Orphanin FQ/nociceptin interacts with the basolateral amygdala noradrenergic system in memory consolidation

Benno Roozendaal,¹,⁵ Ray Lengvillas,¹ James L. McGaugh,¹ Olivier Civelli,²,³ and Rainer K. Reinscheid²

¹Center for the Neurobiology of Learning and Memory and Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, University of California, Irvine, California 92697-3800, USA; ²Department of Pharmacology, College of Medicine, University of California, Irvine, California 92697-4625, USA; ³Department of Developmental and Cell Biology, University of California, Irvine, California 92697-2300, USA; ⁴Program in Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California, Irvine, California 92697-3950, USA

Extensive evidence indicates that the basolateral complex of the amygdala (BLA) mediates hormonal and neurotransmitter effects on the consolidation of emotionally influenced memory and that such modulatory influences involve noradrenergic activation of the BLA. As the BLA also expresses a high density of receptors for orphanin FQ/nociceptin (OFQ/N), an opioid-like peptide with anxiolytic and amnestic properties, the present experiments investigated whether the BLA is involved in mediating OFQ/N effects on memory consolidation and whether such effects require noradrenergic activity. OFQ/N (0.01–100 pmol in 0.2 µL) administered bilaterally into the BLA of male Sprague-Dawley rats immediately after aversively motivated inhibitory avoidance training induced dose-dependent impairment on a 48-h retention trial. The β-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol (2.0 nmol) administered concurrently into the BLA potentiated the dose-response effects of OFQ/N. In contrast, immediate post-training infusions of the peptidergic OFQ/N receptor antagonist [Nphe¹]nociceptin(H³)NH₂ (1–100 pmol in 0.2 µL) into the BLA enhanced 48-h retention of inhibitory avoidance training, an effect that was blocked by coadministration of atenolol. Delayed infusions of OFQ/N or [Nphe¹]nociceptin(H³)NH₂ into the BLA administered either 6 or 3 h after training, respectively, or immediate post-training infusions of OFQ/N into the adjacent central amygdala did not significantly alter retention performance. These findings indicate that endogenously released OFQ/N interacts with noradrenergic activity within the BLA in modulating memory consolidation.

Although OFQ/N has been reported to reduce excitability of amygdala neurons (Meis and Pape 1998, 2001), studies have not, as yet, investigated whether the amygdala is involved in mediating OFQ/N effects on learning and memory. It has long been known that the basolateral complex of the amygdala (BLA; consisting of the lateral, basal, and accessory basal nuclei) is an important brain region involved in mediating drug effects on long-term memory formation (McGaugh 2000). Immediate post-training infusions of opioids or their antagonists into the BLA as well as drugs affecting a wide variety of other neurotransmitter systems modulate the consolidation of long-term memory (Galagher and Kapp 1978; Introuni-Collison et al. 1989; McGaugh 2004). The BLA is particularly involved in regulating memory consolidation of emotionally arousing experiences, and interactions of neuromodulatory systems with training-induced noradrenergic activity within the BLA may form the basis of this selectivity (McIntyre et al. 2003; McGaugh 2004; Roozendaal et al. 2006). For example, a β-adrenoceptor antagonist administered either peripherally or selectively into the BLA attenuates the memory-modulatory effects of many drugs (Izquierdo and McGaugh 1985; McGaugh et al. 1988; Introuni-Collison et al. 1989; McGaugh 2004). Furthermore, peripheral administration of drugs that enhance memory consolidation, including the opioid receptor antagonist naloxone, increases training-induced release of norepinephrine in the BLA, whereas drugs that impair memory consolidation, including β-endorphin, are known to decrease amygdala norepinephrine levels (Quirarte et al. 1998; Hatfield et al. 1999). Interestingly, peripheral administration of the nonpeptide competitive NOP receptor antagonist J-113397 tonically increases norepinephrine levels in the amygdala, and this
increase is strongly suppressed by local infusions of OFQ/N into the amygdala (Kawahara et al. 2004).

The present experiments investigated whether the OFQ/N-NOP system in the BLA influences memory consolidation and whether these effects depend on interactions with the noradrenergic system. In a first experiment, different doses of OFQ/N were administered into the BLA either alone or together with the β1-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol immediately after aversively motivated inhibitory avoidance training. Retention was tested 48 h after the training trial. A second experiment investigated the effects of intra-BLA infusions of the peptidergic NOP receptor antagonist [Nphe1]nociceptin(1-13)NH2 administered either alone or together with atenolol immediately after inhibitory avoidance training to determine whether OFQ/N is endogenously released in the BLA and normally plays a role in memory consolidation. To control for time and site specificity, other groups of rats received intra-BLA infusions of OFQ/N or the NOP receptor antagonist 3 or 6 h after training or immediate post-training infusions of OFQ/N into the neighboring central amygdala (CEA).

Results

Post-training intra-BLA infusions of OFQ/N impair inhibitory avoidance retention performance: Involvement of β-adrenergic mechanisms

This experiment investigated whether OFQ/N infused into the BLA immediately after inhibitory avoidance training would impair memory consolidation and whether coadministration of a β-adrenoceptor antagonist would alter the dose-response effects of OFQ/N. Average step-through latencies for all groups during training, before footshock or drug treatment, were 13.5 ± 0.6 sec (mean ± SEM). Two-way ANOVA for training latencies revealed no significant differences between groups (for all comparisons: P ≥ 0.22). Forty-eight-hour retention latencies of rats infused with saline into the BLA immediately after training were significantly longer than their latencies during the training trial (264.8 ± 60.9 sec; paired t-test: P < 0.01), indicating that the rats retained memory of the shock experience. As shown in Figure 1A, immediate post-training infusions of OFQ/N into the BLA induced dose-dependent retention impairment, and atenolol potentiated the dose-response effects of OFQ/N. A two-way ANOVA for retention latencies revealed no atenolol effect (F1,126 = 0.08; P = 0.77), but a significant OFQ/N effect (F5,126 = 2.62; P < 0.05) and a significant interaction between both factors (F5,126 = 5.15; P < 0.005). OFQ/N induced dose-dependent impairment of retention latencies. Retention latencies of rats given post-training infusions of 1, 10, or 100 pmol of OFQ/N were significantly shorter than those of rats given saline (1 and 10 pmol: P < 0.01; 100 pmol: P < 0.05). Lower doses of OFQ/N (0.01 and 0.1 pmol) did not significantly impair retention (P ≥ 0.52). The specific β1-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol infused into the BLA immediately after inhibitory avoidance training did not impair retention latencies when administered alone (P = 0.49), but shifted the dose-response effects of OFQ/N to the left. In rats given atenolol, the two lower doses of OFQ/N (0.01 and 0.1 pmol) significantly impaired retention performance (both, P < 0.01), whereas higher doses were ineffective. Retention latencies of rats given either the 0.01- or 0.1-pmol dose of OFQ/N into the BLA together with atenolol were significantly shorter compared to those of rats treated with OFQ/N alone (0.01 pmol: P < 0.01; 0.1 pmol: P < 0.01), whereas retention latencies of rats treated with the 10-pmol dose of OFQ/N and atenolol were significantly longer than those of rats given OFQ/N alone (P < 0.01).

To examine whether OFQ/N induced retention deficits by impairing the consolidation phase of memory processing, other groups of rats received intra-BLA infusions of OFQ/N (1 pmol) or saline 3 or 6 h after training. As shown in Figure 1B, retention latencies of rats given infusions of OFQ/N 3 h after training were significantly shorter than those of rats administered saline at that time (unpaired t-test: P < 0.01). However, retention latencies of rats given infusions of OFQ/N 6 h after training did not differ significantly from those of saline controls (P = 0.67). Additional infusions of OFQ/N were made into the neighboring CEA to assess the site-specificity of OFQ/N action. Retention latencies of rats receiving intra-CEA infusions of OFQ/N (1 pmol) immediately after training did not differ from those receiving saline into the CEA (P = 0.48) (Fig. 1C).

Post-training intra-BLA infusions of the NOP receptor antagonist [Nphe1]nociceptin(1-3)NH2 enhance inhibitory avoidance retention performance: Involvement of β-adrenergic mechanisms

In this experiment, we examined whether blockade of NOP receptors in the BLA with post-training infusions of the peptidergic

![Figure 1](https://www.learnmem.org/article_images/figure1.png)

**Figure 1.** Step-through latencies (mean ± SEM) in seconds on a 48-h inhibitory avoidance retention test. (A) Immediate post-training infusions of OFQ/N (0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, or 100 pmol in 0.2 µL) into the BLA dose-dependently impaired memory consolidation. The β1-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol (2 nmol) administered concurrently into the BLA shifted the dose-response effects of OFQ/N to the left (n = 9–14 rats per group). (B) Delayed infusions of OFQ/N (1 pmol in 0.2 µL) into the BLA administered 3 h after training impaired memory consolidation, but OFQ/N given 6 h after training did not impair memory consolidation (n = 7–10 rats per group). (C) Immediate post-training infusion of OFQ/N (1 pmol in 0.2 µL) into the CEA did not impair memory consolidation (n = 5–6 rats per group). (∗) P < 0.05; (∗∗) P < 0.01 compared with the corresponding saline group; (∗×) P < 0.05; (∗∗×) P < 0.01 compared with the corresponding OFQ/N group.
receptor antagonist \([\text{Nphe}^1]\text{nociceptin}(1-13)\text{NH}_2\) would enhance memory consolidation of inhibitory avoidance training and whether concurrent blockade of \(\beta\)-adrenceptors would modify this memory enhancement. A two-way ANOVA for training latencies, before footshock or drug treatment, showed no significant differences between groups (for all comparisons: \(P = 0.14\)). Retention latencies of control rats treated with saline were significantly longer than their entrance latencies during training (\(P < 0.01\)), indicating that this lower-intensity footshock also induced long-term memory. Post-training infusions of \([\text{Nphe}^1]\text{nociceptin}(1-13)\text{NH}_2\) into the BLA dose-dependently enhanced retention latencies, and atenolol blocked the memory enhancement induced by the NOP receptor antagonist. A two-way ANOVA for retention latencies revealed significant NOP receptor antagonist (\(F_{1,67} = 5.18, P < 0.005\)) and atenolol effects (\(F_{1,67} = 13.39, P < 0.0005\)), as well as a significant interaction between both factors (\(F_{1,67} = 5.45, P < 0.005\)). As shown in Figure 2A, the 10-pmol dose of \([\text{Nphe}^1]\text{nociceptin}(1-13)\text{NH}_2\) significantly enhanced retention latencies (\(P < 0.01\)), whereas the lower (1 pmol) or higher (100 pmol) doses were ineffective (\(P \geq 0.45\)). Atenolol alone did not significantly influence retention latencies as compared to saline-injected rats (\(P = 0.23\)), but blocked the retention enhancement induced by the NOP receptor antagonist. Rats treated with the 10-pmol dose of \([\text{Nphe}^1]\text{nociceptin}(1-13)\text{NH}_2\) together with atenolol had significantly shorter retention latencies than rats treated only with this dose of the NOP receptor antagonist (\(P < 0.01\)) and did not differ from those of rats treated with either saline or atenolol (\(P \geq 0.48\)).

As shown in Figure 2B, delayed infusions of \([\text{Nphe}^1]\text{nociceptin}(1-13)\text{NH}_2\) (10 pmol) administered 3 h after inhibitory avoidance training did not significantly enhance retention performance as compared to their saline-treated counterparts (unpaired \(t\)-test: \(P = 0.84\)).

**Histology**

Representative photomicrographs of needle tracks terminating within the BLA and CEA are shown in Figure 3, A and B, respectively. Infusion needle tips were found throughout the BLA or CEA, respectively. Only rats with needle tips within the bound-

| Figure 2. | Step-through latencies (mean + SEM) in seconds on a 48-h inhibitory avoidance retention test. (A) Immediate post-training infusions of the NOP receptor antagonist \([\text{Nphe}^1]\text{nociceptin}(1-13)\text{NH}_2\), (1, 10, or 100 pmol in 0.2 \(\mu\)L) dose-dependently enhanced memory consolidation. The \(\beta\)-adrenceptor antagonist atenolol (2 nmol) administered concurrently into the BLA blocked the enhancing effects of \([\text{Nphe}^1]\text{nociceptin}(1-13)\text{NH}_2\) (\(n = 8\)–12 rats per group). (B) Delayed infusions of \([\text{Nphe}^1]\text{nociceptin}(1-13)\text{NH}_2\) (10 pmol in 0.2 \(\mu\)L) administered into the BLA 3 h after training did not enhance memory consolidation (\(n = 8\)–10 rats per group). (**) \(P < 0.01\) compared with the corresponding saline group; (•••) \(P < 0.01\) compared with the corresponding \([\text{Nphe}^1]\text{nociceptin}(1-13)\text{NH}_2\) group.

**Figure 3.** Representative photomicrographs illustrating needle tracks terminating in the BLA (A) and CEA (B). The arrow points to the needle tip. The gray area in the diagram represents the different nuclei of the basolateral complex. (AB) Accessory basal nucleus; (B) basal nucleus; (L) lateral nucleus.

**Discussion**

The major findings of these experiments are that post-training infusions of OFQ/N into the BLA induced dose- and time-dependent impairment of 48-h inhibitory avoidance retention performance and that the \(\beta\)-adrenceptor antagonist atenolol coinfused with OFQ/N into the BLA potentiated the dose-response effects of OFQ/N on memory impairment. In contrast, post-training blockade of NOP receptors with the receptor antagonist \([\text{Nphe}^1]\text{nociceptin}(1-13)\text{NH}_2\) enhanced inhibitory avoidance retention performance, and atenolol blocked the memory-enhancing effects of \([\text{Nphe}^1]\text{nociceptin}(1-13)\text{NH}_2\). These findings indicate that the BLA is involved in mediating the impairing effects of endogenous OFQ/N on memory of an averse training experience and that these effects involve interactions with noradrenergic mechanisms within the BLA. Consistent with the findings of many previous pharmacological studies of memory, whereas moderate doses of OFQ/N and the NOP receptor antagonist impaired and enhanced retention performance, respectively, lower and higher doses were ineffective. The mechanism underlying this dose-response effect is unknown, but the generality of such a bell-shaped curve across drug systems (e.g., Brioni et al. 1989) strongly suggests that the ineffectiveness of higher doses in the present study is not caused by any specific characteristics of OFQ/N or the antagonist, such as desensitization of the NOP receptor or residual agonistic action of the antagonist.

The finding that OFQ/N administered into the BLA immediately after inhibitory avoidance training dose-dependently impaired performance on a 48-h retention trial is consistent with that of previous reports indicating that OFQ/N administered either peripherally or into the lateral ventricles shortly before training impaired performance on this or other memory tasks (Hiramatsu and Inoue 1999; Higgins et al. 2002). Moreover, the finding that the NOP receptor antagonist enhanced later retention is consistent with that of previous studies examining the effects on memory of mice lacking either OFQ/N or the NOP receptor (Manabe et al. 1998; Higgins et al. 2002). However, because most of these previous studies used pre-training adminis-
vation of OFQ/N or genetically altered animals, such findings do not allow any conclusion with respect to whether OFQ/N altered performance by influencing memory consolidation. As OFQ/N is known to affect behavior via influences on, for example, stress vulnerability, anxiety, locomotion, and nociception (Mogil and Pasternak 2001), it is possible that OFQ/N administered before training may alter acquisition or retention performance by influencing such non-mnemonic processes. Importantly, in the present study, OFQ/N and the NOP receptor antagonist were administered immediately after the footshock training. Thus, the retention performance effects cannot be attributed to such nonspecific effects on acquisition. Moreover, as delayed infusions of these agents administered several hours after training were ineffective, the findings provide evidence that OFQ/N and its antagonist modulated time-dependent processes underlying the consolidation of memory of the training. An interesting finding was that OFQ/N administered 3 h after training induced memory impairment, whereas the antagonist administered 3 h after training was ineffective in enhancing memory consolidation. Such findings would indicate that the BLA is activated for at least 3 h after aversive stimulation (see Pelletier et al. 2005) and the OFQ/N or its antagonist infused during this period is able to attenuate memory consolidation. Our results also indicate that the memory-modulatory effects of endogenous OFQ/N released into the BLA might be significantly shorter since delayed infusions (3 h post-training) of the NOP antagonist to block endogenously released OFQ/N activity were ineffective. Alternatively, these findings may suggest that pharmacological attempts to increase BLA activity are only successful when administered shortly after training coinciding with training-induced neurotransmitter, possibly norepinephrine, release.

OFQ/N or its receptor antagonist influenced memory consolidation when administered selectively into the BLA, but not into the adjacent CEA. Such findings are consistent with extensive prior evidence demonstrating memory modulation induced by selective intra-BLA infusions of drugs affecting other neuromodulatory systems (e.g., Tomaz et al. 1993; Parent and McGaugh 1994; Roozendaal and McGaugh 1997; Ma et al. 2005) as well as with evidence that lesions of the BLA, but not the CEA, block the memory-modulatory effects of peripherally administered drugs (Tomaz et al. 1992; Roozendaal and McGaugh 1996). Such a selective involvement of the BLA in memory modulation is also in agreement with both anatomical and functional evidence indicating that neuromodulatory mechanisms within the BLA regulate memory consolidation processes via an extensive network of BLA projections to other brain regions, including the hippocampus and several cortical regions (McGaugh 2004). Both the BLA and the CEA have OFQ/N binding sites and express NOP mRNA, but the density in the BLA is higher (Neal Jr. et al. 1999a). Immunocytochemical studies revealed that the BLA does not appear to have any neurons expressing OFQ/N, but appears to have a moderate density of OFQ/N-positive fibers and nerve terminals (Neal Jr. et al. 1999b). As the CEA has a high density of OFQ/N-expressing neurons, the peptide may be axonally transported from the CEA to the BLA. Although the present findings provide strong support for the view that OFQ/N is endogenously released in the BLA, it is presently not known whether this release is tonic or triggered by (aversive) training experiences.

OFQ/N-induced impairment of memory consolidation suggests that OFQ/N inhibits BLA neuronal activity. Consistent with this idea, the NOP receptor is a G-protein-coupled membrane receptor that has an inhibitory influence on adenylyl cyclase activity and the formation of the second messenger cAMP (Meis and Pape 1998). This electrical effect of OFQ/N is blocked by administration of a G-protein inhibitor. Blockade of β-adrenoceptors in the BLA with infusions of atenolol modified the memory-modulatory effects of OFQ/N and its receptor antagonist. As norepinephrine stimulates cAMP accumulation via β-adrenoceptor activation on stimulatory G proteins (Perkins and Moore 1973), it is possible that these two systems interact at the level of adenylyl cyclase. There is evidence that the cAMP-PIA signaling pathway is implicated in memory consolidation and mediates the effects of several neuromodulatory systems on memory consolidation (Liang et al. 1995; Ferry et al. 1999; Roozendaal et al. 2002).

In addition to such postsynaptic mechanisms, recent findings suggest that OFQ/N may also interact with the noradrenergic system by regulating the release of norepinephrine into the BLA. As indicated, peripheral administration of a NOP receptor antagonist tonically increases norepinephrine levels in the BLA, whereas local administration of OFQ/N strongly suppresses this increase (Kawahara et al. 2004). Furthermore, infusions of OFQ/N alone or norepinephrine into the BLA decreased (see Neal Jr. et al. 2005) and these findings fit with evidence from our laboratory indicating that other memory-enhancing drugs (e.g., naloxone, epinephrine, picotoxin, and corticosterone) increase norepinephrine levels in the amygdala, whereas drugs that impair memory consolidation (e.g., β-endorphin and muscimol) decrease amygdala norepinephrine levels (McGaugh et al. 1996; Quiarte et al. 1998; Williams et al. 1998; Hatfield et al. 1999; McIntyre et al. 2004). It has been suggested that NOP receptors may also be localized presynaptically in the BLA (see Neal Jr. et al. 1999a; Meis and Pape 2001). As training on an emotionally arousing task can induce the local synthesis of norepinephrine in noradrenergic terminals in the BLA (Roozendaal et al. 2006), intra-BLA infusions of OFQ/N may regulate the synthesis and/or release of norepinephrine at presynaptic release sites. Additionally, OFQ/N may regulate norepinephrine release indirectly via influences on other neurotransmitters. Meis and Pape (2001) showed that OFQ/N can act at presynaptic sites in the amygdala to regulate the release of GABA and glutamate. As GABA directly reduces the release of norepinephrine in the BLA (Hatfield et al. 1999), such findings open the possibility that OFQ/N may also alter norepinephrine levels in the BLA via a modulation of GABAergic mechanisms.

The finding that the OFQ/N–NOP system interacts with noradrenergic influences within the BLA in influencing memory consolidation is consistent with extensive evidence indicating that the memory-modulatory effects of drugs affecting many other neuromodulatory and hormonal systems, including opioid peptides, corticotropin-releasing hormone, dopamine, epinephrine, and glucocorticoids, rely on noradrenergic activation within the BLA or—as assessed in earlier studies—within the amygdaloid complex (Dias et al. 1979; Liang et al. 1986; Introni-Collison et al. 1989; McGaugh et al. 1996; LaLumiere et al. 2004; McGaugh 2004). Such an interaction with the noradrenergic system may have important consequences for the role of OFQ/N in regulating memory consolidation. In a recent study investigating the effects of adrenocortical stress hormones on memory consolidation, we reported that corticosterone administration selectively enhances the memory of emotionally arousing experiences because of such critical interactions with training-induced noradrenergic activation within the BLA (Roozendaal et al. 2006). Thus, the present finding that OFQ/N and the NOP receptor antagonist interact with noradrenergic activation on memory consolidation suggests that this system may also selectively influence memory for emotionally arousing experiences. Such a role would fit with the broader view that OFQ/N is particularly involved in reducing anxiety and stress vulnerability (Jenck et al. 1997; Köster et al. 2001).
Materials and Methods

Subjects

Male adult Sprague-Dawley rats (280–320 g at time of surgery) from the Charles River Breeding Laboratories were kept individually in a temperature-controlled (22°C) colony room and maintained on a standard 12-h light/12-h dark cycle (07:00–19:00 h lights on) with ad libitum access to food and water. Training and testing were performed during the light phase of the cycle between 10:00 and 14:00 h. All procedures were performed in compliance with the National Institutes of Health guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California, Irvine.

Surgery

Animals, adapted to the vivarium for at least 1 wk, were anesthetized with a sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg of body weight, i.p.) and given atropine sulfate (0.4 mg/kg, i.p.) to maintain respiration, and were subsequently injected with 3.0 mL of saline to facilitate clearance of these drugs and prevent dehydration. The skull was positioned in a stereotaxic frame (Kopf Instruments), and two stainless-steel guide cannulae (15 mm; 23 gauge; Small Parts, Inc.) were implanted bilaterally with the cannula tips 2.0 mm above the BLA. The coordinates were based on the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (2005): anteroposterior (AP); −2.8 mm from bregma; mediolateral (ML); ±5.0 mm from the midline; dorsoventral (DV); −6.5 mm from skull surface; incisor bar −3.3 mm from interaural. Other rats received bilateral guide cannulae (15 mm long) with the tips 2.8 mm from bregma; mediolateral (ML), ±4.5 mm from the midline; dorsoventral (DV), −6.0 mm from skull surface; incisor bar −3.3 mm from interaural. Other rats received bilateral guide cannulae (15 mm long) with the tips 2.0 mm above the CEA (coordinates; AP; −2.2 mm; ML; ±4.5 mm; DV; −6.0 mm). The cannulae were affixed to the skull with two anchoring screws and dental cement. Stylets (15-mm-long 00-insect dissection pins) inserted into each cannula to maintain patency were removed only for the infusion of drugs. After surgery, the rats were retained in an incubator until recovered from anesthesia and were then returned to their home cages. The rats were allowed to recover for a minimum of 7 days before initiation of training and were handled three times for 1 min each during this recovery period to accustom them to the infusion procedure.

Inhibitory avoidance apparatus and procedure

Rats were trained and tested in an inhibitory avoidance apparatus consisting of a trough-shaped alley (91 cm long, 15 cm deep, 20 cm wide at the top, and 6.4 cm wide at the bottom) divided into two compartments, separated by a sliding door that opened by retracting into the floor (McGaugh et al. 1988). The starting compartment (30 cm) was made of opaque white plastic and well lit; the shock compartment (60 cm) was made of dark, electrically metal plates and was not illuminated. Training and testing were conducted in a sound- and light-attenuated room.

In the first experiment, the rats were placed in the starting compartment of the apparatus, facing away from the door, and were allowed to enter the dark (shock) compartment. After the rat stepped completely into the dark compartment, the sliding door was closed and a single inescapable footshock was delivered for 1 sec. In the first experiment, examining whether OFQ/N impairs memory consolidation, a moderately strong footshock intensity of 0.65 mA was used. A milder footshock intensity of 0.55 mA was used in the second experiment examining whether blockade of NOP receptors would enhance memory consolidation. The rats were removed from the shock compartment 15 sec after termination of the footshock and, after drug treatment, returned to their home cages. On the 48-h retention test, as on the training session, the latency to re-enter the shock compartment with all four paws (maximum latency of 600 sec) was recorded and used as a measure of retention. Longer latencies were interpreted as indicating better retention. Shock was not administered on the retention test trial.

Drug and infusion procedures

OFQ/N (0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, or 100 pmol; synthesized by Research Genetics/Invitrogen or the peptidic NOP receptor antagonist [Nphe1]nociceptin(1-13)NH2 (1, 10, or 100 pmol; generously provided by Giro Calo, University of Ferrara, Italy) was dissolved in saline and administered into the BLA immediately after inhibitory avoidance training. Other groups of rats received bilateral guide cannulae (15 mm long) with the tips 2.0 mm above the CEA (coordinates; AP; −2.8 mm from bregma; mediolateral (ML), ±5.0 mm from the midline; dorsoventral (DV), −6.5 mm from skull surface; incisor bar −3.3 mm from interaural. Other rats received bilateral guide cannulae (15 mm long) with the tips 2.0 mm above the CEA (coordinates; AP; −2.2 mm; ML; ±4.5 mm; DV; −6.0 mm). The cannulae were affixed to the skull with two anchoring screws and dental cement. Stylets (15-mm-long 00-insect dissection pins) inserted into each cannula to maintain patency were removed only for the infusion of drugs. After surgery, the rats were retained in an incubator until recovered from anesthesia and were then returned to their home cages. The rats were allowed to recover for a minimum of 7 days before initiation of training and were handled three times for 1 min each during this recovery period to accustom them to the infusion procedure.

Histology

Rats were anesthetized with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital (<100 mg/kg, i.p.; Sigma) and perfused intracardially with 0.9% (w/v) saline solution followed by 4% (w/v) formaldehyde dissolved in water. Following decapitation, the brains were removed and immersed in fresh 4% formaldehyde. At least 24 h before sectioning, the brains were submerged in a 20% (w/v) sucrose solution in saline for cryoprotection. Coronal sections of 40 µm were cut on a freezing microtome, mounted on gelatin-coated slides, and stained with cresyl violet. The sections were examined under a light microscope, and determination of the location of injection needle tips in the BLA or CEA was made according to the standardized atlas plates of Paxinos and Watson (2005) by an observer blind to drug treatment condition. Rats with injection needle placements outside the BLA or CEA, respectively, or with extensive tissue damage at the injection needle tips were excluded from analysis.

Statistics

In the first experiment, training and retention test latencies were analyzed using two-way ANOVAs with immediate post-training infusions of different doses of OFQ/N (six levels) and β-adrenoceptor antagonist (two levels) as between-subject variables. In the second experiment, the between-subject variables for the two-way ANOVAs were NOP receptor antagonist (four levels) and β-adrenoceptor antagonist (two levels). Further analysis used Fisher’s post hoc tests to determine the source of the detected significances. Differences in retention latencies for the delayed infusions or for drug infusions into the CEA were analyzed with
unpaired t-tests (two-tailed). To determine whether learning had occurred, paired t-tests were used to compare the training and retention latencies. For all comparisons, a probability level of <0.05 was accepted as statistical significance. The number of rats per group is indicated in the figure legends.
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