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Bone Marrow-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Differentially Affect Glioblastoma Cell Proliferation, Migration, and Invasion: A 2D-DIGE Proteomic Analysis
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Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BM-MSCs) display high tumor tropism and cause indirect effects through the cytokines they secrete. However, the effects of BM-MSCs on the biological behaviors of glioblastoma multiforme remain unclear. In this study, the conditioned medium from BM-MSCs significantly inhibited the proliferation of C6 cells (P < 0.05) but promoted their migration and invasion (P < 0.05). Two-dimensional fluorescence difference gel electrophoresis (2D-DIGE) proteomic analysis revealed 17 proteins differentially expressed in C6 cells exposed to the BM-MSC-conditioned medium including five upregulated proteins and 12 downregulated proteins. Among these, six differentially expressed proteins (Calr, Set, Oat, Npm1, Ddah1, and Tardbp) were closely related to cell proliferation and differentiation, and nine proteins (Pdia6, Sphk1, Anxa4, Vim, Tubal1c, Actr1b, Actn4, Rap2c, and Tpm2) were associated with motility and the cytoskeleton, which may modulate the invasion and migration of tumor cells. Above all, by identifying the differentially expressed proteins using proteomics and bioinformatics analysis, BM-MSCs could be genetically modified to specifically express tumor-suppressive factors when BM-MSCs are to be used as tumor-selective targeting carriers in the future.

1. Background

Glioma is the most common primary intracranial tumor, and glioblastoma multiforme is the most malignant subtype, with a median overall survival time of 14.6 months [1]. Despite technological advances in surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy in recent years, glioma patients’ prognoses remain unsatisfactory [2]. Recent studies have indicated that stem cell-assisted gene therapy can suppress cancer cells that are resistant to conventional treatments. It has the potential to become a promising treatment strategy for malignant gliomas in preclinical animal models [3].

Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BM-MSCs) are nonhematopoietic stem cells derived from the bone marrow microenvironment. They can self-renew, form colonies, and differentiate into multiple mesodermal cell types. Compared with embryonic or neural stem cells (NSCs), BM-MSCs are increasingly being developed for potential clinical use because they are easily obtained from patients, easily cultivated and isolated, and readily engineered to deliver therapeutic agents [4]. Furthermore, previous studies have reported that BM-MSCs can cross the blood-brain barrier and display tumor-tropic properties in glioma-related models [5]. Therefore, BM-MSCs have
emerged as an attractive carrier for delivering therapeutic genes to tumors and diseased tissues [6, 7].

BM-MSCs can cause a direct effect through intercellular signaling via physical contact with tumor cells and an indirect effect through the secretion of cytokines. However, the role of BM-MSCs in the biological behaviors of various cancers remains controversial [8–10]. In this study, we aimed to explain the influence of BM-MSCs on rat C6 cells in vitro and in vivo to explore the role of BM-MSCs in the tumor microenvironment. Moreover, we identified differentially expressed proteins between C6 and C6 treated with BM-MSC-conditioned medium using two-dimensional fluorescence difference gel electrophoresis (2D-DIGE) in combination with matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/TOF MS). The potential molecular markers contributing to BM-MSC functions were also explored.

2. Methods

2.1. Experimental Animals. Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (male, 3 weeks old) and nude mice (female, 10 days old) were provided by the Laboratory Animal Centre of Southwest Medical University (license number: SCXK (CHUAN) 2013–17). The institutional ethical committee of the affiliated hospital of Southwest Medical University approved all experimental animal studies. The tumor burden did not exceed the recommended dimensions according to the University of Pennsylvania IACUC guidelines, and the animals were anesthetized and sacrificed using acceptable methods.

2.2. Cell Cultures. Rat C6 glioma cells were cultivated in low-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Gibco, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 1% streptomycin-penicillin solution (Gibco), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco), and 2 mM GlutaMAX (Gibco) at 5% CO₂ and 37°C in a humidified atmosphere.

2.3. BM-MSC Isolation, Culture, and Characterization. BM-MSCs were isolated as described previously [11]. Briefly, the bone marrow of euthanized 3-week-old male SD rats was obtained by flushing the marrow cavity of their femurs with low-glucose DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco) and 1% streptomycin-penicillin solution (Gibco). After centrifugation, the cells were resuspended and cultured at 5% CO₂ and 37°C in a humidified atmosphere. After 2 days, the nonadherent cells were removed, and the medium was changed every 2–3 days. BM-MSCs at the third passage were characterized using flow cytometry analysis, and BM-MSCs from the third and fourth passages were used for the following experiments. The presence of the surface markers of BM-MSCs (CD29, CD34, CD45, and CD90) was verified using flow cytometry with the appropriate primary labeled antibodies (Abcam, Cambridge, UK). The differentiation of osteogenic cells and fat cells for BM-MSCs was evaluated by Alizarin red staining and oil red staining, respectively.

2.4. Preparation of the Conditioned Medium. The BM-MSCs were cultured in low-glucose DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 1% streptomycin-penicillin solution (Gibco), 2 mM GlutaMAX (Gibco), and 10% FBS (Gibco) at 5% CO₂ and 37°C in a humidified atmosphere until 70–80% confluence was achieved. Then, the growth medium was removed, and the BM-MSCs were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Gibco), and a fresh portion of the growth medium was added. After 24 h of incubation, the medium was transferred to a 15 ml tube and then centrifuged (3,000 rpm/10 min). Subsequently, the BM-MSC-conditioned medium was transferred into a new tube and used in the subsequent experiments. The BM-MSC-conditioned medium can be frozen and stored at -80°C.

2.5. Treatment of C6 Cells with the Conditioned Medium Derived from BM-MSCs. The C6 cells were treated with a mixture of low-glucose DMEM and BM-MSC-conditioned medium (5:5) containing 10% FBS at 5% CO₂ and 37°C for 72 h (C6-A1), and the culture medium was replaced every 24 h during this time. Then, a portion of the C6-A1 cells was trypsinized at 70–80% confluence (0.1% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) with 0.25% trypsin, Gibco) and subcultured with low-glucose DMEM containing 10% FBS to the next passage (C6-A1-1) at 5% CO₂ and 37°C. C6-A1-1 cells were trypsinized until 70–80% confluence again and subcultured with low-glucose DMEM containing 10% FBS to the third passage (C6-A1-3). Meanwhile, a portion of C6-A1 was trypsinized and subcultured with a mixture of low-glucose DMEM and BM-MSC-conditioned medium (5:5) containing 10% FBS to the next passage (C6-A2) sequentially and subcultured with low-glucose DMEM containing 10% FBS to the third passage (C6-A2-3), according to the above-mentioned method. Furthermore, C6-A1-3 cells were generated following the above-mentioned method. C6 cells were cultured in the standard medium as a control group (see a diagrammatic drawing, Figure S2).

2.6. Cell Proliferation Assay. C6 control, C6-A1-3, C6-A2-3, and C6-A1-3 cells were plated in triplicate into 96-well culture plates (2,000 cells/well) in growth medium and cultured at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Next, 10 μl/well of reagent (cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8); CK04, Dojindo, Japan) was added at 24, 48, 72, and 96 h after plating. Optical densities at a wavelength of 450 nm (OD450) were measured after 3 h of CCK-8 incubation at 37°C and 5% CO₂ using a microplate reader (Wellscan MK3, Thermo LabSystems, Finland). The assays were independently repeated at least three times.

2.7. Scratch Migration Assay. C6 control, C6-A1-3, C6-A2-3, and C6-A3-3 cells (1 × 10⁶ cells/well) were seeded until confluence in 24-well plates. A straight scratch was gently made using a 200 μl pipette tip, and the cells were cultured in serum-free medium. Images were captured 24 h after scratch generation using an inverted phase-contrast microscope (Leica, Germany), and the area of the wound was quantified using Image software. The assays were independently repeated at least three times.

2.8. Matrigel Invasion Assay. Transwell inserts (8 μm pore size, Corning, New York, NY, USA) were precoated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) to form
a matrix barrier. C6 control, C6-A1-3, C6-A2-3, and C6-A3-3 cells (2 × 10^4 cells/200 μl) were suspended in serum-free medium and added to the upper chamber. Medium with 10% FBS was added to the lower chamber. After 48 h of incubation at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere, the cells in the upper membrane were removed. Cells that had invaded through the membrane were fixed with 4% phosphate-buffered paraformaldehyde and stained with 0.1% crystal violet (Solarbio Life Sciences, Beijing, China). Cells were counted and photographed using an inverted phase-contrast microscope (Leica, Germany). Quantification of cell invasion was presented as the average calculation of stained cells in five random fields of each filter. The assays were independently repeated at least three times.

2.9. In Vivo Tumor Model. C6 control, C6-A1-3, C6-A2-3, and C6-A3-3 cells were diluted in PBS and subcutaneously injected into the right armpit of 10-day-old nude mice. Every mouse was injected with 2 × 10^6 cells/0.2 ml. Finally, six mice in each group were euthanized after 14 days (tumor volume = 1/2 long tumor diameter × short tumor diameter)^2. A piece of the tumor tissue from each animal was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for pathological examination.

2.10. Immunohistochemistry (IHC). Paraffin sections (4 μm) were deparaffinized in 100% xylene and rehydrated in a descending ethanol series and water according to standard protocols. Heat-induced antigen retrieval was performed in 10 mM citrate buffer for 2 min at 100°C. Endogenous peroxidase activity and nonspecific antigens were blocked with a peroxidase-blocking reagent containing 3% hydrogen peroxide and serum, and then, rat anti-nestin (7A3; Abcam) and rabbit anti-MMP-9 (ab38898, Abcam) were incubated overnight at 4°C. After washing, the sections were incubated with biotin-labeled goat anti-rabbit antibody and goat anti-rabbit antibody for 30 min at room temperature and subsequently incubated with streptavidin-conjugated horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (S911, Thermo Fisher). The peroxidase reaction was developed using 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) chromogen solution in DAB buffer substrate. Sections were visualized with DAB and counterstained with hematoxylin, mounted in neutral gum, and analyzed using a bright field microscope. The intensity of staining was scored semiquantitatively as negative (score 0), weak (score 1), moderate (score 2), or strong (score 3), as described previously [12].

For statistical evaluation, scores of 0 and 1 were considered negative (-), while scores of 2 or 3 were positive (+).

2.11. Protein Determination, 2D-DIGE, and Protein Identification. The total proteins were extracted from C6 control and C6-A3-3 cells with 500 ml of lysis buffer and then incubated on ice for 30 min. Suspensions were sonicated five times using a U200S sonicator (IKA Labortechnik, Germany) and then centrifuged for 30 min (12,000 g). The suspension proteins were then precipitated using a 2-D Clean-Up Kit (GE Healthcare) and resuspended in lysis buffer. The protein content of C6 and C6-A3-3 was determined using a 2-D Quant Kit (GE Healthcare). All samples were stored at -80°C before electrophoresis.

For DIGE, proteins (50 μg) were minimally labeled with CyDye DIGE fluoros (400 pmol Cy3 or Cy5 protein-labeling dye, GE Healthcare). Cy2 was used as the internal standard, and Cy3 or Cy5 were used as the internal standard. Each labeled sample was mixed with rehydration buffer (GE Healthcare) and applied to a 24 cm immobilized pH gradient gel strip (pH 3–10 NL) for separation in the first dimension. First-dimension isoelectric focusing was performed at 20°C in IPGphor III (GE Healthcare). After that, strips were equilibrated and loaded onto a polyacrylamide gel (12%) and then subjected to an electric field in DALTsix (GE Healthcare) at 15°C for 12 h. After 2-DE electrophoresis, gels were scanned using a Typhoon 9400 imager (GE Healthcare) and analyzed using DeCyder 2D software V6.5 (GE Healthcare). The differential protein spots were selected (filtering conditions: at least 50% change in the ratios between groups followed by a t test with P < 0.05). The matched protein spots were detected automatically with an Ettn Spot Picker (GE Healthcare).

For protein identification, the selected protein spots were destained, dehydrated, dried, and digested in order. The digested peptide mixtures from each gel spot were extracted and dried. Then, 0.5 μl of matrix solution was added to the dried samples, and the samples were air-dried. Samples were then analyzed using an ABI 4800 Proteomics Analyzer MALDI-TOF/TOF MS (Applied Biosystems). The mass spectrometry (MS) and MS/MS spectra were combined and used for database searches using MASCOT software (Matrix Science, version 2.1). GPS Explorer™ software version 3.6.2 (Applied Biosystems) was used to create and search files with MASCOT. Protein identification was performed using the MASCOT search engine against Swiss-Prot nonredundant sequence databases selected for rat taxonomy. For the MASCOT search, the search parameters were as follows: peptide mass tolerance, ± 50 ppm; fragment mass tolerance, ± 0.25 Da; peptide charge, +1; carbamidomethylation of cysteine as fixed modification and oxidation of methionine as variable modification; trypsin digestion with maximum one missed cleavage; total sequences for Swiss-Prot database, 8128 sequences; and taxonomy species, Rattus norvegicus. For the MASCOT database search of the PMF MS spectrum, protein hits with scores greater than 26 were considered significant (P < 0.05) (ion score is −10 log (P), where P is the probability that the observed match is a random event). In case no proteins could be identified from the first spectrum, additional database searches of the automatically generated MS/MS spectra were performed (analog: P < 0.05). The validation of the function and distribution of the identified differential proteins, were analyzed by using PANTHER13.1 (http://pantherdb.org/).

2.12. Western Blot Analysis. Western blot analysis was performed as described previously [13, 14], with primary antibodies including the following: rabbit anti-PDL1A6 (1:1,500; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA), anti-beta-catenin (1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA), and anti-beta-actin antibody (1:2,000; Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA). HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used as the
secondary antibody. The protein signals were detected using SuperSignal (R) West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Thermo Scientific Pierce). The grayscale value quantified using ImageJ software was used to calculate relative protein expression. The assays were independently repeated at least three times.

2.13. Statistical Analysis. In this study, all experiments were repeated at least three times, and all data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. The Hartley test analyzed the homogeneity of variance. Data were analyzed with the least significant difference t (LSD-t) test when the variance was homogeneous or Dunnett’s T3 when the variance was not homogeneous. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 20.0 software package (SPSS, Chicago, USA). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Analysis of Rat BM-MSC Antigen Expression and the Osteogenesis and Differentiation of Fat Cells from BM-MSCs. Approximately 95.32% and 99.97% of the rat BM-MSCs were positive for the expression of the typical mesenchymal surface markers CD29 and CD90, respectively, but negative for hematopoietic surface markers CD34 and CD45 (Figure 1(a)). The culture demonstrated numerous intracellular lipid droplets by oil red staining and some osteoblasts by Alizarin red staining in the BM-MSCs (e, f).

**Figure 1:** The identification of BM-MSC. BM-MSC-related specific markers, including CD29 (a) and CD90 (b), were expressed in BM-MSCs, whereas hematopoietic stem cell markers, including CD34 (c) and CD45 (d), were not identified by flow cytometry. The culture demonstrated numerous intracellular lipid droplets by oil red staining and some osteoblasts by Alizarin red staining in the BM-MSCs (e, f).
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Figure 2: The proliferation of C6 cells treated with BM-MSC-
conditioned medium. The proliferation of glioma cells showed a
decreased tendency with the BM-MSC-conditioned medium
treatment time extension by CCK-8 assays. Absorbance was read
at 450nm. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01; error bars indicate ±SEM.

results are similar to those of a previous study on the pheno-
type of rat BM-MSCs [15].

3.2. Inhibition of C6 Cell Proliferation and Promotion of
Migration and Invasion by In Vitro BM-MSC-Conditioned
Medium Treatment. CCK-8 data showed that the prolifera-
tion of C6-A1-3, C6-A2-3, and C6-A3-3 cells decreased signifi-
cantly compared to that of the C6 control group after 24 h
(P < 0.05). Moreover, glioma cell proliferation showed a
decreasing tendency with prolonged BM-MSC-conditioned
medium treatment time (Figure 2).

We next examined the migration and invasion abilities of
C6, C6-A1-3, C6-A2-3, and C6-A3-3 cells using the scratch
migration assay and transwell assay, respectively. As shown in
Figure 3(a), there was a significant increase in the migra-
tion of C6-A1-3, C6-A2-3, and C6-A3-3 cells compared with
that in the C6 control groups. Similarly, BM-MSC-
conditioned medium treatment also enhanced glioma cell
invasiveness (Figure 3(b)).

3.3. BM-MSC-Conditioned Medium Inhibits Growth but
Promotes the Invasion of Glioma In Vivo. To determine the
effect of BM-MSCs on transplanted glioma cells in vivo, C6, C6-A1-3,
C6-A2-3, and C6-A3-3 cells were implanted into nude mice. After 14 days, tumor samples were collected.
The tumor volumes in mice injected with BM-MSC-
conditioned medium-treated tumor cells were significantly smaller than those in the control animals injected with C6
(Figure 4(a)). Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining showed that the invasiveness of tumors in mice injected with the BM-
MSC-conditioned medium-treated tumor cells increased sig-
nificantly with prolonged treatment time (P < 0.05). More-
over, the invasiveness of C6-A3-3 was the strongest and that
of the untreated C6 group was the weakest (Figure 4(b)). At
the same time, IHC results also indicated that the expression
of nestin and MMP-9 was increased in C6-A3-3 compared
with the C6, C6-A1-3, and C6-A2-3 groups.

3.4. Identification of Differentially Expressed Proteins in C6
and C6 Treated with BM-MSC-Conditioned Medium. To bet-
ter understand the differentially expressed proteins in C6 and
C6 treated with the BM-MSC-conditioned medium, we ana-
lyzed the C6 control and C6-A3-3 using 2D-DIGE. Compared
with the C6 control group, 17 proteins were differentially expressed in C6-A3-3. Among them, the expression of 5 pro-
ein spots was increased, and 12 protein spots were decreased
in C6-A3-3 (Figure 5). These 17 protein spots were selected
for identification using MALDI-TOF/TOF MS. The result
showed that C6-A3-3 presented higher expression levels of vimentin (Vim), tubulin alpha-1C chain (Tuba1c), protein
disulfide isomerase A6 (Pdia6), sphingosine kinase 1 (Sphk1), and annexin A4 (Anxa4), while C6 presented higher
expression levels of calreticulin (Calr), ornithine aminotrans-
ferase (Oat), TAR DNA-binding protein 43 (Tardbp), beta-
centracin (Actr1b), SET, dimethylarginine dimethyla-
minohydrolase 1 (Ddah1), alpha-actinin-4 (Actn4), nucleo-
phosmin (Npm1), thioredoxin-like protein 1 (Txn1l), tropomyosin beta chain (Tpm2), and probable ATP-
dependent RNA helicase DDX28 (Ddx28) and ras-related protein Rap-2c (Rap2c) (Table 1). All the identified differen-
tial proteins were analyzed using PANTHER13.1 (http://
pantherdb.org/). These proteins are potentially related to cell
adhesion, differentiation, cell cytoskeleton and motility, and
antioxidant function. They are widely distributed in the
membrane, nucleus, cytoplasm, and mitochondria (see
Supporting Information, Figure S1, and Table S1).

3.5. The Protein Expression Level Is Consistent with the
Results of 2D-DIGE. Western blot confirmed one upregulated
protein (Pdia6) and one downregulated protein (beta-
centracin) in C6-A3-3 compared with the proteins in the C6
control group. Compared with the observations in the C6
control group, higher expression of Pdia6 and lower expres-
sion of beta-centracin were observed in C6-A3-3 cells. The
results illustrated that the proteomic data based on 2D-
DIGE were persuasive (Figure 6).

4. Discussion
Recently, stem cell-assisted gene therapy, including NSCs
and BM-MSCs, has provided a promising treatment modal-
ity for various cancers. The robust tumor-tropic migratory
capacities of stem cells can make them an excellent vector
to deliver medicaments to the tumor [13–14, 16]. Compared
with NSCs, BM-MSCs are easier to obtain and expand
in vitro, and they do not have the limitation of potential
immunologic incompatibility due to autologous transplanta-
tion. Therefore, BM-MSCs are more suitable for clinical
applications than NSCs and have gained wide attention.

However, the effects of BM-MSCs on tumors are contro-
versial, and the underlying mechanisms remain unknown. In
this study, we provided evidence that the proliferation of gli-
oma cells treated with the BM-MSC-conditioned medium
was inhibited significantly both in vitro and in vivo, which
is consistent with the results of several previous studies [17, 18]. However, some reports have stated that BM-MSCs contribute to the maintenance and progression of cancers, including glioma [19–21]. Our data also suggested that the migration and invasion of glioma cells treated with the BM-MSC-conditioned medium were promoted both in vitro and in vivo. Therefore, we should be more cautious when BM-MSCs are used as tumor-selective targeting carriers to deliver therapeutic agents to the tumor due to their potential cancer-promoting risk. BM-MSCs need to be genetically modified to express tumor-suppressive factors specifically.

The integrated effects of MSCs on tumor cells depend on factors such as the host’s immune status, different types of tumor models or sources of BM-MSCs, the microenvironment, and other unknown factors, accounting for the different results of protumorigenic or antitumorigenic effects in vitro and in vivo.

Furthermore, we compared the proteomic profile of BM-MSC-conditioned medium-treated C6-A3-3 cells with that of the untreated C6 cells using 2D-DIGE to elucidate the mechanism of the effect of BM-MSCs on C6. We identified 17 proteins differentially expressed in BM-MSC-treated C6-A3-3 with untreated C6 cells, which probably have a relationship with cell proliferation, metabolism, differentiation, antioxidation, the cell cytoskeleton, and motility. We screened 14 differentially expressed key candidate proteins that may be mainly related to cell proliferation, migration, and invasion and may contribute to the biological differences between C6-A3-3 and C6 cells.

In this report, six differentially expressed proteins were closely related to cell proliferation and differentiation, including Calr, SET, nucleophosmin, ornithine aminotransferase, dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydrolase 1, and TAR DNA-binding protein 43. Calr, a 46 kDa multifunctional protein, primarily maintains calcium homeostasis and is implicated in cell proliferation [22]. In recent studies, Calr overexpression has been linked to protumorigenic events in various cancers via regulation of the cell cycle or cancer cell angiogenesis [23, 24].

SET, also known as template activating factor-I β (TAF-I β), is a multifunctional oncoprotein that interacts with other proteins for regulating cellular signaling involved in the cell cycle and apoptosis [25]. SET has been reported to interact with p21^Cip1^ to modulate the cyclin E-CDK2 complex activity necessary for the G1/S transition and regulate cell division [26]. Moreover, SET can also directly bind to and inhibit cyclin E-CDK2, which is essential for mitotic onset [27].

Nucleophosmin (NPM1) is a phosphoprotein involved in maintaining genome stability and DNA repair proteins and regulating apoptosis [28, 29]. The expression of NPM1 is related to the mitotic index; moreover, the overexpression of NPM1 represents a poor prognosis for glioma patients [30]. NPM1 overexpression can increase ribosome biogenesis and protein synthesis and can accelerate DNA repair of tumor cells.

Oat, which is involved in glutamine metabolism, has been shown to regulate mitotic tumor cell division and promote cancer proliferation [31–33]. Moreover, the Oat gene is a target gene of β-catenin that is highly expressed in many...
Figure 4: The influence of BM-MSC medium on the growth and invasion of xenografts in subcutaneously injected mice. (a) The volume of subcutaneous xenografts was smaller following BM-MSC-conditioned medium treatment, \( ** P < 0.01 \). (b) H&E staining from the BM-MSC-conditioned medium treatment group showed an increased invasive tendency than that of the C6 group. Furthermore, the A3-3 group showed the highest invasiveness (100x, 200x, and 400x magnification). (c) IHC also indicated that the expression of nestin and MMP-9 were increased by BM-MSC-conditioned medium treatment, \( * P < 0.05 \), \( ** P < 0.01 \). Original magnification 400x.
cancers, including glioma [34]. Aberrant activation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway leads to the dysregulation of target genes, such as Oat, to promote cancer progression [35]. Dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydrolase 1 (Ddah1) is a cysteine hydrolase enzyme responsible for the metabolism of asymmetric dimethylarginine, an endogenous inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase (iNOS). Recent reports have demonstrated that overexpression of Ddah1 enhances the expression of NO and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) to promote angiogenesis and the growth of glioma in vitro and in vivo [36, 37]. Furthermore, upregulation of Ddah1 could induce the overexpression of target genes of NO, including VEGF, hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF-1α), c-Myc, and iNOS. These proteins are involved in various cellular energetic metabolic processes for cell proliferation [38].

TAR DNA-binding protein 43 (TDP-43) is a splicing factor belonging to the hnRNP family and plays an essential role in the RNA maturation process. It also participates in the regulation of the cell cycle and glucose or lipid metabolism [39]. Zeng et al. have also reported that TDP-43 increases melanoma proliferation by modulating glucose metabolism [40]. Moreover, TDP-43 can form a complex and interact with SRSF3 to regulate downstream genes, including PAR3 and NUMB, and then promote the proliferation and malignancy of mammary epithelial cells [41].

Furthermore, a group of differential proteins including protein disulfide isomerase A6 (PDIA6), sphingosine kinase 1 (Sphk1), Anx4a, vimentin, tubulin alpha-1C chain, betacentactrin, alph-actinin-4, ras-related protein Rap-2c, and tropomyosin beta chain showed a close relationship with motility and the cytoskeleton, which may modulate the invasion and migration of tumor cells.

PDIA6, belonging to the PDI family, has been shown to be involved in the disulfide exchange required for integrin-oriented adhesion [42]. Goplen et al. reported that PDI plays a vital role in the migration and invasion of gliomas [43]. The oncogenic cytokines derived from BM-MSCs may upregulate the expression of PDIA6, which reacts with the integrins in microenvironmental niches to facilitate cell-extracellular matrix adhesion, such as integrin α2β1, to promote the invasion and migration of glioma.

Sphk1 is a lipid kinase that induces the formation of sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) to interact with specific intracellular targets [44]. Novel evidence indicates that Sphk1/S1P may promote cancer cell transformation, epithelial-mesenchymal transition, and invasiveness [45, 46]. ANXA4 can interact with calcium ions and phospholipids to regulate vesicle aggregation, membrane repair, and synaptic exocytosis [47–49]. Knockdown of ANXA4 attenuates migration in breast cancer cells by regulating adhesion-related molecules [50]. Furthermore, the overexpression of ANXA4 has
been identified in various malignant tumors, including glioma [51]. Our results are similar to those of a previous study, indicating that ANXA4 may be a vital characteristic in the growth of glioma cells under the stimulation of BM-MSCs.

Vimentin, a member of the type III IF protein family, is a canonical mesenchymal marker of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) characterized by the loss of cell adhesion and the acquisition of mesenchymal features [52, 53]. EMT has been identified as a key regulator of migration and invasion in some epithelial cancers [54, 55]. Several reports have demonstrated that the EMT process can be triggered, accompanied by the overexpression of vimentin and low expression of E-cadherin, and is involved in glioma cell migration and invasion [56].
Tubulin alpha-1C is an essential component of microtubules, which plays a vital role in axonal transportation in the nervous system [57]. Previous studies reported that the stable microtubule status plays an essential role in the process of tumor invasion [58]. As mentioned above, expression of vimentin was also increased in C6 with indirect stimulation of BM-MSCs. A recent study showed that vimentin filaments could promote the extension of tubulin-based microtenta- 
cles, suggesting that a possible mechanism to facilitate cancer invasion was provided by the coordination of vimentin and microtubules [59].

Beta-centractin plays a vital role in the formation and stabilization of immunological synapses and compromises the cytoskeletal superstructures at the postsynaptic size of neurons [60, 61]. Downregulation of beta-centractin might be involved in the dysfunction of dendritic cells and has been negatively correlated with the invasiveness of hepatocellular carcinoma [62].

Alpha-actinin-4 (ACTN4), an actin-binding protein, modulates actin filament flexibility to regulate migration, invasion, and metastasis of cancer cells [63, 64]. Furthermore, studies from other groups have shown that the ACTN4-Akt axis promotes the degradation of GSK-3β, leading to the stabilization of β-catenin and enhancement of migration and invasion of cervical cancer cells [65].

Ras-related protein Rap-2c (Rap2c) is a member of the Ras superfamily of small GTPases [66]. Rap2c may function as a positive regulator of the Ras regulatory element- (SRE-) mediated transcriptional regulation, which participates in the control of cell proliferation, differentiation, and migration [67]. A previous study demonstrated that the upregulation of Rap2c promoted the invasive and migratory capacities of osteosarcoma U2OS cells mediated by increased matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP-2) secretion and the Akt signaling pathway but had no effect on the proliferation or rate of apoptosis [68]. However, some reports have shown that Rap2c can suppress the EMT process of colorectal cancer and inhibit cancer cell migration and metastasis in a nuclear factorκB- (NF-κB-) dependent pathway [69]. Thus, Rap2c may play different roles in different cancers.

Tropomyosin beta chain (Tpm2) is essential for regulating muscle contraction by interacting with a complex of actin and tropolin [70]. Moreover, it is also significant for various cell processes, including actin thin filament stabilization and cell migration. Previous research has shown that the overexpression of Tpm2 suppresses cell proliferation and migration by regulating RhoA signaling in colorectal cancer [71]. In addition, low expression of Tpm2 was also associated with an unfavorable prognosis in prostate and esophageal cancer patients [72, 73].

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we revealed that the migration and invasion of glioma cells were promoted by BM-MSC-conditioned medium. However, the proliferation of tumor cells was inhibited significantly both in vitro and in vivo. Our data showed that some cytokines secreted from BM-MSCs might play a vital role in regulating oncoproteins or antioncoproteins responsible for the biological differences between BM-MSC-conditioned medium-treated C6 and untreated C6. The differentially expressed proteins are mostly involved in regulating cell proliferation, differentiation, cell cytoskeleton and motility, and metabolic and antioxidative functions. Therefore, we should be more cautious when BM-MSCs are used as tumor-selective targeting carriers to deliver therapeutic agents to the tumor. We need to focus on modified proteins that regulate the motility of glioma cells. Furthermore, the BM-MSCs need to be genetically modified to express tumor-suppressive factors specifically.
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