Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein RNA binding domain reveals potential unique drug targeting sites
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Abstract
The outbreak of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) caused by SARS-CoV-2 virus continually lead to worldwide human infections and deaths. Currently, there is no specific viral protein-targeted therapeutics. Viral nucleocapsid protein is a potential antiviral drug target, serving multiple critical functions during the viral life cycle. However, the structural information of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein remains unclear. Herein, we have determined the 2.7 Å crystal structure of the N-terminal RNA binding domain of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein. Although the overall structure is similar as other reported
coronavirus nucleocapsid protein N-terminal domain, the surface electrostatic potential characteristics between them are distinct. Further comparison with mild virus type HCoV-OC43 equivalent domain demonstrates a unique potential RNA binding pocket alongside the β-sheet core. Complemented by in vitro binding studies, our data provide several atomic resolution features of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein N-terminal domain, guiding the design of novel antiviral agents specific targeting to SARS-CoV-2.

© 2020 Chinese Pharmaceutical Association and Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The ongoing outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a new emerging human infectious disease caused by a novel coronavirus (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, SARS-CoV-2, previously known as 2019-nCoV). As of 12 March 2020, the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 has spread to 118 countries and region. According to data from World Health Organization (WHO), the global confirmed case count of COVID-19 was 125,260, including 4613 deaths. The number of COVID-19 cases continues to soar, posing dramatic threat to health care system worldwide (COVID-2019 situation reports, World Health Organization, 12 March 2020). Despite remarkable efforts on containing the spread of the virus, there is no specific targeted therapeutic yet.

SARS-CoV-2 is a betacoronavirus with single-stranded RNA genomes, like MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV. The first two-thirds of the viral 30 kb RNA genome, mainly named as ORF1a/b region, translates into two polyproteins (pp1a and pp1ab) and encodes most of the non-structural proteins (nsp). The rest parts of the virus genome encode accessory proteins and four essential structural proteins, including spike (S) glycoprotein, small envelope (E) protein, matrix (M) protein, and nucleocapsid (N) protein. Current antiviral drugs developed to treat coronavirus (CoV) infections primarily target S protein, the 3C-like (3CL), and papain-like (PLP) proteases. Because mutant viruses in the S protein are prone to escape the targeted therapeutic with different host-cell receptor binding patterns, there are limitations on targeting S protein for antiviral approaches. Antiviral protease inhibitors may nonspecifically act on the cellular homologous protease, resulting in host cell toxicity and severe side effects. Therefore, novel antiviral strategies are needed to combat acute respiratory infections caused by this novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2.

The CoV N protein is a multifunctional RNA-binding protein necessary for viral RNA transcription and replication. It plays many pivotal roles in forming helical ribonucleoproteins during the packaging of the viral RNA genome, regulating viral RNA synthesis in replication/transcription, and modulating infected cell metabolism. The primary functions of N protein are binding to the viral RNA genome and packing them into a long helical nucleocapsid structure or ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex. In vitro and in vivo experiments revealed that N protein bound to leader RNA, and maintained highly ordered RNA conformation suitable for replicating, and transcribing the viral genome.

More studies implicated that N protein regulated host–pathogen interactions, such as actin reorganization, host cell cycle progression, and apoptosis. The N protein is also a highly immunogenic and abundantly expressed protein during infection, capable of inducing protective immune responses against SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2.

The common domain architectures of coronavirus N protein consists of three distinct but highly conserved parts: an N-terminal RNA-binding domain (NTD), a C-terminal dimerization domain (CTD), and an intrinsically disordered central Ser/Arg (SR)-rich linker. Previous studies have revealed that the NTD is responsible for RNA binding, CTD for oligomerization, and (SR)-rich linker for primary phosphorylation, respectively. The crystal structures of SARS-CoV N-NTD, infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) N-NTD, HCoV-OC43 N-NTD, and mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) N-NTD have been solved. The CoVs N-NTD has been found to associate with the 3' end of the viral RNA genome, possibly through electrostatic interactions. Additionally, several critical residues have been identified for RNA binding and virus infectivity in the N-terminal domain of coronavirus N proteins. However, the structural and mechanistic basis for newly emerged novel SARS-CoV-2 N protein remains mostly unknown. Understanding these aspects will facilitate the discovery of agents that specifically block the coronavirus replication, transcription, and viral assembly.

In this study, we report the crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid N-terminal domain (termed as SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD) as a model for understanding the molecular interactions that govern SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD binding to ribonucleotides. Compared with other solved CoVs N-NTD, we characterized the specificity surface electrostatic potential features of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD. Additionally, we further demonstrated the potential unique nucleotide-binding pocket characteristics. Our findings will aid in the development of new drugs that interfere with viral N protein in SARS-CoV-2.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cloning, expression and purification

The SARS-CoV-2 N-FL plasmid was a gift from Guangdong Medical Laboratory Animal Center (Guangzhou, China). We designed several constructs, including SARS-CoV-2 N-FL (residues from 1 to 419), SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD domain (residues from 41 to 174), and SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD domain (residues from 33 to 180) depending on secondary structure predictions and sequence conservation characteristics. The constructs were cloned into the pRSF-Duet-1 vector with N-terminal His-SUMO tag and...
expressed in *E. coli* strain Rosetta. SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD (residues from 41 to 174, termed as SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD in the main text) was induced with 0.1 mmol/L isopropylthio-β-galactoside (IPTG) and incubated overnight at 16 °C in the Terrific Broth media (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China). After Nickel column chromatography followed by ulp1 protease digestion for tag removal, SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD proteins were further purified via size-exclusion chromatography (with the buffer consisting of 20 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mmol/L sodium chloride, 1 mmol/L dithiothreitol), and then concentrated by ultrafiltration to a final concentration of 22 mg/mL.

### 2.2. Crystallization and data collection

Crystals were grown from a solution containing 10 mmol/L sodium acetate, 50 mmol/L sodium cacodylate (pH 6.5), 13% PEG8000 (Sigma—Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) by the hanging drop vapor diffusion method at 16 °C. Crystals were frozen in liquid nitrogen in reservoir solutions supplemented with 15% glycerol (v/v) as a cryoprotectant. X-ray diffraction data were collected at the South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Guangzhou, China) by Rigaku X-ray diffraction (XRD) instrument XtaLAB P200 007HF. The structure was solved by molecular replacement with the searching model (PDB: 2OG3) using PHENIX software suite. The X-ray diffraction and structure refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1.

### 2.3. Surface plasmon resonance analysis

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis was performed using a Biacore T200 with the CM5 sensor chip (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) at room temperature (25 °C). SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD was exchanged into PBS buffer via gel-filtration. The CM5 chip surface was activated for 10 min using 0.2 mol/L EDC/0.05 mol/L NHS. After the injection of 30 μg/mL protein in 10 mmol/L sodium acetate (pH 5.5) for three times to immobilize on one of channels of the CM5 chip up to ~5800 response units, 10 μL of 1 mol/L ethanolamine (pH 8.0) was used for blocking the remaining activated groups. Each of the analytes (AMP, GMP, UMP, and CMP) was dissolved in PBS (pH 7.4, for blocking the remaining activated groups. Each of the analytes (AMP, GMP, UMP, and CMP) was dissolved in PBS (pH 7.4, 0.05% NP-20) and flowed through the chip surface at a flow rate (0.2 mol/L EDC/0.05 mol/L NHS. After the injection of 30 μg/mL protein in 10 mmol/L sodium acetate, 50 mmol/L sodium cacodylate (pH 6.5), 13% PEG8000 (Sigma—Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) by the hanging drop vapor diffusion method at 16 °C. Crystals were frozen in liquid nitrogen in reservoir solutions supplemented with 15% glycerol (v/v) as a cryoprotectant. X-ray diffraction data were collected at the South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Guangzhou, China) by Rigaku X-ray diffraction (XRD) instrument XtaLAB P200 007HF. The structure was solved by molecular replacement with the searching model (PDB: 2OG3) using PHENIX software suite. The X-ray diffraction and structure refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1.

2.4. Biolayer interferometry assays

Biolayer interferometry assays (BLI) experiments were performed using an Octet RED96e instrument from ForteBio (Fremont, CA, USA). All assays were run at 25 °C with continuous 100 rpm shaking. PBS with 0.01% Tween-20 used as the assay buffer. Biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD proteins were tethered on super streptavidin (SSA) biosensors (ForteBio) by dipping sensors into 100 μg/mL protein solution. Average saturation response levels of 10–15 nm were achieved in 15 min for all samples. Sensors with protein tethered were washed in assay buffer for 10 min to eliminate nonspecifically bound protein molecules, and establish stable baselines before starting association–dissociation cycles with AMP/GMP/UMP/CMP. Raw kinetic data collected were processed in the data analysis software provided by the manufacturer using double reference subtraction in which both 0.01% Tween-20 only reference and inactive reference were

### Table 1 Data collection and refinement statistics.

| Item | SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD |
|------|-----------------|
| Protein Data Bank code | 6M3M |
| Wavelength (Å) | 1.5418 |
| Resolution range | 20.92–2.7 (2.796–2.7) |
| Space group | P 2_1 2_1 |
| Unit cell | a, b, c (Å) 58.88, 92.68, 97.32 |
| | α, β, γ (°) 90, 90, 90 |
| Total reflections | 98,913 (10,077) |
| Unique reflections | 15,133 (1481) |
| Multiplicity | 6.5 (6.8) |
| Completeness (%) | 99.55 (99.80) |
| Mean I/σ(I) | 14.97 (2.9) |
| Wilson B-factor | 33.94 |
| R-merge | 0.1043 (0.3172) |
| R-meas | 0.1135 (0.3436) |
| R-pim | 0.04388 (0.1303) |
| CC1/2 | 0.991 (0.96) |
| CC | 0.998 (0.99) |
| Reflections used in refinement | 15,126 (1481) |
| Reflections used for R-free | 1514 (138) |
| R-work | 0.2578 (0.3551) |
| R-free | 0.2934 (0.4058) |
| CC (work) | 0.908 (0.692) |
| CC (free) | 0.851 (0.635) |
| Number of non-hydrogen atoms | 3952 |
| Macromolecules | 3822 |
| Solvent | 130 |
| Protein residues | 499 |
| RMS (bonds) (Å) | 0.004 |
| RMS (angles) (°) | 0.72 |
| Ramachandran favored (%) | 96.48 |
| Ramachandran allowed (%) | 3.52 |
| Ramachandran outliers (%) | 0.00 |
| Rotamer outliers (%) | 0.00 |
| Clashscore | 11.15 |
| Average B-factor | 31.60 |
| Solvent 1 | 24.03 |
| Solvent 2 | 31.86 |

*Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.

R-merge is calculated in an identical manner using 10% of independent (multiplicity-weighted) reflections. R-free is calculated in an identical manner using 10% of randomly selected reflections that were not included in the refinement.
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subtracted. Resulting data were analyzed based on the 1:1 binding model from which $K_{on}$ and $K_{off}$ values were obtained, and then $K_D$ values were calculated.

3. Results

3.1. Sequence features of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein

It has been reported that the complete genome of SARS-CoV-2 (GenBank: MN908947, Wuhan-Hu-1 coronavirus) is 29.9 kb in length, similarly to 27.9 kb SARS-CoV and 30.1 kb MERS-CoV genome\(^1\,^3\,^4\) (Fig. 1A). Nucleocapsid (N) protein is translated from the 3° end structural ORF\(^5\,^6\,^7\). According to Virus Variation Resource in National Center for Biotechnology Information database\(^8\), SARS-CoV-2 N protein-encoding regions are conserved among the known NCBI 103 genome datasets. Only a few variations (S194L in virus strain Foshan/20SF207/2020, K249I in virus strain Wuhan/IVDC-HB-envF13-21/2020, P344S in virus strain Guangzhou/20SF206/2020) in N protein are found in public genomic epidemiology. Overall domain architecture of N protein among four coronaviruses (SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and HCoV-OC43) is shown in Fig. 1B, which indicates that SARS-CoV-2 shares typical characteristics with other coronaviruses. Zooming into the completed genomic sequence of SARS-CoV-2 N protein-encoding region, we found that the sequence identities between SARS-CoV-2 with SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and HCoV-OC43 were 89.74%, 48.59%, 35.62%, respectively. The complete statistics for data collection, phasing and refinement are presented in Table 1. Unlike to SARS-CoV N-NTD, we solved the structure at 2.7 Å resolution using X-ray crystallography technology. Briefly, 47–173 residues of SARS-CoV-2 N protein were constructed, expressed, and purified as described protocol (Section 2 Materials and methods). The structure of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD was determined by molecular replacement using the SARS-CoV N-NTD structure (PDB:2OIG3) as the search model\(^2\). The final structure was refined to $R$-factor and $R$-free values of 0.26 and 0.29, respectively. The complete statistics for data collection, phasing and refinement are presented in Table 1. Unlike to SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD crystals packing modes (monoclinic form at 2OFZ, cubic form at 2OG3)\(^2\), SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD crystal shows orthorhombic crystal packing form with four N-NTD monomers in one asymmetry unit (Fig. 2A). In a previous study, Saikatendu et al.\(^2\) found that SARS-CoV N-NTD had two different packing modes in distinct crystal forms. The symmetry molecules in the monoclinic crystal form pack in a head-to-head linear 3D array, with most of the interfacing interactions being made by residues of the positively charged $\beta$-hairpin (Supporting Information Fig. 5A). In the cubic crystal form, the SARS-CoV N-NTD packs in a helical tubules array (Fig. S3B). In our study, SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD packs into orthorhombic crystal form, where the interfacing interactions are formed by residues of $\beta$-hairpin fingers and palm regions (Fig. S3C). Although evidence for real RNP organization in the mature virions is lacking, the differences in the crystal packing patterns may implicate other potential contacts in SARS-CoV-2 RNP formation process. All four monomers in one asymmetric unit of the SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD crystal structure shared similar right-handed (loops)-(β-sheet core)-(loops) sandwiched fold, as conserved among the CoVs N-NTD (Fig. 2B). The $\beta$-sheet core consists of five antiparallel $\beta$-strands with a single short $\alpha$-helix just before strand $\beta2$, and a protruding $\beta$-hairpin between strands $\beta2$ and $\beta5$. The $\beta$-hairpin is functionally essential for CoV N-NTD, implicated in mutational analysis of amino acid residues for RNA binding\(^9\) (Fig. 2C). The SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD is enriched in aromatic and basic residues, folding into a right-hand shape, which resembles with a protruded basic finger, a basic palm, and an acidic wrist (Fig. 2D).

3.3. Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD with related viral N-NTD structures

To obtain more specific information, we first mapped the conserved residues between SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD with SARS-CoV N-NTD, MERS-CoV N-NTD, and HCoV-OC43 N-NTD, respectively (Fig. 3A). The most conserved residues distribute on the basic palm region (Fig. 3A, blue and green area), while the less conserved residues locate in basic fingers and acidic wrist regions (Fig. 3A, pink and red area). The available CoVs N-NTD crystal structures allowed us to compare the electrostatic potential on the surface. As shown in Fig. 3B, although CoV N-NTDs all adapt similar overall organizations, the surface charge distribution patterns are different. Consistently with our observations, the previous modeling of related coronaviral N-NTDs also showed markedly differ in surface charge distributions\(^2\). The superimposition of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD with three kinds of CoVs N-NTD is shown in Fig. 3C. The SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD is enriched in aromatic and basic residues, folding into a right-hand movement in the $\beta$-hairpin region forward to nucleotide binding site (Fig. 3C, left panel). While compared with MERS-CoV N-NTD, SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD shows a less extended $\beta$-hairpin region, and a distinct relax N-terminal tail (Fig. 3C, middle panel). Inconsistently, SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD shows a distinct loosen N-terminal tail, and a 2 Å movement in the $\beta$-hairpin region backward to the opposite side of nucleotide binding site when the structure is compared with HCoV-OC43 N-NTD (Fig. 3C, right panel). These differences dramatically change the surface characterizations of the protein, which may result in the RNA-binding cleft being adaptive to its RNA genome.

3.4. A potential unique drug targeting pocket in SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD

Although there are several CoV N-NTDs structures solved, the structural basis for ribonucleoside $5'\text{-monophosphate}$ binding of N protein had only been described in HCoV-OC43, a relative type typically causing mild cold symptoms\(^1\). Since the surface characterizations of N-NTD between SARS-CoV-2 with HCoV-OC43 are distinct, we next explored the differences of RNA binding mechanistic basis with superimposition analysis. Previous studies have shown that HCoV-OC43 N-NTD contained adenosine monophosphate (AMP)/uridine monophosphate (UMP)/cytosine monophosphate (CMP)/guanosine monophosphate (GMP) binding site alongside the middle two $\beta$-strands of its $\beta$-sheets core\(^3\). In the complex structure of HCoV-OC43 N-NTD with ribonucleotides, the phosphate group was bound by Arg122 and Gly68 via...
ionic interactions, the pentose sugar ribose 2′-hydroxyl group was recognized by Ser64 and Arg164, the nitrogenous base was inserted into a hydrophobic pocket consisting of Phe57, Pro61, Tyr63, Tyr102, Tyr124, and Tyr126, mainly interacted with Tyr124 via π−π stacking forces (Supporting Information Fig. S4). It is proposed that this ribonucleotide binding mechanism is essential for all coronavirus N proteins, applying to develop CoV N-NTD-target agents.

To obtain the structure information of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD ribonucleotide binding site, we made a superimposition of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD with HCoV-OC43 N-NTD-AMP complex. As expected, the root mean square deviation (RMSD) between these two structure coordinates is 1.4 Å over 136 superimposed Cα atoms. However, several differences around the ribonucleotide binding site were shown as the superimposition of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD with HCoV-OC43 N-NTD. The major difference is the N-terminal tail movement contributed to the change of N-NTD surface charge distribution, at which the nucleotide binding cavity became easier to access in SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD (Fig. 4A). The second difference is on phosphate group binding site, where SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD has larger side-chain residues (55 TA 56) compared with HCoV-OC43 N-NTD equivalents (67 SG 68) (Fig. 4D). Structural superimposition suggested additional polar properties of Thr55 and Ala56 in SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD may increase the steric clash with ribonucleotide phosphate moiety (Fig. 4E and F). The third difference is on the edge of the nitrogenous base recognized hydrophobic pocket, where SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD had Arg89 residues compared with HCoV-OC43 N-NTD Tyr102 equivalents (Fig. 4G). The change of these residue sidechain may lead to dramatic decreasing of non-polar properties and increasing of polar features in the nitrogenous base binding site (Fig. 4H and I).

To evaluate these different observations in our structure, we next performed surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis experiments.
to assess the binding affinity between SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD with all four kinds of ribonucleotide AMP/UMP/CMP/GMP. Intriguingly, all 5'-monophosphate ribonucleotides, excepted for GMP ($K_D$ value is 8 mmol/L), show little binding signals in assays (Supporting Information Fig. S5). Similar results were shown in the Bio-Layer Interferometry (Octet RED96e, Fortebio) interaction analysis for SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD with all four kinds of ribonucleotide AMP/UMP/CMP/GMP (Supporting Information Fig. S5).
Figure 3  Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD with related viral N-NTD structures. (A) Mapping the conserved surfaces of four CoV N-NTDs in SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD structure. The multiple sequence alignment used for mapping is shown in Fig. 1C. Blue denotes conserved residues among 4 CoVs N-NTDs; green denotes one variation among 4 CoVs N-NTDs; pink denotes two variations among 4 CoVs N-NTDs; red denotes three variations among 4 CoVs N-NTDs. (B) Electrostatic surface of the SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD, SARS-CoV N-NTD, MERS-CoV N-NTD, HCoV-OC43 N-NTD. Blue denotes positive charge potential, while red indicates negative charge potential. (C) Overall structural comparison of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD with related viral N-NTD structures. Left: superimposition of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD (blue) to SARS-CoV N-NTD (green); middle: superimposition of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD (blue) to MERS-CoV N-NTD (brown); right: superimposition of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD (blue) to HCoV-OC43 N-NTD (orange).
Figure 4  A potential unique drug target pocket in SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD. (A) Detailed view of ribonucleotide binding pocket in superimposition structures between SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD with HCoV-OC43 N-NTD AMP complex. AMP, interacting residues and equivalents are highlighted with stick representation. (B) Electrostatic surface of the potential ribonucleotide binding pocket on SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD. (C) Electrostatic surface of the ribonucleotide binding pocket on HCoV-OC43 N-NTD. (D) Detailed view of phosphate group binding site in superimposition structures between SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD with HCoV-OC43 N-NTD AMP complex. (E) Dot representation of SARS-CoV-2 residues Thr55 and Ala56, which indicates potential steric clashes with the ribonucleotide phosphate group. (F) Dot representation of HCoV-OC43 N-NTD residues Ser67 and Gly68. (G) Detailed view of the nitrogenous base binding site in superimposition structures between SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD with HCoV-OC43 N-NTD AMP complex. (H) Electrostatic surface of the potential ribonucleotide nitrogenous base binding pocket on SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD. (I) Electrostatic surface of the ribonucleotide nitrogenous base binding pocket on HCoV-OC43 N-NTD. In electrostatic surface potential panels, blue denotes positive charge potential, while red indicates negative charge potential. The potential distribution was calculated by Pymol. The values range from $-6kT$ (red) to 0 (white) and to $+6kT$ (blue), where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $T$ is the temperature.
N protein N-terminal domain, revealing the specific surface reported, Lin et al.41 demonstrated several general features for (AMP, CMP, GMP, UMP, and inhibitor PJ34, respectively) were crystal structures of the CoV N-NTD in complex with five ligands phosphate moiety recognition site. In a previous study in which binding that may be excluded by residues Thr55 and Ala56 in the guanosine base recognition despite the overall ribonucleotide into fitting with viral RNA genomic high order structure. Residues in HCoV-OC43 N-NTD, possibly opening up the binding pocket. Altogether, the above results suggest potential distinct ribonucleotide-binding patterns between SARS-CoV-2 N protein with HCoV-OC43 N protein.

To calculate the pocket volume, we input the coordinate files of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD and other CoVs N-NTD into the online web server DoGSiteScorer27. The server predicted potential pockets, described them through descriptors, and queried the model for druggability estimations. A druggability score between 0 and 1 was returned. The higher the score, the more druggable the pocket is estimated to be. As shown in Supporting Information Fig. S8A, a pocket volume of 279 Å³ is predicted on the SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD. SARS-CoV N-NTD and MERS-CoV N-NTD pocket volumes are similar as SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD’s volume (Fig. S8B and S8C), whereas mild type coronavirus HCoV-OC43 has a larger pocket with a volume of 352 Å³ (Fig. S8D). Their pocket surfaces are distinct among SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD, SARS-CoV N-NTD, and MERS-CoV N-NTD, with different enclosure ratio and surface/volume values (Fig. S8E).

4. Discussion

Structure-based drug discovery has been shown to be a promising approach for the development of new therapeutics. Many ongoing studies are developed to treat COVID-19 primarily targeting the spike protein, viral proteases (3C-like protease, and papain-like protease). However, there is little effective targeted therapeutic currently. Recent studies demonstrated that N proteins would be an excellent drug-targeting candidate in other CoVs since they had several critical functions, such as RNA genomic packing, viral transcription and assembly, in the infectious cell11. However, the molecular basis of SARS-CoV-2 N protein is yet to be elucidated. Here, we present the 2.7 Å crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 N protein N-terminal domain, revealing the specific surface charge distributions which may facilitate drug discovery specifically to SARS-CoV-2 N protein ribonucleotide binding domain.

On the structural basis of SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD, several residues in the ribonucleotide binding domain were found to recognize the CoV RNA substrates distinctly. The N-terminal tail residues (Asn48, Asn49, Thr50, and Ala51) is found to be more flexible and extended outward compared with equivalent residues in HCoV-OC43 N-NTD, possibly opening up the binding pocket into fitting with viral RNA genomic high order structure. Residues Arg89, instead of HCoV-OC43 N-NTD Tyr102, may contribute to guanosine base recognition despite the overall ribonucleotide binding that may be excluded by residues Thr55 and Ala56 in the phosphate moiety recognition site. In a previous study in which crystal structures of the CoV N-NTD in complex with five ligands (AMP, CMP, GMP, UMP, and inhibitor PJ34, respectively) were reported, Lin et al.41 demonstrated several general features for developing the hCoV-OC43 N-NTD targeting agents. The first feature is a polycyclic aromatic core (nitrogenous base in ribonucleotides), which is required to enable π−π stacking with the Tyr102 residues. The second feature is hydrogen-bond-forming moieties to the aromatic core mediates specific interactions with the N-NTD (nitrogenous base in ribonucleotides). Third, attaching a branching moiety (or moieties) that fits the ribonucleotide-binding pocket can enhance the drug affinity and specificity. In our SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD structure, the observed differences affect the above features in the pocket. For example, the Arg89 in SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD will decrease the hydrogen-bond-forming moieties to the aromatic core (this effect may be weakened for guanosine base recognition as the arginine–guanosine interactions are the most abundant contacts found in the amino acid–nucleotide interactions). Additionally, the branching phosphate group binding site in SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD structure is different from hCoV-OC43 N-NTD one, at which Thr55 and Ala56 in SARS-CoV-2 N-NTD may increase the steric clash with ribonucleotide phosphate moiety. Therefore, the above results suggest that the introduction of a hydrogen-bond-forming moiety (a guanosine base like moiety) at the base recognition site and avoiding the steric clash at the branching phosphate group binding site would benefit the high-affinity ligand development.

Until now, seven coronaviruses have been identified as human-susceptible viruses, among which HCoV-229E, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-HKU1, and HCoV-OC43 with low pathogenicity cause mild respiratory symptoms similar as the common cold. In contrast, the other three betacoronaviruses (SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV) lead to severe and potentially fatal respiratory tract infections33,43,44. A previous study reported the structural basis of HCoV-OC43 N-NTD with AMP, GMP, UMP, CMP, and a virtual screening-based compound PJ34. However, our data suggested that SARS-CoV-2 employed a unique pattern for binding ribonucleotides with atomic resolution information. The structure not only helps us to understand the ribonucleotide-binding mechanisms between severe infectious coronavirus with mild infectious one, but also guide the design of novel antiviral agents specific targeting to SARS-CoV-2.
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