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Summary

Background: Indirect sampling methods are not only inexpensive but also efficient for establishing reference intervals (RIs) using clinical data. This study was conducted to select fully normal records to establish age- and gender-specific RIs for common biochemical analytes by laboratory data mining.

Methods: In total, 280,206 records from 2014 to 2018 were obtained from Peking Union Medical College Hospital. Common biochemical analytes [total protein, albumin, total bilirubin (TBil), direct bilirubin (DBil), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), glutamyltranspeptidase (GGT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), potassium, sodium, chlorine, calcium, urea, glucose, uric acid (UA), inorganic phosphorus, creatinine (Cr), total cholesterol, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol] were measured using an automatic analyzer. Sources of variation were identified by multiple regression analysis. The 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles were calculated as the lower and upper limits of the RIs, respectively.

List of abbreviations: TP, total protein; Alb, albumin; TBil, total bilirubin; DBil, direct bilirubin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; GGT, glutamyl transpeptidase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; K, potassium; Na, sodium; Cl, chloride; Ca, calcium; Glu, glucose; UA, uric acid; IP, inorganic phosphorus; Cr, creatinine; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SVs, source of variation.
Results: Gender was the major source of variation among the 13 common biochemical analytes with an \( r_p > 0.15 \). In contrast to the value listed in the WS/T 404, nearly all RIs established in this study were significantly narrower. Furthermore, age-specific RIs should be determined for DBil, LDH, and urea, whereas gender-specific RIs are suggested for GGT, LDH, and urea.

Conclusions: We recommend that gender-specific RIs should be established for ALT, AST, GGT, DBil, Tbil, UA, and Cr as well as gender- and age-specific RIs for urea and ALP. Through indirect sampling, age- and gender-specific RIs for common biochemical analytes were established and analyzed.
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Introduction

As parameters routinely used for clinical interpretation, reference intervals were first described in 1969 (1) and defined as prediction intervals that include the central 95% of reference values (2). It is necessary to establish RIs for common analytes at large three-level general hospitals because the concentration of a given analyte may be affected by various factors such as gender, age, and ethnicity, among others (3). Numerous studies have reported common RIs based on traceable results that can be used for analytes with good traceability from different manufacturers to the same higher-order references (4–6). Reliable RIs are determined in completely healthy individuals but show variations (7–10). It is critical but difficult for each clinical laboratory to establish RIs (11), as it is not easy to recruit healthy individuals and ensure pre-analysis, mid-analysis, and post-analysis quality control. According to Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) C28-A3 guidelines, two methods should be used to establish RIs: direct and indirect sampling methods (3, 12). Establishing RIs by recruiting healthy individuals is a direct sampling method, which is costly and time-consuming (10, 13, 14). First described in 1963 (15), indirect sampling must include a large number of normal individual records from databases, which is based on data mining techniques, cost-effective, and easier to perform in less time with lower material resources (7, 16) compared to direct sampling methods.

Accordingly, the aim of this study was to enroll completely normal records, establish age- and gender-specific RIs for common biochemical analytes, and compare the RIs with those listed in WS/T 404 (18–24).

Materials and Methods

Source data

In total, 280,206 records from 2014 to 2018 were obtained from the Peking Union Medical College Hospital. Each record included height, weight, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), heart rate, nutrition status, past disease history, current symptoms, heart rhythm, abdominal palpation results, and basic biochemical measurements results. Basic laboratory measurements were collected including total protein (TP), albumin (Alb), total bilirubin (Tbil), direct bilirubin (DBil), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), glutamyltranspeptidase (GGT), alkaline phosphatase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), potassium (K), sodium (Na), chlorine (Cl), calcium (Ca), urea, glucose (Glu), uric acid (UA), inorganic phosphorus (IP), creatinine (Cr), total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C). All common biochemical analytes were measured on a Roche C8000 automatic biochemical analyzer (Basel, Switzerland). Body-weight was measured on a calibrated beam scale, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight divided by the square of the height (kg/m\(^2\)). Blood pressure was measured after the participants had rested quietly for at least 20 min. All testing records associated with internal quality control, external quality assessment, and other interfering factors during this period were reviewed. All results were anonymized before analysis.

Definition of the reference population

To establish RIs, we first defined »apparently healthy« individuals according to the protocol provided by the IFCC Committee on Reference Intervals and Decision Limits (17). The following participants were excluded: (1) patients with acute or chronic diseases that required medical intervention including respiratory diseases, circulatory system disorders, liver or kidney diseases, acute and chronic infections, metabolic and nutritional disorders, autoimmune diseases, endocrine diseases, hematological diseases, and malignant tumor; 2) patients with BMI \( \geq 28 \) or \( \leq 18.5 \) kg/m\(^2\); (3) patients with an SBP of \( \geq 160 \) mmHg or DBP of \( \geq 100 \) mmHg; and (4) patients with incomplete biochemical tests.
A total of 148,332 (52.9%) participants were enrolled in this study. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking Union Medical College Hospital of the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. As this study was retrospective in nature and the results were anonymized, informed consent for the use of samples was not required.

Definition of «fully normal individuals» by secondary exclusion

Secondary exclusion of candidate reference individuals was performed according to the Tukey method to exclude outliers. A previous study revealed that Alb, UA, Glu, HDL-C, LDL-C, TG, AST, ALT, and GGT represented changes in nutrition status and metabolic syndrome (the most prevalent latent disease) (25). Thus, we used the Tukey method to exclude abnormal test results. A total of 125,509 (44.8%) individuals considered as fully normal individuals were enrolled in the final analysis.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS 20.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Multiple regression analysis (MRA) was performed to identify sources of variation (SVs) potentially affecting common biochemical analytes. A standardized partial regression coefficient ($r_p$) of $>0.15$ was considered practically significant, corresponding to $P < 0.0001$ with a large sample size of approximately 1500. Analysis of variance or the Mann-Whitney U test was performed to evaluate the significance of differences between subgroups, such as gender and age (26, 27). Using a nonparametric method, RIs were calculated as the 2.5th percentile confidence interval ($P_{2.5}$) and 97.5th percentile confidence interval ($P_{97.5}$) according to CLSI C28-A3 (12).

Results

Basic characteristics of enrolled fully normal individual records

A total of 125,509 fully normal individual records (male: $n = 55,885$; female: $n = 69,624$) were enrolled in this study (Table I).

|                | Male              | Female             | P-value   | Total    |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|
| N (%)          | 55885 (44.5%)     | 69624 (55.9%)      | ----      | 125509   |
| Age, years     | 38.8 ± 10.9       | 38.9 ± 10.6        | 0.385     | 38.8 ± 10.7 |
| BMI, kg/m²     | 25.9 ± 2.2        | 22.2 ± 2.3         | < 0.001   | 22.9 ± 2.4 |
| SBP, mmHg      | 120 ± 14          | 110 ± 14           | < 0.001   | 115 ± 15  |
| DBP, mmHg      | 75 ± 9            | 68 ± 9             | < 0.001   | 71 ± 9    |

$a$: P values between male and female.

SVs of RIs of common biochemical analytes

MRA was performed to evaluate the SVs of common biochemical analytes. The results are shown in Table II. Gender was the major SV for Alb, TBil, DBil, ALT, GGT, ALP, AST, Na, Ca, urea, UA, Cr, and HDL-C ($r_p > 0.15$). Age was also an important SV for TP, AST, LDH, Na, Cl, Ca, urea, Glu, TC, TG, and LDL-C. BMI was associated with ALT, GGT, TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C. However, SBP and DBP showed no significant association with any common biochemical analytes.

Distribution of common biochemical analytes by gender and age

The distribution of common biochemical analytes of fully healthy individuals according to gender are shown in Table III. The median TBil, DBil, ALT, GGT, ALP, AST, LDH, urea, UA, Cr, TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C values in males were significantly higher than those in females. TP, Alb, K, Na, Cl, IP, Ca, Glu, and TC were significantly different between males and females. Common biochemical analyte levels by age are shown in Table IV. The serum levels of GGT, LDH, urea, Glu, Cr, TC, TG, and LDL-C increased with age, whereas HDL-C levels decreased with age. The ALP levels were sharply increased in patients older than 50 years of age.

RIs of common biochemical analytes

Based on their SVs and distribution, the RIs for common biochemical analytes were calculated. All RIs established in this study were significantly narrower than those given by the WS/T 404 (18–24) (Supplemental Table I). The ranges of TP, ALT, GGT, ALP, AST, K, Na, Cl, and IP in this study were significantly lower, whereas the distributions of Alb, TBil, and Ca were higher than those suggested by the WS/T 404. We recommended establishing gender-specific RIs for ALT, AST, GGT, DBil, TBil, UA, and Cr and gender- and age-specific RIs for urea and ALP.
Table II Source of variations in RIs of common biochemical analytes (rp).

| Analyte      | R        | Gender | Age  | BMI    | SBP    | DBP    |
|--------------|----------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|
| TP, g/L      | 0.269    | 0.007  | 0.222| 0.058  | 0.099  | 0.110  |
| Alb, g/L     | 0.366    | -0.385 | 0.051| 0.044  | -0.017 | -0.018 |
| TBil, μmol/L | 0.309    | -0.312 | 0.037| -0.090 | 0.028  | 0.011  |
| DBil, μmol/L | 0.3      | -0.300 | 0.059| -0.128 | 0.048  | -0.013 |
| ALT, U/L     | 0.472    | -0.313 | 0.023| 0.216  | 0.019  | 0.042  |
| GGT, U/L     | 0.498    | -0.330 | 0.102| 0.193  | -0.020 | 0.095  |
| ALP, U/L     | 0.338    | -0.185 | 0.143| 0.090  | 0.107  | -0.009 |
| AST, U/L     | 0.327    | -0.216 | 0.180| 0.043  | 0.056  | -0.002 |
| LDH, U/L     | 0.314    | -0.059 | 0.173| 0.099  | 0.148  | -0.010 |
| K, mmol/L    | 0.063    | 0.017  | 0.021| 0.057  | 0.019  | -0.028 |
| Na, mmol/L   | 0.349    | -0.276 | 0.182| 0.008  | 0.061  | -0.029 |
| Cl, mmol/L   | 0.233    | 0.110  | 0.161| 0.077  | -0.069 | -0.058 |
| Ca, mmol/L   | 0.283    | -0.172 | 0.159| -0.050 | 0.109  | 0.039  |
| Urea, mol/L  | 0.36     | -0.308 | 0.192| 0.018  | 0.020  | -0.048 |
| Glu, mmol/L  | 0.366    | -0.060 | 0.216| 0.120  | 0.116  | 0.040  |
| UA, μmol/L   | 0.668    | -0.557 | 0.085| 0.181  | 0.033  | 0.020  |
| IP, mmol/L   | 0.31     | 0.220  | 0.126| -0.075 | -0.001 | -0.036 |
| Cr, μmol/L   | 0.771    | -0.767 | 0.019| 0.012  | 0.010  | -0.011 |
| TC, mmol/L   | 0.311    | 0.050  | 0.267| 0.066  | 0.020  | 0.066  |
| TG, mmol/L   | 0.451    | -0.134 | 0.172| 0.257  | -0.018 | 0.128  |
| HDL-C, mmol/L| 0.485    | 0.294  | 0.045| -0.295 | 0.033  | -0.058 |
| LDL-C, mmol/L| 0.363    | -0.059 | 0.249| 0.151  | 0.007  | 0.069  |

R represents the multiple correlation coefficient. Values shown are standardized partial regression coefficients (rp). Values of (rp) ≥ 0.15 that were significant are marked in bold letters.

Table III Distribution of common biochemical analytes by gender.

| Analyte      | Total   | Male    | Female  |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|
|              | Median  | LL      | UL      |
|              | Median  | LL      | UL      |
| TP, g/L      | 73      | 66      | 80      |
| TBil, μmol/L | 11      | 5       | 26      |
| DBil, μmol/L | 4.1     | 2.1     | 8.3     |
| ALT, U/L     | 15      | 7       | 38      |
| GGT, U/L     | 16      | 8       | 45      |
| ALP, U/L     | 58      | 35      | 98      |
| AST, U/L     | 17      | 11      | 28      |
| LDH, U/L     | 162     | 121     | 219     |
| K, mmol/L    | 4.2     | 3.7     | 4.9     |
| Na, mmol/L   | 141     | 137     | 144     |
| Cl, mmol/L   | 102     | 98      | 106     |
| IP, mmol/L   | 1.15    | 0.87    | 1.44    |
| Ca, mmol/L   | 2.37    | 2.20    | 2.54    |
| Urea, mol/L  | 4.34    | 2.60    | 6.90    |
| Glu, mmol/L  | 5.0     | 4.3     | 6.0     |
| UA, mol/L    | 295     | 177     | 475     |
| Cr, mol/L    | 69      | 49      | 100     |
| TC, mmol/L   | 4.5     | 3.2     | 6.4     |
| TG, mmol/L   | 0.95    | 0.44    | 2.50    |
| HDL-C, mmol/L| 1.36    | 0.86    | 2.15    |
| LDL-C, mmol/L| 2.80    | 1.63    | 4.44    |

LL: lower limit; UL: upper limit.
Table IV Distribution of common biochemical analytes by age.

| Analyte | 19–29 years | 20–29 years | 30–39 years | 40–49 years | 50–64 years | ≥65 years |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
|         | median      | LL          | UL          | median      | LL          | UL        | median      | LL          | UL          | median      | LL          | UL        |
| TP, g/L  | 74          | 67          | 81          | 73          | 66          | 80        | 72          | 65          | 79          | 72          | 64          | 80        |
| Tbil, µmol/L | 11         | 5           | 27          | 11          | 5           | 26        | 10          | 5           | 25          | 10          | 5           | 24        |
| DBil, µmol/L | 4.3        | 2.2         | 8.8         | 4.1         | 2.1         | 8.3       | 3.9         | 2.0         | 7.9         | 3.9         | 2.1         | 7.8       |
| ALT, U/L | 14          | 6           | 59          | 15          | 7           | 59        | 16          | 7           | 38          | 17          | 9           | 37        |
| GGT, U/L | 14          | 7           | 39          | 15          | 8           | 44        | 16          | 8           | 47          | 18          | 9           | 47        |
| ALP, U/L | 57          | 34          | 95          | 57          | 34          | 96        | 57          | 35          | 94          | 66          | 40          | 106       |
| AST, U/L | 17          | 11          | 27          | 17          | 11          | 28        | 17          | 12          | 28          | 19          | 13          | 30        |
| LDH, U/L | 159         | 121         | 213         | 158         | 120         | 212       | 162         | 121         | 216         | 173         | 130         | 232       |
| K, mmol/L | 4.2         | 3.7         | 4.9         | 4.2         | 3.7         | 4.8       | 4.2         | 3.7         | 4.8         | 4.3         | 3.7         | 4.9       |
| Na, mmol/L | 140        | 137         | 144         | 140         | 137         | 144       | 140         | 137         | 144         | 141         | 138         | 145       |
| Cl, mmol/L | 102        | 106         | 106         | 102         | 98          | 106       | 102         | 98          | 106         | 103         | 98          | 107       |
| IP, mmol/L | 1.21       | 0.93        | 1.48        | 1.15        | 0.88        | 1.43      | 1.12        | 0.86        | 1.40        | 1.15        | 0.85        | 1.44      |
| Ca, mmol/L | 2.40       | 2.24        | 2.57        | 2.37        | 2.20        | 2.54      | 2.34        | 2.18        | 2.52        | 2.36        | 2.20        | 2.54      |
| Urea, mol/L | 4.18       | 2.50        | 6.67        | 4.23        | 2.56        | 6.64      | 4.36        | 2.62        | 6.95        | 4.71        | 2.92        | 7.33      |
| Glu, mmol/L | 4.9        | 4.2         | 5.7         | 5.0         | 4.3         | 5.8       | 5.1         | 4.3         | 6.0         | 5.2         | 4.4         | 6.3       |
| UA, µmol/L | 303        | 185         | 484         | 295         | 177         | 479       | 285         | 168         | 467         | 296         | 185         | 460       |
| Cr, µmol/L | 70          | 49          | 101         | 69          | 48          | 100       | 69          | 48          | 100         | 70          | 49          | 100       |
| TC, mmol/L | 4.25        | 3.07        | 5.91        | 4.42        | 3.16        | 6.12      | 4.65        | 3.30        | 6.36        | 5.00        | 3.46        | 6.84      |
| TG, mmol/L | 0.80        | 0.41        | 2.09        | 0.91        | 0.44        | 2.45      | 1.02        | 0.47        | 2.59        | 1.18        | 0.54        | 2.68      |
| HDL-C, mmol/L | 1.40       | 0.88        | 2.15        | 1.34        | 0.85        | 2.12      | 1.35        | 0.85        | 2.14      | 1.35        | 0.85        | 2.20      |
| LDL-C, mmol/L | 2.52       | 1.51        | 4.04        | 2.72        | 1.60        | 4.28      | 2.92        | 1.75        | 4.47        | 3.21        | 1.92        | 4.82      |

LL: lower limit; UL: upper limit.

Discussion

Common biochemical analytes are very important for evaluating the health condition of individuals, and reliable RIs are needed for physicians to interpret test results and diagnoses. Many clinical laboratories directly use RIs from foreign manufacturers or those found in the scientific literature; however, many factors including different ethnicity, analyzers, gender, and age can affect the distribution of biochemical analytes (14). Therefore, it is important to identify fully normal individual records and establish RIs for common biochemical analytes. Accordingly, an indirect sampling method and nonparametric analysis were applied to establish RIs for common biochemical analytes in this study.

As suggested by the results of MRA, gender was the major SV for 13 common biochemical analytes, whereas age was an important SV for 11 analytes. We found that gender-specific RIs should be established for ALT and AST, which have narrow RIs according to reported guidelines (18–23). ALP levels were sharply increased in patients greater than 50 years old, which is consistent with the results of a previous study (17). Additionally, the ranges of TP, ALT, GGT, ALP, AST, K, Na, Cl, and IP in this study were significantly lower, which is consistent with the results of previous studies (17–23). Based on the results described above, the RIs of common biochemical analytes were established in this study, most of which were significantly narrower than those listed in the WS/T 404 (18-23). There were several advantages in this study. Firstly, few studies have established RIs for common biochemical analytes using the indirect sampling method (13, 29). Use of the direct sampling method requires the recruitment of healthy individuals rather than those listed in the WS/T 404. Additionally, the ranges of TP, ALT, GGT, ALP, AST, K, Na, Cl, and IP in this study were significantly lower, while the distributions of Tbil, and Ca were higher than those in the WS/T 404.

There were several advantages in this study. Firstly, few studies have established RIs for common biochemical analytes using the indirect sampling method (13, 29). Use of the direct sampling method requires the recruitment of healthy individuals rather than those listed in the WS/T 404. Additionally, the ranges of TP, ALT, GGT, ALP, AST, K, Na, Cl, and IP in this study were significantly lower, while the distributions of Tbil, and Ca were higher than those in the WS/T 404.
### Supplemental Table I: Comparison reference interval of common biochemical analytes between this study and WS/T 404.

| Analyte | Unit       | Sex       | Age     | Reference interval |
|---------|------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| Urea    | mmol/L     | Male      | 20–59   | 3.1–8.0            |
|         | mmol/L     | Male      | 60–79   | 3.9–9.5            |
|         | mmol/L     | Female    | 20–59   | 2.6–7.5            |
|         | mmol/L     | Female    | 60–79   | 3.1–8.8            |
| Cr      | μmol/L     | Male      | 20–59   | 57–97              |
|         | μmol/L     | Male      | 60–79   | 57–111             |
|         | μmol/L     | Female    | 20–59   | 41–73              |
|         | μmol/L     | Female    | 60–79   | 41–81              |
| Ca      | mmol/L     | Total     | None    | 2.11–2.52          |
| IP      | mmol/L     | Total     | None    | 0.85–1.51          |
| Mg      | mmol/L     | Total     | None    | 0.75–1.02          |
| LD      | U/L        | Total     | None    | 120–250            |
| CK      | U/L        | Male      | None    | 50–310             |
|         | U/L        | Female    | None    | 40–200             |
| AMY     | U/L        | Total     | None    | 35–135             |
| TP      | g/L        | Total     | None    | 65–85              |
| Alb     | g/L        | Total     | None    | 40–55              |
| GLB     | g/L        | Total     | None    | 20–40              |
| K       | mmol/L     | Total     | None    | 3.5–5.3            |
| Na      | mmol/L     | Total     | None    | 137–147            |
| Cl      | mmol/L     | Total     | None    | 99–110             |
| TBil    | μmol/L     | Male      | None    | ≤26                |
|         | μmol/L     | Female    | None    | ≤21                |
|         | μmol/L     | Total     | None    | ≤23                |
| DBIL    | μmol/L     | Total     | None    | ≤8                 |
| ALT     | U/L        | Male      | None    | 9–50               |
|         | U/L        | Female    | None    | 7–40               |
| ALT (with 5’ pyridoxal phosphate) | U/L | Male | None | 9–60               |
|         | U/L        | Female    | None    | 7–45               |
| AST     | U/L        | Male      | None    | 15–40              |
|         | U/L        | Female    | None    | 13–35              |
| AST (with 5’ pyridoxal phosphate) | U/L | Male | None | 15–45              |
|         | U/L        | Female    | None    | 13–40              |
| ALP     | U/L        | Male      | None    | 45–125             |
|         | U/L        | Female    | None    | 35–100             |
|         | U/L        | Female    | 20–49   | 35–100             |
|         | U/L        | Female    | 50–79   | 50–135             |
| GGT     | U/L        | Male      | None    | 10–60              |
|         | U/L        | Female    | None    | 7–45               |
than directly collecting big clinical data, which is time-consuming and costly (50). In contrast, using an indirect sampling method is much easier and more cost-efficient. We also included a large cohort of patients for whom health checkups were performed for five years at the same facility to reduce inter-assay variation. Otherwise, all measurements were performed according to our laboratory standard operating procedures. Our laboratory also takes part in external quality assessments by the National Center for Clinical Laboratories and the College of American Pathologists to guarantee the accuracy and reliability of our study (9).

In this study, we identified the main SVs contributing to the distribution of common biochemical analytes using the MRA method and established age- or gender-specific RIs using an indirect sampling method. We recommend that gender-specific RIs should be established for ALT, AST, GGT, DBil, TBil, UA, and Cr, as well as gender- and age-specific RIs for urea and ALP. Using an indirect sampling method may enable more laboratories to establish their own RIs, particularly for specific populations such as the elderly and children. Guidelines for determining standard RIs based on big clinical data are needed.
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