Effect of Cardiac Expansion on Postsurgical Pulmonary Resection Recovery
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Abstract. Background/Aim: In patients undergoing lung resection, even when lung and ventricular function are normal, there may be a prolonged delay in postoperative recovery. The effect of left ventricular extension disorders on recovery after pulmonary resection was investigated.

Materials and Methods: The postoperative recovery of ninety patients with normal left ventricular ejection fraction and exercise tolerance who underwent anatomical pulmonary resection was evaluated according to the grade of left ventricular expansion (E/e’). Results: Left ventricular extension was normal (≤8) in 53 cases, moderately restricted (8-12) in 36 cases and severely restricted (>12) in 9 cases. No significant difference was found in the postoperative complication rate. However, the severely restricted group had a significantly higher duration of oxygen administration, intensive care unit stay, and postoperative hospital stay, which were found to be independent predictors of ventricular expansion. Conclusion: Left ventricular expansion dysfunction had a negative effect on postoperative recovery.

Since lung and left ventricular contraction function limit exercise tolerance, they constitute an important factor when assessing the surgical risk of pulmonary resection (1, 2). However, even in cases of optimal exercise load, perioperative complications may occur and there may be a prolonged delay in postoperative recovery (3). Even if the left ventricular contraction function is maintained (ejection fraction, EF 0.45 to 0.50 or higher), left ventricular expansion failure increases the end-stage pressure of the left ventricle, leading to an increase in left atrial, pulmonary artery, pulmonary venous, and pulmonary capillary pressures, which may play a role in perioperative complications after pulmonary resection and cause a prolonged postoperative recovery (4). However, the effect of left ventricular expansion disorders on recovery after pulmonary resection has not been fully studied.

In this study, we examined whether cardiac expansion was a predictor of perioperative complications and postoperative recovery, in cases where both cardiac contractile function and exercise tolerance were maintained.

Patients and Methods

Background of patients. A total of 186 surgical cases of pulmonary tumour lesions were recorded at Hoshigaoka Medical Center between February 2015 and October 2017: 162 of these patients were able to climb from the first to the sixth floor (22.2 m) and 90 patients who underwent anatomical pulmonary resection for the treatment of lung cancer were enrolled in the study.

The baseline demographic and clinical data of the 90 cases are shown in Table I. As a rule, mediastinal lymph node resection was carried out in cases of lobectomy for lung cancer.

Postoperative management. Surgery was usually performed in the morning and patients were transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) after surgery. If the circulation and the respiratory conditions were stable, the patient returned to the general ward in the next day and continued postoperative management. Oxygen administration was interrupted when %PaO₂ ≥90% during walking and ≥93% or more with room air at rest. Discharge was allowed when sufficient performance of activities of daily living was achieved.

Echocardiography. Echocardiography was performed by a technician in the clinical laboratory using Aplio XG SSA-790A (TOSHIBA, Tokyo, Japan). EF (%), tricuspid valve pressure gradient, and grade of ventricular expansion (E/e’) values were collected as cardiac function evaluation items.
Patient background data.

Table I. Patient background data.

| Variables          | Whole | E/e’<8 | E/e’8-12 | E/e’>12 | p-Value |
|--------------------|-------|--------|----------|---------|---------|
| n                  | 90    | 53     | 31       | 6       |
| Age (mean±S.D.)    | 68.6±8.8 | 67.1±9.4 | 70.6±7.7 | 71.2±7.7 | 0.2     |
| Gender             |       |        |          |         |         |
| Male               | 48    | 26     | 17       | 5       | 0.3     |
| Female             | 42    | 27     | 14       | 1       |
| BMI (kg/m²) (mean±S.D.) | 22.3±3.0 | 22.2±3.1 | 22.30±3.0 | 22.7±1.7 | 0.9     |
| Disease            |       |        |          |         |         |
| Lung cancer        | 89    | 51     | 32       | 6       | 1.0     |
| Metastasis         | 1     | 1      | 0        | 0       |
| Comorbidities      |       |        |          |         |         |
| No                 | 27    | 18     | 8        | 1       | 0.7     |
| Yes                | 63    | 35     | 23       | 5       |
| COPD               | 31    | 20     | 9        | 2       |
| CPFE               | 2     | 1      | 1        | 0       |
| IP                 | 3     | 2      | 1        | 0       |
| CVD                | 29    | 11     | 14       | 4       |
| APO                | 2     | 2      | 0        | 0       |
| DM                 | 11    | 6      | 4        | 1       |
| Respiratory function(mean±S.D.) |       |        |          |         |         |
| VC (L)             | 3.3±0.5 | 3.3±0.9 | 3.2±0.8  | 3.4±0.9  | 0.6     |
| %VC (%)            | 109.8±18.3 | 112.4±14.6 | 109.8±12.6 | 107.7±21.5 | 0.6     |
| FEV1 (%L)          | 23.0±0.6 | 23.0±0.6 | 2.0±0.5  | 2.4±0.7  | 0.6     |
| %FEV1 (%)          | 104.1±21.6 | 103.9±20.5 | 104.3±20.6 | 105.4±36.8 | 1.0     |
| FEV1% (G)          | 72.0±9.3  | 72.0±10.2 | 71.5±8.2 | 73.1±6.7 | 0.9     |
| DLCO (ml/min/mmHg) | 16.1±4.7 | 16.9±4.7 | 14.7±4.3 | 16.6±5.8 | 0.1     |
| %DLCO (%)          | 99.7±24.8 | 103.2±26.3 | 93.2±20.6 | 101.4±28.0 | 0.2     |
| ppo%FE1 (%)        | 84.0±19.4 | 83.0±20.1 | 84.9±16.2 | 87.3±29.7 | 0.8     |
| ppo%DLCO (%)       | 79.7±23.9 | 82.6±24.6 | 73.5±21.2 | 85.5±28.6 | 0.2     |
| Echocardiography   |       |        |          |         |         |
| EF (%)             | 66.9±5.8 | 67.5±5.9 | 65.3±5.6 | 69.7±4.5 | 0.1     |
| TRPG (mmHg)        | 15.3±12.9 | 13.0±11.2 | 19.1±14.9 | 16.6±13.3 | 0.1     |
| E/e’               | 8.1±2.3 | 6.7±1.1 | 9.5±1.2  | 13.7±1.2 | <0.01   |
| BNP (pg/ml)        | 22.6±19.0 | 20.3±19.6 | 25.7±18.7 | 27.6±13.3 | 0.4     |
| mMRC               | 0      | 7      | 4        | 3       | 0.3     |
| 1                  | 79     | 1      | 47       | 27      | 5       |
| 2                  | 2      | 1      | 1        | 0       |
| 3                  | 1      | 0      | 0        | 1       |
| SPo2 (%) (mean±S.D.) |       |        |          |         |         |
| Start at SCT       | 97.4±0.9 | 97.4±1.0 | 97.4±0.8 | 97.5±1.0 | 0.9     |
| End at SCT         | 95.6±2.4 | 95.6±2.5 | 95.5±2.6 | 95.8±0.5 | 1.0     |
| delta at SCT       | 1.8±2.3 | 1.7±2.4 | 1.7±2.5  | 1.2±1.0 | 0.9     |
| Pulmonary resection |       |        |          |         |         |
| Lob                | 75     | 45     | 26       | 4       |
| Seg                | 15     | 8      | 5        | 2       |

S.D.: Standard deviation; BMI: body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CPFE: chronic pulmonary fibrosis and emphysema; VC: vital capacity; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in the first second; DLCO: diffusing lung capacity for carbon monoxide; ppo: predicted postoperative; EF: ejection fraction; TRPG: tricuspid valve regurgitation pressure gradient; BNP: brain natriuretic peptide; mMRC: modified Medical Research Council; SCT: stair climbing test; Lob: lobectomy; Seg: segmentectomy.

**Statistical analysis.** E/e’ was selected as an index of cardiac expansion and graded according to the classification of left ventricular expansion disorders of the American Echocardiography Society. E/e’<8, 8≤E/e’<12, and >12 were defined as grade I, II, and III, respectively (5). The chosen endpoints were the occurrence of complications, days of oxygen administration, length of ICU stay, and length of postoperative hospital stay. Complications were graded using the Clavien-Dindo (CD) classification (6). The
Table II. Postoperative course according to the E/e' ratio.

| Complication grade* | Grade I (p<8) | Grade II (8-12) | Grade III (>12) | p-Value |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| n                   | 53            | 31              | 6               |         |
| O2 supplementation (days) (mean±S.D.) | 2.1±0.6       | 4.5±12.6        | 28.5±64.9       | <0.01   |
| ICU stay (days) (mean±S.D.) | 1.6±0.9       | 1.6±1.3         | 17.3±38.5       | <0.01   |
| Postoperative hospital stay (days) (mean±S.D.) | 10.7±7.9      | 12.2±13.1       | 36.7±61.1       | <0.01   |

*Clavien-Dindo classification grade (6); S.D.: standard deviation; ICU: intensive care unit.

Table III. Postoperative complications.

| Complication               | Grade I | Grade II | Grade III | Grade V |
|----------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Whole                      | 11      | 5        | 4         | 2       |
| Clavien-Dindo grade        |         |          |           |         |
| Grade II                   | 6       | 2        | 3         | 1       |
| Grade III                  | 3       | 3        | 0         | 0       |
| Grade IV                   | 1       | 0        | 1         | 0       |
| Grade V                    | 1       | 0        | 0         | 1       |
| Complication               |         |          |           |         |
| Lobe torsion               | 1       | 1        | 0         | 0       |
| Heart failure              | 1       | 0        | 0         | 1       |
| Arrhythmia (AF)            | 1       | 0        | 0         | 1       |
| Intra thoracic hematoma    | 1       | 0        | 1         | 0       |
| Exacerbation of IP         | 1       | 0        | 1         | 0       |
| Chylothorax                | 2       | 2        | 0         | 0       |
| Delirium                   | 1       | 0        | 1         | 0       |
| Deep vein thrombosis       | 1       | 1        | 0         | 0       |
| Prolonged air leak         | 2       | 1        | 1         | 0       |

AF: Atrial fibrillation; IP: interstitial pneumonia.

distribution of frequency of CD classification >II, >III, and >IV was tested using the chi-square test. When a significant difference was observed, the hazard ratio was determined using a multivariate logistic regression analysis. Differences in the length of oxygen administration, ICU stay, and postoperative hospital stay were tested using a one-way ANOVA. In addition, when significant differences were observed in the univariate analysis, multivariate analysis was performed using those variables. In all statistical assessments, a p-value <0.05 was defined as statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the statistics software ‘EZR’, which is based on R and R commander (7).

Ethical approval. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the Hoshigaoka Medical Center (No.19046) and patients had the opportunity to opt-out of the study.

Results

E/e’ grade and baseline patient characteristics. As shown in Table I, no significant differences were found between the three groups (Grade I, Grade II and Grade III) regarding average age, sex, disease, surgical procedure, comorbidities, shortness of breath, pulmonary function testing, cardiac echocardiography findings, and B-type atrial natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels.

Postoperative course. Results of the comparison between the three groups regarding postoperative complications, oxygen administration period, length of ICU and postoperative hospital
Table IV. Analyses based on the oxygen supply period.

| Variables | RCE | 95%CI | S.E. | t | p-Value |
|-----------|-----|-------|------|---|---------|
| **Univariate analysis** | | | | | |
| Age (mean±S.D.) | 0.33 | –0.10 | 0.76 | 0.22 | 1.52 | 0.13 |
| Gender | | | | | |
| Male | 5.04 | –2.59 | 12.67 | 3.83 | 1.31 | 0.19 |
| BMI (kg/m²) (mean±S.D.) | –2164.16 | –15319.48 | 11063.16 | 6654.89 | –0.32 | 0.75 |
| Comorbidty | Yes | 3.47 | –4.88 | 11.82 | 4.20 | 0.83 | 0.41 |
| Respiratory function(mean±S.D.) | | | | | |
| VC (L) | –3.14 | –7.76 | 1.47 | 2.32 | –1.35 | 0.18 |
| %VC (%) | –0.41 | –0.67 | –0.16 | 0.13 | –3.20 | <0.01 |
| FEV1 (L) | –5.95 | –12.66 | 0.77 | 3.38 | –1.76 | 0.08 |
| %FEV1(%) | –0.22 | –0.40 | –0.05 | 0.09 | –2.51 | 0.01 |
| FEV1(G) | –0.15 | –0.57 | 0.28 | 0.21 | –0.69 | 0.49 |
| DLCO (ml/min/mmHg) | –0.53 | –1.35 | 0.30 | 0.41 | –1.27 | 0.21 |
| %DLCO (%) | –0.02 | –0.17 | 0.14 | 0.08 | –0.19 | 0.85 |
| ppo%DLCO (%) | –0.19 | –0.93 | 1.27 | 0.09 | –1.99 | 0.05 |
| Echocardiography findings | | | | | |
| EF (%) | 0.30 | –0.39 | 0.99 | 0.35 | 0.86 | 0.39 |
| TRPG (mmHg) | 2.00 | –0.12 | 0.51 | 0.16 | 1.25 | 0.21 |
| E/e’ grade | | | | | |
| I | Ref. | | | | |
| II | 2.47 | –5.28 | 10.22 | 3.90 | 0.63 | 0.53 |
| III | 26.42 | 11.66 | 41.18 | 7.43 | 3.56 | <0.01 |
| BNP (pg/ml) | 0.08 | –0.12 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.82 | 0.42 |
| mMRC | 25.36 | 16.70 | 34.03 | 4.36 | 5.82 | <0.01 |
| SpO2 (%) (mean±S.D.) | | | | | |
| Start at SCT | 1.90 | –2.70 | 6.50 | 2.31 | 0.83 | 0.41 |
| End at SCT | –0.56 | –2.28 | 1.16 | 0.86 | –0.65 | 0.51 |
| Change at SCT | 0.87 | –0.77 | 2.52 | 0.83 | 1.05 | 0.29 |
| Pulmonary resection | Seg | 9.65 | –0.45 | 19.76 | 5.09 | 1.90 | 0.06 |
| **Multivariate analysis** | | | | | |
| %VC (%) | –0.26 | –0.51 | –0.01 | 0.13 | –2.07 | 0.04 |
| %FEV1(%) | –0.09 | –0.26 | 0.07 | 0.08 | –1.13 | 0.26 |
| E/e’ grade | | | | | |
| I | Ref. | | | | |
| II | 1.96 | –4.62 | 8.55 | 3.11 | 0.59 | 0.60 |
| III | 17.10 | 4.16 | 30.03 | 6.50 | 2.63 | 0.01 |
| mMRC | 21.02 | 12.64 | 29.40 | 4.22 | 4.99 | <0.01 |

RCE: Regression coefficient estimate; S.D.: standard deviation; CI: confidence interval; BMI: body mass index; mMRC: modified Medical Research Council; RFT: respiratory function test; VC: vital capacity; FEV1: forced expiratory volume during the first second; DLCO: diffusing lung capacity for carbon monoxide; ppo: predicted postoperative; EF: ejection fraction; TRPG: tricuspid valve regurgitation pressure gradient; BNP: brain natriuretic peptide; seg: segmentectomy.

Table: Results of the logistic regression analysis with the length of oxygen supplementation, ICU stay, and postoperative hospital stay are shown in Tables IV, V, and VI, respectively. E/e' grade was the independent variable in all multivariate analyses.

Discussion

Even if the left ventricular contraction function is normal and exercise tolerance is maintained, a ventricular expansion...
disorder may still be present (4). Pulmonary resection is said to have a negative effect on left ventricular expansion. If there is a cardiac expansion disorder, the end-stage left ventricular diastolic pressure increases, as do the left atrium, pulmonary artery, pulmonary venous, and pulmonary capillary pressures, resulting in pulmonary congestion and oedema (4). Since pulmonary resection causes pulmonary vascular reduction, a reduced left ventricular expansion may affect the postoperative recovery. Indeed, it has been reported that E/e’, an indicator of cardiac extension measured by echocardiography, is a predictor of upper ventricular arrhythmia appearance after pulmonary resection (8, 9).

The most important risk in the perioperative period is the occurrence of complications. Predictors of complications

Table V. Analyses based on the length of ICU stay.

| Variables                        | RCE   | 95%CI       | S.E.  | t    | p-Value |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------|------|---------|
| **Univariate analysis**          |       |             |       |      |         |
| Age (mean±S.D.)                  | 0.10  | -0.14       | 0.34  | 0.12 | 0.85    | 0.85    |
| Gender                           |       |             |       |      |         |
| Male                             | 2.04  | -2.17       | 6.24  | 2.11 | 0.96    | 0.33    |
| Male                             | -1196.82| -8458.12  | 6058.48 | 3650.26 | -0.53 | 0.74    |
| Comorbidity                      |       |             |       |      |         |
| Yes                              | 1.43  | -3.16       | 6.02  | 2.31 | 0.62    | 0.54    |
| **Respiratory function(mean±S.D.)** |       |             |       |      |         |
| VC (L)                           | -1.83 | -4.36       | 0.70  | 1.27 | -1.43   | 0.15    |
| %VC (%)                          | -0.22 | -0.36       | -0.08 | 0.07 | -3.12   | <0.01   |
| FEV1 (L)                         | -3.67 | -7.34       | 0.00  | 1.85 | -1.99   | 0.05    |
| %FEV1 (%)                        | -0.07 | -0.12       | -0.01 | 0.03 | -2.42   | 0.02    |
| FEV1%/G                          | -0.05 | -0.17       | 0.07  | 0.06 | -0.88   | 0.38    |
| DLCO (ml/min/mmHg)               | -0.08 | -0.34       | 0.17  | 0.13 | -0.63   | 0.53    |
| %DLCO (%)                        | 0.02  | -0.07       | 0.10  | 0.04 | 0.39    | 0.70    |
| ppo%FEV1 (%)                     | -0.11 | -0.06       | 0.00  | 0.05 | -2.07   | 0.04    |
| ppo%DLCO (%)                     | 0.03  | -0.06       | 0.11  | 0.05 | 0.58    | 0.56    |
| **Echocardiography findings**    |       |             |       |      |         |
| EF (%)                           | 0.19  | -0.18       | 0.58  | 0.19 | 1.03    | 0.30    |
| TRPG (mmHg)                      | 0.08  | -0.09       | 0.25  | 0.09 | 0.94    | 0.35    |
| E/e’ grade                       |       |             |       |      |         |
| I                                | 0.10  | -4.08       | 4.27  | 2.10 | 0.05    | 0.96    |
| II                               | 15.79 | 7.83        | 23.75 | 4.00 | 4.94    | <0.01   |
| III                              | 0.05  | -0.06       | 0.16  | 0.06 | 0.86    | 0.39    |
| BNP (pg/ml)                      | 15.03 | 10.43       | 19.63 | 2.31 | 6.50    | <0.01   |
| mMRC                             |       |             |       |      |         |
| SpO2 (%) (mean±S.D.)             | 15.03 | 10.43       | 19.63 | 2.31 | 6.50    | <0.01   |
| Start at SCT                     | 0.95  | -1.58       | 3.47  | 1.27 | 0.75    | 0.46    |
| End at SCT                       | 0.13  | -0.81       | 1.07  | 0.47 | 0.27    | 0.78    |
| Change at SCT                    | 0.01  | -0.90       | 0.92  | 0.45 | 0.02    | 0.98    |
| Pulmonary resection              |       |             |       |      |         |
| Seg                              | 6.28  | 0.78        | 11.78 | 2.75 | 2.27    | 0.02    |
| **Multivariate analysis**        |       |             |       |      |         |
| %VC (%)                          | -0.14 | -0.26       | 0.01  | 0.06 | -2.11   | 0.03    |
| %FEV1 (%)                        | 1.95  | 0.36        | 3.56  | 0.81 | 2.41    | 0.02    |
| ppo%FEV1 (%)                     | -2.43 | -4.40       | -0.48 | 0.98 | -2.48   | 0.02    |
| E/e’ grade                       |       |             |       |      |         |
| I                                | -0.23 | -3.52       | 3.07  | 1.66 | -0.14   | 0.80    |
| II                               | 10.09 | 3.56        | 16.62 | 3.28 | 3.07    | <0.01   |
| III                              | 10.12 | 5.52        | 14.72 | 2.31 | 4.37    | <0.01   |
| mMRC                             | 27.04 | 7.74        | 46.34 | 9.70 | 2.79    | <0.01   |

RCE: Regression coefficient estimates; S.D.: standard deviation; CI: confidence interval; BMI: body mass index; mMRC: modified Medical Research Council; RFT: respiratory function test; VC: vital capacity; FEV1: forced expiratory volume during the first second; DLCO: diffusing lung capacity for carbon monoxide; ppo: predicted postoperative; EF: ejection fraction; TRPG: tricuspid valve regurgitation pressure gradient; BNP: brain natriuretic peptide; seg: segmentectomy.
Table VI. Analysis based on the length of postoperative hospital stay.

| Variables                         | RCE   | 95%CI       | S.E. | t statics | p-Value |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------|------|-----------|---------|
| Univariate analysis               |       |             |      |           |         |
| Age (mean±S.D.)                   | 0.21  | –0.23       | 0.65 | 0.22      | 0.94    | 0.35    |
| Gender                            |       |             |      |           |         |
| Male                              | 7.49  | –0.2        | 16.17| 3.87      | 1.94    | 0.06    |
| BMI (kg/m²) (mean±S.D.)           | –5583.98 | –18958    | 7880.01 | 6751.32 | –0.82   | 0.41    |
| Comorbidities                     |       |             |      |           |         |
| Yes                               | 4.42  | –4.07       | 12.9 | 4.27      | 1.03    | 0.3     |
| Respiratory function(mean±S.D.)   |       |             |      |           |         |
| VC (L)                            | –1.09 | 0.51        | 32.64| 2.38      | –0.45   | 0.65    |
| %VC (%)                           | –0.34 | –0.61       | –0.08| 0.13      | –2.56   | 0.01    |
| FEV1 (L)                          | –3.43 | –10.35      | 3.49 | 3.48      | –0.99   | 0.33    |
| %FEV1 (%)                         | –0.24 | –0.41       | –0.06| –0.09     | –2.64   | <0.01   |
| FEV1% (G)                         | –0.25 | –0.68       | 0.18 | 0.21      | –1.17   | 0.25    |
| DLCO (ml/min/mmHg)                | –0.37 | –1.2        | 0.47 | 0.42      | –0.87   | 0.38    |
| %DLCO (%)                         | –0.02 | –0.18       | 0.14 | 0.08      | –0.3    | 0.76    |
| ppo%FEV1 (%)                      | –0.21 | –0.4        | –0.01| 0.1       | –2.06   | 0.04    |
| ppo%DLCO (%)                      | –4    | –0.2        | 0.13 | 0.08      | –0.46   | 0.65    |
| Echocardiography findings         |       |             |      |           |         |
| EF (%)                            | 0.08  | –0.62       | 0.78 | 0.36      | 0.23    | 0.82    |
| TRPG (mmHg)                       | 0.11  | –0.21       | 0.43 | 0.16      | 0.71    | 0.48    |
| %VC (%)                           |       |             |      |           |         |
| I                                 | Ref.  |             |      |           |         |
| II                                | 1.44  | –6.48       | 9.37 | 3.99      | 0.36    | 0.72    |
| III                               | 25.95 | 10.85       | 41.48| 7.6       | 3.42    | <0.01   |
| BNP (pg/ml)                       | 0.16  | –0.04       | 0.36 | 0.1       | 1.56    | 0.12    |
| mMRC                              | 25.04 | 16.13       | 33.96| 4.49      | 5.58    | <0.01   |
| SpO2 (%)                          |       |             |      |           |         |
| Start at SCT                      | 3.08  | –1.49       | 7.66 | 2.3       | 1.34    | 0.18    |
| End at SCT                        | –0.35 | –2.07       | 1.38 | 0.87      | –0.4    | 0.69    |
| Change at SCT                     | 0.72  | –0.97       | 2.4  | 0.85      | 0.85    | 0.4     |
| Pulmonary resection               |       |             |      |           |         |
| Seg                               | 8.15  | –2.21       | 18.5 | 5.21      | 1.56    | 0.12    |
| Multivariate analysis             |       |             |      |           |         |
| %VC (%)                           | –0.15 | –0.41       | 0.11 | 0.13      | –1.13   | 0.26    |
| %FEV1 (%)                         | –0.3  | –1.03       | 0.44 | 0.37      | –0.81   | 0.42    |
| ppo%FEV1 (%)                      | 0.19  | –0.71       | 1.01 | 0.45      | 0.42    | 0.67    |
| E/e’ grade                        |       |             |      |           |         |
| I                                 | Ref.  |             |      |           |         |
| II                                | 1.16  | –5.78       | 8.1  | 3.49      | 0.33    | 0.73    |
| III                               | 16.91 | 3.18        | 30.94| 6.9       | 2.45    | 0.03    |
| mMRC                              | 20.91 | 12.02       | 29.8 | 4.47      | 4.68    | <0.01   |

RCE: Regression coefficient estimates; S.D.: standard deviation; CI: confidential interval; BMI: body mass index; mMRC: modified Medical Research Council; RFT: respiratory function test; VC: vital capacity; FEV1: forced expiratory volume during the first second; DLCO: diffusing lung capacity for carbon monoxide; ppo: predicted postoperative; EF: ejection fraction; TRPG: tricuspid valve regurgitation pressure gradient; BNP: brain natriuretic peptide; seg: segmentectomy.

include the extent of pulmonary resection, lung function, and exercise tolerance (1, 2). All patients enrolled in the study underwent anatomical pulmonary resection, had normal left ventricular contraction function (i.e., normal surgical risk) and were able to walk up six floors (22.2 m) in the stair climbing test. However, although no significant difference was observed in the frequency of complications with a CD grade IV or V; given that complications tend to occur when the E/e’ ratio is high, heart expansion disorders may still affect postoperative recovery.

The length of postoperative oxygen administration, ICU stay, and postoperative hospital stay are affected by the preoperative cardiopulmonary function. The duration of oxygen administration period is a particularly relevant factor. This is because in cases of pulmonary resection, the refilling phenomenon could lead to increased pulmonary capillary...
pressure, resulting in the need for oxygen supplementation (10). In fact, in our study, there was no significant difference in the length of oxygen administration between patients with normal and moderately limited left ventricular expansion, but the opposite was seen in the comparison with patients with advanced left ventricular extension disorder. Similar results were found for the length of ICU stay and the postoperative hospitalization period. This may indicate that left ventricular expansion disorders may have an effect not only during the immediate postoperative recovery, but also in the long-term, affecting vital prognosis.

Left ventricular expansion declines with age (11), which may be due to age-dependent cardiac tissue functional decrease (12, 13). Therefore, in the older population, there is a high risk of complications in the postoperative course. In fact, in a study of lung resection cases as treatment for lung cancer in patients over 80 years old, the pulmonary resection volume was found to be associated with the frequency of non-lung cancer deaths (14). In older patients with normal lung function, activity levels, and exercise tolerance, standard pulmonary resection is often selected in the case of invasive lung cancer. However, there are no reports on the usefulness of evaluating left ventricular extension when determining the pulmonary resection volume in older patients.

Further prospective studies should be carried out to determine whether left ventricular expansion at baseline is related to vital prognosis in older patients.

The limitations of this study are that it was a single-centre retrospective study with a small sample. However, since left ventricular contraction function and exercise tolerance were normal in all patients who underwent anatomical pulmonary resection, bias due to differences in background characteristics was considered small.

In conclusion, in patients with normal left ventricular contraction and exercise tolerance who undergo anatomical pulmonary resection, left ventricular expansion dysfunction had a negative effect on postoperative recovery.
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