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Abstract

Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) research and discussions about its practice have paid little, if any heed to or understanding of or interest in psycho-analytic concepts. Two concepts of potentially powerful significance for the therapeutic relationship, so central to CAM human engagement, are those of transference (T) and counter-transference (Ct). Presence of either/or both T and Ct may have a significant impact on the process and outcome of an interaction, and, by implication, identification of the full effect of a CAM modality. Consequently much can remain invisible within the treatment process. This short piece outlines their significance for CAM research and implications for practice, drawing on a study exploring the potential to identify these two concepts within the provision of CAM treatment, and the derived four-staged model, with a small set of clients receiving palliative care. Clients may express and feel a positive transference towards those therapists who they perceive as providing the best therapy for them and a negative transference towards those that do not. Moreover, knowing or at least realising that clients, or their therapist, have processed and carried their T or Ct associations throughout the four stages of the model are likely as a result to be better placed to either benefit from the treatment or be a partner in their treatment and care.

Opinion Piece

Ongoing debate continues about the so called ‘placebo effect’ [1-6], the range of effects of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) and the way that particular CAM modalities might work [7-10]. Interest lies not just in identifying whether a modality has its intended, or any, effects, but also in uncovering the possible factors that may arise within the therapeutic encounter between practitioner and client and, in particular, to the nature of the client-practitioner relationship [11].

The assessment, treatment and care process entails intimate client-therapist interaction and the building of a therapeutic relationship. The notion of ‘therapeutic’ is itself imbued with meaning. In essence, a relationship is therapeutic by the very nature of its quality; it is also a subjective relationship. More broadly, it may span connotations of a relationship enabling healing, being restorative and beneficial [12]. The way that this human encounter occurs, and is structured, has consequences for the ongoing relationship and effectiveness of the modality and, more generally, a potential for healing [13].

CAM research and discussions over its practice have not, to our knowledge, paid much heed to or understanding of or indeed interest in psycho-analytic concepts. Two concepts of potentially powerful significance for this human engagement, and thus CAM interactions and treatment effectiveness, are those of transference (T) and counter-transference (Ct). Indeed, the operation of either/or both T and Ct may have a significant impact on the process and outcome of an interaction, and, by implication, identification of the full effect of a CAM modality.

These concepts have their roots in Freud [14] and his growing realisation that the concept of T and Ct could be of therapeutic use in recognising, in particular, the unconscious drive to repeat past events. In modern day thinking, transference relates to sub-conscious feelings or thoughts related to an individual’s experience with a time, place or other significant association. Positive transference (T) brings with it a ‘feel good’ factor and often creates a feeling of wanting more so that these positive feelings will remain. In contrast, a negative transference (Ct) frequently creates avoidance and can lead an individual to develop complex plans in order to avoid a specific experience. The origins of the word transference remain within the Greco-Latin meaning, to ‘carry across’ [12].

T and Ct are thus two important psycho-analytic concepts to describe the process through which entities may be become
aroused and brought to the conscious level during a client-therapist interaction and thus affect the process of care and treatment. In essence, the more the client and the practitioner have an understanding and ability to recognise and identify the concepts of T and Ct, the more the client’s care and treatment will be enhanced. Recognising when and knowing how, and why, a certain situation or person may invoke transference will ultimately empower both the client and the therapist within the CAM relationship; otherwise, much can be lost, and invisible, within the treatment process and assessment of its effect. Moreover, this understanding would undoubtedly result in better patient and practitioner outcomes.

To cast further light on T and Ct in CAM, Hynes [15] studied a small number (n=10) of clients, who were receiving complementary therapy as part of their palliative care, and their CAM therapists (n=3). The study aimed to make explicit and demonstrate the possibility of identifying T and Ct through exploring the ways that clients and their therapists ‘talked’ [16] about themselves and exploring the impact, if any, these concepts have on the feeling of well-being that the client may or may not experience within CAM interactions. The clients, all diagnosed with a life-limiting illness, were receiving complementary therapies as part of their care and selected using a purposive sampling approach to ensure diversity in age, gender and social background. They took part in in-depth interviews, undertaken at three occasions. Clients were asked to tell their stories and therapists to talk about their feelings about the client when giving the therapy sessions. Such talk was the primary data source for the analysis of possible transference.

Data analysis of their ‘talk’ revealed a four-staged T and Ct model (triggers, awareness, self-analysis and response), with implications for CAM practice. At its base are triggers; their identification is the essential first step for uncovering, and understanding, potential T and Ct. Analysis and re-analysis of the data during the interpretation process identified familiar memory, sensory input and physicality in the shape of language and words and the environment as areas that initiated a trigger response. When triggers occurred they brought about an association from a repressed memory and enabled the individual to associate with that memory, which would likely impact on the CAM experience.

How the individual, client or therapist, responds to the trigger is the focus of the other three stages of the model. In Stage Two, the client chooses, or not, to allow this trigger to enter awareness. Transition from Stage One to Stage Two is a relatively quick one. Journeying through this awareness may take the person to Stage Three, where a process of self-analysis takes place, involving personal and often deep reflection brought up from the trigger and awareness, giving meaning to associated feelings and thoughts about the trigger. The process of reflexivity in Stage Three enables the person to make a further choice and move on to enter Stage Four and make a response to the processes already achieved. Should she/he enter the responses stage, then she/he remains in a position to accept their own self-analysis as to why she/he may think and feel in this way, or to reject those thoughts and feelings. For insight into the detail of the model and supporting client and therapist evidence, readers should consult Hynes [17].

This research reinforces the need for CAM, in both research and its practice, to pay heed to the two psycho-analytical concepts of transference and counter-transference. Implications for CAM practice are multiple. For example, while different therapists could be doing a similar/identical therapy session, the clients might relate to the triggers differently. Clients may express and feel a positive transference towards those therapists who they perceive as providing the best therapy for them and a negative transference towards those that do not. Further, knowing or at least realising that clients, or their therapist, have processed and carried their transference or counter-transference associations throughout the four stages of the model are likely as a result to be better placed to either benefit from the treatment or be a partner in their treatment and care [16,17].

References
1. Di Blasi Z, Harkness E, Ernst E, Georgiou A, Kleijnen J (2001) Influence of context effects on health outcomes: a systematic review. The Lancet 357(9258): 757-762.
2. Moerman DE, Jonas WB (2002) Deconstructing the placebo effect and finding the meaning response. Annals of Internal Medicine 136(6): 471-476.
3. Kapchuk TJ, Kelley JM, Conboy LA, Davis RB, Kerr CE, et al. (2008) Components of placebo effects: randomised controlled trial in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. BMJ 336(7651): 999-1003.
4. Long AF (2002) Outcome measurement in complementary and alternative medicine: unpicking the effects. J Altern Complement Med 8(6): 777-786.
5. Schulman D (2004) The unexpected outcomes of acupuncture: case reports in support of refocusing research designs. Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine 10(5): 785-789.
6. Paterson C, Dieppe P (2005) Characteristic and incidental (placebo) effects in complex interventions such as acupuncture. BMJ 330(7501): 1202-1205.
7. Thompson TDB, Weiss M (2006) Homeopathy - what are the active ingredients? An exploratory study using the UK Medical Research Council’s framework for the evaluation of complex interventions. BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine 6: 37.
8. MacPherson H, Thorpe, L Thomas KJ (2006) Beyond needling - therapeutic processes in acupuncture care; a qualitative study nested within a low back pain trial. Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine 12(9): 873-880.
9. MacPherson H, Thomas KJ (2008) Self-help advice as a process integral to traditional acupuncture care: Implications for trial design. Complementary Therapies in Medicine 16(2): 101-108.
10. Price S, Long AF, Godfrey M (2014) What is traditional acupuncture - exploring goals and processes of treatment in the context of women with early breast cancer. BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine 14: 201.
11. Mitchell A, Cormack M (1998) The Therapeutic Relationship in Complementary Health Care. Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh, Scotland.
12. Clarkson P (1999) The Therapeutic Relationship in psychoanalysis, counselling psychology and psychotherapy. Whurr Publishers, London, UK.

13. Evans AM (2007) Transference in the nurse patient relationship. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 14: 189-195.

14. Miller WL, Crabtree BF, Duffy MB, Epstein RM, Stange KC (2003) Research guidelines for assessing the impact of healing relationships in clinical medicine. Alternative Therapy Health Medicine 9(3): 80-95.

15. Freud S (1895) Studies on Hysteria [with J Breuer]. (2nd edn), Hogarth Press, London, UK.

16. Wengraf T (2001) Qualitative research interviewing: biographic narrative and semi-structured method. Sage, London, UK.

17. Hynes CJ (2009) Transference and Counter transference as an Agent in Care. Salford University, Greater Manchester, England.