The AIDA Autotransporter System Is Associated with F18 and Stx2e in *Escherichia coli* Isolates from Pigs Diagnosed with Edema Disease and Postweaning Diarrhea
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Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains are known to cause edema disease (ED) and postweaning diarrhea (PWD) in piglets. Although the exact mechanisms of pathogenicity that lead to ED-PWD remain to be elucidated, *E. coli*-borne Shiga-like toxin and adhesion-mediating virulence factors such as F18 adhesin or F4 fimbriae are believed to play a central role in ED-PWD. In light of these observations we investigated whether another *E. coli* adhesin, the plasmid-encoded AIDA (adhesin involved in diffuse adherence) might also be present in ED-PWD-causing *E. coli* isolates. For rapid screening for the AIDA system in large numbers of isolates, a multiplex PCR method along with a duplex Western blot procedure was developed. When screening 104 strains obtained from pigs with or without ED-PWD, we observed a high prevalence of the AIDA operon in porcine *E. coli* isolates, with over 25% of all strains being AIDA positive, and we could demonstrate a significant association of the intact AIDA gene (*orfB*) with ED-PWD, while defects in *orfB* were associated with the absence of disease. Although our data hint toward a contribution of AIDA to ED-PWD, further studies will be necessary since the presence of the AIDA genes was also associated with the presence of the Shiga-like toxin and F18 adhesin genes, two reported virulence factors for ED-PWD.

Postweaning diarrhea (PWD) and edema disease (ED) are serious infectious diseases for piglets and are responsible for major economic losses worldwide. ED and PWD are caused by certain groups of *Escherichia coli* strains including enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC) and Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC) (18, 23). *E. coli* strains isolated from piglets with PWD typically belong to serogroups O8, O141, and O149, are hemolytic, and produce thermostable and/or thermolabile enterotoxins (8, 9, 27). *E. coli* strains that cause ED are often members of the STEC group. Typically, these strains exhibit serogroups O138, O139, and O141, are hemolytic as well, and produce the Shiga-like toxin Stx2e (4), often in conjunction with enterotoxins. Although detailed information about the mechanisms of pathogenicity which lead to PWD and ED is missing, Shiga-like toxins such as Stx2e are considered the preeminent virulence factors for these diseases (19). The toxin damages the vascular endothelium of the small intestine, subcutis, and brain and ultimately leads to subcutaneous edema and neurological disorders (10, 16, 20). Besides the toxins, bacterial surface molecules that mediate microbial adhesion to the intestinal surface are likely to play an important role. The closest link to PWD and ED was found for the F18 family of adhesins, which mediate colonization of ETEC and STEC strains that cause PWD and ED in weaned pigs (12, 24, 29). Imberechts and colleagues (11) observed in about 80% of strains investigated a correlation for the distribution of fedA, the gene encoding the major subunit of F18, with *stx*₂, the gene encoding Stx2e. Further studies (21) confirmed that correlation and showed that F18 is also associated with serotypes O139 and O141. Members of the pathotypically heterogeneous group of diffuse adhering *E. coli* (DAEC) were shown to be diarrheagenic pathogens for humans (13). Their molecular equipment for attachment to host cell surfaces appears to be different from that of locally adhering *E. coli* strains. So far, four DAEC-specific adhesins have been described: the fimbrial adhesin F1845 (5) and three afimbrial adhesins: a 57-kDa adhesion-mediating protein (30), the CF16K molecule (14), and the AIDA-I system (1).

The genes encoding AIDA (adhesin involved in diffuse adherence) are located on two open reading frames, *orfA* and *orfB*. The latter codes for the AIDA autotransporter system (1, 25), which is synthesized as a pre-pro-protein that is C-terminally processed to generate the mature adhesin AIDA-I and the outer membrane integrated AIDAC, which functions as the translocator for AIDA-I (1, 3, 25). *orfA* codes for a 45-kDa cytoplasmic protein which is required to modify AIDA-I such that it adheres to target cells (2). Although the AIDA system has first been characterized in a clinical *E. coli* isolate responsible for infant diarrhea, AIDA genes have been detected only in about 2 to 4% of human isolates (13, 14). Thus, an animal reservoir for AIDA may exist.

As adhesins appear to play a role in PWD and ED of piglets, we wanted to know whether AIDA might be important in ED-PWD and whether porcine *E. coli* strains could be a reservoir of AIDA-positive *E. coli* strains. We could demonstrate that the AIDA system indeed occurs at a much higher frequency in porcine *E. coli* isolates than in human and other mammalian *E. coli* isolates. Moreover, the AIDA system was
shown to be associated with two other described virulence factors for ED-PWD, namely, F18 and Stx2e. This might indicate a potential involvement of AIDA in the pathogenesis of ED and PWD.

(From the study represents part of the Ph.D. thesis of U. Niewerth.)

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains.** *E. coli* strains of porcine origin were sampled at different farms in Hessa, Germany. The health profiles of the pigs from which the *E. coli* strains were isolated are based on the diagnoses of local veterinarians and do not distinguish between ED and PWD. Follow-up data about the pathogenicity, virulence, or lethality of individual strains were not available. We therefore discriminated between sick animals (representation for manifestation of ED and/or PWD at the time of sampling) or apparently healthy animals (no signs of either ED or PWD at the time of sampling) and assigned the 104 strains tested to two groups accordingly: group I contained 44 strains isolated from animals (cattle, horses, sheep, cats, rabbits) that were from the strain repository of the Institut Pasteur (Paris, France). Human clinical isolate *E. coli* 2787 (O126:H27) and *E. coli* K-12 strain C600 were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

**Southern blotting.** DNA samples obtained by PCR were separated on a 2.0% agarose gel, transferred to positively charged nylon membranes (Roche Diagnostics), and immobilized by UV cross-linking. DNA probes for AIDA were generated by M-PCR as described above with DNA from *E. coli* 2787 as the template. The fragments were labeled with digoxigenin (PCR DIG Probe Synthesis kit; Roche Diagnostics) and purified with a PCR purification kit (Roche Diagnostics). Hybridization and visualization of the bands were carried out as described elsewhere.

**Preparation of whole-cell lysates.** Bacterial cultures were grown to the exponential phase in Standard I medium (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), aliquoted into portions of approximately 2.0 × 10^8 bacteria, harvested by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 5 min at room temperature (RT), washed in 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline–2 mM NaH2PO4–8 mM Na2HPO4–140 mM NaCl per aliquot, centrifuged again, resuspended in 200 μl of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) sample buffer (17), and lysed at 100°C for 10 min. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation before the supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube and stored at −20°C.

**Detection of AIDA-I and AIDA-C by Western blotting.** Ten microliters of whole-cell lysates was separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (10% gel) and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane in 11.5 mM Tris-Ci (pH 8.0) by tank electroblocting (26). The membrane was blocked for 60 min in Tris-buffereu saline (TBS; 10 mM Tris-Ci [pH 7.4], 150 mM NaCl) containing 5% (wt/vol) nonfat dry milk, (TBS-BLOTTO) before the blot was reacted for 60 min at RT with 1:30,000 and 1:50,000 (wt/vol) dilutions of AIDA-I- and AIDA-C–specific rabbit antiserum raised against AIDA-I of *E. coli* 2787 and a His-tagged fusion protein of AIDA-C of *E. coli* 2787, respectively, in TBS-BLOTTO containing 0.1% (vol/vol) Tween 20 (TBST-BLOTTO). After 60 min, the membrane was washed three times at RT for 10 min each time in TBS with Tween 20, followed by incubation with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:7,500), Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, West Grove, Pa.) in TBST-BLOTTO for 60 min at RT. After another three washes in TBS the blot was developed at RT in 60 mM Nitro Blue Tetrazolium–115 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate in 100 mM Tris-HCl–1 mM MgCl2 (pH 9.5).

**Data analysis and statistics.** Two-by-two tables of the data were analyzed by Fisher’s exact test; larger tables were analyzed by chi-square analysis. In cases in which the expected value was less than 5, the expected values were set to 5. When meaningful, the respective groups were reorganized and/or combined to obtain sufficiently large sample sizes for unbiased statistical analysis. Results were considered significant only if P < 0.05. All statistical analyses were carried out with the Statview 4.5 program (Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, Calif.).

**RESULTS**

**Rapid analysis of AIDA genes and gene products in *E. coli* isolates by M-PCR and duplex Western blotting.** To minimize false-negative results for the detection of AIDA genes, we devised an M-PCR detection system in which three primer pairs detect fragments of *orfB*, while a third primer pair amplifies a portion of *orfA*. The primer pairs displayed an excellent specificity for the target genes for a positive control (*E. coli* 2787) and no signal for a negative control (*E. coli* C600) and could be used simultaneously without any interference (Fig. 1). The primers and the expected amplification products are listed in Table 1. To check for the expression of AIDA we used duplex Western blotting by simultaneously applying two specific antiseria: one directed against AIDA-I and the second directed...
against AIDA\textsuperscript{C} (Fig. 2). The results for 104 \textit{E. coli} field isolates subjected to this analytical regimen are given in Table 2. High prevalence of AIDA in \textit{E. coli} strains of porcine origin. Since AIDA has rarely been identified in human \textit{E. coli} isolates (13, 14), \textit{E. coli} strains from various animal species were analyzed by M-PCR for the presence of AIDA genes. The analysis revealed a significantly higher prevalence of AIDA (\textit{orfB}) in porcine isolates (27 of 104 [26.0\%] isolates) than in strains of human origin (3 of 352 [0.9\%] \textit{orfB}-positive strains) (23) (by Fisher’s exact test, \(P < 0.0001\)), while strains from other species (cattle, rabbits, horses, sheep) tested negative (data not shown). By considering isolates from sick individuals only, the prevalence for pigs is even more pronounced, with 18 of 44 (40.9\%) porcine strains carrying \textit{orfB}, whereas 3 of 262 (1.1\%) diarrheagenic strains of human origin were \textit{orfB} positive (13) (by Fisher’s exact test, \(P < 0.0001\)). Thus, porcine \textit{E. coli} strains appear to be a major reservoir for AIDA genes.

Genetic variability of AIDA operon in porcine \textit{E. coli} strains. M-PCR also provided insight into the variability of the two AIDA genes \textit{orfA} and \textit{orfB}. By PCR all 39 AIDA-positive porcine \textit{E. coli} isolates were positive for \textit{orfA}, but only 27 (69.2\%) also carried an intact \textit{orfB}. Among the 12 strains affected by mutations, 10 lacked the PCR products both for AIDA-I and for AIDA\textsuperscript{C}, while 2 were still positive for the AIDA\textsuperscript{C} amplification product. Surprisingly, the mutations did not abrogate expression of \textit{orfB} in 11 of the 12 strains affected, as shown by duplex Western blot analysis. However, the gene products displayed considerable polymorphisms in their apparent molecular masses, with AIDA-I being shifted in most strains toward a higher molecular mass and AIDA\textsuperscript{C} being shifted toward a lower molecular mass (Fig. 2). To gain further information about the mutation rates of \textit{orfA} and \textit{orfB}, we sequenced the PCR-amplified \textit{orfA} fragments of 13 randomly selected strains and the PCR-amplified \textit{orfB} fragments of 5 strains and compared them to the corresponding sequences of the positive control, \textit{E. coli} 2787. No significant differences in the genetic variabilities of both \textit{orf} genes could be detected. The between-strain similarity of \textit{orfA} was 91.9\% \(\pm\) 5.8\% (mean \(\pm\) standard error of the mean), while the two \textit{orfB} fragments exhibited similarities of 93.9\% \(\pm\) 4.9\% for the AIDA-I fragment and 93.6\% \(\pm\) 3.1\% for the AIDA\textsuperscript{C} fragment. Thus, the average genetic variabilities of both \textit{orf} genes of the AIDA operon between different porcine \textit{E. coli} isolates appear to be \(\leq\)8\%.

Extrachromosomal location of the AIDA operon in porcine \textit{E. coli} strains. All strains found to harbor AIDA genes by M-PCR contained at least one but often several plasmids of about 100 kb. Additionally, smaller plasmids could be detected in some strains. Since AIDA is known to be plasmid borne in the prototype strain \textit{E. coli} 2787 (1), we subjected all AIDA-positive porcine \textit{E. coli} isolates to Southern blot analysis against \textit{orfA} and \textit{orfB}. The results show that AIDA is encoded on large plasmids of different sizes (between 100 and 150 kb) in strains of porcine origin.
Association of AIDA with PWD and/or ED. The high prevalence of AIDA in sick hogs indicated an association of AIDA with ED-PWD, which was confirmed by correlation analysis. There is a significant correlation between the presence of orfB, as detected by PCR (by Fisher’s exact test, \( P = 0.0036 \)) or the expression of intact AIDA-I and AIDA^C, as analyzed by Western blotting (by Fisher’s exact test, \( P = 0.0015 \)) and the onset of ED-PWD. Interestingly, in orfA-positive strains, PCR-detectable variations in orfB are associated with the absence of disease (by Fisher’s exact test, \( P = 0.035 \)). The expression of polymorphic AIDA-I and AIDA^C proteins showed a similar tendency. Moreover, the mere presence of orfA was not associated with ED-PWD (by Fisher’s exact test, \( P > 0.10 \)).

Although the results presented above hint toward the involvement of AIDA in the pathogenesis of ED-PWD, the presence of the AIDA operon alone is not sufficient to cause disease since 9 of 60 E. coli strains (15.0%) from animals not suffering from ED-PWD turned out to carry the intact AIDA operon, while the AIDA operon was completely absent from 23 of 44 strains (52.3%) from sick animals. This indicates that AIDA may act as an adjuvant for other virulence or pathogenicity factors in ED-PWD.

Linkage of AIDA with Stx2e and F18 adhesin in porcine E. coli isolates. To address a potential association of AIDA with other agents in ED-PWD, we included Stx2e and the F18 adhesin, two virulence factors described for ED-PWD, in our PCR analysis. The PCR data revealed strong correlations for both Stx2e and the F18 adhesin with ED-PWD (by Fisher’s exact test, \( P < 0.0001 \) and \( P = 0.0002 \), respectively). Moreover, the presence of stx_{2e} and fedA also correlated with the presence of orfA (by Fisher’s exact test, \( P < 0.0001 \) for both correlations), intact orfB (by Fisher’s exact test, \( P < 0.0001 \) for both correlations), and the expression of intact AIDA-I and AIDA^C (by Fisher’s exact test, \( P < 0.0001 \) for both correlations). Finally, a strong correlation between the presence of stx_{2e} and fedA was observed (by Fisher’s exact test, \( P < 0.0001 \)). Taken together, these mutual correlations strongly indicate that AIDA is either functionally or physically, or in both ways, linked to Stx2e and the F18 adhesin in ED-PWD-causing E. coli strains.

Association of AIDA with certain E. coli serotypes. For all ED-PWD-causing E. coli strains and 27 control strains, a preferential association of AIDA, Stx2e, or the F18 adhesin with a particular serotype was investigated. Serotypes O149:K91,
TABLE 2. Results obtained by PCR and immunoblot assays

| Isolate group and serotype | stx2a fedA orfA Strain | Isolate group and serotype | stx2a fedA orfA Strain |
|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Isolates from pigs diagnosed with PWD or ED | O138:K81 | + + + | S104G |
| O138:K81 | + + a | S134G |
| O138:K81 | − − − | S140G |
| O138:K81 | − − − | S144G |
| O139:K12 | + + + | S105G |
| O139:K82 | − − − | S101G |
| O139:K82 | − − − | S108G |
| O139:K82 | + + + | S115G |
| O139:K82 | + + + | S116G |
| O139:K82 | + + + | S123G |
| O139:K82 | + + + | S124G |
| O139:K82 | + + + | S125G |
| O139:K82 | + + + | S126G |
| O139:K82 | + + + | S127G |
| O139:K82 | + + + | S131G |
| O139:K82 | + + + | S132G |
| O139:K82 | + + + | S138G |
| O139:K82 | + + + | S139G |
| O139:K85 | + + + | S103G |
| O139:K85 | + + + | S106G |
| O139:K85 | + + + | S128G |
| O139:K85 | − − − | S107G |
| O139:K85ab | − − − | S117G |
| O139:K85ab | − − − | S122G |
| O139:K85ab | − − − | S133G |
| O139:K85ab | + + + | S135G |
| O139:K85ab | + + + | S136G |
| O139:K85ab | + + + | S137G |
| O139:K85ab | + + + | S142G |
| O139:K85ac | − − − | S110G |
| O139:K85ac | − − − | S111G |
| O139:K85ac | − − − | S112G |
| O139:K85ac | − − − | S113G |
| O139:K85ac | − − − | S114G |
| O139:K85ac | − − − | S118G |
| O139:K85ac | − − − | S119G |
| O139:K85ac | + + + | S141G |
| O139:K85ac | − − − | S143G |
| O147:K89 | − − − | S120G |
| O149:K91 | − − − | S102G |
| O149:K91 | − − − | S109G |
| O149:K91 | − − − | S121G |
| O149:K91 | − − − | S129G |
| O149:K91 | + + | S130G |
| O138:K81 | − − − | S146G |
| O138:K81 | − + a | S147G |
| O138:K81 | + + a | S149G |
| O138:K81 | − − − | S151G |
| O138:K81 | − − − | S154G |
| O138:K81 | − + a | S155G |
| Isolates from pigs without symptoms of PWD | O139:K81 | − − − | S104G |
| O139:K81 | − + a | S147G |
| O139:K81 | + + a | S149G |
| O139:K81 | − − − | S151G |
| O139:K81 | − − − | S154G |
| O139:K81 | − + a | S155G |

* a, amplification of three fragments from sequences encoding ORFA, AIDA-I and AIDA-II; +a, amplification of two fragments from sequences encoding ORFA and AIDA-II; +a, amplification of one fragment from sequences encoding ORFA.

b NT, not tested.

O139:K12, O147:K89, and O149:K88ac were not abundant enough to allow valid chi-square calculations. For serotypes O138:K81, O139:K82, and O141:K85, including serotype O141:K85ab strains. The presence of fedA and orfA was not preferentially associated with either serotype. Thus, the structural gene orfB for the AIDA adhesin-autotransporter system and the gene for Stx2e appear to be associated with serotype O139:K82 in porcine E. coli isolates.

**Diagnostics.** The correlations between ED-PWD and the expression of intact AIDA-I and AIDA-II or the presence of O139:K82 and were underrepresented in serotype O138:K81.
TABLE 3. Determination of sensitivity, specificity, and Youden index of several analytical setups

| Marker        | Sensitivity | Specificity | Youden index |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| stx2e         | 0.48        | 0.93        | 0.41         |
| fedA          | 0.52        | 0.83        | 0.36         |
| orfA          | 0.48        | 0.70        | 0.18         |
| orfB          | 0.41        | 0.85        | 0.26         |
| stx2e + fedA  | 0.48        | 0.93        | 0.41         |
| stx2e + orfA  | 0.45        | 0.93        | 0.39         |
| stx2e + orfB  | 0.41        | 0.93        | 0.34         |
| fedA + orfA   | 0.48        | 0.83        | 0.31         |
| fedA + orfB   | 0.41        | 0.90        | 0.31         |
| orfA + orfB   | 0.41        | 0.85        | 0.26         |
| stx2e + fed   | 0.45        | 0.93        | 0.39         |
| stx2e + fedA  | 0.41        | 0.93        | 0.34         |
| stx2e + orf   | 0.41        | 0.93        | 0.34         |
| orfB          | 0.48        | 0.93        | 0.34         |
| orfA + orfB   | 0.41        | 0.93        | 0.34         |
| stx2e + fedA + orfA + orfB | 0.41        | 0.93        | 0.34         |

intact orfB, fedA, and stx2e pointed toward a diagnostic or predictive potential of these genes and their expression products. As measures for the predictive power of individual gene fragments or combinations of fragments, we determined the detectability of disease (sensitivity), the detectability of health (specificity), the reliabilities of positive and negative test results, and the overall assay validity (Youden index, i.e., [sensitivity + specificity] − 1) (31) for each analytical setup (Table 3). The detectability of the absence of ED-PWD was consistently above 83% when the expression of intact AIDA-I and AIDA\(^C\) and the presence of intact orfB, fedA, or stx2e, or combinations thereof, were investigated. The reliability of a negative test result, however, was only \(\leq 72\%\). This was due to a considerable rate of false-negative results (sick animals testing negative). Consequently, an overall low rate of detectability of disease (\(\leq 52\%\)) was obtained, irrespective of the gene investigated, how PCRs were combined for multiplex runs, or whether Western blot analysis was included. On the other hand, the reliability of a positive test result reached up to 94% when the results for Western blots for intact AIDA and PCR assays for stx2e were combined. Mainly because of the low assay sensitivity, Youden indices did not exceed 0.41. When individual assays and combinations thereof were ranked on the basis of the Youden index, a single PCR for stx2e turned out to be the most powerful diagnostic tool for ED-PWD, although the reliability of positivity benefits from assays for the expression of intact AIDA-I and AIDA\(^C\), in addition to a stx2e-based PCR assay for ED-PWD. Taken together, our results indicate that the probes tested in this study are of limited value in completely ruling out as well as safely predicting the ED-PWD-causing potential of unknown \(E. coli\) isolates.

DISCUSSION

The adhesin AIDA was originally identified in a clinical \(E. coli\) isolate derived from patient with infantile diarrhea (1, 2, 3). Subsequent studies, however, detected the AIDA genes in a small percentage of diarrheagenic human \(E. coli\) isolates only (13, 14), which indicates that a gene reservoir for AIDA distinct from human \(E. coli\) strains is likely to exist. As bacterial adhesins are believed to play an important role in \(E. coli\)-borne PWD and ED of piglets, we pondered whether AIDA might be present in porcine \(E. coli\) isolates and perhaps be involved in ED-PWD. We therefore investigated the distribution of the genes of the AIDA system and their expression products in \(E. coli\) isolates derived from pigs with and without symptoms of PWD and ED and in strains isolated from other mammalian species.

Since colony hybridization for genotyping usually requires highly defined reaction conditions in order to prevent erroneous results, Southern blots often are required to increase assay reliability. While this procedure may be workable for a small quantity of bacterial strains, it is clearly not the method of choice for routine analysis of large numbers of bacterial field isolates. The PCR analysis has proved to be a rapid, reliable, and cost-effective alternative to these assays. However, unexpected mutations are a considerable problem in PCR analysis of viral or bacterial genes. Therefore, to minimize false-negative results for detection of AIDA, we devised an M-PCR detection system in which two primer pairs detect the genes coding for the adhesive domain AIDA-I and the translocator domain AIDA\(^C\), respectively, while a third primer pair amplifies the gene of a protein which postranslationally modifies AIDA-I such that it is able to bind to mammalian cells.

Although not predictable in the first place, our choice of primers turned out to be an excellent one not only because they were highly specific and the amplified fragments could readily be distinguished from each other but also because they were able to detect point mutations or deletions in the AIDA genes which in turn were associated with the absence of ED-PWD. These mutations usually led to a loss of the respective PCR product and gave rise to size variations in the orfB gene products AIDA-I and AIDA\(^C\). This may indicate that our orfB primers bind to a region of the AIDA gene which codes for a protein sequence motif that is important for the posttranslational cleavage of the AIDA precursor. It will be interesting to see how the adhesive properties mediated by the AIDA system will be influenced by the sequence variations detected in the respective strains. By comparing the sequences of fragments from strains without PCR-detectable variations with the sequence of AIDA from \(E. coli\) strain 2787, a similarity of more than 90% for all three PCR products was found, indicating that the AIDA gene of our reference strain, strain 2787, may be considered representative for the AIDA genes of most \(E. coli\) isolates.

The epidemiological outcome of our PCR studies proved our initial hypothesis. It clearly showed that porcine \(E. coli\) strains are a major reservoir for AIDA genes, with AIDA being present in 26% of all isolates. Interestingly, \(E. coli\) isolates of bovine, equine, sheep, and rabbit origin did not carry the AIDA operon, which indicates that AIDA might have a certain host-related function in porcine \(E. coli\). Our analysis could not rule out the existence of additional reservoirs for AIDA in other hosts, for instance, rodents or game. The presence of an AIDA gene pool in the gut flora of a domesticated animal indicates that the rare cases in which AIDA was found in human isolates are likely to be caused by strains of porcine origin, perhaps via contaminated food or porcine excretions.

The widespread occurrence of AIDA in \(E. coli\) isolates from pigs suffering from ED-PWD along with its association with
F18 and Stx2e, two reported virulence factors for ED-PWD, indicate that a physical and/or functional link may exist between AIDA, F18, Stx2e, and ED-PWD. In pathogenic \textit{E. coli}, the genetic determinants for virulence factors are often carried on large plasmids, which, in the case of a physical linkage, would imply that all three gene loci can be found on a single plasmid. A plasmid location could indeed be demonstrated for AIDA in \textit{E. coli} strain 2787 (3), as well as in all porcine AIDA-positive isolates tested in this study. The fimbrial adhesin F18 has also been described as being plasmid encoded (28). The exact localization of the genes encoding Stx2e, however, has not been clearly demonstrated. The gene for Shiga-like toxin Stx2e, however, is located on the chromosome, namely, on a defective prophage (22). Thus, our data rather point toward a functional linkage between AIDA, F18, and Stx2e in ED-PWD.

Nevertheless, AIDA cannot be a sole reason for ED-PWD or a dominant virulence factor in this disease since a number of strains sampled from pigs without symptoms of ED-PWD carried an intact AIDA operon as well. This was the main reason for the poor diagnostic value of AIDA and its genes for ED-PWD compared to that of stx2e. Only a slight improvement in the reliability of positivity could be achieved when a Western blot for AIDA was included in the assays. On the other hand, stx2e is not a perfect tool either since the absence of stx2e does not render an \textit{E. coli} strain harmless, as 52% of the strains isolated from sick piglets did not carry stx2e. Thus, ED and PWD appear to be multifactorial diseases to which several bacterial virulence factors may contribute. The AIDA system could possibly be one of these factors.
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