Energy Analysis of a Hybrid Solar Dryer for Drying Coffee Beans
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ABSTRACT: In this study, hybrid solar drying of coffee beans was performed, and energy analysis was carried out, to assess the system's performance, in terms of energy efficiency, compared to solar drying and the open sun drying method. The dryer has three compartments: solar collector for collecting solar radiation, drying chamber, and a Liquid Petroleum Gas burner, which acted as an auxiliary heater to assist the thermal energy. The drying chamber has four trays for placing the dried product. The initial moisture content of coffee beans was 54.23% w.b. and was reduced to the final moisture content between 11-12% w.b. The coffee beans dried faster when subjected to the solar hybrid drying method, compared to other methods, with the dryer temperature of 40°C, 50°C, and 60°C. Results indicated that the coffee beans' drying times varied from 10 to 14 hours. However, at temperature 50°C and 60°C for the 1st tray, the water content was reduced more rapidly compared to the other tray. From the results of this study, we can see the different efficiency of solar collector that shows of 54.15% at variable temperature 60°C for drying time 12:00 to 14:00 p.m for hybrid solar drying and for the solar drying process is 50.07% at the range of drying time 12:00 to 14:00 p.m. Mathematical modelling shows that Page model is the most suitable for describing the coffee beans’ drying behaviour using a hybrid solar dryer. The effective diffusivity values found in this experiment are all in the acceptable range for most agricultural products. ©2020. CBIORE-IJRED. All rights reserved
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1. Introduction

According to the Coffee Market Report in December 2018, Indonesia was the fourth largest coffee-producing country, with a total production of 10.2 million tons in 2018 (International Coffee Organization, 2018). Over the last few years, market demands have increased, considering that daily coffee consumption has also increased. Studies had shown that coffee may have health benefits, including promoting calming effects and weight loss, and may prevent or delay diabetes by Greenberg et al. (2006). According to the Indonesia Standardization Body (2004), and Hanif et al. (2014), coffee with good quality has a moisture content of 11-12% w.b. The drying factor must be determined to achieve the desired water content. Both Aissa et al. (2014) and Dong et al (2019) have the same understanding of drying; it is a complex process that involves the transfer of heat and mass between the product and the surrounding media.

The purpose of drying is to partially eliminate the moisture content from the products to reach the safe limit so it cannot become a medium for spreading microorganisms, which may decrease the coffee bean quality (Suherman et al. 2018). Solar drying has been used for the preservation of food, agricultural, and marine products for a long time. This was particularly called natural convection drying under the direct sun. However, this natural convection drying is a relatively slow process in decreasing the water content in the product.

There are many obstacles in small industries during the drying process, such as contamination or insect infestation, depending on the availability of sunshine and inability to control the weather. This process causes the coffee bean’s moisture content to be non-uniform. Therefore, the new technology for the drying process was developed to solve the problems mentioned above. This new technology is called a hybrid solar dryer, with sunlight as the main energy of the process supported by means of additional heating (Bennamoun et al. 2012; Kassem et al. 2011).

Several researchers have studied the application of the hybrid solar dryer for various products. A hybrid solar dryer system, which combines natural convection solar drying and a biomass burner, was studied experimentally.
by Prassad and Vijay (2005). The drying efficiency of solar-biomass hybrid dryer for ginger drying was calculated to be 15.59%. Al-Kayiem and Yassen (2016) have studied experimentally a hybrid solar thermal drying unit. The hybrid solar dryer system was constructed from a natural convection solar dryer, a natural convection thermal back-up unit, and a recovery dryer. The hybrid solar thermal drying efficiency for red chili drying was obtained as 9.9%.

Since measuring some variables during the drying process can be quite complicated, mathematical models can be used to simulate the distribution of temperature, moisture, and wind velocity during drying process. Mathematical models also allow for design and evaluation of dryer performance, control and optimization of the process, which is important in maintaining food safety and quality (Castro et al. 2018). Among many drying models, thin layer models can describe the drying phenomena in a united way, regardless of the controlling mechanism. They have been widely used to determine the drying kinetics of fruits and vegetables. For agricultural products, generally the moisture content of the material has been subjected to a constant relative humidity and temperature conditions is measured and correlated to the drying parameters. Thin layer modelling is simple to perform, and the model equations do not require evaluation of many model parameters, unlike more complex models (Kadam et al. 2011).

In this research, a newly-designed hybrid solar dryer (HSD) is applied to coffee beans. The dryer has three compartments: one for a solar collector for collecting solar radiation, a drying chamber, and a Liquid Petroleum Gas (LPG) burner to assist thermal energy. The drying chamber had four trays for spreading the product to be dried. This type of drying does not require large tracts of land and can be used in cloudy weather. External intervention can also be minimized. This technology is renewable, environmentally friendly, and economically sustainable for most developing countries. Therefore, the aims of the research are to study the HSD’s performance for drying coffee beans and solar drying without a LPG burner. The drying curve and drying rate of coffee beans, the drying temperature profile, energy analysis, and mathematical modelling of HSDs were also investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

The raw material used in this study is robusta coffee beans. They were taken from UKM (Small and Medium Enterprises) in Mungseng District, Temanggung Regency, Central Java because they are the most widely consumed.

2.2 Experimental procedure

This research was conducted from 08:00 a.m to 04:00 p.m. During the experiment, coffee beans were spread on the tray in the drying chamber. In the hybrid solar dryer, hot air flowed from the bottom of the aluminum rack, which comes from the LPG burner, to speed up drying.

During the process, temperature, relative humidity, solar intensity, the velocity of air, and the mass of coffee beans was measured once every 60-minutes. The air temperature is measured by a relative humidity meter with an accuracy of ± 0.5°C.

Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of the solar dryer, while Fig. 2 shows the complete view of the HSD. The solar dryer’s components were made from aluminum, which has good heat conductivity, is relatively light, durable, and easy to find. The transparent cover of the dryer and solar collector is made from glass due to its good absorbability to solar radiation, light-weight, and easy to get (Beristain et al. 1994). Table 1 summarizes the HSD specifications used in this experiment.

2.3 Drying Process

The experiments were performed in the Laboratory of Chemical Engineering Department, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia. The coffee beans used in this process had initial moisture content 54.23% w.b. Its determination based on the AOAC method by Fudholli, et al (2016). There were three drying in this experiment: hybrid solar drying, solar drying, and natural convection drying.

For open sun drying (OSD), 300 grams of coffee beans were spread above the aluminum tray and dried under the sky until the moisture was under 12% w. b. The weight of the sample was measured once every 60 minutes. This data is required to analyze the effectiveness factor of a HSD. The equipment needed are digital scales, a Relative Humidity meter with a temperature unit (@Krisbow S000052505), a solar intensity meter, and an anemometer.
Table 1
Specifications of hybrid solar dryer

| Components        | Specifications          |
|-------------------|-------------------------|
| **Solar Collector**| Flat                    |
| Type              |                         |
| Area              | 1 m²                    |
| Glass thickness   | 2 mm                    |
| Black body        | PVC, 1 mm               |
| Degree of inclination | 40 °                   |
| **Drying Chamber**|                         |
| Tray size         | 1 x 0.6 x 0.94 m        |
| Tray area         | 0.56 x 0.45 m           |
| Exhaust Diameter  | 0.36 m                  |
| Height            | 0.4 m                   |
| Pedestal          | 1.01 x 0.61 x 0.52 m    |
| **Blower**        |                         |
| Capacity          | 0.38 KW                 |
| Velocity          | 0-3800 rpm              |
| Voltage           | 110 V                   |

As for the HSD, the independent variable is the drying temperatures, i.e., the LPG burner temperature setting at 40, 50, and 60 °C, respectively. To begin the experiment, 4 samples at 300 grams of coffee beans were prepared for each tray. The dryer is prepared by connecting the drying chamber, solar collector, and LPG burner. Then the blower in the LPG burner is turned on to blow hot air to the bottom part of the drying chamber. The drying process was performed from 08.00 a.m to 04.00 p.m. until the moisture content under 12% w.b. Using its respective measurement equipment, the temperature, RH, solar intensity, and mass of coffee beans was measured once every 60 minutes. Air temperatures of the inlet dryer (solar collector inlet), dryer chamber, and outlet dryer were measured.

The last drying mode is solar drying (SD) without the LPG burner. The data was measured using the same mode as before.

3. Analysis

The coffee beans’ initial moisture content can be evaluated on a wet and dry basis which is stated in percent for every 60 minutes according to the following equations by Aissa, et al (2014) and Yassen et al (2016):

\[ M_{c\,\text{wet base}} = \frac{M_{i} - M_{d}}{M_{i}} \times 100 \]  
\[ M_{c\,\text{dry base}} = \frac{M_{i} - M_{d}}{M_{i}} \times 100 \]

Aside from being used to determine the moisture content of coffee beans, the coffee beans' weight can also be used to determine the drying rate \(R_d\), in g/ hour) at any given time \(t\), using Equation 3 by Deeto et al (2018):

\[ R_d = \frac{M_{i} - M_{d}}{t} \]

Where \(M_{i}\) is initial mass (g); \(M_{d}\) is mass after drying (g); \(R_d\) is drying speed; \(t\) is drying time (hour). Dong et al. (2017) calculated the specific energy consumption (SEC) of the hybrid solar drying system as:

\[ \text{SEC} = \frac{W}{Q} \]  
Where \(Q\) is the total energy input to dryer (kWh/g); SEC is specific energy consumption (kWh). The efficiency of the solar collector was estimated according to the following equation by Bennamoun (2012) and Dong et al (2017):

\[ \eta = \frac{W \times L}{1000 \times A} \]  
Where \(\eta\) is collector efficiency; \(W\) is mass water removed from the wet product (kg); \(L\) is heat of water vaporization at the exit air temperature (J/kg); \(I\) is Intentional sunlight (W / m²); \(A\) is Collector area (m²). Fudholli et al (2016) and Ayensu et al (1997) calculated the efficiency of the hybrid solar drying system, which was estimated according to the following equation:

\[ \eta_d = \frac{W \times L}{(1 \times A) + (P_f \times (MB \times LCV))} \]

Where \(\eta_d\) is hybrid solar drying efficiency; \(P_f\) is fan power (watt); \(MB\) is mass of fuel consumed (kg); \(LCV\) is Lower Calorific value of fuel (J/Kg).

In this experiment, seven thin-layer drying models were used to determine the most suitable model that can describe the coffee beans’ drying behavior using a HSD. Mathematical modeling was performed using MATLAB software. Table 2 shows the drying models used. These thin layer drying models are often used on fruits and vegetables (Owuode et al. 2016). A new parameter, namely Moisture Ratio (MR) is defined using Equation 7 (Dairo et al. 2015).

\[ MR = \frac{M - M_{t}}{M_{t}} \]

M is the moisture content at any given time, while \(M_{t}\) is the initial moisture content, and \(M_{t}\) is the equilibrium moisture content. However, in this experiment, the relative humidity values varied continuously during drying and the values of \(M_{t}\) are relatively small compared to M or \(M_{t}\). (Dairo et al. 2015; Sanni and Odukogbe 2015). Therefore, Equation 7 can be simplified into Equation 8.

\[ MR = \frac{M}{M_{t}} \]

Table 2
Thin-layer drying models

| Model            | Formula               | References                  |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Newton           | MR = exp(-kt)         | El-Beltagy et al. 2007      |
| Page             | MR = exp(-kt^e)       | Tzempelikos et al. 2014     |
| Modified Page    | MR = exp[-(kt)^e]     | Vega et al. 2007            |
| Henderson and Pabis | MR = a exp(-kt^e) | Hashim et al. 2014          |
| Logarithmic      | MR = a exp(-kt+c)     | Kaur and Singh 2014         |
| Midilli et al.   | MR = a exp(-kt) + bt  | Ayadi et al. 2014           |
| Modified Midilli et al. | MR = a exp(kt) + b | Gan and Poh 2014            |
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Two parameters were used to evaluate the drying models’ accuracy, namely the correlation coefficient ($R^2$) and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). The model with the highest value of $R^2$ and lowest value of RMSE will be chosen as the most suitable model (Charmongkolpradit and Luampon 2017). The value of $R^2$ and RMSE can be determined using Equation 9 and 10 (Dhanushkodi et al. 2017).

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{MR}_{\text{exp},i} - \text{MR}_{\text{pre},i})^2}$$

$$R^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{MR}_{\text{exp},i} - \bar{MR}_{\text{exp}})(\text{MR}_{\text{pre},i} - \bar{MR}_{\text{pre}})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{MR}_{\text{exp},i} - \bar{MR}_{\text{exp}})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{MR}_{\text{pre},i} - \bar{MR}_{\text{pre}})^2}$$

According to Ertekin and Firat (2015), drying characteristics in the falling rate period can be described using fick’s diffusion equation. Assuming long drying time, uniform moisture distributions, and slab geometry for coffee beans, Equation 11 can be used (Tzempelikos et al. 2015).

$$\text{MR} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \exp \left[ \frac{D_{\text{eff}} \pi^2}{4L^2} t \right]$$

Where $D_{\text{eff}}$ is effective diffusivity ($\text{m}^2/\text{s}$), $L$ is the half-thickness of coffee beans (m), $t$ is drying time (s), and $n$ is a constant. By changing Equation 11 into logarithmic form, a new linear equation is obtained, as shown in Equation 12. The value of $D_{\text{eff}}$ can be obtained by plotting $\text{MR}$ and $t$ in a linear graph. All calculations of $D_{\text{eff}}$ are performed using Microsoft Excel.

$$\ln \text{MR} = \ln \frac{8}{\pi^2} - \frac{D_{\text{eff}} \pi^2}{4L^2} t$$

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Profile of temperature, relative humidity and solar intensity during coffee beans drying

A Hybrid SD System for drying coffee beans has experimented. The coffee beans were dried to 11%–12% w.b from 54.23% w.h. of the moisture content. The variations of solar intensity, ambient temperature, ambient relative humidity is shown in Fig.3a-b, during the drying of coffee beans using a HSD at 60 °C and 50 °C.

For Fig.3a, it is shown that the ambient relative humidity ranged from 31 to 38%, the highest solar intensity is 1186 W/m² at 9th hours of the drying time. Meanwhile, for Fig.3b, it is shown that the highest of solar intensity is 1320 W/m² at 8th hours of the drying time with the relative humidity of ambient ranged from 20 to 40%, which is fluctuating up and down this is same as previous investigation by Kumar et al (2016).

4.2 Analysis of moisture content curve

The amount of final moisture content ranges from 11 to 12 per cent w.b, which is equivalent to 150 - 152 kg. According to Figure 4a-d, the moisture content of the product decreased rapidly when the temperature of the hybrid solar dryer at 60°C with low relative humidity.

However, there are no significant differences between the temperatures of 60 °C and 50 °C this may cause the heat of solar that has been collected for experiment at 50 °C due to good solar intensity radiation in that time, with an average value of 1059.49 W/m². The higher of solar radiation, the higher the air temperature will be. High-temperature air picks up air more quickly from food ingredients so the drying process becomes faster. It is the same as what has been studied by Agustina et al (2016).

The OSD operating mode only has one data as a comparison base. Fig.4a-d shows the variations of moisture content vs drying time. It is seen that the moisture content at the first tray is lower than the other tray. That is because the heat which is received by first tray is highest than the above tray. The heat will decrease after passing the first tray that is because the distance of the heat source (LPG burner outlet and solar collector outlet) is getting farther, this result is similar that during the combustion process of gas with high temperature, samples in the bottom tray dried faster (Yassen, et al., 2016).
Fig. 4. Moisture content versus drying time at (a) first tray, (b) second tray, (c) third tray and (d) fourth tray

Fig. 5. Drying rate versus time curve at (a) first tray, (b) second tray, (c) third tray and (d) fourth tray
About 50% drying time 54% material, such as the velocity parameter 4 times the drying time (2003) drying rate is 2.48 g/h.

The average taken 14 hours of the drying process (average drying rate g/h) and at temperature 60°C the four trays are 6.99 g/h, 6.63 g/h, 6.87 g/h and 6.53 g/h. It took 10 hours to dry 300 g of coffee beans. In this case, drying is influenced by the burner gas temperature and the sunlight intensity (Harun et al., 2016).

Coffee bean drying at temperature 40°C by HSD has taken 14 hours of the drying process (average drying rate for each tray is 2.72 g/h, 2.52 g/h, 2.48 g/h and 2.51 g/h). The average drying rate for each tray is 2.69 g/h, 2.49 g/h, 2.55 g/h and 2.88 g/h. The drying process for mode SD took 15 hours. Mode OSD took 16 hours, and the average drying rate is 2.48 g/h. A study by Siddique and Wright (2003) concluded that the higher the temperature, the faster the drying time.

4.4 Analysis of energy efficiency

The Solar Collector efficiency depends on various parameters, such as the solar intensity, the ambient air velocity, ambient temperature, and internal parameters such as the surface area of the black body, type of glass material, and the glass thickness. It is seen from Fig. 6 that the highest solar collector efficiency shows about 54.15% at temperature 60°C at 12:00 a.m to 14:00 p.m drying time and during the SD operation the efficiency is about 50.07% at 12:00 a.m to 14:00 p.m drying time.

Meanwhile, Fig. 7 shows that the efficiency for HSD operation mode is greater than SD operation mode, it is about 79.78 per cent for temperature 40°C. The fluctuation on the graphic is because of the difference in solar intensity for day to day and that is same as what has been studied by Sengar et al. (2009). The total energy required for temperatures of 60 °C, 50 °C, and 40 °C there is 99.21 kWh, 116.29 kWh and 277.57 kWh with consideration of the longer time for drying than other temperature variables.

4.5 Mathematical modeling

The MR data obtained from the experiment are plotted into seven thin-layer drying models to determine the best model to describe the coffee beans' drying behavior using a HSD. In this model, only tray 1 is modeled since it gives the fastest moisture reduction compared to the other trays. Table 3 shows the modeling results, including all of the models’ parameters, as well as the values of R² and RMSE.

4.3 Analysis of drying rate

The coffee beans drying rates under different temperatures and methods is shown in Fig. 5a-d. The result shows that coffee beans dried by HSD faster at temperature 50°C (average drying rate on the first until the fourth tray are 6.99 g/h, 6.63 g/h, 6.87 g/h and 6.53 g/h) and at temperature 60°C (average drying rate on the first until the fourth tray are 5.71 g/h, 5.91 g/h, 4.92 g/h) and 4.26 g/h than other temperature and methods. It took 10 hours to dry 300 g of coffee beans. In this case, drying is influenced by the burner gas temperature and the sunlight intensity (Harun et al., 2016).

Coffee bean drying at temperature 40°C by HSD has taken 14 hours of the drying process (average drying rate for each tray is 2.72 g/h, 2.52 g/h, 2.48 g/h and 2.51 g/h). The average drying rate for each tray is 2.69 g/h, 2.49 g/h, 2.55 g/h and 2.88 g/h. The drying process for mode SD took 15 hours. Mode OSD took 16 hours, and the average drying rate is 2.48 g/h. A study by Siddique and Wright (2003) concluded that the higher the temperature, the faster the drying time.

#### Fig. 6. Efficiency of solar collector

The fourth tray shows something different. The fourth tray is right under the sky and the glass will absorb heat from the sun directly, so that tray fourth has a higher temperature than the temperature of the tray below. In addition, it should be seen that the longer the drying time, the more the water content decreases until it becomes constant. Based on Tashkosh et al. (2014) the drying rate decreases over time due to the moisture content inside the coffee beans, which also decreases over time.

#### Fig. 7. Efficiency of hybrid solar drying

#### Fig. 8. Plot of observed MR and predicted MR using page model at 40, 50, and 60 °C
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Table 3
Parameter values from thin layer modeling of coffee beans drying

| Models          | T (°C) | k   | n   | A   | b   | c   | R²  | RMSE |
|-----------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Newton          | 40     | 0.0713 |     |     |     |     | 0.9600 | 0.0711 |
|                 | 45     | 0.1325 |     |     |     |     | 0.9668 | 0.0426 |
|                 | 50     | 0.1508 |     |     |     |     | 0.9702 | 0.0808 |
|                 | 55     | 0.1210 |     |     |     |     | 0.9725 | 0.0430 |
|                 | 60     | 0.1238 |     |     |     |     | 0.9586 | 0.0590 |
| Page            | 40     | 0.0157 | 1.7183 |     |     |     | 0.9939 | 0.0220 |
|                 | 45     | 0.1082 | 1.1194 |     |     |     | 0.9645 | 0.0497 |
|                 | 50     | 0.1317 | 1.0816 |     |     |     | 0.9807 | 0.0354 |
|                 | 55     | 0.0831 | 1.2181 |     |     |     | 0.9795 | 0.0349 |
|                 | 60     | 0.0859 | 1.2127 |     |     |     | 0.9725 | 0.0431 |
| Modified Page   | 40     | 0.0784 | 1.1985 |     |     |     | 0.9788 | 0.0490 |
|                 | 45     | 0.1381 | 1.1480 |     |     |     | 0.9638 | 0.0519 |
|                 | 50     | 0.1543 | 1.1114 |     |     |     | 0.9808 | 0.0361 |
|                 | 55     | 0.1345 | 1.3881 |     |     |     | 0.9793 | 0.0413 |
|                 | 60     | 0.1390 | 1.4842 |     |     |     | 0.9782 | 0.0420 |
| Henderson and Pabis | 40   | 0.0157 | 1.7238 | 1.0051 |     |     | 0.9938 | 0.0238 |
|                 | 45     | 0.0597 | 1.4768 | 1.0095 |     |     | 0.9491 | 0.0852 |
|                 | 50     | 0.0721 | 1.4323 | 0.9952 |     |     | 0.9749 | 0.0571 |
|                 | 55     | 0.0691 | 1.3249 | 1.0007 |     |     | 0.9797 | 0.0381 |
|                 | 60     | 0.0764 | 1.3075 | 1.0214 |     |     | 0.9735 | 0.0438 |
| Logarithmic     | 40     | 0.0939 |     | 0.9459 | 0.1046 | 0.9433 | 0.0668 |
|                 | 45     | 0.1696 |     | 0.9273 | 0.1052 | 0.9581 | 0.0501 |
|                 | 50     | 0.1764 |     | 0.8883 | 0.1077 | 0.9716 | 0.0470 |
|                 | 55     | 0.1378 |     | 0.8561 | 0.1152 | 0.9660 | 0.0562 |
|                 | 60     | 0.1593 |     | 0.8341 | 0.1151 | 0.9402 | 0.0743 |
| Midilli et al.  | 40     | 0.0716 |     | 1.0006 | 0.0000108 | 0.9597 | 0.0711 |
|                 | 45     | 0.1378 |     | 1.0187 | 0.0000102 | 0.9857 | 0.0468 |
|                 | 50     | 0.1535 |     | 1.0086 | 0.0000105 | 0.9784 | 0.0368 |
|                 | 55     | 0.1253 |     | 1.0158 | 0.0000099 | 0.9707 | 0.0420 |
|                 | 60     | 0.1256 |     | 1.0063 | 0.0000101 | 0.9576 | 0.0582 |
| Modified Midilli et al. | 40 | 0.0829 | 1.0230 | 0.00262 | 0.9518 | 0.0616 |
|                 | 45     | 0.1438 |     | 0.9953 | 0.0253 | 0.9845 | 0.0470 |
|                 | 50     | 0.1575 |     | 0.9757 | 0.0248 | 0.9774 | 0.0379 |
|                 | 55     | 0.1296 |     | 0.9893 | 0.0250 | 0.9691 | 0.0434 |
|                 | 60     | 0.1299 |     | 0.9795 | 0.0250 | 0.9557 | 0.0601 |

Mathematical modeling results show that the values of $R^2$ and RMSE differ randomly and do not follow a specific pattern. It can be seen from the table that the Page model has the highest average value of $R^2$ and lowest average value of RMSE. Therefore, Page model is the most suitable model for describing the drying behavior of coffee beans, followed by the Modified Page model and the Henderson and Pabis model. However, other research about thin-layer drying of coffee report that Midilli et al. model is the best model (Siqueira et al. 2016; Deeto et al. 2018), while Phitakwinai et al. (2019) found that Modified Midilli et al. model is the best model, although the Page model also described the coffee beans’ drying behavior. These differences in best model selection may be caused by different drying methods and conditions (Onwude et al. 2016). Fig. 8 shows the plot of observed MR and predicted MR using the Page model at 40°C, 50°C, and 60°C.

Drying the biological products during the falling rate period is controlled by the mechanism of liquid and/or vapor diffusion, assuming that the resistance to moisture flows is distributed uniformly through the interior of the material. The rate of moisture movement is described by an effective diffusivity value ($D_{eq}$), no matter which mechanism of diffusion is involved (Ertek and Firat, 2015). Table 4 shows the value of effective diffusivity at different drying temperatures. The effective diffusivity values in this experiment are found to be within a range of $10^{-11}$ to $10^{-9}$ m²/s, which is generally considered the acceptable range for most agricultural and food products (Aviara et al. 2016). Another study, performed by Phitakwinai et al. (2019), reports that the effective diffusivity values of coffee beans dried at 50°C–70°C ranged from $7.754.10^{-10}$ to $1.4525.10^{-9}$ °C. It can be seen that higher temperature will increase the effective diffusivity value (Alara et al. 2017). Drying at high temperature will increase the product’s temperature, which promotes water movement inside the product through diffusion and then evaporates into the air (Phitakwinai et al. 2019; Alara et al. 2017).

Table 4
Values of effective diffusivity of coffee beans at different drying temperatures

| Temperature (°C) | Effective Diffusivity (m²/s) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| 40               | 1.80 x 10⁻¹⁰                |
| 45               | 1.96 x 10⁻¹⁰                |
| 50               | 2.40 x 10⁻¹⁰                |
| 55               | 2.72 x 10⁻¹⁰                |
| 60               | 2.87 x 10⁻¹⁰                |

5. Conclusion
In this research, the drying experiment of coffee beans using a HSD was performed. All coffee beans were dried to the final moisture content of < 12% w.b from 54.23% w.b with different lengths of time. Drying at 60°C and at the
first tray gives the best result. Higher drying temperature will lead to faster moisture reduction, and the moisture reduction is at its fastest during the initial drying phase. The SEC was 99.21 kWh, 116.29 kWh and 277.57 kWh for temperatures of 60°C, 50°C, and 40°C. The geographic condition, location factor, and time of measurement are very influential in the drying process. Besides that, try to apply the other material for the solar collector, such as the quartz glass that may increase solar heat adsorption. Mathematical modeling shows that the Page model is the most suitable for describing the coffee beans' drying behavior using a HSD. The effective diffusivity values in this experiment are all in the acceptable range for most agricultural products.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Directorate General of Research Strengthening and Development as a branch of Indonesia’s Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education, for granting the funds to this research in 2019 fiscal year.

References

Agustina, R., Syah, H., & Moulana, R. (2016) Characteristic Drying of Coffee Beans Using a Dryer with the Heat Source of Coffe Husk Furnace and Solar Collectors. Jurnal Ilmiah Teknologi Pertanian AGROTECHNO, 1, 20–27.

Aissa, W., El-Sallak, M. & Elhakem, A. (2014) Performance of solar dryer chamber used for convective drying of sponge-cotton. Thermal Science, 18, 451–462. DOI: 10.2298/TSCI110710084A

Alara, O., Abdurahman, N. & Olalere, O. (2019) Mathematical modelling and morphological properties of thin layer oven drying of Vernonia amygdalina leaves. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences, 18(3), 309–315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2017.09.003

Aviara, N.A. and Igbeke, J.C. (2016) Modeling for Drying of Thin Layer of Native Cassava Starch in Tray Dryer. Journal of Biosystems Engineering, 41(4), 342–356. https://doi.org/10.5307/JBE.2016.41.4.342

Ayadi, M., Ben Mabrouk, S., Zouari, I., & Bellagi, A. (2014) Kinetic study of the convective drying of spearmint. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences, 13(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2013.04.004

Ayensu, A. (1997) Dehydration of food crops using a solar dryer with convective heat flow. Solar Energy, 59, 121–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-092X(96)00130-2

Bennamoun, L. (2012) An Overview on Application of Exergy and Energy for Determination of Solar Drying Efficiency. International Journal of Energy Engineering, 2, 184–194. DOI: 10.5923/j.ijee.20120205.01

Beristain, C.I., Diaz, R., Auzara, E., & Garcia, H.S. (1994) Thermodynamic behavior of green whole and defatted coffee beans during adsorption. Drying Technology, 12, 1221–1233. https://doi.org/10.1080/07373939408980997

Charmrongkolpradit, S. and Luampon, R. (2017) Study of Thin Layer Drying Model for Cassava Pulp. Energy Procedia, 138, 354–359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.10.138

Dairo, O. U., Aderinlewo, A.A., Adeosun, O.J., Ola, I.A., & Salaudeen, T. (2015) Solar Drying Kinetics of Cassava Slices in a Mixed Flow Dryer. Acta Technologica Agriculturae, 18(4), 102–107. DOI: 10.1515/ata-2015-0009

Deeto, S., Thesp, S., Monyakul, V., & Songprakorp, R. (2018) The experimental new hybrid solar dryer and hot water storage system of thin layer coffee bean dehumidification. Renewable Energy, 115, 954–968. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.09.009

Dhanushkodi, S., Wilson, V.H., & Sudhakar, K. (2017) Mathematical modeling of drying behavior of cashew in a solar biomass hybrid dryer. Resource-Efficient Technologies, 3(4), 359–364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.refst.2016.12.002

Dong, W., Hu, R., Chu, Z., Zhao, J., & Tan, L. (2017) Effect of different drying techniques on bioactive components, fatty acid composition, and volatile profile of robusta coffee beans. Food Chemistry, 234, 121–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.04.068

Dong, W., Hu, R., Long, Y., Li, H., Zhang, Y., Zhu, K., & Chu, Z. (2019) Comparative evaluation of the volatile profiles and taste properties of roasted coffee beans as affected by drying method and detected by electronic nose, electronic tongue, and HS-SPME-GC-MS. Food Chemistry, 272, 723–731. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.08.068

El-Beltagy, A., Gama, G.R., & Amer Essa, A.A. (2007) Solar drying characteristics of strawberries. Journal of Food Engineering, 78(2), 456–464. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2005.10.015

Ertekin, C. and Firat, M.Z. (2015) A comprehensive review of thin-layer drying models used in agricultural products. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 57(4), 701–717. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2014.910493

Gan, P.L. and Poh, P.E. (2014) Investigation on the effect of shapes on the drying kinetics and sensory evaluation study of dried jackfruit. International Journal of Engineering Science, 7, 193–198. DOI: 10.12777/jise.7.2.193-198

Greenberg, J., Booser, C., & Geliebter, A. (2006). Coffee, diabetes, and weight control. The American journal of clinical nutrition, 84, 682–693

Hanif, M., Khattak, M.K., Ur-Rahman, M., Khan, M.A. & Ramzan, M. (2014) Performance evaluation of a flat plate solar collector as a drier for chillies and tomatoes. Science, Technology, and Development, 33, 63–67

Harun, D., Maulana, M.I., Hasan, A. & Husaini (2016). Experimental investigation on open sun-drying and solar drying system of bilimbi. 2016 6th International Annual Engineering Seminar (InAES), 271–275. DOI: 10.1109/INAES.2016.7821947

Hashim, N., Daniel, O., & Rahman, E. (2014) A Preliminary Study: Kinetic Model of Drying Process of Pumpkins (Cucurbita Moschata) in a Convective Hot Air Dryer. Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia, 2, 345–352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aaspro.2014.11.048

Indonesia Standardization Body (2004) SNI 01-3542-2004, Kopi Babuk. 1–10

International Coffee Organization (2018) Monthly Coffee Market Report - December 2018.

Kassem, A.E.W.S., Al-Sulaiman, M.A., Aboukarima, A.E.W.M. & Kassem, S.S. (2011) Predicting drying efficiency during solar drying process of grapes clusters in a box dryer using artificial neural network. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5, 230–241.

Kaur K. and Singh A.K. (2014) Drying kinetics and quality characteristics of beetroot slices under hot air followed by microwave finish drying. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 9(12), 1036–1044. http://dx.doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2013.7759

Kumar, M., Sansanwial, S.K. & Khutak, P. (2016) Progress in solar dryers for drying various commodities. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 55, 346–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.10.158

Onwude, D.I., Hashim, N., Janius, R. B., Nawi, N. M., & Abdan, K. (2016) Modeling the Thin-Layer Drying of Fruits and Critical Review. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 54, 609–619. DOI: 10.1080/10408398.2014.910493

Patricia,	S.,	Sutanto,	E.E.,	Sutrisna,	R.J.	(2013) Computer aided study: Kinetic Model of Drying Process of Pumpkins (Cucurbita Moschata) in a Convective Hot Air Dryer. Acta Technologica Agriculturae, 4(1), 13–19. DOI: 10.1515/ata-2015-0009

Sallak, M. & Elhakem, A. (2014) Performance of two flat plate solar collectors for drying coffee husk. Energy Procedia, 63, 814–819. DOI: 10.1016/j.egypro.2014.09.109

Songprakorp, R. (2018) Energy Analysis of a Hybrid Solar Dryer for Drying Coffee Beans. Int. Journal of Renewable Energy Development, 9(1), 131–139. doi:10.14710/ijred.9.1.131-139
Vegetables: A Review. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 15(3), 599–618. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12196

Phitakwinai, S., Thepa, S., & Nilsont, W. (2019) Thin-layer drying of parchment Arabica coffee by controlling temperature and relative humidity. Food Science & Nutrition, 7(9), 2921–2931. DOI: 10.1002/fsn3.1144

Sanni, L. and Odukogbe, O. (2016) Mathematical Modelling of Thin-layer Drying Kinetics of Cassava Meal in a Conductive Rotary Dryer. Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology, 12(10), 535–543. http://dx.doi.org/10.19026/ajfst.12.3300

Sengar, S., Khandetod, Y., & Mohod, A. (2009) Low cost solar dryer for fish. African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 3.

Siddique, A.B. and Wright, D. (2003) Effects of Different Drying Time and Temperature on Moisture Percentage and Seed Quality (Viability and Vigour) of Pea Seeds (Pisum sativum L.). Asian Journal of Plant Sciences, 2, 978-982. DOI: 10.3923/ajps.2003.978.982

Siqueira, V.C., Borém, F.M., Alves, G.E., Isquierdo, E.P., Pinto, A.C.F., Ribeiro, D.E., & Ribeiro, F.C. (2017) Drying kinetics of processed natural coffee with high moisture content. Coffee Science, 12(3), 400-409. http://dx.doi.org/10.25186/cs.v12i3.1320

Suherman, S., Azaria, N.F. & Karami, S. (2018) Performance Study of Fluidized Bed Dryer with Immersed Heater for Paddy Drying. Quality in Research: International Symposium on Materials, Metallurgy, and Chemical Engineering. 24–27 July 2017, Bali, Indonesia, 316, 012026 (2018). https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/316/1/012026

Tashtosh, G.M., Jaradat, M., Zuraiakt, S. & Aljarah, M. (2014) Mathematical Model of Indirect Solar Drying of Dairy Products (Jameed), Energy and Environmental Engineering, 2(1), 1–13. DOI: 10.13189/eee.2014.020101

Tzempelikos, D.A., Vouros, A.P., Bardakas, A.V., Filios, A.E., & Margaris, D.P. (2014). Case studies on the effect of the air drying conditions on the convective drying of quinces. Case Studies in Thermal Engineering, 3, 79–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2014.05.001

Tzempelikos, D.A., Vouros, A.P., Bardakas, A.V., Filios, A.E., & Margaris, D.P. (2015) Experimental study on convective drying of quince slices and evaluation of thin-layer drying models. Engineering in Agriculture, Environment and Food, 8(3), 169–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eaef.2014.12.002

Vega, A., Fito, P., Andrés, A., & Lemus, R. (2007). Mathematical modeling of hot-air drying kinetics of red bell pepper (var. Lamuyo). Journal of Food Engineering, 79(4), 1460–1466. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2006.04.028

Yassen, T.A. and Al-Kayiem, H.H. (2016) Experimental investigation and evaluation of hybrid solar/thermal dryer combined with supplementary recovery dryer. Solar Energy, 134, 284-293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2016.05.011

This article is an extended version of a paper presented at International Conference of Process and Product Engineering (ICCPPE 2019) held on Sept 25, 2019. The article has been reviewed by journal peer-reviewers including complete proofs.

© 2020. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

© IJRED – ISSN: 2252-4940. All rights reserved