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Abstract. Zero-curvature representations (ZCRs) are one of the main tools in the theory of integrable PDEs. In particular, Lax pairs for (1+1)-dimensional PDEs can be interpreted as ZCRs.

In [12], for any (1+1)-dimensional scalar evolution equation \( \mathcal{E} \), we defined a family of Lie algebras \( \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}) \) which are responsible for all ZCRs of \( \mathcal{E} \) in the following sense. Representations of the algebras \( \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}) \) classify all ZCRs of the equation \( \mathcal{E} \) up to local gauge transformations. In [13] we showed that, using these algebras, one obtains necessary conditions for existence of a Bäcklund transformation between two given equations. The algebras \( \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}) \) are defined in terms of generators and relations.

In this paper we show that, using the algebras \( \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}) \), one obtains some necessary conditions for integrability of (1+1)-dimensional scalar evolution PDEs, where integrability is understood in the sense of soliton theory. Using these conditions, we prove non-integrability for some scalar evolution PDEs of order 5. Also, we prove a result announced in [12] on the structure of the algebras \( \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}) \) for certain classes of equations of orders 3, 5, 7, which include KdV, mKdV, Kaup-Kupershmidt, Sawada-Kotera type equations. Among the obtained algebras for equations considered in this paper and in [13], one finds infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of certain polynomial matrix-valued functions on affine algebraic curves of genus 1 and 0.

In this approach, ZCRs may depend on partial derivatives of arbitrary order, which may be higher than the order of the equation \( \mathcal{E} \). The algebras \( \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}) \) generalize Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebras, which are responsible for a much smaller class of ZCRs.

1. Introduction

Zero-curvature representations (ZCRs) belong to the main tools in the theory of integrable nonlinear partial differential equations (see, e.g., [40, 5]). In particular, Lax pairs for (1+1)-dimensional partial differential equations (PDEs) can be interpreted as ZCRs. This paper is a sequel of [12] and is part of a research program on investigating the structure of ZCRs for PDEs of various types. (However, the present paper can be studied independently of [12].)

Here we consider (1+1)-dimensional scalar evolution equations

\[
\frac{u_t}{u} = F(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d), \quad u = u(x, t),
\]
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where one uses the notation
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_t &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, & u_0 &= u, & u_k &= \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x^k}, & k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.
\end{align*}
\]

The number \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) in (1) is such that the function \( F \) may depend only on \( x, t, u_k \) for \( k \leq d \). The symbols \( \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) and \( \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) denote the sets of positive and nonnegative integers respectively.

The methods of this paper can be applied also to (1+1)-dimensional multicomponent evolution PDEs, which are discussed in Remark 7.

**Remark 1.** When we consider a function \( Q = Q(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_l) \) for some \( l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), we always assume that this function is analytic on an open subset of the space \( V \) with the coordinates \( x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_l \). For example, \( Q \) may be a meromorphic function, because a meromorphic function is analytic on some open subset. If we say that \( Q \) is defined on a neighborhood of a point \( a \in V \), we assume that the function \( Q \) is analytic on this neighborhood.

PDEs of the form (1) have attracted a lot of attention in the last 50 years and have been a source of many remarkable results on integrability. In particular, some types of equations (1) possessing higher-order symmetries and conservation laws have been classified (see, e.g., [24, 25, 31] and references therein). However, the problem of complete understanding of all integrability properties for equations (1) is still far from being solved.

Examples of integrable PDEs of the form (1) include the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV), Krichever-Novikov [20, 38], Kaup-Kupershmidt [16], Sawada-Kotera [32] (Caudrey-Dodd-Gibbon [1]) equations (these equations are discussed below). Many more examples can be found in [24, 25, 31] and references therein.

In the present paper, integrability is understood in the sense of soliton theory and the inverse scattering method, relying on the use of ZCRs. (This is sometimes called S-integrability.) As discussed in Remark 1, this approach to integrability is not equivalent to the approach of symmetries and conservation laws.

**Definition 1.** Let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra. For an equation of the form (1), a zero-curvature representation (ZCR) with values in \( \mathfrak{g} \) is given by \( \mathfrak{g} \)-valued functions
\[
\begin{align*}
  A &= A(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_p), & B &= B(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{p+d-1})
\end{align*}
\]
satisfying
\[
\begin{align*}
  D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The total derivative operators \( D_x, D_t \) in (1) are
\[
\begin{align*}
  D_x &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{k \geq 0} u_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}, & D_t &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{k \geq 0} D_x^k(F(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}.
\end{align*}
\]

The number \( p \) in (3) is such that the function \( A \) may depend only on the variables \( x, t, u_k \) for \( k \leq p \). Then equation (1) implies that the function \( B \) may depend only on \( x, t, u_{k'} \) for \( k' \leq p + d - 1 \).

Such ZCRs are said to be of order \( \leq p \). In other words, a ZCR given by \( A, B \) is of order \( \leq p \) iff \( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_l}(A) = 0 \) for all \( l > p \).

The right-hand side \( F = F(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d) \) of (1) appears in condition (1), because \( F \) appears in the formula for the operator \( D_t \) in (3). Note that (1) can be written as \([D_x + A, D_t + B] = 0\), because \([D_x, D_t] = 0\).

**Remark 2.** The methods of this paper are applicable also to ZCRs with values in infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Such ZCRs are discussed in Section 5 and in Section 6.3.

In [12] and in this paper we study the following problem. How to describe all ZCRs (3), (4) for a given equation (1)?
In the case when \( p = 0 \) and the functions \( F, A, B \) do not depend on \( x, t \), a partial answer to this question is provided by the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation method (WE method for short). Namely, for a given equation of the form \( u_t = F(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d) \), the WE method constructs a Lie algebra so that ZCRs of the form
\[
A = A(u_0), \quad B = B(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{d-1}), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0
\]
correspond to representations of this algebra (see, e.g., [32, 17, 2, 11]). It is called the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra. Note that in (1) the function \( A = A(u_0) \) depends only on \( u_0 \).

To study the general case of ZCRs \( \mathfrak{g} \), \( \mathfrak{h} \) with arbitrary \( p \) for any equation (1), we need to consider gauge transformations, which are defined below.

Without loss of generality, one can assume that \( \mathfrak{g} \) is a Lie subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{gl} \) for some \( N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), where \( \mathfrak{gl} = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \) matrices with entries from \( \mathbb{R} \) or \( \mathbb{C} \). So our considerations are applicable to both cases \( \mathfrak{gl} = \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( \mathfrak{gl} = \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{C}) \). And we denote by \( \mathbb{G} \) the group of invertible \( N \times N \) matrices.

Let \( \mathbb{K} \) be either \( \mathbb{C} \) or \( \mathbb{R} \). Then \( \mathfrak{gl} = \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{K}) \) and \( \mathfrak{gl} = \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{K}) \). In this paper, all algebras are supposed to be over the field \( \mathbb{K} \).

**Definition 2.** Let \( \mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{G} \) be the connected matrix Lie group corresponding to the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl} \). That is, \( \mathcal{G} \) is the connected immersed Lie subgroup of \( \mathbb{G} \) corresponding to the Lie subalgebra \( \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl} \). A gauge transformation is given by a matrix-function \( G = G(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_l) \) with values in \( \mathcal{G} \). Here \( l \) can be any nonnegative integer.

For any ZCR (3), (4) and any gauge transformation \( G = G(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_l) \), the functions
\[
\tilde{A} = GAG^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = GBC^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}
\]
satisfy \( D_x(\tilde{B}) - D_t(\tilde{A}) + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}] = 0 \) and, therefore, form a ZCR. (This is explained in Remark 8.)

Since \( A, B \) take values in \( \mathfrak{g} \) and \( G \) takes values in \( \mathcal{G} \), the functions \( \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \) take values in \( \mathfrak{g} \).

The ZCR (7) is said to be gauge equivalent to the ZCR (3), (4). For a given equation (1), formulas (7) determine an action of the group of gauge transformations on the set of ZCRs of this equation.

**Remark 3.** According to Definition 2, we study gauge transformations with values in \( \mathcal{G} \). Alternatively, one can take some other Lie group \( \mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{G} \) whose Lie algebra is \( \mathfrak{g} \) and consider gauge transformations with values in \( \mathcal{G} \). The results of this paper will remain valid, if one replaces \( \mathcal{G} \) by \( \mathcal{G} \) everywhere.

We would like to emphasize that equation (1) remains fixed and does not change under the action of gauge transformations. Also, we do not have any action on solutions \( u(x, t) \) of equation (1). In the literature on integrable PDEs, other authors sometimes consider transformations of different nature with different properties and call them gauge transformations. So, when one speaks about gauge transformations, one should carefully define what they are.

The WE method does not use gauge transformations in a systematic way. In the classification of ZCRs (3) this is acceptable, because the class of ZCRs (3) is relatively small.

The class of ZCRs (3), (4) is much larger than that of (6). Gauge transformations play a very important role in the classification of ZCRs (3), (4). Because of this, the classical WE method does not produce satisfactory results for (3), (4), especially in the case \( p > 0 \).

To overcome this problem, in [12] we found a normal form for ZCRs (3), (4) with respect to the action of the group of gauge transformations. Using the normal form of ZCRs, for any given equation (1), in [12] we defined a Lie algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p \) for each \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) so that the following property holds.

For every finite-dimensional Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \), any \( \mathfrak{g} \)-valued ZCR (3), (4) of order \( \leq p \) is locally gauge equivalent to the ZCR arising from a homomorphism \( \mathbb{F}^p \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \).

More precisely, as discussed below, in [12] we defined a Lie algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p \) for each \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) and each point \( a \) of the infinite prolongation \( \mathcal{E} \) of equation (1). So the full notation for the algebra is \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \). The definition of \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) from [12] is recalled in Section 3 of the present paper.

The family of Lie algebras \( \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}) \) mentioned in the abstract of this paper consists of the algebras \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) for all \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, a \in \mathcal{E} \).
Recall that the infinite prolongation $\mathcal{E}$ of equation (1) is an infinite-dimensional manifold with the coordinates $x, t, u_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The precise definitions of the manifold $\mathcal{E}$ and the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for any equation (1) are presented in Section 3. For every $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $a \in \mathcal{E}$, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is defined in terms of generators and relations. (To clarify the main idea, in Example 1 we consider the case $p = 1$.)

For every finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, homomorphisms $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathfrak{g}$ classify (up to gauge equivalence) all $\mathfrak{g}$-valued ZCRs (3), (4) of order $\leq p$, where functions $A, B$ are defined on a neighborhood of the point $a \in \mathcal{E}$. See Section 4 for more details.

According to Section 3, the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ are arranged in a sequence of surjective homomorphisms

$$
\cdots \to \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \cdots \to \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a).
$$

According to Remark 4 for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is responsible for ZCRs of order $\leq p$, and the algebra $\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is responsible for ZCRs of order $\leq p - 1$. The surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ in (8) reflects the fact that any ZCR of order $\leq p - 1$ is at the same time of order $\leq p$. The homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is defined by formulas (57), using generators of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a), \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Using $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, we obtain some necessary conditions for integrability of equations (1) and necessary conditions for existence of a Bäcklund transformation between two given equations. To get such results, one needs to study certain properties of ZCRs (3)-(4) with arbitrary $p$, and we do this by means of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$. As explained above, the classical WE method (which studies ZCRs of the form (6)) is not sufficient for this.

Applications of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ to obtaining necessary conditions for integrability of equations (1) are presented in Section 6. Examples of the use of these conditions in proving non-integrability for some equations of order 5 are given in Section 6 as well. Applications of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ to the theory of Bäcklund transformations are described in [13]. See also Remark 5 below.

Furthermore, we present a number of results on the structure of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for some classes of scalar evolution PDEs of orders 3, 5, 7 and concrete examples. The KdV equation is considered in [12] and in Example 2 below. The Krichever-Novikov equation is discussed in Proposition 2 which is proved in [13]. In Section 6.2 we study the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ and integrability properties for a parameter-dependent 5th-order scalar evolution equation, which was considered by A. P. Fordy [6] in connection with the Hénon-Heiles system. The problem to study this equation was suggested to us by A. P. Fordy.

In the theory of integrable $(1+1)$-dimensional PDEs, one is especially interested in ZCRs depending on a parameter. That is, one studies ZCRs of the form

$$
A = A(\lambda, x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_p), \quad B = B(\lambda, x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+d-1}), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0,
$$

where $\mathfrak{g}$-valued functions $A, B$ depend on $x, t, u_k$ and a parameter $\lambda$. For a given equation (1), existence of a nontrivial parameter-dependent ZCR is reflected in the structure of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ of equation (1). This is illustrated by Examples 2, 3.

In this paper we mostly study equations of the form

$$
(9) \quad u_t = u_{2q+1} + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{2q-1}), \quad q \in \{1, 2, 3\},
$$

where $f$ is an arbitrary function. Examples of such PDEs include

- the KdV equation $u_t = u_3 + u_0 u_1$,
- the modified KdV (mKdV) equation $u_t = u_3 + u_0^2 u_1$,
- the Kaup-Kupershmidt equation [16] $u_t = u_5 + 10u_0 u_3 + 25u_1 u_2 + 20u_0^2 u_1$,
- the Sawada-Kotera equation [32] $u_t = u_5 + 5u_0 u_3 + 5u_1 u_2 + 5u_0^2 u_1$, which is sometimes called the Caudrey-Dodd-Gibbon equation (1).

Many more examples of integrable PDEs of this type can be found in [24, 25] and references therein.
Remark 4. A classification of equations of the form
\[ u_t = u_3 + g(x, u_0, u_1, u_2), \quad u_t = u_5 + g(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \]
satisfying certain integrability conditions related to generalized symmetries and conservation laws is presented in [24]. We study the problem of describing all ZCRs \( \{2\}, \{4\} \) for a given equation \( \{1\} \). This problem is very different from the description of generalized symmetries and conservation laws.

Let \( \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2 \) be Lie algebras. One says that \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) is obtained from \( \mathcal{L} \) by central extension if there is an ideal \( \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{L}_1 \) such that \( \mathcal{I} \) is contained in the center of \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{L}_1/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathcal{L} \). Note that \( \mathcal{I} \) may be of arbitrary dimension.

We say that \( \mathcal{L}_2 \) is obtained from \( \mathcal{L} \) by applying several times the operation of central extension if there is a finite collection of Lie algebras \( \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}_k \) such that \( \mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{g}_k \cong \mathcal{L}_2 \) and \( \mathfrak{g}_i \) is obtained from \( \mathfrak{g}_{i-1} \) by central extension for each \( i = 1, \ldots, k \).

Equations of the form \( \{9\} \) are considered in Theorem \( \{3\} \). Some consequences of Theorem \( \{3\} \) are summarized in Remark \( \{5\} \).

Remark 5. Theorem \( \{3\} \) implies that, for any equation of the form \( \{9\} \) with \( q \in \{1, 2, 3\} \),
- for every \( p \geq q + \delta_{q,3} \) the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is obtained from \( \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \) by central extension,
- for every \( p \geq q + \delta_{q,3} \) the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is obtained from \( \mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \) by applying several times the operation of central extension.

Here \( \delta_{q,3} \) is the Kronecker delta. So \( \delta_{3,3} = 1 \), and \( \delta_{q,3} = 0 \) if \( q \neq 3 \).

Theorem \( \{3\} \) was announced without proof in [12]. In Section \( \{4\} \) we give a detailed proof for it.

Applications of Theorem \( \{3\} \) to obtaining necessary conditions for integrability of equations \( \{9\} \) are presented in Section \( \{3\} \). Results similar to Theorem \( \{3\} \) can be proved for many other evolution equations as well. See, e.g., Proposition \( \{2\} \) about the Krichever-Novikov equation.

Other approaches to the study of the action of gauge transformations on ZCRs can be found in [21, 22, 23, 29, 30, 33] and references therein. For a given ZCR with values in a matrix Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \), the papers [21, 22, 29] define certain \( \mathfrak{g} \)-valued functions, which transform by conjugation when the ZCR transforms by gauge. Applications of these functions to construction and classification of some types of ZCRs are described in [21, 22, 23, 29, 30, 33].

To our knowledge, the theory of [21, 22, 23, 29, 30, 33] does not produce any infinite-dimensional Lie algebras responsible for ZCRs. So this theory does not contain the algebras \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \).

2. Preliminaries

We continue to use the notations introduced in Section \( \{1\} \). In particular, \( \mathcal{E} \) is the infinite prolongation of equation \( \{1\} \). According to Definition \( \{3\} \) in Section \( \{3\} \), \( \mathcal{E} \) is an infinite-dimensional manifold with the coordinates \( x, t, u_k \) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

We suppose that the variables \( x, t, u_k \) take values in \( \mathbb{K} \), where \( \mathbb{K} \) is either \( \mathbb{C} \) or \( \mathbb{R} \). A point \( a \in \mathcal{E} \) is determined by the values of the coordinates \( x, t, u_k \) at \( a \). Let
\[ a = (x = x_a, t = t_a, u_k = a_k) \in \mathcal{E}, \quad x_a, t_a, a_k \in \mathbb{K}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \]
be a point of \( \mathcal{E} \). In other words, the constants \( x_a, t_a, a_k \) are the coordinates of the point \( a \in \mathcal{E} \) in the coordinate system \( x, t, u_k \).

The general theory of the Lie algebras \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \), \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), is presented in Section \( \{3\} \). Before describing the general theory, we would like to discuss some examples and applications.

Example 1. To clarify the definition of \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) presented in Section \( \{3\} \), let us consider the case \( p = 1 \). To this end, we fix an equation \( \{11\} \) and study ZCRs of order \( \leq 1 \) for this equation.

According to Theorem \( \{1\} \) any ZCR of order \( \leq 1 \)
\[ (10) \quad A = A(x, t, u_0, u_1), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0 \]
on a neighborhood of \( a \in \mathcal{E} \) is gauge equivalent to a ZCR of the form

\[
\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}(x, t, u_0, u_1), \quad \tilde{B} = \tilde{B}(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d),
\]

\[
D_x(\tilde{B}) - D_t(\tilde{A}) + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}] = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{A}}{\partial u_1}(x, t, u_0, a_1) = 0, \quad \tilde{A}(x, t, a_0, a_1) = 0, \quad \tilde{B}(x_a, t, a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_d) = 0.
\]

Moreover, according to Theorem 1, for any given ZCR of the form (10), on a neighborhood of \( a \in \mathcal{E} \) there is a unique gauge transformation \( G = G(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_1) \) such that the functions \( \tilde{A} = GAG^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \tilde{B} = GBG^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1} \) satisfy (11), (12), (13) and \( G(x, a, t, u_0, \ldots, u_1) = Id, \) where \( Id \) is the identity matrix.

In the case of ZCRs of order \( \leq 1 \), this gauge transformation \( G \) depends on \( x, t, u_0 \), so \( G = G(x, t, u_0) \). In a similar result about ZCRs of order \( \leq p \), which is described in Theorem 1, the corresponding gauge transformation depends on \( x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p-1} \).

Therefore, we can say that properties (13) determine a normal form for ZCRs (10) with respect to the action of the group of gauge transformations on a neighborhood of \( a \in \mathcal{E} \).

A similar normal form for ZCRs (3), (4) with arbitrary \( \lambda \) is described in Theorem 1 and Remark 10.

Since the functions \( \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \) from (11), (12), (13) are analytic on a neighborhood of \( a \in \mathcal{E} \), these functions are represented as absolutely convergent power series

\[
\tilde{A} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, a_1 \geq 0} (x - x_0)^{l_1}(t - t_0)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{j_0}(u_1 - a_1)^{l_1} \cdot \tilde{A}_{l_0, l_1}^{l_1, l_2},
\]

\[
\tilde{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \ldots, j_d \geq 0} (x - x_0)^{l_1}(t - t_0)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \ldots (u_d - a_d)^{j_d} \cdot \tilde{B}_{j_0, \ldots, j_d}^{l_1, l_2}.
\]

Here \( \tilde{A}_{l_0, l_1}^{l_1, l_2} \) and \( \tilde{B}_{j_0, \ldots, j_d}^{l_1, l_2} \) are elements of a Lie algebra, which we do not specify yet.

Using formulas (14), (15), we see that properties (13) are equivalent to

\[
\tilde{A}_{0, 1}^{l_1, l_2} = \tilde{A}_{0, 0}^{l_1, l_2} = \tilde{B}_{0, 0}^{l_1, l_2} = 0 \quad \forall l_1, l_2, i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.
\]

To define \( \mathcal{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \) in terms of generators and relations, we regard \( \tilde{A}_{l_0, l_1}^{l_1, l_2}, \tilde{B}_{j_0, \ldots, j_d}^{l_1, l_2} \) from (14), (15) as abstract symbols. By definition, the Lie algebra \( \mathcal{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is generated by the symbols \( \tilde{A}_{l_0, l_1}^{l_1, l_2}, \tilde{B}_{j_0, \ldots, j_d}^{l_1, l_2} \) for \( l_1, l_2, i_0, l_1, j_0, \ldots, j_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) so that relations for these generators are provided by equations (12), (16).

That is, in order to get relations for the generators \( \tilde{A}_{l_0, l_1}^{l_1, l_2}, \tilde{B}_{j_0, \ldots, j_d}^{l_1, l_2} \) of the algebra \( \mathcal{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \), we substitute (14), (15) in (12), taking into account (16). A more detailed description of this construction is given in Section 3 and in [12] (with a slightly different notation for the generators).

**Example 2.** It is well known that the KdV equation \( u_t = u_3 + u_0u_1 \) possesses an \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}) \)-valued ZCR depending polynomially on a parameter \( \lambda \). This is reflected in the structure of the algebras \( \mathcal{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) for KdV as follows.

Consider the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}) \circledast_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[\lambda] \), where \( \mathbb{K}[\lambda] \) is the algebra of polynomials in \( \lambda \). (If we regard \( \mathbb{K} \) as a rational algebraic curve with coordinate \( \lambda \), the elements of \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \) can be identified with polynomial \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}) \)-valued functions on this rational curve.)

According to [12], the algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \) plays the main role in the description of \( \mathcal{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) for the KdV equation. Namely, it is shown in [12] that, for KdV, the algebras \( \mathcal{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) are obtained from \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \) by applying several times the operation of central extension. In particular, \( \mathcal{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is isomorphic to the direct sum of \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \) and a 3-dimensional abelian Lie algebra. (In the computation of \( \mathcal{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \) in [12] we use the fact that the structure of the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra for KdV is known and contains \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \) [3] [4].

Also, one can prove similar results on the structure of \( \mathcal{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) for many other evolution equations possessing parameter-dependent ZCRs.
Example 3. For any constants \(e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}\), one has the Krichever-Novikov equation \[\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \left\{ u_t = u_3 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{(u_2)^2}{u_1} + \frac{(u_0 - e_1)(u_0 - e_2)(u_0 - e_3)}{u_1} \right\}.\]

We denote by \(\text{so}_3(\mathbb{C})\) the 3-dimensional orthogonal Lie algebra over \(\mathbb{C}\). According to \[\text{so}_3(\mathbb{C})\], if \(e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1\) then the Krichever-Novikov equation (17) has an \(\text{so}_3(\mathbb{C})\)-valued ZCR with elliptic parameter. One can see this in the structure of the algebras \(\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)\), as follows.

Suppose that \(e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1\). According to Proposition 2, which is proved in [13], in the description of \(\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)\) for the Krichever-Novikov equation (17) we see an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra \(\mathcal{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}\), which consists of certain \(\text{so}_3(\mathbb{C})\)-valued functions on an elliptic curve. The curve and the algebra \(\mathcal{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}\) are defined in Remark 9. As discussed in Remark 9 the curve and the algebra \(\mathcal{R}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}\) were studied previously by other authors in a different context.

Remark 6. In [13] we show that the algebras \(\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)\) help to obtain necessary conditions for existence of a Bäcklund transformation (BT) between two given evolution equations. This allows us to prove a number of non-existence results for BTs. For instance, a result of this kind is presented in Proposition 1, which is proved in [13].

For any \(e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}\), we have the Krichever-Novikov equation \(\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)\) given by (17). Consider also the algebraic curve

\[C(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \left\{ (z, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid y^2 = (z - e_1)(z - e_2)(z - e_3) \right\}.

Proposition 1 ([13]). Let \(e_1, e_2, e_3, e'_1, e'_2, e'_3 \in \mathbb{C}\) such that \(e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1\) and \(e'_1 \neq e'_2 \neq e'_3 \neq e'_1\).

If the curve \(C(e_1, e_2, e_3)\) is not birationally equivalent to the curve \(C(e'_1, e'_2, e'_3)\), then the equation \(\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)\) is not connected with the equation \(\text{KN}(e'_1, e'_2, e'_3)\) by any Bäcklund transformation.

Also, if \(e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1\), then \(\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)\) is not connected with the KdV equation by any BT.

BTs of Miura type (differential substitutions) for (17) were studied in [24, 38]. According to [24, 38], the equation \(\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)\) is connected with the KdV equation by a BT of Miura type iff \(e_i = e_j\) for some \(i \neq j\).

Proposition 1 considers the most general class of BTs, which is much larger than the class of BTs of Miura type studied in [24, 38]. The definition of BTs is given in [13], using a geometric approach from [19].

If \(e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1\) and \(e'_1 \neq e'_2 \neq e'_3 \neq e'_1\), the curves \(C(e_1, e_2, e_3)\) and \(C(e'_1, e'_2, e'_3)\) are elliptic. The theory of elliptic curves allows one to determine when \(C(e_1, e_2, e_3)\) is not birationally equivalent to \(C(e'_1, e'_2, e'_3)\). One gets a certain algebraic condition on the numbers \(e_1, e_2, e_3, e'_1, e'_2, e'_3\), which allows us to formulate the result of Proposition 1 more explicitly. See [13] for details.

Remark 7. It is possible to introduce an analog of \(\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)\) for multicomponent evolution PDEs

\[
\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} = F^i(x, t, u^1, \ldots, u^m, u^i_1, \ldots, u^i_m, \ldots, u^m_i, \ldots, u^m_m), \quad u^i = u^i(x, t), \quad u^i_k = \frac{\partial^k u^i}{\partial x^k}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.
\]

In this paper we study only the scalar case \(m = 1\). The case \(m > 1\) requires much more computations, which will be presented elsewhere. Some results for \(m > 1\) (including a normal form for ZCRs with respect to the action of gauge transformations and computations of \(\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)\) for a number of multicomponent PDEs of Landau-Lifshitz and nonlinear Schrödinger types) are sketched in the preprints [10, 14].

Remark 8. It is well known that equation (11) implies \(D_x(\bar{B}) - D_t(\bar{A}) + [\bar{A}, \bar{B}] = 0\) for \(\bar{A}, \bar{B}\) given by (7). Indeed, formulas (7) yield \(D_x + \bar{A} = G(D_x + A)G^{-1}\) and \(D_x + \bar{B} = G(D_t + B)G^{-1}\).

Therefore

\[
D_x(\bar{B}) - D_t(\bar{A}) + [\bar{A}, \bar{B}] = [D_x + \bar{A}, D_t + \bar{B}] = [G(D_x + A)G^{-1}, G(D_t + B)G^{-1}] = \frac{G[D_x + A, D_t + B]G^{-1} = G(D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B])G^{-1}.}
\]

Hence the equation \(D_x(\bar{B}) - D_t(\bar{A}) + [\bar{A}, \bar{B}] = 0\) implies \(D_x(\bar{B}) = D_t(\bar{A}) + [\bar{A}, \bar{B}] = 0\).
Remark 9. In this remark we assume $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. For any constants $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the Krichever-Novikov equation (17). To study the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for this equation, we need some auxiliary constructions.

Let $\mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3]$ be the algebra of polynomials in the variables $v_1, v_2, v_3$. Let $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$. Consider the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{e_1, e_2, e_3} \subset \mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3]$ generated by the polynomials

\[(20) \quad v_i^2 - v_j^2 + e_i - e_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3.\]

Set $E_{e_1, e_2, e_3} = \mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3]/\mathcal{I}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$. In other words, $E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ is the commutative associative algebra of polynomial functions on the algebraic curve in $\mathbb{C}^3$ defined by the polynomials (20). (This curve is given by the equations $v_i^2 - v_j^2 + e_i - e_j = 0$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, in the space $\mathbb{C}^3$ with coordinates $v_1, v_2, v_3$.)

Since we assume $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$, this curve is nonsingular, irreducible and is of genus 1, so this is an elliptic curve. It is known that the Landau-Lifshitz equation and the Krichever-Novikov equation possess $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$-valued ZCRs parametrized by points of this curve [34, 5, 26, 27]. (For the Krichever-Novikov equation, the paper [26] presents a ZCR with values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$.)

We have the natural surjective homomorphism $\rho: \mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3] \to \mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3]/\mathcal{I}_{e_1, e_2, e_3} = E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$. Set $\hat{v}_i = \rho(v_i) \in E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Consider also a basis $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$ such that $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] = \alpha_3$, $[\alpha_2, \alpha_3] = \alpha_1$, $[\alpha_3, \alpha_1] = \alpha_2$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{H}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C}) \otimes E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ generated by the elements $\alpha_i \otimes \hat{v}_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Since $\mathfrak{H}_{e_1, e_2, e_3} \subset \mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C}) \otimes E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$, we can view elements of $\mathfrak{H}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ as $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$-valued functions on the elliptic curve in $\mathbb{C}^3$ determined by the polynomials (20).

Set $z = \hat{v}_1^2 + e_1$. As $\hat{v}_1^2 + e_1 = \hat{v}_2^2 + e_2 = \hat{v}_3^2 + e_3$ in $E_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$, we have $z = \hat{v}_1^2 + e_1 = \hat{v}_2^2 + e_2 = \hat{v}_3^2 + e_3$.

It is easily seen (and is shown in [27]) that the following elements form a basis for $\mathfrak{H}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$

\[(21) \quad \alpha_i \otimes \hat{v}_j z^l, \quad \alpha_i \otimes \hat{v}_j \hat{v}_k z^l, \quad i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad j < k, \quad j \neq i \neq k, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.\]

Since the basis (21) is infinite, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{H}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ is infinite-dimensional. It is known that the standard ZCR with elliptic parameter for the (fully anisotropic) Landau-Lifshitz equation can be interpreted as a ZCR with values in this algebra [34, 7, 27].

It is shown in [27] that the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra of the (fully anisotropic) Landau-Lifshitz equation is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{H}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ and a 2-dimensional abelian Lie algebra.

According to Proposition 2 below, the algebra $\mathfrak{H}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ shows up also in the structure of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for the Krichever-Novikov equation. A proof of Proposition 2 is given in [13]. This proof uses some results of [15, 26, 27].

Proposition 2 ([13]). For any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the Krichever-Novikov equation $\text{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ given by (17). Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of this equation. Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$. Then

- the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is zero,
- for each $p \geq 2$, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by central extension, and the kernel of the surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$ from (58) is nilpotent,
- if $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$, then $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \cong \mathfrak{H}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ and for each $p \geq 2$ the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{H}_{e_1, e_2, e_3}$ by applying several times the operation of central extension.

3. ZCRs, gauge transformations, and the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$

In this section we recall some notions and results from [12], adding some clarifications.

As said in Section 2 we suppose that $x, t, u_k$ take values in $\mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K}$ is either $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathbb{K}^\infty$ be the infinite-dimensional space with the coordinates $x, t, u_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The topology on $\mathbb{K}^\infty$ is defined as follows.

For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, consider the space $\mathbb{K}^{l+3}$ with the coordinates $x, t, u_k$ for $k \leq l$. One has the natural projection $\pi_l: \mathbb{K}^\infty \to \mathbb{K}^{l+3}$ that “forgets” the coordinates $u_k$, for $k' > l$. 


Since $\mathbb{K}^{l+3}$ is a finite-dimensional vector space, we have the standard topology on $\mathbb{K}^{l+3}$. For any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and any open subset $V \subset \mathbb{K}^{l+3}$, the subset $\pi^{-1}_l(V) \subset \mathbb{K}^\infty$ is, by definition, open in $\mathbb{K}^\infty$. Such subsets form a base of the topology on $\mathbb{K}^\infty$. In other words, we consider the smallest topology on $\mathbb{K}^\infty$ such that the maps $\pi_l$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, are continuous.

For a connected open subset $W \subset \mathbb{K}^\infty$, a function $f: W \to \mathbb{K}$ is said to be analytic if $f$ depends analytically on a finite number of the coordinates $x, t, u_k$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. (That is, $f$ is an analytic function of the form $f = f(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_m)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.) For an arbitrary open subset $W \subset \mathbb{K}^\infty$, a function $g: W \to \mathbb{K}$ is called analytic if $g$ is analytic on each connected component of $W$.

Since we have the topology on $\mathbb{K}^\infty$ and the notion of analytic functions on open subsets of $\mathbb{K}^\infty$, we can say that $\mathbb{K}^\infty$ is an analytic manifold.

**Definition 3.** Let $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{K}^{d+3}$ be an open subset such that the function $F = F(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d)$ from (1) is defined on $\mathbb{U}$. (For instance, if the function $F$ is meromorphic on $\mathbb{K}^{d+3}$ then one can take $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{K}^{d+3}$ to be the maximal open subset such that $F$ is analytic on $\mathbb{U}$.)

The infinite prolongation $\mathcal{E}$ of equation (1) is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{E} = \pi^{-1}_d(\mathbb{U}) \subset \mathbb{K}^\infty.$$ 

So $\mathcal{E}$ is an open subset of the space $\mathbb{K}^\infty$ with the coordinates $x, t, u_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The topology on $\mathcal{E}$ is induced by the embedding $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{K}^\infty$.

As said above, we view the space $\mathbb{K}^\infty$ as an analytic manifold. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{K}^\infty$, the set $\mathcal{E}$ is an analytic manifold as well.

**Example 4.** For any constants $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{K}$, we write the Krichever-Novikov equation (17) as follows

$$u_t = F(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3),$$

$(22)$

$$F(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) = u_3 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{(u_2)^2}{u_1} + \frac{(u_0 - e_1)(u_0 - e_2)(u_0 - e_3)}{u_1}.$$ 

$(23)$

Since the right-hand side of $(22)$ depends on $u_k$ for $k \leq 3$, we have here $d = 3$.

Let $\mathbb{K}^6$ be the space with the coordinates $x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3$. According to $(23)$, the function $F$ is defined on the open subset $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{K}^6$ determined by the condition $u_1 \neq 0$.

Recall that $\mathbb{K}^\infty$ is the space with the coordinates $x, t, u_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We have the map $\pi_3: \mathbb{K}^\infty \to \mathbb{K}^6$ that “forgets” the coordinates $u_{k'}$ for $k' > 3$. The infinite prolongation $\mathcal{E}$ of equation $(22)$ is the following open subset of $\mathbb{K}^\infty$

$$\mathcal{E} = \pi_3^{-1}(\mathbb{U}) = \{(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, \ldots) \in \mathbb{K}^\infty \mid u_1 \neq 0\}.$$

Consider again an arbitrary scalar evolution equation $(11)$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of $(11)$.

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is an open subset of the space $\mathbb{K}^\infty$ with the coordinates $x, t, u_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, a point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ is determined by the values of $x, t, u_k$ at $a$. Let

$(24)$

$$a = (x = x_a, t = t_a, u_k = a_k) \in \mathcal{E}, \quad x_a, t_a, a_k \in \mathbb{K}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

be a point of $\mathcal{E}$. The constants $x_a, t_a, a_k$ are the coordinates of the point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ in the coordinate system $x, t, u_k$.

We continue to use the notations introduced in Section 1. In particular, $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_N$ is a matrix Lie algebra, and $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{GL}_N$ is the connected matrix Lie group corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}$, where $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

According to Definition 2, a gauge transformation is a matrix-function $G = G(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_l)$ with values in $\mathcal{G}$, where $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. See also Remark 3 about gauge transformations with values in other matrix Lie groups.

In this section, when we speak about ZCRs, we always mean ZCRs of equation (11). For each $i = 1, 2$, let

$$A_i = A_i(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots), \quad B_i = B_i(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots), \quad D_x(B_i) - D_t(A_i) + [A_i, B_i] = 0$$

$A_i = A_i(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots),$ $B_i = B_i(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots),$ $D_x(B_i) - D_t(A_i) + [A_i, B_i] = 0$
be a $g$-valued ZCR. The ZCR $A_1, B_1$ is said to be \textit{gauge equivalent} to the ZCR $A_2, B_2$ if there is a gauge transformation $G = G(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_l)$ such that

$$A_1 = G A_2 G^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad B_1 = G B_2 G^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}.$$ 

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For a function $M = M(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, \ldots)$, the notation $M \bigg|_{u_k = a_k, \, k \geq s}$ means that we substitute $u_k = a_k$ for all $k \geq s$ in the function $M$. Also, sometimes we substitute $x = x_a$ or $t = t_a$ in such functions. For example, if $M = M(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3)$, then

$$M \bigg|_{x=x_a, \, u_k = a_k, \, k \geq 2} = M(x_a, t, u_0, u_1, a_2, a_3).$$

The following result is obtained in \cite{ref12}.

**Theorem 1** \cite{ref12}. Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $g \subset gl_N$ be a matrix Lie algebra and $G \subset GL_N$ be the connected matrix Lie group corresponding to $g \subset gl_N$.

Consider a ZCR of order $\leq p$ given by

$$A = A(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_p), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+d-1}), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$$

such that the functions $A, B$ are analytic on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$ and take values in $g$.

Then on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$ there is a unique gauge transformation $G = G(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_l)$ such that $G(a) = \text{Id}$ and the functions

$$\tilde{A} = G A G^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = G B G^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}$$

satisfy

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{A}}{\partial u_s} \bigg|_{u_k = a_k, \, k \geq s} = 0 \quad \forall s \geq 1,$$

$$\tilde{A} \bigg|_{u_k = a_k, \, k \geq 0} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{B} \bigg|_{x=x_a, \, u_k = a_k, \, k \geq 0} = 0.$$ 

Furthermore, one has the following.

- The function $G$ depends only on $x, \, t, \, u_0, \ldots, u_{p-1}$. (In particular, if $p = 0$ then $G$ depends only on $x, \, t$.)
- The function $G$ is analytic on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$.
- The functions \text{(26)} take values in $g$ and satisfy

$$D_x(\tilde{B}) - D_t(\tilde{A}) + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}] = 0.$$

So the functions \text{(26)} form a $g$-valued ZCR of order $\leq p$.

Note that, according to our definition of gauge transformations, $G$ takes values in $G$. The property $G(a) = \text{Id}$ means that $G(x_a, t_a, a_0, \ldots, a_{p-1}) = \text{Id}$.

**Definition 4.** Fix a point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ given by \text{(24)}, which is determined by constants $x_a, \, t_a, \, a_k$. A ZCR $A = A(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$ is said to be a-normal if $A, B$ satisfy the following equations

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_s} \bigg|_{u_k = a_k, \, k \geq s} = 0 \quad \forall s \geq 1,$$

$$A \bigg|_{u_k = a_k, \, k \geq 0} = 0,$$

$$B \bigg|_{x=x_a, \, u_k = a_k, \, k \geq 0} = 0.$$
Remark 11. The functions $A, B, G$ considered in Theorem 1 are analytic on a neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{E}$. Therefore, the $g$-valued functions $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$ given by (26) are analytic as well.

Since $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$ are analytic and of the form (30), these functions are represented as absolutely convergent power series

$$\tilde{A} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_p \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \ldots (u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot \tilde{A}_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1 l_2},$$

$$\tilde{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \ldots, j_{p+d-1} \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \ldots (u_{p+d-1} - a_{p+d-1})^{j_{p+d-1}} \cdot \tilde{B}_{j_0 \ldots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1 l_2},$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we set

$$V_k = \{(i_0, \ldots, i_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k+1} \mid \exists r \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \text{ such that } i_r = 1, \ i_q = 0 \ \forall \ q > r \}.$$ 

In other words, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $i_0, \ldots, i_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, one has $(i_0, \ldots, i_k) \in V_k$ iff there is $r \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $(i_0, \ldots, i_r-1, i_r, i_{r+1}, \ldots, i_k) = (i_0, \ldots, i_r-1, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

Set also $V_0 = \emptyset$. So the set $V_0$ is empty.

Using formulas (36), (37), we see that properties (27), (28), (29) are equivalent to

$$\tilde{A}_{0 \ldots 0}^{l_1 l_2} = \tilde{B}_{0 \ldots 0}^{l_1 l_2} = 0, \quad \tilde{A}_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1 l_2} = 0, \quad (i_0, \ldots, i_p) \in V_p, \quad l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Remark 12. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a Lie algebra and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Consider a formal power series of the form

$$C = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_m \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \ldots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m} \cdot C_{i_0 \ldots i_m}^{l_1 l_2}, \quad C_{i_0 \ldots i_m}^{l_1 l_2} \in \mathfrak{L}.$$ 

Set

$$D_x(C) = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_m} D_x \left((x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \ldots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m}\right) \cdot C_{i_0 \ldots i_m}^{l_1 l_2},$$

$$D_t(C) = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_m} D_t \left((x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \ldots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m}\right) \cdot C_{i_0 \ldots i_m}^{l_1 l_2}.$$ 

The expressions

$$D_x \left((x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \ldots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m}\right),$$

$$D_t \left((x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \ldots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m}\right)$$

are functions of the variables $x, t, u_k$. Taking the corresponding Taylor series at the point (21), we view (12) as power series. Then (40), (41) become formal power series with coefficients in $\mathfrak{L}$.

According to (5), one has $D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{k \geq 0} D_x^k(F) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}$, where $F = F(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_d)$ is given in (11).

When we apply $D_t$ in (11), we view $F$ as a power series, using the Taylor series of the function $F$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and consider another formal power series

$$R = \sum_{q_1, q_2, j_0, \ldots, j_n \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{q_1}(t - t_a)^{q_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \ldots (u_n - a_n)^{j_n} \cdot R_{j_0 \ldots j_n}^{q_1 q_2}, \quad R_{j_0 \ldots j_n}^{q_1 q_2} \in \mathfrak{L}.$$ 

Then the Lie bracket $[C, R]$ is defined in the obvious way and is a formal power series with coefficients in $\mathfrak{L}$.
According to the described procedure, the expression \( D_x(R) - D_t(C) + [C, R] \) is well defined and is a formal power series with coefficients in \( \mathcal{L} \).

**Remark 13.** The main idea of the definition of the Lie algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) can be informally outlined as follows. According to Theorem 1 and Remark 11 any ZCR \( \mathcal{P} \) of order \( \leq p \) is gauge equivalent to a ZCR given by functions \( \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \) that are of the form \((36), (37)\) and satisfy \((31), (39)\).

To define \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) in terms of generators and relations, one can regard \( \tilde{A}_{l_0\ldots l_p}^{1,1}, \tilde{B}_{j_0\ldots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1,l_2} \) from \((36), (37)\) as abstract symbols. Then one can say that the Lie algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is generated by the symbols \( \tilde{A}_{l_0\ldots l_p}^{1,1}, \tilde{B}_{j_0\ldots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1,l_2} \) for \( l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_p, j_0, \ldots, j_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) so that relations for these generators are provided by equations \((31), (39)\).

The details of this construction are presented below. To avoid confusion in notation, we introduce new symbols \( A_{l_0\ldots l_p}^{1,1}, B_{j_0\ldots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1,l_2} \), which will be generators of the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \).

Fix \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and consider formal power series

\[
A = \sum_{l_1,l_2:i_0,\ldots,i_p \geq 0} (x - x_0)^{l_1}(t - t_0)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{i_0}\ldots(u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot A_{i_0\ldots i_p}^{1,1},
\]

\[
B = \sum_{l_1,l_2:j_0,\ldots,j_{p+d-1} \geq 0} (x - x_0)^{l_1}(t - t_0)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{j_0}\ldots(u_{p+d-1} - a_{p+d-1})^{j_{p+d-1}} \cdot B_{j_0\ldots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1,l_2},
\]

where

\[
A_{i_0\ldots i_p}^{1,1}, B_{j_0\ldots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1,l_2}, \quad l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_p, j_0, \ldots, j_{p+d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},
\]

are generators of a Lie algebra, which is described below.

We impose the equation

\[
D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0,
\]

which is equivalent to some Lie algebraic relations for the generators \((43)\). The left-hand side of \((46)\) is defined by the procedure described in Remark 12. Also, we impose the following condition

\[
A_{i_0\ldots i_p}^{1,1} = B_{0\ldots 0}^{0,0} = 0, \quad A_{i_0\ldots i_p}^{1,1} = 0, \quad (i_0, \ldots, i_p) \in \mathcal{V}_p, \quad l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.
\]

**Definition 5.** Recall that the manifold \( \mathcal{E} \) is the infinite prolongation of equation \((11)\), and \( a \in \mathcal{E} \) is given by \((21)\), where the constants \( x_a, t_a, a_k \) are the coordinates of the point \( a \) in the coordinate system \( x, t, u_k \). For each \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), the Lie algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is defined in terms of generators and relations as follows. The algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is given by the generators \((45)\), relations \((47)\), and the relations arising from \((46)\) when we substitute \((33), (41)\) in \((46)\).

This description of \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is sufficient for the present paper. A more detailed definition of \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is given in [12]. Note that condition \((47)\) is equivalent to the following equations

\[
\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_s} \bigg|_{u_k = a_k, k \geq s} = 0 \quad \forall s \geq 1,
\]

\[
A \bigg|_{u_k = a_k, k \geq 0} = 0,
\]

\[
B \bigg|_{x = x_a, u_k = a_k, k \geq 0} = 0.
\]

So the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is generated by the elements \((45)\). Theorem 2 below, which is proved in [12], says that the elements \((51)\) generate the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) as well. This fact is very useful in computations of \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) for concrete equations, because the set of the elements \((51)\) is much smaller than that of \((45)\). We will use Theorem 2 in Section 4.
Theorem 2 \([12]\). The elements
\[
A_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1,0}, \quad l_1, i_0, \ldots, i_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},
\]
generate the algebra \(F^p(\mathcal{E}, a)\).

Remark 14. Let \(g\) be a finite-dimensional matrix Lie algebra. By Theorem 1 for any \(g\)-valued ZCR \((25)\) of order \(\leq p\) on a neighborhood of \(a \in \mathcal{E}\), there is a unique gauge transformation \(G\) such that \(G(a) = \text{Id}\) and the functions \((26)\) obey \((27), (28), (29)\). Furthermore, Theorem 1 says that the functions \((26)\) take values in \(g\) and satisfy \((30), (31)\). Properties \((31), (39)\) imply that the following homomorphism
\[
\mu: F^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to g,
\]
is well defined. Here \(A_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1,0}, D_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1,0} \in g\) are the coefficients of the power series \((36), (37)\). The definition \((52)\) of \(\mu\) implies that the ZCR given by \((36), (37)\) takes values in the Lie subalgebra \(\mu(\mu(\mathcal{E}, a)) \subseteq g\).

It is shown in [12] that the ZCR \((25)\) is uniquely determined (up to gauge equivalence) by the corresponding homomorphism \(\mu: F^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to g\).

On the other hand, consider an arbitrary homomorphism \(\tilde{\mu}: F^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to g\). Applying \(\tilde{\mu}\) to the coefficients of the power series \((13), (14)\), we get the following power series with coefficients in \(g\)
\[
A = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_p} (x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \ldots (u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot \tilde{\mu}(A_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1,0}),
\]
\[
B = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \ldots, j_p} (x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \ldots (u_p - a_p)^{j_p} (u_{p+d-1} - a_{p+d-1})^{j_{p+d-1}} \cdot \tilde{\mu}(B_{j_0 \ldots j_p}^{l_1,0}).
\]

Since \((43), (44)\) obey \((46)\), the power series \((53), (54)\) satisfy \(D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0\). Using Definition 6, we can say that the formal power series \((53), (54)\) constitute a formal ZCR of order \(\leq p\) with coefficients in \(g\). If the power series \((53), (54)\) converge to analytic functions, then they constitute a \(g\)-valued ZCR of order \(\leq p\).

The described correspondence between \(g\)-valued ZCRs and homomorphisms \(\mu: F^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to g\) allows one to say that the algebra \(F^p(\mathcal{E}, a)\) is responsible for ZCRs of order \(\leq p\).

Suppose that \(p \geq 1\). According to Definition 5 to define the algebra \(F^p(\mathcal{E}, a)\), we take formal power series \((43), (44)\) and impose conditions \((46), (47)\). The Lie algebra \(F^p(\mathcal{E}, a)\) is given by the generators \(A_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1,0}, B_{j_0 \ldots j_p}^{l_1,0} \subseteq \mathcal{E}\) and the relations arising from \((46), (47)\). Similarly, to define the algebra \(F^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)\), we take formal power series
\[
\hat{A} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_p} (x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \ldots (u_{p-1} - a_{p-1})^{i_{p-1}} \cdot \hat{A}_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1,0},
\]
\[
\hat{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \ldots, j_{p-2}} (x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \ldots (u_{p-2} - a_{p-2})^{j_{p-2}} \cdot \hat{B}_{j_0 \ldots j_{p-2}}^{l_1,0}
\]
and impose the following conditions
\[
D_x(\hat{B}) - D_t(\hat{A}) + [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = 0,
\]
\[
\hat{A}_{0 \ldots 0}^{l_1,0} = \hat{B}_{0 \ldots 0}^{l_1,0} = 0, \quad \hat{A}_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1,0} = 0, \quad (i_0, \ldots, i_{p-1}) \in V_{p-1}, \quad l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.
\]
The Lie algebra \(F^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)\) is given by the generators \(\hat{A}_{i_0 \ldots i_{p-1}}^{l_1,0}, \hat{B}_{j_0 \ldots j_{p-2}}^{l_1,0} \subseteq \mathcal{E}\) and the relations arising from \((55), (56)\).

This implies that the map
\[
\hat{A}_{i_0 \ldots i_{p-1}}^{l_1,0} \mapsto \delta_{0,i_p} \cdot \hat{A}_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1,0}, \quad \hat{B}_{j_0 \ldots j_{p-2}}^{l_1,0} \mapsto \delta_{0,j_{p-1}} \cdot \hat{B}_{j_0 \ldots j_{p-2}}^{l_1,0}
\]
determines a surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$. Here $\delta_{0,i_p}$ and $\delta_{0,j_p+d-1}$ are the Kronecker deltas. We denote this homomorphism by $\varphi_p: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

According to Remark 14 the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is responsible for ZCRs of order $\leq p$, and the algebra $\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is responsible for ZCRs of order $\leq p - 1$. The constructed homomorphism $\varphi_p: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ reflects the fact that any ZCR of order $\leq p - 1$ is at the same time of order $\leq p$. Thus we obtain the following sequence of surjective homomorphisms of Lie algebras
\begin{equation}
\cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{p+1}} \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \xrightarrow{\varphi_p} \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{p-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a).
\end{equation}

4. SOME RESULTS ON $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ FOR EQUATIONS (9)

In this section we study the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for equations of the form (9), where $f = f(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{2q-1})$ is an arbitrary function and $q \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of equation (9). According to Definition 3, $\mathcal{E}$ is an open subset of the space $\mathbb{K}^\infty$ with the coordinates $x, t, u_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For equation (9), the total derivative operators (5) are
\begin{equation}
D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{k \geq 0} u_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}, \quad D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{k \geq 0} D^k_x(u_{2q+1} + f(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{2q-1})) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}.
\end{equation}

Consider an arbitrary point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ given by (24), where the constants $x_a, t_a, a_k$ are the coordinates of $a$ in the coordinate system $x, t, u_k$.

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $p \geq q + \delta_{q,3}$, where $\delta_{q,3}$ is the Kronecker delta. According to Definition 5, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ can be described as follows. Consider formal power series
\begin{align}
\mathbf{A} &= \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_p \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \cdots (u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot A_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \\
\mathbf{B} &= \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \ldots, j_{p+2q} \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1}(t - t_a)^{l_2}(u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \cdots (u_{p+2q} - a_{p+2q})^{j_{p+2q}} \cdot B_{j_0 \ldots j_{p+2q}}^{l_1, l_2}
\end{align}
satisfying
\begin{align}
A_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1, l_2} &= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \exists r \in \{1, \ldots, p\} \quad \text{such that} \quad i_r = 1, \quad i_m = 0 \quad \forall m > r, \\
A_{0 \ldots 0}^{l_1, l_2} &= 0 \quad \forall l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \\
B_{0 \ldots 0}^{l_1, l_2} &= 0 \quad \forall l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.
\end{align}

Then $A_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, B_{j_0 \ldots j_{p+2q}}^{l_1, l_2}$ are generators of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, and the equation
\begin{equation}
D_x(\mathbf{B}) - D_t(\mathbf{A}) + [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}] = 0
\end{equation}
provides relations for these generators (in addition to relations (62), (63), (64)).

Condition (62) is equivalent to
\begin{equation}
\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial u_s}(\mathbf{A}) \right|_{u_k = a_k, \ k \geq s} = 0 \quad \forall s \geq 1.
\end{equation}

Using (59), one can rewrite equation (65) as
\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbf{B}) + \sum_{k=0}^{p+2q} u_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbf{B}) + [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathbf{A}) + \sum_{k=0}^{p} \left( u_{k+2q+1} + D^k_x(f(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{2q-1})) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbf{A}).
\end{equation}

Here we view $f(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{2q-1})$ as a power series, using the Taylor series of the function $f$ at the point (24). Differentiating (67) with respect to $u_{p+2q+1}$, we obtain
\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{p+2q+1}}(\mathbf{B}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(\mathbf{A}).
\end{equation}
Since \( q \in \{1, 2, 3\} \), from (68) it follows that \( B \) is of the form

\[
B = u_{p+2q} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(A) + B_0(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+2q-1}),
\]

where \( B_0(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+2q-1}) \) is a power series in the variables \( x - x_a, t - t_a, u_0 - a_0, \ldots, u_{p+2q-1} - a_{p+2q-1} \).

Differentiating (67) with respect to \( u_{p+i} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, 2q - 1 \) and using (69), one gets

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+2q-1}}(B_0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+s} \partial u_{p+2q-1}}(B_0) = 0, \quad 2 \leq s \leq 2q - 1.
\]

Therefore, \( B_0 = B_0(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+2q-1}) \) is of the form

\[
(70) \quad B_0 = u_{p+1}u_{p+2q-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta_{q,1} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A) + u_{p+2q-1}B_01(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_p) + B_{00}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+2q-2}).
\]

Here \( B_{01}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_p) \) is a power series in the variables \( x - x_a, t - t_a, u_0 - a_0, \ldots, u_p - a_p \), and \( B_{00}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+2q-2}) \) is a power series in the variables \( x - x_a, t - t_a, u_0 - a_0, \ldots, u_{p+2q-2} - a_{p+2q-2} \).

**Lemma 1.** Recall that \( q \in \{1, 2, 3\} \) and \( p \geq q + \delta_{q,3} \). We have

\[
(71) \quad D_x \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A) \right) + \left[A, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A) \right] = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{k \geq 0} u_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k} \), one has

\[
(72) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} \left( D_x(Q) \right) = D_x \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n}(Q) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-1}}(Q) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}
\]

for any \( Q = Q(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_l) \). Here \( Q \) is either a function or a power series.

In what follows we sometimes use the notation

\[
B_{u_n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n}(B), \quad B_{u_m u_n} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_m \partial u_n}(B), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.
\]

Using (72), one gets

\[
(73) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_m \partial u_n} \left( D_x(B) \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_m} \left( D_x \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n}(B) \right) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n-1}}(B) = D_x(B_{u_m u_n}) + B_{u_{m-1} u_n} + B_{u_m u_{n-1}} \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.
\]

We will need also the formula

\[
(74) \quad D_t(A) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(A) + \sum_{k=0}^p \left( u_{k+2q+1} + D_x^k(f(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{2q-1})) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(A),
\]

which follows from (59).

Consider the case \( q = 1 \). Then, by our assumption, \( p \geq 1 \). Equation (9) reads \( u_t = u_3 + f(x, t, u_0, u_1) \). According to (69), (70), for \( q = 1 \) one has

\[
(75) \quad B = u_{p+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(A) - \frac{1}{2} (u_{p+1})^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A) + u_{p+1}B_01(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_p) + B_{00}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_p).
\]

Since we assume \( q = 1 \) and \( p \geq 1 \), formula (74) implies

\[
(76) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+2}} (D_t(A)) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p-1}} (A), \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+1}} (D_t(A)) = 0.
\]
Using (73), (75), (76), one obtains

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+2}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+1}} \right) \left( D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] \right) = \\
= D_x \left( B_{u_p u_{p+2}} - \frac{1}{2} B_{u_{p+1} u_{p+1}} \right) + B_{u_{p-1} u_{p+2}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p-1}}(A) + \left[ A, B_{u_p u_{p+2}} - \frac{1}{2} B_{u_{p+1} u_{p+1}} \right] = \\
= \frac{3}{2} \left( D_x \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A) \right) + [A, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A)] \right) .
\]

Since \( D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0 \) by (65), equation (77) implies (71) in the case \( q = 1 \).

Now let \( q = 2 \). Then \( p \geq 2 \). Equation (79) reads \( u_t = u_5 + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) \). Using (69), (70), for \( q = 2 \) one obtains

\[
\mathcal{B} = u_p + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(A) = u_p^2 u_{p+3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A) + u_{p+1} B_{01}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_p) + B_{00}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+2}) .
\]

Applying the operator \( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+3}} \) to equation (67) and using (78), we get

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+2}}(B_{00}) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A) = 0 .
\]

Since we assume \( q = 2 \) and \( p \geq 2 \), formula (74) implies

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+4}}(D_t(A)) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p-1}}(A) , \]

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+3}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+2}}(D_t(A)) = 0 .
\]

Using (73), (78), (79), (80), (81), one obtains

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+4}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+3}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+2} \partial u_{p+2}} \right) \left( D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] \right) = \\
= D_x \left( B_{u_p u_{p+4}} - B_{u_{p+1} u_{p+3}} + \frac{1}{2} B_{u_{p+2} u_{p+2}} \right) + B_{u_{p-1} u_{p+4}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p-1}}(A) + \\
+ \left[ A, B_{u_p u_{p+4}} - B_{u_{p+1} u_{p+3}} + \frac{1}{2} B_{u_{p+2} u_{p+2}} \right] = \\
= \frac{5}{2} \left( D_x \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A) \right) + [A, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A)] \right) .
\]

As \( D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0 \), equation (82) yields (71) in the case \( q = 2 \).

Finally, consider the case \( q = 3 \). Then \( p \geq 4 \).

Equation (79) reads \( u_t = u_7 + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5) \). According to (69), (70), for \( q = 3 \) we have

\[
\mathcal{B} = u_{p+6} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(A) = u_{p+1} u_{p+5} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A) + u_{p+5} B_{01}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_p) + B_{00}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+4}) .
\]

Differentiating (67) with respect to \( u_{p+5} \), \( u_{p+i} \) for \( i = 2, 3, 4 \) and using (83), one gets

\[
- \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+4}}(B_{00}) = 0 , \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+3}}(B_{00}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+4}}(B_{00}) = 0 .
\]

Therefore, \( B_{00} = B_{00}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+4}) \) is of the form

\[
\mathcal{B}_{00} = u_{p+2} u_{p+4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(A) + u_{p+4} B_{001}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+1}) + B_{000}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+3}) .
\]
for some \( B_{001}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+1}) \) and \( B_{000}(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+3}) \).

Applying the operator \( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+3} \partial u_{p+4}} \) to equation (67) and using (83), (84), we obtain

\begin{equation}
(85) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+3} \partial u_{p+4}} (B_{000}) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p} (A) = 0.
\end{equation}

Since we consider the case when \( q = 3 \) and \( p \geq 4 \), formula (74) implies

\begin{equation}
(86) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+6}} (D_t(A)) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p-1}} (A),
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(87) \quad \left( - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+5}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+2} \partial u_{p+4}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+3} \partial u_{p+3}} \right) (D_t(A)) = 0.
\end{equation}

Using (73), (83), (84), (85), (86), (87) one gets

\begin{equation}
(88) \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+6}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+5}} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+2} \partial u_{p+4}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+3} \partial u_{p+3}} \right) (D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B]) =
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= D_x \left( B_{u_p u_{p+6}} - B_{u_{p+1} u_{p+5}} + B_{u_{p+2} u_{p+4}} - \frac{1}{2} B_{u_{p+3} u_{p+3}} \right) + B_{u_{p-1} u_{p+6}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p-1}} (A) +
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ \left[ A, B_{u_p u_{p+6}} - B_{u_{p+1} u_{p+5}} + B_{u_{p+2} u_{p+4}} - \frac{1}{2} B_{u_{p+3} u_{p+3}} \right] =
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \frac{7}{2} \left( D_x \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p} (A) \right) + [A, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p} (A)] \right).
\end{equation}

Since \( D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0 \), equation (88) implies (71) in the case \( q = 3 \).

\[ \Box \]

Lemma 2. One has

\begin{equation}
(89) \quad \frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_k \partial u_p \partial u_p} (A) = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.
\end{equation}

Proof. Suppose that (89) does not hold. Let \( k_0 \) be the maximal integer such that

\begin{equation}
(90) \quad \frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_{k_0} \partial u_p \partial u_p} (A) \neq 0.
\end{equation}

Equation (60) for \( s = k_0 + 1 \) says

\begin{equation}
(91) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k_0+1}} (A) \bigg|_{u_k = a_k, \ k \geq k_0+1} = 0.
\end{equation}

Differentiating (71) with respect to \( u_{k_0+1} \), we obtain

\begin{equation}
(92) \quad \frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_{k_0} \partial u_p \partial u_p} (A) + \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k_0+1}} (A), \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p} (A) \right] = 0.
\end{equation}

Substituting \( u_k = a_k \) in (91) for all \( k \geq k_0 + 1 \) and using (90), one gets \( \frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_{k_0} \partial u_p \partial u_p} (A) = 0 \), which contradicts our assumption.

Using equation (60) for \( s = p \) and equation (89) for all \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), we see that \( A \) is of the form

\begin{equation}
(93) \quad A = (u_p - a_p)^2 A_2(x, t) + A_0(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p-1}),
\end{equation}

where \( A_2(x, t) \) is a power series in the variables \( x - x_a, t - t_a \) and \( A_0(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p-1}) \) is a power series in the variables \( x - x_a, t - t_a, u_0 - a_0, \ldots, u_{p-1} - a_{p-1} \).

From (89), (92) it follows that equation (71) reads

\begin{equation}
(93) \quad 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (A_2) + 2 [A_0, A_2] = 0.
\end{equation}
Note that condition (63) implies

\[ A_0 \bigg|_{u_k = a_k, \ k \geq 0} = 0. \]

Substituting \( u_k = a_k \) in (93) for all \( k \geq 0 \) and using (94), we get

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (A_2) = 0. \]

Combining (93) with (93), one obtains

\[ [A_2, A_0] = 0. \]

In view of (60), (92), we have

\[ [\tilde{A}_0, l_1, i_0, \ldots, i_{p-1}] = 0 \quad \forall l_1, i_0, \ldots, i_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \]

According to (60), (92), (95), one has

\[ A_2 = \sum_{l \geq 0} (t - t_a)^l \cdot \tilde{A}_l, \quad \tilde{A}_l = A_0^{0,l} \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a). \]

Combining (92), (97), (98) with Theorem 2, we see that the elements

\[ \tilde{A}_0, \ A_0^{i_0, \ldots, i_{p-1} = 0}, \ l_1, i_0, \ldots, i_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \]

generate the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \). Substituting \( t = t_a \) in (94) and using (97), (98), one gets

\[ [\tilde{A}_0, A_0^{l_1, i_0, \ldots, i_{p-1} = 0}] = 0 \quad \forall l_1, i_0, \ldots, i_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \]

Since the elements (99) generate the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \), equation (100) yields

\[ [\tilde{A}_0, \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)] = 0. \]

**Lemma 3.** One has

\[ [\tilde{A}_l, \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)] = 0 \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \]

**Proof.** We prove (102) by induction on \( l \). The property \([\tilde{A}_0, \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)] = 0 \) has been obtained in (101).

Let \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) such that \([\tilde{A}_l, \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)] = 0 \) for all \( l \leq r \). Since \[ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^l} (A_2) \bigg|_{t = t_a} = l! \cdot \tilde{A}_l, \]
we get

\[ \left[ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^l} (A_2) \bigg|_{t = t_a}, \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^l} (A_0) \bigg|_{t = t_a} \right] = 0 \quad \forall l \leq r, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \]

Applying the operator \[ \frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial t^{r+1}} \] to equation (96), substituting \( t = t_a \), and using (103), one obtains

\[ 0 = \frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial t^{r+1}} ([A_2, A_0]) \bigg|_{t = t_a} = \sum_{k=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{k} \cdot \left[ \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^l} (A_2) \bigg|_{t = t_a}, \frac{\partial^{r+1-k}}{\partial t^{r+1-k}} (A_0) \bigg|_{t = t_a} \right] = \]

\[ = \left[ \frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial t^{r+1}} (A_2) \bigg|_{t = t_a}, \ A_0 \bigg|_{t = t_a} \right] = \]

\[ = \left[ (r + 1)! \cdot \tilde{A}_l^{r+1}, \sum_{l_1, i_0, \ldots, i_{p-1}} (x - x_a)^l (t - t_a)^j (u_0 - a_0)^i \ldots (u_{p-1} - a_{p-1})^{p-1} \cdot A_0^{l_1, i_0, \ldots, i_{p-1} = 0} \right], \]

which implies

\[ [A_2^{r+1}, A_0^{l_1, i_0, \ldots, i_{p-1} = 0}] = 0 \quad \forall l_1, i_0, \ldots, i_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \]
Equation (101) yields

\[ \tilde{A}^0 + \tilde{A}^{r+1} = 0. \]

Since the elements \( \tilde{g}_r \) generate the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \), from (101), (105) we get \( \tilde{A}^{r+1}, \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) \( = 0. \]

**Theorem 3.** Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the infinite prolongation of an equation of the form (9) with \( q \in \{1, 2, 3\} \). Let \( a \in \mathcal{E} \). For each \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \), consider the surjective homomorphism \( \varphi_p : \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \) from (98).

If \( p \geq q + \delta_{q,3} \), then

\[ \{v_1, v_2 \} = 0 \quad \forall v_1 \in \ker \varphi_p, \quad \forall v_2 \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a). \]

In other words, if \( p \geq q + \delta_{q,3} \) then the kernel of \( \varphi_p \) is contained in the center of the Lie algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \).

**Proof.** Let \( p \geq q + \delta_{q,3} \). Combining \( 92, 69, 98 \) with the definition of the homomorphism \( \varphi_p : \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \),

we see that \( \ker \varphi_p \) is equal to the ideal generated by the elements \( \tilde{A}^l, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). Then (106) follows from (102).

So we have proved that the kernel of the homomorphism \( \varphi_p : \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is contained in the center of the Lie algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) for any \( p \geq q + \delta_{q,3} \).

Let us prove (107) by induction on \( k \). Since \( \psi_1 = \varphi_{q+\delta_{q,3}} \), for \( k = 1 \) property (107) follows from (106). Let \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) such that (107) is valid for \( r = k \). Then for any \( h'_1, h'_2, \ldots, h'_{r+2} \) \( \in \ker \psi_{r+1} \) we have

\[ [\varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(h'_2), [\varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(h'_3), \ldots, [\varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(h'_{r+1}), [\varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(h'_{r+2})] \ldots \} \ldots] = 0, \]

because \( \varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(h'_i) \) \( \in \ker \psi_i \) for \( i = 2, 3, \ldots, r+2 \). Equation (108) says that

\[ [h'_2, [h'_3, \ldots, [h'_{r+1}, h'_{r+2}] \ldots \} \in \ker \varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}. \]

Since \( \ker \varphi_{r+q+\delta_{q,3}} \) is contained in the center of \( \mathbb{F}^{r+q+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \), property (109) yields

\[ [h'_1, [h'_2, [h'_3, \ldots, [h'_{r+1}, h'_{r+2}] \ldots \} = 0. \]

So we have proved (107) for \( k = r + 1 \). Clearly, property (107) implies that \( \ker \psi_k \) is nilpotent.

Now we prove a result which is used in Example 7.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the infinite prolongation of the equation

\[ u_t = u_5 + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) \]

for some function \( f = f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) \) such that \( \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_0 \partial u_1 \partial u_3} \neq 0 \). (More precisely, we assume that the function \( \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_0 \partial u_1 \partial u_3} \) is not identically zero on any connected component of the manifold \( \mathcal{E} \). Usually, the manifold \( \mathcal{E} \) is connected, and then our assumption means that \( \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_0 \partial u_1 \partial u_3} \) is not identically zero on \( \mathcal{E} \).

Then \( \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) = \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) = 0 \) and \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is nilpotent for all \( a \in \mathcal{E}, p > 1 \).

**Proof.** Consider an arbitrary point \( a \in \mathcal{E} \) given by (23). According to Definition 5, the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \) for equation (110) can be described as follows. Consider formal power series

\[ A = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, j_1 \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} (u_1 - a_1)^{j_1} \cdot A_{l_1, l_2, j_0, j_1}, \]
(112) \[ \mathbb{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \ldots, j_5 \geq 0} (x - x_0)^{l_1} (t - t_0)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \cdots (u_5 - a_5)^{j_5} \cdot \mathbb{B}^{l_1, l_2}_{j_0, \ldots, j_5}, \]
satisfying

(113) \[ \mathbb{A}^{l_1, l_2}_{i_0, 1} = \mathbb{A}^{l_1, l_2}_{0, 0} = \mathbb{B}^{0, l_2}_{0, 0, \ldots, 0} = 0, \quad l_1, l_2, i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \]

Then \( \mathbb{A}^{l_1, l_2}_{i_0, 1}, \mathbb{B}^{l_1, l_2}_{j_0, \ldots, j_5} \) are generators of the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \), and the equation

(114) \[ D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0 \]
provides relations for these generators (in addition to relations (113)). Note that here \( D_t(\mathbb{A}) \) is given by formula (77) for \( q = 2 \) and \( p = 1 \), so we have

(115) \[ D_t(\mathbb{A}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathbb{A}) + (u_5 + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}(\mathbb{A}) + (u_6 + D_x(f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3))) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}). \]

Similarly to (78), from (114) we deduce that \( \mathbb{B} \) is of the form

(116) \[ \mathbb{B} = u_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) - u_2 u_4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_1 u_1}(\mathbb{A}) + u_4 \mathbb{B}_{01}(x, t, u_0, u_1) + \mathbb{B}_{00}(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3), \]
where \( \mathbb{B}_{01}(x, t, u_0, u_1) \) is a power series in the variables \( x - x_0, \ t - t_0, \ u_0 - a_0, \ u_1 - a_1 \) and \( \mathbb{B}_{00}(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) \) is a power series in the variables \( x - x_0, \ t - t_0, \ u_0 - a_0, \ u_1 - a_1, \ u_2 - a_2, \ u_3 - a_3 \).

Differentiating (114) with respect to \( u_4, u_3 \) and using (116), we get

(117) \[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3}(\mathbb{B}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_1 \partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u_1 \partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}). \]
Using (72), (115), (116), (117), one can verify that

(118) \[ \left( - \frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_3 \partial u_2 \partial u_4} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_3} \right) \left( D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] \right) = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_4} \cdot \left( D_x \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) \right) + \left[ \mathbb{A}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}(\mathbb{A}) \right). \]
Since \( D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}] = 0 \) by (114), equation (118) implies

(119) \[ \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_4} \cdot \left( D_x \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) \right) + \left[ \mathbb{A}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}(\mathbb{A}) \right) = 0. \]
As the analytic function \( \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_4} \) is not identically zero on any connected component of the manifold \( \mathcal{E} \), equation (119) yields

(120) \[ D_x \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) \right) + \left[ \mathbb{A}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}(\mathbb{A}) = 0. \]
Since \( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2}(\mathbb{A}) = 0 \), differentiating (120) with respect to \( u_2 \), we obtain \( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_1 \partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) = 0. \)

Recall that \( \mathbb{A} \) is of the form (111). As \( \mathbb{A}^{l_1, l_2}_{i_0, 1} = 0 \) for all \( l_1, l_2, i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) by (113), equation \( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_1 \partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) = 0 \) yields \( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) = 0 \). Combining the equation \( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) = 0 \) with (120), one gets \( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}(\mathbb{A}) = 0. \)

Combining the equations \( \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}(\mathbb{A}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_0}(\mathbb{A}) = 0 \) with (113), we get \( \mathbb{A}^{l_1, l_2}_{i_0, i_1} = 0 \) for all \( l_1, l_2, i_0, i_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \)

Since, by Theorem 2, the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is generated by the elements \( \mathbb{A}^{l_1, 0}_{i_0, i_1} \) for \( l_1, i_0, i_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), we obtain \( \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) = 0 \). As one has the surjective homomorphism \( \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \) in (58), one gets \( \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) = 0. \)

According to Theorem 3 for \( q = 2 \), for any \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) the kernel of the homomorphism

\[ \psi_k: \mathbb{F}^{k+1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \]
is nilpotent. Since \( \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) = 0 \), this implies that \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is nilpotent for all \( p > 1 \). \( \square \)
5. ZCRs with values in infinite-dimensional Lie algebras

According to Definition 1 and Remark 1, for a finite-dimensional Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \), a ZCR with values in \( \mathfrak{g} \) is given by analytic functions \( A(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots), B(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots) \) with values in \( \mathfrak{g} \) satisfying (1).

Sometimes one needs to consider ZCRs with values in infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. An example of such a ZCR is studied in Section 6.3.

For an arbitrary infinite-dimensional Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{L} \), the notion of analytic functions with values in \( \mathfrak{L} \) is not defined. Because of this, a theory for ZCRs with values in infinite-dimensional Lie algebras is developed below by using formal power series instead of analytic functions.

Consider an arbitrary scalar evolution equation (1). Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the infinite prolongation of (1). Fix a point \( a \in \mathcal{E} \) given by (24), which is determined by constants \( x_a, t, a_k \).

**Definition 6.** Let \( \mathfrak{L} \) be a (possibly infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra. A formal ZCR of order \( \leq p \) with coefficients in \( \mathfrak{L} \) is given by formal power series

\[
A = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_p \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \cdots (u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot A_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1 l_2},
\]

\[
B = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \ldots, j_{p+d-1} \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \cdots (u_{p+d-1} - a_{p+d-1})^{j_{p+d-1}} \cdot B_{j_0 \ldots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1 l_2}
\]

such that

\[
A_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1 l_2}, B_{j_0 \ldots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1 l_2} \in \mathfrak{L},
\]

\[
D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0.
\]

If the power series (121), (122) satisfy (33), (34), (35) then this formal ZCR is said to be a-normal.

**Example 5.** Since \( (43), (44) \) obey (16), (18), (19), (50) and \( A_{i_0 \ldots i_p}^{l_1 l_2}, B_{j_0 \ldots j_{p+d-1}}^{l_1 l_2} \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \), the power series (43), (44) constitute an a-normal formal ZCR of order \( \leq p \) with coefficients in \( \mathfrak{g} \).

**Example 6.** Consider a ZCR of order \( \leq p \) with values in a finite-dimensional Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) given by \( \mathfrak{g} \)-valued functions \( A = A(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_p), B = B(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_{p+d-1}) \) satisfying (1). If the functions \( A, B \) are analytic on a neighborhood of the point \( a \in \mathcal{E} \), then the Taylor series of these functions constitute a formal ZCR of order \( \leq p \) with coefficients in \( \mathfrak{g} \).

For any vector space \( V \), we denote by \( \mathfrak{gl}(V) \) the vector space of linear maps \( V \to V \). The space \( \mathfrak{gl}(V) \) is an associative algebra with respect to the composition of such maps and is a Lie algebra with respect to the commutator. We denote by \( \text{Id}_V \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) \) the identity map \( \text{Id}_V : V \to V \).

Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). Consider power series

\[
P = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_m \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \cdots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m} \cdot P_{i_0 \ldots i_m}^{l_1 l_2},
\]

\[
Q = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_n \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \cdots (u_n - a_n)^{i_n} \cdot Q_{i_0 \ldots i_n}^{l_1 l_2}
\]

with coefficients \( P_{i_0 \ldots i_m}^{l_1 l_2}, Q_{i_0 \ldots i_n}^{l_1 l_2} \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) \).

The product \( PQ \) is defined in the standard way, using the associative multiplication of the coefficients. The power series \( D_x(P), D_t(P), [P, Q] \) are defined as described in Remark 12. Thus \( PQ, D_x(P), D_t(P), [P, Q] \) are power series in the variables \( x - x_a, t - t_a, u_k - a_k \) with coefficients in \( \mathfrak{gl}(V) \).

If the coefficient \( P_{0,0}^{0,0} \in \mathfrak{gl}(V) \) in (125) is invertible (i.e., the linear map \( P_{0,0}^{0,0} : V \to V \) is invertible), then we can consider the power series \( P^{-1} \) such that \( PP^{-1} = P^{-1}P = \text{Id}_V \).

For any Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{L} \), there is a (possibly infinite-dimensional) vector space \( V \) such that \( \mathfrak{L} \) is isomorphic to a Lie subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{gl}(V) \). For example, one can use the following well-known construction. Denote by \( \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{L}) \) the universal enveloping algebra of \( \mathfrak{L} \). Using the canonical embedding \( \mathfrak{L} \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{L}) \), we
get the injective homomorphism of Lie algebras
\[ \xi: \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(U(\mathfrak{L})), \quad \xi(v)(w) = vw, \quad v \in \mathfrak{L} \subset U(\mathfrak{L}), \quad w \in U(\mathfrak{L}), \quad vw \in U(\mathfrak{L}). \]

So one can set \( V = U(\mathfrak{L}) \).

As said above, Theorem 1 about analytic ZCRs is proved in [12]. Similarly, one can prove the following analog of Theorem 1 for formal ZCRs.

**Theorem 5.** Let \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \). Consider a vector space \( V \) and a Lie subalgebra \( \mathfrak{L} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V) \). Note that \( V \) and \( \mathfrak{L} \) can be infinite-dimensional. Consider a formal ZCR of order \( \leq p \) with coefficients in \( \mathfrak{L} \) given by power series \( A, B \) satisfying (121), (122), (123), (124).

Then there is a unique power series of the form
\[
(126) \quad G = \text{Id}_V + \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_m \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \cdots (u_m - a_m)^{i_m} \cdot G_{i_0, \ldots, i_m}^{l_1, l_2}, \quad G_{i_0, \ldots, i_m}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{gl}(V),
\]
such that the power series
\[
(127) \quad \tilde{A} = GAG^{-1} - D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = GBG^{-1} - D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}
\]
satisfy
\[
(128) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{A}}{\partial u_k} \bigg|_{u_k = a_k, \ k \geq s} = 0 \quad \forall \ s \geq 1,
\]
\[
(129) \quad \tilde{A} \bigg|_{u_k = a_k, \ k \geq 0} = 0,
\]
\[
(130) \quad \tilde{B} \bigg|_{x = x_a, \ u_k = a_k, \ k \geq 0} = 0.
\]

Furthermore, one has the following.

- The power series (126) depends only on the variables \( x - x_a, t - t_a, u_k - a_k \) for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, p - 1 \).
  That is, one can write \( m = p - 1 \) in (126). (In particular, if \( p = 0 \) then (126) depends only on \( x - x_a, t - t_a \).)
- The power series (127) are of the form
\[
(131) \quad \tilde{A} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, i_0, \ldots, i_p \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{i_0} \cdots (u_p - a_p)^{i_p} \cdot \tilde{A}_{i_0, \ldots, i_p}^{l_1, l_2},
\]
\[
(132) \quad \tilde{B} = \sum_{l_1, l_2, j_0, \ldots, j_{p+1} \geq 0} (x - x_a)^{l_1} (t - t_a)^{l_2} (u_0 - a_0)^{j_0} \cdots (u_{p+1} - a_{p+1})^{j_{p+1}} \cdot \tilde{B}_{j_0, \ldots, j_{p+1}}^{l_1, l_2},
\]
for some \( \tilde{A}_{i_0, \ldots, i_p}^{l_1, l_2}, \tilde{B}_{j_0, \ldots, j_{p+1}}^{l_1, l_2} \in \mathfrak{L} \) and obey
\[
(133) \quad D_x(\tilde{B}) - D_t(\tilde{A}) + [\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}] = 0.
\]

That is, \( \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \) constitute a formal ZCR of order \( \leq p \) with coefficients in \( \mathfrak{L} \). Equations (128), (129), (130) say that this ZCR is a-normal.
- The power series (126) satisfies the following.
\[
(134) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \text{ belong to } \mathfrak{L}.
\]

Fix a vector space \( V \) and a Lie subalgebra \( \mathfrak{L} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V) \). A formal power series of the form (126) satisfying (134) is called a formal gauge transformation. It is easily seen that formal gauge transformations constitute a group with respect to the associative multiplication of power series with coefficients in \( \mathfrak{gl}(V) \). Formulas (127) determine an action of the group of formal gauge transformations on the set of formal ZCRs with coefficients in \( \mathfrak{L} \).

The formal ZCR given by (127) is gauge equivalent to the formal ZCR given by \( A, B \) satisfying (121), (122), (123), (124).
Remark 15. Equations (128), (129), (130), (133) imply that the following homomorphism
$$\mu: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathfrak{L}, \quad \mu(\tilde{A}_{l_0...l_p}) = \tilde{A}_{l_1l_2}l_0...l_p, \quad \mu(\tilde{B}_{l_0...l_p}) = \tilde{B}_{l_1l_2}l_0...l_p,$$
is well defined, where $\tilde{A}_{l_0...l_p}, \tilde{B}_{l_0...l_p} \in \mathfrak{L}$ are the coefficients of the power series (131), (132). Theorem 5 implies that any formal ZCR of order $\leq p$ with coefficients in $\mathfrak{L}$ is gauge equivalent to an $a$-normal formal ZCR corresponding to a homomorphism $\mu: \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathfrak{L}$.

We will use this in Remark 18 in order to get some information about the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for equation (142).

6. Integrability conditions and examples of proving non-integrability

6.1. Necessary conditions for integrability. As said in Section 1, all algebras are supposed to be over the field $\mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K}$ is either $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$, and the variables $x, t, u_k$ take values in $\mathbb{K}$.

Definition 7. A $\mathfrak{g}$-valued ZCR
$$A = A(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots), \quad B = B(x, t, u_0, u_1, \ldots), \quad D_x(B) − D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0$$
is called gauge-nilpotent if there is a gauge transformation $G = G(x, t, u_0, \ldots, u_l)$ such that the functions
$$\tilde{A} = GAG^{-1} − D_x(G) \cdot G^{-1}, \quad \tilde{B} = GBG^{-1} − D_t(G) \cdot G^{-1}$$
take values in a nilpotent Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. In other words, a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued ZCR is gauge-nilpotent if it is gauge equivalent to a ZCR with values in a nilpotent Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

It is known that a ZCR with values in a nilpotent Lie algebra cannot establish integrability of a given equation (11). Therefore, a gauge-nilpotent ZCR cannot establish integrability of (11) either, because a gauge-nilpotent ZCR is equivalent to a ZCR with values in a nilpotent Lie algebra.

Hence the property
\begin{equation}
(135) \quad \text{“there is } \mathfrak{g} \text{ such that equation (11) possesses a } \mathfrak{g}\text{-valued ZCR which is not gauge-nilpotent”} \end{equation}
can be regarded as a necessary condition for integrability of equation (11).

It is shown in [12] that, for any $\mathfrak{g}$-valued ZCR of order $\leq p$, there is a homomorphism $\mu: \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathfrak{g}$ such that this ZCR is gauge equivalent to a ZCR with values in the Lie subalgebra $\mu(\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)) \subset \mathfrak{g}$. The construction of $\mu$ is described in Remark 14.

Therefore, if for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and each $a \in \mathcal{E}$ the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent then any ZCR of (11) is gauge-nilpotent, which implies that equation (11) is not integrable. This yields the following result.

Theorem 6. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of an equation of the form (11). If for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and each $a \in \mathcal{E}$ the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent, then this equation is not integrable.

In other words, the property
\begin{equation}
(136) \quad \text{“there exist } p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ and } a \in \mathcal{E} \text{ such that the Lie algebra } \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \text{ is not nilpotent”} \end{equation}
is a necessary condition for integrability of equation (11).

For some classes of equations (11) one can find a nonnegative integer \( r \) such that for any \( k > r \) the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is obtained from \( \mathbb{F}^{k-1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \) by central extension. This implies that for any \( k > r \) the algebra \( \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is obtained from \( \mathbb{F}^r(\mathcal{E}, a) \) by applying several times the operation of central extension. Then condition (136) should be checked for \( p = r \).

For example, according to Theorem 7 and Remark 5 for equations of the form (9) we can take \( r = q - 1 + \delta_{q,3} \). According to Proposition 1 for the Krichever-Novikov equation (17) one can take \( r = 1 \).

Let us show how this works for equations (9).

**Theorem 7.** Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the infinite prolongation of an equation of the form (9) with \( q \in \{1, 2, 3\} \). Let \( a \in \mathcal{E} \). If the Lie algebra \( \mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is nilpotent, then \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is nilpotent for all \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

**Proof.** According to Theorem 3 and Remark 5 for every \( p \geq q + \delta_{q,3} \) the Lie algebra \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is obtained from \( \mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \) by applying several times the operation of central extension.

Since the homomorphisms (58) are surjective, for each \( \tilde{p} \leq q - 1 + \delta_{q,3} \) we have a surjective homomorphism \( \mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{\tilde{p}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \).

Clearly, these properties imply the statement of Theorem 7. \( \square \)

Combining Theorem 7 with Theorem 6 we obtain the following.

**Theorem 8.** Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the infinite prolongation of an equation of the form (9) with \( q \in \{1, 2, 3\} \). If for all \( a \in \mathcal{E} \) the Lie algebra \( \mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is nilpotent, then for each \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) any ZCR of order \( \leq p \)

\[
A = A(x, t, u, u_1, \ldots, u_p), \quad B = B(x, t, u, u_1, \ldots), \quad D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0 \]

is gauge-nilpotent. Hence, if \( \mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is nilpotent for all \( a \in \mathcal{E} \), then equation (9) is not integrable.

In other words, the property

\[
\text{“the Lie algebra } \mathbb{F}^{q-1+\delta_{q,3}}(\mathcal{E}, a) \text{ is not nilpotent for some } a \in \mathcal{E} \text{” is a necessary condition for integrability of equations of the form (9).} \]

**Remark 16.** In this paper we study integrability by means of ZCRs. Another well-known approach to integrability uses symmetries and conservation laws. Many remarkable classification results for some types of equations (11) possessing higher-order symmetries or conservation laws are known (see, e.g., [24, 25, 31] and references therein).

However, it is also known that the approach of symmetries and conservation laws is not completely universal for the study of integrability. For a given evolution equation, non-existence of higher-order symmetries and conservation laws does not guarantee non-integrability. For example, in [28] one can find a scalar evolution equation which is connected with KdV by a Miura-type transformation and is, therefore, integrable, but does not possess higher-order symmetries and conservation laws. In Subsection 6.3 we present this equation and a ZCR for it.

Examples of the situation when two evolution equations are connected by a Miura-type transformation but only one of the equations possesses higher-order symmetries can be found also in [35, 37]. (In [35, 37] Miura-type transformations are called differential substitutions.)

When we speak about symmetries and conservation laws, we mean the standard notions of local symmetries and conservation laws [24, 25, 31], which may be of arbitrarily high order with respect to the variables \( u_k \). One can try to consider also nonlocal symmetries depending on so-called nonlocal variables (see, e.g., [18, 19, 37] and references therein), but the theory of nonlocal symmetries is much less developed than that of local symmetries. A classification result for equations of order 2 satisfying certain integrability conditions related to existence of higher-order weakly nonlocal symmetries is presented in [37].

**Remark 17.** In this subsection we study ZCRs with values in finite-dimensional Lie algebras. Using the theory presented in Section 5 one can show that the results of this subsection are valid also for formal ZCRs with coefficients in arbitrary (possibly infinite-dimensional) Lie algebras.
Example 7. Consider (139) in the case $q = 2$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of an equation of the form

$$
(138) \quad u_t = u_5 + f(x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3).
$$

According to Theorem 4 if $\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_3} \neq 0$ then $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{E}$.

Combining this with Theorem 6 we get the following. If $\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u_3 \partial u_3 \partial u_3} \neq 0$ then equation (138) is not integrable. (As said above, this means that, for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, any ZCR of order $\leq p$ for this equation is gauge-nilpotent.)

6.2. An evolution equation related to the Hénon-Heiles system. The following scalar evolution equation was studied by A. P. Fordy [6] in connection with the Hénon-Heiles system

$$
(139) \quad u_t = u_5 + (8\alpha - 2\beta)u_0u_3 + (4\alpha - 6\beta)u_1u_2 - 20\alpha\beta u_0^2 u_1,
$$

where $\alpha$, $\beta$ are arbitrary constants. (In [6] these constants are denoted by $a$, $b$, but we use the symbol $a$ for a different purpose.)

If $\alpha = \beta = 0$ then (139) is the linear equation $u_t = u_5$. Since we intend to study nonlinear PDEs, in what follows we suppose that at least one of the constants $\alpha$, $\beta$ is nonzero. We want to determine for which values of $\alpha$, $\beta$ equation (139) is not integrable.

The following facts were noticed in [6].

- If $\alpha + \beta = 0$ then (139) is equivalent to the Sawada-Kotera equation. (That is, if $\alpha + \beta = 0$ then (139) can be transformed to the Sawada-Kotera equation by scaling of the variables. As said above, we assume that at least one of the constants $\alpha$, $\beta$ is nonzero.)
- If $6\alpha + \beta = 0$ then (139) is equivalent to the 5th-order flow in the KdV hierarchy.
- If $16\alpha + \beta = 0$ then (139) is equivalent to the Kaup-Kupershmidt equation.

So in the cases $\alpha + \beta = 0$, $6\alpha + \beta = 0$, $16\alpha + \beta = 0$ equation (139) is equivalent to a well-known integrable equation.

Now we need to study the case

$$
(140) \quad \alpha + \beta \neq 0, \quad 6\alpha + \beta \neq 0, \quad 16\alpha + \beta \neq 0.
$$

As discussed in Remark 16 there are several different approaches to the notion of integrability of PDEs. According to [6] and references therein, in the case (140) equation (139) is not integrable in the approach of symmetries and conservation laws. (This means that the equation does not possess higher-order symmetries and conservation laws.) However, according to Remark 16 this fact does not guarantee non-integrability of (139) in some other approaches.

Let us see what the structure of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ can say about integrability or non-integrability of equation (139) in the case (140). Lemma 4 is proved in [13].

Lemma 4 ([13]). Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of equation (139). Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$. Then

- the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by central extension,
- if (140) holds and $\alpha \neq 0$, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of the 3-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and an abelian Lie algebra of dimension $\leq 3$,
- if $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is nilpotent and is of dimension $\leq 6$.

Combining Lemma 4 with Theorem 3 and Remark 5 we get the following.

Theorem 9. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of equation (139). Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$. Then one has the following.

- For any $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the kernel of the surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ from (138) is nilpotent. The algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is obtained from the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by applying several times the operation of central extension.
• If (140) holds and \( \alpha \neq 0 \), then \( F^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is isomorphic to the direct sum of \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}) \) and an abelian Lie algebra of dimension \( \leq 3 \), and for each \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) there is a surjective homomorphism \( F^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}) \) with nilpotent kernel.

• If \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \beta \neq 0 \), the Lie algebra \( F^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is nilpotent for all \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).

According to Theorem 9 if \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \beta \neq 0 \) then for any \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) the Lie algebra \( F^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) for (139) is nilpotent. Then Theorem 6 implies that equation (139) is not integrable in the case when \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \beta \neq 0 \).

Now it remains to study the case when (140) holds and \( \alpha \neq 0 \). Before doing this, we need to discuss something else. All our experience in the study of the algebras \( F^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) for various evolution equations suggests that the following conjecture is valid.

**Conjecture 1.** Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the infinite prolongation of a \((1+1)\)-dimensional evolution equation (1). Suppose that the equation is integrable. Then there exist \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and \( a \in \mathcal{E} \) such that

- the Lie algebra \( F^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is infinite-dimensional,
- for any nilpotent ideal \( I \subset F^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \), the quotient Lie algebra \( F^p(\mathcal{E}, a)/I \) is infinite-dimensional as well.

This conjecture is supported by the following examples.

**Example 8.** According to [12] for the KdV equation, the Lie algebra \( F^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is isomorphic to the direct sum \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \oplus \mathbb{K}^3 \), where \( \mathbb{K}^3 \) is a 3-dimensional abelian Lie algebra. Hence \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \) is embedded in \( F^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \) as a subalgebra of codimension 3.

Evidently, the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \) does not have any nontrivial nilpotent ideals. Hence, for any nilpotent ideal \( I \subset F^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \), one has \( I \cap \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) = 0 \) and, therefore, \( \dim I \leq 3 \). This implies that Conjecture 1 is valid for the KdV equation.

**Example 9.** Recall that the KdV hierarchy consists of commuting flows, which are scalar evolution equations of orders \( 2k + 1 \) for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). The standard \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}) \)-valued ZCR for the KdV hierarchy depends polynomially on a parameter \( \lambda \). Therefore, this ZCR can be viewed as a ZCR with values in \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \).

It can be shown that this gives a surjective homomorphism \( F^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \) for each equation in the hierarchy. Since the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \) is infinite-dimensional and does not have any nontrivial nilpotent ideals, this implies that \( \dim F^0(\mathcal{E}, a) = \infty \) and \( \dim F^0(\mathcal{E}, a)/I = \infty \) for any nilpotent ideal \( I \subset F^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \), so Conjecture 1 holds true for each equation in the KdV hierarchy. Using similar arguments, one can show that Conjecture 1 is valid also for many other hierarchies of integrable evolution equations possessing a ZCR with a parameter.

**Example 10.** According to Proposition 2 for the Krichever-Novikov equation \( K\mathcal{N}(e_1, e_2, e_3) \) in the case when \( e_i \neq e_j \) for all \( i \neq j \), the algebra \( F^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is isomorphic to the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{A}_{e_1,e_2,e_3} \). Using the basis (21) of this algebra, it is easy to show that \( \mathfrak{A}_{e_1,e_2,e_3} \) does not have any nontrivial nilpotent ideals. Therefore, \( F^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \) is infinite-dimensional and does not have any nontrivial nilpotent ideals, which implies that Conjecture 1 is valid in this case.

According to [38], if \( e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C} \) are such that \( e_i = e_j \) for some \( i \neq j \), then the Krichever-Novikov equation \( K\mathcal{N}(e_1, e_2, e_3) \) is connected by a Miura-type transformation with the KdV equation. Using this fact and the fact that Conjecture 1 is valid for the KdV equation, one can show that Conjecture 1 holds true for the equation \( K\mathcal{N}(e_1, e_2, e_3) \) when \( e_i = e_j \) for some \( i \neq j \).

**Example 11.** In this paper we study scalar evolution PDEs (1). As said in Remark 7 it is possible to introduce an analog of \( F^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) for multicomponent evolution PDEs (19). Therefore, one can try to check Conjecture 1 for multicomponent evolution PDEs. Computations in [14] show that Conjecture 1 holds true for the Landau-Lifshitz, nonlinear Schrödinger equations (which can be regarded as 2-component evolution PDEs) and for a number of other multicomponent PDEs.

Now return to the study of equation (139) in the case when (140) holds and \( \alpha \neq 0 \). According to Theorem 9 for any \( a \in \mathcal{E} \) and any \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) there is a surjective homomorphism \( \psi : F^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}) \)
with nilpotent kernel. Let \( \mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \) be the kernel of \( \psi \). Then \( \mathcal{J} \) is a nilpotent ideal of \( \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \), and we have
\[
\dim \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)/\mathcal{J} = \dim \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}) = 3.
\]
Then Conjecture 1 implies that equation (139) is not integrable in this case.

6.3. A zero-curvature representation. Consider the KdV equation
\[
(141) \quad u_t = u_{xxx} + uu_x, \quad u = u(x, t),
\]
and the equation
\[
(142) \quad v_t = v^3v_{xxx} + 3v^2v_xv_{xx} - \tilde{x}^2v_x + 3\tilde{x}v, \quad v = v(x, \tilde{t}),
\]
where subscripts denote derivatives. We assume that \( x, t, u, \tilde{x}, \tilde{t}, v \) take values in \( \mathbb{K} \).

According to [28], equation (142) is connected with KdV (141) by the following Miura-type transformation
\[
(143) \quad \tilde{t} = t, \quad \tilde{x} = u_x, \quad v = u_{xx}.
\]
In [28] the variables \( \tilde{x} \) and \( \tilde{t} \) are denoted by \( y \) and \( s \).

Using the methods of [8, 9, 36], it is shown in [28] that equation (142) does not possess higher-order symmetries and conservation laws. (As explained in Remark 16, when we speak about symmetries and conservation laws, we mean the standard notions of local symmetries and conservation laws [24, 25, 31].) We are going to present a ZCR for equation (142).

Consider the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}) \otimes \mathbb{K}[\lambda] \) and the map
\[
\partial_\lambda : \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]), \quad \partial_\lambda(q \otimes f) = q \otimes \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}, \quad q \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}), \quad f \in \mathbb{K}[\lambda].
\]
We set \( \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda = \{ c\partial_\lambda \mid c \in \mathbb{K} \} \). That is, \( \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \) is the one-dimensional vector subspace spanned by the map \( \partial_\lambda \) in the vector space of all \( \mathbb{K} \)-linear maps \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \).

One has the following Lie algebra structure on the vector space \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \)
\[
[m_1 + c_1\partial_\lambda, m_2 + c_2\partial_\lambda] = [m_1, m_2] + c_1\partial_\lambda(m_2) - c_2\partial_\lambda(m_1), \quad m_1, m_2 \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]), \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{K}.
\]

Consider the following functions with values in \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \)
\[
(144) \quad A(x, v) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -\frac{v}{6v} \end{array} \right) + \frac{x}{v} \partial_\lambda,
\]
\[
(145) \quad B(x, v, v_x, v_{xx}) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{6}(v - \lambda v_x + \frac{\lambda x}{6v}) - \frac{v^2}{6v} - \frac{v^2}{3} \lambda - \frac{v^3}{6} - \frac{\lambda x^2}{6v} - \frac{\lambda x}{6} \\ vv_{xx} + v^2_x + \frac{\lambda x^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda x}{2} - \frac{\lambda x^2}{6} + \frac{\lambda x}{6} \end{array} \right)
\]
\[
+ \left( v v_x - \frac{x^2}{v} (v v_{xx} + v_{xx}^2 - \frac{x^3}{v}) \right) \partial_\lambda.
\]
It is straightforward to check that these functions satisfy the zero-curvature condition
\[
(146) \quad D_{\tilde{x}}(B) - D_{\tilde{t}}(A) + [A, B] = 0,
\]
where \( D_{\tilde{x}}, D_{\tilde{t}} \) are the total derivative operators corresponding to equation (142). Therefore, the functions (144), (145) form a ZCR for equation (142). This ZCR takes values in the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \).

This ZCR for equation (142) can be obtained from the standard ZCR of the KdV equation (141) by means of the Miura-type transformation (143) and a linear change of variables.

Remark 18. Let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the infinite prolongation of equation (142). According to Definition 8, \( \mathcal{E} \) can be identified with the space \( \mathbb{K}^\infty \) with the coordinates
\[
\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}, v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx}, \ldots
\]
Then \( A(\tilde{x}, v) \) and \( B(\tilde{x}, v, v_x, v_{xx}) \) given by (144), (145) are rational functions on \( \mathcal{E} \) with values in the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[\lambda]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \).
Set \( V = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \). Consider the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{gl}(V) \) which consists of \( \mathbb{K} \)-linear maps \( V \to V \). We have the following injective homomorphism of Lie algebras

\[
\psi: \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \to \mathfrak{gl}(V), \quad \psi(r)(s) = [r, s], \quad r \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda, \quad s \in V = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda,
\]

which is the adjoint representation of \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \). Hence \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \) can be regarded as a Lie subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{gl}(V) \).

Take a point \( a \in \mathcal{E} \) such that \( v \neq 0 \) at \( a \). Then the functions \( A(\tilde{x}, v) \) and \( B(\tilde{x}, v, v_\xi, v_\xi\xi) \) are analytic on a neighborhood of \( a \in \mathcal{E} \).

Taking the Taylor series of these functions, we get power series with coefficients in \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \). Equation (146) implies that the Taylor series of \( A(\tilde{x}, v) \), \( B(\tilde{x}, v, v_\xi, v_\xi\xi) \) constitute a formal ZCR of order \( \leq 0 \) with coefficients in \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \).

Using Theorem 5 and Remark 15 for the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V) \), we obtain that this formal ZCR is gauge equivalent to an \( a \)-normal formal ZCR corresponding to a homomorphism \( \mu: \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \). (The homomorphism \( \mu \) is uniquely determined by the ZCR \( A(\tilde{x}, v), B(\tilde{x}, v, v_\xi, v_\xi\xi) \).

Using methods of [12, 13], one can show that

\[
\mu(\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)) \text{ contains the subalgebra } \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \subset \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda.
\]

Since the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \) is of codimension 1 in \( \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{K}[[\lambda]]) \oplus \mathbb{K}\partial_\lambda \) and does not have any nontrivial nilpotent ideals, property (147) yields the following. For any nilpotent ideal \( \mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a) \), the quotient Lie algebra \( \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)/\mathcal{J} \) is infinite-dimensional. Therefore, Conjecture (I) is valid for equation (142).

**Acknowledgements**

Gianni Manno (GM) and Sergei Igonin (SI) acknowledge that the present research has been partially supported by the following projects and grants:

- PRIN project 2017 “Real and Complex Manifolds: Topology, Geometry and holomorphic dynamics” by the Ministry of Education, University and Research (MIUR), Italy;
- “Connessioni proiettive, equazioni di Monge-Ampère e sistemi integrabili” by Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM), Italy;
- “MIUR grant Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2018-2022 (E11G18000350001)”, Italy;
- “Finanziamento alla ricerca 2017-2018 (53_RBA17MANGIO)” by Politecnico di Torino;
- “FIR-2013 Geometria delle equazioni differenziali” by INdAM.

GM is a member of Gruppo Nazionale per le Strutture Algebriche, Geometriche e le loro Applicazioni (GNSAGA) of INdAM. The work of SI was carried out within the framework of the State Programme of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, project number 1.13560.2019/13.1.

SI would like to thank A. P. Fordy, I. S. Krasilshchik, A. V. Mikhailov, and V. V. Sokolov for useful discussions. SI is grateful to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics (Bonn, Germany) for its hospitality and excellent working conditions during 06.2010–09.2010, when part of this research was done.

**References**

[1] P. J. Caudrey, R. K. Dodd, and J. D. Gibbon. A new hierarchy of Korteweg-de Vries equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* 351 (1976), 407–422.
[2] R. Dodd and A. Fordy. The prolongation structures of quasipolynomial flows. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* 385 (1983), 389–429.
[3] H. N. van Eck. The explicit form of the Lie algebra of Wahlquist and Estabrook. A presentation problem. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* 45 (1983), 149–164.
[4] H. N. van Eck. A non-Archimedean approach to prolongation theory. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 12 (1986), 231–239.
[5] L. D. Faddeev and L. A. Takhtajan. *Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons*. Springer-Verlag, 1987.
[6] A. P. Fordy. The Hénon-Heiles system revisited. *Phys. D* 52 (1991), 204–210.
[7] P. I. Holod. Hamiltonian systems on the orbits of affine Lie groups and finite-bond integration of nonlinear equations. *Nonlinear and turbulent processes in physics, Vol. 3 (Kiev, 1983)*, 1361–1367. Harwood Academic Publ., Chur, 1984.
[8] N. H. Ibragimov. *Transformation groups applied to mathematical physics*. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1985.
[9] N. Kh. Ibragimov and A. B. Shabat. Infinite Lie-Beklund algebras. *Functional Anal. Appl.* 14 (1980), 313–315.
[10] S. Igonin. Higher jet prolongation Lie algebras and Bäcklund transformations for (1+1)-dimensional PDEs. Preprint at arXiv:1212.2199
[11] S. Igonin, J. van de Leur, G. Manno, and V. Trushkov. Infinite-dimensional prolongation Lie algebras and multicomponent Landau-Lifshitz systems associated with higher genus curves. *J. Geom. Phys.* 68 (2013), 1–26. arXiv:1209.2999
[12] S. Igonin and G. Manno. Lie algebras responsible for zero-curvature representations of scalar evolution equations. *J. Geom. Phys.* 138 (2019), 297–316. arXiv:1303.3575
[13] S. Igonin and G. Manno. On Lie algebras responsible for zero-curvature representations and Bäcklund transformations of (1+1)-dimensional scalar evolution PDEs. Preprint at arXiv:1804.04652
[14] S. Igonin and G. Manno. On Lie algebras responsible for zero-curvature representations of multicomponent (1+1)-dimensional evolution PDEs. Preprint at arXiv:1703.07217
[15] S. Igonin and R. Martini. Prolongation structure of the Krichever-Novikov equation. *J. Phys. A* 35 (2002), 9801–9810.
[16] D. J. Kaup. On the inverse scattering problem for cubic eigenvalue problems of the class $\psi_{xxx} + 6Q\psi_x + 6R\psi = \lambda\psi$. *Stud. Appl. Math.* 62 (1980), 189–216.
[17] D. J. Kaup. The Estabrook-Wahlquist method with examples of application. *Phys. D* 1 (1980), 391–411.
[18] I. S. Krasilshchik and A. M. Vinogradov. Nonlocal symmetries and the theory of coverings: an addendum to Vinogradov’s “Local symmetries and conservation laws” [Acta Appl. Math. 2 (1984), 21–78]. *Acta Appl. Math.* 2 (1984), 79–96.
[19] I. S. Krasilshchik and A. M. Vinogradov. Nonlocal trends in the geometry of differential equations. *Acta Appl. Math.* 15 (1989), 161–209.
[20] I. M. Krichever and S. P. Novikov. Holomorphic bundles over algebraic curves and nonlinear equations. *Russian Math. Surveys* 35 (1980), 53–79.
[21] M. Marvan. On zero-curvature representations of partial differential equations. *Differential geometry and its applications (Opava, 1992)*, 103–122. Silesian Univ. Opava, 1993. www.emis.de/proceedings/5ICDGA
[22] M. Marvan. A direct procedure to compute zero-curvature representations. The case $sl_2$. *Secondary Calculus and Cohomological Physics (Moscow, 1997)*, 9 pp. www.emis.de/proceedings/SCCP97
[23] M. Marvan. On the spectral parameter problem. *Acta Appl. Math.* 109 (2010), 239–255.
[24] A. G. Meshkov and V. V. Sokolov. Integrable evolution equations with constant spectral parameter. *Ufa Math. J.* 4 (2012), 104–153. arXiv:1302.6610
[25] A. V. Mikhailov, A. B. Shabat, and V. V. Sokolov. The symmetry approach to classification of integrable equations. *What is integrability?*, 115–184. Springer, 1991.
[26] D. P. Novikov. Algebraic-geometric solutions of the Krichever-Novikov equation. *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* 121 (1999), 1567–1573.
[27] G. H. M. Roelofs and R. Martini. Prolongation structure of the Landau-Lifshitz equation. *J. Math. Phys.* 34 (1993), 2394–2399.
[28] S. Yu. Sakovich. On Miura transformations of evolution equations. *J. Phys. A* 26 (1993), L369–L373.
[29] S. Yu. Sakovich. On zero-curvature representations of evolution equations. *J. Phys. A* 28 (1995), 2861–2869.
[30] S. Yu. Sakovich. Cyclic bases of zero-curvature representations: five illustrations to one concept. *Acta Appl. Math.* 83 (2004), 69–83.
[31] J. A. Sanders and J. P. Wang. Number theory and the symmetry classification of integrable systems. *Integrability*, 89–118, *Lecture Notes in Phys.* 767. Springer, Berlin, 2009.
[32] K. Sawada and T. Kotera. A method for finding N-soliton solutions of the K.d.V. equation and K.d.V.-like equation. *Progr. Theoret. Phys.* 51 (1974), 1355–1367.
[33] P. Sebestyén. On normal forms of irreducible $sl_n$-valued zero-curvature representations. *Rep. Math. Phys.* 62 (2008), 57–68.
[34] E. K. Sklyanin. On complete integrability of the Landau-Lifshitz equation. *Preprint LOMI E-3-79*, Leningrad, 1979.
[35] V. V. Sokolov. On the symmetries of evolution equations. *Russian Math. Surveys* 43 (1988), 165–204.
[36] S. I. Svinolupov and V. V. Sokolov. Evolution equations with nontrivial conservation laws. *Functional Anal. Appl.* 16 (1982), 317–319.
[37] S. I. Svinolupov and V. V. Sokolov. Weak nonlocalities in evolution equations. *Math. Notes* 48 (1990), 1234–1239.
[38] S. I. Svinolupov, V. V. Sokolov, and R. I. Yamilov. On Bäcklund transformations for integrable evolution equations. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 28 (1983), 165–168.
[39] H. D. Wahlquist and F. B. Estabrook. Prolongation structures of nonlinear evolution equations. *J. Math. Phys.* 16 (1975), 1–7.
[40] V. E. Zakharov and A. B. Shabat. Integration of nonlinear equations of mathematical physics by the method of inverse scattering. II. *Functional Anal. Appl.* 13 (1979), 166–174.