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Borna disease (BD), caused by the neurotropic RNA virus, Borna Disease virus, is an affliction ranging from asymptomatic to fatal meningoencephalitis across naturally and experimentally infected warmblooded (mammalian and bird) species. More than 100 years after the first clinical descriptions of Borna disease in horses and studies beginning in the 1980’s linking Borna disease virus to human neuropsychiatric diseases, experimentally infected rodents have been used as models for examining behavioral, neuropharmacological, and neurochemical responses to viral challenge at different stages of life. These studies have contributed to understanding the role of CNS viral injury in vulnerability to behavioral, developmental, epileptic, and neurodegenerative diseases and aided evaluation of the proposed and still controversial links to human disease.

1. Introduction

Why do we need animal models for neurotropic viral studies? Some day, human functional neuroimaging may provide consistent reliable experimentation in our species, or genetic biomarkers may have predictive validity for disease course or vulnerability. However, until detailed neuroanatomical, molecular and cellular changes needed to understand CNS viral pathogenesis become accessible by these methods, animal models will be needed to evaluate agent-specific and general principles of neurovirology.

In 1985, when Borna disease virus (BDV) was proposed as an etiologic agent of bipolar affective disorder based on detection of BDV antibodies in serum of 1.6% (16/979) of psychiatric patients [1], work on BDV infection of small laboratory animals was well underway. By 1988, when the virus had been implicated in affective disorders and schizophrenia [2], experimental work was expanding and becoming increasingly reliant on in vivo animal studies. Small laboratory animals could be readily infected and demonstrate signs of disease in a short, predictable time frame. Rats and mice offered specific advantages, because rodent nervous systems had been extensively mapped. In time, the use of select animal models would elucidate many neurobiological substrates of viral injury and disrupted behavior, and aid in testing the hypothesis that BDV may be a cause of human neuropsychiatric diseases. The experimental history of use of behaving animals as subjects in Borna disease virus research is the subject of this review.

2. History of Borna Disease Virus

Borna disease (BD) was a sporadic, epidemic encephalitis of horses and sheep in 18th and 19th century Central Europe, described as hitzige Kopfkrankheit “heated head disease” of cavalry horses in 1885 in the town of Borna in Saxony, Germany [3–5]. In horses, a variety of syndromes were recognized, including excitability and hyperactivity, movement and posture disorders [4, 6, 7]. Although typically fatal, there was sufficient variability in expression and progression of BD to imply that host, age, virus strain, and mode of infection may influence disease. Whether clinical features vary with host attributes or immune status would be followed up by veterinary pathologists and virologists.

Work in experimental BDV infection, begun in rats in the 1960’s [8] accelerated in the 1970’s and 1980’s with more rats, as well as mice, rabbits, tree shrews, and rhesus monkeys. Borna disease had distinct characteristics across species [6]. For example, rats showed hyperactive movements, behavior disorders, and poor maze learning [9–12] while most strains
of mice were asymptomatic [13]. Rhesus monkeys showed 
hyperactivity, aggression, disinhibited behaviors then apathy 
[14], and tree shrews, a lower primate, displayed abnormal 
sexual and social behaviors [15]. For study of BDV, small lab-

oratory animals were becoming an experimentally accessible, 
less expensive, and reproducible alternative to post mortem 
study of large domestic farm animals.

Early experimental animal work used Strain V, Giessen 
strain He/80 (Hessen/80) or field strains: wild-type virus 
from brain suspensions from horses that had recently died. 
Strain V originated from a naturally infected horse in 1929. 
Strain V was first prepared as wild-type virus in horse brain 
homogenate, then developed as a rabbit-adapted BD virus 
[3] and later rat- or mouse-adapted by serial brain passage 
in newborn rats or mice [11]. He/80, another horse-derived 
BDV isolate, was prepared first in rabbits by intracerebral 
inoculation and cultures of fetal rabbit brain, and then rat- 
or mouse-adapted by serial brain passage in newborns of the 
intended experimental species [10].

Not long after reports of an expanding natural host 
range [5] and demonstration of a broad experimental host 
range for BDV, came reports of the virus’ association with 
human neuropsychiatric diseases. From the 1985 proposal 
that Borna disease virus was an etiologic agent of manic-

depressive disorders [1], a large number of reports linking 
Borna disease virus to human affective disorders, schizophre-
nia, CNS inflammatory and degenerative diseases followed. 
The associations and controversies regarding human diseases 
are discussed in several comprehensive reviews [16, 17]. The 
early associations with human disease drove the development 
and further expansion of BD animal model systems and 
testing paradigms. Animal models could be used to address 
very specific questions, whether Borna disease virus is a cause 
or cofactor in human neuropsychiatric disease, for example, 
based on development of serologic, detection and transmis-
sion criteria, or recognition of biomarkers of disease.

In addition, the animal models could be used to advance 
more abstract lines of investigation: whether neurotropic 
viruses would elucidate aspects of nervous system function 
and plasticity. Because BDV was associated with psychiatric 
diseases of man in the late 1980’s, diseases with no underlying 
histopathology by standard microscopy techniques of the 
time, investigators began to consider whether infection by a 
non-lytic, slow-growing, neurotropic virus itself could 
render CNS pharmacologic and neurochemical changes. The 
background and experimental paradigms for ascertaining 
the validity of virus-induced neurotransmitter effects were 
already in place, due to widening knowledge of the func-
tional anatomy, neuropharmacology and neurochemistry of 
prefrontal anatomy, motor, reward, and limbic circuits rendered by 
animal models developed during the 1980’s and 1990’s [18]. 
Animal models for addiction and psychiatry were some of the 
most robust in neurobiology. The recording of movement, 
behavior or cognitive disorders in experimentally infected 
rodents [9–12, 19, 20] and primates [14, 15], led to the study of 
these syndromes in the context of specific circuit, neu-
rotransmitter or pharmacologic paradigms. In other words, 
a neural (neurotransmitter) systems approach to solving a 
problem in viral pathogenesis, such as how BDV causes 
movement and behavior disorders in affected species, devel-
oped. The approach would rely heavily on behavioral testing.

3. Adolescent-Infected Rats

In experimentally-infected adolescent rats, BDV caused a 
multiphasic syndrome characterized by hyperactivity, dysk-
inesias, stereotypes, excitability, stimulus sensitivity, self-
mutilation, followed by dystonia, ataxia, paresis, seizures and 
premature senescence [9, 19]. Interestingly, the early stage of 
disease resembled psychostimulant sensitization or overdose 
in rats. Looking like a syndrome of apparent dopamine 
( DA) excess or sensitivity, Borna disease of rats could be 
probed with specific pharmacologic agents to establish the 
neuropharmacological basis for the behaviors. Behavioral 
supersensitivity to the dopaminergic psychostimulants d-
amphetamine [19] and cocaine [21] was shown using pho-
tocell cages for automated activity recordings and behavior 
rating scales developed for the study of amphetamine dose-
response curves in rats.

Demonstration of psychostimulant sensitivity was fol-
lowed by study of pre- and postsynaptic effects of infection 
in dopaminergic extrapyramidal motor and reward circuits. In 
the nigrostriatal system, partial DA deafferentation and 
compensatory hyperactivity in surviving striatal nerve termin-
als [19] along with tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) hyperphos-
phorylation and TH metabolic hyperactivity in nigrostriatal 
projections [22] were bases for locomotor hyperactivity 
and stimulant sensitivity. Reduced dopamine D2 and D3 
receptors but preserved D1 receptor numbers in striatum 
(caudate putamen and nucleus accumbens) [19, 23] underlay 
the BD rat’s motor dyskinesias and later stage dystonia. Reduced 
D2 striatal receptors and the reduced indirect 
striatal pathway throughput that results, is the primary 
pathology also of Huntington’s disease, the choreic syndrome 
of man. Hyperactivity and fearless, disinhibited behaviors 
were associated with metabolic changes in the prefrontal 
DA circuits [24], while cognitive decline was linked to fore-
brain cholinergic loss [25, 26], a pathology of Alzheimer’s disease.

The neuropharmacologic and neurochemical changes 
supported the possibility of a link between BDV and human 
neuropsychiatric syndromes with dopaminergic substrates, 
such as schizophrenia, extrapyramidal (movement) disor-
ders, addictions, or cholinergic substrates, such as dementia. 
Further evidence of neuropharmacologic consequences of 
infection was provided by successfully treating BD rat 
abnormal behaviors with the atypical neuroleptic clozapine, 
or the D1 receptor antagonist SCH23390 [19], which reduces 
self-mutilation behaviors in Lesch-Nyhan patients.

Since the dopamine system is not mature in adoles-
cent rats, the changes in transmitter levels, synthetic and 
catabolic enzymes, and receptor numbers described could 
reflect direct, indirect viral effects, and some of the plastic 
consequences of early life viral insult. However, the most 
extensive evaluation of neurodevelopmental consequences of 
early life viral exposure has been with neonatally infected 
rats. Lewis rats intracerebrally infected at birth were the first 
 virus-induced Autism-Spectrum Disorders models.
4. Neonatal-Infected Rats

Borna disease of neonatally infected rats is a global neurodevelopmental disorder with psychomotor abnormalities, developmental delay, and learning difficulties measured by behavioral tests of reflexes, stance, balance, posture, gait, socialization, learning and play [27–29]. In neonatally-infected animals, the development of neuropathology roughly parallels the timecourse of microglial proliferation and expression of MHC (major histocompatibility complex) class I and class II, ICAM (intercellular adhesion molecule), CD4 and CD8 molecules [30]. Inflammatory, survival, and proapoptotic signals drive remodelling of the brain from early life. Highly plastic systems, such as the monoamines (dopamine, norepinephrine and serotonin) show changes that include reduced serotonin transmission [31–33], an important link to both autism and depression. The anatomic systems that register early life reflexes and programs, such as cerebellum and hippocampus, are permanently changed [28, 32, 34–39]. In fact, in rats, early life infection by BDV without an associated cellular inflammatory response in brain, produces many of the same neuroanatomical, neurochemical, neuroimmune and behavior changes recognized in children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. Significant parallel changes or sequelae include a dysplastic cerebellum, dissolution of the hippocampal dentate gyrus, early life deficits in hippocampal serotonin, and abnormalities in domains of social (play) behavior, emotion (chronic anxiety), and cognition (poor performance on spatial learning and memory) [40].

Whether neonatally infected BD rats specifically model viral effects in utero or effects on infant or child development depends on the experimental question and which regions, anatomic features, or behaviors are studied. For example, the birth of the majority of granule cells of dentate gyrus of hippocampus that takes place during first postnatal month in rats, occurs prenatally in man [41]. In this respect, the gross structure of the hippocampus of a newborn rat approximately matches a third trimester human. Therefore, disordered macroscopic development of hippocampus, as complex function of microglia activation [30, 42], neurotrophin and cytokine signaling [28, 43–45], metabolic and oxidative stress ([36, 37, 39], also described in adult infected animals, [46, 47]) has direct relevance to in utero virus exposure in man.

Alternatively, other outcome measures have relevance for postnatal or early life consequences of CNS viral exposure or injury. For example, synapse density in the molecular layer of hippocampus reaches adult levels at 21 postnatal days in rat and 7–10 postnatal months in man [41]. Structural causes of disability acquired in infancy would be related to reductions in synaptic growth-associated protein GAP-43, synaptophysin [48], connexin36 [49], and binding of BDV p24 phosphoprotein to neurite growth promoter, amphoterin/HMG-1 [50] found in neonatally infected rats. On the other hand, hippocampal-dependent learning and memory is established 15–16 postnatal days in rat, 4–5 postnatal years in man [41, 51], such that tests of learning can model consequences of viral exposure across a broad span of childhood. Acquisition of spatial and aversive learning, shown to be poor as neonatally infected BD rats mature [12], was associated with increased NPY (neuropeptide Y) expression in hippocampus [52]. Since NPY overexpressed in hippocampus of transgenic rats is associated with spatial learning deficits [53], the results from the BD rats are consistent with NPY upregulation being a viral induced neurochemical cause of compromised learning. The results reflect changes in a cognitive neurotransmitter system that is highly plastic throughout life, contributing to our understanding of neuropeptides in childhood learning.

5. Mice

Mice have bridged the gaps between pathogen to behavior and genes to behavior in several important ways. Transgenic technology has enabled studies of the role of individual Borna proteins in disease and molecular genetic mouse models have established mechanisms of host susceptibility and disease outcome.

A transgenic mouse model based on astroglial expression of the BDV phosphoprotein, had reduced BDNF (brain derived neurotrophic factor) levels, serotonin receptor transcripts and synaptic density, and behavioral abnormalities similar to those of neonatal rat infection [54]. Transgenic mice expressing the BDV nucleoprotein in neurons or astrocytes have decreased susceptibility to homotypic infection and disease [55].

Immune determinants of Borna disease have been investigated using genetic and molecular genetic mouse models [56]. Mice, similar to rats, could be infected with BDV by intracerebral inoculation once natural BDV isolates were mouse-adapted by serial passages in rat brain [57]. Most laboratory strains of mice develop persistent infection but remain well [57]. MRL strain mice are an exception, developing meningoencephalitic Borna disease and hyperactive, disinhibited behaviors after adolescent infection [13]. As in rats, severe clinical disease of mice is mediated by MHC class I restricted cytotoxic T cells [58]. Wild type MRL mice infected as neonates develop symptomatic disease mediated by antiviral CD8+ T cells, in contrast to β2 microglobulin-knock out MRL mice lacking CD8+ T cells that remain well [58]. In another β2 microglobulin-deficient strain, C57BL/10J, also lacking CD8+ T cells, BDV-mice develop persistent non-cytolytic infection with most neurons of hippocampus containing viral antigen. Only mice having high transcript levels of interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) inducible protein IP-10, a CXC chemokine and chemotactant for CXCR3+ T cells, showed poor performance on the water maze test of spatial memory and hippocampal function [59, 60]. CNS infection without hippocampal neuronal loss or maldevelopment distinguished neonatal mice from neonatal rat infections, and established a role of IFN-γ on behavior and learning when the hippocampus is apparently intact or without structural damage by infection.

Further studies have found differences across species in susceptibility of BDV to the antiviral action of IFN-γ. Rat IFN-γ is less efficient at blocking or reducing infection in
rat cell lines than is human IFN-γ at limiting or clearing virus from cells of human and nonhuman primate lineage [61].

6. Rats or Mice?

A switch to mice has never been complete. In many practical respects, rats are superior to mice, such as subjects of complex behavior or electroencephalographic studies. Biological strategies for gene silencing, such as small interfering RNA technology, may return some laboratories to the use of rats.

Meanwhile, BD rats can still make contributions to understanding gene × environment vulnerability questions if the human genetics of a disease are known. For example, epilepsy vulnerability has been associated with a dynorphin promoter region polymorphism, or low dynorphin expression genotype, in man [62]. In animals, the dynorphin system in the hippocampus is known to regulate excitability [63]. The hypothesis that reduced dynorphin expression in the dentate gyrus of hippocampus due to periadolescent virus exposure leads to epileptic responses was successfully tested with adolescent-infected BD rats. Epileptic effects were associated with an absence of dynorphin from the dentate gyrus granule cell layer, thus reproducing a neurochemical marker of epilepsy, namely low dynorphin tone [64].

7. Conclusion

Well-chosen animal models can be powerful tools for revealing how CNS viral infection results in disrupted behavior. The methods of behavioral research (use of photocell cages, video tracking, operant measures, EEG and telemetry, developmental ethnograms in home cages and test situations) were not the usual procedures and experimental vocabulary of virus researchers. However, research in BDV has helped to change that. Work on BDV in many labs over 2-3 decades has illustrated the choices of subject and test procedures that yielded important insights into pathogenesis. Work on adolescent-infected rats has shown how infection can cause long-term changes in emotional behavior and cognitive capacity. Work on neonatal rats has shown how infections during brain development can cause long-term changes in behavior and cognitive capacity. Studies on mice have shown infections during brain development can cause long-term changes in these parameters in genetically vulnerable individuals. All together, the studies have shown BDV causes pharmacologic and lesion effects in experimentally infected species with disease outcome related to genetic background and/or developmental maturity of nervous system at the time of viral exposure. The same drugs that treat psychiatric diseases of man treat or suppress the abnormal behaviors of infected rodents. A next step may be to make greater use of models based on genetic variants relevant for neuropsychiatric disorders to study outcomes of infection. It is anticipated that work on BD in animal models will continue to elucidate aspects of nervous system function and disease.
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