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ABSTRACT

There is nearly a factor of 4 difference in the number density of intervening Mg II absorbers as determined from gamma-ray burst (GRB) and quasar lines of sight. We use a Monte Carlo simulation to test if a dust extinction bias can account for this discrepancy. We apply an empirically determined relationship between the dust column density and Mg II rest equivalent width to simulated quasar sight lines and model the underlying number of quasars that must be present to explain the published magnitude distribution of Sloan Digital Sky Survey quasars. We find that an input Mg II number density dn/dz of 0.273 ± 0.002 over the range 0.4 ≤ z ≤ 2.0 and with Mg II equivalent width W0 ≥ 1.0 Å accurately reproduces observed distributions. From this value, we conclude that a dust obstruction bias cannot be the sole cause of the observed discrepancy between GRB and quasar sight lines: this bias is likely to reduce the discrepancy only by ∼10%.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) has greatly expanded our knowledge of the large-scale structure of the universe, in large part by greatly increasing the number of known quasars at high redshift to ∼105 (Peterson 1997; Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2008). These bright, distant sources of light also provide a means to probe intergalactic space by allowing us to identify and analyze spectral absorption lines caused by intervening matter. These measurements can constrain the star formation rate history (Hamann & Ferland 1999; Hopkins et al. 2006), the formation of large-scale structure (Clowes et al. 1999; Cabanac et al. 2005), the evolution of chemical enrichment in the high-redshift universe (Baker et al. 2000; Pettini 2001; Mehler et al. 2003; Prochaska et al. 2007), and the time of re-ionization (Fan et al. 2006; Mesinger & Haiman 2007).

These investigations are only made possible by a population of bright sources at high redshifts. Quasars provide such a population, but so do the brief flares of gamma-ray burst (GRB) sources. The catalog of quasar observations is much larger than the sample of GRBs that have been studied with optical spectroscopy, in large part due to the transient nature of GRBs. GRBs are first detected in gamma rays, thus they are therefore not subject to the same observational biases as quasars. For this reason, the GRB population plays a potentially crucial role in the study of intervening absorption systems.

Prochter et al. (2006) used GRBs to study absorption systems that lie along the lines of sight. They found on average four times the number of intervening strong (equivalent width greater than 1 Å) Mg II absorbers in GRB sight lines per unit redshift as compared to the number of Mg II absorbers in quasar sight lines. This result is surprising because both populations sample random, independent sight lines. Possible factors that may explain this discrepancy include differing Mg II covering factors in GRB and quasar beams (Pontzen et al. 2007; Frank et al. 2007), weak gravitational lensing affecting a population of absorbers, a dust extinction bias, and host-associated Mg II absorbers being incorrectly identified as intervening systems (Prochter et al. 2006; Porciani et al. 2007). Sudilovsky et al. (2007) surveyed intervening C IV systems and found no difference in their number density in either type of sight line, which is also confirmed by Tejos et al. (2007). Sudilovsky et al. (2007) argued that the simplest explanation for this discrepancy lies in a selection bias. Samples of quasars that are detected based on optical magnitudes may be biased toward sight lines that contain fewer intervening Mg II systems, because Mg II systems are tracers of dense, potentially dusty clouds, which would attenuate optical light passing through them (Ménard et al. 2005, 2008; York et al. 2006; Khare et al. 2005b).

We report on the results of a Monte Carlo simulation to test the selection bias introduced by dust extinction on optically limited surveys. We simulated a population of quasars that follow distributions in redshift and magnitude determined empirically from the SDSS. We applied a magnitude shift to each quasar that corresponds to the effect of the dust associated with simulated Mg II absorbers placed along that quasar’s line of sight. For various input Mg II number densities dn/dz,3 we added quasars to the simulation until the number of quasars brighter than the SDSS’s limiting magnitude matched the number of quasars in the actual SDSS catalog. Finally, we determine the input dn/dz for which the simulation returns the SDSS-observed distributions of Mg II dn/dz and quasar i magnitude. Using this technique, we determine if dust can explain the GRB and quasar Mg II number density discrepancy.

In this paper, we explain the observationally derived probability distributions upon which our simulation is based in Section 2. In Section 3, we present the algorithm used by our simulation, and in Section 4 we present the statistical properties extracted from many simulation runs. Section 5 places these results in the context of current research.

2. OBSERVATIONAL DATA

2.1. The Mg II Sample

Mg II absorption is readily detected in the optical spectra of objects with 0.4 ≤ z ≤ 2.2 (Lanzetta et al. 1987). Mg II is found

3 For the remainder of this paper, we constrain the quantity dn/dz to 0.4 ≤ z ≤ 2.0 and Mg II equivalent width W0 ≥ 1.0 Å.
at small galaxy impact parameters and can therefore be used as a tracer of galaxies (Lanzetta & Bowen 1990; Steidel et al. 1994). The optical depth of dust has been well approximated over $0.4 \leq z \leq 2.0$ and Mg II equivalent width $W_0 \geq 1.0$ Å with a power law of the form $(\tau_v)(W_0) = \tau_{v,0} (W_0^{0.6})^\alpha$, where $\alpha = 1.88 \pm 0.17$, $\tau_{v,0} = (2.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$, and $W_0$ is the rest equivalent width of the $\lambda 2796$ feature (Ménard et al. 2008).

We calculated the extinction caused by dust associated with Mg II absorbers as a function of their equivalent width $W_0$, their redshift, and their abundance along sight lines to distant objects such as quasars or GRBs. Ménard et al. (2008) determined the correlation between Mg II $W_0$ and the optical depth of dust, and the dust optical depth yields the extinction calculation for one absorber system. We limited our simulated absorbers to $W_0 \geq 1$ Å to remain consistent with the domain of applicability of the Mg II number density and $W_0$ distribution.\footnote{Also, evidence suggests that dust extinction is insignificant below this cutoff (York et al. 2006; Ménard et al. 2008).}

We use the $W_0$ distribution empirically derived by Nestor et al. (2005). Since it is possible that there exist a population of strong Mg II absorbers that contain so much dust that they simply cannot be observed through optical spectroscopy, our estimate of the fraction of dust-obscured quasars should be regarded as a lower limit. We use the Mg II $dn/dz$ as determined by Prochter et al. (2006) as an upper limit in the simulation.

2.2. The Quasar Sample

We used the main spectroscopic quasar sample from the SDSS fifth data release (DR5) as our control catalog. The DR5 differentiates quasars from stars by color and redshift criteria according to an algorithm described by York et al. (2000). The SDSS main quasar sample consists of quasars with $15.0 < i < 19.1$. We exclude quasars with $i > 19.1$ from our analysis. These quasars are detected by the SDSS serendipity fibers and are therefore not detected using a well defined search algorithm.

We further constrained this quasar catalog to the redshift range $z \leq 2.0$. As described in Section 2.1, the number density of intervening Mg II systems is well constrained only within this redshift range. This left us with a final sample size of $N_{\text{SDSS}} = 11361$ quasars. The $i$ band and redshift distributions of the SDSS sample can be found in Figure 1.

3. ANALYSIS METHODS

We produced a sample of simulated quasars that has the same statistical properties as the original SDSS sample. For every simulated quasar, we added a number of Mg II absorbers whose characteristics are based on observationally determined distributions to the sight line. We accounted for the effect of dust by dimming the $i$-band magnitude of the quasar according to a SMC-type extinction law (Prevot et al. 1984; Ménard et al. 2008). Evidence suggests that SMC-like dust is the most common form of dust associated with intervening Mg II (Ménard et al. 2008; Khare et al. 2005a; Wild et al. 2006).

We applied 25 different input values for Mg II $dn/dz$ in the range $0.24 \leq (dn/dz)_i \leq 0.90$. For a given $(dn/dz)_i$, we added quasars to the simulation until the number of quasars whose $i$-band magnitude was brighter than 19.1 equaled the number of quasars in the SDSS sample, $N_{\text{SDSS}}$. Once this stopping criterion was met, we were left with a sample of $N_{\text{sim}}$ simulated quasars. Since extinction dimmed some of these quasars below 19.1, $N_{\text{sim}}$ was always larger than $N_{\text{SDSS}}$. We interpret the quantity $N_{\text{sim}} - N_{\text{SDSS}}$ as the number of quasars the SDSS misses due to dust dimming the $i$ magnitudes past the $i = 19.1$ limit. We repeated this step 500 times and recorded the mean number of quasars in the simulation $\langle N_{\text{sim}} \rangle$, its associated uncertainty $\sigma_{N_{\text{sim}}}$, the mean output Mg II number density $\langle dn/dz \rangle_{\text{out}}$, and its uncertainty $\sigma_{(dn/dz)}$ for all the given $(dn/dz)_i$. Finally, we quantified the relation between intrinsic and observed Mg II number density and its associated uncertainty.

4. RESULTS

For a given $(dn/dz)_i$, we created quasars until the stopping criterion described in Section 2 was met. The primary result from a single run of the simulation was a sample of quasar magnitudes. Several runs’ samples for various values of $(dn/dz)_i$ are plotted in Figure 2 as a solid line histogram, along with the $i$-band distribution of quasars in the main SDSS catalog as a dashed line histogram. The same total numbers of quasars are brighter than 19.1 in each histogram. The solid bins to the right...
of $i = 19.1$ represent the simulated quasars missed by the SDSS due to dust effects.

From a single run with a given $(dn/dz)_{in}$, we derive the value for the Mg $\text{II}$ number density that a hypothetical observer would deduce from observing the populations of quasars that survive the $i < 19.1$ cut $(dn/dz)_{out}$. We show $(dn/dz)_{out}$ as a function of $(dn/dz)_{in}$ in Figure 3, where the inset highlights the data in the $(dn/dz)_{in} \sim 0.25$ range. By interpolating the data with a cubic polynomial, we find that $(dn/dz)_{out} = 0.24$ when $(dn/dz)_{in} = 0.273 \pm 0.002$. We estimate the uncertainty in this value by re-running the simulation with values of $(dn/dz)_{in}$ between 0.265 and 0.281 and finding the values for which $(dn/dz)_{out}$ were different from 0.24 95% of the time.

We present the distribution of $N_{\text{sim}} - N_{\text{SDSS}}$ for an input number density of 0.273 in Figure 4. The data follow a Gaussian distribution, with $(N_{\text{sim}} - N_{\text{SDSS}}) = 280 \pm 20$ quasars. This implies that the SDSS misses $(2.4 \pm 0.2)\%$ of $z \leq 2.0$ quasars due to dust extinction associated with intervening Mg $\text{II}$ absorbers.

5. DISCUSSION

According to the simulation, the discrepancy between Mg $\text{II}$ number densities seen in GRB and quasar sight lines cannot be fully explained by a selection bias introduced by dust extinction. We find that the observed incidence of intervening Mg $\text{II}$ absorbers in quasar sight lines is lowered due to dust extinction by $\approx 10\%$: the unbiased Mg $\text{II}$ $dn/dz$ is likely to be 0.273, which is still very different from the GRB-observed value of $dn/dz = 0.90$. Additionally, we find that at least $\approx 2\%$ of $z < 2.0$ quasars are not included in the SDSS main quasar sample due to dust effects. This value is consistent with the estimate by Ménard et al. (2008) in which they use a different approach.

Porciani et al. (2007) have also tested explanations for the GRB and quasar Mg $\text{II}$ number density discrepancy. Most relevantly to this work, they use mock light cones drawn from the Millennium Run (Springel et al. 2005) to model the number of galaxies that would obscure a population of quasars at $z = 2.3$, assigning Mg $\text{II}$ absorbers probabilistically to galaxies and assuming a relation between Mg $\text{II}$ and dust that best reproduces observed colors. Using this technique, they conclude that 16% of quasars are missed by the SDSS due to dust effects, and that dust extinction can account for the GRB/quasar number density discrepancy only by a factor of $\approx 1.3-2$. A significant difference between this work and the work done by Porciani et al. (2007) is in how we calculate the dust traced by Mg $\text{II}$. Porciani et al. (2007) use a Weibull distribution for color excess $E(B-V)$ values, and assign these values to intervening Mg $\text{II}$ absorbers. A Weibull distribution is...
a continuous probability distribution whose shape is determined by two parameters. Besides constraining these two parameters with the quasar relative color excess $\Delta(g - i)$, the $E(B-V)$ distribution is a free parameter in their model. In this work, we use an empirically derived relationship between Mg $\text{ii}$ and dust reddening. Additionally, our method does not rely on the semianalytical modeling in the Millennium Run.

Though a bias stemming from dust extinction is undoubtedly present in the SDSS, it only accounts for a small fraction of the observed Mg $\text{ii}$ number density discrepancy between GRB and quasar sight lines. Furthermore, none of the other proposed solutions to this problem are satisfactory: Pontzen et al. (2007) show that there is no evidence for systematically smaller Mg $\text{ii}$ equivalent widths over quasar broad emission regions (as compared to quasar continuum regions) and conclude that different Mg $\text{ii}$ covering factors in the two types of lines of sight cannot explain the discrepancy. Cucchiara et al. (2009) investigate the properties of Mg $\text{ii}$ absorbers in GRB and quasar lines of sight and find no difference, thereby arguing to investigate the properties of Mg $\text{ii}$ absorber sample size to better constrain it. Chen et al. (2009) point out that the absorbers are correctly identified as intervening, non-quasar lines of sight and find no difference, thereby arguing.

Of the observed Mg $\text{ii}$ in the SDSS, it only accounts for a small fraction of the observed overdensity in GRB sight lines. Additionally, our method does not rely on the semianalytical modeling in the Millennium Run.

$\Delta$ with the quasar relative color excess $E(g-i)$, the continuous probability distribution whose shape is determined with the factor of $\sim 2\%$ of $z < 2.0$ quasars are not included in the SDSS main sample because of dust obstruction.
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