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Abstract

In two-dimensional heterostructures, crystalline atomic layers with differing lattice parameters can stack directly one on another. The resultant close proximity of atomic layers with differing periodicity can lead to new phenomena. For umklapp processes, this opens the possibility for interlayer umklapp scattering, where interactions are mediated by the transfer of momenta to or from the lattice in the neighbouring layer. Using angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy to study a graphene on InSe heterostructure, we present evidence that interlayer umklapp processes can cause hybridization between bands from neighbouring layers in regions of the Brillouin zone where bands from only one layer are expected, despite no evidence for Moiré-induced replica bands. This phenomenon manifests itself as ‘ghost’ anti-crossings in the InSe electronic dispersion. Applied to a range of suitable two-dimensional material pairs, this phenomenon of interlayer umklapp hybridization can be used to create strong mixing of their electronic states, giving a new tool for twist-controlled band structure engineering.

1. Introduction

Crystalline periodicity modifies the interpretation of the momentum conservation law for electronic and optical processes in solids. It gives rise to a periodicity of the electronic dispersion in momentum space, so that, according to Bloch’s theorem [1], the bandstructure is uniquely defined within one (the first) Brillouin zone. All processes in a crystal can then be divided into two types: those with small momentum differences that can be described within the first Brillouin zone and those where a large momentum transfer requires the involvement of other Brillouin zones. In the latter case, a momentum transfer \( h\mathbf{G} \) to the crystalline lattice, where \( G \) is one of the reciprocal lattice vectors, satisfies the conservation of momentum and was dubbed Umklappprozesse (Umklapp processes) by Peierls [2]. When applied to heterostructures of two-dimensional materials (2DMs), umklapp scattering from Moiré superlattices has been shown to open new channels for electron kinetics [3] and optical transitions [4].

2DMs represent a broad class of compounds where atomic planes formed by strong in-plane covalent bonding are held together by a weak van der Waals interaction. These weak out-of-plane forces enable the stacked assembly of 2DM heterostructures (2DHSs), where consecutive layers may involve atomic planes of different compounds with arbitrary lattice constants and orientation, with atomically clean interfaces [5–7] which allow neighbouring layers in the heterostructure to influence each other, in particular through tunnelling. Tunnelling across clean interfaces is subject to momentum conservation [8, 9], so that it is resonantly enhanced in the part of momentum space where the bands of two 2DM intersect, causing resonant interlayer hybridization. Dramatic bandstructure modifications through resonant
interlayer hybridization have been studied in twisted bilayers of graphene [10–12], graphene on single-crystal metal substrates [13, 14], and graphene with other 2DM [15, 16], leading to band anti-crossings and, potentially, to van Hove singularities in the density of states. Here, we demonstrate that interlayer umklapp processes in resonant tunnelling lead to the appearance of additional features in the hybridized band structures of 2DHS.

2. Results and discussion

An example of such an effect is illustrated in figure 1, where angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy with submicrometre spatial resolution (μARPES) has been used to probe the valence band structure in a graphene on InSe 2DHS. In figure 1(b) we sketch the valence band dispersion of monolayer InSe (unfolded over the second Brillouin zone replicas marked by μ = 0, 1, …5) and the π bands of graphene. Notably, no interlayer band crossing occurs in the first Brillouin zone of InSe so one would not expect any resonant hybridization of electronic states (and hence anti-crossing features) in the InSe monolayer spectrum. We find no evidence for replica bands due to a Moiré superlattice potential. Nonetheless, the measured μARPES spectrum features a pronounced anti-crossing anomaly near the edge of the valence band, as highlighted by a black box in figure 1(a), showing the photoemitted intensity in an energy-momentum slice taken along the Γ to KGr direction. This ‘ghost’ anti-crossing occurs due to interlayer umklapp hybridization where resonance conditions are achieved by the band crossing between graphene and InSe dispersions in the second Brillouin zone of InSe, also present in the measured spectra of graphene bands (see the purple box in figure 1(a)).

The 2DHSs studied in this work were assembled by dry transfer in an inert environment, where exfoliated crystals of InSe and GaSe were deposited on thick graphite and encapsulated with monolayer graphene (see Methods and schematic inset in figure 1(b)). This method allows for ARPES probing of buried layers through graphene (as graphene’s ARPES spectrum is already well known [17, 18]) while allowing for surface charge dissipation into a conductive substrate (platinum-coated n-Si wafer) [19]. Several samples were fabricated using different thicknesses of InSe and GaSe crystals, and different twist angles with respect to the graphene lattice.

The ghost anti-crossings, and their origin, are more apparent when looking across reciprocal space. The photoemission intensity at a constant energy near the top of the InSe upper valence band (UVB), in a region around Γ, is shown in figure 2(a) and reveals the twisted lines in reciprocal space at which the ghost anti-crossings occur. The measured data (black dashed rectangle) have been averaged and rotated to form the complete image, as described in supplementary material, section 1 (available online at https://stacks.iop.org/2DM/8/015016/mmedia). Low energy electron diffraction from a submicrometre spot (μ-LEED), taken at the same position on the sample as the μARPES measurements (see low energy electron microscopy (LEEM) image in supplementary material, section 2), gives diffraction peaks from both the graphene and InSe layers. In-plane, InSe has a hexagonal lattice with lattice parameter, aInSe = 4.00 Å [20], 60% larger than that of graphene, agr = 2.46 Å. When stacked with a twist angle θ between the layers, they form an incommensurate structure where the Brillouin zone corners in the graphene layer, KGr, lie in the second Brillouin zone of

Figure 1. Anti-crossings observed in the valence band structure of graphene/1L InSe heterostructure. (a) Left: μARPES energy-momentum slice along the Γ-KGr direction, passing through the InSe Brillouin zone boundary (blue vertical line) (KGr denotes the corner of graphene’s Brillouin zone). Overlaid on the left are theoretical predictions for the isolated InSe bands (blue dashed) and graphene π band (red dashed). The black dashed line indicates the position of the graphene π band when folded into the 1st Brillouin zone of InSe (note that the folded graphene π band does not originate near the Dirac point and so is at higher binding energy). Right: mirrored, the double-differential of the same spectra shown on the left. InSe is at a twist angle of 22.3 ± 0.6° with respect to graphene. An anti-crossing is highlighted by the purple box on the right and a ghost anti-crossing by the black box. Scale bar, 0.5 Å−1. (b) 3D schematic of the uppermost valence band of InSe and graphene π band; red/yellow lines highlight the position of overlap. Blue and black hexagons represent InSe and graphene Brillouin zones respectively. The grey plane marks the slice of energy-momentum space covered by the μARPES data in (a). The red arrow indicates folding of an anti-crossing in the second Brillouin zone of InSe to a ghost anti-crossing in the first Brillouin zone. Inset: atomic schematic cross-section of the graphene/1L InSe/graphite 2D heterostructure.
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Controlling the position and shape of anti-crossings with twist angle. \( \mu \text{ARPES} \) constant energy map for (a) graphene/1L InSe, (e) graphene/4L InSe and (i) graphene/3L GaSe at the binding energy stated in the top right corner (averaged over \( \pm 0.05 \text{ eV} \) from the stated binding energy). The dashed black rectangles in (a) and (e) are the collected \( \mu \text{ARPES} \) data, details of the data processing are given in the supplementary material section 1. Colour scales show the normalised photoemission intensity. Relative orientations of the graphene with respect to InSe and GaSe crystals are illustrated in atomic structure schematics (b), (f) and (j) and confirmed by \( \mu \text{LEED} \) measurements shown in (c), (g) and (k) respectively. Contour plots of the post-transition metal chalcogenide band structure of each heterostructure are given in (d, h, l). The red lines demonstrate the formation of anti-crossings from the band overlaps: the thickness of the red line indicates the size of the gap, and the shade of red indicates the energy at which the gap occurs, as illustrated in the 3D schematics, bottom right.

Figure 2. Controlling the position and shape of anti-crossings with twist angle. \( \mu \text{ARPES} \) constant energy map for (a) graphene/1L InSe, (e) graphene/4L InSe and (i) graphene/3L GaSe at the binding energy stated in the top right corner (averaged over \( \pm 0.05 \text{ eV} \) from the stated binding energy). The dashed black rectangles in (a) and (e) are the collected \( \mu \text{ARPES} \) data, details of the data processing are given in the supplementary material section 1. Colour scales show the normalised photoemission intensity. Relative orientations of the graphene with respect to InSe and GaSe crystals are illustrated in atomic structure schematics (b), (f) and (j) and confirmed by \( \mu \text{LEED} \) measurements shown in (c), (g) and (k) respectively. Contour plots of the post-transition metal chalcogenide band structure of each heterostructure are given in (d, h, l). The red lines demonstrate the formation of anti-crossings from the band overlaps: the thickness of the red line indicates the size of the gap, and the shade of red indicates the energy at which the gap occurs, as illustrated in the 3D schematics, bottom right.

This emphasizes the difference between this interlayer Umklapp process and the Moiré phenomena previously reported in systems such as twisted bilayer graphene where a Moiré superlattice potential creates replica bands shifted by the Moiré wave vector \( K_n = G_{\text{InSe}} - G_{\text{graphene}} \). By identifying the LEED peak positions for both materials, we find the twist angle between their crystalline lattices \( \theta = 22.3 \pm 0.6^\circ \). In figure 2(d) we plot a contour map of the InSe UVB energy in its first and second Brillouin zone, with the first Brillouin zone of graphene overlaid (black hexagon). As shown in the three-dimensional band schematic, figure 1(b), in monolayers of InSe the UV dispersion takes the shape of an inverted ‘Mexican hat’ [21] around the zone centre, \( \Gamma \), with the valence band maximum (VBM) close to but not at \( \Gamma \), and the band disperses to a minimum at the zone corner \( K_{\text{InSe}} \). By contrast, the UVB in graphene forms the characteristic Dirac cones, meeting the conduction band at the six Dirac points at the zone corners, \( K_{\text{Gr}} \). Band anticrossings occur where the graphene and InSe bands would have been coincident. Their position is shown on the contour map by red lines, drawn using an interpolation formula [22], which map out distorted-triangular closed curves around the Dirac cones, in the second Brillouin zone of InSe. Umklapp scattering by an InSe reciprocal lattice vector, \( G_{\text{InSe}} \), replicates these anti-crossings in the first Brillouin zone of InSe.

Figure 2. Controlling the position and shape of anti-crossings with twist angle. \( \mu \text{ARPES} \) constant energy map for (a) graphene/1L InSe, (e) graphene/4L InSe and (i) graphene/3L GaSe at the binding energy stated in the top right corner (averaged over \( \pm 0.05 \text{ eV} \) from the stated binding energy). The dashed black rectangles in (a) and (e) are the collected \( \mu \text{ARPES} \) data, details of the data processing are given in the supplementary material section 1. Colour scales show the normalised photoemission intensity. Relative orientations of the graphene with respect to InSe and GaSe crystals are illustrated in atomic structure schematics (b), (f) and (j) and confirmed by \( \mu \text{LEED} \) measurements shown in (c), (g) and (k) respectively. Contour plots of the post-transition metal chalcogenide band structure of each heterostructure are given in (d, h, l). The red lines demonstrate the formation of anti-crossings from the band overlaps: the thickness of the red line indicates the size of the gap, and the shade of red indicates the energy at which the gap occurs, as illustrated in the 3D schematics, bottom right.

This emphasizes the difference between this interlayer Umklapp process and the Moiré phenomena previously reported in systems such as twisted bilayer graphene where a Moiré superlattice potential creates replica bands shifted by the Moiré wave vector \( K_n = G_{\text{InSe}} - G_{\text{graphene}} \) and interaction between the primary and replica bands creates flat bands, as previously observed by \( \mu \text{ARPES} \) [11, 12]. Here, no replica bands are apparent, suggesting negligible Moiré superlattice potential, and the ghost anti-crossings are found by mapping the band anti-crossings by \( G_{\text{InSe}} \) not \( K_n \), similar to previous ARPES measurements of incommensurate twisted bilayer graphene [23]. There are also similarities to the back-folding of bands by charge density waves and spin density waves [24–26], where ARPES has revealed clear anti-crossings between the primary and replica bands even when the replica bands themselves are weak. Here, however, rather than stemming from a new ordered phase within the material, the observed ghost anti-crossings can be explained by interlayer Umklapp scattering. However, to reproduce the experimentally measured pattern, the angular dependence of the interlayer hybridization must also be considered.

To do this, we employ a method developed [17, 27] for the description of ARPES intensity maps of graphene [28] and tunnelling between 2D crystals [9, 29]. It uses a plane wave decomposition of Bloch states of electrons in the graphene bands, and in the UVB of InSe, then describes the variation of the interlayer hybridisation parameters across the relevant part of the Brillouin zone by projecting the plane wave components with the coinciding wave vectors. The states involved in the hybridisation are related by the umklapp condition, \( q = \xi K_n - G_{\text{InSe}} + p \) (where \( \xi = + \) or \( - \) from the two inequivalent valleys in graphene and \( n = 0, 1 \) and 2 indexes the three equivalent valleys, \( p = (p_x, p_y) \) is the valley potential, and the ghost anti-crossings are found by mapping the band anti-crossings by \( G_{\text{InSe}} \) not \( K_n \), similar to previous ARPES measurements of incommensurate twisted bilayer graphene [23]. There are also similarities to the back-folding of bands by charge density waves and spin density waves [24–26], where ARPES has revealed clear anti-crossings between the primary and replica bands even when the replica bands themselves are weak. Here, however, rather than stemming from a new ordered phase within the material, the observed ghost anti-crossings can be explained by interlayer Umklapp scattering. However, to reproduce the experimentally measured pattern, the angular dependence of the interlayer hybridization must also be considered.

To do this, we employ a method developed [17, 27] for the description of ARPES intensity maps of graphene [28] and tunnelling between 2D crystals [9, 29]. It uses a plane wave decomposition of Bloch states of electrons in the graphene bands, and in the UVB of InSe, then describes the variation of the interlayer hybridisation parameters across the relevant part of the Brillouin zone by projecting the plane wave components with the coinciding wave vectors. The states involved in the hybridisation are related by the umklapp condition, \( q = \xi K_n - G_{\text{InSe}} + p \) (where \( \xi = + \) or \( - \) from the two inequivalent valleys in graphene and \( n = 0, 1 \) and 2 indexes the three equivalent valleys, \( p = (p_x, p_y) \) is the valley
momentum of graphene, and $q$ is the momentum of the InSe counted from the Brillouin zone centre with $q \ll G_{\text{InSe}}$. Along the six lines that satisfy this condition (figure 2(d)), counted by integer $\mu = 0$ to 5 counter-clockwise, hybridisation between the graphene-InSe bands leads to the anti-crossing of their dispersions, splitting them apart by

$$\delta \epsilon \propto \left| \sin \left( \phi_p - \frac{\pi}{3} \mu \right) \right|,$$

where $\phi_p = \arctan \left( p_y / p_x \right)$ describes the direction of valley momentum. The angular dependence highlighted in equation (1) is the result of sublattice composition-n (AB) of electron states in graphene (for details see Methods), which has been seen in twist-controlled electron tunnelling in graphene/hBN/graphene heterostructures [9]. On the plot in figure 2(d), this variation of the interlayer hybridisation factor, $\delta \epsilon$, is shown by the thickness of the red lines. Taking this variation into account, the resultant momentum-space images of the anti-crossings qualitatively reproduce the experimental behaviour observed in figure 2(a).

The pattern of anti-crossings is dependent on $\theta$ (see supplementary material, section 3), and can be observed in other 2D heterostructures. Figure 2(e) shows the mini-gap pattern apparent in a constant energy slice taken on graphene-capped 4L InSe, with $\theta = 11.8 \pm 0.1^\circ$ determined by $\mu$-LEED. Again, a contour plot showing the expected position of the ghost anti-crossings, figure 2(h), calculated by umklapp scattering from the overlap contours in the second Brillouin zone of InSe, qualitatively reproduces the experimental measurement. We observe the same effect in a constant energy slice from a 2D heterostructure of graphene-capped 3L GaSe (figure 2(i)), where $\mu$-LEED was used to determine $\theta = 28.9 \pm 0.7^\circ$. The minigap observed in the experimental measurement again agrees with the expected pattern, figure 2(l). The 'ghost' anti-crossing is observed in the energy-momentum spectra shown in supplementary material, section 4.

The interlayer hybridization factor, $\delta \epsilon$, can be determined from fitting the band dispersions where the InSe UVB meets the graphene $\pi$ band. An ARPES energy-momentum slice of the anti-crossing, from the same sample as figure 2(e), is shown in figure 3. The band mixing and anti-crossing gap are clear in the data twice-differentiated with respect to energy, the right spectra of figure 3. Band positions were found by fitting energy distribution curves around the anti-crossing, and from these band positions the interlayer hybridization factor was found, $\delta \epsilon = 0.45 \pm 0.02$ eV. The dispersion and magnitude of this anti-crossing are consistent with the corresponding ghost anti-crossing, as shown in figure 3(b) from a region of graphene covering 4L InSe. This clear ghost anti-crossing in 4L InSe demonstrates that the effect is not limited to monolayer materials. Note that figures 3(a) and (b) were acquired from different areas of the same graphene on InSe heterostructure with the same orientations of graphene and InSe flakes but differing InSe thickness (see supplementary material, section 5).

Ghost anti-crossings are also apparent in ab initio predictions of the band structure of the composite graphene/InSe stack, further confirming that they are an inherent feature of the electronic structure. Using linear-scaling DFT (LS-DFT) [30, 31], we studied graphene on monolayer InSe at 23°, corresponding to a supercell of 698 atoms (see Methods and supplementary material, section 6). Using previously reported tools to project the electronic structure into the primitive cells of each layer [32], simulated spectra of the valence bands of the composite structure were constructed. A momentum slice plotted along $\Gamma$ to $K_{Gr}$ (figure 4(a)) shows mini-gaps at anti-crossings of
In summary, our data present evidence for interlayer umklapp scattering in 2D heterostructures. The ghost anti-crossings created near the VBM of monolayer InSe demonstrate points of strong coupling with the adjacent graphene layer, with their position controlled by the relative orientation between the layers. Further control could be gained through changing band-alignments, using chemical doping or a perpendicular electric field [33]. By selecting suitable 2DMs pairs, it should thus be possible to engineer strong mixing of their electronic states at or near the band edges of many 2D semiconductors, or near the Fermi-level of metals and semi-metals, giving a new tool for band structure engineering. This interlayer umklapp scattering should not be limited to band hybridisation, and we expect it also to manifest in further novel electron, phonon and photon interactions in 2D heterostructures.

4. Methods

4.1. Sample fabrication
Bulk rhombohedral γ-InSe crystals, purchased from 2D Semiconductors and grown using the vertical Bridgman method, were mechanically exfoliated down to thin (1L–10L) crystals on a silicon oxide substrate. Using the PMMA dry peel transfer technique [34], monolayer graphene was used to pick-up and stamp InSe crystals onto either graphite or hBN, each of which was laterally large (>50 µm), thin (<50 nm) and positioned on a (3 nm) Ti/(20 nm) Pt-coated highly n-doped silicon wafer. Both heterostructure samples were annealed to 150 °C for 1 h in order to remove impurities via the self-cleaning mechanism [6]. All that is described above took place within an Ar glove box to prevent sample degradation. The same samples were used for both μARPES and μLEED measurements.

4.2. μARPES
μARPES spectra were acquired from the Spectromicroscopy beamline of the Elettra light source [35]. A low energy (27 eV), linearly polarised photon beam was focused onto the sample surface using Schwarzschild objectives. The beam had a submicrometre spot size (~600 nm) and was at an incident angle of 45° to the sample surface (linearly polarised at 45° to the sample surface). To perform ARPES, photoemitted electrons were collected by an internal moveable hemispherical electron analyser and 2D detector with an energy and momentum resolution of ~50 meV and ~0.03 Å⁻¹. Before analysis, samples were annealed for >6 h at up to around 625 K in ultra-high vacuum. The correct position on the sample was found by comparing an optical image of the specimen to scanning photoemission microscopy images acquired on the beamline before ARPES measurements. Energy-momentum slices along the high symmetry directions of the Brillouin zones were acquired by measuring a series of closely spaced
detector slices and interpolating the spectra. The constant energy maps, \( I(k_x, k_y) \), around \( \Gamma \) were extracted from three-dimensional energy-momentum maps, \( I(E, k_x, k_y) \) and averaged over an energy range of 0.04 eV. The sample temperature during measurement was \( \sim 100 \) K.

4.3. \( \mu \text{LEED} \)

\( \mu \text{LEED} \) patterns were acquired on the LEEM at the Nanospectromicroscopy beamline of the Elettra light source [36]. A well-collimated beam of low energy electrons from a LaB\(_6\) electron-gun was focused on to the sample, the electron energy being set by applying a voltage bias to the sample stage. An e-beam footprint on the sample of only 500 nm was obtained by inserting an illumination limiting aperture in the microscope optical path. Magnified images of the diffraction pattern produced by elastically backscattered electrons were acquired using a 2D detector and CCD.

The diffraction pattern shown in figure 2(c) is an average of multiple diffraction patterns collected over an incident electron energy range of 30–60 eV in steps of 2 eV. The diffraction pattern shown in figure 2(g) is an average of multiple diffraction patterns collected over an incident electron energy range of 27–60 eV in steps of 1 eV. The diffraction pattern shown in figure 2(k) was collected with an incident electron energy of 55 eV.

4.4. Modelling of sublattice effects on resonant hybridization between graphene and InSe

We used a plane wave decomposition of Bloch states of electrons in the graphene bands, and in the UVB of InSe, then projected the plane wave components with the coinciding wave vectors. For graphene, the relevant parts of the spectrum appear in the vicinity of Dirac points near \( K (\xi = +) \) and \( K' (\xi = -) \) valleys, where the plane wave decomposition, that involves the set of smallest wave vectors \( \xi \vec{K}_n + \vec{p} \), related by the reciprocal lattice vectors for graphene, reads

\[
\Psi_\xi \approx N(|z|) \sum_{i \vec{K}_n} \left[ 1 + s \xi \epsilon^G (\vec{r} - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{n}) \right] \epsilon^G (\xi \vec{K}_n + \vec{p}) \cdot \vec{r},
\]

Here, \( \vec{r} = \text{arctan} (p_x/p_y) \) describes the direction of valley momentum \( \vec{p} = (p_x, p_y) \) of electrons in graphene, \( s = +1 \) for conduction band and \( s = -1 \) for valence band branch of dispersion (\( s = -1 \) is the one relevant for resonant mixing with InSe valence band states), \( \vec{r} = (x, y) \), and \( N(|z|) \) takes into account the decay of the 2D plane wave amplitude away from the crystal. The factor

\[
\left[ 1 + s \xi \epsilon^G (\vec{r} - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{n}) \right]
\]

accounts for the interference of the contributions to the ‘vacuum’ planes coming from \( P_2 \) orbitals of carbons on two (A&B) sublattices of honeycomb graphene [17]. For InSe, we concentrate on the UVB dispersion in the vicinity of its top near the BZ centre, where the electron states come mostly from \( S \) and \( P_z \) orbitals of chalcogen atoms [37]. This prescribes the plane wave decomposition for the top valence band state in monolayer InSe,

\[
\Psi \approx f(|z|) \epsilon^G \cdot \vec{r} + g(|z|) \sum_{\vec{q}} \epsilon^{\text{InSe}}(\vec{q}) \cdot \vec{r},
\]

where \( \epsilon^{\text{InSe}} \) is the first star of InSe reciprocal lattice vectors and \( \vec{q} \) is counted from the BZ centre (\( q \ll \epsilon^{\text{InSe}} \)). After projecting the plane waves in the two crystals, we find that the states involved in the hybridisation are related by the Umklapp condition, \( \vec{q} = \xi \vec{K}_n - \vec{G}_{\text{InSe}} + \vec{p} \) and the variation of their interlayer coupling across the BZ is described by \( h(\vec{q}) \propto \left[ 1 + s \xi \epsilon^G (\vec{r} - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{n}) \right] \). Due to the decay of the electronic wave functions away from each crystal, which is even faster for the \( \vec{G}_{\text{InSe}} + \vec{q} \) plane wave components (\( g(|z|) \)) than for the \( \vec{q} \) component (\( f(|z|) \)), \( g(|z|) \ll f(|z|) \) at distances \( |z| \) longer than the Bohr radius, the hybridisation of graphene and InSe bands would be negligibly weak, unless it satisfies the resonant condition, \( \epsilon^{\text{InSe}}(\vec{q}) = \epsilon^G (\vec{p}) \). Along the six lines identified by such crossings, counted by integer \( \mu = 0 \) to 5 counter-clockwise, graphene-InSe bands hybridisation leads to the anti-crossing of their dispersions, splitting them apart by \( \delta \epsilon = 2 |h| \propto |\sin (\vec{r} - \vec{p})| \), leading to equation (1) in the main text. Values of \( \epsilon^{\text{InSe}} = 1.73 \) Å\(^{-1}\) and \( \epsilon^G = 1.85 \) Å\(^{-1}\) were used, consistent with literature values for these materials [38].

4.5. Ab initio calculations

LS-DFT calculations in the Projector Augmented Wave formalism [30, 39] were used to model the InSe/Gr heterostructure, using the ONETEP code [31]. Further details are given in supplementary material, section 3.
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