The light-by-light contribution to the muon (g-2) from lightest pseudoscalar and scalar mesons within nonlocal chiral quark model
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Abstract The light-by-light contribution from the lightest neutral pseudoscalar and scalar mesons to the anomalous magnetic moment of muon is calculated in the framework of the nonlocal $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ quark model. The model is based on chirally symmetric four-quark interaction of the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio type and Kobayashi–Maskawa–’t Hooft $U_A(1)$ breaking six-quark interaction. Full kinematic dependence of vertices with off-shell mesons and photons in intermediate states in the light-by-light scattering amplitude is taken into account. The small positive contributions from the scalar mesons stabilize the total result with respect to change of model parameters and reduces to $\alpha_{\mu\text{LbL,PS+S}}^\text{LbL} = (6.25 \pm 0.83) \cdot 10^{-10}$.

1 Introduction

The description of the muon anomalous magnetic moment (AMM) is one of the most challenging problem of elementary particle physics. Recent precise results on the muon AMM obtained in the experiment E821 at BNL \cite{1} open possibility for very fine investigation of the contributions from the electromagnetic, weak and strong sectors of the standard model. At present, the theoretical predictions, based on $e^+e^-$ annihilation and $\tau$ decay inclusive cross sections, underestimate the experimental result by approximately 3$\sigma$ (see, e.g., [2–4]).

The most problematic part of the theoretical estimates is the contribution of the light-by-light (LbL) scattering through the hadronic vacuum. The LbL scattering contribution ( unlike to the hadronic vacuum polarization contribution) cannot be extracted from phenomenological considerations or calculated from first principals. Different models were used for calculation of the LbL contribution to the muon AMM (see, e.g., [5–20]).

In \cite{16,17} the contribution of the diagrams with pseudoscalar meson exchanges to the muon AMM was estimated in the framework of nonlocal chiral quark model ($N\chi$QM) (for details see, e.g., \cite{21–25} and the appendices of the present work). In the present paper the contribution of scalar meson exchanges is considered.

Section 2 contains the description of the nonlocal chiral quark model, the meson dynamics in the pseudoscalar and scalar channels with mixing scheme and interaction with external gauge field (see also Appendices A and B). The calculation of the LbL contribution to the muon AMM from the pseudoscalar and scalar exchanges are detailed in Sect. 3 (see also Appendices C, D and E). Our conclusions are given in Sect. 6.

2 $N\chi$QM Lagrangian, $T$-matrix and $\eta$–$\eta'$ mixing

The Lagrangian of the nonlocal $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ model is

$$
\mathcal{L} = \bar{q}(x)(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m_c)q(x) + \frac{G}{2} \left[J_\Sigma^a(x)J_\Sigma^a(x) + J_\Pi^a(x)J_\Pi^a(x)\right] - \frac{H}{4} T_{abc} \left[J_\Sigma^a(x)J_\Sigma^b(x)J_\Sigma^c(x) - 3J_\Pi^a(x)J_\Pi^b(x)J_\Pi^c(x)\right],
$$

where $q(x)$ is the quark field, $m_c$ is the diagonal matrix of the quark current masses, \footnote{We consider the isospin limit $m_{c,u} = m_{c,d} \neq m_{c,s}$.} $G$ and $H$ are the four- and six-
quark coupling constants. The last line in the Lagrangian represents the Kobayashi–Maskawa–’t Hooft determinant vertex [26, 27] with the structural constant

$$T_{abc} = \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{mn} (\lambda_a)_{im} (\lambda_b)_{jn} (\lambda_c)_{kl},$$

(2)

where \( \lambda_a \) are the Gell-Mann flavor matrices for \( a = 1, \ldots, 8 \) and \( \lambda_0 = \sqrt{2/3} I \). The nonlocal quark currents are

$$J_{ch}^a(x) = \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 f(x_1) f(x_2) \hat{q}(x - x_1) \Gamma_{ch}^a q(x + x_2),$$

(3)

where \( ch = S, P \) and \( \Gamma_{ch}^a = \lambda^a \) for the scalar channel, \( \Gamma_{ch}^5 = i \gamma^5 \lambda^a \) for the pseudoscalar channel, and \( f(x) \) is a form factor reflecting the nonlocal properties of the QCD vacuum as it occurs in the instanton liquid model.

The model can be bosonized using the stationary phase approximation which leads to the system of gap equations for the dynamical quark masses \( m_{d,i} (i = u, d, s)^2 \)

$$m_{d,u} + G_{S} S_{u} + \frac{H}{2} S_{u} S_{s} = 0,$$

(4)

$$m_{d,s} + G_{S} S_{s} + \frac{H}{2} S_{s} S_{u} = 0,$$

where \( S_{i} = -8 N_{c} \int \frac{d^4 K}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{f^2(K^2) m_{i}(K^2)}{D_{i}(K^2)} \),

where \( m_{i}(K^2) = m_{c,i} + m_{d,i} f^2(K^2) \), \( D_{i}(K^2) = K^2 + m_{i}^2(K^2) \), \( f(x) \) is the nonlocal form factor in the momentum representation.

The vertex functions and the meson masses can be found from the Bethe–Salpeter equation. For the separable interaction, given by Eqs. (1), (3), the quark–antiquark scattering \( T \)-matrix in the pseudoscalar (scalar) channel becomes

$$T_{ch} = \hat{T}_{ch}(P^2) \delta^4(P_1 + P_2 - P_3 - P_4) \prod_{i=1}^{4} f(P_i^2),$$

(5)

$$\hat{T}_{ch}(P^2) = \Gamma_{ch}^k \left( -G_{ch}^{-1} + \Pi_{ch}(P^2) \right) \Gamma_{ch}^l,$$

where \( P_i \) are the momenta of external quark lines, \( G_{ch} \) and \( \Pi_{ch}(P^2) \) are the corresponding matrices of the four-quark coupling constants and the polarization operators of mesons (\( P = P_1 + P_2 = P_3 + P_4 \)). The meson masses \( M_M \) are determined from the zeros of determinant, \( \text{det}(G_{ch} - \Pi_{ch}(P^2)) = 0 \). The actual expressions for the matrices \( G_{ch} \) and \( \Pi_{ch} \) are given in Appendix A.

The \( T \)-matrix for the system of mesons in each neutral channel can be expressed as

$$\hat{T}_{ch}(P^2) = \sum_{M} \frac{V_{M}(P^2) \otimes V_{M}(P^2)}{-(P^2 + M_{M}^2)},$$

(6)

where \( M_{M} \) are the meson masses, \( V_{M}(P^2) \) are the vertex functions (\( V_{M}(P^2) = \gamma^0 V_{M}^T(P^2) \gamma^0 \)). The sum in (6) is over full set of light mesons: \( (M = \pi^0, \eta, \eta') \) in the pseudoscalar channel and \( (M = a_0(980), \sigma, f_0(980)) \) in the scalar one. In general case of three unequal quark masses it is necessary to solve the \( \pi^0-\eta-\eta' \) and \( a_0-\sigma-f_0 \) systems. However, in the isospin limit considered here they reduce to the \( \pi^0-\eta-\eta' \) systems and to the \( a_0 \) and \( \sigma-f_0 \) systems. Then, it is convenient to diagonalize the scattering matrix by orthogonal transformations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \eta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_P & -\sin \theta_P \\ \sin \theta_P & \cos \theta_P \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_8 \\ \eta_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ f_0(980) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_S & -\sin \theta_S \\ \sin \theta_S & \cos \theta_S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_8 \\ \sigma_0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

As a result the mesonic vertex functions are

$$V_{\omega_0}(P^2) = i g_{\omega_0}(P^2) \lambda_3,$$

$$V_{\sigma}(P^2) = i g_{\sigma}(P^2)(\lambda_8 \cos \theta_S(P^2) - \lambda_0 \sin \theta_S(P^2)), \quad (8)$$

$$V_{f_0}(P^2) = i g_{f_0}(P^2)(\lambda_8 \sin \theta_S(P^2) + \lambda_0 \cos \theta_S(P^2)),$$

where \( g_M(P^2) \) and \( \theta(P^2) \) are the meson renormalization constants and mixing angles \((A.5)\) depending on the meson virtuality. The renormalization constants are defined through the unrenormalized meson propagators \( D_M(P^2) \) as

$$\delta_M^2(P^2) = -(P^2 + M_{M}^2) D_M(P^2). \quad (9)$$

The meson mixing angles depend strongly on the meson virtuality. Therefore \( \theta_{\sigma} = \theta_S(-M_{M}^2) \) and \( \theta_{f_0} = \theta_S(-M_{M}^2) \) are different for the on-shell \( \sigma \) and \( f_0 \) mesons. The same situation takes place in the pseudoscalar sector, where \( \theta_{\eta} = \theta_P(-M_{M}^2), \theta_{\eta'} = \theta_P(-M_{M}^2) \).

\[\text{Such a description of the light scalar mesons as } \bar{q}q\text{-states is probably simplified. It seems that it is necessary to include other structures, e.g., four-quark states (see, e.g., [28]). However, the present model is formulated in the leading order of the } 1/N_c \text{ expansion and our calculations are consistent within given approximation. Moreover, the scalar mesons participate in the processes under consideration only as intermediate states, being far from mass-shell.}\]
External fields are introduced by delocalization of the quark fields \( q(x) \) by using the Schwinger phase factor \( E(x, y) \)

\[
q(y) \rightarrow Q(x, y) = E(x, y)q(y),
\]

where

\[
E(x, y) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left\{ i \int_x^y \! d^4z \nu \left[ \gamma_\mu^\nu(z) + A_\mu^\nu(z) \gamma_5 \right] T^a \right\},
\]

and \( \gamma_\mu^\nu \) and \( A_\mu^\nu \) are the external vector and axial-vector gauge fields, \( T^a = \lambda^a/2 \). The \( \mathcal{P} \) exp is handled with help of prescription for the derivative of contour integral

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu} \int_x^y \! d^4z \nu F_\mu(z) = F_\mu(y),
\]

\[
\delta^{(4)}(x - y) \int_x^y \! d^4z \nu F_\mu(z) = 0,
\]

as described in \([29]\). As a result the kinetic part leads to usual local electroweak vertices. However, the terms with nonlocal quark currents \( J^\lambda_{aL}(x) \) generate additional vertices, see Appendix B.

For numerical estimates we use the Gaussian nonlocal form factor for Euclidean momenta \( f(K^2) = \exp(-K^2/2\Lambda^2) \) and the model parameters obtained in \([30]\). The model parameters (the current quark masses \( m_{c,i} \), the coupling constants \( G \) and \( H \), and the nonlocality scale \( \Lambda \)) are fixed in \([30]\) by requirement that the model reproduces correctly the measured values \([31]\) of the pion and kaon masses, the pion decay constant \( f_\pi \), and the \( \eta' \) mass (parameter sets \( G_I, G_{IV} \)) or the \( \eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \) decay constant \( g_{\eta'\gamma\gamma} \) (sets \( G_{II}, G_{III} \)). The sets \( G_I, G_{IV} \) vary by different input for the nonstrange current quark mass, while \( G_{II}, G_{III} \) are two solutions of the same fitting procedure.

### 3 LbL contribution from resonance exchanges

The basic element for calculations of the hadronic LbL contribution to the muon AMM is the fourth-rank light quark hadronic vacuum polarization tensor

\[
\Pi_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}^{LbL}(q_1, q_2, q_3) = \int \! d^4x_1 \int \! d^4x_2 \int \! d^4x_3 e^{i(q_1 x_1 + q_2 x_2 + q_3 x_3)}
\]

\[
\times \langle 0 | T \left( j_\mu(x_1) j_\nu(x_2) j_\lambda(x_3) j_\rho(0) \right) \rangle \langle 0 |, \tag{12}
\]

where \( j_\mu(x) \) are light quark electromagnetic currents and \( |0\rangle \) is the QCD vacuum state.

The muon AMM can be extracted by using the projection \([32]\)

\[
a_\mu^{LbL} = \frac{1}{48m_\mu^2} \text{Tr} \left( \hat{\rho} \left[ \gamma_\rho, \gamma_\sigma \right] \left( \hat{\rho} + m_\mu \right) \Pi_{\rho\sigma}(p, p) \right),
\]

where

\[
\Pi_{\rho\sigma}(p', p) = -i e_\mu e_\nu \Delta_\mu^{\nu \rho \sigma}(p', q_1, q_2) \tag{13}
\]

\( m_\mu \) is the muon mass, \( k_\mu = (p' - p)_\mu \) and it is necessary to consider the limit \( k_\mu \rightarrow 0 \).

In the case of the resonance exchanges of the light hadrons in the intermediate pseudoscalar and scalar channel the LbL contribution to the muon AMM is shown in Fig. 1. The vertices containing the virtual meson with momentum \( p \) and two photons with momenta \( q_1, q_2 \) and the polarization vectors \( \epsilon_{1,2} \) (see Appendix C and Fig. 6) can be written as \([15]\)

\[
\mathcal{A}(\epsilon_{(q_1, \epsilon_1)}^{* \mu \nu}, \epsilon_{(q_2, \epsilon_2)}^{* \mu \nu}) \rightarrow P_{(p)}^{* \mu \nu}(p, q_1, q_2) \tag{14}
\]

with

\[
\Delta_\mu^{\nu \rho \sigma}(p, q_1, q_2) = -i \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} q_1^\rho q_2^\sigma F_{\rho\sigma}(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2), \tag{15}
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{A}(\epsilon_{(q_1, \epsilon_1)}^{* \mu \nu}, \epsilon_{(q_2, \epsilon_2)}^{* \mu \nu}) \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{* \mu \nu}(p, q_1, q_2) \tag{16}
\]

with

\[
\Delta_\sigma^{\mu \nu}(p, q_1, q_2) = A_\sigma(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2) P_{A}^{\mu \nu}(q_1, q_2) + B_\sigma(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2) P_{B}^{\mu \nu}(q_1, q_2), \tag{17}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{A}^{\mu \nu}(q_1, q_2) &= \left( \delta^{\mu \nu} (q_1 q_2) - q_1^\mu q_2^\nu \right), \\
P_{B}^{\mu \nu}(q_1, q_2) &= \left( q_1^\mu q_2^\nu - (q_1 q_2) q_1^\mu q_2^\nu \right).
\end{align*}
\]

![Fig. 1 LbL contribution from intermediate meson exchanges](image-url)
and \( p = q_1 + q_2 \). Note that the scalar form factor \( B_S \) is singular in the limit when one photon is real and the virtuality of the second photon equals the virtuality of the scalar meson \( p^2 \to q_1^2, q_2^2 \to 0 \). For convenience we also define an additional function,

\[
B'_S(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2) = B_S(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2)((q_1q_2)^2 - q_1^2 q_2^2),
\]

which is regular in this limit. In general case, these scalar functions are combinations of the nonstrange and strange components. Details for the mixing of mesons interacting with photons are given in Appendix C. In Appendix D the local limit of the amplitude \( \gamma^* \gamma \to S^* \) is presented.

The polarization tensor \( \Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \) for the exchange of meson with mass \( M_M \) is

\[
\Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(q_1, q_2, q_3) = i \frac{\Delta^{\mu\nu}(q_1 + q_2, q_1, q_2) \Delta^{\lambda\rho}(q_1 + q_2, q_3, q_4)}{(q_1 + q_2)^2 - M_M^2} + i \frac{\Delta^{\mu\rho}(q_2 + q_3, q_1, q_4) \Delta^{\lambda\nu}(q_2 + q_3, q_3, q_4)}{(q_2 + q_3)^2 - M_M^2} + i \frac{\Delta^{\mu\lambda}(q_1 + q_3, q_1, q_3) \Delta^{\nu\rho}(q_1 + q_3, q_2, q_4)}{(q_1 + q_3)^2 - M_M^2},
\]

where \( q_i \) are momenta of outgoing photons, \( q_4 = -(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) \), and one should take \( \Delta^{\mu\nu}_S \) for pseudoscalar and \( \Delta^{\mu\nu}_S \) for scalar mesons, respectively. Details for the pseudoscalar exchange can be found in [10] (Eqs. (3.1) and (3.3)). The low-energy expansion of the derivative of the polarization tensor \( \Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \) for the scalar meson is given by

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\rho} \Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4),
\]

where \( q_i \) are momenta of outgoing photons, \( q_4 = -(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) \), and one should take \( \Delta^{\mu\nu}_S \) for pseudoscalar and \( \Delta^{\mu\nu}_S \) for scalar mesons, respectively. Details for the pseudoscalar exchange can be found in [10] (Eqs. (3.1) and (3.3)). The low-energy expansion of the derivative of the polarization tensor \( \Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \) for the scalar meson is given by

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial k^\rho} \Pi^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4),
\]

As a result the numerator of the two-loop integrand for the \( a_{\mu}^{LbL} \) contains the combination of two form factors and is a polynomial in momenta.

At next step, the expression for LbL can be averaged [2] over directions of the muon momentum \( p \)

\[
\langle \ldots \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int d\Omega(p) \ldots
\]

After averaging the expression for the LbL contribution to the muon AMM from the light scalar meson exchange can be written in the form of an integral over the Euclidean momenta:

\[
a_{\mu}^{LbL,S} = -\frac{2\alpha^3}{3\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} dQ_1^2 \int_0^{\infty} dQ_2^2 \int_{-1}^1 dt \sqrt{1 - t^2} \frac{1}{Q_3^2} \times \sum_{S = a_0^0, 0} \left[ \frac{N_1^S}{Q_2^2 + M_S^2} + \frac{N_2^S}{Q_3^2 + M_S^2} \right],
\]

where \( X_S \), \( Y_S \) are the functions \( A_S \), \( B_S \), \( B'_S \) defined in Eqs. (17), (18), \( Q_3 = -(Q_1 + Q_2) \) and capital letters are introduced for Euclidean momenta, i.e. \( Q_3^2 = -q_3^2 \). One should note that \( T_{S}^{B'A} = 1/2 T_{S}^{AA} \), \( T_{S}^{B'B} = 1/2 T_{S}^{AB} \). The functions \( T_{S}^{XY} \) are given in Appendix E.

4 The results of model calculations

It is instructive to study the \( \pi \) and \( \sigma \) mesons contribution to the muon AMM for the \( SU(2) \times SU(2) \) version of the nonlocal model. The Lagrangian of the model is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{SU2} = \bar{q}(x)(i\gamma^5 - m_\pi)q(x)
\]

\[
+ G \left[ J_\mu^S(x) J_\mu^S(x) + J_\mu^A(x) J_\mu^A(x) \right],
\]

where the corresponding flavor matrices are \( \lambda_u = (\sqrt{2}\lambda_0 + \lambda_8)/\sqrt{3} = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0) \) and \( b = 1, 2, 3 \). In this case the model has three parameters: the current quark mass \( m_{e,u} \), the dynamical quark mass \( m_{d,u} \) and the nonlocal parameter \( \Lambda \). In order to understand the stability of the model predictions with respect to changes of the model parameters one may vary one parameter in rather wide physically acceptable interval, while fix other parameters by using as input the pion mass and the two-photon decay constant of the neutral pion. Thus, we take the values of the
dynamical quark mass in the typical interval of model values 200–350 MeV and other parameters are fitted by the above physical observables within the error range given in [31].

The results are shown in Fig. 2. We see that the contribution of the $\sigma$ meson is small, positive and has very small minimum value around the value of 300 MeV for the dynamical mass. It is interesting to note that the total result for the pion and $\sigma$ meson contributions is rather stable to variation of the dynamical mass in the tested interval. Our estimates for the $\pi^0$ and the sum of $\pi^0$ and $\sigma$ contributions (here and below in 10$^{-10}$) are

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{LBL},\pi^0} = 5.01 \pm 0.37, \quad a_{\mu}^{\text{LBL},\pi^0+\sigma} = 5.40 \pm 0.33. \quad (24)$$

In the SU(3) model, for the central values of $\eta$ and $\eta'$ contributions we use the averages over different parameterizations [17]. The error bar for $\eta'$ is taken as a maximal deviation from the central value. The deviation of the $\eta$ contribution from the central value seems accidentally small, so we use the factor 60 % of the error value for $\eta'$ as an estimate of the error bar for the $\eta$ contribution. Our estimate for the $\eta$ and $\eta'$ contributions is

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{LBL},\eta} = 0.54 \pm 0.32, \quad a_{\mu}^{\text{LBL},\eta'} = 0.30 \pm 0.18. \quad (25)$$

Finally, we estimate the combined contribution from the $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$ mesons as

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{LBL},a_0+f_0} \approx 0.01, \quad (26)$$

and add it to the total result.

### 5 Comparison with other models

It should be mentioned that there are estimates of the scalar meson exchange contributions to the muon AMM in different versions of the local NJL model. In [14] the combined scalar contribution was estimated as

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{LBL},\sigma} = -(0.68 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^{-10}, \quad (27)$$

whereas [15] gives the estimates for $\sigma$ and $a_0$ contributions as

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{LBL},\sigma} = (1.167 \pm 0.238) \cdot 10^{-10}, \quad a_{\mu}^{\text{LBL},a_0} = (0.062 \pm 0.024) \cdot 10^{-10}. \quad (28)$$

One can see that our results (Table 1) are smaller in absolute values than other estimates.

Note that in estimations (27) and (28) there is an ambiguity in the sign for the scalar meson exchange contributions. In [33] the analytical expressions for the pion and $\sigma$-meson contributions was obtained with the meson transition form factors taken from the simple vector meson dominance (VMD) model parameterized by the $\rho$-meson mass $M_\rho$. These expressions are given as an expansion in small parameters chosen in accordance with the mass scale hierarchy $(M_\rho^2 - M_\mu^2) \ll m_\mu^2 \ll M_\rho^2$. We reproduce numerically the coefficients of these expansions for the pseudoscalar meson contribution (Eqs. (8) and (10) of [33]) by using our code for numerical calculations of $a_\mu$ and substituting the VMD transition form factors instead of $N\chi$QM ones

$$A_\rho \left( p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2 \right) = F_M^{\text{VMD}} \left( q_1^2, q_2^2 \right), \quad B_\rho \left( p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2 \right) = 0.$$  

However, for the $\sigma$-meson contribution by using the same VMD model as in [33], we reproduce numerically the coefficients of the expansion in Eq. (11) of [33] up to the overall sign. Thus we conclude (as in [15]) that the scalar meson contribution to the muon AMM for the VMD model has a positive sign in variance with the result of [33].

For additional check of computer code correctness, as suggested in [33], one can calculate the contribution to the muon AMM from the vacuum polarization processes, $A_{\mu}^{\text{HVP},M\gamma}$, where the virtual photon splits to the meson $M$ and the real photon $\gamma$. As argued in [33] these contributions have to be positive, since they are related by dispersion relations to the cross sections $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow M\gamma)$. Our numerical results

---

4For the pion exchange contribution, the coefficient of the leading, $\log^2\left(M_\rho/m_\mu\right)$, term in $(m_\rho/M_\mu)^2$ expansion was found in [35].

5Namely, varying the mass parameter values for the $\rho$-meson, muon and difference between the pion and muon masses squared, one can extract different terms of the expansions given in [33].
results for the VMD model and a set of parameters used in [33] (for $M_\sigma = M_\pi$) are

$$d_{\mu, \text{VMD}}^{\text{LbL}, \pi^0} = +5.64 \times 10^{-10}, \quad (29)$$

$$d_{\mu, \text{VMD}}^{\text{LbL}, \sigma} = +4.76 \times 10^{-10}, \quad (30)$$

$$d_{\mu, \text{VMD}}^{\text{HVP}, \pi^0} = +0.368 \times 10^{-10}, \quad (31)$$

$$d_{\mu, \text{VMD}}^{\text{HVP}, \sigma} = +0.265 \times 10^{-10}. \quad (32)$$

Our numerical results for the pion exchange contributions, (29) and (31), agree with the results given in Eqs. (2) and (10) of [33].

In order to study the transition from the nonlocal model to the local one, we consider the nonlocal $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ model with Pauli–Villars regularization parameterized by

1. parameter of nonlocality $\Lambda$,
2. parameter of quark-loop regularization $\Lambda_q$.

The local model corresponds to the limit

$$\Lambda \to \infty, \quad \Lambda_q = \Lambda_q^{\text{fit}}, \quad (33)$$

while the nonlocal model without regularization can be obtained by setting

$$\Lambda = \Lambda^{\text{fit}}, \quad \Lambda_q \to \infty. \quad (34)$$

For definiteness, let us compare the local model [34, 36] with the nonlocal one [37]. The values of the quark condensate in the local and nonlocal models coincide numerically within less than 0.5 % deviation.

The result is presented in Fig. 3, where we introduce the parameter of nonlocality $x$. The zero of $x$ corresponds to the local NJL model, while the nonlocal model is reproduced for $x$ equal to one. For each $x$ point in between zero and one the dynamical quark mass scales linearly and the current quark mass and $\Lambda$ are refitted in order to reproduce the mass of the neutral pion and linearly scaled quark condensate.

One can see that the pion contribution to the muon AMM increases from $5.3 \times 10^{-10}$ in the $N_\chi \text{QM}$ model to $8.5 \times 10^{-10}$ in the local NJL model. More dramatic situation takes place for the $\sigma$-meson contribution. The contribution in the local limit is ten times larger than in the nonlocal model ($2.2 \times 10^{-10}$ instead of $0.22 \times 10^{-10}$). The values obtained in the local limit are of the same order as the numbers quoted in [15].

6 Conclusions

We found that within the $N_\chi \text{QM}$ the pseudoscalar meson contributions to muon AMM are systematically lower than the results obtained in the other works (see discussion in [17]). The full kinematic dependence of the vertices on the pion virtuality diminishes the result by about 20–30 % as compared to the case where this dependence is neglected. For $\eta$ and $\eta'$ mesons the results are reduced by a factor of about 3 in comparison with the results obtained in other models where the kinematic dependence was neglected (see details in [17]). The total contribution of pseudoscalar exchanges

$$d_{\mu, \text{PS}}^{\text{LbL}} = (5.85 \pm 0.87) \times 10^{-10} \quad (35)$$
is approximately by a factor 1.5 less than most of the previous estimates.

The scalar mesons contribution is positive and partially cancels model dependence of the pseudoscalar contribution. The combined value for the scalar–pseudoscalar contribution is estimated as

$$a_\mu^{\text{LbL,PS+S}} = (6.25 \pm 0.83) \cdot 10^{-10}. \quad (36)$$

It is well known that the hadronic LbL contribution to the muon AMM calculated in the effective approaches have model dependent features. However, there are few constraints following from QCD that need to be satisfied in any acceptable model calculations. One result was obtained by Melnikov and Vainshtein [11] (see also for discussions [38]) for the high photon momentum behavior of the total LbL amplitude. The consistency of the N\*Q M with the Melnikov–Vainshtein constraints was carefully analyzed in our previous works [16, 17]. It is based on the fact that in the high photon momentum limit the dynamical nonperturbative dressing effects containing in the quark box diagram becomes vanishing and this diagram produces short range behavior characteristic for the perturbative QCD regime. At the same time the LbL contributions containing the meson exchanges are responsible for the long distance dynamics and are suppressed in the high photon momentum limit.

Another important constraint concerns the low photon momentum limit of the LbL contribution with intermediate pion exchange. In [35] (see also for discussions [38]) the coefficient of the leading logarithm of ultraviolet regulator, $\log^2 \Lambda$, arising in this contribution was computed in the chiral limit in the leading in $1/N_c$ approximation. In [35] there was also discussed the correspondence between this result and the model calculations given in [10]. One found that for the case of the vector meson dominance form factor and in the limit $M_V \to \infty$ the logarithmic coefficient numerically agrees with chiral perturbative theory result. We have checked this statement by performing the chiral expansion of the polarization operators as well as triangle diagram functions. Then, one can indeed reproduce the correct coefficients in front of $\log^2 \Lambda$ terms in the limit as the ultraviolet regulator $\Lambda$ goes to infinity.

Finally, the important point for the model calculations is the total contribution from all leading diagrams. This is because the different models may redistribute partial contributions differently. In the present work we show that the contribution of the scalar mesons being relatively small leads to stabilization of the total pseudoscalar and scalar contribution with respect to variation of the model parameters. The next step is the calculation of the dynamical quark and pion loops contribution, which is now in progress.
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Appendix A: Four-quark coupling constants, polarization operators and mixing angles

The elements of $G_{\text{ch}}$-matrices take the form

$$G_{00} = G = \frac{H}{3}(2S_u + S_s),$$

$$G_{88} = G = \frac{H}{3}(4S_u - S_s),$$

$$G_{08} = G_{80} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} H(S_u - S_s), \quad G_{33} = G = \frac{H}{2} S_s,$$

where the upper sign corresponds to the scalar channel, while the lower sign corresponds to the pseudoscalar channel. For the pion, $G_{33}$ equals $G_{33}$ of the pseudoscalar interaction, and, for the $a_0$-meson, $G_{a0}$ equals $G_{33}$ of the scalar interaction.

The elements of $\Pi_{\text{ch}}(P^2)$-matrix for the scalar and pseudoscalar mesons are diagonal in the quark-flavor basis, and in the singlet-triplet-octet basis they are given by

$$\Pi_{00}(P^2) = \frac{1}{3}(2\Pi_{uu}(P^2) + \Pi_{ss}(P^2)),$$

$$\Pi_{88}(P^2) = \frac{1}{3}(\Pi_{uu}(P^2) + 2\Pi_{ss}(P^2)),$$

$$\Pi_{08}(P^2) = \Pi_{80}(P^2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(\Pi_{uu}(P^2) - \Pi_{ss}(P^2)),$$

$$\Pi_{33}(P^2) = \Pi_{uu}(P^2),$$

where the difference between the scalar and pseudoscalar channels is in the polarization operators

$$\Pi_{ij}(P^2) = 8N_c \int d^4 K \frac{f_+^2 f_+^2 f_+^2}{(2\pi)^6} D_i(K_+^2) D_j(K_+^2) \times \left[ (K_+ \cdot K_-) \mp m_i(K_+^2)m_j(K_+^2) \right].$$

where $K_\pm = K \pm P/2$. Similarly to Eq. (A.1), the upper sign corresponds to the scalar channel and the lower sign corresponds to the pseudoscalar channel, $\Pi_{a0}$ equals $\Pi_{33}$ for the scalar channel and $\Pi_{a0}$ equals $\Pi_{33}$ for the pseudoscalar channel. The unrenormalized mesonic propagators for the...
scalar mesons are
\[ D^{-1}_{{\alpha\beta}}(p^2) = -G^{-1}_{{\alpha\beta}} + \Pi_{{\alpha\beta}}(p^2), \]
\[ D^{-1}_{\sigma, f_0}(p^2) = \frac{1}{2}(A + C) \pm \sqrt{(A - C)^2 + 4B^2}, \]
where
\[ \theta_S(p^2) = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left[ \frac{2B}{A - C} \right] - \frac{\pi}{2} \theta(A - C). \]

Expressions for the unrenormalized propagators for the pseudoscalar mesons are similar to the scalar meson propaga-
tors, Eqs. (A.4), (A.5), with replacements \( a_0 \rightarrow \pi, \sigma \rightarrow \eta, f_0 \rightarrow \eta' \) and \( \theta_S \rightarrow \theta_P \).

**Appendix B: Feynman rules for nonlocal vertices**

The total vertex of photon interaction with quark–antiquark pair (Fig. 4a) contains local and nonlocal parts
\[ \Gamma^\mu_{P_2, P_1} = T^a (\gamma^\mu - (p_1 + p_2)_\mu) m^{(1)}(p_1, p_2), \]
\[ \mu = 1 \]

where \( T^a \equiv Q \) and \( m^{(1)}(p_1, p_2) \) is the first order finite-difference of the dynamical quark mass
\[ m^{(1)}(p_1, p_2) = \frac{m_1(p_1^2) - m_1(p_2^2)}{p_1^2 - p_2^2}. \]

The contact interaction vertex of meson, photon and quark–antiquark pair (Fig. 4b) is purely nonlocal and takes the form
\[ \Gamma^{M, \mu}_{P_2, P_1} \]
\[ = -g_M(k)(f^{(1)}(p_1, p_1 + q) f(p_2)(2p_1 + q)_\mu T^a \Gamma^b_M + f^{(1)}(p_2, p_2 - q) f(p_1)(2p_2 - q)_\mu \Gamma^b_M T^a). \]

In order to express the vertices with two external photons we introduce the following functions:
\[ G^{ab}_{\mu}(k, q) = i T^a (2k + q)_\mu f^{(1)}(k, k + q), \]
\[ G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(k, q, q', k') = - f(k') \left[ \frac{T^a T^b \gamma_{\mu\nu} f^{(1)}(k, k + q + q')}{[2(k + q') + q]_\mu [2(k + q') + q]_\nu} \right. \]
\[ \times f^{(2)}(k, k + q', k + q + q') \left. \right] \]
\[ \times \left[ (q, a, \mu) \leftrightarrow (q', b, \nu) \right] \]
\[ \text{where } f^{(2)}(k, k, q) = \frac{f^{(1)}(k, k + q) - f^{(1)}(k, k_3) - f^{(1)}(k_3, k)}{k^2 - k_3^2}. \]

With this notation, the vertex of two-photon interaction with quark–antiquark pair (Fig. 5a) is
\[ \Gamma^{\mu, \nu}_{P_2, P_1, q_1, q_2} = m_d \{ G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p_1, q_1, q_2, p_2) \]
\[ + G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p_2 - q_1, q_2, q_1, p_1) \]
\[ + G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p_1, q_1) G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p_2 - q_2, q_2) \]
\[ + G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p_2 - q_1, q_1) G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p_1, q_2) \}, \]
\[ \text{and the interaction vertex for two photons, meson and quark–antiquark pair (Fig. 5b) becomes} \]
\[ \Gamma^{M, \mu, \nu}_{P_2, P_1, q_1, q_2} = -g_M(k)(G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p_1, q_1, q_2, p_2) \Gamma^c_M \]
\[ + G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p_2 - q_1, q_2, q_1, p_1) \]
\[ + G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p_1, q_1) \Gamma^c_M G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p_2 - q_2, q_2) \]
\[ + G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p_2 - q_1, q_1) \Gamma^c_M G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p_1, q_2) \}. \]

**Appendix C: Amplitude with meson and two photons**

The photon–meson transition amplitude is a sum of dia-
grams shown in Fig. 6, where all particles are virtual. For

![Diagram](image-url)
the scalar meson it takes the form

\[ A(y_1^s y_2^s \rightarrow M^s) = e^2 \epsilon_1^s \epsilon_2^s \Delta^{\mu\nu}(p, q_1, q_2), \]

\[ \Delta^{\mu\nu}(p, q_1, q_2) = -iN_e \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \]

\[ \times \text{Tr}(\hat{2} \Gamma^{M}_{k_2,k_1} S(k_1) \Gamma^{\mu}_{k_1,k_3} S(k_3) \Gamma^{\nu}_{k_3,k_2} S(k_2)) \]

\[ + \Gamma^{M;\mu}_{k_2,k_1,q_1} S(k_1) \Gamma^{\mu}_{k_1,k_3} S(k_3) \]

\[ + \Gamma^{M;\nu}_{k_2,k_1,q_2} S(k_1) \Gamma^{\nu}_{k_1,k_3} S(k_3) \]

\[ + \Gamma^{M;\mu,\nu}_{k_2,k_1,q_1,q_2} + \Gamma^{M;\mu,\nu}_{k_3,k_1,q_1,q_2} S(k_3)). \]

where the symbols are the photon momenta \( q_{1,2} \), the photon polarization vectors \( \epsilon_{1,2} \), the meson momentum \( p = q_1 + q_2 \), and the quark momenta \( k_{1,2,3} \) (\( k_1 = k + q_1, k_2 = k - q_2, k_3 = k \)). The first term in parentheses corresponds to the quark triangle diagrams \(^{10}\) (Fig. 6b and crossed term Fig. 6c) and next terms correspond to the diagrams in Figs. 6d–g with effective nonlocal vertices defined in (B.3), (B.6), (B.7).

For different scalar meson states one has the following combinations of nonstrange and strange components:

\[ \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\text{n}}(p, q_1, q_2) = g_{\text{n}}(p^2) \delta^{\mu\nu}(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2), \]

\[ \Delta^{\mu\nu}_{\text{s}}(p, q_1, q_2) = \frac{g_{\text{s}}(p^2)}{3\sqrt{3}} \]

\[ \times \left[ (5\delta^{\mu\nu}(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2) - 2\delta_s^{\mu\nu}(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2)) \cos\theta_S(p^2) \right. \]

\[ \left. - \sqrt{2}(5\delta_s^{\mu\nu}(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2) + 2\delta_s^{\mu\nu}(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2)) \sin\theta_S(p^2) \right]. \]

\(^{10}\)In the case of pseudoscalar mesons, the diagrams in Figs. 6d–g give a zero contribution due to chirality considerations.
where

\[ V_1^{\mu\nu} = m_1 \left[ k_2^\nu k_3^\mu + k_2^\mu k_3^\nu \right] + m_3 \left[ k_2^\nu k_1^\mu - k_2^\mu k_1^\nu \right] + m_2 \left[ k_1^\nu k_3^\mu + k_1^\mu k_3^\nu \right] + g^{\mu\nu} \left[ m_1 m_3 m_2 - m_1 (k_2 k_3) + m_3 (k_2 k_1) - m_2 (k_3 k_1) \right], \]

\[ V_2^{\mu\nu} = k_1^\nu \left[ m_2 m_3 + (k_2 k_3) \right] + k_2^\nu \left[ m_1 m_3 - (k_1 k_3) \right] + k_3^\nu \left[ m_1 m_2 + (k_1 k_2) \right], \]

\[ V_3^{\mu\nu} = k_1^\nu \left[ m_2 m_3 - (k_2 k_3) \right] + k_2^\nu \left[ m_1 m_3 + (k_1 k_3) \right] + k_3^\nu \left[ m_1 m_2 - (k_1 k_2) \right], \]

\[ V_4 = m_1 m_2 m_3 + m_1 (k_2 k_3) + m_3 (k_1 k_2) + m_2 (k_1 k_3), \]

and

\[ J_{\text{de}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{f_2}{D_2 D_3} (1) \left( k_1 + k_3 \right)^\mu \times (m_2 k_3^3 + m_3 k_2^3 - m_{12}^{(1)} (k_2 + k_3)^\nu \times ((k_3 k_2) + m_3 m_2)) + \frac{f_1}{D_1 D_3} (1) (k_2 + k_3)^\nu \times (m_3 k_1^3 + m_1 k_3^3 - m_{13}^{(1)} (k_1 + k_3)^\mu \times ((k_3 k_1 + m_3 m_1)), \]

\[ J_{\text{i}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{f_1 f_2}{D_1 D_2} \left( (k_1 k_2) + m_1 m_2 \right) m_d \left[ (f_1 + f_2) g^{\mu\nu} f_{12}^{(1)} \right] \times \left( (k_1 + k_3)^\mu (k_2 + k_3)^\nu \right) \left( f_1 + f_2 \right) \times \left( \frac{1}{t_{13}^{(2)}} + \frac{1}{t_{13}^{(3)}} - \frac{1}{t_{13}^{(1)} t_{12}^{(1)}} \right), \]

\[ J_{\text{g}}^{\mu\nu} = - \frac{f_3 m_2}{D_2} \left[ g^{\mu\nu} f_{12}^{(1)} + (k_1 + k_3)^\mu (k_2 + k_3)^\nu f_{23}^{(1)} \right] \]

\[ - \frac{f_1 m_1}{D_1} \left[ g^{\mu\nu} f_{12}^{(1)} + (k_2 + k_3)^\nu (k_1 + k_3)^\mu f_{13}^{(2)} \right] \]

\[ + \frac{f_3 m_3}{D_3} \left[ f_{12}^{(1)} f_{13}^{(2)} (2k_1 + k_3)^\mu (2k_2 + k_3)^\nu \right]. \]

Analytical expressions for the form factors \( A_1(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2) \) and \( B'(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2) \) in the case of special kinematics\(^{11}\) when one photon is real, \( q_1^2 = 0 \), and the virtuality of second photon is equal to the virtuality of meson \( p_2^2 = q_2^2 \), can be obtained by expanding the quark-loop expressions, Eqs. (C.3), (C.4), (C.5), in \( q_1^2 \). The resulting expressions contain derivatives of the nonlocal function \( f(k^2) \) up to third order. These expressions are rather cumbersome and not presented here. Alternatively, one can calculate the form factors for small but nonzero \( q_1^2 \) and then take the limit numerically.

\(^{11}\) \( B'(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2) \) is divergent in this kinematic.

**Appendix D: Local limit of \( \gamma^* \gamma^* \to S^* \) amplitude**

In the local model with constituent quark masses \( m_i \), the triangle quark-loop diagrams, depicted in Figs. 6b–c, reduce to the following expression:

\[ \delta_{\text{loc}i}^{\mu\nu}(p^2, q_1^2, q_2^2) = m_i g^{\mu\nu} I_8(m_i^2) + A_{\text{loc}i}(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2) p_i^{\mu\nu}(q_1, q_2) + B_{\text{loc}i}(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2) P^{\mu\nu}_B(q_1, q_2), \]

(D.1)

where \( I_8(m_i^2) \) is a gauge non-invariant term (constant)

\[ I_8(m_i^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^{1-x_1} dx_2 \frac{m_i^2 - X}{m_i^2 - X} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}, \]

(D.2)

\[ X = x_1(1 - x_1 - x_2) q_1^2 + x_2(1 - x_1 - x_2) q_2^2 + x_1 x_2 p^2, \]

which should be eliminated by suitable regularization, e.g., the Pauli–Villars regularization \( I_8(m_i^2) - I_8(A_{\tilde{P}V}^2) = 0 \), and the form factors read

\[ A_{\text{loc}i}(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2) = \frac{m_i}{4(q_1 q_2) \pi^2} \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^{1-x_1} dx_2 \frac{4X - p^2 + q_1^2 (1 - 2x_1) + q_2^2 (1 - 2x_2)}{m_i^2 - X}. \]

(D.3)

\[ B_{\text{loc}i}(p^2; q_1^2, q_2^2) = \frac{m_i}{(q_1 q_2) \pi^2} \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^{1-x_1} dx_2 \frac{x_2 (1 - 2x_2)}{q_2^2 (m_i^2 - X)}. \]

Note that, if one takes the local limit of the nonlocal expression Eq. (C.3) by setting \( \Lambda \to \infty \), the contribution of nonlocal diagrams completely cancel a gauge non-invariant term.

For special kinematics considered above, the form factors become \((\bar{x} = 1 - x)\)

\[ A_{\text{loc}i}(p^2; p^2, 0) = - \frac{m_i}{12\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \frac{2m_i^2 - p^2 \bar{x}x (1 + 4\bar{x}x)}{(m_i^2 - x^2 p^2)^2}, \]

(D.4)

\[ B'_{\text{loc}i}(p^2; p^2, 0) = - \frac{m_i}{6\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \frac{1 - 6x \bar{x}}{m_i^2 - p^2 x \bar{x}}. \]
Appendix E: Tensor structures for LbL amplitude

Functions averaged over muon momenta can be represented as

\[
T_{i}^{\text{XY}} = \sum_{j=1}^{6} \langle A \rangle_{j} Z_{4,j}^{\text{XY}},
\]

(E.1)

where \( \langle A \rangle_{j} \) are the averages of scalar products with muon momentum in the numerator and muon propagators in the denominator \((D_{1} = (P + Q_{1})^{2} + m_{\mu}^{2}, D_{2} = (P - Q_{2})^{2} + m_{\mu}^{2})\)

\[
\langle A \rangle_{1} = \left( \frac{1}{D_{1}} \right) = \frac{R_{1} - 1}{2m_{\mu}^{2}}, \quad \langle A \rangle_{2} = \left( \frac{1}{D_{2}} \right) = \frac{R_{2} - 1}{2m_{\mu}^{2}},
\]

\[
\langle A \rangle_{3} = \left( \frac{P Q_{2}}{D_{1}} \right) = (Q_{1} Q_{2}) \left( \frac{1 - R_{1}}{8m_{\mu}^{2}} \right), \quad \langle A \rangle_{4} = \left( \frac{P Q_{2}}{D_{2}} \right) = -(Q_{1} Q_{2}) \left( \frac{1 - R_{2}}{8m_{\mu}^{2}} \right),
\]

\[
\langle A \rangle_{5} = \left( \frac{1}{D_{1} D_{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{M_{\mu}^{2} |Q_{1}| |Q_{2}| x} \arctan \left( \frac{z x}{1 - z t} \right), \quad \langle A \rangle_{6} = (1) = 1,
\]

\( m_{\mu} \) is the muon mass \((P^{2} = -m_{\mu}^{2})\) and

\[
t = \frac{Q_{1} Q_{2}}{|Q_{1}||Q_{2}|}, \quad x = \sqrt{1 - t^{2}}, \quad R_{1} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{4m_{\mu}^{2}}{Q_{1}^{2}}}, \quad z = \frac{Q_{1} Q_{2}}{4m_{\mu}^{2}} (1 - R_{1})(1 - R_{2}).
\]

\( Z_{4,j}^{\text{XY}} \) are polynomials in the photon momenta:

\[
Z_{4,1,1}^{\text{AA}} = (Q_{1} Q_{2})(Q_{1}^{2} + (Q_{1} Q_{2})),
\]

\( Z_{4,2}^{\text{AA}} = Q_{2}^{2} \frac{3Q_{1}^{2} + Q_{2}^{2} + Q_{3}^{2}}{4}, \quad Z_{4,3}^{\text{AA}} = -Q_{1}^{2}, \quad Z_{4,4}^{\text{AA}} = Q_{2}^{2}, \quad Z_{4,6}^{\text{AA}} = \frac{(Q_{1} Q_{2}) - Q_{2}^{2}}{2}, \quad Z_{4,5}^{\text{AA}} = Q_{2}^{2}(2m_{\mu}^{2} - Q_{1}^{2} - (Q_{1} Q_{2}))(Q_{1}^{2} + (Q_{1} Q_{2})), \quad Z_{4,6}^{\text{AA}} = \frac{Q_{1}^{2} - 2Q_{2}^{2}}{2}, \quad Z_{4,2}^{\text{AA}} = \frac{Q_{2}^{2} - 2Q_{3}^{2}}{2},
\]

\( Z_{4,1}^{\text{AB}} = -Q_{1}^{2}(Q_{1} Q_{2} + Q_{1}^{2}) \frac{Q_{1}^{2} - Q_{2}^{2}}{2}, \quad Z_{4,2}^{\text{AB}} = -Q_{2}^{2}(Q_{1} Q_{2}^{2})(Q_{1}^{2} + (Q_{1} Q_{2})) + Q_{2}^{2} Q_{2}^{2} Q_{3}^{2}, \quad Z_{4,3}^{\text{AB}} = -Q_{1}^{2}(Q_{1} Q_{2})((Q_{1} Q_{2} + Q_{2}^{2}), \quad Z_{4,4}^{\text{AB}} = Q_{2}^{2}(Q_{1} Q_{2})((Q_{1} Q_{2} + Q_{2}^{2}),\)

\[
Z_{4,5}^{\text{AB}} = Q_{1}^{2} Q_{1}^{2} Q_{3}^{2}(Q_{1} Q_{2}) + Q_{2}^{2}, \quad Z_{4,6}^{\text{AB}} = ((Q_{1} Q_{2})^{2} + Q_{1}^{2} Q_{2}^{2}) \frac{(Q_{1} Q_{2}) + Q_{2}^{2}}{2}, \quad Z_{4,7}^{\text{AB}} = \frac{Q_{1}^{2}}{2}(Q_{2}^{2}(Q_{1}^{4} + (Q_{1} Q_{2})(Q_{3}^{2} - Q_{1}^{2})) + Q_{1}^{2}((Q_{1} Q_{2})((Q_{1} Q_{2} + 5Q_{2}^{2}) + 2Q_{1}^{2}))),
\]

\[
Z_{4,8}^{\text{AB}} = \frac{Q_{2}^{2}}{2}((Q_{1} Q_{2})^{2} + (Q_{1} Q_{2}) Q_{3}^{2} - Q_{1}^{2} - Q_{2}^{2})), \quad Z_{4,9}^{\text{AB}} = Q_{1}^{2}((Q_{1} Q_{2}) + Q_{2}^{2})((Q_{1} Q_{2} + Q_{2}^{2})), \quad Z_{4,10}^{\text{AB}} = -Q_{2}^{2}((Q_{1} Q_{2}) + Q_{1}^{2})((Q_{1} Q_{2} + Q_{2}^{2}), \quad Z_{4,11}^{\text{AB}} = -Q_{2}^{2} Q_{1}^{2} Q_{3}^{2}(Q_{1} Q_{2})^{2} + Q_{1}^{2} Q_{2}^{2} + 2Q_{1}^{2} Q_{2}^{2}, \quad Z_{4,12}^{\text{AB}} = \frac{(Q_{1}^{2} Q_{2}^{2} - (Q_{1} Q_{2})^{2})(Q_{2}^{2} + Q_{1}^{2}) - Q_{1}^{2} Q_{2}^{2} Q_{3}^{2}}{2},
\]
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