Survey and Monitoring of Chinese Citrus Fly (Bactrocera minax Enderlein) in Sweet Orange Orchards of Sindhuli, Nepal
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Abstract

Chinese citrus fly, Bactrocera minax (Enderlein), is one of the most important pests of citrus. The pest is more problematic in the eastern part of the country, Nepal. Because of the difficulties associated with the control of this pest by chemical insecticides, farmers had experienced great losses in Sweet Orange. Therefore, a participatory field survey was conducted under farmer field conditions to assess losses and measure the efficacy of different local and recommended management options to address the problem of this pest. Study consisted of two major parts: monitoring of pest population and farmer’s survey. For monitoring three orchards were selected located at different altitude i.e. 1200 masl, 1300 masl and 1400 masl. Great Fruit fly Bait (25% protein hydrolysate and 0.1% abamectin) in McPhail traps were used for monitoring. Monitoring was done in every 7 days interval and lures were changed in every 15 days for the effectiveness. Only 18.3% farmers were using protein bait for the management of fruit fly. B. minax had peak population intensity at May and was found decreasing after June so the management practices should be adopted before June to prevent the loss by fruit fly. However, for best effective control attention for monitoring and management procedures has to take place throughout the life cycle of the insect.
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Introduction

Sweet orange (Citrus sinensis L. Osbeck) is one of the citrus fruit species belonging to the family Rutaceae. It is a hybrid between Citrus maxima (Pomelo) and Citrus reticulata (mandarin). Sweet orange (Junar), is the second important citrus fruit of Nepal after mandarin in terms of area and production (Adhikari et al., 2012). It is grown in 49 districts of Nepal, but the districts having significant amounts of production are Sindhuli, and Ramechhap (Kaini, 2013). Despite of the well accepted value and importance of sweet orange production in terms of income and climatic suitability, the production of sweet orange is still low in Sindhuli. Among different causes of low production, such as traditional method of crop management, small scale production, legal and institutional constraints, lack of sufficient water, biotic and a biotic stress, fruit drop at early stage due to fruit fly infestation are the major problem of Sweet orange production in the district (DADO, 2010).
Fruit fly is a two-winged insect of the family Tephritidae whose larvae feed on vegetative parts or fruits. Their distribution is cosmopolitan covering tropical, subtropical and temperate regions (Agarwal & Sueyoshi, 2005). In addition to the direct losses, fruit fly infestation can also result in serious losses in trade value and export opportunity, due to strict quarantine regulations imposed by most of the importing countries (Poudel & Regmi, 2008) and (Adhikari, Dougoud, Janner, & Schaffner, 2016). In Nepal, Chinese citrus fly (Bactrocera minax) is reported to cause economic damage in the Sweet orange fruits in the eastern middle mountains. About 60 to 70% Sweet orange fruit drop has been reported in Dhanalta, Bhupalpur and Khotang districts (NCRP, 2006). In Sindhuli last 3–4 year’s fruit fly species mainly Bactrocera minax (Enderlein) was found to be problematic causing great economic loss during the time of harvesting (DADO, 2016) and (Adhikari & Joshi, 2018). So it is very crucial to study the occurrence of the pest in order to formulate the strategies for its effective management (Adhikari et al., 2020). The major objective of the research was to study the occurrence of B. minax and its management practices adopted by farmers in sweet orange of Sindhuli district.

Materials And Methods

This study consists of two components: field survey and monitoring. Survey was carried out during the month of June, 2018 in Golanjor rural municipality (super zone area of sweet orange, Sindhuli). Similarly monitoring was done to study the abundance of fruit fly during the study period and to know the effectiveness of the lure used.

Field survey

Golanjor rural municipality (ward no 4, 5, 6) and Kampalamai municipality (ward no 3) were selected purposively based on the area of production. Survey was conducted using semi-structured interview schedule. One hundred respondents from Golanjor rural municipality and 20 respondents from Kampalamai municipality (ward no 3) i.e. total 120 respondents were selected by using stratified random sampling. One comprehensive Focus Group Discussion (FGD) was organized at the study area after completing the survey with help of the checklist to verify the result obtained from field survey. Secondary data were obtained from District Agriculture Development Office (DADO), annual reports, newsletters, bulletins and relevant articles, libraries and information office, Department of Agriculture, Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development (MoALD).

Monitoring

The site for the monitoring of fruit fly was Golanjor-05, Sindhuli, where orchards at three different locations-1200, 1300 and 1400 masl were selected. At each location, one McPhail trap baited with 100 ml of Great Fruit Fly bait (25% protein hydrolysate with 0.1% abamectin). The traps were installed about 1.5 m above the ground and the lures were changed at every 15 days interval for more effective luring of the fruit fly. The counting of the trapped fruit fly was done from 14th April to 30th June. The fruit flies were pinned for the species identification purpose and the species other than Bactrocera minax were discarded. The number of Bactrocera minax trapped in each trap was recorded in every count. All the data of monitoring were analyzed using SPSS Version 24 and Ms Excel.

Results And Discussion

Respondents’ awareness about Bactrocera minax (Enderlein)

Majority of the respondents that is 81.7 % had no knowledge about Bactrocera minax (Table 1).
Table 1. Respondents’ awareness about *Bactrocera minax* (Enderlein)

| Response | Frequency |
|----------|-----------|
| Yes      | 22 (18.3) |
| No       | 98 (81.7) |
| Total    | 120 (100) |

Figure in parentheses indicate percentage

Source: Field Survey, 2018

**Reason behind lagging the idea of the pest**

Among the farmers who were unaware about the pest, (54.1%) of farmers’ main reason for lagging the idea of the pest was due to the lack of the government led extension activities followed by lack of technical knowhow (35.7 %) and lack of self-interest to know (11.2%).

Table 2. Respondents’ response on reason behind lagging the idea of the pest

| Reason                                           | Frequency |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Lack of self interest to know                     | 11 (11.2) |
| Lack of technical knowhow                         | 34 (35.7) |
| Lack of Government led extension activities        | 53 (54.1) |
| Total                                            | 98 (100)  |

Figure in parentheses indicate percentage

Source: Field Survey, 2018

**Farmers knowledge on the life cycle of *Bactrocera minax***

Among 22 respondents having the knowledge about the pest, 63.3% did not know the life cycle of fruit fly, while 36.3% of respondents did know the life cycle. Those respondents who knew the life cycle, they confidently answered mentioning the different stage of life cycle of fruit flies (Table 3).

Table 3. Farmers’ knowledge on the life cycle of *Bactrocera minax* (Enderlein) in the study area

| Reason                                           | Frequency |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Yes                                              | 8 (36.3)  |
| No                                               | 14 (63.7) |
| Total                                            | 22 (100)  |

Figure in parentheses indicate percentage

Source: Field Survey, 2018

**Extent of fruit drop due to *Bactrocera minax***

In most of the orchard, fruit drop ranged between 25 to 50 %. About 40 % of orchard faced 25-50% fruit drop while 26.7% orchard faced 0-25 percent fruit drop and 23% orchard faced 50-70% fruit drop and 8.3% orchard faced more than 75% fruit drop in year 2018. (Figure 2)

![Figure 2: Extent of fruit drop due to *Bactrocera minax* infestation on Sindhuli, 2018](image-url)
Different practices adopted to manage the fallen fruits

The study revealed that the farmers managed the fallen fruits by different ways. Among the respondent 62.5% of the farmers dumped the fallen fruits in the pit, 52.5% percent farmers kept the fruits in the plastic bag, 44.2% farmers fed the fallen fruits to the livestock and 36.7% farmers mixed the fallen fruits into the manure pit. (Multiple responses were recorded)

Table 4. Farmers’ response on different practices adopted to manage the fallen fruits

| Response | Kept in plastic bag | Dumped in the pit | Mixed in manure pit | Fed to livestock |
|----------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Yes      | 63(52.5)            | 75(62.5)          | 44(36.7)            | 53(44.2)        |
| No       | 57(47.5)            | 45(37.5)          | 76(63.3)            | 67(55.8)        |

Figure in parentheses indicate percentage

Source: Field Survey, 2018

Management practices adopted by farmers for fruit fly control

Among the different practices for management of fruit fly, 86.7% practiced collection and destruction of fallen fruits, 49.2% farmers used chemical pesticide, 38.3% farmers used traps as a management technique. Multiple responses were recorded.

Table 5. Farmer’s practice on fruit fly management in sweet orange in the survey area

| Response of respondent | Chemical pesticide | Orchard sanitation | Use of trap |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Yes                    | 59(49.2)           | 104(86.7)          | 46(38.3)   |
| No                     | 61(50.8)           | 16(13.3)           | 74(61.7)   |

Figure in parentheses indicate percentage

Source: Field Survey, 2018

Farmers’ knowledge on use of protein bait for the management of *Bactrocera minax* (Enderlein)

The study revealed that only 18.3% of the farmers were aware about the use of protein hydrolysate to control *Bactrocera minax*

Table 6. Farmers’ knowledge on use of protein bait for the management of *Bactrocera minax* (Enderlein)

| Response | Frequency |
|----------|-----------|
| Yes      | 22(18.3)  |
| No       | 98(81.7)  |
| Total    | 120(100)  |

Figure in parentheses indicate percentage

Source: Field Survey, 2018

Farmers response on reasons behind increasing Chinese fruit flies infestation in sweet orange

Among 120 respondent, most of the respondent expressed similar experiences. Following are the some reasons behind the increasing fruit flies infestation:

- Poorly understood insect biology as the farmers still have wrong perception that this pest can be controlled by using methyl eugenol and cue lure.
- Poor orchard sanitation
- No availability of appropriate chemical pesticides to control the pest.
- Lack of awareness on the use of protein bait in the study area.
- Inadequate supports receiving from the service providers.
• Some respondents said that it might be due to the development of resistance power of fruit flies against the insecticides.

**Monitoring**

The population dynamics of *Bactrocera minax* (Enderlein) at different altitude were recorded during monitoring in selected field of sweet orange. Data pertaining to the occurrence of Chinese citrus fly at different altitude during the study period from May to June is presented in the Figure 4.

In the trap baited with protein hydrolysate installed at 1200 meters above the sea level, number of *Bactrocera minax* was the highest in 19th May i.e. total of six catches and the catches started decreasing after the onset of June (Figure 3).

In the trap baited with protein hydrolysate installed at 1300 meters above the sea level, number of *Bactrocera minax* was the highest on 26th May i.e. total of four catches and it started decreasing after the mid of June (Figure 3).

In the trap baited with protein hydrolysate at 1400 meters above the sea level, *Bactrocera minax* (Enderlein) was not seen during the month of April and seen after the onset of May. The catches increased reaching the highest number in 2th June started decreasing by the end of the June (Figure 3).

Similar result was obtained by Dorji, et al. (2006) in which fully matured females first appeared in traps in mid to late May and reached the peak in mid to late June. Zhang (1989) stated that decrease in the population of *B. minax* during July was seen which might be due to single generation a year of *Bactrocera minax*. The adult flies of *Bactrocera minax* (Enderlein) emerging from overwintering pupal stage in late April to early May, maturing by the end of May and mating, which concludes that the abundance of *Bactrocera minax* is during the month of April/May. From the beginning of June males rapidly disappeared from the population and by mid to late June are rare or absent in trap (Dorji et al., 2006).

![Figure 3: Number of fruit flies trapped in protein bait in the study area](image)

Study revealed that the total fruit fly count was highest in 1200 masl followed by 1300 masl and 1400 masl (Figure 4). Number of fruit flies trapped in protein bait at 1200 masl is higher because of emergence of Chinese citrus fly first at 1200 masl followed by 1300 masl and 1400 masl. The temperature at 1200 masl was comparatively higher than 1300 masl and 1400 masl which is the indication for the early emergence of the fruit fly at lower altitude.

These findings are in agreement with that of Kounatidis, et al. (2008) where the population phenology seemed to affect spatial pattern and the amount of captured *Bactrocera spp* were higher at lower elevation. Similar result was obtained by Israely, Zin, & Galun (2005) where they studied the temporal population at three altitude (400 m, 400-600 m, >600 m) and more population of *Bactrocera spp* was observed in traps set.
Study revealed that the adult emerged from the pupal stage at the end of April and to the onset of the May (Figure 5). The fruit fly population reached the peak by the end of the May and the onset of the June and the population gradually decreased there after (Figure 3).

National Citrus Research Program (NCRP) also revealed that the adult flies emerged in the second half of April. The detailed study on: (i) adult emergence period; (ii) adult phenology patterns; (iii) period of crop susceptibility; (iv) period from fruit drop to pupation and (v) developing of controlling measures is yet to be carried out in Nepal (FAO, 2011).

**Conclusion**

Farmer’s survey indicated that the farmers had poor knowledge regarding *Bactrocera minax* and its proper management practices. Respondents of study area demonstrated fair knowledge of the damage of *Bactrocera minax* but lacked knowledge of taxonomy and life cycle. Poor orchard management, poor effectiveness of the pesticides, lack of awareness on using protein bait to control *Bactrocera minax* were the major reasons of increasing fruit fly infestation. Protein hydrolysate lure was found effective for monitoring of *Bactrocera minax*. Total fruit fly count was found higher in 1200 masl followed by 1300 and 1400 masl. *Bactrocera minax* had peak emergence at May and the population gradually decreased on the onset of June. Thus, fruit fly management should be initiated before June for *Bactrocera minax* due to higher pest intensity recorded during this period. Based on this study, it is suggested that effective management practices should be adopted during the peak emergence period so as to reduce the loss caused by fruit fly. Integrated pest management should be followed with respect to life cycle of the fly. Protein hydrolysate either baits station or spot spray should be done during the peak emergence of adult flies for its proper management.
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