Performance Investigation of Batch Mode Microbial Fuel Cells Fed With High Concentration of Glucose
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Abstract

Two-chambered microbial fuel cell (MFC) with Nafion117 as proton exchange membrane (PEM) in the middle of reactors was built to treat the synthetic wastewater containing high concentration of glucose in this work. This MFC can produce 95% removal efficiencies of the maximum chemical oxygen demand (COD) and the highest coulombic efficiency (Ec) of 24.4% at the glucose concentration of 1 g/L. It was found that when the feed of glucose concentration is changed from 0.5 g/L to 4.0 g/L, the volumetric power density is decreased from 5.3 W/m³ to 2.25 W/m³. When the glucose concentration is further increased to 12 g/L, almost no power output was observed. The optimized running concentration of glucose for as-designed MFC is between 0.5-4 g/L at the experimental conditions in this work. This work would pave a way for the direct treatment of wastewater containing high concentration of complex organic contaminants.
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Introduction

Due to the capability of converting chemical energy stored in a variety of biodegradable materials from pure compounds, such as glucose and mixtures of organic waste to the electrical energy via exoelectrogenic bacteria directly, MFC as a promising and novel supply of the green energy have been attracting more and more attention in the recent years [1]. In MFC, the electrons are firstly transferred to the anode from the organic substrate through a complex respiratory chain of specific microorganisms in the present or absent of redox mediator of exogenous or natural secretion of bacteria and then to the selected terminal electron acceptor, such as O2 in aerated solution or ferricyanide cathode et al. The integrated external circuit for power generation would be matched with the equal number of protons in solution migrating from anolyte to catholyte [2-4].

Mixed microbial communities are expected to be able to utilize more complicated carbon sources at the same time and achieve substantially greater power density than that of pure microbial. This is desirable for energy harvest from wastewater with an advantage in the combination of wastewater treatment along with electricity production [5]. It is recognized recently that the electricity produced by MFC may never be a cost effective source of energy since MFC built in the previous experiments could only produce 50 mW/m² power densities, which is less than other types of fuel cells and is far away from commercialized need [6-8]. Their contribution is to propose a method for reducing the energy used in wastewater treatment in comparison with conventional aerobic technology [9,10]. Demonstrated for the first time that power densities obtained using actual domestic wastewater as the substrate in MFC with a single-chambered air cathode could reach as high as 26 mW m² power densities and the removal of 80% of the COD of the wastewater.

This initiated much effort to explore the possibility for MFC to be economically applied in wastewater treatment [11]. Studies from Logan group showed that using the rational configuration innovation of MFC with an air-cathode single chamber and without a proton exchange membrane, power output could be increased rapidly for different sources of wastewater. The maximum power densities are 261mW/m² for the swine wastewater, 205 mW/m² for brewery and 146 mW/m² for the domestic wastewater. These are much higher than 26 mW m² mentioned above [12-15]. Reported that when MFC with a baffled air-cathode was used to treat the wastewater from corn stover steam explosion process,
the maximum power density of 10.7 W/m² with the average COD removal rate to 89.1% was obtained recently, studies of [16], showed that MFC technology is effective to treat chocolate industry wastewater as an alternative approach. The simultaneously generated current reached 3.02 A/m² coupled with significant reduction in COD, BOD and total solids of wastewater. However, to become economically competitive with stable power output of 1kW m⁻³ per reactor [17]. Many technological improvements still need to be made in the near future.

As described in previous studies, apart from the system architecture, the bacterial community, the electrode material and the substrate sources et al, the performances of MFC was widely changed with the operating conditions, especially with the substrate concentration [18-20].Presented that power output follows the saturation-type kinetics when the substrate concentration is less than 1 g/L. However, few data showed the performance index and operational mechanism of MFC fed with substrate concentration higher than 1 g/L, which is particularly beneficial for high-strength industrial wastewater treatment in positive market value. In this study, MFC running under high concentration of glucose was firstly investigated; the overall function and efficiency were estimated through its power density, Ec and removal rate of COD during the experimental period. The obtained results can be used to explain how the substrate concentration impacts on the work efficiency of MFC and to demonstrate that MFC is prosperous in the application of the direct treatment of wastewater containing high concentration of complex organic contaminants.

Materials and Methods

MFC Configuration

MFC with two-chambers in cubic geometry was constructed using plane Plexiglas as previously described [21]. Both anode and cathode chambers have the same volume, 500 mL (10cm×10 cm×5 cm in height) and are separated by PEM (Nafion117, Sigma-Aldrich). Prior to use, PEM was first successively treated with boiling in sequence of 30% H₂O₂, deionized water, 0.5M H₃PO₄ and deionized water about one hour each for enhancing the porosity. Perforated graphite plate (30 holes per 3.14 mm²) was used as anode for increasing the surface area to facilitate effective electron transfer to the selected terminal electron acceptor, hexacyanoferate. The anode was prepared with carbon cloth covered with carbon felt for better bacterial adhesion. Ti wires sealed with epoxy were used for connection between electrode and external circuit with fixed external resistance of 510 Ω. Each chamber was provided with purging, sampling, wire point inputs (top), inlet and outlet ports. The ports were sealed with thick rubber stoppers during operation. The anode compartment was sealed with washers to ensure anaerobic microenvironment. Before use, the electrodes were soaked in deionized water for at least 24 h. All related materials were sterilized with the bleach solution.

Media and Microorganisms

The anode compartment of MFC was inoculated with activated sludge initially collected from the bottom of Yudai River at Panyu, Guangdong Province, China with mixed bacterial consortia. The anodic electrolyte is the phosphate buffered solution (PBS; pH=7) including Na₂HPO₄ 12.8g/L; KH₂PO₄ 3g/L and NH₄Cl 1g/L. Glucose is used as a substrate with 0.5; 1.0; 2.0; 3.0; 4.0; 8.0 and 12.0 g/L concentration respectively, according to the research need in our experiments. Ferricyanide is chosen as an abiotic cathode and the cathodic electrolyte contains Na₂HPO₄ 12.8g/L; KH₂PO₄ 3g/L and K₃Fe(CN)₆ 6.165g/L as a terminal electron acceptor.

Experimental Procedures and Calculations

Glucose-Fed MFC with a quite wide range of substrate concentrations is operated under batch mode at a proper external load. The anodic chamber is flushed with nitrogen gas for at least 30 min before each experiment to remove dissolved oxygen, leading to an anoxic condition in the reactor. Fresh ferricyanide dissolved in PBS was added once the voltage output dropped abruptly to a relative low value, which was due to the evaporation loss or reduction of ferricyanide concentration. When the MFC could not restore its function the cathodic and anodic electrolytes should be replaced, indicating the end of one complete cycle of operation and the start of a new cycle. The overall performance of MFC is evaluated through the start up time, power output, COD removal rate and Ec et al.

Some calculation equations are as follows:

\[ I = \frac{U}{R} \]  
\[ P = \frac{U^2}{R} \]  
\[ P_v = \frac{P}{V} \]  
\[ P_a = \frac{P}{A} \]

Where I is the current, U is the cell voltage, the R is the external resistor, set at 510 Ω. Where P is the power output, Where \( P_v \) is the volumetric power density. V is the volume of anodic chamber. Where \( P_a \) is the surface area power density. A the anode surface area.

Under variable external resistance, a series of steady-state voltage and corresponding calculated current were collected for a polarization curve, which was used to acquire the maximum power density.

\[ U = emf - Ir \]

Where emf is the electromotive force, r is the internal resistance. Obviously, for the polarization curve (U-I), in the straight line portion, the slope equals to -r, while the intercept corresponds to emf.

\[ Ec = \frac{Cp}{CT} \times 100\% \]  
\[ E_r = \frac{Ep}{ET} \times 100\% \]

Where Ec is the coulombic efficiency, Cp is the total coulomb, CT is the theoretical coulomb. Where \( E_r \) is the overall energy recovery, Ep is total obtained energy, ET is theoretical energy produced from the added glucose.
To monitor the volatile fatty acid (VFA) accumulating during the operation of MFC, the samples taken from the anode compartment at the end of each running cycle are centrifuged at 5000 rpm under lower temperature of 0-4°C. Then, the supernatant is collected and filtered through a sterile syringe filter (0.22 μm) for the analysis of mechanic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid, is butyric acid, isovaleric acid and ethanol et al. using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) through the detected weight per volume of each component. In the selected HPLC system (Waters2695) with Refractive Index Detector (Waters2414), Shodex Rspak KC-811 S-DVB gel Column in the size of 30*8 mm is utilized while 1% phosphoric acid as eluent at 0.7 mL/min flow rate. The column temperature and the injected sample volume are set at 40°C and 10 μL, respectively. The collected sample is also used for COD detection according to the regular method previously reported [11].

Results and Discussion
Acclimation of Bacteria to Glucose in Different Initial Concentration

Figure 1 shows the effects of glucose concentration on the performance of MFC. The as-designed MFC is initiated by introducing synthetic wastewater as the carbon source, various concentrations of glucose from 0.5-20 g/L and active sludge as a source of exoelectrogenic bacteria to the anode compartment with external resistance fixed at 510Ω. It was found from Figure 1 that these results present that substrate concentration has important influence on the performance of MFC. The optimal concentration of glucose at the experimental conditions is about 0.5-4 g/L, based on the start-up time and the running time kept at maximum voltage output. The substrates concentration is less than 0.5 g/L, the start-up time of MFC is faster. However, the running cycle is proved to be shorter, leading to larger workload during wastewater treatment since more frequent refreshment of substrates might required. When glucose concentration is larger than 4 g/L, MFC does not work very well with quite long adaptation time and lower power output. Even no electricity produced when the glucose concentration is higher, due to the substrate inhibition. These would be further demonstrated by other parameters, such as internal resistance, maximum power density, E<sub>emf</sub> and COD removing rate et al. as discussed in follows.

Effect of Glucose Concentration Upon Power Generation

Figure 2 represents the U-I polarization curve when MFC ran properly at its maximum voltage output under different initial fuel concentration. The internal resistance and electromotive force in each test obtained from Figure 2 are summarized in (Table 1). It was found from Table 1 that when the glucose concentration changes from 0.5 g/L to 4 g/L, the internal resistance is changed from 47.8 to 99Ω and the electromotive force is decreased from 0.75 V to 0.64 V. When the more glucose is added to the anodic electrolyte it becomes more sticky, leading to worse mass transport efficiency affecting both bacteria and proton transfer from anode to cathode. This might be the reason for the significant raise in internal resistance with increase of glucose concentration in the anode chamber. When the glucose concentration changes from 0.5 to

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1:** The relationship curves between cell voltage and time for two-chambered MFCs fed with different glucose concentrations under external resistance fixed at 510Ω.

**Table 1:** Comparison of the performance of MFCs operated at various glucose concentrations.

| Glucose concentration (g/L) | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
|-----------------------------|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Open circuit voltage (V)    | 0.823 | 0.823 | 0.744 | 0.735 | 0.672 | 0.638 | 0.724 |
| Start-up time (day)         | 2   | 3 | 6 | 10 | 12 |   |   |
| Internal resistance         | 86.75 | 97.53 | 137.42 | 152.03 | 193.12 | 656.99 | 335.31 |
| E<sub>emf</sub>             | 0.7645 | 0.6647 | 0.7166 | 0.707 | 0.7024 | 0.8908 | 0.8164 |
g/L to 4 g/L emf is decreased from 0.7645 to 0.7024 V. This may be due to that when MFC was fed with different concentration of glucose bacteria may be easily adapted to glucose. Why bacteria may be easily adapted to glucose emf will reduce Figure 3 shows the relationship curves between power density and cell voltage for the different glucose concentration.

The shapes of the power density curves for MFC fed with various initial glucose concentrations are the same (Figure 3). However the maximum volumetric power density dropped down distinctively with the increase in the glucose concentration. For example, when the glucose concentration is increased from 0.5 to 4 g/L, the maximum volumetric power density is decreased from 5.3 to 2.25 W/m³. This is quite different from the saturation kinetics modeled with an empirical Monod-type equation. Basically, for overfeeding, the available substrate is theoretically far beyond the oxidation capabilities of the existing exoelectrogenic bacteria in the anode compartment. Large amount of extra glucose might promote the growth of fermentative bacteria faster than those of the anodophiles in a mixed culture. This could be further demonstrated by the decreased EC and accumulated more and more variety of volatile fatty acids detected during MFC operation as shown in the next session. It is reasonable to conclude that the decrease in power output with the increase in glucose concentration at higher level contributed to the diverse consumption of substrates by fermentation and methanogenesis et al. and their further negative effect on the metabolisms, even species distribution of electroactive bacteria through generated inhibitor, such as propionic acid et al.

Substrate Degradation and Coulombic Recovery

When MFC is fed with different initial concentration of substrate at the high level the final COD removal efficiency is kept around 84-95% detected at the end of the experiments since voltage output less than 0.03V as shown in (Table 2). However, the overall calculated Ec was relatively quite low ranging from 16.8% to 24.4% for all different concentration of fed fuels, implying most of the substrate degraded by non-exoelectrogenic organisms through diverse competing metabolisms, such as fermentation and methanogenesis. This is further demonstrated by the observed corresponding byproducts of various VFA accumulated during the operation of MFC under fed glucose greater than 1g/L as summarized in (Table 3). The obtained Ec and COD removal efficiency did not simply increase in proportion to the fed glucose concentration. At lower concentration of glucose less than 1 g/L, Ec increased from 23.44% at 0.5 g/L to 24.36% at 1 g/L, while COD removal efficiency improved by 11%. However, when the added fuel concentration is higher than 1 g/L, Ec is decreased by 7.6% from 1 g/L to 4 g/L and COD removal efficiency is decreased from 95% at 1 g/L to 90% at 4 g/L with extended running time of 12 hours. This might be ascribed to the switches of fermentations from acetic to mixed acids with the increase in the concentration of fed fuels from 1 g/L to 8 g/L, as indicated in (Table 3). Resulting in more and more accumulated propionic acid. At high concentration of more than 1 g/L, propionic acid was reported to cause obvious inhibition of anaerobic bacteria and consequently affect the activity of these bacteria [22]. Interestingly, when fed glucose at concentration lower than 0.5 g/L, no any VFA is detected. When the concentration is between 0.5 g/L and 2 g/L, only acetic acid is found. This might be one of the reasons why the performance of glucose-fed MFC enhanced with the increase in the substrate concentration at low level. It is possible that glucose is firstly converted into more effective degradable substrate of acetic acid for MFC by glucose-degrading acetogenic bacteria.

### Table 2: COD removal efficiency and Ec of MFC at different concentration of glucose.

| Glucose concentration (g/L) | 0.5 | 1   | 2   | 4   |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Initial value of COD        | 330 | 641 | 1167| 3632|
| Final value of COD          | 52  | 30  | 111 | 104 |
| Duration of operation (day) | 8   | 8   | 8   | 12  |
| COD removal efficiency (%)  | 84  | 95  | 94  | 90  |
| Ec (%)                      | 23.44| 24.36| 18.98| 16.79|
Table 3: Concentration of various detected volatile fatty acid, accumulated during the operation of MFCs fed with different concentration of glucose.

| Initial glucose concentration (g/L) | Formic acid (mg/L) | Acetic acid (mg/L) | Glucose (g/L) | Propionic acid (mg/L) | Isobutyric acid (mg/L) | Lactic acid (mg/L) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 0.5                                 |                   |                   |              |                      |                       |                     |
| 1                                   |                   |                   |              |                      |                       |                     |
| 2                                   |                   |                   |              |                      |                       |                     |
| 3                                   |                   |                   |              |                      |                       |                     |
| 4                                   |                   |                   |              |                      |                       |                     |
| 6                                   |                   |                   |              |                      |                       |                     |
| 8                                   |                   |                   |              |                      |                       |                     |

Conclusion

This study demonstrated that two-chambered MFC, running in batch mode, behaved quite different for the different concentrations of the synthetic wastewater. When the concentration of glucose is between 0.5 g/L and 20 g/L, the detected voltage output, calculated power density and Ec et al. do not follow the saturation kinetics as a function of substrate concentration for the concentration of glucose less than 1 g/L. Based on the experimental results presented above, the optimal concentration for glucose is about 0.5-4 g/L, according to the start-up time, the running time kept at maximum voltage output, the maximum power output and Ec et al.
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