Socio-Demographic Determinants of Road Traffic Fatalities in Women of Reproductive Age in the Republic of Georgia: Evidence from the National Reproductive Age Mortality Study (2014)
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Purpose: Globally and in Europe, road traffic injuries (RTI) have emerged as a major public health and development problem, killing the most productive adult members of a population, including women. This study aimed to identify the key socio-demographic determinants of premature and avoidable RTI mortality in reproductive-aged women (15–49 years) in Georgia.

Materials and Methods: The study employed verbal autopsy data from the second national reproductive age mortality survey (RAMOS 2014). Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were fitted using the Firth method to assess the crude and adjusted effects of each individual level socio-demographic factor on the odds of RTI-attributed death, with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (COR and AOR, 95% CI).

Results: Of 843 women aged 15–49 years, 78 (9.3%) were the victims of fatal traffic crashes. After multivariate adjustment, the odds of dying from RTI were significantly higher in women aged 15–29 years (AOR=7.73, 95% CI= 4.20 to 14.20), those being employed (AOR=2.11, 95% CI= 1.22 to 3.64) and the wealthiest (AOR=2.88, 95% CI= 1.44 to 5.77) compared, respectively, to their oldest (40–49 years), unemployed and poorest counterparts. Conversely, there were no statistically significant ethnic, marital, rural/urban, and educational disparities in women’s RTI fatalities. Overall, motorized four-wheeler occupants (78.2%), particularly passengers (71.8%), appeared to be the most common victims of fatal road injuries than pedestrians (20.5%). Alarming, the vast majority (85.9%) of any type of road users died instantly at the scene of collision, as compared to deaths en route to hospital (1.3%) or in hospital (11.5%).

Conclusion: Age, employment, and wealth status appeared to be the strong independent predictors of young women’s RTI mortality in Georgia. Future comprehensive research would be advantageous for further deciphering the differential impact of social determinants on traffic-induced fatalities, as a vital platform for evidence-based remedial actions on this predictable and preventable safety hazard.
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Introduction

The road traffic injuries (RTIs) have emerged as a major public health and development problem on the global landscape killing 1.35 million people annually on world’s roads, with low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) disproportionately
sharing 93% of this burden as an inevitable and destructive side-effect of rapid urbanization and motorization, compounded by the lack of adequate road safety policies and strategies in place.\textsuperscript{1,3} The global breakdown of road traffic deaths by road user group reflects the dramatic variation across country income levels, indicating a clear preponderance of vulnerable road users such as pedestrians and cyclists in LMICs, as opposed to car occupants in HICs.\textsuperscript{1,3} Projections suggest that unless immediate action is taken, road traffic accidents will climb up from its present global ranking of eight position to the seventh as a leading cause of death by 2030, with LMICs anticipated to be entirely responsible for this rise.\textsuperscript{1} Apart from the staggering human toll of traffic crashes on lives of the most productive adult members of a population (15–44), the road casualties entail the major financial impact on individual families and national economies, costing approximately 3% of Gross Domestic Product (GDP), which rises to 5% in LMICs.\textsuperscript{1,3,4} Worldwide, including in the WHO European region, RTIs are now the leading cause of mortality in adolescents and young adults aged 15–29 years and one of the top five causes of death among women in the age range of 15–49 years.\textsuperscript{1,6}

It has been widely acknowledged that RTI is a multifaceted phenomenon, influenced by a complex interplay of a myriad of fundamental dimensions like population density and structure, motorization, nature of traffic mix, urbanization rate, road and other transport infrastructures, general safety measures, vehicular and behavioral factors, and environmental externalities in conjunction with accessibility and quality of definitive medical care at pre-hospital and hospital levels.\textsuperscript{1,3,4,7} The growing body of empirical evidence, though, consistently highlights that individual socioeconomic position (SEP) may also play a major role in determining the magnitude, pattern, severity and outcome of this unintentional road trauma at the country level.\textsuperscript{3,7,10} Indeed, accumulated research within and outside of the European context consistently supports that vulnerability, exposure, and consequences of traffic-related injuries are differently borne by the different socioeconomic strata, with people of lower SEP such as lower levels of education, income, and low-status occupation tending to face a greater risk of incurring both fatal and non-fatal RTIs compared to more advantaged members of society, which is also true for inequalities governed by various demographic factors, including, age, race/ethnicity, marital status, and place of residence.\textsuperscript{3,7,11} However, while the current evidence stems mostly from HICs, including within Europe, there is a stark paucity of the population-based studies assessing the socioeconomic distinctions of RTI victims, notably females, in LMICs, wherein the persistent lack of reliable and systematic data thwarts the recognition of this growing public health threat on the national scale, affecting thereby evidence-based policy development.\textsuperscript{3,9,11,12} The former Soviet Union (FSU) countries, like Georgia, are no exception to the above.\textsuperscript{4,12,15}

Georgia, a middle-income country in the Caucasus Region of Eurasia, with an estimated population of four million, has witnessed the dramatic increase in the RTI mortality rates since the dissolution of the Soviet Union, coinciding with the profound and at times turbulent socioeconomic transformation occurring during the transitional period to post-communism.\textsuperscript{1,2,4,16,17} In spite of some recent governmental efforts to improve countrywide the road infrastructure and bring road safety legislation on speed limits, drunk and distracting driving, and mandatory use of seat belts and helmets in line with the best practice, the intensity of traffic law enforcement and investment in road safety interventions are as yet not commensurate with the scale of the problem,\textsuperscript{1,17} ranking Georgia among the WHO European region top 12 countries with the highest RTI mortality rates.\textsuperscript{4} The neglected incongruity between the unabated trends in fatal traffic injuries and effective response has been reflected in the findings from the two national reproductive age mortality surveys (RAMOS 2008 and RAMOS 2014), documenting the significant underestimation of RTI-related deaths in routine statistics, while also identifying RTIs as the second leading cause of death in women of reproductive age (15–49 years) and the principle cause of death among those aged 15–35 years both in 2006 and 2012, with a worrying mortality plateau over this period of time.\textsuperscript{18,19} Such a disturbing trend brings into focus the need for research-based and context-sensitive countermeasures to curb RTI epidemics and thus, redress social injustice in this area.

Consistent with this need, the present study sought to explore the major socio-demographic determinants of RTI-attributed mortality in women of reproductive age (15–49 years) who died in Georgia in 2012, using the data from the second RAMOS 2014, conducted by the National Center for Disease Control and Public Health (NCDC&PH).

Materials and Methods

Study Population and Data Sources

The data for the repeat national RAMOS 2014 were collected from March to December 2014 through the verbal
autopsy (VA) interviews with family members or other close caregivers of all deceased women aged 15–49 years, identified based on multiple sources of mortality data available in Georgia for the year 2012. Information on premortem illness signs and symptoms, as well as socio-demographic characteristics and place of death was obtained by skilled interviewers using the VA instrument developed for the first national RAMOS 2008. Of the 913 eligible deaths, 878 VAs were successfully completed, thus yielding a response rate of 96.2%.

Physician-certified VA (PCVA) approach was used to assign the most probable underlying cause to each death using the International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision (ICD-10). The detailed data collection and cause-of-death certification approaches for the RAMOS 2014 have been previously described elsewhere.

Variables
The main study outcome was a death from RTI sustained by road users in motor vehicle collision and non-collision events. For the purposes of our analysis, RTI-related death is considered as a binary dependent variable (Yes/No).

Selection of demographic and socioeconomic indicators was guided by previous studies on this topic and included women’s age (15–29, 30–39, and 40–49 years), ethnicity (Georgian, and ethnic minorities), marital status (unmarried, including divorced, separated and widowed, and currently married), educational attainment (incomplete secondary, and complete secondary or higher, including technical college and university), as well as the current employment status (employed and unemployed, including students). The composite wealth index, developed based on the ownership of household durable assets, housing characteristics and services (TV, refrigerator, landline and mobile telephone, video player, car, vacation home, housing tenure, room number, roofing materials, cooking fuel, electricity, water supply, and sanitation facilities), served as a proxy for household wealth status (poor, middle, and rich). All variables were either based on the original coding or aggregated into broader categories to increase the power for the study. Simultaneously, the role of victims in road traffic accidents and their place of death were also evaluated.

Statistical Analysis
Principal component analysis (PCA), specifically the first principal component, was employed to construct an asset-based composite wealth index as a proxy measure of wealth status for each household, who were subsequently ranked into wealth tertiles, as poor, middle, and rich.

The findings for selected variables (all coded categorically) were summarized using descriptive statistics. Records with missing data were removed from the analysis (n= 25, <5%). Proportions were compared using the Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. Univariate and multivariate models were then fitted to explore the associations between individual-level socio-demographic indicators and RTI mortality using the Firth method, a penalized maximum likelihood estimation approach applied to logistic regression for reducing the small sample bias in maximum likelihood estimation given the relatively rare events in our data. The results of regression analyses were reported as crude and adjusted odds ratios with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (COR and AOR, 95% CI). A two-tailed p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All the analyses were performed using SPSS version 21.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) and Stata version 14.2 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Ethical Consideration
Ethical clearance for this study was received from the Institutional Review Board of the NCDC&PH and the Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics South East Norway. Written informed consent was obtained from all respondents prior to interviews. The study protocol and conduct adhered to the principles laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results
Characteristics of the Study Population
The final sample comprised 843 women of reproductive age (15–49 years), of which 78 (9.3%) were the victims of fatal traffic injuries, with mean age at death 32.1 ± 9.8 years (Table 1). Figure 1 illustrates the percentage distribution of RTI deaths by road user type (A) and place of death (B). As can be seen, traffic-induced fatal injuries were far more common among motorized four-wheeler (car) occupants (61, 78.2%) as passengers (56, 71.8%) and as drivers (4, 5.1%) than in pedestrians as vulnerable road users (16, 20.5%). Light vehicles (cars and vans) have been identified as the most common offending agents accounting for 81.0% (13) of pedestrian
deaths, and the leading crash counterparts causing 39.3% (24) of vehicle occupants’ deaths, followed by heavy transport vehicles and buses (data not shown). Most victims (67, 85.9%) died instantly at the scene of collision, as compared to deaths occurring en route to hospital (1, 1.3%) or after arrival at hospital (9, 11.5%).

The socio-demographic characteristics of fatally injured decedents are presented in Table 1. An excess RTI mortality was identified in the youngest women aged 15–29 years (42.3%) with respect to almost equally affected older age groups. Compared to ethnic minorities, fatal traffic injuries were much more prominent among native Georgians (76.9%), with a less distinct predominance seen in currently married (55.1%) and urban women (56.4%) relative to their unmarried and rural counterparts. Surprisingly, women with higher SEP, in particular, those with higher education (74.4%) and wealth status (52.6%), appeared to be among the primary RTI victims, unlike their less literate (25.6%) and affluent (28.2% and 19.2%) peers. By contrast, employed women (35.9%) seemed to be less involved in fatal road crashes than their unemployed counterparts (Table 1).

### Table 1: Socio-Demographic Determinants of Road Traffic Fatalities in Women of Reproductive Age Who Died in 2012: Georgia RAMOS 2014

| Socio-Demographic Characteristics | Total Deaths (N=843) | RTI Deaths (N=78) | Non-RTI Deaths (N=765) | P-value | COR (95% CI) | P-value | AOR (95% CI) | P-value |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| **Age (years)**                   |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |              |         |
| 15–29                             | 16.1 (136)          | 42.3 (33)         | 13.5 (103)             | <0.001**| 7.00 (3.94 to 12.44) | <0.001**| 7.73 (4.20 to 14.20) | <0.001**|
| 30–39                             | 23.6 (199)          | 29.5 (23)         | 22.6 (176)             | 2.88 (1.58 to 5.26) | Reference | 2.82 (1.52 to 5.20) | Reference |
| 40–49                             | 60.3 (508)          | 28.2 (22)         | 63.5 (486)             | Reference | 1.58 (0.91 to 2.75) | 0.108   | 1.76 (0.94 to 3.29) | 0.075   |
| **Ethnicity**                     |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |              |         |
| Georgian                          | 83.2 (701)          | 76.9 (60)         | 83.8 (641)             | 0.151   | Reference |         | Reference |         |
| Other                             | 16.8 (142)          | 23.1 (18)         | 16.2 (124)             |         | 1.58 (0.91 to 2.75) | 0.108   | 1.76 (0.94 to 3.29) | 0.075   |
| **Marital status**                |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |              |         |
| Never or formerly married         | 40.5 (341)          | 44.9 (35)         | 40.0 (306)             | 0.400   | Reference |         | Reference |         |
| Currently married                 | 59.5 (502)          | 55.1 (43)         | 60.0 (459)             | 1.22 (0.77 to 1.95) | 0.397 | 1.13 (0.68 to 1.86) | 0.638   |
| **Place of residence**            |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |              |         |
| Urban                             | 59.2 (499)          | 56.4 (40)         | 59.5 (455)             | 0.629   | Reference |         | Reference |         |
| Rural                             | 40.8 (344)          | 43.6 (34)         | 40.5 (310)             | 1.14 (0.71 to 1.82) | 0.589 | 1.36 (0.83 to 2.25) | 0.224   |
| **Education**                     |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |              |         |
| Secondary in complete              | 28.8 (243)          | 25.6 (20)         | 29.2 (223)             | 0.600   | 1.18 (0.69 to 1.99) | 0.547 | 1.10 (0.58 to 2.10) | 0.770   |
| Secondary completed and higher    | 71.2 (600)          | 74.4 (58)         | 70.8 (542)             | Reference |         |         | Reference |         |
| **Employment status**             |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |              |         |
| Employed                          | 25.9 (218)          | 35.9 (28)         | 24.8 (190)             | 0.041*  | 1.71 (1.05 to 2.78) | 0.032* | 2.11 (1.22 to 3.64) | 0.007*  |
| Unemployed/ Housewife             | 74.1 (625)          | 64.1 (50)         | 75.2 (575)             | Reference |         |         | Reference |         |
| **Wealth status**                 |                     |                   |                        |         |              |         |              |         |
| Poor                              | 32.1 (271)          | 19.2 (15)         | 33.5 (256)             | 0.001*  | Reference |         | Reference |         |
| Middle                            | 33.6 (283)          | 28.2 (22)         | 34.1 (261)             | 1.42 (0.73 to 2.78) | 0.301 | 1.51 (0.75 to 3.08) | 0.252   |
| Rich                              | 34.3 (289)          | 52.6 (41)         | 32.4 (248)             | 2.76 (1.50 to 5.08) | 0.001* | 2.88 (1.44 to 5.77) | 0.003*  |

Note: *p-value < 0.05; **p-value < 0.001.

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; COR, crude odds ratio; RAMOS, reproductive age mortality survey; RTI, road traffic injury.

Predictors of RTI-Attributed Mortality

Table 1 summarizes the results of the univariate and multivariate regression, showing the crude and adjusted effects of
each selected risk factor on the odds of RTI-attributed death. As shown in the final multivariate model, age, employment, and wealth status were the strong independent predictors of women’s RTI mortality during their reproductive years. In particular, tending to significantly decrease with advance in age, the odds of being fatally injured peaked in women in the 15–29 age range, yielding 7.73 (95% CI = 4.20 to 14.20; p<0.001) times as high as that for the oldest age group (40–49 years) once all other effects were controlled. The statistically significant reverse gradient for employment status detected in the univariate model found to become steeper and stronger upon multivariate adjustment, with working women emerging 2.11 (95% CI = 1.22 to 3.64; p=0.007) times more likely to sustain fatal RTI than their unemployed counterparts. The similar positive trend was also seen across the wealth tertiles, indicating a rise in the odds of fatal traffic trauma with the increase in the wealth index level, yet this effect only reached statistical significance for the most affluent women, exhibiting almost three times greater odds than their poor peers (AOR=2.88; 95% CI = 1.44 to 5.77; p=0.003).

Conversely, although ethnic minorities, married, rural, and less educated women tended to have the higher odds of being victimized in traffic crashes compared, respectively, to their native Georgian, unmarried, urban, and more literate counterparts, none of these effects appeared to be statistically significant either before or after mutual adjustment (Table 1).

Discussion
Robust epidemiological research that can assess the magnitude and key determinants of RTIs is essential to determine, refine, and prioritize context-specific road safety initiatives that are affordable and widely applicable, and could potentially effectively avert associated fatalities. The present study identified younger age, and being from higher SEP categories such as employed and more affluent, as the strong independent predictors of fatal traffic injuries among women of reproductive age (15–49 years) in Georgia.

We found that four-wheeled motor vehicle occupants, notably car passengers, were the road user groups far more commonly involved in fatal traffic crashes, followed by pedestrian vulnerable road users, which is comparable with WHO estimates for Georgia and the European region, including the FSU, were car occupants make up about half of all RTI fatalities. This arguably reflects the predominant forms of mobility in the country, in general, stemming from the rapid expansion of motorization and increased car dependency for work- and non-work-related activities, and female mobility, in particular, with women, compared to men, being less likely to own or drive cars and nearly unlikely to ride a bicycle or motorcycle due to underlying sociocultural reasons.

Particularly alarming is exceedingly high occurrence of on-scene traffic-related deaths (86%), mirroring the evidence from India. This is indicative of slow progress in a sustainable and systemic national response to multiple road safety risks influencing both crash severity (eg, excessive speed, drink driving, seatbelt nonuse, substandard vehicles, and road infrastructure) and post-crash injury outcome (eg, delayed response time and the lack of quality pre-hospital care). Even though the proportion of injured people who die before reaching a hospital...
in LMICs is over twice that in HICs,\(^1\) high prehospital injury mortality rates have been also reported from the developed parts (mostly rural) of Europe, suggesting timely and effective emergency care at the scene, including by trained first responders, and prompt transport to closest trauma center to be an essential component of a safe system, with a serious impact on potentially preventable RTI deaths.\(^1,24,25\)

Not surprisingly, our analysis discovered women in the youngest age group (15–29 years) to have the higher odds of dying on the roads compared to their older counterparts, which is in line with the extensive volume of global and European research, demonstrating the overrepresentation of adolescents and young adult people in their second and third decades of life in fatal traffic crashes, irrespective of the country income level.\(^1,2,4,9,26,27\) It has been suggested that, unlike the influence of cognitive development in children, “sensation seeking” behavior may lead to risk-taking behavior in this age group, further aggravated by inexperience and susceptibility to peer pressure.\(^9,26,27\) Given the observed predominance of car passengers as RTI victims, our finding could be partially explained by the well-documented high level of peer influence between young drivers and passengers, regardless of their gender makeup.\(^26,28,30\) In particular, evidence suggests that the presence and the number of peer passengers increase the risk of their involvement in serious and fatal traffic crashes most likely caused by young and novice male drivers, triggered by explicit or implicit encouragement of risk taking (speeding, recklessness, illegal maneuvers, seat belt non-use, or disregard for other traffic regulations) and distraction (interior non-driving activity, including cell phone use, or exterior factors).\(^28,29\) While conversely, young drivers’ high-risk behaviors may spill over to adversely affect passenger safety restraint use.\(^30\) This is further precipitated by the young drivers’ lack of experience to handle hazardous situations, alongside their greater propensity for alcohol/drug consumption and night driving.\(^26,28,30,32\) The similar “sensation” and thus risk-seeking behavior has been also reported among young pedestrians, including while crossing a road.\(^9,33,34\) For instance, using planned behavior theory to explore mid-block crossing behavior, studies from Chile and the US identified young pedestrians (between 17 and 30 years), irrespective of gender, to be more likely than their older counterparts to commit violations, errors, and lapses given their more positive attitude towards illegal crossing and intention to commit such violations.\(^33,34\) This troubling trend reaffirms that remedial actions ought to be geared towards improving legislative, infrastructure (eg, traffic separation and calming, signalized and zebra pedestrian crossing), education, and public awareness-raising interventions with a greater focus on young road users.\(^9,13,15,35\)

While graduated licensing schemes may also provide an efficient avenue towards the prevention of risky road use and fatal or severe traffic crashes in young adults,\(^27,28,35\) it seems critical the seat-belt law in Georgia to cover not only front but also rear seat occupants, with a potential to reduce fatal injuries by up to 25% when combined with strong and sustained enforcement.\(^1,19\)

The observed evidence of a non-significant association between ethnic background and fatal traffic injuries echoes the results from previous national cohort studies in Switzerland and Sweden, showing country of origin to have no strong effect on RTI mortality,\(^36,37\) which is also true for the flat ethnic/racial gradient derived from the US nationally representative study.\(^1\) This pattern has been supported by the longitudinal evidence from Netherlands for female victims overall, including car occupants, and 15–24-year age group, but not for female pedestrians and those aged 25–49 years, with the ethnic minorities’ strong disadvantage.\(^38\) Likewise, one US study identified the paradoxically blunted mortality gradient for Hispanic female car occupants (25–64 years) relative to their White counterparts, revealing though excess mortality among black women largely due to seat-belt underuse.\(^39\) However, other publications from the US,\(^9,10\) as well as New Zealand\(^40\) supported strong ethnic differentials in adverse RTI outcomes, largely skewed towards relatively more disadvantaged ethnic minorities, while also pointing to highly interlinked cultural characteristics and SEP as the most likely mechanisms shaping local experiences of exposure to traffic-induced injury. It has been suggested, though, that culturally determined behaviors such as risk taking, law compliance, supervision of children, or drink-driving can still explain an important part of the ethnic variation in crash risks.\(^7,9,10\) For example, the “culture of alcohol”, thus excessive alcohol intake among Russians and Slavs, in general, has been suggested as the most plausible explanation for the paradoxical 55% greater RTI mortality risk among more privileged ethnic Russian females aged 20–59 years, compared to their native ethnic Kyrgyz peers in the former Soviet republic of Kirgizstan in Central Asia,\(^41\) as also evinced among Roma women aged 30–60 years in Bulgaria.\(^42\) Other studies from Israel have also emphasized the unique cultural practices, norms, and
attitudes as the major contributors to the RTI mortality inequalities across the ethnic Jewish groups of various country-of-origin, yet likely being the least protective for non-Jewish groups, such as ethnic Arabs, notably children and young adults.\textsuperscript{43,44}

Our study further failed to detect a statistically significant correlation between women’s marital status and RTI mortality. Our finding partially confirms the absence of the mortality gradient between formally and currently married adult drivers in New Zealand, being though at odds with the two-fold increased risk for never married people, explained by their more pronounced risk-taking behavior (eg, drink-driving and not using a seatbelt).\textsuperscript{45} In general, the existing body of literature supports the married peoples’ lower likelihood of involvement in fatal traffic crashes, compared to their never or formally married counterparts; however, the direct epidemiological evidence for or against such pattern, particularly among women, is still very scarce.\textsuperscript{7,10,45} As such, the US prospective cohort study among adults aged 18–64 years reported RTI mortality inequalities across all marital categories, with widowed road users exhibiting the highest, two-fold excess risk, relative to currently married ones.\textsuperscript{11} Consistent with this trend, the Swiss longitudinal study, though, documented the highest risk in divorced individuals.\textsuperscript{36} Likewise, in the French cohort study, recent marital separation or divorce, including among middle-aged women, was strongly associated with an elevated risk of a serious traffic crash and even more so with that of at-fault driver crash, likely reflecting the negative impact of stress induced by marital conflict and ensuing inattention or irresponsible behaviors.\textsuperscript{46} Alternatively, the cross-sectional study in South Iran identified young and middle-aged married women to be disproportionately involved in fatal road crashes.\textsuperscript{47} Interestingly, the literature increasingly highlights the upward trend in injuries sustained by pregnant women in traffic crashes, even with the diluted gradient in fatalities between pregnant and non-pregnant women, as evinced in the Swedish national population-based retrospective study.\textsuperscript{48,49} Research suggests the rising number of miles driven by pregnant women and concurrent misuse or under-use of seat belts for fear of harm to their fetus as the main underlying mechanisms behind this trend, making motor vehicle collisions the leading cause of injury-related maternal fatalities and fetal death in the US.\textsuperscript{48} This could be an important area for future investigation in Georgia as well.

Another notable finding of our study pertains to the non-significant rural-urban gradient in RTI mortality. Our observation replicates the results from the earlier Norwegian cohort study, disproving the rural-urban disparities in any type of fatal road crashes among young female car occupants aged 16–20 years\textsuperscript{50} while appears to be at odds with reports from South Iran\textsuperscript{47} and India,\textsuperscript{23} with the latter indicating 50% higher risk of premature (<70 years) pedestrian death in urban than in rural areas. In support of this trend, available evidence from various contexts, including the FSU, emphasizes urban areas as the persistently higher risk locations for all transport mode injuries, but particularly for severe and fatal pedestrian trauma, likely driven by more intense traffic exposure due to high traffic volume and a roadway hazardous environment (eg, the complexity of the intersections, high levels of on-street parking, amongst others).\textsuperscript{7,10,13,15} This pattern contradicts the previous works from China,\textsuperscript{51} the US\textsuperscript{11,52,53} and New Zealand,\textsuperscript{40} documenting an excess risk of fatal crashes among rural residents, compared to those living in urban areas. Several potential mechanisms have been put forward as the major driving forces behind the predominance of fatalities and more severe injuries on rural roads, namely higher traffic law violations (eg, speeding, drink-driving, seat belt underuse), poor road traffic control and lagging road safety infrastructure (eg, lack of road maintenance, street lighting, signalized intersections, crosswalks, sidewalks, guardrails, etc.), and less crashworthy vehicles, in addition to the delayed and/or lower quality post-crash response and emergency trauma care.\textsuperscript{10,11,40,51,53}

Likewise, no consistent relation was evidenced in our research between women’s educational qualification and fatal RTI outcomes, which is in line with the earlier research in many contexts, where the educational gradient in safety performance on the road and the resultant fatalities tends to be less steep in women than in men.\textsuperscript{3,7,9,10,39} The same holds true for the cross-national study in nine European developed countries, refuting significant educational disparities in transportation injury mortality among women aged 30–49 in all country settings together and within each one, with the only exception of Finland and Belgium, though exhibiting the weak excess mortality risk among less educated women.\textsuperscript{54} The similar flat educational gradient has been also documented among the adult drivers’ cohort in New Zealand.\textsuperscript{55} However, our finding is discordant with the previous evidence showing the excess risk of fatal injuries in undereducated individuals, as seen among Swiss adults, particularly pedestrians (two-fold),\textsuperscript{36} the US female car occupants aged 25–64 (three-fold),\textsuperscript{39} and young (19–40 years) and middle-aged (41–65 years) South Iranian illiterate women,\textsuperscript{47} alongside
a plethora of other observational studies, suggesting socially patterned exposure and susceptibility to traffic injuries as the most likely mechanisms underpinning reported mortality inequalities.\textsuperscript{3,7,9,11,43,55,56} It has been hypothesized that, apart from higher reliance on unsafe forms of transportation, less educated people may face the attitude and behavioral problems, possibly shaped by their limited knowledge of rules and regulations, precluding them to read and understand road signs or use protective devices, while further instigated by the lack of awareness and poorer appreciation of related risks or ability to translate this information in real actions.\textsuperscript{7,9,10,39,57}

Our study, though, confirms the strong positive association between women’s employment status and RTI mortality by detecting an excess risk in employed women, compared to their unemployed counterparts. A similar conclusion has been reached in several earlier studies around the world, supporting low-skilled or self-employed workers to be at higher risk of fatal crashes relative to other occupational categories, including those out of the labor force,\textsuperscript{7,10,55,58} as seen in the US for blue collar occupations.\textsuperscript{11} The underlying mechanisms likely to explain this gap is thought to reflect increased exposure to the hazardous traffic environment due to increased travel demand, inferring much longer distances covered and the average time spent daily for work-related driving, traveling, and commuting, coupled with the greater susceptibility to fatigue, exhaustion, and sleepiness, thus lapses in concentration and the resultant adverse safety events.\textsuperscript{8,58,61} Such patterns are of particular relevance to Georgia, where women tend to be overly represented in the informal sector.\textsuperscript{52,63} More worrisomely, while 27% of the population and 30% among the poorest (40%) in Georgia live in the households headed by women,\textsuperscript{62,63} the earlier review study highlighted that census tracts in the US with reported high-frequency pedestrian injuries had more children living in female-headed households, compared with census tracts with reported low-frequency injuries.\textsuperscript{10} On the other hand, our observation is discordant with the evidence from India, disproving occupational inequalities in pedestrian mortality.\textsuperscript{23} Moreover, the study from South Iran documented the greater involvement of female housekeepers in fatal pedestrian crashes, likely reflecting the gender roles of males and females in this country, with women being far less likely than men to participate in the labor market.\textsuperscript{47}

The most striking finding from our study is related to the strong positive effect of accumulated wealth on the odds of road traffic crash, with more affluent women tending to sustain fatal RTI to a greater extent than their less well-off peers. Nonetheless, several earlier studies from HICs documented improved road safety and lower RTI risk in the most disadvantaged people that have been linked to the less access to vehicles and consequent greater use of much safer public transport, or limited mobility pattern, including for leisure activities or shopping, due to insufficient income.\textsuperscript{10} Furthermore, evidence from the Danish National Travel Survey indicates a highly significant positive effect of higher income on driving speed, inferring that a higher income likely increases the perceived value of time and decreases the actual cost of fines and other speed-dependent user costs, leading more affluent road users to continuously face a trade-off between complying with the rules or gaining time and income by infringing the laws.\textsuperscript{64} Research elsewhere also highlights that aberrant driving behaviors in more privileged drivers may be influenced by the possession of an expensive car, which, as a symbol of prestige, may create a false sense of superiority and autonomy, making them, therefore, far more crash prone than other drivers.\textsuperscript{65} Alternatively, the Norwegian follow-up study among young females aged 16–20 years could not reveal the traffic-related mortality gradient across the family income quartiles.\textsuperscript{50} However, these patterns contradict the general tendency in the existing epidemiological literature, supporting the notion that for most types of traffic injuries, the burden of morbidity and mortality disproportionately falls on people with low SEP (individual material deprivation), as a reflection of the complex interaction of several behavioral (seat belt nonuse, aggressive violations), contextual (area-based physical and socioeconomic deprivation), and vehicular factors (unsafe, less crashworthy vehicles) that is likely exacerbated by the limited accessibility and affordability of high-quality medical care, with deep social and economic repercussions.\textsuperscript{3,7,11,40} Furthermore, some researchers argue that individual SEP may not protect the health of people who are well off when they live in poor environments, meaning that neighborhood-level economic segregation can equally affect all members of a community, irrespective of their individual economic standing.\textsuperscript{11} This seems to be an additional important line of future research.

### Limitations

This study has a number of limitations. One major limitation is its cross-sectional design, which precludes making causal inferences. Second, the observed associations should be interpreted somewhat cautiously due to the relatively small number of road traffic deaths. Further, the role of other potentially relevant explanatory factors could not be ruled out in our analysis, which was confined...
to the variables available in the original data, overall featuring high item non-response rates, except for selected variables (0–4%), suggesting thereby no major bias. Further, information on women’s socio-demographics in our study was based on respondents’ self-reports that may be a subject to social desirability and recall biases. The latter, alongside the other potential biases in our study, could be attributable to the well-established limitations inherent in the PCVA method, likely linked to the instrument design, selection of respondents, variability in interviewers’ skills, and physicians’ approaches to death certification and coding. Despite these limitations, the present study, using the nationally representative data, provides important evidence on young women’s socio-demographic disparities in RTI mortality, pointing, at least to some extent, to those areas, where interventions might be particularly effective. As major adding to the literature, our results could prove useful in guiding future research and policy action to operationalize equity and effectively enhance the overall level of safety and survival in this most productive and important segment of society.

Conclusion
To the best of our knowledge, no studies have as yet examined the impact of RTIs on young women’s health through the lens of social inequalities in the Georgian and the FSU context. Contributing to the overall limited evidence base in this area, our research identified clear social patterns of RTI mortality in women of reproductive age, yielding important insight into strong independent risk predictors of these unnatural causes of premature death such as being younger, employed, and more privileged. The findings presented here could inform national road safety policies and abatement strategies suitable for transitional countries, with opportunities for targeting or tailoring preventive countermeasures towards the most at-risk groups. This, in turn, will stand to benefit from equity-based, multi-sectoral, and integrated interventions, with the concerted policy efforts to be essentially focused on improved information and surveillance systems and institutional capacity strengthening for reversing the unabated trend towards inequalities in premature RTI mortality among women during their most productive and reproductive years. Accelerated progress can, however, be achieved through the Safe System approach, based on the Vision Zero framework, promoting better road safety management, safer road networks and mobility, and safer vehicles, as the fundamental pillars of the Global Plan for the Decade of Action for Road Safety. As one of its five pillars, modification of road user’s risky behavior through the effective road safety mass media campaign in combination with other behavioral measures (eg, law enforcement, education, or training), can become a powerful way to persuade the public to behave more safely in traffic. Key to this approach is also efficient and high-quality post-crash response with explicit attention to strengthening emergency and trauma care. Our findings finally call for future comprehensive research, which would be advantageous for further deciphering the differential impact of social determinants on adverse outcomes of road traffic crashes in young adult women, as a vital platform for remedial actions on this predictable and preventable safety hazard.
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