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BACKGROUND: Alcohol intake increases blood pressure yet estimates of associations between maternal intake and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) are sparse and range from null to a protective effect. Here we estimated the association of maternal drinking during pregnancy with preeclampsia and gestational hypertension (separately and jointly, as HDP). We used partner’s alcohol intake as a negative control exposure, beverage type-specific models, and a range of sensitivity analyses to strengthen causal inference and reduce the influence of bias.

METHODS AND RESULTS: We performed a longitudinal analysis of prospectively collected data on self-reported alcohol intake and presence of HDP from the UK ALSPAC (Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children) cohort. Multivariable multinomial regression models were adjusted for confounders and mutually adjusted for partner’s or maternal alcohol intake in the negative control analysis. We also performed a beverage type analysis of the effect of beer and wine separately on HDP risk, owing to different social patterning associated with different drinks. Sensitivity analyses assessed the robustness of results to assumptions of no recall bias, no residual confounding, and no selection bias. Of the 8999 women eligible for inclusion, 1490 fulfilled the criteria for HDP (17%). Both maternal and partner’s drinking were associated with decreased HDP odds (mutually adjusted odds ratio [OR], 0.86; [95% CI, 0.77–0.96], P=0.008 and OR, 0.82; [95% CI, 0.70–0.97], P=0.018, respectively). We demonstrate the validity of the negative control analyses using the same approach for smoking as the exposure. This confirmed an inverse association for maternal but not partner’s smoking, as expected. Estimates were more extreme for increasing levels of wine intake compared with increasing levels of beer. Multiple sensitivity analyses did not alter our conclusions.

CONCLUSIONS: We observed an inverse relationship between alcohol intake during pregnancy and risk of HDP for both maternal and, more surprisingly, partner’s drinking. We speculate that this is more likely to be due to common environmental exposures shared between pregnant women and their partners rather than a true causal effect. This warrants further investigation using different study designs, including Mendelian randomization.
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Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) is an umbrella term for gestational hypertension and preeclampsia, both characterized by de novo hypertension arising during pregnancy, with concurrent proteinuria in preeclampsia.1 There are several known risk factors for the development of HDP, screened for at the antenatal booking appointment, including older maternal age, obesity, history of HDP, and diabetes.2 Although alcohol intake is known to increase blood pressure,3–6 previous studies have produced inconsistent results regarding the risk of HDP when comparing women consuming alcohol in pregnancy to those abstaining.7–10

In the absence of randomized controlled trials or natural experiments investigating the role of alcohol on HDP, relevant evidence comes entirely from
observational studies. Residual confounding by factors such as socioeconomic position and smoking is a concern, because smoking and drinking alcohol are correlated\textsuperscript{11} and socially patterned, and smoking during pregnancy is associated with a lower risk of developing preeclampsia.\textsuperscript{12} Therefore, failure to adequately account for smoking in analyses of the association between prenatal alcohol and preeclampsia and HDP could lead to biased estimates in the same direction as the smoking-HDP effect.

A recent (not currently peer-reviewed) systematic review showed some evidence of an inverse association between alcohol use in pregnancy and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, as well as gestational hypertension and preeclampsia, especially when examining prospective studies (pooled odds ratio [OR], 0.64; [95% CI, 0.54–0.76]).\textsuperscript{13} The evidence pointing to an inverse association is paradoxical given the blood pressure-elevating effect of alcohol intake outside of pregnancy.

Negative control designs can be used in observational epidemiological studies to elucidate whether an association is likely to be causal or whether it is a result of unmeasured or residual confounding.\textsuperscript{14} For studies examining exposures during pregnancy, partner behaviors can be used as the negative control exposure for maternal outcomes. This is based on the assumption that partner’s alcohol intake should not cause maternal HDP. If an association is observed, it suggests a common confounding structure by shared environment.

In this study, we aimed to quantify the association between alcohol intake during pregnancy and HDP in a large population-based prospective cohort—ALSPAC (Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children). We employed a negative control exposure design, using partners’ alcohol intake during pregnancy, to detect the presence of confounding and disentangle association from causation. We also performed a beverage type analysis of the effect of beer and wine separately on HDP risk, owing to different social patterning associated with different drinks, and a range of sensitivity analyses to increase confidence in our findings.

### METHODS
Because of the sensitive nature of the data collected for this study, requests to access the data set from qualified researchers may be sent to the ALSPAC Executive Committee at https://proposals.epi.bristol.ac.uk/. Source code available from https://github.com/flozoemartin/MP2.

### Study Population
We used information from the ALSPAC cohort to define the study population in this analysis. ALSPAC is a UK-based cohort of 15,454 women recruited in the early 1990s from the Southwest of England and followed up pre- and postnatally via self-report questionnaires and in-person clinics.\textsuperscript{15} Previous publications have described the maternal cohort in full.\textsuperscript{16} Please note that the study website contains details of all the data that are available through a fully searchable data dictionary and variable search tool (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/).\textsuperscript{17} For transparency, we did not preregister this study on Open Science Framework. We included mothers with self-report questionnaire data on alcohol intake during pregnancy and other covariates deemed to be potential confounders, as well as obstetric data abstracted from medical records (n=8,999) (Figure 1).

Ethical approval for this study was secured from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and local Research Ethics Committee (North Somerset and South Bristol). Participants gave consent for their obstetric data to be abstracted and answers to self-report questionnaires to be used in subsequent research; individuals have
the right to withdraw from the ALSPAC cohort at any time during follow-up.

**Measures**

**Alcohol Intake During Pregnancy**

The exposure in this study, alcohol intake during pregnancy, was measured using multiple questionnaires sent prenatally and in the immediate postpartum period. At around 18 weeks’ gestation, participants were asked how often they drank alcohol: (1) in the first 3 months of pregnancy and (2) since the baby first moved. These questions were categorized as none, <1 drink per week, 1+ glasses per week, 1 to 2 glasses per day, 3 to 9 glasses per day, and 10+ glasses per day. They were also asked about how much of each type of drink (beer, wine, spirits, or other) they drank on a typical day, having been advised that a glass was the equivalent of a half pint (beer), a wineglass (wine), or a pub measure (spirit). The questionnaire that was sent at the same time to partners asked the same questions regarding alcohol intake. After birth, mothers and partners were asked about average alcohol intake in the final 2 months of pregnancy, using the same categories. Using the answers given in both questionnaires, the maximum amount of alcohol that each participant reported to drink at any time during pregnancy was used to categorize women: none, low to moderate (1–6 drinks per week), and heavy (≥7 drinks per week). For example, a participant reporting heavy drinking since the baby first moved (questionnaire B) and no drinking in the last 2 months of pregnancy (questionnaire E) would have been categorized as a heavy drinker in this analysis.

At 18 weeks’ gestation, both mothers and partners were asked how many days in the past month they had consumed the equivalent of 2 pints of beer or more. Although this does not perfectly align with other definitions of binge drinking including the National Institute for Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism’s definition (≥4 drinks in 2 hours), it provided an appropriate additional category for sensitivity analyses to separate those in the “heavy” drinking category who were not bingeing from those who were drinking multiple alcoholic beverages in 1 day.

Given the specific questions asked at 18 weeks’ gestation pertaining to the intake of different types of alcoholic beverages at the time of the questionnaire being filled out, we derived 2 variables for beer and wine intake during pregnancy. In other words, the beer drinker group consisted of those who had not reported wine consumption and vice versa for wine. We used the same categorization of amounts drunk as the primary analysis (none, low to moderate, and heavy) for each beverage type. Reporting of spirits/other alcohol intake and bingeing was then compared in beer and wine groups to better understand overall drinking patterns in these 2 groups.

**Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy**

For women who gave informed consent to have their obstetric data abstracted, all recorded measurements of both systolic and diastolic blood pressure were obtained, as well as events of proteinuria, as previously described in detail. Briefly, all measurements were collated by research midwives and the 1988 International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy criteria definitions were superimposed onto measurements for each participant. Thus, women were categorized as normotensive, gestational hypertension, or preeclampsia. As shown in Figure 1, women with existing hypertension were excluded (n=446/12010), as the definitions of HDP used specify “incidence of hypertension during pregnancy.”

**Other Variables**

Covariates for this analysis were defined a priori using evidence from the literature to support a potential
relationship with both the exposure and the outcome: maternal age at delivery, maternal race or ethnicity, maternal body mass index (BMI), smoking status (before and during pregnancy), maternal socioeconomic position (SEP), marital status, and parity. Women reported their age, race or ethnicity, height and weight (used to calculate prepregnancy BMI), smoking habits, educational attainment (proxy for SEP), marital status, and parity on self-completed questionnaires sent out during pregnancy.

Three questionnaires asked participants about their smoking habits at different times during pregnancy: at 18-weeks’ gestation women were asked about smoking early in pregnancy and current smoking, at 32-weeks’ gestation current smoking habits were described, and at 8 week’s postpartum participants reported their smoking habits in the last 2 months of pregnancy. Two smoking variables were generated: a binary variable for any or no smoking during pregnancy and a categorical variable for average number of cigarettes smoked per day during pregnancy.

All the variables described (except prepregnancy smoking) were also measured via self-report questionnaire for the partners of participants, which were abstracted for adjustment of the negative control analysis. Partner’s smoking status was measured across several variables in 2 prenatal questionnaires, which were collated to create a binary variable of any or no smoking during their partner’s pregnancy.

HDP is associated with other pregnancy complications including diabetes, kidney disease, rheumatoid arthritis, and multiple pregnancy. Diabetes noted during pregnancy (both preexisting and gestational) and multiple pregnancies were abstracted from obstetric records; kidney disease, both recent and historic diagnoses, was self-reported at 12 weeks’ gestation. Rheumatoid arthritis during pregnancy was not available in ALSPAC; however, any arthritis, both recent and historic, was self-reported during pregnancy (12 weeks’ gestation).

**Statistical Analysis**

Women’s characteristics were described by levels of alcohol intake in pregnancy using means (SDs) for continuous variables and percentages for binary variables. There was no evidence of an association between HDP (outcome) and study attrition, and all covariates had <15% missing data. Thus, we deemed that multiple imputation would not increase the study efficiency in this case and the use of a complete case analysis was the most appropriate approach (Tables S1 through S4).

For the primary analysis, we used multivariable logistic regression to estimate the OR of HDP by increasing categories of alcohol intake (none, low to moderate, and heavy drinking). Because of the 3-level exposure variable, likelihood-ratio tests were used to test for dose-response, comparing alcohol use as a single 3-level (continuous) variable (model A) or including alcohol as 2 dummy variables (model B). We used multivariable multinomial logistic regression models to estimate the relative risk ratio of developing gestational hypertension and preeclampsia compared with normotensive, using the outcome over 3 categories. Both of these models were also mutually adjusted for their partner’s alcohol intake for comparison with the negative control analysis.

The primary analysis was then repeated using partners’ alcohol intake as the exposure. The comparison of maternal and partner’s association with HDP rested on the assumption that mothers and partners share environmental and behavioral factors affecting or correlating with their alcohol drinking that also affect maternal HDP risk, but only maternal alcohol use could physiologically affect HDP risk. Both adjusted and mutually adjusted models were fitted, with the latter additionally adjusting for mother’s alcohol intake to account for the potential bias from assortative mating. We additionally report the association of maternal and partner’s smoking during pregnancy with risk of HDP, with similar mutual adjustments. Smoking during pregnancy was used as a supplementary exposure in the negative control model to check our prior assumption that a maternal exposure with evidence of an association with HDP, such as maternal smoking, should indeed be associated with HDP but that partner exposure would not.

To further evaluate the role of residual confounding by SEP or associated factors, we compared estimates of the association of HDP risk with wine and beer drinking separately. This was done under the assumption that intake of these 2 beverages follow different SEP patterning, as previously demonstrated in this cohort. It follows therefore that consistent results would strengthen a causal interpretation, whereas discordant results could point to confounding biasing the findings.

We conducted sensitivity analyses to assess to what extent estimates obtained from the primary analysis were robust to sources of bias including (1) excluding women who experienced pregnancy complications associated with HDP (diabetes, kidney disease, arthritis, or multiple pregnancy), (2) using a categorical smoking covariate in the model (as opposed to binary) to better account for residual confounding by smoking, (3) excluding those women who responded to alcohol-related questions after 20-weeks’ gestation to limit recall bias (HDP status influencing reporting of the exposure), and (4) excluding women who abstained from alcohol before pregnancy to limit the potential impact of existing ill health.
RESULTS

Study Sample

After exclusions, 8999 women (58% of the whole sample) were eligible for inclusion in this study (Figure 1), of whom 1490 fulfilled the criteria for HDP (17% of the eligible sample). Table shows the characteristics of included participants, by amounts of alcohol intake during pregnancy. Those who reported low-to-moderate drinking were older, more highly educated, more likely to be White, and had a lower BMI compared with those who reported no alcohol intake during pregnancy. Compared with non-drinkers, heavy drinkers were also more likely to be older, White, and more highly educated; heavy drinkers were also more likely to smoke both before and during pregnancy, had more children, and were less likely to be married (Table). When comparing characteristics of participants who developed HDP with those who remained normotensive during pregnancy, those with HDP had a higher mean BMI and were less likely to be multiparous (Table S5).

Among partners, heavy drinkers during pregnancy were more likely to be older and White compared with nondrinkers; heavy drinkers were also more likely to smoke during pregnancy and more likely to have a degree than nondrinkers.

Maternal Alcohol Intake and HDP

Figure 2 shows the association of maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy with HDP in women with complete data (n=8999), which we refer to as the complete case cohort. The likelihood ratio test comparing model A with model B showed that the more parsimonious model A (alcohol as a 3-level continuous variable) provided as good a fit to the data as model B (alcohol as 2 dummy variables) (P=0.87), thus no evidence of a nonlinear association. A 1-category increase in alcohol intake was associated with lower odds of developing HDP (adjusted OR, 0.85; [95% CI, 0.78–0.92], P<0.001). Similarly, the adjusted relative risk ratio for the multinomial logistic regression was 0.86 (95% CI, 0.79–0.94, P=0.001) for gestational hypertension and 0.74 (95% CI, 0.59–0.92, P=0.007) for preeclampsia (Figure 2, Table S6).

When restricting to the sample of pregnancies with complete data on both mothers and partners (n=5376) (Figure 1), which we refer to as the negative control cohort, we obtained similar results that persisted after mutual adjustment (mutually adjusted OR, 0.86; [95% CI, 0.77–0.96], P=0.008) (Figure 2, Table S7).

Heavy drinkers were split into heavy nonbinge and heavy binge drinking (Data S1 and S2) to ascertain whether the protective effect may be driven by those drinking “little and often.” Characteristics of heavy nonbinge and binge drinkers were described in Table S8; both binge and nonbinge drinking were inversely associated with HDP, and CIs overlapped between drinking categories (Table S9).

Negative Control Analysis Using Partner’s Alcohol Intake

In adjusted analyses, there was evidence that partner’s drinking was associated with maternal HDP risk even after mutual adjustment for maternal drinking (mutually adjusted OR, 0.82; [95% CI, 0.70–0.97], P=0.018, Figure 2).

An inverse association was observed with gestational hypertension; however, there was little evidence of association of partner’s drinking with preeclampsia (OR, 0.95; [95% CI, 0.63–1.43], P=0.79, Figure 2). The number of partners who were nondrinkers was lower than the number of mothers, resulting in a smaller number of preeclamptic pregnancies in that exposure category (Figure 2, Table S10).

Negative Control Analysis Using Smoking During Pregnancy

As shown in Figure 2, we found evidence that maternal smoking during pregnancy was strongly associated with lower HDP risk in both the complete case and negative control cohort, with results almost unchanged after adjusting for partner’s smoking (mutually adjusted OR, 0.66; [95% CI, 0.53–0.81], P<0.001). We found similar results for gestational hypertension (OR, 0.71; [95% CI, 0.57–0.89], P=0.003) and a stronger association for preeclampsia (OR, 0.32; [95% CI, 0.17–0.61], P=0.001). On the other hand, adjustment for maternal smoking affected the estimates for partner’s smoking. Based on mutually adjusted analyses, there was little evidence of association of partner’s smoking with HDP, both overall and separately for gestational hypertension and preeclampsia (OR, 0.97; [95% CI, 0.81–1.14], P=0.682 for HDP) (Figure 2, Tables S11 through S13).

Beverage Type Analysis

Beer drinkers were much more likely to smoke before and during pregnancy and less likely to be married than nondrinkers (Table S14). Those who drank wine during pregnancy were older, more likely to be White, and much more likely to have a degree than nondrinkers (Table S15). We compared risk of HDP stratified by beverage type (Figure 3). Point estimates were consistently more extreme for wine compared with beer, and the former but not the latter showed evidence of an association with lower HDP risk, although CIs overlap between these analyses (Tables S16 and S17). To understand drinking patterns in beer and wine drinkers during pregnancy, we compared binge drinking and reported use of other alcoholic drinks (spirits/other). Beer drinkers were more likely to report binge drinking during pregnancy and although there were no differences in
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### Table. Maternal Characteristics in the Complete Case Cohort (n=8999) and Partner Characteristics in the Negative Control Cohort (n=5376) by Categories of Alcohol Intake During Pregnancy

| Characteristic                                      | Alcohol intake | Maternal data (complete case cohort) | Partner data (negative control cohort) | No. (%) (unless otherwise specified) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Age at delivery, mean, y (SD)                       | None           | 2415                                 | 141                                    | 27.7 (4.7)                          |
|                                                    | Low to moderate| 4696                                 | 3943                                   | 28.9 (4.4)                          |
|                                                    | Heavy          | 1888                                 | 1292                                   | 28.7 (4.9)                          |
| Body mass index prepregnancy, mean, kg/m² (SD)      | None           | 2415                                 | 141                                    | 23.0 (4.0)                          |
|                                                    | Low to moderate| 4696                                 | 3943                                   | 22.7 (3.6)                          |
|                                                    | Heavy          | 1888                                 | 1292                                   | 23.1 (3.6)                          |
| Any smoking prepregnancy                            | None           | 2415                                 | ...                                    | 705 (29.2)                          |
|                                                    | Low to moderate| 4696                                 | ...                                    | 1299 (27.7)                         |
|                                                    | Heavy          | 1888                                 | ...                                    | 874 (46.3)                          |
| Any smoking during pregnancy                       | None           | 2415                                 | 141                                    | 552 (22.9)                          |
|                                                    | Low to moderate| 4696                                 | 3943                                   | 991 (21.1)                          |
|                                                    | Heavy          | 1888                                 | 1292                                   | 753 (39.9)                          |
| Multiparous (18 wk gestation)                      | None           | 2415                                 | 141                                    | 1280 (53.0)                         |
|                                                    | Low to moderate| 4696                                 | 3943                                   | 2589 (55.1)                         |
|                                                    | Heavy          | 1888                                 | 1292                                   | 1124 (59.5)                         |
| Black, Asian, and other non-Caucasian ethnicities  | None           | 2415                                 | 141                                    | 61 (2.5)                            |
| (32 wk gestation)                                  | Low to moderate| 4696                                 | 3943                                   | 80 (1.7)                            |
|                                                    | Heavy          | 1888                                 | 1292                                   | 24 (1.2)                            |
| University degree (32 wk gestation)                | None           | 2415                                 | 141                                    | 232 (9.6)                           |
|                                                    | Low to moderate| 4696                                 | 3943                                   | 792 (16.9)                          |
|                                                    | Heavy          | 1888                                 | 1292                                   | 221 (11.7)                          |
| Married (8 wk gestation)                           | None           | 2415                                 | 141                                    | 1904 (78.8)                         |
|                                                    | Low to moderate| 4696                                 | 3943                                   | 5792 (80.8)                         |
|                                                    | Heavy          | 1888                                 | 1292                                   | 1303 (69.0)                         |
intake of other drinks between beer and wine drinkers, there were significantly more missing data for these questions for beer than wine drinkers (Table S18). Differing distributions of spirit intake and missing data between beer and wine demonstrate the difference in social patterning of wine and beer drinking.

### Complete Case Cohort Sensitivity Analyses

Figure 4 summarizes the findings from the primary analysis in the complete case cohort overlaid on each of the 4 sensitivity analysis panels for reference (Data S2).

The sensitivity analyses suggested that comorbidities among those who developed HDP, differential exposure misclassification (HDP development influencing reporting of the alcohol intake during pregnancy), residual confounding by smoking, and potential poorer health of nondrinkers before pregnancy had little to no effect on our overall estimates (Tables S19 through S22).

### DISCUSSION

We found that maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy was negatively associated with any HDP, both...
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...gestational hypertension and preeclampsia, which was also confirmed in multiple sensitivity analyses. In the negative control analysis, partner’s drinking was also inversely associated with maternal HDP, even after adjusting for maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy. These findings point against a causal interpretation of the maternal alcohol-HDP association.

A (not yet peer-reviewed) recent systematic review identified an inverse association between alcohol intake during pregnancy and preeclampsia when stratifying by prospective studies but not when including all eligible studies.13 In this review, only 2 of the included prospective studies used multivariable analyses to account for confounding. The first was a multicountry cohort study comparing those who quit drinking alcohol before 15 weeks’ gestation with those who did not drink alcohol, finding that the former pattern of alcohol intake during pregnancy was associated with a decreased risk of preeclampsia.10 In the other, Iwama et al. observed HDP point estimates below 1 for those drinking almost no alcohol and less than 19 units of alcohol per week compared with none when adjusting for covariates but with large SEs and wide CIs because of small numbers in the drinking groups.29 The largest included retrospective study was an American record linkage analysis, which found that 1 to 2 drinks per week prenatally were negatively associated with preeclampsia compared with none in minimally adjusted models (adjusted OR, 0.82; [95% CI, 0.74–0.90]).7 Our findings were consistent with the results of these studies examining similar levels of alcohol intake. However, our unique take of running in parallel an analysis of partner’s exposure revealed that a causal effect is highly unlikely.

The main strength of the present study is that we uniquely applied a negative control design using partner’s alcohol intake during pregnancy. This approach provided a clearer insight into whether the association that was observed in the analysis of maternal alcohol intake was potentially causal, eventually concluding that shared confounding was a much more likely explanation. We additionally used smoking during pregnancy to validate this approach in the context of our data and showed that the association between partner smoking and HDP attenuated considerably when adjusting for maternal smoking. The validation step provided further support to our interpretation that shared (residual) confounding may be driving our inverse estimates of the prenatal alcohol-HDP association.

Confounding by SEP poses an additional risk to inferring causality for the prenatal alcohol-HDP association results. The J-shaped curve is well discussed in alcohol and cardiovascular health epidemiology, where low-to-moderate amounts of alcohol intake appear to confer cardioprotective effects.30 Whether this is causal or a result of confounding by SEP is hotly debated. A large Mendelian randomization meta-analysis, which is less prone to the limitations suffered by traditional observational analyses, found that those with alleles associated with lower alcohol intake had a more favorable cardiovascular profile than those without the variant, suggesting that the J-shaped curve may be a result of...
Given that types of beverages consumed are also socially patterned, granular data on beer and wine intake in our cohort allowed us to run additional analyses separately for participants who drank beer and not wine (and vice versa). Investigating beer and wine separately in a beverage type analysis can be seen as an alternative method to capture some residual socioeconomic confounding that may not have been adequately accounted for by highest maternal educational attainment. The beverage type analysis showed wine to have a stronger inverse association with HDP than beer, which is consistent with the often-reported protective effect observed for wine drinking and health outcomes. The most likely explanation for wine’s protective effect on health is that wine drinkers share other characteristics that convey this benefit over nonwine drinkers, inadequately accounted for in our beverage-type analysis and previously published studies, as opposed to a causal effect.

We were able to run a number of sensitivity analyses to address the possibility of different types of bias explaining our results. First, we excluded those who reported comorbidities associated with HDP that may have affected alcohol intake: diabetes, kidney disease, arthritis, or multiple pregnancy. We then excluded those who reported abstaining from alcohol before their pregnancy because of potential differences in risk of the outcome between nondrinkers and drinkers before pregnancy, again to reduce the impact of reverse causation. Given the potential for recall bias thus...
differential exposure misclassification, we restricted the cohort to women who had reported their drinking habits before 20 weeks’ gestation (the earliest point in pregnancy that HDP can be diagnosed). The findings from these sensitivity analyses mirrored the primary analysis and suggested that behavior modification based on health and behavior reporting based on pregnancy progression were not playing a significant role in the observed association from the primary analysis. However, it remains important to consider the potential effect that discussions with health care professionals during early antenatal appointment could have on behavior or reporting of alcohol intake. Smoking has been repeatedly shown to be associated with decreased HDP risk and is correlated with alcohol use, so residual confounding by smoking behavior could introduce bias, strengthening the inverse association. Using multiple measures of smoking throughout pregnancy from multiple questionnaires, we were able to mitigate as much of the confounding by smoking as permitted by the data we have in ALSPAC.

Strengths and Limitations
In addition to our negative control exposure analysis and multiple sensitivity analyses, a notable strength is the prospective collection of alcohol intake, which wards against recall bias. The collection of outcome data on HDP from obstetric records improved reliability and reduced amounts of missing data. This study did have some limitations. First, as disclosed in the Methods section, this study was not preregistered on Open Science Framework; however, all code for the cleaning and analysis is available on GitHub for transparency. Although we used definitions for alcohol intake and HDP that applied to the early 1990s when study pregnancies occurred, it is important to note that practice, diagnosis, and behaviors have changed over the past 3 decades. Confounding is often problematic in observational studies and residual confounding is likely. Although we did not account for physical activity and nutrition, adjustment for BMI and the beverage-type analysis capturing unmeasured confounding by SEP were deemed sufficient in this case. Despite the large sample size, the number of women with preeclampsia was modest, though in line with other published estimates, supporting generalizability of this study. Exposure misclassification may have been an issue in this study, especially if heavy drinkers underreported their alcohol intake because of desirability bias. Although we used baseline variables in ALSPAC, thus participant attrition was relatively low, complete cases included in the analysis were less likely to drink or smoke during pregnancy, more likely to be older, married, and have higher educational attainment affecting internal validity. Participant attrition was particularly relevant for smoking during pregnancy, where those who reported smoking during pregnancy were less likely to be retained in the complete case cohort; given the correlation between alcohol intake and smoking, alcohol’s association with HDP may have been underestimated.

CONCLUSIONS
In conclusion, we found that both maternal and partner’s alcohol intake during pregnancy were inversely associated with risk of any HDP, including gestational hypertension and preeclampsia. Our negative control analysis and the stronger protective effect of wine (as opposed to beer) compared with not drinking during pregnancy suggests that the association is not likely to reflect a direct, causal effect of maternal alcohol intake. These findings should be triangulated with those obtained using different methods and analytical strategies, for example, Mendelian randomization, to provide clarity on the true nature of this association.
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Data S1. Stratifying heavy drinking by binge drinking

As described in the methods section, the heavy drinking category was further stratified by binge and non-binge drinkers. It has been shown that different forms of drinking are more frequent in different socioeconomic groups: those who drink little and often (for example, one glass of wine every night) and those who drink heavily once a week, are both heavy drinkers in our analysis. However, they have been shown in this sample (Table S5) to be characteristically different from one another; we deduced that this may have impacted on their underlying risk of outcome. There was a slight attenuation of the protective effect for heavy binge drinkers vs heavy non-binge drinkers when comparing with non-drinkers (as per the primary analysis), but the direction of effect was the same for all three outcomes and the intervals did not include one (Table S6).
Data S2. Sensitivity analysis findings

Excluding participants with higher risk of HDP (diabetes, kidney disease or arthritis during pregnancy and non-singleton pregnancies)

It has been shown that those who have diabetes, kidney disease, arthritis or non-singleton pregnancies have a higher risk of developing HDP. With the exchangeability in question between this group and those who did not experience any of these pregnancy complications, we performed a sensitivity analysis by which we excluded those women who had reported or were diagnosed with any of these risk factors for HDP. Other than slightly attenuating the effect, probably due to decreased power following their exclusion, both the crude and adjusted models concluded a reduction in relative risk, following alcohol intake in pregnancy, of both gestational hypertension and preeclampsia (adjusted relative risk ratio 0.84, 95% confidence interval 0.76 to 0.93, P-value<0.001 and 0.75, 0.59 to 0.96, P=0.021, respectively) (part (i), Figure 4).

Stratifying smoking during pregnancy

It has been shown on multiple occasions that smoking during pregnancy is associated with a protective effect for preeclampsia (37, 38). We also observed this in ALSPAC, using smoking during pregnancy and risk of HDP to corroborate our findings for the partner’s alcohol negative control analysis (Figure 2). Given that smoking is associated with drinking alcohol during pregnancy it was important to reduce any residual confounding not accounted for by using a binary smoking covariate. Having categorised smoking during pregnancy into average number per day (0, 1–4, 5–9, 10–14, 15–19, 20–29 and 30+), we observed no difference in effect from the model that adjusted for binary smoking during pregnancy (any or none) (adjusted odds ratio 0.85, 95% confidence interval 0.78 to 0.92, P-value<0.001) (part (ii), Figure 4).

Excluding respondent’s post-20 weeks’ gestation

Given the diagnosis of gestational hypertension or preeclampsia occurs at 20 weeks’ gestation or later, we considered the potential for knowledge of the outcome to have influenced reporting of the exposure, had the questionnaire been filled out after a diagnosis could have been made. With this in mind, we restricted the analysis to those who had filled in the questionnaire prior to 20 weeks’ gestation (thus excluding responses from the postpartum questionnaire regarding drinking habits in the last two months of pregnancy) (part (i) Figure 4). The protective effect persisted in both the logistic and multinomial models having restricted to participants responding prior to 20 weeks’ gestation (adjusted relative risk ratio 0.85, 95% confidence interval 0.76 to 0.95, P-value=0.003 and 0.72, 0.54 to 0.95, P=0.020, for gestational hypertension and preeclampsia, respectively).

Excluding abstainers prior to pregnancy
It has been shown that those who abstain from alcohol outside of pregnancy are characteristically different and have exhibited different risks of morbidity and mortality compared with their drinking counterparts (32, 33). With the exchangeability in question between this group and those who did not abstain before pregnancy and their risk of the outcome, we performed a sensitivity analysis by which we excluded those women who had reported to have abstained from alcohol prior to pregnancy. Other than slightly attenuating the effect, probably due to decreased power following their exclusion, both the crude and adjusted models concluded a reduction in relative risk, following alcohol intake in pregnancy, of both gestational hypertension and preeclampsia (adjusted relative risk ratio 0.86, 95% confidence interval 0.78 to 0.95, P-value=0.001 and 0.76, 0.60 to 0.95, P=0.019, respectively) (part iv, Figure 4).
Table S1. Summary of the variables in the full ALSPAC cohort used in the complete case (primary) analysis (n=15,442), those in the complete case cohort (n=8,999) and excluded, incomplete cases for exposure, outcomes, and covariates.

| Characteristic                  | Categories                  | Available data (n = 15,442) n (%) | Categorical data (n = 15,442) n (%) | Complete records (n = 8,999) n (%) | Records with incomplete data n | Excluded n (%) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Maternal alcohol use in pregnancy | Non-drinker                 | 12,373 (80)                      | 3,194 (26)                         | 2,415 (27)                        | 3,030                         | 679 (22)       |
|                                 | Low-to-moderate             |                                  | 6,439 (52)                         | 4,696 (52)                        | 2,740                         | 1,569 (52)     |
|                                 | Heavy                       |                                  | 2,740 (22)                         | 1,888 (21)                        | 2,415                         | 782 (26)       |
| Maternal age                    | Under 25                    | 13,897 (90)                      | 3,337 (24)                         | 1,719 (19)                        | 4,554                         | 1,563 (34)     |
|                                 | 25 and over                 |                                  | 10,560 (76)                        | 7,280 (81)                        | 1,569                         | 2,991 (66)     |
| Maternal body mass index (BMI)  | Underweight                 | 11,524 (75)                      | 577 (5)                            | 425 (5)                           | 2,181                         | 141 (7)        |
|                                 | Normal                      |                                  | 8,562 (74)                         | 6,767 (75)                        | 4,639                         | 1,572 (73)     |
|                                 | Overweight                  |                                  | 1,733 (15)                         | 1,355 (15)                        | 1,888                         | 316 (15)       |
|                                 | Obese                       |                                  | 652 (6)                            | 452 (5)                           | 1,100                         | 152 (6)        |
| Maternal smoking before pregnancy | Non-smoker                 | 13,193 (85)                      | 8,723 (66)                         | 6,121 (68)                        | 3,850                         | 2,344 (61)     |
|                                 | Smoker                      |                                  | 4,470 (34)                         | 2,878 (32)                        | 2,195                         | 1,506 (39)     |
| Maternal smoking during pregnancy | Non-smoker                 | 11,994 (78)                      | 8,309 (69)                         | 6,703 (75)                        | 2,651                         | 1,330 (50)     |
|                                 | Smoker                      |                                  | 3,685 (31)                         | 2,296 (25)                        | 1,290                         | 1,321 (50)     |
| Maternal parity                 | Nulliparous                 | 12,960 (84)                      | 5,804 (45)                         | 4,006 (45)                        | 3,617                         | 1,614 (45)     |
|                                 | Multiparous                 |                                  | 7,156 (55)                         | 4,993 (55)                        | 2,046                         | 2,003 (55)     |
| Maternal ethnicity              | White                       | 12,251 (79)                      | 11,109 (97)                        | 8,834 (98)                        | 2,908                         | 2,735 (94)     |
|                                 | Non-white                   |                                  | 342 (3)                            | 165 (2)                           | 1,000                         | 173 (6)        |
| Maternal educational attainment | A levels or less            | 12,321 (80)                      | 10,736 (87)                        | 7,754 (86)                        | 2,978                         | 2,687 (90)     |
|                                 | Degree                      |                                  | 1,585 (13)                         | 1,245 (14)                        | 1,848                         | 291 (10)       |
| Maternal marital status         | Not currently married       | 13,548 (88)                      | 3,523 (26)                         | 2,000 (22)                        | 4,205                         | 1,448 (34)     |
|                                 | Married                     |                                  | 10,025 (74)                        | 6,999 (78)                        | 1,888                         | 2,762 (66)     |
| Maternal HDP                    | Normotensive                | 13,681 (89)                      | 11,447 (84)                        | 7,509 (83)                        | 4,338                         | 3,594 (83)     |
|                                 | Gestational hypertension    |                                  | 1,937 (14)                         | 1,308 (15)                        | 629 (15)                      | 115 (3)        |
|                                 | Preeclampsia                |                                  | 297 (2)                            | 182 (2)                           | 115 (3)                       | 115 (3)        |
Table S2. Summary of the variables in the full ALSPAC cohort used in the negative control analysis (n=15,442), those in the negative control cohort (n=5,376) and excluded, incomplete cases for exposure, outcomes, and covariates.

| Characteristic                  | Categories                  | Available data (n = 15,442) n (%) | Categorical data (n = 15,442) n (%) | Complete records (n = 5,376) n (%) | Records with incomplete data n | Excluded n (%) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Partner alcohol intake in pregnancy | Non-drinker                 | 10,380 (67)                       | 268 (3)                             | 141 (3)                           | 3,885                          | 82 (2)         |
|                                 | Low-to-moderate             | 7,594 (73)                        | 7,594 (73)                          | 3,943 (73)                        | 2,839 (73)                    |                |
|                                 | Heavy                       | 2,518 (24)                        | 2,518 (24)                          | 1,292 (24)                        | 964 (25)                      |                |
| Partner age                     | Under 25                    | 8,146 (53)                        | 941 (12)                            | 527 (10)                          | 1,597                          | 280 (18)       |
|                                 | 25 and over                 | 7,205 (88)                        | 7,205 (88)                          | 4,849 (90)                        | 1,317 (82)                    |                |
| Partner body mass index (BMI)    | Underweight                 | 12,451 (81)                       | 1,504 (12)                          | 247 (5)                           | 5,915                          | 801 (14)       |
|                                 | Normal                      | 8,562 (69)                        | 8,562 (69)                          | 4,049 (75)                        | 3,979 (67)                    |                |
|                                 | Overweight                  | 1,733 (14)                        | 1,733 (14)                          | 816 (15)                          | 319 (5)                       |                |
|                                 | Obese                       | 652 (5)                           | 652 (5)                             | 264 (5)                           |                                |                |
| Partner smoking during pregnancy | Non-smoker                  | 9,418 (61)                        | 5,837 (62)                          | 3,561 (66)                        | 2,869                          | 1,551 (54)     |
|                                 | Smoker                      | 3,581 (23)                        | 3,581 (23)                          | 1,815 (34)                        | 1,318 (46)                    |                |
| Partner parity                  | Nulliparous                 | 12,960 (84)                       | 5,804 (45)                          | 2,580 (48)                        | 6,411                          | 2,646 (41)     |
|                                 | Multiparous                 | 7,156 (55)                        | 7,156 (55)                          | 2,796 (52)                        | 3,765 (59)                    |                |
| Partner ethnicity               | White                       | 9,746 (63)                        | 9,459 (97)                          | 5,291 (98)                        | 3,197                          | 3,037 (95)     |
|                                 | Non-white                   | 287 (3)                           | 287 (3)                             | 85 (2)                            | 160 (5)                       |                |
| Partner educational attainment  | A levels or less            | 9,803 (64)                        | 7,892 (81)                          | 4,145 (77)                        | 3,254                          | 2,808 (86)     |
|                                 | Degree                      | 1,911 (19)                        | 1,911 (19)                          | 1,231 (23)                        | 446 (14)                      |                |
| Partner marital status          | Not currently married       | 13,548 (88)                       | 3,523 (26)                          | 950 (18)                          | 6,999                          | 2,321 (33)     |
|                                 | Married                     | 10,025 (74)                       | 10,025 (74)                         | 4,426 (82)                        | 4,678 (67)                    |                |
| Maternal HDP                    | Normotensive                | 13,681 (89)                       | 11,447 (84)                         | 4,420 (82)                        | 7,139                          | 6,043 (85)     |
|                                 | Gestational hypertension    | 1,937 (14)                        | 1,937 (14)                          | 837 (16)                          | 938 (13)                      |                |
|                                 | Preeclampsia                | 297 (2)                           | 297 (2)                             | 119 (2)                           | 158 (2)                       |                |
Table S3. Predictors of being a complete case in available data for each maternal variable

| Characteristic                        | Category          | Crude OR (95%CI)       |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Maternal alcohol intake in pregnancy |                   |                        |
|                                       | Non-drinker       | 1.00 (referent)        |
|                                       | Low-to-moderate   | 0.84 (0.76 to 0.93)    |
|                                       | Heavy             | 0.70 (0.62 to 0.78)    |
| Maternal age                          |                   |                        |
|                                       | Under 25          | 1.00 (referent)        |
|                                       | 25 and over       | 2.24 (2.07 to 2.43)    |
| Maternal body mass index (BMI)         |                   |                        |
|                                       | Underweight       | 1.00 (referent)        |
|                                       | Normal            | 1.44 (1.18 to 1.75)    |
|                                       | Overweight        | 1.46 (1.15 to 1.81)    |
|                                       | Obese             | 1.23 (0.94 to 1.62)    |
| Maternal smoking before pregnancy     |                   |                        |
|                                       | Non-smoker        | 1.00 (referent)        |
|                                       | Smoker            | 0.73 (0.68 to 0.79)    |
| Maternal smoking during pregnancy     |                   |                        |
|                                       | Non-smoker        | 1.00 (referent)        |
|                                       | Smoker            | 0.34 (0.31 to 0.37)    |
| Maternal parity                       |                   |                        |
|                                       | Nulliparous       | 1.00 (referent)        |
|                                       | Multiparous       | 1.00 (0.93 to 1.08)    |
| Maternal ethnicity                    |                   |                        |
|                                       | White             | 1.00 (referent)        |
|                                       | Non-white         | 0.33 (0.27 to 0.41)    |
| Maternal educational attainment       |                   |                        |
|                                       | A-levels or lower | 1.00 (referent)        |
|                                       | University degree | 1.48 (1.29 to 1.69)    |
| Maternal marital status               |                   |                        |
|                                       | Not currently married | 1.00 (referent)       |
|                                       | Married           | 1.84 (1.70 to 1.99)    |
| Maternal HDP                          |                   |                        |
|                                       | Normotensive      | 1.00 (referent)        |
|                                       | HDP               | 0.92 (0.83 to 1.01)    |
Table S4. Predictors of being a complete case in available data for each partner variable

| Characteristic                              | Category       | Crude OR (95%CI) |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Partner alcohol intake in pregnancy        | Non-drinker    | 1.00 (referent)  |
|                                              | Low-to-moderate| 0.74 (0.57 to 0.96) |
|                                              | Heavy          | 0.71 (0.54 to 0.93) |
| Partner age                                 | Under 25       | 1.00 (referent)  |
|                                              | 25 and over    | 1.89 (1.63 to 2.21) |
| Partner body mass index (BMI)               | Underweight    | 1.00 (referent)  |
|                                              | Normal         | 1.31 (1.18 to 1.46) |
|                                              | Overweight     | 1.28 (1.12 to 1.47) |
|                                              | Obese          | 1.19 (0.99 to 1.43) |
| Partner smoking during pregnancy           | Non-smoker     | 1.00 (referent)  |
|                                              | Smoker         | 0.62 (0.57 to 0.68) |
| Partner parity                              | Nulliparous    | 1.00 (referent)  |
|                                              | Multiparous    | 0.76 (0.70 to 0.81) |
| Partner ethnicity                           | White          | 1.00 (referent)  |
|                                              | Non-white      | 0.38 (0.30 to 0.48) |
| Partner educational attainment             | A-levels or lower | 1.00 (referent) |
|                                              | University degree | 1.81 (1.62 to 2.04) |
| Partner marital status                      | Not currently married | 1.00 (referent) |
|                                              | Married        | 2.21 (2.04 to 2.39) |
| Maternal HDP                                | Normotensive   | 1.00 (referent)  |
|                                              | HDP            | 1.16 (1.06 to 1.27) |
**Table S5. Characteristics of normotensive participants (n=7,509) compared to participants with HDP (n=1,490)**

|                           | Normotensive | Hypertensive disorder of pregnancy |
|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|
|                           | 7,509        | 1,490                             |
| **Age at delivery**       |              |                                   |
| Mean, years (SD*)         | 28.6 (4.7)   | 28.3 (4.9)                        |
| **BMI† pre-pregnancy**    |              |                                   |
| Mean, kg/m² (SD*)         | 22.5 (3.3)   | 24.7 (4.9)                        |
| **Smoking**               |              |                                   |
| Any pre-pregnancy, n (%)  | 2,456 (32.7) | 422 (28.3)                        |
| Any during pregnancy, n (%)| 1,995 (26.6) | 301 (20.2)                        |
| **Parity (18 weeks’ gestation)** |          |                                   |
| Multiparous, n (%)        | 4,384 (58.4) | 609 (40.9)                        |
| **Ethnicity (32 weeks’ gestation)** |          |                                   |
| Non-white, n (%)          | 146 (1.9)    | 19 (1.3)                          |
| **Educational attainment (32 weeks’ gestation)** |          |                                   |
| University degree, n (%)  | 1,040 (13.9) | 205 (13.8)                        |
| **Marital status**        |              |                                   |
| Married, n (%)            | 5,839 (77.8) | 1,160 (77.9)                      |

* Standard deviation
† Body mass index
Table S6. Maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy and HDP in complete case cohort (n=8,999)

| Maternal outcome | Maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy | Unadjusted model | Adjusted model |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
|                  | Heavy n (%) | Low-to-moderate n (%) | None n (%) | OR* | (95%CI) | p-value | OR*,† | (95%CI) | p-value |
| HDP – n complete cases | 1,888 | 4,696 | 2,415 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 259 (13.7) | 765 (16.3) | 466 (19.3) | 0.82 | (0.75 to 0.88) | <0.001 | 0.85 | (0.78 to 0.92) | <0.001 |
| Gestational hypertension – n complete cases | 1,888 | 4,696 | 2,415 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 229 (12.1) | 681 (14.5) | 398 (16.5) | 0.83 | (0.76 to 0.91) | <0.001 | 0.86 | (0.79 to 0.94) | 0.001 |
| Preeclampsia – n complete cases | 1,888 | 4,696 | 2,415 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 30 (1.6) | 84 (1.8) | 68 (2.8) | 0.70 | (0.56 to 0.87) | 0.001 | 0.74 | (0.59 to 0.92) | 0.007 |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: maternal age at delivery, maternal body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), smoking during pregnancy (binary), parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), maternal ethnicity (white or non-white), maternal education (32 weeks’ gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression
Table S7. Maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy and HDP in negative control cohort (n=5,376)

| Maternal outcome | Maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy | Unadjusted model | Adjusted model | Mutually adjusted model |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------------|
|                  | Heavy n (%) | Low-to-moderate n (%) | None n (%) | OR* (95%CI) | p-value | OR* † (95%CI) | p-value | OR* †, § (95%CI) | p-value |
| HDP – n complete cases | 1,030 | 2,872 | 1,474 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 153 (14.9) | 493 (17.2) | 310 (21.0) | 0.80 (0.72 to 0.89) | <0.001 | 0.84 (0.75 to 0.94) | 0.002 | 0.86 (0.77 to 0.96) | 0.008 |
| Gestational hypertension – n complete cases | 1,030 | 2,872 | 1,474 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 137 (13.3) | 434 (15.1) | 266 (18.1) | 0.82 (0.74 to 0.92) | <0.001 | 0.85 (0.76 to 0.96) | 0.007 | 0.87 (0.78 to 0.98) | 0.026 |
| Preeclampsia – n complete cases | 1,030 | 2,872 | 1,474 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 16 (1.6) | 59 (2.1) | 44 (3.0) | 0.69 (0.52 to 0.90) | 0.007 | 0.74 (0.55 to 0.98) | 0.033 | 0.75 (0.56 to 0.99) | 0.045 |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: maternal age at delivery, maternal body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), smoking during pregnancy (binary), parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), maternal ethnicity (white or non-white), maternal education (32 weeks’ gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression
§ Mutually adjusted for covariates plus partner’s alcohol intake during pregnancy
Table S8. Characteristics of participants by categories of alcohol intake during pregnancy, heavy stratified by binge and non-binge

|                             | None   | Low-to-moderate | Heavy non-binge | Heavy binge |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------|------------|
|                             | 2,415  | 4,696           | 348            | 1,540      |
| Age at delivery             |        |                 |                |            |
| Mean, years (SD*)           | 27.7 (4.7) | 28.9 (4.6)   | 30.4 (4.7)    | 28.4 (4.9) |
| BMI† (pre-pregnancy)        |        |                 |                |            |
| Mean, kg/m² (SD†)           | 23.0 (4.0) | 22.7 (3.6)   | 22.5 (3.3)    | 23.2 (3.0) |
| Smoking                     |        |                 |                |            |
| Any pre-pregnancy, n (%)    | 705 (29.2) | 1,299 (27.7)  | 117 (33.6)    | 757 (49.2) |
| Any during pregnancy, n (%) | 552 (22.9) | 991 (21.1)   | 91 (26.2)     | 662 (43.0) |
| Parity (18 weeks’ gestation)|        |                 |                |            |
| Multiparous, n (%)          | 1,280 (53.0) | 2,589 (55.1) | 199 (57.2)    | 925 (60.1) |
| Ethnicity (32 weeks’ gestation)|      |                |                |            |
| Non-white, n (%)            | 61 (2.5)  | 80 (1.7)       | 4 (1.2)       | 20 (1.3)    |
| Educational attainment (32 weeks’ gestation) |            |                |                |            |
| University degree, n (%)    | 232 (9.6)  | 792 (16.9)    | 102 (29.3)    | 119 (7.7)   |
| Marital status              |        |                 |                |            |
| Married, n (%)              | 1,904 (78.8) | 3,792 (80.8) | 273 (78.5)    | 1,030 (66.9) |

* Standard deviation
† Body mass index
Table S9. Maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy and HDP, expanding heavy drinking to binge and non-binge (n=8,999), including alcohol exposure as a categorical exposure

| Type of drinking | Maternal outcome | Unadjusted model | Adjusted model |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|
|                  |                  | OR* (95%CI) p-value | OR* † (95%CI) p-value |
|                  |                  |                  |                  |
| **HDP** n (%)    |                  |                  |                  |
| None             | 466/2,415 (19.3) | 1.00 (reference) - | 1.00 (reference) - |
| Low-to-moderate  | 765/4,696 (16.3) | 0.81 (0.72 to 0.92) 0.002 | 0.85 (0.74 to 0.97) 0.019 |
| Heavy non-binge  | 43/348 (12.4)    | 0.59 (0.42 to 0.82) 0.002 | 0.64 (0.45 to 0.90) 0.012 |
| Heavy binge      | 216/1,540 (14.0) | 0.68 (0.57 to 0.81) <0.001 | 0.73 (0.60 to 0.88) 0.001 |
| **Gestational hypertension** |                  |                  |                  |
| None             | 398/2,415 (16.5) | 1.00 (reference) - | 1.00 (reference) - |
| Low-to-moderate  | 681/4,696 (14.5) | 0.85 (0.74 to 0.97) 0.017 | 0.88 (0.77 to 1.02) 0.083 |
| Heavy non-binge  | 36/348 (10.3)    | 0.58 (0.40 to 0.83) 0.003 | 0.62 (0.43 to 0.90) 0.013 |
| Heavy binge      | 193/1,540 (12.5) | 0.71 (0.59 to 0.86) <0.001 | 0.76 (0.63 to 0.92) 0.006 |
| **Preeclampsia** |                  |                  |                  |
| None             | 68/2,415 (2.8)   | 1.00 (reference) - | 1.00 (reference) - |
| Low-to-moderate  | 84/4,696 (1.8)   | 0.61 (0.44 to 0.85) 0.003 | 0.66 (0.48 to 0.93) 0.017 |
| Heavy non-binge  | 7/348 (2.0)      | 0.66 (0.30 to 1.45) 0.297 | 0.74 (0.33 to 1.66) 0.463 |
| Heavy binge      | 23/1,540 (1.5)   | 0.50 (0.31 to 0.80) 0.004 | 0.54 (0.33 to 0.87) 0.013 |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: maternal age at delivery, maternal body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), smoking during pregnancy (binary), parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), maternal ethnicity (white or non-white), maternal education (32 weeks' gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression
Table S10. Partner’s alcohol intake during pregnancy and maternal HDP in negative control cohort (n=5,376)

| Maternal outcome | Partner’s alcohol intake during pregnancy | Unadjusted model | Adjusted model | Mutually adjusted model |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------|
|                  | Heavy n (%) | Low-to-moderate n (%) | None n (%) | OR* (95%CI) | p-value | OR* † (95%CI) | p-value | OR* †, § (95%CI) | p-value |
| HDP – n complete cases | 1,292 | 3,943 | 141 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 196 (15.2) | 728 (18.5) | 32 (22.7) | 0.79 (0.68 to 0.92) | 0.002 | 0.79 (0.67 to 0.92) | 0.003 | 0.82 (0.70 to 0.97) | 0.018 |

| Gestational hypertension – n complete cases | 1,292 | 3,943 | 141 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 168 (13.0) | 642 (16.3) | 27 (19.2) | 0.78 (0.66 to 0.91) | 0.002 | 0.78 (0.66 to 0.92) | 0.003 | 0.81 (0.68 to 0.96) | 0.014 |

| Preeclampsia – n complete cases | 1,292 | 3,943 | 141 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 28 (2.2) | 86 (2.2) | 5 (3.6) | 0.87 (0.59 to 1.29) | 0.494 | 0.86 (0.58 to 1.30) | 0.479 | 0.95 (0.63 to 1.43) | 0.794 |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: partner’s age at delivery, partner’s body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), smoking during pregnancy (binary), parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), partner’s ethnicity (white or non-white), partner’s education (32 weeks’ gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression
§ Mutually adjusted for covariates plus maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy
Table S11. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and HDP in complete case cohort (n=8,999)

| Maternal outcome | Maternal smoking during pregnancy | Unadjusted model | Adjusted model |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
|                 | Any n (%) | None n (%) | OR* (95%CI) | p-value | OR* † (95%CI) | p-value |
| HDP – n complete cases | 2,296 | 6,703 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 301 (13.1) | 1,189 (17.7) | 0.70 (0.61 to 0.80) | <0.001 | 0.67 (0.53 to 0.86) | 0.001 |
| Gestational hypertension – n complete cases | 2,296 | 6,703 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 271 (11.8) | 1,037 (15.5) | 0.72 (0.63 to 0.83) | <0.001 | 0.72 (0.56 to 0.93) | 0.011 |
| Preeclampsia – n complete cases | 2,296 | 6,703 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 30 (1.3) | 152 (2.3) | 0.55 (0.37 to 0.81) | 0.003 | 0.41 (0.23 to 0.74) | 0.003 |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: maternal age at delivery, maternal body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), smoking during pregnancy (binary), parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), maternal ethnicity (white or non-white), maternal education (32 weeks’ gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression
Table S12. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and HDP in negative control cohort (n=5,376)

| Maternal outcome | Maternal smoking during pregnancy | Unadjusted model | Adjusted model | Mutually adjusted model |
|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------------|
|                  | Any n (%)                        | None n (%)       | OR* (95%CI)    | p-value | OR*,† (95%CI)    | p-value | OR*,†,§ (95%CI) | p-value |
| HDP – n complete cases | 1,169                            | 4,207            | -              | -       | -              | -       | -              | -       |
| Yes              | 159 (13.6)                       | 797 (18.9)       | 0.67 (0.56 to 0.81) | <0.001  | 0.64 (0.52 to 0.79) | <0.001  | 0.66 (0.53 to 0.81) | <0.001  |
| Gestational hypertension – n complete cases | 1,169                            | 4,207            | -              | -       | -              | -       | -              | -       |
| Yes              | 147 (12.6)                       | 690 (16.4)       | 0.72 (0.59 to 0.87) | 0.001   | 0.70 (0.57 to 0.86) | 0.001   | 0.71 (0.57 to 0.89) | 0.003   |
| Preeclampsia – n complete cases | 1,169                            | 4,207            | -              | -       | -              | -       | -              | -       |
| Yes              | 12 (1.0)                         | 107 (2.5)        | 0.38 (0.21 to 0.69) | 0.002   | 0.30 (0.16 to 0.56) | <0.001  | 0.32 (0.17 to 0.61) | 0.001   |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: maternal age at delivery, maternal body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), alcohol intake during pregnancy (none, low-to-moderate or heavy), parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), maternal ethnicity (white or non-white), maternal education (32 weeks’ gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression
§ Mutually adjusted for covariates plus partner’s smoking during pregnancy

Note: HDP = Hypertension in Pregnancy

CIs are presented in parentheses.
Table S13. Partner’s smoking during pregnancy and maternal HDP in negative control cohort (n=5,376)

| Maternal outcome | Partner’s smoking during pregnancy | Unadjusted model | Adjusted model | Mutually adjusted model |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|
|                  | Any n (%) | None n (%) | OR* (95%CI) | p-value | OR*,† (95%CI) | p-value | OR*,†,§ (95%CI) | p-value |
| HDP – n complete cases | 1,815 | 3,561 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 298 (16.4) | 658 (18.5) | 0.87 (0.75 to 1.01) | 0.062 | 0.86 (0.73 to 1.00) | 0.056 | 0.97 (0.81 to 1.14) | 0.682 |
| Gestational hypertension – n complete cases | 1,815 | 3,561 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 264 (14.6) | 573 (16.1) | 0.88 (0.75 to 1.03) | 0.119 | 0.884 (0.75 to 1.05) | 0.152 | 0.98 (0.82 to 1.17) | 0.779 |
| Preeclampsia – n complete cases | 1,815 | 3,561 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 34 (1.9) | 85 (2.4) | 0.77 (0.51 to 1.14) | 0.193 | 0.67 (0.44 to 1.03) | 0.067 | 0.89 (0.57 to 1.38) | 0.606 |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: partner’s age at delivery, partner’s body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), partner’s alcohol intake during pregnancy (none, low-to-moderate or heavy), parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), partner’s ethnicity (white or non-white), partner’s education (32 weeks’ gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression
§ Mutually adjusted for covariates plus maternal smoking during pregnancy
Table S14. Characteristics of participants stratified by categories of beer intake, excluding those who report any wine intake.

|                              | No alcohol in pregnancy | Low-to-moderate beer intake in pregnancy | Heavy beer intake in pregnancy |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| **Age at delivery**          |                         |                                          |                              |
| Mean, years (SD*)            | 27.7 (4.68)             | 27.6 (4.70)                              | 28.6 (5.1)                  |
| **BMI† (pre-pregnancy)**     |                         |                                          |                              |
| Mean, kg/m² (SD*)            | 23.0 (4.02)             | 23.0 (4.0)                               | 22.8 (3.1)                  |
| **Smoking**                  |                         |                                          |                              |
| Any pre-pregnancy, n (%)     | 705 (29.2)              | 220 (48.0)                               | 111 (57.8)                  |
| Any during pregnancy, n (%)  | 552 (22.9)              | 191 (41.7)                               | 102 (53.1)                  |
| **Parity (18 weeks’ gestation)** |                       |                                          |                              |
| Multiparous, n (%)           | 1,280 (53.0)            | 240 (52.4)                               | 128 (66.7)                  |
| **Ethnicity (32 weeks’ gestation)** |                    |                                          |                              |
| Non-white, n (%)             | 61 (2.5)                | 3 (0.7)                                  | 6 (3.1)                     |
| **Educational attainment (32 weeks’ gestation)** |                  |                                          |                              |
| University degree, n (%)     | 232 (9.6)               | 38 (8.3)                                 | 16 (8.3)                    |
| **Marital status**           |                         |                                          |                              |
| Married, n (%)               | 1,904 (78.8)            | 317 (69.2)                               | 110 (57.3)                  |

* Standard deviation
† Body mass index
Table S15. Characteristics of participants stratified by categories of wine intake, excluding those who report any beer intake.

|                              | No alcohol in pregnancy | Low-to-moderate wine intake in pregnancy | Heavy wine intake in pregnancy |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Age at delivery              | 2,415                   | 1,152                                  | 231                           |
| Mean, years (SD*)            | 27.7 (4.68)             | 29.7 (4.3)                             | 30.9 (4.6)                    |
| BMI† (pre-pregnancy)         |                         |                                        |                               |
| Mean, kg/m² (SD*)            | 23.0 (4.02)             | 22.8 (3.4)                             | 22.6 (3.2)                    |
| Smoking                      |                         |                                        |                               |
| Any pre-pregnancy, n (%)     | 705 (29.2)              | 300 (26.0)                             | 81 (35.1)                     |
| Any during pregnancy, n (%)  | 552 (22.9)              | 215 (18.7)                             | 62 (26.8)                     |
| Parity (18 weeks' gestation) |                         |                                        |                               |
| Multiparous, n (%)           | 1,280 (53.0)            | 642 (55.7)                             | 147 (63.6)                    |
| Ethnicity (32 weeks' gestation) |                       |                                        |                               |
| Non-white, n (%)             | 61 (2.5)                | 14 (1.2)                               | 1 (0.4)                       |
| Educational attainment (32 weeks' gestation) |       |                                        |                               |
| University degree, n (%)     | 232 (9.6)               | 235 (20.4)                             | 58 (25.1)                     |
| Marital status               |                         |                                        |                               |
| Married, n (%)               | 1,904 (78.8)            | 979 (85.0)                             | 192 (83.1)                    |

* Standard deviation
† Body mass index
Table S16. Maternal beer intake during pregnancies and HDP restricted to those with beer and wine data available (n=3,065)

| Maternal outcome | Maternal beer drinking | Unadjusted model | Adjusted model |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
|                  | Heavy n (%) | Low-to-moderate n (%) | None n (%) | OR* (95%CI) | p-value | OR* † (95%CI) | p-value |
| HDP – n complete cases | 192 | 458 | 2,415 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 27 (14.1) | 72 (15.7) | 466 (19.3) | 0.81 (0.68 to 0.96) | 0.017 | 0.89 (0.74 to 1.07) | 0.217 |
| Gestational hypertension – n complete cases | 192 | 458 | 2,415 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 24 (12.5) | 64 (14.0) | 398 (16.5) | 0.83 (0.69 to 1.00) | 0.049 | 0.92 (0.75 to 1.11) | 0.378 |
| Preeclampsia – n complete cases | 192 | 458 | 2,415 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 3 (1.6) | 8 (1.8) | 68 (2.8) | 0.67 (0.41 to 1.08) | 0.102 | 0.73 (0.44 to 1.20) | 0.211 |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: maternal age at delivery, maternal body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), smoking during pregnancy (binary), parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), maternal ethnicity (white or non-white), maternal education (32 weeks' gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression
Table S17. Maternal wine intake during pregnancies and HDP restricted to those with beer and wine data available (n=3,065)

| Maternal outcome | Unadjusted model | Adjusted model |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|
|                  | Heavy n (%)      | Low-to-moderate n (%) | None n (%) | OR* (95%CI) | p-value | OR†, ‡ (95%CI) | p-value |
| HDP – n complete cases | 231 | 1,152 | 2,415 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 29 (12.6) | 170 (14.8) | 466 (19.3) | 0.75 (0.64 to 0.87) | <0.001 | 0.78 (0.67 to 0.92) | 0.003 |
| Gestational hypertension – n complete cases | 231 | 1,152 | 2,415 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 27 (11.7) | 152 (13.2) | 398 (16.5) | 0.78 (0.67 to 0.91) | 0.002 | 0.81 (0.69 to 0.96) | 0.378 |
| Preeclampsia – n complete cases | 231 | 1,152 | 2,415 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 2 (0.9) | 18 (1.6) | 68 (2.8) | 0.53 (0.34 to 0.82) | 0.004 | 0.57 (0.36 to 0.91) | 0.019 |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: maternal age at delivery, maternal body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), smoking during pregnancy (binary), parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), maternal ethnicity (white or non-white), maternal education (32 weeks' gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression
|                        | Wine drinkers in pregnancy | Beer drinkers in pregnancy |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                        | 1,383                     | 650                       |
| **Bingeing***          |                           |                           |
| Yes, n (%)             | 380 (27.5)                | 293 (45.1)                |
| Missing, n (%)         | 10 (0.7)                  | 7 (1.1)                   |
| **Other alcohol intake**† |                         |                           |
| Yes, n (%)             | 67 (4.8)                  | 28 (4.3)                  |
| Missing, n (%)         | 229 (16.6)                | 258 (39.7)                |

* Bingeing defined as drinking 4 or more drinks in one sitting
† Other alcohol intake defined as reporting intake of spirits or "other" alcohol during pregnancy
Table S19. Maternal alcohol intake and HDP excluding those who have reported diabetes, kidney disease or arthritis during pregnancy or a non-singleton pregnancy (n=8,152) – (i) on Figure 4.

| Maternal outcome | Maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy | Unadjusted model | Adjusted model |
|------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
|                  | Heavy n (%) | Low-to-moderate n (%) | None n (%) | OR* (95%CI) | p-value | OR* † (95%CI) | p-value |
| HDP – n complete cases | 1,668 | 4,294 | 1,668 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 212 (12.7) | 693 (16.1) | 411 (18.8) | 0.80 (0.73 to 0.87) | <0.001 | 0.83 (0.76 to 0.91) | <0.001 |
| Gestational hypertension – n complete cases | 1,668 | 4,294 | 1,668 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 188 (11.3) | 621 (14.5) | 357 (16.3) | 0.81 (0.74 to 0.89) | <0.001 | 0.84 (0.76 to 0.93) | <0.001 |
| Preeclampsia – n complete cases | 1,668 | 4,294 | 1,668 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | 24 (1.4) | 72 (1.7) | 54 (2.5) | 0.72 (0.57 to 0.91) | 0.007 | 0.75 (0.59 to 0.96) | 0.021 |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: maternal age at delivery, maternal body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), smoking during pregnancy (binary), parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), maternal ethnicity (white or non-white), maternal education (32 weeks’ gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression
Table S20. Maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy and HDP using a more specific categorical smoking variable (n=8,999) – (ii) on Figure 4.

| Maternal outcome                  | Maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy | Adjusted model (binary smoking during pregnancy) | Adjusted model (categorical smoking during pregnancy) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                                   | Heavy n (%)                              | Low-to-moderate n (%)                             | None n (%)                                      | OR*, † (95%CI) p-value | OR*, † (95%CI) p-value |
| HDP – n complete cases            | 1,888                                   | 4,696                                             | 2,415                                           | -                     | -                     |
| Yes                               | 259 (13.7)                              | 765 (16.3)                                        | 466 (19.3)                                      | 0.85 (0.78 to 0.92)   | <0.001                |
| Gestational hypertension – n complete cases | 1,888                                   | 4,696                                             | 2,415                                           | -                     | -                     |
| Yes                               | 229 (12.1)                              | 681 (14.5)                                        | 398 (16.5)                                      | 0.86 (0.79 to 0.94)   | 0.001                 |
| Preeclampsia – n complete cases   | 1,888                                   | 4,696                                             | 2,415                                           | -                     | -                     |
| Yes                               | 30 (1.6)                                | 84 (1.8)                                          | 68 (2.8)                                        | 0.74 (0.59 to 0.92)   | 0.007                 |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: maternal age at delivery, maternal body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), smoking during pregnancy, parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), maternal ethnicity (white or non-white), maternal education (32 weeks’ gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression
Table S21. Maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy and HDP excluding those who responded after 20 weeks’ gestation (n=6,001) – (iii) on Figure 4.

| Maternal outcome               | Maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy | Unadjusted model | Adjusted model |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
|                                | Heavy \( n \) (%) | Low-to-moderate \( n \) (%) | None \( n \) (%) | OR* (95%CI) | p-value | OR*† (95%CI) | p-value |
| HDP – n complete cases         | 1,123 | 2,887 | 1,991 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes                            | 156 (13.9) | 462 (16.0) | 393 (19.7) | 0.80 (0.73 to 0.89) | <0.001 | 0.83 (0.75 to 0.92) | 0.001 |
| Gestational hypertension – n  | 1,123 | 2,887 | 1,991 | - | - | - | - |
| complete cases                 | Yes | 142 (12.6) | 412 (14.3) | 343 (17.2) | 0.82 (0.74 to 0.91) | <0.001 | 0.85 (0.76 to 0.95) | 0.003 |
| Preeclampsia – n complete cases | 1,123 | 2,887 | 1,991 | - | - | - | - |
| Yes                            | 14 (1.3) | 50 (1.7) | 50 (2.5) | 0.68 (0.51 to 0.89) | 0.005 | 0.72 (0.54 to 0.95) | 0.020 |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: maternal age at delivery, maternal body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), smoking during pregnancy (binary), parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), maternal ethnicity (white or non-white), maternal education (32 weeks’ gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression
Table S22. Maternal alcohol intake and HDP excluding abstainers prior to pregnancy (n=8,450) – (iv) on Figure 4.

| Maternal outcome                  | Maternal alcohol intake during pregnancy | Unadjusted model | Adjusted model |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
|                                   | Heavy n (%) | Low-to-moderate n (%) | None n (%) | OR* (95%CI) | p-value | OR** (95%CI) | p-value |
| HDP – n complete cases            | 1,881 | 4,663 | 1,906 |               |          |          |          |
| Yes                               | 257 (13.7) | 763 (16.4) | 375 (19.7) | 0.80 (0.74 to 0.88) | <0.001  | 0.84 (0.77 to 0.92) | <0.001 |
| Gestational hypertension – n complete cases | 1,881 | 4,663 | 1,906 |               |          |          |          |
| Yes                               | 227 (12.1) | 679 (14.6) | 321 (16.8) | 0.82 (0.75 to 0.90) | <0.001  | 0.86 (0.78 to 0.94) | 0.001 |
| Preeclampsia – n complete cases   | 1,881 | 4,663 | 1,906 |               |          |          |          |
| Yes                               | 30 (1.6) | 84 (1.8) | 54 (2.8) | 0.70 (0.56 to 0.89) | 0.003   | 0.76 (0.60 to 0.95) | 0.019 |

* Odds ratio generated using logistic regression
† Adjusted for covariates: maternal age at delivery, maternal body mass index (pre-pregnancy), pre-pregnancy smoking (binary), smoking during pregnancy (binary), parity (0, 1, 2 or ≥3), maternal ethnicity (white or non-white), maternal education (32 weeks’ gestation) and marital status
‡ Relative risk ratio generated by multinomial logistic regression