Epidemiological differences of lower urinary tract symptoms among female subpopulations and group level interventions
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: 1) To study the risk factor profiles of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) among adolescent girls, housewives and working women and its socioeconomic and quality of life losses. 2) To undertake risk factor modifications using the adolescent girls.

Design and Setting: Cross-sectional descriptive study followed by educational intervention.

Statistical Methods: Cluster sampling, Proportions, confidence intervals, Chi square and t-Tests and Logistic regression.

Materials and Methods: House to house survey was done in two villages and one urban ward. Seventy-five housewives, 75 working women and 180 adolescent girls were asked about the risk factors and losses due to LUTS. Three teams of adolescent girls were utilized to bring about behavioral modifications. Impact was measured through user perspectives obtained from the participants.

Results: Risk factors, social, economic and quality of life losses were different among the three female populations. Overall prevalence of LUTS among the three groups is 61(18.5%). Improper anal washing technique, malnutrition, presence of vaginal discharge, use of unsanitary menstrual pads, pinworm infestation and use of bad toilets were the significant causes among girls. Presence of sexually transmitted diseases was a contributing factor among housewives and working women. Prolonged sitting the posture was also contributing to LUTS among working women. Seventy-four per cent of beneficiaries expressed that intervention is useful.

Conclusions: The causes for LUTS and their consequences were differing among the three female subpopulations. Specific group level interventions using trained girls were successful.
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INTRODUCTION

Several studies\cite{1-5} revealed that Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS) are common among females and more than in men.\cite{4} The LUTS are known to cause socioeconomic as well as quality of life losses. Lowe NK in his study showed that the symptoms of vaginitis and LUTS affected military women’s quality of life.\cite{6} The importance of assessment of quality of life losses was stressed by Espuna Pons in his study on LUTS.\cite{7} Broseta Rico observed differences in the profiles of LUTS between menopausal and young women.\cite{8} In this study, an attempt has been made to find out the epidemiological profiles of LUTS among adolescent girls, housewives and working women with an intention to modify their negative risk factors/behaviors. Socioeconomic and quality of life losses were also elicited. Educational interventions were conducted for the girls, housewives and working women based on their risk factors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study included the survey and intervention phases. House to house survey was conducted in three selected clusters: two villages of Mothe and Anthergam and one urban ward of Kattarampur in Karimnagar district. One assistant professor from the department of Community medicine with the help of two lady doctors conducted the survey. These lady doctors were specially trained in the department for two days regarding interviewing the participants. The lady doctors interrogated 180 adolescent girls, 75 housewives and 75 working women. Questionnaires (see appendix) containing open-ended questions about....
age, occupation, literacy status, LUTS, type of the toilets used, anal washing technique after defecation, unsanitary pad usage during menses, history of pinworm infestation, presence of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), usage of intrauterine contraceptive device (IUCD), loss of family life, loss of sexual life, loss of public relationships, loss of leisure opportunities, working hours, loss of physical independence, loss of wages, loss of leaves, reprimands from superiors or teachers etc were filled by the lady doctors. It was tested and restested in 10% of the study population and deficiencies were rectified. Survey was completed in 15 days.

- The following case definitions were utilized for the study.
  a) LUTS considered were burning during micturition; Strangury; Pain during micturition; Increased Frequency of micturition; Urgency for micturition and Dull lower abdominal pain.[9]
  b) Anal Washing technique: Direction from front to back (anus to behind) was considered as a right technique. Washing in the direction from anus to genitals was considered as wrong technique as there was a chance of soiling the genitals with feces and scope for urinary tract infection (UTI).
  c) Malnutrition was defined as body mass index less than 19.
  d) Syndromic approach of diagnosing STD under National AIDS Control Programme was utilized for the study.
  E) Prolonged sitting was continuous sitting for more than 6 hours.

- Data was analyzed for all the variables of LUTS using SPSS version 16. Proportions, confidence intervals and X2 test and t-Tests were calculated. Contribution of the risk factors causing LUTS among females was analyzed using logistic regression models.

In the intervention phase, 60 adolescent school girls were selected to participate in the intervention. Girls were selected as they will have free time for follow-up. They were divided into three action teams according to their target group: adolescent girls’ team, housewives team and working women’s team. They were trained in the department using audiovisual aids for 10 days. This training was imparted in local vernacular using the lady doctors, faculty and health educators of the department. Teaching topics were selected based on the results of risk factors obtained through analysis. Training was conducted in two sessions: one general session for three days for all the 60 girls about the etiology, prevalence, prevention and management of LUTS in general. Later, the specific sessions for seven days, targeting each group with main emphasis on the risk factors found by analysis among each group were taken up. Topics on menstrual hygiene, sexually transmitted infections, anal washing technique, importance of sterile pad usage, good nutrition, care while using intrauterine devices etc were selected for behavioral modification. Adolescent girls were mainly targeted for menstrual hygiene improvement. In case of housewives, the stress was given on preventing STDs and safe sex practices. Working women were told about prevention of the occupational hazards. These points were stressed during training: Why do women have LUTS more often than men? What can I do if I have frequent LUTS? How serious are the LUTS? The following advices on preventing LUTS were given: drinking plenty of water to flush out bacteria, not holding urine, urinating when one feels to urinate, wiping from front to back after bowel movements, urinating after having sex, using enough lubricant during sex, avoiding using diaphragm if LUTS was present etc.

Pre-testing and post-testing was done to know the effect of training imparted. Impact of training to action teams was found significant in all the three teams (t_{df19}=2.09, P<0.05 for girls’ motivating team, t_{df19}=4.262, P< 0.05 for housewives’ motivating team and t_{df19}=4.055, P<0.05 for working women motivating team). The trained girls were advised to motivate all the females in that group (not just the UTI sufferers) by persistent persuasive techniques. The idea was to carry out disease prevention for the whole group. They did it for a period of three months at the rate of 2 hours per week. The impact was evaluated through user perspectives of all the three groups. After three months of intervention, the adolescent girls, housewives and working women were asked to give their own opinion about the effects of intervention. They were asked to grade it as good, fair or poor according to their own impressions. Follow-up was done by the girls and the lady doctors. About 50% of them are still continuing the lifestyle modifications.

**RESULTS**

Overall prevalence of LUTS in the study population was 61(18.5%). It is 23(13%), 22(29%) and 16(21%) among adolescent girls, housewives and working women respectively [Table 1]. As a whole, it is 21% in the poor, almost 79% in middle class and rare in high-class females. LUTS profile in adolescent girls: Prevalence of LUTS was found to be more (17.8%) in girls who had attained menarche than those who hadn’t (1.6%) (x2=4.09, df1, CI=95%, P<0.05). The prevalence of LUTS was significantly more in those girls using unsanitary pads during menstruation, practicing improper anal washing technique, having vaginal discharge, blood during menses, poor bladder control, difficulty in starting to urinate, feeling of incomplete evacuation, urgency, passing urine on standing and sitting, holding urine, difficulty in passing urine, frequency of urination, palpitation in the pelvis.

**Table 1: Prevalence of lower urinary tract symptoms among study population**

| Population             | LUTS Present (%) | LUTS Absent (%) |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Adolescent girls N = 180 | 23(13)           | 157(87)         |
| Housewives N = 75      | 22 (29)          | 53 (71)         |
| Working women N = 75   | 16(21)           | 59(79)          |
| Total = 330            | 61(18.5)         | 269 (81.5)      |

(CI =95%) X2_{in} = 19.93, P<0.001
malnourished, having pinworms in stools and using bad toilets [Table 2]. Absence from classes of their schools, losing leisure opportunities and reprimands from teachers and parents were the main losses found in this adolescent girls’ group. Twelve girls were absent for classes in the school. Eight expressed work loss while six complained of financial difficulties. Six girls are working as housemaids in the houses and as daily laborers. Their earnings are considered for calculating economic losses. LUTS prevalence among housewives: prevalence was more in the age group of 20-35 years, 14(63%) and declined with age to 9%. Mean duration of suffering from LUTS among housewives was one to five years. LUTS were seen more in middle class housewives. STDs, unsanitary pads’ usage, poor anal wash, vaginal discharge and malnutrition were observed as the significant causes [Table 3]. Family problems, loss of sexual life, loss of leisure opportunities, financial burden, and poor attention to children were the common losses experienced by housewives. LUTS prevalence among working women: using bad toilets, poor anal wash, STDs, prolonged sitting and vaginal discharge were the main reasons [Table 4]. Occupations with too much of sitting are more affected (42%). LUTS symptomatology among housewives and working women showed a prevalence of 14 and 9%, respectively. The common losses among the working women were the financial burden, poor attention to children and losses from sexual life. Economic losses were calculated in this group and were the most affected (52%).

### Table 2: Significant risk factors for lower urinary tract symptoms among girls

| Significant risk factors for girls | LUTS present | LUTS absent | P value |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| a) Anal washing technique         |              |             |         |
| Proper                            | 8            | 24 = 32     | χ²=5.183, P<0.05 |
| Improper                          | 15           | 133 = 148   |         |
| Total                             | 23           | 158 = 180   |         |
| b) Menstrual pad used             |              |             |         |
| Sanitary                          | 5            | 112 = 117   | χ²=21.69, P<0.001 |
| Unsanitary                        | 18           | 45 = 63     |         |
| Total                             | 23           | 157 = 180   |         |
| c) Vaginal discharge              |              |             |         |
| Present                           | 14           | 8 = 22      | χ²=6.138, P<0.02 |
| Absent                            | 9            | 139 = 148   |         |
| Total                             | 23           | 157 = 180   |         |
| d) Pinworms                       |              |             |         |
| Present                           | 7            | 16 = 23     | χ²=7.37, P<0.01 |
| Absent                            | 16           | 141 = 157   |         |
| Total                             | 23           | 157 = 180   |         |
| e) Nutritional status             |              |             |         |
| >19 BMI                           | 9            | 107 = 116   | χ²=7.37, P<0.01 |
| <19 BMI                           | 14           | 50 = 64     |         |
| Total                             | 23           | 157 = 180   |         |
| f) Type of toilets                |              |             |         |
| Good toilets                      | 7            | 87 = 94     | χ²=50.18, P<0.001 |
| Bad toilets                       | 16           | 70 = 86     |         |
| Total                             | 23           | 157 = 180   |         |

LUTS: Lower urinary tract symptoms

### Table 3: Significant risk factors for LUTS among housewives

| Significant risk factors for housewives | LUTS present | LUTS absent | P value |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| a) Anal washing technique              |              |             |         |
| Proper                                 | 6            | 41 = 47     | χ²=16.66, P<0.001 |
| Improper                               | 16           | 12 = 28     | P<0.001 |
| Total                                  | 22           | 53 = 75     |         |
| b) Menstrual pad used                  |              |             |         |
| Sanitary                               | 13           | 31 = 44     | χ²=7.65, P<0.01 |
| Unsanitary                             | 9            | 22 = 31     | P<0.01  |
| Total                                  | 22           | 53 = 75     |         |
| c) Vaginal discharge                   |              |             |         |
| Present                                | 15           | 12 = 27     | χ²=13.99, P<0.01 |
| Absent                                 | 7            | 41 = 48     | P<0.01  |
| Total                                  | 22           | 53 = 75     |         |
| e) Nutritional status                  |              |             |         |
| >19 BMI                                | 7            | 36 = 43     | χ²=8.28, P<0.01 |
| <19 BMI                                | 15           | 17 = 32     | P<0.01  |
| Total                                  | 22           | 53 = 75     |         |
| h) Sexually transmitted diseases       |              |             |         |
| Present                                | 9            | 5 = 14      | χ²=10.14, P<0.01 |
| Absent                                 | 13           | 48 = 61     | P<0.01  |
| Total                                  | 22           | 53 = 75     |         |

LUTS: Lower urinary tract symptoms

### Table 4: Risk factors for LUTS among working women

| Significant risk factors for working women | LUTS present | LUTS absent | P value |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| a) Anal washing technique                  |              |             |         |
| Proper                                     | 6            | 51 = 57     | χ²=17.39, P<0.001 |
| Improper                                   | 10           | 8 = 18      | P<0.001 |
| Total                                      | 16           | 59 = 75     |         |
| b) Vaginal discharge                       |              |             |         |
| Present                                    | 6            | 6 = 12      | χ²=6.99, P<0.01 |
| Absent                                     | 10           | 53 = 63     | P<0.01  |
| Total                                      | 16           | 59 = 75     |         |
| c) Prolonged sitting                       |              |             |         |
| Present                                    | 6            | 6 = 12      | χ²=6.99, P<0.01 |
| Absent                                     | 10           | 53 = 63     | P<0.01  |
| Total                                      | 16           | 59 = 75     |         |
| d) Type of Toilets                         |              |             |         |
| Good toilets                               | 8            | 12 = 20     | χ²=5.66, P<0.001 |
| Bad toilets                                | 8            | 47 = 55     | P<0.01  |
| Total                                      | 16           | 59 = 75     |         |
| f) Sexually transmitted diseases           |              |             |         |
| Present                                    | 7            | 7 = 14      | χ²=8.42, P<0.01 |
| Absent                                     | 9            | 52 = 61     | P<0.01  |
| Total                                      | 16           | 59 = 75     |         |

LUTS: Lower urinary tract symptoms
were more 14 (87.5%) in the first 10 years of employment and declined later. While 14 working women (87.5%) were suffering in the first 10 years of employment, only two (12.5%) were suffering later. Sickness absenteeism, loss of leisure opportunities, loss of sexual life, loss of wages and leaves, reprimands from superiors, and physical immobility were the main losses noticed among this group [Tables 5].

Economic losses were: cumulative work days lost per year -110 days per year in this group. Total cumulative earnings lost by this group were 24500 rupees/year; total cumulative leaves lost by the group are 61 days in this year. LUTS were the main losses noticed among this group [Tables 5].

**DISCUSSION**

Overall prevalence of LUTS of 18.5% in this study is considerable but almost equal to other Indian studies.10-11 The reasons for this high prevalence in this study appear to be due to improper anal washing technique, using unsanitary pads during menses, malnutrition, vaginal discharge and pinworm infestation. Similar results were also revealed by other studies.1,5,12 But the factors operating were different for the girls, housewives and working women. Unsanitary pads usage, improper anal washing and malnutrition were the main causes among girls. STDs, poor anal wash, unsanitary menstrual pads and malnutrition are the common ones among housewives. Working women were suffering due to prolonged sitting for more than 6 h, using bad toilets and IUCD insertion. Lazy bladder syndrome may be the reason among women with prolonged sitting occupations.

Lowe6 and Espuña Pons M7 tried to assess quality of life led by patients suffering from LUTS. These losses among the three groups were also dissimilar in this study. Girls’ major losses were sickness absenteeism and reprimands from teachers while the housewives lost family life and faced family and financial problems. The working women lost leisure time enjoyment, wages and leaves. Economic losses were considerable among the sufferers here as seen in a German study by Vonberg.13 Broseta Rico also observed similar difference in the profiles of LUTS, but between young women and menopausal women.6,14 As different factors were operating in these three groups, group-specific strategies for each group were used in this study. Singh MM utilized health workers to prevent LUTS.1 As different factors were operating in these three groups, group-specific strategies for each group were used in this study. Singh MM utilized health workers to prevent LUTS.1 MM utilized health workers to prevent LUTS.1 MM utilized health workers to prevent LUTS.1

**Table 5: Socioeconomic and quality of life losses due to lower urinary tract symptoms**

| Losses complained                                  | Girls (%) N=23 | Housewives (%) N=22 | Working women (%) N=16 | Total (%) N=61 |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Personal relationships                            | 5(21.7)        | 11(50)              | 12(75)                 | 28(46)        |
| Social integration                                | 7(30.4)        | 13(59)              | 10(62.5)               | 30(49)        |
| Interfamilial relationships                       | 5(21.7)        | 8(36)               | 12(75)                 | 25(40)        |
| Leisure opportunities                             | 10(43.5)       | 16(72)              | 13(81)                 | 39(64)        |
| Reprimand from parents/superiors/family members   | 11(47.8)       | 14(63)              | 10(62.5)               | 35(57)        |
| Loss of wages                                     | 6(26)          | 7(32)               | 11(68.75)              | 24(39)        |
| Loss of leaves/Sickness absenteeism               | 12(52)         | 6(27)               | 13(81)                 | 31(51)        |
| Work loss                                         | 8(34.8)        | 8(36)               | 12(75)                 | 28(45)        |
| Financial difficulties                            | 6(26)          | 16(72)              | 14(87.5)               | 36(59)        |
| Lack of Positive feeling                          | 5(22)          | 12(54.5)            | 10(62.5)               | 27            |
| Lack of General adaptation                        | 7(30)          | 14(63.6)            | 12(75)                 | 33            |
| Lack of Sexual satisfaction                       | 0              | 12(54.5)            | 11(68.8)               | 23            |
| Loss of Life chances                              | 9(39)          | 8(36)               | 13(81)                 | 30            |
| Lack of Spiritual health                          | 0              | 15(68)              | 11(68.8)               | 26            |

\(X^2\) = 17.45, \(P<0.05\), quality of life losses are significant.
was not studied in this study. This might be the reason for the difference between the young women and menopausal women in Broseta’s study. More elaborate study on cost-effective analysis of LUTS is warranted.

To conclude, the three female groups were suffering from LUTS due to different reasons. An educational intervention through adolescent girls to bring about the reduction in risk factors was successful. This group-specific approach yielded better results.
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APPENDIX-1

LUTS questionnaire
Epidemiological differences of LUTS among female subpopulations

PART - A (General Information)

Name: ________________________________ Age / ___________________________________________________
Address: _________________________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________________________
Height: ________cm  Weight: ______kg; BMI ________

Religion: Hindu / Muslim / Others (Specify)
Monthly income (Rs) ____________
Educational status: _illiterate /primary/secondary/higher/professional (tick)
Occupation of father/husband if not working: ____________
Occupation details if working:
• Total service: ______Months / years
• Day shift / Night shift / Others (Specify)
• Type of work: Professional / Technical / Unskilled / Others (Specify)
Marital status: Married / Unmarried / Divorce
Duration of married life: _________________________
Social class: - Upper/middle/lower

PART-B) Case de

Are you having any of these problems?
1. Burning during micturition : Yes / No
   If yes, duration in days : ____________
2. Strangury / Pain during micturition : Yes / No
   If Yes, duration in days : ____________
3. Increased Frequency of micturition (No. of times per day): ____________

Avasarala et al.: Risk factors for lower urinary tract symptoms
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4. Urgency for micturition: yes/no
5. History of dull lower abdominal pain: Yes/No
   1. If Yes, Frequency/month _________
   2. Periodicity of LUTS: Periodic/Non-periodic
   3. Since how many years you are suffering from the above LUTS: 2/2-5/5-10/10+
   4. How many episodes per year on average, you are suffering: 1/2/3/4/5/5+
      Number of days per each episode: <3/3-5/5-10/10+

PART C. Causes of LUTS (Tick)

1. Personal Hygiene (Tick the answer):
   - Cleaning the genital area with: Water/Paper/Others (Specify)
   - Anal washing technique:
     Improper (anus to genitals direction and up and down direction) /
     Proper (anus to behind)
   - Using washed undergarments daily: Yes/No
   - History of improper anal wash after toilet in childhood or at present / Unsanitary pads usage
2. Menstrual History:
   - No. of days of menstruation: _________
   - Types of sanitary pads used: Cloth/Sanitary napkins/unsterile/others (Specify)
   - Is the diaper changed daily: Yes/No
3. Any other associated infections present?
   - Sexually transmitted diseases (tick) Syphilis/gonorrhea/chancroid/others (use syndromic approach for diagnosis)
   - Worms in stools: Yes/No
   - Any systemic illness: Yes/No
4. Relevant local cultural practices and customs:
   - Dietary practices:
     1. ___________________________
     2. ___________________________
     3. ___________________________
   - Any customs or superstitions: Yes/No

PART – E (Sickness absenteeism and economic losses Measurement)

Sickness absenteeism
- Total work days lost per year on average:
- Total number of work hours lost on average, due to UTI: ___________________
- Total earnings lost due to UTI as stated by the individual: ___________________
- Number of leaves lost due to UTI in case of working women: ___________
- Suffering: Minimum/Tolerable/Intolerable/Disgusting/Life miserable (Tick)

F) Quality of life with LUTS as stated by the individual

| Facet of life         | Poor | Average | Good |
|-----------------------|------|---------|------|
| Positive feeling      |      |         |      |
| General adaptation    |      |         |      |
| Work satisfaction     |      |         |      |
| Sexual satisfaction   |      |         |      |
| Personal relationships|      |         |      |
| Social integration    |      |         |      |
| Financial capability  |      |         |      |
| Life chances          |      |         |      |
| Interfamilial relations|     |         |      |
| Leisure opportunities |      |         |      |
| Spiritual health      |      |         |      |