Long-Term Outcome of Monochorionic Twins after Fetoscopic Laser Therapy Compared to Matched Dichorionic Twins
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Abstract
Introduction: The only causal therapy is fetoscopic laser surgery (FLS). The aims of this study were to analyze the long-term outcome of monochorionic twins treated by FLS, including their school career, need for therapy and special aid equipment, and free-time activities, and compare their outcome to matched dichorionic twins. Material and Methods: Among the 57 women treated at a single fetal treatment center between 2008 and 2017 with FLS because of twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome, 25 women with 42 children were included in the FLS group. The control group consisted of 16 dichorionic twin pairs matched for birth year, gestational age (GA), birth weight, and sex. The long-term outcome was assessed by a parental questionnaire and a standardized neurodevelopmental examination for children born before 32 gestational weeks or with a birth weight lower than 1500 g. They were also registered into the Swiss Neonatal Network database. The primary outcome was event-free survival, defined as normal neurology, behavior, vision, and hearing. The secondary outcomes were school career, need for therapy and special aid equipment, and free-time activities. Results: An event-free survival was found in 32 children (76%) in the laser and in 24 children (75%) in the control group (p = 0.91). Neurological anomalies were found in 5 children (12%) in the laser group and 3 children (9%) in the control group (p = 1.00). Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that GA at delivery was the only predictive factor for event-free survival. There were no significant differences regarding school career, therapies, or special aid equipment between the 2 groups. We found that children Giancarlo Natalucci and Nicole Ochsenbein-Kölble shared last authorship.
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without FLS were involved in more free-time activities and needed fewer breaks during physical activity than children with FLS during pregnancy. **Conclusion:** The outcome of monochorionic twins treated with FLS is comparable to the outcome of dichorionic twins. Long-term neurodevelopment in the cohort was mainly dependent on GA at birth.

**Introduction**

In a monochorionic-diamicniotic pregnancy, an imbalanced blood flow between the 2 fetuses can lead to twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome (TTTS) [1]. In almost all placentas of monochorionic twin pregnancies, anastomoses are found; nevertheless, TTTS only occurs in 10–15% [2]. The risk of fetal death of one or both twins is above 90% without treatment [3]. Fetoscopic laser coagulation of anastomoses is the only causal therapy and represents the gold standard since Senat et al. [4] presented higher survival rates and a better neurological outcome after laser therapy than sole amniotic fluid reduction [4].

Several studies have presented survival rates after fetoscopic laser surgery (FLS) of 70–90% for at least 1 child and approximately 40–70% for 2 children [4–8]. Due to the improving survival rates, the main interest is shifting from survival to the long-term outcome and the neurological development of the surviving children. Several studies showed that the incidence of cerebral injury is lower after FLS than after sole amniotic fluid reduction [4].

The aims of this study were to analyze the long-term outcome of children treated with FLS, including their school career, the need for special therapy or special aid equipment, and their free-time activities, and compare their outcome to that of dichorionic twins. An understanding of the development of the children over time will provide a more accurate counselling to parents who are diagnosed with TTTS during pregnancy. Further, the long-term outcome is also an important quality control when performing fetoscopic surgery.

**Material and Methods**

**Study Population**

From 2008 to 2017, a total of 70 FLSs were performed at the Zurich Center for Fetal Diagnosis and Therapy Hospital of Zurich (www.swissfetus.ch). Fifty-seven FLSs were performed due to TTTS. Eligible for the laser group in this retrospective cohort study were children who were treated by FLS and were at least 6 months old. Further inclusion criteria that regarded both the FLS and the control groups were good German language skills and parental informed consent. Thirty-two women could not be included due to either loss of pregnancy (n = 12), neonatal death (n = 1), loss of follow-up or insufficient German language skills (n = 15), still pregnant or children younger than 6 months (n = 3), and refusal (n = 1). Ultimately, 25 women and 42 children were included as the “laser group” (Fig. 1).

The control group included dichorionic twins matched for birth year (±2 years), gestational age (GA; ±3 weeks), birth weight, and sex. All baseline data were collected from the electronic hospital charts of the mothers and their infants. The following variables were collected from the clinical records: maternal age, parity, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status, defined by a validated 12-point score based on maternal education and paternal occupation – the higher the score, the lower the socioeconomic class, according to Largo et al. [11].

**Pregnancy Course**

TTTS was diagnosed based on ultrasound criteria by Quintero et al. [12]. Fetoscopic laser coagulation of the placental anastomoses was performed under regional anesthesia in the first 10 cases and under local anesthesia with analgesedation in the following 47 cases. A fetoscope was inserted through a 10 French trocar through the abdominal wall into the amniotic cavity under constant ultrasound guidance. The anastomoses were selectively coagulated by a YAG laser. Always the same 2 feto-maternal specialists performed the FLS under ultrasound guidance. The Solomon technique [13] has been performed since 2011.

**Outcome Parameter**

The primary outcome of this study was the event-free long-term development of the children. Within event-free survival, the main focus was set on the neurodevelopmental outcome. An event-free long-term outcome was defined for children who were free of any neurological or behavioral abnormality, and no severe visual or hearing deficiencies.

The neurological outcomes included cerebral palsy (CP), epilepsy, and other sensorimotor deficiencies. CP was clinically diagnosed and classified according to the recommendations of the European Cerebral Palsy Network [14]. Sensorimotor abnormalities included isolated abnormal tone, reflexes, or coordination, which did not meet the criteria for CP.

Outcome evaluation also included the behavioral development of the children, which was assessed using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) filled out by the parents. Children with a GA or weight at birth below 32 weeks or 1,500 g, respectively, were also assessed by means of the Bayley Scales of Infant Development, second edition (BSID-II) [15], and Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development, third edition (Bayley-III) [16], as part of the Swiss Follow-up Program for very preterm infants.

The secondary outcomes were school career (including difficulties in school, such as repeating a school year, need for educational support, speech, and writing), special therapy and requirement of special aid or other assistive equipment, and free-time activities of the children, as assessed by a generic parental ques-
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Maternal and Neonatal Course
The following neonatal baseline data of the infants were also collected from the computerized medical records: GA, weight, length, head circumference, umbilical cord pH, and Apgar scores at delivery. The data on the major neonatal morbidities known to be associated with a poor long-term outcome in preterm infants [17] were also collected from the database of the department. These included bronchopulmonary dysplasia, necrotizing enterocolitis, sepsis, retinopathy of prematurity defined as previously published [17], and major brain injury, defined by cerebral ultrasound exam as cystic periventricular leukomalacia and/or intraventricular hemorrhage grade 3 or higher according to the classification of Papile [18].

Pediatric Course and Long-Term Outcome
Data on the development of the study children were collected by means of a parental questionnaire including a short survey about their children’s general health, medication, school situation, therapy (e.g., physiotherapy and speech therapy), any kind of support (e.g., glasses, hearing aid, or walking aid). The parent version of the SDQ was used to assess the behavioral outcome of the study children [19]. The SDQ is a standardized parental, teacher, or self-report questionnaire on behavioral problems of children and adolescents [20, 21]. The SDQ consists of 5 sections with 5 questions each, resulting in a total number of 25 questions. Each answer is scored on a scale ranging from 0 (= not true) to 2 (= certainly true). The 5 sections screen for the following behavioral domains: emotional symptoms (e.g., Item 24: “Many fears, easily scared…”), conduct problems (e.g., Item 18: “Often lies or cheats”), hyperactivity/inattention (e.g., Item 10: “Constantly fidgeting or squirming”), peer problems (e.g., Item 19: “Picked on or bullied by other children”), and prosocial behavior (e.g., Item 4: “Shares readily with other children”) [19, 20]. Each domain corresponds to a subscale that is computed by adding the scores of all answers of the same section and ranges 0–10 points, with higher scores indicating more behavioral problems. The prosocial scale shows a reverse direction with lower scores indicating a worse behavioral pattern. Excluding the prosocial scale, the scores for emotional problems, conduct problems, hyperactivity/inattention, and peer relationship problems are summed up to a “total difficulties score,” which ranges from 0 to 40. The “total difficulties scores” ranging from 0 to 13 are classified as normal, scores ranging 14–16 are considered borderline, and scores above 16 are considered abnormal. We combined children in the borderline and abnormal categories into a single “at-risk” category, as we considered the borderline group also to be at risk for behavioral problems.

All children born before 32 gestational weeks or with a birth weight lower than 1,500 g were registered into the National Registry of the Swiss Neonatal Network. A pediatrician specialized in development examined these children at the age of 2 and 5 years. Their neurological development was assessed using the BSID-II [15] (between 2006 and 2012) or Bayley-III [16] (afterward). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee Zurich (KEK-ZH No. 2017-01268). Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of each child.

Statistics
Quantitative data are presented as medians with minimum and maximum values, or mean ± SD. Percentages are given for the results of categorical variables. The Shapiro-Wilk test was performed to check for normal distribution. The t-test or Mann-Whitney U test was used as appropriate. \( \chi^2 \) test was used to compare 2 popula-
tions when the theoretical numbers were \( >5 \), and Fisher’s exact test was used when the theoretical numbers were \( <5 \).

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to identify independent predictors for event-free survival. Odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals were provided. A \( p \) value \( <0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics version 22, Zurich, Switzerland).

### Results

For the long-term outcome, we had data from 25 mothers and 42 children treated with laser therapy and 16 mothers with 32 children in the control group. When comparing the included and excluded children, there was no significant difference between the children excluded

---

**Table 1. Event-free survival and neurological long-term outcome**

|                        | Laser group (N = 42) | Control group (N = 32) | \( p \) value |
|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Age at time of assessment, months | 50 (7–111)          | 60 (31–116)          | 0.14         |
| Event-free survival, n (%)      | 32 (76)             | 24 (75)              | 0.91         |
| Neurological anomalies, n (%)  | 5 (12)              | 3 (9)                | 1.0          |
| CP, n (%)                  | 2 (5)               | 0 (0)                | 0.5          |
| Epilepsy, n (%)            | 1 (2)               | 0 (0)                | 1.0          |
| Other SM findings, n (%)    | 3 (7)               | 3 (9)                | 1.0          |
| SDQ test, n (%)            | \( N = 39 \)        | \( N = 28 \)         |              |
| Values \( \geq 14 \) (at risk) | 4 (10)             | 1 (4)                | 0.39         |
| Bayley test (II or III), n (%)\(^a\) | \( N = 15 \) | \( N = 16 \) |              |
| Values \(< 85\)             | 4 (27%)             | 7 (44%)              | 0.32         |
| Values \(< 70\)             | 2 (13%)             | 3 (19%)              | 1.0          |

SM, sensorimotor; SDQ, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire; GW, gestational weeks; CP, cerebral palsy. \(^a\) Children born before 32 GW or/and with a birth weight lower than 1,500 g.

**Table 2. Detailed information about the children with neurological findings**

| Case | Baseline (birth weight, birth length, and Apgar score) | Quintero stage | GA at delivery (GW) | Cranial ultrasound | SDQ | Mental/motor development in the BSID II or III | Neurological finding |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1 (L)| Male, 890 g, 33.5 cm, 1-3-3                           | 1              | 26 3/7              | Normal             | Normal | Normal                                      | SM abnormalities    |
| 2 (L)| Male, 1,650 g, 42.5 cm, 4-6-6                          | 3              | 31 5/7              | Hemorrhage grade I, cystic leukomalacia grade II, contralateral ischemia | 18  | BSID II: 50/49                                | CP, epilepsy         |
| 3 (L)| Male, 950 g, 36 cm, 6-8-8                             | 3              | 31 5/7              | Normal             | Normal | BSID II: 68/77                                | SM abnormalities    |
| 4 (L)| Female, 990 g, 36 cm, 5-7-8                           | 1              | 30 1/7              | Normal             | Normal | Normal                                      | SM abnormalities    |
| 5 (L)| Female, 1,280 g, 39 cm, 8-6-8                         | 3              | 28 6/7              | Increased periventricular echogenicity | Normal | BSID III: 125/112/–                           | CP                   |
| 1 (C)| Female, 650 g, 29.5 cm, 7-10-10                        | –              | 31 0/7              | Germinolysis       | Normal | BSID II: 106/84                               | SM abnormalities    |
| 2 (C)| Female, 1,150 g, 37 cm, 8-8-9                         | –              | 28 6/7              | Normal             | Normal | BSID II: 94/84                               | SM abnormalities    |
| 3 (C)| Female, 1,260 g, 40 cm, 7-9-9                          | –              | 29 5/7              | Dilated lateral ventricles | 14 | BSID II: 86/84                               | SM abnormalities    |

1 (L) Laser coagulation had to be terminated due to bleeding, and IUFD occurred. 2 (L) and 3 (L) are siblings. L, Laser; C, Control; SDQ, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire; BSID, Bayley Scales of Infant Development, version II or III, normative value for each score 100±15; CP, cerebral palsy; SM, sensorimotor; GA, gestational age; GW, gestational weeks.
due to loss of follow-up or insufficient German language skills regarding GA at birth \( (p = 0.19) \), birth weight \( (p = 0.11) \), or 5-min Apgar \( (p = 0.09) \). There was a significant difference in GA at birth in cases where pregnancy was lost \( (p < 0.001) \) compared to the included cases. Maternal age was similar in all included and excluded cases \( (p = 0.76) \).

For the control group, we had questionnaires filled out by the parents from 22 children, and in the remaining 10 children, we had the data from the Registry of the Swiss Neonatal Network. This explains why in some cases the SDQ (questionnaire) and in other cases the BSID-II (Swiss Neonatal Network) are listed. The secondary endpoints such as school career, special therapy or requirement of special aid or other assistive equipment, and free-time activities of the children were also assessed with the questionnaire filled out by the parents.

**Primary Outcome**

Table 1 presents the event-free survival rates and the neurological long-term outcome of the children. Event-free survival, neurodevelopmental long-term outcome, and behavioral outcome were similar in both groups. In the laser group, there were 2 children with CP – one of them was also diagnosed with epilepsy. Of the 76% children with an event-free survival, 40% were initially diagnosed with Quintero 1 or 2 and 36% with Quintero 3 or 4. There was no statistically significant difference in the event-free survival according to Quintero stages within the laser group \( (p = 0.7) \).

Detailed information about the 8 children with neurological findings is presented in Table 2. In cases 1, 3, and 4 of the laser group and in case 2 of the control group, the cranial ultrasound controls were normal at the time of assessment.

A univariate regression analysis was performed and identified GA at delivery \( (p < 0.001) \), birth weight \( (p = 0.004) \), birth length \( (0.003) \), and Apgar score at 5 min \( (0.016) \) as possible independent predictive factors for an event-free survival. The multiple logistic regression analysis showed that only GA at delivery was a predictive factor for event-free survival \( (p = 0.044) \) (Table 3).

Maternal and neonatal baseline characteristics of the laser group and the control group are shown in Table 4.

---

**Table 3. Multiple regression analysis**

|                      | Mean ± SD     | N (%)      | \( p \) value |
|----------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| GA at delivery – GW  | 32.8±3.2      | 0.001      |
| Sex                  |               | 0.77       |
| Female               | 43 (58%)      |            |
| Male                 | 31 (42%)      |            |
| Birth-weight, g      | 1,812±725     | 0.004      |
| Birth-length, cm     | 42±5          | 0.003      |
| Apgar 5 min          | 9 (3–10)      | 0.016      |

**Table 4. Maternal and neonatal baseline characteristics**

|                      | Laser group | Control group | \( p \) value |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| **Maternal characteristics** | (N = 25) | (N = 16) | 0.02 |
| Age                  | 29 (23–41)  | 36 (29–40)   |               |
| Ethnicity, n (%)     |             |              | 0.64          |
| Caucasian            | 23 (92%)    | 14 (87.5%)   |               |
| Hispanic             | 1 (4%)      | 2 (12.5%)    |               |
| Asian                | 1 (4%)      | 0 (0%)       |               |
| Black                | 0 (0%)      | 0 (0%)       |               |
| Socioeconomic status, n (%) |         |              | 0.007         |
| Upper level          | 4 (16%)     | 9 (56%)      |               |
| Intermediate level   | 8 (32%)     | 3 (19%)      | 0.49          |
| Basic level          | 3 (12%)     | 3 (19%)      | 0.66          |
| Lower level          | 0 (0%)      | 0 (0%)       | –             |
| Students             | 0 (0%)      | 0 (0%)       | –             |
| Homemaker            | 10 (40%)    | 1 (6%)       | 0.03          |
| **Survival rates**   |             |              | 0.01          |
| Survival 1, n (%)    | 8 (32%)     | 0 (0%)       |               |
| Survival 2, n (%)    | 17 (68%)    | 16 (100%)    |               |
| **Neonatal characteristics** | (N = 42) | (N = 32) |               |
| GA at delivery, GW   | 32.8±3.0    | 32.85±3.6   | 0.95          |
| Weight, g            | 1,888±741   | 1,714±702   | 0.31          |
| Length, cm           | 42.2±4.7    | 41.6±5.3    | 0.63          |
| Head circumference, cm| 30.3±3.4  | 29.8±3.3    | 0.60          |
| Umbilical artery-pH   | 7.30±0.06   | 7.31±0.06   | 0.58          |
| Apgar, 5 min         | 8 (3–10)    | 9 (3–10)    | 0.08          |
| Sex (female), n (%)  | 26 (62%)    | 17 (53%)    | 0.45          |
| **Neonatal complications, n (%)** |      |              |               |
| RDS                  | 28 (67%)    | 17 (53%)    | 0.24          |
| NEC                  | 2 (5%)      | 0 (0%)      | 0.50          |
| Sepsis               | 3 (7%)      | 1 (3%)      | 0.62          |
|ROP                  | 1 (2%)      | 0 (0%)      | 1.0           |
| Oxygen >36 days      | 4 (10%)     | 1 (3%)      | 0.37          |
| Brain lesion         | 1 (2%)      | 0 (0%)      | 1.0           |
| Death within first 30 days of life, n (%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | – |

GA, gestational age; GW, gestational weeks; RDS, respiratory distress syndrome; NEC, necrotising enterocolitis; ROP, retinopathy of prematurity.
Eleven children were initially classified as Quintero 1, 12 as Quintero 2, 17 as Quintero 3, and 2 as Quintero 4. There was no significant difference in the neonatal baseline characteristics or the perinatal morbidity between the 2 groups.

### Secondary Outcome

#### School Career, Therapy, and Need for Special Aid Equipment

Information on school career, need for special therapy, or requirement of special aid equipment is shown in Table 5. There was no significant difference between the laser and the control group. No child of both groups repeated a class, and only 4 children (10%) of the laser group needed remedial teaching ($p = 0.13$). The most frequent therapy was physical therapy in both groups (26 vs. 31%, $p = 0.63$). Five children in the laser group (12%), but none in the control group, required special aid equipment ($p = 0.16$).

#### Free-Time Activities

The children in the control group had more hobbies than the children in the laser group (86 vs. 57%, $p = 0.018$). The most frequent sports were gymnastics, swimming, soccer, and other ball sports such as volleyball or tennis. Skiing, horseback riding, kung fu, and karate were also mentioned. The musically active children either played an instrument (piano, flute, or violin) or were singing in a choir. More children from the laser group needed a break during sports than children in the control group (21 vs. 0%, $p = 0.02$), and fewer children from the laser group were able to keep up while doing sports (69 vs. 100%, $p = 0.003$).

### Discussion

The present study shows that the rate of event-free long-term outcome, including school career, therapies, or special aid equipment, in monochorionic twins after FLS is comparable to that of dichorionic twins who did not have any invasive intervention during pregnancy and that only the GA at delivery seems to be a predictive factor for event-free survival. Overall, neurological anomalies were found in 12% in the laser group and in 9% in the control group. CP was diagnosed in 5% of the laser group. Children without FLS were involved in more free-time activities and needed fewer breaks during physical activity than children with FLS during pregnancy.

The presented results are comparable to other international studies. Banek et al. [22] also studied the long-term neurodevelopmental outcome of twins after intrauterine laser surgery for TTTS. The 89 children included in their study underwent physical and neurological examination; 78% of the children showed normal development, 11% had minor neurological anomalies, and another 11% had major neurological deficiencies. Also, Lenclen et al. [9] studied the neurodevelopmental outcome of children after laser therapy. The children were assessed at 2 years of age corrected for prematurity. The assessment was performed using an Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ) and a neurological assessment [9]. They compared the neurological outcome and the results of the ASQ with a group of dichorionic children. Considering the neurological impairment, there was no significant difference between the children treated with FLS and dichorionic children; the rate for normal neurological development was 88.6 and 93.6%, respectively [9]. They also found that low GA was the only significant factor associated with neurological impairment in twins treated with laser therapy due to TTTS [9]. Lopriore et al. [23] compared monochorionic twins with TTTS and laser therapy with monochorionic twins without TTTS. They described a significant higher incidence of severe cerebral lesions in twins with

### Table 5. School career, special therapy, and special aid equipment

| School career | Laser group ($N = 42$), $n$ (%) | Control group ($N = 22$), $n$ (%) | $p$ value |
|---------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| No school<sup>a</sup> | 24 (57) | 12 (55) | 0.84 |
| Kindergarten<sup>a</sup> | 7 (17) | 2 (9) | 0.47 |
| School<sup>a</sup> | 11 (26) | 8 (36) | 0.40 |
| Skip a class<sup>a</sup> | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | - |
| Remedial teaching<sup>a</sup> | 4 (10) | 0 (0) | 0.29 |
| Special school<sup>a</sup> | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | - |
| Language school<sup>a</sup> | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | - |
| Therapy | | | |
| Speech therapy | 2 (5) | 1 (3) | 0.56 |
| Physical therapy | 11 (26) | 10 (31) | 0.63 |
| Psychomotoric therapy | 1 (2) | 0 (0) | 1.0 |
| Early support/education | 4 (10) | 0 (0) | 0.13 |
| Curative education | 2 (5) | 2 (6) | 1.0 |
| Special aids<sup>b</sup> | 5 (12) | 0 (0) | 0.16 |
| Hearing aid<sup>b</sup> | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | - |
| Glasses<sup>b</sup> | 3 (7) | 0 (0) | 0.56 |
| Walking aid<sup>b</sup> | 2 (5) | 0 (0) | 0.54 |
| Splint<sup>b</sup> | 1 (2) | 0 (0) | 1.0 |

<sup>a</sup> Only answered in questionnaire, available for 22 children in the control group.
TTTS than in monochorionic twins without TTTS (10 vs. 2%, \( p = 0.02 \)) [23].

In a review, van Klink et al. [24] discusses results on the long-term outcome of TTTS survivors, treated with either amnioreduction or FLS. For the FLS group, they analyzed 13 studies from 1999 to 2015 [24]. Their numbers for CP ranged from 2% to 12%, which are also comparable to our findings (2 children, 5%). They mentioned a higher Quintero stage as a risk factor for neurodevelopmental impairment [24]. We, on the other hand, did not find a difference between Quintero stages 1 and 2 and 3 and 4 within the laser group.

Another current systematic review from Miralles-Gutiérrez et al. [25], which included 9 studies with sample sizes ranging from 56 to 318, described a mean incidence of neurological anomalies at 24 months of age after laser therapy in 14%. CP was described in about 6% of the children treated with laser therapy [25]. The children in our group were older at the time of assessment (24 months [25] vs. 50 months), but the results are similar. The review from Miralles-Gutiérrez et al. [25] did not find a clear correlation between neurological complications and a higher Quintero stage.

Hack et al. [26] also compared the long-term neurodevelopmental outcome of monochorionic twins with matched dichorionic twins. They used the same following matching criteria: GA at delivery, gender, birth weight, and ethnicity [26]. They also did not describe any significant differences between the monochorionic and dichorionic twins except a slight delay in language and hearing development in monochorionic twins [26].

There was no significant difference regarding school career, need of special therapy, or requirement of special aid equipment in our cohort. Physical therapy was the most frequent therapy in both groups. The only differences between the 2 groups were that children from the control group were participating in more free-time activities and needed less breaks during physical activity than children from the laser group. These observations can be explained by the fact that control children were older than children in the laser group at the assessment period, and so possibly were already more involved in after-school activities. While these 2 findings could be related to a reduced physical endurance of children in the laser group in comparison with controls, the absence of a difference regarding the event-free survival between the 2 groups does not support this hypothesis. Since reports on this outcome in similar cohorts as in our study are lacking, no comparison of these findings with data from the literature is possible.

van Klink et al. [27] compared the neurodevelopmental outcome at 2 years between twins with TTTS treated with either the Solomon technique or standard laser surgery. They also compared early interventions such as physical therapy, speech-language therapy, and psychological interventions between the 2 groups. There were no significant differences in the mentioned early interventions between the 2 groups [27]. Physical therapy was performed in 39% for the Solomon group and 41% for the standard group [27]; 9 and 12% needed speech-language therapy [27]. In our laser group, 26% of the children needed physical therapy and in 5% speech therapy was necessary. School career was comparable in both groups. Children without FLS were involved in more free-time activities and needed fewer breaks during physical activity than children with FLS during pregnancy. We found no studies that looked at school career and children’s free-time activities.

Limitations
The small sample size of the laser and the control group, a selection bias due to the excluded cases, and the retrospective design of the analysis are the 3 main limitations of this study, which could have limited the generalizability of the results.

Strengths
The strength of this study is the analysis of the long-term outcome after laser therapy, including their school career, need for therapy and special aid equipment, and free-time activities, and not only the survival rates of the children in a well-monitored Swiss cohort. The knowledge of their school career and their leisure time activities is an important part in counselling parents who are diagnosed with TTTS during pregnancy. To our knowledge, this is the only study that also compared parameters such as school career, therapies, need for special aid equipment, and leisure time activities between children treated with FLS and their control group, consisting of twins and not only singleton pregnancies.

Conclusion
In the present study sample, the outcome of monochorionic twins treated with FLS was comparable to the outcome of dichorionic twins without invasive treatment. Long-term neurodevelopmental outcome in the cohort was mainly dependent on GA at birth.
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