Follow-up in soft tissue sarcomas
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Abstract The strategy for the follow-up of soft tissue sarcomas (STS) after therapy is tailored to the individual risk of recurrence and based on efficient rather than sophisticated methods of observation. Along with advances in the treatment of sarcomas, earlier detection of a less advanced and resectable recurrent disease (local or metastasis—especially to the lungs) can prolong patient survival. Since the majority of STS relapses occur within 5 years after treatment (approximately 80% of metastases to the lung and close to 70% of local recurrences within the first 2–3 years), in the period between 2 and 3 years after treatment, it is mandatory to follow-up patients every 3 months and perform careful history and physical examination (especially scars after surgery of the primary site) and a chest X-ray. There is no reason to perform other studies in asymptomatic patients (unless the patient reports symptoms). In case of retroperitoneal or intraperitoneal STS (including gastrointestinal stromal tumor), contrast-enhanced computed tomography of the abdomen and pelvis is recommended as the follow-up modality of choice. In this paper we outline the current recommendations for the follow-up strategy.
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Pattern of recurrence in soft tissue sarcomas (STS)

It is estimated that after treatment of primary STS the disease recurs in approximately 40–60% of patients [1–4], depending on histological grade and subtype of sarcoma, tumor size, and type of local treatment. The majority of recurrences (approximately 80%) occur within the first 3 years of observation. The site of recurrence is highly dependent on the location of the primary tumor. The most common localization of STS is the lower extremity and these tumors mainly spread to the lungs (being the site of first recurrence). Local recurrences are rare, especially when treatment follows the clinical practice guideline (multidisciplinary approach). There are also rare subtypes of limb and trunk STS with a propensity for metastatic spread to the lymph nodes (rhabdomyosarcoma, epithelioid sarcoma, clear cell sarcoma, or synovial sarcoma) and intrabdominally (myxoid liposarcoma). In retroperitoneal (the most common being liposarcoma) or visceral (e.g., gastrointestinal stromal tumors—GIST) STS, the most frequently observed recurrences are local or intraperitoneal relapse (especially GIST), followed by liver metastases.

Benefits of follow-up

Recurrence of the disease, particularly metastases to distant organs (e.g., lungs, liver) determines sarcoma patient survival. Approximately 50% of patients with high grade STS die of metastases. There is evidence that in recurrent sarcoma, radical multimodal recurrent tumor/metastasis therapy can provide long-term patient survival. As mentioned above, metastases to the lung are the most frequent single location of disease recurrence in patients with extremity STS (> 70%). It was confirmed in several series of patients that the radical excision of lung...
metastases yields significantly longer overall survival compared with patients with inoperable disease. Hence, early detection of disease relapse (at the stage of countable and resectable metastases) may give patients the chance of longer survival [5–7].

Regular H&P (history and physical examination) with a chest X-ray permit detection of more than half of cases with asymptomatic lung metastases [1, 4, 7]. It is also estimated that lung metastasectomies enable long-term survival in 30–40% of cases [6, 8, 9]. Moreover, publications by Roswell Park Cancer Institute [10, 11] indicate that long-term survival in sarcoma patients with metastases to the lungs was achieved only by surgical treatment of clinically asymptomatic, countable metastases to the lungs, which is why radiological assessment of the chest during follow-up is of great importance. Other studies indicate that the lower number of lung metastases leads to better treatment results, suggesting the importance of earlier detection of sarcoma recurrence during follow-up. According to the literature analyzing regular follow-up after therapy of primary STS, regular chest X-rays can detect more than 60% of lung metastases in the early stages in asymptomatic patients. This means that chest X-ray is sufficient for routine follow-up. Detection of the presence or suspected presence of a nodule on chest X-ray is an indication for computed tomography (CT). The CT helps to assess the number and location of nodules in the lungs, invasion of the mediastinum and pleura, as well as spread to hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes. For the routine follow-up, there is no need for CT of the chest, because it has a lower specificity than chest X-rays. The chest CT scan should only be considered in very high risk STSs, and in patients after metastasectomy. In 2002, the Expert Panel of the American College of Radiology recommended CT scans as the most optimal method of controlling this group of patients during the first 5 years of follow-up. After 5 years’ surveillance, it is acceptable to perform a chest X-ray once per year.

In terms of local recurrence, the follow-up should include a careful H&P—especially of the region of the scar after primary site resection. Ultrasound examination of the limbs or trunk might be helpful in selected cases, which are not easily accessible to physical examination [12–14]. Patients should be informed about the role of self-examination of the site after STS resection, because most instances of local recurrence can be detected by self-examination. In Whooley et al.’s [10, 11] series, all but 1 of 29 local relapses were detected before imaging. Some experts recommend ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for early detection of local relapse in patients with high-grade STS or after non-radical surgery. However, no consensus for the role of MRI in the follow-up of sarcoma patients [15, 16] has so far been established. During follow-up, it is important to distinguish local relapse from postoperative changes. In MRI scans, the recurrence pattern may be indicated by particularly significant signal intensity on T2-weighted and dynamic contrast-enhanced sequences. It is worth pointing out that the cost/benefit ratio does not justify the routine use of this type of imaging.

On the other hand, in retroperitoneal, intraperitoneal, or inguinal STS, effective follow-up is based on spiral contrast enhanced-CT or MRI. Clinical examination is difficult in these localizations [2, 17]. What is more, local recurrence of retroperitoneal or intraperitoneal STS is more frequent than in primary tumors localized in the limbs or subcutaneously. According to many experienced surgeons, less frequent follow-up visits are justified in patients with a second relapse of retroperitoneal sarcomas, because there is no evidence that in these cases early detection of a relapse improves survival. It is important to remember that it is mandatory to educate patients about self-examination. Self-examination enables local recurrence to be detected at scheduled visits.

### Effective follow-up schedules in patients with STS

There are no universal recommendations for the follow-up of sarcoma patients after multimodal treatment [2, 3,
Routine blood tests or other serum markers are not justified only in selected subtypes of STS, e.g., clear cell sarcoma, epithelioid sarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, and synovial sarcoma. It is very important to assess the abdominal/pelvic CT or MRI after radical treatment of metastatic GIST (stage IV) The assessment of metastatic (target) lesions on abdominal/pelvic CT or MRI An individual plan of follow-up visits—during the TKI therapy, follow-up is recommended every 2–3 months
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Although several schemes have been proposed [2, 3, 5, 17], only a few studies have been conducted on their utility [1, 4, 21–23]. Reference oncological centers recommend visits every 3–4 months for the first 2 (or 3) years of observation, and every 6 months for the following 2 years (Table 1). Once-yearly visits are satisfactory thereafter. The risk of recurrence depends on histological grade and subtype, size of the primary tumor (in cm), adequate surgery and time from initial sarcoma treatment [2, 4, 5, 17]. It has been proven that after radical treatment, low-grade STSs (less than 5 cm in size) have a very small risk of relapse. Therefore, with an easily accessible site after a primary tumor, there is no need to perform any additional imaging studies, except for chest X-ray, to be performed every 6–12 months for the first 3 years, and then once a year. Conversely, in high grade sarcomas, where the risk of metastases (to the lungs) and local recurrence is much higher, it is necessary to perform regular, careful physical examination, and chest imaging [4]. Routine evaluation of the regional lymph nodes is justified only in selected subtypes of STS, e.g., clear cell sarcoma, epithelioid sarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, and synovial sarcoma. It is very important to assess the abdominal/pelvic CT or MRI after radical treatment of metastatic GIST (stage IV) The assessment of metastatic (target) lesions on abdominal/pelvic CT or MRI An individual plan of follow-up visits—during the TKI therapy, follow-up is recommended every 2–3 months
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GIST patients should be informed of the possibility of relapse even after a long period of observation [20, 24]. After resection of low-risk GISTs, the probability of recurrence still exists, but hopefully its rate is significantly lower than in the intermediate/high risk groups. Once-a-year controls in these patients are therefore satisfactory [24, 25]. On the contrary, when there is high/intermediate risk of relapse (if the patient did not qualify for adjuvant treatment), close monitoring should be carried out by abdominal/pelvic CT. Assessment is required every 3–4 months for the first 2–3 years after resection, when the risk of relapse is highest, then every 6 months for the next 2–3 years; a follow-up once a year is satisfactory thereafter [19, 20, 24, 26]. A similar follow-up schedule is also recommended for patients receiving adjuvant treatment with imatinib after termination of adjuvant therapy. The risk of relapse is also increased in this group of patients (Table 2). To objectively evaluate tumor response in patients with GIST undergoing treatment with imatinib, contrast-enhanced CT of the abdomen and pelvis is the best option (the contrast should be administered orally and intravenously). The first CT scan should be performed a few days before the start of treatment, and then repeated every 2 months (6–8 weeks) during the first 6 months of therapy. After 6 months of therapy, response should be evaluated every 3–4 months. In some cases, clinical response after imatinib administration appears to be slower (median time to response is 4 months). Therefore, the results of CT after 6–8 weeks of therapy must be assessed cautiously, and it is important to reassess response in (at least) two consecutive CT scans. The most important factors in radiological response are changes in the size of the tumor according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST). The sum of the longest diameter should be calculated and monitored for all target lesions. Progression is recognized when the sum of the diameters

\[ \sum \]
increases above 20%. In GIST, response is also determined by assessing changes in tumor density (Choi criteria) [19, 20, 25]. Second-line treatment with other tyrosine kinase inhibitors requires similar follow-up (CT every 2–3 months).

In STS follow-up, it is important to educate patients about self-examination and the possibility of late relapse (even after 10 years from the initial diagnosis). It is crucial to monitor late side effects of multimodal treatment in patients, specifically due to the risk of secondary neoplasms induced by radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy.

Summary

The majority (over 95%) of STS relapses occur within 5 years after treatment. Moreover, 80% of metastases to the lung and close to 70% of local recurrences occur within the first 2–3 years of follow-up. Along with advances in the treatment of sarcomas, earlier detection of less advanced, resectable, recurrent diseases (local or metastatic—especially to the lungs) can prolong patient survival. Follow-up strategy is based on three elements: efficient, unsophisticated methods of observation after therapy which are cost effective and must be carried out precisely [27]. Hence, in the period between 2 and 3 years after treatment, it is mandatory to follow-up patients every 3 months and perform careful H&P (especially scars after surgery of the primary site) and chest X-ray. There is no reason to perform other studies in asymptomatic patients (unless the patient reports symptoms).

In case of retroperitoneal or intraperitoneal STS (including GISTs), contrast-enhanced computed tomography of the abdomen and pelvis is recommended as the follow-up modality of choice.
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