Therapy of poor posture in adolescents: Sensorimotor training increases the effectiveness of strength training to reduce increased anterior pelvic tilt
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Abstract: Background: Increased anterior pelvic tilt is one important contributor to poor posture in children and adolescents and caused by muscular imbalance. This study aims at identifying the extent to which a sensorimotor training reduces anterior pelvic tilt more effectively than pure strength and stretch training alone.

Methods: 54 male adolescents (age 13–17) with an increased pelvic tilt angle >14° were matched to three groups (strength training STR, strength + sensorimotor training STR + SENS, control CON). Maximum isometric torques for knee flexion (HA), trunk flexion (RA), and trunk extension, and pelvic tilt were measured before and after a 12 week physical therapy schedule. Two-way mixed ANOVA were calculated.

Results: For STR and STR + SENS the relative torque of HA and RA increased significantly (p < 0.05) between pre- and post-test. Significant improvement of the pelvic tilt angle was identified in both training groups, with STR + SENS exhibiting a significantly larger degree of improvement.

Conclusions: Sensorimotor exercises improve the effectiveness of physical fitness training to reduce anterior pelvic tilt and should therefore supplement existing therapeutic programs.
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PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT
More than 60% of all adolescents exhibit weakness in posture, such as hyperlordosis (hollow back). Very often, this leads to back problems. Weak or inelastic muscles result in the pelvic being tilted forward and thus creating a hollow back. In our study, we examined whether targeted gym training of specific muscle groups can help improve posture in adolescents. To do so, we analyzed strength and stretch training as well as special exercises that improve body awareness. We were able to prove that combined training consisting of strength, stretching, and body awareness exercises effectively ameliorates body posture. Specific gym training helps adolescents to prevent or correct poor posture.
1. Introduction
Between 22 and 65% of children and adolescents demonstrate poor posture (Bogdanović & Marković, 2010; Gh, Alilou, Ghafurinia, & Fereydounnia, 2012; Kopecký, 2004). Even if current studies on the correlation between posture deficits and back pain do not all agree (Laird, Gilbert, Kent, & Keating, 2014; Nourbakhsh & Arab, 2002; Smith, O’Sullivan, & Straker, 2008) and the long-term consequences of poor posture in adolescence have not been clearly established, individual posture characteristics are categorized as health-relevant (Chaléat-Valayer et al., 2011; Dolphens, Cagnie, & Coorevits, 2012). An increased anterior pelvic tilt is one key cause of hyperlordosis of the lumbar spine and thus a posture-constituting characteristic of therapeutic importance (Le Huec, Aunoble, Philippe, & Nicolas, 2011; Roussouly & Pinheiro-Franco, 2011). Hyperlordosis of the lumbar spine (“hollow back”) is a typical characteristic of poor posture with possible negative health implications (Jentzsch et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2008). Therefore, preventive therapeutic treatment of an increased pelvic tilt or lumbar hyperlordosis is important for adolescents.

One conceivable reason for an increased anterior pelvic tilt is assumed to be an imbalance of the muscles that stabilize the pelvis (Buchtelová, Tichy, & Vaníková, 2013). The orientation of the pelvis in the sagittal plane is explained by a “see-saw model” with the pivot point located in the hip joints (Figure 1(a)). Depending on the activities of the relevant muscles and the structure of the ligamentous apparatus and the fasciae, the pelvic tilt changes (Alvim, Peixoto, Vicente, Chagas, & Fonseca, 2010; Kim et al., 2006; López-Miñarro, Muyor, Belmonte, & Alacid, 2012). Due to muscular imbalance, the pelvis tilts forward and lumbar lordosis increases. When the thoracic spine compensates for this malposition, an increased thoracic kyphosis and typical posture weakness develop (Figure 1(b)). Strength and stretch exercises have been proven effective as therapeutic interventions for the muscle groups involved (Page, 2006). Earlier studies proved that targeted strength programs for the muscle groups that reduce pelvic tilt resulted in an improvement of posture in adolescents with increased anterior pelvic tilt (Klee, 1994). Therefore, the correction of pelvic tilt is a crucial therapeutic goal to improve posture weakness in adolescents.

In the past few years, the importance of sensorimotor exercises in fitness training and physical therapy to treat neuromuscular deficits has been emphasized. Sensorimotor training is normally understood as a balance training during which the body position has to be stabilized on moveable auxiliary equipment, thus enhancing the reflective activation of the deep postural muscles (McCaskey, Schuster-Amft, Wirth, Suica, & de Bruin, 2014). Therapeutic experience in pediatrics shows that it is important to teach adolescents with poor posture a clear idea of movement, i.e. how

---

**Figure 1. (a) Pivot model of anterior pelvic tilt, RA–rectus abdominis, IL–iliopsoas, GM–gluteus maximus, HA–hamstrings, PA–pelvic tilt angle, ASIS–anterior superior iliac spine, PSIS–posterior superior iliac spine. (b) Muscular imbalance leads to an increased anterior pelvic tilt (1) and an increased lumbar lordosis (2).**
to correct their posture especially in regard to the pelvis (Page, 2006). However, uniform evidence on this aspect is still lacking.

This study aims to identify the extent to which a combination of strength and stretch training and sensorimotor training might result in a more effective reduction of anterior pelvic tilt in a standing position than pure strength and stretch training.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants
The subjects were included during an interdisciplinary research project (Kid-Check) in 2013 and 2014 by means of newspaper ads. The study included male adolescents with an increased pelvic tilt (anteversion angle >14°). A pelvic tilt between 5° and 13° is thought to be normal for male adolescents (Nguyen & Shultz, 2007). Thus, from a preventive point of view, all the subjects included in the study should benefit from a preventive therapy concept. Within the framework of an orthopedic examination of the postural and musculoskeletal system, 54 of 69 interested persons were identified who did not have any spinal deformities or other orthopedic problems, whose body mass index was between 16 and 24, and who did not actively perform any sports at the time. A total of 54 male adolescents between 13 and 17 years having reached a physical development level of at least Tanner IV participated in the study (Table 1). A well-matched study design was used in order to minimize possible confounding effects like age and body weight. Initially, all participants were ranked with the pelvic tilt angle being the primary, age the secondary, and body weight the third criterion. Then the first three on the ranking list were randomly assigned to the three groups (resistance training, resistance + senso, control). This process was repeated respectively for three participants until all 54 adolescents were matched to the three groups.

Each subgroup consisted of 18 subjects. One subgroup carried out pure stretch and strength training (STR), the other subgroup added body perception training (STR + SENSO), the third group served as a non-exercising control group (CON).

The study’s concept based on the Helsinki declaration. The local ethics commission had approved the study (Ethics Committee of the Saarland University, Prof. Dr C. König, Ref. 15–6). The test persons and their parents had been informed on the order of the study and the content of the training and therapeutic intervention and had given their written consent.

2.2. Measures
The anterior superior iliac spines (ASIS) and posterior superior iliac spines (PSIS) were palpated by an experienced tester and marked with self-adhesive marker balls (diameter: 12 mm). During the examination, the test persons were photographed wearing bathing suits and standing in a casual pose. The test persons were instructed to assume a relaxed pose, look straight ahead, and keep their arms to the sides of their body (Figure 7).

The anteversion angle of the pelvis (connecting line between the PSIS and ASIS markers against the horizontal line) was determined using specific software (Corpus Concepts, AFG, Idar-Oberstein, Germany). The reliability of this measurement method to determine the pelvic tilt had been proven in earlier studies (Gajdosik, Simpson, Smith, & DonTigny, 1985).

| Table 1. Anthropometric data of the participants |
|-------------------------------------------------|
| **CON (N = 18)**                               | **STR (N = 18)** | **STR + SENSO (N = 18)** |
| Age (yrs)                                      | 15.2 ± 1.3       | 15.1 ± 1.3               | 15.3 ± 1.5               |
| Height (cm)                                    | 176.0 ± 7.8      | 172.9 ± 4.9              | 175.4 ± 4.6              |
| Weight (kg)                                    | 64.1 ± 11.5      | 60.3 ± 6.7               | 61.9 ± 8.9               |

Note: Values are means ± standard deviations.
Mainly the straight abdominal muscles (M. rectus abdominis) as trunk flexor, the gluteal muscles (M. gluteus maximus) as a hip extensor and M. biceps femoris as a hip extensor are responsible for a retroversion (straightening up) of the pelvis. Their activity leads to a decrease of pelvic tilt (Buchtelová et al., 2013). The maximum isometric torque for knee flexion, trunk flexion, and trunk extension were measured in a sitting position using an isometric measurement device (Easytorque, Tonus Inc., Zemmer, Germany). The knee angle was set to 80° for measuring the knee flexion; the hip angle was set to 90°. Hip and thigh were fixed by means of pads (Figure 2, left). For measuring the trunk flexion, the trunk was fixed by means of a pad at the sternum's center height (Figure 2, right), and for trunk extension, a pad stabilized the trunk at the thoracolumbar region. The test persons were instructed to build up strength for five seconds and hold it for five more. For familiarization purposes, a test trial was performed for each measurement. After that, three isometric tests were executed. Whenever the third value turned out to be the maximum value, further measurements were added until the last measurement value was smaller than the penultimate value. The maximum value reached was then divided by the current body weight and processed further.

2.3. Physical fitness program

The adolescents exercised after their initial examination for 12 weeks twice a week under supervision of an experienced trainer. After a six-min warm-up phase on a treadmill, they performed the strength-endurance exercises in the form of a set-of-three training at different training devices (15 repetitions, 1 min rest). The weights were chosen so that the participants were able to carry out the three sets without errors, but feeling definitely exhausted afterwards (Borg scale 7 of 10). The stretch exercises (active antagonist contract stretching (Ryan, Rossi, & Lopez, 2010)) were executed three times each for 40 s for each side of the body. The training included strength exercises for M. rectus abdominis (RA), M. gluteus maximus (GM), and M. biceps femoris (HA) (Figure 3), as well as stretching exercises for M. rectus femoris and M. iliopsoas, since connections between the extensibility of these muscles (increased range of motion) and the pelvic tilt are being discussed (Bridger, Orkin, & Henneberg, 1992; López-Miñarro et al., 2012).

The subgroup that performed body perception training executed four additional exercises (Figure 4) under supervision of an experienced physical therapist. The focus of the additional program was on perception of the pelvis position with open and closed eyes, conscious pelvic retroversion, as well as adjustment of lumbar lordosis in a lying position. The additional program took approximately 20 min
and was carried out before the actual strength training because Bruhn, Kullmann, and Gollhofer (2006) were able to observe positive preconditioning effects of sensorimotor training.

After 12 weeks, the posture test and the examination of the isometric maximum strength were repeated for all 54 participants.

2.4. Statistics and examination of pre-intervention effects
In addition to the descriptive procedures, such as mean values and standard deviations, 95% confidence intervals were calculated.

To assess the performance development of the two intervention groups STR + SENSO and STR as well as of the control group CON, possible pre-test group differences were checked using one-way ANOVA for the variables age, weight, height, pelvic angle PA, and the maximum isometric torque of abdominal muscles (RA), gluteus maximus (GM), and hamstrings (HA). The conditions were controlled applying the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test including the Lilliefors correction for normal distribution and the Levene test for variance homogeneity.
Subsequently, to check possible intervention effects, two-way mixed ANOVA were calculated. Post-hoc analyses were carried out using the Fisher (LSD) test.

The significance level was set to $p < 0.05$ and statistical relevance was determined by $\eta^2$. A small effect exists with $\eta^2 \geq 0.01$, $\eta^2 \geq 0.06$ shows an average effect, and $\eta^2 \geq 0.14$ represents a major effect (Cohen, 1988).

3. Results

No significant differences were identified between the assigned groups prior to intervention, for age ($F = 0.2; p = 0.82$), pelvic angle PA ($F = 0.07; p = 0.94$), relative torque of HA ($F = 0.002; p = 0.99$), RA ($F = 0.2; p = 0.82$), and GM ($F = 0.56; p = 0.58$). Therefore, the test persons are considered to have been matched successfully.

3.1. Intervention effect “pelvic angle PA”

The factor measurement time became significant with major effect size ($F = 42.85; p < 0.0001; \eta^2 = 0.46$; Figure 5), the interaction of measurement time × group became significant too with major effect size ($F = 15.65; p < 0.0001; \eta^2 = 0.38$). Significant group differences were identified post hoc for the PA differences caused by the treatment between CON (PA reduction: $-0.1° \pm 1.2°$) and STR (PA reduction: $-1.6° \pm 1.8°$) with $p = 0.013$, as well as between STR and STR + SENSO (PA reduction: $-3.3° \pm 2.2°$) with $p = 0.042$, and between CON and STR + SENSO with $p < 0.0001$ (Figure 5).

3.2. Intervention effect “strength of the hamstrings HA”

The factor measurement time became significant with major effect size ($F = 18.68; p < 0.001; \eta^2 = 0.27$). A significant interaction effect of time × group was found ($F = 3.67; p = 0.029; \eta^2 = 0.13$). The pure main effect group was not significant ($F = 0.11; p = 0.89$). The two intervention groups showed larger improvements than CON (increase 1%), and STR + SENSO (increase 9.6%) in turn showed larger growths than STR (increase 6.6%, Figure 6).

3.3. Intervention effect “strength of the rectus abdominis RA”

The factor measurement time became significant with major effect size ($F = 18.64; p < 0.0001; \eta^2 = 0.27$). Also, a significant interaction effect of measurement time and group ($F = 4.14; p = 0.018; \eta^2 = 0.14$) was identified. The pure main effect group was not significant ($F = 0.24; p = 0.79$). Both intervention groups improved almost identically by 13.8% (STR) and 15.0% (STR + SENSO), respectively, while CON did not exhibit any improvements (Figure 6).

3.4. Intervention effect “strength of the gluteus maximus GM”

The relative torque of GM did not improve between pre- and post-test ($F = 3.85; p = 0.06$). There was also no significant interaction effect of time × group ($F = 1.04; p = 0.36$), and neither between the groups ($F = 0.66; p = 0.52$).

Figure 5. Box plots of the PA differences for the three groups.

Notes: The boxes span the interquartile range, the horizontal bar marks the median, and plus signs mark outliers. Stars represent significant differences between the groups (*$p < 0.05$, ***$p < 0.001$).
4. Discussion

4.1. Improvement of muscular strength

In both intervention groups, resistance training resulted in a significant improvement of the isometric torque of HA and RA with average and high effect size, respectively. The increase of torque in the range of 9–14% for HA and RA corresponds to the results of other studies that observed similar effects in adolescents within the framework of strength training (Matos & Winsley, 2007). Klee (1994) already showed that increasing the maximum strength of the muscles reducing anterior pelvic tilt could result in reduced anteversion in a resting position. He examined the pelvic tilt in adolescents during a 10-week strength and stretch training, and was able to measure an average increase in retroversion of the pelvis of 2.2°.

The increase in strength in the GM was low and did not reach significance. Klee supposed that the lower back and gluteal muscles can only be exercised to a lower degree in the age group examined in a time period of 12 weeks (Klee, 1994). Therefore, the relative short time period of the exercises could explain the low increase in strength (López-Miñarro et al., 2012). The question remains as to what extent increased maximum strength may influence muscular balance in a relaxed position. The fact that strength training led to an improved pelvic position even in a relaxed position—i.e. without voluntary muscle activation—can be explained by the positive effect of strength training on the stability of sensorimotor coordination (Carroll, Benjamin, Stephan, & Carson, 2001) and improvement of neuromotor control (Wong & Ng, 2010). An increase in resting length, or rather in range of motion, especially of the hip flexors (M. iliopsoas), might be a further reason (Bridger et al., 1992; López-Miñarro et al., 2012).

It is interesting to state that the pelvic tilt angles in the training group that performed additional sensorimotor exercises for body perception were significantly better (in the sense of reduced pelvic tilt) than those in the strength training group. Tsaklis, Karlsson, Grooten, and Ång (2008) were able
to show that a combination of back-muscle-strengthening and sensorimotor training resulted in improved postural steadiness, which they objectified via the center of pressure variation by means of posturography. Our results additionally show “macroscopic” visible effects on posture.

The positioning of the pelvis in the sagittal plane is determined by the interaction of skeletal, ligamentous, and muscular factors. The capacity of the postural musculature is influenced not only by strength-endurance components, but also by central-nervous activation in the sense of the muscular tone at rest that also affects functional muscular length (Sousa, Silva, & Tavares, 2012). It is impossible to say exactly whether the tone of the muscles responsible for the actual positioning of the pelvis is associated with the maximum isometric strength or torque. However, the results measured do suggest just this. Our examinations, as well as previous work (Klee, 1994), suggest a connection between maximal isometric muscle strength and position of the pelvis. The improvement of pelvic tilt can therefore be interpreted as the result of changed neuromuscular activation due to the effects of strength and stretch training (Gabriel, Kamen, & Frost, 2006).

4.2. Sensorimotor aspects
Interestingly, the test persons who had performed additional sensorimotor training showed a significant improved anterior pelvic angle after the end of the intervention. For the relaxed (habitual) posture usually only minimal muscle activity is measurable (Sousa et al., 2012). Therefore, the question arises as to how this positive influence of sensorimotor training can be explained. Numerous studies have examined the effect of sensorimotor training on posture and balance parameters (Bruhn, Kullmann, & Gollhofer, 2004; Freyler, Weltin, Gollhofer, & Ritzmann, 2014; Hwang, Bae, Do Kim, & Kim, 2013). Sensorimotor exercises usually include primarily unspecific exercises during which the test person was standing on a flexible platform (unstable spring platforms, wobble boards, etc.), or postural reactions were elicited through targeted disturbances of the equilibrium (Zemková, 2009). In contrast to these therapeutic approaches, we trained the perception of the position of the pelvis and the conscious correction of this position. Many children and adolescents are not able to actively execute retroversion of the pelvis (i.e. reduction of pelvic tilt) in a controlled manner, they have to learn this. Training this develops an idea of posture and movement of the pelvis (Figure 7). Through sensorimotor training, the subjects learn how to adjust muscle tension in order to generate a specific movement or position (Hwang et al., 2013) and thus

Figure 7. Voluntary reduction of pelvic tilt by a 16 year old subject after the exercise program (STR + SENO).

Notes: The decrease in pelvic angle that results in a reduction of the lumbar lordosis and the improved alignment of the body in relation to the perpendicular.
improve sensor integration (Peterka, 2002). The present results can be explained by the fact that improved posture and movement perception can reinforce the effects that would have been created by pure strength training. This finding is in accordance with a study by Hwang et al. (2013) who were able to show that body perception exercises improved anticipatory postural adjustments. Tsaklis et al. (2008) see increased sensitivity of feedback pathways from proprioceptive sensory input as a reason for this.

It can be ruled out that the greater effects measured in the STR + SENSO group are induced by the sensorimotor exercises because a difference in muscle strength between STR and STR + SENSO was not verified for any of the muscle groups trained and tested. The STR and the STR + SENSO training groups increased their maximum strength values equally. Therefore, any additional effect can be attributed to the additional sensorimotor exercises.

Moreover, there seems to be no explicit interaction between strength and balance parameters. Kaminski, Buckley, Powers, Hubbard, and Ortiz (2003) were able to prove that balance training did not influence muscle torque. The same result was found by Zech et al. (2010), considering balance training as an effective intervention to improve static and dynamic balance, but with no effects for back extensor muscle strength. Vice-versa, Kollmitzer, Ebenbichler, Sabo, Kerschan, and Bochdansky (2000) elaborated that back extensor strengthening led to decreased postural stability.

While strength training improves mainly intramuscular coordination and since the effects observed mainly occur in the area of efferent pathways (Bruhn et al., 2004), sensorimotor exercises presumably improve mainly the processing of sensory input. This could result in improved tone regulation of the muscle groups involved and could have enhanced intermuscular coordination.

According to our knowledge, this study is the first to show that sensorimotor physical therapy exercises to improve body perception in the pelvic region performed before strength and stretch training led to an improvement of the effectiveness of the training. This finding could supplement existing fitness and physical therapy intervention programs (Kim, Cho, Park, & Yang, 2015; Yoo, 2013) for posture improvement in children and adolescents.

4.3. Limitations
The study was subject to various limitations. While the subjects did exhibit an above-normal pelvic tilt, they were symptom-free. Further research could identify the extent to which functional sensorimotor training affects patients with lower back pain. At this point, it is impossible to make any statements on long-term effects on the pelvic position.

5. Conclusion
Physical fitness training programs including sensorimotor exercises to improve body perception in the pelvic area improve the pelvic tilt in adolescents more than pure strength and stretching exercises. Therefore, it is recommended that sensorimotor exercises become a permanent part of physical training programs to preventively treat poor posture caused by increased pelvic tilt.
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