Mechanism studies of addition reactions between the pyrimidine type radicals and their 3’/5’ neighboring deoxyguanosines†
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To clarify the biologically significant sequence effect existing in the formation of the pyrimidine-type radicals induced DNA intrastrand cross-links, addition mechanisms between the uridine-5-methyl (UCH2), 6-hydroxy-5,6-dihydrothymidine-5-yl (T6OH), and 6-hydroxy-5,6-dihydrocytidine-5-yl (C6OH) radicals and their 3’/5’ neighboring deoxyguanosines (dG) are explored in the present study employing the model 5’-G(UCH2)-3’, 5’-G(T6OH)-3’, 5’-G(C6OH)-3’, 5’-G(C6OH)-3’, and 5’-G(UCH2)-3’ sequences. It is found that the 5’ G/C8 additions of the three radicals are all simple direct one-step reactions inducing only relatively small structural changes, while a conformational adjustment involving orientation transitions of both nucleobase moieties and twisting of the DNA backbone is indispensable for each 3’ G/C8 addition. Furthermore, markedly positive reaction free energy requirements are estimated for these conformational transformations making the 3’ G/C8 additions of the three radicals thermodynamically much more unfavorable than the corresponding 5’ G/C8 additions. Such essential conformational adjustments along the 3’ G/C8 addition paths that structurally greatly influence the local DNA structures and thermodynamically substantially reduce the addition efficiencies may be the reasons responsible for the differences in the formation yields and biological consequences of the pyrimidine-type radicals induced DNA intrastrand cross-link lesions.

Introduction

Hydroxyl radicals (OH•), which can be generated endogenously via transition metal ion mediated Fenton-type reactions and exogenously by ionizing radiation, are able to cause numerous types of DNA lesions, including single-base modifications, strand-breaks, abasic sites, DNA intra- and interstrand cross-links. DNA lesions that are not repaired in time are mutagenic, genotoxic, and cytotoxic, which are capable of giving rise to cell apoptotic death, and thus are believed to be associated with aging and a variety of human diseases, such as neurological disorders, cardiovascular diseases, and cancers.

DNA intrastrand cross-links, in which two neighboring nucleobases in the same strand are linked together by a single covalent bond, have attracted great concern and have been continuously investigated in the past two decades. Since the seminal finding of the G(8-5m)T intrastrand cross-link (where the C8 atom of guanine is covalently tethered to the 5-methyl carbon atom of its 3’ neighboring thymine, partial atomic...
numberings of related species are shown in Scheme 1) in X-irradiated deoxygenated aqueous solution of d(CpGpTpA) oligo-
mer,11 more than 15 DNA intrastrand cross-links have now been isolated.12–21 Formation of DNA intrastrand cross-links
having experimentally definitely identified structures is proposed as a single-radical event.8 The OH radical produced
via endogenous or exogenous path can either add to the C5=C6
double bond or abstract a hydrogen from the 5-methyl group of
pyrimidine bases forming the corresponding secondary radicals,
which can then attack their surrounding purine nucleo-
sides (mainly the deoxyguanosine) eventually leading to diverse
DNA intrastrand cross-links.24 UV-light irradiation experiments
of DNA fragments modified by related photolabile precursors
confirmed the reasonability of this reaction mechanism, and
many other DNA intrastrand cross-links were further detected.24–31,35,36 One point to be noted is that an obvious sequence
effect is observed in the formation yields of these pyrimidine-
type radicals induced DNA intrastrand cross-links, namely the
yields of the 5′-purine-pyrimidine-3′ cross-links are consider-
ably higher than those of the corresponding 5′-pyrimidine-
pyrimidine-3′ cross-links.15–17,20,26,28,29,31 This sequence effect was only
simply and tentatively attributed to the difference in the
distances between the two bonding atoms, the shorter the
distance is, the higher the yield is,15,16,28 and convincing expla-
nations are still unclear and lacking. Exploring the addition
paths of the pyrimidine-type radicals to their 3′/5′ neighboring
purine nucleosides may offer some reasonable and valuable
insights into this sequence effect.

Apart from markedly interrupting DNA replication and
significantly decreasing the efficiency and fidelity of nucleotide
incorporation,16,18,19,23,27,28 DNA intrastrand cross-links are also able to lead to substantial targeted and semitargeted base
transition and transversion mutations,8,19,21,28 with the muta-
tional frequencies induced by the 5′-pyrimidine-purine-3′ cross-
links greatly higher than those induced by the 5′-purine-
pyrimidine-3′ cross-links,23 indicating that a sequence effect
may also exist in the biological consequences of DNA intra-
strand cross-links. The unique structures of DNA intrastrand
cross-links of different types are tentatively speculated to be
responsible for these observations.16,18,19,19 On the other hand,
DNA intrastrand cross-links can resist, to some extent, the
excision repair of DNA nucleotide excision repair system.39,40
Again, the repair efficiencies were proposed to be correlated
with the different degrees of structural distortions of DNA
double helixes induced by different types of DNA intrastrand
cross-links.41–43 Thus, exploring the probable structures and
formation mechanisms of different types of DNA intrastrand
cross-links are much helpful for better understanding of these
biological consequences. However, recent theoretical efforts
were mainly focused on the studies of formation paths of 5′-
purine-pyrimidine-3′ type cross-links and their influences on
DNA double helical structures.41–49 To the best of our knowl-
edge, probable formation mechanisms of the corresponding 5′-
purine-pyrimidine-3′ type cross-links are scarcely investigated.

On the basis of the above discussions, reaction paths of the
UCH3, T6OH and C6OH radicals adding to the C6 site of their 3′/
5′ neighboring deoxyguanosines are studied here. For each
radical, different from the simple direct one-step 5′ G/C8 addi-
tion, a conformational transformation not only structurally
significant but also thermodynamically markedly unfavourable
is firstly required for the 3′ G/C8 addition, which may be the
possible reasons leading to different formation yields and bio-
logical consequences of the corresponding DNA intrastrand
cross-links.

Computational details

Dinucleoside monophosphates are demonstrated to be rele-
vant models for investigations of DNA intrastrand cross-
links,27 and thus the models 5′-G(UCH3)-3′, 5′-UCH3G-3′, 5′-
G(T6OH)-3′, 5′-T6OHG-3′, 5′-G(C6OH)-3′, and 5′-C6OHG-3′
sequences were employed here, which were constructed based
on the canonical 5′-GT-3′, 5′-TG-3′, 5′-GC-3′, and 5′-CG-3′
sequences extracted from an experimental X-ray crystal
structure of a B-DNA (PDB code: 5FMP). One hydrogen atom
was deleted from the 5-methyl group of the T moiety or a
hydroxyl group was added on the C6 site of the T or C moiety,
the two phosphodiester bonds were capped with methyl
groups to prevent the formation of parasitic hydrogen
bonds,49 and a sodium ion was inserted between the two
phosphate oxygens to mimic the physiological conditions.50
The M06-2X functional combined with the standard 6-
31G(d,p) basis set was used for all geometry optimizations
and energy calculations. The M06-2X/6-31G(d,p) level of
theory has been proved to be reliable for studies of DNA
intrastrand cross-links,49,51 and actually is recommended to
explore the structure and/or reactivity of a dinucleoside
monophosphate.50 All structures obtained were shown to be
minimum or first-order saddle points on potential energy
surfaces by frequency analyses. Intrinsic reaction coordinate
calculations were performed to confirm the connections of
each transition state with its corresponding reactant and
product. The IEF-PCM formalism52 with a dielectric constant \( \varepsilon = 78.4 \) was employed to approximate the solvated
environment.52,59 Related kinetic and thermodynamic characteristics
for each reaction process were estimated on the basis of Gibbs
free energies calculated in the rigid-rotor harmonic oscillator
approximation at \( T = 298 \) K and \( p = 1 \) atm. Geometry opti-
mizations and energy calculations were carried out using
Gaussian 09 package,42 and structures of all stationary points
were analysed employing the X3DNA suite of programs.54

Results and discussion

Formation of DNA intrastrand cross-links is generally a three-
step reaction,41,43–47 consisting of (step 1) formation of the
highly reactive pyrimidine-type radicals, (step 2) additions of
these radicals to their 3′/5′ neighboring purine nucleosides
(mainly deoxyguanosine), and (step 3) formation of the final
closed-shell intrastrand cross-links. The present study is mainly
focused on the step 2, namely exploring the probable addition
paths of UCH3, T6OH, and C6OH radicals to the C6 site of their
3′/5′ neighboring deoxyguanosines.
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Additions of the 'U_{CH3}, 'T_{6OH}, and 'C_{6OH} radicals to the C8 site of their 5' neighboring deoxyguanosines

Among all known DNA intrastrand cross-links, the G(8-5m)T cross-link (Fig. S1 in the ESI†) resulting from the 'U_{CH3} radical attacking the C8 site of its 5' neighboring deoxyguanosine is determined to have the highest yield. As depicted in Fig. 1, addition of the 'U_{CH3} radical to the C8 site of its 5' neighboring dG is unsurprisingly a one-step reaction. The distance (denoted as d_{G/C8-C7}) between the radical center (the C7 atom, related spin density distributions are shown in Fig. S2 and S5 in the ESI†) of the 'U_{CH3} radical and the C8 site of dG is decreased to 2.09 Å in the located transition state (denoted as TSGU) from a value of 3.60 Å in the canonical 5'-G('U_{CH3})-3' sequence, and eventually reduced to 1.55 Å forming a standard C-C single bond in the addition product (denoted as 5'-G('U_{CH3})-3' - G/C8). Meanwhile, evolution of the 'U_{CH3}/C7 and G/C8 atom hybridizations from sp² to sp³ makes the C7-C8 bond in the 3' 'U_{CH3} moiety and the C8-N7 and C8-N9 bonds in the 5' dG moiety gradually elongated to 1.50, 1.45, and 1.48 Å from 1.39, 1.31, and 1.38 Å, respectively, with the other bond length changes no more than 0.04 Å. Notably, along the reaction path, the torsion angle χ_{GU} (Z relates to O4, C1, N7, C4) continuously increases to −77° from −130° while the torsion angle χ_{U} (Z relates to O4, C1, N7, C4) continuously decreases to −126° from −101°, but the nucleobase moieties of both 3' 'U_{CH3} and 5' dG always maintain the anti-orientations, with the angle χ (defined as the dihedral angle between the planes of the two base moieties in a dinucleoside monophosphate) ranged from 4° to 51°, which are well consistent with the simulation results reported previously.7

As listed in Table 1, an activation free energy of 17.27 kcal mol⁻¹ is calculated, indicating that addition of the 'U_{CH3} radical to the C8 site of its 5' neighboring deoxyguanosine is kinetically feasible. The current free energy barrier is 6-9 kcal mol⁻¹ higher than those reported in the QM/MM simulation studies of an explicitly solvated 5'-G('U_{CH3})-3' sequence and a solvated dodecamer sequence,44,45,46 which may be attributed to the inherent defect of the BLYP functional, namely always understating the transition state barriers by several kilocalories per mole.45 A reaction free energy of 1.41 kcal mol⁻¹ is obtained for the 5' G/C8 addition of the 'U_{CH3} radical, separately comparable to and ca. 5 kcal mol⁻¹ lower than those reported in the above-mentioned two simulation studies.44,46,47 A positive reaction free energy here does not mean that the corresponding reaction step cannot occur. The 'U_{CH3} radical addition step is actually coupled with the preceding step of formation of the 'U_{CH3} radical and the subsequent step of formation of the closed-shell G(8-5m)T intrastrand cross-link.44,45,46 As shown in Fig. S8 in the ESI† 28.07 kcal mol⁻¹ reaction free energy is released for the preceding step of formation of the canonical 5'-G('U_{CH3})-3' sequence, which makes the driving force for formation of the radical adduct 5'-G('U_{CH3})-3' estimated from the canonical 5'-GT-3' sequence much negative (−26.66 kcal mol⁻¹). Moreover, the subsequent step of transformation of the radical adduct 5'-G('U_{CH3})-3' into the closed-shell 5'-G(8-5m)T-3' cross-link further releases 81.67 kcal mol⁻¹ reaction free energy (Fig. S9 in the ESI†). Thus, besides involving only minor structural changes, the 5' G/C8 addition of the 'U_{CH3} radical is also kinetically and thermodynamically feasible.

The 'T_{6OH} radical, which is produced three times more frequently than the 'T_{6OH} radical,28 is shown to be able to attack the C8 site of its 3'/5' neighboring deoxyguanosine forming the G(8-5m)T_{6OH} or T_{6OH}/G intrastrand cross-link (Fig. S1 in the ESI†), although the yields of both cross-links are much lower than those of the corresponding G(8-5m)T and T(5m-8)G cross-links.

Table 1 Related kinetic (ΔG,* and thermodynamic (ΔG,G) characteristics for reactions of the 'U_{CH3}, 'T_{6OH}, and 'C_{6OH} radicals adding to the C8 site of their 3' and 5' neighboring deoxyguanosines, respectively. Energies are in kcal mol⁻¹.

| System | ΔG,G^a | ΔG,G^b | ΔG,G^c | ΔG,G^d |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 5'-G('U_{CH3})-3' - G/C8 | 17.27b | 1.41 | 1.41 | |
| 5'-G('T_{6OH})-3' - G/C8 | 17.45b | 5.81 | 5.81 | |
| 5'-G('C_{6OH})-3' - G/C8 | 15.25b | 0.54 | 0.54 | |
| 5'-('U_{CH3})G-3' - G/C8 | 9.12 | 2.48 | 11.60 | |
| 5'-('T_{6OH})G-3' - G/C8 | 18.23 | 14.74 | 20.63 | |
| 5'-('C_{6OH})G-3' - G/C8 | 17.06 | 10.79 | 11.94 | |

a ΔG,G = G(intermediate) - G(canonical sequence) b ΔG,* = G(ESI) state - G(canonical sequence) c ΔG,G = G(esl) state - G(intermediate) d ΔG,G = G(addition product) - G(intermediate) e ΔG,G = G(addition product) - G(canonical sequence).

Fig. 1 Optimized structures and partial structural parameters for stationary points along the reaction path of the 'U_{CH3} radical addition to the C8 site of its 5' neighboring deoxyguanosine.
A complete reaction path of the 'TeOH radical addition to the C8 site of its 5' neighboring deoxyguanosine is depicted in Fig. 2, and related kinetic and thermodynamic characteristics are listed in Table 1. The 5'G/C8 addition of the 'TeOH radical is also a one-step reaction. In the located transition state (denoted as TS_G), the distance (denoted as d_{G/C8-T/C5}) between the radical center (the C5 atom, related spin density distributions are shown in Fig. S3 and S6 in the ESI†) of the 'TeOH radical and the C8 site of dG is reduced to 2.13 Å from 3.38 Å in the canonical 5'-G('TeOH)-3' sequence, and finally decreased to 1.60 Å forming a C-C single bond in the addition product (denoted as 5'-G_{S8H}(8-5)'TeOH-3'). All bond length changes are found to be no more than 0.04 Å except that the C5–N7 and C8–N9 bonds in the 5' dG moiety and the C2–C4, C5–C6, and C5–C7 bonds in the 3' 'TeOH moiety are separately elongated to 1.46, 1.47, 1.53, 1.54, and 1.53 Å from values of 1.31, 1.38, 1.45, 1.49, and 1.48 Å due to hybridization transitions of the G/C8 and 'TeOH/C5 atoms from sp² to sp³. The anti-orientations of both nucleobase moieties are always maintained along the reaction path, with the two torsion angles \( \chi_{GT} \) \( (\angle_{O_2C_1N_2C_4}) \) and \( \chi_{ST} \) \( (\angle_{O_1C_2N_1C_5}) \) merely continuously increased to \(-80^\circ\) and \(-119^\circ\) from \(-111^\circ\) and \(-146^\circ\), respectively. Thus, just like the 5'G/C8 addition of the 'U_{CH2} radical, addition of the 'TeOH radical to the C8 site of its 5' neighboring deoxyguanosine also gives rise to only small effects on the local DNA structure.

As listed in Table 1, the activation free energy for the 5'G/C8 addition of the 'TeOH radical is calculated to be 17.45 kcal mol⁻¹, kinetically comparable to that needed for the 5'G/C8 addition of the 'U_{CH2} radical. Starting from the canonical 5'-G('TeOH)-3' sequence, the reaction free energy for formation of the 5'-G_{S8H}(8-5)'TeOH-3' adduct is estimated to be 5.81 kcal mol⁻¹, 4.4 kcal mol⁻¹ larger than that for formation of the 5'-G_{S8H}(8-5m)T-3' adduct. The 5'G/C8 addition of the 'TeOH radical is thus thermodynamically less efficient than the 5'G/C8 addition of the 'U_{CH2} radical, providing a plausible explanation for the experimental observation that the yield of the G(8-3)TeOH cross-link is much lower than that of the G(8-5m)T cross-link. The driving force for formation of the 5'-G_{S8H}(8-5)'TeOH-3' adduct is reduced to ca. -16 kcal mol⁻¹ when taking into account the preceding step of formation of the canonical 5'-G(TeOH)-3' sequence (Fig. S8 in the ESI†, -21.83 kcal mol⁻¹ reaction free energy is calculated). Furthermore, 81.33 kcal mol⁻¹ reaction free energy is released for subsequent transformation of the radical adduct 5'-G_{S8H}(8-5)'TeOH-3' into the 5'-G(8-5)'TeOH-3' cross-link (Fig. S9 in the ESI†). Therefore, as in the case of the 5'G/C8 addition of the 'U_{CH2} radical, the 5'G/C8 addition of the 'TeOH radical is also thermodynamically feasible.

G(8-5)C and C(5-8)G intrastand cross-links (Fig. S1 in the ESI†) have been definitely detected in DNA oligomer, duplex DNA, and mammalian cells irradiated by γ-/X-ray or treated with Fenton-type reagents. Formation of both intrastand cross-links is believed to be a single-radical event, and be associated with the 'C8OH radical. Reaction path of the 'C8OH radical addition to the C8 site of its 5' neighboring deoxyguanosine and related kinetic and thermodynamic characteristics are shown in Fig. 3 and Table 1, respectively. Obviously, as in the cases of the 5'G/C8 additions of the 'U_{CH2} and 'TeOH radicals, the 5'G/C8 addition of the 'C8OH radical is also a simple direct one-step reaction. The distance (denoted as \( d_{G/C8-C/C3} \)) between the radical center (the C5 atom, related spin density distributions are shown in Fig. S4 and S7 in the ESI†) of the 'C8OH radical and the C8 site of dG is lowered to 2.15 Å in the located transition state (denoted as TS_C) from 3.41 Å in the canonical 5'-G('C8OH)-3' sequence, and ultimately reduced to 1.57 Å forming a standard C-C single bond in the addition product (denoted as 5'-G_{S8H}(8-5)'C8OH-3'). Meanwhile, the C5–N7 and C8–N9 bonds in the 5' dG moiety and the C2–C4 and C5–C6 bonds in the 3' 'C8OH moiety are separately stretched to 1.45, 1.47, 1.51, and 1.53 Å from values of 1.31, 1.38, 1.44, and 1.49 Å due to hybridization transitions of the G/C8 and 'C8OH/C5 atoms from sp² to sp³, with the other bond length changes no more than 0.04 Å. Once again, the anti-orientations of both nucleobase moieties are maintained along the reaction path with the angle \( \phi \) increased from \( 18^\circ \) to \( 35^\circ \), implying that formation of the 5'-G_{S8H}(8-5)'C8OH-3' adduct has only relatively small influences on the local DNA structure. Addition of the 'C8OH radical to the C8 site of its 5' neighboring deoxyguanosine is kinetically feasible, with 15.25 kcal mol⁻¹ activation free energy being calculated (Table 1). On the other hand, the reaction free energy is estimated to be 0.54 kcal mol⁻¹, indicating that the 5'G/C8

---

**Fig. 2** Optimized structures and partial structural parameters for stationary points along the reaction path of the 'TeOH radical addition to the C8 site of its 5' neighboring deoxyguanosine.
addition step of the 'C_{6OH} radical is thermodynamically slightly unfavourable. But as shown in Fig. S8 and S9 in the ESI, the preceding step of formation of the canonical 5'-G('C_{6OH})-3' sequence and the subsequent step of formation of the closed-shell 5'-G(8-5)C-3' cross-link are both thermodynamically favourable. Hence, when coupled with these reaction steps, the 'C_{6OH} radical addition to the C8 site of their 5' site of its 3' neighboring deoxyguanosine forming the 5'-G_{6OH}(8-5)C_{6OH}-3' adduct is thermodynamically feasible.

In short, additions of the 'U_{CH4}, 'T_{SOH}, and 'C_{6OH} radicals to the C8 site of their 5' neighboring deoxyguanosines are all simple direct one-step reactions, imposing only minor influences on the local DNA structure. On the other hand, all these three addition reactions are kinetically and thermodynamically feasible, and the more unfavourable thermodynamic requirement for the 5' G/C8 addition of the 'T_{SOH} radical than that for the 5' G/C8 addition of the 'U_{CH4} radical may be the reasons leading to the fact that the yield of the G(8-5)T_{SOH} intrastrand cross-link is much lower than that of the G(8-5m)T intrastrand cross-link.

Additions of the 'U_{CH4}, 'T_{SOH}, and 'C_{6OH} radicals to the C8 site of their 3' neighboring deoxyguanosines

Different from the simple one-step 5' G/C8 addition, as depicted in Fig. 4, the reaction path of the 'U_{CH4} radical addition to the C8 site of its 3' neighboring deoxyguanosine is rather complex. Before the 3' G/C8 addition step proceeds, a large structural adjustment from the initial canonical 5'-('U_{CH4})G-3' sequence to an intermediate (denoted as INT_{UGi}) is firstly required. The torsion angles $\chi_{5'U}$ ($\angle O_4C_1N_6C_2$) and $\chi_{3'G}$ ($\angle O_4C_1N_6C_4$) are increased to 25° and −39° from values of −107° and −87° (Table 2), eventually making the nucleobase moieties of 5' 'U_{CH4} and 3' dG adopt a syn-orientation and a near T-shaped orientation, respectively. Meanwhile, the DNA backbone rotates primarily around the C9-O2', O2'-P, and P-O2' bonds, with the torsion angles $\alpha$ ($\angle C_4C_2O_3P$), $\beta$ ($\angle O_2O_2PO_3$), and $\gamma$ ($\angle O_2PO_3C_5$) changed from 179°, −112°, and −62° to 155°, −86°, and −80°, respectively. Rotation of both nucleobase moieties about their corresponding glycosidic bonds and twist of the DNA backbone collectively lead to the two nucleobase moieties parallel lead with the angle $\phi$ being 4° in the intermediate INT_{UGi}, and further the distance $d_{G/C8-T/C7}$ is obviously decreased from 7.01 Å to 3.38 Å. Starting from the INT_{UGi} intermediate, the 3' G/C8 addition step proceeds (Fig. 4). The distance $d_{G/C8-T/C7}$ is lowered to 2.11 Å in the located transition state (denoted as TS_{UG}), and ultimately reduced to 1.57 Å forming a standard C-C single bond in the addition product (denoted as 5'-T(5m-8)('C_{6OH})-3'). Hybridization transitions of both 'U_{CH4}/C7 and G/C8 atoms from sp2 to sp3 make the bond length changes of the related C7-C8 bond in the 5' 'U_{CH4} moiety and the C8-N2 and C8-N3 bonds in the 3' dG moiety nearly the same as those observed in the case of the 5' G/C8 addition, and the other bonds are relatively stable with their bond length changes no more than 0.04 Å. Along the 3' G/C8 addition path, the angle $\phi$ only fluctuates within the range of 4–
27°, but the prerequisite requirement of a large conformational transformation from the initial canonical 5’-(U<sub>CH</sub>)G-3’ sequence to an intermediate INT<sub>UG</sub> implies that addition of the 'U<sub>CH</sub>' radical to the C<sub>G</sub> site of its 3’ neighboring deoxyguanosine is obviously structurally disadvantageous, and may greatly influence the local DNA structure.

For the 3’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition step, an activation free energy of 17.37 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1), which is nearly equal to that required in the 5’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition, and a reaction free energy of 2.48 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> are calculated. One point to be noted is that, besides structurally disadvantageous, the prerequisite conformational adjustment from the canonical 5’-(U<sub>CH</sub>)G-3’ sequence to the intermediate INT<sub>UG</sub> is also thermodynamically unfavourable, with 9.12 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> reaction free energy being absorbed. As a result, the reaction free energy for the 3’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition estimated from the canonical 5’-(U<sub>CH</sub>)G-3’ sequence is increased to as positive as 11.60 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, ca. 10 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> higher than that for the simple 5’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition. Hence, from the thermodynamic point of view, addition of the ‘U<sub>CH</sub>’ radical to the C<sub>G</sub> site of its 3’ neighboring deoxyguanosine forming the 5’-T(5m-8)(‘G<sub>SH</sub>’)3’-adduct is much more inefficient than that to the C<sub>G</sub> site of its 5’ neighboring deoxyguanosine forming the 5’-G<sub>SH</sub>(8-5m)T3’-adduct. However, the 11.60 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> reaction free energy does not mean that the 3’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition of the ‘U<sub>CH</sub>’ radical cannot occur. When coupled with the thermodynamically favourable preceding step of formation of the canonical 5’-(U<sub>CH</sub>)G-3’ sequence and the subsequent step of formation of the closed-shell 5’-T(5m-8)G3’ cross-link (Fig. S8 and S9 in the ESI,† -26.03 and -88.06 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> reaction free energies are separately estimated), addition of the ‘U<sub>CH</sub>’ radical to the C<sub>G</sub> site of its 3’ neighboring deoxyguanosine is in fact thermodynamically feasible.

A reaction path (Fig. 5) much similar to that of the 3’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition of the ‘U<sub>CH</sub>’ radical is found for the ‘T<sub>GSH</sub>’ radical addition to the C<sub>G</sub> site of its 3’ neighboring deoxyguanosine. The initial canonical 5’-(‘T<sub>GSH</sub>)G-3’ sequence must be firstly transformed into an intermediate (denoted as INT<sub>TG</sub>) before the 3’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition step proceeds. As reflected by the changes of the related torsion angles listed in Table 2, the two nucleobase moieties are separately converted to syn- and T-shaped orientations from anti- and anti-orientations, and the DNA backbone twists around the C<sub>G</sub>-O<sub>P</sub>, O<sub>P</sub>-P, P-O<sub>P</sub>, and C<sub>G</sub>-O<sub>P</sub> bonds. Synergy of orientation transitions of both nucleobase moieties and twist of DNA backbone makes the distance d<sub>G/C<sub>G</sub>−T/C<sub>5</sub></sub> lowered from 4.84 Å in the canonical 5’-(‘T<sub>GSH</sub>)G-3’ sequence to 3.08 Å in the INT<sub>TG</sub> intermediate, which is further reduced to 2.16 Å in the located transition state (denoted as TS<sub>TG</sub>) for the 3’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition step, and ultimately decreased to 1.59 Å forming a C-C single bond in the addition product (denoted as 5’-T<sub>GSH</sub>(5-8)(‘G<sub>SH</sub>’))3’). Since the ‘T<sub>GSH</sub>/C<sub>5</sub>’ and G/C<sub>G</sub> atom hybridizations are also changed from sp<sup>2</sup> to sp<sup>3</sup>, bond length alterations of the C<sub>5</sub>-C<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>5</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>5</sub>-C<sub>7</sub> bonds in the 5’ ‘T<sub>GSH</sub>’ moiety and the C<sub>G</sub>-N<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>G</sub>-N<sub>9</sub> bonds in the 3’ dG moiety as well as the other bonds are shown to be nearly the same as those observed in the 5’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition of the ‘T<sub>GSH</sub>’ radical. Although the increment of the angle φ (28°) along the 3’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition path is comparable to that (29°) along the 5’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition path, different from its sequence isomer 5’-G<sub>SH</sub>(8-5)T<sub>GSH</sub>-3’ formation of the 5’-T<sub>GSH</sub>(5-8)(‘G<sub>SH</sub>’))3’ adduct may greatly influence the local DNA structure due to the essential conformational adjustment.

Among the five addition steps investigated above, the 3’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition of the ‘T<sub>GSH</sub>’ radical has the relatively lowest free energy barrier (14.74 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, Table 1), and the reaction free energy is calculated to be 2.40 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, comparable to and 3.41 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> smaller than those for the 3’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition step of the ‘U<sub>CH</sub>’ radical and the 5’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition of the ‘T<sub>GSH</sub>’ radical, respectively. But it does not suggest that the yield of the T<sub>GSH</sub>(5-8)G intrastrand cross-link is larger than those of the T(5m-8)G and G(8-5)T<sub>GSH</sub> intrastrand cross-links. As listed in Table 1, a thermodynamic free energy requirement as high as 18.23 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> is estimated for the essential conformational adjustment, making the reaction free energy for the 3’ G/C<sub>G</sub> addition of the ‘T<sub>GSH</sub>’ radical increased to 20.63 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>,

### Table 2: Alterations of the dihedral angles χ<sub>xy</sub>, (ζ<sub>O2>P</sub>−C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>C</sub>), χ<sub>xy</sub> (ζ<sub>O2>P</sub>−C<sub>2</sub>N<sub>C</sub>), α (ζ<sub>C2>P</sub>−C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>P</sub>), β (ζ<sub>C2>P</sub>−C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>P</sub>), γ (ζ<sub>O2>P</sub>−C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>P</sub>), and δ (ζ<sub>P</sub>−C<sub>2</sub>P−C<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>) involved in the essential conformational adjustments along the 3’ G/C<sub>G</sub> additions of the ‘U<sub>CH</sub>’, ‘T<sub>GSH</sub>’, and ‘C<sub>GSH</sub>’ radicals, respectively.

| Structure          | χ<sub>xy</sub> | χ<sub>xy</sub> | α  | β  | γ  | δ  |
|--------------------|--------------|--------------|----|----|----|----|
| 5’-(‘U<sub>CH</sub>)G-3’ | -107°        | -87°         | 17°| -112°| -62°| -175°|
| INT<sub>UG</sub>     | 25°          | -39°         | 155°| -86°| -80°| 173°|
| 5’-(‘T<sub>GSH</sub>)G-3’ | -78°        | -103°        | 161°| -103°| -56°| 178°|
| INT<sub>TG</sub>     | 51°          | -52°         | 144°| -95°| -80°| 153°|
| 5’-(‘C<sub>GSH</sub>)G-3’ | -77°        | -102°        | 162°| -101°| -57°| -179°|
| INT<sub>CG</sub>     | 54°          | -48°         | 149°| -94°| -84°| 150°|

![Fig. 5 Optimized structures and partial structural parameters for stationary points along the reaction path of the ‘T<sub>GSH</sub>’ radical addition to the C<sub>G</sub> site of its 3’ neighboring deoxyguanosine.](image-url)
separately ca. 9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and 15 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) higher than those for the 3’ G/C\(_8\) addition of the ‘U\(_\text{CH}_1\)’ radical and the 5’ G/C\(_8\) addition of the ‘T\(_\text{SOH}\)’ radical. Thus, although formation of the 5’-T\(_\text{SOH}(5-8)\)G(\(\text{GaN}\))G-3’ adduct is thermodynamically feasible when taking into account the preceding step of formation of the canonical 5’-T\(_\text{SOH}\)G-3’ sequence and the subsequent step of formation of the closed-shell 5’-T\(_\text{SOH}(5-8)\)G-3’ cross-link (Fig. S8 and S9 in the ESI,† the driving forces are separately estimated to be -29.13 and -82.68 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)), the thermodynamically more unfavourable conformational adjustment indicates that the formation efficiency of the 5’-T\(_\text{SOH}(5-8)\)G(\(\text{GaN}\))G-3’ adduct is much lower than those of the 5’-G(\(\text{GaN}(8-5)\)T\(_\text{SOH}\))-G3’ and 5’-T(5m-8)-(\(\text{GaN}\))-G3’ adducts, which may explain why the yield of the corresponding T\(_\text{SOH}\)G intrastrand cross-link is much lower than those of the G(8-5)T\(_\text{SOH}\) and T(5m-8)G intrastrand cross-links.\(^{15,23}\)

The reaction path of the ‘G\(_{\text{SOH}}\)’ radical addition to the C\(_8\) site of its 3’ neighboring deoxyguanosine is found to be nearly the same as that of the 3’ G/C\(_8\) addition of the ‘T\(_\text{SOH}\)’ radical (Fig. 6). An essential conformational adjustment involving orientation transitions of both nucleobase moieties and twist of DNA backbone with alterations of the related torsion angles (Table 2) comparable to those observed in the 3’ G/C\(_8\) addition of the ‘T\(_\text{SOH}\)’ radical is firstly required, which makes the configuration of thus formed intermediate (denoted as INT\(_{\text{CG}}\)) much analogous to that of the intermediate INT\(_{\text{CG}}\) that is lowered to 3.12 Å in the intermediate INT\(_{\text{CG}}\) is decreased to 2.20 Å in the located transition state (denoted as TS\(_{\text{CG}}\)) and eventually reduced to 1.58 Å forming a standard C–C single bond in the addition product (denoted as 5’-C\(_{\text{SOH}}(5-8)\)G(\(\text{GaN}\))-3’). Changes of the C\(_5\)-C\(_4\) and C\(_5\)-C\(_6\) bonds in the 5’ ‘G\(_{\text{SOH}}\)’ moiety and the C\(_\text{G}-\text{N7}\) and C\(_\text{G}-\text{N8}\) bonds in the 3’ dG moiety as well as the other bonds are demonstrated to be nearly the same as those observed in the corresponding 5’ G/C\(_8\) addition. The increment of the angle \(\phi\) along the reaction path is relatively small (31°), but as in the cases of the 3’ G/C\(_8\) additions of the ‘U\(_\text{CH}_1\)’ and ‘T\(_\text{SOH}\)’ radicals, the prerequisite conformational transformation also makes formation of the adduct 5’-C\(_{\text{SOH}}(5-8)\)G(\(\text{GaN}\))-3’ give rise to substantial effects on the local DNA structure. Kinetically, the activation free energy (10.79 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), Table 1) for the step of the ‘G\(_{\text{SOH}}\)’ radical addition to the C\(_8\) site of its 3’ neighboring deoxyguanosine is ca. 4.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) smaller than that for the 5’ G/C\(_8\) addition. Thermodynamically, a negative reaction free energy (−5.13 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) is estimated for the 3’ G/C\(_8\) addition step, but the sizably positive free energy requirement for the essential conformational adjustment (17.06 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) makes the reaction free energy estimated from the canonical 5’-C\(_{\text{SOH}}\)G-G3’ sequence increased to 11.94 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), which is ca.11 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) larger than that for the 5’ G/C\(_8\) addition. Thus, as in the case of the 3’ G/C\(_8\) addition of the ‘T\(_\text{SOH}\)’ radical, although formation of the 5’-C\(_{\text{SOH}}(5-8)\)G(\(\text{GaN}\))-3’ adduct is thermodynamically feasible when coupled with the preceding step of formation of the canonical 5’-C\(_{\text{SOH}}\)G-G3’ sequence and the subsequent step of formation of the closed-shell 5’-(C(5-8)G)-3’ cross-link (Fig. S8 and S9 in the ESI,† −21.26 and −102.61 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) reaction free energies are calculated, respectively), the formation efficiency of which is much lower than that of its sequence isomer 5’-G(8-5)C\(_{\text{SOH}}\)-3’. In short, different from the simple direct one-step 5’ G/C\(_8\) additions, structurally significant and thermodynamically markedly unfavourable conformational adjustments involving orientation transitions of nucleobase moieties and twist of DNA backbone are essential for the 3’ G/C\(_8\) additions of the ‘U\(_\text{CH}_1\)’, ‘T\(_\text{SOH}\)’, and ‘G\(_{\text{SOH}}\)’ radicals. Notably, in double helical DNA, the stabilizing interactions (including hydrogen bonds and base-stacking arrangements) may penalize, to a larger extent, these conformational transformations, making the 3’ G/C\(_8\) additions of the ‘U\(_\text{CH}_1\)’, ‘T\(_\text{SOH}\)’, and ‘G\(_{\text{SOH}}\)’ radicals even more preferred. This essential conformational transformations may thus be the reasons leading to the differences in the formation yields and biological significance of the G(8-5)mT/G(8-5)mT\(_\text{SOH}\)/G(8-5)mC and T(5m-8)G/T\(_\text{SOH}(5-8)\)G/C(5-8)G intrastrand cross-links. In addition, the more unfavourable thermodynamic requirement for the prerequisite conformational transformation along the 3’ G/C\(_8\) addition path of the ‘T\(_\text{SOH}\)’ radical may provide a plausible explanation for the observation that the yield of the T\(_\text{SOH}(5-8)\)G intrastrand cross-link is much lower than that of the T(5m-8)G intrastrand cross-link.

**Conclusions**

Reaction mechanisms of the ‘U\(_\text{CH}_1\)’, ‘T\(_\text{SOH}\)’, and ‘G\(_{\text{SOH}}\)’ radicals separately adding to the C\(_8\) site of their 3’ and 5’ neighboring deoxyguanosines are investigated in the present work. It is found that additions of all these three radicals to the C\(_8\) site of
their 5’ neighboring deoxyguanosines are straightforward one-step reactions, and along each addition path only relatively minor structural alterations are induced with both nucleobase moieties always maintaining the anti-orientations. In contrast, additions of the UCH3, TSOH, and CSOH radicals to the C8 site of their 3’ neighboring deoxyguanosines are rather complex. The canonical 5’-(UCH3)G-3’, 5’-(TSOH)G-3’, and 5’-(CSOH)G-3’ sequences must be firstly transformed into certain intermediates, during which the anti-orientations of the two nucleobase moieties are translated to syn-(for the 5’ nucleobase) and (near) T-shaped orientations (for the 3’ dG) and the DNA backbone are twisted around the C3–O5, O3–P, P–O5, and C5–O5’ bonds, respectively. Compared to the simple direct 5’ G/C8 additions, such significant conformational adjustments along the 3’ G/C8 addition paths may greatly influence the local DNA structure, and thus may give rise to distinctively different biological significance of the corresponding intrastrand cross-links. On the other hand, greatly positive reaction free energies are estimated for these prerequisite conformational transformations, making the total driving forces for 3’ G/C8 additions 10–15 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) larger than those for 5’ G/C8 additions. Furthermore, such essential conformational adjustments may be disfavoured, to a larger extent, in double-stranded DNA due to existence of the stabilizing interactions from hydrogen bonds and base-stacking arrangements. Combined, the 3’ G/C8 additions of the UCH3, TSOH, and CSOH radicals are thus both structurally and thermodynamically significantly more unfavourable than the corresponding 5’ G/C8 additions, which may be the reasons why the yields of the 5’-pyrimidine-purine-3’-cross-links are obviously lower than those of the 5’-purine-pyrimidine-3’-cross-links. All results reported here provide a plausible explanation for the sequence effect observed both in the formation yields and biological significance of pyrimidine-type radicals induced DNA intrastrand cross-links.
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