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INTRODUCTION

The whole world is running behind the coronavirus and coronavirus running around the globe. In this situation, it is a matter of time to develop new immediate medicine to cure these pandemics, as we are aware that vaccines will take lots of time to come into functioning. In this situation, we need to have a drug that can inhibit the spreading of this virus and treat the patient in different ways. Clinical trials are currently investigating the use of various compounds and targets to treat SARS-CoV-2 infection. There are no clinically approved antiviral drugs, vaccines, or monoclonal antibody therapies to treat SARS-CoV infections. Moreover, the continued development of therapeutic and prophylactic countermeasures to potentially deadly coronaviruses is warranted. The coronaviral proteases, specially 3C-like protease (Mpro or 3CLpro), are attractive antiviral drug targets because they are essential for coronaviral replication. Although the Mpro or 3CLpro inhibitor compounds are to process the viral polyprotein. Therefore, targeting Mpro or 3CLpro with inhibitors may have an advantage in not only inhibiting viral replication but also inhibiting the dysregulation of signaling cascades in infected cells that may lead to cell death in the surrounding, uninfected cells. The inhibition of SARS-CoV Mpro or 3CLpro inhibitor compounds, and the prospect of inhibiting papain-like protease from other coronaviruses. Mpro or 3CLpro inhibitor compounds opens the door in the quick treatment of Antiviral therapy against this highly pathogenic human coronavirus.
conventional control measures, including travel restrictions and patient isolation.

It started in December 2019; a new infectious respiratory disease emerged in Wuhan, Hubei province, China [7,8]. The starting of infections will be linked to the Huanan seafood market, potentially due to animal contact. Consequently, human-to-human transmission happened, and the disease, currently termed coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19), quickly blowout to a country like China, USA, and Europe and further spread to the whole world. This SARS-coronavirus 2 has a close relation to SARS-CoV, which has been detected in many patients, and all can trust to have the same etiologic agent of the new lung disease [9-11]. On February 12, 2020, a total of 44,730 laboratory-confirmed infections will be reported in China, including 8,204 [12].

Discussion

A novel coronavirus has been identified as the causative agent of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). The viral main proteinase (Mpro, also called 3CLpro), which controls the activities of the coronavirus replication complex, is an attractive target for therapy. The role of Mpro or 3CLpro has already proven to be a valuable target in drug discovery efforts and has been validated as a valid drug target in several studies. It has even been termed “the Achilles’ heel of coronaviruses” [13]. A wide variety of inhibitor classes, including peptidomimetic analogs, covalent Mpro or 3CLpro inhibitors, and small molecule inhibitors, have been assessed. These compounds have been identified by ab initio structure-based design, high throughput, and virtual screening [13,14], where inhibitors either target the enzyme active site or the allosteric dimerization domain [15,16]. The first generation of Mpro or 3CLpro inhibitors will be irreversible peptidomimetic structures, often five residues in length with reactive warhead at the terminus that formed a covalent bond between the thiolate anion of the catalytic Cys145 residue and the reactive atom of the warhead [17]. These reactive warheads have included Michael acceptors, aldehydes, epoxypeptides, halo-methyl ketones, and trifluoromethyl ketones. The development of Mpro or 3CLpro inhibitors later followed peptide derivative warhead inhibitors. However, the use of covalent inhibitors is limited due to their propensity for off-target side-effects and toxicity [18-27]. Recent studies have therefore focused more on the development of noncovalent inhibitors, which have generally produced abundant peptidomimetic compounds with low ligand efficiency [17] and currently, there is still no effective therapy for the treatment of HCV [12].

All coronavirus Mpro or 3CLpro share high sequence homology and interior chain architecture and substrate conservation [28,29], which makes the identification of broad-spectrum lead compounds more viable. The substrate-binding site of the Mpro or 3CLpro has two deeply buried S1 and S2 subsites, as well as shallow S1’, S3, and S4 subsites holding different solvent treatment angles. The specificity of the Substrate related to coronavirus Mpro or 3CLpro is mainly determined by the P1, P2, and P1’ positions [29]. The Glutamine is found at the P1 location precisely; it makes hydrogen bond between S1 subsite and imidazole Ne2 of His162/3 and van der Waals interactions to the residues of the S1 active pocket. Furthermore, the P2 position prefers leucine or methionine to fill the hydrophobic S2 pocket. The S3 site sidechains are solvent-exposed, which, and this position is expected to tolerate a wide range of functionality, shows a preference for basic residues [30]. Sidechains and backbones of residues surrounding the S4 site structure create a highly congested pocket, which favors a small, hydrophobic residue in the P4 position, either Ser, Thr, Val, or Pro [31-32]. The S1’ and S2’ subsites also accommodate small residues in the P1’ and P2’ positions, which may include Ser, Ala or Gly [31,33]. The recognition position for cleavage has (Ser, Ala) -(Val, Thr)-Leu-Glu ↓ (Ser, Ala, Gly), which is conserved among all coronavirus Mpro or 3CLpro [34,35]. These features can, therefore, be exploited in the design of potential broad-spectrum significant compounds.
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