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**Note:** The morphological data matrix and all images associated with this paper are available from MorphoBank (www.morphobank.org), project 687. A Nexus file containing the full supermatrix and MrBayes codes for carrying out the total evidence dating analysis are also available, and stored in the “Documents” section of the same project.
Fig. S1. 95% highest posterior density (HPD) intervals. Majority-rule consensus tree (showing all compatible clades; “allcompat” option in MrBayes) resulting from the total evidence dating analysis. Stippled lines represent fossil material postdating the oldest occurrence of a given species (see Methods and review of stratigraphic ranges below). Error bars indicate the 95% highest posterior density for the age of each node.
Fig. S2 50%-majority rule consensus tree resulting from the analysis of the supermatrix only, without inferring divergence dates. Numbers next to nodes reflect the posterior probabilities of individual clades.
Fig. S3 50%-majority rule consensus tree resulting from the analysis of the morphological data only, without inferring divergence dates. Numbers next to nodes reflect the posterior probabilities of individual clades.
Fig. S4 Baleen whale morphospace. Visualisation of mysticete morphospace as the first 2 axes of a Principal Coordinates Analysis taking into account reconstructed missing data, ghost ranges, and ghost lineages. Note that ghost lineages (hypothetical ancestors) repeatedly fall outside the area defined by the observed taxa themselves, thus increasing the range of sampled morphologies.
**Fig. S5 Comparison of absolute and subsampled disparity.** Black line represents disparity calculated based on all available species per 1 Ma time bin. Red line shows disparity subsampled to 8 species per 1 Ma time bin, based on 1000 bootstrap replicates.

**Fig. S6 Raw disparity based on the purely taxic dataset.** Disparity was calculated in the same way as for the phylogenetically adjusted data, but based on a less resolved dataset comprising only the scored taxa according to their sampled stratigraphic ranges (Methods; Supplementary Material). Abbreviations: E. Olig., Early Oligocene; L. Olig. Late Oligocene; E. Mio, Early Miocene; M. Mio., Middle Miocene; L. Mio, Middle Miocene; Plio-Plei., Plio-Pleistocene; Hol., Holocene.
Table S1. Stratigraphic ranges of included fossil taxa. Where two ranges are shown, those in parentheses were used for the total evidence dating analysis (see Methods and review of stratigraphic ranges below).

| Taxon                              | Age                        | Age (Ma)       | References       |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| **Outgroup**                       |                            |                |                  |
| Zygorhiza sp.                      | late Bartonian–Priabonian  | 39.5–34.0      | see below        |
|                                   | (39.5–38.4)                |                |                  |
| Archaeodelphis patrius            | early–late Chattian        | 27.2–24.5      | see below        |
| Waipatia maerewhenua              | early–late Chattian (Duntroonian) | 27.3–25.2  | [1, 2]           |
| **Ingroup**                       |                            |                |                  |
| Aetiocetus cotylalveus            | late Rupelian–early Chattian | 31.0–28.0      | see below        |
|                                   | (31.0–30.6)                |                |                  |
| Aetiocetus polydentatus           | early–late Chattian        | 26.1–23.3      | see below        |
| Aetiocetus weltoni                | early Chattian             | 28.1–28.0      | see below        |
| Aglaocetus moreni                 | early Burdigalian          | 19.8–18.2      | see below        |
| Aglaocetus patulus                | Langhian                   | 14.5–13.9      | see below        |
| Archaebalaenoptera castriarquati  | Piacenzian                 | 3.6–3.1        | [3]              |
| Balaena montalionis               | Zanclean                   | 4.5–3.9        | [4]              |
| Balaena ricei                     | Zanclean                   | 4.9–4.4        | see below        |
| Balaenella brachyrhynus           | Zanclean                   | 5.0–4.4        | see below        |
| Balaenoptera bertae               | Piacenzian                 | 3.4–2.5        | [5]              |
| “Balaenoptera” portsi             | Zanclean–Piacenzian        | 5.3–3.0        | see below        |
| “Balaenoptera” ryanii             | early Tortonian            | 11.6–9.5       | see below        |
| Balaenoptera siberi               | late Tortonian             | 8.0–7.0        | see below        |
| Balaenula astensis                | Zanclean–Piacenzian        | 4.0–3.0        | see below        |
| Balaenula sp.                     | Messinian–Zanclean         | 6.4–4.1        | see below        |
| Brandtocetus chongulek            | early Tortonian            | 11.2–9.6       | see below        |
| Cephalotropis coronatus           | early–late Tortonian       | 11.7–8.5       | see below        |
|                                   | (11.7–10.0)                |                |                  |
| “Cetotherium” megalophysum        | early Tortonian            | 11.7–10.0      | see below        |
| Cetotherium rathkii               | early Tortonian            | 11.2–9.6       | see below        |
| Cetotherium riabinini             | early Tortonian            | 11.2–9.6       | see below        |
| ChM PV4745                        | late Rupelian              | 29.6–28.1      | see below        |
| Chonecetus goedertorum            | early Chattian             | 28.1–26.5      | see below        |
| Chonecetus sookensis              | late Chattian              | 24.8–24.1      | see below        |
| Diorocetus chichibuensis          | late Burdigalian–Langhian | 16.4–15.1      | see below        |
| Diorocetus hiatus                 | Langhian                   | 14.5–13.9      | see below        |
| Diorocetus shobarensis            | late Burdigalian–Langhian  | 17.0–14.9      | see below        |
| Diuamatans luctoretmergo          | Zanclean                   | 5.0–4.4        | [6]              |
| Eomysticetus whitmorei            | early Chattian             | 28.1–26.8      | see below        |
| Eschrichtiodes gastaldii          | Zanclean–Piacenzian        | 4.0–3.0        | see below        |
| Eubalaena belgica                 | Piacenzian                 | 3.2–2.8        | see below        |
| Eubalaena shinshuensis            | Messinian                  | 6.1–5.9        | see below        |
| Gricetoides aurorae               | Zanclean                   | 4.9–3.9        | see below        |
| Herpetocetus bramblei             | Messinian–Zanclean         | 6.4–4.9        | see below        |
| Species                        | Age              | Age Range         | Reference |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| *Herpetocetus morrowi*         | Piacenzian       | 3.5–2.5           | [7]       |
| *Herpetocetus sp.*             | Pleistocene (incl. Gelsonian) | 2.1–0.7 | [8]       |
| *Herpetocetus transatlanticus* | Zanclean         | 4.9–4.4           | see below |
| *Isanacetus laticephalus*      | late Burdigalian | 17.5–16.0         | see below |
| *Janjucetus hunderi*           | early? Chattian  | 28.1–25.6         | see below |
| *Joumocetus shimizui*          | early Tortonian  | 11.3–11.0         | see below |
| *Kurdalagonus mchedlidzei*     | Serravallian–early Tortonian | 12.1–11.2 | see below |
| *Llanocetus denticrenatus*     | late Priabonian  | 34.2–34.0         | see below |
| *Mammalodon colliveri*         | late Chattian    | 25.7–23.9         | see below |
| *Mauicetus parki*              | late Chattian    | 25.2–23.0         | see below |
| "*Megaptera*" hubachi"         | Zanclean         | 5.3–3.6           | see below |
| "*Megaptera*" miocaena"        | late Tortonian   | 7.6–7.3           | see below |
| *Metopocetus durinasus*        | early Tortonian  | 11.7–10.0         | see below |
| *Micromysticetus rothauseni*   | late Rupelian    | 29.6–28.1         | see below |
| *Miocaperea pulchra*           | late Tortonian   | 7.5–7.3           | see below |
| *Morawanocetus yabukii*        | early–late Chattian | 26.1–23.3       | see below |
| *Morenocetus parvus*           | early Burdigalian | 19.8–18.2       | see below |
| *Nannocetus eremus*            | late Tortonian–Messinian | 10.0–5.3       | see below |
| *NMNZ MM001630*               | Zanclean         | 5.3–3.6           | see below |
| OCPC 1178                      | early-late Burdigalian | 18.8–17.2     | see below |
| OU 22026                       | early Chattian   | 27.3–26.0         | see below |
| OU 22044                       | late Chattian    | 25.4–25.3         | (25.2–23.0) see below |
| OU 22224                       | early Chattian   | 27.3–26.0         | see below |
| OU 22705                       | Aquitanian       | 21.7–20.5         | see below |
| OU GS10897                     | early Rupelian   | 33.0–32.0         | see below |
| *Parabalaenoptera baulinensis* | Late Tortonian–Messinian | 7.6–6.7       | see below |
| *Parietobalaena campiiniana*   | Langhian–Serravallian | 15.0–13.2     | [9]       |
| *Parietobalaena palmeri*       | late Burdigalian– Langhian | 16.4–14.5       | see below |
| *Parietobalaena sp.*           | late Burdigalian– Langhian | 16.4–15.1       | see below |
| *Parietobalaena yamaokai*      | late Burdigalian– Langhian | 17.0–14.9       | see below |
| *Pelocetus calvertensis*       | Langhian         | 15.5–14.5         | see below |
| *Peripolocetus vexillifer*      | Langhian         | 16.0–15.2         | see below |
| *Pinocetus polonicus*          | Langhian         | 15.1–13.8         | see below |
| *Piscobalaena nana*            | late Tortonian–Messinian | 7.5–5.9       | see below |
| *Plesiobalaenoptera quarantellii* | early Tortonian | 11.6–9.4       | see below |
| *Thinocetus arthritus*         | Serravallian     | 13.5–13.4         | see below |
| *Tiphycetus temblorensis*       | Langhian         | 16.0–15.2         | see below |
| *Titanocetus sammarinensis*     | late Burdigalian– Langhian | 16.4–14.7       | see below |
| *Uranocetus gramensis*         | late Tortonian   | 8.6–7.5           | see below |
| UWBM 84024                     | early Rupelian   | 33.2–31.0         | see below |
| *Yamatocetus canaliculatus*    | late Rupelian    | 29.2–28.1         | see below |
| ZMT 67                         | Aquitanian       | 23.0–21.7         | see below |
Review of stratigraphic ranges

(1) Outgroup

*Archaodelphis patrius*

**Specimen:** MCZ 15749 (holotype) [10].

**Locality and horizon:** Charleston, South Carolina, USA: Tiger Leap Formation [10, 11].

**Stratigraphic range:** early–late Chattian (27.2–24.5 Ma).

**Comments:** Sediment preserved with the skull has yielded a dinoflagellate assemblage including *Saturnodinium pansum* and *Pentadinium imaginatum* [11; M. Uhen 2012, pers. comm.]. The overlap of these two species is defined by the first occurrence of *P. imaginatum* at the base of NW European dinoflagellate cyst zone D15c (ca. 27.2 Ma) [12] and the last occurrence of *S. pansum* at Northern Hemisphere mid-latitudes around 24.5 Ma [13].

*Zygorhiza* sp.

**Specimens:** OU 22100, 22222-1, 22242 [14]; note that this list only applies to *Zygorhiza* sp. from New Zealand, and does not represent a comprehensive account of all specimens referred to the genus.

**Locality and horizon:** Waihao Forks, near Waimate, South Canterbury, New Zealand: Lower Greensand Member of the Waihao Greensand [14].

**Stratigraphic range:** late Bartonian–Priabonian (39.5–34.0 Ma).

**Comments:** At least OU22242, and likely all of the specimens, originate from a horizon located immediately below a phosphatised, concretionary layer marking the top of the Lower Greensand Member [14]. The age of this deposit is constrained by the occurrence of the benthic foraminiferan *Bulimica bortonica* in roughly the same horizon as the fossil itself [14], and that of *Rectuvigerina prisca* ca. 1.8 m below the phosphatised band [15]. In a relatively nearby section located at Hampden, North Otago, the overlap of these two species is extremely short, with *B. bortonica* disappearing at or just before the upper boundary of the local Bortonian stage (38.4 Ma [2]), whereas *R. prisca* first appears during the uppermost Bortonian (ca. 39.5 Ma) [16]. Together, these occurrences constrain the age of *Zygorhiza* sp. to ca. 39.5–38.4 Ma (late Bartonian).

The Waihao Forks specimens represent the oldest known material referred to *Zygorhiza*. The youngest specimens referred to this genus are known from latest Priabonian deposits, such as the Pachuta Marl Member of the Yazoo Formation [17], correlating with the *Turborotalia cerroazulensis* foraminiferal interval zone [18]/Zone P17 [19], dated to 34.6–34.0 Ma [20].

(2) Ingroup
**Aetiocetus cotylalveus**

**Specimens:** USNM 25210 (holotype) [21]; USNM 256593 [22].

**Localities and horizons:** USNM 25210, near mouth of Deer Creek, Lincoln County, Oregon, USA (UO Locality 2503): near top of Yaquina Formation [21]; USNM 256593, Newport, Oregon, USA: uppermost portion of Alsea Formation [22, 23].

**Stratigraphic range:** early Rupelian–early Chattian (31.0–28.0 Ma).

**Comments:** Originally thought to be Late Oligocene in age [21, 24], later work on Pacific coast chronostratigraphy [25] proposed a mostly Rupelian age for the Yaquina Formation (30.6–28.0 Ma), with only the uppermost section dating to the earliest Late Oligocene ( Chattian). The holotype of *A. cotylalveus* was found near the top of the formation [21], and is therefore likely early Chattian, or possibly latest Rupelian in age (minimum 28.0 Ma [22, 25]). The referred specimen, USNM 256593, was originally reported to have come from the Toledo Formation [22, 23]. The Oligocene part of this formation has been re-designated as Alsea Formation [26], and dated to the early-mid Rupelian, spanning latest Chron C13r to the middle of Chron C12n (ca. 33.7–30.6 Ma [25, 27]). USNM 256593 was derived from the uppermost portion of the Alsea Formation [D. Bohaska 2013, pers. comm.], close to its contact with the overlying Yaquina Formation, which implies an age closer to Chron C12n (31.0–30.6 Ma). This is consistent with the early Chattian holotype, and gives a total age estimate of 31.0–28.0 Ma. Later referrals of a number of vertebrae and ribs from the Late Oligocene ‘Butte Creek beds’ (Scott Mills Formation) [28, 29] may extend the stratigraphic range of *A. cotylalveus* to the late Chattian [30–32], but in the absence of skull material or ear bones cannot currently be confirmed.

**Aetiocetus polydentatus**

**Specimen:** AMP 12 (holotype) [24].

**Locality and horizon:** South fork of the Morawan River, Morawan, Ashoro, Hokkaido, Japan: upper part of the Upper Tuffaceous Siltstone (UTS) Member of the Morawan Formation [24].

**Stratigraphic range:** early- late Chattian (26.1–23.3 Ma).

**Comments:** Biostratigraphy indicates a mid-Rupelian age for the lowermost (Lower Hard Shale) member of the Morawan Formation [33, 34], as well as an earliest Chattian age for the bottom of the overlying Kiroro Formation [35, 36]. Together, these estimates constrain the age of *A. polydentatus* to the latest Rupelian or earliest Chattian. However, this is difficult to reconcile with markedly younger radiometric estimates, which suggest an age of 23.3 ± 0.7 Ma for the bottom of the Kiroro Formation, 28.6 ± 0.7 Ma for the Honbetsuzawa Formation underlying the Morawan Formation, and 26.1 ± 0.7 Ma for the upper part of the Middle Hard Shale Member of the Morawan Formation, which underlies the UTS [37, 38].
Until further data are available to resolve this issue, the age of *A. polydentatus* is therefore here assumed to be 26.1–23.3 Ma (early–late Chattian).

**Aetiocetus weltoni**

**Specimen:** UCMP 122900 (holotype) [24].

**Locality and horizon:** Near mouth of Deer Creek, Lincoln County, Oregon, USA (UCMP locality V79013): uppermost part of the Yaquina Formation, Oregon, USA [22, 24].

**Stratigraphic range:** early Chattian (28.1–28.0 Ma).

**Comments:** UCMP 122900 was found on the same beach as the holotype of *A. cotylalveus*, and may either have originated from the same stratigraphic horizon (about 28 Ma), or from a slightly younger layer [22, 24]. Although originally thought to date from the latest Oligocene, the uppermost part of the Yaquina formation has now been re-dated to the earliest Chattian (28.1–28.0 Ma [25]; see discussion of *A. cotylalveus*).

**Aglaocetus moreni**

**Specimens:** MLP 5-1 (holotype) [39]; MLP 5-14 [40]; FMNH P13407 [41].

**Localities and horizons:** MLP 5-1, 5-14, Castillo, Trelew, Chubut, Argentina: lower part of the Gaiman Formation [39, 40]; FMNH P13407, southwest of Pico Salamanca and north of Commodoro Rivadavia, Chubut, Argentina: Patagonian Marine Formation (Gaiman or Monte León formations) [41].

**Stratigraphic range:** early Burdigalian (19.8–18.2 Ma).

**Comments:** The holotype of *A. moreni* was found in “a mixture of sand and clay, including a stratum of sand capped by volcanic sand.” ([39]:1). The original description further explained that “an apparently contemporaneous bed in Santa Cruz, also containing cetacean bones, has yielded oysters of an extinct species (*Ostrea patagonica*), and one skull from Chubut has one of the same oysters attached” [39: 1-2]. MLP 5-14 was later discovered at the same locality, described as “Castillo, frente a Trelew, Chubut” [40: 369], and reported to have come from the Patagonian Marine Formation (“formación patagónica marina”, [40: 364]). There are no data on the lithology of the source horizon of FMNH P13407, although this specimen was reportedly also recovered from the Patagonian Marine Formation [41].

The Patagonian Marine Formation corresponds to the partially correlated Monte León and Gaiman formations, exposed in Santa Cruz (e.g. Garn Bajo de San Juan) and the lower Chubut river valley near Trelew (e.g. at Bryn Gwyn/ Loma Blanca), respectively [42]. The Gaiman Formation consists of layers of marine sandstones and mudstones, as well as tuffs and tuffaceous sandstones. In addition, the lower part also contains occasional thin layers of *Ostrea* and bones of marine vertebrates [43]. Thus, the portion of the Gaiman Formation exposed near Trelew provides a likely match for the unit that yielded *A. moreni* [39, 40, 44].
In the area around Trelew, the lower part of Gaiman Formation overlies the continental Sarmiento Formation, which contains a mammal fauna correlating with the Colhuehuapian South American Land Mammal Age [43, 45]. The latter has been $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dated to 20.9–19.8 Ma [46], thus providing a maximum age of 19.8 Ma (earliest Burdigalian) for the lower part of the Gaiman Formation in this area. Since virtually all vertebrate material recovered so far originated from the lowermost levels of the Gaiman Formation, close to its contact with the Sarmiento Formation [Cozzuol 2010, pers. comm.], an early Burdigalian age (19.8–18.2 Ma) is here assumed for A. moreni.

**Aglaocetus patulus**

*Specimens:* USNM 23690 (holotype); USNM 13472, 23049 [47].  
*Localities and horizons:* USNM 23690, near mouth of Pope’s Creek, Stratford Bluffs, Westmoreland County, Virginia, USA; USNM 13472, Kenwood Beach cliff, near road end at Governor Run, Calvert County, Maryland, USA; USNM 23049, near mouth of Parker Creek, Calvert County, Maryland, USA; all specimens come from bed 14 of the Calvert Formation [47].  
*Stratigraphic range:* Langhian (14.5–13.9 Ma).  
*Comments:* The base of bed 14 has been dated to ca. 13.1 Ma (Serravallian) based on strontium dating [48], but was recently reassigned to the late Langhian (ca. 14.5 Ma) based on palaeoclimatic considerations, such as the bed predating the expansion of the East-Antarctic ice sheet around 13.9 Ma [49].

**Balaena ricei**

*Specimen:* USNM 22553 (holotype) [50].  
*Locality and horizon:* Rice’s Pit, Hampton, Virginia, USA: ?Morgarts Beach Member (see comments below) of the Yorktown Formation [50].  
*Stratigraphic range:* Zanclean (4.9–4.4 Ma).  
*Comments:* The layer of the Yorktown Formation that yielded the specimen reportedly falls into planktonic foraminiferal zone N19 [51] and the *Orionina vaughani* ostracod assemblage zone [52, 53]. Whereas zone N19 correlates with the latest Messinian–early Zanclean [54], the *Orionina vaughani* zone is seemingly restricted to the Zanclean (starting at 5.3 Ma), and correlates with the uppermost part of nannofossil zone NN12 and zones NN13–15 on the one hand, and foraminiferal zones N19–20 [53: fig. 4] on the other. K-Ar dating of a sample typical of the *Orionina vaughani* zone indicates an age of 4.4 ± 0.2 Ma [53], roughly coincident with the top of zone N19 [54]. Strontium dating suggests an age of 4.9 Ma for the base of the Yorktown 4.9 Ma [55]. Taken together, these observations indicate an age bracket of 4.9–4.4 Ma for *B. ricei*. Note that, while the exact position of the horizon that
yielded *B. ricei* within the Yorktown Formation is unknown, Westgate and Whitmore speculated that the specimen was recovered from the Morgarts Beach Member [50]. The latter has been strontium dated to 3.0–2.8 Ma [55], thus seemingly precluding its correlation with zone N19 – although the top of N19 still falls within the range of uncertainty of the Sr dates. The type specimen might therefore have been derived from the somewhat older Rushmere Member of the Yorktown Formation, which has been strontium dated to 4.9–4.6 Ma [55] and is also exposed in Rice’s pit.

**Balaenella brachyrhynus**

**Specimen:** NMB 42001 (holotype) [56].
**Locality and horizon:** First Channel Dock, Kallo, Antwerp, Belgium: Kattendijk Formation [56].
**Stratigraphic range:** Zanclean (5.0–4.4 Ma).
**Comments:** The Kattendijk Formation has been dated to 5.0–4.4 Ma based on its dinoflagellate assemblage [57].

**“Balaenoptera” porti**

**Specimens:** MRSN PU13808 (holotype) [58]; UCMP 219135 [5]; MCZ 17882 (holotype of *Balaenoptera floridana* Kellogg, 1944); SDNHM 21507, 65769, 68698 [59].
**Localities and horizons:** MRSN PU13808, Montafia, Piedmont, Italy: Sabbie d’Asti Formation [58]; UCMP 219135, San Gregorio, California, USA (UCMP locality V99840): Purisima Formation [5]; MCZ 17882, American Chemical Company phosphate pit, Pierce, Polk County, Florida, USA: Bone Valley Formation (Palmetto Fauna [60]) [59]; SDNHM 21507, SDNHM locality 3068, San Diego, San Diego County, California, USA; SDNHM 65769, SDNHM locality 3782, Chula Vista, San Diego County, California, USA; SDNHM 68698, SDNHM locality 4198, Chula Vista, San Diego County, California, USA; all SDNHM specimens are from the San Diego Formation [59].
**Stratigraphic range:** Zanclean-Piacenzian (5.3–3.0 Ma).
**Comments:** The molluscan assemblage occurring within the Sabbie d’Asti Formation falls within Mediterranean Pliocene Molluscan Unit 1 (5.3–3.0 Ma) [61-64], whereas its foraminiferal assemblage correlates with biozone MPI4a (4.0–3.6 Ma) [65]. Taken together, these estimates indicate an age range of 4.0–3.0 Ma for the holotype. By contrast, the Palmetto Fauna has been correlated with the latest Hemphillian (5.8–4.9 Ma [54]), and likely the earliest Pliocene (starting at 5.3 Ma) based on sea-level data [60]. Similarly, the portion of the Purisima Formation that yielded UCMP 219135 is likely to be Zanclean or, at least, earliest Piacenzian in age (minimum of 3.4–3.3 Ma) [5], thus indicating a combined age range of 5.3–3.0 Ma. The horizons within the San Diego Formation which yielded the
remaining referred specimens are currently unknown, but could potentially extend the range of this taxon to the Late Pliocene or earliest Pleistocene [66].

“Balaenoptera” ryani
Specimen: CAS 1733 [67].
Locality and horizon: Ca. 5 miles east of Monterey, California, USA: upper part (Canyon del Rey Diatomite Member) of the Monterey Formation [67, 68].
Stratigraphic range: early Tortonian (11.6–9.5 Ma).
Comments: The original description states that the “top stratum” of the section in which the specimen was found “is loose and scarcely consolidated, characters which accompany the upper part of the Monterey shale member whenever definitely exposed” [67: 238]. Barron [68] placed a rock sample (CAS 866) from the type locality, collected at the same time as the CAS 1733, into the Canyon del Rey Diatomite Member. Fission-track dating of associated ash layers place the base of this unit at 11.3 ± 0.9 Ma [69]. A second ash horizon from within the Canyon del Rey Diatomite Member has been dated to 8.4 ± 0.8 Ma, but it is unclear whether the holotype was retrieved from above or below this horizon. The rock sample (CAS 866) apparently associated with the holotype has yielded the diatom *Denticulopsis lauta* [68], which last appears around 9–10 Ma [70, 71]. Together, these observations imply an early Tortonian (11.6–9.5 Ma) age for *B. ryani*.

*Balaenoptera siberi*
Specimens: SMNK no number (holotype) [72]; SMNS 47307 [73].
Localities and horizons: Agua de Lomas, Peru: Pisco Formation [72, 73].
Stratigraphic range: late Tortonian (8.0–7.0 Ma).
Comments: The Pisco Formation at Agua de Lomas has been dated to 8.0–7.0 Ma based on K-Ar dating [74, 75].

*Balaenula astensis*
Specimens: MSNTUP I12555 [4, 76].
Locality and horizon: Portacomaro d'Asti, Piedmont, Italy: Sabbie d'Asti Formation [4].
Stratigraphic range: Zanclean-Piacenzian (4.0–3.0 Ma).
Comments: The molluscan assemblage occurring within the Sabbie d'Asti Formation falls within Mediterranean Pliocene Molluscan Unit 1 (5.3–3.0 Ma) [61-64], whereas its foraminiferal assemblage correlates with biozone MPI4a (4.0–3.6 Ma) [65, 77]. Taken together, these estimates indicate an age range of 4.0–3.0 Ma.
**Balaenula sp.**

**Specimen:** SMAC 1309 [78, 79].

**Locality and horizon:** Fukagawa, Hokkaido, Japan: Chippubetsu (Chichibubetsu) Formation [79].

**Stratigraphic range:** Messinian–Zanclean (6.4–4.1 Ma).

**Comments:** The Chippubetsu Formation, which forms part of the Fukagawa Group exposed in Hokkaido, Japan [80]. The specimen was found in a horizon below a tuff level (“T2”) likely correlative with the S1 tuff of the Takikawa Formation [81], which has been dated to 4.1 ± 0.6 Ma based on fission-track dating [82]. The age of the base of the Chippubetsu Formation is currently unknown. However, the lower part of this formation has been correlated with the upper part of the Horokaoshirarika Formation based on palynological data [80], with the latter falling into the *Neodenticula kamtschatica* diatom zone [83], dated to ca. 6.4–3.5 Ma [84]. Together, these estimates imply an approximate age range of 6.4–4.1 Ma.

**Brandtocetus chongulek**

**Specimens:** TNU skulls 2, 4, A

**Locality and horizon:** Southern coast of Tobechik Lake, Kerch Peninsula, Crimea: Chersonian Formation [85].

**Stratigraphic range:** early Tortonian (11.2–9.6 Ma).

**Comments:** The Chersonian Formation has been dated to the late Sarmatian sensu lato, based on the occurrence of numerous *Mactra (Chersonimactra) caspia* [85]. In the region of the Central Paratethys, the Sarmatian Stage (sensu stricto) as a whole has been assigned the Middle Miocene [86, 87]. By contrast, the upper part of the Sarmatian as found in the area of the eastern Paratethys correlates with the early Tortonian (11.2–9.6 Ma) [88, 89].

**Cephalotropis coronatus**

**Specimens:** USNM 9352 (holotype) [90]; USNM 489194 [7]; *C. cf. coronatus*, MMG A3 (holotype of *Cephalotropis nectus*) [91, 92].

**Localities and horizons:** USNM 9352, Chesapeake Bay, USA: likely St Mary’s Formation [90, 93]; USNM 489194, Calvert County, Maryland: Little Cove Point Member of the St Mary’s Formation [23]; MMG A3, Adiça, Lower Tagus Basin, Portugal: Cotter’s lithostratigraphic zone VIIb [91, 92].

**Stratigraphic range:** early–late Tortonian (11.7–8.5 Ma).

**Comments:** USNM 9352 lacks detailed stratigraphic information, although Cope believed that it may have been derived from the “Yorktown Formation” [90: 145] – later corrected to St Mary Formation by Kellogg [93]. Strontium dating indicates the Little Cove Point Member of the St Mary’s Formation to be almost exclusively early Tortonian (11.7–10.0 Ma) [48, 49].
Note that de Verteuil and Norris [94] estimated the relevant portion of the St Mary’s Formation to be younger (ca. 10.4–8.8 Ma). However, their assignment of these strata to dinoflagellate zone DN8 for the most part does not preclude the older strontium dates [94: fig. 3]. Cotter’s lithostratigraphic zone VIIb [95] includes the youngest Miocene rocks present in the Lower Tagus Basin. At Adiça, the exposed rock seems to date to the middle or late Tortonian (9.5–8.5 Ma) [96, 97]. Together, this indicates a total age range of 11.7–8.5 Ma.

“Cetotherium” megalophysum
Specimens: USNM 10593 (holotype) [98]; USNM 22962, 205510, 241531 [7].
Localities and horizons: USNM 10593, Chesapeake Bay, USA: formation not stated [98]; USNM 22962, Charles County, Maryland, USA: formation not stated; USNM 205510, Saint Mary’s County, Maryland, USA: St Mary’s Formation; USNM 241531, Chesapeake Bay, USA: St Mary’s Formation.
Stratigraphic range: early Tortonian (11.7–10.0 Ma).
Comments: USNM 10593 lacks detailed stratigraphic information [98]. However, a number of undescribed, preliminarily identified specimens from the Little Cove Point Member of the St. Mary’s Formation are likely referable to *C. megalophysum* [23], and indicate an almost exclusively early Tortonian (11.7–10.0 Ma) age for this taxon [48, 49]. See *Cephalotropis coronatus* for further details.

*Cetotherium rathkii*
Specimen: PIN 1840/1 (holotype) [99].
Locality and horizon: Zhelezny Rog Cape, Taman Peninsula, Russia: formation unknown, late Sarmatian sensu lato [99, 100].
Stratigraphic range: early Tortonian (11.2–9.6 Ma).
Comments: In the region of the Central Paratethys, the Sarmatian Stage (sensu stricto) as a whole has been assigned the Middle Miocene [86, 87]. By contrast, the upper part of the Sarmatian as found in the area of the eastern Paratethys correlates with the early Tortonian (11.2–9.6 Ma) [88, 89]. The age of the late Sarmatian deposits on the Taman Peninsula are still a matter of debate. Fission-track dating places their lower boundary at ca. 11.2 ± 0.7 Ma, while chronostratigraphy suggest an upper limit of roughly 9.6 Ma (or possibly somewhat younger, based on an estimate of 9.5 ± 0.9 Ma for the upper Sarmatian deposits of the nearby Kerch Peninsula) [88]. By contrast, biostratigraphic data suggest an age range of 8.9 Ma to 7.9–7.7 Ma [89]. Until further data are available to resolve this conflict, we assume the age of *C. rathkii* to be 11.2–9.6 Ma, in line with the radiometric evidence.
**Cetotherium riabinini**

Specimens: NMNH-P 668/1 (holotype) [100].

Locality and horizon: Outskirts of Nikolaev, Ukraine: possibly Chersonian Formation [100].

Stratigraphic range: early Tortonian (11.2–9.6 Ma).

Comments: See discussion of *Brandtocetus chongulek*.

**ChM PV4745**

Locality and horizon: Dorchester County, South Carolina, USA: Ashley Formation [101, 102].

Stratigraphic range: late Rupelian (29.6–28.1 Ma).

Comments: The Ashley Formation falls within calcareous nannoplankton zone NP24 [103], ranging from 29.6–26.8 Ma [12, 20]. The presence of the dinoflagellate *Chiropteridium lobospinosum* indicates a minimum age of 28.1 Ma [103, 104]. Together, these constraints imply a late Rupelian age (29.6–28.1 Ma) for the Ashley Formation, which is further supported by a strontium date of 29.1 Ma [105].

**Chonecetus goedertorum**

Specimens: LACM 131146 (holotype); LACM 138027 [24].

Locality and horizon: Twin River Quarry, Clallam County, Washington, USA (LACM locality 5930): Pysht Formation [24].

Stratigraphic range: early Chattian (28.1–26.5 Ma).

Comments: Samples of the Pysht Formation from either side of Twin Rivers correlate with Chrons C9r–C8r (28.1–26.5 Ma) [106].

**Chonecetus sookensis**

Specimen: CMN FV64443 [107].

Locality and horizon: Between the mouths of Bonilla Creek and Carmanah Creek, southwest coast of Vancouver Island, British Columbia, Canada: Sooke Formation [107].

Stratigraphic range: late Chattian (24.8–24.1 Ma)

Comments: Based on magnetostratigraphic evidence and associated mollusc and mammal data, the Sooke Formation has been correlated with Chron C6Cr (24.8–24.1 Ma) [108].

**Diorocetus chichibuensis**

Specimens: SMNH VeF68 (holotype); SMNH VeF19 [109].

Locality and horizon: Chichibu, Saitama Prefecture, Japan: Nagura Formation [109].

Stratigraphic range: late Burdigalian-Langhian (16.4–15.1 Ma).

Comments: The Nagura Formation forms the lowermost part of the Chichibumachi Group, which correlates with foraminiferal zone N8 [51, 110, 111] and thus spans the Burdigalian-Langhian boundary (16.4–15.1 Ma) [20, 54].
**Diorocetus hiatus**
Specimens: USNM 16783 (holotype); USNM 16567, 16871, 23494 [112].
Localities and horizons: USNM 16567, 16783, near mouth of Parker Creek, Calvert County, Maryland, USA: bed 14 of the Calvert Formation; USNM 16871, 23494, near road end at Governor Run, Calvert County, Maryland: Calvert Formation (USNM 23494 is from bed 14) [112].
Stratigraphic range: Langhian (14.5–13.9 Ma).
Comments: The base of bed 14 of the Calvert Formation has been dated to ca. 13.1 Ma (Serravallian) based on strontium dating [48], but was recently reassigned to the late Langhian (ca. 14.5 Ma) based on palaeoclimatic considerations, such as the bed predating the expansion of the East-Antarctic ice sheet around 13.9 Ma [49].

**Diorocetus shobarensis**
Specimens: HMN F00005–00009 and further associated postcranial material (holotype; all specimens are part of the same individual); HMN F00063 [113].
Localities and horizons: HMN F00005–00009 Monde-cho; HMN F00063, Nishihonmachi; both localities are situated along the Saijyo River, Shobara City, Hiroshima Prefecture, Japan: Korematsu Formation [113].
Stratigraphic range: late Burdigalian–Langhian (17.0–14.9 Ma)
Comments: The Korematsu Formation in the Shobara area underlies the Itabashi Formation, and together with latter forms the middle/upper portion of the Bihoku Group [114]. Calcareous nannoplankton correlates the Korematsu Formation and the lower part of the Itabashi Formation with the upper part of nannoplankton zone NN4 [114], suggesting a latest Burdigalian or early Langhian age with a minimum of 14.9 Ma [20, 54]. A further study [115] specifically correlated the Korematsu and Itabashi formations exposed along the stretch of the Saijyo River that yielded the whale fossils with the top of nannoplankton zone NN4, and the beginning of the “Mid-Neogene Climatic Optimum” (ca. 16–15 Ma [49, 116]). By contrast, diatom-based biostratigraphic data place the upper part of the Bihoku Group almost entirely in the late Burdigalian (17.0–16.0 Ma) [35, 36, 117, 118]. Together, these estimates amount to an age range of 17.0–14.9 Ma.

**Eomysticetus whitmorei**
Specimen: ChM PV4253 (holotype) [119].
Locality and horizon: Chandler Bridge Creek, Dorchester County, South Carolina, USA: uppermost portion (bed 3) of the Chandler Bridge Formation [119, 120].
Stratigraphic range: early Chattian (28.1–26.8 Ma).
Comments: There are no published calcareous nanoplankton or foraminiferal age estimates for the Chandler Bridge Formation, but the unit has been speculated to correlate with nanoplankton zone NP24 [119, 121], ranging from 29.6–26.8 Ma [12, 20]. Dinoflagellates suggest a correlation of the lower portion of the Chandler Bridge Formation (bed 1) with dinocyst zone D14 [121], variously defined to terminate around 30 Ma (middle Rupelian) [12] around the Rupelian/Chattian boundary (ca. 28 Ma; [104]). In particular, the occurrence of *Chiropteridium lobospinosum* in bed 1 [121] suggests a minimum age of ca. 28.1 Ma [104]. No dinoflagellates have been reported from the uppermost portion of the formation that yielded the holotype of *E. whitmorei*. However, given (i) the latest Rupelian age of the underlying Ashley Formation [105] and, possibly, bed 1, (ii) the relatively high stratigraphic position of type horizon (bed 3) and (ii) the latest Oligocene age of the overlying Edisto Formation [105], we here assume an early Chattian age for *E. whitmorei* (28.1–26.8 Ma).

**Eschrichtioides gastaldi**

*Specimen:* MRSN PU13802 (holotype) [62].

*Locality and horizon:* Cortandone, Piedmont, Italy: Sabbie d’Asti Formation [62].

*Stratigraphic range:* Zanclean–Piacenzian (4.0–3.0 Ma)

*Comments:* See *Balaenula astensis* for details.

**Eubalaena belgica**

*Specimen:* IRSNB M879 (holotype) [122].

*Locality and horizon:* Antwerp, Belgium: Kruisschans Sand Member of the Lillo Formation [122].

*Stratigraphic range:* Piacenzian (3.2–2.8 Ma).

*Comments:* The Kruisschans Sand Member has been dated to 3.2–2.8 Ma based on dinoflagellate cyst and sequence stratigraphy [57].

**Eubalaena shinshuensis**

*Specimen:* SFM CV0024 (holotype) [78].

*Locality and horizon:* Shinshushinmachi, Nagano Prefecture, Japan: lowermost part of the Gonda Formation [78].

*Stratigraphic range:* Messinian (6.1–5.9 Ma).

*Comments:* The Gonda Formation is frequently referred to as the lowermost member of the Shigarami Formation [123]. A K-Ar date of 6.1 Ma for the Koso Tuff, which forms part of the underlying Ogawa Formation, and 5.9 Ma for a lava deposit forming part of the upper Shigarami Formation [124] constrain the age of *E. shinshuensis* to the Messinian.
**Gricetoides aurorae**
Specimens: USNM 182921 (holotype); USNM 25762, 183004, 244468 [125].
Locality and horizon: Lee Creek Mine, Aurora, North Carolina, USA: lower part of the Yorktown Formation [125].
Stratigraphic range: Zanclean (4.9–3.9 Ma).
Comments: Strontium dating places the base of the Yorktown Formation at 4.9 Ma [55]. The top of the Yorktown Formation at Lee Creek Mine is no younger than the middle of the *Orionina vaughani* ostracod assemblage zone, originally dated to ca. 3.7 Ma [53]. This is roughly in agreement with the top of nannoplankton zone NN14 (3.9 Ma) [20, 54], the second of the three nannoplankton zones correlating with the *Orionina vaughani* zone [53]. Taken together, these observations indicate an age bracket of 4.9–3.9 Ma.

**Herpetocetus bramblei**
Specimens: UCMP 82465 (holotype) [126]; UCMP 219079 [5], 219111, 219112 [127].
Localities and horizons: UCMP 82465, Opal Cliffs, Santa Cruz, California, USA (UCMP locality V6875): Crab Marker Horizon [128], lower portion of the Purisima Formation [5, 126]; UCMP 219079, San Gregorio, California, USA (UCMP locality V99854): lowermost portion of the Purisima Formation [5]; UCMP 219111, 219112, California, USA: unspecified horizons of the Purisima Formation [129].
Stratigraphic range: Messinian–Zanclean (6.4–4.9 Ma).
Comments: Powell et al. [130] chemically correlated an ash bed from the basal portion of the Purisima formation below the Crab Marker Bed with similar deposits elsewhere in California, which have been dated to between 5.6–5.0 and 4.7 Ma. However, this estimate is contradicted by the occurrence of the diatom *Thalassiosira miocenica*, as well as the apparent absence of *T. oestrupii*, in the Opal Cliff section, implying its assignment to the upper part of subzone b of the *Nitzschia reinholdii* zone (6.4–5.5 Ma) [128, 130]. Given the absence of direct dating evidence for the ash layer in the basal Purisima Formation, we here assume a lower age boundary of 6.4 Ma for *H. bramblei*. This is further supported by the occurrence of UCMP 219079 in a similarly aged horizon (based on diatom data) near the bottom of the San Gregorio section north of Santa Cruz [5]. Magnetostratigraphic correlations recognise a depositional hiatus above the Opal Cliff section, lasting from 4.9–3.7 Ma [128, 130]. Taken together, these observations imply an age range of 6.4–4.9 Ma.

**Herpetocetus transatlanticus**
Specimens: USNM 182962 (holotype); USNM 183074, 183075, 183077, 299652–299656, 312542–312544 [126].
Locality and horizon: Lee Creek Mine, Aurora, North Carolina, USA: Yorktown Formation (USNM 182962 may have been derived from the lowermost 3 metres of the formation) [126].

Stratigraphic range: Zanclean (4.9–4.4 Ma).

Comments: In the Lee Creek Mine, the *Orionina vaughani* ostracod assemblage zone (dated to at least 4.4 Ma based on K-Ar dating [53]) starts about 3.5 metres above the base of the Yorktown [52]. Strontium dating indicates an age of 4.9 Ma for the base of the Yorktown Formation [55], thus indicating an age bracket of 4.9–4.4 Ma for the type specimen.

*Isanacetus laticephalus*

Specimens: MFM 28501 (holotype); MFM 18004 [131].

Localities and horizons: MFM 28501, Ayama District, Mie Prefecture, Japan: Hiramatsu Member of the Awa Group; MFM 18004, Mizunami City, Gifu Prefecture, Japan: Yamanouchi Member of the Akeyo Formation [131].

Stratigraphic range: late Burdigalian (17.5–16.0 Ma).

Comments: Planktonic foraminiferal data place the Hiramatsu Member of the Awa Group in zone N7 [132, 133], ranging from 17.5–16.4 Ma [20, 54]; and the Yamanouchi Member of the Ayeko Formation in the upper part of zone N7 and the lower part of zone N8 [134], with zone N8 ranging from 16.4–15.1 Ma [20, 54]. Fission-track dating of a sample from the base of the Yamanouchi Member indicates an age of 17.3 ± 1.4 Ma [135]. Although the termination of zone N8 at 15.1 Ma could imply a partially Langhian range, we here constrain the age of *I. laticephalus* to the late Burdigalian (17.5–16.0 Ma) based on (i) the confirmed Burdigalian age of MFM 28501; (ii) the fact that the lower boundary of the Yamanouchi Member predates the Burdigalian/Langhian boundary by as much as 1 Ma, or possibly more; and (iii) the fact that the Yamanouchi Member was only correlated with the *lower* part of zone N8.

*Janjucetus hunderi*

Specimens: NMV P216929 (holotype) [136]; NMV P229455/ USNM 534009 (cast of a specimen held in a private collection) [137].

Locality and horizon: Jan Juc Beach, near Torquay, Victoria, Australia: Jan Juc Marl [136, 137].

Stratigraphic range: early? Chattian (28.1–25.6 Ma; preliminary assessment)

Comments: The Jan Juc Marl is placed mostly into the Chattian by both biostratigraphy and radiometric dating, with a minimum age of 23.9 (based on the overlying Puebla Formation) and a maximum age exceeding 27.2 Ma [138]. However, the exact position of the known material within the Jan Juc Marl is unknown. Several recent cladistic analyses, including the present study, have proposed a close relationship of *Janjucetus* and *Mammalodon* [102,
Since the two taxa must have separated prior to the first occurrence of *Mammalodon* and its relatives during the early Chattian (see discussion of OU 22026 for further details), we here assume an early Chattian (28.1–25.6 Ma) age for *Janjucetus*, pending the discovery of temporally better constrained specimens.

**Joumocetus shimizui**

**Specimen:** GMNH PV2401 (holotype) [140].

**Locality and horizon:** Kabura River, Yoshii, Takasaki, Gunma Prefecture, Japan: Haraichi Formation [140].

**Stratigraphic range:** early Tortonian (11.3–11.0 Ma).

**Comments:** The Haraichi Formation is bracketed by the underlying Baba and the overlying Kamikoizawa tuffs [140]. $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dating places the Baba Tuff at 11.3 Ma [141]. The Kamikoizawa Tuff has not yet been dated directly, but an earliest late Tortonian age (less than 11.0 Ma) has been suggested on the basis of sedimentation rates [142].

**Kurdalagonus mchedlidzei**

**Specimen:** NMRA 10476 [143].

**Locality and horizon:** Belaya River, Maikop, Adygea, Russia: lower part of the Blinovo Formation [143].

**Stratigraphic range:** Serravallian–early Tortonian (12.1–11.2 Ma).

**Comments:** Mollusc biostratigraphy indicates the lower part of the Blinovo Formation to fall into the Middle Sarmatian [144], which in the region of the Eastern Paratethys spans the Serravallian/early Tortonian boundary, and ranges from ca. 12.1–11.2 Ma [88].

**Llanocetus denticrenatus**

**Specimen:** USNM 183022 [145, 146].

**Locality and horizon:** Seymour Island, Antarctica: top of Telm 7, La Meseta Formation [145-147].

**Stratigraphic range:** late Priabonian (34.2–34.0 Ma).

**Comments:** Strontium dating indicates Telm 7 to have been deposited between 39.1 and 34.0 Ma [148]. Samples from near the top of the formation fall closest to the presumed fossil horizon [145, 147], thus indicating an age of 34.2–34.0 Ma [148, 149].

**Mammalodon colliveri**

**Specimens:** NMV P199986 (including NMV P17535) (holotype); *Mammalodon cf. colliveri*, NMV P173220, P199587 [102].

**Localities and horizons:** NMV P199986, Jan Juc Beach, near Torquay, Victoria, Australia: upper 5 m of the Jan Juc Marl [102]; NMV P199587, Bells Beach, near Torquay, Victoria,
Australia: Point Addis Limestone [136]; NMV P173220, locality and horizon have not been stated [102].

**Stratigraphic range:** late Chattian (25.7–23.9 Ma).

**Comments:** Biostratigraphic evidence and foraminiferal $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ isotope ratios [150-152], indicate and age of 25.7–23.9 Ma for the holotype [102]. The base of the Point Addis Limestone has been dated to 24.2 Ma (+1.3, -1.2 Ma) [138], whereas the age of its top is the same as for the Jan Juc Marl and constrained by the contact with the overlying Puebla Formation (23.9 Ma) [151].

**Mauicetus parki**

**Specimens:** OU 11573 (holotype) [153, 154]; OU 22545 [153].

**Localities and horizons:** OU 11573, possibly Milburn Quarry, South Otago, New Zealand: Milburn Limestone [153, 154]; OU 22545, Hakataramea Valley, South Canterbury, New Zealand: Otekaika Limestone [153].

**Stratigraphic range:** late Chattian (25.2–23.0 Ma).

**Comments:** The precise stratigraphic context of OU 11573 is currently unknown, but likely falls within the early Waitakian (Oligocene, 25.2–23.0 Ma [2]). This estimate is supported by a strontium date of 23.8 ± 0.7 Ma for the horizon that yielded OU 22545.

**“Megaptera” hubachi**

**Specimen:** MB Ma28570 (holotype) [155].

**Locality and horizon:** Near Coquimbo, Chile: formation not stated, but likely to be Coquimbo Formation (see comments) [155].

**Stratigraphic range:** Zanclean (5.3–3.6 Ma).

**Comments:** In his original description, Dathe [155] did not specify the formation that has yielded the specimen, but stated that the fossil had been found at the western end of the “Bahia de Guayacan”, southwest of Coquimbo. He furthermore speculated that the fossil had come from a sandstone of Early Pliocene age, although he could not exclude the possibility of a Middle Miocene age. Dathe’s figure of the type locality [155: fig. 10] shows primarily the Bay of Tongoy, which is dominated by exposures of the Miocene–Pliocene Coquimbo Formation [156, 157]. The latter is divided into 16 lithostratigraphic units, 5 of which (units 3, 4 and 11–13) have yielded cetaceans remains [156, 158]. Of the latter, only units 4 and 11–13 contain sandstone, with only unit 11 being dominated by this lithology. Strontium dating and biostratigraphic evidence place units 3 and 4 in the Tortonian (11.9–11.2 Ma), and thus make them much older than the Early Pliocene age suggested by Dathe [155]. By contrast, units 11–13 have been dated to the earliest Pliocene based on biostratigraphic grounds, as well as Sr dates of 5.2 ± 0.7 Ma for the base of unit 12, 4.9 ± 0.7 Ma 2 m above the base of
unit 12, and 2.2 ± 0.5 Ma 6 m below the top of unit 14 (inferred mean ages for units 12 and 13 are 5.0 and 4.3 Ma, respectively) [156]. Considering the coincidence of (i) the occurrence of numerous cetacean fossils in units of the correct lithology and age; and (ii) the broad exposure of these units in the type area of “M. hubachi”, we suggest that the holotype of this species was recovered from the upper, Early Pliocene portion of the Coquimbo Formation. As a result, we follow Dathe [155] in assigning an Early Pliocene (Zanclean, 5.3–3.6 Ma) age to the holotype. Note, however, that Deméré et al. [59] suggested a Piacenzian (3.6–2.6 Ma) age for this specimen.

“Megaptera” miocaena
Specimen: USNM 10300 (holotype) [159].
Locality and horizon: Lompoc, California: Monterey Formation [159].
Stratigraphic range: late Tortonian (7.6–7.3 Ma).
Comments: According to the original description, the skull was found by workers of the Celite Products Company quarrying diatomaceous earth, “about 140 feet below the exposed surface of the bed” ([159: 3]). Recent studies focusing on the diatomaceous deposits quarried near Lompoc reported that roughly 218 metres of diatomite, belonging to the upper siliceous member of the Monterey Formation, are exposed at the Celite diatomite quarry [160]. These deposits range from 8.2–7.3 Ma, with the latter date denoting the upper limit of the economically mineable deposits [160]. A similar date is suggested by the diatom assemblage contained in the matrix adhering to the holotype specimen (Rouxia californica Zone/ NPD7A; 7.6–6.6 Ma [161, 162]) [23; N. Kohno 2015, pers. comm.]. Together, these data suggest a latest Tortonian age (7.6–7.3 Ma) for M. miocaena. Note that additional specimens possibly referable to “M.” miocaena have also been reported from the Late Miocene–Pliocene Purisima, San Mateo and San Diego formations (California, USA). Pending further study, these specimens may extend the range of the lineage by up to 5 Ma.

Metopocetus durinasus
Specimen: USNM 8518 (holotype) [90, 163].
Locality and horizon: Near the mouth of the Potomac River: the formation has not been specified, but may be the St Mary’s Formation (see comments) [90]
Stratigraphic range: early Tortonian (11.7–10.0 Ma).
Comments: USNM 8518 was collected from “a Miocene marl from near the mouth of the Potomac river” [90: 143]. Subsequent authors interpreted this description of the type locality to refer to either the Calvert Formation [93, 163] or the St. Mary’s Formation [164], implying either a Langhian or a Serravallian age, respectively. To date, no other cetotheriid remains from the Calvert Formation have been described, but at least two cetotheriids (Cephalotropis
coronatus and “Cetotherium megalophyrum; see above) occur in the Little Cove Point Member of the St Mary’s Formation. This, together with the fact that the suggestion of the St Mary’s formation as a potential source unit was published just eight years after the original description of the species, might support the origin of M. durinasus from the Little Cove Point Member. The latter has been strontium dated to the early Tortonian (11.7–10.0 Ma) [48, 49], which is the age assumed here pending the emergence of better-constrained occurrence data.

**Micromysticetus rothauseni**

**Specimen:** ChM PV4844 (holotype) [165].

**Locality and horizon:** Chandler Bridge Creek, Dorchester County, South Carolina, USA: near top of the Ashley Formation [165].

**Stratigraphic range:** late Rupelian (29.6–28.1 Ma)

**Comments:** See ChM PV4745 for details.

**Miocaperea pulchra**

**Specimen:** SMNS 46978 (holotype) [166].

**Locality and horizon:** Aguada de Lomas, Peru: Pisco Formation [166].

**Stratigraphic range:** late Tortonian (7.5–7.3 Ma).

**Comments:** The Pisco Formation exposed at Aguada de Lomas, Peru [166], which has been dated to 7.5–7.3 Ma based on K-Ar and \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} dating [74, 75, 167].

**Morawanocetus yabukii**

**Specimens:** AMP 1 (holotype) [24]; AMP 14 [168].

**Locality and horizon:** Morawan, Ashoro, Hokkaido, Japan: Upper Tuffaceous Siltstone Member of the Morawan Formation [24].

**Stratigraphic range:** early–late Chattian (26.1–23.3 Ma).

**Comments:** See *Aetiocetus polydentatus* for details.

**Morenocetus parvus**

**Specimens:** MLP 5-11 (holotype); MLP 5-15 [40].

**Locality and horizon:** Castillo, Trelew, Chubut, Argentina: lower part of the Gaiman Formation [40].

**Stratigraphic range:** early Burdigalian (19.8–18.2 Ma).

**Comments:** Both MLP 5-11 and 5-11 were originally reported to have come from the Patagonian Marine Formation [40]. The latter corresponds to the partially correlated Monte León and Gaiman formations, exposed in Santa Cruz (e.g. Garn Bajo de San Juan) and the lower Chubut river valley near Trelew (e.g. at Bryn Gwyn/ Loma Blanca), respectively [42]. In
the area around Trelew, the lower part of Gaiman Formation overlies the continental Sarmiento Formation, which contains a mammal fauna correlating with the Colhuehuapian South American Land Mammal Age [43, 45]. The latter has been $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dated to 20.9–19.8 Ma [46], thus providing a maximum age of 19.8 Ma (earliest Burdigalian) for the lower part of the Gaiman Formation in this area. Since virtually all vertebrate material recovered so far originated from the lowermost levels of the Gaiman Formation, close to its contact with the Sarmiento Formation [Cozzuol 2010, pers. comm.], an early Burdigalian age (19.8–18.2 Ma) is here assumed for *M. parvus*.

**Nannocetus eremus**

Specimens: UCMP 26502 (holotype) [169]; UCMP 108558 [126].

Locality and horizon: UCMP 26502, south of Humphreys, San Gabriel Mountains, Los Angeles County, California, USA (UCMP locality V2202): basal portion of the Towsley Formation; UCMP 108558, De Laveaga Park, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz County, California, USA (UCMP locality V6200): upper portion of the Santa Margarita Formation [126, 170, 171].

Stratigraphic range: late Tortonian-Messinian (10.0–5.3 Ma).

Comments: Though originally reported as having been recovered from the Early Pliocene Pico Formation [169], the holotype skull more likely derives from the basal part of the Towsley Formation [126], which correlates with the Delmontian benthic foraminiferal stage (7.7–5.2 Ma) [70, 172]. Strontium dates from near the base of the formation range give a best estimate of 6.3 ± 0.3 Ma, whereas samples from the overlying Pico Formation provide a minimum age estimate of 5.2 ± 0.3 or 5.5 ± 0.4 Ma [70]. Together, these dates imply late Messinian (6.3–5.3 Ma) for the holotype. The horizon of the Santa Margarita Formation that yielded UCMP 108558 likely dates to about 10–9 Ma, based on its associated mammal fauna [173: 26-27]. Together, these occurrences indicate an approximate age range of 10.0–5.3 Ma.

**NMNZ MM001630**

Locality and horizon: Taihape, North Island, New Zealand: Waiouru Formation [174].

Stratigraphic range: Zanclean (5.3–3.6 Ma).

Comments: The Waiouru Formation has been assigned to the Opoitian (5.3–3.6 Ma [54]), based on molluscan biostratigraphy [175].

**OCPC 1178**

Locality and horizon: Laguna Canyon, Orange County, USA: Vaqueros Formation [176]

Stratigraphic range: early–late Burdigalian (18.8–17.2 Ma).
Comments: The portion of the Vaqueros Formation that yielded this specimen has been correlated with chron C5Er–C5En (18.8–18.1 Ma), or C5Dr–C5Dn (18.1–17.2 Ma [54]) based on magnetostratigraphic data and the occurrence of early Hemingfordian mammals in the underlying Sespe Formation [177]. This results in a total possible age range of 18.8–17.2 Ma, straddling the early–late Burdigalian boundary.

OU 22026
Local and horizon: Hakataramea Valley, South Canterbury, New Zealand: lower to middle portion of the Kokoamu Greensand [178].
Stratigraphic range: early Chattian (27.3–26.0 Ma; preliminary assessment).
Comments: The Kokoamu Greensand largely correlates with the early Duntroonian [179], starting at 27.3 Ma [2]. The age of the top of the Kokoamu Greensand is constrained by an Sr date of ca. 26.0 Ma for the base of the overlying Otekaike Limestone [179].

OU 22044
Local and horizon: "Earthquakes" locality near Duntroon, North Otago, New Zealand: lower part of the Maerewhenua Member of the Otekaike Limestone [180].
Stratigraphic range: late Chattian (25.4–25.3 Ma).
Comments: At the time of the analysis, the specimen was estimated to have been derived from an early Waitakian horizon of the Otekaike Limestone, indicating an age of roughly 25.2–23.0 Ma [2], and this was the age range used to calibrate the fossil tip. A subsequent analysis of the foraminifera associated with the specimen, however, suggests a somewhat older, Duntroonian age [180]. The lower portion of the Maerewhenua Member correlates with the uppermost Duntroonian, with strontium dates from the nearby Trig Z section indicating a narrow age range of ca. 25.4–25.3 Ma.

OU 22224
Local and horizon: near Duntroon, North Otago, New Zealand: lower to middle portion of the Kokoamu Greensand [181].
Stratigraphic range: early Chattian (27.3–26.0 Ma; preliminary assessment).
Comments: The Kokoamu Greensand largely correlates with the early Duntroonian [179], starting at 27.3 Ma [2]. The age of the top of the Kokoamu Greensand is constrained by an Sr date of ca. 26.0 Ma for the base of the overlying Otekaike Limestone [179].

OU 22705
Local and horizon: Bluecliffs section, Otaio River, South Canterbury, New Zealand: Mount Harris Formation.
Stratigraphic range: Aquitanian (21.7–20.5 Ma).
Comments: The fossil was recovered from near the top of the “cliff section”, which is constrained by strontium age estimates of 21.7 Ma and 20.5 Ma [182].

OU GS 10897
Locality and horizon: near Oamaru, North Otago, New Zealand: lower Ototara Limestone [147].
Stratigraphic range: early Rupelian (33.0–32.0 Ma; preliminary assessment).
Comments: Originally referred to as a “prosqualodontid” [183], the specimen was later reassigned to Llanocetus sp. [147]. Based on its relative position within the Ototara Limestone, the horizon that yielded the specimen likely correlates with the early Whaingaroan, ca. 33.0–32.0 Ma [184].

Parabalaenoptera baulinensis
Specimen: CAS 66660 (holotype) [185].
Locality and horizon: Bolinas Point, Point Reyes Peninsula, California, USA: Santa Cruz Mudstone [185].
Stratigraphic range: late Tortonian–Messinian (7.6–6.7 Ma)
Comments: Diatoms recovered from the same stratum as the holotype correlate with “subzone a” of the Nitzschia reinholdii Zone [185], indicating an age range of 7.6–6.7 Ma [130, 172].

Parietobalaena palmeri
Specimens: USNM 10688 (holotype) [186, 187]; USNM 7424, 10677, 10909, 11535, 12697, 13874, 13903, 15576, 16119, 16568, 16570, 16667, 16838, 20376, 23015, 23022, 23055, 23203, 23448, 23725 [187].
Localities and horizons: USNM 23725, Holland Cliff, Patuxent River, near Deep Landing, Calvert County, Maryland, USA: bed 10 of the Calvert Formation; USNM 10677, 10909, 12697, 16667, 16838, near Plum Point Wharf, Calvert County, Maryland, USA: bed 10 of the Calvert Formation; USNM 11535, 13874, as before, but from bed 11 of the Calvert Formation; USNM 7424, 10688, near Dare’s Wharf, Calvert County, Maryland, USA: bed 11 of the Calvert Formation; USNM 20376, as before, but bed unknown; USNM 13903, 16119, 16568, 16570, 23015, near mouth of Parker Creek, Calvert County, Maryland, USA: bed 12 of the Calvert Formation; USNM 23022, 23055, near road end at Governor Run, Calvert County, Maryland, USA: bed 13 of the Calvert Formation; USNM 23448, as before but from bed 14 of the Calvert Formation; USNM 23203, near mouth of Pope’s Creek, Westmoreland County, Virginia, USA: Calvert Formation; USNM 15576, Calvert Beach, Calvert County, Maryland, USA: bed 16 of the Choptank Formation [187].
Stratigraphic range: late Burdigalian–Langhian (16.4–14.5 Ma)
Comments: Strontium dating indicates the age of bed 10 to be 16.2–15.8 Ma, or possibly somewhat older (16.4 Ma) [48, 49]. Bed 13 has been dated to span the Langhian–Serravallian boundary (ca. 14.4–13.7 Ma) [48] based on strontium dating, but was recently reassigned to the late Langhian (15.5–14.5 Ma), just after the end of the peak warmth associated with the Middle Miocene Climate Optimum [49]. Together, these estimates indicate an age range of 16.4–14.5 Ma. USNM 23448 and 15576 (from beds 14 and 16) only consist of incomplete postcranial material and an isolated tympanic bulla, and hence are not included here.

**Parietobalaena sp.**
Specimen: SMNH VeF62 [188].
Locality and horizon: Hannya, Saitama Prefecture, Japan: Nagura Formation [188].
Stratigraphic range: late Burdigalian-Langhian (16.4–15.1 Ma)
Comments: The Nagura Formation forms the lowermost part of the Chichibumachi Group, which correlates with foraminiferal zone N8 [51, 110, 111] and thus spans the Burdigalian-Langhian boundary (16.4–15.1 Ma) [20, 54].

**Parietobalaena yamaokai**
Specimens: HMN F00022–00024 (holotype); HMN F00042–00054 (one individual); HMN F00127 and various other, assorted cranial and postcranial material [113]; HMN F00640 [189]; *Parietobalaena cf. yamaokai*, HMN-F00004 [190].
Localities and horizons: HMN F00022–24, 00042–00054, 00640 Monde-cho [113, 189]; HMN F00127, Nishihonmachiri; other specimens are from these localities and Suketou, Kawate-cho; all localities are situated along the Saijo River, Shobara City, Hiroshima Prefecture, Japan: Korematsu Formation [113]; HMN F00004, Saijo River, Shobara, Hiroshima Prefecture, Japan: lower part of the Itabashi Formation [190].
Stratigraphic range: late Burdigalian–Langhian (17.0–14.9 Ma).
Comments: See *Diorocetus shobreus* for details.

**Pelocetus calvertensis**
Specimens: USNM 11976 (holotype); USNM 14693, 21306, 23058 [191].
Localities and horizons: USNM 11976, near road at Governor Run, Calvert County, Maryland, USA: bed 13 or Calvert Formation; USNM 14593, near Chesapeake Beach Wharf, Calvert County, Maryland, USA: bed 13 of the Calvert Formation; USNM 21306, near mouth of Parker Creek, Calvert County, Maryland, USA: bed 17 of the Choptank Formation; USNM 23058, Stratford Cliffs, Westmoreland County, Virginia, USA: Calvert Formation [191].
Stratigraphic range: Langhian (15.5–14.5 Ma).
**Peripolocetus vexillifer**

**Specimen:** CAS 905.22 (formerly CAS 4379; holotype); CAS 4358, 4387, 4389 [93].

**Locality and horizon:** Sharktooth Hill, Kern County, California, USA: Sharktooth Hill bone bed of the Round Mountain Silt/ Temblor Formation [93].

**Stratigraphic range:** Langhian (16.0–15.2 Ma).

**Comments:** The Round Mountain Silt has been dated to 16.1–14.5 Ma based on magnetostratigraphy, strontium dating, and diatoms indicative of the *Denticulopsis lauta* A biozone (16–15 Ma). More specifically, the middle portion of Round Mountain Silt (including the bone bed) has been correlated with Chron C5Br, indicating an age range of 16.0–15.2 Ma [193].

**Pinocetus polonicus**

**Specimen:** MZ VIII_Vm-750 (holotype) [194].

**Locality and horizon:** Nowa Wieś, near Pińczów, Poland: Pińczów Formation [194].

**Stratigraphic range:** Langhian (15.1–13.8 Ma).

**Comments:** The Pińczów Formation has been assigned to the early–middle Badenian based on foraminiferal data [195, 196], and is constrained by the occurrence of *Orbulina suturalis* (first appearing at 15.1 Ma [20]) and its correlation with the Lagenidae benthic foraminiferal zone [197], which terminates at or near the Langhian-Serravallian boundary [198], i.e. 13.8 Ma [54].

**Piscobalaena nana**

**Specimens:** SMNK PAL4050 (holotype); MNHN SAS892, 1616–1618, 1623, 1624; MNHN PPI259, 260 [74]; SMNS, no number (Pilleri collection no. 88) [199].

**Localities and horizons:** SMNK PAL4050, MNHN SAS892, 1616–1618, 1623, 1624, SMNS no number, Sud-Sacaco, Peru; MNHN PPI259, 260, Aguada de Lomas, Peru; all specimens are from the Pisco Formation [74].

**Stratigraphic range:** late Tortonian-Messinian (7.5–5.9 Ma)

**Comments:** Though originally thought to date to the Early Pliocene [74], recent work assigned Sud-Sacaco to the Late Miocene (7.1–5.9 Ma, Messinian) based on $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr
dating [167]. Agua de Lomas is somewhat older (7.5–7.3 Ma, based on K-Ar and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ dating [74, 75, 167]), resulting in a total age range of 7.5–5.9 Ma.

**Plesiobalaenoptera quarantellii**  
**Specimen:** MPST/SBAER 240505 (holotype) [200].  
**Locality and horizon:** Western portion of a bend in the Stirone River, approximately 2 km northwest of Salsomaggiore Terme, Italy; formation not stated [200].  
**Stratigraphic range:** late Tortonian (11.6–9.44 Ma).  
**Comments:** The holotype was recovered from grey, clayey marls bearing invertebrate fossils indicative of a Tortonian age [200]. According to Artoni et al. [201: fig. 2], the rocks exposed along this section of the river where the specimen was found form part of a middle Eocene–early Tortonian Epiligurian succession incorporated into an “Intra-Messinian Chaotic Unit”. Pending the publication of further data on the exact age of these strata, *P. quarantellii* is therefore here assumed to be early Tortonian (11.6–9.44 Ma).

**Thinocetus arthritus**  
**Specimen:** USNM 23794 (holotype) [202].  
**Locality and horizon:** Mud Cliffs, south shore of Potomac River, near Maryland/Virginia border, Westmoreland County, Virginia, USA; bed 17 of the Choptank Formation [202].  
**Stratigraphic range:** Serravallian (13.5–13.4 Ma)  
**Comments:** The Choptank Formation as a whole falls into dinoflagellate zone DN6 [94], which in turn partially correlates with foraminiferal zones N10–11 (14.2–13.4 Ma [20]) and nannoplankton zone NN6 (13.5–11.9 Ma [20]) [94]. The overlap of these zones defines a narrow age range of 13.5–13.4 Ma, which fits well with strontium dates of ca. 13 Ma [48, 49], and the 13.9–13.8 Ma expansion of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet interpreted to mark the transition between the Calvert and Choptank formations [49].

**Tiphycetus temblorensis**  
**Specimens:** CAS 905.01 (formerly CAS 4355, holotype); CAS 4353, 4354, 4356, 4357, 4373–4375, 4376, 4378, 4388, 4390, 4391, 4393, 4425, 4436, 4438–4440, 4442, 4443 [93].  
**Locality and horizon:** Sharktooth Hill, Kern County, California, USA; Sharktooth Hill bone bed of the Round Mountain Silt/ Temblor Formation [93].  
**Stratigraphic range:** Langhian (16.0–15.2 Ma)  
**Comments:** See *Peripolocetus vexillifer* for details.

**Titanocetus sammarinensis**  
**Specimen:** MGB 1CMC172 9073 (holotype) [203].
Locality and horizon: Near top of Monte Titano, Republic of San Marino: Monte Fumaiolo Formation [203].

Stratigraphic range: late Burdigalian–Langhian (16.4–14.7 Ma)

Comments: The Monte Fumaiolo Formation has been correlated with two foraminiferal zones [204, 205]: (1) the Praeorbulina glomerosa zone (subzone P. sicana, starting at 16.4 Ma [20, 206]); and (ii) the Orbulina suturalis-Globorotalia (Fohsella) peripheroronda (subzone O. suturalis, 15.1–14.7 Ma [20, 206]), giving a total age range of 16.4–14.7 Ma.

Uranocetus gramensis

Specimen: MSM p813 (holotype) [207].

Locality and horizon: Gram Clay Pit, Gram, South Jutland, Denmark: uppermost portion of the Gram Formation [207].

Stratigraphic range: late Tortonian (8.6–7.5 Ma)

Comments: The uppermost portion of the Gram Formation correlates with foraminiferal zone N17 (8.6–5.7 Ma [20, 54]) [208] and – within the clay pit – North Sea foraminiferal zone NSB 13b [209] and dinoflagellate zone D19b (8.7–7.5 Ma [54]), as judged from the last appearance of Spiniferites pseudofurcatus [208, 210]. Together, these dates imply a late Tortonian age (8.6–7.5 Ma).

UWBM 84024

Locality and horizon: west of Shipwreck Point, Clallam County, Olympic Peninsula, Washington, USA: Jansen Creek Member of the Makah Formation, or strata just below [211].

Stratigraphic range: early Rupelian (33.2–31.0 Ma).

Comments: Based on magnetostratigraphic, foraminiferal, and molluscan evidence, the marine mammal-bearing part of the Makah Formation (Jansen Creek Member and adjacent layers) has been correlated with the early Zemorrian Chron C12r [212], indicating an age of 33.3–31.0 Ma [12].

Yamatocetus canaliculatus

Specimen: KMNH VP000017 [213].

Locality and horizon: Tominohana Cape, Wakamatsu Ward, Kitakyushu City, Kyushu, Japan: Jinnobaru Formation [213].

Stratigraphic range: late Rupelian (29.2–28.1 Ma).

Comments: There is no direct dating evidence for the Jinnobaru Formation. However, fission track dating places the underlying Norimatsu Formation at 30.3 ± 1.2 Ma [214]. Foraminifera sampled above and below the Jinnobaru Formation place the latter in zone P21a/ subzone O4 [215-217], thus implying a latest Rupelian age (29.2–28.1 Ma [12, 20]).
**ZMT 67**

**Locality and horizon:** Hakataramea Valley, South Canterbury, New Zealand: upper Otekaike Limestone.

**Stratigraphic range:** Aquitanian (23.0–21.7 Ma)

**Comments:** Associated foraminifera indicate that this specimen falls into the *Globigerina woodi connecta* zone (at or shortly above the Oligocene–Miocene boundary, ca. 23.0 Ma [182, 218]), but predates the appearance of *Ehrenbergina marwicki* at 21.7 Ma [182].
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List of studied material

In the following list, a species or specimen marked with an asterisk was scored for the phylogenetic analysis but not illustrated on MorphoBank owing to its ongoing description or re-description by other workers.

**Outgroup:**

Archaeodelphis patrius Allen, 1921: NMNS PV22239 (cast of MCZ 15749)

Physeter catodon Linnaeus, 1758: AMNH 34872; MSNTUP M266; NBM 001850; NMNS M24821, M32579, M34048; OMNH M3000; USNM 253051

Waipatia maerewhenua Fordyce, 1994: OU 22095

Zygorhiza kochii Carus, 1847: ALMNH 2000.1.2.1; USNM 4678, 4679, 11962, 12063, 16438, 16639, 537887

*Zygorhiza* sp.[14] OU 22100, 22222-1, 22242
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Ingroup:

*Aetiocetus cotylalveus* Emlong, 1966: USNM 25210; photos of USNM 25210 provided by E. Fitzgerald

*Aetiocetus polydentatus* Sawamura, 1995: AMP 12

*Aetiocetus weltoni* Barnes & Kimura, 1995: UCMP 122900

*Aglaocetus moreni* Lydekker, 1894: FMNH P13407

*Aglaocetus patulus* Kellogg, 1968c: USNM 13472, 23690

*Archaeobalaenoptera castriarquati* Bisconti, 2007a: MGPC/SBAER 240536

*Balaena montaloni* Capellini, 1904: MSNTUP I12357

*Balaena mysticetus* Linnaeus, 1758: IRSNB 1532; LACM 54479, 072159, 072490b; NHMUK 1934.10.10.1; NMNS M25893; USNM 15695, 63300, 63301, 291101, 255992

*Balaena ricei* Westgate and Whitmore, 2002: USNM 22553

*Balaenella brachyrhynus* Bisconti, 2005: NMB 42001

*Balaenoptera acutorostrata* Lacepede, 1804: IFAW(CCSN) 04-152; ChM CM1183; MSNTUP M260; NMNS M5152, M24357, M25927; SDNHM 23642; USNM 61715

*Balaenoptera bertae* Boessenecker, 2013: UCMP 21907

*Balaenoptera bonaerensis* Burmeister, 1867: NMNS M19792; OM VT3057, VT3060

*Balaenoptera borealis* Lesson, 1828: LACM 054505; NMNS M03536, M25908; SMNS 26443; USNM 236680, 486174, 504244, 504699, 571340

*Balaenoptera musculus* Linnaeus, 1758: MSNTUP M250; NMNS M25900, M29617; USNM 49786, 124326, 239280, 267614

*Balaenoptera omurai* Wada, 2003: NMNS M32505, M32992

*Balaenoptera physalus* Linnaeus, 1758: MSNTUP M251; NEAQ 12-187 Bp; NMNS M25904; OM VT2570; OMNH M1000, no number; SMBP 0448; USNM 16039, 49780, 237566, A16045

“*Balaenoptera" portisi* Sacco, 1890: MRSN PU13808; UCMP 219135

**“Balaenoptera” ryani** Hanna and McLellan, 1924: CAS 1733
**Balaenoptera siberi** Lacepede, 1804: SMNK no number (holotype); SMNS 47307

**Balaenula astensis** Trevisan, 1942: MSNTUP I12555

*Balaenula* sp. [79]: SMAC 1309

**Brandtocetus chongulek** Gol'din & Startsev, 2014: TNU skulls 2, 4, A

**Caperea marginata** Gray, 1846b: NMNZ MM002064, MM002119, MM002232, MM002235, MM002254, MM002262, MM002898, MM002900, TMP011345; NMV C28531; OM VT227

*Cephalotropis coronatus* Cope, 1896: USNM 489194

**"Cetotherium" megalophysum** Cope, 1895: USNM 10593, 22962, 205510

**Cetotherium rathkii** Brandt, 1843: PIN 1840/1

**Cetotherium riabinini** Hofstein, 1948: NMNH P668/1

**Chonecetus goedertorum** Barnes and Furusawa, 1995: LACM 131146, 138027

**Chonecetus sookensis** Russell, 1968: CMN FV64443

**Diorocetus chichibuensis** Yoshida, Kimura & Hasegawa, 2003: SMNH VeF19, VeF68

**Diorocetus hiatus** Kellogg, 1968: USNM 16783, 23494

**Diorocetus shobarensis** Otsuka & Ota, 2008: HMN F00005–00058 (one individual), F00063

**Diunatans luctoretemergo** Bosselaers and Post, 2010: NHG 22279, 22347

**Eomysticetus whitmorei** Sanders and Barnes, 2002: ChM PV4253

**Eschrichtioidees gastaldii** Strobel, 1881: MRSN PU13802

**Eschrichtius robustus** Van Beneden & Gervais, 1868: AMP R09; NMNS M15940, M25899, M34025; USNM 13803, 364973, 364975

**Eubalaena belgica** Abel, 1941: IRSNB M879a-f

**Eubalaena** spp.

(E. australis Desmoulins, 1822): NMNZ MM000226, MM002239; NMV C28603; OU, no number; USNM 267612

(E. glacialis Müller, 1776): FMNH 15559; MSNTUP M264; USNM A23077, 504886, no number; UNCW KLC 022; VMSM 2004-1004
(E. japonica Lacépède, 1818): NMNS 33436

Eubalaena shinshuensis Kimura, Narita, Fujita & Hasegawa, 2007: SFM CV0024

Gricetoides aurorae Whitmore and Kaltenbach, 2008: USNM 25762, 182921, 183004, 244468

Herpetocetus bramblei Whitmore and Barnes, 2008: UCMP 82465, 219079, 219111, 219112

Herpetocetus morrowi El Adli, Deméré & Boessenecker, 2014: SDNRM 23057, 32138, 35294, 38689, 63096, 63690, 65781, 83694, 90484, 130390; UCMP 124950

Herpetocetus sp.[8]: VMW 65

Herpetocetus transatlanticus Whitmore and Barnes, 2008: USNM 182962

Isanacetus laticephalus Kimura and Ozawa, 2002: MFM 18004, 28501

Janjucetus hunderi Fitzgerald, 2006: NMV P216929, P229455; USNM 534009

Joumocetus shimizui Kimura and Hasegawa, 2010: GMNH PV2401

Kurdalagonus mchedlidzei Tarasenko and Lopatin, 2012: NMRA 10476

*Llanocetus denticrenatus Mitchell, 1989: USNM 183022

Mammalodon colliveri Pritchard, 1939: NMV P199986

Mauicetus parki Benham, 1937: OU 11573, *22545

“Megaptera” hubachi Dathe, 1983: MB Ma28570

“Megaptera” miocaena Kellogg, 1922: USNM 10300

Megaptera novaeangliae Brisson, 1762: IFAW(CCSN) 07-181, 10-188Mn; MSNTUP M263; NEAQ 05604; NMNS M33734; NMNZ MM000228; NMW Z.1982.058; USNM 13656, 269982, 301636

Metopocetus durinasus Cope, 1896: USNM 8518

Micromysticetus rothauseni Sanders and Barnes, 2002a: ChM PV4844

Miocaperea pulchra Bisconti, 2012: SMNS 46978

Morawanocetus yabukii Kimura and Barnes, 1995: AMP 01, 14

*Morenocetus parvus Cabrera, 1926: MLP 5-11, 5-15
Nannocetus eremus Kellogg, 1929: UCMP 26502

Parabalaenoptera baulinensis Zeigler, Chan & Barnes, 1997: CAS 66660

Parietobalaena campiniana Bisconti, Lambert & Bosselaers, 2013: IRSNB M.399-R.4018

Parietobalaena palmeri Kellogg, 1924: USNM 10668, 10677, 11535, 16119

Parietobalaena sp. [188]: SMNH VeF62

Parietobalaena yamaokai Otsuka and Ota, 2008: HMN F00022, F00042, F00044, F00054, F00064/65, F00127, F00640

Pelocetus calvertensis Kellogg, 1965: USNM 11976

*Peripolocetus vexillifer Kellogg, 1931: CAS 905.22

Pinocetus polonicus Czyżewska & Ryżewicz, 1976: MZ VIII/Vm-750

Piscobalaena nana Pilleri and Siber, 1989: MNHN SAS892, SAS1616, SAS1617, SAS1618; SMNK Pal4050

Plesiobalaenoptera quarantellii Bisconti, 2010: MPST/SBAER 240505

Thinocetus arthritus Kellogg, 1969: USNM 23794

Tiphyocetus temblorensis Kellogg, 1931: CAS 905.01

Titanocetus sammarinensis Bisconti, 2006: MGB 1CMC172 9073, 1CMC172 9072 (cast of MGB 1CMC172 9073)

Uranocetus gramensis Steeman, 2009: MSM p813

Yamatocetus canaliculatus Okazaki, 2012: KMNH VP000017

Undescribed taxa:

*ChM PV4745, archaic toothed mysticete [101]

*NMNZ MM001630, balaenopterid [174]

*OCPC 1178, aetiocetid [176]

*OU 22026, Mammalodon sp. [178]
*OU 22044, eomysticetids [219]

*OU 22224, Oligocene balaenid [181]

*OU 22705, archaic chaeomysticete

*OU GS10897, archaic toothed mysticete, “protosqualodont” of Keyes [183], and *Llanocetus* sp. of Fordyce [147]

*UWBM 84024, aetiocetid

*ZMT 67, archaic chaeomysticete [220]
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Morphological characters

Cranium

1. Length of rostral portion of maxilla anterior to antorbital notch: less than bizygomatic width (0); equal to or greater than bizygomatic width (1); more than one and a half times the bizygomatic width (2). ORDERED

2. Portion of rostrum anterior to nasals in lateral view: below the level of the supraoccipital (0); raised to or above the supraoccipital (1).

3. Lateral edge of maxilla in cross section: forms an angle of more than 45 degrees (0); lateral edge is flattened with an angle of less than 45 degrees (1).

4. Lateral border of maxilla anterior to antorbital notch (or homologous point on rostrum) in dorsal view: concave (0); straight or slightly convex (1); broadly convex (2). ORDERED

5. Transverse width of maxilla at midpoint: distinctly less than that of the premaxilla (0); roughly equal to or up to twice the width of the premaxilla (1); more than twice the width of the premaxilla (2). ORDERED

6. Premaxilla in dorsal view: widens at anterior end (0); portion anterior to nasal opening narrows or remains the same width anteriorly (1).

7. Premaxilla adjacent to and anterior to narial fossa: elevated above the maxilla and forming a distinct lateral face (0); continuous or nearly continuous with the maxilla (1).

8. Premaxilla adjacent to and at posterior edge of nasal opening: does not clearly overhang maxilla (0); premaxilla overhangs maxilla (1).

9. Anterior portions of premaxillae: firmly contact each other (0); premaxillae are separated or only loosely contact along their entire length (1).

10. Suture between maxilla and premaxilla on rostrum: firmly articulated (0); loose (1).

11. Antorbital process: absent (0); present and defined by a steep face clearly separating the posterolateral corner of the maxilla from its more anterior rostral portion (1); present as a distinct anterior projection lateral to antorbital notch (2).

12. Anterior border of supraorbital process lateral to ascending process of the maxilla: bordered by lacrimal and maxilla (0); bordered by lacrimal only (1); as state 0, but with the antorbital process of the maxilla and the anterior border of the supraorbital process
separated by a basin (2); as state 0 but with maxilla overriding the anteriormost border of the supraorbital process (3).

13. Distinct pocket between the ascending process of the maxilla dorsally and the supraorbital process ventrally: absent (0); present (1).

14. Lateral process of maxilla: absent (0); present and clearly distinct from ascending process of maxilla (1); present and confluent with ascending process of maxilla (2).

15. Posterior portion of palatal surface of maxilla: flattened or slightly concave (0); medial portion of maxilla forms a longitudinal keel bordered laterally by a shallow longitudinal trough (1); medial portion forms a keel without any adjacent trough (2).

16. Palatal surface of anterior part of rostrum: flat or gently concave (0); bears pronounced longitudinal keel formed by the vomer and the medial edges of the maxillae (1).

17. Exposure of premaxilla on palate: exposed along at least one third of the medial border of the maxilla (0); limited in extent to less than one third of the medial border of the maxilla (1).

18. Palatal window exposing vomer: absent (0); present (1); narrow and variable exposure of vomer along most or all of the midline of the rostrum (2); vomer broadly exposed along the midline of the rostrum (3).

19. Palatal nutrient foramina and sulci: absent (0); present (1).

20. Outline of suture between maxillae and palatines: roughly straight transversely or bowed anteriorly (0); forms a posteriorly pointing V shape (1); anterior margins of palatines form two separate and posteriorly pointing U shapes (2).

21. Anteriormost point of palatine: located in line with or posterior to the level of the antorbital notch or equivalent point on rostrum (0); located anterior to the level of the antorbital notch (1).

22. Anterior portion of palatine distinctly concave transversely and forming a sharp median crest: absent (0); present (1).

23. Anterior edge of narial fossa: located in posterior three quarters of rostrum (0); located in anterior quarter of rostrum (1).

24. Facial portion of rostrum in lateral view: step-like (0); straight (1); concave (2).

ORDERED

25. Rostrum shape: width at antorbital notches or equivalent point on rostrum less than 80% the length of the rostrum, as measured from its tip to the antorbital notches (0); width at antorbital notches or equivalent point more than 80% the length of the rostrum (1).

26. Teeth in adult individuals: present (0); absent or vestigial (1).

27. Upper dentition: comprises 10 teeth including M2 (0); includes 11 teeth including M3 or is polydont (1).

28. Large diastemata between posterior upper cheek teeth: absent (0); present (1).
29. Enamel ornamentation on premolars: vertical striations present on lingual surface only (0); heavy vertical striations present on both lingual and labial surfaces (1); vertical striations are poorly developed or absent (2); enamel is absent (3).

30. Shape of upper molars in lingual or labial view: crown base distinctly wider than crown height (0); crown base is shorter than or roughly equal to crown height (1).

31. Ectocingulum on P3 and P4: present (0); absent (1).

32. Entocingulum on P3 and P4: present (0); absent (1).

33. Proximal portions of posterior upper premolar and molar roots: separate (0); fused (1).

34. Posteriormost upper tooth: situated below anterior portion of orbit (0); situated anterior to anterior border of orbit (1).

35. Heavy planar wear resulting in loss of most or all of tooth crown: absent (0); present (1).

36. Outline of upper premolar crowns in anterior view: straight (0); curved with the apex pointing ventrally (1).

37. Heterodonty: present, with accessory denticles being well developed (0); absent or greatly reduced, with accessory denticles absent or tiny compared to main cusp (1).

38. Skull length about one third of total body length: absent (0); present (1).

39. Cranial asymmetry: present (0); absent (1).

40. Diameter of orbit as measured between the distalmost points of the preorbital and postorbital processes: less than 25% of bizygomatic width (0); 25% or more (1).

41. Anterior edge of supraorbital process lateral to ascending process of maxilla: oriented transversely or pointing anteriorly (0); pointing posteriorly (1); linguiform and tapering to a point (2).

42. Outline of anterior edge of supraorbital process in dorsal view: roughly straight or concave (0); distinctly sinusoidal (1).

43. Transverse width of anterior edge of supraorbital process lateral to ascending process of maxilla: longer than or equal to the combined transverse width of the adjacent rostral bones, as measured from the sagittal plane to the lateral border of the ascending process of the maxilla (0); shorter than the combined transverse width of the adjacent rostral bones (1).

44. Posterior border of supraorbital process in dorsal view: concave (0); straight (1).

45. Supraorbital process of frontal in anterior view: horizontal or nearly horizontal (0); gradually slopes away lateroventrally from the skull vertex (1); as state 1 but with the lateral portion of the supraorbital being nearly horizontal, thus causing the latter to appear concave in anterior view (2); abruptly depressed to a level noticeably below the vertex, with the lateral skull wall above the supraorbital formed by both parietal and frontal (3). ORDERED

46. Anterior and posterior borders of supraorbital process in dorsal view: roughly parallel or converging medially (0); converging laterally (1).
47. Width of supraorbital process as measured in a straight line from the lateralmost point of the postorbital process to the intertemporal constriction: equal to or shorter than the anteroposterior length of the supraorbital process above the orbit (0); up to twice the length above the orbit (1); more than twice the length above the orbit (2). ORDERED

48. Postorbital process in dorsal view: oriented posteriorly (0); oriented laterally (1); oriented posterolaterally (2); short and not markedly projecting in any direction (3).

49. Postorbital process in lateral view: pointed or rounded (0); forms and anteroposteriorly elongate triangle with a flattened posterior face (1).

50. Orbital rim of supraorbital process of frontal in lateral view: dorsoventrally thin (0); thickened with a flat lateral surface (1); thickened with a rounded lateral surface (2).

51. Position of anteriormost point of supraorbital process in dorsal view: in line with the posterior extremity of the nasals or passing through the nasals (0); at the same level as the anterior extremity of the nasals (1); anterior to the anterior extremity of the nasals (2).

52. Dorsalmost point of orbit relative to lateral edge of rostrum (in lateral view, with skull resting on a horizontal surface): elevated above or roughly in line with the lateral edge of the rostrum (0); located well below the lateral edge of the rostrum (1).

53. Lacrimal in dorsal view: situated entirely lateral to the ascending process of the maxilla (0); lacrimal extends medially and separates the lateral corner of the ascending process from the more anterior portion of the maxilla (1).

54. Contact of jugal with zygomatic process of squamosal: the two bones overlap dorsoventrally (0); little or no overlap (1).

55. Anteriormost portion of jugal broadly underlapped by maxilla: absent (0); present (1).

56. Optic canal in ventral view: ventrally open (0); medial portion is enclosed by anterior and/or posterior bony laminae (1).

57. Postorbital ridge along medial portion of optic canal: absent or anteroposteriorly thin, with the optic canal running adjacent to the posterior border of the supraorbital process (0); well developed and thickened, thus resulting in the displacement of the optic canal away from the posterior border of the supraorbital process (1).

58. Maxillary infraorbital plate: absent (0); present (1).

59. Maxillary window originating from posterior border of infraorbital plate: absent (0); present (1).

60. Anteromedial corner of supraorbital process extending to a point medial to antorbital notch: absent (0); present (1).

61. Preorbital region of frontal in lateral view: thickened compared to more central portions of the orbit (0); dorsoventrally flat (1).
62. Enlarged dorsal infraorbital foramen on ascending process of maxilla, opening into a posterodorsally directed sulcus: absent (0); present (1).

63. Premaxillary sac fossa: absent (0); present (1).

64. Premaxillary foramen: absent (0); present (1).

65. Suture between maxilla and frontal: contact between the bones is straight or maxilla overrides anteromedial corner of the frontal (0); maxilla overrides half or more of the frontal (1).

66. Lateral borders of ascending process of maxilla: lateral edges convergent with the process tapering to a point (0); lateral edges parallel or divergent posteriorly (1).

67. Posterior end of ascending process of premaxilla: no contact with frontal or contacts the frontal with the posteriormost tip only (0); forms a robust contact with the frontal (1).

68. Triangular wedge of frontal separating ascending process of maxilla from nasal or premaxilla: absent (0); present (1).

69. Posterior ends of ascending processes of maxillae in dorsal view: separated by either frontals or both nasals and premaxillae (0); converging towards the midline and separated by nasals only (1); contact each other medially (2). ORDERED

70. Relative position of posteriormost edge of ascending process of maxilla in dorsal view: approximately in transverse line with, or posterior to, posterior edge of nasal (0); anterior to posterior edge of nasal (1).

71. Shape of posterior border of ascending process of maxilla: pointed or rounded (0); squared off (1).

72. Lateral profile of cranium along exposure of parietals on vertex: dorsal edge of parietal ascends steeply towards posterior edge of skull at an angle of 10 degrees or more, measured relative to the lateral edge of rostrum (0); dorsal edge of parietal is low to flat with an angle of less than 10 degrees (1).

73. Length of nasal relative to bizygomatic width: less than 50% of bizygomatic width (0); more than 50% of bizygomatic width (1).

74. Lateral margins of nasal: parallel (0); posteriorly convergent (1).

75. Anterior margins of nasals: roughly straight or U-shaped (0); form a distinct, posteriorly pointing W-shape (1); with point on midline and a gap on each side between premaxilla and nasal (2); form an anteriorly pointing W shape (3).

76. Dorsal surface of nasals: flattened (0); developed into a sagittal keel (1).

77. Separation of posterior portions of nasals along sagittal plane by narial process of frontal: present (0); absent (1).

78. Zygomatic process of squamosal and exoccipital in dorsal view: clearly separated by an angle (0); posterior border of zygomatic process and lateral edge of exoccipital are confluent, forming a continuous or nearly continuous lateral skull border (1).
79. Orbitotemporal crest: positioned along posterior border of supraorbital process, with the origin of the temporal muscle facing posteriorly or posteroventrally (0); absent or positioned on the dorsal surface of the supraorbital process, with the origin of the temporal muscle facing posterodorsally or dorsally (1).

80. Area enclosed by orbitotemporal crest on supraorbital process of frontal: forms less than half of the dorsal surface of the supraorbital process (0); covers half or more of the dorsal surface of the supraorbital process (1).

81. Outline and orientation of orbitotemporal crest: subparallel to posterior border of supraorbital process (0); distal half oriented distinctly posterolaterally and approaching the posterolateral corner of the supraorbital process (1); as state 1, but with the crest terminating halfway along the posterior border of the supraorbital process (2); as state 2, but with the crest being distinctly U-shaped (3).

82. Shape of temporal fossa: longer anteroposteriorly than wide transversely, or as wide as long (0); wider than long (1).

83. Intertemporal constriction: longer anteroposteriorly than wide transversely (0); as state 1, but with the temporal fossa forming a large parasagittal oval (1); wider transversely than long anteroposteriorly (2).

84. Exposure of frontal on skull vertex: broadly exposed (0); anteroposteriorly compressed or absent (1).

85. Parietal and interparietal: anteriormost point located no further forward than postorbital process (0); anteriormost point in line with supraorbital process (1).

86. Outline of fronto-parietal suture: straight or lobate (0); frontals projects posteriorly along the sagittal plane and separate the left and right parietal anteriorly (1); highly irregular (2).

87. Parietal in lateral view: as long or longer anteroposteriorly than high dorsoventrally (0); higher dorsoventrally than long anteroposteriorly (1).

88. Spreading of anterolateral portion of parietal on to posteromedial corner of supraorbital process of frontal: absent (0); present (1).

89. Anteriormost point of parietal and interparietal: more posterior than the posterior border of the ascending process of the maxilla (0); more anterior than or in line with the posterior border of the ascending process of the maxilla (1).

90. Anteriormost point of supraoccipital in dorsal view: located posterior to or in line with the anterior border of the squamosal fossa (0); in line with temporal fossa, but posterior to the apex of the zygomatic process of the squamosal (1); in line with or located anterior to the level of the apex of the zygomatic process of the squamosal (2); in line with the anterior half or anterior edge of the supraorbital process (3). ORDERED

91. Anteroposterior position of posterior apex of nuchal crest: posterior to the occipital condyle (0); anterior to or in line with the posteriormost point of the occipital condyle (1).
92. Mediolateral position of posterior apex of nuchal crest: aligned with the medial half or halfway point of the temporal fossa (0); approaching the level of the lateral border of the temporal fossa (1).

93. Distinct nuchal tubercle at junction of parieto-squamosal suture and supraoccipital: absent (0); present (1).

94. Exposure of alisphenoid within or at ventral border of temporal fossa: exposed on temporal wall of skull and contributing to orbital fissure (0); alisphenoid separated from orbital fissure or not exposed on temporal skull wall (1).

95. Postparietal foramen located at junction of parietal and squamosal: absent (0); present (1).

96. Zygomatic process of squamosal extremely well developed and robust in dorsal view: absent (0); present (1).

97. Zygomatic process of squamosal dorsoventrally expanded in lateral view: absent (0); present, with the zygomatic process being distinctly higher dorsoventrally than wide transversely (1).

98. Orientation of zygomatic process of squamosal in dorsal view: directed anteromedially (0); directed anteriorly or slightly anterolaterally (1); directed anterolaterally (2). ORDERED

99. Zygomatic process of squamosal in lateral or ventral view: tapering anteriorly (0); expanded anteriorly, thus forming a central constriction (1).

100. Twisting of zygomatic process of squamosal: absent (0); present, with the zygomatic process being partially twisted clockwise on the left and anticlockwise on the right (1); as state 1, but with the process twisted almost 90 degrees (2).

101. Position of apex of zygomatic process of squamosal: situated entirely posterior to the postorbital process (0); closely apposed to the postorbital process, or situated ventral to the latter (1).

102. Apex of zygomatic process of squamosal deflected anteroventrally: absent (0); present (1).

103. Supramastoid crest of zygomatic process of squamosal: present (0); absent (1).

104. Size of squamosal including zygomatic and postglenoid processes: longer anteroposteriorly than high dorsoventrally, or about as high as long (0); distinctly higher than long (1).

105. Parieto-squamosal suture shaped like a crest or ridge: absent or low (0); present and distinctly elevated (1).

106. Squamosal prominence: present as a projection on the crest delimiting the lateral or posterolateral edge of the squamosal fossa (0); absent (1).
107. Transverse width of squamosal lateral to exoccipital: width equal to or greater than 15% of the distance between the sagittal plane and the lateral edge of the exoccipital (0); exposed portion of squamosal is less than 15% of that distance (1).

108. Length of squamosal fossa relative to maximum transverse width of temporal fossa, as measured in a straight line from the posteriormost point of the temporal fossa to the posteriormost point of the nuchal crest: length of squamosal fossa is three quarters the width of the temporal fossa or longer (0); length of squamosal fossa is less than three quarters the width of the temporal fossa (1).

109. Squamosal cleft: absent (0); present (1).

110. Squamosal crease: absent (0); present (1).

111. Paired tubercles on supraoccipital: absent (0); limited to low ridges forming the lateral edges of a medial fossa (1); present (2). ORDERED

112. Lateral edge of supraoccipital in dorsal view: convex (0); straight (1); concave (2); sigmoidal (3).

113. Anterior border of supraoccipital shield: rounded or pointed (0); squared (1).

114. Overall outline of supraoccipital in dorsal view: rounded (0); triangular (1).

115. Anterior half of dorsal surface of supraoccipital: concave (0); flat or convex (1).

116. External occipital crest: absent or faint (0); restricted to anterior half of supraoccipital shield (1); present and running all the way along the supraoccipital shield (2). ORDERED

117. Tip of postglenoid process in lateral view: curving anteriorly (0); pointing ventrally (1); pointing posteriorly (2).

118. Ventral edge of postglenoid process in lateral view: approximately in line with or dorsal to the ventral edge of the exoccipital (0); extending well ventral to the ventral edge of the exoccipital (1).

119. Orientation of postglenoid process in posterior view: ventrolateral (0); ventral (1); ventromedial (2). ORDERED

120. Outline of postglenoid process in anterior or posterior view: parabolic (0); as state 0, but with lateral and medial edges parallel or concave (1); as state 1, but distinctly wider transversely than high dorsoventrally (2); triangular (3); trapezoidal, with a ventrally directed medial border (4).

121. Twisting of postglenoid process in ventral view: absent (0); twisted clockwise on the left side and anticlockwise on the right side so that the glenoid cavity faces anteromedially (1).

122. Position of base of postglenoid process in ventral or posterior view: in line with the lateral edge of the skull (0); shifted away medially from the lateral edge of the skull (1).

123. Medial border of postglenoid process in ventral view: confluent with more medial portion of squamosal (0); offset from remainder of squamosal by a distinct ridge (1).
124. Choanal margin of palatine in ventral view: absent (0); straight or convex (1); concave (2); forms a longitudinal notch (3).

125. Pterygoid in ventral view: partially or entirely exposed (0); palatine almost completely covers pterygoid and extends on to the hamular process (1).

126. Anteriormost point of pterygoid sinus fossa: located anterior to foramen pseudovale (0); approximately in line with anterior edge of foramen pseudovale (1); located posterior to anterior edge of foramen pseudovale (2). ORDERED

127. Superior lamina of pterygoid: absent or restricted to anteromedial quarter of pterygoid sinus fossa (0); present and covering half or more of ventral exposure of alisphenoid within pterygoid sinus fossa (1).

128. Shape of pterygoid hamulus: finger-like (0); expanded into a dorsoventrally flattened plate flooring the pterygoid sinus fossa (1); triangular and wing-like (2); reduced in size or almost absent (3).

129. Position of pterygoid hamuli in ventral view: located directly adjacent to the sagittal plane and almost contacting each other (0); well separated from each other (1).

130. Position of foramen pseudovale: foramen located within squamosal or between squamosal and pterygoid, and opening anterolaterally or laterally (0); as state 0, but with foramen opening posteriorly (1); foramen lies within pterygoid (2).

131. Foramen pseudovale raised above more lateral portions of squamosal in ventral view: absent (0); present (1).

132. Fossa on squamosal for reception of sigmoid process of tympanic bulla: present (0); absent or poorly defined (1).

133. Base of postglenoid process in ventral view: in transverse line with or located posterior to the posterior half of the tympanic bulla (0); in transverse line with or located anterior to the anterior half of the tympanic bulla (1); in transverse line with the anteroposterior centre of the tympanic bulla (2). ORDERED

134. Ventral border of sagittal part of vomer (nasals septum) in ventral view: posteriormost portion projects beyond the posterior border of the palatines and is visible in ventral view (0); completely covered by palatines (1).

135. Basioccipital crest: narrow transversely (0); wide and bulbous (1).

136. Lateral border of basioccipital crest in ventral view: straight (0); concave (1).

137. Orientation of basioccipital crests in ventral view: diverging posteriorly (0); parallel or subparallel (1).

138. Ventromedial corner of paroccipital process in posterior view: located more ventrally than the basioccipital crest (0); level with or more dorsal than the basioccipital crest (1).

139. Posteriormost point of exoccipital in dorsal view: located more anteriorly than posterior edge of occipital condyle (0); level with or posterior to posterior edge of condyle (1).
Hyoid

140. Outline of stylohyal in cross section: cylindrical (0); flattened (1).

141. Orientation of thyrohyal in dorsal or ventral view: oriented posteriorly (0); oriented laterally (1).

142. Shape of thyrohyal: cylindrical (0); flattened and wing-like (1); plate-like (2).

143. Ankylosed basihyal and thyrohyals: absent (0); present (1).

Periotic

144. Dorsal and medial elongation of pars cochlearis towards cranial cavity: absent (0); present (1); as state 1, but with only the anterior side of the pars cochlearis being elongated (2).

145. Attachment of anterior process to pars cochlearis: absent (0); present (1).

146. Anterior process of periotic in lateral view: squared off or rounded (0); triangular (1); anterior border of process is two-bladed and L-shaped (2).

147. Shape of anteroventral angle of anterior process of periotic in medial or lateral view: rounded or forms a relatively blunt angle (0); slender and tapering to a point (1).

148. Ventral edge of anterior process of periotic in medial view: at the same level or dorsal to ventral edge of pars cochlearis (0); ventral to ventral profile of pars cochlearis (1).

149. Dorsal deflection of anterodorsal corner of anterior process: absent (0); present (1).

150. Anterior process transversely compressed and blade-like: absent (0); present (1).

151. Length of anterior process of periotic: shorter than the anteroposterior length of the pars cochlearis, as measured from the anterior border of the pars cochlearis to the medial border of the fenestra rotunda (0); same length or longer than the pars cochlearis (1).

152. Anteroexternal sulcus: forms an oblique or vertical groove on lateral side of anterior process, immediately anterior to lateral tuberosity (0); absent (1).

153. Pyramidal process: absent (0); present (1).

154. Articulation of anterior process of periotic and tympanic bulla: no contact, or contact with accessory ossicle via fovea epitubaria on the anterior process of the periotic (0); accessory ossicle or homologous region on periotic fused to bulla (1).

155. Anterior bullar facet: present (0); absent (1).

156. Lateral tuberosity of anterior process: absent or relatively small and rounded (0); well-developed and distinctly triangular (1); hypertrophied and blade-like (2); forms a distinct shelf (3).
157. Position of lateral tuberosity: situated posterolateral to anterior pedicle of tympanic bulla or fovea epitubaria (0); situated lateral or anterolateral to anterior pedicle of tympanic bulla or fovea epitubaria (1).

158. Body of periotic lateral to pars cochlearis hypertrophied: absent (0); present laterally and ventrally (1); present laterally only (2).

159. Mallear fossa: well excavated and possessing a clearly defined rim (0); present only as a depression with diffuse edges (1).

160. Distinct ridge delimiting insertion surface of tensor tympani on medial side of anterior process: absent (0); absent, but insertion surface distinctly excavated (1); present (2).

161. Dorsal extension of attachment area for tensor tympani on medial side of anterior process: absent or indistinct (0); present as a deeply excavated canal (1).

162. Anteromedial corner of pars cochlearis in ventral view: developed as a rounded, anteroposterior ridge (0); angular and projecting medially, resulting in a flattened ventral surface of the pars cochlearis (1); smooth and rounded (2).

163. Promontorial groove on medial side of pars cochlearis: present, but relatively shallow (0); present and deeply excavated (1); present and forming a distinct constriction, separating a smooth and rounded ventral portion of the pars cochlearis from a flattened and striated dorsal one (2); absent (3).

164. Caudal tympanic process in posteromedial view: well separated from crista parotica (0); narrow separation or contact (1).

165. Posteromedial corner of pars cochlearis medial to fenestra rotunda: rounded and level with fenestra rotunda (0); inflated and projecting posteriorly beyond fenestra rotunda (1).

166. Morphology of caudal tympanic process: developed as a posteriorly extending triangular shelf (0); as state 0, but with the ventral border bulging ventrally (1); as state 0 but pointing posterodorsally (2); developed as a robust, ventrally directed projection (3); absent or poorly developed (4).

167. Elongate lobe and fossa extending posteromedially from fenestra ovalis in ventral view: absent (0); present (1).

168. Anteroposterior alignment of proximal opening of facial canal, internal acoustic meatus and aperture for cochlear aqueduct: present (0); absent (1).

169. Anteroposterior alignment of aperture for cochlear aqueduct and aperture for vestibular aqueduct: absent (0); present (1).

170. Prominent septum dividing foramina for vestibular and cochlear nerves within internal acoustic meatus: present (0); absent (1).

171. Shape of aperture for cochlear aqueduct: round with sharply defined dorsal margins (0); slit-like (1).
172. Size of aperture for cochlear aqueduct: smaller than aperture for vestibular aqueduct (0); approximately the same size (1).

173. Aperture for cochlear aqueduct and fenestra rotunda: separate (0); confluent (1).

174. Superior process: present as a distinct crest forming the lateral wall of the suprameatal fossa (0); the lateral border of the suprameatal fossa is low and not clearly defined (1).

175. Suprameatal area hypertrophied: absent (0); present (1).

176. Development of crista transversa: depressed well below the rim of the internal acoustic meatus (0); well developed and reaching the cerebral surface of the pars cochlearis (1).

177. Morphology of crista transversa: developed as a septum of varying thickness (0); proximal opening of facial canal is widely separated from internal acoustic meatus and connected to the latter via a distinct sulcus (1).

178. Hiatus Fallopii: absent or small opening located anterior or anteroventral to proximal opening of facial canal (0); as state 0, but with the hiatus Fallopii being well developed and large (1); anterior border of proximal opening of facial canal is continuous with the hiatus Fallopii and shaped like a fissure (2).

179. Size of proximal opening of facial canal: no more than half the size of the internal acoustic meatus (0); more than half the size of the internal acoustic meatus (1).

180. Squamosal flange located posterior to lateral tuberosity: absent (0); present (1).

181. Articulation surfaces on posterior processes of tympanic bulla and periotic: unfused (0); fused in adults to form compound posterior process (1).

182. Morphology of facial sulcus on distal half of compound posterior process: absent or relatively shallow sulcus with equally defined anterior and posterior borders (0); marked groove with an elevated anterior border (1); deeply incised canal (2); as state 2, but with the facial sulcus being partially or entirely floored, tubular and present along the entire ventral surface of the compound posterior process (3).

183. Position of facial sulcus on compound posterior process in ventral view: facial sulcus runs close to or along the posterior border of the compound posterior process (0); facial sulcus located centrally on the ventral surface of the compound posterior process (1).

184. Orientation of compound posterior process in ventral view, with periotic being in situ: oriented posterolaterally with respect to the longitudinal axis of the anterior process of the periotic (0); oriented at a right angle to the axis of the anterior process (1).

185. Shape of compound posterior process: cylindrical or slightly conical (0); short and stocky (1); flattened anteroposteriorly (2); forms a distinct plug (3).

186. Exposure of compound posterior process on lateral skull wall: external surface of compound posterior process is absent or poorly defined (0); external surface is present but distinct from lateral skull wall (1); lateral surface is expanded and firmly integrated into the
lateral skull wall (2); as state 2, but with the exposed lateral surface of the compound posterior process being concave and defined by a distinct ridge separating it from the ventral surface (3). ORDERED

187. Bony texture of ventral surface of compound posterior process: massive (0); fibrous (1).

188. Neck of compound posterior process markedly constricted: absent (0); present (1).

**Tympanic bulla**

189. Anterior border of bulla in dorsal or ventral view: obliquely truncated (0); squared (1); rounded (2); pointed (3).

190. Anterior portion of bulla transversely wider than posterior portion in ventral view: absent (0); present (1).

191. In situ orientation of main axes of tympanic bullae in ventral view: diverging posteriorly (0); parallel (1); diverging anteriorly (2). ORDERED

192. Position of dorsal origin of lateral furrow: located along posterior two thirds of the anteroposterior length of the bulla (0); located at roughly one third of the anteroposterior length of the bulla (1).

193. Orientation of lateral furrow in lateral view: ventral (0); distinctly anteroventral (1).

194. Orientation of ventral keel of lateral lobe of bulla: faces ventrally (0); faces ventromedially or medially (1).

195. Anteroposterior outline of lateral lobe or main ridge of bulla: concave (0); straight or convex (1).

196. Position of involucral ridge in dorsal view: coincident with medial edge of the bulla (0); laterally retracted (1).

197. Sigmoid process deflected laterally in anterior or posterior view: absent (0); present (1); as state 1, but with the sigmoid process being nearly horizontal (2). ORDERED

198. Dorsomedial corner of sigmoid process in anterior view: separated from the pedicle of the malleus (0); confluent with the pedicle of the malleus (1).

199. Ventral margin of sigmoid process in lateral view: present (0); absent, with the lateral margin of the sigmoid process turning smoothly into a sulcus on the lateral side of the bulla (1).

200. Shape of conical process in lateral view: well developed and dorsally convex (0); reduced to a low ridge or absent (1).

201. Elliptical foramen: present (0); absent (1).
202. Medial lobe of tympanic bulla: present as distinct lobe and transversely wider than its lateral counterpart (0); present but subequal in width to the lateral lobe or smaller (1); absent or indistinct (2). ORDERED

203. Crest connecting medial and lateral lobes of tympanic bulla in posterior view: present (0); absent (1).

204. Anteriormost point of involucral ridge: extends anteriorly to form the anteriormost point of the bulla (0); in line with or posterior to the anterior border of the bulla (1).

205. Dorsolateral surface of involucrum: divided into a low anterior and an elevated posterior portion, separated by a clearly defined step (0); forms a continuous rim (1).

206. Transverse creases on dorsal surface of involucrum: poorly developed or absent (0); well defined and deep (1).

207. Ridge on inside of bulla: extends laterally from involucrum and partially divides cavum tympani into anterior and posterior portions (0); absent (1).

208. Development of tympanic sulcus: developed as a faint line (0); forms a distinct crest or sulcus (1).

209. Outline and position of tympanic sulcus: forms a semicircular and ventrally curved line well separated from the intersection of the conical and sigmoid processes (0); forms a roughly horizontal line at or close to the level of the intersection of the conical and sigmoid processes (1).

210. Anteromedial portion of ventral surface of tympanic bulla: transversely convex (0); distinctly flattened or slightly concave (1).

211. Anterolateral corner of bulla: broadly rounded (0); inflated and forming a distinct lobe (1); flattened (2).

212. Anterolateral ridge or shelf: absent (0); present (1).

213. Position of posterior pedicle of tympanic bulla in dorsal view: situated at or near the posterior border of the bulla (0); located far anterior to the posterior end of the bulla (1).

**Mandible**

214. Posterior mandibular cheek teeth: oriented vertically or anteriorly (0); reclined posteriorly (1).

215. Medial surface of central part of mandible: similar to lateral surface (0); distinctly flattened relative to lateral surface (1).

216. Dorsomedial surface of posterior portion of mandibular body: flat or convex (0); distinctly excavated (1).

217. Mandibular symphysis: sutured or fused (0); unfused (1).
218. Outline of posterior portion of mandible in dorsal or ventral view: follows a straight line or simple curve (0); sigmoidal owing to a laterally reflexed neck and condyle (1).

219. Mandibular body in dorsal view: bowed medially (0); straight (1); bowed laterally, but with curvature mainly confined to anterior portion of mandible (2); evenly bowed laterally (3). ORDERED

220. Anterior extremity of mandible: vertical or slightly twisted, with the ventral edge shifted medially (0); apex of mandible shifted to an almost horizontal position (1).

221. Mandibular body in medial or lateral view: height of ramus remains roughly constant throughout (0); arched dorsally (1); increases in height anteroposteriorly (2); dorsoventrally constricted near the centre (3); decreasing in height anteroposteriorly, with the anteriormost portion being distinctly expanded (4).

222. Height of mandibular foramen: dorsoventral height approximately that of the horizontal ramus, thus forming a mandibular fossa (0); dorsoventral height about half that of the horizontal ramus or less (1).

223. Anterior border of mandibular foramen: rounded (0); sharply triangular (1).

224. Dorsal border of mandibular foramen projected medially and developed into a roof: absent (0); present (1).

225. Satellite process: absent or limited to a low rugosity (0); present (1).

226. Ridge on posteromedial portion of coronoid process running close and parallel to its posterior border: absent (0); present as a well-defined groove medially only (1); as state 1, but with the dorsal border of the furrow being accentuated by a medially well-developed condyle (2); extends across the posterior surface of the condyle, separating it from the angular process both medially and laterally (3). ORDERED

227. Relative position of anterior border of mandibular foramen: in line with coronoid process (0); posterior to coronoid process (1).

228. Subcondylar furrow: absent or extremely shallow (0); present as a well-defined groove medially only (1); as state 1, but with the dorsal border of the furrow being accentuated by a medially well-developed condyle (2); extends across the posterior surface of the condyle, separating it from the angular process both medially and laterally (3). ORDERED

229. Coronoid process in lateral or medial view: forms a broad plate (0); distinctly triangular (1); shaped like a finger and pointing posteriorly (2).

230. Shape of coronoid process (if triangular) in lateral or medial view: sharply triangular and about as high dorsoventrally as long anteroposteriorly (0); bluntly triangular and considerably longer than high (1).

231. Anterior outline of coronoid process: vertical (0); bent laterally (1).

232. Postcoronoid elevation: absent (0); present (1).

233. Development of angular process in medial view: hallowed out (0); robust (1).

234. Anteroposterior position of angular process: located below the condyle, or slightly anterior (0); projects posteriorly beyond the condyle (1).
235. Angular process deflected ventrally absent (0); present (1).

236. Fossa on medial side of angular process absent (0); present (1).

237. Orientation of articular surface of mandibular condyle: posterior (0); posterodorsal (1); dorsal, with the condyle being confluent with a dorsoventrally expanded angular process (2); dorsal, with the condyle being larger than and clearly offset from the angular process (3).

238. Sulcus for attachment of mylohyoid muscle on ventromedial surface of mandible: absent (0); present (1).

Vertebral column

239. Height of transverse process of atlas at base: more than half the height of the articular surface (0); equal to half the height of the articular surface or less (1).

240. Foramen transversarium in axis: absent (0); present (1).

241. Development of parapophysis and diapophysis on axis in anterior or posterior view: parapophysis considerably more robust than diapophysis (0); parapophysis and diapophysis are similar in size (1).

242. Cervical vertebrae: separate (0); partially fused starting from the axis (1); completely fused (2). ORDERED

243. Parapophysis on seventh cervical vertebra: present (0); absent (1).

244. Centra of cervical vertebrae in anterior or posterior view: rounded (0); squared (1).

245. Orientation of transverse processes of anterior lumbar vertebrae in anterior or posterior view: oriented distinctly ventrolaterally (0); oriented slightly ventrolaterally or subhorizontally (1); oriented laterally and horizontally (2). ORDERED

246. Outline of transverse processes of lumbar vertebrae in anterior or posterior view: flattened or slightly thickened (0); robust and triangular, with a dorsoventrally thickened base reaching up to the ventral border of the neural arch (1).

247. Apices of neural spines of posterior thoracic and anterior lumbar vertebrae anteroposteriorly expanded and squared off: absent (0); present (1).

248. Number of lumbar vertebrae: more than 12 (0); 10 to 12 (1); fewer than 10 (2). ORDERED

249. Metapophyses on posterior thoracic and anterior lumbar vertebrae in lateral view: oriented dorsally (0); oriented anterodorsally or anteriorly (1).

Ribs and sternum

250. Sternum: composed of several bones (0); composed of one bone (1).
251. Posterior ribs transversely expanded: absent (0); present (1).

252. Outline of central ribs in lateral view: evenly curved (0); sigmoidal (1).

**Forelimb**

253. Proportions of scapula: anteroposterior length of scapula approximately equals or is less than its maximum dorsoventral height (0); maximum anteroposterior length clearly exceeds its maximum dorsoventral height (1).

254. Coracoid process of scapula: present (0); absent (1).

255. Acromion process of scapula: present (0); absent (1).

256. Supraspinous fossa of scapula: present (0); absent or nearly absent, with acromion process located near anterior edge of scapula (1).

257. Deltoid crest of humerus: present as a distinct crest (0); absent or reduced to a variably developed rugosity (1).

258. Humerus: longer than or roughly the same length as radius and ulna (0); distinctly shorter than radius and ulna (1).

259. Orientation of humeral head in medial or lateral view: angled (0); vertical (1).

260. Distal portion of humerus in medial or lateral view: distal epiphysis narrower than shaft (0); distal epiphysis equal to or flared compared to shaft (1).

261. Articulation facet for radius on humerus in medial or lateral view: radial and ulnar facets are subequal in size (0); radial facet is distinctly larger than ulnar facet, excluding the olecranon (1).

262. Olecranon process: present as a distinct process (0); absent (1).

263. Manus: pentadactyl (0); tetradactyl (1).

**Hind limb**

264. Femur: present as a relatively well-developed bone (0); absent or reduced to a barely recognisable lump with an extremely rough surface texture (1).

265. Tibia: present (0); absent (1).

**Soft tissue**

266. Ventral throat grooves: absent (0); present and terminate well anterior to umbilicus (1); present and extend to umbilicus (2).
267. Ventral throat pouch: absent (0); present (1).

268. Tongue: muscular (0); reduced and predominantly connective tissue (1).

269. Temporomandibular joint: synovial (0); fibrocartilagenous mass originates in the glenoid fossa and envelopes the mandibular condyle (1).

270. Longitudinal ridges on rostrum: absent or indistinct (0); single median ridge (1); three longitudinal ridges (2). ORDERED

271. Dorsal fin: present as fin or dorsal hump (0); absent (1).

272. Chromosome number: 42 (0); 44 (1).