Circular orbits in Kerr-Taub-NUT spacetime and their implications for accreting black holes and naked singularities
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Abstract

It has recently been proposed that the accreting collapsed object GRO J1655–40 could contain a non-zero gravitomagnetic monopole, and hence could be better described with the more general Kerr-Taub-NUT (KTN) spacetime, instead of the Kerr spacetime. This makes the KTN spacetime astrophysically relevant. In this paper, we study properties of various circular orbits in the KTN spacetime, and find the locations of circular photon orbits (CPOs) and innermost-stable-circular-orbits (ISCOs). Such orbits are important to interpret the observed X-ray spectral and timing properties of accreting collapsed objects, viz., black holes and naked singularities. Here we show that the usual methods to find the ISCO radius do not work for certain cases in the KTN spacetime, and we propose alternate ways. For example, the ISCO equation does not give any positive real radius solution for particular combinations of Kerr and NUT parameter values for KTN naked singularities. In such a case, accretion efficiency generally reaches 100% at a particular orbit of radius \( r = r_0 \), and hence we choose \( r = r_0 \) as the ‘ISCO’ for practical purposes.

1 Introduction

The primary purpose of this paper is to study the stable circular orbits (SCOs) in the Kerr-Taub-NUT (KTN) spacetime, which is a geometrically stationary and axisymmetric vacuum solution of the Einstein equation. The marginally stable circular orbit (also known as innermost-stable-circular-orbit or ISCO) plays a very important role in the relativistic astrophysics and for accreting X-ray sources [1]. It is well-known that equatorial circular orbits with \( r \geq r_{\text{ISCO}} \) (\( r_{\text{ISCO}} \) is the radius of ISCO) are stable, whereas those with \( r < r_{\text{ISCO}} \) are unstable. Accretion flows of the free matter can continue its circular motion in the orbits \( r \geq r_{\text{ISCO}} \) and it faces radial free-fall for \( r < r_{\text{ISCO}} \). However, to study the SCOs in the KTN spacetime one should first start with the study of geodesics. The preliminary studies on geodesics in the KTN spacetime was done in [2, 3] but there was no detailed discussion on the SCOs. The aim of this paper is to find the SCOs which are physically realistic and also relevant for the accretion flows of the free matter. These orbits could be extremely important as they are astrophysically relevant for studying the accretion physics as well as some other astrophysical phenomena in KTN spacetime. We show that all SCOs, which are derived from the usual stability analyses of the orbits, cannot exist in the KTN spacetime. Rather, some SCOs are not feasible, and the innermost SCO (or, we can call it as ‘physical ISCO’) is determined from the remaining SCOs. The unfeasibility happens due to the special geometric structure of the KTN spacetime, which we discuss in Secs. 4.2.1–4.2.4. We show that the test particle (or, we can say accreting matter) moving in the KTN spacetime cannot continue its stable circular motion at the unfeasible SCOs. Mainly, the
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unusual behaviors in the ergoregion, Kepler frequency and the energy of the orbits prevent the test particle to have all of the SCOs. The same situation does not arise in the Kerr spacetime, as well as some other known stationary and axisymmetric spacetimes. Here, one immediate question is, which fundamental entity is responsible for this peculiarity? The answer is the gravitomagnetic monopole or the so-called NUT parameter.

Historically, Newmann, Unti and Tamburino (NUT) [4] discovered a stationary and spherically symmetric [5, 6] vacuum solution (which is now known as the NUT solution) of the Einstein’s equation, that contains the gravitomagnetic monopole or NUT parameter. This solution is related to neither merely post-Newtonian nor some modified theory [7, 8]. However, Demianski and Newman found that the NUT spacetime is in fact produced by a ‘dual mass’ [9] or the gravitomagnetic charge/monopole. NUT spacetime is the generalized version of the Schwarzschild spacetime with the non-zero NUT charge and if the NUT charge vanishes, the NUT solution reduces to the well-known Schwarzschild solution. If the Kerr spacetime contains the NUT parameter or vice-versa, it is regarded as the KTN spacetime. Gravitomagnetic monopole is basically the gravitational analogue of Dirac’s magnetic monopole [10, 11] and Bonnor [12] physically interpreted it as ‘a linear source of pure angular momentum’ [7, 13], i.e., ‘a massless rotating rod’. The gravitomagnetic monopole is a fundamental aspect of physics and the Einstein-Hilbert action requires no modification [8] to accommodate it.

Lynden-Bell and Nouri-Zonoz [6] were perhaps the first to motivate the investigation on the observational possibilities for gravitomagnetic monopoles. They suggested that the signatures of gravitomagnetic monopole might be found in the spectra of supernovae, quasars, or active galactic nuclei [6, 14]. They also studied the effects of gravitomagnetic monopole aka NUT charge on light rays as a gravitational lens and microlens [15, 16]. In another work, the local velocity of an orbiting star in the equatorial plane of a Kerr and a KTN black hole was studied in relation to its spectral line shifts, as measured by the distant observers [17]. Interestingly, it was also proposed that the charged perfect fluid disks could be the sources of Taub-NUT-type spacetimes [18], and the KTN solution representing relativistic thin disks could be of great astrophysical importance [18, 19, 20]. In fact, several interesting observational consequences were proposed [19, 21, 22, 23] for the KTN spacetime in last few years but the practical ways to detect it, were not proposed [24].

KTN spacetime is the stationary and axisymmetric vacuum solution of the Einstein equation. As we know that the axisymmetric vacuum solutions of Einstein equation are used to describe a wide range of black holes appear in the Universe and the Plebański-Demiański (PD) metric is the most general solution until now [25]. Schwarzschild, Kerr, Kerr-Newman, Taub-NUT, KTN, Reissner-Nordström, and all other well-known vacuum solutions are the special cases of this PD metric. Among all these solutions, the most prominent solution is Kerr spacetime, as it is astrophysically relevant. However, in a very recent paper [24], the first observational indication of the gravitomagnetic monopole has been reported and this makes the KTN spacetime astrophysically relevant. Based on the X-ray observations of an astrophysical collapsed object, black hole (BH) or naked singularity (NS), GRO J1655–40, it has been inferred there that this object contains the non-zero gravitomagnetic monopole. It was found earlier that the three independent primary X-ray observational methods gave significantly different spin values for the above mentioned accreting collapsed object. Employing a new technique, Ref. [24] has demonstrated that the inclusion of one extra parameter (i.e., gravitomagnetic monopole or NUT parameter n) not only makes the spin and other parameter values inferred from the three methods consistent with each other, but also makes the inferred black hole mass consistent with an independently measured value. Therefore, it may be advantageous to use the more general KTN spacetime for accreting collapsed objects, such as GRO J1655–40. This motivates us to study SCOs and CPOs in KTN spacetime.

The scheme of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2, we briefly recapitulate the KTN spacetime. We discuss the usual methods to obtain the radii of SCOs and the ISCO in Sec. 3. These methods are based on the stability analyses of the circular orbits, which are derived from the effective
potential formalism, as well as from the radial epicyclic frequency. The detailed discussions on the locations of SCOs and/or the ISCO are covered in Sec. 4. As we have already mentioned, some SCOs are unfeasible and we discuss this process in Secs. 4.2.1–4.2.4, which is extremely important for the KTN spacetime. The similar studies of Secs. 4.2.1–4.2.4 could also be relevant in future to find the accessible SCOs in other spacetimes. Sec. 5 is devoted to the radii of CPOs in the KTN BHs and KTN NSs. Finally, we conclude in Sec. 6.

2 Brief description of Kerr-Taub-NUT spacetime

The metric of the KTN spacetime can be expressed as \[ ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta}{p^2} (dt - A d\phi)^2 + \frac{p^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + p^2 d\theta^2 + \frac{1}{p^2} \sin^2 \theta (adt - B d\phi)^2 \] (1)

with

\[ \Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 - n^2, \quad p^2 = r^2 + (n + a \cos \theta)^2, \quad A = a \sin^2 \theta - 2n \cos \theta, \quad B = r^2 + a^2 + n^2 \] (2)

where \( M \) is the mass, \( a_\ast = a/M \) is the Kerr parameter and \( n_\ast = n/M \) is the NUT parameter. Setting \( \Delta = 0 \) and \( g_{tt} = 0 \), one can obtain the radii of the outer horizon and outer ergoregion as

\[ r_h = M(1 + \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2 - a_\ast^2}) \] and \[ r_e = M(1 + \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2 - a_\ast^2 \cos^2 \theta}) \] (3)

respectively. These two quantities are relevant for the astrophysical purposes. One can see that the radius of the ergoregion depends on the value of \( n_\ast \) in the equatorial plane : \( r_e|_{\theta=\pi/2} = M(1 + \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2}) \), whereas it becomes \( 2M \) [27] for all values of \( a_\ast \) in the Kerr spacetime. Interestingly, \( p^2 \) vanishes at [28]

\[ r = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \cos^{-1}(-n_\ast/a_\ast), \] (4)

which indicates the location of singularity in KTN spacetime. Therefore, the above expression (Eq. 4) reveals that the singularity does not arise for \( n_\ast > a_\ast \), which indicates a singularity-free KTN BH, whereas for a KTN BH with \( n_\ast = a_\ast \), singularity arises at \( \theta = \pi \), covered by the horizon. However, the singularity always arises for the \( n_\ast < a_\ast \) case which could be a KTN BH or a KTN NS depending on the numerical values of \( a_\ast \) and \( n_\ast \). As the horizon \( (r_h) \) vanishes for \( a_\ast > \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2} \), one can always obtain a KTN NS in this case, whereas a KTN BH with singularity (covered by the horizon) arises if and only if the following condition is satisfied : \( n_\ast \leq a_\ast \leq \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2} \).

3 Basic discussions for obtaining the innermost stable circular orbits

It is well-known that a thin accretion disk can extend up to ISCO, and not inside ISCO. We note that, throughout this paper, the ISCO and other discussed limiting equatorial circular orbits are considered the innermost edge of a thin accretion disk. In this section, we briefly describe the two ways to derive the so-called ISCO equation, which are based on the usual stability analyses of the orbits. One way to derive it is from the expression of the radial epicyclic frequency \( (\Omega_r) \), and another way is from the effective potential formalism. However, as the expression of \( \Omega_r \) can be derived from the effective potential [29], these two approaches are not independent to each other.
3.1 Effective potential formalism and stable circular orbits

We will not repeat the whole derivation in this paper, but one can easily derive the ISCO equation for KTN spacetime from the expression of effective potential \( V_{\text{eff}} \) which can be expressed as (see Eq. (71) of [2])

\[
V_{\text{eff}}(r, E, L) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (E^2 - 1) - \frac{P^2 - (r^2 + n^2 + O^2)\Delta}{(r^2 + n^2)^2} \right]
\]

where

\[
P(r) = BE - La \quad \text{and} \quad O(r) = L - aE.
\]

E and L indicate the energy and angular momentum of a test particle which orbits around a KTN collapsed object. For \( n = 0 \), the effective potential reduces to Eq. (15.20) of [1] which is valid in the Kerr spacetime. One intriguing feature of \( V_{\text{eff}} \) is that it is finite at \( r = 0 \) due to the presence of NUT charge, whereas it diverges in the cases of Schwarzschild and Kerr geometries. This can be found from the following expression:

\[
V_0 = V_{\text{eff}}|_{r=0} = \frac{a^2(1 - 3E^2) + 4aEL - L^2}{2n^2} - 1.
\]

One should note that \( r = 0 \) is relevant only for the KTN NS case, because \( r = 0 \) is hidden inside the horizon in the BH case. However, if \( n \) vanishes, \( V_{\text{eff}} \) will diverge at \( r = 0 \), which could be realized from the Kerr geometry, as \( r = 0, \theta = \pi/2 \) represents the ring singularity [27, 30]. Such a singularity does not exist at that particular point \( (r = 0, \theta = \pi/2) \) in the KTN geometry. This would be the main reason to obtain a finite effective potential at \( r = 0 \). Another interesting point is that \( V_0 \) does not depend on the mass \( (M) \) explicitly, rather it depends only on the values of \( a_s, n_s, E \) and \( L/M \).

Now, for a particle to rotate in a circular orbit at radius \( r = R \), its initial radial velocity \( \dot{r} \) must vanish. Imposing this condition in (see Eq. (15.19) of [1])

\[
\frac{E^2 - 1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{r}^2 + V_{\text{eff}}(r, E, L)
\]

we obtain

\[
\frac{E^2 - 1}{2} = V_{\text{eff}}(R, E, L).
\]

To stay in a circular orbit the radial acceleration must also vanish. Thus, differentiating Eq. (8) with respect to \( r \) leads to the condition:

\[
\left. \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}(r, E, L)}{\partial r} \right|_{r=R} = 0.
\]

Stable orbits are the ones for which small radial displacements away from \( R \) oscillate about it rather than accelerate away from it. Just as in newtonian mechanics, that is the condition that the effective potential must be a minimum:

\[
\left. \frac{\partial^2 V_{\text{eff}}(r, E, L)}{\partial r^2} \right|_{r=R} > 0.
\]

Eqs. (9-11) determine the ranges of \( E, L, R \) allowed for SCOs in the KTN spacetime. At the ISCO, the one just on the verge of being unstable – (Eq. 11) becomes an equality. The last three equations are solved to obtain the values of \( E, L, R = r_{\text{ISCO}} \) that characterize the orbit. Eqs. (9) and (10) were already solved in [2] to obtain the energy \( (E) \) and angular momentum.
of a test particle moving in a circular orbit in the KTN spacetime. For direct orbits, we can write \( E \) and \( L \) as \[ E = \frac{r^2(r^2 - 2Mr - n^2) + am^2}{\left[(r^2 + n^2) \left(r^3 - 3Mr^2 - 3n^2r + Mn^2 + 2a(m^2)^2\right)\right]^\frac{1}{2}}, \]

\[ L = \frac{m^2(r^2 + a^2 + n^2) - 2am^2(Mr + n^2)}{\left[(r^2 + n^2) \left(r^3 - 3Mr^2 - 3n^2r + Mn^2 + 2a(m^2)^2\right)\right]^\frac{1}{2}}, \]

respectively, where \( m = M(r^2 - n^2) + 2n^2r \). We note that Eqs. (12–13) reduce to Eqs. (12.7.17–12.7.18) of [32] for \( n = 0 \), in case of the Kerr spacetime. However, as the ISCO equation was also obtained in [2] from the effective potential formulation, we do not repeat it in this section.

![Figure 1: \( V_{\text{eff}} \) vs \( r \) (in ‘\( M \)’) for various values of \( L \) (in ‘\( M \)’) with the fixed \( E \). \( r_h \) indicates the radius of horizon. See Sec. 3.1 for details.](image)

(a) KTN BH with \( n_\ast = 1 \) and \( a_\ast = 1.20 \) for \( E = 0.9 \). ISCO is located at \( r_{\text{ISCO}} = 3.6M \).

(b) KTN NS with \( n_\ast = 1 \) and \( a_\ast = 1.45 \) for \( E = 0.9 \). ISCO does not exist.

(c) Kerr BH with \( a_\ast = 0.90 \) for \( E = 0.9 \). ISCO is located at \( r_{\text{ISCO}} = 2.32M \).

(d) Kerr NS with \( a_\ast = 1.05 \) for \( E = 0.6 \). ISCO is located at \( r_{\text{ISCO}} = 0.68M \).

The features of the effective potential curve of the KTN BH and KTN NS could be seen from Panels (a) and (b) of Fig. 1, respectively. To compare it with Kerr spacetime, we can follow Panels (c) and (d) of the same figure. One can see from Panel (b) that the value of \( V_{\text{eff}} \) is finite at \( r = 0 \) for a KTN NS and the value of \( V_0 \) can be calculated using Eq. (7) but it diverges in the case of a Kerr NS (see Panel (d)). Here we should note that the ISCO radii of the Kerr BH and Kerr NS have been mentioned in the plots of Panels (c) and (d) of Fig. 1, as these values are well-known. However, the detailed studies of the locations of ISCOs in the KTN BH (Panel
a) and KTN NS (Panel b) will be discussed in Sec. 4. For the time-being, it could be noted (also clearly seen from the $V_{\text{eff}}$ plots of Panel (a)) that the ISCO occurs at $r_{\text{ISCO}} = 3.6M$ for the KTN BH with $n_\ast = 1$ and $a_\ast = 1.20$. However, one cannot determine the ISCO radius of the KTN NS with $n_\ast = 1$ and $a_\ast = 1.45$ from Panel (b) of Fig. 1, as two local minima and one local maxima occur in this case. We discuss it in Sec. 4.2.

### 3.2 ISCO equation from the expression of radial epicyclic frequency

One can deduce the ISCO equation directly from the expression of radial epicyclic frequency ($\Omega_r$). To derive the epicyclic frequencies one should investigate the small perturbations of a circular orbit. Perturbing the circular orbit with coordinate radius $r$, one can derive the radial epicyclic frequency (see Eq. (11) of [33] and the discussions below of that equation). However, from the above-mentioned analysis, it is known that the square of the radial epicyclic frequency ($\Omega_r^2 = 0$) becomes zero at the ISCO [33, 34]. No radial instability exists at the ISCO, whereas $\Omega_r^2$ becomes negative for smaller radii. The negative sign of $\Omega_r^2$ also implies that there cannot be a radial oscillation for smaller radii than the ISCO. It would be useful to mention here the general analytical expression of the epicyclic frequencies ($\omega_x$) in the stationary and axisymmetric spacetime, which is expressed as (see Eq. (27) of [29]),

$$\omega_x^2 = \left(\frac{\partial^2 U_{\text{eff}}}{\partial X^2}\right).$$

Here $U_{\text{eff}}$ is the general expression of effective potential in a stationary and axisymmetric spacetime with $dX^2 = g_{xx}dx^2 > 0$ being the proper length in the $x$ direction ($x$ denotes either radial $r$ or polar angle $\theta$ coordinate). Moreover, as $\omega_x$ are measured with respect to the proper time of a comoving observer, after dividing it by the squared redshift factor, one can obtain the observed epicyclic frequencies ($\Omega_x$) at infinity (see Eqs. 32-33 of [29] and related discussions there for details). Now, it is clear from Eq. (14) that the sign of $\omega_x^2$ (and also $\Omega_x^2$) is correlated with the sign of the second derivative of effective potential. However, $\Omega_x^2$ is positive for a SCO and we will show in this paper that all derived SCOs in the KTN spacetime cannot be accessible for a test particle. Rather, some SCOs cannot exist in reality and the innermost SCO is determined from the remaining SCOs.

Before going into the more detail, we should first clarify that we mainly focus on the equatorial circular orbits in this paper. Therefore, we can directly use the expressions of three fundamental frequencies which have already been deduced for KTN spacetime in [24] using the general formulation derived by Ryan [31]. These are orbital frequency ($\Omega_\phi$) or the Kepler frequency

$$\Omega_\phi = \pm \frac{m^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r^{\frac{3}{2}} (r^2 + n^2) + a \, m^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where $m = M \,(r^2 - n^2) + 2 \, n^2r$, and the radial ($\Omega_r$) and vertical ($\Omega_\theta$) epicyclic frequencies [24]

$$\Omega_r = \pm \frac{1}{(r^2 + n^2) \left[ r^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( r^2 + n^2 \right) + a \, m^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]} \left[ M \left( r^6 - 6 \, n^6 + 15 \, n^4 \, r^2 - 15 \, n^2 \, r^4 - 16 \, n^4 \, r^3 \right) - 2 \, M^2 \, r \left( 3 \, r^4 - 2 \, n^2 \, r^2 + 3 \, n^4 \right) \pm 8 \, a \, r \, m^{\frac{1}{2}} \pm a^2 \left( M \left( n^4 + 6 \, n^2 \, r^2 - 3 \, r^4 \right) - 8 \, n^2 \, r^3 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\Omega_\theta = \pm \frac{1}{(r^2 + n^2) \left[ r^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( r^2 + n^2 \right) + a \, m^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]} \left[ M \left( r^6 - 6 \, n^6 + 15 \, n^4 \, r^2 - 15 \, n^2 \, r^4 + 2 \, n^2 \, r \left( 3 \, r^4 - 2 \, n^2 \, r^2 + 3 \, n^4 \right) \right) + 16 \, M^2 \, n^2 \, r^3 \mp 4 \, a \, r \, m^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( n^2 + M \, r \right) \left( n^2 + a \right) - a^2 \left( M \left( n^4 + 6 \, n^2 \, r^2 - 3 \, r^4 \right) - 8 \, n^2 \, r^3 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
respectively. We note that the upper sign is applicable for the direct orbits and the lower sign is applicable for the retrograde orbits.

Now, setting Eq. (16) equal to zero, we obtain the so-called ISCO equation:

\[
M(r^6 - n^6 + 15n^4r^2 - 15n^2r^4) - 2M^2r(3r^4 - 2n^2r^2 + 3n^4) - 16n^4r^3
\pm 8ar^2m^2 + a^2\{M(n^4 + 6n^2r^2 - 3r^4) - 8n^2r^3\} = 0. \quad (18)
\]

One can check that Eq. (18) reduces to the ISCO equation in Kerr spacetime [35] for \( n = 0 \):

\[
r^2 - 6Mr \pm 8ar^2M^2 - 3a^2 = 0
\]

and obtain only one positive real root of this equation for each corresponding value of \( a_* \) (see Fig. 7 of [30]), which is considered as the radius of the ISCO for that particular value of \( a_* \). This is true for all values of \( a_* \) whether it indicates a BH (\(-1 \leq a_* \leq 1\)) or a NS (\( a_* > 1 \), \( a_* < -1 \)). One intriguing feature is that Eq. (18) gives more than one positive real root for one class of combinations between \( a_* \) and \( n_* \). More interestingly, Eq. (18) does not give any positive real root for another class of combinations between \( a_* \) and \( n_* \), which indicates that the so-called ISCO does not exist for these cases. We will discuss all these cases as we proceed.

4 Stable circular orbits in KTN spacetime

In this section, we study the properties of the circular geodesics of a test particle, occurred in the KTN spacetime and find the locations of the ISCO as well as the other SCOs. We divide it into two subsections.

4.1 Location of ISCO in KTN black hole

The ISCO equation (Eq. 18) cannot be solved analytically, but one can solve it numerically and obtain the solutions for all possible combinations of \( a_* \) and \( n_* \). For the non-extremal KTN BH, we obtain only one positive real root of Eq. (18), which comes as greater than the radius of horizon \( r_h = M(1 + \sqrt{1 + n_*^2 - a_*^2}) \). Hence, we can conclude that the ISCO always occurs outside the horizon: \( r_{ISCO} > r_h \) for all possible combinations of \( a_* \) and \( n_* \) which represent the non-extremal KTN BHs. This is expected.

In an extremal KTN BH, ISCO equation for the direct orbits (Eq. 18) reduces to

\[
M(r^6 - n^6 + 15n^4r^2 - 15n^2r^4) - 2M^2r(3r^4 - 2n^2r^2 + 3n^4) - 16n^4r^3
+ 8(M^2 + n^2)\frac{3}{2}r^3m^2 + (M^2 + n^2)\{M(n^4 + 6n^2r^2 - 3r^4) - 8n^2r^3\} = 0 \quad (20)
\]

and it is satisfied by \( r_1 = M \) which is independent of \( n_* \). This also means that this solution is satisfied by all values of \( n_* \) and coincides with the horizon \( r_1 = r_h = M \). We note that the ISCO equation (Eq. 19) for an extremal Kerr BH is also satisfied by \( r = M \) and therefore, ISCO occurs at \( r = M \). One intriguing feature is that Eq. (20) is also satisfied by another positive real root (\( r_2 \)) which occurs outside the horizon (i.e., \( r_2 > r_h \)) and its value depends on the value of \( n_* \). Referring to Sec. 3.2, we plot the radial epicyclic frequency (\( \Omega_r \)) for a few values of \( n_* \). Each curve of Fig. 2 indicates the position of \( r_2 \) where \( \Omega_r \) vanishes. We confirm that \( \Omega_r \) also vanishes at \( r_1 = M \) for all these cases. It suggests that no radial instabilities should be found at \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) (see the related discussions in Sec. 3.2), in principle. However, as the values of \( \Omega_r^2 \) becomes negative between \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \), SCOs do not exist. Now, question is which should be the ISCO (\( r_1 \) or \( r_2 \)) in a realistic astrophysical situation? The answer of this question, i.e., the location of ‘physical ISCO’ for the extremal KTN BH could not be found from the effective potential plot, shown in Panel (a) of Fig. 3 (effective potential in the extremal Kerr BH is shown in Panel (b) only for the comparison).
Figure 2: $\Omega_r$ (in $M^{-1}$) vs $r$ (in $M$) for different values of $n_*$ of the extremal KTN BHs. X-axis starts from the event horizon $r_h = M$. Solid black curve ($n_* = 0$) stands for the extremal Kerr BH and the ISCO is located at $r_{\text{ISCO}} = M$ in this case. Each curve (except the solid black curve) touches the X-axis at $r = r_2$ which is considered as the ‘physical ISCO’ for an extremal KTN BH. See Sec. 4.1 for details.

Figure 3: $V_{\text{eff}}$ vs $r$ (in $M$) for various values of $L$ (in $M$) with the fixed $E$. $r_h$ indicates the radius of horizon. See Sec. 4.1 for details.
Therefore, to find the answer of this important question, we calculate the energy at \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) using Eq. (12). We find that \( E \) comes out as less than 1 in all the orbits which are in the range of \( r \geq r_2 \). This indicates that all these orbits are accessible by a test particle and these are realistic for an astrophysical purpose. Now, if we calculate the energy at \( r_1 \), we obtain

\[
E_{r=r_1=M} = \sqrt{\frac{1+n_*^2}{3-n_*^2}} \tag{21}
\]

and the corresponding angular momentum is

\[
L_{r=r_1=M} = \frac{2M(1+n_*^2)}{\sqrt{3-n_*^2}}. \tag{22}
\]

At this point, we should note that all circular orbits are not bound. The orbit of a test particle with energy \( E = 1 \) is regarded as the marginally bound orbit \((r_{mb})\). Therefore, the bound circular orbits exist for \( r > r_{mb} \) with \( E < 1 \), whereas unbound circular orbits exist for \( r < r_{mb} \) with \( E > 1 \) [32]. If an infinitesimal outward perturbation is given, a test particle in an unbound orbit escapes to infinity on an asymptotically hyperbolic trajectory. However, in realistic astrophysical problems, particle infall from infinity is very nearly parabolic, and any parabolic trajectory, penetrating to \( r < r_{mb} \), must plunge directly into the collapsed object [32]. Now, we can see from Eq. (21) that \( E \geq 1 \) at \( r = r_1 \) for \( 1 \leq n_* < \sqrt{3} \) and \( E < 1 \) for \( n_* < 1 \). Therefore, the single orbit which occurs at \( r = r_1 = M \) could not be important in a realistic astrophysical situation for \( 1 < n_* < \sqrt{3} \), as the particle will plunge directly into the black hole from the \( r_2 \) orbit. Therefore, we should identify \( r = r_2 \) as the ISCO in this case.

For \( n_* \leq 1 \), the \( r = r_1 \) orbit must be a SCO, in principle and it should exist but it could not be relevant for the astrophysical purpose. Let us first consider that an extremal KTN BH with \( n_* < 1 \) is accreting matter from a distant source. The matter generally follows the SCOs and the accretion disk exists till \( r = r_{ISCO} \). The accreting matter free-falls towards the BH at \( r < r_{ISCO} \), as no SCOs exist there. It is clear from Fig. 2 that SCOs exist for \( r \geq r_2 \) (as \( \Omega_*^2 \geq 0 \)) and no SCOs exist in this range \( r_1 < r < r_2 \) (as \( \Omega_*^2 < 0 \)). In such a situation, one cannot expect that the accreting matter will form a ‘single-ring’ disk on the event horizon, i.e., \( r = r_1 = M \) after a free-fall from \( r > r_2 \) to \( r = r_1 \) which basically represents the boundary of the BH. Therefore, one should consider \( r = r_2 \) as the ‘physical ISCO’ for all practical purposes in the case of an extremal KTN BH. Fig. 2 also reveals that the radius of ISCO \((r_2 \text{ in this case})\) increases with increasing the value of \( n_* \).

### 4.2 Location of ISCO in KTN naked singularity

As the so-called ISCO equation (Eq. 18) could not be solved analytically, we solve it numerically and obtain two classes of solution. For one class of solution, we obtain two positive real roots of Eq. (18), and for the another class, no positive real roots are found. Our result has been depicted in Fig. 4. It shows that one cannot obtain any positive real root of Eq. (18) for those combinations of \( a_* \) and \( n_* \), which are fallen in the red region, whereas at least one positive real root can be obtained, if one choses the values of \( a_* \) and \( n_* \) from the white region. In this sense, the ISCO should not exist for the KTN NSs which are in the ‘red-colored’ region and SCOs can exist everywhere in this spacetime. Here, we should note that, considering a toy model of a

\[ E_{ISCO}^{Kerr} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \text{ and } L_{ISCO}^{Kerr} = \frac{2M}{\sqrt{3}} \]

at \( r = M \) which is basically the ISCO for the extremal Kerr BH or \( a_* = 1 \).

\[ E \to \infty \text{ for } n_* \to \sqrt{3}, \] which represents the CPO. See Sec. 5.1 for details. \( r_1 \) is completely meaningless for \( n_* > \sqrt{3} \) as \( E \) becomes imaginary.
Figure 4: NUT parameter ($n_*$) versus Kerr parameter ($a_*$) space, which is divided into a KTN BH and KTN NS region by the thin black curve. The $a_*$ and $n_*$ values of each point in the thin black curve also indicates an extremal KTN BH. Solving Eq. (18) one cannot obtain a positive real root, for those combinations of $a_*$ and $n_*$ which are fallen in the ‘red-colored’ region, whereas at least one positive real root can be obtained, if one choses the combinations of $a_*$ and $n_*$ from the ‘white-colored’ region. One should note that the ‘red-coloured’ region does not include any KTN BH. Because, solving Eq. (18) one can always obtain one positive real root for all non-extremal KTN BHs and two positive real roots for all extremal KTN BHs. See Secs. 4.1 and 4.2 for details.

In Fig. 5, we have plotted $\Omega_r$ for different values of $r$. It shows that the black dashed curve which stands for the extremal KTN BH ($n_*=1, a_* = \sqrt{2}$) touches the X-axis at $r/M = 1.75$, which means that Eq. (18) has a positive real root at $r/M = 1.75$. It has already been recognized as $r_2$ or the ‘physical ISCO’ for this case (see also the solid orange curve in Fig. 2 and the related discussion in Sec. 4.1). Now, if we slightly increase the value of $a_*$ from $\sqrt{2}$ to 1.415 fixing the value of $n_*=1$, the event horizon vanishes and we should consider it as a KTN NS. In this case, the solid cyan curve of Fig. 5 touches the X-axis twice at $r_1/M = 1.2$ and $r_2/M = 1.7$ respectively. This means that Eq. (18) has two positive real roots at $r_1$ and $r_2$. The green and orange curves do not touch the X-axis, which means that one cannot obtain any positive real root of Eq. (18) for these two curves, i.e., for $n_*=1 \& a_* = 1.417$ and $n_*=1 \& a_* = 1.45$, respectively. As we have discussed in Sec. 3.2, one can think that SCOs exist for all those values of $r$ which gives the positive $\Omega_r$ values in principle. It can easily be seen that the SCOs exist in the outer branch ($r_2 \leq r < \infty$) of the cyan curve but for the inner branch ($r \leq r_1$) it might not be true always. We will discuss it in the next two sections. A close look of Panel (b) (the zoomed version of Panel (a) of Fig. 5) reveals that the cyan, green and orange curves (which are for KTN NSs) do not continue to $r \to 0$. Rather, all these curves are discontinued after

static spherically symmetric perfect fluid interior with a singularity at the origin, it was found [36] that the SCOs exist everywhere (till $r \to 0$). However, our case is completely different here, which we will be discussing in this section considering the various scenarios. Let us first consider an example.
(a) Different of $\Omega_r$ curves shows that the locations of SCOs are highly affected with a slight change in the Kerr/NUT parameter values. As an example, $a_*$ changes its value with a fixed $n_*$ in this particular figure.

(b) Zoomed version of Panel (a) with range $0.4 \leq r/M \leq 0.6$ is shown for clarity. $\Omega_r$ curves are discontinued in the region: $r < 0.414M$.

Figure 5: $\Omega_r$ (in $'M^{-1}'$) vs $r$ (in $'M'$) for various values of $a_*$ with $n_* = 1$. The orange and green curves (stand for KTN NSs) of Panel (a) indicate that one cannot obtain a positive real root by solving of Eq. (18) as $\Omega_r$ does not vanish in any orbit. However, one can obtain two positive real roots for the cyan curve which also stands for a KTN NS. See Sec. 4.2 for details.

For completeness, the black dashed curve is added, which stands for an extremal KTN BH. We have already discussed on it in Sec. 4.1.

arriving at a particular orbit of radius $r = R_f$. Mathematically, this discontinuation indicates that the value of $\Omega_r$ becomes imaginary in the region: $r < R_f$, which is unphysical. One can check that the Kepler frequency (Eq. 15) vanishes at the orbit $r = R_f$, which is unexpected. As far as we know, this special feature has not been seen in other spacetimes until now. Therefore, we should discuss it in detail in the next section. Side by side, we also continue our discussion on SCOs in the later sections.

4.2.1 Digression 1 : Forbidden region for a test particle moving in a circular geodesic

Fig. 6 shows that the Kepler frequency of a test particle which moves in a circular geodesic, increases at first, attains a peak value at $r = r_p$ and then vanishes at a particular orbit of radius

Figure 6: $\Omega_\phi$ (in $'M^{-1}'$) vs $r$ (in $'M'$) for various values of $a_*$ with $n_* = 1$. One intriguing feature is that $\Omega_\phi$ vanishes at $r = 0.414M$. See Sec. 4.2.1 for details.
Figure 7: $R_f$ (in ‘$M$’) vs $n_*$, where Gray region indicates the “Forbidden Region” : $r < R_f$. The solid black curve indicates the boundary values of the forbidden region, for each corresponding value of $n_*$. $\Omega_\phi$ vanishes on the solid black curve. See Sec. 4.2.1 for details.

Therefore, a test particle moving in a circular geodesic cannot continue its motion at $r < R_f$ as its angular velocity is zero. We call it as the forbidden region. As the angular velocity vanishes at $r = R_f$, the test particle should free-fall towards the central object. In the next sections, we will show that the test particle cannot even continue its stable circular motion till $r \to R_f$, in a realistic situation. However, we can see from Eq. (23) that the forbidden region is fully controlled by the gravitomagnetic monopole $n_*$ and Kerr parameter ($a_*$) has no influence on it. Therefore, this region is absent in the Kerr spacetime, i.e, $R_f = 0$ (see Fig. 7). If $n_*$ increases from 0 to a higher value, the radius of the forbidden region increases primarily but it cannot be greater than $M/2$. A short calculation reveals that

$$\frac{R_f}{M |n_* >> 1} \approx n_*^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n_*^2}\right) - n_*^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

(24)

for higher values of $n_*$. It means that for $n_* >> 1$, the higher order terms could be neglected and the radius of the forbidden region becomes almost a constant : $M/2$ which is also clear from Fig. 7. The gray region indicates the forbidden region for various values of $n_*$. As $R_f$ is always less than $r_h$, i.e., $R_f < r_h$, it remains hidden inside the horizon and this region could only be meaningful in case of a KTN NS. For a particular value of $n_*$, the Kepler frequency not only vanishes at $R_f$ in the strong gravity regime \(^3\), but the other two fundamental frequencies (radial and vertical epicyclic frequencies) are also discontinued there, acquiring the finite values. This implies that the circular motion become frozen in the region $r < R_f$ and cannot be accessed by a test particle with its circular geodesic motion. Mathematically, all three fundamental frequencies ($\Omega_\phi, \Omega_\theta$ and $\Omega_r$) become imaginary at $r < R_f$.

However, differentiating Eq. (15) with respect to $r$ and setting it to zero :

$$\left.\frac{d\Omega_\phi^{\text{KTN}}}{dr}\right|_{r=r_p} = \frac{-8n^2r^3 + M \left(n^4 + 6n^2r^2 - 3r^4\right)}{2\sqrt{rm}\left[\sqrt{r^2 + n^2}\right]^2}\bigg|_{r=r_p} = 0$$

(25)

\(^3\)Though this is completely a new thing but not unusual in the strong gravity regime. Note that the orbital plane precession or the Lense-Thirring precession can also vanish (see Fig. 2 of [24]) in the strong gravity regime in case of a KTN BH [37, 38, 39], which does not occur for a Kerr BH [30].
one can obtain the radius of the peak \( r = r_p \) where the Kepler frequency acquires the maximum value

\[
r_p = \frac{M n_*}{3} \left[ \left( 6 + 8 n_*^2 + 3 \left( 1 + n_*^2 \right)^{1/3} + \frac{2 n_* \left( 9 + 8 n_*^2 \right)}{\left[ 3 + 4 n_*^2 - 3 \left( 1 + n_*^2 \right)^{1/3} \right]^2} \right)^{1/2} - \left( 3 + 4 n_*^2 - 3 \left( 1 + n_*^2 \right)^{1/3} \right)^{1/2} - 2 n_* \right].
\]  

(26)

Eq. (26) shows that the value of \( r_p \) also does not depend on \( a_* \) and therefore the similar situation cannot arise in case of a Kerr NS by any means.

**Conclusion drawn from this discussion**: The above discussion compels us to conclude that the SCOs do not exist in the region: \( r \leq R_f \) for all those three NS curves of Fig. 5.

### 4.2.2 Digression 2: Angular velocity of the test particle inside the ergoregion

Now, the question is: can the SCOs exist in the range \( R_f < r \leq r_1 \) for the cyan curve and \( R_f < r < \infty \) for the green and orange curve of Fig. 5(a)?

Here, we recall Eq. (3) where \( r_\text{e} \) represents the boundary of the ergoregion. Though the horizon does not exist for the KTN NS, the ergoregion remains there, and its radius becomes

\[
r_{\text{e}|\theta=\pi/2} = M \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 + n_*^2} \right). 
\]  

(27)

For KTN spacetime it depends on the value of \( n_* \) which is seen from Eq. (27). We note that the radius of ergoregion at the equatorial plane remains same \( r_{\text{e}|\theta=\pi/2} = 2M \) for all values of \( a_* \), whether it is a Kerr BH or a Kerr NS [27]. However, it is well-known that it is impossible to stay fixed inside the ergoregion with some arbitrary velocities. In general, it is possible for an observer (or the test particle) to fix at a point \( (r, \theta) \) inside the ergoregion, if it rotates (prograde only) inside the ergoregion [1, 40] with the angular velocity \( \Omega \) : \( \Omega_- < \Omega < \Omega_+ \). This range is determined by using Eqs. (21-23) of [30]:

\[
\Omega_{\pm} = \frac{2a \left( n^2 + Mr \right) \pm (n^2 + r^2) \sqrt{\Delta}}{(n^2 + r^2)^2 + a^2 \left[ 3n^2 + r(2M + r) \right]}, 
\]  

(28)

Therefore, inside the ergoregion, a test particle can rotate in an SCO with the Kepler velocity \( \Omega_\phi \), if and only if it satisfies the following condition:

\[
\Omega_- < \Omega_\phi < \Omega_+. 
\]  

(29)

It is seen from Panels (a) and (b) of Fig. 8 that this condition (Eq. 29) is always satisfied in the cases of Kerr BH and Kerr NS. Panel (c) shows that it is also satisfied in the case of a KTN BH but the condition mentioned in Eq. (29) cannot be satisfied in the case of a KTN NS (see Panels (d) and (e)). This is because, it is clearly seen from Panels (d) and (e) that when the test particle reaches at the orbit of radius \( r_C \) (which corresponds to the point ‘C’ of the plots drawn in Panels (d) and (e) of Fig. 8), it coincides with the \( \Omega_- \) curve. Equating the expressions of \( \Omega_\phi = \Omega_- \), one can numerically obtain the value of \( r_C \). Remarkably, \( r_C \) coincides with the circular photon orbit (CPO) \(^4\) or \( r_C \equiv r_\text{e} \) for every combination of \( a_* \) and \( n_* \) which represents a KTN NS. The reason behind that is, \( \Omega_- \) is associated with the null vector \( K_- = \partial_t + \Omega_- \partial_\phi \), as was pointed out in Eqs. (29-30) of [30]. However, a test particle which moves in a timelike circular orbit, unable to make its four-velocity \( u \) become null at \( r_C \). Therefore, the SCOs which exist in the range \( r \leq r_C \), cannot be accessible by a test particle with its Kepler velocity. It

\(^4\)See Sec. 5 for the detail discussions on the CPOs.
Figure 8: Inside the ergoregion, a test particle can take only those $\Omega$ values which are in the following range: $\Omega_- < \Omega < \Omega_+$. $\Omega_+$ and $\Omega_-$ (in $'M^{-1}'$) are shown as solid blue and orange curves, respectively, and have been plotted specifically inside the ergoregion, in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$), as a function of $r$ (in $'M'$). For BHs, we can see from Panels (a) and (c) that $\Omega_\pm$ meet at the horizon. For Kerr NS, we can see from Panels (b) that $\Omega_\pm$ meet at the singularity ($r = 0$) but they never meet in the case of KTN NSs (see Panels (d) and (e)). The red solid curves represent the Kepler velocity $\Omega_\phi$ which does not satisfy Eq. (29) after reaching the point ‘C’, for the KTN NSs. We note, as no SCOs exist in the range $r_1 < r < r_2$ in Panel (d), $\Omega_\phi$ is meaningless in this region. See Sec. 4.2.2 for details.
Figure 9: Energy $E$ vs $r$ (in ‘$M$’) for $n_s = 1$ with different values of $a_s$. The three dashed straight lines (cyan, green and orange) are the corresponding $r_C$ values ($r_C/M = 0.66, 0.65, 0.58$) of the cyan, green and orange curves, respectively. Magenta and black dashed straight lines show the positions of $r_1$ and $r_2$ respectively, which arise only for the cyan curve. Note that the cyan curve has actually no meaning in the region $r_1 < r < r_2$, as no SCOs exist in this region. See Secs. 4.2.3 and 4.2.4 for details.

would immediately fall into the KTN collapsed object and hence, the SCOs of $r \leq r_C$ are not meaningful.

We may note here that $\Omega_\pm$ meet at the horizon and become a single-valued function ($\Omega_h$), in case of a Kerr as well as a KTN BH. This frequency for the KTN BH can be written as

$$\Omega_h = \frac{a}{2(Mr_h + n^2)}.$$  

(30)

It reduces to

$$\Omega_h^{Kerr} = \frac{a}{2Mr_h^{Kerr}}.$$  

(31)

(where $r_h^{Kerr}/M = 1 + \sqrt{1 - a^2}$) in the Kerr BH, which is well-known. For Kerr NS, $\Omega_\pm^{Kerr}$ meet at the singularity ($r = 0$) with $\Omega_\pm|_{r=0} = 1/a$ [30], but for KTN NS $\Omega_\pm$ take two different values at $r = 0$ :

$$\Omega_\pm|_{r=0} = \frac{2a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - n^2}}{n^2 + 3a^2}.$$  

(32)

which is seen from Panels (d) and (e) of Fig. 8. We note that the condition $a^2 - n^2 > 1$ holds for the KTN NS and therefore, we always obtain two values of $\Omega_\pm$ at $r = 0$.

**Conclusion drawn from this discussion:** The above discussion tells us that a test particle cannot access the SCOs which exist in the region : $r \leq r_C$ ($r_C$ corresponds to the circular photon orbit) for all three curves of Fig. 5. Therefore, the ‘physical ISCO’ should exist in the region : $r > r_C$ and its exact location is obtained in the next section.

### 4.2.3 Energy of the SCOs

Increasing the energy $E$ with decreasing $r$ is one of the consequences of the absence of stable orbits [36]. One can check it in the region $r_1 < r < r_2$ for the cyan curve of Fig. 9, but, as $E$ decreases with decreasing of $r$ in the region $r < r_1$, we can conclude that SCOs exist in that region. Now, the cyan curve shows a sudden fall of $E$ for $r/M \sim 1.1$ and the test particle acquires $E = 0$ at $r = r_0/M \approx 0.99$. $E = 0$ represents that the efficiency $(1 - E)$ of accretion reaches
Figure 10: Panel (a) shows the positions of various orbits for a KTN NS with $n^* = 1.84$ and $a^* = 2.10$: $R_f/M = 0.47$, $r_C/M = 0.82$, $r_1/M = 1.35$, $r_2/M = 3.26$ and $r_{mb}/M = 1.81$. Note that the blue energy curve has no meaning in the region $r_1 < r < r_2$, as no SCOs exist in this region, which is seen from panel (b). However, it is necessary to show the location of $r_{mb}$. See Sec. 4.2.4 for details.

100% at $r = r_0$ orbit, i.e., all the mass-energy of the accreting gas is converted to radiation and returned to infinity (see second paragraph of Sec. 3.2 of [36]). This implies a perfect engine which converts mass into energy with 100% efficiency [36]. Hence, the SCOs at $r/M < 0.99$, which have negative $E$ values, are not meaningful. Therefore, in such a case, one can choose $r = r_0$ as the ‘physical ISCO’.

Fig. 9 is drawn for $n^* = 1$ with different values of $a^*$. As is shown in this figure, $r_C/M$ arise at 0.65 and 0.58 for the green and orange curves, respectively. These are also far from the $E = 0$ orbit ($r_0$) which occur at 0.99$M$ and 0.95$M$ respectively for these two curves. Remarkably, there is no discontinuity in these two curves, i.e., $E$ decreases with decreasing of $r$ in the whole range : $r_0 \leq r \leq \infty$. Therefore, one can conclude that the ‘physical ISCO’ occurs at $r = r_0$. Here, we should remember that these ISCOs do not carry the usual meaning which we have discussed in Sec. 3, as these new ISCO radii do not satisfy the so-called ISCO equation (Eq. 18). However, these new ISCO radii must satisfy the SCO condition mentioned in Sec. 3. One important thing is that there could exist many combinations of $a^*$ and $n^*$ (those are mainly fallen in the ‘red-colored’ region of Fig. 4), for which the accretion efficiency reaches 100% for the KTN NSs, whereas it is possible only for those Kerr NSs whose $a^*$ values are in the following range: $1 < a^* \leq \sqrt{32/27}$. As an example, for $a^* = \sqrt{32/27} \approx 1.089$, accretion efficiency reaches 100% at the ISCO : $r_{ISCO} = 2M/3$ [41] ($E$ becomes zero in this particular orbit, see [35, 42]).
4.2.4 Importance of the marginally bound orbit

Energy $E$ can increase or decrease with $r$ and depending on that one can find the location of SCOs. It is seen from Fig. 9 that the value of $E$ always remains less than 1 in all orbits for all curves. As we have discussed in Sec. 4.1 that an orbit is called marginally bound ($r_{mb}$), if its energy becomes 1. Now, setting $E = 1$ in Eq. (12), one can numerically solve the following expression

\[
(r^2 + n^2) \left( r^3 - 3Mr^2 - 3n^2r + Mn^2 + 2a(mr)^\frac{1}{2} \right) - \left[ r^2(r^2 - 2Mr - n^2) + an^2 \right]^\frac{1}{2} = 0
\]

(33)

to obtain the radius ($r_{mb}$) of the marginally bound orbit. It is difficult to solve analytically for the KTN spacetime but can be solved for the Kerr BH, which gives [43] (see Eq. 141 of Chapter 7 of [35] also)

\[
r_{mb}^{\text{Kerr}} = M \left( 2 - a_s + 2\sqrt{1 - a_s} \right)
\]

(34)

for the direct orbits. One can check that the value of $r_{mb}^{\text{Kerr}}$ always comes as less than the value of $r_{mb}^{\text{ISCO}}$ for a particular value of $a_s$ in case of the Kerr spacetime. For the extremal Kerr BH, $r_{mb}^{\text{ISCO}}$ and $r_{mb}^{\text{Kerr}}$ coalesce at the horizon : $r_h/M = 1$. That is why, we generally do not bother about $r_{mb}^{\text{Kerr}}$ for the realistic astrophysical problems in Kerr BH and deal only with the $r_{mb}^{\text{ISCO}}$.

Let us now consider Fig. 10 which is a special case in KTN spacetime. This Fig. corresponds to a KTN NS with $n_s = 1.84$ and $a_s = 2.10$. One can safely say from Panel (b) of Fig. 10 that the SCOs do exist in the outer branch, i.e., $r_2/M \geq 3.26$. Panel (a) also shows that $E$ of a test particle decreases with $r$ until it reaches at $r_2/M = 3.26$, whereas SCOs do not exist for the range $1.35 < r/M < 3.26$ as $E$ increase with $r$. This is also clear from Panel (b). However, $r_{mb}$ is located at $r_{mb}/M = 1.81$ and it plays an important role in such a situation. After reaching at $r = r_2$, the test particle should start to free-fall in principle and reach at the $r = r_1 = 1.35M$ orbit, the feature of which is a bit similar to the cyan curve of Fig. 9. Incidentally, the energy of the $r_1$ orbit is greater than 1 in this particular case, i.e., $E|_{r = r_1} > 1$, which is seen from Panel (a) of Fig. 10. Moreover, one can also notice that the test particle faces the ‘unbound’ ($E > 1$) orbits even in the region : $r_1 < r < r_{mb}$ before reaching at $r = r_1$. Therefore, as the test particle penetrates to the unbound circular orbits ($E > 1$) at $r < r_{mb}$, it must plunge directly into the collapsed object [32] after crossing the $r = r_{mb}$ orbit. Therefore, the SCOs which occur at $r \leq r_1$ cannot be feasible at all and these orbits are also not meaningful for the accretion disk theory. In such a situation, $r_2$ should be considered as the ‘physical ISCO’ and the inner branch is unfeasible.

5 Circular photon orbits

In this section, we study the location of the circular photon orbit in KTN spacetime, for completeness. The expressions of $L$ and $E$ (Eqs. 12-13) imply that the circular orbits exist down in a particular orbit of radius $r = r_c$ which is the solution of the following equation

\[
r_c^3 - 3Mr_c^2 - 3n^2r_c + Mn^2 + 2a(m_c r_c)\frac{1}{2} = 0
\]

(35)

where $m_c = M (r_c^2 - n^2) + 2 n^2 r_c$. Specifically, $E$ becomes infinity at $r = r_c$ and hence the solution of Eq. (35) gives the radius of CPO $r = r_c$. Eq. (35) is difficult to solve analytically but we can easily solve it numerically.
5.1 CPOs in KTN black holes \((a_\ast \leq \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2})\)

One can obtain only one positive real root of Eq. (35) in case of a non-extremal KTN BH \((a_\ast < \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2})\), which implies that only one CPO occurs for each corresponding combinations of \(a_\ast\) and \(n_\ast\) and the CPO must exists outside the event horizon.

In case of an extremal KTN BH \((a_\ast = \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2})\) horizon occurs at \(r_h = M\) and the expression (Eq. 35) of CPO with radius \(r_{cx}\) reduces to

\[
r_{cx}^3 - 3Mr_{cx}^2 - 3n^2r_{cx} + Mn^2 + 2m_{cx}r_{cx}(M^2 + n^2) = 0 \tag{36}
\]

where, \(m_{cx} = M (r_{cx}^2 - n^2) + 2n^2r_{cx}\). The analytical solutions of the above equation are

\[
r_{cx} = M, M \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2 + \sqrt{2 \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2 \pm \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2}}} \right),
\]

\[
M \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2 - \sqrt{2 \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2 \mp \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2}}} \right) \tag{37}
\]

of which

\[
r_{cx}^1 = M
\]

and,

\[
r_{cx}^\pm = M \left(1 \mp \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2 + \sqrt{2 \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2 \mp \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2}}} \right) \tag{38}
\]

are positive and hence these are acceptable. One of these occurs at \(r_{cx}^1 = M\) due to the prograde rotation whereas \(r_{cx}^\pm\) occurs due to the retrograde rotation. A short calculation reveals that the \(r_{cx}^-\) occurs inside the event horizon \((r_{cx}^- < r_h = M)\) for \(n_\ast < \sqrt{3}\) (i.e., \(a_\ast < 2\)) but it occurs outside of the event horizon for \(n_\ast > \sqrt{3}\) (i.e., \(a_\ast > 2\)). This means that two CPOs could exist simultaneously for \(n_\ast > \sqrt{3}\), whereas we can neglect the first one, i.e, as it indicates that the CPO occurs inside the event horizon. In this very special case, one CPO coincides with the event horizon and the other one exists outside of \(r_h\). For \(n_\ast = \sqrt{3}\) (i.e., \(a_\ast = 2\)), \(r_{cx}^1 = r_{cx}^- = M\), hence, only one CPO occurs in this case. One can check that \(r_{cx}^-\) becomes 0 for \(n_\ast = 0\). That is why, we cannot obtain two CPOs in the case of extremal Kerr BH.\(^5\)

5.2 CPOs in KTN naked singularities \((a_\ast > \sqrt{1 + n_\ast^2})\) and comparison with Kerr spacetime

For each corresponding combinations of \(a_\ast\) and \(n_\ast\), we always obtain only one positive real root of Eq. (35) in case of a KTN NS, and it occurs at \(0 < r_c < M\).

It could be useful to mention here the location of corotating CPOs in Kerr spacetime for comparison. For a Kerr BH \((0 < a_\ast \leq 1)\), one can calculate the radius of the corotating CPO as \(3M > r_{cKerr} \geq M\) using the following equation [35] :

\[
r_{cKerr} = 2M \left[1 + \cos \left\{ \frac{2}{3} \cos^{-1} (-a_\ast) \right\} \right]. \tag{39}
\]

The corotating CPO does not occur in case of a Kerr NS (CPO is formally located at the ring singularity) \([44]\) but remarkably it occurs for a KTN NS at \(0 < r_c < M\). This could be an interesting distinguishable feature between a Kerr NS and a KTN NS. We can safely say that

\(^5\)For \(n_\ast = 0\), \(r_{cx}^+\) reduces to \(4M\) which indicates that the CPO occurs at \(4M\) for the retrograde rotation in the extremal Kerr BH case.
the non-zero value of \( n_s \) is basically responsible for this. Because, setting \( a \to 0 \) in Eq. (35), one can check that the CPO can always occur at \([2, 45]\)

\[
\hat{r}_c^{\text{TN}} \to M \left[ 1 + 2(1 + n_s^2)^{1/2} \cos \left( \frac{1}{3} \tan^{-1}(n_s) \right) \right]
\]

\((\text{TN stands for Taub-NUT spacetime})\) for all values of \( n_s \), in principle.

It is well-known that the ISCO occurs at \( 6M > r_{\text{ISCO}}^{Kerr} \geq M \). Hence, the CPO and ISCO coalesce on the horizon \((r_h = M)\) only in the case of extremal Kerr BH \((a_s = 1)\) [35]. Otherwise, the ISCO always occurs outside the CPO for every value of \( a_s \), i.e., \( r_{\text{ISCO}}^{Kerr} > r_{\text{ISCO}}^{c} \) for \( 0 \leq a_s < 1 \).

6 Conclusion and Discussion

Here we report several new and interesting features of circular orbits in the KTN spacetime. We have derived the radii of CPOs as well as SCOs and discussed their implications for the accreting KTN BHs and KTN NSs. We have mainly shown that the location of ISCO for the non-extremal KTN BH can easily be determined by solving Eq. (18), but one cannot determine the same only by solving the so-called ISCO equation (Eq. 18) for the extremal KTN BH and KTN NS. Some SCOs are unfeasible due to the various reasons as is discussed in Secs. 4.2.1–4.2.4. The intriguing behavior of the Kepler frequency and the restriction on angular velocity inside the KTN ergoregion are the main reasons for this. Above all, the orbital energy plays the most vital role to determine the location of ‘physical ISCO’. We have calculated a few numerical values of the ‘physical ISCO’ radii in Secs. 4.2.3–4.2.4, but one can easily calculate the numerical value of the ‘physical ISCO’ for any specific combination of \( a_s \) and \( n_s \), following the same procedure which we have pursued in Secs. 4.2.1–4.2.4. In reality, the infinite combinations of \( a_s \) and \( n_s \) is possible (in principle), and one can categorize it into three different types.

(i) Solving the so-called ISCO equation, two positive real roots are obtained for an extremal KTN BH. One occurs at \( r = r_1 = M \) (on the event horizon) for all values of \( n_s \) and another occurs at \( r = r_2 \) which depends on the values of \( n_s \). We have shown that the \( r_1 \) is unfeasible and therefore, \( r = r_2 \) should be regarded as the ‘physical ISCO’ for all extremal KTN BHs.

(ii) Similar to Case (i), one can also obtain two positive real roots \((r_1 \text{ and } r_2)\) of the so-called ISCO equation as the solution of one class of KTN NSs. From the plot of radial epicyclic frequency, one can clearly see that two branches of SCOs appear in the range of \( r \), i.e., \( r : R_f < r \leq r_1 \) (inner branch) and \( r : r_2 \leq r < \infty \) (outer branch). Although, all SCOs in the outer branch are feasible, this is not true for all SCOs of the inner branch. If the energy of any orbit is greater than 1 (i.e., \( E > 1 \)) in the region: \( r_1 < r < r_2 \), the particle/matter must plunge directly into the collapsed object after crossing the \( E = 1 \) orbit (i.e., \( r = r_{\text{bh}} \)) as it is marginally bound. In such a situation, we have to identify \( r_2 \) as the ‘physical ISCO’, as the whole inner branch is unfeasible in this particular case. If the particle/matter does not face \( E > 1 \) orbits in the region \( r_1 < r < r_2 \), and the energy of the \( r_1 \) orbit is less than or equal to 1 (i.e., \( E \leq 1 \)), the test particle or the matter makes its motion stable further in the SCOs of inner branch. However, as the energy of SCOs decreases with decreasing the value of \( r \), the matter faces \( E = 0 \) (i.e., \( r = r_0 \)) orbit. In this case, \( r = r_0 \) could be considered as the ‘physical ISCO’.

(iii) The most interesting case is when one does not obtain any positive real root of the so-called ISCO equation, as the solution of one particular class of KTN NSs. In this case, the test particle does not face \( E > 1 \) orbits but it must face the \( E = 0 \) orbit, as the energy decreases with decreasing \( r \) in the SCOs. We have already shown that in such a situation, accretion efficiency reaches to 100% at the \( r = r_0 \) orbit, and hence, we choose \( r = r_0 \) as the ‘physical ISCO’ in this case.

One should note here that like \( a_s \) the value of \( n_s \) can be different for different objects and it can even be very close to zero for some objects [24]. Finally, we emphasize that the SCOs
(in the equatorial plane) are more relevant when the accretion disk around a collapsed object is geometrically thin and Keplerian. Such a disk is expected to have a multicolor blackbody spectrum, and from such an observed spectral component, this type of disk can be inferred [46]. For such a disk, SCOs, including ISCO, can be important to interpret the observed quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs) [47, 48, 49]. The energy and shape of the broad relativistic iron line [50] observed from accreting collapsed objects are also expected to depend on the disk inner edge radius, and hence on SCOs and ISCO.
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