Synthesis and Magnetic Properties of Hydrophilic and Hydrophobic Hybrid Nanocomposite
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The magnetic properties of hydrophilic hybrid nanocomposite (multi-walled carbon nanotubes modified with magnetite nanoparticles) and hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposite (multi-walled carbon nanotubes modified with magnetite nanoparticles coated with oleic acid) were studied in the temperature range of 2–290 K and magnetic field up to 6 T. Both samples were characterized morphologically, thermally, and magnetically. Magnetite nanoparticles bounded to multi-walled carbon nanotubes were close to spherical and the particle size was distributed from 10 to 25 nm, as observed by transmission electron microscopy. The thermogravimetric analysis of the hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposite pointed out bounding the oleic acid on the nanoparticles. The hydrophobic, as well as the hydrophilic nanoparticles exhibited a typical superparamagnetic behavior at room temperature. The magnetic measurements at 2 K indicated ferromagnetic behavior. The value of coercivity of hydrophilic or hydrophobic nanocomposites at 2 K were 0.018 T and 0.037 T, respectively. It was found that the presence of the bounded oleic acid results in the decrease in the magnetization of saturation, as compared to the hydrophilic nanocomposite.
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1. Introduction

Since the discovery of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) in 1991 [1], various applications have been proposed due to their excellent physical properties. Their application in liquid state for various applications like MRI, EMI shielding, hyperthermia, energy conversion, etc., is subjected to an intensive research. Because of their nature, CNTs are inherently insoluble in most organic and aqueous solvents. Thus, surface modification of CNTs in order to improve the compatibility and solubility has been one of the main research points during the last few years. Noncovalent or covalent functionalization of CNTs can enhance their compatibility and solubility [2]. The modification of CNTs with some organic compounds includes covalent binding of nanoparticles onto CNTs, preparing new multifunctional nanocomposite by dispersing in a chitosan-starch polymer [3–5]. Nowadays it is evident that the CNT is a supermaterial with a huge range of industrial applications [6, 7]. Furthermore, many studies have focused on depositing metal or oxide metal nanoparticles on the nanotubes surface [8]. In this paper we report on the preparation and characterization of novel hydrophilic hybrid nanocomposite (multi-walled carbon nanotubes — MWCNTs modified with magnetite nanoparticles) and hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposite (MWCNTs modified with magnetite nanoparticles coated with oleic acid).
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2.1.2. Synthesis of hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposite

At the beginning, 15.9 g FeCl$_3$ and 14.0 g FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O were dissolved in 100 ml of deionized water containing 0.046 g of oxidized MWCNT and heated under rigorous stirring to 60°C. Then, 4 ml of oleic acid as a primary surfactant and 1 ml of acetone as an emulsifier to form oil-in-water emulsion was added. During the further heating at 90°C, 50 ml of 25% NH$_4$OH was added dropwise. The precipitate was heated and stirred 1 hour to complete the reaction. Next, it was separated magnetically and washed with 700 ml of hot deionized water to remove free ions. The slurry was then freeze dried.

2.2. Characterization of the magnetic nanoparticles

Transmission electron microscopy (LVTEM) images were obtained in order to analyze the morphology and structure and to measure the particle size distribution of the nanoparticles. Attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectroscopy (model FTLA2000-100 instrument from ABB) was used to investigate the spectra (520–4000 cm$^{-1}$) of oleic acid, magnetite, and oleic acid coated iron oxide nanoparticles that were previously dried in the air. The thermal stability of the hybrid nanocomposites was evaluated by means of thermogravimetric (TG) analysis using a TGDTA Setaram SETSYS 16 equipment. The experiments were carried out in nitrogen atmosphere at a flow rate of 100 ml/min under a heating rate of 10°C/min in the temperature range from 20°C to 600°C. Magnetic properties of the investigated samples were measured by a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) installed on a cryogen free high field measurement system from Cryogenic Ltd.

3. Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows typical TEM images for oxidized MWCNT and hydrophilic hybrid nanocomposite samples. The intertwined capillaries of oxidized MWCNT have the outer diameter of 20–25 nm. The shape of the magnetite nanoparticles is close to spherical, and the mean particles size is about 20 nm. The main part of them is bonded to the carbon nanotubes.

The typical FTIR spectrum of the pure oleic acid shows the bands at 937, 1284, 1461, 1710 cm$^{-1}$, and approximately at 2800–2930 cm$^{-1}$. The peaks at 1461 and 937 cm$^{-1}$ belong to O–H in plane and out of plane bonds. The bands at 1710 and 1284 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to stretching vibration of C=O in oleic acid and the presence of the C–O stretch. The bands at 2923 and 2854 cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to the asymmetric and symmetric stretch of CH$_2$[9]. Figure 2 includes the ATR-FTIR spectra for synthesized hydrophilic and hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposites. In the spectrum the band at about 550 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to the vibration of the Fe-O and confirms the presence of an iron oxide. The peak at 1710 cm$^{-1}$ (C=O stretch band of the carboxyl group of OA) was absent in the spectrum but two new bands at 1506 and 1404 cm$^{-1}$ appeared in this spectrum which are attributed to $\nu_{as,s}$(COO$^-$) stretch vibration band. The asymmetric CH$_2$ stretch and the symmetric CH$_2$ are shifted to 2922 and 2852 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. These results indicated that oleic acid was coated to the nanocomposites successfully [10].

TG and derivative TG (dTG) curves of the hydrophilic and hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposites, as well as of the pure oleic acid are shown in Fig. 3. No significant weight loss was detected for hydrophilic hybrid nanocomposite over the whole temperature range up to 600°C except for the small weight loss of about 4% up to about 100°C due to the evaporation of residual water in the sample. However, for the hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposite the weight loss of about 20% up to 600°C was revealed. Assuming that pure oleic acid is decomposed above the temperature of 300°C, this weight loss can only be associated with the decomposition of the oleic acid present in the hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposite. Moreover, a shift of the decomposition of the oleic acid in the hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposite to higher temperatures in comparison with the pure one was observed which indicates that the oleic acid is bounded onto the nanoparticles in the prepared hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposite.

Fig. 1. TEM images of oxidized MWCNT (a), and hydrophilic hybrid nanocomposite samples (b).

Fig. 2. ATR-FTIR spectra of hydrophilic and hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposite samples.
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Fig. 3. TG (a) and dTG (b) decomposition curves for hydrophilic and hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposites. The thermograms for the pure oleic acid are added for the comparison.

Fig. 4. Magnetization curves of hydrophilic and hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposites measured at 298 K (a), and 2 K (b).

In Fig. 4, one can see the field dependent mass magnetization of the hybrid nanocomposites. The hydrophobic as well as the hydrophilic nanocomposites exhibit a typical superparamagnetic behavior at room temperature. This conclusion follows from the fact that the room temperature magnetization curves show zero hysteresis (Fig. 4a). On the other hand, at low temperature (2 K) the nanoparticles are in a blocked state when the magnetic moments do not fluctuate any more during the measurement time. This is reflected in the remarkable hysteresis seen in Fig. 4b. The nanoparticle surface modification has a noticeable influence on the magnetic coercivity, as the hydrophobic nanoparticles exhibit $\mu_0 H = 0.036$ T, while for hydrophilic nanoparticles $\mu_0 H = 0.03$ T. Furthermore, significant effect of surface modification on the magnetization of saturation was observed. While the hydrophilic nanoparticles magnetization of saturation is 84.7 Am$^2$/kg at 2 K, and 74.7 Am$^2$/kg at 298 K, the hydrophobic nanoparticles reach decreased values of 67.3 Am$^2$/kg and 57.7 Am$^2$/kg at 2 K and 298 K, respectively. This decrease can be associated with the nanoparticles’ surface spin disorder due to the adsorbed oleic acid molecules and due to the diamagnetic contribution from the oleic acid.

4. Conclusion

Thermogravimetric analysis as well as infrared spectroscopy of the hybrid nanocomposites confirmed the successful adsorption of oleic acid on the nanoparticles. The amount of oleic acid bounded to the nanoparticles in the prepared hydrophobic hybrid nanocomposite was estimated. From magnetic point of view it was clearly demonstrated that the bounded oleic acid significantly influences the magnetization of saturation of the nanocomposite. Remarkable decrease in magnetization of saturation for the hydrophobic nanocomposite was attributed to the spin disorder effect due to the absorbed oleic acid on the nanoparticle surfaces. In addition, the diamagnetic nature of the oleic acid may lower the total magnetization of the nanocomposite too.
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