Comprehensive analysis of long non-coding RNA using an associated competitive endogenous RNA network in Wilms tumor
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Abstract. Wilms tumor (WT) is the most common malignant renal neoplasm in children; however, the underlying molecular mechanisms are not well understood. According to the competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) theory, long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) can regulate the expression of target genes by adsorbing microRNAs (miRNAs/miRs). However, the role of lncRNAs in WT has not been fully elucidated. The aim of the present study was to construct a ceRNA network to identify the potential lncRNAs involved in WT. The expression profiles of lncRNAs, miRNAs and mRNAs in 120 WT and six normal tissues were obtained from the Therapeutically applicable research to Generate effective Treatments database. A total of 442 lncRNAs, 214 miRNAs and 4,912 mRNAs were identified as differentially expressed in WT and were enriched in 472 Gene ontology terms (355 biological processes, 89 cellular components and 29 molecular functions) and 18 Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathways. A lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA network of WT consisting of 32 lncRNAs, 14 miRNAs and 158 mRNAs was constructed, based on the bioinformatics analysis of the miR target prediction database and the miRNACode, miRTarBase and TargetScan databases. Subsequently, three lncRNAs, three miRNAs and 17 mRNAs, which had a significant effect on the overall survival rate of patients with WT, were identified based on the survival analysis. The three lncRNAs were also differentially expressed in the late and early stages of WT and were validated using the GSE66405 dataset obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus database. In conclusion, the present study generated a specific lncRNA-related ceRNA network of WT, which may provide a novel perspective on the molecular mechanisms underlying the progression and prognosis of the disease.

Introduction

Renal tumors are the second most common abdominal tumor in infants and children. Different types of renal tumor have distinct histological features, and Wilms tumor (WT) is the most frequently occurring type, accounting for ~95% of pediatric renal tumors in the United States (1). A total of seven in one million American children <15 years of age are affected by WT annually (2). In the past few decades, the prognosis of patients with WT has significantly improved. According to a statistic published in 2018, the overall 5-year survival rate is >90% (3). Nevertheless, the main therapeutic regimen for WT is still surgery combined with radiotherapy and chemotherapy (4). However, children who receive high-intensity radiotherapy and chemotherapy are more likely to experience complications, including renal impairment, cardiotoxicity, hepatotoxicity, delayed growth, infertility and secondary malignancies (5-9). Surgical resection also has a number of limitations, such as bilateral tumors, tumor in a solitary kidney and extensive pulmonary metastases (10). Therefore, understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying the progression of WT and developing molecular-targeted therapies are required.

Only 2% of the human genome comprises protein-coding genes (11), while the majority is transcribed into non-coding RNAs, including long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) and microRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) (12). lncRNAs range in length from 200 nucleotides to 100 kb (13). An increasing number of studies have reported that lncRNAs are involved in the biological behaviors of tumor cells, including proliferation, apoptosis, the cell cycle, invasion and migration (14-18). According to the theory of competitive endogenous RNA (ceRNA), lncRNAs act as a sponge for miRNAs, weakening the effects of miRNAs on mRNAs (19). Several other studies have confirmed this hypothesis and constructed ceRNA networks for various types of cancer (20-22). However, there is currently limited research on lncRNA-mediated ceRNA and the role of lncRNAs in the prognosis of patients with WT (23-26). Therefore, the construction of a ceRNA network could aid in the discovery of novel molecular targets and the development of therapeutic strategies to improve the prognosis of patients with WT.

The aim of the present study was to construct a ceRNA network to identify potential lncRNAs involved in WT. The...
expression profiles of IncRNAs, miRNAs and mRNAs were obtained from the Therapeutically Applicable Research to Generate Effective Treatments (TARGET) database. Furthermore, a IncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA network of WT was constructed by integrated analysis. Additionally, the prognostic value of IncRNAs in the ceRNA network was analyzed.

Materials and methods

Data collection and processing. RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) data of 131 samples, miRNA sequencing (miRNA-Seq) data of 138 samples and clinical prognostic information of 655 samples were retrieved from the TARGET database via the Genomic Data Commons Data Portal (portal.gdc.cancer.gov). The inclusion criteria were as follows: i) Tissue samples with RNA-Seq data, miRNA-Seq data and clinical prognostic information; and ii) samples from primary tumors. A total of 120 primary tumor tissues and six adjacent normal kidney tissues were included in the present study. Perl (version 5.28.0; https://www.perl.org/) and R software (version 3.6.0; https://www.r-project.org/) were used for data processing. Differences in the expression of genes between the two groups were analyzed using the principal component analysis (PCA) tool (version 1.2.0; https://github.com/kevinblighe/PCATools) for R. Data used for validating the results were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) dataset GSE66405 (27), which consisted of 28 tumor and four adjacent normal kidney tissues. A flowchart illustrating the generation of the ceRNA network is presented in Fig. S1.

Identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs). After data normalization, the ‘edgeR’ package (version 3.24.3) for R (28), with the limma method (version 3.38.3) (29), were used to identify the differentially expressed IncRNAs (DELncRNAs), mRNAs (DEmRNAs) and miRNAs (DEmiRNAs) between the WT and normal tissues. The cut-off value for DEGs was |log2 fold-change (FC)|>1 with a false discovery rate (FDR) of <0.05. The DELncRNAs, DEmRNAs and DEmiRNAs were visualized by volcano maps and heat maps using the ‘ggplot2’ (version 3.2.0; https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org/) and ‘pheatmap’ (version 1.10.1; https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html) R packages.

Functional and pathway enrichment analyses. To further understand the molecular mechanisms of WT, the ‘clusterProfiler’ (version 3.10.1) R package (30) was used to analyze the ‘clusterProfiler’ R package. The results suggested that terms are presented in Table II and Fig. 3, and the significantly enriched biological processes (355 biological processes, 89 cell components and 18 KEGG pathways) were investigated using the ‘clusterProfiler’ R package. The significantly enriched KEGG pathways are presented in Table III and Fig. 4.

Construction of the ceRNA network. The mircode (version 11; www.mircode.org) database was used to predict interactions between DElncRNAs and DEmiRNAs. Then, the miRNA target prediction database miRDB (version 6.0; www.mirdb.org) and TargetScan (release 7.2; www.targetscan.org) and miRTarBase (release 7.0; mirtarbase.mbc.nctu.edu.tw) databases were used to predict the target mRNAs of the DEmiRNAs. Only mRNAs present in all three databases were considered as candidate mRNAs that interacted with DEmiRNAs. The overlapping mRNAs were considered to be target mRNAs of the DEmiRNAs. The analysis was carried out using Perl. Finally, the DElncRNA-DEmiRNA-DEmRNA network was constructed and visualized using Cytoscape software (version 3.6.1; https://cytoscape.org/).

Survival analysis. The ‘survival’ package in R was used to analyze DElncRNAs, DEmiRNAs and DEmRNAs associated with the prognosis of WT. All samples were divided into high or low expression groups, according to the median RNA expression level. The Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test were used to generate and compare survival curves. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Furthermore, Pearson correlation analyses by R software (version 3.6.0; https://www.r-project.org/) were performed to examine the correlation between the expression of the DELncRNAs and the corresponding DEmiRNAs in the ceRNA network.

Validation of DElncRNAs. The DELncRNAs were compared according to the National Wilms Tumor Study staging system (4). In addition, the expression profiles of the DELncRNAs were extracted from the GSE66405 gene expression matrix (27). The DElncRNA expression profiles in the tumor and normal groups were compared using an unpaired Student's t-test. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software (version 8.0; GraphPad Software, Inc.).

Results

Identification of the DElncRNAs, DEmiRNAs and DEmRNAs. The present study included 120 primary tumor tissues and six adjacent normal kidney tissues. The clinical features of the patients are presented in Table I. The RNA-seq (mRNAs and IncRNAs) and miRNAs in the two groups were expressed in two clear groups in the PCA plot (Fig. 1). Subsequently, 442 DElncRNAs (234 upregulated and 208 downregulated; Fig. 2A and B), 214 DEmiRNAs (120 upregulated and 94 downregulated; Fig. 2C and D) and 4,912 DEmRNAs (2056 upregulated and 2856 downregulated; Fig. 2E and F) between the WT and normal tissues were identified according to the cut-off criteria (|log2FC|>1; FDR <0.05).

Functional and pathway enrichment analyses. The functions and pathways of the 4,912 DEmRNAs were investigated using the ‘clusterProfiler’ R package. The results suggested that 473 GO terms (355 biological processes, 89 cell components and 29 molecular functions) and 18 KEGG pathways were significantly enriched in the DEmRNAs (Tables SI and SII). The top 20 significantly enriched biological processes of the GO terms are presented in Table II and Fig. 3, and the significantly enriched KEGG pathways are presented in Table III and Fig. 4.
the DEMiRNAs to identify 16 miRNAs that were regulated in an opposing manner to the 33 corresponding DELncRNAs. Subsequently, 158 target genes of the 14 miRNAs were predicted using the miRDB, TargetScan and mirTarBase databases. These 158 target genes were identified as DEMRNAs. Thus, 32 DELncRNAs, 14 DEMiRNAs and 158 DEMRNAs were included in the cRNA network generated by Cytoscape software (Fig. 5).

Survival analysis. To further understand the effect of DELncRNAs, DEMiRNAs and DEMRNAs on the prognosis of WT, Kaplan-Meier curves of the 32 DELncRNAs, 14 DEMiRNAs and 158 DEMRNAs were analyzed for overall survival rate (OS). The results suggested that three DELncRNAs, three DEMiRNAs and 17 DEMRNAs were significantly associated with the OS (P<0.05). Of the three DELncRNAs, MYCN opposite strand (MYCNOS) and deleted in lymphocytic leukemia 2 (DLEU2) were negatively associated with the OS (P=1.178x10^{-2}, P=1.444x10^{-2}), while chromosome 8 open reading frame 31 (C8orf31) was positively associated (P=2.357x10^{-2}) with the OS (Fig. 6A-C). All three of the DEMiRNAs (hsa-miR-135a-5p, hsa-miR-363-3p and hsa-miR-125b-5p) were positively associated (P=2.903x10^{-4}, P=1.097x10^{-2}, P=3.008x10^{-2}, respectively) with the OS (Fig. 6D-F). The expression profile of the three DELncRNAs and the clinical features of the 120 patients with WT are presented in Fig. 6G. Among the 17 significant DEMRNAs (data not presented), five mRNAs [family with sequence similarity 102 member A (FAM102A), forhead box P4 (FOXP4), WT1 transcription factor (WT1), tripartite motif (TRIM) containing TRIM36 and TRIM71] were negatively associated (P=2.453x10^{-2}, P=4.4968x10^{-2}, P=4.271x10^{-2}, P=3.403x10^{-2}) with the OS, whereas the other 12 mRNAs [fibrillin 2 (FBN2), glucosamine (N-acetyl)-6-sulfatase (GNS), Kruppel like factor 10 (KLF10), LIM domain and actin binding 1 (LIMA1), protein phosphatase 1 regulatory subunit 3B (PPP1R3B), pleckstrin and Sec7 domain containing 3 (PSD3), STAT3, STAT6, transmembrane protein (TMEM)127, UDP-glucuronate decarboxylase 1 (UXS1), very low density lipoprotein receptor (VLDLR) and zinc finger and BTB domain containing 4 (ZBTB4) were positively associated (P=2.287x10^{-2}, P=3.143x10^{-2}, P=1.635x10^{-2}, P=4.366x10^{-3}, P=3.102x10^{-2}, P=1.148x10^{-2}, P=4.057x10^{-2}, P=3.406x10^{-2}, P=2.462x10^{-2}, P=2.985x10^{-2}, P=4.977x10^{-2}, P=4.012x10^{-2}) with the OS. Moreover, a correlation analysis was performed between the three DELncRNAs and the 17 DEMRNAs associated with OS. The significantly correlated DELncRNAs and DEMRNAs are presented in Fig. 7. Considering that the three DELncRNAs displayed an association with the survival of patients with WT, univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses of OS were performed with the three DELncRNAs and the clinical features (Table IV). The univariate analysis suggested that ethnicity, stage and MYCNOS expression significantly affected the OS of patients with WT (P<0.05; Table IV). The multivariate analysis suggested that stage and MYCNOS expression were independent prognostic indicators in patients with WT (P<0.05; Table IV).

Table I. Clinical characteristics of patients with Wilms tumor in the Therapeutically Applicable Research to Generate Effective Treatments database.

| Characteristic | Number (%) |
|----------------|------------|
| Sex            |            |
| Male           | 53 (44.2)  |
| Female         | 67 (55.8)  |
| Ethnicity      |            |
| Black          | 19 (15.8)  |
| White          | 89 (74.2)  |
| Other          | 5 (4.2)    |
| Not reported   | 7 (5.8)    |
| Age at diagnosis |       |
| <24 months     | 16 (13.3)  |
| >24 months     | 104 (86.7) |
| Histology      |            |
| DAWT           | 40 (33.3)  |
| FHWT           | 80 (66.7)  |
| NMTS stage     |            |
| I              | 15 (12.5)  |
| II             | 48 (40.0)  |
| III            | 44 (36.7)  |
| IV             | 12 (10.0)  |
| V              | 1 (0.8)    |
| Progression or relapse | |
| Yes            | 95 (79.2)  |
| No             | 25 (20.8)  |

FHWT, favorable histology Wilms tumor; DAWT, diffuse anaplastic histology Wilms tumor; NMTS, National Wilms Tumor Study.
Validation of DElncRNAs. The favorable histology WTs in the TARGET database cohort (Table I) of the present study were obtained from patients with relapse, and therefore, only the differences in the expression of the three lncRNAs in the late and early stages of WT were compared. The results suggested that MYCNOS and DELU2 were highly expressed ($P=2.98 \times 10^{-2}$, $P=9.0 \times 10^{-4}$) in the late stages of WT, whereas C8orf31 was highly expressed ($P=4.9 \times 10^{-3}$) in the early stages of WT (Fig. 8A-C). To validate that the three lncRNAs were also differentially expressed in other datasets, the GS:66405 dataset from GEO was investigated. DELU2 and C8orf31 were differentially expressed in tumor and normal tissues in the GS:66405 dataset ($P=1.25 \times 10^{-2}$, $P<1.0 \times 10^{-4}$; Fig. 8E and F). Additionally, the expression of MYCNOS in the tumor tissues was higher than that in the normal tissues, but this difference was not statistically significant ($P=1.71 \times 10^{-1}$; Fig. 8D).

Discussion

WT presents high morbidity and mortality in children; although the overall 5-year survival rate for patients with WT has improved from 20% in the 1960s to >90% in the 2000s (3,4), the current treatments for WT, including surgery, chemotherapy and radiation, still have limitations and complications, including death (31,32). A study involving 6,185 patients with WT reported that the risk of death in WT survivors remained high several years after the original diagnosis (33). Therefore, the identification of novel key molecules...
as targets for biotherapy would be beneficial for improving the current status of WT treatment.

Recently, lncRNAs have gained increasing attention (14). Different IncRNAs influence several cellular processes,

Table II. Top 20 enriched biological processes of GO analysis in Wilms tumor.

| ID          | GO term                               | Count | P-value      |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------|--------------|
| GO:0006261  | DNA-dependent DNA replication         | 80    | 3.73x10^{-13}|
| GO:0006260  | DNA replication                       | 129   | 1.55x10^{-12}|
| GO:0007059  | Chromosome segregation                | 150   | 2.25x10^{-12}|
| GO:000819   | Sister chromatid segregation          | 109   | 2.25x10^{-12}|
| GO:0140014  | Mitotic nuclear division              | 117   | 2.52x10^{-10}|
| GO:0098813  | Nuclear chromosome segregation        | 129   | 3.17x10^{-10}|
| GO:007062   | Sister chromatid cohesion             | 67    | 3.99x10^{-9}  |
| GO:0000700  | Mitotic sister chromatid segregation  | 71    | 7.23x10^{-9}  |
| GO:000280   | Nuclear division                      | 153   | 3.08x10^{-7}  |
| GO:001822   | Kidney development                    | 106   | 3.08x10^{-7}  |
| GO:0072001  | Renal system development              | 110   | 4.20x10^{-7}  |
| GO:006270   | DNA replication initiation            | 28    | 4.20x10^{-7}  |
| GO:0044786  | Cell cycle DNA replication            | 35    | 4.73x10^{-7}  |
| GO:0051052  | Regulation of DNA metabolic process   | 145   | 7.00x10^{-7}  |
| GO:0033260  | Nuclear DNA replication               | 31    | 1.02x10^{-6}  |
| GO:0001655  | Urogenital system development         | 118   | 1.78x10^{-6}  |
| GO:0072073  | Kidney epithelium development         | 63    | 2.58x10^{-6}  |
| GO:0071897  | DNA biosynthetic process              | 83    | 4.43x10^{-6}  |
| GO:0051983  | Regulation of chromosome segregation  | 47    | 5.12x10^{-6}  |
| GO:006338   | Chromatin remodeling                  | 76    | 6.51x10^{-6}  |

GO, Gene Ontology.

Figure 3. Top 20 significantly enriched BPs of the GO analysis of Wilms tumor-related genes. BP, biological processes; GO, Gene Ontology.
such as development and development, antiviral response and gene imprinting, but the specific function of the majority of lncRNAs is still unknown (34,35). Numerous theories and hypotheses have been proposed to explain how lncRNAs regulate the biological behavior of tumor cells (34,36). The ceRNA hypothesis (19), which states that IncRNAs regulate mRNAs by competing for miRNAs, has been proven (20,37-39). A number of previous studies have investigated ceRNAs in other pediatric malignant tumors (40,41), but there are only a few studies on the role of ceRNAs in WT (23-26). To further understand how lncRNA-related ceRNA networks affect WT, the present

### Table III. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes in Wilms tumor.

| ID     | Description                                           | Count | P-value     |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| hsa03030 | DNA replication                                        | 25    | 5.74x10^{-6} |
| hsa05166 | Human T-cell leukemia virus 1 infection               | 101   | 9.80x10^{-6} |
| hsa04110 | Cell cycle                                            | 56    | 4.65x10^{-5} |
| hsa04142 | Lysosome                                              | 54    | 1.65x10^{-4} |
| hsa04514 | Cell adhesion molecules (CAMs)                        | 59    | 6.57x10^{-4} |
| hsa04218 | Cellular senescence                                  | 63    | 1.25x10^{-3} |
| hsa04115 | p53 signaling pathway                                | 33    | 3.19x10^{-3} |
| hsa04015 | Rap1 signaling pathway                                | 75    | 3.63x10^{-3} |
| hsa04010 | MAPK signaling pathway                                | 101   | 3.63x10^{-3} |
| hsa05145 | Toxoplasmosis                                         | 46    | 3.63x10^{-3} |
| hsa05120 | Epithelial cell signaling in *Helicobacter pylori* infection | 31    | 3.74x10^{-3} |
| hsa04360 | Axon guidance                                         | 65    | 3.74x10^{-3} |
| hsa03410 | Base excision repair                                  | 18    | 5.35x10^{-3} |
| hsa01040 | Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids               | 14    | 5.40x10^{-3} |
| hsa04659 | Th17 cell differentiation                             | 43    | 5.41x10^{-3} |
| hsa04714 | Thermogenesis                                         | 80    | 5.41x10^{-3} |
| hsa04658 | Th1 and Th2 cell differentiation                      | 38    | 5.77x10^{-3} |
| hsa04670 | Leukocyte transendothelial migration                  | 44    | 7.20x10^{-3} |

Figure 4. Significantly enriched KEGG pathways in Wilms tumor-related genes. KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.
In the present study, 442 lncRNAs, 214 miRNAs and 4,912 mRNAs were identified as DEGs between normal and WT tissues. Moreover, functional analysis of the differentially expressed mRNAs (deMRs) was performed to determine their potential biological mechanisms. The GO enrichment analysis indicated that ‘DNA-dependent DNA replication’, ‘DNA replication’, ‘chromosome segregation’, ‘sister chromatid segregation’, ‘mitotic nuclear division’, ‘nuclear chromosome segregation’, ‘sister chromatid cohesion’, ‘mitotic sister chromatid segregation’, ‘nuclear division’ and ‘kidney development’ were the main biological processes of the deMRs associated with WT. The majority of the identified biological processes were associated with cell mitosis and kidney development, which are closely associated with the occurrence and development of renal tumors (42,43). The KEGG pathway enrichment analysis indicated that the majority of DEmRNAs were enriched in ‘DNA replication’, ‘human T-cell leukemia virus 1 infection’, ‘cell cycle’, ‘lysosome’, ‘cell adhesion molecules’, ‘cellular senescence’, ‘p53 signaling pathway’, ‘Rap1 signaling pathway’, ‘MAPK signaling pathway’ and ‘toxoplasmosis’, with ‘MAPK signaling pathway’ displaying the highest level of significance.

A recent study suggested that the mitogen-activated protein kinase/ERK signaling pathway plays a role in WT (44).

### Table IV. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of overall survival in the TARGET.

| Variable                        | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                 | P-value  | HR     | 95% CI     | P-value  | HR     | 95% CI     |
| Sex (male vs. female)           | 0.0550   | 1.7240 | 0.9880-3.0090 | 0.0710   | 1.7490 | 0.9530-3.2090 |
| Ethnicity (black vs. white)     | 0.0380   | 2.0110 | 1.0410-3.8870 | 0.1590   | 1.6450 | 0.8230-3.2890 |
| Age (>2 vs. <2 years)           | 0.2070   | 1.8140 | 0.7200-4.5760 | 0.7330   | 1.2050 | 0.4130-3.5130 |
| NMTS Stage (III-V vs. I-II)     | <0.0001  | 3.3020 | 1.8190-5.9940 | 0.0030   | 2.8570 | 1.4370-5.6840 |
| Histology (DAWT vs. FHWT)       | 0.8380   | 1.0640 | 0.5870-1.9280 | 0.9350   | 0.9680 | 0.4470-2.0990 |
| MYCNOS                          | 0.0030   | 1.4510 | 1.1330-1.8570 | 0.0420   | 1.3550 | 1.1060-1.8150 |
| DLEU2                           | 0.0550   | 1.3500 | 0.9940-1.8360 | 0.8560   | 1.0600 | 0.7220-1.4840 |
| C8orf31                         | 0.0500   | 0.7580 | 0.5740-1.0010 | 0.7590   | 0.9530 | 0.6980-1.2990 |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; NMTS, National Wilms Tumor Study; DAWT, diffuse anaplastic histology Wilms tumor; FHWT, favorable histology Wilms tumor; MYCNOS, MYCN opposite strand; DLEU2, deleted in lymphocytic leukemia 2; C8orf31, chromosome 8 open reading frame 31.

Study analyzed large-scale sequencing data of WT tissues from the TARGET database.

Figure 5. ceRNA network of the lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA interactions in Wilms tumor. Regular triangles and inverted triangles represent upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively. Red nodes represent lncRNAs, blue nodes represent miRNAs and green nodes represent mRNAs. ceRNA, competing endogenous RNA; lncRNA, long non-coding RNA; miRNA, microRNA; WT, Wilms tumor.
miRNAs may be even more complex (45,46). Furthermore, three DElncRNAs (MYCNOS, DLEU2 and C8orf31), three DEmiRNAs (hsa-miR-125b-5p, hsa-miR-135a-5p and hsa-miR-125b-5p) and 17 DEMRNAs (WT1, FAM102A, FOXP4, TRIM36, TRIM71, FBN2, GNS, KLF10, LIMA1, PPIR3B, PSD3, STAT3, STAT6, TMEM127, UXS1, VLDLR and ZBTB4) were significantly associated with OS. MYCNOS is transcribed in the anti-sense strand across exon1 and intron1 from MYCN (47). The gene is highly expressed in small cell lung cancer, MYCN-amplified rhabdomyosarcoma and neuroblastoma (48,49). Zhao et al (50) reported that MYCNOS cooperated with CTCF-binding factor to promote the proliferation, invasion and metastasis of neuroblastoma cells in vitro and in vivo. In the present study, MYCNOS was upregulated and associated with poor OS in the TARGET dataset. However, in the GSE66405 dataset, the difference in MYCNOS expression was not statistically significant between the tumor and normal tissues. This difference between results might be due to the small sample size of the present study. DLEU2 has been reported to affect the biological behaviors of multiple types of cancer, such as laryngeal carcinoma and leukemia (51,52). Xie et al (51) reported that DLEU2 can induce the proliferation, migration and invasion of laryngeal carcinoma cells via miR-16-1. The present study also suggested that C8orf31, in the ceRNA network, was highly expressed in WT tissues. However, the high expression of C8orf31 was detected only in early stage tumors and observed in patients with improved survival. This suggested that the upregulation of C8orf31

Figure 6. Survival analysis and expression profiles of the three DElncRNAs. Kaplan-Meier survival curves of the three DElncRNAs: (A) MYCNOS, (B) DLEU2 and (C) C8orf31, and the three DEmiRNAs: (D) hsa-miR-125b-5p, (E) hsa-miR-135a-5p and (F) hsa-miR-363-3p, associated with overall survival in WT. (G) Expression profile of the three DElncRNAs and the clinical features of the 120 patients with WT. DE, differentially expressed; lncRNA, long non-coding RNA; MYCNOS, MYCN opposite strand; DLEU2, deleted in lymphocytic leukemia 2; C8orf31, chromosome 8 open reading frame 31; miRNA, microRNA; miR, microRNA; WT, Wilms tumor; DAWT, diffuse anaplastic histology WT; FHWT, favorable histology WT.
may be a protective mechanism in WT. As the malignancy of the tumor increased, the expression of C8orf31 decreased. However, based on the present results also a correlation between these factors can be determined, and not a causative
effect. Thus, further investigation is required to identify the function of C8orf31 in WT and other tumors.

miRNAs can regulate various target genes, playing an important role in the development of cancer (53,54). In the present study, three miRNAs (hsa-miR-135a-3p, hsa-miR-363-3p and hsa-miR-125b-5p) were identified in the ceRNA network and were positively associated with OS. Previous studies have reported that the three miRNAs act as tumor suppressors in a number of types of cancer (55-61). Fukagawa et al (56) reported that the overexpression of miR-135a-3p enhanced ovarian cancer cell sensitivity to chemotherapy drugs and suppressed proliferation. Li et al (61) reported that miR-363-3p was downregulated in renal cell carcinoma and reduced the inhibition of the oncogenic cyclic adenosine monophosphate responsive element binding protein 1. miR-125b-5p can inhibit γδ T cell activity, promote γδ T cell apoptosis and subsequently suppress the immune response to infections and tumorigenesis (62). Additionally, 17 DEMiRNAs in the ceRNA network were identified and were significantly related to the OS rate, including WT1, which is closely related to the development of WT (63). Certain well-known genes, including FOXP4 and STAT3, play a role in tumorigenesis but not in WT, and certain less reported genes, including FAM102a and FBn2, require further investigation (64,65). In the present study, MYCNOS and TRIM71 displayed the highest linear correlation. A number of previous studies have demonstrated that high levels of TRIM71, also known as LIN14, are associated with hepatocellular carcinoma (66) and myxoid liposarcoma (67), while another study reported that TRIM71 inhibited tumorigenesis via the regulation of the Lin28B-let-7-high mobility group AT-hook 2 signaling pathway in colorectal carcinoma cells and non-small cell lung cancer cells (68). Therefore, further investigation is required to identify the roles of various genes and molecular mechanisms underlying WT. Although the results of the present study were validated using another dataset, a potential limitation of the present study was the small sample size, therefore further investigation using larger sample sizes is required.

To conclude, the present study investigated the expression of IncRNAs, miRNAs and mRNAs specific to WT and their potential functions. A ceRNA network was constructed to provide a novel insight into the role of IncRNAs in the development of WT. The three IncRNAs identified in the ceRNA network may aid in the prognosis of WT and serve as potential targets for clinical therapy.
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