Sand Auditing for Sustainable River Sand Mining in Kerala, India—An Overview
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Abstract

Sand Auditing is the process that evaluates the health status of rivers subjected to sand mining. This study reviews the river sand audit methodology applied in Kerala, India, from 2011 to 2019 in terms of geographical coverage, results, and applications. It demonstrates the sand audit methodology, the agencies and authorities involved in implementation, and the current status of the State’s sand audit implementation. The current regulatory framework of Kerala that ensures sustainable sand mining and prevents illegal sand mining is also demonstrated. In total, 21 of the 44 Kerala’s rivers have been surveyed during 2011-2019, and the State’s agencies have hence acquired great experience and expertise in sand auditing. A major outcome of Kerala’s sand auditing is the process that collection of large datasets of river cross-sectional information that can be used, inter alia, in flood modeling studies to formulate sustainable river basin management strategies in Kerala.
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Introduction

Sand and gravel account for the highest quantity of solid material mined worldwide.1 China and India top the list of crucial hotspots for sand mining impacts in rivers.2 Unauthorized mining of river sand causes riverbank erosion, loss of riparian habitat, lowering of river beds, shrinking of the delta, and complex impacts on society and economy.3 Illegal sand mining is an organized crime that escalates social injustice.4 Quantification of bedload sand deposits is significant as it is the sand reserve found on the river bed that can be extracted rather than its suspended load.5 Every year, floodwater carries considerable amounts of sediment from upstream catchments, depositing sand, silt, and other aggregates on lowland river reach. Lack of quantitative field-based data on sand availability in rivers and insufficient understanding of sand mining rates results in uncontrolled and indiscriminate sand mining from rivers. Quantitative assessment of sediment deposits in rivers, particularly alluvial rivers, are limited.6 Insufficient understanding means evaluating the extraction of sand resources from rivers concerning the sand naturally replenished reliably not possible5.
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This has lead to river bed lowering, stream bank erosion, depletion of groundwater levels, and deterioration of riverine ecosystems.

Sediment budget is the term used to quantify the source of sediments, sinks, and pathways involved in river systems or coastal zone. In a river basin, sediment source includes soil erosion in upland, gully erosion, riverbank erosion, valley bluff erosion and valley incision. River channels and flood plains form the sediment sink. A few studies use the term Sand Budget that considers estimation of sand generation by natural processes, human consumption rate, loss of sand due to erosion and discharge to oceans. Both flux-based, as well as morphological methods are used in Sediment Budget. Morphological approaches consider bedload transport as the primary mode. Riverbank erosion and collapse of river banks contribute to the sediment budget of natural rivers externally. Regulated rivers do not have sediment supply from the river banks. Surface runoff in the catchments supplies fine-grained sediments to the rivers. The imbalance in the Sediment Budget of the river causes severe sedimentation and erosion. Global estimates on sand discharge to oceans from fluvial sources show 5.5 billion tons/year in 1968 and 4.1 billion tons/year in 1983. Human activities such as dams, sand mining, land use changes, artificial levees and complex engineering work altered the river load, and complicated the future predications of natural sediment supply. Estimation of river discharge is crucial in Sediment Budget. The comparative analysis of input and removal of sand shown a deficit in the Lower Columbia River. Thus effective river restoration and rejuvenation strategies require Sediment Budget. The issue of illegal sand mining from rivers in Kerala and its impacts was officially discussed between local stakeholders and decision-makers, and it was realized that the development and enforcement of sand mining laws is a crucial step to handle this problem. As a result, the Kerala Legislative Assembly has issued the Kerala Protection of River Banks and Regulation of Removal of Sand Act in 2001 to control river sand mining and ensure the protection of the State’s rivers. As part of this legal framework, Sand Auditing was adopted to monitor the status of rivers subjected to sand mining. Sand Audit is a kind of sediment budget which is primarily for the realistic estimation of sand deposits available in river for sustainable sand mining. This study presents an overview of River Sand Auditing carried out in Kerala from 2011 to 2019.

Rivers of Kerala
Kerala has 41 west-flowing and 3 east-flowing rivers. River length varies from 16 km (Majewaram River) to 244 km (Periyar). Drainage basin area ranges from 52 km$^2$ to 6186 km$^2$. Together with their tributaries and distributaries, these rivers are crucial for maintaining the State’s biophysical environment as they flow through highlands, midlands, and lowlands across the State. Keralite’s culture, beliefs, social harmony, and economic progress are closely linked with the rivers. The increased population density, economic development through foreign remittance, and low per capita land availability have substantially increased anthropogenic pressure on the rivers of Kerala. Being short and swift-flowing, the rivers of Kerala are highly vulnerable to anthropogenic pressures. Sand mining in Kerala peaked in the early 1970s. Hence, the riverine ecosystems have degraded, riverbed resources, predominantly sand and gravel, were depleted and water quality decreased. To reverse
this trend, Sand Auditing was initiated for rivers in Kerala. The 21 rivers selected for Sand Auditing are shown in Figure 1.

**Sand Auditing**

Sand Auditing is the process of assessing sand mining activities in a river or a river stretch after a particular period of sand mining. Identifying sites of sand deposition with potential for mining, fixing the sustainable sand quantity for mining, and ensuring the health and functioning of rivers are the objectives of Sand Auditing. Section 29 of the Kerala Protection of River Banks and Regulations of Sand Act 2001 envisages that Sand Auditing is mandatory for sand mining of any kind from the rivers and their banks across the State. Sand Auditing in Kerala should be carried out for every river once every three years. Agencies and institutions will be engaged in Sand Auditing. The Centre for Earth Science Studies (CESS) and the Centre for Water Resource Development and Management (CWRDM) are the leading scientific institutions in the field, having conducted Sand Auditing for several southern and northern Kerala rivers, respectively. Other relevant institutions have also been engaged since 2011-2012.

The methodology of Sand Auditing applied in Kerala was jointly developed by CESS and the Institute of Land and Disaster Management (ILDM) in 2011-2012. The guidelines issued by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in 2012 on the Deepak Kumar vs. State of Haryana case are included in the Sand Audit methodology. Similarly, the Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines notified by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change in 2016 are also applied in Sand Auditing. The steps involved in Sand Auditing are shown in Figure 2.

The selection of rivers for Sand Auditing is primarily based on the average river width (>40m), the terrain’s characteristics, and the availability of sediment deposits. River channels within forested areas and Coastal Regulation Zones are excluded from Sand Auditing, as sand mining is prohibited in these areas. River selection is followed by a Reconnaissance Survey, in which data regarding the physical and social properties of the river basin are collected. The location of man-made structures, sand mining areas, sand deposition points are tracked and used for planning cross-sectional surveys for river bed and bank mapping.

Sand Auditing involves cross-sectional surveys of rivers at close intervals using Total Stations, Auto Levels, and Dumpy Levels. Each cross-section is surveyed based on a permanent benchmark structure established on the river bank. Benchmarks are used for future reference by recording their GPS coordinates, survey numbers and taking photographs. The interval between cross-sections may be reduced based on channel morphology, size, and extent of the sand deposits. The survey is conducted only during the summer season (February to May) in Kerala, and the minimum summer water level in the river is recorded at each cross-section along with the depth of the sand bed using spiking and quarrying methods. The summer water level is considered as the quantity of water flowing through a specific section of the river during the lean flow season. Water depth, riverbed material other than sand, altitude and slope of river banks, wetted valley width and Thalweg are also recorded. A cross-section from the Chaliyar River, Malappuram District, is shown in Figure 3.

Sand availability 2 m below the summer water level is analyzed. The volume of sand is calculated by multiplying the cross-sectional area of sand with its zone of influence. The cross-sectional area is calculated by comparing the surface level with the sand level in the cross-section. The zone of influence is estimated as half the distance between two adjacent cross-sections. If the estimated sand volume between two adjacent cross-sections varies
by more than 50%, an additional in-between cross-section will also be surveyed. The total quantity/volume of sand in the river is calculated by summing up all cross-sectional sand volumes across the reach surveyed. The biophysical properties of the river banks and their man-made structures are mapped on the cadastral scale through River Bank Mapping as part of the Sand Audit process.

Fig. 3: Cross Section in the Chaliyar River, Chikkode, Malappuram District

Fig. 4: Mineable Sand distribution in the Chaliyar River, Mampad and Edavana Panchayats

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India ordered to limit the depth of sand mining from rivers to max. 3 m or to match the water level, whichever is less. This indicates that sand deposits available above the summer water level can be mined, but no sand mining can be carried out below the water
level. Buffer distance from the river bank and the irrigation structures and ecologically sensitive areas are worked out for the sand mining proposed sites. Hence, the total quantity of sand and the volume of sand available above the summer water level is estimated separately for each Local Self Government Unit (Panchayat). The areas of sand accumulations potentially suitable for mining are demarcated in India’s Cadastral Map, and their mining feasibility is evaluated. A sample map showing cross-section locations, structures, benchmarks, and mineable sand distribution in the Chaliyar River is provided in Figure 4. The quality of data is scrutinized and verified in the field by technical personnel of the River Management Centre, established by the Government to coordinate and monitor Sand Auditing.

Mode of Implementation
Realizing the considerable workload involved in Sand Auditing, the Government of Kerala decided to conduct the Sand Auditing in a more participatory way. As part of this, Earth Sciences departments of Universities and Colleges, Civil Engineering Departments of Engineering Colleges, and accredited Non-Governmental Organizations in the field were selected through proper channels and are given field training by experts. Standard guidelines and data formats are also provided to maintain uniformity in data collection and data analysis, and report preparation. Seventeen institutions participated in Sand Audits in the State during 2011-2019.

Table 1: List of Rivers completed for Sand Auditing

| No | River       | Length (km) Covered | Recommendation of Sand Audit Report                  |
|----|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Neyyar      | 40                  | Prohibition of Sand Mining                           |
| 2  | Karamana    | 32                  | Prohibition of Sand Mining                           |
| 3  | Vamanapuram | 43                  | Prohibition of Sand Mining                           |
| 4  | Ithikara    | 43                  | Restricted Sand Mining                              |
| 5  | Kallada     | 45                  | Prohibition of Sand Mining                           |
| 6  | Achankovil  | 88                  | Restricted Sand Mining                              |
| 7  | Pamba       | 60                  | Restricted Sand Mining                              |
| 8  | Meenachil   | 42                  | Prohibition of Sand Mining                           |
| 9  | Muvattupuzha| 52                  | Restricted Sand Mining                              |
| 10 | Periyar     | 59                  | Restricted Sand Mining                              |
| 11 | Chalakudy   | 41                  | Prohibition of Sand Mining                           |
| 12 | Karuvannur  | 16                  | Prohibition of Sand Mining                           |
| 13 | Kadalundi   | 88                  | Restricted Sand Mining                              |
| 14 | Chaliyar    | 53                  | Restricted Sand Mining                              |
| 15 | Kuttiyadi   | 23                  | Prohibition of Sand Mining                           |
| 16 | Kabani      | 56                  | Prohibition of Sand Mining                           |
| 17 | Mahe        | 29                  | Restricted Sand Mining                              |
| 18 | Anjarakandy | 43                  | Prohibition of Sand Mining                           |
| 19 | Valapattanam| 58                  | Restricted Sand Mining                              |
| 20 | Chandragiri | 21                  | Prohibition of Sand Mining                           |
| 21 | Shiriya     | 37                  | Restricted Sand Mining                              |

Status of Sand Auditing
Sand Auditing is conducted in different phases in the State. The pilot work was carried out in the Karamana River, Thiruvananthapuram District, in 2011-2012. Based on the excellent field-work results, four additional rivers were selected for Sand Auditing. Subsequently, 15 new rivers were added, and Sand Auditing was completed during 2011-2019. Sand Auditing experience from 20 rivers helped to extend this work in 10 more rivers and five major tributaries in 2017. Sand Auditing of 21 rivers was completed during 2011-2019.
The Sand Audit process of Vamanapuram River, Thiruvananthapuram District, was completed in 2012. Kallada and Neyyar rivers were surveyed in 2013 and the Chaliyar, Chandragiri, Ithikkara, Kuttiyadi, and Periyar rivers in 2014. The Karamana, Meenachil, Chalakudy, Anjarakandy, Kabani, Kadalundi, Karuvannur, Muvattupuzha rivers were surveyed in 2015. Sand Auditing of Valapatanam and Achankovil rivers was completed in 2017, while Mahe and Shiriya rivers were audited in 2019. The length of the rivers surveyed and the main recommendations from Sand Auditing are shown in Table 1.

Environment Clearance for River Sand Mining
Sand is a minor mineral in India. The Hon’ble Supreme Court of India ordered within the Deepak Kumar vs State of Haryana Case that pre-environmental clearance is mandatory for minor mining minerals, including river sand, from Central-Government and State authorities, irrespective of the size of the mining area. The Hon’ble Green Tribunal in 2013 instructed the Central Government to prepare guidelines on river sand mining, applicable to all States in the country. Accordingly, Sustainable Sand Mining Management Guidelines 2016 were prepared by the Central Government in this regard.

As per the guidelines, District Survey Reports, Pre-Feasibility Studies, and Approved Mine Plans are necessary for obtaining environmental clearance for mining areas of size less than 50000 m². District Survey Reports are to be prepared for each district based on Sand Audit surveys in Kerala. Mine Plans are to be prepared by Recognized Qualified Persons approved by the State Mining Department, based on which sand mining can be carried out.

State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) is the legal authority to give permission for sand mining.

Sand Mining Monitoring System
A three-tier system exists in Kerala to monitor sand mining activities in rivers. A State-Level Committee makes policy decisions; District-Level Expert Committee supervises sand mining at the district level and Kadavu Committees at the panchayat level. These three committees are interdepartmental and have representatives from the Revenue, Water Resources, Law, Police, Labour Departments, and mining workers unions. Legal actions are taken against illegal sand mining based on the Kerala Protection of River Banks and Regulations on Removal of Sand Act, 2001.

Discussion
Sand Audit studies are significant in regulating sand mining activity and ensuring the sustainability of river sand mining. From the various methods of Sand Auditing, cross-sectional surveys are effective for sediment budgets. The sediment budget carried out in the Vedder River, British Columbia, was found helpful as it provides additional information on morphological changes of the river bed. Kerala carries out sand audits in rivers once every three years. The baseline information generated through cross-sectional surveys is also helpful for river channel management and flood modelling. The users of this large river database range from students to decision-makers. The benchmarks established at close intervals on river banks facilitate the monitoring of riverbed changes that are likely to occur due to sand mining. The participatory approach adopted for sand auditing helped to cover most of State rivers within a short time period. The organizations involved in Sand Auditing became expert agencies, which is a significant contribution to relevant capacity building.

The Sand Audit methodology is simple and can be adopted in other States in their respective efforts to conserve rivers. Considering the utility of Sand Auditing, the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change has recommended Sand Auditing as a prerequisite investigation for the preparation of District Survey Reports for river sand mining in 2020. The identification and survey of specific sites of sand deposition and the potential to remove sand from rivers help the State control illegal sand mining and avoid associated revenue loss and environmental and social impacts. Continuous cross-sectional surveys of river beds are necessary to calculate the sand replenishment rate in rivers, which could prevent the degradation of river ecosystems since the natural rate of sand replenishment in rivers is altered by the construction of dams, reservoirs, check dams and the rapid land-use changes that take place within the catchments. Like Sand Audit, the cross-section survey method is practised in Malaysia for sand deposit estimation. Sand inflow estimation was attempted for Periyar River using the formula developed by Mayer-Peter. This method arrived at optimal sand for extraction.
from each mining pit. Several other methods are followed in different countries to assess sand and gravel deposits. This includes geophysical methods like electrical resistivity tomography and Sediment Budget Studies. Sand shortage is reported from 13 rivers in Kerala through that further affects the water sediment balance which is comparable with the Sediment budget attempted in Yangtze River, China. However, Systematic Sand Audit requires modelling of rainfall-runoff, soil erosion, sediment routing, hydraulic and sediment transport data.

Conclusion

This study provides an overview of river Sand Auditing carried out in the State of Kerala from 2011 to 2019. The participatory approach applied increased the efficiency of the process of Sand Auditing. The quantity of sand available in rivers above the summer water level helps to decide on the sustainable river sand mining levels at each river. The standard guidelines developed for sand auditing helped to ensure that multiple agencies will follow a standard methodology. Different stakeholders use field-based data collected from 21 rivers through the cross-sectional Sand Audit surveys. This data can play a significant role in developing flood models and in formulating sustainable river basin management strategies in the context of massive floods that occurred in Kerala in 2018 and 2019.
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