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ABSTRACT
The Clinical Neuropsychology Synarchy (CNS) interfaces with the American Psychological Association and affiliated organizations to address issues and advances in specialty training. The past several years have seen the development and dissemination of several initiatives pertinent to specialty training. Among these initiatives was the creation of a taxonomy for education and training in clinical neuropsychology. In addition there has been a movement toward competency-based education that has become codified in the APA’s new Standards for Accreditation. Calls for competency-based education have also influenced the expectations of the APA’s Committee on Recognition of Specialties and Proficiencies in Professional Psychology. As the convener of national clinical neuropsychology organizations, the CNS has overseen the development of relevant documents for our specialty. This paper presents three documents critical to training in our field that were developed through the CNS and approved by its member organizations. The first is the Taxonomy for Education and Training in Clinical Neuropsychology. The second is Entry Level Competencies for Clinical Neuropsychology and the third is a distillation of the entry-level competency document for the purpose of identifying competencies to be addressed at the post-doctoral residency level.
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Introduction
In the past 6 years, the Education Directorate of the American Psychological Association (APA) has been very active in seeking to standardize nomenclature and practices as they pertain to education and training in professional psychology.
specialties. The APA Education Directorate has taken advantage of the infrastructure that exists (technically outside of the APA) to reach out to the specialties to both seek input and disseminate “standards” to the specialties. Figure 1 depicts that infrastructure including the place of the Clinical Neuropsychology Synarchy (CNS) within this infrastructure. The initiatives that have emanated from APA’s Education Directorate have resulted in three key documents critical to training in our field that were developed through the CNS and approved by its member organizations. The first is the Taxonomy for Education and Training in Clinical Neuropsychology. The second is Entry Level Competencies for Clinical Neuropsychology and the third is a distillation of the entry-level competency document for the purpose of identifying competencies to be addressed at the post-doctoral residency level. Presented below are the roles played by various entities presented in Figure 1 followed by the text of the three key documents.

The role of APA commission on recognition of specialties and proficiencies in professional psychology (CRSPPP)

As suggested by its name, CRSPPP is the organization within the APA that formally recognizes professional psychology specialties. There are currently 15 specialties recognized by CRSPPP. In 2012, CRSPPP published Education and Training Guidelines: A Taxonomy for Education and Training in Professional Psychology Health Service Specialties (American Psychological Association, 2012). This document was the culmination of efforts by CRSPPP, the Council of Specialties (CoS), the American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP), the APA Commission on Accreditation (CoA), and others to address the problem reflected in the document’s statement of need:

“There has been a growing need for a taxonomy to guide those seeking education and training in a recognized specialty. Such a taxonomy would also provide a structure for the field in general to facilitate better communication concerning how professional
psychologists are educated and trained beyond the broad and general training consistent with accreditation standards (American Psychological Association, 2009b). Currently there is not consistent use of definitions or terms in the education and training community to describe training opportunities in areas recognized as specialties by APA (American Psychological Association, 2009a, Section 90-5). For example, in describing training opportunities, education and training departments and programs use a range of terms like area of study, track, or concentration. These terms may refer to the same or to different amounts of course work or practica from department to department or from program to program. Such inconsistency jeopardizes a consistent ‘truth in advertising’ that would be helpful to students as they seek graduate education or to later describe the educational and training opportunities provided in their graduate or postgraduate education.”

“Lack of consistency in the use of such terms is also evident across professional organizations and groups whose focus is on education and training in professional psychology. For example, the Association of Psychology Post-doctoral and Internship Centers (APPIC) asks programs to describe the types of training available using the terms major rotation and informal/minor/external rotation, whereas the Committee on Accreditation (CoA) uses the phrase areas of emphasis to refer to training opportunities in specialty areas other than the substantive traditional practice areas of clinical, counseling, and school (American Psychological Association, Commission on Accreditation, 2003, IRC-6[a]).” (APA, 2012, p. 4.)

Having laid out a set of common terms and constructs for the taxonomy CRSPPP charged the CoS to obtain from the specialties, definitions of the terms within the taxonomy as they pertained to training in given specialty.

In addition, documentation of the entry-level competencies of that specialty is expected to be part of petitions to CRSPPP for specialty recognition or renewal for each specialty. In anticipation of pursuing specialty recognition renewal in 2017 is was clear that the Houston Conference document (Hannay et al., 1998) needed supplementation to include an enumeration of competencies that aligned with recent competency standards in professional psychology (Kaslow, 2004). Thus a statement of entry-level competencies for clinical neuropsychology was developed, vetted and approved through CNS.

The role of the APA commission on accreditation

In 2015, the Commission on Accreditation’s new Standards of Accreditation (SoA; American Psychological Association, Commission on Accreditation, 2015) were approved by the APA Council of Representatives. These standards went into effect for programs being reviewed after 7 January 2017. These standards have been a major impetus for defining and training to competencies in health services psychology. The competency documents provided below in this manuscript represent our specialty’s efforts to align with this trend. Of specific import to specialty training, and relevant especially at the post-doctoral residency level, the SoA describes advanced competency levels as follows:

- Level 1: Advanced competencies fundamental to health service psychology.
- Level 2: Competencies relevant to the program’s aims or area of focus.
- Level 3: Competencies that are consistent with a designated specialty.
Subsequent to issuing the new standards of accreditation the CoA has seemed to increase its attention to accreditation of post-doctoral training programs. In order to proceed with this the CoA has begun to consider the competencies that should be addressed in post-doctoral training. Thus in the spring of 2017 the CoA, through the CoS, asked the specialties delineate competencies to be consider during the review of post-doctoral training programs seeking specialty accreditation.

The role of the council of specialties

Specialties in professional psychology recognized CRSPPP are expected to have a specialty council. In fact, the first criterion in a petition for specialty recognition is

Criterion I. Administrative Organizations. The proposed specialty is represented by a specialty council of one or more organizations that provide systems and structures sufficient to support the organized development of the specialty. (American Psychological Association, 2011, p. 3)

CoS is not an official APA committee. CoS does include a liaison from CRSPPP as well as liaisons from other groups such as Association of State and Provincial Boards of Psychology, the American Board of Professional Psychology, and the Association of Psychology Graduate Students. CoS advocates for specialty issues within the APA structure through CRSPPP. Similarly when APA encounters issues germane to more than one specialty it will work with and through CoS to address these cross-specialty issues. Each of the 15 specialty councils sends a representative to the Council of Specialties (www.cospp.org). Generally that representative is the chair of the specialty council.

The role of the clinical neuropsychology synarchy

Aside from the broader Clinical, School, and Counseling areas, clinical neuropsychology is the longest recognized specialty in psychology. As such, it maintains one of the most experienced specialty councils. Our council is named the Clinical Neuropsychology Synarchy (synarchy means to govern together and is the antonym of anarchy). Our specialty council retains this old name in recognition of the clinical neuropsychologists who did the heavy lifting that resulted in the recognition of neuropsychology as a specialty in the first place.

The CNS is made up of representatives of the major American clinical neuropsychology organizations. This includes organizations that represent individual clinical neuropsychologists and organizations that represent institutions that are training or credentialing the next generation of clinical neuropsychologists. The member organizations of CNS are listed in Table 1.

It is through the structure depicted in Figure 1 that the organizations represented in Table 1 responded to APA calls for a specialty-specific education and training standards and documents. In the case of each of three documents presented herein, the CNS Chair (GES) empaneled subcommittees from CNS membership to respond to requests from CoS. In each case representatives from organizations with a major stake in the document (e.g. ADECN, AITCN, and APPCN for the taxonomy document) volunteered to draft preliminary documents. These documents were then circulated via CNS
to all its members for comment and suggested revision. In each case, the CNS subcommittee collated and responded to suggested revisions before returning the document to CNS members for a final vote.

The standard for approval of each of the documents presented below was endorsement by a majority of the boards of CNS member organizations. This was necessarily a deliberate and time-consuming process. For example, it took over 2 years from the time of the original request until the taxonomy and entry-level competency documents were approved by the full CNS. Following CNS approval, all of these documents have been submitted to CoS and posted on Clinical Neuropsychology’s page on the COS website (www.cospp.org/clinical-neuropsychology). Note that the intended audiences for these documents are overlapping but varied. For example, the taxonomy is intended to support standard language used by training directors at different levels of training. The goal is transparency, so that prospective trainees can have clear understanding as to what programs offer. The competency document is also of clear relevance to training directors and trainees but is also meant to inform credentialing organizations. The target audience for the post-doctoral competency document was specifically the APA Commission on Accreditation (CoA), which is evaluating its methods for accreditation at the post-doctoral level. Despite the differing audiences all documents are pertinent to education, training, and credentialing in clinical neuropsychology. The members of CNS felt these three documents should be presented together in this report. The goal of this report is increase awareness of these documents, enhance understanding of their purpose, similarities, differences, and make them more accessible and citable.

**Taxonomy for education and training in clinical neuropsychology**

Through the process described above a taxonomy for clinical neuropsychology was developed by CNS and approved by its members. It is presented in Table 2.

**Entry-level competencies in clinical neuropsychology**

The competency document developed by CNS and approved by its members included a (1) preamble and an (2) extensive set of tables (Tables 3–10) enumerating the foundational and functional specialty competencies. The two-part document (preamble
Table 2. Taxonomy for education and training in clinical neuropsychology.

| Major area of study | Doctorala | Internshipb | Post-doctoralc | Post-licensureb |
|---------------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| Experience          | (>30% and <50% of experience) | N/A | N/A |
| Exposure            | (5–10% of supervised experience) | N/A | Any hours of CE in clinical neuropsychology |
| Emphasis            | (1) Two neuropsychology coursesb and (2) two clinical neuropsychology practica | N/A | N/A |
|                     | N/A       | N/A         | N/A            | N/A            |

Note. As per APA guidelines all supervision in clinical neuropsychology must be provided by persons with competencies in clinical neuropsychology, aka, a clinical neuropsychologist.

aAt the doctoral and internship training levels, it is recognized that all programs must meet the broad and general requirements for accreditation by the American Psychological Association (APA) or the Canadian Psychological Association (CPA). At the post-doctoral training level, it is recognized that the Major Area of Study is consistent with training standards for specialty accreditation in clinical neuropsychology through the APA. Regarding all levels of training, guidelines for specialty education and training in clinical neuropsychology are specified in the Houston Conference Guidelines (Hanay et al. 1999).

bTo be a neuropsychology course, the course content must prominently address areas outlined in the Houston Conference Guidelines policy statement, Section VI.3 and Section VI.4. Additionally, the number of courses listed above assumes that courses are three credit hours each, within a semester system. As such, the Major Area of Study would require a minimum of nine semester credit hours or 13.5 quarter credit hours.

cDefined by practicum experience for equivalent of one academic year (e.g. 9 months, in semester or quarter systems) consisting of supervised training for at least 8 h per week, with at least 50% clinical contact with patients in the provision of neuropsychological services.

dAdditional training experiences can also include, but are not limited to research experiences, lab meetings, brown bags, lecture/colloquia series, grand rounds, etc. and should be consistent with the guidelines for specialty education and training that are specified in the Houston Conference policy statement.

eKnowledge base. Clinical neuropsychologists possess the following knowledge. This core knowledge may be acquired through multiple pathways, not limited to courses, and may come through other documentable didactic methods. 1. Generic Psychology Core: (A) Statistics and methodology, (B) Learning, cognition and perception, (C) Social psychology and personality, (D) Biological basis of behavior, (E) Life span development, (F) History, (G) Cultural and individual differences and diversity. 2. Generic Clinical Core: (A) Psychopathology, (B) Psychometric theory, (C) Interview and assessment techniques, (D) Intervention techniques, (E) Professional ethics. 3. Foundations for the study of brain-behavior relationships: (A) Functional neuroanatomy, (B) Neurological and related disorders including their etiology, pathology, course and treatment, (C) Non-neurologic conditions affecting CNS functioning, (D) Neuroimaging and other neurodiagnostic techniques, (E) Neurochemistry of behavior (e.g. psychopharmacology), (F) Neuropsychology of behavior. 4. Foundations for the practice of clinical neuropsychology: (A) Specialized neuropsychological assessment techniques, (B) Specialized Neuropsychological intervention techniques, (C) Research design and analysis in neuropsychology, (D) Professional issues and ethics in neuropsychology, (E) Practical implications of neuropsychological conditions.

fSkills. Clinical neuropsychologists possess the following general clinical skills and skills in clinical neuropsychology. These core skills may be acquired through multiple pathways, not limited to courses, and may come through other documentable didactic methods. Domains of skills and examples are: 1. Assessment: Information gathering, history taking. Selection of tests and measures. Administration of tests and measures. Interpretation and diagnosis. Treatment planning. Report writing. Provision of feedback. Recognition of multicultural issues. 2. Treatment and Interventions: Identification of intervention targets. Specification of intervention needs. Formulation of an intervention plan. Implementation of the plan. Monitoring and adjustment to the plan as needed. Assessment of outcome. Recognition of multicultural issues. 3. Consultation (patients, families, medical colleagues, agencies, etc.): (A) Effective basic communication (e.g. listening, explaining, negotiating). (B) Determination and clarification of referral issues. (C) Education of referral sources regarding neuropsychological services (strengths and limitations). (D) Communication of evaluation results and recommendations. (E) Education of patients and families regarding services and disorder(s). 4. Research: Selection of appropriate research topics. Review of relevant literature. Design of research. Execution of research. Monitoring of progress. Evaluation of outcome. Communication of results. 5. Teaching and Supervision: Methods of effective teaching. Plan and design of courses and curriculums. Use of effective educational technologies. Use of effective supervision methodologies (assessment, intervention, and research).

gThe residency experience must occur on at least a half-time basis.
and competency tables) was included in the clinical neuropsychology specialty renewal petition submitted to CRSPPP in December 2016. It is presented below.

**Preamble**

**Scope**
The present document represents an inter-organizational effort promoted and moderated by the Clinical Neuropsychology Synarchy to delineate entry-level competences for clinical neuropsychology. It is important to emphasize that enumeration of entry-level competencies does not alter Houston Conference Guidelines (HCG). The HCG continue to describe the process of specialty training in clinical neuropsychology. Rather, this document describes the expected outcomes from following the HCG. These outcomes are enumerated in terms of practicable and measurable competencies. The HCG specify that rigorous, extensive and cumulative training in clinical neuropsychology takes place at the doctoral, internship, and post-doctoral levels but allows for flexibility regarding the level at which different trainees may achieve knowledge and skills. Similarly this document presents entry-level competencies cognizant that no single level of training imparts all competencies and that individuals may acquire these competencies in a varied fashion.

**Background**
The specialty training guidelines for clinical neuropsychology delineated in the Houston Conference statement, Hannay et al. (1998) have served the field well for almost 20 years. They have served as a specific but flexible guide for how to train in the field. A survey conducted in 2010 by the Inter-organizational Steering Committee on Education and Training (ISET) showed that Houston Conference guidelines have been widely adopted by training programs. Furthermore, those receiving training consistent with the guidelines rated themselves as being well prepared for practice (Sweet, Perry, Ruff, Shear, & Guidotti Breting, 2012). As such, the ISET saw no need for a wholesale revision of training guidelines, but acknowledged that a broadening of the field and new technologies may prompt the need for updates.

While Houston Conference Guidelines have been invaluable in specifying training structure they were less explicit in describing training goals, i.e. what the training structures described in the Houston Conference Guidelines should deliver. In the time since those guidelines were developed there has been increasing emphasis on defining competencies for professional practice, including within medicine (Epstein & Hundert, 2002; Williams et al., 2010) and psychology (Health Service Psychology Education Collaborative, 2013; Kaslow, 2004; Kaslow et al., 2004; Roberts, Borden, Christiansen, & Lopez, 2005; Rodolfa et al., 2005). As such, it has become increasingly important to express professional activities in terms of practice competencies. Clinical neuropsychology has yet to delineate detailed competencies for entry-level practice. At the point of its fourth petition for specialty status it behooves clinical neuropsychology to do so.

Because Houston Conference guidelines specify that a 2 year post-doctoral residency serves as the culminating prerequisite for entry into practice in the specialty,
Table 3. Foundational competencies unique to clinical neuropsychology but common across functional domains.

| Cluster/Foundational Domain | Competency encompassed by domain |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Scientific Knowledge and Methods | The clinical neuropsychologist:  |
|                             | • Demonstrates knowledge of the clinical and cognitive neurosciences, including neurology, neuroanatomy, neurobiology, neuropathology, brain development, and neurophysiology |
|                             | • Maintains currency with key scientific developments in fields related to practice |
|                             | • Demonstrates and applies knowledge of scientific and scholarly developments in clinical neuropsychology |
| Evidence-Based Practice | • Understands key signs and symptoms of disease processes relevant to practice and how patient characteristics (e.g. demographic factors, comorbidities) affect their expression. |
|                             | • Understands age-related changes in brain functioning and behavior across the lifespan. |
|                             | • Understands the scientific basis for assessment strategy, including test selection, use of appropriate normative standards, psychometric and operating characteristics, and test limitations |
|                             | • Understands patterns of incidence, prevalence (i.e. base-rate), and natural course of conditions of interest in neuropsychology |
|                             | • Appreciates decision-making strategies and their applications in differential diagnosis |
|                             | • Knows the scientific basis for diagnostic conclusions across a range of neuropsychological disorders |
|                             | • Incorporates and uses outcome research in neuropsychology in guiding assessments and formulating interventions, integrating patient and contextual factors |
|                             | • Applies key components of evidence-based practice (i.e. best evidence, clinical expertise, and patient characteristics/culture/values) in selecting appropriate assessment and intervention approaches |
|                             | • Applies information technology to assess and evaluate best evidence to guide practice |
| Individual and Cultural Diversity | • Integrates knowledge of diversity issues in neuropsychological assessment, research, treatment, and consultation (e.g. health disparities, language differences, educational level, cultural context, literacy, individual differences) |
| Ethical, Legal Standards, and Policy | • Applies ethical concepts across a range of settings; demonstrates awareness of legal issues relevant to the professional activities of clinical neuropsychologists across settings, including healthcare, research, school, military/veteran, industry, and forensic (e.g. criminal, personal injury, disability determination, fitness for duty, etc.) |
|                             | • Understands specific ethical and legal issues that are relevant to neuropsychologist’s activities across settings, including informed consent, third party assessments, use of technicians/psychometrists, third party observers, disclosure of neuropsychological test data, and test security |
| Professional Identity | • Demonstrates professional identity as a clinical neuropsychologist; understands the unique contributions of neuropsychology to different educational, healthcare, and forensic/legal contexts |
|                             | • Demonstrates awareness of the roles of clinical neuropsychologists, and how those roles vary across settings (e.g. practice, research, training, etc.) and assessment/intervention contexts |
| Reflective Practice/Self-Assessment/Self-Care | • Engages in reflective self-assessment regarding the dynamic knowledge base and skill sets necessary for practice in clinical neuropsychology across practice settings with the goal of improving skill level over time; understands limits of competence in particular populations or settings and seeks to lessen their impact through continuing education, peer supervision/consultation, or additional training as needed |
defining entry-level competencies de facto defines the competencies expected of trainees at the completion of the post-doctoral residency.

Enumeration of these entry-level competencies will have the following benefits:

- Serve as a helpful resource for training programs, especially programs seeking accreditation at the post-doctoral level. Common materials could also be developed that greatly streamline the process of initiating and maintaining accreditation.
- Enhance the process of specialty credentialing of clinical neuropsychologists.
- Provide a framework for more senior clinical neuropsychologists to consider continuing education opportunities.
- Serve to identify the unique knowledge, skills, and abilities of clinical neuropsychologists that will enhance broad advocacy efforts in a changing healthcare environment.

**Process**

An initial effort to develop entry-level competencies was made by Rey-Casserly, Roper, and Bauer (2012) in Professional Psychology: Research and Practice. Those competencies were reviewed in detail by a task force established by the Clinical Neuropsychology Synarchy (CNS) which included Glenn Smith, CNS Chair, Neil Pliskin, SCN President, Paula Shear, SCN Past-President, Celiane Rey-Casserly, past Chair of the APA Committee on Accreditation, and Brad Roper, Chair of the SCN Education Advisory Committee, resulting in several wording changes from the original article. This first revision of the document was forwarded to the all CNS members on 1/4/2015 inviting comment. Initial reactions to the competencies were discussed at the CNS meeting in Denver in February 2015. Organizations then provided feedback in earnest over the course of the ensuing year. These comments were coalesced by Dr. Roper and discussed at the CNS annual meeting in Boston in February of 2016. At that meeting a subcommittee was formed to finalize integration of member
organizations contributions into the competency documents. A second revision was submitted to all member organizations in the spring of 2016 requesting that the organizations affirm the committee’s accommodation of their input. The final document was included along with our petition for continued recognition as a specialty area to the Commission for the Recognition of Specialties and Proficiencies in Professional Psychology (CRSPPP) in December 2016.

**Structure**

The competencies are organized following recent developments in the classification of competencies into seven *foundational* competencies that cross multiple areas of practice (Table 1), and six *functional* competencies pertaining to specific domains of practice (Tables 2–7). These specific competencies in clinical neuropsychology build on
foundational and functional competencies attained in professional psychology doctoral training, in many case providing the describing the application of generic health service psychology competencies (Health Service Psychology Education Collaborative, 2013; Kaslow, 2004; Kaslow et al., 2004; Roberts et al., 2005; Rodolfa et al., 2005) in the field of clinical neuropsychology. The functional competencies are organized into elements that are knowledge based and elements that are skill based. Clinical neuropsychologists will not employ or demonstrate all competencies equally over the course of their careers. Some neuropsychologists may focus on assessment to the

Table 5. Functional competencies: intervention.

| Domain | Knowledge-based competencies | The clinical neuropsychologist will have knowledge of: |
|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
|        |                             | • Evidenced-based intervention practices to address cognitive and behavioral problems present in different clinical populations |
|        |                             | • Theoretical and procedural bases of intervention methods appropriate to address disorders of language, attention, learning and memory, executive skills, problem solving, perceptual processing, sensorimotor functioning, and psychological/emotional adjustment |
|        | Applied competencies        | The clinical neuropsychologist will be able to: |
|        |                             | • Develop and implement treatment plans that address neuropsychological deficits while accounting for patient preferences, individual differences, and social cultural context |
|        |                             | • Implement evidence-based interventions in neuropsychological disorders |
|        |                             | • Independently evaluate the effectiveness of interventions employing appropriate assessment and outcome measurement strategies |
|        |                             | • Demonstrate an awareness of ethical and legal ramifications of neuropsychological intervention strategies |

Table 6. Functional competencies: consultation.

| Domain | Knowledge-based competencies | The clinical neuropsychologist will have knowledge of: |
|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
|        |                             | • Professional roles and expectations of a consulting clinical neuropsychologist specific to each setting |
|        |                             | • Relevant literatures on the roles of neuropsychologists in consultation settings |
|        | Applied competencies        | The clinical neuropsychologist will be able to: |
|        |                             | • Determine and clarify referral issues |
|        |                             | • Educate referral sources regarding the utility and relevance of neuropsychological services. |
|        |                             | • Communicate findings from consultation activities effectively and efficiently |
|        |                             | • Provide effective assessment feedback and articulate appropriate recommendations in language appropriate for the audience |
|        |                             | • Provide effective consultation services within common settings and contexts in clinical neuropsychology practice |
|        |                             | • Communicate scientific findings within clinical neuropsychology in a manner that is relevant to the consultation setting and understandable to the recipient |
|        |                             | • Provide consultation in clinical research regarding brain-behavior relationships and appropriate neurobehavioral assessment strategies and tools |
exclusion of intervention. Some private practitioners will do little teaching. However at entry into the field it is expected that they will possess all competencies and be able to demonstrate a preponderance of the competency elements listed in the tables.

**Measurement**

Consistent with Houston Conference Guidelines (HCG), the entry level for practice begins after completion of an APA-approved doctoral training program, APA-approved internship and a 2 year post-doctoral residency. Each level of training already incorporates its own forms of interval assessments that are relevant to the competencies described herein. These start with candidate evaluations leading to graduate school admission, evolves through course exams and grades, qualifying exams, dissertation defenses, practica, internship, and post-doc supervisors ratings and culminates via passing written, practice sample, and oral board examinations. However, the enumeration of competencies will
undoubtedly spark interest in developing comprehensive, level spanning systems of measuring and tracking trainee progress. Although assessing competency is not part of the current effort, programs and/or organizations may find consensus-based entry-level competencies helpful in developing such systems for their own use.

**Competencies at the Post-Doctoral residency level**

In December of 2016, CoA sent a request to CoS to direct a project to identify and operationalize the specialty-specific competency domains that will be required of post-doctoral residency programs seeking APA accreditation. In turn, the CoS requested that each specialty council provide to CoS by 1 July 2017, specialty-specific, essential competencies in 16 competency domains. These domains are based upon ABPP competencies and also include of APA-CoA competencies:

- Integration of science and practice (note: an APA-CoA required competency)
- Ethical and Legal Standards/Policy
- Individual and Cultural Diversity
- Professionalism (professional values attitudes and behavior)
- Reflective practice/self-assessment, self-care
- Scientific knowledge and methods
- Interdisciplinary Systems
- Relationships
The competency domains Integration of Science and Practice, Ethical and Legal Standards, and Individual and Cultural Diversity are required for all post-doctoral residencies regardless of specialty. Specialties with competencies in any of the other 12 domains, where asked to consider which of those domains should be required during post-doctoral residency training in that specialty.

Specialty councils were asked to provide domain elements, operationalized in a specialty-specific fashion that are observable and easily evaluated. CoA plans to use these competency statements to populate the self-study instructions for post-doctoral residency programs undergoing review for accreditation in a given specialty.

CNS again empaneled a group of key stakeholders. The workgroup was led by Brad L. Roper, Ph.D., ABPP-CN (Co-Chair, Memphis VA Medical Center), and Amy Heffelfinger, Ph.D., ABPP-CN (Co-Chair, Medical College of Wisconsin). These leaders created a workgroup composed of five training directors drawn from the 25 post-doctoral programs in clinical neuropsychology that were APA-accredited at the time. In selecting workgroup members, consideration was made regarding proportion of representation from VA-based programs, DoD-based programs, academic medical centers and programs with pediatric neuropsychology subspecialty training. In addition to the chairs the workgroup included Karin J.M. McCoy, Ph.D., ABPP-CN (South Texas Veterans Health Care System), Robert A. Seegmiller, Ph.D., ABPP-CN (San Antonio Military Medical Center), and Jessica L. Vassallo, Ph.D., ABPP-CN (James A. Haley Veterans Hospital).

The CoA requires that all post-doctoral programs train and evaluate residents as specified in the Standards of Accreditation (SoA) and Implementing Regulation (IR) C-9P “Profession-Wide Competencies.” This includes competencies in:

- Integration of Science and Practice
- Ethical and Legal Standards
- Individual and Cultural Diversity

In addition to meeting IR C-9P, specialties may include additional elements of the above competencies that are unique to their specialty. In constructing the competencies, the CoS requested that specialties select from the list below:

- Professionalism (professional values, attitudes and behavior)
- Reflective practice/self-assessment, self-care
- Scientific knowledge and methods
- Interdisciplinary Systems
The specialty specific competencies to be addressed by post-doctoral residencies are listed in Tables 11 and 12. At the time of drafting of this manuscript the nominated competences for post-doctoral training in clinical neuropsychology were still under review from the CoA.

Future directions and conclusion

Assessing competence

As noted in the entry-level competencies preamble, enumerating competencies for a field has limited utility unless these competencies can be assessed to be present or absent in individual practitioners. Establishing competence is of course a key function of credentialing organizations such as the American Board of Clinical Neuropsychology or the American Board of Professional Neuropsychology. In fact, at the time of the vote to approve the entry-level competency document there was already tight alignment between the document and those competencies included in the board guidelines and procedures that undergird the examination process. However, the capacity to conduct interim assessments of competencies throughout the training sequence will enable more effective and personalized training. Groups such as the APPCN are currently developing tools and methods for such interim assessment of competency acquisition.

Subspecialty competency

Clinical neuropsychology has also led the specialties in consider how to incorporate subspecialty practice. In 2014, pediatric neuropsychology became the first subspecialty area with an existing specialty area to be formally recognized by ABPP. It goes without saying that his subspecialty area has both unique and common competencies relative to the larger specialty. However, to date competency documents do not incorporate, reflect, or distinguish subspecialty issues. There is surely a need for this development in the future.
### Table 12. Competencies and elements.

#### Integration of Science and Practice (Level 1)
- Maintain currency of knowledge and skills in clinical neuropsychology practice, using scientific literature, seminars, conferences, training sessions, and/or other evidence-based resources
- Demonstrate and utilize knowledge in the following foundational areas, including:
  - the neuropsychology of behavior, including information processing theories, cognitive/affective neuroscience, behavioral neurology, and lifespan neuropsychology
  - additional areas as relevant to practice, especially neuroanatomy, neural systems, brain development, and neuropathology
- Demonstrate and utilize knowledge in the following key areas, including:
  - theories and methods of measurement and psychometrics relevant to brain-behavior relationships, cognitive abilities, social and emotional functioning, performance/symptom validity, test development, reliability, validity, and reliable change;
  - scientific basis of assessment, including test selection, use of appropriate normative standards, and test limitations;
  - patterns of behavioral, cognitive, and emotional impairments associated with neurological, psychiatric, and general medical diseases and conditions which affect brain structure and functioning;
  - patterns of incidence, prevalence (i.e. base-rate), natural course, and key signs/symptoms of disease processes for conditions of interest in neuropsychology;
  - the potential functional implications of neuromedical conditions, psychiatric conditions, and neuropsychological impairments as they relate to everyday ability level, quality of life, and educational/working/social/living environments
- Apply key components of evidence-based practice (i.e. best evidence, clinical expertise, and patient characteristics/culture/values) in selecting appropriate assessment, intervention approaches, recommendations, and supervision methods, and when engaging in consultation with other disciplines

#### Ethical and Legal Standards/Policy (Level 1)
- Are knowledgeable of, and consistently act in accordance with,
  - the current version of the APA Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct;
  - relevant laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and policies governing the practice of clinical neuropsychology at the organizational, local, state, regional, and federal levels;
  - relevant professional standards and guidelines
- Are conversant with ethical and legal issues relevant to psychologists and neuropsychologists’ activities across settings, including informed consent, provider roles and relationships with patients/examinees, third party assessments, use of technicians/psychometrists, third party observers, disclosure of neuropsychological test data, test security, and assessment of performance/symptom validity
- Recognize ethical dilemmas as they arise, apply ethical decision-making processes to resolve dilemmas, and utilize professional and legal consultation as appropriate
- Conduct self in an ethical manner in all professional activities.

#### Individual and Cultural Diversity (Level 1)
- Demonstrate an understanding of how their own personal/cultural history, attitudes, and biases may affect how they understand and interact with people different from themselves
- Integrate current theoretical and empirical knowledge of diversity issues in neuropsychological assessment, research, treatment, and consultation (e.g. health disparities, language differences, educational level, cultural context, literacy, individual differences); understand and appreciate how cultural, linguistic, disability, and other demographic/socioeconomic factors affect the process and outcomes of neuropsychological assessments and the application of normative data and interpretations in specific populations
- Demonstrate the ability to integrate awareness and knowledge of individual and cultural differences in the conduct of professional roles (e.g. research, services, and other professional activities). This includes the ability to apply a framework for working effectively with areas of individual and cultural diversity not previously encountered over the course of their careers. Also included is the ability to work effectively with individuals whose group membership, demographic characteristics, or worldviews create conflict with their own

#### Professional Identity & Relationships/Self-Reflective Practice (Level 3)
- Possess knowledge of the varying roles of clinical neuropsychologists across settings (e.g. practice, research, training) and assessment/intervention contexts
- Demonstrate professional behavior and comportment that reflects the values and attitudes of clinical neuropsychology
- Maintain productive relationships with a variety of individuals and demonstrate effective interpersonal skills, including the ability to manage difficult communication well
- Engage in reflective self-assessment regarding limits of competence (e.g. knowledge base and skill sets necessary for practice)
- Exhibit awareness of personal and professional problems and demonstrate positive coping strategies with personal and professional stressors and challenges

(continued)
Evolution

The Houston Guidelines (Hannay et al., 1998) were published twenty years ago. In the opinion of many, these guidelines served the field very well. It seems appropriate that in this 20th anniversary year additional documents pertinent to education and training in clinical neuropsychology should appear. These documents were developed at different times for different purposes, for different audiences. These distinctions are summarized in Table 13. It is unlikely that these documents will persist without modification for 20 more years. Indeed, the pace of specification and clarification in training models in professional psychology is increasing at the same time that the breadth and depth of neuropsychologists’ professional activities are expanding. Proficiency with new technologies may increasingly be required. Challenges in assessing competencies may result in revisions of how they are specified. Subspecialty recognition may result in the need for distinctive training pathways and competencies. The need to iterate the documents included herein will be a great sign of the vitality of our profession.
Table 13. Target, purpose, and audience for education and training documents in clinical neuropsychology.

| Document                           | Applies to                         | Intended audience (in priority order) | Intended function                                                                 | Not intended to:                                           |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Houston conference guidelines      | Trainees                           | Trainees                               | Describe training pathways for clinical neuropsychology                           | Specify individual competencies                            |
| (Hannay et al., 1998)              | Graduates, internships, post-doctoral residencies, and continuing education programs | Credentialing organizations Training directors Prospective trainees                | Standardize terminology across training programs, training levels and specialties | Define education and training standards within a specialty Define competence |
| Taxonomy                           | Individual neuropsychologists       | CRSPPP Credentialing organizations Training directors, neuropsychologists in training | Describe basic competence in clinical neuropsychology.                             | Define training pathway (See Houston Guideline for this)    |
| Entry-level competency             | Individual neuropsychologists       | Individual neuropsychologists           | Nominate competencies for use by CoA in accrediting post-doctoral training programs | Define full entry-level competence                         |
| document                           | Post-doctoral training programs    | CoA Post-doc training directors (eventually) |                                                                                   |                                                            |
| Competency document for post-doctoral level training | Post-doctoral training programs    | CoA Post-doc training directors (eventually) |Nominate competencies for use by CoA in accrediting post-doctoral training programs | Define full entry-level competence                         |
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