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Abstract

Membrane contact sites (MCSs) are defined as regions where two organelles are closely apposed, and most MCSs associated with each other via protein-protein or protein-lipid interactions. A number of key molecular machinery systems participate in mediating substance exchange and signal transduction, both of which are essential processes in terms of cellular physiology and pathophysiology. The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is the largest reticulum network within the cell and has extensive communication with other cellular organelles, including the plasma membrane (PM), mitochondria, Golgi, endosomes and lipid droplets (LDs). The contacts and reactions between them are largely mediated by various protein tethers and lipids. Ions, lipids and even proteins can be transported between the ER and neighboring organelles or recruited to the contact site to exert their functions. This review focuses on the key molecules involved in the formation of different contact sites as well as their biological functions.
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Introduction

The largest organelle in cells is the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), a continuous single membrane-bound network that is made up of smooth tubules and rough sheets [1, 2]. In different cell types, the ER has distinct shapes, but its function is similar. The ER is the major Ca^{2+} reservoir, where the concentration of Ca^{2+} is thousands of times higher than that in the cytosol [3]. Thus, it is considered the primary site for providing Ca^{2+} to other organelles to maintain their essential physiological roles with the help of calcium channels, ryanodine receptors or inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptors (IP3R) [4]. The ER also acts as a hub of lipid synthesis, such as triacylglycerols, sphingolipids, sphingosine and ceramide intermediates, and phosphatidylserine (PS) [5]. Some lipids are transferred to other organelles to be directly utilized or to be further processed and modified. Additionally, the ER is involved in the folding and processing of new proteins to carry out initial quality control processes; these proteins are subsequently delivered to the Golgi for modification and secretion [6-8]. These functions depend on the efficient and fast exchange of materials between the ER and other organelles.

Membrane contacts between different membrane-bound organelles can be seen clearly under an electron microscope. Membrane contact sites (MCSs) are defined as regions where two organelles are closely apposed, and their membranes are sometimes associated, often with a gap of less than 30 nm [9]. At these points, substances are capable of exchanging rapidly via different mechanisms. Because the ER is distributed throughout the cell, it has extensive communication with other intracellular
membrane structures, such as the plasma membrane, mitochondria, Golgi, endosomes and lipid droplets (LDs) (Figure 1). Tethers are proteins that are localized at MCSs and that support the formation of ER-mediated membrane contacts, ion transport, and organelle dynamics. Potential tether proteins for the formation of ER-mediated membrane contacts in mammals are listed in Table 1. Membrane contact is an expanding area in cell biology, and progress has been discussed in numerous excellent recent reviews [10-15]. This review focuses on the major molecular machinery involved in the formation of membrane contact sites and how they coordinate to maintain the functions of these contacts (Figure 1).

ER-PM contact sites

The plasma membrane is the primary protective barrier of cells. It mediates communication between the intracellular and extracellular environments via selective secretion of cytokines, absorption of nutrients, or the export of waste to maintain the growth and homeostasis of cells. There are many different ion channels located on or within the PM, including Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable ion channels and voltage-gated K\(^+\) channels. Distinct ion gradients are formed through ion channels; these gradients are necessary for generating appropriate membrane potential and driving action potential in excitable cells or for mediating a variety of essential cellular processes, including fertilization, hormone secretion, muscle contraction, gene transcription and cell death [16]. The phospholipid PtdIns(4,5)P\(_2\) (phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate) and its precursor PtdIns4P (phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate) are also abundant at the PM, where they assist the function of ion channels and mediate signal transduction and cell motility [17]. It is worth mentioning that excitation-contraction units were first observed in muscle by means of electron microscopy in the 1950s, when the concept of ER-PM contact sites was first proposed [18]. Subsurface cisterns have subsequently been described in neurons [19]. ER-PM contact sites, with gaps ranging from 10 to 30 nm between the two membranes, are ubiquitous structures in many cell types with different shapes [20] (Figure 2). These contacts allow certain molecules such as proteins and lipids that are targeted to the ER or PM to establish a bridge for regulating a number of functions, such as transporting Ca\(^{2+}\) and lipids, maintaining ER morphology and enhancing neuronal excitability.

In yeast, it has been reported that Scs2, Scs22, Ist2, and tricalbins form a hierarchy in the formation of ER-PM contact sites, of which Scs2 and Scs22 are most important [21].

| Contact sites          | Tether Protein | Functions                                           |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| **ER-PM contact sites**|                |                                                     |
| E-syt5                 |                | Homolog of tricalbins, Ca\(^{2+}\) transport        |
| ANO8                   |                | Homolog of Ist2, Ca\(^{2+}\) transport              |
| Kv2-VAPA complex       |                | Pl transfer                                         |
| STIM1-Oral complex     |                | Ca\(^{2+}\) transport                               |
| TMEM24                 |                | Pl transfer from the ER to the PM                   |
| ORP5                   |                | PtdIns4P transfer from the PM to the ER and PS transfer from the ER to the PM |
| PIP2D8                 |                | Homolog of MmM1 in ER-MES, Ca\(^{2+}\) transport    |
| IP3R-GRP75-VDAC1 complex|                |                                                     |
| Djl1                   |                | Maintenance of mitochondrial morphology and dynamics |
| FUNDC1                 |                | Regulation of mitochondrial fission and mitophagy   |
| PTPIP51-VAIP           |                | Ca\(^{2+}\) transport                               |
| PERK, TMX1             |                | Ca\(^{2+}\) transport, maintenance of mitochondrial morphology |
| FKBP8                  |                | Ca\(^{2+}\) transport                               |
| **ER-Golgi contact sites** |                |                                                     |
| ORP10                  |                | Sterol transfer from the ER to the Golgi and PtdIns4P transfer from the Golgi to the ER |
| HTD08                  |                | PS transfer                                         |
| Rab-5A-EMC6 complex    |                | Homolog of Nv2p, ceramide transport                 |
| PTP1B-EGFR complex     |                | Autophagy activation                               |
| Annexin A1-S100A11 complex|                |                                                     |
| ORP1L-VAPA             |                | Regulation of minus-end-directed movement of endosomes |
| protrudin-Rab-7/PtdIns(3)P |                | Regulation of plus-end-directed movement of endosomes |
| TMCC1and               |                | ER-associated bud fission and cargo sorting         |
| Coronin1c              |                |                                                     |
| STARD3-VAPs            |                | Sterol transfer from the ER to endosomes            |
| **ER-LDs contact sites** |                |                                                     |
| Sxi4                   |                | Homolog of Mdm1, LD biogenesis                      |
| Seipin                 |                | Homolog of Fld1, protein and lipid transfer, growth and maturation of nascent LDs |
| Rab18                  |                | Lipid transfer, LD maturation                       |
| DFCPI                  |                | LD maturation                                       |
| FATP1-DGAT2 complex    |                | LD expansion                                        |
| ORP2-VAPs              |                | TAG metabolism                                      |

The VAMP (vesicle-associated membrane protein)-associated proteins Scs2 and Scs22 in yeast are localized at the ER [22]. Their mammalian homologs belong to the VAP (vesicle-associated membrane protein-associated protein) family. It has been documented that an Scs2 Scs22 double mutant together with deletion of the three tricalbins has the same level of ER-PM contact as does the Scs2 Scs22 double mutant alone. However, the triple mutant Scs2 Scs22 ist2 has a significantly reduced level of ER-PM contact compared to that the Scs2 Scs22 double mutant [21]. Both Scs2 and Scs22 have N-terminal MSP (major sperm protein) domains with FFAT (diphenylalanine in an acidic tract) binding capability [22], enabling them to bind to lipid-associated proteins, thereby regulating phospholipid synthesis and metabolism [23]. It has been speculated that Scs2
may interact with Osh proteins, oxysterol-binding protein homologs, to promote the formation of ER-PM contact sites and thereby control PtdIns4P levels at the PM [24].

Both Ist2 (increases sodium tolerance protein 2) and three tricalbins (Tcb1p, Tcb2p and Tcb3p) are anchored at the ER [25, 26]. Although mutations in Ist2 or triple tricalbins in wild-type cells do not affect ER-PM contact substantially, they aggravate ER-PM dissociation in mutant Scs2 and Scs22 cells [21, 27]. Hence, Ist2 and tricalbins are considered potential candidates for connecting the ER to the PM. It has been reported that Tcbs may promote lipid exchange between the ER and the PM by forming a highly curved peak at the cortical ER. The SMP domain and C2 domain of Tcbs are necessary for this process. Moreover, lipid flows may compensate for lipid consumption at the PM to maintain the integrity of the plasma membrane upon stress [28].

In mammals, E-syts are the homologs of tricalbins. E-syt2 and E-syt3 are able to directly bind to PtdIns(4,5)P2 at the PM via their C2C domain under normal conditions, while E-syt1 is likely to function in the increase in cytosolic Ca2+ [29, 30]. However, this differs in yeast; dramatic reduction in ER-PM contact sites is observed in response to triple knockdown of all E-syts, and the phenotype could be rescued by overexpression of the E-syts [29] (Figure 2). Notably, upon Ca2+ release, E-syt1 is able to rearrange ER structures into ring-shaped MCSs to improve the stability of ER-PM contact sites and promote the replenishment of Ca2+ in the ER [29, 31]. Hence, E-syts contribute to the formation and stability of ER-PM contact sites in mammals to control the Ca2+ flux between the ER and the PM. Surprisingly, ER-PM contact site-positioned E-syts also mediate lipid transfer between the ER and the PM. The SMP domain is a lipid-binding module, and SMP-containing proteins are usually present in MCSs [32]. The SMP domain of E-syts allows the nonpreferential binding of glycerophospholipids [33]. E-syts also have the ability to recruit Nir2, a phosphatidylinositol transfer protein that delivers PA (phosphatidic acid) to the ER and in turn transfers PI (phosphatidylinositol) to the PM and to ER-PM contact sites upon receptor stimulation [30]. It has been revealed that E-syts at ER-PM contact sites not only are involved in Ca2+ regulation but also control lipid transfer and promote PtdIns(4,5)P2 replenishment following receptor stimulation.

Recently, on the basis of sequence analysis, members of the ANO (anoctamin) family were suggested to be homologous to Ist2 in humans, but only ANO8 has the ability to tether the ER and the PM. ANO8 may control Ca2+ flux by assembling Ca2+ signaling complexes, such as STIM1 (stromal interaction molecule 1), SERCA2 (sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2), and IP3R, at ER-PM junctions, which affects the efficiency and fidelity of cell signaling in HEK293 cells [34] (Figure 2).

**Figure 1. An overview of established potential tethers at various membrane contact sites in mammals and yeasts.** A large number of proteins are involved in the formation of different membrane contact sites by protein-protein interactions or protein-lipid interactions. Overexpression or deletion of these proteins perturbs the formation of different membrane contact sites by different pathways and results in heterogeneous phenotypes.
Figure 2. Proteins involved in ER-PM contact sites in mammals. Proteins that localize at either the ER or the plasma membrane shorten the distance between the ER and plasma membrane by establishing physical interactions or by their own function. Each protein plays a different role. STIM1-Orai in the ER-PM contact sites is able to mediate Ca\(^{2+}\) exchange. E-syts and ORP5 interact with lipids on the PM and anchor at the ER by their distinct domains to promote lipid transfer between these two organelles. TMEM24, ANO8, and KV2-VAPs also participate in the formation of ER-PM contact sites.

The Kv2 voltage-gated K\(^+\) channel is a classic potassium channel [35]. This protein is present in two different forms. One form localizes at the PM dispersively and acts as a voltage-dependent K\(^+\) channel involved in electric activity. The other form occurs at ER-PM contact sites but loses its ability to mediate electric activity. The C-terminal PRC (proximal restriction and clustering) domain of Kv2 plays a dominant role in clustering behavior rather than ion channel function. Experiments have shown that the PRC domain of Kv2 is able to interact with the FFAT-binding domain of the ER protein VAPA (vesicle-associated membrane protein-associated protein A) [36, 37]. Knockdown of Kv2 or a point mutation within its PRC domain impairs the ER-PM contact sites in neurons, and depletion of VAPA also impedes the clustering behavior of Kv2 [35, 36]. This strongly suggests that the nonconductive form of Kv2 cooperates with VAPA to promote the organization of ER-PM contact sites, which contributes to exocytosis [38, 39] (Figure 2). Recent research has shown that Kv2.1 is able to recruit Nir2 to ER-PM contact sites and influences PI homeostasis in a VAP-dependent pathway in neurons. This suggests a novel role of the Kv2-VAP interaction in the modulation of neuronal lipid signaling and homeostasis [40].

SOCE (“Store-operated” Ca\(^{2+}\) entry) is an essential mechanism for regulating the loading of Ca\(^{2+}\) after depletion of stored Ca\(^{2+}\). It has been discovered that the STIM1-Orai complex is one of the important components of SOCE. STIM1 is an important ER-resident calcium storage sensor with an ER-resident domain (including an EF-hand-binding domain and a SAM (sterile alpha-motif) domain) and a cytoplasmic portion (including an ERM (ezrin-radixin-moesin) domain, a C-terminal inhibitory domain, a serine/proline domain and a polybasic lysine-rich domain or K domain) to carry out its function. In resting cells, STIM1 is distributed uniformly at the ER by binding to Ca\(^{2+}\) in the ER lumen via its EF-hand-binding domain [41]. However, once Ca\(^{2+}\) is released, STIM1 tends to cluster at the ER near the PM with the help of its SAM domain (Figure 2). At that time, the cytoplasmic domain of STIM1 starts to recruit Orai to ER-PM contact sites and induces a new interaction between the ER and the PM [42, 43]. Orai is a component of the CRAC (Ca\(^{2+}\)-release-activated Ca\(^{2+}\)) channel at the PM and can be activated by Ca\(^{2+}\) release to mediate Ca\(^{2+}\)-selective flux [44]. Loss of Ca\(^{2+}\) induces a conformational change in the SOAR (STIM1-Orai1 activating region) in the ERM domain of STIM1, and the exposed SOAR is able to interact with the C-terminal coiled-coil region of Orai [45]. This protein-protein interaction brings the ER very close to the PM and then activates SOCE to replenish the stored Ca\(^{2+}\), maintain Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis, and further trigger Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling and gene transcription [46, 47].

SOCE is one of the most important processes that occurs at ER-PM contact sites, and many regulatory molecules involved in these processes have been revealed. For example, STMATE (STIM-activating enhancer), encoded by TMEM110, is a multitransmembrane protein at the ER. As its name suggests, STMATE plays a positive role in the redistribution of STIM1. The depletion of STMATE causes STIM1 to lose its ability of clustering at the ER, which further reduces the formation of ER-PM junctions in stimulated cells and suppresses the activation of SOCE and Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling. This means that STMATE has the ability to regulate the stability of ER-PM contact sites and Ca\(^{2+}\) signal transduction [48,
Septin is also a positive regulator in HeLa cells and promotes both the interaction of STIM1 with Orai and the recruitment of STIM1 and Orai to ER-PM contact sites [50] (Figure 2). Additionally, RASSF4 (Ras association domain-containing protein 4) [51], RPGR (retinitis pigmentosa GTPase regulator) and its interacting partners [52], and junctophilin subtypes [53] also exert positive effects on the formation of ER-PM contact sites. There are also inhibitory proteins, such as TMEM178 (transmembrane protein 178) [54], o1Rs (sigma 1 receptors) [55], and the MT plus end-binding protein EBI [56], that downregulate SOCE. These proteins bind to STIM1, thus impeding the redistribution of STIM1 and preventing the excess activation of SOCE and overload of the ER with Ca²⁺.

There are numerous other lipid transporters with different lipid-binding domains that mediate lipid exchange between the ER and the PM to maintain lipid homeostasis and signal transduction. For instance, TMEM24 (transmembrane protein 24) is a phospholipid transfer protein that localizes at the ER and is highly expressed in the brain. The phosphorylation modification of C-terminal region in TMEM24 dominates its localization. The SMP domain of TMEM24, which binds preferentially to PI, mediates delivery of PI from the ER to the PM to replenish the pool of PtdIns(4,5)P₂. Overexpression of TMEM24 in the neuronal cell body or dendrites significantly increases the length and the number of ER-PM contact sites that have colocalized with Kv2 in resting cells, while under the condition of increased cytosolic Ca²⁺, the C-terminal region of TMEM24 can be phosphorylated (Figure 2). This drives the dissociation of TMEM24 from the PM, which further influences the trafficking of PI [57, 58]. Thus, TMEM24 has the ability to balance Ca²⁺ and lipids to promote the formation of ER-PM contact sites and trafficking of PI from the ER to the PM, supporting cellular homeostasis and further controlling neuronal excitability.

ORP5 (oxysterol-binding protein (OSBP)-related protein 5) and ORP8 are integral membrane proteins at the ER. Both of them have a pleckstrin homology (PH) domain, which tethers the protein to the PM in a PtdIns4P-dependent manner, and ORDs (OSBP-related domains) bind to PtdIns4P and PS [59, 60]. Overexpression of ORP5 induces more ER-PM contact site formation, while ORP8 does not. Moreover, coexpression of ORP8 and ORP5 reduces the distribution of ORP5 at the PM. Therefore, ORP5 is the main factor promoting the formation of ER-PM contact sites. ORP8, however, has an inhibitory effect on the interaction of ORP5 and the PM in HEK293-AT1 cells because of its acidic N-terminal region [59] (Figure 2). Excess ORP5 also reduces the PtdIns4P level at the PM and increases its PS level. The exchange of PtdIns4P from the PM to the ER and of PS from the ER to the PM contributes to the regulation of the PtdIns4P level at the PM and maintenance of membrane lipid homeostasis [60].

Collectively, published studies show that the major functions of ER-PM contact sites involve Ca²⁺ and lipid exchange. For example, E-syts, ANO8, and STIM1-Orai are associated with Ca²⁺ transfer at ER-PM contact sites. These proteins are responsible for the replenishment of Ca²⁺ stores upon Ca²⁺ release or promote the uptake of Ca²⁺ from the cytoplasm to avoid Ca²⁺ toxicity and cell death. ER Ca²⁺ homeostasis is necessary for the correct protein folding and function of chaperones. Disruption in this homeostasis leads to the accumulation of unfolding proteins to activate URP, the impairment of ER dynamics, and the induction of apoptosis [61]. Therefore, promoting Ca²⁺ exchange between the ER and the PM may be a potential pathway to control the distribution of cellular Ca²⁺ to maintain cell survival in response to ER stress. On the other hand, Scs2/22, E-syts, TMEM24 and ORP5 regulate the levels of PtdIns(4,5)P₂ and PtdIns4P at the PM. PtdIns(4,5)P₂ at the PM is able to support the optimal conditions for ion channels at the PM, such as voltage-gated potassium channels and calcium channels. This also involves exocytosis and endocytosis. Otherwise, PtdIns(4,5)P₂ recruits and activates several actin regulatory proteins to control cell shape, motility, and a variety of other processes with the help of PtdIns(3,4,5)P₃ and small GTPase [62]. A recent study also proposed a novel role of PtdIns(4,5)P₂ in mediating cholesterol exchange to the PM with ORP2 (oxysterol-binding protein-related protein 2) [63]. Therefore, the regulation of PtdIns(4,5)P₂ and its precursor by ER-PM contact sites may be an important pathway to support its essential function at the PM. However, in addition to these molecules involved in Ca²⁺ and lipid exchange, other factors and their functional mechanisms at ER-PM contact sites should be investigated.

**ER-mitochondrion contact sites**

Mitochondria are the ‘powerhouses’ in cells, and they produce energy continuously to support essential cellular activity and for homeostasis. They take part in a large subset of primary metabolic pathways, such as fatty acid oxidation, gluconeogenesis, steroidogenesis, heme synthesis, and the urea cycle. Like the ER, mitochondria are also intracellular Ca²⁺ reservoirs. Mitochondrial dynamics, cell metabolism, and survival are regulated by Ca²⁺ or Ca²⁺-dependent enzymes, so fine-tuning of mitochondrial Ca²⁺ levels is essential to maintain their
physiological function [64-68]. VDACs (voltage-dependent anion channels) and MCUs (mitochondrial calcium uniporters) are two important ion channels that regulate mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake [69, 70] (Figure 3). It has been found that PS is mainly synthesized in the ER and converted to PE (phosphatidylethanolamine) in the mitochondria, whereas PE is delivered back to the ER to produce PC (phosphatidyl cholines). These processes require catalytic enzymes specifically localized at the ER or mitochondria [71, 72]. Therefore, mechanisms are required to facilitate the rapid exchange of ions, lipids, and proteins between these two organelles. In the 1950s, Wilhelm Bernhard was the first biologist to see the ER-mitochondrion contact sites in rat liver cells under an electron microscope [73, 74]. The gap between mitochondria and the smooth ER is usually approximately 10 nm, while the gap between mitochondria and the rough ER is approximately 25 nm. Approximately 2% to 5% of the mitochondrial surface is closely associated with ER membranes [75]. This structure is also referred to as the mitochondria-associated ER membrane (MAM). The MAM has the ability to mediate Ca\(^{2+}\) and lipid transfer between the ER and mitochondria to regulate lipid synthesis and Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis, and it further influences mitochondrial dynamics (fission and fusion), autophagy, apoptosis and a broad spectrum of other essential cellular processes [76-79].

In yeast, the ERMES (ER-mitochondrion encounter structure) is a special macromolecular complex that links the ER and mitochondria. It consists of a cytosolic protein named Mdm12 (mitochondrial distribution and morphology 12), two outer mitochondrial membrane proteins Mdm34 and Mdm10, and the ER protein Mmm1 (maintenance of mitochondrial morphology 1) [71]. Mmm1 and Mdm12 have SMP domains that are exposed to the cytoplasm and interact directly with each other via tail-to-tail contact, while Mdm12 binds to Mdm34 by head-to-head contact [77, 80, 81]. The Mdm12-Mmm1 complex forms an extended hydrophobic tunnel through the elongated SMP domains of Mdm12 and Mmm1, which can bind glycerophospholipids such as PC, PA, PG (phosphatidylglycerol), and PS but not PE [77, 81]. This specific feature of ERMESs plays a pivotal role in MAM formation by mediating lipid exchange between the ER and mitochondria via a nonvesicular pathway that does not consume energy. Interestingly, according to affinity purification of the ERMES complex and mass spectrometry, Gem1, a Miro GTPase, was found to interact with the ERMES complex. It is dispensable for ERMES assembly. Moreover, its first GTPase domain and Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding domain promote its association with the ERMES assembly, and its second GTPase domain regulates its activity; however, the appropriate physiological conditions triggering Gem1 to associate with ERMES are still unknown [82]. It’s surprising that no ERMES homolog was known in human until the discovery of PDZD8 (PDZ domain-containing protein 8). PDZD8 is an ER-resident proteins and has a SMP domain, which may be a potential metazoan structural and functional homolog of Mmm1 in ERMES. It plays important roles in forming MAMs and mediating the Ca\(^{2+}\) crosstalk in neurons [83].

Figure 3. Proteins involved in ER-mitochondrion contact sites in mammalian cells. A variety of proteins contribute to the formation and remodeling of ER-mitochondrion contact sites. These complexes include VDAC-GRP75-IP3R, PTP51-VAPB and the FUNDC1-associated complex, which regulate mitochondrial dynamics. ER-resident proteins (such as PERK and TMX1) participate in the formation of ER-mitochondrion contact sites by ER stress or posttranslational modification. Whereas, FKBP8 localize at mitochondria to form MAM.
In mammals, three proteins, GRP75 (glucose-regulated protein 75), VDAC1, and IP3R, are often detected in the components of purified MAM fractions from rat livers or HeLa cells. GRP75 has a very strong mitochondrial matrix targeting sequence at the N-terminus and it is clearly shown to be localized at the mitochondrial matrix side of IP3R-VDAC complex at the ER by the electron microscopy technique [84]. Both IP3R and VDAC1 coimmunoprecipitate with GRP75 [85]. In HT22 cells, the absence of GRP75 restricts the formation of MAMs and attenuates the increase in mitochondrial Ca2+ induced by glutamate, preventing glutamate-induced cell death. In contrast, overexpression of GRP75 increases the number of MAMs and the susceptibility to glutamate-induced cell death [76] (Figure 3).

Emerging evidence suggests that GRP75 is the scaffold protein that tethers the ER and mitochondria by interacting with IP3R and VDAC1 [76, 85] and mediates Ca2+ exchange between these two organelles to both control mitochondrial Ca2+-homeostasis and attenuate glutamate-induced cell death. The formation and stability of MAMs mediated by the IP3R-GRP75-VDAC1 complex are regulated by other interacting factors of this complex. For example, the α1R is a ligand-controlled chaperone in the MAM, and its mutation contributes to the pathogenesis of members of the ALS/FTD (amyotrophic lateral sclerosis/ frontotemporal dementia) family. Upon Ca2+ release, α1R is able to stabilize channel-forming IP3R and sustain Ca2+ signaling from the ER into mitochondria to control cell survival in CHO cells [86-88]. This reveals that the disturbance of the structure and function of MAMs may explain the mechanism of ALS caused by the aberrant phenotype of α1R. DISC1 (disrupted-in-schizophrenia 1) [89] interacts with IP3R and serves as a gatekeeper to regulate ER-mitochondrial Ca2+ transfer in response to physiological stress in cortical neurons, while the interaction of Bcl2l10 with IRBIT [90] exerts an inhibitory effect on IP3R activity to reduce Ca2+ transfer during apoptosis (Figure 2). PDK4 (pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase isofrom 4) is able to stabilize the IP3R1-GRP75-VDAC1 complex to maintain MAM formation and suppress insulin signaling [91]. Moreover, both TG2 (transglutaminase type 2) [92] and Tespa1 (thymocyte-expressed, positive selection-associated gene 1) [93] are capable of interacting with GRP75 and negatively regulating its activity, which may subsequently impair the formation of MAMs and disrupt Ca2+ flux.

DJ-1, encoded by the PARK7 gene, is a mitochondrial protein that responds to oxidative stress and is involved in autosomal recessive early-onset PD (Parkinson’s disease) and cancer [94, 95]. In DJ-1-overexpressing cells induced by cell stimulation, the colocalization of the ER and mitochondria increases, and mitochondrial Ca2+ transients increase, while depletion of DJ-1 results in fragmented mitochondria and reduced mitochondrial Ca2+ uptake. However, a high level of p53, a tumor suppressor, also decreases the number of MAMs, blocks Ca2+ transfer between the ER and mitochondria, and impairs mitochondrial morphology in HeLa cells. These effects are reversed by overexpression of DJ-1 [96]. Thus, DJ-1 may play a role opposite to that of p53 in the regulation of the formation and function of MAMs. However, both maintain the balance of mitochondrial morphology and dynamics.

Mfn2 (mitofusin-2), a GTPase dynamin-like protein of the outer mitochondrial membrane, is also considered a tether for linking the ER and mitochondria [97, 98]. In addition, studies of the ubiquitination of Mfn2 by Parkin or MITOL have also indicated a role of Mfn2 in MAMs [99, 100]. However, some researchers have found that the ablation of Mfn2 in MEF cells leads to an increased percentage of ER-covered mitochondria, which could be reserved by overexpression of Mfn2. Moreover, IP3-dependent Ca2+ release is increased in Mfn2-deficient MEF cells, and the Ca2+ peak in mitochondria increases [101]. Collectively, these results suggest that Mfn2 appears to have an inhibitory effect on MAM formation and prevents Ca2+ overload and cell death, rather than acting as a tether. Thus, the function of Mfn2 in MAMs is debatable. Interestingly, the deletion of Mfn2 downregulates Aβ production by reducing γ-secretase maturation and activity [102]. This means that ER-mitochondrion contact sites may control the level of Aβ (beta amyloid), which suggests a new mechanism for intervening in the pathology of AD (Alzheimer’s disease).

Autophagy is a process that degrades large protein aggregates and damaged organelles [103-105]. Mitophagy is a specialized form of autophagy for the degradation of mitochondria. Certain autophagy-associated proteins also participate in the formation and maintenance of MAMs. FUNDC1 (FUN14 domain-containing protein 1) is a mitophagy receptor in the OMM (outer mitochondrial membrane) [106]. Its depletion disrupts the MAM and regulates mitochondrial fission and mitophagy. The mechanisms involved may widely vary in response to different cell stresses. IP3R2 is able to interact with FUNDC1 to tether the ER and mitochondria. Absence of FUNDC1 decreases the expression of IP3R2 and reduces the Ca2+ level in mitochondria in cardiomyocytes, while overexpression of FUNDC1 has the opposite phenotype. It is speculated that
FUNDC1 regulates the activity of IP3R and the flux of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} between the ER and mitochondria. Alteration of the intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} level influences the transcription of Fis1, which in turn affects mitochondrial fission by activating the transcription factor CREB (cAMP-response element binding protein) [107]. However, in response to hypoxia, the depletion of FUNDC1 impairs the MAM structure, resulting in lower levels of Drp1 (dynamin-related protein 1) at the MAM and more elongated mitochondria. These effects are reversed by overexpression of FUNDC1. Silencing CANX also decreases the levels of MAM-associated FUNDC1 and Drp1, thereby reducing the amount of extended mitochondria (Figure 3). This shows that CANX (calnexin) is a key protein that drives FUNDC1 to the MAM, where the former subsequently recruits Drp1 to mediate mitochondrial fission [78, 108]. Because CANX and Drp1 interact with FUNDC1 via the same domain, FUNDC1 interacts with them differentially over time. It is interesting that FUNDC1 interacts indirectly with CANX at the early stage of hypoxia and then dissociates and binds directly to Drp1 [78]. At the later stage, hypoxia induces dephosphorylation of FUNDC1, which then interacts with LC3 (microtubule-associated proteins 1A/1B light chain 3) to promote mitophagy [106, 108]. Therefore, FUNDC1 works with CANX and Drp1 at the MAM to regulate mitophagy and mitochondrial fragmentation in response to hypoxia. Thus, FUNDC1 is a novel MAM protein that mediates mitochondrial fission by activating different fission factors.

PTPIP51 (protein tyrosine phosphatase interacting protein 51) is an outer mitochondrial membrane protein that is able to induce apoptosis and control autophagy [79, 109]. PTPIP51 was found to interact with VAPB at MAMs in a variety of assays in HEK293 cells [110, 111]. Loss of VAPB or PTPIP51 decreases the number of MAMs, raises the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} level in the cytosol and delays mitochondrial Ca\textsuperscript{2+} uptake, while overexpression of VAPB prompts the formation of MAMs and further induces the interaction of VDAC and IP3R [79]. This demonstrates that VAPB and PTPIP51 are able to tether the ER and mitochondrial to regulate Ca\textsuperscript{2+} exchange between these two organelles (Figure 3). Interestingly, ORP5/8 also interacts with PTPIP51 via their functional ORD domains and is targeted to the MAM rather than ER-PM contact sites in HeLa cells, which perhaps promotes PS transport to the mitochondria and regulates mitochondrial morphology. Cytoplasmic forms of TDP-43 (TAR DNA-binding protein 43) [111] and FUS [112] accumulate in ALS patient neurons, and mutations in the genes encoding them cause this disease. Both of them can activate the GSK-3\textbeta (glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta) signaling pathway, which has been found to affect the association of VAPB and PTPIP51 to disrupt the formation of MAMs and in turn affect Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis.

Interestingly, several stress-responsive proteins localize at MAMs. These proteins include the mature high-mannose type of pannexin 2 [113], Ero1\alpha (ER oxidoreductin 1\alpha) [114], and RTN1A (reticulin 1\alpha) [115]. The presence of PERK (RNA-dependent protein kinase-like ER kinase) [116] and palmitoylated TMX1 (thioredoxin-related transmembrane protein 1) at the MAM promotes the remodeling of the MAM and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transfer to regulate mitochondrial apoptosis [117, 118], while mouse Stbd1 (starch binding domain-containing protein 1) positively regulates MAM formation and controls mitochondrial morphology [119]. Tom70 (translocase of outer membrane 70 kDa subunit) [120] not only recruits IP3R to the MAM for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transfer but also recruits Ist2 for sterol transport [121, 122]. VMP1 (vacuole membrane protein 1) [123] and CAV1 (caveolin-1) [124] disrupt MAM formation and control mitochondrial dynamics, while mammal-associated PML (promyelocytic leukemia protein) [125], PINK1 (PTEN induced putative kinase 1) and BECN1 (Beclin 1) [126] control autophagosome formation. Additionally, the application of enzymes with high-affinity (split-TurboID or split-BioID) in proximity labeling also discovers a variety of novel proteins in MAMs [84, 127], such as FKBP8 (FK506-binding protein 8), which participates in MAM formation; it mediates the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transport from the ER to mitochondria [84]. Besides, EXD2 (exonuclease 3'-5' domain-containing protein 2), ABCD3 (ATP-binding cassette sub-family D member 3), LBR (lamin B receptor), MTFR1 (mitochondrial fission regulator 1), and FUNDC2 (FUN14 domain-containing protein 2) were also found in MAMs by these technology [127].

In conclusion, ER-mitochondrial tethers are primarily involved in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transfer, as well as autophagy. The regulation of both MAM formation and MAM-associated functions is important for mitochondrial morphology and dynamics in response to different cell stresses. The concentration of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in mitochondria is important for mitochondrial dynamics and cell metabolism. An appropriate level of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in mitochondria is able to control oxidative phosphorylation and increase the amount of ATP generated because the activity of enzymes involved in the Krebs cycle, such as pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase, isocitrate dehydrogenase, and oxoglutarate dehydrogenase, is regulated by Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. However, excess Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in mitochondria promotes the opening of the PTP (permeability transition pore), which further facilitates the release of cytochrome and activates the apoptotic cascade reaction [128].
proteins or protein complexes (such as GRP75-VDAC-IP3R, PTPIP51-VAPB, DJ-1, Mfn2, and FUNDC1) regulate the formation of MAMs, which in turn control $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ exchange between the ER and mitochondria to modulate the level of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ in mitochondria. In addition, they may play an important part in the maintenance of mitochondrial homeostasis, cell metabolism, and cell survival and support a series of energy-consuming physiological processes. Furthermore, abnormal MAMs are present in several disease models, especially those of neurodegenerative diseases. For example, MAM disruption has been observed in ALS/FTD. This is mainly because the GSK-3β signaling pathway is activated in this disease model and then impairs the interaction of PTPIP51 and VAPB [111, 112]. Primary fibroblasts from PD patients have been shown to reduce MAM and abnormal $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ transfer [99]. Gene mutations of Parkin and PINK1 and aberrant accumulation of mutant a-synuclein are etiologies of PD. Their knockout leads to a low level of MAM. Furthermore, rebuilt artificial MAM in PINK1-KO drosophila restores locomotion defects [99, 129]. Otherwise, a-synuclein can also be found in MAMs to regulate mitochondrial morphology. Mutant a-synuclein also results in impairment of MAMs [130]. AD causes the opposite phenotype. The enhancement of MAMs can be detected in AD patients and cell models [131]. Under exposure to Aβ, the expression of MAM-associated proteins is increased in primary hippocampal neurons. Aberrant cleavage of the APP (amyloid precursor protein) is the main hallmark of AD pathogenesis. Mutations in PS1 and PS2, components of the γ-secretase complex involved in APP processing, are major causes of familial AD. PS1, PS2, APP, and γ-secretase are detected at MAMs. The enzymatic activity of γ-secretase is reduced when MAM is disrupted. Depletion of PS1 (presenilin-1) and PS2 (presenilin-2) affects the MAM structure and MAM-dependent lipid metabolism and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ transfer [125, 132]. Therefore, MAM mutually communicates with these proteins to support their own work. Furthermore, MAM may be involved in the pathogenesis of HSP (hereditary spastic paraplegia) [133] and WS (Wolfram syndrome) [134]. On the other hand, upregulation of MAM can be observed in epithelial cells from PAH (pulmonary arterial hypertension) [135], and insulin resistance has been found to be associated with downregulation of MAM in mouse models of obesity and T2D (type 2 diabetes) [136]. Therefore, the formation and function of MAM may be a downstream effector for the progression of neurodegenerative disease and metabolic disease. Correcting the function of MAM in these diseases could probably be a new therapeutic target to reverse or relieve clinical symptoms. Is there any new role of MAM in cellular metabolism? Do alterations in MAM affect the development and prognosis of diseases? MAMs may have multiple tasks in regulating different physiological processes in different pathways. More questions should be answered.

**ER-Golgi contact sites**

The Golgi apparatus is formed by a set of flattened and stacked cisternae with interconnected tubules and vesicles. The core structure is surrounded by a variety of vesicles. The Golgi is a sorting hub and modification compartment in cells [137]. Normally, it receives and modifies proteins and lipids that exit from the ER. The enzymes localized in the Golgi chambers participate in catalyzing a series of posttranslational modifications. Afterward, proteins and lipids are sent to their destinations (the PM, endosomes, etc.) via the vesicle-mediated secretory pathway [138]. In addition, the Golgi takes part in biophysical processes to promote cell polarization, cell migration, and mitosis [139-141]. Vesicular transport between the ER and the Golgi plays an important role in the communication between these two organelles [142]. A structure called the ER-to-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC), which is independent of ER exit sites (ERESs) and the Golgi, mediates the anterograde and retrograde transport between these two organelles [143] (Figure 4). However, in the 1970s, the tubules of the smooth ER were found to extend to the Golgi compartment in neurons [144]. In rat Sertoli cells, the ER cisternae and Golgi vesicles were demonstrated to have membrane interactions [145], and since then, the concept of ER-Golgi contact sites has been proposed. At ER-Golgi contact sites, the average distance between the membranes is 12 nm, and 55% to 60% of Golgi stacks are engaged [146]. However, there are relatively few studies related to ER-Golgi contact site formation, and those have mainly focused on lipid exchange.

As scaffold proteins, VAPs play important roles in the organization of ER-Golgi contact sites. In VAP-depleted cells, the distribution of the Golgi is significantly distant from the perinuclear region, and ER-Golgi contact sites induced by 25OH are disrupted. Additionally, when VAPs are depleted, various types of lipid transfer proteins, such as OSBP (oxysterol-binding protein), CERT (ceramide transfer protein), and Nir2, lose their ability to localize at the Golgi, and the levels of PtdIns4P, sphingomyelin and diacylglycerol decrease [147, 148]. Therefore, VAPs play important roles in the organization of ER-Golgi contact sites and are responsible for the recruitment of OSBP, CERT (ceramide transfer), and Nir2.
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OSBP1 is a lipid transporter that regulates lipid metabolism. OSBP1 plays important roles in tethering the ER and the Golgi by virtue of its FFAT motif and PH domain to bind VAPs on the ER and PtdIns4P on the Golgi, respectively. Under treatment with 25OH, OSBP1 translocates to the perinuclear region where VAPs also accumulate, and extensive ER-Golgi contact sites are have in turn been observed [146]. However, it is surprising to find that single depletion of either OSBP1 or ORP9 (oxysterol-binding protein-related protein 9) has no effect on ER-Golgi contact sites. Only combined depletion of OSBP1 and ORP9 dramatically influences the stability of ER-Golgi contact sites. When reducing the lipid exchange activity of OSBP1 by itraconazole in ORP9-KO cells, there is still little change in ER-Golgi contact sites [146]. Therefore, the cooperation of OSBP1 and ORP9 maintains ER-Golgi contact sites, but this action is independent of the lipid transfer activity of OSBP1, although the ORD domain of OSBP1 plays an important role in lipid exchange at ER-Golgi contact sites. OSBP requires 4 steps to mediate lipid exchange [149]: membrane tethering, sterol transfer, PtdIns4P transfer, and PtdIns4P hydrolysis. The PH domain and FFAT domain connect the ER and the Golgi, while its ORD domain mediates sterol transfer from the ER to the Golgi and returns a PtdIns4P molecule to the ER where phosphate is hydrolyzed by Sac1. This cycle drives the transport of sterol from the ER, its site of synthesis, to the trans-Golgi, against its concentration gradient, using the energy generated from hydrolysis of the phosphate from PtdIns4P. The consumption of PtdIns4P is in turn replenished by PI4kinases to support the next round of the four-step cycle. Therefore, OSBP1 sustains ER-Golgi contact site stability with the help of ORP9 and coordinates with PI4kinases to control the lipid composition of the ER and Golgi. It is interesting that Denisa Jamecna and his colleagues found that an N-terminal region of low amino acid complexity upstream of the PH domain is able to limit the OSBP orientation and density to ER-Golgi contact sites without any influence on its FFAT motif or PH domain [150]. This may be a switch to control the function of OSBP reasonably and fully in cells.

Additionally, the OSBP-related protein ORP10 [146] also contributes to the establishment of ER-Golgi contact sites, but it relies on its ORD domain with the ability to mediate lipid transfer of PS.

The yeast protein Nvj2p mainly localizes in the nucleus-vacuole junction, but it is also recruited to ER-Golgi contact sites during DTT-induced ER stress. Nvj2p depletion suppresses the number of ER-Golgi contact sites induced by DTT. The SMP domain of Nvj2p binds to ceramides; overexpression of Nvj2p results in rapid ceramide transfer [151]. Therefore, Nvj2p is responsible for the formation of ER-Golgi contact sites and for ceramide transport to the Golgi at these sites to prevent ceramide toxicity during ER stress in yeast. Its homolog in humans is HT008; expressing HT008 in yeast only partially restores the function mediated by Nvj2p [151]. It will be fascinating to explore whether HT008 in mammalian cells can form ER-Golgi contact sites and can coordinate with CERT to mediate ceramide transport.

In summary, the majority of proteins that re-involved in the formation of ER-Golgi contact sites are lipid transfer proteins. These proteins link the Golgi to the ER with the help of VAPs. Thus, the presence of VAPs is critical for the formation of ER-Golgi contact sites. The unique function of ER-Golgi contact sites is ceramide transfer. Excess cytoplasmic ceramide is harmful to cells; it induces cell stress and autophagy and arrests growth and causes apoptosis [152]. Ceramide in the Golgi can be acted upon by enzymes at the Golgi (such as sphingomyelin synthase 1 (SMS1) [153] in mammals and Aur1p [151] in yeast) and converted to a different type of sphingolipid (such as sphingomyelin and glucosylceramide) [154]. ER-Golgi contact site-mediated ceramide transfer is an essential nonvesicle transport pathway for promoting the delivery of ceramide from the ER to PM. In yeast, Nvj2p not only supports the formation of ER-Golgi contact sites but also mediates ceramide transfer. In mammals, the most important Nvj2p is CERT. This protein can be recruited to ER-Golgi contact sites in a VAP-dependent manner and can regulate ceramide transfer via its START domain [155]. Otherwise, the secretory pathway calcium ATPase 1 (SPCA1) has been discovered on the Golgi to take up Ca2+, in turn classifying and exporting secretory protein [156]. The mechanism by which Ca2+...
is transferred at the ER-Golgi contact site is similar to that at other ER-associated contact sites. In addition, do ER-Golgi contact site-localized proteins help maintain Golgi morphology? Does the formation of ER-Golgi contact sites regulate the function of the Golgi and ER? Do ER-Golgi contact sites mediate the physiological processes that regulate the dynamics of cellular membranes? Many unanswered questions merit further investigation (Figure 1 and Figure 4).

**ER-endosome contact sites**

Endocytosis is a dynamic process in which many kinds of macromolecules, fluids, and particles are internalized by vesicles and vacuoles. The endocytic machinery sorts and degrades a variety of substances to maintain cellular homeostasis (Figure 5). In mammalian cells, internalized components can be delivered to early endosomes (EEs), which are marked by EEA1 [157] and Rab-5A [158, 159]. Early endosomes are key sorting stations that determine the fate of internalized substances. These materials then reach late endosomes (LEs), which are mainly labeled by Rab-7A [158-160]. Late endosomes have the ability to fuse with lysosomes to become endolysosomes, which are the major compartments in cells that degrade proteins and facilitate the recycling of materials. When the majority of internal vesicles and limiting membranes appear in the endosomal lumen, late endosomes are referred to as multivesicular bodies (MVBs) [161]. Interestingly, endosomes at different stages interact with the ER via distinct proteins or lipids, which are called ER-endosome contact sites [162-164]. These interactions regulate Ca²⁺ and lipid transfer and even link to motor proteins to define the position and timing of endosome fission and to regulate endosome trafficking and maturation [165, 166].

EMC6 (ER membrane protein complex subunit 6), as its name suggests, is a transmembrane protein localized at the ER. It has been reported that Rab-5A interacts with EMC6 [162]. Under starvation conditions, EMC6 is upregulated, and dramatic colocalization between EEA1 and the ER occurs as a result. Likewise, Rab-5A also appears at the ER. This phenotype is reversed by the silencing of EMC6. Therefore, the Rab-5A-EMC6 complex becomes a potential candidate for the formation of contact sites between the ER and endosomes. As mentioned above, Rab-5A is a marker of early endosomes, and it regulates autophagy by controlling the activity of PI3K [167]. In EMC6-expressing cells, Rab-5A and EEA1 colocalize with the ER. This colocalization is reduced when EMC6 is lost, resulting in defective autophagy. Mutant EMC6, which still binds to Rab-5A but loses its ability to localize at the ER in U2OS cells, ultimately blocks autophagy [162]. Thus, ER-localized Rab-5A has a crucial function in initiating autophagy by forming ER-EE contact sites.

![Figure 5. Proteins involved in ER-LE contact sites in mammals. Both Annexin A1-S100A11 and EGFR-PTP1B establish contacts between EGFR-positive MVBs and the ER to regulate EGF signaling. Moreover, LE motility is controlled by Rab7-associated interactions (ORP1L and protrudin). ORP1L senses the reduction in the cholesterol level and then binds to VAPA in the ER to form ER-LE contact sites. However, this process promotes the dissociation of dynein from the Rab-7-RILP complex to control the minus end-directed motility of LEs. Protrudin is able to form ER-LE contact sites with the help of Rab-7 and lipids on late endosomes. At these sites, protrudin delivers kinesin to FYCO1 to regulate plus end-directed motility of LEs. The VAP-STARD3 complex and ORP1L are responsible for sterol transfer from the ER to endosomes at these sites.](http://www.thno.org)
EGF (epidermal growth factor) signaling, which initiates a series of signal transduction pathways, plays a significant role in the coordination of cell differentiation, survival, and motility [168-171]. EGFRs (EGF receptors) are the key mediators of EGF signaling. Once EGF binds to EGFRs, the ligand/receptor complex is endocytosed. Before the fusion of endosomes with lysosomes for degradation, the complex can be delivered into MVBs where the catalytic domain of EGFRs is removed to generate the EGF signal [172]. Furthermore, EGF signals can be sequestered by ILVs (intraluminal vesicles), a compartment within MVBs, to promote degradation or can be quenched via receptor dephosphorylation by protein tyrosine phosphatases, one of which is PTP1B (protein-tyrosine phosphatase 1B) [164, 172] (Figure 5). PTP1B is an ER protein that interacts with EGFRs in MVBs [173]. In EGF-stimulated cells, loss of PTP1B prolongs EGFR phosphorylation and decreases the number of contact sites between EGFR-containing MVBs and the ER, whereas in PTP1B-overexpressing cells, EGFR dephosphorylation accelerates, in turn increasing the number of contact sites. Mutant PTP1B, which binds stably to EGFR proteins, promotes the formation of ER-MVB contact sites and blocks the delivery of EGFRs to lysosomes in HeLa cells [164]. These data suggest that PTP1B exerts its positive effect on promoting the formation of ER-MVB contact sites with the assistance of EGFRs; in turn, EGFRs are dephosphorylated, and EGF signaling is terminated. However, it should be noted that the overexpression of PTP1B promotes ILV formation and that ILV-sequestered EGFRs accelerates the degradation of EGF signaling. Therefore, PTP1B may regulate the EGF signaling pathway two ways simultaneously.

Another protein, Annexin A1, is superior to PTP1B in mediating the formation of ER-MVB contact sites. Annexin A1 is associated with both the plasma membrane and MVBs and is considered a substrate for EGFR kinase [174]. It has been previously reported that S100A11, which predominantly localizes at the ER and ER-MVB contact sites, is able to associate with Annexin A1 to form a Ca^{2+}-dependent heterotetramer [174, 175]. Ablation of S100A11 or Annexin A1 prevents the formation of contacts between the ER and EGFR-containing MVBs, prolongs EGF signaling, and promotes ILV formation in HeLa cells, resulting in a phenotype similar to that resulting from depletion of PTP1B. EGFR tyrosine dephosphorylation induced by overexpressing PTP1B is also suppressed by loss of Annexin A1 [175], while Annexin A1 remains at the contact between the ER and MVBs in the absence of substrate-trapping PTP1B expression (Figure 5). Presumably, the Annexin A1-S100A11 complex serves as a link to attach MVBs to the ER and further promotes the interaction of PTP1B with EGFR to control EGF signaling. In addition, cholesterol is required for forming EGF-stimulated ILVs. Cholesterol is reduced in EGFR-containing MVBs in Annexin A1 null HeLa cells when cultured in lipoprotein-deficient serum media [175]. Hence, Annexin A1 participates in cholesterol transport, which is supported by Annexin A1-mediated MCS formation.

However, it is surprising that ORP1L (oxysterol-binding protein-related protein 1) acts as a cholesterol transporter to support EGF-stimulated ILV formation in the absence of exogenous LDL (low density lipoprotein) [176]. Under conditions in which endosomal LDL is deprived, an increasing amount of ORP1L can be recruited to ER-EGFR-containing MVBs to compensate for the sterol on the endosomes, which promotes the formation of ILV [175]. Additionally, ORP1L is also considered a cholesterol sensor to mediate the formation of ER-LE contact sites and regulates the minus end-directed motility of late endosomes (Figure 5). The RAB7-RILP-p150Gluled complex is a key component in this process. p150Gluled is a subunit of the dynein motor [177]. RILP (Rab-7 interacting lysosome protein) is similar to a bridge and links Rab-7 to the dynein motor [178]. The level of cholesterol is able to regulate both the formation of ER-LE contact sites and the movement of LEs. At high levels of cholesterol, the number of ER-LE contact sites is decreased, and LEs are distributed near the nucleus. ORP1L plays an important role in regulating this process. Under cholesterol-rich conditions, ORP1L interacts with Rab-7. The ORD domain of ORP1L is able to promote the association of RILP and p150Gluled, which benefits the plus end-directed motility of late endosomes (Figure 5). Under low cholesterol conditions, however, the conformation of ORP1L changes such that its FFAT motif is exposed, and the protein subsequently becomes bound to VAPA in the ER, resulting in the formation of additional ER-LE contact sites. Such binding causes p150Gluled to dissociate from RILP, so LEs do not move toward the perinucleus [179, 180]. Therefore, ORP1L cooperates with both the Rab-7-RILP-p150Gluled complex and VAPA to coordinate the formation of ER-LE contact sites, which control the minus end-directed motility of LEs.

In contrast, protrudin plays an important role in endosomal plus end-directed motility. Protrudin is an ER membrane protein involved in membrane trafficking and neurite formation [181]. Protrudin has a LCR (low-complexity region) and a FYVE domain, which binds Rab-7 and PtdIns(3)P (phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate), respectively. In cells overexpressing protrudin and GTP-Rab-7, there is
more protrudin on LEs accompanied by more ER-sequestrated LEs, as observed via CLEM (correlative light and electron microscopy), but GDP-bound or LCR mutant protrudin does not. However, protrudin with a mutated FYVE domain attenuates this phenomenon. Because of protein-protein interactions (protrudin-Rab7) and protein-lipid interactions (protrudin-PtdIns(3)P), the protrudin-containing ER can be recruited to late endosomes and can induce the formation of ER-LE contact sites [183]. Furthermore, LEs move toward the periphery of protrudin-transfected cells, whereas siRNA-mediated depletion of protrudin results in the accumulation of LEs in the perinuclear region compared to that in control cells, which is consistent with the effects of depletion of kinesin1 and FYCO1 (FYVE and coiled-coil domain-containing protein 1) [182]. FYCO1 is a motor adapter on LEs, while kinesin1 is a microtubule motor. FYCO1 interacts with the KLC2 (kinesin light chain 2) light chain and the KIF5B (kinesin-1 heavy chain) heavy chain of kinesin1 [182]. Via its KIF5B-binding site, protrudin also interacts with kinesin1 [183]. The interplay between KIF5B and FYCO1 is also reduced in cells where protrudin is depleted or where its KIF5B-binding site is mutated. However, KIF5B-FYCO1 interactions are strengthened in the presence of a high level of protrudin [182]. This suggests that FYCO1 at the ER-LE contact sites promotes the interaction of KIF5B and FYCO1. It has been speculated that the formation of ER-LE contact sites is mediated by the interaction between protrudin and Rab-7/PtdIns(3)P. At the contact sites, kinesin1, with the help of protrudin, can be delivered to FYCO1-associated LEs, resulting in the plus end-directed movement of FYCO1-positive LEs. LEs display slow and random motility when associated with protrudin and fast directional motility after dissociating from protrudin [182, 184]. Thus, the protrudin-Rab-7/PtdIns(3)P complex is the main tether that mediates the formation of ER-LE contact sites. These sites promote the cooperation of FYCO1 and protrudin to enhance LE motility and then facilitate protrusion outgrowth and neurite formation (Figure 5).

Additionally, TMCC1 (transmembrane and coiled-coil domains protein 1) localizes in dynamic ER domains that define the position of endosome fission, while Coronin 1c, which localizes on endosomes, is required for cargo sorting [163]. Depletion of either TMCC1 or Coronin 1c in Cos-7 cells decreases the number of FAM21-labeled ER-associated endosome fission buds, and the ER spends a reduced amount of time in contact with endosomal buds [163]. It has been revealed that TMCC1 may coordinate with Coronin 1c to recruit dynamic ER tubules to form contact sites with endosomal buds, which contributes to ER-associated bud fission and consequent cargo sorting (Figure 5).

STARD3 (StAR-related lipid transfer protein 3) is a lipid transfer protein that coordinates sterol metabolism in late endosomes. Its MENTAL (MLN64-N-terminal) domain is responsible for its localization and lipid binding [185]. STARD3NL (STARD3 N-terminal-like protein) is its associated protein. STARD3 and STARD3NL interact with VAPs and serve as scaffold proteins to promote the formation of ER-endosome contact sites, thus contributing to rapid and efficient sterol transfer from the ER to endosomes and further promoting ILV formation and altering endosomal morphology and dynamics [186] (Figure 5).

Early endosomes, late endosomes, and even endosomal buds contact the ER through the interaction of certain ER and endosomal proteins. Such contacts not only affect EGF signal transduction, lipid exchange and budding dynamics but also control endosome fission and motility. In addition to the TMCC1-Coronin 1c complex, research has shown that the spastin and ESCRT (endosomal sorting complex required for transport) protein IST1 complex localizes at the ER-endosome contact site to regulate ER-associated endosome fission. Defects in M6PR (cation-dependent mannose-6-phosphate receptor) sorting occur at the primary cortical neurons from a spastin-HSP mouse model and patient fibroblasts, disrupting lysosomal enzyme trafficking and causing abnormal lysosomal morphology. The failure of endosome fission mediated by spastin at the ER-endosome contact site is speculated to be involved in the potential pathogenesis of HSP. Additionally, protrudin and ORP1L control the plus end-directed (anterograde) and minus end-directed (retrograde) motility, respectively, of late endosomes. These components determine the fate of cargo wrapped in vesicles. Anterograde motility suggests that cargo is recycled or secreted, while retrograde movement delivers substances to the lysosome for degradation [187]. It remains largely unknown whether the initially formed ER-endosome contact sites influence the transport of substances from endosomes to lysosomes at later stages or subsequently affect the formation of new ER-endosome contacts.

**ER-LD contact sites**

Lipid droplets are lipid monolayer-enclosed organelles with abundant neutral lipids and several specific LD-related proteins. The formation of LDs relies on the ER. With the help of TAG (triacylglycerol) biosynthetic enzymes that reside at the ER, TAGs are generated and accumulate in the
intermembrane space of the ER (Figure 6). A change in membrane curvature subsequently leads to the protrusion (defined as lensing) and budding of the ER membrane, which forms the nascent LD. Following TAG synthesis in either the ER or in the LD, or upon LD-LD fusion, nascent LDs keep growing and develop into mature LDs. Last, LDs can be degraded by cytosolic lipases, autophagy, or lipophagy in response to different conditions [188]. It is widely known that LDs are responsible for lipid storage, but in fact, they can perform several other tasks. They take up excess fatty acids, alleviate lipotoxicity in response to cellular stress, and support the generation of energy. LDs directly contact the ER in two situations: the formation of nascent LDs from the ER and the formation of ER-LD contact sites. ER-LD contact sites facilitate the transport of enzymes, proteins, and neutral lipids, which are mainly required for maintaining the normal growth of LDs and the morphology of LDs and the ER.

Mdm1 (mitochondrial distribution and morphology protein 1) is an ER-localized protein in yeast and acts as an NVJ (ER-vacuole junction) tether. It contains an IMD (N-terminal integral membrane domain), which binds to the ER, and a PX (C-terminal phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate–binding Phox) domain associated with the vacuole [189, 190]. Interestingly, Mdm1 proteins mainly gather around NVJ at the perinuclear region and have been shown to colocalize with LDs, as observed via SIM (structure illumination microscopy). Excess Mdm1 not only promotes NVJ expansion but also increases the number of NVJ-associated LDs [191]. Mdm1 probably has a potential role in regulating LD biogenesis. Replacing the vacuole-binding C-terminal region with the PM-binding region from Ist2 enables Mdm1 to interact with the PM rather than the vacuole, leading to localization of LDs at close proximity with the PM and the wrapping of ER tubules around cortical LDs, which colocalize with seipin. Therefore, Mdm1 may form and define the ER-LD contact site. Moreover, Mdm1 also includes a PXA (PX-associated) domain that targets LDs and reversibly binds to FAs (fatty acid) in vitro. The PXA domain cooperates with IMD to recruit the FA-activating enzyme Faa1 to LD buds, thus generating and transporting a high local concentration of activated FAs to LDs [189, 190]. Such a process is able to provide sufficient materials to support the biogenesis and maintenance of LDs. Otherwise, it also moderates FA toxicity and maintains ER morphology during stress by converting extra FAs and then delivering them to vacuoles via lipophagy. It is interesting that yeast Mdm1 proteins are conserved in humans; their names are SNXs (sorting nexins) and include Snx13, Snx14, Snx19, and Snx25. Abundant ER-LD contact sites are observed in cells overexpressing Snx14, whose mutations are caused by a gene associated with autosomal recessive SCAR20 (spinocerebellar ataxia 20) disease. However, the mechanisms by which Snx14 and Mdm1 link LDs to the ER are different. In Snx14, an amphipathic helixin the C-nexin domain interacts with LDs and does not link to lysosomes. In addition, Snx14 is not required to provide a platform for Faa1. However, Snx14 may recruit the activating enzyme ACSL3 (acyl-CoA synthetase 3) to LD formation sites, generating a high local concentration of activated FAs [190]. This is an area that requires further study. In summary, Snx14, like Mdm1, may promote the formation of ER-LD contact sites and define the features of LDs.

Fld1 (few lipid droplet protein 1) is an ER transmembrane protein in yeast [192]. It has been shown that Fld1 and Ldb16 (low dye-binding protein 16) together stabilize ER-LD contact sites [193, 194]. Its homolog in humans is called seipin, which is an ER-resident lipodystrophic protein. In the absence of seipin, many relatively small LDs and few relatively large LDs can be observed [192, 195]. Moreover, in seipin-knockout A431 cells, the stability and characteristic neck structure of ER-LD contact sites are abnormal [195, 196], and the increased motility of LDs is independent of the ER [195]. ACSL3 is an enzyme that activates FAs and generates lysophosphatidic acid, diacylglycerol, TAG, and phospholipids, which are necessary for the growth of nascent LDs [197]. Under normal conditions, ACSL3 nearly completely colocalizes with LDs, while in seipin-knockout cells, it is confined at the abnormal ER-LD contact site. According to photo bleaching and “click” chemistry experiments [195], the biogenesis of neutral lipids and cargo delivery from the ER to LDs are effective at nascent LDs from seipin ablation cells, but for those preexisting LDs, such processes were impaired. Therefore, it is reasonable that part of the seipin pool localizes at ER-LD contact sites and maintains the structure and function of the ER-LD contact site where the ER connects with nascent LDs. Seipin facilitates protein and lipid transfer from the ER to preexisting LDs, which promotes the growth and maturation of nascent LDs. The mutation of BSCL2, the gene encoding seipin, is the common reason for Berardinelli–Seip congenital lipodystrophy 2 [198]. In primary fibroblasts from patients, the same phenotype can be observed [195]. Therefore, the abnormal ER-LD contact site may be a potential target for overcoming this disease. However, the typical feature of this disease is the lack of adipose tissue in patients, while the depletion of seipin results in the accumulation of smaller LDs. It is discussable, and
more research is necessary.

Rab18 is a Rab guanosine triphosphatase in LDs [199] and mediates the growth and maturation of LDs by facilitating ER-synthesized TAG transport to LDs through an unknown pathway [200]. Rab18 depletion results in abnormal LD morphology, a few supersized LDs, and numerous smaller LDs upon OA (oleic acid) treatment. The interaction between the GTP-bound form of Rab18 and the NAG-RINT1-ZW10 (NRZ) complex has been identified by pull-down and immunoprecipitation assays in TM-3 Leydig cells. ZW10 acts as a bridge to link Rab18 and NAG-RINT1 by its C-terminal region and N-terminal region, respectively [200]. Q-SNARE, which localizes at the ER, has also been recognized to interact with the NRZ complex [201] (Figure 6). The resulting phenotype occurs in the absence of the NRZ complex or SNARE is similar to that in Rab18-deficient cells, but the phenotype fails to be rescued by overexpression of Rab18 [200]. It is reasonable to speculate that the NRZ complex and its interactor Q-SNARE may be downstream effectors of GTP-bound Rab18 to control LD growth. Interestingly, the components of the NRZ complex and Q-SNARE can also be detected in the ER fraction of Leydig cells but are not detected in Rab18-depleted cells. An increased amount of LDs were associated with the ER when Rab18 was overexpressed, and the number of ER-LD contact sites significantly decreased when Rab18 was silenced [200]. These findings suggest that Rab18 is likely to recruit the NRZ complex and its ER-SNARE interactors to LDs to promote the formation of ER-LD contact sites, which may mediate lipid transfer between the ER and LDs to facilitate the growth of nascent LDs into mature LDs. In addition, DFCP1 (double FYVE-containing protein 1), a protein involved in membrane trafficking and early events in the generation of autophagosomes [202, 203], interacts with Rab18 cells treated with OA. Deletion of DFCP1 results in a phenotype similar to that resulting from Rab18 knockdown. Overexpression of DFCP1 results in an increased number of ER-LD contact sites, which could be inhibited by Rab18 deficiency [203, 204]. Hence, DFCP1 associates with Rab18 to promote ER-LD contact sites, which in turn affects the morphology, diameter, and maturation of LDs under nutrient-rich conditions.

Additionally, both FATP1 (fatty acid transport protein 1) and DGAT2 (diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 2) [205] contribute to LD expansion. Surprisingly, based on the results from co-immunoprecipitation assays, FATP1 was found to interact with DGAT2 in HEK293T cells. This physical interaction drives them to ER-LD contact sites, where they coordinately promote LD expansion. As a member of the OSBP family, ORP2 [206] is able to bind LDs. It contains an FFAT-binding motif that binds to VAPs to short the distance between the ER and LDs, thus balancing TAG synthesis and TAG hydrolysis. VMP1 [207], an ER-resident multiple
transmembrane protein, exerts a negative regulatory effect on the formation of ER-LD contact sites, thus preventing the biogenesis of aberrant LDs in a SERCA2- and CaM-dependent pathway (Figure 6).

In summary, different proteins that mediate the formation of ER-LD contact sites have diverse functions. For example, the main function of Mdm1 is to control LD biogenesis and define LD budding sites, while Rab18 and seipin tend to work on LD growth and maturation. They regulate LD shape, size, and localization and subsequently can maintain the normal function of LDs in response to cell stress. What function occurs in response to different energetic stresses? Whether and how does it regulate the dynamics and function of the ER? How does it regulate the occurrence and development of certain diseases? These mysteries of ER-LD contact sites have not been solves.

**ER-ribosome contact sites**

Of note, there's one form of contact site that is relatively less studied. Some parts of the ER are covered with ribosomes on the surface, which is called the rough endoplasmic reticulum (RER), and is commonly found in secretory cells [208]. The SR (signal recognition particle receptor)-SRP (signal recognition particle)-RNC (ribosome-nascent chain complex) complex plays a significant role in the recruitment of ribosomes to the ER. SRP recognizes the signal sequence from nascent peptides, and binds to the SR on the ER surface, then recruits the RNC to the ER. Subsequently, RNC can be transferred to Sec61β (protein transport protein Sec61 subunit beta) translocon in a GTP-dependent pathway to initiate the translation of proteins. Finally, ribosomes are released from the ER to the cytosol at the end of the translation [209, 210]. It has been reported that LRRC59 (leucine-rich-repeat-containing59) [209] and ribosomal protein L7 [211] perform functions associated with the retention of ribosomes on the ER after the translation terminate. However, what proteins are structurally mediating the direct contacts between the ER and ribosomes remain largely unknown.

**Conclusion**

The extensive tubular network of the ER penetrates the whole cell and establishes intimate relationships with multiple membranes and organelles, such as the plasma membrane, mitochondria, endosomes, and lipid droplets. Such nonvesicular transport pathways strengthen the molecular connections and delivery of signals between these organelles and further regulate a series of essential cellular processes in response to a diverse array of normal physiological stimuli and cell stresses. In this review, we have presented a large group of molecules that function at these ER-associated contact sites, which play multiple roles in different physiological and pathological conditions.

Abnormal ER-associated contact sites have been identified in various diseases. Altered MAMs have been observed in Parkinson’s disease [212], Alzheimer’s disease [213], and Wolfram syndrome type 2 [134]. Mutant VPS13C [214] in ER-endosome contact sites is responsible for Parkinson’s disease, while Seipin [192] in ER-LD contact sites contributes to Berardinelli-Seip congenital lipodystrophy type 2. Abnormal formation of contact sites may be a new disease mechanism, and these sites may be potential targets for therapeutic strategies.

Recently, two proximity labeling tools (Contact-ID and Split-Turbo ID) were developed and over 100 proteins were identified at MAMs in live cells [84, 127]. Contact-ID, a split-BioID method, which specifically biotinylates the proteins localized at MAMs; they are subsequently identified by mass spectrometry. This method can be also utilized to profile the local proteome at any organelle-membrane contact site in live cells, thus providing a very powerful tool to study contact proteome. Split-Turbo ID, a promiscuous biotinylating enzyme, split into two inactive fragments, which can then be brought together by organelle contact through protein-protein interaction or by drugs to reconstitute its enzymatic activity. It thus provides a valuable tool for conditional or higher-specificity proximity labeling method. In the future, combining high-resolution techniques (especially electron microscope) and the proximity-based labeling methods with proteomics will provide a convenient way to discover novel molecules involved in ER-associated contact sites that mediate biological processes. Clearer working models of vesicular and nonvesicular transport, synergism or antagonism of different molecules in the same contact sites, and the different diseases related to contact sites await further exploration.
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