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Abstract – In this work is presented the design of a direct discrete-time reduced order RMRAC (Robust Model Reference Adaptive Control) applied to the grid-side current control of a static grid-tied voltage-fed 3-wire converter with LCL filter. The proposed controller tracks the reference model output as close as a higher order RMRAC, with similar performance. Furthermore, it rejects exogenous disturbances from grid without the need of conventional resonant controllers, often employed in this kind of application. To design the reduced order controller, the LCL filter is approximated to a first order transfer function, neglecting the capacitor influence. Besides, it is shown mathematically that capacitor is the main element that compounds the additive dynamics, which is considered as unmodelled dynamics to design the controller. Furthermore, experimental results performed in a TMS320F28335 Delfino microcontroller are presented and show the similarity of performance between proposed control method and higher order RMRAC, regarding to harmonics content, which indicate its feasibility.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Distributed power generation systems based on renewable energy sources are fast growing worldwide in the last years [1], once electrical generation remains very intense. To contribute to this continuous expansion, thermal power stations utilise fossil matter that generates pollution and show no tendency to reduce energy production costs [2], while renewable energy is essentially unlimited and environmentally sustainable [3].

In these renewable energy applications, modulated voltage-source converters have been more and more used [4]. To realise connection of static power converters to the electrical grid, L or LCL filters are commonly used [5], because voltage-fed converters modulated by PWM (Pulse Width Modulation) present high harmonic contents of voltage at their outputs, which are reflected in the current. Therefore, to keep the current harmonics from commutations below standard levels, the converters are connected to the grid through filters [6], generally L or LCL filters. Between the two most popular filters, LCL filter has some advantages over L filter, such as higher harmonic attenuation [7]–[9], which is −60dB/dec, while L filter attenuates only −20dB/dec. In addition, although the LCL filter has a more complex topology compared to the L filter, LCL filter is built with smaller reactive elements, which results in a lower cost, weight, switching frequency and reactive power consumption in the grid fundamental frequency [10], [11]. Thus, in this work, an LCL filter is used as interface between converter and the grid.

However, high resonance peaks in the LCL filter at uncertain frequencies may turn the current control loop unstable [7], consequently reducing the grid power quality [12]. There are techniques in the literature to dampen this resonance peak using passive or active damping. The first method is dependent on the grid characteristics at PCC (Point of Common Coupling), which in high powers entails high cost of energy. In this method, a resistor is connected in series with the filter capacitor, which dampens current oscillations. However, this method increases power losses and decreases high order harmonics attenuation [13]. By the other hand, the active damping methods are based on the application of a controller, without adding any external passive components. In the literature, there are several approaches to control grid-side currents of LCL filter, including: PI (Proportional-Integral) [10], [14], [15], PI with Resonant Controller [12], [16], Multi-resonant Control [17], Optimal Control [18], [19], Robust Control [20]–[23], Sliding Mode Control [24], [25], Predictive Control [26], among others.

Even based on fixed gain controllers, these approaches demonstrate good results. However, it is noteworthy that LCL filter inductance, converter side and mainly grid side, may vary due to the influence of magnetic permeability of the core, which is a function of converter-side current amplitude and due to the short circuit power in the PCC, respectively [27]. Therefore, fixed gain controllers do not guarantee good performance against unmodelled dynamics or parametric variations outside the projected operating range, because their stability margin are not very high and their harmonic suppression abilities are limited. At this point, robust adaptive controllers stand out advantageously over fixed gain controllers, because their gains are adjusted online, what makes them suitable for treating plants with uncertain parameters, such as grid-tied converters with LCL filters, where the line impedance is unknown. In the literature, there are works that mitigate LCL filter resonance with robust adaptive controllers, such as: [9], [27]–[34].

In the works [9], [29] are presented third-order discrete-time RMRAC (Robust Model Reference Adaptive Control) based...
on modified RLS (Recursive Least Squares) algorithms. In these works experimental results proved the good performance of adaptive controllers dealing with grid-tied converters by LCL filter. In these works, controllers reject exogenous disturbance without conventional Resonant Controllers and tracked model reference output very closely. On the other hand, in [30], a multi-loop control is proposed for grid-side currents control. The controller is composed by a discrete-time MRAC (Model Reference Adaptive Control) with Gradient algorithm as outer loop for compensation of disturbances and compare two control strategy (a Proportional plus Derivative and a Proportional controller) based on capacitor voltage or capacitor current feedback for inner loop, designed for reference tracking. By means of simulations, authors shown that capacitor current feedback-based controller presented more robustness than capacitor voltage feedback-based controller. In other approach, in [32], a system sensitivity based-control strategy was proposed. In this work, authors developed a new adaptive control strategy that involves the elimination of sensitivity error and can be suitable for different control systems, although only simulation results were presented to validate the proposed approach. Next, in [34], an Adaptive Notch Filter-based PI control was proposed to deal with LCL resonance peaks. Again, simulation results were presented to validate control system and demonstrated good results with mitigation of the LCL filter resonance that leads to a high quality current injection into the electrical grid. Differently from aforementioned works, in [28], authors proposed to combine a deadbeat controller and an adaptive one to guarantee zero error in steady state on current control. In this research, both controllers work in parallel, maintaining high-speed response typical from deadbeat controllers and adaptability from another. Finally, in [31], [33], authors combined MRAC (Model Reference Adaptive Control) with SMC (Sliding Mode Control), with tracking error as its surface, to obtain new robust adaptive controllers. By means of simulation, exogenous disturbances were properly rejected with these active methods of mitigation.

As an alternative to these works, it is proposed a lower computational burden direct discrete-time RMRAC approach by reduction of its order that reduces significantly the time processing of control algorithm, simplifies the design and reduces implementation complexity, maintaining similar performance, regarding to harmonics content, which are the main contributions of this paper. To design this reduced order controller, the LCL filter model is approximated to a first order transfer function, neglecting the capacitor influence, which is considered as an additive dynamics. Besides, it is shown mathematically that capacitor is the main dynamics that compounds the additive dynamics. Furthermore, the adaptation law used to adjust controller gains is a Gradient algorithm, which requires significantly less calculation than aforementioned RLS algorithms, due to its simple structure.

Currently, there are a few works in the literature dealing with order reduction of RMRAC applied to grid-tied power converters with LCL filters, such as [27], where a MRAC, without robustness guaranteed and based on a second-order model reference, is presented. Then, in this work is shown through experimental results, that proposed first-order active damping strategy is suitable for this application and obtain satisfactory results, respecting IEEE 1547 Standard.

For the controller design it will be assumed that the grid is predominantly inductive, which is plausible for high power systems. In addition, it will also be considered that there is only one converter connected to the PCC and that there are no nonlinear loads and effects from capacitive banks, to avoid increase the system order, because the parametric uncertainties and exogenous disturbances present in grid-tied power systems already make the discrete-time control project a nontrivial task [12].

Moreover, it is known that continuous plants with relative degree greater than 2, when discretised by Z transform, have zeros outside of unit circle at Z plane (i.e., discrete-time nonminimum-phase plants) [35]. The LCL filter is typically modelled as a third order plant with relative degree equal to 3, in continuous-time. In contrast to minimum-phase plants, where the effects of zeros can be cancelled with stable poles, nonminimum zeros can not be cancelled inserting unstable poles. In the literature, there are some techniques that deal with nonminimum-phase plants, however these techniques result in more complex control projects due to the increase of new parameters to be designed (see [36]–[38]), for continuous-time applications. In RMRAC strategy it is not different, we cannot cancel the nonminimum-phase zeros inserting poles, as it is made with minimum-phase zeros by means of Model Reference Control theory. Thus, it is necessary to circumvent this problem, maintaining reference tracking, robustness and stability. When approaching the plant to an equivalent reduced order model, the plant nonminimum phase issue is favourably circumvented, by considering only a portion of known part of the plant in the control design. However, it must be taken into account that there will be influence of the unmodelled dynamics on the plant response experimentally, including the neglected dynamics on control design.

The reminder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents the mathematical model of grid-tied converter with LCL filter and the plant order reduction for reduced order controller design. Following, in Section 3 and 4 are presented the high order direct RMRAC and proposed reduced order RMRAC approach, theory and design, both in discrete-time and with experimental results, respectively. Finally, Section 5 gives the conclusion of this work.

II. GRID-TIED CONVERTER WITH LCL FILTER

The system consists of a primary power source, capacitor bank, converter and LCL filter. The primary power source may be a wind generator, photovoltaic panel or any other form of distributed power generation. In this work, the power source is a continuous voltage source. The capacitor bank is used for the energy accumulation in the form of direct voltage and converter performs the DC-AC (Direct Current to Alternating Current) conversion of the energy stored in the capacitor bank, generating the control voltages, necessary to control of grid-injected currents. Also, a microcontroller is responsible by taking converter voltages and currents, PCC voltage and line voltages measurements and store them, as well as, control law computation and converter switching, here a SVM (Space Vector Modulation) technique.
To simplify the modelling of this system the following assumptions are assumed to be true:
A1) the power grid is assumed to be predominantly inductive, modelled by a sinusoidal source \( V_d \) in series with an inductance \( L_g \) with parasitic resistance \( R_g \);
A2) the input bus is assumed stabilised and represented by a DC source;
A3) Filter output voltage and PCC voltage have guaranteed synchronism;
A4) converter switches are considered ideal.

The electrical diagram of this system is shown in Figure 1. Note that the equivalent LCL circuit is represented by Thevenin equivalent in relation to the PCC. Also, \( R_c \) and \( L_c \) are the converter resistance and inductance, respectively. In addition, \( C \) is the capacitance of the LCL filter and \( L_g = L_{g1} + L_{g2} \) and \( R_g = R_{g1} + R_{g2} \), which are the total grid-side inductance and total grid-side resistance, respectively.

As the \( abc \) coordinate model is coupled [27], the control design becomes a complex task. Then, the \( abc \) coordinate model is transformed into its equivalent \( \alpha \beta \)0 coordinates model, as shown in Figure 2. It results on two identical decoupled single-phase linear time-invariant (LIT) systems, which can be controlled independently. Note that, considering equilibrated phases, there is no path for current conduction on the 0 axis, and so it can be disregarded. The transformation Clarke Transform, is shown below [39],

\[
T_{\alpha\beta0} = \frac{2}{3} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

It is noteworthy that this transformation, with the term 2/3, is known as the invariant transformation in voltage and current, since the magnitude of coordinate quantities \( \alpha \beta0 \) is equal to their correspondent magnitude on \( abc \) coordinates.

For simplicity, consider only one single-phase circuit from Figure 2. The transfer function of decoupled single-phase LIT systems, with disconnected converter (\( v_d = 0 \)), results

\[
G(s) = \frac{i_g(s)}{u(s)} = \frac{1}{a_1 s^3 + a_2 s^2 + a_3 s + a_4},
\]

where \( i_g(s) \) and \( u(s) \) are the grid-side currents and the voltage synthesised by converter, respectively. Besides, \( a_1 = L_g L_c \), \( a_2 = (R_g L_c + L_g) C \), \( a_3 = L_c + L_g + R_g C \) and \( a_4 = R_g + R_c \). Or yet,

\[
G(s) = \frac{1}{s^3 + \frac{(R_g L_c + L_g) C}{L_g L_c} s^2 + \frac{(L_c + L_g + R_g C) C}{L_g L_c} s + \frac{R_g + R_c}{L_g L_c}}.
\]

### A. Reduced System Model

The nominal plant parameters are presented in Table I. The filter was designed following the steps presented on [8]. It is noteworthy that parasitic resistance \( R_c \) and \( R_{g1} \) are only approximate values, since they vary on plant.

| Symbol | Parameter | Value |
|--------|-----------|-------|
| \( L_c \) | Converter-side inductance | 1mH |
| \( R_c \) | Converter-side resistance | 50Ω |
| \( C \) | Capacitance of LCL filter | 6.2\( \mu \)F |
| \( L_{g1} \) | Grid-side inductance | 0.3mH |
| \( R_{g2} \) | Grid-side resistance | 50Ω |

For the purpose of designing a reduced order adaptive controller, the plant was modelled as

\[
G(s) = G_0(s) + \mu \Delta_0(s),
\]

![Fig. 1. Grid-tied voltage-fed 3-wire static converter with LCL filter](image)

![Fig. 2. Equivalent circuit of grid-tied voltage-fed 3-wire static converter with LCL filter in \( \alpha \beta \) coordinates, with equilibrated phases](image)
in α and β, where \( G_0(s) \) is the part considered known, for reduced order controller design, and \( µA_\Delta \) is an additive dynamics. The \( G_0(s) \) part was modelled as a first order transfer function, obtained considering \( C = 0 \) in (2), which corresponds to the real pole of the continuous-time plant,

\[
G_0(s) = \frac{1}{(L_c + L_g)s + R_c + R_g}.
\]  

(5)

Or yet,

\[
G_0(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{R_c + R_g}{Z_p}}.
\]

(6)

By the other hand, the \( µA_\Delta \) part corresponds to the pair of complexes conjugate poles, considered as unmodelled dynamics from the point of controller’s view. The \( µA_\Delta \) dynamics is calculated by \( G(s) - G_0(s) \). Then, subtracting (5) from (2), follows

\[
µA_\Delta(s) = -\frac{L_gL_c s^3 + (R_cL_c + R_lL_g) s^2 + R_gR_c s}{a_5t_0},
\]

(7)

where \( a_5 = L_gL_c s^3 + (R_cL_c + R_lL_g) s^2 + (L_c + L_g + R_cR_c) s + R_g + R_c \) and \( a_6 = (L_c + L_g) s + R_c + R_g \). From (7), is clear that \( µ = C \), which is the main contribution for unmodelled dynamics. Therefore, a bigger capacitor, will imply on lower resonance frequency and consequently, more influential this dynamics will be on plant response. Then, to neglect the capacitor on LCL filter modelling, with objective of designing a reduced order controller, the LCL filter capacitance have to be \( C \in [0, C^*] \), where \( C^* \) the maximum value of capacitance that can be considered as non-dominant dynamics in the response of the LCL filter. As, generally, on the LCL filter designs, the capacitor value is limited by the decrease of the power factor at rated power, commonly less than 5% [8]; then, in these cases, this order reduction of the LCL filter model, can be applied for the purpose of designing robust adaptive controllers.

The Bode diagram, shown in Figure 3, shows the dynamics of the complete plant model, \( G(s) \), the reduced plant model, \( G_0(s) \), and additive dynamics, \( µA_\Delta \).

III. DISCRETE-TIME ROBUST MODEL REFERENCE ADAPTIVE CONTROL

In this Section is presented the direct Discrete-Time RMRAC theory, design and experimental results. For RMRAC strategies, the plant is described as

\[
G(z) = G_0(z)[1 + µA_\Delta(z)] + µA_\Delta(z),
\]

(8)

where \( µA_\Delta \) is the unmodelled multiplicative dynamics and the modelled part of the plant is described as

\[
G_0(z) = k_p \frac{Z_p(z)}{R_p(z)}.
\]

(9)

To be implementable, the plant is subject to following assumptions:

P1) The signal of high gain \( k_p \) is known;

P2) \( Z_p(z) \) and \( R_p(z) \) are monic polynomials of degree \( m \) and

\[ n, \text{ respectively;}
\]

P3) \( Z_p(z) \) is a Schur polynomial;

P4) \( \Delta_m(z) \) is a Schur transfer function;

P5) \( \Delta_\Delta(z) \) is a strictly proper Schur transfer function;

P6) The lower bound on stability margin of additive dynamics \( \Delta_\Delta(z) \) and multiplicative dynamics \( \Delta_m(z) \) are known.

Relating the assumptions to the plant, we note that all assumptions are conformed or is satisfiable. For assumption P1, note that \( k_p \) of LCL model and its reduced order model, are known. Furthermore, by discrete-time transfer functions knowledge, the monic polynomials degrees are acquired, satisfying assumption P2. Besides, assumption P3 is also satisfied, once the polynomial \( Z_p(z) \) is complete. Finally, assumptions P4 to P6 can be satisfiable depending on unmodelled dynamics, which the plant is subject. Note that, by approximating LCL filter to a first order transfer function in (5) and thus, the unmodelled dynamics are considered as non-dominant in the LCL filter. As, generally, on the LCL filter designs, the capacitor value is limited by the decrease of the power factor at rated power, commonly less than 5% [8]; then, in these cases, this order reduction of the LCL filter model, can be applied for the purpose of designing robust adaptive controllers.

The reference model is described as

\[
W_m(z) = \frac{k_m}{R_m(z)},
\]

(10)

where \( k_m \) is the \( W_m(z) \) high frequency gain. It has to satisfy the following assumption:

P7) \( R_m(z) \) is an arbitrary Schur monic polynomial of relative degree \( n^* = n - m > 0 \), which is easily conformed, once the model reference is chosen by designer.

The structure of these controllers for SISO plants is well-discussed in [40]. The control action is determined from

\[
\theta^T(k) \omega(k) + r(k) = 0,
\]

(11)

where \( r(k) \) is the reference signal, \( \theta(k) \) is the adaptive gains vector and \( \omega(k) \) are reconstructive filters, composed by \( \omega_1(k) \) and \( \omega_2(k) \), which have the following structure:

\[
\omega_1(k + 1) = (I + FT) \omega_1(k) + q_u(k),
\]

\[
\omega_2(k + 1) = (I + FT) \omega_2(k) + q_y(k),
\]

(12)
where \( I \) is an identity matrix of dimensions \( n \times n \) and \((F, g)\) is a controllable pair with a stable matrix \( F \) and a controllable parameters vector \( g \), with dimension \( n_p - 1 \times n_p - 1 \) and \( n_p - 1 \), respectively [41]. This approach is known as input-output filters reconstruct internal states of the plant, which will not necessarily be the plant states on state space.

The gain adaptation law is the Gradient algorithm, calculated as follows [40],

\[
\theta(k+1) = \theta(k) - T_s \sigma(k) \Gamma \theta(k) - T_s \kappa \Gamma \zeta(k) e(k) \frac{m^2(k)}{m^2(k)},
\]

(13)

where the augmented error, \( e(k) \), is

\[
e(k) = e_1^T(k) + \theta^T(k) \zeta(k) - y_m(k),
\]

(14)

and the auxiliary vector \( \zeta \) is

\[
\zeta = W_m(z) \omega,
\]

(15)

being \( e_1(k) \) the tracking error, given by \( e_1 = y(k) - y_m(k) \). Moreover, \( \zeta(k) \) is the vector \( \omega(k) \) filtered by reference model, \( W_m(z) \). In addition, the majorant signal, used to ensure that all closed-loop signals are bounded, is given by

\[
m^2(k) = m^2(k) + \zeta^T(k) \Gamma \zeta(k),
\]

(16)

where

\[
m(k+1) = (1 - T_s \delta_0) m(k) + T_s \delta_1 (1 + |u(k)| + |y(k)|),
\]

(17)

being \( m(0) = \delta_1 / \delta_0 \) and \( \delta_0 + \delta_1 \leq \min[p_0, q_0] \). Also, \( \delta_1 > 0 \) and \( q_0 > 0 \) such that poles of \( W_m(z - q_0) \) and eigenvalues of \( F + q_0 I \) are stable, and \( 0 < p_0 < 1 \) is the known lower bound on the stability margin of \( p \), where \( p \) are the poles of \( \Delta_n(z - p) \) are stable [41].

The \( \Gamma \) parameter is a symmetric positive matrix, with dimension \( n \times n \), which defines the convergence rate of plant response relative to the reference model output. Since this parameter also compound the majorant signal \( m^2(k) \), its range of choice is considerably large. However, to accelerate parametric convergence, a positive factor \( \kappa \) is used on (13). It is emphasised that majorant signal \( m^2(k) \) can be modified according to the project’s needs. Furthermore, it was also incorporated the \( \sigma \)-modification to the parameter adaptation algorithm, to increase its robustness and avoid parameters drifting [42]. This modification is given by

\[
\sigma(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \| \theta(k) \| \leq M_0 \\
\sigma_0 \left( \frac{\| \theta(k) \|}{M_0} - 1 \right) & \text{if } M_0 \leq \| \theta(k) \| < 2M_0, \\
\sigma_0 & \text{if } \| \theta(k) \| \geq 2M_0 \end{cases}
\]

(18)

where \( M_0 > \| \theta^* \| \) is the upper limit of \( \theta(k) \) norm, oversized due to lack of knowledge of \( \| \theta^* \| \) and \( \sigma_0 \) is the maximum value of the modification function. The block diagram of this control strategy is shown in Figure 4. Note that tracking error is calculated in relation to the model reference output, however, the reference signal is also used on control law. Besides, the control law and measure system output are filtered and the resulting signals, with majorant signal and augmented error, are also used to the adaptive gains computation.

As the grid-tied voltage-fed 3-wire static converter with LCL filter is a third order system, then \( \omega_1(k) \) and \( \omega_2(k) \) filters has dimension \( 2 \times 2 \). Thus, the vector \( \omega(k) \) is given by \( \omega(k) = [ \omega_1(k) \omega_2(k) \omega_21(k) \omega_22(k) y(k) u(k) V_c(k) V_c(k)^T ]^T \), where \( V_c(k) \) and \( V_c(k) \) are the phase and quadrate components of the exogenous disturbance, respectively. In this grid-tied application, the disturbance is the grid fundamental harmonic. Note that \( u(k) \) is inside \( \omega(k) \) vector, and the implementable control law will present the \( \theta_i(k) \) gain in the denominator. This is the only parameter that must be initialised with correct signal, to avoid division by zero.

The phase \( V_c(k) \) and quadrature \( V_c(k) \) components of the grid disturbance are described by \( V_c(k) = A_s \sin(\omega_0 k T_s + \phi) \) and \( V_c(k) = A_c \cos(\omega_0 k T_s + \phi) \), where \( A_s, A_c, \omega_0 \) and \( \phi \) are amplitude, frequency and phase of \( V_c(k) \) and \( V_c(k) \) components, respectively. Note that \( \theta_i(k) \) vector is adjusted in function of respective dynamics that compunds the vector \( \omega(k) \), it means \( \theta_i(k) = [ \theta_i(k) \theta_i(k) \theta_i(k) \theta_i(k) \theta_i(k) \theta_i(k) ]^T \).

IV. DISCRETE-TIME REDUCED ORDER ROBUST MODEL REFERENCE ADAPTIVE CONTROL

In this Section is presented the proposed direct discrete-time reduced order RMRAC to the grid-side current control on a grid-tied voltage-fed 3-wire static converter with LCL filter. The control action remains the same law shown in (11) and have to respect the same assumptions. However, as it considers the nominal part of the plant as a first order transfer function, then the \( \omega(k) \) is compound by a smaller set of parameters, given by \( \omega(k) = [ u(k) y(k) V_c(k) V_c(k) ]^T \), once, there no \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) to compute, therefore \( \theta_i(k) = [ \theta_i(k) \theta_i(k) \theta_i(k) \theta_i(k) \theta_i(k) \theta_i(k) ]^T \).

The Gradient algorithm, used to parameters adaptation, is the same shown on (13),

\[
\theta(k+1) = \theta(k) - T_s \sigma(k) \Gamma \theta(k) - T_s \kappa \Gamma \zeta(k) e(k) \frac{m^2(k)}{m^2(k)},
\]

(19)

where the augmented error is

\[
e(k) = e_1^T(k) + \theta^T(k) \zeta(k) - y_m(k),
\]

(20)

and the auxiliary vector \( \zeta \) is

\[
\zeta = W_m(z) \omega
\]

(21)
The \( \sigma \)-modification also remains the same algorithm used on high order RMRAC, shown on (18), as well as the majorant signal \( m(k) \), shown in (16). The block diagram of reduced order control strategy is shown below. Note that, as this control approach is of first order, it does not need filters for plant output and control action to adjust the gains. The other interactions are similar to the higher order RMRAC.

\[
G(z) = G_0(z)\left[1 + \frac{\mu d m(z)}{R_0(z)} + \frac{\mu d a(z)}{R_1(z)}\right]
\]

Fig. 5. Block diagram of reduced order RMRAC

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Both control strategies were tested in a laboratory prototype, implemented on a DSP (Digital Signal Processor), a TMS320F28335 Delfino microcontroller from Texas Instruments. The prototype has the characteristics (\( L_C \), \( C \) and \( L_{g1} \)) from Table I, whereas the parameters \( R_C \) and \( R_{g1} \) are unknown, as well as the parameters of the grid, \( R_{g2} \) and \( L_{g2} \). The experimental setup is shown in Figure 6.

![Prototype: 1: DSP, 2: sensors, 3: Converter, 4: LCL filter](image)

The line voltage range for all tests was 110V, adjusted by a three-phase transformer. In addition, the DC bus voltage has been set at 250V. This voltage is not controlled, however, it did not interfere in the control performance.

The converter’s power is 5.4kW, its switching frequency and the controller’s sampling frequency were both 5.040kHz, while the acquisition frequency of DSP was one quarter of it, that is, 1.260kHz (saves one sample every four controller interruptions), to capture sufficient data to evaluate the controller parameters convergence. This approach was necessary due to the DSP memory limitation. Therefore, as the DSP buffer saves 2000 samples, the time of each test was approximately 1.6s.

Also, to evaluate the controller performance, a 1mH inductance in series with the grid is triggered at a certain moment of the test, opening the switch, causing a parametric variation over grid impedance. In this instant, the grid impedance is increased, by addition of 1mH inductance, turning the grid weaker. Figure 7 shows the scheme of this parametric variation test.

![Additional inductance in series with grid](image)

It is also noteworthy that synchronisation of controller with the grid voltages was ensured with a Kalman Filter [43]. To do this, line voltages on PCC are measured, converted to phase voltages in abc coordinates and then converted to phase voltages in \( \alpha \beta \). Following, these voltages are used to obtain the phase and quadrature signals of the grid fundamental components, which are used for disturbance rejection and current reference generation. Also, to synthesise the control law, a Space Vector Modulation was implemented [44].

A. High Order RMRAC

Firstly, note that when plant, shown on (3) with parameters of Table I, be discretised by \( Z \) transform, with a ZOH (Zero Order Hold) and implementation delay, a nonminimum phase zero will appear in \( z = -3.0933 \), as shown on \( G(z) \). The other zero is localised in \( z = -0.3163 \).

\[
G(z) = \frac{0.06033z^2 + 0.2057z + 0.05903}{z^3 - 0.8117z^2 + 0.8022z - 0.9579}.
\]

Then, to satisfy assumption P3, the nominal part of the plant, used to controller design, was modelled as

\[
G_0(z) = \frac{0.3263z - 0.0013}{z^3 - 0.8117z^2 + 0.8022z - 0.9579},
\]

which is a minimum phase model. Then, the additive dynamics is calculated as \( \mu \Delta_i(z) = G(z) - G_0(z) \), which results in a transfer function with relative degree equal to 3, given by

\[
\mu \Delta_i(z) = \frac{0.06033(z - 1)^2}{z(z^3 - 0.8117z^2 + 0.8022z - 0.9579)}.
\]

The controller parameters were defined as \( \Gamma = 40I \), \( \kappa = 1000 \), \( \sigma_0 = 0.1 \), \( M_0 = 10 \), \( \delta_0 = 0.7 \) and \( \delta_1 = 1 \). Due to adaptive nature of the controller, the parameters design is flexible. It choose comes from robustness analysis, based on Lyapunov stability, here omitted. However, by experience, the parameters can be set as follows: \( \Gamma k T_s \leq 20; \delta_0 \) and \( \delta_1 \) are chosen to act as a slow dynamics filter to smooth \( \bar{m}(k) \) response; and \( M_0 \) can be securely oversized, as shown on [45], where \( M_0 > 2||\theta'|| \). Besides, the reference model was designed with same relative degree of modelled part of plant \( (G_0(z)) \) and to have unit gain in steady state,

\[
W_m(z) = \frac{0.343}{z^3 - 0.3}. \tag{25}
\]
The initial gains \( \theta(0) \), in \( \alpha \beta \), were defined as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-2.3075082 & 0 \\
-0.65603852 & 0 \\
-1.0379406 & 0 \\
-1.9491602 & 0 \\
3.3076313 & 0 \\
-0.36709696 & -0.84257501 \\
0 & -0.32428530 \\
0 & -0.83423382 \\
0 & -1.2983845 \\
0 & 1.5830313 \\
0 & 0.11256287
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \theta(0) = \begin{bmatrix}
-0.84257501 & 0 \\
-0.32428530 & 0 \\
-0.83423382 & 0 \\
-1.2983845 & 0 \\
1.5830313 & 0 \\
0.11256287 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

These gains were set by choosing final gains of a simulation, used to be initialised closer to \( \theta^* \) and avoid excessive overshoot in the initial transient.

The reference signal is extracted from the grid through Kalman filter and then normalised [43]. The initial reference signal has amplitude of 20A and it is increased to 30A when time reaches 0.4s. At 0.8s, a parametric variation is imposed on the grid impedance by closing the switch that adds 1mH in series with the electrical grid. This procedure was adopted for all tests. Figure 8 shows the tracking errors given between \( y_m \) and \( y \) and the control actions are presented on Figure 9. Next, the gains adaptation are shown in Figure 10 and 11, in \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), respectively.

As can be seen on Figure 8, the tracking errors tend to a small value quickly. Due to gains initialisation closer to \( \theta^* \), the initial transient regime was short and as expected the excessive overshoot was avoided, not exceeding 3.09A. Moreover, after gains converged to a set of bounded values, the tracking errors remains small, even when the reference amplitude is increased and the grid inductance is varied. In addition, the \( V_{cc} \) is presented with control actions, where is possible to note a small sag on voltage source, once it is not controlled. However, the controller stability was not affected by it. Besides, the control actions did not required excessive voltage to deal with parametric variations and exogenous disturbance rejection, keeping the grid-side currents tracking, as shown on Figure 9. For a better analysis of controller performance, from Figure 12 to Figure 14, it is shown the following events: reference change instant, parametric variation instant and steady state regime, respectively.

In Figure 12, it can be observed the fast current tracking, when reference signal has its amplitude increased from 20A to 30A. It takes around 30ms to reach model reference output, in both coordinates and the overshoot did not exceed 2.31A. Furthermore, in the Figure 13, the controller robustness to parametric variations can be observed, once at 0.8s the grid inductance is increased by 1mH. Note that this transient takes around 40ms to be overcome and again an overshoot is observed, with almost 3.6A. Finally, in steady-state, the

Fig. 10. Gains adaptation in \( \alpha \)

Fig. 11. Gains adaptation in \( \beta \)

Fig. 12. Grid-side currents in \( \alpha \beta \), on reference change transient
Fig. 13. Grid-side currents in $\alpha\beta$, on parametric variation transient.

Fig. 14. Grid-side currents tracking in $\alpha\beta$, on steady state regime.

Fig. 15. Grid-side currents in $abc$, on steady state regime, acquired from oscilloscope.

The total harmonics distortion was 2.48151% and all harmonic contents, even and odd, respected the IEEE 1547 Standard. It reinforces the discussion of $\alpha\beta$ currents control performance by RMRAC, and its potentiality of application. Hereupon, in the next subsection, it is presented an equivalent controller in means of performance, however with low computational burden, to be implementable in a larger family of microcontrollers, which deal with more restrict memory issues and to reduce controller design complexity.

### B. Reduced Order RMRAC

For proposed reduced order control method, consider $G_0(s)$ as (6). By application of Z transform, with a ZOH (Zero Order Hold), it is obtained the discrete-time transfer function, $G_0(z)$, which has only a minimum-phase zero,

$$G_0(z) = \frac{0.1515}{z - 0.9849} \quad (26)$$

The experimental tests follows the same steps previously discussed in the previous subsection. The controller parameters were set as $\Gamma = 200$, $\kappa = 1000$, $\sigma_0 = 0.1$, $M_0 = 5$, $\delta_0 = 0.7$ and $\delta_1 = 1$, following the same design approach used for higher order RMRAC. The reference model is

$$W_m(z) = \frac{0.7}{z - 0.3}, \quad (27)$$

and the initial gains $\theta(0)$, in $\alpha\beta$, were defined as:

$$\theta_\alpha(0) = \begin{bmatrix} -1.1132272 \\ 1.7000784 \\ 1.2114146 \\ 0.1714769 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \theta_\beta(0) = \begin{bmatrix} -1.1196474 \\ -0.0706902 \\ 0.9791124 \\ 0.0862891 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Figure 17 presents the tracking errors, in $\alpha\beta$ and control actions, besides $V_{cc}$, are shown on Figure 18. Next, the gains adaptation, in $\alpha$ and $\beta$, are presented in Figure 19 and Figure 20, respectively.

Fig. 16. Harmonic contents, where the dashed line represents the upper limits for odd harmonics and the dotted line represents the upper limits for even harmonics, as described by IEEE 1547 Standard.

Fig. 17. Tracking errors in $\alpha\beta$.

Note, on Figure 17, that tracking error converge fast for small values, as well as the conventional high order RMRAC.
It only does not reach zero error due to converter switching dynamics. Moreover, only a small overshoot occurred on initial transient regime, not exceeding 1.63A. Furthermore, no additional effort was required from control action, as can be observed by comparison of Figure 18 (reduced order RMRAC control action) and Figure 9 (conventional high order RMRAC control action), under same conditions. Besides, the auto adjustable control parameters adapts as fast as high order RMRAC to compensate unmodelled dynamics influence.

As was discussed for previously presented controller, from Figure 21 to Figure 23 following events are shown: reference change instant, parametric variation instant and steady state regime, respectively.

Note that proposed reduced order RMRAC can deal properly with exogenous disturbances and parametric variations as well as the high order RMRAC, keeping a satisfactory grid-side current tracking and transient response, with relevant computational burden reduction. From Figure 21, it can be observed that currents tracking takes around 15ms to be very close to model reference output and the overshoot did not exceed 1.04A. Also, when grid inductance is varied, the controller fast readapts their gains to maintain currents tracking, achieved in less than 20ms and with overshoot not exceeding 2.45A. In addition, in steady state, shown on Fig 23, the grid-side currents, in $\alpha$ and $\beta$, are tracking very closely their respective reference signals.

To reinforce $\alpha\beta$ currents control, the grid-injected currents on steady state regime, acquired from oscilloscope, are shown on Figure 24. Furthermore, the 50 first harmonic contents are presented on Figure 25.

As expected, once $\alpha\beta$ currents were properly controlled, the grid-injected currents, in $abc$, acquired from oscilloscope, presented satisfactory quality. The total harmonics distortion with the reduced order control approach was 2.47365%, similar to high order RMRAC. Also, all harmonic contents of grid-side currents respected the IEEE 1547 Standard,
VI. CONCLUSION

In this work, it was presented the design of a direct discrete-time reduced order Robust Model Reference Adaptive Control applied to the grid-side current control of a grid-tied voltage-fed 3-wire static converter with LCL filter. Firstly, the LCL model was reduced to a first order transfer function, by negligence of capacitor dynamics, approximating it to the L filter model. Next, it was mathematically shown that additive dynamics is mainly influenced by neglected capacitors. The proposed control approach was designed using the reduced order LCL filter and compared with a high order RMRAC, conventionally applied on grid-tied converters with LCL filter. In general, both controllers results on currents control performance very similar, keeping robustness to parametric variation and exogenous disturbances, besides fast current tracking and parameters convergence. However, the proposed controller has as main advantage its simplicity. Due to it, less parameters have to be adapted, which reduces computational burden and turns easier its design and implementation, which is a great advantage for experimental implementation, mainly if microcontroller has low memory. Besides, experimental results performed in a DSP, a TMS320F28335 Delfino microcontroller, were presented to corroborate the control strategy and shown a satisfactory performance, with THD and harmonic contents respecting the IEEE 1547 Standard, even in the face of grid uncertainties, parametric variations of grid inductance and exogenous disturbances. Furthermore, the time processing of proposed control approach is 59% smaller than high order RMRAC. Thus, the proposed reduced order direct discrete-time RMRAC shown a potential controller for grid-tied power converters.
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