Catheter ablation using advanced porous tip contact force–sensing radiofrequency catheter: Impact on health care utilization among patients with persistent atrial fibrillation
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BACKGROUND Catheter ablation (CA) is an effective treatment for patients with persistent atrial fibrillation (PsAF); however, little is known about its impact on health care utilization for patients with PsAF. The ThermoCool SmartTouch SF (STSF) catheter (Biosense Webster) incorporates an advanced porous tip and contact force–sensing technology.

OBJECTIVE The purpose of this study was to determine health care utilization among patients with PsAF who underwent ablation with the STSF catheter.

METHODS A retrospective cohort study using the Premier Healthcare Database identified patients with PsAF undergoing CA with the STSF catheter in inpatient and outpatient settings. The proportion of patients experiencing AF-related inpatient admissions, outpatient admissions, emergency department (ED) visits, electrical cardioversion, and a composite outcome in the 12 months pre- vs postablation were compared using the McNemar test. Subanalyses were performed on study outcomes by race/ethnicity.

RESULTS The final sample included 3077 patients (mean age 65.9 years; 31.7% female). Among patients with PsAF undergoing ablation with the STSF catheter, relative reductions in health care utilization in the 12 months post- vs preablation included 55.3% in AF-related inpatient admissions (P < .0001), 38.9% in outpatient admissions (P < .0001), 52.4% in ED visits (P < .0001), and 61.2% in electrical cardioversions (P < .0001). Composite outcome utilization in the 12 months post- vs preablation declined by 40.2% (P < .0001) for the overall cohort, 40.0% for White patients (P < .0001), 52.2% for Black patients (P < .0001), and 50.1% for Asian patients (P = .032).

CONCLUSION Significant improvements in health care utilization were observed among PsAF patients who underwent ablation using the STSF catheter. Improvements were particularly marked in underrepresented racial and ethnic groups.
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Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) currently affects approximately 5 million Americans and is expected to affect as many as 12.1 million people by 2030.1 Considerable health care burden is associated with AF. Patients with AF are 3 times more likely to be hospitalized compared to those without AF.2 Health care cost among individuals with AF has been shown to be ~$8705 more per individual per year compared to those without AF.2 The total economic burden of AF in the United States (US) is around $30 billion, and this figure is expected to increase to $65.7 billion by 2035.3

Randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that catheter ablation (CA) reduces long-term AF recurrence in patients with drug-refractory paroxysmal AF and as first-line therapy.4,5 Similar results have been observed for patients with persistent atrial fibrillation (PsAF).6–8 In a randomized controlled trial comparing CA with antiarrhythmic drugs (AADs) among PsAF patients, the proportion of patients free of any recurrence was higher in the ablation arm compared to the AAD arm (60.2% vs 29.2%; P < .001).7

Recent developments in radiofrequency ablation are likely to further improve procedural outcomes.7 Conventional catheters provide only limited information on lesion formation. In contrast, catheter-to-tissue contact force (CF)–sensing technology confirms appropriate pressure by the ablation catheter to effectively facilitate radiofrequency energy transfer to the atrial myocardium.10 This information on real-time catheter-
In this real-world study using a nationwide, multihospital database, use of the ThermoCool SmartTouch SF (STSF) catheter during a catheter ablation procedure for patients with persistent atrial fibrillation (PsAF) led to significant reductions in health care utilization.

Among patients with PsAF undergoing ablation with the STSF catheter, relative reductions in use in the 12-month post- vs preablation period included declines >50% in atrial fibrillation (AF)-related inpatient admissions (P < .0001), emergency department visits (P < .0001), and electrical cardioversions (P < .0001).

Use of the STSF device for PsAF treatment led to meaningful improvements in health care utilization across different racial and ethnic groups. The improvements in a composite outcome, which included AF-related inpatient, outpatient, and emergency department admissions as well as cardioversions, were particularly pronounced among Black and Asian patients.

to-tissue interaction improves the ability to create durable lesions. In addition, optimizing catheter tip irrigation can result in more homogeneous cooling of the catheter tip and allow for the delivery of greater quantities of radiofrequency power by reducing the risk of overheating, which may result in larger lesions with greater depth. The ThermoCool SmartTouch SF (STSF) catheter (Biosense Webster, Irvine, CA) incorporates both CF-sensing technology and advanced 56-hole porous tip irrigation.

Studies have found that CA is cost-effective among patients with AF. Recent research indicates that these health care utilization improvements may also be realized among patients with PsAF. In an analysis of US administrative claims database, Friedman et al. found that among patients with PsAF, CA was associated with a 64% reduction in inpatient admission (21.5% vs 7.8%; P < .0001), 59% reduction in emergency department (ED) visits (15.7% vs 6.4%; P < .0001), and 54% reduction in cardiovascular (56.8% vs 26.4%; P < .0001) in the 12-month post- vs preablation period. These reductions in medical services utilization postablation were observed to translate into health care cost savings, with AF-related inpatient admission costs declining by 33% (P < .0001), ED visit costs declining by 70% (P < .0001), and cardiovascular costs declining by 55% (P < .0001) in the 12-month post- vs preablation period.

Given the expected growth in the number of individuals with AF combined with the high disease burden imposed by AF, the effect of CA on health care utilization has considerable implications for patients, payors, and providers. The primary objective of this study was to examine changes in health care utilization in the 12-month pre- vs 12-month postablation period among patients with PsAF who underwent CA with the STSF catheter.
pre- and postindex CA periods. In all analyses, 2-sided \( P < .05 \) was the threshold by which differences were considered significant. All analyses were conducted using R Studio.

**Results**

There were 3077 patients who met the study criteria (Supplemental Figure 1). Mean (± SD) age of the final sample was 65.9 ± 9.5 years, and 31.7% were female. Mean Elixhauser score was 3.5 ± 1.7, and mean CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc score was 2.6 ± 1.5. About one-third of patients had sleep apnea, 20.9% had cardiomyopathy, and 51.2% had dyslipidemia. Around 80% of procedures were performed in an outpatient setting. Per provider characteristics, 64.8% were based in the South, 57.6% were teaching hospitals, and 67.0% had ≥500 beds. Table 1 lists the study patient characteristics.

Significant improvements in the proportions of PsAF patients experiencing health care utilization were observed (Figure 1 and Table 2). In the 12-month postablation period compared to the 12-month preablation period, a 55.3% relative reduction in all-cause inpatient readmissions (\( P < .0001 \); absolute difference in proportions [ADP] –7.4%; 95% confidence interval [CI] –8.9% to –5.9%), 38.9% reduction in AF-related outpatient readmissions (\( P < .0001 \); ADP –21.4%; 95% CI –23.8% to –18.9%), and 52.4% reduction in AF-related ED visits (\( P < .0001 \); ADP –1.8%; 95% CI –2.6% to –0.9%) were observed among PsAF patients who had CA using the STSF catheter. DCCVs decreased by 61.2% (\( P < .0001 \); ADP –20.6%; 95% CI –22.7% to –18.5%) in the 12-month postablation period compared to the preablation period. Overall, a reduction of 40.2% (\( P < .0001 \); ADP –24.4%; 95% CI –26.9% to –22.0%) was observed in the composite endpoint in the 12-month post- vs preablation period. Results from sensitivity analysis aligned with those from main analyses, after excluding patients who had undergone repeat ablation in the 12-month postindex ablation period (5.82% [179] of patients had repeat ablation and were removed). In the sample for sensitivity analyses, AF-related inpatient admissions decreased by 65.1% (\( P < .0001 \)), AF-related outpatient admissions decreased by 44.9% (\( P < .0001 \)), AF-related ED visits decreased by 61.4% (\( P < .0001 \)), DCCV decreased by 66.1% (\( P < .0001 \)), and composite admissions decreased by 46.5% (\( P < .0001 \)) in the 12-month postablation period compared to the preablation period (Supplemental Table 1 and Supplemental Figure 2).

When examining study outcomes among White patients (Figure 2 and Table 3), a 56.8% relative decrease was observed in the proportion of patients experiencing AF-related inpatient admissions (\( P < .0001 \)), 38.5% decrease in outpatient admissions (\( P < .0001 \)), 55.6% decrease in ED visits (\( P < .0001 \)), 61.5% decrease in DCCV (\( P < .0001 \)), and 40.0% decrease in composite outcome (\( P < .0001 \)) in the 12-month postablation period vs the preablation period. Among Black patients, the proportion of patients experiencing AF-related inpatient admission declined by 65.2% (\( P = .003 \)), 54.3% for outpatient admissions (\( P < .0001 \)), 47.6% for ED visits (\( P < .0001 \)), and 40.0% decrease in composite outcome (\( P < .0001 \)) in the 12-month postablation period vs the preablation period. Overall, a reduction of 40.2% (\( P < .0001 \); ADP –24.4%; 95% CI –26.9% to –22.0%) was observed in the composite endpoint in the 12-month post- vs preablation period. When examining study outcomes among Black patients (Figure 3 and Table 3). In Asian patients, the proportion experiencing the composite outcome declined by 50.1% (\( P = .032 \)) (Figure 4 and Table 3).

**Discussion**

In this real-world study of a nationwide, multihospital database, use of the STSF catheter during a CA procedure for patients with PsAF led to significant reductions in health care utilization. A lower proportion of patients experienced AF-related medical services utilizations in the 12-month postablation period compared to the 12-month preablation period. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to describe

---

**Table 1** Demographic, comorbid characteristics, and hospital characteristics for the study sample (N = 3077)

| Characteristic          | Value | Mean (SD) or n (%) |
|-------------------------|-------|--------------------|
| Age (y)                 | 65.9 ± 9.5 |                      |
| Age group (y)           |        |                    |
| 18–44                   | 158 (5.1) |
| 44–54                   | 572 (18.6) |
| 55–64                   | 1136 (36.9) |
| ≥65                     | 1211 (39.3) |
| Gender                  |        |                    |
| Female                  | 976 (31.7) |
| Male                    | 2101 (68.3) |
| Race                    |        |                    |
| White                   | 2669 (86.7) |
| Black                   | 141 (4.6) |
| Asian                   | 28 (0.9) |
| Other                   | 132 (4.3) |
| Unknown                 | 107 (3.5) |
| Payer                   |        |                    |
| Medicare or Medicaid    | 1935 (62.9) |
| Commercial              | 1154 (37.5) |
| Other                   | 71 (2.3) |
| Elixhauser score        | 3.5 ± 1.7 |                      |
| CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc score | 2.6 ± 1.5 |              |
| Comorbidities           |        |                    |
| Sleep apnea             | 1038 (33.7) |
| Dyslipidemia            | 1576 (51.2) |
| Cardiomyopathy          | 643 (20.9) |
| Procedure setting       |        |                    |
| Inpatient               | 612 (19.9) |
| Outpatient              | 2465 (80.1) |
| Hospital location       |        |                    |
| South                   | 1993 (64.8) |
| Midwest                 | 622 (20.2) |
| Northeast               | 405 (13.2) |
| West                    | 57 (1.9) |
| Hospital teaching status|        |                    |
| Yes                     | 1772 (57.6) |
| No                      | 1305 (42.4) |
| Hospital bed size       |        |                    |
| ≥500 beds               | 2061 (67.0) |
| 300–499 beds            | 692 (22.5) |
| 000–299 beds            | 324 (10.5) |

Values are given as mean ± SD or n (%).

CHA\(_2\)DS\(_2\)-VASc = congestive heart failure, hypertension, age ≥75, diabetes, stroke, vascular disease, age 65 to 74, and sex category.

80.5% for DCCV (\( P < .0001 \)), and 52.2% for composite outcome (\( P < .0001 \)) in the 12-month post- vs pre-CA period (Figure 3 and Table 3). In Asian patients, the proportion experiencing the composite outcome declined by 50.1% (\( P = .032 \)) (Figure 4 and Table 3).
Relative percent change in the proportion of study outcomes in the 12-month pre- and postablation periods. AF = atrial fibrillation; DCCV = direct current cardioversion.

Table 2 Absolute difference in the proportion of study outcomes in the 12-month pre- and postablation periods

| Admissions            | Pre 12 months | Post 12 months | Absolute difference in proportion (%) |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| AF-related inpatient  | 412 (13.4)    | 184 (6.0)      | -7.4 (-8.9 to -5.9)                   |
| AF-related outpatient | 1690 (54.9)   | 1033 (33.6)    | -21.4 (-23.8 to -18.9)                |
| AF-related ED         | 103 (3.3)     | 49 (1.6)       | -1.8 (-2.6 to -0.9)                   |
| DCCV                  | 1035 (33.6)   | 402 (13.1)     | -20.6 (-22.7 to -18.5)                |
| Composite             | 1872 (60.8)   | 1120 (36.4)    | -24.4 (-26.9 to -22.0)                |

Values are given as n (%) or 95% confidence interval.
AF = atrial fibrillation; DCCV = direct current cardioversion; ED = emergency department.
### Table 3 Absolute difference in the proportion of study outcomes in the 12-month pre- and postablation periods by race

| Admissions | White (N = 2669) | Black (N = 141) | Asian (N = 28) |
|------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|
|            | Pre 12 months   | Post 12 months | Pre 12 months | Post 12 months | Pre 12 months | Post 12 months |
| Inpatient* | 352 (13.2)      | 151 (5.7)     | -7.5 (-9.1 to -5.9) | 26 (18.4) | 9 (6.4) | -12.0 (-20.3 to -3.8) | 4 (14.3) | 2 (7.1) | -7.2 (-26.8 to 12.5) |
| Outpatient*| 1538 (57.6)     | 945 (35.4)    | -22.2 (-24.9 to -19.6) | 59 (41.8) | 27 (19.1) | -22.7 (-33.8 to -11.6) | 16 (57.1) | 9 (32.1) | -25.0 (-53.8 to 3.8) |
| ED*        | 96 (3.6)        | 42 (1.6)      | -2.0 (-2.9 to -1.1) | 2 (1.4) | 2 (1.4) | -0.0 (-2.8 to 2.8) | 2 (7.1) | 1 (3.6) | -3.5 (-18.9 to 11.8) |
| DCCV       | 938 (35.1)      | 361 (13.5)    | -21.6 (-23.9 to -19.4) | 31 (22.0) | 6 (4.3) | -17.7 (-26.0 to -9.4) | 7 (25.0) | 4 (14.3) | -10.7 (-34.9 to 13.5) |
| Composite  | 1690 (63.3)     | 1015 (38.0)   | -25.3 (-27.9 to -22.7) | 71 (50.4) | 34 (24.1) | -26.3 (-37.8 to -14.7) | 18 (64.3) | 9 (32.1) | -32.2 (-60.5 to -3.8) |

Values are given as n (%) or 95% confidence interval.
Abbreviations as in Table 2.
*Atrial fibrillation-related admissions.

Our findings extend previous research that focused on AF patients undergoing ablation using the STSF catheter. As CA technology evolves, there is likely to be a decrease in AF recurrence rates among ablation catheters. This has implications for patients treated with CA, as it affects their recurrence rates. As such, the use of CA, before the use of Class I or III AADs, as first-line therapy, is considered appropriate for patients with CA. Field et al. examined reductions in health care utilization including that among patients with PsAF treated with the STSF catheter.22 Our study adds to the existing body of evidence demonstrating lower health care utilization, including that among patients with PsAF treated with the STSF catheter.22

References:
1. Afzal et al. 21 found that CF-sensing radiofrequency ablation catheters led to a 57% decrease in AF recurrence in patients with the STSF catheter.21
2. Dapo et al. 18 found significant reductions in AF-related inpatient admissions.21
3. The randomized controlled trial comparing CA with AAD use among patients with PsAF in a real-world setting was conducted by Bar, CA.21
4. The study reported 70.4% of patients in the AAD arm to have freedom from AF at 12 months vs 80.4% at 15 months, with an absolute risk difference of 26.6% (95% CI 10.0–43.3). As such, CA was found to be superior, as defined by freedom from sustained episodes of AF (ie, episodes of AF lasting 24 hours or requiring cardioversion).
STSF cohort vs 66.7% for the ST cohort (P = .18). They also found that the improved tip irrigation led to a significant reduction in procedural fluid burden, suggesting improved outcomes over previous CA techniques. Our research suggests these procedural and clinical improvements do translate into meaningful reductions in health care utilization among PsAF patients, thereby alleviating disease burden on patients, payors, and providers.

Given the expected growth in AF incidence and prevalence with demographic changes, interventions that are associated with reductions in health care utilization could lower the financial burden associated with this chronic condition. In 2011, Ladapo et al. found that the savings associated with CA at least partially offset the cost of CA. As payors and providers navigate an increasingly challenging economic and health care services arena, made even more so by the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, outcomes improvements as observed in our study with the use of the STSF catheter for CA reflect the incremental gains that they may capture with the use of this advanced technology among PsAF patients. From the patient perspective, a reduced health care burden likely would translate into a better quality of life and reduction in disease burden.
Although the effectiveness of the STSF device in treating PsAF has been studied, there is little information on how the performance differs across different racial and ethnic groups. To address inequities in health care, it is critical to examine device performance across different racial and ethnic groups, especially focusing on underrepresented groups. Our results suggest that the use of the STSF device for PsAF treatment leads to meaningful improvements in health care utilization across different racial and ethnic groups. In fact, the improvements were observed to be more pronounced among Black and Asian patients (from the perspective of composite outcome). These results suggest that the STSF device could be an effective tool in alleviating the health care burden imposed by PsAF in these underserved groups.

**Study limitations**
Given the quasi-experimental pretest–posttest study design used in our study, the role of regression to the mean in explaining study results (ie, improvements in health care use in the postablation period compared to the preablation period) could not be ruled out. However, given the magnitude of reductions in health care use observed in the post- vs preablation period, it is unlikely that regression to the mean could itself explain these results. We had to rely on a combination of device name and/or catalog identifiers for identification of the STSF device in PHD. As such, we may have missed use of the STSF device among patients listed in the PHD. Until unique device identifiers are fully adopted, this approach, although not perfect, offers a feasible alternative to the assessment of device use in real-world datasets such as the PHD. Information on clinical elements including ablation approach, AAD use, and oral anticoagulant use, which potentially could influence outcomes that were assessed, were not available. In PHD, health care utilization can be assessed only if patients go to the same hospital. If a patient were to visit another hospital, irrespective of whether that other hospital contributes data to PHD, that visit would not be recorded. Thus, there could be an underestimation of health care utilization in PHD. Finally, any potential errors during billing coding could have influenced study results.

**Conclusion**
In this study of a large, multihospital, nationwide hospital dataset, use of the STSF catheter for ablation of PsAF led to significant reductions in health care utilization, including reductions in AF-related inpatient admissions, outpatient admissions, ED visits, and electrical cardioversions. These improvements were observed across different racial and ethnic groups and were particularly marked for patients from underrepresented racial and ethnic groups. As health care resources become constrained, reductions in health care utilization associated with use of the advanced STSF catheter for PsAF treatment could help alleviate the burden for patients, payors, and providers.
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