A success story: 3C 454.3 in the gamma-ray energy band
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Since 2007, the blazar 3C 454.3 has become the most active and the brightest \( \gamma \)-ray source of the sky, deserving the nickname of Crazy Diamond. The short-term variability in the \( \gamma \)-ray energy band and the extremely high peak fluxes reached during intense flaring episodes make 3C 454.3 one of the best targets to investigate the blazar jet properties. We review almost four years of observational properties of this remarkable source, discussing both short- and long-term multi-wavelength campaigns, with particular emphasis on the recent flaring episode which occurred on 2010 November 20, when 3C 454.3 reached on a daily time-scale a \( \gamma \)-ray flux \((E > 100\text{MeV}) > 6.5 \times 10^{-5}\text{photons cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\), about six times the flux of the brightest \( \gamma \)-ray steady source, the Vela Pulsar.

1. INTRODUCTION

Among the FSRQs detected at energies above 100 MeV, 3C 454.3 (PKS 2251+158; \( z = 0.859 \)) is certainly one of the most active at high energy. In the EGRET era, it was detected in 1992 during an intense \( \gamma \)-ray flaring episode \(^1\) when its flux \( F_{E>100\text{MeV}} \) was observed to vary within the range \((0.4 - 1.4) \times 10^{-6}\text{photons cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\). In 1995, a 2-week campaign detected a \( \gamma \)-ray flux \(< 1/5 \) of its historical maximum \(^2\).

In 2005, 3C 454.3 underwent a major flaring activity in almost all energy bands \(^1\). In the optical, it reached \( R = 12.0 \text{mag} \) \(^3\) and it was detected by INTEGRAL at a flux\(^4\) level of \( \sim 3 \times 10^{-2}\text{photons cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \) in the 3–200 keV energy band \(^5\). Since the detection of the exceptional 2005 outburst, several monitoring campaigns were carried out to follow the source multifrequency behavior \(^6\). During the last of these campaigns, 3C 454.3 underwent a new optical brightening in mid July 2007, which triggered observations at all frequencies.

During 2007 – 2010, AGILE detected and investigated several \( \gamma \)-ray flares \(^11,12,13,14,15,16,17\). These observations allowed us to establish a possible correlation between the \( \gamma \)-ray \((0.1 – 10 \text{GeV}) \) and the optical \((R\text{-band}) \) flux variations with no time delay, or with a lag of the former with respect to the latter of about half a day. Moreover, the detailed physical modeling of the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) when 3C 454.3 was at different flux levels provided an interpretation of the emission mechanism responsible for the radiation emitted in the \( \gamma \)-ray energy band, assumed to be inverse Compton scattering of photons from the broad line region (BLR) clouds off the relativistic electrons in the jet, with bulk Lorentz factor \( \Gamma \sim 20 \).

In this Paper, we review the main results of both long- and short-term observations, with particular emphasis on the recent flaring episode which occurred on 2010 November 20.

2. LONG-TERM MONITORING

AGILE detected 3C 454.3 since the very beginning of its operation, during the Science Verification Phase. Figure \(^1\) shows the \( \gamma \)-ray light-curve accumulated during the period 2007 July 15 – 2010 December 15, with particular emphasis on the \( \gamma \)-ray super-flares which occurred on 2009 December and 2010 November (blue points), when 3C 454.3 reached a \( \gamma \)-ray flux of \( F_{\gamma}^{2009} = (2.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}\text{photons cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \) \(^6\) and \( F_{\gamma}^{2010} = (6.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-5}\text{photons cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \) \(^7\), respectively. We clearly note that the dynamic range in the \( \gamma \)-ray flux is of the order of a factor on 100, assuming as a low state the flux value during the Fall/Winter 2008 and as the maximum flux level the super-flare on 2010 November 20. We note that during the 2007 - 2008 campaign (see the inset for a more detailed view) the \( \gamma \)-ray flux level was, for most of the time, higher than the maximum flux detected by EGRET \((\text{dotted gray line})\). The fast AGILE data analysis system \(^18\) allowed us to perform several multi-wavelength campaigns almost simultaneous with respect to the \( \gamma \)-ray flares, involving both space- \((\text{e.g., Swift, INTEGRAL, RXTE, Spitzer})\) and ground-based observatories \((\text{GASP–WEBT})\).

Figure \(^2\) shows the 18-months coverage in the optical \((R\text{-band})\), millimeter \((230 \text{GHz})\), and \( \gamma \)-ray \((E > 100\text{MeV})\) energy bands. The different variability behavior at different wavelengths can support the hypothesis of a change in orientation of a curved jet, yielding different alignment configurations within the jet itself. In particular, during 2007 the inner portion of the jet seems to be the more beamed one, because of the co-ordinated optical and \( \gamma \)-ray variability. On the contrary, during 2008, the more extended region of the jet seems to be more aligned with respect to the

\(^1\) Assuming a Crab-like spectrum.
Figure 1: Historical (2007 - 2008, black symbols and inset; data from [11, 12, 13, 14]) and super-flares (2009 - 2010, blue symbols; data from [16] and [17], respectively) AGILE γ-ray light-curves for $E > 100 \text{MeV}$ in units of $10^{-6} \text{photons cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. During the 2007 - 2008 campaign the γ-ray flux level was for most of the time higher than the maximum flux detected by EGRET (dotted gray line).

line of sight, as suggested by the enhanced millimeter variability and by the optical and γ-ray dimming trend. Recently, a detailed model supporting this interpretation has been presented in [19].

Moreover, the long-term optical and γ-ray coverage allowed us to investigate possible time-lags between the two energy bands. We obtain (see [12, 13, 14]) that the emission in the optical band appears to be (weakly) correlated with that at γ-ray energies above 100 MeV, with a lag (if present) of the γ-ray flux with respect to the optical one of less than 1 day.

Another remarkable result obtained by investigating all the available X-ray data accumulated during the time-span 2007–2010 is shown in Figure 3. During the 18-months AGILE campaign, [14] found a clear trend, in particular for fluxes above $(1-2) \times 10^{-11} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. We can describe the harder-when-brighter trend in terms of a dominant contribution of the external Compton (EC) off the disk seed photons, EC(Disk), over the synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) component, probably due to an increase of the accretion rate. The constant X-ray photon index during the extreme γ-ray flares in 2009 and 2010 can be interpreted in terms of a balance of the SSC contribution with respect to the EC(Disk), due to the possible increase of $\gamma_b$ during the super-flares with respect to the value during the less energetic γ-ray flares [17]. The net result is a roughly achromatic increase of the X-ray emission.

3. SHORT-TERM MONITORING

Detailed multi-wavelength campaigns were carried out during γ-ray flares in order to investigate the jet properties and to shed light on the radiation mech-
Figure 2: $R$-band, 230 GHz, and $\gamma$-ray light-curves (top, middle and bottom panel, respectively) covering the period 2007 July – 2009 January. The AGILE light-curve has a time-bin of 1-week. Adapted from [14].

Figure 3: Swift/XRT photon index as a function of the 2–10 keV flux. Open circles and squares refer to photon counting and windowed data, respectively. Black, green and red symbols represent data accumulated during the 2007–2009 monitoring campaign [14], the 2009 December super-flare [15], and the 2010 November super-flare [17], respectively.

Figure 4: SEDs accumulated during the 2010 November flare (in colors, [17]) compared with a SED accumulated during a particularly low $\gamma$-ray state in Fall 2008 (in black, [14]).

4. CONCLUSIONS

The extreme $\gamma$-ray variability (see e.g., [20]) of 3C 454.3 is still to be fully understood, and different models with respect to the one presented here can be invoked to explain the observed SEDs (see e.g., [21, 22]). An intriguing possibility to explain such an
Figure 5: From top to bottom: $\gamma$-ray ($E > 100$ MeV), X-ray (2–10 keV), UV ($\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$, $\lambda_2$), and optical ($R$) light-curves collected before, during, and after the 2010 November 20 (MJD 55520) $\gamma$-ray super-flare. The “$\gamma$-ray-orphan” optical-UV flare is visible at MJD 55510. The extreme behavior is to invoke the presence of a supermassive binary black-hole, as suggested in [23]. This hypothesis is one of the possible challenges for future long-term projects.
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