Embryonic stem cells can self-renew and differentiate, holding great promise for regenerative medicine. They also employ multiple mechanisms to preserve the integrity of their genomes. Nucleotide excision repair, a versatile repair mechanism, removes bulky DNA adducts from the genome. However, the dynamics of the capacity of nucleotide excision repair during stem cell differentiation remain unclear. Here, using immunoslot blot assay, we measured repair rates of UV-induced DNA damage during differentiation of human embryonic carcinoma (NTera-2) cells into neurons and muscle cells. Our results revealed that the capacity of nucleotide excision repair increases as cell differentiation progresses. We also found that inhibition of the apoptotic signaling pathway has no effect on nucleotide excision repair capacity.
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The genome of all living organisms is constantly assaulted by a variety of endogenous and exogenous DNA damaging agents. Cells possess elaborate DNA repair mechanisms to cope with various types of DNA damage. Nucleotide excision repair, one of these repair mechanisms, removes a wide range of bulky and helix-distorting lesions, including UV radiation–induced cis-syn cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs) and (6–4) pyrimidine-pyrimidine photoproducts ((6–4)PPs), and chemical carcinogens (e.g. benzo[a]pyrene) and cancer chemotherapeutics (e.g. cisplatin)–induced bulky DNA adducts. The biochemical mechanism of excision repair reaction has been well-characterized in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, which includes damage recognition, dual incisions bracketing the lesion, release of the excised oligomer, repair synthesis to fill the gap, and ligation. Nucleotide excision repair occurs in two modes, global repair and transcription-coupled repair, which differ only in the damage recognition step. For global repair in humans, six core repair factors, RPA, XPA, XPC, TFIIH (10 subunits including XPB and XPD), XPG, and XPF-ERCC1, are required in the reconstituted system. Specifically, XPF and XPG incise at 19–21 nt 5′ and 5–6 nt 3′ to the lesion, respectively, after damage recognition by cooperative action of XPC, RPA, and XPA and kinetic proofreading by TFIIH, generating predominantly 26- to 27-nt-long excised oligomers. Then, DNA Pol ε resynthesizes the excised fragment and DNA ligase I or XRCC1-ligase III complex seals the 3′ nick. For human transcription-coupled repair, CSB translocase recognizes the stalling of elongating RNA polymerase II at a lesion in the transcribed strand and recruits the repair machinery except for XPC to carry out the subsequent excision repair reaction.

Embryonic stem (ES) cells are derived from the inner mass of embryos at the blastocyst stage of development. Because of...
their two unique characteristics, self-renewal and pluripotency, ES cells hold great promise for therapeutic purposes for a wide range of human diseases. Maintenance of genome integrity is crucial for ES cells in view of normal embryo development and therapeutic transplantation. In response to DNA damage, ES cells employ multiple strategies: apoptosis, senescence, DNA repair, and translesion DNA synthesis (19). There is compelling evidence to suggest that stem cells have different priorities in the use of various DNA damage counteracting strategies depending on cell type, differentiation stage, and type of DNA damage (20–24). Although the role of nucleotide excision repair in stem cells and terminally differentiated cells has been investigated in various studies (25–29), the main picture emerging from these studies is blurred and often contradictory.

The well-characterized human embryonic carcinoma cell line NTERA-2 (NT2), resembling ES cells closely, can be induced to differentiate into neurons and muscle cells by retinoic acid (RA) and bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2), respectively (30, 31). In the present study, we utilized NT2 cells to investigate the effects of variable differentiation stages and lineages on nucleotide excision repair. We find that UV resistance and nucleotide excision repair capacity increase along with differentiation of NT2 cells into neurons and muscle cells. We also find that inhibition of the massive apoptosis that has been reported to occur in ES cells has no effect on the repair of UV-induced DNA damage, suggesting the apoptotic signaling pathway does not contribute to the low nucleotide excision repair capacity in the undifferentiated NT2 cells. Furthermore, we show that the expression levels of six core nucleotide excision repair factors, except for RPA and TFIIH, also gradually increase during the differentiation of NT2 cells into the two types of cells.

Results

Differentiation of NT2 cells into neurons and muscle cells

To investigate the effects of distinct differentiation stages and lineages on nucleotide excision repair, we first tested the induction of neurons and muscle cells from NT2 cells. The advantages in utilizing the well-characterized NT2 cells are that nucleotide excision repair in cells at various stages of differentiation can be examined in an identical genetic background, and that the induction of neurons and muscle cells from NT2 cells, which therefore could be used in the subsequent nucleotide excision repair and transcriptional profiling studies.

Up-regulation of XPC protein and enhancement of UV resistance upon differentiation of NT2 cells

XPC protein is indispensable for global repair by being essential for DNA damage recognition and recruiting the other excision repair factors (12, 32, 33). It has been shown that XPC physically interacts with RAD23B and CETN2 to form a tight complex, which was reported to function as an OCT4/SOX2 coactivator to enhance expression of pluripotency genes such as NANOG in ES cells (34–36). However, a follow-up study has shown that inducible knockout of the XPC C-terminal region has no impairment on OCT3/4 transcriptional activity and the maintenance of pluripotency (37), casting doubt on the coactivator role of XPC. The intriguing roles of XPC in nucleotide excision repair and potentially in transcriptional regulation prompted us to examine the level of XPC protein in multilineage differentiation of NT2 cells. First, we used XP-C mutant cells and NT2 cells to confirm the specificity of the XPC antibody and verified that XPC protein can be detected in NT2 cells but not in XP-C mutant cells (Fig. 2A). Surprisingly, we found that the level of XPC protein gradually increases during the treatment with RA or BMP-2 for up to 21 days (Fig. 2B). The up-regulation of XPC protein level is more striking during the differentiation of NT2 cells into muscle cells.

ES cells have been reported to be hypersensitive to UV exposure and undergo apoptosis rapidly after UV irradiation to eliminate the damaged cells (27, 38). To investigate the effect of differentiation stage on UV sensitivity, we treated NT2 cells at different stages of neural lineage differentiation with various UV doses and determined their UV sensitivity by resazurin cell viability assay. As expected, undifferentiated NT2 cells have lower cell viability within 24 h after even 2.5 J/m² of UV irradiation, whereas the differentiated NT2 cells became more and

---

**Figure 1. Differentiation of NT2 cells into neurons and muscle cells.**

**A.** NT2 cells were treated with 10 μM RA or 0.1% DMSO for up to 16 days. At the indicated days, whole cell extracts were prepared and analyzed by Western blotting with antibodies against markers of stem cell, neuron, muscle cell, and loading control GAPDH. **B.** differentiation induction was analyzed as in A except that NT2 cells were treated with 30 ng/ml BMP-2 for up to 21 days.

**Table 1.**

| Treatment  | Time (d) | OCT4 | SOX2 | NANOG | LIN28A | KLF4 | NF-L | a-SMA | GAPDH |
|------------|----------|------|------|-------|--------|------|------|-------|-------|
| DMSO       | 0  2  4  6  8  10  12 14 16 | -48  | -48  | -48   | -48    | -63  | -35  | -35   | -35   |
| RA         | 0  2  4  6  8  10  12 14 16 | -48  | -48  | -48   | -48    | -63  | -35  | -35   | -35   |
| BMP-2      | 0  2  5  10 15 21 | -48  | -48  | -48   | -48    | -63  | -35  | -35   | -35   |

---

**Figure 2.**

**A** and **B**. Western blotting of XPC protein expression in differentiated NT2 cells. **A**. Whole cell extracts were prepared and analyzed by Western blotting with antibody against XPC protein. **B**. Whole cell extracts were prepared and analyzed by Western blotting with antibody against α-SM actin (α-SMA) as a loading control. **C**. Immunofluorescent staining of XPC protein in differentiated NT2 cells. **D**. Immunofluorescent staining of α-SM actin (α-SMA) in differentiated NT2 cells.
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more UV resistant with increasing differentiation stage (Fig. 2C). To conclude, we observed up-regulation of XPC protein in multi-lineage differentiation of NT2 cells and found that UV resistance progressively increases during differentiation.

Increase of nucleotide excision repair capacity accompanies multi-lineage differentiation

To determine the effect of RA-induced differentiation on nucleotide excision repair capacity, we measured the repair of (6–4)PPs and CPDs induced by various doses of UV in NT2 cells treated with RA for up to 21 days. Specifically, we irradiated NT2 cells after 0, 5, 10, and 21 days of RA treatment with 2.5, 5, and 10 J/m² of UV and used immunoslot blot assay to quantify the repair rates of (6–4)PPs and CPDs within 24 h. We found that nucleotide excision repair capacity increases progressively during the RA-induced differentiation (Fig. 3). As expected, (6–4)PPs repair, which is almost complete within 4 h, is much faster than the repair of CPDs. The removed fraction of (6–4)PPs in undifferentiated NT2 cells gradually decreases as UV dose increases from 2.5 to 10 J/m², and the rate of removal is significantly slower than differentiated NT2 cells treated with RA for 10 and 21 days (Fig. 3, A–F). For CPD repair, undifferentiated NT2 cells also have lower repair capacity, and the difference between undifferentiated and differentiated NT2 cells after 21 days’ RA treatment reaches statistical significance following UV irradiation at 5 and 10 J/m² doses (Fig. 3, G–L).

As different cellular differentiation lineages will eventually produce different types of terminally differentiated cells with various nucleotide excision repair capacity, the enhancement of nucleotide excision repair observed in the above studies may be unique in the neural lineage differentiation. We therefore determined the dynamics of nucleotide excision repair capacity over differentiation of NT2 cells into muscle cells. Briefly, we treated NT2 cells with 40 ng/ml BMP-2 for 0, 5, 10, and 21 days and measured the removal rate of (6–4)PPs and CPDs after 2.5, 5, and 10 J/m² of UV irradiation by immunoslot blot assay. We found a gradual increase of nucleotide excision repair capacity with increasing differentiation stage (Fig. 4). Compared with undifferentiated NT2 cells, differentiated NT2 cells at day 21 of BMP-2 treatment have statistically significantly higher repair rates of (6–4)PPs and CPDs. In contrast, after different doses of UV irradiation (2.5, 5, and 10 J/m²) repair rates for (6–4)PPs and CPDs in differentiated NT2 cells at day 5 and day 10 of BMP-2 treatment are not statistically significantly different with those in undifferentiated NT2 cells, with the exception of differentiated NT2 cells at day 10 of BMP-2 treatment after 5 J/m² of UV irradiation (Fig. 4, A–L).

Taken together, our results indicate that increase of nucleotide excision repair capacity accompanies differentiation of NT2 cells into neurons and muscle cells. These results suggest that the increase of nucleotide excision repair capacity after differentiation, which is not likely to be confined to the two specific lineages of NT2 cell differentiation, may commonly occur in all lineages of ES cell differentiation.

Inhibition of apoptosis has no effect on nucleotide excision repair capacity

ES cells are highly UV sensitive and undergo massive apoptosis after UV irradiation (39). In response to UV-induced DNA damage, the tumor suppressor p53 and the histone variant H2AX are phosphorylated by ATM and/or ATR kinases (40, 41). In the induction of apoptosis, a variety of cellular proteins are cleaved including the executioner caspase 3 and the DNA repair protein poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP). Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that this large-scale apoptosis dismantles cellular architecture and thus interferes with all essential cellular functions for survival including nucleotide excision repair (27). To test for this potential explanation for

Figure 2. Up-regulation of XPC protein and enhancement of UV resistance during differentiation. A, verification of anti-XPC antibody. Whole cell extracts were prepared from XP-C and NT2 cells, and analyzed by Western blotting with antibodies against XPC and GAPDH. B, Western blots showing up-regulation of XPC protein level during differentiation of NT2 cells into neurons and muscle cells. NT2 cells were treated with 10 μM RA or 40 ng/ml BMP-2 for up to 21 days, and whole cell extracts were prepared at indicated time points and analyzed by Western blotting with anti-XPC antibody. Stain-free total protein staining was used as loading control. C, enhancement of UV resistance in the neural-lineage differentiation. NT2 cells were treated with 10 μM RA for up to 21 days and resazurin cell viability assays were performed within 24 h following 2.5, 5, 10 J/m² UV irradiation at day 0, 5, 10, and 21.
Figure 3. Nucleotide excision repair capacity increases during differentiation of NT2 cells into neurons. A–F, immunoslot blot assays showing repair rates of (6–4)PPs in NT2 cells at various differentiation stages following UV treatment. NT2 cells were irradiated with 2.5, 5, 10 J/m² UV following 0, 5, 10, and 21 days of 10 J/m² RA treatment and repair rates of (6–4)PPs within 4 h following UV irradiation were analyzed by immunoslot blot with anti-(6–4)PP antibody. SYBR gold staining was used to measure total loading DNA, and (6–4)PP signals were normalized to total DNA and plotted as a function of time. Results shown are the mean ± S.E. from three biological replicates, where error bars denote S.E. Statistical differences were tested by two-way ANOVA, followed by pairwise multiple comparisons. Significance from the post hoc Bonferroni multiple comparison test is indicated (*, p ≤ 0.05; **, p ≤ 0.01; ***, p ≤ 0.001; ****, p ≤ 0.0001). Statistical significances are denoted by asterisk in red, pink, and orange colors for differences between 21 days and 0 days, 10 days and 0 days, and 5 days and 0 days, respectively. G–L, repair rates of CPDs in NT2 cells at various differentiation stages within 24 h following UV treatment were analyzed and presented as in A–F except that anti-CPD antibody was used.

Figure 4. Nucleotide excision repair capacity increases during differentiation of NT2 cells into muscle cells. A–F, repair rates of (6–4)PPs in NT2 cells at various differentiation stages following UV treatment were analyzed and presented as in Fig. 3. A–F except that 40 ng/ml BMP-2 was used to induce differentiation. G–L, repair rates of CPDs in NT2 cells at various differentiation stages following UV treatment were analyzed and presented as in Fig. 3. G–L except that 40 ng/ml BMP-2 was used to induce differentiation.
low repair activity, we used Z-VAD-FMK (42), an irreversible pan-caspase inhibitor, to validate the inhibition of apoptosis following UV treatment in undifferentiated and differentiated NT2 cells at day 21 of RA treatment, and then we measured repair rates for (6–4)PPs and CPDs, caused by 10 J/m² of UV irradiation, within 24 h in undifferentiated and differentiated NT2 cells with or without inhibition of apoptosis. Compared with terminally differentiated cells, ES cells are more vulnerable to apoptosis. As expected, phosphorylation of tumor suppressor p53 on Ser-15 and histone variant H2AX on Ser-139 and cleavage of caspase 3 and PARP in undifferentiated NT2 cells were higher than those in differentiated NT2 cells after UV treatment (Fig. 5A). In contrast, as apoptotic signaling pathway was blocked by Z-VAD-FMK inhibitor, cleaved caspase 3 and PARP were barely detectable (Fig. 5B). Because Z-VAD-FMK has no effect on phosphorylation of p53 and H2AX, the dynamics of phosphorylation of p53 on Ser-15 and H2AX on Ser-139 were similar in the presence and absence of apoptosis inhibitor (Fig. 5B). Importantly, we found that inhibition of apoptosis does not significantly change the repair rates for (6–4)PPs and CPDs in undifferentiated and differentiated NT2 cells (Fig. 5, C–F).

Our results, together with cell viability assays, confirmed that after UV irradiation undifferentiated NT2 cells undergo massive apoptosis, whereas differentiated cells have little or no induction of apoptosis. Furthermore, our findings indicated that inhibition of the apoptosis signaling pathway has no effect on nucleotide excision repair capacity.

**Transcriptional regulation of core nucleotide excision repair factors in multi-lineage differentiation**

Although we have found that the XPC protein level increases as differentiation progresses and that the inhibition of apoptosis does not affect nucleotide excision repair capacity, the molecular mechanisms underlying the gradual increase of nucleotide excision repair capacity during multi-lineage differentiation of NT2 cells remain poorly understood. Regulation of one or more repair factors, which play a rate-limiting role in nucleotide excision repair (e.g. XPA), may contribute to the changes of repair capacity during multi-lineage differentiation. However, it is impractical to measure levels of all factors related to excision repair by Western blotting, because of lack of reliable antibodies for all excision repair proteins.

We therefore performed transcriptomic analysis by RNA-Seq and profiled transcriptional dynamics along the differentiation trajectory of the genes associated with nucleotide excision repair during multi-lineage differentiation of NT2 cells (Fig. 6). Consistent with our differentiation induction results shown in...
Fig. 1, we observed gradual shutdown of stem cell marker genes (OCT4, SOX2, NANOG, LIN28A, and KLF4) and up-regulation of marker genes specific to the neural and epithelial lineage (NEFL and ACTA2) (Fig. S1). Interestingly, genes coding for XPA, XPC, XPG, and XPF-ERCC1 were up-regulated progressively during the multi-lineage differentiation (Fig. 6A). In contrast, RPA1, RPA2, and RPA3, the three subunits of RPA complex, were down-regulated in the multi-lineage differentiation process (Fig. 6A). We also observed that genes coding for the 10 subunits of TFIIH complex including XPB and XPD were down-regulated although there were no statistically significant differences for some genes of the TFIIH complex (Fig. 6A). In addition, CSB and DDB2 were up-regulated but CSA and DDB1 were down-regulated (Fig. 6B).

Taken together, our transcriptomic analysis showed that expression levels of XPA, XPC, XPG, and XPF-ERCC1 increased, but those of RPA and TFIIH decreased during the multi-lineage differentiation process. As terminally differentiated neurons and muscle cells are nondividing, the down-regulation of both RPA and TFIIH during differentiation is likely because of the corresponding decrease in DNA replication and transcription. Therefore, our results suggest that the up-regulation of XPA, XPC, XPG, and XPF-ERCC1, together with some other nucleotide excision repair-related genes such as CSB and DDB2, may contribute to the gradual increase of nucleotide excision repair capacity during multi-lineage differentiation of NT2 cells.

**Discussion**

ES cells, which are rapidly dividing and self-renewing, are essential for embryo development. They are generally thought to have better capability to cope with attacks on the genome compared with nondividing, terminally differentiated cells (43).

In this study, we monitored the dynamic changes of nucleotide excision repair capacity at various stages of differentiation of human embryonic carcinoma cells (NT2) into neurons and muscle cells. Our results showed that nucleotide excision repair capacity gradually increases as differentiation progresses. We found that XPC protein level increases along with differentiation toward the two different lineages as well. We confirmed that undifferentiated stem cells are more UV sensitive than differentiated cells and undergo apoptosis rapidly after UV irradiation. Our RNA-Seq data analysis indicated that, of all six core nucleotide excision repair factors, XPA, XPC, XPG, and XPF-ERCC1 were up-regulated, but RPA and TFIIH were down-regulated during multi-lineage differentiation. The accompanied increase of nucleotide excision repair capacity over the differentiation course may be explained by the up-regulation of expression levels for the four core repair factors. ES cells utilize multiple strategies, including apoptosis, senescence, DNA repair and translesion DNA synthesis, to preserve the genomic integrity upon DNA damage (19).

Our findings suggest that stem cells might primarily use apoptotic pathway to eliminate cells containing massively damaged DNA, whereas postmitotic differentiated cells, which have lost self-renewal capacity, mainly employ nucleotide excision repair to cope with DNA damage.

Unlike somatic cells, ES cells have unique cell cycle features: a short G1 phase and deficiency in G1/S checkpoint (44). Upon UV irradiation, these unique features will allow ES cells containing damaged DNA enter the S phase, which will ultimately cause apoptosis. This may explain the massive apoptosis occurring after UV irradiation in stem cells. Moreover, the strong apoptotic response in ES cells was speculated to impair the nucleotide excision repair activity (27, 39). However, in our study we used an irreversible pan-caspase inhibitor to block the apoptosis pathway and found there was essentially no significant difference in repair capacities between apoptosis...
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inhibitor–treated and control groups. As this apoptosis inhibitor used in our study only targets caspases, we cannot exclude the possibility that very early apoptotic signals may interfere with nucleotide excision repair activity instead.

A variety of studies (22, 26–29) comparing nucleotide excision repair capacity between stem cells and differentiated cells showed discrepant results. Those discrepancies may originate from the different extents of differentiation caused by different differentiation induction procedures and repair rate measurement techniques. In our studies, we monitored the repair rates of UV damage at various stages of differentiation and in two distinct differentiation lineages. With the advancement of high-throughput sequencing-based methods for mapping of DNA damage and repair (45–50), the nucleotide-resolution genome-wide DNA damage formation and repair profiles in stem cells and differentiated cells can be achieved and analyzed in future studies to better understand the molecular mechanisms underlying the dynamics of nucleotide excision repair capacity over differentiation process.

Experimental procedures

Cell lines, culture conditions, and differentiation induction

The NTERA-2 cl.D1 (NT2/D1) cell line was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). XP-C (XP4PA-SV-EB, GM15983) cells, a human skin fibroblast cell line, were purchased from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences Human Genetic Cell Repository (Coriell Institute). All cell lines were cultured in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 humidified incubator.

For induction of NT2 cells into neurons, 10 mM all-trans RA (Sigma), dissolved in DMSO, was added into the culture medium at a final concentration of 10 μM. BMP-2 (GenScript) at a final concentration of 30 ng/ml was firstly used to test the muscle cell induction, and then 40 ng/ml BMP-2 was used in later experiments. As a negative control, DMSO was added into the culture medium for a final concentration of 0.1%. NT2 cells were treated with differentiation induction agents or DMSO for up to 21 days, and the culture medium was changed every 2 days.

Antibodies and reagents

The iPS Cell Reprogramming Antibody Kit (9092s), containing antibodies against stem cell markers (OCT4, SOX2, NANOG, LIN28A, and KLF4), and antibodies against NF-L (2837), cleaved caspase-3 (9661), PARP (9542), phosphor-p53 (Ser-15; 9284), and phosphor-H2AX (Ser-139; 9718) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. The other primary antibodies included antibodies against XPC (sc-74410) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; α-SMA (A2547) from Sigma; GAPDH (GTX627408) from GeneTex; CPDs (NMDND001) and (6–4)PPs (NMDND002) from Cosmo Bio. The secondary antibodies including peroxidase-linked anti-mouse IgG (NA931V) and anti-rabbit IgG (NA934V) were purchased from GE Healthcare. The pan-caspase inhibitor Z-VAD-FMK (trilvad) was obtained from InvivoGen.

UV irradiation

After treatment with different agents, culture medium was removed and cells were then exposed to 254-nm UV light (1 J/m²/sec) from a GE germicidal lamp for 2.5, 5, and 10 s. Then, culture medium was added and cells were further incubated in the chamber. At different time points as indicated, cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS, scraped from the Petri dish, and collected by centrifugation.

Western blotting

Cells were lysed for 10 min on ice in RIPA buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF) containing protease inhibitors (Roche Applied Science). Then, cell lysates were sonicated for 30 s, and equal amounts of cell lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membrane, and then probed by the indicated antibodies. 10% StainFree Precast Gels (Bio-Rad) were used and gel loading control was done by stain-free detection following the manufacturer’s instructions. Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas) was used to determine molecular weight. Chemiluminescence signals were visualized with ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagent (GE Amersham Bioscience) by using a ChemiDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad). All experiments were repeated three times and representative results are shown.

Cell viability assay

To assess cell viability, cells were seeded in 96-well plates and subjected to 0, 2.5, 5, or 10 J/m² dose of UV exposure after 24 h incubation. Cells were then incubated at 37 °C in an incubator for up to 24 h. During the incubation, resazurin (Sigma) was added into the culture medium to a final concentration of 0.02%, and cells were incubated for 4 h prior to the measurement of resazurin reduction by SpectraMax M3 microplate reader (Molecular Devices). After subtraction of background signal, the cell viability was expressed as the percentage of signal intensity relative to cells without UV treatment. All experiments have three biological replicates and each sample was assayed in triplicates. Mean values ± S.D. were plotted (Fig. 2C).

Immunoslot blot assay

Repair of UV-induced (6–4)PPs and CPDs was measured as described previously (51). Briefly, cells after treatment were harvested at the indicated time points and genomic DNA was extracted with a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen). An equal amount of genomic DNA (250 ng for (6–4)PPs and 100 ng for CPDs) was immobilized onto a nitrocellulose membrane by using a Bio-Dot SF apparatus (Bio-Rad) and probed with anti-(6–4)PP or anti-CPD antibody. The peroxidase-linked anti-mouse IgG was used as a secondary antibody and chemiluminescence signals were detected with ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagent (GE Amersham Bioscience) by using a ChemiDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad). SYBR gold staining was then applied to the membrane as gel loading control. All signal intensities were quantified by Image Lab Software (Bio-Rad). All experiments were repeated three times and represen-
tative results are shown. Estimated mean ± S.E. for each group, as well as results from two-way ANOVA analysis, followed by post hoc pairwise multiple comparisons, were plotted using Prism 6 (GraphPad Software) and shown in Figs. 3 and 4.

**RNA-seq and transcriptomic analysis**

NT2 cells were harvested at different time points (day 0, 5, 10, and 21) after treatment with RA or BMP-2. Total RNA was then isolated using RNA Reagent (Invitrogen) and PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each sample has two biological replicates with a total of 14 samples sequenced (Table S1). Purification of mRNA, library preparation and paired-end sequencing (2 × 150 bp) on a HiSeq 4000 platform (Illumina) were performed by Novogene.

Reads from RNA-seq were aligned using STAR (52), followed by a filtering step to remove (i) reads with mapping quality less than 20, (ii) read pairs with unexpected orientations and greater than 500-Kb mapping distance between the read pair, and (iii) reads that were mapped to greater than 10 positions in the genome. Read counts for each gene were obtained using FeatureCounts (53). After removing genes with zero read counts across all samples and genes without corresponding annotations by Ensembl, we obtained raw read counts of 25,952 across 14 samples. Read counts were further transformed into reads per kilobase million (RPKM) for visualization (Fig. 6; Fig. S1). Pairwise Spearman correlation between samples was calculated using the RPKM of 2000 genes with highest variance and the heat map is shown in Fig. S2. Likelihood ratio test for differential expression analysis across all time points was carried out using DESeq2 (54) for the neuronal and epithelial lineages, respectively, with p values adjusted by the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

**Data and code availability**

All RNA-Seq data in this study have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) with accession number GSE125370. All code used in this study is available at [https://github.com/yuchaojiang/damage_repair/tree/master/NT2](https://github.com/yuchaojiang/damage_repair/tree/master/NT2).
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