Vascularized fibular graft as a surgical option for osteosarcoma of distal humerus: A case report
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A B S T R A C T

INTRODUCTION: Distal humerus is a very rare predilection site of osteosarcoma. Limb salvage surgery has widely replaced amputation for surgical treatment of most types of malignant bone sarcomas.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: We presented a 42 years old male with rapidly growing osteosarcoma on his right distal humerus. After induction chemotherapy, wide excision and reconstruction using free vascularized fibular graft followed with interpositional elbow arthroplasty technique was done.

DISCUSSION: One of the option for surgical treatment for distal humerus osteosarcoma is limb salvage surgery with free vascularized fibular graft technique. Good functional outcome and no signs of local recurrence were found during 2.5 years follow up.

CONCLUSION: Free vascularized fibular graft with interpositional elbow arthroplasty is a good option for management of bone sarcoma of distal humerus.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The most frequent predilection sites of osteosarcoma are metaphyseal regions of the distal femur, proximal tibia and proximal humerus [1]. Osteosarcoma of distal humerus is quite unusual. Nowadays, limb salvage surgery has widely replaced amputation for surgical treatment of most types of malignant neoplasms [2]. However, skeletal reconstruction following tumor resection remains challenging with regard to limb length preservation, cosmetic, and function especially in upper extremity [3]. In reconstructing the defects, beside allograft, autograft and endoprosthetics, free vascularized fibular graft is the most favorable technique [4].

In this report, we presented a case of 42-year-old male who was diagnosed with osteosarcoma of the right distal humerus and was treated by en block resection and reconstruction with free vascularized fibular graft technique. This work has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria and cite the following paper: Agha et al. [5].

2. Presentation of case

A 42 years old male was referred to our institution with rapidly growing mass on his right elbow since 1 year before admission. No history of similar disease in the family. Patient work as a labour, a right hand dominant and has a normal BMI. He was a smoker until he felt ill. The physical examination revealed a mix cysticand solid mass sizing 15 × 15 × 6 cm. The elbow was fixed in slight flexion, but no neurovascular disturbances were found (Fig. 1). Laboratory findings showed unremarkable result in complete blood count, ESR, and alkaline phosphatase. Lactic dehydrogenase was increased at level of 425 U/L (<220).

Elbow radiograph demonstrated a lytic lesion on the lateral side of the humerus that extended to the lateral condyle (Fig. 2). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was suggestive to malignant bone tumor that extended to the surrounding soft tissue. No metastatic lesion were found on chest radiograph and bone scintigraphy. Histopathological result was consistent with conventional osteosarcoma (Fig. 3).

After 3 cycles of induction chemotherapy, the surgical procedures were performed by the oncologic orthopaedic team led by the author. Patient was placed on supine position without the use of pneumatic tourniquet. A double curved-S-incision was made on the lateral aspect from proximal humerus extending to the posterior elbow across the tumor mass. A careful blunt dissection was performed to separate the tumor mass with the normal tissue. During the dissection, the neurovascular bundle (radial nerve, ulnar nerve and the brachial artery) were located outside the mass, thus was able to be salvaged. Most muscle groups were conserved except for the part of muscles attached to the tumor mass (Fig. 4-A).

After exposing the whole tumor mass, osteotomies were performed outside of the transitional zone for both proximal and distal ends, ensuring a clear margin of the tumor. Distal resection margin were performed on the olecranon and the proximal one was on the proximal shaft of humerus (Fig. 4-B). Wide excision of the
tumor mass was performed (Fig. 4C). Simultaneously, we harvested the ipsilateral vascularized fibular graft with 2 cm of biceps tendon and 2 cm of lateral collateral ligament still attached to fibular head. We implanted the vascularized fibular graft to the humeral defect with the head of the fibula was seated on the distal part and reshape it to articulate with the olecranon. In the proximal humerus region, we fixate the graft with the host bone using two interfragmentary screws. The olecranon was reattached with tension band construct. The articulating surface of the “new” elbow joint was optimized by interposing the biceps tendon and lateral collateral ligament between the fibular head and the olecranon (Fig. 4D).

On the postoperative radiograph (Fig. 5) the graft was well-positioned and stable. No complication nor adverse event occurred after operation. Three cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy were performed after the wound was healed. Patient adherence and compliance was good showed by never missing a follow up visit and abide by the rule that was informed to the patient.

Six months after surgery, the patient had major functional improvement in his daily activity, showed by the increase of DASH score. The score was 37 point (ranging 0–100, with being 100 means most disable), which means the patient had a mild disability in his daily activities. After one year follow up, union was achieved on the control radiograph with no sign of local recurrence. No signs of metastasis were detected from the chest radiograph as well. The DASH score was improved to 27 point and improved even further (22.5) at 2 years follow up (Figs. 6 & 7). Unfortunately, at 2.5 years follow up, a lung metastasis occurred and created a massive pleural effusion which resulted in patients death shortly after.

3. Discussion

As mentioned before, osteosarcoma in distal humerus is a rare entity. In this case, not only the predilection but also the age is not usual for osteosarcoma, which may give a false perception about the differential diagnosis during the first glance. But after a series of diagnostic evaluation, pathologic analysis and CPC meeting, the diagnosis of osteosarcoma was confirmed. As mentioned by Wittig et al. [6] multidisciplinary approach is mandatory to diagnose and treat osteosarcoma.

Fig. 1. Local state of the tumor mass at the right elbow.

Fig. 2. Elbow radiographs: (A) a destructive lytic lesion on the lateral side of the humerus through the lateral condyle with osteoid matrix formation (before neoadjuvant chemotherapy); (B) After neoadjuvant chemotherapy, the tumor mass became bigger.

Fig. 3. Histopathological examination demonstrated pleomorphic cells with osteoid matrix (Hematoxylin and Eosin, 100×).
Fig. 4. Surgical technique. (A) Muscle groups were conserved except for the part of muscles attached to the tumor mass; (B) Osteotomy of the olecranon and of the proximal humerus for the sitting of the fibular graft, doing so exposing the whole mass; (C) Wide excision of the tumor mass was performed; (D) After implanting the fibular graft, we re-attached the olecranon back, positioned it so that the olecranon could glide along the head of the fibula and fix it with tension band wires to the proximal portion of the ulna; (E) Reconstruction of bone and soft tissues had been done; (F) Gross specimen of tumor mass.

Fig. 5. Postoperative X rays.

Regarding surgical options for limb-salvage, Windhager et al. [7] recommend resection–replantation, in which the tumor-bearing area is resected as a 'cylindrical segment' and the distal arm is replanted with shortening. Guo et al. [8] reported 19 patients who
underwent total elbow arthroplasty after tumors excision at the distal humerus, had good functional outcome and decreased pain score.

Usui et al. [9] reported that inclusion of the fibular head in the free vascularized fibular graft. However, it carried a risk of fibular head collapse. As for our case, due to the tumor location on the diaphyseal area, the fibular head were also included in the vascularized fibular graft. Fortunately, in our case up until 2.5 years after surgery, no fibular head collapsed were found. Elbow reconstruction were performed byreplacing the distal humerus with the head of fibula and used interposition elbow arthroplasty technique to provide articulating surface to the olecranon. Interposition arthroplasty using the fascia lata is often considered to be a salvage option for the treatment of severe elbow arthritis [10,11]. We chose biceps tendon and lateral collateral ligament to wrap the head of fibula inproviding cushion for the olecranon to glide. Even though in most cases, interposition arthroplasty itself doesn’t provide full elbow range of motion nor good elbow stability, functional elbow movement was able to attained. Subjectively, our patient was really satisfied with the surgery and could perform daily chores in orderly fashion. Objectively, the DASH score was increased up to 22.5 or the patient had a good functional outcome.

Unfortunately, in this case, the neoadjuvant chemotherapy showed minimal response. The postoperative histopathologic analysis showed less than 20% necrotic tissue which was consistent with HUVOS I classification. As the tumor had a little or no response to chemotherapy, the prognosis was poor.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, surgical treatment of bone sarcoma of distal humerus using vascularized fibular graft combined with interpositional elbow arthroplasty is a good option instead of shorhening procedure or reconstruction endoprosthesis.
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