INTRODUCTION

Plant vascular system is a crucial for plant growth and development (1). A number of pathogens invade the plant vascular system, causing severe disease symptoms and substantial reductions in crop yield. Vascular pathogens include *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (Xoo), which causes rice bacterial blight (2), *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* (Xcc) that underlies crucifer black rot, *Ralstonia solanacearum*, which results in bacterial wilt, and *Verticillium dahliae* responsible for cotton wilt. These pathogens can infect plants through wounds, leaf hydathode water pores, or roots (3), as observed for *Xoo* (2), *Xcc* (4), *R. solanacearum* (5, 6), and *V. dahlia* (7, 8). They then multiply in and block xylem vessels, resulting in systemic spread, tissue damage, and plant death (2, 9). However, current understanding of plant-pathogen interactions relies mainly on pathogens that infect leaves or mesophyll tissues or broad-spectrum disease resistance to multiple pathogens with different lifestyles [as exemplified in (10–12)]. Despite the agricultural impact of vascular pathogens, little is understood about the biochemical and molecular mechanisms underlying plant vascular immunity. Compared to other immune responses (13–15), our knowledge of plant defenses that target pathogens in the xylem is limited (16).

Coevolution of plants and vascular pathogens has likely shaped plant vascular immunity so that this defense system has both common and unique features compared to mesophyll pathogen defense (16). Tissue-specific infection has been reported for *Xanthomonadaceae* (17). For example, vascular xanthomonads colonize water-transporting xylem and extend along with the vascular system, while nonvascular *Xanthomonas* invade the mesophyll and cause localized symptoms (18, 19). The genomes of *Xoo* and *Xc* (*X. oryzae* pv. *oryzicola*), two representative xylem and mesophyll xanthomonads, encode secreted transcription activator–like effectors (20, 21). These effectors can activate either host susceptibility (S) genes, leading to virulence, or resistance (R) genes resulting in tissue-specific resistance. It has been reported that vascular *Xanthomonas* pathogens secrete cell wall–degrading enzymes during infection, such as cellulases (clSA and cbsA), xylanase (xyn), pectinase (pglA), and esterase (lipA) (22–24). These enzymes also induce host immune responses. cbsA, a cellulbiohydrolase that degrades cell walls, was found to be enriched in vascular *Xanthomonas* subgroups and lost in nonvascular *Xanthomonas* species (25). The evolution of this enzyme may have promoted a switch from nonvascular to vascular colonization, suggesting that a single locus can shift tissue-specific infection in closely related pathogens (25, 26). This divergence of pathogen lifestyles may have shaped tissue-specific plant immune responses. This raises a fundamental question: How do different resistance genes activate tissue-specific immune responses upon detecting pathogens with different lifestyles?

As one of the most abundant cell wall components and an important secondary metabolite in plant growth and development, lignin has been implicated in effective defense responses in plants. Lignin builds a physical barrier that blocks vessels or fills extracellular spaces, thus impeding bacterial multiplication and movement and acting as a key factor in vascular defense (27–29). *Arabidopsis*, soybean, and rice genes linked to lignin biosynthesis were up-regulated during pathogen infection, resulting in increased lignin levels and reinforcing the cell wall–based defense (27–29). Consistently, many lignin biosynthesis genes are highly expressed in plant vascular bundles (30). Moreover, previous studies have shown that several MYB family transcription factors regulate expression of lignin biosynthesis genes (30–32). Notably, MYB4 represses lignin biosynthesis, as seen in studies examining the plant response to ultraviolet light (33–35). However, the molecular links between the plant immune machinery and lignin-based vascular defense remain largely unexplored.
The vascular pathogens Xoo and Xcc and the leaf mesophyll cell pathogen Xoc serve as comparative models for investigating vascular-specific resistance. Here, we report that mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) phosphatase 1 (MPK1) and its target kinases MPK3 and MPK6 form a signaling cascade that promotes vascular defense in both Arabidopsis and rice. We found that nonhost resistance (NHR) to Xoo is lost in Arabidopsis mkp1 mutant, leading to Xoo growth in the leaf veins. The corresponding rice Osmkp1 mutant exhibited enhanced host susceptibility to Xoo. Pathogen infection attenuates the MAPK phosphorylation pathway by inducing the MPK1 gene, leading to inactivation of the MYB transcription factors that negatively regulate lignin biosynthesis genes. This regulatory cascade enhances lignin production and, thus, disease resistance against vascular pathogens. Our study uncovers a previously unappreciated molecular mechanism that contributes to plant vascular defense that is conserved in different plant species.

RESULTS
MKP1 controls vascular defense in Arabidopsis

We uncovered the mechanisms that regulate plant vascular defense, we screened an ethylmethane sulfonate–mutagenized Arabidopsis Col-0 population challenged with Xoo, which does not usually infect Arabidopsis. The ntx1 (nonhost disease resistance to Xoo 1) mutant developed disease symptoms indicating loss of NHR to the Xoo strain PXO99A (Fig. 1A). To examine Xoo growth in ntx1 leaves, we created a beta-glucuronidase (GUS)-expressing Xoo strain. Notably, PXO99A-GUS colonized and proliferated in ntx1 leaf veins (Fig. 1A), suggesting that vascular defense against Xoo is defective in ntx1. In addition to compromised NHR to the nonadapted pathogen Xoo, the ntx1 mutant was more susceptible to the adapted vascular pathogen Xcc (Fig. 1B).

We next determined whether the loss of vascular resistance in the ntx1 mutant is specific to vascular pathogens rather than loss of common NHR or basal defense. Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri (Xac) and Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria (Xcv) caused citrus bacterial canker and pepper bacterial spot disease, respectively (36–40), the destructive diseases of citrus and pepper. We found that ntx1 was not more susceptible than wild-type Col-0 to nonhost and non-vascular Xoc, Xac, and Xcv (fig. S1, A to C). Last, we found that ntx1 plants showed enhanced basal resistance to a leaf mesophyll–specific bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato (Pst DC3000) (fig. S2A), with marked increases in transcript abundance of the salicylic acid (SA) pathway genes ICS1 and PR1 (fig. S2, B and C). These results strongly suggest that NTX1 is specifically involved in vascular resistance rather than a more general process.

We cloned the NTX1 gene using polymerase chain reaction (PCR)–based genetic mapping coupled with genome sequencing (fig. S3A). We found that the ntx1 allele carries a G-A transition at nucleotide position 1416 in the MKP1 (At3G55270) gene, which encodes MKP1. This G-A transition causes an Arg241→Gln (MKP1 R241Q) missense mutation in the dual-specificity phosphatase catalytic site domain (fig. S3B). Consistent with the known functions of MKP1 in plant development (41), the ntx1 plants displayed developmental defects, including decreased biomass, reduced seed setting due to abortive stamens, and larger seeds compared to the wild-type plants (fig. S3C).

To confirm the molecular identity of NTX1, we generated a pMKP1::MKP1 complementation transgene and transformed it into the ntx1 mutant. The pMKP1::MKP1 transgene fully restored vascular resistance to Xoo. We also used CRISPR-Cas9 to generate a MKP1 knockout line (CR-mkp1) in the Col-0 background and found that CR-mkp1 plants phenocopied the ntx1 mutant, displaying a loss of resistance to Xoo (Fig. 1C and fig. S3D). Together, these results link MKP1 function to vascular resistance against Xoo in Arabidopsis.

MKP1 regulates vascular resistance to Xoo by inactivating MPK3

We examined the expression of MKP1 during Xoo infection and found that MKP1 expression was induced by Xoo (fig. S4A). We also generated pMKP1::GUS fusion reporter plants and performed GUS histological analysis. Strong GUS activity was observed in vascular bundles (Fig. 1D), consistent with the idea that MKP1 functions in the vascular defense. We also carefully examined the potential cellular changes in mkp1 using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and found that vascular bundles were smaller in leaf sections of mkp1 compared to wild-type plants, with no obvious change in cell wall thickness (fig. S4, B and C). These changes may explain the weak growth phenotype of mkp1.

On the basis of its predicted biochemical function, we hypothesized that MKP1 might regulate vascular defense by controlling MAPK activity, which plays a known role in plant immunity (42). Because MKP1 was previously shown to specially deactivate MPK3 and MPK6 via dephosphorylation (43), we analyzed the interaction of MKP1 with MPK3 and MPK6 using both the yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) and split luciferase complementation (SLC) assays. MKP1 interacted with MPK3 and MPK6 in both assays (fig. S5, A and B). Furthermore, we confirmed that MPK3 and MPK6 were dephosphorylated in vitro by MKP1 but not the catalytically inactive mutant variant MKP1 R241G [R241 is the conserved residue of the signature catalytic motif C(X)2R of the tyrosine-dual-specificity protein phosphatases] (fig. S5, C and D).

We generated mkp1 mpk3 and mkp1 mpk6 double mutants by crossing mkp1 with the single mutants mpk3 and mpk6. When inoculated with Xoo, the mkp1 mpk6 double mutant displayed mkp1-like susceptibility to Xoo, whereas the mkp1 mpk3 double mutant exhibited Col-0–like resistance to Xoo (Fig. 1E). These results suggest that MKP1 regulates vascular resistance to Xoo through MPK3, rather than MPK6 inactivation, to regulate the vascular resistance against Xoo. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed phosphorylation of MPK3 and MPK6 during Xoo infection and found that Xoo inoculation strongly induced MPK3 phosphorylation but only slightly induced MPK6 phosphorylation (Fig. 1F). Furthermore, MPK3 phosphorylation was increased in the mkp1 mutant, in contrast to MPK6 (Fig. 1G). These results suggest that MKP1-mediated dephosphorylation and inactivation of MPK3 underlies vascular resistance against Xoo.

Lignin plays a critical role in vascular resistance to Xoo

Given that mkp1 plants showed enhanced resistance to Pst DC3000 (fig. S2A), with marked increases in the transcript abundance of SA pathway genes ICS1 and PR1 (fig. S2, B and C), and that SA and ROS (reactive oxygen species) play important roles in disease resistance (44, 45), we measured the SA and ROS levels during Xoo infection. We found that SA and ROS accumulation were not significantly induced by Xoo in mkp1 plants compared to wild-type Col-0 plants (fig. S6, A to C), suggesting that the vascular defense mediated by MKP1 does not involve SA and ROS. Next, we performed an RNA transcriptome analysis of wild-type Col-0 and mkp1 mutant plants infected with PXO99A. We found that genes linked to a range of
biological processes showed differential expression in \textit{mkp1} compared to the wild-type plants (fig. S7A). Almost all genes of the lignin biosynthesis pathway, including \textit{PAL1}, \textit{C4H}, \textit{4CL1}, and \textit{COMT1}, were down-regulated in \textit{mkp1} during \textit{Xoo} infection (Fig. 2A and fig. S7B). Thus, we hypothesized that reduced lignin biosynthesis might contribute to the compromised vascular defense in the \textit{mkp1} mutant. We found that, although lignin was induced by \textit{PXO99A} in both the wild type and \textit{mkp1}, the degree of lignin accumulation was markedly reduced in \textit{mkp1} plants (Fig. 2B). Cell wall autofluorescence and phloroglucinol staining confirmed decreased lignin accumulation in the xylems of \textit{mkp1} leaf veins (Fig. 2C and fig. S7C).

**MPK3-mediated phosphorylation of MYB4 negatively regulates lignin biosynthesis**

The transcriptional repressor MYB4 has previously been shown to negatively regulate expression of lignin biosynthesis genes and is specifically expressed in leaf veins (fig. S7D) (30). We therefore hypothesized that MPK3 phosphorylates and activates MYB4 and that the levels of active MPK3 and MYB4 might therefore be higher in \textit{mkp1} than in wild-type plants. To test this hypothesis, we performed several protein-protein interaction assays, including Y2H, SLC, and in vitro pull-down, and found that MPK3-MYB4 interact (Fig. 2D and fig. S7, E and F). Coimmunoprecipitation (coIP) confirmed that MPK3 and MYB4 interact in planta (Fig. 2E).
Fig. 2. MPK3 phosphorylates MYB4 to regulate lignin biosynthesis. (A) Differential expression of lignin biosynthetic genes induced by Xoo in wild-type Col-0 and mkp1 as revealed by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). Many lignin biosynthesis genes were less induced in mkp1 as compared with Col-0. FPKM, Fragments per kilobase of exon per million reads mapped. (B) Lignin quantification in leaves at 12 hours infiltrated with Xoo and Xcc. Data were shown as means ± SD (n = 3). Asterisks represented statistical significance (**P < 0.001, Student's t-test). ns, not significant. Experiments were repeated three times. (C) Cell wall autofluorescence and relative fluorescence intensity of Col-0 and mkp1 infiltrated with Xoo. Note that less lignification of the leaf vessel was induced by Xoo in mkp1 as compared with Col-0. Scale bar, 50 μm. Data were shown as means ± SD (n = 10). Letters indicated significant differences (P < 0.05) determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. Experiments were repeated three times. (D and E) MPK3 interacts with MYB4, as revealed by Y2H screen (D) and coimmunoprecipitation (coIP) assay (E). SD/-Leu-Trp, SD media lacking leucine, tryptophan, and histidine; SD/-Leu-Trp-His, SD media lacking leucine and tryptophan; 3AT, 3-aminotriazole. MPK3-GFP and MYB4-MYC in transgenic Arabidopsis plants were purified and immunodetected using anti-GFP or anti-MYC antibody. (F) MPK3 phosphorylates MYB4 in vitro. MPK3-MBP (myelin basic protein), MYB4-His, MKK4DD-MBP (T224D/S230D), and MKK5DD-MBP (T224D/S230D) were expressed in E. coli and purified for in vitro phosphorylation assays. MYB4-His was incubated at 30°C for 1 hour then separated on a Phos-tag gel. CBB, Coomassie brilliant blue staining for loading control.
Next, we tested whether MPK3 can phosphorylate MYB4 in vitro. The constitutively active MKK4 \(^\text{DD}\) (T224D/S230D)/MKK5 \(^\text{DD}\) (T215D/S221D) mutant strongly activates endogenous MPK3 and MKP6 (46). Coincubation of recombinant MYB4-His with MPK3–myelin basic protein (MBP) in the presence or absence of MKK4 \(^\text{DD}\)/MKK5 \(^\text{DD}\) resulted in a slow migrating MYB4-His band on Phos-tag SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, indicating that MPK3 can phosphorylate MYB4-His (Fig. 2F).

**Phosphorylation of MYB4 suppresses vascular defense by inhibiting lignin biosynthesis**

We previously predicted that MPK3 might phosphorylate MYB4 at two sites, Ser\(^4\) and Thr\(^{221}\) (47). Thus, we generated loss-of-phosphorylation (MYB4\(^\text{AA}\), Ser\(^4\)/Thr\(^{221}\) mutated to Ala) and phosphomimetic (MYB4\(^\text{DD}\), Ser\(^4\)/Thr\(^{221}\) mutated to Asp) mutant variants of MYB4. Both MYB4\(^\text{AA}\) and MYB4\(^\text{DD}\) could still interact with MPK3 (fig. S7G). We used CRISPR-Cas9 editing to generate an MYB4 \(^\text{DD}\) but not MYB4\(^{\text{AA}}\) mutant, expressed (OE) as a MYC fusion protein. Scale bar, 1 cm. (fig. S8C). This was confirmed by following protein degradation (fig. S8D), suggesting that the phosphorylated/active form MYB4\(^\text{DD}\) was more stable than the nonphosphorylated/inactive form MYB4\(^{\text{AA}}\) (fig. S8C). This was confirmed by following protein degradation (fig. S8D), suggesting that MYB4 might be subjected to dynamic control by protein phosphorylation and degradation.

MYB4 is known to bind the promoter of \(C4H\), thus repressing expression of critical rate-limiting regulator of lignin biosynthesis (33, 34). We found that \(C4H\) was up-regulated in CR-\(\text{myb4}\) and down-regulated in MYB4\(^\text{OE}\) and MYB4\(^\text{DD}\) OE transgenic lines as compared with wild-type plants (fig. S8E). CR-\(\text{myb4}\) lines consistently accumulated more lignin, whereas MYB4\(^\text{OE}\) and MYB4\(^\text{DD}\) OE transgenic lines accumulated less lignin than wild type (Fig. 3B). Collectively, these data indicate that the phosphorylation-mediated activation of MYB4 by MPK3 suppresses lignin biosynthesis, thus compromising vascular resistance to \(Xoo\) in \(mkp1\) plants. Similar results were also observed with \(Xcc\) inoculation (Fig. 3C). These data together strongly suggest that MYB4 negatively regulates resistance to both adapted and nonadapted vascular pathogens through inhibiting lignin biosynthesis.

**OsMKP1 regulates vascular resistance in rice**

The rice genome encodes an MKP1 homolog, OsMKP1 (fig. S9A) (48). To investigate whether OsMKP1 is also involved in rice vascular defense against \(Xoo\), we generated CRISPR-Cas9 knockout mutant (CR-\(\text{osmkp1}\)) and OsMKP1 overexpression (OsMKP1-OE) lines (fig. S9B). \(Xoo\) proliferates and spreads within the xylem (fig. S9C). As in Arabidopsis, OsMKP1 expression was induced by \(Xoo\) (fig. S9D). Furthermore, CR-\(\text{osmkp1}\) plants exhibited enhanced susceptibility to \(Xoo\) in comparison with wild-type Nipponbare (NIP) plants. CR-\(\text{osmkp1}\) plants had also decreased lignin accumulation and decreased PR gene expression during \(Xoo\) infection (Fig. 4, A to C, and fig. S9, E and F). In contrast, OsMKP1-OE exhibited enhanced resistance to both adapted and nonadapted vascular pathogens through inhibiting lignin biosynthesis.

---

**Fig. 3. Phosphorylation of MYB4 suppresses vascular defense by inhibiting lignin biosynthesis.** (A) Disease symptoms (left) and bacterial growth (right) in Col-0, \(mkp1\), CR-\(\text{myb4}\), MYB4\(^\text{OE}\), MYB4\(^{\text{AA}}\) OE, and MYB4\(^{\text{DD}}\) OE at 7 dpi with PXO99A. The wild-type MYB4 and the site mutation variants (MYB4\(^{\text{DD}}\) and MYB4\(^{\text{AA}}\)) were ectopically expressed (OE) as a MYC fusion protein. Scale bar, 1 cm. (B) Leaf lignin quantification indicated that lignin biosynthesis was inhibited by overexpression of MYB4 and MYB4\(^{\text{DD}}\) but not MYB4\(^{\text{AA}}\). (C) MYB4 also negatively regulates resistance to \(Xcc\). Disease symptoms (left) and bacterial growth (right) of \(Xcc\) in Col-0, \(mkp1\), CR-\(\text{myb4}\), MYB4\(^\text{OE}\), MYB4\(^{\text{AA}}\) OE, and MYB4\(^{\text{DD}}\) OE at 4 dpi with \(Xcc\)8004. Scale bar, 1 cm. Data were shown as means ± SD (\(n = 3\)). Asterisks represented statistical significance (**\(P < 0.01\) and ***\(P < 0.001\), Student’s t test) (B). Letters indicated significant differences (\(P < 0.05\)) determined by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s test (A to C). Experiments were repeated three times.
to Xoo (Fig. 4, A to C), although lignin accumulation was only slightly increased (fig. S9E).

Xoo invades systemically through the xylem tissue, while Xoc is a nonvascular pathogen and colonizes the intercellular spaces of mesophyll parenchyma. To determine whether MKP1-mediated resistance is also specific to vascular pathogens in rice, we inoculated the rice plants with Xoc. CR-Osmkp1 plants showed enhanced resistance, whereas OsMKP1-OE plants displayed decreased resistance to Xoc, compared with wild-type NIP plants (Fig. 4D). In addition, similar to Arabidopsis, CR-Osmkp1 was more susceptible to the nonadapted vascular pathogen Xcc8004 (Fig. 4E). Therefore, OsMKP1 plays a conserved role in the vascular defense in the dicot Arabidopsis and the monocot rice.
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Next, we investigated the role of OsMKP3 and OsMPK6 in rice vascular defense. Both factors interact with OsMKP1 (fig. S10, A and B). We used CRISPR-Cas9–based editing to create CR-Osmkp1Osmpk3 and CR-Osmpk1Osmpk6 double knockout mutants (fig. S10C). CR-Osmpk1Osmpk6 plants showed significantly enhanced resistance to Xoo, whereas CR-Osmpk1Osmpk3 had only slightly increased disease resistance in comparison with the CR-Osmpk1 single mutant (Fig. 4F). These data suggest that rice OsMKP3 and OsMPK6 both negatively regulate disease resistance to Xoo, with OsMPK6 playing a more prominent role in regulation. Consistently, an immunoblot analysis of flg22/PXO99A–induced phosphorylation of OsMPK3 and OsMPK6 revealed that OsMPK6 exhibited a greater increase in phosphorylation than OsMPK3 in CR-Osmpk1 plants (Fig. 4, G and H) (49). These results suggest that OsMKP1 regulates resistance to Xoo in rice, mainly through dephosphorylating/inactivating OsMPK6.

**OsMYB102 and OsMYB108 negatively regulate bacterial blight resistance**

The rice genome encodes two MYB4 homologs, OsMYB102 and OsMYB108 (fig. S11, A and B), which were reported to negatively regulate lignin biosynthesis (50). We found that the transcript levels of OsMYB102 and OsMYB108 were unchanged in CR-Osmpk1 or during Xoo infection (fig. S11C). Y2H and coIP assays indicated that OsMYB102 interacted with OsMPK6 (Fig. 5, A and B), suggesting that OsMYB102 might be an OsMPK6 substrate. To evaluate the function of OsMYB102 and OsMYB108 in Xoo resistance, we generated
single knockout mutants CR-Osmyb102 and CR-Osmyb108 and CR-Osmyb102/Osmyb108 double mutant (fig. S11D). These mutants accumulated higher levels of lignin than wild-type NIP plants (Fig. 5C) and exhibited significantly increased resistance to Xoo, with CR-Osmyb102/Osmyb108 showing stronger resistance (Fig. 5D). Thus, OsMYB102 and OsMYB108 function redundantly in the negative regulation of lignin biosynthesis and Xoo resistance in rice.

We further generated OsMYB102-OE, OsMYB102AA-OE (Ser169 mutated to Ala), and OsMYB102DD-OE (Ser14/Ser169 mutated to Asp) transgenic plants (fig. S11E). Similar to our data in Arabidopsis, OsMYB102-OE and OsMYB102DD-OE accumulated significantly less lignin (Fig. 5E) and were more susceptible to Xoo compared to the wild-type NIP control (Fig. 5F). Thus, we conclude that the MKP1-MPK3/6-MYB cascade plays a conserved role in lignin-based vascular defense in Arabidopsis and rice.

**DISCUSSION**

How plants perceive diverse pathogen signals and activate tissue-specific immune responses is an open question. One mechanism could be tissue-specific regulation of defense gene expression and metabolic pathways tailored to specific pathogen lifestyles (e.g., leaf mesophyll versus vascular infections). In this study, we uncover a protein phosphorylation-mediated mechanism that promotes vascular-specific immune: promotion of lignin biosynthesis in vascular tissues by the MKP1-MPK3/6-MYB signaling cascade (Fig. 6). This immune mechanism underlies both NHR against nonadapted vascular pathogens and host resistance to adapted vascular pathogens and is conserved in monocot rice and the dicot Arabidopsis.

The MAPK pathways have been to function in many signaling processes regulating plant development, abiotic stress responses, and immunity (51–53). The MKP1-MAPK cascade has been previously shown to negatively regulate plant resistance against mesophyll pathogens, likely through inhibiting the SA and ROS signaling pathways. In contrast, we find that the MKP1-MAPK cascade positively regulates vascular defense through activating lignin biosynthesis via direct targeting of MYB4 transcription factors. Therefore, our study has provided an example in which the same signaling cascade leads to divergent immune outcomes against pathogens with different lifestyle: shedding light on tissue-specific defense responses in monocot and dicot plants. MKP3 and OsMPK6 are activated by Xoo in mkp1 and Osmpk1 mutant plants, respectively. Therefore, monocot and dicot plants likely adopt different MAPK targets to fine-tune a conserved defense against vascular pathogens.

The xylem, which is developed from procambium and cambium and is composed of four types of cells—tracheids, vessels, xylem fibers, and xylem parenchyma—forms specialized vascular bundles together with the phloem and the cambium, providing structural integrity to plants and transporting water and nutrients from the soil to leaves and stems (27, 54). In particular, lignin is the second most abundant polymer next to cellulose in the cell walls of vascular tissues (55) and is often rapidly deposited through induction of lignin biosynthesis genes in responses to pathogen infection in plants (28–30). We discover that genes regulating lignin synthesis are less induced in the mkp1 mutants when inoculated with Xoo and Xoc, resulting in reduced lignin accumulation in the developing xylem. The lignin-mediated vascular defense is also likely used by race-specific R genes against vascular pathogens in the rice-Xoo pathosystem. For example, the Xa21-mediated Xoo resistance is related to lignin accumulation (56, 57). Similarly, Xa10 stimulates the accumulation of lignin-like phenolic compounds (58). This raises a fundamental question: How do these different resistance genes encoding diverse upstream immune regulators activate lignin-based vascular-specific immune responses upon perceiving Xoo bacteria in rice?

Our discovery of a conserved vascular-specific defense in monocot rice and dicot Arabidopsis will facilitate the identification of other key regulators of vascular defense through future suppressor screening. It would be worthy of further investigating how the plant immune machinery perceives diverse vascular pathogens and activates the MKP1-MAPK cascade to trigger lignin-based vascular defense. With this scenario, whether and how the rice Xa genes confer Xoo resistance are also open questions. Moreover, the discovery of the MKP1-MPK3/6-MYB cascade in vascular defense also opens the door to broadly investigating how diverse plants interact with vascular pathogens, including major crop pathogens, such as Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus (CLas), Xylella fastidiosa, phytoplasmas, and vasculature-feeding insects (16). Hence, the basic findings from this study have broad implications for the future development of a new generation of crop resistance strategies against many devastating vascular pathogens and insects.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials and growth conditions**

Arabidopsis and rice were cultivated in a growth room and at an experiment station, respectively, as previously described (59, 60). All Arabidopsis thaliana experiments were in Col-0 background, including the mutants mpk3 and mpk6 (61). The transgenic lines CR-mkp1, CR-myb4, pMKP1::MKP1/ntx1, pMYB4::GUS, MYB4-OE, MYB4AA-OE, and MYB4DD-OE were generated in this study. The double mutants mkp1 mpk3 and mkp1 mpk6 were generated by crossing the mkp1 with the mpk3 and mpk6 line, respectively. Rice transgenic lines were generated in a NIP background. Rice mutants and overexpression

![Fig. 6. A proposed model for the function of the MKP-MPK protein phosphorylation cascade in vascular defense in plants. MKP1 is induced by vascular bacterial pathogens, such as Xoo and Xcc, which negatively regulate MPK3/6 through dephosphorylation. MPK3/6, in turn, activates the MYB4 transcription factor that negatively regulates lignin biosynthesis. This cascade orchestrates vascular-specific resistance against vascular pathogens and negatively regulates defense against mesophyll cell pathogens through inhibiting SA and ROS signaling pathways.](image-url)
Plasmid constructs and plant transformation
To make CRISPR-Cas9 knockout constructs, the target sequences of MKP1, MYB4, OsMKP1, OsMPK3, OsMPK6, OsMYB102, and OsMYB108 were generated according to previously reported protocols (62, 63). For overexpression constructs, the coding sequence (CDS) sequences were inserted into pCAMBIA1300-MYC or pCAMBIA1300-GFP (green fluorescent protein) for Arabidopsis transformation or inserted into PUN1301-FLAG for rice transformation with targets. The constructs were introduced into Agrobacterium strain GV3101 (for Arabidopsis) and EHA105 (for rice) and then transformed into different genetic backgrounds to produce more than 15 independent transgenic lines for each construct. Further selection and validation were based on PCR-based sequencing or Western blotting. All primers used for cloning are listed in table S1.

PX099A-GUS-labeled strain
Pprt+gus cut from the vector pLAFR6 (64) was connected to binary vector pUFR034-Pprt-gus was constructed and transformed to prepared PX099A competent cells. The GUS that expressed transformants were screened and verified for pathogenicity by plant inoculation.

Pathogen inoculation and disease assay
For the Arabidopsis inoculation assay, Pst DC5000 [10^5 colony-forming units (CFU) ml^-1] was grown on LB medium [tryptone (10 g/liter), yeast extract (6 g/liter), KH2PO4 (1.5 g/liter), NaCl (0.6 g/liter), MgSO4.7H2O (0.4 g/liter), and rifampicin (100 mg/liter); 28°C], PXO99A (2 × 10^7 CFU ml^-1) was grown on PSA medium [tryptone (10 g/liter), sucrose (10 g/liter), glutamate (1 g/liter), and cephalexin (15 mg/liter); pH 7.0; 28°C], and 8004-GFP [10^7 CFU ml^-1] was grown on NYG medium [tryptone (5 g/liter), yeast extract (3 g/liter), yeast extract (3 g/liter), and glyceral (20 g/liter); 28°C]. The inoculates were used for syringe infiltration. To quantify bacterial infection, Arabidopsis leaves were surface-sterilized using 75% ethanol, and CFUs were counted in leaf disks by serial dilutions. One technical replicate consists of four-leaf disks, and three technical replicates were included in each biological experiment. Experiments were repeated three to five times with biologically independent samples.

For rice inoculation assay, Xoo strain PXO99A [optical density at 600 nm (OD600), 1.0] and Xoo strain RS85 (OD600 0.5) grown on NB medium [tryptone (10 g/liter), yeast extract (5 g/liter), and sucrose (10 g/liter); 28°C] were prepared for inoculation. Two-month-old plants were inoculated by leaf-clipping method and syringe infiltration method. Lesion length was recorded at day 14 post inoculation (dpi). To generate growth curves, 10 μl of sterile water resuspended 5 cm of leaf tissues to count CFUs (66, 67). All rice inoculation experiments were repeated for three biological replicates.

RNA analysis and RNA sequencing
Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent and reverse-transcribed into cDNA using ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Master Mix with genomic DNA remover. For quantitative real-time PCR analysis, TB Green Premix Ex Taq and gene-specific primers were used (table S1). Experiments were repeated at least three times with biologically independent samples. For sample preparation, leaves of 4-week-old seedlings (Col-0 and mpk1) after PXO99A incubation for 12 hours was used for RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), with three biological replicates for each treatment. RNA-seq analysis was performed in Shanghai Biotechnology Corporation. The entire RNA-seq dataset was deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus under accession number GSE161152.

Y2H assay
The CDSs of the target genes were cloned into pDEST22/32 (Invitrogen) or PGADT7/PGBK7 (Clontech). Clones were cotransformed into yeast strain AH109, grown on dropout medium (without either Trp and Leu or Trp, Leu, and His) containing 3-aminotriazole (Sigma-Aldrich, A8056). The experiments were repeated three times independently to confirm the interactions.

SLC assay
The CDSs of the genes were cloned into pCAMBIA-35S-nLuc and pCAMBIA-35S-cluc. The clones were transformed into Agrobacterium strain GV3101, and bacteria solution was collected and resuspended in infiltration buffer [10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM MES, and 150 mM acetosyringone (pH 5.6)] mixed with p19, incubated for 2 to 3 hours at 30°C before being infiltrated into Nicotiana benthamiana as previously described (59, 60). Two days later, LUC signals were measured using the Luciferase Assay System (Promega) and imaged using the Tanon-5200 Chemiluminescent Imaging System. The experiments were repeated three times independently to confirm the in planta interactions.

Protein pull-down assay
Fusion proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli, and 30 mg of protein was bound to beads in buffer [20 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 1 mM EDTA, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), and 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)] at 4°C for 2 hours and then washed five times with the washing buffer [50 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 0.1% Triton X-100] to remove nonspecifically bound proteins. The binding protein was released by heating at 95°C for 5 min in 100 μl of SDS loading buffer for immunoblot.

Coimmunoprecipitation
Samples were ground in liquid nitrogen; protein was extracted using IP buffer [50 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, and 1 μl protease inhibitor cocktail (11836153001, Sigma-Aldrich)] and then vortexed and centrifuged at 12,000g for 10 min at 4°C. Supematant was incubated with beads for 2 hours at 4°C, and the beads were washed four times with washing buffer [50 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 1 mM PMSF, and 1 μl protease inhibitor cocktail]. The binding protein was released by heating at 95°C for 5 min in 100 μl of SDS loading buffer for Western blot. Proteins were resolved on a 4 to 20% Biofuraw Precast Gel (Tanon) by electrophoresis and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes using Trans-Blot Turbo blotting system (Bio-Rad). The following antibodies were used: anti-MYC (Merck Millipore, 05-724), anti-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich, F1804), anti-GFP (Abcam, ab290), and anti-ACTIN (CMCTAG, AT0004). Immunodetection was imaged using Tanon-5200 Chemiluminescent Imaging System (Tanon), and ACTIN was used as a loading control.
MAPK activity assay
Proteins were extracted in MAPK extraction buffer [50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 75 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM EGTA, 50 mM β-glycerophosphate, 2 mM DTT, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1× protease inhibitor cocktail, and 1× phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (4906845001, Sigma-Aldrich)]. MAPK activities were detected using Phospho-p44/42 MAPK antibody (1:2000; 9101, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-MPK3 (1:2000; M8318, Sigma-Aldrich), and anti-MPK6 (1:2000; A7104, Sigma-Aldrich).

In vitro protein phosphorylation assay
The purified fusion proteins MKK4DD-MBP (50 ng), MKK5DD-MBP (50 ng), MPK3-MBP (200 ng), and MYB4-His (200 ng) produced in E. coli were used for in vitro protein phosphorylation assay. The proteins were incubated in reaction buffer [25 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, and 1 mM adenosine triphosphate] at 30°C for 30 min, and the reaction was stopped by heating at 95°C for 5 min with SDS loading buffer. MYB4-His phosphorylation was visualized by immunoblotting with 10% Phos-tag Gel (Wako) as described (68).

GUS reporter assay
The ~2-kb promoter regions of MKP1 and MYB4 were inserted into vector 1300-GUS-Nos and then introduced into Agrobacterium strain GV3101 to develop GUS reporter transgenic plants. For GUS staining, plant tissues were stained in buffer [50 mM NaPO4 (pH 7.0), 5 mM K3Fe(CN)6, 5 mM K4Fe(CN)6, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 1 mM p44/42 MAPK antibody (1:2000; 9101, Cell Signaling Technology)] overnight at 4°C, and observed under an optical microscope (Leica EZ4 E) as previously described (69).

Lignin measurement
Lignin was quantified as previously described (71, 72). Briefly, leaf samples (~100 mg) were frozen and ground to powder in liquid nitrogen and washed with 70% ethanol, chloroform/methanol (1:1 v/v), and acetone. Powder samples were then evaporated in 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0), and heated for 20 min at 80°C. After adding 35 µl of amylase [H2O (50 µg/ml); from Bacillus species; Sigma-Aldrich] and 17 µl of pululanselase (17.8 U from Bacillus acidopullulyticus; Sigma-Aldrich), samples were incubated overnight at 37°C and digested with 100 µl of 25% acetyl bromide in acetic acid at 50°C for 2 hours. The samples were mixed with 2 M NaOH (400 µl), 0.5 M hydroxylamine hydrochloride (70 µl), and acetic acid to 2 ml. Absorbance was measured at 280 nm. The content of acetyl bromide soluble lignin (%ABSL) was calculated using Beer’s law with extinction coefficient of 15.69 mg/cm per liter for Arabidopsis and 17.2 mg/cm per liter for rice.

SA analysis
For total and free SA measurement (12), leaf tissues (100 mg) were ground in liquid nitrogen and extracted with 90% methanol, and 250 ng of o-anisic acid was used as an internal standard. The samples were subjected to phase separation in ethyl acetate/cyclopentane (1:1 v/v) and then evaporated and solubilized in 20% methanol with 5% trichloroacetic acid. Filtered extracts were quantified using high-performance liquid chromatography, and fluorescence was recorded with excitation/emission wavelengths of 305/407 nm for o-anisic acid and SA.

Microscopic observation of bacteria in planta
For scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of bacteria, plant materials inoculated with pathogens were soaked in FAA at 4°C; dehydrated with 50, 70, 85, and 100% ethanol for 5 min each; dried with CO2 critical point drier; and observed under Zeiss Merlin Compact SEM. For TEM of bacteria, plant materials inoculated with pathogens were soaked in 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution at 4°C and embedded with conventional methods, and slices were observed with Hitachi H-7650 TEM.

Statistical analysis
In this study, all values are presented as means ± SD, and the number (n) of samples or replicates are indicated in the figure legends. Significant differences were analyzed using Student’s t test for pairwise comparisons and one-way or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s test between multiple groups comparison indicated with P values or different letters.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS
Supplementary material for this article is available at https://science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abad8723

View/request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.
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