An Antibody Fab Fragment-based Chimeric Antigen Receptor Could Efficiently Eliminate Human Thyroid Cancer Cells
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Abstract

Thyroid cancer remains a significant health problem worldwide. Traditional chemotherapy does generate long-term benefit but are usually accompanied by severe side effects. Immunotherapy by adoptive infusion of T cells is now an attractive alternative to chemotherapy. Chimeric antigen receptor engineered lymphocytes have produced tremendous clinical outcomes in treating leukemia or lymphoma, but not in solid tumors, which is in part due to the low affinity of single chain Fv fragment or the rapid loss of transfused T cells. In present research, we designed a novel Fab based chimeric antigen receptor, which inherits the advantages of Fab fragment as well as the natural TCR receptor. The novel Fab CAR could recognize the tumor antigens independent of MHC/peptide complex, and mimic the natural activation process of endogenous TCR, therefore extend the life span of CAR-engineered T cells and generate durable clinical effects.
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Introduction

Adoptive T cell therapy using genetically engineered T cells expressing a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) against tumor antigens is now a promising cancer immunotherapeutic approach for leukemia and lymphoma.[1, 2] The CAR molecules consists of a single chain antibody fragment (scFv) based ectodomain and an intracellular stimulatory domain. The scFv ectodomain confers the T cells responding to tumor cell surface antigens independent of MHC. The intracellular signaling domain influence T cell activity, persistence and efficacy. Incorporation of 4-1BB or CD28 in tandem with CD3ζ showed improved clinical outcome.[3, 4] However, most scFvs still display the tendency of aggregation, [5] compromising the antigen-antibody interaction. Especially when the surfaced anchored scFv in the CAR aggregates, an unexpected signal will be transduced, leading to constitutively T cell activation in an antigen-independent mechanism, resulting in more rapid loss of the transferred T cells, and reduced effectiveness.[6] Moreover, the binding affinity and stability of scFv are inferior when compared with its Fab fragment, indicating not all scFvs are suitable for CAR construction and extra screening steps are necessary for screening a candidate scFvs for CAR.

The T cell receptor is heterodimer consisting of α- and β-chain, interacting with a complex of MHC and peptide. Either α or β chain contains a variable domain and a constant domain. Each variable region have three complementarity determining regions, which reserve the specificity of TCR with its target MHC/peptide complex.[7]

There are published evidences indicating that the affinity of TCR determines T cell avidity and influences antigenic stimulation.[8, 9] The affinity of native TCR with its target MHC/peptide complex is
relatively low for maintaining self-tolerance, generally ranging between 1 and 50μM.[10] Although adoptively infusion of T-cell receptor (TCR)-engineered lymphocytes possesses great potential for treatment of patients with advanced cancer, most immunotherapy-targeted tumor-associated antigens are non-mutated self-antigens and T cell responses against these self-antigens are generally weak and the affinity between TCR and MHC/peptide complex is suboptimal.[11] Consequently the use of TCR-engineered T cells for cancer treatment is limited. In present research we took CD276 as the target and designed a novel antibody Fab-based ectodomain in tandem with natural TCR signaling domains, this design could overcome the drawbacks of using scFv and took advantages of endogenous TCR signaling to mimic the activation of natural T cells.

Materials and Methods

Development of monoclonal antibody against CD276

The extracellular domain (29aa-466aa) of human CD276 (UniProtKB-Q5ZPR3) was codon optimized using GeneOptimizer from GeneArt and was synthesized by GenScript USA, followed by subcloning into pET-21a vector. The recombinant expression vector was transformed into BL21DE3 strain and single clone was randomly selected for expressing the recombinant protein. A Ni column was used for affinity purification of the His-tagged CD276 ECD protein. Five Balb/c mice was immunized with the recombinant CD276 protein after emulsion with Complete Freund’s adjuvant or incomplete Freund’s adjuvant. Hybridoma cells were prepared according to standard protocol using PEG1450 and SP2/0 cells as fusion partner.[12] After fusion, the positive clones were screened using a high-throughput flow cytometer (Thermo, Attune NXT flow cytometer). Finally the amino acids sequences of both heavy and light chains was obtained by hybridoma sequencing through standard procedures.[13] The binding of the murine monoclonal antibody was analyzed by flow cytometer and the affinity of the monoclonal antibody was determined by Biacore T200.

Design and construction of the lentiviral Fab-based chimeric antigen receptor

As illustrated in figure 1, the heavy chain variable region and the first constant region were in tandem with the constant and intracellular signaling domain of natural TCR α chain while the light chain variable region and constant region were connected with the constant and intracellular signaling domain of natural TCR β chain. The disulfide bond heavy and light chains was retained, which was the key to reserve the structure of antibody Fab fragment. As shown in figure 2, a signal peptide was included in both VH-CH1-Cα and VL-CL-Cβ. A self-cleavage peptide T2A was used to link these two ORFs. The full sequence was synthesized at GenScript after codon optimization and then subcloned into lentiviral vector. The lentivirus supernatant was produced using 293T cells, which were co-transfected with the gag/pol, VSVG and the lentiviral CAR vector using PEIMax. The lentivirus supernatant was harvested at 48 and 72h post transfection, filtered through a 0.45μm filter and concentrated by ultracentrifugation for 2h at 19,000RPM. The lentivirus was stored at -80°C for long term storage after aliquot. The concentrated lentivirus titer was determined by a qPCR method as described previously.[14]

Donors and cell lines

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were harvested from healthy volunteers with informed consent. Human thyroid cancer cell line FTC-133 and Jurkat were obtained from ATCC and were tested and authenticated by profiling polymorphic short tandem repeat markers. The firefly luciferase gene and full length of CD276 were stably inserted into the FTC-133 cells using lentivirus, and the recombinant stable FTC-133-luc-CD276 cells served as target cells for validating the Fab-based CAR-T cells.

Preparation of Fab-based CAR-T cells

Primary human CD3+ T cells were isolated from PBMCs by negative selection using a RosetteSep kit. The T cells were first activated by Dynabeads at a cell:bead ratio of 1:2 for 36 hours in serum-free medium X-Vivo 15 plus 300U/mL IL-2, 5ng/mL IL-7 and 10ng/mL IL-15. The activated T cells were then transduced with lentivirus carrying Fab-CAR at a multiplicity of infection of 10. The transduced T cells were maintained at a concentration of 5x10^5 cells/mL in the serum-free X-vio15 medium in the presence of IL-2, IL-7 and IL-15. The Fab-CAR T cells were continuously cultured for extra 10 days since lentivirus transduction.
Jurkat-Fab-CAR stable cells

Jurkat cell line is a widely used cell model for investigating T cell function. Jurkat cells were transduced with the Fab-based CAR lentivirus and the stable Jurkat cells that constitutively express the CAR gene was screened by addition of 1μg/mL puromycin into the culture medium. The recombinant FITC-conjugated CD276 extracellular protein was used to incubate with the resulted stable Jurkat cells. The membrane bound Fab CAR fragment was analyzed by flow cytometer.

Cocultivation of Fab-CAR-T with thyroid cancer cells

The target recombinant thyroid cancer FTC-133-Luc-CD276 cells were recovered from liquid nitrogen and was continuously subcultured until reaching logarithmic phase. Target tumor cells were resuspended at 5*10^5 cell/mL and 100μL of target cell suspension was inoculated into each well of a 96-well plate; the 96 well plate with target cells was incubated in a 5% CO₂, 37°C incubator overnight. CAR-T cells or non-transduced control T cells were harvested by centrifugation at 250xg for 10min at room temperature and resuspended with RPMI640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS; the culture medium was aspirated from the 96 well plate with target tumor cells and CAR-T cells were added into each well according to the E/T ratio as indicated in the figure; the final volume was brought to 200uL/well using RPMI1640 with 10% FBS. Each data point was repeated in triplicate. Six wells without addition of any T cells were reserved for Maxi lysis and Mini lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours. After cultivation, a lysis buffer was added into the three wells of Maxi lysis. The plate was placed in 5% CO₂ 37°C incubator for 18 hours.

Results

Characterization of the monoclonal antibody against CD276

The recombinant thyroid cancer FTC-133-CD276 cells were stained with the selected monoclonal antibody and PE-conjugated secondary antibody. Flow cytometer analysis showed that the selected monoclonal antibody could specifically bind surface CD276 protein on the recombinant FTC-133 cells (Figure 3). For quantifying the affinity, the recombinant CD276 protein was conjugated on a BiaCore T200 chip through amino coupling. The monoclonal antibody prepared from ascites was used as mobile phase. As shown in figure 4, the Ka was 3.15 X 10⁵ M⁻¹s⁻¹, kd was 0.003121 s⁻¹ and the KD was 6.48 X10⁻⁹ M.

The interaction of membrane bound Fab-CAR with CD276 protein

For determining whether the membrane anchored Fab-CAR could correctly bind CD276 protein, a stable Jurkat cells were transduced with lentivirus carrying the Fab-CAR. After cultivation under the selective pressure with puromycin, the resulted stable cells were incubated with a FITC-conjugated recombinant CD276 protein followed by flow cytometer analysis. As shown in figure 5, the membrane bound Fab-CAR could bind recombinant CD276 protein, indicating the novel CAR design could correctly form functional antibody Fab fragment.

Figure 3. The binding capability of selected murine monoclonal antibody with CD276 was determined by flow cytometer. 0.5ug mouse monoclonal antibody was incubated with 1*10⁶ FTC-133-CD276 stable cells followed by PE-conjugated secondary antibody staining. The samples were subjected to analysis by thermo Attune NXT flow cytometer.
**Figure 4. Affinity analysis of the monoclonal antibody.** The affinity of the monoclonal antibody against CD276 was determined by BiaCore T200. The $K_a$ was $3.15 \times 10^5$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, $k_d$ was 0.003121 s$^{-1}$ and the $K_D$ was $6.48 \times 10^{-9}$ M.

**Figure 5. Flow cytometry analysis of surface anchored Fab-CAR on Jurkat stable cells.** The surface expression of Fab-CAR was evaluated by flow cytometer after stained with FITC-conjugated CD276 protein. The data showed that the membrane bound Fab CAR could recognize the recombinant CD276 protein properly.

**Figure 6. Flow cytometry analysis of surface anchored Fab-CAR on primary T cells after lentivirus transduction.** The surface expression of Fab-CAR was evaluated by flow cytometer after stained with FITC-conjugated CD276 protein. The data showed that the membrane bound Fab CAR could recognize the recombinant CD276 protein properly.

The functional evaluation of Fab CAR

For confirming whether the novel Fab CAR design could transduce signals upon engagement with its targeting tumor antigen, primary T cells isolated from healthy donors were transduced with the lentivirus carrying the novel Fab CAR gene. After confirmation of the surface expression of the Fab CAR by flow cytometer (Figure 6), the Fab-CAR-T cells were incubated with a targeted recombinant FTC-133 cells, which constitutively expressed firefly luciferase and CD276. After 18 hours co-cultivation, the supernatant was harvested for analyzing cytokine secretion such as IL2 and IFN-$\gamma$. The firefly luciferase activity was determined by using ONEGlo reagent. As shown in figure 7, the Fab-CAR T cells could efficiently and robustly eliminate targeted tumor cells as reflected by luciferase activity, when compared with the untransduced T cells, although the nonspecific lysis of tumor cells by parental T cells was apparent. The data in figure 8 showed that Fab CAR-T cells could robustly secret both IL-2 and IFN-$\gamma$ after being engaged with target tumor cells and the cytokine secretion level by CAR-T cells was much higher than control T cells. The control T cells barely secreted IFN-$\gamma$.

**Discussion**

The traditional chimeric antigen receptor is based on a single chain antibody fragment, in tandem with intracellular signaling domains. This traditional CAR design in early clinical data have demonstrated the tremendous potential of redirected T cells in the control of leukemia or lymphoma.[15-17] However, there are still many unknown problems why the traditional CAR-T cells have been less effective at inducing complete tumor responses and have mediated a high rate of on-target but off-tumor toxicity.[18-21] Choosing the proper tumor-associated antigen or tumor-specific antigen to target is a prerequisite for successful use of CAR-T. In a previous clinical trial, targeting ERBB2 with CAR T cells led to pulmonary toxicity and multi-organ failure and subsequent death due to the unexpected CAR T-cell recognition of low-level HER2 expression on the lung epithelium.[22] In present research, we took CD276 as the target antigen, as aberrant expression of B7-H3 was a promising immune checkpoint target for multiple cancer immunotherapy approaches.[23] We developed a new murine monoclonal antibody that could specifically bind CD276 at high affinity (nM). The 1st generation of CAR designs only incorporated CD3$\zeta$ as the intracellular signaling domain. However, the 1st generation CARs activated the T cells suboptimally and failed clinical trials.[24] The 2nd generation CARs included CD28 or 4-1BB costimulatory signaling domains to enhance T-cell activation, persistence, and antitumor efficacy. The third generation CAR designs incorporated two costimulatory signaling domains and has been used in solid tumors, but with only modest clinical responses. One of the reasons for this unsatisfying clinical results might be the relative lower affinity of scFv and the
rapid loss of CAR-T in patient peripheral blood after CAR-T infusion. For overcoming this drawbacks, we designed a novel chimeric antigen receptor that incorporated the antibody Fab fragment in tandem with natural TCR intracellular signaling domain. And our data showed that this new design could function properly. The primary T cells bearing the membrane Fab chimeric antigen receptor could dissolve tumor cells efficiently and meanwhile robustly secret cytokines like IL2 and IFN-γ. This novel design combine the advantages of both antibody and natural TCR signaling domains. The stability and affinity of Fab fragment are superior to single chain Fv fragment, using the Fab instead of scFv could completely avoid the issue of aggregation of scFv and retains the high affinity of antibody to its antigen. Using TCR intracellular signaling domain instead of 4-1bb or CD28 could mimic the innate process of T cell activation and the strength of activation by this strategy is not as strong as that by 4-1BB or CD28, and could extend the life span of Fab CAR-T cells, which is a key factor for generation of durable clinical effects.

Conclusions

The novel Fab CAR could recognize the tumor antigens independent of MHC/peptide complex, and mimic the natural activation process of endogenous TCR, therefore extend the life span of CAR-engineered T cells and generate durable clinical effects.
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