Distribution and Genetic Diversity of Salmonella enterica in the Upper Suwannee River
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The Suwannee River spans the Florida/Georgia border to the Gulf of Mexico, and contributes to regional irrigation and recreational activities. Association of Salmonella enterica with these resources may result in the contamination of produce and disease outbreaks. Therefore, surface water was examined for the distribution of S. enterica at multiple time points from 4 sites on the upper Suwannee River. Isolates were confirmed by detection of the invA gene, and 96% of all samples were positive for the bacterium. Most probable number enumeration ranged from <18 to 5400 MPN/100 mL. Genetic diversity of these isolates (n = 110) was compared to other environmental (n = 47) or clinical (n = 28) strains and to an online library (n = 314) using DiversiLab rep-PCR. All strains showed >60% similarity and distributed into 16 rep-PCR genogroups. Most (74%) of the Suwannee River isolates were clustered into two genogroups that were comprised almost exclusively (97%) of just these isolates. Conversely, 85% of the clinical reference strains clustered into other genogroups. However, some Suwannee River isolates (12%) were clustered with these primarily clinically-associated genogroups, supporting the hypothesis that river water can serve as a disease reservoir and that pathogenic strains may persist or possibly originate from environmental sources.

1. Introduction

Nontyphoidal salmonellosis is the leading cause of bacterial foodborne illness in the US and contributed to approximately 33% of all foodborne-related deaths in 2009 [1]. The disease is characterized by a gastroenteritis that is associated with a wide range (>2500) of Salmonella enterica serotypes [2]. Historically, disease reservoirs for Salmonella were primarily attributed to contaminated poultry meat and eggs, but other sources include soil, factory surfaces, animal feces, and raw meats [3, 4]. More recently, orange juice [5–8] and other produce products [9–12] have been increasingly implicated as the source of salmonellosis outbreaks. Moreover, the number of cases per outbreak is greater for vegetables than for any other product [13].

Irrigation water may play an important role in contaminating soil and produce with Salmonella [14, 15]. Storm water runoff and septic tanks are known contributors of pathogens to surface water [16, 17], but rain events may also aid in the transport of pathogens from environmental sources in forested and grassed buffer zones into farm ponds [18]. Laboratory assays have demonstrated the potentiality of pathogen uptake through the roots [19] and flowers of edible plants [20], and Salmonella from irrigation sources has been shown to adhere to plants and survive for long periods of time [21].

The Suwannee River is a central feature of the Suwannee River watershed and is the largest source of free-flowing fresh water to the Gulf of Mexico [22]. The region is characterized by relatively minimal human impact and spans the coastal plains of southern Georgia and north central Florida. A recent survey of surface water collected within the southwest Georgia portion of the watershed reported the presence of S. enterica [17]. This region is considered a “hot spot” for environmental sources of salmonellosis, as case rates within this region were 1.5 times higher than the national average [14, 23], and river water collected locally over a 12-month period was positive for S. enterica in 79.2% of samples [17]. S. enterica densities directly correlated with water temperature (r = 0.49; P < 0.05) and precipitation levels (r = 0.68; P < 0.05)
and also increased 62% in summer compared to spring months. This survey also identified serovars with environmental sources that were associated with disease in this region. However, the genetic relationship of strains from clinical and environmental sources was not examined.

S. enterica isolates from aquatic environments show a wider diversity of serotypes than those recovered from animal sources [24–26], but the genetic diversity and distribution of S. enterica from environmental sources is still relatively unknown. Therefore, the present study examined the genetic profiles of S. enterica recovered from the Suwannee River to those of strains from clinical and other environmental sources. The DiversiLab repetitive extragenic palindromic PCR (rep-PCR) analysis was used to evaluate genetic similarity of Salmonella strains because it shows enhanced discrimination compared to other methods such as pulsed field gel electrophoresis [27–29]. Results showed that S. enterica from Suwannee River surface water samples comprised a diverse population that was genetically distinct from strains from other environmental sources and from most strains of clinical origin. This research establishes an S. enterica database that should serve as reference for routine monitoring and source tracking in future outbreaks.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. S. enterica Strains and Culture Conditions. S. enterica isolates were recovered from surface water samples of the Suwannee River (n = 110), as described below. Reference strains included a total of 186 S. enterica isolates from both clinical (n = 31) and environmental (n = 45) sources and strains that were kindly provided by ABC Research, Inc., Dr. Mickie Parish and Margaret Richards, as described in Supplemental Table 1 (available online at doi:10.1155/2011/461321). All strains were stored at −80°C in Luria Bertani NaCl (1%) broth (LBN) and 50% glycerol and subcultured on LBN agar (LA) for genetic characterization.

2.2. Sampling Protocol. Suwannee River surface water samples were collected monthly from January to June 2003. Sampling locations included public access sites at the Big Shoals State Park, FL (BP), Stephen Foster State Folk Culture Center in White Springs, FL (WS), Spirit of Suwannee Campground boat ramp (SP), and the Florida Sheriffs Boys Ranch boat ramp (BR). Samples were collected in sterile, glass containers by skimming the surface water and transported in coolers on ice to the laboratory, stored for no more than 24 hours at 4°C, and processed as described below. All media were from Difco Scientific, Inc. unless otherwise specified.

2.3. Isolation and Enumeration of Salmonella. Salmonella water samples (500, 100, 50, 10, and 1 mL) were used to determine most probable number (MPN) by addition to equal volumes of sterile 1% buffered peptone water (BPW) at 2x or 1x (for 1 mL samples) concentration in triplicate [30]. Broth cultures were incubated at 37°C overnight with shaking (New Brunswick Scientific Incubator). These cultures (1 mL of each) were subsequently transferred into 9 mL of Tetrathionate Broth (TT) for selective enrichment at 37°C overnight with shaking. TT broth cultures were then streaked for isolation onto XLD (Oxoid) agar plates, spotted onto LA plates, and incubated at 37°C overnight shaking (Fisher Scientific Incubator). Salmonella-positive samples were confirmed by species-specific PCR or DNA probe identification of presumptive positive colonies in XLD and LA, as described below. All confirmed isolates were frozen as mentioned above and stored at −80°C. The MPN/100 mL of each sample from the Suwannee River was determined by the number of replicate enrichment cultures for each dilution that was confirmed by species-specific identification of presumptive positive colonies, as described below.

2.4. Species Confirmation. All samples with typical colony morphology for Salmonella on XLD agar plates were confirmed by DNA probe colony hybridization and by PCR. For DNA probe assay, colonies were grown overnight on LA at 37°C and transferred by overlay to filter paper (Whatman no. 541), as previously described [31]. Briefly, colonies on filters were lysed in 0.5 M NaOH and 1.5 M NaCl solutions, neutralized in 2 M ammonium acetate (Fisher, Pittsburgh, Pa), and washed in 1x SSC buffer (0.003 M sodium citrate and 0.03 M NaCl). Filters were treated in proteinase K (20 µg/mL) in SSC and washed in SSC. Filters were hybridized at 56°C in buffer with an alkaline-phosphatase-labeled (DNA Technologies, Denmark) DNA probe (5’-CTGTTGATTTCCTGATGC-3’) derived from the S. enterica invA gene. Filters were washed with 1x SSC at 56°C, followed by washes at room temperature to remove unbound probe, and developed in the presence of NBT/BCIP substrate (Fisher, Pittsburgh, Pa).

For PCR confirmation, broth cultures (1 mL) were extracted by boiling cells from a suspension of one colony in 400 µL of PBS and incubated for 7 min at 100°C. Samples were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 3 min, and the supernatant was transferred to a new microcentrifuge tube. Extracted DNA in the supernatant (2 µL) was combined with 23 µL of master mix (Eppendorf), forward primer INVE (5’-TGCTTACAAGCATGAAATGG-3’), and reverse primer INVA (5’-AAAACCTTGACCACGTTGACAA-3’) for PCR amplification on a Mastercycler Gradient Thermal Cycler (Eppendorf). The following conditions were used: initial denaturation for 3 min. at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of 1 min. at 94°C, 1 min. at 56°C, and 1 min. at 72°C, with the final extension of 15 min. at 72°C. Samples were combined with 2 µL of 6x loading dye (Promega) and run on 1% agarose gels for visualization of PCR products by ethidium bromide staining. Positive Salmonella bands had a length of 457 base pairs.

2.5. Rep-PCR Analysis of S. enterica Isolates. DiversiLab Rep-PCR analysis of S. enterica isolates was performed according to manufacturer’s specifications for the Salmonella (BioMerieux). Briefly, genomic DNA from isolates grown on LA plates was extracted using UltraClean Microbial DNA Isolation Kit (Mo Bio Laboratories, Inc.). DNA concentration was determined by spectrometry (SPECTRA max Plus 384, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, Calif) and stored at −20°C. PCR amplification used S. enterica rep-PCR
DNA Fingerprinting Kit (BioMerieux) with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase and GeneAmp 10x PCR Buffer I with MgCl₂ (Applied Biosystems, Inc.). Each PCR reaction (25 µL) included 2 µL of the S. enterica primer mix, 0.5 µL of the AmpliTaq DNA polymerase, 2.5 µL of the Buffer I, 18 µL of the Master mix, and approximately 2 µL (50 ng/µL) of template DNA. Amplification was performed in a Mastercycler Gradient Thermal Cycler (Eppendorf) according to the conditions indicated in the S. enterica rep-PCR Fingerprinting kit. All rep-PCR amplicons were screened by electrophoresis using amplicons (1 µL) loaded onto the DNA microfluidics Labchip and for capillary electrophoresis by the Agilent 2100. Electrophoretograms were analyzed by DiversiLab system software (BioMerieux) for strain comparison of the DNA similarity to the DiversiLab rep-PCR online library for S. enterica (n = 352).

2.6. Statistical Analysis. The Chi-square test was used to compare segregation of rep-PCR genogroups with strain origin (clinical versus environmental) and serovar [32].

3. Results

3.1. Recovery of S. enterica from Suwannee River. Salmonella was isolated from the surface water at all sites that were sampled on the Suwannee River (Figure 1). These sites include the Big Shoals State Park (BS), Stephen Foster State Folk Culture Center in White Springs, FL (WS), Spirit of Suwannee Campground (SP), and Florida Sheriffs Boy’s Ranch (BR) as shown in Figure 1. Big Shoals has the closest proximity to the source of the river in the Okefenokee Swamp, while the other downstream sites were in closer proximity to higher-density human activity. We found that 96% of samples were positive for S. enterica as indicated by recovery of isolates with the invA gene. Although statistics were not possible because MPN was not performed from replicate samples from each site, the results did show that Salmonella levels ranged from not detectable to 5400 MPN/100 mL (Table 1). Interestingly, the two upstream sites, Big Shoals and White Springs, consistently maintained about 10- to 100-fold lower MPN levels January through April, compared to downstream sites, which were from more densely populated regions or located adjacent to agricultural activity. With the exception of the sample collected at White Springs in January, at least 30 isolates were recovered from each time point from all sites and were stored as frozen stocks for additional testing. Total heterotrophic aerobic bacterial counts on LA from Suwannee River water samples during this time period ranged between $1.0 \times 10^1$ and $6.9 \times 10^2$ CFU mL⁻¹ (data not shown).

3.2. Genetic Diversity of S. enterica Strains from the Suwannee River. The genetic relatedness among isolates of S. enterica recovered from the Suwannee River was evaluated by the DiversiLab rep-PCR system. These isolates were compared to other S. enterica strains from both clinical and environmental sources and to the DiversiLab S. enterica library. This method divides S. enterica strains into two main clusters that segregate subspecies III from subspecies I strains (Figure 2). Replicate analysis (n = 10) of the same strains (n = 6) generally showed at least 95% similarity (data not shown). Therefore, isolates with >95% similarity were considered to be clonal by this assay. A total of 499 strains were examined by rep-PCR, and all strains were >60% similar by this assay. Strains segregated into 16 genogroups using the criteria of >85% DNA similarity for more than two strains, while 14 strains were ungrouped (Supplemental Figure 1S).

Overall, S. enterica strains recovered from the Suwannee River were quite diverse and were distributed among 10
Figure 2: DiversiLab rep-PCR dendrogram. Representative strains are shown to demonstrate the segregation of different serotypes by rep-PCR. Cluster A was comprised of duplicate serovars of subspecies I (Typhimurium, Choleraesuis, and Enteritidis), while cluster B included duplicate serovars of subspecies III (Arizonae). Within each individual serovar, analyzed in triplicates, >94% DNA similarity was observed. More than 70% DNA relatedness was noted between serovars of the same serovars. The scale at the bottom indicates the percentage similarity among fingerprints of each genotype.

3.3. Distribution of Salmonella Serotypes in Relationship to DiversiLab Genogroups. S. enterica isolates (n = 30) recovered from the Suwannee River in a prior study in 1998-1999 [33] were serotyped, and serotypes included Inverness (n = 9), Muenchen (n = 3), Rubislaw (n = 6), Braenderup (n = 2), and one strain each of Montevideo, Newport, Johannes- burg, and Cubana. Some strains were un-typeable strains (n = 3) or rough (n = 3). Specific serotypes and source of strains are shown in Table 5. Rep-PCR analysis showed agreement with serology of S. enterica derived from the Suwannee River and elsewhere, and strains (70%) with the same serovar were frequently clonal (>95% similar) with one or more strains from independent sources. However, rep-PCR also revealed genetic distinctions among strains of the same serovar, as serotypes frequently segregated into multiple genogroups (Table 6). Furthermore, the genetic relatedness of isolates that were un-typeable by serology was revealed by rep-PCR. For example, an un-typeable Suwannee River isolate (UF-14) was not identified as S. enterica by serotyping but demonstrated 96% DNA similarity to other Suwannee River genogroups, while 6 isolates did not cluster with other strains (Supplemental Figure 1S). None of the Suwannee isolates clustered with subspecies 3 (Arizonae). Significant (P < 0.001) genetic relatedness was observed among the Suwannee River isolates by rep-PCR, and these strains were generally distinct from strains derived from other sources. Most (74%) of the Suwannee River strains segregated into only two genogroups, namely, 10 and 15. Each of these groups contained only one isolate from non-Suwannee sources, including one from a clinical case and another from a tomato. Conversely, 69% of strains from the DiversiLab Library, consisting of isolates from clinical sources, were distributed into genogroups 5, 6, 11, and 13, while only 12% of Suwannee River isolates were included in any of these groups. Interestingly, Suwannee River isolates were also distinct from strains derived from other environmental sources including those from central Florida, such as frogs, oranges, or lakes (Table 2). For example, the isolates from central Florida lakes clustered into genogroups 5 and 11 that were predominantly populated with strains of clinical origin.

Examination of the distribution of Suwannee River isolates by sampling site showed that the different genogroups were found among various sampling locations, and the genogroups that were most commonly populated with Suwannee isolates (10 and 15) were found at all sites (Table 3). Similarly, individual genogroups were evenly distributed by month (Table 4). Although strains were isolated from enriched samples, clonal isolates (>95% similarity) did not necessarily correspond to the same sample site or time. However, because of the low number and unequal distribution of isolates collected from each site or time point, statistical analysis was not performed with respect to sample site or seasonality, and no clear relationship was established.
Table 2: Distribution of rep-PCR genogroups related to the source of *Salmonella* isolates.

| Rep-PCR genogroup<sup>a</sup> | Total | DiversiLab | ATCC | Suwannee River | FL lakes | Other (source) |
|-------------------------------|-------|------------|------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| 1                             | 4     | 1          | 3    | 0              | 0        | 0              |
| 2                             | 5     | 4          | 0    | 0              | 0        | 0              |
| 3                             | 4     | 0          | 0    | 3              | 0        | 1 (Orange)     |
| 4                             | 3     | 0          | 2    | 0              | 0        | 1 (Orange)     |
| 5                             | 187   | 161        | 4    | 9              | 4        | 6 (Orange)     |
|                               |       |            |      | 2 (Cantaloupe) |          | 1 (Toad)       |
| 6                             | 42    | 35         | 7    | 0              | 0        | 0              |
| 7                             | 24    | 10         | 7    | 3              | 0        | 4 (Orange)     |
| 8                             | 7     | 0          | 1    | 2              | 1        | 3 (Orange)     |
| 9                             | 3     | 0          | 0    | 3              | 0        | 0              |
| 10                            | 40    | 0          | 1    | 39             | 0        | 0              |
| 11                            | 67    | 51         | 0    | 3              | 13       | 0              |
| 12                            | 15    | 13         | 0    | 2              | 0        | 0              |
| 13                            | 21    | 20         | 0    | 0              | 0        | 1 (Alfalfa)    |
| 14                            | 15    | 4          | 2    | 5              | 0        | 4 (Frog)       |
| 15                            | 36    | 0          | 0    | 35             | 0        | 1 (Tomato)     |
| 16                            | 5     | 4          | 0    | 0              | 0        | 1 (Reptile)    |
| N.C.<sup>b</sup>             | 21    | 10         | 1    | 6              | 1        | 2 (Water/soil) |
|                               |       |            |      |                |          | 1 (Reptile)    |
| **Total**                     | **499** | **314**   | **28** | **110**       | **19**   | **28**         |

<sup>a</sup> Strains were clustered into genogroups with more than two strains showing >85% similarity between strains.

<sup>b</sup>N.C.: Strains that did not cluster and showed <85% to other strains.

Table 3: Distribution of *S. enterica* genogroups by location for strains recovered from the Suwannee River.

| Genogroup<sup>a</sup> | Sampling sites<sup>b</sup> |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                        | Big Shoals | White Springs | Spirit of Suwannee | Boy’s Ranch | Other | Total |
| 3                      | — (20.0%) | — (20.0%)     | 3 (16.3%)          | — (20.0%)  | —     | 3 (16.3%) |
| 5                      | — (20.0%) | 2 (10.0%)     | 2 (10.0%)          | 2 (10.0%)  | 3 (10.0%) | 9 (30.0%) |
| 7                      | 2 (10.0%) | 1 (5.0%)      | — (0.0%)           | — (0.0%)   | —     | 3 (10.0%) |
| 8                      | — (0.0%)  | 2 (10.0%)     | — (0.0%)           | — (0.0%)   | —     | 2 (10.0%) |
| 9                      | — (0.0%)  | 2 (10.0%)     | 1 (5.0%)           | — (0.0%)   | —     | 3 (10.0%) |
| 10                     | 4 (20.0%) | 3 (15.0%)     | 14 (70.0%)         | 11 (45.0%) | 7 (25.0%) | 39 (100.0%) |
| 11                     | — (0.0%)  | — (0.0%)      | 1 (5.0%)           | 2 (10.0%)  | —     | 3 (10.0%) |
| 12                     | 1 (5.0%)  | — (0.0%)      | — (0.0%)           | — (0.0%)   | 1 (5.0%) | 2 (10.0%) |
| 14                     | 1 (5.0%)  | — (0.0%)      | 3 (15.0%)          | — (0.0%)   | 1 (5.0%) | 5 (20.0%) |
| 15                     | 9 (45.0%) | 7 (35.0%)     | 8 (40.0%)          | 3 (15.0%)  | 8 (30.0%) | 35 (100.0%) |

<sup>a</sup> Genogroups are described in Figure 1(S) as determined by DiversiLab rep-PCR and described in Materials and Methods.

<sup>b</sup>Sampling sites are described in text except for “others” which were collected in 1999-1998 and were downstream of sampling sites in this study.

isolates that were serotyped as Braenderup (UF-8 and UF-9) in genogroup 9 (Supplemental Figure 1(S)). Similarly, a rough *S. enterica* strain (UF-29) demonstrated >95% DNA similarity with two Suwannee River isolates serotyped as Inverness (UF-28 and UF-30) within genogroup 10. A high level of DNA similarity (>90%) was also noted among several Suwannee River isolates with serotype Rubislaw and another un-typeable strain (UF-3) within genogroup 15. However, two un-typeable (UF-12, UF-15) strains did not cluster with any other isolates at the 85% level of DNA similarity. A single clinical *S. enterica* Inverness serotype clustered with 39 Suwannee River isolates in genogroup 10, including 8 Suwannee River isolates that were also Inverness serotype. The remaining Suwannee River isolate that serotyped as Inverness...
Table 4: Distribution of \textit{S. enterica} genogroups by month for strains recovered from the Suwannee River.

| Genogroup | Jan | Feb | March | April | May | June | Total |
|-----------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-----|------|-------|
| 3         | 3   | 3   | 1     | 2     | 2   | 2    | 3     |
| 5         | 3   | 3   | 1     | 2     | 2   | 2    | 4     |
| 7         | 1   | 1   | 1     | 2     | 2   | 2    | 3     |
| 8         | 1   | 1   | 1     | 2     | 2   | 2    | 3     |
| 10        | 10  | 2   | 5     | 4     | 4   | 5    | 30    |
| 11        | 1   | 1   | 2     | 1     | 2   | 1    | 4     |
| 12        | 1   | 1   | 1     | 2     | 2   | 2    | 4     |
| 15        | 9   | 3   | 5     | 2     | 5   | 3    | 27    |

Total %: 23 (29.9%) 11 (14.3%) 12 (15.6%) 7 (9.1%) 13 (16.8%) 11 (14.3%) 77 (100%)

\textsuperscript{a}Genogroups are described in Figure 1(S) as determined by DiversiLab rep-PCR and described in Materials and Methods.

Table 5: Detailed serology of \textit{S. enterica} isolated from Suwannee River water.

| Serotype (number of isolates) | Strain designation (Genogroup) | Serovar \textsuperscript{b} | Antigenic Structure \textsuperscript{c} |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Braenderup (2)               | UF-8; UF-9                      | C1                          | 6,7:e,h,c,n,z_{15}                    |
| Cubana (1)                   | UF-18                           | G2                          | 13,23:k_{29}                          |
| Inverness (9)                | UF-5; UF-13; UF-17; UF-20; UF-22; UF-26; UF-27; UF-28; UF-30 | P                           | 38:k_{1,6}                            |
| Johannesburg (1)            | UF-7                            | R                           | 1,40:b:e,n,x                          |
| Montevideo (1)               | UF-10                           | C1                          | 6,7:g,m:s                            |
| Muenchen (3)                 | UF-16; UF-24; UF-19             | C2                          | 6,8:d:1,2                            |
| Newport (1)                  | UF-6                            | C2                          | 6,8:e,h:1,2                           |
| Rubislaw (6)                 | UF-1; UF-2; UF-4; UF-21; UF-23; UF-25 | F                           | 11:e,n,x                              |
| Un-typeable (6)              | UF-3; UF-11; UF-12; UF-14; UF-15; UF-29 | -                           | -                                     |

\textsuperscript{a}Serology for \textit{invA} probe-positive strains (n = 30) was determined by the \textit{Salmonella} Reference Center, Philadelphia, PA.

\textsuperscript{b}Serovars reflect subspecies designation.

\textsuperscript{c}Somatic antigen: phase I flagellar antigen and phase II flagella antigen (if present).

was found in genogroup 5 that also included Suwannee River isolates which serotyped as Muenchen and Johannesburg. Several of the Suwannee River strains indicated very high levels (\geq90\%) of DNA relatedness to important clinical serovars such as Typhimurium, Montevideo, and Muenchen.

4. Discussion

\textit{S. enterica} was widely distributed in the upper Suwannee River and was recovered from multiple locations at different points of time. Serotyping and genetic analyses illustrated the diversity of these strains but also showed that some genotypes may be more prevalent in the Suwannee River than others, as \geq70\% of strains clustered into only two genogroups. Furthermore, these genogroups were distinct as most strains derived from clinical and other environmental sources were excluded. Interestingly, strains recovered from central Florida lakes in a preliminary study using similar methodology were much more likely to cluster with strains from clinical sources. Although the number of strains in this study is too small to draw conclusions about these populations, results suggest that genotypes of \textit{S. enterica} may be differentially distributed in Florida aquifers.

The DiversiLab rep-PCR assay showed some agreement with serotyping, as serotypes from different sources frequently clustered together. However, genetic typing also indicated that some stains with the same serotype were genetically diverse. For example, strains from the Suwannee River with serotype Inverness were mostly (8 of 9) in genogroup 10, which also included a clinical Inverness strain, but one of the Suwannee River Inverness isolates diverged to genogroup 5 and was more genetically related to stains with serotype Muenchen. Prior descriptions of rep-PCR typing have also shown mixed results. Weigel et al. [29] noted greater discrimination power for rep-PCR compared to PFGE, but Kerouanton et al. [34] found it less discriminatory than either ribotyping or AP-PCR. Chmielewski et al. [35] found REP-PCR and ERIC-PCR to be highly discriminatory among isolates from Poland, but reproducibility was an issue with band number and positioning varying with the model of the thermocycler [36]. The Diversilab system (bioMerieux) for rep-PCR used in the present study is distinctive from other rep-PCR assays due to the standardized reagents, online database, internal controls for peak size and height, and the use of capillary electrophoresis for characterization of PCR products. We found that independent samples of the same
Salmonella strain were highly reproducible and always clustered with >95% similarity. Wise et al. [27] recently found this system was an excellent predictor of serotype, and Kilic et al. [28] found it was a “reasonable alternative to PFGE.”

The top 10 disease-associated serotypes in 2009 included Newport, Typhimurium, Javiana, Muenchen, Paratyphi B, Saintpaul, subspecies IV, Tallahassee, and Typhimurium [37]. Another study in 1997 reported 7 serovars (Rubislaw, Tallahassee, Gaminara, Javiana, Muenchen, Inverness, and Hartford), and in 1998, 10 serovars were reported (Allandale, Montevideo, Gaminara, Hartford, Inverness, Javiana, Muenchen, Rubislaw, Tallahassee, and Arizonae) by the Florida Department of Agriculture Consumer Services [38]. Thus, Muenchen and Inverness were common to all studies (including the present study), while Braenderup, Montevideo, and Rubislaw were found in more than one study. Newport, Johannesburg, and Cubana were unique to the present study.

The present study conducted in north Florida showed some similarity to a recent survey of Salmonella from the Little River in southern Georgia portion of the upper Suwannee River watershed [17]. Both reports identified Muenchen and Rubislaw among the predominant serotypes, but 40.6% of strains in the prior study were identified as S. enterica subspp. Arizonae, which was not recovered from the Suwannee River either by serotype or rep-PCR genotype. They concluded that recovery of Muenchen in the watershed was epidemiologically significant, as its incidence is increasing in human cases in Georgia (34% increase over 10 years). Furthermore, the Muenchen strains from the Suwannee River were associated with a predominantly clinical (genogroup 5) genotype. Recent Salmonella outbreaks in Florida have identified Braenderup [39], Javiana [40], St. Paul [41]. No Javiana was included in this study, and the Braenderup identified from the Suwannee River formed a unique rep-PCR cluster (genogroup 9). Neither study identified the most common serotype-associated salmonellosis, namely, serotype Enteritidis, from water samples [1]. Furthermore the rep-PCR Genogroup 6 that was identified with clinical Enteritidis strains did not contain any isolates from the Suwannee River. However, it should be noted the genogroup 7 that included clinical Typhimurium strains did cluster with 4 isolates from the Suwannee River. Thus, perhaps the most intriguing finding of the present study was the identification of Salmonella strains in the Suwannee River that were genetically associated with strains from clinical sources.

Although these investigations are not a systematic or exhaustive survey of Suwannee River watershed, they demonstrate the diversity of Salmonella in possible irrigation sources and suggest the existence of potential pathogens. Franz and Van Bruggen [13] reported that the prevalence of pathogens in the environment is inversely proportional to the genetic diversity of the biome and that eutrophic environments promote decreased diversity. While the present investigation did not examine environmental parameters with respect to distribution of Salmonella in Florida, there are striking differences in the human population densities associated with upstream versus downstream sites. The upstream sites are in closer proximity to the source of this river and greater distance from agriculture and other human impact. For example, the most upstream site at Big Shoals is in a state park and is essentially unpopulated with no agriculture in close proximity to the river, while the other sites are in direct contact with human populations. These differences

| Table 6: Relationship of serotype to genogroup. |
|---|---|---|
| Serotype<sup>a</sup> | Associated genogroups | Source (number of isolates) |
| Arizonae | 16 | ATCC (1), DiversiLab (4) |
| | N.C.<sup>b</sup> | ATCC (1) |
| Braenderup | 9 | Suwannee River (2) |
| Cholerasuis | 5 | ATCC (2) |
| Enteritidis | 1 | ATCC (2) |
| | 6 | ATCC (2) |
| | N.C. | Soil (1) |
| Gaminara | 3 | Orange (1) |
| | 4 | ATCC (1); Orange (1) |
| | 5 | Orange (1) |
| | 6 | ATCC (1) |
| Hartford | 5 | ATCC (1); Toad (1); Orange (1) |
| Inverness | 5 | Suwannee River (1) |
| | 10 | Suwannee River (2); ATCC (1) |
| Montevideo | 15 | ATCC (1); Suwannee River (1) |
| Muenchen | 5 | Suwannee River (3) |
| | 8 | Unknown (1) |
| Newport | 6 | ATCC (1) |
| | 14 | Suwannee River (1); Frog (4) |
| Rubislaw | 1 | ATCC (1) |
| | 15 | Suwannee River (6) |
| St. Paul | 7 | Orange (7) |
| Typhimurium | 6 | ATCC (1) |
| | 7 | ATCC (3) |
| | 11 | DiversiLab (4) |
| Un-typeable | 9 | Suwannee River (1) |
| | 10 | Suwannee River (1) |
| | 12 | Suwannee River (1) |
| | 15 | Suwannee River (1) |
| | N.C. | Suwannee River (2) |

<sup>a</sup>Serotypes with at least two representative isolates are shown with respect to DiversiLab rep-PCR genogroups described in Supplemental Figure 1(S).

<sup>b</sup>N.C.: not clustered. Strains that did not show >85% similarity to at least 2 other strains were not designated as belonging to a genogroup.
may explain the 10- to 100-fold increase in the MPN mL⁻¹ at downstream sites relative to upstream. However, there were no striking differences observed in terms of diversity of Salmonella from the different sites on Suwannee River. Future studies are needed to examine the complex environmental parameters, especially in relationship to nutrient availability, agricultural input, wildlife distribution, flow rates, rainfall and other factors, that may impact the microbial diversity and survival of Salmonella.
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