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1. Introduction. For an odd prime $p$ and an integer $u$ with $\gcd(u, p) = 1$, the Fermat quotient $q_p(u)$ is defined as the unique integer

$$q_p(u) \equiv \frac{u^{p-1} - 1}{p} \mod p \quad \text{with } 0 \leq q_p(u) \leq p - 1,$$

and

$$q_p(kp) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

An equivalent definition is

$$q_p(u) \equiv \frac{u^{p-1} - u^{p(p-1)}}{p} \mod p. \tag{1.1}$$

Many number-theoretic and cryptographic questions as well as measures of pseudorandomness have been studied for Fermat quotients and their generalizations [1–3, 5–7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 20, 21, 24, 28, 30–34].

In particular, for all positive integers $w$, we extend (1.1) to define

$$q_{p,w}(u) \equiv \frac{u^w - u^{wp}}{p} \mod p \quad \text{with } 0 \leq q_{p,w}(u) \leq p - 1, \ u \geq 0, \tag{1.2}$$

which is called a polynomial quotient in [12]. In fact $q_{p,p-1}(u) = q_p(u)$. We have the following relation between $q_{p,w}(u)$ and $q_p(u)$:

$$q_{p,w}(u) \equiv -u^w q_p(u) \mod p \tag{1.3}$$

for all $u \geq 0$ with $\gcd(u, p) = 1$. In particular, we get $q_{p,w}(kp) = 0$ if $w \geq 2$, and $q_{p,w}(kp) = k$ if $w = 1$. We estimated certain character sums of polynomial quotients in [12]. Recently the first author (partly with other coauthors) also applied polynomial quotients to construct pseudorandom sequences with good cryptographic properties [8, 10, 16].
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In this paper, first we study interpolation polynomials of polynomial quotients (including the number of fixed points of polynomial quotients) and the size of value sets of polynomial quotients defined in [1,2]. Then we apply results on the size of value sets to study an analogue of the Waring problem for polynomial quotients, that is, the question about the smallest positive integer \( s \), which is called the Waring number and denoted by \( g(w, N, p) \), such that the equation

\[
q_{p,w}(u_1) + \cdots + q_{p,w}(u_s) \equiv c \mod p, \quad 0 \leq u_1, \ldots, u_s < N \ (\leq p),
\]

is solvable for any \( c \in \mathbb{F}_p \). If such an \( s \) does not exist, or equivalently \( q_{p,w}(0) = \cdots = q_{p,w}(N - 1) = 0 \), we set \( g(w, N, p) = \infty \). Let \( \ell \) be the smallest value with \( q_{p,w}(\ell) \not\equiv 0 \mod p \). Then the Waring number \( g(w, N, p) \) always exists if \( N > \ell \). Indeed, it is easy to see that \( g(w, N, p) \leq p - 1 \) for \( N > \ell \). For \( w = p - 1 \) (and thus for all \( w \not\equiv 0 \mod p \) by (1.3)), \( \ell \) is estimated in [3] by \( \ell \leq (\log p)^{463/252+o(1)} \) for all \( p \), which has more recently been improved to \( (\log p)^{7829/4284+o(1)} \) in [29].

Let us denote by \( F(w, N, p; f(x)) \) the number of solutions \( 0 \leq u < N \) of \( q_{p,w}(u) \equiv f(u) \) for \( f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \):

\[
F(w, N, p; f(x)) = \#\{u \in \{0, \ldots, N - 1\} : q_{p,w}(u) \equiv f(u) \mod p\}, \quad N \leq p.
\]

In particular, \( F(w, N, p; x) \) is the number of fixed points of \( q_{p,w} \). We prove upper bounds on \( F(w, N, p; f(x)) \) in Section 2.

Denote by \( V(w, N, p) \) the size of the value set of \( q_{p,w}(u) \) with \( 0 \leq u < N \):

\[
V(w, N, p) = \#\{q_{p,w}(u) : u = 0, \ldots, N - 1\}, \quad N \leq p.
\]

If \( w = kp \) for any positive integer \( k \), we have \( q_{p,kp}(u) = 0 \) by (1.3), and thus

\[
F(kp, N, p; f(x)) \leq \min\{N, \deg(f(x))\}, \quad V(kp, N, p) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad g(kp, N, p) = \infty.
\]

For any positive \( w \) with \( p \nmid w \), write \( w = w_1 + w_2(p - 1) \) with \( 1 \leq w_1 \leq p - 1 \) and \( w_2 \geq 0 \). By (1.3) again one can get

\[
q_{p,w_1+w_2(p-1)}(u) \equiv -u^{w_1}(w_1 - w_2)q_p(u),
\]

and thus for \( N \leq p \),

\[
F(w_1 + w_2(p - 1), N, p; f(x)) = F(w_1, N, p; w_1(w_1 - w_2)^{-1}f(x)), \quad V(w_1 + w_2(p - 1), N, p) = V(w_1, N, p), \quad g(w_1 + w_2(p - 1), N, p) = g(w_1, N, p).
\]

(Note that \( w_1 \not\equiv w_2 \mod p \) since \( p \nmid w \).) Hence, we may restrict ourselves to \( 1 \leq w \leq p - 1 \) from now on.

We recall that the classical Waring problem is an important research field in number theory that investigates the smallest \( s \) such that every element of \( \mathcal{R} \) is a sum of \( s \) \( k \)th powers in \( \mathcal{R} \), where \( \mathcal{R} \) is an algebraic structure such as the integers, a finite field, the residue ring modulo \( m \), a polynomial ring,
a function field, etc. (see e.g. [23, 36, 38]). Recently, the second author and other coauthors considered the Waring problem for Dickson polynomials in finite fields [19, 25, 26].

2. Interpolation of polynomial quotients. In this section we prove bounds on \( F(w, N, p; f(x)) \). We start with a result which is nontrivial if either \( w \) is very large, or \( \gcd(w, p - 1) \) is moderately large.

**Theorem 2.1.** For \( 1 \leq w < p \) and \( f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \) of degree \( d \), let
\[
F(w, N, p; f(x)) = \# \left\{ u \in \{0, \ldots, N - 1\} : q_{p,w}(u) \equiv f(u) \mod p \right\}, \quad N \leq p.
\]
Then
\[
F(w, N, p; f(x)) \ll \min \left\{ (p - 1 - w + d)^{1/4} N^{1/2} p^{1/3}, (p - 1 - w + d)^{1/8} N^{1/2} p^{3/8}, \frac{1}{\gcd(w, p - 1)} d^{1/4} N^{1/2} p^{4/3}, \frac{1}{\gcd(w, p - 1)} d^{1/8} N^{1/2} p^{11/8} \right\}.
\]

**Proof.** By applying (1.3) we reduce the problem for any \( w \) to the case \( w = p - 1 \) (the interpolation of Fermat quotients), i.e., we only need to estimate the number of \( 0 \leq u < N \) satisfying
\[
-(u^w w q_{p}(u)) \equiv f(u) \mod p.
\]
We prove two different bounds.

**Bound 1.** By (2.1) we have \( q_{p}(u) \equiv -w^{-1} u^{p-1-w} f(u) \mod p \). We get
\[
F(w, N, p; f(x)) \ll \min \left\{ \left( \deg(x^{p-1-w} f(x)) \right)^{1/4} N^{1/2} p^{1/3}, 1 \leq \deg(x^{p-1-w} f(x)) \leq p^{1/3}, \left( \deg(x^{p-1-w} f(x)) \right)^{1/8} N^{1/2} p^{3/8}, p^{1/3} < \deg(x^{p-1-w} f(x)) < p \right\},
\]
by [14, Theorem 1]. We remark that the proof of [14, Lemma 1] (which deals only with \( N = p \)) can be easily extended to \( N \leq p \). The bound is nontrivial only for \( p - w = o(p) \).

**Bound 2.** The values attained by \( u^w \mod p \) for all \( 0 \leq u < p \) are the same as the values \( u^{\gcd(w, p-1)} \mod p \). For a fixed primitive element \( \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p \), we consider the cyclotomic classes of order \( \frac{p-1}{\gcd(w, p-1)} \):
\[
C_j = \left\{ \gamma^j + \frac{i(p-1)}{\gcd(w, p-1)} \mod p : 0 \leq i < \gcd(w, p-1) \right\},
\]
where \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{\gcd(w, p-1)} - 1 \). In fact, the \( C_j \)'s give a partition of \( \mathbb{F}_p^\ast \). For each \( u \in C_j \), we always have \( u^w = \gamma^{jw} \), and the number of solutions
$u \in C_j \cap \{0, \ldots, N - 1\}$ of (2.1) (hence $q_p(u) \equiv -w^{-1}\gamma^{-jw}f(u) \mod p$) is bounded by
\[
\ll \left\{ \left( \deg(f(x)) \right)^{1/4} N^{1/2} p^{1/3}, 1 \leq \deg(f(x)) \leq p^{1/3}, \right. \\
\left. \left( \deg(f(x)) \right)^{1/8} N^{1/2} p^{3/8}, p^{1/3} < \deg(f(x)) < p \right\}
\] by [14, Theorem 1] again. So we have
\[
F(w, N, p; f(x)) \ll \frac{p - 1}{\gcd(w, p - 1)} \min \left\{ \left( \deg(f(x)) \right)^{1/4} N^{1/2} p^{1/3}, \left( \deg(f(x)) \right)^{1/8} N^{1/2} p^{3/8} \right\}
\]
since there are $\frac{p - 1}{\gcd(w, p - 1)} C_j$’s. This bound is nontrivial only if $\gcd(w, p - 1) \geq p^{5/6}$.

**Corollary 2.2.** For $1 \leq w < p$, the number
\[
F(w, N, p) = \#\{u \in \{0, \ldots, N - 1\} : q_{p,w}(u) \equiv u \mod p\}, \quad N \leq p,
\] of fixed points of polynomial quotients satisfies
\[
F(w, N, p) \ll \min \left\{ (p - w)^{1/4} N^{1/2} p^{1/3}, (p - w)^{1/8} N^{1/2} p^{3/8}, \frac{N^{1/2} p^{4/3}}{\gcd(w, p - 1)} \right\}.
\]

Besides the cases when $p - w = o(p)$ and $\gcd(w, p - 1) \geq p^{5/6}$, there is another nontrivial result if $\gcd(w - 1, p - 1) \geq p^{1/2 + \varepsilon}$, which includes the important case $w = 1$.

**Theorem 2.3.** For $1 \leq w < p$,
\[
F(w, N, p) \ll \frac{p^{3/2 + \varepsilon}}{\gcd(w - 1, p - 1)}, \quad N \leq p.
\]

**Proof.** Define
\[
\tilde{C}_j = \{\gamma^j + \frac{i(p - 1)}{\gcd(w - 1, p - 1)} \mod p : 0 \leq i < \gcd(w - 1, p - 1)\},
\]
where $j = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{p - 1}{\gcd(w - 1, p - 1)} - 1$. The number of solutions $u \in \tilde{C}_j \cap \{0, \ldots, N - 1\}$ of
\[
q_p(u) \equiv -w^{-1}u^{-(w-1)} \equiv -w^{-1}\gamma^{-j(w-1)} \mod p
\]
is bounded by $O(p^{1/2 + \varepsilon})$ by [18, Proposition 2.1]. So we have
\[
F(w, N, p) \ll \frac{p - 1}{\gcd(w - 1, p - 1)^{p^{1/2 + \varepsilon}}},
\]
which completes the proof. \qed
Polynomial quotients

Remark. The bound is nontrivial only for \( \gcd(w - 1, p - 1) \gg p^{1/2 + \varepsilon} \) and \( N \gg p^{1/2 + \varepsilon} \). However, if \( N < p^{2/s} \) for some integer \( s \geq 3 \), the proof of [18, Proposition 2.1] can be easily modified, and the bound \( O(p^{1/2 + \varepsilon}) \) on the number of solutions \( 0 \leq u < N \) with \( q_p(u) = c \) can be improved to \( O(p^{1/s + \varepsilon}) \). Using this in the proof of Theorem 2.3 we get

\[
F(w, N, p) \ll \frac{p^{1+1/s+\varepsilon}}{\gcd(w - 1, p - 1)}, \quad N < p^{2/s}.
\]

3. Size of value sets. First we prove a bound on \( V(p - 1, N, p) \), the size of the value set of Fermat quotients \( q_p \) (see [24, Theorem 13]) for \( N = p \). Then we estimate \( V(w, N, p) \) for general \( 1 \leq w \leq p - 2 \) in terms of \( V(p - 1, N, p) \) by (1.3).

Lemma 3.1. Let \( V(p - 1, N, p) = \#\{q_p(u) : u = 0, \ldots, N - 1\} \). Then

\[
V(p - 1, N, p) \gg \frac{N^2}{p \log^2 N}, \quad N \leq p.
\]

Proof. For \( N < p \), one can get the desired result the same way as for \( N = p \); see the proof of [24, Theorem 13]. For the convenience of the reader, we sketch the proof here.

Let \( Q(N, a) \) be the number of primes \( l \) smaller than \( N \) with \( q_p(l) = a \). Clearly

\[
\sum_{a=0}^{p-1} Q(N, a) = \pi(N - 1),
\]

where \( \pi(x) \) denotes the number of primes \( l \leq x \). The number of prime number pairs \( (l, r) \) with \( 0 \leq l, r \leq N - 1 \) and \( q_p(l) = q_p(r) \) is \( \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} Q(N, a)^2 \).

According to the fact that \( q_p : \mathbb{Z}_p^* \to \mathbb{Z}_p \) is a group homomorphism with kernel \( \ker(q_p) \) of size \( p - 1 \), we see that \( l/r \in \ker(q_p) \) for each pair \( (l, r) \) above. Now for each \( u \in \ker(q_p) \), there are \( \pi(N - 1) \) pairs \( (l, l) \) such that \( 1 \equiv l/l \mod p^2 \) if \( u = 1 \), and at most one pair \( (l, r) \) such that \( u \equiv l/r \mod p^2 \) if \( u \neq 1 \), since otherwise \( u \equiv l_1/r_1 \equiv l_2/r_2 \mod p^2 \) leads to \( l_1 = r_1, l_2 = r_2 \) or \( l_1 = l_2, r_1 = r_2 \). So we get

\[
\sum_{a=0}^{p-1} Q(N, a)^2 \leq \pi(N - 1) + \#\ker(q_p) - 1 = \pi(N - 1) + p - 2.
\]

On the other hand, only at most \( V(p - 1, N, p) \) of the \( Q(N, a) \) are nonzero for \( 0 \leq a \leq p - 1 \), so by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we have

\[
\left( \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} Q(N, a) \right)^2 \leq V(p - 1, N, p) \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} Q(N, a)^2.
\]
Putting everything together, we obtain
\[ V(p - 1, N, p) \gg \pi(N - 1)^2 p^{-1}, \]
which concludes the proof.

**Remark.** For \( N < p^{1/s} \) we can also study the number of primes \( l_1, \ldots, l_s, r_1, \ldots, r_s < N \) with \( q_p(l_1) = \cdots = q_p(l_s) = q_p(r_1) = \cdots = q_p(r_s) \) to improve Lemma 3.1.

As in Section 2, we prove different bounds on \( V(w, N, p) \) which are non-trivial if either \( \gcd(w, p - 1) \), or \( \gcd(w - 1, p - 1) \) is large enough.

**Theorem 3.2.** For \( 1 \leq w < p \) let \( V(w, N, p) = \# \{ q_{p, w}(u) : u = 0, \ldots, N - 1 \} \). Then
\[ V(w, N, p) \gg \gcd(w, p - 1) \left( \frac{N}{p \log N} \right)^2, \quad N \leq p. \]

**Proof.** The values assumed by \( u^w \mod p \) for all \( 0 \leq u < p \) are the same as the values \( u^{\gcd(w, p - 1)} \mod p \). For a fixed primitive element \( \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p \), we consider the cyclotomic classes of order \( \frac{p - 1}{\gcd(w, p - 1)} \) defined by (2.2). Let \( U \) be the biggest subset of \( \{0, \ldots, N - 1\} \) such that \( q_{p, w}(u) \neq q_{p, w}(v) \) for any \( u \neq v \in U \). It is easy to see that \( \# U = V(p - 1, N, p) \). Then for any \( u_1, u_2 \in (C_j \cap U) \) and any \( j \), using (1.3) we always have
\[ u_1^w \equiv u_2^w \mod p \quad \text{and} \quad q_{p, w}(u_1) \neq q_{p, w}(u_2). \]
By the pigeonhole principle we see that there exists some \( j \) with
\[ C_j \cap U \geq \frac{\# U}{(p - 1)/\gcd(w, p - 1)}. \]
So we have
\[ V(w, N, p) \geq \frac{\# U}{(p - 1)/\gcd(w, p - 1)} \gg \frac{\gcd(w, p - 1)N^2}{p^2 \log^2 N} \]
by Lemma 3.1.

The bound in Theorem 3.2 is trivial if \( \gcd(w, p - 1) \ll \log^2 N \). Below we consider the cases of large \( \gcd(w - 1, p - 1) \) (including \( w = 1 \)) and get a nontrivial bound using a different method.

**Theorem 3.3.** For \( 1 \leq w < p \),
\[ V(w, N, p) \gg \gcd(w - 1, p - 1) \frac{N^{1/2}}{p^{4/3}}, \quad N \leq p. \]

**Proof.** We first prove the case \( w = 1 \), and then reduce to it the general case \( w > 1 \). The proof follows [14, Section 2], which deals with the case
\[ N = p. \] Define
\[ M_d = \#\{ u \in \{0, \ldots, N - 1\} : q_p,1(u) = d \} \]
for some \( d \). We first give an upper bound on \( M_d \).

For \( 0 \leq a < N \) and \( 1 \leq b < N \), suppose that \( (a, a + b \mod N) \) is a pair of points satisfying
\[ q_p,1(a) = q_p,1(a + b \mod N) = d. \]
We note that there are \( M_d(M_d - 1) \) such pairs. (Note that \( M_d = 1 \) if no such \( b \) exists.) Now we fix any \( 1 \leq b < N \) and estimate the number of \( a \).

For each pair \( (a, b) \), set \( c = b \) if \( a + b < N \), and \( c = b - N \) otherwise. Hence for a given \( b \) there are two possible choices of \( c \) such that \( (a, a + c) \) satisfy
\[ q_p,1(a) = q_p,1(a + c) = d \]
for some \( a \). For given \( c \) we estimate the number of \( a \).

If \( (a, a + c) \) is a pair satisfying \( (3.1) \), using \( (1.3) \) and the definition of \( q_p(u) \) we get
\[ d = q_p,1(a + c) \equiv -(a + c)q_p(a + c) \equiv -aq_p(a) - cq_p(c) - c \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p)}{p} (ac^{-1})^i \]
\[ \equiv q_p,1(a) + q_p,1(c) + c \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-ac^{-1})^i}{i} \mod p, \]
and thus
\[ q_p,1(c) + c \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-a^{-1}c)^i}{i} \equiv 0 \mod p. \]
Substituting \( a \equiv -cx \mod p \) for \( x \in \mathbb{F}_p \) we get
\[ q_p,1(c)c^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{x^i}{i} \equiv 0 \mod p. \]
Now by [22, Lemma 4] the number of \( x \) (which is not smaller than the number of \( a \) since \( 0 \leq a < N \)) for fixed \( c \) is bounded by \( O(p^{2/3}) \), and we obtain
\[ M_d(M_d - 1) \ll (N - 1) \min\{ p^{2/3}, N \}, \]
and thus \( M_d \ll N^{1/2}p^{1/3} \) if \( N \gg p^{2/3} \), which implies that
\[ V(1, N, p) \gg \frac{N^{1/2}}{p^{1/3}}. \]
From \( (1.3) \) again, we have
\[ q_{p,w}(u) \equiv -u^w q_p(u) \equiv u^{w-1} q_{p,1}(u) \mod p, \]
and hence
\[ V(w, N, p) \geq \frac{V(1, N, p)}{(p - 1)/\gcd(w - 1, p - 1)} \]
following the proof of Theorem 3.2.

**Remark.** Ostafe and Shparlinski [24] stated the problem of finding a nontrivial lower bound on \( V(1, N, p) \) for \( N \leq p \). In particular, Theorem 3.3 implies
\[ V(1, N, p) \gg N^{1/2}p^{-1/3}, \]
which is nontrivial for \( N \gg p^{2/3} \).

4. Bounds on the Waring number

4.1. Bound derived from additive character sums. We first present a bound on character sums of polynomial quotients, which is a special case of [12, Theorem 3]. In this subsection, we will exploit these character sums to estimate the Waring number \( g(w, N, p) \).

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( q_{p, w}(u) \) be defined by (1.2) with \( 1 \leq w < p \). For any nontrivial additive character \( \psi \) of \( \mathbb{F}_p \) we have
\[ \left| \sum_{u=0}^{N-1} \psi(q_{p, w}(u)) \right| \ll \frac{1}{\gcd(w, p - 1)} N^{1/2} p^{11/8}, \quad N \leq p. \]

As noted in [22, Theorem 2], the exponent \( \varepsilon \) in [12, Theorem 3] can be removed when the modulus \( k \) of (multiplicative) characters equals \( p^2 \) since the Burgess bound contains a factor \( k^{3/16 + \varepsilon} \) (see [4, Theorems 2 and 3]). Lemma 4.1 is only nontrivial for \( N \geq p^{3/4} \). However, using the precise Theorem 3 in [12] we can derive bounds which are nontrivial for \( N \geq p^{1/2 + o(1)} \).

**Theorem 4.2.** For \( 1 \leq w < p \), we have
\[ g(w, N, p) \leq s \]
if \( \gcd(w, p - 1)^{s-1} \gg p^{11s/8 + 1/4} N^{-s/2 - 1} \log^2 N, \quad s \geq 3 \) and \( N \leq p \).

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we restrict ourselves to the case \( g(w, N, p) \geq 3 \).

Let \( \psi \) be a nontrivial additive character of \( \mathbb{F}_p \). For \( s \geq 3 \) and \( y \in \mathbb{F}_p \), the number \( N_s(y) \) of solutions \( (v_1, v_2, u_1, \ldots, u_{s-2}) \) of the equation
\[ y \equiv v_1 + v_2 + q_{p, w}(u_1) + \cdots + q_{p, w}(u_{s-2}) \mod p, \]
where \( v_1, v_2 \in V(w, N, p) \), \( 0 \leq u_1, \ldots, u_{s-2} < N \), is

\[
N_s(y) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a \in F_p} \left( \sum_{v_1, v_2 \in V(w, N, p), 0 \leq u_j < N} \psi \left( a \left( v_1 + v_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{s-2} q_{p, w}(u_i) - y \right) \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{V(w, N, p)^2 N^{s-2}}{p} + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a \in F_p^*} \psi(-ay) \sum_{v_1, v_2 \in V(w, N, p)} \psi(a(v_1 + v_2)) \times \sum_{0 \leq u_j < N \atop 1 \leq j \leq s-2} \psi \left( a \sum_{i=1}^{s-2} q_{p, w}(u_i) \right).
\]

By Lemma 4.1 we have

\[
\left| N_s(y) - \frac{V(w, N, p)^2 N^{s-2}}{p} \right| \leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a \in F_p^*} \left| \sum_{v \in V(w, N, p)} \psi(a v) \right|^2 \sum_{0 \leq u < N} \psi \left( a q_{p, w}(u) \right)^{s-2}
\]

\[
\ll \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{N^{1/2} p^{11/8}}{\gcd(w, p-1)} \right)^{s-2} \sum_{a \in F_p^*} \left| \sum_{v \in V(w, N, p)} \psi(a v) \right|^2
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{N^{1/2} p^{11/8}}{\gcd(w, p-1)} \right)^{s-2} \sum_{a \in F_p} \sum_{v_1, v_2 \in V(w, N, p)} \psi(a(v_1 - v_2))
\]

\[
\leq V(w, N, p) \left( \frac{N^{1/2} p^{11/8}}{\gcd(w, p-1)} \right)^{s-2}.
\]

The number \( N_s(y) \) is positive for all \( y \in F_p \) if

\[
V(w, N, p) > p \left( \frac{p^{11/8}}{\gcd(w, p-1) N^{1/2}} \right)^{s-2},
\]

and thus \( g(w, N, p) \leq s \) under this condition.

**Remark.** It is clear that \( g(p-1, p, p) \leq 3 \), which is the Waring number for Fermat quotients. Theorem 4.2 is only nontrivial if \( \gcd(w, p-1) \gg p^{7/8} \) and \( N \geq p^{3/4} \). Very recently, Harman and Shparlinski [21] proved \( g(p-1, N, p) \leq 9 \) for any \( N \geq p^{1/(2e^{1/2})+\epsilon} \) and sufficiently large \( p \).

**4.2. Bound derived from the Cauchy–Davenport theorem.** In this subsection we prove a bound on \( g(w, N, p) \) based on the Cauchy–Davenport theorem (see e.g., [35 Theorem 5.4]), which is rather moderate but nontrivial if \( \gcd(w, p-1) \gg \log^2 p \) or \( \gcd(w-1, p-1) \gg p^{5/6} \).
Lemma 4.3 (Cauchy–Davenport theorem). Let $A, B$ be nonempty subsets of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then
\[
\#(A + B) \geq \min\{\#A + \#B - 1, p\},
\]
where $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$.

Theorem 4.4. For $1 \leq w < p$, we have
\[
g(w, N, p) \ll \min\left\{p^3 \log^2 p \frac{1}{N^2 \gcd(w, p - 1)}, \frac{p^{7/3}}{N^{1/2} \gcd(w - 1, p - 1)}\right\}, \quad N \leq p.
\]

Proof. For $s \geq 1$ define
\[
W_s = \{q_{p,w}(u_1) + \cdots + q_{p,w}(u_s) : 0 \leq u_1, \ldots, u_s < N\}.
\]
Since $W_s = W_{s-1} + W_1$ for $s \geq 2$, by Lemma 4.3 we have
\[
\#W_s \geq \min\{\#W_{s-1} + \#W_1 - 1, p\}, \quad s \geq 2,
\]
and get by induction
\[
\#W_s \geq \min\{s(\#W_1 - 1) + 1, p\}, \quad s \geq 1.
\]
Hence
\[
s \leq \left\lceil \frac{p - 1}{\#W_1 - 1} \right\rceil,
\]
and then the desired result follows from Theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

5. Final remarks. 1. The bounds in this paper are nontrivial if $\gcd(w, p - 1)$ or $\gcd(w - 1, p - 1)$ is “large”. It is challenging to study general $w$.

2. The bound in Lemma 4.1 does not cover the cases of small $w$. In particular, it is an interesting problem to estimate the character sums
\[
\sum_{u=0}^{N-1} \psi(q_{p,1}(u)).
\]

3. In [32], Shparlinski considered for Fermat quotients the smallest number $\Lambda_p$ such that
\[
\{q_p(u) : u \in \{1, \ldots, \Lambda_p\}\} = \mathbb{F}_p
\]
by estimating $\Lambda_p \leq p^{463/252 + o(1)}$. It would be interesting to extend this result to $q_{p,w}$.

4. In [34], Shparlinski and the second author introduced the polynomial Fermat quotients in polynomial rings over finite fields. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of prime power order $q = p^r$. Then for a fixed irreducible polynomial $P \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree $n \geq 2$ and $A \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$, the polynomial Fermat quotient is defined by
\[
q_P(A) \equiv \frac{A^{q^n - 1} - 1}{P} \mod P, \quad \deg(q_P(A)) < n, \quad \text{if} \ \gcd(A, P) = 1,
\]
and \( q_P(A) = 0 \) if \( \gcd(A, P) = P \). The properties, such as the number of fixed points and the image size, of the polynomial Fermat quotient are investigated in [34].

Like the definition of polynomial quotients modulo \( p \), one can define

\[
q_P, w(A) \equiv \frac{A^w - A^{wq^n}}{P} \mod P, \quad \deg(q_P, w(A)) < n,
\]

for integers \( w \geq 1 \). In particular, \( -q_{P, 1}(A) \) has been introduced in [27]. Since \( q_{P, 1} \) is a linear map with kernel of dimension \( \lceil n/p \rceil \), we have

\[
\# \{ A : q_{P, 1}(A) = B, \deg(A) < n \} = q^{\lceil n/p \rceil}
\]

for any fixed \( B = q_{P, 1}(A_0) \) for some \( A_0 \), and hence

\[
\# \{ q_{P, 1}(A) : \deg(A) < n \} = q^{n - \lceil n/p \rceil}.
\]

(See also the proof of [34, Lemma 6].)

Here we present some lower bounds on the image size of \( q_P, w \) for \( w > 1 \). We only consider the case \( p \nmid w \), since otherwise \( q_P, w \) is a zero map. Firstly from

\[
q_P, w(A) \equiv -wA^w q_P(A) \mod P,
\]

we reduce the problem to the image size of \( q_P \) (see [34, Theorem 5]) and obtain

\[
\# \{ q_P(A) : \deg(A) < n \} \gg \frac{\gcd(w, q^n - 1)}{qn^2}
\]

by using the proof technique of Theorem 3.2. Secondly from

(5.1)

\[
q_P, w(A) \equiv wA^{w-1} q_{P, 1}(A) \mod P
\]

we obtain a lower bound similarly in terms of the image size of \( q_{P, 1} \) above:

\[
\# \{ q_P, w(A) : \deg(A) < n \} \gg \frac{\gcd(w - 1, q^n - 1)}{q^{\lceil n/p \rceil}}.
\]

Finally from (5.1) again, since there are exactly \( \frac{q^n - 1}{\gcd(w-1, q^n - 1)} + 1 \) different \( A^{w-1} \) modulo \( P \) for all \( A \) with \( \deg(A) < n \), we see that there exists a \( B \) such that at least \( \left( \frac{q^n - 1}{\gcd(w-1, q^n - 1)} + 1 \right) / q^{n - \lceil n/p \rceil} \) \( A \) satisfy \( q_{P, 1}(A) = B \), but \( A^{w-1} \mod P \) are different for all such \( A \). Thus we obtain another lower bound:

\[
\# \{ q_P, w(A) : \deg(A) < n \} \gg \frac{q^{\lceil n/p \rceil}}{\gcd(w - 1, q^n - 1)}.
\]

About the Waring problem for \( q_P, w \) we cannot say anything more. The Cauchy–Davenport theorem is not true for arbitrary finite fields in general and we do not have any results on character sums of \( q_P, w \), so we cannot deal with the Waring problem using the methods in Section 4. But for \( q_{P, 1} \) the Waring number does not exist, since \( q_{P, 1} \) is a linear map with kernel of dimension \( \lceil n/p \rceil \), and hence the image of \( q_{P, 1} \) is a proper linear subspace of
$\mathbb{F}_q[X]/\langle P \rangle$. That is, there does exist an element in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]/\langle P \rangle$ which cannot be represented as a sum of $qP, 1$.
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