Novel Frameshift Heterozygous Mutation in UBAP1 Gene Causing Spastic Paraplegia-80: Case Report With Literature Review
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Hereditary spastic paraplegia (HSP) represents a group of rare inherited neurodegenerative conditions and is characterized by progressive lower limb spasticity. Ubiquitin-associated protein 1 (UBAP1)-related HSP is classified as spastic paraplegia-80 (SPG80), which is an autosomal-dominant (AD) juvenile-onset neurologic disorder and mainly affects the lower limbs. We described the clinical and genetic features of two patients in the same family caused by heterozygous mutation of the UBAP1 gene.

The proband was a 34-year-old woman with progressive spasticity and hyperreflexia in the lower limbs for 26 years. Her mother also had similar symptoms since the age of 6. The proband and her mother only had motor dysfunctions, such as unsteady gait, hypertonia, and hyperreflexia of lower limbs. Other system functions (sensory, urinary, visual, and cognitive impairments) were not involved. WES disclosed a frameshift mutation (c.371dupT) in the UBAP1 gene, which was predicted to be “likely pathogenic” and was co-segregated in the pedigree. c.371dupT, encoding the truncated UBAP1 protein with 72.6% missing of the normal amino acid sequence, is responsible for the spastic paraplegia (SPG) in this family. In combination with clinical characteristics, genetic testing results, and co-segregation analysis, the diagnosis is considered to be pure spastic paraplegia-80 (SPG80), which is an AD disease. By retrospectively analyzing the documented cases, we comprehensively review the phenotypic features and summarize the genotype spectrum of SPG80 to enhance earlier recognition and therapeutic strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

Hereditary spastic paraplegia (HSP) represents a group of rare inherited neurodegenerative conditions and is characterized by progressive lower limb spasticity (1). HSP is traditionally classified into complicated and uncomplicated forms according to the presence of additional clinical features or not, such as cognitive decline, cerebellar ataxia, peripheral neuropathy, or parkinsonism. The estimated prevalence of HSP is about 1.2–9.6/100,000 in the general...
population (2, 3). To date, more than 82 genes have been associated with HSP under various modes of inheritance, such as autosomal recessive (AR), autosomal dominant (AD), X-linked, and maternally inherited (mitochondrial) (2, 4, 5). In 2019, Farazi Fard et al. first described the association between ubiquitin-associated protein 1 gene (UBAP1) and HSP (6). UBAP1-related-HSP is classified as spastic paraplegia-80 (SPG80), which is an AD juvenile-onset neurologic disorder and mainly affects the lower limbs. Among all the cases published before, the most common phenotype is the pure form, which is characterized by progressive spasticity and hyperreflexia, but other systems are not involved (7, 8). However, some patients indeed manifest as complicated form, neurological or other features are also present, such as cerebellar ataxia and mild cognitive impairment (6, 9).

Here, we reported two patients from a Chinese family with SPG80 due to a novel heterozygous frameshift mutation in the UBAP1 gene. On the basis of thorough clinical and genetic analysis, we perform a comprehensive review and summarization of previously reported SPG80 cases to strengthen the understanding and clinical diagnosis of this disease.

**CASE DESCRIPTION**

We enrolled a Han Chinese family (Figure 1A) without consanguineous history in this study, with 2 patients fulfilling the clinical diagnosis of pure HSP and 5 unaffected individuals (10). The proband and her family members were clinically examined. The proband (III1 in Figure 1A) presented with childhood-onset abnormal gait. She was 34 years old with progressive difficulty in walking for 26 years. The disease progressed gradually. At the age of 8, the muscles of lower limbs became stiff, tight, and swollen when walking. Subsequently, the lower limb weakness led to laborious leg-lifting. During junior high school days, she became easy to fall and could not walk independently. Then, frequent stumbling and gait disorders were recorded. At the age of 34, the physical examination showed normal strength in neck flexion and upper limbs (5/5) but reduced strength of lower limb abduction (4/5). Hypertonia, hyperreflexia, and ankle clonus in the lower limbs were also disclosed. Muscle atrophies in the four limbs were not noticed. She walked slowly with a scissor gait. Saccadic pursuit, nystagmus, myalgia, muscular atrophy, dysphagia, ataxia, and cognitive impairment were not noted. Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) performed at age 14 was normal.

The other affected within the same family was the 60-year-old mother (II2 in Figure 1A) of the proband described above who had manifestations of similar clinical features. She started to suffer from progressive walking difficulty at the age of 6, but she could walk with aid until the age of 12. The spastic gait continued to progress moderately. At the time of recent visit, she could still walk with aid. Physical examination showed normal strength in neck flexion and upper limbs (5/5), reduced strength in lower limb abduction (3/5), hypertonia, hyperreflexia, and ankle clonus in the lower limbs.

After admission, relevant examinations were carried out. No abnormality was revealed by blood routine, routine urine and stool testing, biochemical indicators, coagulation function, thyroid function, glycosylated hemoglobin, inflammatory markers, and tumor markers in the two patients.

Whole exome sequencing was performed using DNA from the proband’s peripheral blood sample, thus showing the heterozygous variant c.371dupT (p.Leu124GlufsTer15) in the UBAP1 gene. Sanger sequencing and co-segregation were further performed on the family, including the proband, the affected mother (c.371dupT), and the father (normal) (Figure 1B). The site of mutation was not identified in 1,000 Genomes (http://browser.1000genomes.org), Genome Aggregation Database dataset 2.1.1 (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org), and the Exome Aggregation Consortium dataset (http://exac.broadinstitute.org) as well as in 200 healthy controls. This variant was not documented in the Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD) and was predicted to be “disease-causing” by MutationTaster (http://www.mutationtaster.org, probability score: 1, range: 0–1). The site of mutation was well-conserved among different species (Figure 1C). Structures of wild-type and mutant UBAP1 proteins were predicted with the Phyre2 web portal (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2) (Figures 1D,E). c.371dupT encoded the truncated UBAP1 protein with 72.6% missing of the normal amino acid sequence. Thus, the variant was classified as “likely pathogenic” according to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) standards and guidelines (PVS1, PM2) (11). In combination with the evidence of clinical characteristics and genetic testing results, it was diagnosed as pure SPG80. SPG80 needs to be differentiated from spinocerebellar ataxia, peripheral neuropathy, parkinsonism, and other subtypes of hereditary spastic paraplegias.

**DISCUSSION**

We demonstrated a novel mutation in the UBAP1 gene in a family with clinical diagnosis of SPG80. UBAP1 (NM_016525.5), located on chromosome 9p13, is composed of 16 exons spanning 73.5 kb. To date, a total of twenty heterozygous UBAP1 mutations have been identified among 91 patients from 35 families worldwide and diagnosed as SPG80 (including this one). Nineteen mutations (c.247_248insGTGAGATTTC, c.279delG, c.286_290dupCCAGA, c.295dupG, c.312delC, c.324_325delCA, c.361dupC, c.373C>T, c.382delA, c.425_426delAG, c.426_427delGA, c.436_437insTGAG, c.468_469delTG, c.473dupG, c.475_476delTT, c.512T>G, c.526G>T, c.535G>T, and c.1091delC) have been reported (6–9, 12–14), while our mutation (c.371dupT) was undocumented before (Figure 1F). Among the 20 mutations, 16 were frameshift ones identified in 67 patients, of which 53 showed a pure type, 12 showed a complicated type, and 2 were asymptomatic cases. Another 4 were non-sense mutations detected in 24 cases, of which 23 were pure form and 1 was asymptomatic. The most frequent mutation identified so far by all studies was c.425_426delAG, reported in 11 families from different sources, and could lead to 3 different phenotypes. Among all the 91 patients, the number of patients with pure (onset age = 11 years old) and complicated (onset age = 6 years old) forms was
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FIGURE 1 | (A) Pedigree of a family with hereditary spastic paraplegia (HSP), with the indicated frameshift mutation of UBAP1. Squares and circles indicate men and women, respectively. Black symbols represent members with an HSP phenotype, and empty symbols represent unaffected individuals. The arrows indicate patients; wt indicate wide-type; m indicates mutation. (B) Sequence chromatogram showing the c.371dupT variant in UBAP1 in the family. (C) Conservatism analysis of the 124th amino acid among different species. Structures of (D) wild-type and (E) mutant (Leu124GlufsTer15) of the UBAP1 protein were predicted with the Phyre2 web portal. (F) Documented mutations identified in spastic paraplegia-80 (SPG80). The numbers indicate the locations of mutations in protein. Blue and green rectangles indicate the UMA and SOUBA domains, respectively. The mutation found in this study is marked in red.

The UBAP1 protein has a total of 502 amino acids, including 3 main domains, namely, the UMA (UBAP1-MVB12-associated) domain in the N-terminal region (17–63 aa) and the SOUBA (solenoid of overlapping ubiquitin-associated domains) domain in the C-terminal region (389–498 aa) (6). All these variants, including ours, are located between domain UMA and domain SOUBA, indicating that the area is a hotspot region (Figure 1F).

A total of 16 UBAP1 mutations with frameshift were predicted to generate prematurely truncated proteins with complete loss of the SOUBA domain in the C-terminal region of UBAP1 (7). Previous genetic knockdown experiments on zebrafish have indicated that UBAP1 could disturb its functions in endosomal trafficking, cause abnormal organismal morphology, inhibit motor-neuron outgrowth, and decrease mobility (13). Functional studies on mouse hippocampus revealed that C-terminal deletion of the UBAP1 protein may perturb endosomal fusion and ubiquitinated cargo sorting in relative neurons (8). UBAP1 plays an important role in proteasomal degradation of ubiquitinated cell-surface proteins (15–18). These studies provided genetic and biochemical evidence that mutations in UBAP1 exert negative effects on protein degradation in the neurological system.

However, the concrete mechanism and detailed function of UBAP1 deserve further investigations to gain an in-depth understanding.

76 and 12, respectively. Female to male ratio was 2.14 (62:29), suggesting a female predilection of this disorder. Among all the families, the probands displayed classic symptoms of progressive lower-limb spastic paraplegia, including hypertonia (32/35), hyperreflexia (34/35), ankle clonus (14/20), and Babinski sign (27/33). However, the relatively uncommon phenotypes were also presented in several cases, such as hypertonia (2/35) and hyperreflexia (2/34) in the upper limbs, cognitive impairment (3/35), ataxia (2/34), sensory dysfunction (2/35), and dysarthria (1/33). According to results reported before, brain MRI was normal in most patients except for one who presented with mild generalized cortical-subcortical volume loss. Moreover, electromyography results of all the patients were normal (6–9, 12–14). The clinical features of SPG80 probands with UBAP1 mutations are summarized in Figure 2. Although there are still no clear genotype-phenotype correlations for SPG80 (12), we proposed that clinical heterogeneity may be related to various effects of different UBAP1 gene mutations on molecular biological function.

The UBAP1 gene encodes the UBAP1 protein, a 502-amino-acid-residue peptide (14). The UBAP1 protein is a subunit of the endosomal sorting complex required for transport-I (ESCRT-I), which comprises TSG101, VPS28, VPS37A, and MVB12 (15, 16).
understanding of the pathogenesis of SPG80. Furthermore, to clearly define the phenotype–genotype correlations, more patients need to be studied to clarify whether specific mutations are prone to certain clinical characteristics.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we reported a new family with SPG80 due to a novel frameshift mutation, c.371dupT, of UBAP1. This study further expanded our knowledge of the phenotype and genotype of SPG80.
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