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Abstract

Deposition of amyloid-β (Aβ) in the cerebral cortex is thought to be a pivotal event in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) pathogenesis with a significant genetic contribution. Molecular imaging can provide an early noninvasive phenotype but small samples have prohibited genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of cortical Aβ load until now. We employed florbetapir (18F) positron emission tomography (PET) imaging to assess brain Aβ levels in vivo for 555 participants from the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI). More than six million common genetic variants were tested for association to quantitative global cortical Aβ load controlling for age, gender, and diagnosis. Independent genome-wide significant associations were identified on chromosome 19 within APOE (rs429358, \(p = 5.5 \times 10^{-14}\)) and on chromosome 3 upstream of BCHE (rs509208, \(p = 2.7 \times 10^{-8}\)) in a region previously associated with serum butyrylcholinesterase activity. Together, these loci explained 15% of the variance in cortical Aβ levels in this sample (APOE 10.7%, BCHE 4.3%). Suggestive associations were identified within ITGA6, near EFNA5, EDIL3, ITGA1, PIK3R1, NFIB, and ARID1B, and between NUAK1 and C12orf75. These results confirm the association of APOE with Aβ deposition and represent the largest known effect of BCHE on an AD-related phenotype. Butyrylcholinesterase has been found in senile plaques and this new association of genetic variation at the BCHE locus with Aβ burden in humans may have implications for potential disease-modifying effects of butyrylcholinesterase-modulating agents in the AD spectrum.

Keywords

Alzheimer’s disease (AD); amyloid; apolipoprotein E (APOE); butyrylcholinesterase (BCHE); florbetapir (AV-45); genome-wide association study (GWAS)

INTRODUCTION

Cortical deposition of amyloid-β (Aβ) peptide is thought to be a crucial early step in the cascade of events leading to Alzheimer’s disease (AD). The presence of cortical neuritic plaques, consisting of Aβ fibrils surrounded by degenerating neuronal processes, is a hallmark feature for pathologic diagnosis of AD. Aβ plaques have also been identified in individuals meeting clinical criteria for mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and have exhibited subtle relationships with cognition among older individuals without dementia or MCI symptoms. In addition, pathogenic mutations in genes related to Aβ processing, including the amyloid precursor protein (APP) and presenilin-1 and -2 (PSEN1, PSEN2) genes, have been discovered in patients with the rare, autosomal dominant form of AD. As
a result, Aβ accumulation is increasingly proposed as a major antecedent ultimately leading to incident AD.6

The fundamental biological influences on brain Aβ levels are not yet fully understood. The strongest known genetic risk factor for AD is presence of the apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 allele,7 and in vitro and murine studies have proposed plausible links between the encoded ApoE E4 isoform and aberrant Aβ mechanisms.8 However, APOE ε4 is neither necessary nor sufficient for development of AD pathology, suggesting that the biology underlying Aβ accumulation involves contributions from other genes and pathways, as well as the environment.

The ongoing search for genetic modulators of brain Aβ deposition in humans has been bolstered by advances in imaging methods for noninvasive detection of fibrillar Aβ in vivo. While existing genetic studies of brain Aβ have focused on candidate genes due to moderate sample sizes, the enhanced stability of recently-developed 18F-labeled positron emission tomography (PET) imaging Aβ tracers such as flutemetamol (also known as AV-45 or Amyvid) allows for more widespread evaluation to facilitate the acquisition of larger cohorts for analysis.9 Importantly, flutemetamol PET data has demonstrated strong relationships with pathologically-verified assessments of fibrillar Aβ burden,10, 11 and thus represents a novel and robust quantitative phenotype that can be assessed in samples with heightened power for discovery of genes influencing Aβ neuropathology.

We used quantitative flutemetamol PET data from 555 participants enrolled in the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) to perform the first genome-wide association study (GWAS) of cortical Aβ burden in humans. We hypothesized that GWAS would confirm the association of APOE as well as identify other genetic modulators of brain Aβ deposition.

METHODS

Study participants

This report utilized data from ADNI12 (http://adni.loni.ucla.edu/), a multi-site longitudinal study that was launched in 2004 as a public-private partnership. The initial phase (ADNI-1) enrolled individuals aged 55–90 years who were recruited from over 50 sites across the United States and Canada and followed at 6-to 12-month intervals for 2–3 years. These individuals included approximately 200 healthy controls (HC), 400 patients with late MCI (LMCI), and 200 patients clinically diagnosed with probable AD. Subsequent phases (ADNI-GO and ADNI-2) have extended follow-up for existing participants and have enrolled additional individuals, including those meeting criteria for early MCI (EMCI). All participants provided written informed consent and study protocols were approved by each site’s institutional review board. Further information about ADNI, including full study protocols, complete inclusion and exclusion criteria, and data collection and availability can be found at http://www.adni-info.org/.

Flutemetamol PET scans

PET imaging using the 18F-labeled Aβ tracer flutemetamol was performed for participants enrolled in ADNI-GO or ADNI-2. Participants were administered a bolus injection of
approximately 370 MBq florbetapir intravenously. Fifty minutes later, a 20-minute continuous cranial PET scan was initiated. Images were reconstructed immediately following the scan using iterative algorithms, and repeat scans were acquired if motion artifact was detected. Preprocessing of the scans was performed as previously described. Briefly, image frames were averaged, aligned to a standard space (AC-PC), resampled to a standard image and voxel size, smoothed to a uniform resolution, and normalized to an atlas-based bilateral and symmetric cerebellar reference region. This cerebellar reference region consisted largely but not exclusively of grey matter and was expected to exhibit nonspecific binding, ultimately resulting in standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) images. These preprocessed scans were downloaded from the ADNI database (http://adni.loni.ucla.edu/) for 621 participants. For each scan, mean regional SUVR values were extracted for the frontal, parietal, temporal, limbic, and occipital lobes using the MarsBaR toolbox implemented in the Statistical Parametric Mapping 8 (SPM8) software (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8/). The average SUVR for these 5 regions was then calculated to represent a global cortical measure of Aβ deposition to be used as a quantitative phenotype for GWAS. Overall, 19 participants (11 HC, 6 MCI, 2 AD) were excluded due to missing scan data or failed processing, leaving data for 602 individuals available for further analysis.

Genotyping and imputation

A blood draw for genomic DNA extraction was obtained at the screening or baseline visit for all study participants. Genotyping on these samples was performed according to manufacturer’s protocol (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA) using the Human610-Quad BeadChip (for subjects initially enrolled during ADNI-1) or the Human OmniExpress BeadChip (for subjects initially enrolled in ADNI-GO or ADNI-2). In addition, the two SNPs characterizing APOE ε2/ε3/ε4 status (rs429358 and rs7412) were genotyped separately as previously described and merged with the array data sets. All genotype data underwent stringent quality control procedures (sample exclusion for call rate < 95% or failed identity or gender check, and SNP exclusion for call rate < 95%, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test p < 1 x 10^-6, or minor allele frequency (MAF) < 1%) using PLINK (http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/~purcell/plink/), version 1.07. In addition, to limit possible effects of population stratification, multidimensional clustering analysis was used to select only participants with non-Hispanic Caucasian (CEU or TSI) ancestry based on HapMap3 reference populations. Overall, one individual was excluded due to a failed gender check and 42 individuals were excluded based on ancestry.

Next, haplotype patterns from the 1000 Genomes Project reference panel were used to impute genotypes for markers not directly assayed. Prior to imputation, the orientation of all genotyped markers in relation to the plus strand alignment of the reference panel genome (NCBI build 37 coordinates) was verified and monomorphic variants from the reference panel were excluded. Minimac was used to impute samples within groups based on the genotyping platform employed (Illumina 610-Quad or OmniExpress). Following imputation, SNPs with r^2 < 0.5 between imputed and assayed genotypes were removed. The remaining array SNPs demonstrated > 99.9% concordance between imputed and assayed genotypes. The independently-imputed data sets were then merged to generate a common set of more
Statistical analysis

For the GWAS, linear regression was performed using PLINK to determine the association of each SNP to global cortical Aβ levels. An additive genetic model was specified and age, gender, and diagnosis (through a set of binary dummy variables indicating HC, EMCI, LMCI, or AD) were applied as covariates. To account for multiple comparisons, we employed a conservative threshold for genome-wide significant association ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) based on a Bonferroni correction of one million independent tests. Haploview\(^{19}\) (http://www.broad.mit.edu/mpg/haplovie/) was used to generate Manhattan and Q-Q plots and SNAP\(^{20}\) (http://www.broadinstitute.org/mpg/snap/) was used to obtain regional association plots for selected loci. Post-hoc analyses, including hierarchical linear regression, effect size calculations, and exploratory correlation and interaction studies using Bonferroni corrections for multiple comparisons, were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0 (Armonk, NY).

RESULTS

This study analyzed data from 555 ADNI participants with non-Hispanic Caucasian ancestry. Participants were diagnosed at the time of the PET scan as HC, EMCI, LMCI, or AD. EMCI subjects met clinical criteria for amnestic MCI\(^{21}\) but exhibited milder (between 1.0 and 1.5 standard deviations below age-associated norms) memory impairment (Table 1). In this sample, the EMCI group was younger than the other groups ($p < 0.001$). All groups displayed comparable levels of education but the LMCI group included fewer female participants compared to the HC group (Chi-square $p = 0.029$).

The GWAS results did not indicate evidence of spurious inflation of association test statistics ($\lambda = 1.00$) due to population stratification or other confounding factors (Figure 1). Loci on two chromosomes exhibited genome-wide significant association ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) to cortical Aβ levels (Figure 2). As expected, the peak association originated on chromosome 19 from rs429358 ($p = 5.45 \times 10^{-14}$), which codes for the APOE ε4 allele.\(^{7}\) While other SNPs within APOE and in the region of its adjacent genes APOC1, TOMM40, and PVRL2 also displayed significant association to cortical Aβ levels in the primary GWAS model (Figure 2 and Figure 3A), their association signals disappeared ($p > 0.05$) when APOE ε4 status (absence = 0, presence = 1) was included as a covariate.

Multiple SNPs on chromosome 3 near BCHE (butyrylcholinesterase) also displayed genome-wide significant association to cortical Aβ load (Figure 2). The peak association signal at this locus originated from rs509208 ($p = 2.69 \times 10^{-8}$), which is approximately 450
kb upstream (5′) of BCHE (Figure 3B). This association remained strong with the inclusion of APOE ε4 status as a covariate (p = 1.94 × 10⁻⁷).

Several additional loci exhibited suggestive association (p < 5 × 10⁻⁶) to cortical Aβ levels (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 1). These loci included SNPs within or near the cell adhesion genes of ITGA6 (integrin, alpha 6; chromosome 2) and ITGA1 (integrin, alpha 1; chromosome 5) as well as SNPs near the insulin signaling pathway gene PIK3R1 (phosphoinositide-3-kinase, regulatory subunit 1; chromosome 5), among others. The association signals at these loci were not reduced after the inclusion of APOE ε4 allele status as a covariate (data not shown).

Following the GWAS, we performed post-hoc analyses to further assess the impact of the APOE and BCHE loci on Aβ burden. While both the APOE ε4 allele and the minor allele (G) of rs509208 conferred increases in cortical Aβ levels (Figure 4A and 4B), there was no evidence of epistasis modeled as an interaction between these factors (p = 0.871). Instead, these factors appeared to exert independent and additive effects on Aβ burden (Figure 4C), with comparable effect size associated with presence of at least one copy of the minor allele at rs509208 whether APOE ε4 allele status was included as a covariate (Cohen’s d = 0.50) or not (Cohen’s d = 0.52). Exploratory analyses did not reveal significant interactions (p > 0.05) of the APOE or BCHE risk loci with age, diagnosis, education, or gender.

Finally, we performed hierarchical linear regression to assess the variance in Aβ levels uniquely explained by these genetic factors (ΔR²). Age, gender, and diagnosis were entered as the first block in the model, and collectively accounted for 2.7% of the variance in cortical Aβ levels in this sample. APOE ε4 allele status (+/−) and BCHE rs509208 allele status (+/−) were included in the second block for stepwise entry into the model. APOE ε4 was found to explain an additional 10.7% of the variance, while BCHE rs509208 accounted for 4.3% of variance over and above that explained by the previously entered variables.

Discussion

Using florbetapir PET and GWAS, this study confirmed the association of APOE and identified a novel and independent association of BCHE to cerebral Aβ deposition. Together, the risk variants at these loci explained 15% of the variation in cortical Aβ levels, a substantial effect for two genes in a study of complex disease of this size. Additional loci, including both new genes and others previously studied in relation to AD, also displayed suggestive association to Aβ burden and provide further targets for follow-up.

Florbetapir PET allows for noninvasive detection of brain Aβ plaques, a hallmark pathologic feature of AD. It also serves as a quantitative endophenotype that can provide increased statistical power for discovery using GWAS compared to case-control designs. Although the heritability of Aβ deposition, a dynamic process captured by PET at one time point, is unknown and not a direct proxy for AD heritability, implicated markers using this approach may be more closely related to underlying processes impacting disease risk and progression.
For late-onset AD, the largest known genetic risk factor is the APOE ε4 allele. Extensive prior studies have suggested a number of mechanistic roles for APOE ε4 on Aβ burden, including hindering clearance of soluble Aβ from the brain, favoring Aβ aggregation into fibrils, and promoting neurodegeneration by directing toxic Aβ oligomers to synapses. Among the genes neighboring APOE, we found no significant associations with Aβ burden after including APOE ε4 status as a covariate. While this suggests that these genes did not exhibit independent effects on Aβ deposition, the extensive linkage disequilibrium (LD) structure around APOE makes this difficult to definitively determine.

BCHE has previously been proposed as an AD risk gene. Butyrylcholinesterase is known to be enriched within Aβ plaques in post-mortem human AD brains, and its increased presence has been suggested as a critical factor in the formation of the neuritic plaques of dementia. The most commonly studied BCHE SNP is the K-variant (rs1803274), which is approximately 500 kb downstream of and not in LD with rs509208. The BCHE K-variant has demonstrated synergistic effects with APOE ε4 on incidence of pathologically-confirmed late-onset AD and on risk of progression from MCI to AD. Nevertheless, the present study is the first to implicate genetic variation at the BCHE locus in brain Aβ burden in humans and represents the largest reported effect for this gene on an AD-related phenotype.

There are several mechanisms which might explain the effect of BCHE on Aβ plaque burden. Genetic variation at BCHE has been associated with increased cortical butyrylcholinesterase activity in autopsy tissue from elderly individuals with dementia. Increased enzyme activity, leading to decreased acetylcholine levels, may disrupt synaptic functioning, glial cell activation, and myelin maintenance to favor Aβ plaque formation and neurodegeneration. Indeed, cholinesterase inhibitors are presently first-line symptomatic therapies for AD, and drugs such as rivastigmine which inhibit butyrylcholinesterase are suggested to have potential disease-modifying effects in certain individuals compared to exclusive acetylcholinesterase inhibitors. Alternatively, through non-enzymatic functions butyrylcholinesterase may promote Aβ fibrillogenesis from soluble precursors or may interact with Aβ and ApoE to alter the cerebrospinal fluid environment and to render developing plaques more resistant to clearance. Interestingly, butyrylcholinesterase activity has been associated with insulin resistance, and rs509208 in particular has been associated in a separate GWAS with diabetes-related traits, suggesting a broader role of the BCHE locus in disorders characterized by amyloidogenic protein accumulation.

Although rs509208 is approximately 450 kb upstream (5’) of BCHE and not within conventional gene boundaries, the next closest genes (ZBBX, SERPINI2) are nearly 1 Mb in the opposite direction (Supplementary Figure 3). Of note, SNPs as far as 800 kb upstream of BCHE have previously demonstrated genome-wide significant association with serum butyrylcholinesterase activity in a population sample of nearly 9000 individuals from several Australian twin and family studies. These SNPs included a peak signal 250 kb from the gene (rs2034445) and other non-independent signals from SNPs in high linkage disequilibrium with rs509208 (e.g., rs6443374 and rs13314077), suggesting that variants upstream of the gene may exert regulatory effects on BCHE expression with consequences to the activity of its encoded enzyme. Converging evidence in genomics indicates that this
kind of regulation is quite common and may involve mechanisms influencing chromatin structure, transcription factor binding, and splicing component recognition sequences, among others. Molecular characterization in brain tissue and cell cultures will be important to determine the complex functional architecture of the \textit{BCHE} locus and the genes and other DNA elements surrounding it.

The current study has several limitations. Although this represents the largest genetic study of A\textsubscript{\beta} PET, the sample size has limited power for a GWAS. With a larger sample, the suggestive loci we highlighted might have achieved genome-wide significance. Among these included \textit{ITGA6} which encodes a component of a receptor complex proposed to mediate the pro-inflammatory interaction of microglia with A\text sub{\beta} fibrils, \textit{PIK3R1} which may contribute to disruptions in insulin signaling in the AD brain, and other genes with potential relationships to AD pathogenesis. Similarly, given the novelty of the present A\textsub{\beta} PET GWAS dataset, a comparable replication sample is not yet available.

In addition, the ADNI cohort represents a sample typical of a clinical trial for MCI/AD and the analyses here were restricted to non-Hispanic Caucasians. The extent to which the present findings can be generalized to other populations and to the community setting of MCI/AD individuals remains to be determined. Future multi-center and international collaborations are expected to yield larger samples with greater power for analyses of potential interactions of genetic risk factors with each other and with clinical variables such as gender, ethnicity, family history, age of onset, and rate of disease progression, which could not be appropriately addressed with presently available data. Florbetapir also does not bind soluble forms of A\textsub{\beta} which may exhibit dynamic relationships with deposited, fibrillar A\textsub{\beta} to drive neurodegeneration in AD.\textsuperscript{1} Finally, while this study employed imputed (probabilistically-predicted) genotype data to provide deep coverage of the genome, higher-density genotyping arrays and sequencing will eventually provide direct assays for a similar number of variants.

Despite these limitations, the present findings point to several intriguing extensions for follow-up. First, GWAS of longitudinal change in florbetapir PET A\textsub{\beta} burden is likely to elucidate additional genes modulating the rate of progression of AD neuropathology. Complementary analytical strategies, including pathway- and epistasis-based approaches, may also reveal functional influences on A\textsub{\beta} deposition that are not easily observed through standard GWAS methodologies. In addition, whole genome sequencing will dramatically enhance the granularity of coverage for GWAS-implicated loci and could be particularly valuable for discovering additional novel loci, rare variants, copy number variants, and DNA regulatory elements. Finally, the approval for widespread use of both florbetapir PET imaging and butyrylcholinesterase inhibitors creates a unique opportunity to prospectively assess the effects of these drugs on A\textsub{\beta} deposition over time, particularly among individuals at early stages in the AD spectrum where clinical efficacy would likely be most valuable.

This study highlights the power of pairing targeted molecular imaging with genome-wide analytical strategies to elucidate mechanisms underlying AD pathophysiology. The association of the \textit{BCHE} locus to A\textsub{\beta} deposition merits further investigation and may have...
significant implications for risk stratification, biomarker interpretation, and therapeutic development.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1. Quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plot of observed $-\log_{10} p$-values from the GWAS of cortical Aβ load versus those expected under the null hypothesis. The Q-Q plot exhibits no evidence of genomic inflation (PLINK-calculated $\lambda = 1.00$) or population stratification in the GWAS. An additive genetic model was used and age, gender, and diagnosis were applied as covariates. Analyses were restricted to subjects with non-Hispanic Caucasian (CEU or TSI) ancestry as determined by genetic clustering. Observed $-\log_{10} p$-values > 8 are represented along the top of the plot as red triangles, while all other values are represented as red dots.
Figure 2. Manhattan plot of observed $-\log_{10} p$-values from the GWAS of cortical Aβ load

More than six million SNPs were tested for association to global cortical Aβ burden under an additive genetic model and applying age, gender, and diagnosis as covariates. Genome-wide significant associations (exceeding the threshold represented by the red line) were identified on chromosome 19 within APOE and its neighboring genes and on chromosome 3 at the BCHE locus. Suggestive associations (exceeding the threshold represented by the blue line) were identified on five additional chromosomes. Annotations are provided for genome-wide significant associations and for the top three suggestive associations.
Figure 3. Regional association plots for the loci exhibiting genome-wide significant association to cortical Aβ burden
Magnified association plots are displayed for the regions around A) rs429358 within APOE and B) rs509208 at the BCHE locus. SNPs are plotted based on their GWAS $-\log_{10} p$-values (left vertical axis) and their genomic position (NCBI build 36). Genes in these regions are labeled with arrows denoting their 5′-to-3′ orientation, and the red color scale of $r^2$ values is used to label SNPs based on their degree of linkage disequilibrium with the annotated peak SNP. Recombination rates calculated from 1000 Genomes Project reference data are also displayed in a blue line corresponding to the right vertical axis.
Figure 4. APOE ε4 and rs509208 (BCHE) appear to exhibit independent, additive effects on cortical Aβ levels

Mean cortical Aβ levels (adjusted for age, gender, and diagnosis) ± standard errors are displayed based on A) the number of APOE ε4 allele copies and B) rs509208 genotype. Presence of at least one copy of the ε4 allele was significantly associated with increased Aβ burden (Cohen’s d = 0.71), as was presence of at least one copy of the minor allele (G) of rs509208 (Cohen’s d = 0.52). These loci appeared to exert additive effects on Aβ levels (panel C): subjects having both risk factors exhibited significantly greater Aβ burden than subjects having either factor in isolation, and no significant epistasis modeled as an interaction was identified.
Table 1
Selected demographic and clinical characteristics of ADNI participants at the time of PET scan

|                           | HC (n=179) | EMCI (n=190) | LMCI (n=115) | AD (n=71)  |
|---------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Age (years)               | 76.68 (6.25)| 71.04 (7.41) | 75.61 (8.14) | 75.87 (8.15)|
| Women                     | 87 (49%)   | 83 (44%)     | 41 (36%)     | 27 (38%)   |
| Education (years)         | 16.27 (2.72)| 15.89 (2.65) | 16.11 (2.90) | 16.04 (2.87)|
| APOE ε4 allele present    | 41 (23%)   | 77 (41%)     | 49 (43%)     | 45 (64%)   |
| CDR-SOB                   | 0.07 (0.29)| 1.22 (0.73)  | 1.73 (1.18)  | 5.63 (2.70)|
| Mini Mental Status Examination | 29.07 (1.25)| 28.39 (1.52) | 27.74 (1.84) | 21.68 (4.24)|
| Logical memory immediate recall (WMS-R) | 14.94 (3.36)| 10.93 (2.81) | 8.74 (4.35)  | 4.20 (3.10)|
| Logical memory delayed recall (WMS-R) | 14.08 (3.64)| 8.87 (1.73)  | 6.13 (4.38)  | 1.67 (2.50)|

Data are number (%) or mean (SD).

CDR-SOB = Clinical Dementia Rating-Sum of Boxes; WMS-R = Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised.