Bulk electronic structure of high-order quaternary approximants

Shuvam Sarkar, Pampa Sadhukhan, Vinip Kumar Singh, Andrei Gloskovskii, Kazuhiko Deguchi, and Sudipta Roy Barman

UGC-DAE Consortium for Scientific Research, Khandwa Road, Indore 452001, Madhya Pradesh, India
Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestr. 85, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany
Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan
Institute of Multidisciplinary Research for Advanced Materials, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

(Received 30 June 2020; accepted 21 January 2021; published 16 February 2021)

The bulk electronic structures of two high-order quaternary approximants of F-type icosahedral (i)-Al-Pd-TM quasicrystal: Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe, having similar electron to atom (e/a) ratio as i-Al-Pd-Mn quasicrystal, have been investigated by hard x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. We establish the presence of a well-formed pseudogap at the Fermi level in both the approximants. The pseudogap turns out to be deeper in the approximants compared to i-Al-Pd-Mn, and this is supported by specific heat data. Modifications in the line shape of Al 2s core-level main peak as well as the plasmon loss peaks indicate enhanced hybridization of Al sp and transition metal d states in the approximants, which could be one of the possible reasons for their pseudogap. The absence of magnetic exchange splitting in the Fe 2p core-level spectra establishes the nonmagnetic nature of the approximants.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Approximants, the crystalline analogues of quasicrystals, are periodic systems with translational symmetry [1]. They connect ordinary crystals and quasicrystals, where the latter do not exhibit translational symmetry but possess an aperiodic long-range order with forbidden rotational symmetries [2]. Quasicrystals and related approximants have been observed in metallic alloys [1,3,4], oxide thin films [5,6], binary nanoparticle systems [7], elemental metallic clathrate layers [8], and soft matter [9]. Interesting developments in this area are the observation of unconventional quantum critical phenomenon [10], appearance of superconductivity [11,12], prediction of nontrivial topological edge states [13–15], evidence of ferromagnetic [16] and antiferromagnetic ordering [17,18], identification of surface resonance states [19], and demonstration of dodecagonal approximants in coordination polymers [20], to name a few.

An approximant usually has a large unit cell accommodating many atoms, whose arrangement is considered to mimic the local atomic structure of a quasicrystal. Both the approximant and the related quasicrystalline phase can be described as lower dimensional projections of the same hypercrystal along rational and irrational directions, respectively [21]. In this work, we restrict our attention to the approximants of icosahedral quasicrystals, and so the word “approximants” will henceforth be used for this particular class. Many approximants have so far been successfully synthesized and their structural and transport properties have been studied [1,21–27]. The intriguing transport properties of icosahedral quasicrystals such as high resistivity and its negative temperature coefficient are also observed in high-order approximants that have large sized unit cells with more than ~500 atoms [23,24,26]. In contrast, low-order approximants with smaller unit cells often exhibit metallic behavior [23,24,26,28].

The importance of approximants in quasicrystal research is evident from the fact that density functional theory (DFT) calculations have been performed exclusively for approximants [29–35], where higher order approximants are assumed to represent the quasicrystalline phase. Approximants as well as icosahedral quasicrystals are often described as Hume-Rothery type electron compounds, in which the structure is stabilized at certain e/a ratio (ratio of the number of valence electrons to the number of atoms) [36]. In a nearly-free electron scenario, the Hume-Rothery mechanism produces a dip or pseudogap in the density of states at the Fermi level (E_F) as a consequence of the Fermi surface- quasi-Brillouin zone (BZ) interaction, provided |G| ≈ 2k_F is satisfied, where G represents the reciprocal lattice vectors that generate strong Bragg diffractions and k_F is the Fermi radius. In other words, an energetic stability is provided if E_F lies within the pseudogap, which requires a specific value of e/a. The presence of the pseudogap was established by ab initio electronic structure calculations for a series of approximants representing icosahedral (i)-Al-Pd-Mn [37] with increasing size of the unit cell [32]. The pseudogaps were less prominent in low-order approximants (1/1, and 2/1) of i-Al-Pd-Mn, whereas in
high-order approximants (5/3 and 8/5) well-formed pseudogaps were found to occur at $E_F$ [32].

In spite of extensive structural, transport and theoretical studies, experimental investigations on the bulk electronic structure of approximants using photoelectron spectroscopy have been rarely performed to date. In fact, low-temperature ultrahigh-resolution photoemission spectroscopy showed that the electron density of states near $E_F$ were suppressed in the quasicrystalline phase, Cd$_5$Yb, compared to the lowest-order 1/1 approximant, Cd$_x$Yb [38]. However, the deeper pseudogap in the quasicrystal was attributed to a stronger hybridization of the Cd 5p and Yb 5d states in the quasiperiodic environment as compared to the case of 1/1 approximant [39]. Besides, in spite of the predictions by theory, none of the surface-sensitive-low-energy photoemission studies could clearly identify the pseudogap in the electronic structure of approximants. This is possibly because the surface composition and the surface electronic structure could be substantially different from the bulk, as reported for i-Al-Pd-Mn [40,41].

Using electron-energy-loss spectroscopy that is a bulk sensitive probe, the plasmon line width in the metastable quasicrystalline phase of Al$_6$Mn was reported to be much stronger than the crystalline phase [42]. Later, the enhancement was found to be much stronger in the thermodynamically stable i-Al$_{66}$Cu$_{28}$Ru$_{15}$ quasicrystal [43], possibly indicating strong hybridization of Al sp and transition metal d states in quasicrystals. Electron density determined from synchrotron radiation powder x-ray diffraction related the origin of the pseudogap in α-Al-Mn-Si 1/1 approximant to occurrence of covalent bonds [44].

Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe are two high-order approximants in Al-based alloys that have been discovered recently [45,46], and it has not been clarified what in the formation process differentiates these high-order approximant structures from quasicrystals. An advantage of studying the electronic structure of high-order approximants vis-a-vis low-order approximants is that because of the large unit cell of the former [45], a sizable volume of the real space mimics the quasiperiodic order rendering these more quasicrystallike. More specifically, Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe have unit cell size of 40.5 Å with 4320 atoms in the unit cell, containing 264 interpenetrating atomic clusters, 128 of which are pseudo-Mackay type and the others are mini-Bergman type structures. Although the atomic structure of i-Al-Pd-Mn has not been solved, it most likely comprises similar kinds of clusters [47,48].

In recent years, hard x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (HAXPES) has turned out to be one of the most important techniques in providing direct information about the bulk electronic structure of materials owing to its large probing depth [49–54]. HAXPES established the existence of the pseudogap in a series of icosahedral quasicrystals such as i-Al-Pd-Mn, i-Al-Cu-Fe, i-Zn-Mg-Y, and i-Zn-Mg-Dy [55,56]. The purpose of the present work is to investigate the bulk electronic structure of high-order approximants Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe using HAXPES, and with particular focus on whether the pseudogap exists, and if so, what is its nature in comparison to a closely related icosahedral quasicrystal (i-Al-Pd-Mn) [37].

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

The HAXPES measurements were carried out at the P09 beamline in Petra-III, DESY with the sample held at 50 K [57]. A photon energy (hv) of 5.95 (∼6) keV was used, with the corresponding inelastic mean free path being about 85 Å. A post-monochromator was used to improve the resolution and stability of the photon beam [57]. The photons were incident at a nearly grazing angle and the measurements were performed in the normal emission geometry, with the electron energy analyzer having an angular acceptance angle of ±15°, see Ref. [58] for the details of the experimental setup. The samples were fractured in ultra-high vacuum in order to remove the thick native oxide layers present on the surface. The base pressure of the chamber was 3 × 10⁻10 mbar. The Fermi level ($E_F$) position as well as the total energy resolution (300 meV), which includes both the analyzer and photon source broadening, was obtained by least-squares fitting of the Fermi edge of a gold foil in electrical contact with the specimen, and its position is taken as the zero of the binding energy (BE) scale.

Single-phase polycrystalline Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe were obtained by annealing arc-melted ingots under Argon atmosphere at 1123 K (6 days) and 1173 K (4 days), respectively. The compositions were Al$_9$Pd$_{22}$Cr$_2$Fe$_6$ (Al-Pd-Cr-Fe) [45] and Al$_70$Pd$_3$Mo$_{10}$Fe$_7$ (Al-Pd-Mo-Fe) [46], as previously estimated for the two approximants using electron probe micro-analyzer (EPMA). High-resolution powder x-ray diffraction (Fig. S1 in Ref. [59]) has confirmed that both the samples are single phase without any sign of impurities. Although the crystal structure analysis of Al-Pd-Mo-Fe is still under way, Fig. S1 also shows that it is isostructural to Al-Pd-Cr-Fe, which has cubic space group P6$_3$ (No. 205), with a large unit cell comprising of about 4320 atoms [45]. Single grain i-Al$_{30}$Pd$_{31}$Mo$_{8.5}$ (i-Al-Pd-Mn) used for HAXPES was prepared using the Czochralski method [60]. Specific heat was measured down to 1.8 K with a Quantum Design PPMS by a thermal relaxation method.

The core-level main peaks have been fitted by using Doniach-Šunjic (DS) line shape [61] and asymmetric Lorentzian line shapes have been used to represent the plasmon loss peaks [62]. The lifetime broadenings of the core level main peak, the DS asymmetry parameter ($\alpha$), intensities, peak positions, and the background [63] are varied independently, as in our earlier work [64]. Recoil effect in HAXPES shifts the core-level peaks to higher BE in light materials [65]. However, the recoil effect was not observed in i-Al-Pd-Mn since it involves heavier d metals and this is evident from the valence band as well as Al 2s spectra [55]. Since in Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe, electrons of larger atomic weight (Fe, Mo) mostly replace Mn in i-Al-Pd-Mn, the recoil effect is also not observed in the approximants.

III. RESULTS

The HAXPES valence band (VB) spectra of Al-Pd-Cr-Fe, Al-Pd-Mo-Fe, and i-Al-Pd-Mn [Fig. 1(a)] show a prominent peak around 4.4 eV that can be assigned to the Pd 4d states [32,55,66]. It appears at similar binding energy in both the approximants in comparison to i-Al-Pd-Mn that
TABLE I. The parameters (and their standard deviations, see text) obtained from the least-squares curve fitting of the near $E_F$ spectra of $i$-Al-Pd-Mn, Al-Pd-Cr-Fe, and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe using an inverted Lorentzian function (iLf) with straight line.

| Specimen            | $C_L$        | $2\Gamma_L$ | $a$   | $b$   |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------|-------|-------|
| $i$-Al-Pd-Mn        | $0.63 \pm 0.02$ [69] | $0.53 \pm 0.13$ | $0.72 \pm 0.04$ | $0.23 \pm 0.04$ |
| Al-Pd-Cr-Fe         | $0.73 \pm 0.02$ | $0.51 \pm 0.15$ | $0.65 \pm 0.04$ | $0.27 \pm 0.04$ |
| Al-Pd-Mo-Fe         | $0.79 \pm 0.02$ | $0.57 \pm 0.15$ | $0.71 \pm 0.07$ | $0.23 \pm 0.07$ |

$S(E) = (a + bE) \times \left[1 - \frac{C_L}{2\Gamma_L + E^2 + bE^2}\right]$, where $C_L$ indicates the depth of the pseudogap and $2\Gamma_L$ is the FWHM [55,56,67,68]. For $C_L = 1$, the pseudogap is fully formed with zero spectral intensity at the minimum. On the other hand, for $C_L = 0$, the pseudogap is absent and $S(E)$ is represented by a straight line $(a + bE)$ [55,56,67,68]. The position of the minimum of the pseudogap is kept fixed at $E_F$ (zero in the BE scale), as in our previous work [55,56], $a$ and $b$ in $(a + bE)$ are generally determined from a prior straight line fit in the higher BE region, e.g., $0.7$–$1.2$ eV [55,67,68], and are kept fixed in the subsequent overall fitting with iLf. However, this makes the fitting somewhat dependent on the BE range chosen for the straight line fit. So, here we find $a$ and $b$ for many different possible BE ranges (see Tables S1–S3 of Ref. [59]). This provides allowed ranges of variation in $a$ and $b$, and for the final fitting, $a$ and $b$ are varied within this range (free variation of both $a$ and $b$ gives unphysical results). Thus the fitting becomes independent of the range for the linear fitting.

In Fig. 2, presence of the pseudogap in both the high-order approximants is established by the spectral function $S(E)$ obtained from the fitting that shows a deep minimum at $E_F$. The quality of the fit is good, as shown by the fitted curve (red) and the scatter of its residual (black curve). We find that the depth of the pseudogap in both the approximants is larger compared to the quasicrystal: $C_L$ for $i$-Al-Pd-Mn, Al-Pd-Cr-Fe, and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe are found to be $0.63$, $0.73$, and $0.79$, respectively, whereas $2\Gamma_L$, $a$, and $b$ are similar (Table I, and row 16 of Tables S1–S3 of Ref. [59]). Thus the conclusions from the fitting are (i) the existence of a well-formed pseudogap in the high-order approximants Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe, (ii) it is deeper compared to $i$-Al-Pd-Mn while their widths are similar, and (iii) the depth of the pseudogap is somewhat larger in Al-Pd-Mo-Fe as compared to Al-Pd-Cr-Fe. These become evident from Fig. 2(d), where the $S(E)$ of the three compounds are plotted together.

Although the fitting procedure discussed above has been used extensively in literature [55,56,67,68], it involves approximations, such as use of a straight line $(a + bE)$ to represent the higher BE region below the pseudogap. So, we have replaced it in the iLf fitting by a free electron-like parabola $(a^\prime \sqrt{(E - b^\prime)})$ that represents the $sp$ states. However, this is also an approximation, since deviation from parabolic shape would occur since this region has sizeable contribution from the TM $d$ states, besides the Al $sp$ states [32]. Nevertheless, in Fig. S2, we show the results of the fitting by iLf with parabola, where $a^\prime$ is varied freely and $b^\prime$, which is the bottom
FIG. 2. The near \( E_F \) region of the VB spectra (black open circles) of (a) \( i \)-Al-Pd-Mn, (b) Al-Pd-Cr-Fe, and (c) Al-Pd-Mo-Fe fitted using an inverted Lorentzian function \( S(E) \) representing the pseudogap, the pink dashed line represents \( (a + bE) \). The residual (black curve) is shown at the top of each panel. (d) Comparison of the pseudogaps of Al-Pd-Mo-Fe and Al-Pd-Cr-Fe with \( i \)-Al-Pd-Mn.

It may be noted that since the use of the iLF function as \( S(E) \) is also an approximation, we have performed the fitting using a different function (a third-order polynomial [55]) to represent the pseudogap. Here, \( S(E) = C_0 + C_1|E| + C_2|E|^2 + C_3|E|^3 \), where \( C_0 \) determines the intensity at \( E_F \) with a maximum value of 1 when pseudogap is absent. Thus \((1 - C_0)\) can be regarded as a measure of the depth of the pseudogap at \( E_F \). The other parameters \( C_1, C_2, \) and \( C_3 \) determine the shape of the polynomial function. We find that the quality of the fitting is somewhat better in this case compared to iLF, the \( \chi^2 \) being less by about 20%. Here, \((1 - C_0)\) increases systematically from \( i \)-Al-Pd-Mn to Al-Pd-Cr-Fe to Al-Pd-Mo-Fe indicating deepening of the pseudogap, whereas the other parameters are similar (Fig. S3 and Table S4 of Ref. [59]). The above discussions reconfirm that the pseudogap in the high-order approximants studied here is deeper compared to \( i \)-Al-Pd-Mn.

Deeper pseudogap implies suppression of the electronic density of states at \( E_F \) \([n(E_F)]\), and this is also evidenced by the specific heat data of Al-Pd-Mo-Fe. Figure 3 shows the temperature dependence of the specific heat \( C \) measured down to 1.8 K. The electronic contribution in the low-temperature limit is given by \( \gamma T \), where \( \gamma \propto n(E_F) \) is the electronic specific heat coefficient. The primary lattice contribution follows from the usual Debye \( T^3 \)-law and is given by \( \beta T^3 \). No upturn in \( C/T \) is observed at the lowest temperature indicating negligible magnetic contribution above 1.8 K in zero magnetic field.
In order to estimate $\gamma$, a nonlinear least-squares fitting is performed using a power series that contains only odd powers of $T$ [25,70],

$$C = \gamma T + \sum_{n=1}^{P} C_{2n+1} T^{2n+1}. \quad (2)$$

The second term ($C_{lat}$) is related to the lattice contribution, where $C_3 = \beta$, the other $C_{2n+1}$ terms are introduced as higher-order corrections, and $P + 1$ gives the total number of terms including $\gamma T$. We find that the residual of the fitting shows a large systematic deviation for $P = 2$ (top panel in Fig. 3, corresponding fitting not shown). The residual improves substantially for $P = 3$–4, but shows further improvement for $P = 5$ (see in 8–11 K range), and for larger $P$ it does not improve any more (also the $\chi^2$ does not decrease significantly). So, we restrict the fitting to $P = 5$ in the temperature range 1.8 to 11.5 K [25]. The fitting is shown in Fig. 3, where $C_{lat}/T$ becomes less than $\gamma$ below about 3.5 K. $\gamma$ turns out to be $0.220 \pm 0.003$ mJ K$^{-2}$ mol$^{-1}$. The values of all the parameters obtained from the fitting are shown in Table S5 of Ref. [59].

It may also be noted that a correlation between resistivity ($\rho$) and the depth of the pseudogap obtained from our fitting from $C_L$ or $(1 - C_0)$ has been observed for different $i$-quasicrystals, as shown in Table S6 of Ref. The open circles are the experimental spectra while the dashed curves represent the least-squares curve fits. The plasmon peaks obtained from fitting are shaded. (b) The Al 2$s$ main peak region along with the fitted curves, the spectra have been staggered along the vertical axis. The vertical dashed lines highlight the difference in the peak positions of the approximants and $i$-Al-Pd-Mn strongly supports the conclusion of deeper pseudogap in the former from HAXPES. Moreover, this shows that the Pd content is slightly less in Al-Pd-Mo-Fe compared to $i$-Al-Pd-Mn which in turn explains the lower intensity of the Pd 4$d$ related peak in the valence band of Al-Pd-Mo-Fe in Fig. 1(a).

In Fig. 5, the Al 2$s$ spectra of Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe have been compared with those of $i$-Al-Pd-Mn and Al metal. A comparison with the multiple bulk plasmon ($n\omega_p$, $1\omega_p = 15.4$ eV) [62,76] peaks for Al metal (top panel) and 013151-5
indicates that the broad satellite peaks at similar binding energies in i-Al-Pd-Mn, Al-Pd-Cr-Fe, and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe are also related to the plasmons. However, the plasmon frequencies for i-Al-Pd-Mn, Al-Pd-Cr-Fe, and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe are similar (ωp = 17.5 ± 0.1 eV), which are larger compared to that of Al metal. This is related to larger electron density caused by the FWHM could be related to the fundamental difference between the translational symmetry of the approximants and the aperiodic order of the quasicrystal. This would suggest that the Hume-Rothery stabilization is more efficient in the high-order approximants with well-defined Fermi surface and BZ in comparison to a quasicrystal. However, other reasons could be at play because, although Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe are closely related to i-Al-Pd-Mn, there are still some differences in their atomic structure, composition and disorder. Both the approximants and i-Al-Pd-Mn are most likely comprised of similar kinds of clusters [47,48], but there are discrepancies between the cluster models of the latter and the refined model of Al-Pd-Cr-Fe, especially in the way that the clusters are spatially packed. After all, there has been no reliable structural refinement of i-Al-Pd-Mn until date. Furthermore, most of the stable icosahedral quasicrystals, except for the binary Cd-Yb case, exhibit chemical disorder to some extent [87], and some intrinsic disorder known as phason disorder. Phason disorder is found to be absent in the approximants, but possible chemical as well as positional disorder in the M clusters have been indicated, although a full characterization has not been performed [45].

The differences in their atomic structure and composition might manifest in the electronic structure as stronger hybridization between Al sp and TM d states in the approximants compared to the quasicrystal. This is indicated by the core-level spectra discussed earlier (Fig. 5), which also shows that the hybridization is strongest in Al-Pd-Mo-Fe. This is possibly caused by presence of the 4d element Mo, as well as the intensity and increase in the FWHM of the plasmon peak in the latter. From the fitting, the relative intensity of 10p with respect to the main peak for Al metal, i-Al-Pd-Mn, Al-Pd-Cr-Fe, and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe is found to be 0.6, 0.52, 0.5, and 0.53, respectively. On the other hand, their FWHM’s show systematic increase: 8.2, 8.4, and 8.8 eV in i-Al-Pd-Mn, Al-Pd-Cr-Fe, and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe, respectively. These values are substantially larger than Al metal (3.1 eV). The reason for the suppression of the plasmon intensities and increased FWHM could be related to sp-d hybridization due to which the sp electron-related plasmon excitation is damped by the d electron interband transitions [77–80]. The Al 2s main peak for both the approximants appears at 118.1 eV [Fig. 5(b)]. Thus these are shifted towards higher binding energy with respect to i-Al-Pd-Mn at 118 eV. This indicates that the chemical environment, and in particular the Al-TM bonding in the approximants is somewhat different compared to the quasicrystal. From the fitting, we find the intrinsic lifetime widths to be 0.42 ± 0.005, 0.46 ± 0.002, 0.47 ± 0.003, and 0.49 ± 0.004, for Al metal, i-Al-Pd-Mn, Al-Pd-Cr-Fe, and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe, respectively. This implies decreasing final state-life time across the series, which could also be associated with the increase of Al sp-TM d hybridization across the series. Thus the changes in the plasmon line shape as well as that of the Al 2s main peak (Fig. 5) indicate enhanced Al sp-TM d hybridization in Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe compared to i-Al-Pd-Mn.

The Fe 2p3/2 HAXPES spectra for Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe appear at similar binding energy of 707 eV (Fig. 6). The FWHM of the Fe 2p3/2 peak of both the approximants are also similar (~0.6 eV), but this value is considerably smaller than that of Fe metal (1.06 eV) [81]. The larger width in Fe metal arises from its magnetism that leads to an exchange splitting of about 0.35 eV between adjacent m_j sublevels [81,82]. In case of Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe, narrower Fe 2p3/2 peak and the fact that it can be fitted with a single DS line shape portrays the absence of any exchange splitting, which indicates its nonmagnetic nature.

IV. DISCUSSION

It has been extensively discussed in the literature that the formation of stable Al based quasicrystals occurs at certain e/a values [83–86], which indicates that the electronic structure plays an important role in the stability of the icosahedral phases. It is evident that the main constituents (Al and Pd) are similar between the approximants and the quasicrystal studied here, only Mn (with outer shell configuration of 3d^74s^2) is replaced, in Al-Pd-Cr-Fe by two similar 3d atoms adjacent to it in the periodic table [Fe (3d^64s^2) and Cr (3d^44s^2)]. Similarly, in case of Al-Pd-Mo-Fe, the two adjacent d atoms are Fe (3d^64s^2) and Mo (4d^55s^2). In this way, their e/a ratios remain similar to i-Al-Pd-Mn. The effective e/a values calculated based on their compositions: Al_{59}Pd_{20}Cr_{15}Fe_{5}, Al_{70}Pd_{30}Mo_{10}Fe_{25}, and i-Al_{70}Pd_{21}Mn_{8.5}, are 2.18, 2.23, and 2.22 [84]; and considering the TM d states these are 4.93, 4.84, and 4.81, respectively [34]. The similarity of e/a is thus evident, and in particular Al-Pd-Mo-Fe and i-Al-Pd-Mn are within 0.5% of each other.

The existence of pseudogap in Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe, and that these are deeper compared to i-Al-Pd-Mn with all three having nearly similar e/a ratio could possibly be related to the fundamental difference between the translational symmetry of the approximants and the aperiodic order of the quasicrystal.
as due to Pd deficient and Fe excess composition of Al-Pd-Mo-Fe compared to Al-Pd-Cr-Fe. Atomic rearrangement could also occur with Mo occupying Pd positions because of their similar atomic radius. DFT studies have established that Al sp- TM d hybridization enhances the pseudogap in Al-TM systems and the pseudogap is more pronounced in spd compounds compared to sp compounds [34,39,88]. It was also shown that substitution of 3d TM’s (Mn, Co) by 4d TM’s (Tc, Rh) enhances the width of the band gap that forms due to Al sp- TM d hybridization [34,89,90].

Here, we address the possibility of a rigid band shift, i.e., a shift of the electronic bands due to a change in electron occupancy, with the band structure otherwise remaining unchanged. This would cause a constant shift in the DOS features, but the spectra in Fig. 1(a) do not show any significant shift that would have been readily visible from the Pd d peak and the region on the lower BE side of this peak. So, we do not find any evidence of rigid band shift from our data. However, change in the position of the pseudogap minimum without any change in the Pd d peak (i.e., a nonrigid bandlike modification of DOS) cannot be ruled out, since this depends on the details of the atomic arrangement that influences their electronic structure, as shown recently for a related quasicrystal i-Al-Pd-Re [91]. In case of i-Al-Pd-Mn, however, the DFT calculations [32] show that the pseudogap minimum is at $E_F$ for the high order 8/5 approximant. To examine this from our fitting, we have allowed the position of the minimum to vary. We find that the shift is insignificant for i-Al-Pd-Mn (0.004 eV), justifying our earlier proposition (Fig. 2 and Table I) and that in literature [32,55,68]. In contrast to i-Al-Pd-Mn, the pseudogap shifts slightly above $E_F$ to $-0.04$ eV for Al-Pd-Mo-Fe, which is opposite of what could be expected from its marginally larger $e/a$. Thus the observed shifts cannot be explained simply by $e/a$, as these depend on the details of their electronic structure, and in particular on the shape and intensity of the different states (Al sp, TM d) in the near $E_F$ region. The pseudogap appears slightly below $E_F$ at 0.04 eV for Al-Pd-Cr-Fe. It is important to note that despite the shift in the pseudogap minimum, the trend in the variation of the depth of the pseudogap in the three compounds remains unchanged, and in fact $C_L$ (=0.86) becomes somewhat larger in Al-Pd-Mo-Fe, whereas it decreases slightly in Al-Pd-Cr-Fe (compare Table I and Table S7 in Ref. [59]).

While we have discussed above some plausible reasons for our experimental observations from HAXPES and specific heat data, a definitive statement would require a detailed theoretical study based on realistic structure of i-Al-Pd-Mn and the approximants. But, the impediment is that the structure of i-Al-Pd-Mn is not known, and even if it was known, disorder would be very difficult to handle within the DFT formalism. However, the structure of Al-Pd-Cr-Fe has been determined [45], and although future ab initio pseudopotential calculation might throw some light on the origin of their pseudogap, the reason why these are deeper than i-Al-Pd-Mn will require the atomic structure of the latter to be determined. Furthermore, even for simplified model structures that could be constructed to represent the quasicrystal and the approximants, using a few tiling units with appropriate atomic decorations (e.g., decorated Penrose rhombi to represent two-dimensional structures), the difficulty is to calculate the electronic structure of a quasicrystal in a truly aperiodic formalism, which to the best of our knowledge has not been developed until date in the framework of band theory.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We report the results of our experimental investigation on the bulk electronic structure of high-order approximants (Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe) using HAXPES that is compared to a closely related i-Al-Pd-Mn quasicrystal with nearly identical $e/a$ ratios. The near $E_F$ valence band spectra of both the approximants show the existence of a well-formed pseudogap at $E_F$ and surprisingly it is deeper compared to i-Al-Pd-Mn. The latter is reconfirmed by smaller value of the coefficient of the electronic contribution to the specific heat ($\gamma$) in Al-Pd-Mo-Fe compared to i-Al-Pd-Mn.

The suppression of the plasmon intensities, and increase in the width as well as lifetime broadening of the Al 2s main peak in Al-Pd-Cr-Fe and Al-Pd-Mo-Fe compared to i-Al-Pd-Mn point to enhanced Al sp- TM d hybridization in the approximants. Thus besides the fundamental difference in their periodicities, sp- d hybridization might play a significant role in formation of the pseudogap in high-order approximants comparable to (even deeper, as in this case) a related quasicrystal. Moreover, since the resistivity of the quasicrystals increases with the depth of the pseudogap, the occurrence of pseudogap in both high-order approximants and a quasicrystal predicts the similarity of their transport properties. The Fe 2p core level spectra of the approximants have a markedly smaller width compared to the Fe metal due to the absence of magnetic exchange splitting, indicating nonmagnetic nature of the former.

Our work provides a pathway in the search for new quasicrystalline phases. If the depth and the shape of the pseudogap of a newly identified approximant phase is comparable to the quasicrystals, a quasicrystalline phase could be expected nearby in the phase space. Our work suggests that high-order approximants are not merely geometrical interpolation between simpler low-order approximants and quasicrystals, but something that could possibly shed new light in future on the stabilization mechanisms of related quasicrystals and complex metallic alloys. It is our hope that the present study will stimulate further research in the electronic structure calculations for aperiodic systems, and also induce renewed vigor in unraveling their atomic structure.
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