Social, health, and working conditions among hospital workers

Condições sociais, de saúde e de trabalho entre trabalhadores de serviço hospitalar

Condiciones sociales, de salud y laboral de los trabajadores de servicios hospitalares

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To compare social, health and working conditions among nursing, nutrition and hospital cleaning service workers. Methods: A quantitative cross-sectional, descriptive and correlational study carried out in a public hospital in the countryside of São Paulo, including workers from nutrition, cleaning and nursing services. Data collection occurred during working hours. Validated questionnaires and Karasek’s Demand-Control model were used to assess psychosocial dimensions and the Self-Reporting Questionnaire-20 to measure the common mental disorder. As dependent variable, the groups professionals and chi-square test were used for associations with p≥ 0.05. Results: 227 workers participated. Positive associations were found between professional groups and socioeconomic, health and work characteristics. Conclusions: Social, health, and working conditions differ between the professional groups studied.
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RESUMO

Objetivos: comparar as condições sociais, de saúde e de trabalho entre trabalhadores das equipes de enfermagem, nutrição e serviço de limpeza hospitalar. Métodos: estudo quantitativo de caráter transversal, descritivo e correlacional realizado em um hospital público no interior paulista incluindo trabalhadores dos serviços de nutrition, limpeza e enfermagem. A coleta de dados ocorreu durante o horário de trabalho. Foram empregados questionários validados e o modelo Demanda-Controle, de Karasek, para avaliar as dimensões psicosociais e o Self-Reporting Questionnaire-20 para mensurar o transtorno mental comum. Como variável dependente, utilizaram-se os grupos trabalhadores e o Teste Qui-Quadrado para associações com p< 0,05. Resultados: participaram 227 trabalhadores. Foram encontradas associações positivas entre os grupos trabalhadores e as características socioeconômicas, de saúde e de trabalho. Conclusões: as condições sociais, de saúde e de trabalho diferem entre os grupos trabalhadores estudados.

Descritores: Saúde do Trabalhador; Serviço Hospitalar de Enfermagem; Serviço de Limpieza; Recursos Humanos em Saúde; Trabalho.

RESUMEN

Objetivos: comparar las condiciones sociales, de salud y laborales de los trabajadores de los servicios de enfermería, nutrición y limpieza hospitalaria. Métodos: estudio cuantitativo, transversal, descriptivo y correlacional, realizado en un hospital público del interior de São Paulo, que incluyó a trabajadores de los servicios de nutrición, limpieza y enfermería. La recopilación de datos se realizó durante el horario laboral. Se utilizaron cuestionarios validados y el modelo Demand-Control de Karasek para evaluar las dimensiones psicosociales y el Self-Reporting Questionnaire-20 para medir el trastorno mental común. Como variable dependiente se utilizaron grupos profesionales y la prueba de chi-cuadrado para asociaciones con p ≥ 0,05. Resultados: participaron 227 trabajadores. Se encontraron asociaciones positivas entre los grupos profesionales y características socioeconómicas, de salud y laborales. Conclusiones: las condiciones sociales, sanitarias y laborales difieren entre los grupos profesionales estudiados.

Descritores: Salud Laboral; Servicio de Enfermería en Hospital; Servicio de Limpieza; Recursos Humanos en Salud; Trabajo.
INTRODUCTION

The most radical changes in the world of work occurred in the period of industrialization through the different forms of management, in an attempt to increase productivity, with a view to the concomitant strengthening of capitalism[10]. Nowadays, workers are conditioned to strenuous jobs, afraid of losing their jobs[12]. Moreover, outsourcing has brought some instabilities such as the fragility of the relationship, which contributes to the worsening of working conditions[10].

The relationship between the health-disease process and work is complex and depends on multiple factors that have to do with individual values and purposes and how he reacts and copes with the situations to which he is exposed[11]. It results, therefore, from the intersection between internal characteristics, the socially constructed ones, working conditions and coping management[12].

Health work has its specificity in the relational aspect, and depending on the way in which the organization takes place, allowing or not the participation of workers, which leads to the production of live or dead work. Dead work is performed rigidly with time control, in line with production lines, while live work is creative, allowing workers, using their potential and creativity, to concretize the objectives of their work[8].

Therefore, depending on how the work is organized, both physical and mental health may be compromised. The deficient or absent interpersonal relationship between workers and between managers and workers compromises mental health, and accidents at work or occupational diseases are related to impaired physical health[9].

Although all working groups are exposed to a greater or lesser degree to pleasure and suffering, some are more at risk of falling ill in the context of work, as is the case in the hospital area. It is a space covered with singularities, which encompasses a complex organization composed of different groups of workers and knowledge[8-7]. It is an environment that offers several risks to workers’ health, being considered “unhealthy and dangerous”[9]. One of the risk factors that has contributed as one of the main causes of morbidity among hospital workers is the psychosocial[8].

In this context, nursing (NS), nutrition (NUS), hygiene and cleaning (HCS) services should be highlighted. NS does not always have enough qualitative and quantitative workers to meet the demands of care, being exposed to work overload with little effective participation in issues that include decisions and interferences in the service organization, compromising their empowerment[6-7]. Similarly, NUS is composed of workers with different levels of education, most of whom have no specific training. It is a job that requires prompt service and is related to complaints of joint pain, repetitive tasks, physical effort, noise, temperature, long standing, lack of definition of tasks, among other factors[7-8].

In this setting, HCS, which has little social recognition and low remuneration, is relevant. Outsourcing, practiced in several countries, has been increasing the precariousness of working conditions[10], and the cleaning service, since it is a medium activity, that is, one that is not inherent to the main objective of the institution, has been focus of this type of contract mainly in the hospital area.

Based on the hypothesis that health, living and working conditions differ among hospital workers, especially among HCS professionals, when compared to those from NUS and NS, due to the fact that they perform work with less social and through an outsourced contract.

OBJECTIVES

To compare social, health and working conditions among workers from nursing, nutrition and hospital cleaning services.

METHODS

Ethical aspects

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee and by the Research Center of the Hospital under study, in accordance with the recommendations of Resolution 446/2012 of the Brazilian National Health Council (Conselho Nacional de Saúde). Participants invited to participate in the study read and signed the Informed Consent Form.

Study design, place, and period

This is a quantitative, cross-sectional, descriptive and correlational study carried out in a public teaching hospital in the countryside of São Paulo, a regional reference for high complexity with 318 beds. The study took place from August 2015 to December 2016.

Population, sample, inclusion and exclusion criteria

The study population has 582 workers from NS, 78 from NUS and 94 from HCS, the first two of whom are hired by CLT (Consolidation of Labor Laws) and HCS through an outsourced company. The sample was stratified proportionally. A calculation was performed to define the sample only for NS in order to ensure proportionality in relation to the other professional groups. A prevalence of 50% was defined, with a margin of error of 5% and 95% confidence, corrected by the finite population correction. A stratification was performed to define the sample only for NS in order to ensure proportionality in relation to the other professional groups. A prevalence of 50% was defined, with a margin of error of 5% and 95% confidence, corrected by the finite population correction. A sample of 268 participants was obtained, and this sample was obtained for convenience among those who agreed to participate, in an equal number for each work shift. Included were workers from NS, NUS with an open-ended employment contract and outsourced HCS, all of whom had more than six months at the institution. Workers from these teams who were in administrative functions or who were absent at the time of data collection were excluded. From NUS, 22 refused to participate and 03 were on sick leave. Among HCS professionals, 04 were on sick leave and 12 did not accept to participate in the research. Therefore, 227 professionals were interviewed.

Study protocol

Data collection took place in the morning, afternoon and evening working hours individually and privately. The printed instrument was delivered to the participants, and the main investigator remained on
site to collect the completed questionnaire and support participants, if necessary. Filling it took an average of 30 minutes. A questionnaire was made up of open and closed questions: a) identification: age, sex, marital status, skin color and education; b) socioeconomic data: individual monthly income supported by the current minimum wage of R $ 937.00 (nine hundred and thirty-seven reais), grouped domestic activities (I do the least part/share and do the most part) and, finally, Economic Classification Brazil Criterium (CEB – Critério de Classificação Econômica Brasil), adopted to classify the population into socioeconomic strata in Class A, B1, B2, C1, C2 and D-E; c) lifestyle: cigarette consumption (yes/no), leisure being able to obtain more than one answer, physical activities measured through the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) being active (> 150 minutes/week with at least moderate activity), non-active (<150 minutes/week, considering activities performed at work as well)(12) and participation in conflicts involving family members or co-workers grouped, rarely or frequently(13); d) health conditions: reported health problems (assessed by means of a list of diseases with more than one answer), regular use of medications (yes/no), presence of common mental disorders (CMD) assessed from the Self-Report Questionnaire-20 (SRQ-20), (translated and validated for use in Brazil by Mari and Williams(14) with twenty dichotomous responses (yes/no). The cut-off point for the identification of CMD was six or more positive responses for men and eight or more for women(15-17); and Body Mass Index (BMI) based on the reported weight and height, later classified as obese with BMI greater than or equal to 30. Self-perceived health status was collected by asking: in general, compared to people your age, how do you consider your state of health? The answers were very bad/bad/regular, good/very good, being grouped in negative and positive, respectively; e) working conditions: time, working period, overtime at the institution, having another job, occupational accidents at the institution (yes/no) and type of accident(16-17). The psychosocial aspects of work were verified through the Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ). A version translated and validated into Portuguese by Araújo and Karasek(18), which assesses psychological demand and control over work, in addition to the reference service had a higher percentage regarding the frequency of interruption of their work and the need to wait for the completion of other workers’ tasks to carry out their work. The physical demand is made up of physical effort, speed, excessive amount of tasks and physically uncomfortable position in carrying out the tasks. The “control” dimension comprises the use of skills, makes it possible to learn new things, creativity, repetitiveness, variety of tasks, individual special skills and decision-making authority, influences both with its group of workers and in the institution’s management policy(16-18). The sum of the items related to the demand and the controller was performed according to the recommended model(19). For dichotomization of control (low/ high) and demand (low/high), a cut-off point was established in the mean, which, in this study, was 31 for both, according to the Job Content Questionnaire User’s Guide recommendations(19-20). Indicators were built from the grouping of variables in the questionnaire, as proposed by Karasek, which consider occupational stress when demands at work exceed the response conditions of workers and the level of control available(16-23). The results of the JCQ allow us to constitute four different situations that involve work: 1) high demand: it comprises high demand and low control; 2) active work: it comprises high demand and high control; 3) passive work: it comprises low demand and low control; 4) low demand: composed of low demand and high control(12-21). These four situations were grouped into a single variable.

Analysis of results, and statistics

For data organization and analysis, a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) version 23.0 for Windows, respectively, were used. Descriptive analyzes of absolute (n) and relative (%) and inferential frequencies of the variables were performed. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed to verify the normal distribution of data. To test the association between categorical variables, Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was used. Professional groups were used as the dependent variable and the other variables as independent. The level of significance adopted was 5%.

RESULTS

The studied sample had 227 participants [42% of NS and of these (63%) were nursing technicians, 53 (23.3%) from NUS and 34.4% from HCS].

There were significant differences between the professional groups in terms of age over 50 years, with NS in a smaller proportion; male gender, to a lesser extent in NUS; living with a partner, in a higher percentage in NS; brown or black skin color and low education, prevailing among HCS professionals. In relation to the socioeconomic profile, in the comparative analysis between the three professional groups, the differences in relation to individual income were significant, since nurses have a higher income, they belong to socioeconomic classes A, B1 and B2 and perform less domestic activity compared to other groups. Domestic activities are performed mostly by HCS workers who belong to socioeconomic classes C1, C2, D-E. NUS is presented with most workers earning up to two current minimum wages and more than 30% are in the social classes C1, C2, D-E, this proportion being even higher among HCS workers (Table 1).

As for life habits, there was a significant difference (p <0.05) between the groups in relation to leisure activities: resting/sleeping, NS being the one who most performs them, and HCS has less participation, especially in relation to resting/sleeping, going to bars, church and traveling. Nursing had a higher percentage of non-active people in relation to physical activity, and the nutrition service had a higher percentage regarding the frequency of involvement in conflict (Table 2).

Among the reported health problems, osteoarticular problems appeared in a greater proportion among HCS workers, and irritability, in the NS workers group. Higher prevalence of diabetes and obesity was observed among NUS workers (Table 3).
Table 1 - Demographic and socioeconomic variables of workers in nursing, nutrition and cleaning teams. Absolute frequencies (n), percentage (%) and p value, Bauru, São Paulo, Brazil, 2016

| Variables                      | Nursing n=96 (%) | Nutrition n=53 (%) | Cleaning n=78 (%) | p value |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Age                           |                  |                    |                   |         |
| 18 to 35 years old            | 41 (42.7)        | 16 (30.2)          | 23 (29.5)         | 0.001   |
| 36 to 50 years old            | 53 (55.2)        | 25 (47.2)          | 39 (50.0)         |         |
| > 50 years old                | 2 (2.1)          | 12 (22.6)          | 16 (20.5)         |         |
| Sex                           |                  |                    |                   |         |
| Man                           | 17 (17.7)        | 3 (5.7)            | 16 (20.5)         | 0.04    |
| Woman                         | 79 (82.3)        | 50 (94.3)          | 62 (79.5)         |         |
| Marital status                |                  |                    |                   |         |
| Living with a partner         | 68 (70.8)        | 34 (64.1)          | 53 (67.9)         | 0.001   |
| Skin color                    |                  |                    |                   | 0.001   |
| White                         | 79 (82.3)        | 40 (75.5)          | 35 (44.9)         |         |
| Brown and black               | 17 (17.7)        | 13 (24.5)          | 43 (55.1)         |         |
| Education                     |                  |                    |                   | 0.001   |
| Incomplete elementary school  | 0 (0.0)          | 5 (9.4)            | 41 (52.6)         |         |
| Incomplete elementary/high school | 0 (0.0)   | 11 (20.7)          | 22 (28.2)         |         |
| Complete high school          | 53 (55.2)        | 25 (47.2)          | 14 (18.0)         |         |
| Complete higher education     | 43 (44.8)        | 12 (22.7)          | 1 (1.2)           |         |
| Individual income             |                  |                    |                   | 0.001   |
| Up to 2 minimum wages         | 25 (26.0)        | 42 (79.3)          | 69 (88.5)         |         |
| 3 to 5 minimum wages          | 56 (58.3)        | 10 (18.9)          | 9 (11.5)          |         |
| > 6 minimum wages             | 15 (15.6)        | 1 (1.9)            | 0 (0.0)           |         |
| Household activities          |                  |                    |                   | 0.01    |
| Shares/smallest part          | 46 (47.9)        | 15 (28.3)          | 20 (25.6)         |         |
| Does the most part            | 50 (52.1)        | 38 (71.7)          | 58 (74.4)         |         |
| Socioeconomic classification  |                  |                    |                   | 0.02    |
| A and B1                      | 30 (31.2)        | 16 (30.2)          | 13 (16.7)         |         |
| B2                            | 38 (39.6)        | 17 (32.1)          | 21 (26.9)         |         |
| C1, C2                        | 21 (21.9)        | 14 (26.4)          | 36 (46.1)         |         |
| D-E                           | 7 (7.3)          | 6 (11.3)           | 8 (10.3)          |         |

Table 2 - Lifestyles of nursing, nutrition and cleaning service workers. Absolute frequencies (n), percentage (%) and p value, Bauru, São Paulo, Brazil, 2016

| Variables                      | Nursing n=96 (%) | Nutrition n=53 (%) | Cleaning n=78 (%) | p value |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Smoking                       | 7 (7.3)          | 10 (18.9)          | 11 (14.1)         | 0.10    |
| Leisure (yes)                 |                  |                    |                   |         |
| Resting/sleeping              | 56 (58.3)        | 29 (54.7)          | 29 (37.2)         | 0.02    |
| Clubs                         | 7 (7.2)          | 1 (2.0)            | 1 (1.3)           | 0.10    |
| Bars                          | 20 (20.8)        | 7 (13.2)           | 3 (3.8)           | 0.01    |
| Travelling                    | 16 (16.6)        | 6 (11.3)           | 1 (1.3)           | 0.001   |
| Physical activity             |                  |                    |                   | 0.001   |
| Active                        | 70 (72.9)        | 48 (90.6)          | 74 (94.9)         |         |
| Inactive                      | 26 (27.1)        | 5 (9.4)            | 4 (5.1)           |         |
| Involving with conflicts      |                  |                    |                   | 0.001   |
| Often                         | 62 (64.6)        | 37 (69.8)          | 32 (41.0)         |         |
| Rarely                        | 34 (35.4)        | 16 (30.2)          | 46 (59.0)         |         |

Table 3 - Health conditions of nursing, nutrition and cleaning service workers. Absolute frequencies (n), percentage (%) and p value, Bauru, São Paulo, Brazil, 2016

| Variables                      | Nursing n=96 (%) | Nutrition n=53 (%) | Cleaning n=78 (%) | p value |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Referred health problems      |                  |                    |                   |         |
| Vision deficit                | 31 (32.3)        | 21 (39.6)          | 24 (31.0)         | 0.54    |
| Muscle pain                   | 45 (46.9)        | 33 (62.3)          | 40 (51.3)         | 0.20    |
| High pressure                 | 13 (13.5)        | 11 (26.8)          | 17 (21.8)         | 0.31    |
| Osteoarticular problems       | 17 (17.7)        | 18 (34.0)          | 31 (39.7)         | 0.01    |
| Difficulty sleeping           | 26 (27.1)        | 9 (17.0)           | 15 (19.2)         | 0.28    |
| Hearing problems              | 4 (4.1)          | 3 (5.7)            | 5 (6.4)           | 0.75    |

To be continued
| Variables                     | Nursing n=96 (%) | Nutrition n=53 (%) | Cleaning n=78 (%) | p value |
|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Swelling legs                | 18 (18.8)        | 19 (35.8)          | 21 (27.0)         | 0.07    |
| Allergies                    | 16 (16.6)        | 15 (28.3)          | 12 (15.3)         | 0.14    |
| Irritability                 | 28 (29.2)        | 9 (17.0)           | 11 (15.5)         | 0.02    |
| Anxiety                      | 34 (35.4)        | 26 (49.0)          | 25 (32.0)         | 0.12    |
| Heart problems               | 4 (4.2)          | 6 (11.3)           | 5 (6.4)           | 0.24    |
| Diabetes                     | 1 (1.4)          | 5 (9.4)            | 5 (6.4)           | 0.04    |
| Backache                     | 35 (36.5)        | 20 (37.7)          | 35 (44.9)         | 0.50    |
| Regular use of medication    |                  |                    |                   | 0.80    |
| Yes                          | 56 (58.3)        | 33 (62.3)          | 44 (56.4)         |         |
| Common mental disorder       | 17 (17.7)        | 15 (28.3)          | 20 (25.6)         | 0.27    |
| Body Mass Index              | 23 (24)          | 23 (43.4)          | 18 (23.1)         | 0.02    |
| Obese                        |                  |                    |                   |         |
| Self-perceived health        |                  |                    |                   | 0.12    |
| Negative (very bad/bad/regular) | 17 (17.7)  | 17 (32.1)          | 16 (20.5)         |         |
| Positive (good/very good)    | 79 (82.3)        | 36 (67.9)          | 62 (79.5)         |         |

**Table 4 - Work characteristics of nursing, nutrition and cleaning service workers. Absolute frequencies (n), percentage (%) and p value, Bauru, São Paulo, Brazil, 2016**

| Variables                     | Nursing n=96 (%) | Nutrition n=53 (%) | Cleaning n=78 (%) | p value |
|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Job tenure                   |                  |                    |                   | 0.001   |
| Up to 5 years                | 34 (35.4)        | 36 (68.0)          | 64 (82.1)         |         |
| 6 to 10 years                | 24 (25.0)        | 8 (15.1)           | 9 (11.5)          |         |
| More than 10 years           | 38 (39.6)        | 9 (16.9)           | 5 (6.4)           |         |
| Working time                 |                  |                    |                   | 0.001   |
| Daytime                      | 49 (51.0)        | 39 (73.6)          | 59 (75.6)         |         |
| Night/mixed                  | 47 (49.0)        | 14 (26.4)          | 19 (24.4)         |         |
| Overtime at the hospital     |                  |                    |                   | 0.001   |
| Yes up to 5h/week            | 20 (20.8)        | 17 (32.1)          | 0 (0.0)           |         |
| No                           | 56 (58.3)        | 29 (54.7)          | 78 (100.0)        |         |
| Yes > from 5h/week           | 20 (20.8)        | 7 (13.2)           | 0 (0.0)           |         |
| Other job                    |                  |                    |                   | 0.001   |
| Yes                          | 39 (40.6)        | 7 (13.2)           | 18 (23.1)         |         |
| No                           | 57 (59.4)        | 46 (56.8)          | 60 (76.9)         |         |
| Occupational accident        |                  |                    |                   | 0.79    |
| Yes                          | 35 (36.5)        | 17 (32.1)          | 25 (32.1)         |         |
| No                           | 61 (63.5)        | 36 (67.9)          | 53 (68.0)         |         |
| Type of accident             |                  |                    |                   | 0.001   |
| Drilling                     | 24 (25.0)        | 0 (0.0)            | 5 (6.4)           |         |
| Cutting                      | 2 (2.1)          | 2 (3.8)            | 4 (5.1)           |         |
| Failing                      | 3 (3.1)          | 8 (15.1)           | 11 (14.1)         |         |
| Burning                      | 1 (1.0)          | 6 (11.3)           | 0 (0.0)           |         |
| Others                       | 5 (4.2)          | 1 (1.9)            | 5 (6.4)           |         |
| Job satisfaction             |                  |                    |                   | 0.14    |
| Very satisfied/satisfied     | 62 (64.6)        | 38 (71.7)          | 61 (78.2)         |         |
| Little/dissatisfied          | 34 (35.4)        | 15 (28.3)          | 17 (21.8)         |         |
| Physical effort              |                  |                    |                   | 0.06    |
| Low                          | 58 (60.4)        | 27 (50.9)          | 33 (42.3)         |         |
| High                         | 38 (39.6)        | 26 (49.1)          | 45 (57.7)         |         |
| Decision-making authority    |                  |                    |                   | 0.01    |
| Low                          | 33 (34.4)        | 19 (35.8)          | 44 (56.4)         |         |
| High                         | 63 (65.6)        | 34 (64.2)          | 34 (43.6)         |         |
| Psychological demand         |                  |                    |                   | 0.11    |
| High (> 31)                  | 44 (45.8)        | 24 (45.3)          | 47 (60.3)         |         |
| Low                          | 52 (54.2)        | 29 (54.7)          | 31 (39.7)         |         |
| Work control                 |                  |                    |                   | 0.01    |
| High (> 31)                  | 67 (69.8)        | 29 (54.7)          | 19 (24.4)         |         |
| Low                          | 29 (30.2)        | 24 (45.3)          | 59 (75.6)         |         |
| Social support               |                  |                    |                   | 0.33    |
| Low                          | 44 (45.8)        | 31 (58.5)          | 40 (51.2)         |         |
| High                         | 52 (54.2)        | 22 (41.5)          | 38 (48.7)         |         |
| Job insecurity               |                  |                    |                   | 0.03    |
| Low                          | 47 (49.0)        | 19 (35.8)          | 23 (29.5)         |         |
| High                         | 49 (51.0)        | 34 (64.2)          | 55 (70.5)         |         |
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require a lot of physical effort, loading and unloading of materials, people or food are related to the appearance of musculoskeletal problems. HCS encompasses activities with intense work rhythm, physical effort related to the disposal of garbage, lifting of furniture, among others, which, according to literature, has contributed to the development of occupational diseases^{15-17,24}. Further, work situations that lead to stress, such as high demands, reduced number of workers, intimidation, burden on the economy^{29,32}. Work situations that lead to stress, such as high demands, reduced number of workers, intimidation, and overweight of employees^{31}.

Greater physical effort at work, combined with domestic activities among HCS professionals, corroborates a study that found greater burden among these professionals who performed domestic services more often during the week^{17,29}. Socially, domestic service is still the responsibility of women who are responsible for the care of the family. This role is not recognized as work because it has no pay and many researches do not add this type of work when women are included in the samples for analysis of the burden.

Among NUS professionals, there was a higher percentage of diabetes and obesity. Obesity has been growing year by year among countries as an epidemic, and is recognized worldwide as a public health problem. The World Health Organization ensures that obesity and overweight are responsible for metabolic and cardiovascular complications that contribute to the reduction of life expectancy, with type II diabetes being one of the main complications^{30}. The presence of obesity among kitchen workers was verified by Boclin and Blank, when comparing BMI and complications among others, which, according to literature, has contributed to the development of occupational diseases^{15-17,24}.

Regarding the health of workers, it was identified that 22.9% had scores above the cut-off line for CMD. Brazilian studies have found percentages between 20 and 56% of CMD with a predominance of female workers, attributing this to hormonal causes and domestic overload^{24,16,32}. It is inferred that these causes may also have contributed to the results related to the higher percentage of irritability reported by the nursing team.

Anxiety and depression are important disorders, and people with low education, low socioeconomic status and black skin are more vulnerable^{24,27}. Mental disorder, whether due to anxiety, depression or some other, compromises the quality of work, since it favors the increase in the rate of absenteeism or presenteeism at work, being the main cause of early retirements in many countries and a great burden on the economy^{20,32}. Work situations that lead to stress, such as high demands, reduced number of workers, intimidation, harassment, among others, cause damage to workers’ health, generating dissatisfaction with work^{21,33-34}.

Job satisfaction is related to the degree to which workers’ needs are met in the face of work organization. Poor working conditions, such as insufficient number of workers and intense workload, lead to dissatisfaction and abandonment of the profession^{35}. In the present study, although without statistically significant differences between groups of workers, almost a third of them are dissatisfied or very dissatisfied. Possibly, this data stems from the fact that all groups are exposed to health risks and that participants are mostly women, since they are more dissatisfied with work than men^{35}.

The work was recognized among the groups differently in terms of their characteristics. In NS and NUS, workers mostly classify work as active and of low demand, which characterizes it as “live work”, as it allows workers to be creative and have autonomy^{36}, while the work developed in HCS was classified as passive and highly demanding. This type of work contributes strongly to the worker’s mental illness, since it does not allow the construction of autonomy and decision making in the exercise of their activities, being recognized as dead work^{32,33,34}. Control over work, which allows workers to use skill and decision-making authority, was greater among NS and lesser among HCS.

As for insecurity, there was a significant difference between the groups of workers in which the HCS team had a higher percentage and a lower percentage in relation to social support. Relationships with colleagues and managers in the work environment are extremely important for coping with everyday problems, directly interfering with well-being, mental health and job satisfaction. Good quality interpersonal relationships are related to clear and objective information about work, social support that collaborate positively in job satisfaction^{35}. Social support has been important because it interferes with work relationships, reducing stress and helping to cope with adversity at work. However, the lack of companionship, individualism, the lack of recognition of the potential and individual creativity at work as well as the lack of autonomy and freedom are drivers of negative feelings that compromise relationships at work^{21,33,38}. In this context, there is evidence that precarious work, focused on productivity, fragmented and stressful is associated with suicide^{38}. Underreporting and the absence of public policies aimed at preventing and identifying factors that may lead to suicide at work put workers’ health at risk^{38}.

**Study limitations**

One limitation of the study is the fact that there are different levels of training among the groups of workers mentioned, which certainly requires more detailed and in-depth studies. Furthermore, the cross-sectional design makes it difficult to verify changes in health and working conditions over time. The fact that it was carried out in a single hospital and did not consider workers already on leave or on leave due to illness may generate potential biases.

**Contributions to health**

The findings, however, highlighted the context of groups of workers who demand specific care, guiding the planning of health promotion actions that should be placed as a priority by the institution, especially by the sectors responsible for workers’ health.
CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, we sought to compare the living, working and health conditions of three groups of workers who work in the hospital, who, to a greater or lesser extent, remain in patients’ surroundings, exercising essential functions for maintaining life. When starting with sociodemographic characteristics, HCS workers are those with the lowest education and black or brown skin color.

Regarding lifestyle, practices are unfavorable to good conditions in all groups, but with significant differences between them. HCS, in comparison with the other groups of workers, has as main leisure watching television. As for physical activity, the nursing team was less active, being the one that remains sitting longer.

Concerning health problems, the nutrition team showed a greater proportion of obesity and diabetes. In the nursing team, the complaint of irritability stands out. HCS professionals manifested osteoarticular problems, which shows an association with the complaint of irritability. In the context of work should be directed according to the professional category.

In the present study, although health risk factors were confirmed in all groups studied, there was a marked precariousness when it comes to HCS professionals in terms of devaluation of the activity and outsourcing of services. This condition is even more worrying when analyzing the recent changes in labor legislation, those that expand the possibility of outsourcing and temporary work.

Thus, even though the worker is recognized as a fundamental part of the institution to achieve good quality and success in the work process, workers’ health has not received sufficient investment by the companies. The public policies created in this area have not yet brought satisfactory results, since the charges do not yet include worker health surveillance. In this regard, the number of sick professionals in need of temporary or permanent leave is growing and is not contemplated with actions that could positively contribute to their physical or mental health, increased pleasure, satisfaction and self-realization.
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