THE POTENTIAL OF SENTINEL-1 DATA TO SUPPLEMENT HIGH RESOLUTION EARTH OBSERVATION DATA FOR MONITORING GREEN AREAS IN CITIES
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ABSTRACT:

Green areas play an important role within urban agglomerations due to their impact on local climate and their recreation function. For detailed monitoring, frameworks like the flora fauna habitat (FFH) classification scheme of the European Union’s Habitat Directive are broadly used. By date, FFH classifications are mostly expert-based. Within this study, a data-driven approach for FFH classification is tested. For two test areas in the municipality of Vienna, ALS point cloud data are used to derive predictor variables like terrain features, vegetation structure and potential insulation as well as reflection properties from full waveform analysis on a 1 m grid. In addition, Sentinel-1 C-band time series data are used to increase the temporal resolution of the predicting features and to add phenological characteristics. For two 1.3 × 1.3 km test tiles, random forest classifiers are trained using different combinations (ALS, SAR, ALS+SAR) of input features. For all model test runs, the combination of ALS and SAR input features lead to best prediction accuracies when applied on test data.

1. INTRODUCTION

Green areas within urban agglomeration provide space for recreation and have considerable impact on local climate (Aram, et al., 2019, Tyrväinen, et al., 2005). Monitoring of green areas and biotope type mapping in the municipality of Vienna is required by nature conservation laws and national and international agreements on monitoring and reporting. The habitat types listed in Annex I of the Habitats Directive (European Union’s Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats of wild fauna and flora, classification, see European Commission, 2006) constitute a particularly relevant and prominent classification scheme. The scheme described therein comprises nine flora fauna habitat (FFH) groups and several sub-groups. To date, classification and mapping is mainly conducted by expert-based identification of general habitat types and plant species. It is labour-intensive, and therefore only conducted in a coarse temporal resolution. Data-driven approaches represent a less labour-intensive alternative that in addition may provide the possibility for a more detailed temporal monitoring. To implement such an approach, it is necessary to find features, which reflect the different FFH types. Morphological characteristics are known to be good predictors for different plant species (Hollaus, et al., 2009, Koenig and Höffe 2016, Puliti, et al., 2017). Additionally, phenological attributes and the temporal and spatial dynamics of the morphological parameters have been shown to be required to achieve a comprehensive classification (Martínková, et al., 2002, Dostálková, et al., 2018, Dostálková, et al., 2021).

The municipality of Vienna provides an extensive geodatabase and uses state-of-the-art methods for collecting geodata by surveying, airborne imaging and airborne laser scanning (ALS). Due to their limitations in terms of spatial resolution, satellite data have not been included in monitoring projects of the municipality of Vienna so far.
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High-resolution earth observation (EO) data, especially point cloud data from airborne campaigns (e.g., ALS) provide valuable information for deriving structural parameters to describe morphological characteristics of vegetation (Hollaus, et al., 2006, Wagner, et al., 2008, Eyns, et al., 2012) and underlying terrain. Due to their low temporal resolution (for Vienna, there are currently only two comprehensive ALS data sets available – 2007, 2015), the dynamics of structural parameters and the phenological characteristics cannot be extracted. The present study explores the possibility to fill this gap with satellite-based data. The two-satellite Sentinel-1 (S-1) constellation, operated as part of the European Copernicus programme, offers a combination of high spatial resolution in interferometric wide swath (IW) acquisition mode combined with a high temporal coverage of up to four acquisitions within a 12-days interval (two satellites and along ascending and descending orbits). As S-1 operates in the microwave portion of the electromagnetic spectrum, the data acquisition is not hampered by cloud cover. The current study aims to gain insight of the potential of EO data for FFH type mapping. Therefore, the replication of the FFH type-based classification of chosen study sites of the most recent biotope types mapping of the municipality of Vienna (Stadt Wien – https://data.wien.gv.at, 2020) using data-driven approaches is targeted. For this purpose, different features are derived from both ALS- and synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data. This data is then analyzed in an explorative manner with reference to already existing FFH type-based classification of the selected areas of interest. In the next step, random forest (RF) models are trained using different combinations of predictors, including ALS-based predictors only, SAR-based predictors only and a combination of both. The accuracy of this fully-automated, supervised classification, and especially the added explanatory power of the S-1 data is investigated. Based on the derived results, the potential usage of S-1 data for future biotope mapping campaigns is discussed.
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**2. DATA**

### 2.1 Habitats Directive classification

For the classification within this study, the targeted groups are the FFH types according Annex I of the Habitat Directive. The classification scheme differentiates a total of 229 FFH types: nine major groups with up to 31 subgroups per group (European Commission, 2006). Of the nine different major groups, five exist within the selected study areas in the municipality of Vienna. The research area comprises a total of 22 different FFH types. The mapping of the FFH classification for green areas within the municipality of Vienna is available as open data (Stadt Wien – https://data.wien.gv.at, 2020). The most recent mapping was conducted in 2008. A comprehensive representation of the occurring types is shown in Table 1.

### 2.2 Study Sites

For this preliminary study, two major green areas within the municipality of Vienna are chosen for further investigation. The two test sites cover two main green area types in Vienna: (A) hilly, mainly forested areas in western Vienna and (B) riparian areas with river meadows and riparian forest vegetation along the Danube River. The five major groups in the areas are freshwater habitats (3), natural and semi-natural grassland formations (6), raised bogs and mires and fens (7), rocky habitats and caves (8) and forests (9).

The first area (study site A) is located in the southwest of Vienna in the Vienna Woods. It covers 28.3 km². The area is hilly and cut by three major valleys in the south, north and northeast. The altitude is between 214 m and 515 m above the Adriatic (AA) with a mean value of 337 m AA. Study site A is dominated by forests (77.6% of the area). The major occurring forest types are Galio-Carpinetum oak-hornbeam forests (48.3%) and Asperulo-Fagetum beech forests (24.1%). 9.3% of the area are covered by natural and semi-natural grassland formations with lowland hay meadows (5.9%) semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (2.6%) as dominant types. 13.9% of the area is not assignable to any FFH type.

The second area of interest (study site B) is situated in the southeast of Vienna in the riparian forests along the Danube River within the Donau-Auen National Park. The area covers 22.9 km². The area is mainly flat with indications of a former dominant and now partly regulated braided river system and a

| Main habitat groups | NATURA 2000 Code (numerical representation for processing) | Name |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------|------|
| Freshwater habitats | (9999) | no FFH type |
| Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia) (* important orchid sites) | 6210 | Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia) (* important orchid sites) |
| * Species-rich Nardus grasslands, on siliceous substrates in mountain areas (and submountain areas in Continental Europe) | 6230 | * Species-rich Nardus grasslands, on siliceous substrates in mountain areas (and submountain areas in Continental Europe) |
| * Sub-Pannonic steppic grasslands | 6240 | * Sub-Pannonic steppic grasslands |
| Molinia meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey-silt-laden soils (Molinion caeruleae) | 6410 | Molinia meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey-silt-laden soils (Molinion caeruleae) |
| Hydrophilous tall herb fringe communities of plains and of the montane to alpine levels | 6430 | Hydrophilous tall herb fringe communities of plains and of the montane to alpine levels |
| Lowland hay meadows (Allopecurus pratensis, Sanguisorba officinalis) | 6510 | Lowland hay meadows (Allopecurus pratensis, Sanguisorba officinalis) |
| Rocky habitats and caves | 7230 | Alkaline fens |
| Western Mediterranean and thermophilous scree | 8130 | Western Mediterranean and thermophilous scree |
| Asperulo-Fagetum beech forests | 9130 | Asperulo-Fagetum beech forests |
| Sub-Atlantic and medio-European oak or oak-hornbeam forests of the Carpinetum betuli | 9160 | Sub-Atlantic and medio-European oak or oak-hornbeam forests of the Carpinetum betuli |
| Galio-Carpinetum oak-hornbeam forests | 9170 | Galio-Carpinetum oak-hornbeam forests |
| * Tilio-Acerion forests of slopes, scree and ravines | 9180 | * Tilio-Acerion forests of slopes, scree and ravines |
| * Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) | 91E0 (9181) | * Alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae) |
| Riparian mixed forests of Quercury robur, Ulmus laevis and Ulmus minor, Fraxinus excelsior or Fraxinus angustifolia, along the great rivers (Ulmenion minoris) | 91F0 (9182) | Riparian mixed forests of Quercury robur, Ulmus laevis and Ulmus minor, Fraxinus excelsior or Fraxinus angustifolia, along the great rivers (Ulmenion minoris) |
| * Pannonic woods with Quercus petraea and Carpinus betulus | 91G0 (9183) | * Pannonic woods with Quercus petraea and Carpinus betulus |
| * Pannonic steppic grasslands | 91H0 (9184) | * Pannonic steppic grasslands |
| * Pannonian steppic grasslands | 91M0 (9185) | * Pannonian steppic grasslands |

Table 1. FFH types occurring in the research areas in the municipality of Vienna, according to Annex I of the European Union’s Council Directive 2006/105/EC natural habitat types of community interest, whose conservation requires the designation of special areas of conservation, see European Commission (2006). The sign * prior to the name indicates priority habitat types. (Stadt Wien – https://data.wien.gv.at, 2020)
floodplain landscape on a mean altitude of 153 m AA, stretching from 147 m to 163 m AA. Study site B is dominated by forests as well (45.6% of the area). The most prominent FFH type is riparian mixed forests of Quercus robur, Ulmus laevis and Ulmus minor, Fraxinus excelsior or Fraxinus angustifolia, along the great rivers (41.1%), followed by the priority habitat type alluvial forests with Alnus glutinosa and Fraxinus excelsior (4.6%). 9% of the area is covered by semi-natural grassland formations with semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (4.6%) and lowland hay meadows (3.5%) as the most common types. 6.8% of the area is classified as freshwater habitat, with 6.5% natural eutrophic lakes with Magnopotamion or Hydrocharitom - type vegetation. The shares of the different FFH types for both study sites are also shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. The proportional coverage of different FFH classes of the two research areas. A: research area in the Vienna Woods (study site A). B: research area Donau-Auen National Park (study site B). The description of the different classes is shown in Table 1. The class “others” refers to FFH types with a coverage of less than 1% of the total research area.

2.3 ALS data

The ALS data used was collected between November 9th and November 24th 2015 under leave-off conditions in eight flight campaigns and covers the entire area of the municipality of Vienna. For the data acquisition, two different scanners were used: a RiegL LMS-Q680 and a RiegL LMS-Q560 (RieGL Laser Measurement Systems, Horn, Austria). Both scanners provide full waveform analysis (RiegL, 2010, RiegL 2012). The acquisition resulted in a point density of >16 echoes/m² for 97% of the whole city area.

2.4 Sentinel-1 data

The SAR features were derived from data from S-1 in C band at a pixel spacing of 10 m. In detail, the Level 1b high resolution ground range detected (GRDH) and Level 1a single look complex (SLC) scenes acquired in the Interferometric Wide (IW) swath mode were used. The data from the relative orbits 22 (descending) and 146 (ascending) was used separately.

3. METHODS

3.1 ALS features

All ALS features were derived directly or indirectly from the ALS point cloud described in 2.3 to 1 m resolution raster files. Terrain parameters such as aspect, slope, topographic positioning index (TPI) and topographic wetness index (TWI) describe the absolute as well as the relative position of a pixel in the surrounding terrain. The parameters were calculated using a pre-
separate metrics for the surface pulse width of the ground and surface echoes were derived. While low surface pulse width values indicate smooth surfaces, higher values indicate higher surface roughness and can vary between different types of vegetation.

The structure features as well as the full waveform features were calculated from the point cloud using OPALS (Pfeifer, et al., 2014). An overview of the metrics derived from ALS is shown in Table 2.

### 3.2 SAR features

From the SAR data, input features sensitive to forest canopy structure and phenological characteristics were derived. Therefore, seasonally averaged backscatter with VV (vertically polarized sent and vertically polarized received), VH (vertically polarized sent and horizontally polarized received) polarization and VH/VV cross ratio were calculated. Interferometric coherence was estimated between subsequent acquisitions with a temporal baseline of six days. Higher contributions of VH backscatter are typically seen as an indicator for volume scattering in vegetation canopies. The products were obtained separately for the relative orbits 22 (descending) and 146 (ascending). The sensitivity of the derived features to vegetation monitoring was already shown in several studies (e.g. Vreugdenhil, et al., 2018, Bruggisser, et al., 2021). Detailed information on the data processing is shown in Dostálová, et al. (2018). The data was harmonized by reprojecting and interpolated to a 1 m grid using gdalwarp (Warmerdam, et al., 2021) with bilinear resampling. Table 3 shows a detailed overview of the derived SAR features.

### 3.3 Exploratory statistics

For a first assessment of the usability of different predictor variables for supervised classification, the distribution of the values of the different SAR and ALS products was analyzed. Therefore, boxplots grouped by the present FFH classification were created for each of the two research areas separately. The potential of selected features as predictions for a FFH type-based classification is discussed.

### 3.4 RF training and prediction

Using multiple predictors, the supervised reproduction of the FFH classification was realized with a RF-model (Breiman, 2001). The model is trained and tested using the ‘ranger’ – Package (Wright, et al., 2020) implemented in R. The calculated features were imported, cropped and combined to raster stacks. For a first test, two tiles of 1.3 km x 1.3 km (one within each study site) were defined. The tiles were further spatially split in 75% training and 25% test area. Cases including missing values in at least one of the features were excluded.

The performance of the model is controlled by several parameters, including the number of trees (ntree), the number of variables used for splitting at each node (mtry) and the maximal depth (max.depth) of the trees. In order to tune the model, the number of trees [250, 500] and the maximum depth [5, 10, 15, 20] were varied. For each of the settings, three RF models were trained using different sets of predictors: the ALS features, the SAR features and both the ALS and SAR features.

The trained RF were subsequently applied on the test data sets to predict the FFH types. The total prediction accuracy for each model was extracted by comparing the predicted values and the actual values for each pixel of the test data sets. For the chosen models, contingency tables were created to gain a more detailed overview of prediction accuracies on different classes. For a visual interpretation, the prediction and reference data was retransformed to a raster stack, exported as a GeoTIFF and plotted.

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Exploratory statistics

For the FFH classification two research areas were investigated using descriptive statistics. The extent of the occurring FFH types varies widely among and within the research areas (Figure 1). Figure 2 shows chosen results of the exploratory statistical analysis of the derived ALS and SAR products. The distribution of the feature values on all occurring FFH types is plotted, but further, only the dominant types covering more than 1% of the area are considered (Figure 1). Henceforth, the Natura 2000 Codes are used for addressing the different FFH types. The corresponding names can be looked up in Table 1.

Within the study site A, the insulation differentiates between the groups of 6210, 6510 and 91F0 and the group of 9130 and 91E0. While the median potential annual solar insulation is between 43 kWh/m² and 47 kWh/m² for the first group, for the second group of areas, the potential energy input varies between 33 kWh/m² and 35 kWh/m². The stand density shows a perfect feature for distinguishing between forests and natural and semi-natural grassland formations. While the median gap fraction (stand density) varies between 0.7 and 1.0 for grassland, the gap fraction of forest areas is close to zero. Within the grassland, the median values of the types 6210 and 6510 differ by about 10 percentage points with type 6510 having higher gap fraction close to one. The biggest differences of the median TWI values within the observed FFH types is apparent between 91E0 and 91F0.

Both VH and VV backscatter show at first sight differences in backscatter intensity between the groups of grassland and forest areas. Within the groups, slight differences are discernible between the FFH types, with 6510 pixels showing a slightly lower median for both the mean summer VH backscatter of orbit 146 and VV backscatter of orbit 022 values. Within the group of forest types, the differences in the distribution are minimal.

For the study site B, a different combination of FFH types is at focus. The total annual insulation differs between the three main FFH type groups in the area. The dominant freshwater habitat 3150 shows the highest values of total annual insulation with a median value of 47 kWh/m² potential annual incoming solar radiation. The values for the grassland areas are slightly lower with 41 kWh/m² for 6210 and 45 kWh/m² for 6510. For the two forest types 91E0 and 91F0, the values are clearly lower with median of the potentially incoming annual insulation radiation of
31 kWh/m² to 33 kWh/m². The values of the gap fraction distinguish again strongly between forests and grassland. The gap fractions of the forest areas are, like in the Vienna Woods, close to zero, while the grasslands and the water habitats show higher numbers. The median value of the class 3150 is about 0.5. The two grassland classes differ again strongly, with 6210 showing a median gap fraction of about 0.6 and 6510 showing a median value close to one. For the TWI, no clear differences are evident. In flat areas like the Donau-Auen National Park, the significance of the TWI to describe hydrological and topographic conditions is limited comparing to hilly areas, as the flow directions are rather undefined (Grabs, et al., 2009). The mean values of the forest classes are slightly lower than for the grasslands and the freshwater habitats. The clearest differences in SAR backscatter values are again found between forest and grassland areas, with forest areas showing higher values for the mean summer backscatter of VH orbit 146 as well as for VV orbit 022. The differences between the two forest types are negligible at the current scale of data analysis. The two grassland groups differ slightly, with 6120 showing higher reflectance values for both considered SAR features. The freshwater habitat 3150 shows values in between the two grassland classes for both SAR features.

4.2 Test tiles

For first tuning and testing of RF models, two tiles of the research areas were extracted. For an independent performance validation of the models, each tile was spatially split in 75% training area and 25% test area. The tiles were chosen by visual inspection of the research areas. The choice was based on heterogeneity of the mapped shapes in the tiles and a great variety of size and coverage of different FFH types. An overview of the chosen tiles is given in Figure 3.

4.3 RF-model on test tiles

After the definition of training and test areas, several test runs on RF tuning were performed using different parameter settings for the number of trees and the maximal depth of the trees. The models were trained with the input features cropped to the described training areas. With regard to the tiles, every single pixel was considered to be a discrete, unique entity. Thus, about 1.27 million pixels were used for training for each tile. The models were applied on 422 500 pixels of test data for each research area (the numbers might be slightly lower due to exclusion of missing values). The results of the model tests are summarized in Table .

The prediction accuracy of models trained using a combination of both, ALS and SAR data was found to be slightly superior to

Figure 2. Boxplots of the distribution of chosen predictors on the different FFH types for each research area.
Table 4. Tuning of the RF and prediction accuracy when applying the trained models on the test data. The best prediction results are highlighted and used for detailed analysis in Table 5.

| Test values | Predicted values relative (absolute) |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|
|              | 6210                  | 6410                  | 6510                  | 9170                  | no FFH type | Σ     |
| 3150         | 4.6% (2265)           | 78.4% (38862)         | 2.1% (1020)           | 0.2% (115)           | 0.2% (1313) | 13.5% (6712) | 0.9% (464)   | 100% (49551) |
| 6210         | 0.0% (1)              | 0.1% (35)             | 92.1% (70707)         | 2.3% (977)           | 0.0% (0)    | 2.5% (1002)  | 3.0% (1225)  | 100% (48357) |
| 6410         | 0.0% (0)              | 0.0% (0)              | 0.0% (0)              | 0.0% (0)             | 0.0% (0)    | 38.1% (8)   | 61.9% (13)  | 100% (21)    |
| 6510         | 0.0% (0)              | 1.4% (343)            | 0.9% (219)            | 0.0% (0)             | 0.0% (0)    | 14.8% (21)  | 85.2% (1229) | 100% (51439) |
| 9170         | 0.0% (0)              | 0.0% (0)              | 0.0% (0)              | 0.0% (0)             | 1.7% (1854) | 85.8% (92129) | 11.0% (11779) | 100% (107328) |
| no FFH type  | 0.0% (0)              | 0.0% (0)              | 0.0% (0)              | 0.0% (0)             | 0.0% (0)    | 0.0% (0)    | 0.0% (0)    | 100% (0)     |
| Σ            | (8980)                | (2416)                | (66715)               | (339746)             | (4641)      | (422590)    |

Table 5. Prediction accuracy of chosen models for Tile A (top) and Tile B (bottom). For both models, ALS and SAR features were used as predictors. Model A (top) was trained with a maximum depth of 5 and 500 trees. For model B (bottom), a maximum depth of 15 and 500 trees were used.

those that are based on either ALS or SAR data alone. Considering the model parameters, for Tile A, 500 trees with a maximum depth of five lead to the largest amount of correctly predicted pixels when used on the test data. For Tile B, 500 trees with a maximum depth of 15 brought the highest correct classification output when used on the test data. These two results are chosen for more detailed investigations.

Table 5 shows the prediction accuracy for the different FFH types for the two test tiles using the chosen models. The rows represent the number of test pixels in the test data for each occurring class, and the columns show the predicted values. The percentage values refer to the total number of test values for each class. The number of correctly classified pixels is highlighted. For both test tiles, the most misclassifications occur within the FFH type groups. To pick an example in Tile A, almost all pixels of class 9130 are predicted as 9170 with both types being forests. In general, smaller number of cases for single classes, both in training and test data, tend to lead to misclassifications in the observed tiles. Dominant classes are prone to be overestimated when predicting the test data. This is the case for both Tile A and Tile B. For Tile A, the most frequently appearing class (9170) in the test set is overestimated in the predictions. The same applies for the class 91F0 in Tile B. The mapping of the model predictions is shown in Figure 3. Plotting the data gives additional information on the spatial distribution of correctly and incorrectly classified pixels. The results of Tile A confirm the dominance of class 9130 and the misclassification of 9170. Basically all values of 9170, which are located in the southwestern corner of the test area, are classified as 9130, both with ALS and SAR predictors only as well as when a combination of both predictor sets is used. While the borders between the forest and the more fragmented grassland area in the southern part are visible, the different segments within the grassland were not properly classified using the presented approach. While the dominance of 6510 is still visible, the delineation between the different grassland types cannot be found
classification work is founded by the FFG Austrian Space Applications Programme ASAP 15, grant agreement number 881400, Anwendungsmöglichkeiten von Sentinel-Daten für ein Monitoring im Umwelt- und Naturschutz in Städten am Beispiel Wien, SeMoNa22.
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