Long-term follow-up of recovered MPN patients with COVID-19
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Dear Editor,

During the first wave of SARS-CoV-2 infection a European observational study was launched under the auspices of the European Leukemia Net (ELN), aiming at gathering information about the clinical epidemiology of COVID-19 in patients with chronic myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN-COVID study).

Thirty-eight hematologic centers from Italy, Spain, Germany, France, United Kingdom and Poland, participated in the study and enrolled 180 consecutive patients with WHO-diagnosis of essential thrombocythemia (ET; n = 60), polycythemia vera (PV; n = 58), prefibrotic-myelofibrosis (pre-PMF; p = 23) and overt primary myelofibrosis (PMF; n = 39) who developed COVID-19 from February 15 to May 31, 2020. During the acute phase of the infection, inhospital mortality affected almost 30% of 175 evaluable patients and the most vulnerable MPN subgroup was overt PMF (mortality 48%) [1]. Another result deriving from this cohort concerned the thrombosis incidence, found significantly elevated in ET, where it reached almost 20% vs. 5% in PV and PMF, respectively [2].

At present, there is no information on the clinical condition of MPN patients discharged after COVID-19. Although SARS-CoV-2 infection has a variable clinical severity and mainly manifests itself as a respiratory syndrome, accumulating data revealed damage of hematopoietic system and vascular endothelium. This damage can persist even after the acute phase of infection [3]. Post COVID-19 related consequences could be more frequent in clonal diseases such as MPN, whose natural history is marked by vascular complications and inherent risk of clonal evolution into myelofibrosis, myelodysplasia (MDS) and acute myeloid leukemia (AML).

In this paper, we report the events that occurred in 125 of the 175 patients (71%), enrolled in MPN-COVID study, who survived to the acute phase of the infection. Participating centers were required to report in pre-established e-CRFs patient characteristics and outcomes collected after at least 6 months after COVID-19 infection recovery. The study was approved by the single center Ethical Committees.

PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

Before and at COVID-19

In Table S1, surviving patients examined in the last-follow-up before COVID-19 (median: 1.4 months; interquartile range [IQR]: 0.8–3.0) presented blood counts and clinical picture consistent with the chronic phase of their respective MPN subtypes.

The great majority experienced an infection of moderate severity (94.4%) and patients were managed at home (n = 38; 30.4%) or in regular wards (n = 80; 64%), whereas only seven (5.6%) were in need of intensive care unit (ICU) admission. COVID-19 directed therapy included antiviral agents (n = 43; 35.8%) and in 28 patients (23.7%) corticosteroids. High C-reactive protein (CRP), neutrophils on lymphocytes (N/L) ratio levels and D-Dimer increase marked the clinical course. We point out that antithrombotic drugs including low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) and oral anticoagulants were prescribed in 54% and 2.5%, respectively, of these 125 patients and that, in spite of this treatment, venous thromboembolism was diagnosed in 7 patients (5.6%) and a stroke in a single case (0.8%).

Post-COVID

The blood count values after 6 months from the resolution of the infection are presented in Table S1 as medians and interquartile ranges and do not show substantial alterations. Of note, chest CT-scan was abnormal in 69% of 19 examined patients and in 10% patients the O2 saturation was less than 95%. Among the laboratory tests, we noted the persistence of increased inflammatory markers (i.e., CRP ≥ 0.8 mg/dl in 56% of cases; N/L ratio ≥ 3 in 48%; D-Dimer ≥500 ng/mL in 18%), as reported in the general population after COVID-19 [4]. Cytoreductive therapy was used in 80% of cases and antithrombotic therapy included antiplatelet agents in 67.5% of cases and anticoagulants in 17.5%.

OUTCOMES POST-COVID-19

Symptoms

A long-term follow-up study of these patients at 6 months post infection revealed ongoing symptoms in a third of the patients (Fig. S1). Among these, fever, cough, and dyspnea were the most commonly reported during the acute infection but largely remitted in the following 6 months. It has been reported that the persistence of symptoms is more frequent in patients over the age of 60 and in our cases, with a median of 70 years of age, it was also associated with persistence of inflammatory markers in more than half of them. Overall, these findings suggest a slow recovery after the acute phase of infection as also observed in other series of non-MPN patients [5,6].

Major thromboses and bleedings

Major thrombosis was registered in five patients (4%); in one of them, massive fatal intestinal ischemia occurred (Table 1). None of these patients experienced thrombosis during COVID-19. The acute infectious disease of these patients was managed in the ordinary wards and, after discharge, antithrombotic therapy with LMWH was only used in a single patient who developed peripheral arterial thrombosis. Of the four patients receiving cytoreductive drugs, three were receiving ruxolitinib for PMF or ET, and the fourth was on hydroxyurea (HU). It is interesting to know that these events occurred after 5 months after the infection subsided, as it is well illustrated by the Kaplan Meyer thrombosis-free survival curve of Fig. 1A.

A single patient with PMF experienced a recurrence of gastrointestinal bleeding requiring blood transfusions ~3 months after discharge.

These findings are difficult to compare with the data reported in the general population in the post-infection period. A number of studies reported varying incidences of 1–5% of venous thromboembolism in patients after discharge, depending on the underlying disease, comorbidity, and concomitant antithrombotic prophylaxis [7–9]. Clearly, one factor that may explain some of these differences is...
Table 1. Main characteristics of patients with major outcomes at the 6-month follow-up after COVID-19 recovery.

| THROMBOSIS (N = 5) | Fatal event | MPN | Age | COVID-19 acute phase disposition | Cytoreduction | Anticoagulation |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|-----|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Intestinal ischemia | Yes         | MF  | 71.7 | Regular ward                    | No           | No             |
| Splenic infarction  | No          | MF  | 72.3 | Regular ward                    | Ruxolitinib  | No             |
| DVT (legs) + PE    | No          | ET  | 61.5 | Regular ward                    | Ruxolitinib  | No             |
| Acute myocardial infarction | No | PV  | 80.6 | Regular ward                    | Hydroxyurea  | No             |
| Pheripheral arterial thrombosis | No | MF  | 75.4 | Regular ward                    | Ruxolitinib  | Yes            |

| MALIGNANCY (N = 5) | Fatal event | MPN | Age | COVID-19 acute phase disposition | Cytoreduction | MPN disease duration |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|-----|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| AML Yes           | MF  | 49.3 | ICU | Hydroxyurea                      | 5.9 years    |
| AML No            | ET  | 78.3 | Regular ward | Hydroxyurea | 6.0 years    |
| AML No            | Pre-PMF 82.1 | Regular ward | Hydroxyurea | 3.8 years    |
| Non-Hodgkin lymphoma | No | ET  | 60.0 | Regular ward                    | Hydroxyurea  | 8.6 years   |
| Progression of Parotid Carcinoma | Yes | MF  | 77.3 | Regular ward                    | Ruxolitinib  | 21.7 years  |

| DEATH CAUSES (N = 8) | Fatal event | MPN | Age | COVID-19 acute phase disposition | Cytoreduction | MPN disease duration |
|---------------------|-------------|-----|-----|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| AML Yes – MF 49.3 | ICU | Hydroxyurea | 5.9 years |
| Progression of solid cancer (parotid) | – MF 77.3 | Regular ward | Ruxolitinib | 21.7 years |
| Suspected lung cancer | – MF 80.9 | Regular ward | unk | 10.9 years |
| Multi Organ Failure | – ET 85.7 | Regular ward | Hydroxyurea | 5.8 years |
| Thrombosis | – MF 71.7 | Regular ward | No | 10.2 years |
| Heart failure | – MF 82.4 | Home | Unknown | 24.7 years |
| Heart failure | – Pre-PMF 88.7 | Home | No | 8.8 years |
| Unknown | – ET 87.0 | Regular ward | Unknown | 0.8 years |

Fig. 1 Major outcomes at 6-months follow-up after COVID-19 recovery. Kaplan–Meier survival estimates from COVID-19 recovery of (A) Thrombosis-free, (B) Malignancy-free, (C) Overall survival and (D) Event-free survival.
the observation time after infection. In our cases with MPN, no event was recorded in the first 5 months but, instead, they occurred later, during the last period of our observation. Notably, our patients were not on LMWH prophylaxis during this time, which could possibly have reduced these vascular complications [10].

Malignancies

Acute myeloid leukemia. AML was diagnosed in 3 patients by morphology, immunophenotyping, cytogenetics and genetics including next generation sequencing (NGS) (Table S2).

Patient #1, with PMF CALR-mutated, upon progression showed numerous recurrent karyotype abnormalities, and the presence of multiple genetic lesions typically associated with progression in AML was documented.

Patient #2, with ET JAK2V617F (variant allele frequency [VAF] 31%), upon progression to AML showed a karyotype characterized by the presence of an additional marker, and genetic lesions associated with AML evolution were revealed by NGS.

Patient #3, during chronic phase of pre-PMF, in addition to the MPL mutation (VAF 1%) also showed the presence of high-risk genetic lesions [11]. On progression, a complex karyotype was found and the molecular profile documented additional genetic lesions of TP53 (VAF 91%) and RUNX1 (VAF 44%).

While it is conceivable that the COVID-19 hyperinflammatory state associated with the acute phase of the infection and persisting even after recovery could have accelerated disease progression in patient #3, the molecular profile of the other two patients did not suggest such rapid progression to AML.

Large B-cell Non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Large B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma was diagnosed in a single case; the tumor developed predominantly in the brain and patient is currently alive on chemotherapy.

Parotid cancer. The patient had a rapid evolution post-COVID-19, whereas it was stable before. The tumor showed an unexpected aggressiveness leading to death of the patient.

These five malignant events were diagnosed as early as the second post-COVID-19 month and the probability of their occurrence was projected to 20% after 8 months. To our knowledge, the onset of neoplastic events in the immediate post-COVID-19 period in patients with MPN has not been reported so far. Given the low number of events, we were unable to investigate any risk factors. We can speculate that both MPN and COVID-19 share overlapping inflammatory mechanisms that may have favored the disease progression of malignant subclones already present in the chronic phase of MPN.

Mortality

Deaths occurred in eight patients after 9 months and the causes are listed in Table 1. Kaplan–Meier curve indicated that probability of death was 9% (Fig. 1C).

Event-free survival after 9 months, including freedom from thrombosis, malignancies and death, was 66% in the 125 surviving patients, who were followed-up for a median of 6 months post-COVID-19 recovery (Fig. 1D).

This multicenter European study, although with a relatively small number of patients, provides a descriptive analysis of MPN patients who survived after COVID-19. We here report a diversity of complications that further increase mortality and morbidity of MPN patients in the post-COVID-19 period. Indeed, 40% of these patients, when followed-up over 6 months after the acute COVID-19 illness subsided, experienced both fatal and non-fatal events.

Our research indicates that the health consequences of COVID-19 extend far beyond acute infection and suggest larger, multicenter analyses to augment and expand our observations. These signals should induce careful surveillance in all patients with MPN regardless of the severity of acute SARS-CoV-2 infection.
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