Wild-type p53 enhances endothelial barrier function by mediating RAC1 signalling and RhoA inhibition
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Abstract

Inflammation is the major cause of endothelial barrier hyper-permeability, associated with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome. This study reports that p53 “orchestrates” the defence of vascular endothelium against LPS, by mediating the opposing actions of Rac1 and RhoA in pulmonary tissues. Human lung microvascular endothelial cells treated with HSP90 inhibitors activated both Rac1- and P21-activated kinase, which is an essential element of vascular barrier function. 17AAG increased the phosphorylation of both LIMK and cofilin, in contrast to LPS which counteracted those effects. Mouse lung microvascular endothelial cells exposed to LPS exhibited decreased expression of phospho-cofilin. 17AAG treatment resulted in reduced levels of active cofilin. Silencing of cofilin pyridoxal phosphate phosphatase (PDXP) blocked the LPS-induced hyper-permeability, and P33 inhibition reversed the 17AAG-induced pMLC2 up-regulation in wild-type mice. Pulmonary endothelial cells from “super p53” mice, which carry additional p53-tg alleles, exhibited a lower response to LPS than the controls. Collectively, our findings help elucidate the mechanisms by which p53 operates to enhance barrier function.
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Introduction

P53 is involved in the regulation of various intracellular cascades which orchestrate molecular responses to numerous environmental stimuli. It governs cellular fate, by promoting cell cycle arrest, apoptosis or senescence. This transcription factor was discovered 30 years ago as the cellular partner of simian virus 40 large T antigen. A decade later it became clear that it is a potent tumour suppressor, which is frequently mutated in humans tumours [1].

Apart from its role in cancer, P53 is strongly involved in the defence of vascular endothelium against inflammatory insults. Inflammation is a major cause of endothelial barrier dysfunction and hyper-permeability, leading to acute lung injury (ALI) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [2]. The development of new therapeutic strategies against these devastating pathologies has been slow, and mortality of patients suffering from ARDS remains around 40% [3].

We have recently demonstrated that the induction of p53, by either HSP90 inhibition (by 17AAG) or Nutlin, inhibits the inflammatory RhoA pathway [4] which leads to MLC2 phosphorylation and subsequent actin stress fibre formation [5]. 17AAG induced p53 by suppressing the expression of MDM2 and MDM4, the two major p53 negative regulators. Moreover, HSP90 inhibition suppressed the LPS-induced p53 and MDM2 phosphorylation, modifications that increase the rate of p53 proteasomal degradation [6]. In vitro studies on the effect of p53 silencing on endothelial monolayer permeability have confirmed that p53 is an essential element for the maintenance of vascular barrier function [4].

This study aimed to further investigate the mechanisms which orchestrate the protective effects of p53 against vascular dysfunction, focusing on the role of the two major small GTPases which exert prominent antagonistic roles on endothelial barrier function, namely Rac1 and RhoA [7].

Pharmacologic or genetic activation of Rac1 results in vascular barrier enhancement. Rac1 induces p21-activated kinase (PAK1) phosphorylation that leads to PAK1 autophosphorylation and activation. Activated PAK1 phosphorylates LIMK1/2, which, in turn, phosphorylates the actin-severing protein cofilin at Ser3 and inactivates it.
Materials and methods

Reagents

17-Allyl-amino-demethoxy-geldanamycin (17-AAG) was obtained from the National Cancer Institute (Bethesda, MD, USA). AUY-922 was purchased from Selleckchem (Houston, TX, USA). P53 siRNA (sc-29435), PAK siRNA (sc-29700), P190RhoGAP siRNA (sc-44077), PDXP siRNA (sc-61425), control siRNA (sc-37007) and MDM2 antibody (sc-965) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). p53 (9282s), p-β-actin antibody (P8999) and CelyticM (Lincoln, NE, USA). Oligofectamine (12252011), Pierce BCA protein assay lysis reagent (C2978) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Secondary mouse and rabbit antibodies were purchased from Licor (Burlington, PA, USA). Ad-p53-GFP (1260) and ad-GFP (1060) were obtained from Vector Biolabs (Malvern, PA USA).

Animals

Seven- to 8-week-old male C57BL/6 mice from Jackson Laboratories were used in all experiments. Global transgenic p53 (“super p53”) was generated according to previously published procedures [10]. Mice were maintained under pathogen-free conditions in a 12:12-hr light: dark cycle. All animal care and experimental procedures were approved by the Old Dominion University IACUC and were in line with the principles of humane animal care adopted by the American Physiological Society.

Cell culture

In-house harvested human lung microvascular endothelial cells were isolated and maintained in M199 media supplemented with 20% FBS and antibiotics/anti-mycotics, as described previously [11]. Mouse endothelial cells were grown in Lonza EGM-2 medium (CC-3202).

Isolation of mouse pulmonary endothelial cells

Lungs derived from mice were perfused with PBS, dissected into small pieces and transferred to a gentleMACS C tube (130-093-237) which contained enzyme mix from the MACS Mouse Lung Dissociation kit (130-095-927). The cells were filtered through a 70 μm MACS Smartstrainer (130-098-462), and the pellet was processed with the MACS Debris Removal Solution (130-109-398). The cellular suspension was incubated with mouse MACS CD45 Microbeads (130-052-301), washed and resuspended in PEB buffer and processed on the autoMACS PRO using the DEPLETES program. The negative fraction from this was then incubated with mouse MACS CD31 microbeads (130-097-418) and processed on the autoMACS PRO using the POSSELS program. The resulting positive fraction was dual-labelled with MACS CD45-APC-Vio770 (110-110-773) and MACS CD31-PE (130-110-807). MACSQuant Analyzer 10 was employed to verify a pure CD31 positive fraction.

Measurement of endothelial barrier function

The barrier function of endothelial cell monolayers was estimated by electric cell-substrate impedance sensing (ECIS) as previously published [12], utilizing an ECIS model 1600R (Applied Biophysics, Troy, NY, USA). All the experiments were conducted on confluent cells which had reached a steady-state resistance of at least 800 Ω.

Rac1 activity assay

Rac1 activation was detected by the Rac1 pull-down activation assay (48K035; Cytoskeleton, Denver, CO, USA). Briefly, 500 μg of cell lysates was incubated with GST-Rhotekin-RBD fusion protein and was coupled to glutathione resin. After precipitation, the complexes were washed with the lysis buffer, eluted in SDS-PAGE sample buffer, immunoblotted and probed with Rac1 antibody. Aliquots were taken from supernatants prior to precipitation and were used to quantify total Rac1.

Protein isolation, Western blot analysis and transfections

The procedure took place as previously described [4]. The signal for the immunoreactive proteins was developed using the appropriate secondary antibody and was visualized in a LICOR Odyssey CLx imaging system. Transfections were performed according to a standard protocol [13].

In vivo experiments

Stock solutions of Escherichia Coli LPS were prepared in saline. Mice received either vehicle (saline) or LPS (3000 unit/g of body weight, intratracheally) 24 h before receiving vehicle (10% DMSO in saline) or the Hsp90 inhibitor, AUY922 (10 μg/g of body weight dissolved in 10% DMSO), intraperitoneally. Mice were killed 48 h later (i.e. 72 h after LPS) by cervical dislocation, and the lungs were flushed with 5 ml of ice-cold PBS (5 mM EDTA), excised, dipped in saline, blotted dry.
quickly snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, crushed to powder in a prechilled mortar and stored at –80°C.

**Densitometry/statistical analysis**

ImageJ software (National Institute of Health) was used to perform densitometry of immunoblots. All data are expressed as mean values ± S.E.M. (standard error of mean). A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant. GraphPad Prism 4 (version 4.03, Graph Pad Software, Inc., CA, USA) was used for data analysis. \( n \) represents the number of experimental repeats.

**Results**

**Mouse lung microvascular endothelial cells (MLMVEC) from “super p53” mice overexpress p53 compared to wild type, but exhibit comparable sensitivity to LPS challenge, in vitro**

MLMVEC isolated from wild-type mice were treated *in vitro* for 16 h with either 0.1% DMSO (vehicle) or 17AAG before a 1-hr treatment with PBS (vehicle) or LPS (10 EU/ml). The cells from the super p53 mice were only exposed to vehicle or LPS (10 EU/ml). MLMVEC from super p53 mice expressed significantly higher p53 levels. LPS reduced the expression of p53, and this was reversed in wild-type cells treated with the HSP90 inhibitor, 17AAG. MLMVEC from super p53 mice exhibited a comparable decrease in p53 expression (Fig. 1A).

**In vivo treatment with LPS reduces MLMVEC p53 expression and induces MDM2 expression**

**MLMVEC derived from super p53 mice were more resilient to LPS, compared to cells from wild-type mice (Fig. 1D).**

**MLMVEC derived from super p53 mice reach confluence sooner that cells from wild-type mice**

Equal numbers (50,000) of MLMVEC derived from super p53 and wild-type mice were seeded on golden plated electrodes and were left to grow till confluence. Figure 1E demonstrates that cells expressing higher levels of p53 exhibited a greater proliferative/spreading ability compared to wild-type MLMVEC.

**Activation of Rac1 in human lung microvascular cells (HLMVEC) treated with 17AAG or AUY922**

HLMVEC were treated with either vehicle (0.1% DMSO), or the HSP90 inhibitors, 17AAG or AUY922 for 16 hrs. As shown in Figure 2A, both HSP90 inhibitors significantly induced Rac 1 activation and p53 expression.

**P21-activated kinase (PAK) is essential for vascular barrier function and mediates the AUY922-induced barrier strengthening**

Rac1 activation is known to induce PAK. HLMVEC seeded on golden plated electrodes were transfected with siRNA which specifically targets PAK expression. The suppression of PAK, which is demonstrated in Figure 2B (lower right panel), resulted in compromised barrier function, as reflected in TEER values (upper panel, Fig. 2B). Moreover, PAK appears to be strongly involved in the AUY922-triggered endothelial barrier enhancement, as silencing of PAK expression abolished the beneficial effect of HSP90 inhibition on HLMVEC barrier enhancement (Fig. 2B, lower left panel).

**PAK is essential for AUY922-induced p53 expression**

HLMVEC were exposed to siRNA specifically designed for the silencing of PAK gene expression (siPAK), as well as to an irrelevant siRNA (siCTR). 48 hrs after transfection, the cells were exposed to either vehicle (0.1% DMSO) or AUY922 (1 μM) for 16 hrs. In contrast to siCTR-transfected cells, cells that expressed reduced PAK protein levels were unable to increase p53 expression in response to AUY922 (Fig. 2C). Thus, PAK appears to be downstream of AUY922 and p53 downstream of PAK.

**P53 induces the phosphorylation of LIMK**

HLMVEC were transfected with ad-GFP, siRNA which targets p53 gene expression (siP53), and ad-p53-GFP for 48 hrs. The efficiency
of transfection is demonstrated in Figure 3A (left panel), where p53 was down-regulated by siP53 and was dramatically induced by adenovirus-p53-GFP. As shown on the right panel of Figure 3A, p53 overexpression resulted in the induction of phosphorylated (activated) LIMK (pLIMK). To confirm this finding, HLMVEC were treated for 48 hrs with either vehicle (0.1% DMSO) or Nutlin (10 μM), which we and others have shown to induce p53 expression ([4] and Fig. 4B, lower right panel). Nutlin triggered the phosphorylation of LIMK, without affecting the levels of non-phosphorylated LIMK (Fig. 3B).

**Effects of LPS and 17AAG on LIMK phosphorylation**

HLMVEC were treated for 16 hrs with either vehicle (0.1% DMSO) or 17AAG (1 μM) before LPS or vehicle (PBS) treatment (1 hr). LPS suppressed LIMK phosphorylation and that effect was opposed by 17AAG, which, by itself, profoundly increased levels of pLIMK in HLMVEC.
Effects of LPS and 17AAG on cofilin phosphorylation

HLMVEC were treated for 16 hrs with either vehicle (0.1% DMSO; VEH) or 1 μM 17AAG, or 1 μM AUY922 of human lung microvascular endothelial cells (HLMVEC). Blot is representative of 3 independent experiments. Signal intensity of active Rac1, P53, Rac1 was analysed by densitometry. Protein levels were normalized to Rac1 or β-actin, as indicated. *P < 0.05 versus vehicle, *****P < 0.0001 versus vehicle. Means ± S.E. (B) HLMVEC were transfected with control (irrelevant) siRNA (si CTR) or PAK siRNA (si PAK) at t = 0. PAK siRNA-treated cells exhibited reduced TEER values; n = 4 per group. Means ± S.E. In additional experiments, similarly treated HLMVEC were exposed to vehicle (0.1% DMSO) or AUY 922. Bars indicate normalized TEER values 50 hrs after transfection. n = 4 per group. ***P < 0.001 versus vehicle-treated cells; Means ± S.E. (lower left panel). Western blot analysis of PAK expression in HLMVEC 24 h an 48 h after siCTR or siPAK transfection. Blot shown is representative of 3 independent experiments. Signal intensity of PAK was analysed by densitometry. Protein levels were normalized to β-actin. ***P < 0.001 versus control siRNA. Means ± S.E. (lower right panel). (C) HLMVEC were transfected with siCTR or siPAK and were consequently exposed to vehicle or AUY 922 for 16 hrs. Western blot analysis demonstrates p53 expression levels of transfected cells after 8 hrs of treatment with either vehicle or 17AAG. Blot shown is representative of 3 independent experiments. Signal intensity of p53 was analysed by densitometry. Protein levels were normalized to β-actin. *P < 0.05 versus vehicle. Means ± S.E. (left panel).
Nutlin-induced p53 overexpression causes cofilin phosphorylation

HLMVEC were treated with 10 μM Nutlin or vehicle (0.1% DMSO) for 48 hrs. The Nutlin-mediated p53 overexpression (Fig. 4B) increased the phosphorylated levels of cofilin (pCofilin), whereas total cofilin levels were not affected. We confirmed that this effect was due to p53 and not another irrelevant action of Nutlin by two approaches. HLMVEC were transfected with irrelevant siRNA (siCTR) or siRNA which targets p53 (siP53). 48 hrs after transfection, cells were treated with either vehicle (0.1% DMSO) or 17AAG (1 μM) for 16 hrs. Silencing of p53 expression blocked the 17AAG-induced cofilin phosphorylation (pCofilin) (Fig. 4C). Additionally, HLMVEC were transfected with either scramble siRNA (siCTR), siRNA for p53 or ad-p53-GFP for 48 hrs. The induction of p53 expression resulted in profoundly increased phosphorylated levels of cofilin (Fig. 4D).

Effect of LPS and HSP90 inhibition on phospho-cofilin and P190RHOGAP levels in mouse lung microvascular endothelial cells (MLMVEC) of wild-type and super p53 mice

MLMVEC isolated from wild-type or super p53 mice were treated in vitro for 16 h with either 0.1% DMSO (vehicle) or 17AAG before a 1-hr treatment with PBS (vehicle) or LPS (10 EU/ml). Cells exposed
to LPS exhibited decreased expression of phospho-cofilin (pCofilin) compared to the corresponding vehicle-treated cells. Cells that were pre-treated or treated with 17AAG expressed higher levels of pCofilin, compared to the corresponding controls (Fig. 5A). In additional experiments, lung lysates from wild-type and super p53 mice were analysed for the detection of pCofilin, cofilin and p53 protein levels. Results shown in Figure 5B indicate that super p53 mice express higher p53 expression levels in their lungs compared to wild-type animals. Furthermore, these mutants express elevated levels of pCofilin and P190RHOGAP in their lungs compared to wild-type mice.
P53 inhibition reverses the 17AAG-induced PDXP down-regulation

HLMVEC were transfected with irrelevant siRNA (siCTR) and p53 siRNA (siP53) for 48 hrs. Transfected cells were exposed to either vehicle (0.1% DMSO) or 17AAG (1μM) for 16 hrs. Silencing of p53 blocked the 17AAG-induced suppression of PDXP (Fig. 6A), an enzyme that catalyses the dephosphorylation of pCofilin [14].

PDXP silencing blocks the LPS-induced barrier dysfunction in HLMVEC

HLMVEC seeded on golden plated electrodes were transfected with siRNA which specifically targets PDXP expression (siPDXP) or irrelevant RNA (siCTR). In cells treated with siCTR, LPS caused an expected profound decrease in TER, indicative of endothelial barrier dysfunction. The silencing of the PDXP (shown in Fig. 6B, insert) completely blocked the LPS-induced hyper-permeability (Fig. 6B).

Nutlin-induced p53 expression counteracts the LPS-triggered PDXP induction

One-hour treatment with LPS (1 EU/ml) greatly increased PDXP expression levels in HLMVEC (Fig. 7C, left panel). To investigate the role of p53 in this process, HLMVEC were exposed to Nutlin (10μM) for 48 hrs prior to vehicle (PBS) or LPS (1 EU/ml) treatment. Nutlin completely suppressed the LPS-induced PDXP induction (Fig. 6C, right panel).

P190RHOGAP silencing enhances LPS-induced barrier dysfunction

HLMVEC seeded on golden plated electrodes were transfected with siRNA which specifically targets P190RHOGAP expression (siP190RHOGAP) or irrelevant RNA (siCTR). The silencing of P190RHOGAP (Fig. 7A, right panel) further decreased TEER values and rendered the cells more sensitive to LPS (Fig. 7A, left panel).

Effects of LPS and 17AAG on P190RHOGAP expression

HLMVEC were treated for 16 hrs with either vehicle (0.1% DMSO) or AUY922 (1μM) before LPS (1 EU/ml) or vehicle (PBS) treatment (1 hr). LPS suppressed the P190RHOGAP expression and that this effect was strongly opposed by AUY922 treatment. Indeed, cells which were treated or pre-treated with the HSP90 inhibitor exhibited higher levels of P190RHOGAP compared than vehicle-treated cells.
Effect of LPS on phospho-MLC2 expression levels in mouse lung microvascular endothelial cells (MLMVEC) harvested from wild-type and super p53 mice

MLMVEC isolated from wild-type mice were treated in vitro for 16 h with either 0.1% DMSO (vehicle) or 17AAG before a 1-hr treatment with PBS (vehicle) or LPS (10 EU/ml). Cells from super p53 mice were exposed to vehicle or LPS (10 EU/ml). All cells exposed to LPS exerted an increased expression of phospho-MLC2 (pMLC2) compared to the corresponding vehicle-treated cells (Fig. 7C), suggesting actin stress fibre formation. However, cells derived from super p53 mice exhibited a dramatically lower response to LPS than those from wild-type mice. In wild-type mice, 17AAG pre-treatment completely blocked the LPS-induced pMLC2 up-regulation.

Discussion

HSP90 regulates signalling cascades and protein trafficking by assisting the folding and maturation of proteins involved in the maintenance of cellular integrity and survival [12]. Deregulation of this function in malignant cells contributes to the potentiation of metastatic growth. Thus, inhibition of HSP90 function by pharmaceutical agents was found to be a very attractive approach towards the development of new anti-neoplastic agents [7].
There exists a strong association between cancer, inflammation and relevant organismal responses to bacterial toxins [15–17]. Investigations on the association between the anti-cancer activity of HSP90 inhibitors and their anti-inflammatory properties have forged a strong body of evidence which not only supports the anti-inflammatory activity of HSP90 inhibitors in the vasculature, but has specifically revealed a protective action against bacterial-induced vascular hyperpermeability [18]. One of the many client proteins of HSP90 which are involved in cellular stability is the transcription factor and “guardian of the genome,” P53 [19]. In a series of independent studies [20, 21], p53 has been demonstrated to exert both anti-cancer and anti-inflammatory responses. Chronic inflammation promotes the development and progression of various epithelial tumours [22] Wild-type p53 suppresses inflammation, and this effect is clearly linked to its tumour suppression function, as it was demonstrated in a mouse model of myeloid lineage-specific p53 deletion or activation [23].

Remarkably, some bacteria have evolved to inhibit p53, a key component of the stress response machinery [24]. Bacteria inhibit p53 through multiple mechanisms, including protein degradation, transcriptional inhibition and posttranslational modifications [24]. Our laboratory has recently discovered the protective role of p53 on LPS-induced vascular barrier dysfunction and that this novel property of P53 was associated with increased vascular permeability, which in turn causes...
aggressive tumour metastasis. The p53 suppression/inactivation was due to an AKT-mediated MDM2 phosphorylation [26]. We have previously demonstrated a similar MDM2 activation due to LPS treatment of HLMVEC [4].

We have previously described that HSP90 inhibition induces p53 expression by increasing the abundance of the HSP90/p53 complexes, by reducing p53 phosphorylation levels and by suppressing the expression of the p53 negative regulator, MDM2 [4]. We now report that HSP90 inhibition by two chemically distinct compounds, 17AAG and AU9Y22, resulted in p53 up-regulation and activated Rac1 induction (Fig. 2A). The former effect has been previously reported by our group and others [4, 27]. In MCF7 breast cancer cells, activated Rac1/Cdc42 promotes ubiquitin-mediated degradation of p53 to increase VEGF production [28]. On the other hand, p53 prevents the initiating steps of filopodia formation, as its overexpression reduces protrusions [29]. These protrusions are initiated by RhoA and opposed by Rac1 [30]. It was recently reported that the GDP-bound RhoA induces Rac1 abundance [31] and that Rac1 inhibits RhoA [32]. Thus, the crosstalk between these GTPases is regulated by a double-negative feedback loop [31].

APE1 is the main apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease in eukaryotic cells, playing a central role in the DNA base excision repair pathway. In addition, it controls the intracellular redox state by inhibiting reactive oxygen species (ROS) production [33, 34]. It was recently reported that APE1 overexpression decreases Rac1 [35] activation, and it has already been established that p53 is a negative regulator of APE1 [36]. Thus, 17AAG- and AU9Y22-induced P53 overexpression may suppress APE1 which in turn would up-regulate Rac1 activation. Further, the reduced oxidative stress due to HSP90 inhibition [37] may also result in decreased APE1 levels, which in turn would induce Rac1 activation.

The p21-activated kinase (PAK) family of serine/threonine kinases is engaged in multiple cellular processes, including cytoskeletal reorganization [7]. Rac1 induces the membrane translocation of downstream effectors and triggers their activation. Membrane-bound Rac1-GTP recruits p21-activated kinases (PAKs) by binding to their Cdc42-Rac interactive binding (CRIB) domain [31]. In resting cells, PAK is localized in the cytoplasm as inactive dimers, with the regulatory domain shielding the kinase domain. Rac1 binding induces a subsequent activation of PAK, which in turn phosphorylates downstream substrates. PAK activity converts the local activation of Rho-type GTPases into cell-wide responses [38]. The silencing of PAK in HLMVEC resulted in increased vascular permeability, an effect which is consistent with the strengthening role of PAK in barrier function (Fig. 2B). However, suppression of PAK protein expression partially prevented the AU9Y22-induced p53 induction (Fig 2C). Rac1 closely collaborates with P53 to regulate major cellular functions such as cellular proliferation, transformation and motility. It has been reported that gain of Rac1 activity increases ROS production [39], which in turn has been found to induce P53 expression [17, 40]. Further, it was suggested that Rac1 inhibition can elicit ERK1-mediated P53 phosphorylation, which in turn results in p53 degradation [6]. However, the exact mechanisms responsible for this regulation remain elusive [39].

LIMKs are downstream targets of PAK. Activated LIMK phosphorolyates and inactivates the filamentous actin (F-actin)-severing protein coflin. Spatially and temporally regulated cycles of coflin inactivation and activation enable dynamic actin rearrangements required for cell motility [41]. Croft et al. have recently reported that in MCF7 cells, LIMKs are directly phosphorylated by P53 upon genotoxic stress and that LIMKs are a p53 target gene. Treatment with the antitumour drug doxorubicin reduces the activity of coflin by increasing the expression of LIMK in a p53-dependent manner [42]. In line with these data, we now report that a similar regulation occurs in HLMVEC, as the manipulation of p53 expression levels by siRNA or ad-p53 revealed a positive regulation between p53 and LIMK (Fig. 3A). Further, both Nutiln- and 17AAG-induced p53 overexpression cause LIMK phosphorylation (Fig. 3B).

As coflin is the downstream target of LIMK, we also tested whether p53 and HSP90 inhibitors affect coflin. Figure 4A and B demonstrates that both P53 inducers deactivate coflin by phosphorylation. Further, “silencing” of p53 by siRNA results in the suppression of 17AAG-induced coflin phosphorylation (Fig. 4C). P53 has been linked to actin polymerization [14]. It was revealed that actin polymerization, which serves as a factor participating in the process of orchestrating p53 function in response to DNA damage, leads to the destabilization of p53 [43]. Binding of p53 to actin filaments is calcium dependent, and the interaction between these two entities is enhanced by DNA damage [44]. Further, p53 interacts with G-actin, shows perinuclear colocalization in response to genotoxic stress and translocates to the nucleus [45].

Mice lung microvascular endothelial cells isolated from wild-type and super p53 mice were responsive to 17AAG and LPS treatment in vitro. Mice treated with the HSP90 inhibitor exerted increased levels of phospho-cofilin, even when treated with LPS prior the 17AAG treatment (Fig. 5A). The induction of coflin phosphorylation by agents that overexpress p53 did not occur only during in vitro experiments. Super p53 mice that over express p53 [10] also exhibit increased phosphorylated levels of coflin (Fig. 5B).

Chronophin (CIN, PDXP) is a haloacid dehalogenase phosphatase that also dephosphorylates coflin. Alteration of CIN activity, through overexpression of either the wild-type or phosphatase-inactive mutant CIN, interferes with actin dynamics, cell morphology and cytokinesis [46]. In Hela cells, PDXP mediates an ATP-sensing mechanism for coflin dephosphorylation. HSP90 binds PDXP, and our results suggest a model whereby attenuated interaction between PDXP and HSP90 during ATP depletion enhances PDXP-dependent coflin dephosphorylation and consequent rod assembly. This agrees with the proposed mechanism for the formation of pathological actin/cofilin aggregates during neurodegenerative energy flux [47]. Furthermore, Lee et al. suggest that in cancer, doxorubicin-triggered RhoA activation is associated with phospho-cofilin dephosphorylation due to PDXP activation [48], and LPS was reported to dephosphorylate coflin via PDXP induction [49]. These data support our observations on LPS-induced coflin dephosphorylation, as depicted in Figure 4. The important role of PDXP on endothelial barrier function is highlighted by the observations that (i) silencing PDXP protects HLMVEC against the LPS insult (Fig. 6B), (ii) that p53 silencing prevents
17AAG-induced PDXP suppression (Fig. 6A) and (iii) that Nutlin-induced p53 up-regulation prevents the LPS-triggered PDXP induction (Fig. 6C).

We have previously demonstrated that p53 induction can disrupt the inflammatory RhoA signalling. To further elucidate this phenomenon, we investigated the effect of p53 on the regulation of p190RhoGAP, a RhoA-negative regulator [5, 50]. “Super P53” mice express elevated levels of P190RhoGAP (Fig. 5B). Silencing of p190RhoGAP in HLMVEC resulted in a partial protection against LPS-induced barrier dysfunction (Fig. 7A). Furthermore, LPS was able to strongly suppress the expression levels of p190RhoGAP and AUY922 pre-treatment opposed that effect (Fig. 7B). The role of p190RhoGAP in endothelial barrier function has been previously established; angiopoiatin-1 attenuation of LPS-induced endothelial barrier dysfunction in vitro and lung oedema in vivo was shown to be blocked by p190RhoGAP suppression [51]. Induction of P190RhoGAP by p53 may be due to reduced levels of MDM2, an E3 ligase and p53-negative regulator. MDM2 and p53 are the components of a tightly regulated loop; p53 induction signals MDM2 reduction; and vice versa [52]. The downstream effector of RhoA, MLC2, was activated by LPS in both wild-type and super p53 mice. 17AAG pre-treatment prevented that effect (Fig. 6C). The effect of LPS in super p53 mice was less robust than in wild-type mice, 17AAG pre-treatment prevented that effect (Fig. 6C). The effect of LPS-induced barrier dysfunction was shown to be blocked by p190RhoGAP suppression [51]. Induction of P190RhoGAP by p53 may be due to reduced levels of MDM2, an E3 ligase and p53-negative regulator. MDM2 and p53 are the components of a tightly regulated loop; p53 induction signals MDM2 reduction; and vice versa [52]. The downstream effector of RhoA, MLC2, was activated by LPS in both wild-type and super p53 mice. 17AAG pre-treatment prevented that effect (Fig. 6C). The effect of LPS in super p53 mice was less robust than in wild-type mice, likely due to the elevated p53 levels in the super p53 mouse lungs (Fig. 5B).

In conclusion, our study supports and advances our previous observations on the protective role HSP90 inhibitors and P53 on endothelial barrier regulation [4, 12, 53] and provides a new model of the role of this molecule in the mediation of inflammatory responses in the vasculature. The scheme presented in Figure 7D summarizes the hypothesis, based on our findings. The induction of the Rac1 cascade by HSP90 inhibition results in the PAK1-mediated and p53-dependent phosphorylation of cofilin. Additionally, P53 suppresses the inflammatory RhoA pathway and the consequent pMLC2 formation by inducing p190RhoA, the RhoA-negative regulator. Thus, P53 acts as a molecular switch which balances the opposing effects of Rac1 and RhoA in human and murine pulmonary microvascular endothelial cells.
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