Improved infrared photometry and a preliminary parallax measurement for the extremely cold brown dwarf CWISEP J144606.62−231717.8
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Abstract

We present follow-up Spitzer observations at 3.6 µm (ch1) and 4.5 µm (ch2) of CWISEP J144606.62−231717.8, one of the coldest known brown dwarfs in the solar neighborhood. This object was found by mining the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) and NEOWISE data via the CatWISE Preliminary Catalog by Meisner et al. (2019b), where an initial Spitzer color of ch1−ch2 = 3.71 ± 0.44 mag was reported, implying it could be one of the reddest, and hence coldest, known brown dwarfs. Additional Spitzer data presented here allows us to revise its color to ch1−ch2 = 2.986 ± 0.048 mag, which makes CWISEP J144606.62−231717.8 the 5th reddest brown dwarf ever observed. A preliminary trigonometric parallax measurement, based on a combination of WISE and Spitzer astrometry, places this object at a distance of 10.1 +1.7 −1.3 pc. Based on our improved Spitzer color and preliminary parallax, CWISEP J144606.62−231717.8 has a Teff in the 310–360 K range. Assuming an age of 0.5–13 Gyr, this corresponds to a mass between 2 and 20 MJup.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Ever since its discovery in 2014, WISE J085510.83−071442.5 (Luhman 2014, hereafter W0855) has remained the coldest brown dwarf known. With an estimated effective temperature of ~ 250 K, W0855 represents an isolated extreme of the substellar spectral sequence. The census of the coldest, lowest mass constituents of the solar neighborhood is however known to be incomplete. Kirkpatrick et al. (2019) have estimated the current completeness limit to be 19 pc in the 900–1050 K interval, but to decrease to only 8 pc in the 300–450 K interval. At even lower Teff, W0855 is the only object known.

Obtaining a more complete census of extremely cold brown dwarfs is a fundamental step towards robustly constraining the efficiency and history of the star formation process at its lowest mass (Kirkpatrick et al. 2019). Solivagant objects with mass as low as a few Jupiter masses (MJup) have been found in star formation regions and nearby, young moving groups (Esplin & Luhman 2019; Luhman et al. 2018; Lodieu et al. 2018; Zapatero Osorio et al. 2017; Faherty et al. 2016). Older, isolated objects with these masses therefore should exist, and numerical simulations show that their space density is extremely sensitive to the low-mass cutoff for star formation (Kirkpatrick et al. 2019).

Using data from the recently released CatWISE Preliminary Catalog (Eisenhardt et al. 2019) and a com-
bination of machine learning and color-, magnitude-, and proper motion-based selection criteria, Meisner et al. (2019b, hereafter M19) identified a large sample of candidate cool brown dwarfs in the solar neighborhood. Through a dedicated Spitzer observing campaign to obtain 3.6µm (ch1) and 4.5µm (ch2) data and improved proper motion measurement, M19 confirmed 114 objects in their sample to be nearby brown dwarfs, with 17 of them having Spitzer ch1–ch2 color clearly indicating $T_{\text{eff}} < 460$ K, corresponding to spectral type Y0 or later. CWISEP J144606.62–231717.8 (hereafter CW1446) stands out among them, with a ch1–ch2 color of $3.71 \pm 0.44$ mag, potentially supplanting W0855 (ch1–ch2=3.55 ± 0.07 mag) as the reddest and therefore coldest brown dwarf known. Here we present additional Spitzer observations that better constrain the color of this source, and provide a preliminary measurement of its parallax.

In Section 2, we briefly summarize the brown dwarf candidate selection that led to the discovery of CW1446 and the data available prior to this paper. In Section 3 we present new Spitzer follow-up observations and the resulting improved photometry, and in Section 4 we combine all of the Spitzer and WISE astrometry to obtain a preliminary parallax measurement. In Section 5 we derive the basic properties for CW1446, and in Section 6 we put this new object into context and discuss future work.

2. SOURCE SELECTION AND EXISTING DATA

CW1446 was found as part of our larger effort to complete the census of very cold brown dwarfs in the solar neighborhood using the CatWISE Preliminary Catalog\(^1\), an infrared photometric and astrometric catalog consisting of 900,849,014 sources over the entire sky selected from WISE and NEOWISE data collected from 2010 to 2016 at W1 (3.4µm) and W2 (4.6µm) (Eisenhardt et al. 2019).

The search was conducted using the Python package XGBoost\(^2\) (Chen & Guestrin 2016), which implements machine learning algorithms under the gradient boosting framework. A detailed description of the search procedure is given in Marocco et al. (2019), and here we only briefly summarise the most important steps.

We trained the XGBoost model with a set of known T and Y dwarfs taken from the literature, cross-matched against CatWISE to obtain their CatWISE data. The model was trained on a set of the CatWISE data available for a given source, including aperture and PSF photometry, proper motion, the $\chi^2$ of the measurements, and artifact flags. Sample weights were applied to mitigate the class imbalance in the training set.

After training the XGBoost classifier with our initial training set, we applied it to the entire CatWISE catalog, and selected $\sim$10,000 objects with the highest predicted probability of being cold brown dwarfs. We then visually inspected each object, using available optical, near- and mid-infrared images and the online image blinking/visualization tool WiseView\(^3\) (Caselden et al. 2018). Objects passing this inspection, with $W1 - W2$ color visually consistent with $W1 - W2 > 1$ mag, and with by-eye motion\(^4\), were added to the training set. We then iterated by re-training the classifier on the full training data, and applied the re-trained classifier to the entire catalog to select another batch of high probability positive class entries. The selection yielded an initial sample of 32 late-T and Y dwarf candidates, with either no detection or a marginal detection in W1 and visible motion. These were followed up through our Spitzer campaign (program 14034, Meisner, PI) to obtain ch1 and ch2 photometry to estimate effective temperature and photometric distance. The results are presented in M19. CW1446 is the reddest (therefore coldest) among the objects presented in M19, with ch2 = 15.802±0.024 mag, and ch1–ch2 = 3.71±0.44 mag. Photometric data available prior to our follow-up is summarized in Table 1.

3. SPITZER FOLLOW-UP

Follow-up Spitzer ch1 observations were taken as part of program 14307 (Marocco, PI). We took thirty-six exposures of 100s, using a random dither pattern of medium scale. The total integration time was designed to achieve SNR~10, based on the ch1 magnitude from our PID 14034 data. Photometry was measured following the same procedure described in Marocco et al. (2019). The new ch1 mosaic is presented in Figure 1.

We also obtained Spitzer ch2 photometry as part of program 14224 (Kirkpatrick, PI). Because these observations were intended for high-precision astrometry, we designed the observations to have SNR > 100 at each epoch. Given the brightness of CW1446 (W2~15.8 mag), we took 9 exposures of 100s using a random dither pattern of medium scale.

We performed both aperture and PRF-fit photometric measurements using using the Spitzer MOsaicker

---

\(^1\) available at \url{https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/cgi-bin/Gator/nph-scan?mission=irsa&submit=Select&projshort=WISE}\ and \url{catwise.github.io}

\(^2\) \url{https://xgboost.readthedocs.io/en/latest/}

\(^3\) \url{http://byw.tools/wiseview}

\(^4\) Roughly 1/2 pixel over the 8-years baseline, or $\approx 170$ mas yr$^{-1}$
Figure 1. 1×1 arcmin cutouts from the unWISE W2 epoch coadd (top left Meisner et al. 2019a), and the Spitzer ch1 and ch2 mosaics, centered around CW1446. Red crosses mark its position at the earliest unWISE epoch (2010.10–2010.60), and the first Spitzer epoch (2019.44). The second Spitzer epoch exhibits motion along the R.A. axis which is not consistent with the proper motion of the source, hinting at its large parallactic motion (see Section 4 for details).
Table 1. Photometry and astrometry for CW1446.

| Parameter       | Units | Value        | Ref. | Notes               |
|-----------------|-------|--------------|------|---------------------|
| FLAMINGOS-2 J   | mag   | >22.36       | M19  |                     |
| CatWISE W1      | mag   | 18.281±0.292 | M19  | motion fit          |
| CatWISE W2      | mag   | 15.998±0.094 | M19  | motion fit          |
| Spitzer ch1     | mag   | 19.682±0.424 | M19  | aperture – May 2019 |
| Spitzer ch2     | mag   | 15.915±0.022 | M19  | aperture – May 2019 |
| Spitzer ch1     | mag   | 19.340±0.445 | M19  | PRF fit – May 2019  |
| Spitzer ch2     | mag   | 15.689±0.026 | M19  | PRF fit – May 2019  |
| Spitzer ch1     | mag   | 18.951±0.034 | this letter | aperture – Nov. 2019 |
| Spitzer ch2     | mag   | 15.927±0.017 | this letter | aperture – Nov. 2019 |
| ϖ               | mas   | 99.2±14.7    | this letter |                     |
| μα cos δ        | mas yr⁻¹ | -794.3±51.9 | this letter |                     |
| μδ              | mas yr⁻¹ | -964.8±30.7 | this letter |                     |
| v_tan           | km s⁻¹ | 59.7±9.0     | this letter |                     |
and Point Source EXttractor with point-source extraction package (MOPEX/APEX; Makovoz & Khan 2005; Makovoz & Marleau 2005). Custom mosaics were built to provide better cosmic-ray removal than the default post basic calibrated data files (pBCD) provide. For this custom processing we coadded the corrected basic calibrated data (CBCD) frames and ran detections on the resultant coadd. Raw fluxes were then measured by MOPEX/APEX using the stack of individual CBCD files that comprised the coadd. These raw fluxes were converted to magnitudes by applying aperture corrections and comparing to the published ch1 and ch2 flux zero points, as described in section 5.1 of Kirkpatrick et al. (2019).

The new ch1 and ch2 measurements, presented in Table 1, yield a revised ch1–ch2 color of 2.986±0.048 mag (PRF; the aperture color is 3.024±0.038 mag). The new color is significantly bluer than its preliminary value (3.71±0.44 mag), mostly because of the large difference in ch1. The measured ch1 PRF flux from the early Spitzer observations is 5.3±2.1 µJy, while the new measurement is 7.86±0.31 µJy, corresponding to a 1.2σ difference, while the aperture flux measurements are 3.1±1.2 µJy and 6.11±0.18 µJy respectively, corresponding to a 2.5σ difference.

4. ASTROMETRY

Kirkpatrick et al. (2019) describes the methodology used to measure astrometry from the Spitzer images, but we have made a few improvements since then. First, we now match bright re-registration stars in each frame to Gaia DR2 and use only those Gaia stars that have full five-parameter solutions. Second, in order to assure that we have enough stars per frame with which to do the re-registration, we select stars down to a SNR value of 30. For CW1446, this resulted in 56 re-registration stars. Third, because we have chosen re-registration stars with full astrometric solutions, we can predict their absolute positions at the time of each Spitzer epochal observation, thus allowing us to measure astrometry on the absolute reference frame from the start. No relative-to-absolute adjustment is therefore needed.

The original Spitzer ch2 observation from program 14034 (Meisner, PI) was the only one obtained in the penultimate observing window. We have requested six additional ch2 observations in the final Spitzer observing window, which was open from 2019 early-November through mid-December. We present the first of those six observations here. These Spitzer data alone, however, are not sufficient to decouple proper motion and parallax. For this we relied on WISE W2 detections. Specifically, we took the twelve unWISE epochal coadds (Meisner et al. 2019a, and references therein) spanning the range 2010 February to 2018 July, and performed CROWD SOURCE (Schlafly et al. 2019, 2018) detections on the full unWISE tile containing the position of CW1446 (tile 2215m228, centered on R.A.=221.5°, Dec. =–22.8°). For each epoch, we matched these detections to objects in Gaia DR2 with full five-parameter solutions. These Gaia objects were placed at their expected positions at the time of the WISE observations so that, again, astrometry could be re-registered onto the absolute Gaia DR2 reference frame. Additional information on this process can be found in M19. These unWISE data were then associated with the position of the Earth at the mean time of each unWISE epoch, and an astrometric fit was run using the prescription discussed in Section 5.2.3 of Kirkpatrick et al. (2019).

The resulting fit is given in Table 1 and illustrated in Figure 2. The parallactic solution should be considered preliminary and of low confidence because there is only a single high-quality data point anchoring each side of the parallactic ellipse. The low confidence of the solution is also reflected in the large parallactic error of ~15%.

5. ANALYSIS

With a ch1–ch2 color of 2.986±0.048, and a distance of 10.1^{+1.7}_{−1.3} pc, CW1446 is the one of the reddest, least luminous, and therefore likely coldest brown dwarfs known in the solar neighborhood. Figure 3 shows T_eff and M_ch2 as a function of Spitzer ch1–ch2 color for a sample of known late-T and Y dwarfs from the literature (see Kirkpatrick et al. 2019, and references therein). The ch1–ch2 to T_eff and M_ch2 to T_eff polynomial relations presented in Kirkpatrick et al. (2019) imply a T_eff in the range ~310–360 K for CW1446 (see Figure 3).

For such a cold T_eff, and if we assume CW1446 is a field object (i.e. with age in the ~500 Myr – 13 Gyr range), the BT-Settl models (Allard et al. 2012, 2013) imply a mass in the range 2–20 M_Jup. However, given its relatively high tangential velocity (59.7±9.0 km s^-1), CW1446 is unlikely to be very young. If we assume CW1446 is coeval with the population of nearby ultracool dwarfs, whose age is in the range ~1.5–6.5 Gyr (see e.g. Wang et al. 2018, and references therein), we find its mass to be between 4 and 14 M_Jup.

Despite being slightly bluer, our preliminary parallax suggests CW1446 is as luminous as WISE J035000.32–565830.2, currently the second reddest brown dwarf known (ch1–ch2=3.25±0.10 mag, M_ch2 = 15.90 ± 0.04 mag). Comparison to the Y0 dwarf spectral standard, WISE J173835.53+273259.0 (Cushing et al. 2011), shows that CW1446 is clearly redder (ch1–ch2= 2.986 ± 0.048 mag vs. 2.620 ± 0.056 mag) and less
Figure 2. Proper motion + parallax fit to the combined Spitzer and unWISE W2 data for CW1446. Left: The full astrometric solution and full set of empirical measurements. The unWISE W2 epochal data are shown by the black points with large error bars and the Spitzer data are shown by the the black points with the much smaller error bars. The fit of the astrometric path of the object as seen from Spitzer is shown by the blue curve, and the astrometric path as seen from the Earth is shown by the orange curve. The red lines connect each data point with the spot on the relevant curve at that epoch. Right: A square patch of sky centered at the mean equatorial position of the target. The green curve is the parallactic fit, which is just the blue curve in the previous panel with the proper motion vector removed. Solid and dashed pale purple lines are the ecliptic latitude and longitude coordinate grid, respectively. This panel omits, for clarity, the less accurate unWISE astrometry.

Figure 3. $T_{\text{eff}}$ (left panel) and absolute Spitzer ch2 magnitude (right panel) as a function of Spitzer ch1–ch2 colors for nearby late-T and Y dwarfs. Black points are all objects with $T_{\text{eff}} < 600$ K and measured parallaxes taken from Kirkpatrick et al. (2019, Table 8). The red dashed lines in the left panel encompass the 1σ color range for CW1446. Overplotted in blue is the polynomial relation presented in Kirkpatrick et al. (2019). The four objects redder than CW1446 are WISE J220905.73+271143.8 (labelled W2209 on the plot; Kirkpatrick et al. 2011), WISEA J235402.79+024014.1 (W2354; Schneider et al. 2015), WISE J035000.32–565830.2 (W0350; Kirkpatrick et al. 2012), and WISE J085510.83–071442.5 (W0855; Luhman 2014).
luminous (Mch2 = 15.90±0.04 mag vs. 15.06±0.04 mag). Interpolating the spectral type to Spitzer color and Mch2 relations presented in Kirkpatrick et al. (2019), we find CW1446 would have a spectral type of ≈Y1.5. However, we warn the reader that the scatter in the spectral type to color and magnitude relations for such cold objects is still not well quantified or understood, with spectroscopically classified Y0 dwarfs occupying a ∼1 mag range in ch1–ch2 and a ∼1.3 mag range in Mch2 (see Figure 4 and 5 in Kirkpatrick et al. 2019). Moreover, the Spitzer ch1 and ch2 photometry probes a different wavelength regime than the near-infrared spectral types, which are defined based on the morphology of the J- and H-band spectra (Cushing et al. 2011), and are therefore likely sensitive to different physical and chemical processes. Therefore further interpretation of CW1446 with respect to the rest of the cold brown dwarf population based on Spitzer data alone is unwarranted.

Shorter wavelength photometric detections are unavailable for this object, given that it is well below the detection threshold for existing optical and near-infrared surveys. Our dedicated FLAMINGOS-2 observations lead to MKO J > 22.36 mag (M19), implying J − ch2 > 6.44 mag, consistent with the T_eff derived here.

6. DISCUSSION

The upcoming Spitzer astrometric observations will allow us to improve the constraint on the distance to this object, securing one of the two vertices of the parallactic ellipse. However, due to the end of the Spitzer mission, no further measurement is possible at the opposite vertex, limiting the improvement we can expect. Further characterization of CW1446 anyway requires spectroscopic follow-up. Given the T_eff estimate and preliminary distance measurement presented here, the expected H magnitude for CW1446 is 24–25.5 mag, a depth prohibitive for ground-based spectroscopy with existing facilities. Spectroscopic characterization can therefore only be provided by the upcoming James Webb Space Telescope.

CW1446 occupies the sparsely populated 300 – 400 K regime. W0855 however remains the only T_eff < 300 K object known to date. Given the brightness and proximity of W0855, Wright et al. (2014) estimated that the existing WISE data should contain of order 4–35 “W0855-like” objects, and predicted that, if such objects did indeed exist, astrometric analysis of the combination of AllWISE and NEOWISE data would allow their discovery.

Yet W0855-like objects remain elusive, despite investigations of WISE and NEOWISE data using the CatWISE Preliminary Catalog (Eisenhardt et al. 2019) and the “Backyard Worlds: Planet 9” citizen science project (Kuchner et al. 2017).

The upcoming CatWISE 2020 catalog will be based on the full set of publicly available WISE and NEOWISE data covering the 2010–2018 baseline, and achieves significantly better completeness and motion sensitivity, so may reveal colder objects. Further advancement on the question of whether there is a low mass cutoff to star formation may need to wait for the Near Earth Object Surveyor (formerly NEOCam), which will provide even deeper imaging of most of the sky at wavelengths similar to W2, with a mission length of at least 5 years.
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