ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF CONJUGATE POINTS ALONG SEMI-RIEMANNIAN GEODESICS

PAOLO PICCIONE AND DANIEL V. TAUSK

ABSTRACT. Helfer in [6] was the first to produce an example of a spacelike Lorentzian geodesic with a continuum of conjugate points. In this paper we show the following result: given an interval $[a, b]$ of $\mathbb{R}$ and any closed subset $F$ of $\mathbb{R}$ contained in $[a, b]$, then there exists a Lorentzian manifold $(M, g)$ and a spacelike geodesic $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ such that $\gamma(t)$ is conjugate to $\gamma(a)$ along $\gamma$ iff $t \in F$.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that, in Riemannian geometry, the set of conjugate (or, more generally, focal) points along a geodesic is discrete; Beem and Ehrlich (see [1, 2]) have shown that the same holds for causal, i.e., timelike or lightlike, geodesics in a Lorentzian manifold. The issue of the lack of discreteness for the set of conjugate points along a geodesic in a semi-Riemannian manifold with metric of arbitrary index has been somewhat ignored or overlooked in the literature (see for instance [9, Exercise 8, pag. 299], or [7, The Index Theorem]). However, without a suitable nondegeneracy assumption, the classical proof of discreteness for the Riemannian case does not work in the general case, and Helfer in [6] gave the first counterexample to the discreteness of conjugate points along a spacelike Lorentzian geodesic. In [6, Section 11] it is produced an example of a whole segment of conjugate points.

The occurrence of an infinite number of conjugate points along a compact segment of a semi-Riemannian geodesic is a rather pathological phenomenon, for instance it cannot happen if the metric is real-analytic; moreover, the nondegeneracy assumption mentioned above is generic (see for instance [11]). Nevertheless, in order to fully understand the theory of conjugate points for non positive definite metrics, it is a natural question to ask what are the possible “shapes” for the set of conjugate points along a geodesic. In this paper we answer this question by reducing the problem to the study of intersection theory in the Lagrangian Grassmannian of a symplectic space.

Given a geodesic $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ in a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, the set $l(t)$ of pairs $(J(t), gJ'(t))$, where $J$ is a Jacobi field along $\gamma$ with $J(a) = 0$, is a Lagrangian subspace of the symplectic space $T\gamma(t)M \oplus T\gamma(t)M^*$ endowed with its canonical symplectic form; the conjugate points along $\gamma$ correspond to instants $t \in [a, b]$ where $l(t)$ is not transversal to the Lagrangian subspace $\{0\} \oplus T\gamma(t)M^*$. The use of a (parallel) trivialization of $TM$ along $\gamma$ allows to associate to $l$ a curve in the Lagrangian Grassmannian $\Lambda$ of the fixed symplectic space $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n^* \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Conjugate points along $\gamma$ correspond therefore to intersections of this curve with the subvariety of $\Lambda$ consisting of Lagrangians that are not transverse to $\{0\} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Details of this construction can be found in [6, 8, 11, 13].
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The problem of determining precisely which curves of Lagrangians arise from a semi-Riemannian geodesic is a rather difficult task. A partial result in this direction can be found in the last section of [8], where it is proven that a necessary condition for a smooth curve in the Lagrangian Grassmannian $\Lambda$ to arise from a semi-Riemannian geodesic is that it be tangent to a singular distribution of affine planes in $\Lambda$. However, this condition alone is not sufficient, and attempts to produce interesting examples of conjugate points along geodesics using this characterization lead quickly to rather involved computations.

In this paper we introduce a new procedure for constructing a curve $\xi$ in the Lagrangian Grassmannian $\Lambda$ starting from a semi-Riemannian geodesic $\gamma$. This new construction is canonical (see Remark 4.2), i.e., it does not depend on the choice of a trivialization of $TM$ along $\gamma$, and, again, the curve $\xi$ contains the relevant information about the conjugate points along $\gamma$. The main feature of this new construction is that it is very easy to characterize which curves $\xi$ actually arise from semi-Riemannian geodesics; namely, such curves are precisely those for which $\xi'(t)$ (which is naturally identified with a symmetric bilinear form on $\xi(t)$) is nondegenerate for all $t$ (Theorem 2.8). Using this characterization, it is easy to produce examples and counterexamples concerning the occurrence of several types of conjugate points along a semi-Riemannian geodesic; we prove in particular that any compact subset of $IR$ appears as the set of conjugate instants along some spacelike Lorentzian geodesic (Theorem 3.4).

2. The abstract setup

Given (finite dimensional) real vector spaces $V$, $W$ we denote by $\text{Lin}(V,W)$ the space of linear maps from $V$ to $W$ and by $B(V,W)$ the space of bilinear forms $B : V \times W \to IR$; by $B_{\text{sym}}(V)$ we denote the subspace of $B(V,V)$ consisting of symmetric bilinear forms. The index of a symmetric bilinear form $B \in B_{\text{sym}}(V)$ is defined as the supremum of the dimensions of the subspaces of $V$ on which $B$ is negative definite. We always implicitly identify the spaces $B(V,W)$ and $\text{Lin}(V,W^*)$ by the isomorphism $B(v,w) = B(v)(w)$, where $W^*$ denotes the dual space of $W$.

Let $(M,g)$ be an $(n+1)$-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold and let $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$ be a non lightlike geodesic, i.e., $g(\gamma, \gamma)$ is not zero. Using a parallel trivialization of the normal bundle of $\gamma$, the Jacobi equation along $\gamma$ can be seen as a second order linear system of differential equations in $IR^n$ of the form $v'' = Rv$, where $t \mapsto R(t)$ is a smooth curve of $g$-symmetric linear endomorphisms of $IR^n$ representing a component of the curvature tensor and $g$ is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form in $IR^n$ representing the semi-Riemannian metric $g$ on the normal bundle of $\gamma$. An equation of the form $v'' = Rv$ with a $g$-symmetric $R$ is called a Morse–Sturm system; the index of $g$ is called the index of the Morse–Sturm system.

We recall from [6] the following:

**Lemma 2.1.** Every Morse–Sturm system in $IR^n$ can be obtained by a parallel trivialization of the normal bundle from the Jacobi equation along a non lightlike geodesic $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$, where $(M,g)$ is an $(n+1)$-dimensional (conformally flat) semi-Riemannian manifold. Moreover, the geodesic can be chosen to be either spacelike or timelike; in the first case the index of the metric $g$ equals the index of the Morse–Sturm system, and in the latter case the index of the metric $g$ equals the index of the Morse–Sturm system plus one.

**Proof.** Consider $M = IR^{n+1}$ with coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1})$ and let $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$ be given by $\gamma(t) = t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+1}}$; consider in $M$ the metric $g = e^{t^2}g_0$, with $g_0 = g + dx_{n+1}^2$, and...
Ω given by:
\[
\Omega(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = \pm \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g(R(x_{n+1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j})x_i x_j.
\]

The choice of the sign $\pm$ in the above expressions is made according to the desired causal character of $\gamma$. It is easily checked that the Christoffel symbols of the Levi–Civita connection of $g$ in the canonical basis vanish along $\gamma$; this implies that $\gamma$ is a geodesic and that \((\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})_{i=1}^{n}\) gives a parallel trivialization of the normal bundle \(\gamma^\perp\).

Setting $\alpha = g \nu'$ the Morse–Sturm equation $\nu'' = R \nu$ is written as the following first order linear systems of differential equations:

\[
\begin{cases}
\nu' = g^{-1} \alpha, \\
\alpha' = g R \nu,
\end{cases}
\]

The coefficient matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g^{-1} \\ g R & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) of (2.1) is easily seen to be a curve in the Lie algebra \( \text{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) of the symplectic group \( \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) of \( \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n*} \) endowed with the canonical symplectic form:

\[
\omega((v_1, \alpha_1), (v_2, \alpha_2)) = \alpha_2(v_1) - \alpha_1(v_2).
\]

Recall indeed that the Lie algebra \( \text{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) consists of all the matrices of the form:

\[
X = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^* \end{pmatrix},
\]

where $A \in \text{Lin}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $B \in \text{Bsym}(\mathbb{R}^{n*})$ and $C \in \text{Bsym}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The considerations above motivate the following:

**Definition 2.2.** Let $X : [a, b] \to \text{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be a smooth curve in \( \text{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) and denote by $A, B, C$ the $n \times n$ blocks of $X$ as in (2.3). The system

\[
\begin{cases}
\nu' = Av + B \alpha, \\
\alpha' = Cv - A^* \alpha,
\end{cases}
\]

is called a **symplectic differential system** in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). With little abuse of terminology we identify the coefficient matrix $X$ with the system (2.4) and call $X$ a symplectic differential system in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). We call the system $X$ **nondegenerate** if the matrix $B(t)$ is invertible for every $t \in [a, b]$; in this case, the **index** of $X$ is defined as the index of $B(t)$ (which does not depend on $t$).

An instant $t \in [a, b]$ is said to be **conjugate** for $X$ if there exists a non zero solution $(\nu, \alpha)$ of $X$ with $\nu(a) = \nu(b) = 0$.

The **fundamental matrix** of $X$ is the curve $[a, b] \ni t \mapsto \Phi(t)$ in the general linear group of $\mathbb{R}^n$ characterized by the matrix differential equation

\[
\Phi' = X \Phi,
\]

with initial condition $\Phi(a) = \text{Id}$; if $(\nu, \alpha)$ is a solution of $X$ we have $\Phi(t)(\nu(a), \alpha(a)) = (\nu(t), \alpha(t))$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. The fact that $X$ takes values in \( \text{sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) implies that $\Phi$ is actually a curve in the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. We will denote by $L_0$ the subspace:

\[
L_0 = \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n*} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n*};
\]
clearly, \( t \in [a, b] \) is conjugate for \( X \) iff \( \ell(t) \cap L_0 \neq \{0\} \) where \( \ell(t) \) is the subspace:

\[
\ell(t) = \Phi(t)(L_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n^*.
\]

We now define the following notion of isomorphism for symplectic differential systems.

**Definition 2.3.** Let \( X \) and \( \tilde{X} \) be symplectic differential systems in \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \). An *isomorphism* from \( X \) to \( \tilde{X} \) is a smooth curve \( \phi : [a, b] \to \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) with \( \phi(t)(L_0) = L_0 \) for all \( t \in [a, b] \), satisfying either one of the following equivalent conditions:

1. \( \tilde{\phi}(t)\phi(a) = \phi(t)\tilde{\phi}(t) \) for all \( t \in [a, b] \), where \( \phi \) and \( \tilde{\phi} \) denote respectively the fundamental matrices of \( X \) and \( \tilde{X} \);
2. \( \tilde{X}(t) = \phi(t)X(t)\phi(t)^{-1} + \phi'(t)\phi(t)^{-1} \) for all \( t \in [a, b] \).

If \( \phi \) is an isomorphism from \( X \) to \( \tilde{X} \) we write \( \phi : X \cong \tilde{X} \) and we say that \( X \) and \( \tilde{X} \) are isomorphic.

It follows easily from condition (1) above that isomorphic symplectic systems have the same conjugate instants. Observe that an isomorphism \( \phi : X \cong \tilde{X} \) can be written in block matrix notation as:

\[
\phi = \begin{pmatrix} Z & 0 \\ Z^{-1}W & Z^{-1} \end{pmatrix},
\]

with \( Z(t) \in \text{Lin}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \) invertible and \( W(t) \in \text{B}_{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \) symmetric for all \( t \in [a, b] \). A straightforward computation shows that condition (2) above is equivalent to:

\[
\begin{align}
\tilde{A} &= ZAZ^{-1} - ZBWZ^{-1} + Z'Z^{-1}, \\
\tilde{B} &= ZBZ^*, \\
\tilde{C} &= Z^{-1}(WA + C - BW + A^*W + W')Z^{-1},
\end{align}
\]

where * denotes transposition. It follows immediately that, if \( X \) is isomorphic to \( \tilde{X} \) then \( X \) is nondegenerate iff \( \tilde{X} \) is nondegenerate and that the indexes of \( X \) and \( \tilde{X} \) coincide.

Observe that we have a category \( \mathfrak{C} \) whose objects are symplectic differential systems and whose set of morphisms from \( X \) to \( \tilde{X} \) are the isomorphisms \( \phi : X \cong \tilde{X} \); composition of morphisms is defined in the obvious way. Observe also that in this category *every morphism is an isomorphism.*

The study of symplectic differential systems has an interest on its own, due to the fact that such systems are naturally in connection with solutions of Hamiltonian systems in symplectic manifolds (see [11]); the notion of symplectic differential system also appears in the theory of mechanical systems subject to non holonomic constraints and in sub-Riemannian geometry (see Section 4). In this article we are interested in the subcategory of \( \mathfrak{C} \) consisting of *Morse–Sturm systems*; we say that a nondegenerate symplectic differential system \( X \) with \( n \times n \) blocks \( A, B, C \) is a Morse–Sturm system if \( B \) is constant and \( A = 0 \). As we have observed in the beginning of the section, such systems always arise from the Jacobi equation along a non lightlike semi-Riemannian geodesic by a parallel trivialization of the normal bundle. In the following lemma we show that the category of symplectic differential systems is not “essentially larger” than the subcategory of Morse–Sturm systems:

**Lemma 2.4.** Every nondegenerate symplectic differential system \( X \) is isomorphic to a Morse–Sturm system.

**Proof.** It follows easily from (2.8) that every nondegenerate symplectic differential system is isomorphic to one whose component \( B \) is constant. We may thus assume without loss of
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to \( \xi(\alpha) = L_0 \). This motivates the following:

Definition 2.5. An abstract symplectic system is a triple \((V, \omega, \xi)\) where \((V, \omega)\) is a symplectic space and \(\xi : [a, b] \to \Lambda(V, \omega)\) is a smooth curve in the Lagrangian Grassmannian of \((V, \omega)\). An isomorphism from \((V, \omega, \xi)\) to \((\tilde{V}, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\xi})\) is a symplectomorphism \(\sigma : (V, \omega) \to (\tilde{V}, \tilde{\omega})\) such that \(\sigma(\xi(t)) = \xi(t)\) for all \(t \in [a, b]\); we write \(\sigma : (V, \omega, \xi) \cong (\tilde{V}, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\xi})\). An instant \(t \in [a, b]\) is said to be conjugate for \((V, \omega, \xi)\) if \(\xi(t) \cap \xi(\alpha) \neq \{0\}\).

It is clear that isomorphic abstract symplectic systems have the same conjugate instances. Observe that abstract symplectic systems and their isomorphisms form a category \(\mathfrak{C}\) with composition of morphisms defined in the obvious way; as in \(\mathfrak{C}\), all morphisms of \(\mathfrak{C}\) are isomorphisms. If \(X\) is a symplectic differential system and if \(\xi\) is defined in (2.10) then \(\mathfrak{F}(X) = (\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n*}, \omega, \xi)\) is an abstract symplectic system; moreover, if \(\phi : \tilde{X} \cong \tilde{X}\) is an isomorphism then \(\sigma = \phi(\alpha)\) is an isomorphism from \(\mathfrak{F}(X)\) to \(\mathfrak{F}(\tilde{X})\). The rule \(\mathfrak{F}\) is a functor from the category \(\mathfrak{C}\) to the category \(\mathfrak{D}\); in addition we have the following:

Lemma 2.6. The functor \(\mathfrak{F}\) is an equivalence from \(\mathfrak{C}\) to \(\mathfrak{D}\), i.e.:

1. \(\mathfrak{F}\) is full and faithful, i.e., given symplectic differential systems \(X\) and \(\tilde{X}\) then \(\mathfrak{F}\) induces a bijection from the morphisms \(\phi : X \cong \tilde{X}\) to the morphisms \(\sigma : \mathfrak{F}(X) \cong \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{X})\);

2. \(\mathfrak{F}\) is surjective on isomorphism classes, i.e., given an abstract symplectic system \((V, \omega, \xi)\) there exists a symplectic differential system \(X\) such that \(\mathfrak{F}(X)\) is isomorphic to \((V, \omega, \xi)\).

Proof. Part (1) is obtained by straightforward verification. For part (2), we describe how to construct the symplectic differential system \(X\) from the abstract symplectic system.
Choose a smooth curve $[a, b] \ni t \mapsto \psi(t)$ where each $\psi(t)$ is a symplectomorphism from $(V, \omega)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2n\ast}$ (endowed with the canonical symplectic form) such that $\psi(t)(\xi(t)) = L_0 = \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2n\ast}$ for all $t$. Define $X$ to be the unique symplectic differential system whose fundamental matrix $\Phi$ is given by $\Phi(t) = \psi(t)\psi(a)^{-1}$; more explicitly, take $X(t) = \Phi'(t)\Phi(t)^{-1}$. It is easy to check that $\sigma = \psi(a)^{-1}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{C}(X)$ to $(V, \omega, \xi)$.

We now want to characterize which abstract symplectic systems correspond to nondegenerate symplectic differential systems. To this aim, we recall a couple of simple facts about the geometry of the Lagrangian Grassmannian (see for instance [3, 8]). Let $(V, \omega)$ be a symplectic space. A Lagrangian Grassmannian decomposition of $V$ is a pair $(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ of Lagrangian subspaces of $V$ such that $V = \xi_0 \oplus \xi_1$; to each Lagrangian decomposition $(\xi_0, \xi_1)$ there corresponds a chart $\varphi_{\xi_0, \xi_1}$ defined in the open subset $\Lambda^0(\xi_1)$ of $\Lambda(V, \omega)$ consisting of those Lagrangians that are transverse to $\xi_1$. The chart $\varphi_{\xi_0, \xi_1}$ takes values in the space $\text{B}_{\text{sym}}(\xi_0)$ of symmetric bilinear forms in $\xi_0$ and is defined by:

$$\varphi_{\xi_0, \xi_1}(L) = \omega(T, \cdot)|_{\xi_0 \times \xi_0}, \quad L \in \Lambda^0(\xi_1),$$

where $T : \xi_0 \to \xi_1$ is the unique linear map whose graph $\text{Gr}(T) = \{v + Tv : v \in \xi_0\}$ equals $L$. The differential $d\varphi_{\xi_0, \xi_1}(\xi_0)$ of the chart $\varphi_{\xi_0, \xi_1}$ at $\xi_0$ gives an isomorphism from the tangent space $T_{\xi_0}\Lambda(V, \omega)$ to the space $\text{B}_{\text{sym}}(\xi_0)$; such isomorphism does not depend on the complementary Lagrangian $\xi_1$ to $\xi_0$ and therefore for every $L \in \Lambda(V, \omega)$ there is a natural identification of the tangent space $T_L\Lambda(V, \omega)$ with the space $\text{B}_{\text{sym}}(L)$.

Let $L \in \Lambda(V, \omega)$ be given and consider the evaluation map $\beta_L : \text{Sp}(V, \omega) \to \Lambda(V, \omega)$ given by $\beta_L(A) = A(L)$; using local coordinates the differential of $\beta_L$ is easily computed as:

$$d\beta_L(A) \cdot Y = \omega(YA^{-1}, \cdot)|_{A(L) \times A(L)}, \quad A \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}), \quad Y \in T_A\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}).$$

Let now $X$ be a symplectic differential system and define $\xi$ as in (2.10); obviously $\xi = \beta_{L_0} \circ \Phi^{-1}$. By (2.11) and (2.5) we have:

$$\xi'(t) = -\omega(\Phi(t)^{-1}X(t)\Phi(t), \cdot)|_{\xi(t) \times \xi(t)} = -\omega(X(t)\Phi(t), \Phi(t)^{-1})|_{\xi(t) \times \xi(t)};$$

since $\omega(\cdot, \cdot)|_{L_0 \times L_0} = B(t)$, we see that $\xi'(t)$ is the push-forward of $-B(t)$ by the isomorphism $\Phi(t)^{-1} : L_0 \to \xi(t)$. This motivates the following:

**Definition 2.7.** An abstract symplectic system $(V, \omega, \xi)$ is called **nondegenerate** when $\xi'(t)$ is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\xi(t)$ for all $t$. In this case, the **index** of $(V, \omega, \xi)$ is defined as the index of $-\xi'(t)$ (which does not depend on $t$).

Clearly, nondegeneracy and indices of abstract symplectic systems are preserved by isomorphisms; moreover, a symplectic differential system $X$ is nondegenerate with index $k$ iff $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is nondegenerate with index $k$ as an abstract symplectic system.

Summarizing the results of this section, we have proven the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.8** (abstract characterization of semi-Riemannian geodesics). Let $(V, \omega, \xi)$ be a nondegenerate abstract symplectic system of index $k$, with $\dim(V) = 2n$. Then, there exists a $(n + 1)$-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ and a non lightlike geodesic $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ such that $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is isomorphic to $(V, \omega, \xi)$, where $X$ is the Morse–Sturm system obtained from the Jacobi equation along $\gamma$ by a parallel trivialization of the normal bundle of $\gamma$ (see (2.1)). A point $\gamma(t), t \in [a, b]$ is conjugate to $\gamma(a)$ along $\gamma$ iff $t$ is a conjugate instant for $(V, \omega, \xi)$. Moreover, $\gamma$ can be chosen to be either timelike or spacelike; the index of $g$ is equal to $k + 1$ in the first case and to $k$ in the latter case. $\square$
Clearly, from a strictly technical point of view, the categorical terminology adopted in this section is unnecessary. Nevertheless, the authors believe that the employment of this language helps the reader in perceiving the analogies between this theory and other situations in Mathematics\(^1\) where categorical equivalences occur.

3. DISTRIBUTION OF CONJUGATE POINTS ALONG A GEODESIC

In this section we want to construct examples of conjugate points using the characterization given in Theorem 2.8. The idea is to construct smooth curves \(\xi\) of Lagrangians of a fixed symplectic space having everywhere nondegenerate derivative, and such that \(\xi(t)\) is not transversal to a fixed Lagrangian \(\xi_0\) at a prescribed set of values of the parameter \(t\). Such construction is performed using local charts \(\varphi_{\xi_0,\xi}\) in the Lagrangian Grassmannian; in these coordinates curves of Lagrangians are identified with curves of symmetric bilinear forms. The main technical problem to complete the construction is to connect smoothly \(\xi\) with \(\xi_0\) without violating the nondegeneracy condition on the derivative and without creating new conjugate instants (see Proposition 3.3). The proof of Proposition 3.3 takes inspiration from the proof of some elementary versions of the so-called H-principle of Gromov [5] by the method of convex integration; roughly speaking, we construct a curve satisfying a certain open differential relation by first searching for its derivative.

We start with two technical results:

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \(U \subset \mathbb{R}^k\) be a connected open set, \(u \in U\) a fixed point, \(\bar{\tau} : [c, b] \to U\) a smooth curve and \(a < c\). Then there exists \(M > 0\) such that for all \(\eta, \eta' > 0\) there exists a smooth extension \(\tau : [a, b] \to U\) of \(\bar{\tau}\) with the following properties:

- \(f_a^c \tau = u(c - a)\);
- \(|\tau|_{[a, c]} = \sup_{t \in [a, c]} \|\tau(t)\| \leq M\);
- \(|\tau|_{[a, a+c]}\) is constant.

**Proof.** Let \(r > 0\) be such that the open ball \(B(u; r)\) of center \(u\) and radius \(r\) is contained in \(U\) and choose a smooth curve \(\gamma : [c - 1, b] \to U\) such that \(\gamma(c - 1) = u\) and \(\gamma|_{[c, b]} = \bar{\tau}\). Set \(M = \|u\| + 1 + \|\gamma\|_{\infty}\) and choose \(\varepsilon > 0\) small enough such that \(\varepsilon < \eta\) and

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{c - a - \varepsilon} \|\gamma - u\|_{\infty} < \min\{r, 1\}.
\]

Now, let \(\gamma : [c - \varepsilon, b] \to U\) be a smooth non decreasing reparameterization of \(\gamma\) such that \(\gamma|_{[c, b]} = \bar{\tau}\) and \(\gamma|_{[c - \varepsilon, c - \varepsilon - \varepsilon]} \equiv u\). Choose smooth functions \(\phi_1, \phi_2 : [a, b] \to [0, 1]\) with \(\phi_1 + \phi_2 \equiv 1\) and such that the support of \(\phi_1\) is contained in \([a, c - \varepsilon]\) and the support of \(\phi_2\) is contained in \([c - \varepsilon, b]\). Finally set:

\[
\delta = \frac{-f_a^c \phi_2(\gamma - u)}{f_a^c \phi_1},
\]

and define \(\tau = \phi_1(u + \delta) + \phi_2 \gamma\). To check that such \(\tau\) works observe that \(\|\delta\|\) is less than or equal to the left hand side of (3.1).

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \(\bar{\sigma} : [c, b] \to \mathcal{B}_{sym}(\mathbb{R}^n)\) be a smooth map such that \(\bar{\sigma}(c)\) is nondegenerate, \(\bar{\sigma}'(t)\) is nondegenerate for all \(t \in [c, b]\) and such that \(\bar{\sigma}(c)\) and \(\bar{\sigma}'(c)\) have the same index. Then, given \(a < c\) there exists a smooth extension \(\sigma : [a, b] \to \mathcal{B}_{sym}(\mathbb{R}^n)\) of \(\bar{\sigma}\) such

\(^1\)Here are some examples. The category of simply connected Lie groups is equivalent to the category of real, finite-dimensional Lie algebras. The same holds for the categories of geometric simplicial complexes and abstract simplicial complexes.
that $\sigma(a) = 0$, $\sigma(t)$ is nondegenerate for all $t \in [a, c]$ and $\sigma'(t)$ is nondegenerate for all $t \in [a, b]$.

Proof. Simply apply Lemma 3.1 to the following objects:

- $U = \{B \in B_{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : B$ is nondegenerate and it has the same index as $\tilde{\sigma}(c)\}$;
- $u = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}(c)}{c - a}$;
- $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\sigma}'$;
- $\eta > 0$ is chosen small enough so that $\eta M < r$, where $r > 0$ is such that the open ball $B(\tilde{\sigma}(c); r)$ is contained in $U$.

Finally, define $\sigma(t) = \int_a^t \tau$ for $t \in [a, b]$. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $(V, \omega)$ be a symplectic space, $\xi_0 \subset V$ be a Lagrangian subspace and $\xi : [c, b] \to \Lambda(V, \omega)$ be a smooth curve such that $\xi(c) \cap \xi_0 = \{0\}$ and $\xi'(t) \in B_{\text{sym}}(\xi(t))$ is nondegenerate for all $t \in [c, b]$. Then, given $a < c$ there exists a smooth extension $\xi : [a, b] \to \Lambda(V, \omega)$ of $\xi$ such that $\xi(a) = \xi_0$, $\xi(t) \cap \xi_0 = \{0\}$ for all $t \in [a, c]$ and $\xi'(t) \in B_{\text{sym}}(\xi(t))$ is nondegenerate for all $t \in [a, b]$.

**Proof.** Let $\xi_1$ be a Lagrangian complementary to both $\xi_0$ and $\xi(c)$; it’s easy to see that $\xi_1$ can be chosen such that $\varphi_{\xi_0, \xi_1}(\xi(c))$ equals any prescribed nondegenerate bilinear form on $\xi_0$. In particular, we may assume that $\varphi_{\xi_0, \xi_1}(\xi(c))$ and $\xi_1(c)$ have the same index. Let $b' \in [c, b]$ be such that $\xi([c, b'])$ is contained in the domain of the chart $\varphi_{\xi_0, \xi_1}$, and define $\tilde{\tau} : [c, b'] \to B_{\text{sym}}(\xi_0) \cong B_{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $\tilde{\tau} = \varphi_{\xi_0, \xi_1} \circ \xi|_{[c, b']}$. The conclusion follows by an application of Corollary 3.2 to $\tilde{\tau}$, keeping in mind that if $\sigma = \varphi_{\xi_0, \xi_1} \circ \xi$ then:

- (a) $\xi(a) = \xi_0 \Leftrightarrow \sigma(a) = 0$;
- (b) $\xi(t) \cap \xi_0 = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \sigma(t)$ nondegenerate;
- (c) $\xi'(t) \in B_{\text{sym}}(\xi(t))$ is just a push-forward of $\sigma'(t) \in B_{\text{sym}}(\xi_0)$ by an isomorphism between $\xi_0$ and $\xi(t)$.

\hfill \Box

We are now ready to prove the main result of the section:

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $F \subset [a, b]$ be any compact subset; then there exists a 3-dimensional Lorentzian manifold $(M, g)$ and a spacelike geodesic $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ such that $\gamma(t)$ is conjugate to $\gamma(a)$ along $\gamma$ iff $t \in F$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.8, it suffices to find an abstract symplectic system $(V, \omega, \xi)$ of index 1 with $\dim(V) = 4$ whose set of conjugate instants is $F$. Consider the space $V = \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ endowed with the canonical symplectic form and set $\xi_0 = \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$; given $c \in [a, \inf F]$, we’ll construct a smooth curve $\xi : [c, b] \to \Lambda(V, \omega)$ such that $\xi'(t)$ is nondegenerate for all $t$ and $\xi(t) \cap \xi_0 \neq \{0\}$ iff $t \in F$. The desired curve $\xi : [a, b] \to \Lambda(V, \omega)$ will then be obtained by applying Proposition 3.3. The curve $\xi$ will take values in the domain of the chart $\varphi_{\xi_0, \xi_1}$ where $\xi_1 = \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \{0\}$; we define $\xi = \varphi_{\xi_0, \xi_1}^{-1} \circ \rho$, where $\rho : [c, b] \to B_{\text{sym}}(\xi_0) \cong B_{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is defined\footnote{Identifying $B_{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\mathbb{R}^3$, then the set of degenerate bilinear forms corresponds to a double cone $\mathcal{C}$. The curve $\rho(t)$ defined above takes values in a plane $\pi$ orthogonal to the axis of the cone, and $1 - R(t)$ is the distance between $\rho(t)$ and the circle $\mathcal{C} \cap \pi$.} by:

$$
\rho(t) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 + R(t) \cos(t) & R(t) \sin(t) \\
R(t) \sin(t) & 1 - R(t) \cos(t)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in [c, b],
$$

where $R(t)$ takes values in $[0, \infty)$. Then:

- $\xi(c) \cap \xi_0 = \{0\}$;
- $\xi'(t)$ is nondegenerate for all $t \in [c, b]$;
- $\xi(t) \cap \xi_0 = \{0\}$ implies $t \in F$ (and conversely).

The curve $\xi(t)$ is nondegenerate for all $t \in [a, b]$ by construction. Therefore, $\gamma(t)$ is conjugate to $\gamma(a)$ along $\gamma$ as desired.
and $R : [c,b] \to ]0, +\infty[\) is a smooth map such that $R^{-1}(1) = F$. The condition $R(t) > 0$ implies that $\rho'(t)$ is always nondegenerate and therefore also $\xi'(t)$ is nondegenerate; moreover, $\xi(t) \cap \xi_0 \neq \{0\}$ iff $R(t) = 1$. The existence of the required function $R$ follows by taking $R = 1 - f$ in Lemma 3.5 below.

**Lemma 3.5.** Given a closed subset $F \subset \mathbb{R}$, there exists a smooth map $f : \mathbb{R} \to ]0,1[\) such that $f^{-1}(0) = F$.

**Proof.** Write $\mathbb{R} \setminus F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_r$ as a disjoint union of open intervals $I_r$. For each $r \geq 1$ let $f_r : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth map such that:

- $f_r$ is zero outside $I_r$;
- $f_r$ is positive on $I_r$;
- $\|f_r^{(i)}\|_\infty < 2^{-r}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, r$, where $f_r^{(i)}$ denotes the $i$-th derivative of $f_r$.

To conclude the proof set $f = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} f_r$.

Examples of non lightlike geodesics with a prescribed set of conjugate points in higher dimensional semi-Riemannian manifolds with metric of arbitrary index can be trivially obtained from Theorem 3.4 by considering orthogonal products with a flat manifold. On the other hand, if $\gamma$ is a spacelike geodesic in a 2-dimensional Lorentzian manifold $(M, g)$, then $\gamma$ is a timelike geodesic in the Lorentzian manifold $(M, -g)$ with the same conjugate points. This implies that the conjugate points along a geodesic in a 2-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold are always isolated.

4. **Final remarks**

**Remark 4.1.** If $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ is any geodesic (of arbitrary causal character) in a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, then a Morse–Sturm system can be obtained from the Jacobi equation along $\gamma$ by a parallel trivialization of the tangent bundle $TM$ along $\gamma$. At the beginning of Section 2 we have defined a Morse–Sturm system from the Jacobi equation by means of a parallel trivialization of the normal bundle $\gamma^\perp$ of $\gamma$. The advantage of the latter construction is that one has a converse to the above construction, i.e., every Morse–Sturm system arises from the Jacobi equation along a non lightlike semi-Riemannian geodesic (Lemma 2.1).

Symplectic differential systems are more generally associated to solutions of Hamiltonian systems in a symplectic manifold endowed with a Lagrangian distribution (details of this construction can be found in [11, 13]). To each symplectic differential system is naturally associated the notion of *Maslov index*; this formalism is used in [11] to prove a Morse index theorem for non convex Hamiltonian systems and for semi-Riemannian geometry (see also [8, 10]). In [11] it is also defined the notions of *multiplicity* and of *signature* of a conjugate instant of a symplectic differential system; these notions, as well as that of Maslov index, can be defined directly in the context of abstract symplectic systems. In the proof of Theorem 3.4 we have constructed examples containing only conjugate instants of multiplicity one and signature zero. However, Theorem 2.8 and Proposition 3.3 make it an easy task to produce more exotic examples of geodesics of arbitrary Maslov index and having a complicated distribution of conjugate points of several types.

**Remark 4.2.** As mentioned in the Introduction, abstract symplectic systems are canonically associated to semi-Riemannian geodesics, or more generally, to solutions of Hamiltonian systems in a symplectic manifold endowed with a Lagrangian distribution. This is done as follows. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ be a symplectic manifold (in the geodesic case, $\mathcal{M} = TM^*$ is the cotangent bundle of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$), $H$ a possibly time independent
Hamiltonian function on $\mathcal{M}$ (in the geodesic case $H(p) = \frac{1}{2}g^{-1}(p, p)$), $\Sigma \subset T\mathcal{M}$ a Lagrangian distribution on $\mathcal{M}$ (in the geodesic case, $H(p) = \frac{1}{2}g^{-1}(p, p)$), $\gamma \subset T\mathcal{M}$ a solution of the Hamilton equations of $H$. An abstract symplectic system is then obtained by considering $V = T_{\gamma(t)}\mathcal{M}$ and $\xi(t)$ to be the inverse image of $\Sigma_{\gamma(t)}$ in $T_{\gamma(t)}\mathcal{M}$ by the Hamiltonian flow.

**Remark 4.3.** By minor modifications of the theory presented in this paper it is also possible to treat the case of focal points to submanifolds along an orthogonal geodesic. To this aim, one should introduce a category of pairs $(X, \ell_0)$ where $X$ is a symplectic differentiable system and $\ell_0$ is a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n*}$. The Lagrangian subspace $\ell_0$ encodes the information about the tangent space and the second fundamental form of the initial submanifold: an instant $t \in [a, b]$ is focal for $(X, \ell_0)$ if there exists a non zero solution $(v, \alpha)$ of $X$ with $(v(a), \alpha(a)) \in \ell_0$ and $v(t) = 0$. Accordingly, abstract symplectic systems should be replaced by quadruples $(V, \omega, \xi, \xi_0)$, where $\xi_0$ is a Lagrangian subspace of $(V, \omega)$. Details of this construction can be found in [11].

**Remark 4.4.** Degenerate symplectic systems (systems (2.4) with coefficient $B$ degenerate) can be used to study stationary points of constrained Lagrangian problems (see [12]). An important class of examples of these stationary points are the so-called sub-Riemannian geodesics, i.e., geodesics in manifolds endowed with a partially defined metric tensor. Also in this case, conjugate points may accumulate along a geodesic, however, we will show in a forthcoming paper that the set of conjugate points along a geodesic is always a finite union of isolated points and closed intervals.
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