Differential recognition of oligomannose isomers by glycan-binding proteins involved in innate and adaptive immunity
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The recognition of oligomannose-type glycans in innate and adaptive immunity is elusive due to multiple closely related isomeric glycan structures. To explore the functions of oligomannoses, we developed a multifaceted approach combining mass spectrometry assignments of oligomannose substructures and the development of a comprehensive oligomannose microarray. This defined microarray encompasses both linear and branched glycans, varying in linkages, branching patterns, and phosphorylation status. With this resource, we identified unique recognition of oligomannose motifs by innate immune receptors, including DC-SIGN, L-SIGN, Dectin-2, and Langerin, broadly neutralizing antibodies against HIV gp120, N-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphotransferase, and the bacterial adhesin FimH. The results demonstrate that each protein exhibits a unique specificity to oligomannose motifs and suggest the potential to rationally design inhibitors to selectively block these protein-glycan interactions.

INTRODUCTION

Oligomannose-type glycans are key components of eukaryotic cells, prokaryotic cells, and many viruses such as HIV and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (1–5). In addition to playing a key structural role, oligomannoses have profound biological functions in maintaining cellular homeostasis. They facilitate the trafficking of lysosomal hydrolases through interaction of phosphorylated oligomannoses with mannose-6-phosphate (Man-6-P) receptors (6). Oligomannoses are also key components of protein folding and quality control in the endoplasmic reticulum (e.g., calnexin and calreticulin) and are recognized by a wide variety of endogenous lectins (1, 7).

Oligomannoses are functionally important in mediating immune recognition between the host and microorganisms. Expressed on human urinary epithelial cells, oligomannoses are ligands for FimH, an adherin located on the tip of the type 1 fimbriae of uropathogenic Escherichia coli, enabling the attachment and the subsequent infection of the bacteria (8–11). Oligomannoses on yeast and microorganisms, on the other hand, are pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), which are recognized by a variety of host innate immune receptors including C-type lectin receptors (CLRs), such as DC-SIGN, L-SIGN, Dectin-2, and Langerin (12, 13). Such interaction could promote endocytosis of the pathogens and production of cytokines and chemokines, leading to the activation of adaptive immunity. Oligomannose glycans are also antigenic. A group of broadly neutralizing antibodies (bNAbs) binds the mannanose patch of HIV gp120, and some directly target oligomannoses or hybrid N-glycans (14, 15). Despite being a key mediator in these immune recognition events, the precise oligomannose structures important for each interaction have not been fully elucidated.

Comprising a vast number of isomers varying in sequence, linkages, and branching pattern, oligomannoses represent an elusive class of glycans that is particularly challenging to characterize structurally. Negative-ion mode electrospray ionization–mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) with collision-induced dissociation (CID) or higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) holds great potential in structural analysis of complex glycans (16–18). It can produce cross-ring fragmentation and other diagnostic ions that are valuable in differentiating isomeric glycans (19–21). Negative-ion ESI-CID/HCD-MS/MS has been successfully applied to structural assignment of reducing glycans (17, 18, 22–26) and reduced glycan alditols (20, 21, 27–29). Fragmentation features of some naturally occurring oligomannose N-glycans have been partly described by Harvey (17), but it has not been systematically investigated whether such a method can discriminate closely related oligomannose isomers that differ only in linkages and arm positions.

Glycan microarrays are a reliable platform to study glycan recognition, but the number and the variety of oligomannose structures available to date have been relatively limited (14, 30–32). To unravel the biological and pathological functions of oligomannoses, it is highly desirable to have a robust oligomannose microarray that includes a larger number of structures representing diversity in the number, linkage, and stereochemistry and modifications of the mannanose residues.

Here, we describe a multifaceted approach that combines negative-ion mode ESI-HCD-MS/MS sequencing and glycan microarray to pinpoint the differential recognition of oligomannose isomers. With a comprehensive panel of oligomannose glycans, we developed a robust ESI-HCD-MS/MS method enabling the structural characterization of isomers varying in linkages and branching patterns. These sequence-defined oligomannoses were used for the...
construction of a comprehensive oligomannose microarray, which also included naturally occurring asparagine (Asn)–linked high mannose-type N-glycans and phosphorylated oligomannoses generated by chemoenzymatic approaches. Experimental studies using the oligomannose microarray developed here provide advanced insights into the binding specificities of mammalian innate immune receptors, bacterial FimH, and bNAbs against HIV. In such studies, we identified a trisaccharide that is a potential inhibitor of FimH. Overall, our results offer several translational opportunities as they can guide the rational design of inhibitors to block these oligomannose interactions.

RESULTS
To explore oligomannose recognition by glycan-binding proteins (GBPs) and their structural differentiation, we prepared a comprehensive oligomannose glycan library (fig. S1). Seventy-nine (#1 to 79) glycans were obtained through chemical synthesis, among which 67 were linear and branched oligomannose variants (#1 to 67) and 12 were high mannose-type N-glycans without the chitobiose core (#68 to 79). These, together with five control glycans (#80 to 84), comprised oligomannose library 1. Furthermore, we expanded this library using a combined enzymatic and chemoenzymatic approach to prepare high mannose-type N-glycans Man4 to Man9 with the chitobiose core linked to Asn (#85 to 90; detailed preparation is in Materials and Methods and fig. S2), the oligomannose phospho-diesters (#91 to 94; described below), and phosphomonoesters (#95 to 100). All glycans (#1 to 100) were included to comprise oligomannose library 2 (fig. S1).

With a large number of closely related oligomannose isomers, this comprehensive library comprised the reference structures for this study (Fig. 1). We explored the MS/MS sequencing strategy to identify diagnostic fragmentation pattern that can unambiguously characterize the oligomannose isomers. We also generated sequence-defined oligomannose isomers to assign the unique recognitions of these oligomannose structures.

**Structural assignment of linear and branched oligomannose isomers by negative-ion ESI-HCD-MS/MS**

We analyzed glycans within the oligomannose glycan library by negative-ion ESI-HCD-MS/MS, which is known to generate tandem MS spectra with diagnostic A-type cross-ring fragment ions, next to C- and B-type ions derived from glycosidic bond cleavage.

We first investigated whether negative-ion ESI–HCD-MS/MS can facilitate linkage determination in linear oligomannose isomers. Specific fragmentation signatures were observed for the five trisaccharide isomers and the four tetrasaccharide isomers (Fig. 2, A to E, and fig. S3, A to D). Accordingly, the spectra of the tri- and tetrasaccharides contain a set of C-type ions at mass/charge ratio (m/z) 179, 341, and 503. The α1,2-linked Man is accompanied by a series of peaks with a neutral loss of 18, 78, and 120 Da from the C-type ions, corresponding to the B, 0,4 A-h, and 1,3 A ions, respectively (-h denotes dehydration) (Fig. 2, A to C and F). Previously, 1,3 A was correlated with the substitutions on the 3-arm of high mannose-type N-glycans (17), which only contains α1,2-linked Man. The α1,6-linked Man is associated with a series of cross-ring fragmentations, 0,2 A, 0,4 A, and 0,4 A, with neutral losses of 60, 90, and 120 Da from the C-ion, respectively (Fig. 2, C to E). Among them, the 0,2 A and 0,4 A pair are more abundant than 0,3 A. The same pattern has been seen in 6-linked poly-N-acetyllactosamine glycans (26). By comparison, the α1,3-linked Man did not present distinct A- or B-type fragmentations, leaving the C ions the dominant peaks (Fig. 2, B, D, and F). The same rule also applies to tetrasaccharide isomers (fig. S3, A to D). Notably, these diagnostic fragment patterns (Fig. 1F) are similar to glucans of corresponding linkages (24).
Fig. 2. Oligomannose linkages and branching patterns determined by negative-ion ESI-HCD-MS/MS. (A to E) ESI-HCD-MS-MS product-ion spectra of five linear trimannosyl isomers TRI-007 (A), TRI-008 (B), TRI-009 (C), TRI-010 (D), and TRI-005 (E). The key fragment ions for linkage determination were labeled on top of each spectrum. (F) Summary of the characteristic ions and their fragmentation origins of 1,2-, 1,3-, and 1,6-linkages. (G to I) ESI-HCD-MS-MS product-ion spectra of two branched trimannosyl isomers TRI-006 (G) and TRI-011 (H) and the tetramannosyl glycan TET-015 (I). (J to M) ESI-HCD-MS-MS product-ion spectra of four Man7 isomers OLI-013 (J), OLI-007 (K), OLI-010 (L), and OLI-012 (M). The fragmentation pattern of each oligomannose is shown on top of the spectrum. The key fragment ions D and D’ ions are highlighted in blue. The label -h denotes dehydration. Other key cross-ring fragmentations are highlighted in red. To have a closer comparison, the low–molecular weight region (m/z 150 to 500) of OLI-013, OLI-007, and OLI-010 was zoomed in and shown in fig. S5, together with MS3 of m/z 827.3 of OLI-012.
We then sought to differentiate the branched oligomannose tri- and tetrasaccharide isomers. The D ion, derived from C/Z double cleavage, and the further loss of H₂O (D-h) are prominent for high mannose-type N-glycans (17). The branched oligomannose trimer TRI-006 with an α1,3-/α1,6-doubly substituted core Man presented a dominant pair at m/z 323 and 305 (Fig. 2G). They are accompanied by a peak 72 Da lower to the D ion (m/z 251), in accordance with a 0.1 A cleavage of the core. These three ions provide structural information on the 6-arm and are characteristic of α1,3-/α1,6-branched oligomannoses. By contrast, a trimannose isomer with an α1,4-/α1,6-doubly substituted core Man, TRI-011, presented a strong D ion, a less abundant D-h, and a strong cross-ring cleavage 0.4 A of the core with a neutral loss of 102 from the D ion (m/z 323, 305, and 221, respectively; Fig. 2H). The same phenomenon is also observed in the α1,4-/α1,6-doubly substituted pentasaccharide PEN-012 (m/z 485, 467, and 383, respectively; fig. S4A). In addition, a pair of peaks at m/z 443/425 and 767/749 is also prominent in the product ion spectrum of TRI-006 and PEN-012 (Fig. 2H and fig. S4A). They are characteristic to the 1,4-linked Man derived from 0.2 A and 0.2 A-h of the branched Man, respectively (26). With these diagnostic fragmentation patterns, we could successfully discriminate branched oligomannose isomers of tetrasaccharides (fig. S3, E and F), pentasaccharides (fig. S4, A to D), and hexasaccharides (fig. S4, E and F) that differ only in linkages.

We also analyzed the product spectrum of a tetrasaccharide with α1,3-/α1,4-/α1,6-triply substituted Man (Fig. 2I). The spectrum is dominated by the fragment ion at m/z 305, corresponding to D ion 162-18. This is likely derived from a loss of α1,4-linked Man subsequent to the formation of D ion (Z12Z12C2 cleavage), similar to that of the bisecting N-acetylgalactosamine (GlcNAc)—containing N-glycans, which have a 1,4-linkage but in α1,3/α1,6 configuration (GlcNAc-P) on high mannose N-glycans of lysosomal hydrolases. Although it is known that GNPTAB recognizes a specific protein conformation 

$\text{GNPTAB} \rightarrow \text{Man} - \text{GlcNAc}_2 - \text{Man} - \text{GlcNAc}_2$ respectively. Although the MS/MS spectra of both the singly and doubly charged molecular ions are dominated by fragmentation derived from the chitobiose core, MS 3 still yields information useful for structure assignment (figs. S6 and S7). The similarity between the MS 3 spectrum of Man6-1 and HEX-002 suggests the Man6-1 carries an additional α1,2-linked Man residue to the lower α1-3 linked arm of Man5 (fig. S6, C and D, and data file S1). Likewise, Man8-1 is assigned as Man8 (D1D3) based on the similarity to OLI-007 and OLI-010 showed m/z 251, corresponding to a disaccharide and monosaccharide fragmentions (D′-72) are the most abundant peaks in this region. OLI-013 and OLI-012 presented m/z 485/467 and 413, while OLI-007 and OLI-101 showed m/z 325/305 and 251, corresponding to a disaccharide and monosaccharide 6-linked to the α1,3/α1,6-branched core Man on the nonreducing terminal, respectively (fig. S5). Although relatively weak, these triple peaks are still identifiable with acceptable signal-to-noise ratio.

To corroborate the applicability of our method, we also purified two chitobiose core-containing high mannose-type N-glycans (designated Man6-1 and Man8-1) from ribonuclease (RNase) B. They are dominant isomers of the composition Man6-1 and Man8-1 from previous reports of the dominant isomers of high mannose glycans in RNase B (33, 34).

Collectively, diagnostic fragmentation patterns generated by ESI-HCD-MS/MS reveal linkage information and branching patterns in oligomannose glycans and can be used to discriminate isomeric oligomannose structures.

**Differentiation of high mannose-type N-glycan isomers**

While naturally occurring high mannose-type N-glycans have been studied by negative-ion ESI-CID-MS/MS (17), not all possible arrangements of mannose residues were previously available for comparison. We therefore investigated a comprehensive set of high mannose isomers ranging from Man5 to Man9 (see data file S1 for details). Although they are structurally complex, high mannose-type N-glycans are organized in a similar fashion, with an α1,3/α1,6 disubstituted Man present at the branching point. Thus, the overall pattern of these spectra looks similar. The four Man7 isomers—OLI-013, OLI-007, OLI-010, and OLI-012—are discussed as examples to illustrate the fragmentation patterns (Fig. 2, J to M).

The four spectra are dominated by a diagnostic D/D-h ion pair, indicative of the composition of the 6-arm. This ion pair divides the four isomers into three categories: 809/791 presented by OLI-013 and OLI-007 (Fig. 2, J and K), 647/629 by OLI-010 (Fig. 2L), and 971/953 by OLI-012 (Fig. 2M), suggesting a tetra-, tri-, and pentasaccharide present on the 6-arm, respectively. In addition, the high abundant $\text{D}^1 \text{A}$ ions of the α1,3/α1,6 disubstituted Man (m/z 737, 757, and 899; Δ = 72 Da from the corresponding D ions) and the C ions derived from the glycosidic cleavage at the 6-branched (m/z 665, 503, and 827; Δ = 144 Da from the corresponding D ions), further confirming the α1,3/α1,6-branched Man and the composition of the 6-arm. As these high mannose isomers have additional α1,3/α1,6-branched Man on the 6-linked branch, a closer investigation of the low m/z region, in combination with MS 3 fragmentation of either D, 0.1 A, or C ions, reveals more structural information (fig. S5). A second set of D/D-h (designated D′/D′-h) and 0.1 A fragment ions (D′-72) are the most abundant peaks in this region. OLI-013 and OLI-012 presented m/z 485/467 and 413, while OLI-007 and OLI-010 showed m/z 325/305 and 251, corresponding to a disaccharide and monosaccharide 6-linked to the α1,3/α1,6-branched core Man on the nonreducing terminal, respectively (fig. S5). Although relatively weak, these triple peaks are still identifiable with acceptable signal-to-noise ratio.

To corroborate the applicability of our method, we also purified two chitobiose core-containing high mannose-type N-glycans (designated Man6-1 and Man8-1) from ribonuclease (RNase) B. They are dominant isomers of the composition Man6-1 and Man8-1 from previous reports of the dominant isomers of high mannose glycans in RNase B (33, 34).

Collectively, diagnostic fragmentation patterns generated by ESI-HCD-MS/MS reveal linkage information and branching patterns in oligomannose glycans and can be used to discriminate isomeric oligomannose structures.

**GNPTAB is specific to characteristic oligomannose isomers**

Isomeric oligomannoses are valuable for investigating the sequence selectivity of glycoenzymes such as glycosyltransferases and glycosidases. An important example we sought to characterize is the specificity of N-acetylgalactosamine-1-phosphotransferase subunits alpha/beta (GNPTAB), which catalyzes the addition of GlcNAc-1-phosphate (GlcNAc-P) on high mannose N-glycans of lysosomal hydrolases. While it is known that GNPTAB recognizes a specific protein conformation (35), its specificity toward oligomannose glycans is unclear.

We investigated the enzymatic activity of GNPTAB in a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) peak-shift assay enabled by the conjugation to a bifunctional fluorescent tag, 2-amino-N-(2-amino-ethyl)-benzamid (AEAB). Compared to mock reaction, oligomannose conjugates reactive with GNPTAB show additional peaks (asterisk in Fig. 3A) in their individual HPLC chromatograms. Among all oligomannoses tested, three trisaccharides (TRI-005,
TRI-007, and TRI-009), a tetrasaccharide (TET-007), and a hexasaccharide (HEX-002) are good substrates of the enzyme. In addition, the reaction with TET-007 ran close to completion, suggesting that the 6-linked A arm is preferable by GNPTAB. Therefore, the potential phosphorylation sites on a Man9 template are deduced as shown in Fig. 3B (circled in red). Our observations are in accordance with previous reports that GNPTAB requires the γ subunit that is encoded by GNPTG for optimal enzymatic activity (36). Lack of double phosphorylated products, such as previously reported (37, 38), is also likely due to the involvement of the γ subunit in the addition of the second GlcNAc-P (36), which requires further investigation.

Together, our results suggest that GNPTAB specifically catalyzes the addition of GlcNAc-P on the A and C arms of oligomannose isomers, and thus, specific features of the oligomannose glycans are required for efficient modification by this enzyme.

**Oligomannose microarray construction**

To investigate the differential recognition of these isomeric oligomannoses, we conjugated AEAB (#1 to 79 and #91 to 94) and fluoresceinmethylxoycarbonate 3-(methoxyamino)propylamine (F-MAPA) (#95 to 100) to glycans to construct an oligomannose microarray (Fig. 1 and fig. S1). Whereas AEAB labeling is through glycan reduction, F-MAPA derivatization retains the integrity of their reducing terminal glycan (39). Probes prepared with oligomannose library 1 (#1 to 84) comprised oligomannose array set 1, whereas probes prepared with glycans in oligomannose library 2 (#1 to 100) comprised oligomannose array set 2.

Various plant lectins displayed specific interactions with mannose-containing glycans (40); thus, the oligomannose microarray is a useful platform for deciphering such interactions. We selected a few lectins as representatives to interrogate on the oligomannose microarray (fig. S8, A to E). The binding preferences of these lectins are identical on oligomannose array sets 1 and 2 (data file S2). As expected, Concanavalin A lectin (ConA) binds almost all glycans, while *Ricinus communis* I agglutinin (RCA) only binds the two biantennary N-glycans terminating in Gal or 2,6-linked sialic acid, which further confirms the quality of the array. Three mannose-binding lectins—GNA (*Galanthus nivalis* lectin), NPA (*Narcissus pseudonarcissus* lectin, Daffodil), and HHL (*Hippeastrum hybrid* lectins, AL)—display similar binding profiles on the oligomannose microarray (fig. S8, A to C and G). Previous studies reported that α-mannosides were inhibitors of lectin binding, particularly Manα1-6Manα1-6Man and Manα1-6(Manα1-3)Manα-O-Me (41). Many of the glycans with the trimannosyl core Manα1-6(Manα1-3)Man are strongly bound by the three lectins (i.e., #26 and 81). Our data also demonstrate that linear mannobiose glycans with a β-linked core GlcNAc on the reducing terminal are among the top binders (e.g., #10 and 16), demonstrating that the Man residues located close to the core are important for the binding.

**Characterization of the binding specificities of innate immune receptors**

Many innate immune receptors are known to recognize mannose-containing glycans expressed by hosts or pathogens (42, 43). With the newly assembled oligomannose array, we investigated the binding preferences of DC-SIGN, L-SIGN, Dectin-2, and Langerin (Fig. 4). As closely related CLRIs, DC-SIGN and L-SIGN were previously found to share similar binding profiles toward oligomannose glycans with branched trimannosyl core Manα1-6(Manα1-3)Manα1-R
... their binding specificities on the template of Man₉-GlcNAc₂ (high-mon structural motifs bound by individual proteins, we deduced shorter oligomannoses (#38, 46, 62, 54, 61, 47, 66, 65, and 19; Fig. 4B), (#70 to 79; Fig. 4, A and B). L-SIGN, in addition, strongly binds some nose array, and high mannose N-glycans are among the top binders (#43). Consistent with previous observations that the L-SIGN binding is mainly...
peptides become primary targets for human glycan-dependent bNAbs against HIV (15, 46–49). Although many bNAbs have been studied previously on glycan microarrays, only a limited number of oligomannose glycans were available. Thus, we investigated five of the best characterized bNAbs—PGT121, PGT126, PGT128, PGT145, and 2G12—on the oligomannose array.

Unexpectedly, all bNAbs bind a relatively restricted set of glycans except for 2G12 (Fig. 5, B to F). The binding profiles of PGT126 and PGT128 were almost identical and restricted to #77, 79, 64, and 66 (Fig. 5, B and C). Both antibodies bind Man9 in which all the A, B, and C arms are present (#79). Loss of the terminal α1,2-linked Man substantially reduced the binding of PGT126 but not PGT128 (#77; Fig. 5, B and C, respectively). Complete lack of the B arm diminished the binding of PGT126 and moderately reduced PGT128 (#64), thus suggesting that the specificity of PGT126 is more restricted. These results are consistent with the previous observations that the A and C arms are indispensable for the binding of PGT128 (46). In addition, our results demonstrate that neither antibody requires the absolute presence of the chitobiose core, although the equivalent structures with the chitobiose core did give higher signals (#66 versus #64; Fig. 5, B and C).

Conversely, PGT145 does not bind high mannose-type glycans that lack the chitobiose core (#68 to 79; Fig. 5D). Weak binding with probes #46 and 38 suggests that it recognizes the chitobiose core-containing Man9 with an α1,2-linked Man extension on the C branch and an α1,6-linked Man linked to core (Fig. 5D). Additional extensions on these two branches or the presence of B arm diminish the binding.

2G12 has a broader binding spectrum mainly toward the oligomannose but not toward the high mannose-type N-glycans lacking the chitobiose core (Fig. 5E). Previous studies concluded that the Manα1-2Man epitope on the C arm is crucial to 2G12 binding (49). Top binders of 2G12 (#62, 66, 19, 61, 65, 60, and 54) share the same epitope with the intact C arm (Manα1-2Manα1-2Manα1-3Manβ1-4) (Fig. 5E). Additional α1,6-linked Man and GlcNAc to the core region increased binding intensity. Using a series of titrations of 2G12, two representative glycans exhibiting good concentration-dependent binding curves were OLI−108 (#62) and HEX−013 (#19), both containing the C arm as well as the chitobiose core (fig. S9B). Our data suggest that the chitobiose core is also essential to 2G12 binding, as low or no binding was detected with a pentasaccharide, PEN−007 (#53), or the high mannose glycans lacking the chitobiose core (#68 to 79). Interestingly, and distinct from the above bNAbs, PGT121 did not recognize oligomannose glycans on the array but strongly bound α2,6-disialylated biantennary N-glycan (Fig. 5F).

While these bNAbs target different regions on gp120, our results demonstrate that many display binding preferences toward glycans of unique isomeric structures. Previous reports suggested that some HIV bNAbs prefer to bind hybrid N-glycans (14). Although no such glycans were present on the oligomannose array, the binding preferences of PGT-145 and 2G12 toward the C branch suggest that a hybrid N-glycan could be accommodated and thus warrants further study.

Inhibition of glycan-protein interactions

To shed light on the avidity-based glycan-protein interaction, we tested the inhibitory activities of closely related oligomannose iso- mers (fig. S10). FimH and 2G12 were selected based on their unique binding specificities described above.

Binding specificities of HIV bNAbs

Because of the unusual high density of high mannose-type N-glycans on HIV envelope glycoprotein gp120, the glycans and the underlying

affinity compared with full-length FimH.DsG (μM versus nM in $K_d$) (10), our results indicate that the full-length FimH.DsG can still bind high mannose-type N-glycans. Whether the lectin domain alone has similar binding preference warrants further investigation.

![Microarray analysis of the biotinylated FimH (A), PGT126 (B), PGT128 (C), PGT145 (D), 2G12 (E), and PGT121 (F) on the oligomannose array. Detection was with Cy5-streptavidin or Cy3-labeled anti-human IgG. Results are shown as RFUs by averaging the background-subtracted fluorescence signals of four replicate spots; error bars represent the SD among the four values. The glycans are arranged by their structural features and color-coded as shown in the legend to Fig. 4. Binding preferences of the corresponding proteins to oligomannose glycans deduced from microarray analyses are shown on the right. The epitopes common to the top binders are highlighted in red, with +, +/−, and × suggesting that the presence of the corresponding structures increases, not changes, or decreases the binding intensities, respectively.](https://www.science.org at LIBRI on January 04, 2022)
For FimH, although at high concentration (20 mM) both TRI-015 and TRI-016 strongly inhibit its binding, Manα1-3Man containing TRI-015 is a better inhibitor in comparison to its isomeric counterpart at medium and low concentrations (2 and 0.2 mM, respectively; fig. S10A). The strong potency indicates that it is an interesting lead compound for further investigation. Similarly, although neither TET-004 nor TET-007 contains the chitobiose core, which is key to the strong engagement with 2G12, TET-004 (Manα1-2Manα1-2Manα1-3Man) is a stronger inhibitor relative to TET-007 (fig. S10B).

Together, these results corroborate our observations on microarray binding studies and suggest candidate inhibitors that deserve further exploration.

**DISCUSSION**

The results presented here illustrate the unique glycan-binding specificities of CLRs, bacterial adhesin FimH, and HIV bNAbs toward oligomannose isomers. These new insights were enabled by the development of a comprehensive and well-defined oligomannose library encompassing closely related oligomannose isomers on the basis of a robust ESI-HCD-MS/MS structural characterization method. The oligomannose ligands identified in this study are essential players in innate and adaptive immunity, and in pathogenic events, which shed light on their therapeutic potential.

The fragmentation patterns identified in negative-ion ESI-HCD-MS/MS enabled differentiation of isomeric oligomannose glycans. They are valuable references to the MS² and MS³ spectra of large glycans and glycopeptides and therefore advance their structural assignment. Although observed in HCD, a similar set of diagnostic fragmentations may be generated by conventional CID with adjusted collision energy settings as partly shown previously (17). Our results also provide a comparative dataset for other dissociation methods such as various electron-based dissociation techniques, infrared multiple photon dissociation (IRMPD), and ultraviolet photodissociation (UVPD) (50). De novo structural characterization of glycans often requires a combination of a variety of methodologies and analytical techniques. Our results are of particular value in conjunction with a diverse selections of liquid chromatography methods (34, 51) or ion mobility MS (52–54) that enables isomer separation. Moreover, as demonstrated in the present study, the information provided by ESI-HCD-MS/MS is orthogonal to that obtained by glycan microarrays. In conjunction with exoglycosidase and sequence-defined lectins and antibodies (26, 55), glycan microarrays can be especially effective in predicting the presence of certain glycan epitopes, which greatly facilitates the structural assignments in approaches such as shotgun glycomics.

We observed differences in glycan-binding preference on the oligomannose array. Whereas GNPTAB and bNAbs have relatively restricted binding toward specific oligomannose isomers, innate immune receptors and pathogen adhesin FimH, overall, tend to have a broader binding specificity (10). This likely reflects the difference in their mannose binding pockets. Thus, restricted specificity aids the exquisite regulation of the relevant biological events, avoiding the generation of invalid enzymatic products and cross-reactivity of the antibody responses. The relaxed binding of CLRs and the pathogen adhesin, on the other hand, broadens the targets to which immune cells and pathogens can attach, therefore expanding the breadth of immune responses and perhaps facilitating microbial survival. No two CLRs showed identical specificities, suggesting the uniqueness of these CLRs as part of our immune system. It will be interesting to conduct molecular modeling and co-crystallization studies using these proteins and their different oligomannose ligands.

The natural ligands identified in this study offer insights into potential lead compounds as therapeutic inhibitors. CLRs such as DC-SIGN and L-SIGN can promote the “trans” infection of viruses such as HIV and SARS-CoV (56, 57) and the release of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines (58). A blocking reagent rationally designed based on the ligands of these CLRs may block the detrimental effects. In this regard, a recent study suggested that mannose can ameliorate autoimmune diabetes and airway inflammation by inducing regulatory T cells in a mouse model (59). As the mechanism is unclear, it would be interesting to see whether certain oligomannose-based drugs have a stronger effect.

Mannose has also been tested for its ability to prevent recurrent and incidental urinary tract infection by blocking FimH from adhesion to urinary epithelial cells, but the doses are very high (11, 60). The better binding trisaccharide identified in our study could facilitate the design of a glycomimetic reagent with reduced dosage amounts. Uromodulin, an abundant protein in human urine, was reported to be a multivalent decoy for FimH, resulting in pathogen clearance (61). It would be interesting to identify whether uromodulin carries such a binding motif and whether it will change with aging and with pathological status. Moreover, many other pathogens such as *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Shigella flexneri*, and *Salmonella typhimurium* also express type 1 fimbriae. Whether these pathogens can interact with oligomannoses warrants further exploration.

The oligomannose array developed in this study holds promise in identification and characterization of all types of mannose-binding proteins. Apart from the CLRs, bacterial adhesins, and anti-glycan antibodies exemplified in this study, there is a vast number of glycosyltransferases and glycosidases present in the genome of microbes that are largely unexplored in terms of substrate specificity. Encompassing a comprehensive group of closely related isomers with defined linkages, our oligomannose array provides a suitable platform for the scientific community to study the hitherto understudied mammalian and microbial mannose-binding proteins and glycoenzymes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Glycans numbered #1 to 79 in a quantity of 50 to 500 µg were obtained through SBIR-STTR grant from Omicron Biochemicals Inc. These glycans were produced by chemical synthesis and analyzed by nuclear magnetic resonance, HPLC, and MS by the company (the detailed results are provided in data file S3). Results suggested that the purity of each compound was >95%. Each glycan was reconstituted in H₂O to prepare a stock solution. Five nanomoles of each glycan was taken for ESI-MS/MS analysis, and the remaining samples were lyophilized for AEAB or F-MAPA conjugation. The plasmid encoding the soluble domain of the glycosyltransferase GNPTAB following a secretion signal and N-terminal His and green fluorescent protein tags (pGen2-DEST vector) was provided by K. Moremen (62). Human DC-SIGN (Fc-tag), Langerin (His-tag), DC-SIGNR (Fc-tag), and Dectin-2 (Fc-tag) were purchased from R&D Systems (161-DC-050, 2088-LN-050) and Sino Biological (10559-H01H, 10250-H01H), respectively. Among the three recombinant FimH constructs, the C-terminal His tag (FimH2-His) and the full-length FimH with N-terminal extension of FimG (FimH.DsG) were generated in...
house as previously reported (63, 64). A full-length FimH with N-terminal His-GST tag (FimH-His) was purchased from Signalway Antibody (#AP71165). Biotinylated plant lectins ConA, GNA, GNA, NPA, and HHL were from Vector Laboratories. The cyanine 5-streptavidin–labeled streptavidin (Cy5-SA) was purchased from Invitrogen.

GNPTAB was generated following protocols published previously (65). In brief, suspension and serum-free adapted human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells (Freestyle 293-F cells, Invitrogen) were transiently transfected with the GNPTAB plasmid using poly-ethyleneimine. Five to 7 days after transfection, protein was purified from the cultural supernatant with His-Pur Ni-NTA resin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After elution with imidazole-containing buffer [50 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM sodium chloride, and 400 mM imidazole (pH 8.0)], GNPTAB was dialyzed against storage buffer [20 mM tris (pH 7.5) with 300 mM sodium chloride], snap-frozen, and stored at −80°C until use.

ESI-MS/MS analysis

Negative ion ESI-MS analysis and HCD/CID-MS/MS were performed on a Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer from Thermo Fisher Scientific (San Jose, CA). The glycan samples were dissolved in acetonitrile:H2O (1:1, v/v) and injected directly into a mass spectrometer at a flow rate of 3 μl/min. The ion source was set at 1.8-kV spray voltage in negative-ion mode and ion transfer tube temperature at 200°C for efficient desolvation and ionization. MS scans were performed in the orbitrap at a resolution of 120,000. A radio frequency (RF) lens was set at 60%, and automatic gain control (AGC) target was set at 5E4 for the full MS scan. The corresponding precursors were manually selected for MS/MS analysis. HCD collision energy was adjusted between 2 and 18 for optimal fragmentation (detailed settings used for individual samples are listed in table S1). MS/MS and MS3 scans were performed in orbitrap at a resolution of 120,000 or in ion trap, within a mass range of m/z 150—molecular ion. AGC target was set at 5E4. The acquired spectra were summed for presentation. All the spectra were manually annotated and interpreted. All tandem MS spectra of the glycans analyzed in this study are available under the following link: ftp://massive.ucsd.edu/MSV000086808/ (we recommend using Firefox browser to access this collection of large raw data files).

Conjugation of oligomannose glycans

AEAB conjugation and purification were performed following the protocols published previously (66) with some modifications. AEAB and NaBH₄:CN stock solutions were prepared with acetic acid:DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide) (3:7, v/v) at 88 and 64 mg/ml, respectively. To lyophilized glycans (30 to 200 nmol), 200 equiv AEAB and 400 equiv NaBH₄:CN were added. The reaction mixture was vortexed for 1 min and incubated on a shaker at 65°C for 4 hours. To the reaction mixture, 10 volumes of acetonitrile were added, and the mixture was left in −20°C for 2 hours. The supernatant was removed following centrifugation. The pellet was reconstituted in H₂O and loaded onto a Sep-Pak C18 cartridge (Waters Corp.) for desalting. The column was washed with H₂O, and the purified glycan-AEAB conjugate was eluted with 50% acetonitrile (with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) and dried by lyophilization.

F-MAPA conjugation was performed following the protocol (39) with the six phosphorylated oligomannose glycans (#91 to 96). F-MAPA, sodium acetate, and 2-amino-5-methoxybenzoic acid (2-AM) stock solutions were prepared with acetic acid:DMSO (3:7, v/v) at 350 mM, 500 mM and 10 mM, respectively. To each lyophilized glycan, 15, 11, and 0.8 μl of the F-MAPA, NaOAc, and 2-AM stock solutions were added. The reaction mixture was vortexed for 1 min and incubated on a shaker at 65°C for 4 hours. After the reaction, 10 volumes of ethyl acetate were added and the mixture was left at −20°C for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was centrifuged, and the supernatant was removed. The pellet was reconstituted in H₂O and loaded onto a Sep-Pak C18 cartridge (Waters Corp.) prewashed with 10 column volumes of acetonitrile and H₂O. The column was washed with H₂O, and the F-MAPA conjugate was eluted with 30% acetonitrile. The acetonitrile was removed by Speed-Vac, and the sample was dried by lyophilization.

The purified AEAB and F-MAPA glycan conjugates were analyzed by HPLC and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI)–MS as shown below before further use. The estimated yields of the glycan–AEAB conjugates and the glycan–F-MAPA conjugates were 30 to 60% and 10 to 30%, respectively. Upon passing the quality test, the glycan–AEAB conjugates can be directly used in microarray printing, whereas the glycan–F-MAPA conjugates were further treated with 5% methylpiperidine for 30 min to remove the Fmoc. The reaction mixture was passed through a Sep-Pak C18 cartridge, the H₂O elution fractions were pooled and lyophilized, and the glycan–MAPA conjugate was ready for microarray printing.

Enzymatic reactions with GNPTAB

AEAB-conjugated oligomannose glycans (2 nmol) were lyophilized in Eppendorf tube before they were taken up in tris buffer [100 mM (pH 7.5)] with 50 mM MgCl₂ and 50 mM MnCl₂. To the solution, 1-μl uridine diphosphate (UDP)–GlcNAc (50 mM) and 1-μl GNPTAB stock solutions (1 mg/ml) were added and the mixture was incubated at 37°C. At 12 hours, 1 μl each of the UDP-GlcNac and enzyme stock was added to continue the reaction for another 12 hours. For preparation purpose, 50 nmol of TRI-005 and TRI-009 and 40 nmol of TRI-007 and TET-007 were constituted in tris buffer, in which stocks of UDP-GlcNAc and GNPTAB were added to a final concentration of 10 mM and 0.2 mg/ml, respectively. The final concentration of the glycan was 1 nmol/μl. Incubation was at 37°C for 60 hours. The reaction was stopped by freezing at −80°C, and an aliquot of each reaction mixture was subjected to HPLC analysis. The products of TRI-005, TRI-009, TRI-007, and TET-007 were purified by semipreparative HPLC as shown below.

Generation of the Asn-linked chitobiose-containing Man₄₋₆GlcNAc₂ to Man9-GlcNAc₂

Extensive pronase treatment was performed to generate Asn-linked chitobiose-containing high mannose N-glycans. RNase B is known to contain high mannose-type glycans, and their structures have been extensively studied previously (34, 54). Purified bovine RNase B and RNase A mixture (234.7 mg; Worthington Biochemical Corp.) was dissolved in tris buffer [100 mM (pH 8.0)], to which 8.8 mg of pronase (EMD Millipore) was added. The incubation was at 55°C for 72 hours, and the same amount of the enzyme was added every 12 hours. The product was loaded on Sep-Pak C18 (Waters Corp.) preconditioned with acetonitrile and H₂O. The flow-through and H₂O wash were pooled and loaded on a Supelclean ENVII-Carb...
column (Sigma-Aldrich) prewashed with acetonitrile and H2O. The column was washed with H2O and eluted with 10, 20, and 50% acetonitrile. Fractions were monitored by MALDI-MS, and those containing targeted glycans were combined and dried by Speed-Vac and lyophilization.

The mixture was labeled with Fmoc as described previously (65) to facilitate fine separation. Semipreparative HPLC on amine-functionalyzed solid phase gave rise to >5 µmol Fmoc-labeled Man5-GlcNAc2-Asn to Man9-GlcNAc2-Asn (described below). Moreover, a small amount of Man4-GlcNAc2-Asn-Fmoc was also purified for the first time. All purified components were analyzed by HPLC and MALDI–time-of-flight (TOF) MS and confirmed their purity (fig. S2). These glycans were subject to de-Fmoc reaction as described for F-MAPA to yield the purified Man4-GlcNAc2-Asn to Man9-GlcNAc2-Asn.

**High-performance liquid chromatography**

Analysis and semipreparation of the AEAB- and F-MAPA–glycan and the reaction product by GNPTAB were performed on a Shimadzu HPLC CBM-20A system, equipped with a UV detector (SPD-20AV) and a fluorescence detector (RF-20A). For the analysis, samples were chromatographed on a Luna 5-µm NH2 column (250 × 4.6 mm, Phenomenex) with a concentration of 16 to 40% H2O and 4 to 50% 250 mM ammonium acetate over 60 min. The GNPTAB products had later elution time in comparison to the substrate. For semipreparation, the separation was on a Luna 5-µm NH2 column (250 mm by 10 mm, Phenomenex) with either the same gradient or a shallower gradient to resolve the Fmoc-labeled Man5-GlcNAc2-Asn to Man9-GlcNAc2-Asn using 16 to 24% H2O and 4 to 21% 250 mM ammonium acetate over 50 min. Detection of the AEAB-glycans was at UV 330 nm, fluorescence at excitation (Em) = 420 nm; detection of F-MAPA-glycans was at UV 254 nm, fluorescence Em = 254, and Em = 340, respectively. LNNIT-AEAB and LNNIT-F-MAPA conjugates with known concentrations were used for quantification.

**Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization–mass spectrometry**

MALDI-TOF MS analyses of the glycan derivatives were performed on an UltrafleXtreme MALDI-TOF/TOF system from Bruker Daltonics (Billerica, MA) equipped with a Smartbeam II laser. The analyte was reconstituted in H2O, and an aliquot (~100 pmol) was taken to mix with 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (10 mg/ml in MeOH:H2O = 1:1). The mixture was deposited to a target plate (Bruker Daltonics) and subject to MALDI-TOF MS analysis. Spectrum between m/z 500 and 3000 was acquired under reflectron positive mode. Each MS spectrum presented an accumulation of more than 10,000 laser shots.

**Microarray printing and analyses**

Microarray printing and analyses were conducted largely as reported previously (65). In brief, the purified glycan conjugates were reconstituted in phosphate buffer [100 mM sodium phosphate (pH 8.5)] at a final concentration of 100 µM (probes 1 to 79, 81, and 83 to 84), 50 µM (probes 80 and 82), or 20 µM (probes 85 to 100). Each conjugate was printed in four replicates, ~330 pl each, on N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS)–coated glass slides (Schott AG) using a sciTIA RAX RAY S11 instrument (Scienion, Germany). The slides were left for reaction to proceed overnight at 70% relative humidity, followed by blocking with 50 mM ethanolamine in 100 mM borate buffer (pH 8.5) for 1 hour and washing with phosphate-buffered saline (0.05% Tween 20) and H2O. Then, the slides were sealed and stored at −20°C until use.

The microarray slides were rehydrated with TSM buffer (20 mM tris–HCl, 150 mM sodium chloride, 2 mM calcium chloride, and 2 mM magnesium chloride) before incubation with biotinylated lectins, CLRsr, bacterial adhesin, and anti-HIV bNAbs. Biotinylated plant lectins GNA, NPA, and HHL were used at 10 µg/ml, whereas ConA and RCA were used at 1 µg/ml. The recombinant human CLRsr were tested at the following concentrations: DC-SIGN-Fc, 1 and 5 µg/ml; L-SIGN-Fc, 1 and 10 µg/ml; Dectin-2-Fc, 1 and 5 µg/ml; and Langerin-His, 1 and 25 µg/ml. All the protein samples above were tested with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in TSM buffer with additional CaCl2 (TSMBB-Ca, 20 mM tris–HCl, 150 mM sodium chloride, 5 mM calcium chloride, 2 mM magnesium chloride, and 0.05% Tween 20). The recombinant FimH. DsG and FimH2–His were biotinylated with EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-LC-biotin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and quantified on NanoDrop before use at 50 and 200 µg/ml for microarray analysis. The anti-HIV broadly neutralizing monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) were tested at 25 µg/ml. The FimH and the mAbs were prepared with 1% BSA in TSM buffer (TSMBB; 20 mM tris–HCl, 150 mM sodium chloride, 2 mM calcium chloride, 2 mM magnesium chloride, and 0.05% Tween 20). The binding of biotinylated plant lectins and the biotinylated FimH. DsG and FimH2–His were detected with Cy5-SA (Invitrogen) at 0.5 µg/ml. The human immunoglobulin G (IgG) Fc-tagged proteins DC-SIGN and Dectin-2 were detected with Alexa Fluor 488–labeled goat anti-human IgG (H+L) (Invitrogen) at 5 µg/ml. The His-tagged Langerin was detected with mouse anti-His IgG (H8, Invitrogen MA1-21315) at 5 µg/ml followed by Alexa Fluor 633 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Invitrogen A21052) at 5 µg/ml. The Fc-tagged L-SIGN and HIV mAbs were detected with cyanine 3–goat anti-human IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch 109-165-008, Fcy specific) at 5 µg/ml.

The signal intensities were quantified using GenePix Pro 7 that is associated with the microarray scanner and processed by Excel (Microsoft). The results are shown as relative fluorescence units (RFUs) by averaging the background-subtracted fluorescence signals of four replicate spots, with error bars representing the SD among the four values.

**Statistical analysis**

The microarray data were processed and analyzed by Excel (Microsoft). For correlation analysis between test samples, GLAD toolkit was used (67).

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/7/24/eabf6834/DC1
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