A solvent-free microbial-activated air cathode battery paper platform made with pencil-traced graphite electrodes
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We present the fabrication of an ultra-low cost, disposable, solvent-free air cathode all-paper microbial fuel cell (MFC) that does not utilize any chemical treatments. The anode and cathode were fabricated by depositing graphite particles by drawing them on paper with a pencil (four strokes). Hydrophobic parchment paper was used as a proton exchange membrane (PEM) to allow only $\text{H}^+$ to pass. Air cathode MFC technology, where $\text{O}_2$ was used as an electron acceptor, was implemented on the paper platform. The bioelectric current was generated by an electrochemical process involving the redox couple of microbial-activated extracellular electron transferred electrons, PEM-passed $\text{H}^+$, and $\text{O}_2$ in the cathode. A fully micro-integrated pencil-traced MFC showed a fast start-time, producing current within 10 s after injection of bacterial cells. A single miniaturized all-paper air cathode MFC generated a maximum potential of 300 mV and a maximum current of 11 $\mu\text{A}$ during 100 min after a single injection of *Shewanella oneidensis*. The micro-fabricated solvent-free air cathode all-paper MFC generated a power of 2,270 nW (5.68 mW/m²). The proposed solvent-free air cathode paper-based MFC device could be used for environmentally-friendly energy storage as well as in single-use medical power supplies that use organic matter.

Small, light-weight, and portable power source devices have attracted widespread attention in recent years due to the rapid increase in energy demand1. Biofuel cells, which are bioelectrochemical devices that convert biochemical energy into electrical energy, are regarded as a renewable energy source technology due to the high turnover rates related with the enzymes or microorganisms that they use as catalysts2. Most research associated with renewable fuel sources have adopted enzyme-based biofuel cells (EBCs) including ionic liquid-functionalized nanotubes, enzymatic biocatalysts, enzyme-functionalized graphene nanosheets, and various carbon electrodes3–8. Although EBCs produce high power densities, they encounter many problems including those related to the high cost of enzymes9 as well as limited lifetimes due to the temperature, humidity, pH, and toxic chemicals10,11. Alternatively, because microbial fuel cells (MFCs) employ abundant, non-toxic, and relatively inexpensive organic matter, they produce clean and sustainable energy. However, they have lower power densities compared to EBCs11–16.

MFCs typically consist of anodic and cathodic chambers separated by a proton exchange membrane (PEM) that permits only $\text{H}^+$ or other cations to pass from the anode to the cathode. Inoculated bacterial cells oxidize the organic matter that is present in the anolyte, generate electrons through microbial metabolism, and extracellularly release these electrons to the anode. The captured electrons are delivered through an external conductive load to the cathode to reduce an oxidant. This electrochemical process produces the current in MFC devices15,17–22. In order to maintain charge neutrality, protons in the anolyte will diffuse through the PEM to the cathode chamber simultaneously with electron transfer15,17. The MFC performance can be evaluated by analyzing the current density, maximal output power density, and sustainability15. However, conventional MFCs are very expensive large-scale devices with long start-up times and considerable chamber volumes. These limitations have stimulated
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the fabrication of milliliter- or microliter-scale MFCs. Miniaturized MFCs provide unique features including short electrode distances, large surface areas, fast response times, and low Reynolds numbers.

Paper-based microfluidic analytical devices have been functionalized as platforms for performing rapid diagnostic tests that require biochemical sensing. In recent years, paper-based disposable devices have begun to be employed as battery devices; however, conventional batteries are not suitable for single use due to their high cost and toxicity. These limitations have led to an increased demand for low-cost, non-toxic, portable power sources. Paper, which is inexpensive, easily available, disposable, thin, lightweight, biocompatible, and biodegradable, is considered to be an alternative electrode material for battery devices. The most attractive feature of paper is its momentary fluid suction, through capillary action, that does not require an external power source. Some studies have introduced paper-based bacterial metabolism-catalyzed battery platforms. However, most of this research has produced higher electrical power through additional chemical treatments on the paper, including electron-catching printing materials (electrode), ferricyanide (electron acceptor), and Nafion solutions (PEM). Despite the advantages of Nafion, it is not suitable for application in a resource-limited setting due to its toxicity and expense. Therefore, we introduce a solvent-free microbial-activated air cathode paper platform, using pencil-traced graphite electrodes, as a portable power source. Our extremely low-cost, miniaturized, all-paper microbial electricity-generating device requires no additional chemical treatments.

Graphite-based anodes deposited by a pencil on paper were used to enhance bacterial adhesion. The ambient air on the pencil-traced cathode was used as an electron acceptor (i.e., an air cathode). Parchment paper, purchased from a bakery, was used to pass only $H^+$ from the anode to the cathode. Two hollow channels with wax-printed boundaries were adopted for sustainable electrical generation. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, although two studies previously reported no additional chemical-treated paper PEMs such as the bare paper and parchment paper, they showed paper-based 48-well MFC array using customized PCB boards and/or no properties of graphite-based electrode. Therefore, this study improved the performance of bioelectricity generation by combining several advantages of previous studies.

**Results and Discussion**

**Potential of the pencil-traced electrode on paper.** This study involves the fabrication of a bacterial metabolism-catalyzed battery platform by depositing graphite particles onto the fibers of cellulose. The most attractive point of this approach is the facile fabrication of the anode and cathode, which is done by drawing them on paper with a pencil (Fig. 2A). Drawing on paper is an old, well-established daily action, requiring only a paper and a pencil or ink. Paper consists of cellulose fibers with a 3D hierarchical arrangement showing a rough and porous structure. Pencil lead consists of graphite particles and clay. The bare paper (Fig. 2B) showed an irregular arrangement of cellulose fibers in a 3D network, while the 8B pencil trace on paper (Fig. 2C) showed a variety of densely and irregularly deposited graphite flakes on the fibers of cellulose or between cellulose fibers. The pencil-traced paper contained interconnected graphitic layers with many edges and boundaries. The Raman spectra of the pencil trace showed prominent Raman peaks at around 1320 and 1590 cm$^{-1}$; these can be assigned to the D and G bands of carbon materials, respectively. The D band corresponds to the presence of defects and disorder in the form of edges and grain boundaries, and the G band corresponds to stretching of the sp²-bonded carbon lattice. Raman peaks corresponding to the cellulose signatures can be clearly observed (Table S1). The higher I_D/I_G ratio of the pencil-traced paper is responsible for the lower structural alignment. The rigid materials used in most MFC batteries result in slow electrical production after bacterial injection, which typically takes several days to a week. However, paper-fabricated chambers or reservoirs allow for immediate electrical production as soon as the bacterial injection occurs due to the enhanced bacterial adhesion. Rapid adsorption of the bacteria-containing liquid was due to the naturally rough and porous structure of paper. Although the pencil-traced paper showed poor structural alignment, it may be effective for holding media and enhancing bacterial adhesion, which can lead to an increase in the power production. Therefore, pencil-traced electrodes on paper...
are likely to be facile, low-cost, and innovative battery platforms that can be used as power sources for single-use diagnostic devices.

**Selection of pencil hardness.** The properties of conducting electrodes are critical for evaluating the performance of battery platforms. They can determine the ratio of graphite that is deposited on the pencil-traced paper. Pencils are typically classified from 9H to 9B depending on their ratio of graphite and clay. An H-grade indicates a higher clay content (hardness), while a B-grade indicates a higher graphite content (blackness)\textsuperscript{36}. HB pencils typically contain 60–70% graphite and 30–40% clay binder\textsuperscript{39}. The morphological, molecular, and electrochemical properties of the pencil traces for four pencil hardnesses (i.e., 8B, 4B, HB, and 4H, as shown in Fig. S4) and a commercially-available flexible graphite sheet (control group) were evaluated in this study.

The softest 8B pencil produced the darkest-colored trace, while the hardest 4H pencil produced the lightest trace due to the different concentrations of graphite particles (Fig. S5). The pencil traces on paper (four strokes) deposited large amount of graphite as well as various graphite flakes, depending on the pencil hardness (Fig. 3), while the graphite sheet showed a smooth surface with few defects (Fig. S6). In particular, the 8B pencil trace deposited the largest amount of graphite on the paper, while the 4H pencil trace deposited no graphite components on the paper. EDX spectra (Fig. 4A) confirmed the presence of C, O, and Si in all of the substrates, regardless of pencil hardness. The graphite sheet showed the highest proportion of carbon material (99.8% purity); this was followed by the 8B, 4B, HB, and 4H pencil traces. Softer pencils (8B pencil) contained a higher relative proportion of carbon material, while harder pencils (4H pencil) contained a higher relative proportion of oxygen. This finding was consistent with the results of Lin \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{40}. Since the electrical properties of electrodes depend on the amount of carbon materials, the 8B pencil was the best selection (in terms of both the morphological and molecular properties) for the fabrication of pencil-traced conducting electrodes.

The electrical conductivities of the graphite sheet and the 8B, 4B, HB, and 4H pencil-traced papers were determined to be 3716.3 ± 507.8, 75.8 ± 7.3, 284.0 ± 82.6, 0.0 ± 0.0, and 0.0 ± 0.0 μS/m, respectively (Fig. 4B). The graphite sheet (control) showed the highest electrical conductivity. This was followed by the 4B, 8B, and HB/4H pencil traces. The 4B pencil-traced paper showed higher conductivity compared to the 8H pencil-traced paper due to the different thermal treatments used while manufacturing the pencils. The highest conductivity of the graphite sheet confirmed the high degree of intralayer condensation of graphite\textsuperscript{37}. Since the power density depends on the electrical conductivity of the electrodes, the 4B pencil was the best pencil for fabrication of pencil-traced conducting electrodes. The next best pencil was the 8B pencil. Additionally, we confirmed that the electrical conductivity of the 6B pencil-traced paper was higher than that of the 4B pencil-traced paper (not shown). Veerabhotta \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{30} reported on a paper-based instant power generation platform that used a 6B pencil-based bacterial biofuel cell; however, they did not mention why they selected the 6B pencil.

The Raman spectra of the graphite sheet and four pencil-traced papers showed prominent Raman peaks at around 1320 and 1590 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. These are assigned to the D (defects and disorder) and G (graphitic) bands of carbon.
Figure 3. FE-SEM images of pencil-traced papers according to pencil hardness: (Aa) 8B, (Bb) 4B, (Cc) HB, and (Dd) 4H. Red arrows indicate the graphite particles deposited on the cellulose fiber in the paper. Scale bar = 50 μm for top panels. Scale bar = 25 μm for bottom panels.

Figure 4. (A) Molecular properties, (B) electrical conductivity, (C) Raman spectra and I_D/I_G ratios, and (D) reproducibility (four cycles) and RGB intensity of pencil-traced papers according to pencil hardness. ①–③ electrodes were fabricated by normal tracing on paper (8 N), while ④ and ⑤ electrodes was fabricated by pressing-down tracing on paper (16 N).
The reproducibility of the pencil-traced electrode. Since the fabrication of the pencil-traced paper has a significant effect on battery performance, its reproducibility was evaluated according to the pencil hardness. Figure 4D shows representative pencil-traced hydrophilic bacteria-active areas (with four strokes) on paper according to the pencil hardness. Since the efficiency of the pencil-traced electrode is mainly decided by the physical properties of the paper-based substrate (i.e., the surface roughness) and the material properties of the pencil lead, and the writing force, two force conditions were evaluated: “normal” tracing (1–3, 8.34 ± 0.79 N) and “pressing-down” tracing (4 and 5, 15.78 ± 1.38 N). The mean RGB intensities of the 8B, 4B, HB, and 4H pencil-traced papers were 16.3, 103.3, 181.5, and 198.5, respectively. The 8B pencil-traced paper showed the highest relative standard deviation (RSD) of 4%. This was followed by HB (RSD = 9%), 4H (RSD = 10%), and 4B (RSD = 19%). This is similar to the pattern observed for the electrical conductivity (Fig. 4B). This finding demonstrates that the reproducibility of the 8B pencil-traced electrode is close to being black in color and that the RGB intensities of the HB and 4H pencil-traced electrodes are close to being white in color. Alternatively, the RGB intensity of the 4B pencil-traced electrode is located on the boundary between black and white. Interestingly, using a different writing force with the pencil did not critically affect the fabrication reproducibility. However, in the future, we plan to achieve higher reproducibility during electrode fabrication by using an XY-plotter robot kit (Fig. S8). Since a blacker color indicates a higher graphite content, the 8B pencil with the higher electrode lead content was selected as the best pencil for fabricating pencil-traced conducting kit (Fig. S8). Since a blacker color indicates a higher graphite content, the 8B pencil with the higher electrode lead content was selected as the best pencil for fabricating pencil-traced conducting electrodes.

Fabrication of air cathode paper-based battery. From the results regarding the electrical properties (carbon content), electrical conductivity, nano-graphite surface roughness, and electrode reproducibility, the 8B pencil and graphite sheet were selected as the best electrode materials for paper-based air cathode batteries. A hydrophobic inlet layer was used to prevent leakage of the bacteria-containing liquid and air penetration. A two-layered hollow chamber was selectively adopted for the battery in order to continuously supply bacteria in the hydrophobic-bounded single anode. The inoculated bacteria-containing fluid passes through the anode and the anodic chamber before reaching the PEM layer. To reduce the device cost and size, commercially-available hydrophobic parchment paper (<50-μm-thick) was used as a PEM. This can prevent the bacteria in the anode from reaching the cathode. Veerubhotla et al. used a two-layered hydrophilic bare paper (Whatman filter paper) as a separator between the anode and the cathode. This hydrophilic paper exchanges ions between the anode and the cathode for a short period of time. Eventually, it loses this functionality and allows for the exchange of liquids between the PEM. Most MFC-powered studies have used chemical treatments, such as Nafion, to allow protons to pass through a device efficiently. However, these chemically-treated PEMS are toxic and considerably increase the cost of the device compared to using parchment paper. In particular, the use of Nafion showed superior battery performance, compared to other PEMS, but resulted in a lower conductivity at low humidity. There was also a significant volumetric size change with increasing humidity levels. This makes it difficult to integrate these devices into paper-based bacterial metabolism-catalyzed battery. Also, Nafion-based membranes are incompatible with microfabrication processes. Some studies reported that a removal of PEM in air cathode MFC led to an increase in power production against the cost. However, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, there has been no report of this finding in paper-based air cathode MFC system.

An all-paper air cathode battery (Fig. 1B) is manually assembled with a glue stick by sandwiching the seven functional paper-based layers (Fig. S2). The size of a completely fabricated battery is about 30 × 30 × 1 mm³. The total material cost of the fabricated device was about 204 KRW ($0.20, Table S2). This all-paper air cathode battery could be cut or trimmed easily using scissors or a razor blade. Also, the bacteria-containing graphite and wax pattern on the burnable papers are disposable by incineration (Fig. 5). The paper-based battery was completely burned after 40 s. We believe that this capability is particularly useful in single-use medical power supplies that contact biological fluids or tissues.

Biopotential generation. Figure 6A shows the microbial-activated output voltage in the fully assembled air cathode battery paper with pencil-traced graphite electrodes. The proposed all-paper MFC showed a very fast start time to produce the current (<10 s) after injection of the bacterial cells or media. This is due to the large surface area and higher liquid permeability of the paper substrate. After the injection of the bacteria-containing liquid, the open circuit voltage (OCV) continued to increase and reached a saturated voltage of 302.3 mV after application of diode laser source (λ = 785 nm, the average crystallite sizes of the graphite domains for each electrode were 364.5 nm (graphite sheet), 24.6 nm (8B pencil), 28.4 nm (4B pencil), 29.1 nm (HB pencil), and 28.2 nm (4H pencil). These results indicated that the pencil-activated graphite electrodes indicated a poorer graphitic structure. The crystallite size of graphitic domains (L_d) can be calculated using the following equation:

\[ L_d = \left( \frac{2.4 \times 10^{-10}}{\lambda_{\text{DL}}} \right) \frac{\Delta d}{(I_d/I_G)^{-1}} \]

Since our diode laser source (λ_{DL}) was 785 nm, the average crystallite sizes of the graphite domains for each electrode were 364.5 nm (graphite sheet), 24.6 nm (8B pencil), 28.4 nm (4B pencil), 29.1 nm (HB pencil), and 28.2 nm (4H pencil). These results indicated that the pencil-activated graphite electrodes had a poor structural alignment; however, they consist of small graphite particles (relative to the size of the graphite sheet with good graphitic structure). The paper-based nano-graphitic pencil-traced electrodes have large surface areas and may yield superior electrical power production compared to graphite sheet electrodes, particularly for the 8B pencil (the best selection).
25 min, the mean OCV of our MFC was 250 ± 32 mV (n = 20). This pattern is consistent with the profile reported previously12. However, the output voltage of a single MFC was less than the OCV of a single conventional MFC (0.8 V)66. This is caused by O2 diffusion in the anode chamber38. Fortunately, the OCV of our single MFC is higher than those of paper-based air cathode MFCs reported previously (Table 1); these values were 230 mV for a *Shewanella oneidensis*-injected activated carbon-coated nickel cathode MFC with Nafion-treated PEM12 and 265 mV for a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-injected pencil-traced electrode (two anodes [530 mV] and one cathode) with a double bare paper-based PEM30. This might indicate that the cathode deposited with an 8B pencil, with dense graphite particles, is superior to the activated carbon-based cathode and 6B pencil-traced
The electrons ($e^-$) and protons ($H^+$) combine to reduce the electron acceptor (oxygen, $O_2$). Therefore, the redox couple is completed as:

$$O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O \quad (2)$$

This electrochemical process produces the current in an air cathode MFC devices. The mean and maximum power densities of our MFC were 2,270 nW (5.68 mW/m²) and 3,330 nW (8.33 mW/m²), respectively. This power density was higher than those reported previously: *Shewanella oneidensis*-injected activated carbon cathode MFCs (48 nW, 9.3 μW/m²) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-injected (660 nW, 1.65 mW/m²) and *Shewanella putrefaciens*-injected (1,250 nW, 3.13 mW/m²) pencil-traced MFCs (Table 1). All biopower-generating experiments were primarily performed by single injection. Although multiple injections were acceptable, they showed relatively low performance compared to one-time injection. The assembly of our MFCs (Fig. 1) was optimized to maximize the bioelectricity generation through various combinations of each layer. This indicates that our paper-based nano-graphitic pencil-traced air cathode battery is better at generating bacterial metabolism-catalyzed bioelectricity compared to previous air cathode paper battery devices. However, since the proposed paper-based MFC platforms showed low performance compared to conventional non-paper-based miniaturize MFC platforms (Table 1), the power/current density generated from paper-based MFC needs to be significantly improved for practical applications.

To evaluate the potential of our MFC platform in real applications, we serially-connected 10 MFCs to operate a light-emitting diode (>2V). After injection of the *Shewanella oneidensis*-containing liquid, we confirmed that ten of our MFCs (serially-connected) can drive a red light-emitting diode (Fig. 6C). This result suggests that the proposed solvent-free air cathode paper-based MFC devices can be used as environmental-friendly energy storages as well as in single-use medical power supplies that use organic matter.

### Table 1. Comparative study of bioelectricity generation using representative miniature MFCs.

| Material | Electrode | PEM | Bacteria | OCV | Current | Power |
|----------|-----------|-----|----------|-----|---------|-------|
| paper    | air-cathode | 8B pencil (this study) | parchment | S.O. (300 μL) | 303 mV | 7500 nA | 5.68 mW/m² |
| paper    | air-cathode | 6B pencil (two anodes) | double bare | S.P. (400 μL) | 250 mV | 5000 nA | 3.13 mW/m² |
| paper    | air-cathode | 6B pencil (two anodes) | double bare | P.A. (400 μL) | 265 mV | 2500 nA | 1.65 mW/m² |
| paper    | air-cathode | graphite sheet (this study) | parchment | S.O. (300 μL) | 100 mV | 2500 nA | 0.63 mW/m² |
| paper    | air-cathode | AC-coated Ni & carbon ink (four-battery stack) | Nafion | S.O. (25 μL) | 230 mV | 250 nA | 9.30 μW/m² |
| plastic  | air-cathode | PTFE-coated carbon cloth (double CEA) | non | R.W. (6 mL) | 315 mV | 0.28 mA/m² | 1.46 mW/m² |
| plastic  | ferricyanide | reticulated vitreous carbon | Nafion | S.O. (1.2 mL) | 780 mV | 44.4 mA/m² | 22.2 mW/m² |
| plastic  | ferricyanide | graphite felt | Nafion | S.O. (1.2 mL) | 760 mV | 20.5 mA/m² | 9.8 mW/m² |
| plastic  | air-cathode | gold/carbon cloth (24-well array) | Nafion | S.O. (650 μL) | N/A | 6 mA/m² | 2.69 mW/m² |
| plastic  | ferricyanide | gold/carbon cloth (24-well array) | Nafion | S.O. (650 μL) | 538 mV | 5.54 mA/m² | 4.0 mW/m² |
| plastic  | ferricyanide | chromium-coated gold | Nafion | S.C. (16 μL) | 450 mV | 150 mA/m² | 0.23 mW/m² |
| plastic  | tufurate | carbon cloth | Nafion | G.S. (N/A) | N/A | 3147 mA/m² | N/A |
| plastic  | tufurate | gold | Nafion | G.S. (N/A) | N/A | 688 mA/m² | N/A |

*AC, activated carbon. PTFE, polytetrafluoroethylene. CEA, cloth electrode assembly. S.O., Shewanella oneidensis. S.P., Shewanella putrefaciens. P.A., Pseudomonas aeruginosa. R.W., real wastewater obtained from Corvallis Wastewater Treatment Plant (Corvallis, OR). G.S., Geobacter sulfurreducens. S.C., Saccharomyces cerevisiae.*
Conclusions
We developed a micro-fabricated, low cost, disposable, solvent-free air cathode all-paper MFC platform with pencil-traced graphite electrodes as a portable power source. The proposed battery platform, surrounded by wax-based hydrophobic barriers, consists of seven layers and includes an inlet reservoir, hollow areas, graphite-deposited hydrophilic anode and cathode, hydrophobic PEM, and hydrophobic bacteria-active chamber. Because the pencil with 8B hardness has high graphitic content, high electrical conductivity, high surface roughness of its nano-graphite, and the ability to make electrodes with high reproducibility, it was selected as the best electrode material for our all-paper air cathode MFC batteries. A fully integrated pencil-traced all-paper MFC (30 × 30 × 1 mm³) showed a very fast start-up time after injection of bacterial cells. A single micro-fabricated solvent-free air cathode all-paper MFC generated a current of 7,500 nA, an operating voltage of 302 mV, and a maximum power of 3,330 nW (8.33 mW/m²). The proposed solvent-free air cathode paper-based MFC device could be used as an environmentally-friendly power source for disposable diagnostic devices.

Material and Methods
Reagents and materials. Tryptic soy broth was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All reagents were of analytical grade and all solutions were prepared using 18.3 MΩ·cm distilled water. Wild-type Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 strain was purchased from ATCC (number 700550). Four types of pencils were purchased from STAEDTLER Mars GmbH & Co. KG (Nuremberg, Germany). A flexible graphite sheet (125-μm-thick and 99.8% pure) was purchased from GoodFellow (Corapolis, PA, USA). Whatman cellulose chromatography paper (Grade 1, 180-μm-thick) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Parchment paper (<50-μm-thick) was purchased from Reynolds (Richmond, VA, USA) and 841 Super Shield nickel conductive coating aerosol was purchased from MG chemical (Ontario, Canada).

Instrumentation. The electrical potential between the anode and the cathode was measured using a data acquisition device (GL820, GRAPHTEC, Yokohama, Japan) with a 1 s interval. A load resistance of 100 kΩ was used to flow the current across the circuit. The resistances of the pencil-traced electrodes and Ni-coated paper were measured using a multi-meter (Fluke-115, Fluke, Everett, WA, USA).

The morphologies of the pencil traces on paper and the air cathode battery were investigated using an S-4700 field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX; 7200-H, HORIBA, Northampton, England) and a SENTERRA confocal Raman system (Bruker Optics Inc., Billerica, MA, USA) with a 785 nm diode laser source and a 20× objective lens were used to examine the elemental composition.

Fabrication of air cathode paper-based battery. A paper-based air cathode battery consisting seven layers (Fig. 1) was fabricated with Whatman filter paper and parchment paper. Each layer primarily consisted of two parts: a 20 × 20 mm² hydrophilic bacteria-active area and a hydrophobic barrier with a width of 5 mm (Fig. S1). The parchment paper was cut into 30 × 30 mm² squares for the PEM layer. The other layers, which were designed by AutoCAD (Autodesk, San Rafael, CA, USA), were printed on 30 × 30 mm² pieces of paper using a Xerox ColorQube 8570N printer (Fuji Xerox, Tokyo, Japan). Uniform impregnation of wax on the paper was performed in a drying oven at 130 °C for 45 s, after which the paper was dried at room temperature. An inlet reservoir (cover layer) and the hydrophilic bacteria-active area (hollow layer) were cut using a 4-mm-diameter biopsy punch and a blade, respectively. The graphite was deposited by tracing a pencil on the hydrophilic areas of the anode and cathode layers. These were connected to wires made from nickel-coated paper (Fig. S3). The anode chamber layer and PEM layer were prepared without additional chemical treatments. Assembly of the seven prepared layers (Fig. S2) was completed using a glue stick (Fig. 1B).

Battery operation. The two wires of the fabricated air cathode paper-based battery were connected to the data acquisition device at room temperature. It maintained the gap between the air cathode battery and floor to expose all air cathodes to the air. 300 μL of an anolyte containing wild-type Shewanella Oneidensis MR-1 was introduced onto the inlet of the cover layer with a 1 mL syringe. The potentials of the open circuit and the closed circuit (with a resistance of 100 kΩ) were measured for a period of 100 min. The current was calculated with the resistor using Ohm’s law and the output power.
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