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Data describing lack of effects of 17α-ethinyl estradiol on mammary gland morphology in female mice exposed during pregnancy and lactation
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Abstract

Ethinyl estradiol (EE) is a synthetic estrogen used in pharmaceutical contraceptives. In many studies evaluating estrogenic endocrine disruptors, EE is used as a positive control for estrogenicity. However, the effects of EE often differ from the effects of other xenoestrogens, suggesting that these other compounds might act via distinct mechanisms. Reported here are data describing the effect of low doses of EE during pregnancy and lactation on the morphology of the lactating mammary gland in CD-1 mice. The data suggest that these low doses have few if any discernable effects on mammary gland morphology. Alterations to cell proliferation and the expression of estrogen receptor (ER)α were also not observed. These companion data were collected from the same females analyzed for effects of EE on maternal behavior and brain recently published in Reproductive Toxicology (Catanese & Vandenberg, 2017).

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
## Value of the data

- Many studies examining the effects of estrogenic endocrine disrupting chemicals use EE as a positive control for estrogenicity
- Although high doses of pharmaceutical estrogens are known to disrupt lactation in rodents and women, the effects of low doses are not well described
- These data, together with data published elsewhere, can be used to identify endpoints that are sensitive and insensitive to xenoestrogens in females exposed during pregnancy and lactation

## 1. Data

The mammary gland whole mounts and histological sections displayed in Fig. 1A are representative images from female CD-1 mice exposed to vehicle, 0.01 or 1 μg ethinyl estradiol/kg/day from pregnancy day 9 through lactational day 20. Quantification of mammary gland intensity, a measure of the epithelial density, reveals modest but non-significant decreases in the amount of mammary epithelium in EE-treated females (represented by higher intensity values) (Fig. 1B).

To further investigate the effects of EE on morphology of the lactating mammary gland, we evaluated two histological characteristics in fixed tissue at lactational day 21: the volume fraction of the mammary gland comprised of lobuloalveolar structures and lobule size (Fig. 2A,B). There was no effect of EE treatment on either parameter (Fig. 2C,D).

Finally, we examined the effect of EE treatment on cell proliferation (evaluated by quantifying the number of cells expressing Ki67, Fig. 3A) and the number of cells positive for estrogen receptor α (ER)qRT-PCR: Esr1.
Quantification of these data revealed no effect of EE treatment on either parameter (Fig. 3C, D). Expression of Esr1, the gene encoding ERα, was also unaffected by EE treatment (Fig. 3E).

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

2.1. Animal husbandry and necropsy

Timed pregnant female CD-1 mice (Charles River Laboratories, Raleigh, NC) were housed as described previously [1]. All experimental procedures were approved by the University of Massachusetts Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

On pregnancy day 8, dams were randomly assigned to treatment groups. From pregnancy day eight until weaning (on lactational day [LD] 21), pregnant females were weighed daily and fed a small wafer (Nabisco, East Hanover, NJ) treated with ethinyl estradiol (EE) or vehicle alone (70% ethanol) every day. Wafers were dosed with solutions to deliver 0, 0.01 or 1 µg EE/kg/day (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO; > 98% purity; n = 12–16 for each dose). EE dose was adjusted for dam body weight daily. Litters were culled to 10 pups on LD1, and pups were weaned on LD21. The dams evaluated in this study were euthanized at LD21. The 0.01 µg/kg/day dose was selected because it has previously been shown to disrupt estrogen-sensitive endpoints in exposed offspring [2]. The higher 1 µg/kg/day dose was selected because it can induce uterotrophic responses in pubertal females and is ~2x higher than the concentrations in prescription birth control pills [3]. Other results from these same females, including effects on behavior and brain, have been submitted for publication elsewhere [4].
On LD21, dams were euthanized via CO\textsubscript{2} inhalation. From every dam, the right fourth inguinal mammary gland was dissected from the skin, spread on a glass slide (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) and fixed in neutral buffered formalin (10\%) (Fisher Scientific) overnight (standard whole mount preparation). The right fifth inguinal mammary gland was fixed in neutral buffered formalin (10\%) overnight for histology.

2.2. Whole mount mammary gland preparation and analysis

After fixing in neutral buffered formalin, whole-mounted mammary glands were processed through an alcohol series, defatted with toluene, stained with Carmine-alum, dehydrated in an alcohol and xylene series, and preserved in k-pax heat sealed bags (Fisher Scientific) with methyl salicylate (Acros Organics, Morris Plains, NJ) \cite{5}. Digital images of whole-mount mammary glands were obtained using a Zeiss AxioImager dissection microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Jena, Germany) at 6X magnification and a Zeiss high-resolution color camera. To evaluate the density of epithelial structures in the whole
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\caption{EE treatment does not affect histomorphological parameters on the lactating mammary gland. A) Representative histological sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin collected from females exposed to vehicle, 0.01 or 1 \(\mu\)g EE/kg/day from pregnancy day 9 through lactational day 20. Arrows indicate lobuloalveolar structures, arrowheads indicate adipose tissue. Scale bar represents 50 \(\mu\)m. B) A higher magnification image demonstrating lobules of varying size. Scale bar represents 20 \(\mu\)m. C) Quantification of data collected from histological sections evaluating the volume fraction of the mammary gland comprised of lobuloalveolar units. D) Quantification of lobule size. Neither the volume fraction of lobuloalveolar units nor the lobule size was affected by EE treatment.}
\end{figure}
Fig. 3. EE does not alter epithelial cell proliferation, expression of ERα, or expression of Esr1. Immunohistochemical evaluations of Ki67 (A) and ERα (B) were performed at LD21. Scale bar in both panels represents 20 μm, red arrows indicate positive cells. C) Quantification of Ki67 expression revealed no effect of EE treatment on epithelial cell proliferation. D) The number of ERα-positive mammary epithelial cells was also not altered by EE treatment. E) Esr1 expression, normalized to β-actin expression, was not affected by EE treatment.
mount, four identical boxes (each 5 mm²) were placed to the anterior, posterior, and lateral sides of the lymph node. ZEN software (Carl Zeiss Microscopy) was used to measure the intensity of the mammary tissue in each box; higher numbers indicate less-dense mammary tissue.

2.3. Histological analysis of mammary glands

Mammary glands were processed for histological and immunohistochemical evaluation using methods described previously [5]. Digital images were collected using a Zeiss Axio Oberserver.Z1 inverted microscope, a 20X objective, and a high-resolution color camera (Carl Zeiss Microscopy). To quantify the fraction of the mammary gland comprised of adipose tissue versus lobuloalveolar units (lobules), three images were taken at random from non-overlapping areas of the histological section. An identical 10x13 grid was placed on each image, and the tissue type located at each crosshair (lobule, adipose, blood vessel, other connective tissue, etc.) was recorded. To quantify the average lobule size, a minimum of three non-overlapping images were collected using a 40X objective. For each image, an identical 5x5 grid was placed and all lobules that fell on crosshairs were measured for area. A minimum of 9 lobules were evaluated to calculate the average lobule size in each gland.

2.4. Immunohistochemistry

Expression of two markers was evaluated using standard methods for immunohistochemistry [5] and commercial antibodies including rabbit anti-ERα (EMD Millipore, Cat# 06–935, Temecula, CA) and rabbit anti-Ki67 (Fisher Scientific, Cat# RM-9106-S1), a marker of proliferation. Sections were incubated with primary antibodies (diluted 1:1000), secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit, Abcam, Cat# ab64256) and streptavidin peroxidase complex (Abcam, Cat# ab64269). Diaminobenzidene (DAB) chromogen (Abcam, Cat# ab64238) was used to visualize reactions. Sections were counterstained with Harris’ hematoxylin (Fisher Scientific).

Two non-overlapping images were taken of each sample for each marker of interest (ERα, Ki67) with a Zeiss Axio Observer.Z1 inverted microscope. Expression of each marker was evaluated by counting at least 200 epithelial cells. Expression of each marker was expressed as a percent ratio of the total number of epithelial cells evaluated.

2.5. qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from mammary glands of individual mice using Trizol reagent (Ambion, Carlsbad, CA) and a BeadBug microtube homogenizer (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Total RNA was quantified by UV spectrophotometry (Nanodrop 1000; Thermo Scientific). One microgram of RNA from each sample was reverse transcribed to cDNA using reverse transcriptase (Applied Biosystems, Inc). The FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master kit (Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Indianapolis, IN) was used for qPCR along with 1 μL of cDNA and 300 nM forward and 300 nM reverse primers for each target gene. β-actin was used as a housekeeping gene. Every sample was run in duplicate for each target gene. The thermal profile was as follows: 10 min at 95 °C; 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 60 °C, and 15 s at 72 °C; a melting-curve analysis was conducted to identify nonspecific products. Relative quantification was determined using the ΔΔCt method to correct for differences in β-actin [6].

2.6. Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted by observers blind to the treatment groups. Data were analyzed using SPSS Version 23 using 1-way ANOVA General Linear Model analyses with treatment as the independent variable, followed by Bonferroni post hoc tests. Data were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05. Graphs illustrate means ± standard error.
Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge support from the University of Massachusetts (start-up funds to LNV) and Award Number K22ES025811 from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (LNV). The content of this manuscript is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the University of Massachusetts.

Declaration of interests

LNV has received travel reimbursement from Universities, Governments, NGOs and Industry, to speak about endocrine-disrupting chemicals. CDL has nothing to disclose.

Transparency document. Supporting information

Transparency data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2017.07.062.

References

[1] M.C. Catanese, L.N. Vandenberg, Bisphenol S (BPS) alters maternal behavior and brain in mice exposed during pregnancy/lactation and their daughters, Endocrinology 158 (2017) 516–530.
[2] P.R. Wadia, N.J. Cabaton, M.D. Borrero, B.S. Rubin, C. Sonnenschein, T. Shioda, et al., Low-dose BPA exposure alters the mesenchymal and epithelial transcriptomes of the mouse fetal mammary gland, PLoS One 8 (2013) e63902.
[3] J. Kanno, L. Onyon, J. Haseman, P. Fenner-Crisp, J. Ashby, W. Owens, The OECD program to validate the rat uterotrophic bioassay to screen compounds for in vivo estrogenic responses: phase 1, Environ. Health Perspect. 109 (2001) 785–794.
[4] M.C. Catanese, L.N. Vandenberg, Low doses of 17a-ethinyl estradiol alter the maternal brain and induce stereotypies in CD-1 mice exposed during pregnancy and lactation, Reprod. Toxicol. (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.07.007.
[5] L.N. Vandenberg, M.V. Maffini, C.M. Schaeberle, A.A. Ucci, C. Sonnenschein, B.S. Rubin, et al., Perinatal exposure to the xenoestrogen bisphenol-A induces mammary intraductal hyperplasias in adult CD-1 mice, Reprod. Toxicol. 26 (2008) 210–219 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.07.007.
[6] K.J. Livak, T.D. Schmittgen, Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta CT) method, Methods 25 (2001) 402–408.