Mechanical Strain Induces Transcriptomic Reprogramming of Saphenous Vein Progenitors
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Intimal hyperplasia is the leading cause of graft failure in aortocoronary bypass grafts performed using human saphenous vein (SV). The long-term consequences of the altered pulsatile stress on the cells that populate the vein wall remains elusive, particularly the effects on saphenous vein progenitors (SVPs), cells resident in the vein adventitia with a relatively wide differentiation capacity. In the present study, we performed global transcriptomic profiling of SVPs undergoing uniaxial cyclic strain in vitro. This type of mechanical stimulation is indeed involved in the pathology of the SV. Results showed a consistent stretch-dependent gene regulation in cyclically strained SVPs vs. controls, especially at 72 h. We also observed a robust mechanically related overexpression of Adhesion Molecule with Ig Like Domain 2 (AMIGO2), a cell surface type I transmembrane protein involved in cell adhesion. The overexpression of AMIGO2 in stretched SVPs was associated with the activation of the transforming growth factor β pathway and modulation of intercellular signaling, cell-cell, and cell-matrix interactions. Moreover, the increased number of cells expressing AMIGO2 detected in porcine SV adventitia using an in vivo arterialization model confirms the upregulation of AMIGO2 protein by the arterial-like environment. These results show that mechanical stress promotes SVPs’ molecular phenotypic switching and increases their responsiveness to extracellular environment alterations, thus prompting the targeting of new molecular effectors to improve the outcome of bypass graft procedure.
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INTRODUCTION

Bypass grafting surgery is the main treatment for coronary artery disease, which represents the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the industrialized western world (1, 2). Every year about one million surgical revascularization procedures are performed worldwide, and the saphenous vein (SV) remains the most widely used conduit as bypass graft (3). The reasons for this preference are the ease and rapid SV harvesting technique and its relatively higher length compared to radial or mammary arteries, which ensures enough supply for “multi-vessel” pathology (4). However, up to 20–50% of vein grafts will require intervention within 5 years due to the development of graft
steno-sis caused by intimal hyperplasia (IH) (5, 6). A better understanding of the development and progression of vein graft disease is crucial for the development of new treatments. The causes of the progressive thickening of the tunica intima of SVs, used as a coronary bypass graft, leading to IH can be partially identified in surgical mismanagement at the time of harvesting, for which recently has been introduced “no-touch” harvesting technique (7). A better outcome in terms of SV graft patency has been also observed when coronary artery bypass graft surgery is performed in off-pump mode (8). However, IH represents a long-term consequence of vein adaptation to coronary blood flow (8) consisting of a change from a constant pressure (5–10 mmHg) and a steady flow, to a counter pulsed 120/80 mmHg and pulsatile flow, with a circumferential strain of 10–15% (9, 10). Under these conditions, wall shear stress on the endothelial monolayer increases by four times, and the quasi-steady venous flow rises to a mean flow rate of 250 ml/min (10). Opposite to arteries, the SV wall is incompressible at high pressures resulting in a blood flow rate in SV bypass graft 5–10 times higher than in arterial bypass graft (11–13). As a consequence, the cells in the tunica media of the vessel are exposed to severe stretching causing an increase in proliferation, changes in the extracellular matrix, and phenotype switching (14–16). There is an increasing number of evidence about the detrimental effect of mechanical stress on the tunica adventitia and strain-related activation of cells dwelling in the proximity of the vasa vasorum of the SV’ bypass graft (17–20). In particular, it has been observed that paracrine signals, established in an arterial-like pressure setting, might activate a multipotent population of cells, known as saphenous vein progenitors (SVPs), which uphold differentiation capacity in aged cardiovascular patients (21). Of note, we recently showed that mechanical straining of SV-derived smooth muscle cells (SMCs) determines their transition from a contractile to a secretory phenotype with associated release of the matricellular protein Thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1), which consequently induces the migration and proliferation of cells with SVP phenotype (19). Given the importance of tissue mechanics for the progression of vein graft disease and the potential role of SVP in SV remodeling, in this study, we aimed to assess the strain-related modification of SVPs. Using an RNAseq-based approach we evaluated whether mechanical strain could induce a specific phenotypic modification of SVPs. To investigate stress-related phenotypic changes we exposed primarily isolated SVPs to \textit{in vitro} uniaxial mechanical strain. Genome-wide transcriptional alterations were examined using RNA-seq and analyzed for specific function/gene regulations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics**

The experimental investigation on human-derived SVPs was approved by the local Ethical Committee at Centro Cardiologico Monzino, IRCCS. Twelve patients recruited for the study were, females or males undergoing surgical removal of saphenous vein because of varicosity. All tissues used in the study are surgical leftovers. Exclusion criteria include concomitant neoplastic, infectious, connective tissue or inflammatory diseases, pregnancy. The Research Ethics Committee approved the study which was performed according to the ethical principles recorded in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and later amendments. All the subjects gave written informed consent to participate. The main clinical characteristics of the participants are included in Supplementary Table 1.

**Isolation of SVPs**

Isolation of cells for \textit{in vitro} experiments was performed as previously described, using two consecutive immunomagnetic selections to obtain CD31$^{\text{negative}}$/CD34$^{\text{positive}}$ homogenous cell populations from saphenous vein, known as SVPs (21). After saphenectomy, veins were washed in PBS containing Penicillin/Streptomycin 100 U/mL (PBS + P/S). Saphenous vein walls were finely shredded using scissors and digested with Liberase Blendzyme 2 (Roche) diluted 2 mg/ml in Dulbecco Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM, GIBCO) for 4h at 37°C. The minced tissue was serially filtered through 70 µm, 40 µm, and 30 µm cell strainers using PBS + P/S and then centrifuged at 300g for 10 min at room temperature. SVPs were isolated as a result of two consecutive magnetic beads-assisted cell sorting (MACS, MiltenyiBiotec) using a MACS MS column, according to manufacturer’s instructions. First selection was performed using CD31 magnetic beads (MiltenyiBiotec), in which the negative fraction (CD31$^{\text{negative}}$) was retained and subjected to a second magnetic sorting performed with CD34 magnetic beads (MiltenyiBiotec). After that, CD31$^{\text{negative}}$/CD34$^{\text{positive}}$ cell fraction was centrifuged and resuspended in endothelial growth medium EGM-2 (Lonza). SVPs were plated on fibronectin/gelatin-coated plate (0.1% fibronectin - 0.4% gelatin in PBS) 3 x 10^5 cells/cm^2 and cultured at 37°C in a cell culture incubator with 5% CO_2. Culture medium was replaced twice a week and once cells reached 80% confluency, they were trypsinized and split 1:3. Cells used in RNA-seq were between passage 3 and 5.

**Immunocytochemical Characterization**

To validate the identity of isolated SVPs we performed immunocytochemical characterization of the cultured cells for expression of neural/glial antigen 2 (NG2), platelet derived growth factor receptor-β (PDGFRβ), GATA Binding Protein 4 (GATA4), CD31, CD146 and α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) (Supplementary Figure 1). SVPs were seeded at 5x10^4 cells/cm^2 on fibronectin/gelatin-coated plate chamber slides, after 24 hours (h) cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% PFA in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. When required (GATA4 and α-SMA), the cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Non-specific staining was blocked with 5% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) (GIBCO) in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. Following elimination of excess serum, the cells were exposed to the unconjugated primary antibodies at 4°C for 16 h: NG2 (1:100, Millipore AB-5320), PDGFRβ (1:50, Santa Cruz SC-339), GATA4 (1:100, Abcam ab61767), CD31 (1:100, R&D BBAT), CD146 (1:100, Abcam ab75769), α-SMA (1:200, Dako M0851). After washing in PBS, the appropriate fluorescent secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor) diluted 1:200 in PBS was added to the cells.
for 60 min at 37°C. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 1 μg/ml for 10 min at room temperature. Photos of random fields were taken at 20x magnification with Zeiss Observer Z1 inverted microscope.

**In vitro Mechanical Stimulation of SVPs**

To investigate the effect of mechanical strain on cultured cells, SVPs were subjected to cyclic strain using the FlexCell Tension Plus FX-5000T system. Before cell seeding, six-well uniaxial Bioflex plates were surface-coated with human fibronectin (10μg/ml) in PBS after covalent crosslinking with a crosslinking reagent (sulfosuccinimidyl 6-(4'-azido-2’-nitrophenylamino) hexanolate; Sulfo-SANPAH) at 0.2 mg/ml in Hepes 50 mM (pH 8.5), photo-activated by exposure to UV-light (365 nm). Cells were subjected to uniaxial cyclic deformation protocol (0–10% deformation, 1 Hz frequency), for 24 and 72 h [according to a protocol established in (19)], while static controls were provided by seeding an equal amount of cells, under the same atmospheric conditions, but without mechanical stimulation (Supplementary Figures 2A,B). For imaging of mechanically stimulated SVPs, cells were fixed after 72 h of uniaxial cyclic straining with 4% PFA in PBS, and thereafter stained with Phalloidin-TRITC (1:500, Sigma) and DAPI 1 μg/ml (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at room temperature for 1 h.

**Total RNA Isolation**

For RNA-Seq analysis, total RNA was extracted from 5 different donors using RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). Cultured SVPs, approximately 4×10⁵ to 5×10⁵ cells per well, were resuspended in 700 μl of QIAzol Lysis Reagent (Qiagen) and residual DNA was removed by on-column DNase digestion. Total RNA was purified following the manufacturer’s instructions and quantified by using NanoDrop-1000 spectrophotometer before integrity assessment with Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (RNA Integrity Number values > 8).

**RNA-Seq on in vitro Mechanical Stimulated SVPs**

Next-generation sequencing experiments, including samples quality control and bioinformatics analysis, were performed by Genomix4life S.R.L. (Baronissi, Salerno, Italy). Indexed libraries were prepared from 500 ng/ea purified RNA with TruSeqStranded total RNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Libraries were quantified using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies) and Qubit fluorometer (Invitrogen Co.), then pooled such that each index-tagged sample was present in equimolar amounts, with a Qubit fluorometer (Invitrogen Co.), then pooled such that each indexed sample was present in equimolar amounts, with a Quality Number value > 8. Samples were subject to cluster generation and sequencing using an Illumina HiSeq 2,500 System (Illumina) in a 2×10⁵ paired-end format at a final concentration of 8 pmol. The raw sequence files generated (.fastq files) underwent quality control analysis using FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) and the quality checked reads were trimmed with cutadapt v.1.10 and then aligned to the human genome (hg38 assembly) using STAR v.2.5.2, with standard parameters. Differentially expressed mRNAs were identified using DESeq2 v.1.12. Gene annotation was obtained for all known genes in the human genome, as provided by GenCode (GRCh38.p7 release 25). Using the reads mapped to the genome, we calculated the number of reads mapping to each transcript with HTSeq-count v.0.6.1. These raw read counts were then used as input to DESeq2 for calculation of normalized signal for each transcript in the samples, and differential expression was reported as Fold Change along with associated adjusted p-values (computed according to Benjamini-Hochberg). Raw data of RNA-Seq that support the findings of this publication have been deposited in NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (22) and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE192712 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE192712).

**RNA-Seq Data Pre-processing and Analysis**

Upon inspection of quality control features with FastQC (version 0.11.8) [Andrews (2010). FastQC: a quality control tool for high-throughput sequence data. Available online at: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc] and MultiQC (version 1.8), the raw sequencing reads were trimmed to remove adaptor contaminations, using Cutadapt (version 1.18) with the following parameters: cutadapt-a AGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCAT -A AGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGAGGAAAGAGTGT –trim-n –pair-filter=any –minimum-length 20. Genome contamination screening was performed through FastQ Screen (version v0.14.0). The sequencing reads were then, aligned to GENCODE’s human reference genome (GRCh38 primary assembly v31) and quantified at the gene level using STAR (version2.7.3a), with default parameters and allowing up to 3 mismatches.

Evaluation of sequencing alignment data was performed through Qualimap application (v.2.2.2-dev) (23), using the following analysis types: Multi-sample BAM QC, RNA-seq QC, and Counts QC.

RNA-Seq analysis was performed using DESeq2 package (version 1.22.2) (24) in the R software (version 3.6.3) [R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/]. Samples were normalized for sequencing depth and RNA composition according to DESeq2 median of ratios method. Differential gene expression analysis was performed on genes that passed genefilter/DESeq2 independent filtering procedure (alpha = 0.05). Genes showing a BH-adjusted p-value ≤ 0.05 and log2FoldChange > 1.5 or log2FoldChange < −1.5 were considered differentially expressed (DEGs).

For visualization purposes, counts were transformed using DESeq2 regularized log-transformation. DESeq2 plotPCA function was used for PCA analysis. Samples correlations were computed using the cor.dat function from the stats package (version 3.6.3). Heatmaps were plotted using the heatmap package (version 1.0.12) [RaivoKolde (2019). heatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. R package version 1.0.12. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=heatmap] and volcano plots with
the EnhancedVolcano package (version 1.4.0) [Kevin Blighe, Sharmila Rana and Myles Lewis (2019). EnhancedVolcano: Publication-ready volcano plots with enhanced coloring and labeling. R package version 1.4.0. https://github.com/kevinblighe/EnhancedVolcano] (BH-adjusted $p$-value cutoff = 0.05, FC cutoff = 1.5).

Motif-based TF prediction was performed in Cytoscape (version 3.8.0) running iRegulon App (25) on the complete set of differentially expressed genes in the dynamic vs. static conditions, with the following parameters: Motif collection = 10 K (9,713 PWMs), Track collection = 1,120 ChIP-seq tracks (ENCOD raw signals), min NEScore = 2, ROC threshold for AUC calculation (% = 3), max FDR = 0.05, Motif rankings database = 20 kb centered around TSS (7 species), Track rankings database = 20 kb centered around TSS (ChIP-seq derived).

DEGs from each contrast and the corresponding logFCs and adjusted $p$-values were uploaded to Ingenuity Pathways Analysis (IPA) software (version 60467501) (QIAGEN Inc.) (26). Core Analysis was performed using default settings: only protein-protein interaction networks, upstream regulators networks and Diseases and Biological Functions enriched pathways involving AMIGO2 were considered, along with evaluation of the IPA TGF-$\beta$ signaling canonical pathway. Bubble plots were produced using ggplot2 package (version 3.3.2) [H. Wickham. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York, 2016.] in R.

Pathway enrichment analysis on DEGs at 24h and 72h was performed using Metascape (27) (Express Analysis), only pathways involving AMIGO2 gene were considered.

Porcine in vivo Model of Vein Graft Remodeling

In vivo studies were performed with Large White-Landrace cross pigs (weight 25 to 30 kg) using the saphenous vein-to-carotid artery interposition grafting model previously described (28). This investigation was performed in accordance with the Home Office Guidance on the operation of the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (HMSO, London, UK; PPL numbers 30/2585 and 30/3064) and was compliant with the EU Directive 2010/63/EU and principles stated in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, 1996).

The animals were subjected to unilateral or bilateral autologous saphenous vein (SV) into common carotid artery bypass grafting. In brief, pigs were anesthetized with ketamine (Ketaset, 100 mg/mL), intubated, and maintained on 1–3% halothane under spontaneous ventilation. The animal was heparinized by intravenous administration of 100 IU/kg of heparin. The long saphenous vein was harvested from the hind leg using the “no touch” technique (29), rinsed in a saline solution containing 2 IU/mL heparin and 50 $\mu$g/mL glycercyl trinitrate, and stored in the same solution at room temperature until needed. A 3-cm length of the vein was placed as an interposition graft to the internal carotid artery using continuous 7/0 Surgipro sutures. The flow was re-established and checked using a hand-held Doppler flow meter (Multidoplex II (model MD2), Huntleigh Diagnostics Ltd, Cardiff, UK), and the animals were given antibiotic (ampicillin) and analgesic (buprenorphine) before and during recovery. The pigs were anesthetized and the SV grafts were collected at the established time points (1, 3, 7, 14, and 90 days), followed by euthanasia using an intra-cardiac overdose of pentobarbital. The grafts were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 16h and then embedded in paraffin for immunohistochemical analyses. Paraffin-embedded tissue sections were dehydrated and after blocking with 6% BSA, incubated for 16h at 4°C with the primary antibodies: AMIGO2 (1:100, Abcam ab84416) and $\alpha$-SMA (1:150, Dako M0851) to enable the identification between the medial and adventitial layer. Subsequently, sections were incubated with appropriate secondary antibodies diluted 1:200 for 1 h at room temperature. Nuclei were stained with DAPI 1$\mu$g/ml for 10 min at room temperature. Digital images were obtained using an ApoTome fluorescence microscope or LSM-710 confocal scanning microscope (both Carl Zeiss, Germany). Cells positive for AMIGO2, counted in 3 fields per section, were expressed as a percentage of cells in the whole adventitia. Measurements and quantifications were performed using ImageJ (version 1.46r, National Institutes of Health, USA). Differences between time points were analyzed in GraphPad Prism 5 using ANOVA with Newman-Keuls post hoc test, with a significance level of 0.05.

Ex vivo Mechanical Stimulation of Human SV

SV segments for ex vivo culture were supplied from the Department of Cardiovascular Surgery at Centro Cardiologico Monzino. The veins were obtained from patients undergoing coronary artery bypass operations under protocols approved by the Ethical Committees of the Centro Cardiologico Monzino (Italy). Mechanical stimulation of SVs was performed using a custom-made bioreactor tailored to reproduce the coronary mechanics. SV were harvested with a “no-touch” technique (7) and stored at 4°C in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% L-Glutamine, and 1% P/S. The ex vivo culture system exploited for veins stimulation was designed by Dipartimento di Elettronica, Informazione e Bioingegneria, Politecnico di Milano and Unità di Ingegneria Tissutale of Centro Cardiologico Monzino-IRCCS in Milan (30). This culture system allowed mimicking the arterial-like stimulation with a circumferential strain applied to the SV wall typical of the coronary circulation (31). Briefly, the arterial-like flow is accomplished in 4 independent phases: i) a loading step, ii) a pulsatile stimulation step, iii) an unloading step, and iv) a recirculation step. In the first phase, the vessel is filled with DMEM, 10% FBS, 1% L-Glutamine, and 1% P/S. During the pulsatile step, the medium is put under oscillating pressure between 80 mmHg and 120 mmHg and in the third phase the medium flows out of the vessels. The fourth phase is necessary to replace the medium inside the vein, thus maintaining stable nutrients and oxygen supply (17). The culture system was placed in a standard incubator at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere and for a culture period of 14 days. Then, SV segments were unmounted from the culture system, fixed in formalin 37% for 16 h, and then embedded in paraffin for immunohistochemical...
analyses. In brief, tissue sections were subjected to heat-induced antigen retrieval with 10 mM Sodium Citrate buffer pH 6.0 at 94°C for 30 min. Non-specific binding was blocked with 20% goat serum (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min at room temperature. Following the elimination of excess serum, the sections were exposed to the unconjugated primary antibodies at 4°C for 16 h: CD34 (1:50, R&D AF7227), AMIGO2 (1:200, Abcam ab84416), CD31 (1:50, R&D B8A7). After washing in PBS, the appropriate fluorescent secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor) diluted 1:200 in PBS was added to the cells for 60 min at 37°C. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 1 µg/ml for 10 min at room temperature. Photos were taken at 20x magnification with Zeiss Observer Z1 inverted microscope and reconstructed using Photoshop (Adobe) to obtain the adventitia-lumen image.

RESULTS

Cyclic Uniaxial Strain Induces Changes in the Transcriptome of Human SVPs

To assess the effect of mechanical stress on SVP transcriptome, we subjected cells to cyclic uniaxial strain (defined as dynamic, dyn, from now on) for 24 and 72 h compared to standard culture (static, stat) and performed RNA-Seq. This in vitro system allowed us to identify the early alterations in the transcription, underscoring the mechanisms associated. First, we conducted an unsupervised clustering of the results based on the top 100 most variables expressed genes in the different culture conditions. This analysis and principal component analysis (PCA) showed no stretch-related groupings among replicates (Supplementary Figures 3A,B). However, since the sequencing depth allowed us to quantify the expression of 28,624 genes that represent ~50% of the ones annotated for Homo sapiens and include genes expressed at low levels, results may be non-consistent when performing differential expression analysis. Therefore, we next conducted a selection of genes expressed at reliable levels according to DESeq2 independent filtering procedure. The four datasets resulting from this filtering were paired in four comparisons to perform the differential expression analysis as follows: 1) 24 h dyn vs. 24 h stat, and 2) 72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat assessed the effect of strain at the two different time points 24 and 72 h; 3) 72 h stat vs. 24 h stat, and 4) 72 h dyn vs. 24 h dyn evaluated the effect of time on the culture conditions. These comparisons led to the following number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs): 1) 103 DEGs (24 hdyn vs. 24 h stat), 2) 819 DEGs (72 dyn vs. 72 dyn stat), 3) 245 DEGs (72 dyn vs. 24 h stat), and 4) 72 DEGs in (72 dyn vs. 24 h dyn) (Supplementary Table 2). We used hierarchical cluster analysis to assess the relationships between the DEGs in the four experimental conditions (Figure 1). As shown, the heatmaps built using the four different datasets indicated a major prevalence of DEGs in the static vs. dynamic conditions at 72 h. In addition, coherent differential regulation of genes was already observed at an earlier time point in dynamically strained vs. control cells for 24 h (Figure 1A). Moreover, a time-dependent effect both in static and dynamic conditions was observed (Figures 1B,C). The higher number of DEGs resulting from the comparison between dynamic vs. static conditions at 72 h prompted us to further analyze the identity of the top-score genes up/downmodulated in this specific condition and to explore whether these genes were represented already at the earlier time point (24 h of stimulation). To this aim, we listed the 10 most up-regulated and the 10 most down-regulated genes in the 72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat condition (Table 1). These genes can be easily visualized in a volcano plot displaying the 819 DEGs arranged by fold change and Benjamini-Hochberg (BH)-adjusted p-value (Figure 2), they all had significantly higher expression (at least 1.5-fold more) than static controls along with a significant p-value (BH-adjusted p-value ≤ 0.05). In addition, Table 2 shows the top regulated DEGs in the 24 h dyn vs. 24 h stat conditions. Only five genes were present in the top regulated lists at both time points representing candidates consistently regulated by mechanical stress in SVPs: Adhesion Molecule with Ig Like Domain 2 (AMIGO2), Serine/threonine-protein kinase 38-like (STK38L), Caveolae Associated Protein 4 (CAVIN4), Growth differentiation factor 5 (GDF5) and Polypeptide N-acetylgalactosaminytransferase 15 (GALNT15) (Table 3).

Characterization of AMIGO2 Regulation Induced by Mechanical Stress in SVPs

Among the genes that appear to be involved in vascular biology/pathology, our interest focused on AMIGO2, a gene encoding for a cell surface type I transmembrane receptor, which is a member of a novel class of leucine-rich repeat (LRR) and Ig superfamily proteins (32). AMIGO2 was identified for the first time in 2003, as an adhesion molecule necessary for the development of the axonal tract of neurons (33). Later, numerous other studies have reported AMIGO2 expression in gastrointestinal tract cancers, revealing its anti-apoptotic and cell adhesion activities which bestow to the tumor cells a higher metastasis formation capacity (34–38). Therefore, considering that cell adhesion and migration are two of the main mechanisms involved in the reorganization of the SV wall during the formation of the neointima, we explored the putative functions of AMIGO2 in mechanically stimulated SVPs and the signaling that may lie upstream of its differential expression at a transcriptional level.

First, we validated the RNA-Seq results using RT-qPCR on the same RNA pools used to perform the RNA-seq and on RNAs isolated from SVPs of different patients subjected to uniaxial strain. Results confirmed the overexpression of AMIGO2 in the SVPs when mechanically stimulated (Figure 3).

We then investigated the regulation of AMIGO2 in SVPs under mechanical stress by examining the DEGs in all data sets, taking into consideration the AMIGO2-associated expression processes, the interactions with other proteins, and the biological functions in which it is putatively involved. First, through iRegulon motif-based prediction we identified 8 transcription factors (TFs) potentially involved in AMIGO2 expression regulation. Hierarchical clustering showed a partial grouping among SVPs samples after 24 h of strain, which becomes robust when considering the samples cultured for 72 h (Figure 4). Interestingly, 5 out of 8 TFs were related...
FIGURE 1 | Hierarchical clustering in the four comparisons. (A) Heatmap representation showing 103 DEGs in 24 h dyn vs. 24 h stat. (B) Heatmap representation showing 245 DEGs in 72 h stat vs. 24 h stat. (C) Heatmap representation showing 72 DEGs in 72 h dyn vs. 24 h dyn. (D) Heatmap representation showing 819 DEGs in 72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat. For all heatmaps BH-adjusted p-value < 0.05.
TABLE 1 | Top scored DEGs 72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat.

| Gene       | Log2 FoldChange | P-value BH-adjusted |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| SKIL       | 2.05            | 2.35E-23            |
| ENC1       | 2.19            | 1.82E-17            |
| AMIGO2     | 5.66            | 2.14E-12            |
| BHLHE40    | 1.91            | 4.50E-12            |
| F2RL1      | 3.35            | 9.19E-12            |
| TGFB1      | 1.66            | 2.58E-11            |
| CAVIN4     | 3.33            | 3.55E-11            |
| STK38L     | 1.63            | 9.84E-11            |
| DACT1      | 3.51            | 5.39E-10            |
| IL11       | 3.91            | 1.04E-09            |

| Gene       | Log2 FoldChange | P-value BH-adjusted |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| MRVI1      | −3.69           | 1.84E-17            |
| GDF5       | −2.92           | 6.60E-15            |
| LOMD1      | −3.39           | 1.04E-14            |
| VCAM1      | −4.51           | 2.52E-10            |
| KCNS2      | −3.08           | 2.79E-10            |
| GALNT15    | 3.91            | 5.39E-10            |
| ATP2B4     | −1.70           | 1.87E-09            |
| MBNL3      | −1.87           | 2.53E-09            |
| DTNA       | −1.90           | 1.18E-08            |
| SNOG       | −1.70           | 3.58E-08            |

FIGURE 2 | Top regulated genes after 72 h of mechanical strain. Volcano plot showing significant genes in the 72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat comparison. The top 10 upregulated and down regulated DEGs are highlighted. Genes are colored if they pass the thresholds for BH-adjusted p-value and/or LogFC (blue if BH-adjusted p-value ≤ 0.05, green if logFC < −1.5 or logFC > 1.5, red if they pass both thresholds).

to transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) pathways (RUNX1, PRDM1, SOX4, PPARG, and SMAD3), known for modulating mesenchymal phenotype acquisition (39–43). The TF CBFB acts in coordination with RUNX1 regulating the transcription of several genes, one of which is NOTCH3 (44). FOXD1 mediates gene expression of the cell during the reprogramming process (45). These activities combined with the negative effect of stretching on TEF expression suggest the switching of SVPs toward a proliferative phenotype (46). For a better understanding the effects of the mechanical stretching on the TGF-β pathway, we performed Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) at both 24 h dyn vs. 24 h stat and 72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat conditions (Figure 5). Through pathway enrichment analysis for TGF-β signaling at 72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat, we were able to identify 36 genes associated with the TGF-β pathway that were down-regulated and 45 genes up-regulated (Supplementary Figure 5). To show relevant relationships between modulated genes we performed IPA analysis in the complete dataset. The topmost identified networks are shown in Figures 6A,B. In both comparisons 24 h dyn vs. 24 h stat and 72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat, AMIGO2 expression was predicted to be controlled by NR3C1, the human glucocorticoid receptor gene, and NEUROG1, a regulator of neural progenitors’ differentiation (47, 48). Connections were also found for the mesenchymal oncogenes FUS-DDIT3 at both time points and for RASSF1 at 72 h (49, 50).
### TABLE 2 | Top scored DEGs 24 h dyn vs. 24 h stat.

| Gene       | Log2FoldChange | P-value BH-adjusted | Gene       | Log2FoldChange | P-value BH-adjusted |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|
| CAVIN4     | 2.91           | 1.23E-04            | ACKR4      | −2.32          | 1.23E-04            |
| AMIGO2     | 4.49           | 1.23E-04            | GALNT15    | −2.55          | 5.76E-04            |
| EDN1       | 2.91           | 1.58E-04            | ANKRD33B   | −2.48          | 8.57E-03            |
| KIA A 1755 | 2.68           | 4.60E-04            | PDE7B      | −1.55          | 1.46E-02            |
| MIR503HG   | 2.73           | 5.75E-04            | IFIT1      | −1.67          | 1.46E-02            |
| SMAD7      | 1.58           | 7.37E-04            | FAM107A    | −3.44          | 1.92E-02            |
| TSPAN2     | 3.17           | 8.21E-04            | TOX        | −1.76          | 2.89E-02            |
| COL7A1     | 2.42           | 1.23E-03            | CENPP      | −1.52          | 3.12E-02            |
| STK38L     | 1.73           | 1.71E-03            | ZNF367     | −1.74          | 3.80E-02            |
| ANGPTL4    | 1.62           | 2.78E-03            | GDF5       | −1.54          | 4.41E-02            |

### TABLE 3 | DEGs consistently regulated by mechanical stress.

| Gene       | Gene ID | Function                                                                 | 24 h FoldChange | 72 h FoldChange |
|------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| AMIGO2     | 347902  | This gene encodes a cell receptor involved in axon extension and migration. Also described as a pro-survival factor in endothelial cells subjected to hypoxia and regulator of tumor cell adhesion and formation of metastases. | 4.49            | 5.66            |
| STK38L     | 23012   | The encoded protein is a serine/threonine kinase 38 like, implicated in neuronal cytoskeletal development, neurite outgrowth and synaptic remodeling. | 1.73            | 1.63            |
| CAVIN4     | 347273  | Cavin-4 protein modulates the morphology of formed caveolae, results activated the extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway, influencing skeletal muscle differentiation, and to activate RhoA pathway, modulating cardiac function. | 2.91            | 3.33            |
| GDF5       | 8200    | This is one of the earliest genes expressed in the embryonic joint interzone, fated to give rise to joint tissues. Gdf5-lineage mesenchymal/stromal cells are involved in cartilage repair. | −1.54           | −2.92           |
| GALNT15    | 117248  | The encoded protein catalyzes the initial reaction in O-linked oligosaccharide biosynthesis | −2.55           | −3.9            |

**AMIGO2 Expression Is Associated With a Mechanical Strain-Mediated Phenotypic Shift in SVPs**

To gain further insight into mechanical strain-associated changes in SVPs in relation to AMIGO2 we analyzed the human protein-protein interaction networks by IPA (Figures 7A,B). In the 24 h dyn vs. 24 h stat comparison, AMIGO2 was found connected to NFkB complex which was predicted up-regulated by the modulation of DEGs present in the network. After 72 h of culture, the specific modulation of several genes, including AMIGO2, suggested an interaction with Akt, which was predicted to be inhibited as a result of the stretch-dependent changes in the transcriptional profile of SVPs. This finding was in contrast with what has been reported in AMIGO2’s activation mechanism in endothelial cells (ECs) (36), and suggests that in SVPs AMIGO2 could operate through different pathways, independent of PDK-Akt. Next, the effect of mechanical strain on the molecular and cellular functions of SVPs has been closely examined via Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of the 24 h dyn vs. 24 h stat and 72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat conditions. Table 4 shows the top 5 enriched pathways involving AMIGO2. The topmost function in SVPs stretched cells was cell-matrix adhesion (p-value 7.72 × 10^{-10}), along with chemotaxis (p-value 6.92 × 10^{-10}) and cell-cell adhesion via plasma-membrane adhesion molecules (p-value 2.07 × 10^{-10}), describing a potential switching of the SVPs phenotype toward migration. Ultimately, diseases and biological function-related pathways involving AMIGO2 were obtained through IPA (Figures 8A,B). We observed an increase in the number of functions identified prolonging mechanical stress from 24 to 72 h. For example, the Cell Death and Survival macro-category after 24 h addressed only the regulation of apoptosis and necrosis, but after 72 h we also detected activation of cell viability and cell survival pathways. Of note, the cancer-related biofunctions were the most associated with AMIGO2 at both time points, reflecting its potential role in tissue invasion and remodeling (34, 35, 37, 38). Thus, the investigations performed with iRegulon and IPA as well as the AMIGO2-related GO findings shed a light on how mechanical stress could produce a phenotypic switching in the SVPs, mediating their activation and
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FIGURE 3 | RNA-seq validation. Bar graphs showing relative expression of AMIGO2 in SVPs after mechanical stimulation assessed by real-time qPCR. (A) Analysis performed in the same samples of the RNA-Seq (N = 5); difference among groups are evaluated using one-way ANOVA for normal distributed values, based on the result of normality tests. (B) Analysis performed using SVPs obtained from a new set of donors (N = 7), statistical analysis conducted using Kruskal–Wallis test for not normal distributed values, based on results from normality tests. Data are presented as mean ± SEM of 2-ddCt vs static (Stat) condition of each time point, *p < 0.05 **p < 0.01 (t-test stat vs. dyn).

FIGURE 4 | Transcription factors potentially regulating AMIGO2. Hierarchically clustered heatmap showing differentially expressed TFs, that are predicted to regulate AMIGO2. The motif-based TF prediction was performed using iRegulon on the complete set of DEGs at both time points.

increasing their responsiveness to modifications occurring in the extracellular environment.

**Arterial-Like Mechanic of SV Induces an Increase of AMIGO2 Positive Cells Only in Long Term in vivo Model**

Given that the cyclic mechanical stress on SVPs produced a significant overexpression of AMIGO2 at the transcription level, we explored the effect of pulsatile coronary flow on AMIGO2 protein expression. This was assessed in two experimental systems that we previously used to validate Thrombospondin-1 as a relevant target of mechanical stress in the human SV arterialization process (19). These consisted of an in vivo SV arterialization model, performed by surgical SV interposition into carotid arteries in pigs, and of direct stimulation of human SVs using a coronary pulse duplicator that allows reproducing the mechanical conditions of the coronary circulation in vitro. Immunofluorescence staining was used to quantify the percentage of AMIGO2 positive cells in pig SV native conduits (T0) and at 1, 7, 14, and 90 days after grafting into the carotid artery (Figure 9A). < 40% of the cells in the SV adventitia expressed AMIGO2 at T0 (37.13 ± 5.84%, N = 3) (Figure 9B). This percentage remained relatively unaffected during the following 7 days after surgery. On day 14, the percentage reached almost 70% of the total cells of the adventitia (69.35 ± 3.52%, N = 4), but this was not statistically different from the previous time points. Only at day 90 post-surgery, we observed a significant increase of AMIGO2 positive cells compared to T0, day 1, and day 7. In addition, we successfully
identified numerous AMIGO2 expressing cells in human SV, both in the untapped conduit (T0) and following the application of a pulsatile pressure regimen for 14 days in ex vivo culture (Figure 9C). It is interesting to note that in both experiments AMIGO2 expression was not restricted to the adventitia layer, but was also detected in several cells in the tunica media, as well as ECs. Particularly significant was the detection of AMIGO2/CD34 positive cells in the proximity of the vasa vasorum, where the SVPs are normally localized (21). The quantification of AMIGO2 expression in SVs from 4 different donors did not highlight any significant difference in the comparison T0 vs. Day 14 (data not shown). These data consolidate AMIGO2 as an important effector in the response to pathologic mechanical stress. Thus, despite the early AMIGO2 upregulation driven by the mechanical strain at 24 and 72 h in the SVPs, the protein increase in the adventitia appears to be delayed when the entire vein wall undergoes pulsatile arterial flow.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we showed for the first time that mechanical strain specifically alters the transcriptomic profile of human SVPs. The validation of the identified molecules and the further investigation of the molecular mechanisms associated with vein arterialization in specific cells is fundamental to developing targeted preventive and curative strategies to combat the failure of bypass grafts.

The existing causal relationship between arterial hemodynamic and IH in vein grafts is long known and well-established (51). Experimental evidence demonstrated that when dissected veins are re-anastomosed to venous circulation they do not develop IH (52), while vein grafts transposed from the arterial circulation back to the venous flow exhibited the regression of IH (53, 54). Therefore, mechanical stress resulting from the coronary flow pattern is sufficient for the molecular setting of IH. Further validation of the detrimental role of coronary mechanical load on the integrity of the SV wall is provided by the significant reduction in IH and beneficial effects on vessel compliance exerted by external stenting or photochemical tissue passivation (20, 55). Recently we demonstrated the role of mechanical forces in the pathologic evolution of the human SV, identifying phenotypic switching of resident smooth muscle cells and the activation of TGF-b/Thrombospondin-1 signaling in the SV medial layer as the nexus between the fibrotic activation of vessel-resident cells and non-physiologic vessel perfusion (19). We also demonstrated that coronary flow mechanics endured by the SV induces progressive recruitment of SVPs from the adventitia and transition toward the medial layer. In the current study, we assessed the mechanical sensitivity of the SVPs themselves which, like resident smooth muscle cells, were

![FIGURE 5 | TGF-β signaling pathway map 72h dyn vs. 72h stat condition. Network depicting the regulation of TGF-β signaling cascade at 72h, as represented by IPA. The values underneath each protein (when expressed in the dataset) indicate respectively the logFC and the adjusted p-value from the DE analysis between 72h dyn and 72h stat.](image-url)
FIGURE 6 | AMIGO2 upstream regulators-gene interaction networks. (A) Network depicting AMIGO2 upstream regulators predicted activity at 24 h (24 h dyn vs. 24 h stat). (B) Network depicting AMIGO2 upstream regulators predicted activity at 72 h (72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat). The values underneath each gene (when expressed in the dataset) indicate respectively the logFC and the adjusted p-value from the DE analysis. AMIGO2 is circled in red.
FIGURE 7 | AMIGO2-protein interaction networks. (A) Protein-protein interaction network involving AMIGO2, identified by IPA analysis (24 h dyn vs. 24 h stat). (B) Protein-protein interaction network involving AMIGO2, identified by IPA analysis (72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat). The values underneath each protein (when expressed in the dataset) indicate respectively the logFC and the adjusted p-value from the DE analysis. AMIGO2 is circled in red.
responsive to mechanical stress and thus may participate in the pathologic programming of the vein wall.

Using a platform that enables us to perform uniaxial strain in vitro, we subjected human primary culture amplified SVPs to a cyclic elongation pattern with a nominal deformation (10%) and a frequency (1 Hz) compatible with the predicted uniaxial strain component acting in SV wall (19). We then conducted a genome-wide RNA-Seq analysis to observe whether this stimulus was sufficient to elicit a robust change in SVPs gene expression. Through the analysis of DEGs in the four comparisons, two times of stimulation (24/72 h) and two experimental conditions (static/dynamic), we identified the 72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat as the most powerful in terms of transcriptomic alterations, with 819 DEGs. Interestingly, the variation in the transcriptome of SVPs subjected to mechanical stimulation occurred progressively and led to the identification of a few transcripts that were robustly up/downmodulated by mechanical treatment, highlighting them as “top scores” in the differentially expressed genes with possible important roles in SV pathologic programming.

Interestingly, this transcriptomic alteration is SVP-specific and potentially occurs before the phenotypic changes induced by paracrine signaling (17, 19). Moreover, SVP response to mechanical strain is similar to what has been observed in smooth muscle cells and ECs when comparable mechanical stress was applied (56). Several studies have demonstrated that cyclic strain exerts an effect on cellular proliferation, but there is no agreement with regard of this effect increases or reduces cell mitosis (56). Our results showed the activation in SVPs of apoptosis and necrosis pathways after 24 h with the addition of necrosis of epithelial tissue, neuronal cell death, cell viability, and cell survival pathways after 72 h of mechanical stress. This rather conflicting data would require further analysis. However, in the physiological environment of SV subjected to arterial flow, the paracrine signaling seems to generate a positive effect on SVPs proliferation, mainly through TGF-β and TSP-1 (19).

Among the DEGs, we found particularly interesting the upregulation of AMIGO2 for its putative role in intercellular communication and cell migratory activity, one of the functions which appear to be activated in SVPs by mechanical straining. AMIGO2 was first described in the development and survival of the nervous system, although following studies discovered numerous activities related to its expression in different cell phenotypes (33–38). AMIGO2 belongs to the leucine-rich repeat (LRR) protein superfamily. LRR proteins share a common structural framework of 20 to 30 amino acids rich in the hydrophobic amino acid leucine (57). This family includes intracellular, extracellular, and membrane proteins with a wide range of functions such as cell adhesion, signaling, extracellular matrix assembly, RNA processing, and immune response. AMIGO2 acts as a cell adhesion molecule involved in signal transduction and, like other LRR proteins, functions mainly through homophilic and heterophilic interactions with proteins of the same family, i.e. AMIGO and AMIGO3 (32, 34). It has been reported that in ECs and in gastric adenocarcinoma cell lines the inhibition of AMIGO2 affected the ability to adhere to extracellular matrix components (34, 36). Moreover, Hossain et al. demonstrated the presence of AMIGO2 in human microvascular ECs and pericytes, pointing to an interaction between these cells in vascular remodeling (58). In mechanically stressed SVPs the up-regulation of AMIGO2 could confer a firmer adhesion to adhesion substrates but also enhance their sensitivity to modifications of the extracellular matrix. Since mechanical strain during IH induces the remodeling of LLR proteins (such as biglycan, versican, and decorin) (59–62), AMIGO2 could participate in SVP migration across the SV wall as observed in our previous study. This hypothesis is further supported by our GO analysis performed to identify the top regulated molecular functions enriched with AMIGO2 showing that in stretched SVPs cell-matrix adhesion, chemotaxis, and cell-cell adhesion via plasma-membrane adhesion molecules pathways were significantly up-regulated compared to static cultures, and by our unpublished evidences showing that mechanically strained-SVPs have a higher migratory mobility (Garoffolo et al., in preparation). Moreover, this finding is coherent to the invasive behavior that AMIGO2 expression provides to tumor cells in the formation of metastasis (34, 35, 37, 38). Furthermore, a protein belonging to the LLR family, TSP-1, was already described as SVP’s migration drive r (19). Notably, motif-based prediction of TFs potentially regulating AMIGO2 revealed the presence of 5 TFs involved in TGF-β pathway. Previous studies reported that arterial-mimicking pressure elevates the expression of TGF-β in the SV and that TGF-β directly influences both ECs and SVPs, increasing the expression of SMC/mesenchymal differentiation markers and proliferation (19, 63). In addition, the presence of AMIGO2 positive cells in the tunica media and not only the adventitia of the ex vivo stimulated human SVs and of in vivo arterialized pig SVs strongly suggest the involvement of the protein in a fibrotic process of the vessel wall controlled by mechanical-dependent

| Term | Description | -Log10 value |
|------|-------------|-------------|
| GO:0008742 | Cell-cell adhesion via plasma-membrane adhesion molecules | 2.07 |
| GO:0007160 | Cell-matrix adhesion | 7.72 |
| GO:00144089 | Positive regulation of cellular component biogenesis | 3.74 |
| GO:0006935 | Chemotaxis | 6.92 |
| GO:0015962 | Positive regulation of nervous system development | 4.88 |
FIGURE 8 | AMIGO2 associated functions. (A) Bubbleplot portraying a selection of “Diseases and Biological Functions” enriched pathways involving AMIGO2 from IPA analysis, in the 24 h dyn vs. 24 h stat comparison. (B) Bubbleplot portraying a selection of “Diseases and Biological Functions” enriched pathways involving AMIGO2 from IPA analysis, in the 72 h dyn vs. 72 h stat comparison. Pathways are organized by macro-categories on the x-axis and ordered by -log_{10}BH-adjusted p-value on the y-axis. The bubble size is proportional to the number of molecules involved in the pathway.
FIGURE 9 | AMIGO2 expression in vein graft remodeling. (A) Confocal microscopy analysis of paraffin-embedded porcine SVs for AMIGO2 (red) expression, nuclei are labeled with DAPI. Scale bar indicates 20 µm. (B) Bar graphs showing the percentage of AMIGO2 positive cells in porcine SV adventitia after grafting into the carotid artery (T0: N = 3, Day 1: N = 3, Day 7: N = 5, Day 14: N = 4, Day 90: N = 5; all data shown as Mean ± SEM, * = p < 0.05). (C) Confocal microscopy analysis of paraffin-embedded human SVs for CD34 (green), AMIGO2 (red), CD31 (white), nuclei are labeled with DAPI. Scale bar indicates 50 µm.

pathways. Finally, we believe it would be worthy to investigate if the proneuronal transcription factor NEUROG1 (64) and the glucocorticoid receptor NR3C1 (48) play a role in the SVPs differentiation toward intimal hyperplasia onset in the contest of mechanical stress.

In summary, our results concur to a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying the SV remodeling and reveal a novel target for future investigations. In particular, new studies are warranted to assess the regulation of AMIGO2 within the combination of mechanical and paracrine stimuli, such as TGF-β, to support the relevance of mechanically activated pathways in the onset and the progression of vein graft disease.
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