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Abstract
This paper explains our developing Corpus of Japanese classroom Lecture speech Contents (henceforth, denoted as CJLC). Increasing e-Learning contents demand a sophisticated interactive browsing system for themselves, however, existing tools do not satisfy such a requirement. Many researches including large vocabulary continuous speech recognition and extraction of important sentences against lecture contents are necessary in order to realize the above system. CJLC is designed as their fundamental basis, and consists of speech, transcriptions, and slides that were collected in real university classroom lectures. This paper also explains the difference about disfluency acts between classroom lectures and academic presentations.

1. Introduction
Recently, there is increasing interest in interactive e-Learning systems like exCampus\textsuperscript{1}, IT’s class\textsuperscript{2} and Blackboard,\textsuperscript{3} because they enable students to learn anywhere and anytime they want. All of these systems, however, share a big fault: they can treat texts of slides, but not speech. It means that users cannot search slides with keywords which are uttered in those speech, although they can search slides with keywords which occur in titles and texts of slides. In order to realize an interactive e-Learning system which can treat both texts and speech, several technologies like spoken document retrieval(Nishizaki and Nakagawa, 2002), video content analysis(Li and Dorai, 2006) and automatic speech summarisation(Hori et al., 2003)(Togashi et al., 2006) are necessary. Especially, robust speech recognition of lecture speech is the most important technology among them. There are, however, various problems on recognising real classroom lecture speech: speaking styles of teachers, influence of microphones used when recording their speech, noise and/or reverberation of classrooms and language models which cover lecture-related contents. A corpus of classroom lecture speech which is designed particularly for this problem is obviously required, in order to cope these problems.

We already have several corpora of classroom lecture speech. The MIT research group(Park et al., 2005; Glass et al., 2004) has created the corpus, including more 300 hours of English classroom lectures from eight different courses and 80 seminars given on a variety of topics in MIT. This corpus is, however, insufficient to evaluate influence of a generally used lapel microphone, because these data were recorded with an omni-directional microphone under a general classroom environment. LECTRA(L.Lamel et al., 2005; Trancoso et al., 2006), which is the national project in Portugal, includes total 23 Portuguese lectures (approximately 5.2 hours and 44k words included) from two different courses recorded with a lapel and head-mounted microphones. This project also reported the performance of recognising lecture speech and analysed recognition errors. Corpora of general spontaneous speech are possible resources to resolve the described problems. The Rich Transcription (RT) evaluation series \textsuperscript{4}that have been started since 2002, are implemented to promote and gauge advances in the state-of-the-art in several automatic speech recognition technologies by using spontaneous speech(Fügen et al., 2006b)(Fügen et al., 2006a).

In the recent RT evaluation, the tasks of "Speech to Text" (STT), "Speaker Diarization", and "Speech Activity Detection" (SAD) have been evaluated on the three meeting domains. Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese(Maekawa, 2003) (henceforth, denoted as CSJ) is the biggest corpus of spontaneous Japanese including about 1,000 academic presentations and about 1,600 simulated public speech. As each speech included in CSJ was recorded with a headset microphone, it is impossible to use CSJ for evaluating influences caused by microphone types. Furthermore, a corpus of classroom lecture speech is still required, because there is the difference about disfluency acts between academic presentation speech and classroom lecture speech as described later in Section 3.

This paper explains our ongoing project called as Corpus of Japanese classroom Lecture speech Contents (CJLC). CJLC is designed as a fundamental basis for developing technologies of robust speech recognition and advanced processing of e-Learning contents, and consists of a lot of Japanese classroom lecture speech recorded at several universities. Furthermore, we are going to release CJLC practically for research usage. We hope that CJLC makes a

\textsuperscript{1}http://excampus.nime.ac.jp/index.html
\textsuperscript{2}http://www.gp.hitachi.co.jp/eigyo/product/itsclass/
\textsuperscript{3}http://www.blackboard.com/us/index.Bb
\textsuperscript{4}http://nist.gov/speech/tests/rt/index.htm
breakthrough in the technologies of spoken language processing for e-Learning contents.

Reminder of this paper is organised as follows: Section 2. describes the detail of CJLC, and Section 3. compares CJLC and CSJ, that is, classroom lecture speech and academic presentation speech. And we conclude in Section 4.

2. Specification of CJLC

As described before, there are several problems on recognising real classroom lecture speech. CJLC is especially designed to resolve two problems among them. The first one is to evaluate influences caused by microphone types under noise and reverberation environment of real classrooms. Speech of CJLC, therefore, are recorded in real classrooms with several microphones, in order to tackle it. The second one is various speaking styles and widespread lecture topics. CJLC covers many speakers at several universities to evaluate influences caused by speaking styles, and consists of many computer science courses, such as physics, electronics, mathematics and information sciences. The later of this section explains the detail of CJLC.

2.1. Structure of CJLC

CJLC is formally defined as a set of classroom lecture data, and each data consists of following items:

- a lecture speech recorded with several microphones,
- its synchronised transcription,
- a presentation slide data (optional, Microsoft PowerPoint formed),
- a timetable of slide show (optional), and
- a list of important utterances (optional).

A lecture speech data and its synchronised transcriptions are provided for all lectures, but a presentation slide data, a timetable of slide show and a list of important utterances are attached to not all lectures. EZ presentator which is an e-Learning software made by Hitachi Advanced Digital Inc. is used to record a timetable of slide show.

Table 1 shows the statistics of CJLC. Because each speaker talks one or more courses, the number of speakers is less than the number of courses. Furthermore, several lectures are recorded for each course as shows in Table 1. 6 lectures among of total 89 lectures contains lists of important utterances, which are annotated by 6 professional researchers.

| # of speakers | 15 |
|---------------|----|
| # of courses  | 26 |
| # of lectures | 89 |
| Duration      | 3,780 min. |

2.2. Recording Condition of CJLC

A lapel microphone is widely used instead of a hand held microphone when recording lectures, because it makes teachers be hands free and does not prevent a lecture, although it drops a recognition performance generally. This means that it is important to investigate performance difference among microphone types on speech recognition and to find a compensation method. A speech data of CJLC, therefore, contains multi-channel data recorded with both a lapel microphone and an other type microphone, unlike previous corpora. For example, a speech data of CJLC contains the data recorded with a lapel microphone and the data recorded with a headset microphone. Table 2 shows various recording conditions for recording speech of CJLC. And more, the speech were recorded at classrooms without special audio equipment, in order to make a corpus under noise and reverberation environment of real classrooms.

| Microphone type       | Recording hardware | Format of speech |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| wireless lapel (TOA WM-1300) | DAT recorder (Sony TCD-D8) | 48KHz 16bit PCM |
| wired hand held (Sony C-355) | IC recorder (Marantz PMD-671) | 16kHz 16bit, PCM |
| wired headset (Shure SM10A) |                    |                  |

2.3. Transcription Format of CJLC

To provide data for acoustic and language model training, we created manual transcriptions of the lecture speech. Each speech was automatically segmented into utterances using the power information of speech described in (Kitaoka et al., 2006; Otsu, 1979). The annotators were instructed to pay careful attention to generating a transcrip-
Table 3: Difference between CJLC and CSJ

|                | CJLC                                      | CSJ                                      |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| main target    | classroom lectures                        | lectures in a academic meeting          |
| # of lectures  | 89                                        | 3300                                     |
| microphone     | various microphones (described before)     | headset (CROWN CM-311A)                 |
| duration per a lecture |                        |                                          |
| annotation (tagging) | 11 tags (CSJ sub-set)                  | CSJ tags                                 |
| transcriptions of speech | YES                                    | YES                                      |
| Slide data     | YES (partially)                           | NO                                       |

The differences between CJLC and CSJ, which are target speech, transcription tags of phenomenon in spontaneous speech, and slide data.

We have compared the phenomenon in spontaneous speech included in CJLC with the one of CSJ. We especially analysed the frequency of filled pauses and disfluently spoken words in each corpus. Table 4 represents the detailed statistics of two corpora. The numbers in Table 4 mean the average values per a lecture (or a dialogue) for each item except the number of lectures and the total duration. Although Table 4 shows that CJLC lectures are longer than CSJ presentations in most cases, it is notable that CJLC lectures can be classified into two categories as shown in Fig. 2. In the latter discussion, the lectures which are shorter than 60 minutes are called as the short lectures, and longer ones are called as the long lectures. Almost the short lectures are guidances to explain practice procedures or exercises. On the other hand, the long lectures are generic classroom lec-

3. Comparison of CJLC and CSJ

This section explains the difference between classrooms lecture speech and presentation speech.

CSJ is the biggest corpus of spontaneous Japanese, and contains four categories of speech: academic presentation speech (APS), simulated public speech (SPS), dialogue, and reading. APS is the live recording of academic presentation in 9 different academic societies covering the fields of engineering, social science, and humanities. SPS, on the other hand, is studio recording of layman speaker’s speech of about 10–12 minutes, on everyday topics like ‘the most delightful/saddest memory of my life’. Table 3 summarises the differences between CJLC and CSJ, which are target speech, transcription tags of phenomenon in spontaneous speech, and slide data.

We have compared the phenomenon in spontaneous speech included in CJLC with the one of CSJ. We especially analysed the frequency of filled pauses and disfluently spoken words in each corpus. Table 4 represents the detailed statistics of two corpora. The numbers in Table 4 mean the average values per a lecture (or a dialogue) for each item except the number of lectures and the total duration. Although Table 4 shows that CJLC lectures are longer than CSJ presentations in most cases, it is notable that CJLC lectures can be classified into two categories as shown in Fig. 2. In the latter discussion, the lectures which are shorter than 60 minutes are called as the short lectures, and longer ones are called as the long lectures. Almost the short lectures are guidances to explain practice procedures or exercises. On the other hand, the long lectures are generic classroom lec-

![Figure 2: Number of Lectures and Durations](image-url)
Fig. 3 shows frequency distributions of filled pauses annotated by Tag F at classroom lectures, APS, SPS and dialogues. As shown in Fig. 3, more filled pauses occur in the dialogue speech of CSJ than in the other types of speech. Classroom lectures of CJLC, APS and SPS share similar frequency distributions of filled pauses. Although Fig. 4 suggests that filled pauses occur more frequently in short lectures than in long ones, we think that there is no serious distinction among them, because both the distribution of filled pauses on short lectures and the one on long ones are still similar to the one on SPS as shown in Fig. 3.

Fig. 5 and Fig. 7 indicate that the number of word fragments in the classroom lectures is less than the number of the speech in CSJ. We think that this is one of characteristic of CJLC, mainly caused by the fact that speakers of classroom lectures can talk more deliberately than ones of presentations. Fig. 6 shows the frequency distributions of content word fragments annotated by Tag D, and suggests that more disfluency acts on content words occur in long lectures than in short ones. By contrast, Fig. 8 shows that short lectures and long ones are quite similar from the point of view of the average numbers of functional word fragments annotated by Tag D2.

4. Conclusions

This paper explains our developing corpus called as CJLC. Its main aim is to study the current state of classroom lecture speech recognition that is one of the fundamental technologies needed to process the lecture contents. It consists of many real classroom lectures collected at a couple of universities that cover various lecture topics related to information sciences and cover various speaker types. And more, it is possible to evaluate influences caused by various microphones because they contain multi channel recorded speech.

Furthermore, we compared the classroom lectures of CJLC, the presentations and the dialogues of CSJ. The result of analysis represented that the average number of filled pauses included in an utterance is almost the same in the classroom lectures and ones of CSJ. On the other hand, the average number of word fragments caused by disfluency acts in the classroom lectures is less than the number of ones in CSJ speech. We think that this is one of characteristic of CJLC, mainly caused by the fact that speakers of classroom lectures can talk more deliberately than ones of presentations.

The monitor version of CJLC is already available. Please see http://www.slp.ics.tut.ac.jp/CJLC/.
We are going to release the formal version of CJLC database to the public limited to only research usage in near future.
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