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Abstract: An improved Helferich method is presented. It involves the glycosylation of 4-methylumbelliferone with glycosyl acetates in the presence of boron trifluoride etherate combined with triethylamine, pyridine, or 4-dimethylaminopyridine under mild conditions, followed by deprotection to give fluorogenic 4-methylumbelliferyl glycoside substrates. Due to the use of base, the glycosylation reaction proceeds more easily, is uncommonly \( \alpha \)- or \( \beta \)-stereoselective, and affords the corresponding products in moderate to excellent yields (51%–94%) under appropriate conditions.
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1. Introduction

The detection and identification of microorganisms in food, such as prevalent pathogens, e.g., *Salmonella* and enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*, is essential for realizing and managing microbiological risks to ensure food safety, a worldwide public health concern [1–3]. New techniques that are faster and simpler, based on synthetic enzymatic substrates, have been developed and drawn wide attention in recent decades. Synthetic enzymatic substrates are powerful tools in biochemistry that can produce an easily measured output, such as variation of absorbance or fluorescence, to facilitate the detection of enzymatic activities [4–9]. For example, 4-methylumbelliferyl glycosides have been widely exploited in diagnostic microbiology [4–6,9], in newborn screening of lysosomal storage disorders (LSDs) [10–13], for the prediction of glycan structures and potential bioactivities of bovine milk [14], characterizing and identifying vegetables [15], and investigating the molecular mechanisms involved in sperm-oocyte binding and gamete-oviductal epithelium interactions [16], by monitoring the specific cellular glycosidases activities to the substrates. These compounds have low toxicity, are stable under physiological conditions, and are easily hydrolyzed by corresponding glycosidase...
catalysis, which induces strong fluorescence generated by the released 4-methylumbelliferone (4-MU; 7-hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin). As such, they are also considered as ideal molecular probes for glycobiological studies in which a glycosidase activity needs to be assessed in vitro or in situ research settings [17,18].

Although many such kinds of fluorogenic glycosidase substrates are commercially available, their syntheses are not necessarily straightforward or highly efficient. According to the literature, they are mainly produced by $O$-glycosylation followed by $O$-deacetylation. The methods of $O$-glycosylation primarily include: (1) Michael-type glycosylation with acetylated glycosyl halides [19–27]; (2) Helferich glycosylation with glycosyl acetates via a fusion procedure, in boiling xylene in the presence of zinc chloride, or using a stannic chloride catalyst, as in the $O$-trimethylsilylation of 4-MU [27,28]; (3) Koenigs-Knorr glycosylation with glycosyl halides [18,29–31]. As well as the methods mentioned above, 4-methylumbelliferyl glucuronides can be produced via direct oxidation of the primary hydroxyl group of 4-methylumbelliferyl glucopyranoside [27,32], indirect oxidation of the primary hydroxyl group of 4-methylumbelliferyl-2,3,4-tri-$O$-acetyl-glucopyranoside followed by deprotection [33], and Schmidt glycosylation with trichloroacetimidate [34,35]. However, these methods suffer from drawbacks that limit their wider or practical application. For example, Michael-type glycosylations in acetone/water often afford low yields [20–23]; and although relatively higher yields can be obtained under biphasic conditions, as shown in our previous study on the synthesis of 4-methylumbelliferyl $\beta$-$D$-galactopyranoside [24], it is incompatible with glycosyl halides which easily transform into glycosals by hydrogen halide elimination under basic conditions, e.g., acetobromomethylglucuronate [26,36]. Silver compounds used as catalysts in Koenigs-Knorr glycosylation are relatively expensive. Compared with other methods especially oxidation and Schmidt glycosylation, glycosyl acetates as glycosyl donors or precursors in the Helferich procedure are more easily available. Therefore, an improved Helferich procedure comprising other advantages, such as stereoselectivity, relatively high yield and easy operation, would be extremely pertinent.

Lee et al. reported that the glycosylation of phenol and several substituted phenols with penta-$O$-acetyl-$\beta$-$D$-glucose in the presence of boron trifluoride etherate (BF$_3$·OEt$_2$) and organic base afforded the products in both high yield and $\beta$-stereoselectivity [37]. Herein, we report a modified Helferich procedure for the successful glycosylation of 4-MU with methyl tetra-$O$-acetyl-$\beta$-$D$-glucopyranuronate and several other peracetylated sugars to afford the corresponding protected glycosides with high stereoselectivity under mild conditions, followed by deprotection to produce 4-methylumberlliferyl glycosides products (Scheme 1).

![Scheme 1. Synthesis of 4-methylumbelliferyl glycosides.](image)

2. Results and Discussion

Glucopyranurononides are usually more difficult to prepare than glucopyranosides. There is, in fact, a glycosidation “league table” drawn up by Schmidt et al., ranking in increasing ease of
glycosyl donation: glycuronates < aldoses < deoxy sugars < ketoses < 3-deoxy-2-glyculosonates [36]. Of all common sugars, glycuronates therefore require the highest activation for a given aglycone. We envisioned that successful application of the Helferich procedure to the synthesis of 4-methyl-umbelliferyl glucopyranuronide, which has not been previously reported to the best of our knowledge, could be more widely applied to this class of molecules.

2.1. Synthesis of the Protected β-D-Glucopyranuronide 3a

In view of this, we started our investigation by using methyl tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranuronate (2a) [38] as a model substrate in the glycosylation of 4-MU (Scheme 2).

![Scheme 2. Synthesis of the protected β-D-glucopyranuronide.](image)

As summarized in Table 1, glycosylation of 4-MU (1) with methyl tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranuronate (2a) under multiple conditions were investigated, and most of reactions gave mainly the protected β-D-glucopyranuronide (3a). In the presence of BF3·OEt2 and TEA, glycosylation at room temperature (25–29 °C) and heating (60 °C) gave mainly the desired product 3a in the same yield, but the latter case took a much shorter time (entries 1–2). However, 3a was not detected by thin layer chromatography (TLC) in the absence of TEA (entry 4 vs. entry 3). Compared to the report by Lee et al. [37] that a TEA to BF3·OEt2 ratio of more than 0.2 decreased the glycosylation rate and yield, the relatively higher ratio of TEA to BF3·OEt2 did not decreased the yield, which should be closely related to the poor dissolution of 4-MU in dichloromethane and 1,2-dichloroethane (entry 2 vs. entry 5). An excess of 4-MU and the glycosyl donor increased the yield, which was relatively higher in the latter case (entries 5–7). A 4 Å molecular sieve (4 Å MS) is often applied to remove water in glycosylation reactions [39–41]. However, its presence here decreased the yield (entry 8). Other organic bases, such as N,N-diisopropylethyl amine (DIPEA), pyridine, 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), and 1,1,3,3-tetramethylurea (TMU) were also investigated. Under otherwise identical conditions, glycosylation in the presence of pyridine or TEA afforded the protected β-D-glucopyranuronide in 23% yield, and in the cases of a hindered base (DIPEA) and a very weak base (TMU), the product was obtained in slight lower and significantly lower yields, respectively (entries 7, 9–11). However, a higher yield was obtained upon the use of DMAP, a nucleophilic base (28%, entry 12). In an attempt to achieve complete conversion, we extended the reaction time from 5 to 10 h and increased the amounts of base and Lewis acid. Unfortunately, this resulted in a lower yield of 19% (entry 13 vs. entry 10). Changing the solvent from 1,2-dichloroethane to tetrahydrofuran (THF) and CH3CN was also unsuccessful, giving no desired product and 3a in just 2% yield, respectively (entries 14 and 15). Other Lewis acids, such as trimethylsilyl triflate (TMSOTf) and SnCl4, were investigated, but afforded 3a in less than 1% yield and not at all, respectively (entries 16 and 17).

Based on the investigations mentioned above, further optimization was performed to improve the yield of 3a by using BF3·OEt2/DMAP/ClCH2CH2Cl. As summarized in Table 2, further but appropriate increase of the additive amount of 2a, DMAP and BF3·OEt2 could gave 3a in higher yield. When the amount of 2a, DMAP and BF3·OEt2 was increased to 2.0 equivalents, 4.0 equivalents and 12.5 equivalents, respectively, the yield of 3a increased remarkably to 51% (entry 9). Further but much greater excess of DMAP or BF3·OEt2 caused a remarkable decrease of the yield of 3a, with obvious increase of the recovery yield of 1, or yield of by-product 5, respectively (entries 3, 4, 7, and 10). Besides, a higher temperature (75 °C) also caused a remarkable decrease of the yield of 3a, with a corresponding obvious increase of the yield of by-product 5 (entry 11).
Table 1. Glycosylation of 4-MU (1) with methyl tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranuronate (2a).

| Entry | 1 (Equiv.) | 2a (Equiv.) | Organic Base (Equiv.) | Lewis Acid (Equiv.) | Reaction Conditions | Yield \(^a\) of 3a | The Main Anomer \(^b\) |
|-------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 1     | 1.5        | 1.0         | TEA (3.75)            | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (10.0) | CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 25–29 °C, 72 h | 17%             | β                      |
| 2     | 1.5        | 1.0         | TEA (3.75)            | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (10.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | 17%             | β                      |
| 3     | 1.5        | 1.0         | TEA (3.75)            | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (15.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | 19%             | β                      |
| 4     | 1.5        | 1.0         | — c                   | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (15.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 60 °C, 10 h | —               | —                      |
| 5     | 1.5        | 1.0         | TEA (2.0)             | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (10.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | 16%             | β                      |
| 6     | 2.0        | 1.0         | TEA (2.0)             | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (10.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | 18%             | β                      |
| 7     | 1.0        | 1.5         | TEA (2.0)             | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (10.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | 23%             | β                      |
| 8     | 1.0        | 1.5         | DIPEA (2.0)           | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (10.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 4A MS, 60 °C, 5 h | 13%             | β                      |
| 9     | 1.0        | 1.5         | DIPEA (2.0)           | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (10.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | 20%             | β                      |
| 10    | 1.0        | 1.5         | Pyridine (2.0)        | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (10.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | 23%             | β                      |
| 11    | 1.0        | 1.5         | TMU (2.0)             | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (10.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | 6%              | β                      |
| 12    | 1.0        | 1.5         | DMAP (1.5)            | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (10.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | 28%             | β                      |
| 13    | 1.0        | 1.5         | Pyridine (3.0)        | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (15.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 60 °C, 10 h | 19%             | β                      |
| 14    | 1.0        | 1.5         | Pyridine (3.0)        | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (10.0) | THF, 60 °C, 10 h | —               | —                      |
| 15    | 1.0        | 1.5         | Pyridine (3.0)        | BF\(_3\)·OEt\(_2\) (15.0) | CH\(_3\)CN, 60 °C, 10 h | 2%              | β                      |
| 16    | 1.0        | 1.5         | Pyridine (2.0)        | TMSCl\(_2\) (4.0) | CICH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl, 60 °C, 16 h | <1%             | β                      |
| 17    | 1.5        | 1.0         | DIPEA (3.0)           | SnCl\(_2\) (5.0) | CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), 25–29 °C, 48 h | —               | —                      |

\(^a\) Isolated yield; \(^b\) The main anomer was determined by TLC analysis and isolation; \(^c\) None.
Table 2 also suggests that other products were generated in the reactions, as indicated by the fact that the total combined yield of 3a, yield of recovered 1 and yield of by-product 5 (Figure 1) ranged from 65% to 75%. Herein, lots of small equivalent tests were performed in order to more conveniently and more quickly know the main glycosylation product and its yield and investigate multiple but different factors to improve the yield. Considering that the original Helferich procedure with methyl tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranuronate was stereochemically reliable, giving only β-D-glucuronide (3a) [36], we did not search for the other anomer glycosylation product, perhaps generated but in a low yield below the limits of our detection, and other by-products.

![Figure 1. 4-methyllumb elliferyl acetate (by-product 5).](image)

**Table 2.** Further optimization of conditions for synthesis of the protected β-D-glucopyranuronide (3a).

| Entry | 1 (mmol) | 2a (mmol) | DMAP (mmol) | BF₃·OEt₂ (mmol) | Yield of Recovered 1 | Yield 3a | Yield 5 | Main Anomer |
|-------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| 1     | 1.0      | 1.5       | 3.0         | 10.0            | 21%                  | 30%     | 14%     | β           |
| 2     | 1.0      | 1.5       | 4.5         | 10.0            | 28%                  | 32%     | 11%     | β           |
| 3     | 1.0      | 1.5       | 6.0         | 10.0            | 44%                  | 17%     | 9%      | β           |
| 4     | 1.0      | 1.5       | 5.0         | 10.0            | 37%                  | 23%     | 10%     | β           |
| 5     | 1.0      | 1.5       | 4.0         | 10.0            | 24%                  | 34%     | 12%     | β           |
| 6     | 1.0      | 1.5       | 3.5         | 10.0            | 26%                  | 33%     | 13%     | β           |
| 7     | 1.0      | 3.0       | 8.0         | 20.0            | 22%                  | 36%     | 14%     | β           |
| 8     | 1.0      | 2.0       | 5.0         | 12.5            | 11%                  | 49%     | 12%     | β           |
| 9     | 1.0      | 2.0       | 4.0         | 12.5            | 9%                   | 51%     | 15%     | β           |
| 10    | 1.0      | 2.0       | 4.0         | 15.0            | 10%                  | 41%     | 17%     | β           |
| 11    | 1.0      | 2.0       | 4.0         | 12.5            | 15%                  | 26%     | 26%     | β           |

*All the reactions were performed in 1,2-dichloroethane at 60 °C (except for entry 11 at 75 °C) for 5 h, then the cooled reaction mixtures were directly separated by gradient-flash column chromatography (silica gel, 200–300 mesh, PE/EtOAc, 7/2, 3/1, 5/2); bc The yield of recovered 1 and the yields of 3a and 5 refer to isolated products or determined by isolation and HPLC analysis; d The main anomer was determined by TLC analysis and isolation.

2.2. Synthesis of Other Protected Glycosides 3b–3f

Based on the abovementioned success, the glycosylation of 4-MU with some other peracetyl sugar donors: peracetyl glucopyranose (2b), peracetyl galactopyranose (2c), peracetyl manno pyranose (2d), peracetyl xylopyranose (2e) [42] and peracetyl ribofuranose (2f), was also investigated (Scheme 3). In view of the differences between the different peracetyl sugars in aspects such as reactivity and stability, we speculated that the abovementioned optimal conditions may not be optimal for other peracetyl sugars. Hence multiple conditions including a TEA or pyridine to BF₃·OEt₂ ratio of 0.2 referred to Lee et al.’s method [37] were used to study the glycosylation as follows.

As summarized in Table 3, all reactions proceeded smoothly and gave the glycoside products in moderate to excellent yields. In the presence of a relatively lower amount of BF₃·OEt₂ and DMAP, the glycosidation of 1 with 2b afforded mainly the protected β-D anomer product (3b₁) in 36% yield, and the use of a higher amount of pyridine gave 3b₁ in 42% yield (entries 1 and 2).
Scheme 3. Synthesis of other protected glycosides.

When a relatively high amount of BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ and DMAP was used, the reaction gave 3b$_1$ in 45% yield; in addition, the protected α-D anomer product (3b$_2$) was unexpectedly obtained in a low yield of 7% (entry 3). The glycosidation of 1 with 2b in the absence of base afforded no products by TLC analysis (entry 4). We expected the glycosylation procedure to be β-stereoselective for the peracetyl sugars, but this was changed by the results obtained with 2c. Compound 2c afforded mainly the protected α-D-galactopyranoside product (3c) in 54% yield at 60 °C, and 17% yield at 0 °C in the presence of TEA, and 56% yield at 60 °C in the presence of DMAP, respectively (entries 5–7). In view of the facts that an excess of peracetyl sugar donors causes purification difficulties and an excess of 4-MU could be removed easily by aqueous sodium hydroxide solution, and that 2d should have relatively high reactivity, we investigated the glycosylation of excess of 4-MU with 2d as a mixture of α- and β-D anomers in the presence of pyridine. This case gave mainly the protected α-D-mannopyranoside product 3d in 59% yield that was very pure after three recrystallizations (entry 8). Using an excess of 2d in the presence of DMAP gave 3d in a slight higher yield of 62% (entry 9). these two 4-methylumbelliferyl α-D-pyranosides were also obtained by the early Helferich method: in 1970 Vervoot et al. reported that the condensation of 4-MU with α-D-mannose pentaacetate by a method using fusion treatment and a zinc chloride catalyst under diminished pressure gave the protected α-D-mannopyranoside (3d) in 37% yield [28]. In 1978 Courtin-Duchateau et al. reported that the condensation of 4-MU with α-D-galactose pentaacetate (2c) in boiling xylene in the presence of zinc chloride gave a mixture of protected α-D-galactopyranoside, protected β-D-galactofuranoside and protected β-D-galactopyranoside in a ratio of 3:5:7 in 30% total yield; and condensation of the O-trimethylsilyl derivative of 4-MU with β-D-galactose pentaacetate (2c) in the presence of stannic chloride gave a mixture of α and β-D-galactopyranoside in a ratio of 15:4 in low total yield of 19%. The protected α-D-mannopyranoside 3d and α-D-galactopyranoside 3c could also be obtained stereoslectively by condensation of the sodium salt of 4-MU with the corresponding O-acetylated glycosyl chlorides in hexamethylphosphoric triamide, but the reaction time was long (a few days) and the products were obtained in only 30% and 47% yields, respectively [27]. Compared with the reported methods mentioned above, the improved method for 3d and 3c here shows higher efficiency, higher
yields and high stereoselectivity. 4-Methylumbelliferyl \( \beta \)-D-xylopyranoside (4e) was prepared in 1965 by De Bruyne et al., who reported a 35% yield by the Michael condensation of acetobromoxyllose with 4-MU in acetone/water [43]. Here the improved Helferich condensation of \( \beta \)-D-xylose tetraacetate (2e) with 4-MU at room temperature (20–27 °C) gave mainly the protected \( \beta \)-D-xylopyranoside (3e) in 73% and 69% yields in the presence of TEA and DMAP, respectively, which is more than about twice as high as De Bruyne et al.’s method (entries 10 and 11); it should also be noted that the reaction gave a very low yield at 50–60 °C by comparative TLC analysis. 4-Methyl-umbelliferyl \( \beta \)-D-ribofuranoside (4f) was prepared in 1997 by Schramm et al., who reported just a 25% yield using a variant of the Koenigs-Knorr condensation of O-benzoylated ribofuranosyl chloride with the silver salt of 4-MU in toluene under reflux [44]. In this study, the condensation of \( \beta \)-D-ribofuranose tetraacetate (2f) with 4-MU at room temperature (20–27 °C) afforded mainly the protected \( \beta \)-D-ribofuranoside 3f in much higher yields of 94% and 77% in the presence of TEA and DMAP, respectively (entries 12 and 13). Moreover, the method used here was far simpler. The use of DMAP gave lower yields than of TEA for glycosylation with 3e and 3f, which suggested that optimal conditions of glycosylation of 4-MU with different glycosyl acetate donors should be different. And here comparison between multiple conditions used was necessary and helpful.

An additional noteworthy detail was the following: the cooled reaction mixtures were directly quenched and separated by column chromatography (silica gel, 200–300 mesh) with a view to preventing 4-MU from dissolving in water or aqueous alkaline solution to determine its recovery yield. This reaction post-processing was relative simpler, however, it may be affected by different silica gels of different brands, due to the fact that the single use of Macklin silica gel unexpectedly gave a remarkable lower yield of 3a compared with any of numerous examples using Haiyang silica gel, all other conditions being basically equal.

An explanation for the above stereoselective glycosylation is presented as follows: it is reported that base can abstract the proton quickly from the adduct intermediate cation generated via phenol attack on the acetyloxonium ion intermediate in the glucosidation and prevent anomerization of the \( \beta \)-anomer to the \( \alpha \)-anomer [45]. Here base should also have a role in preventing anomerization in the glycosylation of 4-MU with glycosyl acetates. Besides, one of the most powerful principles of the enforced 1,2-trans glycosylation is neighboring group participation by the acyl group at C-2 (generation of the intermediate acyloxonium ion) [46,47] that can be applied to further explain the selective formation of the protected glycosides 3a, 3b, 3d, 3e, and 3f. However, how can the selective formation of the protected \( \alpha \)-D-galactopyranoside 3c that is a 1,2-cis glycoside be explained? It is reported that the acyl group at C-4 of a galactosyl donor with a non-participating substitute at C-2 can effect a remote participation effect that is beneficial for the formation of 1,2-cis galactosidic bonds [46,48,49]. Therefore, the selective formation of 3c may be attributed to the participation of the acetyl group at C-2 followed by participation of the one at C-4 due to the fact the reactivity of 4-MU may be weaker compared to the acetyl groups at C-2 and C-4 of \( \beta \)-D-galactose pentaacetate, beside its role as base.

2.3. Deprotection of the Protected Glycosides 3a–3f

The deprotection step for glucuronides is different from that of other glycosides. In particular, there are more problems that need to be considered in order to obtain the free glucuronic acid. Due to the possibility of elimination as a side reaction, generating \( \Delta^{15} \)-(dehydro) glucuronide, and opening of the umbelliferone lactone, many deprotection methods including chemical and enzymatic treatments have been used, as well as the hydrolysis of Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) in aqueous MeOH, followed by desalting using a cation exchange resin or acidification followed by reverse-phase column chromatography treatment [34,36,50–54]. Here, a modified method for the deprotection of 3a was used (Scheme 4). Namely, using excess of Ba(OH)\(_2\) hydrate in aqueous MeOH in an ice water bath, the barium salt of 4a was obtained, that was insoluble in MeOH. This was then acidified using H\(_2\)C\(_2\)O\(_4\) hydrate in fresh MeOH, which can improve the practicality of the procedure by avoiding the difficulty of evaporating the product solution containing a lot of water.
Table 3. Glycosylation of 4-MU (1) with other peracetyl sugars (2b–2f).

| Entry | 1 (Equiv.) | 2 (Equiv.) | Organic Base (Equiv.) | BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ (Equiv.) | Reaction Conditions | Yield $^b$ | The Main Anomer $^c$ |
|-------|------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 1     | 1.0        | 2b (2.0)   | DMAP (1.5)            | 10.0                     | CICH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | $3b_1$ (36%) | β                |
| 2     | 1.0        | 2b (2.0)   | Pyridine (4.5)        | 12.5                     | CICH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | $3b_1$ (42%) | β                |
| 3 $^d$ | 1.0        | 2b (2.0)   | DMAP (4.0)            | 12.5                     | CICH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | $3b_1$ (45%) | β                |
| 4     | 1.0        | 2b (2.0)   | — $^e$                | 12.5                     | CICH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, 60–70 °C, 10 h | —         | —                |
| 5     | 1.0        | 2c (2.0)   | TEA (2.5)             | 12.5                     | CICH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | $3c$ (54%)  | α                |
| 6     | 1.0        | 2c (2.0)   | TEA (2.5)             | 12.5                     | CICH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, 0 °C, 48 h | $3c$ (17%)  | α                |
| 7 $^f$ | 1.0        | 2c (2.0)   | DMAP (4.0)            | 12.5                     | CICH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | $3c$ (56%)  | α                |
| 8     | 2.0        | 2d (1.0)   | Pyridine (3.0)        | 15.0                     | CICH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | $3d$ (59%)  | α                |
| 9 $^g$ | 1.0        | 2d (2.0)   | DMAP (4.0)            | 12.5                     | CICH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, 60 °C, 5 h | $3d$ (62%)  | α                |
| 10    | 1.0        | 2e (2.0)   | TEA (2.5)             | 12.5                     | CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 20–21 °C, 5 h | $3e$ (73%)  | β                |
| 11 $^h$ | 1.0       | 2e (2.0)   | DMAP (4.0)            | 12.5                     | CICH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, 26–27 °C, 5 h | $3e$ (69%)  | β                |
| 12    | 1.0        | 2f (2.0)   | TEA (2.5)             | 12.5                     | CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 20–21 °C, 3 h | $3f$ (94%)  | β                |
| 13 $^i$ | 1.0        | 2f (2.0)   | DMAP (4.0)            | 12.5                     | CICH$_2$CH$_2$Cl, 26–27 °C, 5 h | $3f$ (77%)  | β                |

* The entries 3, 7, 9, 11 and 13 were performed according to the procedure in Table 2, and the recovery yield of 1 and the yield of by-product 5 were determined by isolation and HPLC analysis; $^b$ Isolated yield; $^c$ The main anomer was determined by TLC analysis and isolation; $^d$ The reaction gave α-D anomer product ($3b_2$) and by-product 5 in 7% and 16% yields, respectively, and the recovery yield of 1 was 8%; $^e$ None; $^f$ The reaction gave by-product 5 in 15% yield, and the recovery yield of 1 was 9%; $^g$ The reaction gave by-product 5 in 12% yield, and the recovery yield of 1 was 8%; $^h$ The reaction gave by-product 5 in 3% yield, and the recovery yield of 1 was 13%; $^i$ The reaction gave no by-product 5, and the recovery yield of 1 was 20%.
Using this unoptimized method, 4a was obtained in 47% yield. The deprotection of other glycosides 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e, and 3f via methanolysis catalyzed by KOH at room temperature (r.t., 20–25 °C; Scheme 5), afforded the desired products 4b, 4c, 4d, 4e, and 4f in 83%, 80%, 80%, 79%, and 79% yields, respectively. Due to little amount obtained, 3b was not deprotected.

![Scheme 4. Deprotection of the protected β-D-glucopyranuronide 3a.](image)

![Scheme 5. Deprotection of the other protected glycosides 3b–3f.](image)

3. Experimental Section

3.1. General Information

Methyl tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranuronate (2a), and β-D-xylopyranose tetraacetate (2e) were prepared according to the references [38,42], respectively. α/β-D-Mannopyranose pentaacetate (2d) was prepared as specified in this section. Other reagents and all organic solvents were purchased from commercial sources and were of analytical reagent grade or contained the desired chemical in a purity of more than 97%. Those that were used as reaction solvents were dried prior to use. Petroleum ether (PE) refers to the fraction boiling in the 60–90 °C range. TLC was performed using silica gel GF-254. 1H- and 13C-NMR spectra were recorded on 300 and 500 MHz NMR spectrometers (Bruker (Beijing) Scientific Technology Co. Ltd., Beijing, China). HRMS spectra were recorded on an ultrahigh-resolution quadrupole time-of-flight (UHR-Q-TOF) mass spectrometer (Bruker (Beijing) Scientific Technology Co. Ltd., Beijing, China). Specific rotations were measured with an automatic digital polarimeter (Sinoinstrument, Ltd., Shanghai, China).
Ltd., Beijing, China) equipped with an ESI source. Specific rotations were measured with an automatic digital polarimeter (Sinoinstrument Co. Ltd., Guangzhou, China) at 19–21 °C. Melting points were measured with a microscope melting point apparatus (Shanghai Precision & Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China).

**Methyl tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranuronate (2a).** This compound was prepared according to a literature procedure [38]; white powder; mp: 176–178 °C; [lit. [38] mp: 174.2–175.1 °C]. 1H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): \( \delta = 5.78 \text{ (d, } J = 7.7 \text{ Hz, } 1H, H-1) \), 5.37–5.13 (m, 3H, H-2, H-3, H-4), 4.20 (d, \( J = 9.4 \text{ Hz, } 1H, H-5) \), 3.77 (s, 3H, Me), 2.14 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.06, 2.06, 2.05 (3× s, 9H, 3× OAc). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): \( \delta = 169.88, 169.40, 169.16, 168.81, 166.79, 91.31 \) (C-1), 72.93, 71.77, 70.11, 68.88, 53.00, 20.75, 20.54, 20.51, 20.44. 1H- and 13C-NMR spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

**β-D-Xylopyranose tetraacetate (2e).** This compound was prepared according to a literature procedure [42]; white acicular crystals; mp: 123–125 °C; [lit. [42] mp: 122–124 °C]. 1H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): \( \delta = 5.73 \) (d, \( J = 6.9 \text{ Hz, } 1H, H-1) \), 5.22 (t, \( J = 8.3 \text{ Hz, } 1H, H-4) \), 5.10–4.96 (m, 2H, H-2, H-3), 4.17 (dd, \( J = 12.0, 5.0 \text{ Hz, } 1H, H-5a) \), 3.54 (dd, \( J = 12.0, 8.4 \text{ Hz, } 1H, H-5b) \), 2.13 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.08, 2.07, 2.07 (3× s, 9H, 3× OAc). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): \( \delta = 169.76, 169.73, 169.23, 168.96, 91.96 \) (C-1), 70.90, 69.41, 68.24, 62.70, 20.73, 20.65, 20.59, 20.53. 1H- and 13C-NMR spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

**α/β-D-Mannopyranose pentaacetate (2d).** Acetic anhydride (25 mL) and pyridine (20 mL) were added successively under magnetic stirring to D-(+)-mannose (5.00 g, 27.75 mmol) in an ice-water bath. After approximately 4 h, a clear and colorless liquid was obtained and stirring in an ice-water bath was continued for 20 h. Distilled water (55 mL) was then added. After stirring for approximately 5 h, the mixture was left to stand at 4 °C in a refrigerator for 24 h, then was extracted with CH2Cl2 (45 mL). The organic phase was washed successively with dilute HCl (1 M), saturated aqueous NaHCO3, distilled water and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried with anhydrous Na2SO4. After removing the solvent under reduced pressure at 35–40 °C, a crude product was obtained and further dried in a vacuum desiccator. The constant weight crude product was a clear, colorless and sticky syrup (10.51 g, 97%), and it was used directly for glycosylation.

### 3.2. General Procedure for the Glycosylation Step

To a mixture of 4-MU (1.0–6.0 mmol) and glycosyl acetate (1.0–4.0 mmol) under an argon atmosphere, dry solvent was added successively, followed by the corresponding molar equivalents of base and Lewis acid. The mixture was stirred for a set amount of time at a certain temperature (as shown in Tables 1–3). Then, an equal volume of CH2Cl2 was added to dilute the reaction mixture, and the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO3. The organic phase was washed with diluted aqueous NaOH (1 M) until the aqueous phase was a light brownish-yellow or almost colorless, then washed successively with distilled water, saturated aqueous NaCl, dried with anhydrous Na2SO4,
and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 200–300 mesh, PE/EtOAc, 5/2), then the desired product was crystallized from anhydrous ethyl ether and dried, or the crude product was purified by several recrystallizations from ethanol.

Methyl (4′-methylumbelliferyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranosid)urinate (3a) Compound 3a was prepared according to the general procedure for the glycosylation step using 4-MU (176–352 mg, 1.0–2.0 mmol), glycosyl acetate (376–564 mg, 1.0–1.5 mmol), dry solvent (3 mL), corresponding molar equivalents of base and Lewis acid. The mixture was stirred for a set amount of time at a certain temperature (as shown in Tables 1 and 2). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography. White powder; mp: 187–190 °C; [α]_{D}^{20} = −98° (c = 0.40, CHCl3); R_{f} = 0.26 (PE–AcOEt, 3:2); lit. [29] mp: 189–190 °C; [α]_{D}^{20} = −45° (c = 1, CHCl3); lit. [35] R_{f} = 0.2 (hexanes–EtOAc, 2:3).

1H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.55 (d, J_{5,6} = 9.4 Hz, 1H, H-5′), 6.97–6.93 (m, 2H, H-6′, H-8′), 6.22 (s, 1H, H-3′), 5.43–5.24 (m, 4H, H-1, H-2, H-3, H-4), 4.29–4.22 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.76 (s, 3H, CO₂Me), 2.43 (s, 3H, Me′), 2.09, 2.08, 2.09 (3 × s, 9H, 3× OAc). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 170.02, 169.37, 169.19 (3 × OAc), 166.62 (C-6), 160.79 (C-2′), 159.02 (C-7′), 154.74, 152.22, 125.80, 115.65, 113.89, 113.22 (C-3′, C-4′, C-5′, C-6′, C-4a, C-8a′), 104.15 (C-8′), 98.26 (C-1), 72.56 (C-5), 71.61, 70.79, 68.88 (C-2, C-3, C-4), 53.09 (CO₂Me), 20.60, 20.60, 20.50 (3× OAc). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]+ calcd for C₂₃H₂₄NaO₁₂: 515.1160; found: 515.1164. 1H- and 13C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4-Methylumbelliferyl acetate (5) Yellowish white powder; mp: 148–150 °C; R_{f} = 0.35 (PE–AcOEt, 3:2).

1H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.54 (d, J_{5,6} = 8.7 Hz, 1H, H-5), 7.04 (d, J_{6,8} = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-8), 7.01 (dd, J_{5,6} = 8.7, J_{6,8} = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-6), 2.60 (d, J_{3,Me} = 1.2 Hz, 1H, H-3), 2.36 (d, J_{3,Me} = 1.2 Hz, 3H, H-Me), 2.27 (s, 3H, OAc). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 168.78 (OAc), 160.51 (C-2), 154.16 (C-7), 153.04, 151.95, 125.41, 118.12, 117.85, 114.52, 110.47 (C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6, C-4a, C-8a), 21.13 (C-Me), 18.74 (C-Me). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]+ calcd for C₁₂H₁₀NaO₄: 241.0471; found: 241.0477. 1H- and 13C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4′-Methylumbelliferyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (3b₁) Compound 3b₁ was prepared according to the general procedure for the glycosylation step using 4-MU (176 mg, 1.0 mmol), glycosyl acetate (781 mg, 2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), dry CH₂Cl₂Cl₂ (3 mL), corresponding molar equivalents of pyridine and BF₃·OEt₂. The mixture was stirred for 5 h at 60 °C (as shown in Table 3). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography. White powder; mp: 142–143 °C; [α]_{D}^{20} = −35° (c = 0.40, CHCl3); R_{f} = 0.27 (PE–AcOEt, 3:2); lit. [21] mp: 144 °C, [α]_{D}^{20} = −40 ± 2° (c = 0.5, CHCl3);
lit. [25] mp: 144–145 °C, [α]_D^20 = -36° (c = 1, CHCl₃), R_f = 0.26 (hexanes–AcOEt, 1:1); lit. [27] mp: 143–144 °C, [α]_D^20 = -39° (c = 0.635, CHCl₃), R_f = 0.18 (CHCl₃–acetone, 19:1). 1H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.54 (d, J_{5̃,6̃} = 8.7 Hz, 1H, H-5'), 6.98–6.93 (m, 2H, H-6', H-8'), 6.22 (d, J_{3̃,Me} = 0.9 Hz, 1H, H-3'), 5.38–5.30 (m, 2H, H-1, H-3), 5.22–5.16 (m, 2H, H-2', H-4), 4.32 (dd, J_{6a,6b} = 12.1, J_{5b,6a} = 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-6a), 4.20 (dd, J_{6a,6b} = 12.1, J_{5b,6a} = 1.7 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 3.93 (ddd, J_{4̃,5} = 7.4, J_{5b,6a} = 5.5, J_{5b,6a} = 1.7 Hz, 1H, H-5), 2.43 (d, J_{3̃,Me} = 0.9 Hz, 3H, Me₃), 2.14, 2.09, 2.09, 2.06 (4 × s, 12H, 4 × OAc). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 170.61, 170.17, 169.41, 169.26 (4 × OAc), 160.74 (C-2'), 159.17 (C-7'), 154.82, 152.19, 125.74, 115.51, 113.98, 113.19 (C-3', C-4', C-5', C-6'), 140.44, 139.29 (C-8a'), 103.93 (C-8'), 98.33 (C-1'), 72.55, 72.40, 70.92, 68.06, 61.80 (C-2', C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6), 20.71, 20.62, 20.59, 20.59 (4 × OAc), 18.69 (C-Me'). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]+ calcd for C₂₄H₂₆NaO₁₂: 529.1316; found: 529.1323. 1H- and 13C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4'-Methyllumbelliferyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-a-D-galactopyranoside (3b₂) White powder; mp: 132–133 °C; [α]_D^20 +202° (c = 0.30, CHCl₃); R_f = 0.31 (PE–AcOEt, 3:2); [lit. [27] mp: 131–132 °C, [α]_D^20 +200° (c = 0.5, CHCl₃), R_f = 0.27 (CHCl₃–acetone, 19:1)]. 1H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.56 (d, J_{5̃,6̃} = 8.7 Hz, 1H, H-5'), 7.11 (d, J_{6̃,6̃'} = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H-8'), 7.06 (dd, J_{5̃,6̃} = 8.7, J_{6̃,6̃'} = 2.4 Hz, 1H, H-6'), 6.22 (s, 1H, H-3'), 5.82 (d, J_{1̃,2} = 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.71 (t, J_{3̃,4} = 9.9 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.18 (t, J_{3̃,4} = 9.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 5.08 (dd, J_{2̃,3} = 10.2, J_{1̃,2} = 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 4.28 (dd, J = 12.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-6a), 4.13–4.01 (m, 2H, H-5, H-6b), 2.43 (s, 3H, Me₃), 2.08 (4s, 12H, 4 × OAc). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 170.51, 170.15, 170.11, 169.52 (4 × OAc), 160.75 (C-2'), 158.61 (C-7'), 158.47, 152.10, 125.85, 115.53, 113.32, 113.24 (C-3', C-4', C-5', C-6'), 140.44, 139.29 (C-8a'), 104.58 (C-8'), 94.37 (C-1'), 70.18, 69.79, 68.40, 68.09, 61.45 (C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6), 20.68, 20.62, 20.61, 20.57 (4 × OAc), 18.67 (C-Me'). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]+ calcd for C₂₄H₂₆NaO₁₂: 529.1316; found: 529.1321. 1H- and 13C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4'-Methyllumbelliferyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-a-D-galactopyranoside (3c) Compound 3c was prepared according to the general procedure for the glycosylation step using 4-MU (352 mg, 2.0 mmol), β-D-galactose pentaacetate (1561 mg, 4.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), dry CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL), TEA (700 μL, 5.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) and BF₃–OEt₂ (3218 μL, 25 mmol, 12.5 equiv.). The mixture was stirred for 5 h at 60 °C (as shown in Table 3). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography. White powder; yield: 548 mg (54%); mp: 176–180 °C, [α]_D^10 +209° (c = 0.40, CHCl₃); R_f = 0.32 (PE–AcOEt, 3:2); [lit. [27] mp: 186.5–188.5 °C, [α]_D^20 +210° (c = 0.281, CHCl₃), R_f = 0.43 (CHCl₃–acetone, 9:1)]. 1H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.53 (d, J_{5̃,6̃} = 8.7 Hz, 1H, H-5'), 7.06 (s, 1H, H-8'), 7.00 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, H-6'), 6.18 (s, 1H, H-3'), 5.85 (d, J_{1̃,2} = 3.0 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.55 (dd, J_{2̃,3} = 11.0 Hz, J_{1̃,2} = 3.0 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.52 (d, J_{3̃,4} = 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-4), 5.29 (dd, J_{2̃,3} = 10.8 Hz, J_{3̃,4} = 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.28 (d, J_{6a,6b} = 6.3 Hz, 1H, H-5), 4.14–4.01 (m, 2H, H-6a, H-6b), 2.40 (s, 3H, Me₃), 2.17 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.07 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.02 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.94 (s, 3H, OAc). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 170.36, 170.31, 170.14, 170.06 (4 × OAc), 160.81 (C-2'), 158.90 (C-7'), 154.86, 152.13, 125.79, 115.45, 113.54, 113.20 (C-3', C-4', C-5', C-6', C-4a', C-8a'), 104.59 (C-8'), 95.04 (C-1'), 67.60, 67.52, 67.51, 67.32, 61.30 (C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6), 20.73, 20.68, 20.64.
20.57 (4× OAc), 18.70 (C-Me'). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]+ calc for C_{24}H_{26}NaO_{12}: 529.1316; found: 529.1323. \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4'-Methylumbelliferyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-\(\alpha\)-D-mannopyranoside (3d) Compound 3d was prepared according to the general procedure for the glycosylation step using 4-MU (1057 mg, 6.0 mmol), \(\beta\)-D-mannose pentaacetate (1171 mg, 3.0 mmol, 0.5 equiv.), dry CHCl\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl (9 mL), pyridine (728 \(\mu\)L, 25.0 mmol, 12.5 equiv.). The mixture was stirred for 5 h at 20–21 °C (as shown in Table 3). The crude product was purified by three recrystallizations in EtOH. White and slightly yellow acicular crystals; yield: 899 mg (59%); mp: 161.5–163.5 °C; \([\alpha]^{22}_D +104^\circ\) (c = 0.35, CHCl\(_3\)); \(R_f = 0.28\) (PE–AcOEt, 3:2); \{lit. \[27\] mp 161–163 °C, \([\alpha]^{22}_D +106.0^\circ\) (c = 0.321, CHCl\(_3\)), \(R_f = 0.54\) (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)-acetone, 23:2); \{lit. \[28\] mp 160–161 °C, \([\alpha]^{22}_D +136.0^\circ\) (c = 2, CHCl\(_3\))\}. \(^1\)H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta = 7.56\) (d, \(J_{\gamma',\gamma'} = 8.7\) Hz, 1H, H-5'), 7.13 (d, \(J_{\gamma',\gamma'} = 2.1\) Hz, 1H, H-8'), 7.04 (dd, \(J_{\gamma',\gamma'} = 8.8, J_{\gamma',\gamma'} = 2.4\) Hz, 1H, H-6'), 6.21 (s, 1H, H-3), 5.60–5.36 (m, 4H, H-1, H-2, H-3, H-4), 4.30 (dd, \(J = 12.6, 6.0\) Hz, 1H, H-6a), 4.09–4.03 (m, 2H, H-6b, H-5), 2.43 (s, 3H, Me'), 2.24 (s, 3H, OAc), 2.07, 2.06, 2.06 (3× s, 9H, 3× OAc). \(^{13}\)C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta = 170.48, 169.94, 169.93, 169.63\) (4× OAc), 160.73 (C-2'), 158.10 (C-7'), 154.81, 152.14, 125.81, 115.44, 113.40, 113.19 (C-3', C-4', C-5', C-6', C-4a', C-8a'). \(^1\)H- and \(^{13}\)C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4'-Methylumbelliferyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-\(\beta\)-D-xylopyranoside (3e) Compound 3e was prepared according to the general procedure for the glycosylation step using 4-MU (352 mg, 2.0 mmol), \(\beta\)-D-xylose tetraacetate (1273 mg, 4.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), dry CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (6 mL), TEA (700 \(\mu\)L, 25.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) and BF\(_3\)-OEt\(_2\) (3218 \(\mu\)L, 25.0 mmol, 12.5 equiv.). The mixture was stirred for 5 h at 20–21 °C (as shown in Table 3). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography. White powder; yield: 633 mg (73%); mp: 148–153 °C; \([\alpha]^{20}_D -49^\circ\) (c = 1.00, CHCl\(_3\)); \(R_f = 0.33\) (PE–AcOEt, 3:2); \{lit. \[43\] mp: 158 °C, \([\alpha]^{20}_D -45^\circ\) (c = 2, CHCl\(_3\)), \(R_f = 0.65\) (AcOEt–benzene, 3:7)\}. \(^1\)H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta = 7.53\) (d, \(J_{\gamma',\gamma'} = 8.7\) Hz, 1H, H-5'), 6.97–6.92 (m, 2H, H-6', H-8'), 6.19 (d, \(J_{\gamma',\gamma'} = 1.2\) Hz, 1H, H-3'), 5.31–5.17 (m, 3H, H-1, H-2, H-3), 5.02 (td, \(J_{4,5b} = 7.1, J_{4,5a} = 4.5\) Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.23 (dd, \(J_{5a,5b} = 12.3, J_{4,5a} = 4.5\) Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.60 (dd, \(J_{5a,5b} = 12.3, J_{4,5b} = 7.2\) Hz, 1H, H-5b), 2.41 (d, \(J_{\gamma',\gamma'} = 1.2\) Hz, 3H, Me'). 2.11, 2.11, 2.11 (3× s, 9H, 3× OAc). \(^{13}\)C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta = 169.84, 169.83, 169.36\) (3× OAc), 160.88 (C-2'), 158.99 (C-7'), 154.83, 152.22, 125.76, 115.36, 113.59, 113.08 (C-3', C-4', C-5', C-6', C-4a', C-8a'), 104.18 (C-8'), 97.77 (C-1), 70.15, 69.59, 68.09, 61.81 (C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5), 20.80, 20.75.
20.71 (3× OAc), 18.70 (C-Me'). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]^+ calcld for C21H22NaO10: 457.1105; found: 457.1112. 1H- and 13C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4'-Methylumbelliferyl 2,3,5-tri-O-acetyl-β-D-ribofuranoside (3f) Compound 3f was prepared according to the general procedure for the glycosylation step using 4-MU (352 mg, 2.0 mmol), β-D-ribose tetraacetate (1273 mg, 4.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), dry CH2Cl2 (6 mL), TEA (700 μL, 5.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) and BF3·OEt2 (3218 μL, 25 mmol, 12.5 equiv.). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at 20–21 °C (as shown in Table 3). The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography. Colorless syrup; yield: 817 mg (94%); Rf = 0.31 (PE-AcOEt, 3:2). Little purer colorless syrup obtained by HPLC separation was analyzed by NMR spectrometers and HRMS. 1H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.45 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, H-5'), 6.92–6.85 (m, 2H, H-6', H-8'), 6.10 (s, 1H, H-3'), 5.63 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.47–5.42 (m, 2H, H-2, H-3), 4.38 (dd, J = 9.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.31 (dd, J = 12.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.98 (dd, J = 12.0, 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-5b), 2.33 (s, 3H, Me'), 2.10, 2.04, 1.87 (3× s, 9H, 3× OAc). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 170.47, 169.75, 169.57 (3× OAc), 161.04 (C-2″), 158.71 (C-7″), 154.80, 152.36, 125.71, 114.96, 113.27, 112.84 (C-3′, C-4′, C-5′, C-6′, C-4a′, C-8a′), 103.95 (C-8′), 102.90 (C-1), 79.57, 74.78, 70.71, 63.22 (C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5), 20.64, 20.58, 20.51 (3× OAc), 18.67 (C-Me'). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]^+ calcld for C21H22NaO10: 457.1105; found: 457.1111. 1H- and 13C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4-Methylumbelliferyl β-D-glucopyranosiduronic acid (4a) To a stirred suspension of Ba(OH)2·H2O (142 mg, 0.75 mmol, 3 equiv) in MeOH (6 mL) and distilled water (2.4 mL) in an ice-water bath under an argon atmosphere was added compound 3a (123 mg, 0.25 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 4 h in an ice-water bath, then glacial acetic acid was added carefully into to adjust the pH value to 7.5–8.0. The straw yellow solid precipitation was filtered off, washed with MeOH, then further purified by recrystallization using MeOH, and dried. The obtained barium salt product (105 mg) was added to fresh MeOH (5 mL) in an ice-water bath again, acidified with H2CO3·2H2O (20 mg, 0.16 mmol). After stirring for 0.5 h, the mixture was filtered. The filter residue was washed with 2–3 mL MeOH, and the merged filtrate was evaporated to a syrup under reduced pressure. The final product was crystallized from anhydrous ether, washed with a small account of cold acetone, and dried. White powder; yield: 42 mg (47%); mp: 140–144 °C; [α]20 D −114° (c = 0.20, H2O); [lit. [27] mp: 139–145 °C, [α]20 D −108° (c = 0.25, pyridine); lit. [29] mp: 139–140 °C, [α]20 D −114° (c = 0.25, H2O); lit. [32] mp: 139–140 °C, [α]20 D −119° (c = 0.25, H2O); lit. [33] mp: 140–143 °C, [α]20 D −116° (c = 0.25, H2O)]. 1H-NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 7.72 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, H-5′), 7.16–7.03 (m, 2H, H-6′, H-8′), 6.26 (s, 1H, H-3′), 5.23 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, H-1′), 4.00 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, H-5), 3.39–3.29 (m, 3H, H-2, H-3, H-4), 2.40 (s, 3H, Me). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 170.17 (C-6), 160.01 (C-2′), 159.62 (C-5′), 154.33, 153.26, 126.49, 114.17, 113.18, 111.75 (C-3′, C-4′, C-5′, C-6′, C-4a′, C-8a′), 102.98 (C-8′), 99.25 (C-1), 75.70 (C-5), 75.19, 72.77, 71.26 (C-2, C-3, C-4), 18.09 (C-Me′). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]^+ calcld
for C_{16}H_{16}NaO_8: 375.0687; found: 375.0692. ^1^H- and ^1^C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4-Methylumbelliferyl β-D-glucopyranoside (4b\textsubscript{1}) Compound 3b\textsubscript{1} (100 mg, 0.20 mmol) was O-deacetylated for 1 h at room temperature with KOH (1.0 M in anhydrous MeOH; 40 μL, 0.2 equiv.) in anhydrous MeOH (3 mL). Concentration of the solution gave a crystalline material that was filtered off, washed with ethanol until the washings were no longer fluorescent, and dried. White powder; yield: 56 mg (83%); mp: 209–211 °C; [α]\textsubscript{D}^{20} = −85° (c = 0.30, H2O); [lit. [21] mp: 211 °C, [α]\textsubscript{D}^{20} = −89.5° (c = 0.5, H2O); lit. [27] mp: 211–213 °C, [α]\textsubscript{D}^{20} = −68° (c = 0.5, pyridine)). ^1^H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_{6}): δ = 7.71 (d, J\textsubscript{3′,6′} = 9.3 Hz, 1H, H-5′), 7.08–7.00 (m, 2H, H-6′, H-8′), 6.26 (d, J\textsubscript{3′,Me} = 0.9 Hz, 1H, H-3′), 5.42 (d, J\textsubscript{1′,2′} = 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-1′), 5.16 (d, J\textsubscript{1′,2′} = 4.5 Hz, 1H, H-2′), 5.09–5.03 (m, 2H), 4.61 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.73–3.66 (m, 1H), 3.50–3.42 (m, 2H), 3.32 (s, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H, Me′), 2.10, 2.04, 1.87 (3× s, 9H, 3× OAc). ^13^C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_{6}): δ = 160.10 (C-2′), 160.08 (C-7′), 154.35, 153.30, 126.37, 114.02, 113.34, 111.65 (C-3′, C-4′, C-5′, C-6′, C-4a′, C-8a′), 103.15 (C-8′), 99.93 (C-1), 77.10, 76.44, 73.09, 69.58, 60.60 (C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]^+ calcd for C\textsubscript{16}H\textsubscript{18}NaO\textsubscript{8}: 361.0894; found: 361.0900. ^1^H- and ^1^C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4-Methylumbelliferyl α-D-galactopyranoside (4e) Compound 3c (400 mg, 0.79 mmol) was O-deacetylated for 15 min at room temperature with KOH (1.0 M in anhydrous MeOH; 237 μL, 0.3 equiv.) in dry tetrahydrofuran (8 mL) and anhydrous MeOH (4 mL). The white solid precipitate was filtered off, washed with ethanol until the washings were no longer fluorescent, and dried. White powder; yield: 214 mg (80%); mp: 222–223 °C; [α]\textsubscript{D}^{20} +238° (c = 0.30, H2O); [lit. [27] mp: 212–217 °C; [α]\textsubscript{D}^{20} +135° (c = 1.37, pyridine)). ^1^H-NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_{6}): δ = 7.70 (d, J\textsubscript{3′,6′} = 9.0 Hz, 1H, H-5′), 7.09–7.07 (m, 2H, H-6′, H-8′), 6.24 (d, J\textsubscript{3′,Me} = 1.0 Hz, 1H, H-3′), 5.60 (d, J\textsubscript{1′,2′} = 3.0 Hz, 1H, H-1′), 4.99 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.83–3.74 (m, 3H), 3.64 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H, H-5), 3.52 (dt, J = 11.0, 6.2 Hz, 1H, H-6a), 3.38 (dt, J = 11.0, 6.2 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 2.40 (s, 3H, H-Me′). ^13^C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_{6}): δ = 160.07 (C-2′), 160.07 (C-7′), 154.30, 153.32, 126.32, 113.92, 113.82, 111.56 (C-3′, C-4′, C-5′, C-6′, C-4a′, C-8a′), 103.65 (C-8′), 98.07 (C-1), 72.68, 69.30, 68.42, 67.71, 60.20 (C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6), 18.09 (C-Me′). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]^+ calcd for C\textsubscript{16}H\textsubscript{18}NaO\textsubscript{8}: 361.0894; found: 361.0897. ^1^H- and ^1^C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.
4-Methylumbelliferyl α-D-mannopyranoside (4d) Compound 3d (200 mg, 0.39 mmol) was O-deacetylated for 45 min at room temperature with KOH (1.0 M in anhydrous MeOH; 119 µL, 0.3 equiv.) in anhydrous MeOH (2 mL). The beige solid precipitate was filtered off, washed with ethanol until the washings were no longer fluorescent, and dried. Beige powder; yield: 106 mg (80%); mp: 213–214 °C; [α]D^20 +154° (c = 0.35, H2O); [lit. [27] mp: 220–224 °C, [α]D^20 +157° (c = 0.4, H2O); lit. [28] mp: 222–225 °C, [α]D^20 +178.2° (c = 2, MeOH)). ^1H-NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 7.69 (d, J_{5,6} = 9.0 Hz, 1H, H-5'), 7.11–7.07 (m, 2H, H-6', H-7'), 6.26 (s, 1H, H-3'), 5.53 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.13 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.90–4.84 (m, 2H), 4.51 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 1H), 3.68 (t, J = 4.0 Hz,1H), 3.60–3.57 (m, 1H), 3.52–3.42 (m, 2H), 3.32 (s, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H, H-Me'). ^13C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 160.04 (C-2'), 159.07 (C-7'), 154.30, 153.32, 126.32, 113.92, 113.82, 111.56 (C-3', C-4', C-5', C-6', C-4a', C-8a'). 103.61 (C-8'), 98.72 (C-1), 75.31, 70.51, 69.74, 66.53, 60.91 (C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6), 18.09 (C-Me'). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]^+ calcd for C_{16}H_{18}NaO_{8}: 361.0894; found: 361.0900. ^1H- and ^13C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4-Methylumbelliferyl β-D-xylpyranoside (4e) Compound 3e (200 mg, 0.46 mmol) was O-deacetylated for 25 min at room temperature with KOH (1.0 M in anhydrous MeOH; 138 µL, 0.3 equiv.) in anhydrous MeOH (5 mL). The white solid precipitate was filtered off, washed with ethanol until the washings were no longer fluorescent, and dried. White powder; yield: 112 mg (79%); mp: 217–218 °C; [α]D^20 −65° (c = 0.10, H2O); [lit. [43] mp: 213–214 °C, [α]D^20 −42° (c = 0.10, H2O)). ^1H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 7.70 (d, J_{5,6} = 9.3 Hz, 1H, H-5'), 7.04–7.00 (m, 2H, H-6', H-7'), 6.25 (s, 1H, H-3'), 5.45 (d, J_{1,2} = 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.19 (t, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (t, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.80–3.71 (m, 1H), 3.43–3.33 (m, 2H), 3.31–3.21 (m, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H, Me'). ^13C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 160.03 (C-2'), 159.83 (C-7'), 154.33, 153.27, 126.44, 114.07, 113.26, 111.71 (C-3', C-4', C-5', C-6', C-4a', C-8a'), 103.06 (C-8'), 100.24 (C-1), 76.27, 72.89, 69.21, 65.68 (C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5), 18.09 (C-Me'). HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]^+ calcd for C_{16}H_{18}NaO_{7}: 331.0788; found: 331.0793. ^1H- and ^13C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4-Methylumbelliferyl β-D-ribofuranoside (4f) Compound 3f (200 mg, 0.46 mmol) was O-deacetylated for 25 min at room temperature with KOH (1.0 M in anhydrous MeOH; 138 µL, 0.3 equiv.) in anhydrous MeOH (5 mL). The white solid precipitate was filtered off, washed with ethanol until the washings were no longer fluorescent, and dried. White powder; yield: 112 mg (79%); mp: 167–169 °C; [α]D^20 −145° (c = 0.15, H2O). ^1H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 7.67 (d, J_{5,6} = 8.7 Hz, 1H, H-5'), 7.00–6.96 (m, 2H, H-6', H-7'), 6.22 (d, J_{5,6} = 1.2 Hz, 1H, H-3'), 5.60 (s, 1H, H-1), 5.43 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.08–4.00 (m, 2H), 3.93 (td, J = 6.0, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (ddd, J = 11.7, 5.4, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.38–3.29 (m, 1H), 2.38 (d, J_{5,6} = 0.9 Hz, 3H, Me'). ^13C-NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 160.02 (C-2'), 159.31 (C-7'), 154.26, 153.25, 126.41, 113.81, 113.32, 111.54 (C-3', C-4', C-5', C-6', C-4a', C-8a'), 105.06 (C-8'), 103.26 (C-1), 84.82, 74.47, 70.41, 62.52 (C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5), 18.07 (C-Me'). HRMS
(ESI): m/z [M + Na]+ calcd for C_{15}H_{16}NaO_{7}: 331.0788; found: 331.0791. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR, and HRMS spectrograms are seen in the Supplement Materials.

4. Conclusions

We have developed a useful method for the synthesis of 4-methylumbelliferyl glycosides. Notable benefits, such as the easy availability of glycosyl acetates, α-/β-stereoselectivity, moderate to excellent yields (51%–94%), and easy operation, make this method a practical approach for obtaining 4-methylumbelliferyl glycosides. These compounds have been often applied to detect the activities of glycosidases from different sources, particularly microorganisms. For example, 4-methylumbelliferyl β-D-glucopyranosiduronic acid and 4-methylumbelliferyl β-D-glucopyranoside are widely used for detection of *Escherichia coli* and enterococci, respectively, and 4-methylumbelliferyl α-D-galactopyranoside can be used to help differentiate between streptococci and enterococci [4,18]. The search for novel glycosidase substrates with better performance or new functions is also of continuing interesting for biochemists. Herein we hope that this improved Helferich method will be beneficial for this objective.
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