Case Report

His-Bundle Pacing in a Patient With Tricuspid and Mitral Prosthetic Valves Without Suitable Coronary Veins for Lead Placement
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ABSTRACT

Atrioventricular block in patients with a prosthetic tricuspid valve and a pacemaker with a dysfunctional epicardial lead is not uncommon. In such instances, coronary sinus lead placement is the preferred option, but it has a failure rate of 10%-15%. An atrial transseptal left ventricular lead placement has been proposed as an alternative, but this approach is not feasible in patients with a prosthetic mitral valve. This analysis represents the first reported case of His-bundle pacing from the atria in a patient with prosthetic tricuspid and mitral valves, with no suitable coronary veins for lead placement.

Case

A 74-year-old woman with recurrent episodes of syncope and heart failure symptoms was admitted to our hospital. She had a history of mitral and tricuspid valve replacement with mechanical prosthetic valves due to a rheumatic heart disease 30 years prior to admission. During that cardiac surgery, she developed atrioventricular block, and subsequently received a single-chamber pacemaker implant with an epicardial ventricular lead, owing to a history of permanent atrial fibrillation. In the most recent pacemaker reviews, she was found to have experienced a progressive rise in pacemaker lead impedance, as well as in the capture threshold (2500 ohms and 5.5 V at 1.00 ms). The presence of both a prosthetic tricuspid valve (PTV) and a prosthetic mitral valve made it impossible to place a new lead in the right or left ventricle (through a transeptal approach), as the latter would entrap the tilting discs of the valve. Therefore, the patient was referred for a coronary sinus lead placement, a procedure determined to be impossible in her case, due to the absence of suitable coronary veins.

Upon her admission in our hospital, an electrocardiogram and an echocardiogram were performed. The electrocardiogram (Fig. 1A) showed obvious capture failure, which carries long ventricular pauses responsible for syncopal episodes and a wide intrinsic QRS complex (170 ms). The echocardiogram demonstrated biatrial enlargement, marked intraventricular dysynchrony, and a left ventricular ejection fraction of 38%, with good performance of both prosthetic valves. Therefore, His-bundle pacing (HBP) from the right atrium was attempted, with the

Novel Teaching Points

- HBP is a feasible alternative for cardiac pacing in patients with tricuspid and mitral mechanical valves.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjco.2021.03.012
2589-790X/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Canadian Cardiovascular Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)
purpose of improving the QRS duration, and therefore the left ventricular ejection fraction.

A deflectable sheath (C304 model; Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) was inserted, and through it a His Lead (3630 model, Medtronic). The sheath was torqued toward the annular mid-septum of the right atrium. His-bundle non-selective capture was obtained, and the lead was fixed (Fig. 2, A and B). The threshold was 3.6 V at 1.00 ms. A narrowed QRS complex was obtained (128 ms; Fig. 1B). During the follow-up, the patient exhibited improvement of the left ventricular function.
ventricular ejection fraction to 50%, and the threshold remained stable.

**Discussion**

We describe, for the first time, the feasibility and utility of HBP in a patient with no other option of intracardiac pacing because of 2 implanted mechanical prosthetic valves, unsuitable coronary veins, and dysfunction of the previous epicardial lead. In such instances, coronary sinus lead placement is the preferred option, however, coronary sinus lead placement has a failure rate of 10%–15%. An atrial transseptal left ventricular lead placement has been proposed as an alternative, but this approach is not feasible in patients with a prosthetic mitral valve. Another option would be to replace the dysfunctional epicardial lead by cardiac surgery, a more invasive technique with more perioperative complications and significant morbidity.

At this point, the possibility of performing physiological pacing from the His bundle appears to be the best option. HBP is an increasingly common approach because, together with left bundle branch pacing, it is the most physiological form of pacing. HBP can be challenging in patients with a PTV. First is the concern of blocking the valve disks during the procedure with the sheath manipulation in this area. Second, although the atrial portion of the His bundle persists unaltered by the PTV, higher voltages could also be needed to capture the His, because of the closeness of the proximal His-bundle area to the PTV. However, we achieved a narrower QRS and a much less invasive procedure.

The risk of atrioventricular block after prosthetic valve surgery is not low. Because of the need for pacing in this group of patients, HBP offers a more physiological ventricular activation. Therefore, in this scenario, HBP emerges as a feasible alternative for cardiac pacing, and use of the HBP approach should be considered.
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