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Abstract: This article investigates the relationship between internal reputation management, Human Resource Management and Organizational performance. In light of the past investigations in related field, it has been demonstrated that people management and a proper leadership style can impact the achievement and the economic development of both the organizations and employees. Therefore, the reason for this paper is to clarify the impacts of HRM and leadership styles on the organizational performance. Our fundamental spotlight is on reputation management, HRM and transformational leadership, and its job in empowering the expansion in organizational performance. Our main focus is on HRM and transformational leadership, and its role in enabling the increase in organizational performance. In this study we have found that HRM practices and transformational leadership has a significant and positive impact on job satisfaction, work efficiency, employee’s turnover and employee’s moral which leads towards organisational success.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In view of a few kinds of research, it has been seen that the achievement of any organization relies upon the people working in it, and this is conceivable just when people are overseen appropriately. Universally, organizations are endeavouring to accomplish economical competitive advantage through their human resource and the cycles for overseeing them. As per researchers, people management can be a wellspring of competitive advantage and it is hard to emulate. (Pfeffer, 1994; Huselid, 1995; Barney, 1997; Harel and Tzafrir, 1999 etc.).

Prarthana Ghosh (2019) characterized People management as a bunch of practices that envelop the start to finish cycles of talent acquisition, talent optimization, and talent retention while offering proceeded with help for the business and direction for the employees of an organization. The shade of people management, a key sub-set of human resource management, along these lines covers all parts of how people work, behave, engage and grow at work. The frameworks utilized to oversee people influence the complete workings of the
organization and hence should be clung to as unique individual interconnecting pieces without dismissing the master plan.

The aim of any organization is to endure and support its quality by improving performance. As per Arslan and Staub (2013) with the point of addressing the requirements of the exceptionally competitive markets, organizations should build their performance. As said by before researchers (Peterson et. al., 2003) people management and the job of leadership are fundamentally significant for accomplishing the performance of organizations.

Katou (2017) featured that HRM content is all the more decidedly related to job satisfaction and motivation and less related to organizational commitment than the HRM process. Also, the HRM framework is consecutively related to organizational outcomes and altogether impacts employee job satisfaction and motivation, just as co-operation among employees, and operational performance.

In like manner, more current research (W. Zhu et al., 2005) in the zone of human resource management varies generously from more established research. More seasoned research zeroed in on administrative issues of selection, performance appraisal, compensation, training, and compliance with legal requirements. More current speculating and research additionally takes a gander at how the HRM function might be utilized to serve the strategic interests of the organization and how HRM may build the limit of organizational individuals.

On account of leadership, past researchers zeroed in on command, coordination, delegation, and resource acquisition. The relationship between pioneer or manager and subordinate should be unoriginal and dependent on a trade or exchange of rewards for services. The emphasis was on the productive utilization of resources within the status quo. Later transformational leadership centres on changing the status quo, both the organizational status just as the people within the organization. Strategies for achieving these progressions or transformations contrast greatly from past administrative methods. Here, the emphasis is on the relationship of the pioneer to other organizational individuals just as the effect the pioneer has on others through such wonders as charisma (or admired impact), inspiration, and vision. At the danger of distorting, we may say that the distinction between traditional and later leadership research is the contrast between management and leadership (W. Zhu et al., 2005).

While leadership is a basic segment that impacts the work climate and the manner in which employees see their work (Christian, Garza, and Slaughter, 2011). In particular, transformational leadership practices, for example, intellectual stimulation and individual consideration may induce a steady organizational climate that stimulates undeniable degrees of work engagement (Avolio and Bass, 1995) and upgrades followers’ interior motivation (Avolio and Yammarino, 2002). Further, transformational pioneers who set clear expectations, acclaim employees for good performance, are fair, and are worried about employees may have an impact in achieving sensations of attachment to one’s work and mental Safety (Kahn, 1990; Macey and Schneider, 2008).
Then again, it is viewed as that an organization's prosperity is attributable to organizational performance, employee job satisfaction, and employee affective commitment (Bass and Riggio, 2006) (Drucker, 2007). A few examinations have recommended that leaders motivate and help their employees by utilizing powerful leadership styles to be competitive (Luftman et. al. 2004). Therefore, due to advancing standards of value in the professional improvement of the employees of the organization the pioneer should utilize compelling leadership styles (LaRue, Childs, and Larson, 2006). Various investigations on leadership styles (Kouzes and Posner, 2007) recommend that the performs of transformational leadership positively affects organizational performance.

Leadership is a fundamental factor in improving corporate performance. Pioneers, as the key decision-makers, decide the acquisition, development, and deployment of organizational resources, the transformation of these resources into important products and services, and the conveyance of significant worth to organizational partners. These are thusly solid wellsprings of long haul competitive advantage and management (Rowe, 2001). Though transformational leadership, giving inspiration towards consistent change through romanticized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individual consideration (Avolio and Bass, 2004).

Various investigations have stated positive relationships between transformational leadership and outcomes at the individual level and firm levels (Avollio 1999). Moreover, various relative investigations have likewise announced that transformational leadership behaviors are more positively related to subordinate adequacy in an assortment of organizational settings than are transformational behaviors (Waldman, Ramirez, House, and Puranam, 2001). The transformational conduct of pioneers influences employees’ motivation to make them more aware of their duties outcomes and build up their personal responsibility for the organization's performance. In this study, we address the following research questions for HRM:

Q1: Does Recruitment and selection improve job satisfaction?
Q2: Does Training and development has a positive impact on efficiency of the employees?
Q3: Does Promotion policies reduces employee’s turnover?
Q4: Does Performance related incentives improve employee’s motivation?
Q5: Does HRM practices has a positive impact on organisational performance?
Q6: Does Curiosity of leader have a positive impact on employee’s job satisfaction?
Q7: Does Simplicity of leader reduce employee’s turnover?
Q8: Does Risk taking quality of leader increases employee’s efficiency?
Q9: Does the adaptability of leader have a positive impact on employee’s motivation?
Q10: Does Transformational Leadership have a positive impact on organisational performance?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Link between HRM and Organisational performance

A progression of studies directed in the US in the right on time to mid-1990s to investigate the connections between people management and performance indicate a relationship between packs of superior HR rehearses and organizational performance (Huselid, 1995, Rogers and Wright, 1998).

The Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development proposed that elite working could be perceived as having the following attributes: Achievement of organizational objectives, innovation in quality and customer satisfaction, customer and continuous improvement center, viewing the workplace as a wellspring of added esteem, clear links between training and development and organizational objectives, upheld for organizational and individual learning and use of self-managed work teams. (Stevens and Ashton, 1999 as referred to by John Martins, 2010).

Paul, A. K., and Anantharaman, R. N. (2003) found that not so much as a single HRM practice has a direct causal association with organizational performance. Further, he added that every single HRM practice affects the performance of the organization. Further, HRM practices, for example, training, job design, compensation, and incentives straightforwardly influence the operational performance boundaries, viz., employee retention, employee productivity, product quality, speed of delivery, and operating expense.

Chin et. al. (2010) examined that employees in firms with better business performance have more positive attitudes towards three attitude measures for example in general impression of work, job self-rule, and the apparent link between reward and performance.

It has been examined by Boselie et al. (2005) that, HR rehearses like recruitment and selection, training and development, performance appraisals, performance-related incentives, internal career paths, job security, benefits, grievance systems, empowerment, and information sharing and noticed that reformist HRM rehearses (Delaney and Huselid,1996) including selectivity in staffing, training, and incentive compensation are positively related to perceptual proportions of organizational performance. He further stated that HR works on affecting employee abilities, employee motivation, and the design of work will be positively related to organizational performance.

The operational HRM-performance linkage model by Paauwe and Richardson (1997) contends that HRM outcomes mediate the relationship between HRM exercises and firm performance, and which perceives that HRM outcomes associate HRM arrangements to business performance, and furthermore it accepts that HRM approaches and business strategies are independent. HRM strategies may assume a significant part in building the organization's human. Boxal and Steeneveld (1999) furthermore stated that HRM strategies straightforwardly affect
employee attributes, for example, abilities, attitudes, and conduct, the alleged HRM outcomes, which are in this way translated into improved organizational performance.

Jaap Paauwe (2004) proposes a model that contends that there are explicit links between HR strategies, (for example, rewards, recognition, and Development, and performance management) and HR outcomes, (for example, motivation, job satisfaction, and learning ability). Measuring the effect of HRM relies on the detail of the approaches, and these are the switches for achieving strategic objectives. HRM-related outcomes, for example, turnover, absenteeism, job satisfaction, commitment, and others, or different organizational outcomes, for example, productivity, quality, service, efficiencies, customer satisfaction (Dyer and Reeves, 1995).

On the basis of various studies regarding HRM practices like Recruitment & Selection, Training & Development, Promotional policies and performance related incentives increases the employee’s satisfaction, efficiency end motivation which leads towards organisational performance.

2.2. Link between Transformational Leadership and Organisational performance

Transformational pioneers are relied upon to move their supporters to take greater responsibility for work, allowing the pioneer to adjust devotees to undertakings that enhances their performance.

According to Bass and Rigio (2006), transformational leadership is comprised of four main parts: mystique, inspiration, intellectual stimulation, and individual consideration. According to Dvir, Kass, and Shamir (2004), transformational leadership includes the idea of commonly helpful goals, motivation, emotional connection, vision, and common values.

Transformational leadership assists organizations with attaining their present objectives more proficiently by linking job performance to esteemed rewards and by ensuring employees have the resources expected to take care of business. Transformational pioneers create a strategic vision, communicate that vision through enclosing and use of image, model the vision by "walking the discussion" and acting reliably, and fabricate commitment towards the vision (Avolio, Bass, and Jung, 1999).

Further, Lea Sean (2019) indicated that the cutting edge thought of Transformational Leadership is based around 4 components depicted by Bernard A. Bass in 1985: Idealized Influence, Intellectual Stimulation, Individualized Consideration, and Inspirational Motivation.

According to Chen, et al. (2006), organizational performance implies the "transformation of inputs into yields for achieving certain outcomes. With respect to its substance, performance informs about the relation between minimal and effective cost (economy), between effective cost and acknowledged yield (productivity) and between yields and accomplished the result (effectiveness)."

Bolman and Deal (2003) stated that there are four main dominant methodologies: Goal Approach. People create organizations for a particular reason
which is determined by the partners to be used in measuring the organizational performance. Organizational performance is the ability of the organization to accomplish its goals. (DeClerk, 2008 and Scott and Davis, 2015).

Zhu, et al. (2005), examined a positive relationship between the transformational leadership style and organizational performance. Further additionally demonstrated that effective human resources management arbitrates the relationship between leadership and performance through increased commitment, higher motivation, and intellectual motivation.

Similarly, Kieu (2010) underlined that transformational leadership relates positively and essentially to organizational performance and it uncovered that transformational leadership is a more grounded expert for the performance, satisfaction, and commitment within organizations by building commitment, empowerment, and demanding a more serious level of regard and trust for the leader. Intellectual stimulation and inspirational motivation were found to improve organizational performance (Hancott, 2005). In view of the investigations on leadership style and organizational performance the organizations today must be performant and to meet the competing expectations of the partners in a way that is evident and moral, it is fundamental that leaders embrace transformational behaviors as the endurance of the organizations may rely upon it. So the characteristics of a leader like Curiosity, Simplicity, Risk-taking quality, and Adaptability increase the subordinate's job satisfaction, work productivity, and motivation which assume a crucial part in organizational performance.

**Figure 1:** Conceptual structure of the study
3. DISCUSSION

Human resource management (HRM) plays a critical role in this communication process between the leader and the members of the organization. Without human resource management’s staffing, training, and communication, the vision of the leader is not effectively transmitted. For the vision to become a reality, the leader has to rely on human resource management to help employees to become passionate and excited about it, and the leader has to provide employees with a blueprint on how to achieve the vision. Passion comes from commitment and involvement which come from job and organizational changes created by human resource management. That is, employees must be empowered so that they can enact the leader’s vision.

Further, transformational leaders’ individualized consideration of the needs of their employees may induce them to create human–capital-enhancing human resource management practices to motivate and empower followers. Transformational leaders pay attention to individual and personal difference in needs development and growth and provide necessary resources to help followers to realize their dreams. Positive human resource management practices such as staffing, training, performance appraisal, and compensation systems are the means whereby leaders express their individual consideration of employees.

Although many researches have gathered evidence suggesting that HR practices lead to positive HR results in the employees, such as motivation, job satisfaction, and improved social and working climate, there are also studies finding quite the opposite. Transformational leadership is more effective at urging supporters to think all the more dissimilarly and to receive generative and exploratory reasoning cycles that yield more inventive thoughts and solutions for the accomplishment of the organisational goals and objectives.

4. CONCLUSION

While past examination has set up that there exists an association between HRM policies and organizational performance, HRM is viewed as information and organizational performance as yield, considering too that HRM policies are additionally impacted by possibilities, for example, business strategies. Besides, it contends that HRM policies are broadly, straightforwardly and totally identified with organizational performance. What's more, it accepts that HRM policies are affected by business strategies.

Taking everything into account, we could say that despite the fact that previous researchers have set up that there exists a connection between HRM policies and organizational performance, the association including the two is interceded through the HRM outcomes of conduct, attitude, and skills, and this is constrained by business strategies, organizational setting, and different possibilities. Thus, this examination not just concurs that HRM policies affect organizational performance, however it also clarifies how HRM has added to
organizational performance through employee training and development, reward management, and so on which will doubtlessly bring about employee loyalty and improved customer service.

While Transformational leaders listen attentively and pay special attention to followers’ needs for achievement and growth by acting as mentors or coaches, by creating effective or human–capital enhancing HRM, and by encouraging followers to take on responsibility in order to develop their potential.

5. PROPOSED FUTURE RESEARCH

Deliberately for the development of effective organizations, understanding the association between transformational leadership and organizational performance is a significant factor. Then again, finding the methodologies and techniques to build up the performance of the employees is a significant obligation for the present leaders. From the writing assessment, thus, there is a positive relationship between the transformational leadership style and the performance of the organizations. Notwithstanding, the idea of this relation has not been investigated at this point, just as how and why leadership influences performance. Consequently, future investigations might be directed to address this issue.
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