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Objective

To promote the health of the Navajo people, the Navajo Tribal Council passed the Healthy Diné Nation Act (HDNA) (1) in November 2014, which enacted a 2% tax on unhealthy or minimal-to-no nutritional value foods (junk foods). The HDNA law defines these foods as sweetened beverages, prepackaged and nonprepackaged snacks stripped of essential nutrients and high in salt, saturated fat, and sugar, including sweetened beverages, sweets, and chips and crisps. Aligned with tribal government structures, HDNA tax revenues were allocated for disbursement directly to each of 110 Navajo Nation communities, also called chapters, with an average of about 1,600 residents (2). Funds were disbursed for local wellness programming such as farming, traditional food demonstrations, exercise equipment, walking trails, and community cleanup (1).

Although the HDNA is the first unhealthy food tax in the United States, there are examples of similar taxes (3–8) internationally and in several large municipalities in the United States (9,10). Those taxes were typically higher than the 2% HDNA tax, and consumption and revenue decreased after enactments. Studies examining enactments found stronger effects among low-income households (3,4,7) and in urban areas (vs rural) (6–8). However, to date, no study has documented the impact of this type of policy among a tribal or indigenous nation at high risk for chronic diseases such as type 2 diabetes.
Our aim of this report is to summarize the HDNA tax revenue over time, with variation by region, and disbursement of revenue to rural communities for wellness projects. Because the 2% tax was much lower than similar policies shown to impact purchasing behaviors in other countries, we hypothesized that HDNA revenue would not change substantially over time and that revenue would be lowest in the most rural areas.

Methods

HDNA tax revenue and sales tax data were provided by the Office of the Navajo Tax Commission and summarized for 17 consecutive quarters, starting July to September 2015. Gross tax revenue was first calculated. Next, funds were allocated for disbursement to the 110 local chapters for wellness projects. Disbursements for chapters (gross revenue minus 20% set aside [eg, Veterans Trust Fund]) were summarized across 5 regional agencies by using a 50-50 formula; that is, 50% of tax revenue collected within an agency was evenly distributed to chapters within that agency, and the remaining 50% was distributed based on voter registration enrollment. Disbursement data were collected from the Navajo Division of Community Development and cross-checked with tax commission totals.

Microsoft Excel (Microsoft) and SPSS v.26.0 (IBM) were used to characterize data by using descriptive statistics. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post hoc comparisons and a Bonferroni correction to adjust for multiple comparisons were used to test whether revenue (absolute and adjusted for inflation) and disbursements differed by quarter and between regional agencies. Linear regression analysis tested whether a significant trend occurred in total revenue. Visual displays were created by using ArcMap v.10.7.1 (Esri). The project was approved by the Navajo Nation Human Research Review Board (NNR.17–284T).

Results

Gross revenue

From 2015 through 2019, total revenue was $7.58 million. Average revenue was highest ($481,425) in quarter 1, October to December, and lowest in quarter 3, April to June ($426,147), although one-way ANOVA post hoc comparisons did not reveal significant differences between quarters ($P = .48$). For 2016, the first full year, revenue was $1,887,323, which decreased in absolute value by 3.2% in 2017, another 1.2% in 2018, and another 4.6% in 2019 (Figure 1). Linear regression indicated a significant downward trend of absolute revenue across all years ($F = 41.23; df = 3; β = −$78,033; $P = .014$). Revenues represent a total of $379 million ($90 million/y) in taxed unhealthy food purchases since implementation. Despite waiving sales tax on fruits, vegetables, water, and nuts in 2015, sales tax did not decrease over that period. Total retail-related sales tax revenue was $10,320,171 in 2015 and $10,846,203 in 2018 ($10,237,606 inflation-adjusted), which was not significantly different.

Distribution and geographic variation

Disbursements occurred each year after collection for a total of $6,062,335 for local community wellness projects over the 4 years. This averages $55,112 per chapter or $13,171 per year. Specifically, $13,726 was disbursed for collections in 2015, $13,295 for 2016, $13,136 for 2017, and $12,527 for 2018. From 2015 through 2019, 435 disbursements were made from a possible 440. In 2016, 107 of 110 communities received full funds, and all 110 communities received full funds by 2018. To date, $4,641,935 of $4,684,443 (99.1%) of revenue funds were disbursed.

Disbursements varied by regions within the Navajo Nation. Four of 5 regional agencies generated at least $1 million in revenue through 2018. From 11 possible agency comparisons, 9 were significantly different with 8 $P$ values of less than .001. Although the Navajo Nation is considered rural, the Eastern agency had the smallest average community size of 1,074 residents and had a lower revenue than all other agencies ($P < .001$). Western Navajo agency generated the most revenue ($1,732,949) and had the largest average community size of an estimated 2,090 residents (Figure 2).
without declines in retail-related sales tax revenue. Perhaps the some evidence of a downward trend in HDNA tax revenue, households decreased consumption by over 10% (5). We found did not change unhealthy food consumption, whereas low-income studies varied by income. In Mexico, high-income households produced consumption, ranging from a 3.4% to 5.1% decrease in unhealthy food taxes in other settings reduced consumption, ranging from a 3.4% to 5.1% decrease in unhealthy food purchases in Hungary (4) and Mexico (5) to more than 20% lower sugar-sweetened beverage purchases in Berkeley, California (9), and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (14). Effects in other studies varied by income. In Mexico, high-income households did not change unhealthy food consumption, whereas low-income households decreased consumption by over 10% (5). We found some evidence of a downward trend in HDNA tax revenue, without declines in retail-related sales tax revenue. Perhaps the combination of the tax itself, a population with high poverty rates (13), and the funding of local wellness projects with the tax revenue might have increased awareness of the policy and healthy eating, resulting in modest reductions in purchasing behaviors.

The public health implications of the current work are that a 2% unhealthy food tax in a rural, tribal area generated about $13,000 per rural community per year, that modest reductions of 1% to 4% annually can be expected, and that distributing funds to small local communities for wellness projects appears feasible within tribal government structures. Future research will use a Navajo-specific Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (13) to gain insight into whether revenue decreases can be explained by reductions in consumption of unhealthy foods or other factors, such as changes in the food store environment. Our research has particular relevance for other sovereign indigenous nations and rural communities considering similar policies and funding structures in an effort to meaningfully engage their populations in chronic disease prevention (14). More research is urgently needed, particularly to inform the HDNA law renewal.
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