Defluoridation of South Tunisian Brackish Water by Alum Coagulation/Flocculation: A Preliminary Work
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ABSTRACT
Fluoride removal from aqueous solution was investigated using the chemical coagulation-flocculation by alum in reason of the efficiency and the simplicity of the process. Preliminary experiments were carried out to study the effect of some operating parameters such as: pH, initial fluoride concentration, coagulant dose, adjuvant coagulation dose, flocculent dose and settling time. Obtained experimental results showed that the treatment of fluoride by alum coagulation causes acidification of the solution. The effect of the initial pH solution on fluoride removal is negligible. Experimental results proved that coagulation process is effective in waters with low or medium levels of fluoride. Defluoridation rate was seen to increase with increasing coagulant and adjuvant coagulation doses. Optimal flocculent dose and optimal settling time were found 4.2 mg L\(^{-1}\) of FABI and 60 min respectively. Subsequently, experimental design methodology using two-level full factorial design was applied to optimize the defluoridation by coagulation-flocculation. Therefore, four operating parameters which are supposed to affect the removal efficiency were chosen: initial fluoride concentration, coagulant dose, coagulation adjuvant dose and settling time. From the statistical analysis, it is inferred that the four studied parameters have an influence on the fluoride removal. In fact, initial fluoride concentration has a positive effect unlike coagulant dose, coagulation adjuvant dose and settling time. Experiment tests were performed to evaluate the efficiency of coagulation-flocculation process for south Tunisian brackish water with high fluoride concentration. The obtained results showed that fluoride removal reached 59%.
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1. Introduction

The fluoride occurs mainly as sellaite MgF$_2$, fluorspar CaF$_2$, cryolite (Na$_3$AlF$_6$), and fluorapatite (3Ca$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$.Ca(F,Cl)$_2$) [1, 2]. Fluor spar is found in sedimentary rocks while cryolite is found in igneous rocks. These fluoride minerals are nearly insoluble in water. Hence fluorides will be present in groundwater only when conditions favor their dissolution or high fluoride containing effluents are discharged to the water bodies from industries. Fluoride removal requires much attention due to the dental and skeletal fluorosis caused by the excessive intake of fluoride [3].

In the south Tunisian brackish water, the range level of fluoride ions is situated between 1.5 and 2.5 mg L$^{-1}$. However, in the region of Gafsa fluoride content greater than 2.5 mg L$^{-1}$ are encountered [4].

The World Health Organization (WHO) fixed the maximum fluoride concentration in drinking water as 1.5 mg L$^{-1}$. In excess of 1.5–2.0 mg L$^{-1}$ fluoride is known to cause permanent gray or black staining of teeth enamel and the long-term intake of 3–10 mg L$^{-1}$ may result in abnormal bone growth in both humans and animals. Invertebrates and fish in fresh water are also very sensitive to fluoride toxicity [5]. Many methods have been developed to remove excessive fluoride from drinking water. These methods can be categorized into four categories: adsorption, chemical precipitation, membrane separation, and electrocoagulation [6-8]. Among the technologies of fluoride removal coagulation is a simple and effective method in the treatment of drinking water and industrial wastewater. In coagulation (Al(OH)$_3$), flocs forms during the hydrolyzation of Al salt and fluoride is removed by attachment to the flocks [4].

Coagulation is a key and basic unit process in water purification. Coagulation-flocculation followed by clarification is the most widely used process for treating the wastewater. The process usually consists of the rapid dispersal of a coagulant into the wastewater followed by an intense agitation commonly defined as rapid mixing. The most widely used coagulants are aluminum(III) and iron(III) salts [9]. Aluminum (Al) based salts, e.g., polyaluminum chloride (PACl), aluminum chloride (AlCl$_3$), and alum (traditional Al coagulants), are most commonly used in water treatment plants. The hydrolysis reactions of Al species are very complicated depending on water quality and coagulant type, which contribute to the formation of various hydrolyzed Al species [10].

Natural waters contain a very wide variety of particulate impurities. These include inorganic substances such as clays and metal oxides, various organic colloids and microbes such as viruses, bacteria, protozoa and algae. Aquatic particles cover a broad range of particle size, from nm to mm dimensions and present a significant challenge in water treatment technology [11]. The aim of this work is to remove fluoride from water by coagulation with Alum. Several parameters (pH, coagulant dose, adjuvant dose, flocculent dose and settling time) were studied. In the subsequent step the experimental design methodology using two-level full factorial design was applied to optimize the defluoridation by coagulation-flocculation. Then the efficiency of coagulation-flocculation process was evaluated for south Tunisian brackish water containing high fluoride concentration.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Reagents

- Sodium fluoride NaF (98.5%) was purchased from HIMEDIA and used to make fluoride solution.
- Ammonium aluminium sulphate: alum NH$_4$Al(SO$_4$)$_2$.12H$_2$O, (99%) from FLUKA was used as a coagulant.
- Magnesium chloride (MgCl$_2$, 6H$_2$O), (99%) from FLUKA was used as coagulation adjuvant.
- Flocculent : commercial polyelectrolyte (FABI).

2.2. Analytical methods

- The fluoride concentrations were measured by means of a fluoride-selective electrode (Radiometer analytical-HACH). Total fluoride concentration was measured after mixing with total ionic strength adjustment buffer (TISAB) according to the Methods of Examination of Water and Wastewater1.
- The turbidity was measured by turbidimeter (Lovibond Turbidity LAB-IR).

2.3. Experimental procedures

In order to conduct coagulation tests a standard jar-test technique was used. Four 1000 mL volume beakers were filled in with 500 mL of fluoride solution and placed under the jar-test apparatus, equipped with "lozenge" shaped blades. Then the apparatus was switched on and the mixing rate was set at stirring speed and stirring time. The temperature is around 25°C. The solution pH was adjusted at its selected initial value either with dilute 0.1 M HCl or 0.1 M NaOH before coagulation, which was measured by pH meter. Then coagulant dose (alum (NH$_4$Al(SO$_4$)$_2$.12H$_2$O)) was added in solution. The optimal dose of coagulant was combined with magnesium chloride (MgCl$_2$, 6H$_2$O) as coagulation adjuvant and commercial flocculent (FABI). The coagulation procedure involved rapid mixing at 250 rpm for 1 min, followed by slow stirring at 50 rpm for 20 min, and a quiescent settling period. Samples were taken from the surface of water and filtered with 0.45 micron filter paper (Millipore) before analysis. The final pH of the solution for each experiment was measured. The filtrates were analyzed for residual fluoride concentration and residual turbidity.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Determination of optimal conditions

Chemical coagulation is a complex phenomenon involving various inter-related parameters, hence it is very critical to define that how well optimal parameters will function under given conditions [12]. First, experiments were conducted in deionized water for examining the behaviour of fluoride in coagulation-flocculation process. The effects of some operating parameters such as: pH, initial fluoride concentration, coagulant dose, adjuvant coagulation dose, flocculent dose and settling time were optimized.

3.1.1. The effect of initial pH

Coagulation-flocculation process brings up various mechanisms, often complex and highly dependent on pH [13]. It is therefore necessary to optimize the pH to determine the pH range within which coagulation takes place fast enough with a maximum of fluoride removal.

To investigate this effect, a series of experiments were carried out at different initial pH (pH$_i$) from 4 to 11, coagulant dose was fixed at 5 mg L$^{-1}$ of aluminium. The variation of pH after coagulation-flocculation was determined. (Figure 1) depicts the solution pH after coagulation-flocculation process versus final pH. As seen, for initial pH varying from 4 to 11, final pH drops (3.8-10). This could be attributed to the alkalinity consumption. It can also be noted that the solution pH variation is practically the same for the studied initial concentrations. For initial pH varying from (6 to 8) the pH decreases from its initial value to reach a steady state at pH around 5.5. This result was also reported by Pontié and al. [2] where they deduce that coagulation process causes acidification of the solution.

On the other hand, the evolution of residual fluoride concentration

([F$_{\text{res.}}$]) for different pH$_i$ was studied for initial fluoride concentration of 3, 6 and 9 mg L$^{-1}$. As shown in (Figure 2), the effect of the initial pH solution on fluoride removal is negligible. The maximum difference between residual fluoride concentration at several pH$_i$ values is almost 0.2 mg L$^{-1}$.

Similar behaviour has been observed for all studied fluoride concentrations at pH$_i$ varying from 4 to 11. Similar results have been found for electrocoagulation process which is an alternative method of chemical coagulation process [14]: The final pH and residual fluoride concentration did not change very much in the range of initial pH that is 4-8 because of the buffer capacity of aluminium hydroxide.

It has been reported [9] that at around neutral pH, Al(III) has limited solubility, because of the precipitation of an amorphous hydroxide, which can play a very important role in practical coagulation and flocculation processes. More importantly in practice, hydroxide precipitation leads to the possibility of sweep flocculation, in which impurity particles become enmeshed in the growing precipitate and thus effectively removed. Whereas for Al, apart from a narrow pH region approximately 5-6, the dominant soluble species are Al$^{3+}$ and Al(OH)$_4^{-}$ at low and high pH, respectively. Above approximately pH=7 much larger particles were formed, which settled rapidly to give a reduced turbidity. In the case of aluminium coagulants, optimum pH values are approximately 7, close to the minimum solubility. The Al-F complexes were the main species for soluble Al. Furthermore, the transformation of fluoride species and fluoride removal were strongly dependent on pH. Weak acidic conditions were beneficial to the complexation between Al and fluoride. As pH increased to 7.0 complexes were completely dissociated to free fluoride. The process of coagulation involved Al-F complexation, Al hydrolysis and precipitation. All the reactions were strongly dependent on pH and were quick processes with time scale of 10$^{-2}$ s. It has been proved that Al-F complexation has an effect on Al hydrolysis. Al-F complexes reacted with less OH$^{-}$ with comparison to Al$^{3+}$ and were directly precipitated at neutral pH. It was reported that Al-F-OH coprecipitate formed with Al-F complexes as precursor in coagulation and the coprecipitation with complexation benefited the removal of fluoride by coagulation [3]. Accordingly, all following coagulation experiments are conducted at initial pH=7.

**Figure 1: Evolution of final pH vs initial pH, [Al$^{3+}$] = 5 mg L$^{-1}$**.
3.1.2. The effect of initial fluoride concentration

To determine the effect of the initial concentration of fluoride, different jar tests were carried out with increasing amounts of coagulants starting from 2 mg L\(^{-1}\) up to 35 mg L\(^{-1}\). Initial fluoride concentrations studied are 3, 6 and 9 mg L\(^{-1}\). Considering (Figure 3), it was observed that the removal efficiency of fluoride was closely related with its initial concentration. Fluoride removal decreases from 61% to 58% for a coagulant dose of 5 mg L\(^{-1}\) and initial fluoride concentration of 3 and 9 mg L\(^{-1}\) respectively.

The appearance of the curves (Figure 3) shows a similar behavior: rapid reduction followed by a constant level. Residual fluoride concentration tends to decrease by increasing aluminum amount up to 20 mg L\(^{-1}\). However, with further coagulant increase, removal did not improve. Aluminum dose of 20 mg L\(^{-1}\) results in a decrease of fluoride concentrations from 3, 6 and 9 mg L\(^{-1}\) to 0.9, 1.42 and 1.99 mg L\(^{-1}\) corresponding to a fluoride removal of about 30, 24 and 22 % respectively. Previous study has revealed that coagulation process is effective in waters with low or medium levels of fluoride [15]. Indeed, at neutral conditions higher fluoride concentration induced more Al-F complexes. High fluoride concentration is advantageous for Al-F complexation which increased the content of soluble Al but disadvantageous for fluoride removal [3]. This is confirmed by our coagulation experiments. In fact, with a coagulant dose of 35 mg L\(^{-1}\), residual fluoride concentrations reached 0.77 and 1.11 mg L\(^{-1}\) for initial concentrations of fluoride 3 and 6 mg L\(^{-1}\) respectively.
However, this dose is insufficient for an initial fluoride concentration 9 mg L\(^{-1}\). Indeed the residual fluoride concentration is about 1.8 mg L\(^{-1}\).

### 3.1.3. The effect of coagulant dose

The effect of coagulant dose on removal efficiency of fluoride was investigated for different fluoride initial concentration of 3, 6 and 9 mg L\(^{-1}\) of fluoride. To optimize the coagulant dose, different jar tests were performed with increasing amounts of aluminum up to 35 mg L\(^{-1}\). The obtained results are shown in (Figure 4). The alum coagulation and flocculation efficiency was improved with the increasing of coagulant dose. Similar behavior has been observed for different initials fluoride concentrations studied.

![Figure 4: Evolution of residual fluoride vs aluminum dose for different concentrations, pH\(_i\) = 7.](image)

The obtained results allow us to choose optimal coagulant dose ([Al\(^{3+}\)]\(_{opt.}\)) for the different studied fluoride concentrations. As shown in (Table 1), according to experimental results, all following coagulation experiments are conducted at optimal coagulation doses, to improve the fluoride removal efficiency and to minimize excessive doses of aluminum.

| [F\(^-\)]\(_0\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | [Al\(^{3+}\)]\(_{opt.}\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | [F\(^-\)]\(_{res.}\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 3              | 8               | 1.52            |
| 6              | 13              | 1.75            |
| 9              | 20              | 2.00            |

### 3.1.4. The effect of coagulation adjuvant dose

In order to examine the effect of magnesium chloride (MgCl\(_2\), 6H\(_2\)O) as coagulation adjuvant on fluoride removal, different coagulation tests were carried out by combining the optimal dose of coagulant with increasing adjuvant dose starting with 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) and increasing this by 200 mg L\(^{-1}\) increments up to 1200 mg L\(^{-1}\).

(Figure 5), presents the results obtained by varying the adjuvant dose for different initials fluoride concentrations. It was observed that residual fluoride concentration decreased with increasing the dose of the coagulation adjuvant. An analogous behavior has been found for all fluoride concentrations studied. The increasing of defluoridation rate when the dose of the coagulation adjuvant (MgCl\(_2\), 6H\(_2\)O) increases is probably attributed to the dominant phenomenon of the adsorption of F\(^-\) on the sites of magnesia Mg(OH)\(_2\) formed by the presence of sufficient amount of magnesium ions in water. This, because the particles of Mg(OH)\(_2\) have a positive electrical charge in the total pH range of their existence [15].
Figure 5: Variation of the residual fluoride concentration for different coagulation adjuvant doses, pH$_{i}$ = 7.

The obtained results allows us to choose optimal adjuvant dose ([MgCl$_2$.6H$_2$O]$_{opt.}$) for the studied fluoride concentrations as shown in (Table 2).

Table 2. Residual fluoride concentrations and optimal adjuvant doses for different initial fluoride concentrations.

| [F$^-]$$_0$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | [Al$^{3+}$]$_{opt.}$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | [MgCl$_2$.6H$_2$O]$_{opt.}$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | [F$^-]$$_{res.}$ (mg L$^{-1}$) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 3                       | 8                             | 200                                  | 1.39                        |
| 6                       | 13                            | 200                                  | 1.01                        |
| 9                       | 20                            | 800                                  | 1.42                        |

3.1.5. The effect of flocculent dose

The main objective of flocculation step is the agglomeration of the particles until have up to a size of an agglomerate can decant, with the addition of a flocculent [16]. It is therefore necessary to optimize the dose of flocculent. Coagulation tests were performed to investigate the influence of the flocculent on fluoride removal by combining the optimal coagulant dose with different doses of commercial flocculent (FABI) varying from 0.6 to 4.2 mg L$^{-1}$. It should be noted that the study was conducted in the absence and presence of optimal adjuvant dose to determine the effect of flocculent alone and in combination with adjuvant and coagulant doses. Fluoride concentrations studied are 3, 6 and 9 mg L$^{-1}$. The results showing the variation of the residual fluoride concentration and the turbidity are given in (Figure 6) and (Figure 7).

Figure 6a: Variation of the residual fluoride concentration for different flocculent doses (without adjuvant), pH$_{i}$ = 7.
Figure 6b: Variation of the residual fluoride concentration for different flocculent doses, (with adjuvant: $[\text{MgCl}_2\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}]_{\text{opt.}}$ : Table 2.), pH$_i$ = 7.

Figure 7a: Variation of solution turbidity for different flocculent dose, (without adjuvant), pH$_i$ = 7.
Figure 7b: Variation of solution turbidity for different flocculent dose, with adjuvant, pH$_i$ = 7.

As shown in (Figure 6a) and (Figure 6b), the effect of flocculent dose on residual fluoride concentration is negligible for all studied fluoride concentrations. However, increasing flocculent dose results in a significant decrease of the solution turbidity (Figure 7a and Figure 7b). Increasing flocculent dose leads to a more rapid flocculation and strong flocs. Analogous behavior was found for the two cases: with and without optimal adjuvant dose. According to experimental results FABI amount of 4.2 mg L$^{-1}$ was chosen as an optimal flocculent dose. Experimental obtained results are shown in (Table 3).

Table 3. Effect of adjuvant on residual fluoride concentration and solution turbidity, pH$_i$ = 7, [FABI]$_{\text{opt.}}$ = 4.2 mg L$^{-1}$.

| [F]$^-$$_0$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | Parameter | Without adjuvant | With adjuvant |
|------------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------|
| 3                      | [F]$_{\text{res.}}$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | 1.45            | 1.34          |
|                        | Turbidité (NTU)               | 0.16            | 0.10          |
| 6                      | [F]$_{\text{res.}}$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | 1.67            | 0.81          |
|                        | Turbidité (NTU)               | 0.11            | 0.10          |
| 9                      | [F]$_{\text{res.}}$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | 2.04            | 1.20          |
|                        | Turbidité (NTU)               | 0.16            | 0.08          |

Some researchers [17] have studied the relationships between residual turbidity and aluminum in treated water: when turbidity in treated water after filtration was controlled to 0.1 NTU, the concentrations of residual aluminum could be reduced to 0.02 mg L$^{-1}$. As a result, controlling residual turbidity of treated water was very important, and it was directly connected with the concentrations of residual aluminum in treated water.

3.1.6. The effect of settling time

Various coagulation tests were performed to investigate the effect of settling time by combining the optimal coagulant dose with optimal adjuvant and optimal flocculent dose (fixed at 4.2 mg L$^{-1}$) for different settling time. Fluoride concentrations studied are 3, 6 and 9 mg L$^{-1}$. The results showing the variation of the residual fluoride concentration and the turbidity are shown in (Figure 8a and Figure 8b). The increase of the settling time results in a decrease of residual fluoride concentration which could be attributed to an adsorption process by Al(OH)$_3$ flocs. During the adsorption process,
flouride was removed by ion exchange with OH\textsuperscript{-}. The acidic condition (pH\textsubscript{i} =5.5) favored the release of OH\textsuperscript{-} and fluorid removal. This slow reaction of ion exchange required more time to achieve equilibrium [3].

![Graph](image_url)

**Figure 8a.** Evolution of residual fluoride concentration vs settling time, pH\textsubscript{i} = 7, \([\text{FABI}]_{\text{opt.}} = 4.2\text{ mg L}^{-1}\).

**Figure 8b:** Evolution of solution turbidity vs settling time, pH\textsubscript{i} = 7, \([\text{FABI}]_{\text{opt.}} = 4.2\text{ mg L}^{-1}\).

Optimal settling time is the time for which residual fluoride concentration and residual turbidity are minimal. According to our experimental results optimal settling time is 60 min.

### 3.2. Experimental design methodology

We have investigated the influence of four main parameters: initial fluoride concentration ([F\textsubscript{i}]), coagulant dose ([Al\textsuperscript{3+}]), coagulation adjuvant dose ([MgCl\textsubscript{2}.6H\textsubscript{2}O]) and settling time (t\textsubscript{sett}) on the defluoridation by coagulation-flocculation using the experimental design methodology by means of factorial matrix (2\textsuperscript{4}) [18].

In these types of designs, variables (k) are set at two levels: low and high normalized as (-1) and (+1). With these designs, it was possible to calculate the average and the principle effects of each factors and their interaction.

The experimental response associated to a 2\textsuperscript{k} factorial design (for 4 variables) is represented by a linear polynomial model equation:

\[
Y = b_0 + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 X_3 + b_4 X_4 + b_{12} X_1 X_2 + b_{13} X_1 X_3 + b_{14} X_1 X_4 + b_{23} X_2 X_3 + b_{24} X_2 X_4 + b_{34} X_3 X_4 \quad (1)
\]

Where:

- \(Y\) : experimental response,
- $X_i$: coded variable (-1 or +1),
- $b_i$: estimation of the principal effect of the factor $i$ for the response $Y$,
- $b_{ij}$: estimation of interaction effect between factor $i$ and $j$ for the response $Y$.

The response investigated is the residual fluoride concentration ($[F]_{\text{res.}}$). The coefficients of the equation model were calculated in the experimental field listed in (Table 4).

### Table 4: Experimental region investigated for fluoride removal by coagulation-flocculation.

| Coded variables ($X_i$) | Factors | Experimental field |
|-------------------------|---------|---------------------|
|                         |         | Minimum level value | Maximum level value |
| $X_1$ initial fluoride concentration: $[F]_0$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | 3 | 9 |
| $X_2$ coagulant dose: $[Al^{3+}]$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | 2 | 20 |
| $X_3$ coagulation adjuvant dose: $[MgCl_2.6H_2O]$ (mg L$^{-1}$) | 0 | 800 |
| $X_4$ settling time: $t_{\text{set.}}$ (min) | 15 | 60 |

The experimental design and results are represented in (Table 5).

### Table 5. Factorial experimental design, experimental plan and results.

| Experiment number | Experimental design | Experimental plan | $Y$ |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----|
|                   | $X_1$ | $X_2$ | $X_3$ | $X_4$ | $[F]_0$ | $[Al^{3+}]$ | $[MgCl_2.6H_2O]$ | $t_{\text{set.}}$ | $[F]_{\text{res.}}$ (mg L$^{-1}$) |
| 1                 | -1   | -1   | -1   | -1   | 3     | 2     | 0        | 15   | 2.07 |
| 2                 | +1   | -1   | -1   | -1   | 9     | 2     | 0        | 15   | 8.47 |
| 3                 | -1   | +1   | -1   | -1   | 3     | 20    | 0        | 15   | 1.64 |
| 4                 | +1   | +1   | -1   | -1   | 9     | 20    | 0        | 15   | 4.27 |
| 5                 | -1   | -1   | +1   | -1   | 3     | 2     | 800      | 15   | 2.00 |
| 6                 | 1    | -1   | +1   | -1   | 9     | 2     | 800      | 15   | 7.60 |
| 7                 | -1   | +1   | +1   | -1   | 3     | 20    | 800      | 15   | 1.31 |
| 8                 | +1   | +1   | +1   | -1   | 9     | 20    | 800      | 15   | 3.42 |
| 9                 | -1   | -1   | -1   | +1   | 3     | 2     | 0        | 60   | 2.00 |
| 10                | +1   | -1   | -1   | +1   | 9     | 2     | 0        | 60   | 7.43 |
| 11                | -1   | +1   | -1   | +1   | 3     | 20    | 0        | 60   | 0.90 |
| 12                | +1   | +1   | -1   | +1   | 9     | 20    | 0        | 60   | 1.99 |
| 13                | -1   | -1   | 1    | +1   | 3     | 2     | 800      | 60   | 1.85 |
| 14                | +1   | -1   | 1    | +1   | 9     | 2     | 800      | 60   | 4.56 |
| 15                | -1   | +1   | 1    | +1   | 3     | 20    | 800      | 60   | 1.29 |
| 16                | +1   | +1   | 1    | +1   | 9     | 20    | 800      | 60   | 1.25 |

According to the results obtained the coefficients of the polynomial model were calculated using the Nemrod-W Software:

$$Y = 3.25 + 1.62 X_1 - 1.24 X_2 - 0.34 X_3 - 0.59 X_4 - 0.9 X_1X_2 - 0.32 X_1X_3 - 0.47 X_1X_4 + 0.15 X_2X_3 - 0.06 X_2X_4 - 0.08X_3X_4$$ (2)
The effects and interactions of the various investigated factors are represented in Figure 9.

![Figure 9: Graphical analysis of the effect of: $[F^-]_0$, $[Al^{3+}]$, $[MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O]$ and the $t_{sett.}$ on fluoride removal by coagulation-flocculation.](image)

It was concluded that initial fluoride concentration $[F^-]_0$ has the highest effect. The effect of $[F^-]_0$ is positive, so it seems that residual fluoride concentration increases when initial fluoride concentration increases. In addition, the coagulant dose $[Al^{3+}]$ is the second most significant factor on fluoride removal. Its effect is negative. The increase of coagulant dose leads to decrease the residual fluoride concentration.

The coefficient associated with the interaction term $(X_1X_2)$ has an important value (0.9). Therefore, the effect of variable $X_2$ ($[Al^{3+}]$) depend on the level of variable $X_1$ ($[F^-]_0$) and vice versa, in the experimental region investigated. This interaction has a negative effect on the studied response ($F_{res}$). From the statistical analysis, it is inferred that settling time ($t_{sett.}$) and coagulation adjuvant dose ($[MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O]$) have a considerable effects on fluoride removal by coagulation-flocculation, but slightly lower than $[F^-]_0$ and $[Al^{3+}]$ effects. Also the interactions terms $(X_1X_4)$ and $(X_1X_3)$ are significant and have negatives degree respectively (0.32) and (0.47). Therefore, the effect of variable $X_4$ ($[F^-]_0$) depend on the level of variable $X_3$ (coagulation adjuvant dose ($[MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O]$)). Then the effect of variable $X_1$ ($[F^-]_0$) depend on the level of variable $X_4$ (settling time ($t_{sett.}$)) and vice versa, in the experimental region investigated. Nevertheless the interaction term $(X_2X_4)$ and $(X_3X_4)$ have negatives effects but are not significant, in fact the coefficients associated has an low values respectively (0.06) and (0.08). Dissimilar effect was seen with the interaction term $(X_2X_3)$, with positive small degree (0.15), but is not so significant Pareto analysis [19] gives more significant information to interpret these results. In fact, this analysis calculates the percentage effect of each factor on the response according to the following relation:

$$P_i = \frac{b_i^2}{\sum b_i^2} \times 100 \quad (i \neq 0)$$

Where $b_i$ represents the estimation of the principal effect of the factor $i$ for the response.

(Figure 10) represents the Pareto graphic analysis.
Figure 10: Graphical Pareto analysis.

Figure 10, shows that initial fluoride concentration \([F^{-}]_0\), coagulant dose \([Al^{3+}]\) and their interaction are the most determining factors on the removal of fluoride by coagulation-flocculation. In fact, 85.72 % of the response are bringing by these two factors and their interaction. Additionally, with settling time, interaction ((\([F^{-}]_0 \cdot \text{settling time}\).coagulant adjuvant dose), the investigated response achieve  97.65 %. However, the other interactions of the various investigated factors have a negligible effect; they represent a small degree about 2 % of the response. As a consequence, in the experimental region investigated initial fluoride concentration, coagulant dose, their interaction and settling time have a considerable effect for the best removal of fluoride by coagulation-flocculation.

3.3. Application

In order to study the applicability of the process, experiments were conducted to determine the effectiveness of coagulation-flocculation treatment for fluoride removal from natural waters of south Tunisian. Before starting the coagulation tests, were determined the main quality parameters of the water sample (Water Oued El Maleh-Gafsa). The results are summarized in Table 6.

| Parameter                  | Sample |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Conductivity (µS cm\(^{-1}\)) | 7970.00 |
| Salinity (mg L\(^{-1}\))    | 6045.60 |
| pH                         | 7.40   |
| Turbidity (NTU)            | 1.34   |
| \([Ca^{2+}]\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | 240.00 |
| \([Mg^{2+}]\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | 97.20  |
| \([F^{-}]\) (mg L\(^{-1}\))  | 3.42   |

Physico-chemical characteristics of sample after treatment by coagulation-flocculation are presented in Table 7.

Table 7. Physico-chemical characteristics of the sample after treatment by coagulation-flocculation.
Parameters | Sample
--- | ---
Conductivity (µS cm⁻¹) | 8400.00
Salinity (mg L⁻¹) | 6371.80
pH | 7.12
Turbidity (NTU) | 0.28
[Ca²⁺] (mg L⁻¹) | 168.00
[Mg²⁺] (mg L⁻¹) | 272.16
[F⁻] (mg L⁻¹) | 1.42

From (Table 6 and Table 7), it is necessary to mention that coagulation process can remove fluoride and suspended particles. Furthermore we observe a slight decreasing of the hardness and a slight increasing of the salinity of the brackish water.

Optimum conditions and residual fluoride concentrations for synthetic and sample water containing fluoride are summarized in (Table 8).

**Table 8. Fluoride removal at optimum conditions for synthetic and natural water containing fluoride.**

| Sample          | [F⁻]₀ (mg L⁻¹) | [Al³⁺]opt. (mg L⁻¹) | [MgCl₂.6H₂O]opt. (mg L⁻¹) | [FABI] (mg L⁻¹) | Settling time (min) | [F⁻]res. (mg L⁻¹) |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Synthetic water | 3.00           | 8                   | 200                      | 4.20           | 60                  | 1.34              |
| Natural water   | 3.42           | 8                   | 200                      | 4.20           | 60                  | 1.42              |

Under optimal parameters residual fluoride concentration achieves 1.42 mg L⁻¹ corresponding to a removal efficiency up to 59%. In sum, aluminum is a suitable coagulant for fluoride removal. Moreover, the fixed level of fluoride in drinking water recommended by WHO is reached (1.50 mg L⁻¹).

4. Conclusion

In this study coagulation process with alum was applied in the treatment of water containing fluoride. Experimental results showed that the effect of initial pH of solution of residual fluoride concentration is negligible and optimal pH was fixed at pH=7. High fluoride concentration is disadvantageous for fluoride removal. Coagulant dose was optimized for the different studied fluoride concentrations. Residual fluoride concentration decreases with the increasing of coagulation adjuvant dose, subsequently optimal dose was determined for the different studied fluoride concentrations. The effect of commercial flocculent (FABI) and settling time were studied, residual fluoride concentration and residual turbidity were evaluated. Optimal flocculent dose and optimal settling time were 4.2 mg L⁻¹ and 60 min respectively.

The statistical analysis of experimental design methodology showed that initial fluoride concentration, coagulant dose, adjuvant dose and settling time had a considerable effect on fluoride removal by coagulation-flocculation. Precisely, initial fluoride concentration has a positive effect unlike coagulant dose, coagulation adjuvant dose and settling time.

Coagulation tests were performed to evaluate the efficiency of coagulation-flocculation process for south Tunisian brackish water with high fluoride concentration. The obtained results showed that, under optimal parameters, residual fluoride concentration achieves 1.42 mg L⁻¹ corresponding to a removal efficiency of 59 %. So it can be concluded that when coagulation-flocculation process is used for fluoride removal from brackish water, it is possible to reach the limit recommended by WHO for fluoride concentration in drinking water, 1.5 mg L⁻¹. Accordingly this water could be drinkable if the content of the residual aluminum rate is below the limit recommended by WHO (0.02 mg L⁻¹).
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