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As it is clear from the title, I shall deal with some question connected with the theory of Quantum Groups. If I remember right, Moshe did not like Quantum Groups after this notion was crystallized by Drinfeld in pure algebraic manner. However his own attraction to the deformations (as well as the pressure of authors in LMP) made him to change his mind. So when I presented the subject described below at St. Petersburg meeting on May 1998, he did not express any bad feelings. So I decided to publish it in this memorial volume.

There are several sources of my proposal. I shall give just two, one ”mathematical” and another ”physical”, as it is appropriate for a paper on Mathematical Physics.

1. In the definition of Quantum Group one uses the deformation of the Chevalley generators \( K, f, e \), whereas for the construction of the universal \( R \)-matrix one needs nonpolinomial elements like \( H = \ln K \). Explicite formulas will be reminded below. This unfortunate obstacle can be circumvented in several ways: one, à-la Lusztig is just not to use explicite formula of Drinfeld; another, followed in the most of texts on Quantum Groups (see i.e. \cite{3}), is to employ formal series in \( \ln q \). However the value of \( R \)-matrix as a genuine operator is too high and deserves more friendly attitude.

2. In the applications of Quantum Groups to Conformal Field Theory one explicitly sees, that together with the attributes of Quantum Groups (i.e. eigenvalues of Laplacians) for \( q = e^{i\pi \tau} \) there enter analogous objects, corresponding to \( \tilde{q} = e^{-i\pi / \tau} \). This modular duality is a well known ”experimental” fact, which goes without satisfactory explanation.
In the following an extension of Quantum Group will be described, which will throw some light on both topics above. Roughly speaking I propose to unite the Quantum Groups for $q$ and $\tilde{q}$ in one object having modular structure (see e.g. [4]). Thus the combination of words ”Modular double of Quantum Group” seems to be quite relevant for it.

The element $\ln K$ will have a natural definition and the expression for the universal $R$-matrix will become much more meaningful in this extension. I believe also, that it is this modular double which in fact defines the hidden symmetry in the Conformal Field Theory. There are some indication of this in the literature [5, 6, 7] and recently it was made more explicit in [8, 9]. At this moment I know well how my proposal works in all detail in the case of rank 1 $SL(2)$ group. This will be presented below. The tools for the $SL(N)$ generalizations are known [10, 11], but other serieses of simple groups are not treated yet.
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\section{Reminder on Quantum Group $SL(2)$}

I shall use an extension of $SL_q(2)$ which is Drienfeld double of its Borel part. There are four generators $K, K', e, f$ with familiar relations

\begin{align*}
K e &= q^2 e K; \quad K' e = q^{-2} e K' ; \\
K f &= q^{-2} f K; \quad K' f = q^2 f K' ; \\
e f - f e &= \frac{K - K'}{q - q^{-1}}; \quad KK' = K' K.
\end{align*}

The algebra $\mathcal{U}_q$ generated by $K, K', e, f$ over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, (so that $q$ is a complex number) has two central elements

\begin{align*}
J &= KK' ; \quad C = \frac{K - K'}{q - q^{-1}} + (q - q^{-1})^2(e f - f e).
\end{align*}

Reduction to $SL_q(2)$ is achieved if we put $J = \text{id}$, however, we shall not do it here.

The universal $R$-matrix is affiliated with the tensor square $\mathcal{U}_q \otimes \mathcal{U}_q$ and defines the property of the Hopf multiplication $\triangle$ in $\mathcal{U}_q$

\begin{align*}
\sigma \circ \triangle = R \triangle R^{-1},
\end{align*}
where $\sigma$ is a permutation $\sigma(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$. Drinfeld has given a formal expression for $R$

$$R = q^{H \otimes H'} s_q(-(q - q^{-1})^2 e \otimes f)$$  \hfill (6)

where

$$K = q^H, \quad K' = q^{H'},$$  \hfill (7)

and $s_q(w)$ is a $q$-exponent which can be written in several forms

$$s_q(w) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + q^{2n+1}w) =$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{n(n-1)} w^k}{(q - q^{-1}) \cdots (q^k - q^{-k})} =$$

$$= \exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k w^k}{k(q^k - q^{-k})}. \hfill (10)$$

The term $q$-exponent is the most appropriate for the second form. In the third form there enters the $q$-deformed dilogarithm, which was explored in particular in [12, 13]. The title $q$-exponent is strongly supported by the property, first found in [14]: let $u, v$ be a Weyl pair

$$uv = q^2 vu,$$  \hfill (11)

then

$$s_q(u)s_q(v) = s_q(u + v).$$  \hfill (12)

Let us note, that in [12] it was found, that one more property holds

$$s_q(v)s_q(u) = s_q(u + v + q^{-1}uv) = s_q(u)s_q(q^{-1}uv)s_q(v),$$  \hfill (13)

which can be called a "pentagon identity" and is a quantum deformation of the corresponding property of dilogarithm [13]. Now we see one more deficiency of the definition of the universal $R$-matrix, besides the necessity of using the log $K$. The function $s_q(w)$ behaves badly for $q$ lying on the unit circle $|q| = 1$. Indeed, for example, in the third form of $s_q(w)$ we see the small denominators. Thus the expression for the universal $R$-matrix asks for some mending.
2 The main idea

The problem of defining the log of operator appears already in a simpler example of Weyl pair $u, v$. It is easy to realize the defining relation for $u, v$ via the Heisenberg pair $P, Q$ with relation

$$[Q, P] = 2\pi i \hbar I.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Indeed, the pair

$$u = e^{\alpha P}, \quad v = e^{\beta Q}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

satisfies Weyl relations

$$uv = q^2 vu$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

with $\ln q = \frac{\pi \alpha \beta \hbar}{i}$. Thus the pair $(P, Q)$ defines $(u, v)$. However the inverse is not true, partly because the log $u$ or log $v$ are badly defined. More subtle fact is that the pair $P, Q$ defines a second Weyl pair

$$\tilde{u} = e^{\tilde{\alpha} P}, \quad \tilde{v} = e^{\tilde{\beta} Q}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

with a different phase $\tilde{q}$, $\ln \tilde{q} = \frac{\pi \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\beta} \hbar}{i}$, which commutes with the first pair if

$$\alpha \tilde{\beta} = \hbar, \quad \tilde{\alpha} \beta = \hbar,$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

so that in particular

$$\alpha \beta = \frac{\hbar^2}{\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\beta}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

What is less trivial is the fact that together the commuting pairs $(u, v)$ and $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ define naturally $P$ and $Q$ for generic $q$. This fact was discussed explicitly in [15], but of course could be traced to earlier literature, in particular to A. Connes monograph on noncommutative geometry [16] and paper [17].

We see more coincisely, that the algebra $\mathcal{B}$ generated by $P, Q$ is factored into the product

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}_q \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{q}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)
of commuting factors, generated by \((u,v)\) and \((\tilde{u},\tilde{v})\) correspondingly. I used the term “factor” with full algebraic meaning: indeed, neither \(A_q\) nor \(A_{\tilde{q}}\) have nontrivial center. However, I did not introduce any \(*\)-structure, so the connection with v-Neumann theory (see e.g. [16]) is incomplete. In particular, the last formula must contain some closure. In other words, the use of tensor product in this formula is somewhat loose. Indeed, \(A_q\) and \(A_{\tilde{q}}\) are factors of the type II\(_1\) for generic \(q\) because they are infinite dimensional but allow for the trace

\[
\text{tr} \left( \sum a_{mn} u^m v^n \right) = a_{00},
\]

which is equal to 1 for the unit operator. On the other hand, \(B\) is a factor I\(_\infty\) as it can be realized as algebra of all operators in \(L_2(\mathbb{R})\).

I hope that now the idea what to do in Quantum Group case is clear — to define the log \(K\) one is to extend the algebra \(A_q\), adding to it some dual generators. The definition of “dual” is clear for the Weyl type operators

\[
\tilde{K} = (K)^{1/\tau}
\]

if we put \(q = e^{i\pi\tau}\). However not all generators of Quantum Group are of Weyl type. So we are to seek for the new set of generators which have this property. Fortunately, the theory of integrable models, which previously led to main relation of Quantum Group [18], produces also this relevant set of generators. Indeed, the Quantum Group generators appeared first as the elements of the Lax operator of XXZ model. The lattice Sine-Gordon model introduced in [19] belongs to this class (see e.g. [20]) and in its turn naturally uses the Weyl-type generators. In the next section we shall give corresponding formulas in somewhat purified form.

3 The explicite construction

Consider the algebra \(C\) generated by four generators \(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\), which is convenient to label by index \(n \in \mathbb{Z}_4\), so that

\[
w_{n+4} = w_n.
\]

We impose Weyl-type relations on the nearest neighbours

\[
w_n w_{n+1} = q^2 w_{n+1} w_n,
\]
whereas
\[ w_n w_m = w_m w_n \quad |m - n| > 1. \]  
(25)

Algebra \( C_q \) (supplied by label \( q \)) has two central elements
\[ Z_1 = w_1 w_3; \quad Z_2 = w_2 w_4. \]  
(26)

It is a simple exercise to check that
\[ e = i \frac{w_1 + w_2}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad f = i \frac{w_3 + w_4}{q - q^{-1}}, \]  
(27)
\[ K = q^{-1} w_2 w_3, \quad K' = q^{-1} w_1 w_4 \]  
(28)
satisfy the defining relation of the Quantum Group. The relation between the central elements is as follows
\[ J = Z_1 Z_2; \quad C = Z_1 + Z_2 \]  
(29)
and so \( C_q \) is a double cover of \( A_q \).

Following the reasoning of the previous section I introduce a second algebra \( C\tilde{q} \) with generators
\[ \tilde{w}_n = w_n^{\frac{1}{\tau}}. \]  
(30)

Both can be described in terms of the Heisenberg type generators \( p_n \) with relations\(^\dag\)
\[ [p_n, p_{n+1}] = -2\pi iI \]  
(31)
if we put
\[ w_n = e^{b p_n}, \quad \tilde{w}_n = e^{p_n / b}, \]  
(32)
where
\[ q = e^{\pi b^2}, \quad \tau = b^2. \]  
(33)

In particular, we see that
\[ K = e^{b(p_2 + p_3)}, \quad K' = e^{b(p_1 + p_4)}, \]  
(34)
\[ \tilde{K} = e^{\frac{(p_2 + p_3)}{\tau}}, \quad \tilde{K}' = e^{\frac{(p_1 + p_4)}{\tau}}. \]  
(35)

\(^\dag\)We put now the Planck constant \( \hbar \) equal to 1.
and the first factor in the universal $R$-matrix is expressed as
\[
q^{-\frac{\mu \otimes \mu'}{2}} = e^{\pi i (p_2 + p_3) \otimes (p_1 + p_4)} = q^{-\frac{\mu \otimes \mu'}{2}}
\] (36)
and does not depend on $q$, serving both quantum groups $\mathcal{A}_q$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{q}}$.

Let us turn now to the second factor in the universal $R$-matrix. We have
\[
s_q(- (q - q^{-1})^2 e \otimes f) = s_q((w_1 + w_2) \otimes (w_3 + w_4)) =
\] (37)
— using Schutzenberger relation —
\[
= s_q(w_1 \otimes w_3)s_q(w_1 \otimes w_4)s_q(w_2 \otimes w_3)s_q(w_2 \otimes w_4),
\] (38)
so that only Weyl-type combination enter here. Now I use the observation from [21, 15]: consider the function
\[
\psi(p) = \exp \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ip\xi/\pi} \, d\xi}{\sinh b\xi \sinh \xi/b},
\] (39)
where the singularity at $\xi = 0$ in the integral is circled from above. It is easy to see that
\[
\psi(p) = \frac{s_q(w)}{s_q(\tilde{w})},
\] (40)
where $w = e^{bp}$, $\tilde{w} = e^{p/b}$. Thus the integral $\psi(p)$ unites both $q$-exponents $s_q(w)$ and $s_{\tilde{q}}(\tilde{w})$ dual to each other. On the other hand it definitely has no their deficiencies, in particular, it does not suffer from the problem of the small denominators. The pentagon relation for it takes the form
\[
\psi(P)\psi(Q) = \psi(Q)\psi(P + Q)\psi(P)
\] (41)
if
\[
[P, Q] = -2\pi i I.
\] (42)

Function $\psi(p)$ was used extensively in [15, 21] and later by Kashaev in his new proposal for the knot invariants [22] and quantizing of the Teichmüller space [23], which was done also independently by Chekhov and Fock [24].

The relation of $\psi(p)$ to $q$-exponents makes our proposal quite clear: consider the algebra
\[
\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_q \otimes \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{q}},
\] (43)
generated by the generators $w_n, \tilde{w}_n, n = 1, \ldots, 4$. Quantum groups $U_q$ and $U_{\tilde{q}}$ are naturally imbedded into $D$ by means of defining relations between Chevalley generators and $w$-s. Algebra $D$ can also be considered as being generated by the generators $p_n, n = 1, \ldots, 4$.

The element $R$ in $D \otimes D$

$$R = \exp \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2i} (p_2 + p_3) \otimes (p_1 + p_4) \right\} \psi(p_{13}) \psi(p_{14}) \psi(p_{23}) \psi(p_{24}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

where

$$p_{ik} = p_i \otimes I + I \otimes p_k$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

plays the role of the universal $R$-matrix for both $U_q$ and $U_{\tilde{q}}$. The Yang-Baxter relation

$$R_{12} R_{13} R_{23} = R_{23} R_{13} R_{12}$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

is an easy consequence of the pentagon relation, as was shown by R. Kashaev and A. Volkov [11]. They also have obtained corresponding construction for the $SL_q(N)$ case.

There is a natural way to introduce the $\ast$-structure into $D$: one is to consider $p_n$ to be selfadjoint

$$p_n^* = p_n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

Corresponding formula in terms of $w$ looks as follows

$$w^* = w^{b/b} \quad \tilde{w}^* = \tilde{w}^{b/b}$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

and does not respect the tensor structure of $D$ in general.

However there are several particular cases when $\ast$-involution can be related to this structure:

1. $\tau > 0$, so that $b$ is real. The $\ast$ takes the form

$$w^* = w; \quad \tilde{w}^* = \tilde{w}$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)

and corresponds to $SL_q(2, \mathbb{R})$ reduction.

2. $\tau < 0$, so that $b$ is imaginary and

$$w^* = w^{-1}; \quad \tilde{w}^* = \tilde{w}^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (50)
which corresponds to the $SU_q(2)$ reduction.

3. $\tau = e^{i\theta}$, so that $\tau = e^{i\theta/2} = \bar{b}$. The involution takes form

$$w^* = \bar{w}$$

and so interchanges the factors in the modular double.

For all three cases the parameter of the central extension of the class mapping group

$$C = 1 + 6 (\tau + 1/\tau + 2) = 1 + 6 (b + 1/b)^2$$

is real. The values of $C$ are $C \geq 25$, $C \leq 1$ and $1 \leq C \leq 25$ correspondingly for the case 1, 2 and 3. The relevance of this $*$ operation to Conformal Field Theory is discussed in [9].

In the view of modular duality relation $\tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$ it is natural to call $D$ modular double.

I conclude by proposing several problems:

1. Give the generalization of our construction to other serieses of the Quantum Groups.
2. Describe the coproduct in $U_q$ in terms of $w$-generators.
3. Find a natural definition of the closure entering formal tensor products like those for algebras $B$ or $D$.

It is my pleasure to acknowledge the elucidating discussions with A. Connes, A. Volkov and A. Vershik.
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