Three distinct ribosome assemblies modulated by translation are the building blocks of polysomes
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Translation is increasingly recognized as a central control layer of gene expression in eukaryotic cells. The overall organization of mRNA and ribosomes within polysomes, as well as the possible role of this organization in translation are poorly understood. Here we show that polysomes are primarily formed by three distinct classes of ribosome assemblies. We observe that these assemblies can be connected by naked RNA regions of the transcript. We show that the relative proportions of the three classes of ribosome assemblies reflect, and probably dictate, the level of translational activity. These results reveal the existence of recurrent supra-ribosomal building blocks forming polysomes and suggest the presence of unexplored translational controls embedded in the polysome structure.

Introduction

The ribosome is the basic machinery for shaping cell phenotypes through protein synthesis. Small and large ribonucleoprotein subunits assemble on mRNAs to convert the linear information encoded in genes into three-dimensionally structured proteins. The interaction of mRNA and isolated ribosomes was shown for the first time 50 years ago by sedimentation analysis and transmission electron micrographs of polysomes from rabbit reticulocytes, demonstrating that mRNA is indeed the template for protein synthesis (Warner et al., 1962). After this, the organization of ribosomes into higher-order structures was recognized in several different organisms (Palade, 1955; Warner et al., 1962; Wettstein et al., 1963). Warner et al. (1962) called these actively translating ribosomal assemblies “polyrribosomes” or “polysomes,” and they are currently understood to exist in an equilibrium among the three stages of translation (initiation, elongation, and termination), as well as ribosome recycling.

Ever since its discovery, the ribosome has been extensively characterized (Schluenzen et al., 2000; Harms et al., 2001; Schmeing and Ramakrishnan, 2009; Melnikov et al., 2012), providing fundamental molecular insights into the dynamics of the three phases of translation and the role of the accessory protein factors involved (Ramakrishnan, 2002; Schmeing and Ramakrishnan, 2009). Even though some work has proposed hypothetical models of mRNA organization inside polysomes (Kopeina et al., 2008; Brandt et al., 2009; Afonina et al., 2013), our understanding of their topology with respect to mRNA has not significantly progressed since initial descriptions of the circular (Palade, 1955; Warner et al., 1962; Wettstein et al., 1963; Yazaki et al., 2000; Madin et al., 2004), spiral (Palade, 1955), rosette (Palade, 1955; Warner et al., 1962; Wettstein et al., 1963; Madin et al., 2004), staggered line (Danekholt et al., 1977), and caterpillar-like double-rowed (Kopeina et al., 2008; Afonina et al., 2013) shapes observed in micrographs. In recent years, cryo-EM has been successfully used to reconstruct the 3D shape of prokaryotic and human polysomes in cell-free lysates (Brandt et al., 2009) and in intact cells (Brandt et al., 2010), respectively. These reconstructions revealed a nonrandom set of spatial “pseudo helical” and “pseudo planar” configurations of neighboring ribosome arrangements within polysomes. Moreover, tomographic reconstruction of mammalian polysomes obtained...
from rough ER microsomes out of dog pancreas suggested that ER-embedded polysomes display a flexible spatial organization within the membrane milieu (Pfeffer et al., 2012). During the revision of this paper, the pseudoatomic modeling of very large eukaryotic polysomes was published, providing details about inter-ribosome contacts (Myasnikov et al., 2014). Despite these significant advances in describing the 3D arrangement of ribosomes within polysomes, to date no study has yet analyzed the overall polysome organization in systematic detail. In particular, the previously reported structures of polysomes could not resolve naked mRNA filaments connecting ribosomes on the same transcript.

Here we took advantage of atomic force microscopy (AFM), which has the unique capacity to resolve both single RNA molecules (Hansma et al., 2004) and ribosomes (Yoshida et al., 1997; Mikamo et al., 2005; Mikamo-Satoh et al., 2009). Highlight results from AFM imaging include the visualization of single- and double-stranded nucleic acids (Hansma et al., 2004; Condon, 2006) and of telomere and nucleosome formation (Hansma et al., 2004). In other work, Tetrahymena polysomes were imaged by AFM after fixation, and a comparison with EM images was performed (Yoshida et al., 1997), whereas polysomes isolated from Saccharomyces cerevisiae were observed in solution, including an image showing an exposed RNA strand (Mikamo-Satoh et al., 2009). Therefore, although AFM cannot distinguish the relative orientations of ribosome subunits within polysomes as cryo-EM does (Brandt et al., 2009, 2010), the deep gap in information about the relative organization of ribosome and mRNA can be filled using this complementary technique.

In this study we asked the following: (a) whether polysome shapes are nonstochastic, i.e., whether recurrent ribosomal organizations indicate that specific assemblies are embedded within polysomes; (b) whether the transcripts are completely and homogeneously covered by ribosomes; and (c) whether nonstochastic clusters reflect the translational state of the cell. We combined EM with AFM and high-resolution light microscopy using a stimulated emission depletion (STED) approach (Hell and Wichmann, 1994; Vicidomini et al., 2014) to examine functioning cellular polysomes. The data from these imaging techniques were analyzed by unsupervised classification, statistical analysis, and 3D reconstruction and complemented by cellular and biochemical functional assays. We showed that three distinct clusters of ribosomes recur within polysomes, forming assemblies within them separated by ribosome-free mRNA filaments. We additionally demonstrated that the relative proportions of the three different ribosomal arrangements change in relation to the metabolic state of the cells in which they reside.

**Results**

**Ribosomes are tightly arranged in complex shapes**

Sucrose density gradient centrifugation followed by fractionation is a standard approach for the gentle purification of polysomes, trapping the ribosomes on mRNAs with the antibiotic cycloheximide. We extracted polysomes from a human cell line (MCF-7) by applying a cytoplasmic lysate to a 15–50% sucrose gradient. The collected fractions were monitored by UV absorption, producing a typical profile (Fig. 1 a). Fractions corresponding to isolated ribosomes (monosomes; 80S) and to low-, medium-, and high-molecular-weight (LMW, MMW, and HMW, respectively) polysomes were individually observed by AFM after deposition on mica (Fig. 1 a). The distributions of the ribosomal size values were obtained by grain analysis (see Materials and methods) to determine a height of 9.1 ± 1.2 nm and a width of 34.1 ± 3.9 nm in air (Fig. 1 a and Table S1). In agreement with an estimated diameter of around 23–25 nm (Warner et al., 1962; Yazaki et al., 2000), the isolated ribosome height measured in solution was 18.5 ± 2.5 nm (Table S1) and 25 nm in polysomes (Fig. S1 b). The observed discrepancy with air AFM height and width, also observed by other groups (Yoshida et al., 1997; Mikamo et al., 2005; Mikamo-Satoh et al., 2009), was expected because of air drying and broadening of the microscope tip. To further ascertain that the observed assemblies were native ribosome groups, sucrose fractions obtained by treating lysates with EDTA or puromycin were adsorbed on mica and observed by AFM (Fig. S1 a). EDTA induced the disassembly of ribosomal subunits, and RNase brought about an increase in free 80S particles, whereas puromycin made for the complete absence of assemblies. The deposition on mica of the fraction corresponding to HMW polysomes pretreated in each of these ways confirmed that the images in Fig. 1 a portray ribosomes and polysomes on the mica surface. We then derived the polysome lateral sizes and compared the obtained values with those for polysomes visualized by transmission EM in tissue slices and cells (Steward and Levy, 1982; Ostroff et al., 2002), as well as in purified samples (Warner et al., 1962; Daneholt et al., 1977; Yoshida et al., 1997; Yazaki et al., 2000; Kopeina et al., 2008; Brandt et al., 2009), finding a good agreement (Table S1).

By observing the overall organization of ribosomes in polysomes, we distinguished tight ribosome–ribosome interactions and complex clusters of ribosomes with variable shapes, ribosomal arrangements, and 3D stacking, similar to those observed in the earliest micrographs (Warner et al., 1962; Slayter et al., 1963) and the cryo-electron tomography (ET) reconstructions (Brandt et al., 2010; Myasnikov et al., 2014) of human polysomes and ribosome protection assays (Wolin and Walter, 1988).

To further assess whether these multiple shapes reflect the native in vivo organization of polysomes, we used STED nanoscopy. This technique has the advantage of a better resolution (<45 nm) than conventional confocal microscopy and of being fast, with easy sample preparation and data acquisition. We used the ribosomal protein RPL26 (or L24 according to the new nomenclature proposed by Jenner et al. [2012]) as a convenient ribosome tag for immunofluorescence analysis because it is located on the surface of the 60S subunit (Anger et al., 2013), far away from the site of mRNA interaction (Fig. 1, b and c). The achieved resolution (<45 nm) was adequate to identify polysomes but not sharp enough to visualize single ribosomes. In fact, in the polysome the ribosome–ribosome center-to-center distance is 20 nm in AFM but can reach 30–35 nm in EM, depending on the imaging approach (Warner et al., 1962).
Figure 1. Native human polysomes observed by AFM preserve their in vivo organization and reveal tight ribosome interactions. (a) Typical sedimentation profile of polysomes from MCF-7 cell lysates obtained in a concave 15–50% sucrose gradient. The absorbance peaks corresponding to 40S and ribonucleoparticles are not shown for clarity. Fractions containing 80S, LMW, MMW, and HMW polysomes observed by AFM in air are shown as 3D projections (middle row). In the bottom row, the grain analysis of AFM structures is reported, showing the height, width, and length scatterplot for the 80S, LMW, MMW, and HMW polysomes. The 80S fraction data look to be grouped in a single cluster, which is also present in the other three fractions, alongside a second cluster that displays an increase of width and length along the gradient. The total number of objects considered are 1,319 (80S), 1,319 (LMW), 925 (MMW), and 1,187 (HMW) obtained from one to three independent polysomal profiles. (b) Side view of the crystal structure of the human ribosome (Anger et al., 2013) showing the position of RPL26 (or RPL24 according with the new nomenclature proposed by Jenner et al., 2012; in red) at the top of the 60S subunit. (c) RPS6 and RPL26, core proteins of the small and large ribosomal subunit, respectively, are present along sucrose fractions as revealed by Western blotting analysis. Actin is used as a control for nonribosomal fractions and does not cosediment with ribosomes, ribosomal subunits, and polysomes. (d) Magnification of MCF7 cytoplasm immunolabeled with ATTO-488 against RPL26 and imaged by confocal microscopy. (e, left) The same image as in d imaged with g-STED and magnification of cytoplasmic granules. The subdiffraction x–y resolution at 488 nm is <45 nm. The image shown is from a single representative experiment out of three repeats. For the further analysis, we used nine images. (middle) Example of MCF7 image processing for particle analysis and extraction of shape parameters after binarizing and thresholding (see Materials and methods for details). (right) AFM and STED shapes of polysomes are compared plotting the normalized distributions of the aspect ratio (ratio of the major axis to the minor axis) of each particle (3,219 and 8,757 objects, respectively). Bars: (d and e) 5 µm; (d, inset) 1 µm; (e, inset) 2 µm.
demonstrated a clear superimposition in the size distributions of the observed objects (Fig. 1 e). Finally, using the STED point spread function, we started from AFM images of purified polysomes to obtain a simulation of these images in STED and performed a comparison between the obtained aspect ratios with STED nanoscopy, we identified clearly defined elongated spots in the cytoplasmic milieu and performed single particle analysis to measure the overall polysome geometric parameters (Fig. 1, d and e). These were then directly compared with the purified polysomes observed using AFM. The comparison demonstrated a clear superimposition in the size distributions of the observed objects (Fig. 1 e). Finally, using the STED point spread function, we started from AFM images of purified polysomes to obtain a simulation of these images in STED and performed a comparison between the obtained aspect ratios and the simulated images.

Figure 2. STED simulations of AFM ribosome clusters display aspect ratios overlapping with objects observed by STED in cells. (a) Example of a typical AFM image. (b and c) STED and confocal images of MCF-7 cells, respectively, where RPL26 has been immunolabeled with ATTO-488. (d) Applying a threshold to the raw AFM image, we generated a binary image that we convolved with the simulated STED point spread function (PSF) after noise addition. The amount of noise was calculated measuring the mean standard deviation of counts in the background of the STED images. (e and f) Zoom of the squared regions of interest in b and c, respectively. The zoom has been chosen to match the size of the AFM image. (g–i) Zoom of the squared regions of interest in d–f, respectively. Fluorescence spots in STED (e and h) are comparable with simulated images of HMW polysomes obtained by convolution of AFM scans with STED PSF (d and g). (j and k) Shown are the result of the object localization procedure (see Materials and methods) applied to the g and h images, respectively. The blue lines show the perimeter of the objects identified. (l) Distribution of the aspect ratio of the objects that arise from the analysis of 10 STED images and 10 simulated STED images from AFM originals. The two graphs are normalized by the whole number of counted objects, respectively, and show a good matching. All images were acquired at 1024 × 1024 pixels with 14-nm pixel size. Bars, 1 µm.
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Polysomes can be classified in three main different ribosome clusters

By extensive use of AFM, we then acquired thousands of polysome images (LMW, MMW, and HMW) for analysis by unsupervised object classification. This allowed us to address our first question, whether polysomes display preferential and recurrent shapes. The aim was to unearth possible common conformational features, detected as rotational symmetries, embedded in AFM images. To perform this analysis, we used the standardized single-particle image analysis package Xmipp (Scheres et al., 2008).

To efficiently uncover differences in the rotational symmetry for classification, the original AFM scans were examined for isolated polysomes (Fig. 3 a). Then, the images were aligned translationally and rotationally, and their rotational power spectra were computed (Crowther and Amos, 1971). We then used the Xmipp KerDenSOM algorithm to group the images in classes (or clusters) of objects sharing common rotational symmetries (Pascual-Montano et al., 2001). This method allows the objective identification of groups of images (classes or clusters) sharing similar properties without, importantly, the need for any a priori knowledge on the number of groups or their properties. We found that the separate analysis of the LMW, MMW, and HMW polysomes distinguished in each case three main classes of features, characterized by distinctive rotational spectra, i.e., different levels of rotational symmetry (Fig. 3 b for HMW and Fig. S2 for LMW and MMW).

We then studied the statistical significance of the differences between the emerging clusters of ribosomes in polysomes to identify the most diverse classes and statistically assess their differences. We applied the distribution-free two-sample statistical test maximum mean discrepancy (MMD). This test allows evaluation of the diversity between two populations, again without making any assumption on their characteristics. In our case, the higher the value of discrepancy, the higher the difference between the shapes of the polysome classes. The highest diversities obtained for the classification of HMW polysomes (Fig. 3 c and Fig. S2 a for LMW and MMW).
an unavoidable and arbitrarily subjective intervention in the class selection. The presence of three main fold of symmetries demonstrates that the three classes, associated with the three most frequent and most diverse ribosomal organizations, correspond to different polysomal shapes, or, in other words, to different supraorganizations of ribosomes in the polysome. The fact that the averaged rotational power spectrum of the three main classes revealed the presence of well-resolved peaks corresponding to one-, two-, and threefold symmetry prompted us to call these three classes of polysomes rounded, rectangular, and triangular (Fig. 4a and Fig. S2c for galleries), respectively.

The same classes were also identified independently in the smaller polysomes (LMW and MMW; Fig. S2), indicating that the propensity to organize these shapes is independent of the number of ribosomes per polysome. Indeed, these shapes may correspond to previously observed organizations of ribosomes less populated, showing a combination of the features characterizing the three main and most abundant classes.

To understand the underlying structural features of the three maximally diverse classes, we explored the corresponding rotational folds of symmetry, showing that each class had a distinctive type (Fig. 3d for HMW and Fig. S2c for LMW and MMW). In particular we found a class with onefold symmetry (round shape), a class with twofold symmetry (rectangular shape), and a class with threefold symmetry (triangular shape). None of the intermediate and less populated classes showed additional n-fold symmetries, meaning that the n-fold symmetries n = 1, 2, and 3 are the only ones to emerge from the data. Therefore, these polysomes with intermediate rotational symmetries are not a fourth rotational class, but rather likely transition forms during polysomal organization. Consequently, we decided not to follow up these intermediate classes so as to avoid an unavoidable and arbitrarily subjective intervention in the class selection. The presence of three main fold of symmetries demonstrates that the three classes, associated with the three most frequent and most diverse ribosomal organizations, correspond to different polysomal shapes, or, in other words, to different supraorganizations of ribosomes in the polysome. The fact that the averaged rotational power spectrum of the three main classes revealed the presence of well-resolved peaks corresponding to one-, two-, and threefold symmetry prompted us to call these three classes of polysomes rounded, rectangular, and triangular (Fig. 4a and Fig. S2c for galleries), respectively. The same classes were also identified independently in the smaller polysomes (LMW and MMW; Fig. S2), indicating that the propensity to organize these shapes is independent of the number of ribosomes per polysome. Indeed, these shapes may correspond to previously observed organizations of ribosomes.

Figure 4. Three recurrent classes of polysomes display specific conformations. (a, left) Galleries of LMW, MMW, and HMW polysomes showing examples belonging to the three main classes obtained after KerDenSOM classification. (right) Schematic representations of possible ribosome arrangements typical of each class (from top to bottom: rounded, rectangular, and triangular). Bars, 100 nm. (b) Representative images of polysomes for each class are shown by 3D AFM projections (from left to right: triangular, rectangular, and rounded). (c) Representative images of polysomes for each class are shown by cryo-EM, where arrangements of ribosomes were determined by placing a consensus map of all the ribosomes imaged at the positions and in the orientations determined (40S in yellow, 60S in blue; from left to right: triangular, rectangular, and rounded).
in polysomes. In fact, the round shapes most probably resemble the so-called “circular” polysomes (Palade, 1955; Warner et al., 1962; Wettstein et al., 1963; Yazaki et al., 2000; Madin et al., 2004; Afonina et al., 2013), the well-known “rosette” (Palade, 1955; Warner et al., 1962; Wettstein et al., 1963; Madin et al., 2004), and “helical” polysomes (Brandt et al., 2010; Myasnikov et al., 2014); the rectangular shapes are very similar to the “staggered line” (Daneholt et al., 1977), “caterpillar-like double-row” (Kopeina et al., 2008; Afonina et al., 2013), and “pseudohelical” and “pseudoplanar” (Brandt et al., 2009) polysomes; the triangular shapes can be compatible with “spirals” (Palade, 1955; Brandt et al., 2010), “variables” (Brandt et al., 2009), and “loose-helical” polysomes (Brandt et al., 2010). The difference with most of the previous work, performed using in vitro translation systems and specific constructs, is that here we observed polysome populations derived from whole cell lysates. Using then an unbiased approach on thousands of objects, we describe the emergence of common conformations in complex populations.

To exclude the possibility that the observed polysomal structures were the result of preferential ribosome drop-off, we covalently stabilized the polysome complexes through the GraFix procedure (Kastner et al., 2008), in which a cross-linker is present at low concentration in the sucrose gradient. After analyzing the GraFix-treated HMW fractions, we again obtained three main classes identical to those observed for the uncross-linked samples (Fig. S3, a–e). This excludes the possibility that the observed shapes were artifacts produced by drop-off during sample preparation. Moreover, we ruled out the presence of adverse effects after the drying of polysomes already adherent to our surfaces by comparing the appearance of hydrated samples with that of dried samples. As shown by Fig. S1 b, the dimension of single ribosomes is affected by the drying process (the main consequence being a height decrease of the visualized structures by a factor of 2), but the same polysomal shapes are found in both the hydrated and dried state after classification (Fig. S2 c). Importantly, the same frequencies of the three classes were also observed in both liquid (10% round, 16% rectangular, and 9% triangular) and air-dried polysomes (Fig. 3 b).

To ascertain that the three classes were indeed present in surface-free conditions, as well as when imaged on mica, we observed polysomes by cryo-EM in vitreous ice. After removal of the sucrose, the ribosomes appeared less tightly interacting. Nevertheless, we were able to observe polysomes as localized assemblies, indicating that the ribosome–ribosome interactions were not an effect of the action of osmotic protectant or air drying procedure. This result is in line with the previously observed organization of neighboring ribosomes in the polysome, where they adopt preferential relative orientations that suggest conserved supramolecular organization (Brandt et al., 2009, 2010). We then identified individual ribosomes within micrographs of polysomes and assigned their orientations by projection matching. This yielded the reconstruction of the mammalian 80S ribosome shown in Fig. S4 (a and b). The 80S ribosome was then used to assign the positions and orientations of the individual ribosomes in the polysomes. The overall organization of polysomes obtained with this approach (Fig. 4 c) was very similar to that observed in the AFM images (Fig. 4 b), resembling rectangular, rounded, and triangular shapes. Therefore, these three types of assemblies appear to be intrinsic ultrastructural arrangements of native polysomes.

**Various ribosome-ribosome orientations are found in HMW polysomes**

We wondered whether the three polysomal shapes arise from specific ribosome orientations. To this end, electron tomograms of HMW polysomes were collected. We compared the previously described top-top (t-t) and top-bottom (t-b) ribosome arrangements (Brandt et al., 2009, 2010) with our data and asked whether these were responsible for the observed polysome shapes (Fig. 3 a). We identified t-t but not t-b arrangements (Fig. 5, b–e), which were indeed found in bacterial polysomes (Brandt et al., 2009) but not in mammalian cells (Brandt et al., 2010). From this point of view, our results completely agree with the analysis of orientations of mammalian ribosomes observed in situ in cells by Brandt et al. (2010). In many cases (and especially the defined i/i+1 and i/i−1 pairs), the mRNA exit and entrance ribosomes in the + or − direction, respectively, are adjacent, facilitating the threading of the message out of one ribosome and into another.

Linear arrangements of ribosomes, classified as rectangular shapes by rotational classification of AFM images, are consistent with t-t assemblies mixed with other orientations. The round shape, comprising rings of ribosomes, requires mixed arrangements, whereas in the triangular class the t-t arrangement is found for two of the member monosomes, and then a different relative arrangement is responsible for the third monosome. We would like to stress here that the i to i±1 t-t arrangement previously described is one subset of the arrangements observed by Brandt et al. (2010). Interestingly and in addition to the principal relative orientations described in detail before (Brandt et al., 2010), some novel arrangements are repeatedly seen (Fig. 5 f). These novel orientations give rise to mixed configurations of ribosomes in polysomes (Fig. 5 g). Indeed, among the arrangements we were able to see examples of ring-like assemblies, as also seen by AFM, and of helical half-turns, as predicted by Brandt et al. (2010).

**Larger polysomes can be formed by combinations of the three types of ribosome assemblies connected by free RNA segments**

Complementarily to cryo-ET, AFM can be used to simultaneously observe free RNA and ribosomes. Therefore, we addressed the question of the unknown relative organization of mRNA and ribosomes in polysomes, taking advantage of the good height resolution of AFM and of its unique capacity to clearly detect nucleic acids lying on the mica surface. When the Z-scale was decreased to upper values <2 nm, 25% of polysomes in the HMW fraction showed thin ribbon features that were either protruding outwards or connecting ribosome clusters (Fig. 6, a and b; and Video 1). In agreement with AFM and EM measurements of free RNA (Mikamo-Satoh et al., 2009), the height of the ribbons was found to be ~0.6–1 nm by cross-section analysis (Fig. 6 b), demonstrating that these structures...
described by Madin et al. (2004) appear as circular beads on a string with tightly packed ribosomes loaded on a transcript of 1,650 nt. From the images, a maximum of 25 ribosomes per polysome can be counted. Given a ribosome footprinting of around 30 nt (Steitz, 1969; Ingolia et al., 2009), a polysome with 25 ribosomes and without free RNA in the coding region should match a transcript of around 750–1,000 nt, which is far below the 1,650 nt coding sequence showed by Madin et al. (2004). The RNA that is missing (around 650–900 nt) has a compatible length with the third population observed in Fig. 6 d. In contrast, considering the evaluation of ribosome occupancy and inter-ribosomal RNA length of 110 nt proposed by Brandt et al. (2009), a polysome with 25 ribosomes should match a transcript 3,750 nt long, which is two times the length of the coding sequence used in Madin et al. (2004). Also, in the hypothesis of beads on a string model, where ribosomes are equally distant and the inter-ribosomal distance ranges between 7 and are bona fide single RNA filaments. The same type of free filaments connecting ribosome clusters were also found on GraFix samples, excluding the possibility that the RNA was naked as the result of artificial drop-off of ribosomes (Fig. S3 f).

We then measured the length of naked RNA regions, whose distribution is displayed in Fig. 6 c. The first and more abundant population has a mean value of 45.6 ± 18 nm, corresponding to ~140 nt of nonprotected RNA. The other two populations are less frequent, with mean values of 128 ± 34 nm and 247.5 ± 21 nm (corresponding to 488 nt and 750 nt of naked RNA, respectively). The frequencies of these populations are likely to be underestimated because it is possible that long naked RNA regions may break during the purification procedure as the result of mechanical stress. Nevertheless, these data may explain or shed light on some incongruences that arise under the hypothesis of homogeneous coverage of the specific transcripts. For example, wheat germ polysomes described by Madin et al. (2004) appear as circular beads on a string with tightly packed ribosomes loaded on a transcript of 1,650 nt. From the images, a maximum of 25 ribosomes per polysome can be counted. Given a ribosome footprinting of around ~30 nt (Steitz, 1969; Ingolia et al., 2009), a polysome with 25 ribosomes and without free RNA in the coding region should match a transcript of around 750–1,000 nt, which is far below the 1,650 nt coding sequence showed by Madin et al. (2004). The RNA that is missing (around 650–900 nt) has a compatible length with the third population observed in Fig. 6 d. In contrast, considering the evaluation of ribosome occupancy and inter-ribosomal RNA length of 110 nt proposed by Brandt et al. (2009), a polysome with 25 ribosomes should match a transcript 3,750 nt long, which is two times the length of the coding sequence used in Madin et al. (2004). Also, in the hypothesis of beads on a string model, where ribosomes are equally distant and the inter-ribosomal distance ranges between 7 and
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ribosomal crowding, which would flood the translation machinery. In agreement with this observation, a study described irregular distances between ribosomes (Friedberg et al., 1975) and the presence of sparsely stacked ribosome clusters on mRNA after nuclease digestion, suggesting implicitly the presence of free RNA (Wolin and Walter, 1988). Interestingly, Warner et al. (1962) showed EM micrographs of polysomes absorbed on 15 nm (Rich et al., 1963), the length of the transcript and the number of ribosomes per polysome from several micrographs is longer than the ribosome coverage can explain. A possibility is therefore that some images of polysomes lack naked RNA, giving us an incomplete picture of the native polysome in its complexity. The presence of long naked RNA in the polysome may be instrumental to release possible torsion forces and/or

15 nm (Rich et al., 1963), the length of the transcript and the number of ribosomes per polysome from several micrographs is longer than the ribosome coverage can explain. A possibility is therefore that some images of polysomes lack naked RNA, giving us an incomplete picture of the native polysome in its complexity. The presence of long naked RNA in the polysome may be instrumental to release possible torsion forces and/or

ribosomal crowding, which would flood the translation machinery. In agreement with this observation, a study described irregular distances between ribosomes (Friedberg et al., 1975) and the presence of sparsely stacked ribosome clusters on mRNA after nuclease digestion, suggesting implicitly the presence of free RNA (Wolin and Walter, 1988). Interestingly, Warner et al. (1962) showed EM micrographs of polysomes absorbed on

Figure 6. **Large polysomes are formed by ribosome clusters connected by naked RNA.** (a) AFM 3D projections of large HMW polysomes images. To appreciate both ribosome and RNA height levels, the image is shown using different Z-ranges for the color scale [0–0.5 nm gray [background], 0.5–2 nm magenta [RNA], and 2–10 nm yellow [ribosomes]]. Thin strands, with height values <2 nm (see yellow arrows), connect clusters of ribosomes ([HMW]°, 25% of the HMW fraction). (b) 3D projection of HMW polysome formed by two clusters of ribosomes linked by free RNA that appears as a magenta ribbon. Cross-section profiles (solid white line) of RNA connecting adjacent ribosome clusters are shown with corresponding height profile compatible with free RNA. In the right panel, the distribution of naked RNA lengths for 259 [HMW]° polysomes is shown. (c) Ribosome clusters were considered as single objects, isolated, and classified to unveil the underlying rotational symmetry. (Left) The distribution of the clusters forming [HMW]° polysomes after rotational spectra computation and KerDenSOM classification is shown. Three classes appeared to be the most represented and the MMD was performed. (Middle) The top diversities resulting from the MMD test are displayed as a heat map. (Right) To highlight any significant similarity in the ribosome organization between these classes ([HMW]° subclusters) with those found in HMW polysomes (HMW single cluster polysomes) described in Figs. 3 and 4, we compared the corresponding power spectra and ran the MMD over these two populations. Because in this case we are looking for similarities rather than dissimilarities, we plotted the discrepancy values as negative, so the higher the value the higher the similarity. (d) Proposed models of [HMW]° polysomes (n = 2) formed by assemblies of rounded, rectangular, and triangular clusters of ribosomes [cliques] bridged by free RNA.
mica where naked RNA of 100–200 nm connects noncontinuous ribosome clusters, similarly to what we observed and is reported in Fig. 6 (a and b). There, the authors hypothesized that long RNA strands emerge as a result of ribosomal dropping out, which is quite unlikely in our case given the use of cycloheximide and the GraFix stabilization (Fig. S3).

The extent of naked RNA in polysomes suggests a model for sparse and isolated assemblies of ribosomes forming composite polysomes (we call these HMW complexes, formed by n subassemblies, [HMW]^n complexes). It has to be stressed here that polysomes composed of subassemblies (or subclusters) have not been considered in the previous rotational classification. Therefore, we wondered whether these assemblies showed the very same fold of symmetries found for the single-cluster polysomes (HMW) analyzed before. We used the same computational analysis based on rotational classification and MMD test. First, we separated each subassembly and considered it as a unique object. Then we applied our classification approach to identify classes of objects with a similar rotational symmetry. We obtained again three main classes (Fig. 6 c) displaying the same rotational properties we already identified: rounded, rectangular, and triangular. These clusters gave rise to [HMW]^n polysomes in whatever combination with n = 2 or 3. Second, by using MMD, we compared the similarity between the subassemblies forming the [HMW]^n polysomes and the single-cluster HMW polysomes in terms of shape similarity. The comparison confirmed that there is a substantial similarity between the rounded, rectangular, and triangular shape classes found in single-cluster HMW polysomes and the corresponding classes found in the subassemblies of [HMW]^n complexes (Fig. 6 c, right).

Collectively, these results clearly support the idea that the three classes of ribosome assemblies we identified are the most likely building blocks of larger, composite polysomes (Fig. 6, c and d). We call these suprastructural assemblies of ribosomes forming polysomes “cliques” because of the trend of their composing ribosomes to establish ordered connections that most likely arise from specific ribosome–ribosome interactions. This result has an obvious consequence with respect to previously published cryo-EM structures: clusters linked by naked RNA regions may belong to the same polysome but could not be identified as separate polysomes if the RNA strand cannot be detected.

The relative frequency distribution of the three polysome classes depends on the translational state of the cell

The observation that polysomes consist of single or combined clusters defined by specific shapes has led us to propose the existence of three repeating structural units of translation or ribosome cliques. This in turn raises the question of whether ribosome cliques also act as functional units within polysomes, similarly to what nucleosomes represent for DNA topology and availability in the context of transcription. To explore this hypothesis and address our third question, i.e., whether non-stochastic clusters reflect the translational state of the cell, we considered the mTOR pathway because it is known to potently regulate translation initiation and integrate translational activity with cell environmental cues (Kang et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013). We inhibited translation with rapamycin, which affects mTORC1 complex activity (Dowling et al., 2010; Kang et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013) on its downstream substrates S6K and 4E-BP1/eIF4E (Fig. 7 a, top left). To interrogate the possible role of ribosome clusters in the translational state of the cell, we first identified a convenient rapamycin time–dose condition that would induce a block of translation without an impairment in the number of ribosomes engaged in polysomes.

Therefore, we estimated the level of translation inhibition by means of homoazidoalanine (AHA) incorporation and compared it with the corresponding overall polysome content during various time and dose exposures to rapamycin (Fig. 7 a, bottom left). After 6 h of 50 and 100 nM rapamycin treatment, an exponential decrease of the AHA incorporation was observed. At this time point, translation initiation reached the steady-state, whereas the amount of ribosomes engaged in translation, as observed by AFM, was only slightly decreased (~20%; Fig. 7 a, bottom left). The different time constants (τ_{protein synthesis} = 2.1 h and τ_{polysome} = 6.7 h, for the higher rapamycin dose) suggested that an uncoupling between the changes in the engagement of ribosomes, i.e., in the organization of the protein synthesis machinery, and the protein synthesis functionality was occurring. In other words, at this time point translation was significantly reduced but the translational machinery was still engaged with the template mRNAs. This condition should allow us to observe any fine change occurring in ribosome organization upon translation inhibition. We therefore again used AFM to study the organization of the polysomes purified from rapamycin-treated cells.

We counted the number of ribosomes per polysome and the distribution of polysome classes in control and treated cells. The immediate impression gained was that rapamycin-treated HMW polysomes appeared larger, with more loosely packed conformations (Fig. 7 a, middle). The number of ribosomes engaged in HMW polysomes in standard cell growth conditions displayed a bimodal distribution (Fig. 7 a, right), with peaks at ~7 and 15 ribosomes per polysome. The results suggest a previously undescribed feature of polysomes: the presence of two distinct peaks in the distribution of ribosomes in polysomes. This finding may also represent an indirect clue in favor of a modular organization of polysomes, with a preferential number of ribosomes needed to form stable assemblies. Remarkably, after adding rapamycin, the bimodality is lost in favor of a monomodal distribution. In this distribution, the mean number of ribosomes per polysome is around 10, owing possibly to diffused stalling of ribosomes on the transcript or to other mechanisms yet to be understood, as proposed by Dahlberg et al. (1973).

We wondered whether the relative distribution of ribosome cliques was affected by rapamycin and whether this might uncover an association with the translational state of the cell. We therefore used the KerDenSOM classification on images of control and rapamycin-treated polysomes (Fig. 7 b) and found that the stronger the translation inhibition induced by rapamycin, the higher the frequency of the rectangular class (Fig. 7 c, left). This result suggests that this shape may reflect a state of inefficient or even inhibited translation. In contrast, the rounded...
Figure 7. Frequency changes of the three cliques after translation inhibition by rapamycin. (a, top left) Phosphorylation responses by immunoblotting after 1-, 6-, and 24-h treatment with 100 nM rapamycin of known mTORC1 downstream targets (4E-BP, RPS6, and p70S6K) in MCF7 cells. (bottom left) The decrease of protein synthesis was measured by means of AHA incorporation using Click-iT AHA Alexa Fluor 488. The methionine analogue AHA in methionine-free DMEM was added to cells for 30 min. Cells were fixed and incubated with fluorescent alkyne to label the AHA incorporated into nascent proteins. The relative AHA incorporation was assessed by using Operetta HCS. Experiments were run in biological triplicate (mean ± SD is displayed), and 700–1,000 cells were considered for each sample. The kinetics of protein synthesis inhibition (open squares) and the corresponding decrease in polysome content (closed circles) are shown. (middle) Galleries of unclassified HMW polysomes before and after treatment of MCF7 with 100 nM rapamycin. (right) HMW polysomes purified from control cells and cells treated with 100 nM rapamycin for 6 h were adsorbed on the mica and the number of ribosomes in each polysome was counted. The corresponding distributions of the numbers of ribosomes per transcript are shown. (b) Comparison between galleries of classes of HMW polysomes before and after 100 nM rapamycin treatment for 6 h. After grouping the 2D rotational spectra images, the differences in rotational symmetry for distinguishing classes are shown (mean ± SD is displayed). The classification has been performed on 502 and 197 objects purified from MCF7 polysomes before and after rapamycin treatment. (a and b) Bars, 100 nm. (c) Frequencies of rectangular (blue), rounded (green), and triangular cliques (magenta) observed at different rapamycin concentrations are compared with the corresponding protein inhibition (open squares). Protein inhibition is relative to the control (no rapamycin) and was determined by AHA incorporation.
Translation is increasingly recognized as a primary determinant of gene expression control in mammalian cells (Vogel et al., 2010; Schwanhäusser et al., 2011; Tebaldi et al., 2012). Current evidence identifies translation factors (Sonenberg and Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNAs (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Hinnebusch, 2009), RNA-binding proteins (Kong and Lasko, 2012), noncoding RNas (Fabian et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2012; Memczak et al., 2013), ribosomes themselves (Kondrashov et al., 2011), and the process of mRNA circularization (Craig et al., 1998) as molecular players in such control. Although eukaryotic translation is a hub where several controls of gene expression converge to shape cell phenotypes, no clear data have been available about the topological organization of coding and noncoding regions of mRNAs in polysomes. As reported in the Introduction, polysomes have to date been imaged by EM (Palade, 1955; Warner et al., 1962; Wetstein et al., 1963; Yazaki et al., 2000; Madin et al., 2004) and cryo-EM (Brandt et al., 2009, 2010; Pfeffer et al., 2012; Afonina et al., 2014; Myasnikov et al., 2014) as ribosome assemblies, but their detailed organization has not been statistically characterized nor related to their functional roles.

Prompted by these motivations, we searched for evidence of recurrent ribosome assemblies in polysomes and then looked for their role in translational modulation. We used three different nano-resolution imaging approaches (AFM, STED nanoscopy, and cryo-EM) and found that native human polysomes display heterogeneous but compact conformations. We extensively used AFM because it can be considered a complementary technique with respect to EM and cryo-EM. Compared with these microscopies, AFM has the advantage that no fixation or drying is required, and the sample can be imaged in a liquid environment at room temperature. Unfortunately, AFM cannot visualize ribosome details and needs a flat surface where the sample has to be absorbed. In fact, it is well known that the immobilization of biomolecules on a suitable surface is a requisite for their successful visualization by AFM. This fact has both pros and cons. Among the pros is that the use of flat surfaces allows the visualization of thin RNA filaments. For this precise reason in this work, we imaged polysomes on mica pre-treated with Ni\(^{2+}\) ions, which are recognized to effectively bind nucleic acids (Hansma and Laney, 1996). The cons include that when a complex biomolecule binds to a surface, the possibility of a distortion of its shape after the onset of interactions with the surface should be considered. Actually, when polyelectrolytes, like nucleic acids, are bound to surfaces, a severe distortion can arise if long-range adhesion forces are present (Sushko et al., 2006). If this is not the case, as in the conditions used in this work, the biomolecule still maintains a 3D conformation after its adhesion to the surface (Pastré et al., 2003). Some relaxation may indeed occur, but a preservation of its general shape is expected. This outcome was also confirmed by a comparison of the polysomal shapes obtained in this work (Fig. S1 b) with...
those obtained by cryo-EM tomography (Brandt et al., 2009, 2010; Afonina et al., 2014). As a consequence, the shapes of the HMW polysomes in our images appeared different from the particle assemblies described in previous AFM studies (Mikamo et al., 2005; Mikamo-Satoh et al., 2009) but very similar to those seen in cryo-ET (Brandt et al., 2009, 2010; Myasnikov et al., 2014). The complete length of the HMW polysomes observed was similar to that observed in EM, both in tissue slices and cells (Steward and Levy, 1982; Ostroff et al., 2002; Brandt et al., 2010) and in purified samples (Warner et al., 1962; Daneholt et al., 1977; Yazaki et al., 2000; Mikamo et al., 2005; Kopeina et al., 2008; Myasnikov et al., 2014). We found, based on cryo-EM images, that the ribosome orientations in polysomes most probably minimize the probability of contacts between nascent amino acidic chains on ribosomes, as previously suggested (Brandt et al., 2009). This organization confers a tightly packed structure to polysomes in agreement with that suggested in a ribosome protection assay on preprolactin mRNA (Wolin and Walter, 1988). We also demonstrated that the assemblies of ribosomes in polysomes can be divided into three preferential classes, corresponding to distinct shapes, which emerge as building blocks of polysomes. Interestingly, we found by cryo-ET novel orientations between ribosomes in addition to the previously described t-t arrangement (Brandt et al., 2009, 2010).

Moreover, ribosome-free mRNA filaments connect these assemblies in a combinatorial way.

In the context of translational control, it has been demonstrated that ribosomes, through ribosomal proteins (Kondrashov et al., 2011; Xue and Barna, 2012), play an additional role to that exerted by translation initiation and elongation (Tuller et al., 2010; Shah et al., 2013; Chu et al., 2014). This may suggest the hypothesis that “specialized ribosomes” with unique protein compositions, or bearing specific posttranscriptional and/or posttranslational modifications so that they have specialized activity, may be a general phenomenon (Topisirovic and Sonenberg, 2011; Xue and Barna, 2012). We therefore reasoned that the possible existence of such ribosomal heterogeneity should give rise to different kinds of ribosome–ribosome interactions, which in turn could influence the global polysome organization. The best-known pathway controlling the activation of key components of translation in response to extracellular stimuli is the AKT–mTORC1 axis (Ma and Blenis, 2009). Well-studied downstream targets of the mTORC1 complex include the eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-BP1 and the 4OS ribosomal protein S6, RPS6. Upon phosphorylation, 4E-BP1 dissociates from the cap-binding protein eukaryotic initiation factor 4E (eIF4E), allowing translation initiation to proceed (Sonenberg and Hinnebusch, 2009). mTORC1 also activates S6K1, which is responsible for the phosphorylation of the co-helicase initiator factor 4B (eIF4B) and again, interestingly, of RPS6 (Ma and Blenis, 2009; Sonenberg and Hinnebusch, 2009; Silvera et al., 2010). However, to date, the role played by the phosphorylation state of the ribosomal protein RPS6 has still to be clarified. Clues suggest that structural elements of protein synthesis may be directly involved in translational control of gene expression (Topisirovic and Sonenberg, 2011). 10 years ago the role of ribosomal subunits as additional regulatory elements that could be able to mediate specific interactions between mRNAs and other components of the translation machinery led to the so-called “filter hypothesis” (Mauro and Edelman, 2002). More recently, the dynamic regulation of individual ribosomal proteins in mouse embryos has been demonstrated (Kondrashov et al., 2011). Moreover, in line with the filter hypothesis, the murine ribosomal protein RPL38 has been found to specifically impact translation with the appearance in knockout mice of characteristic phenotypes (Kondrashov et al., 2011). Even if evidence of the direct involvement of ribosome constituents in translational control is emerging, to date, the role in the process of polysomal organization, if any, is unknown. We therefore used two common stimuli able to strongly modulate translation, rapamycin and serum starvation, to understand whether they were able to modify the frequency of the three types of polysomal assemblies we observed. We found that the higher the protein synthesis inhibition, the higher the frequency of the rectangular clique, suggesting that this class reflects a silent translational state triggered by rapamycin in a dose-dependent fashion. The existence of this low-translation class agrees with the fact that ribosomes are known to stall even during physiological translation, owing to secondary mRNA structures, rare codons (Chu et al., 2014), or substrate paucity (Buchan and Stansfield, 2007), or during protein synthesis regulation, posttranslational or transcriptional modifications of ribosomal proteins, or metabolite deprivation (Ortiz et al., 2010). In contrast, the increase in the triangular cliques suggests this class is more prone to characterize translationally efficient polysomes.

The results we present on the morphology and modulation of polysomes do not reveal the molecular mechanisms responsible for these changes, and their elucidation remains a future challenge. As far as we can see, there are no ways to selectively purify polysomes or polysomal subassemblies with specific ribosomal organization, i.e., to isolate specific clique classes. Nevertheless, given our results, we speculate that such molecular mechanisms exist and that they could be induced by posttranscriptional and posttranslational modifications in response to cell signaling events. These modifications may either directly impact the ribosome structure or affect other targets that indirectly promote the remodeling of ribosome–ribosome interactions. Alternatively, the polysomal organization could be driven by mRNA code characteristics (Tuller et al., 2010; Novoa and Ribas de Pouplana, 2012) that impact translational elongation and initiation rates (Shah et al., 2013), by ribosome pausing (Wolin and Walter, 1988), or by the recently proposed “start codon clearance” control (Chu et al., 2014). More studies are therefore required to completely uncover the involvement of specific posttranslational or posttranscriptional modifications as molecular determinants of clique interchange. A particularly intriguing hypothesis is that the RPS6 phosphorylation by mTORC1 could be directly or indirectly involved in the underlying mechanism.

In conclusion, the novelty of our results stands in discovering that mammalian polysomes adopt recurrent nonstochastic shapes, where ribosomes do not homogeneously cover mRNAs, rather leaving naked RNA regions. These nonstochastic shapes reflect the cell translational state of the cell. We therefore propose...
a novel model of polysomes as spaced assemblies of three distinct ribosome cliques, which can be reversibly modulated in structure as a function of well-known stimuli that affect protein synthesis. Notably, our model opens up the idea that conformational switches in polysomes can be a target of regulation mechanisms. This perspective could trigger a new area of investigation, into whether ribosome cliques can affect proteome fluctuations in ways that could be as important for shaping the cell phenotype as the intensively studied changes in chromatin topology.

Materials and methods

Chemicals
All solution used were prepared in RNase-free water containing 10 µg/ml cycloheximide to prevent ribosome subunit disassembly. All reagents, unless otherwise cited, were molecular biological grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Cell culture and polysome purification
MCF-7 breast carcinoma cells were seeded at a density of 2.5 × 10^4 cells/cm^2 and maintained for 3 d in the growth medium (DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin at 37°C, 5% CO\textsubscript{2}). Once the 80% confluence was reached, cells were incubated for 3–4 min with 10 µg/ml cycloheximide at 37°C to interfere with the translocation step during protein synthesis and to block translational elongation trapping the ribosomes on the mRNA. Cells were washed with PBS + 10 µg/ml cycloheximide and scraped directly on the plate with 300 µl lysis buffer (10 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.2 U/ml RNase inhibitor [Fermentas], 10 µg/ml cycloheximide, and 1 mM dithiothreitol) and transferred to an Eppendorf tube. After a few minutes of incubation on ice with occasional vortexing, nuclei and cellular debris were removed by centrifugation for 5 min at 12,000 g at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the corresponding to the polysomes were collected, monitoring the absorbance at 254 nm. 4°C. The fraction corresponding to the 80S peak and those corresponding to the polysomes were collected, monitoring the absorbance at 254 nm. The fraction corresponding to the 80S peak and those corresponding to the polysomes were collected, monitoring the absorbance at 254 nm.

For AFM imaging, a few microliters of MCF-7 breast carcinoma 80S or polyribosomes were subsequently identified using Boxer (EMAN suite; Ludtke et al., 1999) and excised for analysis in Spider (Frank et al., 1996). A 3D reconstruction was determined by projection matching using as a model a rabbit reticulocyte ribosome reconstruction filtered to 80 Å resolution. This map was then used to interpret electron tomograms of the same sample and for visualization of the relative orientations of ribosomes within polysomal assemblies. Sections through the map are shown in Fig. S4 a.

Electron tomograms of polysomes (dosed with 10 nm gold markers to act as fiducials in alignment) were collected using a Polara cryo-microscope (FEI) operating at 300 keV and fitted with a charge-coupled device camera for data capture (UltraTec 4000SP; Gatan). The microscope was fitted with an energy filter (GIF 2002; Gatan) operating in zero-energy-loss mode with a slit width of 20 eV, and images were taken at 95,000 magnification with a pixel size of 4 Å. Single-axial tilt series were collected over an angular range of approximately 65° to 65° at 2° increments using the program SerialEM under low-dose conditions (Mastronarde, 2003). The tomograms were reconstructed using IMOD software (Kremer et al., 1996) by weighted back projection (Sandberg et al., 2003). Tomogram maps were visualized using UCSF Chimera software (Pettersen et al., 2004; Godfrooy et al., 2010), with density inversion and applying a low-pass filter of 40 Å, and a low-resolution 3D 80S reconstruction was automatically fitted into regions of continuous electron density within the Chimera software.

2D rotational spectra classification (RSC)
To classify the AFM images with 2D RSC by the Xmipp software (Scheres et al., 2008), single objects (ribosomes or polysomes) were manually picked and aligned rotationally and translationally from 2-µm × 2-µm images acquired at 1,024 pixels × 1,024 pixels corresponding to an x–y pixel dimension of 1.9 nm resolution. The obtained images were analyzed by Kernel Probability Density Estimator SOM (KerDenSOM) clustering applied to individual rotational spectra to identify structurally homogeneous image sets (Pascaul-Montano et al., 2001). This method allows identification of different classes according to their rotational symmetry. The most diverse groups of rotational spectra tend to be pushed toward the corners of the KerDenSOM output map. The images belonging to each corner for each sample considered labeled 8M, 16M, and 32M polysomes were used to produce a gallery of polysomes with defined rotational characteristics.

Protein synthesis assay
10,000 cells were grown for 24 h in 96-well plates and then (a) treated with Rapamycin (10, 50, or 100 nm), (b) treated with Rapamycin (10, 50, or 100 nm), (c) treated with Rapamycin (10, 50, or 100 nm), (d) treated with Rapamycin (10, 50, or 100 nm), (e) treated with Rapamycin (10, 50, or 100 nm), (f) treated with Rapamycin (10, 50, or 100 nm), (g) treated with Rapamycin (10, 50, or 100 nm), (h) treated with Rapamycin (10, 50, or 100 nm), (i) treated with Rapamycin (10, 50, or 100 nm), (j) treated with Rapamycin (10, 50, or 100 nm). Images were acquired with a time gating of 1.5 ns.

We performed the analysis of polysome clusters in human cells over 26 images of 16 different cells. Image analysis was performed using the Fiji software. We applied the Berson auto local threshold plugin to the images to isolate the fluorescent particles. The parameter was chosen to set as positive the pixels that have a gray level higher than the half of the local maximum. The plugin generates a binary image that we analyzed with the “analyze particles” function. For each closed region of positive pixels, the plugin allows the measurement of the shape descriptors and the ellipse fit of the particles. Finally, we plotted the distribution of the major (we called length) and minor (we called width) axis of the ellipse, the area, the aspect ratio, and the solidity of the analyzed particles.
Statistics

The diversity between the groups identified by the KerDenSOM output maps was further evaluated by MVD, a powerful nonparametric two-sample test determining whether two samples are drawn from different distributions [Getzten et al., 2012]. The significance level $\alpha$ was set to 0.01, with Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. For each sample, the 10 pairwise comparisons with the highest statistic (all statistically significant) were graphically arranged as heat maps.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows controls of AFM imaging: ribosome assemblies disappear after EDTA and puromycin treatments and display the expected height when measured in liquid. Fig. S2 shows the classification of LMW, MMW, and HMW polysomes in air and HMW polysomes in liquid by KerDenSOM maps. Fig. S3 shows the AFM characterization and RSC of the HMW polysome fraction after GraFix. Fig. S4 shows the cryo-EM and cryo-ET ribosome and a gallery of polysomes. Fig. S5 shows RSC of polysomes after serum starvation and 10% FBS readdition (relative to Fig. 8). Table S1 lists the distribution of size values for BM in liquid and air and LMW, MMW, and HMW polysomes obtained using grain analysis of AFM images (relative to Fig. 1). Video 1 shows a gallery of a 3D collection of composite polysomes with naked RNA as 3D objects where polysomes show subclusters of ribosomes. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201406040/DC1.

We thank W. Filipowicz and R. Mendez for fruitful discussions. We also wish to thank Valentino Adami and the High-Throughput Screening Core Facility of the Centre for Integrative Biology (CIBIO), University of Trento, for the experiments of AHA incorporation and Matteo Goglio and Natalia Arseni for technical assistance.

R.J. Gilbert was a Royal Society University Research Fellow, and the Oxford Division of Structural Biology is part of the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genomics, Wellcome Trust Core Award grant number 090532/Z/09/Z. We acknowledge the University of Trento CIBIO startup grant for funding. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Submitted: 13 June 2014
Accepted: 23 January 2015

References

Afonina, Zha., A.G. Myasnikov, N.F. Khabibullina, A.Y. Belorusova, J.-F. Mentrhet, V.D. Vasiliev, B.P. Khlazor, V.A. Shirikov, and A.S. Spirin. 2013. Topology of mRNA chain in isolated eukaryotic double-row polystomes. Biochemistry Mosc. 78:445–454. http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S0006297913050027

Afonina, Z.A., A.G. Myasnikov, V.A. Shirikov, B.P. Khlazor, and A.S. Spirin. 2014. Formation of circular polystomes on eukaryotic mRNA without cap structure and poly(A)-tail: a cryo electron tomography study. Nucleic Acids Res. 42:9461–9469. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku599

Anger, A.M., J.P. Armache, O. Berninghausen, M. Habeck, M. Suklebe, D.N. Wilson, and R. Beckmann. 2013. Structure of the human and Drosophila 80S ribosome. Nature. 497:80–85. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12104

Brandt, F., S.A. Eichells, J.O. Ortiz, A.H. Elcock, F.U. Hartl, and W. Baumeister. 2009. The native 3D organization of bacterial polysomes. EMBO J. 28:1162–1171. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/emboj.200800755

Brandt, F., L.-A. Carlson, F.U. Hartl, W. Baumeister, and K. Grünewald. 2010. The crystal structure of the 80S yeast ribosome. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 20:759–767. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2010.05.005

Kong, J., and P. Lasko. 2012. Translational control in cellular and developmental processes. Nat. Methods. 9:535–535. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1139

Kremer, J.R., D.N. Mastronarde, and J.R. McIntosh. 1996. Computer visualization of three-dimensional image data using IMOD. J. Struct. Biol. 116:71–76. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jsbi.1996.0013

Ludtke, S.J., P.R. Baldwin, and W. Chiu. 1999. EMAN: semiautomated software for high-resolution single-particle reconstructions. J. Struct. Biol. 128:82–97. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jsbi.1999.4174
