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Abstract

We describe the Paired Electron Crystal (PEC) which occurs in the interacting frustrated two-dimensional 1/4-filled band. The PEC is a charge-ordered state with nearest-neighbor spin singlets separated by pairs of vacant sites, and can be thought of as a bipolaron density wave. The PEC has been experimentally observed in the insulating state proximate to superconductivity in the organic charge-transfer solids. Increased frustration drives a PEC-to-superconductor transition in these systems.

Cuprate high Tc and organic charge transfer solid (CTS) superconductors share quasi-two-dimensionality (quasi-2D), strong electron-electron (e-e) interactions and unconventional superconductivity (SC). Consequently, ideas first applied to the cuprates, such as spin fluctuation-mediated SC, have also been applied to the CTS [1]. In the present work we report exact numerical calculations that cast severe doubt on this mechanism.

While antiferromagnetism (AFM) is adjacent to SC in some CTS, most notably the κ-(ET)2X, there are exceptions. In other CTS the insulating phase adjacent to SC is charge ordered (CO) or has a spin gap (SG), or both. In analogy to the spin fluctuation mechanism, mean-field theories of charge fluctuation-mediated SC have been proposed. Separate mechanisms for different classes of CTS superconductors seem unlikely, given the similarities in crystal structure and molecular makeup between CTS. In the second part of this work we propose a single mechanism that can explain the exotic insulating states and unconventional SC in the CTS.

SC in exotic superconductors often occurs at specific electron concentrations, a feature that is beyond the scope of the BCS theory. CTS superconductors have a carrier concentration ρ of one hole or electron per two molecules (i.e., ρ=0.5).

We present here a mechanism for frustration-driven transition from AFM to the PEC in the ρ=0.5 2D CTS. Further increase in frustration drives a PEC-to-superconductor transition that we believe gives the proper mechanism of SC in the CTS. We believe that our mechanism of SC applies to other exotic superconductors that share carrier density of 0.5, strong e-e and electron-phonon (e-p) interactions, and lattice frustration with the CTS.

Mean-field calculations find a region of SC between AFM and metallic phases in the 1/4-filled anisotropic triangular lattice Hubbard model. We report exact numerical calculations that find no indication of SC. The Hamiltonian is:

\[ H = - \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} (c_{i,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\sigma} + H.c.) + U \sum_i n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow}. \]

We consider a 2D 4×4 square lattice with nearest-
The structure of the PEC in the $\rho = 0.5$ zigzag ladder gives us a hint as to what could be expected in the triangular lattice at the same carrier concentration. In the $\frac{1}{2}$-filled $\rho = 1$ case, rectangular ladders possess rung-based spin singlets. When multiple ladders are coupled, however, the resultant 2D lattice is AFM rather than SG, simply because each site now has 4 singly occupied n.n. sites. The situation is even more complex when $\rho = 1$ zigzag ladders are coupled similarly to give a coordination number 6. Very different scenarios emerge when $\rho = 0.5$ zigzag ladders are similarly coupled, as is shown in Figs. 2(b) and (c). Each “occupied” site continues to have only 2 “occupied” neighbors, as in 1D. Thus stripe formation (“horizontal” or “diagonal”), along with n.n. spin singlets are to be expected in the 2D $\rho = 0.5$ triangular lattice. In terms of the n.n. $V$ interaction both CO patterns have the same static energy $\delta (0.5 - \delta)$. The strong tendency to spin-singlet formation is a characteristic of the particular electron density as well as of frustrated systems. Coupled rectangular ladders, for example, have site populations of 0.5 each.

Based on the above, it is natural to speculate that the PEC persists in the $\rho = 0.5$ triangular lattice. We have recently confirmed this within the 2D extended Hubbard Hamiltonian with n.n. e-e repulsion and inter- and intrasite e-p couplings. We started with the same periodic 4x4 lattice as above, and performed self-consistent calculations. For diagonal hopping $t'$ less than a critical $t'_c$, the square lattice is dimerized along one direction, with in-phase dimerization on all chains, and AFM spin-spin couplings between the dimer unit cells. This explains the AFM in the bulk of the $\kappa$-(ET)$_2$X. For $t' > t'_c$ we find a clear frustration-driven transition from the AFM to the PEC of Fig. 2(b). We refer to the original work for details.

The PEC has been found in a number of 2D CTS with different crystal structure, $\alpha$, $\beta'$, $\theta$ and also $\kappa$. The CO pattern is $\cdots$1100$\cdots$ along two crystal directions and $\cdots$1010$\cdots$ along the third. In the following we discuss the most notable cases.

The PEC shown in Fig. 2(b) is found in the $\theta$-(ET)$_2$MM'$\text{SCN}_4$, where a SG occurs at $T_{SG}$ [12]. The high $T_{SG}$ ($\sim 60$ K) precludes a simple 1D SP transition, which occurs at 10-20 K in the CTS. With decreasing temperature the lattice parameter along the weakest hopping direction decreases sharply, leading to increased frustration giving the transition to the PEC [10].

The PEC pattern in Fig. 3(a) is seen in some
Weakly frustrated systems are AFM, but SGs occur in systems closer to being isotropic triangular \((X=\text{Et}_2\text{Me}_2\text{Sb} \text{ and } \text{X}=\text{EtMe}_3\text{P})\) \cite{13}. For \(X=\text{EtMe}_3\text{P}\), the charge densities and intermolecular distances are exactly as in Fig. 3(a) \cite{13,10}. Another CTS with a similar structure, \(\beta-(meso-\text{DMET})_2\text{PF}_6\), has the same CO/bond arrangement \cite{14}. Pressure-induced transition to SC occurs in both systems.

Among the \(\kappa-(\text{ET})_2\text{X} \) CTSs, \(\text{X}=\text{Cu}_2(\text{CN})_3\) has a nearly isotropic triangular lattice and does not display AFM order \cite{13}. Although specific heat data appear to show no gap \cite{16} thermal conductivity shows a small SG \cite{17}. We propose that the ground state of this CTS is a PEC, driven by frustration larger than that in the other \(\kappa\)-salts. Because of near perpendicular orientations of neighboring dimers, multiple ways of forming singlet-bonded between charge-rich sites are possible, making any SG very small \cite{11}. Experimental evidences that support our proposal of CO here include, (i) NMR line broadening at low temperature, \cite{18}, (ii) strong role of the lattice near the 6K transition as seen in thermal expansion measurements \cite{19}, and (iii) frequency-dependent dielectric constant measurements that indicate unequal charges within the ET dimers \cite{20}. Note in particular that site charge occupancies \(1=0...0=1\) along the “stacks” provides a simple explanation of the antiferroelectricity observed by these authors.

We have proposed that the \(\rho = 0.5\) PEC can be mapped to an effective \(\rho = 1\) CO in which the singlet-bonded sites are replaced with an effective doubly occupied single site (Figs. 3(a)–(b)) \cite{11}. The effective \(\rho = 1\) system is described by a negative (attractive) \(U\) and repulsive \(V\). In agreement with other authors, we assume that pressure increases frustration. We have shown that a CO-to-SC transition, driven by the frustration \(t'/t\) occurs within this effective model (see Fig. 3(c)) \cite{11}.

Other exotic \(\rho=0.5\) superconductors, with proximate exotic insulating states, include spinels \(\text{LiTi}_2\text{O}_4\) \cite{21}, and \(\text{CuRh}_2\text{X}_4\) (\(\text{X}=\text{S,Se}\)) \cite{22}. There are 0.5 d-electrons per atom after Jahn-Teller distortion breaking the \(t_{2g}\) orbital symmetry. There is evidence for PEC formation in \(\text{CuIr}_2\text{S}_4\), isostructural and isoelectronic with \(\text{CuRh}_2\text{S}_4\); CO of \(\cdots 1100 \cdots \) form and n.n singlet formation are both found \cite{23}. \(\text{Na}_{0.5}\text{CoO}_2\) is another example with both CO and AFM phases at \(\rho = 0.5\) \cite{24}. Several common features, viz., \(\rho = 0.5\), strong e-e interactions, lattice effects showing electron-phonon (e-p) interactions, and geometrically frustrated lattices, link these seemingly unrelated materials. Our proposed theory unveils the relationship between them.
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