The mechanical microenvironment regulates ovarian cancer cell morphology, migration, and spheroid disaggregation
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There is growing appreciation of the importance of the mechanical properties of the tumor microenvironment on disease progression. However, the role of extracellular matrix (ECM) stiffness and cellular mechanotransduction in epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) is largely unknown. Here, we investigated the effect of substrate rigidity on various aspects of SKOV3 human EOC cell morphology and migration. Young’s modulus values of normal mouse peritoneum, a principal target tissue for EOC metastasis, were determined by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and hydrogels were fabricated to mimic these values. We find that cell spreading, focal adhesion formation, myosin light chain phosphorylation, and cellular traction forces all increase on stiffer matrices. Substrate rigidity also positively regulates random cell migration and, importantly, directional increases in matrix tension promote SKOV3 cell durotaxis. Matrix rigidity also promotes nuclear translocation of YAP1, an oncogenic transcription factor associated with aggressive metastatic EOC. Furthermore, disaggregation of multicellular EOC spheroids, a behavior associated with dissemination and metastasis, is enhanced by matrix stiffness through a mechanotransduction pathway involving ROCK, actomyosin contractility, and FAK. Finally, this pattern of mechanosensitivity is maintained in highly metastatic SKOV3ip.1 cells. These results establish that the mechanical properties of the tumor microenvironment may play a role in EOC metastasis.

Cells interpret and respond to the mechanical properties (e.g. stiffness and topology) of the extracellular matrix (ECM) by exerting contractile force and sensing counter-tension through mechanocellular systems1. Components of these systems include integrins, focal adhesion complexes, the actin cytoskeleton, and associated molecular motors1,2 serve to interpret both intrinsic and extrinsic mechanical forces into diverse signaling events such as ion flux and phosphorylation cascades. The translation of mechanical forces into biochemical signals – mechanotransduction – has been shown to regulate nearly every facet of cellular life, including shape, migration, survival, proliferation, and differentiation3–7. Importantly, all of the aforementioned cellular processes are altered during the pathogenesis of cancer and there is growing appreciation of the role of mechanotransduction and the mechanical microenvironment in tumorigenesis6,8,9. This has been particularly well-studied in the context of breast cancer, wherein tumor progression is characterized by progressive stiffening and remodeling of the tumor-associated stromal tissue and ECM10–12. Additionally, increases in mammographic density are associated with an increased risk for breast cancer13,14 and nonlinear optical imaging methods such as multiphoton microscopy (MPM) and second harmonic generation (SHG) imaging have been used to visualize local changes in collagen fibril density around invasive breast tumors15,16. Indeed, the increased density and reorganization of collagen fibrils around malignant breast tumors appear to facilitate local tumor cell invasion, trafficking towards blood and lymph vessels, and distal metastasis10,15,16. Finally, reduction of increased tumor cell-ECM tension or of matrix stiffening can normalize the malignant phenotype of primary breast cancer cells in culture and in vivo10,13.

Several observations suggest that the progression and spread of EOC may similarly be affected by ECM stiffness and mechanical forces. As in breast cancer, EOC and its peritoneal implants are often fibrotic and...
surrounded by reactive, desmoplastic stroma\(^{17-20}\), characterized by up-regulation of factors that regulate ECM content, assembly, and/or cell-matrix interactions. Also, similar to breast tumor-associated collagen signatures\(^{15}\), multi-photon and second-harmonic generation imaging has revealed altered collagen fibril density and topology associated with both primary and disseminated EOC\(^{21-23}\). Unlike breast cancer, the minimal metastatic unit of EOC is thought to be a multi-cellular aggregate, or spheroid, that exfoliates from the primary tumor and moves throughout the peritoneal cavity by normal peritoneal fluid flow\(^{17,20,24}\). These spheroids have been shown to use myosin-generated force to clear the mesothelium of peritoneal organs and attach to the submesothelial connective tissue\(^{25}\). Moreover, spheroids formed from highly-invasive EOC cells show increased expression of both lysyl oxidase 1 (LOX1), a collagen-cross-linking enzyme crucial for promoting matrix stiffness and invasion in breast cancer\(^{46}\), and tissue transglutaminase (TG2), which also promotes ECM polymerization and cross-linking\(^{26,27}\). In addition to direct ECM remodeling, inflammation associated with EOC cell implantation also alters the peritoneal/mesothelial surface and promotes a desmoplastic stromal response\(^{28}\). Moreover, there is growing consensus that endometriosis – and the associated inflammation and fibrosis in extraterine tissues including the peritoneum and ovaries – increases the risk of EOC\(^{29}\). Increasing matrix rigidity also decreases expression of the Wnt pathway inhibitor DKK1, promoting increased Wnt signaling enhanced MT1-MMP expression and increased matrix invasion\(^{30}\). While these observations strongly implicate a role for the mechanical microenvironment in the pathogenesis of EOC, the effects of matrix rigidity on the morphological and migratory aspects of EOC cells remain largely unexplored.

In this work, we fashioned polymer hydrogels with elastic properties that mimic those of the peritoneum, a principal physiologic target for EOC dissemination, to investigate the role of substrate stiffness in EOC cell morphology and migration. We show that cell morphology, focal adhesion and cytoskeletal organization, and actomyosin contractility of SKOV-3 human EOC cells positively correlate with substrate stiffness. Moreover, we find that matrix rigidity enhances EOC cell migration: individual EOC cells exhibit robust durotactic behavior multicellular EOC spheroids disaggregate much more efficiently on stiffer substrates. These observations establish the rigidity of the microenvironment as a potentially important facet of the pathogenesis of EOC.

Results

Modeling the stiffness of normal mouse peritoneum using polyacrylamide hydrogels. Little is known regarding the mechanical properties of tissues associated with the onset, progression, and spread of ovarian cancer. The peritoneum represents a major target for EOC dissemination and implantation. To measure the mechanical properties of the lining of the peritoneal cavity, we utilized atomic force microscopy (AFM) on mouse peritoneal sections (Fig. 1A) to generate force-map grids (Fig. 1B) and color-coded heat maps (Fig. 1C) of the Young's elastic moduli in 20 × 20 µm regions of normal mouse peritoneum. Using a custom ImageJ macro, we were able to visualize local variation of modulus values within a single force-map (Fig. 1C) or global variations between numerous force maps across several different animals (Fig. 1D). Global analysis revealed the average Young's modulus of normal mouse peritoneum to be 4.33 ± 0.095 kPa (Fig. 1E). While the values of the vast majority of the tissue fell within a narrow range (Fig. 1E, boxed region), there were also discrete localized regions with Young's moduli that were consistently ~10-fold higher than the average (Fig. 1D right panel; Fig. 1E, upper whisker, maximum: 35.88 kPa). These areas of stiffness 'hotspots' were sparse (~1 in every 15 [20 × 20 µm] regions) and not coincident with any discernable underlying anatomy (e.g. blood vessels) but were consistently found in different sections take from different animals, suggesting that there is normal variation in the mechanical properties of mouse peritoneum. Polyacrylamide hydrogels were fabricated with average Young's moduli close to the average (3.06 ± 0.03 kPa) or the maximum (25.56 ± 0.12 kPa) measured values of normal mouse peritoneum (Fig. 1E), to serve as experimentally tractable yet physiologically relevant adhesive substrates to cells for subsequent experiments.

Cell size, F-actin organization, and focal adhesion formation positively correlate with substrate rigidity in SKOV3 human EOC cells. A wealth of studies has shown that cell spreading and morphology as well as focal adhesion maturation are positively correlated with the rigidity of the ECM in a variety of cells\(^ {31-42}\). However, this correlation has not been investigated in EOC cells. To this end, SKOV3 cells – derived from a human ovarian adenocarcinoma – were plated on fibronectin-coated 'soft' (3 kPa) or 'stiff' (25 kPa) gels and on fibronectin-coated glass coverslips (Young's modulus = ~70 GPa). Fibronectin was chosen as an adhesive substrate for this study owing to its abundance in ovarian tumor-associated stroma\(^ {23}\) and its well-documented importance in the migration, invasion, and metastasis of ovarian cancer\(^ {44-53}\). After overnight incubation, the cells were fixed and stained to visualize F-actin, and Paxillin (Fig. 2A). Striking morphological changes were readily observed in cells plated on substrates of varying rigidity. Specifically, cells plated on glass, stiff 25 kPa gels and soft 3 kPa gels spread with significantly different average areas of 3920 µm\(^2\), 1673 µm\(^2\), and 111 µm\(^2\), respectively (Fig. 2B). Although cells plated on soft gels did not spread significantly, they were stably adherent, as changing the media and processing for immunofluorescence did not dislodge them. Also, while no higher-order actin cytoskeletal structures were apparent in cells on soft gels, cells plated on stiff gels had clear stress fibers, peripheral actin cables, and leading edge ruffles, while cells on glass had numerous, robust stress fibers (Fig. 2A). The number, size, and aspect ratio of Paxillin-containing focal adhesions also increased with increasing substrate stiffness (Fig. 2C–E). These data demonstrate that EOC cell size, actin cytoskeletal organization, and focal adhesion morphology positively correlate with matrix stiffness.

Myosin Light Chain phosphorylation in SKOV3 EOC cells is regulated by ECM stiffness. There is a well-established reciprocity between focal adhesion formation and actomyosin contractility in the context of mechanotransduction. The stiffness-dependent increase in focal adhesion number, size, and aspect ratio suggested that actomyosin contractility in EOC cells might also be increased by substrate rigidity. Actomyosin
contractility regulates cell shape and the dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton and focal adhesions and is also required for many of the cellular responses to mechanical cues. Phosphorylation of the myosin regulatory light chain (MLC) and assembly of myosin mini-filaments onto F-actin are important facets of generating cellular contractility and regulating tensional homeostasis. MLC phosphorylation in vascular smooth muscle cells has been shown to be positively correlated with substrate stiffness. To begin to assess the effect of substrate rigidity on EOC contractility, SKOV3 cells adherent to FN-coated glass, 25 kPa, and 3 kPa gels were also stained to visualize active, phosphorylated MLC (containing phospho-Thr18 and phospho-Ser19). Visualization and quantification of phospho-MLC staining revealed that the majority of active, phosphorylated MLC co-localized with F-actin in cells on 25 kPa gels and on glass (Fig. 3A). In contrast, there was significantly less colocalization between phospho-MLC and F-actin in cells plated on 3 kPa gels (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, immunoblot analysis of lysates generated from cells plated either on glass or hydrogels of varying rigidity showed a positive correlation between MLC phosphorylation and substrate rigidity (Fig. 3B and C). Together, these data show that, in EOC cells, myosin activity increases with increasing matrix stiffness.

Cellular traction forces positively correlate with substrate rigidity in SKOV3 cells. The positive correlation between myosin activity and substrate stiffness suggested that cellular contractility and tension might follow the same relationship, as has been observed in other cell systems. Phosphorylation of the myosin regulatory light chain (MLC) and assembly of myosin mini-filaments onto F-actin are important facets of generating cellular contractility and regulating tensional homeostasis. MLC phosphorylation in vascular smooth muscle cells has been shown to be positively correlated with substrate stiffness. To begin to assess the effect of substrate rigidity on EOC contractility, SKOV3 cells adherent to FN-coated glass, 25 kPa, and 3 kPa gels were also stained to visualize active, phosphorylated MLC (containing phospho-Thr18 and phospho-Ser19). Visualization and quantification of phospho-MLC staining revealed that the majority of active, phosphorylated MLC co-localized with F-actin in cells on 25 kPa gels and on glass (Fig. 3A). In contrast, there was significantly less colocalization between phospho-MLC and F-actin in cells plated on 3 kPa gels (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, immunoblot analysis of lysates generated from cells plated either on glass or hydrogels of varying rigidity showed a positive correlation between MLC phosphorylation and substrate rigidity (Fig. 3B and C). Together, these data show that, in EOC cells, myosin activity increases with increasing matrix stiffness.

Matrix rigidity enhances SKOV3 cell migration. In addition to cell morphology and traction force, cell migration has also been shown to be enhanced by matrix rigidity. To examine this relationship in the context of EOC, we seeded SKOV3 cells on soft, stiff, and rigid hydrogels (3, 25, and 125 kPa, respectively) and
tracked their random migration over a period of 14 h. Less than 1% of cells plated on soft (3 kPa) gels showed significant migration, defined as a maximum displacement >50 µm (Fig. 5A and B), and the few cells that did migrate barely exceeded this threshold (Fig. 5A and Supplemental Movie S1, top panel). In contrast, 40–50% of cells plated on stiff (25 kPa) or rigid (125 kPa) substrates showed significant migration, with mean displacements of ~120 µm and maximum displacements in excess of 300 µm (Fig. 5A–C and Supplemental Movie S1, middle and bottom panels). These data show that the rigidity-dependent increases in EOC cell area, cytoskeleton & adhesion organization, and traction force collaborate to support more efficient cell migration.

**EOC cells exhibit durotaxis.** We postulated that if EOC cell migration is modulated by static, bulk differences in substrate stiffness, as described above, then acute, localized and/or directional changes in matrix rigidity might also influence EOC cell migration. Indeed, spatially discrete changes in matrix stiffness have been...
shown to induce migration in the direction of increased stiffness numerous cell types\(^{58,64}\). This process, termed durotaxis, has been demonstrated to be a crucial regulator of cell migration and its myriad dependent processes\(^{52,65,66}\). Though durotaxis has been observed and characterized in other cell types, it has yet to be described in the context of EOC. To this end, randomly migrating SKOV3 cells plated on stiff (25 kPa) hydrogels were exposed to a durotactic stimulus by deforming the hydrogel near a given target cell with a glass pipet as described in Methods (Fig. 6A) and phase microscopy images were acquired before stretch and for 80 min after stretch. SKOV3 cells exhibited a rapid and robust durotactic response when subjected to mechanical stretch (Fig. 6B and Supplemental Movie S2). Quantification of the turn angle in response to directional stretch, as compared to the angles formed by unstretched cells over the same time period, confirmed that the high degree of directionality of stretch-induced migration was not stochastic but rather driven by the directional increase in matrix tension (Fig. 6C and D). These results show, for the first time, that EOC cells exhibit durotaxis.

---

**Figure 3.** Substrate rigidity enhances actin-myosin co-localization and myosin phosphorylation. (A) SKOV-3 cells plated on FN-coated glass (G), 25 kPa, or 3 kPa hydrogels were fixed and stained to visualize F-actin and dually-phosphorylated myosin light chain (ppMLC). Images of a representative cell on 25 kPa hydrogel are shown (n.b. this is the same cell shown in Fig. 2). Co-localization of F-actin and ppMLC was assessed by pixel-by-pixel image correlation analysis performed using intensity correlation analysis to produce Mander’s correlation coefficients. Average Mander’s coefficients are plotted as mean ± SEM (n = 15 cells; *p = 0.0015 using Wilcoxon test; **p < 0.0001 using Wilcoxon test; #, no significant difference between Mander’s coefficients for F-actin and ppMLC on 3 kPa gels, while the coefficients for both F-actin and ppMLC on 3 kPa are significantly different (p < 0.0001, unpaired t-test) than the corresponding values on 25 kPa and glass). (B) Lysates from SKOV-3 cells plated on the indicated substrates (as in panel (A)) were separated by SDS-PAGE and probed with antibodies against ppMLC (Thr18/Ser19) and total myosin light chain (MLC). The positions of the 20 kDa and 15 kDa molecular weight markers are indicated. (C) The relative ratio of ppMLC to total MLC was determined by densitometry (mean ± s.d.; n = 6; *p = 0.005; **p = 0.006, using a Mann-Whitney test).
Matrix rigidity enhances the disaggregation of multicellular EOC spheroids. We reasoned that if the migration of individual EOC cells is influenced by the mechanical properties of their microenvironment, then the motile dynamics of multicellular EOC spheroids might also be regulated by changes in ECM stiffness. Studies have shown that spheroids are the minimal metastatic unit of EOC, with spheroid formation, adhesion, and/or disaggregation being critical for colonization of the peritoneum and commonly used as readouts of EOC metastatic potential. Previous studies using cadherin-expressing L-cells have shown a complex relationship between aggregate spreading, cell-cell cohesion, and cell-substrate adhesion, wherein increasing cell-substrate interaction increases disaggregation. To our knowledge, however, no studies to date have shown the direct effects of matrix stiffness on EOC spheroid adhesion or disaggregation.

To investigate this, multicellular spheroids were formed by culturing SKOV3 cells in agarose-coated microwells as described in Methods, then re-plated onto FN-coated hydrogels of varying rigidities and allowed to attach and disaggregate overnight. Spheroids plated on soft 3 kPa gels attached loosely within 2 h but showed no disaggregation by 20 h (Fig. 7A and B). In contrast, spheroids on stiff 25 kPa gels adhered more tightly and started to lose their sphericity by 2 h after plating and showed significant disaggregation (with an average 6.6-fold increase in their footprint or spread area) at 20 h (Fig. 7A and B). Spheroids plated on rigid 125 kPa gels adhered and...
started to disaggregate by 2h and showed an average 8.5-fold increase in spread area by 20 h (Fig. 7A and B). These results demonstrate, for the first time, that the mechanical properties of the underlying substrate directly regulate EOC spheroid disaggregation and in turn suggest that stiffening of ECM in the tumor microenvironment may play a role in EOC implantation and invasion in vivo.

A mechanotransduction pathway comprising ROCK, actomyosin contractility, and FAK controls disaggregation of ovarian cancer spheroids. The aforementioned effect of matrix rigidity on EOC spheroid disaggregation indicates that disaggregation is dependent on and driven by mechanosensitive biochemical pathways and suggests that disruption of such pathways might inhibit disaggregation. To begin to investigate this, we first examined the effect of inhibiting the activity of canonical biochemical components of mechanotransduction at the single-cell level by assessing cellular traction force, focal adhesion morphology and focal adhesion kinase (FAK) phosphorylation, and the morphology and intensity of F-actin and phospho-MLC staining in cells on stiff hydrogels.

As discussed above for Fig. 3, myosin is a principal component of cellular contractility. As expected, then, inhibition of the ATPase activity of non-muscle myosin II with blebbistatin all but eliminated cellular traction force (Fig. 8A and D) and altered focal adhesion morphology (Fig. 8E), without demonstrable effect on FAK phosphorylation at Tyr397 (Fig. 8E and F). Furthermore, while blebbistatin treatment dissolved F-actin stress fibers (Fig. 8G), it did not affect the intensity of phospho-MLC staining or its co-localization with residual F-actin structures (Fig. 8G and H).

Also as discussed earlier, actomyosin contractility is regulated by phosphorylation of the MLC through a complex network of kinases and phosphatases. An important component of this network are the ROCKs (Rho-dependent coiled-coil-containing kinase, or Rho-kinase), which couple activation of the Rho GTPase to MLC phosphorylation through direct (via phosphorylation of MLC) and indirect (via phosphorylation and inhibition of MLC phosphatase) pathways to promote cell-matrix tension55,69. Like blebbistatin, treatment of SKOV3 cells with fasudil (also known as HA-1077), a ROCK inhibitor that has previously been shown to inhibit EOC cell invasiveness in vitro and to reduce intraperitoneal tumor burden and ascites formation in vivo70, also led to a substantial reduction in traction force (Fig. 8B and D). Focal adhesion size and aspect ratio were also reduced by fasudil treatment, although with a concomitant and paradoxical increase in phospho-FAK levels (Fig. 8E and F); the reason for this increase is not known. Finally, unlike blebbistatin but as expected, inhibition of ROCK with fasudil essentially eliminated cytosolic phospho-MLC staining and coincidence with F-actin (Fig. 8G–I).

Figure 5. Substrate rigidity regulates ovarian cancer cell migration. (A) SKOV-3 cells plated on FN-coated 3, 25, and 125 kPa hydrogels were monitored by live-cell microscopy (capture rate = 4 frames/h) and nuclei were tracked using AIVIA software. Consecutive frames of time-lapse movies of were color-coded (as depicted by the “0 h–14 h” scale bar) and maximum intensity projections of the stacks were generated to show the cumulative cell tracks over 14 h. (B) Maximum displacements were calculated by determining the largest Euclidean distance between the position of each cell at t = 0 and its position at each frame of the time lapse image. The graph depicts all of the data points (colored symbols), with box & whisker plots showing maximum & minimum values (whiskers), the 25th to 75th percentiles (box), and the median value (box line). (n = 40 cells from 3 experiments; *p < 0.0001; **p = 0.002 using a Mann-Whitney test). (C) The percent of migrating cells was calculated by determining the number of cells with a maximum displacement ≥ 50 µm versus the total number of cells per movie. (n = 79, 159, and 180 cells from 3 experiments for 3, 25, and 125 kPa samples, respectively; *p = 0.008).
Figure 6. Ovarian cancer cells exhibit durotaxis. (A) SKOV3 cells plated on FN-coated hydrogels with rigidity of 25 kPa were allowed to adhere overnight before hydrogels were deformed with a glass micropipette and pulled orthogonal to a single migrating cell's established direction of travel. Stretch was maintained over a period of 80 minutes with images taken every minute. (B) Example of a positive durotactic response. Time lapse imaging shows morphology and position of the SKOV3 cell at 10 minutes prior to stretch, immediately before stretch, and every ten minutes during stretch for 80 minutes. White arrow indicates direction of maintained stretch. (C) Durotactic response quantified as the turn angle (θ) determined as the angle of deflection from the original direction of travel after 75 minutes. Direction of travel at each time point established by a line drawn from the nucleus to the leading edge. (D) Quantification of turn angle of responding SKOV3 cells presented with durotactic stretch for 75 minutes compared to a similar number of control (Ctrl) cells not presented with a stimulus. Graph depicts all data points (red symbols) as well as the mean turn angle (± s.d.; n = 8 and 5 cells for control and stretched cells, respectively; p = 0.007 by the Mann-Whitney test).

Figure 7. Disaggregation of ovarian cancer spheroids is dependent on substrate rigidity. (A) SKOV3 multicellular spheroids were plated on FN-coated hydrogels with rigidities of 3 kPa, 25 kPa, and 125 kPa and imaged at 2 h and 20 h post plating. Red lines in the 20 h panels help visualize the boundaries of the tumor spheroids. (B) The graph depicts the change in area of each spheroid measured at 2 h and 20 h by manually outlining spheroids at the indicated time points and calculating the area using ImageJ (n = 24 spheroids for each condition; *p < 0.0001; n.s. = not significant).
Figure 8. Cellular traction forces are dependent on ROCK activity and actomyosin contractility but independent of FAK activity. (A–C) Phase contrast images (top) and traction force maps (bottom) calculated for SKOV3 cells adhered to FN-coated 25 kPa hydrogels following 30 minute treatment with 25 μM blebbistatin (A), 10 μM fasudil (B), and 0.25 μM PF-271 (C). (D) Maximum traction forces were analyzed following 30 minute treatment with 0.1% DMSO or the indicated compound (μM) (n = 10, 11, or 21 cells for blebbistatin, fasudil, or PF-271, respectively; *p = 0.002; **p < 0.0001 using the Wilcoxon matched pairs test). (E) SKOV3 cells plated on 25 kPa hydrogels were treated with 0.1% DMSO (Ctrl), 25 μM blebbistatin (Bleb), 10 μM fasudil (Fas), or 0.25 μM PF-562271 (PF271) for 30 minutes before being fixed and stained to visualize vinculin (Vinc) and FAK phosphorylated on Tyr397 (pFAK; pY397). (F) Individual focal adhesions were outlined by thresholding vinculin images and running a custom macro in ImageJ which transferred each focal adhesion ROI to the raw vinculin and pFAK images and measured each signal intensity. Mean pFAK intensity was normalized to mean Vinc intensity within each adhesion. Histograms represent binned, normalized pFAK levels within adhesion for each treatment compared to DMSO control (n ≥ 800 adhesions from ≥ 8 cells per treatment). (G) SKOV3 cells were plated and treated as in panel (E) then stained for F-actin and phospho-MLC. (H) Linescans of relative F-actin and phospho-MLC intensity (in arbitrary units (a.u.)) for representative control and fasudil treated cells were plotted from the dotted lines shown in (G). (I) Pearson’s cross-correlation analysis of phospho-MLC and F-actin intensity linescans as shown in (H). The graph depicts all of the data points (green symbols), with box & whisker plots showing maximum & minimum values (whiskers), the 25th to 75th percentiles (box), and the median value (box line) (n = 22 linescans (1 scan/cell) from 3 experiments; p < 0.0001 using the Kruskal-Wallis test with multi-comparisons (unannotated differences are not significant)).
A central mediator of adhesion-dependent signaling, the role of FAK in mechanotransduction is regarded as being less in the generation of cellular tension and more in the transduction of signals downstream of mechanical cell-matrix interactions. Nonetheless, its demonstrated importance in both functional mechanical signaling and in the biology of EOC prompted us to assess the effect of FAK inhibition on the EOC mechano-response. Unlike blebbistatin and fasudil, treatment of SKOV3 cells with PF-271 (also known as PF-562271), a potent and highly selective inhibitor of FAK, had no significant effect on cellular traction force (Fig. 8C and D) or stress fiber and phospho-MLC architecture (Fig. 8G and I), but significantly reduced phospho-FAK intensity while preserving or slightly enhancing focal adhesion size and aspect ratio (Fig. 8E and F), consistent with FAK's role in focal adhesion turnover.

To further assess the mechanical regulation of spheroid disaggregation, we first examined the traction forces along the periphery of spheroids adhered for 2 h to soft, stiff, or rigid FN-coated hydrogels. Consistent with their respective degrees of disaggregation, spheroids on 3 kPa gels exerted low traction forces on their substrate, while spheroids on 25 kPa and 125 kPa gels exhibited substantial traction forces, especially those exerted by the van-guard cells at the periphery of the disaggregation front (Fig. 9A). We then examined the effect of inhibiting the aforementioned regulators of mechanotransduction on EOC spheroid disaggregation on stiff 25 kPa hydrogels. Despite intersecting the mechanotransduction machinery at different nodes (as detailed in Fig. 8), inhibition of myosin, ROCK, and FAK (via blebbistatin, fasudil, and PF271, respectively) all dramatically inhibited SKOV3 spheroid disaggregation (Fig. 9B and C). These data, combined with those presented in the previous figure, indicate that FAK functions downstream of actomyosin contractility and cellular tension in a mechanotransduction pathway that regulates EOC spheroid disaggregation.

Substrate rigidity controls nuclear localization of YAP1 in SKOV3 cells. Given the present data establishing a positive correlation between matrix stiffness and cellular behaviors related to EOC invasion and metastasis, we endeavored to investigate whether matrix stiffness regulated other characteristics associated with aggressive disease. YAP1 is a transcriptional co-activator downstream of the Hippo signaling pathway that is important both in normal development (e.g. for controlling cell stemness and organ size and development) and in initiation, progression, and metastasis of many cancers. YAP1 is also widely regarded as a mechanotransducer, as its transcriptional activity is responsive to a complex array of mechanical and geometric cues including the shape, density, and polarity of cells as well as the architecture of the actin cytoskeleton and the mechanics of the microenvironment. For example, YAP1 nuclear localization is regulated by substrate rigidity, although the mechanisms connecting cell-matrix tension and YAP1 nucleocytoplasmic shuttling are not fully understood. Importantly, YAP1 is a bona fide ovarian cancer oncogene, and the expression, activity, and nuclear localization of the YAP1 gene product is associated with aggressive disease and poor prognosis. Thus, we investigated whether YAP1 nuclear localization in EOC cells was affected by matrix rigidity. SKOV3 cells were plated onto FN-coated hydrogels of increasing rigidities, as well as onto FN-coated glass coverslips (as a ‘positive control’ for...
maximum substrate stiffness) overnight, then fixed and stained to visualize the actin cytoskeleton, the nucleus, and YAP1 localization. As expected, cells plated on glass were well-spread and polarized, contained prominent actin stress fibers, and showed robust nuclear localization of YAP1 (Fig. 10A–C), although this localization was dependent on actin cytoskeletal integrity rather than actomyosin contractility (Supplemental Figure S1), in agreement with recent observations in other cells90. In contrast to cells on glass, the rounded cells on soft 3 kPa gels showed peak YAP1 staining that was effectively excluded from the nucleus in (Fig. 10A and B) and had a low overall nuclear:cytoplasmic ratio of YAP1 localization (Fig. 10C). Importantly, nuclear localization of YAP1 and the overall nuclear:cytoplasmic ratio of YAP1 intensity increased proportionally in cells plated on 25 kPa and 125 kPa gels (Fig. 10A–C). These data demonstrate that, in addition to motile behavior, substrate rigidity also positively regulates the nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of YAP1, a mechano-responsive enhancer of EOC aggression and metastasis.

Mechanical regulation of cell morphology, migration, contractility, and spheroid disaggregation is maintained in highly metastatic SKOV3.ip1 cells. Collectively, the present work indicates that increasing substrate rigidity enhances characteristics of EOC disease progression and spread (i.e. migration, spheroid disaggregation, YAP1 nuclear localization). This is congruent with prior work that positively correlates matrix stiffness and cellular traction stresses with increased metastatic potential10,13,95. However, a previous report has suggested that metastatic potential in EOC cells correlates with preferential cell spreading and migration on soft matrices96. To examine whether the positive correlation between matrix stiffness and metastasis-related cell behaviors was reversed or altered with increasing metastatic potential, we assessed hallmark aspects of mechano-responsiveness in SKOV3.ip1 cells, a subclone of SKOV3 cells derived by serial selection and expansion of intraperitoneal metastases98. As seen for SKOV3 cells, there was for highly metastatic SKOV3.ip1 a positive correlation between matrix stiffness and cell spreading (Fig. 11A), traction forces (Fig. 11B–D), and random cell migration (Fig. 11E–G). Importantly, SKOV3.ip1 cells also exhibited a robust durotactic response (Fig. 11H and I; Supplemental Movie S3), which dramatically and unequivocally demonstrates the positive correlation between matrix stiffness and migration in these cells. Finally, we assessed the influence of substrate stiffness on disaggregation of SKOV3.ip1 spheroids. As noted in Methods, this cell line did not exhibit the same kinetics of spheroid formation as the parental SKOV3 line, requiring 5–7 d to tightly aggregate into numerous, small spheroids and 14–18 days to form larger, cohesive aggregates (as opposed to the essentially complete compaction into a single spheroid within 3 d seen for SKOV3 cells). Given the wide range of sizes in physiologically relevant spheroids94,
we opted for analyzing the smaller spheroids formed at 5–7 d. These differences notwithstanding, SKOV3.ip1 spheroids showed little-to-no disaggregation on 3 kPa gels but showed extensive and near-complete disaggregation on 25 kPa and 125 kPa gels (Fig. 11J and K). These results clearly demonstrate that the positive correlation between matrix stiffness and EOC cell morphology, migration, contractility, and spheroid disaggregation is maintained even in highly metastatic cells.

Discussion
The current work establishes EOC as a mechano-responsive malignancy. Using polymer hydrogels that mimic the Young’s modulus values found in normal murine peritoneum – an organ targeted with grave effect during EOC metastasis – we have shown that increasing matrix stiffness enhances a variety of cellular processes germane to EOC dissemination and invasion, including EOC cell morphology, migration, contractility, YAP1 nuclear import, and spheroid disaggregation (summarized in Fig. 12).

In contrast to other epithelial tumors, ovarian cancers predominantly metastasize by sloughing away from primary tumors and moving throughout the abdominal cavity in ascites fluid with the normal peritoneal fluid
flow. The mesothelium and the basement membrane beneath the mesothelial cell layer contain numerous ligands that support adhesion and migration such as fibronectin, laminin, type IV collagen and mesothelin. Spheroid disaggregation has been observed on a wide variety of ECM proteins and the generation of spheroid aggregates requires cellular contractility and correlates with the contractile nature and invasive phenotype of the EOC itself. Iwanicki et al. elegantly demonstrated that EOC spheroids exert myosin-dependent mechanical force on underlying mesothelial cells, leading to disruption and displacement of the mesothelial monolayer and providing the EOC cells access to the underlying matrix. The current work suggests, however, that even after the mesothelial barrier is breached, the mechanical properties of the underlying extracellular matrix may contribute to EOC spheroid disaggregation and local invasion.

It is important to note that the elastic modulus values reported and used herein to model and manipulate the mechanical microenvironment were based on analyses of murine peritoneum. Despite considerable effort, we have not been able to find any published reports of Young’s modulus or complementary values for the surface of the human peritoneum, determined either in bulk by coarse rheometry or at the subcellular-scale level using atomic force microscopy as done here. However, the current results justify the effort and underscore the importance of analyzing human peritoneum – both normal and tumor-associated – and such efforts are currently underway in our laboratory. This caveat notwithstanding, it is interesting to note that neither of the EOC cell lines used here showed appreciable spheroid disaggregation on gels with a stiffness equivalent to that of the average value of the peritoneum. This suggests that, in vivo, EOC spheroids may either ‘roll’ on the peritoneal surface, sampling the mechanical microenvironment for a region stiff enough to support stronger adhesion and disaggregation or alternatively, after adhesion to a soft region, may elicit a desmoplastic response that leads to stiffening in situ and thereby supports disaggregation and, ultimately, localized invasion. This latter hypothesis is comparable to the situation in breast cancer and other tissues wherein there is a ‘dialog’ between a tumor and its associated stroma that increases the rigidity of the microenvironment, which in turn enhances local invasion.

Indeed, the role that EOC cells have in shaping the mechanical properties of the sites of metastases remains to be investigated. The effect of tumor cells on remodeling the ECM has been extensively studied in breast cancer where matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) degrade the extracellular matrix and matrix reorganizing proteins such as lysyl oxidases (LOXs) increase collagen cross-linking and, thus, increase tissue tensile strength. The stiffened ECM actively signals to promote integrin-dependent focal adhesions and enhance the activity of pro-migratory signaling pathways such as PI3K. We have previously shown that SKOV-3 cells require MMP activity to degrade the ECM during invasion through matrigel and that leading edge protein kinase A signaling is required for SKOV-3 cell migration and invasion. Other studies have shown that the expression of ECM remodeling proteins such as LOX1 and TGT2 are increased in highly aggressive ovarian cancers. Given the fact that EOC cells sense, respond, and can contribute to the mechanical properties of the ECM, it is tempting to hypothesize a mode of metastasis in which, after dissemination, EOC spheroids not only attach to and clear the mesothelium, but also instigate a program of ECM remodeling characterized by MMP-mediated matrix degradation, LOX1/TG2-mediated matrix

Figure 12. Summary of the reported mechanosensing behavior of ovarian cancer cells. The top panel depicts cells (grey) adhered to a model microenvironment with a gradient of rigidity, increasing from left to right (depicted by the depth of blue shading and density of the blue ‘spring’ coils). Individual SKOV3 and highly metastatic SKOV3.ip1 cells adhere to FN-coated hydrogels of low rigidity but fail to spread or form focal adhesions or organized actin filaments. This is accompanied by low levels of phosphorylated myosin light chain (pMLC), low traction forces (upper panel, red ‘springs’), low cell motility, and nuclear exclusion of YAP1. Cells adhered to stiffer substrates show significantly increased focal adhesion formation, F-actin organization, and MLC phosphorylation, which reinforce each other and support increased cell spreading, cellular traction forces, and nuclear accumulation of YAP1. Also, globally-increased substrate stiffness supports higher levels of cell migration while directional increases in matrix tension promote directional durotaxis. These differences at the individual cell level are reflected at the level of multicellular spheroids, where disaggregation is enhanced by matrix stiffness through a mechanotransduction pathway involving ROCK, actomyosin contractility, and FAK. Some design elements of this schematic were inspired by Plotnikov & Waterman.
cross-linking, and consequent or parallel initiation of a localized inflammatory response\(^{17,19,20}\). To this point, there is considerable evidence highlighting the importance of EOC-driven cancer-associated fibroblasts and other aspects of EOC-associated reactive stroma in disease progression and severity\(^{96–102}\). Thus, these desmoplastic events would be expected to cause an increase in ECM stiffness which would, in turn, activate integrin signaling, promote focal adhesion formation, and activate migration-associated signaling pathways to ultimately reinforce the locally invasive behavior of EOC cells. Current efforts are underway to assess the ability of EOC spheroids to induce mechanical changes in the peritoneal microenvironment and the contribution of such changes to the local invasion – and ultimately the widespread dissemination – of EOC in vivo.

Finally, the results reported here are in stark contrast to those from an earlier effort examining the relationship between matrix stiffness and ovarian cancer cell behavior, which reported that soft, rather than stiff, substrates preferentially supported increased EOC cell adhesion, migration, phospho-MLC intensity, and the magnitude and polarity of traction forces\(^{96}\). This is despite the use of both the same cell line (SKOV3) and nearly the same values for substrate rigidity (~3 kPa & ~30 kPa) for the majority of both studies. That report also observed that a pliable microenvironment promoted increased expression of markers of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (e.g. vimentin, N-cadherin) in SKOV3 cells. While the current work did not directly assess these canonical EMT markers, our data showing increased nuclear localization of YAP1 on stiffer matrices suggest a contrary, positive correlation between the microenvironmental rigidity and EOC aggression at the transcriptional level. Finally, the previous report also suggested that this inverse mechanical relationship was a function of metastatic potential, as a less aggressive cell line of different origin (i.e. OVCAR3 cells) did not show these behaviors\(^9\). This, again, is at odds with the current observations that SKOV3 cells SKOV3.ip1 cells, a more aggressive and metastatic sub-line derived directly from SKOV3 cells, maintained a directly proportional response to matrix rigidity.

The reasons underlying the opposing observations are not known. As stated, both studies used the same cell line and hydrogels of comparable mechanical properties for the bulk of the work. One salient difference might be the culture conditions, as the previous work reported using a minimal medium (Hank's balanced salt solution) without serum for most assays\(^9\), while the current work used serum-containing medium. We chose our culture conditions because of the biochemical complexity of ascites fluid and, from this, the high likelihood that EOC cells and/or spheroids would be constantly exposed to a rich, heterogeneous mixture of bioactive factors, which is routinely approximated in vitro by complex media containing animal sera. This technical difference is noteworthy, as the earlier report showed that some rigidity-dependence of some experimental endpoints (i.e. migration, aspect ratio, traction stress, and traction polarization) was either reversed or normalized by treatment with lysophosphatidic acid (LPA\(^9\)), an abundant component of both serum and malignant ascites that promotes migration and contractility principally through activation of Rho-dependent signaling pathways\(^{110,111}\).

Another noteworthy difference between the methodology of these two studies is the use of different ECM proteins; specifically, collagen I\(^9\) and fibronectin (this study). While the use of fibronectin is relevant and appropriate (see Results, above), both proteins – as well as several other ECM proteins and components – are assuredly physiologically relevant to EOC progression and dissemination, albeit quite likely at different stages of these processes\(^9,14\). Importantly, it is becoming increasingly evident that different matrix proteins, acting through different integrins and associated adhesive contacts, can elicit distinct profiles of mechanosensitive behavior\(^9\), although the true physiological relevance of these differences is not yet fully understood. It would be intriguing and informative to directly assess whether EOC cellular mechano-response can effectively reverse itself based on matrix- and/or integrin-specific inputs; efforts along these lines are underway. Nonetheless, the results reported herein unequivocally demonstrate that increasing mechanical stiffness in the microenvironment can enhance EOC cell adhesion, migration, and spheroid disaggregation, and suggest that this relationship may contribute to EOC invasion and disease progression.

**Methods**

**General cell culture.** The human epithelial ovarian cancer cell line SKOV3 (American Type Culture Collection) and its more invasive and metastatic sub-clone SKOV3.ip1 (a kind gift from D. Schlaepfer, UCSD) were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37 °C containing 5% CO\(_2\) in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Cells were trypsinized and split 1:5 every 3–4 days to maintain at subconfluency. Both cell lines were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37 °C containing 5% CO\(_2\) in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum.

**Antibodies and miscellaneous reagents.** Antibodies (and their source) against the following targets were used: fibronectin (BD Biosciences, #610077); paxillin (Abcam, ab32084); phospho-myosin light chain 2 (pThr18/pSer19; Cell Signaling Technology, #3674); vinculin (HVin-1; Millipore-Sigma V9131); phospho-FAK (pY397; Cell Signaling Technology, #3283); FAK (C-20; Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc-558); YAP1 (D8H1X; Cell Signaling Technology, #14074); DAPI (4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride); Hoechst 33342, and phalloidins conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488, 594, or 647 were from ThermoFisher Scientific. Fasudil (also known as HA-1077) was from Cayman Chemical, PF-562271 was from ChemScene, and Blebbistatin (#203389) and latrunculin A (L5163) were from Millipore Sigma. Acrylamide and N,N-methylenebisacrylamide were purchased from National Diagnostics. Human plasma-derived fibronectin (Corning #356008) was from Thermo Fisher. Glass-bottom imaging dishes were from Cellvis. Other chemicals and reagents, unless otherwise noted, were purchased from Millipore Sigma.

**Image analysis and figure preparation.** Unless otherwise stated, images were adjusted and analyzed with the Fiji distribution of ImageJ\(^{14,15}\), using built-in functions, plugins, or custom macros as indicated. All graphs were generated and statistics analyzed using GraphPad Prism. Figures were made using Photoshop Creative Cloud.
Fabrication of polyacrylamide hydrogels. Polymer hydrogels were made essentially as previously described[16]. After sterilizing with 70% ethanol, 22mm coverslips or glass bottom dishes (Cellvis) were treated with 2 N NaOH for 15 min and with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTMS; Millipore Sigma) for 3 min. Coverslips were washed 3 × 5 min with ddH2O then incubated with 0.5% glutaraldehyde for 30 min. Activated coverslips were either used immediately or stored desiccated for up to 2 weeks. Polyacrylamide gels contained final concentrations of 7.5% or 12% acrylamide and either 0.5% or 0.05% bis-acrylamide. These concentrations were chosen based on published values[35] to give desired Young's elastic modulus values, which were then confirmed by atomic force microscopy as described below. Polymerization was initiated with 2.5 µl 10% APS and 0.5 µl TEMED (N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl-ethylenediamine). Gels were cast onto activated coverslips or glass bottomed dishes by adding a 25µl drop of the gel solution onto the activated surface and overlaying another 22 mm coverslip passivated with Rain-X (to facilitate its removal after polymerization), yielding a gel height of approximately 65 µm. Gels were allowed to polymerize for 30 minutes and, after removal of the top coverslip, were washed 3 × 5 min in 50 mM HEPES pH 8.5, then incubated with 0.4 mM sulfo-N-succinimidyl-6-(4-azido-2'-nitrophenylamino)hexanol (sulfo-SANPAH; Thermo Fisher) for 90 seconds at room temperature 4° from a 364 nm UV light source (IntelliRay 400; Uvitron). Activated gels were washed 3 × 5 min in 50 mM HEPES pH 8.5 and incubated with 20 µg/ml fibronectin (FN) diluted in 50 mM HEPES pH 8.5 for 1 h at 37°C. FN-coated gels were sterilized for 15 min under UV light, washed (3 × 5 min) in PBS and either used immediately or stored at 4°C for up to 1 week. This concentration was chosen based on its prior, successful use to support SKOV3 migration on glass coverslips[36]. The equivalence of FN functionalization of glass and hydrogel surfaces was confirmed by fluorescence microscopy using either anti-FN antibody or FN conjugated to FITC (Sigma, F4274) using the manufacturer's protocol. As reported by others[14], no cells adhered to uncoated gels or to gels coated with bovine serum albumin (BSA; Supplemental Figure S2).

Obtaining and mounting peritoneal tissue. All animal procedures received prior approval from the University of Vermont Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (UVM IACUC). Specifically, mouse peritoneal tissue was obtained through tissue sharing, in accordance with the Use of Animal Tissues/Products Policy of the UVM IACUC. All mice were 6–10 week-old female C57BL6 devoid of any ostensible fibrotic, abdominal, or peritoneal pathologies and were sacrificed by the Nelson Laboratory (University of Vermont, with approval of the UVM IACUC) for various studies of vascular and neurovascular physiology. Once the animals were sacrificed by CO2 inhalation and cervical dislocation and the primary tissue of interest removed, the animals were skinned and 1 × 2 cm sections of abdominal peritoneum were dissected and adhered to glass slides visceral side-up using Cell-Tak (BD Biosciences), maintaining the pre-dissection dimensions of the tissue. A pap-pen was used to create a hydrophobic ring around the tissue and the circumscribed tissue was covered with PBS for imaging and mapping.

Microindentation atomic force microscopy and force mapping. Force indentation curves were obtained from peritoneal tissue or hydrogels using a 5.0 µm borosilicate glass sphere probe on a silicon nitride cantilever (spring constant: 0.06 N/m; NovaScan) using the contact mode on a MFP-3D BIO Atomic Force Microscope controlled by an IGOR Pro interface (Asylum Research). Probe spring constants were confirmed by CO2 inhalation and cervical dislocation and the primary tissue of interest removed, the animals were skinned and 1 × 2 cm sections of abdominal peritoneum were dissected and adhered to glass slides visceral side-up using Cell-Tak (BD Biosciences), maintaining the pre-dissection dimensions of the tissue. A pap-pen was used to create a hydrophobic ring around the tissue and the circumscribed tissue was covered with PBS for imaging and mapping.

Immunofluorescence, morphometry, and co-localization analyses. Glass coverslips (22 or 25 mm round; Fisher Scientific) were sterilized by sequential 30 min soaks in 70%, 95%, and 100% EtOH and then air-dried in a tissue culture hood. Cells were fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) for 10 min, permeabilized for 10 min in TBS containing 0.25% triton X100, blocked with TBS containing 5% BSA for 1 h at RT then incubated with antibodies against vimentin (1:500), phospho-MLC2 (1:500), vinculin (1:400), or phospho-FAK (1:250) for 1 h at RT. After washing with TBS, cells were incubated with Alexa-647 coupled donkey anti-mouse, Alexa-596 coupled donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (Invitrogen, 1:400) and Alexa-488 conjugated phallolidin (Invitrogen, 1:100) for 1 h at RT. Coverslips were washed then mounted onto slides using PermaFluor (ThermoFisher). Epifluorescence images were captured through a 60X Plan Apo oil immersion objective on a Nikon Eclipse TE2000E or TIE inverted microscope using the appropriate fluorophore-specific filters (Chroma Technology Corp) and a CoolSnap HQ camera (Photometrics) controlled by Nikon Elements software. Images were analyzed in ImageJ. For cell area, a binary mask was created by thresholding phaloidin images and area was determined using the ImageJ Measure tool.

For immunofluorescence of YAP1, cells were plated at a density of 55,000 cells per 35 mm well on 3Kpa, 25Kpa, 125Kpa and glass substrates overnight at 37°C in DMEM containing 10% FBS. Cells were fixed 18hr post plating for 15 minutes in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, and blocked and permeabilized in 5% donkey serum + 0.3% triton X-100 in PBS for 1hr at room temperature, then incubated with anti-YAP1 antibody (1:100) in 1% BSA and 0.3% triton X-100 in PBS overnight at 4°C. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with Alexa-488 coupled donkey anti-rabbit (Abcam, 1:400) and Alexa-594 conjugated phalloldin (Molecular Probes, 1:100) for 90min at room temperature. Coverslips were washed with 5 times for 5min each with PBS, followed by a 5 min incubation...
with DAPI (1:6000) in PBS. Coverslips were mounted onto slides using PermaFluor (ThermoFisher) and epifluorescent images were captured through a 40x Plan Fluor oil immersion objective.

For assessment of morphological effects of mechanotransduction inhibitors, cells plated on fibronectin-coated 25 kPa hydrogels were allowed to adhere overnight and then treated with DMSO (0.1%), blebbistatin (25 µM), Fasudil (10 µM), or PF-562271 (0.25 µM) for 30 minutes. Cells were fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde for 20 minutes, permeabilized for 5 minutes in 0.25% triton X100, blocked in PBS containing 3% BSA for 1 hour at room temperature, then incubated with antibodies against phospho-MLC (1:200), vinculin (1:400), or phosphor-FAK (1:100) for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with Alexa-488 coupled donkey anti-mouse, Alexa-488 coupled donkey anti-rabbit, or Alexa-594 coupled donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (1:400; Abcam), and Alexa-594-phalloidin (1:100; Invitrogen) for 1 hour at room temperature, then washed, mounted, and imaged as described above.

**Immunoblotting.** Cells on polyacrylamide gels or glass coverslips were lysed by inversion onto a 100 µl drop of Triton X-100 lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100; 50 mM Tris pH 7.2; 10% glycerol; 25 mM β-glycerophosphate; 2 mM EDTA; 2 mM EGTA) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors and incubation on ice for 10 min. Lysates were gently collected, incubated on ice an additional 10 min, then cleared by centrifugation and assayed for protein content (BCA assay; ThermoFisher). Samples were mixed with 5x Laemmli sample buffer, boiled, separated on 15% SDS-PAGE gels, and transferred to PVDF membranes. After blocking for 1 h (in 1.5% gelatin in PBS-T), membranes were incubated with antibodies against phospho-MLC2 (1:500) or total MLC2 (1:500) overnight at 4 °C. The membranes were washed in PBS-T, incubated with appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5000; Calbiochem) for 60 min, washed again, then developed by enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce ECL Plus; ThermoFisher).

**Traction force microscopy.** Polyacrylamide hydrogels for traction force microscopy were prepared as previously described (Knoll et al., 2014). Briefly, acid washed glass coverslips (18 mm) were incubated in poly-L-lysine (10 µg/mL in sterile water) for 1 hour at room temperature, then coated with 0.2 µm carboxylate-modified red Fluospheres (1:200 in sterile water; ThermoFisher) for 15 minutes at room temperature. Bead coated coverslips were used as top coverslips to cast polyacrylamide hydrogels on functionalized, glass bottom imaging dishes as described above. Hydrogels were allowed to polymerize for 30 minutes at room temperature and washed for 30 minutes in 50 mM HEPES (pH 8.5) before the top coverslips were removed. Beaded gels were then washed again in 50 mM HEPES and coated with fibronectin (20 µg/mL in HEPES pH 8.5) for 1 hour at 37°C. Cells were allowed to adhere overnight and transferred into CO2 independent medium (Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum prior to imaging. Phase contrast and fluorescent bead images were captured through a 20x or 40x Plan Apo objective on a Nikon Eclipse TE-2000E inverted microscope. Spheroids were seeded onto beaded gels as described above and imaged 2 h and 20 h after seeding. Bead positions were imaged at the indicated times after cell/spheroid seeding, as well as after eliminating cells by the addition of 0.3% SDS (to obtain a zero-force image). For spheroids, grids of 20x or 40x bead fields were acquired and stitched together using Nikon Elements software, and zero-force images were obtained after treatment with sodium azide (100 mM, 60 min), which maintained the spheroids’ position on – and any indentation of – the hydrogels but effectively killed the cells and completely eliminated all cellular contractility and traction forces. Bead images were assembled into stacks and registered using the MultiStackReg plugin for ImageJ. The resulting registration transformation matrix was then applied to the corresponding phase images. Traction force microscopy was performed using the PIV and FTTC ImageJ plugins (created and generously provided to the research community by Q. Tseng and available at https://sites.google.com/site/qingzongtseng/imagejplugins), called by a custom-written macro to process stacks. Typically, three passes of advanced iterative PIV were performed with first-pass parameters as follows: interrogation window size = 128; search window size = 256; vector spacing = 64. Subsequent passes stepped those parameters down by half and the correlation threshold was set at 0.8. Fourier transform traction cytometry (FTTC) was performed using a Poisson ratio of 0.5, pixel size of 0.161 microns, and a Young's modulus value appropriate for the hydrogel being analyzed. Using an L-series sweep as described elsewhere, we found that optimal regularization factor values varied as a function of hydrogel rigidity; thus, we used regularization factors of 2.5 × 10^-10, 2 × 10^-10, and 1 × 10^-10 for 125 kPa, 25 kPa, and 3 kPa gels, respectively. Cell contours in phase contrast images were outlined manually and transferred to traction force maps; values outside the contours were cleared to depict only forces within the area of the cells themselves. Maximum and mean traction forces were measured from the 32-bit force maps, while total cell strength was calculated as the product of the cell area (in µm^2) and the mean traction force (in kPa).

**Live cell imaging, cell tracking, and migration analyses.** Cells plated on hydrogels or glass-bottom dishes were incubated overnight in DMEM with 10% FBS. For cell tracking, 3.5 × 10^4 cells were seeded in the dishes and incubated overnight. The nuclei were then stained with Hoescht 33342 (1:2000 in Ringer’s buffered saline (10 mM HEPES; 10 mM glucose; 155 mM NaCl; 5 mM KCl; 2 mM CaCl2; 1 mM MgCl2)) for 10 min at room temperature followed by washing twice with Ringer’s saline. The cells were re-fed with DMEM with 10% FBS and monitored by live cell microscopy using a Lionheart FX automated live cell imager (Biotech) with a 10x dry objective (UPLFLN; Olympus), maintaining the cells at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 environment for the duration of the imaging. Phase contrast and fluorescent images were captured every 15 min for 14 h. The nuclei tracking recipe of SVCell/AIVIA (DRVision Technologies, Bellvue, WA) was used to track individual nuclei and obtain (x,y) coordinates to calculate displacement, path length, and cell speed. Migrating cells were identified as those that migrated beyond a circular area 2x the diameter of the cell over the 14 h course of imaging. Maximum displacement calculated as the largest change in Euclidean distance of a given cell over the entire course of imaging.
Durotaxis assays. Cells were seeded, mounted, and maintained on the microscope as above and were manipulated with a glass microneedle as described previously[120]. Micropipettes were fashioned from borosilicate glass capillaries (World Precision Instruments) on a two-stage Pull-2 pipette puller (World Precision Instruments). A Narishige MF-900 microforce was used to form the micropipette tip into a hook with a rounded end to engage the polyacrylamide hydrogels without tearing. The forged microneedle was mounted on a micro-manipulator (Leica Leitz mechanical or Narishige MHW-3) and lowered onto the gel surface approximately 20 μm away from a cell. Once engaged, the gel was pulled approximately 20 μm in a direction perpendicular to the cell’s axis of migration. Quantification of durotactic response was calculated as the angle defined by the long axis of the cell immediately prior to durotactic stretch and its long axis 75 min after stretch and was compared to the long axis angles of unstretched motile cells at 0 and 75 minutes.

Spheroid disaggregation assay. Multi-cellular spheroids of SKOV3 and SKOV3.ip1 cells were generated as follows: Cells were trypsinized, collected in Medium 199 (Thermo Fisher) + 10% FBS (n.b. the use of Medium 199 as opposed to DMEM was found to significantly enhance the formation and health of spheroids from both lines), and diluted to 20,000 cells/ml in the same medium. The wells of a 96-well plate were coated with 0.1 ml of 1% agarose (UltraPure; Millipore Sigma) in Medium 199, allowed to cool to room temperature, then seeded with 0.1 ml of the cell suspension (i.e. 2000 cells/well). After incubation under standard culture conditions, SKOV3 cells condensed into single, compact spheroids with ~100% efficiency within 3 d, while SKOV3.ip1 aggregated into smaller, more numerous spheroids over 5–7 d. Spheroids were collected by gentle trituration with a short glass Pasteur pipette and collected into 1 ml M199/10% FBS. The spheroids were pelleted at 100x g for 5 minutes, 95% of the supernatant medium was removed and replaced with 6 ml fresh medium, and the spheroids were gently resuspended and dispersed evenly into six-well plates resuming hydrogel-covered coverslips. Spheroids were allowed to attach for 2 h before phase contrast imaging with 10x or 20x Plan Apo objectives. Spheroids were then incubated overnight and images were taken 18 h after the initial images. To quantify the degree of dispersion, images were thresholded using ImageJ to outline the periphery of the aggregate, and total area of the 20 h image was divided by the area of the initial image. Where indicated, spheroids were seeded onto hydrogels containing fluorescent nanospheres and random fields at the periphery of the spheroid were imaged after 4 h for assessment of cellular traction forces as described above.

Data availability. The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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