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Understanding of the dynamic patterns of plant water use in a changing environment is one of foci in plant ecology, and can provide basis for the development of best practice in restoration and protection of ecosystem. We studied the water use sources of three coexisting dominant plant species *Leymus chinensis*, *Stipa grandis* and *Cleistogenes squarrosa* growing in both enclosed and mowing grassland in a typical steppe. The oxygen stable isotope ratios (δ¹⁸O) of soil water and stem water of these three species were determined, along with soil moisture, before and after precipitation events. The results showed that (1) mowing had no significant effect on the soil moisture and its δ¹⁸O, whereas precipitation significantly changed the soil moisture though no significant effect detected on its δ¹⁸O. (2) *C. squarrosa* took up water majorly from top soil layer due to its shallow root system; *L. chinensis* took up relative more water from deep soil layer, and *S. grandis* took up water from the middle to deep soil layers. (3) *L. chinensis* and *S. grandis* in mowing grassland tended to take up more water from the upper soil layers following precipitation events, but showed no sensitive change in water source from soil profile following the precipitation in the enclosed grassland, indicating a more sensitive change of soil water sources for the two species in mowing than enclosed grassland. The differences in root morphology and precipitation distribution may partly explain the differences in their water uptake from different soil layers. Our results have important theoretical values for understanding the water competition among plants in fluctuating environment and under different land use in the typical steppe.
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Abstract

Understanding of the dynamic patterns of plant water use in a changing environment is one of foci in plant ecology, and can provide basis for the development of best practice in restoration and protection of ecosystem. We studied the water use sources of three coexisting dominant plant species Leymus chinensis, Stipa grandis and Cleistogenes squarrosa growing in both enclosed and mowing grassland in a typical steppe. The oxygen stable isotope ratios (δ¹⁸O) of soil water and stem water of these three species were determined, along with soil moisture, before and after precipitation events. The results showed that (1) mowing had no significant effect on the soil moisture and its δ¹⁸O, whereas precipitation significantly changed the soil moisture though no significant effect detected on its δ¹⁸O. (2) C. squarrosa took up water majortly from top soil layer due to its shaollow root system; L. chinensis took up relative more water from deep soil layer, and S. grandis took up water from the middle to deep soil layers. (3) L. chinensis and S. grandis in mowing grassland tended to take up more water from the upper soil layers following precipitation events, but showed no sensitive change in water source from soil profile following the precipitation in the enclosed grassland, indicating a more sensitive change of soil water sources for the two species in mowing than enclosed grassland. The differences in root morphology and precipitation distribution may partly explain the differences in
their water uptake from different soil layers. Our results have important theoretical values for understanding the water competition among plants in fluctuating environment and under different land use in the typical steppe.
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Introduction

Utilization of different water sources by plants is one of key mechanisms underlying plant species coexistence and plant community construction (Zhang et al., 2014). The main water sources for plant utilization are precipitation, soil water, runoff water and groundwater (Duan et al., 2007). The proportion of various water sources in plant water uptake depends on many factors, such as topography, land use type, soil texture and the pattern, intensity and frequency of precipitation. For example, it was observed that a single strong precipitation event could increase the availability of the water in deep soil layers, which in turn facilitated the growth of deep-rooted plant species (Nippert et al., 2007; Goldstein et al., 2014). Plants have varied utilization efficiencies for various water sources in different ecosystems. In the desert ecosystem of southern Utah, USA, Ehleringer et al. (1991) found that the growth of annual and perennial succulent plants depended entirely on summer precipitation, whereas herbaceous and perennial woody plants could use both summer and winter-spring precipitation, with herbaceous plants having more dependence on summer precipitation. Analyzing plant water sources helps understanding of the adaptation mechanisms used by different species to cope with the arid environment, thus providing a basis for accurately addressing the root water uptake when constructing hydrological models. In addition, these analyses can also, according to the spatial and temporal differences in plant water sources, provide guidance for species selection and matching in revegetation to avoid excessive competition among species. Currently, the studies about the use of water sources by plants have been widely conducted in deserts, temperate forests (Halliday et al., 2011), Mediterranean-type deserts (Matimati et al., 2013) and coasts (Mccole et al., 2007; Corbin et al., 2005).

The temperate semi-arid steppe is one of the most important ecosystems in the world, covers approximately one-fifth of land surface, and the typical steppe covers 10.5% of the territory in China (Wang et al., 2011). Precipitation is largely the only water source in semi-arid steppe ecosystems, so the water consumed by plants is mainly from soil water after the redistribution of precipitation in soil. For example, the deep-rooted shrub *Caragana microphylla* mainly utilizes
water from deep soil layer that is derived from winter snowfall and heavy precipitation; whereas the shallow-rooted grass *Cleistogenes squarrosa* mainly utilizes surface soil water that is dependent on summer precipitation (Yang *et al.*, 2011). Mowing (for hay) is one of the main utilization modes of semi-arid steppes. Mowing not only affects the redistribution of precipitation in the soil by affecting the canopy structure of the community (Zhang *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2015), but also affects the functional traits of plant roots (Zhang *et al.*, 2014), and these changes would inevitably affect the water sources of dominant plant species. Compared with other ecosystems, the semi-arid steppe ecosystem is more sensitive to changes of water resources (Lioubimtseva *et al.*, 2005; Zhou *et al.*, 2005), and more responsive to transient fluctuations in resource availability (Zhang *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, understanding the mechanisms of plant water use is essential to steppe management by exploring how dominant plants respond to instantaneous precipitation events and use available water resources in enclosed and mowing conditions.

Traditional methods of studying plant water sources were difficult, such as root excavation could determine the available water sources but the main water sources cannot be determined, because the existence of roots does not mean that these roots are active in water absorption (Flanagan *et al.* 1992). Comparatively, stable isotope technology has high sensitivity and accuracy, and has wide applications in the study of water sources and water use efficiency of plants in natural ecosystems (Yoder and Nowak 1999; Vandenschrick *et al.* 2002; Schwinning *et al.* 2005; Nippert and Knapp 2007; Goldstein and Suding, 2014). In this study, we aimed to explore how the three coexisting dominant species *Stipa grandis*, *Leymus chinensis* and *C. squarrosa* in a typical steppe community respond to summer precipitation events in water use under two grassland utilization modes (mowing and enclosed). The typical steppe, dominated by *S. grandis*, *L.chinensis*, *S. krylovii*, and *Cleistogenes squarrosa* (Bai *et al.*, 2008). We determined the oxygen stable isotope ratios (δ¹⁸O) of soil water and stem water of these three plants, and soil water content before and after precipitation events in the mowing and enclosure plots. The results would reveal the water use pattern and the competition relationship of plants in the typical steppe, and have important theoretical significance for understanding the relationship between plants and the environment under degradation in arid and semi-arid regions.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study area**

This experiment was conducted in the Grassland Ecosystem Research Station of the Inner Mongolia University, located 40 km east of Xilinhot city in Central Inner Mongolia, China.
(116°2'-116°30' E, 44°48'-44°49' N, 1101 m asl). The region experiences a temperate semi-arid climate, with a mean annual temperature of 2.6 °C, the annual accumulated temperature of 2412 °C (>0 °C), and the average period of plant growth approximately 150 days; mean annual precipitation is between 200 mm to 350 mm, 78 % of which falls between June to September, and the annual evaporation is 1600-1800 mm (Wan et al., 2016; Bai et al., 2018). The major soil type is a sandy loam chestnut soil, equivalent to Calcic-orthic Aridisol in the US soil taxonomy classification system.

The vegetations in the study region are largely dominated by S. grandis, with L. chinense and C. squarrosa as major species. The enclosure and mowing plots were started in 2011 to study the effects of annual mowing on native steppe. Eight 20 m×30m experimental plots (four enclosure and four mowing plots) were established with a distance of 5m between any two plots. The mowing treatment was performed on August 20th of each year and begin from 2011.

Sample collection

Two precipitation events were recorded in July 2016, a light precipitation event of 10.8 mm on 29 July and a medium precipitation event of 20.0 mm on 30 July. Samples were collected separately on the day before these precipitation events (28th July) and on the first and fifth day after precipitation (31st July and 4th August) from each experimental plot. Non-photosynthetic tissues of plant from the interface between shoot and root systems were collected for the analysis of oxygen stable isotope ratios (Thorburn et al., 1993). For each plant species, the non-photosynthetic tissues from at least 20 individuals were collected in each plot and combined as one replicate, enclosed in the screw-capped glass vial, immediately sealed with Parafilm, and then stored at -20 °C for further stable oxygen isotope analysis.

The soil samples at the depth of 0-5 cm, 5-10 cm, 10-20 cm, 20-40 cm, 40-60 cm, 60-80 cm and 80-100 cm in each plot were collected with a 5 cm diameter soil auger. One part of these soil samples was immediately placed into a screw-capped glass vial, sealed with parafilm and then stored at -20 °C for stable oxygen isotope analysis. The other part of the soil sample was placed into an aluminum box and weighed to obtain the fresh weight, and then weighed after oven-drying at 105 °C to get soil water content.

Water extraction and sample analysis

The water from the soil and plant samples was extracted with a cryogenic vacuum distillation extraction system (Ehleringer et al., 2000). The water isotope analyzer (LGR 912-0032, USA) was used to determine the δ¹⁸O of the extracted water samples with a determination
precision of 0.1 ‰. Three kinds of laboratory working standard water were measured additionally after every three samples against one of them as reference. The isotope ratio of oxygen in water is expressed by the standard delta ($\delta$) notation in parts per thousand (‰) as follows:

$$\delta^{18}\text{O} = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{standard}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} \right) \times 1000 \quad (1)$$

where $R_{\text{sample}}$ and $R_{\text{standard}}$ are the molar ratios of $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ of the water sample and standard water (V-SMOW) respectively.

Data analysis

Experimental data were analyzed using SPSS Version 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to test the effects of the sampling time (ST), mowing (C) and soil depth (SD) on the soil water content and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ with all of the factors and their interactions being treated as fixed effects. The two-way ANOVA was also used to examine the effects of the sampling time (ST) and mowing (C) on the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of plant water. In addition, the differences in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of plant water between the enclosure and mowing treatments were tested using the independent sample t-test.

Potential water sources for the three plant species were divided into four layers of soil water, which are 1) surface water layer (0-5 cm), 2) shallow water layer (5-10 cm), 3) middle water layer (10-40 cm) and 4) deep water layer (40-100 cm). An IsoSource model (Philips & Gregg, 2003) was used to compare the isotope values of the water in the plant xylem and the isotope values of various potential water sources, and thereby obtaining the feasible ranges of the different water sources used by the three plant species at each sampling time (Phillips et al., 2003). The source increment was defined as 2 ‰, and the mass balance tolerance was defined as 0.01 ‰.

Results and analysis

Effects of summer precipitation on soil water content in mowing and enclosure steppe

Soil water content exhibited a very significant change before and after precipitation (Table 1, Fig. 1). As expected, the lowest soil water content appeared before precipitation and the highest soil water content appeared on the first day after precipitation. The changes in the soil water contents with the soil depths were different between the two experiment states. Under the mowing and enclosure treatment, with the increasing soil depth the soil water content increased, except the fifth day after the precipitation in enclosure grassland (Fig. 1).
Effects of summer precipitation on $\delta^{18}$O of soil water in mowing and enclosure steppe

Both mowing and the sampling time had no significant effects on the $\delta^{18}$O of soil water, but the interaction between the two factors and the soil depth had a significant effect on soil water $\delta^{18}$O (Table 2). Compared with the values under the enclosure treatment, the water $\delta^{18}$O for the deep layers under the mowing treatment showed a greater fluctuation with the increased sampling interval (Fig. 2). Under both treatments, with the soil depth increasing the $\delta^{18}$O of soil water decreased gradually with a reduction from the surface layer to the middle layer of -5.85 ‰, -7.31 ‰ and -8.44 ‰ respectively for the day before the precipitation and the first and fifth day after the precipitation under the mowing treatment, while a reduction of -4.86 ‰, -7.19 ‰ and -6.78 ‰ separately under the enclosed treatment for the three sampling time.

Effects of mowing and summer precipitation event on the water $\delta^{18}$O characteristics in plants

The mowing and sampling times had no significant effects on the water $\delta^{18}$O of any one of three plant species ($P>0.05$), though the largest $\delta^{18}$O value of the three plant species appeared on the first day after precipitation (Fig. 3). Under the mowing treatment, the water $\delta^{18}$O value of the three plant species ranged from -8.891 ‰ to -4.934 ‰ for *L. chinensis*, -6.73 ‰ to -5.201 ‰ for *S. grandis* and from -2.202 ‰ to 2.062 ‰ for *C. squarrosa*, with the minimum values of water $\delta^{18}$O all occurring before the rainfall. Under the enclosure treatment, the minimum water $\delta^{18}$O value of the three plant species all occurred on the fifth day after precipitation, and their water $\delta^{18}$O ranged from -7.709 ‰ to -6.523 ‰ for *L. chinensis*, and -6.584 ‰ to -4.889 ‰ for *S. grandis* as well as -2.964 ‰ to 0.132 ‰ for *C. squarrosa* respectively. The isotope values of plant xylem water were similar to those of soil water, indicating that the water in this soil layer is utilized.

Effects of mowing and summer precipitation event on the water sources of the three dominant plant species

Mowing and precipitation events changed the water sources of the plants (Table 3, Fig. 4). As the estimated range of the proportion that a plant species took up from various soil layers are relatively wide and overlapping, we could not quantify the percentage of water taken up from a specific soil layer. A general pattern in the results (Table 3) was that *C. squarrosa* took up water majorly from top soil layer, *L. chinensis* took up relative more water from deep soil layer, and *S. grandis* took up water from the middle layer or from across various layers (Fig.4). Also, For *L. chinensis* and *S. grandis* in mowing grassland, the contribution rate of water form the upper soil
layer to the plant was separately 50.8% and 39.9% on the first day after precipitation, which was
higher than those of other soil layers. However, on the fifth day after precipitation, L. chinensis
tended to be stabilized to take up water from the shallow to deep soil layers with the ratio ranged
from 25.5% for middle soil layer and 30.2% for shallow soil layer, while S. grandis still took up
more water (40.7%) from the upper soil layer than other soil layers. In contrast, these two species
in enclosed grassland showed no sensitive change before and after the precipitation. C. squarrosa used the water majorly from the top soil layer either under mowing or in enclosure, and either before and after precipitation events.

Discussion

Soil water comes primarily from precipitation, and the precipitation amount and pattern
drives soil water dynamics (Wang et al., 2013). Combining the characteristics of soil water
isotopes with the analysis of soil water content can provide information on the soils role in
migration of rainwater. Our results show that the soil water content in the 0-40 cm soil layer
were no significant differences between mowed and enclosed grasslands, either before or after
precipitation, which may be related to vegetation cover. Mowing grassland had low vegetation
and litter cover, i.e., a high degree of soil exposure, thus may lead to a high evaporation of water
from the top soil layer. By contrast, the enclosed grassland has high vegetation and litter cover,
and thus the evaporation through soil surface was reduced (Ma et al., 2009). Our results also
show that with the increasing soil depth, the soil water content under the mowing treatment
gradually increased relative to that of the enclosure treatment. This might be related with dual
effects of mowing on water processes in soil profile: the first was a facilitation to water
infiltration during the precipitation event because of no litter coverage (Wang et al., 2011); and
second was a reduction in water uptake from deep soil layer due to less plant transpiration.

Evaporation would result in the enrichment of δ18O in the surface soil water. Our results
show that with increasing soil depth, the evaporation decreased, and the isotopic abundance
decreased approximately exponentially with the depth until it reached a relatively constant
abundance, consistent with the results of Xu et al. (2012). There was no significant difference in
the precipitation infiltration or the fractionation degree of evaporation processes between the
mowing and enclosure treatments, but the δ18O in the soil water at different soil depths under the
two treatments were both significantly affected by precipitation. After precipitation, the soil was
still affected by intense evaporation. In our study, in shallower soil, the heavy isotope in the soil
was enriched and the δ18O increased, which agreed with previous studies (Tian et al., 2002; Jin et
al., 2015; Wang et al., 2009). Previous studies have shown that L. chinensis and S. grandis
respond differently to changes in the precipitation amount and pattern. Studies also show that mowing reduces the density, height and biomass of *L. chinensis* (Guo *et al.*, 2017). In this study, the three dominant plant species *L. chinensis*, *S. grandis* and *C. squarrosa* exhibited a large difference in water source before and after precipitation. Specifically, *L. chinensis* in mowing grassland used the water majorly from the deep soil layer (40-100 cm) in dry soil before precipitation, but substantial proportion of water uptake was from top soil layer on the first day after precipitation, and the proportion declined gradually following the precipitation; while *L. chinensis* in enclosed grassland took up water from various soil layers, did not exhibit a large fluctuation. Similarly, *S. grandis* took up proportionally more water from the top soil layer after mowing than before precipitation in mowing grassland, while it showed no much difference in water source before and after precipitation in enclosed grassland. Mowing had no significant effect on the water source of *C. squarrosa*, which utilized soil water majorly from the top soil layer in all cases. Our findings that *L. chinensis* tends to use more water from deep soil layer in comparison to *C. squarrosa* and *S. grandis*, is supported by the findings of Yang *et al.* (2011). These results also indicate that *L. chinensis* and *S. grandis* in mowing grassland are subject to more severe water deficit in top soil layers before the precipitation (Fig. 1), thus more sensitive to precipitation events than that from natural grassland. However, the rainfall intensity may impact the distribution pattern of the soil water greatly and thus the plant water sources. In our study we only studied the water source of the three species before and after the light and medium rain event, the signatures of which may not adequately describe soil zones explored for water uptake.

The utilization of soil water by plants is closely related to the distribution of plant roots (Xu and Li, 2006). *L. chinensis* is a rhizome grass, and its rhizomes are mainly distributed in the 5-10 cm soil layer, while the roots are mainly in the 0-30 cm soil layer; *S. grandis* is a tall bunchgrass, and its roots are also concentrated in the 0-30 cm soil layer. *C. squarrosa* is a short bunchgrass, with root system concentrated in the 0-10 cm soil layer(Chen *et al.*,2001; Ma *et al.*,1989; Zhu *et al.*, 2004). These differences in root morphology and distribution pattern among these three species may partly explain the observed differences in their water uptake from different soil layers. The difference between the *L. chinensis* and *S. grandis* root systems warrants further studies to confirm the observed fact that *L. chinensis* took up proportionally more water than *S. grandis* from deep soil layers.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we explored the water use sources of three coexisting dominant plant species before and after precipitation events including *L. chinensis*, *S. grandis* and *C. squarrosa* growing
in both enclosed and mowing grassland in a typical steppe. We found that the soil moisture and its $\delta^{18}$O were not affected by mowing, and only the soil moisture changed significantly after the precipitation. The three dominant plants showed divergent water sources with $C.$ squarrosa generally taking up water from top soil layer, $L.$ chinensis taking up relative more water from deep soil layer, and $S.$ grandis just taking up water from the middle to deep soil layers. In addition, $L.$ chinensis and $S.$ grandis in mowing grassland tended to take up more water from the upper soil layers following precipitation events relative to those in the enclosed grassland, indicating a more sensitive change of soil water sources for the two species in mowing than enclosed grassland use. Our results have important theoretical values for understanding the water competition among plants in fluctuating environment and under different land use in the typical steppe.
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Figure 1

Characteristics of soil water content before and after precipitation under mowing and enclosure treatments
Figure 2

δ¹⁸O characteristics of soil water before and after precipitation under mowing and enclosure treatments
Figure 3

Characteristics of the water $\delta^{18}$O in 3 plants before and after precipitation under mowing and enclosure treatments. bpt, before the precipitation; 1datp, the first day after precipitation; 5datp, the fifth day after precipitation.
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Figure 4

Soil water source of 3 plants under mowing and enclosure treatments before and after precipitation. bpt, before the precipitation; 1datp, the first day after precipitation; 5datp, the fifth day after precipitation.
Table 1 (on next page)

ANOVA result for mowing (C), sampling time (ST) and soil depth (SD) on the soil water content
| Source       | Type III Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F     | P     |
|--------------|-------------------------|----|-------------|-------|-------|
| C            | 0.000                   | 1  | 0.000       | 0.99  | 0.323 |
| ST           | 0.002                   | 2  | 0.001       | 8.756 | 0.000 |
| SD           | 0.028                   | 6  | 0.005       | 46.242| 0.000 |
| C * ST       | 0.000                   | 2  | 5.46E-05    | 0.534 | 0.588 |
| C * SD       | 0.001                   | 6  | 0.000       | 1.320 | 0.257 |
| ST * SD      | 0.001                   | 12 | 8.68E-05    | 0.849 | 0.601 |
| C * ST * SD  | 0.001                   | 12 | 8.23E-05    | 0.805 | 0.645 |
| Error        | 0.009                   | 84 | 0.000       |       |       |
| Total        | 0.684                   | 126|             |       |       |
| Corrected total | 0.042             | 125|             |       |       |
**Table 2** (on next page)

ANOVA result for mowing (C), sampling time (ST) and soil depth (SD) on soil water $\delta^{18}O$
**Table 2**  ANOVA result for mowing (C), sampling time (ST) and soil depth (SD) on soil water $\delta^{18}$O

| Source       | Type III Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F     | p    |
|--------------|-------------------------|----|-------------|-------|------|
| C            | 1.237                   | 1  | 1.237       | 0.647 | 0.423|
| ST           | 2.371                   | 2  | 1.185       | 0.620 | 0.540|
| SD           | 907.113                 | 6  | 151.185     | 79.100| 0.000|
| C * ST       | 15.850                  | 2  | 7.925       | 4.146 | 0.018|
| C * SD       | 7.928                   | 6  | 1.321       | 0.691 | 0.657|
| ST * SD      | 36.972                  | 12 | 3.081       | 1.612 | 0.098|
| C * ST * SD  | 16.185                  | 12 | 1.349       | 0.706 | 0.743|
| Error        | 214.067                 | 112| 1.911       |       |      |
| Total        | 9667.259                | 154|             |       |      |
| Corrected total | 1227.797            | 153|             |       |      |
Table 3 (on next page)

Soil water utilization ratio of 3 plants in different soil layer under mowing and enclosure treatments before and after precipitation
**Table 3** Soil water utilization ratio of 3 plants in different soil layer under mowing and enclosure treatments before and after precipitation

| Sample date          | Sample plot type | Plant species | The average contribution rate of each potential water source to plants (%) |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                      |                  | L. chinensis  | 0-5 cm | 5-10 cm | 10-40 cm | 40-100 cm |
| Before precipitation | Mowing           | S. grandis   | 14 (0-20) | 25.5 (0-56) | 32 (0-66) | 28.5 (0-70) |
|                      |                  | C. squarrosa | ###     | ~        | ~        | ~        |
|                      | Enclosure        | L. chinensis | 14.2 (0-28) | 29.6 (2-58) | 22 (0-48) | 34.2 (20-48) |
|                      |                  | S. grandis   | 36.5 (12-56) | 39 (0-88) | 20.9 (0-44) | 3.6 (0-8) |
|                      |                  | C. squarrosa | ###     | ~        | ~        | ~        |
| The first day        | Mowing           | L. chinensis | 50.8 (34-62) | 23.6 (0-60) | 14.4 (0-38) | 11.1 (0-30) |
| after precipitation  |                  | S. grandis   | 39.9 (16-54) | 29.9 (0-82) | 15.5 (0-44) | 14.6 (0-46) |
|                      |                  | C. squarrosa | ###     | ~        | ~        | ~        |
|                      | Enclosure        | L. chinensis | 13.2 (0-28) | 21.7 (0-48) | 33.7 (0-78) | 31.4 (0-68) |
|                      |                  | S. grandis   | 27.1 (0-48) | 35.9 (0-84) | 19.2 (0-50) | 17.8 (0-48) |
|                      |                  | C. squarrosa | ###     | ~        | ~        | ~        |
| The fifth day        | Mowing           | L. chinensis | 18.7 (10-24) | 30.2 (0-90) | 25.5 (0-70) | 25.6 (0-70) |
| after precipitation  |                  | S. grandis   | 40.7 (36-44) | 21.4 (0-54) | 18.4 (0-48) | 19.4 (0-54) |
|                      |                  | C. squarrosa | 80.9 (80-82) | 7.1 (0-14) | 7.7 (0-16) | 4.3 (0-10) |
|                      | Enclosure        | L. chinensis | 9 (0-20) | 25.8 (0-58) | 33.2 (0-82) | 32 (0-76) |
|                      |                  | S. grandis   | 22.6 (4-34) | 32.8 (0-92) | 23 (0-64) | 21.5 (0-60) |
|                      |                  | C. squarrosa | 81.6 (78-84) | 8.4 (0-20) | 5.1 (0-12) | 4.9 (0-12) |

Note: The symbol "###" refers to the soil layer where major water source is from for the examined species, as the δ18O value of plant stem water is higher than the soil water in top soil layer or lower than bottom soil layer. The symbol "~" refers to the soil layer where no water source is from for the examined species.