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Functional contributions of HCN channels in the primary auditory neurons of the mouse inner ear
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The hyperpolarization-activated current, $I_h$, is carried by members of the $Hen$ channel family and contributes to resting potential and firing properties in excitable cells of various systems, including the auditory system. $I_h$ has been identified in spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs); however, its molecular correlates and their functional contributions have not been well characterized. To investigate the molecular composition of the channels that carry $I_h$ in SGNs, we examined $Hen$ mRNA harvested from spiral ganglia of neonatal and adult mice using quantitative RT-PCR. The data indicate expression of $Hen1$, $Hen2$, and $Hen4$ subunits in SGNs, with $Hen1$ being the most highly expressed at both stages. To investigate the functional contributions of HCN subunits, we used the whole-cell, tight-seal technique to record from wild-type SGNs and those deficient in $Hen1$, $Hen2$, or both. We found that HCN1 is the most prominent subunit contributing to $I_h$ in SGNs. Deletion of $Hen1$ resulted in reduced conductance ($G_h$), slower activation kinetics ($\tau_{act}$), and hyperpolarized half-activation ($V_{1/2}$) potentials. We demonstrate that $I_h$ contributes to SGN function with depolarized resting potentials, depolarized sag and rebound potentials, accelerated rebound spikes after hyperpolarization, and minimized jitter in spike latency for small depolarizing stimuli. Auditory brainstem responses of $Hen1$-deficient mice showed longer latencies, suggesting that HCN1-mediated $I_h$ is critical for synchronized spike timing in SGNs. Together, our data indicate that $I_h$ contributes to SGN membrane properties and plays a role in temporal aspects of signal transmission between the cochlea and the brain, which are critical for normal auditory function.

INTRODUCTION

Spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs) are the first-order sensory neurons of the auditory system, which provide afferent innervation of hair cells in the mammalian cochlea. SGNs convey signals from hair cells to the brain in a manner that preserves the amplitude, frequency, and temporal aspects of sound information (Geisler, 1998; Taberner and Liberman, 2005; Meyer and Moser, 2010). This high-fidelity signal transmission between the hair cells and the SGNs is critical for normal auditory processing and sound localization.

To perform these functions, SGNs express a variety of voltage-gated ion channels that allow efficient signal transfer from inner hair cells (IHCs; Davis, 2003). The expression of specific voltage-gated ion channels in SGNs allows them the ability to precisely encode graded membrane potentials of hair cells into trains of action potentials (APs). For example, SGNs express voltage-gated calcium and potassium channels along the cochlear tonotopic axis in a graded manner that reflects the tonotopic specialization of hair cells (Adamson et al., 2002; Davis, 2003; Chen et al., 2011; Lv et al., 2012).

The hyperpolarization-activated cationic current, $I_h$, has been identified in neurons of the auditory system (Banks et al., 1993; Bal and Oertel, 2000; Koch and Grothe, 2003), including SGNs (Chen, 1997; Mo and Davis, 1997; Yi et al., 2010), but the molecular composition of the channels that carry $I_h$ and their precise function have not been clarified. $I_h$ is carried by subunits of the HCN channel family, HCN1–4, which form homomeric and heterotetrameric channels (Ludwig et al., 1998; Ishii et al., 1999). Unlike other channels that activate upon depolarization, $I_h$ activates in response to membrane hyperpolarization negative to $-50$ mV and passes inward current that depolarizes the cell membrane. Thus, the unusual biophysical properties of $I_h$ contribute to resting membrane potential and firing properties in many different cell types (Robinson and Siegelbaum, 2003; Biel et al., 2009).

Recently, Yi et al. (2010) characterized $I_h$ at the hair cell afferent synapse; however, the molecular correlates of $I_h$ and their functional contributions in SGN cell bodies were not examined. To identify which $Hen$ genes are expressed in neonatal and adult SGNs, we harvested...
SGN mRNA for quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR) analysis. To examine the contributions of Hen gene expression to I\(_h\), we recorded from SGNs of WT mice and mice that lacked Hen1, Hen2, or both. Our qPCR and electrophysiology data indicate expression of HCN1, HCN2, and HCN4 subunits in SGNs, with HCN1 being the most highly expressed subunit. Deletion of Hen1 resulted in reduced conductance, hyperpolarized half-activation voltage, and slower activation kinetics. We recorded from SGNs in current-clamp mode to investigate the functional contributions of I\(_h\) to SGN membrane and firing properties. We show that I\(_h\) depolarizes SGN resting membrane potentials, regulates rebound spike timing, and synchronizes AP firing in response to small depolarizing stimuli. In addition, auditory brainstem responses (ABRs) indicate possible auditory deficits in Hen\(^{1−/−}\) animals. Hen\(^{1−/−}\) mice had delayed ABR latencies, suggesting a contribution to spike timing in the eighth cranial nerve. Collectively, the present study provides evidence that I\(_h\), HCN1 in particular, contributes to the membrane properties of SGNs and plays a role in the temporal aspects of auditory signal transmission required for normal auditory function.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

All animal protocols used in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee at the University of Virginia (protocol #3123) and Boston Children’s Hospital (protocol #11-04-1959). Experiments were performed in strict accordance with the guidelines therein. Hen1-deficient (Hen\(^{1−/−}\)) mice (strain: B6.129S-Hen\(^{1−/−}\)/J; Nolan et al., 2003) were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory, and Hen2-deficient (Hen\(^{2−/−}\)) mice were obtained originally from A. Ludwig (Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany; Ludwig et al., 2003). Mice deficient in Hen1 and Hen2 (Hen\(^{1/2−/−}\)) mice were generated by crossing Hen\(^{1−/−}\) and Hen\(^{2−/−}\) mice, as previously described (Horwitz et al., 2011). Both sexes of animals were used in nondiscriminatory fashion. WT control data were obtained from three different strains, Swiss Webster (Charles River; n = 11 SGNs), WT littermates (n = 5) obtained from Hen\(^{1−/−}\) and Hen\(^{2−/−}\) crosses, and WT littermates (n = 9) obtained from Hen\(^{2−/−}\) and Hen\(^{2−/−}\) crosses. We found no statistical difference in any of the analyzed parameters and have pooled the WT data.

**Neonatal SGN preparation**

SGNs were acutely dissected from neonatal mice, age ranging from postnatal day (P) 1 and P8. After rapid decapitation, cochlea from both sides of the inner ear were excised from the temporal bone and bathed in sterile MEM with GlutaMAX (Gibco) supplemented with 10 mM HEPES (Sigma-Aldrich) and 25 mg ampicillin (Sigma-Aldrich), pH 7.4. The bony labyrinth encapsulating the cochlea was chopped away to gain access to the whole cochlear turn, and the spiral ligament and stria vascularies were peeled off. SGNs were isolated carefully from the cochlear modiolus by severing the central fiber tracts that connect to the cochlear nucleus. No enzyme was used for SGN dissection. Care was given so that the SGN peripheral fibers and the organ of Corti were left relatively intact. SGN explants were divided into halves as base and apex in relation to the cochlear tonotopic axis and mounted flat on glass coverslips (Thermo Fisher Scientific), with organ of Corti facing down to gain better access to SGN cell bodies. The sections were secured in place under two thin glass fibers glued to the coverslip with Sylgard 184 (Dow Corning). SGN organotypic explants were incubated at 37°C in a humidified incubator (5% CO\(_2\)) for 1–2 h, after which they were used for acute electrophysiological experiments. All SGN explants were used within 4–5 h after dissection.

**Type I SGNs**

SGNs can be categorized into type I and type II neurons based on their innervation pattern and morphology. Type I neurons, which constitute 90–95% of the entire SGN population, innervate IHCs and are responsible for sound information transfer (Liberman, 1982). Type I neurons are highly myelinated (Romand and Rand, 1987) and in general have relatively large cell body size compared with type II neurons (Rusznák and Szács, 2009). The myelin sheath tightly covers the axon fibers and loosely wraps around the cell bodies of SGNs, ensuring high velocity signal conduction to the central pathway. In contrast, type II neurons, which compose the remaining ~5% of SGNs, innervate the outer hair cells (Perkins and Morest, 1975). Type II neurons are unmyelinated and have smaller cell bodies than type I neurons (Rusznák and Szács, 2009). The different morphology and abundance in number allowed for easy detection of neonatal type I SGNs for electrophysiological recordings. All neonatal SGN data presented in this paper were obtained from type I cells.

**Adult SGN culture**

Adult mouse SGN culture technique was performed in accordance to the method previously described (Lv et al., 2010). Adult mouse was sacrificed and decapitated rapidly. For each culture, three to four animals were pooled. Temporal bones were removed from the skull and bathed in ice-cold MEM with Hank’s salt (Gibco) supplemented with 0.2 mg/ml kynurenic acid (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 mM MgCl\(_2\), 2% (vol/vol) FBS (Gibco), and 6 g/liter d-glucose (Sigma-Aldrich). The bony shell encapsulating the cochlea was chopped off using a scalpel, and the organ of Corti was removed from the central core. The modiolus, which contained the SGNs, was isolated in the above dissecting media, in which the 2% (vol/vol) FBS was replaced with 2% (vol/vol) B27 (Gibco), and split into apical and basal sections. The sections were subsequently digested in enzyme solution containing 1 mg/ml collagenase type 1 (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1 mg/ml DNase (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C for 15 min. After enzyme treatment, 0.25% trypsin (Gibco) was added to the solution and incubated for an additional 10 min at 37°C. The reaction was quenched with equal volume FBS (vol/vol). After gentle trituration, the cell solution was transferred to Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) containing 0.45 M sucrose (Sigma-Aldrich) and centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 5 min. The cell pellets were reconstituted in Neurobasal A (Gibco) supplemented with 2% B27, 0.5 mM t-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), and 100 U/ml penicillin (Sigma-Aldrich). The freshly isolated SGN cells were filtered through a 40-µm cell strainer and plated onto glass coverslips, pretreated with 0.5 mg/ml poly-d-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1 mg/ml laminin (Sigma-Aldrich). The SGN culture was supplied with 10% FBS, 0.2 mg/ml kynurenic acid, 10 ng/ml NT3 (Sigma-Aldrich), and 10 ng/ml BDNF (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated at 37°C in a humidified incubator (5% CO\(_2\)) for 24–48 h before electrophysiological recordings. To ensure quality recording and minimize space-clamp errors, only spherical SGNs with one or two neurites were selected for voltage-clamp recordings.

**Electrophysiology**

The whole-cell, tight-seal patch clamp technique was used in voltage- and current-clamp modes to record I\(_h\) from SGN cell bodies. All electrophysiological recordings were performed at
room temperature (22–24°C). SGN explants were placed into a custom-made recording chamber and viewed under an Axioskop FS upright microscope (Carl Zeiss) equipped with 63× water-immersion lens and differential interference contrast optics. SGN explants were bathed in a standard external solution that contained (mM): 137 NaCl, 0.7 NaH2PO4, 5.8 KCl, 1.3 CaCl2, 0.9 MgCl2, 5.6 d-glucose, 10 HEPES, amino acids (1:50; Gibco), and vitamins (1:100; Gibco). The pH was adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH and the measured osmolarity was 303 mOsml/kg. Recording pipettes (3–5 MΩ) were pulled from R-6 soda lime capillaries (King Precision Glass) using a two-stage vertical pipette puller (PC-10; Narishige). To minimize pipette capacitance, tips were coated with ski wax. Recording pipettes were filled with standard internal solution that contained (mM): 135 KCl, 2.5 MgCl2, 2.5 K2-ATP, 5.0 HEPES, 5.0 EGTA, and 0.1 CaCl2, pH 7.4 (KOH), 283 mOsml/kg. All reagents for electrophysiology solution were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, unless otherwise noted. Is was recorded immediately after the cell membrane was broken through at gigaohm (GΩ) seal. Series resistance (Rs) and membrane capacitance (Cm) were corrected. Both parameters were continuously monitored to ensure stable recording. Compensated residual Rs was <7 MΩ on average.

For pharmacology experiments, the following drugs were applied to the external bath: 100 µM ZD7288 (Tocris Bioscience), 100 µM NiCl2 (Sigma-Aldrich), and 200 µM 8-bromo-cAMP (Sigma-Aldrich). Chemicals were dissolved in deionized water (dH2O) to appropriate stock concentrations, stored at −20°C, and applied directly to the external solution at the desired final concentrations.

Electrophysiological data from SGNs was recorded using an Axopatch200B (Molecular Devices) amplifier. Signals were filtered at 1 kHz with a low pass Bessel filter and digitized at ≥20 kHz using a microblade so that the SGN isolates only contained (GΩ) seal. Series resistance (Rs) and membrane capacitance (Cm) were corrected. Both parameters were continuously monitored to ensure stable recording. Compensated residual Rs was <7 MΩ on average.

For pharmacology experiments, the following drugs were applied to the external bath: 100 µM ZD7288 (Tocris Bioscience), 100 µM NiCl2 (Sigma-Aldrich), and 200 µM 8-bromo-cAMP (Sigma-Aldrich). Chemicals were dissolved in deionized water (dH2O) to appropriate stock concentrations, stored at −20°C, and applied directly to the external solution at the desired final concentrations.

Electrophysiological data from SGNs was recorded using an Axopatch200B (Molecular Devices) amplifier. Signals were filtered at 1 kHz with a low pass Bessel filter and digitized at ≥20 kHz using a 12-bit acquisition system, Digidata 1332 (Axon Instruments), and pClamp 9.0 (Molecular Devices). All stimulus protocols were generated using pClamp 9.0, and data were stored on a PC.

SGN microdissection and qPCR
Neonatal SGN tissue was harvested from P3 Swiss Webster mice in ice-cold MEM with Hank’s salt supplemented with 0.2 mg/ml kynurenic acid. The bony shell encapsulating the cochlea was chipped away using a scalpel. SGNs were excised from the central core, and processed in parallel for RNA isolation and qPCR experiments.

Adult SGNs were harvested from Swiss Webster mice 2P40. Temporal bones were removed from the skull and bathed in ice-cold MEM with Hank’s salt supplemented with 0.2 mg/ml kynurenic acid. The bony shell encapsulating the cochlea was chipped away using a scalpel. SGNs were excised from the central core, and processed in parallel for RNA isolation and qPCR experiments.

The frozen SGN microisolates were thawed, spun down in a centrifuge to remove excess media, and processed for RNA isolation. Total SGN RNA was prepared with an RNAqueous micro kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The isolated RNA was then purified with a DNAfree RNA kit (Zymo Research) and stored in −80°C. RNA concentration was measured on a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop ND-1000; Thermo Fisher Scientific). For RNA quality control, the samples were analyzed with a Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies) and found to have an RNA integrity number of ≥9.0. 100 ng RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit (QIAGEN). qPCR was performed in triplicates using SYBR Green ER qPCR Supermix (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and processed on a CFX Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Each reaction (25 µl) included primers at 0.2–0.4 µM and cDNA generated from 5 ng RNA. qPCR parameters were set for 40 cycles, and amplicon purity was confirmed with melt curve analysis. qPCR primers had melting temperatures ranging from 58 to 61°C, and the sequences were as follows: Hcn1, 5′-ACATCCTGTGCTATTGG-3′ and 5′-AACAA CATCCTGGTACAGG-3′; Hcn2, 5′-ACTTCTCCACCCGGATTGAATG-3′ and 5′-TCGGATCTCTCTCCATAGG-3′; Hcn3, 5′-CTCTGATCGCTACACATACA- CCAGT-3′ and 5′-GACACACGCGACATCACTCC-3′; Hcn4, 5′-ACTTTAACTGCAGGAAGCTTGGC-3′ and 5′-GAAAGGCAACTTGGTCAGCATGGA-3′. qPCR primer sets were designed to amplify specific regions of HCN amplicons produced by RT-PCR (Horwitz et al., 2010).

Expression levels and possible genomic DNA contamination were tested with ribosomal 28S as housekeeping gene by running reverse transcribed cDNA samples and no-reverse transcript controls simultaneously. Cochlear hair cell contamination was determined with cochlear hair cell-specific gene prestin as a control. The sequences of ribosomal 28S and prestin primers (Lelli et al., 2009) were as follows: 28S, 5′-GGGATCACCCAGCCGAATTGC-3′ and 5′-GGGAGCAGTGGGCCACATG-3′; and prestin, 5′-TTAAGCCGCTTGGTAGGTTGTAGGTTG-3′ and 5′-GTGACAGAGGCGCTGTTATCG-3′.

ABRs
Hcn1+/− mice (n = 7) and their WT littermates (n = 5) were used for the ABR recording. Because of the mixed genetic background (C57BL/6 and 129S) of the strain, mice were tested at 6–7 wk of age to minimize confounding factors from age-related hearing loss that these strains exhibit around 3 mo of age (Zheng et al., 1999; Kane et al., 2012).

ABRs were performed in a sound-proof chamber. Before ABR measurements, mice were anesthetized with 100 mg/kg ketamine and 20 mg/kg xylazine via intraperitoneal injection and placed on a heating pad to maintain body temperature. Needle electrodes were placed subdermally at the vertex and below the pinna, and a ground electrode was placed near the tail. Tone pips (5 ms, 40 Hz) at varying frequencies (5.6, 8, 11.3, 16, 22.6, and 32 kHz) were delivered to the right ear via a sound system, in which dual sound source was coupled to a microphone and positioned near the ear canal. Each stimulus was presented with alternating polarities, and the responses were recorded with increasing sound pressure levels, from 10 to 80 dB with 5-dB intervals. For each level, waveforms were amplified (gain 10,000), filtered (0.1–3 kHz), and averaged across 1,024 presentations. Threshold was determined with visual inspection of the waveforms. Amplitude and latencies of the ABR waveforms were analyzed using ABR Peak Analysis software.

Data analysis
Offline data analysis was performed using OriginPro 7.5 (Origin Laboratory). Liquid junction potentials (4 mV) were adjusted offline for all membrane potentials. Voltage-dependent steady-state I0 activation was analyzed by using the following Boltzmann equation:

\[ G(V_m) = G_{min} + \frac{G_{max} - G_{min}}{1 + e^{(V_m - V_{1/2})/s}} \]

where G max and G min are the maximum and minimum conductance, V 1/2 is the voltage at half maximum activation and s is the slope factor.
expression in SGNs is minimal or nonexistent based on the low mRNA copy number; thus, its functional contributions in neonatal SGNs are likely to be negligible.

In adult SGNs our qPCR analysis of *Hcn* mRNA revealed expression of *Hcn1*, *Hcn2*, and *Hcn4* (Fig. 1 B). *Hcn1* was again the most highly expressed, with a significant increase in mRNA copy number relative to neonatal stages (Fig. 1, A and B). When normalized to *Hcn3*, the relative expression ratio was *Hcn1*:*Hcn2*:*Hcn3*:*Hcn4* 1,000:300:1:100. The data suggest that HCN1 is the principal subunit at both neonatal and adult stages.

To examine *Ih* in SGN cell bodies, we used the whole-cell, tight-seal technique in the voltage-clamp configuration to record from organotypic SGN explants acutely excised from neonatal mouse cochlea (P1–8). In response to 4-s hyperpolarizing voltage injections stepped from −74 to −144 mV in 10-mV increments from a holding potential of −84 mV, WT SGNs exhibited slowly activating inward currents. A representative family of current traces is shown in Fig. 2 A, in which the slowly activating inward current was detected at potentials negative to −84 mV and was fully activated at potentials negative to −124 mV. This current profile is characteristic of *Ih* in other neurons including SGNs (Chen, 1997; Mo and Davis, 1997; Yi et al., 2010) and was observed in every WT SGN we examined (n = 143).

To analyze the voltage dependence of *Ih* in WT SGNs, activation curves were generated by fitting a Boltzmann function (Eq. 1) to tail currents plotted as a function of step potential (Fig. 2 B). Tail currents were measured at the instant of a step to −84 mV, very close to potassium equilibrium potential (EK). At −84 mV, contamination from potassium currents was minimal and other voltage-dependent currents were deactivated. WT SGNs (n = 25) had a mean maximum conductance (Gm) of 2.3 ± 0.8 nS and half-activation voltage (V1/2) of −97.1 ± 4.1 mV (range: −91.2 to −106.0 mV) with a slope factor (s) of 5.9 ± 0.6 mV (range: 5.0–7.2 mV). These activation parameters were similar to those previously reported for *Ih* activation in SGNs of various species (Chen, 1997; Mo and Davis, 1997; Szabó et al., 2002; Yi et al., 2010).

WT SGNs showed a developmental increase in the amplitude of *Ih* during the first postnatal week (Fig. 2 D). We measured Gm between P1 and P8 and found a gradual increase as a function of age. This developmental increase in Gm in WT SGNs also reflected graded expression of *Ih* along the cochlear tonotopic axis (Fig. 2, C and D). Comparison of Gm from apical and basal cells within the same age groups (P1–4) revealed significantly larger Gm in basal than apical SGNs (Fig. 2 D). By the end of the first postnatal week, the tonotopic gradient had diminished.

$Ih$ in neonatal SGNs is carried by HCN1, HCN2, and HCN4. To verify that the hyperpolarization-activated inward currents in WT SGN cell bodies were carried by HCN channels, we applied the HCN blocker ZD7288 during
that the ZD7288-sensitive currents were nearly identical in amplitude and activation kinetics to the control currents. Importantly, the subtracted currents did not include properties of any other current, suggesting that 100 µM ZD7288 is specific for Ih in SGNs. Although we have shown ZD7288 to be an Ih-specific antagonist (BoSmith et al., 1993; Harris and Constanti, 1995), it does not distinguish among the four HCN subunits. Thus, we wondered which HCN subunits contribute to the biophysical properties of Ih in WT SGNs. A previous study indicated localization of HCN1 and HCN4 subunits in rat SGN cell bodies at P9–10 (Yi et al., 2010); however, functional evidence implicating specific HCN subunits was not reported. Another study reported the presence of all four HCN subunits in adult guinea pig SGN cell bodies (Bakondi et al., 2009), raising the possibility that all four HCN subunits may be present in WT mouse SGNs.

To determine whether the Hcn mRNA expression patterns (Fig. 1) were translated into physiological expression of Ih, we recorded from SGNs excised from mice deficient in Hcn1, Hcn2, or both. Because our data and several in situ hybridization studies indicate very low expression levels of Hcn3 mRNA (Ludwig et al., 1998; Moosmang et al., 1999), Hcn3−/− mice were not investigated. Hcn4 is expressed in SGNs; however, Hcn4−/− mice are embryonic lethal (Stieber et al., 2003), and conditional Hcn4−/− mice are not available. Representative families of Ih recorded from SGNs of WT, Hcn1−/−, Hcn2−/−, and Hcn1,2−/− mice are shown in Fig. 4 A. Inward currents indicative of Ih were present in SGNs harvested from mice of all four genotypes. Current amplitudes and activation kinetics were noticeably diminished in Hcn1−/− SGNs relative to WT. Hcn2−/− SGNs showed reduced current amplitudes; however, their current activation kinetics was not significantly different from WT. In Hcn1,2−/− SGNs, in which both Hcn1 and Hcn2 were deficient, Ih was significantly reduced.

**Figure 2.** Ih in SGNs. (A) Representative current traces recorded from a WT SGN cell body (P3, base) in response to 4-s voltage steps from a holding potential of −84 mV to potentials between −144 and −74 mV in 10-mV increments. The bottom panel shows the voltage-clamp protocol. (B) A representative activation curve for Gh generated from the tail currents shown in A. Tail currents were divided by driving force (40 mV) and fitted with a Boltzmann function (black line; Eq. 1): V1/2 = −98.3 mV, s = 5.3 mV, and Gh = 3.4 nS. (C) Representative Ih traces of from apical and basal SGNs (P3) from the same cochlear explant. The scale bar applies to both current families. (D) Mean maximal conductance (Gh) plotted as a function of postnatal age and cochlear location (apex vs. base). Statistically significant differences (**, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001) indicated a tonotopic gradient (apex vs. base) during the first postnatal week. There was also a gradual, yet significant (P < 0.001), increase in Gh during the first postnatal week (mean of P0–4 relative to P5–8). Number of samples for each group is shown at the bottom. Error bars equal ±1 SD.

**Figure 3.** Ih in SGNs is carried by HCN channels. (A and B) Representative currents recorded from a WT, P2, basal SGN in response to hyperpolarizing voltage steps before (A) and after (B) 100 µM ZD7288 bath application. Voltage-clamp protocol is shown below. (C) Subtracted currents from datasets A and B show characteristics of Ih. The scale bar applies to all current families.
Representative activation curves are shown in Fig. 4 B. Relative to WT controls, the mean $G_h$ was significantly (P < 0.01) reduced in both $Hcn1^{-/-}$ and $Hcn2^{-/-}$ SGNs, indicating expression of both HCN1 and HCN2 subunits contributes to $I_h$ at early postnatal stages (Fig. 4 C). Reduction of $G_h$ was most prominent in $Hcn1,2^{-/-}$ SGNs (P < 0.001). We suggest the larger reduction of $G_h$ in $Hcn1,2^{-/-}$ SGNs is a consequence of simultaneous deletion of HCN1 and HCN2 subunits. The small residual $I_h$ in $Hcn1,2^{-/-}$ was blocked by ZD7288, indicating the presence of other HCN subunits, most likely HCN4 (Fig. 4 A). In summary, the data suggest a prominent role for HCN1 with minor contributions from HCN2 and perhaps HCN4.

Analysis of $G_h$ activation curves from $Hcn$ mutant mice revealed additional evidence suggesting involvement of HCN1, HCN2, and HCN4 subunits in neonatal SGNs (Fig. 4, C–E). The mean voltage of half-activation was shifted in the negative direction in both $Hcn1^{-/-}$ and $Hcn1,2^{-/-}$ SGNs relative to WT (P < 0.001). $Hcn2^{-/-}$ SGNs also showed a slight but significant (P < 0.05) negative shift in $V_{1/2}$, raising the possibility that HCN2 makes a minor functional contribution in neonatal SGNs (Fig. 4 D). There were significant differences in the mean slope factors of $Hcn1^{-/-}$, $Hcn2^{-/-}$, and $Hcn1,2^{-/-}$ SGNs relative to WT, further supporting involvement of both HCN1 and HCN2 in neonatal SGNs (Fig. 4 E).

The kinetics of $I_h$ activation was measured from double exponential fits to currents evoked by steps to $-144 \text{ mV}$ (Fig. 5 A). Fast time constants ($\tau_{\text{fast}}$) were significantly (P < 0.001) slower in both $Hcn1^{-/-}$ (393 ± 123 ms; n = 18) and $Hcn1,2^{-/-}$ (522 ± 99 ms; n = 8) SGNs compared with WT (127 ± 47 ms; n = 18) and $Hcn2^{-/-}$ (134 ± 57 ms; n = 19) SGNs (Fig. 5 B). Similarly, slow time constants ($\tau_{\text{slow}}$) were significantly (P < 0.05) slower in both $Hcn1^{-/-}$ (2,922 ± 2,168 ms; n = 18) and $Hcn1,2^{-/-}$ (2,993 ± 1,970 ms; n = 8) compared with WT (799 ± 901 ms; n = 7) and $Hcn2^{-/-}$ (951 ± 670 ms; n = 19).

In summary, the data from $Hcn$-deficient mice revealed $I_h$ had significantly different biophysical properties in SGNs that lacked $Hcn1$. The differences included reduced current and conductance amplitudes, more negative $V_{1/2}$ values, and slower activation kinetics. These differences suggest that HCN1 subunits contribute to the...
properties of Ih in SGNs. Interestingly, we found some differences in the properties of Ih in Hcn2−/− SGNs compared with WT, including reduction in Gh, a small negative shift in V1/2, and a slight broadening of the activation curve, but no difference in kinetics, suggesting that the functional contributions of HCN2 to Ih in SGNs during early postnatal stages are minor relative to HCN1. Lastly, we suggest that small residual Ih in Hcn1,2−/− SGNs implies the presence of another HCN subunit. As Hcn3 expression was shown to be minimal (Fig. 1 A), the small residual Ih is mostly likely caused by expression of Hcn4.

Ih is modulated by cAMP
HCN channel activity can be modulated by intracellular cyclic nucleotides (DiFrancesco, 1986; DiFrancesco and Tortora, 1991). Previous work in auditory brainstem neurons has shown that cAMP shifts Ih activation toward more depolarized potentials and speeds up activation kinetics (Banks et al., 1993; Yamada et al., 2005). To examine cAMP modulation of Ih in SGNs, we measured Ih activation after application of a membrane-permeable cAMP analogue, 8-Br-cAMP (200 µM), to the external bath (Fig. 6 A). In the presence of 8-Br-cAMP, the Ih activation range was shifted (P < 0.001) toward more depolarized potentials (V1/2 = −88.5 ± 0.6 mV; n = 4) compared with control (V1/2 = −95.3 ± 0.5 mV; n = 4; Fig. 6 B), with no increase in the maximal conductance. The 7-mV shift in V1/2 in the presence of cAMP accounted for a 20% increase in Ih active at rest (approximately −80 mV). Similar results were found in rat SGN afferent dendrites, in which application of 200 µM 8-Br-cAMP resulted in a 12-mV positive shift in V1/2 (Yi et al., 2010).

Ih in adult SGNs
We found a significant increase in the amplitude of Ih at adult stages, indicating developmental regulation (Fig. 7 A). Cultured WT SGNs (n = 11; apex, n = 5; base, n = 6) from adult mice P40 or older had a mean conductance of 13.4 ± 4.2 nS, which represented a sixfold increase in Gh compared with neonatal SGNs (P1–4, Gh = 2.3 ± 0.8 nS; n = 25). The tonotopic gradient in Gh expression during the first postnatal week (Fig. 2 D) was absent at adult stages (apex, 15.1 ± 5.8 nS; base, 12.0 ± 1.9 nS; P = 0.24), V1/2 (apex, −94.9 ± 3.8 mV; base, −92.3 ± 6.3 mV; P = 0.44), and s (apex, 7.8 ± 1.8 mV; base, 7.8 ± 2.8 mV; P = 0.93).

Representative activation curves for adult WT and Hcn1−/− SGNs are shown in Fig. 7 B. Relative to adult WT SGNs, adult Hcn1−/− SGNs had significantly (P < 0.001) reduced mean Gh (Fig. 7 C), whereas Hcn2−/− SGNs had Gh values similar to WT. The V1/2 values of adult Hcn1−/− were also significantly (P < 0.001) hyperpolarized compared with WT, whereas Hcn2−/− SGNs were not (Fig. 7, B and D). The slope factors were not significantly different between the three genotypes (not depicted). Activation kinetics of adult Ih was analyzed as described for neonatal Ih. Mean fast time constants (τfast) for WT, Hcn1−/−, and Hcn2−/− SGNs are presented in Fig. 7 E. Interestingly, the activation kinetics (τina) was significantly (P < 0.01) faster in adult WT neurons (57.3 ± 17.7 ms; n = 11) than in early postnatal neurons (127 ± 47 ms; n = 18). Yet, like the early postnatal cells, deletion of HCN1 subunits resulted in a significant slowing of Ih activation in adult SGNs (255.6 ± 67.3 ms; n = 6; P < 0.01),
whereas deletion of HCN2 subunits did not have a significant effect on fast activation kinetics (43.2 ± 16.4; n = 11) compared with WT. Likewise, mean slow activation time constants (τslow) were significantly (P < 0.001) prolonged in Hen1−/− (2,209 ± 1,408 ms; n = 6) compared with WT (870 ± 699 ms; n = 11) and Hen2−/− (611 ± 335 ms; n = 11). Hen1,2−/− mice do not survive into adulthood and thus were not examined. The adult qPCR data (Fig. 1 B) are consistent with the voltage-clamp data (Fig. 7), which showed significant reduction (approximately sixfold) of Gh in Hen1−/− SGNs, supporting the notion that HCN1 is the principal subunit contributing to Ih function in WT adult SGNs.

In summary, genetic deletion of Hen1 had qualitatively similar effects in neonatal and adult SGNs, indicating that HCN1 subunits are major components of Ih channels in both early postnatal and adult SGNs. Deletion of Hen2 was without significant effect at adult stages, raising the possibility that HCN2 contributions to SGN cell bodies may be transient. Doubly deficient Hen1,2−/− mice did not live to adult stages, yet the similarity between residual Ih in neonatal Hen1,2−/− SGNs and the small residual Ih in adult Hen1−/− SGNs suggests the presence of additional HCN subunits, mostly likely HCN4 based on the qPCR analysis. The faster Ih activation kinetics at adult stages suggests that additional factors, perhaps intrinsic differences in endogenous cAMP levels, may also contribute to Ih function in adult SGNs.

Ih contributes to resting potential
To examine the functional contributions of Ih to SGN membrane responses, we recorded from neonatal SGNs (P1–8) in current-clamp mode. Application of ZD7288 resulted in significant (P < 0.05) hyperpolarization of WT SGN resting membrane potentials (Fig. 8, A and B). The mean resting membrane potentials before and after bath application of 100 µM ZD7288 revealed a 6-mV hyperpolarization. The hyperpolarized resting membrane potential after ZD7288 treatment was similar to that of Hen1−/− SGN resting membrane potentials (Fig. 8 A), whereas there was no significant difference in Hen2−/− or Hen1,2−/− SGNs. The data suggest that HCN1 subunits contribute depolarizing current that shifts the WT SGN resting membrane potential in the excitatory direction. The lack of resting potential effect in the Hen1,2−/− SGNs was unexpected but may be a result of compensatory up-regulation of HCN4 subunits or some other depolarizing current as a consequence of genetic deletion of both Hen1 and Hen2.

Ih contributes to sag and rebound potentials
To examine the contributions of Ih to membrane responses evoked by small input currents, we injected 5-pA hyperpolarizing currents for 2 s in WT SGNs. The membrane responded with a small fast hyperpolarization followed by a depolarizing “sag,” which returned the membrane potential toward the resting level (Fig. 8 B). The 5-pA current injections were sufficient to produce prominent sag, while ensuring that the cell did not hyperpolarize negative to the physiological range, approximately −100 mV. The depolarization sag developed over ~150 ms, which reflected the slow activation kinetics (τslow) of WT Ih (Fig. 5). At the termination of the hyperpolarizing current step, a prominent rebound potential (10–15 mV; n = 11) was evident (Fig. 8 B, black trace).

To confirm whether these membrane responses were the result of Ih, we blocked Ih with bath application of 100 µM ZD7288 (Fig. 8 B). ZD7288 hyperpolarized the resting potential and abolished the depolarization sag (Fig. 8 B, red trace) with a difference of 11.6 ± 2.8 mV (n = 10) relative to control (Fig. 8 C). The sag was measured as the difference between the peak hyperpolarization and the steady-state potential near the end of the sag.
current step (Fig. 8 B). The rebound potential was also abolished in the presence of ZD7288, indicating the contribution of I_h at the offset of the current step. (Fig. 8 B, red trace).

I_h contributes to SGN firing properties
The preceding data showed the involvement of I_h in shaping membrane responses to small current injections. Next, we investigated the functional contributions of I_h to AP firing in WT cells and in cells exposed to ZD7288. We used two different current-clamp conditions. In the first condition, APs were evoked from rest (I = 0) by injection of depolarizing currents in 2-pA increments. In the second condition, APs were evoked at the termination of 2-s membrane hyperpolarization to physiological levels (i.e., not exceeding −100 mV). In both conditions, AP threshold and latency were measured in the same cell before and after ZD7288 treatment. The current-clamp protocols were designed to allow systematic comparison of I_h contributions to SGN firing properties at rest, when HCN channels are partially active, and after hyperpolarization, when HCN channels are maximally activated within the physiological range.

As shown in Fig. 9 (A and B), an initial spike (at the onset of a depolarizing step) and a rebound spike (at the offset of a hyperpolarizing step) were evoked both before and after ZD7288 treatment. We found no significant difference in threshold for either the initial spike or the rebound spike, although the current required to evoke rebound spikes was less than that required for the initial spike (not depicted).

However, spike latency was significantly delayed for the rebound spike after treatment with ZD7288. Latency was measured as the time from the current step to the peak of first spike. There was a slight delay in the initial spike after ZD7288 exposure, but the latency difference after ZD7288 treatment (16.5 ± 7.7 ms; n = 9) was not statistically significant compared with control (15.2 ± 7.6 ms; n = 9; P = 0.08; Fig. 9, A [inset] and C). In contrast, there was a significant delay in the rebound spike in ZD7288-treated cells (P = 0.02) compared with control (Fig. 9, B [inset] and C). Blockage of I_h delayed the rebound spikes, on average, by 9.1 ± 9.6 ms.

Figure 8. I_h contributes to neonatal (P1–8) SGN membrane responses. (A) Comparison of resting membrane potential (Vrest) before and after treatment with 100 µM ZD7288 in WT SGNs. Note that ZD7288 hyperpolarizes WT SGN Vrest to potentials comparable with that of Hcn1−/− SGNs. (B) Representative current-clamp recordings in WT SGNs (P3) in response to 2-s hyperpolarizing current (5 pA) injections. Note the depolarizing sag and rebound potential at the end of hyperpolarizing current step in the control condition (black trace). In the presence of 100 µM ZD7288, the depolarization sag and rebound potential are abolished (red trace). (C) Quantification of sag amplitude before and after treatment with 100 µM ZD7288 from the same cells. Sag amplitude was measured as the difference between the peak hyperpolarization and the steady-state potential near the end of the current step. Error bars equal +1 SD. *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001.

Figure 9. I_h modulates firing properties in SGNs. (A) Representative traces (P1, apex) showing AP firing in WT SGNs in two different conditions. An initial spike was evoked from resting membrane potential (I = 0) by injecting depolarizing currents in 2-pA increments until threshold was reached (current-clamp protocol below). (B) Representative rebound spikes (P1, apex) were evoked after hyperpolarization of the membrane to potentials within the physiological range (at least −100 mV). Both initial spikes and rebound spikes were examined in the same cell. For each condition, representative recordings obtained in control (black traces) and in the presence of 100 µM ZD7288 (red traces) were superimposed for comparison. The insets show the same spike pairs on an expanded time scale. (C) Comparison of spike latency for initial spikes and rebound spikes (P1–3) before (black) and after 100 µM ZD7288 application (red). Error bars equal +1 SD. *, P < 0.05.
To investigate the possibility that the delay in the rebound spike may have been affected by activation of low-voltage-activated calcium channels, we used bath application of the calcium channel blockers, nickel (100 µM) or mibefradil (2 µM), either alone or together with 100 µM ZD7288. Neither nickel nor mibefradil alone affected rebound spike latency, as no difference was found before (control) and after treatment (Fig. 10, A–C). However, when ZD7288 was added subsequently, there was a significant (P < 0.01) delay in the rebound spike, again indicating that Ih and not low-voltage-activated calcium channels is a significant contributor for rebound spike timing in SGNs (Fig. 10, A–C).

To investigate whether the Ih effects on resting potential, sag potential, and spike latency were caused by HCN1 or HCN2, we examined adult SGNs of WT, Hcn1−/−, and Hcn2−/− mice. SGNs from adult Hcn1−/− mice had resting potentials that were significantly more hyperpolarized than both WT and Hcn2−/− SGNs (Fig. 11 A). Hyperpolarizing current steps evoked a prominent sag (Fig. 11 B) that decayed more quickly than the sag recorded from neonatal SGNs (Fig. 8 B), consistent with the faster Ih activation kinetics in adult SGNs (Fig. 7 E). The amplitude of the sag potential was also significantly reduced in adult Hcn1−/− SGNs relative to WT and Hcn2−/− SGNs (Fig. 11 C). Lastly, we noted a delay in rebound spike latency in adult Hcn1−/− SGNs (Fig. 11, B and D), which confirms a prominent role for HCN1 in spike timing in adult SGNs.

To address the extent to which tonically active Ih contributes to AP firing, we delivered a series of 20 AP threshold current steps in WT SGN cell bodies before and after bath application of 100 µM ZD7288. Before ZD7288 treatment, SGNs exhibited little variation in spike latency for a threshold stimulus (Fig. 12 A, black traces). After application of ZD7288, we found both an AP delay and a larger distribution in spike latency (Fig. 12 A, red traces), suggesting that Ih active at rest contributes to the regularity of spike timing. To examine the contributions of Ih to the AP wave form, the spikes shown in Fig. 12 A were aligned with their peaks at time 0 and averaged. The averaged spikes before and after application of ZD7288 (Fig. 12 B) revealed that Ih functions to speed the depolarization to threshold and depolarize the membrane during the after hyperpolarization but does not affect the peak or rising/falling phases of the AP. Spike latency was also delayed and with greater distribution for small super-threshold stimuli of 30–40 pA (Fig. 12 C), but the effect was absent for larger stimuli (≥50 pA). The data support the notion that Ih helps shape the membrane response to small current steps, has significant impact on AP latency, and functions to enhance synchronized firing in response to small depolarizing stimuli.

**Ih contributes to synchronized firing**

Given that the results presented in the previous sections show HCN1 subunits carry a significant fraction of Ih in both neonatal and adult SGNs and that Ih contributes to resting membrane potential, spike latency, and synchrony, we wondered whether Ih may contribute to auditory processing. Behavioral deficits in auditory function have not been reported in previous studies of Hcn-deficient mice (Ludwig et al., 2003; Nolan et al., 2003, 2004; Herrmann et al., 2007). As none of these prior studies focused on auditory function, we decided to measure ABRs to sound stimuli in adult Hcn1−/− mice and their WT littermate controls. We restricted our analysis to the first peak of the ABR waveform, which represents the summed activity of the eighth cranial nerve. Relative to WT littermate controls, Hcn1−/− mice showed no difference in threshold (Fig. 13 A) or amplitude (Fig. 13 B), indicating that...
auditory sensitivity and the number of responsive SGNs was unaffected. However, we did notice that \( \text{Hen}^{1-/-} \) mice showed longer compound AP latencies at several stimulus intensities (Fig. 13 C). As temporal fidelity is a critical parameter for auditory processing, we suggest that differences in latency at the level of the single SGN AP and in the ABR waveform may have significant consequences for normal sound processing.

**DISCUSSION**

We characterized \( I_h \) in SGN cell bodies harvested from neonatal (P1–8) and adult (≥P40) mice using the whole-cell, tight-seal technique. Biophysical analysis of \( I_h \) in WT and \( \text{Hen} \)-deficient mice indicated a prominent role for HCN1 subunits as major carriers of \( I_h \) in SGNs. \( \text{Hen}^{1-/-} \) SGNs exhibited significantly reduced conductances (\( G_h \)), hyperpolarized half-activation voltages (\( V_{1/2} \)), and slow activation kinetics (\( \tau_{\text{act}} \)). qPCR analysis of \( \text{Hen} \) mRNA revealed strong expression of \( h1 \) in both neonatal and adult SGNs, strengthening the notion that HCN1 is a major functional subunit underlying \( I_h \) in SGNs. \( I_h \) in SGNs is partially active at the cell’s resting membrane potential and its activation increases with hyperpolarization. Here we present evidence that \( I_h \) contributes to SGN resting membrane potentials and firing properties, as blockage of \( I_h \) hyperpolarized the resting potential, abolished depolarization sag potentials, delayed rebound spikes, and increased variance in spike latencies. Furthermore, \( \text{Hen}^{1-/-} \) mice showed longer ABR latencies, further supporting the view that HCN1 subunits contribute to AP firing patterns and high-fidelity auditory information transfer between the periphery and the brain.

**SGN \( I_h \) is developmentally regulated**

SGNs express various voltage-dependent currents (Adamson et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2011) in a manner that may serve to enhance developmental mechanisms throughout the first postnatal week until the onset of hearing, P10–12 (Romand, 1983). Consistent with this notion, we found that \( I_h \) in SGNs is developmentally regulated.
regulated. Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings from WT SGN cell bodies revealed a gradual increase in $G_h$ over the first postnatal week. Because of myelination of SGN cell bodies (Romand and Romand, 1987; Echteler, 1992), which presents a technical limitation for whole-cell electrophysiological recordings, we did not attempt to record from SGNs beyond P8, a time point after which myelination becomes prohibitively thick and the bony labyrinth encapsulating SGNs becomes fully calcified. Although our recording time frame (P1–8) does not provide the full view of SGN $I_h$ development, it did reveal a clear pattern leading up to the onset of hearing. Because synaptogenesis and mature innervation patterns between IHCs and SGNs are nearly complete by the end of the first postnatal week (Simmons et al., 1991; Pujol et al., 1998), it is likely that early postnatal development of $I_h$ in SGNs impacts maturation of the auditory system before the onset of hearing.

The lack of $I_h$ data around the onset of hearing raises the possibility that the developmental trend we noted is a transient phenomenon. However, our data from cultured adult SGNs indicate that $I_h$ expression is sustained into adulthood. Previous studies that showed the presence of $I_h$ in dissociated adult guinea pig SGN culture (Chen, 1997; Szabó et al., 2002) support this view.

**Tonotopic expression of $I_h$ in SGNs**

An important task of the auditory system is decomposing complex sound into constituent frequencies along the tonotopic axis of the organ of Corti. IHCs located in the basal part of the cochlea encode high-frequency sound, whereas those located in the apical region encode low-frequency sound. The tonotopic specialization is retained at each level along the ascending auditory pathway, including SGNs which are organized to reflect the tonotopic arrangement of IHCs (Rubel and Fritzsch, 2002). To enable this tonotopic arrangement, SGNs are equipped with morphological and electrophysiological specializations, including graded expression of voltage-gated channels (Adamson et al., 2002; Lv et al., 2010, 2012; Chen et al., 2011).

In the present study, we found that $I_h$ in neonatal WT SGNs is also graded along the cochlear tonotopic axis, with greater $I_h$ amplitudes in neurons from the basal end of the cochlea. Considering the graded expression of other voltage-gated channels in neonatal SGNs (Adamson et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2011), it seems $I_h$ follows this trend. However, because the cochlea develops from base to apex, we cannot exclude the possibility that the graded $I_h$ expression we report here for neonatal SGNs might be a transient phenomenon reflecting the developmental lag between the cochlear base and apex. Indeed, at adult stages, we noted little difference between $I_h$ of basal and apical SGNs. Nonetheless, if larger $I_h$ in SGNs from the basal, high-frequency end persists in the interval between P8 and more mature stages, we predict it will contribute to enhanced spike timing. Similar trends have been shown in neurons of the medial nucleus of trapezoid body, higher-order auditory neurons in the brainstem, in which graded $I_h$ expression with high to low expression along the mediolateral (high to low frequency) axis results in a gradient of time delays in neuronal firing (Leao et al., 2006).

**Characterization of $I_h$ in mouse SGNs**

The biophysical properties of $I_h$ in WT SGNs in the present study are consistent with those previously reported for $I_h$ in the auditory system, including SGNs (Chen, 1997; Mo and Davis, 1997; Szabó et al., 2002; Yi et al., 2010) and auditory brainstem neurons (Banks et al., 1993; Bal and Oertel, 2000). WT SGN $I_h$ was a ZD7288-sensitive, slowly activating, noninactivating inward current evoked by hyperpolarizing voltage steps. SGN $I_h$ was active at the cell’s resting potential, and its activation range was well fit by Boltzmann functions with parameters ($V_{1/2} = -97 \text{ mV}$ and $s = 6 \text{ mV}$) similar those reported for SGN $I_h$ in previous studies of various rodent species (guinea pig: $V_{1/2} = -101 \text{ mV}$ and $s = 9 \text{ mV}$ [Chen, 1997]; and $V_{1/2} = -104 \text{ mV}$ and $s = 6 \text{ mV}$ [Szabó et al., 2002]).

**Figure 13.** $Hcn1^{-/-}$ animals have delayed ABR latency. (A) Mean ABR thresholds for control and $Hcn1^{-/-}$ animals at 6–7 wk of age. (B and C) Mean wave 1 (P1) amplitude (B) and mean wave 1 (P1) latency responses (C) evoked by 16-kHz tone pips delivered across increasing sound stimulus intensity. Note that $Hcn1^{-/-}$ mice show delayed P1 latency relative to controls, whereas no significant difference was found in threshold (A) or amplitude (B). Error bars equal ±1 SEM. *, $P < 0.05$. 
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and examination of biophysical properties of Ih in
ing of their functional relevance. Through qPCR analysis
challenging, yet critical for a comprehensive understand-
sented definitive physiological evidence identifying the
HCN4 subunits in rat SGNs (Yi et al., 2010), none pre-
Although one prior study presented immunolocaliza-
s range = 7.6–13.1 mV [Mo and Davis, 1997]; and rat: V1/2 =
most depolarized half-activation range (Santoro et al.,
2000; Ishii et al., 2001; Altomare et al., 2003), whereas
homomeric HCN2 channels exhibit activation kinetics inter-
mediated to HCN1 and HCN4 (Ludwig et al., 1999). In
our study, Ih in Hcn2−/− SGNs had reduced amplitudes
and a negative shift in the half-activation voltage; how-
ever, the differences were not as striking as those between
Hcn1−/− and WT SGNs, suggesting that the functional
contributions of HCN2 subunits are minor. The low ex-
pression levels of Hcn2 mRNA in neonatal SGNs also
suggested a small contribution from Hcn2. Previous immu-
unolocalization work (Yi et al., 2010) demonstrated HCN2 immunoreactivity in P20 rat SGNs, but earlier
time points were not examined. Thus, it is unclear
whether there are actual differences in the temporal ex-
pression pattern of HCN2 or differences between rodent
species. Yi et al. (2010) also reported robust expression
of HCN1 and HCN4 in rat SGN cell bodies at P9–10.
Their HCN1 and HCN4 localization data are consist-
t with our qPCR and electrophysiological data, and
both studies suggest contributions from HCN1 and
HCN4 before the onset of hearing at P10–12.

HCN1 contributes to Ih in adult SGNs

Analysis of mRNA expression in neonatal SGNs indi-
cated that Hcn1 is the most abundantly expressed sub-
unit, followed by Hcn4 and Hcn2. Strong Hcn1 expression
persisted into adult stages, suggesting HCN1 as the
major subunit in adult SGNs. Our qPCR data are in
agreement with Hcn1 gene expression results from the
SHIELD database (Shared Harvard Inner-Ear Labora-
tory Database; Lu et al., 2011). This database incorpo-
brates RNA gene chip sequencing information from SGNs
harvested from mice expressing GFP under an SGN-
specific MafB promoter at several developmental time
points (embryonic day 16, P0, P4, P7, and P16). Among
the three Hcn genes (Hcn1, Hcn2, and Hcn3) examined,
Hcn1 showed the strongest signal with a gradual develop-
mental increase, consistent with our findings.

The strongest evidence supporting a prominent role
for HCN1 in adult SGNs is derived from our voltage-
clamp data, which showed an ~80% reduction in Gih in
SGNs from adult Hcn1−/− mice relative to WT. There was
also significant difference in half-activation voltage and
activation kinetics (τact) relative to WT, strengthening
the notion that HCN1 is indeed a major subunit contribut-
ing to Ih function in adult SGNs. There was no difference
in Ih of adult SGNs from Hcn2−/− mice relative to WT, sug-
gesting HCN2 does not contribute at adult stages. The
qPCR data suggested expression of Hcn4 at adult stages;
however, in the absence of electrophysiological data sup-
porting this result, we are reluctant to draw conclusions
about functional contributions of Hcn4 in adult SGNs.

Ih contributes to SGN membrane potential

Ih has been shown to contribute to resting membrane
potentials by providing inward current at rest. We dem-
onstrated Ih has a similar role in resting membrane
potentials in SGN cell bodies, as acute block of Ih with
ZD7288 resulted in significant resting potential hyper-
polarization (~6 mV) in WT SGNs. Similar results were
reported for postnatal rat SGN dendrites, which had a
−4-mV hyperpolarization after ZD7288 treatment (Yi
et al., 2010). Ih contribution to resting potential has also
been observed in higher-order auditory neurons, such as
cochlear nucleus and inferior colliculus, where inhibi-
tion of Ih resulted in similar resting membrane potential
hyerpolarization (Bal and Oertel, 2000; Koch and Grothe,
2003; Nagtegaal and Borst, 2010).

In WT neurons, depolarization of the resting mem-
brane potential is caused by tonic activation of Ih at rest.
Based on the SGN Ih activation curve, we estimate that
~5% of Ih is active at rest at neonatal stages and ~20%
at adult stages, suggesting an Ih contribution may be
greater at adult stages. Because the reversal potential of
Ih in SGNs is more positive than the cell’s resting mem-
brane potential (Chen [1997]: −36 mV; Mo and Davis
[1997]: −41 mV; and Yi et al. [2010]: −45 mV), the
resulting inward current drives the membrane toward
more depolarized voltages. The tonic inward current
produced by Ih in SGNs is most likely mediated through
HCN1 subunits, as Hcn1−/− SGNs exhibited hyperpolar-
ized resting membrane potential similar to WT cells
treated with ZD7288.
Given that SGNs are critical for high-fidelity information transfer between IHC and central neurons in the auditory pathway, the functional significance of Ih in SGNs is clear. Because APs are generated at the spike initiation zone close to the IHC-SGN afferent synapse, it could be argued that the role of the cell body may be minor for auditory signal propagation. Recently, Yi et al. (2010) showed the presence of Ih in SGN afferent dendrites and its contribution to shortening the EPSP (excitatory postsynaptic potential) waveform at the IHC-SGN synapse. We suggest Ih in SGN cell bodies may also contribute to signal propagation from afferent terminals to central axons. SGNs are bipolar with large cell bodies positioned between dendrites and axons, which may present a problem for signal propagation (Hossain et al., 2005). The large SGN cell body may behave as an electrical sink, where the electric load may cause impedance mismatch, which in turn could interfere with rapid and efficient signal propagation from dendrite to axon. One mechanism for overcoming this electrical challenge in SGNs is expression of ion channels at peripheral and central initial segments flanking SGN cell bodies (Hossain et al., 2005). Indeed, SGNs express several varieties of voltage-gated channels (Santos-Sacchi, 1993; Szabó et al., 2002) that may help enhance current density in the cell bodies. Given that HCN channels are also expressed in SGN cell bodies, it is plausible that the tonic activation of Ih at rest may provide an extra “boost” by depolarizing the resting membrane potential and thereby enhancing auditory signal transmission.

Ih contributes to spike timing
We found that Ih activation modulates SGN firing properties. Here we show that activation of Ih by physiologically relevant hyperpolarizing currents induced depolarizing sag potentials and enhanced rebound potentials in SGNs. The depolarization sag, with a time course that reflects Ih activation kinetics, slowly restored membrane potentials toward the resting potential. The rebound potentials at the end of hyperpolarizing steps enhanced membrane depolarization, bringing the cell closer to threshold for AP generation. During ZD7288 inhibition of Ih, the depolarizing sag and rebound potentials were completely abolished, suggesting that activation of Ih provides subthreshold inward currents that enhance SGN excitability. We have extended this observation in adult SGNs to show that HCN1, in particular, contributes to depolarizing sag potentials, enhanced rebound potentials, and shorter rebound spike latencies. Previous studies indicated that additional factors may contribute to rebound spikes such as low-voltage-activated T-type calcium channels (Aizenman and Linden, 1999, Lüthi and McCormick, 1998). Upon hyperpolarization, T-type calcium channels can recover from inactivation, making them available to open and enhance rebound potentials. Recent studies demonstrated that Ih and low-voltage-activated T-type calcium channels act in concert to enhance rebound spike timing in auditory brainstem neurons (Felix II et al., 2011; Kopp-Scheinpfug et al., 2011). As T-type calcium channels have been identified in murine SGNs (Chen et al., 2011; Lv et al., 2012), we wondered whether rebound spike timing in SGNs is caused by Ih alone or functions in concert with T-type calcium channels. We found that neither nickel, a broad spectrum calcium channel inhibitor, nor mibebradil, a T-type channel blocker, affected the depolarization sag or rebound spike timing. Thus, we conclude that Ih is a prominent contributor to rebound spike timing in SGNs with little contribution from calcium channels.

Ih also contributes to synchronized AP firing in response to small depolarizing stimuli. In the presence of ZD7288, the scatter in AP spike timing increased significantly for small depolarizing stimuli. The effect became less pronounced for larger depolarizing stimuli. We reason that synchronous firing in response to small depolarization is a direct consequence of tonic Ih activation at rest, which provides additional inward current, thereby driving membrane potentials toward the AP threshold more quickly. For larger depolarizing stimuli, Ih is reduced as membrane potential is driven closer to the Ih reversal potential and the relative contribution of Ih is diminished as a result of enhanced activation of voltage-gated sodium channels. Thus, Ih is most influential for small membrane potential deviations around the SGN resting potential.

Given the slow activation kinetics, it is unlikely that Ih can follow rapid membrane potential changes at auditory frequencies. Rather than following hair cell receptor potentials on a cycle by cycle basis, it is more likely that the tonic activation of Ih helps shape the direct current component of auditory signal processing by depolarizing the resting SGN membrane potential, leading to synchronized AP firing.

During development, however, the slow activation kinetics of Ih may suit the system. Before the onset of hearing (P12), IHCs fire recurrent Ca2+ APs at a very low discharge rates (2–4 Hz; Kros et al., 1998), which are hypothesized to drive spontaneous activity in SGNs during development (Tritsch et al., 2007; Tritsch and Bergles, 2010). Considering the tonotopic and developmental regulation of Ih in SGNs as shown in the present study, it is possible that Ih primes SGNs to faithfully follow the recurrent Ca2+ spikes from IHCs during development (Tritsch et al., 2007; Tritsch and Bergles, 2010; Johnson et al., 2011).

Contributions of SGN Ih to auditory function
Our results indicate that Ih contributes to SGN firing properties by regulating rebound spike timing and enhancing synchronized AP firing in response to small
depolarizing stimuli. If so, what is the functional significance of \( I_h \) for auditory processing? Because SGNs relay auditory information from the IHCs to higher-order auditory neurons via the eighth cranial nerve, our ABR data from \( Hcn1^{-/-} \) mice, which indicate measureable delays in the latency of auditory signals, suggest that HCN1 helps preserve temporal fidelity in the eighth cranial nerve.

Accurate and precise transmission of IHC signals with high temporal fidelity is critical for normal auditory information processing. Thus, we propose \( I_h \) has a role in ensuring temporal precision, particularly for faint auditory signals. Although we did not detect differences in ABR threshold in \( Hcn1^{-/-} \) mice, \( I_h \) may serve to boost sensitivity to faint auditory stimuli. In the mature auditory system, SGNs innervate IHCs in a 1:1 ratio (i.e., each SGN contacts only one IHC), whereas each IHC is innervated by groups of 20–30 SGNs (Liberman, 1982). This IHC-SGN innervation pattern ensures the activity of each SGN is strictly dependent on the activity of just one presynaptic hair cell and that each hair cell can drive the activity of multiple SGNs. Our findings suggest that \( I_h \) enhances synchronized AP firing to small depolarizing stimuli both within a single neuron and across a population of neurons. Thus, in response to slight changes in the membrane potential of a single IHC, SGN \( I_h \) enhances the likelihood of triggering synchronous APs in populations of SGNs. Synchronous firing, with reduced temporal jitter, across a population of neurons can help preserve temporal fidelity and enhance signal to noise ratios, particularly for small stimuli. Preservation of these signals may allow higher-order auditory centers to extract relevant faint signals from a noisy background. Thus, \( I_h \) in SGNs may serve to enhance temporal fidelity and boost sensitivity to soft sounds.

Yi et al. (2010) showed that \( I_h \) in the postsynaptic SGN dendrites can boost EPSP speed, particularly for small signals. Here we extend those observations and show that \( I_h \) carried mostly by HCN1, contributes to processing and transmission of auditory information in SGN cell bodies as well. Whether preservation of \( I_h \)-dependent temporal information is critical for behaviorally relevant auditory tasks such as sound localization remains to be determined.

We thank the members of the Holt/Géléoc laboratory for helpful discussions and review of previous versions of the manuscript. We thank Dr. Andreas Ludwig for kindly providing \( Hcn2^{-/-} \) mice, which indicate measureable delays in the latency of auditory signals, suggest that HCNI helps preserve temporal fidelity in the eighth cranial nerve.
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