Prioritized Expression of BTN2 of Saccharomyces cerevisiae under Pronounced Translation Repression Induced by Severe Ethanol Stress
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Severe ethanol stress (>9% ethanol, v/v) as well as glucose deprivation rapidly induces a pronounced repression of overall protein synthesis in budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Therefore, transcriptional activation in yeast cells under severe ethanol stress does not always indicate the production of expected protein levels. Messenger RNAs of genes containing heat shock elements can be intensively translated under glucose deprivation, suggesting that some mRNAs are preferentially translated even under severe ethanol stress. In the present study, we tried to identify the mRNA that can be preferentially translated under severe ethanol stress. BTN2 encodes a v-SNARE binding protein, and its null mutant shows hypersensitivity to ethanol. We found that BTN2 mRNA was efficiently translated under severe ethanol stress but not under mild ethanol stress. Moreover, the increased Btn2 protein levels caused by severe ethanol stress were smoothly decreased with the elimination of ethanol stress. These findings suggested that severe ethanol stress extensively induced BTN2 expression. Further, the BTN2 promoter induced protein synthesis of non-native genes such as CUR1, GIC2, and YUR1 in the presence of high ethanol concentrations, indicating that this promoter overcame severe ethanol stress-induced translation repression. Thus, our findings provide an important clue about yeast response to severe ethanol stress and suggest that the BTN2 promoter can be used to improve the efficiency of ethanol production and stress tolerance of yeast cells by modifying gene expression in the presence of high ethanol concentration.
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INTRODUCTION

Budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae produces ethanol through alcoholic fermentation. Ethanol concentrations in wine must and Japanese sake mash reach high levels in the final stage of brewing. High ethanol concentration exerts adverse effects on yeast cells and inhibits yeast cell growth and viability by inducing severe stress. Ethanol concentration of >9% (v/v) blocks the nuclear export of bulk poly(A)+ mRNA and represses translation initiation in yeast cells (Takeamura et al., 2004; Izawa et al., 2005a;b; Iwaki et al., 2013; Yamamoto and Izawa, 2013). Repression of overall protein synthesis in yeast cells under severe ethanol stress indicates that increased mRNA expression does
not always result in the expected increase in protein expression (Izawa, 2010, 2015). Pronounced repression of overall protein synthesis seems to be one of the primary causes of growth suppression of yeast cells under severe ethanol stress.

During translation repression, untranslated mRNAs leave the translation apparatus and form the cytoplasmic messenger ribonucleoprotein (mRNP) granules such as processing bodies (P-bodies) and stress granules (SGs) under severe stress conditions. It has been reported that glucose deprivation, NaCl, high vanillin concentration, and robust heat shock repress translation activity in yeast cells and induce the formation of P-bodies and SGs (Teixeira et al., 2005; Balagopal and Parker, 2009; Buchan and Parker, 2009; Grousl et al., 2009; Buchan et al., 2011; Nguyen et al., 2014, 2015). P-bodies and SGs play important roles in the regulation of gene expression under severe stress (Balagopal and Parker, 2009; Buchan and Parker, 2009). Severe ethanol stress also activates the formation of P-bodies and SGs in yeast cells (Izawa et al., 2007; Kato et al., 2011).

Proteins required for stress tolerance are intensively synthesized under severe stress despite the pronounced repression of translation activity. Glucose deprivation rapidly causes a reduction in overall protein synthesis in yeast cells (Ashe et al., 2000). Zid and O’Shea (2014) reported that mRNAs encoding small heat shock proteins (sHSPs), such as HSP26 and HSP30, are preferentially translated during glucose deprivation. Promoter sequences of these genes contain heat shock elements (HSEs) that not only affect the mRNA levels of these genes but also affect the efficiency of mRNA translation during glucose deprivation. Recently, we also reported that the BDH2 promoter and ADH7 promoter-driven mRNAs were preferentially translated under severe vanillin stress, which induces translation repression (Nguyen et al., 2015; Ishida et al., 2016). However, no information is available on yeast mRNAs that are efficiently translated under severe ethanol stress. Identification of these mRNAs is important for understanding the response of yeast cells to severe stress.

Therefore, we examined the expression of previously reported genes associated with ethanol tolerance. In the present study, we focused on BTN2 whose deficiency induces hypersensitivity to ethanol (Espinoza-Romeu et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2011). BTN2 encodes a v-SNARE binding protein that is involved in intracellular protein trafficking (Kama et al., 2007) and plays a role in protein deposition in the nucleus (Miller et al., 2015). Because Btn2 is important for the correct localization of various proteins, btn2Δ cells show pleiotropic phenotypes, including decreased resistance to ethanol, acidic pH, hydrostatic pressure, and L-canavanine (Chattopadhyay et al., 2000; Chattopadhyay and Pearce, 2002; Kim et al., 2005; Espinoza-Romeu et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2011). We found that BTN2 mRNA was efficiently translated under severe ethanol stress and that Btn2 protein levels decreased after ethanol elimination. Moreover, the BTN2 promoter induced the expression of non-native genes such as CUR1, GIC2, and YUR1 under severe ethanol stress. These findings suggested that BTN2 expression responded to severe ethanol stress and that the BTN2 promoter could be used to improve ethanol tolerance or produce useful proteins during brewing by modifying yeast gene expression under severe ethanol stress.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Strains and Medium

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain BY4742 (MATa his3Δ1 ura3Δ0 leu2Δ0 lys2Δ0) was used in this study. Yeast cells were cultured in SD medium (2% glucose, 0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 20 mg/L uracil, 30 mg/L l-lysine HCl, 100 mg/L l-leucine, and 20 mg/L l-histidine HCl) at 28°C with reciprocal shaking (120 rpm).

#### Chemicals and Analysis Methods

Exponentially growing yeast cells were harvested when OD600 of the culture medium reached 0.5 and were treated with various concentrations of ethanol (Wako, Osaka, Japan). Cycloheximide (CHX) was purchased from Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan. Polysome profile analysis was performed using a method described by Inada and Aiba (2005). The preparation of yeast extract and sucrose gradient separation for polysome profile analysis was performed using a gradient master and fractionator (107~201 M and 152~002, BioComp Instruments, Fredericton, NB, Canada). Polysome ratio was determined as the percentage of area under polysomal ribosome peaks relative to that under total ribosome peaks, according to a method described by Hofmann et al. (2012). Fluorescence microscopic analysis was performed using a Leica AF6500 fluorescence microscope system (Leica Microsystems Vertrieb GmbH, Hessen, Germany).

#### Plasmids

Sequences of primers used for constructing plasmids are listed in Table 1. Genomic DNA from S. cerevisiae strain BY4742 was used as the template for amplifying yeast genes by PCR.

**Ylp-BTN2-FLAG-BTN2**

The integrate-type plasmid Ylp-BTN2-FLAG was constructed to determine Btn2 protein expression. This plasmid contained a part of the BTN2 open reading frame (ORF), a FLAG tag sequence (encoded by 24 nt) immediately upstream of the stop codon, and a 3’-flanking region of BTN2. A 0.6-kb fragment encoding the part of BTN2ORF and a 0.2-kb fragment encoding BTN2FLAG-Ter were amplified using primer sets BTN2ORF - F/BTN2ORF - R and BTN2FLAG-Ter/F/BTN2FLAG-Ter-R, respectively. Amplicons obtained were digested using XhoI and KpnI sites of pJK67 (Kahana et al., 1998) to construct Ylp-BTN2-FLAG-BTN2Ter. To integrate the BTN2-FLAG-BTN2Ter gene in the chromosomal BTN2 locus, Ylp-BTN2-FLAG-BTN2Ter was linearized by digesting it with HpaI and was introduced into yeast cells.

**Ylp-BTN2-FLAG-ADH6**

A 0.4-kb fragment encoding ADH6FLAG-Ter and a 0.7-kb fragment encoding TEF1FLAG-Ter were amplified using primer
sets ADH6-FLAG-Ter-F/ADH6-FLAG-Ter-R and TEF1-FLAG-Ter-F/TEF1-FLAG-Ter-R, respectively. Amplicons obtained were digested using XhoI/KpnI and were cloned into the XhoI/KpnI sites of Yip-BTN2-FLAG-BTN2Ter to construct Yip-BTN2-FLAG-ADH6Ter and Yip-BTN2-FLAG-TEF1Ter, respectively. To integrate them in the chromosomal BTN2 locus, they were linearized with HpaII and introduced into yeast cells.

pRS316-BTN2Pro/FLAG-Ter
A 1.2 kbp fragment of the BTN2 promoter region (BTN2Pro) and a 0.2 kbp BTN2-FLAG-Ter fragment were amplified using primer sets BTN2Pro2-F/BTN2Pro2-R and BTN2-FLAG-Ter-F/BTN2-FLAG-Ter-R, respectively, and were cloned into the Spel/EcoRI sites and the XhoI/KpnI sites, respectively, of pRS316 (Sikorski and Hieter, 1989) to construct pRS316-BTN2Pro/FLAG-Ter, that was named pYY2712. ORFs of CURI (0.8 kbp), GIC2 (1.2 kbp), and YUR1 (1.3 kbp) were amplified using primer sets CURI-F/CURI-R, GIC2-F/GIC2-R, and YUR1-F/YUR1-R, respectively. Amplicons obtained were cloned into the pYY2712 that was previously digested with EcoRI/SalI to construct pYY plasmid series, pYY-CURI-FLAG (pRS316-BTN2Pro-CURIOrf-BTN2-FLAG-Ter), pYY-GIC2-FLAG (pRS316-BTN2Pro-GIC2Orf-BTN2-FLAG-Ter), and pYY-YUR1-FLAG (pRS316-BTN2Pro-YUR1Orf-BTN2-FLAG-Ter), respectively, by using gap repair cloning method (Goto and Nagano, 2013).

Quantitative Reverse Transcription-PCR
Relative mRNA levels of the BTN2, HSP30, CURI-FLAG, GIC2-FLAG, and YUR1-FLAG genes were determined by performing quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR). Total RNA was extracted from yeast cells by using a method described by Schmitt et al. (1990). RNA obtained was reverse transcribed to cDNA by using ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Master Mix FSB-201 (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative PCR was performed using Thermal Cyclcer Dice Real Time System Lite (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan) and SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ II (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan). Comparison of mRNA expression levels was performed by normalizing the mRNA level of each gene to that of ACT1 (Takahashi et al., 2011). The mRNA level was expressed as the ratio of normalized mRNA level of the target gene to that of the reference gene. Oligonucleotide sequences of primers used in qRT-PCR are listed in Table 2.

Western Blotting
After the treatment of cells with ethanol stress, cell-free extract (CFE) in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) was prepared. Total protein concentration of the CFE was measured using Protein Assay CBB Solution kit (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan). Thirty six micrograms of total protein was applied to each difluoride membranes (Immobilon-P; Merck Millipore Ltd, Japan). Comparison of mRNA expression levels was performed by normalizing the mRNA level of each gene to that of ACT1 (Takahashi et al., 2011). The mRNA level was expressed as the ratio of normalized mRNA level of the target gene to that of the reference gene. Oligonucleotide sequences of primers used in qRT-PCR are listed in Table 2.

### Table 1 | List of primers used in plasmid construction.

| Name                  | Sequence                                                                 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BTN2Orf-F             | 5′- ACTTTTCTAGATTTGTTAGTTAAGCATGA-3′                                   |
| BTN2Orf-R             | 5′- TACCTCCTGAGATATCCTCTCTCAATTAAGA-3′                                  |
| BTN2FLAG-Ter-F        | 5′- GATATCCTGAGATCTCACAACAGGAGGATGACAAAGTGATTTAATCATATACACTCCCATC-3′     |
| BTN2FLAG-Ter-R        | 5′- ATAGGTGACCAAAATACGGATATCAATA-3′                                    |
| BTN2pro2-F            | 5′- TGAGGTGCTAGCTGATATCGTTAG-3′                                        |
| BTN2pro2-R            | 5′- GAAAAGAGTTCTATATTGTAAGGCTGATCA-3′                                   |
| CURI1-F               | 5′- AACCAAAAGAAATAATCATGAGACCACCCCTTACACTATATAGAATGGATGCTGCGCATGATTGTTGCAACCTATTCTT-3′ |
| CURI1-R               | 5′- TTATACACCATCTGTAGATCCCTCTTGTGACAGTTTAACTCAATCTGTAAGACTTGACGACGAC-3′ |
| GIC2-F                | 5′- AACCAAAAGAAATAATCATGAGACCACCCCTTACACTATAGAATGGATGCTGCGCATGATTGTTGCAACCTATTCTT-3′ |
| GIC2-R                | 5′- TTATACACCATCTGTAGATCCCTCTTGTGACAGTTTAACTCAATCTGTAAGACTTGACGACGAC-3′ |
| YUR1-F                | 5′- AACCAAAAGAAATAATCATGAGACCACCCCTTACACTATAGAATGGATGCTGCGCATGATTGTTGCAACCTATTCTT-3′ |
| YUR1-R                | 5′- TTATACACCATCTGTAGATCCCTCTTGTGACAGTTTAACTCAATCTGTAAGACTTGACGACGAC-3′ |
| ADH6FLAG-Ter-F        | 5′- GCAAGGCGATCGTGACTCAAGATGAGCAGGACTAGTGCAAGTAGTTGCTGCAACCTATTCTT-3′    |
| ADH6FLAG-R            | 5′- GAAAAGAGTTCTATATTGTAAGGCTGATCA-3′                                   |
| TEF1FLAG-Ter-F        | 5′- GCAAGGCGATCGTGACTCAAGATGAGCAGGACTAGTGCAAGTAGTTGCTGCAACCTATTCTT-3′    |
| TEF1FLAG-R            | 5′- GCAAGGCGATCGTGACTCAAGATGAGCAGGACTAGTGCAAGTAGTTGCTGCAACCTATTCTT-3′    |

### Table 2 | List of primers used in qRT-PCR.

| Name  | Sequence                                                                 |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ACT1-F | 5′- TCCTGATTTCTCTTGTTACTGTTAACAAGC-3′                                   |
| ACT1-R | 5′- TGGAGAGATTTGCGACTGTTTATCAGTAC-3′                                    |
| BTN2-F | 5′- TCTTCCATGCGAGGATAGC-3′                                              |
| BTN2-R | 5′- CTGAGGCTTCTGTTCTGTTCTGTTT-3′                                       |
| HSP30-F | 5′- TGAGGCTTTTACGACGACGAC-3′                                             |
| HSP30-R | 5′- GACACTCAGAAACTGCCCAT-3′                                              |
| CUR1-F | 5′- CTTTAAATATTAGGATTCTGTCCTCCAAATATCA-3′                               |
| GIC2-F | 5′- CCTGATAGCTTCCTGCTTGTTCA-3′                                          |
| YUR1-F | 5′- ACTGTATCATGAGATTCTGCA-3′                                             |
| FLAG-R | 5′- TGCGGATGATCTTCCTG-3′                                                |
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MA, USA). The blotted membranes were blocked with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and 1% skim milk for 10 min. After washing, the membranes were incubated with anti-FLAG M2 primary antibody (dilution, 1:1,000; Sigma–Aldrich, MO, USA) to monitor the levels of FLAG-tagged proteins (Btn2, Cur1, Gic2, and Yur1). Pgk1 was used as a loading control, and its level was monitored using a monoclonal anti-Pgk1 primary antibody (22C5D8; dilution, 1:4,000; Life Technologies, Frederick, MD, USA). The anti-FLAG and anti-Pgk1 primary antibodies were detected using HRP-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody (dilution, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA). Antibody binding was detected using Chemi-Lumi One L Western blotting detection reagents (Code No. 07880-70; Nacalai Tesque). The bands of the Western blot were quantified using Image Studio Digits Ver 4.0 software (LI-COR Biotechnology, Lincoln, NE, USA). Levels of FLAG-tagged proteins were normalized to that of Pgk1, and the intensity of the Pgk1 band in each lane was set at 100%.

**FIGURE 1 | Severe ethanol stress inhibited translation initiation in yeast cells.** (A) The polysome profile of yeast cells under severe ethanol stress was determined. Yeast cells in the exponential growth phase in the SD medium at 28°C were treated with mild heat shock at 37°C for 60 min, 5% ethanol for 60 min, or 9 or 10% (v/v) ethanol (severe ethanol stress) for the indicated time. Polysome, 40S (small ribosomal subunit), 60S (large ribosomal subunit), and 80S (monosome) peaks are labeled. The numbers in the panels indicate the percentages of polysomal ribosomes. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). (B,C) Yeast cells carrying the GFP-tagged chromosomal copy of HSP30 were treated with 5 or 9% ethanol stress or with mild heat shock at 37°C for 60 min. (B) HSP30 mRNA level was analyzed by performing qRT-PCR and was normalized with that of ACT1. Each value is expressed as the mean ± SD of relative fold change in expression normalized to that in untreated control cells (n = 3). (C) Hsp30-GFP synthesis was detected by performing fluorescence microscopic analysis. Cells under stress were observed immediately after treatment without fixation. Exposure time is the same in all the images. The white bar indicates 5 μm. BF, bright field.
RESULTS

High Concentration Ethanol Stress Caused the Repression of Translation Activity

First, we performed polysome profile analysis to verify whether severe ethanol stress repressed translation activity in yeast cells (Figure 1A). Treatment of yeast cells with 9 or 10% (v/v) ethanol induced a pronounced rapid reduction in polysome fraction and a concomitant increase in monosome fraction (80S), indicating a significant repression of translation initiation, which was similar to that observed during glucose deprivation (Ashe et al., 2000). Further, prolonged treatment of yeast cells with 10% ethanol maintained ethanol stress-induced translation repression for at least 180 min. These data clearly confirmed that severe ethanol stress (>9% ethanol, v/v) considerably repressed overall protein synthesis in yeast cells. Although mRNA levels of HSP30 increased in yeast cells treated with 9% ethanol for 60 min (Figure 1B), Hsp30-GFP protein expression was negligible as indicated by very weak fluorescence intensity (Figure 1C). However, exposure to heat shock at 37°C or treatment with 5% ethanol (mild ethanol stress) induced Hsp30-GFP protein expression in the plasma membrane of yeast cells (Figure 1C), indicating that severe ethanol stress repressed Hsp30 protein synthesis. These results confirmed that increased mRNA expression did not necessarily indicate an increase in corresponding protein expression in yeast cells under severe ethanol stress because of the repression of overall protein synthesis.

BTN2 Was Preferentially Translated under Severe Ethanol Stress

We next tried to identify genes that were preferentially translated under severe ethanol stress. Since various genes associated with ethanol tolerance have been reported (Alexandre et al., 2001; Takahashi et al., 2001; Fujita et al., 2006; van Voorst et al., 2006; Hirasawa et al., 2007; Teixeira et al., 2009), we randomly examined whether protein levels of those genes were increased by the treatment with 9 or 10% ethanol. As a screening result, only BTN2 was confirmed as the gene whose protein levels...
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BTN2 Overcomes Ethanol-Induced Translation Repression

FIGURE 3 | BTN2 expression under mild ethanol stress. Cells carrying a FLAG-tagged chromosomal copy of BTN2 gene in the exponential growth phase were treated with the indicated concentrations of ethanol for 60 min. (A) BTN2 mRNA level was determined by performing qRT-PCR and was normalized to that of ACT1. (B) Btn2 protein level was determined by performing Western blotting with anti-FLAG antibody. Pgk1 was used as the loading control. Btn2 protein level was normalized to that of Pgk1, and the intensity of the Pgk1 band in each lane was set at 100%. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3).
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FIGURE 4 | Reconstruction of polysomes during recovery from severe ethanol stress. Yeast cells in the exponential growth phase were treated with 9 or 10% (v/v) ethanol (severe ethanol stress) for 60 min. After the treatment with ethanol stress, cells were transferred to a fresh SD medium lacking ethanol or containing 5% ethanol and were incubated at 28°C for the indicated time. The numbers in the panels indicate the percentages of polysomal ribosomes. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3).

were significantly increased under severe ethanol stress so far. BTN2 encodes a v-SNARE binding protein and is associated with ethanol tolerance (Chattopadhyay and Pearce, 2002; Kama et al., 2007; Espinazo-Romeu et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2011). We first examined BTN2 mRNA level in yeast cells under severe ethanol stress (9 or 10% ethanol). Our results showed that BTN2 mRNA level significantly increased under severe ethanol stress (Figure 2A), which was similar to that reported by Cho et al. (2014).

We next examined whether Btn2 protein synthesis was upregulated under severe ethanol stress (Figure 2B). Although Btn2 protein expression was negligible in yeast cells under non-stress condition, clear bands representing Btn2 were detected following the treatment with severe ethanol stress. Btn2 protein levels significantly increased within 30 min in cells treated with 9% ethanol and within 45 min in cells treated with 10% ethanol. Prolonged treatment with 10% ethanol further increased Btn2 protein levels (Figures 2C,D). These results strongly suggested that BTN2 expression was induced and that BTN2 mRNA was efficiently translated in yeast cells under severe ethanol stress, despite the pronounced repression of overall protein synthesis. To verify translation of BTN2 mRNA under severe ethanol stress, we also investigated protein levels of Btn2 in cells simultaneously treated with severe ethanol...
stress and cycloheximide (CHX), a strong inhibitor of protein biosynthesis (Obrig et al., 1971). CHX had almost no effect on the transcriptional activation of BTN2 (data not shown) but completely repressed the increase of Btn2 protein levels (Figure 2E) under severe ethanol stress. These results clearly indicate that newly synthesis of Btn2 protein was induced under severe ethanol stress.

In contrast, yeast cells exposed to mild ethanol stress (5 and 6% ethanol, v/v) for 60 min did not show the efficient translation of BTN2 mRNA (Figure 3), indicating that BTN2 was expressed under severe ethanol stress but not under mild ethanol stress.

**Elimination of Ethanol Stress Reconstructed Polysomes and Inhibited Btn2 Protein Expression**

We next investigated the restoration of translation activity during recovery from severe ethanol stress (Figure 4). Elimination of ethanol by replacing the culture medium (decrease in ethanol concentration from 9 or 10 to 0%) significantly reduced the monosome (80S) fraction and markedly increased the polysome fraction, indicating the restoration of translation activity. Medium replacement efficiently increased the percentage of polysomal ribosomes to the original level within 30–45 min. These results suggested that severe ethanol stress-induced translation repression was smoothly recovered after the elimination of ethanol stress. Next, we examined Btn2 protein levels in yeast cells during the recovery process from severe ethanol stress. Medium replacement not only decreased BTN2 mRNA levels but also gradually decreased Btn2 protein levels, with negligible Btn2 protein levels being detected within 45 min after medium replacement (Figures 5A,B).

However, culturing of 10% ethanol-treated yeast cells in a fresh medium containing 5% ethanol (from 10 to 5% ethanol) did not completely restore the translation activity within 1 h (Figure 4). The time required for the reconstruction of polysomes and inhibition of Btn2 protein expression was longer in yeast cells cultured in SD medium containing 5% ethanol than in yeast cells cultured in SD medium lacking ethanol (Figure 5).

**The BTN2 Promoter Region Induces Protein Synthesis under Severe Ethanol Stress**

Promoter regions of some genes induce the preferential translation of non-native genes during severe stress-induced translation repression. Zid and O’Shea (2014) reported that the promoters of HSP26 and HSP30 increased protein synthesis during glucose deprivation. We also recently reported that the ADH7 promoter and BDH2 promoter induced translation under severe vanillin stress, despite the pronounced repression of overall protein synthesis (Nguyen et al., 2015; Ishida et al., 2016). Based on these findings, we investigated whether the BTN2 promoter induced the protein synthesis of non-native genes under severe ethanol stress.

We constructed an expression system containing the promoter and terminator regions of BTN2 (pYY2712, see Materials and Methods) flanking the ORFs of target genes. We examined three genes, CUR1, GIC2, and YUR1. CUR1 was chosen as a paralog of BTN2 (Byrne and Wolfe, 2005; Malinovska et al., 2012). GIC2 and YUR1 were randomly chosen from yeast genes. Yeast cells harboring pYY-CUR1-FLAG showed increased protein levels of Cur1, a sorting factor and central regulator of spatial protein quality control (Kryndushkin et al., 2008), under severe ethanol stress (Figure 6). Similarly, yeast cells carrying pYY-GIC2-FLAG or pYY-YUR1-FLAG showed increased protein levels of Gic2, a rho-like GTPase Cdc42 effector (Chen et al., 1997), or Yur1, a mannosyltransferase (Lussier et al., 1997), under severe ethanol stress. Since replacement of the BTN2 terminator region with other terminator regions (ADH6 terminator region and TEF1 terminator region) did not affect the induction of Btn2 protein synthesis (Figure 6C), contribution of the BTN2 terminator to the preferential translation of BTN2
under severe ethanol stress seems negligible. These results strongly suggested that the BTN2 promoter region induced the expression of its regulated genes under severe ethanol stress.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we performed polysome profile analysis to verify that high ethanol concentration severely repressed the bulk translation activity in yeast cells. The pronounced translation repression was maintained for at least 180 min after exposure to 10% ethanol stress (Figure 1A). Compared with high salinity stress (1 M NaCl), which induces pronounced but transient translation repression (Uesono and Toh-E, 2002; Melamed et al., 2008), severe ethanol stress induced long-term translation repression. However, elimination of ethanol stress rapidly restored translation activity (Figure 4), clearly indicating that severe ethanol stress did not irreparably damage the components of the translation apparatus.

Next, we tried to identify mRNAs that overcome severe ethanol stress-induced translation repression and found that BTN2 mRNA was preferentially translated despite the pronounced repression of overall protein synthesis (Figure 2). The null mutant of BTN2 is hypersensitive to ethanol (Espinazo-Romeu et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2011), suggesting that BTN2 expression through its preferential translation is necessary for inducing resistance to severe ethanol stress. However, severe ethanol stress-induced Btn2 protein expression was abolished after eliminating ethanol stress (Figure 5). It has been reported that Btn2 protein levels were low and detection of Btn2 was relatively difficult under physiological growth conditions (Chattopadhyay and Pearce, 2002; Kryndushkin et al., 2008; Malinovska et al., 2012; Miller et al., 2015). Consistently, we also observed that Btn2 protein levels were very low under severe ethanol stress.
mild ethanol stress (Figure 3). Additionally, overexpression of BTN2 causes the delay of cell growth (Sopko et al., 2006). These previous reports and our results suggested that BTN2 is expressed under severe ethanol stress but not under mild ethanol stress and that BTN2 expression is strictly repressed under non-severe ethanol stress conditions.

Heat shock elements in the promoter regions are crucial for inducing preferential translation under glucose deprivation (Zid and O’Shea, 2014). The BTN2 promoter region contains three HSEs, namely, HSE1, 5′-AG AAAGTT CCGG AAA-3′ (from −340 to −326 relative to the translation initiation ATG codon); HSE2, 5′-G GA AATT AAG AAC TCT AAG-3′ (from −230 to −206); and HSE3, 5′-ATGGAAGA-3′ (from −170 to −163) (Slater and Craig, 1987; Yamamoto et al., 2005; Badis et al., 2008). These HSEs are crucial for the transcriptional activation of BTN2 under severe ethanol stress (Cho et al., 2014). Additionally, they may play a role in the prioritized translation of BTN2 under severe ethanol stress as well as glucose deprivation. A recent study reported that mammalian translation elongation factor eEF1A is recruited to HSEs in the HSP70 promoter region to promote Hsp70 protein synthesis by coupling transcription with translation during heat shock-induced translation repression (Vera et al., 2014). Similarly, some factor(s) that promote efficient translation under severe stress might be recruited to HSEs in yeast cells. However, presence of HSEs in promoter regions may not be sufficient for inducing effective translation under severe ethanol stress, unlike that observed during glucose deprivation, because translation of HSE-containing genes such as HSP30 and HSP82 is negligible under severe ethanol stress despite of their transcription (Figure 1) (Izawa et al., 2008). Mechanisms underlying preferential translation under severe ethanol stress might be different from those underlying preferential translation under glucose deprivation. Identification of essential cis- and trans-elements for preferential translation under severe ethanol stress is in progress. Identification of the essential cis-element(s) in the BTN2 promoter regions will facilitate the identification of other genes that are preferentially translated under severe ethanol stress.

We successfully expressed genes other than BTN2 under severe ethanol stress by using the BTN2 promoter region (Figure 6). The ability of the BTN2 promoter to overcome translation repression induced by severe ethanol stress could be very useful for improving the fermentation ability of yeast cells. For example, the BTN2 promoter could be used to express genes important for ethanol production, which are usually repressed under ethanol stress, for increasing and stabilizing the fermentation activity of yeast cells. The BTN2 promoter could also be used to alter the quality of wine and sake by modifying gene expression pattern in the final stage of brewing. Furthermore, utilization of the BTN2 promoter might realize efficient parallel-production of ethanol and useful proteins such as vaccines and hormones during wine making and Japanese sake brewing.
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