Polymorphism of XRCC1, XRCC3, and XPD Genes and Risk of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia
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The genetic polymorphisms of X-ray repair cross complementing group 1 (XRCC1), X-ray repair cross complementing group 3 (XRCC3), and xeroderma pigmentosum complementation group D (XPD) repair genes may lead to genetic instability and leukemogenesis. The purpose of the study was to evaluate the association between XRCC1 Arg399Gln, Arg280His and Arg194Trp, XRCC3 Thr241Met, and XPD Lys751Gln polymorphisms and the risk of developing CML in Romanian patients. A total of 156 patients diagnosed with CML and 180 healthy controls were included in this study. We found no association between CML and XRCC1 or XRCC3 variant genotypes in any of the investigated cases. A significant difference was observed in the variant genotype frequencies of the XPD Lys751Gln polymorphism between the patients with CML and control group (for variant homozygous genotypes, OR = 2.37; 95% CI = 1.20–4.67; P value = 0.016 and for combined heterozygous and variant homozygous genotypes, OR = 1.72; 95% CI = 1.10–2.69; P value = 0.019). This was also observed when analyzing the variant allele (OR = 1.54; 95% CI = 1.13–2.11; P value = 0.008). Our results suggest that the XPD Lys751Gln variant genotype increases the risk of CML.

1. Introduction

Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a myeloproliferative neoplasm characterised by the Philadelphia chromosome (Ph), a reciprocal chromosomal translocation t (9;22)(q34;q11) leading to the fusion of the Abelson murine leukemia (ABL) gene on chromosome 9 with the breakpoint cluster region (BCR) gene on chromosome 22 [1].

CML can be classified into distinct clinical phases: chronic phase, accelerated phase, and blast phase. Diagnosis is most commonly established during the chronic phase. The fusion gene BCR-ABL in CML results in genomic instability and defective repair that can lead to acquisition of genomic changes [2].

DNA damage repair pathways are important for removing different types of DNA damage. The base excision repair (BER), nucleotide excision repair (NER), and double strand break repair (DSB repair) are the most important DNA repair pathways [3]. Mutations are early events in carcinogenesis and impaired DNA repair might be a risk factor for many cancers [4].

Common genetic polymorphisms in DNA repair genes might affect protein function and thus the capacity of repair DNA damage, which in turn could lead to genetic instability and leukemogenesis. Polymorphisms in DNA repair genes are thought to be a risk factor for cancer as a result of increased rate of mutations.
Among them, polymorphisms of X-ray repair cross-complementing group 1 (XRCC1), X-ray repair cross-complementing group 3 (XRCC3), and xeroderma pigmentosum complementation group D (XPD) have been studied extensively.

DNA lesions caused by internal and external factors such as ionizing radiation, alkylating agents, and oxidation repaired through the base excision repair pathway (BER). BER is one of the four major DNA repair pathways [3].

The nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathway is responsible for repair of lesions such as bulky adducts and thymidine dimers [5]. Double strand break (DSB) repair is responsible for the repair of double strand DNA breaks produced by exogenous agents (such as ionizing radiation and some chemotherapeutic drugs) and endogenous formed reactive oxygen species. One of the main pathways for the repair of DNA double strand breaks is homologous recombination (HR), which is important in DNA repair occurring during cellular replication [6].

Several single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in XRCC1, XRCC3, and XPD genes have been identified. Among them, XRCC1 Arg399Gln, Arg280His, and Arg194Trp, XRCC3 Thr241Met, and XPD Lys751Gln polymorphisms are the most studied in cancers, including leukemia.

X-ray cross complementing gene 1 (XRCC1) is one of the most important genes involved in DNA repair, specifically in the base excision repair pathway and in single-strand break repair activity [7, 8]. The XRCC1 gene encodes a protein that is associated with DNA polymerase beta, DNA ligase III, and poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) and functions in a complex to facilitate the repair of the damaged bases produced by endogenous or exogenous factors. XRCC1 Arg194Trp, Arg280His, and Arg399Gln single-nucleotide polymorphisms have been shown to have functional significance and could alter XRCC1 function, decrease the kinetics of repair mechanism, and influence susceptibility to cancer [9, 10].

Because the XRCC1 gene polymorphisms may alter DNA repair capacity, a number of studies have suggested that they might represent a risk factor in hematological malignancies such as leukemia [11–14]. Also, the XRCC1 polymorphisms have been extensively studied in relation to acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [3, 13, 15, 16], acute lymphoblastic leukemia [17–19], chronic lymphocytic leukemia [20, 21], and lymphoma [22–26].

The role of XRCC1 gene polymorphisms in CML was investigated in only two studies [14, 27]. One study failed to demonstrate an association between XRCC1 Arg399Gln polymorphism and CML [27]. In contrast, the other study found a significant association of XRCC1 codons 194 and 399 with CML. However, this was not the case for codon 280 [14].

The XRCC3 gene product plays an important role in homologous recombination repair of DNA double strand breaks. XRCC3 Thr241Met gene polymorphism could be associated with impaired function of repair, because this polymorphism consisting of Met to Thr substitution might influence the enzyme’s function by removing a phosphorylation site [28].

The XRCC3 gene has been studied in association with leukemia. Yan et al. found a significant association between XRCC3 Thr241Met polymorphism and leukemia, in Asian patients [29]. Qin et al. [30] reported that XRCC3 Thr241Met polymorphism might be associated with AML risk. Seedhouse et al. reported no effect for the variant XRCC3 241Met gene alone in either de novo AML or therapy-related AML (t-AML) but demonstrated an increased risk of AML when both variants RAD51 I35C and XRCC3 241Met alleles were present [31].

The XPD gene (xeroderma pigmentosum group D) is involved in the nucleotide excision repair (NER) pathway. The XPD gene encodes a DNA helicase, essential for transcription initiation, nucleotide excision repair, cell cycle control, and apoptosis. Mutations in XPD gene reduce helicase activity and cause defects in NER pathway [32, 33]. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of XPD gene, such as Arg156Arg, Asp312Asn, and Lys751Gln, have been studied in relation to lung cancer [32] and colorectal cancer [28, 34]. In the last years XPD Lys751Gln polymorphism has been investigated in different hematological malignancies, such as acute myeloid and lymphoblastic leukemia, but with contradictory results [35–41].

There is evidence that variant homozygous genotypes of XPD Lys751Gln polymorphism are associated with low DNA repair capacity for benzo(a)pyrene adducts and UV DNA damage [42]. To our knowledge, no data are available regarding the role and distribution of the XRCC3 Thr241Met and XPD Lys751Gln gene polymorphisms in CML.

We focused in particular on the XRCC1 Arg399Gln, Arg280His, and Arg194Trp, XRCC3 Thr241Met, and XPD Lys751Gln polymorphisms because they were the most studied and have been shown to be responsible for a suboptimal DNA repair capacity. Thus, they might influence susceptibility to cancer.

The aim of our study was to evaluate the association between XRCC1 Arg399Gln, Arg280His, and Arg194Trp polymorphisms and the risk of developing CML in Romanian patients. In addition we assessed whether there was an association between XRCC3 Thr241Met and XPD Lys751Gln polymorphisms and CML, as such data are lacking from the literature.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients and Controls. The study was performed with approval from the Ethics Committee of the University of Medicine and Pharmacy Tirgu Mures, Romania. The study was carried out according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and informed consent was obtained from each participant.

A total of 156 previously untreated adult patients aged 20 to 78 years diagnosed with CML (69 females and 87 males; mean age 51.5 ± 1.1 years) and 180 control individuals (90 females and 90 males; mean age 49.8 ± 2.1 years) were included in this study. The CML patients were consecutively hospitalized and diagnosed in the Hematology Clinics from Tirgu Mures and Cluj-Napoca between December 2010 and December 2013, according to current WHO standards [43, 44]. Controls were randomly unrelated healthy individuals
from the same geographical area like the patients (north-western and central parts of Romania), with no previous or present history of malignancy. All patients included in the study had Philadelphia chromosome and/or the BCR-ABL positive CML. Blood samples were collected at diagnosis, before starting therapy. The exclusion criteria were any other cancer types (including other hematological malignancies).

The median hemoglobin (Hb) level at diagnosis was 9.86 g/dL (range, 4–14.1). The median blasts percentage in peripheral blood at presentation was 9.48%. Additional cytogenetic abnormalities (ACA) were observed in 20 CML cases (12.8%). There were 134 patients (85.9%) in chronic phase, 8 patients (5.1%) in accelerated phase, and 14 patients (9.0%) in blast phase.

Patients received first-line therapy with imatinib mesylate (Gleevec), 400 mg/day in chronic phase. In the case of suboptimal response and failure to imatinib treatment the dose was increased to 600 mg/day imatinib, or they received dasatinib (Sprycel) or nilotinib (Tasigna).

2.2. Genotyping Procedures. Genomic DNA was obtained from peripheral blood samples using the commercially available Quick-gDNA MiniPrep kit (Zymo Research, USA) and Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The XRCC1 genotypes were determined by the polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) assay. The primers, restriction enzymes, and PCR conditions for XRCC1 were the same as described by Batar et al. [9], Seedhouse et al. [41], and Wang et al. [45]. XRCC3 Thr241Met genotypes were detected using a PCR-RFLP method, as described previously [46]. The XPD Lys751Gln polymorphism was also investigated by a PCR-RFLP assay, as described by Seedhouse et al. [41].

2.3. Statistical Analysis. All data were analyzed by GraphPad InStat software, version 3 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Fischer’s exact test (two-sided) was used to compare the distribution of qualitative variables between cases and controls. A P value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to estimate the strength of the association between alleles and genotype in CML patients and controls. Moreover, the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was evaluated using chi-squared test.

3. Results

The observed genotype frequencies in controls were consistent with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

The genotype distribution and the allele frequency of the five polymorphisms analyzed are shown in Table 1. The clinical characteristics of CML patients according to XRCC1, XPD, and XRCC3 gene polymorphisms are summarized in Table 2.

We did not observe an association between CML and XRCC1 and XRCC3 variants. In the case of the three XRCC1 polymorphisms analyzed, the distribution of the variant heterozygous and homozygous genotypes was similar in patients and controls.

Similarly, in the case of the XRCC3 Thr241Met polymorphism, the heterozygous and variant homozygous genotypes shared similar frequencies in CML patients and controls. Also, the variant allele frequencies were similar in patients and controls in the case of all XRCC1 and XRCC3 polymorphisms analyzed.

In this study, an association between XPD Lys751Gln polymorphism and CML was noted. A statistically significant difference was observed in the variant genotype frequencies of the XPD Lys751Gln polymorphism between the patients with CML and control group (for variant homozygous genotypes, OR = 2.37; 95% CI = 1.20–4.67; P value = 0.016 and for combined heterozygous and variant homozygous genotypes, OR = 1.72; 95% CI = 1.10–2.69; P value = 0.019). This was also observed when analyzing the variant 751Gln allele (OR = 1.54; 95% CI = 1.13–2.11; P value = 0.008).

We analysed the distribution of XRCC1, XRCC3, and XPD variants in patients stratified by gender. The XRCC1 Arg194Trp, XRCC1 Arg280His, XRCC1 Arg399Gln, XRCC3 Thr241Met, and XPD Lys751Gln polymorphisms studied had no influence on the risk of CML with respect to gender.

We evaluated the impact of these polymorphisms in more detail taking into account different prognostic factors. In the current study, no association was observed in the distribution of any of the XRCC1, XRCC3, and XPD polymorphisms regarding blasts and white blood cells count (P value > 0.05 for all these comparisons). When the Sokal and Hasford risk groups were considered, no association was seen between variant genotypes for the XRCC1, XRCC3, and XPD polymorphisms and the risk groups mentioned above.

Finally, we performed a comparison of the ACA with respect to the studied polymorphisms. No association was seen in the distribution of the XRCC1, XRCC3, and XPD polymorphisms regarding blasts and white blood cells count (P value > 0.05 for all these comparisons).

Patients with specific genotypes were not more likely to receive a particular tyrosine kinase inhibitor (imatinib, dasatinib, or nilotinib), for none of the polymorphisms analyzed (P value > 0.05 for all these comparisons).

4. Discussion

In the present research, we investigated the association between XRCC1, XRCC3, and XPD gene polymorphisms and CML in a 6.1 million population from north-western and central regions of Romania. According to the Romanian Association of Rare Cancers the estimated incidence of CML in our country is about 1.6 new cases per 100,000 adults every year [47].

Data regarding the relationship between XRCC1 polymorphisms and CML are limited, and the results are contradictory so far. Deligezer et al. [27] did not find an association between XRCC1 codon 399Gln polymorphism and CML. Annamaneni et al. suggested recently that XRCC1 gene might
| Polymorphism | CML patients | Controls | OR (95% CI) | P value |
|--------------|--------------|----------|-------------|---------|
|              | n (%)        | n (%)    | CML versus controls | CML versus controls |
| XRCC1 Arg194Trp |              |          |              |         |
| Arg/Arg      | 119 (76.3)   | 129 (71.7) | —             | —       |
| Arg/Trp   | 31 (19.9)    | 45 (25.0)   | 0.75 (0.44–1.26) | 0.294  |
| Trp/Trp    | 6 (3.8)      | 6 (3.3)    | 1.08 (0.34–3.45) | 1.00    |
| Arg/Trp + Trp/Trp | 37 (23.7)   | 51 (28.3)   | 0.78 (0.48–1.28) | 0.384  |
| Arg allele | 269 (86.2)   | 303 (84.2)  | —             | —       |
| Trp allele | 43 (13.8)    | 57 (15.8)   | 0.85 (0.55–1.31) | 0.515  |
| XRCC1 Arg280His |            |          |              |         |
| Arg/Arg | 82 (52.7)    | 112 (62.2)  | —             | —       |
| Arg/His | 64 (41.0)    | 58 (32.2)   | 1.51 (0.96–2.38) | 0.083  |
| His/His | 10 (6.3)     | 10 (5.6)    | 1.37 (0.54–3.43) | 0.636  |
| Arg/His + His/His | 74 (47.4) | 68 (37.8)  | 1.48 (0.96–2.29) | 0.077  |
| Arg allele | 228 (73.1)   | 282 (78.3)  | —             | —       |
| His allele | 84 (26.9)    | 78 (21.7) | 1.33 (0.93–1.89) | 0.124  |
| XRCC1 Arg399Gln |              |          |              |         |
| Arg/Arg | 71 (45.5)    | 91 (50.6)   | —             | —       |
| Arg/Gln | 69 (44.2)    | 73 (40.5)   | 1.21 (0.77–1.91) | 0.421  |
| Gln/Gln | 16 (10.3)    | 16 (8.9)    | 1.28 (0.59–2.74) | 0.563  |
| Arg/Gln + Gln/Gln | 85 (54.5) | 89 (49.4) | 1.22 (0.79–1.88) | 0.382  |
| Arg allele | 211 (67.6)   | 255 (69.7)  | —             | —       |
| Gln allele | 101 (32.4)   | 105 (30.3)  | 1.16 (0.84–1.62) | 0.401  |
| XPD Lys751Gln |            |          |              |         |
| Lys/Lys | 51 (32.7)    | 82 (45.6)   | —             | —       |
| Lys/Gln | 77 (49.4)    | 79 (43.9)   | 1.57 (0.98–2.51) | 0.075  |
| Gln/Gln | 28 (17.9)    | 19 (10.5)   | 2.37 (1.20–4.67) | 0.016  |
| Lys/Gln + Gln/Gln | 105 (67.3) | 98 (54.4) | 1.72 (1.10–2.69) | 0.019  |
| Lys allele | 179 (57.4)   | 243 (67.5)  | —             | —       |
| Gln allele | 133 (42.6)   | 117 (32.5)  | 1.543 (1.13–2.11) | 0.008  |
| XRCC3 Thr241Met |          |          |              |         |
| Thr/Thr | 64 (41.0)    | 85 (47.2)   | —             | —       |
| Thr/Met | 70 (44.9)    | 79 (43.9)   | 1.17 (0.74–1.86) | 0.561  |
| Met/Met | 22 (14.1)    | 16 (8.9)    | 1.82 (0.88–3.76) | 0.105  |
| Thr/Met + Met/Met | 92 (58.9) | 95 (52.8) | 1.28 (0.83–1.98) | 0.272  |
| Thr allele | 198 (63.5)   | 249 (69.2)  | —             | —       |
| Met allele | 114 (36.5)   | 111 (30.8)  | 1.29 (0.94–1.78) | 0.120  |
Table 2: Patient features at diagnosis according to the XRCC1, XPD, and XRCC3 genotypes.

|                   | Overall | XRCC1 Arg194Trp | XRCC1 Arg280His | XRCC1 Arg399Gln | XRCC3 Thr241Met | XPD Lys751Gln |
|-------------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
|                   |         | Arg/Arg Variant* | Arg/Arg Variant* | Arg/Arg Variant* | Thr/Thr Variant* | Lys/Lys Variant* | P   |
| Gender            |         | P               | P               | P               | P               | P             |
| Female            | 69 (44.2) | 51 | 17 | 0.849 | 42 | 27 | 0.076 | 33 | 36 | 0.630 | 30 | 39 | 0.624 | 26 | 43 | 0.302 |
| Male              | 87 (55.2) | 68 | 20 | 0.259 | 34 | 34 | 0.629 | 37 | 31 | 0.053 | 32 | 36 | 0.392 | 22 | 46 | 1.00  |
| Age               |         |                 |                 |                 |                 |               |
| <50 years         | 68 (43.6) | 55 | 13 | 0.259 | 34 | 34 | 0.629 | 37 | 31 | 0.053 | 32 | 36 | 0.392 | 22 | 46 | 1.00  |
| >50 years         | 88 (56.4) | 64 | 24 | 0.259 | 48 | 40 | 0.629 | 34 | 54 | 0.053 | 32 | 56 | 0.392 | 22 | 59 | 1.00  |
| Clinical phases   |         |                 |                 |                 |                 |               |
| CP                | 134 (85.9) | 106 | 28 | 0.056 | 72 | 62 | 0.498 | 66 | 59 | 0.104 | 59 | 75 | 0.065 | 40 | 94 | 0.084 |
| AP/BP             | 22 (14.1) | 13 | 9 |                 | 10 | 12 | 0.498 | 7 | 15 | 0.104 | 5 | 17 | 0.065 | 11 | 11 |               |
| Sokal risk groups |         |                 |                 |                 |                 |               |
| Low               | 64 (41.0) | 44 | 20 |                 | 28 | 36 | 0.346 | 30 | 34 | 0.053 | 27 | 37 | 0.392 | 20 | 28 | 0.098 |
| Intermediate      | 52 (33.3) | 45 | 7  | 0.085 | 27 | 25 | 0.746 | 30 | 22 | 0.870 | 20 | 22 | 0.870 | 24 | 28 | 0.098 |
| High              | 40 (25.7) | 30 | 10 |                 | 16 | 24 | 0.392 | 11 | 29 | 0.053 | 17 | 23 | 0.392 | 19 | 21 |               |
| Hasford risk groups |       |                 |                 |                 |                 |               |
| Low               | 55 (35.2) | 42 | 13 |                 | 25 | 30 | 0.346 | 22 | 33 | 0.053 | 25 | 30 | 0.346 | 19 | 36 |               |
| Intermediate      | 65 (41.7) | 53 | 12 | 1.00 | 35 | 30 | 0.240 | 33 | 32 | 0.318 | 21 | 44 | 0.496 | 22 | 43 | 0.724 |
| High              | 36 (23.1) | 24 | 12 |                 | 22 | 14 | 0.346 | 16 | 20 | 0.346 | 18 | 18 | 0.346 | 10 | 26 |               |

CP: chronic phase, AP: accelerated phase, BP: blast phase, and variant*: heterozygous and homozygous variant genotypes.
have an important role in CML progression but not in its etiology [14].

Our study provides no evidence of a role of XRCCI Arg194Trp and Arg399Gln polymorphisms in susceptibility to CML. We found no significant association between the XRCCI 194Trp and 399Gln alleles and CML risk. Our findings are not in agreement with the results reported by Annamneni et al. [14] but consistent with those reported by Deligezer et al. [27].

El-Din et al. [13] observed that subjects with both polymorphisms (XRCCI Arg194Trp and XRCCI Arg399Gln) have a higher risk of developing AML. Similar results were reported by Joseph et al. [17] in patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia.

Takanami et al. [48] reported results suggesting that the XRCCI variant 280His allele is associated with a reduced capacity of single-strand breaks (SSB) and BER systems, which consequently increases the risk of carcinogenesis. However, our study did not reveal a statistical significant difference between CML patients and controls, regarding the distribution of the XRCCI Arg280His polymorphism.

Our results are similar to that observed by Zhang et al. in a recent meta-analysis of 19 case-control studies which evaluated the association between XRCCI Arg399Gln, Arg194Trp, and Arg280His polymorphisms and leukemia risk. The findings of the meta-analysis demonstrate that XRCCI Arg399Gln, Arg194Trp, and Arg280His polymorphisms are not associated with overall leukemia risk, but they could be associated with the risk for some specific leukemia entities [49].

The contradictory results from different studies performed on XRCCI polymorphisms may be due to the ethnic origin, sample size of the studied populations, and different study designs. Also, variation in carcinogenic exposure, alcohol consumption, and cigarette smoking may contribute to differing results.

The frequencies of XRCCI 194Trp, 399Gln, and 280His alleles in our CML patients were 0.13, 0.32, and 0.26, whereas in controls they were 0.16, 0.30, and 0.21, respectively. Deligezer et al. [27] analyzed the XRCCI Arg399Gln polymorphism on a cohort which included 182 cases of CML and 226 controls from Turkey. The frequency of the variant Gln allele was 0.35 in controls and 0.34 in CML cases. In a recent study Annamneni et al. [14] explored possible association of the XRCCI repair gene (codons 399, 280, and 194 polymorphisms) with CML in 350 patients from Hyderabad, India (South Asia). In the study mentioned above, the frequency of XRCCI Gln, His, and Trp alleles was 0.50, 0.006, and 0.85 in CML patients, whereas it was 0.49, 0.018, and 0.81, respectively, in controls [14]. Thus, the frequencies for the XRCCI 399Gln allele and its distribution in the control group were similar to those found in the population from Turkey [27] and less than those in the population from India [14], suggesting ethnic variance. The frequency of the XRCCI 194Trp allele was higher, while the frequency of the 280His allele was similar in controls from India [14], compared to those observed in our controls.

We supposed that XRCCI polymorphisms do not only increase the susceptibility to CML but also may predispose to developing ACA in CML. When comparing patients with to those without ACA, genotype frequencies of the investigated polymorphisms were not found to be significantly different.

In the current study, no association was seen in the distribution of the XRCCI polymorphisms regarding age, gender, and Sokal and Hasford risk groups when comparing wild-type genotypes with variant genotypes. However, we observed an increased frequency of the XRCCI Arg194Trp polymorphism among CML patients in accelerated and blast phase. This observation attained a borderline statistical significance (P = 0.05).

We also studied the genotype distribution of the XRCC3 Thr241Met polymorphism in our patients with CML and controls. Our results suggest that the XRCC3 Thr241Met variant genotype is not a risk factor for the development of CML. No association was observed between the prognostic factors (age, gender, blast and WBC count, ACA, and Sokal and Hasford risk groups) and the XRCC3 Thr241Met variant genotypes in patients with CML.

Similar results were reported by Yan et al. in a meta-analysis which included seven studies with 1070 cases and 1850 controls [29]. Yan et al. found no association between XRCC3 Thr241Met polymorphism and leukemia risk in overall populations, but significant association between XRCC3 Thr241Met polymorphism and leukemia risk was found in Asians [29].

No significant association was found between the XRCC3 Thr241 Met polymorphism and the risk of ovarian cancer [50]. These findings are not in agreement with the studies conducted by Voso et al. [51] and Hamdy et al. [52] in which they suggested that XRCC3 genes polymorphisms might play an important role in the development of AML.

In our study, the frequency of XRCC3 241Met allele was 0.36 in CML patients and 0.30 in controls. According to Seedhouse et al. [31], which included 216 cases of de novo AML and 186 controls, the variant allele frequencies were 0.29 in controls and 0.34 in AML patients. In the study of Voso et al. [51] the frequency of the variant XRCC3 241Met allele was 0.45 in AML patients from Italy. Thus, the frequencies for the XRCC3 241Met allele were similar to those found in other Caucasian populations [31, 51].

We also evaluated the potential role of XPD Lys751Gln polymorphism and CML risk. Our results suggest a positive association between the XPD Lys751Gln variant homozygous (Gln/Gln) and combined heterozygous + homozygous variant genotypes (Lys/Gln + Gln/Gln) and the risk of CML. We also observed an association between variant XPD 751Gln allele and the risk of CML. These results suggest that the XPD Lys751Gln polymorphism may contribute to leukemogenesis in CML. Our findings are in agreement with the study conducted by Özcan et al. [36]. They suggested that variant XPD 751Gln allele is associated with a reduced DNA repair capacity and increased leukemogenic risk and that XPD Lys751Gln polymorphism may affect the outcome of childhood AML therapy [36]. Similar results were also reported in previous studies, in which XPD Lys751Gln variant genotypes were shown to be risk factors for AML [37] and acute lymphoid leukemia [38]. These findings are not in
agreement with the study conducted by Sorour et al., in which they found no differences in the frequency of the *XPD* Lys751Gln polymorphism between AML patients and controls [35].

The frequency of *XPD* 751Gln allele was 0.42 in our CML patients and 0.32 in controls. According to Wang et al., the frequency of the *XPD* 751Gln allele was 0.39 in Europeans, 0.36 in Americans, 0.12 in Asians, and 0.24 in Afro-Americans [38]. In a meta-analysis performed on 56 case-control studies, the Gln/Gln variant genotype of the *XPD* codon 751 was associated with increased cancer risk compared with the Lys/Lys genotype only in the European population [38]. The variant *XPD* 751Gln allele frequency was 0.38 among the controls, while it was 0.30 in AML patients from Egypt [35].

In conclusion, our study suggests that the *XPD* Lys751Gln polymorphism increases the risk of CML. According to our findings, the XRCCI Arg194Trp, Arg280His, Arg399Gln, and XRCC3 Thr241Met polymorphisms are not a risk factor for CML.

In the future, similar studies performed on larger cohorts of patients should clarify the relationship between XRCCI, XRCC3, and *XPD* polymorphisms and CML.
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