A Self-Referenced Optical Intensity Sensor Network Using POFBGs for Biomedical Applications
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Abstract: This work bridges the gap between the remote interrogation of multiple optical sensors and the advantages of using inherently biocompatible low-cost polymer optical fiber (POF)-based photonic sensing. A novel hybrid sensor network combining both silica fiber Bragg gratings (FBG) and polymer FBGs (POFBG) is analyzed. The topology is compatible with WDM networks so multiple remote sensors can be addressed providing high scalability. A central monitoring unit with virtual data processing is implemented, which could be remotely located up to units of km away. The feasibility of the proposed solution for potential medical environments and biomedical applications is shown.
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1. Introduction

Minimally invasive tools and sensors have become essential for medical diagnosis and surgery with the desire of not only serving at the same time to sense physiological parameters, but also being able to overcome biocompatibility concerns. Nowadays, there are different medical areas where fiber-optic sensors hold enormous potential such as in clinical biomechanics, particularly if in-vivo applications are pursued [1,2], as there is a need to develop sensors for minimally invasive surgery procedures. Other important features include small size, light weight, geometrical flexibility, chemical inertness, electric and thermal insulation, and immunity to electromagnetic interference. Measurement principles mainly include the use of optical interferometers in multiple configurations (Sagnac, Michelson, Mach-Zehnder or Fabry-Perot), intensity-based fiber-optic sensor (FOS) and fiber Bragg gratings (FBGs). The first approach usually leads to extremely high sensitivity sensing solutions, but at the cost of very complex signal processing schemes, whereas the latter can also require a costly post-processing solution. In contrast, potentially low-cost intensity-based optical sensors modulate the power loss in response to changes in the desired measurand. These type of sensors have been successfully developed for use in MRI environments [3,4]. However, the main drawback of the intensity-based approach is the need for a self-referencing scheme in order to avoid undesirable perturbations in the optical power loss (due, for example, to changes in the source power) that can distort the measurements. Intensity-based FOS have been described for monitoring the intravascular blood pressure [5] and intracranial pressure (ICP) [6,7]. In these solutions, the self-reference property was achieved by a dual-beam technique, using a secondary optical fiber path, and then computing the ratio of both signals. Interesting applications of intensity-based FOS based on a bent optical fiber have also been developed to measure respiratory chest circumference changes [8] and the limb circumference change during occlusion plethysmography [9], respectively. Both sensors utilize the leakage of light from a fiber under mechanical perturbation. However, no self-referencing technique was applied, leading to noisy measurements which limited the range and resolution.

On the other hand, although polymer optical fibers (POFs) are seen as a lower cost alternative solution to silica-based short-distance optical links, they are also very attractive for exploitation in in-vivo sensing applications because they are inherently more biocompatible compared to their silica counterparts [10]. Moreover, the use of silica fiber is sometimes inappropriate due to the risks from breakages. No matter the case, the most common problem with these fiber-optic sensing solutions is the need of a fiber link between the point of detection which is in the vicinity of the patient and the read out unit which gives the required information. Areas most distant, considered as “remote”, from acute hospitals do not exceed 50 km and distances more than 10 km from a general practice service are extremely rare situations [11]. When continuous monitoring of critical parameters in day-to-day activities is required wireless portable interrogators can be cumbersome for both medical practitioners and patients [12] and a smart central monitoring unit for remote interrogation seems to be good choice.

On the other hand, the application of silica FBGs in medicine has already been described in detail in different reviews [13,14], and their introduction in clinical practice is just beginning [15]. There are also initial studies on biomedical applications focused on fiber-optic intensity-based sensors [16,17], the latter work focused on tapered fiber-optic biosensors, being capable nowadays to monitor a huge amount of measurands and still under development. In [18] a POF-based intensity fiber-optic sensor is reported,
which is designed to monitor the human spine motions providing sensitivities around 1.3 dB/mm (optical power loss vs. fiber gap) and 0.24 dB/° (optical power loss vs. fiber tilt angle). An angular fiber-optic sensor with possible application in detecting the human extension’s articulation is investigated in [19] providing sensitivities of 3 mV/° per angle of curvature. The detection of toluene dispersed in water was reported in [20] by swelling the POF cladding with a high density polyethylene (HDPE) to enhance the output light intensity change. It turned out a sensitivity of 2.3 dB/wt% with respect to the toluene concentration was obtainable.

Nowadays, the possibility of inscribing FBGs in POF is developed by using a special type of POF, the microstructured POF (mPOF) [21]. Those POF Bragg gratings try to take advantage of polymer benefits such as larger elastic limit, higher maximum strain limit and larger temperature and humidity responses compared to silica, while maintaining the benefits of FBG-based sensors. Nevertheless, limited effort has been directed towards synergizing biocompatible POF-based photonic sensing with the WDM interrogation method that allows multiplexing FBGs, with just a few exceptions [22]. The main underlying reason behind this lack of development is the mismatch between the optimum operating wavelength regions of POFs and the optical devices exploited for telecommunications purposes. The latter are developed for a wavelength region (C- and L-bands) totally unsuitable for POF-based transmission over medium-distances (hundreds of meters or greater) due to the high attenuation of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA)-based POF of around 1 dB/cm at 1550 nm. Such high losses limit the practical length that POF can be used at this wavelength to typically less than tens of cm, requiring a connection stage at some stage to silica fiber to exploit the full capabilities of the WDM approach. Moreover, pigtailed sources, detectors, circulators and mux/demux devices are available off-the-shelf on silica fiber related technology. Consequently the fact that only a short length of POF can be used in the C- and L-bands requires a silica connecting lead to be used unless the sensor is mounted right next to the measurement unit, thus resulting in a very restricted sensing solution design criteria. This silica-POF combination has already been tested in [23] for a single sensor, where a short POFBG sensor section were glued to silica fibers on a POFBG accelerometer. Another approach is the use of POFBG devices near the optimum operating wavelength of the POF if longer fiber leads are going to be used, which can be more convenient for certain practical biomedical applications although preventing the use of relatively cheap optical devices designed and manufactured for silica fibers.

In this work, the feasibility of a hybrid silica-POF WDM network topology for addressing multiple self-referenced fiber-optic sensors is analyzed. The intention is to bridge the gap between the remote interrogation of multiple optical sensors and the advantages of using biocompatible POF-based sensors with low manufacturing cost, including those based on POFBGs. Another important attribute that will be discussed in this paper is the power budget analysis of the proposed topology as the POFBG needs to be integrated at some point with silica fiber related technology to make it useful.

2. Theory

The proposed topology, depicted in Figure 1a, follows the frequency-based self-referencing technique for remotely addressing fiber-optic intensity sensors, as it is one of the most popular strategies for self-referencing schemes in the last decade. The use of resonant structures as basis of a self-referencing intensity type sensor has been widely identified in literature in an approach that is known as
amplitude-phase conversion technique. In it, the optical power injected into the system is sine-wave-modulated. In the sensing head, a fraction of that power is not affected by the measurand, constituting a reference signal. The other fraction is intensity-modulated by the measured and constitutes the sensing signal. When both fractions are combined at the reception stage, it gives a resulting optical-power intensity sine wave. The phase of this signal, relative to the phase of the electrical signal that modulates the optical power emitted by the optical source, depends only on the optical loss induced in the sensor head by the measurand, including a constant factor determined by the length of the lead/return fiber. The evaluation of the phase allows information to be obtained about the measurand status; independently of the optical power fluctuations that can occur outside the sensor head thus performing a self-referenced measurement. The improvement of this configuration in comparison with other solutions [24–26] is the combination of silica and polymer FBGs for addressing multiple sensors at the remote points. In order to get a biocompatible system for medical applications, the usage of a single reference silica FBG and an improved remote reconfigurable virtual lock-in amplifier able to detect low signal variations provide extra features allowing the sensor interrogation.

A broadband light source (BLS) is externally modulated at a single frequency (f) by an acousto-optic modulator (AOM). The modulated broadband signal is launched into the remote sensing points via a broadband circulator and a Coarse Wavelength-Division Multiplexer (CWDM). Each remote sensing point consists of a sensing few-moded mPOF Bragg grating (mPOFBG) placed after the FOS. The proposed topology is compatible with any kind of POF-based intensity sensor as a FOS (see Figure 1) whereas the mPOFBGs employed provide the reflected back sensing channel to the central monitoring unit (which can be remotely located far away from the patient if necessary). The mPOFBGs are supposed to be located at the patient's vicinity, or even inside him/her if an invasive or in-vivo biomedical sensing application is considered. There is a silica-polymer glued connection in the FOS vicinity. Single silica FBG is located before the CWDM for reference purposes. The central wavelengths of the reference and sensing FBGs are $\lambda_{Si}$ and $\lambda_{mPOF}$, respectively. The broadband optical circulator receives the reflected multiplexing signals from the reference and the sensor channels, in which the sensor information is encoded. At the remote monitoring unit, the optical signal is demultiplexed by a CWDM device and distributed to an array of photodetectors (PD) by means of a data acquisition board (DAQ) which is used to convert the electrical signals from the photodetectors to digital signals together with a band-pass filter (BPF), used to eliminate noise from all signals at frequencies outside the system frequency. A phase-shift is applied to the reference and sensor digital signals. Finally, a virtual lock-in amplifier is used to interrogate all available sensor channels.

The block diagram for a single remote sensing point is shown in Figure 1b. The transfer function, using phasor representation, can be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{P_{Out}}{P_{In}} = \alpha \cdot e^{-j\Omega_0} \cdot \left[ 1 + \beta_k \cdot e^{-(j(\Omega_{ref} - \Omega_0))} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

with

$$\alpha = m_{Si} \cdot d_{\lambda_{Si}} \cdot R_{\lambda_{Si}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\beta_k = \frac{m_{mPOF} \cdot d_{\lambda_{mPOF}} \cdot R_{\lambda_{mPOF}} \cdot L_{CWDM} \cdot L_{mPOFBG-Si} \cdot H_k^2}{m_{Si} \cdot d_{\lambda_{Si}} \cdot R_{\lambda_{Si}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
where $\Omega_{\text{Si}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{mPOF}_k}$ are the phase shifts for the reference and each sensor signal. Parameters $m_{\text{Si}}, R_{\lambda_{\text{Si}}}$ and $d_{\lambda_{\text{Si}}}$ are the modulation index, the reflectivity of the silica FBG and the photodetector responsivity, respectively, at the reference wavelength, whereas $m_{\text{mPOF}_k}, R_{\lambda_{\text{mPOF}_k}}$ and $d_{\lambda_{\text{mPOF}_k}}$ are similar but for each sensor wavelength. $H_k$ is the sensor power loss modulation and appears two times due to the reflective operation of the sensing structure. $L_{\text{CWDM}}$ is the insertion loss for the CWDM. Finally, $L_{\text{mPOF}\text{FBG-Si}}$ are the mPOFBG insertion losses related to the reflectivity of the gratings, attenuation of the microstructured Polymer Optical Fiber (mPOF), multimode-singlemode silica fiber connection and silica-polymer glued connection.

**Figure 1.** (a) Point-to-point self-referenced topology for generic remote sensing points; (b) Filter model of the configuration for a single remote sensing point including DAQ, bandpass filters (BPF) and virtual phase-shifts.

The expression of the system in the Z-Transform domain can be identified with a digital Finite Impulse Response (FIR) filter as follows:
\[
\frac{P_{\text{Out}k}}{P_{\text{in}}} = \alpha' \left(1 + \beta_k \cdot z^{-1}\right)
\]  

(4)

with

\[
\alpha' = m_{\text{Si}} \cdot d_{\lambda_{\text{Si}}} \cdot R_{\text{ePOF}} \cdot e^{-j\Omega}\]  

(5)

\[
z^{-1} = e^{-j\Omega}\]  

(6)

**Figure 2.** (a) Normalized phase response of the transfer function of the self-referencing configuration versus angular frequency for different values of \(\beta_k\); (b) Theoretical curves of the output phase \(\phi_k\) versus \(\beta_k\) for different external power fluctuations at the reception stage.

The transfer function in the Z-Transform domain permits an easy study of the system frequency response in terms of generic design parameters [24]. In this approach, the phase shift difference
\[ \Omega = \Omega_{mPOF} - \Omega_{Si} \]

between the time domain reference and sensor signals represents, at the same time, the angular frequency of the digital filter. The normalized phase response versus the angular frequency \( \Omega \) of the digital filter model is derived from Equation (4) and shown in Figure 2a for different values of \( \beta_k \).

It is shown how the amplitude modulation is converted to phase variations. An antisymmetrical phase shape can be seen with regards to \( \Omega = \pi \). If \( \beta_k < 1 \), the phase response increases from zero to positive values as \( \beta_k \) takes greater values, being \( \Omega > \pi \), and the maximum values occur at angular frequencies tending to \( \Omega = \pi^+ \). For angular frequencies lower than \( \Omega = \pi \) the phase response decreases from zero to negative values as \( \beta_k \) tends to one, and the peak value takes place around angular frequencies tending to \( \pi \), being \( \Omega = \pi^- \) the frequency of the zero.

Two measurement parameters can be defined for each remote sensing point, as reported in [25], but we will focus only on the output phase of the signal for different phase-shifts at the reception stage. This measurement parameter is derived from Equation (4) and is given by:

\[
\phi_k = \arctg \left( \frac{-\left( \sin \Omega_{Si} + \beta_k \cdot \sin \Omega_{mPOF} \right)}{\left( \cos \Omega_{Si} + \beta_k \cdot \cos \Omega_{mPOF} \right)} \right) 
\]

(7)

The parameter \( \phi_k \) is insensitive to power fluctuations except for the sensor modulation \( (H_k) \), thus a providing a self-referenced measurement approach. A specific example of \( \phi_k \) versus the sensor losses \( \beta_k \) for different external power attenuations is shown in Figure 2b. \( \Omega_{Si} = 0.83\pi \) and \( \Omega_{mPOF} = 0.33\pi \) are the reference and sensor phase-shifts signals, respectively.

For a phase-shift fixed pair of values, the theoretical parameter \( (\phi_k) \) of the remote sensing point depends only on \( \beta_k \), which is insensitive to external power fluctuations that might take place in the optical link between the sensing point and the transmission stage. Moreover, the self-referencing parameter can be determined for any pair of phase-shift values providing flexibility to the measurement technique at the remote sensing network for any desired operation point.

3. Virtual Processing System

In previous works [24–26] an analog delay by means an electronic circuit was used to get phase-shift at the reception stage. In this work, computer software based on a visual programming language has been designed to acquire and process the signals for the two sensing points. The software provides to the user a friendly environment and the ability to easily change the control parameters remotely. The block diagram of the computer software is shown in Figure 3. Four stages have been defined in the code: acquisition, filtering, phase shifter and lock-in amplifier.

The first stage uses a data acquisition assistant to convert the optical signals from the photodetectors and the lock-in reference signal to digital signals. The acquisition rate and the number of samples per channel was set to 16 kS/s and 8000 samples, respectively. The maximum and minimum signal input range was set to ±1 V in order to reduce the noise injected by the acquisition card.

The second stage uses a digital bandpass filter to eliminate noise from all acquired signals at frequencies outside the system frequency \( (f = 1 \text{ kHz}) \). The designed filter uses a Butterworth topology with an order of 5. The low and high cutoff frequencies are fixed at 950 and 1050 Hz, respectively. These parameters can be changed using the graphical interface provided by the software.
Figure 3. Block diagram of the computer software used to acquire and process the electrical signals.

After conditioning the signals, a phase shifter based on Fourier transforms is used to apply an independent delay to the digital reference and sensing signals. Then, both signals are added and introduced into the lock-in amplifier along with the digital lock-in reference signal.

Finally, a lock-in amplifier based on a graphical code [27,28] was used to obtain frequency, phase and amplitude of the added signal. The lock-in amplifier consists of three functions. The first function is a phase locked loop algorithm whose function is to measure the frequency and phase of the lock-in reference signal. The second function is used to internally calculate settings for the mixer and the low-pass filter in the demodulator function. Then a lock-in demodulator function extracts the frequency component from the added signal that uses the lock-in reference signal to specify the frequency and phase. In order to calculate the self-referencing parameter $\phi_k$ one lock-in amplifier is necessary.

4. Measurements

The network configuration shown in Figure 1a has been implemented using single mode silica fiber in order to experimentally validate the phase self-referencing parameter for two remote sensing points. A BLS modulated at $f = 1$ kHz by an acousto-optic modulator was employed to launch optical power into the configuration via a broadband circulator. One silica FBG was used for reference purpose, being placed after the broadband circulator and before the CWDM mux/demux. Its central wavelength and reflectivity were $\lambda_{\text{Si}} = 1550$ nm and 49%, respectively. A few-mode mPOFBG was used for each remote sensing point [21,29,30] located at the patient’s vicinity. Their central wavelengths were $\lambda_{\text{mPOF}1} = 1525.2$ nm for FOS1 and $\lambda_{\text{mPOF}2} = 1567.0$ nm for FOS2, and their reflectivities were 27% and 36%, respectively. The attenuation of the mPOF was 0.82 dB/cm. The mPOF core and cladding diameter were 50 and 120 $\mu$m, respectively. It was made of three rings of holes on PMMA material. The optical spectrum of the 2-sensor network implemented is shown in Figure 4.

A single-mode Variable Optical Attenuator (VOA) was used to emulate the sensor response (FOS) and for calibration purposes. One example of the sensor loss modulation used to calibrate the configuration is shown in Figure 5.
Figure 4. Optical spectrum in reflective operation of the mPOFBGs (sensing) and silica FBG (reference).

Figure 5. Calibration curve of the sensor loss modulation $H_1$ emulated by means of a variable optical attenuator.

The reflected signals were demultiplexed by a CWDM and detected by three amplified InGaAs detectors. The amplifier gain was fixed at 70 dB for all measurements. A 14-bit low-cost DAQ was used to convert the electrical signals from the photodetectors to digital signals. Computer software was used to implement the bandpass filter, the phase-shifts and the lock-in amplifiers at the reception stage. One virtual lock-in amplifier per sensor was used to obtain the self-referencing parameter $\phi_k$, with $i = 1,2$.

4.1. Self-Reference Measurements

The self-reference property was tested by inducing power fluctuations in the modulated optical source through a VOA. From Figure 6, it is demonstrated that the self-reference system was able to regulate at 0.46% output phase after inducing 10 dB of power fluctuations. A normalization procedure has been used to span $\beta_k$ from Equation (3) to a range with a maximum value of 1, and output phase is scaled proportionally. This procedure applies to all reported measurements.
Figure 6. Output phase $\phi_1$ self-reference test *versus* noise power fluctuations for different values of sensor losses at the remote sensing point addressed by $\lambda_{\text{mPOF} 1}$.

4.2. Crosstalk Analysis

Crosstalk analysis was carried out to measure the possible interference between adjacent channels during the measuring process. Several measurements of the self-referencing parameter $\phi_1$ (FOS$_1$) at wavelength $\lambda_{\text{mPOF} 1}$ were taken for different values of the sensor loss modulation $\beta_2$ (FOS$_2$) at wavelength $\lambda_{\text{mPOF} 2}$. Both virtual delays, $\Omega_{\text{Si}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{mPOF} k}$ are selected to achieve positive and negative incremental system response, with high linearity and sensitivity. Experimental result is shown in Figure 7. Similar results were obtained when monitoring $\phi_2$ (FOS$_2$) at wavelength $\lambda_{\text{mPOF} 2}$ when sensor loss $\beta_1$ was changed, see Figure 8. In both cases no crosstalk was noticed, so both sensors can be interrogated simultaneously without mutual interference because of the high channel isolation of the CWDM demultiplexer.

Figure 7. Crosstalk related measurements. Output phase parameter *versus* sensor loss at channel $\lambda_{\text{mPOF} 1}$ (FOS$_1$) for different values of sensor loss at channel $\lambda_{\text{mPOF} 2}$ (FOS$_2$).
Figure 8. Crosstalk related measurements. Output phase parameter versus sensor loss at channel $\lambda_{\text{mPOF}_2}$ (FOS$_2$) for different values of sensor loss at channel $\lambda_{\text{mPOF}_1}$ (FOS$_1$).

5. Discussion

In this article, a hybrid silica-POF WDM network topology for addressing multiple self-referenced fiber-optic POF-based sensors has been proposed. As expected, its performance in terms of crosstalk between sensors and its self-referencing property have been validated. However, system factors such as the sensitivity, the resolution and the power budget which limits the remote interrogation distance reachable or the maximum sensor insertion losses, must be further investigated.

From Equation (3), if the modulation index and the photodetector responsivity are considered to be similar at reference and sensor wavelength the new sensor sensitivity is given by:

$$\frac{\partial b_k}{\partial A} = 2 \cdot H \cdot \frac{R_{\lambda_{\text{mPOF}_k}}}{R_{\lambda_{\text{Si}}}} \cdot L_{\text{CWDM}} \cdot L_{\text{mPOFBRG-Si}} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial A}$$

(8)

where A is the magnitude to be measured and $\partial H / \partial A$ was implemented in the experiments using a VOA. From Equation (8), we see that the different losses are the dominant factor and they should be kept as low as possible.

On the one hand, the most limiting components in the system's resolution are the transimpedance amplifier gain for the detectors. The InGaAs detectors used in this experiment offer a noise value of 1.5 mVRMS at a gain of 70 dB. With this noise value, the system resolution, considering the detected output power, is $1.7 \times 10^{-2}$ dB. Using another amplifier detector with a lower NEP, the system resolution would be limited by the data acquisition card to a value of $6.5 \times 10^{-3}$ dB. These resolution values are far below those provided by most of the POF intensity-based sensing solutions reported in literature, and particularly for biomedical applications.

By additionally monitoring each central mPOFBRG wavelength shift within the range of each CWDM channel, temperature can be measured, apart from the parameter under test. Temperature characterization of one sensing point can be seen in Figure 9.
One of the disadvantages of using PMMA-based FBGs is their aptitude for water absorption, and PMMA FBG sensors have a significant cross-sensitivity to humidity. These problems might be reduced by using FBGs based on TOPAS fiber [31]. The humidity sensitivity of a TOPAS-based FBG is ~64 times smaller than for an equivalent FBG manufactured in PMMA fiber at 1565 nm [32]. On the other hand, TOPAS fiber has the same high attenuation as its PMMA counterpart in the 1550 nm spectral region and also needs to be glued at the end of a singlemode silica fiber lead for being connected to other optical devices.

Another important issue with POFBGs is their cross-sensitivity to temperature. The self-referenced technique uses a POFBG placed at the vicinity of the patients, which is affected by the patient’s temperature. The displacement of the Bragg wavelength with temperature is following analyzed in order to cope the wavelength spacing between the CWDM mux/demux channels. The two channels used in the measurements have a central wavelength of 1571.3 for FOS1 and 1531.1 for FOS2, with passband widths 15.9 and 16.4 nm, respectively. The mPOF Bragg wavelength variation with temperature is $-60 \text{ pm/}^\circ\text{C}$. The Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) of the gratings are 5.8 for FOS1 and 4.8 nm for FOS2. The minimum and maximum temperature that a human body can stand without dying are ~20 and ~41.1 $^\circ\text{C}$ [33], respectively. Considering the sensitivity and the human body temperature range, the wavelength shift ($\Delta\lambda_{m\text{POFShift}}$) is ~1 nm well within the CWDM mux/demux pass band width. In a general design, to overcome the cross-sensitivity of the sensing gratings, the central wavelength of the mPOFBGs used should fulfill the following condition:

$$\lambda_{m\text{POF}} + \Delta\lambda_{m\text{POFShift}} + \frac{\text{FWHM}_{m\text{POF}}}{2} < \lambda_{\text{CWDMi}} + \frac{\text{BW}_{\text{CWDMi}}}{2}$$

(9)

where $\lambda_{\text{CWDMi}}$ and $\text{BW}_{\text{CWDMi}}$ are the central wavelength and the pass-band width of each mux/demux channel, respectively. $\text{FWHM}_{m\text{POF}}$ is the FWHM parameter of the grating used in each channel. The above estimation of the wavelength shift can be considered to have a negligible impact on the parameters previously defined in Equation (3) for a real-case scenario thus allowing simple sensor interrogation.
Table 1 shows the optical power budget analysis of the remote sensing topology. $P_m$ is the optical power launched into the system by the broadband light source (BLS), $L_{\text{Devices}}$ computes the total power loss of light travelling in both senses of direction (due to the reflective topology) including the acousto-optic modulator, optical circulator, silica FBG, mux/demux CDWMs, adaptors and FOS. The maximum power variation of the FOS is fixed at 6 dB, high enough to cover any biomedical input magnitude span. $P_{\text{Out}}$ is the photodiode noise-equivalent power (NEP) figure of merit and the value provided in Table 1 refers to the minimum measurable optical power, in terms of both NEP and bandwidth. $L_{\text{CWDM}}$ is the CWDM insertion loss. $L_{\text{mPOFBSi}}$ are the mPOF insertion losses related to the reflectivity of the gratings ($R_{\lambda mPOF}$), attenuation of the mPOF fiber ($\alpha_{mPOF} = 0.82$ dB/cm [34], multimode-singlemode silica fiber connection ($L_{\text{SM-MMSi}} = 1.56$ dB) and silica-polymer glue union ($L_{\text{Si-mPOF}} \approx 5$ dB) [30].

Table 1. Optical power budget analysis of the proposed hybrid silica-POF WDM remote sensing scheme.

| mPOF Central Wavelength | BLS Output Power, dBm | Devices Insertion Losses, dB | CWDM Insertion Loss, dB | mPOF Insertion Losses, dB | Photodetector Sensitivity, dBm |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| $\lambda_1 = 1525$ nm   | $P_{\text{in}}$ = -17.3 | 30.2                        | 1.8                     | 15.1                      | $-66.6$                     |
| $\lambda_2 = 1567$ nm   | $P_{\text{in}}$ = -15.8 | 30.2                        | 1.8                     | 14.6                      | $-66.6$                     |

Notes: 1. Including $R_{\lambda mPOF}$; 2. Considering the amplified gain of 70 dB, the noise-equivalent power (NEP) and the bandwidth of the amplified InGaAs detector of $2 \times 10^{-12}$ W/$\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ and 12 kHz, respectively.

Computing the power budget at the most restrictive sensing wavelength in terms of distance reachable, a maximum length of 11 km could be obtained. However, the latter can be easily improved and extended to the access network domain (up to 20 km) by launching more optical power into the system, using optical devices with better insertion loss performance or using a more efficient technique to connect mPOFBGs. In comparison to other configurations that use splitters, a power budget improvement in more than 15 dB for a 16-sensor network can be achieved [23].

Considering the available optical power, the proposed method could provide a remote monitoring service unit fully compliant for short-reach networks (typically less than 1 km), i.e., Local Area Networks (LANs) and in-building/in-hospital networks. Indeed it is suitable for medium reach-distances (typically up to 10 km) with application in inter-hospital networks or to provide a convergent all-optical and straightforward connection between patient's homes and a general practice service for telemedicine purposes. However, the latter can also be easily provided by including a wireless transmission at any point of the optical fiber link beyond the remote monitoring unit. Nevertheless, the above distances are unbeatable if an all-POF-based optical network is intended to be deployed and a hybrid approach should be considered.

Finally, the proposed self-referenced sensor network has been evaluated with an intensity-based optical sensor for the measurement of bend angles in the spines of human physiotherapy patients. The received light intensity decreases as the gap between emitting and receiving fibers and/or the bending angle between them increase. The output power measurements versus the applied tilt angle is extracted...
from [18] and shown in Figure 10a. Using Equations (3) and (7), the output phase parameter versus tilt angle at channel $\lambda_{m\text{POF}_1}$ (FOS$_1$) has been calculated for a specific set of virtual delays, as shown in Figure 10b.

**Figure 10.** (a) Light intensity versus fiber tilt angle [18]; (b) Output phase parameter versus fiber tilt angle at channel $\lambda_{m\text{POF}_1}$ (FOS$_1$).

![Graphs showing light intensity and output phase parameter versus fiber tilt angle](image)

As demonstrated before, the propose topology for self-reference multiple intensity fiber optic sensors is able to regulate at 0.46% output phase after inducing 10dB of power fluctuations. Further development of a spine bending sensor [35] introduces a single sensor self-referenced technique by adding more fibers and allowing 0.55% output signal fluctuations after inducing only ~1.4 dB. Other important feature of the proposed technique in this work is the possibility to address multiple self-referenced intensity optical fiber sensors providing great flexibility and easy reconfiguration.

6. Conclusions

The need for an optical fiber link between the remote sensing area at the vicinity of the patient and the measuring unit may be the most important concern when employing inherently biocompatible POF intensity-based optical sensors. The feasibility of a hybrid silica-POF WDM network topology for addressing multiple self-referenced fiber-optic POF-based sensors is demonstrated. The intention is to bridge the gap between the remote interrogation of multiple optical sensors and the advantages of using biocompatible POF, including those based on mPOF FBGs. One self-reference parameter has been tested to be robust to 10 dB power fluctuations. Proper selection of both virtual delays can lead to linear responses at high sensitivities.

The proposed topology has high scalability and power budget enhancement in comparison with all POF based solutions as it uses off-the shelf WDM devices with low insertion losses and a lock-in detection scheme. It also provides great flexibility and easy reconfigurability due to the use of virtual instrumentation solutions. By additionally monitoring each central POFBG wavelength shift within the range of each CWDM channel, temperature changes can be tracked.

The self-referenced solution uses a low-cost 14-bit DAQ board which offers a resolution of $1.7 \times 10^{-2}$ dB. The resolution and the number of sensors to be interrogated are limited by the number
of analog channels and the resolution provided by the DAQ board, but easily improved. The proposed topology provides a central remote monitoring unit that can be located several km away from the patient's location, where the sensors are placed. It is shown the potential of the proposed technique to be useful in self-referencing multiple intensity optical sensors for measuring bend angles in the spines of human physiotherapy patients.
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