RpoS Regulates Essential Virulence Factors Remaining to Be Identified in *Borrelia burgdorferi*
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Abstract

**Background:** Since the RpoN-RpoS regulatory network was revealed in the Lyme disease spirochete *Borrelia burgdorferi* a decade ago, both upstream and downstream of the pathway have been intensively investigated. While significant progress has been made into understanding of how the network is regulated, most notably, discovering a relationship of the network with Rrp2 and BosR, only three crucial virulence factors, including outer surface protein C (OspC) and decorin-binding proteins (Dbps) A and B, are associated with the pathway. Moreover, for more than 10 years no single RpoS-controlled gene has been found to be critical for infection, raising a question about whether additional RpoS-dependent virulence factors remain to be identified.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** The *rpoS* gene was deleted in *B. burgdorferi*, resulting mutants were modified to constitutively express all the known virulence factors, OspC, DbpA and DbpB. This genetic modification was unable to restore the *rpoS* mutant with infectivity.

**Conclusions/Significance:** The inability to restore the *rpoS* mutant with infectivity by simultaneously over-expressing all the three virulence factors allows us to conclude RpoS also regulates essential genes that remain to be identified in *B. burgdorferi*.

Introduction

The Lyme disease spirochete *Borrelia burgdorferi* has three σ factors, including the major factor, RpoD (σ70), and two alternative factors, RpoN (σ54) and RpoS (σ26). A pioneering study by Norgard and colleagues published in 2001 successfully associated the two alternative factors to form a regulatory network, in which RpoS expression depends on RpoN and controls expression of at least three important surface lipoproteins including outer surface protein C (OspC), and decorin-binding proteins (Dbps) A and B [1]. This started the era of intensively investigating both up- and down-stream of the RpoN-RpoS pathway [2]. Yang *et al.* promptly added the response regulator Rrp2 to the pathway, in which Rrp2 controls the activity of RpoN, which in turn controls RpoS expression [3]. Recently Yang and colleagues further showed Rrp2 can simply be activated by acetyl-phosphate [4]. Another line of study by at least two independent groups showed BosR is essential for expression of RpoS [3–8]. A third line of investigation led by Samuels showed small RNA is also involved in regulation of RpoS [9]. These studies have significantly advanced understanding of how the RpoN-RpoS network is regulated but also highlight the complicated nature of the network.

In contrast to significant progress made towards understanding of the upstream regulation of the RpoN-RpoS pathway, very little information has been gained regarding virulence genes regulated by the pathway since initial identification of the network. Fisher *et al.* by using microarray analysis showed RpoN and RpoS can either independently regulate many genes or form an RpoN-RpoS regulatory cascade to regulate even more genes [10]. By using the same technique Radolf and colleagues identified 137 genes, whose expression is differentially regulated by RpoS [11]. While this screening technique was unable to expand knowledge on the spectrum of virulence genes controlled by RpoS, when careful studies focusing on an individual gene was conducted, several genes, including *bba64*, *bb0844*, *bba07* and *bbk32* (fibronectin-binding protein gene) have been confirmed to be RpoS-dependent [12–14] since the RpoN-RpoS pathway was discovered more than a decade ago [1]. Among these newly identified RpoS-regulated factors, only BBA07 and BBK32 were shown some roles in mammalian infection [13,15–17].

No new crucial virulence factor controlled by RpoS has been identified after 10 years of intensive investigation, raising a question about whether the RpoN-RpoS pathway also regulates additional important genes. As deletion of the *ospC* gene alone abrogates infectivity, the essential role of RpoS in mammalian infection can be simply explained as its role in controlling OspC expression [18]. Moreover, lack of either DbpA or DbpB dramatically reduces infectivity, and deletion of both causes an accumulative effect [19–22]. To examine whether RpoS regulates essential genes that remain to be identified, the *rpoS* gene was first
deleted. A resulting mutant was then modified to constitutively express ospC, dbpA and dbpB, and inoculated into mice. The inability to restore infectivity by simultaneously over-expressing the three crucial virulence factors indicated that other essential gene(s) controlled by the RpoN-RpoS pathway remains to be identified.

**Materials and Methods**

**Construction of disruption plasmid pSKO**

To delete the *rpoS* gene, a disruption plasmid, pSKO, was constructed. Briefly, a 6485-bp fragment with introduced Acc65I and NcoI restriction sites at the ends, consisting of a partial sequence of the open-reading frame (ORF) *bb0767*, the entire ORFs for *bb0768, bb0769, bb0770, bb0771* (*rpoS*), *bb0772 and bb0773*, and a part of the ORF *bb0774*, was PCR amplified using the primers P1F and P1R (Fig. 1A; Table 1). The resulting amplicon was digested with the restriction enzymes Acc65I and NcoI, purified using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN Inc., Valencia, CA), and cloned into the vector pNCO1TS, as described previously [19], creating an intermediate construct, pNCO1TS. It was then used as a template to generate to a fragment with *bb0771* deleted by using the primers P2F and P2R. A gentamicin cassette (*aacC1*) was amplified from the vector pBSV2G (a gift from P. Rosa and P. Stewart) with use of the primers P4F and P4R [23]. The two amplicons were pooled, purified, digested with BamHI and NcoI, and ligated to complete construction of pSKO.

**Deletion of the *rpoS* locus**

The *B. burgdorferi* B31 13A spirochetes were grown to late-logarithmic (log) phase in Barbour-Stoenner-Kelly H (BSK-H) complete medium (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) at 33°C. The clone 13A was derived from a highly transformable clone, the *B. burgdorferi* B31 5A13, which harbors 20 plasmids but lacks lp25 [24]. Further loss of lp56 makes the clone 13A more transformable [25]. Approximately 10 μg of pSKO DNA was electroporated into the 13A spirochetes harvested from a 40-ml culture; resulting gentamicin-resistant clones were screened as described previously [26]. The replacement of *rpoS* with the *aacC1* cassette was confirmed by PCR using the primers P3F and P3R unique for *rpoS* (Fig. 1A; Table 1), and P5F and P5R specific for the *aacC1* cassette (Fig. 1B).

**trans-Complementation of *rpoS* mutant and modification of the mutant to simultaneously express OspC, DbpA and DbpB**

Two constructs were generated as illustrated in Fig. 2. Briefly, a 1329-bp sequence, containing the entire *rpoS* gene including the upstream, coding and downstream regions, was amplified with use of the primers P6F and P6R (Table 1), digested with BamHI and XbaI, purified, and cloned into the shuttle vector pBBE22 [27].

![Figure 1. Generation of *rpoS* mutant.](image-url)

*Figure 1. Generation of *rpoS* mutant.* (A) Diagram of the *rpoS* locus (*bb0771*) and adjacent ORFs. The binding sites of six primers, i.e. P1F to P3F and P1R to P3R, are also indicated. (B) Diagram of the disrupted *rpoS* locus, showing a major portion of *rpoS* gene is replaced with the *aacC1* cassette (grey arrow). The small arrow points a residual portion of *rpoS*. The binding sites of primers P4F, P5F, P5R and P4R are also indicated. (C&D) PCR analysis of *rpoS* mutant. The 13A spirochetes, the disruption plasmid pSKO, and ArpoS were used as DNA sources and subjected to PCR amplification using the primers P3F and P3R producing an amplicon of 111 bps (C), and the primers P5F and P5R generating an amplicon of 517 bps (D).

**Table 1. Primers used in the study.**

| Primer | Sequence (5’ to 3’) |
|--------|---------------------|
| P1F    | ATGGTACCAGGAAGTGGAAGCGAT |
| P1R    | ACCGCTAGCAAGTGCTTGAGAAATA |
| P2F    | ACCATGGCAAGGCCTAGTTGAG  |
| P2R    | ACCGAAATCCAGACCTGATATATCATC |
| P3F    | CAGTAAGAGAACAAGCCTACTATTACT |
| P3R    | GTCGAAATGTTTGGCATATATCT |
| P4F    | AACAGGAGCGCTAGTTAATACCGAGCTT |
| P4R    | AACCATGAGCTGACCCATAGGAA |
| P5F    | TACCGGTGTATAGCAAATAG |
| P5R    | GACTCGGAGATCGATAGATATAAG |
| P6F    | AAGGATCCTTTGAAGAAATTGGATGAA |
| P6R    | CCTCTAGAGCCTAGTACAAATAGAGAC |
| P7F    | AAGGTACCAAGATAGAGAGGAAGAGTG |
| P7R    | TAGGACTCTAAAGTTTGGACTTTT |
| P8F    | AAGGATCCTAAATTTAAGAAAGGAGAA |
| P8R    | AACTGCGAGCTGCTGATACCGAGCAAGAG |

The underlined sequences are restriction enzyme sites: Acc65I sites (P1F and P7F), BamHI sites (P2R, P4F, P6F, P7R and P8F), NcoI sites (P2F and P4R), a Nhel site (P1R), a PstI site (P8R), and an XbaI site (P6R).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0053212.t001
after the vector was digested with the same enzymes, to complete construction of pBBE22-rpoS (Fig. 2A).

To construct pME22- ospC<sub>A9</sub>, the fragment flaB<sub>P</sub>-ospC was amplified from pBBE22-ospC<sub>A9</sub> with use of the primers P7<sub>F</sub> and P7<sub>R</sub>, digested with Acc<sub>65I</sub> and Bam<sub>HI</sub>, purified, and cloned into the shuttle vector pME22, to create pME22-ospC<sub>A9</sub> (Fig. 2B). pBBE22-ospC<sub>A9</sub> and pME22 were generated in our earlier studies [19, 28]. A 1418-bp promoterless dbpBA operon, including the entire dbpBA coding region, the space between the two genes, 25 bps of upstream sequence (from the dbpB start codon) and 218 bps of downstream sequence (from the dbpA stop codon), was amplified from borrelial DNA with use of the primer P8<sub>F</sub> and P8<sub>R</sub>, digested with Bam<sub>HI</sub> and Pst<sub>I</sub>, purified, and cloned into pME22-ospC<sub>A9</sub>, to complete construction of pME22-C<sub>9</sub>B<sub>9</sub>A<sub>9</sub> (Fig. 2B). All inserts were sequenced to ensure that the inserts and their flanking sequences were as designed.

Four constructs, pBBE22, pBBE22-rpoS, pBBE22-ospC<sub>A9</sub> and pME22-C<sub>9</sub>B<sub>9</sub>A<sub>9</sub>, were electroporated into the rpoS mutant; resulting transformants were identified as previously described [25]. pME22-C<sub>9</sub>B<sub>9</sub>A<sub>9</sub> was also electroporated into the parental clone 13A as a control. Plasmid analyses were performed as described in our earlier study [26]. Restoration of OspC, DbpA

---

**Figure 2. trans-Complementation of the rpoS mutant and modification of the mutant to constitutively express OspC and to simultaneously express OspC, DbpA and DbpB.** (A&B) Construction of pBBE22-rpoS and pME22-C<sub>9</sub>B<sub>9</sub>A<sub>9</sub>. All restriction enzyme sites and primer binding sites used for plasmid construction are labeled. (C) Confirmation of OspC, DbpA and DbpB production by immunoblotting. The parental clone 13A and transformants ΔrpoS/E22, ΔrpoS/rpoS, ΔrpoS/ospC<sub>A9</sub>, ΔrpoS/C<sub>9</sub>B<sub>9</sub>A<sub>9</sub> and 13A/C<sub>9</sub>B<sub>9</sub>A<sub>9</sub> were grown to late-log phase in BSK-H complete medium, harvested by centrifugation and subjected to immunoblot analyses probed with a mixture of FlaB mAb and OspC mAb (top), or mouse anti-DbpA (middle) or -DbpB sera (bottom). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0053212.g002

---

**Table 2. Constructs and clones used in the study.**

| Construct or clone | Description | Source |
|-------------------|-------------|--------|
| pBBE22           | pBSV2 carrying a bbe22 copy | Reference [27] |
| pME22<sup>a</sup> | pBSV2 carrying a bbe22 copy | Reference [19] |
| pBBE22-ospC<sup>C</sup> | pBBE22 carrying promoterless ospC fused with flaB promoter | Reference [28] |
| pME22-C<sub>9</sub>B<sub>9</sub>A<sub>9</sub> | pME22 carrying promoterless ospC, dbpB and dbpA fused with flaB promoter | This study |
| 13A              | Cloned from the B. burgdorferi B31 5A13 | Reference [25] |
| ΔrpoS            | rpoS mutant | This study |
| ΔrpoS/rpoS       | ΔrpoS receiving pBBE22 carrying a wild-type rpoS copy | This study |
| ΔrpoS/E22        | ΔrpoS receiving pBBE22 | This study |
| ΔrpoS/ospC<sup>C</sup> | ΔrpoS expressing ospC driven by flaB promoter | This study |
| ΔrpoS/C<sub>9</sub>B<sub>9</sub>A<sub>9</sub> | ΔrpoS expressing ospC, dbpB and dbpA driven by flaB promoter | This study |

<sup>a</sup>pME22 was modified from pBBE22 by removing the bbe22 copy from the Acc65I site to the AatII in order to make the Acc65I site available for cloning [19]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0053212.t002
and DbpB expression due to introduction of pBBE22-rpoS, overexpression of OspC due to introduction of pBBE22-ospC9, and simultaneous overexpression of OspC, DbpA and DbpB due to introduction of pME22-C9B9A9 were confirmed by immunoblot analyses, performed as described in our earlier studies [19,25].

Growth rate estimation

*B. burgdorferi* was grown at 33°C to late log phase (approximately 10^8 cells/ml) in BSK-H medium, and then diluted to 10^5 cells/ml with the medium. Cell numbers were determined once a day for 10 days under dark-field microscopy.

Adaptation of *B. burgdorferi* in host-adapted mammalian environment

Host-adapted spirochetes were prepared in a dialysis membrane chamber (DMC) as described by Akins *et al* [29]. The Spectra/Por® 6 Standard Grade Regenerated Cellulose dialysis membrane with molecular weight cut-off of 8 kDa (Spectrum Laboratories Inc., Rancho Dominguez, CA) was treated with 5 mM EDTA and then autoclaved. Using the standard aseptic surgical procedure, a sterilized DMC was filled with 5 ml of 10^3 spirochetes per ml suspended in complete BSK-H medium, and implanted into the peritonea of a Sprague-Dawley rat (6–8 weeks old; Division of Laboratory Animal Medicine at Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA). The DMC was harvested at different time points ranging from 2 to 10 weeks. All animal procedures described here and below were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Louisiana State University.

Infection study

Severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice on a BALB/c background (age, 4 to 6 weeks; provided by the Division of Laboratory Animal Medicine at Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA) each received one single intradermal/subcutaneous injection of 10^5 spirochetes. Inoculated animals were euthanized 1 month post-inoculation; heart, tibiotarsal joint and skin specimens were aseptically collected for spirochete culture as described previously [26].

**Estimation of tissue spirochetal load**

Heart, joint, and skin specimens were harvested from infected mice and extracted for DNA. DNA was quantified for the copy numbers of *flaB* and murine actin genes by quantitative PCR.

| Table 3. Simultaneous expression of OspC, DbpA and DbpB was unable to restore the rpoS mutant with infectivity. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Clone | No. of cultures positive/total specimens examined | No. of mice infected/total mice inoculated |
| | Heart | Joint | Skin | All sites | |
| ΔrpoS/rpoS | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 18/18 | 6/6 |
| ΔrpoS/E22 | 0/6 | 0/6 | 0/6 | 0/18 | 0/6 |
| ΔrpoS/ospC | 0/6 | 0/6 | 0/6 | 0/18 | 0/6 |
| ΔrpoS/C9B9A9 | 0/6 | 0/6 | 0/6 | 0/18 | 0/6 |
| 13A/C9B9A9 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 18/18 | 6/6 |

*Groups of six SCID mice were inoculated with 10^5 organisms of the transformant ΔrpoS/rpoS, ΔrpoS/E22, ΔrpoS/ospC, ΔrpoS/C9B9A9 or 13A/C9B9A9 and sacrificed 1 month later. Heart, tibiotarsal joint and skin specimens were harvested for spirochete culture.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0053212.t003

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0053212.g004
(qPCR) as described previously [26]. The tissue spirochete burden was expressed as flaB DNA copies per 10^6 host cells (2 × 10^6 actin DNA copies).

Quick clearance study

BALB/c SCID mice were given two intradermal/subcutaneous injections of 10^9 spirochetes. The two inoculation sites were at least 2 cm apart. Animals were sacrificed 24 and 48 hours later; inoculation site skin tissues were harvested for spirochete isolation as described previously [26].

Results and Discussion

Generation of rpoS mutant

Thirteen gentamicin-resistant clones were obtained from electroporation of pSKO into the 13A spirochetes. Plasmid content analyses led to selection of one clone, namely, ΔpsoS, which had lost cp9, lp5 and lp21, in addition to lp25 and lp56. The replacement of rpoS with the aceCI cassette was confirmed by PCR using the primers unique for rpoS (Figure 1C), and P5F and P5R specific for the aceCI cassette (Figure 1D).

trans-Complementation of the rpoS mutant and modification of the mutant to simultaneously produce OspC, DbpA and DbpB

Because the parental clone 13A and ΔpsoS lost lp25, the plasmid that carries the gene bbe22 coding for a nicotinamidase essential for survival of B. burgdorferi in the mammalian environment, the recombinant plasmids pBBE22 and pME22, which harbor a copy of bbe22, were used as shuttle vectors [19,27]. pME22 was modified from pBBE22 by replacing the restriction enzyme site XbaI with two sites, Acc65I and PstI. This modification made it easy to insert a large insert into the vector. The features of the constructs are summarized in Table 2. pBBE22-ΔpsoS and pME22-C'BA' were generated as illustrated in Figure 2. pBBE22-ΔpsoS was constructed by cloning the full-length psoS gene into pBBE22. pME22-C'BA' was created by first cloning a promoterless ospC gene fused with the flaB promoter into pME22 from pBBE22-ospC, followed by inserting a promoterless dbpBA gene. This construct was designed in this way in order to use a single flaB promoter to drive constitutive OspC, DbpA and DbpB expression. pBBE22-ospC were constructed in an earlier study [27,28].

The four constructs were electroporated into ΔpsoS; pME22-C'BA' was also introduced into the parental clone 13A. Between 13 and 23 transformants were obtained from transformation with each construct. Plasmid analyses led to identification of one or two clones receiving each construct. These nine clones had the same plasmid content as ΔpsoS, which lost cp9, lp5, lp21, lp25 and lp56.

Restoration of OspC, DbpA and DbpB expression resulting from transformation was confirmed by immunoblotting. As shown in Figure 2C, the clone ΔpsoS/E22 didn’t express any of the three surface lipoproteins as it received the empty plasmid pBBE22. As expected, transformation with the construct pBBE22-ospC restored the ΔpsoS mutant with production of all the three lipoproteins. As the function of pBBE22-ospC to drive OspC expression was already confirmed in our previous study [28], introduction of this construct, also as expected, resulted in abundant OspC production. This was the first time a single promoter was used to drive expression of three fused genes in B. burgdorferi. As designed, pME22-C'BA' did successfully confer the ΔpsoS mutant with constitutive production of OspC, DbpA and DbpB (Figure 2C). As a control, pME22-C'BA' was introduced into 13A. Although the parental strain contained the normal psoS gene, whose product was expected to drive active production of the three as well as other RpoS-dependent lipoproteins, introduction of the construct did result in high levels of OspC, DbpA and DbpB production as it did in the rpoS mutant, probably because of the space limitation on the spirochetal surface where the three antigens have to share with other lipoproteins.

Simultaneous expression of OspC, DbpA and DbpB doesn’t alter in vitro growth

We didn’t notice any growth defects of the transformants during selection processes. Nevertheless, to rule out any possibility of growth defects resulting from modification of simultaneously constitutive expression of the lipoproteins, we carefully examined in vitro growth rates. As shown in Figure 3, all the three examined transformants, ΔpsoS/psoS, ΔpsoS/ospC', ΔpsoS/C'BA' and 13A/C'BA', produced similar growth curves as the parental clone 13A.

Simultaneous expression of OspC, DbpA and DbpB fails to restore the rpoS mutant with infectivity

To examine if abundant production of the three critical virulence factors is able to overcome the absence of RpoS, groups of six SCID mice were inoculated with a single intradermal/subcutaneous dose of 10^9 organisms of the clone ΔpsoS/E22, ΔpsoS/psoS, ΔpsoS/ospC', ΔpsoS/C'BA' and 13A/C'BA'. Immuno-deficient mice were used because constitutive expression of the three surface lipoproteins or even OspC alone may lead to clearance by specific antibodies induced during infection of immunocompetent mice [28]. Mice were sacrificed 1 month post-inoculation; heart, tibiotarsal joint and skin specimens were harvested for spirochete culture. All of the six mice that received ΔpsoS/psoS were infected, demonstrating that the ΔpsoS was fully competent via supplementation with a wild-type psoS gene.

Table 4. Simultaneous expression of OspC, DbpA and DbpB was unable to protect the rpoS mutant from quick clearance.

| Clone         | No. of sites positive/Total no. of sites examined at post-inoculation hours |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|               | 24 hours | 48 hours |
| ΔpsoS/ΔpsoS   | 0/6      | 0/6      |
| ΔpsoS/E22     | 0/6      | 0/6      |
| ΔpsoS/ospC    | 0/6      | 0/6      |
| ΔpsoS/C'BA'   | 0/6      | 0/6      |

*Groups of six SCID mice each received two intradermal/subcutaneous injections of the transformant ΔpsoS/ΔpsoS, ΔpsoS/E22, ΔpsoS/ospC or ΔpsoS/C'BA'. Approximately 10^9 organisms were administered in each inoculation; two inoculation sites were at least 2 cm apart. Three animals from each group were euthanized at 24 and 48 hour post-inoculation; skin specimens were harvested from inoculation sites and cultured for spirochetes in BSK-H complete medium.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0053212.t004
groups of six SCID mice were given two intradermal/subcutaneous injections of OspC, DbpA and DbpB, may impair dissemination and restrict infectivity or to protect from quick clearance. Our study clearly demonstrates that RpoS controls essential virulence factors that remain to be identified.
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