Isolation and characterization of post-splicing lariat–intron complexes
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ABSTRACT

Pre-mRNA splicing occurs in a large complex spliceosome. The steps of both spliceosome assembly and splicing reaction have been extensively analyzed, and many of the factors involved have been identified. However, the post-splicing intron turnover process, especially in vertebrates, remains to be examined. In this paper, we developed a two-tag affinity purification method for purifying lariat intron RNA–protein complexes obtained from an in vitro splicing reaction. Glycerol gradient sedimentation analyses revealed that there are at least two forms of post-splicing intron complexes, which we named the ‘Intron Large (IL)’ and the ‘Intron Small (IS)’ complexes. The IL complex contains U2, U5 and U6 snRNAs and other protein splicing factors, whereas the IS complex contains no such U snRNAs or proteins. We also showed that TFIP11, a human homolog of yeast Ntr1, is present in the IL complex and the TFIP11 mutant protein, which lacks the interaction domain with hPrp43 protein, caused accumulation of the IL complex and reduction of IS complex formation in vitro. Taken together, our results strongly suggest that TFIP11 in cooperation with hPrp43 mediates the transition from the IL complex to the IS complex, leading to efficient debranching and turnover of excised introns.

INTRODUCTION

Nuclear pre-mRNA splicing takes place in a large RNA–protein complex (RNP) that is termed ‘spliceosome’. Spliceosome is composed of U1, U2, U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs and a large number of non-snRNP proteinaceous splicing factors (1,2). Spliceosome assembly has been extensively analyzed by in vitro splicing systems using extracts from HeLa and Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells (1). In both systems, spliceosome formation proceeds by a sequential assembly of several intermediate complexes. In mammals the intermediate complexes are termed H, E, A, B, B’ and C (1). In the first step, the naked pre-mRNA associates with heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs), which are abundant nuclear RNA-binding proteins, to form the H complex. Then, U1 snRNP recognizes and binds to a conserved 5’ splice site in an ATP-independent manner to give the E complex. Subsequently, U2 snRNP stably binds to a branch point sequence in an ATP-dependent manner forming the A complex. Then, preformed tri-snRNP (U4/U6 and U5) joins the A complex giving rise to the B complex. Subsequently, the B complex is activated through RNP rearrangements leading to the displacement or destabilization of U1 and U4 snRNPs from the spliceosome (3,4). The resultant B’ spliceosome, which is also called the activated spliceosome, catalyzes the first step reaction, during which the branch-point adenosine attacks the 5’ splice site, generating a cleaved 5’ exon and intron–3’ exon lariat intermediates (3). As a result of the above reaction, the C1 complex is formed and mediates catalysis of the second step of splicing via ATP hydrolysis (1,5). This results in the formation of the C2 complex, which contains ligated exons and excised lariat introns (6). C1 and C2 complexes are often collectively called the ‘C complex’.

In addition to U snRNAs and their specific proteins, a large number of non-snRNP proteins are associated with spliceosome and play essential roles in splicing. In order to learn about the dynamics of the spliceosome’s proteome, mass spectrometric (MS) studies of the spliceosomal complexes isolated at defined stages have been performed (1). The complexes analyzed to date include the H, A, BΔU1 (B complex lacking U1 snRNP), B, B’ and C1.
Interaction stimulates Prp43

Ntr1 contains a G-patch domain at its N-terminal region, the trimeric complex is termed the ‘NTR complex’ (17).

Recently it has been shown that two splicing factors, Ntr1 and Ntr2, in the intron-containing RNP (15,16). Recently it has been shown that two splicing factors, Ntr1 and Ntr2, in the form of a stable dimer, associate with Prp43. The resultant trimeric complex is termed the ‘NTR complex’ (17).

Ntr1 contains a G-patch domain at its N-terminal region, which is responsible for its interaction with Prp43, and this interaction stimulates Prp43’s helicase activity in vitro (17,18). The NTR complex is functional in catalyzing the disassembly of the spliceosome (17). After dissociation of U snRNPs and other splicing factors from the lariat intron, the intron is linearized by a lariat intron debranching enzyme, DBR1, before being degraded (19).

In humans, most of the genes encoded in the nucleus contain multiple introns, which occupy 95% of the protein-coding primary transcripts (20,21). These introns are excised from pre-mRNAs by splicing as a lariat form and get degraded in the nucleus (19). If the excised introns are not processed properly in the nucleus, the splicing factors become trapped on the excised introns and the splicing reaction is negatively affected. The inhibition of intron degradation into mononucleotides might cause a reduction in the amount of free nucleotides, which could result in transcription defects. Moreover, in vertebrates, guide small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs), which mediate ribosomal RNA modifications, are encoded in the introns of some genes. These snoRNAs are known to be processed from the excised introns in coordination with the splicing process (22). The loss of functional snoRNAs leads to production of unmodified rRNAs, which reduces ribosome processivity. Therefore, it is likely that the post-splicing intron turnover process is extremely important in vertebrates.

Although no study has focused on the post-splicing intron turnover process in mammals, the homologs of the factors described above in yeast have been identified in mammals. HRH1 (Human RNA Helicases 1), a human homolog of yeast Prp22 protein, mediates the release of spliced mRNA from the spliceosome (23,24). Homologs of Prp43 have also been identified in mice and humans (25,26). Moreover, human and mouse DBR1 proteins, which show a high sequence homology to the yeast protein, were demonstrated to complement the yeast dbr mutant (27,28). Recently it has been shown that human Ntr1 like protein, which is also called Tuftelin Interacting Protein 11 (TFIP11), interacts with factors involved in DNA double-strand break repair and telomere metabolism (29). However, the function of TFIP11 in splicing has not been investigated. To date, no human homologs of NTR2 have been reported.

In this report, we developed a purification system for excised intron RNPs obtained via in vitro splicing reactions using two affinity tags. Glycerol gradient sedimentation experiments revealed that there are two different forms of post-splicing intron complexes. One is a 40S form with U2, U5 and U6 snRNPs, which was termed the ‘IL (Intron Large) complex’, and the other is a 20S form, which was termed the ‘IS (Intron Small) complex’.

We investigated the protein composition of these complexes by MS and western blotting and found stable associations of several human Prp19 complex factors with the IL complex, but none with the IS complex. SF3a/3b proteins, which are 17S U2 snRNP associated factors, did not stably associate with the IL complex although U2 snRNA and its specific protein U2B” were present in the complex. The IS complex contained none of the tested U snRNAs and protein factors.

Furthermore, we found that the TFIP11/hNtr1 protein is stably present in the IL complex and that it recruits the hPrp43 protein to the IL complex through interactions mediated by its N-terminal G-patch region. Overexpression of the mutant TFIP11 protein, which lacks the G-patch domain caused the accumulation of IL complex and a reduction in IS complex formation. We also demonstrated that the lariat intron in the IS complex is more susceptible to debranching reaction by hDBR1 than that in the IL complex. Our results strongly suggest that the hPrp43–TFIP11 complex catalyzes the transition of the IL complex to the IS complex leading to efficient debranching and subsequent degradation of the lariat intron during the post-splicing intron turnover process.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmid construction**

For the construction of the pô-crystallin MS2 plasmid, a DNA fragment containing three copies of the MS2 binding site was generated as described previously (30) although we attached SacI sites at both ends of the fragment. One copy of this fragment was inserted into the SacI site between the 5′ splice site and the branch adenosine of the pSP14-15 plasmid (23). For the construction of the pAd2-MS2 plasmid, three copies of the DNA fragment that contained the SacII site at both ends were inserted into the SacII site of the pAd2 plasmid (31). To prepare plasmids for expressing Flag-tagged proteins in HEK293T cells, full-length TFIP11 and hDBR1 cDNAs were amplified by the PCR reaction from the Marathon ready HeLa cDNA library (Clontech) and were cloned into the
EcoRI/XhoI sites and BamHI/XhoI sites of the Flag-pCDNA3 (32), respectively. hDBR1 mutant cDNA (NA) containing a point mutation in its GNHE motif (33) was also prepared by PCR-based mutagenesis using Flag-hDBR1 plasmid as a template. The oligonucleotides used for this mutagenesis were as follows: forward primer: 5’-ATGGGCTCCCCAATGAGACGGTG-3’, reverse primer: 5’-GAAGCCTCAATATCATTGCAAGAG-3’. TFIP11 mutant cDNA (ΔN50) was also prepared by PCR amplification using specific primers (forward primer: 5’-AAAAGATTCCTCAAGATGCGTCCCTGGAGCCTG-3’ and reverse primer: 5’-AACTCGAGTCACCTGGCCATGTCGATCAGGCTC-3’) and the Flag-TFIP11 plasmid as a template.

For production of GST-MS2 and GST-hDBR1 protein expression plasmids, PCR-amplified MS2 and hDBR1 cDNAs were inserted between the BamHI and XhoI sites of pCDNA3 (32), respectively. hDBR1 mutant cDNA EcoRI/XhoI sites and BamHI/XhoI sites of the Flag-hDBR1 plasmid as a template. The oligonucleotides used for this mutagenesis were as follows: forward primer: 5’-ATGGGCTCCCCAATGAGACGGTG-3’, reverse primer: 5’-GAAGCCTCAATATCATTGCAAGAG-3’.

**In vitro transcription, splicing and MS2 affinity purification of intron RNP**

The *in vitro* transcription reaction was usually performed at 37°C for 120 min using MEGAScript (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The preparation of immobilized pre-mRNA containing a biotin-labeled tag was performed as described previously (34). Briefly, 1 pmol of biotinylated pre-mRNA was incubated with 10 μl of Dynabeads m-280 magnetic streptavidin beads (Invitrogen) in 20 μl of IP1000 buffer [20 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.9), 1000 mM KCl and 0.05% Triton X-100]. Prior to the splicing assay, the immobilized substrate beads were washed twice with 400 μl IP1000 buffer and then with IP150 buffer [20 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.9), 150 mM KCl and 0.05% Triton X-100].

The tethered pre-mRNA was then bound to 1.5 μg of GST-MS2 protein and was incubated with 100 μl of HeLa cell nuclear extracts under splicing conditions (23). The reaction mixture was incubated for 80 min at 30°C while being rocked for 10 s every 10 min using Thermomixer comfort (Eppendorf). After incubation, the resin was precipitated using a magnetic stand to recover the supernatant. Heparin (Sigma) was then added to a final concentration of 0.5 μg/ml, and the resultant mixture was further incubated for 5 min at 30°C. Next, the mixture was incubated with 20 μl of glutathione beads for 1 h at 4°C with rotating, being washed four times with 500 μl IP150 buffer and subsequently eluted with elution buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH pH7.9, 100 mM KCl, 0.6% sarcosyl, 10% glycerol, 0.1% NP-40, 0.1 M EDTA and 1 mM DTT). For the glyceral dehydrogenases assays, the supernatant of the biotin-precipitated splicing mixture was subjected to 10–30% glyceral gradient solution (20 mM HEPES-KOH pH7.9, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT and 10–30% glycerol) and was centrifuged at 40,000 r.p.m. for 3 h with an SW41 rotor (Beckman-Coulter). The fractions were recovered using a Biocomp gradient station (Biocomp).

**Antibodies and western blotting**

The antibodies used for western blotting are listed below, and they were obtained from the companies shown in parentheses. Anti-Flag M2 antibody (SIGMA); anti-hPrp19, hPrp43 and U5-116k (Bethyl Laboratories, Inc.); anti- Xab2 (GENETYX); anti-U5-220k (Santa Cruz); anti-SF3b130 and SF3a60 (Abcam); anti-U2B’ (PROGEN); anti-SF3b155 (MBL); and SF3a120 (Synaptic Systems). The antibody against IBP160 was a kind gift from Dr. Tetsuro Hirose. The anti-TFIP11 antibody was kindly provided by Dr. Michael Paine. The anti-hPrp43 antibody was a generous gift from Dr. Reinhard Luhrmann. The anti-hDBR1 antibody was prepared by immunizing two rabbits with GST-tagged hDBR1. Immunization and preparation of antisera were performed by SIGMA.

Western blotting was carried out as described previously (31) except that we used ECL and ECL advance systems (GE Healthcare) for the detection. The signals were obtained and analyzed by a LAS3000mini (Fuji Film, Japan).

**Northern blotting analysis**

RNA samples were separated by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and were transferred to hybond N+ membranes (GE Healthcare). The oligonucleotides used to prepare probes for U1, U2, U4, U5 and U6 snRNAs are described elsewhere (35). The preparation of [32P]-labeled oligonucleotide probes was carried out with T4 polynucleotide kinase (TOYOBO) as the manufacturer recommends. Hybridization with oligonucleotide DNA probes was performed in PERFECTHYB PLUS (Sigma).

**In vitro protein-binding experiments**

The TFIP11 and TFIP11ΔN proteins were produced by *in vitro* transcription–translation using a TNT kit (Promega) in rabbit reticulocyte lysate in the presence of [35S]-methionine (GE Healthcare) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. One microgram each of purified His-GST and His-hPrp43 proteins were immobilized on 20 μl Ni-NTA beads (Qiagen) in PBS for 1 h at 4°C. The resin was washed with 500 μl of binding buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40 and 20 mM Imidazole). The *in vitro* translated products were added and incubated with these immobilized proteins at 4°C for 1 h. After binding, the beads were washed with 500 μl of binding buffer five times, and the bound fraction was analyzed by SDS–PAGE and visualized by fluorography.

**Mass-spectrometric analysis**

Mass-spectrometric identification of proteins was performed as previously described (36). Briefly, after SDS–PAGE, the proteins were visualized by silver staining and excised separately from gels, followed by in-gel digestion...
with trypsin (Promega) in a buffer containing 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (pH 8.0) and 2% acetonitrile overnight at 37°C. Molecular mass analyses of tryptic peptides were performed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/MS) using an Ultraflex TOF/TOF (Bruker Daltonics). Proteins were identified by comparing the molecular weights determined by MALDI-TOF/MS and the theoretical peptide masses of proteins registered in the NCBInr.

Immunoprecipitation of RNAs and proteins

For immunoprecipitation of RNA from the in vitro splicing reaction, 1 μg of anti-hPrp43 antibody (Bethyl), 1 μg of anti-GST antibody (Nakalai Tesque) for mock precipitation or 1 μg of anti-Flag M2 (Sigma) were bound to Protein A-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) on a rotating platform for 1 h at 4°C. After the in vitro splicing reaction, the mixture was diluted by a factor of 10 with IP150 buffer, centrifuged at 14 000 r.p.m. for 15 min at 4°C, and the resultant supernatant was used for incubation with the antibody-bound beads for 1 h at 4°C. After the precipitation, the beads were washed five times with 400 μl of IP150 buffer and incubated in 100 μl of HomoMix (50 mM Tris at pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA, 1.5% SDS, 300 mM NaCl and 1.5 mg/ml Proteinase K) for 30 min at 50°C to elute RNA. Eluted RNAs were extracted with phenol/chloroform and precipitated by ethanol precipitation. The recovered RNAs were analyzed by 6% denaturing PAGE.

For immunoprecipitation of Flag-tagged proteins, nuclear extract from HEK293T cells that were transiently expressing Flag-tagged proteins was prepared (37), and the reaction mixture was incubated with 15 μl of M2 affinity agarose (Sigma). The beads were washed five times with 400 μl of IP150 buffer and eluted with SDS sample buffer. The eluted proteins were analyzed by 12% SDS–PAGE. RNase A (Nakalai Tesque, Japan) was added to a final concentration of 1 μg/ml.

Recombinant protein preparation

GST-MS2 protein was overexpressed in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) codonplus cells (Stratagene). Induction was carried out at 20°C overnight. Proteins were purified according to the manufacturer's instructions, and the eluate was then purified with a Mono-Q column (GE Healthcare).

For His-hPrp43 protein, the generation of recombinant bacmids, their transfection into Sf9 cells and purification of his-tagged proteins were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

For Flag-tagged proteins, whole-cell lysates from HEK293T cells were prepared from transfected cells as previously described (38). Flag-tagged proteins were purified from whole-cell lysates by using anti Flag-M2 affinity resin (SIGMA) as described previously (39).

RESULTS

The development of an intron RNP purification system from in vitro splicing reaction mixture

In order to analyze the post-splicing intron turnover pathway, attempts were made to isolate the post-splicing intron complex from the in vitro splicing reaction. We employed a two-affinity tag purification method (Figure 1A). As the first affinity-tag, we used biotin residues. Biotin-labeled oligo DNA was ligated directly onto the 3' end of a pre-mRNA derived from chicken δ-crystallin gene by the use of T4 DNA ligase as described previously (34). This pre-mRNA also contained three copies of phage MS2 coat protein-binding sites in its intron. The pre-mRNA was tethered to streptavidin magnetic beads and then bound to GST-MS2 protein. The tethered pre-mRNA was incubated with HeLa cell nuclear extracts under splicing conditions, and the beads were precipitated after the incubation. Since pre-mRNA, mRNA and lariat intermediates all contain biotin residues in their 3' regions, these RNAs were expected to remain bound to the beads. The 5'exon RNA should also be precipitated with the beads through its interaction with the lariat intermediate in the spliceosome (34). Therefore, only the lariat intron that had been released from the spliceosome was anticipated to be in the supernatant (Figure 1A). The lariat complex in the supernatant was further purified by a pull-down assay with glutathione beads.

The RNA products in each isolation step were analyzed by denaturing PAGE (Figure 1B). The immobilized pre-mRNA was spliced efficiently, and the majority of the splicing products were bound to the beads (Figure 1B, lane 2). In contrast, only lariat intron RNA was detected in the supernatant fraction (lane 3). This lariat RNA was efficiently recovered with glutathione beads (lane 4, about 15% was recovered).

We next analyzed the composition of U snRNAs in this purified fraction. Northern blotting analysis using probes against five spliceosomal U snRNAs revealed that U2, U5 and U6 snRNAs were present in much higher concentrations in the post-splicing intron complex than in the control fraction, which was prepared without the addition of exogenous pre-mRNA (Figure 1C, compare lanes 2 and 3). On the other hand, the levels of U1 and U4 snRNAs in this complex were not higher than those of the control (Figure 1C, lanes 2 and 3). These results are consistent with previous results showing that U1 and U4 snRNAs are not stably associated with late spliceosomes (40). We also employed Adenovirus Major Late (AdML) pre-mRNA (31) in the same system and obtained very similar results (data not shown). Due to these results, we concluded that our method was capable of purifying the post-splicing intron complex that is produced by the in vitro splicing reaction.

Identification of the two forms of lariat–intron RNPs by glycerol density gradient sedimentation analysis

We further analyzed the post-splicing intron complex by glycerol gradient sedimentation. The supernatant of the streptavidin beads precipitation (the same fraction as in
Figure 1B, lane 3) was subjected to glycerol gradient centrifugation, and the distribution of the lariat intron across the gradient was analyzed. The results demonstrated that there were at least two peak fractions for the lariat intron (Figure 2A, lanes 4 and 8). The lighter fraction was about 20S, while the heavier fraction was 40S. A lariat intron lacking its 3′ tail was mainly detected in the lighter fraction. These results suggested that two different complexes containing lariat RNA were present in the supernatant of the streptavidin beads precipitation. We designated the two complexes IL and IS complexes.

To analyze the composition of the two intron complexes, affinity-selection with glutathione beads was performed with each gradient fraction, and bound intron–RNPs were recovered (Figure 2B). For unknown reasons, the lariat intron RNA was most efficiently recovered from the fifth fraction (Figure 2B, lane 5). The efficiency of GST-MS2 pull down could have been affected by multiple factors. The complex in the fifth fraction might have been more accessible or more stably bound by GST-MS2 protein than that in the fourth fraction. This might mean that two types of complexes exist in fractions 4–6.

Northern blotting analysis revealed that U2, U5 and U6 snRNAs were more concentrated in the IL complex fractions, than in the control fractions (compare Figure 2C with D). On the other hand, the IS complex contained no such U snRNAs. Instead, the IS complex contained some U1 snRNA (Figure 2C, lane 5). However, U1 cannot be a general component of the IS complex since U1 snRNA was not detected in the IS complex when we employed the AdML-MS2 pre-mRNA substrate (Figure S1). Taken together, these results indicate that there were at least two different post-splicing intron complexes in the released supernatant fraction. The heavier IL complex is about 40S and contains U2, U5 and U6 snRNAs, whereas the smaller IS complex is about 20S and is free of spliceosomal U snRNAs.

**Identification of protein factors in the lariat–intron RNPs**

To obtain information regarding the protein composition of the human intron complexes, proteins in the affinity-purified intron complex fraction were separated by SDS–PAGE (Figure 3A, ‘lariat’ lane). Note that the glycerol gradient separation procedure was not employed in this experiment. As a control, gel-purified linear intron RNA was incubated with HeLa nuclear extracts, and the identical affinity selection procedures were performed (Figure 3A, ‘control’ lane). By using this control RNA, we should be able to recognize proteins that bind to the intronic RNA sequence without splicing reaction.
Proteins that bind to the lariat–intron through splicing reaction would be anticipated to be enriched in the lariat–intron complex as compared to the linear-intron complex. The protein bands that appeared to be enriched in the 'lariat' lane were excised from the gel and analyzed by MS (Figure 3A). Several splicing factors, such as U2 and U5 snRNP specific proteins (SF3b130, U5-116K), hPrp19 complex proteins (IBP160, Xab2, and hPrp19), hPrp43 and some hnRNP proteins (hnRNP A2/B1, I and U) as well as other proteins, were identified (Figure 3A). Among the identified proteins, Tuftelin interacting protein 11 (TFIP11) and hMtr4 (also known as MTRF1) were specifically enriched in the lariat–intron complex.
as fSAP118) were reported to be present in the spliceosome (1,41), but their roles in splicing have not been clarified. The affinity-purified fraction that was used for the MS analysis should be a mixture of the IL and IS complexes since the glycerol gradient separation procedure was not employed.

In order to confirm that the identified proteins are indeed associated with post-splicing intron complexes, western blotting analyses were performed using antibodies against some of the identified proteins. The in vitro splicing reaction was carried out with either δ-MS2 (Figure 3B) or AdML-MS2 (Figure S1B) pre-mRNA and the released lariat–intron complexes (supernatant) were separated by glycerol gradient sedimentation. Subsequently, affinity selection by glutathione beads was performed for each glycerol gradient fraction, and the affinity-selected fractions were used for the western blotting analyses. The results indicate that hPrp19 complex proteins such as hPrp19, Xab2 and IBP160 are present in the IL complex. U5 snRNP specific proteins such as U5-220k and U5-116k, and U2 snRNP core protein U2B′′ were also found in the IL complex fraction. This is consistent with the result in Figure 2B, which shows the presence of U2 and U5 snRNAs in the IL complex. On the other hand, no components of the SF3a/3b complex were stably detected in the IL complex (Figure 3B, SF3b155 and 130, SF3a120 and 60), although SF3b130 was identified by MS analysis (Figure 3A). This suggests that the SF3a/3b complex has dissociated prior to IL complex formation (see 'Discussion' section). TFIP11 was also detected in the IL complex but not in the IS complex (Figure 3B and Figure S1B). However, the signals of hPrp43 near the IL and IS fractions and of SF3b155 and SF3b130 near the IS fraction were also observed in the control fractions, in which the same procedures were performed in the absence of tagged pre-mRNA (data not shown, see also Figure S1C). Thus, these signals could represent contamination of endogenous complexes unrelated to the IL and IS complexes. It should be pointed out, however, that hPrp43 was detected by the MS analysis (Figure 3A). hPrp43 interacts with TFIP11 and is likely to be targeted transiently at the IL complex as will be shown and discussed later. The hDBR1 protein, which catalyzes the debranching reaction of the lariat intron, was not detected in either complex. These results demonstrate that many of the proteins identified by MS analysis of the lariat–intron complex are associated with the IL complex, whereas the IS complex does not contain any of the spliceosomal U snRNAs or protein factors tested so far.

TFIP11 protein binds specifically to hPrp43 protein and mediates the disassembly of intron RNP in cooperation with hPrp43 protein

TFIP11, which was identified by MS analysis of the intron complex, was found both in the spliceosome (1,10) and in the IL complex (this study, Figure 3). Since this protein shares relatively high homology (22% identity) with yeast Ntr1 protein and was shown to be able to complement the yeast Δntr1 mutant strain (29), TFIP11 is considered to be a human homolog of yeast Ntr1. The Ntr1 protein associates with Prp43 protein and mediates the disassembly of lariat–intron RNP into U snRNPs and the lariat intron in yeast (17). Therefore using a co-immunoprecipitation experiment, we tested if TFIP11 binds specifically to hPrp43. We transiently expressed Flag-tagged TFIP11 in HEK293T cells and carried out immunoprecipitations with anti-Flag antibody from the whole-cell lysates of the transfected cells. Endogenous hPrp43 protein was efficiently co-precipitated with Flag-TFIP11 (Figure 4A, lane 4). This co-precipitation was not affected by the presence of RNase A (Figure 4A, lane 5), suggesting that TFIP11 and hPrp43 interact with each other through protein–protein interactions. In yeast cells, Ntr1 interacts with Prp43 through one of its domains called a G-patch (17,18). Since TFIP11 also has a G-patch domain at its amino-terminal region, we next sought to determine whether the G-patch domain of TFIP11 is involved in the interaction with hPrp43. We carried out in vitro binding assays with histidine (His)-tagged recombinant hPrp43 and [35S]methionine-labeled, in vitro translated TFIP11 wild-type and mutant proteins (Figure 4B). The TFIP11 wild type bound efficiently to hPrp43 but not to the control protein His-GST (lanes 1–3). When the first 50 amino acids of TFIP11, which correspond to the first half of the G-patch domain, were deleted, the resultant mutant protein, termed TFIP11ΔN, was no longer able to bind to hPrp43 (lanes 4–6). These results strongly suggest that TFIP11 interacts with hPrp43 through the G-patch domain. In analogy with the yeast system, it was plausible to hypothesize that TFIP11 mediates dissociation of U snRNPs and other proteins from the IL complex through its binding to hPrp43, prior to debranching of the lariat intron. To test this hypothesis, we used the TFIP11ΔN protein, which lacks hPrp43-binding domain, since we could expect that it would act as a dominant negative protein. Wild-type or ΔN mutant of TFIP11 was transiently expressed in HEK293T cells by transfection (Figure 5A). Nuclear extracts were prepared from the transfected cells, and in vitro splicing was performed using those extracts with δ-crystallin pre-mRNA as a substrate. When the extract from Flag-TFIP11-expressing HEK293T cells was used, the lariat intron product was most abundantly found in glycerol gradient fractions 4 and 5, which corresponded to the IS complex, but was less present in fractions 8 and 9, which corresponded to the IL complex (Figure 5B, left panel). In contrast, when the nuclear extract from the HEK293T cells that expressed Flag-TFIP11ΔN was used, the lariat intron product in the IS fractions was reduced and that in the IL fractions was increased, suggesting that the IL complex is a precursor of the IS complex and that the transition from IL to IS complexes is slow in this extract (Figure 5B, compare the right and left panels). We also carried out immunoprecipitation assays with anti-Flag tag antibody using the fractionated IL containing complex to examine the association of Flag-tagged TFIP11 proteins with lariat RNA. The results showed that both TFIP11ΔN and the wild-type TFIP11 proteins could co-precipitate with the lariat intron (Figure 5C, lanes 8 and 12, and 5D for quantification). We also used anti-hPrp43 antibody for immunoprecipitation experiments and
found that the precipitation efficiency of lariat intron RNA from TFIP11ΔN expressing extract was reproducibly lower than that from the wild-type TFIP11 expressing extract (Figure 5C, lanes 7 and 11, and 5D for quantification), suggesting that hPrp43 is recruited to the intron complex through its interaction with the G-patch domain of TFIP11. These results, taken together, strongly suggest that the TFIP11 protein, in cooperation with hPrp43, mediates the disassembly of the IL complex into IS complex.

The different sensitivities of lariat intron RNAs in IL and IS complexes to debranching activity

The results so far suggest that the two identified intron complexes are intermediate complexes of the post-splicing intron degradation pathway. It was expected that the lariat intron in the IS complex would be more susceptible to the debranching reaction than that in the IL complex, since U snRNPs and many proteins had already been removed. To test this hypothesis, we carried out an in vitro debranching assay with the two intron complex fractions. For this assay, recombinant Flag-hDBR1 proteins were expressed in HEK293T cells and purified through an anti-Flag M2 antibody column. In addition to the wild-type protein, a mutant hDBR1 protein, which had a substitution at amino-acid residue 84 from asparagine to alanine (N84A), was also prepared (Figure 6A). The equivalent mutation in yeast Dbr1 protein had already been demonstrated to cause the loss of debranching activity (33). When the recombinant wild-type hDBR1 protein was incubated with the intron RNPs immobilized on glutathione beads that were recovered from the supernatant of streptavidin agarose precipitation as in Figure 1B, lane 4, a fraction of the lariat intron was debranched and linearized (Figure 6B, lane 3). On the other hand, neither the mock-purified hDBR1 fraction nor the N84A mutant could cause the debranching of the lariat intron (Figure 6B, lanes 2 and 4). These results indicate that the recombinant Flag-hDBR1 protein has a debranching activity and that the point mutation at N84 to A caused the loss of this activity. When affinity purified IL and IS complexes on glutathione beads were incubated with the hDBR1 proteins, the lariat intron in the IS complex was efficiently debranched only by the wild-type hDBR1, while the intron in the IL was quite resistant to debranching by the wild-type hDBR1 (Figure 6B, lanes 7 and 11). These results demonstrate that the lariat intron in the IS complex, which contains less factors, is more accessible to hDBR1 for its debranching activity. In the IL complex, it is likely that U snRNPs and other proteins identified by MS protect the branch point region of the lariat intron from hDBR1. In summary, our results strongly suggest that the IL and IS complexes are intermediate complexes of post-splicing intron degradation and that the TFIP11–hPrp43 complex mediates the transition of the IL complex to the IS complex before the debranching reaction by hDBR1.
DISCUSSION

In this study we have established a purification method for the post-splicing lariat intron complex using a two-tag system (Figure 1A). By combining the purification method with glycerol gradient sedimentation analysis, we have found that the excised lariat intron is present in at least two different forms, namely IL (~40S) and IS (~20S) complexes (Figure 2). The IL complex contains, besides the excised lariat intron, U2, U5 and U6 snRNPs and many protein factors including hPrp19 complex proteins (hPrp19, Xab2, IBP160) and TFIP11, while the IS complex contains no such U snRNPs or proteins. Our results also suggest that the IL complex is a precursor of the IS complex (Figure 5B). Thus, during the transition from the IL to the IS complexes, all three spliceosomal U snRNAs and many protein factors are dissociated from the IL complex. It is likely from our results that a complex containing TFIP11 and hPrp43 mediates this dissociation. However, how this dissociation process is achieved is not known.

It was reported that U5 snRNP is subjected to drastic remodeling during spliceosome activation and is possibly released after splicing as a form of 35S U5 snRNP.
containing hPrp19 complex proteins (3). Our IL complex contained both U5 snRNA and hPrp19 complex proteins. Thus, it is plausible to hypothesize that U5 snRNP is released from the IL complex as a form of 35S U5 snRNP (Figure 7). The released 35S U5 snRNP is then converted to 20S U5 snRNP for the next round splicing reactions (3).

Interestingly, SF3a/3b proteins, which are components of 17S U2 snRNP, were barely detected in the purified IL complex despite the clear presence of U2 snRNA and U2B\(^{\circ}\) core protein (Figure 3B and Figure S1). This means that the U2 snRNP in the IL complex is in the 12S form. Recently, it has been demonstrated that the association of SF3a/3b proteins with the spliceosom is destabilized upon B to C transition (10). Our result that SF3a/3b proteins are not stable constituents of the IL complex is consistent with this paper. Taken together, we believe that U2 snRNP is released from the IL complex as a form of 12S U2 snRNP (Figure 7).

While a complex containing TFIP11 and hPrp43 is likely to mediate the IL complex disassembly, our results also suggest that TFIP11 is a stable constituent of the IL complex. However, hPrp43 had a peak in #9 fraction, whereas TFIP11 mainly existed in #8 fraction (Figure 3B). Thus, it is likely that hPrp43 is not a stable constituent of IL complex and targets the IL complex only transiently for the disassembly of the IL complex. This targeting is probably mediated by its interaction with the G-patch domain of TFIP11 (Figures 4 and 5).

TFIP11 protein thus acts as a scaffold that recruits hPrp43 protein to the IL complex (Figure 7). However, hPrp43 is reported to be associated with the B spliceosome as a part of 17S U2 snRNP (42). Thus, hPrp43 is thought to be released sometime between the B and IL complexes. When hPrp43 targets the IL complex for disassembly, it has to utilize a different targeting system (TFIP11) since U2 snRNP is no longer in its 17S form in the IL complex. Why such a complicated targeting system is employed is currently unknown. The resultant IS complex serves as an efficient substrate for hDBR1 leading to a complete degradation of the intron (Figure 7).
In comparison to the abundant information about the IL complex in this study, the nature of the IS complex is not well known. In yeast, Ntr1 forms a heterodimer with Ntr2 and functions as a trimer with Prp43 for the disassembly of the post-splicing complex (17,43). The resultant disassembled product is thought to be free lariat intron RNA (17,43). In our case, however, we do not think that the IS complex is devoid of all factors, although it is devoid of all the splicing factors tested in this study. The IS complex migrated as 20S, which is clearly different from the gel-purified free lariat intron (data not shown). It should also be pointed out that no human homolog of Ntr2 has been identified to date. It is still not clear whether humans have a counterpart of yeast Ntr2. A co-immunoprecipitation experiment with TFIP11 may enable us to identify the candidates for human Ntr2.

In this study, we have focused on the post-splicing complexes of general introns. It is of interest to apply our purification strategy to the isolation of post-splicing complexes of particular introns that harbor noncoding RNAs, such as snoRNAs and microRNAs. Of these noncoding RNAs, snoRNAs are known to be produced in coordination with the splicing process (22). Future experiments with these specified pre-mRNAs would help us to gain a comprehensive understanding of post-splicing intron turnover in the nucleus.
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