Locus coeruleus activation patterns differentially modulate odor discrimination learning and odor valence in rats
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ABSTRACT

The locus coeruleus (LC) produces phasic and tonic firing patterns that are theorized to have distinct functional consequences. However, how different firing modes affect learning and valence encoding of sensory information are unknown. Here we show bilateral optogenetic activation of rat LC neurons using 10-Hz phasic trains of either 300 msec or 10 sec accelerated acquisition of a similar odor discrimination. Similar odor discrimination learning was impaired by noradrenergic blockade in the piriform cortex (PC). However, 10-Hz phasic light-mediated learning facilitation was prevented by a dopaminergic antagonist in the PC, or by ventral tegmental area (VTA) silencing with lidocaine, suggesting a LC-VTA-PC dopamine circuitry involvement. Ten hertz tonic stimulation did not alter odor discrimination acquisition, and was ineffective in activating VTA DA neurons. For valence encoding, tonic stimulation at 25 Hz induced conditioned odor aversion, while 10-Hz phasic stimulations produced an odor preference. Both conditionings were prevented by noradrenergic blockade in the basolateral amygdala (BLA). Cholera Toxin B retro-labeling showed larger engagement of nucleus accumbens-projecting neurons in the BLA with 10-Hz phasic activation, and larger engagement of central amygdala projecting cells with 25-Hz tonic light. These outcomes argue that the LC activation patterns differentially influence both target networks and behaviour.
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Locus coeruleus (LC) adrenergic neurons are proposed to fire in two modes, phasic and tonic, with important functional consequences (Aston-Jones G and JD Cohen 2005). However, how phasic and tonic LC activation differentially modulate learning and sensory valence encoding are not well understood. The advent of optogenetic techniques would appear to make it straightforward to test this idea, however, the literature on natural LC firing suggests the phasic/tonic distinction may be somewhat simplistic and, given LC output data, not so readily testable with optogenetic stimuli. Despite the often poor-matching of optogenetic patterns and LC firing, described below, differences in optical activation frequencies and patterns produce distinct behavioral outcomes. Here we characterize differing outcomes of phasic and tonic LC activations in acquisition of olfactory learning, spontaneous behavior and conditioned olfactory responses. Differing optogenetic patterns and/or frequencies, at the same site, redirected LC output to support the behavioral differences we observed. We suggest how LC optogenetic input redirects LC output is a critical future question for illuminating LC function.

In the early 1980s, natural LC firing patterns were recorded in awake rats and monkeys (Foote SL et al. 1980). While LC neurons in anesthetized animals are activated by noxious input, LC neurons in awake animals are sensitive to a wide range of sensory stimuli. Brain state gates these LC responses. In rats, mean LC firing in Hz was 0.02 (REM sleep); 0.69 (slow wave sleep); and 2.12 (awake). Under stressful conditions, LC neurons display tonic discharge rates of 3-6 Hz (Abercrombie ED and BL Jacobs 1987; Lechner SM et al. 1997). Firing rates in monkeys were similar. Sustained rates of 7-15 Hz were seen when monkeys watched a syringe of preferred juice. Sensory stimuli at 5 sec intervals were associated with LC bursts up to 10 Hz with post-burst pause, or no LC firing, depending on arousal (Aston-Jones G and FE Bloom 1981). Habituation was not observed, but see (Herve-Minvielle A and SJ Sara 1995). Reduced
LC excitability accompanied consummatory behavior. In the context of associative learning (Sara SJ and M Segal 1991) and odd-ball vigilance (Aston-Jones G et al. 1994), LC responses depended on contingencies and behavior. Bursts increased to cues predictive of reward and disappeared with overtraining. Overall LC increases predicted correct decision making in oddball tasks.

While LC firing patterns are described as varying between tonic and phasic firing modes (Rajkowski J et al. 1994), natural LC firing appears to be aperiodic with nuanced variations in rate as seen in raster plots (Aston-Jones G and FE Bloom 1981; Herve-Minvielle A and SJ Sara 1995; Takeuchi T et al. 2016), where spike groupings in doublet and triplet patterns are common. With optogenetic tools, the functional effects of differing frequency and patterns of LC activation have been investigated. Although periodic optogenetic activation is an imperfect tool for mimicking natural LC patterns, recent investigations with this approach have provided us with rich information on LC functionality.

In awake mice, Takeuchi et al. (Takeuchi T et al. 2016) used optogenetics to evaluate LC’s role in novelty facilitation of memory consolidation. Rodents exposed to novel environments after training exhibit strengthened training memory, which was mimicked by LC activation. LC neurons in both the familiar and novel environments exhibited aperiodic firing. The average response rate increased in the novel environment, from 0-3 Hz to 0.6-6 Hz for the same cells. In the novel environment, 25% of LC spikes met burst criteria. Within burst firing rate averaged ~18 Hz with overall firing at 2 Hz. For optogenetic purposes, cells were considered light-activated if 1/3 light pulses elicited a spike. Phasic light pulses at 25 Hz for 1 sec every 5 sec led to all LC spikes occurring in bursts and an overall firing increase to 4 Hz in
the example provided. Consolidation of the training memory was facilitated with such optical LC stimulation pattern.

In anesthetized rats, Vazey et al. showed that tonic activation increased EEG arousal at a threshold of 10 Hz. Tonic at 3 Hz and phasic pulses averaging 1.5 Hz (12 Hz, three pulses every 2 sec) did not produce arousal. This permitted elucidation of differing effects of non-arousing tonic and phasic activation in the modulation of somatosensory input. Phasic input increased cortical event-related potential, while tonic did not. Both phasic and tonic input enabled sub-threshold responses supra-threshold, recruiting more somatosensory neurons in response to a sensory stimulus. Phasic also increased late activity in the sub-threshold somatosensory neurons, mimicking those of salient painful inputs. Between light pulses, LC neurons continued with irregular firing, with overall firing unchanged. This contrasts with likely supra-arousal phasic activation in the awake rodent (Takeuchi T et al. 2016) when it appeared all spikes occurred in bursts and overall firing increased. It also contrasts with Carter et al. (Carter ME et al. 2010) who observed clear arousal promoting effects of 3 Hz LC activation in mice. Other studies suggest less alignment of LC spikes with optogenetic pulses in vivo. During 5 Hz tonic LC light activation in mice, average LC firing varies from 0-13 Hz with all cell averaging less than 5 Hz (McCall JG et al. 2015). Ten-Hz tonic light activating central amygdala (CeA) input to the LC generated same variable LC firing, with a portion of cells showed decreased firing. However, both 5-Hz and 10-Hz tonic light in the LC promoted anxiety and aversion. In another report, using 20-Hz pulses for 7 sec, LC spiking varied from 2-5 Hz (Bari A et al. 2020). Here the behavioral output was improved attention and response inhibition depending on target structures.

The fascinating aspect of optogenetic experiments with phasic and tonic patterns is that they can consistently bias behavior in such different ways. Without concomitant in vivo awake
recording during light activation in a behavioral task, a highly challenging undertaking, it is not possible to assume a tight relationship between optogenetic pulses and LC neuronal spiking. It is also not surprising that periodic stimuli interact in labile ways with state-dependent aperiodic LC firing. Nonetheless, different light pulse frequencies and patterns elicit distinct behavioral outcomes, even with small subject samples. Characterizing the differing outcomes and uncovering the mechanistic links between optogenetic inputs and their behavioral sequelae will deepen our understanding of how LC functions. Here we demonstrate that LC output redirection is one such mechanism, leading to the proposal that optogenetic patterns may differentially recruit LC downstream ensembles.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and Ethics Statement

Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)-CRE homozygous male breeders (Sage laboratories, Boyertown, PA) were bred with Sprague-Dawley female breeder rats (Charles River, Saint-Constant, Canada) for TH-CRE heterozygous offspring that were used in this study. Rats of both sexes were housed in a 12 h light/dark cycle and had ad libitum access to food and water unless during food deprivation for experiments. During food deprivation, each rat was given 20 g of regular rat chow/day and was monitored for body-weight and health status on a weekly basis. All experimental protocols followed the guidelines of Canadian Council of Animal Care and were approved by Memorial University animal care committee.

Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis

Our study includes four major components, 1) establishment of in vivo LC optical activation using in vivo optrode recording and the Npas4 activity marker (Fig. 1); 2) the effects
of phasic and tonic LC activations on general exploratory behavior and performance in the elevated plus maze (Fig. 2); 3) the effects of different LC activation patterns on difficult odor discrimination learning (Fig. 3-4), and 4) the effects of different LC activation patterns on odor valence encoding (Fig. 5-7). A total of 4 different light stimulation patterns were used in the behavioural tests, namely: 10-Hz long phasic (10 sec every 30 sec); 10-Hz brief phasic (300 msec every 2 sec); 10-Hz tonic; and 25-Hz tonic. For general behavioral effects, odor discrimination and valence learning, all patterns were explored (Fig. 2, 3, 5), except 25-Hz tonic was precluded in odor discrimination learning due to increased freezing and reduced mobility induced by this pattern. Similar effects of two LC phasic activity patterns were observed in all behavioral experiments. Therefore, subsequent mechanistic studies used one of the two patterns.

For studying the role of ventral tegmental area (VTA) and PC dopamine (DA) in odor discrimination learning (Fig. 4), 10-Hz brief phasic and 10-Hz tonic patterns were used. For the effect of BLA adrenergic receptor (AR) blockade in odor valence learning (Fig. 6), 10-Hz long phasic and 25-Hz tonic light was used. For cFos activation in BLA (Fig. 7), the 10-Hz brief phasic effect was compared with 25-Hz tonic light.

One-way repeated ANOVAs were used in Fig. 1C to compare the frequency changes pre-, during-, and post-light stimulation, followed by post-hoc Tukey tests. The two group comparison in Fig. 1F was subjected to Student’s t-tests (unpaired, two-tailed). Two-way mixed ANOVAs were used to compare the effects of different patterns of light on general behavior in Fig. 2A-C, followed by post-hoc Turkey tests. T-tests (unpaired, two-tailed) were used in Fig. 2D-E for closed arm and open arm times separately. Two-way mixed ANOVAs followed by linear trend analyses were used to determine statistical significance for the odor discrimination experiments, followed by post-hoc tests between the control and ChR2 groups in Fig. 3 and Fig.
4B&4C. One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey tests were used in Fig. 4F-H. Two-way repeated ANOVAs were used to compare the two odor valences in ROPT and COPT in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, followed by post-hoc Tukey tests. One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey tests were used in in Fig. 7. Data are presented as Mean ± S.E.M in the graphs.

**Viral Transduction**

An adeno-associated virus (AAVdj or AAV8) served as a vector to carry the genetic construct of channelrhodopsin 2 (ChR2) with a reporter gene for fluorescent proteins (EYFP or mCherry) under a double-floxed inverted open reading frame (DIO). Experimental constructs were AAVdj-EF1a-DIO-hChR2(H134R)-mCherry or AAV8-Ef1a-DIO-eChR2 (H134R)-EYFP. The control construct was AAVdj-EF1a-DIO-mCherry. The Deisseroth Laboratory at Stanford University provided all AAVs.

**Stereotaxic Surgery**

Three to ten months old adult TH-CRE rats received bilateral virus infusions (5E^{+12} vg/ml) in the LC under isofluorane anesthesia in a stereotaxic frame. Each hemisphere received two infusions, each of 0.7 µl (fluorescent beads: virus = 2:5) at the rate of 0.5 µl/min. The cannula was lowered at a 20° angle to avoid the transverse sinus. Infusion coordinates were 11.8-12.2 mm posterior, 1.2 and 1.4 mm bilateral, and 6.3 mm ventral with respect to bregma. At a minimum one month after infusion surgery, rats underwent optical fiber cannula (ferrule attached, containing optical fiber; Doric Lenses, Quebec, Canada) implantation surgeries (11.8-12.2 mm posterior, 1.3 mm bilateral, and 6.3 mm ventral with respect to bregma), followed by a minimum of two weeks of recovery before commencing behavioural tests.

For experiments requiring drug infusion, metal infusion guide cannulas (23 gauge) were implanted in the BLA (AP: 2.5 mm posterior, ML: 4.9 mm bilateral, DV: 7.8 mm) (Carew SJ et
al. 2018), or PC (AP: 1.8-2.0 mm anterior, ML: 4 mm bilateral, DV: 7.3-7.4 mm) (Shakhawat AM et al. 2015), or VTA (AP: 5.3 mm posterior, ML: 1 mm bilateral, DV: 8.1 mm) (Rodriguez-Manzo G and A Canseco-Alba 2017; Nunes EJ et al. 2019) combined with LC optical fiber cannula implantation. Internal cannula of 33 gauge (HRS Scientific, Canada) was used for infusion.

For experiments requiring Cholera Toxin B (CTB) infusions, surgeries were combined with LC optical fiber cannula implantation and rats were allowed 10 days recovery before carrying out experiments. CTB-594 and CTB-488 (1% w/v in phosphate buffer; Invitrogen, Waltham, MA) were infused by separate 32g bevelled 1µl Hamilton syringes (Neuros 7001 KH) attached to a vertical infusion pump (Pump 11 Elite; Harvard Apparatus, Saint-Laurent, Canada) (Dong X et al. 2017; McCall JG et al. 2017) in nucleus accumbens (NAc) (200 nl; AP: 1 mm anterior, ML: 1 mm bilateral, DV: 6.5 mm) and central amygdala (CeA) (150 nl; AP: 2.1 mm posterior, ML: 4.2 mm bilateral, DV: 7.5 mm) respectively. Each infusion lasted 5 min, followed by a 5-min wait before withdrawing the syringe.

**In vivo Electrophysiology**

Rats underwent the *in vivo* electrophysiology experiments one month post-infusion. Rats were anaesthetized with 15% urethane at 10 ml/kg of body weight and placed in a stereotaxic frame. The surgical procedure was carried out following appropriate sterilization. A hole was drilled in the skull (12.3 mm posterior, 1.3 mm left lateral to bregma) and an optrode, assembled just before the experiment (400 µm glass optical fiber; Thorlabs Inc, Newton, NJ), bundled with a 200/280 µm tungsten electrode; FHC, Bowdoin, ME), was lowered down at a 20° angle to 6.1-6.9 mm ventral to brain surface until LC neurons were identified by slow spontaneous spiking and burst response to toe pinch (audio-monitor and oscilloscope response) (Quinlan MAL et al.
A glass optical fiber was connected to a laser diode fiber light source (Doric Lenses, Quebec, Canada) by a mono-fiberoptic patch cord (0.48 NA, 400/430 µm). Blue light of 450 nm (90 mW; Doric Lenses) for a 400 µm core and 0.48 nA optical fiber was applied. Light pattern was controlled from Doric software. Following recording, rats were perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde. Brains were extracted and stored in 4% PFA overnight and then transferred to 25% sucrose solution (in 0.1M phosphate buffer) until sectioning for Nissl staining to locate the optrode placement. Only recordings that correctly targeted the LC were used for analysis.

Data analysis followed established procedures (Quinlan MAL et al. 2018). Data were acquired and analyzed by SciWorks (DataWave/A-M Systems, Sequim, WA). Signal was detected at the lowest threshold of 1.5X amplitude of the background. Autosort protocol based on 6 dimensions (peak time, peak amplitude, valley 1 amplitude, valley 2 amplitude and two principle components) was used to isolate similar cellular waveforms and cluster them in a cell-specific manner. Only clusters with LC-spike characteristics (e.g. broad action potentials) (Quinlan MAL et al. 2018) were further analyzed. Frequency histograms were generated to compare the firing rates of LC cells before, during and after each light protocol.

**Behavioural Tests**

**Light Stimulation for Behavioural Experiments**

Two to four weeks after optical cannula implantation surgery, rats underwent behavioural tests. Bilateral photostimulation at 450 nm (20 mW/mm² at fiber tip) was delivered by two laser light sources (LDFLS_450; Doric Lenses, Quebec, Canada) through mono-fiberoptic patch cords. Current equivalence of power was 150 mA. Different patterns of stimulation were controlled from Doric software. Behavioural sessions were video-recorded by ANY-Maze.
software (Stoelting, Wood Dale, IL) and analyzed offline. Subsets of experiments were analyzed by persons who were blind to the experimental conditions.

**Drug Infusion**

Drugs or vehicle were infused 30 min before behavioral testing through a 10 µl Hamilton syringe and infusion pump. Lidocaine (4%; Sigma, Oakville, Canada) was infused in the VTA (0.3 µl/hemisphere over 3 min with an additional 1-min wait before withdrawing the syringe) (Nunes EJ et al. 2019). For PC and BLA infusions, 1 µl of drug or vehicle was infused per hemisphere over 2 min followed by a 1-min wait before withdrawing the syringe. The D1/5 receptor antagonist SCH 23390 (3.47 mM, Sigma, Oakville, Canada), the α-AR antagonist phentolamine hydrochloride (10 mM; Sigma, Oakville, Canada) and the β-AR antagonist alprenolol hydrochloride (120 mM; Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, UK) (Shakhawat AM et al. 2015; Kempadoo KA et al. 2016) were used for PC and BLA infusions.

**General Behavioural Experiments**

**Open Field Maze**

Rats explored an opaque plexiglass box (60 cm x 60 cm x 40.5 cm) with a black bottom for a 10 min daily session for four consecutive days while receiving no stimulation on day 1, 10-Hz long phasic stimulation on day 2, 10-Hz tonic stimulation on day 3 and 25-Hz tonic stimulation on day 4. Distance travelled, time spent rearing (both free and supported), and time spent freezing were recorded and analyzed. Freezing was counted as no body movement except breathing.

**Elevated Plus Maze**

Following a 15-min photo-stimulation in the home cage, rats were placed in the center of an elevated plus maze (EPM; 50 cm x 10 cm each arm, 38 cm wall on the closed arms, 11 cm x
11 cm central platform, 52 cm high from the ground, inside painted black) facing the open arm opposite to the experimenter. Rats spent 5 min in the EPM while the stimulation continued. Time spent in closed and open arms was recorded.

**Olfactory Behavioural Experiments**

**Odorants**

Odors used in the experiments are listed in Table 1. The odor concentrations for similar odor discrimination and valence tests were chosen either based on previous publication (Shakhawat AM et al. 2015) or estimated vapor pressure of 1 Pascal (Devore S et al. 2013).

**Odor Discrimination Learning**

Rats were food deprived for 4-7 days before the onset of the experiments and food deprivation continued during the course of the experiment. Five days of habituation for context (box (60 cm x 60 cm x 40.5 cm), sponge and positive reinforcement (chocolate cereal)) were conducted first. Afterwards rats performed an odor discrimination task consisting of 10 trials/day, each trial being a maximum of 3 min. Two sponges containing odor 1 (O1) and odor 2 (O2) respectively were presented randomly in two corners of the box. The O1 sponge had a 2 cm² hole at the top center, containing a retrievable chocolate cereal. To balance the smell of the chocolate cereal, a non-retrievable chocolate cereal was placed in a hidden hole in the O2 sponge. Between trials rats were confined to a “home corner” in the box with an L-shaped plexiglass barrier for 20 sec while sponge positions were changed. During trials rats were allowed to explore the box and sniff the sponges. Trials ended as soon as a nose poke was made inside the hole, irrespective of the odor identity. The response was considered correct if nose poke was in O1 sponge. Trials in which no nose-poke occurred within 3 min were excluded from analysis. Percentage of correct responses was counted as the number of correct responses over
the number of total nose pokes. Light stimulation was given only during trials and terminated at nose-poke, but not during inter-trial intervals.

Dissimilar odor discrimination continued for a minimum of 7 days until rats reached two consecutive days of 80% success rate, followed by similar odor discrimination for 10 days.

**Odor Valence Tests**

For the conditioned odor preference test (COPT), the innate preference for two odors were first measured in a T-maze, then one of the odors was paired with LC light activation and preference for the two odors were tested again in the T-maze. Rats underwent a single 30 min session of habituation in a T-maze (long arm 183 cm x 19 cm, neutral arm 19 cm x 19 cm; 20.5 cm high wall) on day 1. On day 2, O1 and O2 sponges were placed in two opposing arms, with positions counter-balanced between morning and afternoon sessions. Time spent in the arms corresponding with O1 and O2 during a 10 min session was recorded. On day 3, rats were confined to the O1 arm for 10 min in the morning with light stimulation; and to the O2 arm in the afternoon without light stimulation. The odors were switched in the arms on day 4 and the same conditioning as day 3 was repeated. Rats were trained for 1 trial or 3 trials (repeating procedures as in day 4-5 three times) and data were pooled. On the testing day, arm time was measured in morning and afternoon sessions with O1 and O2 sponges positioned in the exact same manner as day 2.

For the real-time odor conditioned test (ROPT), rats were placed in a T-maze with two odorized arms and allowed to explore freely. Baseline preference for two odors was first recorded without light stimulation. During ROPT, LC light stimulation was associated with rats’ presence in one odorized arm, but not in the other. A 122 cm-long arm was used. Day 1 habituation and day 2 baseline odor responses were conducted in the same manner as in COPT.
On day 3, rats explored the maze freely for two 10 min sessions in the morning and afternoon. Light stimulation started upon a rat entering the O1 arm and stopped upon rat leaving the O1 arm. Odor positions were switched between morning and afternoon sessions.

**CFos and Npas4 Induction Experiments**

For cFos induction in Fig. 4&6, rats were habituated to the experimental environment for two days. On the third and fourth days, rats were optically stimulated with either phasic or tonic patterns in their home cages, for 10 min/day, while being exposed to an odorized sponge (benzaldehyde 0.05%). Control rats were exposed to the odor only without light stimulation. Ninety min following the odor+light, or odor only stimulation on the fourth day, rats were anesthetized, perfused, and brains were collected.

For Npas4 induction to validate light activation in Fig. 1, rats were habituated to the experimental environment for one day. Next day they were perfused 30 min following light stimulation in their home cage.

**Immunohistochemistry and Histology**

Rats underwent trans-cardiac perfusion with cold isotonic saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. Brains were extracted and kept in 4% paraformaldehyde. Brains were then sectioned using a vibratome (Leica VT 1000P; Leica Biosystems, Ontario, Canada) in 50 µm thick coronal slices and saved in a polyvinylpyrrolidone solution. For immunohistochemistry with Npas4 and DBH, slices were washed in PBS, and then incubated with primary antibodies. Npas4 (1:500, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) primary antibody mixed in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) with 2% normal goat serum and 0.2% Triton-X was applied for three nights at 4°C. Following a 3 x 10 min wash in PBS, a biotinylated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:1000; Vector laboratories, Burlingame, CA) was applied. After 2 hrs of secondary
incubation and a 3 x 10 min wash, slices were incubated in an avidin-biotin complex for 1.5 hrs followed by a PBS wash. Slices were then placed in a solution containing 15 µl SG Grey chromogen (Vector laboratories, Burlingame, CA) with 24 µl peroxide per ml of PBS. After optimum color development, slices were washed in PBS, dried overnight, dehydrated in graded ethanol, and mounted with permount.

For dopamine beta hydroxylase (DBH) staining, after a primary antibody (1:500, EMD Millipore, Burlington, MA) incubation, slices were incubated with a fluorescence conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:1000; Invitrogen/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) at room temperature for 2 hrs, followed by cover-slippering with Vecashield® antifade mounting medium (Vector laboratories, Burlingame, CA).

For cFos and TH co-labeling, 50 µm free floating sections from phasic and tonic stimulated rats, belonging to similar positions in the anterior-posterior axis of the brain as determined by unaided visual observation, were chosen in an unbiased manner. The sections were washed in Tris buffer (0.1M, pH 7.6) twice for 10 min each, followed by 10 min in Tris A (0.1% TritonX in Tris buffer) and Tris B (0.1% TritonX and 0.005% BSA in Tris buffer) before applying a blocking solution of 10% normal goat serum (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, Canada) for 1 hour. This was followed by a 10 min wash each in Tris A and Tris B before incubating in 1:2000 primary antibody solution prepared in Tris B at 4°C (TH, EMD Millipore, Burlington, MA; cFos, Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA). After two nights, sections were washed for 10 min each in Tris A and Tris B and incubated in a 1:1000 secondary antibody solution prepared in Tris B at 4°C (anti-rabbit Alexa 647, anti-mouse Alexa 488; Invitrogen/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). This was followed by 10 min washes in Tris A, Tris D (0.1% Triton X and
0.005% BSA in 0.5 M Tris buffer), and Tris buffer respectively. Finally, sections were mounted with antifade mounting medium.

Nissl staining was done by rehydrating the slides in graded ethanol, incubating in 0.5% cresyl violet for 8 min, washing in distilled water for 1 min and then dehydrating in graded ethanol. After a 5-min xylene step, slides were coverslipped with permount.

**Image Acquisition and Analysis**

Images were acquired by an Aptome2 (Zeiss, Toronto, Canada), an EVOS 5000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and a BX-51 (Olympus, Richmond Hill, Canada) for fluorescent and bright-field images. Images were acquired similarly for phasic and tonic stimulated rats, keeping gain and exposure time the same throughout each experiment. Images were analyzed using ImageJ software. Images underwent background subtraction before manual cell counting. For LC activation success, the number of Npas4+ cells was counted. For BLA and VTA activation, cFos+ cells and double-labeled CTB cells were counted. Three to six images per animal were analyzed and values from both hemispheres were averaged. A subset of the images was analyzed blindly.

**RESULTS**

**Validation of light activation of LC neurons**

Three weeks following LC AAV infusion (for targeting see Supplementary Fig. 1), we observed LC ChR2 uptake marked by the fluorescence reporter EYFP (Fig. 1A) or mCherry. All rats examined expressed the reporter bilaterally in the LC. The percentage of LC neurons (DBH expressing cells) transfected with ChR2 (co-expressing EYFP or mCherry) were 73.7 ± 12.8% (n = 8), and 92.3 ± 2.7% ChR2 cells are DBH+. We conducted *in vivo* optrode LC recordings.
Figure 1B1-B2 shows an LC neuron activated by a 10-sec, 10-Hz light train (30-msec pulses, laser intensity 150 mA). Figure 1C1-C3 shows LC activation by 10-Hz trains with two light intensities and pulse widths. LC firing frequency increases were significantly induced by the 10-sec, 10-Hz light at 30-msec duration, 150 mA ($F_{2,10} = 8.69, p = 0.006, n = 6$; Fig. 1C1), or 10 Hz at 50-msec duration, 150 mA ($F_{2,10} = 25.42, p < 0.001, n = 6$; Fig. 1C2), but not at 30-msec duration, 100 mA ($F_{2,6} = 2.48, p = 0.16, n = 4$; Fig. 1C3). The 30-msec, 150-mA light that effectively activated LC firing was used subsequently in recording (Fig. 1D) and behavioural experiments. Increasing light frequency elevated LC firing in ~linear fashion in the frequency range <30 Hz (Fig. 1D), with a firing output up to 15 Hz. While average LC firing rates with 5- and 10-Hz light approximate activation rates, we found LC neurons were not individually driven at those rates in vivo. Similar outcomes were observed in mouse in vivo where average LC firing with light activation was made up of LC neuron responses of widely varying frequencies (McCall JG et al. 2015). A caveat is that LC output from optrode recording is not an accurate estimate of the average effects throughout the LC, as the electrode tip is in close proximity to the tip of the optical fiber where light intensity is maximal. Therefore, average LC output during the light stimulation is likely lower than the output reported here. The immediate early gene Npas4 revealed light-induced expression in LC ($t = 4.53, p < 0.001, n$ (ChR2/Control) = 6/7; Fig. 1E&F). Recordings with the optrode placements outside the LC did not to the light (an example see Supplementary Fig. 2).

**Different patterns of LC activation show distinct general behavioural effects**

First we investigated whether phasic and tonic LC activations have differential effects on locomotion, exploratory behavior and stress. We included four patterns including two phasic patterns: 10-Hz long phasic (10 sec every 30 sec), and 10-Hz brief phasic (300 msec every 2
sec). These patterns are consistent with recent studies in terms of frequency range and duration (Carter ME et al. 2010; Kempadoo KA et al. 2016; Vazey EM et al. 2018). The 10-Hz brief phasic pattern mimics physiological LC firing in response to environmental stimuli (Aston-Jones G and FE Bloom 1981; Nakamura S et al. 1987). The two tonic patterns are 10-Hz tonic and 25-Hz tonic, corresponding to the LC output in the range of 10-15 Hz in our *in vivo* recording (Fig. 1D).

The effects of these LC activation patterns on open field distance travelled, duration of rearing, and freezing were tested (Fig. 2A-C). In the open field experiments, rats underwent a series of tests in a fixed order: baseline without light activation, 10-Hz long phasic, 10-Hz tonic and 25-Hz tonic. Data generated by different light patterns were normalized to the same baseline parameters for comparisons. A subset of rats underwent baseline measurements followed by 10-Hz brief phasic light activation (Supplementary Fig. 3). Ten-Hz phasic and tonic stimulated rats showed increased rearing while 25-Hz rats showed less mobility and increased freezing.

For distance traveled, the baselines in the ChR2 (24.70 ± 3.10 meters; n = 7) and control groups (19.71 ± 4.30 meters; n = 7) are similar (t = 0.916, p = 0.376). However, there is a significant Light Pattern X Group interaction (F<sub>2, 24</sub> = 8.831, p = 0.001; Fig. 2A). The ChR2 group showed a reduction in distance traveled with 25-Hz light compared to the control group (t = 4.02, p = 0.002). Duration of rearing was significantly different among groups (F<sub>2, 24</sub> = 13.617, p < 0.001; n (ChR2/Control) = 7/7; Fig. 2B). The ChR2 group showed increased rearing with the 10-Hz long phasic light (t = 6.449, p < 0.001) and the 10-Hz tonic light (t = 3.015, p = 0.043) compared to controls. Significant effects were also observed between the 10-Hz long phasic and 25-Hz tonic light (t = 7.908, p < 0.001), and between the 10-Hz tonic and 25-Hz tonic light (t = 4.847, p = 0.006) in the ChR2 groups. The amount of freezing also differed among groups (F<sub>2, 22</sub>
= 15.759, p < 0.001, n (ChR2/Control) = 6/7; Fig. 2C). The ChR2 group with 25-Hz tonic light showed increased freezing compared to the control group (t = 7.903, p < 0.001), 10-Hz long phasic (t = 8.002, p < 0.001), and 10-Hz tonic (t = 6.749, p = 0.001) light activation. A separate test of the 10-Hz brief phasic light with a corresponding light control group showed increased duration of rearing (t = 2.232, p = 0.038; Supplementary Fig. 2), similar to that of the 10-Hz long phasic light.

Anxiety during tonic and phasic 10-Hz light stimulations was measured in an elevated plus maze (EPM, Fig. 2D & 2E), and no differences were observed in the light-activated and control groups. For 10-Hz tonic, there was no difference between the ChR2 and the control group in time spent in either the closed arms (t = 1.383; p = 0.188) or the open arms (t = 0.101, p = 0.921, n (ChR2/Control) = 7/9; Fig. 2D). Similarly, neither the time spent in the closed arms (t = 1.439; p = 0.181), nor in the open arms (t = 0.838, p = 0.421), was significantly different between ChR2 and control groups with 10-Hz long phasic light activation (n (ChR2/Control) = 6/6; Fig. 2E). Together, these results suggest only 25-Hz tonic light induced a stress phenotype while 10-Hz tonic LC activation did not result in anxiety or stress in either the open field or EPM.

**LC phasic patterns enhance similar odor discrimination learning**

Rats were trained to associate a food pellet with one odor from an odor pair (Fig. 3A). After learning the simple odor discrimination (SOD) with a dissimilar odor pair in the absence of light activation (Fig. 3B, 3C & 3D), rats then learned the DOD with a similar odor pair with LC activation (Fig. 3E, 3F & 3G). Three light patterns were used during DOD training: 10-Hz long phasic (Fig 3B & 3E), 10-Hz brief phasic (Fig 3C & 3F) and 10-Hz tonic (Fig 3D & 3G). The 25-Hz tonic stimulation that induced significant freezing was not included in this learning
paradigm. While both phasic patterns facilitated DOD learning, 10-Hz tonic light activation had no effect on DOD learning.

ChR2 and control rats showed similar learning in the initial SOD. All groups showed improved performance over time (F_{6, 60} = 10.99, p < 0.001 for 10-Hz long phasic, n (ChR2/Control) = 5/7; Fig. 3B; F_{6, 72} = 9.125, p < 0.001 for 10-Hz brief phasic, n (ChR2/Control) = 6/8; Fig. 3C; F_{6, 66} = 12.097, p < 0.001 for 10-Hz tonic light, n (ChR2/Control) = 6/7; Fig 3D), but there were no group differences in all light patterns. However, in DOD, both brief and long phasic LC activations accelerated learning acquisition. For long phasic 10-Hz LC activation, there was a significant Day X Group interaction (F_{9, 90} = 2.880, p = 0.005), and a Group effect (F_{1, 10} = 10.069, p = 0.010; Fig 3E). Better performance in the ChR2 group was observed on days 3-8 (p < 0.05 or p < 0.01). Similarly, for DOD training with 10-Hz brief phasic light, a significant Day X Group interaction (F_{9, 108} = 4.429, p < 0.001) and a Group effect (F_{1, 12} = 17.47, p = 0.001; Fig 3E) were observed. Better correct response rates were observed from days 3-7 with this 10-Hz brief phasic light pattern (p < 0.05 or p < 0.01). In contrast, tonic 10-Hz LC activation did not alter acquisition. There was no effect of Day X Group interaction (F_{9, 99} = 0.983, p = 0.459), or Group (F_{1, 11} = 0.033, p = 0.860). Linear trend analysis showed improvement with time in both ChR2 (F_{1, 5} = 12.810, p = 0.016) and control groups (F_{1, 6} = 61.491, p < 0.001; Fig 3F).

We then tested whether LC phasic activation also improves SOD. Our result showed that SOD learning was not affected by phasic 10-Hz LC activation (n (ChR2/Control) = 6/7; Fig 3H). There was no Group X Day interaction (F_{6, 66} = 0.755, p = 0.608), or Group effect (F_{1,11} = 0.527, p = 0.483). Latency to nose poke showed reduction with training, and was not different between the control and ChR2 groups (Supplementary Fig. 4). This underscores NE’s role in difficult
discriminations that require pattern separation (Shakhawat AM et al. 2014; Shakhawat AM et al. 2015). Enhancement of subtle tactile discriminations by tonic 5-Hz LC activation (Rodenkirch C et al. 2019) has also been reported in rats, a frequency not explored here.

**LC-VTA-PC DA circuitry mediates the facilitating effect in similar odor discrimination learning**

Increased extracellular DA in the hippocampus following LC stimulation has been shown to be critical in spatial learning (Kempadoo KA et al. 2016) and novelty-mediated memory consolidation (Takeuchi T et al. 2016). We next tested the potential involvement of NE and DA in the PC upon LC 10-Hz brief phasic light activation in DOD (Fig. 4A). DOD was prevented when a mixture of α1-AR antagonist phentolamine and β-AR antagonist alprenolol were infused in the PC before training, however, the D1/5 receptor antagonist SCH 23390 infusion selectively abolished LC phasic light induced learning facilitation (n (ChR2 vehicle/Control vehicle/ChR2 AR block/ChR2 DR block) = 9/6/6/6; Fig. 4B), but not DOD acquisition. There was a significant Day effect (F9, 207 = 15.98, p < 0.001), a Group X Day interaction (F27, 207 = 5.196, p < 0.001), and a Group effect (F3, 23 = 17.73, p < 0.001). A significant difference in learning was observed between the D1/5 antagonist group and ChR2 vehicle group (t = 8.624, p < 0.001), and the AR antagonist group (t = 8.091, p < 0.001), and between the AR antagonist group and the ChR2 vehicle group (t = 17.488, p < 0.001). However, the D1/5 antagonist group performed similarly to the non-ChR2 control vehicle group (t = 1.421, p = 0.748).

These results argue that while NE in the PC is essential for pattern separation-dependent odor discrimination to occur, DA release in the PC during LC phasic light contributes a learning facilitating effect. There are two possible scenarios: either LC axon terminals co-release DA or increase extracellular DA through other mechanisms upon LC phasic activation (Kempadoo KA
et al. 2016; Takeuchi T et al. 2016), or VTA releases DA into the PC (Datiche F and M Cattarelli 1996; Aransay A et al. 2015) upon LC activation. To determine the source of DA released during odor discrimination learning, we infused lidocaine into the VTA to silence the VTA during DOD. Lidocaine infusion prevented the learning facilitation effects of the LC phasic light (n (lidocaine/vehicle) = 6/6; Fig. 4C), but not the acquisition of DOD learning. There was a significant Day effect (F9, 90 = 41.46, p < 0.001), a Group X Day interaction (F9, 90 = 5.60, p < 0.001), and a Group effect (F1, 10 = 90.94, p < 0.001). Higher correct response rates of the ChR2 vehicle group relative to the ChR2 lidocaine group on days 4-7 were observed (p < 0.01). However, the performance of the two groups on the last three days (8-10) was comparable (P > 0.05).

Why does phasic LC activation promote DOD while tonic activation at the same frequency does not? In other words, does LC phasic activation engage DA neurons in the VTA more effectively than tonic activation? We next studied neuronal activation patterns in the VTA induced by phasic vs tonic LC activations. We measured cFos expression in the VTA following odor exposure only (no-light control), 10-Hz brief phasic or 10-Hz tonic LC activation paired with an odor (n (control/tonic/phasic) = 5/5/5; Fig. 4D). The phasic light increased overall cFos activation in the VTA, as well as the portion of activated TH+ cells (Fig. 4E-G). Despite the similar numbers of TH+ cells in the three groups (F2,12 = 0.062, p = 0.940), there were different proportions of cFos+ cells (F2,12 = 11.633, p = 0.002) and TH+/cFos+ cells (F2,12 = 10.436, p = 0.002; Fig. 4E and 4F). The phasic pattern activated significantly more cFos+ cells in the VTA than the no-light control (t = 4.649, p = 0.017) and the tonic pattern (t = 6.647, p = 0.001), and generated a larger number of TH+/cFos+ cells compared to the control (t = 4.598, p = 0.017) and the tonic activation (t = 6.230, p = 0.002). The percentage of cFos+ cells in the total TH+
population is significantly higher with the phasic pattern than with the no-light control and the tonic pattern ($F_{2,12} = 33.984, p < 0.001$; Fig. 4G). The percentage of TH+/cFos+ cells over total cFos+ cells is also higher in the phasic group ($F_{2,12} = 4.938, p = 0.027$; Fig. 4H). These results argue that the 10-Hz LC phasic pattern engages VTA DA neurons whereas the 10-Hz tonic pattern does not. This may explain the learning facilitation effect of PC DA with phasic, but not tonic, LC activation.

**LC phasic and tonic patterns promote differential odor valence learning**

Besides differentially modulating discrimination learning by different LC activation patterns, tonic LC activation is involved in stress and aversive learning (Hirschberg S et al. 2017; McCall JG et al. 2017; Llorca-Torralba M et al. 2019). However, the effect of phasic LC activation on adult valence encoding is unknown. We next tested the intriguing possibility that tonic and phasic LC activations could differentially mediate valence learning. Tonic LC optical stimulation has been associated with real-time place aversion and conditioned place aversion (McCall JG et al. 2015; McCall JG et al. 2017). Here we employed similar tests with odors using ROPT and COPT (Fig 5A).

In the ROPT, rats were tested for the time spent in the two odorized zones, first in the absence, then in the presence, of light activation associated with one odor (O1). Ten-Hz long phasic activation increased the time ChR2 rats spent in the light-paired odor zone ($n$ (ChR2/Control) = 9/8; Fig. 5B). A significant Odor X Time interaction was observed in the ChR2 group ($F_{1,8} = 8.269, p = 0.021$), but not in the control group ($F_{1,7} = 0.080, p = 0.786$). ChR2 rats spent significantly more time in O1 during the 10-Hz phasic light, compared to the baseline ($t = 3.35, p = 0.045$). With ten-Hz brief phasic activation, there was a significant effect of Time in the ChR2 rats ($F_{1,5} = 12.490, p = 0.017$, $n$ (ChR2/Control) = 6/8; Fig. 5C). ChR2 rats
spent more time in O1 during light stimulation compared to baseline (t = 4.341, p = 0.028). In contrast, 10-Hz tonic light had no significant effect in the ChR2 group (F_{1,9} = 2.587, p = 0.142, n (ChR2/Control) = 10/7; Fig. 5D).

In the COPT, rats were light stimulated in the presence of one odor, O1. Time spent with O1 vs. a control odor O2, before and after conditioning was compared. There was a conditioned preference with both 10-Hz phasic patterns, but not 10-Hz tonic pairing. 10-Hz long phasic activation resulted in a preference for O1 in the ChR2 group (F_{1,10} = 6.622, p = 0.028), but not in the control group (F_{1,11} = 0.034, p = 0.857, n (ChR2/Control) = 11/12; Fig. 5E). ChR2 rats spent significantly more time in O1 (t = 3.44, p = 0.035) after odor conditioning. Similarly, 10-Hz brief phasic activation also induced preference for the light-conditioned O1 in the ChR2 group (F_{1,5} = 6.610, p = 0.049), but not the control group (F_{1,6} = 0.001, p = 0.975, n (ChR2/Control) = 6/7; Fig. 5F). ChR2 rats spent more time in O1 following odor conditioning (t = 3.889, p = 0.040).

However, the 10-Hz tonic light had no effect on odor preference (F_{1,10} = 0.017, p = 0.899, n (ChR2/Control) = 11/10; Fig. 5G).

The immobility associated with the tonic 25-Hz light (Fig. 2C) precluded ROPT testing. In COPT, a conditioned avoidance was induced by the 25-Hz light-paired odor (F_{1,7} = 14.811, p = 0.006, n (ChR2/Control) = 8/11; Fig. 5H). ChR2 rats spent significantly less time in the O1 arm after it was associated with the 25-Hz tonic light, compared to the baseline (t = 4.23, p = 0.020), while they spent more time in the control odor O2 (t = 5.169, p = 0.008). This replicates the conditioned place aversion seen with tonic 5-Hz activation in mice (McCall JG et al. 2015) and with chemogenetic tonic LC activation in rats (Hirschberg S et al. 2017).

**LC phasic and tonic patterns engage differential BLA circuitry in odor valence learning**
In mice, it has been shown that LC tonic activity promotes aversive behavior through BLA β-adrenoceptors (McCall JG et al. 2017). The BLA is a critical site for valence associative learning (O’Neill PK et al. 2018). BLA has been found to contain functionally distinct neuronal populations projecting to either negative- (CeA) or positive- (nucleus accumbens, NAc) valence encoding circuitry (Kim J et al. 2016; Namburi P et al. 2016). It is plausible that the LC-BLA projection is involved in the odor valence encoding observed here with differential LC light activation patterns.

Here we first tested the involvement of BLA ARs in ROPT and COPT with either 10-Hz long phasic or 25-Hz tonic LC activation (Fig. 6A). Increased time in the light stimulated odor zone with 10-Hz phasic light in ROPT was not affected by AR blockade (n (Vehicle/AR antagonists) = 7/7; Fig. 6B). Significant Time X Odor effects were observed in both vehicle-infused rats (F₁,6 = 6.947, p = 0.039) and AR antagonist infused rats (F₁,6 = 9.693, p = 0.021). Both vehicle (t = 3.730, p = 0.039) and AR antagonist groups (t = 4.088, p = 0.027) showed more time spent in the light-activated odor zone O1 during ROPT.

However, BLA α- and β-AR blockade with phentolamine and alprenolol prevented both LC 10-Hz long phasic light induced odor preference (n (Vehicle/AR antagonists) = 8/6; Fig. 6C) and LC 25-Hz tonic light induced odor aversion in COPT (n (Vehicle/AR antagonists) = 8/6; Fig. 6D). While the vehicle group developed odor preference with 10-Hz long phasic light (F₁,7 = 7.220, p = 0.031) and spent significantly more time in the conditioned odor O1 during the COPT (t = 5.048; p = 0.009), the AR antagonist infused group did not (F₁,5 = 2.778, p = 0.156; Fig. 6C). Similarly, the AR antagonist infused group showed no development of aversion with 25-Hz tonic LC activation (F₁,4 = 3.297, p = 0.129), while the vehicle group showed a significant effect of
time ($F_{1,7} = 5.875, p = 0.046$), and spent significantly less time in the conditioned odor O1 during the COPT ($t = 4.309, p = 0.019$; Fig. 6D).

Taken together, while BLA NE mediates conditioned valence learning dependent on differential patterns of LC activation, this circuitry is not involved in real-time preference in ROPT. Phasic light mediated enhanced exploration (Fig. 2B) may explain the acute ROPT effect of 10-Hz phasic light. This is consistent with a previous report that tonic LC mediation of real-time place aversion is not associated with the LC-BLA projections (McCall JG et al. 2017).

After establishing the requirement of BLA NE in LC light mediated valence learning in COPT, we next tested whether tonic and phasic activation of the LC biases activation of the BLA ensembles projecting to BLA-CeA aversive and BLA-NAc reward circuitry respectively. We infused retro-tracing dyes linked to CTB in the CeA and NAc, and examined the overlap of CeA or NAc projecting neurons with cFos$^+$ cells in the BLA activated by odor only (no-light control), 10-Hz brief phasic or 25-Hz tonic LC lights (Fig. 7A and 7B). The CTB labeled CeA ($F_{2,7} = 2.028, p = 0.202$) and NAc ($F_{2,7} = 0.340, p = 0.723$) projecting cell numbers were comparable in the three groups ($n$ (control/tonic/phasic) = 4/3/3; Fig. 7C). Intriguingly, although the two LC light patterns activated similar numbers of cFos$^+$ cells in the BLA compared to the control ($F_{2,7} = 0.888, p = 0.453$; Fig. 7C), the distribution patterns of cFos$^+$ cells were dramatically different (see example images in Fig 7B). The proportion of CeA$^+$ cells that were cFos$^+$ cells was significantly higher in the 25-Hz tonic group ($F_{2,7} = 14.232, p = 0.003$; Fig. 7D) compared with either the non-light control ($t = 4.539, p = 0.008$) or the 10-Hz brief phasic light ($t = 4.796, p = 0.006$). On the other hand, the proportion of NAc$^+$ cells in cFos$^+$ cells was significantly higher in the 10-Hz phasic light group ($F_{2,7} = 10.648, p = 0.008$; Fig. 7E) compared with either non-light controls ($t = 4.033, p = 0.015$) or the 25-Hz tonic light ($t = 4.052, p = 0.015$). The differential
distributions of cFos\(^+\) cells in different groups are displayed in the pie charts (Fig. 7F). In no-light controls, equal amount of cFos\(^+\) cells (11\%) were NAc and CeA projecting cells, whereas 37\% are NAc projecting and 5\% were CeA projecting with the 10-Hz brief phasic light, and 54\% were CeA projecting and 9\% were NAc projecting with the 10-Hz tonic light. A small portion of projecting cells (4.4\%) expressed both CTBs, however, the activation of the double-CTB-labelled cells was very low (0.72\%). Additionally, phasic and tonic light activations in the absence of an odor did not lead to difference in cFos activation patterns (Supplementary Fig. 5), consistent with a modulatory role of NE. Phasic and tonic modes of LC activations re-distributed neuronal ensembles activated by an odorant in the BLA. Selective activation of one valence encoding ensemble may inhibit the ensemble of the opposite valence in the BLA (Namburi P et al. 2015). Taken together, the 10-Hz LC phasic pattern preferentially activates NAc projecting neurons in the BLA, whereas the 25-Hz tonic LC activation preferentially engages CeA projecting neurons.

VTA projections to the NAc facilitate BLA-NAc circuitry in promoting a reward-seeking response to sensory cues (Yun IA et al. 2004; Ambroggi F et al. 2008). The 10-Hz phasic, but not 10-Hz tonic, LC activation, efficiently recruited VTA dopaminergic neurons in our study (Fig. 4 D-H) suggesting that 10-Hz phasic LC activation likely engages VTA-NAc pathway more efficiently compared to a tonic pattern. This is indeed the case when we compared the cFos activation in the VTA by 10-Hz phasic light vs. 25-Hz tonic light (Supplementary Fig. 6). More cFos\(^+\) cells were observed with 10-Hz phasic LC activation and a bigger portion of NAc projecting neurons were involved.

**DISCUSSION**
This work aimed to further our understanding of whether and how the LC produces activation mode-specific behavioural responses. We assessed how LC activation patterns differentially modulate general behaviour, odor discrimination learning and valence encoding.

Both tonic and phasic 10-Hz activation promoted exploration as indexed by increased rearing. Consistent with this outcome, exploratory rearing was previously enhanced with NE or a β-adrenoceptor agonist infused in hippocampus (Flicker C and MA Geyer 1982; Geyer MA and VL Masten 1989). Increased immobility, anxiety and aversion, often occurring with 5-10-Hz tonic activation in mice (McCall JG et al. 2015), were only seen here with 25 Hz (with an output of ~15 Hz). Possibly optogenetic fiber size in relation to LC extent may support more extensive LC activation in mouse. Spatially extensive recruitment is likely to be stress-encoding. This hypothesis is consistent with the outcome of chemogenetic LC activation (Llorca-Torralba M et al. 2019) which seems to invariably induce anxiety and promote place aversions.

The roles of LC-NE in different aspects of olfaction and olfactory learning have been characterized extensively (see reviews (Fletcher ML and WR Chen 2010; Linster C and O Escanilla 2019). Here we compare phasic and tonic LC activation on DOD learning using similar odor pairs in adult rats. Discrimination of similar odors requires norepinephrine (NE) in the piriform cortex (PC) (Shakhawat AM et al. 2015) and the olfactory bulb (OB) (Doucette W et al. 2007; Mandairon N et al. 2008). Increased NE lowers thresholds for odor discrimination (Escanilla O et al. 2010) and is associated with higher signal to noise ratios (de Almeida L et al. 2015). NE enhances principle neuron excitability and regulates inhibition in both the OB (Trombley PQ and GM Shepherd 1992; Hayar A et al. 2001; Nai Q et al. 2009; Nai Q et al. 2010; Pandipati S et al. 2010; Lethbridge R et al. 2012) and PC (Gellman RL and GK Aghajanian 1993; Brosh I et al. 2006; Ghosh A et al. 2015) in a dose and receptor subtype-
dependent manner, which may contribute to the improvement in signal to noise ratio. NE enhancement of LTP processes (Yuan Q et al. 2000; Yuan Q 2009; Morrison GL et al. 2013) also likely to enhance discrimination learning. In adult rats, impaired odor pattern separation is associated with adrenergic receptor (AR) blockade (Shakhawat AM et al. 2015), while a short burst of electrical stimulation of the LC sharpens odor representation in the PC (Bouret S and SJ Sara 2002). Here we show that phasic, but not tonic LC activation, facilitates odor discrimination learning.

Phasic 10-Hz optogenetic patterns significantly enhanced the rate of acquisition of similar odor discrimination learning. While PC adrenoreceptors are required for similar odor discrimination (see also (Shakhawat AM et al. 2015)), it was D1/D5 receptor activation that supported enhancement of acquisition. Increased extracellular DA following LC stimulation to the dorsal hippocampus has been shown to mediate novelty induced learning (Takeuchi T et al. 2016) and enhance spatial learning (Kempadoo KA et al. 2016). In contrast, the source of PC DA in our study was the VTA as shown by prevention of enhancement with VTA lidocaine. Phasic LC activation recruited VTA DA neurons indexed by cfos, while tonic activation at the same frequency did not enhance acquisition and did not activate VTA DA neurons. Thus, LC output was directed to VTA by the 10-Hz phasic, but not the 10-Hz tonic optogenetic pattern. A recent paper (Soares-Cunha et al. 2019) reported that NAc neurons signal both reward and aversion depending on the optical stimulation patterns. Brief phasic pattern induces reward, while prolonged tonic-like stimulation leads to aversion. Interestingly, only the brief phasic stimulation is associated with increased VTA DA tone, similar to what we observed in our study.

Pauses in the phasic pattern are likely to be critical features of the mechanistic effects of phasic patterns. LC firing pauses may reset target receptor desensitization and have been
identified as required for spatial encoding consolidation in slow wave sleep (Swift KM et al. 2018). Preventing receptor desensitization may enhance both cell recruitment by an input and plasticity during learning. Pauses are also required for DA’s role as a prediction error and teaching signal (Chang CY et al. 2018).

We also compare the two LC activation patterns on odor valence. Tonic LC patterns promote aversions and anxiety in mice (McCall JG et al. 2015; McCall JG et al. 2017) and rats (Hirschberg S et al. 2017; Llorca-Torralba M et al. 2019). A conditioned place aversion and increased anxiety-like behavior have been demonstrated by increasing tonic firing of prefrontal cortex-projecting (Hirschberg S et al. 2017) and basolateral amygdala (BLA)-projecting LC neurons (McCall JG et al. 2017; Llorca-Torralba M et al. 2019). While phasic LC activation is regarded as learning-promoting, our result suggests that phasic LC activity also carries positive valence.

By prior pairing of an odorant with either 10-Hz phasic or 25-Hz tonic activation, a conditioned odor preference or conditioned odor aversion was acquired respectively. Prior studies suggested aversive place conditioning depended on β-adrenoceptors in the BLA (McCall JG et al. 2017). Here adrenoceptor involvement was again confirmed for conditioned odor aversion learning. BLA adrenoceptor activation was also required for conditioned odor preference learning. However, the subsets of basolateral neurons recruited by the phasic and tonic patterns of LC activation were significantly different. Positive valence induced by 10-Hz phasic LC activation stimulated the BLA neurons projecting to NAc, while tonic LC activation at 25 Hz stimulated BLA neurons projecting to CeA. The BLA-NAc pathway has been previously associated with reward, while BLA output to CeA is linked to aversive effects (Namburi P et al. 2015; Kim J et al. 2016). While LC-BLA engagement in stress and aversive learning has been
well documented (McCall JG et al. 2017; Uematsu A et al. 2017), our result provides one of the first evidence that phasic activation of the LC leads to positive valence. In the 1970s, phasic electrical stimulation of LC was identified as having positive valence (Ritter S and L Stein 1973), but this association was criticized as electrical stimulation lacks specificity and a causal relationship was not established (Wise RA 1978). The present experiments address these deficiencies and reveal a positive valence associated with phasic LC activation. A caveat is that different anatomical portions or different numerical portions of neurons were activated in these experiments. However, it is unlikely given that our rats were randomly assigned to each group and variations in LC activation would be random among groups. This outcome is consistent with the role for LC-hippocampal fiber activation in promoting reward remapping among place cells (Kaufman AM et al. 2020).

The mechanisms that underlie the differential activations in downstream structures by LC light patterns remain to be determined. The adrenoceptor heterogeneity in the target structures and differential NE release triggered by LC activation patterns may explain the different outcomes. A brain wide MRI study of the changes engendered by chemogenetic LC suggests such activation increases connectivity in salience and amygdala networks that is related to the density of α1 and β1 adrenoceptors (Zerbi V et al. 2019). It will be interesting to see how phasic patterns influence such brain connectivity patterns. Other differences in target area receptor-recruitment as a function of activation frequency have also been described. Koga et al (Koga K et al. 2020) compared 1 min of 5-Hz or 20-Hz light trains on LC fibers entering anterior cingulate cortex. They found both 5 Hz and 20 Hz enhanced the frequency of presynaptic glutamate release. While 5 Hz engaged β-adrenoceptor activation, 20-Hz trains further recruited α1-adrenoceptors to increase inward current.
An increase in presynaptic glutamate release frequency is consistent with the predictions of the “glutamate amplifies noradrenergic effects” (GANE) hypothesis (Mather M et al. 2016). GANE proposes that LC effects depend on glutamate network activity in target structures. Koga’s outcome supports a presynaptic effect of NE on glutamate release events. Enhancement of local glutamatergic activity will yield varying behavioral outcomes depending on competition among active glutamate circuits. Indeed, all behavioral outcomes with LC activation depend on the nature of activity in the target structures. Glutamate released onto VTA-DA neurons is enhanced by pre-synaptic α1-adrenoceptors (Velasquez-Martinez MC et al. 2012), which likely occur in our study where phasic LC light facilitates VTA neuron activation during reward-based odor discrimination training. Recent study suggests that LC activation induced DA increase in the dorsal hippocampus facilitates glutamatergic plasticity via pre-synaptic NMDARs (Sonneborn A and RW Greene 2021). Nonetheless, the requirement for a tight pairing of input and LC activation is not necessary for the effects reported here, as well as the hippocampal-dependent learning (Kempadoo KA et al. 2016; Takeuchi T et al. 2016). LC timing relative to input is critical, however, for attentional effects (Vazey EM et al. 2018) and spike-timing dependent plasticity (Seol GH et al. 2007; Huang S et al. 2013).

While there are many variables to consider in explaining differential behavioral effects of phasic and tonic optogentic patterns, the present data suggest two possibilities. One is differential downstream recruitment (different structures or different ensembles within the same structure) due to pattern-dependent LC NE release and adrenoceptor heterogeneity in the target structures. Another hypothesis is that different patterns may recruit different subpopulation of LC neurons that have discrete projections. Anatomical heterogeneity within the LC is now thought to support distinct LC behavioral roles (Schwarz LA and L Luo 2015; Schwarz LA et al. 2015; Uematsu A
et al. 2015; Chandler DJ et al. 2019). These ensembles are distributed rather broadly through the LC, but may be differentially sensitive to optogenetic patterns.

We suggest that if the different pattern-dependent outcomes illuminated in the present experiments can be integrated with the structural and functional evidence for LC and downstream ensembles (Schwarz LA and L Luo 2015; Uematsu A et al. 2015; Uematsu A et al. 2017; Chandler DJ et al. 2019), we will have novel insights into LC operation with broad implications for both basic and clinical brain science.
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**TABLE 1. The odorants used in various behavioral experiments**

| Name of the test                | Odor 1 (O1; *associated with photostimulation*) | Odor 2 (O2)                   |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Dissimilar odor discrimination  | Almond extract                               | Coconut extract               |
| Similar odor discrimination     | Heptanol and Octanol (40:60 mixture; 0.001%)   | Heptanol and octanol (50:50 mixture; 0.001%) |
| Valence test (10 Hz phasic)     | Vanilla (2%)                                  | Peppermint (2%)               |
| Valence test (10 Hz tonic)      | Orange (2%)                                   | Propanoic acid (0.033%)       |
| Valence test (25 Hz tonic)      | Benzaldehyde (0.05%)                          | Isoamyl acetate (0.05%)       |
Figure 1. Validation of the light activation of the LC neurons

A. Co-localization of DBH (red) and EYFP (green) in the LC of a TH-CRE rat infused with an AAV8-Ef1a-DIO-eChR2(H134R)-EYFP. B1. An example of an in vivo recording from the LC, showing increased firing of a LC neuron to a 10-Hz, 10-sec light (30-msec duration, 150-mA intensity). B2. The waveform of the recorded cell. C1-C3. LC firing frequency changes induced by 10-sec, 10-Hz light at 30-msec duration, 150 mA (n = 6, C1), at 50-msec duration, 150 mA (n
= 6, C2), or at 30-msec duration, 100 mA (n = 4, C3). D. LC responses to light activation with a range of frequencies at 150-mA intensity (n (1/5/10/15/30 Hz) = 2/3/12/6/6). E. An example of Npas4 staining of the LC following light stimulation. Right panel is the zoom in of the red square on the left panel. Scale bars, 50 µm. F. Npas4⁺ cell counts in the control (n = 6) vs. ChR2 rats (n = 7). *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

Figure 2. Ten-Hz phasic LC activation promotes exploration while 25-Hz tonic activation results in increased freezing and decreased mobility.
A. Distance traveled in the open field with various light patterns in the ChR2 (n = 7) and control (n = 7) rats, normalized to the baseline before the light stimulation. B. Duration of rearing with various light patterns in the ChR2 (n = 7) and control (n = 7) rats. C. Amount of freezing with various light patterns in the ChR2 (n = 6) and control rats (n = 7). D. Percentage time spent in open and close arms of the EPM with 10-Hz tonic light activation in the ChR2 (n = 7) and control (n = 9) rats. E. Percentage time spent in open and close arms of the EPM with 10-Hz long phasic light activation in the ChR2 (n = 6) and control (n = 6) rats. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.
Figure 3. LC phasic patterns, but not tonic pattern, enhance similar odor discrimination learning

A. Schematic of odor discrimination learning in rats. Simple odor discrimination (SOD) learning without light is followed by difficult odor discrimination (DOD) learning in the presence of various light patterns. B. SOD training in rats of the 10-Hz long phasic groups (n (ChR2/Control) = 5/7). C. SOD training in rats of the 10-Hz brief phasic groups (n (ChR2/Control) = 6/8). D. SOD training in rats of the 10-Hz tonic light groups (n (ChR2/Control) = 6/7). E. DOD training with 10-Hz long phasic light. F. DOD training with 10-Hz brief phasic light. G. DOD with 10-Hz tonic light. H. SOD training with 10-Hz brief phasic light (n (ChR2/Control) = 6/7). *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.
Figure 4. LC phasic activation engages VTA dopamine release to facilitate DOD

A. Schematic of DOD training with cannular infusion with example targeting images. Scale bars: 500 µm. B. DOD training with vehicle or drug infusions in the PC (n (ChR2 vehicle/Control vehicle/ChR2 AR block/ChR2 DR block) = 9/6/6/6). C. DOD training with vehicle or lidocaine infusions in the VTA (n (lidocaine/vehicle) = 6/6). D. Schematic of measuring cFos expression in the VTA with no-light control and different LC light patterns. E. Examples images of cFos and TH staining in the VTA with no-light control (upper panel), 10-Hz tonic (middle panels) and 10-Hz phasic light (lower panels). Arrows indicated example TH+/cFos+ cells. Scale bars, 50 µm.
F. Total cFos⁺, TH⁺ and TH⁺/cFos⁺ cells activated in different groups (n (control/tonic/phasic) = 5/5/5). G. Percentage TH⁺/cFos⁺ cells over total TH⁺ population. H. Percentage TH⁺/cFos⁺ cells over total cFos⁺ population. AR: adrenoceptor; DR: dopaminergic receptor; Antag: antagonist. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

Figure 5. Twenty five-Hz tonic LC activation leads to conditioned odor aversion while 10-Hz phasic pattern results in odor preference

A. Schematic of real-time odor preference test (ROPT) and conditioned odor preference test (COPT). B. Percentage time spent in each odors in ROPT, with 10-Hz long phasic light paired
with O1 (n (ChR2/Control) = 9/8). **C.** Percentage time spent in each odors in ROPT with 10-Hz brief phasic light (n (ChR2/Control) = 6/8). **D.** Percentage time spent in each odor in ROPT with 10-Hz tonic light (n (ChR2/Control) = 10/7). **E.** Percentage time spent in each odor in COPT, with 10-Hz long phasic light conditioned with O1 (n (ChR2/Control) = 11/12). **F.** Percentage time spent in each odor in COPT, with 10-Hz brief phasic light (n (ChR2/Control) = 6/7). **G.** Percentage time spent in each odor in COPT with 10-Hz tonic light (n (ChR2/Control) = 11/10). **H.** COPT with 25-Hz tonic light (n (ChR2/Control) = 8/11). *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

**Figure 6. BLA ARs mediate the conditioned preference and aversion in COPT**

**A.** Schematic of brain infusion, followed by real-time odor preference test (ROPT) and conditioned odor preference test (COPT). An example targeting image of BLA is shown in the middle. Scale bar, 500 µm. **B.** Percentage time spent in each odors in ROPT, with 10-Hz phasic light paired with O1 (n (Vehicle/AR antagonists) = 7/7). **C.** Percentage time spent in each odor in COPT, with 10-Hz phasic light conditioned with O1 (n (Vehicle/AR antagonists) = 8/6). **D.**
COPT with 25-Hz tonic light (n (Vehicle/AR antagonists) = 8/6). AR: adrenoceptor. Antag: antagonist. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

Figure 7. Ten-Hz phasic and 25-Hz tonic LC activation engage positive and negative projecting circuitry respectively in the BLA

A. Schematic of measuring cFos activation in the BLA with CTB labeling NAc and CeA projecting neurons. B. Examples images of cFos, CTB-488 (labeling CeA projecting neurons) and CTB-594 (labeling NAc projecting neurons) in the BLA in no-light control (upper panels), activated by 25-Hz tonic (middle panels) and 10-Hz phasic light (lower panels). Last column shows enlargement from the blue squares in the cFos images of the first column. Scale bars, 50 μm. C. Total cFos+, CeA+ and NAc+ cells activated by tonic and phasic lights (n (control/tonic/phasic) = 4/3/3). D. Percentage CeA+/cFos+ cells over total cFos+ population. E. Percentage NAc+/cFos+ cells over total cFos+ population. *p < 0.05. F. Distributions of cFos+
cells in the BLA in no light (middle), 25-Hz tonic light (left) and 10-Hz phasic light (right) conditions.