Effect of Tube Expansion on Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop Characteristics During Condensation in Micro-Fin Tubes
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Despite of the large number of research dedicated to condensation heat transfer and pressure drop characteristics in pristine micro-fin tubes, experimental investigation on effects of tube expansion have not been reported in the open literature. The paper reports measured cross-sectional dimensions, condensation heat transfer and pressure drop data of R1234ze(E) in pristine (5.10 mm OD) and expanded (5.26 mm OD) micro-fin tubes with mass fluxes from 100 to 300 kg/(m²·s). Effects of mass flux, vapor quality and tube expansion on the heat transfer coefficients and friction pressure gradients were investigated in the study. When the mass flux is 100 kg/(m²·s), the heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop of R1234ze(E) decrease after tube expansion. However, when the mass fluxes are 200 and 300 kg/(m²·s), tube expansion effects on the heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop are not notable. In addition, the experimental results are analyzed based on the existing condensation heat transfer and pressure drop correlations.
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INTRODUCTION

Since the invention of Fujie et al. (1977), micro-fin tubes have been widely used in refrigeration and air-conditioning systems for high heat transfer and relatively low pressure drop performance. For finned tube heat exchangers, micro-fin tubes and the external fins must have a metal-to-metal interference fit to decrease the contact thermal resistance. Mechanical tube expansion process is used to expand the tube outside diameter which is forced against the external fin collar leading to an interference fit. The tube internal enhancements will be deformed or crushed to varying degrees for the mechanical expanding effect. The internal surface area of the micro-fin tube will also be affected by tube expansion. Therefore, tube expansion may cause the negative effect on the thermal-hydraulic performance of finned tube heat exchangers.

Many investigations on the thermal-hydraulic performance during condensation of different refrigerants in pristine micro-fin tubes with a variety of enhancements have been conducted by various researchers (Cavallini et al., 2009; Colombo et al., 2012; Dalkilic and Wongwises, 2009; Doretti et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2014; Liebenberg and Meyer, 2008; Wu and Sundén, 2016; Wu et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2015). The direct use of the condensation heat transfer and pressure drop results based on the pristine micro-fin tubes without any modification may cause deviation for the actual thermal-hydraulic performance of the heat exchangers. Therefore, the tube expansion effect on the micro-fin tube internal surface, heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop are of great significance to be investigated.
Among the few investigations referring to tube expansion in the existing literature, Tang et al. (2009) studied the expansion forming of inner grooved tubes and effects of the geometrical parameters of the groove geometry on forming quality. Experiments using different fin type tubes were conducted in their study. A finite element model of the forming process was established in the study. The results showed that the fin height reduced by approximately 5–9%. The fin geometric parameter effects on the fin height reduction were studied. The study showed that the helix angle had an important influence on the fin height reduction and the fin height ratio played a significant role in affecting the deformation resistant force. However, the effect of the fin deformation on the inner wall area was not investigated.

Mehendale (2013) analyzed the tube expansion effect on the inner wall area changes and evaluated the existing in-tube heat transfer correlations during condensation. A method for estimating the effect of tube expansion on the in-tube heat transfer performance during condensation was reported. The results showed that the in-tube heat transfer performance of copper and aluminum tubes reduced by 9–36% after the tube expansion. The fin number and the fin apex angle effects on in-tube thermal performance during condensation were also analyzed for expanded micro-fin tubes. Moreover, Mehendale (2014) also numerically investigated the fin deformation influence on the refrigerant side thermal-hydraulic performance of R410A during boiling in micro-fin tubes.

To the author's knowledge, experimental studies on the effect of tube expansion on the heat transfer and pressure drop characteristics during condensation in micro-fin tubes are not available in the public literature. Therefore, the effects of tube expansion on thermal-hydraulic performance during condensation of R1234ze(E) in pristine and expanded micro-fin tubes were experimentally studied in the present study.

**EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS AND PROCEDURES**

**Experimental Apparatus**

Figure 1 shows the schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus established for two-phase flow and heat transfer experiments in micro-fin tubes. The experimental apparatus consists of a refrigerant and two cooling water circuits. The subcooled refrigerant in the reservoir is filtered, circulates through the magnetic-driven gear pump that can be adjusted by the frequency converter, and then flows through the Coriolis effect mass flowmeter. By adjusting the power of the preheater, the subcooled refrigerant is heated to the required inlet mass quality and temperature. The electric insulation heating wire is wound on the surface of the stainless-steel tube in the preheater. Glass fiber insulation and rubber foam insulation is used in the preheater. The mass quality of the refrigerant flowing into the test section is known. The refrigerant is condensed by the countercurrent cooling water in the test section. The refrigerant is fully condensed and subcooled by the cooling water in the sub-cooler. The sub-cooler is a shell-and-tube heat exchanger. The refrigerant flows on the tube side, and the water flows on the shell side. Afterwards, the refrigerant goes into the reservoir.

The cooling water circuit of the test section is composed of a constant temperature water bath, a Coriolis mass flowmeter and a
regulating valve. The cooling water flows in the annular area of the test section to condensate the refrigerant. The mass flow of the cooling water is controlled by the regulating valve and measured by the mass flowmeter. The inlet temperature of the cooling water in the test section is kept at 20°C. The cooling water flow rate was adjusted to ensure the inlet and outlet cooling water temperature difference in the test section larger than 1 K and the experimental uncertainty of the heat transfer rate lower than ±10%.

**Experimental Method and Condition**

The refrigerant and cooling water temperatures are measured using PT100 resistance thermometers. Outer wall temperatures of the micro-fin tube are measured by eight copper-constantan thermocouples installed at two locations as shown in Figure 2. Thermocouples are evenly arranged on the upper, lower, and left and right sides of the outer wall of the micro-fin tube. Small slits are prepared carefully on the micro-fin tubes. Thermocouples are first welded to the surface and then surrounded with epoxy resin to avoid the effect of the cooling water in the test section. All the thermocouples and RTDs were calibrated before the experiments. Mixers were set before each fluid temperature measurement point to ensure that the fluids were fully mixed. The saturation states at the test section inlet and outlet were checked using the measured temperature and pressure. The average saturation temperature between the test section inlet and outlet was used to calculate the heat transfer coefficients. Trafag 8,251 pressure sensors are used to measure the refrigerant pressures through 1.0 mm pressure taps. The pressure drop of the refrigerant in the test section is measured through an EJA110A differential pressure sensor. Two Coriolis mass flowmeters are used to measure the mass flow rates of the refrigerant and the cooling water in the test section, respectively. The refrigerant temperature and pressure are used to ensure the saturation state at the entrance and exit of the test section. When all the temperatures, pressures and mass flow rates of the refrigerant and the cooling water keep constant for half an hour, the system is considered to reach a steady state. Experimental data are monitored and collected by an Agilent 34970A acquisition system with three 34901A cards at steady state. **Table 1** listed the experimental conditions in the study.

![Figure 2: Schematic diagram of the test section.](image)

**Table 1 | Experimental conditions.**

| Experimental parameter | Range               |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| Refrigerant            | R1234ze(E)          |
| Refrigerant mass flux, \([\text{kg/(m}^2\cdot\text{s})]\) | 100, 200, and 300 |
| Cooling water mass flow rate, \([\text{kg/h}]\)     | 4.6–19.0            |
| Refrigerant saturation temperature, \([\text{°C}]\) | 40, 50              |
| Cooling water inlet temperature, \([\text{°C}]\)    | 20                  |
| Inlet vapor quality, \([-]\)                      | 0.25–0.96           |
| Heat transfer rate, \([\text{W}]\)                | 52.0–120.8          |

![Figure 3: Geometrical parameters of the micro-fin tube.](image)

**Test Section**

The test section is a counter-flow tube-in-tube heat exchanger as illustrated in Figure 2. The refrigerant flows in the micro-fin tube, and the cooling water flows in the annular space. The length of the micro-fin tube is 535 mm and the length of the heat exchange...
section is 375 mm. The outer tube of the test section is a stainless-steel tube with outer diameter of 20 mm and wall thickness of 1.2 mm. Through considering the critical thermal insulation layer thickness, the insulation layer was set thick enough to weaken the heat loss in the test section. Besides, the aluminum foil was also used to reduce the radiation heat transfer between the outside wall of the test section and the external environment. In order to reduce the heat loss to the environment, 45 mm thick rubber foam insulation is used to wrap the entire experimental apparatus. The test tubes are two micro-fin tubes in the pristine and expanded states respectively. Before the mechanical tube expansion process, they are from the same production batch with the same diameter. The pristine micro-fin tube is the raw material for residential air-conditioners. The expanded micro-fin tube is torn down from a residential air-conditioner which was mechanically expanded by a mandrel. Figure 3 shows geometrical parameters of the micro-fin tubes. The outer diameters of the micro-fin tubes are measured by a vernier caliper and the micro-fin geometrical parameters are measured by Hitachi SU8010 scanning electron microscope with a resolution of 1.3 nm. The outer diameter and micro-fin geometrical parameters were measured several times in three various positions of the test tubes and average values were obtained as listed in Table 2. The outer diameter, the fin root diameter, the fin apax angle and the tube cross-sectional area are geometrical parameters measured several times in three various positions of the test tubes and average values were obtained as listed in Table 2. The outer diameter, the fin root diameter, the fin apex angle and the tube cross-sectional area were calculated as (Webb and Kim, 2005)

$$A/A_n = 1 + 2 \left[ \sec \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right) - \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right] \times e / \rho_f$$

Based on the heat balance between the refrigerant side and the cooling water side, the heat transfer rate in the test section is calculated as

$$Q = c_p \cdot m_f \left( t_{\text{out}} - t_{\text{in}} \right)$$

The vapor quality of the refrigerant at the entrance of the test section, $x_{\text{in}}$, can be calculated as

$$x_{\text{in}} = \frac{UI - m_f \cdot \left( h - h_{\text{in}} \right)}{m_f \cdot h_{\text{in}}}$$

The vapor quality change, $\Delta x$, is calculated as

$$\Delta x = \frac{Q}{m_f \cdot h_{\text{v}}}$$

The average vapor quality of the refrigerant in the test section, $x_{\text{ave}}$, is calculated as

$$x_{\text{ave}} = x_{\text{in}} - \frac{\Delta x}{2}$$

The outer wall temperature of the micro-fin tube is calculated as

$$t_{w,o} = \sum_{i=1}^{8} t_{w,i}/8$$

The temperature difference between the inner and outer walls of the micro-fin tube is calculated as

$$\Delta t_w = \frac{Q \ln \left( d_o/d_i \right)}{2\pi \lambda l}$$

The heat transfer coefficient at the refrigerant side, $h$, can be calculated as

$$h = \frac{Q}{A \left[ (t_{\text{in}} + t_{\text{out}})/2 - (t_{w,\text{out}} + \Delta t_w) \right]}$$

Since the micro-fin tube is placed horizontally, the contribution of gravity is not considered. Therefore, the total pressure drop $\Delta P_{\text{total}}$ of the refrigerant in the test section is calculated as

$$\Delta P_{\text{total}} = \Delta P_t + \Delta P_a$$

The accelerated pressure drop, $\Delta P_a$, is calculated using the model recommended by Carey (2020).

$$\Delta P_a = G^2 \left\{ \frac{x^2}{\rho_v \alpha} + \frac{(1 - x)^2}{\rho_i (1 - \alpha)} \right\}_{\text{out}} - \left\{ \frac{x^2}{\rho_v \alpha} + \frac{(1 - x)^2}{\rho_i (1 - \alpha)} \right\}_{\text{in}}$$
The friction pressure drop gradient is expressed as

\[
\left( \frac{-dp}{dz} \right)_f = \frac{\Delta p_f}{L} \tag{11}
\]

There are various void fraction models for smooth tubes in the public literature. However, there is no void fraction model specifically for micro-fin tubes. The void fraction model of smooth tubes is generally used for the micro-fin tube. The experimental study by Newell and Shah (2001) showed that void fraction of two micro-fin tubes differed little from that of the smooth tube. Figure 4 shows the void fraction comparison among the homogeneous model, the Zivi (1964) model, the Baroczy (1966) model, and the Smith (1969) model for R1234ze(E) at 40°C. The void fraction of the homogeneous model is less than the void fraction of the three separated flow models. The maximum mean absolute deviations between the separated models for R1234ze(E) are 0.037. The small difference in the void fraction has little effect on the accelerated pressure drop. The Zivi (1964) model is used to calculate the accelerated pressure drop in the study.

The thermophysical properties of R1234ze(E) are obtained through the REFPROP software (Lemmon et al., 2010). The experimental uncertainties of the parameters listed in Table 3 are analyzed using the method of Kline and McClintock (1953).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to verify the reliability of the experimental system, single-phase heat transfer experiment of R1234ze(E) was conducted in 5.10 mm OD micro-fin tube. The Reynolds number ranges from 2.8×10^3 to 1.2×10^4, and the inlet temperature of R1234ze(E) ranges from 35 to 39°C. The inlet temperature and flow rate of the cooling water in the test section are 15°C and 9.5°kg/h respectively. The deviation of the heat exchange between the refrigerant side and the cooling water side of the experimental section is within 5%.

The experimental results of single-phase heat transfer are in good agreement with the prediction results of Ravigururajah (1986) with the average absolute deviation of 10.6%. Single-phase verification experiments show that the experimental apparatus is reliable and can be used for two-phase flow and condensation heat transfer experiments.

Heat Transfer Coefficient

In refrigeration and air conditioning systems, the mass flux of the refrigerant usually ranges from 100 to 500 kg/(m²·s). The mass flux of the refrigerant is taken as 100, 200 and 300 kg/(m²·s) in this study. Figure 5 shows the heat transfer coefficients of R1234ze(E) in pristine and expanded micro-fin tubes. The results show that the heat transfer coefficient increases with the increase of mass flux and vapor quality. The influence of vapor quality on the heat transfer coefficient increases with the increase of mass flux.
The convection heat transfer between the refrigerant and the inner wall of the micro-fin tube is enhanced with the increase of mass flux resulting in larger heat transfer coefficients. Since the heat conduction resistance of the liquid film plays a leading role in the condensation heat transfer, the thickness and heat conduction resistance of the liquid film decrease with the increase of vapor quality resulting in larger heat transfer coefficients.

The heat transfer coefficients of the pristine micro-fin tube and the expanded micro-fin tube are compared to study the influence of tube expansion. When the mass flux is 100 kg/(m²·s), the heat transfer coefficients in the pristine micro-fin tube are greater than those in the expanded micro-fin tube. When the mass flux is 200 and 300 kg/(m²·s), tube expansion has little effect on the heat transfer coefficient.

Micro-fins are used to redistribute the condensate film and maintain a thin film along the tube wall, thereby increasing the heat transfer coefficient. The redistribution effect will be weakened after the expansion process. During the expansion process, the internal fins of the tube underwent an amount of deformation, i.e., the fin apex angle increased while the fin height and the inner surface heat exchange area decreased as listed in Table 2, which degraded the fluid turbulence, surface tension induced drainage and the in-tube thermal performance during condensation. It is well known that micro-fins are effective at low mass fluxes, in which the stratified flow dominates, and which can be responsible for the tube expansion effect. Therefore, the tube expansion process degraded the in-tube thermal performance during condensation of R1234ze(E) at low mass flux (G = 100 kg/(m²·s)) in the present study.

The prediction deviations of the existing correlations (Yu and Koyama, 1998; Kedzierski and Goncalves, 1999; Cavallini et al., 1999; Cavallini et al., 2009) for the present heat transfer coefficients are analyzed in the study, and the results are shown in Figure 6. The performance of each correlation is evaluated according to the arithmetic mean deviation of relative residuals of heat transfer coefficient, a.m., and the root mean square deviation of the relative residuals of heat transfer coefficient, r.m.s, which is defined as follows:

\[
an.m. = \frac{1}{N} \sum \frac{a_{\text{pre}} - a_{\text{exp}}}{a_{\text{exp}}} \times 100\%
\]

\[
r.m.s = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum \left( \frac{a_{\text{pre}} - a_{\text{exp}}}{a_{\text{exp}}} \right)^2} \times 100\%
\]

where \(a\) represents to the heat transfer coefficient \(h\) or friction pressure gradient \((\partial p/\partial z)\), and \(N\) is the number of experimental data. Figure 6 indicates that (Kedzierski and Goncalves, 1999) correlation can predict the data well with 22.8% r.m.s errors for R1234ze(E). Prediction deviation of Yu and Koyama (1998) correlation for R1234ze(E) is 20.9%, while that of Cavallini et al. (2009) correlation is 39.2%. Though Cavallini et al. (1999) could predict the trend of the present heat transfer coefficients well, the predictions are lower than the data of R1234ze(E) by 69.4%. Prediction deviations for the present data by Cavallini et al. (1999) correlation may be due to the low fin height (0.11 mm) and the small tube outer diameter (5.10 mm) of the micro-fin tube. According to Cavallini et al. (2009), the height of the micro-fin of the previous micro-fin tube is 0.2–0.25 mm, and the outer diameter of the tube is generally greater than 9.5 mm. Cavallini et al. (1999) correlation, which was established based on those configurations as database, may therefore be limited.

**Friction Pressure Drop**

Figure 7 shows all the pressure drop components of R1234ze(E) at mass flux of 100 kg/(m²·s) in the expanded micro-fin tube. The measured total pressure drop, the acceleration pressure drop and the friction pressure drop all increase with the increase of vapor quality. The acceleration pressure drops for all the experimental conditions are within 14.1% for R1234ze(E) of the total pressure drops in the present study. In this study, the friction pressure gradient was analysed.

Figure 8 shows the friction pressure gradients of R1234ze(E) in the expanded micro-fin tube. The mass fluxes are 100, 200 and
300 kg/(m²·s) with the saturation temperature of 40°C. The experimental data shows that the friction pressure gradient increases with the increase of mass flux and vapor quality, which is the general trend of the friction pressure gradient (Collier and Thome, 1994). As the mass flux increases, the vapor quality dependence of the heat transfer coefficient also becomes stronger. The shear stress at the two-phase interface and the shear stress between the liquid film and the inner wall of the tube both increase with the increase of mass flow and vapor quality, resulting in a greater friction pressure gradient.

In Figures 9, 10, 11, friction pressure gradients of the pristine micro-fin tube along with those of the expanded micro-fin tube for R1234ze(E) are compared to show effects of tube expansion for a given mass flux. At low mass flux \( [G = 100 \text{ kg/(m}^2\text{·s)}] \) shown in Figure 9, friction pressure gradients of R1234ze(E) in the expanded micro-fin tube decreased after the expansion process. The expansion effect was not notable at mass fluxes of 200 and 300 kg/(m²·s).

During the expansion process, the internal fins of the tube underwent an amount of deformation as listed in Table 2, which degraded the fluid turbulence and friction pressure gradients. The stratified flow was dominant at low mass flux, where tube expansion was effective in reducing the friction pressure gradient.

The experimental pressure gradients are compared with available correlations (Haraguchi et al. (1993); Kedzierski and Gonclaves, (1999); Choi et al. (1999); Goto et al., 2001), and the comparison are shown in Figure 12. Goto et al. (2001) correlation based on vapor-phase two-phase multiplier \( (\Phi_v) \) is applied in the study. The comparison shows that Haraguchi et al. (1993), Kedzierski and Gonclaves (1999), Choi et al. (1999) and Goto et al. (2001) correlations predict the pressure gradients well.
CONCLUSION

This paper reports experimental data during condensation of R1234ze(E) in pristine (5.10 mm OD) and expanded (5.26 mm OD) micro-fin tubes. In the study, the cross-sectional dimensions of the pristine and expanded micro-fin tubes are microscopically measured. The mass fluxes of the experiment are 100, 200, and 300 kg/(m²·s), the saturation temperature is 40°C, and the vapor qualities are from 0.1 to 0.9. Based on the research in this study, the following conclusions are obtained:

1. The outer diameter, the fin root diameter and the tube cross-sectional area of the micro-fin tube increased by 3.14, 4.31, and 7.92% after the tube expansion process, while the wall thickness, the fin height and the area enhancement ratio decreased by 8.70, 9.09, and 12.79%.

2. The heat transfer coefficients and friction pressure gradients increase with the increase of mass flux and vapor quality. The influence of vapor quality on the heat transfer coefficients and friction pressure gradients increases with the increase of mass flux.

3. At mass flux of 100 kg/(m²·s), the heat transfer coefficients and friction pressure gradients of R1234ze(E) decrease after the expansion process. At mass fluxes of 200 and 300 kg/(m²·s), tube expansion effects on the data are not notable for R1234ze(E).

4. The Kedzierski and Gonclaves (1999) correlation predicts the heat transfer coefficients reasonably well of R1234ze(E). The pressure gradient data for R1234ze(E) is well predicted by Haraguchi et al. (1993), Kedzierski and Gonclaves (1999), Choi et al. (1999) and Goto et al. (2001) correlations.
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GLOSSARY

**Greek symbols**
- $\alpha$: void fraction
- $\beta$: helix angle
- $\gamma$: apex angle
- $\delta$: wall thickness, m
- $\lambda$: thermal conductivity, W/(m·K)
- $\rho$: density, kg/m³

**Subscripts**
- ave: average
- c: cooling water
- exp: expanded or experimental
- f: friction
- i: inner
- l: liquid
- in: inlet
- o: outer
- out: outlet
- pri: pristine
- pre: predicted
- r: refrigerant
- v: vapor
- w: wall

---

$A$: refrigerant side surface area, m²

$A_c$: channel cross-sectional area, m²

$A_{fr}$: channel surface area based on the fin root diameter, m²

$d_{fr}$: fin root diameter, m

$d_o$: outside diameter, m

$e$: fin height, m

$G$: mass flux, kg/(m²·s)

$h$: heat transfer coefficient, W/(m²·K)

$i$: specific enthalpy, J/kg

$i_v$: latent heat of vaporization, J/kg

$I$: electric current, A

$l$: effective heat transfer length, m

$L$: micro-fin tube length, m

$m$: mass flow rate, kg/s

$n$: number of fins

$U$: electric voltage, V

$p$: pressure, Pa

$p_f$: rib pitch normal to the fins, m

$Q$: heat transfer rate, W

$t$: temperature, °C

$x$: vapor quality