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Abstract

Background: District-based public–private mix (DPPM) is a variant of a relatively new PPM strategy of addressing missing cases in the tuberculosis (TB) care cascade in Indonesia. This research aims to analyze the readiness stakeholders' engagement in the context of initiating the implementation of DPPM.

Methods: The research design was sequential exploratory mixed methods. A qualitative study in the first stage was carried out through participant observation, in-depth interviews and study documents. Data were analyzed through coding, categorizing, pattern matching and theorizing. The second stage was a survey conducted using instruments built in stage I. Data were analyzed using Rasch modeling and logistic regression.

Results: District TB case detection rates (CDRs) has improved from 35% (2018) to 104% (2019). The contribution of private hospitals has increased considerably. However, there were none or minimal from the private primary healthcare facilities. The substantive theory generated indicates that awareness and concern of the TB problem, TB program comprehension and involvement, and institutional support are behind the readiness of private facilities to engage the TB program (the readiness to engage). The measurement results indicate the significant correlation of all dimensions on readiness to engage. Concern of the TB problem and institutional support are variables that influence readiness to engage (p < 0.05).

Conclusions: Engaging private and public facility stakeholders is a challenge for local government. Intervention is through a personalized approach and encourages institutional support of health facilities for the TB program. Private sector engagement is a process that must be managed and not allowed to naturally happen.

Background

Indonesia, with the fourth largest population, in the world is ranked as the second of the eight countries that contribute to global tuberculosis. Those countries are India (26%), Indonesia (8.5%), China (8.4%), Philippines (6%), Pakistan (5.7%), Nigeria (4.4%), Bangladesh (3.6%) and South Africa (3.6%) [1, 2]. TB is one of the top 10 causes of death in the world [2].

The covid-19 pandemic situation, where the focus of attention is, has resulted in the neglected of the tuberculosis control program in Indonesia. This should be of the government's serious concern and attention. Tuberculosis control is an essential service that must not be abandoned [3].

The World Health Organization (WHO) global policy for TB control is to involve all service providers through a Public–Private Mix (PPM) approach [4]. This policy has also been adopted by the Government of Indonesia. Research evidence in India and Myanmar indicates that PPM strengthens TB care and control [5, 6]. However, according to Wells et al. and Lei et al., the PPM TB approach is considered to be ineffective because it is very contextual, especially for financing and governance issues [7, 8].
The PPM TB approach in Indonesia has not yielded good results. According to WHO, Indonesia is one of the countries with CDR under the 70% target [9]. One of the causes of the low CDR is the under-reporting of diagnosed TB cases, especially by the private sector [9]. These results are in line with the findings of Reviono et al., who stated that the CDR in Central Java Province of Indonesia before the implementation of PPM was very low. After the implementation of PPM, CDR has increased but did not reach the target, as it was still below 60% [10]. PPM implementation is based on not only the number of facilities involved but also other factors such as cessation of TB funding and staff’s tours of duty[10].

Missing TB cases in Indonesia remain high. This picture can be seen from the results of a TB inventory study in 2017, which found that there were 310,000 TB cases (44%) that had not been reported and 310,000 cases (30.4%) that had not been detected [11]. The research conducted by Febriyeni et al. had almost the same results as those of an inventory study in which the most missing TB cases occurred in private services compared to government [12]. The method used for analysis is the patient care cascade (PCC) with an onion model approach. There were 71 cases of TB underdiagnosis, mostly in government services, and 25 cases of under-reporting, mostly in private services [12]. The low achievement of CDR and TB missing cases in Indonesia is likely due to low engagement of private health services.

In a decentralized government system such as Indonesia, the responsibility for the health sector is delegated to districts/cities. In 2016, the Indonesian government renewed the strategy through the District-based Public–Private Mix (DPPM) [13]. DPPM is a tuberculosis service network in one district/city that involves all public and private health facilities coordinated by the District/City Health Office (DHO) [14]. The aim of the DPPM is to drive all healthcare facilities that handle TB to participate in the network, so that all TB patients can be found and treated according to standards and recorded in the national TB program information system [14]. One form of DPPM activity is the network of public and private health service facilities.

In 2020, the implementation of the DPPM was far from satisfaction. The new implementation guidelines were published in 2019, even though the DPPM policy was set in 2016. As a result, the cities/districts have not been able to implement the policy. In addition, the Covid-19 pandemic has disrupted all efforts, so that all programs and activities have been so far hampered and have not been able to run.

Stakeholders’ engagement is the key to the realization of a service network within DPPM. Key stakeholders are health personnel involved in TB services, both in the private and public health services. This research aims to analyze the readiness of stakeholders’ engagement in the context of initiating the implementation of DPPM.

Methods

The district of Purwakarta, the research site, has initiated the implementation of DPPM by gathering stakeholders in a series of meetings. Researchers support DHO in managing change by providing assistance, facilitating meetings and formulating DPPM according to local conditions. Activities were
carried out just before the Covid-19 pandemic occurred. This research is the initial stage of action research on the development of the DPPM implementation model.

**Study Context**

This research was conducted in a district on the island of Java with a population of nearly 1 million. Public health facilities consist of 1 District General Hospital and 20 public Primary Health Care (PHC) clinics, namely *Puskesmas*, which have work areas' responsibility in each subdistrict. Private health facilities are much more numerous, consisting of private hospitals (11), private clinics (92) and independent practicing doctors or private physicians (57) and private pharmacies (67).

**Study design**

The research design was mixed methods with sequential exploratory mixed methods [15] and pragmatism paradigm [16]. Phase 1 is a qualitative study using the grounded theory approach [17]. The resulting substantive theory is used to develop quantitative research instruments. In phase 2, a quantitative study, measurements were carried out using the survey method. This design is used to understand the problem more deeply, so that a DPPM implementation strategy can be developed according to the circumstances.

The study population was healthcare professionals working in public and private healthcare facilities. They are doctors, nurses, midwives and program holders as seen in Table 1. Their functions include a person in charge of medical, a program manager and an institutional owner. Research subjects in qualitative research were selected purposively and successfully recruited 60 respondents for in depth interview and 44 respondents for FGD. Quantitative research was collected from 31 respondents by non probability sampling.
Data collection

The study document was obtained from DHO's tuberculosis report. Participant observation is a major tool in the stage of qualitative research. Researchers have the opportunity to assist the initiation of the implementation of DPPM. Observations and experiences during a facilitation meeting are part of the qualitative data source. In-depth interviews were conducted on research subjects by trained researchers and research assistants. In depth interviews were conducted on research subjects by 20 trained researchers and research assistants. Based on in-depth interviews, participant observation, and FGD a research instrument was built. Then, by using this instrument, variables in the quantitative subject group were measured. Quantitative data were collected from respondents who were gathered in a meeting.

Data Analysis

Transcription was processed through a reduction, and the coding and categorizing processes were then carried out. Six categories were generated, and pattern matching was carried out to produce a substantive theory [18]. Trustworthiness was obtained through triangulation of sources (informants) and methods and peer debriefing. Based on the substantive theory, a research instrument was built consisting of 6 dimensions with 31 items. Each dimension of this instrument consisted of 3 to 8 items applying the Lijkert scale.

The research instrument or questionnaire was then used to collect quantitative data through a survey from 31 stakeholders. Quantitative data, which were ordinal, then were transformed using Rasch modeling [19] into numerical data on the six variables. The reliability of the instrument was 0.91; separation, 3.25;
Cronbach's alpha, 0.86. Raw variance was explained by measure, 41.4%; unexplained variance in the first contrast was 15.7% (eigen value: 5.33). Then, the correlation test and linear regression analysis were carried out between the independent variables, namely: awareness, comprehension, concern, involvement and institutional support, and the dependent variable, namely readiness to engage.

**Ethical consideration**

In both qualitative and quantitative research, written informed consent is obtained from the subject.

**Results**

**The problem.**

Case detection rate (CDR) of the district has increase from 35% (2018) to 104.42% in the year of 2019. Private hospitals contribute 3 times as much as public hospitals. So, both hospital could achieve more than a half of district target. The contribution of private hospitals is relatively high and has changed positively from the previous year. This is a major advance in the public-private mix.

Achievements in 2020, during the pandemic, appear to be decreasing, but records are not complete until the end of the year, meanwhile it cannot be concluded. The achievement of CDR in the sub-district (under *Puskesmas* responsibility) was almost half of district target on average as can be seen in [Figure 1](#). However, there is almost no contribution from primary PHC facilities.

Hospital outreach to TB cases is very limited as a result of its function, namely a referral facility. On the other hand, PHCs (public or private) are actually closer to the community. The performance of PHC in TB case outreach can be further encouraged in increasing access for TB sufferers to health facilities.

As stated above, Public PHCs (*Puskesma*s) contributed almost half of the district's CDR achievement. Notably, in this pandemic situation, 30% of the sub-districts have increased the CDR, whereas others' CDR of this Public PHCs has drastically dropped. The assumptions that arise from program holders are population factors and turnover of subdistrict case managers. This phenomenon supports the suspicion that many TB suspects do not have access to healthcare services. By contrast, the Covid-19 pandemic situation has affected the TB control program. Case detection and reporting activities from private hospitals are increasing every year, but almost none from primary private healthcare facilities.

In this district, the role of the private sector is illustrated by the CDR donated by private hospitals. However, the role of private PHC is almost non-existent ([Table 2](#)). This situation occurs because the private PHC does not manage TB patients. They tend to refer TB patients to hospitals and health centers. In addition, there are cases where private PHC does not report the cases found. This means that many missing cases still occur.

Hospitals tend to be passive in accepting and managing TB patients, because of their given role as referral facilities. Considering that the problem of TB is access to health services, the role of PHC can
actually increase access to services better than hospitals, because of the role in the health system.

Indeed, the Puskesmas have detected cases with variations, there are Puskesmas whose performance exceeds the target, some are still far from the target. The phenomenon of Puskesmas having exceeded the target performance proves that cases in the community are far higher than the target set by the government. This means that more intensive case detection and tracing efforts are needed. This includes the role of a private PHC, the private clinics and physicians as well.

| Public                     | Private                     |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Hospital                  | Already engage; passive case finding | Already engage (2019); passive case finding; Increase in reporting |
| PHC                       | Already engage; active case finding; Variation of performance between PHC facilities | Not yet engage; low case reporting; Tend to refer cases. |

**Table 2.** Engagement of public and private hospital and PHC.

Reflect on the results of the analysis above, engaging private PHC facilities in increasing CDR is absolutely essential. Several reasons why doctors in private facilities are reluctant or hesitant to treat or manage tuberculosis patients are 1) increase the risk of transmission at the practice site, 2) high drug costs, 3) treatment complexity, 4) human resource limitations and 5) feeling excluded. Then, we explored stakeholders’ perception regarding the possibility of engagement from private PHCs into the DPPM strategy.

**Readiness to engage concept mapping.**

Based on the results of the qualitative study analysis, substantive theory has been successfully developed as illustrated in the **Figure 2**. DPPM as strengthening of the PPM strategy really requires the engagement of private and public health facilities to be involved in it. Before this engagement occurs formally, it is necessary to know factors of the involved individuals' readiness to engage.

Readiness to engage depends on individual and ecosystem factors. Individual factors consist of an attitude of awareness and concern for the tuberculosis problem; comprehension of drug management, the tuberculosis control program and PPM management; and involvement in the tuberculosis control program. Institutional support is an environmental factor for individual doctors and health workers to engage in tuberculosis control programs and DPPM.

So far, private physicians (PPs) have mostly referred TB patients or suspected TB patients, because it is risky for their place of practice/clinic. However, they are willing to treat if the medicine is provided by the government freely. PPs are willing to record and report if requested. They are also willing to be trained when requested. However, they objected to tracking cases. One respondent suggested coercive action through regulation:
"……maybe it needs to be made a regulation that forces the private sector..." (PPs, male).

**Awareness of the Problem**

Respondents observed that there are still many TB suspects who do not have access to health services. The respondent awareness of tuberculosis cases, thinking about these problems and having views that should be carried out by the related parties shows awareness of the problem.

"…My worker has relatives, and all of them are positive for TB. So it means that there are many possibilities in that area, it's just that maybe the work area of the puskesmas has not yet reached there... " (PP, male)

Being aware of the tuberculosis problem in their area will encourage health workers to try to handle them according to their competence and authority.

**Program involvement**

PPs have not collaborated with the government (DHO) and feel they have never been invited to collaborate by the Puskesmas. They have not yet been exposed to information systems for tuberculosis management:

"... education to the community is mostly from the puskesmas program holders; in the private sector, I don't think I have heard either...." (PP, female).

PPs are almost never involved in the program, as stated by a respondent.

"......... I, as a personal doctor, am rarely around (exposed to the program). Most of the meetings with the Health Office are not convened by the doctors; most are by the TB administrators.... "

Private hospitals have started to be involved in the TB program. However, it needs to be realized by the government that private doctors and clinics should be taken into account, given that patients usually come to primary care first.

".......... The TB DOTS program is targeting now that it has entered private hospitals; maybe it would be nice now because there are more clinics than private hospitals, so this clinic is involved ......"

**Program Understanding/Comprehension**

The understanding of tuberculosis treatment management is not evenly distributed in every doctor, both public and private. Private doctors who have the opportunity to access the program can better understand and manage patients in line with government guidelines. The understanding of PPM is very low, not only in private doctors but also in public healthcare providers. Without good understanding, it is difficult for motivated individuals to become involved in government programs and strategies.

**Concern**
Concern about TB problems in his area was illustrated by one of the private respondents:

"... during the 7 years I was here, I saw that this TB doesn't go down...."

Concerns about TB problems that have not been resolved are not only in the form of attitudes. This concern is the potential for action when the right situation and moment arrives. In this case, individuals who are concerned will get involved if invited by the public.

**Institutional support**

Carrying out PPM requires enhancing patient management and program management skills (including recording and reporting). This requires additional human resource support and financing. If the institution that owns the health service is not supportive, then individuals who wish to be involved in the program cannot access public strategies and programs.

**Factors influencing readiness to engage**

Figure 3 illustrates the measurement results in a representative sample of private and public health facilities where the percentage of four levels out of six dimensions is seen in relation to the readiness of health facilities to be part of the DPPM strategy. Four dimensions, namely awareness, program comprehension, concern, and program involvement, are those aspects that come from internal respondents. Meanwhile, institutional support is a factor that comes from outside the respondent.

The dimension of program involvement has the highest level below the mean (very low and low) (68%) followed by readiness to engage (65%). Circumstances factors describing a negative or less supportive trend. By contrast, it is interesting to follow the phenomenon where the most positive trends (high and high levels) are in the concern (55%) and awareness (42%) dimensions.

All dimensions have a tendency toward the right or a negative trend; this means that a portrait is less supportive of the occurrence of DPPM. The dimension of readiness to engage as the dependent variable also indicates a tendency of lack of support for the implementation of DPPM. This description is a challenge in building a DPPM implementation strategy.

Bivariate analysis indicated a correlation between readiness to action and awareness (r: 0.49; p = 0.02); comprehension (r: 0.518; p = 0.01), concern (r: 0.620; p = 0.00); involvement (r: 0.405; p = 0.012); and institutional support (r: 0.373; p = 0.019). However, in the regression analysis, there are only two dimensions that are significantly related to readiness to engage, namely concern and institutional support, so that we get Y = 0.205 + 0.239 X1 + 0.758 X2, where Y = readiness to engage, X1 = concern and X2 = institutional support (r² adj.=50.7%; p<0.05).

The DHO as a dirigent of DPPM should encourage personnel in health facilities to be more concerned with TB problems. Equally important, local government encourages health facilities' owners to support and facilitate professionals in their institution for tuberculosis services.
Discussion

The results of this study indicate that the CDR in District Purwakarta, West Java Province, Indonesia in 2019 (before the Covid-19 pandemic) has increased, even exceeding 100% of the target. The results of this study are different from those of research conducted by Reviano et al., which was conducted in Central Java, where the CDR has not reached 60% [10]. Some of the patients may be from neighboring districts and are recorded in the system. This is possible, because patients are not prohibited from seeking treatment beyond the district administrative boundaries.

However, the excess percentage as mentioned above is relatively high. Another possibility that occurs is that the actual prevalence of TB in the community exceeds the targeted rate of 316 / 100,000 population. If this happens, it is necessary to strengthen the case finding of those who are unable to access, diagnosed and unregistered. They are the missing cases.

The substantive theory found from this study indicates that the readiness to engage with DPPM occurs because there is awareness of TB problems, TB program involvement, TB program comprehension, concern of TB problems and institutional support for TB programs. Doctors in public and private services should have awareness of the TB problem. In fact, many doctors are not aware of the TB problem. A study in India found that patients who come to private facilities for TB care do not receive adequate care [20, 21]; there may be amplification of drug resistance [22]; and patients spend much money on inaccurate tests [23]. The conditions in India indicate that the awareness of private facilities on TB problems is still low.

In both the PPM and DPPM approaches, all health facilities are ideally involved in the TB program. However, the fact is that there are private doctors who have not been invited by the DHO to be involved in PPM or DPPM. The results of this study are in line with those of research in India, which states that the public sector is the main player and determines the terms and conditions, whereas the private sector plays a small role and must agree to these terms and conditions [24]; this condition causes private facilities to feel uncomfortable. However, the involvement of private facilities for TB programs is not solely due to incentives but rather the benefits that patients will receive from the TB program, and incentives are secondary [25].

This study found that the TB comprehension program about tuberculosis treatment management was not evenly distributed among doctors, both public and private. Private doctors with access to TB programs can better understand and manage patients in line with government guidelines. This is consistent with studies in India, where private practitioners have low knowledge of protocols for diagnosis and treatment of TB [26, 27].

The results of this study indicate that the concern in TB programs in the private and public sectors is still low regarding the high prevalence of TB in Indonesia. The results of this study are supported by a multicentric study in the cities of Delhi, Mumbai, and Patna, which found that 38% of pharmacies administered antibiotics (fluoroquinolones) or steroids to patients with TB symptoms, but there were no
test results [28]. These drugs have the effect of delaying the diagnosis of TB; besides, fluoroquinolones are a drug regimen for the treatment of drug-resistant TB, so their use is of concern.

The results of this study on institutional support for TB programs found that implementing PPM requires improving patient management skills and program management (including recording and reporting). This requires additional human resource support and financing. This is in line with the opinion of Lei et al., who stated that cost is an important strategy in PPM, because the implementation of PPM requires a large amount of money [29].

The engagement program in DPPM TB requires the involvement of all stakeholders. According to Diamond, the involvement of all stakeholders is very important for sustainable development and resource management, improvement of the design and implementation of these activities and building of local understanding and ownership [30].

This study found that readiness to engage depends on individual and ecosystem factors. The results of this study are the same as Weiner's opinion, that readiness to changes refers to the commitment to change organizational members and change the effectiveness of implementing change [31, 32] and is a multifaceted construct [33]. Weiner said that readiness to change depends on change valence, contextual factors and informational assessment [33].

According to Weiner, individuals in organizations that have low change preparation will refuse to make changes, whereas individuals in organizations that have high preparation for change will be happy to make changes, so that the implementation of change is successful [33]. Organizations can intervene with a regulatory approach, but this is not the case with individuals in the organization, so that individual readiness to change and be involved is very important.

According to Holt et al., organizational readiness is considered to be a major determinant of successful implementation and a mediator of the effectiveness of implementation interventions [34]. This is different from the findings of this study, where individual readiness is more important in achieving readiness to engage. According to Matthyesen and Haris, there is a relationship between readiness to changes and work engagement; a high level of work involvement will result in a high level of readiness to change [35].

Missing cases occurred in cases that did not have access to health services, were not registered, were not diagnosed and dropped out. PPM or DPPM will not mean much to solve the problem of tuberculosis missing cases if the strategy implementation is not managed properly. The long process from policy formulation (2016) to policy implementation (2019) indicates disruptive inaction due to poor management. The government's intention to overcome this problem should be supported by change management, whose effectiveness can be improved by the role of academics.

Policy recommendation to increase and maintain readiness to engage can be addressed to individuals, institutions or systems within the DPPM itself. Interventions related to individuals (personalized
approach) are (1) increasing the number of popular scientific meetings related to tuberculosis for various healthcare professions, (2) routinely involving key stakeholders in formal tuberculosis program development meetings involving private and public health workers, and (3) extending disease management capacity building programs, such as training, to private human resources.

Interventions related to private institutions are (1) annual regular meeting inviting leaders of private health service institutions and (2) regular visits DHO makes to the institution. Interventions related to DPPM as a whole (system) are (1) building cloud-based information and communication media that easily connect public and private individuals and institutions for updating information and TB management, (2) developing local regulations that facilitate and support private sector involvement, and (3) increasing formal cooperation in a planned and continuous manner with individuals or institutions.

**Limitation of the study.**

The number of quantitative samples is limited and is not randomized. Surveys are not intended to make representative generalizations. However, it is more of a management tool in compiling a situation analysis and developing strategies. Both the instrument and the measurement results are quite valid and reliable. However, the results of exploration and measurement are sufficient to lead district health management to take more evidence-based decisions and actions.

**Conclusions**

The involvement of private PHC facilities in controlling tuberculosis, especially missing cases, has not yet occurred. Based on our analysis, the factors of Awareness and Concern of TB problem, TB Comprehension and Involvement and Institutional support program correlated with readiness to engage. However, two of them, the concern about the TB problem and institutional support has a significant effect. Interventions for DPPM implementation use individual, personalized, institutional and system approaches. Communication and information media between public and private health care facilities should be facilitated. Local regulations are built for the certainty and sustainability of DPPM.
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Figures
Figure 1

CDR in 2018-2020 by subdistrict/public PHC (%) Source: District Health Office, reprocessed data (2021); Note: data of the year 2020 is incomplete, based on reported data in Decembre 4th, 2020.

Figure 2

Factors influence the readiness to engage.
Figure 3

Readiness to engage and its factors