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ABSTRACT: Interfacing solid-state nanopores with biological systems has been exploited as a versatile analytical platform for analysis of individual biomolecules. Although clogging of solid-state nanopores due to nonspecific interactions between analytes and pore walls poses a persistent challenge in attaining the anticipated sensing efficacy, insufficient studies focus on elucidating the clogging dynamics. Herein, we investigate the DNA clogging behavior by passing double-stranded (ds) DNA molecules of different lengths through hafnium oxide (HfO₂)-coated silicon (Si) nanopore arrays, at different bias voltages and electrolyte pH values. Employing stable and photoluminescent-free HfO₂/Si nanopore arrays permits a parallelized visualization of DNA clogging with confocal fluorescence microscopy. We find that the probability of pore clogging increases with both DNA length and bias voltage. Two types of clogging are discerned: persistent and temporary. In the time-resolved analysis, temporary clogging events exhibit a shorter lifetime at higher bias voltage. Furthermore, we show that the surface charge density has a prominent effect on the clogging probability because of electrostatic attraction between the dsDNA and the HfO₂ pore walls. An analytical model based on examining the energy landscape along the DNA translocation trajectory is developed to qualitatively evaluate the DNA–pore interaction. Both experimental and theoretical results indicate that the occurrence of clogging is strongly dependent on the configuration of translocating DNA molecules and the electrostatic interaction between DNA and charged pore surface. These findings provide a detailed account of the DNA clogging phenomenon and are of practical interest for DNA sensing based on solid-state nanopores.

INTRODUCTION

Nanopores have emerged as a special class of single-molecule analytical tool that offers immense potential for sensing and characterizing biomolecules such as nucleic acids and proteins.1−3 Typically, the nanopore measurement involves applying an external bias voltage to electrophoretically and/or electroosmotically drive biomolecules through nanopores in an insulating membrane. By analyzing ionic current changes, characteristic information of the passing biomolecules is obtained.4 An addition to the resistive pulse sensing method is a variety of sensing modalities that solid-state nanopores (SSNPs) can offer, including multicolor discrimination of labeled DNAs and polypeptides,5,6 ultrasensitive detection of proteins using nanopore blockade sensors,7 optical profiling based on local plasmonic effect,8 and selective sensing with nanopore-extended field-effect transistors.9,10 Compared to their biological counterparts, the remarkable versatility of SSNPs is due to their wide-range tunability in pore geometries and dimensions as well as mechanical robustness and stability. An added advantage with SSNPs is the compatibility of their fabrication with control electronics as well as optical measurement structures.11−13 One major limitation of SSNPs is the nonspecific interaction between biomolecules and their sidewalls,14,15 which is an outcome of hydrophobic interaction,16,17 electrostatic attraction,18,19 and van der Waals forces.20,21 These contributing forces can lead to adhesion of biomolecules and clog of the pores, which adversely affect the detection of molecule translocation and the sensing reliability.

To minimize the nonspecific interaction, various coating strategies for SSNPs have been pursued, particularly for sensing proteins and polypeptides, such as employing surfactants,12,23 chemical modification via salinization,24 self-assembled monolayers of thiols on gold,25,26 and coating of the fluid lipid bilayer.27,28 While applying organic coatings has proven to be effective on reducing nonspecific interaction, long-term stability and success rate of preparing high-quality organic coatings remain challenging for quantitative and reproducible nanopore experiments.19 For DNA sensing, the most common pretreatment of SSNP devices, such as silicon nitride (SiNx) SSNPs and glass nanopipettes, is an aggressive chemical cleaning using a mixture of H₂SO₄ and H₂O₂ to render a hydrophilic surface for unperturbed translocation.29,30 However, under the continuous passage of considerable...
amounts of DNA molecules during the measurement, the tendency to interact with pore walls can still lead to occasional DNA clogging in the pore. Direct observation based on fluorescence microscopy of double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) clogging in SiN$_x$ pores of 5.8 and 100 nm in diameter has been demonstrated.\textsuperscript{31,32} Lately, it has also been found that circular dsDNA is more prone to clog than linear dsDNA of similar length in relatively large nanopores of 100 and 200 nm.\textsuperscript{33} These results from aforementioned studies were conducted by repeated observation of DNA clogging using single nanopores, which conveys a rather limited amount of data to deepen the understanding. Further, little effort has been dedicated to investigating the DNA clogging phenomenon on sub-20 nm pores, the size that is relevant for converting DNA translocation signals to useful information about the DNA sequence. In short, a detailed understanding of the clogging mechanism of DNA molecules and the governing experimental factors is still lacking. All this motivates the present work to systematically investigate the DNA clogging behavior in sub-20 nm nanopores by direct optical observation, especially focusing on its dependence on DNA length, applied voltage, and surface charge.

The corresponding experimental design should be based upon a nanopore device that enables a reliable and quantitative recognition of DNA clogging events. Even though SiN$_x$ nanopores are widely used to perform electrical sensing of DNA molecules, the SiN$_x$ membrane produces significant photoluminescence (PL) under illumination in the blue-green spectrum range, thereby limiting its applicability in optical sensing.\textsuperscript{34} Furthermore, a number of publications have reported that SiN$_x$ and silicon (Si)/silicon dioxide (SiO$_2$) pores suffer from slow erosion during the measurement, which originates from SiO$_2$ in pore walls being dissolved into salt solutions.\textsuperscript{35,36} A variety of experimental factors can affect the etch rate of this erosion process, including salt concentration, temperature, pH value, and applied voltage.\textsuperscript{37,38,39} Under certain conditions, for example, high applied voltage or high salt concentration under continuous operation, the etch rate can be sufficiently fast to result in a noticeable pore expansion and uncertainty in quantitative experiments. Recently, coating of SiN$_x$ by hafnium oxide (HfO$_2$) and fabrication of HfO$_2$ pores has been demonstrated as an effective solution to preventing pore expansion for long-term measurements or repeated usage.\textsuperscript{37,40,41} Additionally, an HfO$_2$ coating layer is readily wettable and can be easily prepared by means of atomic layer deposition (ALD), making it a promising coating strategy for SSNP sensors.

In this study, we employ an optical sensing platform with HfO$_2$-coated Si nanopore arrays to investigate the DNA clogging phenomenon by means of confocal fluorescence microscopy (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). The employment of HfO$_2$/Si nanopore arrays enables a high signal-to-background ratio (SBR) in optical readout for the identification of clogging events and allows for multiple usage of the extended measurements with preserved pore geometry. In addition, using SSNP arrays greatly improves the detection throughput via parallelized visualization. An important advantage is that the clogging probability of dsDNA for various DNA lengths, applied voltages, and solution pH values can be examined real time. Finally, an analytical model is developed by considering both the energy landscape along the DNA translocation trajectory and probable DNA configurations in order to assist our understanding of the experimental results.

### METHODS

**Nanopore Array Fabrication.** Nanopore array chips were fabricated from a double-side polished silicon-on-insulator wafer with a 55 nm thick Si device layer and a 150 nm thick buried SiO$_2$ layer. After standard wafer cleaning, a 30 nm thick low-stress SiN$_x$ layer was deposited on both sides using low-pressure chemical vapor deposition. As a hardmask, the front SiN$_x$ layer was first patterned with nanopore arrays by means of electron beam lithography (EBL) and reactive ion etching (RIE). Next, large cavities were etched in the substrate from the rear side of the wafer by a combination of deep RIE and KOH etching (at 80 °C) to stop on the buried oxide layer (BOX) with the front side of the wafer protected. A second KOH etching (at 30 °C) was then performed to transfer the patterned nanopores in the SiN$_x$ hardmask to the Si device layer. The anisotropic etch of Si in KOH solution resulted in a truncated pyramidal shape of nanopores. After the removal of the exposed BOX layer and the top SiN$_x$ hardmask using hydrofluoric acid etching and RIE, respectively, truncated-pyramid nanopore arrays were formed in the free-standing silicon membrane. Finally, the nanopore arrays were coated with a 5 nm thick HfO$_2$ by means of ALD.

**Optical Setup and Image Analysis.** A customized PEEK fluidic cell chamber was made, which allowed the mounted nanopore chips to be illuminated and fluorescence signals in the nanopore region to be collected. A pair of pseudo reference Ag/AgCl electrodes was mounted in the electrolyte-filled chambers to apply the external bias voltage. The bottom of the cell chamber was sealed using a 0.17 mm thick cover glass thereby allowing for a short working distance in optical observation. The optical observation was conducted using a confocal laser scanning microscope (TCS SP8, Leica) with a hybrid GaAsP detector for improved sensitivity of the fluorescence. For all measurements, the fluorescence data were acquired using an HC PL APO 63×/1.40 oil objective (NA = 1.3, Leica) under a 532 nm laser excitation. Images were processed and analyzed by using Fiji/ImageJ software.

**DNA Preparation.** DNA ladders with various lengths of 1 kbp, 5 kbp, 10 kbp, and 20 kbp were purchased from Fisher Scientific. TOTO-1, an intercalating fluorescent DNA dye, was used to label the DNA molecules with a nucleotide to dye ratio of 10:1. A final 100 pM concentration of labeled DNA was added to a 100 mM KCl solution.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nanopore fabrication and the effect of HfO$_2$ coating will be first presented. Clogging will then be characterized by its behavior and dynamics. This is followed by the presentation of probable effects of the surface charge on clogging. A phenomenological model is proposed to account for the observed clogging phenomenon.

#### Characterization of HfO$_2$-Coated Si Nanopore Arrays.

In order to manufacture HfO$_2$-coated nanopore arrays dedicated to fluorescence detection, we developed a wafer-scale fabrication method based on a previously established process.\textsuperscript{5,44} The fabrication process is described in detail in the Methods section, and a step-by-step process flow is provided in Figure S2 in the Supporting Information. Briefly, the process consists of two major parts: (1) fabrication of Si
nanopore arrays utilizing EBL and anisotropic etching of Si and (2) removal of the SiN$_x$ hardmask with RIE followed by HfO$_2$ coating by means of ALD.

The bright-field image of a free-standing HfO$_2$/Si membrane is shown in Figure 1a (left). A nanopore array is in fact located in the center of this view field. The nanopore array that is visible in the transmission mode of white light facilitates its localization during the optical measurements. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images display a well-defined 10-by-10 nanopore array with a pore spacing of 1 μm in Figure 1a (middle) and a single nanopore with its bottom opening diameter of approximately 15 nm in Figure 1a (right). Corresponding cross-sectional SEM images in Figure 1b demonstrate the evolution of nanopore shape at different steps along the fabrication process: (i) the initial Si pore in the truncated-pyramidal geometry resulting from the anisotropic etching of the Si crystal in KOH solutions,

with the size of its bottom opening determined by the combination of the top opening size and the membrane thickness; (ii) a slightly concaved interior of the Si pore caused by the RIE for removal of the PL-generating SiN$_x$ mask layer; and (iii) the conformal 5 nm thick HfO$_2$ coating of the nanopore resulting in a homogeneously shrunk pore with a rounded corner. Nonuniformity in pore size across a nanopore array persists, though not severe. It mainly results from the EBL step when defining the pre-designed nanoscale windows in the SiN$_x$ hardmask. It could be slightly amplified when transferring the windows to the SiN$_x$ layer by RIE and further to the underlying Si layer by KOH etching. Process variations as well as nonuniformity with ALD are usually negligible. For instance, the diameter of bottom openings in a typical nanopore array after the conformal HfO$_2$ coating measures 15 nm with a standard deviation of 3 nm (see Figure S3 in the Supporting Information). The geometry and elemental composition of the HfO$_2$ nanopores were further corroborated using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis. The bright-field TEM image in Figure 1c shows the top opening of the pore (with a rounded rectangular shape), which is obviously transferred from an elliptical window in the SiN$_x$ mask caused by the occasional deflection of the electron beam during EBL writing. The quantitative EDX mapping images in Figure 1c show the 2D spatial composition of the three involved elements with a detection limit of 0.5 at. %. The Si signature (red) is strong from the surrounding membrane and starts decreasing from the top edge of the pore. Conversely, the EDX maps of Hf
(purple) and O (green) display a gradual increase in atomic percentage along the radius of the pore toward the center. Hence, the HfO$_2$ layer has shown to conformally cover the truncated-pyramidal Si pore and the results EDX are consistent with the SEM data.

In our studies, PL of the membrane is detrimental because it degrades the SBR and impedes the recognition of single-clogged DNA molecules, particularly for short-length DNA with relatively weak fluorescence. To evaluate the PL emission of the as-fabricated HfO$_2$/Si membrane and to compare it to the widely used SiN$_x$ membrane, the PL spectrum of the following three different membranes was recorded under excitation at 532 nm: a 25 nm thick SiN$_x$ membrane, a 10 nm thick HfO$_2$ membrane, and a 55 nm thick Si membrane. These different membrane materials were all prepared with the same methods as used in our nanopore device fabrication. The SiN$_x$ membrane exhibits an intense and broad PL emission in the range of 550–830 nm in Figure 1d. In contrast, the HfO$_2$ and Si membranes produce negligible PL in the same wavelength range. Hence, the removal of the SiN$_x$ mask layer in the fabrication process is a prerequisite to render a PL-free membrane. The stark difference is attributed to the large band gap of amorphous HfO$_2$ (5.8 eV) and the small indirect band gap of Si (1.11 eV), both minimizing the light absorption in the blue to green spectrum range. While previous reports have demonstrated the potential of using titanium oxide (TiO$_2$), PL suppressed SiN$_x$, Si, and SiO$_2$ membranes for sophisticated optical nanopore sensing, hereon, we note that HfO$_2$ represents to be a further promising candidate material for such a purpose. In addition, the high chemical stability of HfO$_2$ is vital for retaining constant pore geometry.

**DNA Clogging Behavior in Nanopore Arrays.** All the clogging observations in this work were performed on nanopore arrays with an average diameter of 15 nm. To examine the DNA clogging behavior and take advantage of the array form of pores, real-time visualization of DNA clogging in nanopore arrays was performed using confocal fluorescence microscopy. A customized fluidic cell was made to perform the optical observation (see Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). Different lengths of dsDNA molecules ranging from 1 kbp to 20 kbp were labeled with TOTO-1 fluorescent dye and prepared with a final concentration of 100 pM in KCl.

**Figure 2.** Behavior of DNA clogging in HfO$_2$-coated nanopore arrays. (a) Fluorescence frames of the 10 kbp dsDNA clogged nanopore array biased at 600 mV. Images are extracted from a real-time recording for 300 s at 3.45 fps frame rate. The white dashed ovals mark two pores that are released from clogging by comparing with the respective state in the previous frames. Scale bar: 2 μm. (b) Images showing the integrated fluorescent signals from 1034 frames (taken in 300 s) for the 10 kbp dsDNA molecules at the pore positions at 200 (i), 400 (ii), and 600 mV (iii). The color scales are set identical and coincide with the intensity range of the integrated gray scale. (c) Mean intensity of the integrated fluorescence signals at different bias voltages in the region of pore positions obtained from (b). (d) Plots of the number of clogged pores by the 10 kbp dsDNA molecules vs time at different bias voltages. The clogging state is evaluated every 3 s. (e) Statistical results of the clogging percentage for 1 kbp, 5 kbp, 10 kbp, and 20 kbp dsDNA measured at 200, 400, and 600 mV. The clogging percentage is extracted from the last frames at the end of the 300 s recording. Mean values and standard deviations from four independent experiments are presented.
solution (pH = 7) (details found in Methods). DNA molecules were injected in the top cis chamber and were electrophoretically/electroosmotically driven across the membrane from the small opening side of the pore under an external bias voltage. The focal plane of the objective lens was set on the trans side of the membrane to detect the fluorescent signals from the clogged DNA molecules under a 514 nm laser excitation. The imaging frame rate to record the clogging phenomenon was 3.45 frames per second. To evaluate the clogging events, the nanopore with residing DNA molecules for more than two sequential frames (over 290 ms) was defined as being clogged because the expected translocation time of DNA molecules with such length scale has been reported to be below 2 ms under similar experimental conditions.49 Occurrence of two individual translocation events captured by two consecutive frames is unlikely to be mistaken as a clogging event in our measurement because less than 1 translocation event per second is expected for individual pores according to the translocation frequency study on similarly sized single pores under comparable experimental conditions.49

A series of fluorescence micrographs are depicted in Figure 2a to visualize how the 10 kbp dsDNA molecules clog in a 10-by-10 nanopore array at a 600 mV transmembrane voltage. At t = 0 s, the 600 mV bias is applied and no localized DNA molecules can be observed in the nanopore region. With passing the DNA molecules through the SSNP array, some of the nanopores become clogged as the displayed fluorescent signals remain constant in Figure 2a. Noticeably, some pores can become declogged and an example is marked by the two dashed white ovals, obviously a temporary clogging case. The clogged pores can also show varying fluorescence intensity as a result of single pores being accreted by multiple DNA molecules, in accordance to previous studies.31,32 Three time-integrated fluorescence images are shown in Figure 2b, each representing the accumulated signals from 1034 frames obtained in a 300 s recording. The difference in the clogging extent of the 10 kbp DNA is observed under different voltage biases. At a bias voltage of 600 mV, a large number of the pores exhibit strong integrated intensity, which can be interpreted as a result of the long-time occupation of DNA molecules in the nanopores and the pores are blocked by multiple DNA molecules. In contrast, only a few pores display discernible integrated intensity in the same color scale at the 200 mV bias. The degree of clogging is further analyzed by extracting the mean intensity in the nanopore region from the time-integrated images. This mean integrated intensity is found in Figure 2c to be significantly higher at 600 mV than that at 200 or 400 mV, indicating a stronger tendency of DNA molecules residing in the nanopores at higher bias voltage. The number of clogged pores is found in Figure 2d to grow with time, and the growth appears to be faster at higher bias voltage. The clogging level is evaluated every 3 s by comparing with the previous frames. Three video clips showing the evolution of fluorescence signals for the 10 kbp dsDNA at different bias voltages are included in the Supporting Information.

To further assess the effect of bias voltage and DNA length on pore clogging, a quantitative analysis of the clogging probability is performed. The percentage of clogging is
evaluated at the end of 300 s recordings. Results of four independent experiments are taken into statistical analysis for each data group. A monotonous increase in clogging percentage with DNA length is evident in Figure 2e, irrespective of bias voltage, which is consistent with previous reports with larger nanopores (100 and 200 nm).33 The bias dependence of clogging is found weaker for the 1 kbp dsDNA than for the longer counterparts. In the used bias range, the clogging percentage is below 10% for the 1 kbp dsDNA, whereas it reaches 30, 40, and 56% for the 5 kbp, 10 kbp, and 20 kbp dsDNA, respectively. Such high clogging probabilities can pose a serious concern for long-term nanopore-based DNA sensing.

It is widely accepted that DNA clogging during the translocation through SSNPs is caused by the nonspecific interaction between DNA molecules and the pore walls. For small nanopores with the diameter comparable to the dsDNA cross-section diameter (2.2 nm), DNA–pore interactions govern the DNA translocation process and as a result contribute to a linearized threading configuration with an extended dwell-time distribution.50,51 However, for nanopores with diameters several times the dsDNA cross-section, the translocation dynamics is weakly influenced by the DNA–pore interactions for translocation in the linear form. With our nanopores with an average diameter of 15 nm, lengthy DNA strands can enter with complex molecular configurations, for example, coils, multiple folding, or knots, in addition to the simple and ideal linear shape. Such specific configurations have been well-characterized using similar-sized pores in previous studies.52–54 The knotting probability of linear dsDNA molecules is experimentally shown to rise with the DNA length, for example, a 13.2% knotting probability is found for 20.7 kbp DNA molecules probed with 20 nm SiNx nanopores.53 Similarly, for DNA strands of longer length, it is relatively favorable in configurational entropy to translocate with folded configurations because of a higher number of conformation choices compared to shorter DNA. Hence, the observed high probability of clogging occurrence as well as the dependence of clogging probability on DNA length is likely induced by the translocating DNA molecules assuming the aforementioned complex configurations. This hypothesis can be rationalized by considering that the tendency of folded or knotted DNA molecules sticking to the pore surface is higher because of an increased area of interaction and a shortened distance between each DNA segment and pore walls. As for the voltage dependence of clogging probability, it can be attributed to the difference in translocation frequency. The translocation of dsDNA molecules in large SSNPs follows a linear dependence of translocation frequency on voltage and is dominated by a barrier-free capture process.55 Translocations of a 15 nm nanopore by dsDNA of 5 kbp, 10 kbp, and 20 kbp lengths exhibit a length-independent translocation frequency in 1 M KCl electrolyte, which is linked to a drift-dominated transport process.50 As our measurements are performed using 15 nm SSNPs in 100 mM KCl solution, the translocation frequency is expected to be linearly dependent on the applied voltage and independent of the DNA length. Hence, it is reasonable to ascribe the observed increasing clogging probability with voltage to an increased translocation frequency.

Time-Resolved Temporary Clogging Behavior. To investigate the temporary clogging events, the $x$–$t$ scan mode provided by the confocal microscope was employed to acquire fine time-resolved images. The $x$–$t$ scans were implemented with a resonant 1.8 kHz laser scanner to monitor a row of nanopores in the array, as shown in Figure 3a (left), enabling a temporal resolution of 0.56 ms to record the local fluorescence variations. Each row consists of 10 nanopores by design, and they are, therefore, simultaneously monitored. The representative $x$–$t$ scan image of 10 kbp dsDNA translocating the pores at 400 mV displayed in Figure 3a (right) clearly shows a temporary clogging event with a fluorescence span in the temporal dimension of 283 ms as well as persistent clogging of the pore in the middle of the column. Probable DNA translocation events could also be captured by benefiting from the sub-millisecond scan resolution, as noted in Figure 3a. However, such signals cannot be determined unambiguously as DNA translocation events because flying-by or entry-failed DNA molecules might result in similar signals. Nonetheless, clogging events with substantially longer duration times than translocation events can be readily distinguished in the $x$–$t$ scan. Thus, the photoluminescent-free HfO$_2$/Si membrane is a prerequisite because only a high SBR allows for a reliable identification of DNA signals out of the noisy data collected by single line scanning.

To further characterize the temporary clogging behavior, $x$–$t$ scan measurements for 5 kbp and 10 kbp dsDNA at different voltage biases were performed. All the probable translocation events are characterized by an optical dwell-time less than 30 ms, which is longer than the reported electrical dwell-time less than 2 ms under similar conditions. This is expected because DNA molecules with a diffusive motion in the vicinity of a nanopore can still be detected optically while the strong electrical field that determines the electrical sensing range is spatially confined in a much smaller volume. Thereupon, temporary clogging events are defined as fluorescence occurring at pore positions for longer than 60 ms, thereby separating them from the probable translocation events with a large margin. Histograms of the lifetime of over 30 temporary clogging events for each DNA length and voltage are plotted in Figure 3b, wherein the characteristic clogging time scales and the errors are extracted by curve fitting with an exponential function. As can be seen, the observed temporary clogging events occur in a time span of 7000 ms, with the majority of the events having a lifetime below 2000 ms. Notably, with the increase of the applied voltage from 200 to 600 mV, the characteristic clogging time for 5 kbp and 10 kbp dsDNA decreases from $(1.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^3$ ms and $(2.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^3$ ms to $(0.81 \pm 0.13) \times 10^3$ ms and $(0.90 \pm 0.14) \times 10^3$ ms, respectively. Declogging of DNA is likely caused by external forces exerting on the unstably clogged DNA molecules. Two of the forces are (i) electrophoretic force on the strongly negatively charged DNA and (ii) dragging force induced by the electroosmotic flow (EOF). For instance, the observed release of T4 DNA (166 kbp) back to the cis chamber after clogging a 100 nm SiN$_x$ pore was attributed to a dragging force induced by EOF.55 With negatively charged pore walls, the EOF-induced force is known to oppose the electrophoretic force. On the contrary, the near-neutral or positive-charged HfO$_2$ surface at pH 7 (see later), as the isoelectric point of HfO$_2$ is 7–8,56 is expected to induce an EOF force that reinforces the electrophoretic force exerting on the clogged DNA molecules. Therefore, the observed temporary clogging events with our HfO$_2$ SSNPs are most likely ended with DNA translocating to the trans chamber under the combined action of EOF and electrophoresis. Because both the velocity of EOF and the
magnitude of electrophoretic force will rise with increasing electric field strength, the shortened characteristic clogging lifetime at higher bias voltage is interpreted as a result of the stronger acting forces on the clogged DNA molecules. Hence, applying a strong reverse bias voltage may help exempt the pore being in the unstable clog state, as clogging from the opposite side is unlikely because of a substantially low concentration of DNA in the trans chamber. It was indeed observed in our experiments that some of the clogged pores could restitute to an unadulterated condition at a strong reverse bias.

Surface Charge Effect on Clogging Probability. To investigate whether the surface charge property of pore walls has an effect on the DNA clogging or not, the clogging behavior of 5 kbp and 10 kbp dsDNA in nanopore arrays at different electrolyte pH was studied. First, the surface charge density of the pore walls was characterized by measuring the conductance of single nanopores in electrolytes of different conductivities and then fitting the conductance versus conductivity data based on a well-established procedure. The extracted surface charge density is +8.2, +3.9, and −6.2 mC/m² at pH of 5, 7, and 9, respectively; see Figure 4a.

The degree of clogging at different pH was evaluated based on a quantitative analysis of the pore clogging percentage after 300 s optical observation at 200 mV. The average clogging percentage displayed in Figure 4b for 5 kbp and 10 kbp dsDNA at pH 5 is 27.75 and 37.5%, respectively, significantly higher than those (15−20%) at pH 7 and pH 9. Because the more protonated surface at pH 5 results in higher positive surface charge density, the negatively charged DNA molecules are exposed to a stronger electrostatic attraction force than that at pH 7. A higher possibility of clogging occurrence is anticipated. Similar effects have been reported for nanopores coated with organic substances; the more positively charged pores display longer DNA translocation dwell time, indicating a stronger electrostatic interaction between the negatively charged DNA and the pore surface. However, this simple charge polarity picture cannot fully explain the nearly identical clogging probability at pH 7 and pH 9, as observed in Figure 4b. A further factor to consider is how the EOF and electrophoretic forces can collaboratively play in the DNA−pore interactions. It has been reported that EOF with an opposing direction to electrophoretic force can slow down the translocation speed of DNA molecules. In this regard, the chance for DNA molecules to interact with pore walls will increase. Thus, the hydrophobic interaction or van der Waals forces between DNA and pore surface may still lead to pore clogging. These findings suggest that the DNA clogging probability can be modulated by altering the electrolyte pH, whereas it is affected by two distinct manners: (i) the strength of electrostatic attraction influenced by the surface charge density and (ii) the direction of EOF dragging force determined by the surface charge polarity.

Analytical Model. By referring to the previous theoretical studies, an analytical model is developed to account for the observed DNA clogging phenomenon during the translocation process. In the model, a dsDNA molecule translocates a positively charged conical pore in three basic steps: (i) the front segments of a translocating DNA strand enter the pore from its small opening (the cis side), (ii) the DNA segments transfer to the trans side and the pore is filled with the DNA, and (iii) the tail segments of the DNA strand exit from the pore. Because a translocating DNA may assume complex
configurations with folding or knotting, the model simplifies the translocating DNA strand as a bundle of different number of DNA strands (schematically illustrated in Figure S7 in the Supporting Information). By referring to the established models, the energy of the electric field-driven DNA translocation process is assumed to consist of four energy components: (i) conformational entropic energy of DNA \( E_{\text{en}} \), (ii) electric potential energy of DNA gained form the external electric field \( E_{\text{el}} \), (iii) electrostatic energy \( \varepsilon_Q \), and (iv) hydrophobic interaction energy \( \varepsilon_{\text{hy}} \) between DNA and pore walls (details of mathematical derivation described in the Supporting Information). Therefore, the total energy \( E_t \) of the translocating DNA is given by

\[ E_t = E_{\text{en}} + E_{\text{el}} + \varepsilon_Q + \varepsilon_{\text{hy}} \]  

Typical \( E_t \) landscapes are compared in Figure 5a for a single dsDNA molecule of length 5 kbp \( (N = 5000) \) translocating in a conical pore with its small opening of diameter \( d_p = 15 \text{ nm} \) and surface charge density \( \sigma = +10 \text{ mC/m}^2 \) but at bias voltage \( V = 100 \text{ mV} \) versus \( V = 0 \text{ mV} \). At \( V = 0 \text{ mV} \) (without electrical driving force), the entropic energy dominates with a barrier height of \( 4.5 kT \). Hence, translocation is an unfavorable process because of loss in conformational entropy. At \( V = 100 \text{ mV} \), the electric potential energy dominates with an energy lowering along the translocation trajectory (details of energy landscape at intermediate bias provided in Figure S6). In both cases, the contribution from electrostatic and hydrophobic interaction between DNA and pore surface is negligible because of the large average distance between DNA and pore surface in the unfolded translocating configuration.

As discussed earlier, stronger DNA–pore interactions can lead to longer translocation dwell time for smaller nanopores (only allowing for unfolded translocations). In light of this scenario, the DNA translocation time is examined in our model to evaluate the level of DNA–pore interactions as an important indicator for the occurrence of DNA clogging. Based on the derived energy landscapes, the mean translocation time \( \tau \) can be calculated with reflecting boundary conditions from the following equation \(^1\)

\[ \tau = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^{N+M} dn \int_0^n dn' \exp \left( \frac{E(n)}{kT} - \frac{E(n')}{kT} \right) \]  

where \( \kappa \) is a phenomenological parameter denoting the local friction of the base pair, \( \kappa = 10^8 \text{ is assumed in our calculations.} \)

For four types of translocation configurations with \( N_{\text{strand}} \) to denote the number of bundled DNA strands, the calculated \( \tau \) with different sets of parameters as a function of \( d_p \), \( V \), and \( \sigma \) is plotted in Figure 5b–d, respectively. It is apparent in Figure 5b that for \( d_p > 15 \text{ nm} \), \( \tau \) is only weakly dependent on \( d_p \) and \( N_{\text{strand}} \). In this regime, the situation is analogous to the translocation dominated by the field-driven drifting motion of DNA. For \( N_{\text{strand}} > 1 \) and \( d_p < 15 \text{ nm} \), \( \tau \) increases drastically at different threshold values of \( d_p \) for different \( N_{\text{strand}} \): smaller \( d_p \) allows for translocation of bundles with smaller \( N_{\text{strand}} \) while bundles of a too large \( N_{\text{strand}} \) can immediately clog as indicated by the sharp rises of the curves. Below the limit of direct clogging due to a too large \( N_{\text{strand}} \), the observation of slower translocations for larger bundles (i.e., larger \( N_{\text{strand}} \)) is a result of the DNA–pore interactions that tend to slow down the ejection of the DNA from the pore. The DNA–pore interactions are stronger for larger \( N_{\text{strand}} \) because of a combination of a larger number of interacting base pairs with a shorter average distance between DNA strands and pore walls. In brief, this model, despite its simplicity, appears to provide a good account of our experimental data regarding the clogging dependence on DNA length with a higher clogging probability for longer DNA molecules.

A slight decrease in \( \tau \) with increasing \( V \) above 100 mV is seen in Figure 5c for all studied \( N_{\text{strand}} \), indicating an unperturbed translocation driven predominantly by electro-
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Figure 5. Evolvement of total energy during translocation and dependence of translocation time on a few representative parameters. (a) Energy landscape of DNA translocation at \( V = 0 \text{ mV} \) and \( V = 100 \text{ mV} \), \( M = 200 \) (corresponding to a pore length of 68 nm), \( N = 5000 \) (corresponding to 5 kbp dsDNA), \( d_p = 15 \text{ nm} \), \( \theta = 54.7^\circ \), \( \sigma = +10 \text{ mC/m}^2 \). Different regimes are denoted: (i) DNA entering the pore; (ii) DNA translocating across the pore; and (iii) DNA exiting from the pore. (b) \( \tau \) as a function of \( d_p \) with different \( N_{\text{strand}} \) \( V = 50 \text{ mV} \), and \( \sigma = +10 \text{ mC/m}^2 \). (c) \( \tau \) as a function of \( V \) with different \( N_{\text{strand}} \) \( d_p = 15 \text{ nm} \), and \( \sigma = +10 \text{ mC/m}^2 \). (d) \( \tau \) as a function of \( \sigma \) with different \( N_{\text{strand}} \) \( V = 50 \text{ mV} \) and \( d_p = 15 \text{ nm} \).
The dynamics of dsDNA clogging in HfO₂ nanopores have been systematically investigated using real-time optical observation. By combining a wafer-scale method of fabricating Si nanopore arrays and ALD coating of a highly stable HfO₂ layer, sub-20 nm PL-free nanopore arrays that enable parallelized visualization and reliable determination of DNA clogging events were realized. The real-time characterization reveals that the probability of pore clogging increases with the length of DNA strands and applied bias voltage. The dependence on DNA length can be accounted for by invoking an increased probability of knotting and folding with longer DNA strands, while that on bias is attributed to more frequent translocation events at higher voltage. Additionally, the surface charge on pore walls shows a prominent effect on the probability of DNA clogging through electrostatic attraction and induced EOF. The observed clogging behavior can be well explained by a simple analytical model, where translocation time is employed to evaluate the degree of DNA–pore interactions, which supports the discussion of complex configurations of translocating DNA strands and electrostatic attractions as the root cause. From an application perspective, the occurrence of DNA clogging need be minimized to enable a reliable and prolonged DNA sensing with SSNPs. The presented results shed lights on the DNA clogging phenomenon and can be useful for outlining measures to prevent pore clogging in SSNP-based sensing.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The occurrence of DNA clogging need be minimized to enable a reliable and prolonged DNA sensing with SSNPs. The presented results shed lights on the DNA clogging phenomenon and can be useful for outlining measures to prevent pore clogging in SSNP-based sensing.
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