A Hierarchical Approach to Encrypted Data Packet Classification in Smart Home Gateways
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Abstract—With the pervasive network based services in smart homes, traditional network management cannot guarantee end-user quality-of-experience (QoE) for all applications. End-user QoS must be supported by efficient network quality-of-service (QoS) measurement and efficient network resource allocation. With the software-defined network technology, the core network may be controlled more efficiently by a network service provider. However, end-to-end network QoS can hardly be improved the managing the core network only. In this paper, we propose an encrypted packet classification scheme for smart home gateways to improve end-to-end QoS measurement from the network operator side. Furthermore, other services such as statistical data collecting, billing to service providers, etc., can be provided without compromising end-user privacy nor security of a network. The proposed encrypted packet classification scheme has a two-level hierarchical structure. One is the service level, which is based on applications that have the same network QoS requirements. A faster classification scheme based on deep learning is proposed to achieve real-time classification with high accuracy. The other one is the application level, which is based on fine-grained applications. A non-real-time classifier can be applied to provide high accuracy. Evaluation is conducted on both level classifiers to demonstrate the efficiency and accuracy of the two types of classifiers.

I. INTRODUCTION

Smart home has been advanced with various types of network applications [1, 2]. Network devices in a smart home can be divided into Home Automation, such as smart meters, smart lock, etc.; Health-care, such as heart rate monitor, wireless blood pressure monitor, etc.; and Entertainment, such as on-line video streaming, on-line gaming, etc. [1]. A network operator cannot provide network quality-of-service (QoS) guarantee as the end-to-end network QoS measurement cannot be performed without compromising the privacy of an end user. Even if network QoS can be measured, it is hard to guarantee user quality-of-experience (QoE) of all network services because the QoS requirements are different [3].

In this paper, we propose a hierarchical approach to encrypted data packet classification in smart home gateways. Successful packet classification at the gateway can improve end-to-end QoS measurement from the network operator side. Furthermore, other services such as statistical data collecting, billing to service providers, etc., can be provided without compromising end-user privacy nor security of a network. Existing work in packet classification mainly includes port detection, statistical processing, machine learning, and payload inspection [4, 5]. Port-based classifiers use the information in the TCP/IP headers of the packets to extract the port number which is associated with a particular application [4]. However, the accuracy of this method is low because of port forwarding, protocol embedding, network address translation, etc. Payload inspection techniques are based on the analysis of information available in the payload of packets [5]. It is often useless in case of encrypted packets. Machine learning and deep learning are the new approaches to classify data packet [6, 7]. Our proposed packet classifiers are developed with deep learning, specifically, convolutional neural network (CNN) is applied to the design.

Due to high complexity of deep learning, real-time classification is a daunting task at home gateways. We propose to classify data packets using hierarchical classifiers, i.e., a service-aware classifier and multiple application-aware classifiers. A service-aware classifier is to process service layer packet, e.g., video streaming, on-line chat, on-line gaming, etc. in real-time. The results from service-level classification can be applied directly for network QoS measurement and network management. Researchers have worked on accelerating neural network computation from the hardware level. For example, the unique characteristics of non-volatile memories (NVM) was applied to accelerate the computation [8]. Parallelism has also been applied to speed up deep learning process [9]. Given fixed hardware and deep learning scheme, we propose to reduce the input size with a data interpolation scheme to further speed up the classification process. Note that the contribution of this work is the hierarchical structure of the classifiers, thus details of the data interpolation scheme are not presented. An application-aware classifier is to identify actual application of each encrypted packet, e.g., Youtube, Netflix, etc. from the service-level result. The application level classification does not require a real-time process, nonetheless, accuracy needs to be guaranteed. The application-level classification can be performed at the network operator side for other purposes, e.g., statistics collection, billing, etc.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the proposed hierarchical approach to encrypted packet classification. Section III describes the CNN based packet classification scheme. Section IV presents the experimental environment and the evaluation results. Section V concludes this work.
II. HIERARCHICAL APPROACH FOR ENCRYPTED PACKET CLASSIFICATION

The proposed hierarchical structure for encrypted packet classification is shown in Fig. 1. The structure is composed of two levels, service level and application level.
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Fig. 1: Overview of the hierarchical structure.

A. Service Level

The service level is supported by a service-aware classifier. The classifier can conduct real-time classification based on network services, e.g., video streaming, online chatting, online gaming, etc. An accurate classification will enable efficient network management. Service level includes two main parts described as follows:

- **Service Catalog:** A service catalog is to categorize different applications into multiple services. For example, Hangout and Facebook Chat would belong to the same data chat service category. It is crucial to form and maintain a service catalog so that different application with the same network QoS requirements can be managed at this level. Based on previous research [2, 10], we propose to form a service catalog with 3 classes, i.e., video streaming, online gaming, etc. Such information could be applied to other purposes, e.g., application rating, user billing, etc.

- **Service-Aware Classifier:** This classifier is used for encrypted packet classification according to the service catalog sub-classes. The service-aware classifier is supposed to operate in real-time. The results can be used to label each packet, e.g., in IP Header field such as DSCP of ToS, or the SDN based control message for further network measurement and resource management.

B. Application Level

The application level is supported by an application-aware classifier. The classifier can identify each encrypted data packet at the application level. For example, if a service has been determined as online chatting, the application-aware classifier will further classify it as AIM, Hangout, Facebook, etc. Such information could be applied to other purposes, e.g., billing.

- **Application Catalog:** An application catalog is different from the service catalog. It is a fine-grained catalog. For example, Youtube, Netflix, and Vimeo are maintained separately in the catalog. For simplicity, the application catalog is composed of sub-catalog. For example, applications belong to the same service category are in the same application level sub-catalog.

- **Application-Aware Classifier:** This classifier is used for application level classification in each sub-catalog. Therefore, multiple classifiers will be created at the application level. For example, AIM, Hangout, etc., will be processed by the chat-only classifier, while Youtube, Netflix, etc., will be processed by the video-only classifier. In comparison to the service level, application-aware labels cannot be added to the DSCP of ToS field due to limited sizes. Therefore, we proposed to label application level results based on SDN technologies, e.g., Netflow or sFlow [11, 12]. The actual labeling is beyond the scope of this work.

III. CNN BASED ENCRYPTED PACKET CLASSIFIER

We propose to develop the classifier using a deep learning approach. Details will be given in the next section.

B. Application Level

The application level is supported by an application-aware classifier. The classifier can identify each encrypted data packet at the application level. For example, if a service has been determined as online chatting, the application-aware classifier will further classify it as AIM, Hangout, Facebook, etc. Such information could be applied to other purposes, e.g., application rating, user billing, etc. Similar to service level, application level includes two main parts described as follows:

- **Application Catalog:** An application catalog is different from the service catalog. It is a fine-grained catalog. For example, Youtube, Netflix, and Vimeo are maintained separately in the catalog. For simplicity, the application catalog is composed of sub-catalog. Where applications belong to the same service category are in the same application level sub-catalog.

- **Application-Aware Classifier:** This classifier is used for application level classification in each sub-catalog. Therefore, multiple classifiers will be created at the application level. For example, AIM, Hangout, etc., will be processed by the chat-only classifier, while Youtube, Netflix, etc., will be processed by the video-only classifier. In comparison to the service level, application-aware labels cannot be added to the DSCP of ToS field due to limited sizes. Therefore, we proposed to label application level results based on SDN technologies, e.g., Netflow or sFlow [11, 12]. The actual labeling is beyond the scope of this work.

III. CNN BASED ENCRYPTED PACKET CLASSIFIER

We develop encrypted packet classifiers around CNN [13]. CNN is a typical deep learning network applied for classification. Different from a typical deep neural network, the artificial neural network, CNN applies function convolutions, as follows:

\[ y(t) = x(t) \ast \omega(t), \]  

(1)

where \( x(t) \) is the input function and \( \omega(t) \) is the kernel function. The overview structure of a CNN is given in Fig. 2. As it shows, whole network consists of three types of layer: input layer, hidden layer and output layer. Computational features include local receptive field, shared weights and bias, and pooling, as described below.

**Local receptive field:** In an ordinary neural network, the inputs are depicted as a vertical line of neurons. In CNN, the layers have neurons arranged in three dimensions: width, height, depth. Each neuron in the \( l \)-th layer is connected to a small region of the neurons from the previous layer. The small region is called the local receptive field. We slide the local receptive field across the entire \((l - 1)\)-th layer. And there will be a different neuron in the \( l \)-th layer for each local receptive field.
**Shared weights and biases:** transition from a layer to the next layer is defined by a weight matrix and a bias, e.g.,

\[ f(x_i, w_i, b) = \sum_i w_i x_i + b. \]  

In CNN, the weight matrix and the bias are usually shared for different transitions. The shared weights and bias are often called as kernel or filter. The advantage of applying shared weights and biases is that it greatly reduces the number of parameters.

**Pooling:** Pooling is an important component of a CNN. A pooling layer is usually used after a convolutional layer to reduce the dimensionality of the results from convolution. In the mean time, pooling would retain most information with the reduced dimensionality. One common procedure for pooling is max-pooling. In max-pooling, a pooling unit outputs the largest element within a rectangular subregion. Other popular procedures for pooling include average pooling, L2 pooling, etc.

In the model training process, a loss function is defined based on cross entropy:

\[ L = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \ln f(x_i, \theta), \]  

where \( y \) is the output of the \( j \)-th neuron. \( \hat{y} = \{\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2, \hat{y}_3, \ldots, \hat{y}_N\} \) is the complete set of classes, and \( N \) denotes number of classes. The output with the highest probability indicates the class of the input value.

**Algorithm 1** Training the encrypted packet classifier

**Input:** Training data, training parameters

**Output:** Classification results \( \hat{Y} = \{\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2, \hat{y}_3, \ldots, \hat{y}_N\} \).

1: for \( t = 1 \) to \( N_c \) do
2: for each \( M \) input data do
3: for each \( x \in X \) do
4: Compute the convolutional results;
5: Compute according to Eq. (3);
6: Max pooling according to Eq. (4);
7: Output classification results according to Eq. (5);
8: Compute the training error according to Eq. (6);
9: \((W, b) \leftarrow \text{arg min} L;\)
10: end for
11: end for
12: end for

Stochastic gradient method is applied to find weights and bias that computes the minimum loss.
To start the training process, training parameters are set as \(\{N_e, M, \eta, K, S\}\), where \(N_e\) is the maximum number of Epoch, \(M\) is the size of mini_batch used in the stochastic gradient method, \(\eta\) is the learning rate, \(K\) is the number of filters, \(S\) is the step length. The complete process for the training process is summarized in Alg. [1]

IV. Experiment and Evaluation Results

A. Experiment Settings

In this section, we will evaluate the proposed hierarchical structure of encrypted packet classification. In particular, we will focus on the accuracy and computational efficiency in classification process. The configuration of the experiments are as follows. The evaluations are implemented using Matlab R2018a. The computer configurations are as follows: Intel i7-7700K CPU, 16GB RAM. Two GPUs are used, including an Nvidia GTX 1080 for training, and Nvidia Quatro K420 for classification tests.

| No | Application Name | Encryption Protocol | Packet Numbers |
|----|------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 1  | AIM_Chat         | based on HTTPS      | 1243           |
| 2  | Facebook_Chat    | based on HTTPS      | 2192           |
| 3  | Gmail_Chat       | based on HTTPS      | 1800           |
| 4  | Hangout_Chat     | based on HTTPS      | 7587           |
| 5  | ICQ_Chat         | based on HTTPS      | 2721           |
| 6  | Youtube          | based on HTTPS      | 12738          |

The encrypted data is chosen from the dataset “ISCX VPN-nonVPN traffic dataset” [13]. This data set consists of several encrypted traffic data with PCAP files format. Due to limited space, data parsing process is not illustrated in this work. As a concept-proof work, we select six classes of data, as listed in Tab. [1]. Five of the classes can be categorized as data chat, and Youtube represents video service. The total amount of data is 28282 pieces. The training process is conducted with 40% of the selected data set. Each data packet is 1500-byte long. The input matrix to the classifier has a full size of \(39 \times 39\). We applied data interpolation scheme to reduce the input size to \(20 \times 20\). Details of the data interpolation is summarized in another work of ours. For simplicity, we name a \(39 \times 39\) matrix as full size data, and a \(20 \times 20\) as reduced size data for the rest of the evaluation.

B. Evaluation of the Service-Level Classifier

We first evaluate the service-level classifier with 2 services, i.e., data chat and video. Each time, the classifier processes 80% i.e., 22,626 packets). The results are extracted from 50 tests. As shown in Fig. [4] the average processing time for 22,626 full size data is around 5.5 second, while the corresponding time to process reduced size data is around 2 seconds. The computational time is decreased by 63% when processing reduced size data. Note that the classification is completed with the lower-end GPU (i.e., Quatro K420 in the setting). If processing using the higher-end GPU (i.e., GTX 1080 in the setting), the increased computational margin cannot achieve the same amount of time saving.

![Fig. 4: Time cost of different size of matrix.](image)

We then test the accuracy of the classifiers with full and reduced size data. In each test, the same amount of data in the two service categories are randomly chosen for classification. The results are extracted from 100 tests. As shown in Tab. [II] the average accuracy of the two services using the full-size classifier are 99.95% and 99.07% respectively. The average accuracy of the two services using the reduced-size classifier are 99.01% and 99.25% respectively. The minimum accuracy achieved in all 100 tests are 98.94% and 99.15% for the two service categories using the reduced-size classifier. Therefore, the proposed service-level classification can be achieved with the same accuracy and higher computational efficiency when using reduced-size classifier.

| Chat    | Youtube |
|---------|---------|
| Full    | Reduced |
| Maximum | 0.9997  | 0.9914  |
|         | 0.9916  | 0.9933  |
| Average | 0.9995  | 0.9901  |
|         | 0.9907  | 0.9925  |
| Minimum | 0.9994  | 0.9894  |
|         | 0.9897  | 0.9915  |

![Fig. 5 shows the average of the classification results from multiple tests.](image)
testing the full-size chat-only classifier and 50 tests for the reduced-size all-application classifier.

As shown in Fig. 6, the accuracy of the full-sized chat-only classifier (denoted as 5 in the figure) is higher than the reduced-sized all-application classifier (denoted as 6 in the figure) for almost every application. In particular, the accuracy is over 85% for all applications when using the full-size chat-only classifier, while two applications are classified with lower accuracy when using the reduced-size all-application classifier. Therefore, in the application layer, classifiers should be trained only to the service layer.

V. CONCLUSION

In the paper, we proposed a hierarchical approach for encrypted packet classification in smart gateways. In the proposed design, the higher level has a service-aware classifier and the lower level has multiple application-aware classifiers. At the service level, we further propose a scheme to reduce the input size, thus a gateway can compute much more efficiently for real-time classification. At the application level, application-aware classifiers can accurately identify data packets based on the actual applications. Evaluations were conducted to demonstrate the computational efficiency and accuracy at the service level. Accuracy at the application level was also demonstrated with experiments. In our future work, we will extend the work by using unsupervised deep learning approach to manage the service-level categories.
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