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Background: Due to current controversies regarding the effect of age on response to treatment in asthmatic patient, the present study was performed on patients referred with acute asthma attack for further evaluation of this matter.

Materials and Methods: In this study 138 patients with severe persistent asthma were enrolled and divided into two categories of young (age ≤35 yrs; 82 cases, mean age = 25.2±7.3 years) and elderly subjects (≥50 yrs; 56 cases, mean age 57.4±6.4 years). Response to treatment was determined by pulmonary function tests.

Results: The mean percentage change of FEV1 from baseline in male and female patients of young and old age was 75.05±46.61 and 71.39±41.30%, (P=0.721) and 100.79±51.34% and 69±37.39% (P=0.015), respectively. The mean percentage of possible improvement of FEV1 among male and female patients of young and old age was 62.81±25.67% and 54.46±23.82% (P=0.148), and 78±24.04% and 63.58±41.24% (P=0.087), respectively.

Conclusion: Response to treatment was significant in both young and old age groups suffering from acute asthmatic attack except for young female patients in which, percentage change of FEV1 increased compared to older patients. Among other patients this value and percentage of possible improvement of FEV1 between the 2 groups did not change significantly and age did not play a significant role in assessing the response to treatment in acute asthmatic attack.
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INTRODUCTION

Asthma is a condition characterized by variability in airflow obstruction, fluctuation of symptoms, and changes in the level of bronchial responsiveness and airway inflammation. Process of aging influences on the structure and function of the respiratory system and results in loss of elastic support of airways, hyperinflation and relative loss of respiratory muscle strength (1).

Various studies have demonstrated that adrenergic system activity is blunted with aging (2-4). For instance, response to isoproterenol, propranolol, and salbutamol declined significantly in the elderly (2-5).It has been suggested that the mechanism of altered beta-adrenergic sensitivity in the elderly is related to reduction of beta-receptor affinity for agonists (6). It has been suggested that aging is associated with reduction in beta-adrenergic responsiveness (7).

Limited studies are available that evaluate the effects of age on bronchodilator response in asthmatic patients (8,9). Rodrigo et al. in a study that was conducted on patients with acute asthma concluded that age is not a predictor of response to beta-agonists (9). Parker reported that aging does not affect bronchodilator response to beta-agonists following methacholine-induced bronchoconstriction (10).
Kradjan et al. reported that both albuterol and ipratropium are effective bronchodilators in young and old asthmatic subjects, and age is not a predictor of response to either drug (8). In another study, Bellia et al. reported that in asthma, aging is associated with a reduced acute responsiveness to bronchodilators (11). They also concluded that patients with early-onset asthma (starting before the age of 40 years), compared to late-onset asthma (starting after 40 years of age) usually have a less reversible airway obstruction and poorer response to pharmacotherapy (11). In asthma, the response pattern is also related to allergy and age. Allergic patients and patients under 60 were more likely to respond better to salbutamol than non-allergic and older patients (12).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of age on treatment response in two groups of patients with acute asthma and different age range, which were referred to our clinic.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was carried out on 138 patients in a private clinic who were treated for severe persistent asthma, between March 2007 and February 2009, with a 2-week period follow-up.

Patients were considered eligible if they suffered from asthma according to the American Thoracic Society criteria (ATS criteria) (13). The ATS has developed criteria which suggest a significant post-bronchodilator FEV1 and/or FVC response of 200 mL or greater and 12% improvement from baseline (13). Age-wise, patients were divided into two groups of young (age ≤ 35 years), and elderly (> 50 years).

The participants’ age ranged from 15 to 65 years in both males and females; and the diagnosis of severe persistent asthma was made according to clinical findings and FEV1 value ≤ 60% of the predicted (14). Patients classified as severe persistent asthma in this study correspond to the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program classification of severe persistent asthma (14).

Patients were excluded if they were smokers, pregnant, or had developed pneumothorax, emphysema, other acute or chronic respiratory diseases, hypertension, unstable angina, or other prominent cardiovascular diseases. A spirometer (Fukuda St 95, Japan) was used to measure pulmonary function. Spirometry was performed in accordance with the ATS standards for acceptability and reproducibility of the forced vital capacity (FVC) maneuver (13). According to ATS guidelines, accurate spirometry requires three acceptable spirometry tests that demonstrate reproducibility. The FVC and FEV1 values from these three tests should vary by no more than 5% or 100 mL, whichever is greater. Spirometry was performed on patients who received no drugs at least 24 hours before attendance. In all patients, the treatment was adjusted according to the level of severity (15). All patients were treated with an inhalation of Short-acting beta2-agonists for symptom control, along with high dose Fluticasone / salmeterol (250 µg-50 µg) 2 inhalations twice daily, and a short course of oral prednisone (40 -60 mg daily). After two weeks, a new spirometric assessment was performed under the same conditions in all patients. The results of pulmonary function tests for each subject were calculated as percentage of change in FEV1 relative to baseline and as percentage of possible improvement in FEV1 by the following formula:

\[
\text{Percentage of change} = \frac{\text{Observed} - \text{baseline}}{\text{baseline}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Percentage of possible improvement} = \frac{\text{Observed} - \text{baseline}}{\text{Predicted} - \text{baseline}} \times 100
\]

where observed is the post bronchodilator value, and baseline is the baseline value on the day of testing. The latter calculation, as advocated by Weber et al (16), allows for a more accurate comparison of response between two populations with different baseline pulmonary function. Response expressed as percentage of change from baseline may be misleading in subjects with lower baseline function compared to those with higher baseline values.
In an earlier study (17), the percentage change of FEV1 after two weeks of treatment was 63±13%. Estimation from power and sample size calculations show that the minimum number of samples in each group was 56 subjects that was sufficient to detect an 8% difference with \( \alpha = 5\% \) and \( \beta = 0.1 \) (i.e., with 90% power).

Data are presented as mean ± SD in parentheses. Baseline data of the two groups were compared by t test for independent samples. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant for all statistical tests.

**RESULTS**

Out of 138 patients that completed the study, 82 were young with a mean age of 25.2±7.3 yrs; (41 males, 41 females), and 56 were elderly with a mean age of 57.4±6.4 yrs; (35 males, 21 females). The baseline characteristics of studied patients are presented in Table 1. There were no significant differences regarding baseline FEV1 level (% predicted) before treatment between the two groups of young and elderly patients.

Table 2 summarizes the value of pulmonary function tests after treatment in both groups. After treatment, mean FEV1 improved significantly in both groups in comparison with its baseline values (p<0.001).

As shown in Table 2, there were no significant differences between two groups regarding the mean±SD FEV1 changes. On the other hand, although there was a difference between two groups concerning the mean±SD of percentage of possible improvement of FEV1, this difference was not statistically significant. The mean±SD of baseline FEV1 value was greater in the young than in the elderly patients (1.57±0.47 lit vs. 1.28±0.38 lit; respectively, p<0.001).

As shown in Table 2, although the mean± SD percentage changes of FEV1 in young patients was more than in the elderly, only in female subjects it was statistically significant (p = 0.015). The percentage change of FEV1 in male subjects was not statistically significant (p= 0.721).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of pulmonary function tests of both understudy groups (mean ±SD)

| Variable               | Young patients (n=82) | Elderly patients (n=56) | P value |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Male/Female            | 41/41                 | 35/21                   |         |
| Baseline FEV1, Lit     | 1.57±0.47             | 1.28±0.38               | <0.001  |
| Baseline FEV1,% pred.  | 45.8±11.8             | 42±11.4                 | 0.83    |
| Predicted FEV1, Lit    | 3.39±0.67             | 2.80±0.58               | <0.001  |

Table 2. Mean± SD of pulmonary function tests, percentage of possible improvement and percentage change of FEV1 among young and elderly patients.

| Variables              | Groups                | Young patients (n=82) | Elderly patients (n=56) | P value |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| FEV1, Lit              |                       | 2.83±0.70             | 2.10±0.55               | 0.001<  |
| FEV1, %pred.           |                       | 84.1±15.8             | 77.3±15.83              | 0.013   |
| Percentage change of FEV1 in males |           | 75.05±46.61           | 71.39±41.30             | 0.721   |
| Percentage change of FEV1 in females |            | 100.79±51.34          | 69±37.39                | 0.015   |
| Percentage of possible improvement of FEV1 in males |         | 62.81±25.67           | 54.4±23.82              | 0.148   |
| Percentage of possible improvement of FEV1 in females |          | 78±24.04              | 63.5±41.24              | 0.087   |
DISCUSSION

The current study demonstrated that age does not affect bronchodilator response to treatment. In this study only percentage change of FEV1 in young females compared with elderly females was significant (p=0.015). In other words, elderly patients with severe persistent asthma did not show a significantly less response to bronchodilators when compared to young patients.

In another study, the age range of patients with acute asthmatic attack was about 30-35 years, and the average value of FEV1 was 30% predicted (18). In the present study, the baseline predictable FEV1 value at the same time was higher; it was 46% and 46.36% in young and elderly patients, respectively. This difference was related to the method of patient selection, because the studied subjects mostly referred to private clinics. In the current study, patients were divided into two groups: the young (age ≤ 35 years), and the elderly (≥ 50 years). The cut-off age in our study was similar to the mean age of patients with acute asthma at presentation to the emergency room (9, 19-21).

The goals of asthma therapy in elderly patients are not different from those in younger asthmatic patients (22). The therapeutic approach for asthma in elderly patients does not differ from what is recommended for young patients. Although, the patho-physiologic mechanisms of asthma in the elderly are likely to be different from those seen in young asthmatic patients, and these differences might influence the clinical course and outcomes of asthma in this population. Moreover, characteristics and drug response in the elderly asthmatic patients differ from those seen in childhood asthma. Compared with younger cohorts, elderly asthmatic patients have a higher prevalence, and higher rates of bronchial hyper-reactivity, more severe asthma, and a lower prevalence of atopy. The symptoms of elderly asthmatic patients are more difficult to control with drug therapy, and these patients have steroid resistance and might respond better to leukotriene receptor antagonists compared with inhaled corticosteroids.

β-adrenergic agents are important medications for the acute and chronic management of asthma. Elderly patients with asthma might be less responsive to certain bronchodilators compared with younger patients (23,24). Anti-cholinergic and beta agonist agents are efficient in both young and old asthmatic patients, and generally age is not a predictor of response to either drug (8). In a study, Parker reported that beta-agonist responsiveness after methacholine-induced bronchoconstriction was similar in young and older subjects, and aging does not affect bronchodilator response to beta-agonist after methacholine-induced bronchoconstriction (10). Oosaki et al, from Japan reported that, in adult asthmatics the age of onset of asthma may not be related to the response to anti-asthmatic medications (25). Turner et al. reported that in young asthmatic children (age 3-9 years) the level of response to a bronchodilator increases significantly with increasing age (26).

Few large clinical studies have compared the results of asthma therapies in the elderly with the rest of the asthmatic population (27,28). Recently Haughney et al. in a randomized 6-month study showed that no difference in responses to budesonide/formoterol maintenance and reliever therapy was seen between the elderly and the younger patients (29). In their study Five-item Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ-5) scores improved equally in the two age groups (29).

In order to eliminate bias related to the degree of airway obstruction, we used the formula “percentage of possible improvement” to express the actual improvement. By using this formula, there was no significant difference between two age groups, in response to bronchodilator with respect to age. In this study, although the percentage changes of FEV1, in comparison with the baseline in young male and female asthmatic patients were higher than the changes in the elderly, only in the latter groups it was statistically significant (p=0.015). It must be mentioned that the baseline values of FEV1 in elderly patients are lesser than young subjects (1.28±0.38 lit vs. 1.57±0.47 lit). Various studies have indicated that improvement in pulmonary
function tests has a close association with the intensity of airflow obstruction (30,31). Accordingly, in our study the evaluation of method was based on calculation of the percentage of possible improvement in FEV1. Other researches had the same base (8,9). With this correction, there was no significant difference between the groups in terms of age.

Adrenergic responses suppress with aging, and old patients in comparison with young subjects need higher doses of isoproterenol or propranolol for regulation of heart rate (3). The elderly have reduced beta-adrenergic sensitivity to agonists, which is related to reduced beta-receptor affinity (6). Aging decreases responsiveness in several receptor systems, including the beta-adrenergic receptor system (7). The response to treatment with ipratropium and salbutamol is related to age. In a study by Ullah et al, it was reported that the response to salbutamol declined significantly with age, whereas response to ipratropium did not. In general, in patients aged less than 40 years salbutamol is the drug of choice. By advancing age, and the apparent decline of beta-adrenergic responsiveness, the initially comparatively small response to ipratropium becomes relatively more important and may predominate (5).

Aging results in changes in immune cell function that have been described for T-cells, macrophages, neutrophils, dendritic cells, and eosinophils. Peripheral blood eosinophils were isolated from younger (20-40 years old) and older (55-80 years old) subjects for in vitro functional assays. The eosinophil effector functions of degranulation and superoxide production were diminished in the older compared to younger asthmatic patients (32).

In young and old asthmatic patients with acute asthmatic attacks that received anti asthmatic drug, age did not play any role in evaluating the response to treatment, and only the percentage of possible improvement in FEV1 in males with acute asthma compared with elderly patients was statistically significant. It indicated that response to bronchodilator in acute asthma was not blunted in older patients in comparison with young ones.

We conclude that in severe persistent asthma age is not a predictor of response to treatment.
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