Ocular Clocks: Adapting Mechanisms for Eye Functions and Health
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The existence of daily rhythms in behavior and physiology is one of the major hallmarks of life on Earth. This characteristic has developed throughout evolution from bacteria or unicellular algae to vertebrates, in accordance with periodic variation of environmental factors such as the 24-hour day/night cycle. Not surprisingly, circadian rhythms (circadian = about 24 hours) are controlled by conserved, cell-autonomous, and self-sustaining mechanisms. These are mainly based on autoregulatory, negative feedback loops able to track time and to entrain, more or less directly, gene expression programs. Such timing systems have the property to anticipate predictable environmental changes and to control physiology and behavior, accordingly. A growing list of “clock genes” and of distinct interlocked transcriptional/translational regulatory loops has been described in mammals, suggesting diversity in molecular architecture between tissues or even cell types, but still conforming to the same general principle.1 Thus, a huge number of cellular clocks exist throughout the body. They are coordinated by a master clock located in the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) within the hypothalamus and are themselves synchronized with the solar day by daily light signals transmitted through the retina. Genetic diversity as well as organization of oscillators into a network underlie the extreme robustness of clock systems, which are largely insensitive to minor environmental changes. The emergence of transcriptomic approaches in the field offered an opportunity for a systematic analysis of temporal gene expression that functions in a specific tissue or cell type. Using this technique, it was demonstrated that thousands of transcripts undergo circadian oscillations in various mouse organs and that about 43% of protein encoding genes are rhythmic in a tissue-specific manner.2–4 Recently, the first exhaustive analysis of rhythmic transcriptional expression profiles in >60 tissues/organs from a diurnal nonhuman primate was performed.5 This genome-wide transcriptome study uncovered a wide array (about 82%) of protein-
encoding, ubiquitous, and tissue-specific genes undergoing rhythmic daily changes. These studies emphasize how pervasive the circadian clock system is, with extensive regulation of major, basic biologic processes such as metabolism, DNA repair, and gene expression but also tissue-specific functions. All are intricately coordinated within the 24-hour period. The award of the 2017 Nobel Prize to the researchers who discovered the molecular mechanism governing daily rhythms (Jeffrey C. Hall, Michael Rosbash, and Michael W. Young) provided widespread acknowledgement of the fundamental importance of circadian clocks. It also underlines the emerging interest for biologic rhythms in today's society. Indeed, modern lifestyles with extensive use of artificial light throughout the 24-hour period, frequent jetlag, and sleep debt, challenge our circadian system, likely hasten aging and increase the risk of major health problems such as metabolic syndrome, neurobehavioral abnormalities, and cancer.7

The day/night cycle in ambient light is the major environmental factor able to entrain clocks, also called zeitgeber (time-giver). In mammals, eyes constitute the only light input pathway to the SCN10 and entrainment of this central clock involves the three main light sensitive systems of the retina (i.e., rods, cones, and the intrinsically sensitive retinal ganglion cells [ipRGCs]). The latter were discovered about 20 years ago and express the photopigment melanopsin (OPN4). These ipRGCs send axons to the SCN as well as multiple other targets within the brain and integrate light information processed and transmitted by rods and cones.11,12

Both irradiance and wavelength serve as entraining factors to align the circadian system with the external light/dark cycle.13 Interestingly, eye physiology is also subject to circadian regulation, which adapts vision to the alternating day-night cycle (i.e., high and low light intensities), but also modulates the capacity of the retina to signal to the circadian system. In mammals, the retina was actually the first tissue outside of the SCN, described to display circadian clock properties, based on its capacity to synthesize and release melatonin in vitro with a ~24-hour rhythm.14 This observation triggered extensive analysis of retinal physiology over the 24-hour cycle and many molecular and cellular processes are now known to be under clock-control.15,16 These extend from the expression of photopigments17,18 to visual sensitivity, as reflected in ERG by the amplitude of the photopic b-wave.19–21 They also include processes linked to retina survival such as rhythms in shedding of rod and cone outer segments and phagocytosis by the underlying RPE22,23 and the vulnerability to phototoxici- ty.24 In addition, rhythmic processes have been reported elsewhere in the eye, such as in the cornea (daily variation of thickness or mitotic rate15,26) and in the ciliary body (aqueous production contributing to intraocular pressure25–28). In line with these recent proteomics analysis of the eyes over the 24-hour period provided an extensive view of processes under cyclic control in ocular tissues.5,22 Although identified cycling genes appear linked mainly to the retina, these data provided potential insight into the rhythmic physiology of the cornea, lens, choroid, and sclera, among others. Conversely, disruption of the circadian clock as induced by Bmal1 knockout was described to dramatically alter corneal and lens structure.29,30 Likewise, photoreceptor viability during aging is significantly reduced in Bmal177 as well as in Npas2/Clock double knockout mice.31 Thus, alteration of clock signaling compromises cell viability and leads to accelerated aging in ocular tissues.

Circadian regulation of retinal functions is present in all the different classes of vertebrates but since significant differences exist in the circadian organization of the retina among these different systematic groups, and often among the different species within the same class, we decided to focus our review on mammals. This review presents general data about the molecular clock and its retinal target genes, updates the understanding of clock involvement in the regulation of vision, and provides information about the distinct synchronization mechanisms at play in the retina and within the eye.

Circadian Clocks in the Mammalian Retina

The Molecular Clock

The central clock and most clocks throughout the organism share similar transcriptional architecture.1 This molecular timekeeping system is generated by interlocking transcriptional-translational feedback loops (TTFL; Fig. 1). The clock core loop involves the activator proteins complex CLOCK-BMAL1 (or NPAS2-BMAL1) which binds to the E-box sequences in the promoters of the repressor genes Period (Per) 1–3 and Cryptochrome (Cry) 1–2 to induce their transcription. PER proteins form complexes with CRY proteins, undergo phosphorylation by casein kinase CK1ε/δ and are translocated back to the nucleus to repress the transcriptional activity of the CLOCK-BMAL1 complex, thus repressing their own transcription. In addition, the CLOCK-BMAL1 complex activates the Ror and RevErb nuclear receptor genes also via the E-box enhancers. The ROR and REVERRB proteins compete at the retinoid acid-related orphan receptor binding elements (RORE) sites and activate or, respectively, repress Bmal1 transcription. These interlocking feedback loops build a network of rhythmic clock genes and clock-controlled genes (CCGs) in which expression patterns are finely shaped by posttranslational processes such as proteasomal degradation, ubiquitination, sumoylation phosphorylation, and acetylation in order to set the phase coherence of cellular and tissue functions over a 24-hour cycle. In the mouse eye, one groundbreaking study reported that the steady-state levels of a large number of transcripts exhibit daily and circadian oscillations.21 These include genes involved in basic neural and cellular functions such as synaptic transmission, intercellular communication and photoreceptor signaling. Similar observations were made using proteomic approaches.32,33 In a nonhuman primate (Papio anubis), Mure and colleagues3 compared gene expression throughout a 24-hour cycle in several ocular tissues (retina, RPE, iris, and cornea) using RNA-Seq. The authors found that around 4% to 5% of the transcripts are rhythmic in the retina, the RPE, and the iris whereas the cornea exhibits 12% of rhythmic gene expression with peak phases occurring around the middle of the day and the end of the night. In this primate retina, clock genes were not found to cycle as previously reported in several studies in rodents.17,21,34–38 This may be related to the existence of several clocks in the retina, with differences in phasing and period between cells/layers that may render difficult the transcriptomic analysis at the whole tissue level. Indeed, ex vivo studies of clock gene expression demonstrated rhythms in photoreceptor layers on one hand and in the inner retina on the other39,40 (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, specific retinal ablation of the Bmal1 core clock gene led to abnormal retinal transcriptional responses to light41 while in retinal explants, Per1 (but not Per2 and Per3), Cry1 (but not Cry2), and Clock were found to be necessary for sustained circadian rhythms.42–44

Finally, it is worth mentioning that recent studies have shown the presence of a metabolic clock in red blood cells that is independent from the molecular clockwork above described.15 The role of this metabolic clock in the regulation of retinal circadian rhythmicity is not known and will require further investigation.
The exact cellular location of the retinal clock remains an area of ongoing research. However, it was demonstrated that the retina harbors a network of clocks localized in each cell layer. Strong evidence came from the bioluminescence recordings using the luciferase reporter coupled to Per1 and Per2 clock genes.44,45 The mouse whole retinal explants as well as the isolated ganglion cell (GCL), inner nuclear (INL), and outer nuclear (ONL) layers exhibit autonomous PER2::LUC rhythmic expression with specific periods46 while Per1::Luc was shown to oscillate in the isolated rat ONL.47 GCL and INL are the major sites of PER2::LUC expression36,40,46 and the connectivity with the neighboring layers increases the robustness of the clockwork. The presence of Ebox and/or RORE sequences throughout the genome supports the rhythmic regulation of a set of target genes (CCG) for BMAL1/CLOCK, BMAL1/NPAS2, REV-ERB, and ROR transcription factors. Clock gene expression dynamics over the 24-hour cycle conforming to this model have been described in the ONL and in the inner retina (INL + GCL) in several ex vivo studies, as symbolized next to the eosin/hematoxylin stained transversal section of a rat retina shown in the upper-right corner of the figure.

The exact cellular location of the retinal clock remains an area of ongoing research. However, it was demonstrated that the retina harbors a network of clocks localized in each cell layer. Strong evidence came from the bioluminescence recordings using the luciferase reporter coupled to Per1 and 2 clock genes.44,45 The mouse whole retinal explants as well as the isolated ganglion cell (GCL), inner nuclear (INL), and outer nuclear (ONL) layers exhibit autonomous PER2::LUC rhythmic expression with specific periods46 while Per1::Luc was shown to oscillate in the isolated rat ONL.47 GCL and INL are the major sites of PER2::LUC expression36,40,46 and the connectivity with the neighboring layers increases the robustness of the rhythms46 indicating dynamic network properties. Moreover, autonomous PER2::LUC oscillations were recorded in Müller cells.48 Hence, experimental evidence indicates the presence of a complex network of cell circadian oscillators throughout the retina and this might explain the rather divergent results that were previously obtained using in vivo and in vitro techniques with varying detection limits (in situ hybridization, whole retina/vibratome sections/microdissection/single cell qRT-PCR) on the expression patterns of circadian clock genes in the different retinal cell types.34,35,37,39,40,49–51

Immunohistochemistry studies confirmed the broad expression of core clock proteins. CLOCK, BMAL1, NPAS2, PER1, PER2, and CRY2 are expressed in ipRGCs, amacrine cells, bipolar cells, horizontal cells and cones, but clear diurnal and circadian rhythms were observed only in mouse cones.52 CRY1 is also expressed throughout all retinal layers, albeit within the ONL only the cones express CRY1.52 Taken together, these findings suggest that cones and not rods are cell-autonomous circadian clocks.40,52 Thus, further investigation is required to define the exact molecular clock make-up in each cell type.
Clock-Driven Gene Expression

Clock-dependent control of retinal physiology appears to involve transcriptional gene regulation. This follows from the observation that retinal clocks drive the expression of numerous genes, including those that are: important for retinal health and genetically associated with severe retinal diseases (Esrrb, Rev-Erbα, Nr2e3, Ncx1, Rorβ), important for vision (Arr1, Kcnv2, S-opsin and M-opsin), and encoding key regulators of metabolism (Cpt-1α, Pgc-1α). Consistent with a significant role of clock-dependent gene regulation in retinal physiology, changes in transcript levels were seen to result in corresponding changes in protein amount.

The retinal clock influences gene expression through various pathways. On the one hand, it directs transcription of target genes within the same cell. In this process, protein products of clock genes or those of so-called “CCGs” target gene transcription by binding to specific promoter sites. Thus, the protein products of Clock, Npas2, and Bmal1 form heterodimers (Clock/Bmal1 and Npas2/Bmal1) that bind to the E-box sites of various genes in photoreceptors, including Aanat, which encodes arylalkylamine N-transferase, the key regulatory enzyme in melatonin synthesis. Consistent with a role of also CCGs in linking retinal clocks to cell-own target genes, Dbp—a CCG known to transactivate gene expression through the D-box element—displays circadian changes in preparations of the whole retina and microdissected photoreceptors. On the other hand, the clock of a given retinal neuron appears to influence gene expression in other retinal cells, a process that requires the clock-dependent release of an extracellular messenger. Consistent with this concept, the clock-dependent release of dopamine from amacrine neurons appears to contribute to rhythmicity of gene expression in photoreceptors. This follows from studies in melatonin-proficient mouse strains in which dopamine levels show a circadian rhythm; in mice deficient for D4 receptors, the periodicity of a subset of genes is attenuated in photoreceptors (Acadm, Cpt-1α, Nrxia1). Similarly, the clock within photoreceptors may use melatonin signaling to influence gene expression in target cells expressing melatonin receptors. This becomes evident from the observations that photoreceptors (principally cones) display a clock-dependent release of melatonin and that the failure of functional MT1 receptors prevents the daily rhythmicity of circadian genes. Considering that melatonin released by photoreceptors might also feedback on photoreceptor MT1 receptors, autocrine signaling via melatonin may also participate in clock-dependent regulation of photoreceptor gene expression.

Circadian regulation of a subset of genes is abolished in the dby/db mouse—a mouse (melatonin-deficient) model of early diabetic retinopathy. Likewise, clock gene expression is strongly reduced in the retina of another model of type 2 diabetes and associated diabetic retinopathy. Interestingly, circadian disruption (Per2 knockout) recapitulates diabetic retinopathy in mice. Therefore, circadian regulation might also be impaired in diabetic retinopathy of humans—one of the most common causes of blindness in Europe and the United States. Interestingly, the genes affected by diabetic retinopathy are known to be under dopaminergic control. Consistent with this assumption, the diabetic retina displays disturbed dopamine signaling. Hence, altered circadian regulation of these genes may mirror dysfunction of the retinal dopaminergic system in diabetic retinopathy.

To conclude, control of retinal physiology by the circadian clock appears to be extensive in photoreceptors, in particular by targeting genes involved in phototransduction but also genes known to be important for their development. Whether circadian regulation of gene expression in the retina involves molecules distinct from dopamine and melatonin remains to be further investigated.

Circadian Clocks and Visual Function

A fundamental feature of sensory systems is their ability to change their responsiveness when confronted with a constant stimulus, a process called sensory adaptation. In the retina, light adaptation contributes to the tissue’s ability to detect and process visual images at any time of the day or night, when ambient or background light intensity varies over more than a billion-fold range. This amazing capability depends on a specific functional architecture, including two classes of photoreceptors, rods, and cones. In addition, a wide variety of adaptive processes, collectively referred to as network or neural adaptation, are driven by ambient illumination and target cellular and synaptic elements of retinal circuits. Over the last two decades, it has become clear that circadian clock activity interacts with the effects of light to change signal processing in retinal circuits over the course of the day/night cycle (for reviews see Refs. 15 and 82 through 85). Although we know little about how such changes are implemented, the widespread expression of clock genes and proteins in retinal tissue suggests that clocks likely influence the functional organization in the retina at all levels, with the possibility that each cell type’s clock acts in its direct vicinity through a restricted clock pathway or more remotely via diffusible signals such as dopamine and melatonin, able to diffuse through retinal layers, and act at larger distances. The challenge we are facing today is to identify these many putative clock pathways and establish their individual contributions to the overall daily changes in retinal function.

Organization of Rhythmic Visual Function at the Level of the Network of Photoreceptors

Little is known about how ambient illumination and circadian clocks implement changes in retinal circuit operation, but accumulating evidence indicates that gap junctions play a prominent role in neural adaptation in the retina. Gap junctions are intercellular channels made of connexins that permit direct cell-to-cell transfer of small molecules and electrical current and, thereby, constitute the anatomic substrate of electrical synapses. Each of the five major neuron classes in the retina is coupled by gap junctions that express a number of different connexins; connexin36 (Cx36), which is the predominant connexin in the central nervous system, is widely expressed in retinal neurons, including photoreceptors. It has become increasingly evident that gap junctions in neural networks are plastic, similar to chemical synapses. Consistent with this, most gap junctions in the retina show some degree of plasticity, generally in response to changes in lighting. The involvement of circadian clocks in the plasticity of gap junctions in retinal circuits remains largely untested, but a large body of evidence now indicates that electrical coupling between photoreceptors is modulated by ambient light and circadian clocks.

The presence of a dynamic regulation of photoreceptor electrical coupling is supported by indirect measures, such as tracer-coupling patterns, the phosphorylation state of Cx36 or Cx56 transcript expression, or light response properties of photoreceptors, as well as direct measures of...
Clocks Within the Eye

**Figure 2.** Overview of photoreceptor gap junction coupling and the melatonin/dopamine pathway. Gap junctions are located at photoreceptor terminals. Melatonin production is under the control of a clock within the photoreceptor layer and high at night. Melatonin suppresses dopamine release, and it is the nocturnal decrease in dopamine release and the subsequent decrease in activity of dopamine D2/4 receptors on photoreceptors that increases photoreceptor coupling. SD, subjective day; SN, subjective night.

These approaches predict that photoreceptor coupling is controlled by light/dark and circadian clocks: it is weak during the subjective day, much stronger in subjective night, and nearly abolished under bright light illumination, irrespective of the time in the daily cycle. These approaches also support a key role for the melatonin/dopamine pathway in the regulation of photoreceptor coupling (Fig. 2). Other clock effectors have been shown to contribute to the daily plasticity of photoreceptor coupling as well. In particular, adenosine, whose extracellular levels increase at night, acts as a coupling agent on photoreceptors.92,98 It is thus very likely that multiple clock pathways are at play to tightly regulate and set preferred states for junctional coupling at different times of the day.

The notion that electrical coupling between photoreceptors is dynamically regulated by circadian clocks suggests profound changes in downstream circuits in the process of rod and cone signals according to the time of day. Indeed, changes in the light response properties of rods and cones as a result of changes in photoreceptor coupling strength have been observed.92,96 Also, consistent with an increase in rod/cone coupling at night, signals that originate in rods are found to a greater extent in cones and cone-connected horizontal cells at night.92,99-103 Although the functional impact of the circadian modulation of photoreceptor coupling on the retinal output awaits further investigations, studies so far have established that photoreceptor gap junctions are the primary targets of the melatonin/dopamine clock pathway and that these gap junctions act as a neural circuit switch that controls signal flow between photoreceptors, and, thereby, downstream processing of rod- and cone-mediated signals in retinal circuits on a daily basis, at least in the outer retina.87,104

Our current knowledge offers a conceptual framework to explore the function of the reorganization of the photoreceptor network with the time of day in the retinal processing of visual information. The photoreceptor network alternates between a “daytime” state, where photoreceptor coupling must be weak to maintain the spectral purity of the cone-mediated responses, essential for high-acuity, color vision, and a “nighttime” state, where strong photoreceptor coupling maximizes processing of rod-mediated signals when vision is all about catching scarce photons and high sensitivity. Remarkably, circadian clocks modulate photoreceptor electrical coupling in anticipation of predictable changes in light intensity that occur at dawn and dusk, but the clocks also guarantee that the coupling strength is near optimal during day and night, thereby, conveying more reliability to this process than if it were solely controlled by ambient light.

**Role of Melatonin in the Modulation of Retina Functions**

The hormone/neurotransmitter melatonin is synthetized by the retinal photoreceptors during the night and its levels are tightly controlled by light/dark cycle and by the circadian clock.37,65,105,106 Melatonin acts by binding to two types of melatonin receptor (e.g., MT1 and MT2), which are present in many different retinal cell types.107 Consistently with this observation, several studies have shown that melatonin can indeed modulate a wide variety of retinal functions.108 In the mouse melatonin signaling is necessary for the circadian rhythm in the photopic ERG109 and in melatonin deficient strains (C57/BL6) the circadian rhythms in retinal dopamine content is no longer present. However, such a rhythm can be restored by cyclic administration of exogenous melatonin during the night,75 thus suggesting that the circadian rhythm in retinal dopamine levels is driven by the circadian rhythm in melatonin levels. Hence the circadian rhythm in rod-coupling observed in the mouse106 is also regulated by melatonin. Finally, melatonin drives the modulation of rod dark adaptation and thus it potentially protects the retina from light-induced damage during the day.110 Consistently with these experimental findings, several studies have shown that administration of exogenous melatonin can protect photoreceptors from apoptosis in mouse models of retinitis pigmentosa111,112 and that melatonin signaling is involved in the modulation of rod and cone photoreceptor viability during aging.113,114 A protective effect of melatonin on photoreceptor cells has been also observed in humans since administration of exogenous melatonin (3 mg) delayed the progression of age related macular degeneration (AMD) in a few patients.115 Additional studies have shown that low levels of circulating melatonin may correlate with the incidence of AMD116,117 and other retinal diseases.118

**Clock Genes in Retinal Physiology and Health**

Deletion of clock genes was shown, so far, to display mild effects in the retina.119 Since only subtle morphologic alterations have been described in Per1/Per2 mutants up to 1 year of age, whereas none were seen in Cry1/Cry2 knockout mice.119,120 There is now evidence that changes occur in clock gene mutant mice at older ages.51 Alterations induced by the absence of these clock genes have been essentially linked to the absence of clock-regulated visual sensitivity.21,119 Developmental defects have been described in the Per1/Per2 mutants120 as well as in conditional photoreceptor-specific Bmal1 or Per2 knockout.121 These data correlate with the capacity of Bmal1 to regulate expression of the Dio2 gene, hence thyroid hormone signaling in the retina.121 But also indicate other developmental signaling pathways potentially under the control of clock gene expression.

**Cryptochromes in the Retina**

The role of Cry in the retina has been the subject of interest since the cloning of two human homologos in the 1990s.122 Given that CRY family proteins function as photoreceptors in plants and insects, this led to the suggestion that CRY was the elusive photopigment mediating circadian responses to light.123 Subsequent studies showed that this circadian photoreceptor was in fact melanopsin—an opsin/vitamin A-
based photopigment expressed in ipRGCs.\textsuperscript{124–127} The demonstration that rods, cones, and melanopsin accounted for all retinal responses to light\textsuperscript{128} and that melanopsin expression alone was enough to make cells photoreceptive\textsuperscript{129–131} provided the key evidence that CRY did not function as a photoreceptor in mammals.

The initial evidence for a role of CRY as a photoreceptor in mammals came from studies showing that mice lacking both Cry1 and Cry2 (Cry1$^{-/-}$;Cry2$^{-/-}$) show attenuated nonimage forming responses to light.\textsuperscript{132–135} However, under constant conditions Cry1$^{-/-}$;Cry2$^{-/-}$ double-knockout mice are arrhythmic.\textsuperscript{136} This is due to CRY playing a key role in the negative limb of the TTFL underlying molecular circadian rhythms. As such, CRY is also expected to play a role in the regulation of retinal circadian rhythms. As predicted, Cry1$^{-/-}$;Cry2$^{-/-}$ mice show a loss of circadian rhythms in the photopic ERG b-wave amplitude.\textsuperscript{137} Furthermore, the attenuated pupillary responses described in Cry1$^{-/-}$;Cry2$^{-/-}$ mice were found to be due to loss of circadian rhythms, with similar phenotypes in other clock mutants.\textsuperscript{119}

While earlier studies had suggested that only Cry2 was expressed in the mammalian retina,\textsuperscript{52} recent data has shown that not only is CRY1 expressed in cones and amacrine cells, with some expression in retinal ganglion cells. Moreover, Cry1$^{-/-}$ mice show a loss of rhythms in photopic ERG b-wave amplitude, contrast sensitivity and pupillary light responses, with reduced robustness and stability of bioluminescent rhythms.\textsuperscript{42} By contrast, Cry2$^{-/-}$ mice show just an attenuated ERG b-wave amplitude. These data are consistent with previous data on PER2::LUC rhythms in Cry1$^{-/-}$, Cry2$^{-/-}$ and Cry1$^{-/-}$;Cry2$^{-/-}$ mice, showing a more important role of Cry1.\textsuperscript{41} Interestingly, Cry1$^{-/-}$ mice show retinal responses comparable to the “daytime” state at all phases, with a similar phenotype in Cry1$^{-/-}$;Cry2$^{-/-}$ mice.\textsuperscript{137} By contrast, retinal conditional Bmal1$^{-/-}$ mice show physiology comparable to the “nighttime” state.\textsuperscript{24} These differences have been attributed to the roles of Bmal1 and Cry1 in the positive and negative limb of the TTFL, respectively. This model is consistent with data from Npas2$^{-/-}$ mice, which demonstrate contrast sensitivity responses comparable to the “nighttime” state.\textsuperscript{138}

**Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b} in the Retina**

As outlined elsewhere in this article, it is thought that the principal driving force for retinal circadian clock function is to match light sensitivity to ambient light levels, which vary by $\sim 10^{10}$-fold between midnight and midday. In such a scheme, retinal light sensitivity can be simplistically thought as shifting along a continuum between at one end high sensitivity (necessary during nighttime when available light is very low) and at the other end low sensitivity corresponding to daytime (when ambient light levels are high and the retina must be protected from potential oxidative damage). As outlined earlier, these changes in light sensitivity are thought to be controlled in part by clock-driven physiologic mechanisms such as rod-cone coupling during the night,\textsuperscript{92,100} ion channel sensitivity,\textsuperscript{139} and visual pigment synthesis.\textsuperscript{18} REV-Erb\textsubscript{b} was well-placed to influence rod photoreceptor function because it was originally identified as being strongly linked with NR2E3, a rod-specific transcription factor involved in differentiation.\textsuperscript{141} Structural and immunochemical analyses did not reveal any significant differences between wild-type and Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b}–/– littermates, neither did nonimaging imaging by scanning laser ophthalmoscopy. Functional analyses using single flash ERG uncovered two distinct differences between control and mutant mice. First, under scotopic recording conditions, implicit times (response latencies) were significantly shorter in mutant mice, for both a and b-waves, over most stimulus intensities; second, the descending slope of the b-wave was abnormally shaped (“humped”) and large in mutant mice. These scotopic flash ERG data were complemented by analyses of the scotopic threshold response (STR), photopic flash ERG, and pupillary constriction. All these parameters were altered in Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b}–/– mice, with STR and photopic ERGs also showing a hump-shaped distortion, and Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b}–/– mice exhibiting increased constriction and slower recovery compared to wild-type mice.\textsuperscript{142}

These observations could be interpreted as a more rapid response to light stimulation in retinas of Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b}–/– compared to wild-type mice. The enhanced pupillary constriction suggested the involvement of ipRGCs. Immunohistochemical examination of whole flat-mounted retinas from the two mice strains revealed that melanopsin was more strongly expressed by individual cells in mutants, and that there was a large significant increase (62%) in total numbers of ipRGCs. To see whether this enhanced retinal response to light was translated into downstream locomotor activity, light sensitivity thresholds were explored as determined by behavioral tests. Using a two-step paradigm whereby mice were subjected simultaneously to experimental jetlag (delayed illumination of room lights by 6 hours) and reduced ambient light intensity,\textsuperscript{143,144} Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b}–/– mice were clearly still able to perceive and act upon light information at very low intensities to which wild-type mice no longer responded.\textsuperscript{142} Furthermore, negative masking responses could be elicited in Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b}–/– mice at low light intensities which no longer affected wild-type mice. Importantly, this latter behavior (negative masking) was abolished in double Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b}–/–;Opn4$^{-/-}$ mice, indicating that expression of melanopsin was necessary to convey the light information to the SCN.

Taken together, these data indicate that deletion of the Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b} gene enhances light detection, both at the retinal level (reduced implicit times in a and b-wave) and cognitive processing (increased light sensitivity). Figure 3 presents a hypothetical model to explain the different experimental data. In wild-type mice, output of ipRGCs in response to light information is an integrated product of all three photoreceptor types, rods, cones and ipRGCs. At sufficient light intensity, input from any/all of these sources can trigger firing. But at low light intensity, stimulation is insufficient to lead to firing. However, removal of Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b} leads to increased rod input (shortened a-wave latencies) and/or increased melanopsin input (higher expression levels, higher cell number), which allow ipRGCs to reach threshold depolarization. In double Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b}–/–;Opn4$^{-/-}$ mice, the melanopsin component is missing and even with higher rod signaling, the system is not able to attain threshold.

The data lead to several interesting lines of further investigation:

1. They suggest that the physiologic role of Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b} is to reduce light sensitivity, which by inference should correspond to a “daytime state” of visual processing. In other words, there should exist a circadian-dependent mechanism connecting Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b} to changes in retinal light sensitivity (e.g., through regulation of ion channel activity or cell-cell coupling).
2. Since Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b}–/– mice are “stuck” in a highly sensitive nighttime state, they could be more susceptible to intense light damage compared to normal littermates.\textsuperscript{24}
3. Increased ipRGC numbers indicate that Rev-Erb\textsubscript{b} is possibly involved in developmentally programmed cell death, since total RGC numbers were not altered and it seems easier to imagine elevated ipRGC numbers arise through a faulty apoptotic mechanism,\textsuperscript{145} rather than selective increase in this population. In this respect, it is
interests to note that the lack of other clock genes which negatively regulate Bmal1 lead to an increase in ipRGCs.155 Alternatively, perhaps the enhanced light sensitivity of the system makes the ipRGCs more responsive to early light history which changes melanopsin expression.146,147

These different possibilities are being actively investigated. To conclude, the role of clock genes in the retina still requires further investigation, to understand both how they control rhythms in phototransduction and how they regulate retinal development.

THE EYE: A MULTIOSCILLATORY SYSTEM WITH DIVERSE ENTRAINING MECHANISMS

Light Entrainment of the Retina Clock

The identification of an autonomous clock in the retina of *Xenopus laevis* brought circadian rhythms out of the brain and into individual “peripheral” tissues.148 Similar to previous observations in lizard and avian pineal cells, these clocks within *Xenopus* retinas could be photoentrained in a culture dish.149-151 In 1996, Tosini and Menaker demonstrated that melatonin rhythms of hamster retinas could also be entrained to light/dark cycles ex vivo, free of influence from the brain’s “master” clock.14 While the notion of photoreceptive cells within a retina may not have been a surprise, their ability to directly set the phase of local clocks revealed a level of independence of retinal clocks not previously appreciated. It had previously been observed that the phases of rhythmic disk shedding in rod photoreceptors could be dissociated between the two eyes within the same animal through use of an eye patch.152 Also, in blind mice (*Opn4*+/−/rd1/rd1) which fail to synchronize behavioral rhythms to a light/dark cycle, the phase of the retinal circadian clocks remain synchronized to the light/dark cycle regardless of the phase of the SCN.153 Thus, such independence of the retina from the SCN can act in vivo, as well as in a dish. In vivo and in vitro studies showed that rhythmicity in the retina emerges from a network of coupled circadian oscillators located within distinct cellular layers.37,39,46 Thus, it might be that the phase shifting response of inner or outer layers involves different photoreceptors, known to encode distinct light parameters. For instance, light-induction and circadian rhythms of clock gene expression are abolished in the photoreceptor layer of *Opn4*−/− mice, while, at the same time, these responses are maintained in the inner and ganglion cell layers,39 confirming the complexity of the clock system and entraining mechanisms in the retina.

The Roles of Dopamine and Melatonin

In the same work that demonstrated the local photoentrainability of the *Xenopus* retinal clocks, Cahill and Besharse154 identified dopamine as a key determinant of clock phase. In mammals, McMahon and colleagues155 blocked an exhaustive assortment of neurotransmitters and signaling modalities in the mouse retina, and they used the PER2::LUC reporter method discussed above as a measure of retinal clock amplitude and phase. Surprisingly, little effect was observed on oscillator amplitude by the blockade of many neurotransmitters; however, like frog retinas, dopamine agonists were capable of shifting the phase of the clock. Furthermore, inhibition of dopaminergic signaling (blockade of D1 receptors) diminished the phase shifting effects of light.36 This model fits with the observations that the activity of dopaminergic amacrine cells is both modulated by the circadian clock and, directly, by light.155,156 Both activation of D1 and D2 receptors has influence on retinal clock phase.36,157,158 but exactly how and where these signals are integrated is still being investigated.

While dopamine production and release is stimulated by light, the production of melatonin within the retina is observed at times of darkness and is gated by the circadian clock159 and melatonin levels are rapidly reduced in the presence of light via direct proteolysis of the AANAT enzyme.159,160 The regulation of melatonin synthesis in the rodent retina is similar to what has been described for the pineal gland161 and involves a well-defined biosynthetic pathway.160 However, it is important to note that only the retina Aanat transcription is under direct control of the circadian clock.36

The secretion of melatonin was measured as the circadian output from hamster retinas in tissue culture.14 Interestingly, melatonin likely also has a role in setting the phase of the retina clock. The retinas of mice that lack melatonin, either by natural mutation in genetic strain or by targeted loss of melatonin receptors, display phases of clock gene expression distinct from mice in which melatonin signaling is intact.160,161,162 In further support of the idea of the retinal clock being uniquely independent among peripheral oscillators, transcription of enzymes necessary for melatonin production remains rhythmic.

![Figure 3. Hypothetical mechanism explaining the effect of Rev-Erbα knockout on nonvisual responses. In wild-type mice, output of ipRGCs (G, blue) in response to light information is an integrated product of all three photoreceptor types, rods (R, green), cones (C, red), and ipRGCs. At sufficient light intensity, input from any/all of these sources can trigger firing (symbolized by the black curve close to ipRGCs), whereas at low light intensity, stimulation is insufficient, although the cells are partly depolarized and exhibit resting potentials close to threshold. However, removal of Rev-Erbα leads to increased rod input (shortened a-wave latencies) and/or increased melanopsin input (higher expression levels, higher numbers), which allow ipRGCs (G, blue) to reach threshold depolarization. In double Rev-Erbα−/−/Opn4−/− mice, the melanopsin component is missing and even with higher rod signaling the system is not able to attain threshold. The number of “+” indicates the hypothetical level of stimulation. Curved arrows between rods and cones, and ipRGCs, indicates bipolar contact.](https://www iovs org/article-pdf/59/12/4862/4862 io v s . pdf)
in retinas of behaviorally arrhythmic rats in which their SCN were surgically lesioned. In rats, rhythmic melatonin expression is not dependent on light, but it may be dependent on the presence of the cells of the outer nuclear layer in some species such as mice, but not in others such as rat. It is interesting to note that many common laboratory mouse strains are deficient in the enzymes to produce melatonin and thus lack melatonin signaling. However, the lack of melatonin in these mouse strains does not diminish the amplitude of the molecular circadian clock within the retina nor its ability to photoentrain. In these mice, synchronization of retinal oscillators was reported to involve GABA acting as a night signal, further relayed by casein kinase 1 epsilon (CK1ε) within cells. In retinas that express both dopamine and melatonin neuromodulators, it is likely that dopamine acts as a light signal, and melatonin signals darkness. It should be mentioned that melatonin regulation in the diurnal rodent Arvicomis appears to be bimodal, with one source (cones) peaking in the night and a second source (ganglion cells) peaking in the day. Finally, it is worthwhile mentioning that although melatonin is present in the primate retina, it is not clear whether melatonin found in the eye is actually synthesized in situ or is of pineal origin.

Photoreceptors Involved in Local Photoentrainment

In order for the retinal circadian clocks to photoentrain, one or more groups of photoreceptors must first capture the light and convey the information to the rest of the tissue. The landmark study by Ruan and colleagues clearly demonstrated that light is able to phase shift the retinal clock in vitro with respectively a phase delay/advance when light is applied at the beginning/end of the biologic night, but the use of broadband white light did not allow distinction of the putative roles of different photoreceptors. More recently, using monochromatic light, a typical phase response curve to light was described in the retina. Interestingly, the light-activated dopamine release from dopaminergic amacrine cells was shown to require the presence of rods and cones and ipRGCs. The respective contributions of the distinct photosensitive cells to dopaminergic amacrine cell activation by light are irradiance-dependent. The authors found that rods excite dopaminergic cells across a wide range of light intensities (from the scotopic to the photopic range) whereas middle wavelength-sensitive cones and ipRGCs present a higher threshold than rods (3 log units). In addition, several anatomic and functional studies suggest that ipRGCs occupy a central role in the retinal network and may contribute to the light response of the retinal clock. Specifically, synaptic contacts of ipRGCs with dopaminergic neurons have been reported in rodents, monkeys, and humans and provide sustained excitatory light responses to dopaminergic neurons with peak sensitivity near 480 nm, the maximum of sensitivity of melanopsin. In the absence of melanopsin this sustained dopaminergic light response is greatly diminished. Interestingly, a recent study in the rod retina showed that ipRGCs are able to transmit their tonic light response not only to dopaminergic cells but also to a variety of amacrine interneurons exclusively through gap junctions, suggesting a widespread intraretinal role of ipRGCs. Finally, in the Opn4 knockout mice, the circadian control of cone-light response, as assessed by ERG, is lost. All these data suggest a retrograde direction of signaling in the retina, distinct from the classical outer-to-inner layer pathways that represent a potential mechanism for transmitting irradiance information to the outer retina. Notwithstanding, at the level of transcription, the presence of rods and cones in the outer cellular layer influences the expression of Opn4 itself within the ganglion cells. Clearly, the ensemble of cells within the retinal system displays a level of interdependency.

In addition to the rod and cone photoreceptors in the outer layer and melanopsin in ganglion cells, neuropsin (Opn5) is yet another bistable opsin expressed within the mammalian retina. In birds, Opn5 is expressed in the retina as well as in neurons along the 3rd ventricle in the hypothalamus, and it acts as a deep brain photoreceptor regulating seasonality. In the mammalian retina, Opn5 expression is found primarily in a subset of ganglion cells, similar to melanopsin. Heterologous expression of human and mouse Opn5 shows a sensitivity to short-wavelength light with an absorbance maximum around 380 nm. In the absence of rods, cones and melanopsin, mouse retinas retain the ability to photoentrain in a culture dish and in vivo. The ability of mouse retinas to entrain to short-wavelength light/dark cycles in culture is lost in the absence of Opn5. This adds the influence of another opsin and ganglion cell-type to the regulation of the retinal clock’s response to light. The fact that the mouse retina is able to be photoentrained by UV light is not surprising given the peak sensitivity of its short-wavelength opsin and the fact that its lens effectively transmits UV light. The role of neuropsin in the human retina remains to be investigated given its reduced UV transmittance.

Circadian Regulation and Entrainment of Extra-Retinal Tissues Within the Eye

In addition to the retina, several structures within the eyes also contain circadian clocks that control several important physiologic functions. In this section, we will review the regulation of circadian rhythm and mechanisms by which these structures—that are not directly photosensitive—are entrained to the external light/dark cycle. The RPE was the first extraretinal tissue in which a circadian rhythm was reported. This tissue plays a key role in the maintenance of photoreceptor health and, in addition to providing nutrients to the photoreceptors, the RPE cells are responsible for the phagocytosis of the disks that are shed by photoreceptor outer segments (see Ref. 190 for review). The shed ROS fragments are then engulfed, phagocytized, and degraded by the RPE. Lack of phagocytic activity by the RPE leads to the accumulation of membranous debris and subsequent photoreceptor degeneration. Another important aspect of RPE biology is the burst in disk shedding and phagocytosis that occur every day 1 to 2 hours after the onset of light for rod photoreceptors and at the onset of or during the night for cones. The circadian rhythm in phagocytic activity is also present in a diurnal rodent (Arvicomis ansorgi), but in this case, no difference was observed in the time of peak of phagocytic activity between rods and cones. These rhythms persist in constant conditions, thus demonstrating that they are controlled by circadian clocks.

Earlier studies indicated that circadian clocks controlling these rhythmic events were located within the eye and possibly in photoreceptors. However, other studies indicate that the RPE is also involved in the control of disk shedding and phagocytosis since the diurnal rhythm in exposure of phosphatidylserine by rod outer segments is not entirely controlled by the photoreceptors, but RPE cells participate in the synchronization of this process. Additional studies have also revealed that the molecular mechanisms controlling the daily burst in phagocytosis activity by the RPE involves the activation of the FAK-MerTK signaling pathway and phosphoinositide signaling.

Finally, recent experimental evidence has shown that the RPE cells contain an autonomous circadian clock, that is not
Recent study has reported that dopamine—acting via D2R—can signal coming from the retinal photoreceptors. Indeed, a entrainment of the RPE circadian clock must depend on a
entrain the RPE circadian clock.204 Another study using human
receptors can induce a phase-shift in their circadian clock.205
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The presence of a daily/circadian rhythm in the intraocular pressure (IOP) of many mammalian species—including humans—has been also demonstrated by several studies.207–219 The regulation of the circadian rhythm in IOP involves direct neural control via the sympathetic innervation211–213 and hormonal signaling via melatonin.214–218 In mice lacking a functional circadian clock—Cry1/Cry2-double knockout mice136—the circadian rhythm in IOP is no longer present regardless of environmental light conditions.219 However, it is important to note that this study did not provide any insight whether the master circadian clock in the brain or other ocular clocks control this rhythm. To address this question two recent studies investigated whether the iris/ciliary body contains a circadian clock. The first study reported that clock genes and proteins are expressed in the iris-ciliary body complex of mice220 and the second study demonstrated that cultured iris/ciliary body obtained from mPer2Luc mice could express a circadian rhythm in bioluminescence.221 Also in this case, the circadian rhythm in PER2::LUC bioluminescence from cultures of iris/ciliary body could not be entrained by light, thus suggesting that the entrainment of the circadian rhythm in these structures—and possibly the IOP—is mediated via neuronal or hormonal signaling outside the ciliary body and even from outside the eye.

Several studies have shown that a regular diurnal rhythm in the light/dark cycle is important for normal corneal growth and development (see Ref. 221 for review). The renewal of the corneal epithelium shows a daily rhythm222 and the mitotic rate of the corneal epithelial cells is highest during the night and lowest during the day.223 Finally, cultured corneas obtained from mPer2Luc mice show a robust circadian rhythm in bioluminescence14,224,225 that can be entrained by light via OPN5169 or by melatonin via activation of MT2 receptors.224 Interestingly it has been reported that the expression of clock genes in the corneal epithelium and their rhythmic profiles are altered in diabetic mice and such a rhythm can be restored by insulin administration.226 Finally, it is worthwhile mentioning that exposure to constant light, constant dark, or jetlag conditions can also alter the circadian pattern of the corneal epithelial mitosis and clock gene expression.221

Diurnal changes in corneal growth as well as in IOP might also be relevant to the daily changes in the eye axial length. Such changes in early life contribute to refractive development and quality of image formation. How these processes are regulated by the retinal circadian clock remains to be characterized (see Ref. 221 for review).

Thus, from what we have mentioned in this section, it is evident that many ocular structures contain circadian clocks; however, the cues that these different structures may use to entrain their circadian rhythms may vary (Fig. 4). Whether these clocks interact also remains to be investigated.

**Conclusions**

Recent data have enriched our view of the diversity of photosensitive systems and downstream pathways, as well as
of the complexity of the clock cellular network sustaining adaptation of eye physiology to the 24-hour cycle. They confirm that the eye stands apart in the circadian field, mainly by the capacity, within this network to integrate light information and in turn finely tune cellular function throughout the organ. Many questions are still currently unanswered, notably regarding the exact function of the molecular clock in the distinct constitutive cell types, in the adult and during development, as well as in nocturnal versus diurnal species. They also open stimulating perspectives regarding the variety of synchronization mechanisms at play, both in response to light and downstream within the eye. Similarly to what has been described in the SCN, the distinct photoreceptors present are likely to specifically contribute to shaping the visual response: how their signaling is integrated and communicated downstream within ocular tissues will be the focus of future studies.
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