Low-temperature superlattice in monoclinic PbZr0.52Ti0.48O3

Noheda, B.; Wu, L.

Published in:
Physical Review B

DOI:
10.1103/PhysRevB.66.060103

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2002

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):
Noheda, B., & Wu, L. (2002). Low-temperature superlattice in monoclinic PbZr0.52Ti0.48O3. Physical Review B, 66(6). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.66.060103

Copyright
Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the “Taverne” license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment.

Take-down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.
Low-temperature superlattice in monoclinic PbZr<sub>0.52</sub>Ti<sub>0.48</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

B. Noheda,* L. Wu, and Y. Zhu†

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

(Received 24 April 2002; published 20 August 2002)

Transmission-electron microscopy has shown that the strongly piezoelectric material PbZr<sub>0.52</sub>Ti<sub>0.48</sub>O<sub>3</sub> separates into two phases at low temperatures. The majority phase is the monoclinic phase previously found by x-ray diffraction. The minority phase, with a nanoscale coherence length, is a slightly distorted variant of the first resulting from the antiphase rotation of the oxygen octahedra about [111]. This work clears up a recent controversy about the origin of superlattice peaks in these materials, and supports recent theoretical results predicting the coexistence of ferroelectric and rotational instabilities.
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Ferroelectric ceramics of PbZr<sub>x</sub>Ti<sub>1-x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (PZT) with compositions around x = 0.50 display anomalously high dielectric and piezoelectric responses, which are related to the “morphotropic phase boundary” (MPB), the steep boundary separating the rhombohedral (zirconium-rich) and tetragonal (titanium-rich) phases of the phase diagram. The technological relevance of PZT as the active element in electromechanical transducers has motivated a large amount of fundamental research in the last fifty years, aimed at revealing the properties of these materials, which to this date are still not well understood.

Recently, a monoclinic (M) phase with space group (sg) Cm, has been discovered by x-ray powder diffraction at the MPB of PZT, in between the rhombohedral (R) and tetragonal (T) phases, as shown in Fig. 1. The importance of this new phase (called M<sub>1</sub>, after Ref. 5) is remarkable because, due to the lack of a symmetry axis, it allows for the rotation of the ferroelectric polarization between the polar axes of the R and T phases. The remaining symmetry element is a mirror plane, the pseudocubic (110) plane, which is also common to T and R (with sg’s P4mm and R3m, respectively). Due to the near degeneracy of the different phases at the MPB, the polarization rotation can also be easily achieved by applying an electric field, which induces the monoclinic phase, and thus explains the high electromechanical response observed in PZT.

First-principles calculations have been able to reproduce the intermediate monoclinic phase observed in PZT in excellent agreement with the experiments, provided that the atomic disorder in the Zr/Ti site is taken into account. Furthermore, they have shown that this phase is directly related to the very high electromechanical response of the ceramic material (single crystals of PZT are not available) mainly due to the d<sub>15</sub> component of the piezoelectric tensor, which indicates the easy rotation of the polarization in the monoclinic plane. From a phenomenological point of view, it has recently been shown that the monoclinic phase can be derived from the Devonshire expansion of the free energy to eighth order, while a twelfth-order expansion would be needed to derive the lowest-symmetry triclinic perovskites. All the above is a clear indication of the very high anharmonicity of the energy potentials in PZT, which is also present in other related systems.

With decreasing temperatures PbZr<sub>0.52</sub>Ti<sub>0.48</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (PZT48) transforms from a cubic to a tetragonal phase at about 660 K, and from a tetragonal to a monoclinic phase at about 300 K. X-ray diffraction reveals no further phase transformation down to 20 K. The reported M<sub>1</sub> cell is rotated 45° about the c axis with respect to the tetragonal one and is double in volume, with  \( a_m = b_m = a_p \sqrt{2} \) and \( c_m = a_p \), with \( a_p = 4 \) Å being the length of the cubic cell. However, recently, Ragini et al. have observed superlattice (sl) reflections at low temperatures by transmission-electron microscopy (TEM) that are not consistent with the M<sub>1</sub> phase. These sl reflections are also observed by neutron diffraction, but are not seen in the x-ray-diffraction patterns.

The appearance of a superlattice is a common phenomenon in perovskites, related in most cases to the softening of one or more \( \Gamma_{25} \) zone-corner (R-point) phonons, which involves rotations of the oxygen octahedra. In ferroelectric perovskites, the octahedra tilt independently of the cation displacements (associated with the softening of the \( \Gamma_{15} \) zone-center mode) and therefore do not essentially affect the ferroelectric properties. In PZT, such rotations have been observed in the rhombohedral region of the phase diagram (see Fig. 1). At low temperatures, in the R<sub>L-T</sub> phase, in addition to the cation displacements along the pseudocubic [111] direction, there is a tilt of the oxygen octahedra about

FIG. 1. Phase diagram of PbZr<sub>x</sub>Ti<sub>1-x</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (PZT) around the morphotropic phase boundary adapted from Ref. 4.
the [111] axis. This rotation doubles the unit cell\(^{14,15}\) and produces sl reflections of the 1/2\([hkl]\) type \((h,k,l\ all\ odd)^{18}\) with an intensity approximately proportional to the tilt angle.

To explain the sl reflections recently observed in monoclinic PZT, Ranjan et al.,\(^{12}\) based on a Rietveld analysis of neutron powder-diffraction data, have proposed that the \(M_A\) phase transforms, at low temperatures, into a different monoclinic phase, with space group \(P\bar{c}\), in which the \(M_A\) unit cell is doubled along the \(c\) direction due to an antiphase octahedral tilt about the \(c\) axis. However, Rietveld analysis of disordered systems with low symmetry is not unambiguous due to the number of constraints that need to be included. For example, similar neutron patterns have also been successfully modeled by Frantii et al.\(^{11}\) in terms of the coexistence of monoclinic \(M_A\) and rhombohedral \(R_{LT}\) phases. In this paper we clarify this controversy by means of TEM measurements on a PZT48 sample at low temperatures. We show that the \(M_A\) phase persists at low temperatures and that the observed superlattice originates from nanoregions of the sample that undergo rotations of the oxygen octahedra about the [111] direction, without altering the cation distortion.

TEM experiments were carried out using a JEOL 300-kV field-emission microscope equipped with an energy filter and low-temperature stages. Diffraction and image data were recorded using a parallel beam on either imaging plates or charge coupled device cameras. The same ceramic pellets of Ref. 3 were used in these experiments. The excellent quality of the samples was established in previous x-ray-diffraction work\(^{3}\) that showed very narrow Bragg peaks and very sharp crystal symmetry, including those caused even by an extremely small lattice distortion, by the splitting of high-order multiple scattering, thinner regions \((5–10\ nm)\) were also used. Electron diffraction presents the advantage of simultaneously acquiring dozens of reflections from a local area and reaching far out in reciprocal space due to the high energy of the incident electrons. In the presence of domain variants and twins, formed due to the reduction of crystal symmetry, electron diffraction can unambiguously reveal the change of the crystal symmetry, including those caused even by an extremely small lattice distortion, by the splitting of high-order Bragg reflections.

Diffraction patterns containing sl reflections of the 1/2\([hkl]\) \((h,k,l\ all\ odd)\) type (psuedocubic indexing will be used unless stated otherwise) were observed in PZT48 at low temperatures, in agreement with Ragini et al.\(^{10}\) However, such reflections were also found to vary in intensity along the sample. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show two diffraction patterns, both taken in the pseudocubic (110) zone of the reciprocal space at 87 K, corresponding to two different sample areas. While the sl spots are clearly visible in Fig. 2(a), they are very weak and difficult to detect in Fig. 2(b). The dark-field images formed by the sl reflections in both areas are presented in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). The dark background and the bright spots correspond to the simple lattice and the superlattice, respectively. These figures clearly show that the sample consists of two phases and that only one of them displays superlattices, contrary to the previously proposed models.\(^{12}\) A series of dark-field images shows that the volume fraction of the superlattice phase varies in different areas of the sample from 0% to about 30% of the total volume, clearly showing its minority character. Furthermore, these images reveal a coherence length as short as 3 nm for the superlattice phase [see Fig. 2(c)]. Linear scans of the intensity peaks (the full width at half maximum) show that the size distribution of the minority sl phase ranges from 3 to 10 nm, consistent with what we see in real space [Fig. 2(c)].

Figure 3(a) shows the pattern expected in the (110) zone of a pseudocubic perovskite phase. The tetragonal T, rhombohedral \(R_{HT}\), and monoclinic \(M_A\) phases of PZT show similar patterns, since the distortion from the cubic phase is very small. None of these phases have a sl of the type observed in Fig. 2. However, as mentioned above, the \(R_{LT}\) phase of PZT (with \(sg\ R3c\)) is known to display similar sl reflections,\(^{16,17}\) which, together with its proximity in the PZT phase diagram (see Fig. 1), makes this phase a good candidate to check.\(^{18}\)

Figure 4(a) shows the diffraction pattern in the (211) zone at around 87 K. It is seen that both the fundamental and sl reflections split along the [111] direction (see insets). Dynamic diffraction analysis shows that none of the twin variants for crystals with a rhombohedral symmetry (\(sg\ R3c\)) yield this type of splitting\(^{20,21}\) and, thus, it is possible to reject the presence of the \(R_{LT}\) phase. The split is, however, consistent with the monoclinic distortion. Moreover, the fact that both main and sl reflections show the same kind of splitting clearly indicates that both phases share the same fundamental lattice (otherwise extra spots arising from a second cell would be observed in Fig. 4, inset 2).

Figure 4(b) shows an electron-diffraction pattern in the (110) zone at the same temperature. The main reflections are seen to split into three spots (see Fig. 4, inset 4) consistently with the monoclinic symmetry, and with the reported \(M_A\) phase.\(^3\) It can also be noticed that the sl spots in this zone do
not split (see Fig. 4, inset 3), which indicates that only one of the three observed twins is responsible for the sl reflections and, therefore, confirms the two-phase scenario. Further information can yet be extracted from the diffraction experiments: The extinction rules show that the mirror plane of the $M_A$ phase is not present in the sl phase. Furthermore, the experiments give extra information about the symmetry of this phase by showing that the three-dimensional reciprocal lattice is face centered, the real lattice being therefore body centered.

Although other effects could also produce a superlattice (i.e., cation ordering or antiparallel cation displacements), the fact that the sl reflections are observed with neutrons and not with x rays indicates that they are due to rotations of the oxygen octahedra, for which x rays are not very sensitive. Bearing all the above in mind, we propose a model for the minority sl phase in which the cations keep the $M_A$ distortion, while the oxygen octahedra are rotated in an antiphase fashion about $[111]$, as in the $R_{LT}$ phase. Figure 3(e) shows the projection of the octahedra framework on the pseudocubic ($\bar{1}10$) mirror plane. The $M_A$ lattice vectors $\tilde{a}_m$ and $\tilde{c}_m$ are contained in the plane, while $\tilde{b}_m$ is perpendicular to it. After the tilting, the unit cell doubles along $c$ (dashed lines), similar to the $R_{LT}$-$R_{HT}$ phase transition for smaller Ti contents, and the cell becomes body centered ($sg \ Cocubic$). A unit cell can be chosen to keep the standard $c$-centered space group $Cc$ as represented by the thick solid lines in the figure.

The sl reflections expected in the {110} zone for the new $Cc$ phase are depicted in Fig. 3(b), and are in perfect agreement with experiment (see Fig. 2).\cite{11} The minority character of the tilted phase, and the broadening effects associated with the small size of the tilted regions, explains the low intensity on the sl reflections in the neutron-diffraction patterns.\cite{11,12} Moreover, according to our model, the structure factor of the sl reflections is much smaller than that of the 1/2{111} sl peak is not observed with neutrons. The monoclinic space group $Pc$ recently proposed,\cite{12} in which the oxygen rotations are along the [001] axis, can be discarded since it would give rise to patterns such as those shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), which contain sl reflections that were not observed (open circles).

The evolution of the sl reflections was monitored as a function of temperature. The reflections started to disappear...
at $T=150$ K in certain areas of the sample, but were still visible in other areas at $T=200$ K. At about $T=230$ K no superlattice could be found, in agreement with Ragini et al.\textsuperscript{10} This behavior suggests that local internal inhomogeneities or local stresses (most likely originating from cation disorder) cause phase separation by favoring the octahedra tilts in certain regions of the sample, at low temperatures, and that the transition temperature between the tilted and nontilted phases depends to a large extent on the local environment. This is in perfect agreement with calculations by Fornari and Singh, who predict an instability of the rotational degrees of freedom, comparable to the ferroelectric one, as well as a strong pressure dependence of these.\textsuperscript{23} Further studies need to be done to clarify whether the tilts are associated with Zr-/Ti-rich regions.

In summary, our results clearly show that the $M_A$ phase, which is known to be directly related to the unusual piezoelectric and ferroelectric properties of PZT and related systems, remains stable at low temperatures, contrary to recent reports. Some areas of the sample, as small as 3 nm, undergo rotations of the oxygen octahedra about the [111] direction, similar to those of the neighboring $R_{22}$ phase, that lower the symmetry but do not modify the fundamental lattice, therefore keeping the ferroelectric properties basically unaltered. The temperature evolution of the tilted regions supports the theoretical results of Fornari and Singh that predict the possible coexistence of ferroelectric and rotational instabilities due to local stress fields.\textsuperscript{23}

Recently, a paper by Hatch et al.\textsuperscript{24} has appeared which points out that the correct space group of the low temperature monoclinic phase proposed by Ranjan et al.,\textsuperscript{12} should be $Cc$ instead of $Pc$, and which reports a $Cc$ unit cell with octahedra rotations about [001] that results in the same space group as the one reported here for the [111] rotations. Our [111] rotation model is similar to the one of the R phase with low Ti concentration at low temperatures. Furthermore, while according to Hatch et al. the $Cm$ phase transforms into $Cc$ phase at low temperatures, here we show that the $Cc$ phase is only minority and that the $Cm$ phase is still present at low temperatures.
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