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Abstract

In this paper, new forms of nano continuous functions in terms of the notion of nano $I_\alpha$-open sets called nano $I_\alpha$-continuous functions, strongly nano $I_\alpha$-continuous functions and nano $I_\alpha$-irresolute functions will be introduced and studied. We establish new types of nano $I_\alpha$-open functions, nano $I_\alpha$-closed functions and nano $I_\alpha$-homeomorphisms. A comparison between these types of functions and other forms of continuity will be discussed. We prove the isomorphism between simple graphs via the nano continuity between them. Finally, we apply these topological results on some models for medicine and physics which will be used to give a solution for some real-life problems.
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Introduction and preliminaries

The theory of nano topology was introduced by Lellis Thivagar et al. [1]. They defined a nano topological space with respect to a subset $X$ of a universe $U$ which is defined based on lower and upper approximations of $X$.

**Definition 1.1** [2]. Let $U$ be a certain set called the universe set and let $R$ be an equivalence relation on $U$. The pair $(U, R)$ is called an approximation space. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. Let $X \subseteq U$.

(i) The lower approximation of $X$ with respect to $R$ is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as $X$ with respect to $R$ and it is denoted by $L_R(X)$. That is $L_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R_x : R_x \subseteq X\}$, where $R_x$ denotes to the equivalence class determined by $x$.

(ii) The upper approximation of $X$ with respect to $R$ is the set of all objects, which can be possibly classified as $X$ with respect to $R$ and it is denoted by $U_R(X)$. That is $U_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R_x : R_x \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$, where $R_x$ denotes to the equivalence class determined by $x$.
(iii) The boundary region of $X$ with respect to $R$ is the set of all objects, which can be classified neither as $X$ nor as not $X$ with respect to $R$ and it is denoted by $B_R(X)$. That is $B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$, where $R_x$ denotes the equivalence class determined by $x$.

According to Pawlak’s definition, $X$ is called a rough set if $U_R(X) \neq L_R(X)$.

**Definition 1.2** [3, 4]. Let $U$ be the universe and $R$ be an equivalence relation on $U$ and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$, where $X \subseteq U$ and $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms:

(i) $U$ and $\emptyset \in \tau_R(X)$;

(ii) The union of elements of any sub-collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$;

(iii) The intersection of the elements of any finite sub-collection of $\tau_R(X)$ in $\tau_R(X)$.

That is $\tau_R(X)$ forms a topology on $U$. $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called a nano topological space. Nano-open sets are the elements of $(U, \tau_R(X))$. It originates from the Greek word ‘nanos’ which means ‘dwarf’ in its modern scientific sense, an order to magnitude-one billionth. The topology is named as nano topology so because of its size since it has at most five elements [4]. The dual nano topology is $[\tau_R(X)]^* = F_R(X)$ and its elements are called nano closed sets.

Lellis Thivagar et al. [5] defined the concept of nano topological space via a direct simple graph.

**Definition 1.3** [5, 6]. A graph $G$ is an ordered pair of disjoint sets $(V, E)$, where $V$ is non-empty and $E$ is a subset of unordered pairs of $V$. The vertices and edges of a graph $G$ are the elements of $V = V(G)$ and $E = E(G)$, respectively. We say that a graph $G$ is finite (resp. infinite) if the set $V(G)$ is finite (resp. infinite).

**Definition 1.4** [5]. Let $G(V, E)$ be a directed graph and $u, v \in V(G)$, then:

(i) $u$ is invertex of $v$ if $\exists \overline{uv} \in E(G)$.

(ii) $u$ is outvertex of $v$ if $\exists \overline{vu} \in E(G)$.

(iii) The neighborhood of $v$ is denoted by $N(v)$, and given by $N(v) = \{v\} \cup \{u \in V(G) : \overline{vu} \in E(G)\}$

**Definition 1.5.** Let $G(V, E)$ be a graph and $H$ be a subgraph of $G$. Then

(i) [5] The lower approximation $L : P(V(G)) \rightarrow P(V(G))$ is $L_N(V(H)) = \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} \{v : N(v) \subseteq V(H)\}$;

(ii) [7] The upper approximation $U : P(V(G)) \rightarrow P(V(G))$ is $U_N(V(H)) = \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} \{v : N(v) \cap V(H) \neq \emptyset\}$;

(iii) [5] The boundary is $B_N(V(H)) = L_N(V(H)) - L_N(V(H))$.

Let $G$ be a graph, $N(v)$ be a neighbourhood of $v$ in $V$ and $H$ be a subgraph of $G$. $\tau_N(V(H)) = \{V(G), \emptyset, L_N(V(H)), U_N(V(H)), B_N(V(H))\}$ forms a topology on $V(G)$ called the nano topology on $V(G)$ with respect to $V(H)$. $(V(G), \tau_N(V(H)))$ is a nano topological space induced by a graph $G$. 
Nano closure and nano interior of a set are also studied by Lellis Thivagar and Richard and put their definitions as:

**Definition 1.6** [1]. If \((U, \tau_R(X))\) is a nano topological space with respect to \(X \subseteq U\). If \(A \subseteq U\), then the nano interior of \(A\) is defined as the union of all nano-open subsets of \(A\) and it is denoted by \(NInt(A)\). That is, \(NInt(A)\) is the largest nano-open subset of \(A\). The nano closure of \(A\) is defined as the intersection of all nano closed sets containing \(A\) and it is denoted by \(NCl(A)\). That is, \(NCl(A)\) is the smallest nano closed set containing \(A\).

Continuity of functions is one of the core concepts of topology. The notion of nano continuous functions was introduced by Lellis Thivagar and Richard [4]. They derived their characterizations in terms of nano closed sets, nano closure and nano interior. They also established nano-open maps, nano closed maps and nano homeomorphisms and their representations in terms of nano closure and nano interior.

**Definition 1.7** [4]. Let \((U, \tau_R(X))\) and \((V, \tau_R(Y))\) be nano topological spaces. Then a mapping \(f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))\) is nano continuous on \(U\) if the inverse image of every nano-open set in \(V\) is nano-open in \(U\).

**Definition 1.8** [4]. A function \(f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))\) is a nano-open map if the image of every nano-open set in \(U\) is nano open in \(V\). The mapping \(f\) is said to be a nano closed map if the image of every nano closed set in \(U\) is nano closed in \(V\).

**Definition 1.9** [4]. A function \(f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))\) is said to be a nano homeomorphism if

(i) \(f\) is 1-1 and onto,
(ii) \(f\) is nano continuous and
(iii) \(f\) is nano open.

Graph isomorphism is a related task of deciding when two graphs with different specifications are structurally equivalent, that is whether they have the same pattern of connections. Nano homeomorphism between two nano topological spaces are said to be topologically equivalent. Here, we are formalizing the structural equivalence for the graphs and their corresponding nano topologies generated by them.

**Definition 1.10** [8]. Two directed graphs \(G\) and \(H\) are isomorphic if there is an isomorphism \(f\) between their underlying graphs that preserves the direction of each edge. That is, \(e\) is directed from \(u\) to \(v\) if and only if \(f(e)\) is directed from \(f(u)\) to \(f(v)\).

**Definition 1.11** [8]. Two directed graphs \(C\) and \(D\) are isomorphic if \(D\) can be obtained by relabeling the vertices of \(C\), that is, if there is a bijection between the vertices of \(C\) and those of \(D\), such that the arcs joining each pair of vertices in \(C\) agree in both number and direction with the arcs joining the corresponding pair of vertices in \(D\).

The subject of ideals in topological spaces have been studied by Kuratowski [9] and Vaidyanathaswamy [10]. There have been many great attempts, so far, by topologies to use the concept of ideals for maneuvering investigations of different problems of topology. In this connection, one may refer to the works in [11–13].

**Definition 1.12** [9]. An ideal \(I\) on a set \(X\) is a nonempty collection of subsets of \(X\) which satisfies the conditions:

(i) \(A \in I\) and \(B \subseteq A\) implies \(B \in I\),
Definition 2.1.2. A function \( f : (U, \tau_{g}(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_{g}(Y), J) \) is nano \( Ia \)-continuous function if and only if one of the following is satisfied:

(i) \( f^{-1}(B) \in NlaO(U), \text{ for all } B \in \tau_{g}(Y) \).

(ii) Strongly nano \( Ia \)-continuous function if \( f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_{g}(X), \text{ for all } B \in NlaO(V) \).

(iii) Nano \( Ia \)- irresolute continuous function if \( f^{-1}(B) \in NlaO(U) \text{ for all } B \in NlaO(V) \).

Proposition 2.1.2. A function \( f : (U, \tau_{g}(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_{g}(Y), J) \) is nano \( Ia \)-continuous function if and only if one of the following is satisfied:

(i) \( f^{-1}(B) \in NlaC(U), \text{ for all } B \in F_{g}(Y) \).

(ii) The inverse image of every member of the basis \( B \) of \( \tau_{g}(Y) \) is \( Nla \)-open set in \( U \).
(iii) $\text{Nla-cl} \left[ f^{-1}(B) \right] \subseteq f^{-1} \left[ \text{NCI}(B) \right]$, for all $B \subseteq V$.
(iv) $f^{-1} \left[ \text{NInt}(B) \right] \subseteq \text{Nla-int} \left[ f^{-1}(B) \right]$, for all $B \subseteq V$.

**Proof:**

(i) **Necessity:** Let $f$ be nano $I$-continuous and $B \in \tau_R(Y)$. That is, $(V-B) \in \tau_R(Y)$. Since $f$ is nano $I$-continuous, $f^{-1}(V-B) \in \text{NlaO}(U)$. That is, $(U-f^{-1}(B)) \in \text{NlaO}(U)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{NlaC}(U)$. Thus, the inverse image of every nano closed set in $V$ is $\text{Nla}$-closed in $U$, if $f$ is nano $I$-continuous on $U$. **Sufficiency:** Let $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{NlaC}(U)$, for all $B \in \tau_R(Y)$. Let $B \in \tau_R(Y)$, then $(V-B) \in \tau_R(Y)$ and $f^{-1}(V-B) \in \text{NlaC}(U)$. That is, $(U-f^{-1}(B)) \in \text{NlaC}(U)$ and therefore $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{NlaO}(U)$. Thus, the inverse image of every nano-open set in $V$ is $\text{Nla}$-open in $U$. That is, $f$ is nano $I$-continuous on $U$.

(ii) **Necessity:** Let $f$ be nano $I$-continuous on $U$. Let $B \in \tau_R(Y)$. Since $f$ is nano $I$-continuous, $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{NlaO}(U)$. That is, the inverse image of every member of $B$ is $\text{Nla}$-open set in $U$. **Sufficiency:** Let the inverse image of every member of $B$ be $\text{Nla}$-open set in $U$. Let $G$ be a nano-open set in $V$. Then $G = \cup \{B : B \in B_1\}$, where $B_1 \in B$. Then $f^{-1}(G) = f^{-1}(\cup \{B : B \in B_1\}) = \cup f^{-1}(B) : B \in B_1)$, where each $f^{-1}(B) \in \text{NlaO}(U)$ and hence their union, which is $f^{-1}(G)$ is $\text{Nla}$-open in $U$. Thus $f$ is nano $I$-continuous on $U$.

(iii) **Necessity:** If $f$ is nano $I$-continuous and $B \subseteq V$, $\text{NCI}(B) \in \tau_R(Y)$, and from (i) $f^{-1}(\text{NCI}(B)) \in \text{NlaC}(U)$. Therefore, $\text{Nla-cl}(f^{-1}(\text{NCI}(B))) = f^{-1}(\text{NCI}(B))$. Since $B \subseteq \text{NCI}(B)$, $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{NCI}(B))$. Therefore, $\text{Nla-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \text{Nla-cl}(f^{-1}(\text{NCI}(B))) = f^{-1}(\text{NCI}(B))$. That is, $\text{Nla-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{NCI}(B))$. **Sufficiency:** Let $\text{Nla-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{NCI}(B))$, for every $B \subseteq V$. Let $B \in \tau_R(Y)$, then $\text{NCI}(B) = B$. By assumption, $\text{Nla-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$. That is, $\text{Nla-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) = f^{-1}(B)$. Therefore, $\text{Nla-cl}(f^{-1}(B)) = f^{-1}(B)$. That is, $f^{-1}(B)$ is $\text{Nla}$-closed in $U$ for every nano closed set $B$ in $V$. Therefore, $f$ is nano $I$-continuous on $U$.

(iv) **Necessity:** Let $f$ be nano $I$-continuous and $B \subseteq V$. Then $\text{NInt}(B) \in \tau_R(Y)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(\text{NInt}(B)) \in \text{NlaO}(U)$. That is, $f^{-1}(\text{NInt}(B)) = \text{Nla-int}(f^{-1}(\text{NInt}(B)))$. Also, $\text{NInt}(B) \subseteq B$ implies that $\text{Nla-int}(f^{-1}(\text{NInt}(B))) \subseteq \text{Nla-int}(f^{-1}(B))$. Therefore $f^{-1}(\text{NInt}(B)) = \text{Nla-int}(f^{-1}(\text{NInt}(B))) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{Nla-int}(f^{-1}(B)))$. That is, $f^{-1}(\text{NInt}(B)) \subseteq \text{Nla-int}(f^{-1}(B))$. **Sufficiency:** Let $f^{-1}(\text{NInt}(B)) \subseteq \text{Nla-int}(f^{-1}(B))$, for every subset $B$ of $V$. If $B \in \tau_R(Y)$, $B = \text{NInt}(B)$. Also, $f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(\text{NInt}(B))$, but $f^{-1}(\text{NInt}(B)) \subseteq \text{Nla-int}(f^{-1}(B))$. Thus, $f^{-1}(B) = \text{Nla-open in U for every nano-open set B in V. Therefore, f is nano I-continuous.**

**Proposition 2.1.3.** A function $f : (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), f)$ is strongly nano $I$-continuous function if and only if one of the following is satisfied:

(i) $f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_R(X)$, for all $B \in \text{NlaC}(V)$.

(ii) The inverse image of every member of the basis $B$ of $\text{Nla}$-open set of $V$ is nano-open set in $U$.

(iii) $\text{NCl} \left[ f^{-1}(B) \right] \subseteq f^{-1}[\text{Nla-cl}(B)]$, for all $B \subseteq V$. 
Necessity: let \( f \) be strongly nano \( I \)-continuous and \( B \in NlaC(V) \). That is, \((V - B) \in NlaO(V)\), since \( f \) is strongly nano \( I \)-continuous, \( f^{-1}(V - B) \subseteq \tau_{\alpha}(X) \) and \((U - f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq \tau_{\alpha}(X)\). Therefore, \( f^{-1}(B) \subseteq F_{\beta}(X) \). Thus, \( f^{-1}(B) \subseteq F_{\beta}(X) \) for all \( B \in NlaC(V) \), if \( f \) is strongly nano \( I \)-continuous on \( U \). Sufficiency: let \( f^{-1}(B) \subseteq F_{\beta}(X) \), for all \( B \in NlaC(V) \). Let \( B \in NlaO(V) \). Then \((V - B) \in NlaC(V)\). Then, \( f^{-1}(V - B) \subseteq F_{\beta}(X) \) that is, \((U - f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq F_{\beta}(X) \). Therefore, \( f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \tau_{\alpha}(X) \). Thus, the inverse image of every \( Nla \)-open set in \( V \) is nano-open in \( U \). That is, \( f \) is strongly nano \( I \)-continuous on \( U \).

(ii) Necessity: let \( f \) be strongly nano \( I \)-continuous on \( U \). Let \( B \subseteq V \). Then \( B \in NlaO(V) \). Since \( f \) is strongly nano \( I \)-continuous, \( f^{-1}(B) \subseteq NlaO(U) \). That is, the inverse image of every member of \( B \) is nano-open set in \( U \). Sufficiency: let the inverse image of every member of \( B \) be nano-open set in \( U \). Let \( G \) be \( Nla \)-open set in \( V \). Then \( G = \bigcup \{B : B \in B_{1}\} \), where \( B_{1} \notin B \). Then \( f^{-1}(G) = f^{-1} \big( \bigcup \{B : B \in B_{1}\} \big) = f^{-1}(B_{1}) \subseteq \bigcup \{f^{-1}(B) : B \in B_{1}\} \), where each \( f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \tau_{\alpha}(X) \) and hence their union, which is \( f^{-1}(G) \) is nano-open in \( U \). Thus \( f \) is strongly nano \( I \)-continuous on \( U \).

(iii) Necessity: if \( f \) is strongly nano \( I \)-continuous and \( B \subseteq V \), \( Nla-cl(B) \subseteq NlaC(V) \) and from (i) \( f^{-1}(Nla-cl(B)) \subseteq F_{\beta}(X) \). Therefore, \( NCl(f^{-1}(Nla-cl(B))) = f^{-1}(Nla-cl(B)) \). Since \( B \subseteq Nla-cl(B) \), \( f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(Nla-cl(B)) \). Therefore, \( NCl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq NCl(f^{-1}(Nla-cl(B))) = f^{-1}(Nla-cl(B)) \). That is, \( NCl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Nla-cl(B)) \). Sufficiency: let \( NCl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Nla-cl(B)) \) for every \( B \subseteq V \). Let \( B \subseteq NlaC(V) \). Then \( Nla-cl(B) = B \). By assumption, \( NCl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Nla-cl(B)) \). Thus, \( NCl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(B) \). But \( f^{-1}(B) \subseteq NCl(f^{-1}(B)) \). Therefore, \( NCl(f^{-1}(B)) = f^{-1}(B) \). That is, \( f^{-1}(B) \subseteq F_{\beta}(X) \) for every \( Nla \)-closed set \( B \) in \( V \). Therefore, \( f \) is strongly nano \( I \)-continuous on \( U \).

(iv) Necessity: let \( f \) be strongly nano \( I \)-continuous and \( B \subseteq V \). Then \( Nla-int(B) \subseteq NlaO(V) \). Therefore, \( f^{-1}(Nla-int(B)) \subseteq \tau_{\alpha}(X) \). That is, \( f^{-1}(Nla-int(B)) = NInt(f^{-1}(Nla-int(B))) \). Also, \( Nla-int(B) \subseteq B \) implies that \( NInt(f^{-1}(Nla-int(B))) \subseteq NInt(f^{-1}(B)) \). Therefore \( f^{-1}(Nla-int(B)) = NInt(f^{-1}(Nla-int(B))) \subseteq NInt(f^{-1}(B)) \). That is, \( f^{-1}(Nla-int(B)) \subseteq NInt(f^{-1}(B)) \). Sufficiency: let \( f^{-1}(Nla-int(B)) \subseteq NInt(f^{-1}(B)) \) for every subset \( B \) of \( V \). If \( B \) is \( Nla \)-open set in \( V \), \( B = (Nla-int(B)) \). Also, \( f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(Nla-int(B)) \), but \( f^{-1}(Nla-int(B)) \subseteq NInt(f^{-1}(B)) \). That is, \( f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(Nla-int(B)) \). Therefore, \( f^{-1}(B) = NInt(f^{-1}(B)) \). Thus, \( f^{-1}(B) \) is nano-open in \( U \) for every \( Nla \)-open set \( B \) in \( V \). Therefore, \( f \) is strongly nano \( I \)-continuous.

**Proposition 2.1.4.** A function \( f : (U, \tau_{\alpha}(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_{\alpha}(Y), J) \) is nano \( I \)-irresolute continuous function if and only if one of the following is satisfied:

(i) \( f^{-1}(B) \subseteq NlaC(U) \) for all \( B \subseteq NlaC(V) \).

(ii) The inverse image of every member of the basis \( B \) of \( Nla \)-open set of \( V \) is \( Nla \)-open set in \( U \).

(iii) \( Nla-cl \{f^{-1}(B)\} \subseteq f^{-1}\{Nla-cl(B)\} \), for all \( B \subseteq V \).
(iv) $f^{-1}([N\text{a-int}(B)]) \subseteq N\text{a-int} \ [f^{-1}(B)]$, for all $B \subseteq V$.

Proof:

(i) **Necessity:** let $f$ be nano $I$- irresolute continuous and $B \in N\text{a}(V)$. That is, $(V - B) \in N\text{a}(O(V))$. Since $f$ is nano $I$- irresolute continuous, $f^{-1}(V - B) \in N\text{a}(O(U))$. That is, $(U - f^{-1}(B)) \in N\text{a}(O(U))$, and therefore $f^{-1}(B) \in N\text{a}(C(U))$. Thus, $f^{-1}(B) \in N\text{a}(C(U))$, for all $B \in N\text{a}(V)$, if $f$ is nano $I$- irresolute continuous on $U$.

**Sufficiency:** let $f^{-1}(B) \in N\text{a}(C(U))$, for all $B \in N\text{a}(V)$. Let $B \in N\text{a}(O(V))$. Then $(V - B)$ is $I$- irresolute. Then, $f^{-1}(V - B) \in I$- irresolute, that is, $(U - f^{-1}(B)) \in I$- irresolute. Therefore, $f^{-1}(B) \in N\text{a}(C(U))$. Thus, $f^{-1}(B) \in N\text{a}(O(V))$, for all $B \in N\text{a}(V)$. That is, $f$ is nano $I$- irresolute continuous on $U$.

(ii) **Necessity:** let $f$ be nano $I$- irresolute continuous on $U$. Let $B \in N\text{a}(O(V))$. Since $f$ is nano $I$- irresolute continuous, $f^{-1}(B) \in N\text{a}(O(U))$. That is, the inverse image of every member of $B$ is $N\text{a}(O(U))$. **Sufficiency:** let the inverse image of every member of $B$ be $N\text{a}-open$ set in $U$. Let $G \in N\text{a}(O(V))$. Then $G = \cup \{ B : B \in B_1 \}$, where $B_1 \in B$. Then $f^{-1}(G) = f^{-1}(\cup \{ B : B \in B_1 \}) = \cup \{ f^{-1}(B) : B \in B_1 \}$, where each $f^{-1}(B) \in N\text{a}(O(U))$ and hence their union, which is $f^{-1}(G)$. Thus $f$ is nano $I$- irresolute continuous on $U$.

(iii)**Necessity:** if $f$ is nano $I$- irresolute continuous and $B \subseteq V$, $N\text{a}-cl(B) \in N\text{a}(V)$ and from (i) $f^{-1}(N\text{a}-cl(B)) \in N\text{a}(U)$. Therefore, $N\text{a}-cl(f^{-1}(N\text{a}-cl(B))) = f^{-1}(N\text{a}-cl(B))$. Since $B \subseteq N\text{a}-cl(B)$, $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(N\text{a}-cl(B))$. Therefore, $N\text{a}-cl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq N\text{a}-cl(f^{-1}(N\text{a}-cl(B))) = f^{-1}(N\text{a}-cl(B))$. That is, $N\text{a}-cl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(N\text{a}-cl(B))$. Sufficiency: let $N\text{a}-cl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(N\text{a}-cl(B))$ for every $B \subseteq V$. Let $B \in N\text{a}(C(V))$. Then $N\text{a}-cl(B) = B$. By assumption, $N\text{a}-cl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(N\text{a}-cl(B)) = f^{-1}(B)$. Thus, $N\text{a}-cl(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(B)$. But $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq N\text{a}-cl(f^{-1}(B))$. Therefore, $N\text{a}-cl(f^{-1}(B)) = f^{-1}(B)$. That is, $f^{-1}(B)$ is $N\text{a}-closed$ in $U$ for every $N\text{a}-closed$ set $B$ in $V$. Therefore, $f$ is nano $I$- irresolute continuous on $U$.

(iv) **Necessity:** let $f$ be nano $I$- irresolute continuous and $B \subseteq V$. Then $N\text{a}-int(B) \in N\text{a}(O(V))$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(N\text{a}-int(B)) \in N\text{a}(O(U))$. That is, $N\text{a}-int(f^{-1}(N\text{a}-int(B))) = f^{-1}(N\text{a}-int(B))$. Also, $N\text{a}-int(B) \subseteq B$ implies that $N\text{a}-int(f^{-1}(N\text{a}-int(B))) \subseteq N\text{a}-int(f^{-1}(B))$. Therefore $f^{-1}(N\text{a}-int(B)) = N\text{a}-int(f^{-1}(N\text{a}-int(B))) \subseteq N\text{a}-int(f^{-1}(B))$. That is, $f^{-1}(N\text{a}-int(B)) \subseteq N\text{a}-int(f^{-1}(B))$. **Sufficiency:** let $f^{-1}(N\text{a}-int(B)) \subseteq N\text{a}-int(f^{-1}(B))$ for every subset $B$ of $V$. If $B \in N\text{a}(O(V))$, $B = (N\text{a}-int(B))$. Also, $f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(N\text{a}-int(B))$ but, $f^{-1}(N\text{a}-int(B)) \subseteq N\text{a}-int(f^{-1}(B))$. That is, $f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(N\text{a}-int(B)) \subseteq N\text{a}-int(f^{-1}(B))$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(B) = N\text{a}-int(f^{-1}(B))$. Thus, $f^{-1}(B)$ is $N\text{a}-open$ in $U$ for every $N\text{a}-open$ set $B$ in $V$. Therefore, $f$ is nano $N\text{a}$- irresolute continuous.

**Remark 2.1.5.** The following implication shows the relationships between different types of nano continuous functions.

The converse of the above diagram is not reversible, in general, as shown in Example 2.1.6.

**Example 2.1.6.** Consider the nano ideal topological spaces $(U, \tau_6(X), I)$ and $(V, \tau_6(Y), J)$ such that $U = \{ x, y, z \}, V = \{ a, b, c \}, U / R = \{ \{ x \}, \{ y \}, \{ z \} \}, V / \bar{K} = \{ \{ a \}, \{ b \}, \{ c \} \}$, if
we take \( X = \{x\}, \ Y = \{b\} \), then \( \tau_R(X) = \{U, \varnothing, \{x\}\} \), \( \tau_R(Y) = \{V, \varnothing, \{b\}\} \) and by taking \( I = \{\varnothing, \{y\}\}, J = \{\varnothing, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\} \), so \( NlaO(I) = \{U, \varnothing, \{x, y\}, \{x, z\}\} \), \( NlaO(V) = \{V, \varnothing, \{b, \{a, b\}\}\} \). Define the function \( f : (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), J) \) such that

(i) \( f(x) = f(y) = a, f(z) = c \). This function is nano la-continuous and nano continuous, but it is not nano la-irresolute continuous for \( \{b, c\} \in NlaO(V) \), but \( f^{-1}(\{b, c\}) = \{z\} \).

(ii) \( f(x) = f(z) = b \) and \( f(y) = a \). This function is nano la-irresolute continuous and nano la-continuous but neither strongly nano la-continuous nor nano continuous function for \( \{b\} \in \tau_R(Y) \subseteq NlaO(V) \), but \( f^{-1}(\{b\}) = \{x, z\} \).

**Remark 2.1.7.** Consider the function \( (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), J) \). The following statements are held.

(i) If \( f \) is nano la-continuous function, it is not necessary that the \( f(A) \in NlaC(V) \), for all \( A \in F_R(Y) \).

(ii) If \( f \) is strongly nano la-continuous function, it is not necessary that \( f(A) \in \tau_R(Y) \), for all \( A \in NlaC(U) \).

(iii) If \( f \) is nano la-irresolute continuous function, it is not necessary that \( f(A) \in NlaC(V) \), for all \( A \in NlaC(U) \).

We show this remark by using the following example.

**Example 2.1.8.** Consider the nano ideal topological spaces \( (U, \tau_R(X), I) \) and \( (V, \tau_R(Y), J) \) such that \( U = \{x, y, z\}, V = \{a, b, c\}, U/R = \{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{z\}\}, V/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\} \), if we take \( X = \{x\}, \ Y = \{b\} \), then \( \tau_R(X) = \{U, \varnothing, \{x\}\} \), \( \tau_R(Y) = \{V, \varnothing, \{b\}\} \) and by taking \( I = \{\varnothing, \{y\}\}, J = \{\varnothing, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\} \), so \( NlaO(I) = \{U, \varnothing, \{x, y\}, \{x, z\}\} \), \( NlaO(V) = \{V, \varnothing, \{b, \{a, b\}\}\} \). Define the function \( f : (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), J) \) such that

(i) \( f(x) = f(y) = f(z) = c \). This function is nano la-continuous. But \( \{y, z\} \in F_R(X) \) and \( f(\{y, z\}) = \{c\} \notin NlaC(V) \).

(ii) \( f(x) = b \) and \( f(y) = f(z) = c \). This function is strongly nano la-continuous. But \( \{y\} \in NlaC(X) \) and \( f(\{y\}) = \{c\} \notin F_R(Y) \).

(iii) \( f(x) = c, f(z) = b \). This function is nano la-irresolute continuous. But \( \{z\} \in NlaC(X) \) and \( f(\{z\}) = \{b\} \notin NlaC(V) \).

**Definition 2.1.9.** Let \( f : (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), J) \) be a function. \( f \) is said to be

(i) Nano la-open [nano la-closed] function if \( f(A) \in NlaO(V) \), for all \( A \in \tau_R(X) \) \([f(A) \in NlaC(V)] \), for all \( A \in F_R(X) \) respectively.

(ii) Strongly nano la-open [strongly nano la-closed] function if \( f(A) \in \tau_R(Y) \), for all \( A \in NlaO(U) \) \([f(A) \in F_R(Y)] \), for all \( A \in NlaC(U) \) respectively.

(iii) Nano la-almost open (nano la-almost closed) function if \( f(A) \in NlaO(V) \), for all \( A \in NlaO(U) \) \([f(A) \in NlaC(V)] \), for all \( A \in NlaC(U) \) respectively.
Remark 2.1.10. The following implication shows the relationships between different types of nano-open functions.

The converse of the above diagram is not reversible, in general, as shown in Examples 2.1.11 and 2.1.12.

Example 2.1.11. Consider the nano ideal topological spaces \((U, \tau_R(X), I)\) and \((V, \tau_R(Y), J)\) such that \(U = \{x, y, z\}, V = \{a, b, c\}, U/R = \{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{z\}\}, V/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}\), if we take \(X = [x], Y = [b]\), then \(\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{x\}\}, \tau_R(Y) = \{V, \emptyset, \{b\}\}\) and by taking \(I = \{\emptyset, [y]\}, J = \{\emptyset, [a], \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\)

so \(NlaO(U) = \{U, \emptyset, [x], \{x, y\}, \{x, z\}\}, NlaO(V) = \{V, \emptyset, \{b\}\}\)

Define the function \(f : (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), J)\) such that \(f(x) = b, f(y) = a\) and \(f(z) = c\). This function is nano \(I\)-open and nano-open, but it is neither nano \(I\)-almost open nor strongly nano \(I\)-open for \([x, y] \in NlaO(U)\), but \(f([x, y]) = [a, b] \notin NlaO(V)\) and \(f([x, y]) = [a, b] \notin \tau_R(Y)\).

Example 2.1.12. Consider the nano ideal topological spaces \((U, \tau_R(X), I)\) and \((V, \tau_R(Y), J)\) such that \(U = \{x, y, z\}, V = \{a, b, c\}, U/R = \{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{z\}\}, V/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}\)

if we take \(X = [x], Y = [b]\), then \(\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{x\}\}, \tau_R(Y) = \{V, \emptyset, \{b\}\}\)

and by taking \(I = \{\emptyset, [y]\}, J = \{\emptyset, [a], \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\)

so \(NlaO(U) = \{U, \emptyset, [x], \{x, y\}, \{x, z\}\}, NlaO(V) = \{V, \emptyset, \{b\}\}\)

Define the function \(f : (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), J)\) such that \(f(x) = b, f(y) = f(z) = a\). This function is nano \(I\)-open and nano \(I\)-almost open, but it is neither strongly nano \(I\)-open nor nano-open for \(U \in \tau_R(X) \subseteq NlaO(U)\), but \(f(U) = \{a, b\} \notin NlaC(V)\) and \(f(\{y\}) = [a] \notin NlaC(V)\) and \(f(\{y\}) = \{a\} \notin F_R(Y)\).

Example 2.1.14. Consider the nano ideal topological spaces \((U, \tau_R(X), I)\) and \((V, \tau_R(Y), J)\) such that \(U = \{x, y, z\}, V = \{a, b, c\}, U/R = \{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{z\}\}, V/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}\)

if we take \(X = [x], Y = [b]\), then \(\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{x\}\}, \tau_R(Y) = \{V, \emptyset, \{b\}\}\)

and by taking \(I = \{\emptyset, [y]\}, J = \{\emptyset, [a], \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\)

so \(NlaO(U) = \{U, \emptyset, [x], \{x, y\}, \{x, z\}\}, NlaO(V) = \{V, \emptyset, \{b\}\}\)

Define the function \(f : (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), J)\) such that \(f(x) = a, f(y) = f(z) = c\). This function is nano \(I\)-closed and nano closed, but it is neither nano \(I\)-almost closed nor strongly nano \(I\)-closed for \([y] \in NlaC(U)\), but \(f([y]) = [a] \notin NlaC(V)\) and \(f(\{y\}) = \{a\} \notin F_R(Y)\).

Example 2.1.15. Consider the nano ideal topological spaces \((U, \tau_R(X), I)\) and \((V, \tau_R(Y), J)\) such that \(U = \{x, y, z\}, V = \{a, b, c\}, U/R = \{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{z\}\}, V/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}\)

if we take \(X = [x], Y = [b]\), then \(\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{x\}\}, \tau_R(Y) = \{V, \emptyset, \{b\}\}\)

and by taking \(I = \{\emptyset, [y]\}, J = \{\emptyset, [a], \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\)

so \(NlaO(U) = \{U, \emptyset, [x], \{x, y\}, \{x, z\}\}, NlaO(V) = \{V, \emptyset, \{b\}\}\)

Define the function \(f : (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), J)\) such that \(f(x) = a, f(y) = f(z) = c\). This function is nano \(I\)-closed and nano \(I\)-almost closed, but it is neither strongly nano \(I\)-closed nor nano closed for \([y, z] \in F_R(X) \subseteq NlaC(U)\) but, \(f([y, z]) = \{c\} \notin F_R(Y)\).

**Nla-homeomorphism functions:**

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let \(f : (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), J)\) be a bijective function. \(f\) is said to be
(i) Nano \(la\)-homeomorphism function if \(f\) and \(f^{-1}\) are both nano \(la\)-continuous functions.
(ii) Strongly nano \(la\)-homeomorphism function if \(f\) and \(f^{-1}\) are both strongly nano \(la\)-continuous functions.
(iii) Nano \(la\)-irresolute homeomorphism function if \(f\) and \(f^{-1}\) are both nano \(la\)-irresolute continuous functions.

**Remark 2.2.2.** Let \(f : (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), J)\) be a bijective function. \(f\) is said to be

(i) Nano \(la\)-homeomorphism function if \(f\) is both nano \(la\)-continuous and nano \(la\)-open function.
(ii) Strongly nano \(la\)-homeomorphism function if \(f\) is both strongly nano \(la\)-continuous and is strongly nano \(la\)-open function.
(iii) Nano \(la\)-irresolute homeomorphism function if \(f\) is both nano \(la\)-irresolute continuous and nano \(la\)-almost open function.

**Proposition 2.2.3.** Let \(f : (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), J)\) and \(g : (V, \tau_R(Y), J) \rightarrow (W, \tau_R(Z), K)\) be two functions. Then \(g \circ f\) is

(i) Nano continuous function if \(f, g\) are strongly nano \(la\)-continuous and nano \(la\)-continuous functions.
(ii) Nano \(la\)-continuous function if \(f, g\) are nano \(la\)-irresolute continuous and nano \(la\)-continuous functions.
(iii) Strongly nano \(la\)-continuous function if \(f, g\) are strongly nano \(la\)-continuous and nano \(la\)-irresolute continuous functions.

**Proof:**

(i) Take \(C \subseteq W\) such that \(C \in \tau_R(Z)\), then \(g^{-1}(C) \subseteq NlaO(V)\) and \(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(C)) \subseteq \tau_R(X)\).

Thus \(C \in \tau_R(Z), (g \circ f)^{-1} \subseteq \tau_R(X)\), so \(g \circ f\) is nano continuous function.

(ii) Take \(C \subseteq W\) such that \(C \in \tau_R(Z)\), then \(g^{-1}(C) \subseteq \tau_R(Y) \subseteq NlaO(V)\) and \(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(C)) \subseteq NlaO(U)\). Thus \(C \in \tau_R(Z), (g \circ f)^{-1} \in NlaO(U)\), so \(g \circ f\) is nano \(la\)-continuous function.

(iii) Take \(C \subseteq W\) such that \(C \in NlaO(W)\), then \(g^{-1}(C) \in NlaO(V)\) and \(f^{-1}(g^{-1}(C)) \subseteq \tau_R(X)\). Thus \(C \in NlaO(W), (g \circ f)^{-1} \subseteq \tau_R(X)\), and \(g \circ f\) is strongly nano \(la\)-continuous function.

**Proposition 2.2.4.** Let \(f : (U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y), J)\) and \(g : (V, \tau_R(Y), J) \rightarrow (W, \tau_R(Z), K)\) be two functions. Then \(g \circ f\) is nano \(la\)-irresolute continuous function in the following cases.

(i) If \(f, g\) are both nano \(la\)-irresolute continuous functions.
(ii) If \(f, g\) are nano \(la\)-irresolute continuous and strongly nano \(la\)-continuous functions, respectively.
(iii) If \( f, g \) are nano \( Ia \)-continuous and strongly nano \( Ia \)-continuous functions, respectively.

\textbf{Proof:} Take \( C \subseteq W \) such that \( C \in IaO(W) \).

(i) Since \( C \in NlaO(W) \) then \( g^{-1}(C) \subseteq NlaO(V) \) and \( f^{-1}(g^{-1}(C)) \subseteq NlaO(U) \).

(ii) Since \( C \in NlaO(W) \) then \( g^{-1}(C) \subseteq \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Y) \subseteq NlaO(Y) \) and \( f^{-1}(g^{-1}(C)) \subseteq NlaO(U) \).

(iii) Since \( C \in NlaO(W) \) then \( g^{-1}(C) \subseteq \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Y) \) and \( f^{-1}(g^{-1}(C)) \subseteq NlaO(U) \).

Thus, we have that \( C \in NlaO(W) \), \( (g \cdot f)^{-1} \in NlaO(U) \), and \( g \cdot f \) is nano \( Ia \)- irresolute function.

\textbf{Proposition 2.2.5.} Let \( f : (U, \tau_{\tilde{g}}(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Y), J) \) and \( g : (V, \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Y), J) \rightarrow (W, \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Z), K) \) be two functions. Then \( g \cdot f \) is nano-open function in the following cases:

(i) If \( f, g \) are nano \( Ia \)-open and strongly nano \( Ia \)-open functions, respectively.

(ii) If \( f, g \) are nano-open and strongly nano \( Ia \)-open functions, respectively.

\textbf{Proof:} Take \( A \subseteq U \) such that \( A \in \tau_{\tilde{g}}(X) \).

(i) Since \( A \in \tau_{\tilde{g}}(X) \) then \( f(A) \subseteq \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Y) \subseteq NlaO(V) \) and \( g(f(A)) \subseteq \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Z) \).

(ii) Since \( A \in \tau_{\tilde{g}}(X) \) then \( f(A) \subseteq \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Y) \) and \( g(f(A)) \subseteq \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Z) \).

Thus, in each case, we have that \( A \in \tau_{\tilde{g}}(X), (g \cdot f) \in \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Z), \) and \( g \cdot f \) is nano-open function.

\textbf{Proposition 2.2.6.} Let \( f : (U, \tau_{\tilde{g}}(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Y), J) \) and \( g : (V, \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Y), J) \rightarrow (W, \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Z), K) \) be two functions. Then \( g \cdot f \) is nano \( Ia \)-open function in the following cases:

(i) If \( f, g \) are nano \( Ia \)-open and nano \( Ia \)-almost open functions, respectively.

(ii) If \( f, g \) are nano-open and nano \( Ia \)-almost open functions, respectively.

(iii) If \( f, g \) are nano-open and nano \( Ia \)-open functions, respectively.

\textbf{Proof:} Take \( A \subseteq U \) such that \( A \in \tau_{\tilde{g}}(X) \).

(i) Since \( A \in \tau_{\tilde{g}}(X) \) then \( f(A) \subseteq NlaO(V) \) and \( g(f(A)) \subseteq NlaO(W) \).

(ii) Since \( A \in \tau_{\tilde{g}}(X) \) then \( f(A) \subseteq \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Y) \subseteq NlaO(V) \) and \( g(f(A)) \subseteq NlaO(W) \).

(iii) Since \( A \in \tau_{\tilde{g}}(X) \) then \( f(A) \subseteq \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Y) \) and \( g(f(A)) \subseteq NlaO(W) \).

Thus, in each case, we have that \( A \in \tau_{\tilde{g}}(X), (g \cdot f) \in NlaO(W), \) and \( g \cdot f \) is nano \( Ia \)-open function.

\textbf{Proposition 2.2.7.} Let \( f : (U, \tau_{\tilde{g}}(X), I) \rightarrow (V, \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Y), J) \) and \( g : (V, \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Y), J) \rightarrow (W, \tau_{\tilde{g}}(Z), K) \) be two functions. Then \( g \cdot f \) is strongly nano \( Ia \)-open function in the following cases.

(i) If \( f, g \) are nano \( Ia \)-almost open and strongly nano \( Ia \)-open functions, respectively.

(ii) If \( f, g \) are both strongly nano \( Ia \)-open functions.

(iii) If \( f, g \) are strongly nano \( Ia \)-open and nano-open functions, respectively.
Proof: Take $A \subseteq U$ such that $A \in NlaO(U)$. 

(i) Since $A \in NlaO(U)$ then $f(A) \in NlaO(V)$ and $g(f(A)) \in r_\alpha(Z)$.
(ii) Since $A \in NlaO(U)$ then $f(A) \in r_\alpha(Y) \subseteq NlaO(V)$ and $g(f(A)) \in r_\alpha(Z)$.
(iii) Since $A \in NlaO(U)$ then $f(A) \in r_\alpha(Y)$ and $g(f(A)) \in r_\alpha(Z)$.

Thus, we have that $A \in NlaO(U), (g \cdot f) \in r_\alpha(Z)$, and $g \cdot f$ is strongly nano $la$-open function.

Proposition 2.3.6. Let $f : (U, r_\alpha(X), I) \rightarrow (V, r_\alpha(Y), J)$ and $g : (V, r_\alpha(Y), J) \rightarrow (W, r_\alpha(Z), K)$ be two functions. Then $g \cdot f$ is nano $la$-almost open function in the following cases:

(i) If $f, g$ are both nano $la$-almost open functions.
(ii) If $f, g$ are strongly nano $la$-open and nano $la$-almost open functions, respectively.
(iii) If $f, g$ are strongly nano $la$-open and nano $la$-open functions, respectively.

Proof: Take $A \subseteq U$ such that $A \in NlaO(U)$.

(i) Since $A \in NlaO(U)$ then $f(A) \in NlaO(V)$ and $g(f(A)) \in NlaO(W)$.
(ii) Since $A \in NlaO(U)$ then $f(A) \in r_\alpha(Y) \subseteq NlaO(V)$ and $g(f(A)) \in NlaO(W)$.
(iii) Since $A \in NlaO(U)$ then $f(A) \in r_\alpha(Y)$ and $g(f(A)) \in NlaO(W)$.

Thus, we have that $A \in NlaO(U), (g \cdot f) \in NlaO(W)$, and $g \cdot f$ is nano $la$-almost open function.

3 Ideal expansion on topological rough sets and topological graphs

We extend both the rough sets and graphs induced by topology in Examples 3.1 and 3.2 respectively. The expansion will be used to give a decision for some diseases as flu.

Example 3.1. An example of a decision table is presented in Table 1. Four attributes [temperature, headache, nausea and cough], one decision [flu] and six cases.

Let

(i) $R_1 = \{\text{Temperature}\}$, the family of all equivalence classes of $IND(R)$ is $U/R_1 = \{[1, 3, 4], [2], [5, 6]\}$
(ii) $R_2 = \{\text{Temperature, Headache}\}$, then $U/R_2 = \{[1, 4], [2], [3], [5], [6]\}$
(iii) $R_3 = \{\text{Headache, Cough}\}$, then $U/R_3 = \{[1, 4], [2, 5], [3], [6]\}$.

If we take, $X = \{x : [x]_{\text{Nausea}} = \text{no}\} = \{1, 3, 5\}$ then

(i) $L_{R_1}(X) = \emptyset$, $U_{R_1}(X) = \{1, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and $B_{R_1}(X) = \{1, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. Thus $r_{R_1}(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{1, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}$.
(ii) $L_{R_2}(X) = \{3, 5\}$, $U_{R_2}(X) = \{1, 3, 4, 5\}$, and $B_{R_2}(X) = \{1, 4\}$. Thus $r_{R_2}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}\}$.
(iii) $L_{R_3}(X) = \{3\}$, $U_{R_3}(X) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $B_{R_3}(X) = \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$. Thus $r_{R_3}(X) = \{X, \emptyset, \{3\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}\}$. 
Table 1 A decision table for flu

| Case | Attributes | Headache | Nausea | Cough | Flu |
|------|------------|----------|--------|-------|-----|
| 1    | High       | Yes      | No     | Yes   | Yes |
| 2    | Very high  | Yes      | Yes    | No    | Yes |
| 3    | High       | No       | No     | No    | No  |
| 4    | High       | Yes      | Yes    | Yes   | Yes |
| 5    | Normal     | Yes      | No     | Yes   | Yes |
| 6    | Normal     | No       | Yes    | Yes   | No  |

If we take, \( I = \{ \emptyset, [2], [4], [2, 4] \} \) then

(i) \( (NlaO(U))_1 = \{ U, \emptyset, \{ 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 \} \} \).

(ii) \( (NlaO(U))_2 = \{ U, \emptyset, \{ 1, 4 \}, \{ 3, 5 \}, \{ 1, 3, 4, 5 \}, \{ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \}, \{ 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 \} \} \).

(iii) \( (NlaO(U))_3 = \{ U, \emptyset, \{ 3 \}, \{ 1, 2, 4, 5 \}, \{ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \} \} \).

Define a function \( f : ( U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow ( V, \tau_R(X), I) \) such that \( f(1) = 1, f(2) = 4, f(3) = 2, f(4) = 1, f(5) = 4 \) and \( f(6) = 6 \). This function is nano \( la \)-continuous and nano continuous, but it is neither nano \( la \)- irresolute continuous nor strongly nano \( la \)-continuous for, \( \{ 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 \} \in (NlaO(U))_2 \), but \( f^{-1}(\{ 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 \}) = \{ 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 \} \in (NlaO(U))_3 \).

Define a function \( f : ( U, \tau_R(X), I) \rightarrow ( V, \tau_R(X), I) \) such that \( f(1) = 1, f(2) = 6, f(3) = 2, f(4) = 4, f(5) = 5 \) and \( f(6) = 2 \). This function is nano \( la \)-continuous and nano \( la \)- irresolute continuous, but it is neither nano continuous nor strongly nano \( la \)-continuous for, \( \{ 1, 2, 4, 5 \} \in \tau_R(X) \subseteq (NlaO(U))_2 \), but \( f^{-1}(\{ 1, 2, 4, 5 \}) = \{ 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 \} \in \tau_R(X) \).

**Example 3.2.** A nano topology will be induced by a general graph. Figure 1 shows two different simple directed graphs \( G \) and \( H \), where \( V(G) = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6 \} \) and \( V(H) = \{ w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5, w_6 \} \).

From the previous figure \( N(v_1) = \{ v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5 \}, N(v_2) = \{ v_2, v_3, v_6 \}, N(v_3) = \{ v_3, v_4, v_5 \}, N(v_4) = \{ v_4, v_6 \}, N(v_5) = \{ v_5 \} \) and \( N(v_6) = \{ v_6 \} \). Let \( X = \{ v_5 \} \), then \( L(X) = \{ v_5 \}, U(X) = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3, v_6 \} \) and \( b(X) = \{ v_1, v_3, v_6 \} \), which mean that \( \tau_R = \{ V(G), \emptyset, \{ v_5 \}, \{ v_1, v_3, v_6 \}, \{ v_1, v_3, v_5 \} \} \).
take $I = \{\emptyset, \{v_2\}\}$ then $N_{\text{La}}O(V(G)) = \{V(G), \emptyset, \{v_3\}, \{v_1, v_3, v_6\}, \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6\}, \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6\}\}$. Similarly $N(w_1) = \{w_1, w_4, w_5, w_6\}$, $N(w_2) = \{w_2, w_3\}$, $N(w_3) = \{w_3, w_5, w_6\}$, $N(w_4) = \{w_2, w_3, w_4\}$, $N(w_5) = \{w_3, w_5\}$ and $N(w_6) = \{w_2, w_6\}$. Let $Y = \{w_3\}$, then $L(Y) = \{w_3\}$, $U(Y) = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_5\}$ and $b(Y) = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$, which mean that $\tau_\text{La} = \{V(H), \emptyset, \{v_5\}, \{v_1, w_2, w_3\}, \{v_1, w_2, w_3, v_5\}\}$. Take $f = \emptyset, \{v_1\}$ then $N_{\text{La}}O(V(H)) = \{V(H), \emptyset, \{w_5\}, \{w_1, v_2, w_3, v_5\}, \{w_1, v_2, w_3, w_5, v_6\}\}$. Define a function $f : (V(G), \tau_\text{La}(X), I) \rightarrow (V(H), \tau_\text{La}(Y), I)$ such that $f(v_1) = w_1$, $f(v_2) = w_4$, $f(v_3) = w_5$, $f(v_4) = w_6$, $f(v_5) = w_5$ and $f(v_6) = w_6$. This function is nano continuous, nano $\text{La}$-continuous and nano $\text{La}$- irresolute, but it is not strongly nano $\text{La}$-continuous for, $\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\} \in N_{\text{La}}O(V(H))$ but $f^{-1}(\{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5\}) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6\} \notin \tau_\text{La}(X)$, and this function is nano open, nano $\text{La}$-open and nano $\text{La}$-almost open, but it is not strongly nano $\text{La}$-open for, $\{v_1, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\} \in N_{\text{La}}O(V(G))$, but $f(\{v_1, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}) = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_5, w_6\} \notin \tau_\text{La}(Y)$, also this function is one to one and onto, therefore it is nano homeomorphism, nano $\text{La}$-homeomorphism and nano $\text{La}$-irresolute homeomorphism, but it is not strongly nano $\text{La}$-homeomorphism.

4 Topological models in terms of graphs and nano topology
In this section, we apply these new types of functions on some real-life problems, especially, in medicine and physics.

4.1 The foetal circulation
In this section, we apply some of the graphs, nano topology and $N_{\text{La}}$-open sets on some of the medical application such as the blood circulation in the foetus. [D1,
Foetal circulation differs from adult circulation in a variety of ways to support the unique physiologic needs of a developing foetus. Once there is adequate foetal-placental circulation established, blood transports between foetus and placenta through the umbilical cord containing two umbilical arteries and one umbilical vein. The umbilical arteries carry deoxygenated foetal blood to the placenta for replenishment, and the umbilical vein carries newly oxygenated and nutrient-rich blood back to the foetus. When delivering oxygenated blood throughout the developing foetus, there are unique physiologic needs, supported by specific structures unique to the foetus which facilitate these needs.

Through the medical application, we can mention a new topological model. From it, we can know each vertex in foetal circulation and what are the regions that send and receive the blood by dividing the foetal circulation into groups of vertices and edges and forming the graph on it (Fig. 2) [19]. Also, we can conclude the nano topology and $N \alpha$-open sets on it. In the graph, we consider the foetal circulation as a graph $G = (V, E)$ by working to divide it into a set of vertices and a set of edges. The vertices represent the regions where the blood flows on it. Also, the edges represent the pathway of blood through the foetal circulation (Fig. 3) [19].

The vertices $v_1$, $v_2$, $v_3$ and $v_4$ (high oxygen content) represent placenta, umbilical vein, liver and ductus venosus respectively; the vertices $v_6$, $v_7$, $v_8$, $v_9$, $v_{10}$, $v_{14}$, $v_{15}$, $v_{16}$ and $v_{17}$ (medium oxygen content) represent right atrium, right ventricle, foramen ovale, pulmonary trunk, lung, ductus arteriosus, aorta, systemic circulation and umbilical arteries respectively. Also, the vertices $v_5$, $v_{11}$, $v_{12}$ and $v_{13}$ (low oxygen content) represent inferior vena cava, left atrium and left ventricle respectively.

From the previous figures, we can construct the graph of the foetal circulation as shown in Fig. 4. It is easy to generate the nano topology $\tau_R$ on it by using the neighbourhood of each vertex.

![Fig. 3 Foetal circulation step by step](image-url)
The neighbourhood of each vertex: \(N_0 = \{(v_1, v_2)\}, N_1 = \{(v_1, v_2, v_3)\}, N_2 = \{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)\}, N_3 = \{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5)\}, N_4 = \{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6)\}. \)

Take \(V(A) = \{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6)\} \) represent the vertices where high and medium oxygen content, then \(L(V(A)) = \{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7)\}, U(V(A)) = \{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7)\}. \)

Therefore \(x_y = \{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7)\} \). Let \( I = \{\{v_1\}\} \), so \(N_1 \cap (V(A)) = \{v_1\} \cup \{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7)\}, \) where \(V(A) \in V(G) \) is every set containing the following set \(\{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7)\} \).

Let \( f = \{\{v_1\}\} \), so \(N_1 \cap (V(A)) = \{v_1\} \cup \{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7)\}, \) where \(V(A) \in V(G) \) is every set containing the following set \(\{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7)\} \).

Take \(V(B) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7\} \) represent the vertices where low oxygen content to \(L(V(B)) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7\}, U(V(B)) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7\}\.

Define a function \( f: (V(G), x_y(V(A)), I) \rightarrow (V(G), x_y(V(B)), I)\), s.t. \( f(v_0) = \{v_1\}, f(v_2) = \{v_1, v_2\}, f(v_3) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}, f(v_4) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}, f(v_5) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}, f(v_6) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}. \) This function is nano-continuous, Nano-continuous and Nano-continuous but it is not strongly Nano-continuous for \(\{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7)\} \in N(A) \).
Define a function \( f : (V(G), \tau_R(V(A)), I) \rightarrow (V(G), \tau_R(V(B)), I) \), such that \( f(v_1) = \{v_6\}, f(v_2) = \{v_7\}, f(v_3) = \{v_9\}, f(v_4) = \{v_{14}\}, f(v_5) = \{v_8\}, f(v_6) = \{v_1\}, f(v_7) = \{v_2\}, f(v_8) = \{v_3\}, f(v_9) = \{v_{17}\}, f(v_{10}) = \{v_{10}\}, f(v_{11}) = \{v_{15}\}, f(v_{12}) = \{v_{16}\}, f(v_{13}) = \{v_{13}\}, f(v_{14}) = \{v_4\}, f(v_{15}) = \{v_{11}\}, f(v_{16}) = \{v_{12}\}, f(v_{17}) = \{v_{17}\} \). This function is nano-continuous, \( N\alpha \)-continuous and \( N\alpha \)-irresolute continuous, but it is not strongly \( N\alpha \)-continuous for \( \{v_1, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_9, v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17}\} \). Also, this function is nano-open, \( N\alpha \)-open and \( N\alpha \)-almost open, but it is not strongly \( N\alpha \)-open for \( \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_8, v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13}, v_{17}\} \). Clearly, this function is bijective; thus, from the previous properties, \( f \) is nano-homeomorphism, \( N\alpha \)-homeomorphism and \( N\alpha \)-irresolute homeomorphism. Finally, by studying one part of this function, say \( A \) and by making new results, this function...
that satisfies $N\alpha$-irresolute homeomorphism makes the examination of foetal circulation simplest, and by $N\alpha$-irresolute homeomorphism that preserve all the topological properties of a given space, this new results will be used for the other part of this function, which is $B$. Therefore, there is no need to study all the foetal circulation.

### 4.2 Electric circuit

In this section, we study an application in physics such as an electrical circuit using graphs, nano-topology and $N\alpha$-open sets. Take two different electrical circuits and transform them into graphs that simply display different graphs. However, we can prove that these circuits have the same electrical properties with ideal nano topology on these graphs.

In Figs. 5 and 6 [20], there are two different electrical circuits $C_1$ and $C_2$ with two different graphs $G_1$ and $G_2$, respectively. So, by taking $V(A) \subseteq V(G_1)$ and $V(B) \subseteq V(G_2)$, we can construct a nano topology on them.

The neighborhood of each vertex of $V(G_1)$ : $N_1 = \{1, 2\}$, $N_2 = \{2, 5\}$, $N_3 = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $N_4 = \{3, 4\}$ and $N_5 = \{1, 4, 5\}$. So, by taking $V(A) = \{3, 4\}$, we get $L(V(A)) = \{3, 4, 5\}$ and $b(V(A)) = \{3, 5\}$. Therefore $r_{\alpha}(V(A)) = \{V(G_1), \emptyset, [4], \{3, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}\}$. Let $\alpha = \{\emptyset, \{1\}\}$. Then $N\alpha\text{o}(V(A)) = \{V(G_1), \emptyset, \{4\}, \{3, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5\}\}$.

The neighbourhood of each vertex of $V(G_2)$ : $N_a = \{a, c\}$, $N_b = \{a, b, c\}$, $N_c = \{c, d, e\}$, $N_d = \{b, d\}$ and $N_e = \{d, e\}$. So by taking $V(B) = \{a, c\}$, we get $L(V(B)) = \{a, b, c\}$ and $b(V(B)) = \{b, c\}$. Therefore $r_{\alpha}(V(B)) = \{V(G_2), \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Let $J = \emptyset, \{e\}\}$. Then $N\alpha\text{o}(V(B)) = \{V(G_2), \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d, e\}\}$.

Define a function $f : (V(G_1), r_{\alpha}(V(A))), \{1\}) \rightarrow (V(G_2), r_{\alpha}(V(B))), \{2\})$, such that $f(1) = \{a\}$, $f(2) = \{d\}$, $f(3) = \{c\}$, $f(4) = \{a\}$ and $f(5) = \{b\}$. This function is nano-continuous, $N\alpha$-continuous and $N\alpha$-irresolute continuous, but it is not strongly $N\alpha$-continuous for $\{a, b, c, d\} \in N\alpha\text{o}(V(B))$, but $f^{-1}(\{a, b, c, d\}) = \{2, 3, 4, 5\} \notin r_{\alpha}(V(A))$. Also, this function is nano-open, $N\alpha$-open and $N\alpha$-almost open, but it is not strongly $N\alpha$-open for $\{2, 3, 4, 5\} \notin N\alpha\text{o}(V(A))$, but $f(\{2, 3, 4, 5\}) = \{a, b, c, d\} \notin r_{\alpha}(V(B))$. Clearly, this function is bijective and from the previous properties $f$ is nano-homeomorphism, $N\alpha$-homeomorphism and $N\alpha$-irresolute homeomorphism. Finally, this function which satisfies the $N\alpha$-irresolute homeomorphism will make the study of the electrical circuit is easier by study one part of this function and made new results on it, then by homeomorphism, these new results can be applied to the other part of this equation.

Another application of $N\alpha$-irresolute homeomorphism is to prove that two different circuits are identical in their electrical properties. To prove that we define the previous

---

**Fig. 6** The corresponding graphs
function, \( f : (V(G_1), \tau_G(V(A)), I) \rightarrow (V(G_2), \tau_{G_2}(V(B)), J) \). Clearly, \( f \) is an isomorphism. Since \( G_2 \) can be obtained by relabeling the vertices of \( G_1 \), that is, \( f \) is a bijection between the vertices of \( G_1 \) and those of \( G_2 \), such that the arcs joining each pair of vertices in \( G_1 \) accepted in both numbers and direction with the arcs joining the corresponding pair of vertices in \( G_2 \).

We also have \( f : (V(G_1), \tau_G(V(A)), I) \rightarrow (V(G_2), \tau_{G_2}(V(B)), J) \) is \( N\alpha \)-irresolute homeomorphism for every subgraph \( A \) of \( G_1 \), which will be studied in Table 2.

| Table 2 | Comparison between \( N\alpha \)-irresolute homeomorphisms |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| \( V(A) \) | \( N\alpha \text{O}(V(A)) \) | \( \tau(V(A)) \) | \( N\alpha \text{O}(\tau(V(A))) \) |
| \( \emptyset \) | \( (V(G_1), \emptyset) \) | \( \emptyset \) | \( (V(G_2), \emptyset) \) |
| \( (1) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{1, 3, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | \( (e) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{b, c, e\}, \{b, c, d, e\}, \{a, b, c, e\}) \) |
| \( (2) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{1, 2, 3, 4, 1\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5\}) \) | \( (d) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, \{b, c, d, e\}) \) |
| \( (3) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{3, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | \( (c) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, c, e\}, \{a, d, e\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, b, c, e\}, \{a, b, c, d, e\}) \) |
| \( (4) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{4, 5\}, \{1, 4, 5\}, \{2, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | \( (a) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, b, e\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, b, c, e\}, \{a, b, c, d, e\}) \) |
| \( (5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{2, 5\}, \{1, 2, 5\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | \( (b) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, d, e\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, b, c, e\}, \{a, b, c, d, e\}) \) |
| \( (2, 3) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{1, 2, 3, 4\}) \) | \( (c, d) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, c, d, e\}) \) |
| \( (2, 4) \) | \( (V(G_1), \emptyset) \) | | \( (V(G_2), \emptyset) \) |
| \( (2, 5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{2, 3, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | | \( (V(G_2), \{a, b, c, d, e\}) \) |
| \( (3, 4) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{4\}, \{3, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | | \( (V(G_2), \{a, c, d\}) \) |
| \( (3, 5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{2, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | \( (b, c) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, b, c, d\}) \) |
| \( (4, 5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{2, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | | \( (V(G_2), \{a, b, c, d\}) \) |
| \( (1, 2, 3) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{1, 3\}, \{2, 4, 5\}) \) | \( (c, d, e) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}) \) |
| \( (1, 2, 4) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{1, 2\}, \{2, 4, 5\}) \) | \( (a, d, e) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, d, e\}, \{a, b, c, d\}) \) |
| \( (1, 2, 5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3, 5\}) \) | \( (b, d, e) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, d, e\}, \{a, b, c, d\}) \) |
| \( (1, 3, 4) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{4\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | \( (a, c, e) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, b, c, e\}, \{a, b, c, d, e\}) \) |
| \( (1, 3, 5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{1\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | \( (b, c, e) \) | \( (V(G_2), \emptyset) \) |
| \( (1, 4, 5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{3, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}) \) | \( (a, b, e) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, b, e\}) \) |
| \( (2, 3, 4) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{4\}, \{3, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}) \) | \( (a, c, d) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, b, c, e\}) \) |
| \( (2, 3, 5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{2\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}) \) | \( (b, c, d) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, b, c, d\}) \) |
| \( (2, 4, 5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | \( (a, b, d) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, b, c, d\}) \) |
| \( (3, 4, 5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{2, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | \( (a, b, c) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, b, c, d\}) \) |
| \( (1, 2, 3, 4) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3, 4\}) \) | \( (a, c, d, e) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, c, d, e\}) \) |
| \( (1, 2, 3, 5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}) \) | \( (b, c, d, e) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, c, d, e\}) \) |
| \( (1, 3, 4, 5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}) \) | \( (a, b, c, d, e) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, b, c, d, e\}) \) |
| \( (2, 3, 4, 5) \) | \( (V(G_1), \{2, 3, 4, 5\}) \) | \( (a, b, c, d) \) | \( (V(G_2), \{a, b, c, d, e\}) \) |
It is clear that from Table 2, the two circuits are \( N_l \)- irresolute homeomorphism for every subgraph \( A \) of \( G_1 \), and using the previous structural equivalence technique we checked that the two circuits are equivalent.

**Conclusion**

In this paper, different types of \( N_l \)-continuous, \( N_l \)-open, \( N_l \)-closed and \( N_l \)-homeomorphism are introduced and studied. Some applications on them are given in some real-life branches such as medicine and physics. We give some examples of electric circuits and study its relationship with graph theory.
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