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Abstract

Hospitals have an optimal growth conditions for some germs, because of the high relative humidity and relatively high temperature. These germs, which are found in foods served in hospitals, could cause food poisoning in hospitalized patients. To help improve the hygienic quality of meals and to prevent risks related to social catering, we have chosen to conduct a study on the microbiological quality of meals served at the Main Hospital of Dakar. A total of 100 samples of hot meals were processed in the food microbiology laboratory of the Inter-State School of Veterinary Sciences and Medicine (EISMV) in Dakar. The following results were obtained: 56% of unsatisfactory samples, 40% are acceptable, 4% unsatisfactory, according to the microbiological criteria of the French Republic, which must satisfy certain animal or animal products. For the various flora sought, the percentages of samples exceeding the acceptability threshold are as follows: 10% for the total flora, 35% for the faecal coliforms, 1% for the sulfito-reducting anaerobes. Meals are fairly contaminated by fecal coliforms. It is therefore clear that the rate of bacterial contamination of meals is quite high, especially by fecal coliforms.
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1. Introduction

Hygiene and food safety is very important in a hospital because patients in the hospital are the higher risk group of foodborne illness. Unsafe foods cause complication to patients affecting reputation to hospital, economic losses, legal action by authority and negative impacts to organization. Food hazards may cause Biological, Chemical, Physical and Allergenic Hazards and consequences to patient and patient party. It’s ironical to state that, awareness on food safety is of poor standard among food handlers working in canteen, hotel or cafeteria situated in hospital or hospital premises. Food handlers in hospitals contribute to the incidence of foodborne disease to consumers; therefore, it is essential that workers and management staff have a thorough understanding of safe food practices since hospitalized patients and patient party are more vulnerable to potential hazards, and neglecting these principles can lead to increased morbidity and mortality (Bhattarai & Yadav, 2018). In addition to providing care, hospitals provide a variety of other services for the benefit of hospitalized patients, such as restoring them. Since the rehabilitation of the patient and his comfort are the main objectives of the hospital restoration. Therefore, hygiene in the food sector has, a particular importance in hospitals (Assmi et al., 2005). On the one hand, because microorganisms can proliferate in the kitchen reach you dangerous threshold, there reigns optimal growth conditions, that is to say a high relative humidity and a high temperature (Nicolas, 2008; Nifaaoui, 2015). On the other hand, because of his illness, the patient is more susceptible to toxic infections than other sections of the population. Finally, hospital catering has certain specificity; this is due to the fact that it is addressed to a temporarily captive clientele that did not choose his menu and who
did not come to the hospital to eat (Hamza et al., 1999). Food handlers may also carry some human specific foodborne pathogens such as Hepatitis A, Noroviruses, typhoidal Salmonella, \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} and \textit{Shigella sp} in their hands, cuts or sores, mouth, skin and hair (Sharif, Obaidat & Al-Dalalah, 2013). Food handlers may also shed foodborne pathogens, such as \textit{E. coli} O157:H7 and non-typhoidal Salmonella during the infectiousness period or less important during recovery period of a gastrointestinal sickness (Adams & Moss, 2008). Restoration hygiene referred to all measures and precautions that must be taken to avoid contamination of meals Quality must be a major concern, especially since the hospital has long suffered from a bad reputation for restoration (Assmi et al., 2005; Hamza et al., 1999). But this is not always satisfactory at the level of the Main Hospital of Dakar. In order to contribute to the improvement of the hygienic quality of meal sand to prevent risks related to social catering, we have chosen to carry out a study on the microbiological characteristics of meals served in the main hospital of Dakar.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sampling

Sterile materials were used for sampling. Meals were collected at 2 levels at the Dakar main hospital: first at the central kitchen in the various pots at the beginning and at the end of the service; second, at the hospital units during the distribution of meals to patients. Sampling was carried out on the various foods (meat, vegetables, sauce) making up the menu and then placed in an aluminum bowl conditioned in Pasteur-sterilized Kraft paper. The samples were then placed in a cooler containing dry ice and transported directly to the laboratory.

2.2 Laboratory Analysis

The samples and laboratory analyzes were carried out in accordance with the ISO 6579: 2002 standard. The samples were processed before analysis in the laboratory. A solution was prepared (buffered peptone water) from each sample. Dilutions of each solution were prepared and served to bacterial culture, and allow bacterial counting. The bacterial culture specifically looked for total aerobic mesophilic flora (FMAT) bacteria (Plate Count Agar at 30 °C), thermotolerant faecal coliforms (VRBL agar at 44 °C), sulfite-reducing Anaerobes (Trypticase Sulfite Neomycin at 46 °C), presumptive pathogenic Staphylococci (Baird Parker at 37 °C) and Salmonella (Rappaport Vassiliadis, Bright Green and Hektoen at 37-42 °C. Fungal flora count (FF) was also performed (oxytetracycline-glucose at 20-25 °C).

2.3 Results Interpretation

The interpretation of the results of the microbiological analyses was carried out on the basis of the criteria defined by the French standards (2006). These criteria are defined by the ministerial decree of 21 December 1979 revised of 27 April 2006 (République Française, 2006).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Results of Microbiological Analysis

We analysed a total of 100 food samples. Regarding the quality of the samples and based on French standards (République Française, 2006), the study that we have led showed that 55% of the samples collected are satisfactory; 4% are acceptable; while 41% are unsatisfactory.

3.1.1 Assessment of Results According to the Type of Germs
3.1.1.1 Total Aerobic Mesophile Flora at 30 °C

The different levels of contamination by the total flora are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Contamination levels by total flora at 30 °C

| Contamination level (germs/g) | Number of samples | Averages | Minimum | Maximum |
|------------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| F ≤ 3 × 10^5                 | 79                | 48 × 10^3 | 105     | 3 × 10^5 |
| 3 × 10^5 < F ≤ 9 × 10^5      | 10                | 49 × 10^4 | 32 × 10^4 | 71 × 10^4 |
| 9 × 10^5 < F ≤ 3 × 10^6      | 1                 | ~         | ~       | ~       |
| F > 3 × 10^6                 | 10                | ~         | ~       | ~       |

F = flora.

Table 1 shows that:

✓ 89% of the samples are satisfactory (contamination rate less than 3 m (m = 3.105 being the benchmark));
✓ 1% of samples are acceptable;
✓ 10% are unsatisfactory.

3.1.1.2 Fecal or Thermo-Tolerant Coliforms at 44 °C

The levels of contamination by coliforms are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Levels of contamination by fecal coliforms

| Contamination level germs/g | Number of samples | Averages | Minimum | Maximum |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| Absence                     | 57                | ~        | ~       | ~       |
| F ≤ 10                      | 4                 | 10       | ~       | ~       |
| 10 < F ≤ 30                 | 2                 | 30       | ~       | ~       |
| 30 < F ≤ 10^2               | 2                 | 50       | 40      | 60      |
| F > 10^2                    | 35                | 23 × 10^2 | 12 × 10^2 | 3 × 10^4 |

F = flora.

Table 2 shows that for fecal coliforms:

✓ 63% of samples are satisfactory (rate < 30);
✓ 2% are acceptable;
✓ 35% are unsatisfactory.

3.1.1.3 Fungic Flora (Yeasts and Molds)

The different levels of contamination by yeasts and molds are shown in Table 3.
Table 3. Levels of contamination by fungal flora

| Contamination level germs/g | Number of samples | Averages | Minimum | Maximum |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| Absence                     | 42                | —        | —       | —       |
| F ≤ 5 × 10^2                | 46                | 90       | 10      | 47 × 10^1 |
| 5 × 10^2 < F ≤ 1.5 × 10^3   | 7                 | 98 × 10^1| 6 × 10^2| 13 × 10^2|
| 1.5 × 10^3 < F ≤ 5 × 10^3   | 5                 | 25 × 10^2| 16 × 10^2| 41 × 10^2|
| F > 5 × 10^3                | —                 | —        | —       | —       |

F = flora.

Table 3 shows that for the fungal flora:
✓ 95% of samples are satisfactory (contamination rate less than or equal to 3 m, m = 5.10^2);
✓ 0.5% are acceptable;
Lack of unsatisfactory results.

3.1.1.4 *Staphylococcus* Suspected Pathogens and ASR at 46 °C

The pathogenic *Staphylococci* were found in a single sample at a rate of 1.4 × 10^2 germs/g which was less than 3 m (m = 10^2 being the benchmark); Therefore, satisfactory.

3.1.1.5 *Salmonella*

*Salmonella* was not found in the samples.

3.1.2 Synthesis of Analytical Results

The overall results are shown in Table 4. Averages are calculated from all encrypted values.

Table 4. Overall assessment of results

| Germs                        | Value | Minimum | Maximum | Averages | Results (%) |
|------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|----------|-------------|
|                              |       |         |         |          | S   A   NS |
| Total flora                  |       |         |         |          | 89  1  10  |
| Fecal coliforms at 44 °C     |       |         |         |          | 63  2  35  |
| *Staphylococcus* suspected pathogens | -     | -       | -       | -        | 100 0 0  |
| Anaerobes sulfito-reducting agents | -     | -       | -       | -        | 99  0  1  |
| Fungic flora                 |       |         |         |          | 95  5  0  |
| *Salmonella*                 |       |         |         |          | 100 5  0  |
| Final result                 |       |         |         |          | 100 0  0  |

S=Satisfactory; A=Acceptable; NS=Unsatisfactory.

The interpretation of the results is done according to a 3-class plan according to the reference criterion m. If the values found are less than or equal to 3 m, the result is considered satisfactory; If the values are between 3 m and 10 m inclusive the result is acceptable; If the values are greater than 10 m, the result is unsatisfactory. For *Salmonella*, the interpretation is made according to a 2 class plan. "Absence": the quality is satisfactory "Presence": the quality is not satisfactory.
3.2 Discussion

3.2.1 Critting of Methodology

3.2.1.1 With Respect to Laboratory Techniques

The microbiological analyzes were carried out, according to methods of analysis standardized in the laboratory of food microbiology of the EISMV of Dakar. The real effectiveness of the protocols used to attenuate it “bacterial stress” before cultivation remains unknown; the physiological mechanism of bacterial stress is still poorly understood. It should also be noted that the laboratory microbiological examinations did not involve an exhaustive search of all pathogens that could contaminate food. Major poisoning agents such as Campylobacter have not been investigated.

3.2.1.2 Compared to Microbiological Criteria

The results were interpreted in the light of the limits set by French standards (République Française, 2006). But this text, according to some experts (Hamza et al., 1999; ISO 6579, 2002), does not take into account knowledge in the field of microbiology.

3.2.2 Discussion of Results

3.2.2.1 Total Aerobic Mesophile Flora at 30 °C

The total flora gives information on the property of the manipulations, the conditions of conservation, the efficiency of the treatment processes, the freshness of products (Rozier et al., 1985). It remains the best indicator of the application of good hygienic practices (ISO 6579, 2002). According to the standards, the most contaminated samples are those with a total flora content of more than $3 \times 10^6$ germs/g and are considered unsatisfactory. This result is superior to that found by Diabate (1991): 0%; Alassane (1988): 2.27% and Sylla (2000): 4%. This would involve significant contamination of foodstuffs in poorly maintained storage areas, but also in the environment of the cooking place (outdoor cooking). On the other hand, our results are lower than those found by Seydi Dansou (2009).

3.2.2.2 Fecal Coliforms

The frequency of thermo-tolerant coliforms at 44 °C is indicative of fecal contamination. These are the genera: Enterobacter, Citrobacter, Klebsiella and narrow Escherichia coli. Our results abound towards those of Nifaoui (2015) who reported this germ. It also encountered the following germs: Citrobacter, Enterobacter and Klebsiella. The results show that they are involved in 35% of unsatisfactory samples. This result is comparable to that found by Alassane (1988): 35.71%, Namkoisse (1990): 35% and Hamza (1999): 35.63%. This is explained by the similarity of the problems encountered (lack of staff training, lack of control...). However, it is considerably higher than that found by Sylla (2000) 9% and Diabate (1991) 18.64%. This could be explained, on the one hand, by contamination by staff. Indeed, there are no washbasins and soaps at the exit of the toilets, in addition, the cooks, very often, handle the meats after cooking and distribute them of their hands to the different bowls. Other researchers (Seydi, 2009) found a 3% annual percentage while in Algeria (Sylla, 2003) and in Belgium the contamination was relatively low, i.e. 1.5% and 1.02%. Contamination can occur from equipment, and the environment since a gigantic tree refuge of many birds, overhang the pots, metal containers in the cooking area. The contamination will be through the faeces of these birds, which are detached from the tree to deposit on the commodities. Seydi Dansou (2009)
reported a 100% satisfaction rate compared to Yoro et al. (2003) who obtained a rate of 43% and Sylla and Seydi (2003) who obtained a satisfactory rate of 84%. The observations made during the investigations on the poor conditions of conservation of the materials.

3.2.2.3 Presumed Pathogenic *Staphylococci*

These germs are generally assimilated to *Staphylococcus aureus*. They are of human origin (skin, hair, nostrils and mouths) and show insufficient hygiene. These germs were found in a single sample at a rate of $1.4 \times 10^2$ / g, thus satisfying according to standards. These results show that suspected pathogenic *Staphylococci* are not involved in the nonconformity of the samples.

Our results are comparable to those found for hot meals by Alassane (1988) and Diabate (1991). The low rate where the absence of pathogenic *Staphylococci* in the samples is explained by their inhibition by other microorganisms (Nifaoui, 2015; Fleurette, 1991) reported that these bacteria contaminate meat with hams, salads, cakes when handling is frequent. In the end, did not mention any contamination by *Staphylococci* in hospital foods (Barbara et al., 2009).

3.2.2.4 Sulfite-Reducing Anaerobes at 46 °C

*Clostridia* usually occurs in spores in the external environment (soil, dust, excrements...). The results of the analyzes showed that ASRs are counted in one sample out of 100, at a high (incompatible) rate of which unsatisfactory. The contamination could be from environmental sources, but also from personnel. Indeed, according to Namkoisse (1990) argued that the hands of cooks contain ASR. Nifaoui (2015) reported that spores are very resistant to heat and can thus alter the quality of the food products causing bad taste and bad odors (Diouf, 2001; Denis, 2014).

3.2.2.5 Presumed Pathogenic *Staphylococci*

These germs are generally assimilated to *Staphylococcus aureus*. They are of human origin (skin, hair, nostrils and mouths) and show insufficient hygiene. These germs were found in a single sample at a rate of $1.4 \times 10^2$ / g, thus satisfying according to standards. These results show that suspected pathogenic *Staphylococci* are not involved in the nonconformity of the samples.

Our results are comparable to those found for hot meals by Alassane (1988) and Diabate (1991). The low rate where the absence of pathogenic *Staphylococci* in the samples is explained by their inhibition by other microorganisms (Nifaoui, 2015; Fleurette, 1991) reported that these bacteria contaminate meat with hams, salads, cakes when handling is frequent. In the end, did not mention any contamination by *Staphylococci* in hospital foods (Barbara et al., 2009).

3.2.2.6 Sulfite-Reducing Anaerobes at 46 °C

*Clostridia* usually occurs in spores in the external environment (soil, dust, excrements...). The results of the analyzes show that ASRs are counted in one sample out of 100, at a high (incompatible) rate of which unsatisfactory. The contamination could be from environmental sources, but also from personnel. Indeed, according to Namkoisse (1990) argued that the hands of cooks contain ASR. Nifaoui (2015) reported that spores are very resistant to heat and can thus alter the quality of the food products causing bad taste and bad odors (Diouf, 2001; Denis, 2014).
3.2.2.7 Salmonella

*Salmonella* ensure their perennially in the digestive tract of warm-blooded and cold-blooded animals. They may multiply in the external environment; their survival is of long duration. No salmonella could be detected in contrast to Hamza et al. (1999) and Nifaoui (2015). However, the high incidence of fecal coliforms causes a high degree of suspicion, as the survival of fecal coliforms in the environment is similar to that of *Salmonella*. As shown by Catsaras and Grebot (1984), *Salmonella* can be negatively detected by the classical method even though the sample contains $10^5$ to $10^8$ germs/g. This fact is linked in their opinion to the presence of inhibitory germs (coliiform, *Proteus*). The following authors Zbadi et al. (2014) in Morocco, Yousif et al. (2013) who worked on chickens and finally Lues et al. (2013) who worked in South Africa in hospital did not report any cases of contamination not salmonella with the exception of Yousif (2013), which reported a relatively low infection rate of 1% of chickens by *Salmonella pullorum gallinarum*.

3.2.2.8 Fungic Flora (Yeasts and Molds)

Unsatisfactory results have not been found. However, this flora is present in 58% of the samples. This is due to low environmental contamination. On the other hand, *Geotrichum* and *Candida* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are found in food (Ablad, 2010). Those are filamentous microorganisms that are disseminated by the emission of spores (Seydi, 2009).

3.2.2.9 Overall Results

Forty-one percent of meals were unsatisfactory (Figure 1). These results were superior to those found by Sylla (2000): 12%. This is explained by the many manipulations experienced by foods associated with poor hygiene conditions. These results are, however, much lower than those found by Diabate (1991): 72.06%.

![Figure 1. Overall results of microbiological analyzes (%)](image)

4. Conclusion

Restoration as an economic activity is very recent in Africa. Several factors contribute to the development of commercial and collective catering. In hospital catering, this risk is greater.
But this increase, which also concerns social catering (university, hospital, etc.), results in non-compliance with basic hygiene measures during food preparation and exposes consumers to the risk of toxic infections.

The study showed that, the patient, because of his disease, is more susceptible to the poisonings than the other layers of the population. This means that foods prepared under less stringent hygiene rules can infect or poison it, whereas this would not be the case in a healthy population. It is therefore important that strict hygiene rules be observed in kitchens where meals are prepared for healthcare facilities.

This study concludes that the rate of bacterial contamination of meals is quite high especially what is fecal coliforms. Prevention of food-borne diseases will involve improving product safety through increased involvement of health services at all stages; continuous monitoring of contaminants in food; over their promotion of food quality control and finally education and information on staff in food hygiene and product safety.
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