Key Parameters Requirements for Non-Fullerene-Based Organic Solar Cells with Power Conversion Efficiency >20%
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The reported power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) of nonfullerene acceptor (NFA) based organic photovoltaics (OPVs) now exceed 14% and 17% for single-junction and two-terminal tandem cells, respectively. However, increasing the PCE further requires an improved understanding of the factors limiting the device efficiency. Here, the efficiency limits of single-junction and two-terminal tandem NFA-based OPV cells are examined with the aid of a numerical device simulator that takes into account the optical properties of the active material(s), charge recombination effects, and the hole and electron mobilities in the active layer of the device. The simulations reveal that single-junction NFA OPVs can potentially reach PCE values in excess of 18% with mobility values readily achievable in existing material systems. Furthermore, it is found that balanced electron and hole mobilities of \(10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) in combination with low nongeminate recombination rate constants of \(10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}\) could lead to PCE values in excess of 20% and 25% for single-junction and two-terminal tandem OPV cells, respectively. This analysis provides the first tangible description of the practical performance targets and useful design rules for single-junction and tandem OPVs based on NFA materials, emphasizing the need for developing new material systems that combine these desired characteristics.

1. Introduction

Organic nonfullerene acceptor (NFA) molecules have nowadays successfully replaced their fullerene counterparts in organic photovoltaics (OPVs) while offering the potential for large-scale industrial production and commercialization.[1] In the past few years rapid progress has been made in the development of a variety of NFAs, including both polymeric and small molecule organics. These NFAs have been paired with donor materials in a variety of OPV device platforms including single-junction and multijunction solar cells.[2–7] The best power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) of OPVs have exceeded 14% in single-junction devices[2,4] and over 17% in two-terminal (2T) tandem devices.[3] Compared to fullerene acceptor–based devices, they exhibit not only higher PCE but also improved morphological and photochemical stability,[2,4,8–12] while offering significant promise for further performance improvement.[13] To enable further performance increases, the factors limiting the OPV efficiency must be identified. Detailed insights into the avoidable losses will help direct researchers to design and develop new material systems with desired characteristics.

Previous studies have predicted that the NFA-based OPVs can exceed PCE of 20% for single-junction and 25% for the 2T monolithic tandem devices.[13,11–15] While those studies have used simple empirical models, we use a commercially available 1D numerical drift-diffusion device simulator to re-evaluate the efficiency limit for NFA-based OPVs. The charge extraction of various type of OPVs have been successfully explained on the basis of drift-diffusion simulations which assumed time-independent bulk mobilities (e.g., from space-charge-limited current (SCLC) technique).[16–18] The simulation numerically solves the drift-diffusion equations that govern the current–voltage (J–V) characteristics of the device, thus taking into account charge generation, recombination effects, and the carrier mobilities of the active layer. Koster et al. used a numerical drift-diffusion model to simulate polymer:fullerene OPVs for which an efficiency of 10.8% was predicted for electron mobility of \(2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\).[19] However, a high lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO)–LUMO offset of 0.5 eV was assumed and the effect of charge mobility and recombination rate constant were not explicitly treated. To this end, Würfel et al. performed drift-diffusion simulations and explicitly included charge carrier mobility in their estimates of achievable efficiencies, predicting a maximum PCE of \(\approx 22\%\) for material...
systems with carrier mobility values of \(>10^{-2}\) cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), and a LUMO–LUMO offset of 0.2 eV.\(^{[20]}\) In the latter work, however, the workers assumed complete absorption of photons in the AM1.5G spectrum with energies larger than the bandgap of the donor material. With the rapidly advancing field of NFA materials and resulting OPVs, it is imperative that the modeling approaches and relevant predictions have to be adjusted accordingly. Here, we assume realistic absorption profiles for the donor and NFA acceptor materials with varying bandgaps. Using a drift-diffusion model we are able to treat explicitly the effect of several different parameters including, active-layer absorption, layer thicknesses, charge carrier mobility and recombination rate constant. This holistic approach allow us to determine to what extent the mobility of new materials should be increased and the level at which the recombination rate constant should be reduced in order to reach the performance targets of next generation NFA-based OPVs.

To this end, we explicitly show that NFA-based OPV cells with active layer thickness down to 100 nm could reach PCE values in excess of 18%, if the active layer can be designed to combine broad optical absorption (achievable by combining a donor and an acceptor with bandgaps of 1.7 and 2 eV, respectively) with a balanced hole and electron mobility value of \(5 \times 10^{-4}\) cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), and a nongeminate recombination rate constant \((k)\) of \(1 \times 10^{-12}\) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\). Moreover, we highlight the importance of maintaining the balance between photocurrent gain—resulting from broad absorption characteristics of the active layer—and open circuit voltage \((V_{OC})\) reduction due to the incorporation of low bandgap materials. Further improving the hole and electron mobilities of the active layer to \(>10^{-3}\) cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) and the active layer thickness to >200 nm would raise single-junction PCE values to over 20%. We also find that reducing \(k\) by one order of magnitude, from \(10^{-11}\) to \(10^{-13}\) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\), translates to a similarly high PCE value of 20%. Further examination of the PCE limit of ZT tandem NFA OPVs yields predicted PCEs values of up to 25%, as long as the hole and electron mobilities in both subcells remain balanced and are on the order of \(10^{-3}\) cm\(^2\) V\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), while the recombination rate constant is reduced to \(10^{-12}\) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\). Our simulations indicate that the performance of single-junction and tandem OPV device efficiency will continue to improve as higher carrier mobility materials with matching optical bandgaps are being developed, while highlighting the specific material and device characteristics required for achieving optimum cell performance.

2. Results and Discussion

In order to validate the device simulator, several high-efficiency NFA-based OPV devices were fabricated, including: PBDT(T)[2F]T:ITIC, PBDB-T:ITIC, and PBDB-T-SF:IT-4F (Figure 1a).\(^{[8,21,22]}\) Figure 1b displays the experimentally measured current density-voltage \((J–V)\) characteristics while Table 1 summarizes the key device parameters including \(V_{OC}\), short-circuit current density \((J_{SC})\), fill-factor \((FF)\), PCE, and hole/electron mobilities \((\mu_{h}/\mu_{e})\). The PBDT(T)[2F]T:ITIC device yields the lowest performance with 62% \(FF\) and 9.1% PCE as it exhibits
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*Figure 1. a) Molecular structure of donor (D) and acceptor (A) materials used in this study. b) J–V characteristics and total amount of charge \((Q_{tot})\) extracted from the device as a function of pre-bias \((V_{pre})\) measured by time delayed collection field (TDCF) experiments (10 ns delay time, \(-4\) V collection bias, laser pulse fluence: 0.1 \(\mu\) J cm\(^{-2}\)). c) IQE spectra of PBDT(T)[2F]T:ITIC, PBDB-T:ITIC, and PBDB-T-SF:IT-4F BHJ cells.*
the lowest hole and electron mobility \((=10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})\). The device performance increases gradually as the balanced carrier mobility increases and the PBDB-T-SF:IT-4F device was optimized with 12% PCE and 71% FF. Additionally, due to its low mobility, the optimized condition for the PBDT(T)[2F]T:ITIC device was achieved with an active layer thickness of \(\approx 70 \text{ nm}\). On the other hand, the higher mobility of PBDB-T:ITIC and PBDB-T-SF:IT-4F devices allows use of a thicker layer \((\approx 100 \text{ nm})\).

The FF difference in these representative devices may also be caused by field-dependent charge generation in competition with nongeminate recombination. To either confirm or refute this hypothesis we conducted time-delayed collection field (TDCF) measurements.\([23,24]\) Figure 1b shows the TDCF results for the representative NFA-based OPV cells following optical excitation at 532 nm for delay times of 10 ns, and across a range of prebias conditions between \(-1\) to \(V_{OC}\) (0.9 V). Interestingly, the generation of charges \((Q_{mol})\) in all devices is found to be independent of the applied prebias. This finding suggests that geminate pair splitting efficiency is electric-field independent in line with recent findings for its low mobility, the optimized condition for the PBDT(T)[2F]T:ITIC device was achieved with an active layer thickness of \(\approx 70 \text{ nm}\). On the other hand, the higher mobility of PBDB-T:ITIC and PBDB-T-SF:IT-4F devices allows use of a thicker layer \((\approx 100 \text{ nm})\).

The FF difference in these representative devices may also be caused by field-dependent charge generation in competition with nongeminate recombination. To either confirm or refute this hypothesis we conducted time-delayed collection field (TDCF) measurements.\([23,24]\) Figure 1b shows the TDCF results for the representative NFA-based OPV cells following optical excitation at 532 nm for delay times of 10 ns, and across a range of prebias conditions between \(-1\) to \(V_{OC}\) (0.9 V). Interestingly, the generation of charges \((Q_{mol})\) in all devices is found to be independent of the applied prebias. This finding suggests that geminate pair splitting efficiency is electric-field independent in line with recent findings for its low mobility, the optimized condition for the PBDT(T)[2F]T:ITIC device was achieved with an active layer thickness of \(\approx 70 \text{ nm}\). On the other hand, the higher mobility of PBDB-T:ITIC and PBDB-T-SF:IT-4F devices allows use of a thicker layer \((\approx 100 \text{ nm})\).

The FF difference in these representative devices may also be caused by field-dependent charge generation in competition with nongeminate recombination. To either confirm or refute this hypothesis we conducted time-delayed collection field (TDCF) measurements.\([23,24]\) Figure 1b shows the TDCF results for the representative NFA-based OPV cells following optical excitation at 532 nm for delay times of 10 ns, and across a range of prebias conditions between \(-1\) to \(V_{OC}\) (0.9 V). Interestingly, the generation of charges \((Q_{mol})\) in all devices is found to be independent of the applied prebias. This finding suggests that geminate pair splitting efficiency is electric-field independent in line with recent findings for its low mobility, the optimized condition for the PBDT(T)[2F]T:ITIC device was achieved with an active layer thickness of \(\approx 70 \text{ nm}\). On the other hand, the higher mobility of PBDB-T:ITIC and PBDB-T-SF:IT-4F devices allows use of a thicker layer \((\approx 100 \text{ nm})\).
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thicknesses (Figure 2c, and Figure S4 (Supporting Information) for PBDT(T)\[2F\]T-based OPVs). For the latter device, the FF is varying from 70% to 50% and the short-circuit current density ($J_{SC}$) from 12 to 19 mA cm$^{-2}$ when the active layer thickness is increased from 60 to 250 nm (Figure 2d), respectively. The excellent agreement between the experimental and simulated $J$–$V$ curves for different material systems and layer thicknesses, demonstrates that the simulator tool is able to capture the physical processes that govern charge carrier photogeneration, transport and recombination in these NFA-based BHJ OPVs.[16]

In order to predict the performance of single-junction NFA OPVs based on active layers with different absorption spectra [by varying the optical band gap of the donor ($E_{opt,D}$) and acceptor ($E_{opt,A}$) materials within the BHJ], we generated absorption profiles of all active layers considered in this study using a linear combination of the extinction coefficient of the NFA and the donor materials, respectively (see example in Figure 3). For this purpose, the $E_{opt,A}$ and $E_{opt,D}$ were shifted from 2.2 to 1.2 eV and from 2 to 1.2 eV, respectively. As illustrated in Figure 3b and Figure S5 (Supporting Information), shifting the donor and NFA bandgaps allows facile tuning of the onset of absorption of the active layer from which the value for $E_{opt,lowest}$ can be inferred.

To account for the $V_{OC}$ in our simulation, we used the LUMO energy of the NFA and the HOMO energy level of the donor to define the charge transfer state energy ($E_{CT}$) using

$$E_{CT} = E_{LUMO_{BHJ}} - E_{HOMO_{BHJ}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In the case of NFA OPVs, it is often found that the energy of the CT state is close to that of the singlet exciton, which means that the excitons can separate efficiently upon negligible driving energies, i.e., $E_{opt,lowest} \sim E_{CT} < 0.1$ eV[37] where $E_{opt,lowest}$ is the optical bandgap of the active layer as inferred from the absorption onset. In our simulation, the energy loss due to charge transfer is set to be 0.05 eV ($\Delta E_{CS}$), which is supported by previous studies of NFA OPVs.[14,37] From these considerations, the $E_{CT}$ of the OPV devices is given by

$$E_{CT} = E_{opt,lowest} - 0.05 \text{ eV}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Combining Equations (1) and (2) yields a relation for $E_{HOMO_{BHJ}}$

$$E_{HOMO_{BHJ}} = E_{LUMO_{BHJ}} - E_{opt,lowest} + 0.05 \text{ eV}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Equation (3) above ensures that there is a driving force of 0.05 eV for the charge transfer step and that $E_{CT}$ is as high as possible, without allowing energy transfer to the component with lowest energy. Furthermore, to assure that the formation of type-II heterojunction is established between the donor and the NFA, we set the following two conditions: i) In the case of $E_{opt,D} \geq E_{opt,A}$, HOMO offset between donor and NFA is kept to 0.05 eV; ii) in the case of $E_{opt,D} \leq E_{opt,A}$, LUMO offset between donor and NFA is kept to 0.05 eV. Figure S6 (Supporting Information) provides further details on how the HOMO level of the donor and the LUMO level of the NFA are defined in this work.
Figure 3. a) Example of how the extinction coefficient spectra of BHJ active layer considered in this study was produced from a linear combination of the extinction coefficient of donor (PBDT-T)(2F1T) and acceptor (ITIC). b) Generated extinction coefficient spectra of several BHJ films used for the simulation and obtained by shifting the spectra of donor from 2.2 to 1.2 eV and using the spectra of NFA with bandgap of 1.2 eV.

In most of our single-junction OPV simulations, the recombination rate constant \( k \) was set to \( 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \)—except for the calculations shown in Figure 6—since this is similar to values reported for the state-of-the-art BHJ OPVs. \( \varepsilon_r \) and \( \mu \) of the active layer, \( N_0 \), and the relative dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_r \) of the active layer, \( \varepsilon_0 \), on the other hand, were set to \( 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and 3.3, respectively. Moreover, we set the IQE value for the active layer to 0.95, assuming that 95% of absorbed photons generate free carriers that contribute to the photocurrent. This assumption is based on several recent reports on high-efficiency NFA-based OPVs for which IQE values in the range of 90–100% have been reported. A summary of the input parameters used for the state-of-the-art NFA-based OPVs for which IQE values in the range of 90–100% were reported is given in Table S2 (Supporting Information).

Having successfully generated the extinction coefficient spectra of the BHJ layer across the entire visible–NIR spectrum, we studied the correlation between \( E_{\text{opt}, \text{D}} \) and \( E_{\text{opt}, \text{A}} \) and the optimum performance of single-junction NFA OPVs. For all devices we assume that the work functions of the electrodes/interlayers are well aligned with the corresponding energy levels of the BHJ layer (Figure 1a) and form Ohmic contacts. For the charge transport we assumed balanced electron and hole mobilities \( \mu_e = \mu_h \) of \( 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \). The latter assumption and values are in line with recently reported data for the state-of-the-art NFA-based OPVs (see Table 2).

Using the aforementioned \( \mu_h \), \( \mu_e \), \( k \), IQE, optical constant, and layer thickness values as the input parameters, our simulations predict that single-junction NFA OPVs may yield PCE values in excess of 18% (Figure 4a). This prediction is particularly true for combinations of a donor (or NFA) and an NFA (or donor) with bandgaps of \( \approx 1.7 \) and \( \approx 2 \) eV, respectively (Figure 4b and Figure S7, Supporting Information). These results also highlight the importance for broad absorption characteristics by the BHJ layer in order to maximise \( J_{\text{SC}} \). To this end, broad absorption can, in principle, be facilitated by combining a wide bandgap donor with lower bandgap NFA material or vice versa. However, one must always take into consideration the importance of maintaining optimum balance between the \( J_{\text{SC}} \) gain, due to enhanced absorption, and \( V_{\text{OC}} \) reduction owned to the use of narrower bandgap materials. For example, close analysis of Figure 4a reveals that for a donor bandgap of \( \approx 1.4 \) eV, the \( J_{\text{SC}} \) gains are not sufficient to outweigh the concurrent reduction in \( V_{\text{OC}} \) resulting to an overall reduction in PCE. Interestingly, even when the absorption of the donor and NFA materials overlap (Figure 4b), the cell’s PCE remain relatively high and \( >10\% \). A further noticeable observation in Figure 4b is that the predicted PCE for OPVs based on wide bandgap (\( E_{\text{opt}} > 1.8 \) eV) donor and NFA materials can exceed 17% due to the characteristically high \( V_{\text{OC}} \) (\( >1.3 \text{ V} \)) (Figure S7, Supporting Information), a value that has yet to be achieved/reported.  

Next, we examine how the hole and electron mobilities of the BHJ layer affect the single-junction OPV cell performance. For this simulation, we set the active layer bandgap at the optimal value of \( 1.7 \text{ eV} \) for the donor and \( 2 \text{ eV} \) for the NFA while assuming equal hole and electron mobilities (\( \mu_e = \mu_h \)). The performance of the BHJ layer is shown to be highly sensitive to the carrier mobility (Figure 5a). Specifically, for \( \mu_h = \mu_e \) with optimal value of 1.7 eV for the donor and 2 eV for the NFA materials overlap (Figure 4b), the cell’s PCE remain relatively high and \( >10\% \). A further noticeable observation in Figure 4b is that the predicted PCE for OPVs based on wide bandgap \( (E_{\text{opt}} > 1.8 \text{ eV}) \) donor and NFA materials can exceed 17% due to the characteristically high \( V_{\text{OC}} \) (\( >1.3 \text{ V} \)) (Figure S7, Supporting Information), a value that has yet to be achieved/reported.  

Having successfully generated the extinction coefficient spectra of the BHJ layer across the entire visible–NIR spectrum, we studied the correlation between \( E_{\text{opt}, \text{D}} \) and \( E_{\text{opt}, \text{A}} \) and the optimum performance of single-junction NFA OPVs. For all devices we assume that the work functions of the electrodes/interlayers are well aligned with the corresponding energy levels of the BHJ layer (Figure 1a) and form Ohmic contacts. For the charge transport we assumed balanced electron and hole mobilities (\( \mu_e = \mu_h \)) of \( 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \). The latter assumption and values are in line with recently reported data for the state-of-the-art NFA-based OPVs (see Table 2).
Table 2. OPV performance metrics for representative high-performance polymer donor:NFA photoactive blends. The charge mobility values were obtained from SCLC measurements.

| BHJ system                  | FF (%) | PCE (%) | Hole mobility [cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹] | Electron mobility [cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹] | Thickness [nm] | EQE [%] |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| PBDB-TF:IT-4F[4]           | 80.8   | 14.6    | 2.1 × 10⁻⁴                  | 1.8 × 10⁻⁴                      | 110            | 79–82   |
| PDTB-EF:IT-4F[5]           | 76.0   | 14.2    | 3.5 × 10⁻⁴                  | 4.0 × 10⁻⁴                      | 100            | 75–82   |
| PBDB-TF:IT-4F[4]           | 77.0   | 13.7    | 5.9 × 10⁻⁴                  | –                               | 90             | 70–78   |
| PM6:IT-4F[6]               | 72.5   | 13.5    | 9.8 × 10⁻⁴                  | 7.2 × 10⁻⁴                      | 100            | 80–84   |
| PBDB-T-SF:IT-4F[7]         | 71.9   | 13.1    | 3.3 × 10⁻⁴                  | 4.3 × 10⁻⁴                      | 100            | 79–83   |
| PBDB-T:IDTN[8]             | 78.0   | 12.2    | 5.1 × 10⁻⁴                  | 5.7 × 10⁻⁴                      | 100            | 60–70   |
| PBDB-T:NCBDT[9]            | 71.0   | 12.1    | 3.9 × 10⁻⁴                  | 1.6 × 10⁻⁴                      | 100            | 70–74   |
| J61:m-ITIC[10]             | 70.6   | 11.8    | 1.3 × 10⁻⁴                  | 1.3 × 10⁻⁴                      | 120            | 70–80   |
| PbBDTTAZ:O-IDTBR[11]       | 63.6   | 11.6    | 7.6 × 10⁻⁴                  | 6.3 × 10⁻⁴                      | 90             | 65–70   |
| PBDB-T:IT-M[12]            | 70.0   | 11.5    | 3.3 × 10⁻⁴                  | 1.1 × 10⁻⁴                      | 100            | 60–80   |
| FTZ:INIC3[13]              | 67.4   | 11.5    | 2.0 × 10⁻⁴                  | 1.4 × 10⁻⁴                      | 100            | 72–77   |
| PBDB-T:ITCC[14]            | 71.0   | 11.4    | 2.1 × 10⁻⁴                  | 6.7 × 10⁻⁴                      | 110            | 75–78   |
| PBDT:ITIC[15]              | 74.2   | 11.2    | 2.1 × 10⁻⁴                  | 3.1 × 10⁻⁴                      | 100            | 74–76   |

a) charge mobility values (dominated by faster carrier) was obtained from photo-CELIV measurements.

Figure 4. a) Evolution of the figures of merit of a single-junction OPV device as a function of donor optical bandgap (E_{opt,D}) with NFA optical bandgap (E_{opt,A}) of 2.0 eV. This figure highlights the importance of maintaining balance between photocurrent gain and V_{OC} reduction. b) Efficiency prediction (plotted in color scale, with numbers on the contour lines representing PCE in %) for NFA OPVs as a function of the donor and NFA bandgap (E_{opt,D} and E_{opt,A}). Input parameters: active layer thickness = 100 nm, and \( \mu_h = \mu_e = 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \).

Figure 5. a) Efficiency prediction (plotted in color scale, with numbers on the contour lines representing PCE in %) for NFA OPVs as a function of charge mobility (\( \mu = \mu_h = \mu_e \)) and active layer thickness. b) Evolution of the J_{SC} and FF of a single-junction OPV device as a function of active layer thickness for charge mobility of \( 10^{-4} \) and \( 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \).
FF (>0.75) have already been reported (Table 2), many of these cells are optimized for active layer thicknesses in the range of 100–120 nm and little or no work has been done on cells with significantly thicker BHJ layers.

Designing materials with planar conjugated backbones and high crystallinity is a common strategy for improving the charge carrier transport in organic semiconductors. Development of high mobility solution-processed BHJ layers for OPVs, however, is a challenging task since both donor and NFA materials must form a bi-continuous and interpenetrating network with reasonably small domain sizes (~20 nm). This morphological feature is essential for efficient carrier photogeneration in organic semiconductors due to the short exciton diffusion lengths. On the other hand, planar molecules with extended conjugation are prone to phase separation, which in turn reduces the donor/NFA interface area required for efficient exciton dissociation.[13,47] Thus, in order to achieve the optimal BHJ layer morphology, the high carrier mobilities are often sacrificed by reducing the coplanarity of the conjugated molecules. As a result, the mobility of holes and electrons in the vast majority of state-of-the-art BHJ OPVs are typically low and on the order of 10^{-4} cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Table 2). Therefore, a critical challenge for current NFA-based OPVs is to develop donor and NFA materials with balanced hole and electron mobility that exceeds 10^{-3} cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ while avoiding strong phase separation upon blending.

Apart from μ, k is also known to significantly affect the performance of BHJ OPVs.[16,18] Thus, understanding the concurrent influence of carrier mobility and recombination on the cell’s performance, is essential. As illustrated in Figure 6a, the general trend is that decreasing k improves the cell’s PCE. For instance, in a BHJ layer with balanced hole and electron mobility of 5×10^{-4} cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, reducing k from 10^{-11} to 10^{-13} cm³ s⁻¹ results in a significant increase in the cell’s PCE from ~16.8% to ~20.9%. A further increase of the PCE to ~21.8% can be achieved by reducing k further to 10^{-14} cm³ s⁻¹. However, our simulations also show that single-junction OPV cells incorporating BHJs with the highest μ and the lowest k may not always yield optimum PCEs (Figure 6a,b). This is somewhat counterintuitive since it is generally assumed that simultaneously reducing k and increasing μ in a BHJ OPVs will always result in performance improvements. However, close examination of Figure 6a,b reveals that when k < 10^{-13} cm³ s⁻¹, raising μ to >10^{-3} cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ results in simultaneous reduction in VOC and as such PCE. We note however, that in our simulations k is assumed to be independent of μ because we do not employ the Langevin recombination model.[16]

Since our model does not account for geminate recombination and electric field-dependent charge separation effects, the VOC drop observed at higher μ most likely stems from surface recombination (see discussion related to Figure S9 in the Supporting Information).[48–50] This adverse effect can in principle be overcome through the use of selective contacts.[27] To this end, the use of optimized ETL and HTL can help improve the OPV efficiency by selectively transporting electrons and holes, respectively, from the active layer to the corresponding electrodes, whilst simultaneously preventing recombination of minority carriers at the contacts. Indeed, when selective contacts are employed, the highest μ and the lowest k yield optimum PCE values (Figure S9d, Supporting Information). However, we note that in the case of non-negligible bulk recombination (i.e., k = 10^{-12} cm³ s⁻¹), the predicted PCE values for OPVs employing selective contacts is not significantly different from those predicted for cells with metallic contacts (Figure S10, Supporting Information).

To this end, the range of experimentally measured k values for fullerene-based BHJ solar cells is between 10^{-13} to 10^{-10} cm³ s⁻¹[16] with the nongeminate recombination rate being determined, primarily, by the area of the donor/NFA heterointerface.[51] This conclusion is in accordance with a recent study of the recombination processes in ternary polymer/polymer or polymer/fullerene BHJs where more phase-separated blends were shown to exhibit lower nongeminate k values.[52,53] However, approaches to reducing k are not clearly laid out at present. Thus, development of experimental methods that can provide accurate and reliable characterization of the nongeminate recombination kinetics are needed in order to establish meaningful structure-property relationships. Recently, Heiber et al. developed an impedance-photocurrent device analysis (IPDA) technique to measure charge transport and recombination with OPV devices under operation with high accuracy and reliability.[54] Such experimental techniques might soon allow researchers to identify the necessary design
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rules to reduce recombination rate constant in state-of-the-art organic BHJ systems and OPV devices.

The PCE of OPVs can be improved further using multijunction architectures where multiple subcells with complementary optical absorption spectra are stacked on top of each other in order to harness photons from a wider range of the solar spectrum. Indeed, multijunction OPV devices have been investigated widely and certified PCE values of \( \approx 17.29\% \) have been reported for 2T tandem cells.\(^3\) The latter is significantly higher than the record PCE values reported to date for single-junction OPVs.\(^4\) In an effort to better understand the practical efficiency limits of tandem OPVs, we combined optical transfer matrix modelling with the 1D \( J-V \) simulations of single-junction NFA-based OPV cells.\(^5\)–\(^7\) First, the \( J-V \) curve of each single-junction device (for different layer thicknesses) were simulated using the previously discussed parameters (i.e., \( \mu_n = \mu_p = 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2\text{ V}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}, k = 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3\text{ s}^{-1} \), with IQE set to 95\%). As shown in Figure 7a, the absorption profiles of the donor/NFA BHJ systems used for the front and back-cells, were set to overlap by selecting their optical bandgaps as 2 and 1.4 eV, respectively. Subsequently, transfer matrix modeling and Kirchhoff’s law were applied to construct the overall \( J-V \) curve for the multijunction OPV device.\(^5\)–\(^8\) Figure 7b shows the relation between the subcells’ thicknesses and predicted PCE. Figure 7c reveals that the PCE for tandem OPVs is maximized when the optical bandgap of the front and back-cell is in the range of 1.8–2 eV and 1.2–1.5 eV, respectively. The result shown in Figure 7c suggests that development of highly efficient front-cell with wide optical bandgap (1.8–2 eV) is critically needed as most of the current developments are using front-cell with optical bandgap <1.7 eV.\(^3\)–\(^7\) As shown in Figure 7b,c and Table S2 (Supporting Information), our simulations indicate that maximum PCE values as high as 25\% can be expected for optimized two-terminal tandem OPV cells (i.e., by combining optical bandgap of front and back-cell of 2 and 1.4 eV, respectively) with \( \mu_n = \mu_p = 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2\text{ V}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}, k = 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3\text{ s}^{-1} \). We also note (Table S2, Supporting Information) that even in the case of nonoverlapping absorption characteristics (Figure S12, Supporting Information), the maximum PCE remain almost identical to the overlapping subcell values (Figure 7a). Finally, Figure 7d shows that the \( J_{SC} \) in NFA-based two-terminal tandem OPVs can easily exceed 15 mA cm\(^{-2}\) if the bandgaps of the front and back-cells are carefully chosen/engineered. For comparison, the \( J_{SC} \) of state-of-the-art two-terminal tandem OPV reported recently is \( \approx 14.3 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}\).\(^3\) Therefore, it becomes clear that for the development of NFA-based tandem OPVs with PCE > 20\% active materials with higher carrier mobility and carefully tuned absorption characteristics are required. Our analysis also suggests that emerging OPV technologies may ultimately rival, in terms of PCE, traditional inorganic PV technologies.

Figure 7. a) Absorption profiles considered for two-terminal tandem OPV calculations with the front- and back-cell optical bandgaps (\( E_{opt,DA} \)) of 2 and 1.4 eV, respectively (donor and NFA absorptions in both cells were considered to overlap). b) Efficiency prediction of two-terminal NFA-based tandem OPVs (the optical bandgaps for the front- and back-cell were 2 and 1.4 eV, respectively) simulated from optical and electrical modelling. Evolution of the c) PCE and d) \( J_{SC} \) of two-terminal NF-based tandem OPV cells as a function of front-cell and back-cell optical bandgaps (\( E_{opt,DA} \)) assuming \( k = 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3\text{ s}^{-1}, \) and \( \mu_n = \mu_p = 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2\text{ V}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1} \).
3. Conclusion

In summary, with the aid of 1D device simulations, we examined the impact of important material parameters on the operating characteristics of NFA-based OPV cells. Studied parameters included: i) the electron and hole mobilities, ii) the active layer thickness, and iii) the recombination rate constant. We found that with the already reported hole and electron mobilities of $5 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, single-junction NFA-based OPVs can potentially reach PCEs of over 18% if nongenerate recombination rate constant could be reduced to $1 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ and IQE increased to 95%. Moreover, PCE values in excess of 20% is shown to be within reach even for single-junction OPVs either by increasing the charge carrier mobility and active layer thickness to $>10^{-3}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and to $>200$ nm, respectively, or by reducing the recombination rate constant ($k$) to $\leq 1 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$. Finally, by combining optical transfer matrix modelling with the aforementioned single-cell $J$–$V$ simulations, we were able to model two-terminal tandem NFA-based OPV cells. Our simulations predict that carefully engineered tandem cells may achieve PCE values of over 25% if the electron ($\mu_e$) and hole ($\mu_h$) mobilities in the donor and acceptor materials used for the individual subcells are balanced ($\mu_e = \mu_h$) and $\geq 10^{-3}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, while $k$ remains low and around $10^{-13}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$. The present study provides the first comprehensive description of the relationship between fundamental material properties and device design aspects while highlighting the key design rules for the development of single and multijunction NFA-based OPVs with optimal performance characteristics.

4. Experimental Section

Solar Cell Fabrication (PBDB-T:ITIC and PBDB-T-SF:IT-4F): The materials were purchased from Solarner Materials Inc. Indium tin oxide (ITO) coated glass substrates (Kintec Company, 10 $\Omega$ sq.$^{-1}$) were cleaned by sequential ultrasonication in dilute Extran 300 detergent solution, deionized water, acetone, and isopropanol alcohol for 20 min each. These substrates were then cleaned by UV-ozone treatment for 30 min. Then, a thin layer (30 nm) of poly(2,3-dihyrdrothieno-1,4-dioxin)-poly(styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS) was spin-cast onto the UV-treated substrates, dried on a heating plate at 120 °C for 15 min, and the substrates were then transferred into a dry nitrogen glove box (<10 ppm O$_2$). Active layer (ratio 1:1, 14 mg mL$^{-1}$ in chlorobenzene) were then spun at 2000 rpm for 30 s (active-layer thickness 90–100 nm). A layer of 4 nm of Phen-NaDPO$_{59}$ as ETL was spun from chlorobenzene) were then spun at 2000 rpm for 30 s (active-layer thickness around 10$^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). PBDB-T and PBDB-T-SF acceptors were dissolved in chlorobenzene with a KG-5 silicon reference cell certified by Newport. The carrier mobilities (hole and electron mobilities) of PBDB-T:ITIC and PBDB-T-SF:IT-4F BHJ devices were determined by fitting the dark currents of hole/electron-only diodes to the SCLC model. Hole-only diode configuration: Glass/ITO/PEDOT/BHJ/MoO$_3$/Ag. Electron-only diode configuration: Glass/ITO/(a-ZnO/BHJ)/Phen-NaDPO/Al. External quantum efficiency (EQE) was characterized using a specially designed EQE system (PV measurement Inc.). Measurements were performed at zero bias by illuminating the device with monochromatic light supplied from a Xenon arc lamp in combination with a dual-grating monochromator. The number of photons incident on the sample was calculated for each wavelength by using a silicon photodiode calibrated by NIST (The National Institute of Standards and Technology). The IQE spectra were calculated from EQE spectra using the relation: IQE = EQE/(1 – Reflectance – Parasitic Absorption). The reflectance spectra were collected with the integrating sphere using the same EQE system while the parasitic absorption spectra were obtained from transfer matrix modelling.

Device Characterization: $J$–$V$ measurements of solar cells were performed in a N$_2$ filled glove box using a Keithley 2400 source meter and an Oriel Sol3A Class AAA solar simulator calibrated to 1 sun, AM1.5G, with a KG-5 silicon reference cell certified by Newport. The carrier mobilities (hole and electron mobilities) of PBDB-T:ITIC and PBDB-T-SF:IT-4F BHJ devices were determined by fitting the dark currents of hole/electron-only diodes to the SCLC model. Hole-only diode configuration: Glass/ITO/PEDOT/BHJ/MoO$_3$/Ag. Electron-only diode configuration: Glass/ITO/(a-ZnO/BHJ)/Phen-NaDPO/Al. External quantum efficiency (EQE) was characterized using a specially designed EQE system (PV measurement Inc.). Measurements were performed at zero bias by illuminating the device with monochromatic light supplied from a Xenon arc lamp in combination with a dual-grating monochromator. The number of photons incident on the sample was calculated for each wavelength by using a silicon photodiode calibrated by NIST (The National Institute of Standards and Technology). The IQE spectra were calculated from EQE spectra using the relation: IQE = EQE/(1 – Reflectance – Parasitic Absorption). The reflectance spectra were collected with the integrating sphere using the same EQE system while the parasitic absorption spectra were obtained from transfer matrix modelling.

$J$–$V$ curves for single-junction OPV devices simulated by the 1D numerical drift-diffusion device simulator (Setfos 4.4 from FLUXiM AG) were used to predict the single-junction OPV device $J$–$V$ curves. The optical constants (refractive index and extinction coefficient) for the active layers were collected by variable angle spectroscopic ellipsometry (VASE) with an M-2000 ellipsometer (J.A. Woolam Co., Inc). The active layers were cast on clean silicon substrates coated with SiO$_2$ (100 nm). The VASE measurements were performed with incident angles being varied from 50° to 80° in steps of 5° relative to the samples. The software Complete Ease (J.A. Woolam Co., Inc) was used to process all collected data, and the optical constants were inferred from the B-splines model.

Modelling of Multijunction Device $J$–$V$ Curves: In all tandem OPV device simulations, input characteristics obtained from optical transfer matrix modeling (subcell $J_{SC}$ values) were combined with the analysis of the $J$–$V$ curves for single-junction devices simulated by the 1D numerical drift-diffusion device simulator (Setfos 4.4 from FLUXiM AG). The $J$–$V$ curves of the multijunction OPV devices were produced using Kirchhoff’s laws, and the figures of merit were subsequently represented in 3D maps depicting performance as a function of subcell thicknesses and optical gap of the front- and back-cells.
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