Temporal changes in immune blood cell parameters in COVID-19 infection and recovery from severe infection

Since emerging in China in December 2019, the infection caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), has infected >3 million people worldwide (Johns Hopkins University and Medicine: Coronavirus Resource Center). Since then, clusters of infections have emerged in Singapore, particularly among younger workers in communal living environments. The spectrum of COVID-19 infection ranges from a mild respiratory illness, to viral pneumonia and life-threatening acute respiratory distress syndrome in up 15–20%. Advanced age, comorbidities and an immunocompromised state are consistent risk factors for poor outcomes. Biomarkers associated with severe disease and mortality includes: lymphopenia, thrombocytopenia, higher leucocyte counts, elevated C-reactive protein, D-dimer, and a host of inflammatory cytokines.

We hypothesised that additional lymphocyte parameters, which can be obtained using haematology analysers, may provide useful information on the status of the immune system during infection and might correlate with disease outcome. The Sysmex XN analysers (Sysmex Corp., Kobe, Japan) utilise fluorescence flow cytometry for the leucocyte differential, allowing for enhanced subset differentiation based on forward scatter, side scatter and RNA content. Activated lymphocytes have fluorescence intensity above that of normal lymphocytes and a subset of these with the highest fluorescence have been shown to be antibody-synthesising lymphoplasmacytoid B cells and plasma cells.

We conducted an observational cohort study of patients with COVID-19 in three tertiary hospitals of the National University Health System (Ethics approval Domain Specific Review Board (DSRB) 2020/00310) to determine disease associations. Consecutive patients with COVID-19 infection confirmed by positive SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on respiratory samples and having complete outcome data were studied. Patients were confined until two consecutive PCR-negative nasal swabs and clinical recovery before being discharged. Case severity was classified as mild, severe and critical according to the criteria of Wu and McGoogan.

Full blood count (FBC) was assessed on the day of admission, with unwell patients having serial FBCs based on clinical indications. The FBC sample was analysed on Sysmex XN-10 analyser (Sysmex Corp.), which identified reactive lymphocytes (RE-Lymph) and antibody-synthesising lymphocytes (AS-Lymph). Reference ranges were derived from 120 healthy donors. Statistical analysis was performed by using Stata Statistical Software, release 16.1 (StataCorp., College Station, TX, USA) and the level of significance was set at 5%. Two-sided unpaired t-tests and Mann–Whitney U-tests were used for parametric and non-parametric data. Pearson’s correlation or Spearman rank correlation was used to assess the associations between variables depending on their normality.

A total of 76 cases, 56 mild, 20 severe/critical (SC), were studied. Three mild cases with no FBC were excluded from the analysis. As shown in Table I, patients in the SC group were older and had a higher incidence of comorbidities. The median day of intubation for SC patients was day 10 of illness and median duration was 11 days. The platelet count was lower and the neutrophil count higher in the SC group than in the mild disease group. The lymphocyte count was significantly lower in the SC group, but RE-Lymph and AS-Lymph subsets were significantly higher in the SC group.

The changes in FBC parameters during infection among SC cases are shown in Fig 1 as median values from illness onset. White blood cell (WBC), neutrophil and platelet counts trended downward to a nadir at day 8–9 of illness but gradually recovered in the subsequent days (Fig 1A). The trends of the lymphocyte and lymphocyte subsets (Fig 1B,C) showed that while the lymphocyte count decreased gradually, the proportion of RE-Lymph and AS-Lymph progressively increased towards day 15–16. In mild cases, significant correlations were found between lymphocyte parameters and the day of illness on which the initial FBC was performed. Lymphocyte count \( r = 0.3712, P = 0.0062 \), RE-Lymph count \( r = 0.495, P = 0.002 \), RE-Lymph% \( 0.4639, P = 0.005 \) and RE-Lymph as a percentage of lymphocytes (RE-Lymph%/L) \( r = 0.3228, P = 0.0196 \) increased with number of days of illness, while no significant correlation was found for WBC, neutrophil, platelet and AS-Lymph parameters.

Among all FBC parameters, AS-Lymph as a percentage of lymphocytes (AS-Lymph%/L) yielded the best area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (0.71) for predicting severe disease. A value >1.6% gave a sensitivity and specificity of 75% and 67%. A table of the ROC analysis for lymphocyte parameters is presented in the supplementary section (Table S1).

The immunopathology of severe COVID-19 is the result of an excessive dysregulated immune response, while humoral immunity is thought to be essential in controlling the persistent phase of infection. Wang et al. highlighted an
Table I. Clinical characteristics and FBC parameters at presentation.

| Characteristic | All patients (n = 76) | Severe/critical (n = 20) | Mild (n = 56) | P* |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|----|
| Age, years, median (range) | 46 (19–71) | 58 (36–70) | 38 (19–71) | 0.0002 |
| Women, n (%) | 32 (42-1) | 6 (30-0) | 26 (46-4) | 0.107 |
| Ethnicity, n (%) | | | | |
| Chinese | 52 (68-4) | 17 (85) | 35 (62-5) | |
| Malay | 4 (5-3) | 2 (10) | 2 (3-6) | |
| Indian | 3 (3-9) | 1 (5) | 2 (3-6) | |
| Caucasian | 11 (14-5) | 0 (0) | 11 (19-6) | |
| Others | 6 (7-9) | 0 (0) | 6 (10-7) | |
| Major illness, n (%) | | | | |
| Hypertension | 19 (25-0) | 8 (40) | 11 (19-6) | |
| Ischaemic heart disase | 19 (25-0) | 8 (40) | 11 (19-6) | |
| Dyslipidaemia | 2 (2-6) | 1 (5) | 1 (1-8) | 0.040 |
| Heart failure | 1 (1-7) | 1 (5) | 0 (0) | |
| Previous strokes | 1 (1-7) | 1 (5) | 0 (0) | |
| Diabetes | 8 (10-5) | 5 (25) | 3 (5-4) | 0.014 |
| Renal impairment | 3 (3-9) | 2 (10) | 1 (1-8) | 0.110 |
| Day of illness onset at presentation, days, median (range) | 5 (1–15) | 6 (1–14) | 4 (1–15) | 0.044 |

Median (range) Reference range

| Characteristic | All patients (n = 76) | Severe/critical (n = 20) | Mild (n = 56) | P* |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|----|
| Creatinine, µmol/l | 60–107 (Male) 50–90 (Female) | 70 (41–502) | 89 (70–502) | 71 (41–134) | 0.003 |
| LDH, u/l | 250–580 | 401 (135–6374) | 403 (290–6374) | 398 (135–849) | 0.054 |
| WBC, x 10⁹/l | 6.92 (5.13–9.86) | 5.27 (2.60–18.55) | 6.11 (3.48–16.39) | 5.16 (2.6–18.55) | 0.153 |
| Haemoglobin, g/l | 13.1–16.6 (Male) 11.4–14.7 (Female) | 14.6 (8.7–16.8) | 15.05 (8.7–15.5) | 14.4 (9.8–16.8) | 0.175 |

# absolute count; %, percentage of white cells; %/L, percentage of lymphocytes; AS-LYMPH, antibody-synthesising lymphocytes; BASO, basophils; EO, eosinophils; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LYMPPH, lymphocytes; NEUT, neutrophils; PLT, platelets; RE-LYMPH, reactive lymphocytes; WBC, white blood cell. * Comparison between severe/critical cases and mild cases.

Paradoxically, we also observed that the rise in AS-Lymph pre-dated clinical recovery in several patients, suggesting that functional studies are required to determine if these cells are protective or immunopathogenic in the context of COVID-19. Due to the retrospective nature of our present study, we were unable to serially track FBC changes in mild cases. Our sample size is also not powered to explore independent prognostic biomarkers. However, taken together, our present data show that the FBC and its extended parameters may be a valuable tool to triage patients with COVID-19 and provides evidence to further explore the role of lymphocyte subsets in this disease.
Fig 1. Time trend of haematological parameters in patients with confirmed COVID-19 with severe and critical illness. (A) White blood cell and neutrophil count (left axis), platelet count (right axis) decreased to its nadir level at day 8–9, but increased to normal ranges thereafter. (B) Absolute count of lymphocytes, reactive lymphocytes (RE-Lymph) and its subset antibody-synthesising lymphocytes (AS-Lymph) increased progressively up to day 16. (C) Relative percentage of RE-Lymph and AS-Lymph (right axis) were trended with the absolute lymphocyte count across the day of illness.
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Table SI. ROC analysis for lymphocyte parameters in differentiating mild from severe and critical COVID-19.
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Atypical lymphocytes in peripheral blood of patients with COVID-19

The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in December 2019 in Wuhan, China, rapidly became a pandemic across the world, including the USA. Since March 2020, we started to receive peripheral blood smear review consults for patients who were admitted with COVID-19. We reviewed 15 peripheral blood smears from the 15 most