Laminin Induces the Stable Expression of Surface Galactosyltransferase on Lamellipodia of Migrating Cells
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Abstract. We have previously shown that cell surface galactosyltransferase (GalTase) mediates cell spreading and migration on basal lamina matrices by binding N-linked oligosaccharide substrates within laminin. In this study we have examined the distribution and expression of cell surface GalTase during mesenchymal cell migration on various extracellular matrices. Antisera raised against affinity-purified β1,4 GalTase, as well as anti-GalTase Fab fragments, inhibited cell migration on laminin-containing matrices, whereas under identical conditions, anti-GalTase IgG had no effect on the rate of cell migration on fibronectin substrates. Cells migrating on laminin had three times the level of surface GalTase, assayed by 125I-antibody binding and by direct enzyme assay, than similar cells migrating on fibronectin. On the other hand, total cellular GalTase, assayed either enzymatically or by Northern blot analysis, was similar when cells were grown on laminin or fibronectin. The laminin-dependent increase in surface GalTase was due to its expression onto the leading and trailing edges of migrating cells in association with actin-containing microfilaments assayed by double-label indirect immunofluorescence. On stationary cells, surface GalTase levels were low, but as cells began to migrate on laminin GalTase became polarized to the growing lamellipodia. GalTase was not detectable on lamellipodia or filopodia when cells migrated on fibronectin substrates. These results show that laminin-containing matrices induce the stable expression of GalTase onto cell lamellipodia and filopodia where it mediates subsequent cell spreading and migration. Since fibronectin was unable to induce GalTase expression onto lamellipodia, these studies also suggest that the extracellular matrix can selectively influence which intracellular components are maintained on the cell surface.

Temporally and spatially specific cell migrations are of obvious importance during embryonic morphogenesis and metastasis (14). Cells are thought to migrate in response to signals within the basal lamina and extracellular matrix (20). A variety of extracellular components have been identified along the paths of cell migration in situ that support cell migration in vitro, including fibronectin, laminin, proteoglycans, as well as others (19, 21, 27, 28).

Several cell surface receptors have been identified that participate during specific cellular interactions by binding components of the extracellular matrix. The best studied class of receptors, the "integrins," mediate cell attachment to fibronectin and to a lesser extent laminin, as well as to a number of other cell surface and matrix glycoproteins that possess the appropriate peptide-binding domain (7, 26). The integrins, however, are just one of many cell surface receptors that recognize specific extracellular components, and it is not yet clear how different surface receptors function collectively to mediate diverse aspects of cell-matrix interactions (1, 4, 7-10, 13, 22, 26, 29, 39, 41).

One recently identified laminin receptor is the cell surface enzyme β1,4 galactosyltransferase (GalTase),1 which participates during cell migration on basal lamina matrices by binding to specific N-linked oligosaccharide substrates within laminin (28, 29). Like the integrins, GalTase is found on several cell types and has been shown to function during a variety of cellular interactions (2, 12, 16, 24, 25, 28, 30, 32, 33, 35, 40). The level of surface GalTase activity is regulated by mutations of the murine T/t complex which influence, directly or indirectly, a variety of cellular interactions during fertilization and development (34, 36). For example, T/T embryos are characterized by defective mesenchymal cell migration and a coincident four- to sixfold increase in mesenchymal cell surface GalTase activity, suggesting that surface GalTase may participate during cell migration (34). In this regard, reagents that inhibit surface GalTase activity inhibit avian neural crest cell migration on laminin-containing matrices, whereas reagents that stimulate the catalytic turnover of GalTase increase the rate of cell migration (28). However, these studies were limited because of the lack of an appropriate antibody that could be used to probe GalTase function and expression in cell migration more directly.

In this study, we used an anti-GalTase IgG that recognizes murine GalTase to examine the function, distribution, and expression of surface GalTase during cell migration. Anti-GalTase IgG as well as its Fab fragments inhibited cell migration on laminin matrices, but under similar circumstances it did not inhibit migration on fibronectin. These results suggest that GalTase may be a novel laminin receptor involved in cell spreading and migration.

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: GalTase, galactosyltransferase; α-LA, α-lactalbumin; PYS, parietal yolk sac.
conditions had no effect on migration on fibronectin substrates. The GaITase substrate modifier protein, α-lactalbumin (α-LA), similarly inhibited cell migration. The level of surface GaITase was elevated threefold on laminin matrices, which was due to the specific expression and/or stabilization of GaITase on cell lamellipodia and filopodia in association with actin-containing microfilaments. On the other hand, GaITase was not detectable on lamellipodia or filopodia when cells were migrating on fibronectin, even though total cellular GaITase (i.e., surface and intracellular) was equal in cells migrating on laminin and fibronectin. These results show that laminin, but not fibronectin, induces the stable expression of GaITase from intracellular pools into developing lamellipodia where it mediates subsequent migration. Furthermore, the differential expression of surface GaITase implies that the matrix influences which preexisting intracellular components are recruited to or retained on the cell surface.

**Materials and Methods**

**Reagents**

Anti-GaITase IgG was prepared as described previously (2, 16). Briefly, β1,4 GaITase from bovine milk was purified to apparent homogeneity using α-LA affinity chromatography and preparative SDS-PAGE. Protein bands containing GaITase activity were excised from the gel and used to immunize rabbits. IgG was isolated by protein A-Sepharose (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) affinity chromatography, dialyzed against DME (Gibco Laboratories, Grand Island, NY), lyophilized, and stored at ~70°C. The resulting anti-GaITase IgG recognizes GaITase on mouse cells as defined by immunoprecipitation of enzyme activity (2, 29, 30, 31); (b) by immunoprecipitation of a single ~85-kDa metabolically labeled membrane protein (2); (c) by inhibition of enzyme activity (2, 16); and (d) by indirect immunofluorescence (2, 16, 30, 31). Fab fragments were prepared from anti-GaITase IgG using immobilized papain as described by the manufacturer (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL) and isolated by protein A-Sepharose affinity chromatography. The resulting Fab fragments were free of contaminating Fc fragments and intact IgG as shown by SDS-PAGE. Preimmune and normal rabbit IgG (Sigma Chemical Co.) were reconstituted directly in DME at the indicated concentrations. α-LA and lysozyme (Sigma Chemical Co.) were dialyzed against water, lyophilized, and reconstituted in DME.

**Cells**

**Mesenchymal Cell Explants.** Embryos were obtained from matings between inbred C3H mice. The presence of a vaginal plug was considered day 1 of gestation. On day 10 post-plug (9.5 d gestation), the females were killed by cervical dislocation, the uteri removed, and the embryos separated from the large population of head mesenchyme. The resulting head halves were placed medial side down in 15-mm tissue culture wells coated with the appropriate extracellular matrix. The wells were filled with 0.5 ml defined medium B, 2% BSA, 0.1% NaN₃ and incubated with 4.11 μCi 125I-goat anti-rabbit IgG (DuoPani Co., Wilmington, DE) in medium B, 2% BSA, 0.1% NaN₃ at room temperature for 45 min. Cells were washed twice with medium B, 2% BSA, 0.1% NaN₃, and cultured for 1 h at 4°C. Protein A-Sepharose was added as a 1:1 slurry in 100 μl of solubilization buffer, and the mixture was rotated for 0.5 h at 4°C. Protein A-Sepharose IgG/GaITase complex was pelleted (8,000 g; 5 min), and 50 μl aliquots were incubated with 125I-IgG or normal rabbit IgG under constant rotation for 1 h at 4°C. Protein A-Sepharose was added as a 1:1 slurry in 100 μl of solubilization buffer, and the mixture was rotated for 0.5 h at 4°C. Protein A-Sepharose IgG/GaITase complex was pelleted (8,000 g; 5 min), and 50 μl was assayed for GaITase activity using high voltage borate electrophoresis as described (34).

**Surface GaITase Activity**

**[125I]-Antibody Binding.** Cultures of BALB/c 3T3 cells were (a) washed twice with medium B (0.27 mM NaCl, 5.3 mM KCl, 18.2 mM Na Heps, pH 7.2) and incubated with 5% BSA, 0.02% NaN₃ at room temperature for 45 min; then (b) washed twice with medium B and incubated with 0.33 mg/ml of either anti-GaITase IgG or normal rabbit IgG in medium B, 0.5% BSA, 0.02% NaN₃ at room temperature for 45 min; and (c) washed twice in medium B, 2% BSA, 0.1% NaN₃ and incubated with 4.11 μCi [125I]-goat anti-rabbit IgG (DuPani Co., Wilmington, DE) in medium B, 0.5% BSA, 0.02% NaN₃ at room temperature for 45 min. Cells were washed twice with medium B, 2% BSA, 0.1% NaN₃ and solubilized with 1 N NaOH. The amount of solubilized radioactivity was determined in a minigamma counter (model 1275; LKB Instruments, Gaithersburg, MD). The concentrations of both the primary (i.e., rabbit IgG) and secondary (i.e., [125I]-goat IgG) antibodies were shown to be in excess.
Enzymatic Activity. BALB/c 3T3 cells were cultured and harvested as indicated above, washed once with complete media, and twice with medium B. For surface GalTase assays, cells were resuspended at 2.5 × 10^6 cells/ml medium B, PICS, 1% BSA. For total cellular GalTase activity, cells were resuspended at 6.8 × 10^6 cells/ml medium B. PICS containing 30 mM n-octylglucoside and solubilized on ice for 1 h. 40 μl aliquots were assayed for GalTase activity towards N-acetylgalactosamine using high voltage borate electrophoresis. Background radioactivity (i.e., nonincubated controls) was subtracted from all assays incubated at 37°C.

Northern Blot Analysis

Sparse cultures of BALB/c 3T3 cells were cultured and harvested as described above. Total RNA was extracted as described (17) and denatured by heating at 60°C for 15 min in 50% (vol/vol) formamide, 6% (vol/vol) formaldehyde, 20 mM MOPS, 5 mM Na acetate, 1 mM EDTA. 10 μg RNA was electrophoresed on 1% agarose, 6% polyacrylamide gels, transferred to nitrocellulose, and hybridized with the 32P-labeled S′ and 2.0-kb Eco RI fragment of a 3.4-kb murine GalTase cDNA isolated from an F9 embryonal carcinoma cell lambda gt10 library (17). Hybridization occurred overnight at 42°C in 5% dextran sulfate (wt/vol), 4× SSC (1× SSC = 0.15 M NaCl, 0.015 M Na citrate), 50% (vol/vol) formamide, 20 μg/ml salmon sperm DNA, 1× Denhardt's solution, 50 mM Na phosphate (pH 7.0). After hybridization, the filters were washed once in 2× SSC, 1% SDS at room temperature, and twice in 0.5× SSC, 0.1% SDS at 45°C. The bands were visualized by autoradiography.

Indirect Immunofluorescence

Embryo mesenchymal cell explants were cultured in defined medium on either untreated or matrix-coated (laminin or fibronectin) glass chamber slides (Miles Scientific Div., Naperville, IL) and incubated for 2 d in 5% CO2 at 37°C to allow cells to migrate from the explant. BALB/c 3T3 cells were cultured on matrix-coated coverslips. Cultures were washed three times with DME and incubated with DME, 2.5% BSA for 30 min at room temperature to block nonspecific adsorption. In some instances, cultures were pretreated in 10% formalin in medium B for 20 min before blocking with BSA. Cultures were washed twice with DME and subsequently incubated for 45–60 min with 100 μl of either 0.5 mg/ml anti-GalTase IgG or 0.6 mg/ml normal rabbit IgG in DME, 2.5% BSA. The cultures were washed twice with DME and incubated for 45–60 min at room temperature in 200 μl biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (7.5 μg/ml in DME) (Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA). Cultures were again washed twice with DME and incubated for 45–60 min at room temperature in the dark with 200 μl Avicin DCS (20 μg/ml in DME) (Vector Laboratories, Inc.). After two additional washes in DME, cultures were either mounted as described below or permeabilized with 100 μl of −20°C acetone. 20 μl (1 μl of rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (Molecular Probes Inc., Junction City, OR) was evaporated and resuspended in 150 μl of PBS, which was added to each well and incubated for 20 min at room temperature in the dark. Control incubations contained PBS rather than phalloidin. Slides were washed twice with PBS, mounted with medium (90% [vol/vol] glycerol, 10% [vol/vol] PBS, and 4% [wt/vol] n-propylgalate) and viewed with a microscope equipped for epifluorescence (Diaphot EB 22; Leitz, Rockleigh, NJ).

Results

Experimental Rationale

Past studies suggest that GalTase is present on the surface of mesenchymal cells where it functions during cell migration by binding to its complementary substrate in the extracellular matrix (32, 34). Furthermore, perturbation of surface GalTase activity with competitive substrates and modifier proteins coincidentally perturbs the rate of avian neural crest cell migration on basal lamina (28). In the present study, we have taken advantage of an appropriate anti-GalTase IgG to (a) examine GalTase function during mouse mesenchymal cell migration; (b) quantitate the level of surface GalTase on migrating cells; and (c) define the plasma membrane distribution of GalTase by indirect immunofluorescence on cells migrating on various extracellular matrices. To approximate the in vivo situation as closely as possible, primary explants of embryonic mouse mesenchyme were used, but when quantitation was necessary BALB/c 3T3 mouse fibroblasts were used as an in vitro substitute. Appropriate controls showed that surface GalTase has a similar distribution on both cell types (see below).

Perturbing Surface GalTase Inhibits Cell Migration on Laminin-containing Matrices

The rate of mesenchymal cell migration was quantitated using a previously established in vitro assay (28). To control for variation in migration rates between individual cells within a population, as well as between cell populations from different explants, the basal migration rate for each population of cells was determined and compared to the migration rate for the same cell population in the presence of experimental reagents.

Two reagents were used that block GalTase binding to its glycoconjugate substrate: the substrate modifier protein, α-LA; and antisera raised against affinity-purified GalTase. α-LA binds to GalTase and modifies its substrate specificity away from its conventional substrate, N-acetylgalactosamine, and towards glucose, a substrate of normally low affinity (18). The interaction between α-LA and GalTase is fairly specific, since GalTase can be purified to apparent homogeneity by α-LA affinity chromatography (16). Previous studies have shown that α-LA inhibits the migration rate of avian neural crest cells on laminin and laminin-containing matrices in a dose-dependent manner (28). As expected, α-LA (1.5 mg/ml) inhibited embryonic mouse mesenchymal cell migration ~50% as compared to basal rate. Lysozyme (1.5 mg/ml), a protein structurally similar to α-LA but without GalTase-modifying activity, did not affect cell migration (Table I).

Polyclonal rabbit anti-bovine milk GalTase IgG has been shown to specifically recognize GalTase in mouse cells by a variety of criteria (2, 16, 29, 30, 37). Similarly, anti-GalTase IgG can be shown to recognize embryonic mouse mesenchymal cell GalTase, since in this study it immunoprecipitated 90% of GalTase enzyme activity from detergent-solubilized mesenchymal cells as compared with control incubations containing normal rabbit IgG (normal rabbit IgG [1.51 ± 30 cpn product/50 μl/h] vs. anti-GalTase IgG [152 ± 3 cm product/50 μl/h] remaining in the supernatant).

The rate of mesenchymal cell migration in the presence of anti-GalTase IgG is shown in Fig. 1. Cell migration during

### Table I. α-LA Inhibits Mouse Mesenchyme Migration on Basal Lamina Substrates

| Additions       | Cell migration | Control |
|-----------------|----------------|---------|
| Additions       | μm/h           | %       |
| None (basal rate) | 15.1 ± 2.5     | 100     |
| α-LA            | 7.7 ± 1.2      | 48      |
| None (basal rate) | 13.5 ± 1.4     | 100     |
| Lysozyme        | 15.1 ± 1.4     | 112     |

Mesenchymal cell migration on PYS basal lamina was quantitated by time-lapse microphotography as described in Materials and Methods. α-LA or lysozyme were added at 1.5 mg/ml. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results. α-LA inhibited cell migration similarly on purified laminin matrices. Data shown are ±SEM.
the first hour of incubation was inhibited by ~25% and after 2 h reached 64% inhibition. The cumulative data from six experiments are shown in Table II. In all instances, anti-GalTase IgG inhibited cell migration compared with the basal rate, ranging from 48 to 80% inhibition (Table II). Anti-GalTase IgG produced variable effects on cell morphology, ranging from no noticeable morphological effects in some cultures to partly reducing cell spreading in others. These effects are analogous to the ability of anti-GalTase IgG to inhibit initial lamellipodial formation on laminin when added at the time of cell plating (29). Identical concentrations of preimmune or normal rabbit IgG had no significant effect on morphology or migration rate (Fig. 1; Table II).

To insure that anti-GalTase IgG was inhibiting cell migration by blocking GalTase sites rather than by nonspecific cross-linking effects, Fab fragments of anti-GalTase IgG were prepared and purified free of contaminating Fc fragments and intact IgG. The purified Fabs inhibited cell migration on laminin-containing matrices as shown in Fig. 1.

The specificity of anti-GalTase IgG inhibition was determined by assayg its effect on cells migrating on fibronectin.

Table II. Anti-GalTase IgG Inhibits Cell Migration on Basal Lamina Substrates

| Additions          | Cells/ explants | Migration | Basal rate | Range of basal rate |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|
|                    | n/n             | μm/h      | %          | %                   |
| None (basal rate)  | 45/3            | 14.7 ± 1.8| (100)      |                     |
| Normal rabbit IgG  | 45/3            | 14.9 ± 1.5| 101        | 89–113              |
| None (basal rate)  | 145/6           | 27.2 ± 2.1| (100)      |                     |
| Anti-GalTase IgG   | 170/6           | 9.3 ± 1.4 | 34         | 20–52               |

The rate of cell migration on PYS basal lamina was quantitated as described in Materials and Methods. Migration rate after addition of 0.23 mg/ml normal rabbit IgG or 0.1–0.25 mg/ml anti-GalTase IgG was compared with basal rate. Rates shown represent the average migration rate during a 2-h period (2–4 h after IgG addition). Anti-GalTase IgG inhibited migration similarly on purified laminin matrices. Data shown are ± SEM.

Previous studies have shown that reagents that perturb surface GalTase inhibited migration on laminin matrices but did not affect migration on fibronectin substrates (28). As shown in Fig. 1, concentrations of anti-GalTase IgG that markedly inhibited cell migration on laminin matrices had no effect on migration rate on fibronectin. These results clearly eliminate nonspecific metabolic effects of anti-GalTase IgG and demonstrate strict substrate specificity for the involvement of GalTase during cell migration on laminin.

Surface GalTase Levels Are Elevated on Cells Migrating on Laminin

The expression of surface GalTase on cells cultured on various extracellular matrices was quantitated by 125I-antibody binding and by direct enzyme assay. Since it was necessary to normalize GalTase levels to cell number, these studies relied upon cultured embryonic mouse fibroblasts (i.e., BALB/c 3T3 cells) rather than primary explants of embryonic mesenchyme in which cell number was highly variable. Furthermore, the use of 3T3 fibroblasts enabled comparisons of stationary cells in contact-inhibited, confluent cultures with migratory cells in sparse cultures.

Surface GalTase levels were similar on both stationary and migratory cells when cultured on either fibronectin-coated or plastic surfaces, as well as on stationary cells cultured on laminin (Table III). However, when cells migrated on laminin, surface GalTase was elevated threefold relative to all other culture conditions. Similar results were obtained using two independent assays for quantitating surface GalTase expression (i.e., 125I-antibody binding and direct enzyme assay of intact cells).

In contrast to the levels of surface GalTase, total cellular GalTase activity was similar, per microgram of protein, in cells migrating on laminin or fibronectin (Fig. 2). The levels of GalTase mRNA were also relatively similar, per microgram of total RNA, in cells grown on laminin and fibronectin (Fig. 2). GalTase mRNA levels were slightly higher when
Table III. Laminin Increases Surface GalTase Expression on Sparse Cells

| Assay                      | Cell phenotype | Substrate | Laminin | Fibronectin | Plastic | Fold increase (laminin/fibronectin) |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------------------------------|
| Enzyme activity*           | Stationary     | 295 ± 25  | 281 ± 15| 310 ± 22    | 1.1     |
| Migratory                 |                | 692 ± 13  | 288 ± 20| 294 ± 17    | 2.4§    |
| 125I-IgG binding†          | Migratory      | 19,962 ± 2,045 | 5,560 ± 520 | ND | 3.6§ |

BALB/c 3T3 fibroblasts were cultured on the indicated matrix as described in the Materials and Methods. Cells in >90% confluent cultures were considered to be stationary, while cells in sparse (<20% confluent) cultures were considered to be migratory.

* Enzyme activity toward N-acetylglucosamine substrates is expressed as pmol 3H-galactose transferred per 10^6 cells/h ± SEM. Background radioactivity (nonincubated controls) was subtracted from all assays and averaged 236 cpm (equivalent to 0.5 pmol).
† 125I-IgG binding is expressed as specific cpm 125I bound/10^6 cells ± SEM (i.e., normal rabbit IgG binding subtracted from anti-GalTase IgG binding). Normal rabbit IgG binding averaged 7,501 cpm/10^4 cells. There was no anti-GalTase IgG binding to matrices devoid of cells.
§ Values for laminin and fibronectin are significantly different from one another, p < 0.005.

cells were grown on uncoated plastic surfaces, suggesting that the cells were compensating for the lack of any extracellular matrix. In any event, laminin appears to increase the level of GalTase on the cell surface by recruiting enzyme from intracellular pools and not by increasing the level of total cell GalTase.

**Laminin Induces GalTase Expression on Lamellipodia and Filopodia**

The cell surface distribution of GalTase, as well as possible cytoskeletal associations, was determined on various extracellular matrices by double-label indirect immunofluorescence. When primary mesenchymal cell explants were grown on laminin matrices, GalTase was localized to the edge of cellular processes, such as lamellipodia and filopodia, as well as to areas of cell–cell contact (Fig. 3). There was no apparent uniform distribution of GalTase over the cell body; all immunoreactivity appeared to be localized to the leading and trailing edges of migrating cells. GalTase distribution was unaffected by including NaN3 in all reagents or by prefixing in 10% formalin. We attempted to apply anti-GalTase IgG to the basal surface of the migratory cells by culturing cells on porous filters (12-mm Millicell CM; Millipore Continental Water Systems, Bedford, MA) and applying IgG from beneath the filter surface. However, very few cells adhered to and migrated on these filter surfaces, and those cells that were labeled showed a similar GalTase distribution to those that received antibody apically.

Fluorescently labeled cell “ghosts” were occasionally seen on laminin matrices, even though no cells were apparent using phase-contrast optics (Fig. 3, e and f). These fluorescent spikes appeared to radiate from the entire circumference of where a cell body had apparently been. We suspect that these reflect the membrane remnants of cells that had been dissociated from their laminin adhesion by anti-GalTase IgG, and are reminiscent of mesenchymal cell “retraction fibers” seen ultrastructurally (25). No such immunoreactivity was observed on laminin matrices that had not supported cell growth or when preimmune IgG was used.

Since we used cultured embryonic fibroblasts (i.e., BALB/c 3T3 cells) to quantitate surface GalTase expression on various extracellular matrices, we confirmed that the immunofluorescence distribution of surface GalTase was similar on cultured fibroblasts and on primary mesenchymal cells. Surface GalTase was localized to the leading and trailing edges of migrating 3T3 fibroblasts, similar to that seen on primary

Figure 2. Total cellular GalTase is equal in cells cultured on laminin and on fibronectin. (Top) GalTase enzyme activity was assayed in total cell lysates as a function of incubation time as described in Materials and Methods. (○) Cells cultured on laminin; (●) cells cultured on fibronectin. (Bottom) GalTase mRNA levels were determined by Northern blot analysis as described in Materials and Methods. The GalTase cDNA hybridizes with a 4.4-kb mRNA species. PYS cells, which have high levels of GalTase mRNA, were used as a positive control (17). LN, cells cultured on laminin; FN, cells cultured on fibronectin; PL, cells cultured on tissue culture plastic.
Figure 3. Surface GalTase is localized by indirect immunofluorescence to the leading and trailing edges of embryonic mesenchymal cells migrating on laminin. Examples of GalTase localization to lamellipodia (large arrow) and filopodia (small arrows) are shown on lightly formalin-fixed cells. Some areas have no cell structures as shown by phase-contrast optics (f) but showed cell outlines or "ghosts" by indirect immunofluorescence (e). There was no cytoskeletal staining seen in association with the cell "ghosts" (data not shown). (a, c, and e) Anti-GalTase IgG immunofluorescence; (b, d, and f) phase-contrast image. Bar, 10 μm.

mesenchymal cell explants, although the label appeared more punctate on cultured 3T3 fibroblasts (Fig. 4). Sparse cultures of 3T3 fibroblasts contain cells in various stages of migration, ranging from stationary fibroblastic cells to polarized migrating cells with broad lamellipodia; therefore, it is possible to reconstruct the polarization of GalTase from a uniform distribution on stationary, fibroblastic cells (Fig. 4 a) to broad lamellipodia characteristic of migratory cells (Fig. 4 e). The microspike distribution of surface GalTase was particularly evident on stationary cells (Fig. 4 a), which

Figure 4. Surface GalTase becomes polarized to lamellipodia on migrating mouse fibroblasts cultured on laminin. Examples of GalTase immunofluorescence distribution on unfixed BALB/c 3T3 fibroblasts showing progressive stages of polarization from stationary to migratory cells. Bar, 10 μm.
Figure 5. The distribution of surface GalTase colocalizes with some actin microfilaments in embryonic mesenchymal cells cultured on laminin. Acetone permeabilization removed most of the lamellipodial staining seen in Fig. 3, but some filopodia still stain with both anti-GalTase IgG and phalloidin. Some GalTase is localized on fine filopodia between cells devoid of microfilaments. (a) Phase-contrast image; (b) anti-GalTase IgG immunofluorescence; (c) rhodamine-labeled phalloidin indicates the distribution of filamentous actin. Bar, 10 μm.
appeared to retract as cells assumed a more migratory appearance. Since virtually all cells in primary mesenchymal cell explants were actively migrating, they all displayed surface GalTase similar to that seen on migrating 3T3 fibroblasts (compare Fig. 3, a and c, with Fig. 4 e). None of the cells in primary explants displayed surface GalTase indicative of stationary cells as seen in Fig. 4 a.

The distribution of microfilaments was visualized using rhodamine-labeled phalloidin in acetone-permeabilized cells in primary mesenchymal cell explants (Fig. 5). Acetone permeabilization removed all broad lamellipodial staining produced by anti-GalTase IgG. However, filopodial staining was resistant to acetone treatment, and in these areas there was an apparent colocalization of surface GalTase with filamentous actin, although some GalTase was localized on fine filopodia devoid of microfilaments.

When cells were grown on fibronectin substrates, surface GalTase was not detectable on cell lamellipodia or filopodia (Fig. 6). Similar results were obtained using either primary mesenchymal cell explants (Fig. 6) or sparse cultures of BALB/c 3T3 fibroblasts (not shown). These results suggest that the threefold increase in surface GalTase seen on laminin matrices was due to a specific expression of GalTase onto lamellipodia and filopodia. In all instances, normal rabbit IgG produced background levels of fluorescence (Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

The function, distribution, and relative expression of surface GalTase during cell migration on various extracellular matrices has been examined. Anti-GalTase IgG specifically recognizes murine GalTase by a variety of criteria (2, 16, 29, 30, 37) and inhibited cell migration on laminin substrates but not on fibronectin substrates. The effects of the GalTase modifier protein, α-LA, are consistent with these findings. Furthermore, the level of surface GalTase was elevated threefold when cells migrated on laminin, relative to cells migrating on either fibronectin or plastic surfaces, or relative to stationary, contact-inhibited cells on any surface. The laminin-dependent increase in surface GalTase was due to the stable expression of GalTase selectively on lamellipodia and filopodia at the leading and trailing edges of migrating cells. GalTase was localized in specific plasma membrane domains by recruitment from intracellular pools rather than clustering of uniformly distributed surface GalTase, as shown recently for the fibronectin receptor (3, 5, 6, 38). GalTase was not detectable on lamellipodia or filopodia when cells migrated on fibronectin, consistent with the fact that fibronectin-mediated cell migration is independent of surface GalTase. On all substrates examined, the amount of total cellular GalTase remained relatively constant as judged by enzyme activity and Northern blot analysis.

These results support the notion that surface GalTase functions during cell migration on basal lamina matrices (28, 29). Collectively, results in this and previous studies show that three different classes of reagents, including anti-GalTase IgG, the modifier protein α-LA, and competitive N-acetylglucosamine substrates, all of which inhibit GalTase binding to its complementary glycoconjugate substrate, coincidently inhibit the rate of cell migration on basal lamina, but not on fibronectin. Conversely the addition of UDPgalactose, which increases the catalytic turnover of the GalTase-substrate complex, increases the rate of cell migration on basal lamina. In all instances, homologous control reagents

**Figure 6.** No surface GalTase is detectable on embryonic mesenchymal cells migrating on fibronectin. (a) Phase-contrast image; (b) anti-GalTase IgG immunofluorescence; (c) phalloidin staining of filamentous actin. Bar, 10 μm.
have no effect on surface GaTase activity or on cell migration rate.

One of the more surprising findings in this study was the selective ability of laminin matrices, relative to fibronectin, to induce GaTase expression onto lamellipodia and filopodia. This was confirmed by quantitating the level of surface GaTase by \(^{125}\text{I}\)-antibody binding and by direct enzyme assay of intact cells. There is increasing evidence that the extracellular matrix, and basal lamina in particular, induces cell type-specific protein expression (15); however, in this study, GaTase mRNA levels were relatively unaffected by laminin within the 24-h culture period. The slight (i.e., 33%) increase in GaTase mRNA levels in cells cultured on laminin may represent the initial stages of laminin induction of GaTase mRNA, but this must be analyzed after longer culture periods. In any event, the levels of total cellular GaTase activity were unaffected by laminin and, therefore, this implies that laminin induced the recruitment of GaTase from intracellular pools to the growing lamellipodia. These results also show that the level of surface GaTase does not simply reflect the level of intracellular GaTase and, therefore, the surface and intracellular pools of GaTase must be differentially regulated. The expression of GaTase on the cell surface does not result from passive movement of Golgi region-derived vesicles to the plasma membrane, since lamellipodia developing on fibronectin matrices would be expected to contain GaTase as well. Since laminin contains substrates for surface GaTase, while fibronectin does not, it should also be considered that surface GaTase expression is stabilized by binding extracellular substrates.

The function of GaTase can be distinguished from that of other previously identified laminin receptors (7, 13, 22) biologically and biochemically. A number of laminin-binding proteins bind to laminin affinity columns, including the 68-kD receptor and GaTase, but they are differentially eluted (29). Reagents that inhibit GaTase binding to its laminin substrate also inhibit cell spreading and migration on laminin, but do not affect initial cell adhesion to laminin (29). On the other hand, consuming potential GaTase binding sites in laminin by prior galactosylation does not inhibit cell adhesion to laminin, but totally inhibits cell spreading and migration (29). These results suggest that initial adhesion to laminin may be mediated by the binding of the 68-kD and/or 140-kD (i.e., integrin) receptor to their peptide ligands (9), while cell spreading and subsequent migration requires surface GaTase binding to its appropriate N-linked oligosaccharide substrate. We are presently characterizing the binding sites within laminin for surface GaTase to determine their relationship to laminin fragments (e.g., E1, E8) that have differential effects on cell adhesion and migration (1, 8).

The potential association of GaTase with cytoskeletal components was assayed by double-labeled indirect immunofluorescence and phallloidin staining. Actin-containing microfilaments terminating in lamellipodia appeared to coincide with GaTase immunoreactivity, though some GaTase was localized on cell surface projections devoid of microfila-

Figure 7. Embryonic mesenchymal cells cultured on laminin and incubated with normal rabbit IgG show no detectable fluorescence. (a) Phase-contrast image; (b) immunofluorescence; (c) rhodamine-phallolidin staining of filamentous actin. Bar, 10 \(\mu\text{m}\).
ments. It has recently been reported that the redistribution of surface GalTase that occurs during spermatogenic differentiation coincides with the appearance of actin-containing microfilaments (30), and patches of surface GalTase on Madin–Darby bovine kidney cells colocalize with actin microfilaments (31). Both results suggest an association between surface GalTase and cytoskeletal components. More detailed ultrastructural and biochemical studies are required to define the relationship between the cytoskeleton and GalTase, as has been done extensively for the fibronectin receptor (11).

While different cell surface receptors seem to function during cell migration on different extracellular matrices, all receptor–matrix interactions must provide a mechanism for dissociation from the matrix to continue cell migration. How cells dissociate from the matrix is presently unknown, but it is interesting that GalTase offers a potential catalytic mechanism whereby cell adhesion to the substrate could be released if a suitable galactose donor were available to the surface enzyme. The galactose donor would then enable the enzyme to dissociate from its galactosylated substrate. In this regard, Turley and Roth (40) have shown that cells spontaneously glycosylate extracellular matrices coincident with migration upon them. Alternatively, it is possible that dissociation is due to shedding or proteolytic release of the surface receptor from the membrane. In this study, GalTase was detectable on microspikes surrounding cell “ghosts,” suggesting that the enzyme, and possibly associated membrane, have been left behind after cell dissociation (23). In either case, it is clear that GalTase functions as a receptor during cell migration on basal lamina, presumably by interacting with specific N-linked oligosaccharide residues in laminin. Further studies are needed to define the mechanism of cell dissociation from the matrix, the cytoskeletal association with GalTase at the ultrastructural level, and how laminin induces the vectoral insertion of GalTase into growing lamelipodia.
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