INTRODUCTION

Female genital tuberculosis (FGTB) is caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (rarely Mycobacterium bovis and/or Atypical Mycobacteria) being usually secondary to Tuberculosis (TB) of the lungs or other organs with infection reaching through haematogenous, lymphatic route or direct spread from abdominal TB or by direct instrumentation. In FGTB, fallopian tubes are affected in 90% women, whereas uterine endometrium is affected in 70% and ovaries in about 25% women. TB remains a major worldwide problem with significant morbidity and mortality. It ranks as the tenth leading cause of death worldwide. TB is primarily a disease of the lungs, but it can affect almost any organ in the body. Extrapulmonary TB refers to TB involving organs other than the lungs (e.g., pleura, lymph nodes, abdomen, genitourinary tract, skin, joints and bones, or meninges). Peritoneal TB is a variant of abdominal TB; it poses a public health problem in endemic regions of the world.

The aim of this case report is to describe acute presentation of Pelvic Tuberculosis masquerading as pelvic peritonitis with Septic Shock and to review recent development in the methods of diagnosis and treatment of Pelvic Tuberculosis.

CASE REPORT

27-year-old G6P2L2A2MTP, lady with previous two Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery by Midwife without any intrapartum and postpartum complications presented to us This Period of Gestation (POG), 7 weeks and 1 day with single Live Intrauterine gestation with Fetal cardiac activity. She requested for MTP with IUCD. Her GPE was within normal limits. Systemic Examination was unremarkable. She had no comorbidities and no past history of any major illness or Koch’s. She denied any history of previous gynaecological instrumentation or manipulation.

She was administered Mifepristone 600mg PO and Misoprostol 800µg sublingually after 48 hrs. She was taken up for check curettage and IUCD insertion under Anaesthesia (on request of patient) and procedure was carried out under Spinal Anaesthesia (Saddle block). Intraoperatively cervix was negotiated with difficulty with dilators, suggestive of Ashermann’s, CuT 375A was inserted under strict asepsis after check curettage (blunt). Immediate Post-operative period was uneventful.

She developed severe irritability, incoherent behaviour, restlessness and air hunger at around 1730 hrs on the day of procedure itself. Immediate examination revealed,
Tachycardia (128/min), hypotension (60/40mmHg), Preoperative was 90/60mm Hg), dehydration (high coloured urine, no haematuria, with hourly urine output of 20 ml/hr), all peripheral pulses were present and bounding with mild fever (100.8°F), tachypnoea (26/mt), fall in SpO2 (88% on room air), with no pallor or cyanosis. Abdominal Examination revealed tenderness over suprapubic region, with minimal guarding and rigidity. Internal examination revealed tenderness on rocking of cervix, with boggy swelling and tenderness in POD and b/l adnexa. IUCD was in situ. Grey Turner’s and Kehr’s sign was negative. Other Systemic Examination was unremarkable.

Investigations revealed Normocytic, Normochromic Anaemia with leucocytosis, shift to left and presence of toxic granules. All other biochemical and haematological parameters were within normal limits and she was seronegative for HIV. Skiagram of chest was normal with no air under the diaphragm or blunting of CP angles. Radiological examination (TVS) revealed collection in anterior pouch, POD, bilateral fornices, uterine cavity, with IUCD in situ (Figure 1 and 2).

TAS did not reveal any other pathology, Morrison’s pouch and subhepatic space did not show any collection. Simultaneous aggressive resuscitation with colloids, third generation parenteral antibiotics and ionotropes was done. A working diagnosis of Septic Shock was made, and patient managed accordingly. Surgical complications of MTP i.e. Perforation and Haemorrhagic Shock were kept in differential diagnosis as the presentation was acute and within six hours of completion of procedure. Drug reaction and anaphylaxis was ruled out and opinion of intens.

On 1st postoperative day she had persistent pain and tenderness, IUCD was removed, endometrial collection which was straw coloured was drained and sent for culture, biochemistry, cytology and AFB (sterile after 48 hrs, lymphocyte predominant, no AFB seen). Percutaneous trans abdominal drainage of straw coloured ascitic fluid was done and sent for cytology, biochemistry, culture, SAAG ratio, TB-PCR & AFB (sterile after 48 hrs, lymphocyte predominant, no AFB seen and SAAG was suggestive of exudative peritonitis). Her vitals were stable although abdominal signs persisted. She was continued on antibiotics as the results of above tests were awaited. However, a strong clinical suspicion of Acute Pelvic Tubercular peritonitis was now considered and haemorrhagic shock was ruled out. TVS revealed ascites, endometrial collection (tubercular endometritis) with numerous thin complete septations and fine, delicate, incomplete and mobile strands of fibrin deposits, partially particulated and partially loculated in some areas which is hallmark of “WET TUBERCULOSIS” as referred previously (Figure 3 and 4).

CT and MRI were not available at the centre and patient refused transfer due to COVID lockdown situation and she showed subjective improvement. On 2nd postoperative day patient continued to complain of suprapubic tenderness and fullness. Her GPE and Systemic examination was unremarkable however patient looked “sick and unwell”, ATT (EHRZ) was started based on strong clinical suspicion with available biochemical parameters (TB-PCR was awaited) and radiological findings. Parenteral antibiotics were continued. She was ambulant and was accepting oral fluids.

On 3rd postoperative day TB-PCR was reported positive (LJ culture was not available). Parenteral antibiotics were discontinued and ATT was continued.

On 4th postoperative day patient was ambulant, cheerful, talkative and showed remarkable improvement. Nursing Officers reported adequate and satisfactory oral intake.

On 5th postoperative day she requested for discharge and expressed desire to meet her children. She was counselled and was advised to wait till 7th postoperative day due to her stormy course and unusual presentation. TVS was repeated which showed resolution of Endometritis with minimal ascites (Figure 5 and 6).

On 7th postoperative day a detailed summary was given to her and she was counselled to complete ATT as advised (2EHRZ+4HR) and was discharged with advice to follow up after 15 days or SOS.

On 15th day of follow up she was asymptomatic and all her biochemical and haematological parameters were within normal limits. TVS was repeated and it showed resolving endometritis and peritonitis (Figure 7 and 8). She continued ATT for 6 months and was on regular follow up and was asymptomatic. All biochemical and haematological parameters were within normal limits.
Figure 2: (TVS) Reveals collection in anterior pouch, POD, bilateral fornices and endometrial cavity with IUCD in situ.

Figure 3: (TVS) of fibrin collection in endometrial cavity with thin septations and loculations with ascitic fluid. (after IUCD removal).

Figure 4: (TVS) of fibrin collection in endometrial cavity with thin septations and loculations with particulated ascitic fluid. (after IUCD removal).

Figure 5: (TVS) Partial resolution of endometritis, loculations and fibrin strands with minimal ascites.

Figure 6: (TVS) Partial resolution of endometritis, loculations and fibrin strands with minimal ascites.

Figure 7: (TVS) Resolving endometritis and peritonitis.
TB is a worldwide disease. In 2015, there were an estimated 10.4 million new TB cases worldwide, of which 5.9 million (56%) were men and 3.5 million (34%) were women. Children accounted for 1.0 million (10%), and people living with HIV accounted for 1.2 million (11%) of all new TB cases. Moreover, there were an estimated 480,000 new cases of multidrug-resistant TB. TB has strong associations with socioeconomic factors such as poverty, malnutrition, deprivation, overcrowding, illiteracy and limited access to health-care facilities, having a high prevalence in developing countries. Peritoneal TB accounts for about 0.1%–0.7% of all cases of TB representing 4%–10% of extra-pulmonary TB and 25%–60% of abdominal TB cases. Although peritoneal TB can develop at any age, peritoneal TB is seen more commonly between 25 and 55 years of age. The gender difference among patients with peritoneal TB varies from study to study and from country to another. In general, in developing countries, there is male predominance which may be attributed to the presence of male immigrants in the workforce.

The postulated mechanisms by which peritonitis can be involved are:

1) Reactivation of latent tuberculous foci in the peritoneum, acquired by haematogenous spread from a primary lung focus. 2) Haematogenous spread from active pulmonary, miliary TB or silent bacteremia during the primary phase of TB. 3) Direct spread from infected organs such as intestine, fallopian tubes and rupture of a tuberculous intra-abdominal lymph node. 4) Through lymph channels from infected abdominal lymph nodes. Abdominal lymph nodal and peritoneal TB may occur without gastrointestinal involvement.

Peritoneal TB occurs in three forms: 1) Wet type with ascites, 2) Encysted (loculated) type with a localized abdominal swelling, and 3) Fibrotic type with abdominal masses composed of mesenteric and omental thickening, with matted bowel loops, felt as lumps in the abdomen. Considerable overlap of these types can be observed.

The typical analysis of ascitic fluid from patients with peritoneal TB demonstrates high protein concentration (>3 g/dl) with serum to ascites albumin gradient of <1.1 g/dl and predominant lymphocytic pleocytosis. Identification of Acid-fast bacilli in the ascitic fluid through both smear and culture methods remains a useful means to diagnose peritoneal TB. Techniques to improve the diagnostic yield of TB culture in the peritoneal fluid were attempted. Singh et al. assumed that 1 L of ascitic fluid can provide up to 83% of positive results. This technique is impractical as this large amount of fluid needs special centrifuge machines. Interestingly, conventional mycobacterial culture takes up to 4–6 weeks to achieve results, even with liquid culture methods the process requires at least 12 days. This delay may increase morbidity and therefore, other diagnostic tools for early diagnosis of TB are needed.

The performance of conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was disappointing. Abdominal sonography is the most commonly used first-line imaging modality for evaluating patients with suspected peritoneal TB as it can easily assess the peritoneum. The most common findings include multiple thin septae, visible debris of different densities within the fluid. Other findings include peritoneal thickening and nodularity. As illustrated in many reports, these findings lack specificity and are not useful for differentiating peritoneal TB from other diseases such as peritoneal carcinomatosis.

However, abdomin Sonography can be used to guide abdomen tapping and peritoneal biopsy. Peritoneal biopsy gives a better diagnostic value than ascitic fluid alone. It can be obtained by different procedures including blind percutaneous peritoneal biopsy, Transabdominal sonographic, or CT-guided peritoneal biopsy and laparotomy or laparoscopic biopsy. Characteristic laparoscopic appearance includes free ascites, multiple yellowish-white nodules or tubercles on visceral and parietal peritoneum, peritoneal or visceral adhesion, and occasionally inflamed haemorrhagic areas on the peritoneum. It was reported that macroscopic picture of peritoneum during laparoscopy was suggestive of TB in 85%–100% of the cases and laparoscopically guided peritoneal biopsy had detected caseating granulomas in 76%–100% of patients. Microbiological studies of biopsy should be performed in all cases to identify nontuberculous mycobacteria (especially in patients on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis), to detect drug resistance TB and to increase the diagnostic yield of the procedure, as it may be positive even in the absence of a characteristic histopathological picture. Laparoscopic biopsy specimens reveal Acid-fast bacilli in 3%–25% and cultures were positive for M. tuberculosis in 38%–98%. There is lack of data on diagnostic yield of PCR study for M. tuberculosis on peritoneal biopsy. Although laparoscopic biopsy is safe, it is not free of complications such as intestinal perforation and haemorrhage.
Laparoscopy was deferred due to clinical condition of the patient and prevalence of the disease with available biochemical and pathological correlation. She showed remarkable improvement after a fortnight of ATT.

CONCLUSION

Available data show that there is no specific sign or symptom for the diagnosis of peritoneal TB. A high index of suspicion is always required. Peritoneal TB should be considered early as a differential diagnosis in patients who have unexplained low gradient ascites with high lymphocytes. The role of advanced diagnostic modalities such as modified PCR techniques and biomarkers remain controversial. Laparoscopically guided peritoneal biopsy remains the best diagnostic tool in our hand nowadays as it provides a rapid and correct diagnosis, therefore, it should be performed early in suspected cases. Six months of treatment with the 4-drug regimen is effective and markedly improves the outcome.

The currently recommended regimen for treatment of peritoneal TB is largely similar to treatment for TB elsewhere and includes the “4-drug regimen;” an initial phase of 2 months followed by a continuation phase of 4 months. Treatment in the 2-month phase is usually including daily administration of rifampicin, isoniazid; pyrazinamide and ethambutol or streptomycin. If the isolate is susceptible to rifampicin and isoniazid, pyrazinamide, and ethambutol can be discontinued followed by a continuation phase where isoniazid and rifampicin are again given daily for 4 months. Some physicians use antituberculous therapy for 9–12 months without any scientific justification. The optimal duration of therapy is debatable.

Some physicians use antituberculous therapy for 9–12 months without any scientific justification. In our experience, anti-TB drug therapy can be used for 9 months or 12 months in a patient who uses second-line therapy due to drug resistance or drug sensitivity. Duration of therapy also can be extended because of side effect developed during treatment. Corticosteroid administration combined with anti-TB treatment has been advocated by some researchers to reduce the complications; however, there is a controversy about the benefit that can be obtained. We did not consider it appropriate to exhibit steroid in our patient.
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