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1 Introduction and Summary

1.1 Motivations for light-cone gauge approach

The two maximally supersymmetric backgrounds of type IIB superstring theory are flat Minkowski space $R^{1,9}$ and $AdS_5 \times S^5$. The manifestly supersymmetric superstring action in flat space – the Green-Schwarz (GS) action – is well known [1], and its $AdS_5 \times S^5$ analog was constructed in [2] (see also [3, 4]).

Progress in understanding AdS/CFT duality [5], i.e. in solving (the large $N$) supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 4$ YM theory in terms of (first-quantized) superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ depends on developing its GS description and making it more practical. Some advances in this direction with application to “long” strings ending at the boundary of $AdS_5$ were discussed in [6, 7, 8].

While the NSR string action in curved NS-NS backgrounds has well-defined kinetic terms and is at most quartic in fermions, the GS action in curved $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background with R-R flux looks, in general, very non-linear [2, 3, 4]. Its fermion structure simplifies in some special $\kappa$-symmetry gauges [9, 10, 11, 6], but, like in flat space, one may still face the question of dependence of the fermion kinetic term on a choice of bosonic string background, i.e. of its potential degeneracy [6].

String configurations in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ include “short” closed strings and “long” stretched strings that may end at the boundary. The GS action is well-suited for description of small fluctuations near long string backgrounds (for which fermion kinetic term is well-defined). However, to be able to determine the fundamental closed string spectrum in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ one is to learn how to quantize the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string action in the “short string” sector, i.e. without explicitly expanding near a non-trivial bosonic string configuration.

It is well-known how this is achieved for the flat space GS action – by choosing a light-cone gauge [12, 1]. The superstring light-cone gauge fixing consists of the two steps:

(I) fermionic light-cone gauge choice, i.e. fixing the $\kappa$-symmetry by $\Gamma^+ \theta^I = 0$

(II) bosonic light-cone gauge choice, i.e. using the conformal gauge $1_{\sqrt{g}}\eta^{\mu \nu} = \eta^{\mu \nu}$ and fixing the residual conformal diffeomorphism symmetry by $x^+(\tau, \sigma) = p^+\tau$.

Fixing the fermionic light-cone gauge already produces a substantial simplification of the flat-space GS action: it becomes quadratic in $\theta$. Choosing the bosonic light-cone gauge, i.e. using an explicit choice of $x^+$, may not always be necessary (cf. [13, 14]), but it makes derivation of the physical string spectrum straightforward.

Our eventual aim is to develop a systematic light-cone gauge framework for the GS strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$. In this paper we shall concentrate on the first and crucial step of fixing the fermionic light-cone gauge, i.e. imposing an analog of $\Gamma^+ \theta^I = 0$ condition. The idea is to get a simple gauge-fixed form of the action where the non-degeneracy of the kinetic term for the fermions will not depend on a choice of a specific string background in transverse directions, i.e., like in flat space, the fermion kinetic term will have the structure $\partial x^+ \partial \theta \partial \theta$.

1We use Minkowski signature 2-d world sheet metric $g_{\mu \nu}$ with $g \equiv -\det g_{\mu \nu}$.

2A previous work in this direction was reported in [15], but the $\kappa$-symmetry light-cone gauge used there is different from ours, and we do not understand the relation of the action presented in [15] to our light-cone gauge fixed action.
There are other motivations for studying $AdS_5 \times S^5$ strings in light-cone gauge:

(i) One of the prime goals is to clarify the relation between the string theory and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory at the boundary. The SYM theory does not admit a manifestly $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Lorentz-covariant description, but has a simple superspace description in the light-cone gauge $A^+ = 0$ \[13\]. It is based on a single chiral field $\Phi(x, \theta) = A(x) + \theta^i \psi_i(x) + \ldots$ where $A = A_1 + iA_2$ represents the transverse components of the gauge field and $\psi_i$ its fermionic partner which transforms under the fundamental representation of R-symmetry group $SU(4)$. In addition to the standard light-cone supersymmetry (shifts of $\theta$), the light-cone superspace SYM action $S[\Phi]$ has also a non-linear superconformal symmetry. This suggests that it may be possible to formulate the bulk string theory in a way which is naturally related to the light-cone form of the boundary SYM theory. In particular, it may be useful to split the corresponding fermionic string coordinates into the two parts with manifest $SU(4) \simeq SO(6)$ transformation properties which will be the counterparts of the linearly realized Poincaré supersymmetry supercharges and the nonlinearly realized conformal supersymmetry supercharges of the SYM theory.

(ii) As was shown in \[17, 18, 19\], field theories in AdS space (in particular, IIB supergravity) admit a simple light-cone description. There exists a light-cone action for a superparticle in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ which was used to formulate AdS/CFT correspondence in the light-cone gauge. This suggests that the full superstring theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ should also have a natural light-cone gauge formulation, which should be useful in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence.

### 1.2 Structure of the light-cone gauge string action

Our fermionic $\kappa$-symmetry light-cone gauge (which is be different from the naive $\Gamma^+ \theta^I = 0$ but is related to it in the flat space limit) will reduce the 32 fermionic coordinates $\theta_{\alpha}$ (two left Majorana-Weyl 10-d spinors) to 16 physical Grassmann variables: "linear" $\theta^i$ and "nonlinear" $\eta^i$ and their hermitian conjugates $\bar{\theta}_i$ and $\bar{\eta}_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), which transform according to the fundamental representations of $SU(4)$. The superconformal algebra $psu(2, 2|4)$ dictates that these variables should be related to the Poincaré and the conformal supersymmetry in the light-cone gauge description of the boundary theory. The action and symmetry generators will have simple (quadratic) dependence on $\theta^i$, but complicated (quartic) dependence on $\eta^i$.

We shall split the 10 bosonic coordinates of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ as follows: the 4 isometric coordinates along the boundary directions will be

$$x^a = (x^+, x^-, x, \bar{x}), \quad x^\pm \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^3 \pm x^0), \quad x, \bar{x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^1 \pm i x^2), \quad (1.1)$$

the radial direction of $AdS_5$ will be $\phi$, and the $S^5$ coordinates will be denoted as $y^{A'}$ ($A' = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$).

Choosing a light-cone gauge in the parametrization of the supercoset $PSU(2, 2|4)/[SO(4, 1) \times SO(5)]$ described below, the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring Lagrangian of \[8\] can be
written as
\[ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_B + \mathcal{L}_F^{(2)} + \mathcal{L}_F^{(4)}. \] (1.2)

Here \( \mathcal{L}_B = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}G_{MN}(X)\partial_{\mu}X^M\partial_{\nu}X^N \) is the standard bosonic sigma model with \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \) as target space.

\[ \mathcal{L}_B = -\sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}\left[ e^{2\phi}(\partial_{\mu}x^+\partial_{\nu}x^- + \partial_{\mu}x\partial_{\nu}\bar{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi + \frac{1}{2}e^\nu_{\alpha}e^\alpha_{\nu} \right]. \] (1.3)

\( e^\alpha_{\nu} \) is the projection of the vielbein of \( S^5 \) which in the special parametrization we will be using is given by

\[ e^\beta_{\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\gamma^\beta \partial_\mu UU^{-1}), \quad U^\dagger_j \equiv (e^y)^{\dagger}_{\dagger} \gamma^y, \quad U^{\dagger}U = I, \] (1.4)

where \( \text{Tr} \) is over \( i, j \). The matrix \( U \in SU(4) \) depends on 5 independent coordinates \( y^\beta \)

\[ y^i_j \equiv \frac{1}{2}y^\gamma_i(\gamma^\beta)^{\dagger}_{\dagger} \gamma^\beta, \quad (y^j)^\dagger = -y^j, \quad y^j_i = 0. \] (1.5)

and \( \gamma^\beta \) are \( SO(5) \) Dirac matrices. \( \mathcal{L}_F^{(2)} \) is the quadratic part of the fermionic action

\[ \mathcal{L}_F^{(2)} = e^{2\phi}_{\dagger} \partial_\mu x^+ \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\nu x^+ - \eta_\nu \partial_\nu x^+ + \eta^\nu \gamma_\nu x^+) + e^{\mu\nu}i\gamma^\nu C^\dagger_{ij}(\partial_\nu \gamma^\nu - i\sqrt{2}\gamma^\nu \eta^\nu \partial_\nu x^+) \right\} + h.c. \] (1.6)

The P-odd \( e^{\mu\nu} \) dependent term in (1.4) came from the WZ term in the original supercoset GS action [3].

Here we used the following notation

\[ \mathcal{D} \theta^i = d\theta^i - \Omega^i_j \phi^j, \quad \mathcal{D} \theta_i = d\theta_i + \phi^j \Omega^j_i, \quad e_j^i \equiv (\gamma^\beta)^{\dagger}_{\dagger} \gamma^\beta e^\beta_{\nu}, \] (1.7)

and \( \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_\mu d\sigma^\mu, \quad e_j^i = e^i_{\mu}d\sigma^\mu \) where \( \sigma^\mu = (\tau, \sigma) \) are 2-d coordinates. \( \mathcal{D} \) is the generalized spinor derivative on \( S^5 \). It has the general representation \( \mathcal{D} = d + \Omega^i_j J^j_i \) and satisfies the relation \( \mathcal{D}^2 = 0 \). \( \Omega^i_j \) is given by

\[ \Omega = dUU^{-1}, \quad d\Omega - \Omega \wedge \Omega = 0, \] (1.8)

and can be written in terms of the \( S^5 \) spin connection \( \omega^{AB} \) and the 5-bein \( a^\beta \) as follows

\[ \Omega^i_j = -\frac{1}{4}(\gamma^{AB})^i_j \omega^{AB} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\beta)^i_j e^\beta_{\nu}. \] (1.9)

\( C^\dagger_{ij} \) is the constant charge conjugation matrix of the \( SO(5) \) Dirac matrix algebra: \( C^\dagger C = I, C^\dagger T = -C \). The hermitean conjugation rules are: \( \theta^\dagger_i = \theta^i, \eta^\dagger_i = \eta^i \).

The quartic fermionic term in (1.2) depends only \( \eta \) but not on \( \theta \)

\[ \mathcal{L}_F^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}e^{4\phi}_{\dagger} \partial_\mu x^+ \partial_\nu x^+ \left[ (\eta^\dagger \eta)^2 - (\eta^\dagger \gamma^\beta \eta^\dagger)^2 \right]. \] (1.10)

4The light-cone gauge action can be found in two related forms. One of them corresponds to the Wess-Zumino type gauge in 10-d superspace while another is based on the Killing gauge (see [1] [11]). These “gauges” (better to be called “parametrizations”) do not reduce the number of fermionic degrees of freedom but only specialize a choice of fermionic coordinates. The action given in this Section corresponds to the WZ parametrization, while the action in the Killing parametrization will be discussed in Section 6.

5Our index notation differs from [3]; here we use \( \mu, \nu = 0, 1 \) for 2-d indices, \( i, j \) for \( SU(4) \) indices, \( A = 0, 1, \ldots, 4 \) for \( AdS_5 \) and \( A' = 1, \ldots, 5 \) for \( S^5 \) tangent space indices (repeated indices are contracted with flat metric). We use \( \epsilon^0 = 1 \).
1.3 Some properties of the action

The action \( (1.2) \) has several important properties:

(a) The dependence on \( x^- \) is only linear – through the bosonic \( \partial x^+ \partial x^- \) term in \( (1.3) \).

(b) The bosonic factor in the fermion kinetic term is simply \( e^{2b} x^+ \). It is the crucial property of this light-cone \( \kappa \)-symmetry gauge fixed form of the action that the fermion kinetic term involves the derivative of only \emph{one} space-time direction – \( x^+ \), i.e. its (non)degeneracy does not depend on transverse string profile.\(^6\)

(c) The fact that the action has only quadratic and quartic fermionic terms has to do with special symmetries of the \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \) background (covariantly constant curvature and 5-form field strength). The presence of the \( \eta^4 \) term \( (1.1) \) reflects the curvature of the background.\(^7\) As follows from the discussion in \( (3) \), the ‘extra’ terms in \( (1.6) \) like \( \eta_i \epsilon_i^j \eta^j \) and \( \eta C' \eta \partial x \) should have the interpretation of the couplings to the R-R 5-form background.\(^6\)

(d) The gauge we considered treats the \( AdS_5 \) and \( S^5 \) factors asymmetrically. In particular, the action contains only \( SO(5) \) but not \( SO(4,1) \) gamma matrices, and \( \theta_i \) and \( \eta_i \) are not spinors under \( SO(4,1) \).\(^6\)

(e) The \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \) superstring action depends on two parameters: the scale (equal radii) \( R \) of \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \) and the inverse string tension or \( \alpha' \). Restoring the dependence on \( R \) set equal to 1 in \( (1.2) \) one finds that in the flat space limit \( R \to \infty \) the quartic term \( (1.10) \) goes away, while the kinetic term \( (1.6) \) reduces to the standard one with \( D_\mu \to \partial_\mu \). The resulting action is equivalent to the flat space light-cone GS action \( (1) \) after representing each of the two \( SO(8) \) spinors in terms of the two \( SU(4) \) spinors. The action takes ‘diagonal’ form in terms of the combinations \( \psi_{1,2} \) of our two fermionic variables (see \( (1.22) \) below).

---

\(^6\)The action thus has similar structure to that of the light-cone gauge action for the GS string in curved magnetic R-R background constructed in \( (21) \).

\(^7\)Note that the light-cone gauge GS action in a curved space of the form \( R^{1,1} \times \mathcal{M}^8 \) with generic NS-NS and R-R backgrounds \( (22) \) (reconstructed from the light-cone flat space GS vertex operators \( (24) \)) contains, in general, higher than quartic fermionic terms, multiplied by higher derivatives of the background fields. This light-cone GS action has quartic fermionic term \( (22, 21) \) involving the curvature tensor \( R \partial x^+ \partial x^+ (\partial \Gamma^- \partial \theta) (\partial \Gamma^- \partial \theta) \sim R \partial_\mu (\partial^- \theta)^2 (\partial^- \theta)^2 \) which is similar to the one present in the NSR string action (i.e. in the standard 2-d supersymmetric sigma model).

\(^8\)The part of the action in \( (2) \) quadratic in \( \theta^I \) is a direct generalization of the quadratic term in the flat-space GS action (before \( \kappa \)-symmetry gauge fixing) \( S_F^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2 \kappa^2} \int d^2 \sigma (\sqrt{g} \partial^\mu \delta F_{\mu}^I - \epsilon^{\mu \nu J} \partial_\mu \rho_J D_\nu \theta^J \). Here \( \rho_\mu \) are projections of the 10-d Dirac matrices, \( \rho_\mu = \Gamma_M \epsilon^M_\mu \partial_\mu X^M = (\Gamma_A \epsilon^A_\mu + \Gamma_A' \epsilon^A'_\mu) \partial_\mu X^M \), and \( E^\mu_\nu \) is the vielbein of the 10-d target space metric. The covariant derivative \( D_\mu \) is the projection of the 10-d derivative \( D_M = \partial_M + \frac{1}{4} \omega_M^\hat{n} \Gamma_{\hat{n} \hat{m}} \), where the term with \( \Gamma_{MN} \) appears in the Killing spinor equation of type IIB supergravity. It has the following explicit form \( D_\mu \partial \theta^I \equiv (\delta^I_J \partial_\mu - \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{IJ} \bar{\rho}_\mu ) \theta^J \). The term with the term with \( \rho_\mu = (\Gamma_A \epsilon^A_\mu + \Gamma_A' \epsilon^A'_\mu) \partial_\mu X^M \) originates from the coupling to the R-R 5-form field strength.\(^9\)

\(^9\)\( \theta \) and \( \eta \) are not scalars with respect to \( SO(4,1) \). Combined together with fermions eliminated by \( \kappa \)-symmetry gauge they transform in spinor representation of \( SO(4,1) \times SO(5) \). But after gauge fixing which is based on \( \gamma \) matrices from \( AdS_5 \) part \( (\gamma^+ \theta = 0) \), the \( SO(4,1) \) group, with the exception of its \( SO(2) \) subgroup generated by \( J^{+3} \) (which is part of little group for the \( AdS_5 \) case) becomes realized nonlinearly. Thus (modulo subtleties of nonlinear realisation of \( su(4) \) on bosons) the algebra \( so(2) \oplus su(4) \) is a counterpart of the algebra \( so(8) \) in flat case.
(f) For \( \alpha' \to 0 \) the action has the correct particle limit, i.e. it reduces to the light-cone gauge superparticle action in \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \) \[13\].

(g) A special feature of this action is that \( SU(4) \simeq SO(6) \) symmetry is realized linearly on fermions, but not on bosons, i.e. is not manifest. This is a consequence of the factor \( SO(4,1) \times SO(5) \) in the underlying supercoset \( PSU(2,2|4)/[SO(4,1) \times SO(5)] \) being purely bosonic. The \( S^5 = SO(6)/SO(5) \) part of the bosonic action can be represented as a special case of the 2-d \( G/H \) coset sigma model \( L = \text{Tr}(\partial \mu UU^{-1} + A_\mu)^2, \ U \in G = SO(6), \) with the 2-d gauge field \( A_\mu \) being in the algebra of \( H = SO(5) \). This action does not have manifest \( SO(6) \) symmetry after \( A_\mu \) is integrated out and \( U \) is restricted to belong to the coset as a gauge choice.

(h) The action is symmetric under shifting \( \theta \to \theta + \epsilon \) supplemented by an appropriate transformation of \( x^- \). Here \( \epsilon \) is a Killing spinor on \( S^5 \), satisfying the equation \( D\epsilon = 0 \). It is this symmetry that is responsible for the fact that the theory is linear in \( \theta \), i.e. that there is no quartic interactions in \( \theta \).

1.4 Bosonic light-cone gauge fixing and elimination of \( x^+ \)

To proceed further to quantization of the theory one would like, as in the flat case, to eliminate the \( \partial x^+ \)-factors from the fermion kinetic terms in (1.6). In flat space this was possible by choosing the bosonic light-cone gauge. In the BDHP formulation \[24, 25\] which we are using this may be done by fixing the conformal gauge

\[
\gamma^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad \gamma^{\mu\nu} \equiv \sqrt{|g^{\mu\nu}|}, \quad \det \gamma^{\mu\nu} = -1,
\]

and then noting that since the resulting equation \( \partial^2 x^+ = 0 \) has the general solution \( x^+(\tau,\sigma) = f(\tau - \sigma) + h(\tau + \sigma) \) one can fix the residual conformal diffeomorphism symmetry on the plane by choosing \( x^+(\tau,\sigma) = p^+ \tau \). An alternative (equivalent) approach is to use the original GGRT formulation \[26\] based on writing the Nambu action in the canonical first order form (with constraints added with Lagrange multipliers) and fixing the diffeomorphisms by 2 conditions – on one coordinate and one canonical momentum:

\( x^+ = p^+ \tau, \ P^+ = \text{const}. \)

The first approach based on the conformal gauge does not in general apply in curved spaces with null Killing vectors which are not of the direct product form \( R^{1,1} \times M^8 \) (the gauge conditions will not in general be consistent with classical equations of motion). It does apply, however, if the null Killing vector is covariantly constant \[29\]. There is no need, in principle, to insist on fixing the standard conformal gauge (1.11). Instead, one may fix the diffeomorphism gauge by imposing the two conditions \( \gamma^{00} = -1, \ x^+ = p^+ \tau \). This choice is consistent provided the background metric satisfies \( G_{++} = 1, G_{--} = G_{-i} = 0, \partial_- G_{MN} = 0 \) \[30\]. This approach is essentially equivalent to the GGRT approach applied to the curved space case.

The above conditions do not apply in the AdS case: the null Killing vectors are not \[10\] Yet another approach is to fix \( g_{--} = 0, \ x^+ = h(\tau, \sigma) \) where \( h \) is determined by external sources \[27\]. For a discussion of various ways of fixing the light-cone gauge in the case of flat target space and their relations see, e.g., \[28\].
covariantly constant and $G_{+-} = e^{2\phi} \neq 1$. It is easy to see, however, that a slight modification of the above conditions on $\gamma^{00}$, $x^+$ represents a consistent gauge choice

$$e^{2\phi} \gamma^{00} = -1 \ , \quad x^+ = p^+ \tau \ .$$

(1.12)

Indeed, the equation for $x^+$

$$\partial_\mu (e^{2\phi} \sqrt{g} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_\nu x^+) = 0 \quad (1.13)$$

is then satisfied. The coordinate space BDHP approach based on (1.12) is equivalent to the phase space GGRT approach based on fixing the diffeomorphisms by $x^+ = p^+ \tau$, $P^+ = \text{const}$. The possibility to fix the light-cone gauge for the bosonic string in AdS space using the latter GGRT approach was originally suggested by Thorn [32].

A complication in the case of fixing the diffeomorphisms by the conditions on $\gamma^{00}$ and $x^+$ (or on $P^+$ and $x^+$ in the phase space approach) compared to the cases where one can fix the 2-d metric completely by choosing the conformal gauge is that here one is still to integrate over the remaining independent component of the 2-d metric (or $\gamma^{01}$) and to solve the resulting constraint. One may try to avoid this by fixing instead a modification of the conformal gauge (1.11) suggested by Polyakov [33]

$$\gamma^{\mu \nu} = \text{diag}(-e^{-2\phi}, e^{2\phi}) \ ,$$

(1.14)

such that (1.13) still has $x^+ = p^+ \tau$ as its solution. This is just a particular classical solution, and it may seem that in contrast to the flat space case here one is unable to argue that $x^+ = p^+ \tau$ represents a gauge fixing condition for some residual symmetry. However, this ansatz may indeed be justified a posteriori as being the outcome of a systematic procedure based on fixing $x^+$ and one condition on 2-d metric like (1.12) and then integrating over $x^-$ (assuming it has no sources).

In this paper we shall not discuss in detail the consequences of fixing the bosonic light-cone gauge (1.12) in the superstring action (1.2) (or the equivalent light-cone gauge fixing in the phase space GGRT approach [34]) and follow a simplified approach based on using a particular classical solution.

Let us first not make any explicit gauge choice and consider the superstring path integral assuming that there is no sources for $x^-$. The linear dependence of the action (1.2) on $x^-$ allows us to integrate over $x^-$ explicitly. This produces the $\delta$-function constraint imposing the equation of motion (1.13) for $x^+$, which is formally solved by setting

$$\sqrt{g} g^{\mu \nu} e^{2\phi} \partial_\nu x^+ = e^{\mu \nu} \partial_\nu f \ ,$$

(1.15)

where $f(\tau, \sigma)$ is an arbitrary function. Since our action (1.2) depends only on $x^+$ only through $e^{2\phi} \partial x^+$, we are then able to integrate over $x^+$ as well, eliminating it in favor of

\footnote{In fact, there is no globally well-defined null Killing vector in AdS space as its norm proportional to $e^{2\phi}$ vanishes at the horizon $\phi = -\infty$ (this point and a possibility to fix a global diffeomorphism gauge for AdS string was discussed in [31]). In this paper we shall use a formal approach to this issue: since the boundary SYM theory in $R^{1,3}$ space has a well-defined light-cone description, it should be possible to fix some analog of a light-cone gauge for the dual string as well (assuming it is defined on the Poincare patch of the AdS space). A potential problem of that approach which will be reflected in the degeneracy of the resulting light-cone gauge fixed action near the horizon region should then be addressed at a later stage.}
the function $f$. The action will contain the fermionic terms (1.6), (1.10) with

$$e^{2\phi}\partial_\mu x^+ \rightarrow f_\mu \equiv g_{\mu\nu} \frac{e^{\nu\lambda}}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_\lambda f.$$  

(1.16)

The resulting fermion kinetic term is then non-degenerate (for a properly chosen $f$), and may be interpreted as an action of 2-d fermions in curved 2-d geometry determined by $f$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ (cf. [3, 37, 36]).

We may then simplify the action further by making a special choice of $f$ and fixing a diffeomorphism gauge on $g_{\mu\nu}$ in a consistent way. One possibility is to choose the gauge (1.14) and $f \sim \sigma$ which implies according to (1.15) that $x^+ \sim \tau$, i.e.

$$f = \sigma, \quad x^+ = \tau, \quad \sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-e^{-2\phi}, e^{2\phi}).$$  

(1.17)

1.5 “2-d spinor” form of the action

Like in the flat space case [1] and in the “long string” cases discussed in [8] the resulting action can then be put into the “2-d spinor” form. Indeed, the 8+8 fermionic degrees of freedom can be organized into 4 Dirac 2-d spinors, defined in curved 2-d geometry. Using (1.17) we can write the kinetic term (1.6) as

$$L_F^{(2)} = \frac{i}{2} (\partial_i D_0 \theta^i + \eta_1 D_0 \eta^i - i \eta_1 e_0^i \eta^i) + e^{2\phi} e^i \eta^j C_{ij} (D_i \theta^j - i \sqrt{2} e^j \eta^j \partial_1 x) + h.c.$$  

(1.18)

Introducing a 2-d zweibein corresponding to the metric in (1.17)

$$e^0_\mu = \text{diag}(e^{2\phi}, 1), \quad g_{\mu\nu} = -e^0_\mu e^0_\nu + e^1_\mu e^1_\nu,$$  

(1.19)

we can put (1.18) in the 2-d form as follows

$$e^{-1} L_F^{(2)} = \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} g^m e^*_m D_\mu \psi + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \psi \partial_1 \phi - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi} e^i_0 \eta^i \bar{\psi} \psi + i \sqrt{2} e^i \eta^j \psi^T \pi^- C_{ij} \psi \partial_1 \bar{x} + h.c.$$  

(1.20)

Here $g^m$ are 2-d Dirac matrices,

$$\theta^0 = i\sigma_2, \quad \theta^1 = \sigma_1, \quad \theta^3 = e^0 \theta^1 = \sigma_3, \quad \theta^+ \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\theta^3 \pm \theta^0), \quad \tau^- \equiv \frac{1}{2} (1 - \theta^1),$$  

(1.21)

$$\bar{\psi}_{i} = (\psi_j^\dagger \eta^0, \psi_j^\dagger \psi^\dagger, \psi^T) \text{ stands for } \bar{\psi}_i \psi^j, \psi^T \text{ denotes the transposition of 2-d spinor and } \psi^j \text{ are related to the original (2-d scalar) fermionic variables } \theta^j \text{ and } \eta^j \text{ by}$$

$$\psi^j = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^j \\ \psi_2^j \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_1^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\theta^j - i (C^{-1})^{ij} \eta_j], \quad \psi_2^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\theta^j + i (C^{-1})^{ij} \eta_j].$$  

(1.22)

---

12 Note that the standard conformal gauge $\sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1)$ leads to inconsistency for generic $\phi$ if one insists on the simplest $f = \sigma$ choice. Consistency for generic $\phi$ is achieved only if $f$ (and $x^+$) are nontrivial. But then the structure of the resulting action is complicated.

13 In our notation $i \bar{\psi} g^m \nabla_m \psi = -i \psi_1^j (\nabla_0 - \nabla_1) \psi_1 - i \psi_2^j (\nabla_0 + \nabla_1) \psi_2, \quad \nabla_m = e^m_\mu \partial_\mu$.  
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The quartic interaction term (1.10) takes the following form

\[ e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_F^{(4)} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ (\bar{\psi}_i \gamma^{A_i} j \phi^i \psi^j)^2 - (\bar{\psi}_i \gamma^0 \psi^j)^2 \right]. \] (1.23)

The total action is thus a kind of $G/H$ bosonic sigma model coupled to a Thirring-type 2-d fermionic model in curved 2-d geometry (1.19) (determined by the profile of the radial function of the $AdS$ space), and coupled to some 2-d vector fields. The interactions are such that they ensure the quantum 2-d conformal invariance of the total model [2].

Properties of the resulting action and whether it can be put into simpler and useful form remain to be studied. It is clear of course that the action has a rather complicated structure and is not solvable in terms of free fields in any obvious way. A hope is that the light-cone form of the action we have found (or its first order phase space analog) may suggest a choice of more adequate variables which may allow further progress.

We finish this discussion with few remarks:

(i) The mass term $\bar{\psi} \psi \partial_1 \phi$ in (1.24) is similar to the one in [3] (where the background string configuration was non-constant only in the radial $\phi$ direction) and has its origin in the $\epsilon^{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{2\rho} \partial_\mu x^+ \partial_\nu \phi \eta^j C_{ij}^k \theta^k$ term appearing after $\eta \leftrightarrow \theta$ symmetrization of the $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}$ term in (1.6) (its ‘non-covariance’ is thus a consequence of the choice $x^+ = \tau$).

(ii) The action is symmetric under shifting $\psi^i \to \psi^i + \phi \epsilon^i$, where $\epsilon^i$ is the 2-d Killing spinor. This symmetry reflects the fact that our original action is symmetric under shifting $\theta^i$ by a Killing spinor on $S^5$.

(iii) The 2-d Lorentz invariance is preserved by the fermionic light-cone gauge (original GS fermions $\theta$ are 2-d scalars) but is broken by our special choice of the bosonic gauge (1.17). The special form of $g_{\mu\nu}$ in (1.17) implies “non-covariant” dependence on $\phi$ in the bosonic part of the action: the action (1.3) for the 3 fields $\phi, x, \bar{x}$ and the 5-sphere coordinates $y^A$ has the form

\[ \mathcal{L}_B = \partial_\mu x^i \partial_\mu x^i + \frac{1}{2} e^{2\phi} \partial_0 \phi \partial_0 \phi - \frac{1}{2} e^{2\phi} \partial_1 \phi \partial_1 \phi + \frac{1}{2} G_{AB}(y) \left( e^{-2\phi} \partial_0 y^A \partial_0 y^B - e^{2\phi} \partial_1 y^A \partial_1 y^B \right), \] (1.24)

where $G_{AB}$ is the metric of 5-sphere [13]. A peculiarity of the $g_{\mu\nu}$ gauge choice in (1.17) compared to the usual conformal gauge is that here the $S^5$ part of the action is no longer decoupled from the radial $AdS_5$ direction $\phi$.

(iv) The form of the quadratic fermionic part of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action expanded near a straight long string configuration along $\phi$ direction of $AdS_5$ was discussed in [8] using the ‘covariant’ $\kappa$-symmetry gauge condition $\theta^1 = \theta^2$ (equivalent result was found also in the $\theta^1 = i \gamma_4 \theta^2$ gauge used in [14, 8]). It is easy to show that an equivalent fermionic action is found also in the present light-cone $\kappa$-symmetry gauge. Expanding near the configuration $x^0 = \tau, \phi = \sigma, x = 0, y = 0$ (it is easy to check that this is a classical string solution) and choosing the bosonic gauge so that the 2d metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is equal to the induced ($AdS_2$) metric $ds^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (-d\tau^2 + d\sigma^2)$ we find that the corresponding

\[ ds^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (-d\tau^2 + d\sigma^2) \]

\[ e^{-1} \mathcal{L}_F^{(4)} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ (\bar{\psi}_i \gamma^{A_i} j \phi^i \psi^j)^2 - (\bar{\psi}_i \gamma^0 \psi^j)^2 \right]. \]
function $f$ in (1.13) is then equal to $\sigma^{-2}$. The quadratic part of the fermion action (1.20) becomes (we redefine the $\eta$ fermions by the constant unitary matrix $C'$ in (1.18))

$$\int d\tau d\sigma \sigma^{-2}(\theta \partial_0 \theta + \eta \partial_0 \eta - \eta \partial_1 \theta) .$$

(1.25)

Rescaling the fields $\theta = \sigma \theta'$, $\eta = \sigma \eta'$ (so that they have $\sigma$-independent normalization, $\int d\tau d\sigma \sqrt{g} \theta \theta = \int d\tau d\sigma \sigma \theta' \theta'$) and integrating by parts we find

$$\int d\tau d\sigma (\theta' \partial_0 \theta' + \eta' \partial_0 \eta' - \eta' \partial_1 \theta' - \sigma^{-1} \eta' \theta') .$$

(1.26)

The first three terms here are as in the flat GS action, while the last term represents the $AdS_2$ fermion mass term which is the same as found in [8]. Indeed, diagonalizing the action as in (1.22) we get

$$\int d\tau d\sigma (\psi_+ \partial_+ \psi_+ + \psi_- \partial_- \psi_- - \sigma^{-1} \psi_+ \psi_-) ,$$

(1.27)

which is the special case of the general form of the quadratic action (1.20) with $\partial_\sigma \phi$ in the mass term computed for $\phi = \ln \sigma$.

1.6 Contents of the rest of the paper

The rest of the paper contains derivation of the action (1.2) and related explanations and technical details.

In Section 2 we start with the case of the flat space GS action and illustrate on this simplest example the procedure of light-cone gauge fixing we shall use in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ case. We present a particular light-cone form of the GS action to which our $AdS_5 \times S^5$ light-cone gauge fixed action will reduce in the flat space limit.

In section 3 we discuss the basic superalgebra $psu(2,2|4)$ and write down its (anti)commutation relations in the light-cone basis, corresponding to the light-cone decomposition (cf. (1.1)) of the $so(4,2)$ generators [8].

In section 4 we adapt the original $AdS_5 \times S^5$ GS action of [2] to the case of the light-cone basis of $psu(2,2|4)$. The resulting $\kappa$-symmetric action is written entirely in terms of Cartan 1-forms corresponding to the light-cone basis and in an arbitrary (e.g., Wess-Zumino or Killing) parametrization of the supercoset space.

In section 5 we fix the light-cone $\kappa$-symmetry gauge and find the corresponding Cartan 1-forms. These light-cone gauge 1-forms are given in the Killing parametrization of the original superspace.

In section 6 we find the fermionic light-cone gauge fixed form of the action of Section 4. We present the action in the Killing parametrization, discuss some of its properties, and also transform it into the “4+6” manifestly $SU(4)$ invariant form (see (1.13), (1.14) and (1.22), (1.23)). We then explain the transformation of the action into the Wess-Zumino parametrization form which was presented above in (1.2), (1.6), (1.10). We also

---

15We shall use the following terminology: “light cone basis” (or “light cone frame”) will refer to the decomposition of superalgebra generators, while the “light cone gauge” will refer to the choice of the $\kappa$-symmetry gauge.
mention that our results for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ case can be easily generalized to the $AdS_3 \times S^3$

In Appendix A we discuss the relations between the $so(4, 1) \oplus so(5)$ (or ‘5+5’) basis of the $psu(2, 2|4)$ superalgebra used in [2] in the construction of the GS action in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and the more familiar $so(3, 1) \oplus su(4)$ $\simeq sl(2, C) \oplus su(4)$ (or ‘4+6’) basis (naturally appearing in the discussion of $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 4$ superconformal symmetry of SYM theory). We use the later basis to identify the generators of the algebra in the light-cone (or $so(1, 1) \oplus u(1) \oplus so(2) \oplus su(4)$ (or ‘4+6’) basis (naturally appearing in the discussion of $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 4$ superconformal symmetry of SYM theory). We use the later basis to identify the generators of the algebra in the light-cone (or $so(1, 1) \oplus u(1) \oplus so(2) \oplus su(4)$ (or ‘4+6’) basis (naturally appearing in the discussion of $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 4$ superconformal symmetry of SYM theory). We use the later basis to identify the generators of the algebra in the light-cone (or $so(1, 1) \oplus u(1) \oplus so(2) \oplus su(4)$ (or ‘4+6’) basis (naturally appearing in the discussion of $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 4$ superconformal symmetry of SYM theory).

In Appendix B we explain the transformation of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string action from its original form in the $so(4, 1) \oplus so(5)$ basis [2] to the $so(3, 1) \oplus su(4)$ basis and then to the light-cone basis. We also discuss some details of derivation of the light-cone gauge fixed action given in Section 6.

In Appendix C we present another version of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action using the “$S$-gauge” to fix the $\kappa$-symmetry ($S$ refers to the conformal supersymmetry generator). In this gauge all of the superconformal $\eta$-fermions are gauged away.

## 2 Light cone $\kappa$-symmetry gauge fixing in flat space

It is useful first to discuss the case of light-cone gauge fixing in the standard flat space GS action. This allows to explain in the simplest setting the procedure of light-cone gauge fixing we are going to follow in the case of $AdS_5 \times S^5$. In particular, we shall discuss the split of supercoordinates which is closely related to the one we will use in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ case, and obtain the form of the GS action to which our $AdS_5 \times S^5$ light-cone action will reduce in the flat space limit.

We start with the flat GS action [1] in the form

$$I_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M_5} d^2 \sigma \sqrt{g} g^{\mu \nu} L^A_{\mu} \dot{L}^A_{\nu} + i \int_{M_5} s^{IJ} L^A \wedge (\bar{L}^I \Gamma^A \wedge L^J),$$

(2.1)

where $s^{IJ} \equiv \text{diag}(1, -1)$ ($I, J = 1, 2$) and $2\pi \alpha' = 1$. The 2-d metric $g_{\mu \nu}$ ($\mu, \nu = 0, 1$) has signature $(-+, +)$, and $g \equiv -\text{det}g_{\mu \nu}$.

The left-invariant Cartan 1-forms are defined on the type IIB coset superspace defined as $[10\text{-d super Poincare group}]/[SO(9, 1) \text{ Lorentz group}]$

$$G^{-1} dG = L^A P_A + L^I Q_I,$$

$$L^A = dX^M L^A_M,$$

$$X^M = (x, \theta),$$

(2.2)

where $G = G(x, \theta)$ is an appropriate coset representative. A specific choice of $G(x, \theta)$ commonly used is

$$G(x, \theta) = \exp(x^A P_A + \theta^I Q_I), \quad [P_A, P_B] = 0, \quad \{Q_I, Q_J\} = -2i \delta_{IJ} (\bar{C} \Gamma^A) P_A,$$

(2.3)

We label the basis by the symmetry algebras under which supercoordinates are transforming in a linear way.
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and thus the coset space vielbeins defined by (2.2) are given by
\[ L^{\hat{A}} = dx^{\hat{A}} - i\bar{\theta}^{I}\Gamma^{\hat{A}}d\theta^{I}, \quad L^{I} = d\theta^{I}. \] (2.4)

\( \theta^{I} \) are two left Majorana-Weyl 10-d spinors. The explicit 2-d form of the GS action \[ I_{0} = \int d^{2}\sigma \mathcal{L}_{0} = \int d^{2}\sigma \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_{\mu}x^{\hat{A}} - i\bar{\theta}^{I}\Gamma^{\hat{A}}\partial_{\mu}\theta^{I})(\partial_{\nu}x^{\hat{A}} - i\bar{\theta}^{J}\Gamma^{\hat{A}}\partial_{\nu}\theta^{J}) \right. \\
\left. - i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}s^{IJ}\bar{\theta}^{I}\Gamma^{\hat{A}}\partial_{\mu}\theta^{J}(\partial_{\nu}x^{\hat{A}} - i\frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}^{K}\Gamma^{\hat{A}}\partial_{\nu}\theta^{K}) \right]. \] (2.5)

One usually imposes the \( \kappa \)-symmetry light-cone gauge by starting with the component form of action given by (2.5). It turns out to be cumbersome to generalize this procedure to the case of strings in \( AdS_{5} \times S^{5} \). It is more convenient to first impose the light-cone gauge at the level of the Cartan forms \( L^{\hat{A}}, L^{I} \) and then use them in the action taken in its general form (2.1). The light-cone gauge form of \( L^{\hat{A}} \) is
\[ L^{+} = dx^{+}, \quad L^{-} = dx^{-} - i\bar{\theta}^{I}\Gamma^{-}d\theta^{I}, \quad L^{N} = dx^{N}, \quad N = 1, \ldots, 8, \] (2.6)

where \( \theta^{I} \) are subject to the light-cone gauge condition \( \Gamma^{+}\theta^{I} = 0. \)

Inserting these expressions into action (2.1) we get
\[ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} + \mathcal{L}_{WZ}, \] (2.7)
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = \sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_{\mu}x^{+}\partial_{\nu}x^{-} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}x^{N}\partial_{\nu}x^{N} + i\partial_{\mu}x^{+}\bar{\theta}^{I}\Gamma^{-}\partial_{\nu}\theta^{I}), \] (2.8)
\[ \mathcal{L}_{WZ} = -i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}s^{IJ}\partial_{\mu}x^{+}\bar{\theta}^{I}\Gamma^{-}\partial_{\nu}\theta^{J}. \] (2.9)

Next, let us do the “5 + 5” splitting of the 10-d Clifford algebra generators, the charge conjugation matrix \( C \) and the supercoordinates
\[ \Gamma^{A} = \gamma^{A} \times I \times \sigma_{1}, \quad \Gamma^{A'} = I \times \gamma^{A'} \times \sigma_{2}, \quad C = C \times C' \times i\sigma_{2}, \quad \theta^{I} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \theta^{I}_{\alpha i} \\
0 \end{array} \right), \] (2.10)

where \( I \) is 4 \( \times \) 4 unit matrix, \( \sigma_{\alpha} \) are Pauli matrices, \( \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) and \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). Let us also introduce the complex coordinates
\[ \theta^{a} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta^{I} + i\theta^{J}), \] (2.11)

and use the parametrization
\[ \theta^{q}_{ai} = \frac{v}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \eta^{i} \\
\eta^{+i} \\
i\theta^{+i} \\
i\theta^{-i} \end{array} \right), \quad v \equiv 2^{1/4}. \] (2.12)

\(^{17}\)The transverse bosonic Cartan forms \( L^{N} \) in (2.6) should not be confused with fermionic ones \( L^{I} \).
Decompositions of $so(4,1)$ $\gamma$-matrices we use may be found in Appendix A (see (A.18)).

The light-cone gauge

$$\Gamma^{+}\theta^j = 0, \quad \Gamma^{\pm} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Gamma^3 \pm \Gamma^0),$$

(2.13)

leads to

$$\theta^{+i} = \eta^{+i} = 0.$$  

(2.14)

Changing sign $x^{\hat{A}} \rightarrow -x^{\hat{A}}$, using the notation

$$\theta^i \equiv \theta^{-i}, \quad \eta^i \equiv \eta^{-i}, \quad \theta_i = (\theta^i)^\dagger, \quad \eta_i = (\eta^i)^\dagger,$$

(2.15)

and inserting the above decomposition into the action (2.7) we finally get the following expressions for the kinetic and WZ parts of the light-cone gauge flat space GS Lagrangian

$$L_{\text{kin}} = \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \left[-\partial_\mu x^+ \partial_\nu x^- - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu x^N \partial_\nu x^N - \partial_\mu x^+ \left(\frac{i}{2} \theta_j \partial_\nu \theta^j + \frac{i}{2} \eta_j \partial_\nu \eta^j + \text{h.c.}\right)\right],$$

(2.16)

$$L_{\text{WZ}} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu x^+ \eta^i C'_{ij} \partial_\nu \theta^j + \text{h.c.}$$

(2.17)

It is to this form of the flat GS action that our light-cone $AdS_5 \times S^5$ action will reduce in the flat space limit. A characteristic feature of this parametrization is that while the kinetic term is diagonal in $\theta$'s and $\eta$'s, they are mixed in the WZ term.

3 \hspace{1cm} \text{psu}(2,2|4) superalgebra in the light cone basis

The superalgebra $\text{psu}(2,2|4)$ which is the algebra of isometry transformations of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superspace plays the central role in the construction of the GS action in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ \cite{2}. In this section we shall present the form of this algebra which will be used in the present paper. The even part of $\text{psu}(2,2|4)$ is the sum of the algebra $so(4,2)$ which is the isometry algebra of $AdS_5$ and the algebra $so(6)$ which is the isometry algebra of $S^5$. The odd part consists of 32 supercharges corresponding to 32 Killing spinors in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ vacuum \cite{38} of type IIB supergravity (see \cite{39, 40, 41}; for recent developments in representation theory see \cite{42}).

We shall use the form of the basis of $so(4,2)$ sub-algebra implied by its interpretation as conformal algebra in 4 dimensions. The generators are then called translations $P^a$, conformal boosts $K^a$, dilatation $D$ and Lorentz rotations $J^{ab}$ and satisfy the standard commutation relations

$$[P^a, J^{bc}] = \eta^{ab} P^c - \eta^{ac} P^b, \quad [K^a, J^{bc}] = \eta^{ab} K^c - \eta^{ac} K^b, \quad [P^a, K^b] = \eta^{ab} D - J^{ab},$$

(3.1)

$$[D, P^a] = -P^a, \quad [D, K^a] = K^a, \quad [J^{ab}, J^{cd}] = \eta^{bc} J^{ad} + 3 \text{ terms},$$

(3.2)

where $\eta^{ab} = (-, +, +, +)$ and $a, b, c, d = 0, 1, 2, 3$. In the light cone basis \cite{11} we have the following generators:

$$J^{++}, \quad J^{+x}, \quad J^{+\bar{x}}, \quad J^{x\bar{x}}, \quad P^+, \quad P^x, \quad P^{\bar{x}}, \quad K^+, \quad K^x, \quad K^{\bar{x}}.$$

(3.3)

To simplify the notation we shall set

$$P \equiv P^x, \quad \bar{P} = P^{\bar{x}}, \quad K \equiv K^x, \quad \bar{K} = K^{\bar{x}}.$$  

(3.4)
The light cone form of \( so(4,2) \) algebra commutation relations can be obtained from (3.4) using that the light cone metric has the following elements \( \eta^{+-} = \eta^{-+} = 1, \eta^{xx} = \eta^{yy} = 1 \). In this paper the \( so(6) \) algebra will be interpreted as \( su(4) \) one \((i,j,k,l = 1,2,3,4)\)

\[
[J^i_j, J^k_n] = \delta^k_j J^i_n - \delta^i_n J^k_j .
\] (3.5)

To describe the odd part of \( psu(2,2|4) \) superalgebra we introduce 32 supercharges \( Q^\pm, Q^\pm_i, S^\pm, S^\pm_i \). They carry the \( D, J^{+-} \) and \( J^{xx} \) charges, as follows from the structure of the algebra. The commutation relations of the supercharges with the dilatation \( D \)

\[
[D, Q^\pm] = -\frac{1}{2} Q^\pm , \quad [D, Q^\pm_i] = -\frac{1}{2} Q^\pm_i , \quad [D, S^\pm] = \frac{1}{2} S^\pm , \quad [D, S^\pm_i] = \frac{1}{2} S^\pm_i ,
\] (3.6)

allow to interpret \( Q \)'s as the standard supercharges of the super Poincaré subalgebra and \( S \)'s as the conformal supercharges. The supercharges with superscript \(+\) (\(-\)) have positive (negative) \( J^{+-} \) charge

\[
[J^{+-}, Q^\pm] = \pm \frac{1}{2} Q^\pm , \quad [J^{+-}, Q^\pm_i] = \pm \frac{1}{2} Q^\pm_i ,
\]

\[
[J^{+-}, S^\pm] = \pm \frac{1}{2} S^\pm , \quad [J^{+-}, S^\pm_i] = \pm \frac{1}{2} S^\pm_i .
\]

The \( J^{xx} \) charges are fixed by the commutation relations

\[
[J^{xx}, Q^\pm] = \pm \frac{1}{2} Q^\pm , \quad [J^{xx}, Q^\pm_i] = \mp \frac{1}{2} Q^\pm_i ,
\] (3.7)

\[
[J^{xx}, S^\pm] = \pm \frac{1}{2} S^\pm , \quad [J^{xx}, S^\pm_i] = \mp \frac{1}{2} S^\pm_i .
\] (3.8)

The transformation properties of the \( Q \) supercharges with respect to \( su(4) \) subalgebra are determined by

\[
[Q^\pm_i, J^j_k] = \delta^j_k Q^\pm_i - \frac{1}{4} \delta^j_k Q^\pm_i , \quad [Q^\pm_i, J^j_k] = -\delta^j_k Q^\pm_i + \frac{1}{4} \delta^j_k Q^\pm_i ,
\]

with the same relations for the \( S \) supercharges. Anticommutation relations between the supercharges are

\[
\{Q^\pm_i, Q^\pm_j\} = \mp i P^\pm \delta^i_j , \quad \{Q^\pm_i, Q^\pm_j\} = -i P \delta^i_j ,
\] (3.9)

\[
\{S^\pm_i, S^\pm_j\} = \pm i K^\pm \delta^i_j , \quad \{S^\pm_i, S^\pm_j\} = i K \delta^i_j ,
\] (3.10)

\[
\{Q^\pm_i, S^\pm_j\} = -J^{+x} \delta^i_j , \quad \{Q^\pm_i, S^\pm_j\} = -J^{+x} \delta^i_j ,
\]

\[
\{Q^\pm_i, S^\pm_j\} = \frac{1}{2} (J^{+-} + J^{xx}) \delta^i_j \mp J^i_j .
\]

The remaining commutation relations between odd and even generators have the following form

\[
[Q^{-i}, J^{+x}] = -Q^{-i} , \quad [S^{-i}, J^{+x}] = -S^{-i} , \quad [Q^{+i}, J^{-x}] = Q^{-i} , \quad [S^{+i}, J^{-x}] = S^{-i} ,
\]
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\[ [S_+^\pm, P^\pm] = iQ_i^\pm, \quad [S_-^i, P] = iQ_i^-, \quad [S_+^i, \bar{P}] = -iQ_i^+, \]
\[ [Q_+^i, K^\pm] = -iS_+^{\pm i}, \quad [Q_-^i, K] = -iS_-^i, \quad [Q_+^i, \bar{K}] = iS_+^{\pm i}. \]

The generators are subject to the following hermitean conjugation conditions
\[ (P^\pm)^\dagger = -P^\pm, \quad P^\dagger = -\bar{P}, \quad (K^\pm)^\dagger = -K^\pm, \quad K^\dagger = -\bar{K}, \]
\[ (J^{\pm x})^\dagger = -J^{\mp x}, \quad (J^{++})^\dagger = -J^{-+}, \quad (J^{\mp x})^\dagger = J^{\pm x}, \quad D^\dagger = -D, \quad (J_i^j)^\dagger = J_i^{j^\dagger}. \]
\[ (Q^{\pm i})^\dagger = Q_i^{\pm}, \quad (S^{\pm i})^\dagger = S_i^{\pm}, \quad (3.11) \]

All the remaining nontrivial (anti)commutation relations of \( \text{psu}(2, 2|4) \) superalgebra may be obtained by using these hermitean conjugation rules and the (anti)commutation relations given above.

### 4 Light cone basis form of \( \text{AdS}_5 \times S^5 \) string action

Superstring action in \( \text{AdS}_5 \times S^5 \) [2] has the same structure as the flat space GS action (2.1)
\[ I = \int_{\partial M_5} \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} + \int_{M_5} i\mathcal{H}. \quad (4.1) \]

In [4] the Cartan forms in terms of which the action is written were given in the \( so(4, 1) \oplus so(5) \) basis of \( \text{psu}(2, 2|4) \). This is the basis that allows to present the \( \text{AdS}_5 \times S^5 \) GS action in the form similar to the one in the flat space. Our present goal is to rewrite the action in the light-cone basis discussed in the previous section and then (in the next Section) to impose a \( \kappa \)-symmetry light-cone gauge. We shall use the conformal algebra and light-cone frame notation.

The Cartan 1-forms in the light-cone basis are defined by
\[ G^{-1} dG = L_\mu^a P^a + L_\mu^a K^a + L_D D + \frac{1}{2} L_{ab} J_{ab} + L_i^j J_i^j, \]
\[ + L_{-i}^a Q_i^a + L_{-i}^a Q_i^a + L_{+i}^a Q_i^a + L_{+i}^a Q_i^a \]
\[ + L_{-i}^a S_i^a + L_{-i}^a S_i^a + L_{+i}^a S_i^a + L_{+i}^a S_i^a, \quad (4.2) \]

where \( G \) is a coset representative in \( PSU(2, 2|4) \). Let us define also the following combinations
\[ \hat{L}_i^a \equiv L_\mu^a - \frac{1}{2} L_{-i}^a, \quad \hat{L}^a \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^A)^i_j L_i^j, \quad \gamma^A \equiv C_{ik}^L L_{-i}^k. \quad (4.3) \]

Then the kinetic term in (4.1) takes the form
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \left( \hat{L}_\mu^a \hat{L}_\nu^a + L_{D\mu} L_{D\nu} + L_{\mu}^A L_{\nu}^A \right), \quad (4.4) \]

while the 3-form \( \mathcal{H} \) in the WZ term can be written as (we suppress the signs of exterior products of 1-forms)
\[ \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{AdS}_5} + \mathcal{H}_{S^5} - h.c., \quad (4.5) \]
\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{AdS}_5} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{L}_s L^{-i} C'_{ij} L^{-j} + \hat{L}^{-i} L_s' C'_{ij} L^{+j} + \hat{L}^{x} L_s^{-i} C'_{ij} L^{+j} + \hat{L}^{x} L_s'^{i} C'_{ij} L^{-j}) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} L_D (\frac{1}{2} L_s^{+i} C'_{ij} L^{-j} + L_q^{-i} C'_{ij} L^{+j}) , \]
\[ \mathcal{H}_S^{5} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} [L_s^{+i}(C' L)_{ij} L^{-j} - L_s^{-i}(C' L)_{ij} L^{+j}] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [L_q^{+i}(C' L)_{ij} L^{-j} - L_q^{-i}(C' L)_{ij} L^{+j}] \]

Derivation of these expressions from the original ones given in [2] may be found in Appendix B.

5 Coordinate parametrization of Cartan forms and fixing the light-cone \( \kappa \)-symmetry gauge

To represent the Cartan 1-forms in terms of the even and odd coordinate fields we shall start with the following supercoset representative (cf. (2.3))

\[ G = g_{x,\theta} g_\eta g_y g_\phi , \] (5.1)

where

\[ g_{x,\theta} = \exp(x^a P^a + \theta^{-i} Q^+_i + \theta^i Q^-_i + \theta^{i+} Q^{+i} + \theta_i^{+} Q^{-i}) , \] (5.2)

\[ g_\eta = \exp(\eta^{-i} S^+_i + \eta_i^{-} S^{+i} + \eta^{i+} S^{-i} + \eta_i^{+} S^{+i}) , \] (5.3)

and \( g_\phi \) and \( g_y \) depend on the radial \( AdS_5 \) coordinate \( \phi \) and \( S^5 \) coordinates \( y^{A'} \) respectively:

\[ g_\phi \equiv \exp(\phi D) , \] (5.4)

\[ g_y \equiv \exp(y^{j} J^i_{j}) , \quad y^{j} \equiv \frac{i}{2} (\gamma^{A'})^{j}_{i} y^{A'} . \] (5.5)

Choosing the parametrization of the coset representative in the form (5.1) corresponds to what is usually referred to as “Killing gauge” in superspace.

Since the supercharges transform in the fundamental representation of \( su(4) \) the corresponding fermionic coordinates \( \theta \)'s and \( \eta \)'s also transform in the fundamental representation of \( su(4) \).

The above expressions provide the definition of the Cartan forms in the light-cone basis. Let us further specify these expressions by setting to zero some of the fermionic coordinates which corresponds to fixing a particular \( \kappa \)-symmetry gauge. Namely, we shall fix the \( \kappa \)-symmetry by putting to zero all the Grassmann coordinates which carry positive \( J^{+-} \) charge (cf. (2.14)):

\[ \theta^{+i} = \theta_i^{+} = \eta^{+i} = \eta_i^{+} = 0 . \] (5.6)

To simplify the notation we shall set in what follows

\[ \theta^i \equiv \theta^{-i} , \quad \theta_i \equiv \theta_i^{-} , \quad \eta^i \equiv \eta^{-i} , \quad \eta_i \equiv \eta_i^{-} . \] (5.7)
As a result, the \( \kappa \)-symmetry fixed form of the coset representative (5.1) is
\[
G_{g.f.} = (g_{x,y})_{g.f.} \eta_{g.f.} g \phi, 
\]
\[
(5.8)
\]
\[
(g_{x,y})_{g.f.} = \exp(x^a P^a + \theta^i Q_i^+ + \theta_i Q^{*i}), 
\]
\[
(5.9)
\]
\[
(\eta_{g.f.}) = \exp(\eta^i S_i^+ + \eta_i S^{*i}). 
\]
\[
(5.10)
\]
Plugging this \( G_{g.f.} \) into (4.2) we get the \( \kappa \)-symmetry gauge fixed expressions for the Cartan 1-forms
\[
L^+_p = e^\phi dx^+, \quad L^-_p = e^\phi (dx^- - \frac{i}{2} \tilde{\theta}^i d\theta_i - \frac{i}{2} \tilde{\theta}_i d\tilde{\theta}^i), 
\]
\[
L^+_p = e^\phi dx, \quad L^-_p = e^\phi d\bar{x}, 
\]
\[
L^-_p = e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}}(\frac{1}{4} (\tilde{\eta}^2)^2 dx^+ + \frac{i}{4} \tilde{\eta}^i d\eta_i + \frac{i}{2} \tilde{\eta}_i d\tilde{\eta}^i), 
\]
\[
L^+_p = d\phi, 
\]
\[
L^+_j = (dUU^{-1})^i j + i(\tilde{\eta}^i \tilde{\eta}_j - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\eta}^2 \delta^i_j) dx^+, 
\]
\[
(5.11)
\]
\[
(5.12)
\]
\[
(5.13)
\]
\[
(5.14)
\]
\[
(5.15)
\]
\[
(5.16)
\]
\[
(5.17)
\]
\[
(5.18)
\]
where \( \tilde{\eta}^2 \equiv \tilde{\eta}^i \tilde{\eta}_i \). All the remaining forms are equal to zero. We have introduced the notation
\[
\tilde{\theta}^i \equiv U^i_j \theta^j, \quad \tilde{\theta}_i \equiv \theta_j (U^{-1})^j_i, 
\]
\[
(5.19)
\]
\[
\tilde{\theta}^i \equiv U^i_j \theta^j, \quad \tilde{\theta}_i \equiv d\theta_j (U^{-1})^j_i, 
\]
\[
(5.20)
\]
and similar ones for \( \eta \). Note that \( \tilde{\theta}^2 = \theta^2 \) and \( \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\theta} = \theta \tilde{\theta} \). The matrix \( U \in SU(4) \) is defined in terms of the \( S^5 \) coordinates \( y^i_j \) or \( y^{A^i} \) by (1.4),(1.1). It can be written explicitly as
\[
U = \cos \frac{|y|}{2} + i \gamma^{A^i} n^{A^i} \sin \frac{|y|}{2}, \quad |y| \equiv \sqrt{y^{A^i} y^{A^i}}, \quad n^{A^i} \equiv \frac{y^{A^i}}{|y|}. 
\]
\[
(5.21)
\]
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Plugging the above expressions (5.11)–(5.18) into the action (1.1) we get the following result for the light-cone gauge fixed superstring Lagrangian in terms of the light cone supercoset coordinates
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \left( -e^{2\phi} \left( \partial_{\mu} x^+ \partial_{\nu} x^- + \partial_{\mu} x_0 \partial_{\nu} x_0 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_\mu^A \epsilon_\nu^A' \right) + \partial_{\mu} x^+ \left[ \frac{i}{2} e^{2\phi} (\theta^i \partial_{\nu} \theta_i + \theta_i \partial_{\nu} \theta^i) + i \left( \eta^i \partial_{\nu} \eta_i + \eta_i \partial_{\nu} \eta^i \right) \right] + \frac{1}{8} \partial_{\mu} x^+ \partial_{\nu} x^+[ (\eta^2)^2 - (\bar{\eta}_i (\gamma^A_j)^i \bar{\eta}^j)^2 ] \right) , \]

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}} = -\frac{e^{\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{\mu} x^+ \bar{\eta}^i C_{ij} (\bar{\eta}_j \partial_{\nu} \theta^i + i \bar{\eta}^j \partial_{\nu} x^0) + \text{h.c.} \]  

(6.1)  

(6.2)

The kinetic terms are obtained in a straightforward way. Details of derivation of the WZ part are given in Appendix B.

Few remarks are in order.

(i) In the flat space limit this action (after an appropriate rescaling of fermionic variables given in (6.3)) reduces to the GS lightcone \( \kappa \)-symmetry gauge fixed action represented in the form (2.16), (2.17). In the particle theory limit \( \alpha' \to 0 \) (corresponding to keeping only the \( \tau \) dependence of the fields and omitting the WZ term) this action reduces (after an appropriate bosonic light-cone gauge fixing and rescaling of some fermionic variables) to the light-cone action of a superparticle propagating in \( \text{AdS}_5 \times S^5 \) [18, 19].

(ii) The kinetic terms for the fermionic coordinates have manifest linear \( su(4) \) invariance. In the remaining terms this symmetry is not manifest and is not realized linearly.

(iii) Since the WZ term depends on \( \theta \) through its derivative, it is invariant under a shift of \( \theta \). To maintain this invariance in the kinetic terms the shifting of \( \theta \) should be supplemented, as usual, by an appropriate transformation of \( x^- \). The action is invariant under shifts of the bosonic coordinates \( x^a \) along the boundary directions.

(iv) As in the superparticle case [18, 19] this action is quadratic in half of the fermionic coordinates (\( \theta \)) but of higher order (quartic) in the another half (\( \eta \)). It was the desire to split the fermionic variables in such \( \theta \)'s and \( \eta \)'s that motivated our choice of the supercoset parametrization in (5.8).

(v) The action contains the terms like \( (\eta^2)^2 \) and \( \eta_i e^i_j \eta^j \) which in the superparticle case played important role [18] in establishing the AdS/CFT correspondence. These terms should also play a similar important role in formulating the AdS/CFT correspondence at the string theory level.

The fermionic variables \( \theta \) and \( \eta \) as defined above in (5.1) have opposite conformal dimensions. It is convenient, however, to use the variables with the same conformal dimensions [18]. To achieve this we rescale \( \eta \) as follows

\[ \eta^i \to \sqrt{2} e^{\phi} \eta^i , \quad \eta_i \to \sqrt{2} e^{\phi} \eta_i . \]  

(6.3)

\[ ^{18}\text{Ref. [18] found the Hamiltonian for the superparticle in } \text{AdS}_5 \times S^5 \text{ (see eq. (12) there). The action is obtained from the Hamiltonian in the usual way.} \]

\[ ^{19}\text{The light-cone formulation of the superparticle in } \text{AdS}_5 \times S^5 \]  

[18, 19] used similar Grassmann variables with the same conformal dimensions
To get convenient sign in front of kinetic terms of fermions we change sign \( x^a \rightarrow -x^a \). Then the Lagrangian (6.1), (6.2) may be written as follows

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \left[ -e^{2\phi}(\partial_\mu x^+ \partial_\nu x^+ + \partial_\mu x \partial_\nu x) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{2} G_{AB}(y) D_\mu y^A D_\nu y^B \right]
\]

- \( \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} e^{2\phi} \partial_\mu x^+ \left[ \psi^i \partial_\nu \psi_i + \theta^i \partial_\nu \theta_i + \eta^i \partial_\nu \eta_i + \eta_i \partial_\nu \eta^i + i e^{2\phi} \partial_\nu x^+ (\eta^2)^2 \right], \quad (6.4)

\[
\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = e^{\mu\nu} e^{2\phi} \partial_\mu x^+ \eta^i C^U_{ij} (\partial_\nu \theta^j - i \sqrt{2} e^{2\phi} \eta^j \partial_\nu x) + \text{h.c.} \quad (6.5)
\]

Here \( G_{AB} \) is the metric of 5-sphere.\(^{20}\) The matrix \( C^U_{ij} \) and the differential \( D_\mu y^A \) are defined by

\[
D_\mu y^A = \partial_\mu y^A - 2i \eta_i (V^A)^i_j \eta^j e^{2\phi} \partial_\mu x^+ , \quad (6.6)
\]

\[
C^U_{ij} \equiv U^k_i C^U_{kj} , \quad C^U_{ij} = C^U_{ij} \cos |y| + i (C' \gamma^A)_{ij} n^A \sin |y| , \quad (6.7)
\]

where \((V^A)^i_j \) are the components of the Killing vectors \((V^A)^i_j \partial_{y^A} \) of \( S^5 \) (\( \partial_{y^A} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} \)).

Note that \( x^+ \) enters the action only through the combination \( e^{2\phi} \partial_\mu x^+ \). An attractive feature of this representation is that the terms in (6.1) involving \( \tilde{\eta} \) are collected in the second term in the derivative (6.6) and thus have a natural geometrical interpretation, multiplying the Killing vectors.

The Killing vectors \((V^A)^i_j \partial_{y^A} \) satisfy the \( so(6) \simeq su(4) \) commutation relations (3.5) and may be written as

\[
(V^A)^i_j \partial_{y^A} = \frac{1}{4} (\gamma^{A'B'})^i_j V^{A'B'} + \frac{i}{2} (\gamma^A)^i_j V^A , \quad (6.8)
\]

where \( V^A' \) and \( V^{A'B'} \) correspond to the 5 translations and \( SO(5) \) rotations respectively and are given by (cf. (5.21))

\[
V^A = \left[ |y| \cot |y| (\tilde{\delta}^{A',A} - n^A' n^A) + n^A' n^A \right] \partial_{y^A} , \quad (6.9)
\]

\[
V^{A'B'} = y^{A'} \partial_{y^{A'}} - y^{B'} \partial_{y^{A'}} . \quad (6.10)
\]

Here \( \delta^{A',A} \) is Kronecker delta symbol and we use the conventions: \( y^A = \delta^A_A y^A, \ n^A = \delta^{A, A} n^A \), \( n^A = n_A \). In these coordinates the \( S^5 \) metric tensor has the form

\[
G_{AB} = e^A_A e^B_B , \quad e^A_A = \frac{\sin |y|}{|y|} (\delta^A_A - n_A n^A) + n_A n^A . \quad (6.11)
\]

Note that while deriving (6.4) we use the relation \((U^A \gamma^A U)_{ij} = -2i e^A_A (V^A)^i_j \).

The Lagrangian (6.4), (6.5) can be put into the manifestly \( SU(4) \) invariant form by changing the coordinates from \( \phi, y^A \) to the Cartesian coordinates \( Y^M \) (\( M = 1, ..., 6 \))

\[
Y^A = e^\phi \sin |y| n^A , \quad Y^6 = e^\phi \cos |y| , \quad Y^2 = Y^M Y^M = |Y|^2 = e^{2\phi} \quad (6.12)
\]

\(^{20}\)We introduced the coordinate \( S^5 \) indices \( A, B = 1, ..., 5 \) (to be distinguished from the tangent space indices \( A', B' \)) and set \( y^A = \delta^A_A y^A \).
In terms of the new coordinates the superstring Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} + \mathcal{L}_{WZ}$ takes then the following more transparent manifestly $SU(4)$ invariant form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = -\sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}[Y^2(\partial_{\mu}x^+\partial_{\nu}x^- + \partial_{\mu}x\partial_{\nu}\bar{x}) + \frac{1}{2Y^2}D_{\mu}Y^M D_{\nu}Y^M]$$

$$- \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}Y^2\partial_{\mu}x^+\left[\theta^i\partial_{\nu}\theta_i + \theta_i\partial_{\nu}\theta^i + \eta^j\partial_{\nu}\eta_i + \eta_i\partial_{\nu}\eta^j + iY^2\partial_{\nu}x^+(\eta^j)^2\right], \quad (6.13)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = e^{\mu\nu}|Y|\partial_\mu x^+\eta^j Y^M \rho_{ij}^M \left(\partial_\nu \theta^i - i\sqrt{2}|Y|\eta^j\partial_\nu x^+\right) + h.c., \quad (6.14)$$

where

$$DY^M = dY^M - 2i\eta_j (R^M)^i j \eta^j Y^2 dx^+ . \quad (6.15)$$

The $6$ matrices $\rho_{ij}^M$ are the $SO(6)$ $\gamma$ matrices in the chiral representation. The usual $SO(6)$ Dirac matrices can be expressed in terms of $\rho_{ij}^M$ as follows

$$\gamma^M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\rho^M)^{ij} \\ \rho_{ij}^M & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\rho^M)^{il} (\rho^M)_{lj} + (\rho^N)^{il} (\rho^M)_{lj} = 2\delta^M_N \delta^i_j, \quad \rho_{ij}^M = -\rho_{ji}^M, \quad (6.16)$$

where $(\rho^M)^{ij} = -(\rho_{ij}^M)^*$. In deriving (6.14) we used the following representation for $C'$ and $SO(5)$ $\gamma$ matrices in terms of the $\rho$ matrices

$$(\gamma^{M'})^i j = i(\rho^M)^{il} \rho_{lj}^6, \quad C'_{ij} = \rho_{ij}^6, \quad (6.17)$$

implying an interesting relation

$$e^{\phi}C'_{ij} = \rho_{ij}^6 Y^M . \quad (6.18)$$

The matrices $(R^M)^i j$ in the covariant derivative (6.15) are defined as follows ($M,N,K,L = 1,\ldots,6$)

$$(R^M)^i j = \frac{1}{4}(\rho^K)^{il} (R^M)^{kl}, \quad (6.19)$$

where

$$(R^M)^{KL} = Y^K \delta^{LM} - Y^L \delta^{KM}, \quad (\rho^K)^{il} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\rho^K)^{il} \rho_{lj}^6 -(K \leftrightarrow L). \quad (6.20)$$

Note that $(R^M)^i j \partial_{Y^M}$ satisfy the $so(6) \simeq su(4)$ commutation relations (3.3). In contrast to $V^A$ which are complicated functions of $y^A$ the matrices $R^M$ take simpler form.

Note that in terms of the $6$ Cartesian coordinates $Y^M$ the metric of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ takes the “4+6” form:

$$ds^2 = Y^2 dx^a dx_a + Y^{-2}dY^M dY^M .$$

Similar choice of the bosonic part of superstring coordinates was used, e.g., in [3, 15]. The advantage of the resulting action is a more transparent structure of the WZ term (6.14).

The above action (6.13), (6.14) can be transformed into the equivalent form corresponding to the choice of the conformally flat coordinates in $AdS_5 \times S^5$, i.e. ($Y^M \rightarrow \frac{Z^M}{2^\epsilon}$)

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{Z^2}(dx^a dx_a + dZ^M dZ^M) .$$
If we start again with (6.4), (6.3) and introduce (cf. (6.12))
\[ Z^A' = e^{-\phi} \sin |y| \ n^A', \quad Z^0 = e^{-\phi} \cos |y|, \quad Z^2 = Z^M Z^M = |Z|^2 = e^{-2\phi}, \] (6.21)
then we finish with (cf. (1.13), (1.14))
\[ \mathcal{L}_{kin} = -\sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} Z^{-2} \left[ \partial_{\mu} x^+ \partial_{\nu} x^- + \partial_{\mu} x^0 \partial_{\nu} x^0 + \frac{1}{2} D_{\mu} Z^M D_{\nu} Z^M \right] \]
- \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} Z^{-2} \partial_{\mu} x^+ \left[ \theta^i \partial_{\nu} \theta_i + \theta_i \partial_{\nu} \theta^i + h.c. \right], \quad (6.22)
\[ \mathcal{L}_{WZ} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu|Z|^{-3} \partial_{\mu} x^+ \eta^i \rho_{ij} \partial_{\nu} \eta^j \right], \quad (6.23) \]
where \( Z^{-2} \equiv (Z^2)^{-1} \) and (cf. (6.13), (6.14))
\[ D Z^M = dZ^M - 2i \eta_i (R^M)_i^j \eta^j Z^{-2} dx^+, \quad R^M = -\frac{1}{2} R^{ML} Z^L. \] (6.24)
All other notation are the same as above. One can obtain (6.22), (6.23) directly from (6.13), (6.14) by making the inversion \( Y^M \rightarrow Z^M/Z^2 \) and taking into account the relation \( R^M Z^M = 0. \)

In this Section we have discussed the light-cone action in the Killing parametrization of superspace. In order to get the light-cone gauge action in the Wess-Zumino parametrization one needs to make the following redefinitions in (6.1), (6.2) (cf. (5.19), (5.20))
\[ \theta^i \rightarrow (U^{-1})^j_i \theta^j, \quad \theta_i \rightarrow \theta^j_i U^j_i, \quad (6.25) \]
\[ \eta^j \rightarrow \sqrt{2} e^{\phi} (U^{-1})^j_i \eta^i, \quad \eta_i \rightarrow \sqrt{2} e^{\phi} \eta_j U^j_i. \] (6.26)
In addition we change sign of 4-d coordinates \( x^a \rightarrow -x^a \). The fermionic derivatives \( \partial_{\mu} \) will then get the generalized connection \( \Omega_{ij} = \partial_{\mu} U^- U^{-1} \) (1.8) contributions, i.e. become the covariant derivatives \( D_{\mu} \) (see (1.7)). The action in terms of these new variables was presented in (1.6), (1.10) in the Introduction.

Finally, let us note that our results for the \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \) space can be generalized to the case of \( AdS_3 \times S^3 \) in a rather straightforward way. To get the light-cone gauge action for this case one could use the \( \kappa \) invariant action of Ref. [43] and then apply the same procedure of light-cone splitting and gauge fixing as developed in this paper. However, our light-cone gauge action is already written in the form which allows a straightforward generalization to the case of \( AdS_3 \times S^3 \); one is just to do a dimensional reduction. Let us discuss the \( AdS_3 \times S^3 \) Lagrangian using for definiteness the WZ parametrization where the action has the form given by (1.3). To get the \( \mathcal{L}_B \) and \( \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_F \) terms in the \( AdS_3 \times S^3 \) case we are to set \( x = \bar{x} = 0 \) in (1.3) and (1.6) and also to assume that the fermionic variables \( \theta \) and \( \eta \) now transform in the fundamental representation of \( SU(2) \) (i.e. the indices \( i, j \) take values 1, 2). The matrix \( C'_{ij} \) is then given by \( C' = h \sigma_2, |h| = 1 \). The matrices \( (\gamma^A)'_j, A' = 1, 2, 3 \) are now \( SO(3) \) Dirac gamma matrices and the matrix \( U(y) \) takes the same form as in (1.4), (5.21). The quartic part of the Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L}^{(4)}_F \) in (1.10) simplifies to
\[ \mathcal{L}^{(4)}_F = 2 \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_{\mu} x^+ \partial_{\nu} x^+ (\eta^i \eta^j)^2. \] (6.27)

\(^{21}\)To transform (1.10) to this form we use the completeness relation for \( SO(3) \) gamma matrices
\[ (\gamma^A)'_j (\gamma^A)'_k = - \delta^j_k \delta^A_i + 2 \delta^j_k \delta^A_i. \]
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Appendix A  \( psu(2,2|4) \) superalgebra: \( so(4,1) \oplus so(5) \), \( so(3,1) \oplus su(4) \) and light-cone bases

Commutation relations of \( psu(2,2|4) \) superalgebra in \( so(4,1) \oplus so(5) \) basis were given in [2]. This basis is most adequate for finding the covariant action in \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \) space which is the direct analogue of the GS action in flat space. To develop the light-cone formulation it is convenient, however, to make a transformation to the basis in which the supercharges are diagonal with respect to the generators \( J^{+-}, D, J^{xx} \) (see (3.3)) and belong to the fundamental representation of \( su(4) \). This basis we shall call light-cone basis.

We shall find the transformation to the light-cone basis at the level of the algebra, and this will allow us to find the Cartan 1-forms and the action in the form corresponding to the light-cone basis. It is convenient to first make the transformation to the intermediate \( so(3,1) \oplus su(4) \) basis and only then to the light-cone basis. A bonus of this procedure is that this intermediate form will allow us to find as a by-product another interesting version of the \( \kappa \)-symmetry gauge fixed action (see Appendix C).

We start with the commutation relations of \( psu(2,2|4) \) superalgebra in \( so(4,1) \oplus so(5) \) basis given in [2]

\[
\begin{align*}
[\hat{P}_A, \hat{P}_B] &= \hat{J}_{AB}, & [P_A', P_B'] &= -J_{A'B'}, \quad (A.1) \\
[\hat{j}^{AB}, \hat{j}^{CE}] &= \eta^{BC} \hat{j}^{AE} + 3 \text{ terms}, & [J^{A'B'}, J^{C'E'}] &= \eta^{BC'} J^{A'E'} + 3 \text{ terms}, \quad (A.2) \\
[Q_I, \hat{P}_A] &= -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{Ij} Q_j \gamma_A, & [Q_I, \hat{J}_{AB}] &= -\frac{1}{2} Q_I \gamma_{AB}, \quad (A.3) \\
[Q_I, P_{A'}] &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{Ij} Q_j \gamma_{A'}, & [Q_I, J_{A'B'}] &= -\frac{1}{2} Q_I \gamma_{A'B'}, \quad (A.4)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\{Q_{\alpha I}, Q_{\beta j}\} = \delta_{ij} \left[ -2i C'_{ij}(C\gamma^A)_{\alpha\beta} \hat{P}_A + 2 C_{\alpha\beta}(C'\gamma^A)_{ij} P_A \right] + \epsilon_{ij} \left[ C'_{ij}(C\gamma^{AB})_{\alpha\beta} \hat{J}_{AB} - C_{\alpha\beta}(C'\gamma^{AB'})_{ij} J_{A'B'} \right]. \quad (A.5)
\]

Unless otherwise specified, we use the notation \( Q^I \) for \( Q^{I\alpha i} \) and \( Q_I \) for \( Q_{I\alpha i} \), where \( Q_{I\alpha i} \equiv Q^{I\beta j} \delta_{IJ} C_{\beta\alpha} C'_{ji} \). Hermitian conjugation rules in this basis are

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{P}^+_A &= -\hat{P}_A, & P^+_A &= -P_{A'}, & \hat{J}^+_A &= -\hat{J}_{AB}, & J^+_A &= -J_{A'B'}, \quad (A.6) \\
(Q^{I\beta j})^\dagger_{\alpha} &= -Q^{I\beta j} C_{\beta\alpha} C'_{ji}. \quad (A.7)
\end{align*}
\]
Let us first transform the bosonic generators into the conformal algebra basis. To this end we introduce the Poincaré translations $P^a$, the conformal boosts $K^a$ and the dilatation $D$ by

$$
P^a = \hat{P}^a + \hat{J}^a, \quad K^a = \frac{1}{2}(-\hat{P}^a + \hat{J}^a), \quad D = -\hat{P}^4. \tag{A.8}
$$

Making use of the commutation relations (A.1),(A.2) it is easy to check that these generators satisfy the commutation relations given in (3.1),(3.2).

Next, we introduce the new “charged” super-generators

$$
Q^q \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q^1 + iQ^2), \quad Q^{\bar{q}} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q^1 - iQ^2). \tag{A.9}
$$

We shall use the simplified notation

$$
Q^{\alpha i} \equiv -Q^{q\alpha i}, \quad Q_{\alpha i} \equiv Q_{q\alpha i}. \tag{A.10}
$$

Then the non-vanishing values of $\delta^{ij}$ become replaced by $\delta^{q\bar{q}} = 1$ ($\epsilon_{q\bar{q}} = i$) and the Majorana condition takes the form

$$
(Q_{\beta i})^\dagger (\gamma^0)_{\alpha} = Q_{\alpha i}.
$$

The commutators have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\{Q^{\alpha i}, P^A\} &= -\frac{i}{2}(\gamma^A)_{\alpha}^{\ d} P^d, \quad \{Q^{\alpha i}, J_{AB}\} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{AB})^{\ d} \delta_{\alpha}^{\ d} J^d, \\
\{Q^{\alpha i}, P^A\} &= \frac{1}{4}(\gamma_{AB})_{\alpha}^{\ d} J^d, \\
\{Q^{\alpha i}, J_{AB}\} &= -\frac{i}{2}(\gamma^A)_{\alpha}^{\ d} P^d.
\end{align*} \tag{A.11}
$$

while the anti-commutators transform into the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\{Q^{\alpha i}, Q_{\beta j}\} &= 2i(\gamma^A)_{\alpha}^{\ d} P^d + (\gamma_{AB})_{\alpha}^{\ d} J^d, \\
\{Q^{\alpha i}, Q_{\beta j}\} &= -\frac{i}{4}(\gamma_{AB})_{\alpha}^{\ d} J^d.
\end{align*} \tag{A.12}
$$

where we use the notation

$$
J^i_j \equiv -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma^A)^i_j P^A + \frac{1}{4}(\gamma^A)^B_j J^A'B'. \tag{A.13}
$$

Starting with the commutation relations for $P^A$ and $J_{A'B'}$ and applying various Fierz identities one proves that $J^i_j$ ($J^i_j = J^i_j$) satisfy the commutation relations of $su(4)$ algebra.

Using the commutators (A.13), (A.14) and (A.16) and completeness relation for Dirac matrices one proves that

$$
\begin{align*}
\{Q^{\alpha i}, J^j_k\} &= \delta^{i}_k Q_{\alpha}^{\ d} - \frac{1}{4}\delta^{i}_k Q_{\alpha d}, \\
\{Q^{\alpha i}, J^j_k\} &= -\delta^{i}_k Q_{\alpha}^{\ d} + \frac{1}{4}\delta^{i}_k Q_{\alpha d}. \tag{A.17}
\end{align*}
$$

This demonstrates that supercharges transform in the fundamental representations of $su(4)$.  
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In what follows we will use the following decomposition of \(so(4,1)\) Dirac and charge conjugation matrices in the \(sl(2)\) basis

\[
(\gamma^a)^{\alpha}_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\sigma^a)^{\alpha\beta} \\ (\tilde{\sigma}^a)^\beta_\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{ab} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (A.18)
\]

where the matrices \((\sigma^a)^{\alpha\beta}, (\tilde{\sigma}^a)^\beta_\alpha\) are related to Pauli matrices in the standard way

\[
\sigma^a = (1, \sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3), \quad \tilde{\sigma}^a = (-1, \sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3). \quad (A.19)
\]

Note that \((\sigma^a)^{\alpha\beta} = (A_{aa})^\alpha_\beta, (\tilde{\sigma}^a)^\beta_\alpha = (\sigma^a)_{\alpha\beta}\) where \((\sigma^a)_{aa} \equiv (\sigma^a)^{ab} \epsilon_{ba} \epsilon_{\dot{c}a}.\) We use the following conventions for the \(sl(2)\) indices: \(\epsilon_{12} = \epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{21} = 1\).

\[
\psi^a = \epsilon^{ab} \psi_b, \quad \psi_a = \psi^b \epsilon_{ba}, \quad \psi^\dot{a} = \epsilon^{\dot{a}b} \psi_b, \quad \psi_{\dot{a}} = \psi^b \epsilon_{\dot{b}a}. \quad (A.20)
\]

We then decompose the supercharges in the \(sl(2) \oplus su(4)\) basis

\[
Q^{ai} = \begin{pmatrix} 2iv^{-1}Q^{\dot{a}i} \\ 2vS^i_{\dot{a}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_{ai} = (2vS^i_{ai}, -2iv^{-1}Q^{\dot{a}i}) \quad v \equiv 2^{1/4}. \quad (A.21)
\]

In terms of these new supercharges the commutation relations take the form

\[
[D, Q^{ai}] = -\frac{1}{2}Q^{ai}, \quad [D, S^a_i] = \frac{1}{2}S^a_i, \quad (A.22)
\]

\[
[S^a_i, P^a_j] = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma^a)^{\alpha\beta} Q_{ai}, \quad [S^i_{\dot{a}}, P^a_j] = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{\sigma}^a)^\beta_\alpha Q^{\dot{a}i}, \quad (A.23)
\]

\[
[Q^{ai}, K^a_j] = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma^a)^{\alpha\beta} S^i_{\alpha\dot{a}}, \quad [Q_{\dot{a}i}, K^a_j] = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\tilde{\sigma}^a)^\beta_\alpha S^i_{\dot{a}a}, \quad (A.24)
\]

\[
\{Q^{ai}, Q^{b\dot{j}}_j\} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\sigma^{ab}_a P^\alpha_j \delta^i_j, \quad \{S^a_i, S^{b\dot{j}}_j\} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\sigma^{ab}_a K^\alpha_j \delta^i_j, \quad (A.25)
\]

\[
[Q^{ai}, J^{ab}_j] = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{ab})^a_b Q^{bi}, \quad (A.26)
\]

\[
\{Q^{ai}, S^{b\dot{j}}_j\} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ab}_a D + \frac{1}{4}\sigma^{ab}_a J^{ab}_j\right) \delta^i_j + \epsilon^{ab}_a J^{ai}_j, \quad (A.27)
\]

where \((\sigma^{ab})^{a\beta}_b = \epsilon^{bc}_c (\sigma^a)^{\alpha\beta}_c\), \((\sigma^{ab})^a_b \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^a)^{\alpha\beta}_c (\bar{\sigma}^b)^\beta_\alpha - (a \leftrightarrow b)\) Hermitian conjugation rules of the supercharges are

\[
Q^{ai \dagger} = Q^{\dot{a}i}, \quad Q^{ai \dagger} = -Q_{\dot{a}i}, \quad (A.28)
\]

and the same for \(S\) supercharges. The spinor \(sl(2)\) indices \(a, b\) are raised and lowered as in (A.20). From these commutation relations we learn that \(Q^{ai}, Q^{\dot{a}i}\) may be interpreted as the supercharges of the super Poincaré subalgebra while \(S^a_i, S^{\dot{a}i}\) are the conformal supercharges.
This finishes the description of the $so(3,1) \oplus su(4)$ basis. We are now ready to introduce the light-cone basis. The transformation of the bosonic generators is implied by the light-cone decomposition of the coordinates $\{(1,1)\}$ and is given by $\{(3,3)\}$. The transformation of supercharges amounts to attaching the signs $+$ and $-$ which will show explicitly their $J^{+-}$ charges. The corresponding supercharges are defined by

$$Q^{1i} \equiv -Q^{-i}, \quad Q^{2i} \equiv Q^{+i}, \quad Q^{1_+} \equiv -Q^{-_+}, \quad Q^{2_+} \equiv Q^{+_+},$$  

$$(A.29)$$

$$S^{1i} \equiv S^{-i}, \quad S^{2i} \equiv -S^{+_i}, \quad S^{1_+} \equiv S^{-_+}, \quad S^{2_+} \equiv -S^{+_+}.$$  

$(A.30)$

Choice of signs in these definitions is a matter of convention. Hermitean conjugation rules $(A.28)$ lead then to the conjugation rules given in $\{(3.11)\}$.

**Appendix B  Cartan forms in $so(3,1) \oplus su(4)$ and light cone bases**

The kinetic term of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ GS action and the 3-form in its WZ term have the following form in the $so(4,1) \oplus so(5)$ basis

$$L_{\text{kin}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} g^{\mu \nu} (\hat{L}_{\mu}^A \hat{L}_\nu^A + L_{\mu}^{A'} L_{\nu}^{A'}) ,$$  

$(B.1)$

$$\mathcal{H} = s^{I\bar{J}} \hat{L}^A \bar{L}^I \gamma^A L^J + i s^{I\bar{J}} L^{A'} \bar{L}^I \gamma^{A'} L^J .$$  

$(B.2)$

They are expressed in terms of the Cartan 1-forms defined in the $so(4,1) \oplus so(5)$ basis by

$$G^{-1} dG = (G^{-1} dG)_{\text{bos}} + L^{Iai} Q_{Iai} ,$$  

$(B.3)$

where the restriction to the bosonic part is

$$(G^{-1} dG)_{\text{bos}} = \hat{L}^A \hat{P}_A + \frac{1}{2} \hat{L}^{AB} \hat{J}^{AB} + L^{A'} P^{A'} + \frac{1}{2} L^{A'B'} J^{A'B'} .$$  

$(B.4)$

The transformation of the $psu(2,2|4)$ algebra into the light-cone basis described in Appendix A allows us to find the corresponding Cartan 1-forms and thus to write down the GS action in the light-cone basis.

We first consider the $so(3,1) \oplus su(4)$ basis and define the bosonic (even) Cartan forms by

$$(G^{-1} dG)_{\text{bos}} = L_{\mu}^a P^a + L_{\mu}^a K^a + L_{\mu} D + \frac{1}{2} L_{\mu}^{ab} J^{ab} + L_{i j}^{i} \hat{J}^{i} ,$$  

$(B.5)$

Comparing this with $(B.4)$ and using $(A.8)$, $(A.16)$ we get

$$\hat{L}^a = L_{\mu}^a - \frac{1}{2} L_{\mu}^a , \quad \hat{L}^a = L_{\mu}^a + \frac{1}{2} L_{\mu}^a , \quad \hat{L}^4 = -L_{D} ,$$  

$(B.6)$

$$L_{i j}^{i} = \frac{i}{2} (\gamma^{A'})^i_j L^{A'} - \frac{1}{4} (\gamma^{A'B'})^i_j L^{A'B'} .$$  

$(B.7)$

Using these relations in the expression for the kinetic term $(B.1)$ gives the action $(B.4)$. 
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Now let us consider the fermionic 1-forms. They satisfy hermitean conjugation rule
\[ (L^i_{\beta j})^\dagger (\bar{\gamma}^\alpha)_{\alpha} = L^{j\beta}_{\beta j} C_{\beta j} C'_{\beta j}. \]  
and we use the notation \( L_{\alpha i} \equiv L^{i\beta j} \delta_{\beta j} C_{\beta j} C'_{\beta j}. \) Let us define
\[ L^q \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(L^1 + iL^2), \quad L^q \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(L^1 - iL^2), \]  
introduce the notation \( L^q_{\alpha i} = L^q_{\alpha i}, \) \( L_{\alpha i} = L_{\alpha q i} \) and use the following decomposition into \( sl(2) \oplus su(4) \) Cartan 1-forms
\[ L'^{\alpha i} = \frac{1}{2} \left( v^{-1}L_s^a \right), \quad L_{\alpha i} = \frac{1}{2} \left( -ivL_{\alpha q i}, v^{-1}L_s^a \right). \]  
Hermitean conjugation rules for the new Cartan 1-forms then take the same form as in \[ (A.28). \] The light-cone frame Cartan 1-forms are defined by
\[ L_{Q}^{q i} = -L_{Q i}, \quad L_{Q}^{2 i} = -L_{Q i}, \quad L_{Q}^{j i} = -L_{Q j}, \quad L_{Q}^{2 i} = -L_{Q j}, \]  
\[ L_{s}^{i} = L_{s}^{j}, \quad L_{s}^{2 i} = L_{s}^{j}, \quad L_{s}^{i} = L_{s}^{j}, \quad L_{s}^{2 i} = L_{s}^{j}. \]  
These relations imply
\[ L'^{\alpha i} Q_{\alpha i} = L^{\alpha i} Q_{\alpha i} - L_{\alpha i} Q^{\alpha i} \]  
\[ = L_{Q i} Q_{\alpha i} - L_{Q j} Q_{\alpha i} + L_{s}^a S_{\alpha i} - L_{s}^a S_{\alpha i} \]  
\[ = L_{Q i}^+ Q_{\alpha i} + L_{Q j}^- Q_{\alpha i} + L_{s}^a S_{\alpha i} - L_{s}^a S_{\alpha i} \]  
\[ + L_{s}^a S_{\alpha i} - L_{s}^a S_{\alpha i} + L_{s}^a S_{\alpha i} + L_{s}^a S_{\alpha i}. \]  
The representation \[ (B.14) \] corresponds to the \( sl(2) \oplus su(4) \) basis while \[ (B.13) \] - to the light-cone basis.

Using the relation between the Cartan 1-forms in \[ (B.9)-(B.12) \] we are ready to consider the decomposition of the WZ 3-form \[ (B.2). \] We start with the \( AdS_5 \) contribution which is given by the first term in r.h.s of \[ (B.2). \] Taking into account that \( \hat{L}^j = L^j C_{ij} \) and eq. \[ (B.3) \] we can rewrite the \( AdS_5 \) contribution in terms of the “charged” Cartan forms \( L^q, L^j \)
\[ \mathcal{H}_{AdS_5} = \mathcal{H}_{AdS_5}^q + \mathcal{H}_{AdS_5}^j, \]  
\[ \mathcal{H}_{AdS_5}^q \equiv \hat{L}^q L^{q\alpha i} (C_{\gamma}^A)_{\alpha \beta} C'_{ij} L^{q\beta j}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{AdS_5}^j \equiv \hat{L}^j L^{q\alpha i} (C_{\gamma}^A)_{\alpha \beta} C'_{ij} L^{q\beta j}. \]  
Since \( i\mathcal{H}_{AdS_5}^q \) is hermitean conjugate to \( i\mathcal{H}_{AdS_5}^q \) we restrict our attention to decomposition of the first term. We get
\[ \mathcal{H}_{AdS_5}^q = \hat{L}^q L^{q\alpha i} (C_{\gamma}^A)_{\alpha \beta} C'_{ij} L^{q\beta j} - L_{q i} L^{q\alpha i} (C_{\gamma}^A)_{\alpha \beta} C'_{ij} L^{q\beta j} \]  
\[ = \frac{i}{2} \hat{L}^q L_{sa}^a C_{ij} (\sigma^a)^{ab} L_{qb}^q + \frac{1}{4} L_{d} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} L_{s}^a C_{ij} L_{sa}^q + \sqrt{2} L_{Q}^a C_{ij} L_{Q a}^q \right) \]  
\[ = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{L}^a L_{Q i}^+ C_{ij} L_{Q j}^q + \hat{L}^- L_{Q i}^- C_{ij} L_{Q j}^q + \hat{L}^q L_{s}^a C_{ij} L_{s}^q + \hat{L}^q L_{s}^a C_{ij} L_{s}^q) \]  
\[ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} L_{d} \left( \frac{1}{2} L_{s}^a C_{ij} L_{s}^q + L_{Q}^a C_{ij} L_{Q a}^q \right). \]  
(B.19)
Eq. (B.19) provides representation of the $AdS_5$ part of the 3-form in the $sl(2) \oplus su(4)$ basis, while (B.19) – in the light-cone basis.

Let us now consider the $S^5$ part of the WZ 3-form in (B.2), i.e. $i s^{ij} L^{A'} L^j \gamma^A L^j$. Representing it in terms of the charged Cartan forms as in (B.16), $H_{S^5} = H_{S^5}^1 + H_{S^5}^2$, we get

$$H_{S^5}^q = i L^{A'} L^{\alpha i} C_{\alpha \beta} (C^{\gamma A'})_{ij} L^{\beta j} = -2 L^{\alpha i} C_{\alpha \beta} L^{k} L^{\beta j}$$

(B.20)

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} L^{a i} (C')_{ij} L^{j}_{a} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} L^{a i} (C')_{ij} L^{j}_{a}$$

(B.21)

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [L^{+i}_{s} (C')_{ij} L^{+j}_{s} - L^{-i}_{s} (C')_{ij} L^{+j}_{s}]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [L^{i}_{q} (C')_{ij} L^{j}_{q} - L^{-i}_{q} (C')_{ij} L^{+j}_{q}] .$$

(B.22)

Note that in (B.20) we exploited the relation (B.7) and used the fact that $(C')_{ij}$ is symmetric in $i, j$, the charge conjugation matrix $C_{\alpha \beta}$ is antisymmetric in $\alpha, \beta$ and the fermionic Cartan 1-forms $L^a$ are commuting with each other. Eq. (B.21) provides representation of $S^5$ part of the WZ 3-form in the $sl(2) \oplus su(4)$ basis, while Eq. (B.22) – in the light-cone basis.

Next, let us outline the procedure of derivation of the WZ term in the light-cone $\kappa$-symmetry gauge. Taking into account that $L_{s}^{+ i} = 0$, $L_{s}^{i} = 0$, $L_{s}^{x} = 0$, $L_{s}^{x} = 0$, $L_{s}^{x} = 0$ and plugging the Cartan 1-forms given by (B.11)–(B.18) into the above expressions we get $H^q_{AdS_5} = H^q_{AdS_5}^{(1)} + H^q_{AdS_5}^{(2)}$ where (see (B.19), (B.20))

$$H^q_{AdS_5}^{(1)} = - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} e^\phi dx^+ \tilde{\eta}^i C_{ij}^i \tilde{d} \theta^j - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} e^\phi d \phi \tilde{d} \theta^j C_{ij}^i \tilde{\eta}^j dx^+ \approx d \left( \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} e^\phi dx^+ \tilde{\eta}^i C_{ij}^i \tilde{d} \theta^j \right) ,$$

(B.23)

$$H^q_{AdS_5}^{(2)} = - \sqrt{2} e^\phi dx^+ dx \tilde{\eta}^i C_{ij}^i \tilde{\eta}^j - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^\phi d \phi dx^+ dx \tilde{\eta}^i C_{ij}^i \tilde{\eta}^j \approx d \left( - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^\phi dx^+ dx \tilde{\eta}^i C_{ij}^i \tilde{\eta}^j \right) .$$

(B.24)

The signs $\approx$ indicate that these relations are valid modulo terms which are obtained by acting by differential $d$ on the matrix $U^i_j$ which enters in the definition of $\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{d} \theta$. Such $dU^i_j$ terms are canceled by contributions coming from the $S^5$ part of WZ 3-form which in the light-cone gauge takes the form

$$H^q_{S^5} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [L^{+i}_{q} (C')_{ij} L^{-j}_{q} - L^{-i}_{q} (C')_{ij} L^{+j}_{q}] .$$

(B.25)

To summarize, one gets the following exact relation

$$H^q_{AdS_5} + H^q_{S^5} = d \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^\phi dx^+ \tilde{\eta}^i C_{ij}^i (\tilde{d} \theta^j + i \tilde{\eta}^j dx) \right] .$$

(B.26)

Multiplying this expression by $i$, adding the Hermitian conjugate and going from the 3-d to the 2-d representation of the WZ term gives the WZ part of the string Lagrangian $L_{WZ}$ in (B.2).
The results for the Cartan forms in the \( sl(2) \oplus su(4) \) basis described in Appendix B allow us to find another version of the \( \kappa \)-symmetry gauge fixed action of superstring in \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \). Let us start with the supercoset representative (cf. (5.1)–(5.8))

\[
G = g_{x, \theta} g_{\eta} g_y g_{\phi},
\]

\[
g_{x, \theta} = \exp(x^a P^a + \theta^a Q^a - \theta^\dagger Q_{\dagger a}),
\]

\[
g_{\eta} = \exp(\eta^a S_{\dagger a} - \eta^\dagger S^a_{\dagger}),
\]

and impose the \( \kappa \)-symmetry gauge by

\[
\eta^a = \eta^\dagger = 0,
\]

i.e.

\[
G_{g.f.} = g_{x, \theta} g_y g_{\phi}.
\]

Since we have set to zero the fermionic coordinates \( \eta \) which correspond to the conformal supercharges \( S \) we shall call this S-gauge. The resulting gauge fixed expressions for the Cartan 1-forms are given by

\[
L^a_P = e^\phi \left[ dx^a - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} (\theta_i a \sigma^{a\dagger b} d\theta_i^b + \theta^i a \sigma_{\dagger a b} d\theta_i^b) \right],
\]

\[
L^a_Q = e^{\phi/2} \tilde{d} \theta_i^a, \quad L^\dagger_Q = e^{\phi/2} \tilde{d} \theta_i^\dagger,
\]

\[
L_D = d\phi, \quad L^i_j = (dUU^{-1})^i_j,
\]

where \( \tilde{d} \theta \) is defined as in (5.20) while the matrix \( U \) is defined by (1.4),(1.5). All the remaining Cartan 1-forms are equal to zero.

Using that now \( L_S = 0 \) we get from (B.19) the following expressions for the \( AdS_5 \) part of the 3-form \( \mathcal{H} \)

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{AdS}_5}^q = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} d\phi e^\phi \tilde{d} \theta^a \bar{C}_{ij} \tilde{d} \theta^j_a,
\]

while eq. (B.21) gives

\[
\mathcal{H}_{S^5}^q = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^\phi \tilde{d} \theta^\dagger (C^\dagger L)_{ij} \tilde{d} \theta^i_j.
\]

Thus we conclude that

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{AdS}_5}^q + \mathcal{H}_{S^5}^q = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} e^\phi \tilde{d} \theta^a \bar{C}_{ij} \tilde{d} \theta^j_a,
\]

which allows us to find the 2-d form of the WZ term.

\[\text{22}\]The “S-gauge” and “Q-gauge” terminology was introduced in [44], but our S-gauge is different from the one used in [44].
Using the above relations and (1.4), (1.3) and taking into account that \( L^K_\alpha = 0 \) we finally get the following kinetic and WZ parts of the \( \text{AdS}_5 \times S^5 \) string Lagrangian (cf. (6.1)–(6.2))

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} g^{\mu \nu} (L^K_\alpha P^\mu L^K_\alpha + \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + e^A' e^{A'}) ,
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} e^{\mu \nu} \phi \partial_\mu \theta^a \epsilon_{ij} C^{ij}_{\alpha} \partial_\nu \theta^a + \text{h.c.} ,
\]

where \( L^K_\alpha \) is given by (C.6) and \( C^{ij}_{\alpha} \) as in (6.7). Note that in this S-gauge the 1-form \( L^{A'} \) which is given in terms of \( L^i_j \) as in (5.1), here the Cartan form \( L^i_j \) does not contain fermionic contributions (see (C.8)). Making use of formula (6.18) we get the following manifestly \( SU(4) \) invariant representation for WZ part

\[
\mathcal{L}_{WZ} = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} e^{\mu \nu} \phi \partial_\mu \theta^a \epsilon_{ij} \rho^M_\alpha Y^M \partial_\nu \theta^a + \text{h.c.} \tag{C.14}
\]

This form of WZ action by using usual \( SO(6) \) \( \gamma \) matrices (6.16) can be cast into the form similar to the one given in [9, 11] (see also [6]). The kinetic term (C.12) can be transformed into \( SU(4) \) manifestly invariant form in a standard way. Our presentation gives self-contained derivation of \( SU(4) \) manifestly invariant action from the original 5+5 form of action given in [2].
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