Quality of life, clinical effectiveness, and satisfaction in patients with beta thalassemia major and sickle cell anemia receiving deferasirox chelation therapy

Abstract

Objectives: There is a need to remove excess iron with iron chelation therapy (ICT) to avoid the serious clinical sequelae associated with iron overload in patients with beta thalassemia major (BTM) and sickle cell anemia (SCA). Due to the effects of the diseases and their treatments, ICT is still a major reason for unsatisfactory compliance. The aim of this single-center observational study was to evaluate the quality of life, clinical effectiveness, and satisfaction in pediatric and adult patients with BTM and SCA receiving deferasirox (DFX) chelation therapy.

Methods: In this study, 37 pediatric and 35 adult patients with BTM or SCA receiving DFX for at least 6 months participated. Upon receipt of Informed Consent Form, Case Report Form, Demographic Data Collection Form, Child Health Questionnaire-Parent Form, Life Quality Survey Short Form-36, and ICT Satisfaction Survey were used to obtain data for the effectiveness of ICT and parameters that may affect compliance to treatment and life quality of the participants.

Results: As a main index for the effectiveness of DFX chelation therapy, serum ferritin levels were higher than the normal values in the patients receiving DFX. The increased ferritin levels were also associated with hematological and biochemical abnormalities. Our findings regarding quality of life and satisfaction with DFX chelation therapy indicated that the patients with BTM or SCA had lower scores. Overall, problems with treatment regimen and side effects appeared to be common causes of poor compliance to DFX chelation therapy.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that health care providers should be aware of the importance of monitoring iron load with timely initiation of DFX chelation therapy and ongoing adjustments to chelation regimens and/or transfusion methods to decrease hospitalizations and improve compliance to ICT of the patients with BTM and SCA.
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Introduction

The thalassemias together with sickle cell anemia (SCA) and its variants are the world’s most common form of inherited anemia. The beta thalassemias are a group of hereditary hematological diseases caused by over 300 mutations of the adult beta-globin gene. Beta thalassemia is characterized by reduced synthesis of the hemoglobin subunit beta globin protein that results in microcytic hypochromic anemia, an abnormal peripheral blood smear with nucleated red blood
cells, and reduced amounts of hemoglobin A on hemoglobin analysis. Severity is variable from mild anemia, through intermediate forms, to severe anemia. For instance, beta thalassemia major (BTM) is a severe transfusion-dependent anemia. Sickle cell disease (SCD) is an autosomal recessive disorder in the gene encoding the β-chain of hemoglobin causing a weakening systemic syndrome characterized by chronic anemia, acute painful episodes, tissue ischemia, and chronic organ damage in addition to a significant reduction in life expectancy. SCA is the homozygoid form of SCD, which includes a group of genetic disorders characterized by production of an abnormal hemoglobin S, hemolysis, and vasoocclusive phenomena with recurrent painful episodes that can lead to life-long disabilities and death. The patients with transfusion-dependent iron overload conditions such as BTM and SCA require frequent blood transfusions.

The conventional approach to treatment of BTM and SCA is based on the correction of hemoglobin status through regular blood transfusions and iron chelation therapy (ICT) for iron overload. On the other hand, iron overload causes iron deposition and accumulation in the liver, heart, skin, and other tissues resulting in serious tissue damages. Thus, there is a need to remove excess iron with chelation therapy using deferoxamine (DFO) (Desferal®; Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland), deferiprone (DFP) (Ferriprox®; Apotex Inc., Toronto, Canada), deferasirox (DFX) (Exjade®; Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland), or in combination with each other (DFO-DFP or DFP-DFX) to avoid the serious clinical sequelae associated with iron accumulation in target organs, morbidity, and mortality. On the other hand, due to the effects of the diseases and their treatments, ICT is still a major reason for unsatisfactory compliance of these patients. Although DFO, which is administered intravenously or subcutaneously, is clinically effective at removing excess iron, many patients are not satisfactorily chelated by it. The reasons for the poor compliance of patients to DFO include cost of the drug, pump and tubing and its side effects (such as local problems at the site of the infusions, hematological toxicity, allergy, shortness of breathlessness, headaches, dizziness, and Yersinia infection) that negatively affect patient’s health-related quality of life (HRQOL). DFX and DFP are orally effective iron chelators alternative to DFO that have proven their efficacy in reducing iron burden in addition to increase in patient’s compliance. However, these drugs have also unwanted side effects that negatively impact on patient’s adherence to ICT (nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, neutropenia/agranulocytosis, arthralgia, rise in transaminases, and zinc deficiency with DFP, and diarrhea, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, changes in renal and liver function, auditory and ocular alterations, skin rash, headache, and dizziness with DFX). Since each chelation regimen has a distinct safety/efficacy profile and particular costs associated with its use, when choosing a chelator regimen, physicians, patients, parents, and providers may consider a variety of factors, including the severity of iron overload, administration schedule, and adverse effect profile. DFX is one of the most widely used iron chelators despite warnings of life-threatening toxic side effects. To better understand the potential impact of current therapeutic approaches for iron overload, it is important to understand the clinical effectiveness, patient acceptability, and side effects of DFX in addition to patient’s HRQOL. Therefore, the aim of this single-center observational study was to evaluate the quality of life, clinical effectiveness, and satisfaction in pediatric and adult patients with BTM and SCA receiving DFX chelation therapy.

Methods

Patients and study design

The single-center observational study was conducted among 37 pediatric and 35 adult patients with BTM or SCA who received DFX (Exjade®; Novartis Pharma Stein AG, Stein, Switzerland) (20–40 mg/kg/day) at the Hematology Units of Departments of Internal Medicine and Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey from December 2013 to May 2014 participated. The protocol of this study was approved by Mersin University Clinical Research Ethics Committee and Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Administration of Turkey.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Participants were selected based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were (1) patients willing to participate in the study, (2) patients diagnosed with BTM or SCA, and (3) patients receiving DFX for at least 6 months. The exclusion criteria were (1) patients not meeting the inclusion criteria, (2) patients not willing to participate in the study, (3) patients diagnosed with other types of anemia, (4) patients not receiving DFX for at least 6 months, and (5) patients having other conditions such as physical and/or mental difficulties which may affect their quality of life.

Study instruments and data collection

At the beginning of the study, all eligible patients and/or their parents were informed of the objectives of the study and assured that all information would remain confidential. After taking signed written informed consent from the patients and/or their parents, the following data were obtained: (1) Demographic and clinical characteristics of pediatric and adult patients (using Case Report Form and Demographic Data Collection Form), (2) health status of pediatric patients (using Child Health Questionnaire-Parent Form; CHQ-PF50), (3) quality of life of adult patients (using Life Quality Survey Short Form-36; [SF-36]), (4) effectiveness of ICT in pediatric and adult patients (using Case Report Form and ICT Satisfaction Survey), (5) compliance to ICT in pediatric and adult patients (using ICT Satisfaction Survey). The CHQ-PF50 (for children aged 5–18 years) measures HRQOL children as reported by the parent. The form contains 50 items yielding 15 subtitles: General health, physical functioning, role/social limitations-emotional/behavioral, role/social limitations-physical, bodily pain/discomfort, behavior, global behavior, emotional state, self-esteem, general health state, health transition, parent impact-emotional, parent impact-time, family activities, and family cohesion.
SF-36 is a self-administered generic questionnaire that measures two major subjective health concepts (i.e., physical and mental health). The form comprises 36 multiple choice questions yielding a profile of eight concepts: (1) Physical functioning, (2) role physical limitation, (3) pain, (4) mental health, (5) emotional role limitation, (6) social functioning, (7) vitality, and (8) general health perception. SF-36 has also an item measuring health transition over the past year. The reliability and validity of SF-36 are well documented in all available language versions. In this study, responses to each of the SF-36 items were scored and summed according to a standardized scoring protocol and expressed as a score on a 0–100 scale for each of the eight health concepts. Higher scores indicate better self-perceived health.

The ICT Satisfaction Survey used in the study consisted of satisfaction-specific items measuring four domains: (1) Perceived effectiveness, (2) acceptance/approval, (3) burden of ICT, and (4) side effects. Patient responses to the items comprising these domains were scored, and the scores were transformed to a scale from 0 (worst satisfaction) to 100 (best satisfaction).

**Statistical analysis**

Qualitative variables were expressed as number and percent. Quantitative variables regarding health status of pediatric patients and compliance to ICT in pediatric and adult patients were described in the form of mean ± standard deviation (SD), median, and range. The other quantitative variables were expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical analysis was based on descriptive statistic procedures, and the comparison of means between two different groups was performed with the Student’s t-test and Mann–Whitney U-test, for variables with normal and skewed distribution, respectively, STATA/MP11 Package (StataCorp LP, TX, USA) or GraphPad Prism version 5.01 for Windows (GraphPad Software, Inc., CA, USA). A P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Demographic and clinical characteristics**

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the pediatric and adult patients are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The study population comprised 37 pediatric (BTM: n = 25 [52% female and 48% male]; SCA: n = 12 [50% female and 50% male]) and 35 adult (BTM: n = 14 [43% female and 57% male]; SCA: n = 21 [62% female and 38% male]) patients with BTM or SCA. All patients were receiving periodic blood transfusions. At the time of the study visit, the pediatric patients were being treated with DFX. The adult patients were receiving DFX therapy (78% BTM and 91% SCA). The reasons for choosing their therapy were physician’s recommendation, poor adherence to DFO or DFP, or DFX, and the failure of reimbursement system for DFO. The percentages of pediatric patients with BTM or SCA who had formal education were 88% and 83%, respectively. In the adult patients with BTM or SCA, the percentages were 86% and 100%, respectively. Eight (32%) and six (50%) of pediatric patients with BTM or SCA had undergone splenectomy. Two (8%) pediatric patients with BTM had hepatomegaly or splenomegaly and one (4%) adult patient with SCA had hepatosplenomegaly. The patients

| Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics of deferasirox-treated pediatric patients |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | **BTM**         | **SCA**         |
| Number of patients*             | 25 (68)         | 12 (32)         |
| Gender                          |                 |                 |
| Female*                         | 13 (52)         | 6 (50)          |
| Male†                          | 12 (48)         | 6 (50)          |
| Age (years)                     |                 |                 |
| Female*                         | 13.2±4.2        | 13.0±3.8        |
| Male*                          | 11.5±3.5        | 13.7±2.7        |
| Height (cm)                     |                 |                 |
| Female*                         | 141.5±18.4      | 147.2±7.8       |
| Male†                          | 135.8±17.4      | 142.9±4.1       |
| Weight (kg)                     |                 |                 |
| Female*                         | 37.5±13.5       | 41.4±19.1       |
| Male†                          | 31.3±9.0        | 43.0±12.2       |
| Maternal education*             |                 |                 |
| University                      | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           |
| High school                     | 6 (24)          | 4 (33)          |
| Secondary school                | 8 (32)          | 3 (25)          |
| Primary school                  | 8 (32)          | 3 (25)          |
| Illiterate                      | 3 (12)          | 2 (17)          |
| Maternal age*                   | 38.7±5.2        | 38.4±4.6        |
| Paternal education*             |                 |                 |
| University                      | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           |
| High school                     | 3 (12)          | 2 (17)          |
| Secondary school                | 1 (4)           | 3 (25)          |
| Primary school                  | 17 (68)         | 6 (50)          |
| Illiterate                      | 4 (16)          | 1 (8)           |
| Paternal age*                   | 42.0±5.5        | 43.1±5.4        |
| Splenectomy*                    |                 |                 |
| No                              | 9 (36)          | NA              |
| Yes                             | 16 (64)         | NA              |
| Asthma                          | NA              | 1 (8)           |
| Celiac disease                  | NA              | 1 (8)           |
| Cardiac diseases                |                 |                 |
| Tricuspid and bicuspid insufficiency | 1 (4)          | NA              |
| Murmur                          | 12 (48)         | NA              |
| Atrial septal defect, myocardial insufficiency | NA | 6 (50) |
| Tricuspid insufficiency, valve prolapse, valve dilation, left ventricular dilation, and murmur | | |

Contd...
also had one or more concomitant diseases/conditions and were using other drugs for their diseases in addition to the iron chelators.

### Quality of life

Table 3 shows the CHQ-PF50 summary scores regarding HRQOL in DFX-treated pediatric patients with BTM or SCA. In particular, the mean CHQ-PF50 scores in the patients with BTM ranged from 86.0 ± 11.0 (n = 25) for the family activities to 47.2 ± 10.6 (n = 25), the general health state. In the patients with SCA, the scores ranged from 85.0 ± 15.1 (n = 12) for the health transition to 42.0 ± 16.3 (n = 12) for the general health state. The scores for general health, physical functioning, role/social limitations-physical, parent impact-time, and

| Table 1: Contd... | BTM | SCA |
|------------------|-----|-----|
| Cholecystectomy  | NA  | 2 (17) |
| Gastroesophageal reflux | NA | 1 (8) |
| Hepatomegaly     | 2 (8) | NA |
| Osteoporosis     | NA  | 2 (17) |
| Splenomegaly     | 2 (8) | NA |
| Tonsilllectomy   | NA  | 1 (8) |
| Type 1 diabetes mellitus | 1 (4) | NA |
| Visual impairment| NA  | 1 (8) |
| Concomitant drug use* | | |
| Acetylsalicylic acid | 3 (12) | 2 (17) |
| Benzathine phenoxymethylpenicillin | NA | 1 (8) |
| Benzylopenicillin | NA  | 1 (8) |
| Carbamazepine    | NA  | 1 (8) |
| Cholecalciferol  | 2 (8) | NA |
| Estradiol        | 1 (4) | NA |
| Folic acid       | 19 (76) | 12 (100) |
| Hydroxyurea      | NA  | 12 (100) |
| Leviteracatam    | NA  | 1 (8) |
| Levothryoxine    | 1 (4) | NA |
| Phenoxyemethylpenicillin | 3 (12) | 1 (8) |
| Zinc             | 7 (28) | NA |

*Number of patients (%), bMean ± SD. BTM: Beta thalassemia major, DFO: Deferoxamine, DFP: Deferiprone, DFX: Deferasirox, NA: Not available, SCA: Sickle cell anemia, SD: Standard deviation

| Table 2: Contd... | BTM | SCA |
|------------------|-----|-----|
| Hepatosplenomegaly | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Hypogonadism     | 1 (7) | NA |
| Cardiac diseases | | |
| Heart failure    | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Mitral insufficiency | NA | 1 (5) |
| Arrhythmia       | NA  | 2 (10) |
| Cirrhosis        | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Cholecystectomy  | NA  | 2 (10) |
| Osteomyelitis    | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Osteoporosis     | 7 (50) | 3 (14) |
| Type 1 diabetes mellitus | 1 (7) | NA |
| Vision loss      | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Concomitant drug use* | | |
| Alendronate      | 2 (14) | NA |
| Acetylsalicylic acid | NA  | 3 (14) |
| Benzathine phenoxymethylpenicillin | NA | 1 (5) |
| Calcium          | 2 (14) | 1 (5) |
| Calcitriol       | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Cholecitcalferol | NA  | 2 (14) |
| Cilazapril       | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Dexamethasone    | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Digoxin          | NA  | 2 (10) |
| Diltiazem        | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Epoetin beta     | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Folic acid       | 10 (71) | 19 (91) |
| Furosemide       | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Hydroxyurea      | NA  | 20 (95) |
| Levoestradiol/ethinyl estradiol | 1 (7) | NA |
| Levaxthryoxine   | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Medroxyprogesterone | 2 (14) | NA |
| Metoprol         | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Nifedipine       | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Paracetamol/cyclonium bromide/codeine | NA | 1 (5) |
| Risedronic acid  | 1 (7) | NA |
| Sodium bicarbonate | NA  | 2 (10) |
| Vitamin B12      | 1 (7) | 1 (5) |
| Vitamin E        | 1 (7) | NA |
| Warfarin         | NA  | 1 (5) |
| Zinc             | 5 (36) | NA |

*Number of patients (%), bMean ± SD. BTM: Beta thalassemia major, DFO: Deferoxamine, DFP: Deferiprone, DFX: Deferasirox, NA: Not available, SCA: Sickle cell anemia, SD: Standard deviation
family activities were significantly lower, and the scores for health transition were higher in the patients with SCA than the patients with BTM (P < 0.05). The differences between patient groups for the other scales were not statistically different.

The SF-36 summary scores regarding the quality of life in adult patients with BTM or SCA who received DFX are shown in Table 4. In particular, the mean SF-36 scores in the DFX-treated patients with BTM ranged from 81.0 ± 12.6 (n = 14) for the physical functioning to 38.1 ± 41.1 (n = 14) for the emotional role limitation. The scores in the DFX-treated patients with SCA ranged from 58.3 ± 12.5 (n = 21) for the general health perception to 22.6 ± 39.5 (n = 21) for the physical role limitation. When compared with the scores of the DFX-treated patients with BTM, the scores for physical functioning, physical role limitation, pain, social functioning, and vitality were significantly lower in the DFX-treated patients with SCA (P < 0.05). The differences between patient groups for the other scales were not statistically different.

### Effectiveness of iron chelation therapy

Table 3 details hematological and biochemical parameters in DFX-treated pediatric patients with BTM or SCA. As a main index for effectiveness of ICT, mean serum ferritin levels were lower than the normal values (7.0–140.0 ng/mL) in the pediatric patients receiving DFX (BTM: 2040.0 ± 1391 ng/mL, n = 25; SCA: 937.9 ± 678.4 ng/mL, n = 12). In the patients with BTM, the values regarding platelet, platelet percentage, erythrocyte distribution width (EDW), total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and aspartate transaminase (AST) were found to be higher than the normal values. In the patients with oral hypoglycaemic agent, the values for platelet, EDW, monocyte, monocyte percentage, total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, AST, and C-reactive protein (CRP) were higher than the normal values. However, the values regarding hemoglobin, hematocrit, and erythrocyte were lower than the normal values in both patient groups. In addition, the values regarding other hematological and biochemical parameters measured were in their normal ranges. Moreover, serum ferritin levels were lower, and the values for mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), lymphocyte, monocyte, and monocyte percentage were significantly higher in the pediatric patients with SCA than the patients with BTM receiving DFX (P < 0.05). The differences between patient groups for the other parameters were not statistically different.

The parameters for adult patients with BTM or SCA who received DFX are shown in Table 6. Especially, mean serum ferritin levels were significantly higher than the normal values (30.0–400.0 ng/mL) in the patients receiving DFX (BTM: 2199.0 ± 1941.0 ng/mL, n = 13; SCA: 1544.0 ± 1470.0 ng/mL, n = 16) (P < 0.05). In the DFX-treated patients with BTM, the values regarding platelet, EDW, basophil, neutrophil, lymphocyte, total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, AST, and CRP were higher than the normal values. The values regarding platelet, EDW, monocyte, monocyte percentage, total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, and AST were found to be higher than the normal values in the DFX-treated patients with SCA. The values regarding hemoglobin, hematocrit, and erythrocyte were lower in the DFX-treated patients with BTM or SCA. In the DFX-treated patients, the values for hemoglobin, hematocrit, platelet, erythrocyte, lymphocyte, lymphocyte percentage, and ALT were lower, and MCV and MCH were significantly higher in the patients with SCA than the patients with BTM (P < 0.05). The differences between patient groups for the other parameters were not statistically different.

### Satisfaction with iron chelation therapy

Table 7 shows ICT satisfaction summary scores regarding compliance level of DFX-treated pediatric patients with BTM...
Table 5: Hematological and biochemical parameters in deferasirox-treated pediatric patients with beta thalassemia major or sickle cell anemia

| Parameter                  | BTM (n=25)         | SCA (n=21)        | P   |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----|
| Ferritin (ng/mL) (NR: 7.0-140.0) | 2040.0±1391.0 (n=25) | 937.9±678.4* (n=12) | 0.0140 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) (NR: 12.0-15.0)   | 9.4±1.0 (n=25)     | 8.5±1.0 (n=12)    | -   |
| Hematocrit (%) (NR: 35.0-45.0)     | 28.0±3.0 (n=25)    | 24.8±3.1 (n=12)   | -   |
| Platelet (×10^12/L) (NR: 150.0-400.0) | 469.4±269.4 (n=25) | 543.1±190.1 (n=12) | -   |
| MPV (fL) (NR: 7.4-10.4)            | 9.7±1.4 (n=19)     | 9.8±1.1 (n=11)    | -   |
| Platelet percentage (%) (NR: <9.99) | 10.7±12.8 (n=3)    | -                 | -   |
| PDW (fL) (NR: 9.0-17.0)            | 13.0±3.0 (n=19)    | 10.6±2.2 (n=11)   | -   |
| Erythrocyte (ng/mL) (NR: 4.1-5.2)  | 3.8±1.5 (n=23)     | 2.8±0.6 (n=12)    | -   |
| MCV (fL) (NR: 76.0-90.0)           | 79.7±3.3 (n=25)    | 89.6±12.6* (n=12) | 0.0007 |
| MCH (pg) (NR: 26.0-35.0)           | 26.6±1.4 (n=25)    | 30.7±4.7* (n=12)  | 0.003 |
| MEHC (gHb/dL) (NR: 32.0-37.0)      | 33.4±1.4 (n=25)    | 34.3±1.4 (n=12)   | -   |
| EDW (%) (NR: 11.6-14.8)            | 17.6±3.9 (n=25)    | 18.0±2.2 (n=12)   | -   |
| Eosinophil (×10^3/μL) (NR: 0.1-1.0) | 0.2±0.2 (n=21)     | 0.3±0.2 (n=12)    | -   |
| Eosinophil percentage (%) (NR: <3.0) | 2.5±1.9 (n=21)    | 2.4±1.5 (n=12)    | -   |
| Basophil (×10^3/μL) (NR: <0.2)     | 0.1±0.1 (n=25)     | 0.1±0.1 (n=12)    | -   |
| Basophil percentage (%) (NR: <1.0) | 0.5±0.4 (n=25)     | 0.7±0.5 (n=12)    | -   |
| Neutrophil (×10^3/μL) (NR: 1.8-7.7) | 5.3±3.3 (n=21)     | 5.9±3.1 (n=12)    | -   |
| Neutrophil percentage (%) (NR: 42.2-75.2) | 56.4±10.7 (n=21)   | 46.6±10.7 (n=12)  | -   |
| Lymphocyte (×10^3/μL) (NR: 1.0-4.8) | 2.9±2.0 (n=21)     | 4.5±1.3* (n=12)   | 0.0188 |
| Lymphocyte percentage (%) (NR: 20.5-51.1) | 33.6±8.7 (n=21)   | 38.2±11.38 (n=12) | -   |
| Monocyte (×10^3/μL) (NR: <0.8)     | 0.7±0.6 (n=21)     | 1.4±0.5* (n=12)   | 0.0018 |
| Monocyte percentage (%) (NR: 1.7-9.3) | 7.1±1.6 (n=21)    | 12.0±2.3* (n=12)  | <0.0001 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) (NR: <1.2)      | 0.4±0.1 (n=25)     | 0.3±0.1 (n=11)    | -   |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL) (NR: 0.3-1.2) | 2.0±1.2 (n=3)      | 1.3 (n=1)         | -   |
| Direct bilirubin (mg/dL) (NR: <0.3) | 0.5±0.2 (n=3)      | 0.4 (n=1)         | -   |
| ALT (U/L) (NR: <27)                | 28.9±27.3 (n=25)   | 22.1±8.6 (n=12)   | -   |
| AST (U/L) (NR: <29)                | 31.3±22.5 (n=25)   | 38.8±7.0 (n=12)   | -   |
| LDH (U/L) (NR: <764)               | 184.0 (n=1)        | NA                | -   |
| CRP (mg/L) (NR: <5)                | 0.2 (n=1)          | 10.3±0.8 (n=2)    | -   |

Data are expressed as mean±SD. *Significant difference compared with BTM-DFX group (P<0.05). ALT: Alanine aminotransferase, AST: Aspartate transaminase, BTM: Beta thalassemia major, CRP: C-reactive protein, DFX: Deferasirox, EDW: Erythrocyte distribution width, LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, MCH: Mean corpuscular hemoglobin, MEHC: Mean erythrocyte hemoglobin concentration, MCV: Mean corpuscular volume, MPV: Mean platelet volume, NA: Not available, NR: Normal range, PDW: Platelet distribution width, SCA: Sickle cell anemia, SD: Standard deviation
Table 6: Hematological and biochemical parameters in deferasirox-treated adult patients with beta thalassemia major or sickle cell anemia

| Parameter                        | BTM          | SCA          | P   |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----|
| Ferritin (ng/mL) (NR: 30.0-400.0) | 219.9±1941.0 (n=13) | 1544.0±1470.0 (n=16) | -   |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) (NR: 13.2-17.3) | 9.5±1.5 (n=14) | 7.9±1.2* (n=18) | 0.002 |
| Hematocrit (%) (NR: 39.0-49.0)   | 28.5±4.8 (n=14) | 23.3±3.7* (n=18) | 0.001 |
| Platelet (×10^3/µL) (NR: 150.0-400.0) | 742.8±286.0 (n=14) | 470.4±298.2* (n=18) | 0.014 |
| MPV (fl) (NR: 7.4-10.4)         | 8.2±1.9 (n=13) | 8.7±1.8 (n=17) | -   |
| Platelet percentage (%) (NR: <9.99) | 0.4±0.1 (n=4) | 0.3±0.1 (n=7) | -   |
| PDW (fl) (NR: 9.0-17.0)         | 14.2±3.5 (n=13) | 13.3±3.8 (n=17) | -   |
| Erythrocyte (ng/mL) (NR: 4.3-5.7) | 3.5±0.5 (n=13) | 2.6±0.5* (n=17) | <0.0001 |
| MCV (fl) (NR: 80.0-99.0)        | 83.1±4.2 (n=14) | 89.9±9.1* (n=18) | 0.0149 |
| MCH (pg) (NR: 26.0-35.0)        | 27.6±1.9 (n=14) | 31.1±4.7* (n=18) | 0.0138 |
| MEHC (gHb/dL) (NR: 32.0-36.0)   | 33.2±1.3 (n=14) | 35.3±5.2 (n=18) | -   |
| EDW (%) (NR: 11.6-14.8)         | 18.1±3.5 (n=14) | 20.3±7.1 (n=18) | -   |
| Eosinophil (×10^3/µL) (NR: 0.02-0.5) | 0.5±0.4 (n=9) | 0.3±0.2 (n=17) | -   |
| Eosinophil percentage (%) (NR: <3) | 2.6±2.2 (n=9) | 2.3±1.5 (n=17) | -   |
| Basophil (×10^3/µL) (NR: <0.15) | 0.2±0.1 (n=13) | 0.1±0.2 (n=18) | -   |
| Basophil percentage (%) (NR: <1) | 1.0±0.7 (n=13) | 0.9±0.7 (n=17) | -   |
| Neutrophil (×10^3/µL) (NR: 1.5-6.7) | 7.2±3.4 (n=9) | 7.0±4.0 (n=17) | -   |
| Neutrophil percentage (%) (NR: 42.2-75.2) | 46.2±11.8 (n=9) | 52.6±16.1 (n=17) | -   |
| Lymphocyte (×10^3/µL) (NR: 1.5-4.0) | 6.8±2.8 (n=9) | 3.6±1.7* (n=17) | 0.0013 |
| Lymphocyte percentage (%) (NR: 20.5-51.1) | 42.3±11.9 (n=9) | 30.3±11.7* (n=17) | 0.0208 |
| Monocyte (×10^3/µL) (NR: 0.2-0.95) | 0.8±0.5 (n=9) | 1.2±0.7 (n=17) | -   |
| Monocyte percentage (%) (NR: 1.7-9.3) | 6.6±0.4 (n=9) | 9.8±5.7 (n=17) | -   |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) (NR: <1.2)    | 0.6±0.4 (n=14) | 1.0±1.1 (n=18) | -   |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL) (NR: 0.3-1.2) | 2.5±1.5 (n=9) | 2.9±2.8 (n=12) | -   |
| Direct bilirubin (mg/dL) (NR: 0.0-0.3) | 0.5±0.3 (n=9) | 1.2±2.2 (n=11) | -   |
| ALT (U/L) (NR: <41)              | 40.6±25.7 (n=13) | 20.5±7.9* (n=18) | 0.0039 |
| AST (U/L) (NR: <38)              | 41.7±25.4 (n=11) | 39.4±21.2 (n=16) | -   |
| LDH (U/L) (NR: <480)             | 218.3±62.1 (n=10) | 432.2±252.9 (n=9) | -   |
| CRP (mg/mL) (NR: <5)             | 13.5±18.0 (n=6) | 2.6±1.8 (n=8) | -   |

Data are expressed as mean±SD. *Significant difference compared with BTM-DFX group (P<0.05). ALT: Alanine aminotransferase, AST: Aspartate transaminase, BTM: Beta thalassemia major, CRP: C-reactive protein, DFX: Deferasirox, EDW: Erythrocyte distribution width, LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, MCH: Mean corpuscular hemoglobin, MEHC: Mean erythrocyte hemoglobin concentration, MCV: Mean corpuscular volume; MPV: Mean platelet volume, NR: Normal range, PDW: Platelet distribution width, SCA: Sickle cell anemia, SD: Standard deviation.

Table 7: Iron chelation therapy satisfaction summary scores (%) in deferasirox-treated pediatric patients with beta thalassemia major or sickle cell anemia

| Concept                        | BTM (n=25) | SCA (n=12) | P   |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|-----|
| Perceived effectiveness of ICT | 88.9±17.4 (95.0 (36.7-100.0)) | 82.5±11.3* (83.3 (60.0-100.0)) | 0.025 |
| Acceptance of ICT              | 91.3±6.9 (92.5 (75.0-100.0)) | 88.9±8.9 (90.0 (70.0-100.0)) | -   |
| Burden of ICT                  | 99.7±1.2 (100.0 (96.0-100.0)) | 94.7±8.2 (100.0 (76.0-100.0)) | -   |
| Side effects of ICT            | 85.4±14.7 (85.0 (60.0-100.0)) | 79.6±19.8 (80.0 (60.0-100.0)) | -   |

Data are expressed as mean±SD (median and range). *Significant difference compared with BTM group (P<0.05). Higher scores indicate better satisfaction. BTM: Beta thalassemia major, DFX: Deferasirox, SCA: Sickle cell anemia, SD: Standard deviation, ICT: Iron chelation therapy.

Table 8: Iron chelation therapy satisfaction summary scores (%) in deferasirox-treated adult patients with beta thalassemia major or sickle cell anemia

| Concept                        | BTM (n=14) | SCA (n=21) |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Perceived effectiveness of ICT | 81.9±21.4 (81.7 (46.7-100.0)) | 79.0±17.5 (83.3 (33.0-100.0)) |
| Acceptance of ICT              | 82.5±10.9 (80.0 (62.5-100.0)) | 82.9±13.9 (85.0 (42.5-100.0)) |
| Burden of ICT                  | 88.9±13.6 (96.0 (84.0-100.0)) | 85.1±17.4 (92.0 (32.0-100.0)) |
| Side effects of ICT            | 82.9±18.6 (80.0 (53.3-100.0)) | 81.9±18.4 (80.0 (40.0-100.0)) |

Data are expressed as mean±SD. Higher scores indicate better satisfaction. BTM: Beta thalassemia major, DFX: Deferasirox, SCA: Sickle cell anemia, SD: Standard deviation, ICT: Iron chelation therapy.
Table 9: Proportional distribution of side effects in deferasirox-treated pediatric patients with beta thalassemia major or sickle cell anemia

| Percentage | BTM (n=25)                                                                 | SCA (n=12)                                                                 |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 90-100     | Hearing loss, blurred vision, increase in appetite, vomiting, flatulence, dyspepsia, decrease/increase in urine output, swelling in the arms/legs, and/or blackish discoloration of urine | Tinnitus or ringing in the ears, hearing loss, vision loss, increase in appetite, diarrhea, constipation, flatulence, dyspepsia, decrease/increase in urine output, shortness of breath, dizziness and faintness, cough, fever, skin rash, swelling in the arms/legs, blackish discoloration of urine, and/or sleep disturbances |
| 80-90      | Vision loss, nausea, constipation, shortness of breath, cough, dizziness and faintness, skin rash, anxiety, and/or sleep disturbances | Blurred vision, decrease in appetite, vomiting, yellow skin and eyes, sore throat, muscle spasm, anxiety, and/or hair loss |
| 70-79      | Tinnitus or ringing in the ears, decrease in appetite, diarrhea, and/or reddish-brownish discoloration of urine | Headache and/or numbness or tingling in the fingers and toes |
| 60-69      | Yellow skin and eyes, myalgia, muscle spasm, fever, numbness or tingling in the fingers and toes, and/or hair loss | Abdominal pain and/or myalgia |
| 50-59      | Sore throat                                                                 | Nausea and/or reddish-brownish discoloration of urine |
| 0-49       | Abdominal pain, headache, and/or joint pain                                | Joint pain                                                                |

Higher percentage values indicate better satisfaction. BTM: Beta thalassemia major, SCA: Sickle cell anemia

Table 10: Proportional distribution of side effects in deferasirox-treated adult patients with beta thalassemia major or sickle cell anemia

| Percentage | BTM (n=14)                                                                 | SCA (n=21)                                                                 |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 90-100     | Increase in appetite, constipation, abdominal pain, decrease/increase in urine output, sore throat, swelling in the arms, and/or blackish discoloration of urine | Cough, swelling in the arms, and/or blackish discoloration of urine |
| 80-90      | Vision loss, vomiting, dyspepsia, shortness of breath, and/or skin rash    | Hearing loss, vision loss, vomiting, and/or decrease in urine output |
| 70-79      | Hearing loss, blurred vision, nausea, diarrhea, flatulence, fever, swelling in the legs, anxiety, and/or hair loss | Increase in appetite, increase in urine output, dizziness and faintness, skin rash, and/or reddish-brownish discoloration of urine |
| 60-69      | Tinnitus or ringing in the ears and/or decrease in appetite               | Tinnitus or ringing in the ears, blurred vision, decrease in appetite, constipation, fever, swelling in the legs, and/or anxiety shortness of breath |
| 50-59      | Myalgia, muscle spasm, and/or sleep disturbances                          | Flatulence, dyspepsia, sore throat, headache, and/or myalgia |
| 0-49       | Headache, and/or joint pain, numbness or tingling in the fingers and toes, and/or reddish-brownish discoloration of urine | Nausea, abdominal pain, diarrhea, yellow skin and eyes, joint pain muscle spasm, numbness or tingling in the fingers and toes, and/or sleep disturbances |

Higher percentage values indicate better satisfaction. BTM: Beta thalassemia major, SCA: Sickle cell anemia

with BTM or SCA. No serious side effects necessitating discontinuation or interruption of therapy in the patients were reported, and no patients died during the study.

Discussion

The purpose of this single-center observational study was to evaluate quality of life, clinical effectiveness, and satisfaction in pediatric and adult patients with BTM and SCA receiving DFX chelation therapy to contribute to their treatment and support process. The results of the present study indicate that the DFX-treated pediatric and adult patients with BTM or SCA are not achieving their target hematological marker thresholds (mainly serum ferritin levels) despite long-term treatment for iron overload. In addition, DFX chelation therapy appears to negatively impact their HRQOL so that the patient’s satisfaction with ICT is generally poor.

Iron overload is a major concern in patients with congenital (e.g., transfusion-dependent thalassemia [including BTM], nontransfusion-dependent thalassemia [including beta thalassemia intermedia], SCA, fanconi anemia, hemolytic anemia, and sideroblastic anemia) and acquired (e.g., aplastic anemia, red cell aplasia, neoplastic diseases, and bone marrow transplantation) anemias for whom regular transfusions are needed.[2,6] Untreated transfusional iron load results in damage to the liver, endocrine organs, and most importantly to the heart. International guidelines for management of BTM and SCA concur on achieving a target serum ferritin of 1,000–2,500 μg/L and <1,000 μg/L, respectively.[3-31] In patients with iron overload as a result of regular blood transfusions because of BTM and SCA, oral chelating agents (e.g. DFX and DFP) are preferred over DFO because of their ease of administration, lesser side effects and better compliance. On the other hand, it takes months, even years, to reduce serum ferritin levels to a safe range. Combinations of chelators are often used in cases of high iron overload. Patients sometimes switch from one chelator to another for a variety of reasons, including worsening health status because of iron overload, side effects, and personal preference. In fact, life expectancy is directly related to the quality of chelation therapy and poor
compliance to treatment increases the risk of complications and shortens survival. Serum ferritin measurement is useful for close and frequent patient monitoring to indicate changes in iron burden and determine current treatment needs. In the case of increased ferritin levels, dosage may be lowered and patient preference in choice of chelator can be better accommodated. On the other hand, all forms of treatment can be inconvenient, time-consuming, and result in unpleasant side effects, all of which could potentially impact physical and emotional functioning of patients. In our study, the DFX-treated pediatric and adult patients with BTM or SCA were heavy iron overloaded in agreement with previous reports. The lack of treatment may explain the high ferritin levels. The increased serum ferritin levels were also associated with abnormalities in hematological and biochemical parameters. For instance, in the DFX-treated patients with BTM or SCA, the values regarding platelet, platelet percentage, MCH, EDW, basophil, neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte, monocyte percentage, total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, ALT, AST, and CRP were found to be higher than the normal values. On the other hand, the values regarding hemoglobin, hematocrit, and/or erythrocyte were lower than the normal values in the patients. Furthermore, serum ferritin levels were lower, and the values for MCV, MCH, lymphocyte, monocyte, and monocyte percentage were higher in the pediatric patients with SCA than the patients with BTM receiving DFX. In the DFX-treated adult patients, the values for hemoglobin, hematocrit, platelet, PDW, lymphocyte, lymphocyte percentage, and ALT were lower, and MCV and MCH were higher in the patients with SCA than the patients with BTM. Therefore, it appears that in addition to the side effects on hematopoietic and hepatic functions, DFX is not to be effective in reducing serum ferritin levels in the pediatric and adult patients with BTM or SCA.

HRQOL involves several aspects which include domains related to emotional, physical, mental, and social functioning and focuses on the impact health status has on quality of life. Supporting healthy emotional functioning is important not only to psychological well-being but also to physical health as it may impact compliance to medical regimens. The importance of the CHQ-PF50 and SF-36 questionnaires and the results of the individual scales on the actions that should be taken for pediatric and adult patients with thalassemia or SCD have been reported. On the other hand, there is a little-published data on HRQOL in DFX-treated pediatric and adult patients with BTM or SCA. Overall, our findings regarding quality of life and satisfaction with ICT indicate that the patients with BTM or SCA had lower scores. For instance, the highest CHQ-PF50 scores were found to be for the family activities (86.0%) and the health transition (85.0%) in the DFX-treated pediatric patients with BTM or SCA, respectively. The highest SF-36 score was for the physical functioning (81%) in the DFX-treated adult patients with BTM. In the patients with SCA, the highest score was found to be for the general health perception (58.3%) in the DFX group. It is also notable that the scores for general health, physical functioning, role/social limitations-physical, parental impact-time, and family activities were lower, and the scores for health transition were higher in the DFX-treated pediatric patients with SCA than the patients with BTM. Regarding satisfaction with ICT, when compared with the scores of the DFX-treated pediatric patients with BTM, the scores for perceived effectiveness of ICT was lower in the patients with SCA. It seems that poor compliance to DFX chelation therapy in these patients is probably due to a complex combination of psychological/social/demographic factors, living with a chronic disease, concomitant diseases/conditions and drug use, and new challenges related to improved life expectancy in the diseases. It is also possible that problems with treatment regimen and side effects appear to be common causes of poor compliance to DFX chelation therapy in these patients. Overall, our findings suggest that the patients are not achieving their target serum ferritin thresholds despite chronic treatment for iron overload, ICT appears to negatively impact their HRQOL, and compliance to ICT is poor. These findings are not surprising in the context of studies on poor compliance to ICT. Therefore, the results are clinically meaningful and infer that changes need to be made to DFX chelation therapy for patients with iron overload.

Conclusions

This study provides some evidence for differences in the limitations of quality of life and satisfaction among patients with BTM or SCA depending on the DFX chelation therapy. Overall, the patients involved in the study had not achieved target serum ferritin thresholds despite long-term treatment for iron overload with DFX chelation therapy. Observed compliance to DFX was generally poor, and treatment appeared to negatively impact the quality of life and satisfaction of the patients. Our data also suggest that majority of the patients and/or their parents do not know about the importance of their medication. This study highlights the importance of providing pediatric and adult patients with BTM or SCA with the optimal chelation treatment based on their individual needs, in order to increase the HRQOL and decrease the presence of metabolic, endocrine, hepatic, renal, and cardiac comorbidities in addition to side effects, leading to increased compliance, and thus resulting in optimal clinical benefit. In addition, our results emphasize the need of involvement of a multidisciplinary team (including physicians, pharmacists, psychologists, nurse specialists, and health educators) in the management of patients with these diseases. Health care providers should be aware of the importance of monitoring iron load with timely initiation of DFX chelation therapy, and ongoing adjustments to chelation regimens and/or transfusion methods in response to these measurements. Future research are needed to determine the demographic and clinical variables most likely to be associated with effective reductions in iron overload as well as strategies (e.g., combining two iron chelators, interventions for patient education about the tolerability of chelation, development of new oral chelators) to decrease hospitalizations, improve compliance to ICT of the patients, and reduce morbidity and mortality.
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