New scaling on the gradient method
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Abstract. Motivated by the idea of Barzilai and Borwein (BB) method, we introduce a concept of approximately optimal stepsize and present a new gradient method with approximately optimal stepsize for unconstrained optimization. We construct a new quadratic approximation model to generate an approximately optimal stepsize. We then use the two BB stepsizes to truncate it and treat the resulted approximately optimal stepsize as the new stepsize for gradient method. Moreover, for the nonconvex case, we also design two approximate models to generate approximately optimal stepsize for gradient method. We analyze the convergence of the proposed method. The numerical results show that the proposed method is very promising.

1. Introduction
Consider the following unconstrained optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x),$$

where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable and its gradient is denoted by $g$.

Throughout this paper, $f_k = f(x_k)$, $g_k = g(x_k)$ and $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the Euclidean norm.

The gradient method takes the form:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k g_k,$$

where $\alpha_k$ is the stepsize. It is accepted widely that the steepest descent method, where the stepsize is determined by

$$\alpha_k = \arg \min_{\alpha > 0} f(x_k - \alpha g_k),$$

is badly affected by ill conditioning and thus converges very slowly. Since the BB method [1] was proposed by Barzilai and Borwein in 1988, the interest for the gradient method has been renewed. The BB method is in essence a gradient method, the stepsize of which is given by

$$\alpha_k^{BB} = \frac{\| s_{k-1} \|^2}{s_{k-1}^T y_{k-1}} \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_k^{BB} = \frac{s_{k-1}^T y_{k-1}}{\| y_{k-1} \|^2},$$
where \( s_{k-1} = x_k - x_{k-1} \) and \( y_{k-1} = g_k - g_{k-1} \).

Due to its simplicity, numerical efficiency and low storage, the BB method has enjoyed great developments in the past decades [2,3]. Raydan [4] extended the BB method to unconstrained optimization by incorporating the nonmonotone line search (GLL line search) [5], and the numerical results in [4] showed that the BB method was competitive to several famous conjugate gradient algorithms. Many BB-like method has been developed [6-9].

Since the stepsize \( \alpha_{k}^{BB} \) is not efficient for gradient method, we introduce a class of stepsize called approximately optimal stepsize [10] for gradient method.

**Definition 1** Suppose that \( f \) is continuously differentiable and \( \phi_{k}(\alpha) \) is an approximate model of \( f(x_{k-1} - \alpha g_{k-1}) \). A positive constant \( \alpha^{*} \) is called **approximately optimal stepsize** associated to \( \phi_{k}(\alpha) \) for gradient method, if 
\[
\alpha^{*} = \arg \min_{\alpha > 0} \phi_{k}(\alpha).
\]

It is clear that the effectiveness of approximately optimal stepsize will rely on the approximate mode \( \phi_{k}(\alpha) \) greatly. Can one develop more suitable approximate model to generate efficient approximately optimal stepsize? The is the purpose of the paper.

In this paper, we present a efficient gradient method with approximately optimal stepsize for unconstrained optimization. If \( s_{k-1}^{T}y_{k-1} > 0 \), we construct a new quadratic approximate model to generate an approximately optimal stepsize, and use the two well-known BB stepsize to truncate it and treat the resulted approximately optimal stepsize as a new stepsize for gradient method. Otherwise, we also design two new approximate models to derive two efficient approximately optimal stepsize for gradient method. We also analyze the global convergence of the proposed method. We illustrate some numerical results which show that the proposed method is not only superior to the BB method and the SBB4 method [9] but also is competitive to two well-know software CG_DESCENT (5.3) [11] and CGOPT [12] for the given test problem set.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we exploit some different approximate models to generate some approximately optimal stepsize for gradient method. In Section 3, we present an efficient gradient method with approximately optimal stepsize for unconstrained optimization, and prove the convergence of the proposed method. In Section 4, we do some numerical experiments to examine the effectiveness of the proposed method. Conclusions are given in the last section.

### 2. Derivation of Approximately Optimal Stepsize

In this section we develop several approximate models to generate some approximately optimal stepsize for gradient method.

**Case 1** \( s_{k-1}^{T}y_{k-1} > 0 \)

Consider the following quadratic model:
\[
\phi_{k}^{*}(\alpha) = f(x_{k-1}) - \alpha \| g_{k-1} \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2} g_{k-1}^{T} B_{k} g_{k-1},
\]
where \( B_{k} \) is a symmetric and positive definite approximation to the Hessian matrix. Taking care of computational cost, storage cost and approximate effect, \( B_{k} \) is generated by imposing the BFGS formula [13] on a scalar matrix \( \lambda_{k} I \), where \( \lambda_{k} > 0 \). Clearly, the scalar \( \lambda_{k} \) will have an important influence on the effectiveness of approximately optimal stepsize. Based on the idea of the BB method, we use the combination of \( \frac{1}{\alpha_{k}^{BB}} I \) and \( \frac{1}{\alpha_{k}^{BB}} I \):
\[
D_{k} = \left[ (1-t_{k}) \frac{s_{k-1}^{T}y_{k-1}}{\| s_{k-1} \|^2} + t_{k} \frac{\| y_{k-1} \|^2}{s_{k-1}^{T}y_{k-1}} \right] I,
\]
to approximate the Hessian matrix, where \( t_k \in [0,1] \). Clearly, the choice of \( t_k \) is key to the approximate effect of the Hessian matrix. In this paper, we determine \( t_k \) as

\[
  t_k = \begin{cases} 
    \frac{(s^T s_{k-1} y_{k-1})^2}{\| s_{k-1} \|^2 \| y_{k-1} \|^2}, & \text{if } \| g_k \|^2 \leq \xi, \\
    \frac{s^T s_{k-1} y_{k-1}}{\| s_{k-1} \| \| y_{k-1} \|}, & \text{otherwise},
  \end{cases}
\]

where \( \xi > 0 \).

It is well-known that the secant equation \( B_k s_{k-1} = y_{k-1} \) is the core of quasi-Newton methods. However, the standard secant equation is not true for the \( D_k \) generally. It is accepted that the scalar matrix \( D_k \) is too simple to approximate the Hessian matrix very well. Therefore, in order to allow \( D_k \) to satisfy the secant equation, we update \( D_k \) by the BFGS update formula

\[
  B_k = D_k - \frac{D_k s^T_{k-1} D_k s_{k-1} - s^T_{k-1} D_k y_{k-1}}{s^T_{k-1} s_{k-1}} + \frac{y^T_{k-1} y_{k-1}}{s^T_{k-1} s_{k-1}}.
\]

It is clear that if \( s^T_{k-1} y_{k-1} > 0 \), then \( B_k \) symmetric and positive definite and satisfy the secant equation.

Imposing \( \frac{d\phi}{d\alpha} = 0 \), by the positive definiteness of \( B_k \) we obtain the approximately optimal stepsize:

\[
  \alpha_k^{AOS(1)} = \min \left\{ \alpha_k^{BB}, \alpha_k^{BB} \right\}.
\]

It is observed that the bound \( [\alpha_k^{BB}, \alpha_k^{BB}] \) for the approximately optimal stepsize \( \alpha_k^{AOS(1)} \) is very preferable in the numerical experiments. Together with the success of the BB stepsizes \( \alpha_k^{BB} \) and \( \alpha_k^{BB} \), it is reasonable to impose the bound \( [\alpha_k^{BB}, \alpha_k^{BB}] \) on the approximately optimal stepsize \( \alpha_k^{AOS(1)} \). As a result, in practice we take the truncated form of the approximately optimal stepsize \( \alpha_k^{AOS(1)} \):

\[
  \alpha_k^{AOS(1)} = \min \left\{ \alpha_k^{BB}, \max \left\{ \alpha_k^{BB}, \alpha_k^{AOS(1)} \right\} \right\}
\]

as the new stepsize for gradient method.

**Case II** \( s^T_{k-1} y_{k-1} \leq 0 \)

When \( s^T_{k-1} y_{k-1} \leq 0 \), in most BB-like methods the stepsize is set simply to \( \alpha_k = 10^{30} \). It is too simple to cause expensive computational cost for searching a suitable stepsize for gradient method.

Suppose for the moment that \( f \) is twice continuously differentiable, let us consider the second order Taylor expansion:

\[
  f(x_k - \alpha g_k) = f(x_k) - \alpha g_k^T g_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha g_k^T \nabla^2 f(x_k) g_k + o(\alpha^2).\]

For a small \( \tau_k > 0 \), denote

\[
  \rho_k = \frac{g_k^T (g_k - g(x_k - \tau_k g_k))}{\tau_k}.
\]
We use $\rho_k$ to approximate $g^T_k \nabla^2 f(x_k) g_k$, which implies a new approximate model:

$$\phi'(\alpha) = f(x_k) - \alpha g^T_k f(x_k) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \rho_k.$$

Similarly, by imposing $\frac{d\phi^2}{d\alpha} = 0$, we obtain the approximately optimal stepsize:

$$\alpha^{\alpha\alpha(2)}_k = \frac{g^T_k g_k}{\rho_k}.$$

To obtain the stepsize $\alpha^{\alpha\alpha(2)}_k$, it has the cost of an extra gradient evaluation, which may result in great computational cost if the gradient evaluation is evoked frequently. To avoid this case, we turn to consider $g_{k-1}$. Since

$$s^T_{k-1} y_{k-1} = -\alpha g^T_{k-1} (g_k - g_{k-1}) = \alpha (\|g_{k-1}\|^2 - g^T_{k-1} g_k) < 0,$$

we have that $\|g_{k-1}\|^2 \leq g^T_{k-1} g_k$, which implies that

$$\frac{\|g_{k-1}\|}{\|g_k\|} \leq 1.$$

If $\frac{\|g_{k-1}\|^2}{\|g_k\|^2}$ is close to 1, we know that $g_k$ and $g_{k-1}$ will incline to be collinear and $\|g_k\|$ and $\|g_{k-1}\|$ are approximately equal. Thus, if

$$\frac{\|g_k\|^2}{\|g_{k-1}\|^2} \geq \xi_2^2 \text{ and } \alpha_{k-1} < \xi_2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

hold, where $\xi_2 > 0$ and $\xi_2^2 > 0$, we obtain that

$$g^T_k \nabla^2 f(x_k) g_k = g^T_{k-1} \nabla^2 f(x_k) g_{k-1} - \frac{\|g_k + \alpha g_{k-1} - g_{k-1}\|^2}{\alpha_{k-1}},$$

which also implies a new approximation model:

$$\phi'(\alpha) = f(x_k) - \alpha \|g_k\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \|g_k + \alpha g_{k-1} - g_{k-1}\|^2.$$

Similarly, we obtain the approximate optimal stepsize:

$$\alpha^{\alpha\alpha(3)}_k = \frac{\|g_k\|^2}{s^T_{k-1} y_{k-1}} \alpha^2_{k-1}.$$

When $s^T_{k-1} y_{k-1} = 0$, the stepsize $\alpha_k$ is set according to the stepsize $\alpha_{k-1}$ at the latest iterate. It is well-known that for a quadratic function the stepsize $\alpha^{BB}_k$ is exactly equal to the exact stepsize at the latest iterate, that is,

$$\alpha^{BB}_k = \frac{s^T_{k-1} s_{k-1}}{s^T_{k-1} y_{k-1}} = \alpha^{SD}_{k-1}.$$

Moreover, it also has been shown that if $\alpha^{BB}_k$ or $\alpha^{SD}_k$ is reused in a cyclic fashion, then the convergence rate is accelerated. It seems that the stepsize $\alpha_{k-1}$ may provide some important information for the current stepsize. Therefore, when $s^T_{k-1} y_{k-1} = 0$, we set the stepsize to

$$\alpha_k = \delta \alpha_{k-1},$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)
where $\delta > 0$. Besides, if $\rho_k = 0$, the stepsize is also computed by (6).

Therefore, when $s_{k+1}^Ty_{k+1} \leq 0$, the stepsize can be stated as follow:

$$
\alpha_k = \begin{cases}
\frac{\|g_k\|^2}{\rho_k}, & \text{if } \rho_k \neq 0 \text{ and } \|g_k\| < \xi_k, \\
\|g_k\|^2\alpha_{k+1}^2, & \text{if } (5) \text{ hold and } s_{k+1}^Ty_{k+1} \neq 0, \\
\|s_{k+1}^Ty_{k+1}\|, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
$$

(7)

where $\rho_k$ is given by (4).

3. Gradient Method with Approximate Optimal Stepsize

We present an efficient gradient method with approximately optimal stepsize for unconstrained optimization. Although GLL line search [5] was firstly incorporated into the BB method, it is observed by numerical experiments that the nonmonotone line search (Zhang-Hager line search) [14] is more preferable for BB-like methods. As a result, we adopt Zhang-Hager line search.

**Algorithm 1 (GM_AOS)**

- **Step 0** Initialization: Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $Q_0 = 1$,
  $$C_0 = f_0, \alpha_0^0, \lambda_{\text{min}}, \lambda_{\text{max}}, \sigma > 0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \tau_k, \delta, \text{ and set } k := 0;$$
- **Step 1** If $\|g_k\| \leq \varepsilon$, stop;
- **Step 2** If $k = 0$, set $\alpha = \alpha_0^0$, go to **Step 3**. If $s_k^Ty_k > 0$, compute $\alpha_k$ by (3). Otherwise compute $\alpha_k$ by (7). Set $\alpha_k = \max\{\min\{\alpha_k, \lambda_{\text{max}}\}, \lambda_{\text{min}}\}$ and $\alpha = \alpha_k^0$.
- **Step 3** Zhang-Hager line search. If $f(x_k - \alpha g_k) \leq C_k - \sigma\alpha \|g_k\|^2,$ then go to **Step 4**. Otherwise, update $\alpha$ by [15]
  $$\alpha = \begin{cases}
\bar{\alpha}, & \text{if } \alpha > 0.1\alpha_k^0 \text{ and } \bar{\alpha} \in [0.1\alpha_k^0, 0.9\alpha], \\
0.5\alpha, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
$$
  where $\alpha$ is the trial stepsize obtained by a quadratic interpolation at $x_k$ and $x_k - \alpha g_k$, go to **Step 3**.
- **Step 4** Set $\eta_k = 1$ and update $Q_{k+1}C_{k+1}$ by the following ways:
  $$Q_{k+1} = \eta_kQ_k + 1, C_{k+1} = (\eta_kQ_kC_k + f(x_{k+1})) / Q_{k+1}.$$  Step 5 Set $\alpha_k = \alpha$, $x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k g_k$, $k = k + 1$,
  and go to **Step 1**.

Now we turn to the global convergence of the GM_AOS under the following assumption:
- **A1** $f$ is bounded on the set $\Omega = \{x \mid f(x) \leq f(x_0)\}$;
- **A2** $f$ is bounded below on $\mathbb{R}^n$;
- **A3** $g$ is Lipschitz continuous, i.e. there exists a positive constant $L$ such that
  $$\|g(x) - g(y)\| \leq L \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Lemma 3.1** Let $A_k = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^k f_i$, we have
  $$f_k \leq C_k \leq A_k.$$

**Proof** The proof can be found in Lemma 1.1 of [14].
Since $d_k = -g_k$, it is obvious that $g_k^T d_k = -||g_k||^2$ and $||d_k||^2 = ||g_k||^2$ for all $k \geq 0$. By Theorem 2.2 of [14], we obtain the convergence of the GM_AOS.

**Theorem 3.1** Suppose that assumptions A1, A2 and A3 hold. Then, we have $\lim \inf_{k \to \infty} ||g_k|| = 0$.

4. Numerical Results
In this section we do some numerical experiments to examine the effectiveness of GM_AOS. The standard test function set includes 80 unconstrained problems which are mainly from [16]. The BB method, the SBB4 method CG_DESCENT (5.3) and CGOPT are also chosen to be compared with GM_AOS, and the codes of CGOPT and CG_DESCENT (5.3) can be downloaded from http://coa.amss.ac.cn/wordpress/?page_id=21 and http://users.clas.ufl.edu/hager/papers/Software, respectively.

All codes are run on PC with 3.20 GHz CPU processor, 4 GB RAM memory and Windows 7 operation system. We choose the following parameters for GM_AOS:

$n = 10000, \varepsilon = 10^{-6}, \sigma = 10^{-8}, \lambda_{\min} = 10^{-30}, \lambda_{\max} = 10^{30}, \xi_1 = 10^{-4}, \xi_2 = 10^{-1}, \xi_3 = 0.85, \tau_k = \min(0.1\alpha_{k-1}, 0.01)$. The BB method and the SBB4 method also use Zhang-Hager line search. All test methods are stopped if the number of iterations exceeds 50000 or $||g_k|| \leq 10^{-6}$ is satisfied, and GM_AOS, the SBB4 method and the BB method are also stopped if the number of function evaluations exceeds 80000. CG_DESCENT (5.3) and CGOPT use the default parameter values in their codes expect the above stopping conditions.

The performance profile introduced by Dolan and More [17] is used to display the performance of the test methods.
We divide the numerical experiments into two groups. In Figs. 1-6, $N_{\text{iter}}$, $N_f$, $N_g$ and $T_{\text{cpu}}$ represent the number of iterations, the number of function evaluations, the number of gradient evaluations and CPU time (s), respectively.

In the first group of the numerical experiments, we compare GM_AOS with the BB method and the SBB4 method. GM_AOS successfully solves 79 problems, while the BB method and SBB4 method successfully solve 73 problems. As shown in Fig. 1, GM_AOS is superior to the SBB4 method and the BB method relative to the number of iterations. We see from Fig. 2 that GM_AOS requires much less function evaluations than the SBB4 method and the BB method. Fig. 3 shows that GM_AOS requires less gradient evaluations than the BB method and the SBB4 method. In Fig. 4, we observe that GM_AOS is faster than the BB method and the SBB4 method. It indicates that GM_AOS outperforms the SBB4 method and the BB method.

In the second group of the numerical experiments, we compare GM_AOS with CGOPT and CG_DESCENT (5.3). GM_AOS successfully solves 79 problems, while CGOPT and CG_DESCENT (5.3) successfully solve 79 and 74 problems, respectively. As shown in Fig. 5, GM_AOS has some advantage over CG_DESCENT (5.3) and CGOPT relative to the total number $N_{\text{tot}} = N_f + 3N_g$. In Fig. 6 we observe that GM_AOS is slightly faster than CG_DESCENT.
(5.3) and CGOPT. It indicated that GM_AOS is competitive to CG_DESCENT (5.3) and CGOPT for the given test problem set.

Figure 6. Performance profile based on $T_{cpu}$

5. Conclusion
In this paper we construct some approximate models to generate approximately optimal stepsize and present an efficient gradient method for unconstrained optimization. The numerical results indicate that GM_AOS is not only superior to the BB method and the SBB4 method but also is competitive to CGOPT and CG_DESCENT (5.3) for the given test problems set. We think that gradient methods with approximately optimal stepsizes should be paid more attention, and the gradient methods with approximately optimal stepsizes are able to become a strong candidate for large scale unconstrained optimization.
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