Altered expression of maize \textit{PLASTOCHRON1} enhances biomass and seed yield by extending cell division duration
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Maize is the highest yielding cereal crop grown worldwide for grain or silage. Here, we show that modulating the expression of the maize \textit{PLASTOCHRON1} (ZmPLA1) gene, encoding a cytochrome P450 (CYP78A1), results in increased organ growth, seedling vigour, stover biomass and seed yield. The engineered trait is robust as it improves yield in an inbred as well as in a panel of hybrids, at several locations and over multiple seasons in the field. Transcriptome studies, hormone measurements and the expression of the auxin responsive DR5rev:mRFPer marker suggest that PLA1 may function through an increase in auxin. Detailed analysis of growth over time demonstrates that PLA1 stimulates the duration of leaf elongation by maintaining dividing cells in a proliferative, undifferentiated state for a longer period of time. The prolonged duration of growth also compensates for growth rate reduction caused by abiotic stresses.
Worldwide, more metric tons of maize are produced annually than any other cereal crop and this is largely due to improvements in stover biomass and seed yield. These improvements were driven by modulation of several molecular mechanisms including transcriptional regulation, photosynthesis, hormone signalling and carbon metabolism. We explored if modulating the primary growth control processes of cell division or cell expansion might be an alternative approach to improve crop yield. The majority of the known growth control mechanisms are regulated in a cell-autonomous manner, including the proteolytic control of cell cycle regulators, expansions during cell expansion and meristemoid cell divisions through PEAPOD (ref. 9). In addition to cell-autonomous regulators, phytohormones are well documented non-cell autonomous growth control determinants, and transcriptional activators, such as ANGUSTIFOLIA3, were also shown to control growth over a longer distance. Our previous studies, using kinematic analysis of the maize leaf, which quantified the relative contribution of cell division and cell expansion during steady state growth, identified several maize growth regulators. Of these, gibberellins (GAs) were shown to play an important role, because lines overexpressing the rate-limiting GA biosynthetic enzyme GA20-OXIDASE had larger leaves due to an increase in the leaf elongation rate and the number of dividing cells. More recently, a cytochrome P450 CYP78A, named KLUSH, was shown to regulate vegetative and growth repression caused by two distinct abiotic stress conditions, using kinematic analysis of the maize leaf, which resulted in a pronounced increase in blade area (Fig. 1a,b). This dramatic difference in leaf size severely affected the plants’ morphology and stature (Fig. 1c).

The mature cell length of leaf four of both transgenic events was significantly lower than the wild-type (P < 0.001, Student’s t-test, n > 340) reduced compared with that of B104 (Fig. 1d), suggesting that constitutive expression of PLAI stimulated cell division, producing more, but smaller cells.

Localized PLAI expression increases biomass and seed yield. To expand the very narrow expression domain of PLAI along the growth zone and to obtain a more subtle PLAI expression than obtained by constitutive overexpression, we cloned the PLAI gene behind the 2,046-bp promoter sequence of the GA2 oxidase (ZmGA20ox) gene that was shown to be enriched at the transition from cell division to cell expansion (Supplementary Fig. 2a). Three independent, single-locus events showed ectopic overexpression of PLAI in the growth zone (GA2ox:PLAI-P1, GA2ox:PLAI-P2, GA2ox:PLAI-P3), of which GA2ox:PLAI-P3 had the highest expression level (Supplementary Fig. 2b). All three independent GA2ox:PLAI transgenic maize plants were fertile and had no striking morphological abnormalities. However, these plants were taller (Figs 2b and 3a–c) and produced leaves with successively increased area, length and width (Fig. 2a,c–e), that were more pronounced with increasing leaf number (Fig. 2f). The transgenic lines were delayed in flowering, which was more pronounced for silking (72 days in GA2ox:PLAI1 and 66 days in non-transgenic plants) than for pollen shed (74 days in GA2ox:PLAI1 and 71 days in non-transgenic plants), resulting in a shorter anthesis-silking interval (on average 1.4 days in GA2ox:PLAI1 versus 4.7 days in non-transgenic siblings; P < 0.01, Student’s t-test, n = 3) (Fig. 3a). The enhanced leaf phenotypes persisted in hybrids originating from crosses between homozygous GA2ox:PLAI-P2 transgenic (T) to the inbred lines CML91, H99, F7, Mo17 and W153R but not from crosses of non-transgenic (NT) siblings to these same inbreds (Supplementary Table 1).

The presence of the GA2ox:PLAI construct had a positive effect on stover biomass, seed yield and the synchronization of flowering in the B104 inbred as well as the B104xCM191 hybrid background in field conditions at two distinct locations over multiple growing seasons (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. 4). The leaf phenotypes were confirmed in field trials with the transgene in B104 inbred (US, 2014 and 2015; Supplementary Fig. 4) and in a hybrid background originating from crosses between transgenic (and non-transgenic) plants in B104 background with CML91 (USA, 2015; Belgium, 2015) (Fig. 3b–f; Supplementary Fig. 4). Plant fresh weight increased significantly in the transgenic hybrids compared with their non-transgenic hybrids (8–35%; Fig. 3c) due to increases in leaf length, width and blade area (measured for leaf four and ear leaf), plant height and stem width (Fig. 3c–f). In addition, moderate but consistent increases in the number of kernels per row (7–14%), the volume of the individual kernels (6–16%), ear length (17–26%) and cob dry weight (18–27%) were observed, relative to the non-transgenic controls in all field evaluations (Fig. 3b,d; Supplementary Figs 5,6a–c). The number of cobs per plant did not differ significantly between the transgenic and non-transgenic plants in the Iowa field trial (P value = 0.078, Student’s t-test, n > 26) and for GA2ox:PLAI-P1 in Belgium (P value = 0.064, Student’s t-test, n > 120 per plot), but the GA2ox:PLAI-P2 transgenic plants in the Belgium field trial produced significantly more cobs compared to the non-transgenic plants (P value ≤ 0.05, Student’s t-test, n > 120 per plot; Supplementary Fig. 7).

Results
Overexpression of PLAI stimulates dramatic leaf growth. The two well-characterized members of the CYTOCHROME P450 78A family that were described to date, KLUSH and PLAI, affect plastochron and vegetative growth. We identified a maize member of the CYP78A family, orthologous to the rice PLAI (Supplementary Fig. 1), based on a very specific expression profile along the maize leaf growth zone. In steady state growing leaves, PLAI is most strongly expressed at the base of the leaf, particularly at the very base of the division zone (first half cm) (Supplementary Fig. 2a). Two independent transgenic maize events that constitutively overexpressed ZmPLAI under control of the UBIL promoter (UBIL:PLAI-P1 and UBIL:PLAI-P2; Supplementary Fig. 3a) grew slower than wild-type B104 and failed to flower, preventing phenotypic analysis of progeny (Fig. 1). Therefore, phenotypic analyses were performed on the primary transformants and compared with B104 plants.

The UBIL:PLAI events produced long and broad leaves (Supplementary Fig. 3a–c) that resulted in a pronounced
PLA1 stimulates growth by extending cell division duration. Typically, the effects of perturbations affecting growth are quantified by performing a kinematic analysis during steady-state growth of the maize leaf. Strikingly, kinematic analysis of GA2ox:PLA1-P1 and GA2ox:PLA1-P2 revealed no statistically significant differences in cell production, the size or number of dividing cells, or the size of the division zone (Supplementary Table 2) and the effect on mature cell size was too small to explain the 19–20.5% increase in final leaf length. In addition, no significant difference was observed between transgenic and non-transgenic siblings for the maximal LER at steady-state. In contrast, the leaf growth between the transgenic and non-transgenic siblings (Supplementary Table 2). However, towards the end of steady-state growth, the LER and size of the division zone remained significantly higher in the GA2ox:PLA1 plants compared with the non-transgenic siblings (Fig. 4b). The interaction between genotype and LER over time was highly significant (two-way mixed model, $P = 0.0175$, $n = 3$), as well as the interaction between genotype and division zone size over time (two-way ANOVA, $P = 0.021$, $n = 3$). The more pronounced difference in leaf growth between the transgenic and non-transgenic siblings during the later phases of leaf growth was supported by the observation that leaf length became significant ($P = 0.003$, mixed model analysis with custom hypothesis Wald tests (corrected for multiple testing), $n = 3$) at the time point where LER started to decrease (day six in Fig. 4b,c).

A homozygous pla1 Mutator transposon mutation (mu1044329), in which the transposon was at the border of the intron acceptor site and exon 2 was obtained (Supplementary Fig. 9) and the comparison to the wild type showed that the pla1 mutant had contrasting phenotypes than when GA2ox:PLA1 was compared to its respective wild-type plants. In segregating and homozygous progeny, the observed phenotype always correlated to the presence of the transposon in PLA1. In the homozygous mutants the PLA1 transcript levels could be detected when assayed with RT–qPCR using PLA1-specific primers upstream and downstream the Mu insertion (Supplementary Fig. 9); however, we were unable to amplify the region spanning the insertion in mutant cDNA. The pla1 homozygous mutant plants displayed a 9.2% shorter final leaf length ($P = 0.02$, Student’s $t$-test, $n > 20$).
Figure 2 | Phenotype of GA2ox:PLA1 plants. (a) Seedlings (12 days after sowing; white arrows indicate the newly appeared leaf four) and (b) mature plants (96 days after sowing) of the GA2ox:PLA1-P3 segregating population. (c–e) Mature leaf four phenotypes in three independent GA2ox:PLA1 segregating lines. Error bars indicate standard errors. Significant differences (P < 0.05, Student’s t-test, n = 5) are indicated with an asterisk (*). (f) Leaf length of GA2ox:PLA1-P2 compared with its non-transgenic siblings and B104 (n = 3). (g) Leaf width of GA2ox:PLA1-P2 compared with its non-transgenic siblings and B104 (n = 3). (f,g) * indicates significant difference between GA2ox:PLA1-P2 and its non-transgenic siblings; † between GA2ox:PLA1-P2 and B104 (P < 0.05; mixed model analysis with custom hypothesis Wald tests (corrected for multiple testing), n = 3).

Figure 3 | Phenotypic evaluations of the GA2ox:PLA1-P2 transgenic line in the greenhouse and the field. Phenotypes of the GA2ox:PLA1-P2 plants in B104 background, relative to the segregating non-transgenic siblings in the greenhouse (a) and the US field trial 2014 (b). Vegetative and reproductive phenotypes of GA2ox:PLA1-P2 (T) X CML91 and the non-transgenic GA2ox:PLA1-P2 (NT) X CML91 hybrids grown in the field at Belgium (c–d) and US (e–f) in 2015. The spider webs present phenotypic measurements of plants containing the GA2ox:PLA1 construct relative to the non-transgenic controls (set at 100%).
an overall decrease in the size of the division zone and a premature decline of the maximal growth rate as compared with wild-type plants (Fig. 4d).

Prolonged cell division duration as a compensatory mechanism.

Phenotypic analysis showed that PLA1 plays a role in setting the developmental time window for cell division and thus in maintaining steady-state growth over time. To determine how the duration of steady-state growth is related to maximal steady-state growth, the GA2ox:PLA1 plants were challenged by conditions in which maximal steady-state growth was positively or negatively affected.

Previously, high levels of bioactive gibberellic acid (GA), resulting from ectopic expression of UBIL:GA20ox-1, were shown to increase the size of the division zone\(^1\), while here we show that PLA1 mainly expands the time window of cell division. Crosses were made between the segregating UBIL:GA20ox-1 line and the segregating GA2ox:PLA1-P2 line. Plants expressing both transgenes had both the high maximal LER of the UBIL:GA20ox-1 transgene and the prolonged growth duration of the GA2ox:PLA1 transgene (Fig. 5a), resulting in an increase in final leaf size and other phenotypic traits that were additive in growth chamber as well as field grown plants (Fig. 5b,c, Supplementary Table 3).

Alternatively, it was shown that mild drought conditions (Supplementary Table 4) or cold nights\(^26\) resulted in a comparable reduction in the LER. In the non-transgenic siblings, mild drought resulted in a significant reduction of LER (ranging from \(-25.0\) to \(-27.9\)% reduction) which is partly compensated by a 13.6–18.2% prolonged LED (Supplementary Table 4a). In the GA2ox:PLA1 transgenic plants, the reduction in LER was slightly more severe (ranging from \(-30.4\) to \(-34.6\)% reduction), while the compensation from the LED was more pronounced (ranging from 23.4 to 28.8%) compared with the non-transgenic siblings (Fig. 5d, Supplementary Table 4a). In cold nights, a similar decrease in LER (\(-25.6\)% for GA2ox:PLA1-P3, \(-25.4\)% for non-transgenic siblings) was observed in both transgenic and non-transgenic plants, but in contrast to mild drought stress, the cold-induced reduced LER was not compensated by an extended LED in the non-transgenic siblings. However, a 9.8% extended LED was observed in cold-treated GA2ox:PLA1-P3 plants (Fig. 5e, Supplementary Table 4b). These data indicate that localized overexpression of PLAI can partially compensate for growth reduction induced by adverse environmental conditions.
Ectopic expression of PLA1 alters auxin metabolism. To investigate the mechanisms underlying how PLA1 affects the LED, the transcriptome of the most basal half centimetre of leaf four was profiled at two times: during steady-state growth (2 days after the appearance of leaf four) and when leaf length became significantly different between the GA2ox:PLA1-P3 transgenic and non-transgenic siblings (6 days after the appearance of leaf four; Fig. 4b).

The transcriptomic changes (fold change > 2 and false discovery rate < 0.05) between time points (2,444 and 1,845 transcripts in non-transgenic and GA2ox:PLA1 transgenics, respectively) were much more pronounced than between the genotypes (115 and 553 transcripts at day 2 and day 6, respectively). The highest upregulated transcript was PLA1, which was 51.8 and 47.5 times higher than the endogenous PLA1 transcript level at day 2 and day 6, respectively.

The genes that were downregulated in both genotypes over time were enriched for the GO categories ‘cell cycle arrest’, ‘auxin metabolic process’ and ‘maintenance of meristem identity’ (Supplementary Table 5a–b). Conversely, the genes upregulated over time were enriched for the GO categories ‘photosynthesis’, ‘response to light’ and ‘auxin mediated signalling pathway’ (with nine SMALL AUXIN-UP RNA (SAUR) genes and genes involved in auxin efflux) (Supplementary Tables 5a–b, 6). These data suggest that some differentiation is already taking place in the division zone as leaf growth progresses.

When the difference in the size of the division zone was maximal between transgenic and non-transgenic siblings (at day 6, Fig. 4c), the genes with a higher expression level in the transgenic plants were enriched for the GO categories ‘negative regulation of DNA binding transcription factor activity’ and ‘regulation of hormone levels’, while the downregulated genes were enriched for ‘terpenoid catabolic process’ and ‘auxin polar transport’ (several auxin efflux transporters including PIN1b, PIN10a and BIF2, a positive regulator of cellular auxin efflux; Supplementary Tables 5d, 6).

To further analyse the effect of ectopic PLA1 expression on auxin metabolism, the levels of auxin, precursors and conjugates were determined at 0.5 cm sampling intervals along 3 cm of the growth zone during steady-state growth. In the growth zone of GA2ox:PLA1-P3 plants, auxin levels as well as the levels of auxin biosynthesis precursors such as tryptophan (TRP) and indole-3-pyruvic acid (IPyA) as well as auxin conjugates (IAA-Glu; IAA-Asp) and the auxin inactivation product (oxIAA) were consistently higher than those of the non-transgenic siblings (Fig. 6). This increase in TRP, IAA and auxin conjugates was also observed in an independent experiment performed on centimetre 1 and centimetre 3 of the growth zone (Supplementary Fig. 10). The increased accumulation of auxin was confirmed by the elevated levels of the auxin response reporter DR5rev:mRFPer (ref. 27) that were observed in the basal half centimetre of the growing fourth leaf by fluorescence quantification and RT–qPCR (Supplementary Fig. 11).

Discussion

Leaf growth is driven by cell division and cell expansion and both processes are regulated in space and time28. In the maize leaf, the mechanisms that were shown to affect growth are mainly involved in the spatial organization of the growth zone. The size of the division zone and the maximal growth rate is determined by the levels of GA as shown by the changes in division zone size, LER and final leaf length in the GA biosynthetic and overexpression lines13. In addition to GA, mutations in BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE1 (ref. 15) and GROWTH-REGULATING FACTOR1 (ref. 14), and stress growth conditions have been shown to affect maize leaf growth by altering the division zone size (Supplementary Table 4)29. Here, we identified a role for PLA1 in the temporal regulation of maize leaf growth. Both constitutive and localized overexpression of PLA1 resulted in longer leaves with longer growth periods (and LED) compared with their
controls. The phenotypes of the stacked transgenics containing UBIL:GA2ox-1 and GA2ox:PLA1 were additive compared with the single transgenes, suggesting that in certain situations, LER and LED can be decoupled and that PLA1 is mainly regulating LED. A similar conclusion was reached when natural variation for LER and LED was studied in the B73xH99 recombinant inbred line (RIL) population, as both processes were highly correlated with final leaf length but not with each other 30,31.

Interestingly, at least two hormones play a role in the spatial and temporal regulation of maize leaf growth. GA promotes leaf growth by increasing the maximal growth rate (LER) 13, whereas auxin is downregulated over time in wild type and increased auxin levels in the GA2ox:PLA1 transgenic lines are associated with a prolonged LED. In accordance with previous study 13, we show here that higher levels of auxin accumulate in the basal region of the division zone, which decrease to lower levels at the distal boundary of the division zone. This pattern is parallel to the expression profile of PLA1. Interestingly, overexpression of the auxin-induced AUXIN REGULATED GENE INVOLVED IN ORGAN GROWTH (ARGOS) gene results in enlarged leaves and a delayed flowering time 32, similar to GA2ox:PLA1 lines. Additionally, chorismate synthase that catalyses a reaction resulting in the auxin precursor chorismate 33 as well as the auxin transporter ZmPIN1b (ref. 34) are downregulated in GA2ox:PLA1 compared with the non-transgenic siblings after the steady-state growth stage, and are negatively correlated with LED (ref. 30).

Together, these data suggest that auxin may play a central role in determining the duration of maize leaf growth. Also PLA1, a molecular player in the LED process was linked to auxin, as the moderate overexpression of PLA1, that resulted in growth and yield enhancement, had higher auxin levels at the leaf base and increased levels of the auxin response marker DR5rev:mRFPer. The actual substrate and product, catalysed by PLA1 is still unknown, but there are no indications so far that PLA1 catalyses a step in the biosynthesis of auxin. PLA1 could, however, influence auxin concentration by producing a molecule that...

Figure 6 | Bioactive IAA level including IAA precursors and inactivation products in GA2ox:PLA1-P3. *, ** and *** indicate significant differences between genotypes with P values < 0.05, < 0.01 and < 0.001, respectively, mixed model analysis with custom hypothesis Wald tests (corrected for multiple testing) (n = 3). Error bars indicate standard errors. ANT, anthranilate; IAA-Asp, IAA-aspartate; IAA-Glu, IAA-glutamate; IAA, indole-3-acetic acid; IAM, indole-3-acetamide; IPyA, indole-3-pyruvic acid; oxIAA, 2-oxoindole-3-acetic acid; TRA, tryptamine; TRP, tryptophan.
inhibits IAA oxidase or by modulating auxin transport. The identification of the nature of the PL1 enzymatic products might provide insights how PL1 is able to affect auxin metabolism.

We generated two PL1 overexpression lines (UBIL:PL1 and GA2ox:PL1), both of which increased the length and width of the leaves, which was more pronounced in UBIL:PL1 than in GA2ox:PL1. Constitutive overexpression of PL1 results in very small mature cells which might be due to over-proliferation of cells that fail to expand. In addition to members of the CYP78A family, several other growth-regulating proteins also overstimulate cell division and delay differentiation. When CYCD3;1 is overexpressed in Arabidopsis, the mature leaf epidermis of the transgenic plants consists of a large number of very small cells due to overproliferation. Additionally, overexpression of the cell cycle regulator E2F and their dimerization partner DPX severely affects plant stature due to cellular overproliferation. In these cases, growth stimulation is highly dependent on the expression level of growth regulators. Therefore, using tissue-specific or inducible promoters to drive gene expression instead of constitutive promoters can overcome such unfavourable effects. The use of specific promoters to drive gene expression has recently been shown to positively influence agronomically important traits, and the specific expression of PL1 provides another example of the importance of promoter choice in the design of transgenic constructs.

Targeted overexpression of PL1 not only positively affected leaf and plant size and stover yield, but also seed yield. The increase in kernel number and kernel weight resulted in a significant increase in final grain production. In Arabidopsis, elevated PL1 levels result in bigger seeds by promoting cell proliferation of the integument. Overexpression of the tomato homologue increases fruit size by producing more cells in pericarp and septum tissues. Here, we demonstrated that tissue-specific but spatially expanded expression of the PL1 gene results in a simultaneous increase in both stover and seed yield.

Recently, several growth-related genes were successfully introduced into different genetic backgrounds in maize. Maize ARGOS and GROWTH-REGULATING FACTOR10 maintained their growth-regulating capacity in one tested hybrid background, while ectopic overexpression of the rice 6-phosphate phosphatase GA2ox:PLA1 late cell division and delay differentiation. When CYCD3;1 is overexpressed in Arabidopsis, the mature leaf epidermis of the transgenic plants consists of a large number of very small cells due to overproliferation. Additionally, overexpression of the cell cycle regulator E2F and their dimerization partner DPX severely affects plant stature due to cellular overproliferation. In these cases, growth stimulation is highly dependent on the expression level of growth regulators. Therefore, using tissue-specific or inducible promoters to drive gene expression instead of constitutive promoters can overcome such unfavourable effects. The use of specific promoters to drive gene expression has recently been shown to positively influence agronomically important traits, and the specific expression of PL1 provides another example of the importance of promoter choice in the design of transgenic constructs.

Field trial design and plant trait analysis. Field experiments were conducted in 2015 at Ames, Iowa, US and in 2015 at Wetteren, Belgium. In US 2014, three GA2ox:PLA1 segregating families were grown in three rows, 20 plants per row (nine inches between plants), the plant traits were measured in all plants. In 2015, a GA2ox:PLA1 segregating population and the F1 hybrid with CML91 containing the transgene (GA2ox:PLA1-P2 × CML91) were grown in five and ten rows, respectively; each row contained 20 plants (nine inches between plants), plant traits (plant height, ear leaf length, ear leaf width) and grain yield (anthesis silking interval, total floret number, ear length) were performed on 12 representative plants per row that were chosen by disregarding border and off-looking plants. In Belgium, two transgenic hybrids GA2ox:PLA1-P1 × CML91 and GA2ox:PLA1-P2 × CML91 and their non-transgenic controls were grown in randomized block design with three replicates with planting density of 80,000 plants per hectare. Each replicate contained four rows and 40 plants per row. All the plants were measured for vegetative trait analysis, except ear leaf width and stem width were measured on 20 and five representative plants per replicate, respectively. Cob containing data were determined by harvesting representative ears per replicate. Because the legislation in Belgium requires detasseling of transgenic plants in the field, both the transgenic and non-transgenic plants were detasselled and pollination originated from B104×CML91 border plants. The necessity to detassel transgenic plants precluded any observation of anthesis, so that the anthesis silking interval could not be determined.

RNA sequencing analysis. Library preparation was done using the TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation Kit v2 (Illumina). In brief, poly(A)-containing mRNA molecules were reverse transcribed, double-stranded cDNA was generated and adapters were ligated. After quality control using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent), clusters were cotransformed by Agrobacterium tumefaciens cocultivation. For GA2ox:PLA1, we obtained ten independent events from transformation, of which the progeny of three events was evaluated. Priming was performed with dimeric GUS as a low germinantation limit between 20 and 30%. From the three single locus lines for which only in three independent events the expression of the transgene could be shown (GA2ox:PLA1-P1, GA2ox:PLA1-P2 and GA2ox:PLA1-P3). Remarkably, the lines with an observable ‘over’-expression of PL1 were also the lines that displayed the growth phenotypes. We used these three independent events for screening in the growth chamber and the greenhouse, but Belgian legislation did not permit cultivating lines that contain backbone vector DNA. Therefore, we were only able to perform the field trials on two independent events. Primary transgenic events in which the T-DNA was present in a single locus were introduced into B104 resulting in 1:1 segregations. These segregating plants were used for the growth chamber and greenhouse evaluations in order to exclude maternal effects. For field trials, both transgenic and non-transgenic plants of this segregating generation were sowed for two generations. The homozygous plants were crossed to CML91 to obtain transgenic and non-transgenic hybrids. The presence of the PAT protein was tested by an immunochromatographic assay. (AgroStrip, Romer), leaf samples were monitored using RT-PCR using 18S rRNA as housekeeping gene and the levels were determined using the 2−ΔΔCT method. The primers used in this study are summarized in Supplementary Table 7.

Growth conditions in growth chamber and greenhouse. Plants for leaf growth monitoring were grown under growth chamber conditions with controlled relative humidity (50%), temperature (20 °C day/18 °C night), and light intensity (170−200 μmol m−2 s−1). Photosynthetic active radiation at plant level provided by a combination of high-pressure sodium vapour (RNP-T/RL/400W/S/230/E40; Radium) and metal halide lamps with quartz burners (HRIBT-400W/D230/E40; Radium) in a 16 h light/8 h dark cycle. Plants under mild drought treatment shared the same growth conditions but the water content of the control condition was 1.23 g water g−1 dry soil, and the water content of mild drought condition was 0.73 g water g−1 dry soil, corresponding to −0.023 MPa and −1.025 MPa, respectively. For the cold treatment, plants were grown in the same growth conditions during the photoperiod but at 4 °C in the dark. A gradual decrease in light intensity was implemented over 0.5 h to mimic dusk and dawn. Plants for adult plant trait characterization were grown under controlled greenhouse conditions (24°C/22°C, 55% relative humidity, light intensity of 180 μmol m−2 s−1 photosynthetically active radiation, in a 16 h light/8 h night cycle).

Plant material and phenotype evaluation in growth chamber. Plants were measured daily to determine the LR (n = 5). The leaf blade was cut and scanned to determine its leaf area by ImageJ (ref. 48). Kinematic analysis was performed based on Nielissen et al. To determine the division zone size over time, leaf four was harvested daily before emergence from the sheath of leaf three until fully grown. The time point was determined by the day when leaf four was initially covered by the whorl of leaf three. The size of the division zone was determined by the distance between the base and the most distally observed mitotic cell in DAPI-stained leaves along the proximal-distal axis with a fluorescence microscope (Axioimager, Zeiss). For every analysis, at least three technical replicates were taken.

Methods

Phylogenetic analysis. Predicted amino sequences for CYP78A-like genes were identified using BLAST from the genomes of representative land plant taxa (Arabidopsis thaliana, Aquilegia caerulea, Zea mays, Oryza sativa, Musa acuminata, Amborella trichopoda, Picea abies, Selaginella moellendorffii, Physcomitrella patens and Marchantia polymorpha). Sequences were aligned using the MUSCLE algorithm. ProtTest3.4.2 (ref. 42) was used to determine the optimal model of amino acid substitution: LG + I + G + F. Bayesian phylogenetic estimation was performed with MrBayes3.2.6 (refs 43,44), using 1 million generations and a burnin of 25%.

Maize transformation and genotyping. The maize PL1 or CYP78A1 (GRMZM2G167986) was amplified by extension overlap PCR. The PL1 gene was driven by the UBIL promoter or GA2-oxidase (GA2ox) promoter, that comprises of 2.04 kb upstream from the start codon of GA2-oxidase (GRMZM2G331724; GA2ox) and was ligated into the vector pB8m42GW7 (ref. 46) (http://gateway.psb.ugent.be/). Immature embryos of the maize inbred line B104 were transformed by Agrobacterium tumefaciens cocultivation. For GA2ox:PL1, we obtained ten independent events from transformation, of which the progeny of three events was evaluated. Priming was performed with dimeric GUS as a low germinantation limit between 20 and 30%.

Field trial design and plant trait analysis. Field experiments were conducted in 2015 at Ames, Iowa, US and in 2015 at Wetteren, Belgium. In US, four GA2ox:PLA1 segregating families were grown in three rows, 20 plants per row (nine inches between plants), the plant traits were measured in all plants. In 2015, a GA2ox:PLA1-P2 segregating population and the F1 hybrid with CML91 containing the transgene (GA2ox:PLA1-P2 × CML91) were grown in five and ten rows, respectively; each row contained 20 plants (nine inches between plants), plant traits (plant height, ear leaf length, ear leaf width) and grain yield (anthesis silking interval, total floret number, ear length) were performed on 12 representative plants per row that were chosen by disregarding border and off-looking plants. In Belgium, two transgenic hybrids GA2ox:PLA1-P1 × CML91 and GA2ox:PLA1-P2 × CML91 and their non-transgenic controls were grown in randomized block design with three replicates with planting density of 80,000 plants per hectare. Each replicate contained four rows and 40 plants per row. All the plants were measured for vegetative trait analysis, except ear leaf width and stem width were measured on 20 and five representative plants per replicate, respectively. Cob containing data were determined by harvesting representative ears per replicate. Because the legislation in Belgium requires detasseling of transgenic plants in the field, both the transgenic and non-transgenic plants were detasselled and pollination originated from B104×CML91 border plants. The necessity to detassel transgenic plants precluded any observation of anthesis, so that the anthesis silking interval could not be determined.

RNA sequencing analysis. Library preparation was done using the TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation Kit v2 (Illumina). In brief, poly(A)-containing mRNA molecules were reverse transcribed, double-stranded cDNA was generated and adapters were ligated. After quality control using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent), clusters were
generated through amplification using the TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v3-BOAT kit (Illumina), followed by sequencing on an Illumina NextSeq500 with the TruSeq SBS Kit (Illumina). Sequencing was performed in paired-end mode with a read length of 75 nt. The quality of the raw data was verified with FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/, version 0.9.1). Next, quality filtering was performed using the trimmomatic: reads were globally filtered so that for at least 75% of the reads, the quality exceeds Q10 and 3’ trimming was performed to remove any reads with a quality below the minimum of 20 bp remaining. Reads were subsequently mapped to v3 of the maize B73 reference genome (http://ftp.maizegenetics.org/B73_RefGen_v3/) using GSNAp 2.0.0 (ref. 49). The concordantly paired reads that uniquely map to the genome were used for quantification on the gene level with htseq-count from the HTSeq.py python package.56

These genes were subjected to differential analysis with the R software package edgeR22,23 (R version 3.2.3). Only genes with an expression value higher than 1 cpm (corresponding to five read counts) in at least three replicates were retained for the analysis (20,284 genes were kept out of 39,323). Trimmed Mean of M-values normalization 53 was applied using the calcNormFactors function. Variability in the data set was assessed with an MDS plot. All three biological replicates clustered nicely together and there was a clear separation between the four factor level combinations. Treshold negative binomial dispersion parameters were estimated with the default Cox-Reid method based on a model with main effects of treatment, time and replicate and an interaction term between time and treatment using the estimateGLMTrendedDisp function, followed by the estimation of the empirical bayes dispersion for each transcript using the estimateGLMTagwiseDisp. A negative binomial regression model was then used to model the over dispersed counts for each gene separately with fixed values for the dispersion parameter calculated as described in ref. 51 and implemented in the function glmFit using the above-described model. A likelihood ratio test (LRT) was performed to compare this model with a model without replicate to assess possible replicate (batch) effects. After false discovery rate adjustments of the P values with the method described in ref. 55, only eight genes were found to have a significant batch effect as defined by the MDS plot. In the absence of the dispersion estimate, the fitting of the model was repeated with now only the main effects of time and treatment and their interaction. The significance of the interaction term was assessed with an LRT test comparing the full model with the main effects model. To test user-defined hypotheses, the model was re-parameterized. The factors were combined to one factor with four levels, and no intercept single factor model was fitted to the data. With this design, dispersions were re-estimated and the model was refit. The four contrasts of interest were in the difference between the time points for each genotype, and the difference between the genotypes at each time point. Significance was assessed with an LRT test and as before, FDR adjustments of P values were applied. All edgeR functions were applied with default values.

IAA metabolite profiling. Leaf four of GA2ox:PLA1-P3 transgenic plants and non-transgenic siblings were harvested at the second day after the appearance from the whorl to simultaneously profile the majority of known auxin precursors and contaminants. Metabolites were chosen on the basis of their known metabolic pathway, with one biological replicate, and three biological replicates were harvested. Samples (50 mg fresh weight) were homogenized and extracted in ice-cold 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7) with the addition of the following: [−2H]3-I  and [−2H]3-IAA, 5 pmol per sample; [−2H]3-ANT, [−2H]3-IP, [−2H]3-JPA, 17 pmol per sample; [−2H]3-TRP, 18 pmol per sample; [−2H]3-TRP, 100 pmol per sample). The plant extracts were incubated at 4 °C with continuous shaking (15 min), centrifuged (15 mm, 23,000 g) and then divided in two halves. In one half, the pH was adjusted to 2.7 with 1 M acetic acid in methanol (A) and 0.1% acetic acid in water (B) at a flow rate of 0.25 ml min−1, column temperature of 30 °C, and a binary linear gradient: 0 min, 10.0% (A); 10.0 min, 50.50% (A); 11.0 min, 98.2% (A). At the end of the gradient the column was washed with 100% methanol (1 min) and re-equilibrated to initial conditions (3 min). Determination of endogenous auxins was performed by MRM of the analytes using optimized instrument settings, retention times and detection limits were optimized for each analyte.57 The linear range spanned at least five orders of magnitude with a correlation coefficient of 0.9985–0.9999. The MassHunter software (Version B.05.02, Agilent Technologies) was used to determine the concentration, using stable isotope dilution.

Quantification of DR5rev:mRFPer expression. Confocal images of the basal half cm of leaf four of GA2ox:PLA1-P3 x DR5rev:mRFPer (ref. 58) maize plants, segregating for the PLA1 transgene, were acquired using an inverted Zeiss10 CLSM microscope. Leaves were mounted between slide and coverslip in water. Objective used was the Plan-Apochromat 20 × 0.8 Dry. mRFPer was excited with the 561 nm laser line and the emission was detected between 580 and 640 nm. Per plant five leaves in the leafy were randomly chosen and all images (z-stacks) were acquired using identical settings. For quantification, all images were analysed using the ImageJ software (Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, US NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/1997–2012). Confocal images were converted to 8-bit depth images. Analysis was done on single slices with a thickness of 0.78 μm. Since the DR5rev:mRFPer expression in the leaf was maximal in the cells surrounding the xylem, the xylem cells were taken as a reference point to select single slices for analysis. The average mRFPer intensity of the top 1% highest pixels of the phloem to xylem ratio was calculated in Microsoft Excel and statistically compared between transgenic and non-transgenic plants for the PLA1 transgene by a two sample t-test.

Statistical methods. Leaf area measurements of leaf nine (UBIL:PLA1, Fig. 1b), leaf four (GA2ox:PLA1, Fig. 2c–e) and epidermal cell length (UBIL:PLA1, Fig. 1d) were performed with two-tailed Student’s t-tests to compare the mean in the transgenic line with a control line using the tTest function from the R software.52 Additional t-tests were also performed on data presented in Supplementary Tables 1,2,4, Supplementary Figs 3,7,10.

Measurements over time on a transgenic line and non-transgenic line (such as division zone size measurements and leaf length) were submitted to an analysis of variance (Fig. 4b–d). At the different time points, different plants were measured. These data were modelled with a general linear model in SAS with the main effects time and genotype and their interaction term. The interest was in the difference between the transgenic line and the non-transgenic line at each day. These comparisons were estimated with Wald-type tests using the plm procedure. P values were adjusted for multiple testing using Sidak’s adjustment method as implemented in the multtest package from SAS. This analysis will be referred to as ANOVA.

The measurements of leaf length and leaf width on a series of leaves originating from the same plant are longitudinal in nature (Fig. 2f,g). A mixed model was fitted to leaf length and leaf width with the mixed procedure from SAS (Version 9.4 of the software for windows 7 64 bit Copyright 2002–2012 SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA, www.sas.com). The correlation between measurements done on the same plant is accounted for by modelling the variance-covariance matrix of the residuals. Several structures were tested: unstructured, (heterogenous) compound symmetry, (heterogenous) autoregressive and (heterogenous) banded toeplitz. The best structure chosen was the compound symmetry structure. For the models of the fixed main effects genotype and leaf and their interaction term was compared with a linear spline model with a knot at leaf two. The latter model was chosen based on AIC values. This model contained the fixed effects genotype, leaf, leaf2 (representing the truncated basis) and the interaction effect between leaf and genotype. To speed up calculation the number of terms was reduced to 100 and the model was re-estimated with the maximum likelihood estimation.

The Kenward-Roger approximation for computing the denominator degrees of freedom for the tests of fixed effects was applied. The interest was in the difference between the transgenic line compared to B104 and the non-transgenic line at each leaf. These comparisons were estimated with Wald-type tests using the plm procedure. P values were adjusted for multiple testing using the MaxT method as implemented in SAS. This method controls the family wise error rate precisely at the significance level α (here set at 0.05) and is not conservative. In the absence of a significant interaction, as was the case for the leaf width measurements (Fig. 2f), the difference between the transgenic line compared to half of the line was the non-transgenic line for the leaf series (Fig. 2h). Here, the interest was in the difference between the drought and control treatment for each genotype and at each day. This analysis was performed as the same way as for the leaf series, except that both genotype and day were considered as categorical variables. The interest was in the difference between the transgenic line and the non-transgenic line at each day. These comparisons were estimated with Wald-type tests using the plm procedure. P values were adjusted for multiple testing using the MaxT method as implemented for the leaf series (Fig. 8a,b). In the absence of a significant interaction term, only the difference between the transgenic line and the non-transgenic (averaged over the days) was estimated. In the drought experiments, the fixed effects part of the model for LER (Fig. 5d,e) contained three main effects: genotype, treatment and days together with all possible higher order terms. Here, the interest was in the difference between the drought and control treatment for each genotype and at each day. This analysis will be referred to as LER analysis.
Levels of auxin related metabolites were measured in different zones of the leaves of three transgenic plants and three non-transgenic plants (Fig. 6). Since the measurements done in the different zones of a leaf, these data are also longitudinal in nature and was analysed the same way as the LER. A significant interaction between position in the zone and genotype was only detected for iPyr. At each zone, the difference in iPyr levels between the genotypes was estimated with a Wald test. P values were adjusted for multiple testing using the MaxT method as before. For IAA, 1AA, OXIAA, IAA-Asp and IAA-Gly only the main effects were significant. In the absence of an interaction effect, the difference between the genotypes is the same in all zones. This difference was estimated with a Wald test. For TRA, only a significant main genotype effect was detected. The difference between the transgenic line and non-transgenic line was again estimated with a Wald-type test. For ANT and TRP, only a significant zone effect was detected. For all analyses, residual diagnostics were carefully examined. A comparison was declared significant when the adjusted P value was smaller than 0.05.

Data availability. RNA-seq data are available in the ArrayExpress database (www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) under accession number E-MTAB-5422. The authors declare that all other data supporting the findings of this study are available within the manuscript and its supplementary files or are available from the corresponding author on request.
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