The classification of chronic daily headache in French children and adolescents: A comparison between the second edition of the International Classification of Headache Disorders and Silberstein-Lipton criteria
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Abstract: Few data are available on the applicability of both the criteria proposed by Silberstein and Lipton (S-L) and the International Classification of Headache Disorders-II (ICHD-II) in the classification of children and adolescents with chronic daily headache (CDH). The International Headache Society recently added revised criteria (ICHD-IIR) for chronic migraine to its Appendix. We retrospectively reviewed all charts of 34 children and adolescents (<17 years) with primary CDH presenting to the outpatient clinic of the Universitary Department of Neuropediatrics of Lille between February 2004 and February 2006 and tried to classify their CDH according to both S-L criteria and the recently published ICHD-IIR. Thirty-two children (94%) and 33 children (97%) could respectively be successfully classified into one subtype of CDH according to the S-L classification and the ICHD-IIR. Transformed migraine was the most common diagnosis (61.8%), followed by new daily-persistent headache (20.6%) when the S-L criteria were used. Twenty-three children and adolescents (67.6%) could be classified under one of the migraine categories according to the ICHD-IIR classification. We think that both S-L and ICHD-II classifications, when used with detailed headache histories and diaries, are adequate to classify chronic daily headache in children and adolescents.
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Introduction

Primary chronic daily headache (CDH) is defined as primary headaches occurring on more than 15 days per month for more than 3 months in the absence of organic pathology. In adults, the estimated prevalence of CDH is around 4% (ANAES 2003). The actual prevalence of CDH in children has not been determined yet, but is estimated to be around 0.9% (ANAES 2003). Recently, Wang and colleagues (2006) provided prevalence data on CDH in 12- to 14-year olds and found an overall prevalence of 1.5%. Medication-overuse was present in 20%.

The classification of CDH is controversial even although the criteria proposed by Silberstein and Lipton (S-L criteria) in 1994 (Silberstein et al 1994) and 1996 (Silberstein et al 1996) have been widely used. Silberstein and Lipton divided patients into four diagnostic categories: transformed migraine (TM), chronic tension-type headache (CTTH), new daily-persistent headache (NDPH), and hemicrania continua (HC). The second edition of the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-II) (HCC 2004) did not comprise any CDH category as such, but provided criteria for all four types of CDH: chronic migraine (CM), CTTH,
were performed to exclude other aetiologies of headache, in depth diagnostic evaluation, appropriate investigations an underlying serious medical condition. To allow more at least 15 days per month for at least 3 months, without defined as headache for at least 4 hours a day (if untreated),
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2006. We identified patients with primary CDH, which was outpatient clinic of the Department of Neuropediatrics, adolescents (17 years) presenting with headache to the

Table 2 shows the diagnosis according to the S-L diagnostic classification results of these 34 CDH are summarized in Table 1.

Table 2. Thirty-two children (94%) could be successfully clas-
sified into one subtype of CDH based on the S-L classification.

The objective of this study was to compare the S-L and ICHD-II criteria in clinical practice. It seems, to our knowledge, to be easier to use and more parsimonious (Bigal et al 2002). In children and adolescents, to our knowledge, only two studies tried to classify CDH according to the criteria of the ICHD-II. One study concluded that it remained difficult to use the criteria of ICHD-II for children with CDH seen in clinic-based setting (Wiendels et al 2005), the other stated that “most adolescents with CDH can be classified using the ICHD-II but problems do exist” (Bigal et al 2005, p 588). The objective of this study was to compare the S-L and ICHD-II criteria in clinical practice. It seems, to our knowledge, to be the first study using ICHD-II criteria to classify children and adolescents with CDH.

Materials and methods
We retrospectively reviewed all charts of children and adolescents (<17 years) presenting with headache to the outpatient clinic of the Department of Neuropediatrics, University of Lille between February 2004 and February 2006. We identified patients with primary CDH, which was defined as headache for at least 4 hours a day (if untreated), at least 15 days per month for at least 3 months, without an underlying serious medical condition. To allow more in depth diagnostic evaluation, appropriate investigations were performed to exclude other aetiologies of headache, if necessary. Children with secondary headaches were excluded and all patients with abnormal neurological examination results were also excluded.

The medical files were systematically reviewed to obtain clinical information. During the entire time period reviewed, the Center had been utilizing a uniform clinical intake form, which contained several multi-choice and fill-in-the-blank items. The following information was systematically collected: gender, age at onset of headaches, age at diagnosis of CDH, characteristics of headaches experienced (including frequency, duration, quality and location), aura (description and frequency), associated symptoms (nausea, anorexia, vomiting, photophobia, phonophobia, worsening with exertion, dizziness, red eyes, ptosis, tearing, and other), family history, and diaries. The spreadsheet contained also information regarding intensity of pain. In this study, intensity of pain referred to highest intensity of pain for the day and it was graded on a 4-point scale as severe, moderate, mild or no pain. Attempt was made to assess the temporal course of the events when headache became daily. CDH were classified according to the S-L criteria. Attempt was also made to subclassify CDH in children and adolescents in the recommended categories proposed by the ICHD-II, including CM (Appendix 1.5.1), CTTH (2.3), NDPH (4.8), and HC (4.7).

Results
Demographics and headache clinical characteristics
Two hundreds and six patients were seen for the first time for headache during the study period. We identified 34 children and adolescents with primary CDH (16.5%). There were 13 boys (38.2%) and 21 girls (61.8%), a ratio of 1:1.6. The sex ratio was 2.5 in TM and about 1 in other subtypes of CDH. The median age at headache onset was 9 years (range: 2.1–14.2 years). The median age at CDH onset was 11 years (range: 5.9–14.2 years). The median age at presentation to our outpatient clinic was 12.5 years (range: 6.0–16.9 years). At presentation, no patient in the study had received any form of prophylactic treatment especially for migraine. The main headache clinical characteristics of the 34 CDH are summarized in Table 1.

Classification
The classification results of these 34 CDH are summarized in Table 2. Thirty-two children (94%) could be successfully classified into one subtype of CDH based on the S-L classification. Table 2 shows the diagnosis according to the S-L diagnostic criteria. TM was the most common diagnosis (61.8%), followed by NDPH (20.6%). In TM, the mean time interval for
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The transition of sporadic headaches into CDH was 17 ± 16 months (0–11.7 years). Thirty-three children (97%) could be successfully classified into one subtype of CDH based on the ICHD-IIR. Table 2 shows the diagnosis according to the ICHD-IIR classification. The most common diagnosis in our sample was CM. This diagnosis was made in 17 cases.

The correlations between both systems in classifying these headaches are presented in Table 2. Nineteen of the 21 (90.5%) subjects belonging to the TM category, according to the S-L criteria, could be classified under one of the migraine categories according to the ICHD-IIR classification (14 CM, 4 migraine without aura (MO) (1.1) and/or migraine with aura (MA) (1.2), 1 probable MO (1.6.1)).

By contrast, only 4 of the 7 NDPH (57.1%), according to the S-L criteria, could be classified in the corresponding category according to the ICHD-IIR classification. Conversely, in the 23 that could be classified under one of the migraine categories according to the ICHD-IIR classification (17 CM, 4 MO and/or MA, 2 probable MO) 19 (82.6%) fulfilled the criteria of TM, according to the S-L criteria. Only 3 (27.3%) of the 11 subjects verifying one of the CTTH categories (CTTH and/or probable CTTH [2.4.3]) according to the ICHD-IIR classification could be classified in the corresponding CTTH category according to the S-L criteria.

### Medication-overuse headache

Eighteen (52.9%) patients had a history of MOH (n = 14) or probable MOH (n = 4) (Table 2). None of them had MOH at the time we classified them. These patients had mainly a diagnosis of TM, according to the S-L classification: in the 21 subjects with a diagnosis of TM, 11 had a history of MOH and 2 of probable MOH (13/21; 61.9%) whereas in the 13 remaining subjects, 3 had a history of MOH and 2 of probable MOH (5/13; 38.5%).

### Table 1 Headache clinical characteristics (n = 34)

| Location n (%) | Frontal 26 (76.5) | Temporal 6 (17.6) | Parietal 3 (8.8) | Occipital 3 (8.8) | Vertex 2 (5.9) | Diffuse 4 (11.8) | Unilateral 6 (17.6) | Bilateral 29 (85.3) |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Type n (%)     | Pulsatile 20 (58.8) | Pressure-like 4 (11.9) | Other 5 (14.7) | Unable to verbalize 7 (20.6) |
| Intensity n (%)| Light 16 (47.1) | Moderate 5 (14.7) | Severe 11 (32.4) | Variable 5 (14.7) |
| Time of occurrence n (%) | During day only 28 (82.4) | Both during day and night 6 (17.6) |
| Symptoms n (%) | Phonophobia 20 (58.8) | Photophobia 16 (47.1) | Digestive symptoms 13 (38.2) | Vertigo 5 (14.7) | Pallor 9 (26.5) | Aura 9 (26.5) |

### Table 2 S-L classification and correlations between S-L criteria and ICHD-IIR criteria

| S-L criteria | History of MOH | N | Total | ICHD-IIR | N |
|--------------|----------------|---|-------|----------|---|
| TM Absent    | 8              | 21 | CM    | 12       |
| Present      | 11             | 1  | CM    | 2        |
| Probable     |                | 2  | CTTH  | 1        |
|              |                |   | CTTH MO| 1        |
| CTTH Absent  | 2              | 4  | CTTH  | 3        |
| Present      | 1              |   |       |          |
| Probable     |                | 1  | Probable MO | 1 |
| NDPH Absent  | 6              | 7  | NDPH  | 4        |
| Present      | 1              |   | CM    | 3        |
| Probable     | 0              |   |       |          |
| Non-classified Absent | 0 | 2 | Probable CTTH | 1 |
| Present      | 1              |   |       |          |
| Probable     | 1              |   |       |          |

**Abbreviations:** S-L, Silberstein-Lipton; MOH, medication-overuse headache; ICHD-IIR, International Classification of Headache Disorders, 2nd edition revised criteria; TM, transformed migraine; CTTH, chronic tension-type headache; NDPH, new daily persistent headache; CM, chronic migraine; MO, migraine without aura; MA, migraine with aura.
Discussion

To our knowledge this study is the only French series of CDH in children. There were about 1.5 new cases of CDH per month referred for the first time in our tertiary headache center, over a period of 2 years. Table 3 compares demographics and age at diagnosis with other series. One strength of the present study was the availability of structured clinical records. With this amount of information we were able to classify the vast majority of patients using the Silberstein-Lipton system (94%) and the ICHD-IIR system (97%). With the reserve that our sample size is small, the present study shows that both classifications could be used to classify children and adolescents with CDH. These results differ from those of Wiendels and colleagues (Wiendels et al 2005). In a sample of 79 patients, they were able to classify only 35% of patients with the ICHD-II system. To our knowledge, the only study so far which tried to compare both classifications (S-L and ICHD-II classifications) in children and adolescents is the series by Bigal and colleagues (2005). This sample was greater (170 adolescents) and the mean age older (15.1 years) than in our sample (10.5 years), but we can note similarities with our results. Bigal and colleagues (2005) managed to classify 100% of patients according to S-L criteria; 68.8% had TM, 21 % NDPH and 10% CTTH, whereas the respective figures were 61.8%, 21.9% and 12.5% (of the patients classified under one of the S-L categories) in our sample. In contrast to these data, in the series by Wiendels and colleagues (2005), a majority of patients had CTTH according to the ICHD-II criteria. In the series by Bigal and colleagues (2005), 70.9% of the adolescents could be classified using a single ICHD-II diagnosis (84.8% in our sample). There was no case of HC in Bigal’s series nor in ours. HC is a very rare entity in children, as only 2 cases have been reported to far (Fragoso et al 1998; Benzecry de Almeida et al 2004). We must further stress that both Wiendels and colleagues (2005) and Bigal and colleagues (2005) used the ICHD-II criteria.

There was generally good accordance between both systems at one digit level, except for CTTH. Considering S-L criteria, 90.5%, 75%, and 57.1% of the subjects belonging respectively to the TM, CTTH and NDPH categories could be classified under one of the corresponding categories of the ICHD-IIR classification. Conversely, the figures were satisfactory for the migraine (and/or probable migraine), and NDPH categories, but not for CTTH (and/or probable CTTH): 82.6%, 100%, and 9.1% of the subjects that could be respectively classified under one of the categories of the ICHD-IIR classification could be classified under one of the corresponding categories of the S-L criteria. In the Bigal series (Bigal et al 2005), the proportion of adolescents with TM+ (ie, TM with MOH), TM- (ie, TM without MOH), NDPH and CTTH who met ICHD-II criteria for CM (and/or probable CM), NDPH and CTTH were 84%, 68.7%, 51.2%, and 100%, respectively. Correlations were poor at two digits level and beyond for each headache category.

Our CDH had mainly migraine characteristics as it is the case in the series of Hershey and colleagues (2001). In most cases, as the headache frequency increased, the patient had more difficulty describing the pain. Diagnosis category changed, particularly when using ICHD-IIR criteria, and it resulted in an increase of CTTH. Thus, it seems, with the reserve of our sample size, that the conclusion by Bigal and colleagues (2007), in adult CDH, stating that the ICHD-IIR significantly improved the criteria for CM (HCC 2006), can equally apply to children and adolescents.

Conclusion

We conclude that the majority of CDH in childhood and adolescence seen at our tertiary care institution could be classified both with the S-L and ICHD-IIR criteria and think that both classifications, when used with detailed headache histories and diaries, are adequate to classify CDH in children and adolescents in clinical practice. Our results confirm those of Bigal and colleagues (2005), but with a sample of a younger age and using the more recent ICHD-IIR. Conducting a prospective study would be interesting to determine if these conclusions concerning a tertiary headache center referral can apply to the general French pediatric population.

### Table 3 Demographics and age at diagnosis

|                | Abu-Arafeh | Galli | Moore | Wiendels | Seshia | Chakravarty | Hershey | Our series |
|----------------|------------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-------------|---------|------------|
| Percentage female | 55         | 63    | 90    | 59       | 65     | 27          | 54      | 61.8       |
| Age at diagnosis  | 3.3–15.8   | 7–17  | 7–16  | 2–15     | 5.5–20 | 8–15        | 3–18    | 6–16.9     |
| (range)          |            |       |       |          |        |             |         |            |
| Percentage of CDH/referral for headaches | 32        | 19    | 3     | 38       | 31     | 6*          | 35      | 16.5       |

**Abbreviation:** CDH, chronic daily headache.

**Notes:** *Of all primary headaches seen in the age group.
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