Atomtronic circuits of diodes and transistors
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We illustrate that open quantum systems composed of neutral, ultracold atoms in one-dimensional optical lattices can exhibit behavior analogous to semiconductor electronic circuits. A correspondence is demonstrated for bosonic atoms, and the experimental requirements to realize these devices are established. The analysis follows from a derivation of a quantum master equation for this general class of open quantum systems.
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Atomtronics [1] focuses on ultracold atom analogs of electronic circuits and devices. Previous work has established the possibility of diode [2, 3] and transistor [4, 5, 6] behavior in atomic systems. We calculate the device characteristics of these semiconductor-like systems by construction of complete atomtronic circuits. Such circuits contain the analog of electronic power supplies or batteries, and the necessary device connections. This opens up the possibility for more complex circuits in which atomtronic device components can be cascaded. The approach we introduce develops a general theoretical method for solving the dynamics of strongly-interacting, many-body, open quantum systems.

A diode is in essence a device which exhibits an asymmetric response; applying a potential gradient one way leads to a large current and the opposite way leads to virtually no current. The atomtronic diode we present is novel compared to some previously proposed devices [2, 3] in that it does this without relying on an intrinsic irreversibility of the device itself (e.g. the spontaneous emission of a photon or phonon). The atomtronic transistor presented here contrasts with other proposals [4, 5], in that it operates in steady-state, rather than only in an initial (transient) regime. It also reproduces the essential transistor behavior, including the control of a larger atomtronic current with a smaller one, the ability to perform digital logic, and exhibits linear amplification. One motivation for designing atomtronic diode and transistor components that are intrinsically reversible is that they can then be combined in quantum computing applications where coherent logic is required.

This topic is relevant for the emerging field of strongly-correlated dynamical and nonequilibrium phenomena in ultracold atomic gases [7, 8, 9, 10]. Atomtronic devices require site-by-site control of the temporal or spatial properties of optical lattices [11, 12, 13, 14], a common goal for numerous experiments aiming to utilize optical lattices for quantum control, quantum transport, quantum computing, and quantum simulation [15, 16, 17]. What is novel here is that the atomtronic systems we consider are open quantum systems, meaning that they are composed of optical lattices coupled to two or three reservoirs, with the reservoirs acting as sources or sinks for particles.

The Hamiltonian takes the separable form

\[ \hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\text{sys}} + \hat{H}_{\text{res}} + \hat{H}_{\mathcal{V}}, \]

where \( \hat{H}_{\text{sys}} \) and \( \hat{H}_{\text{res}} \) denote system and reservoir Hamiltonians, respectively, and \( \hat{H}_{\mathcal{V}} \) their interaction. Although atomtronic devices can utilize either fermionic or bosonic atoms, we focus our discussion on the bosonic case, providing contrast with electronics where the carriers are fermionic. In the lowest band approximation, \( \hat{H}_{\text{sys}} \) is the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian that describes ultracold bosons in a one-dimensional optical lattice [12, 13, 19], given by

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{sys}} = - \sum_{(i,j)} J_{ij} \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_j + \sum_i \left( \epsilon_i \hat{N}_i + \frac{U}{2} \hat{N}_i (\hat{N}_i - 1) \right) \]

where site \( i \) has energy \( \epsilon_i \), interaction energy \( U \), annihilation and number operators \( \hat{a}_i \) and \( \hat{N}_i = \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i \) respectively, and \( J_{ij} \) is the hopping energy between adjacent sites \( i \) and \( j \). To achieve a steady-state current, atomtronic circuits require two or more reservoirs held at different chemical potentials. The free Hamiltonian for the reservoirs is

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{res}} = \sum_{\nu,l} \hbar \omega_{\nu l} \hat{R}_{\nu l}^\dagger \hat{R}_{\nu l}, \]

where \( \nu \) identifies the mode of reservoir \( l \) with energy \( \hbar \omega_{\nu l} \) and annihilation operator \( \hat{R}_{\nu l} \). It is assumed that each reservoir is so large that its thermodynamic properties are parametrized by a constant chemical potential \( \mu_l \) and temperature \( T_l \). Each reservoir is connected to a single system site \( s_l \) so that the interaction between the system and reservoir can be written as

\[ \hat{H}_{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{\nu,l} g_{\nu l} \hat{R}_{\nu l}^\dagger \hat{a}_{s_l} + \text{h.c.}, \]

where \( g_{\nu l} \) are the system-reservoir hopping energies.

Our atomtronic analog of electronic circuits begins with the definition of the atomtronic battery, composed
of two reservoirs of ultracold atoms having different chemical potentials, corresponding to different electric potentials at the terminals of a conventional battery. In Fig. 1(a), we show an electronic circuit composed of a diode connected to a battery by resistive wires. In Fig. 1(b), the atomtronic equivalent of this diode is the part of the lattice that is enclosed by a dashed box with the lattice site energy on the left half offset from the lattice site energy on the right half. To the left and right of the diode are the reservoirs at high and low chemical potentials respectively. These are the analogs of the battery terminals. The system-reservoir separation is provided by large tunneling barriers that ensure weak coupling. The explicit physical implementation for the reservoirs shown is an optical lattice in the zero-temperature Mott-insulator phase. In this system, strong interactions lead to a fermionization of the bosons giving a broad continuum of reservoir modes fully occupied below the chemical potential.

In the situation described we find that the current response depends strongly on the direction of the applied chemical potential difference. The atomtronic diode’s conduction asymmetry becomes optimal when the height of the potential step in the middle of the diode is equal to the on-site interaction energy $U$. This general behavior is observed not only for the six-site lattice shown, but also for lattices containing variable numbers of sites. In order to explain the general mechanism, we consider the simplest case of a two-well system with potential offset $U$ and connected on each side to a reservoir. An appropriate basis for this two-site case is the number basis $|n, m\rangle$, where $n$ and $m$ are the numbers of atoms in the left and right wells respectively.

As shown pictorially in Fig. 2, the potential offset sets up a degeneracy between two states. The state $|2, 0\rangle$ is resonantly coupled to the state $|1, 1\rangle$ (double headed arrow), and both remain separated in energy from $|0, 2\rangle$. The reverse bias situation is shown in Fig. 2(a). If the chemical potentials for both the left reservoir, $\mu_L$, and right reservoir, $\mu_R$, are initially sufficiently low, the system begins in an equilibrium devoid of particles. Raising $\mu_R$ from this point leads to populating the $|0, 1\rangle$ state. Since this state is detuned from $|1, 0\rangle$ by the on-site interaction energy $U$, the steady state dynamics corresponds to virtually no current flow. Further raising $\mu_R$ allows the state $|0, 2\rangle$ to be occupied. This state is detuned from $|1, 1\rangle$ by $2U$. As a result, there is again no effective transport across the lattice system.

However, if $\mu_R$ is reset to its initial low value and $\mu_L$ raised, the resulting forward bias dynamics are quite different. As shown in Fig. 2(b), when $\mu_L$ is increased to the point where the $|2, 0\rangle$ state is occupied, this opens up the possibility for resonant transport of atoms from the left reservoir to the right reservoir via the two resonant current loops: $|2, 0\rangle \rightarrow |1, 1\rangle \rightarrow |1, 0\rangle \rightarrow |2, 0\rangle$ and $|2, 0\rangle \rightarrow |1, 1\rangle \rightarrow |2, 1\rangle \rightarrow |2, 0\rangle$.

This qualitative picture of the diode operation is supported in detail by the solution of the quantum dynamics for the open quantum system. We solve for the evolution of the reduced density operator of the system, $\hat{\sigma} \equiv \text{Tr}_{\text{res}}[\hat{\rho}]$, in which $\hat{\rho}$ is the full density operator of the system and reservoir, and the trace is over the reservoir degrees of freedom. Under the Born-Markov approximation, the evolution of $\hat{\sigma}$ is given by the master
equation \[20, 21, 22\],
\[
\left( \frac{d}{dt} + i\mathcal{L}_{\text{sys}} \right) \hat{\sigma}(t) = \int_0^\infty d\tau \hat{\mathcal{R}}(\tau) \hat{\sigma}(t), \tag{5}
\]
where the memory kernel is
\[
\hat{\mathcal{R}}(\tau) = \mathcal{T}_{\text{res}} \left[ i\mathcal{L}_V \exp[-i\tau(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{sys}} + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{res}})]i\mathcal{L}_V \right]. \tag{6}
\]

Here the Liouville operators \(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{sys}}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{res}},\) and \(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V\) are defined via commutators of their respective Hamiltonians, \(i.e.,\) for operator \(\hat{\Theta}, h\mathcal{L}_X \hat{\Theta} = [\hat{\mathcal{H}}_X, \hat{\Theta}], X \in \{\text{sys, res, } V\}\).

Substituting Eq. (6) into Eq. (5) yields the following closed form that is sufficiently simple to solve numerically:

\[
\frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dt} - \frac{1}{ih} [\hat{H}_{\text{sys}}, \hat{\sigma}] = -\frac{1}{h} \sum_i |g_i|^2 \sum_{\nu} \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\eta \tau} \left\{ [\hat{a}_{s_1}, \hat{a}_{s_1}^\dagger (-\tau) \hat{\sigma} (\hat{R}_{\nu l}^i, \hat{R}_{\nu l}(-\tau)) - [\hat{a}_{s_1}, \hat{\sigma} \hat{a}_{s_1}^\dagger (-\tau)] (\hat{R}_{\nu l}(-\tau) \hat{R}_{\nu l}^i) + [\hat{a}_{s_1}, \hat{\sigma} \hat{a}_{s_1}^\dagger (-\tau)] (\hat{R}_{\nu l} \hat{R}_{\nu l}^i(-\tau)) - [\hat{a}_{s_1}^\dagger, \hat{\sigma} \hat{a}_{s_1} (-\tau)] (\hat{R}_{\nu l}(-\tau) \hat{R}_{\nu l}^i) \right\} \tag{7}
\]

with \(\hat{a}_{s_1}(-\tau) = \exp(-i\hat{H}_{\text{sys}}\tau)\hat{a}_{s_1}\exp(i\hat{H}_{\text{sys}}\tau)\) and \(\hat{R}_{\nu l}(-\tau) = \exp(-i\hat{H}_{\text{res}}\tau)\hat{R}_{\nu l}\exp(i\hat{H}_{\text{res}}\tau)\) defining the system and reservoir operators respectively in the interaction picture. The parameter \(\eta\) regularizes the integral and remedies the infrared divergence that is needed to account correctly for the beyond Born-Markov effects that arise from the coupling of near degenerate system-reservoir levels \[23\]. The theory should be cutoff and renormalized to account properly for the ultraviolet divergence that arises from the replacement in Eq. (7) of \(g_{\nu l}\) by one that is mode independent, \(i.e.,\) \(g_{\nu l} = g_l\).

The numerical solution of the diode connected to a reservoir of this type in steady state is shown in Fig. 4. The reverse bias (inset) shows virtually no current response as a function of the chemical potential difference, and the forward bias case exhibits a turn-on of the current at the point where the resonance states previously discussed become occupied. As anticipated from the qualitative picture given earlier, when the current turns on, there are two observable steps associated with linear combinations of the two possible resonant current loops, with a rise broadened by \(\eta\).

Building on this understanding of the diode we now develop the atomtronic transistor. Since a transistor is a three terminal device, transistor action requires at least three lattice sites connected to three independent reservoirs. As seen in Fig. 4, the middle well is shifted down in energy by \(U\) with respect to both the left and right wells. To investigate the device characteristics, we put a fixed bias across the transistor. This means that \(\mu_L\) is higher than the value necessary to give an occupancy of one atom on the left system site, and \(\mu_R\) is below the value needed to deplete all atoms on the right system site. We then study the system's steady-state response to an increase of the middle chemical potential, \(\mu_M\).

When \(\mu_M\) is low, the middle site contains virtually no atoms and the system is locked in the \(|1, 0, 0\rangle\). This state is only weakly coupled to \(|0, 0, 1\rangle\) via a second-order off-resonant process through \(|0, 1, 0\rangle\). As the middle chemical potential is raised, a non-zero occupancy results on the middle site, and a resonance is accessed among the states \(|1, 1, 0\rangle\), \(|0, 2, 0\rangle\) and \(|0, 1, 1\rangle\). This triggers a cycle that corresponds to a significant current across the system, as shown in Fig. 4. High linear differential gain (inset) is achieved by ensuring that the system-reservoir coupling \(g_l\) in Eq. (7) is smaller in magnitude for the middle reservoir compared to the left and right couplings. The current that results from the \(|0, 2, 0\rangle\) to \(|0, 1, 0\rangle\) transition, \(i.e.,\) an atom leaving the system and entering the middle reservoir, is then much smaller than the current which flows from left to right across the device. In Fig. 5 results are plotted of the numerical simulation for the case in which the middle reservoir coupling is one-fifth that of the reservoirs on either end.

In conclusion, we have calculated device characteris-
Atomtronic devices will always be much slower than their electronic counterparts. For example, the overall current flow is determined principally by the tunneling rate from one site to the next [1]. Compensating for this is the fact that atomtronic studies are pursuing device physics in a novel physical system: unlike electrons, atoms have a complex internal structure and internal states, the atoms can be bosons or fermions, they are massive and affected by gravitational and electromagnetic fields, the material itself which is the optical lattice can be dynamically and spatially varied, and many unavoidable effects present in solid-state systems such as crystalline impurities, dislocations, and phonon scattering are absent.
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