Testing protoplanetary disc dispersal with radio emission
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ABSTRACT

We consider continuum free–free radio emission from the upper atmosphere of protoplanetary discs as a probe of the ionized luminosity impinging upon the disc. Making use of previously computed hydrodynamic models of disc photoevaporation within the framework of extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) and X-ray irradiation, we use radiative transfer post-processing techniques to predict the expected free–free emission from protoplanetary discs. In general, the free–free luminosity scales roughly linearly with ionizing luminosity in both EUV- and X-ray-driven scenarios, where the emission dominates over the dust tail of the disc and is partial optically thin at cm wavelengths. We perform a test observation of GM Aur at 14–18 GHz and detect an excess of radio emission above the dust tail to a very high level of confidence. The observed flux density and spectral index are consistent with free–free emission from the ionized disc in either the EUV- or the X-ray-driven scenario. Finally, we suggest a possible route to testing the EUV- and X-ray-driven dispersal model of protoplanetary discs, by combining observed free–free flux densities with measurements of mass-accretion rates. On the point of disc dispersal one would expect to find an $\dot{M}_*$ scaling with free–free flux in the case of EUV-driven disc dispersal or an $\dot{M}_*$ scaling in the case of X-ray-driven disc dispersal.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Planet formation and the late stages of star formation are intimately related through the evolution of the protoplanetary disc. Understanding the evolution of the protoplanetary disc is key to understanding the final stages of star formation, as well as characterizing the environment in which planets form and migrate. In particular, the disc dispersal mechanism and the time-scale on which it operates set the time-scale in which gas planets must form, and dramatically affect the environment where terrestrial plants form and evolve.

Observations of protoplanetary discs over the last decade have shown that at birth most stars are surrounded by gas- and dust-rich optically-thick protoplanetary discs. By an age of 10 Myr almost all young stars are disc-less, with the median disc lifetime being $\sim$3 Myr (Haisch et al. 2001; Hernández et al. 2007; Mamajek 2009). Furthermore, the distribution of young stars in near-infrared (NIR) colours indicates that the transition from the disc-bearing state to disc-less state occurs rapidly, on a time-scale of $\sim$10 per cent of the disc’s lifetime (e.g. Kenyon & Hartmann 1995; Luhman et al. 2010; Ercolano, Clarke & Hall 2011; Koepferl et al. 2013) and it is roughly constant across spectral types for stars smaller than approximately 1 $M_\odot$ (Ercolano et al. 2011).

A small subset of protoplanetary discs show a lack of opacity at NIR wavelengths but emission similar to what would be expected from a primordial disc at mid-IR wavelengths (Strom et al. 1989; Skrutskie et al. 1990). These ‘transition’ discs have typically been interpreted as discs with cleared inner holes in the dust component (Calvet et al. 2002, 2005; Brown et al. 2009; Kim et al. 2009; Espaillat et al. 2010; Merín et al. 2010; Andrews et al. 2011). However, it is unclear whether these discs also possess holes in their gas component as most are found to be still accreting (e.g. Espaillat et al. 2010; Andrews et al. 2011; Owen & Clarke 2012). The discovery of ‘transition’ discs has motivated the development of dispersal models able to clear the disc from the inside out, including photoevaporation (Clarke, Gendrin & Sotomayor 2001), grain growth (Dullemond & Dominik 2005; Birnstiel, Andrews & Ercolano 2012), giant planet formation (Armitage & Hansen 1999) or a combination of photoevaporation and giant planet formation (Rosotti et al. 2013). A large fraction of ‘transition’ discs have inner hole sizes and accretion rates that are consistent with clearing by photoevaporation (Alexander & Armitage 2009; Owen, Ercolano & Clarke 2011b; Owen, Clarke & Ercolano 2012; Owen & Clarke 2012), while others are perhaps more consistent with truncation by
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Radio emission from discs

The theory of disc photoevaporation has progressed greatly since the early models. Hollenbach et al. (1994) considered semi-analytic solutions of a pure extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) heated disc, which were updated to full hydrodynamic models by Font et al. (2004) and Alexander, Clarke & Pringle (2006). Further calculations have indicated that the X-rays (e.g. Ercolano et al. 2008; Ercolano, Clarke & Drake 2009; Owen et al. 2010) and far-UV (FUV) (e.g. Gorti & Hollenbach 2009; Gorti, Dullemnond & Hollenbach 2009) have a stronger influence on the disc-mass-loss rates than the EUV. Furthermore, Owen et al. (2012) argued that the X-rays are the dominant driving process for low-mass protoplanetary discs, with mass-loss rates in the range $10^{-10} - 10^{-8} \ M_\odot \ yr^{-1}$ (Owen et al. 2011b) which are set by the star’s X-ray luminosity.

While the theory of photoevaporation has improved significantly since the early models, observations of disc photoevaporation have as yet been unable to directly compare the different mass-loss models. Currently the 12.8 $\mu$m Ne II line provides the best direct probe of disc photoevaporation with a clear detection of a photoevaporative flow from several objects found by Pascucci & Sterzik (2009). However, such observations are consistent with being a fully ionized EUV flow (Alexander 2008), or a partially ionized X-ray flow (Ercolano & Owen 2010), as the the Ne II line probes electron densities rather than mass-loss rates (Pascucci et al. 2011). Further clues are provided by the blueshifted low-velocity component of the 6300 Å O I line detected in many disc-bearing systems (Hartigan, Edwards & Ghandour 1995) which is consistent with production in a quasi-neutral X-ray wind (Ercolano & Owen 2010) and not from a fully ionized EUV wind (Font et al. 2004). However, there are other non-thermal mechanisms that can produce O I emission (Gorti et al. 2011), and until resolved observations become available, this line cannot be used as a clean probe of the photoevaporation models.

Comparisons of the 15.6 $\mu$m Ne II to the Ne II luminosity may indicate the origin of the ionizing radiation (Espaillat et al. 2013), but Ne II line emission is unfortunately difficult to measure due to its very low luminosity. While comparisons of various line-emission diagnostics from a large sample of stars performed by Szulágyi et al. (2012) point to the soft X-rays as the dominant heating source for the surface layers of discs (consistent with the conclusions of Espaillat et al. 2013), direct comparisons with photoevaporation models have not been conclusively able to identify the dominant driving source.

Recently, Pascucci, Gorti & Hollenbach (2012) proposed that radio emission may provide a clean test of photoevaporation models. In particular, Pascucci et al. (2012) suggested that continuum free-free emission at $\sim$cm wavelengths could be detectable with current radio telescopes above the dust tail of the disc, and could provide a method to test the various photoevaporation models. Continuum emission is not affected by variations in elemental abundances or difficulties in resolving line profiles that are hampering current efforts to probe photoevaporation using spectroscopy. Indeed in the case of external evaporation of discs by nearby OB stars (e.g. Johnstone, Hollenbach & Bally 1998; Richling & Yorke 2000; Adams et al. 2004), radio emission has already been used to test the various evaporation models. These radio measurements have further been used to estimate mass-loss rates (e.g. Mücke et al. 2002), since the flow structures can be resolved at other wavelengths (something not yet possible for internal photoevaporation). Furthermore, Pascucci et al. (2012) used archival data of TW Hydra to demonstrate a possible excess at radio wavelengths, indicative of emission by a photoevaporative wind. Without a spectral index measurement they were, however, unable to confirm such an origin. Radio emission from young stars has gained interest recently, in particular whether the Güdel–Benz relation (Güdel & Benz 1993) which relates X-ray and radio emission from active stars can be extended to the early stages of star formation (Feigelson et al. 1994; Guenther et al. 2000; Gagné, Skinner & Daniel 2004; Forbrich et al. 2007; Osten & Wolk 2009; Forbrich, Osten & Wolk 2011; Forbrich & Wolk 2013). The origin of the Güdel–Benz relation is typically thought to result from spatially collocated thermal X-ray emission and non-thermal radio emission from the stellar corona. Currently observed young stars with a surrounding envelope and disc are systematically and considerably more luminous in the radio than is predicted from the Güdel–Benz relation (e.g. Forbrich et al. 2011; Forbrich & Wolk 2013), suggesting that the radio emission at these early stages is perhaps not dominated by non-thermal emission from a stellar corona. In particular the radio emission may be thermal in nature (e.g. Scaife et al. 2011), suggesting a different emission mechanism/region for the X-ray and radio.

In this paper, we explore the expected properties of the free-free radio emission from protoplanetary discs in the context of an EUV-driven and an X-ray-driven photoevaporation model. In Section 2, we derive the expected signature of free-free emission from photoevaporation models. In Section 3, we use hydrodynamic calculations of EUV- and X-ray-driven photoevaporation to calculate the expected luminosity, spectral index and spatial distribution of the free-free emission. In Section 4, we apply our formalism to a test case and present a new free-free detection from GM Aur. In Section 5, we discuss our findings and present a systematic method in which a survey of radio emission from discs could distinguish between the various photoevaporation models. A short summary is provided in Section 6.

2 SCALING LAWS AND EXPECTED PROPERTIES

Our discussion follows on from the calculations performed by Pascucci et al. (2012) who suggested that thermal free-free emission from the heated surface layers of a protoplanetary disc dominates emission at radio frequencies. In particular, they argued that the free-free luminosity scales linearly with ionizing luminosity (EUV or X-rays) and has detectable values of $\sim$100 $\mu$Jy from discs in local star-forming regions at cm wavelengths. We extend their discussion here, which we then use as the basis for interpreting the detailed numerical calculations performed in Section 3.

Following Pascucci et al. (2012), the volume free-free emissivity is given by

$$\epsilon_v = 6.8 \times 10^{-9} g_8 n_e^2 T_e^{-1/2} \exp(-h\nu/k_b T_e) \ \mu\text{Jy cm}^{-2},$$

(1)

where $g_8$ is the Gaunt factor, $n_e$ is the electron density and $T_e$ is the electron temperature. The total – unattenuated – luminosity density is then found by integrating over the entire volume of the flow:

$$L_v = \int \epsilon_v \ d^3 r.$$  

(2)

It is useful here to introduce the gravitational radius, $R_g$, as a basic length scale for photoevaporation, given by the distance from the star at which gas at a given temperature becomes unbound from the star due to thermal motions (Hollenbach et al. 1994) and is defined as

$$R_g = \frac{GM_*}{c_s^2} = 8.9 \ \text{au} \left( \frac{M_*}{1 \ M_\odot} \right) \left( \frac{c_s}{10 \ \text{km} \ \text{s}^{-1}} \right)^{-2}.$$  

(3)
where $M_*$ is the mass of the star and $c_s$ is the sound speed of the gas. It has been shown that both the EUV (Hollenbach et al. 1994) and the X-ray (Owen et al. 2012) flow properties scale with this quantity [i.e. $\rho/\rho_0 = N(r/R_g)$, $T/T_0 = t(r/R_g)$ etc.]. The EUV case results in an isothermal flow with a temperature of $\sim 10^4$ K and $R_g$ is strictly a constant for the entire disc/wind system. In the X-ray case, where the gas temperature ranges from a few 1000 to $\sim 10^4$ K the meaning of $R_g$ is less clear for a single disc. Owen et al. (2012) argue that it is best to think of $R_g$ as a mass-scaled radius where the sound speed is a scaling constant fixed for the entire disc/wind system, which we set to 10 km s$^{-1}$ for consistency with the EUV model.

2.1 EUV-heated disc

In the case of an EUV-heated disc, where the gas is isothermal, the electron density in the EUV-heated region scales as (Hollenbach et al. 1994)

$$n_e(r/R_g) = \Phi_e^{1/2} R_g^{3/2} N(r/R_g),$$

where $N(r/R_g)$ is independent of stellar mass or EUV luminosity. Combining this with equation (2) we find

$$L_{\nu}^{\text{EUV}} \propto \Phi_e \int N^2(r/R_g) d^3(r/R_g).$$

Thus, we see that free–free emission from an EUV-heated disc only has an explicit linear dependence on the EUV luminosity, and no explicit dependence on stellar mass, identical to the scaling found by Pascucci et al. (2012). We can compare this to the scaling of the mass-loss rate which is given by (Hollenbach et al. 1994; Font et al. 2004)

$$M^{\text{EUV}} = 1.3 \times 10^{-10} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \left( \frac{\Phi_e}{10^{41} \text{w}^{-1}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{M_*}{1 M_\odot} \right)^{1/2}.$$  

So, in the EUV case $L_{\nu}^{\text{EUV}} \propto M^2$.

2.2 X-ray-heated disc

As we will discuss in more detail in Section 3, it is convenient to treat the bound and unbound sections of the X-ray-heated disc separately as they have a different density and temperature dependence on stellar mass and X-ray luminosity. Owen et al. (2012) showed that the temperature structure of the flow is invariant in terms of a mass-scaled radius, namely $T = T_0 t(r/R_g)$, and that density scales as

$$n_h(r/R_g) \propto L_X R_g^{-2} N(r/R_g),$$

where, as in the EUV case, $N(r/R_g)$ and $t(r/R_g)$ are independent of stellar mass and X-ray luminosity. Equation (2) can then be rewritten as

$$L_{\nu}^{\text{flow}} \propto L_X^2 M_*^{-1} \int X_e^2 (r/R_g) \frac{N(r/R_g)^2}{\sqrt{t(r/R_g)}} d^3(r/R_g),$$

where $X_e$ is the electron fraction. Given the electron fraction is set by the ionization parameter ($= L_X/n^2$), which is invariant in terms of $r/R_g$ (see Appendix A of Owen et al. 2012), the electron fraction is also invariant under the same mass-scaled radius. So, the integral in equation (8) is independent of stellar mass and X-ray luminosity. This means the free–free emission from the flow scales as the X-ray luminosity squared and inversely with stellar mass. This is different from the derived scaling by Pascucci et al. (2012) who based their argument on ionization balance in a fixed isothermal slab and arrived at a linear scaling with X-ray luminosity. This difference results from neglecting that the density in this underlying slab would also scale linearly with X-ray luminosity as shown in equation (7).

In the disc’s bound atmosphere, inside roughly $\sim 1$ au the temperature is roughly isothermal (at $10^3$ K) and hence the density structure is independent of X-ray luminosity. Therefore, in such a region, we can balance ionizations with recombinations, in the case that free electrons from hydrogen atoms are dominant (as will be the case at the ionization fractions we are considering $>0.01$), then such a balance is similar to that of EUV ionization–recombination balance and one finds a similar expression to equation (5):

$$L_{\nu}^{\text{X, bound}} \propto L_X \int N^2(r/R_g) d^3(r/R_g).$$

This scenario corresponds to the slab calculation performed by Pascucci et al. (2012).

To summarize, in the case that the free–free emission is dominated by the wind, one finds an $L_X^2$ scaling for the luminosity, and in the case that the inner bound atmosphere dominates the emission, one recovers the linear scaling derived by Pascucci et al. (2012). These free–free scalings can be compared to the photoevaporation rate from the X-ray-driven wind given by (Owen et al. 2012)

$$M_X = 7.9 \times 10^{-9} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \left( \frac{L_X}{10^{30} \text{erg s}^{-1}} \right).$$

Thus, we note even in the case where the scalings of free–free emission with input energy are the same, they will scale very differently with mass-loss, a feature that we will use later in Section 5.

We add that in the case that the disc experiences both strong X-ray and EUV irradiation the EUV model implicitly assumes that the X-rays will only heat and ionize the dense layers of the disc to low levels. This will result in a very low volume emission measure for this X-ray-ionized region and no contribution to the free–free emission from the X-rays in this case. In the case of an X-ray–driven wind with EUV irradiation, Owen et al. (2012) demonstrated that the X-ray wind is itself optically thick to the EUV irradiation and the EUV-ionized portion is confined to a very small radius. This results in an EUV-ionized region that will have a very low volume emission measure when compared to the X-ray-heated disc, due to its small size.

3 NUMERICAL CALCULATIONS OF FREE–FREE EMISSION

In order to investigate the radio emission from the photoevaporative wind, we use previously computed hydrodynamic calculations of EUV- and X-ray-driven flows. We then post-process these density, temperature and velocity structures using radiative transfer calculations to compute the properties of free–free emission from both EUV- and X-ray-heated discs, using a method similar to that employed by Ercolano & Owen (2010) to compute line emission from disc winds. The free–free emissivity is calculated using equation (1) and then the opacity is computed as

$$\kappa_\nu = \frac{e \nu^2}{2 k_B T_{\text{Le}}},$$

assuming thermal equilibrium in the Rayleigh–Jeans limit, where $c$ is the speed of light. At the long (cm) wavelengths we are primarily interested in, the opacity from dust in the disc/wind can be neglected. The hydrodynamic calculations have been computed on 2D spherical polar grids, which cover a radial range from $\sim 0.01$ to $\sim 10 R_g$. Thus, in order to perform radiative transfer ray-tracing calculations, we use symmetry about the rotation axis and
mid-plane to interpolate the 2D hydrodynamic grids on to 3D logarithmic spaced Cartesian grids with size \( N_x \times N_y \times N_z \) of \( 240 \times 240 \times 3000 \) which provide sufficiently high resolution in the inner regions to resolve the disc, flow and emission structures. In order to calculate the optical depth to the observer, we use the following method: for each cell in the 3D Cartesian grid, we cast a ray through the grid at the required inclination. We then calculate the optical depth to the observer by taking the opacity to be constant throughout an intercepted cell and summing up the individual optical depths through all the intercepted cells. More specifically, the optical depth from a given cell to the observer is found as

\[
\tau_{\nu}^{i,j,k} = \sum_{\text{intercepted cells}} \kappa_{\nu} \ell,
\]

where \( \ell \) is the path length of the ray through an intercepted cell, and the sum takes place over all cells intercepted by a given ray. Then, the total luminosity density can be computed as

\[
L_{\nu} = \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{\nu}^{i,j,k} \exp(-\tau_{\nu}^{i,j,k}) V^{i,j,k},
\]

where \( V^{i,j,k} \) is the volume of the cell.

### 3.1 EUV-heated disc

For our EUV-heated disc we make use of the numerical hydrodynamic calculations of Owen, Ercolano & Clarke (2011a), which are similar to those of Font et al. (2004) and Alexander (2008). We defer to Owen et al. (2011a) for a detailed description of these calculations. Given that EUV ionization results in a fully ionized hydrogen gas at a temperature which thermostats to \( \sim 10^4 \) K (Hollenbach et al. 1994), we set the ionization fraction to unity and the gas temperature to a constant \( 10^4 \) K to compute the free–free emissivity. Fig. 1 shows the spatial distribution of the emissivity produced in the EUV wind: it peaks at a small radius and smoothly transitions to a spherical distribution at a large radius, and effectively traces the density structure of the EUV-heated wind.

In Fig. 2 we show the radial distribution of the free–free luminosity for a 0.7 M⊙ star with an EUV ionizing luminosity of \( 10^{30} \) (dot–dashed line), \( 10^{31} \) (solid line), \( 10^{32} \) (dashed line) and \( 10^{33} \) s\(^{-1} \) (dotted line). The lines represent the vertical integral of \( \epsilon_{\nu} \), multiplied by \( 2\pi R^2 \). The plot shows that the emission is mainly dominated by the photoevaporative wind (outside \( >1 \) au), although a non-negligible fraction does come from the hydrostatic bound region. We also note a smooth transition from the bound region to the wind and a slow drop in the luminosity at a large radius. Furthermore, we see that as expected the free–free luminosity scales linearly with EUV luminosity as described in Section 2 and in Pascucci et al. (2012).

### 3.2 X-ray-heated disc

For the X-ray wind we make use of the hydrodynamic calculations performed by Owen et al. (2010, 2011b, 2012) and we defer to these works for a detailed discussion of the numerical hydrodynamics. We consider three X-ray luminosities of \( 2 \times 10^{29}, 2 \times 10^{30} \) and \( 2 \times 10^{31} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) around two stellar masses of 0.1 and 0.7 M⊙. The numerical method for all the radiation hydrodynamic calculations are described in detail in Owen et al. (2010), which considers the ‘standard’ case with \( M_* = 0.7 \) M⊙ and \( L_X = 2 \times 10^{30} \) erg s\(^{-1} \); the simulations for 10 times higher and lower X-ray luminosity are described in Owen et al. (2011b) and the simulations for the lower mass star case (0.1 M⊙) are described in Owen et al. (2012).

Unlike the EUV case, we cannot make a priori assumptions about the electron fraction and electron temperature. The physical properties of the gas must be determined by detailed photoionization calculations, which we perform by post-processing the hydrodynamic simulations using the MOCASSIN radiative transfer code (Ercolano et al. 2003; Ercolano, Barlow & Storey 2005; Ercolano et al. 2008). The electron fractions and electron temperatures obtained from MOCASSIN are then used to calculate the free–free emissivities using equation (1). The emissivity map is shown in Fig. 3 for the ‘standard’ model. Clearly this is qualitatively different from the EUV wind case which has a smooth distribution. The emission from the wind is dominated by a narrow vertical band at a close radius and it effectively traces out the absorption region of the very soft \( \lesssim 0.1 \) KeV X-ray photons that generate a high electron fraction and temperature in this inner region.

Furthermore, the radial distribution of the free–free emissivity is shown in Fig. 4 for a 0.7 M⊙ star with X-ray luminosity of \( 2 \times 10^{29} \) (dot–dashed line), \( 2 \times 10^{30} \) (solid line) and \( 2 \times 10^{31} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) (dashed line). Unlike the smooth distribution obtained for the EUV case, the radial luminosity profile in the X-ray case is actually double peaked. The first peak at a small radius (\( \lesssim 1 \) au) dominates and is produced not by the wind, but by the hot bound X-ray-heated atmosphere that is unable to escape the star’s potential. The second peak is at a slightly larger radius (1–10 au), is produced in the wind, and only
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**Figure 1.** The emissivity at 15 GHz of an EUV-driven photoevaporative wind, with a luminosity of \( \Phi_* = 10^{31} \) s\(^{-1} \), around a 0.7 M⊙ star.
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**Figure 2.** Radial luminosity profiles at 15 GHz for an EUV-driven photoevaporative wind around a 0.7 M⊙ star, shown at several different EUV luminosities.
at the highest X-ray luminosities it becomes comparable to the first peak. As expected from our discussion in Section 2, we see that the luminosity in the bound region of the X-ray-heated atmosphere scales roughly linearly with X-ray luminosity and the luminosity in the wind scales roughly as $L_\text{X}$, meaning that the wind begins to dominate at high X-ray luminosity. However, we note that for a total luminosity scaling roughly as $L_\text{X}^2$ one would require X-ray luminosities $\gtrsim 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ which are not observed in young solar-type stars (e.g. Preibisch et al. 2005; Güdel et al. 2007). Therefore, in general, we expect the free–free luminosity to be dominated by the bound inner region and to scale roughly linearly with X-ray luminosity.

### 3.3 Spectral properties

In order to compare the expected emission at various wavelengths, we compute the spectra in the wavelength range from 0.1 to 100 cm, although we note that disc dust emission is likely to dominate the spectrum at short wavelengths (out to $\sim 0.5$ cm). We calculate the spectrum at disc inclinations of 0°, 30°, 60° and 90°. We show these computed spectra for the EUV-heated disc in Fig. 5 (although in this case due to the small dependence on inclination, we only plot face-on and edge-on discs) and for the X-ray-heated disc in Fig. 6.

The radio spectrum of the disc/wind systems transitions from optically thin at short wavelengths ($< 10$ cm) to optically thick at longer wavelengths ($> 10$ cm). In general, this transition from optically thin to optically thick occurs at shorter wavelengths for higher luminosities and around lower mass stars. We can understand these features easily: at higher luminosities the density of the wind increases and thus the opacity also grows; therefore, the transition from optically thin to optically thick shifts to shorter wavelengths. In addition, as one moves to lower stellar masses the opacity along a given line of sight increases, which can be easily seen if we write the optical depth in terms of $R_\circ$ as

$$\tau_v = R_\circ \int \kappa_v \mathrm{d} (r/R_\circ).$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Since $R_\circ \propto M_*$ and $\kappa_v \propto R_\circ^{-3}$ for the EUV-driven wind and as $\kappa_v \propto R_\circ^{-4}$ for the X-ray-heated disc, it is clear that the optical depth along a given line of sight increases strongly as the mass decreases for both the X-ray- and the EUV-heated discs.

Furthermore, the two separate emitting regions of the X-ray-heated disc result in a bump in the radio spectrum in some cases, arising from the bound atmosphere and wind-emitting regions becoming optically thick at different wavelengths. In all cases, we find that for similar free–free luminosities, the X-ray spectrum tends to turn over at shorter wavelengths, and has generally steeper spectral indexes at cm wavelengths. This arises as the dominant emission region in the X-ray case is more compact and is thus more easily attenuated. The impinging EUV flux cannot be directly measured with observations; however, a well-constrained measurement of the spectral index at cm wavelengths could indirectly constrain the required EUV luminosity, then allowing a comparison between the two photoevaporation models.

### 4 A PILOT STUDY: GM AUR

In this section, we perform a case study using radio observations of GM Aur and the formalism developed above. We note that while GM Aur has a well-known inner hole in the dust component, the high accretion rates measured for this object ($\sim 10^{-8}$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, Ingelby et al. 2011) imply a substantial amount of gas still present in the inner disc, justifying the application of the primordial disc models developed in the previous section.

#### 4.1 Observations of GM Aur

Observations of GM Aur were made during 2012 August with the Arcminute Microkelvin Imager Large Array (AMI-LA). The AMI-LA is a synthesis array of eight 13 m antennae sited at the Mullard Radio Astronomy Observatory at Lord’s Bridge, Cambridge (AMI Consortium: Zwart et al. 2008). The telescope observes in the band 13.5–17.9 GHz with eight 0.75 GHz bandwidth channels. In practice, channels 1–3 are generally unused due to radio interference from geostationary satellites and data towards GM Aur for this work were taken from channels 4–8 (14.6–17.9 GHz). Primary flux calibration was performed using short observations of 3C48 and 3C286; from other measurements, the flux calibration of the array is expected to be accurate to better than 5 per cent (e.g. AMI Consortium: Scaife et al. 2008). Secondary calibration was carried out using interleaved observations of the strong point source J0459+3106.

Calibrated data were imaged using the AIPS data package. With a synthesized beam of $39.4 \times 25.0$ arcsec$^2$ towards GM Aur, the
source is unresolved by the AMI-LA. Flux densities were recovered from both the combined frequency data, where the source was detected at $>10\sigma_{\text{rms}}$ with $\sigma_{\text{rms}} = 16\,\mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$, and individual frequency channels where the source was detected at $>5\sigma_{\text{rms}}$ in each case. No additional sources were detected within the AMI-LA primary beam, which has a width at half power of $\approx 6$ arcmin at 16 GHz. A power-law spectral index was fitted to the AMI-LA channel data using the Markov chain Monte Carlo based maximum likelihood algorithm \textsc{metro} (see e.g. Scaife & Heald 2012), with a spectral index of $-0.76 \pm 0.51$ and a radio flux density of $0.13 \pm 0.03\,\text{mJy}$ with an estimated $0.04\,\text{mJy}$ contribution from thermal dust emission. We confirm our measurement is of free–free continuum emission rather than an extended dust tail, by comparing a pure dust model (single temperature modified blackbody) with a two-component model (dust+free–free) using the submm and mm data compiled by Rodmann et al. (2006), Ricci et al. (2010) and Hughes...
Figure 7. Long-wavelength observation of GM Aur. The submm and mm observations are taken from Rodmann et al. (2006), Ricci et al. (2010) and Hughes et al. (2013), whereas the cm observations come from this work (also shown as the insert). The thin dotted line shows the fit to the dust tail, and the thin solid, dashed and dot–dashed lines show power-law free–free emission, the EUV model and the X-ray model, respectively. The thick line shows the combined dust-free–free emission spectrum, all of which show good agreement with the data.

et al. (2013). This comparison is shown in Fig. 7, where we find the two-component model (dust-free–free) is very strongly preferred with a Bayes factor $10.50 \pm 0.29$ (see Gordon & Trotta 2007) and derive a dust $\beta$ of $1.26 \pm 0.14$, confirming our detection of free–free emission from GM Aur.

### 4.2 Comparison of theory and observations

In order to compare our observed value for GM Aur with our theoretical models, we show the model luminosity densities at 15 GHz computed for the X-ray models (solid black lines) and the EUV models (dashed red lines) in Fig. 8. In general, 15 GHz roughly represents the transition region from optically thin to optically thick, so the EUV luminosity roughly scales linearly with the free–free flux. Furthermore, since the bound region of the X-ray-heated disc dominates the emission, the free–free flux density also scales roughly linearly with X-ray luminosity.

The blue point in Fig. 8 represents our observed data point for GM Aur and is in good agreement with the expected luminosity from the X-ray model, and with the EUV model one adopts an EUV luminosity of $\sim 10^{33}$ s$^{-1}$, which is within the expected range for T Tauri stars (Alexander, Clarke & Pringle 2005). Thus, we cannot use our single data point to distinguish between X-rays and EUV as main heating agents at the surface layers of the GM Aur disc. As well as comparing the luminosity to the models, we can also compare the observed spectral index (defined as $-d \log L_{\nu}/d \log \nu$) to those obtained from the model calculations. Given GM Aur has a measured X-ray luminosity of $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and a stellar mass of $\sim 0.7 M_\odot$ (e.g. Güdel et al. 2010), we compare with the $0.7 M_\odot$ models; in the case of the X-rays, we take $L_X = 2 \times 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$. In the case of the EUV models, we show models with luminosities of $10^{30}$–$10^{32}$ s$^{-1}$, although we note that the observed free–free luminosity implies an EUV luminosity of the order of $10^{31}$ s$^{-1}$. The comparison between the observed spectral index and the models is shown in Fig. 9, where the X-ray model is in the top panel and the EUV model is in the bottom panel. We find that the X-ray model is a good fit for both the observed free–free flux density and spectral index. A more accurately determined spectral index at around 15 GHz would be useful to confirm this result.
Radio emission from discs

Figure 10. Spectral index of the calculations presented in Section 3, plotted as a function of free–free flux density. The open symbols represent the EUV model and the filled symbols the X-ray model. The circle, square, diamond and star show the results when observed at different disc inclinations of 0°, 30°, 60° and 90°, respectively.

10–20 GHz should be able to distinguish between the two models, which predict indices of approximately −0.2 (EUV) and −0.5 (X-ray).

4.2.1 Other probes of ionization in GM Aur

Two further pieces of evidence point to heating of the disc’s surface taking place in GM Aur, namely the observed 6300 Å O I line has a luminosity of ∼10^{-5} L⊙ (Hartigan et al. 1995), which is believed to have a disc (rather than a jet – Güdel et al. 2010) origin is in good agreement with the X-ray model calculations of 1.25 × 10^{-5} L⊙ from Ercolano & Owen (2010). Such a high O I luminosity cannot be produced in an EUV-heated wind (Font et al. 2004) due to the lack of neutral oxygen, but may be produced by OH dissociation in the underlying molecular gas discs (Görti et al. 2011). Furthermore, the 12.8 μm Ne II line has also been detected in GM Aur, with a luminosity of ∼7 × 10^{-6} L⊙ (Najita et al. 2009; Güdel et al. 2010). This also compares favourably with the predicted value from the X-ray models of 5.41 × 10^{-6} L⊙ from Ercolano & Owen (2010) and the Ne II model which requires a similar EUV flux to the free–free emission to produce the observed luminosity of ∼10^{31} erg s^{-1} (Alexander 2008). However, the Ne II and free–free emission agreement is not surprising as both emission features probe the electron density rather than the total density. Neither the O I nor the Ne II line shows evidence for a blueshift in GM Aur’s disc. However, the lack of blueshift does not necessarily indicate the absence of a photoevaporative wind in GM Aur. The dust disc of GM Aur has a large inner hole, allowing the redshifted side of the wind to become visible to the observer, hence making the line profile symmetric, or allowing the bound component of the heated disc to dominate the emission (Ercolano & Owen 2010).

5 DISCUSSION

In the previous sections, we have shown that free–free emission from the hot heated atmospheres of protoplanetary discs, both in the bound inner regions and photoevaporative wind at larger distances, can provide a useful probe of the high-energy spectrum impinging upon the disc surface. Combining the continuum radio emission measurement with further probes of the photoevaporative wind such as gas line emission (e.g. Font et al. 2004; Alexander 2008; Pascucci et al. 2009; Ercolano & Owen 2010; Pascucci et al. 2011; Szulágyi et al. 2012; Espaillat et al. 2013) should provide useful constraints on the properties of the photoevaporative flow in individual systems.

In the previous section, we have given a pilot measurement for GM Aur and then compared to our models. While in this case this exercise did not yield a definitive answer to the question of what may be the dominant (EUV or X-ray) heating/ionization agent for this disc, it has shown how more accurate spectral index measurement may in the future succeed in this task. Exploring the combination of flux density and spectral index measurements further, we plot the expected free–free flux densities and spectral indices of all calculated models in Fig. 10 at three representative wavelengths of 1, 3 and 10 cm. Fig. 10 clearly shows that a well-determined spectral index (to within ∼0.2 dex) would be able to distinguish between the two models at the shorter radio wavelengths (i.e. 1 and 3 cm); this is where the EUV model is still essentially optically thin but the X-ray model is beginning to become optically thick. Coupled with X-ray luminosity measurements, which should constrain the expected value of the free–free flux density (in the X-ray model) and multiwavelength measurements, the dominant driving source of the photoevaporative wind could be determined in individual sources with current instrumentation. In the next section, we present a framework for testing the dominant driver of photoevaporation, based on the previous considerations.

5.1 A framework for testing photoevaporation

Given the similarity between the predicted free–free luminosities and spectral indices from the EUV and X-ray photoevaporation models, it may seem unlikely that free–free emission could prove a
useful probe alone or even when combined with the 12.8 \mu m Ne II line. However, since the free–free luminosities are similar, but the mass-loss rates and mass-loss rate scalings vary greatly between the two models, armed with additional, widely accessible, measurements of accretion rates (M*) one can construct a powerful diagnostic for testing the photoevaporative disc destruction scenarios and address the question of whether X-rays or EUV radiation is the driving mechanism.

In particular, an EUV-driven wind results in a much lower mass-loss rate and a weak \( \Phi^{1/2} \) scaling with luminosity, compared to the higher mass-loss rates and linear scaling with luminosity for the X-ray-driven case. One can then adopt a zeroth-order approximation in the context of photoevaporation (Alexander et al. 2001), which states that the dispersal proceeds when the accretion rates and mass-loss rates are equal. In this case, at the point of disc dispersal one finds that the free–free luminosity scales as \( M^2 \) in the case of an EUV-driven wind and as \( M_* \) in the case of an X-ray-driven wind.

Using these two scalings and the knowledge that a disc disperses due to photoevaporation once the accretion rates drop below the mass-loss rate, a simple experiment can be constructed as follows. One measures the free–free flux density for a large sample of stars at different accretion rates and plots the free–free flux density as a function of accretion rate, which should show a lack of objects with large free–free luminosities and low accretion rates, due to the fact that stars with high free–free luminosities (i.e. high-EUV/X-ray flux) would have already dispersed their discs due to their high mass-loss rates.

In order to probe this hypothesis further, we use a set of evolving disc models undergoing viscous evolution and photoevaporation. We do this by solving the viscous diffusion equation with mass-loss (Clarke et al. 2001) for a population of discs:

\[
\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} = \frac{3}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[ R^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} (\nu R^{1/2} \Sigma) \right] - \Sigma_w, \quad (15)
\]

where \( \Sigma \) is the gas surface density, \( \nu \) is the viscosity and \( \Sigma_w \) represents the mass-loss due to photoevaporation. We use the standard viscosity scaling \( \nu \propto R \) (Alexander et al. 2006; Alexander & Armitage 2007, 2009; Owen et al. 2010, 2011b) and adopt a zero-time Lynden-Bell–Pringle similarity solution as our initial surface density profile (Lynden-Bell & Pringle 1974).

Equation (15) is integrated forward using the scheme described in detail in Owen et al. (2011b) for a disc around a 0.7 M☉ star. For the X-ray-driven photoevaporation, we use the same initial conditions as Owen et al. (2011b) of an initial disc mass of 0.07 M☉, an initial disc scale radius of 18 au and a viscous \( \alpha \) of \( 2 \times 10^{-3} \), which were chosen to match the observed disc lifetimes, where we use the X-ray photoevaporation profile presented in Owen et al. (2012). For the EUV model, we use the same initial conditions as Alexander & Armitage (2009), again which were originally chosen to match the observed disc lifetimes. These were an initial disc mass of log_{10}(M/dt \times 10^{-6}) = 1.5, an initial disc scale radius of 10 au and a viscous \( \alpha \) of 0.01, where we used the EUV photoevaporation profile found by Font et al. (2004) and presented in Alexander & Armitage (2007). We emphasize that, given we are interested in relative time-scales, the results are somewhat insensitive to the actual choice of initial conditions (Alexander et al. 2006; Owen et al. 2011b). For the X-ray models, we span the observed X-ray luminosity function of solar-like stars (Güdel et al. 2007), and cover the same range in free–free luminosity for the EUV model (roughly \( \Phi_\nu = 10^{20} - 10^{22} \) erg s⁻¹). In Fig. 11 we show the results of these calculations, plotted as the time spent by a model in a certain region of the parameter space in units of disc lifetime. The X-ray model is shown in the top panel and the EUV in the bottom panel, with our GM Aur data point also shown. We cannot produce an expected probability map as the EUV luminosity function is unknown; however, given a sample of roughly 300 young disc-bearing systems (roughly the number with far-IR/submm measurements needed to determine a free–free excess), then one would expect to probe areas to within a few per cent of an individual disc model’s life. This expected line is shown as the black line in Fig. 11, where the slopes of this line roughly correspond to the free–free luminosity scaling predicted by the EUV and X-ray models of \( L_\nu \propto M^2 \) and \( L_\nu \propto M_* \), respectively.

Transition discs, which should represent the last rapid stage (~10 per cent of the disc’s lifetime) of disc evolution, are particularly useful for such a survey. However, we also note that one must be careful when selecting ‘transition’ discs, since many objects that may be identified as ‘transition’ discs due to the fact that they have an inner hole/gap may not actually be discs caught in the act of transitioning between a disc-bearing and disc-less state (Owen & Clarke 2012). In particular, GM Aur itself may fall into this case, as a disc being identified as a ‘transition’ disc (e.g. Calvet et al. 2002; Andrews et al. 2011), but where the high inferred disc mass and accretion rate raises imply its hole may have been dynamically carved by an embedded giant planet (e.g. Calvet et al. 2005; Rice et al. 2006; Andrews et al. 2011) rather than due to photoevaporation, although problems still exist with this latter interpretation (Clarke & Owen 2013).

Nevertheless a carefully selected sample of ‘transition discs’ along with a sample of primordial discs should be able to provide a strong initial test. Combining measurements of free–free luminosity and spectral index at frequencies of 1–10 GHz should provide useful complementary evidence to the line emission to further test and constrain the photoevaporation scenario.

Figure 11. Map of time spent in a certain region of parameter space by viscously evolving disc models with photoevaporation, plotted normalized to the disc’s lifetime. The top panel is for the X-ray-driven winds and the bottom panel for the EUV-driven winds. The solid lines represent the region of parameter space that will be probed by a sample of up to a few hundred stars. The point is our GM Aur detection.
6 SUMMARY

We have considered the suggestion of Pascucci et al. (2012) to use free–free radio continuum emission to explore the irradiation of protoplanetary discs by EUV and X-ray irradiation. We use previously computed hydrodynamic simulations of EUV- and X-ray-heated discs to calculate the expected properties of photoevaporating discs when observed at radio frequencies. In general, we find that the free–free luminosity scales linearly with the EUV or X-ray luminosity of the central star and should be detectable at cm wavelengths above the dust tail of the protoplanetary disc. In particular, we use the T Tauri star GM Aur as a test case and detect free–free emission at the level predicted by the models, although we are unable to use the current data to rule out either the EUV or X-ray photoevaporation model. Our main findings are highlighted below:

(i) Free–free emission from the ionized disc’s atmosphere dominates at cm wavelengths. Within the EUV photoevaporation model, it is the wind that dominated the emission; however, in the X-ray model, it is the hot bound atmosphere close to the star (<1 au) that dominates the free–free emission.

(ii) Both the X-ray- and EUV-heating models predict the free–free flux density should scale roughly linearly with ionizing luminosity, and should be detectable with current radio telescopes.

(iii) We have detected radio emission from GM Aur consistent with free–free emission from the ionized surface layers of the inner gas disc.

(iv) A radio survey of local primordial discs and carefully selected transition discs, combined with measurements of the mass accretion, may be able to distinguish between the EUV and X-ray photoevaporation scenarios.
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