Fluid Therapy in Dogs and Cats With Sepsis

Federico Montealegre* and Bridget M. Lyons**

1 Department of Medical and Scientific Affairs, Nova Biomedical, Waltham, MA, United States, 2 Department of Veterinary Medicine and Surgery, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, United States

Sepsis is currently defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection. Sepsis may occur secondary to infection anywhere in the body, and its pathogenesis is complex and not yet fully understood. Variations in the host immune response result in diverse clinical manifestations, which complicates clinical recognition and fluid therapy both in humans and veterinary species. Septic shock is a subset of sepsis in which particularly profound circulatory, cellular, and metabolic abnormalities are associated with a greater risk of mortality than with sepsis alone. Although septic shock is a form of distributive shock, septic patients frequently present with hypovolemic and cardiogenic shock as well, further complicating fluid therapy decisions. The goals of this review are to discuss the clinical recognition of sepsis in dogs and cats, the basic mechanisms of its pathogenesis as it affects hemodynamic function, and considerations for fluid therapy. Important pathophysiologic changes, such as cellular interaction, microvascular alterations, damage to the endothelial glycocalyx, hypoalbuminemia, and immune paralysis will be also reviewed. The advantages and disadvantages of treatment with crystalloids, natural and synthetic colloids, and blood products will be discussed. Current recommendations for evaluating fluid responsiveness and the timing of vasopressor therapy will also be considered. Where available, the veterinary literature will be used to guide recommendations.

Keywords: sepsis, septic shock (MeSH), fluid therapy, critical care—small animal, immunopathogenesis

INTRODUCTION

Sepsis is one of the most important causes of morbidity and mortality in human medicine and is associated with high mortality rates in dogs and cats. Globally, more than 30 million human patients are diagnosed with sepsis each year; 5 million of them die, with a high economic burden and long-term morbidity affecting the survivors (1, 2). The prevalence and incidence of sepsis in veterinary medicine are not well-documented. Available data show that in dogs, mortality rates associated with septic peritonitis range from 21 to 68% (3–5). Other reports indicate mortality rates of 70% for dogs that experience organ failure (6). In cats with sepsis, mortality rates of 40% have been documented (7). Based on these results and others, it appears likely that sepsis is a major cause of mortality in hospitalized dogs and cats.

Vascular alterations, damage to the endothelial glycocalyx, hypoalbuminemia, and myocardial dysfunction are important pathophysiologic consequences of sepsis and complicate decision-making in regards to fluid therapy. Patients may present with varying degrees of illness severity, and may or may not manifest all of these pathophysiologic consequences of sepsis. Ultimately,
fluid therapy in these patients must be tailored to each individual, taking into account their relative intravascular volume, interstitial hydration, fluid responsiveness, and cardiac function.

**DEFINITION**

Sepsis is defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection (1). It may occur with infection anywhere in the body, and may be secondary to bacterial, fungal, or viral agents. Septic shock is further defined as a subset of sepsis in which particularly profound circulatory, cellular, and metabolic abnormalities are associated with a greater risk of mortality than with sepsis alone (1). These definitions represent our most current understanding of sepsis and accompany a change in the clinical recognition of sepsis in human medicine. Prior to 2016, sepsis was clinically recognized by the presence or suspicion of infection together with at least 2 out of 4 systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) criteria (Table 1). The SIRS criteria may be fulfilled secondary to a multitude of causes, with infection being only one of them. In human patients with sepsis, the SIRS criteria have been found to lack both sensitivity and specificity for organ dysfunction, and a cutoff value of 2 does not necessarily represent an increased risk of mortality (8). Concerns over the failure of the SIRS criteria to adequately identify patients with infections that have increased risk of mortality, as well as the focus of these criteria on inflammation as opposed to a dysregulated host response, have prompted new clinical criteria for sepsis. Sepsis is now recognized as the presence or suspicion of infection in conjunction with evidence of organ dysfunction per the sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score (Table 2), and the “quick” SOFA (qSOFA) score (Table 3) has been proposed as a screening tool for sepsis in patients housed outside of the ICU (1). Septic shock is clinically recognized by the need for vasopressors to maintain normotension or by a serum lactate >2 mmol/L in patients without hypovolemia (1). Significant controversy regarding these changes exists in human medicine, particularly surrounding the use of qSOFA, and whether or not updated definitions are needed in veterinary medicine is an open question. As of this time, the SIRS criteria are still used to diagnose sepsis in dogs and cats, as no consensus regarding a change in the clinical recognition of sepsis has been reached in veterinary medicine. The SIRS criteria in dogs and cats were respectively derived from a cohort of surgical patients and a small necropsy study, and may not be representative of all small animal patients with sepsis (9, 10). That said, the presence of SIRS in dogs and cats should raise the practitioner’s index of suspicion that sepsis may be present; however, if a patient is clinically

| TABLE 1 | The systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) criteria in dogs, cats, and humans. |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | Dogs (2/4 required) | Cats (3/4 required) | Humans (2/4 required) |
| Temperature (°F) | <100.6 or >102.6 | <100.0 or >104.0 | <96.8 or >100.4 |
| Heart rate (beats/min) | >120 | >140 or >225 | >90 |
| Respiratory rate (breaths/min) | >20 | >40 | >20 |
| White blood cell count (×10^3/µl), % bands | <6 or >16; >3% | <5 or >19 | <4 or >12; 10% |

| TABLE 2 | The sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score in humans. |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| PaO₂/FIO₂ ratio | ≥400 | <400 | <300 | <200 with respiratory support | <100 with respiratory support |
| Platelets (×10^3/µl) | ≥150 | <150 | <100 | <50 | <20 |
| Bilirubin (mg/dL) | <1.2 | 1.2–1.9 | 2.0–5.9 | 6.0–11.9 | >12.0 |
| Cardiovascular MAP ≥70 mmHg | MAP <70 mmHg | Dopamine <5 or dobutamine (any dose) | Dopamine 5.1–15 or epinephrine ≤0.1 or norepinephrine ≤0.1 | Dopamine >15 or epinephrine >0.1 or norepinephrine >0.1 | >6 |
| Glasgow Coma Scale | 15 | 13–14 | 10–12 | 6–9 | <6 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | <1.2 | 1.2–1.9 | 2.0–3.4 | 3.5–4.9 | >5.0 |
| Urine output (ml/day) | <500 | <200 | | |

Each parameter is given a score of 0–4, so that the total score can range from 0 to 24.
The innate immune system is activated upon the recognition of pathogen and fluid leakage during sepsis and septic shock, including the receptors involved in the activation of the inflammatory response and the complement cascade, neutrophil and platelet dysfunction, and immunosuppression. The microcirculatory and hemodynamic abnormalities involving endothelial cells will be addressed in detail elsewhere (Chapter 4).

**PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF SEPSIS**

The following sections on pathophysiology will briefly focus on the most important abnormalities leading to organ failure and fluid leakage during sepsis and septic shock, including the receptors involved in the activation of the inflammatory response and the complement cascade, neutrophil and platelet dysfunction, and immunosuppression. The microcirculatory and hemodynamic abnormalities involving endothelial cells will be addressed in detail elsewhere (Chapter 4).

**Initiation of the Inflammatory Response**

Fundamentally, the host immune response is designed to localize, confine, and destroy pathogens while repair mechanisms are activated. Sepsis is a dysregulated form of this response, and alterations can manifest as endothelial cell damage, coagulopathies, microcirculatory and hemodynamic alterations, metabolic and neuroendocrine immune network abnormalities, endoplasmic reticulum stress, autophagy, and many other pathophysiological processes leading to vascular leakage and organ failure. Early in the inflammatory response, the innate immune system is activated upon the recognition of pathogen and host cell molecules by a subset of germline-encoded pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) (11, 12). These receptors can be found in the extracellular and subcellular compartments, such as the cellular and endosomal membranes and the cytosol; in secreted forms present in the bloodstream and interstitial fluids; and in intracellular vesicles, such as endosomes and lysosomes (13, 14). The sensing of microorganisms by PRRs, regardless of their location, relies on recognizing molecules frequently found in pathogens or host cell products. The PRR superfamily has four major sub-families: the toll-like receptors (TLRs); NOD-like receptors; the retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 (RIG-1)-like receptors; and the C-type lectin receptors (15). Pattern recognition receptors engage pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), which are conserved structures in invading organisms that serve to identify these organisms as foreign, or damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), which are endogenous molecules, such as mitochondria that are released or modified by sterile insults, such as trauma (14, 16–18). Circulating nucleic acids, either DNA or RNA derived from pathogens or host cells, are also strong activators of PRRs (19). Intracellular PRRs are activated by signaling cascades originated by extracellular PRRs (20). During a response, nucleic acids are an important target for innate immune recognition, as all microbial pathogens depend on their DNA and/or RNA for replication. Cells and other sensor systems of the innate immune system expressing PRRs include neutrophils (21), macrophages (22), dendritic cells (23), platelets (24), complement system (25), natural killer cells (26), fibroblasts (27), and some epithelial cells, such as those of the intestinal tract (28). In a host, cells of the immune system expressing PRRs, as well as those of sensor systems, such as the complement system, can initiate both proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory responses.

The intracellular signaling process is complex, with numerous signaling pathways, ultimately leading to multi-gene expression, which is involved in adaptive immunity and inflammation. Recent data on gene activation during sepsis show that in human septic patients, a total of 910 unique genes are differentially expressed (29). This study also revealed that 43 functional cellular pathways that were closely associated with inflammation and response to infection were activated during sepsis. The inflammatory response is an essential step in activating the immune system to the presence of infection so that cells from the innate response can rapidly locate and mount an effective defense against pathogens (30). This defense is typically well-organized, with the inflammation under control after the infection is resolved. After this, the immune system can prime itself for an effective immune response to future infection (31). If the response becomes dysregulated, both the hyperinflammatory and the hypoinflammatory responses can lead to many of the sequelae that are observed in sepsis and septic shock. Among these are disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), multi-organ dysfunction, and peripheral vasodilation leading to hypotension and subsequent organ hypoperfusion (32, 33).

**Local and Systemic Inflammatory Response**

The host–pathogen interactions at the site of injury or infection, neutrophils, resident macrophages, and dendritic cells coordinate the initial inflammatory response through PAMP–PRR and DAMP–PRR signaling. Downstream, this pathway activates the inflammasome response, a multiprotein intracellular complex that includes enzymes, such as caspase-1 (34). The inflammasome pathway in neutrophils and macrophages triggers the production and secretion of the highly proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and IL-18 (35). During activation, neutrophils become autophagic, and other cell-death mechanisms are also activated and integrated into the host’s defense against bacteria (36). These mechanisms regulate the generation of the proinflammatory immune response (37). Pathogen- and damage-associated molecular patterns may also directly activate receptors on neutrophils, which induce migration and recruitment into infection sites (38). Neutrophils—key cells in sepsis—display a wide range of effector mechanisms to counteract pathogens, which include phagocytosis, the production of reactive oxygen species, and killing of ingested pathogens by proteases (39). Activated neutrophils release neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), which are webs of DNA and antimicrobial proteins designed to kill pathogens and aid in pathogen clearance (40). Excessive NET formation promotes inflammation and tissue damage during sepsis. Exosomes also play an important role in amplifying the inflammatory response. Exosomes are extracellular vesicles released by cells, such as neutrophils, macrophages, and platelets, and participate in local and systemic intercellular communication (41). The proinflammatory effects of exosomes are mediated by cytokines (e.g., IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-18, TNF-α), miRNA, DAMPs, and NETs contained within the vesicles. The vesicles activate neutrophils, macrophages,
and endothelial cells. Macrophages interact with bacterial products, as previously described for neutrophils, and release proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., TNF-α and IL-6), which contribute to vascular endothelial dysfunction, increased vessel diameter, and leakiness, the latter leading to fluid and immune cell infiltration of the surrounding tissues (42). The excessive amounts of proinflammatory cytokines produced by these cells lead to a hyperinflammatory state called the cytokine storm (43). This process is part of the dysregulation of the immune system during sepsis and is characterized by high levels of circulating IL-6 and IL-10 and the decreased ability of monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells to produce proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α and interferon gamma (IFN-γ) in response to PAMPs and DAMPs. Current evidence suggests that in dogs with sepsis, there is a dysregulated proinflammatory cytokine production. In one study, numerous cytokine levels were measured in dogs with sepsis and compared to healthy dogs and those with non-infectious systemic inflammation (44). The results showed that the levels of the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-8 and several chemokines (CXCL-8, keratinocyte-derived chemokine, and CCL2), and high-mobility group box-1 (HMGB-1) were higher in dogs with sepsis than in the healthy controls. An interesting finding in the study was that CCL2 was found to be predictive of poor survival. It should be pointed out that HMGB-1 is a DAMP that activates the innate responses of TLR2 and TLR4 (45). In humans with sepsis, the presence of HMGB-1 has been associated with poor outcomes (46).

Another study compared levels of proinflammatory cytokines in dogs with naturally-occurring *Babesia rossi* infection to healthy controls and found that dogs with babesiosis experienced a similar proinflammatory cytokine storm, which correlated with the disease severity (47).

### Sepsis and Septic Shock

There are two basic components in sepsis, which combine to form a continuum of events between hyper-inflammation and hypo-inflammation. The role of neutrophils and platelets during the development of sepsis and septic shock as well as the most important mechanisms leading to immunosuppression will be addressed. Sepsis develops when the immune response to an infection becomes generalized and involves normal tissues remote from the site of an injury or infection. It is characterized by a systemic dysregulated host response to infection in which there are simultaneous hyperinflammatory and hypo-inflammation states driven by the dysfunction of both the innate and adaptive immunity. An early hyperinflammatory phase in which the cytokine storm progresses is accompanied by the compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome to limit the tissue damage (48). How the early and acute hyperinflammatory phase transitions to the chronic or late hypo-inflammatory phase as sepsis progresses to septic shock is not fully understood.

What is known is that some of the most important determinants of immunoparalysis are macrophage deactivation, negative regulatory mediators, such as programmed cell death-1 (PD-1), increased apoptosis (with a decrease in T cell counts), increased suppression of immune cells, increased production of anti-inflammatory cytokines, and alterations in energy production leading to cell anergy (49). During the late phase of sepsis and in septic shock, there are numerous hemodynamic, microcirculatory, cellular, and metabolic abnormalities that cause increased mortality and morbidity in affected patients (50). In general terms, the most important circulatory damage leading to multi-organ dysfunction is induced in part by the activation of the complement cascade and impairment in both platelets and neutrophils, including the excessive production of NETs (51). Dysregulated activation of the complement cascade, which is a tightly regulated multiprotein complex and a major component of the innate immune response, is designed to detect, destroy, and clear invading pathogens as well as amplifying the inflammatory response (52). Pathogen destruction is mediated by the lytic complement component—the membrane attack complex (MAC), which also causes injury to endothelial cells (53). Under normal conditions, bystander cells have a protective mechanism against the MAC: CD59 (54). With downregulation of CD59 and dysfunctional regulators, there is excessive activation of the MAC, causing bystander endothelial cells in the microcirculatory space to be damaged and inducing multi-organ dysfunction (55–57).

During septic shock, neutrophils play a pivotal role. They experience highly dysregulated functions, including the recruitment of immature cells, impaired migration, inefficient pathogen recognition (leading to impaired bacterial control), tissue damage, and cell margination (58). These alterations trigger an endothelial cell dysfunction that leads to increased permeability and fluid leakage from the intravascular space to the interstitium (59). Neutrophils lose elasticity and become more rigid, resulting in the altering of their migration through endothelial tight junctions (60). This excess rigidity in the cells is responsible for capillary occlusion, which itself is involved in multiple organ failure (59). Organ damage is enhanced as the lifespan of neutrophils is increased compared to those of neutrophils associated with non-septic inflammation, and these mature neutrophils are less likely to return to the bone marrow, promoting the margination of dysfunctional cells in the capillary networks (61). Neutrophils produce excessive NETs, which, in turn, activate the coagulation cascade, leading to immunothrombosis (62).

Immunothrombosis is the interaction between coagulation and innate immunity that triggers a generalized disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC). It is important to note that there are other factors involved in coagulation in sepsis, such as monocytes and macrophages activated by invading microorganisms and their components (63). Coagulation activation also becomes dysfunctional (64). Additionally, during septic shock, inflammation stimulates capillary sequestration of neutrophils by activating circulating forms of these cells, which are responsible for further occlusion of the small vessels as well as the migration of vital organs and the release of cytotoxic substances (proinflammatory cytokines, proteolytic enzymes) that are involved in tissue damage and multiple organ failure, as shown in a study conducted by Mantovani et al. (65).

Platelets also are important contributors to organ failure during septic shock, as they actively participate in microvascular and mitochondrial dysfunction, DIC, acute kidney injury, and
cardiac dysfunction (66). Recently published data show that activated platelets have a dual role in the immune response. First, they can engage the innate mechanisms of defense by directly killing pathogens as well as facilitating pathogen clearance by activating macrophages and neutrophils. Second, they promote NETs with the formation of platelet aggregates and disseminate microthrombi, which can be a risk factor for mortality (67). As a consequence, platelets promote endothelial damage, thrombosis, and organ failure. There are several mechanisms by which platelets can induce microvascular dysfunction during septic shock. In addition, they possess procoagulant properties that result in widespread microvascular coagulation and sepsis-induced disseminated intravascular coagulation. The nature of the thrombo-inflammatory response lies in the intense cross talk that takes place between platelets, neutrophils, and NETs and that results in widespread intravascular coagulation, microvascular occlusion, and ischemic and cytotoxic organ damage (68).

A state of induced immunosuppression normally predominates over the proinflammatory response, often starting during the early onset of septic shock (69). There are several mechanisms involved in the induction of the immunosuppression. These include a dysregulated compensatory anti-inflammatory response (70), increased apoptosis of T and B cells (lymphocyte exhaustion) (71), immune paralysis (increased tolerance to endotoxins) (72), and dysregulated expansion of T-regulatory cells. Immune paralysis refers to a state consisting of the reduced ability to trigger an immune response to a pathogen. Macrophages have decreased expression of antigen-presenting capabilities, with a reduction of important monokines that are required for an immune response (72). Another late feature of septic shock is the reprogramming of macrophages to an anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype, all of which is an attempt to decrease inflammation but which results in defective pathogen destruction caused by phagocytic cells (73). During septic shock, lymphocyte exhaustion occurs as the effector function of T and B cells is impaired. There is sustained and increased expression of inhibitory immune checkpoint molecules on exhausted T-cell surfaces and an increased expression of the PD-1 receptor and ligand (PD-L1) (63). Recent studies have demonstrated that peripheral blood polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMNs) from septic patients express high levels of PD-L1 (74). These cells exhibit a decline in their capacity to phagocytize bacteria; therefore, sepsis drives altered PMN function. A consequence, the syndrome contributes to the increased risk of secondary and opportunistic infections and late death associated with sepsis and septic shock (70).

**IMPLICATIONS FOR FLUID THERAPY**

**Generation of Cardiovascular Alterations**

Cardiovascular dysfunction in septic shock involves both peripheral vascular dysfunction and myocardial alterations. There are several mechanisms involved, from dilation of the veins and arteries to the dysregulated innate immune response affecting the endothelium and microcirculation. One mechanism in particular is the increased permeability of the intravascular space to the interstitium leading to tissue fluid loss and relative hypovolemia, which reduces ventricular diastolic function (75). It has been documented in humans that sepsis-induced myocardial dysfunction occurs in about 25–50% of patients with septic shock (76). The activation of the Toll-like receptors by PAMPs/DAMPs triggers the inflammatory cascade, as described in the preceding sections (77, 78). Once the inflammasome has been activated, the production of inflammatory cytokines is triggered. The release of chemotactic cytokines and intercellular adhesion molecules, such as ICAM-1 attracts neutrophils and macrophages from the microvessels to the myocardium, where they adhere (79, 80). Cell activation and the inflammatory response impact the coordination of the depolarization of the cardiomyocytes and subsequent calcium release, leading to decreased cardiomyocyte contractility. Complement activation also plays a key role in septic cardiomypathy. Complement fragment 5a (C5a) is a potent anaphylatoxin (81). The presence of dysregulated amounts of C5a activates receptors C5aR1 and C5aR2 (present in cardiac myocytes), resulting in defective action potentials. In addition, alterations are induced by C5a, such as changes in the intracellular calcium homeostasis and electrophysiological functions that, when induced, result in defective action potentials in cardiomyocytes and the activation of neutrophils leading to dysregulated NET production (82). It should be pointed out that the NETs are composed of histones, which also cause defective action potentials, and the buildup of reactive oxygen species. The activated complement cascade will generate a membrane complex attack, which causes pore formation in cells, both in pathogens as well as in hosts cells, such as endothelial cells. This action will trigger endothelial dysfunction, including the production of microthrombi and DIC (57).

**Vascular Alterations**

Sepsis results in a loss of vasomotor tone in the resistance vessels, resulting hypotension despite normal or increased cardiac output. This sepsis-induced vasoplegia affects both the arterial and venous circulation. Arterial vasodilation results in a relative hypovolemia and subsequent hypotension, reducing oxygen delivery to tissues. Venodilation occurs in the splanchnic and cutaneous vascular beds, resulting in an increase in unstressed volume and consequent decreased venous return and cardiac output (83). In addition, endothelial damage and microvascular thrombosis results in a reduced functional capillary density and abnormal microcirculatory flow (57). The ultimate goal of fluid therapy in patients with poor perfusion is to increase tissue oxygen delivery; however, these vascular alterations result in a maldistribution of blood flow. Although fluid resuscitation may increase the stressed volume in these patients and result in improvement in macrocirculatory parameters (e.g., blood pressure), microcirculatory alterations may persist and the goal of increasing oxygen delivery may remain unfulfilled.

**Endothelial Glycocalyx**

The endothelial glycocalyx is a gel-like substance comprised of proteoglycans, glycoproteins, and glycosaminoglycans that lines the endothelium and regulates vascular permeability. The endothelial glycocalyx also plays a role in vascular relaxation by transmitting shear stress to endothelial cells which then
produce nitric oxide, thus contributing to vasodilation (84). See Chapter 4 for further discussion of the functions of the endothelial glyocalyx.

In patients with sepsis, the endothelial glyocalyx is thought to be degraded, and this degradation has been associated with increases in TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-10 (84). Thinning of the endothelial glyocalyx results in alterations in vascular permeability, resulting in intravascular fluid loss and tissue edema. Additionally, fluid therapy may further damage the endothelial glyocalyx and hypervolemia has been associated with degradation in experimental models (84). However, a human study of patients with sepsis found that total crystalloid volume infused was not associated with shedding of an endothelial glyocalyx component (syndecan-1), suggesting that fluid volume does not contribute to endothelial glyocalyx damage in sepsis (85).

Albumin is not contained within the endothelial glyocalyx as it is repelled by the negatively-charged glycosaminoglycans, but does accumulate on the luminal surface (86). When the endothelial glyocalyx is damaged, this layer of albumin is reduced as well, further contributing to a decrease in capillary oncotic pressure. Evidence suggests that administration of albumin-containing fluids (e.g., serum albumin solutions or fresh frozen plasma) may be protective to the endothelial glyocalyx as albumin carries erythrocyte-derived sphingosine-1-phosphate to the endothelium, where it suppressed matrix metalloproteinase activity (84).

Hypoalbuminemia
Hypoalbuminemia occurs in sepsis for a plethora of reasons, including downregulated hepatic production, gastrointestinal and/or renal losses, decreased protein intake, and leak through a damaged endothelial barrier. Our improved understanding of microvascular function and the revised Starling’s forces (see Chapter 2) have led to the appreciation that there is no resorption of fluid at the venule end of the capillary, the so-called “no absorption rule.” Thus, the old paradigm of administering colloids (natural or synthetic) to hypoalbuminemic patients to reduce edema and “pull” fluid into the vasculature no longer holds true. Although the administration of colloid solutions may not redistribute fluid into the intravascular space, hypoalbuminemia decreases the capillary oncotic pressure and may also contribute to endothelial glyocalyx damage, both of which may worsen interstitial edema.

Myocardial Dysfunction
Sepsis-induced myocardial dysfunction has been well-documented in humans, and is characterized by decreased systolic function (87). Typically when there is decreased contractility, there is diastolic compensation, and the left ventricular end diastolic volume is increased, which helps to preserve stroke volume and therefore cardiac output. However, in many human patients with sepsis, there is decreased diastolic compliance as well (87). It is unclear why some patients with sepsis develop diastolic dysfunction, but it is associated with increased morbidity (87). Patients that have myocardial dysfunction may be less responsive to fluid therapy, and patients with decreased contractility may require administration of positive inotropes to increase cardiac output. These patients may also be less tolerant of intravenous fluids and prone to congestive heart failure, requiring more caution with fluid therapy.

CURRENT RECOMMENDATIONS
Fluid Resuscitation
Patients with sepsis frequently present with hypotension, which may be secondary to relative hypovolemia from vasodilation, absolute hypovolemia from gastrointestinal or renal losses, or myocardial dysfunction. Fluid therapy is considered a cornerstone of sepsis treatment, in addition to antimicrobials, source control, and frequently, vasopressors. The complexity of the disease process combined with variation in illness severity and presentation have made the formulation of guidelines that apply to all patients difficult, and clinically it is often challenging to determine how much fluid should administered.

One of the most well-known trials that addressed resuscitation in human patients with sepsis is the Early Goal Directed Therapy (EGDT) trial by Rivers et al. (88). This single-center study randomized 263 patients with sepsis or septic shock to receive either EGDT or standard care for the first 6 h of hospitalization (87). Patients in both groups received a combination of IV fluids and vasopressors to achieve a mean arterial pressure (MAP) of 65 mmHg or greater, a central venous pressure (CVP) of 8–12 mmHg, and a urine output (UOP) of 0.5 ml/kg/h or greater (87). Patients in the EGDT group also had resuscitation endpoints of a central venous oxygen saturation (ScvO₂) ≥70% and a hematocrit ≥30%, and a dobutamine infusion was used to increase cardiac output if CVP, MAP, and hematocrit were optimized by ScvO₂ remained lower than 70% (87). Patients in the EGDT group received more IV fluids, blood transfusions, and dobutamine, and had a significantly lower mortality rate compared to the standard care group (30.5 vs. 46.5%, p = 0.009) (87). These findings led to widespread adoption of protocolized EGDT, and in 2004 EGDT was added to the Surviving Sepsis Campaign (SSC) guidelines (89). However, since the publication of this study, three larger multicenter trials comparing a protocolized EGDT to standard care have been performed: ProCESS, ARISE, and ProMISE (90–92). These trials failed to show a mortality benefit of EGDT over standard care; however, in each of these trials illness severity was lower and patients were enrolled later in hospitalization compared to the Rivers trial, which may in part explain why the protocolized care was less effective. In veterinary medicine, a resuscitation protocol using blood pressure, ScvO₂, CVP, lactate, base deficit, and hematocrit was evaluated in 30 dogs with sepsis or septic shock secondary to pyometra (93). ScvO₂ and base deficit were the best predictors of non-survival, but this protocol has not been compared to other resuscitation strategies to determine if there is a mortality benefit of using such a protocol (93).

The current SSC guidelines recommend administration of 30 ml/kg of crystalloid within the first hour of resuscitation of sepsis-induced hypoperfusion, as evidenced by organ dysfunction, hypotension, or increased lactate (94, 95). This volume of fluid has a low quality of evidence to support it, and was adopted...
primarily because it is considered usual practice in the early stages of resuscitation (93). Indeed, these recommendations have been met with some controversy (96). It is difficult to recommend this as a standard in veterinary medicine, given the lack of evidence for this volume of fluid in humans as well as species-specific differences in blood volume and fluid tolerance. Given the heterogeneity of clinical presentations and varying degrees of concurrent hypovolemia in cats and dogs, an individualized, patient-based approach would appear reasonable. In patients with evidence of hypoperfusion and without concurrent cardiac disease, a strategy of incremental isotonic crystalloid fluid boluses (10–15 ml/kg) can be used, with reassessment of the patient's status to determine if they are fluid responsive. Dynamic measures of fluid responsiveness, such as the passive leg raise (PLR) have proven to be better predictors of fluid responsiveness than static parameters, such as CVP and ScvO₂; however, PLR has not been adopted in veterinary medicine due to the anatomic differences between humans and dogs and cats (97). Other dynamic variables used to identify fluid responsiveness, such as pulse pressure variation and systolic pressure variation are useful in patients undergoing mechanical ventilation with a set tidal volume, but have little use in the majority of veterinary patients. For further discussion of monitoring for fluid responsiveness, see Chapter 19.

If the patient is not responsive to IV fluids and remains hypotensive, administration of a vasoactive medication is indicated. Norepinephrine (0.1–1 mcg/kg/min) is the vasopressor of choice in the treatment of septic shock, and early use in resuscitation has been associated with improved hemodynamics (83, 89, 98). Although definitions of early administration vary, recent evidence in humans with septic shock suggests that administration of norepinephrine within the first few hours of septic shock confers a mortality benefit (99). Norepinephrine acts on both α- and β-adrenergic receptors, although its actions on α-adrenergic receptors predominate, causing primarily vasoconstriction. This not only increases arterial vascular tone, but causes venoconstriction as well, resulting in a decrease in unstressed volume and an increase in venous return and cardiac output (99). Evidence suggests that norepinephrine may also improve microvascular flow in septic shock (100). If decreased systolic function secondary to myocardial depression is present, dobutamine (5–15 mcg/kg/min) can also be considered as a positive inotrope.

Maintenance

Following resuscitation, many patients with sepsis require ongoing intravenous fluid therapy due to both decreased intake as well as ongoing losses, particularly if the gastrointestinal tract is the source of sepsis. The goal of ongoing fluid therapy is to maintain euhydration, which may be difficult. This is in part due to altered vascular permeability and hypoalbuminemia, both of which contribute to increased leak of fluid from the intravascular to the interstitial space. Additionally, critically ill patients frequently experience increased ADH secretion, resulting in fluid retention (101). In human patients with sepsis, net positive fluid balance is common and each 1L of cumulative fluids at 72 h of hospitalization has been associated with an increased odds of mortality (102). Individual patient fluid needs should be assessed frequently (every 4–6 h), using physical examination and body weight. Clinical signs of fluid overload include increasing body weight, serous nasal discharge, increased respiratory rate and effort, peripheral edema, body cavity effusions, chemosis, and jugular venous distention. If point-of-care ultrasound is available, serial monitoring for the presence of effusions, B-lines indicating alveolar-interstitial syndrome, and left atrial enlargement can be extremely useful in identifying fluid overload prior to the onset of clinical signs. For further discussion of fluid overload, see Chapter 20.

Although enteral water is never appropriate for treatment of hypoperfusion, it may be used to provide maintenance water to patients that no longer require resuscitation. If the patient is willing to drink, water can be provided by mouth. In patients that are unwilling or unable to drink and are unable to tolerate IV fluids, a nasogastric tube can be placed to administer water. Any water that is provided via the enteral route should be measured and recorded, so that it can be factored into a comprehensive fluid plan.

Fluid Types

Crystalloids

Crystalloid solutions are the mainstay of fluid therapy in patients with sepsis, and balanced solutions are preferred over unbalanced solutions. One of the first large trials comparing balanced crystalloids (lactated Ringer's solution [LRS] and Plasmalyte A) to 0.9% sodium chloride in 15,802 critically ill humans was the SMART trial (103). A secondary analysis of 1,641 patients with sepsis in this trial found that patients in the balanced crystalloid group had lower mortality (26.3 vs. 31.2%, adjusted odds ratio 0.74; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.59–0.93; \( p = 0.01 \)), a greater number of vasopressor-free days (20 ± 12 vs. 19 ± 13; aOR, 1.25; 95% CI: 1.02–1.54), and a lower incidence of adverse renal events within 30 days (35.4 vs. 40.1%; aOR, 0.78; 95% CI: 0.63–0.97) (104). Although this effect is seen in patients without sepsis, it appears to be greater in patients with sepsis (102). Administration of high chloride fluids, such as 0.9% sodium chloride is thought to induce renal vasoconstriction and subsequent acute kidney injury (105). High chloride fluids have also been shown in experimental sepsis models to increase TNF, IL-10, and IL-6 concentrations and to impair microcirculatory function compared to balanced crystalloids (106, 107). The underlying pathogenesis of these differences is an ongoing area of investigation.

Synthetic Colloids

The use of synthetic colloids, such as hydroxyethyl starch (HES) is controversial, and has generally fallen out of favor in patients with sepsis. The VISEP trial evaluated 537 human patients with sepsis and found that there was a higher rate of acute kidney injury and need for renal replacement therapy in patients treated with 10% HES (200/0.5) compared to LRS (108). Conversely, the CRYSTMAS trial compared 6% HES (130/0.4) to 0.9% sodium chloride in 196 human patients with sepsis and found no difference in renal values or mortality between the groups; however, this study was small and may have been underpowered to detect a difference (109). The 6S trial compared 6% HES...
(130/0.42) to Ringer’s acetate in 798 patients with sepsis and found increased 90 day mortality as well as increased need for renal replacement therapy in the HES group (110). A Cochrane review of HES compared to other fluid therapies concluded that there is an increased risk of acute kidney injury and need for renal replacement therapy in all patients treated with sepsis, and an increased risk of renal injury based on RIFLE (Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of renal function, End-stage kidney disease) criteria in septic vs. non-septic patients (111). It is difficult to know if this information can be extrapolated to veterinary patients, as there are species-specific differences in HES metabolism. In addition, dogs and cats with sepsis are typically hospitalized for shorter durations compared to human patients, and receive far less total colloid. Several retrospective studies have evaluated HES use in dogs with conflicting results, although none have specifically evaluated a population of dogs with sepsis (112–114). Two retrospective studies in non-azotemic cats failed to show an association between HES administration and acute kidney injury or mortality (115, 116). In one of these studies, cats with sepsis were specifically evaluated and there was no HES-associated acute kidney injury noted; only 14 cats were in this group and the authors cautioned against making recommendations until a larger group of septic cats could be evaluated (115). Given that there is no clear survival advantage for synthetic colloids in patients with sepsis and there is potential risk of renal injury, use of these fluids in this patient population is not recommended. For further discussion of the controversies surrounding synthetic colloids, see Chapter 7.

**Albumin**

Hypoalbuminemia is common in patients with sepsis, and has been associated with poor prognosis in both humans and dogs (3, 117, 118). Despite this, it is unclear whether this is a marker of disease severity or a contributor to morbidity and mortality. It is also unclear whether or not albumin transfusion results in improved outcomes, although evidence suggests that it may improve mortality in patients with septic shock. Several human studies have evaluated the effect of albumin transfusion in critically ill patients. The SAFE study was a multicenter, randomized, double blind trial comparing 4% human serum albumin (HSA) to 0.9% sodium chloride over 28 days in 6,997 human ICU patients (119). Overall, there was no difference in mortality, organ failure, or length of stay between the groups (116). However, in the subset of patients with sepsis, there was a trend toward decreased mortality in patients that received albumin compared to 0.9% sodium chloride (30.7% vs. 35.5%, RR 0.87, 95% CI: 0.74–1.02, p = 0.09) (116). As a result of this finding, the ALBIOS trial was conducted. This trial was a multicenter, randomized, open label comparison of 20% albumin and crystalloid compared to crystalloid alone in 1,818 adults with sepsis or septic shock (120). Patients that received albumin had a higher mean arterial pressure, a shorter time on vasopressors, and lower net fluid balance. Overall there was no difference in mortality or organ failure between the groups, but a post-hoc analysis of the 1,121 patients with septic shock did find lower mortality in the albumin group (RR 0.87, 95% CI: 0.77–0.99, p = 0.03), indicating that there may be a benefit to albumin transfusion in this patient population (117).

Evidence supporting albumin transfusion in veterinary medicine is less robust. A retrospective comparison of 25% HSA transfusion to no albumin in 39 dogs with septic peritonitis found that survivors had increasing albumin levels during hospitalization, but albumin transfusion itself was not associated with survival (116). A prospective, randomized trial of 5% canine albumin compared to clinician-directed therapy in 14 dogs with septic peritonitis found that albumin levels remained increased 24h after transfusion in the albumin group, but the study was not powered to evaluate mortality as an outcome (121). Given the evidence available in humans, it is reasonable to administer albumin to patients in septic shock if crystalloids alone are insufficient for maintaining intravascular volume. Due to the potential for acute and delayed transfusion reactions when administering xenotransfusions, administration of canine albumin is preferable to HSA in dogs. As no species-specific albumin product exists for cats and there is no evidence supporting or opposing albumin transfusion in this species, no recommendations can be given.

**Blood Products**

In the Rivers trial, patients were transfused with packed red blood cells (pRBCs) to maintain a minimum hematocrit of 30% (87). Due to the adoption of EGDT as standard of care, this transfusion threshold became widespread. In 2014, the TRISS trial was published, which compared a conservative transfusion threshold (hemoglobin <7 g/dl) to a more liberal threshold (hemoglobin <9 g/dl) in patients with septic shock (122). This multicenter trial found that patients in the conservative group received a median of 1 unit of pRBCs compared to 4 units in the liberal group, and that there was no difference in mortality or ischemic events in either group (3). This suggests that a lower transfusion threshold is reasonable in patients with septic shock.

Fresh frozen plasma (FFP) is not indicated in patients with sepsis unless a concurrent coagulopathy with evidence of bleeding is present. Interestingly, FFP transfusion has been found to decrease both TNF-α and syndecan-1 levels in critically ill human patients, 45% of which had sepsis (123). This suggests that FFP may decrease both inflammation and endothelial glycolalix degradation. Although FFP may potentially have a role in disease states with altered endothelial glycolalix integrity, the clinical implications of this are unknown, and further research is warranted.

**CONCLUSION**

Sepsis is a complex syndrome that may result in vascular alterations, hypoalbuminemia, and myocardial dysfunction, complicating fluid therapy decisions. Fluid therapy plans should be individualized to each patient based on their clinical condition, taking into consideration whether or not there is evidence of hypoperfusion, vasoplegia, hypoalbuminemia, or cardiac dysfunction present. Balanced crystalloid solutions
are the mainstay of fluid therapy, although care must be taken to limit edema formation. In patients that are not fluid responsive, norepinephrine should be considered early. Synthetic colloids are not recommended due to the risk of renal injury. If profound hypoalbuminemia is taken to limit edema formation. In patients that are not 
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