INTRODUCTION

The lingual artery is distributed in base of tongue and mobile tongue and susceptible damage during operation. Such injury can lead to immediate or delayed hemorrhage, which can be life threatening. If both lingual arteries are damaged, necrosis of mobile tongue below damaged point is inevitable. Knowledge of anatomical distribution of the lingual artery is crucial during surgery such as partial glossectomy or tongue base surgery to reduce complications. It is also helpful to decide resection margin and reconstruction.

The lingual artery originates from the external carotid artery just above the superior thyroid artery and runs into the intrinsic muscle of tongue through the posterior border of the hyoglossus muscle. The lingual artery gives first branch, dorsal lingual artery, while passing the hyoglossus muscle. It proceeds anterosuperiorly and gives sublingual artery at the anterior border of hyoglossus muscle. After giving sublingual artery, the lingual ar-
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Objectives. To clarify the anatomical distribution of the lingual artery in normal adult subjects through histopathologic evaluations.

Methods. Eighteen healthy cadaveric tongues were used to produce 8 paraffin-embedded tissue sections each. Length from midline raphe, depth from dorsum of tongue and the whole transverse length tongue were measured. The lateral distance, depth, and proportion of lateral distance of deep lingual artery were determined from tip to base of tongue gradually. Lateral distance is length from median raphe to the center of deep lingual artery lumen. Depth is vertical distance from dorsal surface of tongue to the center of deep lingual artery. Proportion of lateral distance is obtained by dividing lateral distance with transverse length from median raphe to lateral border of tongue. The degree of symmetry between right and left sides and the difference between selected spots were evaluated.

Results. Right and left sides of the lingual artery were symmetric. The lingual artery was lateralized as it run posterior. The lingual artery runs gradually deeper from the surface as it goes near the base of tongue. Both length and depth of the lingual artery gradually increased between 0%–75% of the mobile tongue, but 75%–100% zone of the lingual artery showed no significant difference. There was no anastomosis between right and left side of the lingual arteries. The lingual artery was located within 50% of the transverse length of tongue from median raphe.

Conclusion. The present study reveals 3-dimensional information on the anatomical distributions of the lingual artery in normal adult subjects. These findings gives us beneficial information about the handling of the lingual artery during oral and base of tongue-related surgery.
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tery shifts to deep lingual artery. Deep lingual artery runs through inferior portion of mobile tongue giving multiple perforating branches toward dorsum of the tongue. The lingual artery can be subdivided into 4 segments. The first segment is original segment which starts from hyoid bone to the point where dorsal lingual artery is given. The 2nd part, hyoglossus segment and the 3rd part, ascending segment is divided where the sublingual artery originates. Deep lingual artery is designated as horizontal segment [1].

There were several previous studies to analyze the course of the lingual artery and the anatomical markers. Hou et al. [2,3] used computed tomographic angiography to analyze anatomic relationship between the lingual artery and lingual markers and to set safety space for functional surgery on the tongue. Kimura et al. [4] used Doppler sonography to evaluate the lingual artery at the midpoint of tongue. Lopez et al. [5] studied about vascular territory of the lingual artery using selective ink injections in 15 fresh cadaver heads. But none of these studies researched histologic features of the lingual artery on mobile tongue. In this study, we made 18 healthy cadaver tongues into 8 coronal sections each to clarify the anatomical distribution of the lingual artery in normal Korean subjects by light microscopic observation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects
For this study, eighteen healthy cadaveric tongues were used to get 8 pieces of paraffin-embedded tissue section each. Fifteen cases were male, 3 cases were female. Median age was 62 years old ranging from 31 to 88 years. Before producing histologic section, we chose one specimen and performed intramuscular dissection of the tongue to identify the course of the lingual artery. The neck has been partially dissected by medical students to set safety space for functional surgery on the tongue. Kimura et al. [4] used computed tomographic angiography to analyze anatomic relationship between the lingual artery and lingual markers and to set safety space for functional surgery on the tongue. Kimura et al. [4] used Doppler sonography to evaluate the lingual artery at the midpoint of tongue. Lopez et al. [5] studied about vascular territory of the lingual artery using selective ink injections in 15 fresh cadaver heads. But none of these studies researched histologic features of the lingual artery on mobile tongue. In this study, we made 18 healthy cadaveric tongues into 8 coronal sections each to clarify the anatomical distribution of the lingual artery in normal Korean subjects by light microscopic observation.

Fig. 1. Cadaveric dissection of the lingual artery. Intramuscular dissection is done to identify anatomical distribution of the lingual artery. (a) Original segment which is placed around greater horn of hyoid bone. (b) Segment of hyoglossus. The lingual artery runs through hyoglossus muscle. (c) Ascending segment. The lingual artery ascends through intrinsic muscles of mobile tongue. (d) Horizontal segment, also called as a deep lingual artery (marked as an asterisk) which runs inferior surface of mobile tongue.

HIGHLIGHTS

- Anatomy of the lingual artery was examined in 18 healthy human cadaveric tongues.
- The artery in right and left side was symmetric.
- The artery was positioned laterally and then go deeply posterior to the base of tongue.
- The artery had no communication between right and left sides and was located within 50% from median raphe.

Muscle. It proceeds antero-superiorly and shifts as a deep lingual artery at mobile portion of the tongue which runs along the inferior surface of the mobile tongue (Fig. 1). This specimen was not included in histologic slides. Eighteen cadaveric tongues were measured the lengths from the tip of the tongue to foramen cecum. Each tongue was divided into 8 coronal sections according to the dorsal lengths of each tongue. We designated tongue tip as a ‘0%’ spot and foramen cecum as a ‘100%’ spot and acquired tissue sections at 12.5%, 25.0%, 37.5%, 50.0%, 62.5%, 75.0%, 87.5%, and 100% spots. Tissue cutting was performed along the vertical plane of dorsal surface of the tongue (Fig. 2A).

The Formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded giant tissue slides were produced and hematoxylin-eosin stains were added. The slides were examined under light microscope. The length from midline raphe, depth (D) from dorsal surface of tongue and the whole transverse length of tongue were measured using Storz E-2410 caliper (Storz, St. Louis, MO, USA) but perforating branches of the lingual artery were excluded. The lateral distance (LD), D, and proportion of LD (P) of deep lingual artery were determined from tip to base of tongue gradually. LD is length from median raphe to the center of deep lingual artery lumen. D is vertical distance from dorsal surface of tongue to the center of deep lingual artery. P is obtained by dividing LD with transverse length from median raphe to lateral border of tongue (Fig. 2B). Thereafter, the degree of symmetry between right and left side and the difference between selected zones (25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% spots from tip to foramen cecum) were evaluated. We reconstructed 3-dimensional images of lin-
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Fig. 2. (A) Method of tissue cutting. The mobile tongue is cut into 8 pieces. (B) Cut surface of mobile tongue (62.5% portion from tip of tongue). 'LD' means the lateral distance of the lingual artery from median raphe. 'D' means the depth of the lingual artery from dorsum of tongue. 'W' means half of total horizontal length of tongue. 'M' means median raphe. The lingual artery is marked with asterisk (H&E, ×40).

Table 1. Lateral distance of the lingual artery on each location

| Portion (%) | Lateral distance (mm) | P-value |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------|
|             | Right side            | Left side |       |
| 12.5        | 4.6±2.5               | 4.9±2.7  | 0.488  |
| 25.0        | 5.1±0.9               | 5.1±2.9  | 0.094  |
| 37.5        | 6.9±2.3               | 6.9±1.8  | 0.263  |
| 50.0        | 6.6±2.3               | 6.7±2.1  | 0.549  |
| 62.5        | 8.3±2.4               | 7.1±2.4  | 0.677  |
| 75.0        | 8.8±4.0               | 9.8±2.8  | 0.787  |
| 87.5        | 10.5±4.0              | 10.8±4.5 | 0.253  |
| 100         | 11.0±4.8              | 12.2±5.9 | 0.503  |

Values are presented as mean±SD.

for a P-value less than 0.05. These analyses were performed using the statistical software PASW SPSS ver. 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Lateral distance of the lingual artery

The average LD from midline raphe of the tongue were listed on Table 1. LD of 12.5% spot was the shorter than any other values (right side, 4.6±2.5 mm; left side, 4.9±2.7 mm) while LD of foramen cecum was the largest one (right side, 11.0±4.8 mm; left side, 12.2±5.9 mm). There was no significant difference between right and left side (P>0.05). LD was gradually increased as lingual artery runs toward foramen cecum (Fig. 4A, B). Statistical analysis of LD at 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% spots using Kruskal-Wallis test showed significant difference in right and left side (P<0.05). On one-to-one comparison using Wilcoxon sign rank test, 25% spot was significantly different from 50% and 100% spots and so was 50% spot from 100% in right side (P<0.05). There was no significant difference between 25%–75%, 50%–75%, and 75%–100% spots (P>0.05). In the...
left side however, there were significant differences in 25%–50%, 25%–75%, 25%–100%, 50%–75%, and 50%–100% comparison (P<0.05). Only the 75%–100% spot showed no difference in the left side (P>0.05).

Depth of the lingual artery
Mean values of D were shown in Table 2. There was no difference between the right and left sides (P>0.05). Mean values of D at 12.5% spot were 12.1±2.5 mm (right) and 12.5±3.8 mm

Fig. 4. (A, B) The LD of the lingual artery from median raphe. The graph showed gradual increase as it runs toward foramen cecum. The linear curve is obtained from average of LD. (C, D) The depth of the lingual artery. The lingual artery placed deeper in foramen cecum than tongue tip. The graph gradually increased as it approaches to tongue base. The linear curve is obtained from average of depth. (E, F) The proportion of LD. This parameter shows gradual increase but statistically no significant differences are present on right side. The left side, on the other hand, shows definite difference which is marked as an asterisk. The linear curve is obtained by average of proportion of LD. (A, C, E) Right side. (B, D, F) Left side. LD, lateral distance. *P<0.05.
due to limited surgical field [6,7]. Thus, it is important to prevent excessive bleeding by preserving the lingual artery. In this study, we used eighteen cadaveric tongues to clarify anatomical distribution of the lingual artery by light microscopic observation.

There were a few studies about anatomical distributions of the lingual artery using computed tomographic angiography, Doppler sonography and cadaveric tongue [2-5,8]. Hou et al. [2] analyzed the lingual artery to define safety space for functional surgery of the tongue using computed tomographic angiography. He proposed V-zone of the tongue which is similar with our findings [2], but most of the reports focused on base of tongue and lack an explicit description of the lingual artery morphology and topography at the level of the tongue.

We tried to define useful surgical markers to facilitating a surgeon’s ability to avoid intraoperative lingual artery injury. We used median raphe, foramen cecum, tongue tip, and dorsal surface of the tongue as anatomical markers because they were relatively constant at any position. We measured the length of the dorsal surface of the tongue from tongue tip to foramen cecum then divided it into 8 coronal sections and produced histopathologic slides. D of the lingual artery from the dorsal surface of the tongue is gradually increased until the lingual artery reaches 75% of length of tongue from tongue tip. From there, D of the lingual artery remains stable to foramen cecum. D of the lingual artery varies with the position of the tongue, so measured value itself cannot be used in surgical fields which require traction into multidirections. Operator should keep in mind that the lingual artery located deeper pathway vertically from the surface as it reaches tongue base. The lingual artery runs lateral direction until three-fourths of tongue length. LD of the lingual artery from median raphe varies with the position of the tongue, too. P remains constant during forward traction of the tongue so it is helpful in surgical fields. The lingual artery is located within 50% of transverse length of tongue from median raphe. Surgical resection that does not exceed the ranges mentioned above is relatively safe. If the tumor extends more than a half of transverse length from lateral border in one side, the risk of the lin-

### Table 2. Depth of the lingual artery on each location

| Portion (%) | Right side (mm) | Left side (mm) | P-value |
|-------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|
| 12.5        | 12.4±2.3         | 12.4±3.8       | 0.167   |
| 25.0        | 16.4±3.2         | 17.7±3.5       | 0.556   |
| 37.5        | 18.8±2.5         | 20.0±6.9       | 0.247   |
| 50.0        | 21.1±45          | 24.3±7.7       | 0.392   |
| 62.5        | 26.9±3.4         | 28.7±6.0       | 0.539   |
| 75.0        | 26.0±6.4         | 25.6±6.9       | 0.412   |
| 87.5        | 26.2±4.3         | 28.1±4.4       | 0.441   |
| 100         | 27.3±4.5         | 25.5±4.2       | 0.234   |

Values are presented as mean±SD.

### Table 3. Proportion of lateral distance of the lingual artery on each level

| Portion (%) | Proportion of lateral distance (% of total horizontal length of tongue) | P-value |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 12.5        | 26±13                                                                  | 0.499   |
| 25.0        | 25±5                                                                   | 0.114   |
| 37.5        | 31±9                                                                   | 0.182   |
| 50.0        | 29±12                                                                  | 0.463   |
| 62.5        | 35±1                                                                   | 0.799   |
| 75.0        | 36±15                                                                  | 0.79    |
| 87.5        | 42±15                                                                  | 0.209   |
| 100         | 45±18                                                                  | 0.594   |

Values are presented as mean±SD.

### DISCUSSION

Oral cavity is limited space so only a small amount of bleeding can disturb surgical fields. Tongue has excellent mobility and abundant vasculatures. Massive bleeding can occur when main trunk of the lingual artery is damaged and ligation is difficult due to limited surgical field [6,7]. Thus, it is important to prevent
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gual artery damage increases. The area between lingual arteries is relatively safe. The mean LD at foramen cecum are $11.0 \pm 4.8$ mm in the right side and $12.2 \pm 5.9$ mm in the left side. This is consistent with Hou et al. [2]'s findings that surgery performed within approximately 15 mm of the tongue midline is relatively safe. Both sides of the lingual artery run symmetric and there is no transseptal anastomosis between sides like Houseman et al. [9]'s findings. However, the limitation of this study is that tissue shrinkage can occur during formalin fixation and microscopic slide production. The degree of shrinkage can differ according to contractility of the tissue. Tongue tissue can shrink due to intrinsic muscle's contraction [10].

In conclusion, the lingual arteries of both sides are symmetric and run toward inferior and lateral directions from tongue tip to 75% of total length, but there is no significant difference around base of tongue. Finally the lingual artery located within 50% of transverse length of tongue from midline raphe. Lingual artery is usually sacrificed during resection of lesion involving tongue base. Distal injury of the lingual artery can be salvaged but proximal injury cause disaster in half portion of the mobile tongue. These findings provide surgeons useful information to set safe surgical margin that does not compromise function of the tongue during oral and base of tongue related surgery. The results of present study are notable because this is the first research to evaluate the histologic features of lingual artery on mobile tongue.
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