High-resolution population structure and runs of homozygosity reveal the genetic architecture of complex traits in the Lipizzan horse
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Abstract

Background: The sample ascertainment bias due to complex population structures remains a major challenge in genome-wide investigations of complex traits. In this study we derived the high-resolution population structure and levels of autozygosity of 377 Lipizzan horses originating from five different European stud farms utilizing the SNP genotype information of the high density 700 k Affymetrix Axiom™ Equine genotyping array. Scanning the genome for overlapping runs of homozygosity (ROH) shared by more than 50% of horses, we identified homozygous regions (ROH islands) in order to investigate the gene content of those candidate regions by gene ontology and enrichment analyses.

Results: The high-resolution population network approach revealed well-defined substructures according to the origin of the horses (Austria, Slovakia, Croatia and Hungary). The highest mean genome coverage of ROH ($S_{ROH}$) was identified in the Austrian ($S_{ROH} = 342.9$), followed by Croatian ($S_{ROH} = 214.7$), Slovakian ($S_{ROH} = 205.1$) and Hungarian ($S_{ROH} = 171.5$) subpopulations. ROH island analysis revealed five common islands on ECA11 and ECA14, hereby confirming a closer genetic relationship between the Hungarian and Croatian as well as between the Austrian and Slovakian samples. Private islands were detected for the Hungarian and the Austrian Lipizzan subpopulations. All subpopulations shared a homozygous region on ECA11, nearly identical in position and length containing among other genes the homeobox-B cluster, which was also significantly ($p < 0.001$) highlighted by enrichment analysis. Gene ontology terms were mostly related to biological processes involved in embryonic morphogenesis and anterior/posterior specification. Around the STX17 gene (causative for greying), we identified a ROH island harbouring the genes NR4A3, STX17, ERAP4 and INVS. Within further islands on ECA14, ECA16 and ECA20 we detected the genes SPRY4, NDFIP1, IMPDH2, HSP90AB1, whereas SPRY4 and HSP90AB1 are involved in melanoma metastasis and survival rate of melanoma patients in humans.

Conclusions: We demonstrated that the assessment of high-resolution population structures within one single breed supports the downstream genetic analyses (e.g. the identification of ROH islands). By means of ROH island analyses, we identified the genes SPRY4, NDFIP1, IMPDH2, HSP90AB1, which might play an important role for further studies on equine melanoma. Furthermore, our results highlighted the impact of the homeobox-A and B cluster involved in morphogenesis of Lipizzan horses.
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Background
The Lipizzan horse breed is globally one of the best-documented horse population, as pedigree records can be traced back to the known founder animals born in the early eighteenth century. The founder population, described in detail by Zechner et al. [1], Druml and Sölkner [2] and Druml et al. [3], comprises 456 animals, whereas the major part of horses originated from the former imperial stud farm of Lipica founded in the year 1580. Since the First World War the stud book of Lipizzan horses is closed and presently conservation breeding strategies are applied by eleven state stud farms located in nine European countries. National Lipizzan breeding herds have limited population sizes; therefore, maintenance of genetic diversity has been in the focus of state stud farms over decennia.

Numerous genetic approaches and methods have been applied to characterise the gene pool, the genetic diversity and the population structure of the Lipizzan breed. Comprehensive pedigree data (max. 31 generations, generation equivalent 19.1) were used to estimate inbreeding coefficients and effective population sizes by Zechner et al. [1], Sölkner and Druml [2] and Druml et al. [3]. Furthermore, microsatellite markers were employed to describe diversity measures, genetic distances and population structure by Achmann et al. [4]. Kavar et al. [5, 6] investigated mtDNA maternal diversity; Kasarda et al. [7] estimated genetic relatedness between Old Kladruber, Slovenian and Slovakian Lipizzans, whilst Wallner et al. [8] highlighted the patrilinear structure conducting haplotype-based analyses of the Y-chromosome. Most of these scientific publications arose from a multilateral research project, which is described in the review by Dovc et al. [9]. Based upon this scientific project further research was conducted focusing on the inheritance of melanoma, vitiligo and greying [10–13].

In this study we used the SNP genotype information of the Affymetrix Axiom™ Equine genotyping array [14] to analyse the high-resolution population structure of Lipizzan horses originating from five different European stud farms, which differ in breeding history and breeding objectives. To ascertain the high-resolution population structure of the gene pool, we applied a recently described three-step procedure as presented in Druml et al. [15], which includes individual levels of admixture and genomic inbreeding (ROH) in the final population network visualization. After the assessment of the high-resolution population structure, we identified overlapping homozygous regions (ROH islands) within the entire population and respective subpopulations, and conducted a gene ontology and enrichment analysis of annotated genes located within ROH islands. We demonstrate that the combination of different approaches elaborate insights in population structure and underlying differences in levels of autozygosity and distribution of ROH islands.

Results
Genetic diversity and admixture analysis
Principal Component analysis (PCA) as presented in Fig. 1a revealed that the first three Principal Components (PCs) accounted for 35% of the total genetic variance. Based upon the visualization of the first three PCs the Austrian population is characterized by lower pairwise genetic distances and a distinct clustering. The other three subpopulations (Croatia, Hungary and Slovakia) appear to be highly interrelated simultaneously expressing a high level of genetic diversity, whilst a subset of the Austrian samples shares similarities with Croatian, Slovakian and Hungarian horses. FST analysis recapitulated these findings, as nearest relationships were documented for Austrian, Croatian and Slovakian samples (pairwise FST from 0.025 to 0.045), whereas the Hungarian subpopulation exhibited higher genetic differentiation (pairwise FST from 0.068 to 0.092) (Additional file 1).

The first level (K = 2) of model-based clustering using the programme Admixture separated the Austrian population from the other Lipizzan samples (Fig. 1b). At the second level of clustering K = 3 the Hungarian sample were allocated in a distinct cluster. Further increasing K to 4 and 5 the Austrian subpopulation was further sub-structured, whilst at K = 6 the Slovakian sample formed a distinct cluster. At the additional levels of K = 7 and K = 8 the identified subpopulations were subsequently sub-structured. The visualization of the cross-validation (CV) error for each K, increasing K from 2 to 10, did not result in an optimal number of clusters (Additional file 2).

High-resolution network visualisation
Using a high-resolution network graph we combined individual levels of SROH (see the results below) and individual levels of admixture (K = 8). The network analysis improved the assessment of the population structure, compared to PCA and Admixture, by clearly separating the horses according to their origin, simultaneously highlighting four population outliers (Fig. 2). The horses of the stud farm Piber characteristically were connected by short and thick links, representing higher co-ancestry and lower genetic distance. The network highlighted two sub-clusters with higher levels of admixture, which were linked to Slovakian and Hungarian Lipizzans (marked with arrows in Fig. 2). According to pedigree information these cross-link animals were foreign bred mares, which were integrated into the Piber breeding population and thus are characterized by a lower co-ancestry level (longer and thinner links) and smaller SROH values (smaller node sizes).
Fig. 1 Visualization of the dataset on the first 3 Principal Components (PCs) together explaining 35% of the variation. a On the left plot of PC1 and PC2; on the right plot of PC1 and PC3. b Graphical representation of individual cluster membership coefficients for Admixture runs increasing $K = 2$–$8$ for the Austrian, Croatian, Hungarian and Slovakian sample of Lipizzan horses.
Fig. 2 (See legend on next page.)
The Croatian sample was separated from the Austrian sample, connected directly by one cross-link animal. The network analysis identified three sub-clusters within the Croatian sample. According to pedigree information, the cluster on the right involved horses from the stud farm Lipik, whilst the left cluster represented the core population from the stud farm Đakovo. The accumulation in the middle of the Croatian sample included horses from Đakovo, which showed high levels of admixture with horses from Lipik, as well as with Slovakian and Hungarian Lipizzans, respectively. The Hungarian sample was characterised by the highest genetic distances between the samples and by the lowest SROH values, indicating genealogical separation between Hungarian and Austrian Lipizzans. The Slovakian sample showed genetic relationships in both directions – to the Austrian and to the Croatian samples. These relationships were illustrated by manifold cross-link animals, which had small SROH values and higher degree of admixture connecting both clusters.

**Runs of homozygosity**

Including the entire sample of Lipizzan horses in the ROH analysis the mean number of ROH (NROH) comprised 202.07 segments at a mean genome length covered by ROH (SROH) of 297.01 Mb (+ 119.85), resulting in a mean ROH length (LROH) of 1.42 Mb (Table 1).

ROH parameters varied between samples. Highest estimates of SROH and NROH were identified for horses originating from the stud farm of Piber/Austria (SROH = 342.88 Mb; NROH = 211.85), followed by the Croatian horses (SROH = 214.66 Mb; NROH = 186.87) and Slovakian horses (SROH = 205.06 Mb; NROH = 187.58). Lowest SROH and NROH were found in the Hungarian sample (SROH = 171.53 Mb; NROH = 158.39) (Table 1). Five Austrian Lipizzan horses reached the highest SROH with more than 500 Mb, whereas the smallest SROH were detected in three Hungarian Lipizzan horses (1.91 Mb to 20 Mb).

Inbreeding as defined by FROH reached a mean value of 13.2% (+ 5.3%) within the entire sample, ranging from 15.3% (+ 4.7%) in the Piber population to 7.6% (+ 5.0%) in the Croatian sample (Table 1). To differentiate old and recent inbreeding we calculated FROH based upon different ROH length classes (Table 2). The values for FROH < 2 Mb were highest in the Austrian (6.7%) and lowest in the Hungarian sample (5.6%). To quantify recent inbreeding we calculated FROH considering ROHs longer than 10 MB. Recent inbreeding was absent in the Slovakian sample and tended to zero in the Hungarian sample, whereas the highest value of 1.1% was observed for the Austrian sample.

**Table 1**

| Variable | Sample               | n  | SROH (Mb) | NROH | LROH (Mb) | FROH |
|----------|----------------------|----|-----------|------|-----------|------|
| SROH     | Total sample         | 377| 297.01    | 51.96| 3.00      | 0.13 |
| NROH     | Piber/Austria        | 254| 342.88    | 46.00| 6.00      | 0.15 |
| LROH     | Topolčianky/Slovakia| 55 | 205.05    | 48.00| 6.30      | 0.09 |
| FROH     | Lipik, Đakovo/Croatia| 45 | 214.66    | 85.99| 81.31     | 0.07 |

**Table 2**

| Variable | Sample               | n  | SROH (Mb) | NROH | LROH (Mb) | FROH |
|----------|----------------------|----|-----------|------|-----------|------|
| FROH     | Total sample         | 377| 119.85    | 3.00 | 0.56      | 2.45 |
|          | Piber/Austria        | 254| 333.00    | 0.00 | 0.25      |      |
|          | Topolčianky/Slovakia| 55 | 333.00    | 0.00 | 0.25      |      |
|          | Lipik, Đakovo/Croatia| 45 | 320.00    | 0.00 | 0.16      |      |
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observed, indicating out-breeding. These horses were also clearly identified as cross-link animals between stud farms within the high-resolution population network (Fig. 2).

Concerning the distribution of ROH segments of different length categories, the Austrian Lipizzan population is characterized by the lowest proportion of ROH smaller than 2 Mb (21.3%), which varied in the other samples between 31.1 and 32.3% (Table 2). At the same time the Austrian horses had the highest proportion of ROHs longer than 6 Mb (19.2%). In the other samples this ROH category was present at an amount from 1.4 to 3.2%. In the Austrian sample 7.4% of ROHs were longer than 10 Mb, whereas in the other samples this category was underrepresented at a percentage of < 0.6%.

Table 2 Distribution of ROHs and F_ROH (in percent) in different length classes (0.5–1 Mb, 1–2 Mb, 2–4 Mb, 4–6 Mb, 6–8 Mb, 8–10 Mb, > 10 Mb) respectively cumulative length classes (< 1 Mb, < 2 Mb, < 4 Mb, < 6 Mb, < 8 Mb, < 10 Mb, total F_ROH) for the Austrian, Croatian, Hungarian, Slovakian samples and the entire data set (All)

| Distribution ROH in length classes | Austria | Croatia | Hungary | Slovakia | All |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-----|
| 0.5–1 Mb                          | 22.7    | 37.7    | 41.0    | 40.0     | 28.1|
| 1–2 Mb                            | 21.3    | 31.1    | 32.1    | 32.3     | 24.8|
| 2–4 Mb                            | 23.9    | 22.2    | 19.4    | 21.4     | 23.1|
| 4–6 Mb                            | 12.9    | 5.7     | 5.2     | 4.8      | 10.4|
| 6–8 Mb                            | 7.6     | 2.2     | 1.2     | 0.9      | 5.6 |
| 8–10 Mb                           | 4.2     | 0.4     | 0.4     | 0.5      | 3.0 |
| > 10 Mb                           | 7.4     | 0.6     | 0.6     | 0.0      | 5.1 |

| F_ROH per length class            |         |         |         |          |     |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-----|
| F_ROH 0.5–1 Mb                    | 3.5     | 3.6     | 3.1     | 3.7      | 3.5 |
| F_ROH 1–2 Mb                      | 3.3     | 3       | 2.5     | 3        | 3.1 |
| F_ROH 2–4 Mb                      | 3.7     | 2.2     | 1.5     | 2        | 3.1 |
| F_ROH 4–6 Mb                      | 2       | 0.6     | 0.4     | 0.4      | 1.5 |
| F_ROH 6–8 Mb                      | 1.2     | 0.2     | 0.1     | 0.1      | 0.8 |
| F_ROH 8–10 Mb                     | 0.6     | 0       | 0       | 0        | 0.4 |
| F_ROH > 10 Mb                     | 1.1     | 0.1     | 0       | 0        | 0.8 |

| F_ROH per length class (cum.)     |         |         |         |          |     |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-----|
| F_ROH < 1 Mb                      | 3.5     | 3.6     | 3.1     | 3.7      | 3.5 |
| F_ROH < 2 Mb                      | 6.7     | 6.5     | 5.6     | 6.6      | 6.6 |
| F_ROH < 4 Mb                      | 10.4    | 8.7     | 7.1     | 8.6      | 9.7 |
| F_ROH < 6 Mb                      | 12.4    | 9.3     | 7.5     | 9        | 11.2|
| F_ROH < 8 Mb                      | 13.5    | 9.5     | 7.6     | 9.1      | 12  |
| F_ROH < 10 Mb                     | 14.2    | 9.5     | 7.6     | 9.1      | 12.5|
| F_ROH total                       | 15.3    | 9.6     | 7.6     | 9.1      | 13.2|

ROH islands

Within the entire dataset, we identified three ROH islands on ECA11 and two islands on ECA14, which were shared by more than 50% of the horses (Table 3). The overlapping homozygous region on ECA11:24.13–24.81 Mb was shared by 61.3% of animals and harboured the genes COPZ2, CBX1, SNX11, HOXB1, HOXB2, HOXB3, HOXB5, HOXB6, HOXB7, HOXB8, HOXB13, TTL6. Figure 3a illustrates this island and the position of the homeobox-B cluster (HOXB) for each sample separately. These overlapping homozygous regions were nearly identical in position and length in all samples and the frequency varied between 59.2% (Slovakia) and 72.7% (Hungary).

The second ROH island on ECA11 (position 31,015.821 - 31,942.748) was up to 927 kb long in Austrian and Croatian samples and was shared by 72.8 and 62.6% of the horses, respectively (Fig. 3b). The Slovakian Lipizzans had two overlapping homozygous segments in this region. Within the Hungarian sample, 47.0% of horses shared a much smaller island, which was directly located in the Musashi RNA binding protein 2 (MSI2).

We identified a ROH island on ECA25 around the STX17 (syntaxin-17) gene responsible for grey coat colour, shared by 46.2% of horses within the entire sample, containing the genes NR4A3, STX17, ERP44 and INVS. This ROH island was embedded in the centre of a 1.38 Mb long homozygous region at position ECA25:5.69–7.07 Mb present in 36.6% of Lipizzan horses. The frequency was below our expectations. From a previous study, it is known that the STX17 genotype frequencies for homozygous G/G horses reached up to 67.3% in Lipizzans [13]. Scanning this region for ROHs shorter than 500 kb, we applied a smaller boundary (80 kb window length, min. 20 SNPs), and detected a 399.9kb long ROH island at position ECA25:6394.110-6794.044 centred round the STX17 gene that was shared by 66.1% of the entire sample (Fig. 4). Across the subpopulations the frequencies for this ROH were 71.2% in Austria, 56.7% in Croatia, 62.8% in Hungary, 55.6% in Slovakia, and 60.8% in the entire data set.

Table 3 ROH islands, shared by more than 50% (ROH freq.) of the entire sample of Lipizzan horses and the annotated genes in corresponding regions

| Chr. | Begin    | End      | Length  | ROH freq | Known genes                      |
|------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------------------------------|
| 11   | 24,134.125 | 24,816.254 | 682.1     | 0.613     | COPZ2, CBX1, SNX11, HOXB1, HOXB2, HOXB3, HOXB5, HOXB6, HOXB7, HOXB8, HOXB13, TTL6 |
| 11   | 30,677.562 | 30,685.590 | 8.0       | 0.501     |                                  |
| 11   | 31,015.821 | 31,942.748 | 926.9     | 0.666     | C11H17orf67, DGKE, COIL, SCPEP1, AKAP1, MS2 |
| 14   | 34,988.691 | 34,999.535 | 10.8      | 0.501     |                                  |
| 14   | 34,651.534 | 34,935.341 | 283.8     | 0.514     | SPRY4, NDFIP1                    |
island was highest in the Austrian sample (71.2%) and lowest in the Croatian sample (51.1%).

Considering the Austrian sample we found 16 ROH islands, which were located on ECA3 (2 islands), ECA5, ECA7 (2 islands), ECA8, ECA11 (3 islands), ECA14, ECA16 (4 islands), ECA18 and ECA20 (Table 4). The ROH islands ranged in length between 20.2 kb and 953.3 kb, whereas the longest fragment on ECA11 at 31.01–31.94 Mb was shared by the highest number of individuals (72.8%), followed by the ROH island on ECA11 at position 24.13–24.81 Mb, which was observed in 61.1% of animals. Within the Austrian sample eight ROH islands on ECA3, ECA5, ECA8, ECA11, ECA14, ECA16, ECA18 and ECA20 were private.

Within the Slovakian sample we detected six ROH islands on ECA11 (3), ECA14, ECA16 and ECA22, whereas the islands on ECA11 and ECA16 overlapped with corresponding islands of the Austrian Lipizzans (Table 5). The ROH islands on ECA22:47.90–48.48 Mb and ECA14:34.65–35.08 Mb were also identified in the Croatian sample.

Within the Croatian sample six ROH islands on ECA4, ECA7, ECA11 (2 islands), ECA14, ECA22, which varied in length between 129.1 kb and 1.14 Mb, were identified. The longest overlapping homozygous region on ECA14:34.05–35.18 Mb was shared by 72.6% of horses and contained nine annotated genes (Table 6).

The island on ECA4 at position 58.11–58.62 Mb was also present in the Hungarian Lipizzans and contained among other annotated genes the homeobox-A cluster (HOXA). Furthermore, an island on ECA22 at position 47.90–48.62 Mb was exclusively detected within the Croatian and Slovakian sample.

Within the Hungarian Lipizzans six ROH islands on ECA4, ECA8, ECA11, ECA22, ECA23, ECA30 were identified, varying in length between 497.4 kb and 699.2 kb (Table 7). Four ROH islands on ECA8, ECA22, ECA23 and ECA30 were specific for the Hungarian sample. On ECA8 also a private island of the Austrian sample was documented (Fig. 5).

Gene ontology and enrichment analysis
Gene ontology and enrichment analysis highlighted within all Lipizzan samples the homeobox-B cluster, where the highest significance levels \( (p < 0.001) \) were reached for the terms GO:0048704~embryonic skeletal system morphogenesis and GO:0009952~anterior/posterior pattern specification (Additional file 4). Further the term GO:0043565~sequence-specific DNA binding related to molecular functions reached a significance level of \( p < 0.001 \) for the entire Lipizzan sample. Three out of 20 genes located in the identified ROH islands were listed in OMIM (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man) database (DGKE - 615,008~Hemolytic uremic syndrome; HOXB1–614744~Facial paresis; SPRY4–615266~Hypogonadotropic hypogonadism), but none of the identified genes were listed in OMIA (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Animals) database for horses.

In the Austrian Lipizzan population (Additional file 5) GO analysis confirmed the aforementioned terms in biological processes (GO:0048704 and GO:0009952 containing the HOXB cluster), and highlighted additionally the five terms GO:0021570~rhombomere 4 development, GO:0021612~facial nerve structural, GO:0006183~GTP biosynthetic process, GO:0006950~response to stress and GO:0071353~cellular response to interleukin-4, related to biological processes.

The Slovakian Lipizzans (Additional file 6) were characterized by three specific terms related to biological processes: GO:0048864~stem cell development, GO:0001525~angiogenesis, GO:0006368~transcription elongation from RNA polymerase II promoter.

GO analysis revealed 12 identical terms, related to biological processes for the Hungarian and Croatian samples and additionally two sample specific terms (GO:0006355~regulation of transcription, DNA-templated; GO:0001578~microtubule bundle formation) for the Hungarian and three terms for the Croatian sample (GO:0007156~homophilic cell adhesion via plasma membrane adhesion molecules; GO:0050890~cognition; GO:0001759~organ induction).
Fig. 4 ROH island around the STX17 gene (begin and end illustrated by red vertical reference lines) at ECA25:6.394.110–6.794.044. Subpopulations are presented in different colours: Austria = blue, Slovakia = brown, Croatia = red, Hungary = green.
All these 12 terms related to biological processes are based upon the HOXB- and HOXA-clusters. The highest significance levels (p < 0.001) were found in concordance with the other samples for GO:0009952~anterior/posterior pattern specification and GO:0048704~embryonic skeletal system morphogenesis. Summarizing the results from gene ontology analysis of these two samples a high number of terms were highlighted for different aspects of embryonic morphogenesis (f.e. GO:0009953~dorsal/ventral pattern formation, GO:0009954~proximal/distal pattern formation, GO:0008584~male gonad development, GO:0060065~uterus development, GO:0021615~glossopharyngeal nerve morphogenesis).

### Single SNP analysis

Two islands in the entire sample and six islands in the Austrian Lipizzans were remarkable short (8.0–101.1 kb length) and matched in size with single genes. One short island on ECA3:118.67–118.77 Mb (length 101.1kb) directly overlapped with the genes UVSSA (UV-stimulated scaffold protein A) and MAEA (macrophage erythroblast attacher) and was shared by 50.8% of the Austrian horses. 76 kb upstream a second ROH island (ECA3:118.80–118.89 Mb, length 83.9kb) was centred on the CTBP1 gene (C-terminal binding protein 1), that was shared by 50.2% of Austrian Lipizzans. To examine levels of homozygosity for SNPs located within the three named genes, we extracted the following SNPs from the

| Chr. | Begin | End   | Length (kb) | ROH freq. | Known genes |
|------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| 3    | 118,669.793 | 118,769.903 | 100.1       | 0.508     | UVSSA, MAEA |
| 3    | 118,809.979 | 118,893.880 | 83.9        | 0.506     | CTBP1       |
| 5    | 48,702.703 | 49,211.103 | 508.4       | 0.530     | CHD1L, FMOS, PRKAB2 |
| 7    | 50,434.281 | 50,606.416 | 172.1       | 0.507     | –           |
| 7    | 50,636.963 | 50,733.100 | 96.1        | 0.508     | –           |
| 8    | 93,409.518 | 93,605.837 | 196.3       | 0.538     | –           |
| 11   | 24,134.125 | 24,816.254 | 682.1       | 0.611     | COP22, CBX1, SNX11, HOXB1, HOXB2, HOXB3, HOXB5, HOXB6, HOXB7, HOXB8, HOXB13, TTTL6 |
| 11   | 30,607.721 | 30,687.266 | 79.6        | 0.526     | –           |
| 11   | 31,015.821 | 31,942.748 | 926.9       | 0.728     | C11H17orf67, DGKE, COIL, SCPEPI, AKAP1, MS2 |
| 14   | 41,779.891 | 42,365.786 | 585.9       | 0.546     | FSTL4       |
| 16   | 37,870.208 | 38,823.469 | 953.3       | 0.542     | C16H18orf84, KLHDC18, CCDC71, LAMB2, LSP1, OARS, QBRCH1, IMPDH2, NDUFAF3, DALRD3, ARH2, WDAR6, P4HTM, IP6K2, NCKXP5D, CELSR3, TMEM89, UQRC1, SLC26A6, UCN2, PFKFB4, SH5AS, TREV1, ATRIP, CCDC51, PXN8B1, NME6, ECAT3, ECAT2 |

### Table 4 ROH islands, shared by more than 50% (ROH freq.) of the Lipizzan horses from the Austrian stud farm Piber and the annotated genes in corresponding regions

| Chr. | Begin | End   | Length (kb) | ROH freq. | Known genes |
|------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| 3    | 118,669.793 | 118,769.903 | 100.1       | 0.508     | UVSSA, MAEA |
| 3    | 118,809.979 | 118,893.880 | 83.9        | 0.506     | CTBP1       |
| 5    | 48,702.703 | 49,211.103 | 508.4       | 0.530     | CHD1L, FMOS, PRKAB2 |
| 7    | 50,434.281 | 50,606.416 | 172.1       | 0.507     | –           |
| 7    | 50,636.963 | 50,733.100 | 96.1        | 0.508     | –           |
| 8    | 93,409.518 | 93,605.837 | 196.3       | 0.538     | –           |
| 11   | 24,134.125 | 24,816.254 | 682.1       | 0.611     | COP22, CBX1, SNX11, HOXB1, HOXB2, HOXB3, HOXB5, HOXB6, HOXB7, HOXB8, HOXB13, TTTL6 |
| 11   | 30,607.721 | 30,687.266 | 79.6        | 0.526     | –           |
| 11   | 31,015.821 | 31,942.748 | 926.9       | 0.728     | C11H17orf67, DGKE, COIL, SCPEPI, AKAP1, MS2 |
| 14   | 41,779.891 | 42,365.786 | 585.9       | 0.546     | FSTL4       |
| 16   | 37,870.208 | 38,823.469 | 953.3       | 0.542     | C16H18orf84, KLHDC18, CCDC71, LAMB2, LSP1, OARS, QBRCH1, IMPDH2, NDUFAF3, DALRD3, ARH2, WDAR6, P4HTM, IP6K2, NCKXP5D, CELSR3, TMEM89, UQRC1, SLC26A6, UCN2, PFKFB4, SH5AS, TREV1, ATRIP, CCDC51, PXN8B1, NME6, ECAT3, ECAT2 |

### Table 5 ROH islands, shared by more than 50% (ROH freq.) of the Lipizzan horses from the Slovakian stud farm Topolčianky and the annotated genes in corresponding regions

| Chr. | Begin | End   | Length (kb) | ROH freq. | Known genes |
|------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| 11   | 24,140.999 | 24,793.573 | 652.6       | 0.598     | COP22, CBX1, SNX11, HOXB1, HOXB2, HOXB3, HOXB5, HOXB6, HOXB7, HOXB8, HOXB13, TTTL6 |
| 11   | 31,000.312 | 31,347.338 | 347.0       | 0.552     | C11H17orf67, DGKE |
| 11   | 31,507.758 | 31,942.748 | 435.0       | 0.567     | AKAP1, MS2 |
| 14   | 34,651.534 | 35,086.649 | 435.1       | 0.609     | SPRY4, NDFIP1, GNPDA1, RNF14 |
| 16   | 39,675.098 | 39,775.712 | 100.6       | 0.507     | SETD2       |
| 18   | 43,065.487 | 43,166.223 | 100.8       | 0.507     | CAPN11, SLC29A1, HSP90AB1, SLC35B2, NFKBIE, TCE1 |
| 20   | 43,065.487 | 43,166.223 | 100.8       | 0.507     | CAPN11, SLC29A1, HSP90AB1, SLC35B2, NFKBIE, TCE1 |

### Additional files

7 and 8. All these 12 terms related to biological processes are based upon the HOXB- and HOXA-clusters. The highest significance levels (p < 0.001) were found in concordance with the other samples for GO:0009952~anterior/posterior pattern specification and GO:0048704~embryonic skeletal system morphogenesis. Summarizing the results from gene ontology analysis of these two samples a high number of terms were highlighted for different aspects of embryonic morphogenesis (f.e. GO:0009953~dorsal/ventral pattern formation, GO:0009954~proximal/distal pattern formation, GO:0008584~male gonad development, GO:0060065~uterus development, GO:0021615~glossopharyngeal nerve morphogenesis).
The four SNPs within UVSSA revealed homozygosity for 78.2% of individuals. For the two SNPs located in MAEA 90.5% of animals were homozygous in the Austrian population and 78.5% of the horses were homozygous for these SNPs within the entire sample. At the SNP located in CTBP1 all horses of the entire sample were homozygous.

Discussion

The high-resolution population network (Admixture and Netview analysis) of the Lipizzan horse breed revealed that the single stud farms represent differentiated subpopulations, whereas the highest genetic distances were observed between the Austrian and the Hungarian/Croatian samples. The Slovakian Lipizzans clustered between the Austrian and the Croatian Lipizzans. These findings are in concordance with Achmann et al. [4], who derived a comparable structure for 561 Lipizzans from seven European countries. In the present study the Austrian and Slovakian horses were characterized by a lower genetic distances and higher pairwise relationship coefficients compared to the Croatian and Hungarian animals. This can be explained by the limited census of breeding animals in the Slovakian stud farm Topolčianky, and the limited level of introgression of horses into the Austrian breeding herd. Due to the obligatory performance test of stallions in the Spanish riding school, in the Austrian stud farm Piber only foreign mares can be used to increase genetic diversity, whereas in Hungary, Slovakia and Croatia an exchange of breeding stallions is commonly applied. At the same time the Croatian and Hungarian breeding stock has been bigger than the Slovakian one.

Generally genetic distances between horses, illustrated in the high-resolution network visualization, was relatively equally distributed within the breeding herds of Piber and Topolčianky and no outstanding contribution of single animals (key-contributor) were detected, revealing the efforts of a conservation breeding program with narrow sex ratios and moderate selection intensity. Between Piber, Szilvasvárad and Topolčianky cross-link animals were found, which showed markedly smaller mean genome-wide coverage of ROH and a higher degree of admixture. A comparable “outbreeding-effect” was detected in a previous study in the Haflinger breed, where outcrosses between horses from different countries/stud books were characterised by low $S_{ROH}$ and the lack of ROHs > 6 Mb [15].

All ROH parameters observed in our four subpopulations, reached highest values in the Austrian Lipizzans, whereas the lowest values were found in the Hungarian sample. The values of $F_{ROH}$ generally were in concordance with the findings of pedigree analyses from Zechner et al.

Table 6 ROH islands, shared by more than 50% (ROH freq.) of the Lipizzan horses from the Croatian stud farms Lipik and Dakovo and the annotated genes in corresponding regions

| Chr. | Begin | End   | Length (kb) | ROH freq. | Genes                                      |
|------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------|
| 4    | 58,107,774 | 58,605,189 | 497.4       | 0.522     | HOXA1, HOXA2, HOXA3, HOXA5, HOXA6, HOXA7, HOXA9, HOXA10, HOXA11, EVX1 |
| 7    | 52,104,500 | 52,143,583 | 129.1       | 0.532     | –                                           |
| 11   | 24,134,125 | 24,833,366 | 699.2       | 0.727     | COP22, CBX1, SNX11, HOXB1, HOXB2, HOXB3, HOXB5, HOXB6, HOXB7, HOXB8, HOXB13, TTL6, CALCCOCO2 |
| 22   | 45,518,067 | 46,096,341 | 578.3       | 0.522     | ZNF831, EDN3, PHACTR3                      |
| 23   | 27,658,254 | 28,189,490 | 531.2       | 0.522     | UHRF2, GLDC, KD4M4C                       |
| 30   | 47,813,530 | 54,652,214 | 683.7       | 0.522     | KIF26B, SMYD3                             |

Table 7 ROH islands, shared by more than 50% (ROH freq.) of the Lipizzan horses from the Hungarian stud farms Szilvasvárad and the annotated genes in corresponding regions

| Chr. | Begin | End   | Length (kb) | ROH freq. | Genes                                      |
|------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------|
| 4    | 58,107,774 | 58,605,189 | 497.4       | 0.522     | HOXA1, HOXA2, HOXA3, HOXA5, HOXA6, HOXA7, HOXA9, HOXA10, HOXA11, EVX1 |
| 8    | 22,121,802 | 22,651,589 | 529.8       | 0.602     | TMEM120B, RHOF, SETD1B, HPD, PSMD9, WDR66, BCL7A, MLXIP, IL31, LRRC43, B3GNT4, DIABLO, CLIP1 |
| 11   | 24,134,125 | 24,833,366 | 699.2       | 0.727     | COP22, CBX1, SNX11, HOXB1, HOXB2, HOXB3, HOXB5, HOXB6, HOXB7, HOXB8, HOXB13, TTL6, CALCCOCO2 |
| 22   | 45,518,067 | 46,096,341 | 578.3       | 0.522     | ZNF831, EDN3, PHACTR3                      |
| 23   | 27,658,254 | 28,189,490 | 531.2       | 0.522     | UHRF2, GLDC, KD4M4C                       |
| 30   | 47,813,530 | 54,652,214 | 683.7       | 0.522     | KIF26B, SMYD3                             |
and did not exceed 15%. Genomic inbreeding, as described by $F_{ROH}$ in the Lipizzan breed ($F_{ROH} = 0.13$) was lower than expected from long-term stud farm breeding at small census and it is comparable to values found in the Austrian Haflinger (0.13), the Slovenian Haflinger (0.12) and the Bosnian Mountain Horse (0.14) [15, 16]. Higher levels of $F_{ROH}$ were identified in Shagya Arabians ($F_{ROH} = 0.16$) and Purebred Arabians ($F_{ROH} = 0.18$), two breeds characterized by early closure of stud books [15]. The length of ROHs is expected to correlate to ancient and recent inbreeding due to number of recombination events. Thus, recent inbreeding events result in longer ROHs as only a few identical by descent (IBD) segments can be broken down by repeated meiosis. According to Browning and Browning [17] and Thompson [18], ROHs of a length of 16.6, 10.0 and 5.0 Mb are assumed to origin from common ancestors back in the 3rd, 5th and 10th generations (6, 10 and 20 meioses respectively). Considering a mean generation interval of 10 years in Lipizzan horses, the origin of ROHs in length class of < 2 Mb can be dated to the foundation period of this breed (foundation time 1580 AC). We observed $F_{ROH}$ values calculated based upon ROHs shorter than 2 Mb ranging from 5.6 to 7.1%. $F_{ROH > 10Mb}$ was absent in Slovakian Lipizzans and tended toward zero in Croatian and Hungarian horses, indicating minimal recent inbreeding within the past five generations [18, 19]. In the Austrian population $F_{ROH > 10Mb}$ reached 1.1%. These results demonstrated that it is feasible to minimize the increase of inbreeding in populations limited in size and closed stud books. The ROH profile illustration for the Austrian Lipizzan revealed a strong right shift and a higher variance typical for consanguineous and bottlenecked populations.

Fig. 5 ROH distribution on ECA8 for the entire Lipizzan sample containing one private ROH island for the Hungarian sample (blue line) and one ROH island for the Austrian sample (red line) in the line plot below.
according to Ceballos et al. [20]. The Slovakian sample was characterized by a similar profile, but at a much lower extend, whereas the Croatian and Hungarian samples showed the effects of small census [20].

The identification of overlapping homozygous regions confirmed a closer genetic relationship between the Hungarian and Croatian samples as well as between the Austrian and Slovakian samples, which was also highlighted within the high-resolution population network. Private islands were detected for the Hungarian sample on ECA8, ECA14, ECA23 and ECA30 and for the Austrian sample on ECA3, ECA5, ECA8, ECA16 and ECA20. Within the Austrian Lipizzans an overlapping 953.3kb long homozygous region on ECA16:37.87–38.82 Mb was found, that contained among 29 annotated genes the two genes ECATH-3 and ECATH-2. Both were described as functional genes encoding Cathecidin-derived antimicrobial peptides, involved in the peptide-based host defence of neutrophils and epithelia in horses [21, 22]. GO analysis for the Austrian Lipizzan gene list highlighted the term GO:0006950~response to stress for [21, 22]. GO analysis for the Austrian Lipizzan gene list based host defence of neutrophils and epithelia in horses [21, 22]. The latter was assigned to four ECATH-2. Within the Austrian Lipizzans an overlapping 953.3kb long homozygous region on ECA16:37.87–38.82 Mb was found, that contained among 29 annotated genes the two genes ECATH-3 and ECATH-2. Both were described as functional genes encoding Cathecidin-derived antimicrobial peptides, involved in the peptide-based host defence of neutrophils and epithelia in horses [21, 22]. GO analysis for the Austrian Lipizzan gene list highlighted the term GO:0006950~response to stress for [21, 22].

38.82 Mb was found, that contained among 29 annotated genes the two genes ECATH-3 and ECATH-2. Both were described as functional genes encoding Cathecidin-derived antimicrobial peptides, involved in the peptide-based host defence of neutrophils and epithelia in horses [21, 22]. GO analysis for the Austrian Lipizzan gene list highlighted the term GO:0006950~response to stress for [21, 22].

The Hungarian sample had a 244.4 long overlapping homozygous region within the Musashi RNA binding protein 2 (MSI2), which is involved in stem cell proliferation [27], haematopoiesis and can promote aggressive myeloid leukaemia in humans [28]. Four of these genes (COL1, SCPEP1, AKAPI, MSI2) were listed among other 121 genes that underwent positive selection during the domestication process of the horse [29].

In general the majority of ROH islands were medium sized (between 100 and 900 kb) to small sized (8–100 kb) according to the classification of Pemperton et al. [30]. Only in the Croatian sample one island on ECA14 was longer than 1 Mb. Most of the short ROH islands did not exceed the length of the contained gene, e.g. the island on ECA3:118.66–118.76 Mb, containing the two genes UV-stimulated scaffold protein A (UVSSA) and macrophage erythroblast attacher (MAEA). UVSSA is involved into the repair process of DNA damaged by ultraviolet (UV) sun rays. These two genes were directly followed by a 83 kb long island containing the gene C-terminal-binding protein 1 (CTBP1), a transcriptional co-repressor. Pemperton et al. [30] emphasized the investigation of short ROHs (<100 kb), as they reflect homozygosity for ancient haplotypes contributing to local linkage disequilibrium (LD) patterns. Intermediary ROHs longer than 100 kb up to 2.5 Mb are deemed to be the result from background relatedness in populations with limited census. Furthermore, Pemperton et al. [30] stated that by the commonly applied windows approach as implemented in PLINK [31], ancient haplotypes and small ROHs due to high recombination rate might remain undetected. With the 500 kb applied boundary we were able to detect very small ROH islands, but SNP extraction revealed, that the frequencies of animals sharing those islands were underestimated, exemplarily demonstrated for the genes MAEA, UVSSA, CTBP1 and the STX17 locus.

Grey coat color, caused by a 4.6 kb duplication in intron 6 of Syntaxin 17 (STX17), is an essential part of the breeding objective in Lipizzan horses and it has been under selection since the past 150 years. The STX17
mutation is embedded into 352 kb long haplotype [13, 32]. The frequency of the identified ROH island (ECA25:6,39-6,79) harbouring the genes STX17, NR4A3, ERP44 and INVS, was in concordance with genotype frequencies cited in Pielberg et al. [13], and ranged from 51.1% (Croatia) to 71.2% (Austria) among the respective subpopulations. In the Austrian breeding program only grey horses are used for reproduction, whilst the Slovakian, Hungarian and Croatian stud farms also permit solid colored horses. As a consequence the proportion of homozygous grey G/G horses is higher in the Austrian population.

The allele status of STX17 influences the progression of greying by age, grade of speckling (pigmentation among grey hair), grade of vitiligo and incidences and grade of melanoma [10–13]. The incidence of melanoma in Lipizzans was reported to affect 80% of the animals older than 15 years [10, 13]. Mostly melanomas in Lipizzans are benign and do not metastasize [33]. A previous study revealed, that the genes STX17, NR4A3, ERP44 and INVS, which are located in the aforementioned ROH island also were expressed in melanoma tissues [13]. Pielberg et al. [13] observed an over-expression of NR4A3 (nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 3), which is influenced by the dominant G-allele. The underlying molecular processes are not clearly understood [34]. Seltenhammer et al. [33] investigated factors retarding metastasis in affected grey Lipizzan horses and revealed common features with blue nevi in humans and identified potential markers for equine melanoma (S-100, PCNA, HMB-45, Ki-67, T-311, CD44). We identified within the entire Lipizzan sample an island on ECA14 containing the genes SPRY4 (Sprouty4) and NDFI1 (Nedd4-family interacting protein 1). SPRY4 is a member of the SPRY proteins, which are negative regulators of growth factor signaling pathways. Thus, SPRY4 inhibits transformed cell growth, migration and invasion, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition [35]. Shaverdashi-vili et al. [36] provided evidence in human melanoma for an inverse relationship between the expression of SPRY4 and Membrane-type 1 Matrix Metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP), one important driver of melanoma metastasis. Inhibition of MT1-MMP resulted in increased expression of SPRY4 and was correlated with the survival of melanoma patients in humans. NDFIP1 also acts as a tumour suppressor by repressing cell proliferation and was reported to be down-regulated in human uveal melanoma [37]. In a previous study Jiang et al. [34] investigated the ERK pathway and studied the effects of BRAF, RAS, GNAQ, GNA11, KIT on melanoma development in grey horses, whereas an association between STX17 and an activation of the ERK pathway was shown, but no activation of the aforementioned genes were observed. In the Austrian Lipizzan sample we identified an island containing the gene HSP90AB1. Metri et al. [38] identified HSP90AB1 as a main discriminator between metastatic and primary melanoma in humans, which also was correlated with survival rate of melanoma patients. In our data GO analysis revealed an enrichment of HSP90AB1 in the terms GO:0069550-respose to stress and GO:0071353-cellular response to interleukin-4.

**Conclusions**

The enhanced high-resolution Netview approach results in a generally understandable visualisation, which is able to simultaneously present fundamental metrics of genetic diversity, coancestry and relatedness on population and individual level. This approach thus provides a high practical impact for conventional and conservation breeding management. The analyses of ROH islands based upon the conventional ROH size boundary of > = 500 kb have proven to be a valuable approach to screen for signatures of selection, also able to detect short homozygous overlapping regions. In order to avoid an underestimation of the frequencies of very short ROHs the underlying size boundary should be adapted in regions of special interest. The investigation of subpopulations within one single breed allows a fine-scale analysis and we demonstrated that slightly different breeding objectives have a high impact on genomic regions and gene content. ROH island analyses identified several annotated genes (SPRY4, NDFIP1, HSP90AB1 and IMPDH2), which may play a role for further studies on equine melanoma.

**Methods**

**Ethics statement**

This study was discussed and approved by the institutional Commission for Ethics and Animal Welfare, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, protocol number: ETK-06/05/2015, in accordance with GSP guidelines and national legislation. The Lipizzan state stud farms Piber (Austria), Topoľčianky (Slovakia), Lipik, Đakovo (Croatia) and Szilvasvárad (Hungary) granted the permission to take hair samples from their horses.

**Sampling**

The horses included in this study originated from five European state stud farms, which are located in four different countries. The Austrian and the Slovakian samples represent the entire breeding populations of the stud farm of Piber (Austria, 254 horses) and Topoľčianky (Slovakia, 55 horses). The Croatian Lipizzans were sampled from the state stud farms Lipik (8 horses) and Đakovo (37 horses), whilst 23 Lipizzan horses were collected from the Hungarian state stud farm Szilvasvárad.
The hair samples were taken between the years 2013 and 2017 and the selected horses were born between 1989 and 2014. For Austria and Slovakia we were able to collect the whole stud farm populations. The breeding stock of the Croatian stud farms comprises together approximately 120 breeding animals, the number in the Hungarian state stud farm is on a similar level.

SNP genotyping

The single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotypes for the 377 horses were determined using the Affymetrix Axiom™ Equine genotyping array [14]. The chromosomal position of the SNPs was derived from EquCab2.0 reference genome. We excluded SNPs positioned on the sex chromosomes (X: 28,017 SNPs and Y: 1 SNP), SNPs without known chromosomal position (30,864 SNPs) and SNPs with more than 10% missing genotypes, resulting in a total of 611,914 SNPs for each horse. For the analysis of population structure and genetic diversity we excluded SNPs with a minor allele frequency (MAF) less than 0.01, resulting in 492,719 SNPs per horse.

Genetic diversity and ROH analysis

In order to illustrate the population structure we applied Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on basis of the genetic relationship matrix (G) with pairwise identities by state (IBS) between horses as provided by PLINK v.1.7 [31]. The PCA was performed using R platform, whilst pairwise $F_{ST}$ values were calculated with the R package Geneland (https://rdrr.io/cran/Geneland).

Population clustering and admixture analysis were determined using the program Admixture 1.23 [39]. We ran Admixture for 100 iterations increasing $K$ from 2 to 10. Convergence between independent runs at the same $K$ was monitored by comparing the resulting log-likelihood scores (LLs) following 100 iterations, and was inferred from stabilized LLs with less than 1 LL unit of variation between runs. Cross validation (CV) error estimation for each $K$ was performed to determine the optimal number of clusters. Admixture results were visualized with the program Distruct 1.1 [40] and integrated in the high-resolution network following the procedure of Druml et al. [15].

ROH were determined with an overlapping window approach implemented in PLINK v1.7 [31] based on the settings: minimum SNP density = one SNP per 50 kb; maximum gap length = 100 kb; minimum length of homozygous segment > 500 kb (including more than 80 homozygous SNPs); one heterozygote and two missing genotypes were permitted within each segment.

The total number of ROH ($N_{ROH}$), average length of ROH ($L_{ROH}$) and the sum of all ROH segments ($S_{ROH}$) were summarized according to respective subpopulations. To analyze ROH length classes, ROHs were divided into following seven length categories: $0.5–1$, $1–2$, $>2–4$, $>4–6$, $>6–8$, $>8–10$ and $>10$ Mb. The genomic inbreeding coefficients ($F_{ROH}$) were calculated by use of following formula:

$$F_{ROH} = \sum \frac{L_{ROH}}{L_{AUTO}}$$

whereas the length of the autosomal genome ($L_{AUTO}$), was set to 2243 GB. Statistical analyses and graphical representations of specific genomic regions and distribution of ROH segments were performed using the R-package detectROHs (www.r-project.org) and SAS v.9.1. [41].

High-resolution population networks

In order to ascertain the high-resolution population structure of Lipizzan horses, we performed a network visualization based upon the aforementioned IBS derived relationship matrix (G), following the description of Druml et al. [15]. The different components involved in the so-called NetView approach are described in detail by Neuditschko et al. [42] and Steining et al. [43]. Briefly, we computed genetic distances by subtracting pairwise relationships from 1 and applied the algorithm in its default setting (number of $k$ nearest neighbors $k$-NN = 10). To illustrate the genetic relatedness between neighboring horses, we associated the thickness of edges (connecting lines) with the proportion of the genetic distance, whilst thicker edges corresponding to lower genetic distances. To identify highly inbred and outcrossed horses within the respective population networks we scaled the node size of each horse based on the individual $S_{ROH}$. The node colors of each horse represent the individual level of admixture at the selected number of $K$-clusters, whilst the node border colors illustrate the origin of the horses.

Gene characterization in ROH islands

The distribution of ROH segments across the genome was visualized using the R-package detect ROHs (www.r-project.org). Putative ROH islands were determined based upon overlapping homozygous regions, which were shared by more than 50% of the horses. ROH islands were calculated for the entire data sample and respective subpopulations. Genes located in ROH regions were identified with the map viewer of the equine ensemble database EquCab2.0 (www.ensembl.org) and Gene Ontology (GO) terms and KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathways of annotated genes were analyzed using the open source Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) v6.8 package (https://david.ncifcrf.gov) using the Equus caballus annotation file as background [44].
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ADRM1: Prostateal ubiqutin receptor ADRM1; AKAP1: A-kinase anchoring protein 1; ALS2CL: ALS2 C-terminal-like protein; ARHGAP26: Rho GTPase activating protein 26; ARHGEF4: Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor 4; ARH2: Aranide RBR E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 2; ATIP: ATR interacting protein; B3GNT4: UDP-GlcNAc:betaGal beta-1,3-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase 4; BCL7A: BAF chromatin remodelling complex subunit BCL7A; bp: Base pair; B RAF: B-Raf proto-oncogene, serine/threonine kinase; CH1170f6: Chromosome 11 C1170f6 homolog; C16H49orf48: Chromosome 16 H17orf48 homolog; CABLES2: CDX5 and AB1 enzyme substrate 2; CAPN1: Calpain-11; CBX1: Chromobox 1; CDDC12: Coiled-coil domain-containing protein 12; CCDSC1: Coiled-coil domain-containing 51; CDCC27: Coiled-coil domain containing 71; CDH: Cadherin; CEL59: Cadherin EGF LAG seven-pass G-type receptor; CH1: Chromosomal Helicase DNA Binding Protein 1 like; CLIP1: CAP-Gly domain containing linker protein 1; COLN: Coillin; COR22: Coatomer Protein Complex Subunit Zeta 2; CTBP1: C-Terminal Binding Protein 1; CTDP1: CTD Phosphatase Subunit 1; CV: Cross validation; DALR03: DALR anticonod binding domain containing 3; DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery; DELE1: DAF3 binding cell death enhancer 1; DGG: Dicalcylceroid kinase epsilon; DIAF2: DIAF2 interacting binding mitochondrial protein; ECA11: Equine chromosome 11; ECA14: Equine chromosome 14; ECA16: Equine chromosome 16; ECA18: Equine chromosome 18; ECA20: Equine chromosome 20; ECA25: Equine chromosome 25; ECA3: Equine chromosome 3; ECA30: Equine chromosome 30; ECA5: Equine chromosome 5; ECA8: Equine chromosome 8; ECA7: Myeloid cationidin; ECAT3: Myeloid cationidin 3; EDN3: Endothelin 3; EqCab2D: Equus caballus reference genome version 2; ERK: Extracellular-signal-regulated kinase; ERPP4: Endoplasmic reticulum protein 44; EVK: Even-skipped homebox 1; EGF1: Fibroblast growth factor 1; FMOS: Flavin Containing Monoxygenase 5; FSCC: Inbreeding coefficient based upon ROH; FST: Fixation coefficient; FSTL4: Fixation coefficient; FSTL4: Follistatin like 4; G: Genetic relationship matrix; GATA5: Transcription factor GATA-5; GLDC: Glycerol decarboxylase; GNA1: Glucosamine 6-phosphate N-acetyltransferase; GNAQ: G protein subunit alpha q; GNAQA1: Glucosamine-6-phosphate deaminase 1; GO: Gene ontology; HIBADH: 3-Hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase, mitochondrial; HOX1A: Homeobox A1; HOX1A10: Homeobox A10; HOX6A11: Homeobox A11; HOX6A2: Homeobox A2; HOX6A3: Homeobox A3; HOX6A5: Homeobox A5; HOX6A6: Homeobox A6; HOX6A7: Homeobox A7; HOX6A9: Homeobox A9; HOX8: Homeobox B; HOX8B: Homeobox B1; HOX8B3: Homeobox B3; HOX8B2: Homeobox B2; HOX8B3: Homeobox B3; HOX8B5: Homeobox B5; HOX8B6: Homeobox B6; HOX8B7: Homeobox B7; HOX8B8: Homeobox B8; HPO: 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvic acid dehydrogenase; HRH3: Histamine H3 receptor; HSP90A1: Equus caballus heat shock protein 90 alpha family class B member 1; IBS: Identity by state; IL31: Interleukin 31; IMPDH2: Inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase 2; INVS: Inversion; IP6K2: Inositol hexakisphosphate kinase 2; K: Cluster; Kib: Kibobase; KDM5C: Lysine demethylase 4C, KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; KF268: Kinesin family member 26B; KIT: Kit proto-oncogene, receptor-type tyrosine kinase; KUD000B: Kelch domain containing 88; LAMAS: Laminin subunit alpha-5; LAMBD: Laminin subunit beta-2; LD: Linkage disequilibrium; LRC43: Leucine rich repeat containing 43; LSM14B: LSM family member 14B; MADA: Macrophage Endothelial Activator mating; MAP: Minor allele frequency; MB: Megabase; MLXIP: MLX interacting protein; MS2: Musashi RNA binding protein 2; mtDNA: Mitochondrial deoxyribonucleic acid; MTG2: Mitochondrial ribosome-associated GTPase; NCKXP5D: NCK interacting protein with SH3 domain; NDFIP1: Ndf4 family interacting protein 1; NDOF3A: NAD ubiquinone oxidoreductase complex assembly factor 3; NXKFB: NF-kappa-B inhibitor epsilon; NME5: NME/NM23 nucleoside diphosphate kinase 6; NRMA3: Nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 3; NMD: Kilobase; OMA: Online Mendelian Inheritance in Animal; OMMIA: Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man; OSBPL2: Ocular-binding protein-related protein 2; PARH1: Poly(ADP- ribose) 4-hydrolase; Transmembrane, PC: Principal component; PCA: Principal component analysis; PCDH1: Protocadherin 1; PCDH12: Protocadherin 12; PFKF4: 6-Phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase 4; PHACTR3: Phosphatase and actin regulator 3; PLEKHB2: Pleckstrin homology domain-containing family B member 2; PLXNA1: Plexin A1; PLXNA2: Protein kinase AAMP-activated non-catalytic subunit beta 2; PSMA7: Prostate subunit alpha type-7; PSMD9: Proteasome subunit non-ATPase 9; QARS: Glycaminyl-TRNA synthetase; QCH1: Glutaminyl rich 1; RAS: Resistance to audiogenic seizures; RBBP8NL: RBBP8 N-terminal-like protein; RHOF: Ras homolog family member F; filipodisa associated; RNF14: Ring finger protein 14; ROH: Runs of homozygosity; SCPEP1: Serine carboxypeptidase 1; SD: Standard deviation; SETD1B: SET domain containing 1B, histone lysine methyltransferase; SETD2: Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase SETD2; SLSA5: Shisa family member 5; SLC26A6: Solute carrier family member 26; SLC29A1: Solute carrier family member 29; SLC38A2: Solute carrier family member 42; SMYD3: SET and MYND domain containing 3; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism; SNX1: Sorting nexin 11; SPRY4: Sprouty4; SSSC: Mean genome coverage of ROH; SSL18L1: SSL18L1 subunit of BAF chromatin remodeling complex; STX17: Syntaxin 17; TAP4: Transcription initiation factor TFIID subunit 4; TCE1: T-complex-associated-testis-expressed 1; TMEM128B: Transmembrane protein 1208; TMEM89: Transmembrane protein 89; TMIE: Transmembrane inner ear expressed protein; TREX1: Three prime repair exonuclease 1; TTL6: Tubulin tyrosine ligase like 6; UCN2: Urocortin 2; UHRF2: Ubiquitin like with PHD and RING finger domains; UQCRC1: Ubiquitin-cytochrome c reductase core protein 1; USP19: Ubiquitin specific peptidase 19; UV: Ultraviolet; UVOSA: UV stimulated Scaffeld Protein A; WD6D: WD repeat domain 6; WD6D: WD repeat domain 66; ZNF831: Zinc finger protein 831
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