ON CONTINUUM-DRIVEN WINDS FROM ROTATING STARS

Tomer Shacham and Nir J. Shaviv
Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel
Received 2012 June 29; accepted 2012 August 8; published 2012 September 17

ABSTRACT

We study the dynamics of continuum-driven winds from rotating stars and develop an approximate analytical model. We then discuss the evolution of stellar angular momentum, and show that just above the Eddington limit, the winds are sufficiently concentrated toward the poles to spin-up the star. A twin-lobe structure of the ejected nebula is seen to be a generic consequence of critical rotation. We find that if the pressure in such stars is sufficiently dominated by radiation, an equatorial ejection of mass will occur during eruptions. These results are then applied to η-Carinae. We show that if it began its life with a high enough angular momentum, the present-day wind could have driven the star toward critical rotation, if it is the dominant mode of mass loss. We find that the shape and size of the Homunculus nebula, as given by our model, agree with recent observations. Moreover, the contraction expected due to the sudden increase in luminosity at the onset of the Great Eruption explains the equatorial “skirt” as well.
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1. INTRODUCTION

η-Carinae epitomizes the class of luminous blue variable (LBV) stars. During the Great Eruption of the 1840s, it shed several solar masses while radiating at several times the Eddington luminosity (Smith & Frew 2011). The Homunculus nebula, which formed during the eruption, exhibits a clear bipolar structure with an equatorial “skirt” (e.g., Davidson & Humphreys 1997).

Several ideas have been suggested to explain the broken spherical symmetry. One type of mechanism relates the ejected nebula to the rotation of η-Carinae. A second type links the nebula to an interaction with the companion star.

Frank et al. (1995) described the Homunculus as the result of a fast, nearly spherical, wind interacting with a slow, previously accelerated, equatorial wind from a rotating star. Frank et al. (1998) describes an opposite model, where a fast aspherical wind interacts with a spherical one.

Owocki et al. (1998) and Maeder (1999) pointed out that von Zeipel’s theorem (von Zeipel 1924) has a significant effect on the geometry of the wind. In particular, mass loss from a fast rotator is expected to be predominantly concentrated toward the poles, where the effective gravity and radiative field are large. This naturally explains the bipolar structure without requiring a two-wind interaction, as previously suggested.

Maeder & Desjacques (2001) applied the standard line-driven winds model (Castor et al. 1975) to explain the form of the nebula. They have shown that if the polar temperature is \(\sim 2.5 \times 10^4\) K, the line-driving bistability jump will give rise to a large increase in the equatorial mass loss, thus offering an explanation for the origin of the “skirt.”

An alternative model for the overall structure of the Homunculus was developed by Smith & Townsend (2007). The nebula is described as explosively ejected material from a critically rotating surface. An assumption that the initial velocity is proportional to the effective gravity gives a bipolar structure. The skirt is obtained within some range of parameters, for which the orbits of matter originating at opposite low latitudes pass through the equatorial plane and collide.

Recently, Soker (2004, 2005) advocated the idea that the twin-lobe structure is due to an interaction with the companion star. In particular, Soker argued that it is hard to reconcile the large bipolar mass loss of the Great Eruption with standard models of stellar winds, for two reasons. First, one cannot obtain a high ratio between the wind momentum (\(M_w v_\infty\)) and the photon momentum (\(E/c\)), as observed. Second, in order to explain the bipolar structure, the envelope is assumed to be near critical rotation. However, this is inconsistent with large mass loss, as it would slow down the envelope considerably. Soker also presented a model in which a slow wind from η-Carinae is accreted onto the secondary, accelerating twin jets that form the Homunculus. As we shall see below, a model of super-Eddington (SED) continuum-driven winds from a rotating star circumvents both problems.

Shaviv (2000) has shown that the SED state can be explained by a reduced effective opacity resulting from a “porous” atmosphere. In subsequent work, it was shown that such atmospheres give rise to optically thick winds with a relatively simple mass-loss luminosity relation, which also explains the behavior of classical novae (Shaviv 2001b; Owocki et al. 2004). The bipolar structure of the Homunculus is a clear incentive to consider that its origin is an SED continuum-driven wind from a rotating star.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we develop the theory behind continuum-driven winds from rotating stars and the associated evolution of angular momentum. In Section 3, this theory is then applied to the case of η-Carinae, explaining its high spin, and the formation of the Homunculus nebula and equatorial skirt.

As a side note, we study in Appendix A generic interactions between the binary components, and show that spin–orbit coupling could not have been responsible for the high spin. In Appendix B, we show that during the first few years of the Great Eruption, η-Carinae could not have been rigidly rotating.

2. PART I—THEORY

We begin in Section 2.1 by developing an approximate analytical model of continuum-driven winds from rotating stars. In Section 2.2, we show that at low mass-loss rates, such winds are capable of spinning up the star, even though angular momentum is lost to the wind. In Section 2.3, we study the consequences of eruptions to the evolution of the stellar angular momentum.
2.1. Continuum-driven Winds from Rotating Stars

A complete analysis of the dynamics of LBV eruptions is quite complicated. In general, one should solve the Navier–Stokes equations for the wind, coupled to the radiative transfer. Furthermore, as we argue that the star is rotating near breakup, its oblique shape must be taken into account. Such an analysis is amenable only by a numerical treatment. Since we wish to understand the underlying physics, this path will not be taken here.

In order to encapsulate the relevant phenomena within a simple analytical model, we make the following simplifying assumptions.

1. The star is spherical.
2. There is no latitudinal motion ($v_\theta \ll v_r$).

Under these approximations, the model is integrable.

We first note that the typical wind velocity is of the order of magnitude of the escape velocity, which is much larger than the speed of sound. This implies that the kinetic energy density is significantly higher than the internal energy of the gas; mechanical pressure and viscosity will therefore be neglected.

We focus on two fields, the velocity of the wind $\mathbf{v}$ and the radiative flux $\mathbf{F}$, and begin with conservation of momentum:

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \right) = \sum \mathbf{f}. \tag{1}$$

Here $\sum \mathbf{f} = \rho (\mathbf{g}_{\text{grav}} + \mathbf{g}_{\text{rad}})$ is the sum of the different forces per unit mass, with

$$\mathbf{g}_{\text{grav}} = -g \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^2 \hat{r}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{\text{rad}} = \frac{\kappa}{c} \mathbf{F}, \tag{2}$$

where $g \equiv GM/R^2$ and $\kappa$ is the opacity. At a steady state, the radial component of Equation (1) is

$$v_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} v_r - g_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\kappa}{c} F_r, \tag{3}$$

where

$$g_{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{v_r^2}{c} - \frac{GM}{r^2}. \tag{4}$$

Conservation of angular momentum implies that

$$v_\theta = \left( \frac{R^2}{r} \right) \Omega \sin \theta, \tag{5}$$

and hence

$$g_{\text{eff}} = -g \left[ \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^3 \Omega^2 \sin^2 \theta \right], \tag{6}$$

where

$$\Omega \equiv \frac{\omega \sqrt{R^3/GM}}{} \tag{7}$$

is the spin in units of the classical breakup limit.

The radiation field loses energy as it accelerates the wind, lifting it over the gravitational pull. Conservation of energy then implies

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = -\frac{\kappa}{c} \rho \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \tag{8}$$

which reduces to

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 F_r) = -\frac{\kappa}{c} \rho F_r v_r, \tag{9}$$

assuming axial symmetry and neglecting latitudinal motion. Conservation of mass,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (r^2 \rho v_r) = 0, \tag{10}$$

closes the set of equations. At a steady state with the assumed symmetries, it reduces to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 \rho v_r) = 0. \tag{11}$$

For convenience, we define

$$f(\theta) \equiv \frac{4\pi r^2 \rho v_r}{-\dot{M}}, \tag{12}$$

where $\dot{M}$ is the mass-loss rate and is therefore negative.\(^3\)

Plugging this into Equation (9) and integrating gives

$$F_r = \frac{L}{4\pi r^2} e^{-mf(\theta)(1 - R/r)} \chi(\theta), \tag{13}$$

where the luminosity $L$ was introduced by dimensional analysis in order for the constant of radial integration $\chi(\theta)$ to be dimensionless, and

$$m \equiv \frac{-\dot{M} \kappa}{4\pi c R} \tag{14}$$

is the “photon tiring number.”\(^3\)

Using von Ziepel’s theorem (von Zeipel 1924) with the simplification of having just a radial flux, we can determine the radiative and mass fluxes at the base of the wind:

$$F_r \bigg|_{r=R} \propto g_{\text{eff}} \bigg|_{r=R}. \tag{15}$$

The requirement $\int \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = L$ gives

$$\chi(\theta) = \frac{1 - \Omega^2 \sin^2 \theta}{1 - \frac{3}{4} \Omega^2}. \tag{16}$$

Unlike line-driven winds, the mass flux expected in an SED continuum-driven wind is inherently local. This is because the critical surface of the wind, where the gravitational and radiative forces balance, depends only on the size of the inhomogeneous structure formed by radiative hydrodynamic instabilities. Since the hydrostatic scale height, over which these instabilities operate, is much smaller than the stellar radius, mass loss is determined by local conditions. As the local atmospheric structure cannot distinguish between gravity and the centrifugal force, the mass loss will depend on the local effective gravity.

Generalizing the local mass flux derived by Shaviv (2001b), we have

$$\Phi \equiv \frac{W}{c v_s} (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_\text{crit}), \tag{17}$$

\(^3\) This choice was made to keep $f(\theta) = 1$ in the case of no rotation.

\(^3\) This definition is slightly different from the original one defined by Owocki & Gayley (1997). Here the mass loss is compared with the Eddington luminosity, rather than the actual one.
where
\[ F_{\text{crit}} = F_{\text{edd}} (1 - \Omega^2 \sin^2 \theta). \] (18)

This is analogous to the local wind flux in SED accretion disks (Dotan & Shaviv 2011). Here \( F_{\text{edd}} = gc/\kappa \) is the “Eddington flux,” for which the radiative flux \( F \) balances gravity in the case of no rotation; \( F_{\text{crit}} \) is the equivalent flux when rotation is added. Last, \( \mathcal{W} \) is the wind constant, which encapsulates mostly geometrical features described by Shaviv (2001b), but may have a weak dependence on \( \Gamma \equiv F/F_{\text{edd}} \).

Owocki et al. (2004) have shown that this dependence is stronger if the inhomogeneous structure comprising the unstable atmosphere is power law dominated by smaller scales. However, as we shall see below, this merely scales the mass loss by a constant factor since \( \Gamma \) is latitudinally independent. Note that a weak latitudinal dependence of the mass loss should arise from the latitudinal dependence of \( v_r \) at the base of the wind. However, \( v_r \) is proportional to \( \sqrt{T} \) which itself is a very weak function of the optical depth.

Neglecting the aforementioned weak dependences, the flux depends on \( \Gamma \) as
\[ \Phi = \rho v \propto F - F_{\text{crit}} \propto \left( \Gamma - 1 + \frac{2}{3} \Omega^2 \right) \chi (\theta). \] (19)

Under the above approximations, a comparison with Equation (12) reveals that
\[ f (\theta) = \chi (\theta). \] (20)

A surface integration of \( \Phi \),
\[ -M = \int \Phi \cdot dS, \] (21)

gives
\[ m = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W} v_{\text{esc}}^2 \left( \Gamma - 1 + \frac{2}{3} \Omega^2 \right) = \mathcal{W} \left( \Gamma - 1 + \frac{2}{3} \Omega^2 \right), \] (22)

where \( \mathcal{W} \) is the scaled wind constant and \( v_{\text{esc}} = 2GM/R \).

An explicit form of \( F_r \) (Equation (13)) allows a direct integration of \( v_r \) (Equation (9)):
\[ \frac{1}{2} v_r^2 \bigg|_{R} = \int_{R}^{r} dR \left( \frac{g_{\text{eff}} + \kappa}{c} F_r \right). \] (23)

Neglecting the velocity at the base of the wind gives
\[ \left( \frac{v_r}{v_{\text{esc}}} \right)^2 = \left( 1 - \frac{R}{r} \right) \left( \Gamma \chi (\theta) \mathcal{I} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^2 \sin^2 \theta \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^2 \right]. \] (24)

where
\[ \mathcal{I} \equiv \frac{1 - e^{-m\chi(\theta)(1-R/r)}}{m\chi(\theta)(1-R/r)} = 1 - \frac{m\chi(\theta)}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{R}{r} \right) + O(m^2). \] (25)

Note that the wind approaches its terminal velocity after traversing just a few stellar radii:
\[ \left( \frac{v_r}{v_{\text{esc}}} \right)^2 > 1 - \frac{R}{r}. \] (26)

This justifies our second assumption, that \( v_r \ll v_{\text{esc}} \). In principal, one could argue that even if the stars were spherical, radiative diffusion would have given rise to latitudinal radiation and velocity components. However, since most of the acceleration takes place close to the surface, these components are bound to be small.

2.2. Self-spin-up by Continuum-driven Winds

As the star blows wind, it loses angular momentum. However, specific angular momentum and thus the dimensionless spin \( \Omega \) may increase, as we now show.

We start with conservation of angular momentum. The angular momentum reduction of the star is the angular momentum taken by the wind:
\[ \dot{\epsilon}_s = -\dot{\epsilon}_w, \] (27)

where
\[ \dot{\epsilon}_s = \alpha_w^2 MR^2 \omega \] (28)

and
\[ \dot{\epsilon}_w = \omega \int R^2 \sin^2 \theta \Phi \cdot dS = -\dot{\mathcal{M}} \omega R^2 \frac{1}{2} \int \chi \sin^3 \theta d\theta \equiv -\dot{\mathcal{M}} \omega R^2 \alpha_w^2. \] (29)

Here \( \alpha_w \) is the stellar radius of gyration. \( \alpha_w \) is an effective radius of gyration of the wind, defined in the equation above.

Dividing Equation (27) by \( \dot{\epsilon}_s \), we find
\[ \frac{3 \dot{\mathcal{M}}}{2 \mathcal{M}} + \frac{\dot{\mathcal{L}}}{2 \mathcal{L}} + \frac{\dot{\Omega}}{\Omega} = \frac{\alpha_w^2}{\alpha_g^2} \frac{\dot{\mathcal{M}}}{\mathcal{M}}. \] (30)

In the upper part of the main sequence, where radiation pressure dominates, one roughly has \( R \propto \sqrt{M} \), such that \( 2R/R \approx M/M \). Equation (30) can now be integrated to give
\[ \log \frac{\Omega_f}{\Omega_i} = \left( \frac{\alpha_w^2}{\alpha_g^2} - \frac{7}{4} \right) \log \frac{\mathcal{M}_f}{\mathcal{M}_i}. \] (31)

This assumes that over the integration interval, \( \alpha_w \) remains constant. The condition for self-spin-up is therefore
\[ \alpha_w^2 < \frac{7}{4} \alpha_g^2. \] (32)

For a high load wind \( \alpha_w^2 \approx 2/5 \), and the star spins down. However, at low mass-loss rates, corresponding to \( m \ll 1 \), the flux is insufficient to push the wind over the effective potential at equatorial latitudes. The wind reaches infinity only for angles between the pole and
\[ \theta_{\text{max}} \approx \sqrt{m \left( \frac{2(2\mathcal{W} - 1)}{\Omega^2 (1 - \frac{2}{3} \Omega^2)} \right) + O(m^{3/2})}. \] (33)

We expect the rest of the wind to stagnate and fall back, thus taking no net angular momentum. Therefore,
\[ \alpha_w^2 = \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \chi \sin^3 \theta d\theta \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{3} \Omega^2 \right)^{-1} \theta_{\text{max}}^6 + O(\theta_{\text{max}}^6). \] (34)

\[ \text{This assumption is applicable to both the present-day wind with its low mass-loss rate, and high load winds at a steady state. Eruptions are treated differently in the following section.} \]

\[ \text{5 Massive stars typically have } \alpha_g^2 \approx 0.1 \text{ (Motz 1952).} \]
One should note that the photon tiring number should be computed using the mass loss at the surface of the star, not at infinity. This quantity relates to the observed ejecta by truncating the surface integration of $\Phi$ (Equation (21)) at $\theta_{\text{max}}$:

$$m_\infty = m \int_0^{\theta_{\text{max}}} \chi \sin \theta d\theta (35)$$

$$= \frac{m^2}{2} \frac{2}{\Omega^2} \frac{2/(\theta_{\text{max}}^2 - 2/\Omega^2)^2}{\mathcal{O}(m^3)}.$$  

Using Equations (33)–(36) one finds

$$a_w^2 \approx \frac{2}{\Omega^2} \frac{2/(\theta_{\text{max}}^2 - 2/\Omega^2)^2}{\mathcal{O}(m^3)}.$$  

2.3. Spin Evolution During Eruptions

In the previous section, we studied the effects of continuum-driven winds on the evolution of angular momentum assuming a constant luminosity. As we shall now see, an abrupt change in luminosity has significant consequences regarding the evolution of the spin of the star.

A sudden increase in luminosity can be understood as a result of an atmospheric phase transition, where the atmosphere becomes porous and the effective opacity drops (Shaviv 2001b). Consequently, the radiative flux at the surface becomes greater than the incoming convective flux. In order to compensate for the imbalance, the star must contract while radiating the difference in binding energy $U$:  

$$\Delta L = L_{\text{erup}} - L_{\text{init}} = -\frac{dU}{dR} \dot{R}, (37)$$

where $L_{\text{erup}}$ and $L_{\text{init}}$ are the luminosities during and before the eruption, respectively. A more convenient choice of parameters is $L_{\text{erup}}$ and $\lambda \equiv 1 - L_{\text{init}}/L_{\text{erup}}$; henceforth, $L_{\text{erup}}$ will be denoted by $L$.

In order to keep this discussion as generic as possible, we parameterize the binding energy as

$$U \equiv -\frac{G M^2}{R} B (38)$$

and assume a constant $B$, which is generally the case. The timescale for contraction is then

$$-\frac{R}{\dot{R}} = T_{\text{KH}} B \lambda^{-1}, (39)$$

where $T_{\text{KH}} \equiv G M^2 / L R$ is the Kelvin–Helmholtz timescale. Plugging this into Equation (30) gives

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} (T_{\text{KH}} B)^{-1} + \frac{a_w^2}{a_g^2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{M}}{\dot{M}} = \frac{\dot{\Omega}}{\Omega}, (40)$$

The contraction terminates when the temperature build up at the core becomes sufficient to generate the required luminosity through nuclear reactions.

---

6 Because of its low density, the atmosphere alone does not have sufficient gravitational binding energy. Note that the increase in luminosity is associated only with the release of binding energy due to contraction, which will eventually stop once nuclear reactions set in.

7 For the case of $\eta$-Carinae, $T_{\text{KH}} \approx 2$ weeks.

8 More about this choice will be discussed below.
the equator becomes unbound, and a skirt is formed at a rate:

\[ M_{sk} = \alpha_k \left[ \frac{\lambda}{2} \beta^2 \frac{M}{T_{KH}} + \left( \frac{\alpha_w^2}{\alpha_k^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \right] . \]  

(46)

Note that the mass loss to the skirt is neglected with respect to the mass loss to the wind; this is justified by self-consistency.

For a critically rotating \( n = 3 \) polytrope, which is a reasonable description of \( \eta \)-Carinae,

\[ B = \frac{3}{4} \beta - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_k^2 , \]  

(47)

where \( \beta \equiv P_{gas}/P_{tot} \) (see, for example, Clayton 1968).

Shaviv (2001a) has shown that the radiative hydrodynamic instabilities, which are responsible for the atmospheric phase transitions, are excited for \( 0.15 \lesssim \beta \lesssim 0.5 \), depending on different conditions. In this range of \( \beta, \nu \approx 2 \).

Comparing the luminosities computed in the previous two sections, we see that during the eruption, the luminosity increased roughly by a factor of five, and so \( \lambda \approx 4/5 \).

The last piece of information required to understand the contraction is the factor \( q \), relating the specific energy production and temperature by \( \epsilon \propto T^\nu \). Since the temperature at the core of \( \eta \)-Carinae is expected to be of the order of \( 5 \times 10^7 \) K, the energy production is due to CNO burning, which at this temperature corresponds to \( q \sim 15 \) (e.g., Bethe 1939).

We can now estimate (Equation (43)) the contraction time to be \( 3-10 \) years, depending on the value of \( \beta \), and so the skirt’s mass is of the order of \( 0.1 M_\odot \). Figure 3 shows the predicted mass of the skirt for different values of \( \beta \) and \( M \).

Throughout this analysis, we have implicitly assumed that the whole star is instantly affected by the loss of angular momentum to the wind, and thus the corresponding \( \alpha_k^2 \) is constant. However, during time periods shorter than the timescale of angular momentum diffusion, only an outer shell plays a role in the dynamics. In Appendix B, we show that the diffusion timescale is indeed comparable if not longer than the contraction time. As a result, the effective radius of gyration is larger, and so the mass of the skirt computed from Equation (46) is in fact a lower limit. We conclude that during the initial stages of contraction, a skirt is likely to have formed even for relatively high mass-loss rates.

\(^9\) This can be seen by replacing \( \Omega/\Omega_c \) by \( M_{sk}/M_\alpha \beta_\alpha^2 \) in Equation (40).

Figure 1. Latitudinal dependence of the radial velocity of the wind. The dots correspond to the values observed by Smith (2006). The solid line represents the model described in Section 2.1 for the nominal stellar parameters and \( \Gamma \approx 1.6 \) at critical rotation. The dashed line corresponds to the same model with \( \Omega \approx 0.9 \)—the velocity profile expected after a few years of mass loss at a rate of \( M = -0.1 M_\odot \) year\(^{-1} \).

Figure 2. Spin-up condition (Equation (32)) is satisfied for values of spin and mass-loss rate below the line.

Figure 3. Mass of the equatorial skirt, as a function of \( M \) and \( \beta \equiv P_{gas}/P_{tot} \).

The values given here should be considered as lower limits, as the relevant radius of gyration of the outer shell participating in the dynamics is much larger than that of the whole star, see Appendix B.
4. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The twin-lobe structure of the Homunculus nebula of \(\eta\)-Carinae strongly suggests that either fast rotation or binary interaction played an important role in the process of mass loss. In this work, we have chosen to pursue the idea that it is near critical rotation that sculpted the Homunculus, and that the mass loss was in the form of a continuum-driven wind. The formation of the equatorial skirt is then associated with the evolution of angular momentum before the system settled in a steady state.

As we have seen in Section 2.1, the structure of such winds can be obtained analytically, if one allows several simplifying assumptions. In particular, it was assumed that there is no latitudinal interaction between mass and radiative flux elements on different radial trajectories. This assumption later proved to be self-consistent, as it turns out that most of the acceleration of the wind takes place near the surface.\(^{10}\) Namely, the relevant radial scale is smaller than the latitudinal one; this acts to suppress lateral fluxes.

By further simplifying the geometry and assuming a spherical star, the set of equations describing all conserved quantities became both algebraically closed and integrable. Obviously, this assumption cannot hold since rotation breaks spherical symmetry. Even so, we find that this simple model captures the gross features of the system, as depicted in Figure 1. Mainly, a twin-lobe structure emerges as a generic property of winds from such stars. The main ingredient required in order to form this structure is that of gravity darkening, as was already pointed out by Owocki et al. (1998) and Maeder (1999) for line-driven winds. Nevertheless, we do expect the oblate geometry to introduce distortion. For example, the predicted velocity may be lower near the equator, because the wind is launched from larger radii. This may in fact improve the agreement with the observations.

For the specific case of \(\eta\)-Carinae (Section 3.1), our model provides a rough description of the shape and size of the Homunculus nebula. In particular, continuum-driven winds naturally explain the large wind momentum to photon luminosity observed in the Homunculus. Such high ratios cannot be explained by line-driven winds.

Note, however, that within the approximations and the nominal parameters used, the typical mass of the Homunculus obtained is somewhat lower than the value recent observations imply (Smith et al. 2003), and the predicted luminosity is lower by a factor of \(\sim 3\). These discrepancies may be reduced by a full numerical analysis.

As a side note, we show in Appendix A that the angular momentum gain due to a general spin–orbit coupling is correlated with the change in semimajor axis. As a consequence, in light of the high eccentricity of the orbit and stellar parameters assumed, the angular momentum \(\eta\)-Carinae may have received from interactions with its companion were insufficient to drive it to critical rotation.

The fact that the rotation is very close to critical may seem like fine tuning, but it is quite possible that this is the natural state of the system. Since the star cannot sustain high load winds for long periods of time, it is reasonable that a low mass-loss rate, as \(\eta\)-Carinae currently exhibits, is in fact the dominant mode of mass loss. Motivated by this reasoning, we analyzed in Section 2.2 the explicit solutions for the wind velocity at low mass-loss rates. We found that at equatorial latitudes, the wind stagnates and falls back to the surface, given that the star is rotating fast enough. The mass loss from pole centered winds, which do reach infinity, dominates over the loss of angular momentum and so the star spins up.\(^{11}\) This is another aspect of continuum-driven winds which is absent from the line-driven winds model.

We predict (Section 3.2) that in about \(2 \times 10^3\) years at the current mass-loss rate, \(\eta\)-Carinae will be back at critical rotation. This implies that if the previous large eruption cycle and subsequent wind were similar to the Great Eruption and the present wind, then the previous large eruption of \(\eta\)-Carinae must have taken place at least a few thousand years ago.

We then showed in Section 2.3 that at the onset of LBV eruptions, the contraction that follows the atmospheric phase transition is fast enough to render equatorial latitudes unbound, if the star is critically rotating. The formation of an equatorial skirt is seen to be a generic consequence of the model as well. For the stellar parameters of \(\eta\)-Carinae (Section 3.3), we predict the skirt’s mass to be of the order of \(0.1 M_{\odot}\).

The effect of angular momentum diffusion, which is discussed in Appendix B, complicates the estimation of the mass of the skirt. Taking it into account requires a more accurate knowledge of the mass loss to the wind, and specifically its time dependence. Essentially, the question is what happened first—did nuclear reactions kick in to stop the contraction or did the loss of angular momentum manage to diffuse inward and render the formation of a skirt unnecessary? We await the appearance of new observational data regarding the time dependence of the Great Eruption.
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APPENDIX A

A BOUND ON THE ANGULAR MOMENTUM GAINED FROM SPIN–ORBIT COUPLING

The above analysis assumed that there is no additional exchange of angular momentum. However, \(\eta\)-Carinae is part of a binary system, and one might suspect that spin–orbit coupling plays a dominant role in its spin evolution. Given the orbital parameters, we argue that such interactions do not offer a significant contribution to the spin and can therefore be neglected.

The basic idea is that the change in the spin is correlated with a change in the semimajor axis; any angular momentum conserving interaction cannot change one without effecting the other. We show that even if the original binary orbit had an extremely large semimajor axis, the evolution to the present orbit could not have spun up \(\eta\)-Carinae significantly.

Let us then consider a general force \(\mathbf{f}\) representing the interaction. Assuming the interaction is important only at short distances,\(^{12}\) it may be approximated as an impulse during periastron passages.

Due to conservation of total angular momentum, the comparison between the spin angular momentum, \(\ell_s\), and the orbital

---

\(^{10}\) Equation (24) implies that the winds of \(\eta\)-Carinae already reach half their terminal velocity at \(r \approx 1.15 R\). For comparison, line-driven winds reach half their terminal velocity further out, at \(r \approx 1.73 R\) (e.g., Lamers & Cassinelli 1999).

\(^{11}\) By “spin-up” we refer to an increase of \(\Omega \equiv \omega \sqrt{R/GM}\).

\(^{12}\) For example, tidal interactions give that \(\mathbf{f} \propto r^{-7}\) (Hut 1981).
angular momentum, $\ell_o$, gives

$$\dot{\ell}_o = -\dot{\ell}_o = -(f_0r + \dot{r}r \mu \dot{\theta})$$

where $r(\dot{\theta})$ describes the orbital motion. We further neglect the term $\dot{r}r \mu \dot{\theta}$, since $\dot{r} \to 0$ at periastron. In order to reduce the clutter of notation, $\ell$ will be henceforth used to denote $\ell_o$.

During one orbit, the change in the angular momentum is

$$\delta \ell \approx \int \dot{\ell} dt \approx \dot{\ell}_p \int dt,$$

(A2)

where the subscript $p$ denotes values calculated at periastron.\(^{13}\) The change in the semimajor axis is due to the loss of orbital energy, $E = -GMm/2a$, hence

$$\delta a = \frac{2a^2}{GMm} \delta E.$$  

(A3)

On the other hand, the change in energy is

$$\delta E = \int f \cdot d\mathbf{r} \approx \int f_0 r d\theta \approx -\dot{\ell}_p \dot{\theta}_p \int dt.$$  

(A4)

One should note that the periastron separation, $r_p = a(1 - \epsilon)$, is approximately constant during orbital evolution at high eccentricities. Since the interaction takes place at periastron, the evolution of the orbit is such that the periastron remains fixed while the apastron, $r_a$, progressively shrinks. Although the orbit may change during periastron passages when the force is applied, the periastron itself must remain part of it. This implies that the angular velocity at periastron passages,

$$\dot{\theta}_p = \sqrt{\frac{r_p^{-3} G(M + m)(1 + \epsilon)}{\ell_o}},$$

(A5)

changes very slowly and is assumed to be constant as well.

On a long timescale, the ratio between time derivatives of the semimajor axis and the spin is the ratio between the corresponding incremental changes every periastron passage:

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{\dot{\ell}} \approx \frac{\delta a}{\delta \ell} = -\frac{2a^2 \dot{\theta}_p}{GMm}.$$  

(A6)

This expression can be integrated to give

$$\Delta \ell \equiv \dot{\ell}_o' \bigg|_0 \equiv \frac{GMm}{2\dot{\theta}_p a^{-1}} \bigg|_0.$$  

(A7)

Clearly, the maximum possible change in the angular momentum is

$$\Delta \ell_{\max} = \frac{GMm}{2\dot{\theta}_p a}.$$  

(A8)

Namely, the upper bound depends only on the current value of the semimajor axis.

Another way of looking at the orbital evolution is global. We can compare the angular momentum of two systems having the same periastron, $r_p$, but one having $r_a \to \infty$, and the other an $r_a$ of the present orbit. In contrast to the previous derivation, a global view cannot explain why the periastron distance remains approximately constant during the evolution.

\(^{13}\) We use $\delta$ to denote changes over one orbit and we will use $\Delta$ for changes over the whole evolution.

The general expression for the angular momentum of a Keplerian orbit is

$$\ell^2 = G \frac{M^2 m^2}{M + m} r_p(1 + \epsilon).$$  

(A9)

We define $\Delta \ell_{\max} \equiv \ell(\epsilon) - \ell(\epsilon \to 1)$ and expand the angular momentum for small values of $1 - \epsilon$. We then obtain, to the leading order,

$$|\Delta \ell_{\max}| \leq \frac{GMm}{2\dot{\theta}_p a},$$  

(A10)

in agreement with Equation (A8).

This change of angular momentum should be compared with the present value of the angular momentum of the star, while assuming it is rotating near breakup. For the present nominal stellar parameters, including a period of 5.5 years and $\epsilon = 0.9$, we find that

$$\Delta \ell_{\max}/\ell \approx 5\%,$$  

(A11)

and thus interaction with the companion star could not be held responsible for the high spin of $\eta$-Carinae.

**APPENDIX B**

**ANGULAR MOMENTUM DIFFUSION**

The last theoretical calculation required for the understanding of angular momentum evolution in mass-losing stars is an analysis of angular momentum diffusion. Until now, we have implicitly assumed that the whole star immediately reacts to the mass loss. However, the reaction time cannot be shorter than the diffusion timescale $T_{\text{diff}}$.

When considering processes lasting for timescales comparable to $T_{\text{diff}}$, the star cannot be thought of as a rigidly rotating body. Since only an outer shell participates in the dynamics, the effective radius of gyration is much larger than that of the entire star.

The goal of this section is to obtain a lower bound for $T_{\text{diff}}$, the diffusion timescale associated with the homogenization of such a shell. As we shall see, the angular momentum diffusion time in massive stars ranges from a fraction of year for the outer parts of the envelope, to several years to homogenize the whole star.

Since $T_{\text{diff}} \sim R^2/D$, we seek an upper bound on the diffusion coefficient $D$. We note that the most efficient diffusion mechanism in stars is that of convective mixing (Taylor 1915).\(^{14}\) We also note that convection is always excited above some critical, sub-Eddington luminosity (Joss et al. 1973), implying that stars with continuum-driven winds are necessarily convective. This motivates the application of mixing-length theory (MLT; Vitense 1953; Böhm-Vitense 1958) to angular momentum transport in a SED state.

Under MLT, the diffusion coefficient is

$$D \approx \frac{h v_c}{3},$$  

(B1)

where $v_c$ is the convective velocity and $h$ is the pressure scale height, playing the role of the mean free path.

MLT also gives that the convective velocity is

$$v_c \approx \left( \frac{L}{4\pi r^2 \rho} \right)^{1/3},$$  

(B2)

\(^{14}\) Other mechanisms such as shear-induced turbulence or meridional circulation are important when convection is absent (e.g., Zahn 1992).
where $\alpha = c_p \rho / \nu^2 \approx 3/2$ and $c_p$ is the heat capacity per unit mass. Since $\nu$, is obviously limited by the speed of sound, Equation (B2) should be regarded as an upper bound.

In order to compute the scale height, we model the star as an $n = 3$ polytrope (Eddington 1931):

$$h \equiv \left( \frac{d \ln P}{d r} \right)^{-1} = R \left[ \frac{\phi}{n + 1} \frac{1}{\xi_1} \left( \frac{d \phi}{d \xi} \right)^{-1} \right]. \quad (B4)$$

The diffusion coefficient is then

$$D = \left( \frac{LR^2}{M} \right)^{1/3} \left[ \frac{(-d \phi / d \xi \xi_1)^{1/3} \phi^{1/2} \xi^{2/3} (d \phi / d \xi)^{-1}}{3(1+n)\alpha^{1/3} \xi_1^{2/3}} \right] \quad (B5)$$

and the typical diffusion time between a radius $r$ and the surface of the star is approximately given by

$$T_{\text{diff}} \approx \left( \int_r^R \frac{dr}{\sqrt{D}} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{MR^2}{L} \right)^{1/3} Y(\xi), \quad (B6)$$

where we have defined

$$Y(\xi) \equiv \left( \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_1} \phi^{(n-3)/6} \xi^{1/3} d \xi \right)^2 \left( \frac{27(1+n)^3 \alpha}{\xi_1^4 (d \phi / d \xi \xi_1)} \right)^{1/3}. \quad (B7)$$

For the stellar parameters of $\eta$-Carinae, the diffusion time is

$$T_{\text{diff}} \approx 10 \text{ years} \times \left( \frac{M}{120 \, M_\odot} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{R}{150 \, R_\odot} \right)^{2/3} \left( \frac{L}{10^8 \, L_\odot} \right)^{-1/3} \frac{Y}{Y(0)}. \quad (B8)$$

The radius of gyration of the outer shell is given by

$$\alpha_{\text{sh}}^2(\xi) = \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_1} r^2 dM / \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_1} dM = \frac{2}{3} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_1} \xi^4 \phi^4 d\xi. \quad (B9)$$

The functions $Y$ and $\alpha_{\text{sh}}^2$ are plotted in Figure 4.
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15 For completeness, we briefly review the polytropic approximation: the pressure and density are conjectured to be related by $\rho = \rho_0 \phi^a$ and $P = P_0 \phi^{a+1}$ for some value of $a$. The hydrostatic equation, $\nabla P = -\rho \nabla \Phi$, then reads $(n + 1)P_0 \nabla \Phi = -\rho_0 \nabla \Phi$, and Poisson’s equation for the gravitational potential, $\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho$, becomes the Lane–Emden equation (Lamers & Cassinelli, 1999; Eddington 1926; Emden 1927): 

$$\frac{1}{\xi^2} \frac{d}{d \xi} \left( \xi^2 \frac{d \phi}{d \xi} \right) = -\phi^a. \quad (B3)$$

where spherical symmetry is assumed and $\xi \equiv r / \sqrt{\nabla (\nabla G \rho) / (n+1)}$. $\xi_1$ is the value for which $\phi = 0$; for $n = 3$, a numerical computation gives $\xi_1 \approx 6.9$. Note that $\xi_1$ is related to the physical radius through $r = (\xi / \xi_1) R$. 

---

Figure 4. Solid line depicts the squared radius of gyration of a shell located between a certain distance from the center, $r$, and the stellar surface, $R$. The dashed line plots the dimensionless function $Y$ describing the typical time it takes to convectively diffuse angular momentum throughout the shell. These functions are plotted for an $n = 3$ polytrope.