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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Data regarding disease burden and quality of life (QoL) for patients with psoriasis from Russia are limited. The objective of this study was to describe the demographic and clinical characteristics, comorbidities, and treatment patterns of systemic therapy eligible psoriasis patients in Russia in order to assess the impact of psoriasis on the QoL and work productivity of the patients and to evaluate patient/dermatologist concordance on disease severity, signs/symptoms, and satisfaction with psoriasis treatment.

Methods: Data were collected by the Growth from Knowledge Disease Atlas global real-world evidence programme from nine countries. The data from the Russian population are presented here. Adult patients who had a current or prior history of moderate-to-severe psoriasis and were receiving prescription treatment at the time of the survey were included. Dermatologist-reported data on disease severity, symptoms, comorbidities, and treatment as well as patient-reported data on QoL and work productivity were collected. Descriptive analysis of the data was conducted. Patient/dermatologist concordance was assessed using Cohen’s κ.

Results: A total of 300 patients from Russia were included. The mean Psoriasis Area and Severity Index score was 9.0 and the mean disease duration was 9.9 years. The proportion of patients with itch, skin pain, and comorbidities increased as current psoriasis severity increased. The disease had a negative impact on patients’ QoL (mean Dermatology Life Quality Index score: 7.1) and work productivity (33.2% drop in work productivity), which further deteriorated as disease severity increased. A large proportion of the enrolled patients (60%) were treated with topical agents only. Overall, the level of concordance between patients and their dermatologists was high.
dermatologists regarding psoriasis severity and satisfaction with overall disease control achieved was low.

**Conclusion:** Results demonstrate a substantial disease burden on psoriasis patients in Russia, despite receiving treatment for their psoriasis, as well as low patient/dermatologist concordance of views on treatment outcomes. These findings also highlight a need to further incorporate the patient’s views into treatment decision-making in Russia.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Psoriasis is a chronic immune-mediated inflammatory condition that mainly affects the skin and occurs in 2–3% of the population worldwide [1, 2]. The prevalence of psoriasis varies from 0.09% to 11.4% across countries depending upon the patients’ age, region of residence and genetic factors [3]. Approximately 14 million people in Europe have psoriasis [4]. In 2015, the prevalence of psoriasis was noted as 233.4 per 100,000 population [5].

The most common form of psoriasis is plaque psoriasis (psoriasis vulgaris), in which patients may have sharply circumscribed, round-oval, or nummular (coin-sized) plaques. Chronic plaque-type psoriasis accounts for more than 90% of all cases [6]. It is typically found on the elbows, knees, scalp, and lower back. Psoriasis is usually classified as mild, moderate, or severe [2]. In approximately one-third of patients, the disease is characterised by a moderate-to-severe recurrent course. Both general and dermatology-specific quality of life (QoL) are reduced in psoriasis patients at each severity level from mild to severe [7]. Though the causes of psoriasis are not fully understood, well-recognised risk factors include family history and environmental risk factors such as smoking, stress, obesity, and alcohol consumption [8].

Itch (pruritus) is an important and common symptom in psoriasis, with approximately 60–85% of psoriatic patients suffering from itch [9–11]. The majority of patients with psoriasis consider itch to be a symptom that negatively affects their QoL, sleep and ability to work [12].

It is now recognised that psoriasis is not just a skin disease and has significant systemic effects. It is usually associated with an increased rate of comorbidities such as psoriatic arthritis (PsA), cardiovascular disease (CVD), type 2 diabetes (T2D), metabolic syndrome and obesity [13, 14]. In addition to the physical impact of these conditions, psoriasis has a profound impact on the psychological wellbeing of the patient, with anxiety and depression being commonly diagnosed in patients with psoriasis [15]. These comorbidities limit the patient’s ability to engage in the physical activities of day-to-day life [16].

Psoriasis patients have lower QoL due to physical symptoms such as itching, scaling and joint pain along with the economic and psychosocial impacts of the condition, which lead to problems with self-esteem, stigmatisation, and feelings of anger, embarrassment and shame according to the National Psoriasis Foundation [17].

The Federal Mandatory Medical Insurance Fund, as per the mandatory medical insurance (OMS), is the primary source of healthcare expenditure in Russia [18]. Healthcare delivery as well as prescription drugs are covered by state and regional lists of services, while their reimbursement rates are determined by the OMS. The European consensus on treatment goals has laid the foundation for optimising psoriasis care by standardising therapeutic decisions based on endpoint and outcome measures over defined periods of time, using a graded approach based on psoriasis severity [19, 20]. As per the European guidelines, patients with mild psoriasis are mainly treated with topical agents. Ultraviolet (UV) phototherapy and traditional systemic medications/oral immunosuppressant (methotrexate, cyclosporine or retinoid) therapy alone or in combination with topical therapy are used for the treatment of moderate-to-
severe psoriasis. Biologics are usually used only after other treatments have failed or if the patient has very severe symptoms [21]. Similarly, as per the Russian guidelines, mild psoriasis is treated with topical therapy (glucocorticoids, synthetic vitamin D3, salicylic acid, etc.) ± UV phototherapy, while moderate-to-severe psoriasis is treated with mono- or combination systemic therapy, including disease-modifying nonbiologics (e.g. methotrexate) or biologics [22].

The objective of this study was to describe the demographics, clinical characteristics, comorbidities and treatment patterns of systemic therapy eligible psoriasis patients in Russia as well as to assess the impact of psoriasis on the QoL and work productivity of the patients. We also evaluated patient/dermatologist concordance on severity, signs/symptoms and satisfaction with psoriasis treatment.

**METHODS**

**Data Source**

The Growth from Knowledge (GfK) Disease Atlas global real-world evidence programme is a syndicated, retrospective, cross-sectional survey conducted among 524 dermatologists and 3821 patients in nine countries [United Kingdom (UK), France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Russia, South Korea, Brazil and Mexico] between September 2015 and January 2016. This research was conducted as a market research survey in accordance with the 1998 Data Protection Act (UK) and British Healthcare Business Intelligence Association (BHBIA) legal and ethical guidelines for healthcare market research. The survey was designed, implemented and reported in compliance with the ethical principles laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki. Although ethical approval was not required, each participant provided their informed consent for the anonymised, aggregated reporting of research findings.

Dermatologists were recruited from a large representative sample. They were screened for their eligibility to participate in the survey based on their experience (> 3 years’ experience in practice post-training), being personally responsible for initiating/making treatment decisions, and seeing at least ten psoriasis patients per month. Systemic therapy eligible patients were defined as those who currently have or have ever had moderate-to-severe psoriasis as reported by dermatologists and received a prescription treatment for their psoriasis (systemic agents or topical treatments) at the time of the survey. The GfK Disease Atlas survey captured patient data through online patient record forms completed by the dermatologists and a paper survey completed by patients at the end of the consultation, prior to leaving the premises. Here, we report the results from the Russian dataset only.

**Outcomes**

**Demographics and Disease Characteristics**

Data captured in the survey included the following: demographic parameters (age, gender); disease profile (duration of the disease, severity); body surface area (BSA%); [Physician’s Global Assessment/Investigator’s Global Assessment (PGA/IGA) indices]; medical history (previous and current treatment regimens); current symptoms (e.g. itching, flaking, inflamed skin, skin pain, scaling); and comorbid conditions (PsA, CVD, obesity, T2D and anxiety or depression).

Psoriasis severity was evaluated via the absolute Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) score as reported by the dermatologist, and was categorized into clear/almost clear (PASI ≤ 5), mild (5 < PASI ≤ 10), moderate (10 < PASI ≤ 20) or severe (PASI > 20). As systemic treatments are indicated for moderate-to-severe patients, moderate and severe psoriasis cases were combined in the analysis into a ‘moderate-to-severe’ category (PASI > 10).

**QoL and Work Productivity**

Patient-reported QoL was assessed using the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) [23]. The DLQI is a dermatology-specific measure of a patient’s health-related QoL. Impairment of work and daily activities due to psoriasis was assessed using the Work Productivity and
Activity Impairment (WPAI) questionnaire [24]. The WPAI assesses overall work impairment, work time missed (absenteeism), time impaired while at work (presenteeism) and activity impairment over the previous 7 days. Work productivity loss is expressed as a combination of absenteeism and presenteeism. Patients who reported “currently not in employment” provided data only for the activity impairment domain.

Concordance Between the Patient and Dermatologist
Patient/dermatologist concordance was defined as both the patient and dermatologist providing identical answers to the same survey question. Patient/dermatologist concordance was assessed on the following survey questions, which were asked to both the patient and the dermatologist:

1. Psoriasis severity, based on the 5-point IGA/PGA scale (clear/almost clear—1 or 2; mild—3; moderate to severe—4 or 5)
2. Absence or presence of symptoms (scaling, itching, inflamed skin, flaking, painful skin, joint pain, cracked skin, burning, onycholysis, nail deformation and bleeding)
3. Extent of itch and skin pain, on a scale from 1 (almost no itch/skin pain) to 10 (worst imaginable itch/skin pain)
4. Satisfaction with overall control of psoriasis achieved, on a scale from 1 (not at all satisfied) to 7 (completely satisfied)

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics relating to patient demographics (age, gender), psoriasis characteristics [severity, symptoms (itch and skin pain), disease duration, medical history (previous and current treatment regimens)], comorbidities and patient-reported outcomes (PROs—DLQI and WPAI) were reported for all patients and for patients categorised by psoriasis severity (clear/almost clear, mild, moderate and severe, as per PASI score). We also examined the DLQI and WPAI for patients with specific comorbidities (PsA, CVD, obesity, T2D and anxiety or depression).

We calculated Cohen’s κ to assess concordance between patients and their dermatologists regarding disease severity, signs/symptoms and satisfaction with the level of disease control achieved. Kappa (κ) values range between 0 (no agreement/concordance) and 1 (perfect agreement/concordance) [25]. Concordance was categorised based on the κ value as follows: none (κ ≤ 0), none to slight (0.01–0.20), fair (0.21–0.40), moderate (0.41–0.60), substantial (0.61–0.80) and almost perfect (> 0.8).

All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS® Statistics v22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) and R (https://www.r-project.org/).

RESULTS

Demographics and Disease Characteristics

Out of the 3821 systemic therapy eligible patients included in the survey, 300 were from Russia. Of those, 53% were male. The mean age of the Russian patients was 43.6 years (± 14.3), and their mean time since psoriasis diagnosis was 9.9 years (± 11.2). Psoriasis severity at the time of the survey ranged from clear to severe despite current treatment, with a mean absolute PASI of 9.0 (± 7.6). Compared to those with mild psoriasis, patients with severe psoriasis were older (52.1 years ± 12.6 years vs. 42.7 years ± 13.1 years; p = 0.003) and had longer disease durations 18.0 years ± 14.8 years vs. 9.9 years ± 11.6 years; p = 0.001) (Table 1).

Patients with clear/almost clear, mild or moderate psoriasis tended to be younger than those with severe psoriasis (p = 0.097). For example, the proportion of patients < 45 years of age who had clear/almost clear to moderate psoriasis was 47–56%, whereas the corresponding proportion with severe psoriasis was only 28%. Among the systemic therapy eligible patients enrolled in this survey, the majority (67%) currently had psoriasis on visible and nonvisible areas, and 43% of the patients had psoriasis in sensitive and nonsensitive areas (Table 1).
Overall, according to dermatologists, 51% of patients suffered from itch and 25% from skin pain. The proportion of patients with itch and skin pain increased with current psoriasis severity \((p = 0.000)\) (Fig. 1). Itch and skin pain were also reported to be some of the most bothersome symptoms in these psoriasis patients [23% (64 patients) and 10% (28 patients), respectively] (data not shown).
Physical and Psychological Comorbidities

Among the total study population, 8% \((n = 24)\) of the patients had CVD, obesity or T2D as a comorbidity, 19% \((n = 57)\) pad PsA and 11% \((n = 33)\) had anxiety or depression (Table 1). The presence of comorbidities increased with disease severity despite the small sample size (data not shown).

Quality of Life

Mean DLQI score was 7.1 \((\pm 6.0)\), corresponding to a moderate effect of the skin disease on patient QoL. Overall, the more severe the disease, the greater the impact on patient QoL \((p = 0.000)\) (Fig. 2). Overall, all types of comorbidities were associated with a poorer QoL, with the greatest impact observed in obese psoriasis patients; there was a difference of 6.9 between obese and non-obese patients \((p = 0.000)\) (Fig. 3).

Work Productivity

Amongst employed patients, the mean work productivity impairment was 33.2% \((\pm 26.8)\). Mean work absenteeism due to psoriasis was 8.6% \((\pm 19.0)\), mean impairment while working (presenteeism) was 27.9% \((\pm 22.8)\) and mean activity impairment was 36.2% \((\pm 25.7)\). There was a trend for greater work impairment with more severe disease \((p = 0.005)\) (Fig. 4).

The presence of comorbidities was associated with higher overall work impairment in psoriasis patients (except in patients with T2D). The greatest work impairment was observed in patients with obesity \((45\% \pm 27.8\%)\) followed by patients with anxiety or depression \((44.3\% \pm 34.0\%)\), CVD \((43.4\% \pm 39.6\%)\), PsA \((38.3\% \pm 27.5\%)\) and T2D \((26.9\% \pm 23.9\%)\) (data not shown).

Treatment Patterns

The majority of the systemic therapy eligible psoriasis patients in this survey were treated with topical agents only \((60\%)\), followed by a combination of conventional systemic and topical agents \((33\%)\). No more than 2% of the patients received biologic treatments [among these, infliximab and ustekinumab were used in 83% and 17% of the cases, respectively (data not shown)]. With increasing psoriasis severity, the proportion of patients who were only on only topical agents reduced; this proportion was 62% in patients with mild psoriasis compared with only 35% in those with severe psoriasis (Fig. 5).
Concordance Between Dermatologists and Their Psoriasis Patients on Disease Severity, Signs/Symptoms and Satisfaction with Disease Control Achieved

Fair patient/dermatologist concordance was observed for psoriasis severity ($\kappa = 0.320$), and 58% of the patients and their dermatologists were in agreement regarding the current severity of the disease (Fig. 6). Concordance on the presence of symptoms ranged from fair to substantial, with the lowest and highest concordance observed for scaling (69% agreement, $\kappa = 0.356$) and joint pain (93% agreement, $\kappa = 0.714$), respectively. The lowest concordance between dermatologists and their patients was seen when assessing the extent of itch (34% agreement; $\kappa = 0.261$) and of skin pain (31% agreement; $\kappa = 0.253$). There was only slight concordance between dermatologists and their patients (34% agreement; $\kappa = 0.160$) on satisfaction with the overall disease control achieved.

DISCUSSION

This patient–dermatologist real-world survey provides insight into the current psoriasis treatment patterns and the impact of psoriasis on QoL and work productivity among systemic therapy eligible psoriasis patients in Russia.
We observed a high prevalence of moderate-to-severe psoriasis among young adults, though severe psoriasis was higher in patients above 45 years of age. Having severe disease or comorbidities (physical and psychological) further increased the QoL and work productivity burden on these patients. There was a trend for greater work productivity impairment with scores indicating greater impairment and less productivity. The relationship between disease severity and work productivity was statistically significant ($p = 0.005$).

Fig. 4 Work productivity loss and activity impairment in psoriasis patients categorised by psoriasis severity at the time of the survey. Work Productivity and Activity Impairment (WPAI) scores range from 0 to 100%; higher scores indicate greater impairment and less productivity.

Fig. 5 Therapeutic approaches to psoriasis used in the Russian sample. The seven mutually exclusive therapy subgroups account for 100% of the patients. The remaining treatment regimens are included in these seven subgroups. OTC over the counter
increasing disease severity. However, due to the small sample size, we conducted only descriptive analyses, and it is difficult to explain why the work impairment was comparatively high among patients with mild psoriasis. Overall, the findings from this survey are consistent with results from other studies signifying a great disease burden on psoriasis patients, comparable to the disability caused by other major medical conditions [25–27]. It is worth noting that a significant presence of symptoms, reduced QoL and work impairment was evident in all the enrolled patients, even though they were being treated for their psoriasis at the time of the survey.

We also observed that the treatment practice for psoriasis patients in Russia was mostly based on topical therapy (used in 60% of the cases) and combination therapy with conventional systemic and topical agents (in 33% of patients). Though the introduction of cost-effective biologics has significantly changed the psoriasis treatment paradigm in the last few years [28, 29], biologics or their biosimilars were prescribed to only a very small proportion of patients (2%) in this survey.

Moreover, despite the patients receiving a prescribed treatment for their psoriasis as per the study enrolment criteria, over one-third of patients still had moderate-to-severe psoriasis, suggesting an unmet treatment need. The level of agreement between dermatologist and patient satisfaction with the overall disease control achieved was fairly low: only 34% of the patients and their dermatologists had the same level of satisfaction with treatment. Also, the level of agreement between the patient and the dermatologist was low in their assessments of the extent of itch and skin pain, with only 34% and 31% of the patients and their dermatologists in agreement, respectively. This suggests a lack of awareness or understanding among dermatologists of the patient’s views on their disease, how psoriasis affects their daily living.

**Fig. 6** Concordance between dermatologist and patient assessments of psoriasis severity, presence of signs/symptoms and satisfaction with the disease control achieved. Cohen’s kappa ($\kappa$) values range between 0 (no agreement/concordance) and 1 (perfect agreement/concordance). Levels of concordance were defined based on the value of $\kappa$ as follows: $\leq 0$: none; 0.01–0.20: none to slight; 0.21–0.40: fair; 0.41–0.60: moderate; 0.61–0.80: substantial; $> 0.80$: almost perfect.
and their experience with previous treatments. Thus, a successful treatment regimen should incorporate the patient’s perspective into treatment decision-making, as advocated by several other studies [30, 31].

Results from the complete GfK Disease Atlas survey have been published [32, 33]. The finding that disease burden on psoriasis patients is affected by physical as well as psychological comorbidities remains true for the Russian as well as the larger multinational cohort [32]. Comparisons of countries showed that disease severity and disease control related patient–dermatologist concordance in Russia was among the lowest of all the countries included in the survey [33].

There are some limitations of this study, including low sample size, descriptive analyses, the lack of a statistical control for potential confounding variables and an inability to establish causal relationships between psoriasis and related comorbidities. The cross-sectional design of this study did not permit an investigation of the changes in PROs over time relative to the changes in disease severity. Generic PROs such as WPAI may not be sensitive enough to detect differences between different groups of patients. Also, it is difficult to compare and interpret the results of this survey in the absence of similar data from Russian patients obtained in other studies. Thus, further research based on longitudinal data will allow for a better understanding of the dynamics in Russian psoriasis patients.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study demonstrate a substantial disease burden on systemic therapy eligible psoriasis patients, despite receiving treatment for their psoriasis. Considering that psoriasis is a lifelong disease and can affect all aspects of a patient’s life, even in patients with limited skin involvement, a successful treatment plan should address both the physical and psychological aspects of psoriasis. Thus, understanding the patient’s views and incorporating them into treatment decision-making are important for the effective management of psoriasis. The patient’s perspective needs to be further explored, as it may differ considerably from the dermatologist’s perspective.
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