A Toxic Friend: Genotoxic and Mutagenic Activity of the Probiotic Strain *Escherichia coli* Nissle 1917
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ABSTRACT The probiotic *Escherichia coli* strain Nissle 1917 (DSM 6601, Mutaflor), generally considered beneficial and safe, has been used for a century to treat various intestinal diseases. However, Nissle 1917 hosts in its genome the *pks* pathogenicity island that codes for the biosynthesis of the genotoxin colibactin. Colibactin is a potent DNA alkylator, suspected to play a role in colorectal cancer development. We show in this study that Nissle 1917 is functionally capable of producing colibactin and inducing interstrand cross-links in the genomic DNA of epithelial cells exposed to the probiotic. This toxicity was even exacerbated with lower doses of the probiotic, when the exposed cells started to divide again but exhibited aberrant anaphases and increased gene mutation frequency. DNA damage was confirmed *in vivo* in mouse models of intestinal colonization, demonstrating that Nissle 1917 produces the genotoxin in the gut lumen. Although it is possible that daily treatment of adult humans with their microbiota does not produce the same effects, administration of Nissle 1917 as a probiotic or as a chassis to deliver therapeutics might exert long-term adverse effects and thus should be considered in a risk-versus-benefit evaluation.

IMPORTANCE Nissle 1917 is sold as a probiotic and considered safe even though it has been known since 2006 that it harbors the genes for colibactin synthesis. Colibactin is a potent genotoxin that is now linked to causative mutations found in human colorectal cancer. Many papers concerning the use of this strain in clinical applications ignore or elude this fact or misleadingly suggest that Nissle 1917 does not induce DNA damage. Here, we demonstrate that Nissle 1917 produces colibactin *in vitro* and *in vivo* and induces mutagenic DNA damage. This is a serious safety concern that must not be ignored in the interests of patients, the general public, health care professionals, and ethical probiotic manufacturers.
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recent biomedical literature, it was shown in 2006 that Nissle 1917 hosts a 54-kb \textit{pks} island coding for nonribosomal and polyketide syntheses (NRPS and PKS, respectively) allowing synthesis of a hybrid peptide-polyketide metabolite called colibactin (5, 6).

Colibactin is a genotoxin that binds and cross-links the opposite strands of DNA, resulting in DNA damage and gene mutagenesis in eukaryotic cells (5, 7–12). Colibactin is a virulence factor during systemic infection (13–15) and plays a substantial role in colorectal cancer. Indeed, colibactin-producing \textit{E. coli} promote colorectal cancer in mouse models (16, 17), and the DNA mutational signature of colibactin has been found in cohorts of patients with colorectal cancer, including in the \textit{APC} cancer driver gene (9, 11, 18). A conflicting report claimed that "no genotoxicity is detectable for \textit{E. coli} strain Nissle 1917 by standard \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} tests" (19), but the authors used assays that are suboptimal to demonstrate the production and mutagenicity of colibactin, such as the use of \textit{Salmonella} reporter bacteria that are killed by the microcins produced by Nissle 1917 (20, 21). Recently, in a study using stem cell-derived human intestinal organoids to evaluate the safety of the probiotic, Nissle 1917 "was found to be safe" (22), while exposure of such organoids to \textit{pks} \textit{E. coli} induced the colibactin-specific mutational signature (11). Here, we examined the production and genotoxicity of colibactin by Nissle 1917 \textit{in vitro}, using assays adapted to the described mode of action of the toxin, and \textit{in vivo} in two mouse models.

RESULTS

Nissle 1917 produces colibactin and induces DNA cross-links in infected epithelial cells. DNA interstrand cross-links generated by colibactin impair the denaturation of DNA and thus inhibit its electrophoretic mobility under denaturing conditions (7). We examined whether infection of epithelial cells with Nissle 1917 could induce cross-links in host genomic DNA. Cultured human epithelial HeLa cells were exposed to live \textit{E. coli} Nissle 1917 for 4 h, and then the cell genomic DNA was purified and analyzed by denaturing gel electrophoresis. In contrast to the DNA of control cells, which migrated as a high-molecular-weight band, a fraction of the DNA of the cells exposed to Nissle 1917 remained in the loading well (Fig. 1). Similar genomic DNA with impaired electrophoretic migration was observed in cells treated with cisplatin, a DNA cross-linking agent (Fig. 1a). Crosslinked genome DNA was also observed in human colorectal cancer HT-29 cells and in nontransformed rat epithelial intestinal IEC-6 cells exposed to Nissle 1917 (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). In contrast, a Nissle 1917 mutant for the phosphopantetheinyl transferase ClbA, required for activation of the NRPS and PKS in the \textit{pks} pathway (14), did not induce nonmigrating genomic DNA (Fig. 1a and b). Similarly, no cross-linking activity was detected with the Nissle 1917 strain mutated for the peptidase ClbP that cleaves the inactive precolibactin to release the cleavage product C14-Asn and generate the mature active colibactin (23) (Fig. 1a and b; Fig. S1). Mature colibactin is not detectable directly, but its stable cleavage product can be detected by mass spectrometry. Using this technique, C14-Asn was readily detected in the cell infection medium of the wild-type Nissle 1917 but not in that of the \textit{clbP} mutant (Fig. 2). We also observed the DNA interstrand cross-links in exogenous DNA exposed to the wild-type Nissle 1917 but not to the \textit{clbA} and \textit{clbP} mutants (see Fig. S2). Together, these results demonstrate that Nissle 1917 synthesizes mature DNA-cross-linking colibactin.

Infection with Nissle 1917 induces the recruitment of the DNA repair machinery. It was recently shown that upon formation of DNA cross-links by colibactin, the cells recruit the kinase ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related (ATR), which phosphorylate Ser33 of the replication protein A-32 (RPA) in nuclear DNA repair foci together with phosphorylated histone $\gamma$H2AX (7). Immunofluorescence of Ser33-phosphorylated RPA and $\gamma$H2AX showed nuclear foci of both markers in HeLa cells 4 h after infection with Nissle 1917 or following treatment with the cross-linking drug cisplatin but not after infection with the \textit{clbA} or \textit{clbP} mutants (Fig. 3a). The $\gamma$H2AX and p-RPA foci increased with the multiplicity of infection (MOI) of wild-type Nissle 1917 and remained plainly measurable 20 h after infection, even at the low MOI of 20 bacteria per cell.
The gH2AX and p-RPA foci were also observed in HT-29 and IEC-6 cells infected with Nissle 1917 but not in the clbP mutant (see Fig. S3). Together, these results demonstrate that Nissle 1917 induces dose- and time-dependent DNA cross-links in exposed cells, resulting in cognate DNA repair machinery recruitment.

Exposure to low numbers of Nissle 1917 induces abnormal mitosis and increased gene mutation frequency. Infection with colibactin-producing E. coli at low MOI can lead to incomplete DNA repair in a subset of the cell population, allowing cell division to restart, the formation of aberrant anaphases, and, ultimately, increased gene mutation frequency (8). We thus tested whether infection with Nissle 1917 induced these

---

**FIG 1** E. coli Nissle 1917 induces interstrand cross-links in the host cell genomic DNA. (a) HeLa cells were infected for 4 h at a multiplicity of infection of 400 bacteria per cell with E. coli Nissle (EcN) or clbA or clbP isogenic mutants, were left uninfected, or were treated 4 h with 100 μM cisplatin. Then, the cell genomic DNA was purified and analyzed by denaturing electrophoresis. The arrow points to the nonmigrating DNA that remained in the loading well. (b) The DNA signal in the top nonmigrating band relative to the total DNA signal in the lane was determined by image analysis in ImageJ. The mean percentages of cross-linked DNA and standard errors of the means (n=3 independent experiments) are shown. *, P < 0.05 compared to control, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett posttest.

---

**FIG 2** Production of colibactin cleavage product C14-Asn by Nissle 1917 during infection of HeLa cells. The cells were infected as described for Fig. 1 with E. coli Nissle (EcN) or clbA or clbP mutants or were left uninfected, and then the coculture supernatant was collected and the C14-Asn cleavage product was quantified by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). The means and standard errors of the means (n=3 biological replicates) are shown.
phenotypes in epithelial CHO cells that have stable chromosomes and are amenable to gene mutation assay. CHO cells exposed to low numbers of wild-type Nissle 1917 showed abnormal mitotic figures 20 h after infection (Fig. 4a). We observed lagging chromosomes, multipolar mitosis, and anaphase DNA bridges in cells infected with Nissle 1917 or treated with cisplatin (Fig. 4a). The abnormal mitotic index increased with the MOI of the wild-type Nissle 1917 strain, whereas it remained at background level in cells exposed to the highest MOI of the clbA or clbP mutants (Fig. 4b).

Mitotic errors can lead to an accumulation of DNA damage, which in turn favors gene mutations (24, 25). We thus next assessed gene mutation frequencies at the hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (hprt) loci after infection of CHO cells (Table 1). We found a 2-fold increase in 6-thioguanine-resistant (hprt mutant) colonies after infection with wild-type Nissle 1917 at an MOI of 10 compared with uninfected cells or cells that were infected with the clbA or clbP mutant. The mutation frequency was similar to that previously observed with a laboratory E. coli strain hosting the pks island at the same MOI (8) but did not reach statistical significance. Infection with Nissle 1917 at an MOI of 20 resulted in a significant increase of hprt mutation frequency. Treatment with cisplatin also resulted in a significant increase of hprt mutants, with a mutation frequency similar to that reported in the literature (26). We conclude that Nissle 1917 is mutagenic.

**Nissle 1917 induces DNA damage to intestinal cells in vivo.** To test whether Nissle 1917 produces colibactin in vivo in the gut lumen and induces DNA damage to intestinal cells, we first used a simplified model of intestinal colonization; adult axenic BALB/c mice were inoculated with Nissle 1917 or the clbA mutant or with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Seven days after inoculation, the mice were sacrificed, and
fecal and colon tissue samples were collected. The mice monoassociated with Nissle 1917 or hosting the clbA mutant exhibited similar fecal counts of \(10^9\) CFU/g of feces. We assessed by immunohistology histone γH2AX in the colon. Nuclear γH2AX foci were readily observed in the enterocytes exposed to Nissle 1917 but not in those from

**FIG 4** Infection with *E. coli* Nissle induces aberrant anaphase. (a) Anaphase bridges, lagging chromosomes, and multipolar mitosis (arrows) in CHO cells 20 h following infection with *E. coli* Nissle. DNA was stained with DAPI and observed by confocal microscopy. Bar, 20 μm. (b) Aberrant anaphase index in CHO cells 20 h following infection with EcN at the given MOI or with the clbA and clbP mutants or following treatment with cisplatin. The means and standard errors, measured in three independent experiments, are shown. *, \(P<0.05\); ***, \(P<0.001\) (one-way ANOVA with Dunnett posttest compared to control).

| Treatment                        | MF (×10^{-6}) | P value<sup>c</sup> |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Control                         | 5.99 ± 0.98   |                     |
| Cisplatin 10 μM                  | 25.25 ± 5.83  | 0.006<sup>d</sup>   |
| Cisplatin 15 μM                  | 47.62 ± 12.60 | 0.002<sup>d</sup>   |
| EcN, MOI of 5                    | 5.66 ± 0.71   | 0.685               |
| EcN, MOI of 10                   | 11.98 ± 5.99  | 0.425               |
| EcN, MOI of 20                   | 14.49 ± 8.37  | 0.023<sup>d</sup>   |
| EcN clbA mutant, MOI of 20       | 5.46 ± 0.28   | 0.450               |
| EcN clbP mutant, MOI of 20       | 4.94 ± 0.51   | 0.168               |

<sup>a</sup>Treatments were 1 h cisplatin or infection with EcN or clbA or clbP mutants at the given multiplicity of infection (MOI).

<sup>b</sup>MF, mutant frequency. The values are the means and standard errors from three independent infection experiments.

<sup>c</sup>Statistical analysis compared to control was performed using a two-tailed \(t\) test on the log-transformed data.

<sup>d</sup>Significant difference.
animals inoculated with the clbA mutant, which exhibited background γH2AX levels similar to that of the axenic controls (Fig. 5a and b).

Nissle 1917 is used not only in adults but also in infants and toddlers. To further examine production of colibactin in vivo, we used a second in vivo model in which 8-day-old Swiss mouse pups were given per os ~10⁷ CFU of Nissle 1917 or the clbP mutant or PBS. Six hours after inoculation, the colon epithelium was examined for formation of γH2AX foci. Animals treated with Nissle 1917 exhibited significant levels of nuclear γH2AX compared to that in controls treated with PBS (Fig. 6a and b). In contrast, the animals treated with the clbP mutant that does not produce colibactin showed background levels of γH2AX (Fig. 6a and b). Together these results indicated that Nissle 1917 induces in vivo DNA damage to epithelial cells.

DISCUSSION

The identification of a colibactin mutation signature in human colorectal cancer tissues (9, 11, 18) and also in colonic crypts from healthy individuals under the age of 10 years (27) proves that colibactin is expressed within the human gut (including in children) and links colibactin exposure to colorectal cancer. Colibactin is now a suspected prooncogenic driver, especially in IBD patients (28). Nissle 1917 has been used as a probiotic for various clinical applications since its isolation more than 100 years ago. It has shown some efficacy to treat IBDs such as Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. In this study, we demonstrate that Nissle 1917 synthesizes colibactin, in vitro and in vivo in the mouse gut lumen, and inflicts mutagenic DNA damage.

Even in low numbers, DNA cross-links are catastrophic damages that obstruct basic DNA processes, since they prevent the strand separation required for polymerase functions. The cross-links notably perturb the replication machinery, resulting in replication stress, accumulation of DNA bound by RPA, and activation of the kinase ATR that in turn phosphorylates RPA and histone variant H2AX (7, 29, 30). We observed that cells exposed to Nissle 1917 at low MOI (hence, numbers of bacteria more relevant to those occurring in vivo) entered an error-prone repair pathway, exhibiting mitotic aberrations and increased gene mutation frequency, similar to that observed with other pks⁺ E. coli strains (8, 10). Thus, Nissle 1917 is genotoxic and mutagenic. This is of concern for patients and participants in clinical trials using Nissle 1917, such as the trial in Finland in which more than 250 young children will be inoculated with this strain (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04608851).
Our results stand in contrast to that reported by Dubbert and colleagues who claimed that Nissle 1917 does not have detectable mutagenic activity using standard tests (19). However, the assays they used cannot detect colibactin-associated mutagenic damage. Indeed, to examine whether Nissle 1917 could induce mutagenic DNA damage, Dubbert et al. (19) used an Ames test in which *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium reporter bacteria were exposed to Nissle 1917, and then *Salmonella* growth was expected upon mutagenesis. However, *Salmonella* bacteria are readily killed by the siderophores/microcins produced by Nissle 1917 (20, 21); thus, the absence of growth of the reporter bacteria was incorrectly interpreted as an absence of effect of colibactin. In addition, Dubbert et al. (19) used a standard comet assay that can detect a variety of DNA lesions through electrophoresis of broken DNA but which cannot detect DNA cross-links that inhibit DNA electrophoretic mobility (7, 12, 31). Thus, the standard assays used by Dubbert et al. (19) were inappropriate, in contrast to the assays used in the present and other works (12, 32), to highlight the DNA-damaging activity and genotoxicity of colibactin produced by Nissle 1917. Along the same line, Pradhan and Weiss recently reported that human epithelial intestinal organoids infected with Nissle 1917 did not exhibit adverse phenotypes (such as loss of barrier function or apoptotic cell death) and thus concluded that the probiotic was safe (22). However, DNA damage triggers a complex interplay between DNA repair, cell death, and survival (33). As a result, DNA-
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damaging and mutagenic activities are not outwardly apparent in the form of cell senescence or death but require careful investigation with appropriate assays. This is exemplified in this work where Nissle 1917-infected cells survived and pursued division during 21 days before examining gene mutation.

We demonstrate, using two mouse models, that Nissle 1917 synthesizes colibactin in the mouse gut and induces DNA damage in colon cells. A limitation of the present study is that we did not examine whether this DNA damage could promote colorectal cancer; thus, the tumorigenesis potential of Nissle 1917 remains to be tested using colorectal cancer or IBD mouse models. In addition, mouse models do not fully recapitulate the human intestine, in particular, its complex microbiota, epithelial, and intestinal barrier functions. However, in human patients, Nissle 1917 is typically used in the context of IBDs, where the gut is inflamed, the intestinal barrier is dysfunctional, and the microbiota is dysbiotic. Importantly, intestinal inflammation was shown to upregulate pks genes (28, 34, 35). Inflammation and dysbiosis are also known to allow the expansion of the E. coli population, including that of Nissle 1917, alongside the epithelium (36–38). Moreover, Nissle 1917 is typically administered in very high numbers (2.5 × 10^8 to 25 × 10^8 bacteria in adults, 10^8 in infants), repeatedly (1 to 4 times daily), for weeks or even longer in the case of ulcerative colitis. Nissle 1917 has been reported to persist in the human gut for months after inoculation (39). Thus, patients treated with this probiotic can be exposed chronically to high numbers of colibactin-producing bacteria, especially in an inflamed context that favors colibactin production, and consequently could be exposed to high levels of mutagenic colibactin. These conditions were shown to promote colon tumorigenesis in colorectal cancer (16).

Nissle 1917 has been used for decades to treat gastrointestinal disorders such as diarrhea and inflammatory bowel diseases, in particular, ulcerative colitis. A large body of literature demonstrates its beneficial effects. For example, its efficacy versus placebo has been shown in infants and toddlers with diarrhea (40). Randomized clinical trials and meta-analyses support the beneficial role of Nissle 1917 in the therapy of ulcerative colitis (41). Nissle 1917 is also an increasingly popular choice to engineer live biotherapeutics (i.e., bacteria genetically designed to treat or prevent a disease) (42). For example, Nissle 1917 has been used successfully as a chassis to deliver an antibiofilm enzyme against Pseudomonas aeruginosa (43) or a microcin induced upon sensing of Salmonella infection (44). Engineered strains of Nissle 1917 have also been constructed to treat obesity through production of N-acylphosphatidylethanolamine (45) or to express a phenylalanine-metabolizing enzyme in response to the anoxic conditions in the gut to treat phenylketonuria (46). Considering the widespread use of Nissle 1917 as an efficient probiotic and as a platform to develop live bacterial therapeutics, ensuring its safety is of paramount importance. Genotoxic carcinogens are classically conceived to represent a risk factor with no threshold dose, because little numbers or even one DNA lesion may result in mutation and increased tumor risk (47). Production of mutagenic colibactin by Nissle 1917 is thus a serious health concern that must be addressed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**E. coli** EcN strain, mutants, and culture. The E. coli strain Nissle 1917 used in this study was obtained from Ulrich Dobrindt (University of Münster). The clbA and clbP isogenic mutants were described previously (48, 49). Before infection, the bacteria were grown overnight at 37°C with 240-rpm agitation in 5 ml of Lennox L broth (LB; Invitrogen) and then diluted 1/20 in prewarmed Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) with 25 mM HEPES (Invitrogen) and incubated at 37°C with 240-rpm agitation to reach exponential phase (optical density at 600 nm [OD600] of 0.4 to 0.5).

**In vitro DNA cross-linking assay.** Briefly, 3 × 10^8 bacteria (or numbers given in the text) were inoculated in 100 μl of DMEM with 25 mM HEPES and incubated at 37°C for 3.5 h, and then EDTA (1 mM) and 400 ng of linearized (BamHI) pUC19 DNA were added and further incubated 40 min. As controls, DNA was left untreated or treated with 100 or 200 μM cisplatin (Sigma). Following centrifugation to pellet the bacteria, the DNA was purified using a QiaGen PCR DNA purification kit before analysis by denaturing gel electrophoresis.

**Denaturing gel DNA electrophoresis.** One-percent agarose gels prepared in a 100 mM NaCl and 2 mM EDTA (pH 8) solution were soaked for 16 h in 40 mM NaOH and 1 mM EDTA electrophoresis
running buffer. DNA electrophoresis was performed at room temperature for 45 min at 1 V/cm and then 2 h at 2 V/cm. Following neutralization by serial washes in 150 mM NaCl-100 mM Tris (pH 7.4), DNA was stained with Geltred (Bioret) and photographed with flat-field correction and avoiding charge-coupled device (CCD) pixel saturation in a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc XRS system. Images were analyzed using NIH Image; the background was subtracted (100 pixels, rolling ball) and then the lane profiles were plotted and the areas of DNA peaks were measured.

Cell culture and infection. HeLa and CHO cells were cultivated in a 37°C 5% CO₂ incubator and maintained by serial passage in DMEM GlutaMAX and MEMα (Invitrogen), respectively, both supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 50 μg/ml gentamicin, and 1% nonessential amino acids (Invitrogen). Briefly, 3 × 10⁵ cells/well were seeded in 6-well plates (TPP) or 3.5 × 10⁶ cells/well in 8-chambers slides (Falcon) and grown 24 h. Cells were washed 3 times in Hanks’ balanced salt solution (HBSS; Invitrogen) before infection in DMEM with 25 mM HEPES at a given multiplicity of infection (MOI; number of bacteria per cell at the onset of infection). Following the 4 h coculture, the cells were washed 3 times with HBSS and then incubated in complete cell culture medium supplemented with 200 μg/ml gentamicin for the specified times (0, 4, or 20 h) before analysis.

Extraction and quantification of C14-Asn. After HeLa cell infection in 6-wells plates, 1 ml of the cell supernatant containing the bacteria was collected, the samples were lysed by bead beating, the lipids were separated by solid-phase extraction, and C14-Asn was quantified by high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry at the MetaToul Lipidomics facility (Inserm UMR1048, Toulouse, France), as previously described (50).

In cellulo genomic DNA cross-linking assay. The cells were infected 4 h or treated 4 h with 100 μM cisplatin (Sigma) and then collected immediately by trypsinization. The cell genomic DNA was purified with a Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit and analyzed by denaturing gel electrophoresis.

Abnormal anaphase scoring. Abnormal anaphase quantification was performed as described previously (51). Briefly, 3 h after the end of infection, the cells were trapped in prometaphase by treatment with 0.6 μg/ml nocodazole and released for 55 min without nocodazole to reach anaphase. The slides were fixed, stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and examined by confocal microscopy as described below. The anaphases were scored in three independent experiments.

Gene mutation assay. CHo cells were treated 4 days with culture medium supplemented with 10 mM deoxycytidine, 200 mM hypoxanthine, 0.2 mM aminoprotein, and 17.5 mM thymidine (Sigma) to eliminate preexisting hprt mutants. CHO cells were infected 4 h with Nissle 1917 or cblA or cblP mutants or were treated with cisplatin and then washed and cultured 1 week in normal cell culture medium and passed in 10-cm dishes seeded with 3 × 10⁶ cells using culture medium supplemented with 30 μg/ml 6-thiothiguanine (6-TG; Sigma). Cells were also plated without 6-TG to determine plating efficiency. The culture medium was changed twice a week for 21 days. Then, plates were fixed with 4% formaldehyde and stained with methylene blue.

Animal studies. All procedures were carried out according to European and French guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. The experimentations were approved by Regional Council of Ethics for animal experimentation. Specific-pathogen-free (SPF) pregnant Swiss mice obtained from Janvier (Le Genest, St Isle, France) were housed under SPF conditions in the Inserm Purpan animal facility (Toulouse, France). Eight-day-old mice pups received per os a drop (approximately 25 μl) of bacteria suspended (10⁰ CFU/ml) in PBS and were sacrificed 6 h later (protocols 16-U1220-JPN/FT-010 and 17-U1220-EO/PM-461). Germ-free BALB/c mice were housed in the breeding facility of ANAXEM (INRAE, UMR1319 MICALIS, Jouy-en-Josas, France). Axenic animals were inoculated once by intragastric gavage with 10⁹ bacteria suspended in PBS and sacrificed 7 days later (protocol APAFIS number 3441-2016010614307552 v1). Colon tissue samples were fixed 24 h in neutral buffered formalin, dehydrated in ethanol, and embedded in paraffin.

H2AX and p-RPA immunofluorescence analysis. Four or 20 h after infection, HeLa cells were pre-extracted 5 min in PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 before a 30-min fixation in PBS with 4% formaldehyde. Following permeabilization in 0.1% Triton X-100 and blocking in MAXblock medium (Active Motif), the cells were stained 3 h with antibodies against H2AX (1:500, JBW301; Millipore) and S33p-RPA32 (1:500, 20E3; Cell Signaling Technology) diluted 1:500 in MAXblock medium with 1 μg/ml DAPI (Sigma). The cells were washed again, mounted, and examined as described above.

H2AX in intestinal tissues, sections (5 or 8 μm) were deparaffinized by serial washes in xylene and ethanol and then rehydrated with water. The antigens were unmasked in HBSS-0.05% trypsin-0.02% EDTA at 37°C for 6 min and then in sodium citrate buffer (10 mM sodium citrate, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 6.0) for 30 min at 80 to 95°C. Following a 1-h cooling to room temperature and blocking 1 h in 0.3% Triton X-100-MAXblock medium, the tissues were stained 16 h at 4°C with primary antibodies against H2AX (1:200, 20E3; Cell Signaling Technology) diluted in the blocking medium. The slides were washed 3 times in PBS-0.05% Triton X-100 and incubated 1 h with anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 568 antibody diluted 1:200 in MAXblock medium with 1 μg/ml DAPI. The slides were washed again, mounted, and examined as described above.
Statistical analyses. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9. Analysis of mutant frequencies was performed using a two-tailed t test on the log-transformed data to ensure data normality and to correct variance heterogeneity (26).
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FIG S3, TIF file, 1.3 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
We thank Sophie Allart for technical assistance at the cellular imaging facility of Inserm UMR 1291, Toulouse, and Pauline Le Faouder and Justine Bertrand-Michel at the MetaToul lipidomics facility of Inserm UMR 1048, Toulouse, for C14-Asn quantification.

This work was funded by a French governmental grant from the Institut National Du Cancer (INCA PLBIO13-123). C.V.C. was the recipient of a scholarship (poste d’état and to correct variance heterogeneity (26).

REFERENCES
1. Nissle A. 1959. [On coli antagonism, dysbacteria and coli therapy]. Med Monatschr 13:489–491. (In German.)
2. Floch MH, Walker WA, Madsen K, Sanders ME, Macfarlane GT, Flint HJ, Dielemann LA, Ringel Y, Guandalini S, Kelly CP, Brandt LJ. 2011. Recommendations for probiotic use-2011 update. J Clin Gastroenterol 45 Suppl S168–S171. https://doi.org/10.1097/MCG.0b013e318230928b.
3. Kruis W, Fric P, Pokrotnieks J, Lukáš M, Fiba B, Kačák M, Kamm MA, Weismueller J, Beglinger C, Stolte M, Wolff C, Schulze J. 2004. Maintaining remission of ulcerative colitis with the probiotic Escherichia coli Nissle 1917 is as effective as with standard mesalazine. Gut 53:1617–1623. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2003.037747.
4. Ou S, Yang Y, Tham WL, Chen L, Guo J, Zhu G. 2016. Genetic engineering of probiotic Escherichia coli Nissle 1917 for clinical application. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 100:8693–8699. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-7829-5.
5. Nougayrède J-P, Homburg S, Oswald E, Brzuszkiewicz E, Gottschalk G, Buchrieser C, Hacker J, Dobrindt U, Oswald E. 2006. Escherichia coli induces DNA double-strand breaks in eukaryotic cells. Science 313:848–851. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1127039.
6. Homburg S, Oswald E, Hacker J, Dobrindt U. 2007. Expression analysis of the colibactin gene cluster coding for a novel polyketide in Escherichia coli. FEMS Microbiol Lett 275:255–262. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00889.x.
7. Bossuet-Greif N, Vignard J, Taieb F, Mirey G, Dubois D, Petit C, Oswald E, Nougayrède J-P. 2018. The colibactin genotoxin generates DNA interstrand cross-links in infected cells. mBio 9:e02393-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02393-17.
8. Cues-Ramos G, Petit CR, Marcq I, Bourny M, Oswald E, Nougayrède J-P. 2010. Escherichia coli induces DNA damage in vivo and triggers instability in mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:11537–11542. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1002161107.
9. Dzubaniiška-Kusibai PB, Berger H, Battistini F, Bouwman BAM, Iftekhar A, Kaitainen R, Cajuso T, Crosetto N, Orozco M, Aaltonen LA, Meyer TF. 2020. Colibactin DNA-damage signature indicates mutational impact in colorectal cancer. Nat Med 26:1063–1069. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0908-2.
10. Iftekhar A, Berger H, Bouznad N, Heuberger J, Boccellato F, Dobrindt U, Hermecking H, Sigal M, Meyer TF. 2021. Genomic aberrations after short-term exposure to colibactin-producing E. coli transform primary colon epithelial cells. Nat Commun 12:1003. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21162-y.
11. Plequezuels-Manzano C, Puschhof J, Huber AR, van Hoeck A, Wood HM, Nomburg J, Gurjao C, Manders F, Dalmasso G, Stege PB, Paganelli FL, Geurts MH, Beumer J, Mizutani T, Miao Y, van der Linden R, van der Elst S, Genomics England Research Consortium, Garcia KC, Top J, Willems RJL, Giannakis M, Bonnet R, Quirke P, Meyerson M, Cuppen E, van Boxtel R, Clevers H. 2020. Mutational signature in colorectal cancer caused by genotoxic pks+ E. coli. Nature 580:269–273. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2080-8.
12. Wu J, Wang X, Sun D, Liu Y, Villalba PW, Stornetta A, Boudreau PD, Carrà A, Brennan CA, Chun E, Ngu L, Samson LD, Engelbardt B, Garrett WS, Balbo S, Balaks EP. 2019. The human gut bacterial genotoxin colibactin alkylates DNA. Science 363:eaar7785. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar7785.
13. Marcq I, Martin P, Payros D, Cues-Ramos G, Bourny M, Watrin C, Nougayrède J-P, Olier M, Oswald E. 2014. The genotoxin colibactin exacerbates lymphopenia and decreases survival rate in mice infected with septicemic Escherichia coli. J Infect Dis 210:280–294. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiu071.
14. Martin P, Marcq I, Magistro G, Penary M, Garcia C, Payros D, Bourny M, Olier M, Nougayrède J-P, Audebert M, Chalut C, Schubert S, Oswald E. 2013. Interplay between siderophores and colibactin genotoxin biosynthetic pathways in Escherichia coli. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003437. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003437.
15. McCarthy AJ, Martin P, Cloup E, Stabler RA, Oswald E, Taylor PW. 2015. The genotoxin colibactin is a determinant of virulence in Escherichia coli K1 experimental neonatal systemic infection. Infect Immun 83:3704–3711. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00716-15.
16. Arthur JC, Perez-Chanona E, Mühlbauer M, Tomkovich S, Uronis JM, Fan T-J, Campbell BJ, Abujamel T, Dogan B, Rogers AB, Rhodes JM, Stintzi A, Simpson KW, Hansen JJ, Keku TO, Fodor AA, Jobin C. 2012. Intestinal inflammation targets cancer-inducing activity of the microbiota. Science 338:120–123. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1224820.
17. Cougnoux A, Dalmasso G, Martinez R, Buc E, Delmas J, Dubernet L, Sauvanet P, Darcha C, Déchelotte P, Bonnet M, Pezet D, Wodrich H, Darfeuille-Michaud A, Bonnet R. 2014. Bacterial genotoxin colibactin promotes colitis tumor growth by inducing a senescence-associated senescence phenotype. Gut 63:1932–1942. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2013-305257.
18. Terlouw D, Suerink M, Boot A, van WT, Nielsen M, Morreau H. 2020. Recurrent APC splice variant c.835-8A>G in patients with unexplained colorectal polyposis fulfilling the colibactin mutational signature. Gastroenterology 159:1612.e5–1614.e5. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.06.055.
19. Dubbert S, Klinkert B, Schimiczek M, Wasserena TM, van Bünau R. 2020. No genotoxicity is detectable for Escherichia coli strain Nissle 1917 by standard in vitro and in vivo tests. Eur J Microbiol Immunol (Bp) 10:11–19. https://doi.org/10.1556/1886.2019.00025.
20. Massip C, Branchu P, Bossuet-Greif N, Chagneau CV, Gaillard D, Martin P, Bourny M, Sécher T, Dubois D, Nougayrède J-P, Oswald E. 2019. Deciphering the interplay between the genotoxic and probiotic activities of...
Escherichia coli Nissle 1917. PLoS Pathog 15:e1008029. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008029

21. Sassone-Corsi M, Nuccio S-P, Liu H, Hernandez D, Vu CT, Takahashi AA, Edwards RA, Raffatellu M. 2016. Microcosm mediate competition among Enterobacteriaceae in the inflamed gut. Nature 540:280–283. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20557.

22. Pradhan S, Weiss AA. 2020. Probiotic properties of Escherichia coli Nissle in human intestinal organoids. mBio 11:e01470-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01470-20.

23. Brotherton CA, Balkus EP. 2013. A produg resistance mechanism is involved in colibactin biosynthesis and cytotoxicity. J Am Chem Soc 135:3393–3362. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja313125m.

24. Chatterjee N, Walker GC. 2017. Mechanisms of DNA damage, repair, and mutagenesis. Environ Mol Mutagen 58:235–263. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.22087.

25. Levine MS, Holland AJ. 2018. The impact of mitotic errors on cell proliferation and tumorigenesis. Genes Dev 32:620–638. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.314351.118.

26. Silva MJ, Costa P, Dias A, Valente M, Louro H, Boavida MG. 2005. Comparative analysis of the mutagenic activity of oxaliplatin and cisplatin in the Hprt gene of CHO cells. Environ Mol Mutagen 46:104–115. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20138.

27. Lee-Six H, Olafsson S, Ellis P, Osborne RJ, Sanders MA, Moore L, Vizcaino MI, Crawford JM. 2015. The colibactin warhead crosslinks DNA. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13359.

28. Merk O, Speit G. 1999. Detection of crosslinks with the comet assay. Mutat Res 404:277–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0027-5107(98)00027-3.

29. Maréchal A, Zou L. 2015. RPA-coated single-stranded DNA as a platform for post-translational modifications in the DNA damage response. J Cell Sci 122:4070–4080. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.053702.

30. Vassin VM, Anantha RW, Sokolova E, Kanner S, Borowiec JA. 2009. Human Hprt gene of CHO cells. Environ Mol Mutagen 46:104–115. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20138.

31. Hartwig A, Arand M, Epe B, Guth S, Jahneh K, Lampen A, Martyus H-J, Monien B, Rietjens IMCM, Schmitz-Spanke S, Schriever-Schwemmer G, Steinberg P, Eisenbrand G. 2020. Mode of action-based risk assessment of genotoxic carcinogens. Arch Toxicol 94:1787–1877. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-020-02732-7.

32. Olier M, Marciq I, Salvador-Cartier C, Secher T, Dobrindt U, Monien B, Rietjens IMCM, Schmitz-Spanke S, Schriever-Schwemmer G, Steinberg P, Eisenbrand G. 2020. Mode of action-based risk assessment of genotoxic carcinogens. Arch Toxicol 94:1787–1877. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-020-02732-7.

33. Chatterjee N, Walker GC. 2017. Mechanisms of DNA damage, repair, and mutagenesis. Environ Mol Mutagen 58:235–263. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.22087.

34. Henker J, Laass MW, Blokhin BM, Maydannik VG, Bolbot YK, Elze M, Wollf C, Schreiner A, Schulze J. 2008. Probiotic properties of Escherichia coli Nissle 1917 versus placebo for treating diarrhea of more than 4 days duration in infants and pediatrics. Pediatr Infect Dis J 27:494–499. https://doi.org/10.1097/INF.0b013e318169034c.

35. Sarid S, Bannister E, Candeias JJ, Fodor AA, Jobin C. 2014. Microbial genomic analysis reveals cations in the DNA damage response. Cell 159:1321–1334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.015.

36. Vizcaino MI, Crawford JM. 2015. The colibactin warhead crosslinks DNA. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13359.

37. Merk O, Speit G. 1999. Detection of crosslinks with the comet assay in relationship to genotoxicity and cytotoxicity. Environ Mol Mutagen 33:167–172. https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1099-2280(1999)33:2<167::aid-em>3.0.co2-d.

38. McFadden E, Sengupta S, Reddy EV, Ramakrishnan V, Lakshminarayanan V, Chaudhary A, Gupta A, Royal B, Sonnenberg U. 1997. Effect of preventive administration of a non-pathogenic Escherichia coli strain on the colonization of the intestine with microbial pathogens in newborn infants. Biol Neonate 71:224–232. https://doi.org/10.1159/000244421.

39. Chatterjee N, Walker GC. 2017. Mechanisms of DNA damage, repair, and mutagenesis. Environ Mol Mutagen 58:235–263. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.22087.

40. Silva MJ, Costa P, Dias A, Valente M, Louro H, Boavida MG. 2005. Comparative analysis of the mutagenic activity of oxaliplatin and cisplatin in the Hprt gene of CHO cells. Environ Mol Mutagen 46:104–115. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20138.

41. Lee-Six H, Olafsson S, Ellis P, Osborne RJ, Sanders MA, Moore L, Vizcaino MI, Crawford JM. 2015. The colibactin warhead crosslinks DNA. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13359.

42. Merk O, Speit G. 1999. Detection of crosslinks with the comet assay in relationship to genotoxicity and cytotoxicity. Environ Mol Mutagen 33:167–172. https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1099-2280(1999)33:2<167::aid-em>3.0.co2-d.

43. McFadden E, Sengupta S, Reddy EV, Ramakrishnan V, Lakshminarayanan V, Chaudhary A, Gupta A, Royal B, Sonnenberg U. 1997. Effect of preventive administration of a non-pathogenic Escherichia coli strain on the colonization of the intestine with microbial pathogens in newborn infants. Biol Neonate 71:224–232. https://doi.org/10.1159/000244421.

44. Chatterjee N, Walker GC. 2017. Mechanisms of DNA damage, repair, and mutagenesis. Environ Mol Mutagen 58:235–263. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.22087.

45. Vizcaino MI, Crawford JM. 2015. The colibactin warhead crosslinks DNA. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13359.