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Abstract

Background: Stuck mechanical heart valves had a debate about the management plan. There is debate regarding the type, dose, and rate of administration of various thrombolytic agents. We report a case with successful thrombolysis using an ultraslow regimen.

Case summary: A 43-year-old female with a history of aortic valve (AV) and mitral valve replacement (bi-leaflet metallic valves), and tricuspid valve repair (MINI band) at October 2017. Physical examination showed normal metallic first heart sound and weak metallic second heart sound. Laboratory investigations were normal except low INR, hematocrit, and hemoglobin level (9 gm/L due to iron deficiency anemia). Transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) and Transoesophageal echocardiogram (TEE) confirmed stuck aortic valve leaflet, with a high mean pressure gradient across prosthetic AV (34 mmHg). The mechanical mitral valve was working well. Fluoroscopy showed stuck one of the AV leaflets in a closed position. The treating physician decided to give her the chance for thrombolytic therapy. This case was treated with ultraslow thrombolytic therapy (Alteplase, 1 mg, every hour) with follow up transthoracic echocardiogram every 24 h to check the pressure gradient on the AV. She was young, asymptomatic, and hemodynamically stable. After 48 h of Alteplase, the stuck leaflet was released. The mean pressure gradient dropped to 16 mmHg.

Discussion: Ultraslow thrombolytic regimen advised to be tried in stuck mechanical valves and hemodynamically stable patients.
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1. Introduction

Strict adherence to oral anticoagulation is one of the major limitations of prosthetic valves. The rate of prosthetic valve thrombosis (PVT) was estimated to be between 0.03% and 0.13% per patient-year [1]. The mortality rates reported being from 6% to 69% (average 12%) [2,3]. Multiple thrombolytic regimens have been developed to improve the outcome. There is debate regarding the type, dose, and rate of administration of various thrombolytic agents. There is no clear consensus about the predictors of thrombolytic therapy complications especially major bleeding. Direct comparison of fibrinolysis with surgery is difficult, so, current guidelines depend on expert opinions and non-randomized trials with no class IA recommendations being given. AHA/ACC 2017 guidelines mentioned that slow-infusion fibrinolytic therapy has higher success rates and lower complication rates than prior high-dose regimens and is effective in patients previously thought to require urgent surgical intervention [4]. ESC/EACTS 2017 guidelines
mentioned that urgent or emergency valve replacement is recommended for obstructive thrombosis in critically ill patients without serious comorbidity, I C. Fibrinolysis should be considered when surgery is not available or is very high risk, II a C [5]. Society of Heart Valve Disease has recommended fibrinolysis as first-line therapy in the absence of a contraindication [6]. We present a case of successful thrombolysis of stuck aortic valve using the ultraslow infusion of alteplase.

2. Case description

A 43-year-old female patient with a history of rheumatic heart disease causing aortic valve (AV) and mitral valve (MV) stenosis which was treated with AV and MV replacement (bi-leaflet metallic valves), and tricuspid valve repair (MINI band) at October 2017. She had persistent atrial fibrillation. The patient had a history of left leg embolectomy in 2017 and history of old left atrial appendage thrombus. The patient was admitted from the outpatient clinic due to a sub-therapeutic international normalized ratio (INR: 1.48) because the patient was missing her follow up appointments.

Physical examination showed alert and oriented patient, looked well, not dyspneic or tachycardic, vitally stable, pulse was 69 b/min and irregular. Cardiological examination showed normal metallic first heart sound and weak metallic second heart sound. There were ejection systolic murmur grade III/VI and no additional sounds. The chest was clear and there was no lower limb edema.

Laboratory investigations were normal except for low INR, hematocrit, and hemoglobin level (9 gm/L due to iron deficiency anemia). The electrocardiogram showed controlled atrial fibrillation.

On TTE parasternal long-axis view, flow acceleration at the lower half of the AV was seen, while no flow was seen in the upper half (Fig. 1A). On TEE left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) view showed accelerated flow in the upper part of AV (Fig. 1B), and on modified long axis view the color flow was only from one half of the AV (Fig. 1C). X-plan at LVOT showed one moving AV leaflet (Fig. 1D).

Fluoroscopy confirmed this diagnosis clarifying stuck one of the AV leaflets in a closed position (Fig. 2A). However, fluoroscopy will not be helpful in identifying non-obstructive PVT or differentiating pannus from thrombus [7]. Continuous doppler flow on AV showed the mean PG of 34 mmHg
The heart team meeting opinion divided, some advised open heart surgery for AVR while others advised thrombolytic therapy. The cardiac surgeon was ready to take the patient to the operation room for aortic valve replacement. The treating physician decided to give her the chance for thrombolytic therapy. This case was treated with ultraslow thrombolytic therapy (Alteplase, 1 mg, every one hour) with follow up transthoracic echocardiogram every 24 h to check the pressure gradient on the AV. She was young, asymptomatic, and hemodynamically stable. After 48 h of Alteplase, the stuck leaflet was released (Fig. 2C).

The transthoracic parasternal long-axis view showed the color flow acceleration through both AV leaflets (Fig. 3A). The mean pressure gradient dropped to 16 mmHg. (Fig. 3B).

The patient discharged and follow up TTE after 3 months showed a normally functioning both mechanical valves.

3. Discussion

It is strange for the high flow aortic valve to be stuck while the low flow MV is normal. Although this is infrequent situation, it is reported in many cases including our case. The most common cause of mechanical valve dysfunction is thrombosis especially in the setting of low INR. In the mechanical AV, it is difficult to visualize the thrombus by echocardiogram but we can expect the presence of thrombus from leaflet stuck in closed or opened position.

Karthikeyan et al. searched EMBASE and MEDLINE up to 2012 and included seven eligible studies with 690 episodes of PVT, 446 treated with surgery, and 244 with fibrinolytic therapy (FT). They concluded that urgent surgery was not superior to fibrinolytic therapy at restoring valve function, but substantially reduced the occurrence of thromboembolic events, major bleeding, and recurrent PVT [8]. However, those results need to be confirmed with randomized controlled trials.

The problem with thrombolytic therapy is the complications mainly the bleeding and thromboembolism. The theory was if we lower the dose of the thrombolytic agent and prolong the duration of infusion, we may decrease the complication rates to be lower than surgical intervention. TROIA trial enrolled 182 patients with PVT and compared 5 different thrombolytic regimens [9]. The endpoints were a thrombolytic success, in-hospital mortality, and nonfatal complication rates. TROIA investigators concluded that Low-dose slow infusion of t-PA repeated as needed without a bolus provides
effective and safe thrombolysis in patients with prosthetic valve thrombosis [9].

The Ultra-slow PROMETEE trial enrolled 114 patients with PVT. Ultraslow infusion (25 h) of low-dose (25 mg) t-PA, as the FT regimen, was used in all patients. The overall success rate of FT was 90%. The overall complication rate was 6.7% (3.3% nonfatal major, 2.5% minor, and 0.8% death). The predictors of complications were the presence of atrial fibrillation, higher NYHA class, and thrombus area [10]. They concluded that Ultraslow (25 h) infusion of low-dose (25 mg) t-PA without bolus appears to be associated with quite low nonfatal complications and mortality for PVT patients without loss of effectiveness, except for those with NYHA class IV [10]. The PROMETTE investigators hypothesized that further prolongation of the FT regimen could be associated with lower complication rates. Ultraslow dose thrombolytic therapy can be given up to 8 days as soon as the patient is stable. Failure of thrombolysis is considered if the leaflet remained stuck after 8 days. After thrombolysis failure, surgery should be the only option.

This case is consistent with most of those trials. We recommend starting an ultraslow regimen of thrombolysis for stuck mechanical valves in hemodynamically stable patients.

Conclusion: Ultraslow thrombolytic regimen should be tried for stuck mechanical heart valves before surgery in hemodynamically stable patients. Meticulous transthoracic echocardiogram follow up for mechanical valves with low threshold transesophageal echocardiogram for patients with elevated gradient is recommended.
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