Management of antithrombotic therapy in patients at high bleeding risk after percutaneous coronary intervention for acute coronary syndromes: a case report
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Background
Choosing antithrombotic therapy for patients at high bleeding risk, particularly those requiring long-term anticoagulant therapy, who have acute coronary syndromes (ACS) and/or undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is becoming increasingly complex.

Case summary
A 78-year-old woman was hospitalized with chest pain and a diagnosis of non-ST-elevation ACS was made. It was decided that the patient should undergo coronary angiogram with a view for angioplasty. Subsequently, she underwent successful PCI to the left anterior descending artery. Shortly after PCI, she was noted to be in atrial fibrillation. Furthermore, she had per rectal bleeding and acute kidney injury, which were managed conservatively. Aspirin and ticagrelor were stopped and she was discharged on dual antithrombotic therapy with clopidogrel and apixaban.

Discussion
Available evidence, driven mainly from expert consensus documents, advocates a case-by-case comprehensive evaluation that integrates patient- and procedure-related factors to assess patients for thrombotic and bleeding tendencies to identify those who may gain most net clinical benefit of antithrombotic combination therapy. In general, if thrombotic drivers prevail, an augmented antithrombotic regime with a view for a longer duration should be planned, and if bleeding drivers prevail, a de-escalated regime with a view for a shorter duration should be sought.
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Learning points
• A one size fits all approach is not ideal for the management of antithrombotic therapy after acute coronary syndromes (ACS).
• In patients with ACS at high bleeding risk, the ultimate goal is to identify a therapeutic window ‘sweet spot’ of optimal protection and safety, where the combined risk of recurrent thrombosis and bleeding is low.
• After ACS, a dynamic individualized assessment of thrombotic vs. bleeding risks is required as part of a tailored management approach, taking into consideration the patient’s preference.
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Introduction

Patients who suffer from acute coronary syndromes (ACS) and/or undergo percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) are usually prescribed dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT, consisting of aspirin and a P2Y12 inhibitor), with an aim to provide secondary prevention strategy and reduce mortality.1 The commonest reason for the addition of oral anticoagulation (OAC) to DAPT is the coexistence of atrial fibrillation (AF). Of note, one-fifth of patients who have ACS or PCI would warrant such therapeutic regime.1-3 Withholding OAC to reduce bleeding risk may lead to a higher risk of stroke and attempts to reduce DAPT put the patients at risk of stent thrombosis, recurrent myocardial infarction, and even death. Management of patients with ACS and high bleeding risk remains a clinical challenge. This case study highlights the complexity of treating this cohort, and the different treatment strategies currently evidenced to individualize these patients’ care.

Timeline

| Day 0 | Admission with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome and loading doses of dual antiplatelet therapy (aspirin 300 mg and ticagrelor 180 mg) were given |
| Day 1 | Maintenance doses of dual antiplatelet therapy (aspirin 75 mg daily and ticagrelor 90 mg twice daily) were given. A transthoracic echocardiography showed anterior wall hypokinesia with preserved left ventricular systolic function and no significant valvular heart disease |
| Day 2 | Successful percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) using one drug-eluting stent to the mid left anterior descending artery. A new diagnosis of atrial fibrillation (AF) with controlled ventricular response on a 12-lead electrocardiogram was made shortly after PCI |
| Day 3 | New episodes of per rectal bleeding secondary to haemorrhoids and Grade II acute kidney injury presumed secondary to contrast-induced nephropathy |
| Day 4 | Ticagrelor was de-escalated to clopidogrel 75 mg daily after a loading dose of 600 mg ~24 h after the last dose of ticagrelor |
| Day 5 | No further per rectal bleeding. Apixaban 5 mg twice daily was started |
| Day 7 | Aspirin was stopped and the patient was discharged on dual antithrombotic therapy with clopidogrel 75 mg daily and apixaban 5 mg twice daily for 12 months, then apixaban monotherapy thereafter |
| 3 months | The patient had a good recovery with no major issues highlighted |

Short summary of case (hypothetical)

A 78-year-old Caucasian women with a past medical history of hypertension and Type II diabetes mellitus was hospitalized with chest pain and elevated high-sensitivity troponin tests. She was subsequently diagnosed with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome (NSTE-ACS). Her admission electrocardiogram (ECG) was unremarkable. She had loading doses of DAPT (aspirin 300 mg and ticagrelor 180 mg), and maintenance doses were prescribed (aspirin 75 mg daily and ticagrelor 90 mg twice daily). Physical examination was unremarkable including cardiovascular examination. She was planned to have an inpatient coronary angiogram with a view for angioplasty within the next 48 h. A transthoracic echocardiography showed anterior wall hypokinesia with preserved left ventricular systolic function and no significant valvular heart disease. On day 2, she underwent successful PCI using one drug-eluting stent to the mid-left anterior descending artery. There was mild diffuse bystander coronary artery disease that was non-flow limiting. Shortly after PCI, she was noted to be in AF with a controlled ventricular response on a 12-lead ECG. Furthermore, she had episodes of per rectal bleeding secondary to haemorrhoids and Grade II acute kidney injury presumed secondary to contrast-induced nephropathy, which were managed conservatively with good recovery.

Whilst in-hospital for 7 days, the patient received maintenance daily doses of aspirin 75 mg and ticagrelor 90 mg. As she remained in AF, ticagrelor was de-escalated to clopidogrel 75 mg daily after a loading dose of 600 mg ~24 h after the last dose of ticagrelor. She was discharged on dual antithrombotic therapy (DAT) with clopidogrel 75 mg daily and apixaban 5 mg twice daily for 12 months, then apixaban monotherapy thereafter. Other medications included a proton pump inhibitor for gastric protection, in addition to a beta-blocker, an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and a statin. Aspirin was stopped at hospital discharge on Day 7. An outpatient clinic follow-up was carried out at 3 months from index event and no concerns were highlighted.

Discussion

After ACS, while the emphasis is to prevent morbidity and mortality from future ischaemic events, the risk of high bleeding events translating into mortality is receiving recognition.1,2 In ACS patients at high bleeding risk (HBR), especially those requiring long-term OAC, the ultimate goal is to reduce the combined risk of recurrent thrombosis and bleeding events. In the subset of patients with NSTE-ACS and/or undergoing PCI, and after DAPT loading doses (ideally with aspirin and clopidogrel), current ESC guidelines recommend DAT with clopidogrel and a non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant (NOAC) at the lowest recommended dose for stroke prevention for at least 12 months, and after an initial short period of up to 1 week of triple antithrombotic therapy (TAT, aspirin, and DAT).3 This recommendation is mainly derived from subgroups of randomized controlled trials (Table 1). Of note, subsequent meta-analyses of these trials have demonstrated significantly lower bleeding with DAT compared with TAT with no increase in overall ischaemic events.10,11 However, a higher stent thrombosis rate was observed with DAT containing a
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Table 1  Randomized controlled trials including patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome requiring long-term anticoagulation

| Study          | Population (n)/duration | DES (%) | ACS (%) | AF (%) | Conclusions                                      |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|--------|-------------------------------------------------|
| WOEST®        | Between 2008 and 2011    | 65      | 27      | 69     | TIMI bleeding and all-cause mortality lower with DAT (VKA + C) vs. TAT (VKA + A + C) at 1 year. No difference in MI, ST, stroke, or TVR. |
| ISAR-TRIPLE®  | Between 2008 and 2013    | 99      | 32      | 84     | No difference in MACE or TIMI major bleeding at 9 months with TAT (VKA + A + C) vs. 6 weeks followed by DAT (VKA + A) vs. TAT (VKA + A + C) for 6 months followed by DAT (VKA + A). |
| PIONEER AF-PCI | Between 2013 and 2015    | 66      | 52      | 100    | Clinically significant bleeding, all-cause death and rehospitalization lower with DAT (rivaroxaban 15 mg/day + C for 12 months) or modified TAT (rivaroxaban 2.5 mg b.i.d. + A + C for 1, 6, or 12 months) vs. TAT (VKA + A + C for 1, 6, or 12 months). No difference in cardiovascular death, MI or stroke. |
| RE-DUAL PCI®  | Between 2014 and 2016    | 83      | 50      | 100    | Major or clinically relevant non-major bleeding lower with DAT (dabigatran 110 or 150 mg b.i.d. + C or T) vs. TAT (VKA + A + C) up to 3 months. No difference in death, MI, stroke, systemic embolism or unplanned revascularization. |
| AUGUSTUS®     | Between 2015–2018        | NR      | 37      | 100    | Major or clinically relevant non-major bleeds lower with DAT (apixaban 5 mg b.i.d. + C or T or P) vs. TAT (VKA + C or T or P) or TAT (apixaban 5 mg b.i.d. + A + C or T or P) or TAT (VKA + A + C or T or P). Death and hospitalization lower with apixaban. |
| ENTRUST-AF PCI | Between 2017 and 2018    | NR      | 52      | 100    | Major or clinically relevant non-major bleeds non-inferior between DAT (edoxaban 60 mg + C or T or P) vs TAT (VKA + A + C or T or P). No difference in cardiovascular death, MI, ST, stroke, or systemic embolism. |

A, aspirin; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; AF, atrial fibrillation; b.i.d., twice a day; C, clopidogrel; DAT, dual antithrombotic therapy; DES, drug-eluting stent; MI, myocardial infarction; NR, not reported; P, prasugrel; T, ticagrelor; ST, stent thrombosis; TAT, triple antithrombotic therapy; TIMI, Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction; TVR, target vessel revascularization; VKA, vitamin K antagonist.

NOAC and an antiplatelet. It is important to highlight that these studies were primarily designed to assess bleeding events and therefore may have lacked power to provide meaningful results on ischaemic events.

Choice of antiplatelet agent

No trials have evaluated the comparison of DAT containing aspirin vs. a P2Y12 inhibitor. However, an expert consensus document in 2016 recommended P2Y12 inhibitors over aspirin because of their higher efficacy and better gastrointestinal tolerance. Currently, there is limited data to support the use of DAT containing either ticagrelor or prasugrel after PCI, as clopidogrel was chosen in >90% of cases in available trials. Therefore, the use of ticagrelor or prasugrel as part of TAT should be avoided due to the absence of safety data. In all patients requiring a combination of antiplatelet and anti-coagulant therapy, gastric protection with a proton pump inhibitor is recommended.

Balancing the risk of ischaemia and bleeding after acute coronary syndrome

In ACS patients at HBR and requiring long-term OAC, an expert consensus document in 2018 recommended shortening DAT duration to 6 months by withdrawing the ongoing antiplatelet therapy, especially with newer generation drug-eluting stents. In contrast, for patients at high thrombotic risk requiring long-term OAC, TAT (aspirin and DAT) is suggested to continue for up to 1 month, followed by DAT for up to 12 months. Recently, the AFIRE randomized trial of 2236 AF patients treated with PCI discouraged the need to continue with a single antiplatelet agent in combination with rivaroxaban beyond 12 months. However, the trial had several limitations with results that are difficult to explain considering the known biologic effects of antithrombotic therapy.

An individualized approach of shortened vs. extended therapy according to patients’ combined bleeding/thrombotic risk profile has therefore been advocated and is probably responsible for the wide variation observed in clinical practice.

Atrial fibrillation and acute coronary syndromes

Concomitant AF exists in up to 16% of ACS patients with an increased risk of future stroke and death compared to patients without AF. This is mainly due to lack of OAC prescription in those at high risk of thrombosis [i.e. CHA2DS2-VASc (congestive heart failure, hypertension, age ≥75 years, diabetes, stroke, vascular disease, age 65–74, sex category female) ≥2]. In AF patients with a relatively low stroke risk (CHA2DS2-VASc of 1 in men or 2 in women), an expert consensus document in 2016 suggested treating upfront with only DAPT for the first 4 weeks after ACS/PCI, although numerically more myocardial infarction events occurred when aspirin plus clopidogrel were used. Thus, a more potent P2Y12 inhibitor
(i.e. ticagrelor or prasugrel) may be preferable in this situation. In AF patients with ACS undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery, antithrombotic therapy, preferably with DAT, should be resumed as soon as the post-operative bleeding is controlled.

**Identifying the ‘sweet spot’**

It is important to highlight that the evidence informing ESC practice guidelines generally reflects population-level data. The lack of a reliable individualized risk stratification tool to assess patients for thrombotic and bleeding tendencies to identify a safe therapeutic window, where the net clinical benefit is the highest, has led to limited use of potent antithrombotic drugs in many patients. However, it is important to note that this therapeutic window is likely variable, factorial and patient-specific.13 Extremes of on-treatment platelet reactivity are associated with recurrent adverse events. Patients with high on-treatment platelet reactivity are at risk of thrombotic events, whilst those with low on-treatment platelet reactivity are at risk of bleeding. The ultimate goal of any antithrombotic management regime is to identify a therapeutic ‘sweet spot’ of optimal protection and safety, where the risk of thrombotic and bleeding events is low (Figure 1).

Given the trade-off between ischaemic and bleeding risks for antithrombotic medications, the use of risk stratification scores might be useful to guide individualized prescription. However, such scores have yet to be developed or validated for patients with AF and concomitant ACS/PCI. Several scores are mentioned in current ESC guidelines.3 To assess the bleeding risk, the PRECISE-DAPT score, enclosing a five-item prediction model (age, creatinine clearance, haemoglobin, white blood cell count and prior spontaneous bleeding), or the ARC-HBR score are recommended, with a high risk identified as PRECISE-DAPT ≥25 or the ARC-HBR criteria met.19 For the latter, patients are considered at HBR if they meet at least one major or two minor criteria. Major criteria included anticipated long-term anticoagulation after PCI, severe, or end-stage chronic kidney disease (eGFR <30 mL/min), anaemia (haemoglobin <11 g/dL), spontaneous bleeding requiring hospitalization or transfusion in the previous 6 months or at any time, if recurrent, moderate or severe thrombocytopenia (platelet count <100 × 10⁹/L), chronic bleeding diathesis, cirrhosis with portal hypertension, active malignancy in the previous 12 months, presence of brain arteriovenous malformation, previous spontaneous intracranial haemorrhage (ICH) at any time, previous traumatic ICH in the previous 12 months, moderate or severe ischaemic stroke in the previous 6 months, non-deferrable major surgery on DAPT, major surgery or major trauma in the 30 days before PCI. Minor criteria included ≥75 years, moderate chronic kidney disease (eGFR, 30–59 mL/min), haemoglobin 11.0–12.9 g/dL for men and 11.0–11.9 g/dL for women, spontaneous bleeding requiring hospitalization or transfusion in the previous 12 months not meeting the major criterion, long-term use of oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or steroids, any ischaemic stroke at any time not meeting the major criterion.

**Figure 1** Therapeutic window of platelet inhibition after acute coronary syndromes. **Bleeding risk** is increased with advanced age, uncontrolled hypertension, Stage ≥4 chronic kidney disease, combined antiplatelet and anticoagulant use, prior bleeding events or bleeding tendencies/diathesis, active malignancy, low body weight and anaemia. **Thrombotic risk** is increased with advanced age, uncontrolled hypertension, Stage ≥4 chronic kidney disease, diabetes, prior myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndromes, extensive coronary artery disease, prior stent thrombosis, suboptimal stenting, greater stent length, small stent diameter, and bifurcation stenting.
abnormal renal and liver function, stroke, bleeding history or predisposition, labile INR [international normalized ratio], elderly [≥65 years], drugs and alcohol] score of ≥3 was also incorporated in the guidelines to identify AF patients at HBR but should not be directly used in patients with AF and ACS/PCI. Available scoring systems are derived mainly from clinical characteristics, which often overlap in predicting the risk (e.g. advanced age, uncontrolled hypertension, and chronic kidney disease). Designing risk stratification tools incorporating clinical, procedural, and rheological biomarkers may perhaps better risk- individualize patients.

The AUGUSTUS trial was the only randomized trial offering insight into the use of NOAC therapy (in the form of Apixaban), without aspirin combination, in patients with AF undergoing PCI.9 Apixaban monotherapy resulted in less bleeding and fewer hospitalizations without significant differences in the incidence of ischaemic events. Such results were very promising in showing a safety and efficacy benefit of NOAC monotherapy vs. regimens that included a vitamin K antagonist, aspirin, or both. There is a need for further trials to stratify patients based on risk prediction models to tailored treatments vs. standard care taking into consideration the thrombotic and bleeding risks, as well as the patient’s values and preferences.

**High bleeding risk in the elderly**

Another HBR cohort, although not limited to, is that of the elderly. Bleeding risk increases with advanced age, with frequent concomitant comorbidities adding another burden to the choice of antithrombotic therapy following ACS/PCI in this cohort. Although the relationship between age and bleeding risk appears to be continuous, one must bear in mind that biological and chronological age are two separate entities and therefore patients should be assessed on an individualized basis with regards to their bleeding risk. Furthermore, one must acknowledge that bleeding risk must be balanced against thrombotic risk and that a balanced approach should guide the duration of antiplatelet therapy after ACS/PCI in this cohort.

Three randomized trials investigating short DAPT durations were completed in patients undergoing PCI perceived to be at increased bleeding risk.20–22 In all three trials involving >5000 patients, advanced age was the commonest factor associated with increased bleeding (64% in LEADERS FREE, 51% in ZEUS, and 100% in SENIOR). To this effect, bleeding risk scores have been incorporated to help risk-stratify these patients, in particular the PRECISE-DAPT and the ARC-HBR.3

**Conclusions**

Patients with ACS requiring long-term OAC are at high risk of bleeding due to the need for combined antithrombotic therapy, as recommended by current practice guidelines, irrespective of whether invasive or conservative approaches are followed. A careful consideration of thrombotic and bleeding risks as well as the patient’s preference is warranted to reduce the combined risk of ischaemic and bleeding events. More comparative randomized trials are needed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of antithrombotic therapies to guide clinical decisions.

---
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