Accuracy and diagnostic value of diffusion-weighted whole body imaging with background body signal suppression (DWIBS) in metastatic breast cancer
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Abstract

Background: Breast cancer is the most common malignant tumor among women. The mortality of the patients could be mainly attributed to metastasis and spread of breast cancer to distant sites. The objective of the current study is to evaluate and express the role of diffusion-weighted whole body imaging with background body signal suppression (DWIBS) in detection of osseous and soft tissue metastatic lesions in patients with cancer breast.

Results: The current prospective study included 50 female patients with pathologically proven breast cancer. The overall sensitivity of DWIBS and STIR were 97.5% and 92.5%, respectively. DWIBS was the most sensitive sequence with highest negative predictive values. Both DWIBS and STIR detected more vertebral metastatic deposits (100% and 97.8%, respectively) and more soft tissue lesions (94.4% for both) than WB DWI and T1WI.

Conclusion: DWIBS MRI sequence is an effective method for detection of solid organ, bone and lymph node metastasis but not specific for characterization of lesions.
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Background

Breast cancer is the most common malignant tumor among women. Its incidence is increasing with progress of age and its peak is seen among 50–60 age groups. The mortality of the patients could be mainly attributed to metastasis and spread of breast cancer to distant sites beyond axillary lymph nodes [1]. Breast cancer can spread to anywhere in body, involving the lungs, liver, or brain in addition to the regional lymph nodes. However, bony metastasis is the most common. Once a malignant tumor is detected, determination of its extension is important for appropriate treatment planning including surgery, radiation, chemotherapy, biological, and/or hormonal therapy [2].

Management decision of oncology patient is based on the presence of metastatic lesion, either bony or soft tissue, in addition to the size and extension of the lesions. This information can be obtained by Bone scans or PET-CT scans, however whole body MR imaging can provide the same information [3, 4]. Considering the condition of the oncology patients, they are already weakened, not only by the disease entity itself, but also by chemotherapy, so over radiation exposure as in bone scans or PET-CT scans may worsen the condition of the patients [5, 6]. It was reported that MRI can detect bone metastasis even before they manifest on the bone scan, plus they are non-invasive and radiation free [7, 8].
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Diffusion-weighted image (DWI) of the entire body is a promising technique, that can provide important information about the movement and functional status of the microenvironment of water in tissue. Changes in diffusion of water within pathological tissue may occur before they are seen on standard MR imaging [9, 10]. The concept of diffusion-weighted whole body imaging with background body signal suppression (DWIBS) allows unique features that may play an important role in whole body oncological imaging [8, 11].

This advanced technology allows quick performance of DWI in assessment of oncology patients with the application of whole body DWI, using the DWIBS [12, 13]. This application is available in almost all of the MRI machines, easily performed, takes short time and does not need contrast injection [14, 15]. In addition, MRI machines are widely available and less expensive than PET-CT or SPECT/CT with no radiation hazards which is the most important point [16, 17]. Whole body DWI technique can be applied as a routine method in metastatic workup of oncology patients instead of bone scan and PET-CT. It can help the clinicians to take their management decisions easily and more confident [3, 18].

The aim of this study is to evaluate and express the role of diffusion-weighted whole body imaging with background body signal suppression (DWIBS) in detection of osseous and soft tissue metastatic lesions in patients with cancer breast.

Methods
Fifty female patients were included in this study, referred during the period from September 2017 to March 2019, their ages ranged from 31 to 76 years. All the patients were pathologically proved to have breast cancer.

Each patient was subject to the following:

- History taking in details.
- Through clinical evaluation.
- Reviewing laboratory investigations and pathological reports.
- Reviewing previously done radiological investigations (MDCT, ultrasonography, bone scan)

MRI examination
All scans were performed on a 1.5 Tesla Achieva MRI machine (gradient strength = 33 mT/m, slew rate = 122 T/m/s, Philips Medical system). All the 50 patients underwent T1 WI, STIR, DWIBS, and WB-DWI with multiple \( b \) values.

The detected metastatic lesions of the 50 patients by modalities as computed tomography and bone scan were classified into bony and soft tissue metastatic lesions. These findings will be compared to the findings of whole body MRI sequences.

Inclusion criteria
Patients with known cancer breast and suspected osseous, nodal, or solid organ metastases.

Exclusion criteria
Patients with MRI contraindication like heart pacemaker, metallic foreign body, metallic stent, or aneurysm clips.
- Claustrophobic patients

Patient preparation
No special preparation was needed.

Any metallic object was removed before performing MRI

- No need for contrast administration.

MRI imaging protocol

**DWIBS parameters**
We use the SENSE parallel imaging technique in axial image with 4 stacks using the body coil that reconstructed in coronal view in following parameters (Table 1).

**WB-DWI parameters**
Axial DWI from the skull vault to the mid-thigh is performed using \( b \) values of 50 s/mm\(^2\) and a \( b \) value of 900 s/mm\(^2\) with a slice thickness of 5 mm. The axial DWI acquisition is usually achieved in 4 contiguous stations with each station taking approximately 6 min to acquire. The high \( b \) value images are then reconstructed in orthogonal planes as thin multiplanar reconstructions (5 mm) and as thick 3D maximum intensity projections (MIPs) which are usually displayed using an inverted grey scale. ADC maps are computed in line with system software using mono exponential fitting in which each voxel reflects the tissue diffusivity (unit: \( \mu \)m\(^2\)/s).

T1WI and STIR whole body MRI images were also done in coronal planes for each patient with free breathing technique and the following parameters (Table 2).

**Table 1** The resulting DWIBS images were displayed by using black and white inverse gray scales

| Parameters             | Value      |
|------------------------|------------|
| TR                     | > 5000 ms  |
| TE                     | < 70 ms    |
| EPI factors            | 47         |
| SENSE factor           | 2          |
| \( b \) value          | 1000 s/mm  |
| Slice thickness        | 4 mm       |
| Breath hold            | Not needed |
| Total acquisition time | 10 min     |
The MRI findings were assessed independently by two well-experienced radiologists (10 and 8 years post MD). They had access only to the clinical history. Conjoint meeting was done if there was any controversy and reviewing the case to reach final decision.

DWIBS images were applied in inverted grey scale and applied in 2D MIP coronal images, while WB-DWI was applied in both, coronal and axial cuts which consumes much more time for interpretation. Anatomical correlation was done by T1 and STIR sequences.

The criteria for the solid organ (lung, liver, spleen, pancreas, kidney, suprarenal, bowel loops) and bone marrow focal lesions were abnormal signal intensity displaying low signal intensity on T1WI and high signal intensity on STIR, WB-DWI, and DWIBS.

The criteria for pathological lymph node involvement were the same signal abnormality as the previously described lesions in addition to the size (positive when more than 1 cm) or forming mass lesion.

The number and localization of the lesions were compared among the different sequences to find which one can detect more lesions.

In DWIBS, qualitative analysis can be performed directly from the reformatted view on the three planes. The normal appearance of different organs varies from each other. The normal blood vessels, fat, muscle, and bowel show suppressed signals while other normal structures like the spleen, ovaries, endometrium, and the spinal cord remain visible. Subjective classification of the lesions was done based on the signal pattern and intensity in addition to its shape. The ADC value is not applicable in DWIBS. Generally, malignant lesions show high SI and variable appearance than the benign lesions. Beside diffusion-weighted sequence, information obtained from T1-weighted and STIR images for accurate pathological detection and to exclude artifacts from the diffusion-weighted sequence images.

All results were correlated with findings detected by other imaging modalities if available, like contrast-enhanced CT for soft tissue lesion and bone scan for bone metastases (Table 3).

### Statistical analysis

The data collected was analyzed and findings were obtained using the statistical package for social science (SPSS) windows package version 22.0. Descriptive analysis was conducted, i.e., frequencies and percentages for the continuous variables such as age and for categorical variables such as gender, mean, and standard deviation. Sensitivity, specificity, negative, and positive predictive values were calculated by using CT and bone scan findings as a standard of reference.

### Results

Fifty breast cancer female patients were included in the study; age ranged from 31 to 76 years (mean 49.16 ± 14.041). All patients were pathologically proven to be invasive duct carcinoma. All patients were subjected to MRI examination in the form of whole body T1WI, STIR, DWIBS, and whole body diffusion with multiple $b$ values. In all patients, the distant metastases were well depicted in lymph nodes, solid organs, and bone marrow in T1WI, STIR images, and DWIBS and WB-DW.

All the images were technically appropriate, and no technique-related complications were observed. All the images presented a good quality, despite the presence of artifacts, not affecting the diagnostic outcome. Such artifacts occurred pre-dominantly in the chest and abdomen, and were caused by breathing, heartbeats and/or body motion.

In all patients, the distant metastases were well depicted in soft tissue (liver, lung, brain, breast and lymph nodes) and bone marrow in all sequences; T1WI, STIR, WB-DWI, and DWIBS.

On calculating time taking for each sequence, it was obviously noted that DWIBS takes the least time which was easier for the patients. Whole body T1 and STIR MRI sequences take about 30 min each. Whole body DWI MRI with two $b$ values takes about 45 min, and DWIBS takes only 10–15 min.

There was a good agreement between the two radiologists regarding the MRI findings and interpretation with

### Table 2

| Parameters     | T1   | T2   | STIR |
|----------------|------|------|------|
| TR             | 466  | 1221 | 6800 |
| TE             | 18   | 80   | 70   |
| FOV: Right/left| 530  | 530  | 530  |
| Anterior/posterior| 265  | 275  | 277  |
| Feet/head      | 224  | 224  | 224  |
| Slice thickness| 8 mm | 8 mm | 8 mm |
| Gap            | 1 mm | 1 mm | 1 mm |

### Table 3

| CECT and bone scan findings | Number |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Bony lesions                | 20 cases|
| Soft tissue lesions         | 10 cases|
| Both bone & soft tissue lesions | 10 cases|
| No lesions                  | 10 cases|
| Soft tissue lesions detected by CECT | 36 lesions|
| Bony lesion detected by bone scan | 45 lesions|
a minor controversy solved by conjoint meeting and reviewing the case together to take final decision.

The detected lesions were categorized into two main types: bony and soft tissue lesions, and then subcategorized according to their anatomical sites. The total lesions are calculated in all cases and the sensitivity, specificity, positive, and negative predictive values are calculated for each in comparison to the findings of the previously done CECT and bone scan (Tables 4 and 5).

### Total lesions

The total number of both bony and soft tissue metastatic lesions detected in the 50 patients calculated and represented in Tables 6 and 7. The overall sensitivity of DWIBS and STIR were 97.5% and 92.5%, respectively. DWIBS was the most sensitive sequence with highest negative predictive values (Figs. 1 and 2).

On the other hand, T1WI was the least sensitive (85%) with the lowest negative predictive value in comparison to other sequences.

The WB DWI was the most specific sequence in detecting metastatic bony and soft tissue lesions (specificity 100% with positive predictive value = 100%), while its sensitivity was 87.5% with negative predictive value = 66.6%.

### Bony lesions

DWIBS and STIR were the most sensitive with the highest negative predictive value. Both DWIBS and STIR detected more vertebral metastatic deposits (100% and 97.8%, respectively) than WB DWI and T1WI (Table 6).

Only WB-DWI had excellent lesion characterisation due to the ability of measuring ADC value for the lesion (specificity and positive predictive value 100%). However, WB-DWI missed 5 lesions, 3 vertebral, 1 pelvic, and 1 small lesion at the shoulder girdle. This is due to abdominal and respiratory movement and inadequate fat suppression.

T1WI, STIR, and even DWIBS could not characterize a suspected hip joint bony lesion either inflammatory or metastatic deposit. The case was finally interpreted as an inflammatory lesion based on the ADC value applied on WB DWI (Fig. 3).

### Soft tissue lesions

DWIBS and STIR were the most sensitive with high negative predictive values as both detected more soft tissue lesions (94.4% for both) than T1WI and WB-DWI (Table 7).

DWIBS and WB-DWI detected one hepatic focal lesion more obviously, while STIR detected it in retrograde. However, WB-DWI missed 4 breast lesions due to inadequate fat suppression (Fig. 4).

DWIBS missed a small lung nodule and could not detect a small brain lesion as the lesion showed faint diffusion restriction on WB DWI and could not be detected with suppression signals on DWIBS. However, it showed breast lesions more obviously, even more than WB DWI and T1WI.

T1WI was the least sequences to detect hepatic and LN lesions, however detected breast lesions more than WB DWI.

### Discussion

Metastatic breast cancer is defined when there is spread of the disease to involve other organs or other lymph nodes rather than the axillary lymph nodes [1]. Although metastatic lesions usually appear after several years from the primary lesions, sometimes detected at the same time when the primary lesion detected. Rarely, metastatic lesion can be detected before the primary lesions [2]. Breast cancer can be detected anywhere in body including lungs, regional lymph nodes, liver, and brain but mainly involving the bone [1, 2]. Management decision of oncology patients varies according to presence of metastatic lesion or not. Whole body imaging can detect distant metastatic lesions and can provide full information regarding the site, size, number, and shape. In addition, it can detect both bony and soft tissue
lesions. Bone scans and/or PET-CT scans are widely used for this purpose [3, 4]. MRI including DWI and DWIBS can also detect metastatic lesions; however, the relative availability of this technique and local experience should first be taken into account (Table 8), on the contrary to bone scan and PET-CT [9].

WB-DWI and DWIBS can be used to elicit metastases as the DWI is sensitive to the random (Brownian) motion of water molecules. In biologic tissue, the presence of impeding barriers (cell membranes, fibers, and macromolecules) interferes with the free displacement (diffusion) of water molecules [19]. Consequently, the

Table 7 Number and site distribution of metastatic soft tissue lesions detected by MRI sequences

| Sequence site | T1   | ST  | WB-DW | DWIBS |
|---------------|------|-----|-------|-------|
| Liver         | 2    | 3   | 5     | 5     |
| Lung          | 9    | 9   | 9     | 8     |
| Breast        | 10   | 12  | 8     | 12    |
| LNs           | 7    | 9   | 9     | 9     |
| Brain         | 1    | 1   | 1     | 0     |
| Total         | 29(80.6%) | 34(94.4%) | 32(88.9%) | 34(94.4%) |
| Missed lesions | 7(19.4%) | 2(5.6%) | 4(11.1%) | 2(5.6%) |

Fig. 1 A 48-year-old female diagnosed as invasive duct carcinoma GII left breast. a Lt. breast lesion seen in A coronal T1WI, B coronal STIR, and D DWIBS but not seen in C WB-DWI. b Multiple thoracic vertebral deposits seen at a coronal T1WI, B coronal STIR, C WB-DWI, and D DWIBS. DWIBS detected the left breast lesion more obviously than T1WI and STIR, and detected multiple osseous deposits even more than WB-DWI.
signal intensity in DWI depends on the separation and permeability of these impeding boundaries. The degree of restriction to water diffusion in biologic tissue is inversely correlated to the tissue cellularity and the integrity of cell membranes [20, 21].

Tissues with a high cellular density show more restriction of the water molecules motion (e.g., tumor tissue). Based on this principle, the application of WB-DWI in evaluation of cancer patients is widely used and increasing, as the tumor tissue displays higher signal intensity (restricted diffusion) than the surrounding normal tissue [20, 22].

Whole-body diffusion-weighted MRI (WB-DWI) and DWIBS are powerful sequences adjunct to anatomical whole-body MRI because it provides a functional assessment of disease, can quantify disease extent, does not require the administration of exogenous contrast medium and can be performed in reasonably short examination times [3, 20]. Furthermore, WB-DWI can improve the reading and test performance of anatomical

Fig. 2 A 46-year-old female diagnosed as invasive duct carcinoma GII right breast. a Rt. breast lesion appears hypointense in A T1WI, hyperintense in B STIR, and restricted in D DWIBS but it does not appear on C WB-DWI due to inadequate fat suppression. b Rt. humerus and right femur lesion are seen hypointense in A coronal T1WI, hyperintense in coronal STIR (B), and restricted in DWIBS (D), but not seen at WB-DWI (C) due to inadequate fat suppression. DWIBS can detect both the breast lesion and osseous metastasis and the time of examination was about 10 min. (Correlated with bone scan and CT)
whole-body MR examinations because areas of increased cellularity are depicted as regions of high signal-intensity, therefore allowing an 'at-a-glance' assessment of disease and distribution [11, 23].

Initial studies describing WB-DWI imaging were focusing on detection of the metastatic bony lesions especially in patients having malignancies known to have high incidence of metastatic spread to the skeletal system [24]. However, the subsequent studies and application of DWIBS show the additional value of this sequence in comparison to WB-MR imaging without DWI [25, 26].

In the current study, it was obviously noted that DWIBS takes short time on patient examination (about 10 to 15 min) while WBDWI with 2 $b$ values takes about 45 min, and both T1WI and STIR takes about 30 min each. This denotes that DWIBS is easier for the patient and allowing saving money and time and this goes with the study of Takahara T [27].

In this study, 50 female patients (their ages ranged between 31 to 76 years and mean age 49 years) were pathologically proven primary breast malignancy cases. All the 50 patients underwent T1WI and STIR sequences for anatomical correlation and adequate assessment. This is similar to the study done by Abdel Wahab et al. [28].

The resulting DWIBS images were displayed by using black and white inverse gray scales. This goes with the
parameters used in the study by Sushil G. et al. [29] The detected metastatic lesions (in the 50 patients) were classified into two main groups, metastatic soft tissue lesion and metastatic bony lesions detected by computed tomography and bone scan as a standard for comparison and correlation with the findings of whole body diffusion MRI. This goes with the method of analysis done in the study of Abdel Wahab et al. [28].

In this study, WB-DWI MRI detected almost all metastatic soft tissue extra osseous lesions and almost all metastatic bony lesions. Its sensitivity in detection of metastatic lesions was 87.5% , while its specificity was 100% with positive predictive value = 100% (the most specific MR sequence). On the other hand, the sensitivity and negative predictive value of both STIR and DWIBS were higher (DWIBS was the most sensitive sequence (97.5%)), and this is nearly similar to the results reported by Abdel Wahab et al. [28].

In the current study, DWIBS was 100% sensitive in detection of metastatic bony lesions and had positive predictive value = 100%. Similar results were reported by Gandage SG. et al. [30] and Kachewar SG [31]. While in detection of metastatic extra-osseous lesions, its sensitivity was 94.4% with positive predictive value = 97.5%.

In the current study, WB-DWI detected most of metastatic bony lesions. The missed lesions were three in lumber vertebrae, one at the shoulder girdle, and one at the pelvic bones, mostly due to intestinal and respiratory movement as have other studies reported [23, 32, 33].

In this study, a suspicious pelvic bony lesion could not be differentiated by DWIBS, T1WI, or STIR sequences whether being malignant or inflammatory. On the other hand, on WB-DWI with ADC mapping, it was certified to be inflammatory; so it was concluded that WB-DWI is more useful in lesion characterization which goes with the studies done by Cheng L.I. et al. [34] and Sommer G. et al. [35]. Sun et al. [36] have reported that DWIBS coupled with ADC analysis at 3T is effective in detection of bone metastases.

| Criteria                         | Bone scan | PET Scan | DWIBS MRI |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Availability                    | Less      | Lesser   | More      |
| Prior preparation               | Needed    | Needed   | Unnecessary |
| Scan time                       | More      | More     | Less      |
| Radiation exposure              | Yes       | Yes      | No        |
| Repeatability                   | Limited   | Limited  | Unlimited |
| Usage in pregnant patients      | No        | No       | Unlimited |
| Usage in pediatric patients     | Limited   | Limited  | Unlimited |
| Cost of study                   | More      | More     | Less      |

**Table 8** The relative availability of DWIBS MRI on the contrary to bone scan and PET-CT.
One of the major advantages of the DWIBS sequence is that it can detect and assess the extra-osseous lesions [37, 38]. In this study, almost all metastatic soft tissue lesions were detected by DWIBS including the liver, lung, breast, and lymph nodes with overall sensitivity 94.4%. However, a small lung nodule and a small brain lesion were missed in DWIBS. So, whole body MRI (T1 and STIR) was complementary to detect these lesions. The missed lung lesion could be attributed to signal loss and artifacts due to severe tissue motion as reported by Daniel P. et al. [12] and Sakurada A et al. [39].

In current study, WB-DWI was able to detect almost all extra osseous lesions only missed four breast lesions with sensitivity 88.9%. This is mostly due to inadequate fat suppression, as these lesions were obviously shown at the DWIBS sequence as a result of the adequate fat suppression. Similar findings were reported by Takahara T [27], and Koh DM. et al. [40].

Also, both WB-DWI and DWIBS obviously show small hepatic focal lesions which are noted in retrograde in other MRI sequences. So, both can be applied for extraskeletal tumor detection and characterization. Similar response was achieved in a study done by Vilanova JC. et al. [37] and also goes with the study of Tomizawa M. et al. [38].

Also, Cheng L.I., et al. [34] had studied the ability of DWIBS in detection of metastatic deposits in various location concerning bone, LN, and solid organs and concluded a different result than in this study, as the ability of conventional MRI sequences to detect osteoblastic metastasis, mediastinal LNs, lung, and brain metastases were better than WB-DWI in their study. New studies reported that DWIBS/STIR sequences are effective modality for the pre-therapeutic staging and management. DWIBS has a promising imaging tool in the evaluation of patients with malignancies [41, 42].

This study concluded that whole body DWIBS can accurately detect both bony and extra osseous soft tissue metastatic lesions, as DWIBS detected almost all metastatic lesions detected by other modalities (CT and bone scan) in all patients included in the study. High degree of agreement existed between DWIBS and STIR than between DWIBS and other conventional MRI sequences. However, the number of lesions in each case depicted in DWIBS was higher than those depicted in other sequences, while the conventional MRI sequences localized these lesions more accurately.

Limitations of the current study
There were two main limitations of this study; the first one was the small sample size and the other limitation was that biopsy could not be taken from all metastatic lesions; however, all contributed patients had pathologically proven breast cancer.

Conclusion
DWIBS MRI sequence is an effective method for detection of solid organ, bone, and lymph node metastasis but not specific for characterization of lesions. It has excellent diagnostic accuracy in detection of distant metastatic lesions and represents a promising tool for tumor surveillance and metastatic workup of the oncology patients. In addition, there is no hazards of ionizing radiation or contrast media administration and also has better spatial resolution. The relative availability of this technique and local experience should first be taken in consideration.
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