Updates in version 2019 of CSCO guidelines for colorectal cancer from version 2018
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According to the latest data from cancer registration center, there are estimated to be 3,929,000 individuals newly diagnosed with cancer and 2,338,000 deaths from this disease in China in 2015 (1). Among them, colorectal cancer (CRC) with 388,000 newly diagnosed cases and 187,000 deaths, stands the third place in incidence and the fifth place in mortality. The Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO) has organized an expert committee to write and publish the Guideline for Colorectal Cancer in 2017. And its English version has already been published in March 2019 (2). According to the latest progress, the expert committee updated the guideline to the 2019 version in this April, and the summary of the main updates are as following.

Updates related with imaging diagnosis

Recommendation of computed tomography (CT) virtual colonoscopy (class II) was deleted and recommendation of plain chest CT was added as class II in the CRC diagnosis.

Recommendation of structured imaging report for rectal cancer was added, which needs to include tumor location, depth of tumor invasion and the relation to surrounding structures or organs (T stage), regional lymph node metastasis (N stage), extramural venous invasion (EMVI), circumferential resection margin (CRM), distant metastases (non-regional lymph node, liver, peritoneum and lung) as well as vascular and intestinal anatomical variation (3-5).

Updates related with molecular pathological diagnosis

KRAS, NRAS and BRAF gene mutation was recommended to be detected by direct DNA sequencing method or ARMS method. High-throughput sequencing or next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology, which has higher and faster throughput, has been increasingly applied to clinical genetic testing. The NGS platform and testing protocols adopted for mutation detection should be certificated. Only through strict quality control and standardized operation, the accuracy of testing results can be ensured.

Updates related with postoperative adjuvant therapy

Definition of stage II CRC with low risk [T3N0M0, defection of mismatch repair function (dMMR)], general...
risk [T3N0M0/proficient in DNA MMR (pMMR) without clinical high-risk factors] and high risk (T3N0M0/pMMR with clinical high-risk factors, or T4N0M0) has been clarified. For stage II CRC patients with general risk, recommendation of the “observation” is modified from class I to class II.

In addition to irinotecan, S-1, TAS-102, bevacizumab, cetuximab, panitumumab, afibercept and regorafenib, fruquintinib and all immune checkpoint inhibitors (pembrolizumab and nivolumab, etc.) were not recommended in adjuvant therapy.

**Updates related with treatment of metastatic colon cancer**

For the treatment of potentially resectable metastatic colon cancer with RAS/BRAF wide type, further stratification has been made according to the primary tumor location (the left-side vs. the right-side colon). Regardless of RAS and BRAF gene status, recommendation of FOLFOXIRI ± bevacizumab is modified from class II to class I (Level 2A evidence) (6).

In the first-line palliative treatment, the patients with both RAS and BRAF wide type who are suitable for intensive treatment are further stratified by the primary tumor location (the left-side vs. the right-side colon). For patients with left-sided colon cancer, doublet chemotherapy plus cetuximab is preferred. For patients with right-side colon cancer, doublet chemotherapy plus bevacizumab is preferred (7). For patients with right-side colon cancer who had contraindications of bevacizumab, doublet chemotherapy plus cetuximab are recommended as class II recommendation (Level 2A evidence). For these patients who are not suitable for intensive treatment, but with a high microsatellite instability (MSI-H) or dMMR, immune checkpoint inhibitors were recommended as class II (Level 2A evidence).

In the second-line palliative treatment, recommendation of immune checkpoint inhibitors was added as class II recommendation for patients with MSI-H or dMMR, regardless of RAS/BRAF gene status and previous treatments, recommendation of immune checkpoint inhibitors was added as class II recommendation (Level 2B evidence) for patients with RAS wild type and BRAFV600E mutation (13).

In the third-line palliative treatment, based on the FRESCO randomized clinical trial, oral fruquintinib compared with placebo, resulted in significantly prolonged PFS (3.7 vs. 1.8 months) and overall survival (OS) (9.3 vs. 6.6 months) for Chinese patients with metastatic CRC. Consequently, regardless of RAS and BRAF gene status, fruquintinib is recommended as class I recommendation (Level 1A evidence) (14). In addition, regardless of RAS/BRAF gene status and previous treatments, recommendation of immune checkpoint inhibitors was added as class II (Level 2A evidence) for patients with RAS wild type and BRAFV600E mutation (13).

Furthermore, in the footnotes, two points have been added that “In the first cycle of regorafenib, the dose titration can be used: 80 mg/d in the first week, 120 mg/d in the second week, 160 mg/d in the third week” (15) and “For the patients with homozygous or heterozygous variants of UGT1A1*28 and *6, the dose of irinotecan could be reduced”.

**Updates related with treatment of rectal cancer**

For cT1N0 low rectal cancer patients with a strong desire to preserve the anus, “wait and watch” strategy was suggested as a class II recommendation, in case the tumor was evaluated as clinical complete remission (cCR) (16) after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. Similarly, for cT3/cT4 N+ low rectal cancer patients with a strong desire to preserve the anus, recommendation of “wait and watch” was also added as class II if the tumor was evaluated as cCR after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy.

**Acknowledgements**

None.

**Footnote**

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**References**

1. Zheng RS, Sun KX, Zhang SW, et al. Report of cancer epidemiology in China, 2015. Zhonghua
2. Diagnosis and Treatment Guidelines For Colorectal Cancer Working Group. Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO) diagnosis and treatment guidelines for colorectal cancer 2018(English version). Chin J Cancer Res 2019;31:117-34.

3. Beets-Tan RGH, Lambregts DMJ, Maas M, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging for clinical management of rectal cancer: Updated recommendations from the 2016 European Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology (ESGAR) consensus meeting. Eur Radiol 2018;28:1465-75.

4. Horvat N, Petkovska I, Gollub MJ. MR imaging of rectal cancer. Radiol Clin North Am 2018;56:751:74.

5. Nörenberg D, Sommer WH, Thasler W, et al. Structured reporting of rectal magnetic resonance imaging in suspected primary rectal cancer: potential benefits for surgical planning and interdisciplinary communication. Invest Radiol 2017;52:232-9.

6. Falcone A, Ricci S, Brunetti I, et al. Phase III trial of infusional fluorouracil, leucovorin, oxaliplatin, and irinotecan (FOLFOXIRI) compared with infusional fluorouracil, leucovorin, and irinotecan (FOLFIRI) as first-line treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer: the Gruppo Oncologico Nord Ovest. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:1670-6.

7. Teijpar S, Stintzing S, Ciardiello F, et al. Prognostic and predictive relevance of primary tumor location in patients with RAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer. JAMA Oncol 2017;3:194-201.

8. Overman MJ, Lonardi S, Wong KYM, et al. Durable clinical benefit with nivolumab plus ipilimumab in DNA mismatch repair-deficient/microsatellite instability-high metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2018;36:773-9.

9. Overman MJ, Kopetz S, McDermott RS, et al. Nivolumab ± ipilimumab in treatment (tx) of patients (pts) with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) with and without high microsatellite instability (MSI-H): CheckMate-142 interim results. J Clin Oncol 2016;34 15_suppl:3501.

10. Diaz LA Jr, Le DT. PD-1 blockade in tumors with mismatch-repair deficiency. N Engl J Med 2015;372:2509-20.

11. Suenaga M, Mizunuma N, Matsusaka S, et al. A phase I/II study of biweekly capecitabine and irinotecan plus bevacizumab as second-line chemotherapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Drug Des Devel Ther 2015;9:1653-62.

12. Xu RH, Muro K, Morita S, et al. Modified XELIRI (capecitabine plus irinotecan) versus FOLFIRI (leucovorin, fluorouracil, and irinotecan), both either with or without bevacizumab, as second-line therapy for metastatic colorectal cancer (AXEPT): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, non-inferiority, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2018;19:660-71.

13. Kopetz S, McDonough SL, Morris VK, et al. Randomized trial of irinotecan and cetuximab with or without vemurafenib in BRAF-mutant metastatic colorectal cancer (SWOG 1406). J Clin Oncol 2017;35:520.

14. Li J, Qin S, Xu R, et al. Effect of fruquintinib vs placebo on overall survival in patients with previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer: the FRESCO randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2018;319:2486-96.

15. Bekaii-Saab T, Ou F, Anderson D, et al. Regorafenib Dose Optimization Study (ReDOS): Randomized phase II trial to evaluate escalating dosing strategy and pre-emptive topical steroids for regorafenib in refractory metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) - An ACCRU Network study. Ann Oncol 2018;29:v105.

16. Smith JJ, Chow OS, Gollub MJ, et al. Organ Preservation in Rectal Adenocarcinoma: a phase II randomized controlled trial evaluating 3-year disease-free survival in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer treated with chemoradiation plus induction or consolidation chemotherapy, and totalmesorectal excision or nonoperative management. BMC Cancer 2015;15:767.