Detection of Genus and Three Important Species of Cronobacter Using Novel Genus- and Species-Specific Genes Identified by Large-Scale Comparative Genomic Analysis

Lu Wang¹,²,³*, Pan Wu⁴†, Yingying Su⁴†, Yi Wei⁴, Xi Guo⁴,⁵, Lan Yang⁶*, Min Wang⁴,⁵* and Bin Liu⁴,⁵

¹Hubei Key Laboratory of Tumor Microenvironment and Immunotherapy, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, China, ²Institute of Infection and Inflammation, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, China, ³Medical College, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, China, ⁴TEDA Institute of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Nankai University, Tianjin, China, ⁵Key Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology and Technology, Ministry of Education, Nankai University, Tianjin, China, ⁶Key Laboratory of Dairy Biotechnology and Engineering, Ministry of Education, Key Laboratory of Dairy Products Processing, Ministry of Agriculture, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, Huhhot, China

The genus Cronobacter includes seven species; however, the strains of Cronobacter sakazakii, Cronobacter malonaticus, and Cronobacter turicensis were highly correlated with clinical infections. Rapid and reliable identification of these three species of Cronobacter is important in monitoring and controlling diseases caused by these bacteria. Here, we identified four pairs of novel marker genes for the Cronobacter genus, C. sakazakii, C. malonaticus, and C. turicensis based on large-scale comparative genomic analysis from 799 Cronobacter and 136,146 non-Cronobacter genomes, including 10 Franconibacter and eight Siccibacter, which are close relatives of Cronobacter. Duplex and multiplex PCR methods were established based on these newly identified marker genes. The reliability of duplex and multiplex PCR methods was validated with 74 Cronobacter and 90 non-Cronobacter strains. Strains of C. sakazakii, C. malonaticus, and C. turicensis could be detected accurately at both the genus and species level. Moreover, the newly developed methods enable us to detect 2.5 × 10³ CFU/ml in pure culture. These data indicate that the accurate and sensitive established methods for Cronobacter can serve as valuable tools for the identification of these strains recovered from food, environmental, and clinical samples.
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INTRODUCTION

Cronobacter, belonging to the family Enterobacteriaceae, is a genus of Gram-negative, motile, facultative anaerobic, opportunistic, foodborne pathogens that can cause bacteremia, meningitis, and necrotizing enterocolitis in neonates (Forsythe, 2018). Cronobacter has been isolated from various environments (Killer et al., 2015; Singh et al., 2015; Ling et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020), and several disease cases have been associated with the ingestion of Cronobacter-contaminated dry food products, such as powdered milk formula (Drudy et al., 2006; Forsythe, 2018). Despite the low incidence of infection, the mortality of Cronobacter infection in neonates can be as high as 27%–80% (Drudy et al., 2006; Masood et al., 2015). The Cronobacter species of serious clinical significance are Cronobacter sakazakii, Cronobacter malonaticus, and Cronobacter turicensis, and other four species of the genus (Cronobacter universalis, Cronobacter dublinensis, Cronobacter muytjensii, and Cronobacter condimenti) are primarily environmental commensals with low clinical significance (Sonbol et al., 2013; Feeney et al., 2014; Forsythe, 2018; Li et al., 2020). Thus, reliable methods to identify C. sakazakii, C. malonaticus, and C. turicensis are critical to reduce mortality and transmission of diseases caused by Cronobacter spp.

Molecular detection methods are more useful tools than traditional methods to increase our understanding of the epidemiology of a bacterium important to public health. These protocols are usually designed to amplify DNA fragments of certain genes contained in genomes of the pathogen of interest. Over the past decade, a range of molecular methods based on genes, such as 16S rRNA (Malorny and Wagner, 2005), 23S rDNA (Derzelle et al., 2007), MMS (Seo and Brackett, 2005), rpoB (Stoop et al., 2009), ompA (Zimmermann et al., 2014), fiaA (Li et al., 2017), gceA (Carter et al., 2013), ygrB (Huang et al., 2013), etc. have been developed to identify Cronobacter spp. These approaches can be used as alternatives to traditional culture-based detection methods or can be used to confirm results generated by traditional approaches. However, only a few of these methods are able to simultaneously detect and differentiate species within the Cronobacter genus. rpoB had been used to detect six species of Cronobacter (Stoop et al., 2009); however, a two-step PCR procedure was needed to differentiate between C. sakazakii and C. malonaticus. Even though gyrB (Huang et al., 2013) was utilized for direct species identification of C. sakazakii and C. dubliniensis, the method cannot distinguish between other species. Primers were designed based on gceA (Carter et al., 2013) to identify Cronobacter at the species level; however, non-specific amplicons occurred. Thus, it is necessary to identify novel specific markers for Cronobacter spp. and develop efficient identification methods according to these markers.

As high-throughput genome sequencing technologies continue to improve, the number of sequenced microbial genomes has continued to increase dramatically over the past decade. This makes it possible to employ an in silico large scale comparative genomic approach coupled with in vitro PCR validation to facilitate the translation of genomic data into diagnostic marker gene discoveries. In this study, a low-cost and simple attempt was made to identify novel diagnostic marker genes specific for Cronobacter spp.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains and Genome Sequences
A total of 164 bacteria isolates, including 74 Cronobacter strains (62 C. sakazakii, five C. dubliniensis, three C. malonaticus, two C. turicensis, and two C. universalis) and 90 non-Cronobacter strains (18 Enterobacter cloacae, 36 Enterobacter aerogenes, and 36 Escherichia coli), were used in this study for in vitro validation (Supplementary Table S1). Moreover, 799 Cronobacter (578 C. sakazakii, 100 C. malonaticus, 60 C. dubliniensis, 35 C. turicensis, 15 C. muytjensii, nine C. universalis, and two C. condimenti) and 136,146 non-Cronobacter genomes belonging to 31 genera were used for large-scale in silico comparative genomic analysis (Supplementary Tables S2, S3). These non-Cronobacter genomes include 10 Fraconibacter, eight Sicibacter, and 810 E. cloacae, which are close relatives of genus Cronobacter.

Phylogenetic Analysis
Single-copy core genes found using OrthoFinder v2.3.3 (Emms and Kelly, 2015) were used as original data for construction of a phylogenetic tree. Enterobacter cloacae ATCC 13047™ and ECNIH2 (GenBank accession number GCA_000025565.1 and GCA_000724505.1, respectively) served outgroups, as it is the species closely related to the Cronobacter genus (Joseph et al., 2012). MAFFT v7 with “G-INS-I” alignment method (Katoh and Standley, 2013) was used for creating multiple sequence alignments for each core gene and resulting alignments were concatenated. Thereafter, RAxML v8 with GTR (General Time Reversible) evolution model (Stamatakis, 2014) was applied to construct a phylogenetic maximum likelihood tree. The tree and subtrees were plotted with the R package’s ggtree (Yu et al., 2016). To confirm the degree of genomic relatedness and clarify relationships between the species of Cronobacter, ANIb values (the average nucleotide identity values based on BLAST) for all possible pairs of genomes were calculated using the program FastANI v1.0 (Jain et al., 2018).

Identification of Genus-Specific Genes for Cronobacter
Single-copy core genes in the Cronobacter genus were identified using OrthoFinder. Large-scale blast score ratio (LS-BSR) software was used to identify highly-conserved genes in the Cronobacter genus compared with other non-Cronobacter bacteria (Sahl et al., 2014). This was run against the assembled genomes of 799 Cronobacter isolates and 136,146 non-Cronobacter isolates belonging to 31 genera. Thereafter, the matrix generated by LS-BSR was processed using a script developed in house to evaluate and visualize the highly conserved genes across the data set. Genes with an average blast score ratio (BSR) value >0.9 in all Cronobacter genomes and <0.1 in all non-Cronobacter genomes were considered highly conserved genes in Cronobacter. These genes were further screened manually (genes with the smallest BSR value <0.8 in any Cronobacter genome or the largest BSR value >0.4 in any non-Cronobacter genome were excluded) and searched against the full National Center for
Identification of Species-Specific Genes of Cronobacter spp.

As shown above, highly conserved single-copy core genes in each species of C. sakazakii, C. malonaticus, and C. turicensis compared with other non-Cronobacter species were identified using OrthoFinder and LS-BSR. To explore whether these highly conserved genes were specific for target species and absent in the other six species of Cronobacter, these genes were analyzed using LS-BSR once more. Moreover, these genes, with an average BSR value > 0.9 in target species genomes and < 0.4 in the other six Cronobacter species genomes, were considered as candidate marker genes. These candidate marker genes were screened manually once more (genes with the smallest BSR value < 0.8 in all Cronobacter genomes or largest BSR value > 0.4 in all non-Cronobacter genomes were excluded) and searched against the NCBI nucleotide database to confirm their specificity. The two most promising conserved genes of each species were selected for identification of C. sakazakii, C. malonaticus, and C. turicensis.

PCR Primers

Multiple sequence alignment of genus- and species-specific gene alleles was performed to obtain conserved regions, which were used for primer design by Primer Premier 6.0 (Supplementary Figure S1). Thereafter, the specificity of theory sequences of amplicons was verified using BLAST and primer sequences were evaluated for their ability to form homo- and heterodimers as well as hairpins using the oligo-analyzer. Desalted primers were synthesized from Invitrogen. Primer sequences and corresponding amplicon sizes are shown in Table 1.

Verification of Specificity by Duplex and Multiplex PCR Assays

Specificity of each primer set was assessed by running a PCR assay on a panel of bacterial strains consisting of 74 Cronobacter and 90 non-Cronobacter isolates. PCR mixtures contained 10 μl Ex Taq Master Mix (Takara), the premixed solution contains Ex Taq DNA Polymerase, 2 × PCR Buffer, and 200 μM dNTPs, 0.5 μl of each primer (optimal concentration shown in Table 1), 1 μl bacterial template (1 × 10^6 CFU/ml), and RNase/DNase free water to adjust the volume to 20 μl. All PCR runs included a negative control without template. PCR reactions were run as follows: initial hot start (94°C for 10 min), amplification for 35 cycles (94°C for 30 s, 59.5°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 60 s), and final extension (72°C for 10 min). Annealing temperatures were optimized by gradually increasing the temperature from 50 to 65°C in the assay. PCR products were examined using agarose gel electrophoresis and visualized after ethidium bromide staining. For sensitivity verification, pure cultures of C. sakazakii ATCC 29544™, C. malonaticus LC03, and C. turicensis LC08 with a concentration of 2.5 × 10^7 CFU/ml were serially diluted 10-fold to 2.5 × 10^2 CFU/ml and used as PCR templates.

RESULTS

Phylogenetic Analysis

To confirm that 799 genomes belonged to corresponding species of Cronobacter, a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed, based on 223 single-copy core genes. Phylogenetic analysis showed that the genus was divided into seven clades corresponding to seven species of Cronobacter (Figure 1). To explore the genomic similarities among phylogenetic clades further, ANIb values of all genome assemblies were calculated using fastANI (Figure 1). All intraclade ANIb values exceeded the commonly used 95% species-level threshold (Kalyantanda

---

**Table 1**: Primer sets utilized in PCR assay and their target genes.

| Primer set | Reference Genome | Marker gene | Description | Location | Primer name | Concentration | Primer sequence (5′–3′) | Amplicon size |
|------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Cro_set (for Cronobacter) | ATCC 29544™ | yfl | Predicted small periplasmic lipoprotein | 742,985–742,782 | croP1F | 150nM | TTAAGTCGCGCCACGAGCAGAC | 94bp |
| | | gycB | Hypothetical protein | 1,477,530–1,477,252 | croP1R | 150nM | ATCGCCACGGTTGGT | 228bp |
| | | fimG | Type I fimbria component protein | 3,972,871–3,973,580 | croP2F | 200nM | GGTTCCAAGCTTCACCACGCCTG | 342bp |
| | | nanK | Predicted N-acetylmannosamine kinase | 600,571–599,696 | croP2R | 200nM | GTACTGGCGATAGACATAGGTGG | 239bp |
| | | malP2 | Pili assembly chaperone | 2,969,163–2,968,486 | sakP1F | 200nM | TCTAACTCCTCCATTATTTCACG | 108bp |
| | | sthD | Firmbral protein | 2,965,864–2,965,289 | sakP1R | 200nM | GTACTGGCGATAGACATAGGTGG | 155bp |
| | | phpB | Aldehydoxytocobalamin/alpha-ribazole phosphatase | 1,339,511–1,338,891 | turP2F | 200nM | CGCGTCTGAAAAATGATGTT | 294bp |
| | | nudI | Nucleoside triphosphatase | 2,983,801–2,983,576 | turP2R | 200nM | CCTACTGCTTCATATTTCAG | 108bp |

* Primer set (CroM_set) used to establish multiplex PCR assay.
et al., 2015) for *C. sakazakii* (97.29%–99.99%), *C. malonaticus* (96.33%–99.99%), *C. turicensis* (95.99%–99.99%), *C. dubliniensis* (96.91%–99.99%), *C. muytjensii* (98.72%–99.99%), *C. universalis* (98.20%–99.99%), and *C. condimenti* (99.93%–99.97%), showing that each clade represented a single species.

**Identification of Cronobacter Genus-Specific Marker Genes**

Three hundred and ninety-one conserved single-copy core genes were identified from 799 accessible *Cronobacter* genomes in the PubMLST database using OrthoFinder. To explore whether these conserved single-copy core genes were specific for the *Cronobacter* genus, we used the large-scale BLAST score ratio (LS-BSR) to evaluate genes present in the 799 isolates of the *Cronobacter* genus yet absent in 136,146 isolates, belonging to 31 genera of non-*Cronobacter* common environmental microbes and pathogens. According to the BSR value, 78 genes were highly conserved in *Cronobacter* species (average BSR value >0.9 in *Cronobacter* and <0.1 in non-*Cronobacter*; Figure 2A). To find genes that uniquely existed in all *Cronobacter* isolates and deficient in all other non-*Cronobacter* bacteria, genes with a BSR value <0.8 in any *Cronobacter* isolates and >0.4 in any non-*Cronobacter* isolates were excluded. We finally selected two most promising conserved genes (*yifL* and *ygcB*) as *Cronobacter* genus-specific marker genes after manual screening and searching against the NCBI nonredundant nucleotide database (Figures 2B,C). Characteristics of the two genus-specific genes and corresponding designed primers are shown in Table 1.

**Identification of Species-Specific Marker Genes of Cronobacter sakazakii, Cronobacter malonaticus, and Cronobacter turicensis**

To examine whether marker genes exist in *C. sakazakii*, *C. malonaticus*, and *C. turicensis*, further analysis was performed. A total of 1,002, 2,555, and 3,238 conserved single-copy core genes were identified from 578 *C. sakazakii*, 100 *C. malonaticus*, and 35 *C. turicensis* genomes using OrthoFinder, respectively. Using LS-BSR, we discovered 134, 683, 1,110 genes that were conserved in *C. sakazakii*, *C. malonaticus*, and *C. turicensis* yet absent in other non-*Cronobacter* bacteria (Figures 3A,C,E; using a threshold of the average BSR value >0.9 in each target species and <0.1 in non-*Cronobacter* bacteria). To identify genes that were only conserved in genomes of each target species, the LS-BSR comparison of genomes between each target species and the remaining six species of *Cronobacter* demonstrated that 5, 14, 44 genes were highly conserved in *C. sakazakii*, *C. malonaticus*, and *C. turicensis*, respectively (Figures 3B,D,F). To confirm specificity, these candidate genes were screened...
manually and searched against the NCBI nonredundant nucleotide database and the two most promising conserved genes were selected as species-specific marker genes for each species (fimG and nanK for C. sakazakii, papD and sitD for C. malonaticus, and phpB and nudI for C. turicensis; Supplementary Figures S2–S4). Characteristics of the three pairs of species-specific genes and corresponding designed primers are shown in Table 1.

**Specificity Evaluation Using the Duplex PCR Assay**

The duplex PCR assay was developed based on genus or species marker genes to evaluate the specificity of designed primers. Seventy-four Cronobacter strains and 90 non-Cronobacter strains, closely related to Cronobacter, were utilized to evaluate specificity of genus- and species-specific primer sets (each primer set contains two primer pairs, shown in Table 1). The specificity of each primer set was crosstested with isolates of target species of Cronobacter and non-target species of Cronobacter and non-Cronobacter. The results showed that each primer set successfully amplified their target genes with correct amplicon sizes and without non-specific band (Figure 4; Supplementary Table S4). To confirm specificity, 90 non-Cronobacter strains were tested and all produced no PCR products (Supplementary Table S4).

**Specificity Evaluation Using the Multiplex PCR Assay**

To differentiate species of Cronobacter using only one PCR reaction accurately, a multiplex primer set CroM_set (shown in Table 1), including four specific primer pairs, croP1F/croP1R, sakP2F/sakP2R, malP1F/malP1R, and turP2F/turP2R, were selected based on amplicon sizes to develop the multiplex PCR assay. Primer pairs were mixed and used to screen 2.5 × 10⁵ CFU/ml pure culture from 74 Cronobacter isolates. The results showed that all target isolates produced the expected PCR products and non-target isolates gave no PCR products (Supplementary Table S4). Representative PCR results using 10 Cronobacter pure cultures as templates are shown in Figure 5. To confirm specificity, 90 non-Cronobacter strains were tested and all produced no PCR products (Supplementary Table S4).

**Sensitivity of Duplex and Multiplex PCR Assay**

The sensitivity (limit of detection) of duplex and multiplex PCR assay for the identification of Cronobacter spp. was evaluated using a serial 10-fold dilution in the range of 2.5 × 10⁷–2.5 × 10² CFU/ml of pure cultures. The representative
PCR assay using pure cultures of *C. sakazakii* ATCC 29544™, *C. malonaticus* LC07, and *C. turicensis* LC08 is shown in Figure 6. Although results were unstable when using $2.5 \times 10^2$ CFU/ml pure culture of isolates from different *Cronobacter* species, visible and clear amplicons (positive signals) were generated with $\geq 2.5 \times 10^3$ CFU/ml pure culture for all PCR reactions, indicating the limit of detection of both duplex (Figure 6A) and multiplex (Figure 6B) PCR method that had high sensitivity.

**DISCUSSION**

*Cronobacter* has been isolated from various environments, including foods and clinical sources, and several disease cases have been associated with the ingestion of *Cronobacter*-contaminated foods, such as powdered milk formula (Kalyantanda et al., 2015). Detection of *Cronobacter* spp., especially *C. sakazakii*, *C. malonaticus*, and *C. turicensis*, has become increasingly important in food safety and clinical diagnosis.

Methods based on PCR have been widely used for detection of pathogens because they are simple and rapid compared with conventional culture-based methods (Petti, 2007). In recent decades, molecular methods based on genes, such as 16S rDNA, *MMS*, *fusA*, *rpoB*, *ompA*, *gyrB*, *cgcA*, etc., have been used for the identification of *Cronobacter* (Malorny and Wagner, 2005; Seo and Brackett, 2005; Derzelle et al., 2007; Stoop et al., 2009; Sonbol et al., 2013; Zimmermann et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017), however, only a few are used to
FIGURE 4 | Results of duplex PCR based on genus- and species-specific genes. (A) Cronobacter sakazakii ATCC 29544™, (B) Cronobacter malonicatus LC07, (C) C. turicensis LC08, (D) C. dubliniensis LC02, and (E) Cronobacter universalis LC09. Lane M: DNA marker; lanes 1, 3, 5, and 7: negative control without template; lane 2: Cronobacter genus primer set Cro_set; lane 4: C. sakazakii species primer set Sak_set; lane 6: C. malonicatus species primer set Mal_set; and lane 8: C. turicensis species primer set Tur_set.

FIGURE 5 | Results of multiplex PCR based on genus- and species-specific genes. Lane M: DNA marker; lane 1: Cronobacter sakazakii ATCC 29544™; lane 2: C. sakazakii ATCC BAA-894™; lane 3: Cronobacter malonicatus LC03; lane 4: C. malonicatus LC07; lane 5: Cronobacter turicensis LC08; lane 6: C. turicensis LC12; lane 7: Cronobacter dubliniensis LC01; lane 8: C. dubliniensis LC02; lane 9: Cronobacter universalis LC09; lane 10: C. universalis LC10; and lane 11: negative control without template.
differentiate *Cronobacter* at the species level. Therefore, it is essential to identify specific novel marker genes for *Cronobacter* spp. Owing to advancements in high-throughput sequencing technologies, it is possible to employ large-scale genome sequences for identification of highly specific marker genes. Goay et al. (2016) have identified five novel *Salmonella Typhi*-specific genes as markers for diagnosis of typhoid fever based on comparative genomic analysis; Hazen et al. (2016) have found several genes specific for lethal enteropathogenic *E. coli* using LS-BSR. Therefore, comparative genomic analysis would be practical to screen specific marker genes for rapid and precise detection of pathogens. Although Shang et al. (2021) obtained several *Cronobacter* species-specific genes through pan-genome analysis; screening threshold was based on 95% (not 100%) of the target genomes and 5% (not 0%) of the non-target genomes. Lee et al. (2022) identified 16 genes specific for *C. sakazakii*, but these genes were screened only from 17 genomes of *Cronobacter*. Therefore, the present study was the first approach to screen novel marker genes for both at genus and species level of *Cronobacter* based on large-scale genomic analysis from 799 genomes of *Cronobacter* and 136,146 genomes of non-*Cronobacter*. Thereafter, duplex and multiplex PCR methods were established according to these marker genes. Moreover, the specificity of duplex and multiplex PCR methods was validated with 74 *Cronobacter* and 90 non-*Cronobacter* strains. The results showed that *C. sakazakii*, *C. malonaticus*, and *C. turicensis* could be detected accurately at both the genus and species level, and *C. universalis* and *C. dublinensis* could be detected accurately at the genus level. However, it is difficult to evaluate the detection of *C. muytjensii* and *C. condimenti* due to the lack of strains. The sensitivity of duplex and multiplex PCR assay was also determined and the detection limit was $2.5 \times 10^3$ CFU/ml for pure culture.

In summary, we successfully screened out *Cronobacter* genus- and species-specific marker genes using large-scale genomic analysis, and the specificity and sensitivity of these selected targets were evaluated using duplex and multiplex PCR. Thus, the established methods described here were proved to be reliable and sensitive for the identification of *Cronobacter* spp.
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Supplementary Figure S1 | Design of PCR primers based on genus- and species-specific genes. Primer positions and directions are indicated as red arrows; genes lengths are indicated as black lines; variable and conserved (from magenta to purple) nucleotide positions are highlighted in the color stripe.

Supplementary Figure S2 | Large-scale BLAST score ratio (LS-BSR) analysis of Cronobacter sakazakii specific marker genes fimG (A) and rank (B). (a) BSR value distribution of target marker genes in the other six species of Cronobacter, and (b) BSR value distribution of target marker genes in other bacteria.

Supplementary Figure S3 | Large-scale BLAST score ratio (LS-BSR) analysis of C. malonaticus specific marker genes papD (A) and sthd (B). (a) BSR value distribution of target marker genes in Cronobacter malonaticus, (b) BSR value distribution of target marker genes in the other six species of Cronobacter, and (c) BSR value distribution of target marker genes in other bacteria.

Supplementary Figure S4 | Large-scale BLAST score ratio (LS-BSR) analysis of C. turicensis specific marker genes phpB (A) and nuda (B). (a) BSR value distribution of target marker genes in C. turicensis, (b) BSR value distribution of target marker genes in the other six species of Cronobacter, and (c) BSR value distribution of target marker genes in other bacteria.
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