Case Report

Human Amniotic Membrane Positioning in the Surgical Treatment of Temporomandibular Joint Degenerative Disorder
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Background. Temporomandibular joint (TMJ) arthritis is a degenerative pathology that may cause pain and dysfunction. Nonsurgical therapy is the traditional treatment of TMJ diseases but if ineffective, TMJ surgery can be performed and may include arthroplasty with interposition of autograft. The encouraging results reported with the use of human amniotic membrane (HAM) in different surgical fields have highlighted its potential, but approaches providing the positioning of HAM within the intra-articular space of arthritic TMJs have never been investigated. Case Presentation. A 48-year-old woman was presented with limited mouth opening and pain with palpation at the left joint. A severe TMJ degeneration was diagnosed, and a surgical treatment was necessary. In the present case report, the authors describe the application of a cryopreserved HAM patch within the joint space as a disc-replacing film during major surgeries for disectomy and arthroplasty. Three months after the intervention, the patient reported an overall improvement in chewing efficiency as well as the absence of pain.

Conclusions. According to the regenerative effects of HAM, the design of trials on the topic should be encouraged for its possible inclusion within the field of TMJ disease practice.

1. Introduction

Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) are the most frequent orofacial pain condition having multifactorial aetiology and affecting the intra-articular structures of the TMJs or the masticatory muscles [1]. They comprised a number of signs and symptoms: masticatory muscle and/or TMJ pain, articular sound during mandibular movement, and abnormalities of the mouth opening path, such as reduction or deviation of the range of joint motion. TMJ degenerative disorders have a complicated aetiology; a decreased adaptive capacity in the articulating structures or sustained physical stress may cause the degenerative remodeling of TMJs [2]. The traditional treatment of TMJ diseases is primarily based on nonsurgical options such as physical therapy, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or arthrocentesis with lubrication [3–5], while surgical treatment of TMJ diseases is only rarely indicated.

The choice of the treatment intervention depends primarily on the diagnosis. Structural disorders (e.g., overt or occult trauma, primary or secondary tumors, degenerative disorders, and infective diseases) [6–11] must be differentiated from nonstructural pain syndromes (e.g., neuropathic pain, myofascial pain, and psychological amplification) [12–14]. Amongst the former, surgery-demanding conditions must be clearly identified.
Within these premises, TMJ arthroplasty with interposition of autograft (i.e., dermis, muscle-temporal fascia, and ear cartilage) is one of the primary surgical treatments [15–17]; however, the use of an autologous tissue might be associated with the morbidity of the second surgical site.

Human amniotic membrane (HAM) is the innermost layer of the fetal membranes with anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, antifibrotic immunomodulatory properties, epithelialization and cell differentiation-modulating capacities, and low immunogenicity [18–20]. The extensive use and the encouraging results reported with the use of HAM in different surgical fields including maxillofacial surgery have highlighted its potential [21, 22].

The placenta is usually sourced from donors undergoing caesarean sections and processed shortly after retrieval. The HAM is carefully detached from the chorion and rinsed with sterile saline solution to remove residual blood. The membrane is flattened on a nitrocellulose membrane filter (Merck Millipore), with its stromal/mesenchymal side facing down, in contact with the filter. Afterwards, the HAM is immersed in a cocktail of antibiotics including vancomycin 100 μg/ml (Hospira), meropenem 200 μg/ml (Fresenius Kabi Italia), and gentamicin 200 mg/ml (Fisiopharma) at +4°C for 24 h in sterile conditions, validated for human tissues [23]. HAM was cut in 3 × 3 cm² patches and cryopreserved. Microbiological analyses are performed at several stages throughout the process and only HAMs without microbial contamination were considered suitable for implants. The so-obtained tissue is positioned within the joint space.

The positioning of human amniotic membrane (HAM) within the intra-articular space of arthritic TMJs has never been investigated, but increasing amount of evidence highlights the potential positive effects of HAM on a number of surgical conditions, even included the interpositional arthroplasty for TMJ ankylosis [24, 25].

Based on these premises, HAM positioning within the intra-articular space of temporomandibular joints with severe inflammatory-degenerative disorders was suggested [26]. The purpose of this article is to report a case of patient with a diagnosis of TMD who underwent major surgery with the application of a cryopreserved HAM patch.

2. Case Presentation

A 48-year-old female came to our observation due to a limitation in mouth opening range. She also reported crepitus sounds at the left TMJ as well as pain, exacerbated by function (e.g., chewing) and increasing in intensity over the past three months. Clinical assessment showed a limited mouth opening (i.e., 22 mm) and pain with palpation at the left joint and all the main masticatory muscles, more severe on the left side. At the first appointment (T0), mandible manipulation was performed to achieve a forced opening of about 40 mm. A magnetic resonance (MRI) was prescribed to assess the disc-condyle relationship as the possible source of limitation in mouth opening and to gather some pictorial evaluation of the presence of joint effusion (Figure 1). Despite the clinical suspicion of TMJ arthritis, computerized tomography was not prescribed at this stage due to the expected low impact on treatment planning decisions.

MRI showed a regularly shaped condyle, with an anteriorized disc at closed mouth. At the maximum mouth opening, the condylar translation is reduced and the disc is not recaptured. Joint effusion of severe entity is also present. A conservative approach to provide pain relief and to manage muscle tension was provided, based on counseling, a home program of self-exercise and a stabilization appliance to wear at night. After three months, symptoms improved only partially, with a reduction of pain with muscle palpation but a steady pain at the left joint.

Based on that, a cycle of five arthrocentesis plus viscosupplementation with hyaluronic acid (Sinovial, IBSA) has been performed weekly. Clinical data has been recorded at each time point before each injection and 15 days after the last one (Table 1).

After one month from the last arthrocentesis plus viscosupplementation, the patient still showed some pain and, more important, still felt a limitation in the unassisted mouth opening and right laterotrusion. A diagnosis of TMJ intermittent locking on the left side was thus performed, and given the difficulties to stabilize clinical symptoms and mouth opening with the usual conservative approaches, the patient was planned for a surgical removal of the TMJ disc with concurrent HAM positioning (Figure 2).
TMJ surgery provided condyle remodelling and discectomy (Figures 2(a)–2(c)), after which a HAM patch is positioned within the intra-articular space (Figure 2(d)), and stratified stitching is performed to avoid postoperative scars (Figures 2(e) and 2(f)).

Three months after the intervention, the patient showed no negative exitus or postsurgical side effects. Jaw range of motion was increasing, both for unassisted mouth opening (38 mm) and right laterotrusion (8 mm). The patient reported an overall improvement in chewing efficiency as well as the absence of pain (Table 2).

Five months after surgery, a new MRI was performed (Figure 3). The images showed the physiological excursion of the TMJ condyle during mouth opening (Figure 3(b)).

**Table 1: Clinical data collected before each injection (T0–T4) and 15 days after the last injection.**

| Parameters                                      | T0     | T1     | T2     | T3     | T4     | 15 days after the last injection |
|------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------------|
| Masticatory capacity (scores from 0 to 10)*    | 3      | 3      | 5      | 7      | 7      | 7                            |
| Chewing-related pain (scores from 0 to 10)**    | min    | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0                            |
|                                               | max    | 6      | 9      | 6      | 6      | 6                            |
| Phonation-related pain (scores from 0 to 10)**  | min    | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0                            |
|                                               | max    | 8      | 8      | 8      | 1      | 5                            |
| Rest-related pain (scores from 0 to 10)**      | min    | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0                            |
|                                               | max    | 0      | 7      | 0      | 0      | 3                            |
| Level of functional limitation§                | 4      | 4      | 1      | 1      | 3      | 3                            |
| Efficacy evaluation***                         | -      | 1      | 2      | 3      | 1      | 1                            |
| Tolerability evaluation***                     | -      | 2      | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3                            |
| Mouth-opening ability                          | Spontaneous | 23 mm | 23 mm | 30 mm | 33 mm | 25 mm | 25 mm |
| Forced                                         | 23 mm | 42 mm | 35 mm | 33 mm | 25 mm | 27 mm |
| Right laterality                               | 0      | 0      | 0      | 10 mm | 10 mm | 10 mm |
| Protusion                                      | 5 mm   | 5 mm   | 5 mm   | 5 mm   | 3 mm   | 3 mm   |
| Left laterality                                | 10 mm  | 10 mm  | 10 mm  | 10 mm  | 10 mm  | 10 mm  |

*Scores from 0 = inability to chew and only the consumption of semiliquid foods is possible to 10 = optimal chewing capacity of any type of food. **NRS scores from 0 = no pain to 10 = intolerable pain. §0 = none; 1 = tolerable; 2 = moderate; 3 = intense; 4 = serious. ***0 = light; 1 = tolerable; 2 = moderate; 3 = good; 4 = excellent.
3. Discussion

This case report demonstrates that in the surgical treatment of TMJ arthrosis, the interposition of HAM in the intra-articular space resulting from an arthroplasty intervention represents a promising solution. In fact, three months after the intervention, the patient reported the absence of pain, an increased masticatory capacity, and an improvement of the range of mouth opening.

Since the etiopathogenesis and symptoms of TMJ diseases are variable, several different treatments are adopted. In rare selected cases, surgical treatments are necessary, including meniscectomy, condylectomy, and arthroplasty with interposition of autologous tissues [15–17].

The benefits of HAM positioning in TMJs with severe inflammatory-degenerative disorders could be related with its anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial properties and low immunogenicity [18–20]. In addition, thanks to its properties, it has been demonstrated that the application of HAM to wounds markedly reduces patients’ experienced pain intensity [27]. Moreover, amniotic membrane is able to reduce postoperative adhesion [28].

Within the limits of the present case report, a promising suggestion concerning the use of HAM for TMJ surgery has been provided. Case series providing a longer follow-up should be encouraged, as well as the assessment of less invasive strategies for HAM positioning. In particular, future clinical trials might aim at comparing the effectiveness of HAM with respect to standard interpositional surgical intervention. Imaging techniques trying to assess the intra-articular changes associated with the use of human membrane are also recommended for a better comprehension of the course of degenerative disorders in patients undergoing this treatment.
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