Detection Paddy Field using dual Polarization SAR Sentinel-1 Data
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Abstract. Paddy field conversion monitoring is necessary conducted to ensure successful the harvest of rice. The monitoring can be done by using satellite data, both optical and radar data, which can cover a large area. In tropical area, cloudy day usually occurred, so that problems can’t be handle with optical data. Utilization of radar data that can penetrate the cloud condition can solve the problem, either as a complement of optical data or used alone to monitor the paddy field conversion. The research was conducted to investigate the capability of Sentinel 1 SAR multi temporal data to detect paddy field based on growth phenology of rice crop. This research explores SAR Sentinel-1A data (C-band, VV and VH polarization) for several growing seasons in 2017 (January-December) to detect paddy fields in Subang Regency, West Java. Stacking layer is carried out prior to classification, time series image and polarization composite image (VH/VV), stacking maximum, minimum, mean and range values, standard deviation and taking sample training and statistical analysis. Taking sample training takes into account the phenology of rice plants (phases of paddy crop) using references to appropriate Landsat imagery. Classification is done by the time series algorithm, while the accuracy is calculated with Kappa coefficients from the 1:5000 paddy field map reference. The results found that SAR data in dual polarization (HV, VV), and Polarization Index (PI=1-NDPI) can be used to detect paddy field. The best overall accuracy was obtained from the Min, Max, and Mean of PI 87%, Mean VH polarization 78%, Standard Deviation VH polarization 76%, and Range polarization VH 74%.
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1. Introduction

Rice is one of the main foods in several countries, especially in Indonesia. As the main foodstuff for the community, the effort to monitor the area of rice fields needs to be done to support national food security. The best technique for mapping rice fields in a wide area is by utilizing remote sensing technology. Constraints in interpreting natural resources for the tropics are the high percentage of areas covered by clouds. Utilization of satellite data with an optical sensor will produce cloud cover information which causes no information (not classified) so that it affects the calculation of paddy field area. Therefore,
the utilization of radar sensor data is one of the answers for these problems because it has advantages not affected by clouds.

This study examines how the ability of multi-recording SAR data to map rice fields. SAR data visualization is very different from optical sensor data, so SAR data is more difficult to use both for visual and digital land cover interpretation. However, there have been many studies that identify paddy fields by utilizing time series data with results of considerable accuracy [1-2] found a significant relationship between backscatter VH values on the age of rice plants using sentinel 1 satellite data on small rice fields. Sentinel 1 Satellite Data is also used [3] for identification of paddy field area and estimation of plant growth phase.

2. Method
2.1. Research Area
The research area is in Subang Regency, West Java, especially in rice fields with an area of 97.67 Ha. Geographically, the region is located between 6.175 N latitude and 107.930 E longitude (Figure 1). The study area was dominated by paddy rice fields covering 63,051 Ha and non paddy rice fields (settlements, water bodies, other vegetation, and other built areas) of 34,623 Ha. Overall, Subang Regency has an area of 77,949 Ha of irrigated rice fields and 6,621 Ha of non-irrigated rice fields, or 9.03 percent of the area of paddy fields in West Java Province [4].

2.2. Data
The data used for the detection of paddy rice as can be seen in table 1.

| No | Type of data                | Date of data       | Data usage                                         | Source of data                |
|----|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1  | Specification of Sentinel 1A data: | 12 Daily, starting January 8, 2017 until December 22, 2017 (24 Data) | Decrease of time series algorithms for the detection of rice fields | European Space Agency (ESA). |
| 1. | Resolution 5 x 20 m, mode akuisis Interferometrik Wide (IW) |                         |                                                    |                                |
| 2  | Data level 1 Ground Range   |                     |                                                    |                                |

Figure 1. Research area
### Table 1. Data Specifications

| No | Tipe of data | Date of data | Data usage | Source of data |
|----|--------------|--------------|------------|----------------|
| 1  | Detection High (RGDH) |             |            |                |
| 2  | Dual Polarisasi VV, VH, dengan frekuensi 5.405 GHz. |             |            |                |
| 3  | Incidence Angel Range 30,56° – 45,91° |             |            |                |
| 4  | Descending Pase, orbit 149 |             |            |                |
| 5  | Subset X = 4064, subset Y = 1957 |             |            |                |
| 6  | Paddy field map, scale 1:5000 | Year 2017 | Accuracy test | Geospatial Information Agency |
| 7  | Field survey | Year 2018 | Validation | LAPAN |
| 8  | Google Earth | Year 2017 | Validation | Google |

### 2.3. Methodology

The time series algorithm used in this study is the development of algorithms that have been generated from previous researches [5-8]. In all three studies, the detection of paddy fields using backscattering values ($\sigma^o$) shows the difference for each class of land cover and land use. The backscattering value used is derived from VH and VV polarization and a combination of both. Determination of the value of backscattering is based on an understanding of the rice phenology, namely, planting time, generative phase, vegetative phase and harvest phase.

Determining the value of backscattering for the type of land cover and land use including paddy rice, is observing the dynamic changes of maximum and minimum backscattering values [7]. Meanwhile, for the detection of rice fields refers to the observation of the maximum and minimum backscattering values in accordance with the phenology of rice plants, starting from the planting day until the harvest day [6, 9]. In this study, the time series algorithm used is to use statistical analysis of the maximum and minimum backscattering values based on the phenology of rice plants, namely the maximum and minimum mean $\sigma^o$, the range of maximum and minimum $\sigma^o$, and the maximum and minimum standard deviations $\sigma^o$, at VH and VV polarization. The process of processing radar data and analyzing the detection of paddy rice can be seen in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Research framework](image)

Sentinel 1A SAR data is processed as much as 30 data. Pre processing of Sentinel-1A SAR data is to correct the SAR orbit so that it matches the orbit or the actual coordinates on the earth [10]. The correction also normalizes the recording angle. Radiometric correction is then performed to produce visual quality SAR data and pixel values that are in accordance with the real object $\sigma^o$ reflection value [11]. The next step is to do geometric corrections. The correction actually has similarities with orbit
correction, but in geometric correction, SAR data has been adjusted to the topographic conditions and field coordinates using DEM (Digital Evaluation Model) data. In this study, DEM 1 sec with 30 meters resolution was used. Furthermore, image cropping (subset) is carried out according to the study area. The final processing step is speckle filtering with 3 x 3 lee filter method, namely the process of reducing the sharp random intensity of dark and bright areas in the SAR image [12]. The random intensity that occurs is a physical influence and not an atmospheric influence [10], so to increase the accuracy of observations and interpretations, a reduction in the effect is needed. The whole initial process, using the SNAP 6.0 open source application.

SAR images that have been generated through the initial processing process, then created (stack) for further time series dynamics of the $\sigma^o$ value analysis for each land cover and land use class. Color gradations produced through band composites SAR images facilitate interpretation of land cover classes and land use. This study uses 100 sample points to observe changes in $\sigma^o$ values starting from April until December 2017 (Figure 3). Through the dynamics of the $\sigma^o$ value, then statistical processing is performed, including the mean value, standard deviation and range value to analyze the maximum value and minimum value of the closed class $\sigma^o$ land and land use. Analysis of the maximum and minimum values of rice paddy fields depends on the accuracy of the observation and determination of the sample and the understanding of the phenology of paddy rice.

Some statistical values, namely the mean, range and standard deviation of rice paddy fields, are then processed in 18 SAR images, resulting in a map of paddy rice that has a specific $\sigma^o$ value. The whole process uses the Arcmap 10.3 application. The assumptions used are in accordance with the previous research, which is using the phenology of rice paddy plants and the height size of rice plants according to the dynamics of the $\sigma^o$ value. These assumptions are:

1. In the planting phase, the value of $\sigma^o$ of paddy rice tends to be smaller than the generative and vegetative phase, can be written with the equation:

$$\sigma^o_{\text{planting phase}} < \sigma^o_{\text{generative and vegetative phase}}$$

In [13], explained that there are differences in the class height of paddy fields according to the planting phase to harvest (table 2).

2. In the generative phase, the $\sigma^o$ value of paddy rice is greater than the planting $\sigma^o$ phase but smaller than the vegetative phase, can be written with the equation:

$$\sigma^o_{\text{generative phase}} < \sigma^o_{\text{vegetative phase}}$$

3. In the vegetative phase, the $\sigma^o$ value is greater than all the $\sigma^o$ values in the phenology of the rice plant. In this phase, the value of $\sigma^o$ is the maximum value, so that it can be written with the equation: $\sigma^o_{\text{vegetative phase}} > \sigma^o_{\text{generative phase}}, \sigma^o_{\text{vegetative phase}} > \sigma^o_{\text{planting phase}}$

4. In the harvest phase, the $\sigma^o$ value is smaller than the vegetative phase but can have the same value of $\sigma^o$ with the generative phase and can be written with the equation: $\sigma^o_{\text{harvested phase}} < \sigma^o_{\text{vegetative phase}}, \sigma^o_{\text{harvested phase}} \geq \sigma^o_{\text{generative phase}}$
Determination of the assumptions above is also based on the height of the rice field plant according to the phenology of paddy rice (Figure 4) in the study of Ugsang, et al. (2017) [13], as in table 2 below.

| No | High (Cm) | Paddy field phenology |
|----|-----------|-----------------------|
| 1  | < 21      | Planting phase        |
| 2  | 21 – 60   | Generative phase      |
| 3  | 60 – 78   | Vegetative phase      |
| 4  | > 78      | Harvest phase         |

Figure 3. Random Sample Points

Figure 4. Phenology Paddy Rice Based on Composit SAR Data (60 Days)
In addition, according to [14], the growth of lowland rice plants is at the highest value the Vegetation Index is calculated 60 days after the planting date (generative and vegetative phases), and decreases until the harvest phase (rice growing period 105 - 120 days). The minimum $\sigma^o$ value can be used to use the planting date data, but in the planting phase, the $\sigma^o$ value has similarities to the $\sigma^o$ value of the water body class. However, in the harvest phase, the availability of paddy water begins to decrease, but the $\sigma^o$ value of paddy in the harvest phase is not used to calculate and detect paddy fields. To determine the minimum $\sigma^o$ value, an analysis of the harvest date is needed. Sentinel 1A has a daily 12 temporal resolution, it is very helpful to get the minimum $\sigma^o$ value of rice. The minimum $\sigma^o$ value of wet rice is determined 20 days after the planting date, or the minimum value of $\sigma^o$ is determined in the generative phase [6], so as to maximize the interpretation of paddy rice. At 20 days after the planting date, the paddy field canopy can be detected properly by radar. The last step is to test the accuracy, namely the determination of the Kappa coefficient value with the map reference using a 1: 5000 scale rice field map, sourced from the Geospatial Information Agency of the Republic of Indonesia.

3. Result and discussion
In the area of paddy rice research, there are planting dates and harvest dates that vary in each field. Variations in planting dates and harvest dates greatly influence the accuracy of the interpretation [6]. These problems can be reduced by taking an average value of $\Delta \sigma^o$ for a minimum of 20 days after the planting date and a maximum value of $\Delta \sigma^o$ in the vegetative phase (60 days after the planting date), can be seen in figure 5 and figure 6. The minimum and maximum threshold values of $\Delta \sigma^o$ in the land use and cover classes in this study can be seen in the following table.

Table 3. Maximum and minimum value of $\sigma^o$ for each class of SAR image classification results

| No | Class          | Polarization VH (dB) | Polarization VV (dB) |
|----|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|    |                | $\sigma^o$ Minimum | $\sigma^o$ Maximum | $\sigma^o$ Minimum | $\sigma^o$ Maximum |
| 1  | Urban          | -19                   | -10                   | -12                   | -1                   |
| 2  | Bare land      | -27                   | -8                    | -22                   | -2                   |
| 3  | Paddy field    | -29                   | -11                   | -24                   | -3                   |
| 4  | Water body     | -28                   | -19                   | -24                   | -11                  |
| 5  | Other vegetation | -21                   | -1                    | -14                   | -12                  |

Figure 5. Graphic of $\Delta \sigma^o$ VH for each class, based on 100 sample points
Figure 6. Graphic of $\Delta \sigma^o$ VV for each class, based on 100 sample points

Table 4. Minimum and Maximum value of $\Delta \sigma^o$ for each class of SAR image classification results

| No | Class       | Polarization VH (dB) | Polarization VV (dB) |
|----|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|    |             | $\Delta \sigma^o$ Minimum | $\Delta \sigma^o$ Maximum | $\Delta \sigma^o$ Minimum | $\Delta \sigma^o$ Maximum |
| 1  | Urban       | -14.824              | -12.824              | -9.529              | -3.250              |
| 2  | Bare land   | -11.471              | -10.471              | -7.176              | -4.059              |
| 3  | Paddy field | -20.176              | -15.706              | -13.882             | -9.118              |
| 4  | Water body  | -26.235              | -20.647              | -21.941             | -15.176             |
| 5  | Other vegetation | -11.176          | -2.471               | -3.706              | 10.412              |

Table 5. Maximum and minimum standard deviation value of $\Delta \sigma^o$ for each class of SAR image classification results

| No | Class       | Polarization VH (dB) | Polarization VV (dB) |
|----|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|    |             | $\Delta \sigma^o$ Minimum | $\Delta \sigma^o$ Maximum | $\Delta \sigma^o$ Minimum | $\Delta \sigma^o$ Maximum |
| 1  | Urban       | 0.7717               | 1.7150               | 0.6063              | 1.7405              |
| 2  | Bare land   | 0.7019               | 1.6627               | 0.8828              | 2.3856              |
| 3  | Paddy field | 1.6627               | 5.1990               | 1.1180              | 4.8462              |
| 4  | Water body  | 0.7524               | 1.4552               | 0.8269              | 2.8556              |
| 5  | Other vegetation | 0.7998            | 1.9117               | 0.8944              | 2.3594              |

The distribution of $\sigma^o$ values in each statistical analysis shows diversity. The maximum and minimum $\sigma^o$ value of each class is the extraction of 100 sample points in SAR images. Determination of the mean value, standard deviation and maximum / minimum range based on the time series sample values from April to December 2017. The results of the field survey indicate that the planting date begins in the last week of April 2017. From the results, the maximum $\sigma^o$ threshold value can be determined and minimum $\sigma^o$ and adjusted to the phenology of paddy rice.

Table 6. Summary of minimum and maximum thresholds value of $\Delta \sigma^o$ for each class of paddy rice

| No | Parameter     | Minimum | Maximum | Algorithm |
|----|---------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| 1  | $\Delta \sigma^o$ Mean VH | -26.2974 | -5.1822 | ($\Delta \sigma^o$ Mean VH ≤ -5.1822) |
| No | Parameter       | Minimum | Maximum | Algorithm                                                                 |
|----|----------------|---------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2  | Δσ₀ Mean VV    | -22.1521| 3.5816  | (Δσ₀ mean VH ≥ -26.2974) & (Δσ₀ mean VV ≤ 3.5816)                         |
|    |                |         |         | (Δσ₀ mean VH ≥ -22.1521) & (Δσ₀ mean VV ≤ 3.5816)                         |
| 3  | Δσ₀ Range VH   | 2.3158  | 18.3039 | (Δσ₀ Range VH ≤ 18.3039) & (Δσ₀ Range VH ≥ 2.3158)                         |
| 4  | Δσ₀ Range VV   | 2.9499  | 23.0949 | (Δσ₀ Range VV ≤ 23.0949) & (Δσ₀ Range VV ≥ 2.9499)                         |
| 5  | Δσ₀ Standar Deviasi VH | 0.7436 | 5.4177  | (Δσ₀ Standar VH ≤ 5.4177) & (Δσ₀ Standar VH ≥ 0.7436)                       |
| 6  | Δσ₀ Standar Deviasi VV | 0.7186 | 8.0279  | (Δσ₀ Standar VV ≤ 8.0279) & (Δσ₀ Standar VV ≥ 0.7186)                       |

Composite results are based on field data and SAR data shows the phenology phase of rice plants (figure 4). The research area shows that there is a variety of planting and harvesting phases of rice fields. The raster composite in Figure 3 has represented the estimated age of rice plants starting from the planting phase to the maximum phase of vegetation (60 days). From these data can be taken the minimum and maximum threshold values of rice plants, and reduce the noise σ₀ value from the cover and other land use classes.

The predetermined algorithm results in a classification of paddy rice from all SAR data (18 data and 36 for VH and VV polarization image). Based on previous research, the use of SAR data polarization results in a variety of object interpretations. Especially for rice plants, the use of VH and VV SAR data polarization has been carried out. VH polarization and Gaussian screening already use to determine the area of lowland rice plants in Europe with the highest accuracy of 93 percent in Spain [6]. Choudhury & Chakrabhory (2006) use HH polarization to calculate the area of wet rice plants in India, with an interpretation of 97 percent. This study uses statistical calculations of mean, range and standard deviation to calculate the area of paddy rice plants based on Δσ₀ maximum and Δσ₀ minimum thresholds. Detection results can be seen in the following figure.

**Figure 7.** Classification results of paddy field using thresholds Δσ₀ maximum and minimum of Mean VH

**Figure 8.** Classification results of paddy field using thresholds Δσ₀ maximum and minimum of Mean VV
Figure 9. Classification results of paddy field using thresholds $\Delta \sigma^o$ maximum and minimum of Range VH

Figure 10. Classification results of paddy field using thresholds $\Delta \sigma^o$ maximum and minimum of Range VV

Figure 11. Classification results of paddy field using thresholds $\Delta \sigma^o$ maximum and minimum of Standard Deviation VH

Figure 12. Classification results of paddy field using thresholds $\Delta \sigma^o$ maximum and minimum of Standard Deviation VV

Figure 7 - 12, shows the use time series of mean, range and standard deviation $\sigma^o$ maximum and minimum algorithms. From those images, VH polarization tends to have a visual appearance similar to the 1:5000 scale rice field maps.
Based on the accuracy test, it shows that the Kappa coefficient value varies for each algorithm used. The biggest Kappa coefficient is the mean VH algorithm and the smallest in the range VV algorithm. The overall results of using the time series algorithm can be seen in table 7.

### Table 7. Kappa coefficient values

| No | Statistical Parameter | Time Series Algorithms | Kappa coefficient (%) |
|----|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1  | Δσ° Mean VH           | (Δσ° Mean VH ≤ -5.1822) & (Δσ° mean VH ≥ -26.2974) | 78                     |
| 2  | Δσ° Mean VV           | (Δσ° Mean VV ≤ 3.5816) & (Δσ° mean VV ≥ -22.1521) | 72                     |
| 3  | Δσ° Range VH          | (Δσ° Range VH ≤ 18.3039) & (Δσ° Range VH ≥ 2.3158) | 74                     |
| 4  | Δσ° Range VV          | (Δσ° Range VV ≤ 23.0949) & (Δσ° Range VV ≥ 2.9499) | 60                     |
| 5  | Δσ° Standard Deviation VH | (Δσ° Stade VH ≤ 5.4177) & (Δσ° Stade VH ≥ 0.7436) | 76                     |
| 6  | Δσ° Standard Deviation VV | (Δσ° Stade VV ≤ 8.0279) & (Δσ° Stade VV ≥ 0.7186) | 65                     |
| 7  | Min, Max, Mean PI     | PL_Min < 0.24 & PI_Max > 0.352 & PI_Mean >= 0.352 & PI_Mean <= 0.392 | 87                     |

Note: $NDPI = (VH-VV)/(VH+VH)$

### 4. Conclusion

Detection of paddy field using SAR data has been widely carried out and has easy interpretation because it is not affected by cloud conditions. SAR image processing with various processing methods starting from the pre-process stage to the analysis greatly affects the accuracy of the interpretation results. In this study shows that the use of time series algorithms has a significant impact on the accuracy of the interpretation results.
algorithms sourced from statistical analysis of mean, range and standard deviation have varying degrees of accuracy. The use of VH polarization in all three statistical analyzes shows that the accuracy value is above 74 percent, whereas in VV polarization, the greatest accuracy value is only in the mean VV statistical analysis, while for standard deviation and range, the accuracy is below 70 percent. A better result to detect paddy field is using combination of VH and VV polarization, such as Polarization Index (PI = 1-NDPI) based on statistical multitemporal of NDPI [(VV-VH)/(VV+VH)] during 1-3 years. The accuracy of observations on the phenology of rice plants also determines the accuracy of the classification results. In addition, the number of use of observation points in each class of land cover and use also has an important role. Accuracy and use of processing methods and the use of a combination of polarization VH, VV, HH, HV, need to be studied in order to get the best classification result.
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