HIV-1 Drug Resistance in ART-Naïve Individuals in Myanmar
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Background: Estimating the prevalence and characterizing the transmission of HIV-1 drug resistance in treatment-naïve individuals are very important in the prevention and control of HIV/AIDS. As one of the areas most affected by HIV/AIDS, few data are currently available for HIV-1 drug resistance in antiretroviral therapy (ART)-naïve individuals in Myanmar, which borders Yunnan, China.

Methods: HIV-1 pol sequences from ART-naïve HIV-1-infected individuals during 2008 and 2014 in Myanmar were retrieved from our previous studies. HIV-1 transmitted drug resistance (TDR) and susceptibility to antiretroviral drugs were predicted using the Stanford HIVdb program. HIV-1 transmission cluster (TC) was determined by Cluster Picker.

Results: A total of 169 partial pol sequences from ART-naïve HIV-1 positive Burmese were analyzed. The prevalence of TDR was 20.1%. CRF01_AE and BC recombinants appeared to have a higher prevalence of TDR than other subtypes. The V179D/T was found to be very common in the China–Myanmar border region and was involved in half of the transmission clusters formed by HIV-1 drug-resistance strains in this region. Comparison showed that drug-resistance mutation profile in Myanmar was very similar to that in Dehong prefecture of Yunnan. By further phylogenetic analysis with all available sequences from the China–Myanmar border region, four HIV-1 drug-resistance-related TCs were identified. Three of them were formed by Burmese long-distance truck drivers and the Burmese staying in Yunnan, and another was formed by Burmese injection drug users staying in Myanmar and Yunnan. These results suggest a potential transmission link of HIV-1 drug resistance between Myanmar and Yunnan.

Conclusion: Given the high prevalence of TDR in Myanmar, and the potential risk of cross-border transmission of HIV-1 drug-resistant strains between Myanmar and Yunnan, China, ongoing monitoring of HIV-1 drug resistance in ART-naïve individuals will provide a guideline for clinical antiretroviral treatment and benefit the prevention and control of HIV/AIDS in this border region.
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Introduction
According to the World Health Organization (WHO), 23.3 million people living with HIV (PLHIV) had accessed antiretroviral therapy (ART) by the end of 2018 globally.1 The global scale-up of ART has led to a significant decline in HIV-1 related mortality and morbidity.2–4 However, the emergence and spread of HIV-1 drug resistance are major challenges to the long-term success of ART and have important clinical significance and public health implication including increasing risks of first-line ART failure and onward transmission of drug-resistance strains in ART-naïve individuals.5–8 In particular, transmitted drug resistance (TDR) or pre-treatment drug
resistance (PDR) can increase the risk of virological failure (VF), and compromise the long-term effectiveness of recommended first-line ART regimens after initiating ART.9

HIV-1 strains with transmission links can form genetic transmission clusters, which are especially helpful for tracing the transmission source. Intervention for individuals within a large cluster by prioritizing ART is an effective strategy to reduce new infections.10 In recent years, transmission clusters formed by TDR were reported among the ART-naïve individuals in some countries, such as China,11 Denmark,12 Germany,13 Switzerland,14 Britain,15 Greece,5 Italy,16 Spain,17 America,18 and Canada,19 implying the importance of TDR monitoring. Whether the ART rollout in resource-limited settings also drives the formation of transmission clusters of TDR deserves investigation.

Myanmar is a lower-middle-income country with limited resources, located near the “Golden Triangle”, a well-known region producing illicit drugs in Southeast Asia.20,21 Injection drug use has been a main risk factor for HIV-1 epidemic in Myanmar over the past three decades.22 The number of estimated PLHIV in Myanmar was 240,000 in 2018, and 7800 people died from AIDS-related diseases.23 Although the coverage of ART raised about three times from 24% in 2012 to 70% in 2018, Myanmar still faces a heavy burden of HIV/AIDS with 11,000 new infections reported in 2018.24 A recent study focusing on Burmese adolescents and adults receiving first-line ART during 2005 to 2015 reported a high rate (3.2 per 100 person-years follow-up) of virological failure but a low opportunity (1.4 per 100 person-years follow-up) to switch to second-line ART regimens.24 High prevalence of drug resistance among ART-treated individuals can spread HIV-1 drug resistance to ART-naïve individuals, and lead to a high proportion of VF in the latter after they initiate ART.9,25,26

Northern Myanmar borders Yunnan, China, and is the hardest-hit region by the HIV-1 epidemic.27 Our previous studies showed that northern Myanmar had a high prevalence of HIV-1 recombinants and demonstrated that Burmese long-distance truck drivers (LDTDs) and injection drug users (IDUs) staying in Yunnan could form transmission clusters with local IDUs and contribute to the cross-border transmission of HIV-1 and other viruses between Myanmar and China.28–31 In particular, a high prevalence (12.8%) of HIV-1 TDR was detected among ART-naïve Burmese travelers entering Yunnan, China via Dehong ports during 2003 to 2012,32 implying a cross-border transmission risk of HIV-1 drug resistance.13 Whether the cross-border activities of these high-risk Burmese groups of IDUs and LDTDs drive the cross-border transmission of HIV-1 drug resistance via transmission links with local counterparts needs to be determined.

In the present study, we investigated HIV-1 drug resistance among ART-naïve Burmese residing in Myanmar by a retrospective analysis of previously reported HIV-1 pol sequences and evaluated the cross-border transmission risk of TDR by phylogenetic analysis of available pol sequences from ART-naïve individuals in the China–Myanmar border region.

Materials and Methods

Sequence Data Collection

This retrospective study is based on data from our surveys done in the China–Myanmar border region from 2008 to 2014. To investigate the prevalence of HIV-1 drug resistance among ART-naïve Burmese, HIV-1 pol sequences were retrieved and downloaded from the HIV-1 Sequence Database (www.hiv.lanl.gov) according to our four previous studies.28,30,33,34 This study is a second analysis of these sequence data. The study participants should meet the following inclusion criteria: (i) were Burmese and resided in Myanmar; (ii) did not accept antiretroviral drugs (Figure 1A). The protocol of this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (approval number: SWYX-2008010; SWYX-2012017). All the participants were provided written informed consent.

Sequence Processing and Phylogenetic Analysis

The qualified pol sequences were aligned with reference sequences using MEGA 7 and then manually edited. A fragment of the HIV-1 partial pol gene encompassing the whole protease and 267 codons of the reverse transcriptase (HXB2: 2253–3350) were obtained after realigning partial pol (HXB2: 2147–3462) and near full-length HIV-1 genome (HXB2: 809–9124) and trimming them equivalent length. The maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees were estimated using the general time-reversible nucleotide substitution model with gamma rate heterogeneity among sites and 1000 bootstrap replicates were implemented in MEGA version 7.0. Transmission clusters (TCs) of HIV-1 sequences were extracted from the ML tree using Cluster Picker software. Transmission clusters were defined as those clusters with ≥2 sequences having node support threshold greater than 90% and within-cluster genetic distance less than 3.0% nucleotide substitutions per site according to the
A large cluster was defined as having more than 10 isolates. Phylogenetic trees were visualized using software FigTree v1.4.3.

Genotypic Drug-Resistance Analysis
To identify surveillance drug-resistance mutations (SDRMs) and estimate the level of HIV-1 drug resistance to antiretroviral drugs, eligible HIV-1 partial pol sequences (Protease codons: 1–99; Reverse Transcriptase codons: 1–267) were analyzed using an online tool in the Stanford HIV-1 Drug Resistance Database (http://hivdb.stanford.edu). The Stanford algorithm classifies HIV-1 drug resistance into five levels: susceptible, potential low-level, low-level, intermediate and high-level drug resistance, while sequences classified as the latter three types are considered as drug resistance with a clinical impact.

Cross-Border Transmission Analysis
To explore whether there was a cross-border transmission of the HIV-1 resistant virus among ART-naïve individuals of the China–Myanmar border region, the drug-resistance mutation sequences among ART-naïve individuals from Dehong, Yunnan province, China were downloaded.29,32,37,38 After aligning with reference sequences and resistance sequences generated in this study, ML phylogenetic analysis and transmission cluster analysis were performed as described above.

Results
Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Study Population
A total of 7454 individuals were recruited in this study from 2008 to 2014. Of them, 373, including 268 IDUs and 105 LDTDs, were HIV-1 seropositive. HIV-1-positive individuals had a median age of 32 years old and came from Myanmar (n=234) and Dehong, Yunnan (n=139) (Table 1; Figure 1A). Most of these individuals were male (97.9%) and farmers (45.6%) (Table 1). There were 219 Burmese ART-naïve HIV-1 positive individuals who resided in Myanmar. Among ART-naïve individuals, 169 partial pol sequences were successfully retrieved (Table 1; Figure 1A), including 78 from Kachin State, 49 from Mandalay, 35 from Shan State, 4 from Yangon and 2 from Sagaing. Only one individual did not report the exact living address in Myanmar (Table 1; Figure 1B).
Table 1 Social-Demographic Characteristics of Study Subjects

| Variables          | HIV-1 Positive | ART-Naïve Burmese Having pol Sequences | Individuals Within a Cluster |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                    | n (%)          | n (%)                                 | n (%)                        |
| **Sampling years** |                |                                       |                              |
| 2008–2010          | 201 (53.9)     | 146 (86.4)                            | 41 (28.1)                    |
| 2011–2014          | 172 (46.1)     | 23 (13.6)                             | 14 (60.9)                    |
| **Risk groups**    |                |                                       |                              |
| IDUs               | 268 (71.8)     | 92 (54.4)                             | 34 (27.0)                    |
| LDTDs              | 105 (28.2)     | 77 (45.6)                             | 21 (27.3)                    |
| **Residence**      |                |                                       |                              |
| Kachin             | 104 (27.9)     | 78 (46.2)                             | 22 (28.2)                    |
| Shan State         | 55 (14.7)      | 35 (20.7)                             | 17 (48.6)                    |
| Mandalay           | 66 (17.7)      | 49 (29.0)                             | 16 (32.7)                    |
| Sagaing            | 2 (0.5)        | 2 (1.2)                               | 0 (0)                        |
| Yangon             | 4 (1.1)        | 4 (2.4)                               | 0 (0)                        |
| Dehong             | 139 (37.3)     | 0 (0)                                 | 0 (0)                        |
| **Gender**         |                |                                       |                              |
| Male               | 365 (97.9)     | 166 (98.2)                            | 55 (33.1)                    |
| Female             | 7 (1.9)        | 3 (1.8)                               | 0 (0)                        |
| NA                 | 1 (0.3)        | 0 (0)                                 | 0 (0)                        |
| **Age**            |                |                                       |                              |
| ≤25                | 56 (15.0)      | 26 (15.4)                             | 11 (42.3)                    |
| 26–30              | 102 (27.3)     | 50 (23.6)                             | 17 (34.0)                    |
| 31–35              | 81 (21.7)      | 30 (17.8)                             | 5 (16.7)                     |
| 36–40              | 76 (20.4)      | 43 (25.4)                             | 13 (30.2)                    |
| ≥41                | 55 (14.7)      | 20 (11.8)                             | 9 (45.0)                     |
| **Occupation**     |                |                                       |                              |
| Jobless            | 27 (7.2)       | 10 (5.9)                              | 4 (40.0)                     |
| Driver             | 107 (28.7)     | 79 (46.7)                             | 21 (26.6)                    |
| Farmer             | 170 (45.6)     | 42 (24.9)                             | 20 (47.6)                    |
| Others             | 64 (17.2)      | 37 (21.9)                             | 10 (27.0)                    |
| NA                 | 5 (1.3)        | 1 (0.6)                               | 0 (0)                        |
| **Total**          | 373 (100)      | 169 (100)                             | 55 (32.5)                    |

Notes: *NA: not available; †Businessman, worker, soldier, entertainment, waiter, government staff, and other occupations.

HIV-1 Drug Resistance Among ART-Naïve Burmese

Of 169 sequences, 48 (28.4%), 25 (14.8%), 11 (6.5%), 9 (5.3%), 4 (2.4%), 3 (1.8%) and 1 (0.6%) belong to CRF01_AE, C, CRF83_cpx, B, CRF82_cpx, CRF08_BC, and CRF96_cpx, respectively (Figures 2 and 3A). In particular, there are 68 sequences (40.2%) belonging to new HIV-1 recombinants, including 55 (32.5%) RFs_BC and 13 (7.7%) CRF01_AE-related RFs (Figures 2 and 3A). 34 sequences were identified to carry at least one SDRM, giving a TDR prevalence of 20.1% (Figures 1B and 2). The prevalence of HIV-1 drug resistance appeared to vary largely in different subtypes. Of 34 sequences with any SDRM, 15 and 12 belonged to CRF01_AE and RFs_BC, corresponding to prevalence of 31.2% and 21.8%, respectively (Figure 3A). The percentage of TDR was 21.8%, 14.3%, 22.4%, 0%, and 25.0% in Kachin state, Shan state, Mandalay, Sagaing and Yangon, respectively (Figure 1B). In 34 sequences with SDRMs, 5, 29, and 7 were associated with the resistance to nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs), and protease inhibitors (PIs), respectively (Figures 2 and 3B). The most frequently observed SDRMs were V179D/T (8.3%), E138A (4.1%) and L33F (2.4%), which are involved in the resistance to NNRTIs, NNRTIs, and PIs, respectively (Figures 2 and 3B). Of note, six individuals (6/169, 3.6%) were found harboring multiple SDRMs (Figure 2).

Among 169 ART-naïve individuals, 14 (8.3%) individuals were predicted to have clinically significant resistance to 12 antiretroviral drugs (Figures 2 and 3C). NNRTI-associated resistance was most common (7.7%, 13/169), followed by PI-associated (1.2%, 2/169) and NRTI-associated (1.2%, 2/169) resistances. Two individuals (KC913744 and KC913833) showed dual-resistance to both NRTI and NNRTI drugs, and one individual (KC913791) showed dual-resistance to both PI and NNRTI drugs (Figure 2). Four individuals (28.6%, 4/14) were predicted to have high-level resistance to NRTI and/or NNRTI drugs. There was no sequence showing high-level resistance to PIs.

HIV-1 Transmission Clusters Among ART-Naïve Burmese

There were 19 TCs having been identified, covering 55 sequences (32.5%) from ART-naïve Burmese. Ten TCs were formed by IDUs and nine TCs were formed by LDTDs (Table 1; Figure 2). The largest TC was observed with 11 CRF83_cpx sequences isolated from IDUs in Shan State. Among 19 TCs, three (clusters 5, 10 and 14) contained at least two drug-resistant strains carrying a single identical SDRM (Figure 2). Two CRF01_AE strains in the TC 10 carried two SDRMs -A62V and V179T. These results strongly suggest that the drug-resistant strains were transmitted. Furthermore, some SDRM-carrying strains were dispensed in the strains without SDRMs (Figure 2), implying that they were more likely generated by natural polymorphism of HIV-1.
To further assess the transmission of HIV-1 drug-resistant strains in ART-naïve individuals in the China–Myanmar border region, phylogenetic and transmission cluster analyses were conducted. Of all 941 sequences available from the previous studies\textsuperscript{29,32,37,38} and this study, 171 (18.2\%) had at least one SDRM. Overall, 151 (16.0\%) had NNRTI mutations, 15 (1.6\%) had NRTI mutations, and 21 (2.2\%) had PI mutations. Of those with SDRMs, 156 (91.2\%) had single class resistance, 14 (8.2\%) had dual-class resistance, and one (0.6\%) had resistance to PI, NRTI, and NNRTI.

**Transmission Cluster Characteristics of the Drug-Resistant Strains in the China–Myanmar Border Region**

Transmission cluster analyses were conducted. Of all 941 sequences available from the previous studies\textsuperscript{29,32,37,38} and this study, 171 (18.2\%) had at least one SDRM. Overall, 151 (16.0\%) had NNRTI mutations, 15 (1.6\%) had NRTI mutations, and 21 (2.2\%) had PI mutations. Of those with SDRMs, 156 (91.2\%) had single class resistance, 14 (8.2\%) had dual-class resistance, and one (0.6\%) had resistance to PI, NRTI, and NNRTI.

*Figure 2* Maximum likelihood tree based on the *pol* fragment among ART-naïve individuals in Myanmar. The red asterisk and spots indicate the drug-resistance mutation with clinical significance and other DRMs, respectively. Drug-resistance mutations with clinical significance lead to low levels and above drug resistance. Mutations associated with resistance to PI, NRTI and NNRTI are indicated with magenta, red and blue, respectively. The brackets indicate transmission clusters that were identified by Cluster Picker.
had triple class resistance. The most frequently observed SDRM was NNRTI mutation V179D/T, which appeared in 86 participants.

Phylogenetic analysis disclosed 12 TCs involving 26 (15.2%) sequences with any SDRM (Figure 4). The majority (83.3%) of the TCs contained two individuals. Two TCs (Clusters 3 and 8) contained three individuals who carried single SDRM E138A or A98G. There were four TCs involving V179T-carrying strains, and five TCs for L33F-, L90M-, A98G-, E138A- and T215TADN-carrying strains each. Three TCs were formed by strains carrying two SDRMs, including L33F/V179T-carrying strains, A62V/V179T-carrying strains and A98G/Y181C-carrying strains (Figure 4). Importantly, we found that the V179T-carrying variants appeared in half (50%) of identified TCs.

On the other hand, we found that all TCs were formed by Burmese individuals or Chinese individuals. Although there was no TC containing both Burmese and Chinese individuals, three TCs were found containing Burmese LDTD and the Burmese staying in Yunnan, and one TC was found containing two Burmese IDUs with one staying in Myanmar and one in Yunnan.

**Discussion**

In this study, we conducted a retrospective survey about HIV-1 drug-resistance characteristics in ART-naïve individuals in Myanmar and investigated the transmission link of HIV-1 drug-resistant strains in both Myanmar and Yunnan province of China. We found the prevalence of TDR was 20.1% in Myanmar. The NNRTI mutation V179D/T was very common in the China–Myanmar border region and appeared in half of the transmission clusters formed by HIV-1 drug-resistance strains in this region. These findings provide insights into the treatment and management of AIDS patients in the border region between Myanmar and China.

Myanmar has a high burden of HIV-1 with a 0.8% HIV-1 prevalence, the second highest prevalence of HIV-1 in...
Southeast Asia. Currently, the first-line ART regimen containing 2 NRTIs plus 1 NNRTI or 1 integrase inhibitor is recommended for adults and adolescents in Myanmar. As of 30 June, 2019, 175,297 PLHIV in Myanmar were receiving antiretroviral treatment. The scale-up of antiretroviral treatment inevitably increased the risk of VF and the emergence of drug resistance. Few data were available for the prevalence of HIV-1 drug resistance in ART-naïve individuals in Myanmar. Recently, WHO reported a PDR prevalence of 5.4% in Myanmar. Some SDRMs, including...
Another survey and China, Burmese individuals staying in Yunnan were significantly associated with the dissemination of HIV-1 across the China–Myanmar border region. Although Burmese and Chinese individuals were less inclined to share some high-risk behaviors (eg, IDU) each other, Burmese individuals staying in Yunnan were significantly associated with the dissemination of HIV-1 across the China–Myanmar border region. The finding that some TCs of HIV-1 drug resistance were formed by Burmese individuals staying in Myanmar and Yunnan, China, further highlighted the bridge role of Burmese individuals staying in Yunnan in the dissemination of HIV-drug-resistance strains across the China–Myanmar border region. Yunnan government is enlarging ART coverage for Burmese individuals staying in Yunnan. The increased cross-border transmission risk of HIV-1 drug-resistant strains accompanied by the growing number of migrant Burmese population year by year will inevitably increase the burden of monitoring HIV-1 drug resistance and managing AIDS patients for both sides of the border.

A large number of evidences showed that HIV-1 drug resistance was correlated with HIV-1 subtypes. The China–Myanmar border region is a hot-spot region for various HIV-1 inter-subtype recombinants formed by CRF01_AE, B and C, and has a very complex HIV-1 genetic diversity. A high proportion of drug-resistance mutations was found in ART-naïve individuals infected with HIV-1 CRF01_AE and BC recombinants with V179D/T and E138A being the most commonly observed SDRMs. Although V179D/T was more frequently transmitted in ART-naïve Burmese individuals, the presence of V179D/T did not intervene with the response to a first-line efavirenz (EFV) containing regimen. E138A confers low-level resistance to rilpivirine (RPV) and is more likely a consequence of HIV-1 natural polymorphism. Currently, tenofovir (TDF), lamivudine (3TC)/emtricitabine (FTC) and efavirenz (EFV) is the preferentially and frequently used ART first-line regime for initiation of ART in ART-naïve individuals in Myanmar and the patients receiving TDF+3TC+EFV regimen had better adherence and were less likely to switch to a protease inhibitor-containing second-line ART regimen. Therefore, increasing the coverage of the first-line regimen will benefit most ART-naïve individuals, and contribute to the control of TDRs. In spite of this, in view of high HIV-1 genetic diversity and high prevalence of TDRs in ART-naïve individuals in the China–Myanmar border region, the molecular monitoring of HIV-1 drug resistance should be strengthened.

This is a retrospective study that covers samples from 2008 to 2014. Because the sample size was relatively small and the latest samples were collected in 2014, these results may not represent the current status of HIV-1 drug resistance in ART-naïve individuals in Myanmar. In addition, relatively few sequences were available for the analyses, which might lead to an underestimate in the number of sequences involving in transmission clusters. Furthermore, it also limits our ability to assess the dynamic changes of HIV-1 drug resistance and SDRMs in ART-naïve individuals in the China–Myanmar border region. Despite these limitations, this study presents basic data on HIV-1 drug resistance in ART-naïve individuals in Myanmar, which will provide valuable policy guidance for the management and treatment of HIV/AIDS in Myanmar.
Conclusion

We report an overall HIV-1 TDR prevalence of 20.1% in Myanmar and characterize the SDRM profile. The cross-border transmission of HIV-1 drug resistance was observed in the China–Myanmar border region, and ART-naive Burmese individuals staying in Yunnan might contribute to this transmission. The findings will benefit Myanmar, as well as China, in the prevention and control of HIV/AIDS.
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