Measurement of Gamma-Ray from Inelastic Neutron Scattering on $^{56}$Fe
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Abstract. In nuclear reactors, inelastic neutron scattering is a significant energy-loss mechanism which has deep impacts on designs of nuclear reactor and radiation shielding. Iron is an important material in reactor. However, for the existing nuclear data for iron, there exists an obvious divergence for the inelastic scattering cross sections and the related gamma production sections. Therefore the precise measurements are urgently needed for satisfying the designing to design new nuclear reactors (fast reactors), Accelerator Driven Sub-critical System (ADS), and other nuclear apparatus. In this paper, we report a new system with an array of HPGe detectors, electronics and acquisition system. Experiments had been carried out on three neutron facilities.

1 Introduction

The cross sections of inelastic neutron scattering on $^{56}$Fe are important nuclear data for designs of nuclear reactors, Accelerator Driven Sub-critical System (ADS), and other nuclear apparatus. Traditionally, in many experimental measurements, many gamma production cross sections are normalized according to the 847 keV γ ray from $^{56}$Fe(2.2−→0+) transition, which makes the precision of the cross sections very critical.[11]

We built experimental setup on three neutron facilities, the CIAE Cockcroft-Walton Accelerator, CIAE 2×1.7 MV accelerator, and Back-n white neutron source at CSNS, and then carried out experiment measurements. The shielding and collimator had been established. Four energies, which are 2.96 MeV and 14.80 MeV at Cockcroft-Walton Accelerator, and 1.2 MeV and 2.5MeV at 2×1.7 MV accelerator have been measured. And we will continue the studies on CSNS for continuous energy range in near future. We studied the prompt gamma-ray method and obtained the gamma-ray production cross-sections from inelastic neutron scattering on $^{56}$Fe. The measurement uncertainty is less than 10%.

2 Experiment on Cockcroft-Walton Accelerator

Pulsed and continuous deuterium beam experiments have been carried out on Cockcroft-Walton Accelerator, at China Institute of Atomic Energy (CIAE). By using D(d,n)3He and T(d,n) 4He reactions, 2.96 ± 0.26 MeV and
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14.80 ± 0.44 MeV neutrons are produced. Figure 1 shows the experimental scheme. An array of High-purity Germanium (HPGe) detectors, as well as the following electronics and acquisition system, are used to measure the prompt γ rays from (n,xn) reaction. The detection system consists of four CLOVER HPGe detectors and four planar HPGe detectors which are located at 110°, 150° and 125° to the neutron beam direction. Figure 2 shows the layout of the detectors, which is visualized by a Monte Carlo code Geant4.

The old experimental hall of CIAE Cockraft-Walton Accelerator was modified to carry out the current experiment. The concert wall was increased from 1m to 1.5m; an extra 2cm lead shielding was added. Collimators which were made from copper, polyethylene (PE), and lead, are used in this experiment. Two natural iron samples, with size of \( \Phi 40\text{mm} \times 3\text{mm} \) (for 2.96 MeV neutron beams) and \( 33\text{mm} \times 33\text{mm} \times 1\text{mm} \) (for 14.80 MeV neutron beams), are used in our measurement. They are mounted on an aluminum target holder. At sample position, the neutron beam intensities are \( \sim 7000\text{ n/s/cm}^2 \) (14.80 MeV, pulsed), and \( \sim 900\text{ n/s/cm}^2 \) (2.96 MeV, continuous) respectively.

The experimental results show that several characteristic γ-ray peaks of (n,xn) reaction are observed. The absolute neutron flux value can be monitored by associated particle measurement, and then given by associated particle method. Therefore the cross sections given here are not obtained relatively. The results will be shown in Sec.4 together with CIAE 2×1.7 MV accelerator data.

3 Experiment on 2×1.7 MV Tandem Accelerator

At CIAE 2×1.7 MV accelerator, neutron beams with energies of \( 1.20 \pm 0.07 \text{ MeV} \) and \( 2.45 \pm 0.26 \text{ MeV} \) can be produced by \( T(p,n)^3\text{He} \) reactions. The neutron shielding is composed of PE with 20% BC4, and the neutron collimator is made of copper and lead. The target is also natural iron with size of \( \Phi 30\text{mm} \times 3\text{mm} \). Another target is natural chromium with the same size. At sample position, the neutron beam intensities are \( \sim 700\text{ n/s/cm}^2 \). The prompt gamma-ray was measured by four CLOVER detectors placed at 110° and 150°.

We analyzed the prompt gamma-ray and obtained the gamma-ray production cross sections of \( ^{56}\text{Fe}(n,n'\gamma) \) reaction. The cross sections are obtained by using two methods, one is relative method, i.e. comparing with \( ^{52}\text{Cr} \) cross section, and another one is the absolute method, i.e. by using absolute neutron flux value.

4 Data analysis and Results

The data sets, the one from Cockraft-Walton Accelerator and one from 2×1.7 MV Tandem Accelerator, are analyzed. The procedure is following: gamma spectrum analysis, incident neutron energy valuation, detection efficiency calibration and so on. The major correction factor include: background influence, neutron flux attenuation and multi-scattering in sample, gamma self-absorption and dead time. The \( ^{56}\text{Fe}(n,n'\gamma) \) cross sections results for neutron incident energies of 1.25, 2.45, 2.96 and 14.80 MeV are shown in Fig.4. We compare the cross sections results with these from Nelson group and Negret group. The cross sections are consistent with their results.[2][3]

By these experiments, we studied the prompt gamma-ray method and give out the gamma-ray production cross sections of \( ^{56}\text{Fe} \) inelastic neutron scattering. The measure-
mental uncertainty is less than 10%. The measuring method was verified to be feasible by iron target experiment.

Figure 4. Cross sections comparision with Nelson and Negret

5 Experiment on China Spallation Neutron Source

The China Spallation Neutron Source (CSNS) is the first pulsed spallation neutron source in China. It was completed in 2018 and has been running since then. Now, the facility is operating stably at a repetition rate of 25 Hz at beam power of 100 kW. The Back-n white neutron source is a back-streaming neutrons beamline from the spallation target at CSNS, which can provide neutron beam energies range from eV to hundreds of MeV.[4] [5]

The experimental setup is shown in Fig.5. A CLOVER detector was placed at 125° with respect to the incoming neutron beam to measure prompt gamma-ray. We also employed LaBr3 detector to survey the feasibility of experimental condition. The natural iron sample is a disk with a diameter of 50 mm and a thickness of 1 mm. The cross section can be deduced by relatively comparing with measurement effect for 52Cr sample.

Figure 7 shows the TOF spectra of the CLOVER detector with the CSNS working on the double-bunch mode. There are two distinct γ flash peaks on the TOF spectrum, which means the influence of double-bunch mode is significant for inelastic neutron scattering experiment. Figure 8 shows the gamma spectra for TOF between 2027 ns and 2068 ns. The 847 keV γ ray is obviously on the spectra. It comes from inelastic neutron scattering on 56Fe. For double-bunch mode, the unfolding methods will be applied to extract the event distribution corresponding to a single proton bunch. The related data analysis is ongoing.

Figure 9 shows our preliminary result for CSNS Back-n experiment. We compare the γ emissivity ratio of Fe-847keV and Cr-1434keV with the corresponding data of GELINA. The normalized emissivity ratio is equal to cross section ratio. So we overlay the cross section of GELINA according to the double-bunch condition of CSNS. The X axis is TOF on the bottom as well as double-bunch neutron energy on the top. The Y axis is the γ emissivity ratio. From this figure, we can find out that the emissivity ratio is consistent on the whole TOF time range and the data curve shape is similar. Through the data comparison, we can infer that our system is reliable. More accurate analysis will be carried out.

Figure 5. Back-n white neutron beamline and experiment site at CSNS

Figure 6. Experimental deployment on Back-n white neutron beamline

Our experiment, as the first neutron experiment using HPGe detectors on CSNS, was carried out at CSNS in February, 2019. The experimental location is at the End Station 2 (ES #2) of the Back-n white neutron source, about 77 m from the spallation neutron target. The TOF (Time-of-Flight) method was used to determine the neutron energy. However, because the CSNS was working with the double-bunch mode, the TOF spectra are blurred. The double-bunch mode is that during it running there are two proton bunches with time interval of 410 ns in each pulse. To extract the event distribution corresponding to a single proton bunch, we developed the unfolding methods to obtain higher time resolution and then neutron energy resolution spectra.[6]
6 Conclusion

In summary, we report new measurements of the inelastic neutron scattering on iron. By using the neutron facilities of Cockcraft-Walton Accelerator at CIAE, 2×1.7 MV accelerator at CIAE, and Back-n white neutron source at CSNS, the inelastic neutron scattering cross sections have been measured at 1.25, 2.45, 2.96 and 14.80 MeV. The results agree with these from other groups. To overcome the TOF blurring caused by the CSNS’s double-bunch running mode, we are developing the unfolding method. Subsequently, we will measure more (n,xn) cross sections for other significant nuclides.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11375275, 11790320, 11790321, 11790322), the continuous Basic Scientific Research Project (No. WDJC-2019-09).

References

[1] N.Fotiades, G.D.Johns, R.O.Nelson, et al., PHYSICAL REVIEW C 69, 024601 (2004)
[2] L.C.Mihailescuc, L.Olah, C.Borcea, A.J.M.Plompen, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 531, 375–391 (2004)
[3] D.Dashdorj, G.E.Mitchell, T.Kawano, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 261, 948-952 (2007)
[4] H.Chen, X.-L.Wang, Nat. Mater. 15, 689 (2016)
[5] Q.An et al., JINST 12, P07022 (2017)
[6] H.Yi et al, JINST 14, P02011 (2019)