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Abstract

Background: Training methodology was established to optimize reliability of outcome measures in the nusinersen clinical trials. The Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders (CHOP INTEND), Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale Expanded (HFMSE), and Revised Upper Limb (RULM) were primary or secondary outcomes.

Methods: Video review, quarterly conference calls, and item scoring checks supported evaluator competence. Baseline and screening along with video review established intra and inter-rater reliability.

Results: Inter and intra-rater reliability were both excellent. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) ranged between 0.906–0.994 across initial training meetings and 0.824–0.996 across annual retraining meetings. This was similar for CHOP INTEND (ICC = 0.824–0.951), HFMSE (ICC = 0.981–0.996), and RULM (ICC = 0.966–0.990). Intra-rater reliability for the CHOP INTEND, HFMSE, and RULM were ICC = 0.895 (95\%CI: 0.852–0.926; \(n = 116\)), ICC = 0.959 (95\%CI: 0.942–0.971; \(n = 125\)), and ICC = 0.948 (95\%CI: 0.927–0.963; \(n = 126\)) respectively.
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Conclusions: Rigorous evaluator training ensures reliability of assessment of subjects with spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) in multicenter international trials.
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INTRODUCTION

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), is a genetically determined motor neuron disease with presentation ranging from severe respiratory compromise and functional impairment to later onset proximal weakness that can impact ambulation and higher level functional motor skills [1, 2]. This phenotypic variability results from deletion of the \textit{SMN1} gene that forces a reliance on \textit{SMN2}, a partially functional paralogue of \textit{SMN1}. Multiple disease modifying therapies, some targeting the \textit{SMN2} gene, are being evaluated in early and later phase trials. For later stage clinical trials, [3] functional outcome measures with established natural history data are critical both for study planning and interrogation of treatment effect.

The outcomes used in the nusinersen clinical trials are well-established in SMA [1, 4, 5].

Established reliable outcomes are necessary for the success of any clinical trial. Reliability depends on consistency of administration and performance of the subject, and represents a major component of the variability that is experienced within any trial [6]. While reliability of these clinical assessments are well-established in observational trials performed at select specialized centers, study specific training and harmonization among evaluators is essential particularly in global multinational clinical trials. The importance of training for the evaluators administering outcomes in any trial cannot be over stated and provides the basis on which the reliability of functional outcome measurement data rests.

Children with SMA also pose special challenges to reliability in the context of clinical trials. The phenotype of patients with SMA demonstrates significant variability, from infants with type I SMA which presents at or shortly after birth to later onset type II and III SMA with functional skills that, respectively, span the range from never sitting to sitting or walking independently [3]. Infants and children with type I and type II SMA present additional challenges with respect to measurement that relate to fatigue, pulmonary limitations, and developmental progression [4, 7].

Nusinersen, an antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) is the first FDA approved Antisense oligonucleotide based therapy for SMA [8]. Nusinersen increases exon 7 inclusion in \textit{SMN2} mRNA transcripts, production of full length SMN protein [7, 9] and has received FDA approval for pediatric and adult patients with SMA [10]. Two, global phase III clinical trials of nusinersen began in 2014. The first included those with infantile onset SMA with symptom onset \(\leq 6\) months of age and the second included children with later onset SMA with symptom onset after 6 months who were able to sit independently but were never able to walk independently. Both included functional outcome measures and the attainment of motor milestones as primary or secondary endpoints [11, 12].

As such, a rigorous training methodology for clinical evaluators was needed to optimize the reliability of outcome measurement within the trials. The purpose of this report is to 1) describe the training methods and procedures necessary to standardize clinical evaluations and outcome measures in a global, multicenter, phase 3 clinical trial, and 2) to demonstrate the test-retest and inter-rater reliability of The Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders (CHOP INTEND), the Expanded Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale (HFMSE), and the Revised Upper Limb (RULM) used in these 2 pivotal SMA clinical trials.

METHODS

Training methodology

Training for the CHOP INTEND used in the infantile onset SMA trial was conducted across all 32 sites and 73 evaluators. Sites identified primary and back up evaluators. There were15 sites in North America (32 evaluators), 10 in the Europe (23 evaluators), 2 in Japan (6 evaluators) and 5 sites in the Asia-Pacific region including Australia, Korea, Hong Kong, and Taiwan (12 evaluators). These initial training meetings in North America and Europe were followed by yearly retraining meetings. The initial training for the infantile onset trial was conducted in North America \((n = 25)\) and Europe \((n = 23)\) with both Asia-Pacific and the European evaluators grouped in the European training. Translation was provided for the non-English speaking European evaluators by
experienced evaluators who were bilingual or professional translators. Testing materials were translated into local languages based on evaluator need both in Europe and Asia.

Training for the HFMSE and the RULM, used in the later onset SMA trial, was conducted across all 26 sites and 61 evaluators. Many of the sites and evaluators between the infantile and later onset studies overlapped though not all. Of the 26 total sites 22 sites participated in both studies. Similar to the training for the CHOP INTEND, sites identified primary and back up evaluators. There were 13 sites (28 evaluators) in North America, 9 (23 evaluators) in Europe, 2 (6 evaluators) in Japan and 2 (4 evaluators) in the Asia-Pacific region. Investigator meetings were held in North America, Europe, and Asia and yearly retraining meetings were held for this trial as well.

Initial training was standardized and completed in conjunction with the investigator meetings over two days and included clinical trial and outcome measure background, specific outcome measure item review followed by video review. Hands on patient training, was not possible due to the stress of repetitive examination. Hands-on simulations were instead used with mannequins to go over hand placement for item administration, for the type I assessment. Finally, reliability was checked by video review. For those evaluators who could not attend the investigator meeting, or entered as evaluators after the investigator meeting, the same training modules and methodology was used for these one on one training sessions. Novice therapists had a face to face training, experienced therapists were trained via live web based conferencing. Attendance was also required at yearly retraining meetings which included inter-rater reliability assessments and followed by quarterly conference calls which included reliability checks or item scoring practice. At each retraining, item scoring was reviewed and the prior quarter’s queries were reviewed to assure consistency across trials. Individual queries between meetings were catalogued and discussed at the next meeting and augmented by video review examples. These additional support methods assured that the methodology stayed fresh in the evaluator’s minds and that consistent administration occurred across centers.

Each evaluator was also asked to video tape the first screening for subjects in the later onset SMA trial. The video of this assessment was reviewed by their one on one trainer and feedback provided as needed prior to the baseline assessment. For the evaluators in the infantile onset trial, support was available to answer questions in real time or following evaluation throughout the study related to test administration. However, specific administration was not coached by video between the screening and baseline data collection points so as not to influence test administration after screening data began to be collected since this was part of the combined baseline. Evaluator training was designed to provide prospective support for the evaluators and also to be reactive to questions that arose during the trial from individual evaluators and to assure that there was consistency of methodology across the sites.

Characteristics of the clinical evaluators and trainers

Primary and back up evaluators that participated in both trials needed to have experience across the range of subjects they might encounter in the trial. Experience with the outcome measures, SMA, and pediatrics were surveyed and a specific training program (Fig. 1) was recommended. Evaluators with limited experience were prospectively identified

| Initial Training | Trial background & Scale development |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Two day in person training | Item video review: CHOP INTEND (type I study) HFMSE, RULM and WHO MM (Type II study) Hands-on simulation training Video Reliability |

| Refresher training | Study update |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Annual (evaluator meeting) | Item video review |
| Quarterly (webinar) | Centrally curated Q&A from prior period |
| | Inter-rater video reliability (annual) |
| | Independent video scoring checks |

| Video review | First HFMSE and 2 RULMs, feedback prior to first baseline |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Initiation of evaluator administration | Video reviewed for quality assurance only, not scoring |

Fig. 1. Training Methodology.
and appropriately supported during the training period.

The training group consisted of 8 physical therapists all with experience in SMA and clinical trials local to each region. The group had a median of 21 years’ experience (range 10–30 years) as physical therapists and 15 years (range 10–27 years) with neuromuscular disorders. The geographic representation in Asia-Pacific Region (one physical therapist), Europe (two physical therapists), and North America (five physical therapists) allowed for additional onsite training should that be needed to optimize reliability.

The evaluators all responded to a questionnaire that scored their level of experience as therapists in pediatrics, neuromuscular disease, and clinical trials. The group was sorted into advanced, intermediate, and beginner evaluators. Evaluators in the infantile onset trial had on median of 15 years of experience as therapists (25/75 IQ range: 9–25 years) and 12.5 and 9.0 years working with children and neuromuscular disease, respectively across the study. Those in the later onset trial had on median of 14 years of experience as therapists (25/75 IQ range 6–21 years) and 9.2 and 7.7 years working with children and neuromuscular disease, respectively. These factors were then used to determine the intensity and method of training that would be required should an evaluator not be able to attend one of the centralized meetings that were provided for face to face training or to provide extra support in the context of the training meeting.

Subjects

Data was collected as part of multicenter global clinical trials [13], IRB approval was secured at each site and subjects’ parents/legal guardian’s provided informed consent. Screening and baseline evaluations were available from 116 subjects with infantile onset SMA, 3 were excluded due to screening failures. One-hundred twenty-five subjects with later onset SMA were available for analysis on the HFMSE, 1 was excluded due to screening failure. One-hundred twenty-six subjects with later onset SMA were available for analysis on the RULM, 1 was excluded due to screening failure.

Statistical methods

Intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) using a one-way random effects, single measures, analysis of variance model was used to determine inter-rater reliability from annual training and retraining meetings and intra-rater reliability from screening assessments. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to assess the performance of individual evaluators to the gold standard determined by the consensus of the training team for all three scales.

RESULTS

Intra-rater reliability for the CHOP INTEND was evaluated using baseline and screening visits of each subject, performed at least 1 day and no more than 21 days apart. Intra-rater reliability for the CHOP INTEND was ICC (1,1) = 0.895 (95%CI: 0.852–0.926; n = 116). The inter-rater reliability by video review was ICC (1,1) = 0.951 and 0.906 for each of the two initial training sessions. Comparison to the gold standard score ranged from r = 0.714–0.996 (Table 1).

Intra-rater reliability using HFMSE screening and baseline visits of each subject for the HFMSE was ICC (1,1) = 0.959 (95%CI: 0.942–0.971; n = 125). Initial training session inter-rater reliability by video review by region for the HFMSE in the later onset SMA patients ranged between ICC (1,1) = 0.987 and 0.994. The comparison of each evaluator to what was viewed as the gold standard score on the HFMSE was nearly perfect and ranged from r = 0.987 – 1.000 (Table 2).

Intra-rater reliability for the RULM, evaluated using screening and baseline visits of each subject, was ICC (1,1) = 0.948 (95%CI: 0.927–0.963; n = 126). Initial training session inter-rater reliability for the RULM based on video assessment between evaluators ranged between ICC (1,1) = 0.966–0.990. When compared to the gold standard score correlations ranged from r = 0.895–1.00 (Table 3).

Reliability at the follow up retraining meetings reflected the consistency found at the initial meetings (see Tables 1–3 for intra-class correlation coefficients, confidence intervals, group size, and Pearson Correlation coefficients).

DISCUSSION

Functional outcome measures exhibit excellent reliability in global phase 3 clinical trials in infants and children with SMA. Essential to the success in multi-centered, multi-national trials is a rigorous
Table 1

| Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders (CHOP INTEND) |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                  | Intra-Rater Reliability | Inter-Rater Reliability |
|                                  | Trainers              | Evaluators        | Evaluators vs. gold standard |
| Initial reliability              | ICC = 0.963,          | ICC = 0.951       | r = 0.941 – 0.996 |
| North America                    | 95% CI: 0.946 – 0.977 | 95% CI: 0.931 – 0.969 | n = 7
|                                 | n = 25                | n = 25            |
| Initial reliability              | ICC = 0.906          | r = 0.775 – 0.987 |
| Europe/Asia Pacific              | 95% CI: 0.867 – 0.940 |                  |
|                                 | n = 23                |                  |
| Retraining                       | ICC = 0.867          | ICC = 0.871       | r = 0.714 – 0.954 |
| North American                   | 95% CI: 0.808 – 0.915 | 95% CI: 0.822 – 0.914 | n = 5
|                                 | n = 23                | n = 23            |
| Retraining                       | ICC = 0.824          | r = 0.756 – 0.922 |
| Australia/Asia Pacific           | 95% CI: 0.760 – 0.882 |                  |
|                                 | n = 14                |                  |
| Retraining                       | ICC = 0.889          | r = 0.716 – 0.903 |
| Europe                           | 95% CI: 0.845 – 0.927 |                  |
|                                 | n = 20                |                  |
| Retraining                       | ICC = 0.869          | r = 0.789 – 0.911 |
| Japan/Asia Pacific               | 95% CI: 0.815 – 0.915 |                  |
|                                 | n = 9                 |                  |

ICC = Interclass correlation coefficient; r = Pearson correlation coefficient.

Table 2

| Expanded Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale (HFMSE) |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                  | Intra-Rater Reliability | Inter-Rater Reliability |
|                                  | Trainers              | Evaluators        | Evaluators vs. gold standard |
| Initial reliability North America | ICC = 0.997;         | ICC = 0.994       | r = 0.994 – 1.000 |
| N = 7                            | 95% CI: 0.988 – 1.000 | 95% CI: 0.979 – 1.000 |
|                                 | n = 23                | n = 23            |
| Initial reliability Asia Pacific  | ICC = 0.987          | r = 0.996 – 1.000 |
| 95% CI: 0.948 – 1.000           |                  |
|                                 | n = 12                |                  |
| Initial reliability Europe       | ICC = 0.991          | r = 0.987 – 1.000 |
| 95% CI: 0.966 – 1.000           |                  |
|                                 | n = 17                |                  |
| Retraining North America         | ICC = 0.995          | ICC = 0.993       | r = 0.986 – 1.000 |
| 95% CI: 0.976 – 1.000           | 95% CI: 0.974 – 1.000 |                  |
|                                 | n = 5                 | n = 22            |
| Retraining Europe                | ICC = 0.991          | r = 0.997 – 1.000 |
| 95% CI: 0.965 – 1.000           |                  |
|                                 | n = 12                |                  |
| Retraining Australia             | ICC = 0.996          | r = 0.999 – 1.000 |
| 95% CI: 0.981 – 1.000           |                  |
|                                 | n = 7                 |                  |
| Retraining Japan                 | ICC = 0.981          | r = 0.997 – 1.000 |
| 95% CI: 0.921 – 1.000           |                  |
|                                 | n = 9                 |                  |

ICC = Intraclass correlation coefficient; r = Pearson correlation coefficient.

and comprehensive training plan as well as standardized assessments of reliability of clinical evaluators. We have described the evaluator training program and established it was effective in allowing a replication of the reliability experienced during the test development process [5, 14, 15]. This result was supported by face-to-face training experiences, quarterly conference calls, and annual retraining of the evaluator team. The collection of reliability data allowed the team to confirm the consistency of administration both across and within evaluators through the duration of the study.
Table 3
Revised Upper Limb Module (RULM)

| Inter-Rater Reliability | Trainers | Evaluators | Evaluators vs. gold standard |
|-------------------------|----------|------------|-----------------------------|
| **Intra-Rater Reliability:** ICC = 0.948 (95%CI: 0.927–0.963; n = 126) | ICC = 0.990 | r = 0.994 – 1.000 |
| **North America** | ICC = 0.975–1.000 | ICC = 0.961–1.000 | n = 7 | n = 25 |
| **Asia Pacific** | ICC = 0.977 | r = 0.979 – 1.000 | n = 12 | n = 12 |
| **Europe** | ICC = 0.910–0.999 | r = 0.895 – 1.000 | n = 12 |
| **Initial reliability** | ICC = 0.966 | r = 0.988 – 1.000 | n = 17 |
| **Retraining** | ICC = 0.973 | r = 0.994 – 1.000 | n = 22 |
| **North America** | ICC = 0.928–1.000 | ICC = 0.901–0.999 | n = 5 | n = 22 |
| **Australia** | ICC = 0.920–1.000 | n = 6 | n = 6 |
| **Japan/Asia Pacific** | ICC = 0.914–0.999 | r = 0.986 – 0.999 | n = 8 |
| **Retraining** | ICC = 0.982 | r = 0.971 – 1.000 | n = 8 |
| **Europe** | ICC = 0.930–1.000 | n = 14 |

ICC = Intraclass correlation coefficient; r = Pearson correlation coefficient.

The majority of evaluators were very experienced with the outcome measures and this was a crucial asset. Our training plan allowed for identification of those with less experience so that additional support could be provided. The fact that the measures were developed by an international group, many of who participated as sites for the trial provided a core group of experienced evaluators that had used the measures before and were comfortable with the population. Nevertheless, training for an international trial comes with challenges, including language and cultural differences between evaluators. The initial reliability results from the investigator meetings were used to adapt our training methodology as the trials progressed to allow for smaller groups and provide more support for language differences. Site visits were also provided with interpreters during training to assure reliability.

The initial training for the infantile onset trial was conducted in North America and Europe. The European evaluators were grouped with the Asia-Pacific evaluators in the European training. Even though translation was provided, the number of languages being translated created a learning experience that was less than optimal. This may have impacted the learning experience of the Asia-Pacific group and may have contributed to the somewhat lower CHOP INTEND reliability in this group. The follow up annual training sessions for infantile onset trial and the initial and follow up training for the later onset SMA studies were further subdivided. The Asia-Pacific group was split into two groups with evaluators being trained separately and improved translation services were provided along with a higher ratio of trainers to evaluators to allow for more focused training and more individual attention. As a result the reliability in these groups improved and was similar across regions with this method of training.

Hands on assessment of reliability is not feasible because of the large number of evaluators across 3 large geographical regions who were involved in the trial. Repeated testing in infants and children is also limited due to behavior and fatigue. Video assessments are more practical but are limited by fixed visual field and lack of hands on contact but in this situation it was the best method. In this case the video inter-rater reliability we observed during training was also supported with administration of baseline and screening comparisons within the trial. This provided intra-rater reliability that was similar and reinforced the inter-rater reliability seen by video assessment. The comparison of screening and baseline evaluations allowed a combined assessment of both subject and administration variability within
one evaluator and the excellent intra-rater reliability supports the reliability of performance of evaluator, subjects, and the testing instruments within the trials.

We have shown that a rigorous training methodology can be deployed in the context of a global clinical trial. Disease specific measures can be administered reliably by a diverse group of evaluators with varied languages and cultures in infants and children with SMA. Here, we have compared within trial screening and baseline visits to assess intra-rater reliability and video based reliability assessment following the assessment training to monitor inter-rater reliability during the trial. By providing one on one support and periodic retraining to a group of therapist already with significant expertise in SMA, outcome reliability was able to be optimized. The commitment of the therapist evaluators to the training process and expertise in motor function along with their willingness to reach out to their one on one support trainers contributed to the success and reliability of outcome administration in these trials. In future trials, formal training and periodic retraining with reliability assessment can act to support evaluator consistency throughout the trial.
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