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ABSTRACT: Understanding of nanoplastic prevalence and toxicity is limited by imaging challenges resulting from their small size. Fluorescence microscopy is widely applied to track and identify microplastics in laboratory studies and environmental samples. However, conventional fluorescence microscopy, due to diffraction, lacks the resolution to precisely localize nanoplastics in tissues, distinguish them from free dye, or quantify them in environmental samples. To address these limitations, we developed techniques to label nanoplastics for imaging with stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy to achieve resolution at an order of magnitude superior to conventional fluorescence microscopy. These techniques include (1) passive sorption; (2) swelling incorporation; and (3) covalent coupling of STED-compatible fluorescence dyes to nanoplastics. We demonstrate that our labeling techniques, combined with STED microscopy, can be used to resolve nanoplastics of different shapes and compositions as small as 50 nm. The longevity of dye labeling is demonstrated in different media and conditions of biological and environmental relevance. We also test STED imaging of nanoplastics in exposure experiments with the model worm Caenorhabditis elegans. Our work shows the value of the method for detection and localization of nanoplastics as small as 50 nm in a whole animal without disruption of the tissue. These techniques will allow more precise localization and quantification of nanoplastics in complex matrices such as biological tissues in exposure studies.
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## INTRODUCTION

The visibility of plastic pollution and the potential effects on biota have garnered considerable attention. The vast majority of plastics produced ends up in landfills or the environment.1 Once in the environment, plastic can fragment into smaller pieces known as secondary plastics via mechanical, thermal, or other mechanisms of degradation.2−7 As a result, the smallest size fractions of plastic pollution often dominate particle counts in the environment.8,9 Despite their potential prevalence, little is known about the toxicological effects and mechanisms of the smallest particles (i.e., nanoplastics), in part due to the difficulty of visualizing them in wet matrices including biological tissues.

Fluorescence microscopy allows sensitive and quantitative imaging with specific labeling of particles and biological structures. Consequently, fluorescence imaging has been widely applied to localize labeled microplastic particles used in exposure studies to track uptake and potential translocation in live organisms.10−12 Typically, microplastics are large enough to be individually resolved with light microscopy,10−12 allowing localization of fluorescently labeled microplastics even if there is a significant background signal from autofluorescence or dye leaching.13,14 However, because of the optical ~200 nm diffraction limit on resolution, nanoplastics are typically too small to be individually resolved with fluorescence microscopy techniques currently applied in plastic research, including laser-scanning confocal microscopy,15,16 and widefield epifluorescence microscopy.16 Consequently, with conventional light microscopy, nanoplastics are typically only visualized as diffraction-limited spots and cannot be precisely localized in tissues nor distinguished from free dye or autofluorescence.13 While electron microscopy resolution is well beyond the diffraction limit for light microscopy, electron microscopy lacks the labeling flexibility, matrix flexibility, and the ease of sample preparation of fluorescence microscopy.

Stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy achieves sub-diffraction resolution by scanning a sample with two lasers simultaneously: an excitation laser with a circular spot and an overlapping depletion laser with a donut-shaped spot.17 The depletion laser suppresses fluorescence emission from the periphery of the excitation laser spot, effectively decreasing the size of the emission spot which improves resolution beyond the diffraction limit. This results in an order of magnitude improvement in resolution. However, the dye compatibility of STED microscopy is limited due to the need for the dye to efficiently undergo stimulated emission and to have high photostability to limit excessive photobleaching.18,19 Nevertheless, multiple colors of fluorescent dyes compatible with STED microscopy are available, such as STAR 440 SXP, SeTau 405, and DY-520XL.20

Nanoplastic exposure experiments disproportionately employ spherical polystyrene nanoparticles, in part due to the limited...
commercial availability of other types of labeled nanoplastics. These polystyrene spheres are not representative of the diversity of environmentally relevant plastic contamination. Techniques have been developed to label microplastics of arbitrary shapes and compositions, and STED microscopy has been used to image nano-sized polystyrene latex with pre-loaded proprietary fluorophores. However, methods to fluorescently label nanoplastics of different shapes and polymer types with STED-compatible dyes are limited. Consequently, a method to label nanoplatic particles of various shapes and compositions would allow a more environmentally relevant study of nanomaterial transport, uptake, and translocation.

In this work, we developed techniques to label nanoplastics of various shapes, sizes, and polymer types with STED-compatible dyes to image at diffraction-unlimited resolution. We show that nanoplastics can be labeled either by (1) passive sorption; (2) swelling incorporation; or (3) covalent coupling of STED-compatible fluorescence dyes. We display STED-compatible labeling and fluorescent imaging of multiple types of nanoplastics, including secondary nanoplastics, of different shapes and polymer types. We also confirm the longevity of the dye labeling in different media and conditions of biological and environmental relevance for a duration relevant to an exposure study. Finally, the value and environmental relevance of the method are demonstrated by nanoscale resolution imaging and localization of nanoplastics in Caenorhabditis elegans, a model nematode worm. We expect that these techniques will extend the application of super-resolution fluorescence microscopy techniques to the localization and identification of nanomaterial translocation at the nanoscale in cells, tissues, and smaller organisms in exposure experiments.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Nanoplastic Sources

Polystyrene spheres with a nominal diameter of 100 nm (actual diameter averaged 88 nm according to manufacturer specifications) and 50 nm (actual diameter averaged at 50 nm according to manufacturer dynamic light scattering specifications) were purchased from Polysciences Inc. Amine-functionalized 100 nm (actual diameter averaged at 113 nm according to manufacturer dynamic light scattering specifications) polystyrene spheres were purchased from Polysciences Inc. Plain 50 nm (actual diameter averaged at 50 nm according to manufacturer specifications) poly(methyl methacrylate) spheres were purchased from Phosphorex Inc. These commercially available spherical particles are typical of those used in most current nanomaterial studies. A dispersion of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) nanoparticles (Teflon 30B) was purchased from Polysciences Inc. This dispersion has typical average particle diameters around 200 nm, which are not monodisperse and contain a variety of particle sizes including much smaller particles.

We also obtained nano-sized debris from plastic labware and consumer items using a variety of weathering methods. Debris was obtained from a polystyrene Petri dish by mechanical abrasion with a 100 nm diamond lapping film (3M) and from an expanded polystyrene plate by submerging it in approximately 1 L of DI water at approximately 90 °C for 7 days and sampling the water by pipetting into a glass vial. These secondary nanomaterial particles represent nanoplastics that can be released from common single-use plastic consumer goods.

### Labeling Nanoplastics

#### Passive Staining of Nanoplastics

We labeled nanoplastics via passive sorption with Atto 647N (Sigma 04507) (ex. 646 nm/em. 664 nm). We chose to use Atto 647N because of its good STED performance with a 775 nm depletion laser. To passively label the nanomaterial, we suspended 0.125% w/v nanoplastics in a solution of 2 mg/L Atto 647N in DI water for 2 h at room temperature (∼25 °C). The excess dye was removed from the suspension via dialysis using 12–14 kDa molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) dialysis membranes (Frey Scientific) for 7 days with daily water changes in stirred 2 L glass beakers.

#### Swell Incorporation of Dye to Nanoplastics

We also adapted the microplastic dyeing methods developed previously by Karakolis et al. to label nanoplastics with iDye Poly Blue (Jacquard Products), an inexpensive commercially available fabric dye. While we expected that iDye Poly Blue would be inferior to Atto 647N in terms of fluorescence imaging, we tested it due to the convenience and low cost of labeling with the iDye Poly Blue. Briefly, 0.125% w/v nanoplastics were incubated in a 0.1 mg/mL solution of iDye Poly Blue in DI water heated to 70 °C for 2 h followed by cooling to room temperature. During the heating, the dye is able to diffuse into the polymer matrix due to thermal swelling. Since iDye Poly Blue is only sparingly soluble, the excess dye was removed via ultracentrifugation (12,000 rpm for 45 min), washing the dyed particles twice with 25% isopropanol and three times with DI water. We also tested a similar method using Atto 647N in place of iDye Poly Blue. However, for swell incorporation of Atto 647N, we added 10% tetrahydrofuran to the dye solution to aid in the swelling in addition to heating to 70 °C. We added tetrahydrofuran since Atto 647N is not packaged with dispersants or other agents which are typically included in commercial fabric dye formulations. We were also able to remove excess dye using dialysis since Atto 647N is more water soluble. Dialysis was conducted using a 12–14 kDa MWCO dialysis membrane (Frey Scientific) for 7 days with daily water changes in stirred 2 L glass beakers.

#### Covalent Coupling of Dye to Nanoplastics

We coupled N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS)-terminated Atto 647N (Sigma 18373) with amine-functionalized plastic by incubating 0.125% w/v nanoplastics in a solution of 2 mg/L Atto 647N in phosphate buffered saline at pH 7.4. At this pH, the NHS group reacts with the amine groups on the surface of the plastic, covalently binding the dye to the plastic. The excess dye was removed from the suspension via dialysis using a 12–14 kDa MWCO dialysis membrane (Frey Scientific) for 7 days with daily water changes in stirred 2 L glass beakers.

### Fluorescence Imaging

We completed all the imaging using an Abberior Expert Line STED microscope using an Olympus Plan-Apo 100x/1.40NA oil immersion objective. We used a 640 nm laser for excitation and a 775 nm laser for depletion. The microscope was equipped with avalanche photodetectors. We performed both standard laser-scanning confocal and STED imaging on the same microscope. All image analysis was done in ImageJ.

### Oil Longevity Testing

To test the longevity of the dye-labeled nanomaterial in a non-polar solvent, we mounted labeled 100 nm (nominal) polystyrene beads in mineral oil (Sigma M5904) on sealed glass microscope slides stored at room temperature (25 °C) in the dark. Specifically, we spread a 0.1 mg/mL solution of iDye Poly Blue in mineral oil onto the slide. We then covered the oil with a #1.5 coverslip and sealed the edges with CoverGrip Coverslip Sealant (Biottum, Inc.). Samples were imaged at each time point using identical imaging settings (10% excitation laser power, 50%...
depletion laser power, 10 nm pixel size, 10 μs dwell time, and 1.0 AU pinhole; see Table S1 for imaging settings).

**Aqueous Medium Longevity Testing.** As water is more volatile than the mineral oil we used, we tested longevity in aqueous solutions, including simulated environmental media, in 1 mL suspensions of labeled nanoplastic in glass vials at room temperature (∼25 °C) or at elevated temperature (40 °C). The pitcher plant fluid was a gift from Brads Greenhouse (Vancouver Island, British Columbia, Canada). Soil water was obtained by mixing 200 mL of DI water with 100 g of dry agricultural soil from McGill University’s MacDonald Campus (Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada) for 48 h and taking the supernatant after the soil was allowed to settle.

At each time point, we mounted a sample from each suspension onto microscope slides for imaging. Specifically, we spread a 5 μL drop of the nanoplastic suspension on glass slides with a pipette tip, allowed the water to dry, and then applied a 20 μL drop of water onto the slide. Samples were imaged at each time point using identical imaging settings (10% excitation laser power, 50% depletion laser power, 10 nm pixel size, 10 μs dwell time, and 1.0 AU pinhole for 100 nm particles and 20% excitation laser power, 50% depletion laser power, 5 nm pixel size, 10 μs dwell time, and 1.0 AU pinhole for 50 nm particles; see Table S1 for imaging settings).

**C. elegans Culture and Exposure.** *C. elegans* strain KWN117 worms were obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center at the University of Minnesota and maintained at 23 °C on nematode growth medium (NGM) plates seeded with *Escherichia coli* OP50 as a food source. KWN117 expresses green fluorescent protein (GFP) (488 nm excitation/507 nm emission) in the body wall as well as mCherry (587 nm excitation/610 nm emission) in the apical intestinal membrane.25

Prior to exposing the worms to nanoplastic, the worms were washed off of NGM agar plates with 5 mL of M9 buffer and washed with M9 buffer three times by allowing the worms to settle in 15 mL centrifuge tubes for ∼10 min and replacing the supernatant with fresh M9 buffer. To expose the worms to nanoplastic, the worms were resuspended in 100 μL of M9 buffer containing 100 ppm of 50 nm polystyrene nanoplastics (passively labeled with Atto 647N) and then pipetted onto NGM agar plates. While 100 ppm is higher than typical plastic concentrations for aquatic environments, experiments conducted at higher concentrations are suited to achieving mechanistic insights. Moreover, these concentrations are in line with concentrations in some soil environments.26,27 Control worms were prepared similarly but were resuspended in M9 buffer with dialyzed dye solution before pipetting on NGM agar plates. We expect that the dye would be largely removed from the solution with this process. For imaging, the worms were washed off the NGM with M9 buffer and immobilized in low-melting point agarose on microscope slides covered with #1.5 coverslips.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Nanoplastic Labeling and Imaging at Nanoscale Resolution.** We tested labeling with Atto 647N either via passive sorption, heat/solvent swelling,10 or covalent coupling (Figure 1a–c). We also tested swell labeling with iDye Poly Blue (“iDye”). The methods to label nanoplastic that we describe here all successfully labeled nanoplastic for STED imaging (Figure 1d–g). Figure 2 compares identical fields of the view imaged with standard laser-scanning confocal imaging with
those obtained using STED imaging. As expected, the resolution of the laser-scanning confocal images was diffraction-limited. Individual particles appear as diffraction-limited spots significantly larger than their actual size. When particles are close to each other, multiple particles appear as a single body rather than distinct particles. In contrast, with STED imaging using Atto 647N, the size and shape of individual nanoplastic particles as small as 50 nm can be resolved as shown by the images (Figure 2) and the corresponding point spread functions (Figure S1). Consequently, STED imaging can detect single nanoplastic particles provided that they are in the field of view of the microscope.

STED imaging with iDye also showed a resolution improvement over conventional laser-scanning confocal microscopy. The point spread functions of STED images are shown in Figures S1–S4. However, the resolution with iDye labeling (Figure S3) was lower compared to that with Atto 647N. This result is unsurprising since Atto 647N is well known to perform well with STED microscopy, whereas iDye is a repurposed fabric dye, not a purposely designed fluorophore. Particles dyed with iDye were also not as bright as those dyed with Atto 647N and thus required five times more intense excitation light and twice the pixel dwell time to achieve comparable signal strengths (Table S1).

**Longevity of Labeled Plastics.** We tested the longevity of the labeled nanoplastic particles in both water and oil to simulate the polar and non-polar environments that the particles might experience before and after internalization by organisms. The ability to visualize particles at diffraction-unlimited resolution was maintained in the particles labeled using the techniques we present here for typical exposure timescales. Particularly, all the methods that employ Atto 647N remain visible for at least 49 days (Figure 3a–f). While there was variability day to day in the precise average signal intensity at all points during this test, the nanoplastic particles were clearly visible with STED imaging throughout the duration of the test. Surprisingly, even plastics labeled passively with Atto 647N were stable in oil (Figure 3b), a non-polar environment. Suspending the plastics in mineral oil

![Figure 2](https://doi.org/10.1021/acses.c00480)
Swell labeling also allows for greater labeling longevity compared to passive labeling alone. The labeled plastics over the period of exposure. The tests in water and oil, we found that we were able to visualize the surface of the plastic are required for labeling. Nevertheless, reducing potential confounds due to surface effects. 

Particularly, with covalent labeling, specific functional groups on the plastic surface in (e) DI water and (f) mineral oil; and swell labeling with iDye Poly Blue in (g) DI water and (h) mineral oil. Each point represents the average single particle gray value for STED images of triplicate samples acquired with identical settings within treatments. Error bars represent standard errors.

did not significantly diminish the fluorescent signal localized to the plastic particle. Moreover, we did not detect a fluorescent signal in the oil phase surrounding plastic particles (directly measured with fluorescence microscopy), indicating that dye transfer to the oil phase is limited.

The longevity of passively labeled nanoplastics with Atto 647N contrasts with that of Nile Red, a dye more commonly used to stain plastics. Previous work shows that plastics passively stained with Nile Red do not retain the dye when exposed to mineral oil. This suggests that Atto 647N has a higher affinity to plastic surfaces compared to Nile Red and that the mechanism by which Atto 647N sorbs to plastics is not solely via hydrophobic interaction. Other potential mechanisms of sorption could include interaction between the plastic surface and the charged regions of Atto 647N and/or passive diffusion and subsequent intercalation into the polymer matrix.

To further test the longevity of the labeling in different biologically and environmentally relevant media and conditions, we also tested the longevity of the different Atto 647N labeling methods on 50 nm polystyrene beads in the pitcher plant digestive fluid, soil water, pH 2.5 hydrochloric acid, and at an elevated temperature (40 °C) over 21 days (Figure 4). Similar to the tests in water and oil, we found that we were able to visualize the labeled plastics over the period of exposure.

Theoretically, swell and covalent labeling potentially provide greater labeling longevity compared to passive labeling alone. Swell labeling also allows for fluorescent labeling of plastics internally, reducing potential confounds due to surface effects. Nevertheless, in the conditions we tested here, there was little practical difference in the longevity of the particles labeled with different techniques. Moreover, the swell and covalent labeling techniques are comparatively more complicated to carry out. Particularly, with covalent labeling, specific functional groups on the surface of the plastic are required for labeling. Nevertheless, depending on the target application, swell or covalent labeling may be useful when greater resistance to external solvents is desirable.

As with any fluorescent labeling method, the fluorescent signal will be susceptible to photobleaching. Consequently, if experimental exposures require intense light, the duration of the exposure while maintaining a fluorescent signal may be more limited. Nevertheless, Atto 647N is generally a photostable dye. Furthermore, despite the resolution advantages that we show, STED microscopy results in a greater degree of photobleaching compared to conventional widefield or confocal fluorescence microscopy since bleaching can also occur via stimulated emission in addition to fluorescence. Consequently, the ability to observe dynamics and image multiple z-slices is limited, in a sample-dependent manner, compared to conventional laser-scanning confocal or widefield microscopy. Moreover, to achieve nanoscale resolution, high numerical aperture objectives must be used. Typically, these objectives have limited working distances. Consequently, the maximum imaging depth with STED microscopy while maintaining high resolution is limited and is most suited for imaging cells, tissue sections, small anatomy, or micro-scale organisms.

In terms of performance with STED microscopy, Atto 647N was superior to iDye in brightness, longevity, and resolution. Nevertheless, dyeing with iDye may be more practical when cost is a concern. In contrast to Atto 647N, which currently costs $288 CAD per mg, iDye Poly Blue can be purchased for less than $0.50 CAD per g. Nonetheless, typically, a much lower amount of Atto 647N would be required to effectively label nanoplastics compared to iDye Poly Blue.

**Labeling and Imaging Various Types of Nanoplastics.** While techniques have been developed to label microplastics of arbitrary shapes and compositions, methods to fluorescently label nanoplastics of different shapes and polymer types are limited. Addressing this limitation, our methods are compatible with different shapes and compositions of nanoplastics beyond commercially available spherical nanoplastics (Figure 5). With STED microscopy, we were able to resolve the shapes of secondary nanoplastics produced by heating expanded polystyrene to 90 °C for 7 days (Figure 5b) as well as polystyrene...
sanding debris (Figure 5d). As confirmed by SEM (Figure S9), the secondary nanoplastics produced by heating are roughly spherical, while those produced by sanding are irregular in shape. The images in Figure 5a,c show that such particle visualization was not possible with conventional confocal fluorescence microscopy. We also tested imaging with commercially available PTFE nanoparticles (Figure 5f) as a low-surface-energy plastic and PMMA nanoparticles (Figure 5h) as a relatively high-surface-energy plastic. The PTFE particles are representative of engineered PTFE nanoparticles used for lubrication and hydrophobic coatings. PMMA (also known as “Plexiglas”) is widely used as a glass substitute for transparent windows.
Figure 5. Passive labeling and fluorescent imaging of various nanoplastic types with Atto 647N. Confocal (a) and STED (b) images of debris released from an expanded polystyrene plate exposed to 90 °C in DI water; (c) confocal and (d) STED image of sanding debris from a polystyrene Petri dish; (e) confocal and (f) STED images of PTFE particles; and (g) confocal and (h) STED images of PMMA particles. Confocal and STED images are of the same field of view.
Application to *C. elegans* Exposure. To test the ability of this technique to image nanoplastics in a model organism as a proof of concept, we exposed *C. elegans* KWN117 to 100 ppm of 50 nm polystyrene beads passively labeled with Atto 647N (Figure 6). We used the 50 nm polystyrene beads due to their availability in sufficient quantities to conduct the exposures as well as their being a more challenging size to detect. KWN117 is a transgenic strain that expresses GFP in the body wall and mCherry in apical intestinal membrane cells. As when imaging the nanoplastics alone, STED imaging allows visualization of nanoplastics along sections of the digestive tract including the mouth (Figure 6a–c), pharynx (Figure 6d–f), and intestine (Figure 6g–i).

Notably, the fluorescent signal in the confocal images, which may initially be interpreted as a nanoplastic, is revealed to be the background signal from free dye and/or autofluorescence with STED imaging. In control worms (Figure S8), no nanoplastic particles were visible with STED imaging, but spots of background and autofluorescence signal were visible that could have been misidentified as nanoplastics with lower-resolution imaging.

Environmental Implications. We expect that for organism exposure experiments, including the imaging in *C. elegans* we show here, STED microscopy combined with passive staining with Atto 647N would generally be a convenient method of observing nanoplastics fluorescently without significantly compromising labeling longevity. Overall, the ability to precisely localize the distribution of nanomaterials, including nanoplastics, in organisms may help facilitate the study of the lethal and sub-lethal effects observed in exposure experiments.

Figure 6. Imaging 50 nm polystyrene nanoplastics passively labeled with Atto 647N (red) in *C. elegans* KWN117 adult expressing GFP (green) in the body wall and mCherry (yellow) in the apical intestinal membrane. Confocal overview and high resolution of confocal and STED images of the scanned area indicated by white boxes for parts of the digestive track in the mouth (a–c), pharynx (d–f), and intestine (g–i). Insets in STED images correspond to the areas indicated by white boxes.
Localization can also provide insights into long-term effects of exposure that may not be detected over relatively short experimental timescales. Specifically, translocation of materials to certain parts of organisms can be indicative of long-term effects. Importantly, our results show that STED imaging can detect single nanoplastic particles provided that they are in the field of view of the microscope. Since our methods are compatible with different shapes and compositions of nanoplastic, these techniques will allow researchers to more thoroughly explore the impact of shape, size, and composition of nanoplastics on toxicity and translocation in live organisms. In this work, we demonstrate STED-compatible labeling and fluorescent imaging of different nanoplastics, including secondary nanoplastics, of varying shapes and polymer types. Future work will aim at extending the types of plastics tested, including polyethylene, over a wider range of exposure concentrations. The broad compatibility of STED microscopy to localize fluorescently labeled nanomaterials at nanoscale resolution can be further extended to better understand the environmental toxicology of nanomaterials beyond nanoplastics. While STED microscopy has been applied to understand the interaction of engineered nanomaterials with cells in vitro in the biomedical context,1−3 our work demonstrates the utility of STED microscopy to study nanomaterial interactions with organisms in environmentally relevant exposure scenarios and with environmentally relevant contaminants.
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