Ultrasonic Cavitation Ameliorates Antitumor Efficacy of Residual Cancer After Incomplete Radiofrequency Ablation in Rabbit VX2 Liver Tumor Model

Abstract
Residual cancer after incomplete ablation remains a major problem for radiofrequency ablation (RFA). We aimed to investigate the synergetic treatment efficacy of RFA combined with ultrasonic cavitation for liver tumor. Sixty rabbits with VX2 liver tumor were randomly divided into three groups. Group A was control group without any treatment. Combined ultrasonic cavitation with RFA was performed for group B1. Group B2 underwent RFA alone. The histopathological results were compared at the 5th, 11th, and 18th day of experiment, and the survival time and metastasis were assessed. The tumor volume growth rate, percentage of necrosis area, microvessel density, and apoptosis index showed significant differences among these groups at the 5th day, 11th day, and 18th day of experiment ($P < .05$). In contrast, the difference of metastatic score was not significant at the 5th and 11th day ($P \geq .05$). At the 18th day, the metastatic score of group A was significant higher than that of group B1 ($P < .05$), whereas the differences between group A and group B2, or group B1 and group B2 were not significant ($P > .05$). The median/range interquartile of survival time in groups A, B1, and B2 were 25/8 days, 50/19 days, and 48/20 days, respectively, and there was significant difference between groups A and B1 or B2 ($P < .05$). The difference between groups B1 and B2 was not significant ($P > .05$). Ultrasonic cavitation after incomplete RFA for liver tumor improved the antitumor effect, which could be considered as a potentially useful combined therapeutic strategy for liver malignancy.

Introduction
Liver cancer is predicted to be the sixth most commonly diagnosed cancer and the fourth leading cause of cancer death worldwide in 2018 [1]. Of these, China have accounted for 50% of the total number of cases and deaths [2]. In addition to surgical resection, liver transplantation provides an alternative therapy for unresectable liver tumors. However, these treatments are limited for some reasons, including severe liver dysfunction and shortage of liver grafts [3–5]. Thus, several minimally invasive local treatments have been applied for liver cancer, of which radiofrequency ablation (RFA) is widely used [6]. RFA is considered as the best option for inoperable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in patients, who have no more than three liver nodules, a maximum 3 cm diameter tumor, and preserved...
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Cavitation is the response of gas bubbles in liquid after oscillating to an acoustic field [14–16], which is one of major physical effects of ultrasound. Under certain ultrasound excitation, microbubble in liquid is irritated as vigorous contraction, expansion, oscillation, and even implosion, resulting in extreme local physical phenomenon, such as high pressure, shock wave, and microjets. In the presence of large amount of exogenous cavitation nuclei, for example, lipid microbubbles injected into circulation, inertial cavitation might be induced, which can cause sonoporation or capillary destruction. This process can result in hemorrhage, edema, thrombus formation, and damage to the endothelium of capillaries or small vessels in various tissues [17–19], which can induce necrosis and apoptosis of cancer cells and inhibit tumor growth [20,21]. It has been reported that ultrasonic cavitation is an effective application for tumor vasculature destruction or antitumor effects [22–26].

Recurrence after RFA is a major drawback in the management of HCC, and effective adjuvant therapies are urgently needed [27]. Combined treatment, for example, chemotherapy or radiation with hyperthermia, may be an effective strategy for cancer. Bholee et al. [28] compared the effectiveness of transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) combined with RFA versus hepatectomy for HCC within Milan criteria, and the results showed that TACE+RFA is safe and as effective as hepatectomy for patients. In another study, the efficacy of sorafenib combined with RFA for treating HCC was investigated, and the findings demonstrated that the RFA efficiency has been enhanced with sorafenib [29]. For the ultrasonic cavitation, Zhao et al. [30] reported that the degree of coagulation during pulsed high-intensity focused ultrasound could be enhanced by cavitation. Additionally, ultrasound-triggered microbubble destruction is an effective adjunct when combined with radiotherapy to treat HCC compared with radiotherapy alone [31]. Then, as far as RFA in combination with ultrasonic cavitation was concerned, whether antitumor efficacy could be improved is worth to be further investigated. To date, the report of this novel combined strategy is rare. Therefore, the present study aimed to assess the synergistic treatment efficacy of RFA combined with ultrasonic cavitation for incomplete ablated liver tumor in a rabbit model.

Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the laboratory animal ethics committee of our institution and abided by the criteria outlined by the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

VX2 Liver Tumor Model and Experimental Groups

The liver tumors was induced by referring to the method of Haaga et al. [32]. VX2 tumor was propagated in male New Zealand white rabbits that were selected randomly from the experimental animal center of our university. VX2 carcinoma cells (Hangzhou Hibio Technology Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, China) were injected intramuscularly into the hind legs of the sedated rabbits. When the tumor diameter reached 2 cm, the tumor was harvested from the anesthetized animals by using sterile techniques. The fresh hoary fish meat-like tumor tissues were obtained and stored in liquid nitrogen. The necrotic tissue, the surrounding connective tissue, and the fat were removed. When it will be transplanted into the liver, the tumor tissues were thawed rapidly at 36°C.

Sixty male New Zealand white rabbits (weighing 2.5-3.4 kg, average 2.96 ± 0.24 kg) were anesthetized by injection with 3% pentobarbital solution into auricular vein at a dosage of 30 mg/kg body weight or different dose based on different animal status in order to make sure that the breath of animals was slowest, other than for the dead ones, and stable, which was assessed when half of the scheduled dose was performed and how many remaining dose should be used was decided according to this assessment.

The thawed harvested tumor tissue was dissected into cubes of 2 mm³. The abdomen of the experimental animals was shaved and cleared with povidone iodine solution before tumor implantation, then a small midline incision was made at the subxiphoid process, and the left medial lobe of the liver was exposed and exteriorized. The capsule of the liver was punctured with ophthalmic forceps, and the prepared small piece of VX2 tumor was inserted into the liver parenchyma at a depth of 0.5-1 cm. Hemostasis was achieved by applying gelatin sponge and manual pressure to the liver surface for 2-3 minutes. The abdominal muscles and skin were closed with an absorbable suture. The transplanted rabbits were injected with 50,000 IU/kg of penicillin sodium once a day for 3 consecutive days after inoculation.

When the transplanted VX2 tumor diameter reached anticipated size (about 1.5-2.0 cm) detected by ultrasonography in about 3-5 weeks, they were used for our experiments. All of these 60 transplanted rabbits were randomly divided into two groups firstly: group A was control group (20 animals) without any treatment; group B was therapeutic group (40 animals), animals which underwent incomplete RFA for establishing residual tumor model and were further divided into two subgroups randomly. The combined therapy with ultrasonic cavitation following RFA was performed for group B1 (20 animals), and RFA followed by pretending to irradiate with saline replaced microbubbles was performed for group B2 (20 animals).

Model of Residual VX2 Liver Tumor Following RFA

The same anesthesia protocol as for carcinoma implantation was used during RFA procedure. A grounding pad was applied for the animal’s shaved flank before RFA. The abdomen of the experimental rabbits was shaved and prepared with povidone iodine, and percutaneous ultrasound-guided RFA was performed by using Philips IU22 ultrasound system (Philips Ultrasound Inc., Reedsville, PA) with an L9-3 probe (frequency 3-9 MHz). A radiofrequency generator (VIVA; STARmed, Goyang, Korea) along with an 18-gauge monopolar internally cooled electrode (VIVA; STARmed) was used to generate RF energy. The radiofrequency electrode had a 7-cm length shaft with a 0.7-cm active tip and cooled by a water circulation pump (VIVA pump; STARmed). Each ablation cycle lasted for 3 minutes with 30 W of power output, which could induce approximate 1.1 × 1.0 cm of coagulative necrosis region according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The tumor center was also designated as the center of ablated zone. Since the ablation region was smaller than the lesion, residual tumor was left surrounding to the ablation region (Figure 1A).
Microbubble Preparation, Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasonography, and Acoustic Cavitation Treatment

The microbubble contrast agent SonoVue (Bracco SpA, Milan, Italy) was supplied as a lyophilized powder; it was reconstituted by adding 5 ml of saline and gently shaking the vial by hand to form a homogeneous microbubble suspension, which contains about 2 × 10^8 sulfur hexafluoride-filled microbubbles (2.5 μm in average diameter) per milliliter, according to the manufacturer’s recommendations [33]. Prior to each injection, microbubbles were resuspended by shaking the vial. The agent was administered as a bolus injection through a 20-gauge catheter. The dosage of contrast medium was 0.02 ml/kg body weight for both imaging and cavitation procedure.

The day on which the RFA procedure was performed for group B was defined as the first day of experiment. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) imaging of the rabbit liver was performed five times for the group B (before RFA, after RFA immediately, the 5th day, 11th day, and 18th day of experiment) and four times for the group A (before experiment, the 5th day, 11th day, and 18th day of experiment). We used an L9-3 linear array transducer (IU22, Philips) with a low mechanical index (0.07) of the pulse inversion harmonic imaging mode. The ultrasound probe was placed on the subxyphoid region. Grayscale ultrasound was used to determine the location and echogenicity of the lesions. The SonoVue was injected as a bolus into the auricular vein followed by 2-ml saline flush. CEUS images were stored digitally. The maximum length, width, and thickness of the tumors and the ablation regions were determined from the two vertical largest slices on CEUS images and were measured by two sonologists independently. The volume of tumor and ablation zone was calculated using the following formula [34]. The mean volume of the two sonologists was used for evaluating the treatment response.

\[ \text{Volume} = \frac{1}{2}(\text{length} \times \text{width} \times \text{thickness}) \]

Evaluation of Antitumor Effects

At the 5th day, the 11th day, and the 18th day of experiment, respectively, five animals in group A, group B1, and group B2 were selected randomly and sacrificed by intravenous anesthesia overdose, before which CEUS was performed. The tumor tissue was surgically excised for histological examination. The tumor volume was measured by drainage before fixed. The tumor volume growth rate (TVGR) at \( n \) day was calculated based on the following equation:

\[ \left( \frac{V_n - V_{\text{initial}}}{V_{\text{initial}}} \right) \times 100\% \]

\( V_n \) and \( V_{\text{initial}} \) were the tumor volume of each group measured at \( n \) day after treatment and start of treatment, respectively.

The thoracic and abdominal exploration was performed to detect the metastatic lesions. A score method was used to assess the metastasis. Intrahepatic metastasis, abdominal metastasis, and thoracic metastasis were scored 1 point, respectively, and all the points were added as the total score. If no metastasis was found, 0 point was recorded. The harvested tumor tissues were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin. Then samples were cut into 4-mm cryosections and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) using a standard protocol for gross histologic assessment of cellular density, necrosis, and fibrosis. Images of tumor sections stained with H&E were acquired, and the percentage of necrotic area was assessed with a stereomicroscope (Olympus BX43, Olympus Co, Tokyo, Japan) using a ×40 and ×100 objective.

The degree of apoptosis was evaluated with terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL). The cell nucleus was stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Three views using a ×200 objective were selected randomly to calculate the number of cells that stained positive for TUNEL, and the average number of these three views was defined as the apoptosis index.

Angiogenesis of tumor was assessed by calculating the microvessel density (MVD). Immunohistochemical staining was performed on the specimens with anti-CD34 monoclonal antibody (BOSTER...
Biological Technology Co., Ltd., Wuhan, Hubei Province, China) according to the avidin-biotin peroxidase complex technique for evaluation. CD34-positive cells and cell clusters relative to adjacent areas were classified as “hot spots” in areas of higher density [35]. The pathology sections were initially screened at low power (×40) to identify areas with the largest numbers of microvessels or vascular hot spots. Then, the microvessels were counted in three high-power fields (×200). The MVD was calculated as the mean number of microvessels in these three most vascularized areas [36].

The remaining five rabbits in group A, group B1, and group B2 were raised and checked twice every day for observing the survival. The humane end point was defined by >50% decrease in food intake and >20% loss in body weight. Then they were humanely euthanized by injection of overdose 3% pentobarbital solution (>3 ml/kg) via ear vein.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS software (version 19.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used to perform the statistical analysis. Continuous data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Comparisons among different groups were performed using one-way analysis of variance and least significant difference, or Student’s t test. Ranked data were expressed as median and range interquartile, and Kruskal-Wallis H test was carried out to find the differences among different groups. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for overall survival were generated, and the log-rank test was used to identify the difference among different groups. The level of statistical significance was set at P < .05.

Results

All rabbits with VX2 tumors underwent the procedures according to the schedule for different groups. Until the 18th day of experiment, no severe complications or death were encountered. There was no significant difference in mean pretreatment animal weights (P > .05) and tumor volumes (P > .05) among these groups. RFA was performed for rabbits in group B. There was no significant difference of volumes of residual tumor between group B1 and group B2 (P > .05) (Table 1).

Five rabbits in each group were selected randomly and sacrificed at the 5th day, the 11th day, and the 18th day of experiment, respectively. The histopathologic evaluation was performed for the excised tumor tissue. The results of the TVGR, percentage of necrosis area, MVD, apoptosis index, and metastatic score at the fifth day of experiment were listed in Table 2.

Significant differences were found among these groups (P < .05), except for the metastatic score in all groups (P = .638) and TVGR between group B1 and group B2 (P = .606). At the 11th day of experiment, there was no significant difference of TVGR, percentage of necrosis area, MVD, and apoptosis index between group A and group B2 (P > .05). However, significant differences were found between group B1 and group A or group B2 (P < .05). The difference of metastatic score showed no significance among these groups (P = .074) (Table 3).

The findings of percentage of necrosis area, MVD, and apoptosis index at the 18th day of experiment were similar to those at the 11th day (Figure 2). There was significant difference of TVGR among these groups at the 18th day of experiment. The metastatic score of group A was significantly higher than that of group B1 (P = .015). But the differences of metastatic score between group A and group B2, or group B1 and group B2 were not significant (Table 4). The CEUS images at different time points were shown in Figure 3, and the changing curves of these parameters in each group were drawn (Figure 4).

The remaining five animals in each group were followed up and were humanely euthanized when they met the criteria of the end point. Two rabbits were followed up until the 90th day of experiment in group B1 and group B2, respectively. The survival curves were drawn based on the survivals of the animals (Figure 5). The median/range interquartile of survival time in groups A, B1, and B2 were 25/8 days, 50/19 days, and 48/20 days, respectively. The log-rank test revealed that significant difference was discerned between group A and group B1 (χ² = 9.701, P = .002) or group B2 (χ² = 9.701, P = .002). No significant difference was found between group B1 and group B2 (χ² = 0.139, P = .710).

Discussion

For patients who are not suitable for surgery, RFA is considered as a first-line treatment for HCC with size less than 3 cm and no more than three lesions. However, it has been shown that local recurrence occurs more frequently after RFA treatment. Therefore, the need arises to further develop the RFA technique to increase the ablation zone so as to improve the efficacy of this treatment. The antitumor effect of RFA combined with ultrasonic cavitation was compared with RFA alone in this study, and the results showed that the necrotic area and apoptosis index were increased and the MVD was decreased in the combined group, which improved the treatment efficacy for VX2 liver tumor in rabbit model.

There are several reasons for incomplete ablation by RFA. On one hand, heat-sink effect, namely, the dissipation of the thermal output by blood flowing through adjacent vessels, could decrease the efficacy of RFA by ultrasonic cavitation

| Table 1. Comparison of Animal Weights, Tumor Volumes, and Residual Tumor Among These Three Groups |
|--------------------------------------------|
| Animal Weights (kg) | Tumor Volumes (cm³) | Volumes of Residual Tumor (cm³) |
| Group A | 2.98 ± 0.21 | 2.59 ± 0.80 | / |
| Group B1 | 2.91 ± 0.29 | 2.70 ± 0.76 | 1.94 ± 0.80 |
| Group B2 | 2.96 ± 0.24 | 2.84 ± 0.73 | 2.15 ± 0.76 |
| P | .356 | .537 | .863 |
|   | .702 | .593 | .393 |

| Table 2. Compared Results at the Fifth Day of Experiment Among These Groups |
|--------------------------------------------|
| TVGR (%) | Percentage of Necrosis Area (%) | MVD | Apoptosis Index (Field) | Metastatic Score |
| Group A | 22.54 ± 15.40 | 20.48 ± 5.29 | 52.08 ± 4.69 | 1.60 ± 0.62 | 0/1.5 |
| Group B1 | –4.21 ± 4.37 | 60.28 ± 7.46 | 23.36 ± 1.73 | 5.16 ± 0.59 | 0/0.5 |
| Group B2 | –1.06 ± 2.90 | 45.44 ± 10.07 | 34.80 ± 3.96 | 3.28 ± 0.75 | 0/0.5 |
| F/H | 12.119 | 32.777 | 76.970 | 36.738 | 0.906 |
| P | .001 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .638 |

| Table 3. Compared Results at the 11th Day of Experiment Among These Groups |
|--------------------------------------------|
| TVGR (%) | Percentage of Necrosis Area (%) | MVD | Apoptosis Index (Field) | Metastatic Score |
| Group A | 58.14 ± 40.41 | 32.70 ± 3.24 | 47.28 ± 5.67 | 1.80 ± 0.86 | 2/0.5 |
| Group B1 | –6.59 ± 3.51 | 55.62 ± 4.78 | 24.16 ± 2.22 | 4.24 ± 0.34 | 0/1.5 |
| Group B2 | 26.95 ± 10.80 | 38.20 ± 4.79 | 45.12 ± 5.47 | 2.36 ± 0.76 | 1/1.5 |
| F/H | 8.919 | 38.181 | 36.516 | 17.124 | 5.195 |
| P | .004 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .074 |

* The significant difference could be found between this group and both the other two groups by pairwise comparison.
of the procedure and induce local tumor recurrences [37], which is associated with the distance between lesion and vessel as well as the flow velocity in the vessel. Lin et al. showed that the recurrence rate of perivascular lesions was higher than that of nonperivascular lesions [38]. On the other hand, the location of tumor near to crucial organs, such as gallbladder or gastrointestinal tract, or larger lesion relative to ablative zone, induces inability to achieve the safety margin and results in local recurrence [39].

To overcome this problem, various combined therapies have been investigated in previous studies. There is increasing evidence that combining RFA to TACE may increase the therapeutic benefit in large HCCs. A meta-analysis of eight randomized controlled trials including 598 patients indicated that RFA plus TACE determines a significantly higher 3-year overall survival rate (odds ratio: 2.65, 95% confidence interval: 1.81-3.86, \( P < .001 \)) and 3-year recurrence free survival rate (odds ratio: 3.00, 95% confidence interval: 1.75-5.13, \( P < .001 \)) than RFA alone, with no difference in major complications (odds ratio: 1.20, 95% confidence interval: 0.31-4.62, \( P = .79 \)) [40]. Additionally, comparative studies have also been performed between RFA alone and in combination with percutaneous ethanol injection (PEI). Shankar et al. [41] have reported achieving a significantly larger ablation volume with combined therapy (84.6 cm\(^2\)) than with RFA alone (32.3 cm\(^2\)) with no increase in the complication rate. The findings of a study from Zhang et al. [39] showed that overall survival rates with RFA alone were significantly less than RFA combined with PEI. However, in their study, the size of enrolled lesions was between 3.0 cm and 5.0 cm. In routine clinical practices, a large proportion of enrolled patients for RFA have lesions less than 3.0 cm. Kalra et al. [42] reported that there was no significant difference that could be found in the survival time as well as the local recurrences and the distant intrahepatic recurrences in RFA alone compared to RFA combined with PEI, in which majority of the tumor was less than 3.0 cm. Hence, it is necessary to explore a novel combined treatment for RFA to increase the antitumor effect for liver tumors.

As a process required for invasion and metastasis, tumor angiogenesis constitutes an important point of control of cancer progression [43]. Generally, antiangiogenic therapy that targets multiple angiogenic pathways has been considered as a potential effective method for treatment of advanced solid tumors. In addition to antiangiogenic agents, physical therapy could also be used to inhibit tumor angiogenesis. Ultrasonic cavitation can result in

---

**Table 4.** Compared Results at the 18th Day of Experiment Among These Groups

| Group   | TVGR (%) | Percentage of Necrosis Area (%) | MVD (/field) | Apoptosis Index (/Field) | Metastatic Score |
|---------|----------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Group A | 121.11 ± 33.79 | 40.58 ± 3.92               | 66.08 ± 3.24 | 1.42 ± 0.38              | 3/1             |
| Group B1 | 3.70 ± 9.48 * | 55.50 ± 5.23 *              | 25.28 ± 3.08 * | 2.80 ± 0.42 *            | 1/1.5           |
| Group B2 | 54.37 ± 18.22 * | 40.26 ± 2.26               | 47.52 ± 3.66 | 1.66 ± 0.44              | 2/1             |
| F/H     | 33.260    | 23.805                      | 69.611       | 15.833                   | 8.076           |
| \( P \) | .000      | .000                        | .000         | .000                     | .018            |

* The significant difference could be found between this group and both the other two groups by pairwise comparison.
hemorrhage, edema, thrombus formation, and damage to the endothelium of capillaries or small vessels, which can induce necrosis and apoptosis of cancer cells and inhibit tumor growth. In the study from Wang et al., the antitumor effect of microbubbles enhanced by low-frequency ultrasound cavitation on prostate carcinoma was investigated, and the results showed that the gross tumor volume, microvessel density, and the average optical density of vascular endothelial growth factor could be decreased by ultrasound irradiation plus microbubbles [22]. Huang et al. reported that ultrasound mediated microbubbles destruction could be used as a

Figure 3. CEUS images at different time points (before RFA: A, E, J; immediately after RFA: F, K; the 5th day: B, G, L; the 11th day: C, H, M; the 18th day: D, I, N) for these three groups. Figures A-D were group A, E-I were group B1, and J-N were group B2. The viable tumor tissue appeared as hyperenhancement by CEUS (shown by the marker lines). The tumor size was gradually increasing in groups A and B2.
promising novel therapeutic strategy to treat colon cancer, which could inhibit the tumor growth, the expression of CD31, and metastasis [25].

In this study, ultrasonic cavitation was performed for VX2 liver tumors in rabbit model following incomplete RFA, and the antitumor effect was compared with control group as well as RFA alone group. The results showed that not only the tumor volume but also the histopathological findings in RFA plus ultrasonic cavitation group were improved by compared to RFA alone and control groups significantly. Ultrasonic cavitation could present the effect of antiangiogenesis and cause a series of pathological changes such as absence of nucleus membrane, chromatin condensation, mitochondrial vacuolation, and hemorrhagic damage of microvessel [44,45], which increase the necrotic area and apoptosis, decrease the microvessel density, and then inhibit the tumor volume enlargement. The circulating microbubbles take effect as cavitation nucleus to enhance the inertial cavitation. During the therapy, 9-second pause followed by 1-second irradiation was helpful for perfusion of abundant microbubbles into residual tumor tissue and for avoiding heat accumulation in the irradiation zone. There were 9 irradiation points for each lesion, 15 therapy cycles for each point, and 3 consecutive days of cavitation treatment, which enhanced the therapeutic effect further.

However, the metastasis score and survival time were not significantly ameliorated by ultrasonic cavitation after RFA. The possible reasons about these findings may be the relatively small number of cases (five cases in each group in each point-in-time) and the sequence of RFA and cavitation might be not optimal. According to the experiences of TACE combined with RFA from published literature [46–49], TACE performed before RFA could be helpful to
block the tumor vessels and decrease the heat-sink effect of RFA. Therefore, optimal therapeutic schedules of combination of RFA and ultrasonic cavitation should be further investigated.

There were some limitations to this study. First, the temperature in the sonicated tumor tissue, which may be elevated in some degrees, had not been monitored during cavitation in this study. Second, different tumor location and fat content of rabbit liver may present different acoustic attenuation, which may influence the acoustic intensity for treatment weakly. Third, the difference of residual tumor volume between group B1 and group B2 was evaluated by imaging modality (CEUS), which may be affected by the zone of hyperemia and edema surrounding the ablation area after RFA.

Conclusion
Ultrasonic cavitation after incomplete RFA for VX2 liver tumor improved the antitumor effect, which could be considered as a potentially therapeutic strategy for liver malignancy.
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