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1. Introduction and Main Results

1.1. Sublinear Operators. Let $\mathbb{R}^n$ be the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space equipped with the Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$ and the Lebesgue measure $dx$. Suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ represents a linear or a sublinear operator, which satisfies that for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and $x \notin \text{supp } f$,

$$|\mathcal{T}f(x)| \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $c_1$ is a universal constant independent of $f$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The size condition (1) was first introduced by Soria and Weiss in [1]. It can be proved that (1) is satisfied by many integral operators in harmonic analysis, such as the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, Calderón–Zygmund singular integral operators, Ricci–Stein’s oscillatory singular integrals, and Bochner–Riesz operators at the critical index and so on.

Similarly, for any given $0 < \gamma < n$, we assume that $\mathcal{T}_\gamma$ represents a linear or a sublinear operator with order $\gamma$, which satisfies that for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and $x \notin \text{supp } f$,

$$||b, \mathcal{T}_\gamma||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|b(x) - b(y)| \cdot |f(y)|}{|x-y|^{n-\gamma}} dy,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $c_3$ is an absolute constant independent of $f$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Similarly, for any given $0 < \gamma < n$, we assume that the commutator operator $[b, \mathcal{T}]$ stands for a linear or a sublinear operator, which satisfies that for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and $x \notin \text{supp } f$,
1.2. Weighted Morrey Spaces. The classical Morrey space was introduced by Morrey [2] in connection with elliptic partial differential equations. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda < n$. We recall that a real-valued function $f$ is said to belong to the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, if the following norm is finite:

$$
\|f\|_{L^p} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p},
$$

where $B(x, r) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < r \}$ is the Euclidean ball with center $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and radius $r \in (0, \infty)$. In particular, one has

$$
L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n),
$$

and $L^p$ is a Banach space.

In [3], Komori and Shirai considered the weighted case and gave the definitions of the weighted Morrey spaces as follows.

**Definition 1.** Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. For two weights $w$ and $v$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the weighted Morrey space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; w)$ is defined by

$$
L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; w) = \left\{ f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; w)} < \infty \right\},
$$

where the norm is given by

$$
\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; w)} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q |f(x)|^p v(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p},
$$

and the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Definition 2.** Let $1 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, and $w$ be a weight on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We define the weighted weak Morrey space $WL^p(\mathbb{R}^n; w)$ as the set of all measurable functions $f$ satisfying

$$
\|f\|_{WL^p(\mathbb{R}^n; w)} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q |f(x)|^p v(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.
$$

By definition, it is clear that

$$
L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; w) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n),
$$

and $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n; w)$. Hence, the amalgam space $(L^p, L^q)\ell(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equal to the Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the same norms provided that $p = \alpha = q$

(2) If $q = \infty$, then we can see that the amalgam space $(L^p, L^\infty)\ell(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equal to the Morrey space $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent norms, where $\lambda = (pn)/\alpha$

In this paper, we will consider the weighted version of $(L^p, L^q)\ell(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
with \( w(Q, y) = \int_{Q(y, \ell)} w(x) \, dx \) and the usual modification when \( q = \infty \).

**Definition 4.** Let \( 1 \leq p \leq \alpha \leq q \leq \infty \), and let \( w \) and \( \mu \) be two weights on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). We denote by \((L^p, L^q)^a(w; \mu)\) the weighted weak amalgam space consisting of all measurable functions \( f \) such that

\[
\left\| \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^r \, dx \right\|_{L^{\infty}(|\mathbb{R}^n|)}^{1/(rp)} \lesssim C |Q|^{1/p} \int_Q |f(x)|^{p/\alpha} \, dx \lesssim C < \infty.
\]

(15)

The two-weight problem for classical integral operators has been extensively studied. In [7–9] and [10], the authors gave some \( A_p \)-type conditions which are sufficient for the two-weight, weak-type \((p, p)\) inequalities for Calderón–Zygmund operators, fractional integral operators, and their commutators on the weighted Lebesgue spaces. In [11], the authors established the two-weight, weak-type \((p, p)\) estimates for the maximal Bochner–Riesz operators and their commutators. Inspired by the above results, it is natural and interesting to study the weak-type estimates for sublinear operators (1) and (2), as well as the corresponding commutators (3) and (4). Let \( r' \) be the conjugate index of \( r \) whenever \( p > 1 \); that is, \( 1/r + 1/r' = 1 \). The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the two-weight, weak-type norm inequalities in the setting of weighted Morrey and amalgam spaces. Our main results can be stated as follows. On the boundedness properties of the sublinear operators and their commutators on weighted Morrey spaces, we will prove the following.

**Theorem 5.** Let \( 1 < p < \infty, 0 < \kappa < 1, \) and \( T \) satisfy condition (1). Given a pair of weights \((w, \nu)\), suppose that for some \( r > 1 \) and for all cubes \( Q \in \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^r \, dx \right)^{1/(rp)} \lesssim C < \infty.
\]

(16)

Furthermore, we suppose that \( T \) satisfies the weak-type \((p, p)\) inequality

\[
w(\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf(x)| > \sigma \}) \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \nu(x) \, dx, \quad \text{for any } \sigma > 0,
\]

(17)

where \( C \) does not depend on \( f \) and \( \sigma > 0 \). If \( w \in A_{\nu} \), then the operator \( T \) is bounded from \( L^{p, \kappa}(\nu, w) \) into \( WIL^{p, \kappa}(w) \).

**Theorem 6.** Let \( 1 < p < \infty, 0 < \kappa < 1, \) and \( T \) satisfy condition (2) with \( 0 < \gamma < n \). Given a pair of weights \((w, \nu)\), suppose that for some \( r > 1 \) and for all cubes \( Q \in \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[
\|Q\|^{\gamma/n} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^r \, dx \right)^{1/(rp)} \lesssim C < \infty.
\]

(18)

Furthermore, we suppose that \( T \) satisfies the weak-type \((p, p)\) inequality

\[
w(\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf(x)| > \sigma \}) \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \nu(x) \, dx, \quad \text{for any } \sigma > 0,
\]

(19)

where \( C \) does not depend on \( f \) and \( \sigma > 0 \). If \( w \in A_{\nu} \), then the operator \( T \) is bounded from \( L^{p, \kappa}(\nu, w) \) into \( WIL^{p, \kappa}(w) \).

**Theorem 7.** Let \( 1 < p < \infty, 0 < \kappa < 1, b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n) \), and \([b, T] \) satisfy condition (3). Given a pair of weights \((w, \nu)\), suppose that for some \( r > 1 \) and for all cubes \( Q \in \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^r \, dx \right)^{1/(rp)} \|v^{-1/p}\|_{\mathcal{A}\kappa Q} \lesssim C < \infty.
\]

(20)

where \( \mathcal{A}(t) = t^p \left[ \log (e + t) \right]^{p'\kappa} \). Furthermore, we suppose that \([b, T] \) satisfies the weak-type \((p, p)\) inequality

\[
w(\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |[b, T]f(x)| > \sigma \}) \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \nu(x) \, dx, \quad \text{for any } \sigma > 0,
\]

(21)

where \( C \) does not depend on \( f \) and \( \sigma > 0 \). If \( w \in A_{\nu} \), then the commutator operator \([b, T] \) is bounded from \( L^{p, \kappa}(\nu, w) \) into \( WIL^{p, \kappa}(w) \).
Theorem 8. Let \(1 < p < \infty\), \(0 < \kappa < 1\), \(b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)\), and \([b, \mathcal{T}_r] \) satisfy condition (4) with \(0 < \gamma < n\). Given a pair of weights \((w, v)\), suppose that for some \(r > 1\) and for all cubes \(Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n\),

\[
|Q|^{\frac{1}{p'}} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{ \frac{1}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left\| v^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}Q} \leq C < \infty, \tag{22}
\]

where \(\mathcal{A}(t) = tp' \left( \log (e + t) \right)^{p'}\). Furthermore, we suppose that \([b, \mathcal{T}_r] \) satisfies the weak-type \((p, p)\) inequality

\[
w \left( \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : [b, \mathcal{T}_r](f)(x) > \sigma \} \right) \leq \frac{C}{\sigma^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p v(x) \, dx, \quad \text{for any} \ \sigma > 0, \tag{23}
\]

where \(C\) does not depend on \(f\) and \(\sigma > 0\). If \(w \in A_{\infty}\) then the commutator operator \([b, \mathcal{T}_r] \) is bounded from \(L^p(v, w)\) into \(WL^{p'}(w)\).

Concerning the boundedness properties on weighted amalgam spaces for these operators, we have the following results.

Theorem 9. Let \(1 < p \leq \alpha < q \leq \infty\) and \(\mu \in \Delta_2\). Given a pair of weights \((w, v)\), assume that for some \(r > 1\) and for all cubes \(Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n\),

\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{ \frac{1}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v(x)^{-\frac{1}{p'}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C < \infty. \tag{24}
\]

Furthermore, we assume that \(\mathcal{T}\) satisfies the weak-type \((p, p)\) inequality (17). If \(w \in \Delta_2\), then the operator \(\mathcal{T}\) is bounded from \((L^p, L^q)\) into \((WL^p, WL^q)\) when \(\mathcal{A}(t) = t^p \left( \log (e + t) \right)^{p'}\).

Theorem 10. Let \(0 < \gamma < n\), \(1 < p \leq \alpha < q \leq \infty\), and \(\mu \in \Delta_2\). Given a pair of weights \((w, v)\), assume that for some \(r > 1\) and for all cubes \(Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n\),

\[
|Q|^{\frac{1}{p'}} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{ \frac{1}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left\| v^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}Q} \leq C < \infty. \tag{25}
\]

Furthermore, we assume that \(\mathcal{T}_r\) satisfies the weak-type \((p, p)\) inequality (19). If \(w \in \Delta_2\), then the operator \(\mathcal{T}_r\) is bounded from \((L^p, L^q)\) into \((WL^p, WL^q)\) when \(\mathcal{A}(t) = t^p \left( \log (e + t) \right)^{p'}\).

Theorem 11. Let \(1 < p \leq \alpha < q \leq \infty\), \(\mu \in \Delta_2\) and \(b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)\). Given a pair of weights \((w, v)\), assume that for some \(r > 1\) and for all cubes \(Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n\),

\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{ \frac{1}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left\| v^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}Q} \leq C < \infty. \tag{26}
\]

where \(\mathcal{A}(t) = t^p \left( \log (e + t) \right)^{p'}\). Furthermore, we assume that \([b, \mathcal{T}_r] \) satisfies the weak-type \((p, p)\) inequality (21). If \(w \in A_{\infty}\) then the commutator operator \([b, \mathcal{T}_r] \) is bounded from \((L^p, L^q)\) into \((WL^p, WL^q)\) when \(\mathcal{A}(t) = t^p \left( \log (e + t) \right)^{p'}\).

Theorem 12. Let \(0 < \gamma < n\), \(1 < p \leq \alpha < q \leq \infty\), \(\mu \in \Delta_2\), and \(b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)\). Given a pair of weights \((w, v)\), assume that for some \(r > 1\) and for all cubes \(Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n\),

\[
|Q|^{\frac{1}{p'}} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{ \frac{1}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left\| v^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}Q} \leq C < \infty, \tag{27}
\]

where \(\mathcal{A}(t) = t^p \left( \log (e + t) \right)^{p'}\). Furthermore, we assume that \([b, \mathcal{T}_r] \) satisfies the weak-type \((p, p)\) inequality (23). If \(w \in A_{\infty}\) then the commutator operator \([b, \mathcal{T}_r] \) is bounded from \((L^p, L^q)\) into \((WL^p, WL^q)\) when \(\mathcal{A}(t) = t^p \left( \log (e + t) \right)^{p'}\).

Remark 13. It should be pointed out that the conclusions of our main theorems are natural generalizations of the corresponding weak-type estimates on the weighted Lebesgue spaces. The operators satisfying the assumptions of the above theorems include Calderón–Zygmund operators, Bochner–Riesz operators, and fractional integral operators. Hence, we are able to apply our main theorems to these classical integral operators.

2. Notations and Definitions

2.1. Weights. A nonnegative function \(w\) defined on \(\mathbb{R}^n\) will be called a weight if it is locally integrable. \(Q(x_0, \ell)\) will denote the cube centered at \(x_0\) and has side length \(\ell > 0\); all cubes are assumed to have their sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Given a cube \(Q = Q(x_0, \ell)\) and \(\lambda > 0\), \(\lambda Q\) stands for the cube concentric with \(Q\) having side length \(\lambda \ell / \ell\) times as long, i.e., \(\lambda Q(x_0, \ell) = Q(x_0, \lambda \ell / \ell)\). For any given weight \(w\) and any Lebesgue measurable set \(E \subset \mathbb{R}^n\), we denote the characteristic function of \(E\) by \(\chi_E\), the Lebesgue measure of \(E\) by |\(E|\), and the weighted measure of \(E\) by \(w(E)\), where \(w(E) = \int_E w(x) \, dx\). We also denote \(E^c = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E\) the complement of \(E\). Given a weight \(w\), we say that \(w\) satisfies the doubling condition, if there exists a universal constant \(C > 0\) such that for any cube \(Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n\), we have

\[
w(2Q) \leq C \cdot w(Q). \tag{28}
\]

When \(w\) satisfies condition (28), we denote \(w \in \Delta_2\) for brevity. A weight \(w\) is said to belong to Muckenhoupt’s class \(A_p\) for \(1 < p < \infty\), if there exists a constant \(C > 0\) such that

\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{ \frac{1}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p'}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C, \tag{29}
\]

holds for every cube \(Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n\). The class \(A_{\infty}\) is defined as the union of the \(A_p\) classes for \(1 < p < \infty\), i.e., \(A_{\infty} = \bigcup_{1 < p < \infty} A_p\). If \(w\) is an \(A_{\infty}\) weight, then we have \(w \in \Delta_2\) (see [12]). Moreover, this class \(A_{\infty}\) is characterized as the class of all weights
satisfying the following property: there exists a number \( \delta > 0 \) and a finite constant \( C > 0 \) such that (see [12])

\[
\frac{w(E)}{w(Q)} \leq C \left( \frac{|E|}{|Q|} \right)^{\delta},
\]

holds for every cube \( Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) and all measurable subsets \( E \) of \( Q \). Given a weight \( w \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and for \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), the weighted Lebesgue space \( L^p(w) \) is defined as the set of all measurable functions \( f \) such that

\[
\|f\|_{L^p(w)} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty.
\]

We also define the weighted weak Lebesgue space \( W^{1,p}(w) \) (\( 1 \leq p < \infty \)) as the set of all measurable functions \( f \) satisfying

\[
\|f\|_{W^{1,p}(w)} := \sup_{\sigma > 0} \sigma \cdot \left[ w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \sigma\}) \right]^{1/p} < \infty.
\]

2.2. Orlicz Spaces and BMO. We next recall some basic facts about Orlicz spaces needed for the proofs of the main results. For further details, we refer the reader to [13]. A function \( \mathcal{A} : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty) \) is said to be a Young function if it is continuous, convex, and strictly increasing satisfying \( \mathcal{A}(0) = 0 \) and \( \mathcal{A}(t) \to +\infty \) as \( t \to +\infty \). Given a Young function \( \mathcal{A} \), we define the \( \mathcal{A} \)-average of a function \( f \) over a cube \( Q \) by means of the following Luxemburg norm:

\[
\|f\|_{\mathcal{A},Q} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \mathcal{A} \left( \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) \, dx \leq 1 \right\}.
\]

In particular, when \( \mathcal{A}(t) = t^p \), \( 1 < p < \infty \), it is easy to check that

\[
\|f\|_{\mathcal{A},Q} = \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p};
\]

that is, the Luxemburg norm coincides with the normalized \( L^p \) norm. The main examples that we are going to consider are \( \mathcal{A}(t) = t^p \log(1 + t)^p \) with \( 1 < p < \infty \).

Let us now recall the definition of the space of \( \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) (see [14]). A locally integrable function \( b \) is said to be in \( \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n) \), if

\[
\|b\|_{\text{BMO}} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(x) - b_Q| \, dx < \infty,
\]

where \( b_Q \) denotes the mean value of \( b \) over \( Q \), namely,

\[
b_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q b(y) \, dy,
\]

and the supremum is taken over all cubes \( Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n \). After identifying functions that differ by a constant, the space \( \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) becomes a Banach space.

Throughout this paper, \( C \) always denotes a positive constant independent of the main parameters involved, but it may be different from line to line. We will use \( c_1, \ldots, c_4 \) appearing in the first section of this paper to denote certain constants. We also use \( A \equiv B \) to denote the equivalence of \( A \) and \( B \); that is, there exist two positive constants \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) independent of \( A \) and \( B \) such that \( C_1 A \leq B \leq C_2 A \).

3. Proofs of Theorems 5 and 6

Proof of Theorem 5. Let \( f \in L^p\sigma(v, w) \) with \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( 0 < \kappa < 1 \). For an arbitrary fixed cube \( Q = Q(x_0, \ell) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), we set \( 2Q = Q(x_0, 2\sqrt{\ell}) \). Decompose \( f \) as

\[
\begin{aligned}
f &= f_1 + f_2 \\
f_1 &= f \cdot \chi_{2Q}, \\
f_2 &= f \cdot \chi_{Q}.
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \chi_E \) denotes the characteristic function of the set \( E \). Then, for any given \( \sigma > 0 \), we write

\[
\frac{1}{w(Q)^{1/p}} \sigma \cdot \left[ w \left( \{ x \in Q : |\mathcal{F}(f)(x) | > \sigma \} \right) \right]^{1/p} \leq \left( \frac{1}{w(Q)^{1/p}} \sigma \cdot \left[ w \left( \{ x \in Q : |\mathcal{F}(f_1)(x) | > \frac{\sigma}{2} \} \right) \right]^{1/p} + \frac{1}{w(Q)^{1/p}} \sigma \cdot \left[ w \left( \{ x \in Q : |\mathcal{F}(f_2)(x) | > \frac{\sigma}{2} \} \right) \right]^{1/p} \right)
\]

\[
= I_1 + I_2.
\]

We first consider the term \( I_1 \). Using assumption (17) and the condition \( w \in A_\kappa \), we get

\[
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\leq C \cdot \frac{1}{w(Q)^{1/p}} \left( \int_{2Q} |f_1(x)|^p v(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
&= C \cdot \frac{1}{w(Q)^{1/p}} \left( \int_{2Q} |f(x)|^p v(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{L^p\sigma(v,w)} w(2Q)^{1/p} \|w\|_{L^p\sigma(v,w)}.
\end{aligned}
\]

This is just our desired estimate. Let us estimate the second term \( I_2 \). To this end, we observe that when \( x \in Q \) and \( y \in (2Q)^c \), one has \( |x - y| = |x_0 - y| \). We then decompose \( \mathbb{R}^n \) into a geometrically increasing sequence of concentric cubes and obtain the following pointwise estimate by condition (1).
\[ |\mathcal{S}(f_2)(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_2(y)|}{|x-y|^\sigma} \, dy \]

\[ \leq C \left\| \frac{|f(y)|}{|x_0-y|^\sigma} \right\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for } \sigma > 1 \]

\[ = C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1}Q_j} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x_0-y|^\sigma} \, dy \]

\[ \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}Q_j} \int_{2^{j+1}Q_j} |f(y)| \, dy. \]

This pointwise estimate (40) together with Chebyshev’s inequality implies that

\[ I_2 \leq \frac{2}{w(Q)^{1/p}} \left( \int_{Q} |\mathcal{S}(f_2)(x)|^p w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \]

\[ \leq C \cdot w(Q)^{(1-k)/p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}Q_j} \left( \int_{2^{j+1}Q_j} |f(y)|^p v(y) \, dy \right)^{1/p} \]

\[ \times \left( \int_{2^{j+1}Q_j} v(y)^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \, dy \right)^{1/p} \leq C \cdot \|f\|_{L^p(v,w)}. \]

Moreover, for any positive integer \( j \), applying Hölder’s inequality again with exponent \( p > 1 \) to get

\[ w(2^{j+1}Q_j) = \int_{2^{j+1}Q_j} w(y) \, dy \]

\[ \leq |2^{j+1}Q|^{1/r} \left( \int_{2^{j+1}Q_j} w(y)^r \, dy \right)^{1/r}. \]

Thus, in view of (43), we conclude that

\[ I_2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,w)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{(1-k)/p}}{2^{j+1}Q_j} \cdot \frac{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1/(rp)}}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \]

\[ \times \left( \int_{2^{j+1}Q_j} w(y)^r \, dy \right)^{1/(rp)} \left( \int_{2^{j+1}Q_j} v(y)^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \, dy \right)^{1/p} \]

\[ \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,w)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{(1-k)/p}}{2^{j+1}Q_j} \cdot \frac{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1/(rp)}}{|2^{j+1}Q|}. \]

The last inequality is obtained by the \( A_p \)-type condition (16) on \((w, v)\). Since \( w \in \Delta_2 \), we can easily check that there exists a reverse doubling constant \( D = D(w) > 1 \) independent of \( Q \) such that (see [3], Lemma 4.1)

\[ w(2Q) \geq D \cdot w(Q), \quad \text{for all cubes } Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \]

which implies that for any positive integer \( j \),

\[ w(2^{j+1}Q_j) \geq D^{j+1} \cdot w(Q), \]

by iteration. Hence,

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{(1-k)/p}}{2^{j+1}Q_j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{(1-k)/p}}{D^{j+1} \cdot w(Q)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{D^{j+1}} \leq C, \]

where the last series is convergent since the reverse doubling constant \( D > 1 \) and \( 0 < k < 1 \). This yields our desired estimate

\[ I_2 \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,w)}. \]

Summing up the above estimates for \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \), and then taking the supremum over all cubes \( Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) and all \( \sigma > 0 \), we finish the proof of Theorem 5.

**Proof of Theorem 6.** Let \( f \in L^{p,\infty}(v, w) \) with \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( 0 < k < 1 \). For an arbitrary fixed cube \( Q = Q(x_0, \ell) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), we decompose \( f \) as

\[ \begin{cases} f = f_1 + f_2 \in L^{p,\infty}(v, w), \\ f_1 = f \cdot \chi_{2Q}, \\ f_2 = f \cdot \chi_{(2Q)^c}, \end{cases} \]

where \( 2Q := Q(x_0, 2\sqrt{n}\ell) \). For any given \( \sigma > 0 \), we then write

\[ \frac{1}{w(Q)^{1/p}} \cdot \left[ \int_{Q} \left( \mathcal{S}_y(f)(x) > \sigma \right)^{1/p} \right] \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{w(Q)^{1/p}} \cdot \left[ \int_{Q} \left( \mathcal{S}_y(f_1)(x) > \sigma \right) \right]^{1/p} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{w(Q)^{1/p}} \cdot \left[ \int_{Q} \left( \mathcal{S}_y(f_2)(x) > \sigma \right) \right]^{1/p} \]

\[ = I_1' + I_2'. \]

Let us consider the first term \( I_1' \). Using assumption (19) and the condition \( w \in \Delta_2 \), we have
\[ I_1' \leq C \cdot \frac{1}{w(Q)^{k/p}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1(x)|^p \nu(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ = C \cdot \frac{1}{w(Q)^{k/p}} \left( \int_{2Q} |f(x)|^p \nu(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \cdot w(2Q)^{k/p} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}. \] (51)

This is exactly what we want. We now deal with the second term \( I_2' \). Note that \(|x - y| = |x_0 - y|\), whenever \( x, x_0 \in Q \) and \( y \in (2Q)^c \). For \( 0 < \gamma < n \) and all \( x \in Q \), using the standard technique and condition (2), we can see that

\[ |\mathcal{T}_y f_2(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_2(y)|}{|x - y|^{n - \gamma}} \, dy \]
\[ \leq C \int_{(2Q)^c} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n - \gamma}} \, dy \]
\[ = C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1}Q \setminus 2^jQ} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n - \gamma}} \, dy \]
\[ \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} \frac{1}{|x_0 - y|^{n - \gamma}} \, dy. \] (52)

This pointwise estimate (52) together with Chebyshev’s inequality yields

\[ I_2' \leq \frac{2}{w(Q)^{k/p}} \left( \int_{Q} |\mathcal{T}_y f_2(x)|^p \nu(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \leq C \cdot w(Q)^{(1-k)/p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}Q} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)| \, dy. \] (53)

By using Hölder’s inequality with exponent \( p > 1 \), we can deduce that

\[ I_2' \leq C \cdot w(Q)^{(1-k)/p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}Q} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)|^p \nu(y) \, dy \]
\[ \times \left( \int_{2^{j+1}Q} \nu(y)^{-1/p} \, dy \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu)} \cdot w(Q)^{(1-k)/p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(2^{j+1}Q)^{1/p}}{2^{j+1}Q} \times \left( \int_{2^{j+1}Q} \nu(y)^{-1/p} \, dy \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ = C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{(1-k)/p}}{w(2^{j+1}Q)^{1/p}} \cdot \frac{w(2^{j+1}Q)^{1/p}}{2^{j+1}Q} \]
\[ \times \left( \int_{2^{j+1}Q} \nu(y)^{-1/p} \, dy \right)^{1/p}. \] (54)

Moreover, we apply estimate (43) to get

\[ I_2' \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{(1-k)/p}}{w(2^{j+1}Q)^{1/p}} \cdot \frac{2^{j+1}Q}{w(2^{j+1}Q)^{1/p}} \]
\[ \times \left( \int_{2^{j+1}Q} \nu(y)^{-1/p} \, dy \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{(1-k)/p}}{w(2^{j+1}Q)^{1/p}} \cdot \frac{2^{j+1}Q}{w(2^{j+1}Q)^{1/p}} \]
\[ \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu)}. \] (55)

The last inequality is obtained by the \( A_p \)-type condition (18) on \((w, \nu)\). Therefore, in view of (47), we find that

\[ I_2' \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu)}. \] (56)

Combining the above estimates for \( I_1' \) and \( I_2' \), and then taking the supremum over all cubes \( Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) and all \( \sigma > 0 \), we complete the proof of Theorem 6.

### 4. Proofs of Theorems 7 and 8

For the results involving commutators, we need the following properties of \( \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n) \), which can be found in [15].

**Lemma 14.** Let \( b \) be a function in \( \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n) \).

(i) For every cube \( Q \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and for any positive integer \( j \), then

\[ |b_{2^{j+1}Q} - b_Q| \leq C \cdot (j + 1)\|b\|_{\text{BMO}}, \] (57)

(ii) Let \( 1 < p < \infty \). For every cube \( Q \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and any \( \omega \in A_{\infty} \), then

\[ \left( \int_Q |b(x) - b_Q|^p \omega(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \|b\|_{\text{BMO}} \cdot \omega(Q)^{1/p}. \] (58)

Before proving our main theorems, we will also need a generalization of Hölder’s inequality due to O’Neil [16].

**Lemma 15.** Let \( \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \) and \( \mathcal{C} \) be Young functions such that for all \( t > 0 \),

\[ \mathcal{A}^{-1}(t) \cdot \mathcal{B}^{-1}(t) \leq \mathcal{C}^{-1}(t), \] (59)

where \( \mathcal{A}^{-1}(t) \) is the inverse function of \( \mathcal{A}(t) \). Then, for all functions \( f \) and \( g \) and all cubes \( Q \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[ \|f \cdot g\|_{L^q} \leq 2\|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{\mathcal{B}^{-1}}} \] (60)

We are now ready to give the proofs of Theorems 7 and 8.

**Proof of Theorem 7.** Let \( f \in L^{p_{K}}(\nu, w) \) with \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( 0 < \kappa < 1 \). For any given cube \( Q = Q(x_0, \ell) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), we split \( f \) as usual by

...
where \(2Q = Q(x_0, 2\sqrt{nt})\). Then, for any given \(\sigma > 0\), one writes

\[
\frac{1}{w(Q)^{\alpha p}} \cdot \left[ w(\{ x \in Q : \|[b, \mathcal{T}]f_1(x)\| > \sigma \}) \right] \leq \frac{1}{w(Q)^{\alpha p}} \cdot \left[ w(\{ x \in Q : \|[b, \mathcal{T}]f_2(x)\| > \sigma \}) \right] + \frac{1}{w(Q)^{\alpha p}} \cdot \left[ w(\{ x \in Q : |\xi(x)| > \sigma \}) \right] \leq J_1 + J_2.
\]

Since \(w\) is an \(A_{\infty}\) weight, we know that \(w \in A_2\). By our assumption (21) and inequality (28), we have

\[
J_1 \leq C \cdot \frac{1}{w(Q)^{\alpha p}} \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1(x)|^p \nu(x) \ dx \right)^{1/p} = C \cdot \frac{1}{w(Q)^{\alpha p}} \cdot \left( \int_{2Q} |f(x)|^p \nu(x) \ dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,\nu)} \cdot \frac{w(2Q)^{\alpha p}}{w(Q)^{\alpha p}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,\nu)},
\]

which is exactly what we want. For any \(x \in Q\), from the size condition (3), we can easily see that

\[
[b, \mathcal{T}](f_2(x)) \leq c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|b(x) - b(y)| \cdot |f_2(y)|}{|x - y|^n} \ dy \leq c_2 |b(x) - b_Q| \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_2(y)|}{|x - y|^n} \ dy + c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|b(y) - b_Q| \cdot |f_2(y)|}{|x - y|^n} \ dy \leq |\xi(x)| + |\eta(x)|.
\]

Hence, we can further split \(J_2\) into two parts as follows:

\[
J_2 \leq \frac{1}{w(Q)^{\alpha p}} \cdot \left[ w(\{ x \in Q : |\xi(x)| > \sigma \}) \right]^{1/p} + \frac{1}{w(Q)^{\alpha p}} \cdot \left[ w(\{ x \in Q : |\eta(x)| > \sigma \}) \right]^{1/p} = J_3 + J_4.
\]

For the term \(J_3\), it follows from the pointwise estimate (40) and Chebyshev’s inequality that

\[
f = f \cdot \chi_{2Q} + f \cdot \chi_{(2Q)^c} = f_1 + f_2,
\]

where \(J_3 \leq \frac{4}{w(Q)^{\alpha p}} \cdot \left( \int_{Q} |\xi(x)|^p w(x) \ dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \cdot \frac{w(Q)^{\alpha p}}{w(Q)^{\alpha p}} \cdot \left( \int_{Q} |f(y)|^p v(y) \ dy \right)^{1/p} \leq C \cdot \|f\|_{L^p(v,\nu)} \cdot w(Q)^{\alpha p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \left| \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)| \ dy \right| \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,\nu)} \cdot \|w(Q)^{\alpha p}\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|} \left| \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |b(y) - b_{2^{j+1}Q}| \cdot \nu^{-1/p} \right|_{L^p(2^{j+1}Q)}
\]

where in the last inequality, we have used the second part of Lemma 14 since \(w \in A_{\infty}\). Repeating the arguments used in Theorem 5, we can also prove that

\[
J_3 \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,\nu)}.
\]
where \( \mathcal{E}(t) = t^{p'} \) is a Young function by (34). For \( 1 < p < \infty \), it is immediate that the inverse function of \( \mathcal{E}(t) \) is \( \mathcal{E}^{-1}(t) = t^{1/p'} \). Also, observe that the following identity is true:

\[
\mathcal{E}^{-1}(t) = t^{1/p'} = \frac{t^{1/p'}}{\log (e + t)} \times \log (e + t) = \mathcal{A}^{-1}(t) \cdot \mathcal{B}^{-1}(t),
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{A}(t) = t^{p'} \left[ \log (e + t) \right]^{1/p'}, \quad \mathcal{B}(t) = \exp (t) - 1.
\]

(71)

Let \( \|h\|_{L^p Q} \) denote the mean Luxemburg norm of \( h \) on cube \( Q \) with Young function \( \mathcal{B}(t) = \exp (t) - 1 \). Thus, by Lemma 15, we have

\[
\left\| \left[ b - b_{2n+1} \right] \cdot \mathcal{A}^{-1} \right\|_{L^p Q} \leq C \left\| b - b_{2n+1} \right\|_{L^p Q} \cdot \left\| \mathcal{A}^{-1} \right\|_{L^p Q},
\]

(72)

where in the last inequality, we have used the well-known fact that (see [15])

\[
\left\| b - b_Q \right\|_{L^p Q} \leq C \left\| b \right\| \ast, \quad \text{for any cube } Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

(73)

This is equivalent to the following inequality:

\[
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \exp \left( \frac{1}{c_0} \frac{|b(y) - b_Q|}{\mathcal{A}} \right) \, dy \leq C, \quad \text{for any cube } Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n,
\]

(74)

which is just a corollary of the celebrated John–Nirenberg’s inequality (see [14]). Consequently,

\[
J_5 \leq C \left\| b \right\| \ast \cdot \left\| f \right\|_{L^{p^*}(v,w)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{1/k}}{w(2^{i+1}Q)^{1/k}} \cdot \left\| \mathcal{A}^{-1} \right\|_{L^p Q}.
\]

(75)

Moreover, in view of (43), we can deduce that

\[
J_5 \leq C \left\| f \right\|_{L^{p^*}(v,w)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{1/k}}{w(2^{i+1}Q)^{1/k}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{i+1}Q} \int_{2^{i+1}Q} w(x)^{1/p'} \, dx 
\]

\[
\times \left( \int_{2^{i+1}Q} w(x)^{1/p'} \, dx \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left\| \mathcal{A}^{-1} \right\|_{L^p Q}
\]

\[
\leq C \left\| f \right\|_{L^{p^*}(v,w)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{1/k}}{w(2^{i+1}Q)^{1/k}} \leq C \left\| f \right\|_{L^{p^*}(v,w)}.
\]

(76)

The last inequality is obtained by the \( A_p \)-type condition (20) on \( (w, v) \) and estimate (47). It remains to estimate the last term \( J_6 \). Applying the first part of Lemma 14 and Hölder’s inequality, we get

\[
J_6 \leq C \cdot w(Q)^{(1-k)/p} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{1/k}}{w(2^{i+1}Q)^{1/k}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{i+1}Q} \int_{2^{i+1}Q} |f(y)| \, dy 
\]

\[
\leq C \cdot w(Q)^{(1-k)/p} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{1/k}}{w(2^{i+1}Q)^{1/k}} \cdot \left( \int_{2^{i+1}Q} |f(y)|^p v(y) \, dy \right)^{1/p} 
\]

\[
\times \left( \int_{2^{i+1}Q} v(y)^{-p'} \, dy \right)^{1/p'} \leq C \left\| f \right\|_{L^{p^*}(v,w)} 
\]

\[
\cdot w(Q)^{(1-k)/p} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{1/k}}{w(2^{i+1}Q)^{1/k}} \cdot \left( \int_{2^{i+1}Q} v(y)^{-p'} \, dy \right)^{1/p'} 
\]

\[
\cdot \left( \int_{2^{i+1}Q} v(y)^{-p'} \, dy \right)^{1/p'} \cdot \left( \int_{2^{i+1}Q} v(y)^{-p'} \, dy \right)^{1/p'}.
\]

(77)

Let \( \mathcal{E}(t) \) and \( \mathcal{A}(t) \) be the same as before. Obviously, \( \mathcal{E}(t) \leq \mathcal{A}(t) \) for all \( t > 0 \), then for any cube \( Q \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), one has \( \|f\|_{L^p Q} \leq \|f\|_{L^p Q} \) by definition, which implies that condition (20) is stronger than condition (16). This fact together with (43) yields

\[
J_6 \leq C \left\| f \right\|_{L^{p^*}(v,w)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{1/k}}{w(2^{i+1}Q)^{1/k}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{i+1}Q} \int_{2^{i+1}Q} |f(y)| \, dy 
\]

\[
\times \left( \int_{2^{i+1}Q} v(y)^{-p'} \, dy \right)^{1/p'} \cdot \left( \int_{2^{i+1}Q} v(y)^{-p'} \, dy \right)^{1/p'} 
\]

\[
\leq C \left\| f \right\|_{L^{p^*}(v,w)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{1/k}}{w(2^{i+1}Q)^{1/k}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{i+1}Q} \int_{2^{i+1}Q} |f(y)| \, dy 
\]

\[
\times \left( \int_{2^{i+1}Q} v(y)^{-p'} \, dy \right)^{1/p'} \cdot \left( \int_{2^{i+1}Q} v(y)^{-p'} \, dy \right)^{1/p'}.
\]

(78)

Moreover, by our hypothesis on \( w : w \in A_\infty \) and inequality (30), we compute

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q)^{1/k}}{w(2^{j+1}Q)^{1/k}} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left| Q \right|}{2^{j+1}Q} \cdot \delta(1-k)/p 
\]

\[
\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}Q} \cdot \delta(1-k)/p 
\]

\[
\leq C,
\]

(79)

where the last series is convergent since the exponent \( \delta(1-k)/p \) is positive. This implies our desired estimate

\[
J_6 \leq C \left\| f \right\|_{L^{p^*}(v,w)}.
\]

(80)
Proof of Theorem 8. Let \( f \in L^p_{\nu}(v, w) \) with \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( 0 < \kappa < 1 \). For any given cube \( Q = Q(x_0, \ell) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), as before, we set
\[
f = f_1 + f_2, \quad f_1 = f \cdot 2Q, f_2 = f \cdot (2Q)' ,
\]
where \( 2Q = Q(x_0, 2\sqrt{n}\ell) \). Then, for any given \( \sigma > 0 \), one writes
\[
\frac{1}{w(Q)^{kp}} \sigma \cdot \left[ w \left( \left\{ x \in Q : \| [b, \mathcal{F}^n_\tau](f)(x) \| > \frac{\sigma}{2} \right\} \right) \right]^{1/p}
\leq \frac{1}{w(Q)^{kp}} \sigma \cdot \left[ w \left( \left\{ x \in Q : \| [b, \mathcal{F}^n_\tau](f_1)(x) \| > \frac{\sigma}{2} \right\} \right) \right]^{1/p}
+ \frac{1}{w(Q)^{kp}} \sigma \cdot \left[ w \left( \left\{ x \in Q : \| [b, \mathcal{F}^n_\tau](f_2)(x) \| > \frac{\sigma}{2} \right\} \right) \right]^{1/p}
= f'_1 + f'_2 .
\]

Since \( w \) is an \( A_{\infty} \) weight, then we have \( w \in \Delta_2 \). From our assumption (23) and inequality (28), it follows that
\[
f'_1 \leq C \cdot \frac{1}{w(Q)^{kp}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1(x)|^p \nu(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p}
= C \cdot \frac{1}{w(Q)^{kp}} \left( \int_{2Q} |f(x)|^p \nu(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p}
\leq C \| f \|_{L^p_{\nu}(\nu, w)} \cdot \frac{w(2Q)^{kp}}{w(Q)^{kp}} \leq C \| f \|_{L^p_{\nu}(\nu, w)} .
\]

On the other hand, for any \( x \in Q \), from the size condition (4), it then follows that
\[
\| [b, \mathcal{F}^n_\tau](f_2)(x) \| \leq c_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{|b(x) - b_Q| \cdot |f_2(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+p}} \right\} \, dy
\leq c_4 |b(x) - b_Q| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{|f_2(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+p}} \right\} \, dy
+ c_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{|b(y) - b_Q| \cdot |f_2(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+p}} \right\} \, dy
\leq \tilde{\xi}(x) + \tilde{\eta}(x).
\]

Thus, we can further split \( f'_2 \) into two parts as follows:
\[
f'_2 \leq \frac{1}{w(Q)^{kp}} \sigma \cdot \left[ w \left( \left\{ x \in Q : \tilde{\xi}(x) > \frac{\sigma}{4} \right\} \right) \right]^{1/p}
+ \frac{1}{w(Q)^{kp}} \sigma \cdot \left[ w \left( \left\{ x \in Q : \tilde{\eta}(x) > \frac{\sigma}{4} \right\} \right) \right]^{1/p}
= f'_3 + f'_4 .
\]

Using the pointwise estimate (52) and Chebyshev’s inequality, we obtain that
\[
f'_3 \leq \frac{4}{w(Q)^{kp}} \cdot \left( \int_Q \| \tilde{\xi}(x) \|_p \nu(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \frac{w(Q)^{kp}}{w(Q)^{kp}}
\cdot \left( \int_Q |b(x) - b_Q| \| \nu(x) \| \, dx \right)^{1/p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1-\gamma/p}} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)| \, dy
\leq C \| b \|_{\nu} \cdot \| w(Q)^{(1-\kappa)/p} \| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1-\gamma/p}} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)| \, dy,
\]

where the last inequality is due to \( w \in A_{\infty} \) and Lemma 14(ii). By using the same arguments as that of Theorem 6, we can also show that
\[
f'_4 \leq C \| f \|_{L^p_{\nu}(\nu, w)} .
\]

Similar to the proof of (52), for all \( x \in Q \), we can show the following pointwise inequality as well.
\[
|\tilde{\eta}(x)| = c_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{|b(y) - b_Q| \cdot |f_2(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+p}} \right\} \, dy
\leq C \int_{(2Q)'} \left\{ \frac{|b(y) - b_Q| \cdot |f(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+p}} \right\} \, dy
\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1-\gamma/p}} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |b(y) - b_Q| \cdot |f(y)| \, dy.
\]

This, together with Chebyshev’s inequality, yields
\[
f'_4 \leq \frac{4}{w(Q)^{kp}} \cdot \left( \int_Q |\tilde{\eta}(x)\|_p \nu(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \cdot w(Q)^{(1-\kappa)/p}
\cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1-\gamma/p}} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |b(y) - b_Q| \cdot |f(y)| \, dy
\leq C \cdot w(Q)^{(1-\kappa)/p} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1-\gamma/p}} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |b(y) - b_{2^{j+1}Q}| \cdot |f(y)| \, dy + C \cdot w(Q)^{(1-\kappa)/p}
\cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1-\gamma/p}} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |b_{2^{j+1}Q} - b_Q| \cdot |f(y)| \, dy = f'_5 + f'_6 .
\]

An application of Hölder’s inequality leads to
\[
f'_5 \leq C \cdot w(Q)^{(1-k)/p} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1-\gamma/p}} \left( \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)\|_p \nu(y) \, dy \right)^{1/p}
\times \left( \int_Q |b(y) - b_{2^{j+1}Q}|^p \nu(y) \, dy \right)^{1/p'}
\leq C \| f \|_{L^p_{\nu}(\nu, w)} \cdot w(Q)^{(1-\kappa)/p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1-\gamma/p}} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |b(y) - b_{2^{j+1}Q}| \cdot |f(y)| \, dy
\times |2^{j+1}Q|^{1/p'} \cdot \| b - b_{2^{j+1}Q} \| \cdot \nu^{-1/p} \|_p_{\|2^{j+1}Q\|} ,
\]

where the last inequality is due to \( w \in A_{\infty} \) and Lemma 14(ii). By using the same arguments as that of Theorem 6, we can also show that
\[
f'_5 \leq C \cdot w(Q)^{(1-\kappa)/p} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1-\gamma/p}} \left( \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)\|_p \nu(y) \, dy \right)^{1/p}
\times \left( \int_Q |b(y) - b_{2^{j+1}Q}|^p \nu(y) \, dy \right)^{1/p'}
\leq C \| f \|_{L^p_{\nu}(\nu, w)} \cdot w(Q)^{(1-\kappa)/p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1-\gamma/p}} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |b(y) - b_{2^{j+1}Q}| \cdot |f(y)| \, dy
\times |2^{j+1}Q|^{1/p'} \cdot \| b - b_{2^{j+1}Q} \| \cdot \nu^{-1/p} \|_p_{\|2^{j+1}Q\|} .
\]
where \( \mathscr{C}(t) = t^p \) is a Young function. Let \( \mathscr{D}(t) \) and \( \mathscr{A}(t) \) be the same as in Theorem 7. In view of (73) and (43), we can deduce that

\[
J'_5 \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,w)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q^{(1-x)p})}{w(2^{j+1}Q^{(1-x)p})} \cdot \frac{w(2^{j+1}Q^{1/p})}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1/p}_p} \cdot \|v^{-1/p}\|_{\mathcal{A}^{2,1}_p Q} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,w)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q^{(1-x)p})}{w(2^{j+1}Q^{(1-x)p})} \cdot \|v^{-1/p}\|_{\mathcal{A}^{2,1}_p Q} \cdot \|v^{-1/p}\|_{\mathcal{A}^{2,1}_p Q}.
\]

(92)

Furthermore, by the \( A_p \)-type condition (22) on \( (w, v) \) and estimate (47), we obtain

\[
J'_5 \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,w)} \times \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q^{(1-x)p})}{w(2^{j+1}Q^{(1-x)p})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,w)}.
\]

(93)

It remains to estimate the last term \( J'_6 \). Making use of the first part of Lemma 14 and Hölder’s inequality, we get

\[
J'_6 \leq C \cdot w(Q^{(1-x)p}) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(j+1)\|b\|}{|2^{j+1}Q|^{1/p}_p} \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)| \, dy \leq C \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q^{(1-x)p})}{w(2^{j+1}Q^{(1-x)p})} \cdot \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)| \, dy \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,w)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q^{(1-x)p})}{w(2^{j+1}Q^{(1-x)p})} \cdot \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)| \, dy \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,w)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q^{(1-x)p})}{w(2^{j+1}Q^{(1-x)p})} \cdot \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)| \, dy.
\]

(94)

It was pointed out in Theorem 7 that for any cube \( Q \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), one has \( \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \), where \( \mathscr{C}(t) = t^p \) and \( \mathscr{A}(t) = t^p \log (e + t)^{1/p} \). This implies that condition (22) is stronger than condition (20). Using this fact along with (43), we can see that

\[
J'_6 \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,w)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j+1) \cdot \frac{w(Q^{(1-x)p})}{w(2^{j+1}Q^{(1-x)p})} \cdot \|v^{-1/p}\|_{\mathcal{A}^{2,1}_p Q} \cdot \|v^{-1/p}\|_{\mathcal{A}^{2,1}_p Q} \cdot \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)| \, dy \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(v,w)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q^{(1-x)p})}{w(2^{j+1}Q^{(1-x)p})} \cdot \|v^{-1/p}\|_{\mathcal{A}^{2,1}_p Q} \cdot \|v^{-1/p}\|_{\mathcal{A}^{2,1}_p Q} \cdot \int_{2^{j+1}Q} |f(y)| \, dy.
\]

(95)

where the last inequality follows from estimate (80). Summarizing the estimates derived above, and then taking the supremum over all cubes \( Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) and all \( \sigma > 0 \), we therefore conclude the proof of Theorem 8.

5. Proofs of Theorems 9 and 10

Proof of Theorem 9. Let \( 1 < p \leq a < q \leq \infty \) and \( f \in (L^p, L^q)^{(v, w, \mu)} \) with \( w \in A_\infty \) and \( \mu \in A_\infty \). For any cube \( Q = (y, \ell) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \ell > 0 \), we denote by \( \lambda Q \) the cube concentric with \( Q \) whose each edge is \( \lambda \sqrt{n} \) times as long; that is, \( \lambda Q = Q(y, \lambda \sqrt{n} \ell) \). Decompose \( f \) as

\[
\begin{cases}
f = f_1 + f_2 \in (L^p, L^q)^{(v, w, \mu)}, \\
f_1 = f \cdot X_{3Q}, \\
f_2 = f \cdot X_{(2Q)^c}.
\end{cases}
\]

(96)

where \( X_{3Q} \) denotes the characteristic function of \( 2Q = Q(y, 2\sqrt{n} \ell) \). Then, for any given \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \ell > 0 \), we write

\[
w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a-1/p-1/q} \left( \mathcal{F}(f) \cdot X_{(3Q)^c} \right)_{L^p(v,w)} \leq 2 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a-1/p-1/q} \mathcal{F}(f_1) \cdot X_{(3Q)^c} \leq 2 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a-1/p-1/q} \mathcal{F}(f_2) \cdot X_{(3Q)^c} \leq K_1(y, \ell) + K_2(y, \ell).
\]

(97)

Let us consider the first term \( K_1(y, \ell) \). In view of (17), we get

\[
K_1(y, \ell) \leq 2 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a-1/p-1/q} \left( \mathcal{F}(f_1) \right)_{L^p(v,w)} \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a-1/p-1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2\sqrt{n} \ell)} |f(x)|^p v(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a-1/p-1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2\sqrt{n} \ell)} |f(x)|^p v(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a-1/p-1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2\sqrt{n} \ell)} |f(x)|^p v(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p}.
\]

(98)
Moreover, since $1/\alpha - 1/p - 1/q < 0$ and $w \in \Delta_2$, then by doubling inequality (28), we obtain

$$
\frac{w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/p - 1/q}}{w(Q(y, 2\sqrt{n}\ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/p - 1/q}} \leq C.
$$

Substituting the above inequality (99) into (98), we thus obtain

$$
K_1(y, \ell) \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, 2\sqrt{n}\ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/p - 1/q} \left\| f \cdot X_{Q(y,2\sqrt{n}\ell)} \right\|_{L^p(v)}.
$$

As for the second term $K_2(y, \ell)$, recall that by the size condition (1), the following inequality holds for any $x \in Q(y, \ell)$:

$$
|\mathcal{F}(f_2)(x)| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell)|} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell)} |f(z)| \, dz.
$$

This pointwise estimate (101) together with Chebyshev’s inequality yields

$$
K_2(y, \ell) \leq 2 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/p - 1/q} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell)|} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell)} |f(z)| \, dz \right)^{1/p}
$$

Moreover, an application of Hölder’s inequality gives us that

$$
K_2(y, \ell) \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/p - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell)|} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell)} \left| f(z) \right|^p v(z) \, dz
$$

In addition, we apply Hölder’s inequality with exponent $r > 1$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell)} w(z) \, dz \\
&\leq |Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell)|^{1/r'} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell)} w(z)^{r'} \, dz \right)^{1/r'}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
K_2(y, \ell) \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/p - 1/q}}{w(Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/p - 1/q}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell)} |f(z)| \, dz \right)^{1/p}
$$

The last inequality is obtained by the $A_p$-type condition (24) on $(w, v)$. Furthermore, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 5, we know that for any positive integer $j$, there exists a reverse doubling constant $D = D(w) > 1$ independent of $Q(y, \ell)$ such that

$$
w(Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell)) \geq D^{j+1} \cdot w(Q(y, \ell)).
$$

Hence,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/p - 1/q}}{w(Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{n}\ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/p - 1/q}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{w(Q(y, \ell))}{D^{j+1} \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))} \right)^{1/\alpha - 1/q}
$$

where the last series is convergent since the reverse doubling constant $D > 1$ and $1/\alpha - 1/q > 0$. Therefore, by taking the $L^p(\mu)$-norm of both sides of (97) (with respect to the variable $y$), and then using Minkowski’s inequality, (100) and (105), we have
where the last inequality follows from (107). By taking the supremum over all \( \ell > 0 \), we finish the proof of Theorem 9.

**Proof of Theorem 10.** Let \( 1 < p \leq a < q \leq \infty \) and \( f \in (L^p, L^q)\) \( ^\ell \) \((v, w; \mu)\) with \( w \in A_\mu \) and \( \mu \in A_\mu \). For an arbitrary point \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \), we set \( Q = Q(y, \ell) \) for the cube centered at \( y \) and of the side length \( \ell \). Decompose \( f \) as

\[
\begin{align*}
  f &= f_1 + f_2 = (L^p, L^q)\) \( ^\ell \) \((v, w; \mu), \\
  f_1 &= f \cdot X_{Q(y)} \\
  f_2 &= f \cdot X_{(2Q)y},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( 2Q = Q(y, 2\sqrt{\ell}) \). Then, for any given \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \ell > 0 \), we write

\[
\begin{align*}
  w(Q(y, \ell))^{1 - \ell / q} &\left\| T_f \cdot X_{Q(y)} \right\|_{L^q(w)} \\
  &\leq 2 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1 - \ell / q} \left\| T_f (f_1) \cdot X_{Q(y)} \right\|_{L^q(w)} + 2 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1 - \ell / q} \left\| T_f (f_2) \cdot X_{Q(y)} \right\|_{L^q(w)} \\
  &= K' (y, \ell) + K'' (y, \ell).
\end{align*}
\]

Let us consider the first term \( K' (y, \ell) \). Using assumption (19) and inequality (99), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
  K' (y, \ell) &\leq 2 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1 - \ell / q} \left\| T_f (f_1) \right\|_{L^q(w)} \\
  &\leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1 - \ell / q} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2\sqrt{\ell})} |f(x)|^p w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
  &= C \cdot w(Q(y, 2\sqrt{\ell}))^{1 - \ell / q} \left\| f \cdot X_{Q(y)} \right\|_{L^p(v)} \\
  &\leq C \cdot w(Q(y, 2\sqrt{\ell}))^{1 - \ell / q} \left\| f \cdot X_{Q(y)} \right\|_{L^p(v)}.
\end{align*}
\]

We now estimate the second term \( K'' (y, \ell) \). Recall that by the size condition (2), the following estimate holds for any \( x \in Q(y, \ell) \):

\[
\left| T_f (f_2) (x) \right| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})|^{1 - \ell / q}} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})} |f(z)| \, dz.
\]

This pointwise estimate (112) along with Chebyshev’s inequality implies

\[
K'' (y, \ell) \leq 2 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1 - \ell / q} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})|^{1 - \ell / q}} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})} |f(z)| \, dz \right)^{1/p} \\
\leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1 - \ell / q} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})|^{1 - \ell / q}} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})} |f(z)| \, dz.
\]

A further application of Hölder’s inequality yields

\[
K'' (y, \ell) \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1 - \ell / q} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})|^{1 - \ell / q}} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})} |f(z)|^p w(z) \, dz \right)^{1/p} \\
\times \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})} w(z)^{q/p} \, dz \right)^{1/q}. \\
\]

Hence, in view of (104), we have

\[
K'' (y, \ell) \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})|^{1 - \ell / q}} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})} |f \cdot X_{Q(y)}| \, dz \right) \\
\times \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})|^{1 - \ell / q}} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{\ell})} w(z)^{q/p} \, dz \right)^{1/q}.
\]
The last inequality is obtained by the $A_p$-type condition (25) on $(w,v)$. Therefore, by taking the $L^p(\mu)$-norm of both sides of (110) with respect to the variable $y$, and then using Minkowski’s inequality, (111) and (115), we obtain

$$
\|w(Q(y, \epsilon))\|_{L^p(\mu)}^\alpha \|\| f \cdot X_{Q,y}\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{T})}\|
\leq K_1(y, \epsilon) \|\| f \cdot X_{Q,y}\|_{L^p(\mu)}
\leq C\|\| w(Q(y, 2\sqrt{\hat{\mu}}))\|_{L^p(\mu)}^\alpha \|\| f \cdot X_{Q,y}\|_{L^p(\mu)}
+ C\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\| w(Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\hat{\mu}}))\|_{L^p(\mu)}^\alpha \|\| f \cdot X_{Q,y}\|_{L^p(\mu)}
\times C\|\| w(Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\hat{\mu}}))\|_{L^p(\mu)}^\alpha \|\| f \cdot X_{Q,y}\|_{L^p(\mu)}
+ C\|\| f \cdot X_{Q,y}\|_{L^p(\mu)}^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\| w(Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\hat{\mu}}))\|_{L^p(\mu)}^\alpha
\leq C\|\| f \cdot X_{Q,y}\|_{L^p(\mu)}^\alpha
$$

(116)

Next, we estimate $L_2(y, \epsilon).$ For any $x \in Q(y, \epsilon),$ from condition (3), we can see that

$$
\|b, \bar{f}(f_2)(x)\| \leq \|b(x) - b_{Q,y}\| \cdot |\bar{f}(f_2)(x)|
+ |\bar{f}(b_{Q,y} - b) f_2(x)| = \xi_0(x) + \eta_0(x).
$$

(120)

Then, we have

$$
L_2(y, \epsilon) \leq 4 \cdot w(Q(y, \epsilon))^{1-alpha-p-1/q} \|\| f \cdot X_{Q,y}\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega)}
+ 4 \cdot w(Q(y, \epsilon))^{1-alpha-p-1/q} \|\| \eta_0(\cdot) \cdot X_{Q,y}\|_{W^{1,p}(\omega)}
= L_1(y, \epsilon) + L_4(y, \epsilon).
$$

(121)

For the term $L_4(y, \epsilon),$ it follows directly from Chebyshev’s inequality and estimate (101) that

$$
L_4(y, \epsilon) \leq 4 \cdot w(Q(y, \epsilon))^{1-alpha-p-1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, \epsilon)} |\xi_0(x)|^p w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p}
\leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \epsilon))^{1-alpha-p-1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, \epsilon)} |b(x) - b_{Q,y}|^p w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p}
\leq \frac{1}{\int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\hat{\mu}})} |f(z)| \, dz} \leq C \|b\|_p
\cdot w(Q(y, \epsilon))^{1-alpha-p-1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\hat{\mu}})} |f(z)| \, dz,
$$

(122)

where in the last inequality, we have used the fact that $w \in A_{\infty}$ and Lemma 14(ii). We can now argue exactly as we did in the proof of Theorem 9 to get

$$
L_3(y, \epsilon) \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\hat{\mu}})} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\hat{\mu}})} |f(x)|^p w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p}
\cdot w(Q(y, \epsilon))^{1-alpha-p-1/q} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\hat{\mu}})} |f(z)| \, dz.
$$

(123)

For the term $L_4(y, \epsilon),$ as it was shown in Theorem 7, the following pointwise inequality holds by the size condition (1).

$$
\eta_0(x) = |\bar{f}(b_{Q,y} - b) f_2(x)| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\hat{\mu}})} |b(z) - b_{Q,y}| \cdot |f(z)| \, dz.
$$

(124)
This, together with Chebyshev’s inequality, yields

\[ L_s(y, \ell) \leq 4 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/p - 1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, \ell)} |f_*(x)|^p w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \]

\[ \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})|} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} |b(z)| \]

\[ - b_{Q(y, \ell)} \cdot |f(z)| \, dz \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})|} \]

\[ \cdot |f(z)| \, dz + C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})|} \]

\[ \cdot \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} |b_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} - b_{Q(y, \ell)}| \cdot |f(z)| \, dz \]

\[ = L_s(y, \ell) + L_6(y, \ell). \]

(125)

An application of Hölder’s inequality leads to

\[ L_s(y, \ell) \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} |f(z)|^p w(z) \, dz \right)^{1/p} \]

\[ \cdot \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} |v(z)|^{p/q} \, dz \right)^{1/q} \]

\[ \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \left| f \cdot X_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \right| \, dz \]

\[ \times |Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})|^{1/p} \left\| \frac{v}{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \right\|_{d\ell,Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \]  

(126)

where \( \varphi(t) = t^{p'} \) is a Young function. Recall that the following inequality holds by generalized Hölder’s inequality and estimate (74):

\[ \left\| \frac{v}{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \right\|_{d\ell,Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \]

\[ \leq C \left\| \frac{v}{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \right\|_{d\ell,Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \]

\[ \leq C \|b\|_\infty \cdot \|v^{1/p}\|_{d\ell,Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \cdot |Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})|^{1/p} \]

(127)

where

\[ \varphi(t) = t^{p'} \| \log (e + t) \|^{p'}/p', \]

\[ \vartheta(t) = \exp(t) - 1. \]

Consequently,

\[ L_s(y, \ell) \leq C \|b\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \left| f \cdot X_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \right| \, dz \]

\[ \leq C \left\| f \cdot X_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \right\|_{d\ell,Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \]

\[ \leq C \|b\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \left| f \cdot X_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \right| \, dz \]

\[ \leq C \|b\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \left| f \cdot X_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \right| \, dz \]

\[ = C \|b\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \left| f \cdot X_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \right| \, dz \]

(129)

Moreover, in view of (104), we can deduce that

\[ L_s(y, \ell) \leq C \|b\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \left| f \cdot X_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \right| \, dz \]

\[ \leq C \|b\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \left| f \cdot X_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \right| \, dz \]

\[ \leq C \|b\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \left| f \cdot X_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \right| \, dz \]

(130)

The last inequality is obtained by the \( A_p \)-type condition (26) on \( (w, v) \). Let us now estimate the last term \( L_6(y, \ell) \). Applying Lemma 14(i) and Hölder’s inequality, we get

\[ L_6(y, \ell) \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \left| f(z) \right| \, dz \right) \]

\[ \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \left| f(z) \right| \, dz \]

\[ \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \left| f(z) \right| \, dz \]

\[ \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/\alpha - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}/\sqrt{n\ell})} \left| f(z) \right| \, dz \]

(131)
It was pointed out in Theorem 7 that condition (26) is stronger than condition (24). Taking into account this fact and (104), we obtain

\[
L_2(y, \ell) \leq C |b| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w(Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{n} \ell))^{1/a - 1/p - 1/q} \left[ \left\| f \cdot X_{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{n} \ell)} \right\|_{L^p(v)} \right] \\
\times (j + 1) \cdot \frac{w(Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{n} \ell))^{1/a - 1/q}}{w(Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{n} \ell))^{1/a - 1/q}} \times \left( \int \frac{w(z)^{1/p} dz}{w(Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{n} \ell))^{1/a - 1/q}} \right)^{1/p} \]  

where the last inequality is due to (134). We conclude the proof of Theorem 11 by taking the supremum over all \( \ell > 0 \).

**Proof of Theorem 12.** Let \( 1 < p \leq q < \infty \) and \( f \in (L^p, L^q)^\mathbb{R}^d \) with \( w \in A_{\infty} \) and \( \mu \in \Delta_2 \). For any fixed cube \( Q = Q(y, \ell) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), we usually decompose \( f \) as

\[
f = f_1 + f_2, \quad f_1 = f \cdot X_{\mathbb{R}^d}, \quad f_2 = \frac{f}{\infty}, \]  

(136)

where \( 2Q = Q(y, 2\sqrt{n} \ell) \). Then, for any given \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \ell > 0 \), we write

\[
\| \| \| w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a - 1/p - 1/q} \left[ [b, \mathcal{T}_y](f) \cdot X(Q,y,\ell) \right]_{W^{1/p}(w)} \]  

\[
\leq 6 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a - 1/p - 1/q} \left[ [b, \mathcal{T}_y](f_1) \cdot X(Q,y,\ell) \right]_{W^{1/p}(w)} + 2 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a - 1/p - 1/q} \left[ [b, \mathcal{T}_y](f_2) \cdot X(Q,y,\ell) \right]_{W^{1/p}(w)} \]  

(133)

Moreover, by our hypothesis on \( w : w \in A_{\infty} \) and inequality (30) with exponent \( \delta^* > 0 \), we compute

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j + 1) \cdot \frac{w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a - 1/q}}{w(Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{n} \ell))^{1/a - 1/q}} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j + 1) \cdot \left( \frac{Q(y, \ell)}{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{n} \ell)} \right)^{\delta^*(1/a - 1/q)} \]  

(134)

Notice that the exponent \( \delta^*(1/a - 1/q) \) is positive because \( a < q \), which guarantees that the last series is convergent. Thus, by taking the \( L^p(\mu) \)-norm of both sides of (118) (with respect to the variable \( y \)), and then using Minkowski’s inequality, (119) and (133), we obtain

\[
L_1(y, \ell) \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a - 1/p - 1/q} \left[ [b, \mathcal{T}_y](f_1) \right]_{W^{1/p}(w)} \]  

(135)

Since \( w \in A_{\infty} \), we know that \( w \in \Delta_2 \). By assumption (23) and inequality (99), we get

\[
L_1(y, \ell) \leq 6 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/a - 1/p - 1/q} \left[ [b, \mathcal{T}_y](f_1) \right]_{W^{1/p}(w)} \]  

(137)

Next, we estimate the other term \( L_2'(y, \ell) \). For any \( x \in Q(y, \ell) \), from condition (4), one can see that
\[ |[b, \mathcal{T}_t](f_2)(x)| \leq \left| b(x) - b_{Q,\alpha} \right| \cdot |\mathcal{T}_t(f_2)(x)| + |\mathcal{T}_t\left( [b_{Q,\alpha} - b]f_2 \right)(x)| \]  
\[ = \bar{\eta}_*(x) + \eta_*(x). \]  

(139)

Consequently, we can further divide \( L'_2(y, \ell) \) into two parts:

\[ L'_2(y, \ell) \leq 4 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, \ell)} |\bar{\eta}_*(x)|^{p} w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ + 4 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, \ell)} |\eta_*(x)|^{p} w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ = L'_3(y, \ell) + L'_4(y, \ell). \]  

(140)

For the term \( L'_3(y, \ell) \), it follows directly from Chebyshev’s inequality and estimate (112) that

\[ L'_3(y, \ell) \leq 4 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, \ell)} |\bar{\eta}_*(x)|^{p} w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, \ell)} |b(x) - b_{Q,\alpha} - b_{Q,\alpha}|^{p} w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \times \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})|^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q}} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |f(z)| \, dz \right) \]
\[ \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})|^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q}} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |f(z)| \, dz \right). \]  

(141)

where in the last inequality, we have used the fact that \( w \in A_{\alpha_0} \) and Lemma 14(ii). Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 10, we can also obtain that

\[ L'_3(y, \ell) \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w(Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha}))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |f \cdot \chi_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})}| \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \cdot \frac{w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q}}{w(Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha}))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q}}. \]  

(142)

Let us now estimate the term \( L'_4(y, \ell) \). As it was proved in Theorem 8, the following pointwise estimate holds by the size condition (2).

\[ \bar{\eta}_*(x) = |\mathcal{T}_t\left( [b_{Q,\alpha} - b]f_2 \right)(x)| \]
\[ \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})|^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q}} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |b(z) - b_{Q,\alpha}| \right) \]
\[ \cdot |f(z)| \, dz. \]  

(143)

This, together with Chebyshev’s inequality implies that

\[ L'_4(y, \ell) \leq 4 \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \left( \int_{Q(y, \ell)} |\bar{\eta}_*(x)|^{p} w(x) \, dx \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})|^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q}} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |f(z)| \, dz \right) \]
\[ \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})|^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q}} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |f(z)| \, dz \right) \]
\[ = L'_5(y, \ell) + L'_6(y, \ell). \]

An application of H"{o}lder’s inequality leads to

\[ L'_5(y, \ell) \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})|^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q}} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |f(z)| v(z) \, dz \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \times \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |b(z) - b_{Q,\alpha}|^{p'} v(z)^{-p'/p} \, dz \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ = C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |f \cdot \chi_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})}| \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \times |Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})|^{1/p} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |b - b_{Q,\alpha}|^{p'} v(z)^{-p'/p} \, dz \right)^{1/p}. \]  

(145)

where \( \mathcal{C}(t) = tv^{p'} \) is a Young function. Moreover, in view of (104) and (127), we can deduce that

\[ L'_5(y, \ell) \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |f \cdot \chi_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})}| \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \times \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |b - b_{Q,\alpha}|^{p'} v(z)^{-p'/p} \, dz \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w(Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha}))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \]
\[ = C \cdot w(Q(y, \ell))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w(Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha}))^{1/2 - 1/p - 1/q} \]
\[ \cdot \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |f \cdot \chi_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})}| \right)^{1/p} \]
\[ \times \left( \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1}\sqrt{\alpha})} |b - b_{Q,\alpha}|^{p'} v(z)^{-p'/p} \, dz \right)^{1/p}. \]  

(146)
The last inequality is obtained by the $A_p$-type condition (27) on $(w, v)$. Let us estimate the last term $L'_8(y, ℓ)$. Applying Lemma 14(i) and Hölder’s inequality, we get

\[
L'_8(y, ℓ) \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, ℓ))^{1-α_q-1}\sum_{j=1}^{∞} \frac{(j + 1)\|b\|_{L^1}}{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v})} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v})} |f(z)| \, dz \leq C \cdot w(Q(y, ℓ))^{1-α_q-1} \sum_{j=1}^{∞} \frac{(j + 1)\|b\|_{L^1}}{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v})} \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v})} |f(z)|^q v(z) \, dz \int_{Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v})} v(z)^{-p+q} \, dz \leq C\|b\| \sum_{j=1}^{∞} \frac{w(Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v}))^{1-α_q-1} \|f \cdot X_Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v}) \|_{L^q(\nu)} (j + 1) \cdot \frac{w(Q(y, ℓ))^{1-α_q-1}}{w(Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v}))^{1-α_q-1}} \frac{w(Q(y, ℓ))^{1-α_q-1}}{w(Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v}))^{1-α_q-1}} \|f \cdot X_Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v}) \|_{L^q(\nu)} (j + 1) \cdot \frac{w(Q(y, ℓ))^{1-α_q-1}}{w(Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v}))^{1-α_q-1}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^q(\nu, v, μ_p)}^{1-α_q-1} \leq C\|f\|_{L^q(\nu, v, μ_p)}^{1-α_q-1}
\]

Summing up all the above estimates, we conclude that

\[
L'_2(y, ℓ) \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{∞} w(Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v}))^{1-α_q-1} \frac{w(Q(y, ℓ))^{1-α_q-1}}{w(Q(y, 2^{j+1} \sqrt{v}))^{1-α_q-1}} \leq \|L'_2(1, ℓ)\|_{L^q(\nu)} + \|L'_2(2, ℓ)\|_{L^q(\nu)} + \|L'_2(3, ℓ)\|_{L^q(\nu)} + \|L'_2(4, ℓ)\|_{L^q(\nu)} + \|L'_2(5, ℓ)\|_{L^q(\nu)} + \|L'_2(6, ℓ)\|_{L^q(\nu)} + \|L'_2(7, ℓ)\|_{L^q(\nu)} + \|L'_2(8, ℓ)\|_{L^q(\nu)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^q(\nu, v, μ_p)}^{1-α_q-1} \leq C\|f\|_{L^q(\nu, v, μ_p)}^{1-α_q-1} \leq C\|f\|_{L^q(\nu, v, μ_p)}^{1-α_q-1}
\]

where the last inequality is due to (134). We therefore conclude the proof of Theorem 12 by taking the supremum over all $ℓ > 0$.
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