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Abstract

One of the main challenges in Spoken Language Understanding (SLU) is dealing with 'open-vocabulary' slots. Recently, SLU models based on neural network were proposed, but it is still difficult to recognize the slots of unknown words or 'open-vocabulary' slots because of the high cost of creating a manually tagged SLU dataset. This paper proposes data noising, which reflects the characteristics of the 'open-vocabulary' slots, for data augmentation. We applied it to an attention based bi-directional recurrent neural network (Liu and Lane, 2016) and experimented with three datasets: Airline Travel Information System (ATIS), Snips, and MIT-Restaurant. We achieved performance improvements of up to 0.57% and 3.25 in intent prediction (accuracy) and slot filling (f1-score), respectively. Our method is advantageous because it does not require additional memory and it can be applied simultaneously with the training process of the model.

1 Introduction

Dialog processing enables dialogue between humans and voice assistants such as ‘Siri’ and ‘Alexa’. In dialogue processing, spoken language understanding (SLU) is aimed at understanding and generating the user intention from an utterance. The user intention consists of an intent and slots, which are semantic entities, and it is generally defined variously according to the domain.

Neural networks (NNs) have been actively studied and applied to SLU. Xu and Sarikaya (2013) and Vu (2016) proposed models for SLU using Convolutional Neural Networks and many other researchers used recurrent neural networks (RNNs). Liu and Lane (2016) used bi-directional RNN models and applied the attention mechanism. They showed good results by using a joint learning method for both slot filling and intent prediction tasks. For considering the relationship between the two tasks, Wang et al. (2018) constructed two models for each task and Goo et al. (2018) used a 'slot-gate'.

Training an SLU model using an NN requires a large amount of training data labeled with slots and intents, which is expensive to build. In particular, plenty of corpora or dictionaries are required to recognize the value of an ‘open-vocabulary’ slot, such as a song title. In addition, it is difficult to predict the slot type of words used in the ‘open-vocabulary’ slot because there is neither a semantic restriction nor a length limit.

Kim et al. (2018) presented the features and examples of ‘open-vocabulary’ slot and proposed a new model that could effectively predict this type of slot. They exploited a long-term aware attention structure and positional encoding with multi-task learning of a character-based language model and intent detection model to focus more on relatively global information within a sentence.

The objective is to recognize slots, including ‘open-vocabulary’ slots, and predict the intent effectively by data augmentation. We propose a data noising method that reflects the characteristics of the ‘open-vocabulary’ slots. This method is advantageous in that it does not require additional memory. Moreover, it is performed simultaneously with the training of the model.

2 Related works

2.1 Data noising as a form of data augmentation

Data augmentation is a technique to avoid overfitting by increasing the size of the training datasets. This technique is widely used in many machine learning tasks. A typical method in natural language processing (NLP) is generating a sentence/corpus by replacing its words with their
synonyms based on rules, dictionaries, or ontology constructed by a person. In recent years, Kobayashi (2018) proposed a method of modifying sentences by the analogy of words to be replaced using an NN-based language model to achieve data augmentation.

One of the methods of data augmentation is data noising. Data noising is an effective technique for normalizing a neural network, and has been widely applied in fields such as computer vision and speech recognition. Its application in the field of NLP is relatively limited because NLP is based on discrete values like words and the quality of the data generated is not guaranteed. Several studies have used data noising for data augmentation. Iyyer et al. (2015); Bowman et al. (2015); Kumar et al. (2016) used a method for randomly dropping input word embedding. Xie et al. (2017) improved the performance by replacing a word with another word sampled from the unigram distribution or by blanking out as interpolation in language modeling. Cheng et al. (2018) improved the robustness of neural machine translation models against noisy inputs by randomly adding Gaussian noise to the word embedding and maintaining consistent behavior of the encoder to normal and perturbed inputs through adversarial learning. They showed that data noising is effective in normalizing sequence models based on neural networks.

2.2 Data augmentation for SLU

The NN model for SLU requires numerous labeled training corpora; therefore, some studies have attempted to improve SLU performance by data augmentation. Kurata et al. (2016) proposed a method of using encoder-decoder long short-term memory (LSTM) to generate labeled data. Hou et al. (2018) generated diverse utterances of existing training data through a data augmentation framework based on sequence-to-sequence generation. Yoo et al. (2018) proposed a data augmentation method that sampled similar words using a variational autoencoder. These methods have shown good performance improvements with data augmentation, but they require new models or consume additional memory.

3 Proposed Method

3.1 Motivation

First, we would like to cite an example of an utterance in the Snips dataset as the motive for the proposed method. Consider the sentence ‘i d like a table for midday at ‘the unseen bean’; ‘the unseen bean’ is the value of the ‘restaurant_name’ slot. Even when people read ‘the unseen bean,’ it is difficult to recognize it as the name of a restaurant without prior knowledge. However, people can infer the slot type from the context (i.e., ‘i d like a table at’).

‘Open-vocabulary’ slots, such as the name of a restaurant or the title of a song, have no restriction on the length or the specific patterns of content in the slot. Therefore, it is hard to recognize the slot type from only the words in the slots, but it is possible to predict them based on the surrounding words or the context.

Considering these linguistic features, the purpose of this study is to augment the data by transforming them into utterances with the same context/surrounding words but various slot values. It has the effect of creating utterance patterns.

3.2 Data noising for SLU

The flow of our proposed method is shown in Figure 1. It consists of two steps: data noising and using the context word window. When the training data are input, they become noised embedding vectors after data noising. Then, we use a context word window as the input to a layer of the neural network. These steps are performed per batch and the model trains with different noised data in each step. Because data augmentation is performed in the same embedding space, it does not need additional memory and is included in the training process.

Data noising: We propose a data noising method for SLU, which augments the training data by replacing the slot values with a random value while maintaining the surrounding context. The augmented data are used to train the NN for an utterance pattern. This method is shown in Figure 2 and follows the procedure described below.
1) Express an utterance \( w = \{w_1, \ldots, w_T\} \) as an embedding vector \( e(w) \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times k} \). \( T \) is the length of the word sequence and \( k \) is the size of the word embedding vector.

2) Extract the binary vector \( b(s) = \{b_0, \ldots, b_T\} \) for the slot sequence \( s = \{s_0, \ldots, s_T\} \) in the utterance \( w \). The value of \( b_i \) is set to 1 when the \( i \)-th word \( w_i \) is the slot value (e.g., \( s_i \) is ‘B-album’), and to 0 when it is not the slot value (e.g., \( s_i \) is ‘O’).

3) Multiply the binary vector \( b(s) \) by the randomly sampled vector \( v_{noise} \in \mathbb{R}^k \). Next, the noise is added only to the slot values, as shown in equation (1). This is to maintain unchanged the surrounding context of the slot value.

\[
e'(w) = e(w) + b(s) \cdot v_{noise}, e'(w) \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times k}
\] (1)

The noised embedding vector \( e'(w_i) \) is located somewhere in the same embedding space as \( w_i \). Because ‘open-vocabulary’ slots can contain any word, we do not need to know the words that are replaced. Therefore, we augment the training data by replacing the words in the ‘open-vocabulary’ slots with the embedding vector of any unknown word, through data noising.

**Noised context word window:** Mesnil et al. (2015) and Zhang and Wang (2016) used a context word window to improve the performance of the RNNs in slot filling. This can reflect the contextual information well by examining the surrounding words together. We also use the \( d \)-context word window as an input to the recurrent layer to reflect the contextual information. In this study, the noised context word window \( e_i^d \) is the result of the concatenation of the noised embedding vector \( e_i^K(w_i) \) of the center word \( w_i \) and the noised embedding vectors of the \( d \) previous words and \( d \) next words, as shown in equation (2).

\[
e_i^d = [e_i^K(w_{i-d}), \ldots, e_i^K(w_i), \ldots, e_i^K(w_{i+d})]
\] (2)

### 4 Experiments and Results

#### 4.1 Data

We experimented with three datasets: Airline Travel Information System (ATIS) (Hemphill et al., 1990), Snips\(^1\), and MIT-restaurant (MR)\(^2\).

The statistics of each dataset are shown in Table 1. We calculated the length of each slot and identified the maximum slot length. This was to numerically confirm whether each dataset had the characteristic of an ‘open-vocabulary’ slot, namely, there was no limit on the length of the slot value.

- **ATIS:** It is used in many SLU researches (Liu and Lane, 2016; Wang et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2018), including those on utterances for flight reservations. The training set is from ATIS-2 and ATIS-3 corpora, and the testing set is from ATIS-3, NOV93, and DEC94 datasets.

- **Snips:** It is an open-sourced NLU dataset of custom-intent-engines by Snips. It is used in SLU studies (Goo et al., 2018; Yoo et al., 2018). The Snips dataset contains user utterances from various domains, such as playing music or searching a movie schedule. It has ‘open-vocabulary’ slots, such as movie title.

- **MR:** It is a single-domain dataset, which is associated with restaurant reservations. MR contains ‘open-vocabulary’ slots, such as restaurant names.

#### 4.2 Baseline and details of experiments

We set the attention based bi-directional LSTM model (Liu and Lane, 2016) without the label dependency as the baseline for the experiment.

\(^1\)https://github.com/snipsco/nlu-benchmark/tree/master/2017-06-custom-intent-engines
\(^2\)https://groups.csail.mit.edu/sls/downloads/restaurant/
applied our data augmentation method to the baseline and evaluated the following two cases: *Just add noise (+Noise)*, *Add noise and use context window (+Noise, cw)*.

We followed the set-up in Liu and Lane (2016). We set the number of LSTM cells to 128, the batch size was 16, and the dropout rate was 0.5. We considered one layer and used the Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2015) for parameter optimization. The word embeddings were randomly initialized and then fine-tuned and their size was 128 for experiments with ATIS and MR, and 64 for experiments with Snips, for comparison with previous studies.

The noise vector was created with probability $p$ and it defined the number of augmented data. In this paper, because we performed the data augmentation in batches during the training, the number of augmented utterances was defined as $(\text{the number of step} \times \text{batch size}) \times p$. The probability was set to 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, or 1.0. The noise vector was sampled randomly from the normal distribution or uniform distribution and its size was equal to the word embedding size. We set the mean of the normal distribution to 0.0, and the $\sigma$ value to 0.1, 0.2, or 0.3. The range of the uniform distribution was set to [-0.2, 0.2] or [-0.5, 0.5].

As in previous SLU studies, we used the F1-score and the accuracy to evaluate the performance of the slot filling (SF) and the intent prediction (IP), respectively.

### 4.3 Performances of intent prediction and slot filling

Table 2 shows the performance improvements achieved by the proposed method versus the baseline. The proposed method showed clear improvements for the Snips and MR datasets. It is considered that the proposed method is effective in the two datasets because they have larger length of slots (as shown in Table 1) and more ‘open-vocabulary’ slots. Experimental results show that our approach improves slot filling of an unknown word or ‘open-vocabulary’ slots by learning the patterns of utterances. Examples illustrating the results can be found in Table 4. They show that the proposed method improves the slot filling of unknown words and ‘open-vocabulary’ slots by learning the utterance patterns.

Additionally, the proposed method is more effective when the size of the training data is small. Figure 3 shows the performance according to the training data size with ATIS.

### 4.4 Comparison with previous studies

Table 3 shows the comparison of the performance of previous studies with each data set. In the case of the ATIS dataset, our method shows better performance than the ‘Baseline’ study (Liu and Lane, 2016) and a study targeting ‘open-vocabulary’ slots (Kim et al., 2018), but it is not state-of-the-art. However, we achieve the best performance among studies using the Snips and MR datasets.
5 Conclusion

This paper focuses on data augmentation by reflecting the characteristics of ‘open-vocabulary’ slot in order to achieve better SLU. The experiments show that the proposed method outperforms the baseline, especially with datasets that include more ‘open-vocabulary’ slots. The proposed method has the following three advantages. Data augmentation can be performed during training. It does not need additional memory because it utilizes the input embedding space. It is straightforward and intuitive; hence, it can be easily applied to any model. In this paper, we added noise in all slots without classifying types of slots, i.e., without determining whether they were open-vocabulary slots. In our future work, we will perform additional experiments by classifying the types of slots and adding noise depending on the types. In addition, we plan to apply this method to Named Entity Recognition because it also has the problem of ‘open-vocabulary’ tags.
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