Potential of Near Infrared Spectroscopy for Classification of Different Delignificant Pre-Treatments on Banana Rachis
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Abstract

Delignificant pretreatments are fundamental in lignocellulosic materials preprocessing to remove lignin from biomass. Current wet chemical methods are time-consuming, labor-intensive and use hazardous reagents. Near Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS) provides rapid and non-destructive analysis, and has shown promising results. Spectra collection (8000-4000 cm⁻¹), combined with chemometric analysis based on Cluster Analysis and Principal Component Analysis, has allowed to perform qualitative study of banana rachis, a lignocellulosic residue of agricultural production.
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Introduction

Lignocellulosic biomass has become an alternative source for production of chemicals and fuels, because it is renewable and could reduce greenhouse gas emission [1]. The major components of lignocellulosic biomass are cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. Cellulose and hemicellulose are polysaccharides, which could be hydrolysed to molecules of lower degree of polymerization for further biological/chemical utilization [2]. For example, a significant attention has been recently paid to new uses of vegetable fibres as new reinforcing agents in biocomposite materials [3] and for the isolation of microfibrils [4]. Lignin, a phenolic polymer, is also important source for industrial applications, such as adhesive resin [5] and lignin gels [6]. Both lignin and cellulose are also being developed for synthesis of bioplastic materials [7]. Regardless of the specific use, as a crucial step of lignocellulosic materials processing, pretreatments are carried out to break the structure of lignocellulose and make components accessible for further conversions. Today, several delignificant methods have been developed at industrial level (i.e., steam explosion, alkali washing, dilute acid hydrolysis, ammonia fiber explosion, organosolv extraction, wet oxidation) [8-11], and for a correct evaluation of treatments yield and efficiency, lignin quantification is always required [12]. However, classic wet chemical assays, which employ steps of sulfuric acid hydrolysis or alkali digestion, are labour-intensive, time-consuming and require the use of hazardous reagents [13]. In addition, they are very expensive, which make them often inappropriate for industrial application on large numbers of samples [14]. In contrast the potential of Fourier Transform Near Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-NIRS) has been widely stated and increased [15]. FT-NIRS is an alternative technique that allows reliable, direct and rapid determination of different properties at the same time, with minimal, or without, sample pretreatments. The ability of FT-NIRS to analyse component of biomass relies on the selective absorption of light (in the range 800-2500 nm) by the overtones and combinations vibrations of polar bonds, i.e., CO, OH, CH and NH, which have their fundamental vibrations in the mid-infrared region [16]. The application of NIRS to wood components has been reported by several researchers [17-19] who especially have noted the usefulness of quantitative analysis to predict lignin [20], cellulose [21], hemicellulose [22], extractives [23], cellulose crystallinity [24],...
p-hydroxyphenyl (H)-, guaiacyl (G)- and syringyl (S)- based lignin quality [25] of different wood materials. However, in chemometric data analysis, classification and pattern recognition methods are also powerful tools in the context of quality assessment and composition analysis [26]. Classification of wood species by means of combining NIRS and Mahalanobis generalized distance has been reported by Mark and Tunnel [27]. Krontgaw et al. [28] have recently characterized pretreated wheat straw and oat straw by means of qualitative NIRS model.

In this study, the qualitative assessment of different delignificant pretreatments of banana rachis by means of FT-NIRS has been discussed. The rachis is the stalk of the inflorescence from the first fruit to the male bud. After fruit harvesting, rachis, together with foliage and stems represent a great amount of valuable lignocellulosic materials [29], thus constituting an additional economical profit to farmers in producing countries. Organosolv (ethyl-acetate, ethanol and acetone) pretreatment, oxidative delignification with sodium hypochlorite, electro-chemically activated solution of active chlorine has been carried out, and compared with non-treated banana rachis samples, using cluster analysis based on FT-NIR spectra.

Materials and Methods

Banana rachis samples and chemical pretreatments

Rachis samples were obtained by banana plants (Musa paradisiaca var. sapientum) cultivated in Ecuador. 300 g of dried samples were ground up to obtain a homogeneous powder. Initial lignin content of raw material, analysed using Klassen method [30] was 15.60%. Aliquots of 1 g were then treated with the following delignificant agents: Electro-Chemically Activated (ECA) solution, for 5-10-15-30 minutes, sodium hypochlorite, electro-chemically activated solution of active chlorine has been carried out, and compared with non-treated banana rachis samples, using cluster analysis based on FT-NIR spectra.

According to Tamburini et al. [31], ECA solution was prepared by electrolysis treating a solution containing 5 ppm of NaCl in a flow-through electrochemical cell, maintaining pH=6 to allow hypochlorite → hypochlorous acid conversion. As a result, ECA solution with an oxidizing substances content (determined by iodometric titration and expressed as “active chlorine”) of about 1500 ppm were obtained. Such solution can be stores in glass containers, preferably in the dark, maintaining their properties for some days. According to Huijgen et al. [32] 90% wt solvent acid solution with 0.2% of HCl as catalyst was used. The reaction was carried out at 130°C for 30 min with solid/liquid ratio of 1:10.

FT-NIR spectra acquisition

FT-NIR diffuse reflectance spectra of treated and non-treated rachis samples were collected with a NIRFlex N-500 (Büchi, Switzerland), equipped with the Solids Cell Module (Büchi, Switzerland) designed for standard Petri dishes (Schott, Germany) and set up with a polarization interferometer with TeO₂ wedges. Fresh samples were flattened on the glass surface of a 9.0 cm diameter standard cup by using a stainless steel load disk. Due to the eccentrically rotating cup housing, two scans for each sample were taken. The instrument was designed to be operational for working temperature conditions from 5 up to 35°C, without any drift of the spectra signal. The reflectance spectra were recorded using NIRWare 1.4 (Büchi, Switzerland) and scanning the full range, from 10,000 to 4000 cm⁻¹, at 8 cm⁻¹ intervals; measurements were carried out at 2–4 scans/s with a wavenumber accuracy of ± 0.2 cm⁻¹ (measured with HF gas cell at an ambient temperature of 25 ± 5°C). To obtain a good signal-to-noise ratio, 128 scans for each spectrum were averaged during each spectral acquisition, resulting in a total measurement time of 30 s. Every spectrum acquisition was preceded by the acquisition of an internal reference to optimize the spectrum baseline. For this study, a total of 98 spectra were collected.

NIR data pre-treatments

Raw optical data were processed with combination of Standard Normal Variate (SNV) and first derivative (Savitzky–Golay 5 points), as mathematical pretreatments. SNV is a mathematical transformation method of the spectra used to remove slope variation and to correct for scatter effects [33]. SNV removes the multiplicative interferences of scatter, particle size, and the change of light distance. It corrects both multiplicative and additive scatter effects. To remove slope variations on individual spectrum basis, each element is transformed independently using the following equation:

\[ x_{i,SNV} = \frac{x_i - \overline{x}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (x_{i,j} - \overline{x})^2}} \]

where \( x_{i,SNV} \) is the transformed element, \( x_i \) is the original element, \( \overline{x} \) is the mean of spectrum \( i \), \( k=1, 2, \ldots, m \) is the number of variables in the spectra, and \( i=1, 2, \ldots, n \) and \( n \) is the number of validation set. It is clear that the baseline shift is eliminated [34].

First derivative eliminate baseline drifts and small spectral differences are enhanced. Derivatives in general are mainly used to resolve peak overlap (or enhance resolution) and eliminate constant and linear baseline drift between samples. Spectral first derivative has been here calculated by Savitzky-Golay polynomial fitting, where the data within a moving window are fitted by a polynomial of a given degree to generate a differential of a chosen degree. In this procedure, it is very important to select the proper differentiation width of the
moving window in the function. The width should not exceed one point five times as the half width of absorbance peak in the spectra [35].

NIR qualitative calibration

All the chemometric analyses including math pretreatments, calibration and validation were performed using NIRCal 5.4 (Büchi, Switzerland). NIRCal 5.4 provided the wavelengths for each set of processing data based on correlation coefficients calculated for each wavelength. A blockwise cross-validation (the default software procedure) was performed choosing out 32 samples as validation set (V-set) while the rest 66 were used as C-set. Calibrations were developed using all data points of the C-set in the near infrared region from 8,000 to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\). Qualitative discriminant analysis was carried out by using cluster analysis based on principal component analysis (PCA). The goal was to identify the different delignificant pretreatments using the PCA and, as a result, to get a well separated clusters area in the scores plot for each treatment. Clusters were created according to secondary principal components (PCs) selection. Secondary PCs are that PCs among the primary PCs, which shows a clear separation of the substances and the scores are good repeatable. They are also responsible and used for the calculation of tolerance circles of radius \(r\) for every calibration in the score plot [36]. Radius \(r\) was calculated as the smaller of the two possible values derived by the following formulas:

\[
 r = \frac{R1}{2} \times f
\]

where \(R1\) is the smallest distance between a spectrum to the closest spectrum of a different property, \(R2\) is the smallest distance between a spectrum to the side of its cluster and \(f\) is the so called Radii Blow Up factor, which assumes values in the range 0 < \(f\) < 1. Depending on the extension of the cluster (\(R2\)) and the distance between the two closest clusters (\(R1\)), the circles are closer or further away from each other. With a Radii Blow Up = 1, two circles can just touch each other but do not overlap. For chemically different substances, the Radii Blow Up may be between 0 and 1, being as closest to 0 as highest sensitivity of the calibration. Values higher than 1 implies overlapping between rings [37]. Radii Blow Up values were automatically calculated by the software and included in clusters assessment on scores plots.

Results and Discussion

NIR spectra analysis

Original 98 NIR reflectance spectra are reported in Figure 1. To accentuate and notice characteristics of FT-NIR spectra and facilitate qualitative interpretation, all spectra were also shown in pre-treated (SNV+ 1\(^{st}\) derivative) mode (Figure 2). This kind of data pre-processing has no influence on the position of the original bands, but permits better peaks recognition. The first derivative has been shown also to reduce
the severity of the covariance of adjacent wavelengths in native spectra and it is hypothesized that such a pretreatment could also improve precision during modelling [38]. Several bands in the FT-NIR spectra are recognized as typical for lignin structures [18]. The most prominent lignin band is the first overtone of the C-H stretching vibration at 5980 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to aromatics and at 5800 cm\(^{-1}\) of acetyl groups covalently bound to xylan [39]. Another characteristic of lignin band is located at 6916-6913 cm\(^{-1}\), assigned to phenolic OH. Although this band overlaps with the first overtone of OH stretching of amorphous polysaccharides near 7000 cm\(^{-1}\), an unresolved shoulder is rather visible [40]. Xylan, arabinoxylans and galactomannans are recognized as the main rachis hemicellulose constituents, along with small amounts of rhamnose [41]. Characteristics bands of polysaccharides are found among the first overtone of the OH stretching between 7050-6250 cm\(^{-1}\) and among the CH combination bands as well as the second overtone of the CH\(_2\) bending vibration around 4350 and 4250 cm\(^{-1}\). Characteristic xylan bands are due to the first overtone of CH stretching vibration of acetyl groups strongly absorbing in the region near 5800-5600 cm\(^{-1}\). The band absorption in the range 7200-6950, at 6720, 6480 and 6290 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to amorphous, semi-crystalline and crystalline cellulose respectively [42]. Below 5600 cm\(^{-1}\), spectra are dominated by water signals, with intense combination band at 5200 cm\(^{-1}\) and weak absorption at 5500 cm\(^{-1}\) allocated to the OH stretching and OH bending of water. Although samples had been dried for several days before spectra collection, it was found that water content in rachis samples could be enhanced after pretreatments, probably because delignification induces cleavage of acetyl groups and cellulose swelling effects that could increase hydrophilicity [28]. Moreover, other compounds with OH bonds, such as mono- and polysaccharides can also contribute to these regions.

**Qualitative chemometric analysis**

The identification of NIR spectral data after pretreatments was performed for spectral region 8000-4000 cm\(^{-1}\), because beyond 8000 cm\(^{-1}\) signals are saturated by noise. As described above, this spectral region contains qualitative information on key components of rachis, such as lignin, hemicellulose (xylans and other polysaccharides) and cellulose. By means of PCA, multivariate spectral data space (98 samples for 1400 variables, i.e., data points of FT-NIR spectra in the spectral range used), in which variables are often correlated each other, was reduced to a maximum of 15 orthogonal latent factors—the PCs—which clearly prevails for delignificant treatment classification. These considerations are supported by examining in particular two regions shown of Figure 2 (7200-6800 cm\(^{-1}\) and 5400-4800 cm\(^{-1}\)). Delignificant pretreatments on rachis seem to increase peaks amplitude at 7100 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to alcoholic/phenolic OH bonds and at 5200 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to polysaccharides OH. This could be mainly due to chemical modifications in lignin structure after treatments, which led to an increase of OH units, supposedly caused by either degradation of ether linkage between lignin units or hydrolysis of methoxyl groups [43]. Based on peaks amplitude, it could be then postulated that ECA solution are the most efficient in lignin degradation, whereas sodium hypochlorite and organosolv, in our experimental conditions, induce lower degradation on lignin structure. In particular, organic solvents seem to have more effect on lignin degradation (peaks amplitude at 7100 cm\(^{-1}\)), while sodium hypochlorite on polysaccharides degradation (peaks amplitude at 5200 cm\(^{-1}\)).

**Classification of sample submitted to different time of treatments with ECA solution**

ECA solution efficiency was examined more deeply, evaluating influence of time of treatment on rachis samples at 5-10-15-30 minutes, in comparison with not-treated sample. Cluster analysis is shown in Figure 4. Confirming previous results, PC2 vs. PC1 scores plot evidenced a good separation along PC1 between not-treated and treated samples, but analytical information given by PC2 and PC1 are not sufficient to clearly discriminate among time of treatment with ECA solution (Figure 4A). PC3 captured more variability in the NIR spectra and is partially able to resolve clusters overlapping, at least improving separation between 5 to 30 minutes of treatments (Figure 4B).

**Classification of samples submitted to three organosolv treatments**

Useful analytical information to differentiate types of solvents used was included only in PC4 vs. PC1 scores plot in Figure 5. In fact, as reported by Endocria et al. [44], after treatments with different solvents, residual lignin has almost the same content on carbonyl, carboxyl and hydroxyl groups. This can suggests that if different solvents did not entail significant changes in the main structure of lignin, they induce only weak variations on NIR spectra signals. However, Endocria et al. have recognized some slight differences in spectra in the MIR region. These signals, probably attributable to minor lignocellulosic matrix components, i.e., protein or nitrogen-based molecules [45], could have been captured by PC4 and enhanced cluster classification.

**Classification of samples submitted to double treatment**

Finally, capacity of FT-NIR to cluster samples submitted to double treatments has been investigated in detail. PC3 vs. PC1 scores plot (Figure 6) shows that, as previously, PC1 permits good separation between treated and non-treated samples. Moreover, combination of PC3 and PC1 information contains sufficient information to improve
Figure 3: PC2 vs. PC1 scores plot for classification of different delignificant pretreatments based on FT-NIR spectra: not-treated (red); treated with sodium hypochlorite (green), treated with ECA (blue-circled) and treated with organosolv (yellow-circled).

Figure 4: PC2 vs. PC1 (A) and PC3 vs. PC1 (B) scores plots for classification of different time of treatment with ECA based on FT-NIR spectra.

Figure 5: PC4 vs. PC1 scores plot for classification of different organosolv treatments based on FT-NIR spectra.
significantly the cluster capacity of the model. Stating the prevailing effect of ECA solution on lignin, the clear separation among ECA+water50, ECA+water90, ECA+ethanol and the others could be correlated to the presence of OH groups deriving from ethanol and water.

Conclusion

The main purpose of this study was to assess the performance of FT-NIR for classification of delignificant pretreatments on banana rachis based on qualitative chemometric models. FT-NIR spectra combined with multivariate cluster analysis has shown to have great potential as an analytical tool for qualitatively characterizing lignocellulosic samples after different delignificant pretreatments, avoiding time-consuming and labour-intensive wet chemical methods. Differences can be attributable not only to the characteristic NIR bands of lignin, hemicellulose and cellulose in samples, but can also be discriminated and explained by means of PCA.

FT-NIR spectroscopy has demonstrated to be a promising technique to evaluate a large number of samples for their chemical modifications after delignificant pretreatments, simultaneously, rapidly and without any samples preparation.
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