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Abstract

A surprisingly strong variation of resistance with perpendicular magnetic field, and a peak in the resistance vs. field, $R(B)$ has been found in insulating films of a sequence of homogeneous, quench-condensed films of amorphous Bi undergoing a thickness-tuned superconductor-insulator transition. Isotherms of magnetoresistance, rather than resistance, vs. field were found to cross at a well-defined magnetic field higher than the field corresponding to the peak in $R(B)$. For all values of $B$, $R(T)$ was found to obey an Arrhenius form. At the crossover magnetic field the prefactor became equal to the quantum resistance of electron pairs, $h/4e^2$, and the activation energy returned to its zero field value. These observations suggest that the crossover is the signature of a quantum phase transition between two distinct insulating ground states, tuned by magnetic field.

Superconductor-insulator (SI) transitions of disordered two-dimensional (2D) conductors have been studied extensively for about two decades because they offer the opportunity to investigate a wide variety of quantum phenomena [1]. Of particular interest are transitions of strongly disordered films, tuned by a perpendicular magnetic field. The dirty-boson picture was proposed to describe the magnetic field tuned transition from superconductivity. In this picture the insulator consists of Bose-condensed, field-induced vortices and localized Cooper pairs [2]. An early experiment by Paalanen, Hebard and Ruel reported a peak in the magnetoresistance of InO$_x$ films on the insulating side of the SI transition [3]. The behavior of the Hall resistance at fields close to the peak field led these authors to suggest that there was a crossover from the state proposed by Fisher in which there are localized Cooper pairs, to one in which transport is dominated by single-particle excitations. They referred to this as a crossover between Bose and Fermi insulators [3]. This peak in $R(B)$ in the insulating regime of the field-tuned SI transition has been the subject of numerous investigations in recent years. With improvements in sample fabrication procedures and the introduction of new materials, changes of resistance of several orders of magnitude have been reported [4-8]. Also of interest in the present context are observations of Arrhenius activated behavior, i.e., a hard gap in InO$_x$ and TiN$_x$ films both in zero field in insulating films, and in magnetic fields on the insulating side of the SI transition [7, 9, 10]. In this letter we report an apparent perpendicular magnetic field tuned quantum phase transition between two separate insulating ground states in thin films on the insulating side of the disorder or thickness-tuned superconductor-insulator transition. A central piece of evidence for this assertion is that isotherms of magnetoresistance ($MR$) defined as $[R(B, T) - R(0, T)]/R(0, T)$ cross at a well-defined magnetic field higher than that corresponding to the peak in $R(B)$.

The data employed in the present work were obtained from studies of homogeneous amorphous Bi (a-Bi) films that were grown by quench-condensation in situ at liquid helium temperatures on (100) SrTiO$_3$(STO) single-crystal substrates precoated in situ with a 15Å underlayer of amorphous Sb (a-Sb). Films grown by deposition onto substrates held at liquid helium temperatures and pre-coated in situ with thin underlayers of either a-Ge or a-Sb are known to be homogeneous [11]. The underlayers have zero conductance within instrumental resolution. The experiments involve repeated cycles of deposition and measurement carried out in a dilution refrigerator system designed to study the evolution of electronic properties with film thickness [12]. All the measurements were carried out using a four-terminal configuration employing a DC current source with currents in the linear regime of the current-voltage (I-V) characteristic.

Representative examples of the evolution of $R(T)$ with thickness of several insulating films of a-Bi films are shown in Fig. 1(a). Representative data of $R(B)$ and the field dependence of the $MR$ at 600mK in films ranging in thickness from 19.74Å to 21.12Å are presented in Fig. 1(b). Peaks in $R(B)$ are observed in films thicker than 20.53Å. The values of the magnitudes of the peaks in $R(B)$ and the fields at the peaks both increase with film thickness. It is important to note that large peaks in $R(B)$, at fields above the critical field of the SI transition have not been previously reported for superconducting films grown on substrates with a-Ge or a-Sb underlayers. On the other hand in the case of nominally granular quench-condensed films, grown on substrates that are not precoated, $R(B)$ increases dramatically with increasing field, rising to values several orders of magnitude higher than the normal resistance [13]. Such films are also exhibit nonmonotonic variations of $R(T)$ which are not found in precoated films. Giant magnetoresistance peaks have been found in studies of films quench-condensed onto substrates perforated with nanometer scale arrays of holes [14].

We now turn to the temperature dependence of $R(B)$...
and the $MR$ for films of specific thicknesses. Representative data of $R(B, T)$ for films, 20.91Å and 21.12Å thick, are presented in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). The peak height becomes higher with decreasing temperature which is consistent with results reported for InO$_x$ and TiN$_x$ films. The peak field, $B_{peak}$, is a function of temperature and can be fit with the form $B_{peak} = B_0 + \alpha T^\beta$ over the range of temperatures studied, as shown in Fig. 2(c). From the measurements, $B_0 = 1.92 \pm 0.04$, $\alpha = 2.63 \pm 0.06$, and $\beta = 2.78 \pm 0.10$ for the 20.91Å thick film, and $B_0 = 2.37 \pm 0.07$, $\alpha = 3.791 \pm 0.09$, and $\beta = 2.41 \pm 0.13$ for the 21.12Å thick film. It is unclear as to whether any of the theoretical models for the peak, which will be considered later, are consistent with these observations.

The temperature dependencies of the resistances of the 20.91Å and 21.12Å thick films at temperatures below 1K can be fit by an Arrhenius form, $R = R_0 \exp(T_0/T)$, in fields ranging from 0 to 10 T. This is shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). The field dependencies of the activation energy $T_0(B)$, and the prefactor $R_0(B)$, are plotted in the lower halves of Figs. 3(c) and 3(d). The activation energy exhibits a peak at a magnetic field close to $B_0$ described in the previous paragraph.

The measurement of resistance at temperatures below 300mK is difficult for several reasons. The I-V characteristics become non-linear at currents larger than 1pA. The resistance itself becomes so large that combined with the capacitances in the measuring circuit, with its heavy filtering, results in an extraordinarily long time constant. Also, $R(T)$ can exceed the input impedance of the voltage amplifier, which can lead to erroneous results. Therefore, data below 300mK were questionable and were excluded.

The most striking result is the occurrence of a crossover
in the plot of the $MR$ vs. $B$, as shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d). The magnetic field at the crossing point, $B_c$, corresponds to two features of the Arrhenius fit. First, the activation energy at this crossing field returns to the value it exhibited at zero field. Therefore, $T_o(B) - T_o(0)$ is always positive when $B < B_c$ and negative when $B > B_c$. Second, the prefactors, $R_o$, in these two films, are equal in value to $h/4e^2$, which is the quantum resistance for electron pairs. Parenthetically the first appearance of positive magnetoresistance at 600mK for the film thicker than 20.53Å also coincides with the zero field prefactor falling below $h/4e^2$. These three features lead us to suggest the existence of a quantum critical point at $B = B_c$ with the $MR$ rather than $R$ as the observable. Indeed, if Arrhenius conduction were to extend to zero temperature, in zero temperature limit, we would expect

$$MR(B, T)_{T \to 0} = \left[ \frac{R_o(B)}{R_o(0)} \exp \left( \frac{T_o(B) - T_o(0)}{T} \right) - 1 \right]_{T \to 0}$$

even though all resistances would diverge.

Further support for the idea of a quantum phase transition comes from the success of finite size scaling. Here we use the scaling form first introduced by Fisher:

$$R = R_c F \left( \frac{|B - B_c|}{T^{1/\nu\zeta}} \right)$$

However, we use $MR$ as the observable in place of the resistance. Both films’ data, within a certain range of fields and at sufficiently low temperatures can be scaled with critical exponent product $\nu\zeta = 0.65 \pm 0.08$. This is shown in Fig. 4. With the assumption $z = 1$, this product would correspond to the universality class of a 2+1 dimensional XY model. Similar values have been found for magnetic field and electrostatically tuned SI transitions [15, 16]. The data points close to the peak in $R(B)$ and at high temperatures fail to scale, which may due to the limits on the quantum critical regime.

It is interesting that the $MR$ rather than the $R$ isotherms as a function of $B$ cross as a function of magnetic field. The low temperature zero field resistance must result from a combination of effects including the motion of strongly localized electrons as well as participation of presumably localized Cooper pairs. The application of a magnetic field to the film adds vortices and the behavior of these added vortices results in a highly resistive phase that appears to disappear at a field-tuned quantum phase transition. That this high resistance phase and the observed crossover are associated with Cooper pairing is supported by the robust observation that at the crossover magnetic field the prefactor of
the Arrhenius fit to the data is the quantum resistance for electron pairs.

Additional evidence for the presence of vortices in the film near the magnetoresistance peak is the anisotropy of magnetoresistance. At 400 mK for the 21.12Å thick film, our preliminary results of the MR in a 2.5T parallel field is 20.95% [14], while it is 198.7% in a 2.5T perpendicular field. This result is consistent with previous observations by Markovic et al. [18], which were also interpreted as the evidence of vortices in the insulating Bi films at low magnetic fields. With the ability to apply higher fields, we found the anisotropy diminishes when the field is larger than the peak field and vanishes near $B_c$. For instance, at 400 mK the MRs in parallel and perpendicular fields of 7.3T are 56.2% and 60.6% respectively. This result is consistent with the idea that local superconductivity and vortices disappear close to to the field-tuned quantum phase transition.

To the best of our knowledge none of the models of the SI transition predict a quantum phase transition such as the one reported here, although it is quite possible that they may be extended to include one of the form $R_0(h/4e^2)$. The condition of $R_0 = h/4e^2$ delineates a phase boundary in these thickness and field tuned insulating films as evidenced by two observations: the magnetoresistance peak is found only in the thicker films when the zero-field prefactor falls below $h/4e^2$ and the prefactor at the crossover field $B_c$ is $h/4e^2$. This suggests that quantum fluctuations of vortices play a role in the present observations, that $B_c$ is the critical field for the vanishing of local superconductivity, and that the transition is from a Bose insulator with localized Cooper pairs to a Fermi insulator.

One might ask why these effects have not been observed previously, given the significant number of studies of the field-tuned superconductor-insulator transition. Most studies have focused on films that are superconducting in the absence of a magnetic field. Thus there is no zero-field reference resistance as would be needed to evaluate the magnetoresistance. Secondly the crossover field is at 3.9T and 7.3T for the two films reported here, with the 7.3T crossover a property of the less disordered film. With further reduction of disorder with an increase of thickness, the crossover could move to unattainably high values of magnetic field and be unobservable.

In summary, isotherms of the $MR$ have been observed to cross at a well-defined magnetic field higher than that of the peak in $R(B)$ of quench-condensed insulating films of a-Bi. Curves of $R(T)$ at all magnetic fields follow an Arrhenius form for temperatures below 1K. The prefactor of this form becomes equal to the quantum resistance for pairs and the activation energy returns to its zero-field value at the crossover field. Data near the crossover are consistent with finite size scaling and the universality class of the $(2+1)D$ $XY$ Model. We suggest that these observations are evidence of a quantum phase transition between two distinct insulating phases, which might be a Bose insulator to a Fermi insulator.
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