The colon and terminal ileum in patients with ankylosing spondylitis and controls in Bangladesh: a macroscopic and microscopic study
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Abstract
Objective. Little is known about gut lesions in AS patients in a developing country, such as Bangladesh.
Methods. Full colonoscopy, including the terminal ileum, was performed in 60 AS patients and 20 controls, without diarrhoea, to study macroscopic and microscopic lesions.
Results. In the colon, in 60 AS patients 17 macroscopic lesions were found, of which 11 were in the rectum; only one lesion was found in 20 controls. The prevalence of microscopic lesions in the ascending colon, sigmoid colon and rectum was 51, 44 and 50 in patients, respectively, and 13, 9 and 8 in controls. In the terminal ileum, macroscopic and microscopic lesions were seen in 21/56 and 43/56 AS patients, respectively, and in 1/20 and 9/20 controls. In the AS group, macroscopic (38.5 vs 5%, \(P < 0.01\)) and microscopic (76.8 vs 45%, \(P = 0.009\)) lesions were more frequent than in controls; no IBD was diagnosed. Findings were comparable in the axial AS group (\(n = 25\)) and the mainly peripheral group (\(n = 35\)). In AS patients, marked eosinophilic infiltration was observed in the ascending colon and sigmoid colon but not in the rectum, and this infiltration was more than in controls. The colonic mucosa in controls was otherwise comparable with western studies. Anaemia was seen in 18/60 cases. No association was found between anaemia or HLA-B27 status and gut lesions.
Conclusion. There was an equal percentage of microscopic lesions in the whole gut in AS cases and healthy controls. Previous helminth invasions might have played a role. Lesions differ significantly between AS and controls only in the ileum; therefore, the ileal lesions might be more disease related than the colonic ones.
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Introduction
AS is the prototype of a group of disorders called spondyloarthritides [1], which show familial aggregation, arthritis of sacroiliac and peripheral joints with enthesopathy, a high association with HLA-B27 and absence of RF [2, 3]. Associations between inflammatory gut lesions caused by Salmonella, Shigella, Yersina and reactive arthritis are well established [4–6]. The prevalence of spondyloarthritides, including AS, in Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis is high [7, 8]. The prevalence rates have been described as 10–15% for sacroiliitis and 7–12% for spondylitis, although the figures are probably higher [7].

Some 10% of patients with IBD attending a gastroenterology unit fulfilled the criteria for AS, and an additional 18% of patients had asymptomatic sacroiliitis detected by conventional X-ray [7]. In contrast, subclinical inflammatory gut lesions were also reported in patients with spondyloarthritides. In Belgian and Scandinavian studies, macroscopic and microscopic changes have been identified in up to 50% of patients with...
spondyloarthritides [9–11]. One study in Bangladesh looked at the colon in patients with AS and in normal subjects, with short colonoscopy; the frequency of inflammatory lesions was 50% in AS patients. An additional finding in that study was an eosinophilic infiltration in 85.7% of AS patients and in 80% of controls, probably because of the prevalence of helminthic infections in this part of the world [12].

Anaemia is a common finding in patients with inflammatory arthritis [13]. The exact prevalence of anaemia in patients with AS is unknown, but it is very common in AS [14, 15].

In this study, full colonoscopy was performed in AS patients and in controls to answer the following questions.

(i) What is the prevalence of gut lesions when using full colonoscopy? (ii) Does the frequency of gut lesions differ between mainly axial and mainly peripheral AS patients? (iii) Is anaemia in AS patients related to gut lesions? (iv) Is the eosinophilic infiltration in the rectum lower after deworming than in our earlier study?

Methods

This observational study was carried out in the Departments of Rheumatology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Modern One Stop Arthritis Care and Research Center (MOAC&RC), Department of Gastroenterology and Department of Pathology (BSMMU), Dhaka, Bangladesh from July 2011 to June 2012.

Following the purposive sampling method, consecutive AS patients were enrolled who fulfilled the revised New York criteria (1984), were not taking DMARDs or corticosteroids (CSs), and had no history of diarrhoea and dysentery in last 1 month and no contraindication for colonoscopy. A total of 60 consecutive AS patients and 20 age- and sex-matched controls were included in the study after informed consent.

The 20 controls consisted of 10 clinically healthy volunteers and 10 persons visiting the gastroenterologist with upper gastrointestinal problems, with a plan to evaluate the upper gut by endoscopy and having no lower gut complaints and not using NSAIDs in last month, who were invited to participate in the study as controls.

In all AS patients, X-rays were made of the lumbosacral spine including both SI joints (anteroposterior and lateral views), and X-rays of SI joints with oblique view were done to assess the radiological status of the disease. At baseline, complete blood count, CRP and HLA-B27 were done. In this study, subjects having haemoglobin levels of ≤10 g/dl were considered to be anaemic [16].

All AS patients were assessed using items of the Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society (ASAS) core set [17]: BASDAI for disease activity and visual analog scale (VAS) pain score in the past week. The English version was interviewer administered. Enthesitis was assessed using the Maastricht score [18]. The AS patients without peripheral arthritis were classified as the axial group, and those with tender or swollen peripheral joints on examination were classified as the peripheral group.

After assessment, all AS patients and controls were de-wormed with mebendazole 100 mg every 12 hourly for 3 days and after 10 days by albendazole 400 mg for total eradication. This de-worming was done in patients and controls, because in our previous study with short colonoscopy a high eosinophil count was found [12], and in Bangladesh helminthes are the most common cause of gut eosinophilia.

After 14 days, subjects were prepared for full colonoscopy. The colon was prepared with 20% mannitol; no premedication was used. Macroscopic lesions were graded as follows: grade 0, normal; grade 1, redness and oedema of mucosa; grade 2, small ulceration of mucosa; and grade 3, mucosal oedema, ulcerations and haemorrhage [12]. Biopsy specimens were taken from the colon and rectum of all subjects. Two specimens were taken from all subjects irrespective of the presence or absence of macroscopically evident lesions, and another two specimens were taken from macroscopically evident lesions, if available. One biopsy was taken from the proximal colon and two from the distal colon. The biopsy sites of each subject were recorded. Histological features were graded from 0 to 3 in the specimens following the Cuvelier et al. [19] grading. The higher grading represents more chronic inflammation, as follows: grade 0, normal; grade 1, lymphoid hyperplasia, increase in chronic inflammatory cell content in the lamina propria, with or without eosinophilia, but no evidence of cryptitis or epithelial abnormalities; grade 2, diffuse increase of inflammatory cells in the lamina propria with partial villous flattening, crypt distortion and reactive hyperplasia of crypt cell epithelium, infiltration of crypt cell epithelium with neutrophils, crypt abscesses; and grade 3, aphthous ulcerations with or without epithelialoid granulomas. Stage I lesions were considered to be a part of the spectrum of normal terminal ileal histology.

All measurements and observations were done at ×400 magnification (×10 ocular and ×40 objective lens). For eosinophilic infiltration, the cell count was done by calculating the mean from three high-power fields (HPFs) of each biopsy specimen [20].

Data analysis

Data were entered and calculated using SPSS 17.1 version for Windows. Frequencies of macroscopic and microscopic lesions were calculated as percentages. Associations between different parameters were analysed by Chi-square test (χ²) test and Fisher’s exact test, and P value < 0.05 implies statistical significance.

Ethics

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University Shahbagh, Dhaka, Bangladesh. The study was
performed following the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and informed consent was obtained from all participants before enrolment.

Results
A total of 65 subjects in the AS group and 35 subjects in the control group were invited to participate in this study. Four females and one male in AS group, nine females and six males in control group refused to participate, leaving 60 AS patients and 20 controls in the study.

In this study, the male-to-female ratio was 3:1 in the AS group and 7:3 in controls. The mean (s.d.) age of the AS group was 30.4 (9.6) years and of controls 33.0 (12.0) years. Of the AS patients, 44 (73.3%) had a family history of low back pain, enthesitis was seen in 51.67% and only one patient had uveitis. The axial group consisted of 25 cases (male 20, female 5) and the predominantly peripheral group of 35 cases (male 25, female 10).

HLAB-27 was tested in 37 cases; of these, 20 (59%) were HLA-B27+ (14 male, female 6) and 17 were HLAB-27− (male 13, female 4).

The disease duration of the AS patients (n = 60) was <12 months in 14 (23.3%), 12–24 months in 11 (18.3%), 24–48 months in 11 (18.3%) and >48 months in 24 (40%). Further demographics are summarized in Table 1.

Treatment
Among 60 patients, 43 had no history of use of DMARDs; in the past, 15 had been on SSZ for some time, 1 had taken MTX and 1 HCQ; in total, 9 patients had been on CSs for some time in the past. None had ever taken a biological. Among mainly peripheral AS patients, 11/35 had taken SSZ in the past and 1 HCQ and 9/35 took CSs for some time previously. Among the mainly axial AS cases, 4/25 had taken SSZ and 1/25 had taken MTX previously. All had stopped these treatments ≥3 months before the start of the study. Other baseline characteristics of the study subjects are shown in Table 2.

Baseline clinical and laboratory characteristics
The mean score of the VAS pain score was 45.2, the BASDAI score 3.8, haemoglobin 13.0 g/dl, ESR 31.5 mm in the first hour, mean corpuscular volume 28 fl, mean corpuscular haemoglobin 32.2 pg, blood eosinophil count 3.7% and CRP 22.6 mg/dl. Details are shown in Table 3.

Colonoscopic findings
Colonoscopy was performed in the AS group (n = 60) and controls (n = 20). In four patients, colonoscopic evaluation of the terminal ileum was not performed. The investigator failed to pass the probe through the ileocecal junction.

In the ascending colon and sigmoid colon, macroscopic lesions were unremarkable in both groups, but microscopic lesions were more often observed in the AS group than in the control group, although the difference was not statistically significant (Table 4).

In the rectum, both macroscopic and microscopic lesions were more frequently seen in the AS group than in the control group, but the difference was again not statistically significant (Table 4). In the terminal ileum, in AS patients (n = 56) macroscopic and microscopic lesions were found in 21/56 and 43/56, respectively, and in controls in 1/20 and 9/20, respectively. In the AS group, both macroscopic (38.5 vs 5%, P < 0.01) and microscopic (76.8 vs 45%, P = 0.009) lesions were significantly more frequent than in controls (Table 4).

---

**Table 1** Demographics of AS patients (n = 60) and controls (n = 20)

| Characters          | Cases (n = 60) | Controls (n = 20) |
|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Sex                 |               |                   |
| Male                | 45 (75)       | 14 (70)           |
| Female              | 15 (25)       | 6 (30)            |
| Marital status      |               |                   |
| Married             | 37 (61.70)    | 17 (85)           |
| Unmarried           | 23 (38.30)    | 3 (15)            |
| Occupation          |               |                   |
| Housewife           | 12 (20)       | 6 (30)            |
| Business            | 6 (10)        | 5 (25)            |
| Service             | 14 (23.30)    | 5 (25)            |
| Others              | 28 (46.70)    | 4 (20)            |

**Table 2** Other baseline characteristics of the AS patients (n = 60)

| Characteristics               | Total n (%) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Previous use of DMARDs        |             |
| Yes (%)                       | 17 (28.40)  |
| No (%)                        | 43 (71.60)  |
| Previous use of CS            |             |
| Yes (%)                       | 9 (15)      |
| No (%)                        | 51 (85)     |
| Irritable bowel syndrome      |             |
| Yes (%)                       | 14 (23.30)  |
| No (%)                        | 36 (61.70)  |
| HLA-B27                       |             |
| Positive (%)                  | 20 (33.30)  |
| Negative (%)                  | 17 (28.30)  |
| Not done (%)                  | 23 (38.40)  |
| Anaemia                       |             |
| Yes (%)                       | 18 (30)     |
| No (%)                        | 42 (70)     |
| Pattern of joint involvement  |             |
| Axial (%)                     | 25 (41.67)  |
| Predominantly peripheral (%)  | 35 (58.33)  |

---
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AS axial and peripheral subgroups

The ascending colon, sigmoid colon and rectum were studied in the axial group (n = 25) and the predominantly peripheral group (n = 35). In the ascending colon, sigmoid colon and rectum, macroscopic lesions were unremarkable. Microscopic lesions were seen in both groups similarly (mainly grades 1 and 2 in ~70–80%) in the ascending colon and sigmoid colon, but this difference was not statistically different (Table 5).

In the terminal ileum, the frequency of macroscopic lesions was similar in the axial and peripheral groups. The microscopic lesions were slightly more frequent in the mainly peripheral group (62.5%) than the axial group (88.5%), P = 0.05. No IBD was observed (Table 5).

Anaemia present (n = 18) and absent (n = 42) in the AS group

The frequency of macroscopic lesions did not differ between patients with (59%) and without (68%) anaemia (Table 6). In the terminal ileum, the frequency of macroscopic lesions did not differ between patients with (59%) and without (68%) anaemia. The frequency of microscopic lesions was comparable in the anaemia present group (88%) and the anaemia absent group (72%) (Table 6).

Eosinophil infiltration in different sites of the large gut

In our earlier study, eosinophilic infiltration in the rectum was found in 85.7% of AS patients and in 80% of the controls [12].

In AS patients even more than in controls, a marked eosinophil infiltration was observed in the ileum (8.5 vs 6.5, respectively), the ascending colon (median 12.5 vs 7.5, respectively) and the sigmoid colon (median 7.0 vs 5.5, respectively) but not in the rectum (median 5.7 vs 3.0, respectively). The differences with controls were not

---

**Table 3** Baseline clinical and laboratory characteristics of AS patients (n = 60)

| Clinical characteristic | Range   | Mean (s.d.) |
|------------------------|---------|-------------|
| Pain last week, visual analog scale (0–100) | 0–80 | 45.20 (17.50) |
| BASDAI score           | 9–16.8  | 13.00 (1.80) |
| ESR                    | 2–97    | 31.50 (24.20) |
| Mean corpuscular volume (fl) | 58.7–95.8 | 86.20 (7.30) |
| Mean corpuscular haemoglobin (pg) | 20.2–31.6 | 28.00 (2.60) |
| Mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (mg/dl) | 24.2–35.8 | 32.20 (1.70) |
| Blood eosinophil count (%) | 0–12 | 3.70 (2.70) |
| CRP (mg/dl)            | 0.9–151 | 22.60 (30.00) |

**Table 4** Grading of macroscopic and microscopic lesions in the large gut in AS patients and controls

| Macroscopic finding | Patient (n = 60) | Control (n = 20) | P-value | Microscopic finding | Patient (n = 60) | Control (n = 20) | P-value |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| **Ascending colon**  |                  |                  |         |                     |                  |                  |         |
| Grade 0             | 56 (93.30)       | 20 (100)         | 0.31    | n (%)               | 9 (15)           | 7 (35)           | 0.1     |
| Grade 1             | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)            | –       | 40 (66.70)          | 13 (65)          | 0.55*            |         |
| Grade 2             | 4 (6.70)         | 0 (0)            | 0.57*   | 9 (15)              | 0 (0)            | 0.1              |         |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)            | –       | 2 (3.30)            | 0 (0)            | 0.50*            |         |
| **Sigmoid colon**   |                  |                  |         |                     |                  |                  |         |
| Grade 0             | 58 (96.70)       | 20 (100)         | 1       | 15 (25)             | 11 (55)          | 0.03             |         |
| Grade 1             | 1 (1.70)         | 0 (0)            | 1*      | 38 (63.30)          | 9 (45)           | 0.19             |         |
| Grade 2             | 1 (1.70)         | 0 (0)            | 1*      | 6 (10)              | 0 (0)            | 0.32             |         |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)            | –       | 1 (1.70)            | 0 (0)            | 1.0*             |         |
| **Rectum**          |                  |                  |         |                     |                  |                  |         |
| Grade 0             | 49 (81.70)       | 19 (95)          | 0.27    | 10 (16.70)          | 12 (60)          | 0.001            |         |
| Grade 1             | 10 (16.70)       | 1 (5)            | 0.27    | 40 (66.70)          | 8 (40)           | 0.63             |         |
| Grade 2             | 1 (1.70)         | 0 (0)            | 1.0*    | 9 (15)              | 0 (0)            | 0.43             |         |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)            | –       | 1 (1.70)            | 0 (0)            | 0.56*            |         |
| **Terminal ileum**  |                  |                  |         |                     |                  |                  |         |
| Grade 0             | 35 (62.5)        | 19 (95.0)        | 0.01    | 13 (23.2)           | 11 (55)          | 0.009            |         |
| Grade 1             | 5 (8.9)          | 0                | 0.31*   | 33 (58.9)           | 9 (45)           | 0.28             |         |
| Grade 2             | 16 (28.6)        | 1 (5.0)          | 0.03    | 6 (10.7)            | 0                | 0.33             |         |
| Grade 3             | 0                | 0                | –       | 4 (7.1)             | 0                | 0.56*            |         |

*Fisher’s exact test; χ² test.
significant (Table 7). The figures found for the rectum are much lower than in our previous study.

There was a wide range of eosinophil counts in different sites of the gut in both AS patients and controls (0–40/HPF vs 1–22/HPF in the ascending colon, 0–24/HPF vs 2–12/HPF in the sigmoid colon and 0–13/HPF vs 0–11/HPF in the rectum, respectively); the mean eosinophil count was higher in AS patients with a disease duration of 12–24 months. In some of these cases, a ‘massive’ or ‘heavy’ eosinophilic infiltration was found, whereas others showed ‘patchy infiltration’.

The mean BASDAI score, VAS pain score and eosinophil count showed no correlation with macroscopic or microscopic grading; only in the ascending colon a non-significant tendency to increase along with microscopic grading was observed. For details, see Table 8.

HLA-B27 was done in 37 AS patients; 20 were positive and 17 negative for the antigen.

Macroscopic or microscopic lesions did not differ in HLA-B27+ and HLA-B27− cases in the ascending colon and sigmoid colon or rectum (Table 9).

**Discussion**

In this observational study of patients with AS and controls, the entire colon was evaluated for macroscopic and microscopic lesions and eosinophil infiltration in the lamina propria. To our knowledge, this is the first study of its kind in South-East Asia.

In a developing country, such as Bangladesh, the possibility for diagnosing AS following western criteria is restricted. Most patients cannot afford MRI studies, and testing for HLA-B27 is restricted owing to the cost. For that reason, we could not perform this test in all patients. Infestation of the gut with parasites is very common; as shown in our earlier study, in the rectum an eosinophilic infiltration in 85.7% of AS patients and of 80% in controls was found [12]; for that reason, we had to de-worm the patients before this study. For religious reasons, four women refused colonoscopy because this was done by a male doctor. This is, in general, not a problem in western countries. In Bangladesh, 57% of the adult population are illiterate, so obtaining informed consent has to be done orally in most cases, but this has never been a problem in any of our research projects. The same holds true for questionnaires, such the BASDAI and pain VAS, which have to be clarified and filled in by assistants.

The male-to-female ratio in the present study was 3:1, comparable with other studies [21]. In our series, 25 (41.7%) had only axial involvement and 35 (58.3%) had both axial and peripheral (mixed) involvement. These findings are comparable with those of previous Belgian studies [22, 23].

**Table 5** Macroscopic and microscopic lesions in the large gut in the axial group (n = 35) and in the predominantly peripheral group of AS patients (n = 25)

| Macroscopic finding | Microscopic finding | P-value | Macroscopic finding | Microscopic finding | P-value |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
|                     | Axial group (n = 25) |         |                     | Axial group (n = 25) |         |
|                     | predominantly peripheral (n = 35) | |                     | predominantly peripheral (n = 35) | |
| **Ascending colon** |                     |         |                     |                     |         |
| Grade 0             | 24 (96)             | 32 (91.40) | 0.63                | 5 (20)             | 4 (11.40) | 0.47 |
| Grade 1             | 0 (0)               | 0 (0)    | –                   | 16 (64)            | 24 (68.60) | 0.78 |
| Grade 2             | 1 (4)               | 3 (8.60) | 0.63*               | 4 (16)             | 5 (14.30) | 1 |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)               | 0 (0)    | –                   | 0 (0)              | 2 (5.70) | 0.50* |
| **Sigmoid colon**   |                     |         |                     |                     |         |
| Grade 0             | 24 (96)             | 34 (97.10) | 1                   | 3 (12)             | 12 (34.30) | 0.73 |
| Grade 1             | 0 (0)               | 1 (2.90) | 1.00*               | 18 (72)            | 20 (57.10) | 0.28 |
| Grade 2             | 1 (4)               | 0 (0)    | 0.41*               | 3 (12)             | 3 (8.60) | 0.68* |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)               | 0 (0)    | –                   | 1 (4)              | 0 (0)    | 0.41* |
| **Rectum**          |                     |         |                     |                     |         |
| Grade 0             | 21 (84)             | 28 (80) | 0.74                | 2 (8)              | 8 (22.90) | 0.17 |
| Grade 1             | 3 (12)              | 7 (20)  | 0.49                | 17 (68)            | 23 (65.70) | 1 |
| Grade 2             | 1 (4)               | 0 (0)   | 0.41*               | 5 (20)             | 4 (11.40) | 0.47 |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)               | 0 (0)   | –                   | 1 (4)              | 0 (0)    | 0.41* |
| **Terminal ileum (n = 56)** | | | | | |
| Grade 0             | 15 (62.5)           | 20 (62.5) | 1                   | 9 (35.7)           | 12 (35.7) | 0.25 |
| Grade 1             | 0 (0)               | 5 (15.6) | 0.06                | 11 (37.5)          | 21 (61.1) | 0.69 |
| Grade 2             | 9 (37.1)            | 7 (21.9) | 0.24                | 2 (6.3)            | 2 (6.3) | 1 |

†Fisher’s exact test; χ² test.
In our series, the frequency of enthesopathy was 51.7% (31/60), comparable with some European studies [10, 24] and slightly lower than in other series [9, 25]. Uveitis is not a frequent presentation in AS; only one patient in our series and two in that of Mielants et al. [22] had uveitis. HLAB-27 was tested in 37 of the 60 cases; of these, 20/37 (59%) were HLA-B27+. This percentage is lower than in most western series. The incidence/prevalence of HLAB-27 in the general population or in SpA patients has not been studied in Bangladesh, to our knowledge. In one study regarding 71 patients with enthesitis, there were 49 AS cases and all were HLA-B27+, but in that study only patients with HLA-B27 were included so that was not a representative series [26].

**Table 6** In the large gut, macroscopic and microscopic lesions with anaemia in patients and controls

| Macroscopic finding | Anemia present (n = 18) | Anemia absent (n = 42) | P-value | Microscopic finding | Anemia present (n = 18) | Anemia absent (n = 42) | P-value |
|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Ascending colon     |                         |                        |         |                     |                         |                        |         |
| Grade 0             | 16 (88.90)              | 40 (95.20)             | 0.34    |                     | 5 (27.80)               | 4 (9.50)               | 0.08    |
| Grade 1             | 0 (0)                   | 0 (0)                  | –       |                     | 9 (50)                  | 31 (73.80)             | 0.07    |
| Grade 2             | 2 (11.10)               | 2 (4.80)               | 0.58*   |                     | 3 (16.70)               | 6 (14.30)              | 0.54    |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)                   | 0 (0)                  | –       |                     | 1 (5.60)                | 1 (2.40)               | 0.51*   |
| Sigmoid colon       |                         |                        |         |                     |                         |                        |         |
| Grade 0             | 18 (100)                | 40 (95.20)             | 0.35    |                     | 6 (33.30)               | 9 (21.40)              | 0.32    |
| Grade 1             | 0 (0)                   | 1 (2.40)               | 0.70*   |                     | 10 (55.60)              | 28 (66.70)             | 0.41    |
| Grade 2             | 0 (0)                   | 1 (2.40)               | 0.70*   |                     | 2 (11.10)               | 4 (9.50)               | 0.59*   |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)                   | 0 (0)                  | –       |                     | 0 (0)                   | 1 (2.40)               | 0.70*   |
| Rectum              |                         |                        |         |                     |                         |                        |         |
| Grade 0             | 15 (83.30)              | 34 (81.00)             | 0.82    |                     | 5 (27.80)               | 5 (11.90)              | 0.13    |
| Grade 1             | 3 (16.70)               | 7 (16.70)              | 0.66    |                     | 10 (55.60)              | 30 (71.40)             | 0.23    |
| Grade 2             | 0 (0)                   | 1 (2.40)               | 0.70*   |                     | 2 (11.10)               | 7 (16.70)              | 0.45    |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)                   | 0 (0)                  | –       |                     | 1 (5.60)                | 0 (0)                  | 0.30*   |
| Terminal ileum (n = 56) |                |                        |         |                     |                         |                        |         |
| Grade 0             | 10 (58.8)               | 25 (68.4)              | 0.708   |                     | 2 (11.8)                | 11 (28.2)              | 0.16    |
| Grade 1             | 2 (11.8)                | 3 (7.7)                | 0.634*  |                     | 12 (70.6)               | 21 (53.8)              | 0.376   |
| Grade 2             | 5 (29.4)                | 11 (28.2)              | 0.927   |                     | 2 (11.8)                | 4 (35)                 | 0.598*  |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)                   | 0 (0)                  | –       |                     | 1 (5.9)                 | 3 (7.7)                | 0.647*  |

*Fisher’s exact test; χ² test.

**Table 7** In study groups, eosinophil infiltration in different sites of large gut in AS patients and controls

| Patient (count per high-power field) (n = 60) | Control (n = 20) | *P-value |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Mean (s.d.) Median                          | Mean (s.d.) Median |         |
| Ascending colon                             | 18.5 (21.5)      | 12.50   | 11.7 (9.9)      | 7.50              | 0.17    |
| Sigmoid colon                               | 11.4 (12.5)      | 7.00    | 6.6 (4.6)      | 5.50              | 0.09    |
| Rectum                                      | 5.7 (6.8)        | 4.00    | 4.8 (5.9)      | 3.00              | 0.61    |
| Terminal ileum (n = 56)                     | 15.6 (19.6)      | 8.5     | 8.8 (8)        | 6.5               | 0.14    |

*Two mean test.

In our series, in symptom-free controls the colonic mucosa in the lower gut did not differ from that found in western [19] studies, except for the presence of frequent mild to moderate eosinophilic infiltration in the lamina propria, which was found both in patients and in controls.

Prior to our study, several groups investigated the relationship between spondyloarthropathies and inflammatory lesions of the gut. There are differences between these investigations regarding sample size, study design, the way they evaluated gut lesions and the method of grading of the lesions. Almost all previous studies included a mixture of all kinds of seronegative spondyloarthropathies as study subjects (i.e. reactive arthritis, AS, undifferentiated spondyloarthropathies).
In this series, we had enrolled only AS cases and controls with no history of diarrhoea and dysentery in the last month. In a prospective study, Mielants et al. [22] had performed total colonoscopy in 211 patients (75 AS, 32 reactive arthritis and 104 undifferentiated spondyloarthropathies) and 65 controls. Gut lesions were present in 30% (24/75) of the AS patients and in none of the controls. Simenon et al. [24] found macroscopic gut lesions in 64.9% (37/57) of the AS patients in a retrospective study involving 96 subjects with AS, reactive arthritis and other spondyloarthropathies; Altomonte et al. [27], in their prospective study, included 38

### Table 8 Comparison of AS disease activity (BASDAI score and VAS) and eosinophil count in different macroscopic and microscopic lesions in large gut

| Microscopic grading | Number | BASDAI score | VAS score | Eosinophil count/HPF |
|---------------------|--------|--------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Ascending colon (n=60) | 9      | 3.82 (1.65)  | 42.2 (17.8) | 13.4 (8.4)          |
| Grade 1             | 40     | 3.59 (1.76)  | 44.0 (17.8) | 20.9 (24.0)         |
| Grade 2             | 9      | 4.02 (1.52)  | 48.9 (14.5) | 9.4 (14.6)          |
| Grade 3             | 2      | 6.75 (2.75)  | 65.0 (21.2) | 35.5 (24.7)         |
| Sigmoid colon (n=60) | 15     | 3.32 (1.27)  | 40.0 (11.9) | 16.9 (18.4)         |
| Grade 1             | 38     | 4.02 (1.98)  | 46.1 (19.2) | 10.5 (8.8)          |
| Grade 2             | 6      | 3.61 (2)     | 48.3 (16.0) | 4.3 (3.7)           |
| Grade 3             | 1      | 3.20         | 70         | 05                  |
| Rectum (n=60)       | 10     | 4.04 (1.93)  | 47.0 (13.3) | 3.5 (2.2)           |
| Grade 1             | 40     | 3.83 (1.92)  | 44.0 (19.7) | 5.8 (5.4)           |
| Grade 2             | 9      | 3.53 (1.30)  | 48.9 (11.7) | 7.4 (13.5)          |
| Grade 3             | 1      | 2.40         | 70         | 05                  |

HPF: high-power field; VAS: visual analog scale.

### Table 9 Frequency of macroscopic and microscopic lesions in the large gut (n=60) in HLA-B27 patient groups

| Macroscopic finding | HLA-B27⁺ (n=20) | HLA-B27⁻ (n=17) | HLA-B27 not done (n=23) | P-value | HLA-B27⁺ (n=20) | HLA-B27⁻ (n=17) | HLA-B27 not done (n=23) | P-value |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Ascending colon     |                 |                 |                         |         |                 |                 |                         |         |
| Grade 0             | 19 (95)         | 16 (94.1)       | 21 (91.3)               | 0.879   | 2 (10)          | 4 (23.5)        | 3 (13)                  | 0.489   |
| Grade 1             | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                   | –       | 13 (65)         | 11 (64.7)       | 16 (69.6)               | 0.932   |
| Grade 2             | 1 (5)           | 1 (5.9)         | 2 (8.7)                 | 0.879   | 4 (20)          | 2 (11.8)        | 3 (13)                  | 0.741   |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                   | –       | 1 (5)           | 0 (0)           | 1 (4.3)                 | 0.66    |
| Sigmoid colon       |                 |                 |                         |         |                 |                 |                         |         |
| Grade 0             | 19 (95)         | 17 (100)        | 22 (95.7)               | 0.66    | 5 (25)          | 1 (5.9)         | 9 (39.1)                | 0.056   |
| Grade 1             | 1 (5)           | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                   | 0.362   | 14 (70)         | 13 (76.5)       | 11 (47.8)               | 0.133   |
| Grade 2             | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           | 1 (4.3)                 | 0.441   | 1 (5)           | 3 (17.6)        | 2 (8.7)                 | 0.427   |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                   | –       | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           | 1 (4.3)                 | 0.441   |
| Rectum              |                 |                 |                         |         |                 |                 |                         |         |
| Grade 0             | 14 (70)         | 14 (82.4)       | 21 (91.3)               | 0.197   | 3 (15)          | 2 (11.8)        | 5 (21.7)                | 0.684   |
| Grade 1             | 5 (25)          | 3 (17.6)        | 2 (8.7)                 | 0.356   | 14 (70)         | 12 (70.6)       | 14 (60.9)               | 0.754   |
| Grade 2             | 1 (5)           | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                   | 0.362   | 3 (15)          | 2 (11.8)        | 4 (17.4)                | 0.886   |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                   | –       | 0 (0)           | 1 (5.9)         | 0 (0)                   | 0.276   |
| Terminal ileum      |                 |                 |                         |         |                 |                 |                         |         |
| Grade 0             | 9 (50)          | 11 (73.3)       | 15 (65.2)               | 0.364   | 4 (22.2)        | 2 (13.3)        | 7 (30.4)                | 0.471   |
| Grade 1             | 2 (11.1)        | 1 (6.7)         | 2 (8.7)                 | 0.904   | 9 (50)          | 10 (66.7)       | 4 (60.9)                | 0.807   |
| Grade 2             | 7 (38.9)        | 3 (20)          | 8 (36.1)                | 0.461   | 3 (16.7)        | 2 (13.3)        | 1 (4.3)                 | 0.417   |
| Grade 3             | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                   | –       | 2 (11.1)        | 1 (6.7)         | 1 (4.3)                 | 0.703   |

Macroscopic lesions

In this series, we had enrolled only AS cases and controls with no history of diarrhoea and dysentery in the last month. In a prospective study, Mielants et al. [22] had performed total colonoscopy in 211 patients (75 AS, 32 reactive arthritis and 104 undifferentiated spondyloarthropathies) and 65 controls. Gut lesions were present in 30% (24/75) of the AS patients and in none of the controls. Simenon et al. [24] found macroscopic gut lesions in 64.9% (37/57) of the AS patients in a retrospective study involving 96 subjects with AS, reactive arthritis and other spondyloarthropathies; Altomonte et al. [27], in their prospective study, included 38
patients with spondyloarthopathy, but none of them fulfilled the criteria of definite AS; macroscopic lesions were found in 65%. In earlier studies, Meuwissen et al. [28] and Costello et al. [29] studied the gut mucosa by proctosigmoidoscopy and conventional X-rays. The frequencies of macroscopic lesions were lower (5–10%) than those observed in more recent studies using total colonoscopy. Our previous study with short colonoscopy showed macroscopic lesions in 14.28% [12]. In the present series, we observed macroscopic lesions in 25% (15/60), among which were 3 in the ascending colon, 2 in the sigmoid and 11 in the rectum. This frequency was comparable with the findings of Meuwissen et al. [28] and Costello et al. [29].

Microscopic lesions

Multiple biopsies allow detection of higher numbers of abnormalities than as seen by colonoscopy alone [12]. Accordingly, microscopic lesions were more frequent than macroscopic gut lesions. In our present study, 51 (85%) had microscopic gut lesions in the ascending colon, 44 (73.3%) in the sigmoid and 50 (83.3%) in the rectum, figures comparable with those of Simenon et al. [24]. In most studies in AS patients, microscopic lesions were mostly mild, and the frequency varied from 56 to 66.7% [19, 23, 24]. In a small study, only 25% (7/28) had microscopic lesions [30]. All 38 spondyloarthropathy patients in the series of Altomonte et al. [27] had microscopic lesions at total colonoscopy. Microscopic lesions are common in the whole colon, both in AS patients and in controls. It cannot be excluded that previous helminth infestation plays a role. The fact that our findings are comparable with other studies does not support that idea.

Axial vs peripheral AS

In our study, microscopic lesions were seen in both groups to a similar extent (mainly grades 1 and 2 in ~70–80%) in the ascending colon and sigmoid. Slightly more microscopic lesions were seen in the ileum in the peripheral group (P = 0.05). These findings are comparable with those of De Vos et al. [23].

IBD symptoms of spondyloarthropathy may precede or coincide with IBD; even articular involvement may be subclinical. De Vlam et al. [31] observed asymptomatic sacroiliitis in 18% of IBD patients; they also observed that frequencies of sacroiliitis increased with the duration of IBD. In a prospective study of 521 IBD patients, Protzer et al. [32] observed that symptoms of spondyloarthropathy occurred before IBD in 26.8% of all patients and in 14.4% simultaneously with IBD. In our series, none of the gut lesions was diagnostic of IBD on either macroscopic nor microscopic examination.

Anaemia in AS

There are only a few studies exploring anaemia in AS patients. In the post hoc analysis of the Ankylosing Spondylitis Study for the Evaluation of Recombinant Infliximab Therapy (ASSERT) study, nearly 20% of patients had anaemia at baseline. The exact prevalence of anaemia in patients with AS is unknown, but 50% of patients with RA have anaemia [33]. There are multiple potential causes of anaemia in patients with AS, including anaemia of chronic disease. Although chronic inflammation may be an important cause of anaemia, the long-term use of NSAIDs, which is rather common in patients with AS, can lead to blood loss in the gastrointestinal tract [34, 35]. In our series, 30% (18/60) had mild anaemia. There was no statistical difference in the non-anaemic and anaemic group regarding the macroscopic and microscopic lesions in the ascending colon, sigmoid and rectum. We did not analyse the type and cause of anaemia in this series owing to financial constraints.

In AS, eosinophilia is an unexplained phenomenon. A detailed study of endoscopic material was carried out by DeBrosse et al. [36], who found a gradient of eosinophil density from the ascending colon to the rectum (20/HPF and 8/HPF, respectively) and a wide range (up to 50/HPF), comparable to the findings of Lowichik and Weinberg [37]. The proximal-to-distal gradient of eosinophil distribution has also been described by Gonsalves et al. [38]. In our study, in AS patients, even more than in controls, a marked eosinophil infiltration was also observed in the ascending colon and sigmoid colon but not in the rectum; the difference from controls was not significant. Geographical variation in eosinophil density in the normal colon has also been reported, and there may also be variations in response to seasonal antigenic changes and subclinical infections [39, 40]. The mean eosinophil count was higher in AS patients with 12–24 months disease. In some of these cases, a massive or heavy eosinophil infiltration was found, whereas others showed patchy infiltration with varying numbers of eosinophils, comparable with other studies [41–44]. Of 34 papers in English reporting eosinophilic colitis since 1959, eosinophil density was quantified in only seven. These, the density ranged from >20/HPF anywhere in the colon [45] to >120/HPF [46]. Although at present there are no accepted criteria for distinguishing colonic eosinophil density at the upper range of normal, from a pathological increase diagnostic of primary eosinophilic colitis, many authors suggest a minimal eosinophil density for diagnosis of eosinophilic colitis: 6/HPF as suggested by Odze et al. [47] and Hwang et al. [48], or 30/HPF as suggested by Lee and Kim [49]. In the present series, the large number of eosinophils counted in some AS patients may suggest eosinophilic colitis. But we cannot exclude the possibility that in some cases parasites still played a role as, for example, Strongyloides needs different treatment from that which had been prescribed in our series. Further studies are needed to determine whether eosinophilic colitis could be an AS-related disease or rather a separate pathophysiological entity.

Association with HLA-B27, BASDAI and VAS pain

There was no clear association with HLA-B27 status. We found no clear association of BASDAI, and VAS pain
scores with macroscopic and microscopic grading of lesions in all sites, a finding is comparable with other studies [50, 51].

Limitations

The study was conducted in a tertiary health-care centre in Bangladesh and might therefore not be a reflection of the community. In scoring normal rectal histology, we could not use the whole spectrum of scoring features as proposed by Rubio and Kock (1981) [12] owing to adaptation limitations of the department of histopathology. HLA-B27 could not be tested in 23 patients with AS and in controls owing to resource constraints. The number of controls was restricted because it was not easy to find more people to undergo a voluntary colonoscopy.

Strengths

This is a large series of AS patients and age- and sex-matched controls. It is the first study in Bangladesh and one of the first on the Asian mainland in AS patients without clinical diarrhoea; before the study, anthelminitics were applied.

Colonoscopy included the whole colon and terminal ileum. Eosinophil count was done by calculating the mean of three HPFs of each biopsy specimen.

Conclusions

In Bangladeshi AS patients and controls, macroscopic lesions are mainly found in the ileum. Microscopic lesions are common in the whole colon, both in AS patients and in controls. The possibility cannot be excluded that previous helminth infestation plays a role, but the fact that our findings are comparable with earlier European studies does not support that idea. It is unlikely that these microscopic or macroscopic lesions are the cause of anaemia. In none of the AS patients was IBD or Crohn’s disease found. Lesions are significantly different between AS cases and controls only in the ileum, so the ileal lesions may be more disease related than the colonic ones.

There was no clear association of HLA-B27 status, BASDAI or VAS pain with macroscopic and microscopic grading of the lesions in the large gut.

The colonic mucosa of symptom-free individuals (controls) is similar to that in western studies, except for a frequently found mild-to-moderate eosinophilic infiltration in both patients and controls.
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