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Moroccan Monofloral Bee Pollen: Botanical Origin, Physicochemical Characterization, and Antioxidant Activities
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In this study, eight monofloral bee pollen samples were collected from different apiaries in Morocco. Botanical origins of the bee pollen samples were determined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and the physicochemical parameters (pH, moisture, ash, and the mineral contents) were determined. Total phenolic, flavones/flavonols contents were evaluated, and the antioxidant potential was assessed using total antioxidant capacity, DPPH, ABTS, and reducing power assays. Data showed that pH, moisture, and ash content values ranged between 4.19 ± 0.17 and 4.82 ± 0.36, 10.7 ± 0.04% and 26.8 ± 0.01%, and 1.81 ± 0.10% and 4.22 ± 0.08%, respectively. Potassium and magnesium were the most abundant minerals in bee pollen samples; heavy metals were not detected except for two samples (P5 and P6) where a very small amount of lead was found. The protein content in these samples varied between 19.86 ± 0.36 mg/100 g and 30.32 ± 0.12 mg/100 g of bee pollen. The phenolic content, flavones/flavonols content, and total antioxidant capacity were 21.87 ± 1.80 mgEAA/g, 2.37 ± 0.16 mgEAA/g, and 6.23 ± 0.21 mgEAA/g, respectively. High scavenging activity of DPPH and ABTS radicals was found in P2 with the lower IC50 of 0.245 ± 0.009 mg/ml and 0.19 ± 0.005 mg/ml, respectively. The lower EC50 was 0.133 ± 0.036 mg/ml found in P1 for the reducing power test. The current study is considered to be the first step to the standardization of Moroccan bee pollen.

1. Introduction

Bee pollen is considered as the final result of agglutination of pollen grains from flowers collected by worker honey bees, held together with nectar and/or honey and glandular secretions and collected at the entrance of the hive [1].

Bee pollen is an important bee product gaining attention as a functional food. It is renowned for its high content of biocompounds with health-promoting effects on human physical and mental well being, which make it the last trend of diet supplementation [2, 3]. It is commonly named the only perfectly complete food because it is the most energetic, the richest hive product in nutrients, and contains the most active substances such as carbohydrate, crude fiber, and protein. It contains also all the essential amino acids, lipids, vitamins, and minerals that the human body needs. Additionally, bee pollen presents an excellent source of antioxidant compounds such as polyphenols, flavonoids, carotenoids, and vitamins A, C, and E, conferring to this bee product a great antioxidant potential [4, 5].

Thanks to the high load of natural antioxidants, bee pollen is the richest valued food in micronutrients. The literary data point out that bee pollen is responsible for a long list of pharmacological effects such as detoxifying action, hypolipidemic, hypoglycemic, anti-inflammatory, and antibacterial activities [5, 6].

Morocco is a Mediterranean country ranked second in the world in terms of plants and floral biodiversity with almost 7000 plants [7]. Agricultural authorities focused on honey production; however, they neglected the other hive products such as royal jelly, propolis, and bee pollen. These facts turn into a lack of scientific studies concerning the national bee pollen. This emanates the aim of the current study: the characterization for the first time of Moroccan bee
pollen, according to its floral origin, physicochemical properties, bioactive molecules, and their antioxidant activity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bee Pollen Samples. Eight samples of bee pollen were collected by professional beekeepers from different regions of Morocco and were kept in the refrigerator until use (Table 1). All hives were free from pesticide use and any pathogens.

2.2. Scanning Electron Microscopy. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observation was used for palynological analysis following the protocol described by Almeida et al. [8] Briefly, 2 g of the bee pollen sample was used for analysis, the pollen loads were grouped up into subsamples according to their coloring, and each subsample was placed onto the SEM stub and layered with carbon conductive adhesive tape. The percentage of each pollen grain was determined from a total of 350 pollen grains. According to Louveaux et al., the following terms are used to classify the percentages of pollen grains obtained: “predominant pollen grains” (>45% of total); “secondary pollen grains” (16–45% of total); “important minor pollen” (3–15% of total), and “minor pollen” (<3% of total) [9]. The observations are carried out at the SEM of the regional university interface center, of the University Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah, Fez.

2.3. Bee Pollen Extracts. The extraction was carried out by maceration of one gram of bee pollen in 10 mL of 70% ethanol for 1 week under agitation, and then, the solution was sonicated for 30 minutes by an ultrasonic vibrator and then filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The extract obtained was stored in −20°C until analysis [10].

2.4. pH. The pH value was determined with a pH meter from a solution of 10 g of bee pollen samples dissolved in 40 mL of distilled water. Tests were conducted three times, and the results were expressed as the mean average ± SD [11].

2.5. Moisture. Three g of sample was weighed and heated at 65°C for 24 h. Moisture content was obtained by the difference between the initial and final weight, and the results were expressed as the mean average ± SD [12].

2.6. Ash. Ash content was determined using the gravimetric method after incineration in an oven at 550°C, and until constant weight, the residue was weighed in an analytical balance. The determination of ash content was carried out in triplicate, and the mean was expressed as percentage ± SD [11].

2.7. Protein. The protein content was measured according to the method described by Lowry et al. [13]. The standard curve was prepared by the Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA), and the concentration varied from 0.3 to 300 μg/mL. The absorbance was measured in 750 against the distilled water as the blank, and the equation of the calibration curve of BSA was as follows:

\[ y = 3.6702x + 0.0927, \quad R^2 = 0.9825. \]  

The experiment was performed in triplicates, and the results were expressed as mean ± SD mg/100 g of bee pollen.

2.8. Mineral Content. Minerals were determined using the ash obtained after incineration and adding 5 mL of nitric acid 0.1 M. The mixture was heated to complete dryness. 10 mL of the same acid was added, and the mixture was made up to 25 mL with distilled water. The inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) was used for the determination of 11 elements (Ca, Na, Fe, K, Mg, Cu, Zn, Al, Ni, Cd, and Pb). The results were calculated as mg of each element per Kg of bee pollen [12].

2.9. Total Phenolic Content. Total phenolic content in the bee pollen samples was determined by the Folin–Ciocalteu colorimetric method according to Singleton et al. [14]. 50 μL of ethanolic extract of bee pollen was mixed with 250 μL of the Folin–Ciocalteu reagent (0.2 N) and 200 μL of (75 g/L) Na₂CO₃. The absorbance was measured at 760 nm after 2 h of incubation. The experiment was performed in triplicates, and the results were expressed as mean ± SD mg equivalent of gallic acid/g of bee pollen.

2.10. Flavones and Flavonols Content. Flavones and flavonols content was quantified according to the method described by Miguel et al. [15]. Briefly, to 50 μL of the sample or standard, we added 200 μL of AlCl₃ (2%). After 1 hour, the absorbance was measured at 420 nm. Quercetin was used as standard, and flavones/flavonols content was expressed as mg quercetin equivalents per g of bee pollen (mg QE/g). Tests were performed in triplicate, and the results are given as mean ± SD.

2.11. Total Antioxidant Capacity. The total antioxidant capacity (TAC) was determined according to the ammonium molybdate colorimetric method of Prieto et al. [16]. Briefly, 50 μL of ethanolic extract of bee pollen was added to 1 mL of reagent solution (0.6 M sulfuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate, and 4 mM ammonium molybdate). The mixture was capped and incubated in a thermal block at 95°C for 90 min. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured at 700 nm against a blank. Ascorbic acid was employed as the standard calibration, and the results were expressed as milligrams of ascorbic acid equivalent per gram of bee pollen.

2.12. Free-Radical Scavenging Activity (DPPH). The radical scavenging activity of ethanolic extract of bee pollen against 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical was
measured according to the method of Kumazawa et al. [17]. 50 μL of the ethanolic extract of bee pollen was added to 825 μL of ethanolic solution of DPPH. Absorbance measurements were read at 517 nm, after 1 h of incubation. The IC50 was calculated based on the graph obtained by the percentage of inhibition of ABTS by the following formula:

\[
\% \text{ inhibition} = \left(\frac{A_0 - A_1}{A_0}\right) \times 100.
\]  

Tests were conducted in triplicate, and the results are given as mean ± SD.

### 2.13. Reducing Power (RP).

The reducing power was determined according to the method described by Moreira et al. [18]. Ethanolic extract of bee pollen (50 μL) was mixed with 200 μL of 0.2 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.6), and 200 μL of 1% potassium ferricyanide. The mixture was incubated at 50°C for 20 min, and 200 μL of 10% trichloroacetic acid, 200 μL of distilled water, and 120 μL of 0.1% of ferric chloride was added. The absorbance was measured at 700 nm. Extract concentration providing 0.5 of absorbance (EC50) was calculated from the graph of absorbance against extract concentration in the solution. Ascorbic acid was used as a positive control. Tests were conducted in triplicate, and the results were given as mean ± SD.

### 2.14. Scavenging Activity of ABTS Radical Cation.

The ABTS radical cation (ABTS⁺) scavenging activity was measured according to the method described by Miguel et al. [19]. Briefly, the ABTS⁺ radical was generated by the reaction of (7 mM) ABTS aqueous solution with K2S2O8 (2.45 mM) in the dark for 16 h and adjusting the Abs 734 nm to 0.7 at room temperature. Ethanolic extract of bee pollen (50 μL) was added to (825 μL) ABTS⁺ solution, and the absorbance was measured at 734 nm, 5 min after the initial mixing, using water as the blank. The IC50 was calculated using the percentage of inhibition of ABTS by the following formula:

\[
\% \text{ inhibition} = \left(\frac{A_0 - A_1}{A_0}\right) \times 100.
\]

Tests were conducted in triplicate, and the results are given as mean ± SD.

### 2.15. Statistical Analysis.

Graphpad prism 5 was used for statistical analysis, comparisons of bee pollen samples were performed by ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test, and the principal component analysis (PCA) was accomplished using Past 3.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Botanical Identification of Bee Pollen by Scanning Electron Microscopy.

Scanning electron microscopy analysis of bee pollen samples, presented in Figure 1 and Table 2, showed that the botanical origin of each bee pollen sample according to its predominant pollen grains was as follows: the botanical origin of bee pollen sample from LARACHE was *Coriandrum sativum* (Apiaceae) (70%), the botanical origin of bee pollen sample from KENITRA was *Ulex europaeus* (Fabaceae) (73%), the botanical origin of bee pollen sample from HED KOURT was *Scorzoner a cana* (Asteraceae) (77%), the botanical origin of bee pollen sample from ARFOUD was *Reseda luteola* (Resedaceae) (60%), the botanical origin of bee pollen sample from TAZA was *Lamium galeobdolon* (Lamiaceae) (59%). The bee pollen identification was carried out by comparing the morphology, sizes, and exine ornamentations of bee pollen studied to that described elsewhere [20–26]. Louveaux et al. reported that when the percentage of pollen grains is >45% of total, the sample is classified as monofloral [9]. Thus, all samples studied were classified as monofloral. Carpes et al. showed that the

### Table 1: Geographical location, bee breed, and harvesting period of bee pollen samples.

| Sample | Bee breed                   | Location     | Latitude   | Longitude  | Altitude (m) | Pluviometry and temperature | Harvest year |
|--------|-----------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| P1     | *Apis mellifera intermissa* | LARACHE      | 35.1744° N | 6.1474° W  | 40           | 1 to 141 mm 6 to 30.6°C    | 2015         |
| P2     | *Apis mellifera intermissa* | KHENICHT     | 34°25′47″N | 5°39′36″W  | 32           | 2 to 77.3 mm 12.4 to 25.7°C | 2016         |
| P3     | *Apis mellifera intermissa* | HAD KOURT    | 34° 62′N   | 5° 74′W    | 103          | 2 to 70 mm 12 to 34°C      | 2014         |
| P4     | *Apis mellifera intermissa* | KENITRA      | 34.2541′N  | 6.5890′W   | 23           | 0 to 114 mm 12.2 to 24.8° C| 2017         |
| P5     | *Apis mellifera intermissa* | FEZ          | 34.0181′N  | 5.0078′W   | 410          | 1 to 78 mm 9.9 to 27.2° C  | 2016         |
| P6     | *Apis mellifera intermissa* | SEFROU       | 33.8305′N  | 4.8353′W   | 850          | 3 to 66 mm 7.7 to 25.6° C  | 2017         |
| P7     | *Apis mellifera sahariansis*| ARFOUD       | 31.4366′N  | 4.2344′W   | 807          | 3 to 14 mm 10.2 to 33.3 °C | 2017         |
| P8     | *Apis mellifera intermissa* | TAZA         | 34°12′36.00′N | 4°00′36.00′W | 550        | 2 to 91 mm 9.5 to 28.2° C  | 2017         |
nutritional quality of pollen grains makes bees attractive to a single floral source [27].

3.2. pH. The pH measurement is a simple and easy quality parameter to assess; a very low pH indicates bacterial deterioration of bee pollen because of its high moisture content [1]. Our results showed that there were no significant differences between all samples concerning pH, and the values ranged from 4.19 ± 0.17 in P4 to 4.82 ± 0.36 in P2 (Table 3); this value was similar to the one found in the Colombian bee pollen which showed pH values ranged between 3.8 and 5.4 [12]. Our bee pollens respond to the Argentinean regulation which fixed a pH range from 4 to 6 [28].

3.3. Moisture. The results of moisture are shown in Table 3. Moisture presented values between 10.7% for sample P8 and
Table 2: Palynological analysis of bee pollen samples.

| Samples | Predominant pollen grains (≥45%) | Secondary pollen grains (16–45%) | Important minor pollen | Minor pollen |
|---------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| P1      | *Coriandrum sativum* (70%) (Apiaceae) | Cistaceae (20%) | Asteraceae (7%) | Cactaceae; Salicaceae; Rutaceae; Rosaceae; Fabaceae; Ericaceae |
| P2      | *Ulex europaeus* (73%) (Fabaceae) | Lamiaceae (17%) | Rosaceae (8%) | Moraceae; Oleaceae; Asteraceae |
| P3      | *Schorzoner a cana* (77%) (Asteraceae) | Rhamnaceae (18%) | Boraginaceae (4%) | Lamiaceae; Poaceae |
| P4      | *Trifolium pretense* (76%) (Fabaceae) | Lamiaceae (19%) | Cistaceae (5%) | Boraginaceae; Rosaceae |
| P5      | *Ulex europaeus* (64%) (Fabaceae) | Capparaceae (20%) | Lamiaceae (4%) | Ranunculaceae; Papaveraceae |
| P6      | *Reseda luteola* (60%) (Resedaceae) | Fagaceae (29%) | Myrtaceae (9%) | Malvaaceae; Apiaceae |
| P7      | *Spiraea salicifolia* (68%) (Rosaceae) | Apiaceae (19%) | Brassicaceae (6%) | Asteraceae; Lamiaceae |
| P8      | *Lamium galeobdolon* (59%) (Lamiaceae) | Erinaceae (25%) | Asteraceae (14%) | Rutaceae; Liliaceae; Ranunculaceae |

Table 3: pH, Ash, moisture, and protein analysis of monofloral bee pollen.

| Samples | pH | Ash % | Moisture % | Protein (g/100 g) |
|---------|----|-------|------------|-------------------|
| P1      | 4.66 ± 0.01<sup>a</sup> | 4.22 ± 0.08<sup>a</sup> | 21.21 ± 0.01<sup>d</sup> | 24.55 ± 0.54<sup>d</sup> |
| P2      | 4.82 ± 0.36<sup>b</sup> | 2.91 ± 0.03<sup>d</sup> | 19.2 ± 0.03<sup>c</sup> | 22.75 ± 0.51<sup>c</sup> |
| P3      | 4.70 ± 0.1<sup>c</sup> | 3.2 ± 0.05<sup>d</sup> | 22.4 ± 0.06<sup>c</sup> | 27.57 ± 0.42<sup>b</sup> |
| P4      | 4.19 ± 0.17<sup>c</sup> | 4.02 ± 0.04<sup>d</sup> | 26.8 ± 0.01<sup>c</sup> | 25.51 ± 0.56<sup>b</sup> |
| P5      | 4.32 ± 0.39<sup>b</sup> | 2.96 ± 0.03<sup>d</sup> | 20.1 ± 0.07<sup>e</sup> | 28.04 ± 0.24<sup>b</sup> |
| P6      | 4.38 ± 0.25<sup>c</sup> | 1.81 ± 0.10<sup>d</sup> | 23.01 ± 0.03<sup>b</sup> | 22.33 ± 0.42<sup>c</sup> |
| P7      | 4.24 ± 0.15<sup>c</sup> | 2.3 ± 0.04<sup>d</sup> | 18.9 ± 0.04<sup>g</sup> | 19.86 ± 0.36<sup>d</sup> |
| P8      | 4.72 ± 0.12<sup>c</sup> | 2.95 ± 0.07<sup>d</sup> | 10.7 ± 0.04<sup<h>4</sup> | 30.32 ± 0.12<sup>c</sup> |

Values in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

26.8% for sample P4. Those values are comparable with the results presented in Romanian research [29]; our samples showed an average of moisture higher than that found by Radev and Bobis et al. [30, 31]. According to Campos et al. and Bogdanov [1, 32], fresh bee pollen should contain between 20% and 30% of water; this condition allowed us to classify our samples as follows: P1, P3, P4, P5, and P6 are fresh bee pollen, while P2, P7, and P8 are initiated to dry out.

The fresh bee pollen has a biological and nutritional value more important than dried bee pollen, while the high content of water in fresh bee pollen makes it an ideal culture medium for microorganisms, and to preserve the good quality of bee pollen, it should be harvested daily and stored under nitrogen until consumption [33]. On the other hand, for dry bee pollen, the percentage of humidity must be less than 6%, to be stored for 15 months. [32]

3.4. Ash Content. For the analyzed samples, ash amount showed a significant difference and ranged from 1.81 ± 0.10% in P6 to 4.02 ± 0.04% in P1 (Table 3). Our results were similar to the results shown in those of South African bee pollen [34]. These results fulfill with the Brazilian and Argentinian regulatory specifications which fixed a maximum of 4% [35, 36] and the Switzerland regulation reporting a range of 2 and 6% for this parameter. [37] The ash content is a quality parameter that can be impacted by the soil type, the botanical origin, and the plant’s ability to accumulate minerals [3, 38].

3.5. Protein Content. Bee pollen provides the required nutrients for the development of the bee’s organs. It is the only naturally available source of protein, which is the main source of honey bees’ nutrition. In this study, the total protein content of the analyzed samples was summarized in Table 3. Results showed values varied between 19.86 ± 0.36 g/100 g in P7 and 30.32 ± 0.12 g/100 g in P8. Our results agree with the standards described by Campos et al. and by Bogdanov which fixed the content of protein in 10 to 40 g/100 g of bee pollen dry weight [1, 32, 37].

3.6. Mineral Content. Regarding mineral composition, eleven elements were investigated, and the results are represented in Table 4. Potassium was the most abundant element in all samples with an average amount that ranged from 485.37 ± 9.30 mg/kg in P3 to 4594.25 ± 18.26 mg/kg in P5, followed by magnesium with an amount that ranged from 68.73 ± 5.30 mg/kg in P3 to 793.35 ± 13.64 mg/kg in P5, sodium with an amount that ranged from 91.85 ± 61 mg/kg in P8 to 397.22 ± 4.12 mg/kg in P1, iron with an amount that ranged from 17.07 ± 13.04 mg/kg to 68.86 ± 4.24 mg/kg in P1, aluminum with an amount that ranged from 16.43 ± 2.39 mg/kg in P6 to 126.3 ± 7.33 mg/kg in P1, zinc with an amount that ranged from 15.28 ± 0.94 mg/kg in P3 to 38.83 ± 4.36 mg/kg in P6, calcium with an amount that ranged from 2.24 ± 1.03 mg/kg in P3 to 22.73 ± 2.57 mg/kg in
Table 4: Mineral elements content in monofloral bee pollen (mg/kg).

|    | Ca           | Na           | Fe           | K            | Mg            | Cu           | Zn            | Al           | Ni           | Cd           | Pb           |
|----|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| P1 | 10.18 ± 1.55<sup>b</sup> | 397.22 ± 4.12<sup>a</sup> | 68.86 ± 4.24<sup>a</sup> | 1827.28 ± 14.34<sup>a</sup> | 228.09 ± 11.32<sup>d</sup> | 3.72 ± 0.80<sup>ab</sup> | 30.4 ± 4.24<sup>a</sup> | 126.3 ± 7.33<sup>a</sup> | ND | ND | ND |
| P2 | 21.31 ± 3.35<sup>a</sup> | 145.45 ± 3.39<sup>b</sup> | 63.51 ± 4.61<sup>a</sup> | 1011.5 ± 15.80<sup>c</sup> | 169.10 ± 9.87<sup>bc</sup> | 5.71 ± 0.56<sup>a</sup> | 35.69 ± 3.98<sup>a</sup> | 35.94 ± 5.60<sup>cd</sup> | ND | ND | ND |
| P3 | 2.24 ± 1.03<sup>d</sup> | 95.08 ± 2.82<sup>c</sup> | 17.07 ± 2.80<sup>d</sup> | 485.37 ± 9.30<sup>b</sup> | 68.73 ± 5.30<sup>b</sup> | 2.09 ± 0.36<sup>abc</sup> | 15.28 ± 0.94<sup>ab</sup> | 49.13 ± 2.70<sup>c</sup> | ND | ND | ND |
| P4 | 3.07 ± 0.18<sup>abc</sup> | 106.36 ± 4.10<sup>ab</sup> | 64.47 ± 5.69<sup>a</sup> | 746.5 ± 10.33<sup>e</sup> | 113.07 ± 7.19<sup>f</sup> | 2.39 ± 0.21<sup>abc</sup> | 27.11 ± 2.72<sup>c</sup> | 80.62 ± 7.65<sup>cd</sup> | ND | ND | ND |
| P5 | 22.73 ± 2.57<sup>c</sup> | 170.55 ± 4.92<sup>d</sup> | 52.54 ± 2.18<sup>cd</sup> | 4594.25 ± 18.26<sup>d</sup> | 793.35 ± 13.64<sup>e</sup> | 4.66 ± 0.28<sup>cd</sup> | 32.75 ± 4.31<sup>d</sup> | 34.76 ± 5.65<sup>cd</sup> | ND | 0.0049 ± 0.0002 | ND |
| P6 | 7.08 ± 0.27<sup>c</sup> | 307.55 ± 6.16<sup>b</sup> | 61.55 ± 1.55<sup>c</sup> | 4685.5 ± 13.13<sup>c</sup> | 6423.95 ± 13.10<sup>c</sup> | 6.44 ± 0.40<sup>c</sup> | 38.83 ± 4.36<sup>c</sup> | 16.43 ± 2.39<sup>cd</sup> | ND | 0.0033 ± 0.0003 | ND |
| P7 | 9.33 ± 2.99<sup>b</sup> | 244.36 ± 5.72<sup>c</sup> | 50.44 ± 2.88<sup>cd</sup> | 4026.5 ± 16.97<sup>b</sup> | 484.15 ± 11.27<sup>b</sup> | 3.45 ± 0.67<sup>ab</sup> | 36.33 ± 4.41<sup>d</sup> | 30.45 ± 4.42<sup>cd</sup> | ND | ND | ND |
| P8 | 3.48 ± 0.43<sup>bc</sup> | 91.85 ± 4.61<sup>b</sup> | 22.37 ± 1.73<sup>c</sup> | 2657.5 ± 16.42<sup>d</sup> | 197.68 ± 7.14<sup>d</sup> | 7.18 ± 0.5<sup>d</sup> | 26.33 ± 2.68<sup>ab</sup> | 24.94 ± 3.95<sup>d</sup> | ND | ND | ND |

Values in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different.
P5, and finally, copper with an amount that ranged from $2.09 \pm 0.36 \text{ mg/kg}$ to $7.18 \pm 0.5 \text{ mg/kg}$ in P8. These results follow the same order as the results found in Turkish, Colombian, and Argentinean studies [12, 28, 39]. The content in minerals depends on the botanical and geographical origin [6]. Concerning heavy metals, all samples are free except two (P5, P6) where we found $0.0049 \pm 0.0002 \text{ mg/kg}$ and $0.0033 \pm 0.0003 \text{ mg/kg}$ of lead, respectively; these values remain within the acceptable limits of bee pollen requiring that the lead content must not exceed $50 \mu \text{g/100 g}$ [1].

3.7. Phenolic and Flavones/Flavonols Contents. Polyphenols or phenolic compounds are plant secondary metabolites present in all parts of plants (roots, stems, leaves, flowers, pollen, fruits, seeds, and wood), while dietary phenols are involved in the potential health benefits for humans [40]. More than 8000 polyphenols are identified in plants; the most representative classes are flavonoids, phenolic acids, stilbenes, and lignans; these phytochemicals present a wide range of biological activities, and provide large protection against many chronic pathologies involving oxidative stress such as cancer, diabetes, cardiovascular affections, and aging [41]. The main common group of polyphenols in the human diet are flavonoids which are known for their antioxidant activities through scavenging or chelating mechanism [42]. Bee pollen exhibits a wide range of phenolic compounds such as quercetin, vanillic acids, protocatechuic acids, and many other phenolic compounds [43]. Its phenolic content varies according to its botanical and geographic origins, as well as soil type, climatic conditions, and beekeeper activities [44]. Total phenolic and flavones/flavonols amount of the eight analyzed bee pollen samples is presented in Table 5 which showed a significant variation between all samples. The total phenolic content varied between $8.070 \pm 1.037 \text{ mgGAE/g}$ in P7 and $32.387 \pm 0.148 \text{ mgGAE/g}$ in P6; these results were significantly higher than those found in the Romanian, Spanish, and Portuguese collected bee pollen with a mean value of $12.69 \pm 0.21 \text{ mgGAE/g}$, $12.24 \pm 2.0 \text{ mgGAE/g}$, and $16.4 \pm 2.0 \text{ mgGAE/g}$, respectively [11, 45, 46]. The flavones/flavonols content ranged between $0.202 \pm 0.044 \text{ mgQE/g}$ in P6 and $6.30 \pm 0.37 \text{ mgQE/g}$ in P3; our results are higher than those obtained by Tavdishopvili et al. [47].

3.8. Total Antioxidant Capacity and Antioxidant Activities (DPPH, RP, and ABTS). The total antioxidant capacity was evaluated by the phosphomolybdenum test, while the antioxidant activity was assessed by three methods: DPPH, ABTS, and RP assays (Table 5), and the results of DPPH showed IC$_{50}$ values ranged between $0.245 \pm 0.009 \text{ mg/ml}$ in P2 and $0.832 \pm 0.069 \text{ mg/ml}$ in P7, which were lower than those obtained in a Spanish study (mean value $= 3.0 \pm 0.7 \text{ mg/mL}$) [11]. Antioxidant activity determined by the reducing power (RP) method showed the maximum inhibition in P1 (EC$_{50} = 0.133 \pm 0.036 \text{ mg/ml}$) and the lowest inhibition in P6 (EC$_{50} = 0.790 \pm 0.175 \text{ mg/ml}$); these values were much higher than that of ascorbic acid used as standard ($0.031 \pm 0.070 \text{ mg/ml}$). Results of ABTS assay showed a variation of IC$_{50}$ from $0.190 \pm 0.005 \text{ mg/ml}$ to $0.896 \pm 0.051 \text{ mg/ml}$. Concerning the total antioxidant capacity (TAC), all samples showed a significant difference, and values ranged between $3.98 \pm 0.16 \text{ mgEAA/g}$ in P1 and $9.69 \pm 0.34 \text{ mgEAA/g}$ in P3. The results of the antioxidant activities of our samples seem to be stronger than Brazilian bee pollen [48].

3.9. ACP Analysis. The principal component analysis is mentioned in Figure 2. The results of the homogeneity of bee pollen samples based on palynological analysis, mineral, moisture, and ash content are represented in Figure 2(a); the first component explained (30.81%) and represented in its positive part: Fe, Na, Ca, Zn, Mg, K, and Cu and the results of palynological analyzes of Ulex europaeus, Spiraea salicifolia, Lamium galeobdolon, and Reseda luteola pollen grains, while ash, moisture, Al, and Coriandrum sativum, Trifolium pretense, and Scorzonera cana pollen grains percentages were in the negative part. The second principal component explained (22.884%) of the given results and represented in the positive parts: ash, AI, moisture, Fe, Na, Zn, Ca, Mg, and K and palynological analyzes results representing Trifolium pretense, Coriandrum sativum, Ulex europaeus, Spiraea salicifolia, and Reseda luteola pollen grains, whereas in the negative part, we found Cu and Lamium galeobdolon and Scorzonera cana pollen grains percentages. The results represented in Figure 2(a) showed that K, Mg, Cu, and Zn correlated negatively with ash. The minerals Ca, Zn, Mg, K, and Cu correlated with each other positively, while Al correlated with all minerals studied negatively except Fe and Na. The monofloral bee pollen samples P2 (70% of Ulex europaeus pollen grains), P5 (64% of Ulex europaeus pollen grains), P6 (60% of Reseda luteola pollen grains), and P7 (68% of Spiraea salicifolia pollen grains) shared the features regarding Ca, Zn, Mg, K, and Cu, while the content of predominant pollen grains (70% of Coriandrum sativum) in bee pollen sample P1 correlated positively with Fe, Na, and Al, which suggests that, in addition to the soil type, the minerals content in bee pollen was affected by the botanical origin. Our findings go in hand with those found by Stanciu et al. and Kostić et al. [49, 50].

The results of the homogeneity of bee pollen samples based on total phenolic, flavones/flavonols, and antioxidant activities (TAC, DPPH, and RP) are represented in Figure 2(b); the first component explained (42.785%), represented in its positive parts reducing power (RP), total phenolic, flavones/flavonols, and total antioxidant capacity, while in the negative parts, we found DPPH and ABTS. The second component explained (21.866%), represented in its positive parts DPPH, RP, and total phenolic, while in the negative parts, it represents flavones/flavonols, total antioxidant capacity, and ABTS. The results of the PCA indicate that DPPH and ABTS correlated negatively with total antioxidant capacity, flavones/flavonols, and total phenolic, while antioxidant capacity, flavones/flavonols, and total phenolic correlated with each other positively. The variability of minerals and antioxidant contents could be
attributed to the geographical location and botanical origin.

The results also indicate variability in physicochemical analysis and antioxidant activity in P5 and P2, even if they have the same botanical origin (\textit{Ulex europaeus}). The most likely explanation of these findings is the presence of secondary pollen (Table 2) that contribute to the antioxidant activity, and mineral content of the studied samples [51]. In addition, bee pollen sample P2 was from KHENICHAT, while bee pollen sample P5 was from FEZ (Table 1); therefore, they were produced in different geographic and climatic areas, which contributes to the variability of these two samples in the studied parameters [51].

### 4. Conclusions

In this work, palynological, physicochemical characterization, protein content, and antioxidant activities were assessed for the first time in Moroccan bee pollen. The results of the current study showed that all samples responded to the quality criteria and exhibited a strong antioxidant potential \textit{in vitro}. This research is the first step towards the certification and the standardization of bee pollen produced in Morocco.
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