High impact of PGPR on biostatistic of *Aphis craccivora* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) on yardlong bean
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Abstract. Triwidodo H, Listihani. 2020. High impact of PGPR on biostatistic of *Aphis craccivora* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) on yardlong bean. *Biodiversitas* 21: 4016-4021. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are root colonizing bacteria that live and develop near plant roots, which boost plant growth and act as antagonist agents. This research is aimed to assess the effect of PGPR on biostatistics of *Aphis craccivora* Koch on yardlong bean (*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. *sesquipedalis*). The research was conducted at WiSH Laboratory in Bogor, Indonesia, by tested PGPR mixture of *Rhizobium, Bacillus polymyxa*, and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. The research discovered that the application with seed treatment and watered of PGPR affects the biology of *Aphis craccivora* in terms of lengthening its stadia of second instar nymph, lengthening its life cycle, and declining its fecundity. The application of PGPR also affects the biostatistics of *Aph* craccivora. PGPR applied plants have a gross reproduction rate (GRR), net reproduction rate (Ro) and intrinsic increase rate (r) of *Aphis craccivora* that are lower than control. PGPR could slower the doubled time (DT) of *Aphis craccivora* to become lengthier than control. PGPR could improve plant growth: it could increase the number and length of roots, number of root nodules, plant height, number of leaves, as well as length and density of trichome.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) is used as an alternative to develop environment-friendly agriculture by decreasing the use of fertilizers and pesticides. Rhizobacteria are rhizosphere-competent bacteria that aggressively inhabiting plant roots; they are able to multiply and colonize on the roots (Muleta 2007). The bacterial genera such as *Agrobacterium, Arthrobacter, Azotobacter, Azospirillum, Bacillus, Burkholderia, Caulobacter, Chromobacterium, Erwinia, Flavobacterium, Micrococcus, Pseudomonas* and *Serratia* belongs to PGPR (Gray and Smith 2005).

PGPR induced resistance against insect pests through synthesis of phytohormones, increase in phosphorus and nitrogen uptake, and increase in iron and mineral solubility through chelation growth (Bowen and Rovira 1996). On tomato plants, PGPR application could induce the plant’s resistance from pests since decreasing the consumption level of *Bemisia tabaci* (Shavit et al. 2013). At the harvesting, found that the average total yield of tomatoes treated with the PGPR significantly higher than that of the control and recorded a yield doubled compared to the control plants (Moustaine et al. 2017).

Induced plant resistance could cause disruption on insect's feeding behavior and general life. The disturbed eating activity could affect pest’s growth, development, fecundity, and mortality. Biostatistics are an basic 1 step in studying the growth of insect population. This research is aimed to understand the application of PGPR on yardlong bean (*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. *sesquipedalis*) and its effect on the biostatistics of *Aphis craccivora* Koch (Hemiptera: Aphididae).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Preparation of plant

A number of 260 yardlong bean seeds cv. Bonaro were separated into two treatments treated: 130 seeds were soaked in PGPR suspension of Rhizomax trademark, meanwhile the other 130 seeds were soaked in aquades as a control application. The PGPR suspension was made by mixing 107 cfu/L of sterilized distilled water aquades. The seeds were submerged in PGPR suspension and aquades (control application) for 15 minutes and then dried on tissue paper for 15 minutes. Seeds were sownin 100 polybags which were filled with planting media: 60 polybags were used for observation on plant height, number of leaves and cohort maintenance of *Aphis craccivora* by sowing 3 seeds per polybag; and 40 polybags were used for observation on root parts and leaf trichome of yardlong bean by sowing 2 seeds per polybag. NPK fertilizer was added with a rate of 0.5 grams per polybag. The remaining PGPR suspension from the submersion process was watered to the PGPR-application planting media about 150 mL per polybag. Meanwhile, the control-application planting media were watered with water. The second watering process of PGPR-application plants with PGPR suspension and the second watering of...
control-application plants with water was done at the same time, which is 2 weeks after planting.

Cohort maintenance of *Aphis craccivora*

Two yardlong bean seeds were sown in each plastic cup filled with planting media. Yardlong bean seeds that are 7 days after planting were covered with insect confinement and on the next day were infested with two adults of *A. craccivora* per plastic cup, thus on the next day, a uniform age 1st instar nymph of *A. craccivora* was obtained. The 1st instar nymph of *A. craccivora* in cohort population was infested on yardlong bean plant buds of both PGPR-application and control-application plants when the plants are 30 days after planting, covered with insect confinement and based with black color carton paper. One bud from each polybag were taken, thus they were one 1st instar nymph of *A. craccivora* per polybag on both the PGPR-application plants and the control-application plants.

Observation on biology of *Aphis craccivora*

Parameters observed were the time needed for each instar phase, life cycle, preoviposition, life span and fecundity of *A. craccivora*. The life cycle for *A. craccivora* was observed from the time 1st instar nymph was infested on the yardlong bean buds until the time it became an adult. Preoviposition of *A. craccivora* was observed from the time needed since it became first adult to the time it delivered its 1st instar nymph for the first time. The life span of *A. craccivora* begins from the first day it became an adult until the adult died. The observation of the fecundity of *A. craccivora* was counted from the number of nymph delivered by each adult during its life span. Data obtained from observation are shown in the biological table of *A. craccivora*.

Life scale and biostatistics of *Aphis craccivora*

The observation of life expectancy (lx) was done by counting the number of individual of *A. craccivora* that remain alive each day. Daily fecundity observation (mx) is the average number of *A. craccivora* nymph that is delivered by each adult each day during age (x). The life expectancy and daily fecundity data could be pictured in a curve shape, thus a life scale is obtained. A cohort life scale is a life scale which follows the cohort development from the 1st instar nymph until the last surviving adult. According to Birch (1948), the biostatistics parameters counted consist of:

- Net reproduction rate (Ro) = \( \sum lx.mx \)
- Gross reproduction rate (GRR) = \( \sum mx \)
- Intrinsic increase rate (r) = \( \frac{\sum lx.mx}{\sum lx.mx} \) e-rx= 1, with origin r = ln (Ro) / T
- Average generation time (T) = ln (Ro) / r, with origin T = \( \frac{\sum lx.mx}{\sum lx.mx} \)
- Doubling time (DT)= ln (2) / r

Net reproduction rate (Ro) is the number of a female individual that would be produced by each female adult in the population. According to Price (1997), intrinsic increase rate (r) is a population increase rate with an unlimited resource. Average generation time (T) is the average time needed from a nymph is laid until the female adult produces half of its descendants. Doubled population (DT) is the time needed for *A. craccivora* to multiply itself. A variety prediction procedure is conducted through the jackknife method.

Physical structure observation of yardlong bean

The variables observed consist of the length and number of roots, number of root nodules, plant height, number of leaves, length, and density of leaf trichome. The observation was conducted each week for one month. The observation of plant height and number of leaves were conducted on plants that would be used in *A. craccivora* cohort maintenance. The observation on the number of roots was done by counting the number of primary and secondary roots of each plant. Trichome observation was done by taking sample of one leaf located the third from the bottom part of each plant, having it measured 1 x 1 cm² and then observed. Temperature was measured and recorded at daily basis.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Indirect effect of PGPR application to aphid caused the length of 2nd instar nymph stadia to become lengthy (Table 1). PGPR application did not have a significant effect to the length of 1st, 3rd and 4th instar stadia of *A. craccivora* if compared to those of the untreated plants.

During the 1st instar, aphid was in the dwelling searching phase and not yet active searching for food because nutrition received from its parent was still sufficient for its life. At the time of 2nd instar, aphid is in the plant fluid suction adaptation phase, allowing PGPR application to have a significant effect. At the time of the 3rd and 4th instar, aphid has already adapted to its environment and food, thus PGPR application does not have a significant effect.

**Table 1. Biology of *Aphis craccivora* on yardlong bean without PGPR application (control) and with PGPR application**

| Stadia       | Control (days) (x̅ ± SE) | PGPR (days) (x̅ ± SE) |
|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 1st Instar   | 1.115 ± 0.064a           | 1.250 ± 0.090a         |
| 2nd Instar   | 1.154 ± 0.072a           | 1.625 ± 0.118b         |
| 3rd Instar   | 1.000 ± 0.000a           | 1.083 ± 0.058a         |
| 4th Instar   | 1.038 ± 0.038a           | 1.083 ± 0.058a         |
| Life cycle   | 4.308 ± 0.092a           | 5.042 ± 0.095b         |
| Preoviposition| 0.500 ± 0.100a           | 0.500 ± 0.104a         |
| Life span    | 15.420 ± 1.130a          | 12.630 ± 1.140a        |
| Control (eggs) | 104.420 ± 9.290a        | 69.460 ± 6.880b        |

Note: Numbers on the same line that are followed by the same letter indicates does not significantly different based on t test, α = 0.05.
PGPR application was able to increase the length and number of roots of yardlong bean (Table 2). This is caused by the second PGPR application done at the time the plant is 2 weeks after planting, making the number of PGPR colonized in the roots increase. The increase in length and number of roots will increase the absorption of nutrient (Fageria and Moreira 2011). According to Sheirdill et al. (2019), PGPR is capable of increasing the length of roots, thus the growth of plant become faster and production increases.

PGPR application on yardlong bean with Rhizobium could increase the number of root nodules compared to the number of root nodules on control-application plants (Table 3). Rhizobium is a root bacterium that fixes nitrogen. The increase of nitrogen, which is marked by the increase in the number of root nodules, does not make the plants vulnerable to pests and diseases because Rhizobium produces phytohormone, siderophore, and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase that could increase plants’ resistance (Tuhuteru et al. 2019). Based on research conducted by Yang et al. (2009), Rhizobium and Pseudomonas fluorescens application on yardlong bean could increase plants’ productivity, which could be seen from the increasing number of root nodules.

Yardlong bean with PGPR application has significant height difference compared to that of the control-application plants, but plants with age 3 weeks after planting do not have significant height difference (Table 4). Besides, the numbers of leaves on PGPR-application plants differ significantly at the time the plants are 4 weeks after planting. PGPR application could increase plant growth because it helps increase the production of phytohormone, such as IAA, gibberellin, and cytokinin (Bhattacharyya and Jha 2012). Application of PGPR strains had significant effects on K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, and Zn contents in soil (Orhan et al. 2006). The increase in the number of leaves is usually followed by an increasing number of attacking pests because the population of pests would increase with the food available. This differs from the research conducted by Pineda et al. (2013) which states that the increase in the number of Arabidopsis thaliana leaves does not increase the population of Myzus persicae because plants do not have resistance to pests.

On PGPR-treated yardlong bean, a significant increase in length and density of leaf trichome were found on plants 2 weeks after planting (Table 5). Since causing A. craccivora difficult to suck nutrition from a plant’s cell, the longer and denser leaf trichome after PGPR application could disturb insects’ eating activity (Hanley et al. 2007). The increase of plant resistance after PGPR application could be seen from the increase of length and density of trichome, harming the biostatistics of A. craccivora. The increase of length and density of trichome shows that PGPR could be used as a biocontrol agent in pressing the growth of plant pests. Based on research conducted by Sulistyo (2011), the increase in the number of trichomes has a significant negative correlation to the population of Bemisia tabaci and leaf defect intensity but has a positive correlation with result increase per hectare.

### Table 3. Effect of PGPR application on the number of root nodules on yardlong bean

| Age (weeks after sowing) | Number of root nodules |
|--------------------------|------------------------|
|                          | Control | PGPR     |
|                          | (x̅ ± SE) | (x̅ ± SE) |
| 2 | 18.22 ± 5.93a | 29.00 ± 7.47b |
| 3 | 36.14 ± 9.01a | 115.75 ± 27.63b |
| 4 | 94.90 ± 27.20a | 258.70 ± 25.80b |

Note: Numbers on the same line that are followed by the same letter indicate does not significantly different based on t-test, α = 0.05.

### Table 2. Effect of PGPR application on the length and number of roots on yardlong bean

| Age (weeks after planting) | Root length (cm) | Number of roots |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------|
|                            | Control x̅± SE    | PGPR x̅± SE    |
|                            | (X ± SE)         | (X ± SE)       |
| 1 | 10.02 ± 3.71a | 13.01 ± 3.31a | 26.44 ± 9.44a | 39.78 ± 11.36b |
| 2 | 14.79 ± 3.93a | 20.16 ± 4.02b | 45.44 ± 12.19a | 81.30 ± 12.50b |
| 3 | 20.36 ± 4.97a | 56.63 ± 13.69b | 48.00 ± 16.10a | 172.10 ± 66.10b |
| 4 | 29.27 ± 6.66a | 69.89 ± 5.52b | 77.14 ± 14.62a | 281.10 ± 61.26b |

Note: Numbers on the same line that are followed by the same letter indicate does not significantly different based on t-test, α = 0.05.

### Table 4. The effect of PGPR application on plant height and number of leaves of yardlong bean

| Age (weeks after sowing) | Plant height | Number of leaves |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
|                          | Control x̅± SE | PGPR x̅± SE |
|                          | (X ± SE)     | (X ± SE)       |
| 1 | 11.678 ± 2.143a | 12.743 ± 1.581b | 0.000 ± 0.000a | 0.014 ± 0.118a |
| 2 | 40.130 ± 4.945a | 41.924 ± 6.127b | 1.963 ± 0.190a | 2.014 ± 0.316a |
| 3 | 79.860 ± 17.260a | 82.690 ± 19.580a | 4.049 ± 0.687a | 4.296 ± 1.113a |
| 4 | 112.310 ± 23.660a | 129.310 ± 24.610b | 6.309 ± 0.996a | 7.408 ± 1.961b |

Note: Numbers on the same line that are followed by the same letter indicate does not significantly different based on t-test, α = 0.05.
**Aphis craccivora** on PGPR-treated plants have a slower life cycle compared to that of the untreated plants. The slow life cycle of *A. craccivora* on PGPR-application plants is proportional to its lag on reproduction time. Lagger reproduction time could decrease the reproduction rate. Reproduction rate is one of the factors that determine *A. craccivora* to increase its colony. According to Li et al. (2005), the lag of reproduction time could increase insects’ mortality.

Preoviposition of *A. craccivora* on PGPR-application plants does not have a significant effect, but the preoviposition on PGPR-application plants is slightly longer compared to preoviposition on control-application plants. The lagged preoviposition of *A. craccivora* is caused by the increase of density and length of trichome on the leaves of PGPR-application yardlong bean. Trichome density negatively affects the ovipositional behavior, feeding, and larval nutrition of insect pests (Hanley et al. 2005). In addition, dense trichome affects the herbivory mechanically and interferes with movement of insects (Agrawal et al. 2009).

Life span of *A. craccivora* on PGPR-application plants is shorter compared to that of control-application plants. Life expectancy of *A. craccivora* is influenced by the amount of nutrition obtained for its life continuity. Plants’ increasing resistance to PGPR application caused *A. craccivora* difficult to obtain nutrition, thus the mortality rate of *A. craccivora* is higher compared to that of control. Antagonist relationship between plant and aphid with PGPR application could increase aphid selection (Tetard-Jones et al. 2012).

Fecundity of *A. craccivora* on PGPR-application plants was lowers compared to that of untreated plants (Table 1). Insect reproduction is influenced by the protein content that is obtained. It is thought that the protein absorbed by *A. craccivora* after PGPR application was not able to fulfill *A. craccivora*’s nutrition need. PGPR application causes *A. craccivora* lack of nutrition, thus decreasing its reproduction ability. Use of both PGPR strains was very useful for control of aphid population. Indeed, the PGPR increases the accumulation of phenolic compounds and phytoalexins, transcripts, and pathogenesis-related protein (Meena et al. 2000).

The survival type of *A. craccivora* shows curve type 2 on control-application plants and curve type 1 on PGPR-application plants (Figure 1). According to Price (1997), curve type 1 showed low population mortality in young ages and high population mortality in old ages, curve type 2 showed constant population mortality of an individual, and curve type 3 showed high population mortality at young ages. The duration of this process has an important role in the development of a population. Population with high individual mortality during old age would have a different structure from the population with high mortality during pre-adult period. High individual mortality during pre-adult period would have a lower population in the next generation compared with high mortality during adult period.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Life expectancy and daily fecundity of *Aphis craccivora* on yardlong bean with untreated plants (A) PGPR-treated plants (B)

### Table 5. The effect of PGPR application on leaf trichome length and density on yardlong bean

| Age (week after planting) | Leaf trichome length | Leaf trichome density |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
|                           | Control (x ± SE)     | PGPR (x ± SE)        | Control (x ± SE) | PGPR (x ± SE) |
|                           | (x ± SE)             | (x ± SE)             | (x ± SE)         | (x ± SE)       |
| 1                         | 0.008±0.002a         | 0.021±0.014a         | 18.380±7.580a    | 43.330±8.940b  |
| 2                         | 0.027±0.005a         | 0.045±0.012b         | 20.290±7.500a    | 70.140±17.57b  |
| 3                         | 0.031±0.002a         | 0.045±0.012b         | 43.290±9.660a    | 207.80±50.20b  |
| 4                         | 0.049±0.008a         | 0.063±0.012b         | 9.660±7.500a     | 207.80±50.20b  |

Note: Numbers on the same line that are followed by the same letter indicates does not significantly different based on t test, $\alpha = 0.05$.
Table 6. Biostatistics of *Aphis craccivora* on yardlong bean without PGPR application (control) and with PGPR application

| Parameter                  | Control (±SE)       | PGPR (±SE)        |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Gross reproduction rate (GRR) | 151.25±6.1024a     | 89.27±4.1215b    |
| Net reproduction rate (Ro)  | 89.83±8.165a       | 53.50±1.057b     |
| Intrinsic increase rate (r) | 0.50±0.0033a      | 0.44±0.004b      |
| Generation time average (T) | 8.92±0.051a       | 8.92±0.058a      |
| Doubling time (DT)         | 1.38±0.009a        | 1.55±0.014b      |

Note: Numbers on the same line that are followed by the same letter indicates does not significantly different based on t-test, α = 0.05

The average number of nymph born by every *A. craccivora* adult each day on the PGPR-application plants was different compared to that of the control-application plants (Figure 1). The highest daily fecundity on control-application plants could reach 13 nymphs, meanwhile on PGPR application only reached 11 nymphs. The highest *A. craccivora* fecundity on control-application plants is 5 times, meanwhile on PGPR-application plants is only 3 times. *A. craccivora* does not produce nymphs on control-application plants during 28.5-29.5 days age because it needs time to fulfill its nutrition to be able to reproduce again. The shape of *A. craccivora* fecundity curve of PGPR-application plants depicts the lower fecundity than control-application plants.

The value of GRR and Ro of *A. craccivora* are larger on control-application plants compared to that on PGPR-application plants (Table 6). The value of Ro on control-application plants shows that the next generation of *A. craccivora* would increase as many as 89.83 ± 1.165 times compared to its previous generation. Meanwhile, Ro on PGPR-treated plants s only increase as many as 53.50 ± 1.057 times compared to its previous generation. Ro value indicates the level of compatibility between insect and host plants. The higher the Ro and GRR values, the higher the level of insect compatibility to the host plant (Hutasoit et al. 2020). PGPR application provides a detrimental effect to *A. craccivora* life since it decreases its reproduction rate. The decrease in reproduction rate could cause a decrease in insect population in the next generation.

The value r is defined from the life cycle, birth, and mortality of *A. craccivora*. Long life cycle on PGPR-application plants causes its intrinsic increase rate decrease (Table 6). Intrinsic increase rate can be used to predict insect population growth in long term. The r value of *A. craccivora* on PGPR-application plants is lower than that on control-application plants. The value of r on control-application plants range between 0.501 ± 0.003 nymphs per day, meanwhile on PGPR-treated plants range between 0.446 ± 0.004 nymphs per day. Low intrinsic increase rate could be interpreted that a population of an organism has a low chance to keep growing. This positively in line with the research conducted by Lee et al. (2012) which stated that PGPR application could decrease intrinsic increase rate of *Myzus persicae* because of the increase of plant resistance induction.

Species in a population with lower T value will grow faster compared to species with high T value (Hutasoit et al. 2020). The result shows that half descendants produced by *A. craccivora* on PGPR-treated plants have shorter generation time compared to that of control-application plants. This means on PGPR treated plants, population of *A. craccivora* grows faster than in control-application plants. Despite that, host's suitability is not only illustrated from T value, since the GRR, Ro, and DT values are also influential.

Time needed for *A. craccivora* to double (DT) is 1.383 ± 0.009 days on untreated plants and 1.554 ± 0.014 days on PGPR-application plants (Table 6). Low DT value could increase gross reproduction rate (GRR) and net reproduction rate (Ro) at a particular time (Efendi et al. 2018). The decrease of *A. craccivora* fecundity affected a long period to double its population and decrease its intrinsic increase rate. Insects with faster DT would accelerate the decrease in food resources (Birch 1948).
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