Elucidation of the Activation Pathways of ScyA1/ScyR1, an Aco/ArpA-Like System That Regulates the Expression of Nemadectin and Other Secondary Metabolic Biosynthetic Genes

Hui Liu1,2†, Yanyan Zhang2,4†, Shanshan Li2, Jiabin Wang2, Xiangjing Wang1 and Wensheng Xiang1,2*

1 School of Life Sciences, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin, China, 2 State Key Laboratory for Biology of Plant Diseases and Insect Pests, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China

The quorum-sensing system, consisting of an autoregulator synthase (AfsA or Aco homolog) and an autoregulator receptor (ArpA homolog), has been reported to be universally involved in regulating secondary metabolism in streptomycetes. Although the autoregulator synthase is thought to activate antibiotic production, the activation pathway remains poorly understood. *Streptomyces cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1 produces nemadectin, which is widely used as a biopesticide and veterinary drug due to its potent nematocidal activity. Here, we identified the Aco/ArpA-like system ScyA1/ScyR1, the ArpA homolog ScyR2 and the AfsA/ArpA-like system ScyA3/ScyR3 as important regulators of nemadectin production in NMWT1. Genetic experiments revealed that these five genes positively regulate nemadectin production, with scyA1 and scyR1 having the most potent effects. Importantly, ScyA1 is an upstream regulator of scyR1 and promotes nemadectin production and sporulation by activating scyR1 transcription. Intriguingly, scyR1 silencing in NMWT1 up-regulated 12 of the 17 secondary metabolite biosynthetic core genes present in the NMWT1 genome, suggesting that ScyR1 mainly to be a repressor of secondary metabolism. In conclusion, our findings unveiled the regulatory pathways adopted by the quorum-sensing system, and provided the basis for a method to enhance antibiotic production and to activate the expression of cryptic biosynthetic gene clusters.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Streptomyces* species produce various bioactive compounds with diverse effects, including immunosuppressive, antibacterial, antiviral, antitumor, insecticidal, and antiparasitic effects. Secondary metabolites from *Streptomyces* are widely used in veterinary, agricultural and medical areas (Liu et al., 2013; Guan et al., 2019). Notably, approximately 70% of the commercially...
available antibiotics are derived from Streptomyces (Kitani et al., 2011). The genome of Streptomyces also contains numerous secondary metabolite gene clusters that are cryptic or expressed at low levels (Liu et al., 2013). Despite their low expression, these silent gene clusters are critical for natural product discovery. The expression of secondary metabolite gene clusters is stringently controlled by complex cellular regulatory network, in which regulatory proteins of different families coordinate with environmental and physiological cues (Zhou et al., 2020). The quorum-sensing (QS) system components, including autoregulator synthase (AfsA or Aco homolog) and autoregulator receptor (ArpA homolog), have been identified as important regulators of secondary metabolism and development in most streptomycetes (Kitani et al., 2011; Niu et al., 2016). Thus far, 36 autoregulators have been identified, which based on their structure can be classified into five groups: γ-butyrolactones (GBLs), butenolides, furans, PI factors, and N-methylphénylalanyl-dehydrobutyrine diketopiperazine (Niu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018). However, only two types of autoregulator syntheses have been identified, the AfsA-like proteins that produce GBLs, furans, or butenolides, as well as Aco-like syntheses that produce butenolides (Kitani et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2018). The majority of autoregulator receptors are ArpA-like proteins of TetR family. Therefore, based on the synthase type, QS systems can be classified into AfsA/ArpA-type and Aco/ArpA-type.

In most cases, the autoregulator synthase is essential to initiate antibiotic production (Zou et al., 2014). Thus, deciphering the pathway it activates secondary metabolism, particularly the mechanisms through which it cooperates with the autoregulator receptor to control production of secondary metabolites has become one of the main parts in the research of natural products biosynthesis. To date, the reported well-known activation way is that, with the accumulation of autoregulators produced by the synthase, autoregulators of sufficient concentration bind to the cognate receptor ArpA, release the repression of ArpA to its targets, and activate the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites through a regulatory cascade (Niu et al., 2016). This regulatory means has been perfectly interpreted in Streptomyces griseus, Streptomyces ansochromogenes, and Streptomyces avermitilis. In S. griseus, A-factor is essential for removing the inhibition of ArpA on the transcription of adpA, whose protein product then activates strR transcription, initiating streptomycin biosynthesis (Horinouchi, 2007). Similarly, in S. ansochromogenes, The SAB signals, produced by the autoregulator synthase SabA, dissociate SabR1 from cprC promoter, activating the CprC/AdpA/SanG pathway and nikkomycin production (Wang et al., 2018). In Streptomyces avermitilis, the avenolide-like autoregulator synthase Aco is required for avermectin production; both AvaR1 and AvaR2 are the receptors of avenolide and the direct repressors of avermectin production; the avenolides could relieve the direct repression of AvaR1 and AvaR2 in control of avermectin production (Kitani et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2016, 2017). However, this activation mechanism might only be one of the diverse strategies by which autoregulator synthase triggers antibiotic production. A report by Zou and coworkers showed that the autoregulator syntheses (jadW1/W2/W3) are essential for jadomycin production, the autoregulator receptor JdR3 has also been shown to be an activator of jadomycin biosynthesis; thus, the mechanisms by which JdW1/W2/W3 promotes jadomycin production remain unknown (Zou et al., 2014).

Nemadectin, a 16-membered macrolide antibiotic produced by Streptomyces cyaneogriseus ssp. noncyanogenus, is mainly used for the semi-synthesis of moxidectin (Li C. et al., 2019), a methoxime derivative of nemadectin. Moxidectin is often used as a veterinary drug to eliminate nematodes and external parasites and has been proposed as a treatment for human scabies (Mounsey et al., 2017). Since 2018, moxidectin is also used to treat river blindness caused by Onchocerca volvulus in patients ≥12 years old. Given the great commercial value of nemadectin and moxidectin, the development of methods to increase production yield and reduce production cost is of high importance. Additionally, elucidating the regulatory networks underlying antibiotic biosynthesis will facilitate the genetic engineering of Streptomyces to generate novel high-producing strains (Li D. et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020). However, the genetic regulators controlling nemadectin biosynthesis remain largely unknown except for one confirmed cluster-activated autoregulator NemR (Li C. et al., 2019), hindering the rational design of nemadectin hyper-producer strains using regulator-based strategies.

In this study, we investigated the regulatory role of QS systems in nemadectin biosynthesis in S. cyaneogriseus. We found that the aco/arpA-like system (TU94_985/TU94_975, hereafter referred to as scyA1/scyR1), the putative arpa homolog (TU94_3165, scyR2), and the afsA/arpA-like system (TU94_11455/TU94_11460, scyA3/scyR3) promoted nemadectin production. In particular, scyA1 and scyR1 strongly affected morphological differentiation and nemadectin production in S. cyaneogriseus. We also found that ScyA1 was essential for scyR1 transcription, thereby promoting sporulation and nemadectin production. Additionally, ScyA1 activated the expression of many other secondary metabolite biosynthetic core genes, whereas ScyR1 had the opposite effect. Importantly, scyR1 silencing enhanced the expression of numerous biosynthetic core genes. These data provide further insight into the role of the QS system in secondary metabolism in S. cyaneogriseus and provide new ways to increase antibiotic production and to activate the silent biosynthetic gene clusters.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Strains, Plasmids and Growth Conditions

All strains and plasmids used in this study are summarized in **Supplementary Table 1**. The nemadectin producer Streptomyces cyaneogriseus ssp. noncyanogenus NMWT1 has been deposited at Agricultural Research Service Culture Collection (accession No. NRRL 15773). Escherichia coli was cultured in Luria Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with antibiotics as required at 37°C. S. cyaneogriseus ssp. noncyanogenus NMWT1 and its derivatives were grown on ISP3 agar medium with/without apramycin at
37°C for sporulation. Flask fermentation of *S. cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* strains was performed as described previously (Li C. et al., 2019).

**Primers**

All primers used in this study are summarized in Supplementary Table 2.

**Gene Deletion, Complementation and Overexpression**

Deletion experiments of *scyA1*, *scyR1*, *scyR2*, *scyA3*, and *scyR3* were performed individually in *S. cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1 by the CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome-editing system (Huang et al., 2015). Briefly, the five target-specific guide RNAs (*scyA1*-sgRNA, *scyR1*-sgRNA, *scyR2*-sgRNA, *scyA3*-sgRNA, and *scyR3*-sgRNA) designed for construction of the disruption mutants were amplified from pKCcas9d90O (Addgene No. 62552) (Supplementary Table 1); left and right fragments flanking each gene were amplified from *S. cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1 genomic DNA. All primers were listed in Supplementary Table 2. Then the PCR products were ligated into the corresponding sites of pKCcas9d90O digested by *SpeI*/HindIII, generating pKCcas9dscyA1, pKCcas9dscyR1, pKCcas9dscyR2, pKCcas9dscyR3, and pKCcas9dscyR3 (Supplementary Table 1). All the deletion plasmids were transferred separately into *E. coli* ET12567/pUZ8002 and then introduced into *S. cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1 via intergeneric conjugation (Kieser et al., 2000). Guided by sgRNAs, the Cas9 cut the chromosomal sites. By homologs chromosomal recombination with linearized fragments, the targeted genes were disrupted. Finally, using this method, we obtained four genes' mutant strains, which were further confirmed as deletion mutants (∆*scyA1*, ∆*scyR2*, ∆*scyA3*, and ∆*scyR3*) by PCR and DNA sequencing.

*scyR1* repression strain was constructed in *S. cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1 using CRISPR/dCas9-based interference system (CRISPRi) (Zhao et al., 2018). In brief, the *scyR1* specific single guide RNA (dsgRNA) designed for *scyR1* repression was amplified from pSET-dCas9 using primer pairs CRISPRi-*scyR1*sgRNAF/R (Supplementary Table 2). The amplified product of sgRNA was inserted into the plasmid pSET-dCas9 carrying the dCas9 expression cassette. The resulting plasmid (pSET-dCas9-*scyR1*) was introduced into *S. cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1 by conjugation. The desired mutant (R*scyR1*) was further confirmed by nucleotide sequencing and then introduced into *S. cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1, the fragments containing promoterless genes were amplified from *S. cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1 genomic DNA; the *hrdB* promoter (P*hrdB*) was amplified with primer pairs hrdB-pF/R. Then these target gene open reading frames (ORFs) controlled by P*hrdB* were cloned into pSET152 using the ClonExpress™ MultiS One Step Cloning Kit (Vazyme) to obtain the overexpression plasmids (pSET152-*hrdB*-scyA1, pSET152-*hrdB*-scyR1, pSET152-*hrdB*-scyA2, pSET152-*hrdB*-scyA3, and pSET152-*hrdB*-scyR3) (Supplementary Table 1). The resulting plasmids were introduced into *S. cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1 via *E. coli*–*Streptomyces* conjugation (Kieser et al., 2000), respectively, generating OscyA1, OscyR1, OscyR2, OscyA3, and OscyR3, respectively (Supplementary Table 1). pSET152-*hrdB*-scyA1 and pSET152-*hrdB*-scyR1 were also introduced into ∆*scyA1*, generating ∆*scyA1*/P*hrdB*-scyA1 and ∆*scyA1*/P*hrdB*-scyR1, respectively (Supplementary Table 1).

**Fermentation and HPLC Analysis of Nemadectin**

Nemadectin fermentation and detection conditions were the same as previous report (Li C. et al., 2019).

**Protein Expression and Purification**

The proteins of ScyR1 and ScyR3 were expressed with His6 labels and ScyR2 was expressed with a label of GST. The coding regions were amplified by PCR using respective primer pairs listed in Supplementary Table 2. PCR products of *scyR1* and *scyR3* were separately digested with *NdeI* and *XhoI* and then inserted into the corresponding site of pET-23b (+), generating pET-23b-*scyR1* and pET-23b-*scyR3* (Supplementary Table 1), respectively; PCR products of *scyR2* were digested with *EcoRI* and *Xhol*, and then cloned into pGEX-4T-1, generating pGEX-4T-1-*scyR2* (Supplementary Table 1). All the expression plasmids were verified by nucleotide sequencing and then introduced into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3), respectively. Purification and protein concentrations were performed as described previously (He et al., 2018; Li C. et al., 2019).

**Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays (EMSA)**

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) were performed as reported previously (Li C. et al., 2019). The promoter probes were obtained by PCR from the genomic DNA of *S. cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1 with primer pairs listed in Supplementary Table 2.

**RNA Extraction and Quantitative Real-Time RT-PCR Analysis**

The fermentation cultures of *S. cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1 and its derivatives were collected at various time points (0.75, 2, 3, and 6 days), respectively. RNA extraction, removal of genomic DNA, examination of RNA quality and concentration, synthesis of cDNA and qRT-PCR were performed as described previously (Zhang et al., 2016). Primers used for qRT-PCR were listed in Supplementary Table 2.

**GFP Reporter Assay in *E. coli***

To construct the green fluorescence gene (gfp) reporter plasmids, the original plasmid pSET152 was cut with *BamHI* and *XbaI*. The *scyA1* promoter (P*scyA1*) and the coding region of *scyR1* were amplified from *S. cyaneogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1 genomic DNA with primer pairs pscyA1GFPF/R and ScyR1GFPF/R, respectively. The gfp and the strong constitutive...
promoter SF14 were amplified from pSET152:Pa1Gfp:SF14sbbR with primer pairs GFP/F/R and sPF14/F/R, respectively (He et al., 2018). First, Pa1 and gfp coding region were ligated into pSET152 using the ClonExpress™ MultiS One Step Cloning Kit (Vazyme) to obtain pSET152:Pa1gfp (Supplementary Table 1). Secondly, the plasmid pSET152:Pa1gfp:SF14r_scyRI (Supplementary Table 1), in which scyRI was controlled by SF14 and the gfp gene was controlled by Pa1. These two plasmids together with the control vector pSET152 were introduced into DH5α, respectively, to detect the intensity of green fluorescence (excitation at 485 nm; emission at 535 nm, Synergy H4 Multi-Mode Reader). All fluorescence values were normalized to growth rates (OD600).

Preparation of Autoregulator Culture Extracts

The procedure for preparation of autoregulator culture extracts in this study was as described previously with minor modification (Kitani et al., 2011; Zou et al., 2014). A total of 300 mL seed culture broth of S. cyaneogriseus ssp. noncyanogenus NMWT1 or ΔscyA1 strain cultured in seed medium for 2 days was extracted with equal volumes of ethyl acetate. The organic phase was dries in a vacuum rotary evaporator and re-dissolved in 1 mL DMSO.

Nucleotide Sequence Accession Number

The ~8.5 kb DNA fragment containing TU94_00970, TU94_00975, and TU94_00985 was re-sequenced and deposited under the GenBank accession No. MT563325.

Statistical Analysis

All experiments in this study were performed at least three biological triplicates, and the data were represented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was analyzed by unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, with *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001.

RESULTS

Coexistence of Multiple Types of QS Systems in NMWT1

The complete genome of S. cyaneogriseus ssp. noncyanogenus NMWT1 was sequenced using a whole-genome shotgun strategy by our group in 2015 (Wang et al., 2015). Protein BLAST analysis of the NMWT1 genome revealed the presence of three TetR family transcriptional regulatory genes, namely scyRI (TU94_00975), scyR2 (TU94_03165), and scyR3 (TU94_11460), which encode homologs of GBL receptors (Supplementary Table 3). ScyR1 exhibited high similarity to AvaR1 from S. avermitilis (70% identity) and to TylP from S. fradiae (60% identity); ScyR2 showed sequence similarity to TylP from S. fradiae (33% identity) and to JadR3 from S. venezuelae (33% identity), and ScyR3 showed sequence similarity to TylP from S. fradiae (45% identity) and to ArpA from S. griseus (43% identity) (Supplementary Table 3). Genes encoding the autoregulator receptors and synthases are usually clustered in the same locus in the genomes of various Streptomyces species (Nishida et al., 2007). Therefore, we performed protein BLAST searches to determine the function of genes close to scyRI, scyR2, and scyR3. Interestingly, the scyRI proximal genes scyA1 (TU94_00985) and TU94_00970 were found to encode proteins highly similar to the S. avermitilis acyl-CoA oxidase Aco (63% identities) and the cytochrome P450 hydroxylase Cyp17 (70% identities), respectively (Supplementary Table 4), suggesting a role in the synthesis of an avenolide-like compound (Kitani et al., 2011). No genes involved in the synthesis of autoregulators were found in the vicinity of scyR2. Upstream of scyR3, we found a gene homolog of GBL synthase, scyA3 (TU94_11455), whose product showed 38% identity to S. griseus AfsA, an A-factor synthase (Supplementary Table 4) (Horinouchi, 2007).

These findings suggest that the genome of S. cyaneogriseus ssp. noncyanogenus NMWT1 encodes two different types of QS systems and an orphan GBL receptor homolog: the accl/arpA-like system (TU94_985/TU94_975, scyA1/scyRI), the afsA/arpA-like system (TU94_11455/TU94_11460, scyA3/scyR3), and a putative arpa homolog (TU94_3165, scyR2) (Figure 1). The scyA1/scyRI pair is located at the left arm of the chromosome, ~310 kb upstream of the nemadectin (nem) cluster (TU94_02425-TU94_02495; GenBank accession No. AB363393). scyR2 is also located at the left arm of the chromosome but is ~240 kb downstream of the nem cluster; the scyA3/scyR3 locus is close to the central region of the genome, ~2,160 kb from the nem cluster (Figure 1A). It should be noted that, in the subsequent gene deletion experiments, we found that the flanking DNA sequences of scyR1 and the ORF of scyA1 differed from those obtained from the published genome sequence (Wang et al., 2015). Therefore, we corrected this region by PCR amplification and DNA sequencing. After careful analysis, we re-annotated the coding sequence of scyA1 and found that TU94_00980 did not exist (Figure 1B). The corrected nucleotide sequence was submitted to the GenBank database (accession No. MT563325).

Transcriptional Profiles of scyA1/scyR1, scyR2, and scyA3/scyR3

To investigate the functions of scyA1/scyR1, scyR2 and scyA3/scyR3, we analyzed their transcriptional profiles during nemadectin production. Total RNAs were isolated from the mycelia of NMWT1 after cultivation for various days (0.75, 2, 3, and 6 days) in nemadectin fermentation medium, and the transcriptional levels of scyAs and scyRs were determined by quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). Transcription of scyA1 increased gradually, whereas that of scyR1 remained constant (Figure 2). The transcriptional level of scyR2 was very low at 0.75 day, but showed a sharp increase from 0.75 day onward. scyA3 and scyR3 exhibited similar transcriptional profiles; their levels were highest on 0.75 day and then decreased, although still at relatively high levels. These results indicate...
FIGURE 1 | Genetic organization of \textit{scyA1}/\textit{scyR1}, \textit{scyR2}, \textit{scyA3}/\textit{scyR3}, and \textit{nem} cluster in the genome of \textit{S. cyaneogriseus} ssp. noncyanogenus NMWT1.  
(A) Schematic representation of the relative positions of \textit{scyA1}/\textit{scyR1}, \textit{scyR2}, \textit{scyA3}/\textit{scyR3}, and \textit{nem} cluster on the chromosome. The red rectangle indicates \textit{nem} cluster.  
(B) Gene organization of \textit{scyA1}/\textit{scyR1}, \textit{scyR2}, \textit{scyA3}/\textit{scyR3} and its adjacent genes. Genes are indicated by arrows, orange: genes encoding the acyl-CoA oxidase (\textit{ScyA1}) or the A-factor synthase homolog (\textit{ScyA3}); green: genes encoding homologs of \(\gamma\)-butyrolactone (\textit{GBL}) receptors; blue: the gene encoding a cytochrome P450 monooxygenase.

FIGURE 2 | Quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis of the genes associated with the quorum-sensing systems in \textit{S. cyaneogriseus} ssp. noncyanogenus NMWT1. The transcriptional levels of \textit{scyA1}, \textit{scyR1}, \textit{scyR2}, \textit{scyA3}, and \textit{scyR3} are presented relative to that of NMWT1 sample collected after fermentation for 0.75 day, which was arbitrarily assigned a value of 1. 16S rRNA transcription was monitored and used as the internal control. Data are presented as the averages of three independent experiments conducted in triplicate. Error bars show standard deviations. \(P\)-values were determined by Student’s \(t\)-test. *\(P < 0.05\), **\(P < 0.01\), and ***\(P < 0.001\).
that although all five genes were expressed, their transcriptional patterns differed, suggesting differential regulatory roles in *S. cyaneogriseus*.

**Roles of scyA1, scyR1, scyR2, scyA3, and scyR3 in Morphological Development and Nemadectin Production**

To determine the *in vivo* functions of *scyA1*, *scyR1*, *scyR2*, *scyA3*, and *scyR3*, we constructed deletion mutants using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome-editing (Huang et al., 2015). The *scyA1* mutant (∆*scyA1*) had a 1,239-bp deletion in the *scyA1* ORF, ∆*scyR2* a 333-bp deletion in the *scyR2* ORF, ∆*scyA3* a 612-bp deletion in the *scyA3* ORF, and ∆*scyR3* a 633-bp deletion in the *scyR3* ORF. The presence of these deletions was confirmed by PCR (Supplementary Figure 1) and DNA sequencing (data not shown). However, our efforts to construct a *scyR1* deletion mutant (∆*scyR1*) failed. Hence, to assess the function of *scyR1*, we employed the dCas9-based CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) system to repress *scyR1* transcription elongation. The *scyR1* CRISPRi plasmid was constructed as described previously (Supplementary Figure 2A) (Zhao et al., 2018) and was used to generate the *scyR1* repression strain RscyR1. The *scyR1* transcript level was assessed by qRT-PCR, which confirmed that the CRISPRi-mediated *scyR1* silencing was successful (Supplementary Figure 2B).

To assess the phenotypes of the ∆*scyA1*, RscyR1, ∆*scyR2*, ∆*scyA3*, and ∆*scyR3* strains, we cultured them on ISP3 agar medium at 37°C for 4 days. Compared with NMWT1, ∆*scyA1* grew slowly, produced small amounts of spores, and did not produce diffusible dark-olive pigment (Figure 3A). RscyR1 also showed impaired growth and spore production and formed colonies with clear-white edges (Figure 3B). To clarify whether the phenotype of ∆*scyA1* was due to *scyA1* deletion, an integrative plasmid pSET152 containing *scyA1* ORF downstream of the constitutive *hrdB* promoter was introduced into ∆*scyA1*, generating the complementation strain ∆*scyA1*/P_{hrdB}RscyA1. ∆*scyA1*/P_{hrdB} RscyA1 restored spore formation, although the spore amounts were less than those of the parental strain NMWT1 (Figure 3A). ∆*scyR2*, ∆*scyA3*, and ∆*scyR3* showed no distinct alterations (data not shown). These results suggest that *scyA1* and *scyR1* play important roles in the morphological development of *S. cyaneogriseus*, in contrast to *scyR2*, *scyA3*, and *scyR3*.

Compared with NMWT1, all the mutant strains exhibited decreased nemadectin production. Particularly, nemadectin production was decreased by 92, 93, 42, 39, and 28% in ∆*scyA1*, RscyR1, ∆*scyR2*, ∆*scyA3*, and ∆*scyR3*, respectively (Figure 3C). These data suggest that all five genes play positive roles in nemadectin production, with *scyA1* and *scyR1* being the most important. To determine the effects of overexpression of the five genes on nemadectin production, we introduced pSET152-P_{hrdB}scyA1, pSET152-P_{hrdB}scyR1, pSET152-P_{hrdB}scyR2, pSET152-P_{hrdB}scyA3 or pSET152-P_{hrdB}scyR3 (here, every gene was controlled by the *hrdB* promoter) into NMWT1 to obtain OscyA1, OscyR1, OscyR2, OscyA3, and OscyR3 strains, respectively. Nemadectin production was enhanced by 56% in OscyA1 and by 29% in OscyR1; however, no significant changes in nemadectin production were observed in OscyR2, OscyA3, and OscyR3 (Figure 3D).

ScyA1, ScyR1, ScyR2, and ScyR3 Activate the Transcription of nemR and nemA1-2

Next, we investigate the roles of *scyA1*, *scyR1*, *scyR2*, *scyA3*, and *scyR3* in the expression of the nemadectin biosynthesis-related genes *nemR* (cluster-situated activator gene) and *nemA1-2* (type I polyketide synthase gene) by qRT-PCR. Little to no *nemR* transcription was observed in ∆*scyA1* and RscyR1; the *nemR* transcription level was significantly lower in ∆*scyR2* and ∆*scyR3* than in NMWT1 (Figure 4). Similar results were obtained for *nemA1-2* (Figure 4). In contrast, *nemR* and *nemA1-2* transcriptional levels in ∆*scyA3* were similar to those in NMWT1 (Figure 4). These results suggest that ScyA1, ScyR1, ScyR2, and ScyR3 control nemadectin production by activating the transcription of *nem* genes.

To determine whether ScyR1, ScyR2, and ScyR3 activate the transcription of *nem* genes directly, EMSAs were performed. The His_{6}-tagged ScyR1 and ScyR3 and GST-tagged ScyR2 were expressed in *E. coli* (Supplementary Figure 3). The promoter regions of *nem* cluster genes (*nemR*, *nemA1-1/A1-2/A2*, *nemC*, and *nemA4/A3/E/D*) were used as probes, and the *hrdB* promoter was used as a negative control. None of the three regulatory proteins could bind to any of the *nem* promoter probes (data not shown), indicating that they indirectly activate the expression of *nem* genes.

**ScyA1 Promoted Morphological Differentiation and Nemadectin Production by Activating scyR1 Transcription**

The changes in the colony phenotype and nemadectin production were more remarkable in ∆*scyA1* and RscyR1; hence, we further investigated the regulatory role of the ScyA1/ScyR1 system. First, we determined the effects of *scyA1* and *scyR1* on each other’s expression by qRT-PCR. *scyR1* transcripts were abolished in ∆*scyA1*, indicating that ScyA1 is essential for *scyR1* transcription. The *scyA1* expression level in RscyR1 was comparable with that in NMWT1 (Figure 5A), suggesting that ScyR1 does not affect *scyA1* expression. To further determine the regulatory relationship between ScyR1 and the *scyA1* promoter (P_{A1}, also known as the intergenic region between *scyA1* and *scyR1*), EMSAs and the green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter experiment in *E. coli* were performed. EMSAs showed that the ScyR1–P_{A1} complexes formed as the amount of ScyR1 increased, indicating the direct regulation of ScyR1 toward P_{A1} (Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure 4). However, the fluorescence intensity in strain containing pSET152-P_{A1-1/gfp}SF14scyR1 was similar to that in strain harboring pSET152-P_{A1-1/gfp} (Figure 5C). This finding corroborated the qRT-PCR results but contradicted the EMSA findings. The regulation of a neighboring oppositely transcribed gene has been recognized as a general feature of TetR-like
regulatory proteins (Zhang et al., 2013), but in this work, we found that ScyR1 did not regulate scyA1 expression.

The results described above clearly showed that ScyA1 is an upstream regulator of scyR1. This promoted us to speculate that ScyA1 may function by controlling scyR1 transcription. To verify our speculation, we introduced an integrative plasmid containing a single copy of scyR1 under the control of the hrdB promoter into ΔscyA1. The resulted strain was named as ΔscyA1/P_{hrdB}scyR1, in which the scyR1 transcript level exhibited a 4.4-fold increase compared with that in NMWT1 (Supplementary Figure 5). ΔscyA1/P_{hrdB}scyR1 colonies were similar to those formed by the scyA1 complementation strain (ΔscyA1/P_{hrdB}scyA1), suggesting that ScyA1 promotes morphological differentiation in a ScyR1-dependent manner (Figure 3A). Nemadectin production was also partly restored, reaching 74% relative to that in ΔscyA1/P_{hrdB}scyA1 and 60% relative to that in NMWT1, indicating that ScyA1 positively regulates nemadectin production in a ScyR1-dependent manner (Figure 3D). The transcript levels of nemR were also significantly increased in ΔscyA1/P_{hrdB}scyR1 (59% relative to NMWT1; Supplementary Figure 6). These data suggest that ScyA1 positively regulates morphological differentiation and nemadectin production by activating scyR1 transcription.

scyR1 Silencing in NMWT1 Activates the Expression of Numerous Metabolite Biosynthetic Core Genes

To assess the function of ScyA1/ScyR1 in secondary metabolism, we investigated the transcript levels of putative secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene clusters within the S. cyaneogriseus genome. Seventeen clusters (including PKS-type, NRPS-type, PKS-NRPS-type, terpene, melanin, and bacteriocin) identified by the antiSMASH software were selected, as they exhibited high similarities with well-known secondary metabolite gene clusters, and their corresponding chemical backbones can be predicted (Supplementary Table 5). The transcript levels of scyR1 and 17 representative biosynthetic core genes from the biosynthetic
gene clusters were measured by qRT-PCR in strains NMWT1, ΔscyA1, and RscyR1. The transcript levels of TU94_00870, TU94_02330, TU94_04235, TU94_04905, TU94_11785, TU94_21765, TU94_22200, TU94_30615, and TU94_32305 were significantly lower in ΔscyA1 than in NMWT1, whereas that of TU94_02965 was higher (Figure 6). These findings suggest that ScyA1 predominantly functions as an activator rather than as a repressor. Interestingly, the transcriptional levels of 12 genes (e.g., TU94_00870, TU94_02330, TU94_02965, TU94_04905, TU94_22330, TU94_22430, TU94_22430, TU94_23005, TU94_27405, TU94_29680, TU94_30615, TU94_31495, TU94_31830, and TU94_32305) were significantly higher in RscyR1 than in NMWT1, revealing that ScyR1 represses the expression of these biosynthetic genes (Figure 7).

To further confirm the repressive role of ScyR1, we measured the expression levels of scyR1 and the 17 biosynthetic core genes in OsacyR1 strain. scyR1 transcript level exhibited a 6.9-fold increase compared with that in NMWT1 (Figure 7). As expected, the transcriptional levels of the 12 genes were similar to or slightly lower than those in NMWT1 (Figure 7), suggesting that ScyR1 was indeed a repressor and genetic manipulation of scyRI was effective in activating cryptic secondary metabolite biosynthetic genes.

**Culture Extracts From NMWT1 Could Promote scyR1 Transcription, Nemadectin Production, and Modulate the Binding Activity of ScyR1**

ScyA1 and ScyR1 constitute an Aco/ArpA-like QS system, in which ScyA1 is the key autoregulator synthase. It is possible that ScyA1 may activate expression of target genes by producing autoregulators. To test this possibility, culture extracts from NMWT1 and ΔscyA1 were prepared and added separately into ΔscyA1 cultures. As shown in Figure 8A, transcripts of scyR1 were abolished in ΔscyA1, but was increased significantly after the addition of NMWT1 culture extracts, although at a level relatively lower than those of NMWT1, indicating that the autoregulator determined by ScyA1 could activate the expression of scyR1. As expected, culture extracts from NMWT1 promoted nemadectin production in ΔscyA1, but culture extracts from ΔscyA1 were unable to elicit nemadectin (Figure 8B), indicating that the autoregulators synthesized by ScyA1 could trigger nemadectin biosynthesis. To determine whether ScyR1 is the receptor of autoregulators synthesized by ScyA1, culture extracts of NMWT1 and ΔscyA1 were also assayed for their influence on the binding activity of ScyR1 to PA1. As expected, culture extracts from NMWT1 could dissociate ScyR1 from PA1 whereas the extracts from ΔscyA1 could not inhibit the formation of ScyR1-PA1 complexes (Supplementary Figure 7). This indicated that ScyR1 may be the receptor of autoregulators determined by ScyA1. These preliminary results suggest the potential of ScyA1 as a QS signal synthase and ScyR1 as an autoregulator receptor. The structure of the autoregulator produced by ScyA1 needs to be determined in the future, which will help to understand the ScyA1/ScyR1 regulatory process well.

**DISCUSSION**

Quorum-sensing systems are important for secondary metabolism and morphological development in *Streptomyces* (Kitani et al., 2011). Although substantial progress has been made in understanding the mechanisms by which ArpA homolog regulates antibiotic biosynthesis (Liu et al., 2013), the pathways involved in the autoregulator synthase-mediated initiation of antibiotic production are poorly understood. Here, we used the nemadectin-producing strain *Streptomyces cyanogriseus* ssp. *noncyanogenus* NMWT1 and found for the first time that the Aco/ArpA-like system ScyA1/ScyR1 is required for nemadectin production. Specifically, ScyA1 induces scyRI transcription, and in turn ScyR1 promotes nemadectin production. Moreover, the substantial increase in transcripts of most of the metabolite
biosynthetic core genes after scyR1 silencing reveals a method to activate the expression of silent biosynthetic gene clusters.

The regulatory relationship between the autoregulator synthase (AfsA or Aco-like) and the cognate ArpA receptor in Streptomyces, and how the two components coordinate to modulate secondary metabolism, have gained increasing attention over the last years. In this study, we identified that one Aco/ArpA-like system, ScyA1/ScyR1, is involved in nemadectin production and morphological development in Streptomyces cyaneogriseus ssp. noncyanogenus NMWT1. ScyA1 and ScyR1 are homologs of the S. avermitilis proteins Aco and AvaR1, respectively; however, the regulatory relationship between ScyA1 and ScyR1 and their regulatory pathway for antibiotic production differ from those of Aco and AvaR1. In S. avermitilis, Aco is required for avermectin biosynthesis; AvaR1 directly represses aco expression and can also directly inhibiting avermectin production by repressing transcription of the cluster-situated activator gene aveR (Zhu et al., 2017). For the ScyA1/ScyR1 system, both ScyA1 and ScyR1 have positive effects on nemadectin production; although ScyR1 does not affect the expression of scyA1, ScyA1 is essential for scyR1 expression. Interestingly, constitutive expression of scyR1 in the scyA1 mutant led to restoration of nemadectin production to levels close to those in the scyA1 complementation strain ΔscyA1/P/hrdB/scyA1 and the wild-type NMWT1, revealing that the autoregulator synthase controls nemadectin production by activating the expression of the cognate receptor. The AfsA/ArpA-like system components JadW1 (GBL synthase) and JadR3 from Streptomyces venezuelae have similar antibiotic production phenotypes to those of ScyA1 and ScyR1, respectively (Zou et al., 2014). Both jadW1 and jadR3 positively regulate jadomycin production, and JadW1 is required for jadR3...
expression. However, unlike ScyA1/ScyR1, JadR3 directly represses the expression of jadW1 and promotes jadomycin production by activating the expression of jadR1; the mechanism by which JadW1 triggers jadomycin production is unclear (Zou et al., 2014). Other QS systems including ScbA/ScbR, BarX/BarA, FarX/FarA, AfsA/ArpA, SabA/SabR1, and SbbA/SbbR, have also been reported to control antibiotic biosynthesis via complex regulatory networks (Waki et al., 1997; Kawachi et al., 2000; Takano et al., 2001; He et al., 2018). Therefore, different QS systems may regulate antibiotic biosynthesis via different pathways. Here, we found that ScyA1 promotes nemadectin production by activating the expression of scyR1, representing a previously unknown QS regulatory pathway. It should be noted that, the activation of scyR1 by ScyA1 may not be achieved by eliminating the inhibition of ScyR2 or ScyR3, because transcription of scyR1 in ΔscyR2 and ΔscyR3 decreased, indicating the positive role of ScyR2 and ScyR3 toward scyR1 expression (our unpublished data). Therefore, the mechanism by which ScyA1 activates the expression of scyR1 is somewhat unclear. Additionally, future studies are needed to identify the mechanisms by which ScyR1 indirectly regulates the biosynthesis of nemadectin.

ScyA1 promotes nemadectin production by activating the transcription of scyR1; in turn, ScyR1 is required for the
Therefore, we believe that the role of ScyR1 in nemadectin production is complex. In addition to regulating nemadectin production, ScyR1 also regulates sporulation. Hence, ScyR1 is a pleiotropic regulator required for both secondary metabolism and morphological development. It is possible that the transition from aerial mycelium to mature spores is accompanied by complex ScyR1-dependent or -independent physiological changes (Zhou et al., 2020), which may also be closely linked to nemadectin production. Thus, ScyR1 may affect nemadectin production via various interconnected regulatory pathways. Future comprehensive studies aiming to identify ScyR1 target genes involved in nemadectin production are required to improve the yield of nemadectin biosynthesis.
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