Tuning strain of granular matter by basal assisted Couette shear
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Abstract. We present a novel Couette shear apparatus capable of generating programmable azimuthal strain inside 2D granular matter under Couette shear. The apparatus consists of 21 independently movable concentric rings and two boundary wheels with frictional racks. This makes it possible to quasi-statically shear the granular matter not only from the boundaries but also from the bottom. We show that, by specifying the collective motion of wheels and rings, the apparatus successfully generates the desired strain profile inside the sample granular system, which is composed of about 2000 photoelastic disks. The motion and stress of each particle is captured by an imaging system utilizing reflective photoelasticity. This apparatus provides a novel method to investigate shear jamming properties of granular matter with different interior strain profiles and unlimited strain amplitudes.

1 Introduction

Granular matter can be jammed by shear. A jammed granular system can sustain finite stress without irreversible deformation [1]. If the packing fraction $\phi$ of a granular system is larger than a particular value $\phi_s$, the system can transition from an unjammed state to a shear jammed state after a finite amount of shear strain [1–5]. In simulations, the shear strain is usually defined as the affine deformation driving individual particles and can be easily tuned [2, 5]. However, in the experimental case the shear strain is usually given by the deformation of the confining boundaries [1, 3, 6, 7]. This boundary strain, although a practical tool, is not always useful to describe the deformation inside the granular matter. The shear jamming transition is achieved by percolation of a force network inside the granular system under shear [1]. The evolution of this force network is strongly related to the interior strain of the granular system [1, 6]. Therefore the analysis of a stress-strain relation based on boundary strain may not be able to probe the intrinsic constitutive relation of granular matter undergoing a shear jamming transition.

To understand the interior strain of the granular matter, we present a novel apparatus that can generate boundary Couette shear with programmable interior strain field. The interior strain of the granular system corresponds to the displacements of each particle. This displacement field, or strain field will be called in this paper the resultant profile. And the collective motion of boundaries and bottom rings used to apply shear will be called the driving profile.

In this work, we compare the resultant and driving profiles for three kinds of shear: (i) boundary Couette shear (ii) linear shear and (iii) power-law shear with exponents $n = 0.5$ and $n = 2$. We show that this novel Couette shear apparatus leads to resultant profiles keeping the features of the driving profiles. In particular, we show that a linear shear results in a homogeneous density field even for very large boundary shear strains ($\sim 500\%$). By extracting stress information from the photoelastic patterns, we track the evolution of force network and probe the shear jamming transition. This apparatus provides a novel method to investigate the role played by the interior quasistatic strain of granular matter during shear jamming transition.

2 Experiment

The granular system studied here consists of about 2000 frictional (friction coefficient: $\mu \approx 0.6$) bi-disperse photoelastic disks. The bigger ones have a radius $R_b = 7.95\text{mm}$, while the smaller ones have a radius $R_s = 6.35\text{mm}$. The size ratio is kept constant for all experiments: $N_{\text{big}}/N_{\text{small}} = 1/3$. The particles have reflective bottoms (see fig. 1(b)), making it possible to view the photoelastic patterns from the top using reflective photoelasticity [8]. We use a cooling system to ensure the room temperature remains the same to get rid of any possible thermal deformation of the particles. The system consists of 12 cooling fans to exhaust hot air heated by step motors and one thermal meter to monitor the temperature (fig. 1(d)). The room temperature is kept at $70^\circ\text{F}$, at which the particles are free from any residual stress.

The particles are placed randomly onto the Couette shear apparatus shown in fig. 1. This Couette shear appara-
For every quasi-static steps, the motors move with different speed so that they start and stop at same time. The boundary shear displayed in fig. 2 means that only the outer-most ring and the outer boundary fixed to it are moving. This boundary shear can be regarded as a power-law shear with a very large exponent. As an example, the red curve demonstrates a fit with exponent $n = 100$.

The imaging system of the experiment is shown in fig. 3(a). A 20Mp CCD camera (Canon® 70D) lays 3m above the Couette shear apparatus to image the whole system. Between two consecutive quasi-static steps, the camera is triggered to take two pictures. The first one is taken under UV light (see fig. 1(a)). The particles are labeled by UV sensitive marks on their top, which permits us to find their position during picture post-processing. Such a picture is presented in fig. 3(b). Another picture is taken using reflective cross-polarized light coming from the green LED light surrounding the camera. This green light picture shows the photoelastic response of each particle (see fig. 3(c)).

### 3 Result

We call the displacements of particles resulting from the driving profile a resultant profile. The resultant profiles of the driving profiles shown in fig. 2 are displayed in fig. 4. In these experiments, the granular system under shear has a packing fraction of $\phi = 0.7304$. The resultant profiles show the displacements of particles after 398 quasi-static shear steps, corresponding to 500% boundary strain. As shown in fig. 4, the azimuthal displacements of particles generated by linear shear are very well fitted by a straight line. However, this linear fit does not exactly goes
Figure 3: (a) Imaging system of the experiment. Particles placed on the shear apparatus can be illuminated by two kinds of lights: (i) UV light from the UV LEDs surrounding the shear apparatus and (ii) Green polarized light from the green LEDs surrounding the camera. (b) UV light image. Labels drawn on the particles using UV sensitive ink are illuminated. Those labels are used to find centers and orientations of the particles. (c) Green light image. Reflective photoelasticity permits to observe stress inside particles. Green light is used because it is optimal for the circular polarizers we used.

Figure 4: Resultant profiles of linear and boundary shears after 500% boundary strain. This example system has a packing fraction of \( \phi = 0.7304 \) (see also fig. 2). Left panel shows the azimuthal displacements of particles \( v.s. \) their initial position. The linear fit slightly deviates from the origin. The power-law fit gives an exponent \( n = 16.93(34) \). The right panel shows the radial displacements of particles \( v.s. \) their initial positions. The positive direction is centripetal direction. Boundary shear results in a collective centripetal motion while linear shear does not.

through origin. This means there is a small global rotation of the whole system. The red circles in fig. 4 show the displacement of particles under boundary shear. For azimuthal displacements, the power law-fit gives an exponent \( n = 16.9(7) \), which is significantly less than the driv-

Figure 5: Shear-band study. (a) and (c) present the radial distribution of packing fractions before and after 500% boundary strain for systems under linear shear (a) and boundary shear (c). The blue squares show the density distribution along the radial direction before shearing, while the red ones display the density after shearing. The blue lines indicate the system packing fraction (\( \phi = 0.7304 \)). In (a) no low density area is created. But in (c) a localized low density band (shear band) is created after shear. (b) shows the local packing fraction computed from Voronoi cells after 500% linear shear. It is compared to the case where the system is sheared from boundaries in (d).

The right panel of fig.4 shows the radial displacements of particles. The green curve shows the average displacements from the linear shear resultant profile. It fluctuates around zero which indicates there is no collective flow along the radial direction. However, as shown by the black curve, which is for boundary shear, the average radial displacement is non-zero and positive (towards ring center). This collective radial motion results in a shear band near the outer wheel. Fig. 5 shows the radial density (packing fraction) profile of the sample granular system before and after linear shear (a) and boundary shear (c). Blue squares show the density distribution before shear while red ones show the density distribution after 500% shear strain. The data points are averaged from the local packing fractions defined as particle area divided by the Voronoi area associated with the same particle. Error bars are at a 95% confidence interval. We remark that after 500% shear strain, the boundary shear generates an obvious shear band (lower density area) with width around 10 \( \cdot \) \( Rs \), while the system under linear shear remain homogeneous everywhere except for small fluctuations due to the intrinsic randomness of the granular systems.

We present the green light images for the sample system after 500% boundary strain under linear shear (see fig. 6(a)) and boundary shear (see fig. 6(b)). There are
more examples. Fig. 7 presents the driving and resultant profiles for a system with packing fraction \( \phi = 0.7257 \) under power-law shear with exponents \( n = 0.5 \) and \( n = 2 \). The left panel shows the driving profile for an individual quasi-static step. And the right panel shows the resultant particle azimuthal displacement. Power-law fitting of the resultant profile shows that an \( n = 2 \) driving profile results in an \( n = 1.879(9) \) resultant profile, and an \( n = 0.5 \) driving profile results in an \( n = 0.526(1) \) resultant profile. Although the resultant exponents deviate slightly from the driving exponents, they are close enough to retain the main features of the driving profiles.

### 4 Conclusion and outlook

We have described a novel experimental system that can tune the interior deformation of granular systems under boundary Couette shear with basal assistance. The shear is applied by a novel Couette shear apparatus consisting of 21 independently movable rings and two boundary wheels. We present resultant profiles for three kinds of driving profiles: (i) boundary Couette shear, (ii) linear shear, and (iii) power-law shear with exponents 0.5 and 2. The resultant profiles keep the features of the driving profiles. In particular, we showed that under linear basal assisted shear, the granular system does not form shear bands even under very large boundary strains (~500%). This setup makes it feasible to investigate shear jamming properties of granular system by changing interior strains.
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