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Scope of this document: The least squares evaluation of experimental $\alpha(t)$ curves and experimental $d\alpha/dt$ curves are presented for five TGA experiments on a wood sample. An empirical model was used:

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = A(\alpha)f(\alpha) \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right)$$

Note that $E$ is constant here; the variation of the reactivity is expressed by function $A(\alpha)f(\alpha)$.

See more info in the paper.

The five TGA experiments were taken from the following work:

- Barta-Rajnai, E.; Várhegyi, G.; Wang, L.; Skreiberg, Ø.; Grønli, M.; Czégény, Zs. Thermal decomposition kinetics of wood and bark and their torrefied products. *Energy Fuels* 2017, *31*, 4024-4034. *doi: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.6b03419* Supporting info Repository

Notation in the Figures:

- Experimental curves: ooooo;
- Curves calculated from the model: — — —;
- Temperature (when present): - - - -.
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S1. Evaluation of the experimental $\alpha(t)$ curves

Model: \[ \frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \tilde{A}(\alpha) \exp(-E/RT)(1-\alpha) \]

$E= 179.29911$

$x=2\alpha-1$

$\log_{10}\tilde{A}(x) = 12.241749 - 0.66597x + 2.01255x^2 + 2.242752x^3 - 3.154896x^4 - 4.881232x^5$

Expressed by Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind:

$\log_{10}\tilde{A}(x) = 12.064938T_0(x) - 2.034676T_1(x) - 0.571173T_2(x) - 0.964697T_3(x) - 0.394362T_4(x) - 0.305077T_5(x)$

### Wood 2.5°C/min 4mg

Relative deviation: 0.72%, Deviation: 23. µg

### Wood 10°C/min 2mg

Relative deviation: 0.56 (0.56) %, Deviation: 9.3 µg

### Wood 40°C/min 0.5mg

Relative deviation: 1.26 (1.26) %, Deviation: 5.1 µg

### Wood stepwise T(t) A, 4mg

Relative deviation: 0.61 (0.61) %, Deviation: 20. µg

### Wood stepwise T(t) B, 4mg

Relative deviation: 0.51 (0.51) %, Deviation: 19. µg
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S2. Evaluation of the experimental $d\alpha/dt$ curves

Model: $\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \tilde{A}(\alpha) \exp(-E/RT) (1-\alpha)$

$E = 171.81292$

$x = 2\alpha - 1$

$log_{10}\tilde{A}(x) = 11.613439 - 0.442952x + 1.448896x^2 + 0.847084x^3 - 2.244368x^4 - 2.849616x^5$

Expressed by Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind:

$log_{10}\tilde{A}(x) = 11.496249T_0(x) - 1.588649T_1(x) - 0.397736T_2(x) - 0.678734T_3(x) - 0.280546T_4(x) - 0.178101T_5(x)$

Wood 2.5°C/min 4mg
Relative deviation: 2.29%, Deviation: 0.044 µg/s

Wood 10°C/min 2mg
Relative deviation: 2.79%, Deviation: 0.10 µg/s

Wood 40°C/min 0.5mg
Relative deviation: 3.01%, Deviation: 0.10 µg/s

Wood stepwise T(t) A, 4mg
Relative deviation: 1.39%, Deviation: 0.082 µg/s

Wood stepwise T(t) B, 4mg
Relative deviation: 1.90%, Deviation: 0.085 µg/s