Females have more complex patterns of childhood adversity: implications for mental, social, and emotional outcomes in adulthood
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ABSTRACT
Background: Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) have been identified as an important public health problem with serious implications. Less well understood is how distinct configurations of childhood adversities carry differential risks for mental health, emotional, and social outcomes later in life.

Objective: To determine if distinct profiles of childhood adversities exist for males and females and to examine if unique associations exist between the resultant latent profiles of childhood adversities and multiple indicators of mental health and social and emotional wellbeing in adulthood.

Method: Participants (N = 1,839) were a nationally representative household sample of adults currently residing in the USA and the data were collected via online self-report questionnaires. Latent class analysis was used to identify the optimal number of classes to explain ACE co-occurrence among males and females, separately. ANOVAs, chi-square tests, and t-tests were used to compare male and female classes across multiple mental health, emotional, and social wellbeing variables in adulthood.

Results: Females were significantly more likely than males to report a range of ACEs and mental health, social, and emotional difficulties in adulthood. Two- and four-class models were identified as the best fit for males and females, respectively, indicating more complexity and variation in ACE exposures among females. For males and female, ACEs were strongly associated with poorer mental health, emotional, and social outcomes in adulthood. Among females, growing up in a dysfunctional home environment was a significant risk factor for adverse social outcomes in adulthood.

Conclusions: Males and females have distinct patterns of childhood adversities, with females experiencing more complex and varied patterns of childhood adversity. These patterns of ACEs were associated with numerous negative mental, emotional, and social outcomes among both sexes.

Las mujeres tienen patrones más complejos de adversidad infantil: implicaciones para los resultados mentales, sociales y emocionales en la adultez

Antecedentes: Las experiencias adversas infantiles (ACEs en su sigla en inglés) se han identificado como un problema de salud pública importante, con serias implicaciones. Menos comprendido es el cómo distintas configuraciones de adversidades infantiles acarrean riesgos diferenciales para los resultados de salud mental, emocional y social.

Objetivo: Determinar si existen distintos perfiles de adversidades infantiles para hombres y para mujeres y examinar si existen asociaciones únicas entre los perfiles latentes resultantes de adversidad infantil y múltiples indicadores de salud mental y bienestar social y emocional en la adultez.

Método: Los participantes (N = 1,839) fueron una muestra representativa de hogares de adultos actualmente residiendo en los Estados Unidos y los datos se recolectaron en cuestionarios de auto-reporte vía online. El análisis de clases latentes se utilizó para identificar el número óptimo de clases que explican la co-ocurrencia de ACEs entre hombres y mujeres, separadamente. Se empleó pruebas de ANOVA, chi-cuadrado y t para comparar clases de hombres y mujeres a través de múltiples variables de salud mental y bienestar social y emocional en la adultez.

Resultados: Las mujeres fueron significativamente más propensas que los hombres a reportar un rango de ACEs y dificultades de salud mental y dificultades sociales y emocionales en la adultez. Modelos de dos y cuatro clases se identificaron como los de mejor ajuste para hombres y mujeres, respectivamente, indicando mayor complejidad
Evidence of the occurrence of adverse childhood experiences (ACE) such as sexual abuse, domestic violence, and parental incarceration, and their impact across the lifespan, is recognized as a landmark of epidemiological research. In the USA (US), approximately 50% of children under 18 years of age have been exposed to at least one ACE event, and approximately 33% have been exposed to multiple ACEs (Sacks & Murphey, 2018). ACE exposure increases risk of cognitive, social, and emotional impairments in childhood (Hughes et al., 2017) and is associated with a range of deleterious mental health outcomes in adulthood such as depression (Poole, Dobson, & Pusch, 2017), anxiety (Green et al., 2010), posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Lu, Mueser, Rosenberg, & Jankowski, 2008); and increases risk of low socioeconomic status in later life (Metzler, Merrick, Klevens, Ports, & Ford, 2017).

Numerous studies indicate a dose–response relationship between the number of ACEs and poor mental health and social difficulties later in life (e.g. substance abuse, interpersonal and self-directed violence, sexual risk taking, poor self-rated health) (Anda et al., 2002; Chapman et al., 2004; Hughes et al., 2017; Kalmakis & Chandler, 2015). There is also evidence of sex differences in exposure to different types of ACEs, particularly sexual abuse with females substantially more likely than males to report this event (Cavanaugh, Petras, & Martins, 2015; McAnee, Shevlin, Murphy, & Houston, 2019; Roxburgh & MacArthur, 2014; Schilling, Aseltine, & Gore, 2007; Strine et al., 2012). Research also shows that females are more likely than males to being diagnosed with PTSD and affective disorders (Alttemus, Sarvaiya, & Neill Epperson, 2014; Olff, 2017); findings that call for further sex-specific investigations of traumatic exposures and associated problems.

A growing literature suggests that distinct profiles of childhood adversity are identifiable among trauma-exposed populations (Debowksa, Willmott, Boduszek, & Jones, 2017; Rivera, Fincham, & Bray, 2018), and certain patterns of childhood adversities may be particularly harmful (Lanier, Maguire-Jack, Lombardi, Frey, & Rose, 2018). Specifically, qualitatively different constellations of ACEs, rather than summative and cumulative aspects of exposure, may be more useful in terms of explaining the mental health and social outcomes of individuals exposed to ACEs (Curran, Adamson, Stringer, Rosato, & Leavey, 2016). Put another way, distinct profiles of childhood adversity may be uniquely associated with mental and social health outcomes in adulthood. The identification of such profiles could, therefore, be applied to better inform treatment and allow for the tailoring of intervention practices for groups with different needs (Shevlin, Murphy, Elklit, Murphy, & Hyland, 2018).

The objectives of the present study were to (1) identify distinct profiles of childhood adversities for males and females in a nationally representative sample of US adults, and (2) determine their associations with multiple indicators of mental health (i.e. symptoms of PTSD, Complex PTSD (CPTSD), depression, and anxiety), and emotional and social wellbeing (i.e. relationship status, educational achievement, employment status, income level, psychological wellbeing, and loneliness) in adulthood. Based on existing findings that the frequency and patterns of exposure to ACEs differs by sex (Debowksa, Boduszek, Sherretts, Willmott, & Jones, 2018; McAnee et al., 2019), we
We hypothesized that distinct profiles of ACEs would be identified for males and females. Additionally, based on an extensive literature showing that polyvictimization, or exposure to multiple different types of victimizations during childhood (Finkelhor, Ormrod, & Turner, 2007), increases risk for psychosocial problems in later life (Charak et al., 2016; Hovens, Giltay, Spinhaven, van Hemert, & Penninx, 2015), we hypothesized that profiles characterized by multiple different ACE events would be associated with poorer mental health and social and emotional wellbeing outcomes in adulthood.

1. Methods

1.1. Participants and procedures

The present study used a nationally representative household sample of non-institutionalized adults currently residing in the US. Data were collected in March 2017 from an online research panel that is representative of the adult US population and participants were selected using random probability-based sampling methods. Inclusion criteria were that respondents were aged between 18 and 70 years at the time of the survey and had reported at least one traumatic event in their lifetime, as measured by a modified version of the Life Events Checklist for DSM-5 (LEC-5) (Weathers et al., 2013) and selected items from Adverse Childhood Experiences Questionnaire (Felitti et al., 1998). In total, 3,953 panel members were contacted to participate in the survey and 1,859 volunteered and met the inclusion criteria (participation rate = 46.5%). The survey design oversampled among females and minority populations (i.e. African American and Hispanic), each at a 2:1 ratio given their increased likelihood of trauma-related distress (Koenen et al., 2017). To adjust for this oversampling, the data were weighted in order to be representative of the entire US adult population aged 18–70 years according to the following benchmarks: sex, age, race/ethnicity, education, census region, household income, home ownership status and metropolitan area. Questionnaires were completed on-line (median time of completion = 18 min), and no payment for participation was offered, however, individuals were incentivized to participate through entry into a raffle for prizes by the survey panel company. Ethical approval for this study was provided by the research ethics committee at the National College of Ireland.

The mean age of the weighted sample was 44.55 years (range = 18–70, SD = 14.89) and included similar numbers of males (48.0%, n = 883) and females (52.0%, n = 956). The majority of the sample were ‘In a committed relationship’ (63.4%, n = 1165) with the remainder ‘Single’ (23.3%, n = 428), ‘Divorced’ (10.9%, n = 202), or ‘Widowed’ (2.4%, n = 44). The majority of the sample were ‘White, Non-Hispanic’ (63.8%, n = 1173), followed by ‘Hispanic’ (16.9%, n = 310), ‘Black, Non-Hispanic’ (11.8%, n = 217), ‘Other, Non-Hispanic’ (6.3%, n = 115), and ‘2+ Races, Non-Hispanic’ (1.3%, n = 24). Approximately one-third of the sample reported that their highest level of educational achievement was a ‘Bachelor’s degree or higher’ (31.8%, n = 585), and similar amounts indicated ‘Some college’ (i.e. had attended college but did not graduate) (30.3%, n = 558) and ‘Finished high school’ (28.7%, n = 528); 9.1% (n = 168) indicated that they ‘Did not finish high school’. Nearly half of the sample earned ‘US$75,000 or more per year’ (48.5%, n = 891), 29.8% (n = 547) earned between ‘US$35,000-US$74,999’, 11.0% (n = 202) earned between ‘US$20,000-US$34,999’, and 10.8% (n = 199) earned between ‘US$0-US$19,999’.

1.2. Measures

1.2.1. Childhood adversity

ACEs were measured using the Adverse Childhood Experiences Questionnaire (Felitti et al., 1998), a 10-item self-report questionnaire measuring different domains of childhood abuse and neglect, and household dysfunction (see Table 1 for each event). Respondents indicated if each event occurred during their first 18 years of life using a binary response format (‘Yes’ = 1, ‘No’ = 0). The psychometric properties of this measure have been well-demonstrated (Wingenfeld et al., 2011).

1.2.2. Indicators of mental health

Symptoms of Major Depressive Disorder and Generalized Anxiety Disorder were measured using

| Table 1. Differences in endorsement rates for each ACE variable among males and females (N = 1,839). |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Overall | Females | Males | \( \chi^2 \) | OR (95% CI) |
|---------|---------|---------|------------|-------------|
| ACE 1: Sexual abuse | 321 | 231 | 90 | 63.19*** | 2.83 (2.18–3.69) |
| ACE 2: Physical abuse | 289 | 152 | 136 | .09 | 1.04 (0.81–1.34) |
| ACE 3: Physical neglect | 98 | 66 | 32 | 9.85** | 1.98 (1.28–3.05) |
| ACE 4: Emotional abuse | 388 | 216 | 172 | 2.46 | 1.20 (0.96–1.50) |
| ACE 5: Emotional neglect | 312 | 198 | 113 | 20.54*** | 1.78 (1.39–2.29) |
| ACE 6: Domestic violence | 213 | 113 | 99 | .17 | 1.06 (0.80–1.41) |
| ACE 7: Parental separation/divorce | 613 | 333 | 180 | 1.91 | 1.15 (0.94–1.39) |
| ACE 8: Household alcohol/drug abuse | 450 | 273 | 177 | 18.33*** | 1.60 (1.29–1.99) |
| ACE 9: Household mental illness/suicide attempt | 284 | 182 | 102 | 19.96*** | 1.81 (1.39–2.35) |
| ACE 10: Member of household went to prison | 146 | 86 | 60 | 3.16 | 1.36 (0.97–1.92) |

\( \chi^2 \) = chi-square test; OR (95% CI) = odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals; statistical significance = *p ≤ .05; **p ≤ .01; ***p ≤ .001.
the eight-item Patient Health Questionnaire Depression Scale (Kroenke et al., 2009) and the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item Scale (Spitzer, Kroenke, Williams, & Lowe, 2006), respectively. For both measures, respondents indicate how often they have been bothered by each symptom over the last 2 weeks using a 4-point Likert-scale ranging from ‘Not at all’ (0) to ‘Nearly every day’ (3). Scores on the PHQ-8 range from 0 to 24 and on the GAD-7 from 0 to 21. In both cases, higher scores reflect greater symptomatology. The PHQ-8 (Manea, Gilbody, & McMillan, 2015) and GAD-7 (Kertz, Bigda-Peyton, & Bjorgvinsson, 2013) have previously demonstrated excellent psychometric properties. The internal reliability of the PHQ-8 (α = .93) and the GAD-7 (α = .94) were excellent within the current sample.

The International Trauma Questionnaire (ITQ) (Cloitre et al., 2018) is a self-report scale measuring the ICD-11 symptoms of PTSD and CPTSD (scale is freely available at https://www.trauamameasuresglobal.com/itq). The ITQ includes six items measuring each PTSD symptom and six items measuring each ‘Disturbance in Self Organization’ (DSO) symptom (ICD-11 CPTSD is a combination of PTSD and DSO symptoms). The ITQ identifies a respondent’s most distressing traumatic experience, and how much the respondent has been bothered by each symptom in the past month. All items are answered in relation to this traumatic event. Participants answer the PTSD items in relation to how much each symptom has bothered them over the last month, and the DSO items are completed in terms of how the respondent typically feels, thinks about oneself, and relates to others. All items are answered on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 0 (‘Not at all’) to 4 (‘Extremely’). PTSD symptoms range from 0 to 24, and CPTSD symptoms range from 0 to 48, with higher scores reflecting more symptoms. The psychometric properties of the ITQ have been supported in multiple general population samples (Ben-Ezra et al., 2018; Cloitre et al., 2018) and the internal reliability of the PTSD (α = .90), DSO (α = .93), and total (α = .92) scores were excellent among the current sample.

1.2.3. Indicators of emotional wellbeing

Psychological wellbeing was assessed using the five-item World Health Organization Wellbeing Index (WHO-5). The WHO-5 is an internationally validated measure of positive psychological health and a review of 213 studies provided support for the reliability and validity of the scale scores (Topp, Ostergaard, Sondergaard, & Bech, 2015). Respondents indicate how they have been feeling over the past 2 weeks to each positively phrased statement using a 6-point Likert-type scale (0 = ‘At no time’ to 5 = ‘All of the time’). Scores range from 0 to 25 with higher scores reflecting greater psychological wellbeing. The reliability of the WHO-5 within the current sample was excellent (α = .93).

The six-item De Jong Gierveld Loneliness Scale was used to measure feelings of social and emotional loneliness (De Jong Gierveld & Van Tilburg, 2006). All items are answered using a 3-point Likert-type scale of ‘Very much agree’ (1), ‘Somewhat agree’ (2), and ‘Do not agree’ (3). Following the scoring guidelines provided by the scale authors, all items were dichotomized to reflect the ‘Presence’ (1) or ‘Absence’ (0) of each indicator of loneliness. Loneliness scores therefore range from 0 to 6 with higher scores reflecting more feelings of loneliness. This scale has been shown to be reliable and valid in large-scale general population surveys (De Jong Gierveld & Van Tilburg, 2010), and the internal reliability was good within the current sample (α = .81).

1.2.4. Indicators of social wellbeing

The following variables were also used as indicators of social wellbeing: relationship status (0 = ‘In a committed relationship’, 1 = ‘Not in a committed relationship’), educational status (0 = ‘Finished college/university’, 1 = ‘Did not finish college/university’), employment status (0 = ‘Employed/retired’, 1 = ‘Unemployed’), and annual income (0 = ‘At or above the median’, 1 = ‘Below the median’).

1.3. Data analysis

Frequencies and sex differences in exposure to each ACE event were compared using chi-square tests. Sex differences for each mental health and social and emotional wellbeing variable were assessed using independent samples t-tests and chi-square tests.

LCA was used to identify the optimal number of latent classes to explain the co-occurrence of the 10 ACE events among males and females, separately. LCA is a type of mixture modelling that facilitates identification of distinct classes in a population based on similar patterns of responses to categorical data (Nylund, Asparouhov, & Muthén, 2007). The fit of six models (1–6 classes) were evaluated using Mplus version 8.2 (Muthén & Muthén, 2013) and the models were estimated using the robust maximum likelihood estimator (Yuan & Bentler, 2000). To avoid solutions based on local maxima, 200 random sets of starting values and 20 final stage optimizations were used. The relative fit of the competing models was compared using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Akaike, 1987), the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) (Schwarz, 1978), and the sample-size adjusted BIC (Sclove, 1987). In all cases, the model with the lowest value is considered the best fitting. The BIC has demonstrated superior performance in detecting the correct number of classes in
simulation studies (Nylund et al., 2007), therefore this index was given precedence in the class enumeration process. In addition, the Lo-Mendell-Rubin adjusted likelihood ratio test (Rubin, Mendell, & Lo, 2001) was used to compare models with increasing numbers of latent classes. When a non-significant value occurs, the model with one less class should be selected. Finally, entropy values (range 0–1) were evaluated to appraise the accuracy of the classifications, with higher values preferred.

Following the selection of the appropriate LCA solutions for males and females, the classes were compared on all mental health and social and emotional wellbeing variables. As each mental health and emotional wellbeing variable was measured on a continuous scale, mean differences were assessed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests. As the social wellbeing variables were measured categorically, chi-square tests were used.

All analyses were performed with the weighting variable applied to ensure that the findings were representative of the adult general population of the US. At the variable level, missing data were low, ranging from 0.1% to 3.5%. The missing data were handled using maximum likelihood imputation in Mplus.

2. Results

2.1. Sex differences

Frequencies and sex differences in exposure to the 10 ACEs are reported in Table 1. The most frequently reported event in the full sample was 'parental separation or divorce' (33.5%) and the least frequently reported event was 'physical neglect' (5.4%). There were significant sex differences on five ACEs, with females being more likely than males to report exposure to 'sexual abuse', 'physical neglect', 'emotional neglect', 'alcohol and drug abuse in the household', and 'household member had a serious mental illness'.

Sex differences across all mental health and social and emotional wellbeing variables are reported in Table 2. Females had significantly higher levels of PTSD, CPTSD, depression, generalized anxiety, and loneliness; and lower levels of psychological wellbeing. All effect sizes were small. Females were significantly more likely than males to be unemployed (OR = 1.8) and to have an annual income below the median (OR = 1.2).

2.2. LCA results

The LCA results for males and females are presented in Table 3. The best-fitting model for males included two classes (see Figure 1) as this solution had the lowest BIC value, and the LMR-A test became non-significant at three classes. The entropy value of .89 indicated that the data was well represented by this model. Class 1 ('Low Adversity') included 78.6% (n = 694) of males and was characterized by very low probabilities of endorsing all ACE events. Class 2 ('Mixed Adversity') included the remaining 21.4% (n = 189) of males, and was characterized by a high probability of experiencing emotional abuse, and moderate probabilities of endorsing physical abuse, parental separation/divorce, household alcohol/drug abuse, emotional neglect, and domestic violence.

Among females, a four-class solution was deemed the best representation of the data (see Figure 2). This solution produced lower AIC and ssaBIC values than the more parsimonious models. Although the LMR-A test was non-significant at two-classes and entropy levels declined with increasing classes, the four-class solution possessed the lowest BIC value. Since the BIC has been shown to be the optimal information criterion test for determining model fit, this result was given precedence and the four class solution was selected. Class 1 ('High Adversity') included 7.5% (n = 72) of females and was characterized by high probabilities of experiencing all ACEs, with the exception of household mental illness and parental imprisonment which were moderate and low. Class 2 ('Child Abuse and Neglect') included 15.1% (n = 144)

| Mental Health | Overall | Females | Males |
|---------------|---------|---------|-------|
|               | Mean    | SD      | Mean  | SD    |
|               | Overall | Females | Males |
|               | t       | Cohen's d |
| Posttraumatic stress disorder | 3.74 | 4.95 | 4.34 | 5.33 | 3.11 | 4.41 | 5.27*** | .25 |
| Complex PTSD | 8.36 | 8.92 | 9.54 | 9.53 | 7.10 | 8.05 | 5.73*** | .28 |
| Major depressive disorder | 4.08 | 5.40 | 4.86 | 5.72 | 3.25 | 4.91 | 6.37*** | .30 |
| Generalized anxiety disorder | 3.62 | 4.85 | 4.45 | 5.26 | 2.72 | 4.17 | 7.71*** | .36 |
| Social and Emotional Wellbeing | | | | | | | | |
| Psychological well-being | 14.99 | 6.35 | 14.25 | 6.57 | 15.78 | 6.02 | 5.16*** | .24 |
| Loneliness | 1.76 | 1.77 | 1.87 | 1.81 | 1.64 | 1.72 | -2.76** | .13 |
| N | % | N | % | N | % | \( \chi^2 \) | OR (95% CI) |
| Divorced, separated or never married | 630 | 34.3 | 318 | 33.3 | 312 | 35.3 | .87 | 0.91 (0.75–1.11) |
| Did not finish college | 1254 | 68.2 | 639 | 66.8 | 615 | 69.6 | 1.58 | 0.88 (0.72–1.07) |
| Income below the median | 777 | 42.3 | 425 | 44.5 | 352 | 39.9 | 4.05* | 1.21 (1.01–1.46) |
| Unemployed | 172 | 10.0 | 200 | 20.9 | 112 | 12.7 | 22.11*** | 1.82 (1.42–2.34) |

\( t \) = independent samples t-test; \( \chi^2 \) = chi-square test; OR (95% CI) = odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals Statistical significance: \*p ≤ .05; \**p ≤ .01; \***p ≤ .001.
of females and was characterized by a high probability of experiencing emotional abuse, and moderate probabilities of experiencing sexual abuse, physical abuse, and emotional neglect. Class 3 ('Dysfunctional Home') included 16.4% (n = 156) of females and was characterized by a high probability of experiencing parental separation and divorce and moderate probabilities of household alcohol/drug use, household mental illness/suicide attempt, and sexual abuse. Finally, Class 4 ('Low Adversity') included 61.1% (n = 584) of females, and was characterized by very low probabilities of endorsing all ACEs.

2.3. Class membership and associated mental health and social and emotional wellbeing

For males, results from the independent samples t-tests showed that the 'Mixed Adversity' class had significantly higher levels of PTSD, CPTSD, depression, generalized anxiety, and loneliness, and, significantly lower levels of psychological wellbeing compared to the 'Low Adversity' class (p < .001). These differences were all moderate-to-large, with the biggest effect for psychological wellbeing (d = 1.19). Membership of the 'Mixed Adversity' class was associated with a significantly higher likelihood of not

Table 3. LCA fit statistics based on responses to the ACE for males (n = 883) and females (n = 956).

| Classes | Log-Likelihood | AIC | BIC | ssaBIC | LMR-A (p) | Entropy |
|---------|----------------|-----|-----|--------|-----------|---------|
| Males   |                |     |     |        |           |         |
| 1       | −2410          | 4841| 4886| 4854   | –         | –       |
| 2       | **−2023**      | **4088**| **4181**| **4115**| **764 (< .001)**| **.89**|
| 3       | −1994          | 4053| 4195| 4094   | 56 (.392) | .73     |
| 4       | −1974          | 4034| 4225| 4088   | 40 (1.00) | .78     |
| 5       | −1954          | 4017| 4257| 4085   | 38 (.474) | .83     |
| 6       | −1940          | 4011| 4299| 4093   | 27 (.768) | .84     |
| Females |                |     |     |        |           |         |
| 1       | −5689          | 11,399| 11,450| 11,148| –         | –       |
| 2       | −4899          | 9841| 9948| 9881   | 1560 (< .001) | .88    |
| 3       | −4814          | 9692| 9853| 9754   | 168 (223) | .81     |
| 4       | **−4755**      | **9597**| **9816**| **9680**| **115 (.376)**| **.76**|
| 5       | −4735          | 9578| 9853| 9682   | 40 (.762) | .77     |
| 6       | −4714          | 9559| 9891| 9685   | 39 (.763) | .76     |

Best-fitting models in bold. AIC = Akaike information criterion; BIC = Bayesian information criterion; ssaBIC = sample-size adjusted BIC; LMR-A = Lo-Mendell-Rubin adjusted likelihood ratio test
attending university, and having an annual income below the median (see Table 4).

For females, results from the one-way between groups ANOVA tests showed that the four classes significantly differed on all mental health and emotional wellbeing variables, with effects ranging from moderate-to-large (see Table 5). For each mental health and emotional wellbeing variable, the ‘High Adversity’ class had significantly higher symptom scores than all other classes. The ‘Dysfunctional Home’ and ‘Child Abuse and Neglect’ classes exhibited significantly higher symptom scores on all mental health and emotional wellbeing variables compared to the ‘Low Adversity’ class. The ‘Dysfunctional Home’ and ‘Child Abuse and Neglect’ classes did not differ from each other on any of the mental health or the emotional wellbeing variables.

Significant differences were present across the four classes for each social wellbeing variable with the exception of academic status. Significantly more people than expected in the ‘High Adversity’ class had an annual income below the median and were unemployed. Significantly more respondents than expected in the ‘Dysfunctional Home’ class were not currently in a relationship and had an annual income below the median.

### 3. Discussion

The present study found that females in the US are more likely than males to report experiences of childhood sexual abuse, physical neglect, emotional neglect, household drug/alcohol abuse, and household mental illness. The sex differences in rates of ACEs, particularly relating to sexual abuse, are consistent with existing evidence (Giarratano, Ford, & Nochański, 2017; Stoltenborgh, van Ijzendoorn, Euser, & Bakermans-Kranenburg, 2011). Previous findings have indicated that approximately 20% of females in the US have been exposed to serious sexual violence in their lifetime, with the majority of these women (79%) reporting their first sexual assault in childhood or young adulthood (Breiding et al., 2014).

The LCA results indicated that males and females have distinct profiles of childhood adversity, with females characterized by more complex and varied histories of childhood adversities (four classes) compared to males (two classes). This is in line with existing research showing that females who have been exposed to child sexual abuse are more likely to report additional traumatic events in childhood (Banyard, Hamby, & Grych, 2012) and that children with ACEs have a higher probability of reporting other types of adversities. All three of the female adversity profiles were characterized by at least a moderate probability of sexual abuse. This may partially explain the complexity of childhood adversity among females; however, it does not explain why females were more likely than males to have a profile of childhood adversity characterized primarily by a disturbed home life. Previous research has suggested that females may be more willing to report some types of ACEs (Strine et al., 2012) and that variation in responses on trauma-related topics may occur due to the sensitive nature of questions (Curran et al., 2016). Specifically, estimates of male sexual abuse may be affected by under-reporting due to unwillingness to disclose...
Table 5. Differences between the female LCA classes on all mental health and social and emotional wellbeing variables (n = 956).

| Mental Health                  | Classes                     | Mean       | SD        | F      | Eta-squared |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| Post-traumatic stress disorder | Class 1: High adversity     | 10.32      | 6.89      | 58.52  | **.17       |
|                                | Class 2: Abuse and neglect  | 5.87       | 5.58      |        |             |
|                                | Class 3: Dysfunctional home | 5.43       | 5.53      |        |             |
|                                | Class 4: Low adversity      | 2.90       | 4.17      |        |             |
| Complex PTSD                   | Class 1: High adversity     | 20.53      | 11.90     | 77.06  | **.23       |
|                                | Class 2: Abuse and neglect  | 13.68      | 9.08      |        |             |
|                                | Class 3: Dysfunctional home | 12.14      | 10.06     |        |             |
|                                | Class 4: Low adversity      | 6.43       | 7.8       |        |             |
| Major depressive disorder      | Class 1: High adversity     | 9.93       | 7.45      | 52.73  | **.15       |
|                                | Class 2: Abuse and neglect  | 7.19       | 5.90      |        |             |
|                                | Class 3: Dysfunctional home | 6.40       | 6.42      |        |             |
|                                | Class 4: Low adversity      | 3.21       | 4.37      |        |             |
| Generalized anxiety disorder   | Class 1: High adversity     | 9.16       | 6.65      | 44.26  | **.13       |
|                                | Class 2: Abuse and neglect  | 6.40       | 5.61      |        |             |
|                                | Class 3: Dysfunctional home | 5.39       | 5.77      |        |             |
|                                | Class 4: Low adversity      | 3.11       | 4.18      |        |             |
| Social and Emotional Wellbeing | Psychological wellbeing     |            |           |        |             |
|                                | Class 1: High adversity     | 9.51       | 6.61      | 40.29  | **.12       |
|                                | Class 2: Abuse and neglect  | 11.37      | 6.07      |        |             |
|                                | Class 3: Dysfunctional home | 12.83      | 6.51      |        |             |
|                                | Class 4: Low adversity      | 15.91      | 6.08      |        |             |
| Loneliness                     | Class 1: High adversity     | 3.19       | 1.96      | 38.87  | **.13       |
|                                | Class 2: Abuse and neglect  | 2.39       | 1.94      |        |             |
|                                | Class 3: Dysfunctional home | 2.34       | 1.92      |        |             |
|                                | Class 4: Low adversity      | 1.41       | 1.56      |        |             |

| % (n) | \( \chi^2 \) | Phi coefficient |
|-------|--------------|-----------------|
| Not in a committed relationship | Class 1: High adversity | 27.8% (20) | 7.93* | .09 |
| Class 2: Abuse and neglect | 34.0% (49) | | |
| Class 3: Dysfunctional home | 42.3% (66)* | | |
| Class 4: Low adversity | 31.2% (182) | | |
| Did not finish college | Class 1: High adversity | 70.4% (50) | 6.35 | .00 |
| Class 2: Abuse and neglect | 70.1% (101) | | |
| Class 3: Dysfunctional home | 73.2% (115) | | |
| Class 4: Low adversity | 63.9% (373)* | | |
| Income below the median | Class 1: High adversity | 61.1% (44)* | 23.54*** | .16 |
| Class 2: Abuse and neglect | 47.2% (68) | | |
| Class 3: Dysfunctional home | 55.4% (87)* | | |
| Class 4: Low adversity | 38.9% (227)* | | |
| Unemployed | Class 1: High adversity | 33.3% (24)* | 8.34* | .09 |
| Class 2: Abuse and neglect | 22.1% (32) | | |
| Class 3: Dysfunctional home | 17.3% (27) | | |
| Class 4: Low adversity | 20.0% (117) | | |

Superscript numbers indicate significant differences between classes; F = ANOVA test; Statistical significance: *p ≤ .05; **p ≤ .01; ***p ≤ .001.

abuse (Finkelhor, 2019; Negrieff, Schneiderman, Smith, Schreyer, & Trickett, 2014), with reluctance to disclose potentially extending to other types of traumatic exposures (Vaswani, 2018). Whatever the reasons for the greater complexity of childhood adversities reported among females, future research should investigate whether this complex history of childhood adversity accounts, even in part, for the twofold increased risk of multiple internalizing disorders among females (Caspi et al., 2014; Kessler et al., 2005, 1994). The classes characterized by the most severe history of childhood adversities (i.e. the female ‘High Adversity’ class and the male ‘Mixed Adversity’ class) had the poorest mental health, and emotional and social wellbeing outcomes in adulthood. These results confirm our initial hypothesis and align with the existing literature that individuals exposed to poly-victimization in childhood are at elevated risk for a range of psychosocial difficulties in later life (Andersen, Hughes, Zou, & Wilsnack, 2014).

Among females, the two classes that differed qualitatively rather than quantitatively (the ‘Dysfunctional Home’ and ‘Child Abuse and Neglect’ classes) differed across two social outcomes, whereby females with a history of childhood adversity, characterized by a disrupted and unstable home life, were at particular risk of having low-income levels and not being in a committed relationship in adulthood. This is consistent with previous findings within the ACE literature which found that household dysfunction is associated with negative social outcomes in adulthood (Levenson, Willis, & Prescott, 2016). Household dysfunction in the forms of co-occurring parental drug or alcohol abuse, mental illness, conflict, and incarceration could be confounded with socioeconomic status which is a strong predictor of a range of mental health and physical problems as well as overall life opportunities (Metzler et al., 2017). Further investigation of ACEs integrated within a social disadvantage framework is, therefore, warranted (Nurius, Logan-Greene, & Green, 2012).
Research has shown that females are twice as likely as males to be diagnosed with PTSD, despite the fact that males report higher exposure of traumatic events across the lifespan (Benjet et al., 2016; Breslau, 2002). The sex difference in risk of PTSD appears not to be due to the nature of the traumatic event (i.e. greater likelihood of reporting sexual-based traumas among females) or various methods of assessing trauma exposure and/or PTSD (Tolin & Foa, 2006) but may be associated with sex differences in known risk factors associated with PTSD (Christiansen & Hansen, 2015). However, the present study suggests that the developmental timing of exposure to adversities and trauma may be an important factor. Indeed, it is interesting to note that although males are more likely than females to be trauma-exposed across the lifespan, the present study found the opposite pattern in the first 18 years of life with respect to ACEs. In this case, females were twice as likely as males to report multiple ACEs. Thus, the risk of PTSD (and other internalizing psychiatric disorders) may not only be predicted by the quantity and quality of traumatic exposure(s), but also by when in the developmental period these events occurred. The experience of trauma in childhood, in particular, can influence the development of negative schemas about the self, others, and the world (Beck, 2008; Jacobs, Reinecke, Gollan, & Kane, 2008), which ultimately increase vulnerability to disorders such as depression, anxiety, and PTSD (Ostefjells et al., 2017). Explaining sex differences in risk of developing certain psychiatric disorders are, however, a complex and sensitive issue, and almost certainly encompass many interacting evolutionary, biological, psychological, social, and methodological factors (Olff, 2017).

Nonetheless, our findings offer the possibility that at least some of this effect may be attributable to the different profiles of adversity and trauma endorsed by males and females in the early stages of life.

The identification of different profiles of ACE co-occurrence among males and females has several implications. For clinical purposes, knowledge of sex differences in ACEs can facilitate a more nuanced understanding of subgroups with differential risk for social, emotional, and mental health difficulties and this can help to guide improvements in intervention and treatment programmes. By simultaneously investigating mental health and social outcomes, this study provides a broad understanding of individual life conditions (e.g. opportunities, social factors) and contributes novel evidence into a field that has predominately focussed on investigating the links between ACEs and health (Metzler et al., 2017).

The findings of the present study are not without limitations. The use of self-reported and retrospective data on childhood adversities may introduce response and recall bias (Debowska & Boduszek, 2017), however, retrospectively collected data regarding early adversities has been shown to be reliable via test-re-test reliability analysis (Dong et al., 2004). In the present study, it was not possible to account for the exact timing of exposure to ACEs, their chronicity, severity, or intensity; all of which are important elements of such experiences and may have biased the results (Ports, Ford, & Merrick, 2016; Riem & Karreman, 2018). Furthermore, the use of cross-sectional data hinders inferences about causality. Other unaccounted factors could also be contributing to the relations between ACE profiles and mental health and emotional and social wellbeing outcomes such as parental income and parental education status (Davis-Kean, 2005; Wade et al., 2016). A four-class solution was selected as the best representation of the data among females as the BIC was lower for this solution than the more parsimonious models. This solution also produced lower AIC and ssaBIC values. The LCA for the females was, however, characterized by inconsistencies among the statistical indicators for assessing model fit, the entropy value and the LMR-A test indicated that a two-class solution represented the data well. Replication with other populations is therefore needed before definitely concluding that females have more complex patterns of ACE exposures.

Finally, the present study has focused on investigating the negative outcomes associated with childhood adversity, but less is known about the role of resilience and protective factors for mental health despite ACEs (Banyard et al., 2017; Poole et al., 2017).

To conclude, five important findings from this study are worth highlighting: (1) 21% of males and 39% of females in the US population have been exposed to multiple ACEs in their first 18 years of life; (2) females reported a more complex and varied history of childhood adversities than males; (3) exposure to ACEs is strongly associated with poorer mental health and emotional and social wellbeing in adulthood; (4) exposure to particular ACEs such as growing up in a dysfunctional home environment appear to be a significant risk factor for negative social outcomes among females in adulthood; and (5) recognition of sex differences in patterns of childhood adversity may offer unique insights into why females are more likely to develop multiple internalizing psychiatric disorders than males during adulthood. Overall, the results of the present study are consistent with a wide body of research indicating that a history of childhood adversity, whether for males or for females, is associated with negative outcomes in the domains of mental health and emotional and social wellbeing later in life. The present study adds to existing
research by demonstrating the importance of considering specific combinations of childhood adversities when investigating the links between ACEs and adverse outcomes across the lifespan (Lanier et al., 2018; McLafferty et al., 2015).
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