Fractionated $^{131}$I anti-CEA radioimmunotherapy: effects on xenograft tumour growth and haematological toxicity in mice
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Dose fractionation has been proposed as a method to improve the therapeutic ratio of radioimmunotherapy (RIT). This study compared a single administration of 7.4 MBq $^{131}$I-anti-CEA antibody given on day 1 with the same total activity given as fractionated treatment: 3.7 MBq (days 1 and 3), 2.4 MBq (days 1, 3, and 5) or 1.8 MBq (days 1, 3, 5, and 8). Studies in nude mice, bearing the human colorectal xenograft LS174T, showed that increasing the fractionation significantly reduced the efficacy of therapy. Fractionation was associated with a decrease in systemic toxicity as assessed by weight, but did not lead to any significant decrease in acute haematological toxicity. Similarly, no significant decrease in marrow toxicity, as assessed by colony-forming unit assays for granulocytes and macrophages (CFUgm), was seen. However, there was a significant depression of CFU gm counts when all treated animals were compared with untreated controls, suggesting that treatment did suppress marrow function. In conclusion, in this tumour model system, fractionated RIT causes less systemic toxicity, but is also less effective at treating tumours.
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Radioimmunotherapy (RIT) uses antibodies to deliver biologically targeted radiotherapy and has achieved considerable success in the treatment of the lymphomas and leukaemias (Press et al., 1995; DeNardo et al., 1999; Kaminski et al., 2000; Burke et al., 2002). However, success in the treatment of the common epithelial tumours has been less impressive. For example, in a recent review of RIT colorectal cancer looking at a large number of phase I/II clinical studies, only modest activity has been seen (Koppe et al., 2005). In external beam radiotherapy (EBRT), fractionation, dividing the total therapy dose into a number of smaller doses, is an established practise and reduces late normal tissue toxicity. This approach allows for significant increases in the total radiation dose that can be delivered, improving the therapeutic ratio (Hall, 1985). Another beneficial effect of fractionation is tumour shrinkage during therapy leading to a process of tumour revascularisation and re-oxygenation (Hall, 1985). Fractionation of RIT has been proposed as a method for improving its therapeutic ratio (DeNardo et al., 2002).

To build on earlier work, the purpose of this study was to investigate the therapeutic efficacy and toxicity of $^{131}$I-labelled A5B7, a monoclonal antibody binding to carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), as either a single treatment or as part of a fractionated regimen in nude mice bearing CEA-expressing LS174T xenografts. A previous study using $^{131}$I-labelled A5B7 fragments did not demonstrate a therapeutic advantage to fractionation, although only a single fractionation regime (1 vs 3 doses) was investigated and no direct assessment of haematological toxicity was made (Pedley et al., 1993). In this study, a range of fractionation regimes have been investigated and a detailed assessment made of both systemic and haematological toxicities. The latter comprised measurement of both acute changes in mature blood indices and also an assessment of haematopoietic stem cell function.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antibody and radiolabelling

The monoclonal antibody A5B7 used in this study has been described previously (Rogers et al., 1984) and has been used preclinically and clinically for the RIT of CEA-expressing tumours (Begent et al., 1989; Pedley et al., 1991, 1993, 1994, 2001; Lane et al., 1994). The antibody was radiolabelled on day 1 using the chloramine-T method (Greenwood and Hunter, 1963) at a ratio of 5 MBq $^{131}$I to 1 mg antibody and sterilised by passage through a 0.22 μm acrodisc filter. Carcinoembryonic antigen binding was assessed on days 1 and 8 using a CEA-binding column, and stability of the radioimmunoconjugate over the course of the experiment was assessed using thin-layer chromatography. All experiments were in compliance with the UK Coordinating Committee on Cancer Research Guidelines for the Welfare of Animals in Experimental Neoplasia.

Animal model

The human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line LS174T grows as a moderate-to-poorly differentiated CEA-producing adenocarcinoma...
with small glandular acini (Tom et al., 1976), and was used to develop a tumour xenograft in the flanks of female MF1 nude (nu/nu) mice by subcutaneous implantation of small tumour pieces (~1 mm³). At the initiation of the experiments, mice were aged 2–3 months and weighed 23–27 g. For all studies, the mice were given food and water ad libitum. Water contained 0.1% potassium iodide to block thyroid uptake of iodine.

**Biodistribution studies**

Detailed biodistribution studies have previously been performed using 131I-A5B7 in both humans and this human xenograft model (Lane et al., 1994; Pedley et al., 1991, 2001). A limited biodistribution study was performed for this experiment before the therapy studies to confirm selective tumour localisation and retention, and also the absence of significant normal tissue uptake. Antibody biodistribution was assessed by administering 1.8 MBq of 131I-labelled radiolabelled antibody by tail vein injection into mice bearing LS174T xenografts (tumour volume was approximately 0.75 cm³). At 24 and 48 h, the animals (n = 4 mice per group) were bled and liver, kidney, lung, muscle, colon, spleen, and tumour removed for comparative activity assessment using a LKB Wizard (Pharmacia, Milton Keynes, UK) gamma counter. Results are expressed as percentage injected dose per gram of tissue (% ID g⁻¹).

**Therapy studies**

To determine an appropriate fractionation schedule, a preliminary series of single therapy experiments were performed in tumour-bearing mice, with administered activities ranging from 1.8 to 11.1 MBq. After therapy, tumour growth and acute haematological toxicity were assessed as described above. On the basis of these studies, a total activity of 7.4 MBq was chosen for the fractionated study, as this was associated with significant growth delay and measurable white cell toxicity. Experiments commenced 7–10 days after passing when the tumours were in exponential growth and had reached 0.1–0.2 cm³ in size. Groups of six mice received radioactivity delivered as a single administration of 7.4 MBq (day 1) or the same total activity divided into 2, 3, or 4 fractions of 3.7 MBq (days 1 and 3), 2.4 MBq (days 1, 3, and 5), or 1.8 MBq (days 1, 3, 5, and 8); control mice underwent tail vein injection of normal saline. Formulation of the fractionated activities was effected by diluting radiolabelled antibody made up on day 1 with normal saline for each treatment, taking into account of radio-active decay.

Tumours were measured every 2–3 days and mice were culled by cervical dislocation when the tumour volume reached 1.5 cm³. Tumour measurements were carried out in three dimensions (length, width, and height), and the tumour volume was estimated as length × width × height/2 (Looney et al., 1973).

**Toxicity studies**

Therapy toxicity was assessed by a number of indices. Systemic toxicity was assessed by animal weights, with animals weighed on the day of antibody injection and every 2–3 days thereafter. Acute and late haematological toxicity was assessed using full blood counting and assays of early progenitor cell function. Mice underwent weekly removal of 25 ml of whole blood for 1 month after the initiation of therapy. After dilution in physiological media, this blood was analysed using an automated haemocytometer (Advia 120, Bayer Diagnostics, Tarrytown, NY, USA) to give a haemoglobin level and white cell and platelet counts. Upon killing, marrow was taken from the mouse femurs to perform colony-forming unit assays for granulocytes and macrophages (CFU-GM). Using aseptic technique, a superficial incision was made into the lower abdomen of the mice to pierce the skin. Subsequent removal of the skin of the lower limbs and a limited dissection of soft tissue from the femur was then performed to expose the knee and hip joints. An incision was then made through the proximal femur at the hip joint and just proximal to the knee. The marrow contents were flushed from the marrow cavity using 2 ml of phosphate-buffered saline and a 25-gauge needle. This cell suspension was then diluted in 3% acetic acid to lyse red blood cells and the mononuclear cells counted using a haemocytometer. Cells (10⁶) were mixed thoroughly with growth medium (Methocult™ CFU-GM, StemCell Technologies, London, UK), and incubated at 37°C for 7 days in a humidified chamber with 5% CO₂. Colonies were counted using an inverted microscope with a similar method applied to age-matched controls.

**Statistics**

Using the SPSS statistics package, the Kaplan–Meier analysis was used to assess the survival of the different treatment groups with a log-rank test to estimate any differences. Other intergroup analyses were performed using either a Mann–Whitney U-test to compare two groups or a one-way ANOVA where multiple comparisons were made.

**RESULTS**

**Radio pharmaceutical purity**

There was a small increase in the proportion of free nuclide from less than 0.5% at day 1 to 5.9% at day 7.

**Antibody binding**

Radiolabelled antibody was stored at 4°C in phosphate-buffered saline and antibody binding was assessed on days 1 and 7 using a CEA-binding column. This revealed a modest decrease in binding efficiency over the course of the experiment, falling from 86 to 70%. Radiopharmaceutical quality control was carried out by thin layer chromatography.

**Accuracy of repeated therapy administration**

To check the accuracy of dilution and decay calculations, serial gamma counter measurements of radiation delivery were taken on each day of administration and revealed a high degree of consistency in actual administered activity for the fractionated therapies, with mean administered activity of 98.4% of baseline with a standard deviation of 3.5%.

**Biodistribution studies**

Figure 1 shows the biodistribution of labelled antibody in groups of four mice given 1.8 MBq of 131I A5B7, which confirmed tumour localisation and clearance from normal tissue. At 24 h, there was good retention of activity within tumour at 17.5% (± 4.6), whereas blood activity had fallen further to 5.3% (± 2.7) and levels in other normal tissues were even lower. At 48 h, there was good retention of activity within tumour at 17.5% (± 11.4) of injected activity, whereas blood activity had fallen further to 3.3% (± 3.46).

**Therapy studies**

In the control group, exponential growth continued in all but one animal, whereas a short period of continued tumour growth was seen in all treated animals, followed by a reduction in tumour size before re-growth (Figure 2). The period of tumour growth inhibition was directly related to the activity of individual therapies, with cures seen in five of the mice receiving a dose of 1 × 7.4 MBq and two of the mice receiving 2 × 3.7 MBq, but none of
the mice in the other treatment groups during the observation period of 230 days, at which point the experiment was terminated. The Kaplan–Meier method has been used to display the cumulative probability of mice surviving each of the treatment regimes and is shown in Figure 3i. The log rank was applied to test the null hypothesis that there was no difference in survival between the treatment groups and revealed that there were significant differences in survival between the different test groups. Group 1, receiving a single administration of 7.4 MBq (mean survival 190 days) had a significantly improved survival over all other treatment groups with the exception of the 3.7 MBq/C2 group (mean survival 118 days). No significant difference was found when the other treatment groups were compared with each other, but all the treatment groups had a significant survival advantage over the control group and are shown in Figure 3ii.

Toxicity

Systemic toxicity: weight Control mice gained weight steadily during the course of the experiment, whereas weight gain was slower in all of the treatment groups (Figure 4i). A decrease of 10% in body mass was seen in two of the animals in the 7.4 MBq/C2 group, and a single animal in both the 3.7 MBq/C2 and 1.8 MBq/C4 groups. The mean nadir weight for each group is shown in Figure 4ii and analysis using a one-way ANOVA revealed a significant difference between the groups ($P = 0.033$). Ad hoc testing demonstrated a significant decrease in body mass for the
7.4 MBq group compared with controls ($P = 0.039$), suggesting increased systemic toxicity in this group.

**Acute blood toxicity** Owing to rapid tumour progression in the control group, only a single untreated control mouse was available for comparison with the therapy arms after day 8, and this data have therefore been excluded from the statistical analysis. Mean blood indices over time for each of the therapy groups are shown in Figure 5. To detect any differences between the blood counts as a result of therapy, the mean area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for each of the therapy groups and a one-way ANOVA was performed to look for differences between them. No significant differences between the mean AUC was found for haemoglobin ($P = 0.302$), platelets ($P = 0.598$), or total white cell count ($P = 0.763$). Similarly, the acute nadir counts were also analysed in the same way and revealed no significant difference between any of the treatment groups for haemoglobin ($P = 0.688$), platelets ($P = 0.256$), or total white cell count ($P = 0.505$).

**Marrow progenitor cell toxicity** Femoral marrow harvesting was undertaken in therapy mice at the time of killing (with the exception of the control group) and also in age-matched controls, and used to perform CFU-gm or macrophages and granulocytes. Samples were tested for all the groups except for the 7.4 MBq group, where a number of samples failed as a result of technical problems. Colony counts per 10^5 plated mononuclear cells are shown with their respective age-matched controls in Figure 6(i). One-way ANOVA was used to look for differences in colony counts between any of the treatment or control groups and revealed no significant differences ($P = 0.795$, 0.865, respectively). A Mann–
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In this study, using $^{131}$I-labelled A5B7 in nude mice bearing LS174T xenografts, the effects of fractionation of RIT have been investigated comparing tumour growth and toxicity. Previous work using the F(ab’)2 fragment of A5B7 in the same xenograft model system, comparing single administration of antibody with the administration of the same activity as three separate fractions, demonstrated reduced efficacy as a result of fractionation (Pedley et al, 1993). Similarly, in this study, fractionation appeared to have a clear detrimental effect upon long-term cure of tumours. Cures were seen in five out of six animals receiving the single fraction therapy and two out of six animals receiving a bi-fractionated administration, whereas no cures were seen in either the three or four fraction groups.

These findings are at odds to a number of preclinical studies that have suggested that fractionation of RIT is beneficial, with improvements in therapeutic effect even in the absence of dose escalation (Schlom et al, 1990; Buchsbaum et al, 1995; Goel et al, 2001; Bloechl et al, 2005a). In a recent study, looking at fractionated intraperitoneal RIT in a murine gastric cancer tumour model, a clear therapeutic advantage was seen following fractionation. In this study, which used a $^{213}$Bi-labelled antibody, the administration of two injections of antibody at a 7-day interval was more effective than a single injection of twice the activity (Bloechl et al, 2005b). The factors that may be responsible for this increase in therapeutic efficacy have been reviewed and include a significant ‘inverse dose rate’ effect, increased tumour shrinkage during therapy leading to improved tumour blood flow, a reduction in tumour interstitial pressure improving the access of subsequently therapy doses, and, in addition, an increased time for tumour re-oxygenation to occur (DeNardo et al, 2002). Pre-clinical studies have also demonstrated that fractionated RIT improves the delivery of antibody to the well vascularised, actively growing areas of tumour (Roberson et al, 1997; Buchsbaum et al, 1999).

**DISCUSSION**

Owing to the high incidence of neutralising antibody formation seen using murine antibodies, most RIT to date has been performed using single therapy schedules. However, with the advent of chimeric and humanised antibodies of reduced immunogenicity, repeated therapy with RIT is now possible. Given the benefits seen from fractionation in EBRT, it has similarly been proposed as a method to improve the therapeutic ratio of RIT. However, a simple extrapolation from EBRT is not possible due to the different to that of RIT. Important key differences between RIT and EBRT include a lower total dose of radiation, a much lower dose rate of radiation delivery over prolonged periods of time, more akin to brachytherapy, and, finally, an exponentially decreasing dose rate.

Whitney U-test was also performed to analyse differences between the colony counts in each of the treatment groups and their respective age-matched controls and revealed no significant differences for the 2 × 3.7, 3 × 2.4 or 4 × 1.8 MBq groups ($P=0.275, 0.513$, and 0.275, respectively). Test data were subsequently pooled to look for any differences between the treated and non-treated animals and are shown in Figure 6ii. A statistically significant reduction in colony-forming units was found between the treated and untreated animals using both t-test and the Mann–Whitney U-test ($P=0.005$ and 0.011, respectively).

**Figure 5** Blood indices as a percentage of baseline following therapy with associated standard deviations.

**Figure 6** (i) Mean CFUgm colony counts per $10^5$ mononuclear cells alongside those of age matched untreated controls + 95% CI. (ii) Comparison between pooled CFUgm colony counts per $10^5$ mononuclear cells for treated and untreated animals.
One possible explanation for the discrepancy seen with some of the published data in this area is that the total dose of radiation delivered to tumour sites in our fractionated regime was less than that achieved by a single administration. Modest reductions in CEA binding of A5B7 over the course of therapy are evident by day 7 in vitro and may have reduced the efficiency of targeting, hence reducing the total dose. Furthermore, there were also modest falls in radiopharmaceutical purity, with a progressive decrease in the proportion of bound to free antibody over the course of administration, something that may also be expected to reduce the tumour-absorbed dose. However, the size of these changes appears modest in comparison with the difference in tumour control seen in the study. In addition, reduced vascular permeability as a result of prior radiation exposure has also been noted 7–21 days after RIT in other tumour model systems, and has been found to lead to reductions in tumour uptake of a second dose of radiolabelled antibody (Blumenthal et al, 1991, 1995). Although such changes are tumour-type dependent, the LS174T xenograft has demonstrated a reduced vascular permeability as a result of RIT (Blumenthal et al, 1997). Finally, conventional radiobiology and application of the linear quadratic model predict that radiation is less effective as the dose rate is lowered due to repair of sublethal damage requiring a higher total dose for similar effect (Dale, 1985, 1996; Langmuir and Sutherland, 1988; Fowler, 1990). In addition, the longer overall treatment times associated with fractionated RIT will allow greater tumour repopulation during therapy.

In terms of systemic toxicity, this appeared to be reduced as a result of fractionation. No significant reductions in acute haematological toxicity or CFU gm counts were seen, although a nonsignificant trend towards lower CFU gm counts in each of the therapy groups compared with their controls was seen, suggesting that the lack of significance reflected the relatively small number of data points. The therapy group data were therefore pooled and a subsequent comparison of treated and untreated animals did indeed demonstrate a significant depression of CFU gm function as a result of therapy. The apparent lack of reduction in haematological toxicity by fractionation could be explained by the low rates of radiation delivery by RIT, meaning therapy is already highly fractionated and that any further benefits in terms of normal tissue sparing resulting from further fractionation are likely to be minimal (Dale, 1996). In addition, red marrow and its progenitor cells are known to behave as early responding tissues with limited repair capacity. As a result, fractionation might be expected to make little difference to toxicity (Hendry and Lajtha, 1972; Chu-Tse and Lajtha, 1975).

The findings in this study are similarly at odds with other pre-clinical studies using colorectal xenografts, which have suggested that fractionated approaches may be less myelotoxic than single therapy regimes (Schlom et al, 1990; Beaumier et al, 1991; Vriesendorp et al, 1993). In the study by Beaumier et al (1991), delayed and incomplete recovery of WBC counts was seen after single administration of therapy, whereas this was not seen after the administration of a multifraction regime of equivalent administered activity. In the study by Schlom et al (1990), marrow aplasia resulted from a single administration of radiolabelled antibody, whereas animals receiving the equivalent administered activity in a fractionated manner avoided this complication. However, the most comprehensive study of the effects of fractionated RIT on haematological toxicity has been undertaken in non-tumour-bearing animals (Vriesendorp et al, 1993). Acute haematological toxicity was assessed by daily blood counts, and an assessment of late marrow toxicity made by assaying early marrow progenitor cells using CFU gm assays. They found that single injections of RIT were associated with earlier and more severe haematological toxicity (thrombocytopenia and granulocytopenia) and lower bone marrow CFU gm counts. However, an important difference between this study and other pre-clinical studies is that the majority of these have myeloablative activities of radiation, and then derived fractionated therapy schedules from this. Published data on the behaviour of marrow progenitor cells have shown that they have a high ability to repopulate as long as marrow ablation does not occur (Hendry and Lajtha, 1972; Testa et al, 1974; Chu-Tse and Lajtha, 1975; Hendry and Lord, 1983), and the total dose of radiation used in this study was not myeloablative when given as a single dose.

CONCLUSIONS

In this tumour model system, for a fixed administered activity, fractionating RIT reduced efficacy. Fractionation was not associated with any reduction in either acute blood toxicity or early marrow progenitor cell function, although the latter was reduced in treated animals compared with controls. However, systemic toxicity, as assessed by weight, was reduced.
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