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In metallic noncentrosymmetric crystals and at surfaces the response of spin currents and charge currents to applied electric fields contains contributions that are second order in the electric field, which are forbidden by symmetry in centrosymmetric systems. Thereby, photocurrents and spin photocurrents can be generated in inversion asymmetric metals by the application of femtosecond laser pulses. We study the laser-induced charge current in the ferromagnetic Rashba model with in-plane magnetization and find that this magnetic photogalvanic effect can be tuned to be comparable in size to the laser-induced photocurrents measured experimentally in magnetic bilayer systems such as Co/Pt. Additionally, we show that femtosecond laser pulses excite strong spin currents in the nonmagnetic Rashba model when the Rashba parameter is large.

I. INTRODUCTION

The generation of in-plane charge currents by application of ultrashort laser pulses to magnetic bilayer systems with structural inversion asymmetry – such as Co/Pt, Co/Ta and Co$_2$Fe$_{60}$B$_{20}$/W – is currently attracting attention, because it paves the way to ultrafast electronics, because the resulting terahertz (THz) signals can be used to develop efficient table-top THz-emitters [1], and because these charge currents contain information about several important effects, such as superdiffusive spin-currents [2, 3], spin Hall angles, the inverse Faraday effect (IFE), and the inverse spin-orbit torque (SOT) [4]. So far, two mechanisms for in-plane photocurrent generation in magnetic bilayers have been identified in experiments: First, the laser pulse triggers a superdiffusive spin current [2, 3], which flows from the magnetic into the nonmagnetic layer and which is converted into an in-plane electric current by the inverse spin-Hall effect [1, 2]. Second, the IFE can be used to induce magnetization dynamics in the ferromagnetic layer [3], which drives an in-plane electric current due to the inverse SOT [4, 10]. Additionally, it has been shown theoretically that electric currents are generated if the exchange splitting varies in time after laser excitation [11]. Thus, the laser-induced photocurrents contain also information about whether ultrafast demagnetization is dominated by an exchange-field collapse or by the excitation of transverse spin fluctuations [12]. Therefore, they can be used to study the nature of ultrafast demagnetization, as an alternative or complementary tool to conductivity measurements [13] and photoelectron spectroscopy [14].

Circularly polarized light induces an electric current even in noncentrosymmetric nonmagnetic semiconductors, which is known as the circular photogalvanic effect [15, 17]. The question therefore arises whether in noncentrosymmetric magnetic metals there exists an effect similar to the circular photogalvanic effect and whether such an effect contributes to the laser-induced charge currents in magnetic bilayer systems. Effects from the interfacial spin-orbit interaction (SOI) in magnetic bilayer systems can be studied based on the Rashba model [18]. In the nonmagnetic Rashba model light can induce out-of-plane charge currents only and no in-plane charge currents due to symmetry. However, the magnetization vector in magnetic bilayers lowers the symmetry and one may thus expect additional electric currents perpendicular to the light wave vector, i.e., in-plane charge currents when the light wave vector is perpendicular to the bilayer interface and when the magnetization is in-plane. This effect can be considered as the magnetic photogalvanic effect.

Also pure spin currents can be excited by light in noncentrosymmetric nonmagnetic semiconductors [14, 21], in graphene [22] deposited on a substrate or subject to an external out-of-plane electric field, and in organic-inorganic halide CH$_3$NH$_3$PbI$_3$ [23], which is an important step towards ultrafast spintronics. Since a very strong Rashba effect has been found in Bi/Ag(111) surface alloys [24], one may expect very efficient generation of spin photocurrents in this metallic surface, which would make Bi/Ag(111) attractive for ultrafast metallic spintronics applications.

In this work we study the laser-induced in-plane charge currents in the ferromagnetic Rashba model in order to find out how large photocurrents can be that are generated directly by the interfacial Rashba SOI in magnetic bilayer systems without involving the generation of superdiffusive spin-currents or the excitation of magnetization dynamics through the IFE. Thereby we extend the list of suggested mechanisms for the generation of in-plane photocurrents by light in magnetic bilayer systems. In view of the discovery of more and more nonmagnetic systems with a giant Rashba effect [24, 25] we investigate also the laser-induced pure spin current in the nonmagnetic Rashba model for large SOI strength, in order to show that very strong spin currents can be generated optically in such materials.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [1] we describe the formalism that we use to compute the laser-
induced charge currents and spin currents, which is based on the Keldysh nonequilibrium formalism. In Sec. III we discuss based on symmetry arguments which components of the laser-induced charge currents and spin currents can exist and which components are zero in the Rashba model. In Sec. IV.A we present numerical results for the laser-induced charge current in the ferromagnetic Rashba model and in Sec. IV.B we discuss the numerical results for the laser-induced spin current in the nonmagnetic Rashba model. This paper ends with a summary in Sec. V.

II. FORMALISM

A. Laser-induced charge current

The response that arises at the second order in the perturbing electric field of a continuous laser beam with frequency \( \omega \) contains a dc contribution and an ac contribution with frequency \( 2\omega \). Here, we are only interested in the dc contribution. In the experiments femtosecond laser pulses are used instead of continuous laser beams, because thereby much larger electric field strengths can be applied. We assume that the response to laser pulses can be modelled by considering the time-dependent intensity \( I(t) \) of the laser pulse and by assuming that the response at time \( t \) agrees with the hypothetical response to a continuous laser beam with constant intensity \( I \) given by \( I = I(t) \). The dc electric current response to a continuous laser beam appears as a THz electric current pulse when femtosecond laser pulses are used instead of a continuous laser beam. Therefore, in the following we discuss the expressions to compute the dc electric current driven by a continuous laser beam with light frequency \( \omega \).

To derive expressions suitable to describe the laser-induced electric current one can proceed in close analogy to the case of torques that arise at the second order in the perturbing electric field of the laser, which were discussed in detail in Ref. [30]. We do not present the detailed derivation here but only the final expression. The dc electric current density that arises at second order in the electric field of a continuous laser beam of frequency \( \omega \) can be written as

\[
J_i = \frac{a_0^2 I}{\hbar c} \left( \frac{\mathcal{E}_H}{\hbar \omega} \right)^2 \text{Im} \sum_{jk} \epsilon_j \epsilon_k \varphi_{ijk},
\]

where the tensor \( \varphi_{ijk} \) is given by

\[
\varphi_{ijk} = \frac{2}{a_0^2 \mathcal{E}_H} \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \int d\mathcal{E} \text{Tr} \left[
 f(\mathcal{E})v_i G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) v_j G_k^R(\mathcal{E} - \hbar \omega) v_k G_k^A(\mathcal{E})
 - f(\mathcal{E})v_i G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) v_j G_k^R(\mathcal{E} - \hbar \omega) v_k G_k^A(\mathcal{E})
 + f(\mathcal{E})v_i G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) v_j G_k^R(\mathcal{E} + \hbar \omega) v_k G_k^A(\mathcal{E})
 - f(\mathcal{E})v_i G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) v_j G_k^R(\mathcal{E} + \hbar \omega) v_k G_k^A(\mathcal{E})
 + f(\mathcal{E} - \hbar \omega) v_i G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) v_j G_k^R(\mathcal{E} - \hbar \omega) v_k G_k^A(\mathcal{E})
 + f(\mathcal{E} + \hbar \omega) v_i G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) v_j G_k^R(\mathcal{E} + \hbar \omega) v_k G_k^A(\mathcal{E})
\right].
\]

Here, \( a_0 = 4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^2/(m e^2) \) is Bohr’s radius, \( I \) is the intensity of light, \( c \) is the velocity of light, \( \mathcal{E}_H = \epsilon^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0 a_0) \) is the Hartree energy and \( f(\mathcal{E}) \) is the Fermi distribution function. \( v_j \) is the \( j \)th component of the velocity operator, \( \epsilon \) is the elementary positive charge, \( \mathcal{E}_F \) the Fermi energy,

\[
G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) = \hbar \sum_n \frac{|kn\rangle\langle kn|}{\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_k + i\Gamma},
\]

is the retarded Green function and \( G_k^A(\mathcal{E}) = [G_k^R(\mathcal{E})]^\dagger \) is the advanced Green function. The energy of the state \( |kn\rangle \) of an electron in band \( n \) at \( k \)-point \( k \) is \( \mathcal{E}_k n \). The parameter \( \Gamma \) describes the lifetime broadening of the electronic states. \( \epsilon_j \) is the \( j \)th component of the polarization vector of the light. Circularly polarized light with light wave vector along the \( z \) direction is described by \( \epsilon = (1, \lambda, 0)/\sqrt{2} \), where \( \lambda = \pm 1 \) controls the light helicity.

B. Laser-induced spin current

Similarly, the dc spin-current density that arises in second order response to the electric field of the laser can be written as

\[
J^s_i = -\frac{a_0^2 I}{4c} \left( \frac{\mathcal{E}_H}{\hbar \omega} \right)^2 \text{Im} \sum_{jk} \epsilon_j \epsilon_k^* \phi_{ijk}^s,
\]

where the tensor \( \phi_{ijk}^s \) is given by

\[
\phi_{ijk}^s = \frac{2}{a_0^2 \mathcal{E}_H} \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \int d\mathcal{E} \text{Tr} \left[
 f(\mathcal{E})\{v_i, s_j\} G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) v_j G_k^R(\mathcal{E} - \hbar \omega) v_k G_k^A(\mathcal{E})
 - f(\mathcal{E})\{v_i, s_j\} G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) v_j G_k^R(\mathcal{E} - \hbar \omega) v_k G_k^A(\mathcal{E})
 + f(\mathcal{E})\{v_i, s_j\} G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) v_j G_k^R(\mathcal{E} + \hbar \omega) v_k G_k^A(\mathcal{E})
 - f(\mathcal{E})\{v_i, s_j\} G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) v_j G_k^R(\mathcal{E} + \hbar \omega) v_k G_k^A(\mathcal{E})
 + f(\mathcal{E} - \hbar \omega)\{v_i, s_j\} G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) v_j G_k^R(\mathcal{E} - \hbar \omega) v_k G_k^A(\mathcal{E})
 + f(\mathcal{E} + \hbar \omega)\{v_i, s_j\} G_k^R(\mathcal{E}) v_j G_k^R(\mathcal{E} + \hbar \omega) v_k G_k^A(\mathcal{E})
\right].
\]
Here, $\sigma_s$ ($s = x, y, z$) are the Pauli spin-matrices and $J_s^p$ describes the spin-current component where the spin of the carriers is oriented in $s$ direction and the carriers move along the $i$ direction.

**C. Rashba model**

We investigate the laser-induced charge current and spin current in the Rashba model (see Ref. [18] for a recent review on the Rashba model). The Rashba model with an additional exchange splitting is given by

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \Delta - i\alpha (\nabla \times \hat{e}_z) \cdot \sigma + \frac{\Delta V}{2} \sigma \cdot \hat{n}(r), \quad (6)$$

where the first, second and third terms on the right-hand side describe the kinetic energy, the Rashba SOI and the exchange interaction, respectively. By modelling the experimentally measured DMI the Rashba parameter in Co/Pt bilayers was estimated to be $\alpha = 0.095$eVÅ [31]. The same order of magnitude of $\alpha$ was estimated for Ni$_{50}$Fe$_20$/Pt [32]. Substantially larger values of $\alpha$ have been reported for Bi/Ag(111) surface alloys ($\alpha = 3.05$eVÅ [24]), for BiTeI ($\alpha = 3.85$eVÅ [26]), and for Pb$_{1-x}$Sn$_x$Te ($\alpha = 3.8$eVÅ [24]).

**III. SYMMETRY PROPERTIES**

The response of the charge current to the second-order perturbation by an applied electric field is described by a polar tensor of third rank. Therefore, it is nonzero only in noncentrosymmetric crystals. Similarly, the response of the spin-current to the second order perturbation by an applied electric field, which is described by an axial tensor of fourth rank, is nonzero only in noncentrosymmetric crystals [33]. In the following we discuss which components of the laser-induced charge current and of the laser-induced spin current are allowed by symmetry in the Rashba model.

**A. Laser-induced charge current**

Circularly polarized light with wave vector parallel to the $z$ direction does not induce in-plane charge currents in the nonmagnetic Rashba model due to the rotational symmetry around the $z$ axis.

For light polarized linearly along $x$ in the nonmagnetic Rashba model $J_x$ is forbidden by symmetry, because the $xx$ mirror plane flips $J_y$. $J_y$ is forbidden as well, because the $yz$ mirror plane flips $J_x$.

In the ferromagnetic Rashba model with magnetization along $y$ light polarized linearly along $x$ induces a current $J_x$ that is odd in magnetization, because the $yz$ mirror plane flips the magnetization (axial vector) and $J_x$. $J_y$ is not allowed by symmetry due to the $xz$ mirror plane, which leaves the magnetization invariant but flips $J_y$.

In the ferromagnetic Rashba model with magnetization along $y$ light polarized linearly along $y$ cannot induce $J_y$, because the $xz$ mirror plane flips $J_y$, but preserves the magnetization. In this case $J_x$ is allowed by symmetry and it is odd in magnetization, because the $yz$ mirror plane flips $J_x$ and the magnetization, while the $zx$ mirror plane preserves $J_x$ and the magnetization.

In the ferromagnetic Rashba model with magnetization along $y$ circularly polarized light induces $J_y$, which is odd in the helicity of light and odd in magnetization, because the $yz$ mirror plane flips the light helicity and the magnetization but preserves $J_y$, while the $zx$ mirror plane preserves the magnetization, but flips the light helicity and $J_y$. In this case symmetry allows also a nonzero $J_x$, which is even in the helicity of light and odd in magnetization, because the $zx$ mirror plane flips the light helicity and preserves $J_x$ and the magnetization, while the $yz$ mirror plane flips the light helicity, the magnetization and $J_x$.

These symmetry properties of the magnetic photogalvanic effect in the ferromagnetic Rashba model are summarized in Table I.

**TABLE I: Symmetry properties of the magnetic photogalvanic effect in the ferromagnetic Rashba model**

| | circularly polarized | linearly polarized ($\epsilon || x$ or $\epsilon || y$) |
|---|---|---|
| $J_x$ | $|\lambda| M_y$ | $\lambda M_y$ |
| $J_y$ | $M_y$ | $\emptyset$ |

**B. Laser-induced spin current**

**Nonmagnetic Rashba model**

We first discuss the symmetry properties of laser-induced spin currents in the nonmagnetic Rashba model.

For light polarized linearly along $x$ the spin current $J_x^y$ is allowed by symmetry: The $xz$ mirror plane does not flip $J_x^y$. The $yz$ mirror plane does not flip $J_y^x$ either, because it flips both the velocity of the carriers (polar vector) and their spin (axial vector). In this case also $J_y^x$ is allowed by symmetry: The $yz$ mirror plane does not flip $J_y^x$. The $xx$ mirror plane does not flip $J_x^y$ either, because it flips both the velocity of the carriers and their spin. However, $J_x^y$ is forbidden by symmetry in this case, because the $xx$ mirror plane flips only the spin of the carriers and not their velocity and therefore it flips $J_x^y$.

Similarly, $J_y^x$ is forbidden by symmetry in this case, because the $yz$ mirror plane flips $J_y^x$. Finally, also $J_x^y$ and
$J_x^z$ are forbidden by symmetry in this case, because the $zx$ mirror plane flips $J_x^z$, and the $yz$ mirror plane flips $J_y^z$.

For circularly polarized light $J_x^y$ and $J_y^x$ are allowed by symmetry, if they are even in the helicity of light, because both the $zx$ mirror plane and the $yz$ mirror plane flip the helicity of the light. Since the $zx$ mirror plane flips $J_x^z$ but the $yz$ mirror plane does not, $J_x^y$ is forbidden by symmetry. Similarly, $J_y^z$ is forbidden by symmetry. Both the $zx$ mirror plane and the $zy$ mirror plane flip $J_x^z$ and $J_y^y$. Therefore, $J_x^z$ and $J_y^y$ are allowed by symmetry, if they are odd in the helicity of light.

The symmetry properties of the laser-induced spin current in the nonmagnetic Rashba model are summarized in Table II.

|               | circularly polarized | linearly polarized |
|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| $J_x^z$       | $\lambda$            | $\emptyset$       |
| $J_y^y$       | $|\lambda|$          | $\checkmark$      |
| $J_x^y$       | $\emptyset$          | $\emptyset$       |
| $J_y^x$       | $|\lambda|$          | $\checkmark$      |
| $J_x^y$       | $\lambda$            | $\emptyset$       |
| $J_y^x$       | $\emptyset$          | $\emptyset$       |

**Ferromagnetic Rashba model**

Next, we discuss the symmetry properties of the laser-induced spin currents in the ferromagnetic Rashba model with magnetization along $y$.

**Linearly polarized light with polarization along $x$:** The $zx$ mirror plane does not flip $J_x^z$ and preserves the magnetization. The $yz$ mirror plane flips the magnetization, but it does not flip $J_y^z$, because it flips both the carrier velocity and the spin. Thus, $J_x^z$ is even in magnetization. The $zx$ mirror plane does not flip $J_y^z$, because it flips both the carrier velocity and the spin. It also preserves the magnetization. The $yz$ mirror plane does not flip $J_y^z$, but it flips the magnetization. Thus, $J_x^z$ is even in magnetization. $J_x^z$ is forbidden by symmetry, because the $zx$ mirror plane flips $J_x^z$ but preserves the magnetization. Similarly, $J_y^z$ is forbidden by symmetry, because the $zx$ mirror plane flips $J_y^z$ and preserves the magnetization. Also, $J_x^z$ is forbidden by symmetry, because the $zx$ mirror plane flips $J_x^z$ and preserves the magnetization. The $zx$ mirror plane preserves $J_y^z$ and the magnetization, while the $yz$ mirror plane flips $J_y^z$ and the magnetization. Consequently, $J_y^z$ is allowed by symmetry and it is odd in the magnetization.

**Circularly polarized light:** Both the $zx$ mirror plane and the $yz$ mirror plane flip the helicity of the light. Thus, $J_x^y$ and $J_y^x$ are allowed by symmetry, if they are even in the helicity of the light. Since the $yz$ mirror plane flips the magnetization while the $zx$ mirror plane preserves it, $J_x^y$ and $J_y^x$ are even in the magnetization. The $zx$ mirror plane flips $J_x^z$, flips the helicity and preserves the magnetization. The $yz$ mirror plane preserves $J_y^z$, flips the helicity and flips the magnetization. The combination of $zx$ mirror plane and $yz$ mirror plane flips $J_x^z$, flips the helicity and preserves the magnetization. Thus, $J_x^z$ is odd in the magnetization and odd in the helicity. The $zx$ mirror plane preserves $J_y^x$, flips the helicity and preserves the magnetization. The $yz$ mirror plane flips $J_y^y$, flips the helicity and flips the magnetization. The combination of the $zx$ mirror plane and the $yz$ mirror plane flips $J_y^x$, flips the magnetization and preserves the helicity. Thus, $J_y^x$ is odd in the magnetization in even in the helicity. Both the $zx$ mirror plane and the $zy$ mirror plane flip $J_x^z$ and $J_y^y$. Therefore, $J_x^z$ and $J_y^y$ are odd in the helicity of light. Since the $yz$ mirror plane flips the magnetization while the $zx$ mirror plane preserves it, $J_x^z$ and $J_y^y$ are even in the magnetization.

**TABLE III: Symmetry properties of the laser-induced spin current in the ferromagnetic Rashba model with magnetization parallel to the $y$ axis.** $\emptyset$ means there is no effect. $\checkmark$ means there is an effect. $|\lambda|$ means the effect is even in the helicity of light. $|\lambda|$ means the effect is odd in the helicity of light.

|               | circularly polarized | linearly polarized |
|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| $J_x^z$       | $\lambda M_y$        | $\emptyset$       |
| $J_y^y$       | $|\lambda| M_y$       | $|M_y|$            |
| $J_x^y$       | $\lambda M_y$        | $\emptyset$       |
| $J_y^x$       | $|\lambda| M_y$       | $|M_y|$            |
| $J_y^y$       | $\lambda M_y$        | $\emptyset$       |
| $J_y^x$       | $M_y |\lambda|$       | $M_y$             |

IV. RESULTS

In the following we present results for the charge current density and for the spin-current density induced by a continuous laser beam, which we calculate from Eq. (1) and Eq. (4), respectively. In all results presented below, we assume that the intensity is given by $I = 10 GW/cm^2$ and the photon energy is set to $h \omega = 1.55$ eV.

**A. Laser-induced charge currents**

The laser-induced charge current as a function of Fermi energy $E_F$ is shown in Fig. 1 for the parameters $\alpha = 0.1 eV\AA$, $\Gamma = 25 meV$ and $\Delta V = 1 eV$ when $\hat{n}$ points
FIG. 1: Laser-induced charge current $J_x$ vs. Fermi energy $E_F$ for $\hat{n}$ in $y$ direction, $\alpha = 0.1\text{eVÅ}$, $\Delta V = 1\text{ eV}$, and $\Gamma = 25\text{meV}$. Some curves have been multiplied by the factor 10 for better visibility, as indicated in the legend.

in $y$ direction. As discussed in section $\text{III}\text{C}$, $\alpha = 0.1\text{eVÅ}$ is a suitable choice to model magnetic bilayer systems such as Co/Pt. Previously, we found that the broadening of $\Gamma = 25\text{meV}$ is suitable to reproduce the experimentally measured SOTs in $\text{ab-initio}$ calculations of Co/Pt bilayers [34] and therefore we use it here as well. The laser-induced current $J_x$ is even in the helicity $\lambda$ and for circularly polarized light it is much larger than for linearly polarized light with polarization along $x$. However, for linearly polarized light with polarization along $y$ the laser-induced current $J_x$ is larger than the one for circularly polarized light by around a factor of 2. The laser-induced current $J_y$ is odd in the helicity $\lambda$ and therefore it vanishes for linearly polarized light (not shown in the figure). The finding that $J_x$ is even in $\lambda$ while $J_y$ is odd in $\lambda$ is consistent with the symmetry analysis in section $\text{III}\text{A}$.

$J_y$ increases with Fermi energy and in the range shown in Fig. 1 it is maximally $0.78\text{mA/cm}$ for $E_F = 6.8\text{eV}$. Experimentally, the amplitude of the current $J_y$ has been estimated to be $5\text{mA/cm}$ when a 50fs laser pulse with fluence $1\text{mJcm}^{-2}$ is applied to Co/Pt bilayers [4]. Assuming a Gaussian-shaped laser pulse we estimate the peak intensity of the pulse to be $I \approx 2\sqrt{\ln(2)/\pi}\text{mJcm}^{-2}/(50\text{ fs}) \approx 18.8\text{GWcm}^{-2}$. The values shown in Fig. 1 have been obtained for the smaller intensity of $I = 10\text{GWcm}^{-2}$, for which we expect the corresponding smaller experimental peak current density of $2.7\text{mA/cm}$, which is larger than $0.78\text{mA/cm}$ by a factor of 3.5. The laser-induced current $J_y$ observed experimentally in Co/Pt has been explained in terms of the IFE combined with the inverse SOT [4]. Since $0.78\text{mA/cm}$ is only smaller by a factor of 3.5 compared to the experimental value of $2.7\text{mA/cm}$ estimated for Co/Pt, we expect that this magnetic circular photogalvanic effect is in general a non-negligible contribution to $J_y$. If materials with small IFE or small inverse SOT are used it is likely that the contribution from the magnetic circular photogalvanic effect is dominant in $J_y$.

For circularly polarized light, the current $J_x$ reaches $3A/m$ at $E_F = 6.8\text{eV}$ in Fig. 1 which is considerably larger than $J_y$. Also in the experiments on Co/Pt $J_y$ is found to be much larger than $J_x$ [3]. In the experiments, $J_y$ depends strongly on the Pt thickness and varies between $3.3A/m$ (1.3nm thick Pt) and $14.4A/m$ (3.9nm thick Pt) when a 50 fs laser pulse with fluence $1\text{mJcm}^{-2}$ is used. We estimate that the corresponding current densities expected for the smaller intensity of $I = 10\text{GWcm}^{-2}$ range between $1.8A/m$ and $7.7A/m$. The experimentally measured $J_x$ in magnetic bilayer systems has been interpreted to originate from the superdiffusive spin-current that is converted into a charge current by the inverse spin Hall effect [2]. This interpretation is supported by the very good correlation between the spin Hall conductivity of the normal metal (NM) layer and the measured THz amplitude in Co$_{20}$Fe$_{50}$B$_{20}$ (3nm)/NM (3nm) stacks [1]. Even though our theoretical values of $J_x$ shown in Fig. 1 describe a magnetic photogalvanic effect and do not contain the mechanism of generating a charge current by conversion of a superdiffusive spin current, the maximal value of $3A/m$ in Fig. 1 is non-negligible compared to the current $J_x$ measured in Co/Pt bilayer systems. Therefore, we expect that for suitable material combinations the magnetic photogalvanic effect can compete with the conversion of superdiffusive spin current by the inverse spin Hall effect. In particular when the spin Hall conductivity of NM is small or when the NM thickness is much smaller than the hot-electron relaxation length [1] we expect significant contributions from the magnetic photogalvanic effect to the current $J_x$.

It might be possible to identify the contribution of the magnetic photogalvanic effect to the current $J_x$ in experiments by measuring the dependence of $J_x$ on the polarization of light: According to Fig. 1 the current $J_x$ generated by linearly polarized light depends strongly on whether the light polarization vector is along $x$ or along $y$. On the other hand, the generation of superdiffusive spin currents is not expected to depend on the direction of the light polarization vector. Therefore, a strong dependence of $J_x$ on the light polarization vector is a clear indication of the magnetic photogalvanic effect.

Like the field-like contribution to the SOT [35] the magnetic photogalvanic effect is sensitive to the interfacial SOI in magnetic bilayer systems. Therefore, we expect that the magnitude of the magnetic photogalvanic effect is correlated with the magnitude of the field-like component of the SOT. On the other hand, the contribution from the conversion of superdiffusive spin current by the inverse spin Hall effect is expected to correlate with the antidamping component of the SOT. These two contributions to $J_x$ may therefore also be distinguished in
experiments via their different dependence on the interfacial SOI. In contrast, we expect that it is more difficult to identify the contribution of the magnetic photogalvanic effect to the current $J_y$ in experiments, because it competes with the current generated by the combined action of the IFE and the inverse field-like SOT, i.e., both contributions to $J_y$ are sensitive to the interfacial SOI.

Next, we discuss the dependence of the magnetic photogalvanic effect on the lifetime broadening $\Gamma$. The laser-induced charge current is shown as a function of $\Gamma$ in Fig. 2 where we set $E_F = 1.36\text{eV}$, $\alpha = 0.1\text{eVÅ}$, $\Delta V = 1 \text{eV}$ and $\hat{n}$ points in $y$ direction. While the current $J_x$ decreases monotonically with increasing broadening $\Gamma$ the current $J_y$ exhibits a maximum at around $\Gamma = 200\text{meV}$. This suggests that $J_y$ can be maximized in magnetic bilayer systems such as Co/Pt by optimizing the interface roughness.

Finally, we discuss the dependence of the magnetic photogalvanic effect on the SOI-strength $\alpha$. The laser-induced charge current is shown as a function of $\alpha$ in Fig. 3 where we set $E_F = 1.36\text{eV}$, $\Delta V = 1 \text{eV}$, $\Gamma = 25 \text{meV}$ and $\hat{n}$ points in $y$ direction. The magnetic photogalvanic effect increases strongly with the SOI strength $\alpha$. For example in graphene decorated with W and in semi-hydrogenated Bi(111) bilayers very large SOTs have been found in ab-initio calculations.

### B. Laser-induced spin currents

Next, we discuss the laser-induced spin currents in the nonmagnetic Rashba model. In Fig. 4 we show the laser-induced spin current as a function of Fermi energy $E_F$ for the parameters $\alpha = 2\text{eVÅ}$ and $\Gamma = 136\text{meV}$. Since one electron carries a spin angular momentum of $\hbar/2$ it is convenient to discuss spin currents in units of $\hbar/(2e)$ times ampere. Therefore, we use in Fig. 4 $\hbar/(2e)A/\text{cm}$ as unit of the spin current density. A spin current of 1 A $\hbar/(2e)$ can be thought of as a positive charge current of 0.5 A carried by spin-down electrons accompanied by a negative charge current of 0.5 A carried by spin-up electrons. In agreement with the discussion in section 3.1 summarized in Table II the following components are nonzero in the nonmagnetic case: For linearly polarized light only $J_x$ and $J_y$ are allowed by symmetry. For circularly po-
labeled light $J_y^x$ and $J_y^y$ (even in the helicity $\lambda$) and $J_y^y$ and $J_x^x$ (odd in the helicity $\lambda$) are allowed by symmetry. Light linearly polarized along $x$ induces a smaller $J_x^x$ than circularly polarized light. However, light linearly polarized along $x$ induces a larger $J_x^x$ than circularly polarized light. For circularly polarized light rotation around the $z$ axis by $90^\circ$ is a symmetry operation, which leads to $J_x^y = -J_y^y$ and to $J_x^x = J_y^y$.

For the parameter range covered by Fig. 4 the helicity-odd effects are much smaller than the helicity-even effects. The maximum spin-current density in Fig. 4 is attained by the component $J_y^x$ for light polarized linearly along $x$ and it amounts to $J_y^x = 221 \, \hbar/(2e) \, \text{A/cm}$. This spin-current density can be thought of as a charge-current density of spin-up electrons (spin-up and spin-down refer to the $x$ axis as spin-quantization axis) of 110 A/cm flowing into the negative $y$ direction and a charge-current density of spin-down electrons of 110 A/cm flowing into the positive $y$ direction. This spin-dependent charge-current density of ±110 A/cm exceeds the laser-induced charge-current density that has been measured experimentally in magnetic bilayer systems [1, 2, 3] at comparable light intensity by several orders of magnitude. Since the net charge current is zero it does not generate a THz electromagnetic signal, which makes this effect difficult to observe experimentally. The inverse spin Hall effect could be used to convert these spin currents into detectable charge currents. However, this would require to inject the spin current from the Rashba system into a different system, because in the Rashba model itself there is no inverse spin Hall effect that converts any of the spin currents $J_y^x$, $J_y^y$, $J_y^x$, or $J_x^y$ into a charge current.

In Fig. 5 we show the laser-induced spin current as a function of SOI strength $\alpha$ for the parameters $\mathcal{E}_F = 1.36\, \text{eV}$ and $\Gamma = 136\, \text{meV}$. The figure shows that for SOI strengths larger than $\alpha = 1\, \text{eVÅ}$ the effect is particularly sizable.

![Fig. 4: Laser-induced spin current $J_x^s$ vs. Fermi energy in the nonmagnetic Rashba model for the parameters $\alpha = 2\, \text{eVÅ}$ and $\Gamma = 136\, \text{meV}$.

![Fig. 5: Laser-induced spin current $J_x^s$ vs. SOI-strength $\alpha$ in the nonmagnetic Rashba model for the parameters $\mathcal{E}_F = 1.36\, \text{eV}$ and $\Gamma = 136\, \text{meV}$.](image1)

![Fig. 6: Laser-induced spin current $J_x^s$ vs. SOI-strength $\alpha$ in the nonmagnetic Rashba model for the parameters $\mathcal{E}_F = 1.36\, \text{eV}$ and $\Gamma = 25\, \text{meV}$. Some curves have been multiplied by the factor 10 for better visibility, as indicated in the legend.](image2)

V. SUMMARY

We study the laser-induced charge current in the ferromagnetic Rashba model with in-plane magnetization and predict that this magnetic photogalvanic effect is
sufficiently strong in magnetic bilayer systems to be observable in experiments. The magnetic photogalvanic effect has one component that is odd in the helicity of light and a second component that is even in the helicity of light. The helicity-odd component can be maximized by optimizing the amount of disorder in the system. The helicity-even component depends strongly on the direction of the light-polarization vector when linearly polarized light is used. Additionally, we discuss laser-induced spin currents in the nonmagnetic Rashba model. Thereby, we predict that laser-induced pure spin currents at nonmagnetic surfaces and interfaces with giant Rashba effect exceed the laser-induced charge currents in magnetic bilayer systems such as Co/Pt by several orders of magnitude.

* Corresp. author: f.freimuth@fz-juelich.de

[1] T. Seifert, S. Jaiswal, U. Martens, J. Hannegan, L. Braun, P. Maldonado, F. Freimuth, A. Kronenberg, J. Henrizi, I. Radu, et al., Nature photonics, 10, 483 (2016).
[2] T. Kampfrath, M. Battiato, P. Maldonado, G. Eilerts, J. Nötzdol, S. Mährlein, V. Zbarsky, F. Freimuth, Y. Mokrousov, S. Blügel, et al., Nature nanotechnology, 8, 256 (2013).
[3] T. J. Huisman, C. Ciccarelli, A. Tsukamoto, R. V. Mikhailovskiy, T. Rasing, and A. V. Kimel, Applied Physics Letters, 110, 072402 (2017).
[4] T. J. Huisman, R. V. Mikhailovskiy, J. D. Costa, F. Freimuth, E. Paz, J. Ventura, P. P. Freitas, S. Blügel, Y. Mokrousov, T. Rasing, et al., Nature nanotechnology, 11, 455 (2016).
[5] M. Battiato, K. Carva, and P. M. Oppeneer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 027203 (2010).
[6] M. Battiato, K. Carva, and P. M. Oppeneer, Phys. Rev. B 86, 024404 (2012).
[7] G. Malinowski, F. Dalla Longa, J. H. H. Rietjens, P. V. Paluskar, R. Huijink, H. J. M. Swagten, and B. Koopmans, Nature physics, 4, 555 (2008).
[8] A. Melnikov, I. Razdolski, T. O. Wehling, E. T. Papaiannou, V. Roddatis, P. Fumagalli, O. Aktsipetrov, A. L. Chernik, and U. Bovensiepen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 076601 (2011).
[9] G.-M. Choi, A. Schleife, and D. G. Cahill, Nature Communications, 8, 15085 (2017).
[10] F. Freimuth, S. Blügel, and Y. Mokrousov, Phys. Rev. B 92, 064415 (2015).
[11] F. Freimuth, S. Blügel, and Y. Mokrousov, Phys. Rev. B 95, 094434 (2017).
[12] W. Töws and G. M. Pastor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 217204 (2015).
[13] E. Carpene, H. Hedayat, F. Boschini, and C. Dallera, Phys. Rev. B 91, 174414 (2015).
[14] S. Eich, M. Plötzing, M. Rollinger, S. Emmerich, R. Adam, C. Chen, H. C. Kapteyn, M. M. Murnane, L. Plucinski, D. Steil, et al., Science Advances 3 (2017).
[15] S. D. Ganichev and W. Prettl, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 15, R935 (2003).
[16] Q. Ma, S.-Y. Xu, C.-K. Chan, C.-L. Zhang, G. Chang, Y. Lin, W. Xie, T. Palacios, H. Lin, S. Jia, et al., Nature Physics (2017).
[17] J. W. McIver, H. Hsieh, H. Steinberg, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and N. Gedik, Nature Nanotechnology, 7, 96 (2012).
[18] A. Manchon, H. C. Koo, J. Nitta, S. M. Frolow, and R. A. Duine, Nature materials, 14, 871 (2015).
[19] R. D. R. Bhat, F. Nastos, A. Najmaie, and J. E. Sipe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 096603 (2005).
[20] H. Zhao, X. Pan, A. L. Smirl, R. D. R. Bhat, A. Najmaie, J. E. Sipe, and H. M. van Driel, Phys. Rev. B 72, 201302 (2005).
[21] S. D. Ganichev, V. V. Bel’kov, S. A. Tarasenko, S. N. Danilov, S. Giglberger, C. Hoffmann, E. L. Ivchenko, D. Weiss, W. Wegscheider, C. Gerl, et al., NATURE PHYSICS 2, 609 (2006).
[22] J. Rioux and G. Burkard, Phys. Rev. B 90, 035210 (2014).
[23] J. Li and P. M. Haney, Phys. Rev. B 93, 155432 (2016).
[24] C. R. Ast, J. Henk, A. Ernst, L. Moreschini, M. F. Calub, D. Paclé, P. Bruno, K. Kern, and M. Grioni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 186807 (2007).
[25] A. Crepaldi, L. Moreschini, G. Autès, C. Tournier-Colletta, S. Moser, N. Visk, H. Berger, P. Bugnon, Y. J. Chang, K. Kern, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 096803 (2012).
[26] K. Ishizaka, M. S. Bahramy, H. Murakawa, M. Sakano, T. Shimozima, T. Sonobe, K. Koizumi, S. Shin, H. Miyahara, A. Kimura, et al., NATURE MATERIALS 10, 521 (2011).
[27] M. Sakano, M. S. Bahramy, A. Katayama, T. Shimozima, H. Murakawa, Y. Kaneko, W. Malach, S. Shin, K. Ono, H. Kumigashira, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 107204 (2013).
[28] P. D. C. King, R. C. Hatch, M. Bianchi, R. Ovsyannikov, C. Lupulescu, G. Landolt, B. Slomski, J. H. Dil, D. Guan, J. L. Mi, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 096802 (2011).
[29] V. V. Volobuev, P. S. Mandal, M. Galićka, O. Caha, J. Sanchez-Barriga, D. Di Sante, A. Varykhalov, A. Khiar, S. Picozzi, G. Bauer, et al., Advanced Materials 29, 1604185 (2017).
[30] F. Freimuth, S. Blügel, and Y. Mokrousov, Phys. Rev. B 94, 144432 (2016).
[31] K.-W. Kim, H.-W. Lee, K.-J. Lee, and M. D. Stiles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 216601 (2013).
[32] A. J. Berger, E. R. J. Edwards, H. T. Nembach, J. M. Shaw, A. D. Karenowska, M. Weiler, and T. J. Silva, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 096802 (2011).
[33] E. L. Ivchenko and S. D. Ganichev, in Spin Physics in Semiconductors, edited by M. I. Dyakonov (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008), pp. 245-277.
[34] F. Freimuth, S. Blügel, and Y. Mokrousov, Phys. Rev. B 90, 174423 (2014).
[35] K. Garello, I. M. Miron, C. O. Avci, F. Freimuth, Y. Mokrousov, S. Blügel, S. Außret, O. Bouillé, G. Gaudin, and P. Gambardella, Nature Nanotechnology, 8, 587 (2013).
[36] J.-P. Hanke, F. Freimuth, C. Niu, S. Blügel, and Y. Mokrousov, ArXiv e-prints (2017), 1701.08050.