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ABSTRACT

Community detection is becoming a highly demanded topic in social networking-based applications. It involves finding the maximum intra-connected and minimum inter-connected sub-graphs in given social networks. Many approaches have been developed for community’s detection and less of them have focused on the dynamical aspect of the social network. The decision of the community has to consider the pattern of changes in the social network and to be smooth enough. This is to enable smooth operation for other community detection dependent application. Unlike dynamical community detection Algorithms, this article presents a non-dominated aware searching Algorithm designated as non-dominated sorting based community detection with dynamical awareness (NDS-CD). The Algorithm uses a non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm NSGA-II with two objectives: modularity and normalized mutual information (NMI). Experimental results on synthetic networks and real-world social network datasets have been compared with classical genetic with a single objective and has been shown to provide superiority in terms of the domination as well as the convergence. NDS-CD has accomplished a domination percentage of 100% over dynamic evolutionary community searching DECS for almost all iterations.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The community detection CD is one of the problems in the social network (SN) applications [1]-[3]. It aims at partitioning the social network into a set of disjoint communities with achieving maximum intra-community similarity and minimum inter-community similarity [4]. However, most of the approaches developed for CD in the literature deal with SN from a static perspective which makes the community detection not suitable for handling the progressive increase in the social network. Hence, it is important to develop a model that provides not only satisfying modularity which is related to the quality of the community detection decision but also a satisfying smoothness which indicates to the smooth changes in the community partitioning decision concerning time [5]. Meta-heuristic searching is a set of a random searching Algorithm that contains some heuristics for the goal of optimizing a certain set of objectives [6], [7]. The meta-heuristic searching Algorithm encodes the solution of the problem and creates a set of candidate solutions, then it
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evaluates them based on the objective function. The good solutions are favoured and get more influence on creating other solutions, while remaining solutions get less attention. Some of the famous approaches of meta-heuristic searching algorithms are particle swarm optimization [8] and genetic algorithms [9]. Using meta-heuristics approaches for the goal of community detection was proposed by many researchers [10]-[12]. However, most of the approaches have not tackled the problem from the perspective of multi-objective with considering the evolving of the network as an aspect of performance. This goal opens the new direction of development of community detection methods based on the concept of non-dominated sorting or multi-objective meta-heuristic algorithms [13]. There are many multi-objective meta-heuristic searching algorithms. Most of them use the concept of non-dominated sorting as the main criterion in ranking the solutions while searching. One of the state-of-the-art approaches for multi-objective meta-heuristic searching is the non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm NSGA-II [14], [15]. It uses two concepts for providing the final set of non-dominated solutions. The first one is non-dominated sorting and the second one is the crowding distance. The role of the non-dominated sorting is to enable exploitation and the role of the crowding is to enable exploration. The balance between both is by giving priority to the rank of the solution for the goal of exploitation or domination and then gives priority to the crowding distance for the goal of exploration. The goal of the article is to present a non-dominated aware searching algorithm designated as non-dominated sorting based community detection with dynamical awareness NDS-CD-DA. The problem will be formulated as a multi-objective optimization problem. The objectives will consider not only community detection quality in terms of static aspect but it will include dynamic orientation for the sake of accomplishing smooth transition over time from community detection decision and another. The remaining of the article is organized as being as. The related work is presented in section 2. Next, the methodology is provided in section 3. Afterwards, the evaluation and experimental work are given in section 4. Lastly, the conclusion and future work are provided in section 5.

2. RELATED WORKS

Numerous articles in the literature have done the tackling of the community detection Algorithm from the perspective of multi-objective optimization. Many researchers have assumed convexity of the problem and solve it using the sum of objectives such as the work of [16] and the work of [17], while less of them have separated the objectives and handled the problem using Pareto concept. Some researchers have formulated the problem using both inter and intra distance and found a set of solutions that balances between them with non-domination aspect [18]. This does not follow the classical non-dominated solutions searching Algorithms of multi-objectives. However, many researchers have adopted traditional multi-objective evolutionary searching optimization.

While some researchers have focused on the community structure and its influence on the resilience of the network as a whole [19], many researchers have focused on the community detection from the perspective of multi-objective optimization. In the work of [16], the multi-objective evolutionary Algorithm has been used for identifying overlapping community structure in a complex network. This work has ignored the dynamic aspect of the network and its temporal changes. In the work of [20] multi-objective genetic optimization for minimizing the external connectivity as one objective and maximizing the internal connectivity as another objective. The author Bello-Orgaz of [20] use for external connectivity, expansion, separability and cut ratio and use for maximizing the internal connectivity the density, triangle participation ratio, clique number and clustering coefficient. However, their optimization does not include any term for achieving the smoothness that is required to handle the dynamics. The work of [21] has used temporal change as an objective along with the modularity which expresses the quality of the detection, however, in their multi-objective optimization there non-incorporation of non-dominated sorting [22].

In the work of [23], the similarity function of the unsigned network has been extended to include the signed network. Their evolutionary multi-objective optimization network has a crossover merging operator that enables cluster merging while searching. For multi-objective, they derived negatively correlated objective functions based on the signed network to accomplish better performance. However, their work has ignored the aspect of dynamic change optimization of the evolving social network. One of the famous approaches of community detection named a label propagation-based algorithm (LPAm) was also developed by using meta-heuristics.

In the work of [24], ant colony optimization with non-dominated sorting has been used for community detection. The authors have considered complex structures of networks and used more than objective functions for calculating the quality of community detection. The approach is competitive in terms of static community. However, the authors have ignored the evolving aspect in their calculation which is essential to identify a community in evolving nature. In the work of [17] an evolutionary genetic searching Algorithm was developed for community detection with using two objective functions: the first one indicates...
to the quality of CD or the modularity and the second one indicates to the quality of the smoothness defined by temporal change (TC). The proposed genetic with mutation and migration operator which provides better-searching capability in terms of exploration and exploitation. However, there was no incorporation to the crowding distance CDIST which plays a crucial role in the exploration to provide more exploration which leads to diverse Pareto front.

Overall, it is observed from the literature that the majority of the work that has been proposed for solving community detection has not paid attention to the smoothness of the community solution concerning time. Some approaches have used NMI as an indicator of the smoothness between two consecutive time steps; however, they have not solved using non-dominated sorting which assures avoidance of local minima that occur when the weighted average is used for solving it. The contribution of the research is given as: i) It presents a novel framework for dynamic community detection in evolving social network with multi-objective awareness; ii) It uses famous non-dominated sorting with crowding distance genetic Algorithm NSGA-II for solving the problem of dynamic community detection; iii) It generates all multi-objective evaluation metrics and it compares with the classical multi-objective genetic approach.

3. METHODOLOGY

This section presents the developed methodology for accomplishing dynamic aware community detection system with multi-objective perspective. We present the problem formulation in sub-section 3.1. Next, the framework of optimization in sub-section 3.2. Next, present the solution encoding in sub-section 3.3. Afterwards, provide objective functions in sub-section 3.4. Next, present the Algorithm of non-dominated sorting based community detection with dynamical awareness (NDS-CD-DA) in sub-section 3.5. Lastly, the evaluation metrics that are used for comparison are given in sub-section 3.6.

3.1. Problem formulation

Assume we have an evolutionary social network $SN = \{SN_1, SN_2, ..., SN_T\}$ where $SN_t$ represents the social network at moment $t$. Our goal is to provide a dynamic community detection (DCD) system that takes the SN at moment $t$ and provide the community detection at moment $t$ that will be given as $G = \{G_1, G_2, ..., G_T\}$ where $G_t$ denotes the community decision at moment $t$. Hence, $SN_t = (V_t, E_t)$ where $V_t$ denotes the vertices and $E_t$ denotes the edges and $G_t = \{g_{1t}, g_{2t}, ... g_{nt}\}$ where $n = |V_t|$ and $g_{1t} \in \{1, 2, ..., CN_t\}$ where $CN_t$ denotes the number of detected communities at moment $t$. Our goal is to provide the decision $G$ in general with maintaining two aspects of quality: the snapshot quality represented by modularity which needs to be maximized and the quality of the temporal change which is represented by NMI and it needs to also maximize. Hence, our problem is a multi-objective optimization problem. The first objective is the modularity at moment $t$ which is $Q_t$ and is given by (1):

$$Q_t = \sum_{s=1}^{CN_t} \left[ \delta_{m} - \left( \frac{d_s}{2m} \right)^2 \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\delta_{m}$ Denotes the number of edges in the community$s$; $d_s$ denotes the sum of the degree of nodes inside the community $s$. The second objective is the normalized mutual information NMI between two partitions $A$ and $B$ measure that is given by (2):

$$NMI = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k_A} \sum_{j=1}^{k_B} c_{ij} \log(c_{ij} / |V||C|)}{\sum_{i=1}^{k_A} (1 \log(c_{ij} / |V||C|))^{x} \sum_{j=1}^{k_B} (1 \log(c_{ij} / |V||C|))^{y}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $k_A$ denotes the number of communities in $A$; $k_B$ denotes the number of communities of $B : C$ denotes the confusion matrix whose element $c_{ij}$ is a count of the number of nodes that belong to both the; $i^{th}$ a community of partition $A$ and $j^{th}$ a community of partition $B : C_i$ denotes the summation of the elements of $C$ in the row $i$; $C_j$ denotes the summation of the elements of $C$ in the row $j$ ; and $|V|$ denotes the number of nodes in a network.

Assuming that $u = (u_1, u_2) = (NMI, Modularity)$ our goal is to is accomplish more dominance that is defined by the definition A. The challenges that are related to this problem can be summarized by the handling of the high dynamic that is related the evolving of the social network which leads to the need of trade-off between the smoothness of the decision of community detection with respect to time and the quality of the proposed community detection from stand-alone clustering perspective. Another issue is the high number of candidate solutions or the huge solution space which requires considerable running iterations and solutions for convergence. However, it is also important to consider the continuous change in the social
network which restricts that. Definition A (Pareto Dominance): a vector \( u = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_m) \) dominates another vector \( v = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_m) \) (denoted by \( u \preceq v \)) if and only if \( u \) is partially less than \( v \), i.e., \( \forall i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} \), \( u_i \leq v_i \) and \( \exists i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} : u_i < v_i \).

3.2. Framework of optimization

The optimization that will perform community detection is presented under the framework of Figure 1. As we see in the Figure, the framework is combined with the social network as input and community partitioned social network CPSN as output. There are four essential blocks: the community detection (CD) block, the modularity block, normalization mutual information (NMI) block, and multi-objective optimization (MOO) block. The community detection provides the community partitioned social network based on two inputs: the social network and the decision provided by the MOO. The MOO block provides the searching with the assist of the CD based on reading two objective functions values, namely, modularity and NMI. NMI is calculated based on two inputs: \([\text{CPSN}]_1\) and \([\text{CPSN}]_{(t-1)}\) which is calculated based on the memory block.

![Figure 1. The framework of multi-objective NSGA-II community detection with dynamical awareness, CD=community detection, CPSN=community partitioned social network, MOO=multi-objective optimization, \(z^{-1}\) memory with lag 1](image)

3.3. Solution encoding

The goal of the solution encoding is to enable conducting the optimization based on defining an appropriate solution space. Based on the problem formulation that was presented in section 3.1. For a social network, \( SN_t = (V_t, E_t) \) where \( V_t = \{n_i^t\}, i = 1, ..., N_t \), \( E_t = \{e_j^t\}, j = 1, 2, ..., |E_t| \). Assuming that the maximum of candidate communities in the social network at moment \( t \) is \( CN_t \). Hence, the solution will be

\[
x \in [1, CN_t]^N_t.
\]

\[
x = [x_k], k = 1, 2, ..., N_t \text{ and } 1 \leq x_k \leq CN_t.
\]

3.4. Objective functions

Each solution \( x \) of the solution space is evaluated according to the two objectives. And our goal is to find the set of non-dominated solutions or Pareto front \( PF \) for the two objective functions.

\[
f_1(x) = Q_t(x, SN_t)
\]

\[
f_2(x) = NMI(x, SN_t, SN_{t-1})
\]

3.5. Non-dominated sorting based community detection with dynamical awareness (NDS-CD-DA)

This section presents the algorithm of NDS-CD-DA. The input of the algorithm is the social network \( SN_t = \{SN_1, SN_2, ..., SN_T\} \) and the output of the algorithm is the Pareto fronts of each of the solutions of community detection of the social networks \( PF = \{PF_1, PF_2, ..., PF_T\} \). Hence, in each of the iterations, the algorithm will run NSGA-II on the corresponding social network and the result will be the Pareto front. The dynamic awareness is obtained from maximizing the normalized mutual information NMI between the community result of the current social network and the previous social network. The Algorithm 1 runs for several iterations equals to \( T \). At each iteration, it determines the number of nodes in the social network as it is given in line number 7.1. Next, it creates the boundary of the searching accordingly as it is given inline 7.3. Besides, it sets a different solution dimension according to the maximum potential.
number of communities in the network as it is given in line number 7.2. Afterwards, modularity and NMI are used as two objective functions for running the algorithm.

Algorithm 1. NSGA-II based community detection for evolving social network

Input
- $SN_t = \{SN_1, SN_2, ..., SN_T\}$ // evolving social network
- MaxCom, // the maximum number of communities in the network
- maxIterations
- numberOfSolutions
- objectiveFunctions=[@Modularity, @NMI]

Output
- $PF_t = \{PF_{t1}, PF_{t2}, ..., PF_{tT}\}$ // evolving pareto front

Start
1 for t=1 until T
1.1 N[t]=numberOfNodes($SN(t)$)
1.2 solutionSpaceBoundary=[1 MaxCom]
1.3 solutionSpaceDimension=N[t]
1.4 objectiveFunctions=[@Modularity, @NMI]
1.5 initiateNSGAII(solutionSpaceBoundary,solutionSpaceDimension);
1.6 PF[t]=callNSGAIICommunities(maxIterations,numberofSolutions,objectiveFunctions);
End

End

We present the pseudocode of NSGA-II in Algorithm 2. It accepts the number iterations maxIterations, the number of solutions numberOfSolutions, the number of objective functions objectiveFunctions, the parameter of crossoverRate, the parameter of mutationRate. The output is given in ParetoFront. At each iteration, the Algorithm performs an evaluation of the entire population using the function evaluatePop. Also, it performs the non-dominated sorting using NonDominatedSorting(). After performing the non-dominated sorting, the algorithm selects the parents and generated the off-spring as it is given in lines number 8.3 and 8.4 consequently. Next, the parents and offsprings are combined to produce the extended population as it is given in 8.5. The extended population is evaluated using the objective functions and it is used to do non-dominated sorting in lines number 8.6 and 8.7 consequently. Afterwards, we perform the selection giving first priorities to the most dominating ranks and second priorities to the highest crowding distance as it is given in lines number 8.8 and 8.9 consequently.

Algorithm 2. Pseudocode of non-dominated sorting genetic Algorithm NSGA-II used for community detection

Input
- maxIterations
- numberOfSolutions
- objectiveFunctions
crossoverRate mutationRate

Output
- ParetoFront

Start
1 Pop=init(numberofSolutions)
2 for iter=1 until maxIterations
2.1 objValues=evaluatePop(Pop,objectiveFunctions)
2.2 Ranks=NonDominatedSorting(Pop,objValues)
2.3 Parents=select(numberofSolutions,Ranks)
2.4 OffSpring=generateOffSpring(Parents,crossoverRate,mutationRate)
2.5 extendedPop=combine(Parents,OffSpring)
2.6 objValues=evaluatePop(extendedPop,objectiveFunctions)
2.7 Ranks=NonDominatedSorting(extendedPop,objValues)
2.8 [NextGeneration, Remaining]=select(numberofSolutions,Ranks)
2.9 NextGeneration=selectCrowdingDistance(NextGeneration, Remaining)
2.10 Pop=NextGeneration
End
3 ParetoFront=ExtractPareto(NextGeneration)
End

3.6. Dataset

This section presents the datasets that are used for evaluation. We use two real datasets Last.fm and Douban as well as one synthetic dataset named SYNFIX:

a. Last.fm: it was released in the framework of the 2nd international workshop on information heterogeneity and fusion in recommender systems [25]. It's consisted of more than 40 million active clients distributed
in more than 190 countries. Last.fm dataset allows the clients listing online music and allow the clients to extend the friendly relations with other clients. This dataset only records the listening of each client for particular artists.

b. Douban: is a social website designed in 6/3/2005 in hina, it provides books, music and movies recommendation based on user rating and evaluation. It’s like Facebook where user can have friendship relation through email communication. the rating is secret range from 1/5 which is useless to the 5/5 which is most useful [26].

c. SYNFIX: is a dynamic dataset which contains into 128 nodes totally [17]. It is divided into 4 communities each one has 32 nodes. The average degree of each node is 16 degree and shares zout edges with nodes in other communities. The community structures become weaker as zout declines. Three nodes randomly selected for the simulate evolving community structures to assigned to other communities at each time. The number of edges that are shared with other nodes is 3. SYNFIX dynamic network is generated over 10 iterations. (two variants were used SYN-FIX3 and SYN-FIX5).

Last.fm and Douban are not evolving social network. Hence, we use Algorithm 3 for converting the social network to the evolving network. The Algorithm takes the social network and the time of evolving as inputs and provides the evolving social network as output. At each iteration, the algorithm selects some nodes randomly breaks some of their links and adds new links.

Algorithm 3. Converting non-evolving social network to evolving social network

Input
Social network
Time of evolving
Output
Evolving social network
Start
1 For t=1 until the end of the time
1.1 Generate random number for the node
1.2 Generate random number for candidate links
1.3 Generate a random number of existing links
1.4 Break the generated existing link
1.5 Connect the generated candidate link
1.6 Save a snapshot of the network and keep it for the next iteration
End

3.7 Evaluation metrics
The other name is set coverage or C-metric which compares the Pareto sets Ps1 and Ps2 as in (3):

$$C(P_{s1}, P_{s2}) = \frac{\|y \in P_{s2} : \exists x \in P_{s1}, x < y\|}{P_{s2}}$$  (3)

C Equals the ratio of non-dominated solutions in Ps2 that are dominated by non-dominated solutions in Ps1, to the number of solutions inPs2. Thus, when evaluating a set Ps, it is important to minimize the value of C(X; Ps) for all Pareto sets X.

4. EVALUATION AND EXPERIMENTAL WORK
This section presents the experimental work and evaluation of the developed NDS-CD-DA and its comparison with the benchmark DECS cAs it is been shown in Figure 2, NDS-CD-DA has accomplished a domination percentage of 100% over DECS for almost all iterations. This is clearer in Lastfm dataset and is only less with 10% for moment two of the social network evolution in Douban dataset. This interpreted by the enabling of non-domination awareness of searching that exists in NDS-CD-DA comparing with weighted sum evaluation of DECS. Table 1 represent the parameter names and the value used in the proposed work.

The evaluation of community detection is decomposed into two parts: the first one is the self-validation of the progress of our NDS-CD-DA algorithm. It shows the evolution of the social network with two graphs: the first one is the NMI metric and the second one is the modularity metric while the Algorithm is doing the searching. We presented that in Figures 3 until 5 for Lastfm, Douban and SYNFIX respectively.

The second one is to compare the set coverage of NDS-CD-DA over DECS for 10 iterations of the evolution of the social network and it is given in Figures 2 for Lastfm and Douban, and in Figure 6 for SYNFIX. We observe that in the entire evolution of the social network, NDS-CD-DA has a positive set coverage over DECS and reaching one in most cases. Hence, it can be said that NDS-CD-DA has more capability of attaining higher modularity and NMI over DECS.
Figure 2. Set coverage for NDS-CD-DA over DESC for two datasets: Lastfm to the left and the Douban to the right, for 10 iterations.

Table 1. Parameters of NDS-CD-DA

| Parameter name                  | Value |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Number of iterations            | 200   |
| Number of solutions             | 100   |
| Maximum number of communities   | 50    |

Figure 3. The evolution of the searching in the social network for communities considering the iterations of genetic and the time of evolving for Lastfm.
Multi-objective NSGA-II based community detection using… (Muhammed E Abd Alkhalec Tharwat)
The Figures 2 and 6 show that using single objective optimization for community detection with only focusing on the modularity as a metric for decomposing the social network into sub-groups named communities leads to falling in sub-optimality. The reason is that the performance needs not only to provide the community but also to smooth the decision of the optimization which might have a conflicting nature. Hence, using the result of multi-objective optimization concerning the two objectives lead to higher optimality. This is why we see that for all snapshots of the social network in the Figures NDS-CD-DA is dominating over DESC.
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Figure 6. Set coverage for NDS-CD-DA over DESC for two datasets SYNFIX (two variants were used syn-fix3 and syn-fix5) for 10 iterations

5. CONCLUSION

This work has presented a novel community detection approach based on famous multi-objective optimization Algorithm named non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm NSGA-II. It is designated as non-dominated sorting based community detection with dynamical awareness NDS-CD-DA. It uses two objective functions: The first one is the modularity which indicates the quality of community detection one single snapshot of the social network and the second one is the NMI which indicates the quality of community detection concerning the temporal change of the social network. The implementation of the approach was done on several social networks data; namely, dataset Lastfm, Douban, SYNFIX of that are evolving network. Lastfm, Douban one is snapshot datasets that have been converted to evolving using an approach that has been presented. The results reveal superiority in the performance in terms of the domination aspect which is represented by the set coverage metric. The limitation is that the proposed optimization consumes a considerable amount of iterations before convergence. Future work first is to reduce the number of iterations by starting from random population close to the last search population assuming that the dynamic is not high in the evolving of the social network, second is to incorporate this model in other useful systems such as the recommendation system and the implicit link predictions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the ministry of higher education (MOHE) under the fundamental research grant scheme (FRGS) reference code FRGS/1/2018/ICT04/UTHM/02/3.

REFERENCES

[1] Z. Zhao, C. Li, X. Zhang, F. Chiclana, and E. H. Viedma, “An incremental method to detect communities in dynamic evolving social networks,” Knowledge-Based System, vol. 163, pp. 404-415, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2018.09.002.

[2] Z. Liu and Y. Ma, “A divide and agglomerate Algorithm for community detection in social networks,” Information Sciences, vol. 482, pp. 321-333, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2019.01.028.
[3] N. Dakiche, F. Benbouzid-Si Tayeb, Y. Slimani, and K. Benatchba, “Tracking community evolution in social networks: A survey,” *Information Processing & Management*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 1084-1102, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ipm.2018.03.005.

[4] M. A. Javed, M. S. Younis, S. Latif, J. Qadir, and A. Baig, “Community detection in networks: A multidisciplinary review,” *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 108, pp. 87-111, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jnca.2018.02.011.

[5] I. Messaoudi and N. Kannel, “A multi-objective bat Algorithm for community detection on dynamic social networks,” *Applied Intelligence*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 2119-2136, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10489-018-1386-9.

[6] K. Hussian, M. N. Mohd Salleh, S. Cheng, and Y. Shi, “Metaheuristic research: a comprehensive survey,” *Artificial Intelligence Review*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 2191-2233, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10462-017-9605-z.

[7] T. Dokeroğlu, E. Sevinc, T. Küçükyılmaz, and A. Cosar, “A survey on new generation metaheuristic Algorithms,” *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, vol. 137, p. 106040, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.cie.2019.106040.

[8] Jian-Ming Zhang, “Particle swarm optimization Algorithm for constrained problems,” *Technology*, no. 17, pp. 437-442, 2009, doi: 10.1002/ajp.203.

[9] S. Mirjalili, “Genetic Algorithm,” *Evolutionary algorithms and neural networks*, vol. 780, pp. 43-55, 2019, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-93625-1_4.

[10] M. Guerrero, F. G. Monteoya, R. Baños, A. Alayce, and C. Gil, “Adaptive community detection in complex networks using genetic Algorithms,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 266, pp. 101-113, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2017.05.029.

[11] Q. Cai, L. Ma, M. Gong, and D. Tian, “A survey on network community detection based on evolutionary computation,” *International Journal of Bio-Inspired Computation*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 84-98, 2016, doi: 10.1504/IJBIC.2016.076329.

[12] J. Sánchez-Oro and A. Duarte, “Iterated Greedy Algorithm for performing community detection in social networks,” *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 88, pp. 785-791, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.future.2018.06.010.

[13] B. D. Le et al., “Improved network community detection using meta-heuristic based label propagation,” *Applied Intelligence*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 1451-1466, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10489-018-1321-0.

[14] M. T. K. Deb, S. Agrawal, and A. Pratap, “A Fast Elitist Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm for Multi-objective Optimization NSGA-II,” in *International conference on parallel problem solving from nature*, vol. 1917, 2000, pp. 182-187, doi: 10.1007/3-540-45356-3_83.

[15] U. F. Siddiqi and S. M. Sait, “An Optimization Heuristic Based on Non-Dominated Sorting and Tabu Search for the Fixed Spectrum Frequency Assignment Problem,” in *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 72635-72648, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2882595.

[16] Z. Yuxin, L. Shenghong, and J. Feng, “Overlapping community detection in complex networks using multi-objective evolutionary Algorithm,” *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 749-768, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s40314-015-0260-1.

[17] F. Liu, J. Wu, C. Zhou and J. Yang, “Evolutionary Community Detection in Dynamic Social Networks,” *2019 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, Budapest, Hungary, 2019, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.1109/IJCNN.2019.8852006.

[18] C. Shi, Z. Yan, Y. Cai, and B. Wu, “Multi-objective community detection in complex networks,” *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 850-859, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2011.10.005.

[19] Y. Shang, “Generalized K-Core Percolation In Networks With Community Structure,” *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 1272-1289, 2020, doi: 10.1137/19M1290607.

[20] G. Bello-Orgaz, S. Salcedo-Sanz, and D. Camacho, “A Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm for overlapping community detection based on edge encoding,” *Information Sciences*, vol. 462, pp. 290-314, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2018.06.015.

[21] B. A. Attea and H. S. Khoder, “A new multi-objective evolutionary framework for community mining in dynamic social networks,” *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 31, no. August, pp. 90-109, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.sewo.2016.09.001.

[22] X. Wan, X. Zuo, and F. Song, “Solving dynamic overlapping community detection problem by a multiobjective evolutionary Algorithm based on decomposition,” *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 54, December 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.sewo.2020.100668.

[23] R. Shang, H. Liu, and L. Jiao, “Multi-objective clustering technique based on k-nodes update policy and similarity matrix for mining communities in social networks,” *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, vol. 486, pp. 1-24, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.physa.2017.05.026.

[24] N. Shahabi Sani, M. Manthouri, and F. Farivar, “A multi-objective ant colony optimization Algorithm for community detection in complex networks,” *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 5-21, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s12652-018-1159-7.

[25] I. Cantador, P. Brusilovsky, and T. Kuflik, “Second workshop on information heterogeneity and fusion in recommender systems (HetRec2011),” in *Proceedings of the fifth ACM conference on Recommender systems*, 2011, pp. 387-388, doi: 10.1145/2043932.2044016.

[26] M. R. Lyu, P. Ave, and F. Park, “Recommender Systems with Social Regularization,” in *WSDM ’11 Proceedings of the fourth ACM international conference on Web search and data mining*, 2011, pp. 287-296, doi: 10.1145/1935826.1935877.
BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS

Muhammed. E Abd Alkhalec Tharwat is currently a PhD candidate in information technology, FSKTM, UTHM, Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia. His research interest includes data mining, information retrieval, social network analysis, link prediction Algorithms, community detection, recommendation system, and machine learning.

Mohd Farhan Md Fudzee is an Associate Professor at Fakulti Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat (FSKTM), University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia. He obtained a PhD from Deakin University, Australia. His research interests include multimedia computing, green computing, decision-making, web technology, and e-government.

Shahreen Kasim is currently an associate professor in the Department of Security Information and Web Technology, Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia. Her areas of interest include bioinformatics, soft computing, data mining, web and mobile application.

Azizul Azhar Ramli is currently an Associate Professor at Fakulti Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat (FSKTM), University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia. He obtained a PhD (Management Engineering), IPS, Waseda University, Japan. His research interests include data mining, soft computing, and machine learning.

Mohammed. Q Ali is M.Eng in communications engineering from UTHM, Johor, Malaysia, and his research contain WSN, security network, attack on the network and sinkhole attack.