CALLIMACHUS’ OTHER TELCHINES: AETIA FR. 1, FR. 75 AND
THE HYMN TO DELOS

ABSTRACT
The Telchines, magical craftsmen and wizards, are best known for their criticism of Callimachus’ poetry in the prologue to the Aetia. The other two appearances of the Telchines are also in programmatic passages in Callimachus’ extant works. In the Hymn to Delos (30–3), the narrator asks an aporetic question about the theme of his song. There, the Telchines are the makers of the trident used to form every island but Delos, highlighting her singular status as uniquely created without force (30–3). In Aet. fr. 75, the Telchines appear in Xenomedes’ history of Ceos. There, Callimachus explicitly names one source for his material, but omits direct citation of equally important sources, namely Pindar and Bacchylides, while still alluding to their songs. This article examines verbal and thematic parallels among these three passages and argues that Callimachus uses the Telchines not only to link the passages but also to comment on his authorial process, his use of sources and his poetic programme.
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Strabo claims that the Telchines possess ‘many stories’ (πολύμυθον, Geog. 14.2.7), but few of these stories survive. When they do, they are mostly in Byzantine scholia and commentaries on earlier works, as well as in Nonnus.1 Often, rather than stories, the evidence for this mysterious race is made up of citations of details in earlier authors’ accounts.2 Indeed, apart from their involvement in the divine punishment of the people of Ceos,3 these ancient wizards are best known to us not through stories but through

1 Sources in A. Ambühl, ‘Telchines’, in H. Cancik et al. (edd.), Brill’s New Pauly (Leiden, 2011). G. Huxley, ‘Xenomedes of Keos’, GRBS 6 (1965), 235–45 reconstructs Xenomedes’ story of the Telchines, a named source of Callimachus in Aet. fr. 75 Harder (see below, pages 183–9). Diodorus Siculus (5.55–6) makes them the caretakers of baby Poseidon, acting like Zeus’s Curetes. Poseidon then impregnates their sister, who bears the island/nymph Rhodes.

2 e.g. Stesichorus, fr. 280 Finglass Στεσίχορος δέ, φαοί, τας κήρας καὶ τὰς κακοτέκες Τελχίνος προσηγόρευεν (“Stesichorus, they say, says that the Telchines are shadowy and “deaths”’); Bacchyl. fr. 24 Irigoin Οἱ Τελχίνες τούτοις οὐκ ὕπατος φαοί, Μεγαλέμοιος, Ὁρμένοις τε καὶ Λάκοις, ὅσα Βαρκυλλάδη μὲν φησὶ Νεμέεσως Ταρταρῶν, ὄλλοι τινὲς δὲ λέγουσι τῆς Γῆς τε καὶ τοῦ Πόντου (“The four Telchines named Aktaiaos, Megalesios, Ormenos and Lykos, who Bacchylides says were the children of Nemesis and Tartarus, but others say they are the children of Gaia and Pontus’); Parmenides, fr. 24 DK: Τελχίνες ... τούτοις οὐκ θαλάσσης παιδὸς φαοί, Περιβυθένθη δ’ ἕκ τοίον Ἀκταϊνον κυνὸν γενέθησθαι μεταμορφοθέντων ὑπὸ Δίος εἰς άνθρώπος (“Telchines ... who some say were the children of the sea, but Parmenides says were born from the dogs of Actaeon, who were changed by Zeus into men’).

3 Early versions of this story are found in Bacchylides, Ode 1 Campbell and in Pindar, Paean 4 Maehler, but there is no reference to the Telchines themselves, unless Bacchyl. fr. 24 I (see n. 2 above) refers to lost parts of Bacchylides, Ode 1; Call. Aetia fr. 75 Harder specifically includes the...
metaphor as the maligners of Callimachus, whom he rails against in the prologue to the Aetia.⁴

Callimachus’ Aetia begins with the Telchines, whom he presents as critics of and dissenters from his poetic programme and artistic taste:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Πολλάκις μοι Τελχίνες ἐπιτρῳζουσιν ὧ[οιδή],} \\
\text{νηδεῖς οἱ Μούσας οὐκ ἐγένοντο ψιλόι,} \\
\text{ἐὶνεκόν οὐχ ἐν ἄειμαι διηνεκές ἢ βασιλῇ} \\
\text{...
}
\end{align*}
\]

The Telchines often grumble at my song, ignorant men, who are not friends of the Muse, because I did not complete one continuous song either about kings in many thousands of lines or about heroes, but I roll out a short tale, like a child, although the decades of my years are not few. And to the Telchines, I say this: tribe knowing how to melt your own liver …⁶

So begins a passage long recognized as an iconic statement of Callimachus’ poetic programme: he likes things small, clear, little and light. Callimachus expresses his preferred aesthetics in delicate imagery: the cicada feeding on dewdrops is desirable, the braying donkey and the loud thunder are not; the poet is like a child telling a short story; old age, on the other hand, is oppressive like the large island Sicily on top of a giant; busy areas and wide roads are to be avoided; rather, stick to footpaths and byroads! The Telchines play an especially prominent role: they are mentioned twice by name in the first seven lines and directly addressed by the poet. In line 17 they are dismissed once and for all, making way for the appearance of Apollo:

\[
\text{ἔλλετε Βαςκανίης ὀλοῦν γένος- ‘Be gone, destructive race of maligners!’}
\]

Since the publication of the papyrus in 1927, this passage has been examined, re-examined and then examined again.⁷ Little attention has been paid, however, to the fact that the prologue to the Aetia is but one of three places in Callimachus’ work where the Telchines appear. I argue that the Telchines are strong expressions of Callimachus’ poetic programme not only in the prologue to the Aetia but also in the Hymn to Delos and in Aet. fr. 75.⁸ Furthermore, the Telchines are but one element

Telchines; cf. Ov. Ib. 475, which once again refers to the story, but lacks the Telchines: ut Macelo rapidis icta est cum coniuge flammis (as Macoel, along with her husband, is tormented by quick flames). All subsequent references to Pindar are from Meikle’s edition and all subsequent references to Bacchylides are from Irigoin’s edition, except for Ode 1 Campbell, unless otherwise noted.

⁴ I refer to both the author and the first-person narrators in his text as Callimachus, although the relationship is much more complicated: A. Morrison, The Narrator in Archaic Greek and Hellenistic Poetry (Cambridge, 2007), 103–5.

⁵ Aet. fr. 1 Harder. All subsequent references to the Aetia are from Harder’s edition.

⁶ All translations are mine unless otherwise noted.

⁷ P.Oxy. 2079 fr. 1. For bibliography, see M.A. Harder, Callimachus Aetia (Oxford, 2012), ad loc.; B. Acosta-Hughes and S. Stephens, ‘Rereading Callimachus’ Aetia fragment 1’, CPh 97 (2002), 238–55, at 238: ‘Few Hellenistic texts have been read as often, and from as many angles, as the opening of Callimachus’ Aetia.’

⁸ Aet. fr. 75 = Aet. fr. 174 Massimilla.
that ties these passages together. In what follows, I demonstrate how these three passages are connected—not only, but especially, by the Telchines—arguing that all these passages are programmatic and through them Callimachus makes a strong statement about his sources and his approach to composing verse.9

The importance of the Telchines in the prologue to the Aetia is undeniable; I argue that, when the Telchines appear in the Hymn to Delos and in Aet. fr. 75, they mark these already programmatic passages as all the more important, giving further insights to Callimachus’ use of sources and expression of his views on poetry, especially in respect to the songs of Pindar and Bacchylides. Moreover, reading these passages together gives us a more complete view of Callimachus’ overall technique and poetic programme, leading to a greater understanding of the fragmentary Aetia as well as his extant corpus as a whole.10

From Delos’ physical characteristics, long since recognized as a reflection of Callimachean aesthetics, to the continued emphasis on song as song, nearly all of the Hymn to Delos could be viewed as programmatic.11 The passage in which the Telchines appear is especially marked as such, since it is where Callimachus chooses the theme of his song (after some digression, of course): the birth of Apollo and so, in a way, the birth of song itself.12 I argue that this passage also provides a commentary on Callimachus’ sources and his view towards the aesthetics of verse.

Before arriving at the final subject of his song at line 51, the narrator addresses Delos (28–40):

εἰ δὲ λίθον πολέμες σε περιπροχώςκειν ἁμήδιοι, ποιήνεν τήπλεξος σε; τί τοι θυμήσκει ἁκούσας; ἢ ὡς τὰ πρόστιτα μέγας θεός οὐρα ἤθελον ὅροι τριγλόχυν τὸ οἱ Τελχίνες ἐπευγόν νήσους εἰναλίσας εἰργάζετο, νέρθε δὲ πόλεις ἐκ νεάτων ὄξλικες καὶ εἰκεκύλλες πελάξες; καὶ τὰς μὲν κατὰ βυσσόν ἣν ἦκεροι λάθωνται, προμιμοῦκεν ἐρρίζων· εἰ δ᾽ οὐκ ἔθλιμεν ἀνέγκτη, ὅλλ᾽ ἀφετος πελάξετες ἐπεπλέεσες· οὕνιστο ὅ ἦν τοι ἀστερὶ τὸ πολιοῦν, ἐπεὶ βαθὺν ἔλαυν τάφρον οὐρανόθεν φεύγουσα Δίως γάμον ἀστέρι ἐστι.

9 A. Cameron, Callimachus and his Critics (Princeton, 1995), 259–60 discusses these passages together to support the argument that the prologue was written at a late date as a prologue to all of the Aetia (as opposed to a first edition consisting of the first two books). He does not, however, consider the Telchines important figures for Callimachean poetics outside of the prologue. He first refers to their appearance in Delos as a ‘neutral reference’ and then asks about the appearance of the Telchines in fr. 75: ‘How could he have written like this after elevating the Telchines to the new and idiosyncratic eminence of the Aetia prologue?’ Even more pointedly, he writes regarding fr. 75: ‘Callimachus chose to employ such vague concepts as insolence and impiety, nowhere even hinting at the evil eye, much less any connection with the Muses or literature.’ Ultimately, however, his argument is about the relative chronology and dating of Callimachus’ works, not about poetics.

10 G.B. D’Alessio, Callimaco. Inni, epigrammi, e frammenti (Milano, 1996; 20074), ad loc. reads these passages together and points out the irony in the Telchines being punished with a three-pronged weapon in Aet. fr. 75, the like of which they forged in the Hymn to Delos. E. Werner, Os Hinos de Calimaco. Poesia e Poética (Coleção, 2013) also mentions that the Telchines are in three passages, but focuses on their etymology and connection to rival poets.

11 cf. P. Bing, The Well-Read Muse (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988); M. Depew, ‘Delian hymns and Callimachean allusion’, HSPh 98 (1998), 155–82; M. Giuseppetti, L’isola esile: studi sull’Inno a Delo di Callimaco (ROME, 2013); D. Selden, ‘Alibus’, CA 17 (1998), 289–412; S.R. Slings, ‘The Hymn to Delos as a partial allegory of Callimachus’ poetry’, in M.A. Harder, R.F. Regtuit and G.C. Wakker (edd.), Callimachus II (Leuven, 2004), 279–97.

12 cf. Selden (n. 11), 362.
Callimachus begins with an aporetic question (28–9): ‘But if very many songs encircle you, with which shall I weave you? What are you desirous of hearing?’ This evokes the question posed twice in the Homeric Hymn to Apollo first at line 19 and then again at line 208 πῶς γὰρ ο’ ὑμνήσω πάντως ἐόντα; άν’ ἀνήσου; (‘For how will I sing about you, who are entirely well-hymned?’ 19, 208).13 The first time the narrator asks this question in the Homeric Hymn, he emphasizes the near futility of his task.14 The second time, the narrator lists possible topics for his song. Callimachus combines both instances of the question, first acknowledging the wealth of possible topics of song (although the many songs that encircle Delos are a diminutive portion of Apollo’s uncircumscribed realm) and then considering other topics (the history of Asteria/Delos herself) that are dismissed in favour of the narrative that is ultimately chosen. Delos, along with the rest of the Cyclades, has already been labelled with the key word εὐυμνοϲ in line 4.

While aporetic questions are a feature of much Greek poetry (and especially Pindar’s songs, whose influence is also heavily felt in this passage), this particular question from the Homeric Hymn to Apollo is of especial importance to Callimachus’ entire hymn project—a small part of what moulds the hymns into a coherent corpus. Not only does Callimachus rework this question here, but he also does so at the end of his Hymn to Zeus (92–3) and his Hymn to Apollo (30–1). Indeed, this question is the question for Callimachus’ Hymns.15 By asking a version of this question, Callimachus emphatically points to the Homeric Hymn to Apollo as a source.

Callimachus then turns away from the Homeric tradition and signals Pindar with the name Asteria (Paean 5, Paean 7b, Hymn 1 fr. 33c–d) as well as the exclusive birth of Delos, which evokes Pindar’s description of the unique birth of Rhodes in Olympian 7.16 Callimachus acknowledges Pindar and the Homeric Hymn to Apollo, his two dominant sources for his Hymn to Delos, but still diverges from both,17 pulling the story into his own realm of poetic aesthetics and linking it to his broader corpus.

13 cf. Giuseppetti (n. 11), 47–9.
14 πάντῃ γὰρ τοι, Φοῖβε, νομὸς βεβλήσασθα οἶδής, ἵμεν ἀν’ ἱπειρον πορτερόφον ἑδ’ ἀνὰ νῆαν τόξον. (‘For the realm of song is built for you entirely, both on the calf-rearing land and on the islands.’) 19–21
15 I address this question and the role of the Hymn to Apollo in general in greater length in my monograph on the hymns (in progress).
16 cf. Bing (n. 11).
17 cf. Depew (n. 11)
In terms of aesthetics, Delos’ unique provenance sets her apart from all other islands, emphasizing how fit she is for Callimachus. While all the other islands were rolled into the sea by force (by a tool forged by the Telchines, no less), she took a different path and jumped into the sea of her own accord. If we think back to Aet. fr. 1, Delos seems to follow Apollo’s advice to Callimachus:

Poet, feed your sacrificial victim as fat as possible, but keep your Muse slender, my friend.

This too, I enjoin you; where wagon wheels do not trample, walk there! And drive your chariot not on the same tracks as others, nor on the wide road, but on untrodden paths, even if the road you drive is more narrow.

Not only does Delos choose her own path, but her slim, narrow physicality marks her as the narrow road, the slender Muse herself. The island of Delos, as Bing writes, ‘becomes the embodiment of Callimachean verse itself’. The island’s description is emphasized more than once in the poem: first in the words of the narrator in lines 11–15, later in the poem in the words of Apollo in lines 191–5 and in Delos’ own mouth in line 268. Even Iris’ negative characterization of Delos, πόντοι κακὸν ςάρον (‘evil sweepings of the sea’, 225), picks up on the essential quality of her lightness. Furthermore, Delos’ origin alone is Telchines-free. Nothing explicitly negative is said about the Telchines, but they have created a tool of ἀνάγκη, a tool of force and violence. While it is likely that the great god here is Poseidon, and so the action is not in an entirely pre-Olympian setting, the narrative places this action before the birth of Apollo and so before Olympian rule has been fully established. This earliness is further emphasized by the fact that it is the πρώτιϲτα οὐρα, ‘the very first mountains’ (30), that become the islands. According to Hesiod, οὐρα were Earth’s second creation after Ouranos (Theog. 129), making the first mountains very early indeed. The Telchines, as part of this older world, stand as symbols for Titanomachy, Gigantomachy—all the turmoil before Zeus’s

---

18 cf. K. Ukleja, Der Delos-Hymnos des Kallimachos innerhalb seines Hymnensextetts (Münster, 2005), 120.
19 Bing (n. 11), 110, 94; cf. Slings (n. 11).
20 Slings (n. 11), 287–8 objects to the relevance of the Telchines’ involvement in creating the islands in the Hymn to Delos, pointing out that it is not the Telchines themselves who actually create the islands, but Poseidon. Still, he acknowledges that the unique nature of Delos’ creation is significant.
21 Most likely the great god is Poseidon with his trident, but it has also been suggested that this is a pre-Olympian god (cf. W.H. Mineur, Callimachus: Hymn to Delos [Leiden, 1984], ad loc.). Perhaps it is a translation of the Egyptian phrase nTr aA, ‘great god’, used to describe many Egyptian gods including Re, Horus and Osiris. The phrase μέγαϲ θεόϲ itself is not unusual and is used to describe many gods in Homer (cf. Ukleja [n. 18], 121 n. 484).
22 cf. D. Accorinti, ‘Parturiunt montes an parturiuntur? La nascita delle montagne nel mito’, in id. and P. Chuvin (edd.), Des Géants à Dionysos. Mélanges de mythologie et de poésie grecques offerts à Francis Vian (Alessandria, 2003), 1–24. Hesiod gives no origin story for islands.
established rule. In what follows, I argue that intratextual connections to another passage in the Hymn to Delos as well as Aet. fr. 1 cement my reading.

The story of the great god’s formation of every island except Delos foreshadows later action in the Hymn to Delos, when Ares is about to hurl the peaks of Pangaios into the river Peneius for sheltering Leto. There, Peneius begins by addressing Leto and saying ἀναγκαιή μεγάλη θεόϲ (‘Force is a mighty goddess’, 122). This corresponds to the language used in the story of Asteria’s birth, in which the great god created all of the islands except her, whereas she leaped into the sea of her own accord since force did not oppress her (36). These are the only two instances of the word ἀνάγκη/ἀναγκαιή in the entire poem. In fact, this word appears only one other time in all of Callimachus’ Hymns.

Furthermore, Ares’ mountain hurling echoes the creation of the other non-Delian islands. First Peneius points to the threat: ἀπαύγαϲαι, οὖϲ ἔφεδροϲ | οὖρεοϲ ἐξ υπάτου εκεπή ἔχει, ὦ κέ με ρεία | βουκόθεν ἐξερύϲει (‘See what sort, sitting, holds the peak of the highest mount, he who easily could drag me out from the roots’, 125–7). The word βυϲϲόθεν hearkens back to κατὰ βυϲϲόν (34), describing the new roots of the islands in the depths of the sea. Then we see Ares himself: οὔρεοϲ ἐξ ὑπάτου σκοπι ἔχει, ὅϲκ έμ ε ῥεῖα | βυϲϲόθεν ἐξερύϲει (‘But Ares, raising the peaks of Pangaios from the roots, was about to throw them into his whirlpools, hiding his streams’, 133–5). Like the great god earlier, Ares is about to knock down a mountain into a body of water—here not to create but to destroy.

Ares’ threat leads into a simile (141–7), one of the few in the Hymns:





As when all the corners of smouldering Mount Aetna are shaken with fire when the underground giant, Briareus, shifts the mount to his other shoulder and the ovens roar under the tongs of Hephaestus and his metal-work too, and his kettles, wrought with fire, and his tripods shout falling on one another: then such was the rattling of his rounded shield.

This complex simile conjures up several vivid images, but is focussed not on sight but on sound. As Kurt Sier has argued, the imagery relates back to the beginning of the prologue to the Aetia through the contrast between loud, raucous noise and so-called ‘Callimachean’ aesthetics.

There are no Telchines here but, when they appeared earlier in the hymn (31), they acted as metal-workers, forging the great god’s three-pronged weapon. With the intratextual references in these passages, forging brings the Telchines back to mind,

---

23 cf. Acosta-Hughes and Stephens (n. 7).
24 ἀναγκαιή (Callim. Hymn 6.61).
25 K. Sier, ‘Die Peneios-Episode des kallimacheischen Deloshymnos und Apollonios von Rhodos. Zur Datierung des dritten Buches der Argonautika’, in M.A. Harder, R.F. Regtuit and G.C. Wakker (edd.), Callimachus (Leuven, 1993), 177–96, at 183.
although here it is Ares who is the symbol of force and violence. As Bing and others have pointed out, one of Delos’ qualities is her tranquillity; the horses of Ares do not tread upon her: οὖδ’ ὑποί ἐπικείσθησιν Ἀρηῳ (277).26 Ares and the Telchines are linked, not only through mountain hurling but also through the partition placed between them and Delos.

The image at the beginning of the simile, a conquered giant pinned under a volcano, ties the passage to its poetic predecessors, once again pointing to Callimachus’ sources, as well as to his divergence. Pindar places Typhon under Aetna most memorably in *Pyth.* 1.15–20 but also in *Ol.* 4.7 and fr. 92. Callimachus evokes this poetic legacy with the participle τυφωμένοι even as he pins Briareus under Sicily in his place.27

In the *Hymn to Delos,* the story of the birth of the islands is linked to Ares’ threats and to the accompanying simile both thematically and through parallels in language. Both passages are also especially marked poetically. The former, as we have seen, is where Callimachus chooses the topic of song, but it also shows how Delos’ unique birth makes her especially fit for Callimachean poetics. The latter reflects Callimachus’ poetic programme, alludes to earlier poetry and stands out as one of the few similes in the *Hymns.*

These passages are also related to *Aet.* fr. 1 through the image of the giant pinned under Sicily. In fr. 1.36, however, Callimachus substitutes a different mythological figure for either Typhon or Briareus: Enceladus. The narrator describes old age as weighing on him as heavily as the island of Sicily weighs on Enceladus buried under Aetna: τριγλώχιϲ ὁμένοι (36). Here describing Sicily, τριγλώχιϲ is the same adjective used to describe the three-pronged weapon, which the great god used to create the islands in the *Hymn to Delos* (ἀορί τριγλώχινι, 31)—after it was forged by the Telchines. In *Aet.* fr. 1 a heavy island, Sicily, represents anti-Callimachean, bombastic, heavy poetics. This stands in contrast to petite Delos, ‘the embodiment of Callimachean verse’.28

So far I have focussed on two passages from the *Hymn to Delos,* arguing for their connection with the prologue to the *Aetia* and their relationship to Pindar and the *Homeric Hymn to Apollo.* I now turn to the third passage in Callimachus involving the Telchines: *Aet.* fr. 75, which appears in the third book of the *Aetia* and contains the conclusion of the love story of Acontius and Cydippe before an epilogue describing the history of Ceos. Here is the relevant portion:

Κεῖε, τέων δ’ ἠμεῖς ἵμερον ἑκλύομεν
tόνδε παρ’ ἄρχησιν Ξένομήδεος, ὃς ποτε πάσαν
νήσον ἐνι μνήμη κάτθετο μυθολόγωι,
ἄρχησιν ὃς νηφείς[...] ἐν Ἀρχήσισι,
τὰς ὀπό Παρνησσοῦ λίς ἐδίωξες μέγας,
(Τῦρούεσσαν τοῖς καὶ μιν ἐφήμεραν), ὡς τε Κυρ[...]ς
.... ὃς[...] ἀκέκεν ἐν Καραίσι,
.offsetWidth τε μιν ἐννάκειαν τέων Ἀλαλάξιος αἰεί
Ζεὺς ἐπὶ καλπίγγων ἱρὰ βοῦθον δέχεται
Κάρας ὁμοίοις ἀλεξέγεςτι, μετ’ ὑνόμῳ δ’ ἀλλό βαλέσθαι
Φοίβου καὶ Μελής ἱνε ἐθίκε Κέως-

26 cf. Bing (n. 11), 124.
27 Mineur (n. 21), ad loc.; cf. S.A. Stephens, *Callimachus The Hymns* (Oxford, 2015), ad loc.; Typhon’s imprisonment is also described at [Aesch.] *PV* 351–65.
28 See page 181 above.
Cean, I heard of this love of yours
from old Xenomedes, who once
placed your whole island in a mythological record,
beginning from how it was inhabited by the Corycian nymphs,
whom a mighty lion pursued from Parnassos,
and for that reason they called it Hydroussa,
and how … of Cyrene … lived in Caryae.
And how they settled it, whose offerings Zeus Alalaxios always
receives to the call of trumpets,
Carians together with Leleges.
And Ceos, the offspring of Phoebus and Melia
made it change to another name.
And on his writing tablets the old man placed
the hubris and lightning death, and the wizards
the Telchines and crazed Demonax, having no regard for the blessed gods,
and also on them the old woman Macelo,
the mother of Dexithea, whom alone, when they overthrew the island
because of wicked hubris, the immortals left unscathed.

The explicit naming of a prose source, which—although not unique in Callimachus’
corpus—is unusual, is part of what marks this passage as programmatic. The purpose
and the effect of this citation, however, have been explained in many ways: as adding
authority, as displaying the ‘scholar-poet at work’, as commenting on genre and the
translation of prose into verse, as highlighting the Ptolemies’ connection to Ceos, and
as drawing a distinction between older poetry and literary Hellenistic poetry, that is,
written and not sung. Annette Harder sums up: ‘the result is an intricate combination
of a sophisticated encomium for Ceos and a programmatic statement about Callimachus’
poetry, neatly separated from the preceding love-story by the device of presenting it as a
summary of Xenomedes.’ Callimachus gives us insight into his choice of topics and
how to use sources. He has all of Xenomedes’ history, but he only mentions dangerous
lions and mass destruction in passing and instead tells a love story.

Callimachus’ statement about his source Xenomedes, however, is more complicated
than it appears. The citation of Xenomedes acts as a sort of bait and switch, distracting
the reader from the sources he does not name: Pindar and Bacchylides. Dionysus of
Halicarnassus tells us that Xenomedes was born not long before the start of the
Peloponnesian War, but was still alive in Thucydides’ lifetime, which means that
his lifetime surely overlapped with that of Pindar and Bacchylides and maybe even
with that of Simonides, although they would have been at the end of their lives when
Xenomedes was young. We have no evidence for Xenomedes’ sources, method or

29 Harder (n. 7), ad loc; G. Masimilla, Callimaco Aitia: libro terzo e quarto (Pisa and Rome, 2010).
30 Harder (n. 7), ad loc.
31 Harder (n. 7), 633.
32 Huxley (n. 1), 235 believes that Xenomedes’ work was likely a Ktisis or an Archaeologia and not
Horoi and that it did not necessarily contain the whole history of the island reaching the time of
authorship.
33 Dion. Hal. Thuc. 5. Dionysius mistakenly states that Xenomedes is Chian instead of Cean.
style apart from Callimachus’ statement that he placed all the islands in a mythological record (54–5), but he probably would have drawn on the accounts in earlier songs praising Ceos—now exemplified only by Bacchylides’ Ode 1 and Pindar’s fourth Paean. Callimachus envisions Xenomedes as an earlier version of himself, combing through poetry for source material, although with a different project. In other words, Callimachus gives us the stories of Pindar and Bacchylides through the lens of Xenomedes.

The first connection to Pindar and Bacchylides comes with the story of the Telchines told in Aet. fr. 75, which also appears in Pindar, Paean 4 and in Bacchylides, Ode 1. David Campbell summarizes the story:

The Telchines, mythical craftsmen and wizards living on Ceos, angered the gods by blighting the fruits of the earth. Zeus and Poseidon (or Apollo) destroyed the island and its population, but spared Dexithea and her sisters, daughters of Damon (or Demonax), the chief of the Telchines, because Maceo had entertained the two gods: in Callimachus Maceo is the mother of Dexithea and is spared with her, in Ovid and the scholia she is her sister and loses her life because her husband had offended the gods.

All our early accounts are vague about the end of the Telchines’ story, but later versions send the island deep under the ocean’s waves, toppling an island into the sea. Callimachus’ language describing the creation of the islands and Ares’ threats to Peneius in the Hymn to Delos (see page 182 above) may very well allude to this fate. Bacchylides’ Ode 1 is rather fragmentary, but it is clear that it tells the story of the punishment and refoundation of Ceos. Maceo, whom Callimachus names along with her daughter Dexithea as the sole survivors of the destruction, appears at line 73, but we lack the portion of the song containing the actual story of the island’s punishment. The Telchines are not mentioned in the passage, but it is possible that they were in a part of the song that is no longer extant, as we have evidence that Bacchylides did indeed write about the Telchines. Callimachus, Aet. fr. 75 and Bacchylides, Ode 1 only share vocabulary with the names Dexithea and Maceo, but in both cases the story of the Telchines is part of a genealogy. Callimachus tells of the Acontiads, descendants of Acontius, who still live very honoured in Ioulis (52), the hometown of Bacchylides and Simonides. Bacchylides genealogizes the Isthmian victor in whose honour the song was composed.

In Pindar’s fourth Paean, we hear the story of the punishment of Ceos from Euxantius, son of Minos:

τρέω τοι πόλεμον
Διός Ἕννοιδαν τε βαρύκτυπον.
χθόνα τοι ποτε καὶ στρατόν ἀθρόον
πέμψαν κεραυνοὶ τριοῦτι τε
ἐσ τὸν βαθὺν Τόρπαρον ἐμὸν μακαρῶν
τεθραμμένα τε πεῖραν μακάρων τ’ ἐπιχώριον
τεθύμων πάμπαν ἐρήμων ἄποικαμένος
μέγαν ἀλλοθροὶ κλαρὸν ἐχω, λιαν,
μοι δέο … ἐμπεδον εἰ-

34 There were certainly more written than are now extant.
35 D. Campbell, Greek Lyric (Cambridge, MA, 1982), 4.119.
36 e.g. Ov. Met. 7.365–70; Nonnus, Dion. 18.35–8. Nonnus uses the verb ἀνερρίζωϲε, perhaps referring back to Callimachus’ ἐρρίζωϲε (Hymn to Delos 35).
37 Bacchyl. fr. 24; see n. 2 above.
η κεν ἔα, φφήν, κτεπάρκ-
ςαν, ἕν δὲ νομην Περιδάιον.
ἔμοι δ’ ὅλην δέδοταν θάμινον "";
οὐ γευθέων δ’ ἐλαχον, οὐ εταίον.

I fear war
with Zeus and the loud-thundering Earth shaker.
Once with lightning and trident
they sent the land and innumerable troops
to deep Tartarus
leaving my mother and her well-walled home intact.
After that, should I have a great lot elsewhere, striving after wealth and
thrusting away and making desolate the local rites of the gods?
There would be too much lasting fear.
Let the cypress tree be, heart!
Let be the pastures around Ida!
For me, there have been given but a few bushes,
but I have not been allotted sadness nor discord.

Pindar phrases Euxantius’ rejection of large, wealthy Crete in terms that Callimachus
would later echo: a rejection of greater things for the small.

Pindar’s Ceos in *Paean* 4, however, has the most in common with the description
of Delos in Callimachus’ hymn, especially with the acknowledgement of the island’s
flaws (see page 188 below).38 Pindar’s description of Rhodes in *Olympian* 7 and—
unsurprisingly—Pindar’s own Delos also serve as model for Callimachus’ island.
I briefly discuss the connections with these more famous Pindaric islands before
returning to Ceos.39

Although Pindar’s accounts of the birth of Apollo are fragmentary, the topic was a
popular theme and it is very likely that his songs contained many more descriptions of
Delos.40 In his first hymn, Pindar’s Delos is a ιμεροέςτατον ἕρνος (‘lovely shoot’, fr.
33c.2), an άξινητόν τέρας (‘unmoving wonder’, 33c.4) and a πέτραν (‘rock’, 33d.8),
which is buffeted by the winds before the birth of Apollo, but then is rooted to the
earth by four adamantine pillars. In *Paean* 5, Pindar tells how the people were scattered
among the islands rich in flocks (φερεμίλους, 38), but Delos was reserved for Apollo
(and so presumably is not rich in flocks). In *Paean* 7b Delos is an εύσεγέα πέτραν
(‘a conspicuous rock’, 48).41

There are similarities to Callimachus’ Delos in these descriptions: the lovely shoot
fits well with Callimachus’ windblown asphodel (194); Delos is not poor in flocks,
but still it is infertile since she is δυσήροτος (‘hard to plough’, 268); Delos is not a
rock, but it is ‘rocky’ (243). *Paean* 7b is particularly important for Callimachus and
his poetic programme since it contains Pindar’s programmatic—though controversial
—statement about the wagon tracks of Homer (10–14), which is clearly echoed in
the prologue to the *Aetia* (25–8).42

38 Delos’ disadvantages as an island are nothing new. They are stressed in the *Homerian Hymn to
Apollo* from the very first time she is mentioned in line 16 (κρανα ἐν Δήλω ‘on rocky Delos’)
and brought up in more detail several other times in the hymn (e.g. 53–5, 64–5, 72).
39 Delos is also important to the story of Acontius and Cydippe: the two lovers meet there at a
festival of Apollo (Callim. *Act.* fr. 67.6, 71).
40 For Pindar’s stories, see I. Rutherford, ‘Pindar on the birth of Apollo’, *CQ* 38 (1988), 65–75.
41 Perhaps with wordplay suggesting ‘an undefiled rock’ as in Bing (n. 11), 107.
42 For the argument that Pindar is following Homer’s tracks, see V. Di Benedetto, ‘Da Pindaro a
Callimaco. Paeana 7b, vv. 11–14’, *Prometheus* 29 (1991), 269–82; G.B. D’Alessio, ‘Una via lontana
Instead of relative poverty, the link between Pindar’s Rhodes and Callimachus’ Delos lies more in the difference and exclusivity of the islands’ births and in the active choices of Apollo and Helios respectively. Just as Callimachus makes clear that all the other islands share a common source except Delos, in Olympian 7 Rhodes originally lies under the sea and is given a special creation after Helios has missed receiving a share of the earth. Indeed, the link may be stronger than that. Many scholars have interpreted Ol. 7.50–3 as a reference to the Telchines, either as a contrast to the Heliades or conflated with the Heliades. Some even read these lines as a defence of the Telchines. For instance, Farnell interprets these lines as a denial of charges of magic and wizardry.

The name Telchines is not mentioned in Olympian 7 and statue-making is not a skill attributed to the Telchines elsewhere. Still, scholars have claimed that the men would have been recognizable to Pindar’s contemporaries as the Telchines and it is possible that Callimachus might have interpreted them thus. If so, including the Telchines in the passage describing the birth of Delos would be a further reference to Pindar as a source. If Pindar was indeed defending the Telchines, Callimachus would be using them to emphasize his debt to—but departure from—one of his chief poetic models.

Paean 4 focusses on the mythology of Ceos and was performed by Ceans. Still, as Ian Rutherford writes, it ‘had something to do with Delos’, and perhaps was even performed there. The song begins with an invocation to Artemis before comparing passage describing the birth of Delos would be a further reference to Pindar as a source. This mixed praise of Ceos continues (21–7):

\[
\text{'Karthaia is a narrow-backed ridge of land, but I would not change her for Babylon', 13–15.}
\]

Dal cammino degli uomini (Parm. 28 B 1+6; Pind. Ol. VI 22–27; Pae. VIIb 10–20), SIFC 13 (1995), 143–81 argues for Pindar’s divergence. For full discussion, see I. Rutherford, Pindar’s Paens. A Reading of the Fragments with a Survey of the Genre (Oxford, 2001), 242–52.

Bing (n. 11), 107–8 n. 35.

\[\text{αὐτὰ δὲ όρφιν ὀπάσα τέχναν | πᾶσαι ἐπιθυμοῖν τὴν κρατεῖν. | ἐργα
\]

Verdenius (n. 44), 215. Verdenius (n. 44), 71 confusingly interprets Strabo as saying that the Telchines made walking statues.

Blakeley (n. 44), 215. D. Young, ‘Pindar and Horace against the Telchines’, AJPh 108 (1987), 152–7 disagrees: ‘I submit that there is no reference at all to Telchines or magic, which reference would be as irrelevant to the context as it is obscure in the text.’ Cf. P. O’Sullivan, ‘Pindar and the statues of Rhodes’, CQ 55 (2006), 96–104.

Rutherford (n. 42), 284.
βιόδωρον ἁμαχανίας ἅκος,
ἀνιππὸς εἶμι καὶ βουνομίας ἀδαέστερος·

Indeed I, who dwell on a rock,
am recognized for my Greek excellence in contests,
and I am known for offering the Muse in abundance.
Even though my land bears Dionysus’
life-giving cure for helplessness,
I am without horses and inexperienced in the herding of cattle.

The echoes of Pindar’s Ceos in Callimachus’ Delos are clear: Both islands are narrow
and rocky, unfit for horses, but especially dear to the Muses. Moreover, both islands
are still beloved and desirable despite—or perhaps because of—their flaws.

Besides the similarities in language, theme and story, Callimachus left further clues
to his sources in fr. 75. At line 40 and again at line 44, Callimachus addresses Acontius
directly by name: Ἀκόντιε. Then at line 53, Callimachus switches his address, calling
Acontius by the demonym Κεῖε. This comes directly after speaking about how
Acontius’ descendants still dwell in Ioulis, the hometown of Simonides and
Bacchylides.

In the Aetia, the aition known as the Sepulcrum Simonidis (fr. 64) appears shortly
before the story of Acontius and Cydippe, only separated by the short Fontes Argiui. With his use of the address Κεῖε, shortly after an aition about Simonides,
Callimachus is setting up the reader to expect mention of Simonides. Instead, he
bypasses both Simonides and Bacchylides and references a prose author: Xenomedes.
Now, especially since Bacchylides was a source for the destruction of Ceos,
Callimachus is playing with the reader’s expectations, but pointing to his sources
beyond Xenomedes.

A few lines later, when Callimachus writes that the eponymous founder of Ceos is
the son of Apollo and Melia (line 63), he switches to another author, signalling
Pindar as a source. More than one nymph named Melia exists in mythology, but by
far the most famous is the nymph from Thebes. She appears in many of Pindar’s
songs, but also features prominently in Callimachus’ Hymn to Delos, in which she
dances on Helicon directly before Callimachus’ blame of Thebes, which I argue
elsewhere is a commentary on Callimachus’ use of his sources, especially Pindar’s
stories of the birth of Apollo. Here, when Callimachus states that Ceos is a son of
Apollo and Melia, Callimachus is cryptically saying that Ceos is a son of Thebes or
that songs about Ceos are the product of the premier poet from Thebes, that is, Pindar.

49 Bacchylides also mentions Ceos’ lack of horses: Ode 8.15 ἀνιππὸς.
50 L. Käppel, Paian. Studien zur Geschichte einer Gattung (Berlin, 1992), 149 links this
description of a rocky, rough Ceos to descriptions of Delos elsewhere in Pindar, as well as in the
Homerian Hymn to Apollo and in Callimachus; he particularly emphasizes the relationship of the
two islands within Paean 4. W.H. Race, Pindar I. Olympian Odes. Pythian Odes (Cambridge,
MA, 1997), 253 also connects Pindar’s description to Delos in the Homeric hymn. Giuseppetti
(n. 11) compares the islands’ descriptions to Ithaca at Hom. Od. 13.212–15.
51 Harder (n. 7), 504–5.
52 Simonides is the more famous Cean, but we have no evidence that he sang about the foundation
of Ceos, although it would be easy to believe. However, the Suda attributes a three-book ‘Genealogy’
to him, which perhaps makes him a further source to both Xenomedes and Callimachus for the history
of Ceos, although Ceos is not mentioned in the evidence (FGrHist 1.158).
53 Pind. Hymn 1.1–5, Pae. 7.4, 9.35, 9.43, Pyth. 11.4.
54 Article in progress.
Just as Callimachus signalled his sources, the *Homeric Hymn to Apollo* and Pindar’s stories about the birth of Apollo, in the *Hymn to Delos* while bringing up the Telchines, he does so in *Aet. fr. 75*, subtly pointing to the songs of Pindar and Bacchylides along with his stated source Xenomedes. Callimachus is not only making a programmatic statement about poetry, he is also giving insight into his poetry and the way in which he approaches composition, subtly pointing to his sources, but playing with expectations. Perhaps this is no surprise; this is the poet who claimed to sing nothing unattested. Still, reading these passages together gives us better insight into each individual passage and Callimachus’ views on poetry as a whole.

Acosta-Hughes and Stephens have pointed out that Callimachus juxtaposes young and old as a programmatic statement. This is most clear in the prologue to the *Aetia*, in which Callimachus laments his old age, but likens his speech to that of a child (fr. 1.5–6). They further point out that this contrast is echoed in fr. 75: early on the narrator compares himself to a child (line 9), and then, later, old Xenomedes with his tablets reminds us once again of young Callimachus with his tablets in the prologue to the *Aetia*. Xenomedes, then, is more than just a source for Callimachus, he is a model, a vision of a Callimachus from an older generation. More than just showing us the scholar at work, here Callimachus shows us the scholar at work showing another scholar at work. Callimachus tells us that Xenomedes was his source for the history of Ceos, but at the same time Callimachus was also looking back to the songs of Pindar and Bacchylides. This is made clear by the story of the punishment of the island of Ceos in both Bacchylides’ *Ode* 1 and *Paeon* 4, but is solidified by Pindar’s description of Ceos, as well as by the comparison of Ceos with Delos.

As we have seen, the Telchines appear at key moments in Callimachus’ works to illuminate his source material and his poetic process. Each time they appear, they mark the passage as poetically significant. As makers and enchanters who are associated with skill, they share characteristics with poets, shaping them as appropriate symbols of metapoetics. But as wielders of the evil eye, βάϲκανοι, γόηϲεϲ, φθονεροί, as Hesychius puts it, they are dangerous enchanters. Perhaps they can even figure as a darker version of our poet.

Furthermore, the Telchines are pre-Olympian gods: old and old-fashioned. They represent older poets and older poetry, while Callimachus is the young, the new, the novel. Part of the Telchines’ hostility stems from their role as part of an older world. This contrast between the young and the old, the new and the ancient, is a dichotomy set up by other Hellenistic poets as well. Anatole Mori notes that this is especially prominent in Apollonius’ *Argonautica*: ‘Those who wilfully resist or oppose the Argonauts are represented as members of ancient, often autochthonous, races.’ The Telchines, along with older semi-monstrous races, are at a loss understanding their role in the new world-order.

Acosta-Hughes and Stevens argue that in the prologue to the *Aetia* Callimachus offers a series of intentional misreadings, reworking metapoetic statements into his

---

55 Fr. 612 Pfeiffer.
56 cf. Acosta-Hughes and Stephens (n. 7), 240 n. 9.
57 And so naturally interpreted as rival poets: cf. Werner (n. 10), 29; S. Barbantani, ‘Unitarian poetic program and episodic narratives in the works of Callimachus’, in C. Werner, A. Dourado-Lopes and E. Werner (edd.), *Tecendo narrativas: Unidade e episódio na literatura grega antiga* (São Paulo, 2015), 269–319.
58 Acosta-Hughes and Stephens (n. 7).
59 A. Mori, *The Politics of Apollonius Rhodius*’ *Argonautica* (Cambridge, 2008), 49.
own vision of poetry. To give just one of their examples, Callimachus takes Aristophanes’ weighing of verse from the Frogs, but switches the meaning. In the Frogs, Aeschylus’ overladen poetics beat Euripides’ lighter verse. For Callimachus, on the other hand, the lighter the better. Returning to Strabo’s description, I suggest one more misreading to help explain the role of the Telchines (14.2.7):

ἐκαλεῖτο δ’ Ἡ Ῥόδος πρότερον Ὀφιούσσα καὶ Σταδία, εἶτα Τελχίνες ὑπὸ τῶν οἰκηέλατων Τελχίνων τὴν ἱέμου, οὓς οἱ μὲν βασκάνους φασί καὶ γόττας θείον καταφραίνοντας τὸ τῆς Στυγός ὕδωρ ἔρευν τε καὶ φυτῶν ὀλέθρου χάριν, οἳ δὲ τέχνας διαφέροντας τούναντιον ὑπὸ τῶν ἀντιτέχνων βασκανθῆναι καὶ τῆς δυσφημίας τυχεῖν ταύτης.

Rhodes was earlier called Ophioussa and Stadia, then Telchinis after the inhabitants of the island, the Telchines, who some say are maligners and wizards, who drip the water of the Styx mixed with sulphur on animals and plants to destroy them. Others say, on the contrary, that the Telchines excelled in workmanship and were maligned by their rivals and so met with their bad reputation.

We have no early sources that present the Telchines as innocent craftsmen, but we have little early evidence for the Telchines at all. It is very possible, then, that we have lost these accounts. If Callimachus was aware of such accounts, this would add another dimension to his choice of presenting his critics as the Telchines: he is accusing the accused of being accusers. Turning the maligned Telchines into his critics could be yet another one of his intentional misreadings: instead of being misunderstood, the Telchines misunderstand.

Peppering poems with comments about the nature of song and poetry is a key characteristic of Callimachean verse, not only revealing Callimachus’ preferred aesthetics but also providing a view into his process of writing and thinking about poetry. The passages containing Callimachus’ Telchines are not only connected linguistically and thematically, they also appear at key moments in Callimachus’ work to make a compelling statement about Callimachus’ use of sources, his poetic programme and process, and the larger themes that bind Callimachus’ various works.
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60 Acosta-Hughes and Stephens (n. 7).