A Rare Metastatic Primary Rectal Melanoma in a Geriatric Male
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Abstract

Primary rectal melanoma (PRM) is an uncommon malignancy whose etiology remains unknown. Most patients present with rectal bleeding. Distant metastasis is commonly seen in the lung and liver. The incidence rates for locoregional lymph node metastases on initial presentation are almost 60%. Histology and immunochemistry are useful and are the gold standard for diagnosis. The prognosis is very poor due to the late presentation of patients. Optimum surgical treatment remains controversial. Abdominoperineal resection was considered traditionally but over time, has been found to have no survival benefit. Current literature and studies, therefore, recommend wide local excision. The beneficial effects of chemotherapy versus radiotherapy use are still debatable. Herein, we discuss a case of a 72-year-old Caucasian male with rectal bleeding found to have metastasized PRM.
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Introduction

Malignant melanoma is derived from the melanocytes and commonly involves the skin. Aside from the skin, malignant melanoma has been observed in the eyes, gastrointestinal tract, head, and genital regions. The rectal region is the most frequently affected part in gastrointestinal malignant melanoma. These mucosal tumors, unlike the skin, derive their origin from the melanocytes in the non-keratinized squamous epithelium. Malignant melanoma of the rectum is an extremely rare and very aggressive tumor [1]. It constitutes only 0.5-4% of all anorectal malignancies and less than 1% of all melanomas. Most patients present usually in the fifth or sixth decade of life [2, 3]. Some cases have reported an association between rectal melanoma and Caucasian women; however, due to inadequate population-based studies, this remains inconclusive. The common presenting symptoms are rectal bleeding, anal mass, and changes in bowel habits [4]. PRM is lethal with a median survival of 24 months and 5-year survival of 10% [5].

Case Report

Investigations

A 72-year-old Caucasian male widower, with a past medical history of an alcohol use disorder, presented to the emergency department with a chief complaint of bleeding per rectum, anal mass, and diarrhea for 11 months associated with anal pruritus and tenesmus. He denied any personal or family history of cancer. Significant findings on physical examination included a frail appearing elderly man with a distended abdomen, and a soft, tender, friable mass protruding from the anus. The skin was negative for any abnormal pigmentation or lesion. Significant laboratory findings included hemoglobin of 9.8 g/dL (reference range of 14 - 18 g/dL), platelet of 247 × 10³/mm³ (150 - 440 × 10³/mm³), mean corpuscular volume (MCV) of 75.7 fL (80 - 100 fL), albumin of 2.8 g/dL (3.1 - 4.5 g/dL), aspartate transaminase/alanine transaminase (AST/ALT) of 28/21 U/L (15 - 37/12 - 78 U/L), serum iron of 18 µg/dL (65 - 175 µg/dL), ferritin of 17.9 ng/mL (22 - 322 ng/mL), and iron saturation of 6.2% (20-55%).

Diagnosis

The patient had a contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT) of abdomen which showed numerous hepatic lesions, with the largest measuring 2.4 cm in diameter, a large soft tissue mass at the anorectal junction with bilateral inguinal lymphadenopathy. Colonoscopy revealed a mass at the rectum with friable mucosa, measuring about 3 cm (Fig. 1).

The result of the biopsy showed a malignant proliferation of atypical pleomorphic cells with cherry-red macronuclei and amphophilic cytoplasm containing pigment (Fig. 2). The neo-plastic cells were positive for Sox10 and S100, confirming the
diagnosis of malignant melanoma.

Treatment

Genetic testing was not done as the patient declined it. Abdominopelvic resection (APR) surgery with adjuvant chemotherapy was discussed with the patient but he opted for palliative care with no intent for surgery or chemotherapy. The patient died 5 months after diagnosis.

Discussion

PRM is a type of melanoma arising from melanocytes in the rectal mucosa, more than 4 cm from the anal verge. This constitutes the primary difference from anorectal melanoma, which develops at or near the squamocolumnar junction [6]. PRM is a rare entity and not well documented in case reports and literature reviews. Most cases are misdiagnosed as hemorrhoids, adenocarcinoma, polyp, and rectal ulcer. Diagnosis is difficult for most clinicians due to its low incidence, non-specific symptoms, lack of melanin pigmentation, and histologic similarities with other cancers like lymphoma and sarcoma [7]. Most cases reported a higher incidence of anal melanoma compared to PRM. Goldman et al [8] reported 49 cases of primary anorectal melanoma among 24,323 patients with anorectal tumors in the total Swedish population between 1970 and 1984. Of these 49 tumors, 45 (91%) were located at or near the anorectal junction, three at the anal verge (6%), and only one in the rectum (3%) approximately 8 cm from the anal orifice [7]. Brady et al reported in another study [9] that summarized the largest series of PRM in the literature at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center from 1929 to 1993. This retrospective review reported 85 patients who were considered to have primary anorectal melanoma. Seven patients (8%) had tumors that were considered primary rectal malignant melanoma, while 78 patients (92%) had tumors that arose in the anal canal or the anal margin. In our case report, our patient had PRM arising 5 cm from the anus with no other cutaneous lesion detected at the time of diagnosis.

The cause of mucosal melanoma remains unidentified. However, some reports have found the Caucasian race to be a predisposing factor. The rate of mucosal melanoma remains twice as higher in Caucasians compared to African Americans [3]. Immunosuppression whether it is due to medications or infections such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is associated with a high risk of melanoma. In a review of 619 patients who received cardiothoracic transplants, there was a 65-fold increased risk of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma and a threefold increase in the risk of malignant melanoma [10]. Two susceptibility genes, CDKN2A and CDK4, have been identified to be associated with melanoma. In familial melanomas, a mutation in the CDKN2A gene, on the short arm of chromosome 9, increases the risk of melanoma. This is a tumor suppressor gene that encodes tumor suppressor proteins p16 and p19. Mutations in this gene have been found in 25% of familial melanomas [11, 12]. In addition, BRAF mutations are frequently reported in nevi and malignant melanomas. The frequency of BRAF mutation in mucosal melanomas is low.
compared to that of primary cutaneous melanoma [13, 14].
In our patient, there was no family history of melanoma. Ge-
netic testing was not done as he declined it. PRM has a high
tendency of spreading with the most common sites for me-
tastases being inguinal lymph nodes, mesenteric lymph nodes,
hypogastric lymph nodes, para-aortic lymph nodes, liver, lung,
skin, and brain [1]. About 20% of recently diagnosed PRM
patients are positive for lymph node disease in the inguinal
region [15]. Distant metastases are identified in about 26-38%
of patients [1, 2].
Biopsy through colonoscopy or proctoscopy is the gold
standard to establish the diagnosis and staging of tumor extent.
CECT and magnetic resonance imaging aid the characteriza-
tion and extent of the tumor. A positron emission tomography
scan can be used for lesions that are indeterminate on CECT.
Histology and immunochemistry aid in the confirmation of di-
gnosis. Useful markers commonly used include S100 protein,
Sox10, HMB 45, melan A, and microphthalmia transcription
factor (MITF) [16, 17]. Our patient’s biopsy was positive for
Sox10 and S100.
PRM is staged on a clinical basis, focusing on locoregional
and distant metastasis. Stage I is a local disease involving two
categories (stage IA with a depth of 0.75 mm, stage IB with
a depth 0.75 - 1.5 mm), stage II has increased thickness with
ulceration and has two substages (stage IIA with a depth of 1.5
- 4 mm, stage IIB > 4 mm), stage III involves regional lymph
nodes, and stage IV shows distant metastatic disease [2].
There is no consensus at this moment on which surgical
approach is preferred in the treatment of malignant mela-
noma of the rectum. Traditionally, APR was recommended
due to its ability to control lymphatic spread predominantly
to mesenteric nodes [18]. Most recent studies however sug-
gest a less aggressive wide local excision (WLE). Combining
radiation therapy with WLE has shown a decreased risk of

Figure 2. (a) Submucosal melanoma (blue star) (H&E stain, × 200). (b) The proliferation of markedly atypical melanocytes with
prominent macronuclei (blue arrow) and cytoplasmic pigment (red arrow) (H&E stain, × 400). H&E: hematoxylin and eosin.
local recurrence associated with this procedure. In a study carried out at the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 54 patients who had WLE with radiotherapy between 1990 and 2008 had an excellent 5-year rate of local control with no significant improvement in survival rate [19]. APR is usually indicated for bulky tumors especially those with > 4 mm thickness, extensive tumors involving the anal sphincter that is not amenable to local excision [20]. Some studies have shown that APR is associated with increased mortality, especially in those with lymph node-positive disease. Nusrath et al [21] conducted a study on 30 patients with anorectal malignant melanoma, 15 had APR with a median survival of 13 months while five patients who had a WLE had a median survival of about 36 months. This was attributed to larger tumor size (3.5 cm) and positive nodal disease in the APR group compared to WLE. A retrospective study by Pessaux et al [18] showed no significant difference in survival between those that had APR versus WLE. This was attributed to the small sample size. WLE however was recommended for patients with easily achievable negative surgical margin and APR, for those with extensive disease involving the anal sphincter. In a meta-analysis study conducted by Smith et al [22], no oncological benefit was found between APR and WLE but recommended WLE with regular surveillance in most patients because of increased morbidity associated with APR. In some cases, surgical procedure is combined with adjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy in metastatic disease to achieve a good response. Chemotherapeutic agents used include cisplatin (CDDP), vinblastine (VB), dacarbazine (DTIC), interferon B (IFN), and interleukins (ILs)-2-8. According to a retrospective study by Kim et al [23], the majority of patients who received combination therapy with CDDP/DTIC/VB/IFN showed a major response with a median survival of 12.2 months, and only one with a complete response had survival duration of about 43 months. Our patient had a tumor size of 3 cm with distant metastasis to the liver, reflecting stage IV disease. The benefit of APR with chemotherapy was discussed with him but he declined further treatment and opted for palliative care.

Conclusion

The rarity of PRM and the limited number of patients who present early have prevented definitive trials examining the optimal treatment of curable rectal melanoma. Most patients however die irrespective of the therapy chosen due to the rapid tumor progression. Clinicians are therefore advised to carry out an appropriate investigation of any rectal mass encountered in practice.

Learning points

The takeaway point from this case is to make clinicians aware of primary rectal malignant melanoma and to consider it a differential diagnosis when evaluating patients with rectal bleeding with or without visible anal mass. PRM is an uncommon and aggressive disease that carries a poor prognosis. To enlighten readers about treatment modalities for PRM.
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