The cnidarian *Hydractinia echinata* employs canonical and highly adapted histones to pack its DNA
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Cnidarians are a group of early branching animals including corals, jellyfish and hydroids that are renowned for their high regenerative ability, growth plasticity and longevity. Because cnidarian genomes are conventional in terms of protein-coding genes, their remarkable features are likely a consequence of epigenetic regulation. To facilitate epigenetics research in cnidarians, we analysed the histone complement of the cnidarian model organism *Hydractinia echinata* using phylogenomics, proteomics, transcriptomics and mRNA in situ hybridisations.

**Results:** We find that the *Hydractinia* genome encodes 19 histones and analyse their spatial expression patterns, genomic loci and replication-dependency. Alongside core and other replication-independent histone variants, we find several histone replication-dependent variants, including a rare replication-dependent H3.3, a female germ cell-specific H2A.X and an unusual set of five H2B variants, four of which are male germ cell-specific. We further confirm the absence of protamines in *Hydractinia*.

**Conclusions:** Since no protamines are found in hydroids, we suggest that the novel H2B variants are pivotal for sperm DNA packaging in this class of Cnidaria. This study adds to the limited number of full histone gene complements available in animals and sets a comprehensive framework for future studies on the role of histones and their post-translational modifications in cnidarian epigenetics. Finally, it provides insight into the evolution of spermatogenesis.
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**Background**

Most eukaryotes package and order their nuclear DNA into chromatin using a class of proteins called histones [1–4]. Histones evolved in the common ancestor of Archaea and Eukaryota, as evidenced by structural homology between modern euchromatic and archael histones [5, 6]. To facilitate packaging, the histones form an octameric core complex containing two of each of the four core histones (H2A, H2B, H3 and H4); in turn, DNA wraps around this histone core complex to form the basic unit of chromatin compaction called nucleosome [4, 7].

Nucleosomes compact the genome while still providing dynamic access for processes such as DNA transcription, replication and repair. To bring about these regulatory functions, a diverse array of distinct, combinatorial post-translational modifications occurs on tail domains of histones [8, 9]. To date, hundreds of epigenetically active histone modifications have been identified, for example monoubiquitination, acetylation, mono-, di- and tri-methylation of lysines, as well as mono- and dimethylation of arginines, phosphorylation of serines, threonines and tyrosines, and isomerisation of prolines [10].

In many eukaryotes canonical histone expression is replication-dependent, occurring in S-phase. Metazoans have evolved a unique mechanism to achieve a timely and highly coordinated expression of histones during replication. For this, they use non-polyadenylated mRNAs
with a specific 3′-untranslated region (UTR) containing a stem-loop sequence of 26 bp followed by a purine-rich downstream element. This region of the mRNA sequence facilitates histone mRNA maturation by binding U7 small nuclear RNA and a specific cleavage complex. Stem-loop recognition and mRNA stabilisation are cyclin-dependent and tightly linked to S-phase [11]. The genes of core histones do not contain introns, and their mRNAs generally have short 5′- and 3′-UTRs.

Due to their importance for essential functions such as DNA packaging and controlling DNA access, histones are amongst the most conserved and slowest evolving proteins known in eukaryotes [12]. Alongside the core histones, several types of histone variants have evolved to fulfill specific roles in diverse but essential functions such as chromosome segregation, meiotic recombination, transcriptional regulation and DNA repair [1, 6]. The emergence of many of these variants, such as CENP-A (chromosome segregation), H3.3 (transcription control), H2A.Z (promoter activation) and H2A.X (DNA repair), dates back to the earliest known diversifications of all extant eukaryotic lineages. As a consequence, these variants are of near universal occurrence amongst all eukaryotes [6, 13]. Other histones, such as the sperm-specific H2B histones found in sea urchins, and an oocyte-specific H2A.X variant in frogs, evolved later and have a more limited distribution. They carry out distinctive functions reflecting the unique biology of their host organisms [14, 15].

Protamines are histone-related, arginine-rich sperm nuclear basic proteins (SNBPs) that replace histones in the nuclei of the sperm of many animals to achieve a high level of DNA condensation. They are grouped into three types: histone type (H-type), protamine-like (PL-type) and protamine type (P-type). All three types may co-occur in different animal clades. The evolution of protamines is not well understood, but they are thought to be derived from histone H1 [16–19].

The Cnidaria are the sister group to Bilateria [20–22]. Besides their key phylogenetic position, cnidarians embody unique features that include remarkable regenerative powers and longevity, making them interesting research subjects for studying regeneration and ageing [23, 24]. Cnidarian stem cells were the first to be studied in any animal [25]. Many cnidarians can regenerate all tissue types and is generally immune to tumorigenesis [23, 26, 27]. It is likely that many of the unique biological features of cnidarians will depend on chromatin packaging properties and epigenetic regulation; however, the literature on cnidarian epigenetics and histones is fragmentary and incomplete.

Here, we present the full histone gene complement of the hydrozoan, colony-forming cnidarian *Hydractinia echinata* and discover that protamines are absent in this species. We analyse the genomic loci of all *Hydractinia* histones and show their spatial and temporal expression patterns at mRNA and protein levels. We place particular emphasis on histone variants found in the *Hydractinia* genome and discuss their potential evolutionary and functional contexts.

**Methods**

**Animal culture**

*Hydractinia echinata* colonies were collected from Galway Bay (Ireland) or Roscoff (France). The animals were cultured in artificial seawater at 18 °C under 14-/10-h light–dark regimes and were fed *Artemia franciscana* nauplii four times a week and ground oyster once per week. The animals spawn daily [28]. Polyps were harvested from mature colonies.

**Genomic DNA extractions**

Genomic DNA was extracted from adult female feeding polyps. Polyps were separated from colonies using surgical scissors and repeatedly washed in sterile-filtered artificial seawater. The animal tissue was then disrupted in 1 ml of DNA lysis buffer (100 mM Tris HCl (pH8), 1% SDS, 50 mM EDTA) using a plastic pestle. Thereafter, 2 µl each of RNaseA and RNaseT1 (both Thermo Fisher) were added and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Following this, 2 µl of proteinase K (25 mg ml⁻¹, Qiagen) were added and the solution was further incubated at 50 °C for 2 h. Finally, DNA was isolated using equal amounts of phenol (pH 8) and chloroform, and chloroform clean-up. Genomic DNA was precipitated from the aqueous phase using 1/10 volume of 5 M NaCl and 2.5 volume of ethanol and washed in 70 % ethanol three times. The resulting pellet was air-dried at room temperature and resuspended in Tris/EDTA (10 mM/1 mM, pH 8.0).

**Genome sequencing and preliminary assembly**

From genomic DNA a draft assembly was generated as follows: a paired-end Illumina fragment library was generated following established protocols (Illumina, Inc) and sequenced on a single MiSeq lane; 8,821,453 million read pairs were then assembled into 126,814 contigs (contig N50 = 4.9 kb) using the Phusion assembler [29]. Subsequently, two mate-pair DNA libraries with insert sizes of 3.4 and 5.5 kb from the same genomic source were constructed and sequenced on two lanes of HiSeq Rapid Run Illumina sequencing, producing 75,388,716 and 98,052,384 reads, respectively. These reads were used to order and orient the contigs into 77,987 scaffolds (scaffold N50 = 63.8 kb) using the Phusion assembler. The final assembly was 421 Mb. The raw reads are deposited into the NCBI Short Read Archive (accession numbers SRX1879642, SRX1879940 and SRX1880157).
RNA extraction, sequencing, RNA mapping and transcriptome assembly

For life stage-specific RNA read mapping and transcriptome assemblies, RNA was extracted from adult male and female sexual polyps, adult feeding polyps and 48-h-old larva. Any contaminating material not representing the selected stage was removed from the samples before processing, while seawater was replaced by three washes in sterile 0.5 M NaCl. Total RNA was isolated by guanidinium thiocyanate and CsCl cushion ultracentrifugation [30]. Standard cDNA synthesis was performed by the Cologne Center for Genomics at the University of Cologne. A total of 100-bp paired-end reads (170 bp insert size) were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq machines. The software FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.bbsrc.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/ [last accessed 07/06/2016]) was used to assess data quality and trimmomatic [31] to clean the reads. The clc mapper (CLC Bio software, Qiagen) was used to map RNA-Seq data against genomic contigs containing the histone cluster and analyse coverage for the different genes. BAM files containing the mapping data can be accessed online at https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.3436460.v1. A Hydractinia transcriptome using RNA extracted from adult female feeding polyps (see above) was generated using Trinity (v2.0.6; [32]) from raw reads and clustered using CD-HIT-EST and CAP3 as described in [33]. Following assembly and clustering, ORFs were predicted using EMBOSS getorf (>200 amino acids (-minsize 300), from START to STOP codons (-find 1); http://emboss.sourceforge.net/ [last accessed: 20/04/2016]). The longest ORF per transcript was retained.

Histone searches, histone gene loci annotation and visualisation of bioinformatics data

Transcripts and genomic loci sequences, which contained histone genes, were identified using NCBI BLAST from the transcriptome or genome draft assembly, respectively, and extracted using Geneious R8 (Biomatters). Genomic sequences were then annotated using the MAKER2 pipeline [34] at standard settings. RNA-Seq data, transcriptome and protein evidence (EMBOSS longest ORFs) were supplied to MAKER2 to produce evidence-based genome annotations in gff3 format. MAKER2 was set to use the ab initio predictors SNAP, GeneMark and Augustus to optimise annotations (for references of software used see [34]). A Brugia malayi protein model was used in Augustus since this model has empirically shown to be superior to newly generated models trained on Hydractinia protein data sets. Both Hydractinia echinata and Brugia malayi genomes are AT-rich, and it is assumed that the more exhaustive protein data available for Brugia resulted in the superior performance of the Brugia model in predicting protein-coding regions in such an AT-rich environment. Following MAKER2 annotation, genomic loci of histone genes were defined as genomic regions that contain the gene of interest and extend to the STOP/START of the coding sequence of the neighbouring up- and downstream genes. Histone genomic loci sequences, exons from MAKER2 gene models and stage-specific RNA-Seq alignments in bam format were transformed into data tracks and visualised using the R package Gviz (version 1.15.6; [35]). Histone 3′-UTR stem-loop and histone cluster Arginine tRNA structures were predicted using ‘RNAfold’ within the ViennaRNA Package software (http://www.tbi.univie.ac.at/RNA/ [last accessed: 20/04/2016]) and completed in Illustrator CS6 (Adobe). A k-mer-based alignment-free sequence comparison was performed using kmacs (http://kmacs.gobics.de/ [last accessed: 20/04/2016]).

Copy estimation of the canonical histone repeat cluster

A phrap assembly (http://www.phrap.org/phredphrapconsed.html; phrap version 1.090518) was generated from a randomly selected subset of Illumina HiSeq reads (40,000 paired-end 250 base reads), from an Illumina TruSeq DNA PCR-free library of H. echinata gDNA using the following command: phrap -ace test20kreads-pairs -retain_duplicates -minscore 140 -minmatch 70 -vector_bound 0 -repeat_stringency .999 -forcelevel 0. The largest contig, out of a total of 11,790 contigs, included a complete representation of one example copy of the histone region (5998 bases). The second and third largest contigs were joined together in consed [36] using overlap information forming a complete representation of one example copy of the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) repeat region (7039 bases). Using a 17-base-long k-mer word use histogram from 31.1×10^6 paired-end 250 base reads, k-mers from the histone region appear at approximately 28,000-fold coverage and the rDNA repeat region appears at the approximately 46,000-fold coverage (Additional file 1: S1B). With the diploid peak at 20× coverage (Additional file 1: S1A), this indicates there are 1400 copies of the histone region and 2300 copies of the rDNA repeat region in a diploid nucleus.

Gene phylogenies

Hydractinia histone sequences were obtained as described above. Aiptasia (Exaiptasia pallida) histones sequences were extracted from a database downloaded from http://aiptasia.reefgenomics.org/ [last accessed: 14/06/2016; see also GenBank accession PRJNA261862]. Other histone sequences were extracted from GenBank, and their accessions are given in Additional file 3: S3. Protein alignments were generated using MAFFT (v1.3.3; [37]), and ambiguous sites were manually removed using
Geneious (version R8; Biomatters). Maximum likelihood phylogenies were performed using a public, Web-based RAxML server [38] using a standard, empirical JTT (Jones, Taylor and Thornton) substitution matrix. The best-scoring tree was visualised using FigTree (v1.4.2; http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree) and annotated in Illustrator CS6 (Adobe).

In situ hybridisation, EdU-labelling, FISH and microscopy
For in situ hybridisation (ISH) experiments, male and female sexual and feeding polyps were cut from adult colonies using surgical scissors, anaesthetised for 30 min in 4 % MgCl2 in seawater and fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde. In situ hybridisation was performed as previously described [39, 40]. Hybridisations were performed at 50 °C. DNA templates for RNA probe synthesis were obtained by PCR from cDNA or genomic DNA (for single-exon histone genes) using gene-specific primers (Additional file 2: S2). T7 and SP6 RNA promoters were added to the 5′ ends of the primers when generating probes. ISH and fluorescent ISH (FISH) probes were digoxigenin (Dig) or fluorescein (FITC) labelled using SP6 or T7 RNA polymerase kits (both Fermentas), respectively, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Antibodies and dilutions for ISH and FISH were the following: anti-Dig AP (Roche 11093274910, 1:1000); anti-FITC AP (Roche 11426338910, 1:1000); anti-Dig conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (POD; Roche 11207733910, 1:1000) and anti-FITC POD (Roche 11426346910, 1:1000). The Tyramide Signal Amplification kit (PerkinElmer) was used for FISH according to the manufacturer’s instructions. EdU incorporation was performed for 30 min at a concentration of 150 μM. Following this, FISH was performed as described above. For EdU visualisation, animals were processed using the Click-iT EdU AlexaFluor 488 Imaging kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. ISH images were acquired on an Olympus BX51 inverted microscope, and FISH images were taken on an Olympus FV1000 inverted confocal microscope.

MNase assay
MNase assays were carried on Hydractinia echinata sperm. To do so, male polyps were first cut from adult colonies using surgical scissors. Then sperm were extracted from approximately 60 mature gonads using a fine syringe needle (235/8″) into 20 μl of 4 % MgCl2 · 6H2O (w/v). Upon extraction, 1 ml of hypotonic lysis buffer (10 mM DTT, complete protease inhibitor (Roche)) was added and the samples were incubated for 30 min on ice. Nuclei were centrifuged for 25 min at 16,000×g at 4 °C. The nuclei-containing pellet was then resuspended in 800 μl of chromatin digestion buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 15 mM NaCl, 60 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl2, 5 mM MgCl2, 300 mM sucrose and 0.4 % NP40 containing 0.0125 units of RNase T1 (Thermo Fisher)). The suspension was separated into four 200 μl aliquots. Aliquots were warmed to 37 °C for 1 min in a PCR machine with lid temperature of 42 °C. Then 0, 0.02, 0.2 and 0.4 units of MNase (NEB) were added, mixed and incubated at 37 °C for a further 3 min. The reaction was stopped with 0.2× volumes (6.8 μl) 100 mM EDTA and 4 % SDS. Five microlitres of proteinase K (20 μg μl−1, Qiagen) was then added. The solution was then incubated at 55 °C for 1 h, phenol–chloroform-extracted, dissolved in 15 μl nuclease-free H2O, run on a 2 % agarose gel, containing SYBRSafe DNA-stain, at 100 V for 40 min and visualised using a Multijimage® (Alpha Innotech) UV box.

Acid extraction of sperm proteins and SDS-PAGE
Acid-soluble proteins were extracted from nuclei-enriched fractions of Hydractinia echinata sperm. To do so, male polyps were first cut from adult colonies using surgical scissors. Then the mature gonads were cut from these polyps, transferred into a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube containing 500 μl of sterile-filtered artificial seawater (Instant Ocean) and squeezed using a sterile pestle resulting in sperm release. Sperm were then pelleted at 100×g at 4 °C for 2 min and washed twice in sterile seawater. The sperm pellet was then resuspended in nuclear extraction buffer (10 mM Tris–Cl [pH 8.0], 1 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2 and 1 mM DTT in complete protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]) and incubated for 30 min on ice. This results in sperm rupture and release of sperm nuclei. Nuclei were spun out of the suspension at 16,000×g at 4 °C for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded, nuclei resuspended in 400 μl of 0.4 N H2SO4 and incubated with slow rotation overnight at 4 °C. Insoluble material was pelleted at 16,000×g for 10 min at 4 °C, and soluble proteins were precipitated for 2 h on ice using 132 μl of trichloroacetic acid (TCA; 100 %, w/v), washed twice in ice-cold acetone, air-dried and dissolved in 100 μl of protease-free water. SDS-PAGE was performed with 10 μg protein using 4–12 % Bis–Tris gradient pre-cast gels (Novex) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Gels were stained using Coomassie blue and recorded using a Multijimage® (Alpha Innotech) gel chamber.

Protein mass spectrometry
Protein bands were excised using fresh sterile scalpel blades (one blade per band), transferred to 1.5-ml Eppendorf tubes, frozen at −80 °C and finally lyophilised at −70 °C under vacuum for shipment. Whole acid extracts of sperm were similarly lyophilised. Upon arrival at the proteomics facility (The Plant Cell Biology Research...
Centre, School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, Australia), lyophilised SDS-PAGE bands and whole acid extracts were rehydrated in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate for 5 min and digested as described in [41]. Following digestion, samples were resuspended in 20 µl of 0.1 % formic acid and 3 µl of each sample was analysed on a Q Exactive Plus (Thermo Fisher) coupled to an Ultimate 3000 RSLC nanosystem (Dionex). The nanoLC system was equipped with an Acclaim Pepmap nanocolumn (Dionex) and an Acclaim Pepmap analytical column (Dionex), operating at a flow rate of 3 µl min⁻¹ with a 40-min gradient of 3–80 % acetonitrile containing 0.1 % formic acid. The Q Exactive Plus mass spectrometer was operated in positive mode, spray voltage was set to 1800 kV, S-lens RF level at 50 and heated capillary at 250 °C. Peptides were fragmented using normalised collision energy of 35 and activation time of 0.1 ms in the data-dependent mode, whereby the top 10 ions between 400 and 1600 m/z with a charge state between 2+ and 5+ were selected for MS/MS. The MS data were analysed using MASCOT version 2.4 (Matrix Science) search engine against the transcriptome with the following parameters: enzyme: trypsin; fixed modifications: carbamidomethyl (C); variable modifications: acetylation (K), MS peptide tolerance: 10 ppm, MS/MS tolerance: 0.1 Da, number of missed cleavages: up to 1. Only proteins with two or more peptides with a p < 0.05 were considered present, after satisfying manual inspection.

Results

The Hydractinia genome encodes 19 histones and no protamines

We identified a total of 19 histones in the genome of Hydractinia echinata using sequence alignment and phylogenomics. These include three H1 genes, one H2A, two H2A.X, one H2A.Z, one macroH2A, six H2B, three H3 genes, one CENP-A and one H4 (Fig. 1; Table 1; Additional file 3: S3, Additional file 4: S4). All 19 genes possess a TATA-box (consensus TAWAAA) upstream of the coding sequence. Eighteen of the 19 genes are expressed in one or more stages throughout the life cycle as shown by RNA-Seq, in situ hybridisation (ISH) or MS-based proteomic techniques (Figs. 2, 4, 5, 6, 7; Additional file 4: S4, Additional file 5: S5). The remaining H1.3 gene is probably a pseudogene. Neither protamine (P-type) nor protamine-like (PL-type) genes were found, consistent with previous studies in other hydrozoans [16, 42, 43] (Fig. 7f, Additional file 9: S9).

Canonical histones

RNA-Seq showed that H1.1, H2A.1, H2B.1, H3.1 and H4.1 are expressed in all life stages analysed (Additional file 6: S6). The corresponding proteins can be readily identified by MS-based proteomics analysis in acid extracts from adult feeding polyps, sexual polyps and larva (Table 1; Additional file 4: S4, Additional file 5: S5). These histones are organised as single-exon genes in a 5998-bp-long tandem repeat cluster (Fig. 2b). Interestingly, this cluster also contains a 5S rRNA, a U1 and U2 snRNA and an Arg-tRNA (GCA codon) gene (Fig. 2d); a constellation not previously described. Both snRNAs and the 5SrRNA genes are transcribed across all life stages investigated (Fig. 2b, Additional file 6: S6). The exact number of canonical histone cluster repeats is undetermined due to the repetitive nature of the locus, but estimations based on a k-mer depth histogram suggest at least 700 clusters per haplotype (Additional file 1: S1). ISH shows that H1.1, H2A.1, H2B.1, H3.1 and H4.1 are expressed in a band-like pattern in polyps in an area that is known to harbour the majority of proliferative cells (Bradshaw et al. [24]). The 3′-UTRs of these histones lack a polyadenylation (polyA) signal and instead contain a highly conserved 16-bp stem-loop structure as well as a purine-rich histone downstream element (HDE; Fig. 3a–c). Both the stem-loop and the HDE are hallmark signs of replication-dependent histones [11]. ISH and EdU incorporation showed that these histones are expressed exclusively during S-phase (Fig. 2c). We conclude that the histone cluster described here represents the canonical core histones of Hydractinia. The protein and coding sequences of all 19 histones are deposited in GenBank (KX622123-41).

Common histone variants

The Hydractinia genome encodes two additional H1, one CENP-A, two H3.3, two H2A.X, one H2A.Z and one macroH2A histone gene. The genes are found at single genomic loci of various sizes. The variant histone genes contain no introns (H1.3, H3.3.1), one intron (H1.2, H2A.X.1, CENP-A, H3.3.2), four introns (H2A.X.2, H2A.Z) or seven introns (macroH2A) (Figs. 4, 5, 6). RNA-Seq, ISH and EdU incorporation assays indicate that, with the exception of H1.2 and H3.3.1, these genes are replication-independent, consistent with the absence of a 3′-UTR stem-loop and the presence of a polyA signal (Figs. 4b–d, 5a, b, 6A). All the common, replication-dependent histones—other than H2A.X.2—can be readily identified in larva, female feeding polyps and male sexual polyps by MS-based proteomics following acid extraction (Additional file 4: S4, Additional file 5: S5).

Hydractinia expresses an additional replication-independent linker histone (H1.2) and contains a linker histone pseudogene (H1.3)

We found two additional H1 genes in the Hydractinia genome, which we named H1.2 and H1.3. The H1.2 gene
contains two exons, lacks a 3′-UTR stem-loop and instead contains a polyA signal indicating replication-independent expression (Fig. 4Ai, Aii). H1.2 is the shortest of the three H1 histones lacking two N-terminal XPKK repeats which are found in the canonical *Hydractinia* H1 counterpart (Additional file 3: S3). RNA-Seq shows that H1.2 is expressed in all colony parts, but transcripts are most abundant in male sexual polyps (green wedge; Fig. 4a). ISH shows that H1.2 is expressed in a band-like pattern in the body column of feeding and sexual polyps and in male gonads. H1.2 is expressed independent of S-phase (Fig. 4Aiii).

The coding sequence of H1.3 is intron-less, contains a 3′-UTR stem-loop and is identical on the nucleotide level to the canonical H1 sequence. However, the 3′-UTR stem-loop includes three mismatches, which are predicted to result in a thermodynamically unstable structure using the ‘RNAfold’ software. A distance matrix generated using k-mer-based alignment-free sequence comparison shows that all *Hydractinia* histone 3′-UTR
stem-loops cluster together to the exclusion of the H1.3 stem-loop sequence (Additional file 7: S7). This loss of the stem-loop structure suggests that H1.3 may not be expressed in a replication-dependent manner and we find no 3′-UTR polyA signal or distinct H1.3 reads in RNA-Seq data. We therefore conclude that the H1.3 gene is never expressed and represents a non-functional pseudogene.

**Hydractinia possesses an oocyte-specific H2A.X variant (H2A.X.2)**

We find two H2A.X variants in the genome of *Hydractinia* (see above; Figs. 1 and 5), and H2A.X histones share a canonical SQEY amino acid sequence (consensus SQ[E/D/I][Y/F/L]) at the extreme C terminus [1, 13]. The serine (S) in this consensus sequence is specifically phosphorylated in response to DNA damage [44, 45]. *Hydractinia* H2A.X.1 contains the SQEY C-terminal consensus sequence, while H2A.X.2 ends in SQAY (Additional file 3: S3). H2A.X.1 is expressed in all polyp types and thus likely represents the canonical H2A.X (Fig. 5a), whereas H2A.X.2 is only expressed in female germ cells as shown by RNA-Seq and ISH (Fig. 5b).

*Hydractinia evolved an additional H3.3 gene (H3.3.2) that is replication-dependent*

*Hydractinia* possess two H3.3 variants (Fig. 6). The two H3.3 genes encode identical proteins, but differ on the nucleotide level (77.9 % similar) and their genomic context. The *Hydractinia* H3.3.1 gene is encoded by two exons and possesses a polyA signal (Fig. 6a), suggesting replication-independent expression of this histone, whereas the H3.3.2 gene is encoded by one exon and possesses a 3′-UTR stem-loop (Fig. 6c), implying replication-dependent expression. RNA-Seq shows that both H3.3 variants are expressed in all life stages of *Hydractinia*.

### Table 1 *Hydractinia echinata* histone complement

| Expression pattern | Replication-dependency? | Histone type | Genomic locus |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| H1.1               | Male, female, feeding polyps | Y\(^\dagger\) | Core histone | 1 exon, canonical cluster incl. H1, H2A, H2B, H3, H4 |
| H1.2               | Male, female, feeding polyps | N\(^\dagger\) | Hydractinia variant | Single locus, 2 exons |
| H1.3               | – | – | Pseudogene | Single locus, 1 exon |
| H2A.1              | Transcripts present in all life stages analysed | Y\(^\dagger\) \(^\dagger\) | Core histone | 1 exon, canonical cluster incl. H1, H2A, H2B, H3, H4 |
| H2A.Z              | Male, female, feeding polyps | N | Replication-independent variant | Single locus, 5 exons |
| H2A.X.1            | Male, female, feeding polyps | N | Replication-independent variant | Single locus, 2 exons |
| H2A.X.2            | Female germ cells | N | Hydractinia variant | Single locus, 5 exons |
| macroH2A           | Transcripts present in all life stages analysed | N | Replication-independent variant | Single locus, 8 exons |
| H2B.1              | Male, female, feeding polyps | Y\(^\dagger\) | Core histone | 1 exon, canonical cluster incl. H1, H2A, H2B, H3, H4 |
| H2B.2              | Transcripts present in male polyps only | Y\(^\dagger\) \(^\dagger\) | Hydractinia variant | 1 exon, germ cell-specific cluster incl. H2B.2, H2B.5 H2B.6 |
| H2B.3              | Male germ cells | Y\(^\dagger\) | Hydractinia variant | Single locus, 1 exon |
| H2B.4              | Embryo, male, female, feeding polyps | Y\(^\dagger\) | Hydractinia variant | Single locus, 1 exon |
| H2B.5              | Male germ cells | Y\(^\dagger\) \(^\dagger\) | Hydractinia variant | 1 exon, germ cell-specific cluster incl. H2B.2, H2B.5 H2B.6 |
| H2B.6              | Male germ cells | Y\(^\dagger\) | Hydractinia variant | 1 exon, germ cell-specific cluster incl. H2B.2, H2B.5 H2B.6 |
| H3.3.1             | Male, female, feeding polyps | N\(^\dagger\) | Replication-independent variant | Single locus, 2 exons |
| H3.3.2             | Male, female, feeding polyps | Y\(^\dagger\) | Replication-dependent Hydractinia and Echinoderm variant | Single locus, 1 exon |
| H3.1               | Male, female, feeding polyps | Y\(^\dagger\) \(^\dagger\) | Core histone | 1 exon, canonical cluster incl. H1, H2A, H2B, H3, H4 |
| CENP-A             | Transcripts present in all life stages, highest in male polyps | N | Replication-independent variant | Single locus, 2 exons |
| H4.1               | Male, female, feeding polyps | Y\(^\dagger\) \(^\dagger\) | Core histone | Canonical cluster incl. H1, H2A, H2B, H3, H4 |

\(^\dagger\) Confirmed using EdU incorporation

\(^\dagger\) 3′-UTR stem-loop present
ISH shows their spatial expression in a subset of cells throughout the animal in both feeding and sexual polyps. ISH in conjunction with EdU incorporation confirms that H3.3.1 is expressed independent of replication and is highly expressed in immature gametes of both sexes (Fig. 6a). Conversely, H3.3.2 is expressed in S-phase cells as predicted by its 3′-UTR stem-loop (Fig. 6b).

**Novel histone variants**

*Hydractinia* has five additional H2B genes (H2B.2-6), of which H2B.3-6 are very similar at the protein levels, making them indistinguishable by protein-based MS (Additional file 5: S5, Additional file 8: S8). At the nucleotide level, H2B.3 is similar to H2B.4, and H2B.5 is similar to H2B.6. Histone H2B.2 is distinct from the other H2B isoforms at both the protein and nucleotide level. As a consequence, the ISH we carried out could only distinguish three subsets of *Hydractinia* H2Bs containing (one) H2B.2, (two) H2B.3/4 or (three) H2B.5/6. RNA-Seq data, however, allowed to precisely distinguish between all *Hydractinia* H2Bs and provided detailed insight in regard to *Hydractinia* H2B expression patterns (Fig. 7a, d).
H2B.2/3/5/6 are replication-dependent, sperm-specific histone variants. H2B.4 appears to also be expressed in other tissues

Histone H2B.3/4 mRNA could be detected in embryo and male sexual polyps based on ISH (Fig. 7a). We can show that H2B.3/4 is expressed independent of canonical H2B.1 in gonads (Fig. 7Bi) and confirm that it is expressed in a replication-dependent manner using EdU incorporation (Fig. 7Bii). Histone H2B.3/4 mRNAs were strongly expressed in presumed spermatogonia at the base of immature male gonads (Fig. 7Aii, Bi, C) as well as in developing sperm (Fig. 7Ai, Bii). RNA-Seq data show that only H2B.4 is expressed in larva, feeding and male polyps (Fig. 7a). In summary, based on RNA-Seq, proteomics and ISH data we generated, H2B.2, H2B.3, H2B.5 and H2B.6 specifically expressed in male sexual polyps, while H2B.4 is also expressed in other tissue types. H2B.5 and H2B.6 are expressed exclusively by maturing sperm (Fig. 7d), but it is not clear whether they are co-expressed or sequentially expressed. H2B.2 is exclusive to male sexual polyp in RNA-Seq data (Fig. 7d), but its spatial expression within the polyp remains elusive since its mRNA could not be detected by ISH.

The five variant H2Bs are intron-less and replication-dependent, containing a typical histone 3′-UTR stem-loop and no polyA signals (Figs. 3, 7a, d). Furthermore, H2B.2, H2B.5 and H2B.6 may be functionally linked, as they are organised into a single 5769-bp genomic cluster (Fig. 7d). The histones H2B.3 and H2B.4 are encoded at individual genomic loci (Fig. 7a). H2B.2 is the most divergent H2B variant (Additional file 3: S3, Additional file 5: S5, Additional file 8: S8) with an uncommonly short N terminus lacking the canonical, positively charged amino acids (K or R) (Additional file 6: S6). No specific H2B.2 homologue exists in any other eukaryote. Interestingly, the histone variants H2B.3-6 possess unusual N-terminal extensions that contain a number of conspicuous ‘SPKK’ and ‘SPKR’ repeats. There are five such repeats in H2B.5, six in H2B.3 and H2B.6, and seven in H2B.4 (Additional file 8: S8). Such four amino acid repeat sequences have been found before in the N termini of sea urchin H1s and H2Bs, and they are thought to facilitate the dense packaging of sperm DNA in the absence of protamines [14, 16, 42, 43, 46–48]. ‘SPKK’ repeats are also present in Drosophila and zebrafish CENP-A [49] as well as angiosperm plant heterochromatin-specific H2A.W [50].

**Hydractinia lacks sperm nuclear basic proteins (SNBPs) and uses histones to pack its male germinal DNA**

Following acid extraction of sperm proteins, eight prominent bands could be observed in SDS-PAGE (Fig. 7f). MS analysis of the tryptic digests of the bands reveals only histones and no protamine-type SNBPs. This by itself is not proof for the absence of protamines and...
protamine-like proteins as they are insoluble in SDS due to their high arginine content. Therefore, we carried out protein mass spectrometry analysis of total acid extracts from sperm without prior SDS-PAGE. This analysis shows that *Hydractinia* sperm contains only histones and no other major basic proteins (Additional file 9: S9). Furthermore, micrococcal nuclease digestion of *Hydractinia* sperm nuclei clearly demonstrates that sperm chromatin is organised in nucleosomes (Fig. 7e) further corroborating the absence of protamines and
SNBPs in sperm. Moreover, database searches against the full transcriptome and draft genome using reciprocal BLAST against NCBI’s NR database also failed to reveal either protamines or protamine-like genes in *Hydractinia*. This is in line with observations made by others that suggest that hydrozoan cnidarians lack protamines entirely [16, 42, 43].

**Discussion**

The canonical H1.1, H2A.1, H2B.1, H3.1 and H4.1 genes of *Hydractinia* are present in multiple tandem repeat clusters of approximately 700 copies per haplotype and expressed in S-phase cells, as expected. A similar, partially sequenced cluster containing H3, H4, H2A and H2B was previously described for the coral...
Acropora formosa [51]. Interestingly, the Hydractinia canonical histone clusters also contain U1 and U2 snRNAs, a 5S rRNA and an arginine tRNA. While clusters of canonical histones are common in eukaryotes [1], the linkage with other elements is rare. A 5S rRNA was also reported in branchiopod crustaceans and bivalve molluscs [52, 53], and the association of U1 and U2 snRNA genes with 5S rRNA has been observed previously in various eukaryotes [54, 55], but not in the context of a histone gene cluster. The placement of U2 into the canonical histone cluster could be related to its histone-relevant functional role in stem-loop-mediated U7-snRNP-dependent histone 3′-end formation [56]. The placement of U1 in the histone cluster and its role in histone maturation are unclear. Finally, positively charged arginine residues are common in histones, and placing an arginine tRNA gene within the histone cluster may be important in this context.

Similar to other metazoans, Hydractinia possesses the common histones variants CENP-A, H2A.X, H2A.Z, macroH2A and H3.3. These are expressed independent of replication and encoded by multi-exon genes outside of the canonical clusters. Expression of these histone variants is consistent with the expected pattern of such ‘replacement’ histones, which are implicated in chromatin repair, remodelling and transcriptional control [6].

Of particular interest are the lineage-specific histone variants. We found that Hydractinia possesses additional genes for histone H1 (H1.2 and H1.3), histone H2A.X (H2A.X.2), H2B (H2B.2-6) and H3.3 (H3.3.2). Hydractinia H1.2 is expressed throughout all life stages.
and appears to be upregulated in male polyps, but its role is unknown. H1.3 is not expressed and is likely to be a pseudogene. *Hydractinia* H1.2 upregulation in male polyps suggests that this histone plays a role in sperm development. Distinct H1 variants in males exist in various animals [46]. For example, the sperm-specific H1 variants H1fx and H1B.Sp in *Xenopus* [57], the SpH1 from the sea urchin *Parechinus angulosus* [58] and the mammal H1 variants H1T, HILS1 and H1T2 have all been shown to be involved in spermagogenesis (see references in [46]).

H2A.X.2 is strongly expressed in a replication-independent manner in *Hydractinia* oocytes (Fig. 5a, b). We could not find additional H2A.X genes in other cnidarians outside of the genus *Hydractinia*; thus, the additional H2A.X gene may be the result of a recent gene duplication. The occurrence of specific H2A.X variants in oocytes, eggs and early embryogenesis is rare and has been observed only in the frog *Xenopus laevis* [15]. Here, the additional H2A.X protein (termed H2A.X-F) is phosphorylated despite the absence of exogenous DNA damage during embryogenesis. During mouse pre-implantation development H2A.X expression was also found to be upregulated [59]. Furthermore, high basal levels of phosphorylated H2A.X were found in mouse embryonic stem cells and associated with global chromatin decondensation rather than DNA damage [60]. Despite these observations, the role of H2A.X in embryogenesis is not yet understood. One hypothesis suggests that H2A.X upregulation is involved in modulation of cellular responses in early cell cycles in rapidly proliferating, externally developing animals [45]. However, the canonical H2A.X of *Hydractinia* (H2A.X.1) does not appear to be upregulated in female polyps when compared to male polyps (Fig. 5A), and thus, it appears that the need for additional H2A.X copies in oocytes of *Hydractinia* is instead provided by a second H2A.X gene (H2A.X.2).

*Hydractinia* H3.3.2 represents another unusual histone, because it is replication-dependent, in contrast to the replication-independent H3.3.1 with identically encoded protein sequence. The occurrence of a replication-dependent H3.3 variant is unusual and has been described previously only in the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* [47]. Histone H3.3 variants are generally highly conserved and differ from the canonical H3 at four or five specific amino acid positions, notably at position 31, where an alanine (A) is replaced by a serine (S); at positions 87, 89 and 90, where the sequence ‘SAVM’ is replaced by ‘AAIG’; and at position 96 where a cysteine (C) is replaced with a serine (S) [61, 62]. In *Hydractinia*, four out of five of these changes occur, with the last change at position 96 being absent (Additional file 3: S3). Interestingly, some yeast species contain only a single H3 protein sequence, which is highly similar to H3.3 [63]. Based on these data and based on a study that phylogenetically analysed a large number of H3 variants in all eukaryotic supergroups [64], it is evident that H3.3 represents the ancestral protoH3 histone that was most probably present in the last eukaryotic common ancestor (LECA) and that modern, canonical H3 is a derived variant of H3.3. Generally, in cases where canonical H3 and H3.3 co-occur H3.3 is replication-independent and replaces canonical H3 in nucleosomes after
Hydractinia genomes. Since we find only histones in acid extracts of rare and unique histone variants. Functional studies. Our study demonstrates that cnidarians contain post-translational modifications in cnidarian epigenetics. This study adds to the limited data available for histone gene expression to proceed rapidly and effectively in G2 without the need for de novo H3.3 tagging.

Our work corroborates previous studies [16, 42, 43, 46] showing that hydrozoans lack P- and PL-type SNPBs. Instead, four H2B histone variants are expressed either exclusively (H2B.3, H2B.5, H2B.6) or preferentially (H2B.4) in developing sperm. These histones all include SPKK/SPKR motifs at their N termini. We could only find similar H2B histone variants in other hydrozoans, which also appear to lack true protamines, but not in the protamine-like SNPB containing anthozoans (Nematostella vectensis, Acropora digitifera and Exaiptasia pallida (Aiptasia)) and medusozoans (Aurelia aurita, Chironex fleckeri) transcripomes or genomes. Since we find only histones in acid extracts of Hydractinia sperm and show that nucleosomes are present in this cell type by MNase assay, we suggest that Hydractinia exclusively uses histones to pack its sperm DNA. The absence of protamines in other hydrozoans further suggests that this histone-based type of DNA packaging is a general feature of hydrozoan sperm. It has been shown previously in sea urchin that a similar H2B variant containing these conspicuous SPKK/SPKR motifs is incorporated into nucleosomes but also interacts with linker DNA leading to higher compaction and denser heterochromatin formation [69]. Our data provide additional evidence suggesting that the function of sperm DNA condensation can be performed by N-terminal SPKK/SPKR-containing H2B variants in the absence of protamines.

Conclusions

This study adds to the limited data available for histone gene complements in metazoans and also provides a framework for studies on the role of histones and their post-translational modifications in cnidarian epigenetics. Our study demonstrates that cnidarians contain rare and unique histone variants. Functional studies on these histones may provide insight into their role in mediating the aforementioned unique cnidarian features.
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Additional file 4. S4. Summary table of all experiments and results.
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