Reactive oxygen species induce Cys106-mediated anti-parallel HMGB1 dimerization that protects against DNA damage
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1. Introduction

High mobility group box1 (HMGB1) is a highly abundant and ubiquitously expressed nuclear protein that functions as a DNA chaperone and participates in DNA replication, recombination, transcription, and repair [1,2]. It also functions as an extracellular proinflammatory or chemotactic molecule [3-5] when it is actively secreted [6-13] or passively released by necrotic cells, respectively. Extracellular HMGB1 mediates damage-associated molecular pattern signaling by binding to diverse receptors, including the receptor for advanced glycation end products and toll-like receptor-2 and -4 [3,14-18].

HMGB1 is composed of an A box, a B box, and an acidic tail. The A and B boxes bind to the minor groove of DNA and are involved in DNA bending, including V(D)J recombination [19]. HMGB1 contains three cysteine residues (Cys23 and Cys45 in the A box and Cys106 in the B box) and功能s differently depending on its redox state [20]. When all three cysteine residues are in the thiolic state, “reduced HMGB1 (Re-HMGB1)" exhibits chemotactic function [21]. When an intramolecular disulfide bond forms among Cys23, Cys45, and Cys106 in the thiolic state, “oxidized HMGB1 (Ox-HMGB1)" exhibits a proinflammatory function [22]. When all three cysteines are in the hyperoxidized sulfonic acid state, “sulfonyl HMGB1 (Su-HMGB1)" exhibits no chemotactic or proinflammatory function [23].

Post-translational modifications of HMGB1, such as acetylation [24], phosphorylation [6], and N-glycosylation [25], play critical roles in HMGB1 nucleo-cytoplasmic transport and secretion. In addition, our recent data showed that HMGB1 can be oxidized between Cys23 and Cys45 and translocated into the cytoplasm via thiol peroxidase of peroxiredoxin (Prx) I and II under oxidative stress conditions, and disruption of disulfide bond formation by mutating Cys23 or Cys45 results in almost no secretion of HMGB1 even under oxidative stress conditions.
This cytoplasmic translocation and extracellular secretion process also requires Cys106, as evidenced by the cytoplasmic location of ox-HMGB1 [26]. This cytoplasmic translocation and extracellular secretion process—M.S. Kwak et al. The Cys106 residue of HMGB1 is important for specific binding to Toll-like receptor 4, which induces innate immunity and cytokine release [22].

In contrast, extracellular Ox-HMGB1 triggers inflammation [26, 28]. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are free radicals that include superoxide anion (O$_2^\cdot$), hydroxyl radical (•OH), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), and singlet oxygen (O$_2$•). Cellular ROS are mainly generated by mitochondrial nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxide and are important signaling molecules in many physiological and pathological processes. Intracellular ROS levels regulate both the survival and death of cells [31, 32]; lower concentrations of ROS promote cellular proliferation and higher concentrations induce apoptosis or necrotic cell death [33–35]. Copper ions facilitate the formation of ROS, leading to damage to biomolecules such as DNA and chromatin [36]. For example, H$_2$O$_2$ and superoxide, the most reactive and destructive ROS, can cleave the phosphoester bonds between specific nucleotides in DNA. In radiotherapy, ROS formation due to radiation exposure is a well-known primary mechanism of inducing apoptosis in target cancer cells [37–39].

In this study, we evaluated whether HMGB1 could be homodimerized at Cys106 in an anti-parallel direction under high oxidative conditions, exceeding the level required for intramolecular disulfide formation both in vitro and in vivo. HMGB1 is a nuclear protein that binds DNA; this binding capacity is even higher for damaged DNA, and Cys106 is a crucial amino acid required for the nuclear localization of HMGB1 [27]. We hypothesized that high intracellular ROS stress conditions induce a nuclear HMGB1 dimer to prevent ROS-mediated DNA damage. Homo-dimerized HMGB1 (Di-HMGB1) exhibits stronger DNA binding than monomeric HMGB1 and protective activity against DNA damage and cell death due to ROS stress.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell line, culture, and transfection

Wild-type (WT) mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF), HMGB1-deficient MEF (MEF$^{Hmgb1^-/-}$), and HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 μ/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin and incubated at 37 °C under 5% CO$_2$. For transfection, the cells were plated for 24 h and grown to 80–90% confluence. FuGENE® HD (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was used for transfection. Electroporation was performed for transfection using MicroPorator-mini (Digital Bio, Korea).

2.2. Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) and plasmids

BiFC constructs were generated to observe the homo-dimerization of HMGB1 and the binding orientation. The N-terminal half of enhanced green fluorescent protein (GFP) [amino acids 1–155 (GFP$^N$)] was fused to HMGB1 directly at the 5′ end (GFP$^N$:HMGB1) or indirectly via an intermediate linker (LK) of Ser-(Gly)$_4$Ser for flexibility (GFP$^N$:LK:HMGB1) [40]. The C-terminal half of GFP [amino acids 156–238 (GFP$^C$)] was fused at the 3′-end of HMGB1 directly (HMGB1:GFP$^C$) or indirectly via the same intermediate LK (HMGB1:LK:GFP$^C$). GFP$^C$ fused to the 5′-end of HMGB1 was also cloned (GFP$^C$:HMGB1, GFP$^C$:LK:HMGB1). HEK293T cells were transiently transfected for 32 h at 37 °C and treated with 50 μM CuCl$_2$ and 50 μM H$_2$O$_2$ for 4 h at 37 °C. Transfected cells were observed using a FV1000 confocal microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Two repeats of WT HMGB1 or HMGB1$^{Cys106A}$ were subcloned as GFP$^N$:LK:HMGB1:LK:HMGB1:LK:GFP$^C$ [GFP$^N$:LKHmgb1:12:LKHmgb1:Gfp$^C$] or GFP$^C$:LK:HMGB1$^{Cys106A}$:LK:HMGB1$^{Cys106A}$:LKHmgb1:GFP$^C$ [GFP$^N$:LKHmgb1:12:LKHmgb1:Gfp$^C$] constructs and transiently overexpressed in HEK293T cells to observe the binding orientation of HMGB1 and the effect of the Cys106 residue of HMGB1.

To determine the function of Di-HMGB1, two repeats of WT HMGB1 or HMGB1$^{Cys106A}$, which were linked via LK, were subcloned via insertion into the pcMV-Myc plasmid; these constructs were named Myc-(HMGB1)$_2$ or Myc-(HMGB1$^{Cys106A}$)$_2$.

2.3. Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) assay

We determined the homo-dimeric binding orientation of HMGB1 by performing a FRET assay with HEK293T cells. YFP:LK:HMGB1, CFP:LK:HMGB1, and CFP:LK:HMGB1:C106A were used as controls. Cells were transiently co-transfected with a combination of YFP:LK:HMGB1 and CFP:LK:HMGB1 or YFP:LK:HMGB1 and HMGB1:LK:CFP constructs. The acceptor photo-bleaching method was used to study HMGB1 homo-dimerization under an FV1000 confocal microscope and using Olympus software. A UPlanAPO 100X NA/1.35 objective was used to observe the binding orientation during the FRET assay. We compared the FRET signal with that of the positive control (YFP:LK:CFP plasmid).

Regions of interest were selected, and images were collected before and after bleaching with the 514 nm laser line. The excitation wavelengths were 430 and 514 nm for CFP and YFP, respectively. The two band-pass filters of emission wavelength were 465–510 nm for CFP and 518–561 nm for YFP. A change in the fluorescence intensity between pre- and post-bleach donor values (efficiency, E) was calculated as a percentage according to the following equation: E = [(ICFP$^{post}$ – ICFP$^{pre}$)/ICFP$^{post}$] × 100.
2.4. Purification of recombinant proteins

HMGB1 recombinant protein was purified as described previously [6]. Six His-tagged HMGB1 and HMGB1\textsuperscript{C106A} proteins were produced in \textit{Escherichia coli} SoluBL21, and HMGB1 boxes A (aa 1–79), B (aa 88–162), and B\textsuperscript{C106A} were produced in \textit{E. coli} Bl21 (DE3) pLyse by adding 0.5 mM isopropyl 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside and incubating for 18 h at 24 °C. Recombinant proteins were sequentially processed and purified via Ni\textsuperscript{2+}-NTA, heparin, and gel-filtration column chromatography. Endotoxin was removed using Triton-X114 [41].

2.5. Mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS)

To identify the HMGB1 peptide in the HMGB1 dimerization position, whole cell lysates (WCLs) of HEK293T cells were cultured with H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} for 2 h at 37 °C. The HMGB1 dimeric form was separated by non-reducing sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Bands were in-gel digested with trypsin and then run on an LC-MS/MS system through an LTQ-Orbitrap-mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron, Waltham, MA, USA) by ProteomeTech, Inc. (Seoul, Korea).

2.6. Matrix-associated laser desorption ionization-time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF)

HMGB1 dimerization was induced via incubation with 10 μM CuCl\textsubscript{2} and 100 μM H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} for 2 h and at 37 °C. MALDI-TOF MS of HMGB1 dimerization was performed on a Bruker Daltonics Microflex LRF20 instrument (Billerica, MA, USA) equipped with a nitrogen laser (wavelength: 337 nm, pulse repetition rate: 62 Hz; ProteomeTech, Inc.). The examined mass range ranged from m/z 20,000 to 100,000, and the instrument was calibrated externally using a bovine serum albumin standard covering the average masses. The matrix system used for MALDI consisted of sinapinic acid dissolved with 50% acetonitrile and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. MALDI mass spectra were acquired from at least six independent spots and accumulated individually from 1000 laser shots for constructing reference spectral profiles.

2.7. Western blot analysis (in vitro protein dimerization assay)

To observe HMGB1 dimerization, HEK293T, RAW264.7 cells were transiently transfected with Flag- and Myc-tagged HMGB1, HMGB1\textsuperscript{C106A}, or HMGB1\textsuperscript{C106S} plasmids. The cells were treated with 50 μM CuCl\textsubscript{2} and 10 mM H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} for 4 h to induce excessive oxidative stress. Cells were harvested, and nuclear/cytosolic fractionation was performed using a nuclear/cytosolic fractionation kit (BioVision, Inc., Milpitas, CA, USA, K266) according to the manufacturer’s procedure.

2.8. Single-molecule pull-down assay

To evaluate HMGB1 homo-dimer formation, a single-molecule pull-down assay was performed as described previously [42]. HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with YFP:Flag-HMGB1 and HMGB1:LC-CFP constructs for 36 h. The cells were treated with 50 μM CuCl\textsubscript{2}/50 μM H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} for 4 h and harvested with radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, and 50 mM NaF) including a protease inhibitor cocktail (GenDEPOT). The WCL was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 20 min, and the supernatant was harvested. LabTek\textsuperscript{TM} II chamber (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) was passivated with methoxy polyethylene glycol, and 1 μg of anti-GFP antibody (Abcam, ab5449), which cross-reacts with YFP and CFP, was immobilized for 1 h followed by washing with 0.5% Tween 20 in PBS. The chamber was blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin, and 100 μg WCL was incubated for 16 h at 4 °C. The fluorescence of YFP and CFP was observed under a BX51 fluorescence microscope (Olympus).

2.9. Nuclear/cytosolic fractionation

To analyze whether the Cys106 residue is important for HMGB1 homo-dimerization, HEK293T and RAW264.7 cells were transiently expressed with Flag- and Myc-tagged HMGB1, HMGB1\textsuperscript{C106A}, or HMGB1\textsuperscript{C106S} plasmids. The cells were treated with 50 μM CuCl\textsubscript{2}/50 μM H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} for 4 h and 10 or 1,000 ng/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS) for 24 h. The cells were lysed in RIPA buffer containing a protease inhibitor cocktail, and the WCL was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 1 min. Sure-Beads\textsuperscript{TM} magnetic beads tagged with anti-Flag antibody (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) were incubated with 200 μg WCL for 18 h at 4 °C. Collected complexes were fractionated via SDS-PAGE after washing, and immunoblotting was performed using anti-Myc antibody.

2.11. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

To examine the DNA-binding affinity of Di-HMGB1, genomic DNA was purified from \textit{MEF}\textsuperscript{M\textsubscript{Hmgb1}−/−}/cells using EDTA-free modified RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 1% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, and 50 mM NaF). HMGB1\textsuperscript{WT}, HMGB1\textsuperscript{C106A}, and Di-HMGB1 proteins were incubated with genomic DNA in WCL, which was isolated from \textit{MEF}\textsuperscript{M\textsubscript{Hmgb1}−/−}/cells, under 50 μM H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} at 37 °C for 4 h. The mixture was separated on a 0.8% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide.

2.12. DNA hydrolysis inhibition assay

To observe the protective effect of HMGB1 against DNA hydrolysis by DNase I, \textit{MEF}\textsuperscript{M\textsubscript{Hmgb1}−/−}/cells were transiently transfected with Myc-HMGB1, Myc-HMGB1\textsuperscript{C106A}, and Myc-HMGB1\textsubscript{B2} plasmids via electroporation for 36 h. WCLs were prepared using EDTA-free modified RIPA buffer. Protein (20 μg) from each WCL was incubated with DNase I to hydrolyze DNA in 10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5) buffer containing 0.25 mM Mg\textsubscript{2+} and 10 μM CuCl\textsubscript{2} for 10 min at 37 °C. DNase I was inactivated by adding 5 mM EDTA and incubating for 30 min at 50 °C.

To observe the effect of HMGB1 on DNA protection against oxidizing agents, the WCLs prepared above were preincubated with 10 mM H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} with or without 10 mM CuCl\textsubscript{2} in PBS for 10 min at 37 °C. Total RNA was...
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Fig. 1.  (A, B) Whole cell lysates (WCLs) of HEK293T cells were treated with various concentrations of H2O2 for 2 h (A) or 50 μM H2O2 for various time periods (B) and analyzed via non-reducing SDS-PAGE (left). The percentage change in reduced HMGB1 (Re-H) or oxidized HMGB1 (Ox-H) level was measured (right). Star: dimeric HMGB1 (Di-H). (C, D) HMGB1 was incubated with 50 μM H2O2 and various concentrations of CuCl2 (C) or 10 μM CuCl2 and various concentrations of H2O2 (D) for 2 h at 37 °C and immunoblotted with anti-HMGB1 antibody. β-Mercaptoethanol (5%) was used to reduce HMGB1. (E) MALDI-TOF analysis. HMGB1 was treated with 10 μM CuCl2 and 100 μM H2O2 for 2 h at 37 °C. Control: Buffer treated. (F) HEK293T and MEF cells were treated with H2O2 in the presence or absence of CuCl2 for 14 h, and WCL was analyzed via non-reducing SDS-PAGE.

2.13. DNA damage, cell apoptosis, and cell viability assay

MEFHmgb1−/− cells were transiently transfected with Myc-HMGB1, Myc-HMGB1C106A, and Myc-(HMGB1)2 plasmids for 36 h and then treated 1 μM staurosporine (STS, Sigma-Aldrich) with or without 50 μM Z-VAD for 18 h. To quantify apoptotic cells, the cells were incubated with FITC-annexin V and propidium iodide (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) for 15 min in the dark. For fluorescence staining of H2AX phosphorylation (γ-H2AX), a marker of DNA damage, MEFHmgb1−/− cells were transfected with the above plasmids and then incubated with 50 μM CuCl2/50 μM H2O2 for 4 h or 50 μM cisplatin for 24 h, or exposed to a radiation dose of 3 Gy for 4 h. The cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at RT and permeabilized with 1% Triton X-100. They were incubated with a blocking agent for 1 h and stained with ethidium bromide to observe DNA hydrolysis. Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G antibody (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA, A-11008) was added, and the mixture was incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Stained cells were immediately analyzed via flow cytometry using fluorescence-activated cell sorting BD FACS Versa I (BD Biosciences).

To measure cell viability after inducing oxidative stress, MEFHmgb1−/− cells were transfected with Myc-HMGB1, Myc-HMGB1C106A, and Myc-(HMGB1)2 plasmids and cultured in a 96-well plate. The cells were treated with 1 μM staurosporine (STS) for 24 h, and CCK-8 reagent (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Kumamoto, Japan, CK04) was added to each well.

2.14. Mouse study

Animal studies were performed on age- and gender-matched, 7–8-week-old female C57BL/6 mice. All experiments were performed according to procedures approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Yonsei Laboratory Animal Research Center (YLARC, 2017–0208).

C57BL/6 mice were used to investigate the formation of Di-HMGB1 in tumors produced after irradiation. To generate tumors, 1 × 106 B16F1 cells suspended in PBS were injected into the dorsal subcutaneous area of the mice. After the tumor mass was successfully formed, a set of mice was irradiated with a total of 12 Gy X-ray (4 fractions of 3 Gy radiation) at 3-day intervals, whereas control mice were not exposed to radiation. At 3 h after exposure to the last fraction of irradiation, the mice were sacrificed using CO2. At 3-day intervals, whereas control mice were not exposed to radiation. At 3 h after exposure to the last fraction of irradiation, the mice were sacrificed using CO2. Tumor masses were extracted and gently lysed with 1X RIPA buffer.

BALB/c mice were used to investigate the homo-dimerization of HMGB1 in the serum and damaged-liver. The mice were intraperitoneally injected with 1 mg/kg LPS; after 24 h, serum and liver samples were collected. HMGB1 homo-dimer formation was detected via non-reducing SDS-PAGE.

2.15. Proximity ligation assay (PLA)

Homo-dimerization of HMGB1 was evaluated using a Duolink™ II flow PLA Detection Kit (Sigma-Aldrich). RAW264.7 cells were cultured in an eight-well chamber (Nunc™) and transfected with Myc-HMGB1
and Flag-HMGB1 or Flag-HMGB1C106A plasmids. The cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min after treatment and blocked for 1 h; mouse monoclonal anti-Myc antibody was added along with rabbit anti-Flag antibody, and the mixture was incubated overnight at 4 °C. After washing, PLA probes were added, and the mixture was incubated for 1 h in a humidity chamber at 37 °C. The samples were treated with a ligation and amplification buffer containing DNA polymerase and fluorescence-labeled oligonucleotides. Fluorescent spots and images were acquired via confocal microscopy.

2.16. Statistical analysis

Experimental data were analyzed via Student’s t-test using GraphPad Prism software version 5.0 (GraphPad, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The data represent the mean value and standard error of the mean mentioned in the individual figure legends. Differences were considered statistically significant when the p value was <0.05.

3. Results

3.1. HMGB1 can be homo-dimerized under ROS stress conditions

In HMGB1, intramolecular disulfide bonds form between Cys23 and Cys45 with the help of thiol peroxidases PrxI and PrxII under oxidative stress conditions, resulting in the extracellular secretion of HMGB1 [26]. We consistently observed that Ox-HMGB1 formation increased in the presence of H2O2 and CuCl2 in the presence or absence of β-mercaptoethanol. WCLs were immunoblotted with anti-Myc antibody and analyzed via non-reducing SDS-PAGE. (B) HMGB1 and HMGB1C106A protein (100 ng) were incubated with 50 μM H2O2 and 10 μM CuCl2 for 2 h at 37 °C and analyzed via non-reducing SDS-PAGE. (C) HMGB1 A (left panel), C106A, and B box proteins (100 ng) were incubated with 50 μM H2O2 and 10 μM CuCl2, and HMGB1 A box (right panel) protein was incubated with 100 μM H2O2 and 50 μM CuCl2 in the presence or absence of β-mercaptoethanol for 2 h at 37 °C and immunoblotted with anti-His antibody. The A and B box proteins were subjected to 15% or 12% non-reducing SDS-PAGE, respectively. (D) HEK293T cells were transfected with Flag-HMGB1 or Flag-HMGB1C106A, and HMGB1C106S plasmids for 36 h and treated with 50 μM CuCl2 and 50 μM H2O2. WCLs were immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag antibody and immunoblotted with anti-Myc antibody under denaturing conditions.

2 h or 50 μM H2O2) after 24 h of treatment (Fig. 1A and B). Copper and iron are ubiquitous metals in living organisms that can cause hydroxyl radical formation. Copper is also associated with tau-related pathology in Alzheimer’s disease via the promotion of oxidative stress [43]; we used copper with H2O2 to induce strong oxidative stress in this study. To confirm HMGB1 dimerization through catalytic oxidation reactions in the presence of copper, we confirmed the formation of Di-HMGB1 when the WCL of HEK293T cells was treated with various concentrations of H2O2 and CuCl2 for 2 h (Fig. 1C and D). Di- and Ox-HMGB1 were reduced to monomeric HMGB1 when treated with β-mercaptoethanol (Fig. 1A–D). The Di-HMGB1 band in Fig. 1A was subjected to LC-MS/MS, and the obtained HMGB1 peptide sequence was Leu-Gly-Met-Trp-Asn-Thr-Ala-Ala-Asp-Asp-Lys-Gln-Pro-Tyr-Glu-Lys (score: 97), clearly indicating HMGB1 homo-dimer formation. Next, purified monomeric HMGB1 produced from E. coli was treated with 10 μM CuCl2 and 100 μM H2O2 for 2 h and subjected to MALDI-TOF spectroscopic analysis (Fig. 1E). We observed Di-HMGB1 at 53.3 kDa and a small portion of the oligomeric form of HMGB1 at around 80 kDa. When HEK293T and MEF cells were treated with 100 μM H2O2 and 10 μM CuCl2 for 16 h, Di-HMGB1 formation was observed in the non-reducing gel (Fig. 1F). Taken together, Re-HMGB1 was oxidized to Ox-HMGB1 at mild ROS concentrations and finally to Di-HMGB1 at excessive ROS concentrations.

3.2. HMGB1 Cys106 is responsible for its dimerisation

Among the three cysteine residues, Cys23, Cys45, and Cys106, the HMGB1 motif containing the Cys106 residue is important for the toll-like receptor 4 signaling of HMGB1 [22]. HMGB1 becomes immunologically nonfunctional when the Cys106 residue is hyperoxidized to the
HMGB1 constructs for the BiFC assay. GFP<sup>P</sup>, GFP<sup>N</sup>: N- or C-terminal half of GFP. LK: linker. HEK293T cells were transfected with a mixture of GFP<sup>LK</sup>:HMGB1 and HMGB1:LK:GFP<sup>C</sup>, GFP<sup>P</sup>LK:HMGB1<sup>1^{C106A}</sup> and HMGB1:LK:GFP<sup>P</sup>, or GFP<sup>LK</sup>:HMGB1 and HMGB1 plasmids (B) and transfected with GFP<sup>P</sup>LK:(HMGB1)<sup>2</sup>LK:GFP<sup>P</sup> and GFP<sup>P</sup>LK:(HMGB1)<sup>1^{C106A}</sup>LK:GFP<sup>P</sup> plasmids (C) for 36 h and treated with 50 μM CuCl<sub>2</sub> and 50 μM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 4 h. The cells were fixed and observed under a confocal microscope. Fluorescence intensities of signal-positive cells were calculated using FV1000 software, and all data are expressed as the means ± SEM (n = 3, right panel). **p < 0.001, t-test. (D) FRET analysis by acceptor photo-bleaching. HEK293T cells were transfected with a mixture of YFP:LK:HMGB1 and CFP:LK:HMGB1 or YFP:LK:HMGB1 and HMGB1:LK:CFP plasmids. The YFP:LK:CFP plasmid was used as control. Cells were cultured in coverslip chambers precoated with poly-L-Lys for 36 h and observed under a confocal microscope. FRET efficiency was determined in a higher fluorescence area and calculated in percentage as E = [(<em>I</em><sup>pre</sup> - <em>I</em><sup>post</sup>)/<em>I</em><sup>pre</sup>]<times>100 (right panel). FRET efficiency data were calculated from three independent experiments using at least 10 images from each sample. All data are expressed as the means ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001, t-test.

Fig. 3. HMGB1 homo-dimerization in the anti-parallel direction. (A) Hypothetical model of HMGB1 dimerization. (B, C) Schematic HMGB1 constructs and dimeric HMGB1 constructs for the BiFC assay. GFP<sup>P</sup>, GFP<sup>N</sup>: N- or C-terminal half of GFP. LK: linker. HEK293T cells were transfected with a mixture of GFP<sup>LK</sup>:HMGB1 and HMGB1:LK:GFP<sup>C</sup>, GFP<sup>P</sup>LK:HMGB1<sup>1^{C106A}</sup> and HMGB1:LK:GFP<sup>P</sup>, or GFP<sup>LK</sup>:HMGB1 and HMGB1 plasmids (B) and transfected with GFP<sup>P</sup>LK:(HMGB1)<sup>2</sup>LK:GFP<sup>P</sup> and GFP<sup>P</sup>LK:(HMGB1)<sup>1^{C106A}</sup>LK:GFP<sup>P</sup> plasmids (C) for 36 h and treated with 50 μM CuCl<sub>2</sub> and 50 μM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 4 h. The cells were fixed and observed under a confocal microscope. Fluorescence intensities of signal-positive cells were calculated using FV1000 software, and all data are expressed as the means ± SEM (n = 3, right panel). **p < 0.001, t-test. (D) FRET analysis by acceptor photo-bleaching. HEK293T cells were transfected with a mixture of YFP:LK:HMGB1 and CFP:LK:HMGB1 or YFP:LK:HMGB1 and HMGB1:LK:CFP plasmids. The YFP:LK:CFP plasmid was used as control. Cells were cultured in coverslip chambers precoated with poly-L-Lys for 36 h and observed under a confocal microscope. FRET efficiency was determined in a higher fluorescence area and calculated in percentage as E = [(<em>I</em><sup>pre</sup> - <em>I</em><sup>post</sup>)/<em>I</em><sup>pre</sup>]<times>100 (right panel). FRET efficiency data were calculated from three independent experiments using at least 10 images from each sample. All data are expressed as the means ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001, t-test.

3.3. Dimerization of HMGB1 occurs in an anti-parallel direction

Next, we determined whether ROS could promote the formation of Di-HMGB1 in cells. To confirm the binding orientation of Di-HMGB1 (Fig. 3A), BiFC was performed to determine the parallel or anti-parallel orientations of the HMGB1 constructs. Several constructs of HMGB1 were linked to the N- or C-terminal half of GFP (GFP<sup>P</sup> or GFP<sup>N</sup>), including the optimal length of the intermediate linker (LK) (Table S1). The BiFC fluorescence signal was observed when both the GFP<sup>P</sup>LK:HMGB1 and HMGB1:LK:GFP<sup>C</sup> constructs were expressed (Fig. 3B, Table S1). This signal was abrogated when HMGB1<sup>1^{C106A}</sup> was used. We developed the GFP<sup>P</sup>LK:(HMGB1)<sup>2</sup>LK:GFP<sup>C</sup> plasmid using another method and observed that GFP protein complementation was increased by 5.8-fold after H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/CuCl<sub>2</sub> treatment of HEK293T cells transfected with this plasmid compared to that in cells transfected with the GFP<sup>P</sup>LK:(HMGB1)<sup>1^{C106A}</sup>LK:GFP<sup>P</sup> plasmid. (Fig. 3C). The Di-HMGB1 form was localized in the nucleus (Fig. 3B and C), as confirmed by nuclear fractionation (Suppl. Fig. 1A). Next, we performed a complementary FRET assay after photo-bleaching to show the dimeric binding of HMGB1. HEK293T cells were transfected with the YFP:LK:HMGB1, CFP: LK:HMGB1, and HMGB1:LK:CFP constructs. The YFP:LK:CFP construct was used as a positive control. Increased donor fluorescence after photo-bleaching indicates energy transfer between the donor and recipient, which can only occur when the donor-recipient distance is less than 10 nm [44]. FRET signals of CFP to YFP were obtained before and after photo-bleaching. The FRET value of the anti-parallel orientation of the HMGB1 constructs obtained using YFP:LK:HMGB1 and HMGB1:LK:CFP was 17.13 ± 4.9%, whereas the FRET value of the parallel orientation of YFP:LK:HMGB1 with CFP: LK:HMGB1 was 10.58 ± 1.53% (Fig. 3D). As cellular protein complexes were probed by performing a single-molecule pull-down assay [42], we tested Di-HMGB1 formation using the YFP:LK:HMGB1 and HMGB1:LK:CFP constructs. After HEK293T was transfected with YFP:LK:HMGB1 and HMGB1:LK:CFP, we observed the sulfinic form (−SO<sub>2</sub>) [23]. Myc-tagged HMGB1- and HMGB1<sup>1^{C106A}</sup>—transfected WCLs were treated with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/CuCl<sub>2</sub> and immunoblotted with anti-Myc antibody. As expected, Di-HMGB1 was observed in only the WT HMGB1-transfected WCLs, whereas Ox-HMGB1 was observed in both HMGB1- and HMGB1<sup>1^{C106A}</sup>-transfected WCLs (Fig. 2A). When recombiant proteins of WT HMGB1 and HMGB1<sup>1^{C106A}</sup> were purified and treated with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/CuCl<sub>2</sub>, WT HMGB1 formed Di-HMGB1 (Fig. 2B). C106L is located in the B box, and the dimeric or oligomeric form was observed in the only B box when purified A-, B<sup>1^{C106A}</sup>-, and B box proteins were exposed to oxidative stress (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, strong oxidative stress to the HMGB1 A box protein showed oxidation of the A box between Cys23 and Cys45, which migrated upward (Fig. 2C, left panel). Next, HEK293T cells were co-transfected with Flag-HMGB1 and various forms of Myc-HMGB1 plasmids followed by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/CuCl<sub>2</sub> treatment; the binding of Flag-HMGB1 and Myc-HMGB1, not HMGB1<sup>1^{C106A}</sup> and HMGB1<sup>1^{C106A}</sup>, was observed upon immunoprecipitation analysis (Fig. 2D). These data indicate that Cys106 is an important residue for HMGB1 dimerization under oxidative stress.
Fig. 4. Di-HMGB1 is important for DNA binding and protection of DNA from DNase I action. (A) Dimeric form of HMGB1 produced via incubation with 50 μM CuCl₂ and 50 μM H₂O₂ for 4 h at 37 °C. (B, C) WCL containing genomic DNA from MEF<sup>Hmgb1</sup><sup>-/-</sup> cells was incubated with various amounts of monomeric or a mixture of monomeric and dimeric HMGB1 (B), and the migration distances were measured from each well to the DNA tail for the relative ratio of mobility distance (C). (D, E) WCL containing genomic DNA from MEF<sup>Hmgb1</sup><sup>-/-</sup> cells was incubated with various amounts of HMGB1 or HMGB1<sub>C106A</sub> under 50 μM H₂O₂ for 4 h, and the migration distances were measured (E). All data (C, E) are expressed as the means ± SEM (n = 3). *p < 0.01, **p < 0.001, t-test. (F, G) WCLs of MEF and MEF<sup>Hmgb1</sup><sup>-/-</sup> cells were incubated with 0.1 unit DNase I for various time periods (F) or with different amounts of DNase I (G) for 5 min at 37 °C. EDTA at 5 mM was used to stop the DNase I reaction, and aliquots were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel. (H) WCL containing genomic DNA from MEF<sup>Hmgb1</sup><sup>-/-</sup> cells was incubated with various amounts of DNase I in the presence of monomeric or dimeric HMGB1 for DNA hydrolysis at 37 °C for 5 min. (I) MEF<sup>Hmgb1</sup><sup>-/-</sup> cells were transiently overexpressed with Myc-HMGB1 or Myc-(HMGB1)₂ plasmid for 36 h, and each WCL was incubated with various units of DNase I. (F–I) All experiments were repeated three times and showed similar results as the representative data shown.
co-localization of YFP and CFP fluorescence, with a significantly increased signal intensity (by 2.78-fold) compared to the control (Suppl. Fig. 1B). These results indicate that direct visualization of Cys106-mediated HMGB1 homo-dimerization in the anti-parallel orientation is increased because of ROS in living cells.

3.4. Di-HMGB1 increases DNA-binding affinity and prevents DNA damage

The interaction between HMGB1 and chromatin can be measured by performing DNA-binding affinity and DNA stability assays [45–47]. We next investigated the role of Di-HMGB in cells. HMGB1 molecules were incubated in 37 °C for 4 h in PBS containing 50 μM H2O2 and 50 μM CuCl2 to obtain Di-HMGB1. Approximately 70% of the HMGB1 molecules were dimers, whereas a small fraction existed in the oligomeric form (Fig. 4 A). The interaction between HMGB1 and genomic DNA in MEF Hmgb1−/− cells was measured using the electrophoretic mobility shift assay. As expected, the migration of genomic DNA incubated with Di-HMGB1 was inhibited in the presence of HMGB1 in a dose-dependent manner compared to that in the presence of monomeric HMGB1 (Fig. 4B and C). Genomic DNA, which was incubated with HMGB1, showed slow migration in the presence of 50 μM H2O2 compared to that in the presence of HMGB1 C106A (Fig. 4D and E), suggesting that HMGB1 dimerization occurred under oxidative stress and that the dimeric form exhibited greater DNA-binding affinity. Next, the protective effect of Di-HMGB1 against DNA damage was confirmed. We determined the protective function of Di-HMGB1 under strong ROS conditions by performing a DNA protection assay using DNase I. MEF cells were transfected with vector control (vector), wild-type Myc-HMGB1 and Myc-(HMGB1)2, and Myc-(HMGB1)C106A2 plasmid and treated with 50 μM CuCl2/50 μM H2O2 for 4 h (A-C) or with irradiation (3 Gy) for 4 h (D-F). The cells were immunostained against γ-H2AX for confocal microscopy (A, D), and Western blot analysis (B, E) and flow cytometry analyses were performed (C, F). Bar: 20 μm, n.s.: not significant, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, t-test.

3.5. Di-HMGB1 reduces H2AX phosphorylation (γ-H2AX) and cell death

HMGB1 binds to the minor groove of DNA and its binding affinity increases for UV-induced DNA damage or cisplatin-modified DNA [48–50]. Hydroxyl free radicals primarily cause DNA damage [51]. We hypothesized that Di-HMGB1 is more capable of protecting DNA from the activity of DNase I, the binding of Di-HMGB1 to DNA was tested. Genomic DNA from MEF(HMGB1)−/− cells was mixed with monomeric or Di-HMGB1 and treated with different units of DNase I. Genomic DNA was dose-dependently hydrolyzed by DNase I and completely hydrolyzed with 1 unit of DNase I in the absence of HMGB1. However, DNA hydrolysis was inhibited by 2 μg/mL HMGB1 at 1 unit of DNase I, and this inhibition was stronger when Di-HMGB1 was added (Fig. 4H). Next, MEF(HMGB1)−/− cells were transfected with Myc-HMGB1 and Myc-(HMGB1)2, and WCLs were incubated with different concentrations of DNase I. The DNA protective effect in Myc-(HMGB1)2-transfected cells was greater than that in those transfected with Myc-HMGB1 or a vector (Fig. 4I). Taken together, Cys106-mediated Di-HMGB1 preferentially binds to genomic DNA and effectively prevents DNA damage.

Fig. 5. Di-HMGB1 effectively decreases ROS-mediated DNA damage. MEF(HMGB1)−/− cells were transfected with vector control (vector), wild-type Myc-HMGB1 and Myc-(HMGB1)2, and Myc-(HMGB1)C106A2 plasmid and treated with 50 μM CuCl2/50 μM H2O2 for 4 h (A–C) or with irradiation (3 Gy) for 4 h (D–F). The cells were immunostained against γ-H2AX for confocal microscopy (A, D), and Western blot analysis (B, E) and flow cytometry analyses were performed (C, F). Bar: 20 μm, n.s.: not significant, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, t-test.
phosphorylation level of histone H2AX, which increased against the DNA damage caused by ROS [52]. MEF\textsuperscript{Hmgb1\textsuperscript{-/-}} cells transfected with wild-type Flag-HMGB1 and Myc-tagged HMGB1 or HMGB1\textsuperscript{C106A} plasmids for 36 h and treated with 10 or 1,000 ng/mL LPS. WCLs were immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag Ab and immunoblotted with anti-Myc antibody (A), or PLA was analyzed with rabbit anti-Flag antibody and mouse anti-Myc antibody (B). Two BALB/c mice were intraperitoneally injected with 1 mg/kg LPS. Liver tissues were collected and minced, and 5 μg of WCL was immunoblotted with anti-HMGB1 antibody (C). Blood and liver tissues were serially obtained after 24 h. Blood serum (10 μL) was separated by non-reducing SDS-PAGE in the presence or absence of 5 mM dithiothreitol and immunoblotted with anti-HMGB1 Ab (D). B16F1 cells were injected into the dorsal subcutaneous area of C57BL/6 mice, and 12 Gy X-ray were irradiated at 3-day intervals with 4 fractions of 3 Gy radiation. Tumor masses were extracted, gently lysed, and analyzed by non-reducing SDS-PAGE (E). (F) A model of the HMGB1 homo-dimerization process depending on the ROS concentration. HMGB1 homo-dimerized under excessive ROS conditions and protected DNA against ROS-mediated damage.

To determine whether Di-HMGB1 protects cells from ROS-mediated apoptosis, MEF\textsuperscript{Hmgb1\textsuperscript{-/-}} cells were transiently transfected with several types of HMGB1 plasmids and then treated with STS, a well-known apoptosis inducer in almost all cell lines. Flow cytometric analysis showed that the percentage of apoptotic cells increased in mock plasmid-transfected cells after STS treatment but Myc-(HMGB1)\textsubscript{2} plasmid-overexpressing cells were protected against STS-induced apoptosis (Suppl. Fig. 3A). We used Z-VAD, a pan-caspase inhibitor, for apoptosis inhibition. According to the results of the CCK assay, the viability of Myc-(HMGB1)\textsubscript{2}-transfected cells was increased compared to that in those transfected with the vector control (Suppl. Fig. 3B). Similar results were obtained after H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} treatment (Fig. S3C). MEF\textsuperscript{Hmgb1\textsuperscript{-/-}} cells were more susceptible to STS-induced apoptosis than MEF cells (Fig. S3D and E). These results indicate that Cys106-mediated Di-HMGB1 prevents apoptotic cell death.
3.6. Physiological relevance of HMGB1 dimerization

To determine the physiological relevance of HMGB1 dimerization, MEF<sup>Hmgb1<sup>-/-</sup></sup> cells were transfected with Myc-tagged HMGB1 and Flag-tagged HMGB1 plasmids and then treated with low and high concentrations of LPS (10 and 1,000 ng/mL), respectively. Dimer formation in Flag-HMGB1- and Myc-HMGB1-transfected cells other than HMGB1<sup>C106A</sup> was observed via immunoprecipitation analysis and PLA assay after treatment with a high concentration of LPS (Fig. 6A and B).

Next, we injected BALB/c mice with 1 mg/kg of LPS to observe the formation of Di-HMGB1 under oxidative stress conditions in vivo. ROS is one of main mediators of LPS-stimulated signaling [54]. To identify ROS-induced HMGB1 dimerization, we obtained mouse liver and serum samples after LPS injection for non-reducing Western blot analysis. LPS-mediated HMGB1 dimerization was detected in the liver samples of the LPS injection group but dimerization was almost negligible in the liver samples from the PBS-treated control group (Fig. 6C). Moreover, the detection of Di-HMGB1 was confirmed in the serum samples of LPS-injected mice by treating the samples with dithiothreitol to reduce Di-HMGB1 to its monomeric form (Fig. 6D). In addition, we investigated HMGB1 dimerization in tumors induced in C57BL/6 mice exposed to LPS injection group but dimerization was almost negligible in the liver samples after LPS injection for non-reducing Western blot analysis. The formation of Di-HMGB1 after irradiation in the LPS-induced endotoxemia model was confirmed in the serum samples of the LPS-induced endotoxemia model mice. It is not known how Di-HMGB1 is formed after irradiation in the LPS-induced endotoxemia model. The binding of Di-HMGB1 with chromatin prevents DNA damage due to oxidative stress. Cells exposed to ROS eventually die because of DNA hydrolysis. The protection of DNA against ROS-mediated hydrolysis indicates a role for Di-HMGB1 in cell survival. In contrast, the downregulation of endogenous HMGB1 by short hairpin RNA has been shown to inhibit cell survival in lymph node carcinoma of prostate cancer cells [55]. We found a considerable amount of Di-HMGB1 in the serum of the LPS-induced endotoxemia model mice. It is not known how extracellular Di-HMGB1 is formed, as Di-HMGB1 is mainly located in the nucleus. Serum Di-HMGB1 appears to form from monomeric HMGB1 after serum ROS levels, which are increased by LPS injection [56]. The passive release of Di-HMGB1 after cell damage to the extracellular space is possible. The functional significance of Di-HMGB1 in the blood must be further investigated. Extracellular Di-HMGB1 induced a greater proinflammatory response than HMGB1 monomer (data not shown), and the effects of Di-HMGB1 on the microenvironment, including inflammation and apoptosis, require additional analysis. Previous studies showed that increased oxidation converts Re-HMGB1 to Ox-HMGB1 between Cys23 and Cys45 [26]. Our study showed that Di-HMGB1 was formed via the Cys106 residue in an anti-parallel direction after intramolecular disulfide fission from Di-HMGB1. We observed that Di-HMGB1 overexpressing cells in EDTA-free modified RIPA buffer. DNase I cleaves the phosphodiester backbone of the DNA double helix by hydrolyzing the P-O-P bond, yielding 5'-phosphorylated fragments [58, 59]. We observed that Di-HMGB1 strongly bind to DNA and prevented genomic DNA damage due to hydrolysis by DNase I and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or without CuCl<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, the Cys106-mediated dimerization of HMGB1 in the presence of excessive ROS plays a key role in cell survival by protecting against peroxidation-induced DNA damage.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that Cys106-mediated HMGB1 dimerization occurs in the presence of high ROS concentrations, and the formed dimers strongly bind to DNA to prevent its hydrolysis in the presence of excessive ROS (such as that following radiotherapy for cancer) and eventually increase cell survival.
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