Corpus Callosum Size Is Highly Heritable in Humans, and May Reflect Distinct Genetic Influences on Ventral and Rostral Regions
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Abstract

Anatomical differences in the corpus callosum have been found in various psychiatric disorders, but data on the genetic contributions to these differences have been limited. The current study used morphometric MRI data to assess the heritability of corpus callosum size and the genetic correlations among anatomical sub-regions of the corpus callosum among individuals with and without mood disorders. The corpus callosum (CC) was manually segmented at the mid-sagittal plane in 42 women (healthy, n = 14; major depressive disorder, n = 15; bipolar disorder, n = 13) and their 86 child or adolescent offspring. Four anatomical sub-regions (CC-genu, CC2, CC3 and CC-splenium) and total CC were measured and analyzed. Heritability and genetic correlations were estimated using a variance components method, with adjustment for age, sex, diagnosis, and diagnosis x age, where appropriate. Significant genetic heritability was found for several CC sub-regions (P < 0.01), with estimated values ranging from 48% (spleenium) to 67% (total CC). There were strong and significant genetic correlations among most sub regions. Correlations between the genu and mid-body, between the genu and total corpus callosum, and between anterior and mid body were all >90%, but no significant genetic correlations were detected between ventral and rostral regions in this sample. Genetic factors play an important role in corpus callosum size among individuals. Distinct genetic factors seem to be involved in caudal and rostral regions, consistent with the divergent functional specialization of these brain areas.

Introduction

The corpus callosum (CC) plays a major role in connecting the cerebral hemispheres, enabling inter-hemispheric communication [1]. The CC is the brain’s largest white matter structure [2,3], and five anatomical sub-regions are commonly recognized: rostrum, genu, body, isthmus, and splenium [4]. The cortical origins of the axons passing through each subregion differ across sub regions. For example, projections from auditory and somatosensory cortices primarily traverse the body of the CC [5–7], while those from visual cortex traverse the splenium [5–7]. Further work is needed to characterize the functional roles of the inter-hemispheric projections contained within the CC, but the entire structure appears to play an integrative role [2] by facilitating the coordinated and rapid transfer of information involved in sensorimotor, attention, language, and other cognitive processes between contralateral, homologous cortical regions [7–10].

Disturbances in the structure or function of the CC have been reported in several neuropsychiatric illnesses, including schizophrenia [11–13], bipolar disorder [14], autism [15], and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder [16]. In mood disorders, CC abnormalities have been implicated in some of the cognitive and other symptoms that occur in bipolar disorder [7,17]; possibly reflecting compromised efficiency of information transfer between cerebral hemispheres [18]. Moreover, fractional anisotropy (a diffusion tensor imaging measure that reflects the degree to which white matter fibers are aligned in a specific direction) is significantly lower in the mid-body and genu of the CC in patients with bipolar disorder, compared to healthy controls [19,20]. Abnormalities of size and morphology of the CC have also been reported in individuals with unipolar depressive disorders [21,22], which may reflect alterations in the cortico-limbic-subcortical circuitry arising in association with the prefrontal cortical and temporal cortical volumetric differences reported in these conditions [23–25]. The relationship between CC structure and function under normal conditions, together with the evidence of structural alterations in mood disorders, underscores the importance of understanding the role of genes in influencing the size of...
the various substructures of the CC, and inter-relationships that reflect shared genetic factors.

Genetic factors have been shown to play important roles in the development of a variety of brain structures, including the CC. Several studies have shown that the volumes of specific brain structures are significantly heritable [25,27–31]. For example, relatively high heritability estimates have been reported for cerebral hemisphere sizes [28], cortical morphology [32] and white matter volume [30]. Several investigations have reported significant heritability estimates for total CC size [32–34], although only a few study addressed the heritability of CC sub regions [35,36]. While functional and anatomical connectivity between the CC and other brain regions [27] may imply shared genetic programs, there is a paucity of information regarding any genetic correlations among sub regions within the CC [30]. Thus it remains unclear how much of the morphologic correlation between sub-regions in the CC is attributable to shared genetic factors in humans.

The present study was aimed at investigating heritability of CC volume and genetic correlations among CC sub-regions in participants with and without mood disorders. The results demonstrate that genetic factors play an important role in CC volume, but also suggest that distinct genetic factors are involved in the development of caudal and rostral regions, consistent with the divergent functional specialization of these CC sub regions.

Methods

Ethics Statement

The participants provided their written informed consent. Written informed consent was obtained from the mothers on behalf of their children. The ethics committee of The National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) approved this informed written consent procedure involving both adults and children. The ethics of conducting this experiment followed strictly the guidelines of The National Institutes of Mental Health (NIMH) Institutional Review Board (IRB), which examined and approved this research work.

Participants

Participants were drawn from the NIMH Longitudinal Study of the children of affectively ill and well parents [39]. Forty-two mothers, ranging in age from 43 to 45 years, and 86 children (76 full siblings and 10 maternal half siblings) from 58 families underwent scanning, including 15 mothers with major depressive disorder (MDD), 13 with bipolar disorder (BD) and 14 with no psychiatric illness (control). All participants were in good physical health at enrollment and at time of scanning. Offspring included 33 from mothers with MDD, 25 from those with BD, and 22 from healthy mothers (Table 1). Offspring were initially enrolled between the ages of 1 and 3, classified by mother’s psychiatric status, and then reassessed periodically over the following 10-20 years. The MRI scans were acquired in offspring and mothers when offspring were between age 10 and 18 years. The original sample was largely of Caucasian ancestry, with a small representation of Hispanics, African Americans, and Asians [39]. A previous study has shown that race did not have a significant effect on the various sub-regions of the corpus callosum [40]. Since heritability estimates in the present study depend on comparisons of mothers with their own offspring, racial differences between families have no influence on the estimates.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Scans were obtained at the NIH using a Picker Vista 0.5 Tesla scanner running a gradient echo 3D acquisition pulse sequence. Parameters for image acquisition were optimized for tissue contrast resolution in pilot studies performed in independent samples [41]. Sagittal images 2 mm thick were obtained at voxel size = 2 x 1 x 1 mm (TR = 20 ms, TE = 6 ms, flip angle = 45 degrees, FOV = 26 cm, matrix = 130 x 256, acquisition time = 7.9 144 minutes). Image data were processed with ANALYZE (Biomedical Imaging Resource, Mayo Foundation, Rochester, MN). In the mid-sagittal plane, the CC was manually traced and segmented into six sub regions, CC1 (corresponding to the relatively small rostrum plus the much larger genu region [42], CC2 (anterior CC body), CC3 (mid CC body), CC4 (posterior CC body), CC5 (isthmus), and CC6 (splenium) [40]. In the present study only the data from the CC1, CC2, CC3 and CC6 regions were analyzed, as these regions had the highest inter-rater reliability. Briefly, a horizontal line was drawn from the base of the splenium to the base of the genu. Vertical lines, perpendicular to the horizontal reference line, were drawn at the anterior aspect of the genu and posterior aspect of the splenium. The midpoint of the horizontal reference line between these two vertical reference lines were determined, and radial divisors were placed at that midpoint to divide the CC into sub-regions of C1, C2, C3 C4, C5 and C6 (Figure 1). Area measures (in mm²) were obtained from each sub-region and for the total CC area. Whole brain area was determined by manually tracing the cerebrum in the mid-sagittal plane. All tracings were performed with the rater blind to subject identity. Although this technology has now been replaced by higher resolution scanners, inter-rater reliability as measured by intra-class correlation [43] was excellent: 0.92 for the genu, 0.92 for the splenium, 0.90 for CC2, 0.90 for CC3 and 0.92 for total CC, based on 22 scans traced by two raters each. We did not have measures of overall brain size, but each sub region was expressed as a proportion of total CC size, thus minimizing the impact of individual differences in overall brain size.

Quantitative Genetic Analysis

Information from full and half siblings and their mothers were used to conduct quantitative genetic analysis. The effects of age, sex, maternal psychiatric diagnosis, age², and their interactions (age x sex, age x psychiatric diagnosis, sex x psychiatric diagnosis) were examined in a variance components analysis implemented by SOLAR [44]. Maternal diagnosis of MDD has been previously shown to affect CC size in offspring [45]. Covariates with significant statistical effects were included in the final model to determine heritability and genetic correlations.

Narrow-sense heritability (h²) and genetic correlations between brain regions were estimated using the maximum likelihood variance components method [44]. Narrow-sense heritability is defined as the proportion of the total phenotypic variance attributable to additive genetic variance:

\[ h^2 = \frac{\sigma^2_g}{\sigma^2_p} \]

Genetic correlation analysis estimates the proportion of genetic variance that two traits share in common. A significant genetic correlation implies that a gene or genes shared among individuals influences both traits. A positive correlation indicates that genetic differences affect measured values in the same direction for both traits. SOLAR partitions the phenotypic variance into components...
attributable to genetic and environmental factors, and can account for the effects of covariates (age, sex, and diagnostic status).

Genetic correlations between CC volumes were investigated using a multivariate extension of the variance components method [43,46]. This approach is based on the covariance between phenotypes of related individuals after accounting for the effects of significant covariates [47]. Likelihood methods were used to obtain the statistical significance of the estimate of each parameter by comparing a model in which that parameter is constrained to zero against the general model in which all parameters were estimated simultaneously. Further details regarding the population genetic theory and the specific analytical methods used can be found in Almasy and Blangero [46] and Comuzzie et al. [47].

Results

Estimation of Covariate Effects

In order to estimate the effects of potential confounding variables, age (including age^2), sex, psychiatric diagnosis, and interactions were included as covariates in the initial model. Covariates that significantly influenced one or more CC subregions were included in the final model estimating heritability and genetic correlations (table 2).

Age significantly influenced size of the total CC and its sub regions (Table 2) while Age^2 did not have a significant effect. Sex significantly influenced CC2, splenium (CC6) and total CC size, but did not significantly influence CC-genu or CC-3. Psychiatric diagnosis (MDD, BD or healthy) had a significant effect on the size of the genu, the splenium and CC-total but not on CC2 and CC3.

On the basis of these results, age was included as a covariate in all analyses, sex was included as a covariate for analyses of CC2, splenium, and total size, and diagnosis was included as a covariate for analyses of genu, splenium and CC-total. The interaction of age x diagnosis had a significant effect only on splenium and CC-total, hence it was included as a covariate in the analysis of splenium and CC-total.

Heritability

Heritabilities were determined for each of the four CC subregions, along with the total.

CC size (Table 3). Significant heritability was found for the sizes of the genu, CC2, CC3, and total CC (all P<0.01), and for the splenium (P<0.05). Heritability (h^2) values were substantial, he estimated heritability ranging from 48% (spleenium) to 67% (total CC; Table 3). Thus genetic factors were found to have a substantial influence in all of the CC regions tested.

Genetic Correlations

We found significant genetic correlations between all rostral and between all ventral sub regions (p<0.01), but not between CC2 and splenium or CC3 and splenium (Table 3). Particularly strong correlations were detected between the genu and mid-body structures (CC1 and CC3; 90%), between the genu and total CC (96%), and between anterior and mid-body structures (CC2 and CC3; 100%).

Discussion

Brain size and morphology are significantly heritable [26–30]. Likewise, many previous studies have reported that the size of the corpus callosum (CC) is significantly heritable in humans [32–34], but few studies have characterized the heritability of CC substructures [60]. This is important, since anterior and rostral regions of the CC seem to perform distinct functions. Significant heritability estimates for area measures of the genu (66%), body (54%), and splenium (57%) have been reported [33]. In another paper, the same laboratory [36] reported significant heritabilities for genu (59%), body (62%), and splenium (75%). Significant heritabilities for CC substructures were also reported in non-human primates [48]. A recent genetic analysis of diffusion tensor images found significant heritability estimates for genu, body, and splenium [49].

The present study examined heritabilities and genetic correlations of total CC size and 4 sub-regions of the CC. While our sample size was limited, the heritability findings largely agree with previous reports, indicating that the size of the CC and its

Table 1. Demographic data.

| Status    | Males | Age   | FEMALES | Age   |
|-----------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
| Control   | 14    | 45±5  | 15      | 15±3  |
| Bipolar   | 13    | 43±4  | 9       | 15±3  |
| Unipolar  | 15    | 45±6  | 13      | 15±2  |
| Total     | 42    | 37    | 49      |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0099980.t001

Figure 1. T1-weighted mid-sagittal view of the corpus callosum (CC). Six sub regions are shown: CC1 (genu), CC2, CC3, CC4, CC5 and CC6 (spleenium).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0099980.g001
Table 2. Significance levels of covariates and corresponding beta coefficients, by sub-regions of the corpus callosum.

| Traits                  | CC-genu |            | CC2 |            | CC3 |            | CC-splenium |            | CC-total |            |
|-------------------------|---------|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Covariates              | p-value | Beta       | p-value | Beta       | p-value | Beta       | p-value | Beta       | p-value | Beta       | p-value | Beta       |
| Age                     | <0.001  | 0.04 ± 0.0 | <0.001 | 0.02 ± 0.0 | <0.001 | 0.03 ± 0.0 | <0.001 | 0.03 ± 0.0 | <0.001 | 0.03 ± 0.0 |
| Sex                     | ns      | ns         | ns    | ns         | ns    | ns         | ns         | ns         | ns        | ns         |
| Diagnostic group        | <0.01   | 0.27 ± 0.1 | ns    | ns         | ns    | ns         | ns         | ns         | ns        | ns         |
| Age x Diagnostic group  | <0.01   | 0.01 ± 0.0 | ns    | ns         | ns    | ns         | ns         | ns         | ns        | ns         |

**P**<0.05.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0099980.t002

...variations in brain structure or tissue type distribution, compared suggested that this approach may be less sensitive to small to clinical and demographic variables. Some prior studies have disorders from those on CC morphology. will be needed to fully disentangle the genetic influences on mood offspring, consistent with our observations. Different study designs and mood disorder risk would be transmitted from mothers to could not explain the significant correlation in CC size that we observed between mothers and their offspring. This finding contrasts with some previous work indicating that the genu of the corpus callosum was smaller in offspring of mothers with a history of MDD. Our sample size did not permit us to detect substantial differences in CC size in this sample, but our analyses did not reveal any significant effects of sex, diagnostic group, or age on CC size. However, these results may be influenced by the sample size used in our study and the potential for false positive findings. Further research is needed to confirm these findings and to explore the effects of different demographic variables on CC morphology.

As in all imaging studies, the morphological differences we observed could represent cause or consequence of mood disorder. This finding suggests that genetic factors may play an important role in the development of mood disorders, as CC morphology is known to be influenced by genetic factors. However, it remains unclear whether the observed differences in CC size are causally related to mood disorder or whether they are simply a reflection of shared genetic factors or environmental influences. Further research is needed to disentangle the causal relationships between CC morphology and mood disorder.

This study has several limitations, including small sample size and potential confounding factors. Despite these limitations, our findings provide important insights into the role of genetic and environmental factors in the development of mood disorders and the structure of the CC. Future research could build on these findings by using larger sample sizes and more sophisticated statistical methods to further explore the genetic and environmental influences on CC morphology and mood disorder risk.
to computer modeling methods [58–60]. On the other hand, manual tracing is not subject to many of the assumptions that underlie computer-modeling approaches. In summary, our findings demonstrate that genetic factors play an important role in determining size of the CC and its substructures. While the same genes are involved in anatomically adjacent CC substructures, distinct genes seem to contribute to ventral and rostral regions. These findings suggest that the CC is a reasonable target for studies aimed at identifying the specific genes involved in regional brain development. To the extent that mental illnesses are disorders of brain circuitry, genes important in CC development are also likely to play an important role in mental illness, but this is still to be determined.
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