Determinants of Preeclampsia Among Pregnant Mothers Attending Antenatal Care (ANC) and Delivery Service in Gedeo Zone, Southern Ethiopia: Case Control-Study
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Background: The maternal morbidity and mortality related to preeclampsia are increasing in developing countries; figures have been estimated to be between 1.8% and 16.7%, including in Ethiopia. However, there is limited research regarding the determinants of preeclampsia in Gedeo Zone.

Objective: The aim of the study was to determine the predictors of preeclampsia among pregnant mothers attending ANC and delivery services in southern Ethiopia.

Methods: A facility-based unmatched case-control study was conducted in Gedeo Zone. Pregnant mothers attending ANC and delivery service were selected consecutively until the allotted 243 (162 controls and 81 cases) sample size was fulfilled. The collected data were checked for completeness and entered into Epi-data software version 3.1, and exported to statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 20 for analysis. Multivariable logistic regression was employed and a P-value of less than 0.05 with a 95% confidence interval was used to declare the significant association of the independent variables and the outcome variable.

Results: A total of 240 mothers, 80 (33.3%) of cases and 160 (66.70%) of controls, responded, with a response rate of 98.76%. The mean age of the participants among both groups was 27.40 with a standard deviation of ±5.02. Attending education [adjusted odd ratio (AOR) = 0.49; 95% CI (0.06, 0.398)], being a house wife [AOR = 13; 95% CI (1.260, 140.15)], an age range of 20–34 years [AOR = 0.071; 95% CI (0.015, 0.32)], a family history of diabetes mellitus [AOR = 0.282; 95% CI (0.081, 0.985)], a family history of hypertension [AOR = 0.124; 95% CI (0.047, 0.325)], did not eat fruit during pregnancy [AOR = 3.355; 95% CI (1.112, 10.126)], and a maternal history of preeclampsia [AOR = 0.162; 95% CI (0.041, 0.640)] were found to be variables significantly associated among mothers with preeclampsia.

Conclusion: The determinant factors for preeclampsia were being a housewife, having a history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and preeclampsia among family members. Strengthening early detection and prevention of predictors, improvement of protective factors, and further follow-up study were recommended.
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Introduction
According to the International Classification of Diseases, tenth revision (ICD-10), preeclampsia is defined as a diastolic blood pressure of at least 90 mmHg on 2 or more successive occurrences of at least 4 hours apart or a diastolic blood pressure of at least 110 mmHg on any 1 occurrence, plus proteinuria of at least 300 mg per
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24 hour or > 1+ on a urine dipstick, after the 20th week of gestation in a previously normotensive and non-proteinuric pregnant woman. The etiology of preeclampsia, also known as pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH), is unknown. Though the cause of preeclampsia is unfamiliar, there are definite determinant risk factors related to the condition. The factors that have been proposed to influence the risk of preeclampsia among mothers comprise diabetes, renal disease, obesity, multiple pregnancy, prime-parity, age above 30 years, personal or family history of preeclampsia, and chronic hypertension. Preeclampsia can be quite serious as it can lead to various complications both for the mother and the baby.

Preeclampsia is a foremost contributor to maternal and newborn morbidity and mortality. Hereditary, immunological, ecological, and vascular-mediated causes are all supposed to play a substantial role in the intensification of preeclampsia, and numerous risk factors have been identified. Among those, null-parity, previous preeclampsia, high maternal weight, hypertension, diabetes, and twin pregnancy have shown a consistent association with increased risk.

Globally, greater than 80% of maternal mortalities are due to 5 direct causes: hemorrhage, infection, unsafe abortion, pulmonary embolism, and hypertensive disorder of pregnancy (HDP). Around 289,000 mothers pass away worldwide from pregnancy-related reasons in 2013. Of these, 99% of deaths occur in developing countries. Sub-Saharan Africa accounts for about 56% of all maternal mortality. A woman’s lifetime risk of dying of pregnancy-related problems is 14 times higher in developing countries than in developed countries.

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that each year between 50,000 and 75,000 mothers die due to this disorder globally, as PIH is accountable for 12% of maternal mortality worldwide. The influence of the disorder is more severe in less developed countries. The prevalence of preeclampsia in less developed countries has been predicted between 1.8% and 16.7% with at least 16% of maternal mortality resulting from preeclampsia.

According to the 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS), an estimated 412 mothers per 100,000 live births died due to gestation and related causes. Underdeveloped countries including Ethiopia face worse complications of the problem than developed ones. Poor pregnancy outcomes that are related to lack of ANC follow-up and delayed recognition and intervention in the affected mothers have worsened the situation.

Although providing due attention to such causes of maternal death could speed the reduction of maternal death, determinants of preeclampsia have not been well recognized in Gedeo Zone. Therefore, this study was aimed at identifying the determinant factors of preeclampsia. Documentation of potential determinant factors for the increment of PE helps to prevent an increasing incidence of PE. The study will also provide a source of important information for scholars for further research on the field, decision-makers, and policy and strategic designers.

Materials and Methods

Study Setting and Period
An unmatched case-control study was conducted in Gedeo Zone, in 3 public hospitals from January 1 to February 30, 2019 to determine the predictors of preeclampsia among pregnant mothers. Gedeo is one of the 11 zones found in Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples Region (SNNPR) with the administrative center of Dilla town located 360 km from Addis Ababa (the capital city of Ethiopia). According to the Gedeo Zone health department, the estimated number of mothers of reproductive age (15–49) is 256,487 and the estimated number of deliveries is 3286. In Gedeo Zone, the skilled delivery rate is 57.43%. The zone has one referral hospital, three district hospitals, 35 health centers, and 146 health posts. In addition, there are 9 nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) providing health service for the community.

Sample Size Calculation and Sampling Technique
The sample size was calculated by using EPI INFO version 7, after considering the following issues: primigravida as a risk factor with a lowest odds ratios of 2.68% and 37.37% among controls. 95% confidence interval, 5% margin of error, and 80% power. The calculated sample size was 220, and after adding a 10% non-response rate, the final sample size was 243 (81 cases and 162 controls).

All participants admitted for ANC and delivery services who fulfilled the inclusion criteria in the 3 hospitals were consecutively included until the allotted sample size was achieved. The study used an incidence density sampling approach, which is intended to produce a set of controls, to select two control mothers who were admitted for delivery services in the same facilities for each case.
The sample size was distributed between the 3 hospitals proportionally to their delivery and ANC load.

**Data Collection Instrument and Personnel**

An interviewer-administered pretested questionnaire was used to collect the data. The tool was prepared by reviewing different literature. By using this tool we collected data related to socio-demographic variables, monthly income variable, obstetric and reproductive factors, family history of chronic diseases, and dietary and lifestyle variables. To obtain clinical laboratory results, the respondents’ medical charts were reviewed. Three diploma midwives were hired for data collection. Two degree-level nurses supervised the data collection process and the investigators coordinated them. The supervisors checked the uniformity, clearness, and completeness of the collected data on a daily basis.

**Data Quality Management**

To assure the quality of data, the questionnaire was translated from English to the local language, Gediuffa/Amharic, and then back to English; to ensure its uniformity, a pre-test was conducted (in Gedeb district hospital on 5% (13) of samples); training was given to supervisors and data collectors, and strict day-to-day follow-up and correction was done.

**Data Processing and Analysis**

Data were entered into EPI Data version 3.1 and exported to statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 20 for analysis. Descriptive data were summarized using tables. Binary logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with the outcome variable. Variables with a $P$-value of less than 0.25 in bivariate regression were considered for multivariable logistic regression. Finally, $P$-value $\leq 0.05$ in multivariate logistic regression was considered as statistically significant and the strength of associations was determined using adjusted odds ratio (AOR) at corresponding 95% confidence interval.

**Result**

**Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Participants**

A total of 240 mothers, 80 (33.3%) cases and 160 (66.70%) controls, participated in this study with a response rate of 98.76%. The mean ages of the participants in both groups were 27.40 with a standard deviation of ±5.02. More than half of the participants were between the ages of 20 and 34 years. Most of the participants were married 223 (92.9%). Regarding education, 11 (13.8%) of cases and 42 (26.2%) of controls had attended college and above (Table 1).

**Obstetric and Reproductive Health-Related Factors**

Regarding the number of pregnancies, more than half of the cases, 51 (63.8%), and 86 (54.4%) of controls had had 2–4 pregnancies. Regarding the ANC service utilization during pregnancies, 73 (91.2%) of cases and 150 (93.8%) of controls had ANC follow-up. Eighteen (24.7%) of the cases and 55 (36.7%) of the controls had more than four ANC visits during the current pregnancy. The history of abortion was 12 (15.0%) and 19 (11.9%) among cases and controls, respectively. Eight (66.7%) of the cases had had an abortion once, 3 (25.0%) twice, and 1 (8.3%) more than twice; 18 (94.7%) of controls had a history of one abortion, and 1 (5.3%) had had two abortions (Table 2).

**Medical Illness Related Factors**

Regarding the medical illness-related factors, the family history of diabetes mellitus was 11 (13.8%) and 6 (3.8%) in cases and controls, respectively. The family history of hypertension was also reported at 26 (32.5%) in cases and 8 (5.0%) in controls. Among those who had a family history of hypertension, 5 (19.2%) of cases had a paternal history, 18 (69.2%) were maternal, 2 (7.7%) reported hypertension in sisters, and 1 (3.8%) in a brother; among controls, 2 (25.0%) instances were paternal, 5 (62.5%) were maternal, and 1 (12.5%) was in a sister. Regarding the factors related to participant’s maternal history of pre-eclampsia, 16 (20%) of cases and 4 (2.5%) of controls had a history of maternal preeclampsia.

**Dietary and Lifestyle Related Factors**

The body mass index (BMI) of 5(6.2%) cases and 17 (10.6%) controls was less than 18.5, the BMI of 54 (67.5%) cases and 103 (64.4%) controls was 18.5–24.5, BMI of 19 (23.8%) cases and 35 (21.9%) controls was 24.5–29.9, and BMI of 2 (2.5%) cases and 5 (3.1%) controls was above 30. The mid upper arm circumference (MUAC) of 11 (13.8%) cases and 34 (21.2%) controls was less than 23 cm, MUAC of 61 (76.2%) cases and 104 (65.0%) controls was 23–24.5, and MUAC of 12 (15.0%) cases and 7 (4.4%) controls was more than 24.5.

**Table 1**

| Variable                        | Cases   | Controls  |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Mean Age                        | 27.40   | ±5.02     |
| Marital Status                  | 223 (92.9%) | 192 (93.8%) |
| Education                       | 11 (13.8%) | 42 (26.2%) |
| Number of Pregnancies           | 51 (63.8%) | 86 (54.4%) |
| ANC Follow-up                   | 73 (91.2%) | 150 (93.8%) |
| History of Abortion             | 12 (15.0%) | 19 (11.9%) |
| History of Diabetes Mellitus    | 11 (13.8%) | 6 (3.8%) |
| History of Hypertension         | 26 (32.5%) | 8 (5.0%) |
| History of Preeclampsia         | 16 (20%) | 4 (2.5%) |
| Body Mass Index (BMI)           | 5 (6.2%) | 17 (10.6%) |
| Mid Upper Arm Circumference (MUAC) | 11 (13.8%) | 34 (21.2%) |
| Medical Illness Related Factors |         |           |
| History of Diabetes Mellitus    |         |           |
| History of Hypertension         |         |           |
| History of Preeclampsia         |         |           |
| Body Mass Index (BMI)           |         |           |
| Mid Upper Arm Circumference (MUAC) |         |           |
24.9 cm, MUAC of 8 (10.0%) cases and 22 (13.8%) controls was above 25 cm. Seventy-one (88.8%) cases and 150 (93.8%) controls ate fruit during pregnancy.

### Table 1 Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Participants Who Attend ANC and Delivery Services at Gedeo Zone Hospitals, Southern Ethiopia, 2019 (n=240 (80 Cases, 160 Controls))

| Variables          | Study subject(240) |
|--------------------|--------------------|
|                    | 80 Cases = number (%) | 160 Controls = number (%) |
| **Age**            |                    |
| <20 years          | 10(12.5)           | 15(9.5)                    |
| 20-34 years        | 62(77.2)           | 125(79.1)                  |
| >35 years          | 8(10.0)            | 18(11.4)                   |
| Mean (SD)          | 27.51(5.22)        | 27.36(4.93)                |
| **Ethnicity**      |                    |
| Gedeo              | 45(56.2)           | 101(63.1)                  |
| Amahara            | 9(11.2)            | 19(11.9)                   |
| Oromo              | 7(8.8)             | 22(13.8)                   |
| Gurage             | 10(12.5)           | 6(3.8)                     |
| Other*             | 9(11.2)            | 12(7.5)                    |
| **Religion**       |                    |
| Protestant         | 47(58.8)           | 108(67.5)                  |
| Orthodox           | 12(15.0)           | 13(8.1)                    |
| Muslim             | 21(26.2)           | 39(24.4)                   |
| **Marital status** |                    |
| Single             | 3(3.8)             | 7(4.4)                     |
| Married            | 72(90.9)           | 151(94.4)                  |
| Divorced           | 5(6.2)             | 2(1.2)                     |
| **Educational status** |                |
| Cannot read and write | 28(35.0) | 46(28.8)                  |
| Can read and write | 28(35.0)           | 30(18.8)                   |
| Primary education  | 5(6.2)             | 23(14.4)                   |
| Secondary education| 8(10.0)            | 19(11.9)                   |
| College and above  | 11(13.8)           | 42(26.2)                   |
| **Residences**     |                    |
| Urban              | 30(37.5)           | 47(29.4)                   |
| Rural              | 50(62.5)           | 113(70.6)                  |
| **Occupation**     |                    |
| Government employee| 20(25.0)           | 27(9.4)                    |
| Private            | 9(11.2)            | 11(13.8)                   |
| Housewife          | 30(37.5)           | 60(37.5)                   |
| Merchant           | 16(20.0)           | 25(15.6)                   |
| Other*             | 5(6.2)             | 6(3.8)                     |
| **Monthly Income** |                    |
| 570-1140           | 5(9.3)             | 14(11.4)                   |
| ≥1140              | 49(90.7)           | 109(88.6)                  |

**Notes:** *Other: daily laborer, student; Other: Sidama, Wolita, Silte.*

### Table 2 Obstetric and Reproductive Health Characteristics of Participants Who Attend ANC and Delivery Services at Gedeo Zone Hospitals, Southern Ethiopia, 2019 (n=240 (80 Cases, 160 Controls))

| Variables                        | Study subject(240) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|
|                                  | 80 Cases = number (%) | 160 Controls = number (%) |
| **Age at first menses (in years)** |                    |
| 10-13                            | 23(28.8)           | 57(24.1)                   |
| 14-16                            | 49(61.2)           | 160(67.5)                  |
| 17-19                            | 8(10.0)            | 20(8.4)                    |
| **Age at first pregnancy (in years)** |                |
| <20                              | 43(53.8)           | 82(51.9)                   |
| 20-34                            | 37(46.2)           | 76(48.1)                   |
| **Pregnancy interval (in years)** |                    |
| One                              | 3(4.8)             | 4(3.8)                     |
| Two                              | 26(41.3)           | 43(41.0)                   |
| >2                               | 34(54.0)           | 58(55.2)                   |
| **Number of live births**        |                    |
| One                              | 35(58.3)           | 62(59.0)                   |
| 2-4                              | 12(20.0)           | 21(20.0)                   |
| ≥ 5                              | 13(21.7)           |                            |
| **The number of ANC follow-ups** |                    |
| One time                         | 4(5.5)             | 8(5.3)                     |
| Two times                        | 19(26.0)           | 28(18.7)                   |
| Three times                      | 32(43.8)           | 59(39.3)                   |
| Four and above                   | 18(24.7)           | 55(36.7)                   |
| **Pregnancy multiplicity**       |                    |
| Single                           | 77(96.2)           | 157(98.1)                  |
| Twin                             | 3(3.8)             | 3(1.9)                     |
| **Type of contraceptive method used** |              |
| Pills                            | 4(6.2)             | 13(10.9)                   |
| Injectable                        | 36(56.2)           | 67(56.3)                   |
| Implant                          | 23(35.9)           | 39(32.8)                   |
| IUCD                             | 1(1.6)             | 0(0.00)                    |
| **Blood group**                  |                    |
| A                                | 25(31.2)           | 55(34.4)                   |
| B                                | 25(31.2)           | 51(31.9)                   |
| AB                               | 12(15.0)           | 21(13.1)                   |
| O                                | 18(22.6)           | 33(20.6)                   |
| **Hemoglobin level**             |                    |
| Less than 11                     | 22(28.6)           | 46(29.7)                   |
| Greater than 11                  | 55(71.4)           | 109(70.3)                  |
Factors Associated with Preeclampsia

On bi-variable analysis, the variables like age between 20 and 34 years, attending education, marital status, place of residence, occupation, number of pregnancies, number of ANC (antenatal care) visits, having a history of abortion, types of contraceptive method, having hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM), preeclampsia in the patient’s family, having eaten fruit during pregnancy, iron folate intake during pregnancy, and practicing exercise were eligible for the final model.

On multivariable analysis the variables including attending education, occupation (housewife, having private work), age between 20 and 34 years, family history of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, preeclampsia, and having eaten fruit during pregnancy were significantly associated with preeclampsia at $P$-value less than 0.05.

Mothers whose age is between 20 and 34 years were 95.1% less likely to acquire preeclampsia compared to age less than 20 years [AOR=0.49, 95% CI (0.006, 0.398)]. Mothers who can read and write were 87% less likely to have preeclampsia as compared to mothers who cannot read and write [AOR=0.13, 95% CI (0.02, 0.76)]. Mothers who attended primary education were 93.1% less likely to develop preeclampsia as compared to those who cannot read and write [AOR=0.071, 95% CI (0.015, 0.32)].

Participant housewives were 13 times more likely to have preeclampsia compared to those who were government employed [AOR=13, 95% CI (1.260, 140.15)]. Merchant mothers were 14 times more likely to develop preeclampsia as compared to those who are government employed [AOR=14, 95% CI (1.46, 137.72)]. Mothers who have no family history of diabetes mellitus had 72.8% reduced risk of developing preeclampsia than those who have a family history of diabetes mellitus [AOR=0.28.2, 95% CI (0.081, 0.985)].

Mothers who did not eat fruit during pregnancy were 3 times more likely to develop preeclampsia than those who had eaten fruit during pregnancy [AOR=3.355, 95% CI (1.112, 10.126)].

There was no history of cigarette smoking during pregnancy among both groups. Related to physical exercise 7 (8.8%) of cases and 34 (21.2%) of controls had practiced it. Five (6.2%) of cases and 8 (5.0%) of controls drank alcohol during pregnancy (Table 3).
Table 4 The Bi-Variable and Multivariable Analysis Result of the Determinants of Preeclampsia Among Mothers Attending ANC, and Delivery Services in Gedeo Zone Hospitals, South Ethiopia, 2019 (n=240 (80 Cases, 160 Controls))

| Variables                        | Study subject (240) |         | COR (95%CI) | AOR (95%CI) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|
|                                  | 80 Cases = number (%) | 160 Controls number (%) |             |             |
| **Age**                          |                     |         |             |             |
| <20 years                        | 10(12.5)            | 15(9.5) | 1           | 1           |
| 20-34 years                      | 62(77.2)            | 125(79.1) | .66 (0.21, 2.11) | .049(0.006, .398)* |
| >35 years                        | 8(10.0)             | 18(11.4) | .89 (0.36, 2.17) | .314(0.063, 1.556) |
| **Marital status**               |                     |         |             |             |
| Single                           | 3(3.8)              | 7(4.4)  | 1           | 1           |
| Married                          | 72(90.9)            | 151(94.4) | 5.83 (.696, 48.87) | 1.65(.134, 20.233) |
| Divorced                         | 5(6.2)              | 2(1.2)  | 5.24 (.99,27.67) | 2.13 (.31, 14.425) |
| **Educational status**           |                     |         |             |             |
| Cannot read and write            | 28(35.0)            | 46(28.8) | 1           | 1           |
| Can read and write               | 28(35.0)            | 30(18.8) | .430 (.191, .970) | .13(0.02, .76)* |
| Primary education                | 5(6.2)              | 23(14.4) | .281 (.121, .650) | .07(0.015, .32)* |
| Secondary education              | 8(10.0)             | 19(11.9) | 1.205 (.373, 3.894) | .88(12, 6.25) |
| College and above                | 11(13.8)            | 42(26.2) | .622 (.216, 1.795) | .23(0.05, 1.028) |
| **Residences**                   |                     |         |             |             |
| Urban                            | 30(37.5)            | 47(29.4) | 1           | 1           |
| Rural                            | 50(62.5)            | 113(70.6) | .693(394, 1.221) | .59(0.193, 1.806) |
| **Occupation**                   |                     |         |             |             |
| Government employee              | 20(25.0)            | 47(29.4) | 1           | 1           |
| Private                          | 9(11.2)             | 22(13.8) | 1.95(535, 7.165) | 3(355, 25.760) |
| Housewife                        | 30(37.5)            | 60(37.5) | 2.037(493,8408) | 13(1260, 140.15)* |
| Merchant                         | 16(20.0)            | 25(15.6) | 1.667(470, 5.906) | 14(1.46, 137.72)* |
| others                           | 5(6.2)              | 6(3.8)  | 1.302(0.340, 4.985) | 8(802, 80.68) |
| **Monthly Income**               |                     |         |             |             |
| 570-1140                         | 5(9.3)              | 14(11.4) | 1           | 1           |
| ≥1140                            | 49(90.7)            | 109(88.6) | 1.25(429, 3.689) | 1.845(452, 7.53) |
| **Number of pregnancies**        |                     |         |             |             |
| One                              | 17(21.2)            | 53(35.5) | 1           | 1           |
| 2-4                              | 51(63.8)            | 86(54.4) | 1.969 (.796, 4.872) | 1.002(207, 4.850) |
| ≥ 5                              | 12(15.0)            | 19(12.0) | 1.065 (.478, 2.374) | .586(156, 2.210) |
| **The number of ANC follow-ups** |                     |         |             |             |
| One time                         | 4(5.5)              | 8(5.3)  | 1           | 1           |
| Two times                        | 19(26.0)            | 28(18.7) | .655 (.176, 2.433) | .365(0.062, 2.162) |
| Three times                      | 32(43.8)            | 59(39.3) | .482 (.219, 1.062) | .525(155, 1.783) |
| Four and above                   | 18(24.7)            | 55(36.7) | .603 (.304, 1.196) | .420(139, 1.270) |
| **History of abortion**          |                     |         |             |             |
| Yes                              | 12(15.0)            | 19(11.9) | 1           | 1           |
| No                               | 68(85.0)            | 141(88.1) | .764 (.351, 1.663) | 1.272(444, 3.645) |
| **Type of contraceptive method used** |                 |         |             |             |
| Pills                            | 4(6.2)              | 13(10.9) | 1           | 1           |
| Inject able                      | 36(56.2)            | 67(56.3) | 2.00 (.584, 6.847) | 5.605(823, 38.167) |
| Implant                          | 23(35.9)            | 39(32.8) | 1.145 (.59, 2.194) | 1.194(486, 2.935) |

(Continued)
Discussion

An unmatched case-control study was conducted among 240 mothers who attended ANC and delivery services in the three hospitals. According to this study, the main determinant factors of preeclampsia are attending education, age range between 20 and 34 years, occupation, family history of hypertension, family history of diabetes mellitus, family history of preeclampsia, and eating fruit during pregnancy.

The current study showed that mothers who were between 20 and 34 years old have a 95.1% reduced risk of developing preeclampsia as compared to mothers aged less than 20 years. The finding is supported by studies in Dessie and India, and those older than 35 are at increased risk of developing preeclampsia. This might be explained by mothers who are too young may not be physiologically mature enough to cope with the demands of pregnancy, and older mothers above 35 years are more likely to have cardiovascular diseases, which is probably reflected in an increase in the incidence of essential or latent hypertension. This might be also due to the steady decrement of elasticity of the blood vessels mainly related to aging and arterial stiffness. Additionally, when mothers get older, hemodynamic maintenance during pregnancy and delivery becomes more difficult.

The mothers who attended primary education had a 92.9% reduced risk of getting preeclampsia than the mothers who cannot read and write. This result is supported by a study done in the Gaza Strip and Jakarta. This could be explained by uneducated mothers having reduced access to early prevention and control

---

### Table 4 (Continued)

| Variables                                      | Study subject(240) | 80 Cases = number (%) | 160 Controls number (%) | COR (95%CI) AOR (95%CI) |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Family history of diabetes mellitus            |                    |                        |                          |                         |
| Yes                                           | 11(13.8)           | 6(3.8)                 | 1.244 (.087, .688)       | 1.282 (.081, .985)*     |
| No                                            | 69(86.2)           | 154(96.2)              |                          |                         |
| Family history of hypertension                 |                    |                        |                          |                         |
| Yes                                           | 26(32.5)           | 8(5.0)                 | 1.109 (.047, .256)       | 1.124 (.047, .325)*     |
| No                                            | 54(67.5)           | 152(95.0)              |                          |                         |
| Family history of preeclampsia                 |                    |                        |                          |                         |
| Yes                                           | 16(20.0)           | 4(2.5)                 | 1.103 (.033, .319)       | 1.162 (.041, .640)*     |
| No                                            | 64(80.0)           | 156(97.5)              |                          |                         |
| Presence of diabetes mellitus                  |                    |                        |                          |                         |
| Yes                                           | 6(7.5)             | 6(3.8)                 | .481 (.150, 1.541)       | .271(.053, 1.389)       |
| No                                            | 74(92.5)           | 154(96.2)              |                          |                         |
| Ate Fruit during pregnancy                     |                    |                        |                          |                         |
| Yes                                           | 71(88.8)           | 150(93.8)              | 1.901 (.740, 4.886)      | 3.355(1.112, 10.126)*   |
| No                                            | 9(11.2)            | 10(6.2)                |                          |                         |
| Iron foliate intake during pregnancy           |                    |                        |                          |                         |
| Yes                                           | 66(82.5)           | 145(90.6)              | 2.051 (.936, 4.493)      | 2.59(975, 6.89)         |
| No                                            | 14(17.5)           | 15(9.4)                |                          |                         |
| Physical exercise during pregnancy             |                    |                        |                          |                         |
| Yes                                           | 7(8.8)             | 34(21.2)               | 2.814 (1.187, 6.670)     | 2.537(945, 6.810)       |
| No                                            | 73(91.2)           | 126(78.8)              |                          |                         |

Note: *p-value <0.05.
mechanisms; healthy nutrition, avoiding sedentary life, and prevention of overweight and obesity\textsuperscript{19} are identified risk factors for preeclampsia. Mothers who have low educational level had 86\% higher risk of preeclampsia, while middle education level had a 72\% higher risk of preeclampsia than those who have higher educational level.\textsuperscript{18}

The current study revealed that housewives were 13 times more likely to develop preeclampsia than government-employed mothers. This result is supported by a study done in Jahun, Nigeria.\textsuperscript{18} This might be due to low educational attainment, which may result in increased anxiety and depression. Anxiety and depression during early gestation are related to the risk of preeclampsia. In contrast to this, working mothers outside the home are at higher risk of acquiring preeclampsia than housewife mothers.\textsuperscript{20} Working mothers are more prone to develop PE as they are more stressed (in terms of traveling during pregnancy and job responsibility) than housewives. This study explained that merchant mothers were 14 times more likely to develop preeclampsia than government-employed mothers. This might be due to the high-stress situation of the work activity.

In the current finding, mothers who have no family history of diabetes mellitus had a 72.8\% reduced risk of getting preeclampsia than those who have a family history of diabetes mellitus. This is supported by studies done in Dessie Hospital\textsuperscript{16} and Jaipur India. The likelihood of preeclampsia in Dessie and India was nearly increased by 2.4 and 2.3 times if diabetes is present before pregnancy, respectively. Diabetes is a significant risk factor for preeclampsia which exposed the mothers to poor glycemic control and obesity; this in turn increases the deposition of fats under blood vessels, which results in an increased risk of hemodynamic instability.

The current finding revealed that mothers who have no family history of hypertension had 87.6\% reduced risk of developing preeclampsia than those who have a family history of hypertension. The finding is supported by studies done in Dessie Hospital\textsuperscript{16} and India,\textsuperscript{15} which revealed that the mother’s family hypertension was associated with preeclampsia cases, compared with normotensive controls identified at delivery. This might be because susceptibility to preeclampsia is highly heritable and population-based studies have shown a strong familial tendency to preeclampsia.\textsuperscript{21}

This study showed out that mothers who have no family (maternal) history of preeclampsia had 83.8\% reduced risk of developing preeclampsia than those who have a maternal history of hypertension. Other findings in Dessie\textsuperscript{16} and India\textsuperscript{22} support this result, as mothers who have a family history of hypertension are more likely to develop preeclampsia than their counterparts. This could be explained by the probability of acquiring the risk factors of preeclampsia being transferred from parents through genetic factors.

The current study showed that mothers who did not eat fruit during pregnancy were 3 times more likely to develop preeclampsia than those who had eaten fruit during pregnancy. A study done in northwest Ethiopia revealed that folic acid supplementation during pregnancy and fruit feeding was related to decreased risk of preeclampsia.\textsuperscript{23} This might be due to the micronutrients available in fruits such as vitamins, minerals, antioxidants, and dietary fibers, which are known to reduce the risk of hyper-homocysteinemia, identified risk factors for preeclampsia.\textsuperscript{24}

**Conclusion and Recommendation**

The study identified that the determinant factors for preeclampsia include ability to read and write, primary education, maternal age between 20 and 34 years, occupation (housewife, having private work), positive family history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, maternal history of preeclampsia, and eating fruits during pregnancy. Strengthening early detection and prevention of predisposing factors, and improving protective factors, such as educating mothers in collaboration with the education sector, are recommended.
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