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Abstract

We formulate $R^2$ pure supergravity as a scale invariant theory built only in terms of superfields describing the geometry of curved superspace. The standard supergravity duals are obtained in both “old” and “new” minimal formulations of auxiliary fields. These theories have massless fields in de Sitter space as they do in their non supersymmetric counterpart. Remarkably, the dual theory of $R^2$ supergravity in the new minimal formulation is an extension of the Freedman model, describing a massless gauge field and a massless chiral multiplet in de Sitter space, with inverse radius proportional to the Fayet-Iliopoulos term. This model can be interpreted as the “de-Higgsed” phase of the dual companion theory of $R + R^2$ supergravity.
1 Introduction

Recently, various authors [1-3] considered pure $R^2$ theories of gravity coupled to matter. These theories are particularly interesting also in regard to cosmology because they naturally accommodate for de Sitter universes. While demanding conformal invariance (Weyl local gauge symmetry) would require spin two ghosts arising from the Weyl square term [4,5], the rigid scale invariant $R^2$ theory propagates only physical massless modes in de Sitter space, in contrast with the $R + R^2$ theory, which has in addition a Minkowski phase with a massive scalar, the inflaton. An Einstein term is then obtained through quantum effects as substantiated by the analysis of [1]. Further restrictions that follow from the supersymmetric extensions of these theories are the aim of the present investigation. In particular, in this note we implement the analysis of [1] by requiring that pure $R^2$ supergravity be effectively derived solely in terms of the geometry of curved superspace. This poses severe restrictions on the dual standard supergravity theory which, in fact, cannot be an arbitrary scale invariant theory of supergravity. For example, we find that only certain cases are possible among the ones worked out in [1]. Moreover, one conformally coupled chiral superfield (that we call $S$) and another one that we call the chiral superfield $T$, are not matter fields but have a pure gravitational origin. In fact, out of the three (unique) suggested forms of superpotential in [1], only a certain linear combination of $TS$ and $S^3$ can arise; $T^{3/2}$ alone is also possible. This result parallels the same analysis made in the $R + R^2$ theory [6,7]. Also, the $T^{3/2}$ theory, where only the $T$ field is present, is not an $R^2$ completion but a particular (scale invariant) case of the super $F(R) = R^3$ chiral theory originally investigated in [8,10]. The latter has in fact an anti-de Sitter rather than a de Sitter phase [1,10,11]. All the scale invariant theories discussed above present instabilities and therefore have to be modified both at the classical and at the quantum level. The problem caused by these instabilities is similar to the one found in the context of $R + R^2$ supergravity [10,13,15,29], which was solved in [12]. Even more interesting is the analysis in the new minimal formulation [30,31]. Here the dual supergravity $R + R^2$ theory is a gauge theory in the Higgs phase [14,17]. The de-Higgsed phase corresponds to the pure $R^2$ theory in the limit that $H M_P = g M_P^2$ is kept fixed ($H$ is the Hubble constant) while the gauge coupling goes to zero. This theory is in fact the extension of the Freedman model [32], where a massless vector multiplet with a Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) term gives rise to a positive cosmological constant. Here there is an additional massless chiral field, dual to the antisymmetric tensor auxiliary field that has become dynamical.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we present the superconformal rules needed for our analysis and we discuss the pure $R^2$ in the old minimal formulation of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity. We also present the corresponding scale invariant matter couplings. In section 3, where chiral
multiplets are added, we describe pure $R^2$ supergravity in the new minimal formulation; we conclude in section 4.

2 $R^2$ Supergravity in the Old Minimal Formulation

For our convenience we report here some rules of superconformal tensor calculus that will be useful in order to go from the $R^2$ theory to its standard supergravity form. These rules are explained in [33], and also in [10,18].

Superconformal fields are denoted by their Weyl weight $w$ and chiral weight $n$. So we will use the notation $X_{w,n}$ and we will only consider scalar superfields. The basic operator is the $\Sigma$ operator, which is the curved superspace analog of $\bar{D}^2$. The $\Sigma$ operator has weights $(1,3)$ and it can be applied to a superconformal field $X_{w,w-2}$ so that $\Sigma X_{w,w-2}$ is a chiral superfield of weights $(w+1,w+1)$. $X$ can be (anti)chiral only if $w=-1$, in which case $\Sigma \bar{X}_{(1,-1)}$ is a chiral superfield of weigh $(2,2)$. The basic identity between $F$ and $D$ densities of a chiral superfield $f$ of weight $(0,0)$ is

$$[fR^2]_F = [(f + \bar{f})S_0\bar{S}_0]_D,$$

where $R = (\Sigma(S_0)/S_0)_{(1,1)}$ is the chiral scalar curvature multiplet. The notation $[O]_{D,F}$ denotes, as usual, the standard D- and F-term density formulae of conformal supergravity, for a real superfield $O$ with scaling weight 2 and vanishing chiral weight or a chiral superfield with Weyl (and chiral) weight 3. In particular, the bosonic components of the curvature chiral scalar multiplet $R$ are

$$R = \frac{1}{3} \bar{u} + \cdots + \theta^2 \mathcal{F}_R,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_R = -\frac{1}{2} R - 3A^2 + 3iD\mu A\mu.$$

and $u, A_\mu$ are the supergravity auxiliary fields [34][35].

2.1 Scale invariant Supergravity

It can easily be seen from the chiral curvature superfield $R$ that we can write the following scale-invariant supergravity action

$$\mathcal{L}_{scal.inv} = \alpha[R\bar{R}]_D - \beta[R^3]_F,$$
where $\alpha, \beta$ are dimensionless couplings. We may write eq. (4) in a dual form by introducing Lagrange multiplier superfields $T, S$ so that

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \alpha \left[ S_0 \bar{S}_0 S \bar{S} \right]_D - \beta \left[ S_0^3 S^3 \right]_F - 3 \left[ T \left( \frac{\mathcal{R}}{S_0} - S \right) S_0^3 \right]_F,$$

(5)

It is easy to check that by integrating out the Lagrange multiplier superfield $T$ in (5), we get back the original theory (4). However, by using the identity in eq. (1), we may write (5) as

$$\mathcal{L}_D = -\left[ (3T + 3\bar{T} - \alpha S \bar{S}) S_0 \bar{S}_0 \right]_D + \left[ ( -\beta S^3 + 3TS) S_0^3 \right]_F,$$

(6)

which describes standard supergravity with Kähler potential ($\alpha \geq 0$)

$$K = -3 \log \left( T + \bar{T} - \frac{\alpha}{3} S \bar{S} \right),$$

(7)

and superpotential

$$W(T, S) = 3TS - \beta S^3.$$  

(8)

**The case $\alpha = 0$.** In this particular case, the scale invariant supergravity action turns out to be

$$\mathcal{L}_D = -\beta [\mathcal{R}^3]_F,$$

(9)

which can be written in a dual form as

$$\mathcal{L}_D = -\left[ 3(T + \bar{T}) S_0 \bar{S}_0 \right]_D + \left[ ( -\beta S^3 + 3TS) S_0^3 \right]_F.$$  

(10)

We see that $S$ appears now as a Lagrange multiplier superfield and it can be integrated out. As a result, we find that $S = (T/\beta)^{1/2}$ and eq. (10) is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_D = -\left[ 3(T + \bar{T}) S_0 \bar{S}_0 \right]_D + \left[ 2\beta^{-1/2} T^{3/2} S_0^3 \right]_F,$$

(11)

so that the Kähler potential and the superpotential are given by

$$K = -3 \log (T + \bar{T}),$$

(12)

$$W = \frac{2}{\beta^{1/2}} T^{3/2}.$$  

(13)

This is one of the models used in [1] to describe a supergravity dual of pure $R^2$ supergravity. However, its origin is not from the scale invariant $\mathcal{R}\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ term but rather from the other scale invariant $\mathcal{R}^3$ term. As observed in [1], it has a negative cosmological constant and so it cannot be the dual of an $R^2$ theory [10, 11].
2.2 Scale Invariant Matter Couplings

Pure $R^2$ supergravity (in its dual formulation) is invariant under scale symmetry under

$$T \to T e^\lambda, \quad S \to S e^{\lambda/2}, \quad S_0 \to S_0 e^{-\lambda/2},$$

(14)

which is inherited from the scale symmetry of the gravitational $R^2$ theory

$$\frac{\mathcal{R}}{S_0} \to \frac{\mathcal{R}}{S_0} e^{\lambda/2}, \quad S_0 \to S_0 e^{-\lambda/2}.$$ 

(15)

Let us add $n$ superconformal chiral multiplets $A^i$ with scaling $A^i \to A^i e^{\lambda/2}$ (but $(0,0)$ superfield weights). Then as in [1], we can have conformally coupled matter $C_{ij} A^i A^j S_0 \bar{S}_0$ but also chiral $F$ terms coupling to the curvature $R$. In this case, the dual theory takes the form

$$R C_{ij} A^i A^j S_0^2, \quad \frac{R^2}{2} C_i A^i S_0, \quad C_{ijk} A^i A^j A^k S_0^3,$$

(16)

with some constant coefficient $C_{ij}, C_{ij}, C_i, C_{ijk}$. In this case, the dual theory takes the form

$$[(T + \bar{T} - \alpha S \bar{S} - C_i A^i \bar{A}_j) S_0 \bar{S}_0]_D + [W(T, S, A^i) S_0^3]_F$$

(17)

with

$$W(T, S, A^i) = -TS + \beta S^3 + \frac{S^2}{2} C_i A^i + SC_{ij} A^i A^j + C_{ijk} A^i A^j A^k.$$ 

(18)

This is a restricted superpotential which does not have a $T^{3/2}$ term, neither other direct coupling to matter. Note that the scaling symmetry weight is not the same as the superconformal weight, that in our notation is always $(0,0)$ for all chiral fields with the exception of $S_0 (1,1)$ and $\mathcal{R}$ $(1,1)$. $\mathcal{R}$ is actually scale inert as it is obvious from eq.(15). So the scale symmetry in the pure gravitational theory is only dictated by the compensator $S_0$.

3 $R^2$ Supergravity in the New Minimal Formulation

In new-minimal supergravity, the appropriate gauging is implemented by a real linear multiplet $L$ with scaling weight $w = 2$ and vanishing chiral weight $n = 0$ [30,36]. In particular, the pure $R^2$ new minimal supergravity Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4g^2} \left( [W^a(V_R) W^a(V_R)]_F + \text{h.c.} \right),$$

(19)

1 A term $d_i R \bar{A}^i$ generates a mixing $d_i S \bar{A}^i + \text{h.c}$ in the Kähler potential is also possible.

2 Note that the terms containing $S$ in $W$ can be transferred to the Kähler potential by a $T$ redefinition.
where
\[ V_R = \ln \left( \frac{L}{S_0 S_0} \right), \tag{20} \]
\[ W_a(V_R) = -\frac{1}{4} \nabla^2 \nabla_a(V_R). \tag{21} \]

The Lagrangian in eq.(19) is superconformally invariant and the superconformal symmetry can be fixed by choosing \( L = 1 \). Then, the superspace geometry is described by the new-minimal formulation, [30][31], where the graviton multiplet \((e^{a}_\mu, \psi_\mu, A_\mu, B_\mu)\) consists of: the graviton \( e^{a}_\mu \), the gravitino \( \psi_\mu \) and two auxiliary gauge fields \( A_\mu \), and \( B_{\mu\nu} \) possessing the gauge symmetry
\[ \delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu b, \quad \delta B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu b_\nu - \partial_\nu b_\mu. \tag{22} \]

In fact, the superconformal gauge fixing respects the \( U(1)_R \) \( R \)-symmetry of the superconformal algebra, which is gauged by the vector \( A_\mu \). Then, \( V_R \) is the gauge multiplet of the supersymmetry algebra with components (in the Wess-Zumino gauge)
\[ V_R = \left( A_\mu - 3H_\mu, -\gamma_5 \gamma^\nu r_\nu, -\frac{1}{2} \hat{R} - 3H_\mu H^\mu \right), \tag{23} \]
where \( r_\nu \) is the supercovariant gravitino field strength, \( \hat{R} \) is the (supercovariant) Ricci scalar and \( H_\mu \) the Hodge dual of the (supercovariant) field strength for the auxiliary two-form [31]
\[ \hat{R} = \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} H^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + \text{cyclic perm.} \tag{24} \]

Obviously, \( H_\mu \) is divergenceless
\[ \nabla^\mu H_\mu = 0. \tag{25} \]

In other words, the bosonic content of the gauge multiplet \( V_R \) is
\[ V_R = (A^-_\mu, 0, -\frac{1}{2} \hat{R} - 3H_\mu H^\mu), \quad A^-_\mu = A_\mu - 3H_\mu. \tag{26} \]

Clearly, the F-term in eq.(19) will produce the usual \( D^2_R \) term in the bosonic action, where \( D_R \) is the highest component of the gauge multiplet. Since the latter contains the scalar curvature \( R \) as can be seen form eq.(26), it is obvious that eq.(19) describes an \( R^2 \) theory [14][17]. Indeed, by employing eqs.(21,23), we find that the bosonic part of (19), is written as
\[ e^{-1} \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{8g^2} \left( R + 6H_\mu H^\mu \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4g^2} F_{\mu\nu}(A^-_\mu) F^{\mu\nu}(A^-_\nu). \tag{27} \]
We can integrate out $H_\mu$ after introducing the Lagrange multipliers $\Lambda, a$ such that

$$e^{-1}L = \frac{1}{8g^2} \Lambda (R + 6H_\mu H^\mu) - \frac{1}{32g^2} \Lambda^2 - \frac{1}{4g^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{g^2} a \partial_\mu H^\mu. \quad (28)$$

Integrating out $\Lambda$ gives back (27), whereas the field $a$ enforces the constraint (25). The auxiliary field $H_\mu$ appears now quadratically and it can easily be integrated out leading to

$$H_\mu = \frac{2}{3\Lambda} \partial_\mu a; \quad (29)$$

therefore, eq.(28) is equivalent to

$$e^{-1}L = \frac{1}{8g^2} \Lambda R - \frac{1}{4g^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{32g^2} \Lambda^2 - \frac{1}{3g^2} a \partial_\mu a \partial^\mu a. \quad (30)$$

The theory in eq.(30) is in a Jordan frame and it can be expressed in the Einstein frame after the conformal transformation

$$g_{\mu\nu} \to e^{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \phi} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (31)$$

where

$$\phi = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{4g^2}. \quad (32)$$

Then, eq.(30), after rescaling $A^-_\mu \to g A^-_\mu$ and $a \to g^2 \sqrt{6} a$, is written in the Einstein frame as

$$e^{-1}L = \frac{1}{2} R - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \phi} \partial_\mu a \partial^\mu a - \frac{1}{2} g^2. \quad (33)$$

Therefore, $R^2$ in new minimal supergravity is described by a standard supergravity coupled to a massless vector field and a massless complex scalar

$$T = \frac{1}{2} e^{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \phi} + i \frac{a}{\sqrt{6}}. \quad (34)$$

The field $T$ parametrizes the symmetric space $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ of scalar curvature $R = -2/3$. In fact, in new minimal supergravity, the $R^2$ theory and its dual form, can both be described by a unique Lagrangian of the form [15][16][26]

$$\mathcal{L} = [B(L - S_0 S_0 e^U)]_D + \frac{1}{4} W^\alpha(U) W_\alpha(U)]_F + c.c., \quad (35)$$

where $U$ is an unconstrained vector superfield, $W_\alpha(U) = -\frac{1}{4} \bar{\nabla}^2 \nabla_\alpha(U)$ and $B$ is a real-multiplet Lagrange multiplier. It is easy to see that by integrating out $B$ we find that

$$U = V_R. \quad (36)$$
Substituting (36) into (35), we get back the new minimal supergravity action (19). On the other hand, integrating out $L$ we get

$$B = T + \bar{T},$$

where $T$ is chiral. Hence, eq. (35) can be written in standard old minimal form as

$$L = -[S_0 \bar{S}_0 e^U (T + \bar{T})]_D + \frac{1}{4} W^\alpha (U) W_\alpha (U) + c.c.$$  (38)

We see that the Kähler potential is

$$K = -3 \ln [(T + \bar{T})],$$

whereas the term $e^U$ will give rise a FI term [37,38]. Indeed, in component form, Lagrangian (38) is

$$e^{-1} \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} R - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} - \frac{3}{(T + \bar{T})^2} \partial_\mu T \partial_\mu \bar{T} - \frac{1}{2} g^2.$$

In fact the pure $R^2$ theory can be seen already from the $R + R^2$ theory in the new minimal supergravity, which is described by the action [26], by taking an appropriate limit. Restoring dimensions in the FI term $\xi = g M^2$, the limit is $g \rightarrow 0$ with $\xi$ fixed, which corresponds to a de-Higgsed phase. The $R + R^2$ theory is described by the master action [26]

$$\mathcal{L} = -[S_0 \bar{S}_0 e^U U]_D + [B (S_0 \bar{S}_0 e^U - L)]_D + \frac{1}{4g^2} ([W^\alpha (V_R) W_\alpha (V_R)]_F + h.c.).$$  (41)

The rescaling

$$S_0 \rightarrow S_0 e^{-\lambda/2}, \quad B \rightarrow B e^\lambda, \quad L \rightarrow L e^{-\lambda}$$

clearly gives eq. (19) in the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Therefore, the dual $R^2$ theory in new minimal supergravity can be described as standard supergravity coupled to a massless chiral superfield and a massless vector superfield with a FI term. This theory is an extension of the Freedman model [32] by a massless chiral multiplet. The latter describes a massless vector coupled to supergravity with a positive cosmological constant.

4 Conclusions

Prompted by the interesting proposal of [1–3], we have discussed here the supersymmetric completion of pure $R^2$ gravity. The latter is rigidly scale invariant and propagates a massless graviton and a massless scalar on a de Sitter background [3], contrary to the $R + R^2$ theory which has an
additional Minkowski phase with a massive scalar (the inflaton). In $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity, one can write two scale invariant superspace densities, an $\mathcal{R}\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ (D-term) and an $\mathcal{R}^3$ (F-term). If both terms are present, the dual theory in old-minimal formulation contains the usual scalaron field $T$ together with a conformally coupled scalar $S$ of gravitational origin. In this case the most general superpotential turns out to be a linear combination of $ST$ and $S^3$. However, when only the $\mathcal{R}^3$ term is present, it turns out that $S$ is auxiliary and after integrating it out, a superpotential of the form $T^{3/2}$ arises. This theory has an anti-de Sitter rather than a de Sitter phase [1,10,11]. When matter fields with definite scaling are introduced, it is possible to couple them to supergravity either by a D-term or an F-term coupling to the chiral curvature multiplet $\mathcal{R}$. In this case, it turns out that the matter fields mix with $S$ but not with $T$ in the superpotential.

A similar analysis can be done in the new minimal formulation of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity, which reveals that the dual theory of the $R^2$ theory is described by a massless chiral multiplet together with a massless vector multiplet with a FI term. The dual theory is thus an extension by a chiral multiplet of the Freedman model.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank L. Alvarez-Gaume, F. Farakos, K. Kounnas, D. Lüst, A. Riotto and A. Van Proeyen for discussions. This research was implemented under the ARISTEIA Action of the Operational Programme Education and Lifelong Learning and is co-funded by the European Social Fund (ESF) and National Resources. M.P. is supported in part by NSF grant PHY-1316452.

References

[1] C. Kounnas, D. Lust and N. Toumbas, “$R^2$ inflation from scale invariant supergravity and anomaly free superstrings with fluxes,” Fortsch. Phys. 63 (2015) 12 [arXiv:1409.7076 [hep-th]].

[2] A. Kehagias, C. Kounnas, D. Lust and A. Riotto, “Black Hole Solutions in $R^2$ Gravity,” JHEP 1505 (2015) 143 [arXiv:1502.04192 [hep-th]].

[3] L. Alvarez-Gaume, A. Kehagias, C. Kounnas, D. Lust and A. Riotto, “Aspects of Quadratic Gravity,” arXiv:1505.07657 [hep-th].
[4] K. S. Stelle, “Renormalization of Higher Derivative Quantum Gravity,” Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 953.

[5] S. Ferrara, M. T. Grisaru and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Poincare and Conformal Supergravity Models With Closed Algebras,” Nucl. Phys. B 138 (1978) 430.

[6] S. Cecotti, “Higher Derivative Supergravity Is Equivalent To Standard Supergravity Coupled To Matter. 1,” Phys. Lett. B 190 (1987) 86.

[7] S. Cecotti and R. Kallosh, “Cosmological Attractor Models and Higher Curvature Supergravity,” JHEP 1405 (2014) 114 [arXiv:1403.2932 [hep-th]].

[8] S. V. Ketov and A. A. Starobinsky, “Embedding $(R + R^2)$-Inflation into Supergravity,” Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 063512 [arXiv:1011.0240 [hep-th]].

[9] S. Ketov, “F(R) supergravity,” AIP Conf. Proc. 1241 (2010) 613 [arXiv:0910.1165 [hep-th]]; “Chaotic inflation in F(R) supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B 692, 272 (2010) [arXiv:1005.3630 [hep-th]]; “On the supersymmetrization of inflation in f(R) gravity,” PTEP 2013 (2013) 123B04 [arXiv:1309.0293 [hep-th]]. S. V. Ketov and S. Tsujikawa, “Consistency of inflation and preheating in F(R) supergravity,” Phys. Rev. D 86, 023529 (2012) [arXiv:1205.2918 [hep-th]].

[10] S. Ferrara, A. Kehagias and M. Porrati, “Vacuum structure in a chiral $R + R^n$ modification of pure supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B 727 (2013) 314 [arXiv:1310.0399 [hep-th]].

[11] J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. A. Olive, “No-Scale Supergravity Realization of the Starobinsky Model of Inflation,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 111301 [Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 12, 129902] [arXiv:1305.1247 [hep-th]].

[12] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, “Superconformal generalizations of the Starobinsky model,” JCAP 1306, 028 (2013) [arXiv:1306.3214 [hep-th]].

[13] J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. A. Olive, “Starobinsky-like Inflationary Models as Avatars of No-Scale Supergravity,” JCAP 1310 (2013) 009 [arXiv:1307.3537].

[14] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara, M. Porrati and S. Sabharwal, “New Minimal Higher Derivative Supergravity Coupled To Matter,” Nucl. Phys. B 306 (1988) 160.

[15] F. Farakos, A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, “On the Starobinsky Model of Inflation from Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B 876 (2013) 187 [arXiv:1307.1137].
[16] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and M. Porrati, “Minimal Supergravity Models of Inflation,” Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 8, 085038 [arXiv:1307.7696 [hep-th]].

[17] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and M. Porrati, “Higher Order Corrections in Minimal Supergravity Models of Inflation,” JCAP 1311 (2013) 046 [arXiv:1309.1085 [hep-th], arXiv:1309.1085].

[18] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh and A. Van Proeyen, “On the Supersymmetric Completion of $R + R^2$ Gravity and Cosmology,” JHEP 1311 (2013) 134 [arXiv:1309.4052 [hep-th]].

[19] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, “Universality Class in Conformal Inflation,” JCAP 1307, 002 (2013) [arXiv:1306.5220 [hep-th]]; “Non-minimal Inflationary Attractors,” JCAP 1310 (2013) 033 [arXiv:1307.7938]; “Multi-field Conformal Cosmological Attractors,” JCAP 1312 (2013) 006 [arXiv:1309.2015 [hep-th]].

[20] J. Ellis, M. A. G. Garcia, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. A. Olive, “A No-Scale Inflationary Model to Fit Them All,” JCAP 1408 (2014) 044 [arXiv:1405.0271 [hep-ph]]; “Two-Field Analysis of No-Scale Supergravity Inflation,” JCAP 1501 (2015) 01, 010 [arXiv:1409.8197 [hep-ph]].

[21] S. Ferrara, A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, “The Imaginary Starobinsky Model,” Fortsch. Phys. 62 (2014) 573 [arXiv:1403.5531 [hep-th]]; “The Imaginary Starobinsky Model and Higher Curvature Corrections,” Fortsch. Phys. 63 (2015) 2 [arXiv:1405.2353 [hep-th]].

[22] I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas, S. Ferrara and A. Sagnotti, “The Volkov-Akulov-Starobinsky supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B 733 (2014) 32 [arXiv:1403.3269 [hep-th]].

[23] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh and A. Linde, “Cosmology with Nilpotent Superfields,” JHEP 1410 (2014) 143 [arXiv:1408.4096 [hep-th]].

[24] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, “Inflation and Uplifting with Nilpotent Superfields,” JCAP 1501 (2015) 01, 025 [arXiv:1408.5950 [hep-th]].

[25] G. Dall’Agata and F. Zwirner, “On sgoldstino-less supergravity models of inflation,” JHEP 1412 (2014) 172 [arXiv:1411.2605 [hep-th]].

[26] S. Ferrara and M. Porrati, “Minimal $R + R^2$ Supergravity Models of Inflation Coupled to Matter,” Phys. Lett. B 737 (2014) 135 [arXiv:1407.6164 [hep-th]].

[27] I. Dalianis, F. Farakos, A. Kehagias, A. Riotto and R. von Unge, “Supersymmetry Breaking and Inflation from Higher Curvature Supergravity,” JHEP 1501 (2015) 043 [arXiv:1409.8299].
F. Farakos, A. Kehagias and K. Koutrolikos, “Linearized Non-Minimal Higher Curvature Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B 894 (2015) 569 [arXiv:1501.07562 [hep-th]].

F. Farakos, “Starobinsky inflation from new-minimal supergravity,” [arXiv:1502.07337 [hep-th]]. I. Dalianis and F. Farakos, “Higher Derivative D-term Inflation in New-minimal Supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B 736 (2014) 299 [arXiv:1403.3053 [hep-th]].

A. B. Lahanas and K. Tamvakis, “Inflation in no-scale supergravity,” Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 8, 085001 [arXiv:1501.06547 [hep-th]].

M. Sohnius and P. C. West, “The Tensor Calculus and Matter Coupling of the Alternative Minimal Auxiliary Field Formulation of $N=1$ Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B 198 (1982) 493.

S. Ferrara and S. Sabharwal, “Structure of New Minimal Supergravity,” Annals Phys. 189 (1989) 318.

D. Z. Freedman, “Supergravity with Axial Gauge Invariance,” Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 1173.

D. Z. Freedman and A. Van Proeyen, Supergravity, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Pr. (2012) 607 p.

S. Ferrara and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, The Auxiliary Fields of Supergravity, Phys. Lett. B 74, 333 (1978).

K. S. Stelle and P. C. West, Minimal Auxiliary Fields for Supergravity, Phys. Lett. B 74, 330 (1978).

S. Ferrara, L. Girardello, T. Kugo and A. Van Proeyen, “Relation Between Different Auxiliary Field Formulations of $N=1$ Supergravity Coupled to Matter,” Nucl. Phys. B 223 (1983) 191.

K. S. Stelle and P. C. West, “Relation Between Vector and Scalar Multiplets and Gauge Invariance in Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B 145 (1978) 175.

R. Barbieri, S. Ferrara, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. S. Stelle, “Supergravity, R Invariance and Spontaneous Supersymmetry Breaking,” Phys. Lett. B 113 (1982) 219.