Increased systolic blood pressure reactivity to acute stress is related with better self-reported health
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The stress reactivity hypothesis posits that the magnitude of cardiovascular reactions to acute stress tasks is related with future blood pressure status, heart hypertrophy, and atherosclerosis. We assessed the stress reactivity hypothesis and aimed to identify which physiological indices (blood pressure, heart-rate, cortisol, salivary immunoglobulin A (sIgA)) related to self-reported mental and physical health. We also assessed if physiological reactions elicited by an acute stressor were more related than basal assessments. Participants provided physiological samples, self-reported stress and health-data before and after an assessed 5–7 minute academic oral presentation. In hierarchical regression models, increased systolic and reduced sIgA reactivity was associated with better perceptions of mental health. Reactivity data were more related to self-reported data than basal data. In line with the only 2 studies to assess the reactivity hypothesis with self-perceived health, increased systolic reactivity was best associated with better perceived physical and mental health. The findings suggest that increased SBP reactivity may also be associated with positive health outcomes. Further research is required to determine if increased or decreased sIgA reactivity is most predictive of future morbidity.
appears a good candidate, but its relationship with acute stressors is unclear with increases, reductions and no change all being reported 11. The protective function of sIgA is well understood with luminal sIgA secretions impacting virus excretion, intracellular neutralization, and pathogen adherence to mucosal epithelial cells 12. Consideration of sIgA reactivity to an acute stressor in addition to cortisol, cardiovascular measures and self-perceived health may assist in disentangling the relationship between acute stress and sIgA.

Using an afternoon academic oral presentation as a psychological stressor we gathered assessments of cortisol, sIgA, HR, DBP, and SBP, at the same time points one week prior and on the day of an assessed oral academic presentation. Our aim was to ascertain what association the physiological indices had with perceived health after controlling for confounders and self-reported stress, at baseline, and after an acute psychological stressor. Further aims were to determine which physiological indices were most associated with perceived health and to identify if the reactivity hypothesis was supported (i.e., physiology difference scores would be more related to self-perceived health than basal measurements).

Methods
Participants. Australian undergraduate students were recruited from afternoon laboratory classes; 77 met the criteria and agreed to participate, with the gender ratio 17 males; 77 met the criteria and agreed to participate, with the gender ratio 17 males; 57 females). Participants (age 3.94, SD = 1.41) self-excluded on the basis of endocrine disorder, pregnancy, suffering from depression or psychiatric illnesses, or currently taking glucocorticoid medication as these factors can confound cortisol assays 13. Those over 30 years of age were excluded to minimize the individual difference error 14. Institutional ethics approval was obtained and the study was carried out in accordance with these guidelines. All subjects signed informed consent forms.

Procedure. Students were required to deliver an assessed 5–7 minute oral presentation to their peers that contributed 10% to their final grade. Participants chose from a small list of topics (inherited diseases) and were provided with the criteria they needed to cover in their presentation. They were invited to participate in the study two weeks prior to their presentation. Participants were instructed verbally and via a take home pamphlet of the protocol for the saliva collection and were reminded not to consume caffeine, exercise heavily, or brush their teeth or eat two hours prior to providing samples 15.

Physiological samples. Saliva samples were collected via Salivette® (Sarstedt Inc., Rommersdorf, Germany) at two time points one week apart. Afternoon cortisol and sIgA samples were collected between 14.15 and 15.00 one week prior to the oral presentation and 20 minutes (cortisol) and 2 minutes (sIgA) post-presentation.

Blood pressure and HR were assessed 1 week prior to the oral presentation (between 14.15 and 15.00) and 1 minute prior to presentation using an automated blood pressure monitor (HEM7203, OMRON, Singapore). Participants were seated, and blood pressure readings were collected by a trained researcher using the protocol recommended by the manufacturer. The complete study design is illustrated below (Table 1).

Prior to analysis of the cortisol concentration, samples were thawed and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes to remove debris from the saliva. High sensitivity compounds were calculated using KC4 v3.4 software (Bio-Tek instruments). Duplicates of each sample were taken and the mean concentration (ng/ml) was calculated.

The calculation of sIgA involved participants passively drooling approximately 1 teaspoon of saliva into a plastic tube (Sarstedt Inc.), which is commonly used for salivary collection of saliva (i.e., the cotton salivette had been removed). The samples were frozen, thawed and centrifuged in the same manner as the cortisol samples described above. The sIgA concentration was calculated using the salivary sIgA indirect enzyme immunoassay kit (Salimetrics). Duplicates of each sample were taken and the mean concentration (μg/ml) was calculated.

Psychological measures. Perceived stress. The 10 item Perceived Stress Scale 16 was used to assess perceived stress through questions targeting thoughts and feelings during the last month. Participants indicate their responses to each item ranging from 0 indicating ‘never’ to 4 indicating ‘very often’. Cronbach alpha in the current study was α = 0.99.

A single item Acute Stress question that asked “how would you rate your current level of anxiety?” on a 1 (low)–9 (high) Likert scale was used to assess acute stress.

Physical and mental health. The SF-36 General Health Measure (SF-36) 17 is a 36 item questionnaire that contains 8 subscales including: physical functioning, role limitations due to physical problems, role limitations due to emotional problems, vitality, and general health perceptions. Hierarchical linear regression analysis was employed to compare and determine the contributions of stress (Step 2; chronic and acute stress) and physiology (Step 3; systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, cortisol, and sIgA) after controlling for possible confounders (Step 1; Age, Gender), on physical and mental health at baseline and after the stressor (stressor-baseline difference).

Data analysis. Removal of 5 multivariate outliers was necessary based on leverage and standardised residuals. The reduced sample consisted of 15 males and 57 females. Bonferroni corrected paired t-tests and Cohen’s d were used to assess if the oral presentation significantly impacted self-reports of stress and the physiological indices. Hierarchical linear regression analysis was employed to compare and determine the contributions of stress (Step 2; chronic and acute stress) and physiology (Step 3; systolic and diastolic blood pressure, heart rate, cortisol, and sIgA) after controlling for possible confounders (Step 1; Age, Gender), on physical and mental health at baseline and after the stressor (stressor-baseline difference).

Results
Preliminary analyses. A one-way ANOVA was conducted to compare male and female participants on all physiological and self-reported variables to ensure that the data could be classified as one group. Females were significantly more chronically stressed than males; (70) = 2.97, p = 0.004. No other significant physiological or self-reported differences were recorded. Given the small N of males we also conducted female-only analyses and can report very similar effect sizes (with most being larger) for all regression models used.

The PSS and Physical and Mental health subscales were compared with normative data (Table 2). At baseline, all students reported acute stress scores < 5 (0–9 scale), 64% of the acute stress scores on the day of presentation were >5. To assess if the oral presentation was viewed as stressful by participants a group of Bonferroni corrected (0.05/5 = 0.01) paired t-tests were used to measure differences between basal and stressor conditions (i.e., 1 week pre-presentation and day of presentation) (Table 3). All assessments were significantly higher during the stressor condition (all p < 0.001) According to Cohen18 the effect of the stressor was considered small for DBP and SBP, medium for HR, and large for acute stress, cortisol, and sIgA.

Table 1 | Sequence of data collection in the present study

| Time                  | 1 week pre-oral presentation | Day of oral presentation |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 14.15–15.00 PSS       | SF-36 Health Scale           |                          |
| Acute stress scale    | Acute stress scale (1 min pre) |                          |
| Cortisol Basal        | Cortisol Stress (20 min. post) |                          |
| sIgA Basal            | sIgA Stress (2 min post)     |                          |
| Systolic Basal        | Systolic Stress (1 min pre)  |                          |
| Diastolic Basal       | Diastolic Stress (1 min post)|                          |
| Heart Rate Basal      | Heart Rate Stress (1 min pre)|                          |

Table 2 | Comparative means and standard deviations for normative and sample self-reports measures

| Scale                  | Normative data | Sample data |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------|
|                        | M   | SD   | M   | SD   | t   | d  |
| PSS                    | 19.62 | 7.49 | 18.24 | 7.24 | 1.54 | 0.18 |
| Physical Health        | 80.12 | 11.42 | 76.37 | 15.83 | 2.77 | 0.32 |
| Mental Health          | 78.37 | 13.20 | 67.62 | 19.88 | 8.85 | 0.81 |

Note: *Sig p < 0.01.
Normative data: PSS = Cohen & Williamson, 1988 (n = 2387), SF-36 = Hopman et al., 2000 (n = 9350).
Hierarchical regressions. The regression analysis revealed that multicollinearity of independent variables would not confound interpretation with all tolerance levels substantially above .20. Inspection of the scatterplots of the standardised residuals against standardised predicted values indicated assumptions of linearity, homoscedasticity and independence were also met. The contribution of the physiological variables at Step 3 in the baseline models (Table 4) was not significant, though the $R^2$ change for physical health (.11) represents a medium effect. Decreased DBP and reduced chronic stress were significantly associated and most uniquely related with self-reported physical health. The entire baseline model was significantly related to mental health; $F(9, 62) = 5.91, p = 0.002$, $R^2 = .27$, but not physical health; $F(9, 62) = 1.629, p = 0.127$, $R^2 = .19$.

The set of physiological difference scores (stressor-baseline) was not significantly associated with perceptions of physical health; however SBP was related (Table 5). The physiological variables only explained an additional 2% of the variance for physical health when compared with the basal regression. The set of physiological difference scores was significantly associated with perceptions of mental health and explained a further 10% compared with Step 3 in the basal model. Decreased sIgA and increased SBP difference scores were both significantly related with better mental health. The entire reactivity model was significantly associated with physical; $F(9, 67) = 2.044, p = 0.02$, $R^2 = .25$, and mental health; $F(9, 62) = 3.911, p = 0.049$, $R^2 = .36$.

**Discussion**

Our findings largely align with the two previous studies that assessed the reactivity hypothesis with self-perceived health and support the hypothesis with SBP the most significantly related physiological variable with mental and physical health. sIgA was significantly related with mental health and approaching significance for physical health ($B = -.20, p = 0.004$). As anticipated, the reactivity models were more related with physical and mental health than the basal models.

While there is much evidence suggesting relationships between increased cardiovascular reactivity and a variety of negative health outcomes there is also evidence that reduced cardiovascular reactivity may place individuals at increased risk for diseases such as obesity. Importantly, the positive association between SBP reactivity and self-perceived health is commensurate with the two other investigations that have assessed cardiovascular reactivity with self-perceived stress. This association holds after statistically controlling for chronic stress in a sample reporting below normative stress and may suggest that increased cardiovascular reactivity may also be related with positive health outcomes. Chronic stress as assessed by the PSS was the most strongly related variable in 3 of the 4 regressions and underscores the need to assess this construct.

The acute psychological stressor clearly induced a stress response as evidenced by the large effect sizes attained pre-post stressor. Interestingly, it was reduced sIgA reactivity that was associated with improved health perceptions. An argument could be made that in response to acute stress, increased sIgA reactivity may be considered adaptive. The counter-argument could be that those who did not trigger HPA activation may have better coping skills or are less physiologically reactive. Future researchers are encouraged to collect prospective evidence to resolve this argument. HR, DBP, and SBP account for 77% of the outcome variables in acute psychological stress research with cortisol the next most prominent at 56% sIgA has been scarcely assessed, but the findings from this investigation suggest further empirical enquiry may be justified.

Poor self-perceived health is related with mortality (coronary disease in particular), increased stress reactivity (SBP, DBP) is related with disease states and our findings align with others suggesting increased stress reactivity is related to better perceived health. While the results from these earlier studies are prospective and used large samples ($N = 725$ and $N = 1318$ respectively), more data is required before reasonable explanations can be devised for the discrepancies reported when self-perceived health as opposed to diagnosed health states, are used as the outcome variable. We would cautiously offer that potentially there may be a set-point where reactivity below this zone is considered adaptive and reactivity above, indicative of dysregulation.
The present investigation could be criticized for using a relatively healthy cohort of university students. However, it should be noted that the sample presented with poorer physical and mental health scores when compared with normative data (SF-36) with effect sizes ranging from moderate (i.e., physical health) to high (i.e., mental health). Additionally, it could be argued the sample was well selected as the strongest and most consistent support for the reactivity hypothesis with future blood pressure status is derived from similar youthful samples.

The use of a non-standardised acute stressor limits the ability to compare our findings with studies that have used the more common Trier Social Stress Test (TSST). However, the use of a mandatory assessed oral presentation (similar to the TSST) as an acute psychological stressor with the student sample before a group of peers (rather than a smaller group of non-peers), may also be viewed as an improvement on laboratory testing with volunteers that may have less meaning and real-world applicability to the participant, a criticism that has been directed at earlier studies. Nevertheless, individual motivations to perform well may have been a factor and a measure of this information may have contributed to the explanation of the findings attained. Finally, the cross-sectional design prohibited any inference of causality.

These limitations are balanced, however, by several strengths. In particular, we assessed multiple biological indices over stressor and basal conditions and accounted for acute and chronic perceptions of stress. This enabled a fuller depiction of these indices with relation to perceived health than many investigations. Further, we selected a basal period that was 7 days prior to the psychological stressor which limits the influence of the potential confound of anticipatory anxiety on basal physiology. Unlike the previous 2 studies that assessed the reactivity hypothesis with self-reported health, we controlled for the influence of chronic stress on acute stress physiology. Finally, this would appear to be only the third study to assess the reactivity hypothesis with self-perceived health.

Conclusions. The present investigation concluded that the ‘reactivity’ physiological indices were significantly associated with perceived mental health with SBP and sIgA having the strongest associations. Disentangling the physiological pathways that lead to distinct disease states is an important and emerging area of research in this field. While there is much evidence supporting the ability of the SF-36 and reactive physiology to separately predict various disease states, the aim for future researchers will be to determine if the combination of physiological and self-reported information leads to enhanced predictive validity and influences evidence-based stress intervention.

Table 5 | Reactivity (Stressor- Baseline) hierarchical regression results (N = 77)

| Predictor          | Final Summary | Step Summary | Mental Health |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
|                    | Beta | r  | sr | F   | p     | Beta | r  | sr | F   | p     |
| Step 1             |      |    |    |     |       |      |    |    |     |       |
| Age                | -3.10| -0.50| -1.00| 0.007 | 0.788 | -3.90| -0.20| -2.70| 0.068 | 0.089 |
| Gender             | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.14  |       | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.001 |       |
| Step 2             |      |    |    |     |       |      |    |    |     |       |
| Chronic Stress     | -0.30| -0.29 | -17  | 0.009 | 0.85  | -0.32| -0.30 | -28  | 0.017 | 0.001 |
| Acute Stress       | 0.23 | 0.24 | 22  |       |       | 0.22 | 0.25 | 21  |       |       |
| Step 3             |      |    |    |     |       |      |    |    |     |       |
| Systolic BP        | 0.28 | 0.22 | 26  |       |       | 0.22 | 0.17 | 21  |       |       |
| Diastolic BP       | 0.24 | 0.11 | 21  |       |       | 0.14 | 0.02 | 13  |       |       |
| Heart rate         | 0.04 | 0.09 | 04  |       |       | 0.11 | 0.16 | 11  |       |       |
| Cortisol           | 0.06 | 0.01 | 05  |       |       | 0.03 | 0.01 | 03  |       |       |
| sIgA               | -0.20| -0.16 | -20  |       |       | -0.25 | -0.23 | -24  |       |       |

Note. *p < .05, **p < .01. Gender: 1 = male, 2 = female.
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