Parasitism disruption by ants of Anagyrus lopezi (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), parasitoid of cassava mealybug
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Abstract. Fanani MZ, Rauf A, Maryana N, Nurmanasyah A, Hindayana D. 2020. Parasitism disruption by ants of Anagyrus lopezi (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), parasitoid of cassava mealybug. Biodiversitas 21: 2337-2343. Parasitoid, Anagyrus lopezi (De Santis) (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) was introduced into Indonesia in 2014 to control the invasive cassava mealybug, Phenacoccus manihoti (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). Among ants associated with honeydew-producing hemipterans, there are three common species in Indonesia i.e., Anoplolepis gracilipes (Smith), Dolichoderus thoracicus (Smith), and Oecophylla smaragdina (Fabricius) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). The objective of this research was to investigate the relative influence of the three ant species on the parasitism of A. lopezi under laboratory conditions. Experiments were carried out by allowing a number of ants and parasitoids to forage on mealybugs-infested waterleaf (Talinum triangulare (Jacq.) Willd.). The average time spent by individual parasitoid foraging was significantly longer (27.39 minutes) on ant-excluded plants compared to ant-attended plants (2.47-4.68 minutes). Accordingly, parasitoid spent less time in finding hosts on ant-excluded plants, while spent a longer time in handling hosts. More oviposition activities by parasitoids on mealybug were occurred on ant-excluded plants compared to those on ant-attended plants. As a result, the percentage of parasitism and the number of wasps that emerged from the hosts on ant-excluded plants were almost 2-3 folds higher than those on ant-attended plants.
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INTRODUCTION

During the last ten years, at least three species of mealybugs (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) have invaded Indonesia. One of them is the cassava mealybug, Phenacoccus manihoti Matile-Ferrero. The pest is native to South America, but it was accidentally introduced into Africa in early 1970s (Bellotti et al. 2012) and Asia in 2008 (Parsa et al. 2012; Graziosi et al. 2015). The cassava mealybug was first detected in Indonesia in early 2010 (Muniappan et al. 2011). The pest has been found in Java, Sumatera, and Nusa Tenggara and caused heavy damage on cassava (Abduhalek et al. 2017; Wyckhuys et al. 2018a; Fanani et al. 2019). To control the pest, the host-specific endoparasitoid Anagyrus lopezi (De Santis) (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) was introduced from Paraguay to Nigeria in 1981 (Neuenschwander 2001), and subsequently to Thailand in 2009 (Winotai et al. 2010), and to Indonesia in 2014 (Wyckhuys et al. 2014).

Like most of the mealybugs, P. manihoti also produces honeydew and establishes a mutualistic relationship with ants (Vilela and Del-Claro 2018). Honeydew is a solution that primarily comprises sugars mixed with various amino acids (Detrain et al. 2010; Mansour et al. 2012). Therefore, honeydew is an attractive food for ants because it satisfies nutritional requirements that are fundamental for colony growth and survival (Hagenbucher et al. 2014; Shik et al. 2014; Novgorodova 2015). In exchange for honeydew, ants provide multiple services that benefit honeydew-producing hemipterans (Tena et al. 2016; Watanabe et al. 2019).

Primarily, ants protect hemipteran from natural enemies (Carabali-Banguero et al. 2013; Cheng et al. 2015; Freitas and Rossi 2015). Therefore, many studies have reported that the presence of ants can reduce the effectiveness of parasitoid wasp in controlling insect pests (e.g., Navarrete et al. 2013; Calabugui et al. 2015; Feng et al. 2015; Sadeghi-Namaghi and Amir-Jami 2018; Xu et al. 2020).

The level of ant interferences with parasitoids depends on the aggressiveness of the ant species (Mgocheki and Addison 2009). Buckley and Gullan (1991) reported a low parasitism rate (> 15%) of coccids in the presence of Tapinoma sp. and Iridomyrmex sp., and < 10% in the presence of the more aggressive Oecophylla sp. or Solenopsis sp. In Indonesia, among ants that are commonly associated with honeydew-producing hemipterans are a yellow crazy ant, Anoplolepis gracilipes (Smith), black cacao ant, Dolichoderus thoracicus (Smith), and weaver ants, Oecophylla smaragdina (Fabricius) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Anoplolepis gracilipes is considered as an aggressive ant (Hoffmann 2015), and even more O. smaragdina (Forbes and Northfield 2017; Migani et al. 2017), while D. thoracicus may be less aggressive than other species (Chong and Lee 2010). The aim of this study was to examine, under controlled conditions, the relative
influence of three ant species on the effectiveness of biological control of the cassava mealybug by the parasitoid, *A. lopezi*. Specifically, we determine the effect of ants on parasitoid oviposition activities, level of parasitism, and behavioral responses of the parasitoid.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

All experiments were conducted from August 2016 to May 2017 in the Laboratory of Insect Bionomy and Ecology, Faculty of Agriculture, IPB University, Bogor, Indonesia.

**Plants for insect mass-rearing**

Cassava cuttings (15 cm) were grown in transparent polyvinyl chloride (PVC) container (d = 8 cm, h = 11.5 cm) with 200 mL of tap water at the base. When cassava cuttings attained 8-10 leaves (about four weeks old), they were used for mass-rearing *P. manihoti*. Waterleaf (*Talinum triangulare* (Jacq.) Willd.) was utilized as a host-plant. Cassava cuttings (13-14 cm) were planted in plastic cup (d = 4 cm, h = 5 cm) filled with tap water. After the waterleaf seedlings had eight leaves, they were used as a host plant for *P. manihoti* during parasitoid-ant experiments. *Talinum triangulare* has been reported as an alternate host for the cassava mealybug (Essien et al. 2013).

**Insect colonies**

A laboratory colony of cassava mealybugs, initiated in 2010 from cassava fields in Bogor, was maintained on cassava seedlings in plastic cages (l = 75 cm, w = 50 cm, h = 50 cm). Colonies of *A. lopezi* were started in 2014 and mass-reared using third-instar nymphs of *P. manihoti*. The parasitoid colony was periodically refreshed with field-collected insects. The rearing conditions were maintained at 27 ± 2 °C, 60 ± 3% relative humidity and photoperiod of 12: 12 h (L:D).

The yellow crazy ant (*A. gracilipes*) and black cacao ant (*D. thoracicus*) colonies were collected from cassava fields, whereas the weaver ant (*O. smaragdina*) colony was collected from mango trees in Bogor, West Java. The ant colonies were taken carefully to the laboratory and maintained separately in plastic containers (d = 15 cm, h = 25 cm). A barrier of sticky material was applied to the edge of the container to prevent the ants from escaping. Food for *A. gracilipes* and *D. thoracicus* consisted of diluted honey solution (20%) absorbed into a cotton wool ball and placed in a plastic cup (d = 4.5 cm, h = 6.5 cm). The colonies of *O. smaragdina* were provided with living insects such as caterpillars, larva, and adult dipteran, in addition to honey solution.

**Effects of ants on parasitoid residence time and oviposition activities**

Waterleaf seedlings, each with 20 third-instar nymphs of *P. manihoti*, were transferred into cylindrical cages (d =10 cm, h = 22.3 cm). Thereafter, 20 worker ants of each species were transferred separately into the cage. Ants and mealybugs were allowed to interact for 3 h. The observation was started when a single mated female wasp was transferred into the experimental cage. The behavior of wasp was observed for 1 h and included length of time of a parasitoid spent on the plant, length of time searching, and handling hosts. In this study, host-handling time was defined as the sum of adult parasitoid female examination, probing, drilling, and oviposition on a host. The number of ovipositor insertions was also recorded. To determine oviposition success, mealybugs stung by the parasitoids were dissected in phosphate buffer solution (PBS) on object-glass using a pair of microneedles and examined under the Olympus type SZ51 stereo microscope (type WHSZ10X-H/22 lens). The number of parasitoid eggs oviposited in each mealybug was counted. The experiment consisted of ant-tended and ant-excluded treatments, and each was replicated eight times.

**Effect of ant on parasitism and wasp sex ratio**

Cassava cuttings each infested with 100 third-instar nymphs of *P. manihoti* were used in this experiment. A total of 100 workers of each ant species were transferred into the experimental cages (d = 24 cm, h = 55 cm) containing the *P. manihoti*-infested cassava cuttings and allowed to forage for 3 h. Thereafter, five two-day-old female and five male wasps were aspirated from the rearing cages and then gently introduced into the experimental cages. An ant-free cage with *P. manihoti* and *A. lopezi* only was included as a control. After 24 h exposure, both ants and parasitoid wasps were removed. Cages with exposed *P. manihoti* nymphs were then allowed to stand for 10 days, after which the total number of mummies was counted. The parasitoids within mummies were allowed to develop further, and the number of emerged wasps was recorded. The sex ratio was calculated as the proportion of the females to the total number of emerged wasps. The experiment was replicated eight times.

**Observation on the behavior of ants and wasps**

A single waterleaf seedling infested with 50 third-instar nymphs of the cassava mealybug was placed inside transparent plastic cylindrical (d =10, h = 22.3 cm) insect-rearing cage. Thereafter, 50 worker ants of each species were transferred separately into the cage and allowed to forage for 1 h. Five two-day-old mated *A. lopezi* were then introduced into the cages. Observations were made soon after the release of the parasitoids where the behavior of ants and parasitoids on plants were recorded at 10 minutes intervals for 1 h. Behavioral responses of *A. lopezi* toward worker ants were classified into four categories (Liere and Perfecto 2008; Tanga et al. 2016): (i) fly away when encountering an ant, (ii) jump away from ants on encounter, (iii) change of walking direction to avoid of physical contact with ants, and (iv) ignore ants on the encounter. Ant response toward parasitoid wasps was also recorded. This experiment was replicated eight times.

**Data analysis**

All data on the effect of three ant species on parasitoid oviposition activities, parasitism rate, and the number of
wasps emerged, and sex ratio was subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The homoscedasticity and normality of the data were firstly checked using the analytic method of Shapiro-Wilk. Data on the number of ovipositor insertions were transformed \( \sqrt{Y + 0.5} \). The means were compared using Tukey's Studentized Range (HSD) test at the \( \alpha \) level (\( p < 0.05 \)) to indicate significance. All statistical data analyses were conducted with SAS version 9.4 (SAS 2015).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Effects of ants on parasitoid residence time and oviposition activities

The presence of ants significantly affected (\( F_{3,31} = 3.94; \ P < 0.001 \)) residence time of parasitoids in the plants. In ant-excluded treatment, the parasitoid remained in plants for 27 minutes without having interference from the ants (Table 1). In ant-present treatment, the residence time of parasitoid in the plants was much shorter (4-6 minutes), as a result of ant interference. Our laboratory observation indicated when encountered by ants, parasitoids usually flew away onto the wall of the treatment cage, but several minutes later they came back to the plant to look for the mealybugs. Liere and Perfetto (2008) reported that parasitoid *Homalotylus shuvakhiniae* Trjapitzin spent a significantly shorter time on plants with ants than in the plants without ants. Similarly, Beltra et al. (2015) reported that the presence of ants *Lasius grandis* Forel on plants reduced the time spent by *Acrophagus cf. cocois*, a parasitoid of *Phenacoccus peruvianus* Granara de Willink.

**Table 1.** Length of time (x ± SE) of parasitoids spent in plants, finding hosts, and handling hosts during 1-h exposure under ant-present and ant-excluded treatments

| Treatment          | Duration (minutes) | Spent finding host | Spent handling hosts |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|                    | Ant-excluded       | 27.39 ± 3.84 b     | 7.44 ± 1.48 a        |
|                    |                    | 4.30 ± 0.49 a      |                      |
|                    | A. gracilipes      | 4.03 ± 1.65 b      | 44.64 ± 6.92 b       |
|                    |                    | 1.34 ± 0.58 b      |                      |
|                    | D. thoracicus      | 5.57 ± 1.16 b      | 24.63 ± 5.17 c       |
|                    |                    | 1.71 ± 0.37 b      |                      |
|                    | O. smaragdina      | 3.72 ± 1.05 b      | 23.55 ± 4.47 c       |
|                    |                    | 0.83 ± 0.23 b      |                      |

*Different alphabets within the same column are significantly different at \( p \leq 0.05 \)

Insect parasitoids need time to find, handle, and oviposit on their hosts (Heidari and Jahan 2000). If this searching or handling time is interrupted, the parasitism success might be significantly reduced (Liere and Perfetto 2008). In our laboratory experiment, the presence of ants showed a significant effect (\( F_{3,31} = 1.81; \ P < 0.001 \)) on the length of time spent by the parasitoids in finding host. The average time spent by a parasitoid to find the host was shorter in ant-excluded treatment than those in ant-present treatment. In ant-excluded treatment, parasitoid spent 7 minutes to find the hosts, whereas in ant-present treatment ranged 24 to 45 minutes (Table 1). There was no significant difference in length of time in finding hosts by parasitoids between *D. thoracicus* and *O. smaragdina* attended plants. However, parasitoid significantly took longer (45 minutes) to find hosts in plants attended with *A. gracilipes*. Our laboratory observations indicated that *A. gracilipes* were very active in harvesting honeydew from the mealybugs, causing more disruption to the parasitoids. The presence of ants in host plants also significantly affected (\( F_{3,31} = 3.41; \ P = 0.008 \)) duration of host handling by parasitoid. In ant-excluded treatment, parasitoid spent a longer time (4 minutes) handling hosts compared to those in ant-present treatment (1-2 minutes).

The length of time spent on host handling by parasitoid affected oviposition activities. The presence of ants significantly affected (\( F_{3,31} = 3.94; \ P = 0.004 \)), the number of ovipositor insertions and eggs laid by female parasitoids. In ant-excluded treatment, the average number of ovipositor insertions of parasitoids was 7.37 stings, which was higher than in ant-present treatments (1.12-2.75 stings) (Table 2). The number of ovipositor insertions was reflected by the number of eggs successfully laid in each treatment. There was a highly significant difference (\( F_{3,31} = 3.94; \ P = 0.004 \)) of the number of eggs laid by the parasitoid on the host between ant-excluded and ant-present treatments. The average number of eggs laid was 11.37 in ant-excluded treatments as compared to 1.37-2.37 in ant-present treatments. Accordingly, percentage of superparasitism was significantly higher (\( F_{3,31} = 3.49; \ P = 0.008 \)) in ant-excluded plants (13.75%) as compared to those in ant-present plants (1.25-3.12%). It has been reported that superparasitism is common in parasitoid *A. lopezi*, especially when the female oviposited on the third instar nymphs of the cassava mealybug (Adriani et al. 2016).

**Table 2.** Number (x ± SE) of ovipositor insertion, eggs laid, and rate of superparasitism by *A. lopezi* after 24 h exposure period to third instar nymphs of *P. manihoti* in ant-present and ant-excluded treatments

| Treatment          | No. of mealybugs offered | No. of ovipositor insertion | No. of eggs laid | Superparasitism rate (%) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Ant-excluded       | 20                       | 7.37 ± 0.90 a*              | 11.37 ± 1.80 a  | 13.75 ± 3.50 a          |
| A. gracilipes      | 20                       | 1.12 ± 1.72 b               | 1.37 ± 0.61 b   | 1.25 ± 0.81 b           |
| D. thoracicus      | 20                       | 2.75 ± 0.52 b               | 2.37 ± 0.53 b   | 3.12 ± 1.31 b           |
| O. smaragdina      | 20                       | 1.25 ± 0.59 b               | 1.75 ± 0.64 b   | 1.25 ± 1.05 b           |

*Different alphabets within the same column are significantly different at \( p \leq 0.05 \)
Effect of ants on parasitism rate and wasp emergence

The parasitism rate of *P. manihotii* by *A. lopezi* was significantly higher (*F*$_{3,31}$= 57.77; *P* <0.001) in the ant-excluded treatment, averaging 61.25% compared with less than 40% in the ant-present treatments (Figure 1). The lowest level of parasitism (22.25%) was found in the treatment of *O. smaragdina*, significantly different from those of *A. gracilipes* and *D. thoracicus*. Our studies revealed that the effectiveness of parasitoid *A. lopezi* was decreased in the presence of each of the three ant species, indicated by a lower rate of parasitism. The lower percentage of parasitism in the presence of ants can be attributed to a shorter time available for handling host (see Table 1, column 4). This is in agreement with other studies that showed a strong correlation between rapid host-handling with reduced success on an-attended treatment (Mgocheki and Addison 2009; Heidari and Jahan 2000; Tanga et al. 2016). In addition to parasitism, various species of parasitoid cause mortality through host feeding and mutilation (Cebolla et al. 2018; Kaser et al. 2018; Jia and Liu 2018) termed as non reproductive mortality (Abram et al. 2019). Parasitoid, *A. lopezi*, has been reported to cause such mortality to the host (Neuenschwander and Madojemu 1986). In this regard, although we did not investigate the effect of ant attendance on non-reproductive mortality, Martínez-Ferrer et al. (2003) have clearly demonstrated that mortality of red scale *Aonidiella aurantii* Maskell (Homoptera: Diaspididae) due to host feeding and mutilation by parasitoid *Comperiella bifasciata* Howard and *Aphytis melinus* DeBach was diminished by the presence of ants.

The disruptive effect of ant attendance on parasitism of cassava mealybugs as well as on other mealybug species has been previously reported by various authors. For example, Cudjoe et al. (1993) found that ants of three genera (*Pheidole megacephala* (Fabricius), *Camponotus* sp., *Crematogaster* sp.) reduced parasitism rates by *A. lopezi* to half, compared to the rates observed on mealybug colonies that were not attended by ants. Where ants were excluded experimentally by insecticide barriers, parasitism was 32%, compared to only 10% in control. Similarly, Tanga et al. (2016) reported the percentage of parasitism of *Rastrococcus iceryoides* (Green) (Hymenoptera: Pseudococcidae) by *Anagyrus pseudococci* Girault was significantly higher on ant-excluded plants (87%) compared to ant-present plants (52%). Number of *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hymenoptera: Pseudococcidae) which was successfully parasitized by *Aenasius bambavalei* Hayat (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) significantly lower in area with *Tapinoma melanocephalum* (Fabricius) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) than without ants which were 20 and 66%, respectively (Zhou et al. 2014).

Accordingly, the number of emerged *A. lopezi* wasps was significantly higher (*F*$_{3,31}$= 12.01; *P* <0.001) in the ant-excluded treatment, averaging 41.50 compared with those in the ant-present treatments, which were ≤ 25 emerged parasitoids (Table 3). The lowest number of emerged parasitoids (17.2) was found in the treatment of *O. smaragdina*, significantly different from treatments of *A. gracilipes* and *D. thoracicus*. A similar result was reported by Mansour et al. (2012) who found a higher number of parasitoid *Anagyrus cf. pseudococci* and *Leptomastix dactylopii* Howard (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) emerged in the ant-excluded treatment than in the ant-present treatment. The offspring sex ratio of *A. lopezi* was not significantly different (*F*$_{3,31}$= 1.71; *P* = 0.15) between the ant-excluded and ant-present treatments. In all treatments, the sex ratio was around 50%. This might indicate that presence of ants did not interfere with the mating activities of the parasitoids. Campos et al. (2006) also found that the offspring sex ratio of parasitoids, *A. pseudococci*, and *L. dactylopii*, was not altered by the presence of ant *Lasius niger* (Lateille). In contrast, Tanga et al. (2016) reported that offspring sex ratio of parasitoid *A. pseudococci* was more female-biased in the ant-excluded treatment compared to the ant-tended treatments, and they attributed this to the frequency of physical interference by ants.

![Figure 1. Parasitism rate (±SE) of *P. manihotii* by parasitoid *A. lopezi* after a 24-h exposure period to three ant species. Bars with different alphabets are significantly different at *p* ≤ 0.05.](image)

#### Table 3. Number (±SE) of *A. lopezi* emerged and its sex ratio after 24 h exposure period to third instar nymphs of *P. manihotii* in the ants-present and ant-excluded treatments

| Treatment       | No. of mealybugs offered | No. of emerged parasitoids | Sex ratio (% female) |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Ant-excluded    | 100                      | 41.50 ± 3.85 a*            | 52.53 ± 1.64 a      |
| *A. gracilipes* | 100                      | 25.00 ± 2.02 b             | 51.27 ± 2.05 a      |
| *D. thoracicus* | 100                      | 23.87 ± 1.36 bc            | 51.07 ± 2.06 a      |
| *O. smaragdina* | 100                      | 17.12 ± 1.23 c             | 56.10 ± 3.53 a      |

Note: *Different alphabets within the same column are significantly different at *p* ≤ 0.05
Attack behavior of ants and response of parasitoid wasps

Ants act simultaneously as hemipteran mutualists and as predators (Calabuig et al. 2014). Our laboratory observation indicated that ants often showed aggressive behavior toward A. lopexi, whether they encounter the parasitoids on the host plant or the wall of the cage, and attempt to attack them. The number of attack attempts by O. smaragdina was significantly higher (F3, 31 = 3.94; P = 0.004) than those by D. thoracicus (Figure 2). Anytime approaching parasitoid, O. smaragdina usually extended the mandibles. We have once observed O. smaragdina preying on the parasitoid wasp. Among the three ant species tested in our study, O. smaragdina attendance resulted in the greatest disruption of parasitism, followed by A. gracilipes, while D. thoracicus resulted in the least disruption. Oecophylla smaragdina is generally aggressive in nature (Forbes and Northfield 2017; Nalini and Manickavasagam 2019) such as African weaver ant, Oecophylla longinoda Laterreille (Tanga et al. 2016). Oecopylla spp. are known as predator of other arthropods of various sizes (Thurman et al. 2019). They use a strong mandible to capture and kill parasitoids. Tanga et al. (2016) suggested that the presence of A. longinoda has a detrimental effect on the abundance, reproductive success, and possibly oviposition strategy of parasitoid A. pseudococci. Several other species of ants have also been reported to be able to attack and kill parasitoid wasps, so their presence can reduce parasitism (Buckley and Gullan 1991; Mgocheki and Addison 2009; Liu et al. 2020). Daane et al. (2007) reported low parasitism rates for Pseudaphycus flavidulus (Brethes) when it parasitized Pseudococcus viburni (Signoret) in the presence of ant Linepithema humile (Mayr). Hence, ant-exclusion has potential as a sustainable pest management strategy for certain crops (Vanek and Potter 2010).

The parasitoids avoided close encounters with ants, mostly (76%), by changing their walking direction (Figure 3). When attacked, the parasitoids avoided being caught by flying away (22%) or jumping away (6%). A small proportion of parasitoids (4%) ignored the presence of ants and continued with the oviposition activities. The latter was especially found in the treatment with the non-aggressive ants D. thoracicus. In our laboratory experiments, ant especially O. smaragdina often attacked A. lopexi forcing to fly off the plant before finding their host nymphs. Thus, ant presence significantly reduced the time parasitoids spent on plants, resulting in reduced parasitism of P. manihoti on plants with ants relative to those without ants. However, even though ants interfered with the searching and ovipositing behavior of A. lopexi, they rarely caught or killed any parasitoid during experiments. The parasitoids were always able to avoid being caught by running, jumping, or flying away. It seems that the decreased parasitism of P. manihoti in plants with ants was not caused by parasitoid anti-induced mortality but by behavioral changes induced by the aggressive behavior of ants. When encountering to the ants in a host plant, parasitoid prefers to avoid by jumping, running away, and often flying away from plants. Avoidance behavior of parasitoids from being attacked by ants was also reported for other species e.g., A. pseudococci (Tanga et al. 2016), H. shuwakhinae (Liere and Perfecto 2008), and L. dactylopii (Campos et al. 2006). Consequently, parasitoids that abandoned oviposition and kept away from mealybugs to avoid ants will have a limited number of eggs that could be laid into the hosts.

Implication

Since 2010, the cassava mealybug has been rapidly spreading throughout Indonesia, as predicted previously by Yonow et al. (2017). Field observation indicated that higher plant damage was always associated with the abundance of ants (Fanani et al. 2019). Ant disruption of parasitoids of mealybugs is primarily an indirect relationship resulting from their interest in associated honeydew, which constitutes an important food resource for ants. Ants reduced mealybug parasitism through the act of disturbing parasitoid oviposition activity (Liere and Perfecto 2008). Therefore, even if ants do not often directly attack parasitoids, their presence can significantly reduce the parasitism percentage.

Figure 2. Number (±SE) of attack attempts by three ant species against parasitoid, A. lopexi for 1 h observation. Bars with different alphabet are significantly different at p ≤ 0.05

Figure 3. Response of parasitoid A. lopexi to avoid encounters and attacks by three ant species for 1-h observation (n = frequency of encounters and attacks)
Our laboratory data suggest that weaver ant (O. smaragdina) was very aggressive and had a greater negative effect on biological control of P. manihoti than the other two species. However, O. smaragdina was rarely found on cassava plants. They confined to making a nest on tree canopies (Itterbeck et al. 2015). Hence, their impact might be significant if cassava fields are located adjacent to trees having the ant nests. Based on their consistent attendance, and given their invasive nature and wide distribution, A. gracilipes is the most likely to influence biological control of mealybugs, present a serious threat to the biological control of hemipteran pests like the cassava mealybug (Hoffmann 2015). In agricultural areas they nest underground, predominantly in an open area (Hoffmann 2015), thereby posing a serious threat to mealybug parasitoids. However, their impact on mealybug biological control is limited to drier regions as they appear to be negatively affected by flooding (Addison and Samways 2000). Overall, Mgocheki and Addison (2009) considers parasitoid Anagyrus sp. as sensitive to ants, thereby greatly reducing their potential as biological control agents (Martínez-Ferrer et al. 2003). Despite this ant interference, mealybug populations in the cassava fields having parasitoid A. lopezi such as in various location in Java (Fanani et al. 2019) were generally below damaging level, as also occurred in Africa (Cudjoe et al. 1993) and several countries in Southeast Asia (Le et al. 2018; Thanchaoreon et al. 2018; Wyckhuys et al. 2018).

In conclusion, our laboratory studies provide several lines of evidence to indicate that the attendance of ants, especially O. smaragdina and A. gracilipes, on the cassava plants will likely reduce the effectiveness of the introduced parasitoid, A. lopezi in controlling the cassava mealybugs in the fields. However, since cassava plant is generally tolerant to foliar damage by the mealybugs, there will be no need to control the ant population in cassava fields.
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