INTRODUCTION

CSOM is a common disease in ENT practice both in adults as well as in children. It is broadly divided into two, mucosal and squamous types. Squamous type of CSOM is commonly associated with cholesteatoma and granulation tissues.1 Modified radical mastoidectomy is the surgical procedure in which disease is cleared from mastoid air cell system and at the same time hearing rehabilitation is attempted. This is a canal wall down (CWD) procedure where mastoid antrum is communicated to external auditory canal.2 This procedure is quite commonly performed in pediatric otology practice.2

Squamous CSOM presenting with cholesteatoma or granulation tissues needs surgical management. Delay in the operation to create space in the ear canal and mastoid can eventually leads to the emergence of complications such as hearing impairment, disturbance in balance and even facial paralysis.3 Many studies have documented that children are affected more by cholesteatoma in their ears. The Smelly discharge from ear is the main symptom of this problem. Worldwide 9 persons in each 100,000 individuals are living with this condition. However, number of cases undergoing surgery is quite few.4

Children undergoing Modified Radical Mastoidectomy (MRM) are usually cured and get their ears dry. However some children reported post-operative cavity problems.5 About 20-25% children reported post MRM cavity problems especially persistent or recurrent discharge.6

Properly performed MRM allows tremendous ease in visualization of mastoid cavity and help in self-cleansing of debris. Also thorough inspection is done through meatus in the follow up period in the outpatient setting and second look surgery is usually not required.7

On the other hand, CWD mastoidectomies are associated with some disadvantages. The most common problems of the procedure are accumulation of keratin debris and need for frequent cleaning, higher
susceptibility to infection with water exposure, risk of sudden dizziness associated with change of temperature in the external auditory canal and hearing aid discomfort.8

Sometimes very large mastoid cavity formed after clearing the disease is troubling the patient and cavity obliteration or even redo surgery is needed in such large mastoid cavities with inadequate meatoplasty.9,10

Due to insufficient evidence in local literature we conducted this study to generate evidence based knowledge in this important segment of pediatric otological practice. This study will help to increase the level of understanding of our local practitioners and shall enable them to manage children presenting with squamous type of CSOM more efficiently.

**METHODOLOGY**

This study was conducted at the department of ENT Head & Neck Surgery at Lady Reading Hospital MTI, Peshawar Pakistan, from January 2015 to December 2019. Sample size was calculated by WHO sample size calculator by using study of Khan et al.15

After approval from the hospital ethics review committee and written informed consent from relatives of potential participants, patients were entered according to inclusion and exclusion criteria.

**Inclusion Criteria**: Children of age 15 and below with cavity problems of persistent and recurrent ear discharge needed treatment.

**Exclusion Criteria**: Those patients who were lost to follow up during study.

Non probability consecutive sampling technique was used to collect the data. A detail history especially of ear discharge and clinical examination including otoscopy, examination under microscope was carried out in all cases. High resolution CT scan was obtained to confirm the findings. All surgeries were performed by qualified ENT consultants.

Out of the total 234 patients operated for modified radical mastoidectomy 54 had cavity problems. All patients presented to ENT Unit through OPD (38), emergency (7), Pediatric unit (3) and referred from other hospitals (6). All patients were diagnosed as CSOM atticointernal disease by Otoscopy and Microscopy.

After surgery the patients were followed and mastoid cavities inspected after wound healing initially at 1 month and later after interval of 3, 6 and 12 months. The patients were inspected by otoendoscopy and microscopy. Mastoid cavities were especially examined for discharge, debris and persistence of disease i.e., cholesteatoma or granulation tissues. The data was collected on a pre-structured proforma. SPSS-22 was used for data analysis. Mean ± SD was calculated for numerical variables like age. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for categorical variables like gender, discharge and recurrence.

**RESULTS**

There were total 234 patients operated for active squamous disease and cholesteatoma with modified radical mastoidectomy. Cavity problems were found in 54 patients that come to about 23.1%.

There were 53.7% male and 46.3% female. Age wise 53.7% were between 11and 15 years, 35.2% were between 6 and 10 years and 11.1% were <5 years.

All the patients presented themselves to the ENT department through the following channels: OPD (70.4%), emergency (13%), pediatric unit (5.6%) and referred from other hospitals (11.1%). Factors causing discharge were reported as follows: surgery related problems (38.9%), granulation tissue formation (22.2%) infection in the nasopharynx (13%), impacted debris/wax (13%), auditus block (7.4%) and residual cholesteatoma (5.6%).

There were no surgery related problems in 61.1%. For remaining causes were; high facial ridge (22.2%), improper meatoplasty (9.3%), not properly saucered mastoid cavity (3.7%) and extremely large mastoid cavity (3.7%).

**Table-I: Surgery related problems.**

| Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------|------------|
| High facial ridge | 12 | 22.2 |
| Improper meatoplasty | 5 | 9.3 |
| Not properly saucered mastoid cavity | 2 | 3.7 |
| Extremely large mastoid cavity | 2 | 3.7 |
| None | 33 | 61.1 |
| Total | 54 | 100 |

**Table-II: Indication for repeat mastoidectomy.**

| Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------|------------|
| High facial ridge | 9 | 16.7 |
| Recurrent/residual cholesteatoma | 3 | 5.6 |
| Improper meatoplasty | 4 | 7.4 |
| Granulations tissue formation | 3 | 5.6 |
| Auditus block | 2 | 3.7 |
| None | 33 | 61.1 |
| Total | 54 | 100 |

**Table-III: Treatment outcome.**

| Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------|------------|
| Repeat Mastoidectomy | 21 | 38.9 |
| Granulations Tissues Excision | 20 | 37 |
| Treatment Satisfactory | 13 | 24.1 |
| Total | 54 | 100 |
Indications for repeat mastoidectomy were found to be as follows: There were none in 61.1% patients indicating that repeat mastoidectomy was not needed. For the remaining high facial ridge (16.7%), improper meatoplasty (7.4%), recurrent/residual cholesteatoma (5.6%), Granulations tissue formation (5.6%) and Ausitus block (3.7%).

Treatment outcome was satisfactory in 24.1% cases. Rest was as follows: repeat mastoidectmy (38.9%) and granulations tissues excision (37%).

In the model summary the value of R (0.905), R2 (0.819) and adjusted R2 (0.809) indicate that the independent variables support the dependent variable up to the extent of 90%, 81.9% and 80.9%. The Durbin Watson value of 0.729 indicate that there is a strong positive relationship between independent variables (Indications for repeat mastoidectomy, Factors causing discharge, Surgery related problems) and dependent variable of treatment outcome. The zero sig or p-value indicates that the result is significant.

**DISCUSSION**

MRM is the procedure to resolve problems of inner ear, by eliminating the disease and rehabilitating the hearing mechanism. This is the procedure of choice in terms of outcomes. But certain important problems are associated with the procedure especially when not done properly. This can lead to persistent discharge. These include not eradicating the disease from the difficult areas like sinus tympani, not properly lowering down of facial ridge due to fear of injury to the nerve and narrow meatoplasty. In most of the cases the cavity is properly managed postoperatively and self-cleansing is easy not allowing debris to accumulate.

Paediatric MRM is a difficult procedure because of highly pneumatized mastoid ear cell system with extensive cholesteatoma spread. Cholesteatoma is more aggressive in children than in adults, spread very fast and becomes worse by superinfection. In this situation there is high chance of leaving behind the cholesteatoma which may be the reason of recurrence or residual disease.

In this study the cavity problems were found in 23.1%. Our findings correlate with findings by some other studied in which the author mentioned the incidence 20-25%. Kos et al, reported 30% cavity problems and another local study by Khan et al, confirmed that the incidence of cavity problem is 26.6%. In 38.9% patient repeat mastoidectomy was also mentioned by some other authors. The age group most affected in our study was 11-15 years (53.7%) followed by 6-10 years (35.2%). These observations are consistent with those of the studies of Vartianen, and Rajan et al.

Post operatively mastoid cavity is usually healed and epithelialization occurs in few weeks’ time. Nearly 20% of patients experience the cavity issue in children. In children, 75% experience otitis media. It has been found that despite the fact that the problem is related to children but most of the patients came through OPD (70.4%). There were no surgery related problems in 61.1% patients. Treatment outcome was satisfactory in 24.1% cases. The independent variables (Indications for repeat mastoidectomy, Factors causing discharge, Surgery related problems) support the dependent variable treatment outcome. The sig/p-value was zero therefore the result was significant.

The result concludes that in post modified radical mastoidectomy in pediatric population 24.1% patients
the treatment was satisfactory. For the rest repeat mastoidectomy was done in most of the patients.
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LIMITATION OF STUDY

The study follow up period is not sufficient as the occurrence of complications may happen late. In future a big cohort to be followed for longer period is required to get optimum results.

CONCLUSION

Modified radical mastoidectomy is a surgical procedure with good outcome in terms of eradicating cholesteatoma. The procedure carries the risk of recurrent discharge as a potential problem especially in children. This problem can be eliminated or minimized by giving attention to removal of the disease from sinus tympani area, properly lowering the facial ridge and making meatoplasty of optimum size. The cavity must be rounded and smoothly contoured with no overhanging ridges and low facial ridge in order to allow migration of epithelium.
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