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Abstract

Background: Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) architecture and ultrastructure plays a crucial role in regulating and coordinating the cellular functions and provides a physical architecture, mechanical stability, and biochemical cues necessary for tissue morphogenesis and homeostasis. No study consciously reported the variation in architecture, ultrastructure, and morphology of leukocyte-rich PRF (L-PRF) and injectable PRF (i-PRF).

Objective: Hence, the present study was aimed to evaluate the fibrin architecture, ultrastructure, and cell contents of autologous L-PRF and i-PRF.

Materials and Methods: The autologous L-PRF and i-PRF were prepared from blood samples of healthy donors. The morphological and structural variations were assessed by histopathology, atomic force microscopy, confocal laser scanning microscope, and field emission scanning electron microscope.

Results: Disparity was found on architecture and ultrastructure of L-PRF and i-PRF fibrin network. The variation in platelet and leukocyte concentration attributed to the fibrin conformational changes. L-PRF shows thick fibrins with rough surface, whereas in i-PRF, smooth thin fibrins.

Conclusions: The current study revealed that there is heterogeneity between L-PRF and i-PRF fibrin matrix architecture, ultrastructure, platelets, leukocytes, and the fibrin content. These speculate that the diameter, width, roughness, and smoothness of fibrin fibers, pore size, and shapes of L-PRF and i-PRF matrix may initiate and mediate the scaffold functions differently.
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Introduction

Everyday thousands of surgical procedures are performed, which often results in damage and degeneration of tissue.[¹] Removal of impacted third molar is one of the most common surgical procedures in the oral maxillofacial surgery.[²] Impacted third molar often prone to clinical diseases such as pericoronitis, adjacent teeth damage, and temporomandibular joint disorder. It is also a potential cause of odontogenic cysts and tumors. Among mandibular third molar extraction, horizontal and vertically impacted teeth are difficult to remove. Depending on the degree of difficulty index, the complication also varies and some are still challenging to treat. Intense inflammatory pain, trismus, dry socket, swelling, permanent nerve damage, and serious infections are the most common complications.[³]

Wound healing of extraction socket is a complex sequence of cellular physiology, biochemical and molecular process involving various proteins, growth factors (GFs), hormones, chemokines, and cytokines. It is a dynamic process that occurs in three phases (1) inflammatory, (2) proliferative, and (3) remodeling. To certain extend, these phases overlap each other during repair and regeneration.[⁴] GFs such as platelet-derived GF-AB, vascular endothelial GFs, transforming GF-β1, epidermal GFs, insulin-like GF-1, and pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines induce
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cell proliferation, differentiation, angiogenesis, osteogenesis, and neurogenesis that are essential elements for a normal healing.  

Harmonious interaction among GFs, chemokines, cytokines and their regulators shift the healing process towards tissue regeneration. Any dysregulation in the network harmony makes them a chronic wound or repairs it with scar tissue. Therefore, to reduce the postoperative complications to enhance repair and regeneration, the implantation of bioactive surgical additives and scaffolds at the site of extraction socket is a rapidly growing trend in oral and maxillofacial surgery. In the past few decades, various scaffolds have been tried to fulfill the need, especially in patients on anticoagulant therapy in whom regular drug regimen cannot be altered, immunocompromised, immunosuppressive, debilitative diseases and diabetics in whom normal healing is challenging. Despite promising results, many of these scaffolds are not sufficient enough to act solely as a single surgical additive to induce various GFs that are crucial for healing and regeneration. To overcome these shortcomings, implantation of platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) has paid greater attention nowadays, to enhance healing.

PRF is a second-generation platelet concentrate; protocol for the preparation is open access, simple, and cost-effective. The architecture of implanted scaffold should act as a template and provide an appropriate environment for biological, biochemical, and biophysical stimuli in the form of bioreactor to enhance healing. It can also act as a bridging molecule that interacts with site of injury or inflammation to modulate platelet activation to release cytokines, proliferation, and differentiation of cells of various types, especially leukocytes that play an important role in immunology. The fibrin architectural organization determines the physicochemical reactions between cells and scaffolds. Immediately after implantation, cells come into contact with the scaffold and mediate cell adhesions and provide signals through the receptors, mainly integrins. However, the surface structure and fibrin morphology determine the interaction between cells and scaffolds. In addition, architecture also plays a crucial role in regulating the spatial distribution of cells and enhances the migration of differentiated fibroblast and endothelial cells that aid angiogenesis. Although the positive effects of PRF have been extensively studied, no study consciously reported the surface topography (fibrin fiber softness and stiffness, smoothness, and roughness), microstructure (porosity, pore size, pore shape, interconnectedness, and surface area) ultrastructure, and morphology of different types of PRF. Hence, the main objective of our study was to evaluate the fibrin matrix topography, especially architecture, ultrastructure, and morphology of leukocyte-rich PRF (L-PRF) and injectable PRF (i-PRF).

**Materials and Methods**

**Preparation of leukocyte-rich platelet-rich fibrin and injectable platelet-rich fibrin**

The objective and study design was approved by the Institutional Human Ethical Committee (IHEC/0490/2019), Rajah Muthiah Medical College and Hospital, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu, India. Blood sample was collected from healthy volunteers. Before enrollment, verbal and written information about the study was explained and signed consent forms were received from the participants. Criteria for exclusion were past 3-month history of medications, smoking, pregnancy, and systemic disorders. In addition, participants with hemoglobin concentration <12 g/dl and platelet numbers ≤150 × 10^3/µl were also excluded. Autologous L-PRF and i-PRF were prepared through previous protocols.

**Histopathology**

After centrifugation, the L-PRF and i-PRF were carefully retrieved from the tubes without damage. The collected PRF samples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 24 h, and the fixed samples were embedded in paraffin. Using rotary microtome, 3–5 µm sections were obtained, mounted on glass slide, stained with hematoxylin-eosin, viewed, and photographed under an optical microscope.

**Confocal laser scanning microscopy**

Three-dimensional structure of L-PRF and i-PRF were analyzed using a spinning-disk confocal laser scanning microscope system (CLSM) (Carl Zeiss AG). To visualize the cytoskeleton of the fibrin, L-PRF and i-PRF were treated with double staining acridine orange and ethidium bromide for 15 min, and the excess and unbound dyes were removed by subsequent washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then fixed on a glass slide. The prepared samples were sealed with coverslip using clear nail polish. Each prepared PRF sample was viewed at 490–494 nm wavelength for the excitation and emission wavelength at 520–525 nm. The images were obtained using ZEN 9.0 software (Carl Zeiss AG).

**Atomic force microscopy**

The freshly prepared autologous L-PRF and i-PRF were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.2 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2) for 1 h and postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide. The fixed samples were dehydrated with 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, and 100% ethanol series for 10 min each. The fixed sample was analyzed on the same day without storage or preservation. The surface topography of L-PRF and i-PRF was evaluated using a multimode atomic force microscopy (AFM) (Bruker, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) operating in tapping mode at a scan rate of 0.7 Hz. All measurements were performed in air, using a sharp AFM probe (TEPSSA-V2, Bruker, Santa Barbara, CA, USA), with a spring constant of 37 N/m, tip curvature radius of 7 nm, and a resonant frequency of 320 KHz. The tapping mode operation was used as it is suitable for weakly adsorbed samples such as PRF. AFM probe was oscillated very close to the sample. Different areas of PRF were randomly selected and scanned. The size of AFM scan is 10 × 10 µm². The experiments were repeated several times to eliminate artifacts. AFM imaging and roughness measurements were processed using the NanoScope analysis 1.3 (Bruker, Santa Barbara, CA, USA). Since the roughness values are sensitive for the scan size, the scan size window was fixed at 10 × 10 µm².
Field emission scanning electron microscopy
The preparation protocol for the field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) sample is similar to that of AFM. The fixed samples were dehydrated with ascending ethanol series and sputter coated with platinum. The specimens were observed and photographed digitally using JSM-7600 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (Jeol, Japan) operating at 5 kV. The average fibrin diameter of PRF was measured using high-magnification images.

RESULTS

Histological observation
H and E staining of L-PRF and i-PRF is shown in Figure 1a and b. Fibrin appears light pink, leukocytes exhibit dark blue color, and platelets are scattered on fibrin-like small spots. Fibrin, which is an activated form of fibrinogen, determines the morphology of fibrin mesh. A close examination of H and E staining exhibits that the L-PRF fibrin fiber network is entrapped with enormous platelets and leukocytes. In contrast, i-PRF was composed of thin fibrin fiber network with few numbers of platelets and leukocytes.

Fibrin ultrastructure analysis by atomic force microscopy
A representative AFM image of PRF is shown in Figure 2. The AFM images revealed nanostructure of fibrin fiber networks formed by L-PRF and i-PRF. The fibrin network of L-PRF fibrins appeared thicker, with a diameter of 620 ± 121 nm and high number of branches [Figure 2a]. Nanofibers in fibrin network of i-PRF appear shorter and narrower, with a diameter of 540 ± 61 nm. The roughness of LPRF is 330 ± 40 nm, whereas it is 220 ± 33 nm for i-PRF, due to less entangled proteins and protofibrils in i-PRF. Thus, there are considerable variations in the nanostructure of L-PRF and i-PRF.

Confocal laser scanning microscopy analysis
Fluorescent staining of PRF showed the structural and cell integrity of fibrin network. Figure 3a shows L-PRF matrix composed of thicker fibrin bundles that formed a complex fibrin fiber network and appeared as red color due to ethidium bromide staining. Enormous platelets and leukocytes were plugged in the fibrin matrix that appeared as pale yellow-green color due to acridine orange staining. Figure 3b i-PRF matrix exhibits homogeneous dispersal of platelets and leukocytes that appear green and the dense fibrin fibril network in red fluorescence. Noticeably, histogram also confirmed the quantitative variations of platelets, leukocytes, and fibrin in L-PRF and i-PRF.

Field emission scanning electron microscopy analysis
FE-SEM micrograph represents the typical architecture of L-PRF and i-PRF fibrin network. In addition, fibrin diameter and pore shape in the fibrin network have been assessed. Figure 4a of L-PRF shows a rough cross-linked fiber of 502 ± 214.15 nm diameter and width of 852.83 ± 106.7 nm with numerous irregular micropores. No cells were found on the surface of the matrix since the cells were entrapped in the inner core of the fibrin mesh. Figure 4b i-PRF shows thin, smooth cross-linked fibers of 610.5 ± 180.57 nm diameter and width of 355.5 ± 116.9 nm. The surface morphology exhibits trimolecular junctions and numerous nanopores that are interconnected. The platelets and leukocytes were embedded on the surface of fibrin mesh.

DISCUSSION
The selection of scaffolds with controlled porosity, pore size, interconnectivity, and mechanical properties is of greater importance for the specific applications in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. The larger surface area of highly porous scaffolds encourages cell attachment, osteointegration, and vascularity. Furthermore, it facilitates a better
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Figure 1: H and E staining of (a) leukocyte-rich platelet-rich fibrin, (b) injectable platelet-rich fibrin histology section, fibrin fibrils stained pink, platelets and leukocytes stained dark blue color
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Figure 2: Topography of atomic force microscopy image of fibrin mesh: (a) Leukocyte-rich platelet-rich fibrin (roughness 330 ± 40 nm), (b) injectable platelet-rich fibrin (roughness 220 ± 33 nm)
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Figure 3: Confocal laser scanning microscopy image of (a) leukocyte-rich platelet-rich fibrin, (b) injectable platelet-rich fibrin, platelets, and leukocytes showed yellow-green fluorescence by AO staining, fibrin showed orange-red fluorescence by EB staining. Histogram peak exhibits the quantity of cells and fibrin content of leukocyte-rich platelet-rich fibrin and injectable platelet-rich fibrin
Thus, the quantitative molecular interaction encourages the polarization of platelets and leukocytes attributed to the stress-induced cytokine expression and mediates the tissue regeneration pathway. Hence, the heterogeneity in cell content may alter the transduction pathway through receptor-mediated cell signaling. Recent studies on the topography of biomaterials confirmed that a cell-shaped mediated mechanism influences macrophage polarization. Thus, macrophage polarization initiated by cytokines and shape-induced polarization follows distinct pathways. M1-polarized cells adopt a round shape; in contrast, cells are elongated due to M2 polarization. Also, they have demonstrated that diagonal geometry scaffolds have greater number of elongated cells. There is increasing evidence that macrophages switch polarization in response to microenvironment cues. Apart from this, topographical cues also contribute to macrophage polarization. In addition, surface chemistry also has an effect on the secretion of cytokine profile of macrophage. Based on architectural and ultrastructure analysis, i-PRF may act as a potent scaffold than L-PRF.

**Conclusions**

The current study revealed that there is heterogeneity between L-PRF and i-PRF fibrin matrix architecture, ultrastructure, platelets, leukocytes, and the fibrin content. These speculate that the diameter, width, roughness, and smoothness of fibrin fibers, pore size, and shapes of L-PRF and i-PRF matrix may initiate and mediate the scaffold functions differently. Furthermore, *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies are required to identify assembly of fibrin fiber in the network formation and also regulates the surface character (smooth or rough) of the fibers in PRF matrix. Indeed, our results of i-PRF support the other results. The discriminant topographical properties of i-PRF are ideal for osteochondral TE applications. The fibrin ratio of L-PRF and i-PRF also differs. It was illustrated that highly cross-linked biomaterials typically and primarily have inflammatory macrophage reaction. Our study results also agree with Sridharan, in that i-PRF possessed remarkably crosslinking of fibrins with smooth surface than L-PRF.
the functional variations of L-PRF and i-PRF for different application in TE, which needs to be addressed.
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