Stabilization of Soft Clay by Using Diapers Back Sheet Layer Wastes
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Abstract. This research focuses on improving the weaknesses of soft clay soils by using proper recycled material as a stabilization agent for strengthening purpose. Therefore, Diaper’s Back Sheet Layer Wastes (DBSLW) were used as the agent of soil stabilization. In this study, series of laboratory test were conducted to evaluate the optimum size and content of DBSLW as a strip reinforcement to increase the strength of Batu Pahat Soft Clay (BPSC). Testing program involves obtaining the physical properties of BPSC followed by California Bearing Ratio (CBR) test to determine the strength of BPSC with and without the addition of DBSLW. Result shows that the optimum size for DBSLW is 10 mm x 30 mm while the optimum content is 0.5%. At 15 mm penetration, sample with diapers strip of 10 mm x 30 mm dimension record 4.10 kN CBR value compare to 1.64 kN CBR value of untreated soil, 2.5 times stronger than untreated soil. Results of CBR tests demonstrated that inclusion of DBSLW strips in soil with optimum amounts and size improved strength and deformation behavior of soils substantially. The proposed technique could be used to advantage in road construction, industrial yards, and building structure.

1 Introduction

Soil stabilization is the process which is used to improve the engineering properties of the soil and thus making it become more stable. Soil stabilization is required when the soil available for construction is not suitable for the intended purpose. It includes compaction, pre consolidation, drainage and many other such processes [1].

Soil Stabilization is the alteration of soils to enhance their physical properties. Stabilization can increase the shear strength of a soil and control the shrink-swell properties of a soil, thus improving the load bearing capacity of a sub-grade to support pavements and foundations. Soil Stabilization can be utilized on roadways, parking areas, site development projects, airports and many other situations where sub-soils are not suitable for construction. Stabilization can be used to treat a wide range of sub-grade materials, varying
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from expansive clays to granular materials. This process is accomplished using a wide variety of additives, including lime, fly-ash, and Portland cement. Other material byproducts used in Stabilization include lime-kiln dust (LKD) and cement-kiln dust (CKD) [1].

Stabilization in a broad sense incorporates the various methods employed for modifying the properties of a soil to improve its engineering performance. Stabilization is being used for a variety of engineering works, the most common application being in the construction of road and airfield pavements, where the main objective is to increase the strength or stability of the soil and to reduce the construction cost by making best use of locally available materials.

2 Literature Review

Soil fiber composites have been found effective in improving the CBR value. These studies indicated that stress-strain-strength properties of randomly distributed fiber reinforced soil are a function of fiber content and aspect ratio. Strength and load bearing capacity of soil was enhanced considerably when the soil is stabilized mechanically with short thin plastic strips of different length and content [2]. The feasibility of reinforcing soil with strips of reclaimed Diapers Back Sheet Layer Wastes (DBSLW), Polyethylene has also been investigated to a limited extent[3,4]. A detailed study pertaining to its use in real life problems is still quite meager. In view of the above limited studies, present study has been taken up with special reference to its feasibility for application in soil improvement. The principle of resisting action of the strips is mainly visualized as given in Figure 1 (a) and (b). In situation (a) the plunger pushes down particle C to occupy position in between particle A and B. The strip resists the downward movement of particle C until slippage between soil and strip occurs resulting into development of situation (b). Thus, it is the interaction between soil and strips which causes the resistance to penetration of the plunger resulting into higher CBR values [3].

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram showing position of strip (a) before and (b) after slippage between soil and strip [3]](image)

3 Materials and Methods

This study was conducted on soft clay soils that were collected from the Research Centre for Soft Soils (RECESS), Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, located at southern of the Peninsular Malaysia. The soft clay samples were taken at 1 m to 1.5 m depth. The soil was classified as highly plastic clay with plasticity index (PI) of 42%, liquid limit (LL) of 72%
and plastic limit (PL) of 30%. Moreover, the natural moisture content is 87%. The maximum dry density and optimum moisture content of soil as determined from the compaction test were 1340 kN/m³ and 30 %, respectively. The result almost similar with previous research by Nor et al. [6].

3.1 Plastic waste

Diaper’s Back Sheet Layer Wastes (DBSLW) used in this study is considered as plastic waste or polyethylene. CBR behavior of DBSLW reinforced soil having strip width of 10 mm and a thickness of 40 micron was examined. The DBSLW were cut into different lengths of 10 mm [Aspect Ratio (AR) =1], 20 mm (AR=2) and 30 mm (AR=3) and 40mm (AR=4) for each soil sample. It is important to ensure that mould diameter remains at least 4 times the maximum strip length, which will ensure that there is sufficient room for the strips to deform freely and independent of mould confinement. The waste plastic strips to be added to the soil were considered a part of the solid fraction in the void solid matrix of the soil. The content of the strip is defined herein as the ratio of weight of strips to the weight of dry soil. The tests were conducted at various strip contents of 0.0%, 0.25 %, 0.5 %, and 1 % [2].

3.2 CBR Test Procedure

The CBR test was based on the BS 1377: Part 2: 1990 [7]. Required amount of strips and soil were first weighed before mixing the strips with dry soil at obtained moisture content. The soil was compacted in five equal layers by applying 56 evenly distributed blows using a 4.89 kg rammer. A 2.5 kg surcharge was placed over the specimen and clamped over the base plate and the whole mould with the weight before placing under the testing machine. The penetration plunger was set at the top central surface of the soil sample by applying a seating load of 4 kg. The dial gauge for measuring the penetration values of the plunger was fitted in position. The dial gauge of the proving ring (for load reading) and the penetration dial gauge were set to zero. The load was applied through the penetration reading of 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 4.0, 4.5, 7.5, 10.0 and 15.0 mm.

4 Results and Discussions

Table 1 shows the soil characteristics of Batu Pahat Soft Clay which sampling at the RECESS area within UTHM campus after undergo several physical test such as Atterberg’s limit, natural moisture content, natural dry unit weight and compaction.

| Table 1: Physical characteristics of Soft Clay Soils |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Liquid Limit, (%) | 72 |
| Plastic limit, (%) | 30 |
| Plastic Index, (%) | 42 |
| Type of soil | Highly plastic clay |
| Natural moisture content, (%) | 72 |
| Depth of sampling (m) | 1 – 1.5 |
| Optimum moisture content, (%) | 30 |
| Maximum dry density, (kg/m³) | 1340 |

The load (KN) versus penetration (mm) was plotted as shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3.
Figure 2 shows the result of CBR test using 0.5% of back sheet diapers with different size of strip. The highest value recorded was the sample using size of 10 x 30 mm while the lowest value recorded was the sample without strip. Moreover, this graph shows that when the size of strip increase, the value of CBR recorded also increase, although it finally stop increase when using 10 x 40 mm of size strip of back sheet diapers strip. Furthermore, the CBR value recorded for without strip, using 10 mm x 10 mm, 10 mm x 20 mm, 10 mm x 30 mm increased and lastly using 10 mm x 40 mm of diapers back sheet strip size are slightly decreased. Thus, the efficient and optimum size of back sheet diapers strip is 10 mm x 30 mm. The CBR values for unreinforced soil at 2.5 mm and 5 mm are 3.55 % and 4.66 % respectively while the CBR values for reinforced soil using 10 mm x 10 mm are 10.73 % and 11.52% respectively. Furthermore, the CBR values for reinforced soil using 10 mm x 20 mm are 10.80% and 11.62% respectively, CBR values for soil reinforced using 10 mm x 30 mm are 10.88% and 11.97% respectively and lastly the CBR values for reinforced soil using 10 mm x 40 mm are 10.80% and 11.72 % respectively. Thus the reinforced soil with 10 x 30 mm is 2.5 times stronger than unreinforced soil. [3]. In summary, the mixed of uniformly distributed DBSLW in soil increased the piston load at a given penetration considerably. These figure also prove that inclusion of DBSLW increases the CBR value appreciably. This figure reveals that the 0.5% content of 10 mm x 30 mm size strip was the optimum size and gave the maximum bearing capacity.
Figure 3 shows the result of CBR test using 10 mm x 30 mm size of strip with different proportion. The highest value recorded was the sample using size of 0.5% while the lowest value recorded was the sample without strip. Moreover, this graph shows that when the percentage of proportion increase, the value of CBR recorded also increase, although it finally stop increase when using 1% of diapers back sheet strip proportion. Furthermore, the CBR value recorded for without strip and using 0.25% of diapers back sheet strip proportion not change too much. Thus, the efficient and optimum proportion of back sheet diapers strip is 0.5%. The CBR values for unreinforced soil at 2.5 mm and 5 mm are 3.55% and 4.66% respectively while the CBR values for reinforced soil using 0.25% strip content are 3.78% and 5.01% respectively. Furthermore, the CBR values for reinforced soil using 0.5% strip content are 10.88% and 11.97% respectively and lastly the CBR values for reinforced soil 1% strip content are 8.08% and 9.07% respectively. Thus the reinforced soil using 0.5% strip content is 2.5 times stronger than unreinforced soil [3]. In summary, as the soil sheared during penetration, strip fixed in the soil by friction, elongated and together provided strength against the deformation. Deformation of the samples being predominantly shear in nature, the CBR value can be regarded as an indirect measure of strength. Results of CBR tests demonstrated that inclusion of DBSLW strips in soil with appropriate amounts and size improved strength and deformation behavior of soils substantially [3].
5 Conclusions

In conclusion, DBSLW Polyethylene was diagnosed as a significant stabilizing agent for soft soil. The findings can be summarized as follow:

- It proves that DBSLW is a good admixture for soil stabilization.
- The addition of stabilizing agent to local soil increases the CBR value.
- The maximum improvement in CBR is obtained while using 0.5 % using Diapers Back Sheet Layer Wastes having size of (10 mm x 30 mm) which is (AR = 3).
- The CBR value at (10 mm x 40 mm) with 0.5 % plastic strip was slightly decreased.
- The reinforcement benefit increases with an increase in size and percentage of strip content up to certain limit, and beyond that it reduces its strength.
- The maximum CBR value of a reinforced system is approximately 2.50 times stronger than that of an unreinforced system.
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