Imaging the local electronic and magnetic properties of intrinsically phase separated \( \text{Rb}_x\text{Fe}_{2-y}\text{Se}_2 \) superconductor using scanning microscopy techniques
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Abstract
The discovery in 2008 of the iron-based superconducting pnictide and chalcogenide compounds has provided an entirely new family of materials for studying the crucial interplay between superconductivity and magnetism in unconventional superconductors. The alkali-metal-intercalated iron selenide \( \text{Rb}_x\text{Fe}_{2-y}\text{Se}_2 \) superconductors are of particular interest owing to their relatively high transition temperatures over 30 K and the co-existence of the superconducting state with antiferromagnetic ordering. Intrinsic phase separation on the mesoscopic scale is known to occur in ‘single crystals’ of these compounds, adding to the complexity of interpretation of bulk property measurements. In this study, we investigate the local electronic structure and chemistry of \( \text{Rb}_x\text{Fe}_{2-y}\text{Se}_2 \) crystals using scanning microscopy techniques. Nano-focussed angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy has enabled the band structure of the minority superconducting phase and the non-superconducting matrix to be measured independently and linked to the surface chemistry from the same regions using core level spectroscopy. Valence band mapping reveals the characteristic microstructure of these crystals, but does not have sufficient spatial resolution to enable the precise morphology of the superconducting phase to be elucidated. Cryogenic magnetic force microscopy has shown that the superconducting phase has a fine-scale stripey morphology that was not resolved in the scanning photoemission spectroscopy experiment. The correlation of these findings with previous microstructural studies, bulk measurements and first-principles density functional theory calculations paves the way for understanding the intriguing electronic and magnetic properties of these compounds.
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1. Introduction
Since the discovery of iron-based superconductors in 2008 [1], a rich family of pnictide and chalcogenide compounds
have been found to superconduct [2]. These materials have related crystal structures consisting of puckered Fe-pnictogen (Pn) or Fe-chalcogen (Ch) layers separated by a variety of different layers. The superconducting transition temperature \( T_c \) is found to be influenced by the local structural arrangement, with early work showing a general tendency for \( T_c \) to be optimal when the Pn(Ch)–Fe–Pn(Ch) bond angles are close to that for a regular tetrahedron [3] and for \( T_c \) to increase with increasing Pn(Ch) height above the Fe plane [4], although later studies have shown that the situation is actually more subtle [2].

The binary FeSe chalcogenide compound, with a superconducting transition temperature \( T_c \) of \( \sim 8 \) K, has the simplest crystal structure consisting of edge-sharing FeSe\(_4\) tetrahedra forming layers. Its transition temperature can be increased in several different ways: partial substitution of about 50% of the Se ions with larger chalcogen ions of Te [5], application of pressure (either externally [6] or by inducing biaxial strains in thin films [7]) and incorporation of alkali metal ions [8] or molecular spacers [9] between the FeSe layers. In addition, monolayer FeSe is found to have a very high \( T_c \) of at least 65 K [10, 11]. The alkali metal doped iron chalcogenide superconductors, A\(_{x}\)Fe\(_{2−y}\)Se\(_2\) (where A = K, Rb, Cs; Ti/K, Ti/Rb), have been a topic of great interest in recent years mainly as a result of their unusual magnetic behaviour combined with the ability to grow large, high quality single crystals which has enabled comprehensive exploration of the fundamental properties using a wide variety of diffraction and spectroscopic analysis techniques [12]. The co-existence of antiferromagnetic ordering with large magnetic moments of 3.3 \( \mu_B \) per Fe and a Néel temperature well above room temperature with apparently ‘bulk’ superconductivity at about 30 K [13–15] sparked particular interest as it suggests a scenario more similar to the strong coupling seen in high temperature cuprate superconductors [16] than the weak coupling usually considered for iron-based superconductors [17]. Moreover, angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) studies have shown the absence of a hole pocket in the Fermi surface of the alkali metal doped iron chalcogenides [18–20], indicating that the nesting of hole and electron pockets, often considered to be of fundamental importance in iron-based superconductors, is not a prerequisite for superconductivity in these materials.

The average crystal structure of the A\(_{x}\)Fe\(_{2−y}\)Se\(_2\) compounds is a direct derivative of the tetragonal I\(_{4}/\text{mmm}\) ThCr\(_2\)Si\(_2\) structure type [21] with lattice parameters \( a \sim 4 \) Å and \( c \sim 15 \) Å. However, in superconducting single crystals, a variety of different phases have been observed and their crystal and magnetic structures have been studied in detail using diffraction techniques. There is now a general consensus that two main phases prevalent in superconducting crystals are important for understanding magnetic and electronic properties. The majority phase is typically found to have a chemical formula of A\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{1.6}\)Se\(_2\) and is often referred to by the integer ratios of the elements as the ‘245’ phase. At room temperature and below, the iron vacancies in this phase form an ordered arrangement with a \( \sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5} \) superstructure, with the supercell being tetragonal with I\(_{4}/m\) symmetry and propagation vector along the \( \left[ 2/3, 1/3, 1 \right] \) direction in the I\(_{4}/\text{mmm}\) basis [22]. Increasing temperature or pressure results in a first order phase transition to an iron-vacancy-disordered phase with the I\(_{4}/\text{mmm}\) symmetry, with transition temperatures of 489 K–578 K and pressures of 8–11 GPa depending on the alkali metal element, as detailed in a recent review by Krzton-Maziopa et al [12]. Several magnetic configurations have been proposed for this phase, with a detailed study using single crystal neutron diffraction with polarized neutrons concluding that only a ‘block spin’ structure is consistent with the experimental results [23]. This configuration consists of blocks of four ferromagnetically ordered Fe\(^{2+}\) ions with large spins of 3.3 \( \mu_B \) aligned along the \( c \) axis of the unit cell. Adjacent blocks are ferromagnetically aligned in a checkerboard arrangement.

The minority phase is often referred to as the A–122 or iron-vacancy-free phase and has a composition close to A\(_{x}\)Fe\(_{2}\)Se\(_2\). A \( \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \) superstructure with propagation vector \( \left[ 1/2, 1/2, 0 \right] \) has been observed in this phase and it was originally described by a P\(_{1mn} \) model. However for the A = Cs compound, Bozak et al have deduced from single crystal synchrotron diffraction studies that the symmetry of this phase is not higher than monoclinic and the apparent \( \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \) superstructure is actually an in-plane projection of diffuse Bragg rods propagating along the \( c \) direction [23]. There is a general consensus that, whilst the Fe sublattice is fully occupied in this phase, a significant fraction of the alkali metal sites are vacant, with \( x \) values measured to be around 0.6 from neutron diffraction refinement [24, 25], but with NMR results estimating \( x \) values as low as 0.3 [26]. A combined Laue neutron diffraction and synchrotron x-ray diffraction study on Cs\(_{x}\)Fe\(_{2}\)Se\(_2\) single crystals by Porter et al attribute the \( \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \) superstructure to in-plane Cs vacancy ordering, with every other Cs ion removed to produce stripes along the [110] direction [27]. This 50% occupancy of the alkali metal site is consistent with structural refinements. \textit{Ab initio} calculations suggest that there is no correlation of the Cs ordering between adjacent layers, with the different structural arrangements having similar energies and this uncorrelated picture is supported by the body-centred symmetry of the phase [23]. The Fe ions in this phase have an oxidation state slightly lower than +2, indicating the presence of electron doping [28, 29]. X-ray photoemission (XPS) studies on a series of K\(_{x}\)Fe\(_{2}\)Se\(_2\) crystals support this result, with insulating K\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{1.6}\)Se\(_2\) samples having sharper Fe 2p\(_{3/2}\) peaks consistent with Fe(II) only, whereas phase separated superconducting crystals have a broader peak with an additional shoulder at slightly lower binding energies attributed Fe in the metallic Fe(O) state [30]. For clarity and consistency, in the remainder of this paper the iron vacancy ordered A\(_{0.8}\)Fe\(_{1.6}\)Se\(_2\) phase will be referred to as the 245 phase and the iron vacancy free A\(_{2}\)Fe\(_{2}\)Se\(_2\) phase will be referred to as the 122 phase.
In addition to the diffraction studies, a very diverse range of techniques have been deployed to study the intrinsic phase separation and the properties of the phases in $A_xFe_{2−x}Se_2$ compounds. These include basic electrical transport, magnetisation and specific heat measurements of the superconducting state, local magnetic moment probes of the bulk material such as muon spin rotation ($\mu$SR) [31, 32], NMR [26] and Mössbauer spectroscopies [33, 34], surface sensitive probes such as XPS [30], ARPES [35, 36] and Raman spectroscopy [37, 38], as well as spatially resolved probes including scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) [39], transmission and scanning electron microscopies (TEM/SEM) [33, 40–42], scanning microfocused x-ray diffraction ($\mu$-XRD) [43, 44], scanning photoemission spectroscopy (SPM) [45], photoemission electron microscopy (PEEM) [46] and magnetic force microscopy (MFM) [47, 48]. However, later careful NMR studies on $Rb_xFe_{2−x}Se_2$ by Texier et al. resolved this by showing that the $^{87}$Rb spectra have a broad background with fast transverse relaxation time ($T_2$) from the antiferromagnetic 245 phase in addition to sharp peaks from the metallic phase [26]. Analytic SEM studies at room temperature have clearly shown a characteristic mesoscopic phase separation, with the minority phase having a striking plate-like morphology forming a 3D network along the $\{113\}$ habit planes (in the $14/mmm$ basis) [42, 49]. These appear as a square grid of linear features, $\leqslant 1\mu$m wide separated by $\sim 5\mu$m, aligned along the crystallographic $\{110\}$ directions on surfaces cleaved parallel to the ($a$–$b$) planes. The linear features appear discontinuous (stripey) and 3D sectioning using a focussed ion beam (FIB) microscope show that they consist of a series of finer plates also inhabiting $\{113\}$ crystallographic planes [42]. Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis in the SEM confirmed that the majority phase has the antiferromagnetic 245 composition, with the minority phase being richer in Fe and more deficient in Rb as expected for the 122 phase. Novel high-resolution electron backscatter diffraction (HR-EBSD) studies [41, 42] and scanning $\mu$-XRD studies [43] also confirmed that the minority phase is slightly compressed in the (a–b) plane and elongated in the $c$ direction compared to the matrix. TEM and STM techniques which probe the microstructure at a higher spatial resolution than SEM, show the presence of nano-scale phase separation of the 245 and 122 phases, with high quality cross-sectional HRTEM suggesting that intergrowths of the two phases form in layers perpendicular to the $c$-axis in $K_xFe_{2−x}Se_2$ crystals [39, 40]. This nano-scale phase separation is likely to be in addition to the slightly larger $\{113\}$ aligned platelets observed in the SEM studies on $Rb_xFe_{2−x}Se_2$. Robust interpretation of property measurements must take into account the complex, hierarchical microstructure of the superconducting crystals.

A combination of temperature dependent diffraction and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) [24], Mössbauer spectroscopy [33, 34] and SEM studies [42, 50] have revealed the sequence of phase transformations resulting in the evolution of the two phase microstructure. At high temperatures, the crystals are single phase with the $I4/mmm$ structure and disordered iron vacancies. For $Rb_xFe_{2−x}Se_2$ on cooling, iron vacancy ordering occurs at $T_{N} \approx 517$ K this iron vacancy ordered structure becomes antiferromagnetic, and on continuing to cool, phase separation occurs at around 489 K with the emergence of the iron vacancy free 122 phase within the 245 matrix. An extended x-ray absorption fine-structure (EXAFS) study of $K_xFe_{2−x}Se_2$ at elevated temperatures revealed that the in-plane Fe–Fe bond length is significantly shorter below the phase separation temperature, consistent with the in-plane compression associated with the 122 phase [51]. Moreover, since the Fe–Se bond length does not change significantly in this temperature range, the decrease in Fe–Fe bond length in the minority phase is argued to be accompanied by an increase in the height of the Se ions above the Fe plane. It was also deduced from the near edge spectra that hybridization between the Fe 3d and Se 4p states is significantly enhanced below the phase separation temperature in the presence of the 122 phase, influencing the electronic properties. Various annealing studies have shown that, whilst the morphology of the minority phase below the phase separation temperature can be tuned, the volume fraction of the minority phase remains at around 10% [52, 53]. However, by annealing $Rb_xFe_{2−x}Se_2$ crystals just below the phase separation temperature it is possible to slightly improve the superconducting properties, with magnetisation measurements showing a enhancement in $T_c$ and a narrowing of the superconducting transition [52]. The normal state resistivity of the crystals was also found to be considerably decreased by annealing.

Although there is a considerable amount of indirect evidence that superconductivity occurs in the paramagnetic minority phase, until recently only scanning tunnelling spectroscopy of the nano-scale phase separation in $K_xFe_{2−x}Se_2$ thin films has given direct evidence of superconductivity localised in the 122 phase [39]. However, it is difficult from this very high spatial resolution study to see how the properties relate to the characteristic mesoscopic phase separation observed in these crystals. To probe superconductivity and antiferromagnetism at the relevant length scales, Hazi et al. have reported a study combining two different magnetic imaging techniques on $Rb_xFe_{2−x}Se_2$ crystals. Linear dichroism at the Fe 2p edge was imaged with linearly polarised synchrotron x-rays using the wide-field PEEM technique, clearly showing that antiferromagnetic ordering is only present in the matrix. Low temperature MFM used Meissner flux exclusion to confirm that superconductivity is only present in the minority phase features [46]. In addition,
SPEM studies on K$_2$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ have shown clear spatial variations in the occupied density of states within ≈1 eV of the Fermi energy, indicating electronic phase separation into a conducting and an insulating phase [45]. However, the spatial maps showing the distribution of the valence band intensity do not clearly reveal the characteristic phase separated microstructure expected in these crystals. In addition, shallow core level spectroscopy carried out in the same study does not reveal the variation in K concentration between the two phases that is expected from the results presented above. Our preliminary SPEM results on Rb$_2$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ crystals reveal the characteristic two phase microstructure, with the minority phase features having a higher intensity in the valence band images than the matrix, indicating that the minority phase is more electrically conductive than the matrix [42].

Therefore, there is now a strong consensus that alkali metal doped iron selenide should be considered to be intrinsically phase separated, with the minority phase being a weakly-coupled electron doped superconductor, similar to other Fe-based superconductors. The superconducting state in these compounds is still of considerable interest, exhibiting unusually low and isotropic values of the lower critical field $(\mu_0 H_c^1 \lesssim 0.3 \text{ mT})$ and consequently large penetration depths of $\lambda_{cd}(0) \approx 1.8 \mu$m compared with other iron-based and cuprate superconductors [54–56]. Pressure dependent studies also show the unusual emergence of a second superconducting phase at high pressures of around 10 GPa with an even higher $T_c$ of 42 K. It is still not completely clear how this phase separated microstructure which appears to be rather disconnected in the room temperature microscopy [42, 46] exhibits so-called ‘bulk’ superconductivity characterised by a zero-resistance state in transport measurements and a magnetic susceptibility of $-1$. One possible explanation is that the stripey plate-like features actually form fully interconnected networks in three-dimensional space, producing macroscopic percolating paths through the crystal and enabling full magnetic shielding of the insulating antiferromagnetic phase. However, scanning $\mu$-xrd results suggest the presence of a third ‘interface’ phase that forms at temperatures $<30$ K and may also become superconducting at low temperatures, enhancing the macroscopic connectivity, but the sampling depth of $11 \mu$m means that the inclined minority phase features will not be clearly resolved [44]. The valence band SPEM images of Rb$_2$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ taken at around 100 K are also suggestive of a more connected conducting phase, although the probe size may just be too large to resolve the stripey features [42]. Here we report detailed state-of-the-art NanoARPES and magnetic/electrostatic force microscopy of superconducting Rb$_2$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ samples, taken from the same crystals that have previously been characterised by a wide range of techniques including SEM and PEEM to throw light on some of the remaining uncertainties about superconductivity in these very complex crystals.

2. Experimental methods

The experiments reported here have all been carried out on fragments of the same Rb$_2$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ crystal grown by the Bridgman technique detailed in [57] and cooled from 750 K by quenching at a rate of $\sim 200 \text{ K min}^{-1}$. The crystal has an onset $T_c$ value of $\approx 29 \text{ K}$ [46]. Since the material is highly air sensitive, the samples were stored in evacuated quartz tubes and freshly cleaved and mounted in an inert atmosphere glove box immediately prior to measurements. For the synchrotron experiments, cleaved crystals were fixed to dedicated holders using conducting epoxy and cured on a hot plate in the glove box. A top post was also attached to the sample surface with conducting epoxy before transferring the sample in a sealed container to the beamline. The sample was cleaved under UHV conditions to produce a fresh, clean surface for analysis.

SPEM and NanoARPES use a sub-micron photon beam raster scanned across the surface of the sample. The emitted photoelectrons are detected at each pixel. Two different beamlines have been used in this work: the NanoARPES end station on the I05 beamline at Diamond Light Source (DLS) and the Antares beamline at Soleil Synchrotron. In both cases a hemispherical Scienta analyser (R4000 at Soleil, DA30 at DLS) has been used, enabling angle-resolved photoemission spectra to be collected. At DLS, zone plate optics at a photon energy of $h\nu = 90 \text{ eV}$ have been used to focus light into a spot $0.7 \mu$m in size, as measured with a knife edge profile width (10%–90%). An overall ARPES energy resolution of $\approx 50 \text{ meV}$ is achieved using analyser pass energy of 20 eV, analyser slit of 0.3 mm and monochromator slit of 0.2 mm. At Soleil, $h\nu = 100 \text{ eV}$ was used for the valence band and shallow core level spectroscopy. Zone plate optics gave a nominal lateral resolution of around 120 nm and a pass energy of 20 eV, analyser slit of 0.5 mm and monochromator exit slit of 20 $\mu$m were used to obtain a nominal photon beam resolution of 6 meV [58]. A larger pass energy of 100–200 eV was used for core level SPEM, achieving energy resolution of about 200 meV. XPS spectra were fitted with symmetrical 70% Gaussian and 30% Lorentzian peaks using CasaXPS software. The peak positions and FWHM values were kept free in the fit, but the branching ratios of the Se 3d and Rb 4p peaks were fixed at the statistical values of 2/3 and 1/2, respectively.

MFM was performed in an AttoDRY1000 cryostat equipped with a 9 T/3 T superconducting vector magnet using an Attocube MFM-1 microscope with a Point Probe Plus Magnetic Force Microscopy Reflex (PPP1MFM) tip. The transfer time during which the surface was exposed to air was estimated to be less than 1 min. The sample space was evacuated to a base pressure of $10^{-4} \text{ mbar}$, and backfilled with 30 mbar of He gas. Constant height images were obtained using a lift distance of 30 nm using the procedure detailed in [46]. Electrostatic force microscopy was performed by applying a bias voltage of $+2 \text{ V}$ to the conducting tip. In these measurements, a negative phase shift (dark contrast) indicates an increase in the attractive force between the sample and the tip (see the supplementary material available online at stacks.iop.org/SUST/32/044005/mmedia).

Vibrating sample magnetometry was performed in a Quantum Design PPMS equipped with a 16 T superconducting magnet. Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis was carried out in a Zeiss Merlin FEGSEM equipped with a
150 mm² Oxford Instruments x-max detector at 10 kV and analysed using Oxford Instruments Aztec software.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed with the CASTEP software [59] (version 17.1) and employed the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof functional [60], ultrasoft pseudopotentials [61] and a planewave basis-set with a maximum energy of 800 eV. Density of states were calculated using the OptaDOS software [62]. Modelling of various arrangements of the Fe magnetic moment in the 122 structure (figure 1(a)) determined that the antiferromagnetic bi-collinear structure shown in figure 1(b) has the lowest enthalpy, in agreement with Yan et al [63].

3. Valence band spectromicroscopy

The valence band of RbFe₂₆₂ crystals is complex, with contributions from the five Fe 3d electron bands shown in figure 1(d). The NanoARPES end station at DLS has been used to investigate experimentally the valence band (VB) dispersion of the two separate phases in RbFe₂₆₂ crystals at 30 K. Figure 2(a) shows the Fermi surface map taken using the macrofocussing mirror which has a large spot size and therefore gives an aggregate signal from both phases. The electron pockets at the T and P points in the Brillouin zone (BZ) are clearly visible, consistent with the previous results of Maletz et al [36]. Using the Fermi surface map, the crystal was aligned to measure the dispersion along the P–T–P direction, shown in figure 2(b). The band structure calculated using DFT for the RbFe₂₆₂ phase is in good agreement with the data when a renormalisation factor of 3 is used. The strongest feature within 0.2 eV of the Fermi surface is around the T point, originating from a combination of the κ, α, β and ω bands, labelled in figure 1(d). Liu et al found that the κ weak electron-like band is derived from the Fe 3dₓᵧ orbitals admixed with Se 4pₓ orbitals, whilst the hole-like α and β bands are mainly derived from the Fe 3dₓ₂−z₂ and 3dᵧ₂ orbitals and are also seen in (Ba,K)-122 pnictide and Fe(Se,Te) chalcogenide superconductors [64]. The ω band is derived from Fe 3dₓ₂−z₂ and is more strongly dispersive than the α and β bands. The feature around the P point has components from the electron-like κ band previously discussed and the δ band which has complex orbital character.

VB SPEM images have been measured using zone plate optics to reduce the spot size of the incident light to ≈700 nm. The map in figure 3(a) shows the intensity of photoelectrons originating from states within a narrow binding energy range close to the Fermi energy (−0.5–0 eV), integrated over the detector angular range of ±15° (i.e. over all kₓ,y values along the P–T–P direction in the BZ). A square array of bright features is clearly visible. This corresponds to the characteristic network of superconducting minority phase shown in figure 3(b) and discussed in detail elsewhere [42, 46]. The intensity of the photoelectrons has been used to threshold the brightest and darkest 20% of the VB map, highlighting the superconducting phase (blue) and the matrix (red) respectively in figure 4(a). The reconstructed P–T–P BZ cuts from the blue regions shown in figure 4(b) is very similar to the data obtained using the macro-mirror with a much larger spot size in figure 2(b). In contrast, there is almost no contribution to the VB from the red regions of the map (figure 4(c)). This is the first time that such clear VB dispersions have been shown from the separate phases in RbFe₂₆₂ crystals, confirming that the VB features observed using larger spot sizes originate from the minority phase alone.

It is interesting to note that the features visible in the VB map in figure 3, taken at 30 K, do not have the stripey appearance seen in the SEM micrographs or PEEM images taken at room temperature [46]. There are two possible reasons for this: either the spatial resolution of the NanoARPES is not good enough to resolve the stripeyness of the features, or there is an influence of temperature on the electronic properties of sample, with the narrow regions between the fine-scale stripes becoming
conducting/superconducting at low temperature, as suggested by Ricci et al [44]. VB SPEM images taken at Soleil Synchrotron comparing the morphology of the conducting phase at low temperature and room temperature are shown in figure 5. Whilst it is possible that the features in the room temperature image have a slightly more discontinuous appearance, the comparison of these images does not provide conclusive evidence for the second scenario.

4. Magnetic force microscopy

To investigate the morphology of the superconducting phase more closely, MFM has been carried out at a temperature of around 4.6 K, well below $T_c$. Figure 6 shows a series of constant height images taken with a lift distance of 30 nm from the same area of the sample. The sample was initially zero field cooled from above $T_c$ to point A on the magnetisation curve shown in figure 7. The contrast in this phase image is purely topographical, with dark contrast arising from raised features. On applying a field of 100 mT in the positive sense (point B), the characteristic microstructure clearly emerges, with the minority phase appearing bright. This corresponds to the presence of a repulsive force between the tip and surface in these regions, confirming that they are superconducting in nature. Note that the images are shown on different contrast scales; the slight topographical contrast (e.g. dark circular features) can still be made out in image B but the magnetic contrast from the superconducting...
phase dominates. Increasing the applied field further to point C, the contrast has increased slightly as a result of the larger applied field. Sweeping the field down to $-50 \text{ mT}$, image C shows the magnetic contrast is reversed, with the superconducting features now appearing dark. This is because the applied field is now in the opposite direction to the magnetisation of the tip. Increasing the applied field in the negative sense ($-100 \text{ mT}$) reverses the magnetisation direction of the tip as the coercive field of the Co-alloy coating is surpassed [65]. Therefore, in image E the superconducting features appear bright once more. Sweeping back to 0mT the features become less distinct, and reappear on application of a field in the positive sense, with the contrast reversing again as the magnetisation direction of the tip flips back (image I). This interpretation was confirmed by imaging above $T_c$ at 35 K (see supplementary material).

As can clearly be seen in images B, C, E and I, the bright minority phase features appear discontinuous, as in the room temperature microscopy. It is also worth noting that a dark shadow can be observed to one side of each of the superconducting plates. This is attributed to the fact that the superconducting plates lie on the \{113\} planes of the crystal, at an angle to the (a–b) plane. The magnetic field is therefore concentrated near the surface on one side of the superconducting features, as shown schematically in figure 8. The electrostatic interaction between the tip and the sample was also investigated in zero field by applying a tip bias of $+2 \text{ V}$. In these images the conducting features are expected to appear dark, as electrons are free to migrate to the top surface in response to the electric field of the tip resulting in an attractive electrostatic force. As shown in figure 9, the features appears dark confirming that the crystals consist of a conducting minority phase within an insulating matrix.

5. Core level spectromicroscopy

In addition to probing the valence band, synchrotron-based SPEM allows shallow core level spectra from the same regions

---

**Figure 4.** (a) Map showing highest intensity (blue) and lowest intensity (red) regions of the VB map, identifying the 122 superconducting phase and the matrix region respectively. P–T–P BZ cuts generated from the (b) high intensity and (c) low intensity regions using the same colour scale.

**Figure 5.** VB spatial maps taken at the Antares beamline, Soleil Synchrotron at (a) 80 K and (b) room temperature.
of the sample to be mapped. First the overlapping Fe 3p and Se 3d peaks are considered in detail. The data in figure 10 have been obtained from the same 20 × 20 μm area as the VB map in figure 5(a). The spectra reconstructed from the minority and matrix phase regions clearly show the presence of two discernible peaks at slightly different binding energies. Spatial maps generated from different energy windows are striking, not only revealing the classic microstructure of the crystal but also showing reverse contrast between the middle and high binding energy regions; in the middle energy range the features appear bright, whereas in the high binding energy range the features appear dark. Good fits have been obtained to the spectra by

Figure 6. Constant height MFM images taken with a lift height of 30 nm. Sample was zero field cooled to 4.6 K and images were taken as the applied field was changed.

Figure 7. Magnetisation loop from Rb₅Fe₂₋₋₅Se₂ crystal at 4.2 K with the paramagnetic background removed.

Figure 8. Schematic diagrams of (a) the magnetic field distribution around inclined superconducting feature with the position of the MFM tip during constant height measurement indicated by the blue dotted line, and (b) the resulting MFM phase contrast image, showing the dark region to one side of the bright superconducting feature where the expelled field is concentrated.
including two symmetric Fe 3p peaks and two pairs of Se 3d_{2}/3d_{5} doublets. The spin–orbit splitting of ≈0.85 eV of the Se 3d in our fits is consistent with the literature value of 0.86 eV [66], and the branching ratio has been fixed at 2 as this is the statistical value expected from the relative degeneracy of the states. Tables 1 and 2 give the results of the peak fitting for spectra reconstructed from the minority phase and the matrix. The main difference between the two spectra is the relative intensities of the two Se doublets; the minority phase has a lower fraction of the lower binding energy doublet than the matrix. This is consistent with the findings of Liu et al in their study of K-doped FeSe thin films in which the shift of Se 3d to lower binding energy in the 245 K,Fe_{2−y}Se_{2} phase has been attributed to the bonding being more three-dimensional in character compared to the 2D bonding in FeSe [67].

The Fe 3p contribution to the peak has been fitted using two symmetric peaks, allowing the peak positions and areas to vary freely in the fits. It is noted that there is a slight change in the relative peak areas in the spectra from the two phases, with a slightly higher proportion of the high binding energy peak in the minority phase than in the matrix. Robust physical interpretation of this is difficult because both the precise chemical environment of the Fe atoms/ions and their oxidation state will result in the overlapping peaks observed here [68]. However, the Fe 2p spectra taken from the same sample using a larger spot size have been reported previously [46], and are similar to spectra from metallic Fe. Therefore, the higher binding energy contribution is more likely to result from a different chemical environment than from the presence of Fe ions in the 3+ oxidation state. Interestingly, the ratio of

Figure 9. Constant height AFM images at 4.6 K in zero applied field with (a) no tip bias, (b) +2 V tip bias.

Figure 10. (a) Core level spatial maps generated using different energy windows. (b) Fe 3p and Se 3d sum spectrum from the entire map with the energy windows indicated. (c) and (d) show the fits for the spectra reconstructed from the minority and matrix phases, respectively.
the total areas of the Fe 3p and the Se 3d peaks show very similar values in the spectra from both regions of the sample, suggesting there is no significant difference in the relative concentration of Fe and Se in the minority and matrix phases.

Spatial maps of the Rb 4p peak have also been measured from the same area of the sample. As can be seen in figure 11(a) the characteristic features of the microstructure are not clearly visible in the Rb 4p map. In order to compare subtle differences in the Rb 4p spectra, the VB map from the same area was used to generate two masks shown in figure 11(d); the mask for minority phase was generated using 20% by area of the most intense pixels, and mask for majority matrix phase includes 20% by area of the least intense pixels. These masks were applied to the Rb 4p map to reconstruct spectra from the two phases. The very small difference between the matrix and minority phase spectra is statistically negligible after background subtraction (see supplementary material).

6. Discussion

The detailed MFM study has clearly shown that the superconducting phase has a stripy morphology consistent with the room temperature electron microscopy of crystals (from the same batch) [42]. The SPEM studies using the NanoARPES instruments on the I05 beamline at DLS and on the Antares beamline at Soleil Synchrotron do not clearly resolve the stripy morphology of the superconducting phase. This is probably because the lateral spatial resolution of these instruments is not good enough to resolve these fine-scale features. However, photoemission spectroscopy is a very sensitive technique; electrons with kinetic energies between 20 and 200 eV have inelastic mean free path values in solids of about 5 Å [69, 70], resulting in sampling depths as small as one to two atomic monolayers between solid state and vacuum. Therefore it is possible that the cleaved surface is not representative of the bulk crystal. The core level SPEM images also suggest that there is not a dramatic difference in the Fe:Se ratio or the Rb content in the two different phases. This was also observed in an earlier SPEM study by Bendele et al on related K$_x$Fe$_{2−x}$Se$_2$ crystals in which Se 3d and K 3p maps were found to be featureless [45]. For comparison purposes SEM/EDX analysis on the same as-grown crystals is shown in figure 12. The minority phase has a slightly higher Fe content and lower Rb content than the matrix, although the difference in chemical composition is not as dramatic as reported previously for annealed crystals in which the minority phase features are significantly larger making quantitative analysis more reliable [42]. The Se content is uniform throughout the crystal. Monte Carlo modelling using Casino v2.5.1.0 software reveals 90% of the emitted x-rays originate from a region of radius ≈40 nm and depth ≈400 nm for the 10 keV electron beam used in this experiment (see supplementary material). Therefore, EDX gives information about the bulk composition with good lateral resolution, whereas XPS gives information of the surface chemistry with poorer lateral resolution. We can speculate that the chemistry of the cleaved surface of the crystal may be different to the bulk. For instance, the intercalated Rb is very mobile and is known to readily diffuse to the surface if there is an availability of oxygen in the environment. In addition, in both this NanoARPES study at DLS and in our previous PEEM experiment [46] the surface was observed to degrade even in ultra high vacuum over extended time periods, which supports the argument that the surfaces are not stable.

The influence of sub-stoichiometric Rb occupation in the 122 structure has been explored by performing DFT calculations on supercells with different vacancy fractions and ordering. For 50% Rb occupation, in the lowest enthalpy ordered structure the Rb atoms occupy (110) planes, as shown in figure 13(a). This is consistent with the results of Porter et al on the Cs-122 system [27]. The total density of states (DOS) derived from the calculations of the lowest enthalpy structures shows that there are only subtle differences between the 25%, 50% and 75% Rb occupation structures, but the 100% Rb (vacancy-free) structure has a significantly smoother density of states profile, as shown in figure 13. Introduction of vacancies can also be seen to increase

### Table 1. Binding energy (BE), peak area and full-width half-maximum (FWHM) peak fitting results of the Se 3d/Fe 3p peak.

|        | Minority phase | Matrix |
|--------|----------------|--------|
| Peak   | BE (eV)        | Area   | FWHM (eV) | BE (eV) | Area   | FWHM (eV) |
| Se 3d  |                |        |          |        |        |          |
| $j = \frac{3}{2}$ | 53.78 | 11 841 | 0.54 | 53.78 | 12 792 | 0.53 |
| $j = \frac{1}{2}$ | 54.65 | 7898  | 0.54 | 54.65 | 8532  | 0.53 |
| $j = \frac{3}{2}$ | 52.29 | 5045  | 0.55 | 54.29 | 4186  | 0.55 |
| $j = \frac{1}{2}$ | 55.12 | 3365  | 0.55 | 55.12 | 2792  | 0.55 |
| Fe 3p  |                |        |          |        |        |          |
| (1)    | 53.86          | 18 512 | 1.47 | 53.86 | 22 374 | 1.45 |
| (2)    | 55.12          | 23 014 | 2.20 | 55.12 | 22 374 | 2.19 |

### Table 2. Summary of the XPS Se 3d/Fe 3p results for the minority phase and the matrix.

|                        | Minority phase | Matrix |
|------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Spin–orbit splitting Se 3d |                |        |          |        |        |          |
| (1)                    | 0.87 eV        | 0.87 eV |          |        |        |          |
| (2)                    | 0.83 eV        | 0.83 eV |          |        |        |          |
| Chemical shift Se 3d   |                |        |          |        |        |          |
| (1)                    | 0.47-0.51 eV   | 0.47-0.51 eV |        |        |        |          |
| Area ratio Se (1)/Se (2)| 2.3            | 3.1    |          |        |        |          |
| Area ratio Fe (1)/Fe (2)| 0.80           | 0.87   |          |        |        |          |
| Area Fe (total)/Se (total) | 0.68         | 0.68   |          |        |        |          |
the DOS in the low binding energy range (within 0.2 eV of the Fermi level). The experimental energy dispersive curve has been reconstructed from the minority phase region of the VB map shown in figure 11(b) by averaging over the entire angular range of the detector. However, owing to the broad nature of the experimental data, it is not possible to make a definitive statement about which structural model is more representative.

7. Conclusions

This study has used a combination of synchrotron SPEM and MFM to explore the electronic structure, magnetic properties and chemistry of the separate co-existing phases in Rb\textsubscript{x}Fe\textsubscript{2−y}Se\textsubscript{2} single crystals. Consistent with previous studies, the minority phase is clearly shown to have a higher occupied...
Soleil NanoARPES data.

density of states at the Fermi level, with good agreement between the experimental band dispersion and the DFT calculations. However, the microstructure appears to be a network of continuous features from the VB maps. The MFM study confirms the minority phase is superconducting in nature and has a stripey, discontinuous morphology similar to that observed in previous room temperature microscopy studies. The difference in the morphology of the superconducting phase has been attributed to the lower lateral resolution of the NanoARPES compared to MFM and electron microscopy. Since the crystals are found to have bulk superconducting properties in magnetisation measurements and exhibit zero resistance in transport measurements, the minority superconducting phase must be sufficiently interconnected in three-dimensions to allow macroscopic supercurrent transport even though the 2D picture from the cleaved surface suggest the features are discontinuous. The nature of the interphase region between the superconducting platelets is still a question of debate, as higher resolution spectroscopic techniques are required to study this effectively and the extreme air sensitivity of the samples makes reliable TEM very difficult.

Core level spectromicroscopy reveals that there is no dramatic difference between the chemical composition of the two phases, at least in the surface region probed by this technique. There are subtle differences in the Se 3d spectra, with the minority phase having a larger contribution from the high binding energy state which has been associated with the dominance of two-dimensional bonding within FeSe layers [67]. In contrast, the matrix has a larger contribution from the low binding energy state associated with the three-dimensional bonding in the 2.45 Fe vacancy ordered phase. The minority phase is also found to have a slightly higher Rb 4p intensity than the matrix, which is consistent with previous neutron studies which find an x ≈ 0.6 in RbFe2−xSe2[24].

More generally, this study has demonstrated that the combination of nano-focussed ARPES and MFM are powerful techniques for understanding the electronic and magnetic properties of inhomogeneous superconductors, with the characteristic morphology of the phase separation in AFe2−xSe2 making this a particularly good material for making direct comparisons between different imaging techniques. Since phase separation and spatial inhomogeneity is a common theme in the iron-based superconductors, these techniques will be valuable in the study of other novel superconductors.
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