The best timing of cholecystectomy on patients with acute gallbladder pathology is still unclearly defined. Some studies have reported that cholecystectomy during the index acute admission presents an increased morbidity rate, extended duration of stay and increased costs. The study below is aiming at finding the most accurate moment to practice the surgery when acute cholecystitis is confirmed. Consequently, 368 patients admitted to hospital from January 2013 to December 2015 with the diagnosis of acute cholecystitis (AC) who underwent cholecystectomy in the 2nd Department of Surgery, Emergency County Hospital, Timișoara, Romania constituted the two lots. The cases were retrospectively identified, introduced into the database and the data were subsequently analyzed according to various parameters. The study results reveal that laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) in the first 24 hours is safe procedure on the majority of the cases. Also, our findings sustain that emergency cholecystectomy can reduce the length of hospital stay, having similar rates of conversion to open surgery, complications and outcome compared with a delayed operation.
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Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) can be defined nowadays the gold standard for the treatment of patients with symptomatic gallstones. 6.5% of men and 10.5% of women are affected by gallbladder stone disease [1]. 1-4% of these patients will develop gallstone related complications each year, first and foremost acute cholecystitis (AC) [2].

Early cholecystectomy was considered superior to open delayed cholecystectomy in the prelaparoscopic era, because of shortened hospitalization and recovery time, without increase of postoperative morbidity and/or mortality [3]. In the first decade of laparoscopic era, AC was considered a contraindication for a minimally invasive approach. Several studies have also reported the advantages and superiority of laparoscopic approach in terms of immunological aspects [4]. Currently, LC is indicated as the first therapeutic choice for patients with AC. Moreover, a recent meta-analysis suggests that early laparoscopic cholecystectomy (ELC) is beneficial and superior to any other treatment options [5]. A factor that makes the assessment of outcomes of early treatment difficult in the trials is that early is variably defined as a time period between 24 h to 7 days after the onset of symptoms or the time of diagnosis. Nevertheless, the optimal timing of ELC is still controversial. There are some guidelines that recommend performing surgery as soon as possible [6], but there is no consensus about the exact time for the intervention, although it is advised to operate within 24-72 h of symptom onset [7]. The objectives of this study were: to establish the rate of early cholecystectomy among patients with AC, to compare the outcome of patients undergoing immediate cholecystectomy within the first 24 h of symptom onset or delayed cholecystectomy (≥72 h), and to identify predictive factors of complications after surgery.

Experimental part

Materials and methods

In this study, patients with AC where evaluated retrospectively and all the data where statistically analyzed in order to establish correlations between the best timing and the risks for LC in the first 24 h after hospitalization. From January 2013 to December 2015 a total of 432 consecutive cholecystectomies for AC (according to Tokyo guidelines [8]) were performed in our 2nd Department of Surgery, Emergency County Hospital, Timișoara, Romania. For this study, the lot exclusion criteria were absence of AC, association of acute pancreatitis, uncertain timing of symptom onset, and undefined severity of AC. Forty six patients were excluded from the lot for the reasons described above. Form the total number of 386 cases of AC that fulfilled the lot inclusion criteria, for a relevant statistical analyze, we randomly selected an equal number of cases and divided in two separate groups depending on the time length for admission to surgery. Consequently, we selected 184 cases that underwent cholecystectomy in the first 24 h and 184 cases that were submitted to surgery at 72 h or more after admission to our Clinic. On admission, they showed right upper quadrant pain, fever, localized peritoneal irritation, ultrasound signs of AC (distended gallbladder with thickened walls > 5 mm, sandwich sign, fluid around the gallbladder), leukocytosis > 11 x 10^9/L. This study respected the ethical standards specified in Helsinki Declaration.

Surgical standard procedures

The surgical procedures were defined as laparoscopic cholecistectomy and LC converted to open cholecystectomy (OC). LC was carry out through a standard four trocar operative technique (insertion of a 10 mm optical trocar in the umbilical region, a 10 mm trocar in the left
The gathered data were reviewed as frequencies and proportions for categorical variables or as median for continuous variables. Continuous data were compared using Student's t test. The main differences between groups were evaluated by chi square test or Fisher test when appropriate. Clinical and pathological characteristics were evaluated in a univariate model, and parameters with statistical significance were then evaluated in a multivariate analysis. Statistical relevance was defined as P < 0.05. All the analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 7 and SPSS 18 software.

**Results and discussions**

Initially, the patients from the two groups were comparable for demographics (gender and age), associated chronic pathology and also BMI and ASA score (table 1). Clinical and pathological type of the disease where also compared for the two study lots.

The distribution of the patients by gender show no statistical significance between the two groups, although women can observe a slightly predominance in the total population on both lots. The mean patients’ age included were 52.23±12.03 years and was comparable on analysis with no statistically significant difference. The Body Mass Index (BMI) shows also no statistical relevance when comparing the patients from the two groups. Associated pathology occurs on 76 (41.30%) cases in the first lot and 116 (63.04%) in the second lot. Analyzing the data from this point of view, we found a statistical significance (p = 0.003) comparing the two groups. Consequently, in the second study group where delayed LC was performed, the number of the patients that presented associated pathology was higher than in the first group: 116 (63.04%) in the first lot vs. 76 (41.30%) in the second one. Another interesting finding is that 147 (20.11%) of them were classified as ASA score > 3, >35 kg/m 2), associated chronic pathology and also BMI and ASA score when comparing the patients from the two groups. Associated pathology occurs on 76 (41.30%) cases in the first lot and 116 (63.04%) in the second lot. Analyzing the data from this point of view, we found a statistical significance (p = 0.003) comparing the two groups. Consequently, in the second study group where delayed LC was performed, the number of the patients that presented associated pathology was higher than in the first group: 116 (63.04%) in the first lot vs. 76 (41.30%) in the second one. Another interesting finding is that 147 (20.11%) of them were classified as ASA score > 3.

**Group analysis by cholecystectomy timing**

All the patients included in the study lot were divided into two groups according to the timing of surgical operations: group no 1-patients undergoing cholecystectomy within the first 24 h after the initial signs - quick surgical intervention, and a second group of patients undergoing cholecystectomy on ≥72 h of symptom onset - temporized surgical intervention.

The following patients’ data were retrospectively reviewed and used for analysis:

- **Preoperative patient related factors** were gender, age – evaluated as a continuous and categorical variable (≤65, >65 years), body mass index (BMI) evaluated as categorical variable (≤35, >35 kg/m²), associated pathology like diabetes mellitus (DM), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), heart disease, and American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) score.

- The **disease related factors** were: white blood cell count (WBC), C-Reactive Protein (CRP), Alanine transaminase (ALT) and Aspartate transaminase (AST), Gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), total serum bilirubin (TB), Direct/conjugated bilirubin (DB) and Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) all evaluated as categorical variable, the length/duration of symptoms at hospital admission, ultrasonographic findings following the Tokyo guidelines [8] (enlarged gallbladder along with thickened gallbladder wall, Murphy sign, fluid collection, pericholecystic or hepatic abscess, presence of choledocholithiasis). Additionally, some subsequent factors were analyzed: intraoperative surgical findings (acute or chronic cholecystitis, empyematous, gangrenous), operation time, postoperative complications, and conversion rate to OC, hospitalization stay, and histological findings.

**The statistical analysis of the obtained data**

The gathered data were reviewed as frequencies and proportions for categorical variables or as median for continuous variables. Continuous data were compared using Student's t test. The main differences between groups were evaluated by chi square test or Fisher test when appropriate. Clinical and pathological characteristics were evaluated in a univariate model, and parameters with statistical significance were then evaluated in a multivariate analysis. Statistical relevance was defined as P < 0.05. All the analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 7 and SPSS 18 software.
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having a trend toward a shorter duration in the first group. All the cases (N = 368) included in the study lot where firstly subjected to LC, with an overall conversion rate of 6.25%. There were no statistically significant difference regarding the type of cholecystectomy (OC vs LC) and conversion rates (5.43% in the first and 7.06% in the second group respectively, p = 0.366) (table 2).

The most important factors for conversion to OC were severe gallbladder inflammation (72% of the cases), bleeding (20%), peritoneal adherences (5.5 %) and suspected biliary tree lesion (2.5%). The rate of conversion to open surgery was 6.25% (23 patients). No significant dissimilarity regarding the overall complication rate among the first and second lot were found (5.97% in the first group vs 7.60% in the second group, p = 0.913). Univariate analysis showed that the factors significantly associated with a higher rate of postoperative complications were age over 65 years, associated pathology (diabetes mellitus, heart or lung diseases), ASA score ≥ 3, AC grading, CRP ≥ 4 mg/dL, presence of pericholecystic/hepatic fluid collection (abscess) at ultrasounds. On the other hand, total hospital stay was longer (3.86 ± 0.74 versus 5.75 ± 2.12 days; p = 0.032) in the delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy group than in the early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group. Our findings are in correspondence with a related meta-analysis which shows that early laparoscopic cholecystectomy is preferable to delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy when referring to total hospital stay reduction [10].

The paraclinical findings at hospitalization presented as mean values and standard deviations are depicted in table 3.

Among the eight biochemical markers evaluated in this study, the analysis of each of them revealed that only CRP (p = 0.022), TB (p = 0.026) and DB (p = 0.032) were statistically significant when comparing the values between the two groups. To choose whether is appropriate to perform an in urgency cholecystectomy, the surgeon must consider also the laboratory measurements of these parameters, correlated with the clinical and imaging findings.
early surgical treatment. Early trials [11, 12] and meta-analyses [13, 10] lack a standardized definition of early surgical treatment. Early trials and meta-analyses lack a standardized definition of early surgical treatment. Nevertheless, some randomized trials [6], cholecystectomy for AC should be performed by LA ultrasonography parameters, although those parameters have been variably defined as anywhere from 24 h to 7 days after either the onset of symptoms or the time of diagnosis at hospital admission [14]. So, the optimal timing for the intervention is still controversial, even if the ideal time period is advised as within 72 h of symptom onset by other authors [15].

Preoperative ultrasound investigation illustrated thickened gallbladder wall in 69.56% of the total number of the cases from the two study lots (N = 368), distended gallbladder in 83.93%, gallstones in 68.75%, pericholecystic collection in 19.6%, pericholecystic or hepatic fluid in 7.33%, and positive Murphy sign in 46.19% of the patients. As presented in Table III, we found no statistically significant differences between the two groups regarding ultrasonography parameters, although those parameters can be correlated with the severity of the disease.

According to the Consensus Development Conference of the European Association for Endoscopic Surgery (EAES) [6], cholecystectomy for AC should be performed by LA surgery for AC does not affect conversion rate and postoperative outcome. Consequently, the golden 72-h period should not represent an inflexible limit to perform LC for AC on evaluated cases, where the surgeon decides to practice in urgency cholecystectomy. Nonetheless, specific biochemical parameters, radiological data, correlated with clinical sings should be the guideline to manage these cases.

Conclusions

This study’s results highlight the modern approach on cases of acute cholecystitis, where laparoscopic cholecystectomy can be safely performed within the first 24 hours from admission. Also, we found that the timing of surgery for AC does not affect conversion rate and postoperative outcome. Consequently, the golden 72-h period should not represent an inflexible limit to perform LC for AC on evaluated cases, where the surgeon decides to practice in urgency cholecystectomy. Nonetheless, specific biochemical parameters, radiological data, correlated with clinical signs should be the guideline to manage these cases.
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Table 3: CORRELATIONS BETWEEN THE TWO STUDY GROUPS REGARDING LABORATORY FINDINGS, *NORMAL RATIO INTERVAL

| Laboratory findings | First 24 h | ≥ 72 h | p-value |
|---------------------|-----------|--------|---------|
| White blood cells-WBCs (×10³/L) | 11.46 ± 2.36 | 12.65 ± 2.98 | 0.125 |
| C Reactive Protein CEP (mg/dL) | 14.26 ± 4.41 | 5.03 ± 2.89 | 0.022 |
| Alanine transaminase-ALT (×0-41 U/L) | 89.45 ± 122.83 | 86.57 ± 68.52 | 0.844 |
| Aspartate transaminase-AST (×0-40 U/L) | 110.37 ± 203.35 | 98.43 ± 62.48 | 0.417 |
| Gamma glutamyl transferase-GGT (×0-71 U/L) | 125.06 ± 194.12 | 130.22 ± 254.64 | 0.745 |
| Total bilirubin (µg/dL) | 0.34 ± 0.40 | 0.21 ± 0.18 | 0.036 |
| Direct conjugated bilirubin (µg/dL) | 0.20 ± 0.38 | 0.33 ± 0.48 | 0.012 |
| Alkaline phosphatase (×40-130 U/L) | 88.51 ± 48.85 | 102.12 ± 30.54 | 0.056 |

Table 4: CORRELATIONS BETWEEN THE TWO STUDY GROUPS REGARDING ULTRASONOGRAPHY FINDINGS

| Ultrasonography findings | First 24 h | ≥ 72 h | p-value |
|--------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|
| Thickness of the gallbladder wall | 12.2 (11.73%) | 12.4 (16.39%) | 0.192 |
| Distended gallbladder | 158 (33.36%) | 160 (50.21%) | 0.216 |
| Gallstones | 122 (66.30%) | 131 (71.19%) | 0.133 |
| Pericholecystic fluid | 16 (3.69%) | 11 (5.97%) | 0.316 |
| Positive Murphy sign | 81 (47.82%) | 82 (44.56%) | 0.745 |