Severe rhabdomyolysis following an uncomplicated endoscopic procedure: a case report
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Abstract

Rhabdomyolysis in adults after anesthetic administration is uncommon in those without underlying risk factors. We present a 34-year-old female with history of severe gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), hypothyroidism, and migraines who developed severe rhabdomyolysis following an uncomplicated general anesthetic for esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) and placement of Bravo esophageal pH monitor. The patient did not have any known risk factors for malignant hyperthermia, and halogenated agents were not used during the procedure. We speculate that the rhabdomyolysis was caused by the use of succinylcholine. Providers should be aware that even patients without known risk factors may be at risk for developing rhabdomyolysis, and it is important to remain vigilant about this potentially life-threatening complication.
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1. Introduction

Rhabdomyolysis is a medical condition defined by the rapid breakdown of damaged skeletal muscle with subsequent release of electrolytes and proteins, notably creatine kinase (CK), lactate dehydrogenase, and potassium, into the bloodstream. It has a variety of clinical presentations, ranging from asymptptomatically increased CK to life-threatening acute renal failure, compartment syndrome, electrolyte imbalances, and cardiac arrest. Rhabdomyolysis has specifically been linked to the anesthetic administrations of succinylcholine, halogenated agents, and propofol, especially in certain susceptible populations. These include patients with underlying neuromuscular or mitochondrial disease, or those susceptible to malignant hyperthermia (MH).

We present a case of rhabdomyolysis following an uncomplicated general anesthesia for esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) and placement of wireless Bravo esophageal pH monitoring device. Patient information was protected according to the institutional Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPPA) requirements.

2. Case report

A 34-year-old female with weight of 92 kg and body mass index of 28 kg/m² with severe gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), hypothyroidism, and migraines presented for elective EGD and Bravo placement. She had no drug allergies and her outpatient medications included esomeprazole, levothyroxine, and sumatriptan. She had an
appendectomy and a caesarean section in the past without any problems related to anesthesia. Given the severity of her reflux symptoms, she underwent general anesthesia with a classic rapid sequence intubation. She was induced with fentanyl 100 mcg, lidocaine 50 mg, propofol 150 mg, and succinylcholine 80 mg and the airway was secured utilizing classic rapid sequence intubation. Anesthesia was maintained with the propofol infusion at 250 mcg·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$. Her vital signs remained stable throughout the procedure, which lasted approximately 30 minutes. The patient was extubated awake and her vital signs remained stable throughout her stay in post anesthesia care unit. About two hours postoperatively, the patient reported diffuse muscle aches all over her body and reported passing blood tinged urine. She further revealed that she had been experiencing intermittent, self-limiting muscle aches migrating throughout her body for the past few years. Although the clinical picture was quite confusing, we felt prudent to do a detailed laboratory workup to rule out rhabdomyolysis. Meanwhile, a fluid bolus was started, and the patient was encouraged to hydrate herself by mouth.

The results of notable laboratory studies including serum enzyme studies, urinalysis, the basic metabolic screen are given in Table 1. Additional workup was completed to assess for rheumatologic causes of rhabdomyolysis, which included rheumatoid factor, anti-nuclear antibody, anti-Jo-1 antibody, antiribonuclear protein antibody, anti-Sjögren’s-syndrome-related antibodies, and anti-mitochondrial M2 antibody (AMA). Of these, only the AMA was positive.

The patient was admitted for the management of rhabdomyolysis and treated with aggressive fluid hydration. On postoperative day three, her CK had decreased from >20,000 to 11,606 U/L, her AST and ALT decreased from maximum values of 602 U/L and 176 U/L on postoperative day one to 176 U/L and 133 U/L, respectively, and her muscle aches had

| Laboratory test                  | Change | Patient’s result | Reference value       |
|----------------------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Phosphorus                       | ↓      | 2.1 mg/dL        | 2.5-5.0 mg/dL         |
| TSH                              | ↑      | 6.316 mU/L       | 0.540-4.120 mU/L      |
| Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)   | ↑      | 80 U/L           | 7-52 U/L              |
| Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) | ↑↑     | 346 U/L          | 13-39 U/L             |
| Lactate dehydrogenase            | ↑      | 1515 U/L         | 140-271 U/L           |
| CK                               | ↑↑↑    | >20,000 U/L      | 30-223 U/L            |
| Sodium                           | N      | 140 mmol/L       | 135–145 mmol/L        |
| Potassium                        | N      | 3.6 mmol/L       | 3.5–5.5 mmol/L        |
| Chloride                         | ↑      | 110 mmol/L       | 98-106 mmol/L         |
| Bicarbonate                      | N      | 23 mmol/L        | 22-29 mmol/L          |
| Creatinine                       | ↑      | 1.0 mg/dL        | 0.5-1.1 mg/dL         |
| Glucose                          | N      | 82 mg/dL         | <140 mg/dL            |
| Blood urea nitrogen              | N      | 23 mg/dL         | 8-20 mg/dL            |
| Urine protein                    | ↑↑↑    | 100 mg/dL        | 0 to 14 mg/dL         |
| Urine free Hb                    | ↑↑     | +++              | Zero                  |
completely resolved. Her vital signs and other laboratory values, including serum potassium and creatinine, remained stable throughout her hospital course. She was discharged on postoperative day three with instructions to follow-up with her primary care physician in one week; however, patient declined additional follow-up.

3. Discussion

The gold standard for the laboratory diagnosis of rhabdomyolysis is plasma CK level over five times the upper limit of normal (generally around 1000 U/L). Values above 5000 U/L are suggestive of significant muscle breakdown and increased risk for acute kidney damage. Our patient’s presentation of severe myalgia, dark urine, and CK >20,000 U/L was highly suggestive for rhabdomyolysis. In addition, rhabdomyolysis also explains the increase in our patient’s aspartate and alanine aminotransferase (AST and ALT) level, as these enzymes are also the byproduct of muscle breakdown. The higher increase in AST compared with ALT is further suggestive of ongoing damage, since AST is present in much higher concentrations in muscle.

There are a number of potential causes of rhabdomyolysis, including traumatic injuries, dysregulation of skeletal muscle calcium homeostasis such as in MH, infections, rheumatologic diseases such as autoimmune myopathies, non-autoimmune myopathies such as Becker’s muscular dystrophy, electrolyte imbalances such as hypokalemia and hypophosphatemia, and medication side effects such as statin-induced myopathy. In our patient, we did not identify any evidence of acute trauma, hypoxia, infection, or prolonged immobility, especially given the short duration of the case.

Her rheumatologic work-up was negative, except for the AMA test. The AMA is a marker that is highly specific for primary biliary cholangitis (PBC), formerly known as primary biliary cirrhosis. PBC is a chronic autoimmune disease in which antibodies target antigens that are immunologically unique to the biliary epithelial cells of the liver. A positive AMA test with relevant clinical features, such as fatigue, pruritus, or jaundice, is highly specific for the diagnosis of PBC. Other key criteria for diagnosis include persistently elevated serum alkaline phosphatase and consistent liver histology. Our patient did not have any of the related clinical features or lab findings, and therefore most likely did not have PBC. While AMA does target mitochondrial antigens, a positive AMA finding or a diagnosis of PBC has not been linked to mitochondrial myopathies or myositis that may predispose patients to rhabdomyolysis. Similarly, there is no evidence to suggest that patients with AMA positivity or PBC are at increased risk for developing succinylcholine-induced rhabdomyolysis or propofol infusion syndrome (PRIS). This is most likely because AMA is specific to antigens of the biliary epithelial cells and is not related to the disruption of more widespread cells such as muscle. Thus, her positive AMA test result is likely an incidental finding that may place her at increased risk for future development of PBC, but probably did not play a role in the pathophysiology of her rhabdomyolysis. Therefore, the most likely culprits of our patient’s rhabdomyolysis were the anesthetic medications that she received during her procedure, specifically succinylcholine and propofol.

The reported incidence of succinylcholine-induced myalgia vary widely, from 1.5% up to 89%, and are usually self-limiting within 2-7 days postoperatively. Succinylcholine-induced rhabdomyolysis without an underlying cause in adults, on the other hand, is extremely rare. More often, patients who develop succinylcholine-induced rhabdomyolysis have concomitant MH and/or underlying neuromuscular disease. We considered the possibility of MH in our patient despite her stable perioperative and postoperative vital signs, normocarbia, and no evidence of acidosis. In our patient, the possible triggers of MH during the perioperative course were administration of succinylcholine and the anesthesia circuit. It is unclear what would have happened if she had undergone a longer anesthetic or received prolonged exposure to volatile agents. It is possible that MH can present as isolated rhabdomyolysis or myoglobinuria in otherwise asymptomatic patients. It additionally is not uncommon for MH to present in patients with negative family history and uncomplicated prior anesthetics. However, definitive diagnosis cannot be made without a muscle biopsy demonstrating positive response to a caffeine halothane contracture test, or identification of a recognized MH diagnostic mutation in either the RYR1, CACNA1S, or STAC3 genes. Underlying
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neuromuscular diseases, such as muscular dystrophies, can render patients susceptible to MH and associated rhabdomyolysis. The relevancy of this patient’s intermittent, migratory muscle aches is unclear, but may increase suspicion for an underlying myopathy. These conditions, which typically present in childhood or early adulthood, remain possibilities that cannot be ruled out without comprehensive neurologic examination and genetic testing, which our patient has not had to our knowledge.

Rhabdomyolysis may occur after propofol administration as a component of PRIS. PRIS however has been predominantly reported in patients receiving long-term (>48 h), high dose (>5 mg/kg/h) infusions. Increased susceptibility to PRIS exists in populations with underlying mitochondrial disorders, although these almost always present during childhood. While our patient did receive maintenance with a relatively high dose of propofol infusion (250 mcg/kg/min, or 15 mg/kg/h), the case duration was extremely short at less than 30 min. Moreover, the total propofol she received, 485 mg, or 5.3 mg/kg, is substantially lower than the median cumulative dose of 380.4 mg/kg across previously reported cases of PRIS. The typical presentation of PRIS also consists of metabolic acidosis and electrocardiogram changes, which were absent in our patient. Therefore, propofol-induced rhabdomyolysis, although possible, is less likely.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we describe a patient who developed postoperative rhabdomyolysis after receiving succinylcholine and propofol for an uncomplicated endoscopic procedure. It is difficult to definitively identify the cause of the rhabdomyolysis, but we suspect that succinylcholine was the inciting cause. Given that other possibilities (e.g., MH, underlying mitochondrial disorder or muscular dystrophy) may still exist, it is highly recommended that such patients undergo further workup to rule in susceptibility to MH or presence of undiagnosed mitochondrial dysfunction. It is important for providers to remain vigilant about this potentially life-threatening complication.
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