Abstract: The halogen elimination of 1,2-diiodoethane (C2H4I2) and 1,2-diodotetrafluoroethane (C2F4I2) serves as a model reaction for investigating the influence of fluorination on reaction dynamics and solute–solvent interactions in solution-phase reactions. While the kinetics and reaction pathways of the halogen elimination reaction of C2H4I2 were reported to vary substantially depending on the solvent, the solvent effects on the photodissociation of C2F4I2 remain to be explored, as its reaction dynamics have only been studied in methanol. Here, to investigate the solvent dependence, we conducted a time-resolved X-ray liquidography (TRXL) experiment on C2F4I2 in cyclohexane. The data revealed that (i) the solvent dependence of the photoreaction of C2F4I2 is not as strong as that observed for C2H4I2, and (ii) the nongeminate recombination leading to the formation of I2 is slower in cyclohexane than in methanol. We also show that the molecular structures of the relevant species determined from the structural analysis of TRXL data provide an excellent benchmark for DFT calculations, especially for investigating the relevance of exchange-correlation functionals used for the structural optimization of haloalkanes. This study demonstrates that TRXL is a powerful technique to study solvent dependence in the solution phase.
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1. Introduction

The photoexcitation of haloalkanes at ultraviolet wavelengths induces an electronic transition corresponding to the n → σ* transition of a carbon–halogen bond, leading to the dissociation of halogen atoms [1,2]. This photoinduced dissociation has served as an excellent model system to study reaction dynamics due to their simple molecular structures [1–48]. The structures of reaction intermediates of haloalkane photodissociation are relevant to the stereochemical control of the products of the halogen elimination reaction of haloalkanes. For example, according to the Skell hypothesis [49,50], it was proposed that a bridged radical, where the rotation of its C–C bond is prohibited, is key to the observed stereochemical control of the products. In addition, haloalkanes serve as a good model system for studying the effect of atomic substitution on reaction dynamics. For example, fluorination, which is the replacement of hydrogen with fluorine, often alters the chemical properties and reactivities of molecules. In this regard, photoreactions of 1,2-diiodoethane (C2H4I2) and its fluorinated analog, 1,2-diodotetrafluoroethane (C2F4I2), were extensively...
studied as prototypes to explore the effect of fluorination on reaction dynamics and the molecular structures of reaction intermediates [9–12].

To investigate the reaction dynamics and structures of reaction intermediates, and subtle effects of atomic substitution, the molecular structures of reacting molecules must be characterized. In this regard, time-resolved X-ray liquidography (TRXL), also known as time-resolved X-ray solution scattering, is an excellent technique because it can be used to both provide global reaction pathways of chemical reactions and reveal the structures of transient intermediates in the liquid solution phase [5–16,51–75]. Thus far, TRXL has been used for studying the structural dynamics of a wide variety of systems spanning diatomic molecules, organometallic complexes, proteins, and nanoparticles in the solution phase [5–16,51–75].

In fact, the photodissociation dynamics of both C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$ and C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ were previously investigated with TRXL. Those TRXL studies on C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$ and C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ in methanol showed that C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$ and C$_2$H$_4$I$^-$ radicals generated by photodissociation have drastically different molecular structures [9–12]. Specifically, the C$_2$H$_4$I$^-$ radical has a bridged structure, providing direct structural evidence supporting the Skell hypothesis, whereas the C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$ radical has classical anti or gauche structures. These results demonstrate well the drastic effect of fluorination on reaction intermediates. Moreover, fluorination strongly affects the reaction pathways leading to the formation of the final products. The C$_2$H$_4$I$^-$ radical does not undergo direct secondary C—I bond dissociation; instead, it combines with the dissociated I$^-$ radical to form the C$_2$H$_4$I—I isomer, which then dissociates into C$_2$H$_4$ and I$_2$. In contrast to C$_2$H$_4$I$, C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$ directly undergoes the secondary C—I bond dissociation to form C$_2$F$_4$ and I without the formation of a C$_2$F$_4$I—I isomer. The liberated I atoms then nongeminally recombine to form I$_2$.

Besides the effect of fluorination on the structures of reaction intermediates and the reaction pathways, we aim here to investigate how fluorination affects solvent dependence by comparing the effect of solvents on the reactions of C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$ and C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$. Previous TRXL studies showed that the photodissociation of C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$ is strongly affected by the solvent. In solution-phase reactions, the solvent influences the energetics and the dynamics of solute molecules through solute–solvent interactions [2–8,72,73]. Therefore, to understand the mechanism of a chemical reaction in solution, it is essential to consider the effect of solute–solvent interactions on the reaction mechanism [12–14,26–30]. According to a previous TRXL study of C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$ in cyclohexane, the C$_2$H$_4$I$^-$ radical has a bridged structure as for the same reaction in methanol, but its dynamics and mechanism vary substantially depending on the type of solvent [10–12]. Specifically, the reaction mechanism associated with the secondary C—I bond dissociation of the C$_2$H$_4$I$^-$ radical, which is formed by the primary C—I bond dissociation of C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$, highly depends on the type of solvent. Unlike in methanol, where the C$_2$H$_4$I$^-$ radical does not undergo direct secondary C—I bond dissociation and instead combines with the dissociated I$^-$ radical to form the C$_2$H$_4$I—I isomer, in cyclohexane, secondary C—I bond dissociation directly occurs to form C$_2$H$_4$ and I, in addition to the formation of C$_2$H$_4$I—I isomer, also occurring in a branched manner. In addition, C$_2$H$_4$I—I isomer is formed more slowly in cyclohexane than in methanol while the dissociation of C$_2$H$_4$I—I isomer into C$_2$H$_4$ and I$_2$ is also more accelerated in cyclohexane than in methanol. These results suggest that the solute–solvent interaction can have a profound effect on the dynamics and mechanism of a chemical reaction. In contrast, the solvent dependence of the photodissociation of C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ has not yet been investigated.

To better understand the effect of fluorination and solvent dependence, it is worthwhile to compare the reaction dynamics of C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$ and C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ in two different solvents. The structural dynamics of the photodissociation of C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ were examined by TRXL only in methanol [9,11]. Inspired by the solvent dependence observed for the photoreaction of C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$, in this work, we used TRXL to investigate the structural dynamics of C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ in cyclohexane. In comparison with the TRXL results for C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ in methanol, the reaction mechanism of C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ photodissociation remains intact regardless of solvent, and the reaction parameters such as the anti-to-gauche ratio and time constants for the relevant reaction
pathway are only marginally different than those for the photoreaction in methanol. Meanwhile, a comparison of bimolecular rates for nongeminate recombination to form I$_2$ from the liberated iodine radicals in two solvents (cyclohexane and methanol) revealed considerable solvent dependence. We also show that the molecular structures of C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ and C$_2$F$_4$I radicals determined from the structural analysis of TRXL data can serve as a benchmark for DFT calculations, especially for investigating the relevance of exchange-correlation functionals and basis sets used for structure optimization of haloalkanes.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Time-Resolved Difference Scattering Curves of C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ Photodissociation

The difference scattering curves at various time delays are shown in Figure 1a. Difference scattering curves $\Delta S(q, t)$ are multiplied by the magnitude of momentum transfer vector $q$ to yield $q\Delta S(q, t)$. By doing so, the small difference scattering signals at high $q$ values are emphasized. The $q\Delta S(q, t)$ curves exhibit distinct oscillatory features in $q$-space, which are the signature of structural changes of reacting molecules, and these features change with time, which indicates that reactions occur on the time scales covered by the TRXL experiment. The best-fit theoretical $q\Delta S(q, t)$ curves obtained from global-fitting analysis (GFA) described in Section 3.3 are shown with the experimental $q\Delta S(q, t)$ curves in Figure 1a. Fitting parameters from GFA are shown in Table 1. To visualize real-space information, the difference radial distribution functions ($\Delta$RDFs), $r^2\Delta R(r, t)$, where $r$ is the interatomic distance, were obtained by the sine Fourier transformation of $q\Delta S(q, t)$, as shown in Figure 1b.
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**Figure 1.** (a) Time-resolved difference scattering curves $q\Delta S(q, t)$ for C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ in cyclohexane as a function of time delay after photoexcitation at 267 nm. Experimental curves (black), $q\Delta S(q, t) = qS(q, t) - qS(q, -200 \text{ ps})$ are compared with calculated curves (red) obtained from global-fit analysis. Data at high $q$ values (1.8 – 7.5 Å$^{-1}$) were scaled up by a factor of three for better visualization. (b) Difference radial distribution functions ($\Delta$RDFs), $r^2\Delta R(r, t)$, obtained by the sine Fourier transformation of $q\Delta S(q)$ curves shown in (a).
Table 1. Fitting parameters obtained from global fitting analysis of C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ in cyclohexane in comparison with those from C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ in methanol. Errors for fitting parameters shown in parentheses.

|                        | Cyclohexane       | Methanol        |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Fraction of photoexcited molecules $^1$ | 20.0 ($\pm$ 1.1)% | -               |
| Fraction of direct, nonradiative relaxation back to the ground state $^2$ | 14.8 ($\pm$ 0.9)% | -               |
| Fraction of C$_2$F$_4$I$^+$ dissociating to C$_2$F$_4$ + I$^-$ | 30 ($\pm$ 1.1)%  | 20 ($\pm$ 1.3)% |
| C$_2$F$_4$I$^+$ $\rightarrow$ C$_2$F$_4$ + I$^-$ | 3.4 ($\pm$ 2.9) $\times$ 10$^{9}$ s$^{-1}$ | 3.3 ($\pm$ 2.1) $\times$ 10$^{9}$ s$^{-1}$ |
| I$^-$ + I$^-$ $\rightarrow$ I$_2$ | 1.1 ($\pm$ 0.8) $\times$ 10$^{10}$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ | 4.4 ($\pm$ 1.3) $\times$ 10$^{10}$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ |

$^1$ Fraction of photoexcited molecules in 60 mM solution of ground-state C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$. $^2$ Fraction of photoexcited molecules that relax back to the ground state without undergoing photodissociation.

The ΔRDF signal provides the change in the distribution of interatomic distance $r$ of the solute species. $r^2$AR($r$, $t$) curves exhibit distinct positive or negative peaks in terms of $r$. The positive peak indicates the formation of an atom–atom pair, whereas the negative peak shows the disappearance of an atom–atom pair, generally related to bond cleavage [12,15,16].

Because the TRXL signal is a superposition of solute, cage, and solvent terms, it is not straightforward to assign the features in $r^2$ΔR($r$, $t$) to specific atom–atom pairs of the chemical species. To facilitate the assignment of the features in the difference scattering curves, we decomposed it into three contributions—the solute-only term, the cage term, and the solvent-only term—as shown in Figure 2. The assigned major features of the difference scattering are indicated using the lines drawn at the bottom of each plot in Figure 2. The lines for the solute-only term were obtained from the molecular structures of the reactants, intermediates, and products, and those for the solute–solvent cross term and the solvent-only term were obtained from $g(r)$'s, calculated from the MD simulation implemented for all chemical species involved in the reaction. $g(r)$ represents the distribution of the distance in an atom–atom pair. Because each line in Figure 2 has different degrees of contribution and broadening to the total ΔRDF, the positions of the peaks in the ΔRDFs might not perfectly match individual lines. The solute-only term shown in Figure 2a clearly demonstrates the structural evolution of the reacting solute molecules. For example, at 150 ps, two negative peaks at 3.1 and 5.2 Å mainly reflect the distances of the C· · · I and I· · · I atomic pairs, respectively, of depleted C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ parent molecules. As the reaction progressed, a positive peak at 2.7 Å grew. This peak corresponds to the I–I atomic pair of I$_2$ and indicates the formation of I$_2$. Besides these peaks, a negative contribution from the C–I distance (2.1 Å) of the C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ molecule and a positive contribution from the C· · · I distance (3.0 Å) of C$_2$F$_4$I$^+$ were present, but were hidden by other features of larger amplitudes and broadenings.

Information on the solvent environment around the solutes can also be obtained by the solute–solvent cross-term in Figure 2b. In particular, the C and H atoms of the cyclohexane solvent engaged in long-range interactions with the C, H, F and I in the solute molecules. ΔRDF reflects the time-resolved concentration of these atomic pairs at each distance, and the atomic pairs containing heavy atoms such as I largely contribute due to their large atomic form factors. For example, two positive peaks at 5.0 and 10.4 Å, and a negative peak at 8.0 Å are distinct at 150 ps. The positive peaks imply the emergence of a new atomic pair, whose peak positions match with the distances between the atoms of C$_2$F$_4$I$^+$ and the C atom in cyclohexane. On the other hand, the negative peak at 8.0 Å corresponds to the distance between the I atom in the consumed C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ and two H atoms in cyclohexane. As the reaction progressed, the positive peaks shifted to 4.8 and 10.6 Å, while a negative one elongated to 8.2 Å at 100 ns with modified peak amplitudes. They represent the dynamic rearrangement of the solvent cage structure in response to the formation and dissociation of the later intermediates.
Figure 2. ΔRDFs represented in $r$-space are decomposed into three components: (a) solute-only term, (b) solute–solvent cross term, (c) solvent-only term. Lines in (a) correspond to the bond lengths of solute species calculated by DFT calculation. Black, red, green, and blue lines correspond to $1-I$ of $C_2F_4I_2$, $C-I$ of $C_2F_4I_2$, $C-I$ of $C_2F_4I$ radical, and $1-I$ of $I_2$, respectively.

From the solvent-only term in Figure 2c, one can obtain information on heat dissipation and subsequent solvent rearrangement induced by photoexcitation and photoreaction. The difference scattering of the solvent consisted of the $q(\partial S(q)/\partial T)_\rho$ and $q(\partial S(q)/\partial \rho)_T$ terms. The $q(\partial S(q)/\partial T)_\rho$ term was responsible for the increase in temperature (and pressure) of the solvent at a constant volume, which occurred at the early stage of the reaction (<6 ns). The $q(\partial S(q)/\partial \rho)_T$ term accounted for the thermal expansion that occurred after 6 ns. The expansion led to the equilibration with an ambient pressure and the decrease of the solvent density. As a result, the $C\cdots C$ distances in adjacent cyclohexane molecules changed, resulting in highly oscillatory features in the difference scattering curves after 6 ns.

2.2. Determination of the Structure of the Radical Intermediate

The structure of the haloethyl radical has been under debate between the classical and bridged forms [46–49]. TRXL studies on the photodissociation of $C_2H_4I_2$ and $C_2F_4I_2$ directly revealed that the $C_2H_4I$- and $C_2F_4I$- radicals have the bridged structure and the classical open structure, respectively [9,12]. These studies underscore the influence of fluorination on the molecular structure and reaction kinetics. To examine if the radical structure is influenced by the polarity of the solvent, we performed GFA with the structure of $C_2F_4I$ as (i) a classical structure, that is, a mixture of anti-$C_2F_4I$- and gauche-$C_2F_4I$- or (ii) a bridged structure. To better structurally distinguish between the two radical structures, we carefully extracted only the contribution related to the $C_2F_4I_2 \rightarrow C_2F_4I_2$ + $I$- pathway. To do so, we subtracted the contributions of solvent, cage, and other solute species from the data at 150 ps. The extracted contributions of only $C_2F_4I$- for the bridged and classical models are shown in Figure 3. The negative peak at 5.2 Å corresponds to the $I$- $I$ distance of the depleted parent molecule and is common for both models. However, the shapes of the peak in the $r$-range of 2.0–4.5 Å are quite different from each other in the two models. This region corresponds to the distances of the I atom relative to two carbon and four fluorine atoms in the radical. The classical structure has two $C-I$ distances and two (anti) or three (gauche) F–I distances, whereas the bridged structure has only one $C-I$ distance and one F–I distance due to its symmetric geometry. Therefore, the difference in peak shape in this region serves as a fingerprint of the classical structure. The classical model fits the
experimental data at 150 ps better than the bridged model does. To quantify the fitting quality between the two models, $\chi^2_{\text{class}}/\chi^2_{\text{br}}$ the ratio of the reduced chi-squared values between the classical and bridged models was calculated at each time delay from the best-fit result for each model (Figure S2). The ratio is significantly lower than 1 at 150 ps, where the concentration of the C$_2$F$_4$I$^*$ radical is high. As the concentration of C$_2$F$_4$I$^*$ radical decreases at later time delays, the ratio expectedly approaches 1. Therefore, the classical radical gives a better fit to the experimental data than the bridged radical does. In fact, when we include a mixture of bridged and classical structures in the fitting with their concentration ratio as a variable, the concentration of the bridged radical converges to zero.

![Figure 3. Comparison of models using only either classical (top) or bridged (bottom) structure of C$_2$F$_4$I$^*$ radical.](image)

When the structures of C$_2$F$_4$I$^*$ radical and C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ parent molecule calculated from the DFT calculation using the wB97X functional as the DFT exchange-correlation functional were used without any alteration (as listed in Table S2), the fit was already quite good. This result means that the DFT structures calculated using the wB97X functional were accurate. The advantage of the wB97X functional for predicting accurate structures in halomethanes and haloethanes was also reported for the TRXL studies of C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$ in cyclohexane [12] and CH$_3$ in cyclohexane [15], which clearly demonstrates the excellent agreement between the DFT-optimized structures (except for that of iso-CH$_3$I$^*$) calculated using wB97X functional and the experimentally determined structures. The structural parameters of CF$_3$Br, optimized by using the wB97X functional, are in excellent agreement with the experimentally determined parameters from the analysis of microwave spectra [45]. Nevertheless, we refined the structures of anti-C$_2$F$_4$I$^*$, gauche-C$_2$F$_4$I$^*$, anti-C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$, and gauche-C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ by varying the C–I distances and CCI angles to obtain better agreement between the experimental and calculated curves (Table 2). In the previous TRXL study of C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ in methanol, the structures from DFT calculations were used for data analysis without any refinement.
The refined structures of anti-C$_2$F$_4$I, gauche-C$_2$F$_4$I, anti-C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$, and gauche-C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ can serve as an experimental standard for benchmarking various combinations of correlation-exchange functionals and basis sets. We performed DFT calculations using five functionals (ωB97X, M06-2X, B3LYP-D3, PBE0, and TPSSh) and three basis sets (def2-TZVPP, cc-pVTZ(-PP), and aug-cc-pVTZ(-PP)). Then, we compared the root-mean-square deviation values for the C–I distances and CCI angles as shown in Table 3. This comparison immediately confirmed that the ωB97X functional had the best agreement with the experimentally determined structure, regardless of the basis set.

Table 3. Root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) values for DFT structures calculated with various combinations of functionals and basis sets. RMSD values are with respect to the experimental structural parameters determined from GFA of TRXL data. Among tested functionals, the ωB97X functional had the best agreement with the experimental values, regardless of basis set.

| Functional/Basis Set | B97X/def2-TZVPP | M06-2X/def2-TZVPP | B3LYP-D3/def2-TZVPP | PBE0 | TPSSh |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|
| ωB97X/def2-TZVPP     | 0.007 Å        | 0.010 Å           | 0.065 Å             | 0.032 Å | 0.036 Å |
|                      | 4.4°           | 4.4°              | 4.5°                | 5.8°   | 5.8°   |
| cc-pVTZ(-PP)         | 0.009 Å        | 0.014 Å           | 0.075 Å             | 0.069 Å | 0.045 Å |
|                      | 4.5°           | 4.5°              | 4.7°                | 5.7°   | 5.6°   |
| aug-cc-pVTZ(-PP)     | 0.007 Å        | 0.013 Å           | 0.071 Å             | 0.038 Å | 0.041 Å |
|                      | 4.4°           | 4.4°              | 4.5°                | 5.6°   | 5.6°   |

1 cc-pVTZ-PP small-core relativistic core potential (RECP) was used for iodine, and cc-pVTZ basis sets were used for other atoms. 2 aug-cc-pVTZ-PP small-core relativistic core potential (RECP) was used for iodine, and aug-cc-pVTZ all-electron basis sets were used for other atoms.

We also tested the possibility of the formation of the C$_2$F$_4$I–I isomer. In the photoinduced iodine elimination reaction of C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$, the formation of the C$_2$H$_4$I–I isomer by the addition of I$^-$ to C$_2$H$_4$I$^-$ served as a critical route to generate the final products, C$_2$H$_4$ and I$_2$. In contrast, the C$_2$F$_4$I–I isomer was not observed in the photoreaction of C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ in methanol. To examine if the isomer was formed in a different solvent, cyclohexane, we performed GFA with the reaction pathway involving the C$_2$F$_4$I–I isomer. The inclusion of the isomer in the reaction mechanism significantly increased the χ$^2_{\text{req}}$ value by a factor of 1.3, confirming that such an isomer was not observed in the TRXL data. In terms of relative energies, the results of the DFT calculation show that the energy of the C$_2$F$_4$I–I isomer relative to C$_2$F$_4$I$^+$ is $-132.4$ kJ/mol and $-132.5$ kJ/mol in cyclohexane and methanol, respectively. Therefore, the consideration of the energetics alone does not exclude the formation of the C$_2$F$_4$I–I isomer. For the sake of comparison, the energy of the C$_2$H$_4$I–I
isomer relative to C2H4I· + I· was \(-137.5\) and \(-134.6\) kJ/mol in cyclohexane and methanol, respectively.

2.3. Kinetics and Mechanism of C2F4I2 Photodissociation

The reaction mechanism of C2F4I2 photodissociation is shown in Figure 4a, and the time-dependent concentration changes of each chemical species obtained from the GFA are shown in Figure 4b. The rate constants and branching ratios for all reaction pathways are summarized in Table 1. For comparison, the kinetic information for C2F4I2 photodissociation in methanol adapted from the previous TRXL study is also indicated in Figure 4a, and the kinetic parameters obtained from the global fitting of the data in methanol from the earlier work are listed together in Table 1. Upon photoexcitation, the C2F4I2 molecule loses one iodine atom, forming C2F4I· earlier than 150 ps, which is the earliest time delay. Such ultrafast photodissociation of a halogen atom is a common feature observed in haloalkanes in various solvents [12]. The 30 ± 1.1% of the C2F4I· radical undergoes secondary dissociation, C2F4I· → C2F4 + I·, with a rate constant of 3.44 ± 2.9 × 10^9 s\(^{-1}\) (corresponding to a time constant of 292 ± 34 ps). The two I· radicals undergo nongeminate recombination with each other to form molecular iodine in tens of nanoseconds, with the bimolecular rate constant of \(1.1 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}\).
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Figure 4. (a) Reaction mechanism of C2F4I2 photodissociation in cyclohexane determined in this study. Kinetic parameters for the reactions in cyclohexane and methanol are marked in red and blue, respectively. (b) Time-dependent concentration changes of chemical species involved the photodissociation reaction of C2F4I2 in cyclohexane. (c) Time-dependent changes of solvent temperature (red) and density (black) induced by photodissociation of C2F4I2. Solid lines were obtained from optimized global fits based on kinetic models, and symbols were obtained from individual fits of experimental difference scattering curves at various time delays.

Besides the concentration dynamics of the solute species, we could also obtain information on the dynamics of heating and the expansion of the bulk solvent. When the reactant molecules were photoexcited by laser pulses, a fraction of molecules (7% in this case) rapidly recovered back to the ground state by geminate recombination and vibrational cooling in the ground state, thus dissipating heat to the environment. As a result, the temperature and density of the solvent in the laser focal volume were changed, as shown in Figure 4c. At early time delays up to 10 ns, heat was dissipated at a constant volume, leading to an increase in temperature by a total of 1.15 K at 10 ns, as described by
the solvent differential of $q(\partial S(q)/\partial T)_\mu$. After 10 ns, thermal expansion occurred, leading to a decrease in solvent density by a total of $-0.86$ kg/m$^3$ at 1 µs, with a 50 ns time constant. With the expansion, the solvent temperature also decreased, giving a total temperature change of 0.9 K at 100 ns. These changes in density and temperature accompanying the photodissociation of C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ in cyclohexane fell into the typical range observed in other TRXL experiments, as shown in Table S1.

2.4. Solvent Dependence of Reaction Dynamics

We examined the solvent dependence of the reaction dynamics by comparing how the same solute, C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$, evolves into two different solvents, cyclohexane and methanol. TRXL studies on the photodissociation of C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$ in cyclohexane and methanol provide a useful example to discuss the solvent dependence of solution-phase reactions of haloalkanes. C$_2$H$_4$I$^-$ in cyclohexane only follows a pathway to form the C$_2$H$_4$I-I isomer, which then decays into C$_2$H$_4$ and I$_2$, thus lacking a pathway of direct dissociation of C$_2$H$_4$I$^-$ to form C$_2$H$_4$ and I observed in methanol. Moreover, the lifetime of the C$_2$H$_4$I-I isomer is shorter in cyclohexane than that in methanol. These solvent dependences were explained on the basis of solvent polarity that could significantly affect the rates and pathways of a chemical reaction. Accordingly, we compared the photodissociation mechanism of C$_2$F$_4$I$_2$ in cyclohexane with the published results on the same molecule in methanol [9] to depict the solvent dependence of the photodissociation of the tetrafluorinated derivative of C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$. In detail, we focused on how the following measurables vary depending on the solvent environments: (1) the anti-to-gauche ratio of C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$; (2) the structural conformation of C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$ (classical vs bridged); (3) the secondary dissociation kinetics from C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$ to C$_2$F$_4$; (4) the recombination rate of two I$^-$ into a molecular iodine.

2.4.1. Anti-to-Gauche Ratio of C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$

First, the GFA of our TRXL data yielded 84(±3.7):16 for the anti-to-gauche ratio of C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$ in cyclohexane, which was comparable to 86(±4.2):14 reported by the analogous study in methanol. From the DFT calculations, the free-energy gap between the two conformers of C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$ was determined to be 9.9 kJ/mol in cyclohexane and 9.5 kJ/mol in methanol. The corresponding anti-to-gauche conformer ratios are 98:2 in both cyclohexane and methanol, which are much larger than the ratios of 84:16 and 86:14 determined from the TRXL measurement. This discrepancy indicates that the DFT calculations underestimated the energy difference between anti and gauche conformers. The observed ratios of 84:16 in cyclohexane and 86:14 in methanol gave estimated free-energy differences of 4.1 and 4.5 kJ/mol, respectively. These values were smaller than the DFT values by ~50%, which indicated that the DFT-calculated energy differences were overestimated by about a factor of 2. The DFT-calculated energy gap between the two conformers of C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$ was larger in cyclohexane by only 0.4 kJ/mol than that in methanol. If we applied the estimated scaling factor, the free-energy difference was estimated to be 0.2 kJ/mol, which was negligibly small. Therefore, the nearly identical anti-to-gauche conformer ratios of C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$ in cyclohexane and methanol were not surprising.

2.4.2. Structural Conformation of C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$

Generated haloethyl radicals C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$ and C$_2$H$_4$I$^-$ follow different fates. First, in both cyclohexane and methanol, C$_2$H$_4$I$^-$ predominantly exists as a bridged conformer. In contrast, C$_2$F$_4$I$^-$ favors classical (anti and gauche) conformations in both cyclohexane and methanol, as discussed in the previous section. In the photoreaction of C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$, the bridged-C$_2$H$_4$I$^-$ radical binds with the initially dissociated I$^-$ to form C$_2$H$_4$I-I isomer in hundreds of picoseconds, and subsequently dissociates into C$_2$H$_4$ and I$_2$ in both methanol and cyclohexane. The C$_2$H$_4$I-I isomer exists as an intermediate in both cyclohexane and methanol and is energetically stable. According to ωB97X/def2-TZVPP, the Gibbs free energy of the C$_2$H$_4$I-I isomer is 28.3 kJ/mol in cyclohexane and 32.0 kJ/mol in methanol with respect to anti-C$_2$H$_4$I$_2$, and the Gibbs free energy of C$_2$H$_4$ + I$_2$ is 11.0 kJ/mol in cyclohexane and
C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} + I\textsubscript{2} is 13.5 kJ/mol in methanol (Figure S6). The enthalpies of C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} + I\textsubscript{2} were actually higher than those of the C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}−I isomer in both cyclohexane and methanol, indicating that the dissociation of C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}−I into C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} and I\textsubscript{2} is entropy-driven. In cyclohexane, the direct secondary dissociation from C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}− to C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} + I· also occurred as a bypath that accounted for 52% of the total yield of C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}. In contrast, the C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}−I isomer was not observed.

2.4.3. Secondary Dissociation Kinetics from C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}I· to C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}

The secondary dissociation from C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}I· to C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4} occurs only via the direct loss of an additional I·, unlike in the case of C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}I·. The C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}I−I isomer is not formed at all. According to a previous TRXL study, C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}I· decays to form its final product, C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}, with a time constant of 306 ps in methanol. This time constant is nearly the same as that of 292 ps in cyclohexane within the experimental uncertainty. In addition, the dissociating portion of the radical is exposed to a subtle change from 20 ± 1.3% in methanol to 30 ± 1.1% in cyclohexane. Such negligible solvent dependence is in stark contrast to the strong solvent dependence observed for C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}I·. To explain such different degrees of solvent dependence of C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}I· and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}I·, we compared the dipole moments of the C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}I· (anti-C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}I·) and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}I· (bridged-C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}I·) radicals. According to DFT calculations, the dipole moment of C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}I· (\(\mu = 0.42\) D) was significantly smaller than that of C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}I· (\(\mu = 1.68\) D). Generally, a polar solute species should be relatively more stabilized in a polar solvent (methanol) than in a nonpolar solvent (cyclohexane), with the degree of stabilization proportional to the dipole moment of the solute species. Thus, the observed that the difference in the degrees of solvent dependence of C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}I· and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}I· can be attributed to the difference in the dipole moments of those radical intermediates.

2.4.4. Recombination Rate of Two I into I\textsubscript{2}

After secondary dissociation, the two I· radicals nongeminately recombined to form I\textsubscript{2} with a bimolecular reaction rate constant of 1.1 ± 0.8 \times 10\textsuperscript{10} M\textsuperscript{−1}s\textsuperscript{−1} in cyclohexane. This rate constant was smaller than the reported rate constant of 4.4 ± 1.3 \times 10\textsuperscript{10} M\textsuperscript{−1}s\textsuperscript{−1} for the analogous reaction in methanol, indicating that the nongeminate I\textsubscript{2} formation during the photodissociation of C\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4}I\textsubscript{2} is slower in cyclohexane than in methanol. The bimolecular rate constants are expected to depend on the type of solvent rather than the parent molecule. Indeed, according to the TRXL measurements on various solutes in cyclohexane, the bimolecular rate constants for the formation of I\textsubscript{2} were in the range of 0.65–1.58 \times 10\textsuperscript{10} M\textsuperscript{−1}s\textsuperscript{−1}, and those in methanol were in the range of 3.1–4.4 \times 10\textsuperscript{10} M\textsuperscript{−1}s\textsuperscript{−1}, as shown in Table S1. This trend can be explained by considering the solvent viscosity. The bimolecular recombination requires the two I· radicals to move into close vicinity of each other. Therefore, the bimolecular recombination rate is limited by diffusion in a solution. Considering that both reactant (I· radicals) and product (I\textsubscript{2}) are nonpolar, the diffusion is slower in a more viscous solvent. In fact, the recombination of I\textsubscript{2} is slower in a solvent of higher viscosity [76]. The diffusion rate \(k\textsubscript{D}\) can be estimated via the following equation derived from the Fick’s law of diffusion and the Stokes–Einstein equation:

\[
k\textsubscript{D} = \frac{8RT}{3\eta}
\]

where \(R\) is the gas constant, \(T\) is the temperature, and \(\eta\) is the viscosity of a solvent. This equation shows that \(k\textsubscript{D}\) is inversely proportional to the solvent viscosity. The viscosities of cyclohexane and methanol are 0.89 and 0.54 cP, and the corresponding \(k\textsubscript{D}\) values are 7.3 \times 10\textsuperscript{9} and 1.18 \times 10\textsuperscript{10} M\textsuperscript{−1}s\textsuperscript{−1}, respectively [77]. The relative magnitudes of \(k\textsubscript{D}\) values in cyclohexane and methanol agree with the experimental observation that the bimolecular rate in cyclohexane is smaller than that in methanol, although absolute values show discrepancy against the measured bimolecular rates. The difference in absolute scale stems from rough approximations. For example, the shape of diffusing species, the interatomic forces, and the intrinsic reaction rate during the derivation, which can affect the exact estimation result, are ignored here. The same trend related with the viscosity was also observed in the nongeminate recombination of Br· radicals to form Br\textsubscript{2} in the photoreaction.
of HgBr₂ in acetonitrile and methanol, which was studied with TRXL. The bimolecular recombination rate constants to form Br₂ are $2 \pm 1 \times 10^{10}$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ in acetonitrile [56] and $8.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{9}$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ in methanol [74]. The relative magnitudes of the bimolecular rate constants are consistent with those of the viscosities; the viscosity of acetonitrile (0.34 cP) is smaller than that of methanol (0.54 cP).

2.5. Various Types of Solvent Dependences

Solvent dependence has been investigated for various chemical reactions, and different types of solvent dependences have been reported. The observed solvent dependence can be classified into four types as shown in Figure 5. In Type 1, the molecular structures of reactants, reaction intermediates, or products significantly vary depending on the solvent, as can be seen in the reactions of I₅⁻ [51,75] and I₂ [72]. For example, I₅⁻ adopts solvent-dependent molecular structures, a symmetric structure in acetonitrile and an asymmetric structure in water. In Type 2, part of the reaction pathway is altered depending on the solvent, as can be seen in the photoreactions of CH₂I₂ [6,13], CH₂IBr [14], CHBr₃ [30], CHI₃ [5,15], and HgI₂ [55,56]. In the case of the photodissociation of CHI₃, an isomerization pathway leading to the formation of iso-CHI₂⁻I is active in cyclohexane, whereas the pathway is inactive in methanol. C₂H₄I₂ also belongs to this type, as the direct dissociation pathway, C₂H₃I⁻ → C₂H₄ + I, is blocked in methanol and activated in cyclohexane. In Type 3, only the rate or branching ratio of some reaction steps is affected by the change in solvent, while the overall framework of the chemical reaction is maintained, as can be seen in the photoreactions of HgBr₂ [56,74], CF₂I₂ [39,44], and Fe₃(CO)₁₂ [78]. For the photodissociation of CF₂I₂, the rate constants for some reaction pathways are different in two different solvents, carbon tetrachloride and cyclohexane, while the entire photodissociation pathways are common for the reactions in the two solvents. In Type 4, no significant differences are observed in different solvents, as can be seen in the photoreactions of anthracene [79,80] and 1-phenyl pyrene [81]. For example, the excited-state dynamics of 1-phenyl pyrene is almost the same in different solvents. The photodissociation of C₂F₄I₂ investigated in this work belongs to Type 4.

Figure 5. Four different types of solvent dependence of photoreactions. (a) In Type 1, the molecular structures of reactants, reaction intermediates, and/or products vary significantly depending on solvent. (b) In Type 2, part of the reaction pathway is altered depending on the solvent. (c) In Type 3, only the rates or branching ratios of some reaction steps are affected by the change in solvent, while the overall framework of the chemical reaction is maintained. (d) In Type 4, no significant differences are observed in different solvents.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Time-Resolved X-ray Liquidography Experiment

The TRXL experiment was conducted with the pump-probe scheme at the NW14A beamline of the High-Energy Research Organization (KEK). The detailed setup of the TRXL experiment is described in the literature [51,82,83]. Briefly, a 60 mM solution of C2F5I2 (Apollo Scientific, 97%) in cyclohexane was circulated through a sapphire jet nozzle to form a 300 μm thick liquid sheet. Femtosecond optical laser pulses with a center wavelength of 267 nm were used to excite molecules in solution. The laser beam was focused to a spot size of 520 × 310 μm² at the stable part of the liquid jet where the laser beam overlapped with the X-ray beam at the crossing angle of 10°. At the sample position, the laser fluence was 1.19 mJ/mm². The pink X-ray beam (ΔE/E = 4.875%) with 3 × 10⁸ photons per pulse and the center wavelength of 0.7114 Å were employed to probe the dynamics of the sample. The X-ray spot size at the sample position was 200 × 200 μm².

The scattering patterns were collected by an area detector (MarCCD 165) placed at 31.34 mm apart from the sample position at the following pump-probe time delays: −200 ps, 150 ps, 300 ps, 600 ps, 1 ns, 2 ns, 3 ns, 6 ns, 10 ns, 20 ns, 30 ns, 60 ns, 100 ns, 300 ns, 600 ns, and 1 μs. The scattering patterns measured at −200 ps were used as a reference to account for the sample before the photoreaction and to generate difference scattering curves qΔS(q, t). The scattering signal due to the heating of the cyclohexane solvent was obtained by performing a separate experiment at two time delays (150 ps and 1 μs).

3.2. Data Processing

One-dimensional (1D) scattering curves S(q, t) were obtained by azimuthal integration of the two-dimensional (2D) scattering images as a function of the magnitude of momentum transfer, \( q = 4\pi/\lambda \sin(\theta) \), where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of X-rays, \( 2\theta \) is the scattering angle, and t is the time delay between laser and X-ray pulses. The intensities of scattering curves were normalized by the sum of intensity divided by the number of q points within 4 to 7 Å⁻¹, and scaled to the absolute scale of the total (elastic and inelastic) scattering of one cyclohexane molecule. After scaling the intensities, difference scattering curves qΔS(q, t) were obtained by subtracting the scattering curve at a negative time delay (t = −200 ps) from the scattering curves at positive time delays and multiplying q to amplify the intensities at large scattering angles. The difference radial distribution functions (RDFs), \( r^2\Delta R(r, t) \), were obtained by the sine Fourier transform of the qΔS(q, t) curves as reported in previous publications [11,51,52].

3.3. Data Analysis

The theoretical difference of X-ray scattering curves ΔS(q, t)theory of the solution sample included three components, the (i) solute-only term, (ii) solute–solvent cross term, and (iii) solvent-only term, which were computed as reported in previous publications [15,51]. The solvent-only term was calculated using the Debye equation. The solvent-only term ΔS(q, t)solvent consisted of two differentials, \( \partial S/\partial T \) and \( \partial S/\partial \rho \), and was expressed as follows:

\[
\Delta S(q, t)_{\text{solvent}} = \Delta T(t) \times (\partial S/\partial T)_p + \Delta \rho(t) \times (\partial S/\partial \rho)_T
\]  

(2)

where \( \partial S/\partial T \) is the change in solvent scattering intensity in response to a temperature change at a constant density, and \( \partial S/\partial \rho \) is the change in solvent scattering intensity in response to a density change at a constant temperature. ΔT(t) and Δρ(t) are the temperature-dependent changes in the temperature and density of the solvent, respectively. Differentials \( \partial S/\partial T \) and \( \partial S/\partial \rho \) for the solvent were determined from a separate measurement on a dye, 4-bromo-4′-(N,N-diethy lamino)-azobenzene, dissolved in cyclohexane at 7.18 mM concentration to have the same optical density as that of the sample solution. In addition to these two differentials for the solvent, we also considered artifacts arising from the response of the cyclohexane solvent under a strong laser fluence. Solute–solvent cross term ΔS(q, t)cage was calculated from the molecular-dynamics (MD) simulation for all the chemical species in the reaction. Therefore, theoretically constructed scattering curves S(q)theory were convoluted with the measured X-ray spectrum to take this polychromaticity...
into account. Difference scattering curves $q\Delta S(q, t)$ were examined by global-fit analysis (GFA), a weighted least-squares method that minimizes the reduced chi squared ($\chi^2_{\text{red}}$) between theoretical and experimental data, which is defined as follows [69–71]:

$$\chi^2_{\text{red}} = \frac{1}{N - p - 1} \sum_{j=\text{time delay}} \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\Delta S(q, t_i)_{\text{theory}} - \Delta S(q, t_i)_{\text{exp}}}{\sigma^2_{ij}} \right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $N$ is the total number of data points along the $q$ and $t$ axes, $p$ is the number of fitting parameters, $\Delta S(q, t)_{\text{exp}}$ is the experimentally measured difference scattering intensity at the $i$th $q$ and $j$th time delays, and $\sigma_{ij}$ is the standard deviation of the difference scattering intensity at the $i$th $q$ and $j$th time delays. The $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ minimization was performed using the MINUIT package written at CERN, and error analysis was performed by MINOS, a built-in algorithm in the MINUIT software [84].

We retrieved the reaction rate constants of each step, the excitation fraction of $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4\text{I}_2$, the fraction of the excited molecules relaxing back to the ground state, the anti-to-gauche conformer ratio of $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4\text{I}$, and the structural parameters and relative enthalpy of major intermediates from the analysis. The $\text{C}−\text{I}$ bond lengths and $\text{C}−\text{C}−\text{I}$ angles of the two conformers of $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4\text{I}_2$ and $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4\text{I}$, in addition to the $\text{I}−\text{I}$ bond length of $\text{I}_2$, were selected as the structural degrees of freedom. The other structural parameters, such as the bond lengths of $\text{C}−\text{C}$ and $\text{C}−\text{F}$, were fixed to the values from the DFT calculation to avoid possible overfit. For the enthalpy of major intermediates, only the relative enthalpy of $\text{I}_2$ with respect to iodine radicals was used as a fitting parameter to fit the experimentally observed thermodynamic response of solvents. The enthalpies of other reaction intermediates were fixed to the values from the DFT calculation to avoid any potential overfit.

### 3.4. DFT Calculation

The energies and structures of $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4\text{I}_2$, and its related species such as $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4\text{I}$ and $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4$, calculated with DFT methods were already reported for both gas and solution phases [2,25]. In a previous study, hybrid functionals (B3LYP, B3PW91, PBE0, X3LYP) and a hybrid meta functional (M05-2X) were used for the DFT calculation. In subsequent TRXL studies of photoreactions of CHI$_3$ [15] and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{I}_2$ [12], various functionals ($\omega$B97X, B3LYP, M05-2X, M06-2X, etc.) were tested for structure optimization, and the $\omega$B97X functional could accurately predict the $\text{C}−\text{I}$ distance in halomethanes and haloethanes [85]. Therefore, using Q-Chem [86], we performed DFT calculations on all relevant species involved in the photodissociation of $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4\text{I}_2$ in the gas phase, the polar solvent (methanol, $\epsilon = 32.63$), and the nonpolar solvent (cyclohexane, $\epsilon = 2.023$) with $\omega$B97X as the DFT exchange-correlation functional and def2-TZVPP as the basis set. To consider the solvent environment, the conductor-like polarizable continuum model (CPCM) [87,88] method was used. For comparison, we also performed the same calculations on all relevant species involved in the photodissociation of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{I}_2$. The calculated Gibbs free energies, including the energies of relevant species, are shown in Figure S5 (for $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4\text{I}_2$) and Figure S6 (for $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{I}_2$). In addition, for comparison with the experimentally determined structures, we performed DFT calculations with M06-2X [89], B3LYP-D3 [90–92], PBE0 [93] and TPSSh [94] functionals for the relevant species involved in the photodissociation of $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4\text{I}_2$ in cyclohexane. We used def2-TZVPP, cc-pVTZ(-PP), and aug-cc-pVTZ(-PP) basis sets, which are of triple-$\zeta$ quality [95]. The iodine was modelled with def2-TZVPP, cc-pVTZ-PP, and aug-cc-pVTZ-PP basis sets including the effective core potentials, and the other atoms were modelled with def2-TZVPP, cc-pVTZ, and aug-cc-pVTZ basis sets. The structures of all relevant species were fully optimized in the nonpolar solvent (cyclohexane, $\epsilon = 2.023$), and frequency calculations were implemented for the optimized structures. The key structural parameters of the optimized structures of the chemical species obtained with various functionals are shown in Table 2. The root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) values for the structures calculated with various functionals and basis sets are listed in Table 3. The detailed
structural parameters obtained from the structures optimized with various functionals and basis sets are also listed in Tables S2 and S3.

The Gibbs free energy of each optimized structure, $G_{\text{sol}}$, was calculated by the following equations:

$$ G_{\text{sol}} = G_{\text{gas}} + G_{\text{solv}} $$

$$ G_{\text{gas}} = H_{\text{gas}} - T \cdot S_{\text{gas}} $$

$$ H_{\text{gas}} = E_{\text{SCF}} + \text{ZPE} $$

where $G_{\text{gas}}$ is the Gibbs free energy of the optimized structure; $G_{\text{solv}}$ is the solvation free energy; $H_{\text{gas}}$ is the enthalpy in the optimized structure with the $PV$ term, which is about 0.59 kcal/mol at 298.15 K, being ignored; $T$ is the temperature (298.15 K); and $S_{\text{gas}}$ is the entropy in the optimized structure. The solvent entropies are implicitly contained in $G_{\text{solv}}$ in the continuum model in Equation (4); $E_{\text{SCF}}$ is the electronic self-consistent field energy computed from the SCF convergence in the optimized structure, and ZPE is the vibrational zero-point energy. The entropy in Equation (5) corresponds to the vibrational, rotational, and translational entropies of the solute(s), except that the single-atom (iodine) has only the translational entropy, which were implemented form the Sackur–Tetrode equation [96].

The diagrams including the relative Gibbs free energies, $\Delta G_{\text{sol}}$, and enthalpies, $\Delta H_{\text{gas}}$, for the reaction channels are shown in Figure S5 (for the photodissociation of $C_2F_4I_2$) and Figure S6 (for the photodissociation of $C_2H_4I_2$). $\Delta G_{\text{sol}}$ and $\Delta H_{\text{gas}}$ were calculated by the following equations.

$$ \Delta G_{\text{sol}} = \Sigma G_{\text{sol}} \text{ for products} - \Sigma G_{\text{sol}} \text{ for reactants} $$

$$ \Delta H_{\text{gas}} = \Sigma H_{\text{gas}} \text{ for products} - \Sigma H_{\text{gas}} \text{ for reactants} $$

4. Conclusions

In this work, we investigated the kinetics and structural dynamics of the photodissociation of $C_2F_4I_2$ in cyclohexane, and compared them with those in methanol. We also compared the solvent dependence of the overall photodissociation dynamics of $C_2F_4I_2$ and its defluorinated homolog, $C_2H_4I_2$, from previous works (Figure 6). This comparative investigation revealed that fluorination greatly affects the solvent dependence and overall reaction pathways, and the molecular structure of the reaction intermediates ($C_2F_4\cdot$ and $C_2H_4\cdot$ radicals). The quite different structures of $C_2H_4\cdot$ and $C_2F_4\cdot$ radicals, and the resulting difference in the permanent dipole moments, account for the observed difference, underscoring the influence of fluorination in chemistry. Moreover, it was confirmed that the nongeminate recombination rate to form I$_2$ is slower in cyclohexane than in methanol.

In this work, we also emphasized the practical usefulness of the experimentally determined molecular structures by showing that they can be used to evaluate the accuracy of DFT calculations performed with various combinations of functionals and basis sets. This study demonstrates that TRXL is a powerful technique to study solvent dependence in the solution phase. Further studies for a wider range of molecules and reactions could open the door for the better understanding and control of solution-phase reactions.
Figure 6. Comparison of photodissociation dynamics of (a) C₂F₄I₂ and (b) C₂H₄I₂ in cyclohexane and methanol. Kinetic parameters in cyclohexane and methanol are shown in red and blue, respectively. While the reaction pathways and the associated kinetics of C₂H₄I₂ photodissociation substantially vary depending on the solvent, the photodissociation of C₂F₄I₂ did not exhibit such strong dependence. For both C₂F₄I₂ and C₂H₄I₂, the structures of the reaction intermediates did not change much with the change in solvent.
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