Implant Therapy of Non-Syndromic Severe Oligodontia: A Clinical Report
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A prosthodontic treatment, including surgical approach, with bilateral sinus floor augmentation and simultaneous implant placement, in a patient with oligodontia and severe residual ridge reduction in the posterior region of the maxilla and mandible, is described in this clinical report.

Case Description

A healthy, 23-year-old, nonsmoking, partially edentulous woman was referred to prostodontist private practice in Bogota, Colombia, with the complaint of malocclusion, and an unattractive smile resulting from absence of some teeth. She had received fixed orthodontic treatment. She never used prostheses and refused using removable partial denture. Clinical, intraoral, and radiographic examinations were performed, and diagnostic casts were made (Figure 1a, Figure 1b, Figure 1c and Figure 1d).

Intraoral status revealed absence of all lateral incisors and second premolars; in addition, absence of mandibular second molars that never were formed. Second temporary right maxillary molar and second temporary left mandibular molar were present, without radiographic evidence of a root. Canines, both maxillary and mandibular, had been moved to act as lateral incisors (Figure 1d). In addition, a reduction in occlusal vertical dimension produced esthetic facial problems.

Intraoral examination also revealed inadequate bilateral
was then lifted, and the mobilized portion of the sinus wall removed. The space created after sinus membrane elevation was grafted with BioOss Geitslich® and the lateral sinus wall defect was covered using a resorbable collagenous membrane. The mucoperiosteal flap was then sutured. Antibiotic therapy with amoxycilin 500 mg tid was prescribed for 7 days; a nonsteroidal analgesic was also recommended.

Postsurgical instructions included a soft diet for 2 weeks and appropriate oral hygiene control twice daily with chlorhexidine mouthwash.

In addition to simultaneous implant placement with bilateral sinus floor augmentation in the maxilla, four dental implants were placed in the mandible (4.1 × 10 mm [n = 3]; 4.1 × 10 mm [n = 4]; and 3.3 × 12 mm [n = 1]; 3i Biomed). At the time of abutment connection, implant integration was checked both clinically and radiographically.

The patient was recalled every 2 months for 6 months for clinical and radiologic evaluation. The success criteria included: Immobility of the implant, absence of pain or suppuration, radiographically visible direct implant-to-bone contact, and less than 1.0 mm vertical bone resorption in the first year after prosthetic loading.

**Surgical procedures**

Informed patient consent was obtained prior to treatment. All surgical operations were performed under sedation and local anesthesia.

Bilateral sinus lifting procedures were made first. Both right and left residual bone heights were 2 to 3 mm, with at least 5 mm bone width below the maxillary sinus. Patient received a bilateral 1-stage lateral window sinus lift with simultaneous implant placement including a bone graft. This procedure was made using a non-restrictive handmade guide to improve accuracy of window locations, and implants placement. This guide design was made based on periapical radiographs and CT scan, and allowed a better control of window size and implant position. Using this guide, a window osteotomy of lateral alveolar bone was made (Figure 2a, Figure 2b, Figure 2c and Figure 2d); the sinus membrane was then lifted, and the mobilized portion of the sinus wall removed. The space created after sinus membrane elevation was grafted with BioOss Geitslich® and the lateral sinus wall defect was covered using a resorbable collagenous membrane. The mucoperiosteal flap was then sutured. Antibiotic therapy with amoxycilin 500 mg tid was prescribed for 7 days; a nonsteroidal analgesic was also recommended.

Postsurgical instructions included a soft diet for 2 weeks and appropriate oral hygiene control twice daily with chlorhexidine mouthwash.

In addition to simultaneous implant placement with bilateral sinus floor augmentation in the maxilla, four dental implants were placed in the mandible (4.1 × 10 mm [n = 3]; 4.1 × 10 mm [n = 4]; and 3.3 × 12 mm [n = 1]; 3i Biomed). At the time of abutment connection, implant integration was checked both clinically and radiographically.

The patient was recalled every 2 months for 6 months for clinical and radiologic evaluation. The success criteria included: Immobility of the implant, absence of pain or suppuration, radiographically visible direct implant-to-bone contact, and less than 1.0 mm vertical bone resorption in the first year after prosthetic loading.

**Prosthodontic rehabilitation**

After 6 months, full-arch impressions of the maxillary and mandibular arch were taken, then the cast models
were mounted on a semi adjustable articulator. The intermaxillary relationship was evaluated and prosthetic occlusal plane was leveled through the metal-ceramic implant-supported restorations.

In this clinical report, definitive restorations were carried out using conventional cement- retained and screw-retained metal ceramic crowns to allow normal masticatory function and phonetic function as well. Radiographs were taken to evaluate the implant-abutment restoration fit (Figure 3). The patient was recalled one week later to evaluated oral hygiene and 12 months later again without any complications. After prosthetic rehabilitation, the facial appearance and the occlusion were improved, in addition esthetic and psychological expectations of patient were carried out.

Oligodontia is a number anomaly and is the most common dental anomaly that can affect patients with syndrome or non-syndrome [13]; Some studies reported mutations in the genes that were associated with non-syndromic oligodontia, mutation of gene MXS1 or PAX9 may create an impairment of one or more molecular processes that regulate tooth formation [1,14] and some studies report that it may be greater in women than in men [3].

Figure 2: (a,b) Tomographic guide; (c) Clinical non-restrictive handmade surgical guide to make the window for sinus lift and (d) Sinus grafted.

Figure 3: Final panoramic radiographic.
The most frequently missing teeth reported are maxillary o mandibular second premolar and maxillary lateral incisors. In accordance with other studies, in this clinical report, the mandibular second premolars, maxillary and mandibular lateral incisors, and mandibular second molars [13]. In many cases the absence of several teeth can compromise aesthetics, function and psychologically [15].

Treatments to replace missing teeth include, removable partial dentures, fixed prostheses, and more recently implant-supported restorations [16,17], these treatments may require advanced surgical procedures to gain bone volume, among which the most commonly used is to augmentation sinus lift for implant placement. Elevation of maxillary sinus simultaneous to implant placement using autologous bone and other bone substitutes it’s a predictable treatment to dental implant survival, even sinus lifting with simultaneous implant placement could be used to treat atrophic maxilla in patients with minimal residual alveolar bone height when initial stability could be obtained by using taper designed implants [18].

Cases of severe oligodontia should be treated with a multidisciplinary team that may include periodontics, orthodontics, prosthodontics, and maxillofacial surgery; and their work must be oriented to reduce the rate of complications and carried out the expectations of patients.

Conclusion

This clinical report concerns a patient with severe non-syndromic oligodontia. The patient was treated with bilateral sinus lift surgery before implant therapy. In addition, she was rehabilitated with implant-supported crowns to recovery the masticatory and esthetics function. It is possible to achieve excellent results with implant therapy in cases of severe oligodontia recovering functional abilities and adequate esthetics. It’s very important keep in mind a previous interdisciplinary evaluation and planning to get successful results.
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