Employing whole genome mapping for optimal *de novo* assembly of bacterial genomes
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *De novo* genome assembly can be challenging due to inherent properties of the reads, even when using current state-of-the-art assembly tools based on de Bruijn graphs. Often users are not bio-informaticians and, in a black box approach, utilise assembly parameters such as contig length and N50 to generate whole genome sequences, potentially resulting in mis-assemblies.

**Findings:** Utilising several assembly tools based on de Bruijn graphs like Velvet, SPAdes and IDBA, we demonstrate that at the optimal N50, mis-assemblies do occur, even when using the multi-*k*-mer approaches of SPAdes and IDBA. We demonstrate that whole genome mapping can be used to identify these mis-assemblies and can guide the selection of the best *k*-mer size which yields the highest N50 without mis-assemblies.

**Conclusions:** We demonstrate the utility of whole genome mapping (WGM) as a tool to identify mis-assemblies and to guide *k*-mer selection and higher quality *de novo* genome assembly of bacterial genomes.
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**Findings**

Genome assembly is often a primary step in the process of yielding results that lead to interpretation of biological data and hence sub-optimally assembled genomes might lead to faulty conclusions [1]. Factors causing such low quality genome assembly include sequence quality, presence of repetitive sequences, base composition, size and low genome coverage [2,3], all of which complicate downstream data analysis using the available tools [4]. Currently, *de novo* assemblers based on de Bruijn graph are considered to yield the best results provided sufficient sequence quality and coverage are achieved. Such assembly tools based on de Bruijn graph algorithms, like Velvet [5] and SPAdes [6] use *k*-mers as building blocks, but as most users are not bio-informaticians, these tools are often considered as an encrypted black box with the quality of the assembly usually determined by statistics parameters such as the N50 and the size and number of contigs or scaffolds produced by the assemblers [7]. However, the choice of the *k*-mer size is crucial as too low or too high *k*-mer sizes lead to sub-optimal assemblies. Indeed, low quality reads might produce false positive vertices, repeats lead to branching, while an uneven distribution of the reads results in gaps. The use of smaller *k*-mers reduces the problem associated with low quality reads and their uneven distribution, while larger *k*-mer sizes help to bridge repeat regions decreasing the branching problem [8]. In a balancing exercise, various *k*-mer sizes are usually selected, evaluating optimization by aiming for high N50 values and long, but fewer contigs. Whole Genome Mapping (WGM; Opgen Inc, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) is a relatively novel technique that generates high-resolution restriction maps of a genome based on the alignment of single DNA molecules cut with restriction enzymes and ordered with high resolution and accuracy [9]. WGM was proven helpful in mapping *de novo* assembled contigs against previously sequenced related genomes [10].

In this paper, we evaluated the utility of WGM for proper *k*-mer size selection and for optimization of parameters for *de novo* genome assembly. The whole genome sequence of a methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus...*)
*aureus* (MRSA) strain (E-MRSA15-CC22-SCCmecIV) was generated on an Illumina HiSeq-2000 via 2×150b paired end sequencing [11]. Reads were de novo assembled using Velvet, SPAdes and IDBA-UD employing a range of *k*-mers. Velvet, using a single *k*-mer approach ranging from *k*-mer size 81 to 123 showed an initial increase in N50 (until *k*-mer size 115) and longest contig size and a concomitant decrease in the total number of contigs with increasing *k*-mer size with both these parameters positively influencing the assembly outcome (Table 1). Ensuing, using WGM, a whole genome map of *S. aureus* EMRSA-15 was generated which, using MapSolver, was aligned with the assembly files corresponding to different *k*-mer sizes. Although the percentage of the genome covered by contigs increased with increasing *k*-mer size, a mis-assembly (spanning 119 kb) was identified for the mapped contigs (>40 kb) for higher *k*-mer sizes (Table 1), revealing the best (without mis-assemblies) assembly was actually obtained using a *k*-mer size of 93 despite a higher N50 and fewer contigs as when for example utilizing a *k*-mer size of 115 (Table 1, Figure 1A, B). In contrast, SPAdes, which allows to combine a range of *k*-mer sizes in a multi-*k*-mer approach did not yield any mis-assemblies on this sequence for the N50 based best assemblies (Figure 1C). The same was true for IDBA, which similarly utilizes an iterative process including multiple *k*-mer sizes, while removing assembled sequences in subsequent rounds of analysis.

The general applicability of these results was investigated using two additional, similarly obtained, *S. aureus* sequences [UA-S391(accession # CP007690) and Mu50-CC5-SCCmecII (ATCC700699; previously sequenced and available under accession # NC_002758], again revealing mis-assemblies for Velvet at the highest N50 values, while error free assemblies could be obtained for lower *k*-mer sizes (data not shown). In addition, the sequence of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ST258 was similarly generated using Illumina HiSeq-2000 via 2×150b paired end sequencing and was assembled using all three assembly tools. In this case, apart from mis-assemblies seen for

| *k*-mer size | N50 | Total number of contigs | Longest contig size | Mis-assemblies on mapped contigs* | Approx. nts involved in mis-assemblies |
|--------------|-----|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Velvet       |     |                        |                    |                               |                                       |
| 81           | 162295 | 40                     | 340060             | 1 (10)                        | 122303                               |
| 83           | 170447 | 38                     | 351373             | 1 (9)                         | 122303                               |
| 85           | 170449 | 37                     | 351321             | 0 (10)                        |                                       |
| 87           | 173763 | 33                     | 351326             | 0 (10)                        |                                       |
| 89           | 173765 | 33                     | 351394             | 0 (10)                        |                                       |
| 91           | 173767 | 33                     | 351330             | 0 (10)                        |                                       |
| 93           | 173769 | 35                     | 340092             | 0 (10)                        |                                       |
| 97           | 175770 | 33                     | 365247             | 1 (9)                         | 130273                               |
| 99           | 175776 | 33                     | 365260             | 1 (10)                        | 130273                               |
| 101          | 187438 | 32                     | 365623             | 1 (9)                         | 130273                               |
| 103          | 187448 | 32                     | 365625             | 1 (9)                         | 130273                               |
| 105          | 187458 | 32                     | 365638             | 1 (9)                         | 130273                               |
| 107          | 187465 | 33                     | 365647             | 1 (9)                         | 130273                               |
| 109          | 212189 | 32                     | 365656             | 1 (9)                         | 130273                               |
| 111          | 212287 | 33                     | 349286             | 2 (8)                         | 93632 & 153207                       |
| 113          | 212292 | 34                     | 349288             | 1 (10)                        | 93634                                |
| 115          | 212294 | 34                     | 349290             | 1 (10)                        | 118928                               |
| 117          | 174074 | 35                     | 349419             | 1 (11)                        | 93634                                |
| 119          | 174076 | 35                     | 349423             | 1 (11)                        | 93638                                |
| 121          | 170642 | 37                     | 349435             | 0 (11)                        |                                       |
| 123          | 170654 | 38                     | 340456             | 0 (10)                        |                                       |

*Number of mapped contigs indicated between brackets.*
Velvet, also SPAdes and IDBA were shown to produce mis-assemblies for certain k-mer sizes (Figure 1D), further demonstrating the potential of WGM to identify mis-assemblies, even for assemblers utilizing multi-k-mer approaches (Additional file 1: Table S2).

**Conclusion**

Genome assembly based on de Bruijn graphs potentially yields mis-assemblies when only considering standard parameters such as total number and length of the contigs and N50. However, Whole Genome Mapping provides a powerful tool to identify such mis-assemblies and to select the optimal k-mer sizes to produce optimally assembled genomes. Despite of its additional cost, the biological need for error-free and complete genomes makes WGM an indispensable technique during the process of genome assembly and its validation.
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Additional file 1: Table S2. Assembly statistics of Velvet, SPAdes and IDBA-UD applied on *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) strain E-MRSA15-CC22-SCCmec IV and assembly statistics of Velvet for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* showing an initial increase in contig size and N50 when using higher k-mer sizes, but revealing mis-assemblies associated with higher k-mer sizes in some cases.
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