The Myocardial Bridge: Potential Influences on the Coronary Artery Vasculature

Hiroki Teragawa, Chikage Oshita and Tomohiro Ueda

Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, JR Hiroshima Hospital, Hiroshima, Japan.

ABSTRACT: A myocardial bridge (MB) is an anatomical abnormality of the coronary artery and is characterized by the systolic narrowing of the epicardial coronary artery caused by myocardial compression during systole. An MB is frequently observed on cardiac computed tomography or coronary angiography and generally appears to be harmless in the majority of patients. However, the presence of MB is reportedly associated with abnormalities of the cardiovascular system, including coronary artery diseases, arrhythmia, certain types of cardiomyopathy, and cardiac death, indicating that MB serves a pivotal role in the occurrence and/or development of such cardiovascular events. Recently, there has been an increasing interest in the coexistence of MB and coronary spasm in research due to opposing aspects regarding their treatments. For example, monotherapy using β-blockers, which are effective in patients with MB, may worsen symptoms in patients with coronary spasm. By contrast, nitroglycerin, which is an effective treatment option for coronary spasm, may worsen symptoms in patients with MB. This review focuses on the pathophysiology and diagnosis of MB and MB-related cardiac vascular diseases, including coronary spasm, and on the treatment strategies for MB.
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Introduction

Coronary arteries are normally distributed over the epicardial surface of the heart; however, they occasionally run a segmental intramyocardial course. In such cases, this segment of the vessel is compressed during systole, a condition referred to as milking or a systolic myocardial bridge (MB).1–6 As coronary blood flow occurs primarily during diastole, this phenomenon appears to be harmless and does not significantly influence the myocardium in the majority of patients with MB.7 However, there have been cases for which MB has been reported as the cause of ischemic heart diseases, such as exertional angina, vasospastic angina, acute myocardial infarction, and sudden cardiac death.8–16 These findings have prompted significant research interest on the association between MB and coronary artery diseases, including not only coronary atherosclerosis but also coronary spasm.17–20 The present review focuses on the pathophysiology and diagnosis of MB and MB-related cardiovascular diseases, with particular emphasis on coronary spasm and treatment strategies for MB.

Pathophysiology and Prevalence of MB

The 2 main pathological findings of MB from autopsy and intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) are the absence of atherosclerosis at the intramural and distal segments of the MB, and the presence of developing atherosclerosis at the proximal segment of the MB.21–24 MB–related biomechanical forces may account for these findings.5,25 Systolic milking of the MB disturbs blood flow and may lead to the development of atherosclerosis at the entrance of the MB.26 Systolic compression of the coronary artery by an MB itself,27 in addition to the presence of atherosclerosis, may account for myocardial ischemia during exercise. In addition, autopsy findings have revealed that the systolic compression of an MB may lead to plaque rupture at atherosclerotic lesions proximal to the MB segments28 and that this possible mechanism may account for the occurrence of MB–related acute coronary syndrome (ACS). By contrast, several studies have reported abnormal vasoreactivity at the MB segments.17,18,29 Reportedly, turbulent flow may increase endothelial cell apoptosis or tumor necrosis factor–α–induced endothelial cell activation, whereas a steady laminar flow leads to the inhibition of endothelial cell apoptosis or tumor necrosis factor–α–induced endothelial cell activation.30 These factors may cause vascular dysfunction; therefore, turbulent shear stress secondary to the milking of MB segments may contribute to MB–induced vascular dysfunction. The abnormal vasoreactivity of the coronary artery at the MB segments may cause coronary spasm.17–20 A recent study using optical coherence tomography (OCT) revealed that the adventitial vasa vasorum (VV), which serves a significant role in the morphological and functional changes of the coronary vasculature, was clearly noted at the proximal and distal segments of the MB, whereas the adventitial VV was less frequently observed within the MB segments.31 As the increase in adventitial VV was associated with coronary spasm,32 these findings may also contribute to the occurrence of MB–related coronary spasm.

The prevalence of MB depends on the modalities of evaluation and the patients in question. In addition, it is established that the use of nitroglycerin (NTG) augments the degree of MB23,33 and that this may influence the prevalence of MB. The frequency of MB varies between 5.4% and 80% of autopsy...
cases, between 25% and 30.2% of cases assessed using cardiac computed tomography (CT), and between 0.5% and 16.0% of cases assessed using coronary angiography. According to the results of a recent meta-analysis of the prevalence of MB, the overall prevalence was 19%; autopsy studies revealed an overall prevalence of 42%; CT studies 22%, and coronary angiography 6%. The location of MB was primarily observed in the left anterior descending artery (LAD) in 67% to 98% of cases, although MB was also detected in the left circumflex coronary artery and right coronary artery.

**MB Diagnosis**

There are several modalities used in the diagnosis of MB, including noninvasive and invasive types. The noninvasive modality for diagnosing MB is cardiac CT, which is reported to be superior to CAG in terms of detection sensitivity. Furthermore, cardiac CT can easily assess the length and thickness of MB over the coronary artery. Exercise echocardiography has recently been reported as an effective noninvasive modality for assessing MB; this method cannot assess or identify the presence of MB but can suggest the presence of MB by revealing the specific characteristic of focal buckling of the septum with apical sparing during the end-systolic and early-diastolic phases. By contrast, echocardiography, particularly, more advanced echocardiography, may be useful for the noninvasive assessment of the hemodynamic significance of MB.

The invasive modality for diagnosing MB is CAG. A significant "milking effect" is present when there is ≥70% reduction in minimal luminal diameter during systole and persistent ≥35% reduction in minimal luminal diameter during mid-to-late diastole. As previously described, the use of NTG augments the severity of the compression caused by an MB, Therefore, NTG should be administered immediately prior to the CAG assessment of MB if hemodynamics are stable. Furthermore, as MB is primarily present at the mid-term of the LAD, a straight cranial view may be desirable in the assessment of MB. There are several modalities for the evaluation of MB, in addition to CAG, which include IVUS, OCT, Doppler flow wire, and pressure wire. Using IVUS, the characteristic finding is a "half-moon" sign, an echolucent area present only between the MB segment and the epicardial tissue that persists throughout the cardiac cycle. OCT is also a useful modality for assessing the presence of MB. A previous study reported that the MB length was longer and the degree of MB was smaller when assessed using OCT compared with that when assessed using CAG. Such morphological assessments using IVUS and OCT can detect and assess the presence of atherosclerosis or intimal thickening proximal to the MB. By contrast, the Doppler flow wire and pressure wire methods can assess MB both physiologically and functionally. Using a Doppler flow wire, a characteristic velocity pattern termed the "fingertip" phenomenon is observed at the MB segments, exhibiting an abrupt early-diastolic acceleration, rapid mid-diastolic deceleration, and a mid-to-late diastolic plateau. Pharmacological stress intracoronary pressure using a pressure wire is useful when assessing the presence of myocardial ischemia or a significant MB, rather than the presence of the MB itself. In the physiological assessment of organic stenosis, the fractional flow reserve (FFR) has been widely used in the clinical setting using a pressure wire and intravenous adenosine. This method is also useful for the assessment of MB. A patient with MB and an FFR of <0.75 is likely to have MB-related myocardial ischemia. However, intravenous dobutamine may be more useful in the assessment of significant MB as it can increase the strength of myocardial contraction and subsequent compression of the coronary artery at MB segments. This method is of most value when an FFR with intravenous adenosine is considered nonsignificant. However, this method involving intravenous dobutamine stress is accompanied by complications and can be time-consuming. Recent reports highlight that FFR using hand-grip stress or instantaneous wave-free ratio (iFR) are useful in assessing myocardial ischemia in a patient with MB. In terms of the latter, it has been reported that iFR is more consistent with patients' symptoms than FFR. Physiological assessment using iFR is desirable in the near future.

**Influences of MB on the Cardiovascular System**

The influence of MB on the coronary vasculature includes the following effects (Figure 1): (1) myocardial ischemia due to the presence of mechanical compression of the coronary artery induced by systolic milking of MB or the coexistence of mechanical compression and atherosclerotic changes proximal to the MB segments; (2) development of ACS with thrombus formation, in part, due to plaque rupture induced by vascular compression at the atherosclerotic lesion proximal to the MB segments.; (3) coronary spasm (Figure 2). The presence of myocardial ischemia due to mechanical compression of an MB and atherosclerotic lesion proximal to the MB has been described in numerous studies. MB-related ACS may be due, in part, to plaque rupture induced by mechanical compression. However, spontaneous coronary dissection induced by MB or coronary spasm at the MB segments may also account for the development of ACS. The association between MB and coronary spasm has become a focus of increasing research interest (Table 1). Our previous study demonstrated that MB was one of the factors associated with the presence of coronary spasm. Kim et al also showed that the vasocostrictive response was higher at the MB segments. In addition, Saito et al showed that a morphologically severe (longer) MB and a higher percentage of systolic compression were associated with MB-induced coronary spasm in the LAD. Nam et al also reported that the presence of MB is associated with the occurrence of coronary spasm and that patients with MB and coronary spasm have a higher rate of recurrent angina. Among these four studies, coronary spasm always occurred at the location of the MB, although another coronary spasm occurred at other locations or other vessels. Collectively, these data may provide...
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In addition, it has been reported that the length of MB is the important factor responsible for MB-related coronary spasm and that the presence of MB was associated with recurrent angina. It has previously been considered that coronary spasm occurs more frequently in Asians than in Caucasians; however, a recent study showed that the incidence of coronary spasm was similar between Asians and Caucasians. Furthermore, coronary spasm is the cause of not only rest angina but also exertional angina. Therefore, worldwide, increased attention on MB-related coronary spasm is required in patients with MB experiencing chest symptoms at rest and during exertion, as the treatments for MB and MB-related coronary spasm are different.

MB is reportedly associated with several types of cardiomyopathy or arrhythmia. MB-induced focal myocardial ischemia may account for the prognosis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and the development and prognosis of takotsubo cardiomyopathy. In terms of the association between MB and arrhythmia, exercise-induced QT dispersion has been shown to be increased in patients with MB, which suggests that MB-induced focal myocardial ischemia leads to arrhythmia.

Treatment for Symptomatic MB
Pharmacological treatment is recommended as first-line therapy for patients with symptomatic MB. Nonpharmacological invasive treatments for symptomatic MB include percutaneous
coronary intervention (PCI),\textsuperscript{5,77–79} coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), and/or surgical unroofing.\textsuperscript{5,80–83} However, the results of these nonpharmacological treatments, with the exception of surgical unroofing,\textsuperscript{62,83} have not been satisfactory.\textsuperscript{5,77–79,81} In terms of surgical unroofing, which is either performed with or without CABG, the procedure may be promising in symptomatic patients with MB who are refractory to medical therapies,\textsuperscript{82,83} and further information on surgical unroofing is required. When administering these medications, attention to patient symptoms at rest and during exercise is required. The cause of symptoms during exercise is considered to be the mechanical compression of the coronary artery of MB, with the administration of β-blockers recommended as first-line therapy. However, the administration of β-blockers alone may worsen chest symptoms in patients with symptomatic MB and coronary spasm. Prior to the administration of β-blockers, it is important that the possibility of worsening chest symptoms be explained to patients (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{14,68} Therefore, the administration of pharmacological treatments requires strict control. If patients undergo optimal medical therapy experience life-restricting symptoms, then the consideration of nonpharmacological treatments, including PCI, CABG, and surgical unroofing, is required.

Conclusions

MB is an anatomical abnormality of the coronary artery, which runs on a segmental intramyocardial course. This abnormality is frequently observed, and the majority of patients with MB may be asymptomatic. However, this abnormality undoubtedly causes myocardial ischemia owing to the coexistence of mechanical compression of the MB and atherosclerotic changes proximal to the MB, which is likely to be the cause of coronary spasm in certain patients. Caution is required when taking both pathologies into account in determining the optimal medical therapy for symptomatic MB.

| No. | Authors        | Reference No. | Year | Main findings                                                                                                                                 |
|-----|----------------|---------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Teragawa et al | 16            | 2003 | In 114 patients who had any chest symptoms, MB was one of predictors of presence of coronary spasm, on logistic regression analysis. In addition, the MB segment in response to acetylcholine was more constricive, even in patients without coronary spasm. |
| 2   | Kim et al      | 17            | 2008 | In 128 patients with typical angiographic MB, coronary vasoconstriction (>50%) to acetylcholine was observed more often than control patients (89.1% vs 35.1%), despite the absence of plaque at the MB segments on intravascular ultrasonographic study. |
| 3   | Saito et al    | 18            | 2017 | In 392 patients who underwent spasm provocation test, LAD spasm was provoked more frequently in patients with MB. Multivariate regression analysis demonstrated that the length of MB and percent systolic compression of MB were significant predictors for provoked LAD spasm. |
| 4   | Nam et al      | 19            | 2018 | In 812 patients with MB, coronary spasm was provoked in 59.1% of patients. The length of MB, percent systolic compression of MB, and reference diameter were associated with coronary spasm. Patients with MB and coronary spasm experienced recurrent angina more frequently during the 5-year follow-up. |

Abbreviations: LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; MB, myocardial bridge.
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