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ABSTRACT
Emerging viruses are a major threat to human health. Recent outbreaks have emphasized the urgent need for new antiviral treatments. For several pathogenic viruses, considerable efforts have focused on vaccine development. However, during epidemics infected individuals need to be treated urgently. High-throughput screening of clinically tested compounds provides a rapid means to identify undiscovered, antiviral functions for well-characterized therapeutics. Repurposed drugs can bypass part of the early cost and time needed for validation and authorization. In this review we describe recent efforts to find broad spectrum antivirals through drug repurposing. We have chosen several candidates and propose strategies to understand their mechanism of action and to determine how resistance to antivirals develops in infected cells.

1. Introduction
Viruses are a constant threat to humans, animals and plants. There are hundreds of viruses that can cause disease in humans but there is no treatment for most of them. Emerging or reemerging viruses are a public health concern (Howard and Fletcher, 2012). Some of these pathogens are the Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV), dengue virus (DENV), West Nile virus (WNV), yellow fever virus (YFV), Ebola virus (EBOV), SARS- and MERS-CoV, zika virus (ZIKV), Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV), severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus (SFTSV), chikungunya virus (CHIKV) and influenza A virus (IAV). Recent outbreaks of these viruses are summarized in Table 1. International travel and migrations, globalization of commerce, technology and industry, agriculture development or climate changes are favoring the emergence and reemergence of viruses that could easily spread and potentially become a pandemic (Jones et al., 2008). A clear example of the potential of an emerging virus to become a pandemic is the emergence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in the 1980s (http://aidsinfo.unaids.org/) (Sharp and Hahn, 2011). There is no cure for HIV, although antiretroviral treatment can control the virus (Barre-Sinoussi et al., 2013). In the case of influenza virus there are both vaccines and antiviral drugs. However, these viruses constantly change and antiviral resistance emerges (To et al., 2015). In 2013, human infections with a new avian influenza virus in China caused considerable concern due to the pandemic potential of this virus (Su et al., 2015). The experts consider that the virus could gain the ability to spread among people, triggering a global epidemic (Su et al., 2015; Tanner et al., 2015).

Due to all these reasons, we urgently need new, efficient, broad-spectrum antivirals (Howard and Fletcher, 2012; Schor and Einav, 2017). In fact, most approved antiviral treatments target a viral protein, has been very successful for certain viruses like HIV or hepatitis C virus (HCV) (Barre-Sinoussi et al., 2013; Li and De Clercq, 2017). In fact, most approved antiviral treatments target a viral protein, and thus are usually quite virus specific. However, direct acting antivirals (DAA) have some drawbacks.

The development of new therapeutic compounds is time and resources consuming (DiMasi et al., 2016). The whole process from the discovery of a new drug that can potentially treat a specific disease or condition to its approval to be used in humans can take several years (on average 12 to 16 years) (Nosengo, 2016). The first stage, drug discovery, can take between 3 to 6 years. Then preclinical studies, usually in animal models, are carried out. These studies that are aimed to test the efficiency and safety of a molecule in a suitable model before it is tested in humans can go on for about 3 years. If a molecule has shown promising results up to here, then clinical trials in humans are started. These trials, from phase I to phase III, usually last about 5 years. Finally, if a molecule successfully passes all the process it needs to get the approval from the appropriate agency. At the end it is estimated that only about 5% of the candidate molecules successfully pass the whole process. Adding to this the cost of drug development, about 1–2 billion dollars or more in some cases (DiMasi et al., 2016), the one virus
Table 1

Recent outbreaks of pathogenic human viruses.

| Virus                                      | Year                     | Number of cases | Country/Territory | Total number of territories |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Zika virus                                 | (2013-2018)              | > 20,000        | Americas, Africa, Asia | 85                         |
| Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV)            | (2014-2018)              | > 28,900        | West Africa (Liberia, Guinea, Sierra Leone, Nigeria, and Mali) | 6 |
| Ebola virus                                | (2014)                   | > 8,000         | West Africa (Liberia, Guinea, Sierra Leone) | 6 |
| Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS) | (2012)                  | > 3000          | Middle East, North Africa, Europe, South Africa, Asia | 30 |
| Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) | (2002-2003)             | > 10,000        | North America, South America, South Africa, Europe, and Asia | 30 |
| Influenza A virus                          | (2005-2009)              | > 15,000        | Worldwide           | 30                         |
| Dengue virus                               | Annual epidemics         | > 100,000       | South America, Asia, the Americas, the Eastern Mediterranean, South-East Asia, and the Pacific | 112 |
| Yellow fever virus                         | Annual epidemic          | > 20,000        | Africa, Asia, Eastern and Southern Europe and Central Asia | 42 |
| Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV) | (2000-2015)             | > 1500          | North and South America, Europe, Africa, the Middle East, and West Asia | 42 |
| Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus (SFTSV) | (2009-2016)             | > 1000          | Africa, Asia, Eastern and Southern Europe, and Central Asia | 42 |

| Virus                                      | Year                     | Number of cases | Country/Territory | Total number of territories |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Zika virus                                 | (2018)                   | > 20,000        | Americas, Africa, Asia | 85                         |
| Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV)            | (2018)                   | > 28,900        | West Africa (Liberia, Guinea, Sierra Leone, Nigeria, and Mali) | 6 |
| Ebola virus                                | (2018)                   | > 8,000         | West Africa (Liberia, Guinea, Sierra Leone) | 6 |
| Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS) | (2017)                  | > 3000          | Middle East, North Africa, Europe, South Africa, Asia | 30 |
| Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) | (2002-2003)             | > 10,000        | North America, South America, South Africa, Europe, and Asia | 30 |
| Influenza A virus                          | Annual epidemics         | > 15,000        | Worldwide           | 30                         |
| Dengue virus                               | Annual epidemic          | > 100,000       | South America, Asia, the Americas, the Eastern Mediterranean, South-East Asia, and the Pacific | 112 |
| Yellow fever virus                         | Annual epidemic          | > 20,000        | Africa, Asia, Eastern and Southern Europe and Central Asia | 42 |
| Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV) | (2000-2015)             | > 1500          | North and South America, Europe, Africa, the Middle East, and West Asia | 42 |
| Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus (SFTSV) | (2009-2016)             | > 1000          | Africa, Asia, Eastern and Southern Europe, and Central Asia | 42 |

one drug strategy is not the most cost efficient approach. In addition, the use of DAAs can easily lead to the appearance of drug resistant viruses due to the elevated mutation rate of viruses (Yididogan and Anderson, 2014; Menendez-Arias et al., 2014; Perales et al., 2015).

To circumvent these limitations other strategies aim to develop molecules that target host cellular factors that are needed for viral replication. Although viruses can also find ways to use alternative routes to replicate in a host, emergence of resistant viruses is less likely (Lin and Gallay, 2013; Zeisel et al., 2013). In addition these approaches focused in host cellular pathways needed for viral replication can favor the development of broad spectrum antivirals. There are families of viruses that share certain cellular pathways. Thus, drugs that inhibit a specific cellular target could work for several viruses. As a drawback drugs that inhibit a host cell target have higher chances of producing undesirable side effects in the patient compared to drugs that target a viral protein.

Drug repurposing or repositioning is an alternative approach that can lead to less costly and faster approval of new treatments for viral infections (Mercorelli et al., 2018). A clear advantage of drug repositioning over traditional drug development is that since the repositioned drug has already passed a significant number of tests, its safety is known and the risk of failure is reduced. Although repositioned drugs can most likely skip phase I clinical trials, they still need to go through phase II and III trials to test their efficacy for the new condition (Nosengo, 2016). In some instances these drugs might have lost their patents, as is the case of generic medicines. In these cases, when a new formulation or a new medical use exists for an “old” drug, second medical use patents can be obtained for them. On the other hand, increasing implication of practicing physicians and researchers at academic centers in clinical trials can also help in the repurposing of these drugs (Nosengo, 2016). Additionally, there are thousands of drugs that have already passed clinical trials that confirm their safe use in humans. However, for some reasons, they lack or have poor efficacy to treat the condition under scrutiny and have not reached the market. These collections of drugs are another source of potential antivirals. Several drugs in the market have been tested for their potential use as broad spectrum antiviral treatments (Table 2). Many of these compounds have shown promising results in preclinical studies. Below we summarize the main results and antiviral mechanisms of selected candidates for repositioning as broad spectrum antiviral drugs.

2. Digoxin and other cardiac glycosides

Digoxin is a cardiac glycoside or cardiotonic steroid that has been used for treating certain heart conditions including heart failure, atrial fibrillation, atrial flutter, and paroxysmal atrial tachycardia (Gheorghiade et al., 2006). Cardiac glycosides comprise a large family of natural compounds that share a common steroid structure. Digoxin was first isolated from foxglove plant, digitalis lanata, in 1930, although foxglove plant extracts have been used from ancient times to treat heart conditions (Gheorghiade et al., 2006). Digoxin has been shown to block the Na+/K+ ATPase, raising intracellular Na+ levels. The increase in intracellular Na+ leads to an increment in intracellular Ca2+ due to inhibition of Na+/Ca2+ exchanger. Elevated intracellular Ca2+ ultimately leads to an increased contractibility of the cardiac muscle (Smith, 1988). Inhibition of the Na+/K+ ATPase has been shown to have an effect in multiple signaling cascades through modulation of neighboring tyrosine kinases, including src, ultimately affecting gene expression (Nesher et al., 2007). Thus, cardiac glycosides are in the spotlight for drug repurposing.

Some studies have shown the potential use of digoxin and other cardiac glycosides to treat cancer (Prassas and Diamandis, 2008). Cardiac glycosides can induce apoptosis in diverse cancer cells by multiple mechanisms including inhibition of Na+/K+ ATPase, suppression of nuclear factor-kappaB and inhibition of topoisomerase II (Ishida et al., 2016; Vakilas et al., 2011). By performing a chemical screen with an
insect cell-based reporter system, the cardiac glycoside digoxin was identified as a specific inhibitor of the retinoic acid receptor-related orphan nuclear receptor (RORγ) transcriptional activity (Huh et al., 2011), which is required for induction of IL-17 transcription and for the manifestation of Th17-dependent autoimmune disease in mice. This study indicated that digoxin and its derivatives could be used as therapeutic agents that attenuate inflammatory lymphocyte function and autoimmune disease.

Recent studies have shown that digoxin and other cardiac glycosides can have a potential use as antivirals. Wong and colleagues reported the suppression of HIV-1 replication by digoxin (Wong et al., 2013). Digoxin was shown to accomplish its antiretroviral effects by two mechanisms: 1) inducing oversplicing of HIV-1 RNA which reduces the accumulation of viral RNAs encoding for structural proteins needed for new virion assembly, and 2) selectively inhibiting the expression of HIV-1 regulatory protein Rev. These studies showed that digoxin exerted its anti-HIV effect by altering the function of a subset of SR proteins, a conserved family of serine and arginine-rich proteins involved in RNA splicing. A screening of a drug library for compounds inhibiting the cardiac glycoside family (Laird et al., 2014).

Recently, several studies have shown that digoxin and other cardiac glycosides have the potential to be repurposed as antivirals. This has been supported by preclinical data demonstrating the antiviral activity of digoxin against various viral pathogens, including those that cause diseases such as HIV, HCMV, and HSV.

The cardiac glycosides digoxin and ouabain have also been shown to impact disease progression by targeting specific viral pathways. For example, digoxin has been shown to inhibit the replication of HIV-1 by blocking the function of the viral regulatory protein Rev, which is essential for the expression of viral RNAs encoding for structural proteins needed for new virion assembly. Additionally, digoxin has been shown to selectively inhibit the expression of certain viral proteins, such as the HIV-1 regulatory protein Rev, which is involved in RNA splicing and viral assembly.

Table 2: Drug repurposing in antiviral discovery: Promising candidates.

| Drug                      | Approved indication            | Viruses targeted       | IC50        | References                                      |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Digoxin and derivatives   | Heart conditions               | HIV-1                  | 45nM        | (Laird et al., 2014; Wong et al., 2013)          |
|                           |                                | HSV, HCMV              | 0.5µM 0.02µM| (Dodson et al., 2007; Hartley et al., 2006; Kapoor et al., 2012) |
|                           |                                | huAdV                  | NR          | (Grosso et al., 2017)                           |
|                           |                                | HBV                    | > 100 nM    | (Okyama-Dobashi et al., 2015)                    |
|                           |                                | CHERK                  | 48.8 nM     | (Ashbrook et al., 2016)                         |
|                           |                                | MERS-CoV               | NR          | (Burkard et al., 2015)                          |
| Chloroquine               | Malaria                        | CHIKV                  | 50 nM       | (Sourisseau et al., 2007)                       |
|                           |                                | DENV                   | 2.9-3.6 µM  | (Boonyapinyakorn et al., 2014; Farias et al., 2014) |
|                           |                                | SARS- and MERS-CoV     | 4.1 µM 3 µM | (Keyuerts et al., 2004)                         |
| Cyclosporin A             | Immune suppressive therapy     | HIV-1                  | 2.5 µM      | (Franke et al., 1994; Thali et al., 1994; Wainberg et al., 1988) |
|                           |                                | HBV                    | NR          | (Wataishi et al., 2014)                         |
|                           |                                | HPV                    | 2 µM        | (Bierkowska-Haba et al., 2009)                   |
|                           |                                | HCV                    | 0.5 µM      | (Kaul et al., 2009; Nakagawa et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2008) |
|                           |                                | VSV                    | NR          | (Bose et al., 2003)                             |
|                           |                                | VV                     | NR          | (Damaso and Moussatche, 1998)                    |
|                           |                                | Influenza A virus      | 1.5-1.8 µM  | (Hamanamoto et al., 2013; Li et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2009, 2012a; Liu et al., 2012b; Ma et al., 2014; Schiltknecht and Ada, 1965) |
| Sunitinib and other tyrosine kinase inhibitors | Cancer | SARS-CoV | 2.1-3.1 µM | (Bekerman et al., 2017; Neveu et al., 2012, 2015) |
|                           |                                | HCV                    | 0.04-0.2 µM | (Guo et al., 2013)                              |
|                           |                                | HCMV                   | 9.05-10.3 µM| (Cai et al., 2014)                              |
|                           |                                | DENV                   | NR          | (Bekerman et al., 2017)                         |
|                           |                                | EBOV                   | NR          | (Bekerman et al., 2017)                         |
| Silver nanoparticles      | Antibacterial                  | HSV                    | NR          | (Baram-Pinto et al., 2009)                      |
|                           |                                | Influenza virus        | NR          | (Papp et al., 2015; Xiang et al., 2013, 2011)   |
|                           |                                | HBV                    | NR          | (Lu et al., 2008)                               |
|                           |                                | HIV-1                  | 0.44-0.91 mg/ml | (Elicheguerra et al., 2005; Lara et al., 2010a, b; Sun et al., 2005) |
|                           |                                | RVFV                   | NR          | (Borrego et al., 2016)                         |
|                           |                                | DENV                   | NR          | (Murugan et al., 2016; Sujitha et al., 2015)    |
|                           |                                | Tacaribe virus         | NR          | (Speshock et al., 2010)                        |
| Mycophenolic acid         | Antibacterial                  | HIV                    | 0.1-0.5 µM  | (Chapuis et al., 2005; Kaur et al., 2005; Margolis et al., 1999) |
|                           |                                | HCV                    | 10.4 µM     | (Henry et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2012)          |
|                           |                                | ZIKV                   | 0.1-1 µM    | (Barrows et al., 2016)                         |
|                           |                                | DENV, WNV, YFV         | 0.4 µM 0.9 µg/mL | (Diamond et al., 2002; Takhampunya et al., 2006) |
| Minocycline               | Antibacterial                  | HIV                    | 40 µg/mL    | (Lemaire et al., 1990; Szeto et al., 2010; Zink et al., 2005) |
|                           |                                | WNV                    | 8.1-11.2 µg/mL | (Michaelis et al., 2007)                      |
|                           |                                | DENV                   | NR          | (Lai et al., 2018; Leela et al., 2016)          |
|                           |                                | JEV                    | NR          | (Mishra and Banu, 2008)                        |
| Chlorpromazine hydrochloride | Antipsychotic            | CCHFV                 | 10.8-15.7 µM| (Ferraris et al., 2015)                        |
|                           |                                | MERS- and SARS-CoV     | 4.9 µM 8.8 µM| (de Wilde et al., 2014; Dyll et al., 2014)      |
| Diphenoxilate             | Anti-peristaltic               | EBOV, LASV, MARV       | 50 µM NR NR | (Madrid et al., 2013)                          |

Abbreviations: CCHFV Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever virus; CHIKV chikungunya virus; HCMV human cytomegalovirus; DENV dengue virus; EBOV Ebola virus; HAV hepatitis A virus; HBV hepatitis B virus; HCV hepatitis C Virus; HIV human immunodeficiency virus; HPV human papilloma virus; HSV herpes simplex virus; huAdV human adenovirus; JEV japanese encephalitis virus; LASV Lassa virus; MARV Marburg virus; MERS-CoV Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus; RVFV Rift Valley fever virus; SARS-CoV severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus; VSV vesicular stomatitis virus; VV vaccinia virus; YFV yellow fever virus; ZIKV zika virus.
decrease in the expression of immediate-early proteins IE1 and IE2. Similarly, digoxin and digitoxin have been reported to suppress replication of human adenovirus (HAdV), another dsDNA virus, in cell cultures (Grosso et al., 2017). Although the precise mechanism of action is not fully clear, these drugs altered viral mRNA processing, blocking replication before viral DNA synthesis.

Some cardiac glycosides like procollardin A, bufalin, covalloxa and digoxin are able to inhibit hepatitis B virus (HBV) in cell cultures (Okuyama-Dobashi et al., 2015). In this study, however, digoxin did not show an anti-HBV effect. Digoxin also inhibits alaphviruses (single-strand positive-sense RNA viruses) like chikungunya, Ross River virus and Sindbis virus, as well as the unrelated mammalian orthoreovirus (polyssegmented double-strand RNA virus) and vesicular stomatitis virus from the Rhabdoviridae family (negative-sense RNA virus) (Ashbrook et al., 2016). In this study digoxin was shown to impair chikungunya infection at an early post-entry step.

Some coronaviruses like feline infectious peritonitis virus, murine hepatitis virus, and MERS-CoV are inhibited by ouabain and bufalin (Burkard et al., 2015). The antiviral effect of these cardiac glycosides was observed only when the drug was added prior to infection. Infection was not affected when the drugs were added 2 h post-infection, suggesting that for these viruses the drugs were acting during the entry step.

3. Sunitinib and other tyrosine kinase inhibitors

Sunitinib is a small molecule that inhibits multiple tyrosine kinase receptors like vascular endothelial growth factor receptor VEGFR, platelet-derived growth factor receptors PDGFRα and PDGFRβ, fibroblast growth factor receptor 1, and epidermal growth factor receptor (Mendel et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2003). Sunitinib has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of some cancers (Atkins et al., 2006).

Recent studies have shown that sunitinib may also have broad spectrum antiviral activity. Intracellular trafficking of viruses relies on the endocytic and exocytic cellular pathways. These processes usually require signal transduction, suggesting that kinase inhibitors may have antiviral activity by blocking the endocytic or exocytic pathways. Binding of the HCV core protein to adaptor protein 2 (AP-2) μ2 subunit (AP2M1) is essential for HCV assembly. Phosphorylation of AP2 by adaptor associated kinase (AKK1) and cycling G-associated kinase (GAK) regulate this interaction (Neveu et al., 2012, 2015). It has also been shown that AP1 and AP2 co-traffic with HCV viral particles within the cell (Bekerman et al., 2017). These studies showed that sunitinib prevents HCV entry and assembly, apparently through an inhibitory effect on AAK1 and GAK, with no effect on HCV RNA replication. Erlotinib, another anticancer drug, also inhibits HCV entry and assembly. Similarly, sunitinib and/or erlotinib can restrict infection by DENV and EBOV in vitro and in a murine animal model and have potent in vitro antiviral activity against ZIKV, WNV, CHIKV, Junin virus (JUNV) and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) (Bekerman et al., 2017; Pu et al., 2018). Sunitinib has also been shown to inhibit HCMV infection in cell culture (Cai et al., 2014) and HIV-1 infection of resting CD4 T cells (Guo et al., 2013).

Although these studies showed the potential antiviral activity of sunitinib, this drug can also inhibit protein kinase R (PKR) and 2′-5′oligo adenylate synthetase (OAS)/RNase L system that act as antiviral effectors in response to type I interferons (Jha et al., 2013). The capacity of sunitinib to block these two innate immunity pathways could hamper its potential use as antiviral. The development of more selective GAK inhibitors could bypass this hurdle. In this sense, a screening of a library based on a bicyclic, heteroaromatic flat scaffold designed to discover novel ligands of GAK, led to the identification of a hit compound based on a isothiazolo[4,3-b]pyridine scaffold (Kovackova et al., 2015). Some isothiazolo[4,3-b]pyridine derivatives with low nanomolar affinity for GAK exhibited inhibitory activity against HCV in cell culture, acting at the level of viral entry and assembly (Kovackova et al., 2015).

4. Chloroquine and its analogs

Chloroquine (CQ) is a weak base 4-aminquinolone derivative that can easily enter the cells and accumulate in acidic compartments like endosomes, lysosomes or trans-Golgi network vesicles raising their pH (Thome et al., 2013). In the clinic CQ has been used for the treatment of non-resistant malaria (Slater, 1993; White, 1996). Additionally CQ has been proven to have anti-inflammatory properties, and has been approved for the clinical management of some autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and lupus erythematosus (Rainsford et al., 2015).

Many viruses use acidic organelles at some point of their replication cycle. This has prompted the study of CQ and its hydroxyl analogue hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) as potential antiviral drugs. CQ and HCQ have been tested and proved to have in vitro, and in some instances in vivo, antiviral activity against several viruses, as described below.

Two main mechanisms of action have been described for the antiviral activity of CQ (Al-Bari, 2017). On the one hand, many viruses use acidic endocytotic vesicles, like endosomes or lysosomes, to enter the host cell. The acidification of these vesicles triggers conformational changes in the envelope proteins of the virus that induce the fusion of the viral and cellular membranes and the delivery of the virion content into the cell. CQ raises the pH of these vesicles impeding the conformational changes needed for viral entry or uncoating and blocking the infection. CQ has also been shown to impair maturation of some viral proteins in the Golgi network by blocking the action of pH-dependent enzymes, like proteases or glycosyltransferases, which are needed for the maturation process. The antiretroviral effect of CQ in cell cultures has been shown to occur through the inhibition of the glycosylation of HIV viral particles (Savario et al., 2004), while the antiviral activity of CQ against SARS-CoV seems to be related to the poor glycosylation of the SARS-CoV receptor ACE2 (Vincent et al., 2005). CQ has been reported to inhibit DENV replication by blocking the cleavage of the prM protein that occurs in acidic compartments (Boonyasuppakorn et al., 2014; Randolph et al., 1990).

CQ and some of its derivatives have been reported to have strong antiviral activity against SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV in different cell lines with IC50 ranging from 3.0 to 8.8 μM (de Wilde et al., 2014; Dyall et al., 2014; Keyaerts et al., 2004; Vincent et al., 2005). However, in a SARS-CoV mouse model CQ treatment did not reduce virus titer in the lungs (Barnard et al., 2006).

Some studies have reported inhibitory effect of CQ against influenza A viruses (IAV) H3N2 and H1N1 in vitro at concentrations similar to doses used for malaria treatment (Ooi et al., 2006). However, the susceptibility of IAV to CQ seems to depend on the pH requirements of the viruses and the electrostatic potential of hemagglutinin 2 (Di Trani et al., 2007). In a mouse model of infection with IAV, CQ treatment dramatically increased the survival of infected mice when administered therapeutically but not when administered as a prophylactic treatment (Yan et al., 2013). Furthermore, a randomized, double-blinded placebo controlled clinical trial did not protect against influenza infection (Paton et al., 2011).

Dengue virus and WNV replication has also been reported to be blocked by CQ in cell culture (Boonyasuppakorn et al., 2014; Farias et al., 2014). A recent study has demonstrated the efficacy of CQ in the prophylactic and therapeutic treatment of Aotus monkeys inoculated with DENV (Farias et al., 2015). However, a double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial in Vietnam failed to show a beneficial impact of CQ treatment in the outcome of the infection except for a modest reduction in the percentage of patients that developed dengue hemorrhagic fever in the CQ-treated arm (Tricou et al., 2010). Chloroquine also exhibits antiviral activity against ZIKV, another member of the Flaviviridae family, in different cell lines with an IC50 around 9.8–14.2 μM (Delvecchio et al., 2016). Additionally, some studies have
demonstrated that chloroquine can protect mice against ZIKV infection (Li et al., 2017; Shiryaev et al., 2017).

CQ has also been reported to inhibit CHIKV in cell cultures in a dose dependent manner when added at an early point during infection (Sourisseau et al., 2007). Although in this study the therapeutic index of the drug in cell cultures was low, the results pointed at the potential use of CQ for the treatment of CHIKV infection. However, a clinical trial in the French Reunion Island during an outbreak of CHIKV to test the efficacy and safety of CQ treatment did not show evidence of a significant antiviral effect in CQ treated patients compared to placebo treated patients (De Lamballerie et al., 2008). This lack of antiviral effect could be due to the high viral load in the serum of patients during the acute phase of the infection. The rather narrow therapeutic index of CQ leaves little room for a dose adjustment that could allow the use of CQ as a therapeutic treatment in acute chikungunya infection. Nonetheless, in cell cultures, where the viral titer is lower, CQ strongly inhibits CHIKV infection at concentrations achieved in plasma of individuals on CQ treatment for malaria, which suggest that CQ could be used as a prophylactic treatment during chikungunya outbreaks to prevent transmission (Khan et al., 2010). However, a recent study in non-human primates showed that chloroquine treatment exacerbated the disease and/or suppressed the antiviral immunity in the chloroquine treated macaques compared to the placebo group (Roques et al., 2018).

CQ and HCQ were both shown to inhibit HIV replication in monocytic and T cell lines as well as in monocytes and primary T cells at a post-transcriptional step (Sperber et al., 1993; Tsai et al., 1990). CQ can also inhibit other viruses like CCHFV (Ferraris et al., 2015), hepatitis A virus (HAV) (Bishop, 1998) and EBOV (Madrid et al., 2013) in cell lines. Additionally, CQ has been shown to protect mice against a challenge of a deadly dose of EBOV (Madrid et al., 2013).

In cell culture CQ has shown potent antiviral activity against a broad range of viruses and in some cases efficacy in animal model of infection, as discussed above. However, clinical trials aimed to test the efficacy of CQ as antiviral has shown very limited antiviral effect. The rather narrow therapeutic index of chloroquine might be behind the limited antiviral effect of chloroquine in clinical trials. The development of chloroquine derivatives with lower toxicity could improve their effectiveness for the treatment of viral infections. The immunomodulatory activity of CQ can also contribute to the control of viral diseases reducing the excessive release of cytokines and other pro-inflammatory mediators.

5. Cyclosporin A and its derivatives

Cyclophilin A (CypA) is a peptidylprolyl isomerase that is expressed in the cytosol (Schmid, 1995). Cyclosporin A (CsA) is a cyclic undecapeptide with immune suppressive activity that mainly targets cellular cyclophilins (Cyps) (Handschumacher et al., 1984; Schreiber, 1991). The Cyp-CsA complex inhibits the phosphatase activity of calcineurin needed for the nuclear translocation of the nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT), which eventually leads to the block of transcription of cytokines and inhibition of T cell activation (Matsuda and Koyasu, 2000). CsA is one of the drugs approved by the FDA for immunosuppressive therapy to avoid rejection in organ transplants (Hartono et al., 2013).

CsA has been reported to have therapeutic activity against a wide range of viruses including human papilloma virus (HPV) (Bienkowska-Haba et al., 2009), vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) (Boe et al., 2003), vaccinia virus (VV) (Damaso and Moussatche, 1998), HIV-1 (Franke et al., 1994; Thali et al., 1994; Wainberg et al., 1988), and HCV (Kaul et al., 2009; Nakagawa et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2008). Although the immunosuppressive activity of CsA does not make it a good candidate for antiviral treatment, some CsA derivatives, like alisporivir, NIM811 and SCY-635, with reduced immunosuppressive properties that retain the ability to bind cyclophilin have been developed and proved to conserve the antiviral activity (Filisiak et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2016; Paeshyuse et al., 2006; Watashi et al., 2014). The replication of certain viruses like HIV, HCV, HPV, VSV, VV or influenza virus relies on their interaction with cyclophilins at certain steps of their replication cycle. Thus, the antiviral activity of cyclosporine A (CsA) and some of its non-immunosuppressive analogs against these viruses has been shown to be related to its ability to bind cellular cyclophilins and inhibiting the interaction with the viral proteins (Bienkowska-Haba et al., 2009; Boe et al., 2003; Damaso and Moussatche, 1998; Franke et al., 1994; Kaul et al., 2009; Nakagawa et al., 2004; Thali et al., 1994; Wainberg et al., 1988; Yang et al., 2008).

CsA also blocks the replication of diverse coronaviruses (de Wilde et al., 2018, 2011; Pfefferle et al., 2011). The nucleocapsid protein of SARS-CoV specifically binds CypA (Luo et al., 2004), and CypA has been found to be incorporated into the SARS-CoV particle (Neuman et al., 2008). However, siRNA knock-down of cellular CypA and CypB, the main targets of CsA, did not have an effect in the infectivity of this virus, suggesting that either these cyclophilins are not required for viral replication or that the remaining levels of cellular cyclophilins are enough to support normal replication (de Wilde et al., 2011).

Additionally CsA and some CsA analogs have been shown to inhibit HBV entry into cells (Watashi et al., 2014). However, this inhibitory activity was independent on the binding to CypA or calcineurin, and correlated to the ability of CsA to inhibit the transporter activity of sodium taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (NTCP), a membrane protein that has been proposed to be the HBV receptor.

CypA has been reported to interact with influenza A virus matrix protein M1 suppressing viral replication in cell cultures and animal models (Li et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2009). The role of CypA in the viral cycle is unclear. Although it seems to act at several steps of the viral life cycle, the isomerase activity of CypA does not seem to be implicated (Liu et al., 2009). Overexpression of CypA inhibits M1 translocation into the nucleus (Liu et al., 2009) while depletion of CypA accelerated the replication of the virus (Liu et al., 2012b). Additionally CypA has been shown to increase degradation of M1 though the ubiquitin proteasome system (Liu et al., 2012b). The effect of CsA on influenza A virus infection has also been investigated. CsA-treated mice were administered a dose of influenza A virus that would be lethal for untreated mice, but they survived (Schilchner and Ada, 1985). CsA has also been reported to inhibit the propagation of several strains of influenza A virus in cell cultures blocking a late step of the replication cycle by mechanisms that might implicate CypA-dependent and –independent pathways (Hamamoto et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2012a; Ma et al., 2016).

Globally these results point at non-immunosuppressive CsA analogs as promising broad-spectrum antivirals. The most advanced CsA analogue is alisporivir or Debio 025 that has been evaluated in phase II and phase III clinical trials with HCV infected patients (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01215643 and NCT02753699) with promising results on their safety and efficacy. Further studies to evaluate the efficacy of CsA analogs with reduced immunosuppressive activity as antivirals in clinical trials are assured.

6. Silver nanoparticles

The antibacterial effect of silver metal has been known from ancient times (Barillo and Marx, 2014). Recent advances in nanotechnology have allowed the introduction of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) for biomedical applications (Burdusel et al., 2018). AgNPs can be prepared by several techniques, including physical, chemical and biological methods. Depending on the size and the technique used to prepare the nanoparticles their properties and toxicity can vary (Thorley and Tetley, 2013; Ullah Khan et al., 2018). AgNPs have been proven to have broad antimicrobial activity against Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria (Kim et al., 2007; Morones et al., 2005). In addition, some studies have shown that AgNPs also have antiviral activity against a broad range of viruses including...
herpes simplex virus (Baram-Pinto et al., 2009), influenza virus (Papp et al., 2010; Xiang et al., 2013, 2011), hepatitis B virus (Lu et al., 2008), HIV-1 (Elechiguerra et al., 2005; Lara et al., 2010a, b; Sun et al., 2005), Rift Valley fever virus (Borrego et al., 2016), dengue virus (Murugan et al., 2016; Sujitha et al., 2015) and Tacaribe virus (Speshock et al., 2010).

AgNPs are thought to interfere with virus-cell attachment and entry into the target cell or by directly inducing structural changes in the virion turning it non-infective (Elechiguerra et al., 2005; Xiang et al., 2013). Additionally, AgNPs can enter the cell and exert their antiviral activity by interfering with cellular proteins needed for viral replication or by direct interaction with viral proteins. Silver ions (Ag⁺) can react with thiols and phosphate groups present on proteins and nucleic acids inhibiting different steps of the replication cycle (Lara et al., 2010a). AgNPs formulated as topical vaginal gels could be used to prevent the transmission of sexually transmitted infections like HIV and HSV. Studies in an in vitro system using human cervical tissue cultures that simulate in vivo conditions have shown encouraging results inhibiting HIV transmission (Lara et al., 2010b).

Although the use of AgNPs for biomedical applications seems promising, further studies are needed to better understand their potential...

Fig. 1. Experimental strategy to study the mechanism of action of antiviral drugs in single cells and to investigate the origin of antiviral drug resistance.
toxicity and long term effects on human health and in the environment. The toxicity of AgNPs is highly related to the release of Ag⁺, which directly depends on the size of the nanoparticles. The smaller the size the higher the amount of Ag⁺ released. Ag⁺ has been reported to alter mitochondrial function (Chappell and Greville, 1954; Kone et al., 1988). Moreover, exposure of cells to AgNPs induce the production of high levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and JNK and p38 activation leading to mitochondria-dependent cell apoptosis (Hsin et al., 2008). Therefore, further studies are needed to better understand the mode of action of AgNPs, their cell specificity and toxicological issues in order to generate new and more effective compounds as well as the use in combination with other drugs in the treatment of different viral diseases.

7. Imaging for studying antivirals

High Content Imaging (HCl) is a popular and basic tool of early drug discovery in multiple disease research areas (Carpenter, 2007). HCI was developed with the contribution of major advances in robotics, imaging and automated image processing (Moffat et al., 2017). HCI is already a fundamental technology for antiviral screening and validation (Bernatchez et al., 2018; Hoenen, 2017; Lowen et al., 2018; Mudhasani et al., 2014; Panchal et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2014).

Moreover, recent developments in light and electron microscopy are having a significant impact in virology. In particular, live-cell microscopy, super-resolution microscopy, correlative light and electron microscopy (CLEM) and 3D imaging are now fundamental tools for studying virus-cell interactions (Bykov et al., 2016; Fernandez de Castro et al., 2017; Francis and Melikyan, 2018; Risco et al., 2014; Witte et al., 2018). Microscopy is also a powerful tool for studying antivirals. With CLEM, scientists can study events at the level of single cells in culture (Fernandez de Castro et al., 2014; Hellstrom et al., 2015; Tenorio et al., 2018) and infected animals (Lowen et al., 2018). CLEM is starting to show its potential for testing antivirals because changes in viral structures assembled in cells where the treatment was effective and in those where the antiviral drug failed can be now studied in detail (Berger et al., 2014; Lowen et al., 2018; Martinez et al., 2014).

Cell sorting and CLEM in combination with proteomics, transcriptomics and lipidsomics, will be exceptionally informative in identifying new targets for antiviral drugs, to characterize the mechanism of action of old and new antiviral compounds and to understand how resistance to antivirals is developed in cells (Fig. 1). The strategy for these studies can be as follows: Duplicated cell cultures infected with a fluorescent virus and treated in the presence or absence of an antiviral drug are processed either by CLEM or cell sorting. Fluorescence microscopy will reveal interesting features in single cells that are then processed for ultrastructural analysis. Electron microscopy will show how viral structures are affected by the antiviral drug. In parallel, key cell factors involved in cell resistance to infection or in viral escape to the antiviral drug will be identified by comparing fluorescent and non-fluorescent cells separated by cell sorting and studied by proteomics, transcriptomics and lipidsomics. For these studies, cells that differentiate into tissues and 3D organoids (Liu et al., 2013; Takebe et al., 2015; Walters et al., 2013) will provide more physiologically relevant cellular systems to study virus infection and to test antivirals.

8. Conclusions and perspectives

Emerging viruses are a major threat to human health and the rate at which new pathogenic viruses are emerging has accelerated in the past fifty years. The frequent outbreaks have highlighted the urgent need for new antiviral treatments. Generally, antiviral drug development has focused on targeting viral components to block virus entry, replication, morphogenesis and propagation, or on the modulation of the host immune response (Zhu et al., 2015). Drug repurposing is an important alternative. In this review we have chosen several repurposed drugs with proven antiviral activity. With different mechanisms of action, digoxin, sunstitib, chloroquine, cyclosporine A and silver nanoparticles are promising candidates for broad spectrum antivirals that could be used in a combined antiviral therapy. Drug repurposing is now facilitated by a number of resources (Pollastri and Campbell, 2011), such as pathogen target bioinformatics resources, public data repositories of screening data, structural biology resources and compound collections, such as the library of small molecules from the National Institutes of Health (http://www.ncbiclinicalcollection.com) (Ashbrook et al., 2016; Cao et al., 2015) or the Canadian DrugBank (https://www.drugbank.ca/), a large drug database commonly used by computational drug repositioning methods. DrugBank contains FDA approved drugs and those in clinical trials and is frequently updated with new information. With new and powerful screening assays and prediction tools, in silico structure-based screening of these large chemical libraries is identifying potential inhibitors of viral infections including repurposed compounds that target a variety of viral proteins and host factors (Abu Bakar and Ng, 2018; Barrows et al., 2016; Dowall et al., 2016; Martinez et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2017). Another strategy that will surely reveal more promising candidates is based on comparing data from databases of pathogens (Brown and Patel, 2017; Sharma et al., 2015) with databases of drugs, e.g., drug information from the National Library of Medicine (https://www.nlm.nih.gov/learn-about-drugs.html). Imaging studies combined with functional assays will show the localization and intracellular effects of these new inhibitors (Panchal et al., 2010). Combination therapies with more than one drug against more than one target will be necessary to minimize problems of resistance to repurposed antiviral drugs.
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