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1. Introduction

Fractional calculus can be applied to many fields, such as fluid flow, chemical physics and signal processing, probability and statistics, control, electrochemistry and so on (see [1, 5, 15, 17, 23, 29, 34] and references therein). In the past long time, the research on boundary value problems of fractional differential equations has been in full swing (see [7, 11, 24, 32] and references therein).

The main focus of this paper is to study the existence and multiplicity of solutions to the boundary value problems of fractional differential equations by using the critical point theory. Some common methods have been used to discuss fractional differential problem in the literature, whether using fixed point theory (see [4, 6] and references therein), topological degree theory (see [8, 30] and references therein), upper and lower solution method (see [27, 28] and references therein), or variational method, critical point theory (see [14, 19] and references therein).

In this paper, we consider the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions for a class of fractional Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems with impulsive condi-
where 0 ≤ β < 1, λ > 0, a, b, c, d > 0, A and B are constants. \( D_{t}^{-\beta} \) and \( \mathcal{I}_{T}^{-\beta} \) denote the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order \( \beta \), respectively. \( f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is continuous, \( I_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \)) are continuous, 0 = \( t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{m+1} = T \). Besides,

\[
\Delta \left( \frac{1}{2} a D_{t}^{-\beta} (u'(t_i)) + \mathcal{I}_{T}^{-\beta} (u'(t_i)) \right) = \left( \frac{1}{2} a D_{t}^{-\beta} (u'(t_i^+)) + \mathcal{I}_{T}^{-\beta} (u'(t_i^+)) \right) - \left( \frac{1}{2} a D_{t}^{-\beta} (u'(t_i^-)) + \mathcal{I}_{T}^{-\beta} (u'(t_i^-)) \right),
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} a D_{t}^{-\beta} (u'(t_i^+)) + \mathcal{I}_{T}^{-\beta} (u'(t_i^+)) &= \lim_{t \to t_i^+} \left( \frac{1}{2} a D_{t}^{-\beta} (u'(t)) + \mathcal{I}_{T}^{-\beta} (u'(t)) \right), \\
\frac{1}{2} a D_{t}^{-\beta} (u'(t_i^-)) + \mathcal{I}_{T}^{-\beta} (u'(t_i^-)) &= \lim_{t \to t_i^-} \left( \frac{1}{2} a D_{t}^{-\beta} (u'(t)) + \mathcal{I}_{T}^{-\beta} (u'(t)) \right).
\end{align*}
\]

Our motivation for studying boundary value problem (for convenience, abbreviated as BVP) (1.1) is largely derived from the fact that it can be used to simulate physical phenomena such as anomalous diffusion. In other words, the traditional second-order convection-diffusion equations cannot accurately simulate diffusion. Therefore, we use the extension of classical advection-dispersion equation, namely fractional advection-dispersion equation, to simulate anomalous diffusion under certain conditions, or to describe nonsymmetric or symmetric transition and solute transportation and so on, for example, in [10, 18, 19, 25, 31] and their references.

In [3], the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the following integer order nonlinear boundary value problems with impulsive conditions are obtained by using the critical point theory

\[
\begin{align*}
(\rho(t) \Phi_\rho(u'(t)))' - s(t) \Phi_\rho(u(t)) + \lambda f(t, u(t)) &= 0, \ t \neq t_j, \ a.e. \ t \in [a, b], \\
\alpha_1 u'(a) - \alpha_2 u(a) &= A, \\
\beta_1 u'(b) + \beta_2 u(b) &= B, \\
- \Delta(p(t_j) \Phi_\rho(u'(t_j))) &= I_j(u(t_j)), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, l,
\end{align*}
\]

(1.2)

where \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \) are positive constants, \( A \) and \( B \) are constants. \( \lambda \in (0, +\infty) \) is a parameter. \( f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is continuous.

In [25], the authors applied the critical point theory of non-differentiable functions to establish the existence of infinite solutions for the following boundary value
problems
\[
\begin{gathered}
- \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} aD_t^{-\beta}(u'(t)) + \frac{1}{2} tD_T^{-\beta}(u'(t)) \right) = \lambda f(u(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T],

a u(0) - b \left( \frac{1}{2} aD_t^{-\beta}u'(0) + \frac{1}{2} tD_T^{-\beta}u'(0) \right) = 0, \\
u(T) + d \left( \frac{1}{2} aD_t^{-\beta}u'(T) + \frac{1}{2} tD_T^{-\beta}u'(T) \right) = 0,
\end{gathered}
\]

where \(0 \leq \beta < 1\), \(a, c > 0\), \(b, d \geq 0\), \(\lambda\) is a positive parameter. \(f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) is an almost everywhere continuous function.

As is known to all, the most prominent feature of impulsive differential equation is that it can fully consider the effect of instantaneous catastrophe on state, and can reflect the changing law of things more profoundly and accurately. With the development of science and technology, many scholars pay more and more attention to the theoretical significance and practical application of impulsive differential equation (see \([12, 13, 22, 26]\) and references therein).

Inspired by the above research, we study the BVP (1.1) in this paper. Compared with the BVP (1.2), the BVP (1.1) studies fractional order, which is undoubtedly the progress and innovation of our research. Further attention is paid to the BVP (1.3), the BVP (1.1) will study non-homogeneous Sturm-Liouville and impulsive boundary value conditions. Thus, the study of the BVP (1.1) is necessary and meaningful.

2. Preliminaries

For convenience, we will recall the necessary definitions and lemmas of fractional calculus.

**Definition 2.1** (\([2, 20]\)). Let \(u\) be a function defined on \([a, b]\). The left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order \(0 < \gamma \leq 1\) for the function \(u\) denoted by \(aD_t^{-\gamma}u(t)\) and \(tD_T^{-\gamma}u(t)\), respectively, are defined by
\[
aD_t^{-\gamma}u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\gamma-1}u(s)ds, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad \gamma > 0
\]
and
\[
tD_T^{-\gamma}u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_t^b (s-t)^{\gamma-1}u(s)ds, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad \gamma > 0,
\]

provided the right-hand sides are pointwise defined on \([a, b]\), where \(\Gamma > 0\) is the gamma function.

**Definition 2.2** (\([2, 20]\)). Let \(u\) be a function defined on \([a, b]\). The left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order \(0 < \gamma \leq 1\) for the function \(u\) denoted by \(aD_t^\gamma u(t)\) and \(tD_T^\gamma u(t)\), respectively, are defined by
\[
aD_t^\gamma u(t) = \frac{d}{dt} aD_t^{\gamma-1}u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_a^t (t-s)^{-\gamma}u(s)ds \right)
\]
and 
\[ tD_b^\gamma u(t) = - \frac{d}{dt} \left( tD_b^{\gamma-1}u(t) \right) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_a^b (s-t)^{-\gamma}u(s)ds, \]
where \( t \in [a,b] \).

**Definition 2.3** ([2,20]). Let \( u(t) \in AC([0,T], \mathbb{R}) \), then the left and right Caputo fractional derivatives of order \( 0 < \gamma \leq 1 \) for the function \( u \) denoted by \( _aD_t^{\gamma}u(t) \) and \( _bD_t^{\gamma}u(t) \), respectively, are defined by 
\[ _aD_t^{\gamma}u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{-\gamma}u'(s)ds \]
and 
\[ _bD_t^{\gamma}u(t) = - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_t^b (s-t)^{-\gamma}u'(s)ds, \]
where \( t \in [a,b] \).

**Proposition 2.1** ([2]). Let \( u \) is continuous for a.e. \( t \in [a,b] \), the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operators have the following properties
\[ _aD_t^{\gamma\gamma_1}(aD_t^{-\gamma_2}u(t)) = _aD_t^{\gamma_1-\gamma_2}u(t), \]
\[ _bD_t^{\gamma\gamma_1}(bD_t^{-\gamma_2}u(t)) = _bD_t^{\gamma_1-\gamma_2}u(t), \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0. \]

**Proposition 2.2** ([2]). If \( u \in L^p([a,b], \mathbb{R}^N), v \in L^{p'}([a,b], \mathbb{R}^N) \) and \( p' \geq 1, q' \geq 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} \leq 1 + \gamma \) or \( p' \neq 1, q' \neq 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1 + \gamma \). Then 
\[ \int_a^b [aD_t^{\gamma}u(t)]v(t)dt = \int_a^b [bD_t^{\gamma}v(t)]u(t)dt, \quad \gamma > 0. \]

**Proposition 2.3** ([2]). If \( 0 < \gamma \leq 1 \) and \( u \in AC([a,b], \mathbb{R}^N) \), then 
\[ _aD_t^{\gamma}(_aD_t^{\gamma}u(t)) = u(t) - u(a) \]
and 
\[ _bD_t^{\gamma}(_bD_t^{\gamma}u(t)) = u(t) - u(b). \]

Let \( L^p([0,T], \mathbb{R})(1 \leq p < \infty) \) and \( C([0,T], \mathbb{R}) \) be the \( p \)-Lebesgue space and continuous function space, respectively, with the norms 
\[ \|u\|_{L^p} = \left( \int_0^T |u(t)|^pdt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad u \in L^p([0,T], \mathbb{R}) \ (1 \leq p < \infty) \]
and 
\[ \|u\|_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |u(t)|, \quad u \in C([0,T], \mathbb{R}). \]

**Definition 2.4.** Let \( \frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1 \) and \( 1 \leq p < +\infty \). The fractional derivative space \( E^{\alpha,p} \) is defined as the closure of \( C^\infty([0,T], \mathbb{R}) \), that is, \( E^{\alpha,p} = \overline{C^\infty([0,T], \mathbb{R})} \) with the norm 
\[ \|u\|_{\alpha,p} = \left( \int_0^T \|_aD_t^\alpha u(t)\|^p dt + \int_0^T |u(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \]
It is obvious that $E^{\alpha,p}$ is the space of functions $u(t) \in L^p([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ with an $\alpha$ order Caputo fractional derivative $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(t) \in L^p([0,T],\mathbb{R})$. When $p = 2$, we denote $E^{\alpha,2}$ as $X$.

**Lemma 2.1** ([9]). The space $X$ is a reflexive and separable Banach space.

**Lemma 2.2** ([9, 25]). Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $1 \leq p < +\infty$. For any $u \in L^p([0,T],\mathbb{R})$, we have

\[
(1) \quad \| D_0^\alpha u \|_{L^p([0,t])} \leq \frac{t^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \| u \|_{L^p([0,t])} \quad \text{for } \xi \in [0,t], \ t \in [0,T].
\]

\[
(2) \quad \| D_T^\alpha u \|_{L^p([t,T])} \leq \frac{(T-t)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \| u \|_{L^p([t,T])} \quad \text{for } \xi \in [t,T], \ t \in [0,T].
\]

In this paper, we treat the BVP (1.1) in the Hilbert space $X$ with the inner product and the corresponding norm defined by

\[
\langle u, v \rangle = \int_0^T (\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(t) \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} v(t)) dt + \int_0^T u(t)v(t) dt, \ \forall u, v \in X
\]

and

\[
\| u \|_{\alpha,2} = (\int_0^T \| D_0^\alpha u(t) \|^2 dt + \int_0^T \| u(t) \|^2 dt)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \forall u \in X.
\]

**Lemma 2.3** ([25]). If $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$, then for any $u \in X$, we have

\[
-\cos \pi \alpha \int_0^T \| D_0^\alpha u(t) \|^2 dt \leq -\int_0^T (\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(t) \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} D_T^\alpha u(t)) dt \leq -\frac{1}{\cos \pi \alpha} \int_0^T \| D_0^\alpha u(t) \|^2 dt. \quad (2.3)
\]

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$ and $u \in X$, the norm $\| u \|_{\alpha,2}$ is equivalent to

\[
\| u \| = \left( -\int_0^T (\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(t) - D_T^\alpha u(t)) dt + \frac{b}{a}(u(0))^2 + \frac{d}{c}(u(T))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.4)
\]

**Proof.** First, we will show that there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $\| u \|_{\alpha,2} \leq K \| u \|$. According to Property 2.3, we deduce that $u(t) = _0D_t^{-\alpha}(\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(t)) + u(0)$. Then, based on (2.1) and (2.3), we have

\[
\| u \|_{\alpha,2}^2 = \int_0^T |u(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T \| D_0^\alpha u(t) \|^2 dt
\]

\[
= \int_0^T |_0D_t^{-\alpha}(\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(t)) + u(0)|^2 dt + \int_0^T \| \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(t) \|^2 dt
\]

\[
\leq 2 \int_0^T (|_0D_t^{-\alpha}(\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(t))|^2 + |u(0)|^2) dt + \int_0^T \| \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u(t) \|^2 dt
\]

\[
\leq 2T(u(0))^2 + 2\| _0D_t^{-\alpha}(\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u) \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} u \|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
\leq 2T(u(0))^2 + \frac{2T^{2\alpha}}{(\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^2} \| D^\alpha_0 u \|_{L^2}^2 + \| D^\alpha_0 u \|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
\leq \frac{2Ta \ b}{b \ a} (u(0))^2 + \frac{1}{\cos \pi \alpha} \left( \frac{2T^{2\alpha}}{(\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^2} + 1 \right) \int_0^T \| D^\alpha_0 u(t) \|^2 \, dt
\]
\[
\leq \max \left\{ \frac{2Ta \ b}{b \ a}, \ -\frac{1}{\cos \pi \alpha} \left( \frac{2T^{2\alpha}}{(\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^2} + 1 \right) \right\} \| u \|^2.
\]

Obviously, we can find a constant \( K > 0 \) so that
\[
\| u \|_{\alpha, 2} \leq K \| u \|,
\]
where
\[
K = \left( \max \left\{ \frac{2Ta \ b}{b \ a}, \ -\frac{1}{\cos \pi \alpha} \left( \frac{2T^{2\alpha}}{(\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^2} + 1 \right) \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

On the other hand, we must find a constant \( H > 0 \) satisfying \( \| u \| \leq H \| u \|_{\alpha, 2} \).

Based on Property 2.3 again, we get that \( u(0) = u(t) - \int_0^t D^{-\alpha}_t (\int_0^t D^\alpha_0 u(t)) \). Then from (2.1) and Hölder's inequality, we get
\[
(u(0))^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (u(0))^2 \, dt
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left( u(t) - \int_0^t D^{-\alpha}_t (\int_0^t D^\alpha_0 u(t)) \right)^2 \, dt
\]
\[
\leq \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T \left( |u(t)|^2 + |\int_0^t D^{-\alpha}_t (\int_0^t D^\alpha_0 u(t))|^2 \right) \, dt
\]
\[
\leq \frac{2}{T} \| u \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{T} \| D^{-\alpha}_t (\int_0^t D^\alpha_0 u(t)) \|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
\leq \frac{2}{T} \| u \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2T^{2\alpha - 1}}{(\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^2} \| D^\alpha_0 u \|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
\leq 4 \max \left\{ \frac{1}{T} \frac{T^{2\alpha - 1}}{(\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^2} \right\} \| u \|_{\alpha, 2}^2
\]
\[
= H_1 \| u \|_{\alpha, 2}^2.
\]

It follows from Property 2.3 that \( u(T) = u(t) - \int_0^T (\int_0^T D^\alpha_0 u(t)) \). Then according to (2.2) and Hölder’s inequality, we can obtain
\[
(u(T))^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (u(T))^2 \, dt
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left( u(t) - \int_0^t D^{-\alpha}_t (\int_0^t D^\alpha_0 u(t)) \right)^2 \, dt
\]
\[
\leq \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T \left( |u(t)|^2 + |\int_0^t D^{-\alpha}_t (\int_0^t D^\alpha_0 u(t))|^2 \right) \, dt
\]
\[
\leq \frac{2}{T} \| u \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{T} \| D^{-\alpha}_t (\int_0^t D^\alpha_0 u(t)) \|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
\leq \frac{2}{T} \| u \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2T^{2\alpha - 1}}{(\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^2} \| D^\alpha_0 u \|_{L^2}^2.
\]
After calculation, we can get
\[
\|c_{\alpha} D_{\alpha}^T u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{(\cos \pi \alpha)^2} \|c_0 D_{\alpha}^T u\|_{L^2}^2.
\]

By integrating the above two formulas, one has
\[
(u(T))^2 \leq \frac{2}{T} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2T^{2\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)^2(\cos \pi \alpha)^2} \|c_0 D_{\alpha}^T u\|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
\leq 2 \max\{\frac{2}{T}, \frac{2T^{2\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)^2(\cos \pi \alpha)^2}\} \|u\|_{\alpha,2}^2
\]
\[
= H_2 \|u\|_{\alpha,2}^2.
\]

So
\[
\|u\|_{\alpha,2}^2 \leq \left( -\frac{1}{\cos \pi \alpha} + \frac{b}{a} H_1 + \frac{d}{c} H_2 \right) \|u\|_{\alpha,2}^2.
\]

In other words, we can find a constant \( H > 0 \) so that
\[
\|u\| \leq H \|u\|_{\alpha,2},
\]
where
\[
H = \left( -\frac{1}{\cos \pi \alpha} + \frac{b}{a} H_1 + \frac{d}{c} H_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
and
\[
H_1 = 4 \max\{\frac{1}{T}, \frac{T^{2\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)^2}\},
\]
\[
H_2 = 2 \max\{\frac{2}{T}, \frac{2T^{2\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)^2(\cos \pi \alpha)^2}\}.
\]

**Lemma 2.5.** For \( u \in X \), there is \( \Lambda > 0 \) such that
\[
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \Lambda \|u\|,
\]
where
\[
\Lambda = \frac{T^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{|\cos \pi \alpha|}} + \sqrt{2} K \max\{T^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{T^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\},
\]
and \( K \) is defined (2.5).

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Lemma 5.4 in [25], we can take
\[
\Lambda = \frac{T^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\alpha - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{|\cos \pi \alpha|}} + \sqrt{2} K \max\{T^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{T^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\},
\]
and then we can get \( \|u\|_{\infty} \leq \Lambda \|u\| \).
Lemma 2.6 ([25]). Let \( u, v \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^N) \). Suppose

\[
\int_0^T (u(t), \phi'(t))dt = - \int_0^T (v(t), \phi(t))dt
\]

for every \( \phi \in C_0^\infty[0, T] \), then

\[
u(t) = \int_0^T v(x)dx + C
\]

for a.e. \( t \in [0, T] \) and \( C \in \mathbb{R}^N \).

Lemma 2.7 ([9]). Assume that \( \frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1 \) and the sequence \( \{u_k\} \) converges weakly to \( u \) in \( X \), denote by \( u_k \rightharpoonup u \) in \( X \), then \( u_k \to u \) in \( C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \), that is, \( \|u_k - u\|_\infty \to 0 \) as \( k \to +\infty \).

According to Property 2.1, then using Definition 2.3, the BVP (1.1) can be transformed into the following boundary value problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta D_t^{\alpha-1}(\delta D_t^\alpha u(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \iota D_T^{\alpha-1}(\iota D_T^\alpha u(t)) \right) + \lambda f(t, u(t)) = 0, \\
t \neq t_i, \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\
a \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta D_t^{\alpha-1}(\delta D_t^\alpha u(0)) - \frac{1}{2} \iota D_T^{\alpha-1}(\iota D_T^\alpha u(0)) \right) - bu(0) = A, \\
c \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta D_t^{\alpha-1}(\delta D_t^\alpha u(T)) - \frac{1}{2} \iota D_T^{\alpha-1}(\iota D_T^\alpha u(T)) \right) + du(T) = B, \\
\Delta \left( \delta D_t^{\alpha-1}(\delta D_t^\alpha u(t_i)) - \iota D_T^{\alpha-1}(\iota D_T^\alpha u(t_i)) \right) = I_i(u(t_i)), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m,
\end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}
\]

(2.7)

where \( \alpha = 1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1] \). Therefore, we look for a weak solution \( u \) of the BVP (1.1) which corresponds to the weak solution \( u \) of the BVP (2.7).

Take \( v \in X \) and multiply the two sides of the equality

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta D_t^{\alpha-1}(\delta D_t^\alpha u(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \iota D_T^{\alpha-1}(\iota D_T^\alpha u(t)) \right) + \lambda f(t, u(t)) = 0
\]

by \( v \) and integrate from 0 to \( T \), we have

\[
\int_0^T \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta D_t^{\alpha-1}(\delta D_t^\alpha u(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \iota D_T^{\alpha-1}(\iota D_T^\alpha u(t)) \right) + \lambda f(t, u(t)) \right] v(t)dt = 0.
\]

Moreover, we get

\[
\int_0^T \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta D_t^{\alpha-1}(\delta D_t^\alpha u(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \iota D_T^{\alpha-1}(\iota D_T^\alpha u(t)) \right) \right] v(t)dt
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^m \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta D_t^{\alpha-1}(\delta D_t^\alpha u(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \iota D_T^{\alpha-1}(\iota D_T^\alpha u(t)) \right) \right] v(t)dt
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{1}{2} \left[ \delta D_t^{\alpha-1}(\delta D_t^\alpha u(t)) - \iota D_T^{\alpha-1}(\iota D_T^\alpha u(t)) \right] v(t) \bigg|_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}
\]
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\[-\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left[ aD_t^{\alpha_i-1}(\tilde{\alpha}_i D_t^\alpha u(t)) - iD_t^{\alpha_i-1}(\tilde{i}_i D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right] v'(t) dt \]

\[= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta_i \left( aD_t^{\alpha_i-1}(\tilde{\alpha}_i D_t^\alpha u(t_i)) - iD_t^{\alpha_i-1}(\tilde{i}_i D_t^\alpha u(t_i)) \right) v(t_i) \]

\[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left( aD_t^{\alpha_i-1}(\tilde{\alpha}_i D_t^\alpha u(t)) - iD_t^{\alpha_i-1}(\tilde{i}_i D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) v(t) dt \]

Above all, we have

\[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left( (\tilde{\alpha}_i D_t^\alpha u(t)) (\tilde{i}_i D_t^\alpha v(t)) + (\tilde{i}_i D_t^\alpha u(t)) (\tilde{\alpha}_i D_t^\alpha v(t)) \right) dt + \frac{bu(0)}{a} v(0) + \frac{du(T)}{c} v(T) \]

\[+ \frac{A}{a} v(0) - \frac{B}{c} v(T) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} I_i (u(t_i)) v(t_i) - \lambda \int_0^T f(t, u(t)) v(t) dt = 0. \]

We are now introduce the concept of a weak solution for the BVP (2.7).

**Definition 2.5.** The weak solution of the BVP (2.7) is \( u \in X \) satisfying the following equation

\[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left( (\tilde{\alpha}_i D_t^\alpha u(t)) (\tilde{i}_i D_t^\alpha v(t)) + (\tilde{i}_i D_t^\alpha u(t)) (\tilde{\alpha}_i D_t^\alpha v(t)) \right) dt + \frac{bu(0)}{a} v(0) + \frac{du(T)}{c} v(T) \]

\[+ \frac{A}{a} v(0) - \frac{B}{c} v(T) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} I_i (u(t_i)) v(t_i) - \lambda \int_0^T f(t, u(t)) v(t) dt = 0, \quad \forall v \in X. \]

For \( \forall u \in X \), we consider the functional \( J : X \to \mathbb{R} \), that is \( J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R}) \) as follows

\[ J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\int_0^T (\tilde{\alpha}_i D_t^\alpha u(t))(\tilde{i}_i D_t^\alpha u(t)) dt + \frac{b}{a} (u(0))^2 + \frac{d}{c} (u(T))^2 \right) + \frac{A}{a} u(0) \]

\[-\frac{B}{c} u(T) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_0^{u(t_i)} I_i(t) dt - \lambda \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt \]

\[= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{A}{a} u(0) - \frac{B}{c} u(T) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_0^{u(t_i)} I_i(t) dt - \lambda \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt, \quad (2.8) \]

where \( \|u\| \) is defined by (2.4) and \( F(t, u) = \int_0^u f(t, s) ds \) for all \( (t, u) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \).

It is easy to get that the functional \( J \) is differentiable on \( X \) and

\[ J'(u)v = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left( (\tilde{\alpha}_i D_t^\alpha u(t))(\tilde{i}_i D_t^\alpha v(t)) + (\tilde{i}_i D_t^\alpha u(t))(\tilde{\alpha}_i D_t^\alpha v(t)) \right) dt + \frac{bu(0)}{a} v(0) \]
Multiply both sides of (2.11) by $v(T) + A v(0) - B v(T) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} I_i(u(t_i))v(t_i)$

\[-\lambda \int_{0}^{T} f(t, u(t))v(t)dt, \quad \forall v \in X. \quad (2.9)\]

**Lemma 2.8.** If $u \in X$ is a critical point of $J$ in $X$, then $u$ is a weak solution of the BVP (2.7).

**Proof.** Suppose $u \in X$ is a critical point of $J$ in $X$, that is,

\[-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \left( (\frac{e}{0} D_{t}^{a} u(t)) (\frac{e}{0} D_{T}^{a} v(t)) + (\frac{i}{i} D_{T}^{a} u(t)) (\frac{i}{i} D_{t}^{a} v(t)) \right) dt + \frac{bu(0)}{a} v(0) + \frac{du(T)}{c} v(T)\]

\[+ A \frac{v(0)}{a} - B \frac{v(T)}{c} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} I_i(u(t_i))v(t_i) - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} f(t, u(t))v(t)dt = 0, \quad \forall v \in X. \quad (2.10)\]

Without loss of generality, for any $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, m\}$, take $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}(t_i, t_{i+1})$, then (2.10) can be sorted into

\[-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \left( (\frac{e}{0} D_{t}^{a} u(t)) (\frac{e}{0} D_{T}^{a} v(t)) + (\frac{i}{i} D_{T}^{a} u(t)) (\frac{i}{i} D_{t}^{a} v(t)) \right) dt\]

\[\quad - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} f(t, u(t))v(t)dt = 0,
\]

that is,

\[\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \left( (\frac{e}{0} D_{t}^{\beta} u(t)) + (\frac{i}{i} D_{T}^{\beta} u(t)) \right) v'(t)dt = \lambda \int_{0}^{T} f(t, u(t))v(t)dt, \quad \forall v \in C_{0}^{\infty}.\]

According to Lemma 2.6, we get

\[\frac{1}{2} \left( (\frac{e}{0} D_{t}^{\beta} u(t)) + (\frac{i}{i} D_{T}^{\beta} u(t)) \right) = -\lambda \int_{0}^{T} f(x, u(x))dx + C, \quad C \in \mathbb{R},\]

then

\[\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (\frac{e}{0} D_{t}^{\beta} u(t)) + (\frac{i}{i} D_{T}^{\beta} u(t)) \right) + \lambda f(t, u(t)) = 0. \quad (2.11)\]

Multiply both sides of (2.11) by $v$, then integrate from 0 to $T$, and we have

\[\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (\frac{e}{0} D_{t}^{\beta} u(t)) + (\frac{i}{i} D_{T}^{\beta} u(t)) \right) v(t)dt + \lambda \int_{0}^{T} f(t, u(t))v(t)dt = 0. \quad (2.12)\]

In addition,

\[\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (\frac{e}{0} D_{t}^{\beta} u(t)) + (\frac{i}{i} D_{T}^{\beta} u(t)) \right) v(t)dt\]

\[= \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( (\frac{e}{0} D_{t}^{\beta-1} (\frac{e}{0} D_{t}^{a} u(t)) + (\frac{i}{i} D_{T}^{\beta-1} (\frac{i}{i} D_{t}^{a} u(t)) \right) v(t)dt\]
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{n} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \frac{1}{2} \left( aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(t) \right) - \frac{1}{2} iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(t) \right) \right) v(t) \, dt \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ \left( aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(t_{i+1}) \right) - \frac{1}{2} iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(t_{i+1}) \right) \right) v(t_{i+1}) \right. \]
\[ - \left( aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(t_{i+1}) \right) - \frac{1}{2} iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(t_{i+1}) \right) \right) v(t_{i+1}) \]
\[ - \left. \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left( aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(t) \right) - \frac{1}{2} iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(t) \right) \right) v'(t) \, dt \right]. \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left( aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(T) \right) - \frac{1}{2} iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(T) \right) \right) v(T) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \left( aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(0) \right) - \frac{1}{2} iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(0) \right) \right) v(0) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta \left( aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(t_i) \right) - \frac{1}{2} iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(t_i) \right) \right) v(t_i) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \left( aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(t) \right) - \frac{1}{2} iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(t) \right) \right) v'(t) \, dt. \] (2.13)

It follows from (2.10), (2.12) and (2.13) that
\[ \left( \frac{bD_t}{a} + A - \frac{1}{2} aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(0) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(0) \right) \right) v(0) \right. \]
\[ + \left( - \frac{du(T)}{c} + B - \frac{1}{2} aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(T) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(T) \right) \right) v(T) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} I_i(u(t_i)) v(t_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta \left( aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(t_i) \right) - \frac{1}{2} iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(t_i) \right) \right) v(t_i) \right) = 0. \]

Therefore, one has
\[ a \left( \frac{1}{2} aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(0) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(0) \right) \right) \right) - bD_t(0) = A, \]
\[ c \left( \frac{1}{2} aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(T) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(T) \right) \right) + du(T) = B, \]
\[ \Delta \left( aD^\alpha_t \left( 0D^\alpha_t u(t_i) \right) - \frac{1}{2} iD^\alpha_T \left( \zeta D^\alpha_T u(t_i) \right) \right) = I_i(u(t_i)), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, m. \]

Lemma 2.9 (16). If \( \varphi \) is sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous on a reflexive Banach space \( E \) and has a bounded minimizing sequence, then \( \varphi \) has a minimum on \( E \).

Lemma 2.10 (33). For the functional \( \varphi : \Theta \subseteq E \to [\infty, \infty] \) with \( \Theta \neq \emptyset \), \( \min_{u \in \Theta} \varphi(u) = \epsilon \) has a solution when the following hold

(1) \( E \) is a real reflexive Banach space.

(2) \( \Theta \) is bounded and weak sequentially closed, i.e., by definition, for each sequence \( \{u_n\} \) in \( \Theta \) such that \( u_n \rightharpoonup u \) as \( n \to +\infty \), we always have \( u \in \Theta \).
Lemma 2.11 ([21]). Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $\varphi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the Palais-Smale condition ((PS) -condition for short). Suppose that $\varphi$ satisfies the following conditions

1. $\varphi(0) = 0$.
2. There exist $\rho, \sigma > 0$ such that $\varphi(u_0) \geq \sigma$ for every $u_0 \in E$ with $\|u_0\| = \rho$.
3. There exists $u_1 \in E$ with $\|u_1\| \geq \rho$ such that $\varphi(u_1) < \sigma$.

Then, $\varphi$ possesses a critical value $\epsilon \geq \sigma$. Moreover, $\epsilon$ can be characterized as

$$\epsilon = \inf_{g \in \Delta} \max_{s \in [0,1]} \varphi(g(s)),$$

where

$$\Delta = \{ g \in C([0,1], E) : g(0) = u_0, g(1) = u_1 \}.$$

Lemma 2.12 ([21]). Let $E$ be an infinite-dimensional Banach space and let $\varphi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ be even, satisfy the (PS)-condition, and have $\varphi(0) = 0$. Suppose that $E = V \oplus W$, where $V$ is finite dimensional, and $\varphi$ satisfies the following

1. There exist $\varrho > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ such that $\varphi(u) \geq \varrho$ for all $u \in E$ with $\|u\| = \rho$, and
2. For any finite-dimensional subspace $Z \subset E$ there is $R = R(Z)$ such that $\varphi(u) \leq 0$ for all $u \in Z$ with $\|u\| \geq R$.

Then $\varphi$ possesses an unbounded sequence of critical values.

3. Main result

In this section, the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions for the BVP (1.1) are considered.

In the rest of the article, we make the following assumptions

(H1) There exist some nonnegative constants $l_i, \bar{l}_i, k_i, \bar{k}_i$ and $0 \leq \sigma_i < 1$, $0 \leq \bar{\sigma}_i < 1$, for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, such that

$$-l_i - k_i |y|^{\sigma_i} \leq I_i(y) \leq \bar{l}_i + \bar{k}_i |y|^{\bar{\sigma}_i},$$

for $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

(H2) There exist $0 \leq \theta < 2$ and $\tau(t) \in C([0, T])$ with $\text{ess inf} \tau > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{|u| \to +\infty} \frac{F(t, u)}{|u|^\theta} < \tau(t)$$

uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

(H3) There exists $\eta(t) \in C([0, T])$ with $\text{ess inf} \eta > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{|u| \to +\infty} \frac{F(t, u)}{|u|^2} < \eta(t)$$
uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

(H4) There exists $\mu > 2$ such that

$$0 < \mu F(t, y) \leq yf(t, y)$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

(H5) $f(t, y)$ is odd in $y$, i.e., $f(t, -y) = -f(t, y)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

$I_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$ are odd and increasing.

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that (H1) and one of the following conditions hold, then the BVP (2.7) admits at least one weak solution, and thus the BVP (1.1) has at least one weak solution.

(1) (H2) holds and $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$.

(2) (H3) holds and $\lambda \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2A^2 \int_0^1 \eta(t)\, dt}\right)$.

**Proof.** Let $u_k$ converges weakly to $u$ in $X$, then $u_k$ converges uniformly to $u$ in $[0, T]$. Based on the continuity of $f$ and $I_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$, it is logical to get

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^{u(t_i)} I_i(t)\, dt - \lambda \int_0^T F(t, u_k(t))\, dt + \frac{A}{a} u_k(0) - \frac{B}{c} u_k(T) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^{u(t_i)} I_i(t)\, dt - \lambda \int_0^T F(t, u(t))\, dt + \frac{A}{a} u(0) - \frac{B}{c} u(T).$$

Notice that

$$\liminf_{k \to +\infty} \frac{1}{2} \|u_k\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2,$$

so we conclude that $J(u)$ is sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous.

If (H2) holds, one can get that there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$F(t, u) \leq \tau(t) |u|^\theta + L$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, therefore, combined with (2.6), we have

$$\int_0^T F(t, u(t))\, dt \leq \int_0^T \tau(t) |u(t)|^\theta\, dt + \int_0^T L\, dt \leq \Lambda^\theta \|u\|^\theta \int_0^T \tau(t)\, dt + LT.$$

According to (H1) and (2.6), we can obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^{u(t_i)} I_i(t)\, dt \geq - \sum_{i=1}^m (l_i \|u\|_\infty + \frac{k_i}{\sigma_i + 1} \|u\|_{\sigma_i + 1}^\sigma)$$

$$\geq - \sum_{i=1}^m (l_i \Lambda \|u\| + \frac{k_i}{\sigma_i + 1} \Lambda^\sigma \|u\|_{\sigma_i + 1}^\sigma).$$

Combining the above two formulas and (2.8), we infer that

$$J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{|A|}{a} \Lambda \|u\| - \frac{|B|}{c} \Lambda \|u\|^\theta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (l_i \Lambda \|u\| + \frac{k_i}{\sigma_i + 1} \Lambda^\sigma \|u\|_{\sigma_i + 1}^\sigma)$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ and $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. 

(H5) $f(t, y)$ is odd in $y$, i.e., $f(t, -y) = -f(t, y)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. 

$I_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$ are odd and increasing.
\[-\lambda \Lambda^\theta \|u\|^\theta \int_0^T \tau(t) dt - \lambda LT.\]

On account of \(0 \leq \theta < 2\) and \(0 \leq \sigma_i < 1\), we get that \(\lim_{\|u\| \to +\infty} J(u) = +\infty\). This means that \(J(u)\) has a bounded minimizing sequence. So the BVP (2.7) exists at least one weak solution, that is, the BVP (1.1) admits at least one weak solution.

If \((H_3)\) holds, \((H_3)\) implies that there exists \(Q > 0\) such that

\[F(t, u) \leq \eta(t) |u|^2 + Q\]

for a.e. \(t \in [0, T]\) and \(u \in \mathbb{R}\).

Similar to the above discussion, we can get

\[J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{|A|\Lambda}{a} \|u\| - \frac{|B|\Lambda}{c} \|u\| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i \Lambda \|u\| + \frac{k_i}{\sigma_i + 1} \Lambda^{\sigma_i + 1} \|u\|^{\sigma_i + 1})\]

\[-\lambda \Lambda^2 \|u\|^2 \int_0^T \eta(t) dt - \lambda QT.\]

Notice that \(\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2\Lambda (\int_0^T \eta(t) dt)})\), we get that \(\lim_{\|u\| \to +\infty} J(u) = +\infty\).

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that \((H_1)\) and \((H_4)\) hold, then \(J\) satisfies the \((PS)\)-condition.

**Proof.** Assume that \(\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X\) is a sequence such that \(\{J(u_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) is bounded and \(\lim_{k \to +\infty} J'(u_k) = 0\). It follows from \(\mu > 2\), \((H_1)\) and \((H_4)\) that

\[\mu J(u_k) - J'(u_k) u_k = (\mu - 1) \|u_k\|^2 + (\mu - 1) \frac{A}{a} u_k(0) - (\mu - 1) \frac{B}{c} u_k(T)\]

\[+ \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{T}^{u_k(t_i)} I_i(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m I_i(u_k(t_i)) u_k(t_i)\]

\[+ \lambda \left( \int_0^T f(t, u_k(t)) dt - \mu \int_0^T F(t, u_k(t)) dt \right)\]

\[\geq (\mu - 1) \|u_k\|^2 - (\mu - 1) \frac{|A|\Lambda}{a} \|u_k\| - (\mu - 1) \frac{|B|\Lambda}{c} \|u_k\|\]

\[-\frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i \Lambda \|u_k\| + \frac{k_i}{\sigma_i + 1} \Lambda^{\sigma_i + 1} \|u_k\|^{\sigma_i + 1})\]

\[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (I_i \Lambda \|u_k\| + \tilde{k}_i \Lambda^{\sigma_i + 1} \|u_k\|^{\sigma_i + 1}),\]

which indicates that \(\{u_k\}\) is bounded in \(X\).

Because \(\{u_k\}\) is bounded in \(X\), there exists a subsequence of \(\{u_k\}\), which converges weakly to a subsequence of \(u\) in \(X\). For convenience, we still record this subsequence as \(\{u_k\}\). Then \(\{u_k\}\) converges uniformly to \(u\) on \([0, T]\). Thus

\[u_k(0) \to u(0),\]

\[u_k(T) \to u(T),\]

\[\sum_{i=1}^m (I_i(u_k(t_i)) - I_i(u(t_i))) (u_k(t_i) - u(t_i)) \to 0,\]
as \( k \to +\infty \). Since \( \lim_{k \to +\infty} J'(u_k) = 0 \) and \( \{u_k\} \) converges weakly to some \( u \), we get
\[
< J'(u_k) - J'(u), u_k - u > \to 0,
\]
as \( k \to +\infty \). On the other hand, notice that
\[
< J'(u_k) - J'(u), u_k - u > \to 0.
\]

Remark 3.1. From \((H_4)\), we know that there exists \( P > 0 \) such that
\[
F(t, u) \leq F_0|u|^\mu, \ (t, u) \in [0, T] \times [-1, 1]
\]
and
\[
F(t, u) \geq F_0|u|^\mu - P, \ (t, u) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R},
\]
where
\[
F_0 = \max_{t \in [0, T], |u| = 1} F(t, u) > 0
\]
and
\[
F_0 = \min_{t \in [0, T], |u| = 1} F(t, u) > 0.
\]

Theorem 3.2. If \( M > 0 \), and \((H_1)\) and \((H_4)\) hold, then the BVP (2.7) admits at least two different weak solutions for each \( \lambda \in (0, \frac{M}{\Gamma(\eta+1)}) \), where
\[
M = \frac{1}{2\Lambda^2} - \frac{|A|}{a} - \frac{|B|}{c} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( l_i + \frac{k_i}{\sigma_i + 1} \right).
\]
That is, the BVP (1.1) exists at least two different weak solutions.

Proof. Let \( B_r \) denotes the open ball in \( X \) with radius \( r \) and centered at 0 and let \( \partial B_r \) and \( \overline{B}_r \) represent the boundary and closure of \( B_r \), respectively. It is easy to get \( \overline{B}_r \) is a bounded weak closed set.
Next, we have known that \( J(u) \) is sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous in \( X \), based on Lemma 2.10, we get \( J(u) \) has a local minimum point \( u_0 \) in \( B_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \), in other word, \( J(u_0) \leq J(0) = 0 \).

It follows from (2.6) that \( \|u\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \) implies that \( \|u\|_\infty \leq 1 \). According to (3.1), one has

\[
\int_0^T F(t, u(t))dt \leq F^0 \int_0^T \|u\|_\infty^\mu dt \leq F^0 T A^\mu \|u\|_\infty, \quad \|u\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} (3.3)
\]

For any \( u \in \partial B_r (r \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}) \), combined with (2.8), we have

\[
J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty - \Lambda |A| r - \Lambda |B| r \cdot c - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(i \Lambda r + \frac{k_i}{\sigma_i + 1} \Lambda^{\sigma_i + 1} r^{\sigma_i + 1}\right) - \lambda T F^0 \Lambda^\mu r^\mu.
\]

And then for all \( u \in \partial B_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \), one has

\[
J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 - \frac{|A|}{a} - \frac{|B|}{c} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(i \lambda r + \frac{k_i}{\sigma_i + 1}\right) - \lambda T F^0 = M_\lambda.
\]

In view of \( \lambda \in (0, \frac{M}{\lambda T}) \), we obtain that \( J(u) = M_\lambda > J(0) \geq J(u_0) \) for any \( u \in \partial B_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \).

Therefore \( \inf_{u \in \partial B_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} J(u) > J(u_0) \), and \( J(u) \) has a local minimum \( u_0 \in \partial B_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \).

Let \( \omega > 0 \). Since \( \omega \in X \), in view of \((H_1)\) and (3.2), we get that

\[
J(\omega) \leq \left(\frac{b}{2a} + \frac{d}{2c}\right) \omega^2 + \frac{|A|}{a} \omega + \frac{|B|}{c} \omega + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(i \omega + \frac{k_i}{\sigma_i + 1}\omega^{\sigma_i + 1}\right) - \lambda T F_0 \omega^\mu + \lambda PT.
\]

Notice that \( \mu > 2 \) and \( 1 \leq \bar{\sigma}_i + 1 < 2 \), one has \( J(\omega) \to -\infty \) as \( \omega \to +\infty \). Thus, there exists \( u_1 > 0 \) with \( \|u_1\| > \frac{1}{\lambda} \) such that \( \inf_{u \in \partial B_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} J(u) > J(u_1) \). Based on Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 3.1, it is easy to know that there is \( u_2 \in X \) such that \( J''(u_2) = 0 \) and \( J(u_2) > \max\{J(u_0), J(u_1)\} \).

Thus, \( u_0 \) and \( u_2 \) are two different weak solutions of the BVP (2.7), moreover, they are also two different weak solutions of the BVP (1.1).

Next, let’s consider the case of \( A = B = 0 \), then the BVP (1.1) becomes the following form

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \alpha D_{t_i}^{-1} (\alpha D_{t_i}^\mu u(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha D_{T_i}^{-1} (\alpha D_{T_i}^\mu u(t)) \right) + \lambda f(t, u(t)) &= 0, \\
t \neq t_i, \ a.e. \ t \in [0, T], \\
\alpha \left( \frac{1}{2} \alpha D_{t_i}^{-1} (\alpha D_{t_i}^\mu u(0)) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha D_{T_i}^{-1} (\alpha D_{T_i}^\mu u(0)) \right) - bu(0) &= 0, \\
c \left( \frac{1}{2} \alpha D_{t_i}^{-1} (\alpha D_{t_i}^\mu u(T)) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha D_{T_i}^{-1} (\alpha D_{T_i}^\mu u(T)) \right) + du(T) &= 0, \\
\Delta \left( \alpha D_{t_i}^{-1} (\alpha D_{t_i}^\mu u(t_i)) - \alpha D_{T_i}^{-1} (\alpha D_{T_i}^\mu u(t_i)) \right) &= I_i(u(t_i)), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
(3.4)
\]

**Theorem 3.3.** Assume that \((H_1), (H_4)\) and \((H_5)\) hold, then the BVP (3.4) admits infinitely many weak solutions.
Proof. According to the definition of $J$, it is obvious that $J(0) = 0$. In addition, according to $(H_5)$, $J$ is even. Notice the fact that all norms are equivalent in finite dimensional space, so for any finite dimensional space $\tilde{X}$ in $X$, and for each $u \in \tilde{X}$, we know that there exists a constant $G' > 0$, so that

$$
\|u\|_{L^q} = (\int_0^T |u(t)|^q dt)^\frac{1}{q} \geq G' \|u\|, \quad q \geq 1.
$$

Based on (3.2), for any $u \in \tilde{X}$, there is a constant $G > 0$ such that

$$
\int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt \geq F_0 \|u\|^{\mu}_{I^\mu} - PT
\geq F_0 \|u\|^\mu - PT,
$$

which yields that

$$
J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^{u(t_i)} I_i(t) dt - \lambda \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt
\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{I}_i \|u\| + \frac{\bar{k}_i}{\bar{\sigma}_i + 1} \Lambda^{\bar{\sigma}_i + 1} \|u\|^\bar{\sigma}_i + 1) - \lambda F_0 \|u\|^\mu + \lambda PT.
$$

And because $\mu > 2$ and $1 \leq \bar{\sigma}_i + 1 < 2$, we get $J(u) \to -\infty$ as $u \in \tilde{E}$ and $\|u\| \to +\infty$. Then there exists $R = R(\tilde{E})$ such that $J(u) \leq 0$ for all $u \in \tilde{E}$ satisfies $\|u\| \geq R$.

In view of $(H_5)$, we know $\int_0^x I_i(t) dt$ are even and $\int_0^x I_i(t) dt \geq 0$ for any $x \geq 0$. Thus

$$
\sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^{u(t_i)} I_i(t) dt \geq 0,
$$

combined with (3.3), we get that

$$
J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \lambda F^0 \Lambda^\mu \|u\|^{\mu}, \quad \|u\| \leq \frac{1}{\Lambda}.
$$

Since $\mu > 2$, the above inequality implies that we can choose $\delta > 0$ small enough such that $J(u) \geq \delta > 0$ for $\|u\| = \delta$. Base on Lemma 2.12, the BVP (3.4) has infinitely many weak solutions.

4. Examples

Example 4.1. Let us consider the following fractional boundary value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} D^0_{t\delta}(u'(t)) + \frac{1}{2} D^0_{t\lambda}(u'(t)) \right) + \lambda f(t, u(t)) = 0, \quad t \neq t_1, \ a.e. \ t \in [0,1], \\
&2 \left( \frac{1}{2} D^0_{t\delta}(u'(0)) + \frac{1}{2} D^0_{t\lambda}(u'(0)) \right) - u(0) = A, \\
&3 \left( \frac{1}{2} D^0_{t\delta}(u'(1)) + \frac{1}{2} D^0_{t\lambda}(u'(1)) \right) + u(1) = B, \\
&\Delta \left( D^0_{t\delta}(u'(t_1)) + D^0_{t\lambda}(u'(t_1)) \right) = 1 + |u(t_1)|^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

(4.1)
Then, we easy know that \((H_1)\) holds with \(l_1 = 1, k_1 = 3, \bar{l}_1 = 1, \bar{k}_1 = 2, \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2}\) and \(\bar{\sigma}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\).

1. Let \(F(t, u) = 2|u| + t, \theta = 1\) and \(\tau(t) = 3 + t,\) then \((H_2)\) holds.
2. Let \(F(t, u) = (1 + t)(\frac{1}{6}u^2 - 2|u|)\) and \(\eta(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + t),\) then \((H_3)\) holds.

So, based on Theorem 3.1, for any constants \(A\) and \(\bar{H}\) result of its infinite solutions. The BVP (1.2) in literature [3] is of integer order, and boundary condition corresponding to the BVP (1.1), and obtain the existence methods. In addition, we also study the BVP (3.4) of the homogeneous Sturm-Liouville weak solutions of the BVP (1.1) are obtained by using different variational methods. The existence of at least one weak solution and at least two different

Example 4.2. Consider the following fractional boundary value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{2}D^\alpha_0(u'(t)) + \frac{1}{2}D^\beta_1(u'(t))\right) + \lambda f(t, u(t)) &= 0, \quad t \neq t_1, \ a.e. \ t \in [0, 1], \\
2\left(\frac{1}{2}D^\alpha_0(u(0)) + \frac{1}{2}D^\beta_1(u(0))\right) - u(0) &= -\frac{1}{60}, \\
3\left(\frac{1}{2}D^\alpha_0(u'(1)) + \frac{1}{2}D^\beta_1(u'(1))\right) + u(1) &= \frac{1}{40}, \\
\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}D^\alpha_0(u'(t_1)) + \frac{1}{2}D^\beta_1(u'(t_1))\right) &= \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{60}|u(t_1)|^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{align*}
\]

(4.2)

When \(l_1 = \frac{1}{10}, \bar{l}_1 = \frac{1}{10}, k_1 = \bar{k}_1 = \frac{1}{10}\) and \(\sigma_1 = \bar{\sigma}_1 = \frac{1}{2},\) \((H_1)\) holds. Let \(F(t, u) = \frac{1}{5}(1 + t)u^b\) and \(\mu = 3,\) we know that \(M > 0\) and \((H_4)\) holds. Thus, according to Theorem 3.2, the BVP (4.2) exists at least two different weak solutions for \(\lambda \in (0, 0.02).\)

Example 4.3. Consider the following fractional boundary value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{2}D^\alpha_0(u'(t)) + \frac{1}{2}D^\beta_1(u'(t))\right) + \lambda f(t, u(t)) &= 0, \quad t \neq t_1, \ a.e. \ t \in [0, 1], \\
2\left(\frac{1}{2}D^\alpha_0(u(0)) + \frac{1}{2}D^\beta_1(u(0))\right) - u(0) &= 0, \\
3\left(\frac{1}{2}D^\alpha_0(u'(1)) + \frac{1}{2}D^\beta_1(u'(1))\right) + u(1) &= 0, \\
\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}D^\alpha_0(u'(t_1)) + \frac{1}{2}D^\beta_1(u'(t_1))\right) &= \frac{1}{2}u(t_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{align*}
\]

(4.3)

If \(l_1 = \bar{l}_1 = 1, k_1 = \bar{k}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\) and \(\sigma_1 = \bar{\sigma}_1 = \frac{1}{2},\) \((H_1)\) holds. From \(I_l(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2,\) \(F(t, u) = \frac{1}{8}(1 + t)u^b\) and \(\mu = 3,\) we know that \((H_4)\) and \((H_5)\) hold. Therefore, according to Theorem 3.3, the BVP (4.3) admits infinitely many weak solutions for \(\lambda \in (0, +\infty).\)

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we study a class of fractional Sturm-Liouville problem with impulsive conditions. The existence of at least one weak solution and at least two different weak solutions of the BVP (1.1) are obtained by using different variational methods. In addition, we also study the BVP (3.4) of the homogeneous Sturm-Liouville boundary condition corresponding to the BVP (1.1), and obtain the existence result of its infinite solutions. The BVP (1.2) in literature [3] is of integer order, and
the BVP (1.3) in literature [24] is only a homogeneous Sturm-Liouville boundary condition. So the BVP (1.1) in this paper extends the BVP (1.2) and generalizes the BVP (1.3). Then the research of this paper is necessary and meaningful.

Acknowledgements

The first author and the second author were supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11572148 and 11872201). The authors would like to thank all the referees for their careful reading and suggestions.

References

[1] B. F. A. Baldi and P. Pansu, \textit{\textit{L}}^1\textit{-poincaré and sobolev inequalities for differential forms in euclidean spaces}, Sci. China Math., 2019, 62, 1029–1040.
[2] H. S. A. Kilbas and J. Trujillo, \textit{Theory and applications of fractional differential equations}, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
[3] L. Bai and B. Dai, \textit{Existence and multiplicity of solutions for an impulsive boundary value problem with a parameter via critical point theory}, Math. Comput. Modelling, 2011, 53, 1844–1855.
[4] Z. Bai and H. Lv, \textit{Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation}, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2005, 311, 495–505.
[5] E. S. D. Baleanu, K. Diethelm and et al, \textit{Fractional calculus models and numerical methods}, Series on Complexity, Nonlinearity and Chaos, World Scientific, Boston, 2012.
[6] A. Dogan, \textit{On the existence of positive solutions for the second-order boundary value problem}, Appl. Math. Lett., 2015, 49, 107–112.
[7] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, \textit{Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications}, Nonlinear Anal-Theor., 1987, 11, 623–632.
[8] W. Jiang, \textit{The existence of solutions to boundary value problems of fractional differential equations at resonance}, Nonlinear Anal, TMA, 2011, 74, 1987–1994.
[9] F. Jiao and Y. Zhou, \textit{Existence results for fractional boundary value problem via critical point theory}, Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos, 2012, 22(1250086), 1–17.
[10] H. J. K. Teng and H. Zhang, \textit{Existence and multiplicity results for fractional differential inclusions with dirichlet boundary conditions}, Appl. Math. Comput., 2013, 220, 792–801.
[11] V. Lakshmikantham, \textit{Theory of fractional functional differential equations}, Nonlinear Anal., 2008, 69(10), 3337–3343.
[12] C. Ledesma and N. Nyamoradi, \textit{Impulsive fractional boundary value problem with \textit{p}-laplace operator}, J. Appl. Math. Comput., 2017, 55, 257–278.
[13] E. Lee and Y. Lee, \textit{Multiple positive solutions of a singular gelfand type problem for second-order impulsive differential systems}, Math. Comput. Modelling, 2004, 40, 307–328.
[14] T. O. M. Klimmek and A. Malinowska, \textit{Variational methods for the fractional sturm-liouville problem}, J. Math. Analysis Appli., 2014, 416, 402–426.
[15] F. Mainardi, *Fractional calculus: some basic problems in continuum and statistical mechanics*, Fractals and fractional calculus in continuum mechanics, Springer, 1997.

[16] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, *Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems*, Springer, New York, 1989.

[17] K. Miller and B. Ross, *An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations*, Wiley, New York, 1993.

[18] N. Nyamoradi, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for impulsive fractional differential equations*, Mediterr. J. Math., 2017, 14(85).

[19] N. Nyamoradi and E. Tayyebi, *Existence of solutions for a class of fractional boundary value equations with impulsive effects via critical point theory*, Mediterr. J. Math., 2018, 15(79).

[20] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations*, Academic Press, New York, London, Toronto, 1999.

[21] P. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1986.

[22] A. Samoilenko and N. Perestyuk, *Impulsive differential equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.

[23] A. K. S.G. Samko and O. Marichev, *Fractional integral and derivatives: theory and applications*, Gordon and Breach, London, New York, 1993.

[24] D. Smart, *Fixed point theorems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980.

[25] Y. Tian and J. Nieto, *The applications of critical-point theory to discontinuous fractional-order differential equations*, Proceeding of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society, 2017, 60, 1021–1051.

[26] D. B. V. Lakshmikantham and P. Simeonov, *Theory of impulsive differential equations*, Series Modern. Appl. Math., World Scientific, Teaneck N.J., 1989.

[27] J. Wang and H. Xiang, *Upper and lower solutions method for a class of singular fractional boundary value problems with p-laplacian operator*, Abatr. Appl. Anal., 2010, 2010, 1–12.

[28] L. L. X. Zhang and Y. Wu, *The eigenvalue problem for a singular higher order fractional differential equation involving fractional derivatives*, Appl. Math. Comput., 2012, 218, 8526–8536.

[29] J. W. Y. Ao and W. Zou, *On the existence and regularity of vector solutions for quasilinear systems with linear coupling*, Sci. China Math., 2019, 62, 125–146.

[30] L. L. Y. Wang and Y. Wu, *Positive solutions for a nonlocal fractional differential equation*, Nonlinear Anal., 2011, 74, 3599–3605.

[31] Y. L. Y. Wang and J. Zhou, *Solvability of boundary value problems for impulsive fractional differential equations via critical point theory*, Mediterr. J. Math., 2016, 13, 4845–4866.

[32] F. J. Y. Zhou and J. Li, *Existence and uniqueness for p-type fractional neutral differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal., 2009, 71, 2724–2733.

[33] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications*, Springer, 1985.

[34] Y. Zhou, *Basic Theory of fractional differential equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2014.