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Abstract: The 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (1,3-DCA) reaction, conceptualized by Rolf Huisgen in 1960, has proven immensely useful in organic, material, and biological chemistry. The uncatalyzed, thermal transformation is generally sluggish and unselective, but the reactivity can be enhanced by means of metal catalysis or by the introduction of either predistortion or electronic tuning of the dipolarophile. These promoted reactions generally go with a much higher reactivity, selectivity, and yields, often at ambient temperatures. The rapid orthogonal reactivity and compatibility with aqueous and physiological conditions positions the 1,3-DCA as an excellent bioorthogonal reaction. Quantum chemical calculations have been critical for providing an understanding of the physical factors that control the reactivity and selectivity of 1,3-DCAs. In silico derived design principles have proven invaluable for the design of new dipolarophiles with tailored reactivity. This review discusses everything from the conception of the 1,3-DCA all the way to the state-of-the-art methods and models used for the quantum chemical design of novel (bioorthogonal) reagents.

1. Introduction

The concept of the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (1,3-DCA), also known as the Huisgen reaction, was first introduced by Rolf Huisgen in 1960. A 1,3-DCA involves the interaction between a 1,3-dipole, i.e., a dipolar compound with delocalized electrons over three atoms such as azides, and an unsaturated system, the so-called dipolarophile (Scheme 1). The reaction of the 1,3-dipole and the dipolarophile furnishes a five-membered cycloadduct concomitant with the loss of formal charges on the reactants (Scheme 1a). The 1,3-DCA is quite possibly the most expedient and convenient method to synthesize heterocyclic compounds. Over the years, the 1,3-DCA has evolved into an iconic organic reaction with applications in various areas of chemistry, ranging from material chemistry to drug discovery.

The first 1,3-DCA between methyl diazoacetate and dimethyl fumarate was reported in 1888 by the group of Buchner (Scheme 1b). During this reaction the diazoalkene moiety of methyl diazoacetate reacts, as a 1,3-dipole, with the central C=C double bond of dimethyl fumaric acid, a dipolarophile, yielding a five-membered cycloadduct, which gives trimethyl cyclopropane-1,2,3-tricarboxylate after expulsion of N₂. The first stereospecific 1,3-DCA, on the other hand, was reported in 1932 by the group of Von Auwers, who obtained two distinct stereoisomeric five-membered cycloadducts (Scheme 1c). After this, a robust and intuitive framework to rationalize the reactivity of 1,3-DCAs was needed to predict and rationalize the interactions between the 1,3-dipole and dipolarophile. Fukui and coworkers proposed to use the energy and shape of the frontier molecular orbitals (FMOs), that is, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), of the reactants. In 1971, Sustmann applied FMO theory to describe the reactivity of phenyl azide with a set of dipolarophiles. There, a correlation was observed between the second-order rate constants and the experimentally determined ionization potentials of the dipolarophiles. Koopmans’ theorem states that, in Hartree–Fock (HF) theory, the ionization potential is equal to the negative orbital energy. This correlation was confirmed by the group of Houk, where the experimental findings of Sustmann were successfully reconstructed by calculating the ionization energies using a combination of HF and density functional theory (DFT). Based on the observed correlations, FMO theory permits the classification of 1,3-DCAs into three classes, which describes the relative energies of the HOMOs and LUMOs on both reactants: i) normal electron demand (NED), ii) inverse electron demand (IED), and iii) combination of both (Scheme 2). By classifying the reaction type, both the reactivities and regioselectivities of different cycloadditions can be partially rationalized.

Remarkable progress has been made towards developing a physical understanding of the factors that control the reactivity and selectivity of 1,3-DCAs and, naturally, this insight can be directly used in the design of novel reactions with specialized applications. In this review, we aim to summarize the most important developments made towards the active tuning and design of 1,3-DCAs using quantum chemical calculations. A special focus is placed on the design of 1,3-DCAs with tailored reactivities in bioorthogonal reactions.

2. 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition

Useful physical models to rationalize the trends in reactivity of 1,3-DCA reactions are usually curated by first quantifying the kinetics and thermodynamics for electronically diverse substrates with electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents. Vilhena et al. computationally investigated the 1,3-DCA reaction of hydrozoic acid with various substituted alkenes (R-CH=CH₂, where R = CH₃, OH, OCH₃, NH₂, CN, and NO₂) at ωB97X-D/6-311 + ++G(d,p). Three main reactivity trends were
observed. Firstly, dipolarophiles with electron-donating substituents exhibited a lower activation barrier for the 1,3-cycloaddition than for the 1,4-cycloaddition, whereas electron-withdrawing substituents switched the preference towards the 1,4-cycloaddition (Scheme 3). Secondly, the endo pathway was slightly preferred over the exo pathway. Thirdly, the activation barrier for the 1,3-DCA towards the 1,5-cycloadduct decreased upon increasing the electron-donating capability of the substituent of the dipolarophile from NH₂ to OCH₃ to CH₂ to OH. Similarly, the 1,3-DCA activation barrier towards the 1,4-cycloadduct decreased when the electron-withdrawing capacity of the substituents increased from CN to NO₂. This reversal in reactivity trends was readily rationalized by analyzing the FMOs. The HOMO₁₃-dipole⁻/HOMO₁₃-dipolarophile orbital energy gap. Conversely, stronger electron-withdrawing substituents on the dipolarophile caused a significant stabilization of the LUMO₁₃-dipolarophile yielding a smaller, and hence more favorable, NED HOMO₁₃-dipole⁻/LUMO₁₃-dipolarophile orbital energy gap. This study showed the validity of the FMO approach in predicting, and understanding, reactivity trends for substituted dipolarophiles for 1,3-DCAs.

Ess et al. studied the activation and reaction energies at CBS-QB3 for the 1,3-DCA reaction between two dipolarophiles, namely, ethylene and acetylene, and nine different 1,3-dipoles based on diazonium betaines, nitrilium betaines, and azomethine betaines (Z = N⁺≡N, Z = N⁺≡CH, Z = NH ≡CH₂) respectively, where Z = O, NH, CH₂. Changing the 1,3-dipole from oxides to imines to ylides, a decrease in activation barrier height was observed along this series with a $\Delta \Delta E$ of 6 kcal mol⁻¹. The 1,3-DCAs between nitrilium ylide and ethylene and acetylene were outliers, as the activation energy was about 6 kcal mol⁻¹ too high to follow this trend in activation barriers. The activation barriers for the 1,3-DCA of ethylene were very similar to those of acetylene, with differences of no more than 1.5 kcal mol⁻¹. This finding was, however, unexpected, due to the considerable difference in FMO energy gaps and very different reaction energies for these two dipolarophiles. The authors noted that, for the 1,3-dipole series (Z = O, NH, CH₂), the lowering of the activation barrier is concomitant with a change from a late to an early transition state. To investigate the origin of the trends in activation barriers, the activation energies at B3LYP/6-31G(d) were analyzed by means of the activation strain model (ASM) [12] of reactivity, also known as the distortion/interaction model [11]. This analysis method involved decomposing the total electronic energy, for example, the activation barrier, into two terms: the strain energy ($\Delta E_{strain}$) that results from the distortion of the individual reactants and the interaction energy ($\Delta E_{int}$) accounting for all mutual interactions between the 1,3-dipole and dipolarophile. The activation barrier and strain energy of the transition state structure were shown to have a linear correlation, which was striking as the strain energy is not accounted for in the qualitative FMO method. The strain energy of the transition state structure originated predominately from bending the internal angle of the 1,3-dipole from a near-linear geometry towards a bent cycloadduct-like structure, to accommodate for both a small FMO energy gap as well as a good orbital overlap with the dipolarophile. Therefore, the strain energy was related to the stability of the 1,3-dipole, which had a more stabilized HOMO with a more electronegative atom Z. Thus, the reactivity trends for all 1,3-dipole series with varying atom Z were determined by the activation strain needed to obtain the geometry where the HOMO–LUMO gap is sufficiently small.

Hamlin and coworkers showed that performing the ASM solely on the transition state structures, rather than along the
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entire reaction pathway, provides an incomplete picture of the factors controlling the 1,3-DCA reactivity.\[13\] They demonstrated that the difference in the activation barrier is, in contrast to the prior discussed work by Ess et al., not strain-controlled, but, in...
This canonical EDA decomposed the interaction energy ($\Delta E_{\text{int}}$) between the 1,3-dipole and dipolarophile, obtained from the ASM, into three physically meaningful terms: the classical electrostatic interaction ($\Delta V_{\text{elstat}}$), the Pauli repulsion ($\Delta E_{\text{Pauli}}$) between occupied closed-shell orbitals on both reactants, and the stabilizing orbital interactions ($\Delta E_{\text{orb}}$) that account, amongst other, for HOMO–LUMO interaction. The two series of linear and bent aza-1,3-dipoles comprised: (i) nitrile ylide (1), nitrite imine (2), diazomethane (3), and hydrazoic acid (4); and (ii) azomethine ylide (5), azomethine imine (6), and azonium imine (7). Activation strain analyses along the entire reaction coordinate revealed that not the differences in strain energy but, in fact, the differences in interaction energy dictated the trends in reactivity. More specifically, the EDA elucidated that the orbital interactions were the controlling factor behind the reactivity trends of these 1,3-dipoles. The orbital interactions became less stabilizing as the number of heteroatoms in the 1,3-dipole increased from 1,3-dipole 1 to 4 and 5 to 7. Quantitative Kohn-Sham molecular orbital analyses revealed the importance of both the NED HOMO–LUMO–HOMO dipolarophile and IED LUMO–HOMO dipolarophile orbital interactions. However, it was the stabilization of the HOMO–1,3-dipole, upon increasing the number of heteroatoms in the 1,3-dipole, that increased the NED orbital energy gap and, consequently, raised the activation barrier. The energies of the HOMO1,3-dipole and LUMO1,3-dipole orbitals decrease as the number of nitrogen atoms increases, due to the more electronegative nature of nitrogen compared to carbon. This leads to an increase in the NED HOMO1,3-dipole–HOMO dipolarophile orbital energy gap and a decrease in the IED LUMO1,3-dipole–HOMO dipolarophile orbital energy gap. Additionally, the orbital overlap decreased as the number of nitrogen atoms increased, which can be explained by the contracted nature of the 2p atomic orbital of nitrogen compared to carbon. As seen in Figure 1, dipoles 1 and 3 prefer the NED interactions and 2 and 4 prefer the IED interactions. Overall, the activation barrier increases as the number of nitrogen atoms increase, due to less favorable orbital interactions, governed by the decreasing orbital overlap and by the differences in the HOMO–LUMO orbital energy gap of the reactants.

Sometime later, Hamlin and coworkers investigated the effect of heteroatom substitution in the dipolarophile on the 1,3-DCA reactivity. They computed the activation barriers for the reaction between methyl azide and various linear (hetero)-allenenes ($X=C=Y; X, Y=CH_2, NH, O$; attack at the $X=C$ side) (Figure 2). Three primary reactivity trends emerged from this study. First, the activation barrier increased as $Y$ became more electronegative going from $Y=CH_2$ to NH to O, which could be attributed to the more rigid backbone of the dipolarophile with a larger electronegativity difference, due to increased $C=Y$ bond strength. This resulted in increased strain energy during the reaction, since it is more difficult to deform a stronger $C=Y$ bond, and thus an increased activation barrier. Because $Y$ does not directly participate in the 1,3-DCA reaction, the interaction energy did not change significantly and had, therefore, no impact on the increased activation barrier.

Second, the activation barrier increased more prominently when $X$ of $X=C=O$ became more electronegative, going from 20.0 to 26.4 to 32.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for $X=CH_2, NH$, and $O$. Due to the increased electronegativity from $X=CH_2$ to NH to O, both the HOMO dipolarophile and LUMO dipolarophile of the heteroallene were stabilized. Accordingly, the LUMO1,3-dipole–HOMO dipolarophile gap

![Figure 1. Activation barriers (in kcal mol$^{-1}$), normal electron demand (NED) and inverse electron demand (IED) HOMO–LUMO energy gaps (in eV), and NED and IED HOMO–LUMO orbital overlaps of the 1,3-DCA reaction between different 1,3-dipoles and ethylene with the most significant orbital interactions in boldface.](image-url)
was increased and the IED interaction was less stabilizing. In addition, the orbital overlap between the reactants decreased, due to the contracted nature of the 2\(p\) atomic orbital of the more electronegative \(X\) atom. This weakened the favorable orbital interactions and, therefore, resulted in an increased activation barrier.

Third, for the asymmetric heteroallenes, the 1,3-dipole could attack at two different sides, namely, the \(X=C\) and \(C=Y\). However, it had been shown that the 1,3-dipole preferably attacks the least electronegative of the two terminal atoms, the exception being the allene \(\text{CCO}\), where attack at the CO side is slightly more favorable than the CC side (\textit{vide infra}).[17] The activation strain model in combination with Kohn-Sham molecular orbital theory showed that there was a significantly more favorable IED interaction for the attack at the side that consists of the less electronegative atom. This could, in turn, be attributed to more diffuse and energetically less stable HOMO\textsubscript{dipolarophile} located on the less electronegative atom of the heteroallene. In the case of \(\text{CCO}\), the strain energy for the attack at the CC side is more destabilizing than the analogous attack at the CO side. This increased strain energy originates from the terminal carbon atom, which needs to pyrimidalize from its trigonal planar equilibrium geometry to a tetrahedral geometry during the 1,3-DCA reaction, overcoming the more favorable interaction energy. The activation barrier is, therefore, higher for the attack at the CC side and hence steers the preference of attack to the CO side.

### 3. Metal-Catalyzed Azide-Alkyne Cycloaddition

The Huisgen reaction was already a well-established method for the synthesis of heterocycles before the concept of click chemistry was introduced, of which the copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) is arguably the most elementary reaction.[18] Tornøe and Meldal first reported the CuAAC reaction in 2001, but it was not until the work of Meldal and Sharpless in 2002, which highlighted the unprecedented selectivity, robust nature, and scope, that this reaction garnered the spotlight.[19,20] The CuAAC reaction proceeds under mild conditions with high yields, regioselectivities, and is tolerant to aqueous environments. In contrast to the thermal (uncatalyzed) reaction, the copper-catalyzed reaction converts organic azides and terminal alkynes exclusively to the 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles as a result of the catalytic mechanism that involves multiple organocopper intermediates (\textit{vide infra}). Because of these advantages (\textit{vide supra}), the CuAAC reaction has found applications in a multitude of fields including organic synthesis, chemical biology, and material sciences.[21]

Theoretical investigations of the CuAAC reaction have primarily been aimed at elucidating the operative catalytic mechanism. Initial computational studies focused on the possible reaction pathways available between mononuclear copper(I) acetylides and organic azides (Scheme 4).[22] It was proposed that the formation of the copper(I) acetylide (1; Scheme 4) is followed by the activation of the azide by coordination to the copper center (2; Scheme 4). Next, the first C–N bond is formed (3; Scheme 4), which is the rate-determining step of the catalytic cycle, resulting in a strained six-membered copper metallacycle, formally altering the oxidation state of the copper center from +1 to +3.[23] The activation
barrier for this bond formation process was significantly lower than for the analogous reaction step of the uncatalyzed reaction, that is, 18.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for the CuAAC reaction compared to 26.0 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for the uncatalyzed analog, exemplifying the observed rate acceleration accomplished by copper catalysis. The formation of copper triazolide (4; Scheme 4) was energetically favorable and reduced the copper center from an oxidation state of +3 to +1. If sufficiently bulky, the copper triazole could be isolated. At last, the copper triazole can be protonated (5; Scheme 4), yielding the triazole cycloadduct and the original copper catalyst. In rare cases of low catalyst loading and high catalytic rates, step 5 (Scheme 4) could be the turnover-limiting step.

Further investigation of the CuAAC pathway revealed the possibility of the involvement of binuclear copper(I) acetylides. Kinetic experiments and computational modeling have suggested that the introduction of a second copper center into the metallacycle could efficiently alleviate the ring strain and decrease the activation barrier even further. The second copper could be introduced during the formation of the copper(I) acetylide, considering the tendency of copper(I) to engage in both \(\sigma\)- and \(\pi\)-bonding with the \(\equiv C\equiv C\) triple bond of the acetylene moiety in polymeric and cluster structures (7; Scheme 5). A computational study by Straub compared the energetics associated with higher-order aggregates and the binuclear copper pathways. The Gibb's free energy barriers of the C–N bond formation step for mono-, di-, and tetra-nuclear copper acetylide were 21.2, 18.6, and 20.8 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively, at B3LYP/LACV3P + +**/B3LYP/LACVP**. Based on these energetic data, the authors concluded that binuclear intermediates were favored over the mono- and tetra-nuclear intermediates.

The group of Fokin sought to provide experimental evidence for the \textit{in silico} derived mechanism. They found that the reaction of 5 mol% copper with phenylacetylene forms higher-order polynuclear phenyl copper acetylides, which quench the catalytic cycle and should, thus, be avoided. In addition, they observed that the CuAAC did not exhibit uniform second-order kinetics and furnished evidence against the binuclear copper pathway. Later, Fokin and coworkers confirmed the presence of binuclear copper acetylides in the catalytic cycle through the use of metal isotope crossover methods. In step 2 of Scheme 5, when a \(\sigma\)-coordinated acetylide was formed, a second copper coordinated to the \(\pi\)-system that facilitates the 1,3-DCA. With these experimental findings, the previously proposed CuAAC catalytic cycle was revised (Scheme 5). Recently, Balcells \textit{et al.} presented a DFT study of an asymmetric dicopper complex at a PBE0-GD3/def2SVP and found a concerted cycloaddition step, contrasting the generally accepted two step mechanism (4 and 5; Scheme 5). They ascribed the concerted cycloaddition step to the high saturation of the two copper centers.

The copper catalyst of the CuAAC reaction, although the most common, is not the only transition metal able to accelerate the 1,3-DCA reaction between an azide and an alkyne. High yields and regioselectivity have also been reported for this class of 1,3-DCA reactions with other transition metal catalysts, but their kinetics, costs, and robustness are, compared to the CuAAC, inferior, especially in the context of click chemistry.

As mentioned above, the CuAAC is selective for 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles under mild conditions with very high yield and regioselectivity. Nevertheless, an efficient catalyst with high regioselectivity towards 1,5-disubstituted 1,2,3-tria-
zoles was lacking. Therefore, extensive studies into transition metal-catalyzed 1,3-DCAs, using transition metals other than copper, have been performed (Scheme 6). In 2005, Fokin and coworkers presented a novel ruthenium catalyst for the regioselective synthesis of 1,5-disubstituted and 1,4,5-trisubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles from organic azides with terminal and internal alkynes, respectively (Scheme 7).[29] The ruthenium-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (RuAAC) showed high yields, regioselectivity, and efficiency under mild conditions, but, in contrast to the CuAAC, running the reaction in polar protic solvents (e.g., water) gave very low conversion. Nolan and coworkers performed a combined experimental NMR and computational study into the mechanism of the RuAAC reaction.[30] They investigated the Ru(II) catalyst and proposed...
the overall mechanism shown in Scheme 7. Initially, the alkyne coordinates to the precatalyst, Cp*RuCl(PPr), to form the experimentally observed Cp*RuCl(η²-HCCPh)(PPr). DFT calculations indicated phosphine ligand dissociation and subsequent coordination of the organic azide to the ruthenium through the internal nitrogen (3; Scheme 7). Next, the terminal nitrogen of the activated azide performs a nucleophilic attack on the terminal carbon of the alkyne (4; Scheme 7). This step is almost barrierless with a ΔG° = 0.3 kcal mol⁻¹. This is followed by C–N oxidative coupling and cyclization (5; Scheme 7), forming the metallacyclopropane intermediate, which ultimately isomerizes to the N-coordinated 1,5-disubstituted triazole (6; Scheme 7). This reaction step had been identified as the rate-determining step. At last, the new terminal alkyne coordinates to the ruthenium center (7; Scheme 7), the triazole was released (8; Scheme 7), and the catalyst, Cp*RuCl(η²-HCCPh), is regenerated. The highly exothermic nature of the triazole formation was predicted to be the driving force behind the RuAAC reaction.

It was proposed that the regioselectivity of the CuAAC and RuAAC reactions stems from the coordination of the acetylene to the transition metal. For the CuAAC reaction, a σ-bonded intermediate is observed (after step 2; Scheme 5), whereas this coordination mode was ruled out during mechanistic studies of the RuAAC reaction (after step 3; Scheme 7). For both the CuAAC and RuAAC, these reaction steps are followed by C–N bond formation, see step 4 in both Scheme 5 and Scheme 7, respectively. During the RuAAC reaction, the C–N bond formation occurs between the more electronegative and less
sterically encumbered carbon of the alkyne and the terminal nitrogen of the azide, forming the metallacycle. During the CuAAC reaction, on the other hand, a second copper catalyst engages in σ-coordination with the acetylene, which facilitates a nucleophilic attack on the terminal nitrogen by the sterically more crowded carbon of the dipolarophile. Thus, the coordination mode of the alkyne to the transition metal-induced the propensity of the regioselectivity towards the 1,4- and 1,5-cycloadduct for CuAAC and RuAAC, respectively.

Later, Greany and coworkers found that the addition of a stoichiometric amount of ZnEt₂, a common Lewis acid, to a solution of a terminal alkyne and an azide dissolved in THF in the presence of catalytic base formed exclusively the 1,5-cycloadduct. Additionally, Hong et al. investigated several lanthanides as catalysts and found that a samarium complex, Sm[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (5 mol%), with n-BuNH₂ (10 mol%) in toluene at 50 °C showed high regioselectivity towards the 1,5-disubstituted cycloadduct. The authors proposed a reasonable mechanism, but no deeper investigation was presented. Kim et al. presented a nickel-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition to furnish 1,5-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles from readily available substrates and inexpensive reagents at room temperature. This NiAAC reaction is a potent candidate for click chemistry as it is highly compatible with water as solvent and shows excellent regioselectivity. More recently, Cossio and coworkers introduced highly enantio- and diastereoselective 1,3-DCAs that were catalyzed by silver and copper complexes.

Since the introduction of CuAAC, the reaction has played a prominent role, especially in the context of click chemistry, because of its exceptional reactivity, orthogonality, and regioselectivity towards the 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazole. Ruthenium catalysts have been shown to catalyze the azide-alkyne 1,3-DCA reaction towards the 1,5-disubstituted cycloadduct with high regioselectivity and tolerance to a wide range of substituents under mild conditions. The initial activation of the alkyne, as a consequence of the increased nucleophilicity of the π-system through backdonation from the transition metal, was proposed to be identical in CuAAC and RuAAC. The subsequent coordination mode of the alkyne to the transition metals was suggested to cause the regiospecificity towards the 1,4-cycloadduct and 1,5-cycloadduct, respectively. Both reactions exhibit high reactivities and regioselectivities at ambient temperatures and hence are effective candidates for the synthesis of novel heterocyclic compounds.

4. Strain-Promoted Azide-Alkyne Cycloaddition

The first examples of 1,3-DCAs between azides and strained cyclooctynes by Wittig et al. and Blomquist et al. were shown to proceed with high selectivity and reactivity without the need for a catalyst (Scheme 8). It was originally proposed that the strained nature of the cycloalkynes, which nicely resembled the geometry it needed to adopt in the transition state, was the reason for the enhanced reactivity. Due to their excellent selectivities and reactivities at ambient temperatures, these so-called strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition (SPAAC) reactions were adopted by Bertozzi and coworkers as a selective chemical reaction that is bioorthogonal in living systems.

The significant role of the SPAAC reaction, especially in the context of bioorthogonal chemistry, has preempted numerous investigations into the role of both strain and electronics on 1,3-DCA reactivity (Figure 3). Bertozzi and coworkers judiciously added electron-withdrawing substituents on the propargylic position of cyclooctyne and saw a significant enhancement in reactivity. Difluorinated cyclooctyne (DIFO) has also shown to be a reactive bioorthogonal reagent with a second-order rate constant of 7.6 × 10⁻² M⁻¹ s⁻¹, that is, an order of magnitude faster than previously reported SPAAC reactions involving cyclooctynes. Boons and coworkers took a different approach and showed how increased predistortion by functionalization with aromatic moieties could lead to 4-dibenzocyclooctynols (DIBO) with second-order rate constants of 0.17 and 2.3 M⁻¹ s⁻¹, three times the rate of the parent cyclooctynol, when reacting with benzyl azide in methanol and a mixture of water/acetonitrile (1:4 v/v), respectively. The aromatic rings on these reagents can also be functionalized such that they become fluorescent and useful for labeling biomolecules. Van Delft and coworkers increased the strain of the cyclooctyne by addition of a cyclopropane functionality and developed dicyclo[6.1.0]nonyne (BCN) derivatives that display excellent
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**Scheme 8.** The reaction rates for azide-alkyne 1,3-DCA reaction can be increased by a) elevated temperatures, a catalyst, or b) the inclusion of strain in the alkyne dipolarophile.
Figure 3. Cycloalkyne reagents that were designed and synthesized with the aim of enhanced reactivity towards 1,3-DCA with an azide dipole.
1.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$ as the $\sigma^*_{C\cdots F}$ acceptor was in the anti-periplanar (app; green) arrangement relative to the in-plane $\pi$-system (Figure 5). The stabilizing effect was 1.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$ and 0.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$ in the syn-periplanar (spp; blue) and gauche (red) arrangement, respectively. Thus, the bending of the alkyne reactant was assisted by a hyperconjugative interaction ($\pi_{\text{in-plane}} - \sigma^*_{C\cdots F}$), which is enhanced due to the C=C–C bending. This effect was maximized in the app and spp arrangements, because the orbital overlap between the collinear $\pi_{\text{in-plane}}$ and the $\sigma^*_{C\cdots F}$ orbitals are in these arrangements optimized (Figure 5).$^{[46]}$

The group of Alabugin also investigated the hyperconjugative interactions for the actual transition state of the 1,3-DCA between methyl azide and 1-fluoro-2-butyne. NBO analyses of the interaction between the in-plane $\pi$-system into the $\sigma^*_{C\cdots F}$ acceptor orbitals ($\left(\pi_{\text{in-plane}} + \pi^*_{\text{in-plane}}\right) - \sigma^*_{C\cdots F}$) reveal a substantial increase in the hyperconjugative assistance of the $\sigma^*_{C\cdots F}$ acceptor as the methyl azide approaches the alkyne. Electron density in the $\pi^*_{\text{in-plane}}$ which originates from the 1,3-dipole during the bond formation process of the 1,3-DCA reaction, was delocalized into the $\sigma^*_{C\cdots F}$ orbital. NBO analyses showed that the donation from the in-plane $\pi^*$-system into $\sigma^*_{C\cdots F}$ orbital increased compared to the non-fluorinated 2-butyne. Again, stereoelectronic effects, observable as the $\left(\pi_{\text{in-plane}} + \pi^*_{\text{in-plane}}\right) - \sigma^*_{C\cdots F}$ interaction, were highly enhanced in both the 1,5-app and 1,4-app conformers. The increase compared to the isolated alkyne is primarily due to the $\pi^*_{\text{in-plane}} - \sigma^*_{C\cdots F}$. Thus, the transition state is stabilized through delocalization of electron density from the $\pi^*_{\text{in-plane}}$ donor, which receives electron density...
from the HOMO

Two distinct stereoelectronic effects on the reactivity of the 1,3-DCA between methyl azide and 1-fluoro-2-butyne were observed. At CPCM(H$_2$O)-B3LYP/6-31G(d), the Gibbs free energy of activation, relative to 2-butyne, decreased by $-2.7$, $-1.4$, $-1.8$, and $-2.4$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ for 1,4-app, 1,4-gauche, 1,5-app, and 1,5-gauche, respectively. Aside from the significant stabilization for the app conformers, a notable stabilization was observed for the 1,5-gauche transition state. This latter stabilization has been ascribed to the classical electrostatic interaction between the partially positive hydrogen on the methyl group and the partially negative fluoride, i.e., C–H–F interactions. In all, the authors showed that the fluoride substituent on the propargylic position can reduce the activation barrier via two distinct mechanisms: (i) through hyperconjugative assistance, to both bending ($\sigma_{\text{in-plane}}^{\ast} - \sigma_{\text{C-F}}^{\ast}$) and bond formation ($\sigma_{\text{in-plane}}^{\ast} - \sigma_{\text{C-F}}^{\ast}$); and (ii) through classical electrostatic C–H–F interactions.

Goddard and coworkers investigated cyclooctyne-based reagents bearing an exocyclic heteroatom (Figure 7b). They found that fluorination at the $\alpha$-position leads to a consistent enhancement in reactivity as the number of fluorine atoms increases from no F atoms (24.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$) to one F atom (23.4 kcal mol$^{-1}$) to two F atoms (22.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$).[36] This enhanced reactivity was again attributed to the hyperconjugative donation from the in-plane alkyn $\pi$-system into the $\sigma_{\text{C-F}}^{\ast}$ orbital, which directly leads to a stabilization of the transition state. On the other hand, this stabilizing hyperconjugative interaction was not present when a methyl group was placed partially negative fluoride, $\text{F}^{\ast}$. Aside from the significant stabilization $\Delta G^\circ = 25.5$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, DFT calculations at B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) revealed a steric repulsion between the incoming azide and the “flagpole” hydrogens on the aryl groups, which overrules the activation barrier-lowering effect of the increased predistortion, ultimately, giving rise to a slightly higher activation barrier than for the unsubstituted cyclooctyne.[37] Attachment of one aryl group will decrease both the steric repulsive and predistortive effects, resulting in a slightly enhanced reactivity ($\Delta G^\circ = 24.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$) relative to biaryl-substituted cyclooctyne. Nevertheless, the reactivity was equal to the unsubstituted cyclooctyne. As the aryl ring “walks” over the ring, the activation barrier will decrease as the steric repulsion with the flagpole hydrogen also decreases ($\Delta G^\circ = 24.9$ kcal mol$^{-1} \rightarrow 23.5$ kcal mol$^{-1} \rightarrow 22.1$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). In addition, increased predistortion is present in the para-substituted aryl ring, resulting in an enhanced reactivity. A combination of increased predistortion by the aryl group and electron-withdrawing fluoride substituents on the $\alpha$-carbon will enhance the reactivity even more with an activation barrier of 19.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$.

The effect of endocyclic heteroatoms on the 1,3-DCA reactivity was investigated by Gold et al. (Figure 7d). They found that substitution of the propargyl carbon by a $\sigma$-acceptor species enhanced the reactivity and proposed that the extent of the endocyclic activation is directly related to the acceptor capability of the activating group (NH$_2^{\ast} > O > NH$).[38] In the gas phase, this acceleration surpasses the effect of the exocyclic fluorine atoms. While the $\pi_{\text{in-plane}}^{\ast} - \sigma_{\text{C-F}}^{\ast}$ overlap in difluorinated
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**Figure 6.** A molecular orbital representation of the hyperconjugative interaction between the in-plane $\pi$-system and the $\sigma_{\text{C-X}}^{\ast}$ acceptor ($\pi_{\text{in-plane}}^{\ast} - \sigma_{\text{C-X}}^{\ast}$ and $\pi_{\text{in-plane}}^{\ast} - \sigma_{\text{C-F}}^{\ast}$), which stabilizes the frontier molecular orbitals of the dipolarophile.
cyclooctyne (DIFO) is comparable to the $\pi^*$ in-plane overlap in the cyclooctyne bearing one endocyclic NH$_2$ (NH$_2$-OCT), the donation of $\pi^*$$_{in-plane}$-$\sigma^*$$_{C-N}$ is much smaller than that of $\pi^*$$_{in-plane}$-$\sigma^*$$_{C-F}$ due to a worse alignment (gauche) of the $\sigma^*$$_{C-F}$ orbital compared to the $\sigma^*$$_{C-N}$ orbital (app), allowing for greater assistance to the bond formation process in the latter situation.

In the transition state, the C–N bond forming interactions were found to increase the population of the alkyne $\pi^*$-orbital, serving as a very efficient donor in the interaction with the stereoelectronically aligned (app) $\sigma$-acceptors to stabilize the transition state and, ultimately, enhance the overall 1,3-DCA reactivity.

**Figure 7.** Gibbs free activation energies, electronic activation energies (blue; in kcal mol$^{-1}$), and second-order rate constants relative to cyclooctyne (black) for the a) 1,3-DCA of methyl azide with b) exocyclic heterocyclooctynes (B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)); c) benzocyclooctynes (B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)); d) endocyclic heterocyclooctynes (B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)); e) dibenzocyclooctynes with different nitrogen placement (IEFPCM(water)-M06-2X/6-311 + $+$ G(d,p)), where N-methylazidoacetamide is used as 1,3-dipole and second-order rate constants are relative to DIBO; f) benzoheterocyclooctynes (SMD(acetonitrile)-B3LYP-D3/6-31 + $+$ G(d,p)). [a] For 1,3-DCA products that are regioisomeric cycloadducts, the Gibbs free activation energies and electronic activation energies are provided for the 1,4- and 1,5-cycloadducts (1,4-TS/1,5-TS). [b] The relative second-order rate constants ($k_{rel}$) were calculated relative to the parent cyclooctyne, DIBO in Figure 7e, ($k_{rel} = k_{1,4}/k_{parent}$). If both the 1,4- and 1,5-cycloadducts were formed, the $k_{rel}$ was computed by using $k_{rel} = (k_{1,4} + k_{1,5})/k_{parent}$. [c] This dipolarophile is both synthesized and utilized as a reagent in 1,3-DCA reactions.
Dones et al. explored the effects of nitrogen substitution in the DIBO scaffold on the 1,3-DCA reactivity with N-methylvaldoacetamide (Figure 7e). They presented the first heterobiaryl cyclooctynes (ABC) and found that these compounds showcased a synergy between the multiple electronic effects and increased predistortion. The reactivities of these ABCs were evaluated using DFT calculations at IEFPCM(water)-M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p). For the 3-, 4-, 5-ABCs, negligible differences in the reactivity were observed. Whereas, for 2-ABC and 6-ABC enhanced reactivity was observed, due to the propargylic C–N bond which is able to interact with the π-system of the alkyne (π in-plane – π* C–N). Through activation strain analyses at the transition state structures, they found that the enhanced reactivity of 2-ABC originates from a more stabilizing interaction energy and an increased predistortion. They found that 2-ABC is able to benefit from additional intermolecular interactions with the 1,3-dipole in the transition state, namely an interaction between the lone pair orbital on the aryl nitrogen and carbonyl π*-acceptor orbital of the 1,3-dipole (nπ – π* C–N). Such an intermolecular interaction was, up till then, unprecedented in SPAAC reactions. Notably, 2-ABC is the only ABC compound that favors the 1,5-cycloadduct over the 1,4-cycloadduct.

Balova and coworkers demonstrated an additional electronic effect that stabilizes the transition state as a consequence of out-of-plane bending of the fused heterocycle in the staggered conformation. They sought to develop novel SPAAC reagents that feature both heterocycle fusion and heteroalkynes to both benzothiophene and isocoumarin (Figure 7f). The reactivities of these novel 1,3-DCA reagents were evaluated using DFT calculations at IEFPCM(water)-M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p). The fused heterocycles exhibited a small HOMO–LUMO gap of approximately 5 eV. Notably, on going from eight- to nine-membered rings, the reactivities of these species were only reduced by less than one order of magnitude, whereas this is three orders of magnitude for non-substituted cycloalkynes. Activation strain analyses at the transition state suggested that the increased activation strain in the less predistorted nine-membered fused heterocycles was offset by the increased conformation. The more stabilizing interaction energies of the nine-membered fused heterocycloalkynes in the staggered conformation were attributed to both the interaction between the in-plane π-system and σ* C–X and the interaction between the in-plane π-system and the out-of-plane π* Het of the heterocycle. As the orbital alignment is improved in the out-of-plane bent conformer, the overlap for π* Het–π* in-plane interaction is enhanced. Thus, the preference for the staggered conformer of the nine-membered fused heterocycles allows for transition state stabilization through π in-plane – σ* C–X and π* Het–π* in-plane interactions, which causes the loss in reactivity compared to the eight-membered fused heterocycle to be only little.

5. Bioorthogonal 1,3-Dipolar Cycloadditions

In 1997, the seminal work of Bertozzi and coworkers showed how unnatural monosaccharides on the cell surface could act as chemical reporter groups for covalent ligation with a hydrazide functional group under physiological conditions without perturbing the native environment. A few years later, the same group demonstrated that azides on the cell surface could also function as chemical reporter groups for the Staudinger reaction (Scheme 9a). As both azides and phosphines are abiotic in and outside the cell, the Staudinger ligation allowed for intracellular application. This bioorthogonal strategy, facilitated by selective chemical reactions within the cellular environment, allowed for probing previously unobservable intracellular activity and showed potential for noninvasive imaging and therapeutic targeting (Scheme 9).

Bioorthogonal reactions are extremely fast and can proceed in living systems without perturbing native biochemical processes. These reactions should be selective and orthogonal to functional groups in the biological environment, should not produce any (harmful) byproducts, and must not depend on...
auxiliary molecules. 1,3-DCA reactions are rare in biochemistry, since azides and alkynes did not show reactivity towards biological molecules in the reaction condition within the cell, and no enzymes have been identified to date that catalyze this class of reaction in vivo.\textsuperscript{36,55} Azides and alkynes are, however, readily introduced into organic compounds and are, therefore, ideal functional groups to be included on the target biomolecules through a metabolic pathway to construct chemical reporters for chemical bioconjugation. The CuAAC reaction, for example, was found to be a useful tool in many complex in vitro labeling problems.\textsuperscript{36}

Due to the presence of copper and its toxicity to both bacterial and mammalian cells, CuAAC methods were impractical for most in vivo applications.\textsuperscript{36,164} As an alternative, Bertozzi and coworkers utilized the SPAAC reaction to circumvent the problem of copper toxicity (Scheme 9b).\textsuperscript{36} They showcased the SPAAC reaction for the selective modification of biomolecules in vitro and in living cells without apparent physical harm or toxicity. This led to the successful incorporation of azido-substituted galactose amine derivatives into living zebrafish embryos.\textsuperscript{57} These glycanas were desirable targets as they are markers for altered gene expression during development and disease progression. The cyclooctyne substituted fluorescent probes were selectively ligated to the azide reporter molecules, which enabled in vivo imaging at subcellular resolution during development. This allowed for high detail spatiotemporal imaging during the development of the zebrafish, which would have been unattainable with alternative, more conventional methods.

In vivo applications of bioorthogonal reactions require high chemoselectivity and second-order rate constants exceeding 1 M\textsuperscript{-1}s\textsuperscript{-1} because of the micromolar concentrations in the cellular environment. The design principles presented in Section 4 of this review article have been excessively used to design novel SPAAC reagents. The Bertozzi group introduced a stable seven-membered cycloalkyne for bioorthogonal application, 3,3,6,6-tetramethylthiacycloheptane (TMTH, Figure 8).\textsuperscript{59} They reported second-order rate constants of 4.0 M\textsuperscript{-1}s\textsuperscript{-1} for the 1,3-DCA reaction with benzyl azide in acetonitrile. They suggested that introducing an endocyclic heteroatom would decrease the predistortion of the seven-membered cycloalkyne and resulted in a non-transient reagent. The applicability of TMTH was demonstrated through the successful selective labeling of azide functionalized proteins, although the reaction yield was lower than expected. Martinek et al. demonstrated the highest rate constant reported for the SPAAC reaction for the 1,3-DCA between benzyl azide and dibenzosilacyclohept-4-yn (Figure 8) in both methanol and acetonitrile, namely, 20 M\textsuperscript{-1}s\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{39,12} The highly predistorted seven-membered cycloalkyne showed remarkable stability, also in an aqueous environment. Nevertheless, to date, seven-membered cycloalkyne reagents have not been applied for 1,3-DCA reactions in vitro or in vivo.

Schomaker and coworkers designed sulfur-, nitrogen-, and oxygen-containing heterocyclic cyclooctyne (SNO-OCT, Figure 8) derivatives that are versatile reagents for bioorthogonal application.\textsuperscript{60} SNO-OCT derivatives exploit electronic effects through both endo and exocyclic heteroatoms. Specifically, the endocyclic nitrogen is suggested to function as a σ\textsubscript{C-N} acceptor in the app arrangement, which allows for hyperconjugative assistance. The sulfonyl group increases the acceptor ability of the propargyllic heteroatom emphasizing the electronic effects of the σ\textsubscript{C-N} acceptor. The second-order rate constant for the 1,3-DCA reaction with benzyl azide in acetonitrile was 0.026 M\textsuperscript{-1}s\textsuperscript{-1} and could be increased to 0.13 M\textsuperscript{-1}s\textsuperscript{-1} in polar solvents, such as water. The SNO-OCT was successfully applied in a bioorthogonal context, through efficient bioconjugation to azide-PEG3-biotin.

In recent years, the thermal 1,3-DCA reaction had been used in the context of sulfur(VI) fluoride exchange (SuFEx) click chemistry, which could be relevant for sulfonyl linkage in biologically active compounds and late-stage diversification.\textsuperscript{61} 1,3-dipoles had shown to undergo the 1,3-DCA reaction with ethynylsulfonfyl (ESF) or 1-bromoethene-1-sulfonyl fluoride (Br-ESF) yielding sulfonyl fluoride-substituted pyrazoles and pyrazolines.\textsuperscript{62} Furthermore, diazoacetamide reacts at ambient temperatures while azides do not, thus broadening the scope and diversity of potential substrates for this reaction.\textsuperscript{63} Relative to the azido, diazo compounds feature a higher HOMO\textsubscript{1,3-dipole}−LUMO\textsubscript{dipolarophile} interaction. The authors substantiated this through an activation strain analysis (distortion/interaction analysis)\textsuperscript{12,15} of the transition state structures, which suggested that the enhanced reactivity arises from an increased stabilizing interaction in the transition state. NBO analysis revealed that the NED HOMO\textsubscript{1,3-dipole}−LUMO\textsubscript{dipolarophile} interaction was, indeed, the dominant interaction.\textsuperscript{64} In addition, it was shown that the transition state was stabilized through π\textsuperscript{π in-plane}−π\textsuperscript{π dipolarophile} interactions, which provides delocalization of the partially filled π\textsuperscript{π dipolarophile} orbital, because of the directional charge transfer. The authors introduced a chemoselective 1,3-DCA reaction between ESF-containing dipolarophiles and 1,3-dipoles to
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\caption{Cycloalkyne reagents that have been rationally designed and synthesized to increase the reactivity towards the 1,3-DCA with azide derivatives.}
\end{figure}
synthesize useful building blocks for modular SuFEx click chemistry.

The next challenge was the design of mutual orthogonal bioorthogonal reaction pairs to facilitate the simultaneous imagining of multiple biomolecules. By exploiting the steric demand of distinct cyclooctyne derivatives Svatunek et al. demonstrated access to chemoselective labeling using only the SPAAC reaction. The reactivities of primary, secondary, and tertiary azides towards two cyclooctynes, BCN, and the more sterically congested ADIBO were investigated. While the reactivities of primary, secondary, and tertiary azides with BCN as well as the primary and secondary azides with ADIBO are similar, the second-order rate constant is five orders of magnitude smaller for the reaction between the tertiary azide and ADIBO. By employing activation strain and energy decomposition analyses, the authors found that the increase in reaction barrier was caused by less favorable interaction energy, which, in turn, was traced back to the more destabilizing Pauli repulsion between the tert-butyl group on the tertiary azide and ADIBO. The chemoselectivity was exploited through the highly selective semiothogonal dual-labeling with the exclusive use of the SPAAC reaction.

Additionally, the reactivity of different 1,3-dipoles can be exploited in the design of mutual orthogonal bioorthogonal reaction pairs. Diazocompounds have been shown to chemo-selectively react in the presence of azido compound in a bioorthogonal manner. Aranoff et al. demonstrated chemo-selective 1,3-DCA between a diazo compound and a terminal alkene in the presence of azido groups. Because of the high nucleophilicity of diazo 1,3-dipole, the enhanced NED HOMO–LUMO interaction alleviates the necessity of pre-distortion of the dipolarophile for obtaining 1,3-DCA rates desired for bioorthogonal chemistry.

Dones et al. presented the heterobiarlyrcyclooctynes (ABC, Figure 8) that feature fused cyclooctyne rings and pyridine with the nitrogen on the 2-position. They observed a 1200 times enhancement of the second-order rate constant for the 1,3-DCA addition between N-methyldiazaocetamide and ABC relative to DIBO, whereas only a 30 times acceleration for N-methylazaocetamide. On top of LUMO lowering effect, they found the enhanced reactivity could be sourced to a stronger hydrogen bonding interaction between ABC and the N-methyldiazaocetamide (N–H–N) relative to the significantly weaker intermolecular interaction with N-methylazaocetamide (N–C=C–O). Both these additional interactions are not present when DIBO is used as dipolarophile (vide supra), resulting in the observed suppressed 1,3-DCA reactivity. These factors can be exploited in mutual orthogonal bioorthogonal chemistry.

Coelho and coworkers presented a multivariate regression model to predict the second-order rate constants for 1,3-DCAs and inverse electron demand Diels-Alder (IEDDA) reactions and employed these in identifying mutually orthogonal bioorthogonal reaction pairs. They parametrized 1,3-DCA reactions using molecular descriptors after reagents optimization at M06-2X/6-31G(d). The descriptors included features such as distances, bond angles, dihedral angles, vibration frequencies and intensities, NBO charges, HOMO/LUMO energies, and the Sterimol parameters, which were developed to quantify the steric demand along individual principal axes. Using these parameters in multivariate regression models, the authors constructed five datasets with $R^2$ values in the range of 0.74 to 0.94. The same method was applied to the IEDDA reactants.

With the two datasets in hand, they constructed a matrix of the predicted $\Delta G^*$ of the respective reactants. The selected reaction pairs were identified based on differences in $\Delta G^*$. The group reported the successful prediction of four mutually orthogonal bioorthogonal reaction pairs, of which one was previously reported. This nicely showed how statistical modeling can play a key role in the discovery of mutually orthogonal bioorthogonal reaction pairs.

More recently, the groups of Raines and Schomaker combined computational and experimental efforts to design triple mutually orthogonal bioorthogonal reaction pairs. Through design principles that were established in earlier studies and assisted by computational methods, they designed a novel heterocyclic cycloalkyne that would display orthogonal reactivities. Maegawa et al. employed three distinct bioorthogonal reactions, namely the Staudinger ligation, SPAAC, and CuAAC, to showcase the triple mutual orthogonal site-selective ligation onto azide functional groups. As more reactions are being added to the bioorthogonal library and the design principles become better formulated, more elaborate bioorthogonal strategies can be formulated, which will open up new opportunities for in vivo experimentation.

6. Conclusion

1,3-Dipolar cycloadditions (1,3-DCA) have emerged as one of the quintessential reactions in organic, material, and biological chemistry. In 1971, Sustmann described, based on the work of Fukui, the reactivity of a phenyl azide and a set of dipolarophiles by means of frontier molecular orbital (FMO) interactions. Since then, significant progress has been made in improving the understanding of the physical factors that determine the reactivity and selectivity of 1,3-DCAs. In this review, we have highlighted all of the major advancements towards rationalizing the various factors influencing the reactivity of 1,3-DCAs, starting from the original conception of the reaction, all the way to state-of-the-art quantum chemical calculations and modern experimental efforts. We expect our insights to be useful as a guide to the design and synthesis of novel 1,3-DCA reaction pairs, which can be applied in a wide-range of chemistries.

The reactivity of the thermal 1,3-DCA reaction can be predicted and explained by the normal electron demand (NED), HOMO–LUMO, orbital interactions. This thermal reaction does, however, require elevated temperatures making it, in general, sluggish, and unselective. The reactivity of 1,3-DCAs can be enhanced, either through catalysis or strain-promoted reactivity. Efficient regioselective conversion to the 1,4- and the 1,5-cycloadduct has been achieved by copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) or ruthenium-
catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (RuAAC), respectively. Various other transition metal catalysts (i.e. nickel, zinc, iron) have also been shown to effectively accelerate the 1,3-DCA reaction.

A metal-free alternative to enhance the 1,3-DCA reactivity is the strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition (SPAAc) reaction, where the dipolarophile is predistorted towards the transition state geometry. This predistortion of the dipolarophile not only reduces the activation strain, but also enhances the stabilizing orbital interactions, since predistortion lowers the HOMO–LUMO gap of the dipolarophile, thereby, reducing the NED and IED orbital energy gaps with the dipole. Additionally, predistortion gives rise to a larger orbital amplitude at the external face of the π-system amplifying the NED and IED orbital overlaps.

The rate of SPAAc reaction can be further accelerated by stabilization of the transition state, which can be accomplished via three distinct mechanisms: i) hyperconjugative assistance to bending (\(\pi_{\text{in-plane}}-\pi^*\alpha_{\text{C-X}}\)); ii) hyperconjugative assistance to bond formation (\(\pi_{\text{in-plane}}-\pi^*\alpha_{\text{C-X}}\) and \(\pi_{\text{in-plane}}-\pi^*\alpha_{\text{C-X}}\)); and iii) the electrostatic interactions between the exocyclic heteroatom of the dipolarophile and a substituent on the 1,3-dipole. The SPAAc reaction has especially gained attention in the context of bioorthogonal chemistry, where it allows for the labeling of biomolecules under physiological conditions.

Throughout the years, critical physical factors that determine the reactivity of 1,3-DCAs have been identified through quantum chemical calculations. The understanding of these physical factors offers opportunities for even more elaborate design principles, both in optimizing 1,3-DCA reaction pairs and in finding mutually orthogonal bioorthogonal reaction pairs. The upcoming field of data science will have a pivotal role to play in finding more advanced mutually orthogonal techniques, thereby, expanding the bioorthogonal toolbox.
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