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1. Introduction

Pandemics are sudden outburst of infectious diseases throughout the world that changes the economic, social, and human culture [1]. Many infectious diseases viz., cholera, flu, tuberculosis, measles have had their worst spread in human history. In 2019, coronavirus (COVID-19), a deadly disease that originated from Wuhan, China cause severe morbidity across the globe. Nearly with 113 million affected and 2.5 million of mortality, the novel coronavirus still has its spread across 219 countries [2]. This virus has severe respiratory concerns with fever and pneumonia. In such a wild spread of pandemics, people need various essential services viz., food, oxygen support, medical support. News and media are portraying continuously the result of people suffering without getting such essentials on time. This paper proposes a distributed mobile publish/subscribe environment using mobile brokers in Message Queuing Telemetry Transport (MQTT) protocol. Considering COVID-19 as a use case, the proposed technique has been tested in a simulation environment. From the test result, it is evident that the distributed mobile brokers are a soul savior during such pandemic situations (see Fig. 1).

Section 2 reviews various papers related to MQTT and its variants. Section 3 explains the proposed distributed mobile broker environment. Section 4 explains the implementation and result analysis. Section 5 concludes the session with future research scope.

2. Related works

Various literature has explored the functioning of the MQTT protocol. This section reviews various proposals in MQTT, distributed MQTT, and mobile MQTT.

2.1. Message Queuing Telemetry Transport (MQTT)

MQTT is a lightweight protocol for sending messages in a connected environment [3]. It provides ordered, lossless, & bi-directional connections. The MQTT protocol comprises three key elements: subscriber, publisher, and a broker. It proves to be a better choice for wireless networks that experience occasional
handwritten constraints or unreliable connections. For instance, Facebook is using MQTT in Facebook Messenger for online chat.

The Publisher in the environment publishes the collected data to the message brokers. The subscribers subscribe to the topics. The role of the broker is to communicate the suitable topic’s information to the appropriate subscriber. However, having a single broker suffers from a single point of failure, traffic volume, hotspot problem, and maintenance [4].

2.2. Distributed brokers

To overcome the drawbacks of a single broker in the MQTT environment, various researchers have explored distributed broker environments.

In [5], the authors propose a load balancing protocol for distributed broker environment. The proposed system has been tested using Amazon web services and local machines. The comparative results have been evaluated for several messages received and transmitted by each broker at a given time. A spanning tree protocol has been introduced to regularize redundancy in distributed broker environments in [6]. In-band signaling is used by the protocol allowing full message replication and robustness against failures in the brokers. To distribute messages among them, MQTT brokers are interconnected in a loop-free topology. This protocol has been tested in various experimental scenarios keeping in mind the components such as latency, computational load, and throughput, and compared with traditional cloud-based and Single-broker systems. In [7], Internetworking for distributed architecture has been proposed. Two algorithms namely Publication Flooding (PF) and Subscription Flooding (SF) have been proposed to check the feasibility and versatility of its Internetworking API. A benchmark system for single and multiple brokers has been introduced to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed technique. The proposed system is evaluated with a Mosquito broker and proves to be five times better.

A virtual ring approach for a distributed broker has been proposed in [8]. MQTT is adopted as an endpoint and internetworking point protocol. Experiments on the brokers are done using Queuing Analysis. Flooding Approach and Virtual Ring Approach are used to analyze the performance of the architecture and then concluded that Virtual Ring Approach is better than Flooding Approach based on Queuing Analysis. Three distributed brokers implemented using the Goal/Question/Metric scheme have been evaluated for scalability, performance, security, resilience, usability, and extensibility in [9].

Decision guidance for MQTT brokers specifying six decision points has been propounded. Performance, Scalability, Average Message Loss during Resilience Test, and Security are evaluated to evaluate the performance of the brokers. The best performance was seen in EMQX but no loss of message was seen in HiveMQ. VerneMQ is an open-source showing similar qualities as commercial brokers. A similar distributed broker environment has been proposed in [10 11]. However, in all these approaches the broker is considered to be static. Such static brokers lead to the following limitations:

- Quick access is provided to near subscribers than distant subscribers.
- Service can be provided only locally to the broker.
- Data collection depends on extra relay nodes in a distributed location such as smart cities and agriculture.

Hence there is a need for mobile broker that collects data from the publishers anywhere and anytime. In addition the operation of mobile brokers have been enhanced with data aware trajectory planning technique for collecting data from the available nodes on priority basis. This enable quick collection of data from the needed place and improve the service of MQTT protocol.

3. Distributed mobile brokers for handling COVID-19 pandemic situations

During this pandemic, people suffered to get some essential services viz. food, water, oxygen support, and medical facilities due to strict lockdowns and quarantines. This has motivated to propose a distributed mobile broker to get the essential services to the human community.

The proposed distributed mobile brokers are depicted in Fig. 2. Every geographical location is split into hexagonal-shaped areas. Every area contains service providers, subscribers, publishers, and a mobile broker.

- **Service Providers:**
  - The service provider registers the available services with the nearby publishers. It also updates their service availability on a timely basis.

- **Publishers**
  - The publishers publish the data with the mobile area brokers as they approach the publishers.

- **Mobile area brokers**
  - Mobile area brokers collect the data from publishers and make it available to the subscribers. Every area has one mobile broker that collects data from the publishers and made it available to the subscribers. The mobile brokers also deliver the data to the nearby located mobile brokers to make it available for the nearby area subscribers.

- **Subscribers**
  - The subscribers collect the relevant data through the mobile area brokers.

The mobile broker is enabled with data aware data collection trajectory planning module for efficiently collecting data from the publishers.

Initially, the publisher communicates the amount and priority of the data to the broker. The priority is set based on the demand of the data. Based on the communicated value the broker assigns weight \( W_i \) to the publishers. The data collection zones are fixed using weighted maximum vertex cover algorithm [12] shown below.

**Algorithm: weighted minimum vertex cover**

Step 1: Let \( n \), be the number of nodes.
Step 2: \( cluster\_sort = sort(V_i(W)) \); \( V_i \) denotes the publisher node and \( W \) corresponds to the weight assigned based on data.
Step 3: \( path = select(\max (\text{cluster\_sort})) \)
Step 4: Let \( V_i \) and \( V_j \) be neighbors of \( \text{cluster}\_\text{sort}(i) \).
\( path = del(V_i,V_j) \)
Step 5: Repeat Step 3
The broker selectively chose the zone for data collection, position itself and collect data from the nearby nodes. In this method publishers with huge and important data is collected.

4. Implementation and result analysis

The proposed technique has been simulated using NS-2.35. The simulation parameters are displayed in the Table 1.

The performance metrics viz., delay and packet delivery ratio have been obtained and evaluated under the following conditions:

- Scenario - 1: Mobile broker, Fixed subscribers vs Fixed broker, Fixed subscribers

![Fig. 2. The architecture of Distributed Mobile Broker.](image)

![Fig. 3. Delay in secs for scenario 1.](image)

| S. No | Entity Description                  | Number       |
|-------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1     | Number of Areas                    | 4            |
| 2     | Number of Subscriber node          | 200 nodes    |
| 3     | Mobile broker                      | 2–4          |
| 4     | Number of Publisher node           | 4            |
| 5     | Number of service providers        | 3            |
| 6     | Mobility pattern - Mobile broker   | Random waypoint model |
| 7     | Mobility pattern - Subscribers      | Random waypoint model |
| 8     | Speed of mobile brokers            | 20 m/s, 40 m/s, 60 m/s, 80 m/s and 100 m/s |
| 9     | Sensing Field topography           | 200 × 200 m² |

![Table 1. Simulation parameters.](image)
Scenario 2: Mobile broker, Mobile subscribers vs Fixed broker, Mobile subscribers

Delay is the total time required for the service message to reach the intended subscribers. Packet delivery ratio is the ratio of the total message delivered to that of the total message transmitted.

Fig. 3 displays the delay calculation for scenario 1 by varying the mobility of the mobile brokers from 20 m/s to 100 m/s by varying in 20 steps. From the figures, it is evident that delay is considerably reduced for mobile brokers compared to that of fixed brokers. However, the delay increases after the speed of the mobile broker increase after 80 m/s speed. However, it is found that the delay increases gradually as the mobility crosses 80 m/s.

Fig. 4 shows the packet delivery ratio of the scenario 1. It is found that the packet delivery ratio is appreciably good when the mobility is between 20 m/s and 60 m/s. After 60 m/s, the packet delivery ratio reduces. Similar simulation is also performed using scenario 2, Mobile broker, Mobile subscribers vs fixed broker, Mobile subscribers.

Fig. 5 shows the delay calculation for scenario 2 by varying the mobility from 20 m/s to 100 m/s. From the figure, it is depicted that, the delay decreases till the mobility is around 60 m/s. As the mobility increases for both broker and subscribers, the delay also increases.

Fig. 6 shows that the packet delivery ratio is high when the mobility is between 20 m/s and 60 m/s. However, when the mobility increases for both the broker and subscribers, there is slight depletion in the packet delivery ratio. From the analysis results, it is clear that improvised mobility has to be introduced to
regularise the data delivery between the broker and the subscribers which can be dealt with as future work.

4.1. Performance analysis on data acquisition latency

The data aware trajectory planning technique has been compared with virtual grid based (VGB) data collection proposed in [13]. Data acquisition latency is measured as the time taken by the mobile broker to collect the available data from all the nodes. The nodes are increased as 50, 100, 150 and 200.

From Fig. 7 it is understood that the VGB technique has considered data collection only at the grid points. However, proposed technique has considered collected data based on the availability with the broker using vertex cover algorithm. This offers optimized data collection on the required points thereby reducing the data collection delay.

5. Conclusion

A distributed mobile broker for the publish-subscribe system has been proposed. This system can be used to access essential services during pandemic situations. Since the brokers are mobile people will be able to access the service in the place where they are available. The proposed system has been tested using a simulation environment evaluating the delay and packet delivery ratio. The technique is also proposed using two scenarios with the mobile broker, fixed subscribers, and mobile broker, mobile subscribers against the fixed broker, subscriber system. The mobile broker technique shows improved performance analysis compared with the fixed broker system. The proposed technique can be improvised by defining mobility patterns for mobile brokers.
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