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Abstract—This paper presents novel designs of frequency reconfigurable distributed non-reciprocal bandpass filter and diplexer based on spatio-temporally modulated microstrip $\lambda_g/2$ resonators. The modulation is achieved by loading both ends of the $\lambda_g/2$ transmission line resonators with time-modulated capacitors. To provide an inherent isolation between the RF and the modulation signals, the modulation voltage source is connected at the center of the resonator, where there is a natural voltage null. A single inductor is used to further enhance such biasing isolation. The wideband nature of this isolation scheme enables the tuning of the devices over a wide frequency range.

With more than 30-dB RF to modulation isolation, the proposed resonator structure also enables low insertion loss by eliminating RF signal leakage to the modulation ports. Two examples of a 3-pole bandpass filter and a diplexer are demonstrated with good agreement between the measurement and the simulation. The fabricated filter shows a minimal insertion loss of 3\,dB, a 20-dB isolation bandwidth of 42\,MHz at 1.0\,GHz, and frequency tuning range of 885–1031\,MHz. The measured diplexer has two non-reciprocal bandpass channels at 829\,MHz and 997\,MHz, respectively. The two channels can be independently reconfigured without affecting each other.

Index Terms—Bandpass filter (BPF), diplexer, distributed, isolator, microstrip, magnetic-free, non-reciprocal, time-invariant, time-modulated, tunable.

I. INTRODUCTION

I

N-BAND full-duplex (IBFD) is an emerging wireless communication paradigm that has recently drawn much interest in both academic and industrial communities. IBFD allows co-located transmitters (TXs) and receivers (RXs) to operate at the same time and the same frequency, which in principle doubles the spectral efficiency and alleviates self-collision as well as hidden node problems [1]–[3]. In IBFD systems, non-reciprocal devices — circulators and isolators — are often used to provide the Tx-Rx isolation [4]–[6].

Conventional circulators and isolators are usually implemented with ferrite materials [7], [8]. Such devices are bulky, expensive, and difficult to integrate with other circuit blocks. Active non-reciprocal devices have been proposed with miniaturized sizes and the possibilities to be integrated with CMOS circuits [9]–[11]. However, their applications are limited due to low linearity, low power handling, and poor noise performance [12]. Coupled nonlinear resonances have also been used to generate non-reciprocity at both optical [13], [14] and microwave frequencies [15]. However, their operation is power-dependent, and thus inherently leads to significant signal distortion.

Recently, linear periodically time-varying (LPTV) circuits have been proposed as a new approach to achieve magnetic-free non-reciprocity [16]. Depending on their implementations, LPTV circuits can be broadly categorized into 4 groups: 1) permittivity-modulated; 2) permeability-modulated; 3) resonance-modulated; 4) conductivity-modulated.

Permittivity modulation is achieved in [17], [18] by periodically loading a balanced transmission line with varactors modulated by a single tone traveling-wave of frequency $f_m$ and amplitude $V_m$. RF signal at $f_{RF}$ excited in the same direction as the modulation wave is converted to mixing products whereas signals going in the opposite direction will pass through the transmission line. Non-reciprocity is obtained at the mixing frequency $f_m - f_{RF}$ and thus extra duplexers are needed to separate the TX and RX signals ($f_{RF}$ and $f_m - f_{RF}$, respectively) to exhibit a circulator performance [17]. The detailed analysis in [17] shows that to have a full conversion in the forward direction, the minimum optimum transmission line length of $\lambda_g/(\sqrt{2}\xi)$ is needed, where $\lambda_g$ is the guided wavelength at $f_{RF}$ and $\xi$ is the capacitance modulation index. This results in a large circuit size. Permittivity modulation in the form of angular-momentum biasing of a resonant ring also achieves strong non-reciprocal circulator responses [19]. Permeability modulation has been investigated by using Josephson junctions as nonlinear inductors [20].

Instead of modulating the permittivity or permeability in a continuous fashion, Estep et al. modulates three lumped-element $LC$ resonators connected in either a $\Delta$ or $Y$ topology to reduce the circuit size [21], [22]. Several extensions of this
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concept are reported in [23]-[28]. It is also shown that the linearity of such circulators can be improved by combining two circulators in a differential fashion [29] at the cost of doubling the circuit size and numbers of components.

Conductivity-modulated circulator circuit is first reported in a CMOS process in [30]. In this work, a staggered commutation network with non-reciprocal $\pi$ phase shift is combined with a $3\lambda_g/4$ transmission-line loop. Asymmetrical circuit configuration is used to enhance the TX-Antenna power handling but at the penalty of impedance mismatches at the ports. Besides, the circuit needs the switching frequency $f_m$ to be the same with the non-reciprocal center frequency $f_{RF}$ resulting in challenges in the power consumption and leakage suppression between $f_m$ and $f_{RF}$. In [31], a non-reciprocal isolator consisting of a delay line sandwiched between two time-modulated resistors is presented. A gyrator with a non-reciprocal phase difference of $\pi$ is also realized and applied to implement a fully integrated passive circulator in a 45-nm silicon-on-insulator (SOI) CMOS [31]-[33], where the modulation (switch) frequency is lowered to one-third of the non-reciprocal frequency. The same idea is applied to implement an RF non-reciprocal gyrator and circulator based on switched acoustic delay lines in [34].

In [35], we demonstrate a novel non-reciprocal bandpass filter using spatio-temporal modulation of a lumped-element coupled-resonator filter. A full-spectra domain method is developed to analyze and synthesize the time-varying network properties. We show that the same-frequency non-reciprocity is a result of the non-reciprocal frequency conversion to and from the inter-modulation (IM) frequencies by the time-varying resonators. The analysis of such filters is generalized to a time-varying coupling matrix approach in [40]. To verify the analysis, non-reciprocal filters based on time-modulated microstrip resonators are designed. Although good correlation between the theoretical and measured results is observed, the complicated biasing design as well as the cross coupling effect lead to sub-optimal filter responses (more details will be given in Section III-D).

As an alternative solution, a 2-pole distributed non-reciprocal filter based on time-modulated $\lambda_g/2$ resonators is reported in [37]. The modulation sources are directly loaded to the RF resonators, leading to a weak $f_m$-$f_{RF}$ isolation of around 10 dB. As a result, spurious even-mode resonances deteriorate the filtering performances.

In this paper, we extend the work of [37] in the following aspects:

1) Detailed analysis of the biasing/modulation scheme has been given and validated in a new 3-pole non-reciprocal filter design. We demonstrate that with the help of a single inductor, an inherent and wideband $f_m$-$f_{RF}$ isolation of better than 30 dB can be achieved by taking advantage of the voltage null point near the center of $\lambda_g/2$ resonators. The high $f_m$-$f_{RF}$ isolation not only eliminates the spurious resonances but also results in less RF leakage and lower forward insertion loss.

2) A non-reciprocal diplexer with integrated functions of a conventional bandpass diplexer and an isolator is presented. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first time that a non-reciprocal diplexer has been presented.

3) Owing to the wideband nature of the biasing/modulation scheme, we demonstrate frequency tuning capabilities in both the non-reciprocal filter and the non-reciprocal diplexer designs.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, distributed time-varying resonator is described. First, a review of the reported time-varying resonators is provided to elaborate on their limitations. Subsequently, distributed time-varying resonator and its static as well as time-varying properties are presented. The bias setup for the proposed time-varying resonator is also discussed in detail. Section III demonstrates the experimental results of a non-reciprocal filter and diplexer. The frequency reconfigurability of both designs is also examined in section III-C. Section III-D compares its performance with the state-of-art. Finally, a conclusion is given in Section IV.

II. TIME-VARYING RESONATORS

A. Review of Reported Time-Varying Resonators

Existing works on spatio-temporal modulation (STM) based non-reciprocal components are predominantly based on modulating lumped-element resonators [21], [22], [35]. Both series
resonators [Fig. 1(a)] and shunt resonators [Fig. 1(b)] have been used. Solid-state diode varactors together with a static inductor are used to create a time-varying resonance. As illustrated in Fig. 1, a key design challenge in these time-varying resonators lies in separating the RF and modulation signals. This is usually achieved by duplexing circuits. In Fig. 1(a), for example, $C_{bpf}$ and $L_{bpf}$ constitute a bandpass filter centered at the modulation frequency $f_m$ to prevent the RF signal from leaking into the modulation voltage source. Because the bandpass filter also blocks the dc bias voltage, an additional dc return pass is provided by a large inductor $L_{rfc}$ as an RF choke. Similarly, in Fig. 1(b), the modulation voltage source is isolated by a low-pass filter and a static dc-blocking capacitor $C_{dc}$. Obviously, in a practical implementation, the addition of the bias and duplexing circuits will add to the insertion loss of the overall circuit.

In addition, it is important to realize that $f_m$ is approximately equal to the inherent static RF bandwidth $BW$ of the non-reciprocal filter [35]. A larger static $BW$ together with higher $f_m$ can provide wider non-reciprocal bandwidth. As a result, the cut-off frequency of the duplexing circuit must also be increased to accommodate the larger $f_m$, which in turn will reduce the rejection level at the RF frequency. Taking the bandpass biasing case for an example, as shown in Fig. 1(c), the bandpass biasing attenuation at the non-reciprocal passband frequency $f_0$ decreases with the increase of $f_m$, leading to more RF leakage to the modulation sources. The same situation can be found in the lowpass bias case. This can be solved by increasing the order of the biasing bandpass or lowpass filters to have higher roll-off, although at the cost of increased circuit complexity and higher insertion loss of the overall circuit.

Lastly, lumped inductors and capacitors are known to have relatively low quality factor ($Q$) and high parasitics that limit their use to relatively low frequencies.

Fig. 2. (a) Resonant structure of the proposed time-varying microstrip $\lambda_0/2$ resonator. $C_v$ is the equivalent capacitance of the reversely biased varactor. $f_0$ is the resonance frequency and also the filter passband center frequency. (b) Odd-mode equivalent circuit. (c) The spice model of the loaded varactors. (d) Nonlinear $C$-$V$ curve of the varactors.

B. Proposed Distributed Time-Varying Resonator

To overcome the difficulties of lumped-element resonators in terms of biasing, loss, and parasitics, we propose a distributed time-varying resonator as shown in Fig. 2. To modulate the resonant frequency, the half-wavelength ($\lambda_0/2$) resonator is symmetrically loaded by varactors at both two ends. The modulating wave is applied at the center of the resonator which is a virtual ground at $f_{RF}$ but not at $f_m$. As a result, the proposed time-varying distributed resonator can realize inherent isolation between the RF signal and the modulation signal, besides having higher $Q$ and lower parasitics than lumped-element resonators.

1) Resonant frequency: Due to the symmetry of the $\lambda_0/2$ resonator, its resonant frequency can be analyzed by its odd-mode circuit shown in Fig. 2(b). The input admittance to the odd-mode circuit is

$$Y_{in} = j(\omega C_v - Y_r \cot \frac{\theta}{2}). \quad (1)$$

The resonant frequency $f$ can be solved by setting $I_m\{Y_{in}\} = 0$,

$$f = \frac{Y_r}{2\pi C_v} \cot \frac{\theta}{2}. \quad (2)$$

In this work, an 80-Ω transmission line with $\theta = 80^\circ$ and an equivalent static capacitance of 2.35 pF are chosen for a nominal (static) resonant frequency of 1.0 GHz. Skyworks SMV1234 varactors are used to modulate the resonator. The nominal capacitance of 2.35 pF is achieved at a reversely biased dc voltage of 5.5 V [38]. Fig. 2(c) shows the equivalent circuit model of the varactor and Fig. 2(d) shows its $C$-$V$ characteristics.

The resonant frequency of the resonator can be modulated by modulating the varactors with a sinusoidal voltage $V_m$. 

Fig. 3. (a) Proposed time-modulated resonator with weak external coupling to extract the time-variant resonant frequency. (b) Extracted time-varying resonant frequency with respect to the modulation amplitude $V_m$. Here, $V_{dc} = 0.45$ V, $V_m = 1.0$ V, and $R_B = 50$ Ω.
2) Bias isolation: With the modulation circuitry connected to the center of the $\lambda_g/2$ resonator, an inherent isolation between the bias signal and the RF signal is provided. If the resonator is under an odd mode excitation, the RF signal is ideally isolated from the bias signal due to the symmetry of the structure [Fig. 2(a)]. In an actual filter, however, the resonators are usually not excited with an ideal differential waveform. The setup of Fig. 4(a) is used to understand the $f_m$-$f_{RF}$ isolation through S-parameter simulations. In S-parameter simulation, all ports are matched while one of the ports (e.g., Port 1) is excited with a source. As such, the excitation is a combination of odd mode and even mode sources. Due to the existence of the even mode effect, the voltage on the $\lambda_g/2$ resonator is not ideally symmetric, leading to a non-perfect isolation. In fact, Fig. 4(b) shows that only 10-dB $f_m$-$f_{RF}$ isolation can be achieved at $f_0$. As seen in Fig. 3, such a weak biasing isolation results in a strong loading effect of the modulation circuit on the even-mode resonances and introduces undesired spurious responses.

Fig. 3(a) shows the simulation setup to study the time-varying resonant properties of the proposed resonator. The resonator is weakly coupled to the source and the load by two 0.03-pF capacitors. Harmonic balance in Keysight Advanced Design System (ADS) is used to extract the time-varying resonant frequency [Fig. 3(b)]. Here, a 50-MHz sinusoidal wave with phase $\phi = 0^\circ$ and various amplitude $V_m$ is used as the modulation waveform. The resonance is time-invariant when $V_m = 0$ V, which is the case without time-modulation. Higher $V_m$ results in a larger modulation on the resonance. Note that the resonant frequency is nonlinear with respect to the modulation waveform due to the nonlinearity of $V$ and the varactor’s nonlinear $C-V$ characteristics.

To provide an enhanced isolation, additional low-pass filter can be inserted at the modulation port. A simple implementation takes the form of a series inductor $L_m$. Fig. 3(b) shows the $f_m$-$f_{RF}$ isolation for various $L_m$ values. An $L_m$ value of 68 nH can improve the isolation at $f_{RF}$ by almost 20 dB. Isolation at $f_m$ remains almost the same at 35 dB. Note that $|S_{31}|$ is equal to $|S_{32}|$ due to the symmetry and that the isolation between both the RF ports and the modulation port is very good. The enhanced $f_m$-$f_{RF}$ isolation also leads to lower RF transmission insertion loss between the two RF ports. As seen in Fig. 3(c) and (d), the model in Fig. 3(a) has a passband centered at 1.0 GHz. Due to the $f_{RF}$ leakage to the modulation branch, 0.66-dB insertion loss can be observed.
from the filter transmission coefficient $|S_{21}|$ when $L_m = 0$. With an inductor $L_m$ of 68 nH, a 0.38-dB improvement of insertion loss can be attained.

Although a small $f_m$ value is used in this work, the depicted isolation behaviour of Fig. 4(b) indicates that larger $f_m$ values are also as effective. This property may benefit other applications, such as high-performance circulators. For example, STM circulators using higher $f_m$ have lower transmission insertion loss, smaller intermodulation products, better port matching, and larger separation between the desired RF signal and the intermodulation products [22].

Note that distributed time-modulated resonator was also presented in [36]. For illustration purpose, it is re-produced in Fig. 5(a) with input/output couplings. A direct comparison between the biasing schemes of this work and that of [36] is presented in Fig. 5(b), (c), and (d). To make a fair comparison, the time-varying resonators are designed at the same resonant frequency 1.0 GHz. It can be clearly seen that the $f_m f_{RF}$ isolation of the biasing scheme in this work is significantly better than that of [36].

Similar to previous works in STM-based non-reciprocal circuits (21, 22, 35), the $f_m f_{RF}$ isolation in [36] solely relies on the inductor $L_m$. In contrast, this work takes advantage of the virtual ground in $\lambda_g/2$ resonator to present a biasing scheme that is much more effective than [36] in terms of $f_m f_{RF}$ isolation, isolation bandwidth, and simplicity.

III. APPLICATIONS AND RESULTS

Non-reciprocal bandpass filter and diplexer using the proposed time-modulated $\lambda_g/2$ resonators are presented in this section. The filter and diplexer are designed on Rogers 4003C substrates with a thickness of 0.813 mm, a relative permittivity of 3.55, and a loss tangent of 0.0027. As illustrated in [35], the design of non-reciprocal filter can start with designing a conventional reciprocal filter of static specifications. The final non-reciprocal filtering performances can be achieved by applying proper time-modulation with a modulation phase difference ($\Delta\phi$) between the adjacent resonators. The close-to-optimum modulation is found to have $\Delta\phi = 45^\circ$ and $f_m \simeq BW$ [35].

A. Non-Reciprocal Filter And Results

The design of a 3-pole non-reciprocal filter of the in-line coupled diagram in Fig. 6(a) starts with a conventional static and reciprocal 3-pole filter [35]. The corresponding static filter is specified to be centered at 1.0 GHz with a Chebyshev response having a passband ripple of 0.04 dB and a ripple bandwidth of 60 MHz. The corresponding lowpass prototype filter has element values of $g_0 = 1.0$, $g_1 = 0.8516$, $g_2 = 1.1032$, $g_3 = 0.8516$, and $g_4 = 1.0$. The design parameters, namely the coupling coefficient $M_{ij}$ and the external quality factor $Q_e$, can be obtained as follows [39]:

$$M_{12} = \frac{FBW}{\sqrt{g_0 g_2}} = 0.062, \quad M_{23} = \frac{FBW}{\sqrt{g_2 g_3}} = 0.062, \quad (3)$$

$$Q_e = \frac{g_3 g_4}{FBW} = g_3 g_4 = 14.2. \quad (4)$$

According to the analysis in Section II, a characteristic impedance of 80 $\Omega$ is chosen for the microstrip resonator. An electrical length of 80$^\circ$ and loaded equivalent capacitance of 2.35 pF are chosen for a center frequency of 1.0 GHz.

The layout of the 3-pole filter is shown in Fig. 6(b). The three time-modulated microstrip resonators of ring configuration are in-line coupled to each other. The resonator strip width along the coupling side is slightly reduced to provide larger coupling to meet the bandwidth requirement. The dimensions of the resonators are $L_1 = 7.80 \text{ mm}$, $L_2 = 13.42 \text{ mm}$, $w_1 = 0.80 \text{ mm}$, $w_2 = 0.40 \text{ mm}$, and $w_3 = 0.80 \text{ mm}$. The varactors are reversely biased at 6.4 V to provide an equivalent static capacitance of 2.0 pF.

The dimensions of the coupling structures, $g$ for $M_{12}$ ($M_{23}$) and $L_{f1}$, $g_f$, and $w_f$ for $Q_e$, can be extracted by conventional filter design method [39]. $g$ is chosen to be 0.77 mm to realize an $M_{ij}$ of 0.062. $w_f = 0.4 \text{ mm}$, $L_{f1} = 18.3 \text{ mm}$, and $g_f = 0.17 \text{ mm}$ are chosen to realize a $Q_e$ of 14.2.

A photo of the fabricated 3-pole filter is shown in Fig. 6(c).
Fig. 7. Results of the 3-pole non-reciprocal microstrip filter. (a) Static responses. (b) Non-reciprocal transmission $|S_{21}|$ and $|S_{12}|$ under proper modulation. $BW_{IX}$ refers to the 20 dB $IX$ bandwidth. (c) $|S_{11}|$ of the non-reciprocal filter.

The filter occupies an area of $25 \text{ mm} \times 18 \text{ mm}$ ($0.14 \lambda_g \times 0.1 \lambda_g$, where $\lambda_g$ is the guided wavelength at the center frequency).

The simulated and measured results of the static 3-pole filter are shown in Fig. 7(a). Without modulation, the static filter exhibits a conventional reciprocal 3-pole Chebyshev passband at 1.0 GHz, which is close to its static specifications. The measured minimum insertion loss is 2.6 dB which is mainly due to the loss of the varactors. The measured transmission 3-dB bandwidth is 959–1055 MHz (fractional bandwidth of 9.6%). Moreover, the measured return loss is better than 20 dB across the passband.

Next, to achieve a non-reciprocal bandpass filter performance, time-modulation with incremental phase $\Delta \varphi = 45^\circ$ is applied. The resonant frequencies of the resonators are modulated by applying sinusoidal waves to the loading varactors. The modulation phase difference between adjacent resonators is $45^\circ$. $f_m$ and $V_m$ are optimized to be 65 MHz and 1.5 V, respectively. The resulting non-reciprocal responses are shown in Fig. 7(b) and Fig. 7(c), where two isolation poles and two reflection poles can be observed in both simulation and measurement. Close agreement between the simulated and measured responses can be observed. The measured 3-dB transmission bandwidth of the modulated filter is 958–1044 MHz, i.e., $BW_{3dB} = 86$ MHz. The measured forward insertion loss ($|S_{21}|$) is 3.9 dB, which is 1.1 dB higher than the static case. This is mainly due to the power conversion to the other intermodulation (IM) frequencies. The measured backward isolation (IX) is $23.2$ dB at the center frequency 1.0 GHz. The measured 20-dB IX bandwidth ($BW_{IX}$) is 978–1020 MHz, i.e., $BW_{IX} = 42$ MHz. Note that $BW_{IX}$ is smaller than transmission bandwidth $BW_{3dB}$. This is generally true in other STM-based circulator/isolator works [21], [29], [35], [36]. The non-reciprocal bandwidth is bounded by the inherent static bandwidth and $2f_m$ [28]. Beyond the 20-dB isolation bandwidth the filter show weak non-reciprocity. For example, $|S_{12}|$ at 1050 MHz is $-10$ dB and it is close to $|S_{21}|$. The measured return loss across the 20-dB isolation bandwidth is better than 16.1 dB.

The filter has a static Chebyshev response [Fig. 7(a)] as specified. Different from the work in [35], there is no deterioration at the upper band-edge transmission because a true in-line coupled topology without cross coupling is achieved in this design. Interestingly, its corresponding modulating state becomes a quasi-elliptic response with two transmission zeros at 895 MHz and 1100 MHz, respectively. These two transmission zeros are the results of “cross coupling” in the time-modulated network. Here, unlike in conventional filter
designs that cross coupling is introduced by two or more intentionally designed physical paths, the cross coupling in a time-modulated network is created because of the RF signal cancellation between these multiple equivalent conversion paths (RF-IM-RF) and the main RF-RF transmission path.

The power handling of the non-reciprocal filter is mainly limited by the nonlinearity of the varactors. Fig. 8 shows the simulated and measured input-referred 1-dB compression point (P1dB) and the input-referred third-order intercept point (IP3). The IP3 measurement is done with two signals at 990 MHz and 1010 MHz. The measured P1dB is 9.2 dBm and the measured IP3 is 11.8 dBm. The linearity and power handling of the non-reciprocal filter may be improved by using stacked diodes configurations [40, 41].

B. Non-Reciprocal Diplexer And Results

In this subsection, the first demonstration of a non-reciprocal diplexer is presented by using the proposed time-modulated λg/2 resonators. The diplexer integrates functions of an isolator and a conventional bandpass diplexer. Fig. 9 (a) illustrates the coupling diagram of the proposed diplexer. It has two filtering channels operating at RF frequency f1 and f2, respectively. Both channels are composed of in-line coupled resonators, whose resonant frequencies are modulated by sinusoidal waves of incremental modulation phase ∆φ. By setting ∆φ = 45°, the RF signal power at f1 and f2 can be transmitted from the input port 1 to the output ports 2 and 3, respectively. Note that due to the odd-symmetry of spatio-temporal modulation in filtering networks [35], the transmission direction in Fig. 9 (a) can be readily interchanged by setting ∆φ = 135°.

Similar to the design of non-reciprocal filters, the design of the non-reciprocal diplexer starts from its corresponding static state. Non-reciprocal performance can then be obtained by applying the proper modulation. In this design example, the two channels are specified at 835 MHz and 1.0 GHz, respectively. The static states of both channels exhibit a reciprocal Chebyshev response having a passband ripple of 0.04 dB and an equal ripple bandwidth of 33 MHz. Following the resonator analysis in Section II and the coupling analysis in Section III-A, Fig. 9 (b) shows the microstrip layout of the implemented diplexer using the varactor-loaded λg/2 resonators. The varactors loaded to the left hand side of Port 1 are reversely biased at 5.9 V, while those loaded to the right hand side are reversely biased at 6.3 V. Fig. 9 (c) shows a photo of the fabricated non-reciprocal diplexer. It occupies an area of 64 mm x 23 mm (0.3 λg1 x 0.1 λg1, where λg1 is the guided wavelength at the center frequency 835 MHz of channel 1).

The static responses of the diplexer are shown in Fig. 10 (a) in the next page. Channel 1 and channel 2 have reciprocal filtering passband at 835 MHz and 998 MHz, respectively. The measured insertion loss is 3.8 dB for channel 1 and 4.5 dB for channel 2. The measured transmission 3-dB bandwidth of the two channels are 56 MHz and 53 MHz, respectively. Despite using the same resonator structure, the insertion loss of channel 2 of the diplexer [Fig. 10 (a)] is about 1.9 dB higher than that of the 3-pole filter [Fig. 7 (a)] due to the smaller bandwidth of the diplexer channels. The measured return loss across the two channels is better than 19 dB [Fig. 10 (a)]. More than 42-dB all-band isolation between the two output ports is obtained [Fig. 10 (a)]. The achieved high output port isolation is the result of resonance discrepancies between the two channels. As we will see in Section III-C, such high channel isolation allows an independent design of spatio-temporal modulation parameters for the diplexer channels.

To realize non-reciprocity in the diplexer, time-modulation with ∆φ = 45° is applied to the three resonators of channels 1 and 2. The optimized modulation parameters for channel 1 are fm1 = 45 MHz and Vm1 = 0.9 V, while those of channel 2 are fm2 = 42.5 MHz and Vm2 = 0.75 V. The simulated and measured responses of the non-reciprocal diplexer are compared in Fig. 10 (b)–(d). Both channels of the diplexer show obvious non-reciprocal filtering performances. Two distinctive isolation poles at the reverse attenuation profiles of
Frequency tunability is of great interest to future wireless communications and sensing systems for better utilization of the limited frequency spectrum resources. For the filters presented in [35], because the true incremental modulation phase $\Delta \phi$ across the varactors depends not only on the incremental phase of modulation sources but also on the unequal modulation feed lines. As a result, it is hard to reconfigure the non-reciprocity frequency. In contrast, $\Delta \phi$ in the proposed work is independent of the modulation feed lines which are the same for all the modulation sources. Hence, the optimum value of $\Delta \phi = 45^\circ$ can always be satisfied for different $f_m$ by simply setting the incremental phase of external modulation sources to $45^\circ$. Accordingly, by changing the bias dc voltage ($V_{dc}$) and modulation parameters ($f_m$ and $V_m$), the proposed non-reciprocal filter and diplexer can be tuned over a wide range in terms of the non-reciprocity frequency. Fig. 11(a)–(c) in the next page show the frequency tuning performance of the 3-pole non-reciprocal filter. Although only a few discrete states are shown, the non-reciprocal filter can be continuously tuned. Specifically, the 3-pole non-reciprocal filter can be tuned from 885 MHz to 1031 MHz, while maintaining an isolation larger than 20 dB, a passband return loss better than 10.9 dB, and a close-to-constant 20-dB isolation bandwidth of 44 MHz. Two distinct isolation poles in Fig. 11(b) and two reflection poles in Fig. 11(c) are observed in all the reconfigured states.

The tuning parameters ($V_{dc}, V_m, \Delta \phi$, and $f_m$) and critical performances of the tunable non-reciprocal filter are listed in Table I. The insertion loss is lower at higher frequencies because the varactor quality factor is higher at smaller capacitance. This is generally observed in other solid-state varactor based tunable circuits [43], [44].

In a similar manner, the two channels of the proposed non-reciprocal diplexer can also be tuned. Take channel 1 for example, a tuning range of 815–851 MHz is achieved by varying the dc voltage and modulation parameters of channel 1 [Fig. 11(d) and (e)]. There is only a slight loading effect on the matching of channel 2 when channel 1 is tuned [Fig. 11(d)–(f)]. This shows that the two channels of the proposed diplexer can be independently optimized and modulated, i.e., channel 2 can also be tuned without affecting channel 1. Several state parameters of the tunable non-reciprocal diplexer are also summarized in Table III. Only channel 1 is characterized because channel 2 under the three tuning states has essentially the same characteristics as described in Section III-B.

### C. Frequency Tunability

Fig. 11. The impulse response of the 3-pole non-reciprocal microstrip diplexer. (a) Static responses. (b) $|S_{11}|$, $|S_{12}|$, $|S_{13}|$, and $|S_{31}|$ of the non-reciprocal diplexer. (c) $|S_{11}|$ of the non-reciprocal diplexer. (d) $|S_{23}|$ and $|S_{12}|$ of the non-reciprocal diplexer.

Each channel can be observed from Fig. 10(b). As seen in Fig. 10(b), channel 1 has a measured transmission insertion loss of 4.9 dB. The backward isolation at the center frequency 829 MHz is 25 dB and it is better than 20 dB in the range of 810–848 MHz, i.e., $BW_{1X} = 38$ MHz for channel 1. Channel 2 has a measured transmission insertion loss of 6.1 dB [Fig. 10(b)]. The backward isolation at the center frequency 997 MHz is 27.7 dB and it is better than 20 dB in the range of 978–1015 MHz, i.e., $BW_{2X} = 37$ MHz for channel 2. The measured return loss over the 20-dB isolation band is shown to be better than 12.1 dB for channel 1 and better than 13.2 dB for channel 2 [Fig. 10(c)]. Higher than 43.5-dB output port isolation is maintained in Fig. 10(d).

Compared to the static results of Fig. 10(a), we can observe an additional insertion loss of 1.1 dB and 1.6 dB for channel 1 and channel 2, respectively. This is due to the signal conversion loss [35]. Future works can be done to lower the insertion loss by using either high-Q resonators [42] or differential circuit configurations [29].

### C. Frequency Tunability

Frequency tunability is of great interest to future wireless communications and sensing systems for better utilization of the limited frequency spectrum resources. For the filters presented in [35], because the true incremental modulation phase $\Delta \phi$ across the varactors depends not only on the incremental phase of modulation sources but also on the unequal modulation feed lines. As a result, it is hard to reconfigure the non-reciprocity frequency. In contrast, $\Delta \phi$ in the proposed work is independent of the modulation feed lines which are the same for all the modulation sources. Hence, the optimum value of $\Delta \phi = 45^\circ$ can always be satisfied for different $f_m$ by simply setting the incremental phase of external modulation sources to $45^\circ$. Accordingly, by changing the bias dc voltage ($V_{dc}$) and modulation parameters ($f_m$ and $V_m$), the proposed non-reciprocal filter and diplexer can be tuned over a wide range in terms of the non-reciprocity frequency. Fig. 11(a)–(c) in the next page show the frequency tuning performance of the 3-pole non-reciprocal filter. Although only a few discrete states are shown, the non-reciprocal filter can be continuously tuned. Specifically, the 3-pole non-reciprocal filter can be tuned from 885 MHz to 1031 MHz, while maintaining an isolation larger than 20 dB, a passband return loss better than 10.9 dB, and a close-to-constant 20-dB isolation bandwidth of 44 MHz. Two distinct isolation poles in Fig. 11(b) and two reflection poles in Fig. 11(c) are observed in all the reconfigured states.

The tuning parameters ($V_{dc}, V_m, \Delta \phi$, and $f_m$) and critical performances of the tunable non-reciprocal filter are listed in Table I. The insertion loss is lower at higher frequencies because the varactor quality factor is higher at smaller capacitance. This is generally observed in other solid-state varactor based tunable circuits [43], [44].

In a similar manner, the two channels of the proposed non-reciprocal diplexer can also be tuned. Take channel 1 for example, a tuning range of 815–851 MHz is achieved by varying the dc voltage and modulation parameters of channel 1 [Fig. 11(d) and (e)]. There is only a slight loading effect on the matching of channel 2 when channel 1 is tuned [Fig. 11(d)–(f)]. This shows that the two channels of the proposed diplexer can be independently optimized and modulated, i.e., channel 2 can also be tuned without affecting channel 1. Several state parameters of the tunable non-reciprocal diplexer are also summarized in Table III. Only channel 1 is characterized because channel 2 under the three tuning states has essentially the same characteristics as described in Section III-B.

### C. Frequency Tunability

Fig. 11. The impulse response of the 3-pole non-reciprocal microstrip diplexer. (a) Static responses. (b) $|S_{11}|$, $|S_{12}|$, $|S_{13}|$, and $|S_{31}|$ of the non-reciprocal diplexer. (c) $|S_{11}|$ of the non-reciprocal diplexer. (d) $|S_{23}|$ and $|S_{12}|$ of the non-reciprocal diplexer.

Each channel can be observed from Fig. 10(b). As seen in Fig. 10(b), channel 1 has a measured transmission insertion loss of 4.9 dB. The backward isolation at the center frequency 829 MHz is 25 dB and it is better than 20 dB in the range of 810–848 MHz, i.e., $BW_{1X} = 38$ MHz for channel 1. Channel 2 has a measured transmission insertion loss of 6.1 dB [Fig. 10(b)]. The backward isolation at the center frequency 997 MHz is 27.7 dB and it is better than 20 dB in the range of 978–1015 MHz, i.e., $BW_{2X} = 37$ MHz for channel 2. The measured return loss over the 20-dB isolation band is shown to be better than 12.1 dB for channel 1 and better than 13.2 dB for channel 2 [Fig. 10(c)]. Higher than 43.5-dB output port isolation is maintained in Fig. 10(d).

Compared to the static results of Fig. 10(a), we can observe an additional insertion loss of 1.1 dB and 1.6 dB for channel 1 and channel 2, respectively. This is due to the signal conversion loss [35]. Future works can be done to lower the insertion loss by using either high-Q resonators [42] or differential circuit configurations [29].

### C. Frequency Tunability

Frequency tunability is of great interest to future wireless communications and sensing systems for better utilization of the limited frequency spectrum resources. For the filters presented in [35], because the true incremental modulation phase $\Delta \phi$ across the varactors depends not only on the incremental phase of modulation sources but also on the unequal modulation feed lines. As a result, it is hard to reconfigure the non-reciprocity frequency. In contrast, $\Delta \phi$ in the proposed work is independent of the modulation feed lines which are the same for all the modulation sources. Hence, the optimum value of $\Delta \phi = 45^\circ$ can always be satisfied for different $f_m$ by simply setting the incremental phase of external modulation sources to $45^\circ$. Accordingly, by changing the bias dc voltage ($V_{dc}$) and modulation parameters ($f_m$ and $V_m$), the proposed non-reciprocal filter and diplexer can be tuned over a wide range in terms of the non-reciprocity frequency. Fig. 11(a)–(c) in the next page show the frequency tuning performance of the 3-pole non-reciprocal filter. Although only a few discrete states are shown, the non-reciprocal filter can be continuously tuned. Specifically, the 3-pole non-reciprocal filter can be tuned from 885 MHz to 1031 MHz, while maintaining an isolation larger than 20 dB, a passband return loss better than 10.9 dB, and a close-to-constant 20-dB isolation bandwidth of 44 MHz. Two distinct isolation poles in Fig. 11(b) and two reflection poles in Fig. 11(c) are observed in all the reconfigured states.

The tuning parameters ($V_{dc}, V_m, \Delta \phi$, and $f_m$) and critical performances of the tunable non-reciprocal filter are listed in Table I. The insertion loss is lower at higher frequencies because the varactor quality factor is higher at smaller capacitance. This is generally observed in other solid-state varactor based tunable circuits [43], [44].

In a similar manner, the two channels of the proposed non-reciprocal diplexer can also be tuned. Take channel 1 for example, a tuning range of 815–851 MHz is achieved by varying the dc voltage and modulation parameters of channel 1 [Fig. 11(d) and (e)]. There is only a slight loading effect on the matching of channel 2 when channel 1 is tuned [Fig. 11(d)–(f)]. This shows that the two channels of the proposed diplexer can be independently optimized and modulated, i.e., channel 2 can also be tuned without affecting channel 1. Several state parameters of the tunable non-reciprocal diplexer are also summarized in Table III. Only channel 1 is characterized because channel 2 under the three tuning states has essentially the same characteristics as described in Section III-B.
Fig. 11. Measured frequency tuning characteristics. (a), (b), and (c) are the reconfigured $|S_{21}|$, $|S_{12}|$, and $|S_{11}|$, respectively, of the 3-pole non-reciprocal filter, (d) is the reconfigured $|S_{21}|$ and $|S_{31}|$ of the non-reciprocal diplexer, (e) is the reconfigured $|S_{12}|$ and $|S_{13}|$ of the non-reciprocal diplexer, and (f) is the reconfigured $|S_{11}|$ of the non-reciprocal diplexer.

TABLE I

| Circuit | State | $V_{dc}$ (V) | $V_m$ (V) | $f_m$ (MHz) | $\Delta \varphi$ (°) | $f_0$ (MHz) | IL (dB) | IX* (dB) | BW$_{IX}$ (MHz) | RL (dB) |
|---------|-------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|------------|--------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| Filter  | T1    | 3.8         | 0.5       | 60          | 45                | 885        | 4.6    | 22.8   | 44              | > 10.9 |
|         | T2    | 4.5         | 0.66      | 62          | 45                | 954        | 4.2    | 23.7   | 44              | > 15.9 |
|         | T3    | 6.5         | 1.5       | 65          | 45                | 1000       | 3.9    | 23.2   | 42              | > 16.1 |
|         | T4    | 7.5         | 2.1       | 68          | 45                | 1031       | 3.9    | 20.9   | 43              | > 15.1 |
| Diplexer# | T1    | 6.5         | 0.75      | 42          | 45                | 815        | 5.0    | 21.4   | 37              | > 11.4 |
|         | T2    | 5.9         | 0.85      | 42.5        | 45                | 829        | 4.9    | 25.6   | 38              | > 12.1 |
|         | T3    | 5.6         | 0.93      | 43          | 45                | 851        | 4.8    | 26.6   | 34              | > 15.1 |

IL: minimum insertion loss of the forward transmission $|S_{21}|$.
IX*: backward isolation at $f_0$.
BW$_{IX}$: 20-dB isolation bandwidth.
RL: return loss over the 20-dB isolation band;
#: only channel 1 is characterized while channel 2 is unchanged.

Note that frequency tunability has been reported in STM-based circulators in [21] and [29]. However, only single-resonator response of one isolation pole is reported in these works. Due to the large group delay variations around the
center frequency, single-resonator circuits generally present challenges to the system design. Although a circulator of two isolation poles is reported in [28], frequency tunability is not feasible due to the complicated biasing network and the fixed-frequency static resonators used in its design.

We would like to remark that larger $f_m$ as well as higher $V_m$ in Table I are used for achieving non-reciprocity at higher frequency. This is because the corresponding filter has wider static transmission bandwidth $BW$ when the resonator frequency $f_0$ is increased. This is due to the frequency dependent nature of the coupling structures [45]. To have wider tunable range of constant passband bandwidth $BW$, frequency-curve shape design method in [46] and coupling matrix based extraction technique in [47] have been newly developed. Such ideas may be used in the future to improve the tuning performance of the proposed non-reciprocal circuits.

D. Comparison With Previous Works

At the time of this writing, only a few works on STM-based non-reciprocal filters are reported in the literature [35], [36], [48], [49]. In [35], we first presented the concept of using STM to create strong in-band non-reciprocity in an inline coupled-resonator filter. A lumped-element non-reciprocal filter was demonstrated at a center frequency of 200 MHz with a minimum forward insertion loss of 1.5 dB, an in-band reverse isolation better than 20 dB, and an in-band return loss better than 15 dB. Subsequently, a time-varying coupling matrix formulation was developed to analyze such filters [36]. A demonstration was provided with distributed non-reciprocal filters using microstrip time-modulated resonators. The $f_m - f_{RF}$ relied solely on large inductors. In addition, the true incremental modulation phase over the varactors depended not only on the incremental phase between modulation sources but also on the unequal modulation feed lines at each modulation port. This resulted in distorted modulation phases and non-optimal frequency responses. Only one isolation pole was generated in the 3-pole example, limiting the isolation bandwidth. Furthermore, the closely spaced resonators brought undesired cross-coupling effect which caused significant deterioration on the upper band-edge transmission.

In this work, based on the proposed time-modulated $\lambda_g/2$ resonator, a 3-pole non-reciprocal filter of simplified biasing strategy and improved performances is presented. Wideband 30-dB $f_m - f_{RF}$ isolation is achieved by loading the modulation sources to the center of $\lambda_g/2$ resonators where an inherent voltage null exists for the fundamental resonance. Both the RF resonators and modulation circuits are integrated on top of the substrate and an intact ground is maintained to avoid interference especially when multiple RF circuits or elements are stacked or integrated together. Owing to this superior biasing design, improved non-reciprocal filtering responses with two isolation poles and two reflection poles are achieved. Lastly, the ring-type resonators used in this work implements a true inline-coupled topology [Fig. 6(b)], which in return realizes a passband transmission with small insertion loss variation and no band-edge deterioration.

We also note that non-reciprocity in time-modulated coupled-resonator networks has been reported in [48] and [49]. Similar to our findings in [35], Shen et al. showed that STM modulation of two coupled resonators can generate non-reciprocity but with deteriorated forward transmission [48]. In comparison, we showed that at least a 3-pole network is needed to create strong non-reciprocity while maintaining good transmission and reflection characteristics [35]. Indeed, stronger non-reciprocity was achieved in a high-order network. However, only one isolation pole is observed even for a 13-order STM network [49]. The main reason is that a suboptimal modulation phase of $\Delta \varphi = 90^\circ$ was used. In contrast, the non-reciprocal filter in this work verifies that by applying proper modulation of $\Delta \varphi = 45^\circ$ and $f_m \simeq BW$ [35], high-order non-reciprocity of multiple isolation poles (two isolation pole in this paper) can be obtained.

IV. Conclusions

This paper introduces novel designs of distributed non-reciprocal filter and diplexer using time-modulated microstrip $\lambda_g/2$ resonators. Wideband high $f_m - f_{RF}$ isolation is obtained by loading modulation source to the RF resonator at the central voltage null point through a single biasing inductor. The demonstrated filter and diplexer examples are of simple circuit configurations and achieve excellent non-reciprocal filtering performances. The effectiveness of the proposed design ideas is well validated by the close agreement between measurement and simulation results. In addition, reconfigured non-reciprocal characteristics of multiple isolation poles are readily illustrated by varying the reversely biased dc voltage and modulation waves. The work presented in this paper advances the state-of-the-art non-magnetic non-reciprocal devices at RF frequencies and paves a way to tunable function integrated microwave devices.
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