Abstract: The effect of a second porous SiO$_2$ shell in the activity and selectivity of the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt catalyst in the hydrodenitrogenation of indole is reported. The double Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt@SiO$_2$ structure was prepared by coating Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles with tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) with a further impregnation of 1.0 wt.% of Pt on the (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane functionalized Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ structures. The second porous SiO$_2$ shell, obtained by using a hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) template, covered the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt catalyst with a well-defined and narrow pore-sized distribution. The full characterization by TEM, inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), XRD, and N$_2$ adsorption isotherm at 77 K and vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM) of the catalysts indicates homogeneous core@shell structures with a controlled nano-size of metallic Pt. A significant effect of the double SiO$_2$ shell in the catalytic performance was demonstrated by both a higher activity to eliminate the nitrogen atom of the indole molecule present in model liquid fuel and the improvement of the catalytic stability reaching four consecutive reaction cycles with only a slight conversion level decrease.
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1. Introduction

The presence of polyaromatic and cyclic compounds in diesel negatively affects the environment and reduces the quality of diesel, as well as lowers the cetane number, which is indicative of the ease of ignition thereof. Hydrotreatment is one of the most efficient methods for the removal of N and S from refinery currents, as well as for the saturation of alkenes and aromatics [1–4]. It is important to highlight the multiplicity of reactions that come into play in hydrotreatment in this area of study. Taking into account the different elements that are considered contaminants in the refining processes of the petrochemical industry, within hydrotreatment we can distinguish hydrodesulfurization (HDS), hydrodenitrogenation (HDN), hydrodeoxygenation (HDO), hydrodemetalization (HDM), and hydrodearomatization (HDA). The HDS has been extensively studied because sulfur is a pollutant that is present in higher proportions in crude oils of lower quality and also as an important catalyst deactivator because of poisoning [5–9]. However, removal of nitrogen from organic compounds present in various fractions of crudes is harder than sulfur removal, which leads to the importance of the study.
of the HDN reaction. In this process of heterogeneous catalysis, the industry currently uses variations of the following combinations: Co(Ni)Mo(W)/Al₂O₃. In this arch for highly active and selective hydrotreatment catalysts for the oil industry, there are numerous works based on catalysts mainly with noble metals as active sites, since although they have a higher cost, the high conversions achieved justify their promising uses. Likewise, our previous works have shown that the acid support, the formation of bimetallic alloys, and the introduction of heteroatoms can greatly improve the performance of deposited metals in the active phase [10–17]. In this way of looking for more efficient catalysts, the supports with large surface areas have been greatly studied since they can achieve a great dispersion of the active phase, significantly improving the yield of the reactions. In this sense, the support materials have been the protagonists of various studies. Among the most studied are metal oxides, such as Al₂O₃ [4–9], and materials with different degrees of porosity, such as MCM (Mobil Composition of Matter), SBA, Santa Barbara Amorphous type material and zeolites [10–18].

Among the challenges that arise in the development of catalysts for the refining of crude oil, the factors associated with their reuse are decisive, including the characteristics that allow the separation of the catalyst from the reaction medium, as well as its recovery and the degree of activity that can be maintained in multiple reaction cycles. Accordingly, other interesting catalysts are core-shell nanoparticles (CSNs), designated as core@shell, that are formed with nuclei (inner materials) and shells (external material) at nanoscale. These types of materials have many applications, specifically in the field of heterogeneous catalysis, allowing the design, both in terms of the core and the casing, to achieve synergy between the two towards greater efficiency, yield, and selectivity. Depending on the constituent materials, they can be classified as CSN combinations of core shell: inorganic/inorganic, organic/organic, inorganic/organic, and inorganic/organic. Organic CSNs require polymerization techniques to prepare the organic core, shell, or both, while inorganic CSNs can be categorized as silica-based CSNs and metal-based CSNs. Silica-based CSNs have been extensively studied because silica is considered inert, that is, a simple dispersant of the active phase, although some authors have shown that they can react with metal precursors to form silicates of Ni, Co, Cu, Zn, and Ce with different reactivity [19–29]. Core@shell silica microspheres with ultra-small encapsulated nanoparticles of Pd have been highlighted as efficient and easily recyclable for the catalytic hydrogenation of various groups of olefins, alkynes, keto, and nitro groups [28,29]. One application of the combination of the properties of the core and the shell is the development of magnetically separable catalysts, a quality that represents a significant improvement when evaluating the reuse of a catalyst. Polshettiwar et al. [30] reported the synthesis of supported nanoparticles of Ni-ferrite with excellent magnetic recovery in hydrogenation and transfer hydrogenation reactions. Scähtz et al. [31] in their review article made an extensive analysis of various catalysts supported in ferrite nanoparticles, highlighting their properties for magnetic separation. The application of core@shell silica microspheres, with ultra-small encapsulated nanoparticles of Pt, in the catalytic hydrotreatment process was not found in the literature. The main objective of this work is to develop homogeneous core@shell structures with a controlled nano-size of metallic Pt and study the effect of the double SiO₂ shell in the catalytic performance in the HDN of indole.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Synthesis

All reagents were used without purification or treatment provided; these were acquired from Sigma® (Darmstadt, Germany) and Merck® (Darmstadt, Germany) Company. The Fe₃O₄–NPs were synthesized by a solvothermal method following Long et al. [32], and FeCl₃ (Merck®) was dissolved in a polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP K30, Sigma®) solution in ethylene glycol (EG, Merck®) with sodium acetate as nucleating agent. The mixture was transferred to a Teflon autoclave and isothermally treated at 200 °C for 8 h. The solid obtained was separated by magnetization and washed several times with absolute ethanol. The Fe₃O₄-core NPs were coated with SiO₂ using the Stöber method [32]. Fe₃O₄–NPs
were dispersed in a mixture of ethanol, water, and ammonia, and after that tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS, Merck®) was slowly added to the dispersion under stirring for 6 h. The Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ solid was separated by magnetization and washed several times with an ethanol–water mixture. In order to promote an active Pt immobilization and dispersion on the surface of the material, the surface of the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ was functionalized with (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (AMPTS, Merck®, 1 mL g$^{-1}$ of solid), a coupling agent refluxing in toluene for 24 h under mechanical stirring. The solid was separated by magnetization, washed with a toluene–acetone mixture, and dried in an oven at 50 °C for 12 h. The functionalized material was dispersed in a K$_2$PtCl$_6$ (Merck®) solution with an amount of precursor necessary to produce 1.0 wt.% systems with respect to Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$. The material was placed in contact with the solution for 3 h under mechanical stirring and then reduced with a fresh solution of NaBH$_4$ (Merck®). The second coating of SiO$_2$ was deposited on the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt surface by a modified Stöber method reported previously [33] using TEOS as a precursor, triethanolamine (TEA) as a basic catalyst, and hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, Sigma®) as a stabilized and soft template. Finally, the CTAB template was removed by ion exchange using ammonium nitrate in ethanol dissolution under reflux conditions, obtaining the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt@mSiO$_2$ material.

2.2. Characterization

The morphology and microanalysis of the structures were studied by scanning transmission electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (STEM-EDS) using an FEI Tecnai ST F20 microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) operating at 200 kV. Up to 300 individual metal particles were counted for each catalyst, and the surface area-weighted mean Pt diameter ($d_p$) was calculated using the software ImageJ 1.48 (Wayne Rasband, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). Adsorption isotherms were obtained at 77 K in a Micromeritics ASAP 2010 instrument (Norcross, GA, USA). X-ray powder diffraction profiles were obtained in a Rigaku Diffractometer with Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) and a nickel filter (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan). The magnetic behavior was studied using a Lakeshore series 7400 vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) in an applied field of 20 kOe at 27 °C (Lakeshore, New Orleans, LA, USA). Pt and Fe contents were determined by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) with a Perkin–Elmer Optima 2100 DV instrument (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The catalyst contents were determined after digestion in a 1:3 mixture of HNO$_3$:HCl. X-ray source spectrophotometry (XPS) was obtained on a STAIB Instruments brand RQ-300 X-ray Source spectrophotometer (XPS RQ300/2, StaibInstrumente GmbH, Langenbach, Germany). The monochromatic radiation used as an excitation source is that of Al K$_\alpha$ (hv = 11486.6 eV) operated at 75 W.

2.3. Catalytic Activity

The hydrodenitrogenation reactions of indole were carried out at 250 °C and 15 atm of H$_2$ and 500 rpm in a 600 mL stirred autoclave (Parr Pressure Reactor 4536, Parr Instrument Company, Moline, IL, USA). The typical procedure was as follows: 150 ppm of N as indole was dissolved in 50 mL of dodecane (0.01 mol L$^{-1}$). The mixture was placed into the autoclave and the catalyst (250 mg) was transferred to the reactor. The reaction time was 8 h; samples were taken every hour. The products were analyzed with a HP 5890 Series II GC and HP-5 capillary column and identified by GC/MS.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization

Figure 1 presents the high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) for the image sequence obtained at each step of the catalyst synthesis; the Fe$_3$O$_4$-core image is shown in Figure 1a. Figure 1b reveals that the CSNs, where the Fe$_3$O$_4$ core is covered by a layer of SiO$_2$, were close to 45 nm thick (Table 1). In Figure 1c,d, a successful impregnation-reduction process obtaining Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt and Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt@mSiO$_2$ catalysts can be seen, respectively. Both catalysts present similar Pt size
distribution and an average particle size of 3.5 nm (Table 1). The SiO\textsubscript{2} coating generated by the Stöber method did not modify the distribution or increase the average size of the Pt–NPs on the surface of the material. The micrographs show the formation of a uniform coating on the surface of both catalysts, with channels perpendicular to the surface that correspond to the porosity of the material formed during the removal of the organic CTAB template used as a porosity directing agent. The preferential alignment of the surfactant and the silica oligomer with the core@shell in the performed structures is noticeable.

Figure 1. HR-TEM of the synthesized Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}–NPs and core@shell structures. (a) Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}; (b) Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}; (c) Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}-Pt; (d) Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@SiO\textsubscript{2}-Pt@mSiO\textsubscript{2}.
The catalysts were characterized by ICP-OES to determine the Pt content, as summarized in Table 1. The Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt catalyst contains slightly lower Pt than the nominal value, while for the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt@mSiO$_2$ catalysts the Pt loading is largely lower than the nominal. The larger difference in the Pt content for Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt@mSiO$_2$ is attributed to the second mSiO$_2$ shell of core@shell particles and not due to the leaching effect. When the mesoporous SiO$_2$ shell is coated around the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt structures, a uniform increase in the size of the second shell is observed, reaching 51 nm of thickness in mean diameter (see Table 1). The increase of the thickness provides a dilution of the Pt active phase compared to the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt structures, in the same way as the increase of the SiO$_2$ shell thickness.

### Table 1. HR-TEM characterization, inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), $S_{BET}$, and Pt 4f$_{7/2}$ binding energy.

| Catalysts               | Core Mean Diameter (nm) | Thickness SiO$_2$ Mean Diameter (nm) | Pt Mean Diameter (nm) | Pt Content (%) | $S_{BET}$ (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) | Pt 4f$_{7/2}$ (eV) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt  | 229 ± 75                | 45 ± 12                              | 3.6 ± 1.0             | 0.7 (1.0) (2) | 11                          | 70.9             |
| Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt@mSiO$_2$ | 231 ± 42               | 96 ± 15 (51 ± 14) (1)                | 3.4 ± 0.8             | 0.35 (1.0) (2) | 178                         | –                |

(1) Second shell thickness SiO$_2$ mean diameter in brackets; (2) nominal value in brackets.

Figure 2 illustrates the XRD patterns of the synthesized materials. All systems show the diffraction peaks characteristic of magnetite, Fe$_3$O$_4$ (JCPDS 19-0629) [34]. The Fe$_3$O$_4$ phase was not modified during the SiO$_2$ coating. This finding indicates that the crystalline phase of the material is restricted to the magnetite core. Only for the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt is a diffraction peak at $2\theta = 39^\circ$ detected, corresponding to the surface metallic Pt (JCPDS 04–0802). The low intensity of this signal is attributed to the average particle size of the Pt clusters below the detection limit of the XRD technique. The high dispersion of the Pt crystalline phase is in line with the homogenous distribution of Pt–NPs on the surface of the SiO$_2$ shell observed by HR-TEM, and the surface XPS technique confirms the presence of metallic Pt (see below).

![Figure 2](image_url) XRD of the synthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$–NPs and core@shell structures.

Figure 3 shows the spectra of Pt 4f$_{7/2}$ for the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$–Pt catalyst, with a BE of 70.9 eV attributed to the metallic Pt species. Surface metallic Pt was not detected in the system with the second SiO$_2$ coating; this was attributed to the coverage with the second shell.
with both the shape of isotherm’s hysteresis loop and the TEM characterization for the observed core@shell aggregates. The narrow distribution of mesopores at 3.8 nm is attributed to the removed CTAB organic template. These results are in line with both the shape of isotherm’s hysteresis loop and the TEM characterization for the observed core@shell aggregates.

The isotherm exhibits two distinguished inflections in the adsorbed amount. The first one at P/P₀ close to 0.8 which can be ascribed rather to the effect of interparticle voids present between some coalesced aggregates of the material [36,37], and the second one at P/P₀ = 0.4–0.6 is attributed to the pores created after CTAB micelles removal [36,37], and the second one at P/P₀ close to 0.8 which can be ascribed rather to the effect of interparticle voids present between some coalesced aggregates of the material [38]. The pore size distribution shows a bimodal distribution with a narrow distribution centered at 3.8 nm and a wider distribution centered at 14 nm associated with the interstitial spaces of the aggregates of the particles. The narrow distribution of mesopores at 3.8 nm is attributed to the removed CTAB organic template. These results are in line with both the shape of isotherm’s hysteresis loop and the TEM characterization for the observed core@shell aggregates.

Table 1 presents the results obtained for S_BET calculated from the N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms at 77 K shown in Figure 4. The Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt shows an isotherm typical of type II materials and a S_BET value of 11 m² g⁻¹. After the deposition of the second shell, the isotherm changes to mesoporous type IV materials with a large increase in the specific area. The catalyst Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt@mSiO₂ displayed an isotherm type IV with a hysteresis loop type H₃ pores typical for cylindrical pores according to the IUPAC (International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry) classification [35]. The isotherm exhibits two distinguished inflections in the adsorbed amount. The first one at P/P₀ = 0.4–0.6 is attributed to the pores created after CTAB micelles removal [36,37], and the second one at P/P₀ close to 0.8 which can be ascribed rather to the effect of interparticle voids present between some coalesced aggregates of the material [38]. The pore size distribution shows a bimodal distribution with a narrow distribution centered at 3.8 nm and a wider distribution centered at 14 nm associated with the interstitial spaces of the aggregates of the particles. The narrow distribution of mesopores at 3.8 nm is attributed to the removed CTAB organic template. These results are in line with both the shape of isotherm’s hysteresis loop and the TEM characterization for the observed core@shell aggregates.

Figure 3. X-ray source spectrophotometry (XPS) of the Pt 4f⁷/₂ of the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt core@shell structure.

Figure 4. N₂ adsorption isotherms and pore size distribution of the core@shell structures.
Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt@mSiO₂ materials exhibit the ferromagnetic properties of the started Fe₃O₄–NPs, as can be seen in Figure 5. The magnetic saturation (Mₛ) value of the Fe₃O₄–NPs changes with subsequent coverage, due to the relative decrease of Fe₃O₄ content with respect to the presence of the SiO₂ shell. The Fe₃O₄ core corresponds to magnetization curves with an absence of a hysteresis cycle, characteristic of superparamagnetic materials. The decrease of the Mₛ of 91 emu g⁻¹ for magnetite phase (Fe₃O₄) to 45 emu g⁻¹ for Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt and 25 emu g⁻¹ for Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt@mSiO₂ is a result of the effect of the coating with SiO₂. Even though the relative lower range of value of Mₛ 25–45 emu g⁻¹ was enough for the efficient removal below 1 min of the micro-sized particles, it reflected the ability of these catalysts to respond to an external magnetic field, which allows for quick separation from the liquid phase [39].

Figure 5. Vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) of the synthesized Fe₃O₄–NPs and core@shell structures.

3.2. Catalytic Activity

The reaction network of the hydrodenitrogenation of indole proposed by Zhang and Ozkan is shown in Scheme 1 [40]. According to this pathway, ethylcyclohexane (ECH) and ethylbenzene (EB) are the two main products from HDN of indole. Path 1 indicates the hydrogenolysis of indoline (HIN) to o-ethylaniline (OEA) and path 2 indicates the hydrogenation of indoline (HIN) to octahydroindole (OHIN). A secondary route from o-ethylaniline (OEA) (path 3) to o-ethylcyclohexylamine (OECHA) and then to ethylcyclohexene (ECHE) is also included. The only identified products, after the experiments performed in this work, were indoline (HIN), o-ethylaniline (OEA), ethylbenzene (EB), and ethylcyclohexene (ECH); these accounted for almost 95% of the total products.

Scheme 1. Reaction pathways for hydrodenitrogenation (HDN) of indole: indole (IND), indoline (HIN), o-ethylaniline (OEA), ethylbenzene (EB), octahydroindole (OHIN), o-ethylcyclohexylamine (OECHA), ethylcyclohexene (ECHE), and ethylcyclohexane (ECH).
Figure 6 shows the conversion of indole as a function of time for the two synthesized catalysts. It is clearly observed that the catalyst with the mesoporous silica coating Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt@mSiO₂ is much more active than the uncoated catalyst, reaching a complete conversion at 8 h of reaction, while Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt only reaches 50% conversion. Figure 7 shows the molar fraction of the reaction system for both catalysts. In the case of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt (Figure 7a), we can observe that indoline is formed first, then OEA, and that the appearance of EB and ECH is very slow. Contrary for Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt@mSiO₂ (Figure 7b), the consumption of indole and the appearance of EB and especially ECH (the denitrogenated products) is very fast. The percentage of denitrogenated products (% HDN) was calculated and listed in Table 2. In the table, the results were compared with those of a typical HDN catalyst NiMo/Al₂O₃ Criterion DN200 [11]. This catalyst was presulfided according to our previously reported results [11]. Catalyst Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt@mSiO₂ reached a higher % HDN value compared with the other two catalysts. A control reaction was carried out using Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ as a catalyst under the same conditions and no activity was obtained, confirming the non-catalytic activity of the support.

Scheme 1. Reaction pathways for hydrodenitrogenation (HDN) of indole: indole (IND), indoline (OIN), o-ethylaniline (OEA), ethylbenzene (EB), octahydroindole (OHIN), o-ethylcyclohexylamine (OECHA), ethylcyclohexene (ECH).
Figure 7. Molar fraction of indole and products in HDN reaction (a) \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2–\text{Pt} \); (b) \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2–\text{Pt@mSiO}_2 \).

Table 2. Indole conversion and % HDN of the catalysts at reaction time of 8 h.

| Catalysts                   | Indole Conversion | % HDN \(^1\) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2–\text{Pt} \) | 55                | 30           |
| \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2–\text{Pt@mSiO}_2 \) | 98                | 88           |
| \( \text{NiMo/Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) [11] | 50                | 30           |

\(^1\) % HDN = 100 × (ECH + EB)/(ECH + EB + OEA + HIN).

Reutilization Study

Catalyst reuse is important from an industrial point of view. In this case, the catalysts have been tested during four catalytic cycles. The samples were washed several times with a mixture of methanol and water previous to the reaction. Figure 8 shows that the activity slightly decreases after the third recycle in the case of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2–\text{Pt@mSiO}_2 \), but the loss in activity is higher in the case of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2–\text{Pt} \). The higher stability of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2–\text{Pt@mSiO}_2 \) could be due to the presence of the second porous silica coating that inhibits the loss of the metal charge and prevents sintering.
The stabilization achieved after the second layer of silica allows the successive reuse of the catalyst. In addition, the magnetic characteristics of this catalyst facilitates the separation process, which is very important from the practical and economic perspective in any industrial process.

![Graph showing indole conversion over multiple cycles](image)

**Figure 8.** Reutilization study of the catalysts at 5 h of reaction time.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we studied the effect of a second porous SiO₂ shell in the activity and selectivity of the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt catalyst in the hydrodenitrogenation of indole. The core–shell structures were applied for the first time in the indole HDN process. We found that the presence of a mesoporous SiO₂ coating dramatically increases the activity of the catalyst. The great difference in activity was explained in terms of a confinement effect of the intermediate’s products of the reaction in the second SiO₂ layer. This coating of slightly acidic character, and with parallel mesochannels, favored the re-adsorption and transformation into denitrogenated products, allowing the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂–Pt@mSiO₂ catalyst to remain active after several catalytic cycles, and its magnetic character allows its easy separation and recovery.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, G.P., C.H.C., and A.B.; methodology, formal analysis, and investigation R.D., V.V., and B.L.; writing—original draft preparation R.D., V.V.; writing—review and editing, G.P., C.H.C. and A.B.; supervision, G.P., C.H.C. and A.B.; project administration, G.P.; funding acquisition, G.P.

**Funding:** This research was funded by CONICYT-Chile grant Fondecyt 1170083.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Egorova, M.; Prins, R. Competitive hydrodesulfurization of 4,6-dimethyl dibenzothiophene, hydrodenitrogenation of 2-methylpyridine, and hydrogenation of naphthalene over sulfided NiMo/γ-Al₂O₃. *J. Catal.* **2004**, *224*, 2782–2787. [CrossRef]
2. Egorova, M.; Prins, R. Mutual influence of the HDS of dibenzothiophene and HDN of 2-methylpyridine. *J. Catal.* **2004**, *221*, 11–19. [CrossRef]
3. Nassreddine, S.; Massin, L.; Aouine, M.; Geantet, C.; Piccolo, L. Thiotolerant Ir/SiO₂–Al₂O₃ bifunctional catalysts: Effect of metal—Acid site balance on tetralin hydroconversion. *J. Catal.* **2011**, *278*, 253–265. [CrossRef]
4. Kishore Kumar, S.A.; John, M.; Pai, S.M.; Niwate, Y.; Newalkar, B.L. Low temperature hydrogenation of aromatics over Pt–Pd/SiO₂–Al₂O₃ catalyst. *Fuel Process. Technol.* **2014**, *128*, 303–309. [CrossRef]
5. Jongpatiwut, S.; Li, Z.; Resasco, D.E.; Alvarez, W.; Sughrue, E.; Dodwell, G. Competitive hydrogenation of poly-aromatic hydrocarbons on sulfur-resistant bimetallic Pt-Pd catalysts. Appl. Catal. 2004, 262, 241–253. [CrossRef]

6. Stanislaus, A.; Marafi, A.; Rana, M.S. Recent advances in the science and technology of ultra low sulfur diesel (ULSD) production. Catal. Today 2010, 153, 1–68. [CrossRef]

7. Lebeau, B.; Bonne, M.; Comparot, J.D.; Rousseau, J.; Michelin, L.; Blin, J.L.; Brunet, S. HDS of 4,6-dimethylbenzothiophene over CoMoSsupportedmesoporous SiO$_2$-TiO$_2$ materials. Catal. Today 2019. [CrossRef]

8. Beltramone, A.R.; Resasco, D.E.; Alvarez, W.E.; Choudhary, T.V. Simultaneous Hydrogenation of Multiring Aromatic Compounds over NiMo Catalyst. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2008, 47, 7161–7166. [CrossRef]

9. Cinibulk, J.; Vit, Z. Preparation of Mo/Al$_2$O$_3$ sulfidecatalystsmodifiedby Ir nanoparticles. Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 2002, 143, 443–451. [CrossRef]

10. Ledesma, B.C.; Martínez, M.L.; Beltramone, A.R. Iridium-supported SBA-15 modifiedwith Ga and Al as a highly active catalyst in thehydrodenitrogenation of quinoline. Catal. Today 2018. [CrossRef]

11. Ledesma, B.C.; Anunziata, O.A.; Beltramone, A.R. HDN of indoleover Ir-modified Ti-SBA-15. Catal. Today 2016, 192, 220–233. [CrossRef]

12. Valles, V.A.; Ledesma, B.C.; Rivoira, L.P.; Cussa, J.; Anunziata, O.A.; Beltramone, A.R. Experimental designoptimization of the tetralin hydrogenationover Ir-Pt-SBA-15. Catal. Today 2016, 271, 140–148. [CrossRef]

13. Valles, V.A.; Ledesma, B.C.; Juárez, J.; Gómez Costa, M.B.; Anunziata, O.A.; Beltramone, A.R. Noblebimetallicsupportd CMK-3 as a novel catalystforhydrogenation of tetralin in thepresence of sulfur and nitrogen. Fuel 2017, 188, 155–165. [CrossRef]

14. Valles, V.A.; Ledesma, B.C.; Pecchi, G.A.; Anunziata, O.A.; Beltramone, A.R. Hydrogenationof tetralin in presence of nitrogenusing a noble-bimetalliccoupleover a Ti-modifiedSBA-15. Catal. Today 2017, 282, 111–122. [CrossRef]

15. Ledesma, B.C.; Valles, V.A.; Rivoira, L.P.; Martínez, M.L.; Anunziata, O.A.; Beltramone, A.R. Hydrogenation of tetralin over Ir catalytsupportdondiatric-modified SBA-16. Catal. Lett. 2014, 144, 783–795. [CrossRef]

16. Ledesma, B.C.; Juárez, J.M.; Beltramone, A.R. Short time synthesis of titaniamodified-CMK-3 carbonmesostructure as supportfor Ir-catalystapplied in catalytic hydrotreating. Catal. Today 2018. [CrossRef]

17. Valles, V.A.; Balangero Bottazzi, G.; Martínez, M.L.; Gómez Costa, M.B.; Anunziata, O.A.; Beltramone, A.R. Hydrogenation of Tetralin over Ir-Containing Mesoporous Catalysts. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2012, 51, 7185–7195. [CrossRef]

18. Meynen, V.; Cool, P.; Vansant, E.F. Verifiedsynthesises of mesoporousmaterials. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2009, 125, 170–223. [CrossRef]

19. Pachon, L.D.; Rothenberg, G. Transition-metal nanoparticles: Synthesis, stability and the leaching issue. Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2008, 22, 2882–2899. [CrossRef]

20. Burattin, P.; Che, M.; Louis, C.J. Characterization of the Ni(II) Phase Formed on Silica Upon Deposition−Precipitation. Phys. Chem. B 1997, 101, 7060–7074. [CrossRef]

21. Zhang, N.; Xu, Y.-J. Aggregation- and Leaching-Resistant, Reusable, and Multifunctional Pd@CeO$_2$ as a Robust Nanocatalyst Achieved by a Hollow Core−Shell Strategy. Chem. Mater. 2013, 25, 1979–1988. [CrossRef]

22. Zhang, B.; Chen, B.; Wang, Y.; Guo, F.; Li, Z.; Shi, D. Preparation of highly fluorescent magnetic nanoparticles for analytes-enrichment and subsequent biodetection. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2011, 353, 426–432. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Fourmond, V.; Stapf, S.; Li, H.; Buesen, D.; Birrell, J.; Ruediger, O.; Lubitz, W.; Schuhmann, W.; Plumere, N.; Leger, C. Mechanism of Protection of Catalysts Supported in Redox Hydrogel Films. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 5494–5505. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Zhang, Y.; Li, P. Porous Zr-doped SiO$_2$ shell/TiO$_2$ core nanoparticles with expanded channels for photocatalysis. Mater. Des. 2015, 88, 1250–1259. [CrossRef]

25. Majewski, A.J.; Wood, J.; Bujalski, W. Nickel–silica core@shell catalyst for methane reforming. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2013, 38, 14531–14541. [CrossRef]
26. Gawande, M.B.; Goswami, A.; Asefa, T.; Guo, H.; Biradar, A.V.; Peng, D.-L.; Zboril, R.; Varma, R.S. Core–shell nanoparticles: Synthesis and applications in catalysis and electrocatalysis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 44, 7540–7590. [CrossRef]

27. Wang, X.; He, B.; Hu, Z.; Zeng, Z.; Han, S. Current advances in precious metal core–shell catalyst design. *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.* 2014, 15, 043502. [CrossRef]

28. Biradar, A.V.; Biradar, A.A.; Asefa, T. Silica–Dendrimer Core–Shell Microspheres with Encapsulated Ultrasmall Palladium Nanoparticles: Efficient and Easily Recyclable Heterogeneous Nanocatalysts. *Langmuir* 2011, 27, 1448–14418. [CrossRef]

29. Shi, Y.-L.; Asefa, T. Tailored Core−Shell−Shell Nanostructures: Sandwiching Gold Nanoparticles between Silica Cores and Tunable Silica Shells. *Langmuir* 2007, 23, 9455–9462. [CrossRef]

30. Polshettiwar, V.; Baruwati, B.; Varma, R.S. Nanoparticle-supported and magnetically recoverable nickel catalyst: A robust and economic hydrogenation and transfer hydrogenation protocol. *Green Chem.* 2009, 11, 127–131. [CrossRef]

31. Scahtz, A.; Reiser, O.; Stark, W.J. Nanoparticles as Semi-Heterogeneous Catalyst Supports. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, 16, 8950–8967. [CrossRef]

32. Long, Y.; Liang, K.; Niu, J.; Yuan, B.; Ma, J. Pt NPs immobilized on core–shell magnetite microparticles: Novel and highly efficient catalysts for the selective aerobic oxidation of ethanol and glycerol in water. *Dalton Trans.* 2015, 44, 8660–8668. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Yang, J.; Shen, D.; Wei, Y.; Li, W.; Zhang, F.; Kong, B.; Zhang, S.; Teng, W.; Fan, J.; Zhang, W.; et al. Monodisperse core-shell structured magnetic mesoporous aluminosilicate nanospheres with large dendritic mesochannels. *Nano Res.* 2015, 8, 2503–2514. [CrossRef]

34. Zhang, W.; Shen, F.; Hong, R. Solvothermal synthesis of magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$ microparticles via self-assembly of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. *Particology* 2011, 9, 179–186. [CrossRef]

35. Thommes, M.; Kaneko, K.; Neimark, A.V.; Olivier, J.; Rodriguez-Reinoso, F.; Rouquerol, J.; Sing, K. Physisorption of gases, with special reference to the evaluation of surface area and pore size distribution (IUPAC Technical Report). *Pure Appl. Chem.* 2015, 87, 1051–1069. [CrossRef]

36. Esmaeili, S.; Zanjanchi, M.; Golmojdeh, H.; Shariati, S. Synthesis, characterization and photocatalytic studies of MCM-41 mesoporous silica core-shells doped with selenium oxide and lanthanum ions. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2020, 292, 109714. [CrossRef]

37. Costa, J.A.; De Jesus, R.; Santos, D.; Mano, J.; Romão, L.; Paranhos, C. Recent progresses in the adsorption of organic, inorganic, and gas compounds by MCM-41-based mesoporous materials. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2020, 291, 109698. [CrossRef]

38. Reich, S.; Svidrytski, A.; Höltzel, A.; Wang, W.; Kübel, C.; Hlushkou, D.; Tallarek, U. Transport under confinement: Hindrance factors for diffusion in core-shell and fully porous particles with different mesopore space morphologies. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2019, 282, 188–196. [CrossRef]

39. Teng, Z.; Su, X.; Chen, G.; Tian, C.; Li, H.; Ai, L.; Lu, G. Superparamagnetic high-magnetization composite microspheres with Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ core and highly crystallized mesoporous TiO$_2$ shell. *Colloid Surf. A* 2012, 402, 60–65. [CrossRef]

40. Zhang, L.; Ozkan, U.S. Hydrodenitrogenation of indole over NiMo sulfide catalysts. *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* 1996, 101, 1223–1232. [CrossRef]

41. Lanzafame, P.; Barbera, K.; Papanikolaou, G.; Perathoner, S.; Centi, G.; Migliori, M.; Catizzone, E.; Giordano, G. Comparison of H$^+$ and NH$_4^+$ forms of zeolites as acid catalysts for HMF etherification. *Catal. Today* 2018, 304, 97–102. [CrossRef]

42. Bao, J.; He, J.; Zhang, Y.; Yoneyama, Y.; Tsubaki, N. A Core/Shell Catalyst Produces a Spatially Confined Effect and Shape Selectivity in a Consecutive Reaction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2008, 47, 353–356. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).