Retrogradely Transportable Lentivirus Tracers for Mapping Spinal Cord Locomotor Circuits
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Retrograde tracing is a key facet of neuroanatomical studies involving long distance projection neurons. Previous groups have utilized a variety of tools ranging from classical chemical tracers to newer methods employing viruses for gene delivery. Here, we highlight the usage of a lentivirus that permits highly efficient retrograde transport (HiRet) from synaptic terminals within the cervical and lumbar enlargements of the spinal cord. By injecting HiRet, we can clearly identify supraspinal and propriospinal circuits innervating motor neuron pools relating to forelimb and hindlimb function. We observed robust labeling of propriospinal neurons, including high fidelity details of dendritic arbors and axon terminals seldom seen with chemical tracers. In addition, we examine changes in interneuronal circuits occurring after a thoracic contusion, highlighting populations that potentially contribute to spontaneous behavioral recovery in this lesion model. Our study demonstrates that the HiRet lentivirus is a unique tool for examining neuronal circuitry within the brain and spinal cord.
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INTRODUCTION

Early mapping studies of neuroanatomical pathways of the central and peripheral nervous system utilized tracing of neurons and axons with chemical tracers. Markers such as biotinylated dextran amines (BDAs) (Veenman et al., 1992), cholera toxin beta subunit (Trojanowski et al., 1982; Luppi et al., 1990), fluorogold (Schmued and Fallon, 1986), microbeads (Katz et al., 1984; Katz and Iarovici, 1990) and Phaseolus vulgaris-leucoagglutinin (Gerfen and Sawchenko, 1984) provide a clear and distinct labeling of neuronal morphology including somas, dendrites, and axons. Each of these methods permit investigators to examine different populations of neurons based on injection volume, sensitivity of labeling, diffusion, and resistance to fading. Depending on the type of tracer used, visualization of neuronal populations can be enhanced through immunohistochemistry.

Classical tracers such as BDA and fluorogold are considered gold standard for many experiments, but they do have certain disadvantages. BDA can be taken up by damaged axons in the injection area, or by axons in passage in the white matter surrounding an injection site (Brandt and Apkarian, 1992; Reiner et al., 2000). This may lead to incorrect interpretations of neuronal numbers or connecting pathways and in studies examining axon regeneration, BDA can be absorbed by damaged or severed axons and mistaken for regenerating fibers (Steward et al., 2007). Lastly, use of these tracers does not provide information regarding synaptic connectivity of a set population of neurons.
Viral vectors are important tools in neurological studies because of their unique ability to transduce specific neuronal populations in vivo and express select transgenes within the cell somas or axon terminals. Several neurotropic viruses travel via retrograde transport from axon terminals, allowing analysis of long-projection neurons, and introducing greater neuronal specificity because of the method of uptake. Examples of viruses that exhibit retrograde labeling include adeno-associated (rAAV-retro), rabies (RV) and pseudorabies (PRV) (Baer et al., 1965; Wickersham et al., 2007; Callaway and Luo, 2015; Tervo et al., 2016). RV can quickly transport along multiple neuronal synapses, but has high toxicity, making time sensitive analysis for tract tracing studies crucial (Coulon et al., 1989; Kelly and Strick, 2000; Reardon et al., 2016). The Bartha strain of PRV is a popular method to retrogradely map neuronal circuits in both the brain and spinal cord, but like RV, has similar issues regarding neurovirulence and toxicity (see Aston-Jones and Card, 2000 for review). Finally, AAV – including retrogradely transportable forms – can be taken up by axons in passage, rendering circuit mapping difficult to interpret (Tervo et al., 2016).

Lentiviral vectors based on the human immunodeficiency virus type-1 (HIV-1) provide stable, long-term expression of transgenes in transduced neuronal populations and they are widely used in studies involving gene therapy (Wang et al., 1999; Pawliuk et al., 2001; Lo Bianco et al., 2004; Adjali et al., 2005; Malik et al., 2005; Brown et al., 2007). Such vectors offer similar advantages for labeling neuronal populations distant from the injection site. However, most HIV-1 lentiviral vectors are packaged with vesicular stomatitis viral glycoprotein (VSV-G), which, though easy to concentrate and create a high-titer product, can limit retrograde transport of the transgene, and is toxic to some mammalian cells if constitutively expressed (Burns et al., 1993). VSV-G pseudotyped vectors may also trigger an immune response directed against the envelope protein when used in vivo (DePol et al., 2000; Higashikawa and Chang, 2001). The shortcomings of VSV-G have been alleviated in some cases via chemical modification of the envelope glycoprotein. For example, immune system reaction can be tempered, and transduction efficiency increased when VSV-G is conjugated with poly-ethylene glycol (PEG) (Croyle et al., 2004). To improve retrograde transport, Kato et al. (2011b) and Hirano et al. (2013) have pseudotyped lentiviral vectors with a fusion envelope glycoprotein of rabies virus (FuG-B) to produce a highly efficient retrograde transport vector termed HiRet. HiRet lentivirus has been used to specifically target C3-C4 propriospinal (PN) neurons in the macaque in combination with adeno-associated virus 2 (AAV2) expressing TetOn for reversible synaptic silencing experiments (Kinoshita et al., 2012; Tohyama et al., 2017).

This vector seems to be an excellent choice for mapping central nervous system pathways because of the advantages outlined above: it does not negatively impact the survival or function of infected neurons, and it is stable, long-lasting and easily detectable. Although HiRet is not entirely specific to neurons, as it also labels astrocytes at the injection site, it does show selective uptake at the synapse of neurons and does not spread into injured axons or unrelated cells regardless of the dosage or time course of the study (Ugolini, 1995; Morcuende et al., 2002). In addition, coupling HiRet lentivirus containing a tetracycline-inducible promoter to drive transgene expression with a second virus, AAV2-TetOn, allows very tight retrograde labeling of specific neuronal populations. Here, we use constitutively active HiRet-GFP to map supraspinal and propriospinal connections terminating in the cervical or lumbar regions of the rat spinal cord. We highlight the advantages this lentivirus provides for mapping studies in the central nervous system and in the context of thoracic spinal cord injury.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

All surgical and animal care protocols were approved by the Temple University School of Medicine’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and performed per the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Female Sprague-Dawley rats (65–75 days, 200–224 g; Harlan Laboratories) were housed two per cage, on a 12-h light-dark cycle with food and water provided ad libitum. Animals were allowed 7 days of acclimatization prior to any experimental procedure. At the time of surgery animals ranged in weight from 225 to 250 g. Female rats were used primarily for spinal cord contusion studies which require manual bladder expression twice daily.

**Viral Vectors**

The HiRet lentiviral vector is an HIV-1 pseudotype that allows retrograde transport and labeling of neurons. For retrograde tract tracing purposes, we generated HiRet-GFP to label long descending projection neurons to the cervical and lumbar enlargement. HiRet-GFP was constructed by packaging a GFP plasmid (pCSC-SP-PW-GFP, Addgene plasmid # 12337, a gift from Inder Verma) (Marr et al., 2004) with rabies virus fusion envelope glycoprotein G (FuG-B; provided by Dr. Kobayashi) and plasmids pMbl and pRev. A plasmid, pLV-TRE-GFP (also provided by Dr. Kobayashi) was packaged in a similar manner to produce HiRet-TRE-GFP for specific labeling of PNs. Rabies virus glycoprotein allows HiRet to transduce synapses and retrogradely transport with high efficiency into neuronal somas. Plasmids were transfected into HEK293T cells using the CaPO4 method and allowed to incubate overnight. Purified virus was suspended in Tris buffer containing rat albumin and mannitol. Lentiviral titers were determined using a P24 HIV-1 ELISA kit and were around 2 × 10^7 TU/mL infectivity prior to storage in −80°C.

AAV2-CMV-rTAV16 (AAV-TetOn) was used in combination with HiRet-TRE-GFP to unilaterally label C3-C4 propriospinal interneurons. The plasmid pAM-rTAV16 was generously provided by Dr. Kobayashi and was packaged using the helper-free method as reported previously (Ayuso et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014). In brief, HEK293T cells at 70–80% confluency were transfected with two packaging plasmids, one carrying AAV rep and cap, the other with AAV helper functions and the transgene using a polyethyleneimine method (PEI) (polyethyleneimine, linear, MW 25k, Warrington, PA, United States). Three days
post transfection, cell supernatant and lysates were harvested. 40% PEG 8000 was added to precipitate crude virus for 2 h. AAV samples were double-ultracentrifuged in a cesium chloride gradient with isolated viral fractions dialyzed in 0.1 M PBS/0.5% Sorbitol overnight (Ayuso et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014). Purified fractions were added to HEK293T cells previously transduced with HiRet-TRE-GFP to verify function. Quantitative real-time PCR of purified viral fractions was done to determine viral titer. Viral titer of AAV2 was $1.2 \times 10^{13}$ GC/mL.

**Surgical Procedures**

Animals were anesthetized with a ketamine (67 mg/kg)/xylazine (6.7 mg/kg, i.p.) mixture. A 0.5 cm incision is made through the dorsal skin above vertebra C4-C6. The skin is retracted to expose the underlying muscle. The exposed spinotrapezius muscle is cut along the midline with scissors, then spread with a small Alm retractor. The muscles are freed from the cervical vertebrae with scissors. The first thoracic vertebrae has a very prominent dorsal spinal process for easy identification. The spinal cord. Precise injections into the intermediate zone of the spinal cord was performed according to our previously established protocols (Romero et al., 2000, 2001; Tang et al., 2004, 2007; Kelamangalath et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016). This was done using a beveled glass micropipette pulled to a diameter of 30–40 μm connected to a nanoliter injector (Nanoject, Drummond Scientific). Coordinates were determined from the rats.

**Tissue Processing and Histology**

At the completion of each experiment (4–6 weeks after the last injections), all animals were euthanized by injection of Fatal-Plus (Dearborn, MI, United States) and perfused with saline (0.9% NaCl) followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.5). The brain and spinal cord were promptly dissected. Spinal cord samples were dissected with dorsal roots intact for identification of each spinal level. Samples were post-fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C. Tissue samples were cut into segments according to gross anatomical landmarks. The spinal cord was cut into segments in accordance to its level by counting the dorsal roots from well-established landmarks, either the first spinal nerve (C1) or the exit of the T13 dorsal root out of the vertebrae with the last identifiable rib. Brain and brainstem blocks were carefully identified based on the rat brain atlas ( Paxinos and Charles, 2007) and cut into regions using a 1 mm rat brain matrix (Ted Pella, Reading, CA, United States). The medulla was isolated by first placing a single edge razor blade through the slot closest to back of the cerebellum, and the next blade 6 mm rostral. The pons was isolated from the next 3 mm slice, extending to about the middle of the inferior colliculus. The midbrain was the next rostral 3 mm section extending to just anterior to the superior colliculus. All slices were then transferred to a series of graded sucrose (10%, 20%, and 30%) where they remained for the following 24 h or until the brain section sunk to the bottom of the container. For stereology, tissue blocks were serially sectioned, sagittally at 40 μm intervals using a Leica CM3050S cryostat. Here individual sections were collected on every fifth slide, so that each slide contained the representative...
Serial sections separated by 200 µm. These sections were then stored at −20°C until processed for histology.

**Immunofluorescence**

To amplify the GFP signal, slides containing every fifth section were permeabilized in 0.3% Triton X-100 with 5% normal donkey serum to block non-specific binding sites. Samples were then incubated with chicken-anti-GFP primary antibody (1:1000; #GFP-1020; Aves Labs Inc., Tigard, OR, United States) overnight at 4°C. The next day, samples were incubated with donkey-anti-chicken-AlexaFluor 488 secondary (1:400, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., West Grove, PA, United States), mounted and photographed using AxioVision software (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Thornwood, NY, United States).

**Stereology**

Unbiased stereological estimates of GFP-positive neurons were performed as previously described (West et al., 1991). Systematic random sampling of GFP-positive neurons were acquired using Stereo Investigator (MicroBrightField, Inc., Williston, VT, United States). Spinal cord segments were limited prior to tissue cryosectioning and the contours between gray and white matter identified by differential phase or dark field imaging. The laminae of Rexed were identified as illustrated in our previous publications (Romero et al., 2000, 2001; Tang et al., 2007) and verified based on gray matter contour from a rat spinal cord atlas (Watson et al., 2009). Boundaries were drawn around the entire gray matter of C3-C4, C4-C7 or T5-T8. Ipsilateral and contralateral gray matter was generally determined by density of GFP signal in axons in the corresponding white matter.

Brain sections were processed as follows: midbrain sections identified containing the red nucleus were quantified from bregma −5.20 mm to −6.70 mm (Paxinos and Charles, 2007). The red nucleus was identified within this region as an almost circular cluster of neurons ventrolateral to the periaqueductal gray and bounded medially by the medial raphe and laterally by the medial lemniscus as describe in our earlier studies (Wang et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2014). Neurons within the caudal pontine reticular formation (PRF) were quantified from bregma −9.10 mm to −10.3 mm. The boundaries shown in Figure 4 were identified to be dorsal to the ventral pontine nuclei, lateral to the raphe nuclei, and medial to the subcoeruleus nuclear cluster (Paxinos and Charles, 2007). Neurons within the gigantocellular region of the medullary reticular formation (MRF) were quantified from sections identified from bregma −11.0 mm to −12.5 mm. These nuclei were lateral to the medial lemniscus/spinothalamic tract, dorsal to the pyramidal tract and medial to the intermediate reticular formation (Paxinos and Charles, 2007). A 350 × 350 µm random sampling grid was placed on all regions of interest for spinal cord gray matter, red nucleus and brainstem reticular areas. Guard zone height was 5 µm (top and bottom) with a sampling brick depth of 20 µm for spinal cord or 40 µm for brain areas. Z-stacks were taken at each region of interest with a 40× objective using a Leica fluorescent microscope. GFP-positive neurons were marked if inside a counting frame (75 µm × 75 µm for spinal cord; 100 µm × 100 µm for brain) using the optical fractionator workflow. Total estimates of neurons labeled in the C3-C4, C4-C7, T5-T8, red nucleus and reticular formation (pontine and medullary) ipsilateral and contralateral to the injection site were reported.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, United States). Statistical evaluations of stereological data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by a Sidak post hoc test for statistical significance or an unpaired two-tailed t-test wherever mentioned. All morphological analysis was represented as mean ± SD.

**RESULTS**

For this study, we examined the retrograde labeling of neurons synapsing within either the cervical region (C6-T1) or the lumbar region (L1-L4). This cervical region was chosen because it is primarily involved in forelimb motor behaviors such as reaching and grasping; whereas the lumbar region is involved in hindlimb locomotion. Since it is well established that rabies virus is taken up at synapses and transported retrogradely, we used the chimeric rabies-G-envelope protein fused with the cytoplasmic domain of VSV-G. This lentivirus serotype was first developed by Kato et al. (2011b) and shown to induce high efficiency retrograde transport from several neuronal subtypes (Kato et al., 2011a, 2013; Kinoshita et al., 2012; Watakabe et al., 2012; Hirano et al., 2013). HiRet-GFP lentivirus was injected unilaterally into the spinal cord at the designated locations, to map some of the circuits innervating these regions. Examination of the injection site indicated that the virus was confined to the ipsilateral injected side (Figure 1A), with occasional labeling of commissural neurons showing a few axons crossing the midline.

In general, GFP-positive neurons were identified in several supraspinal regions known to provide motor information to the spinal cord. Four weeks after C6-T1 HiRet-GFP injections, we observed labeling of cortical neurons in lamina V (Figures 1B,C), tectospinal neurons within the deep lamina of the superior colliculus (Figure 1D), and various regions in the reticular formation, such as the ventral medullary reticular formation (MedV) bilaterally (Figure 1E), and the gigantocellular reticular nucleus (Gig) (Figure 1F). This indicates that HiRet-GFP was able to retrogradely label several nuclei of descending motor pathways that are thought to participate in forelimb motor control.

In these studies, we primarily focused on examining GFP-positive neurons localized to either the red nucleus or upper cervical spinal cord. Within the midbrain, neuronal Gfp expression was found in the contralateral and ipsilateral red nucleus. The rubrospinal tract (RST) is known to participate in reaching and grasping in rodents as well as monkeys (Van Kan and McCurdy, 2002; Morris et al., 2015; Geed and van Kan, 2017). Rubrospinal neurons were predominately labeled on the contralateral side and GFP-labeled neuronal numbers estimated at 1058 ± 242 neurons using stereological methods (Figures 1K,L). Sparse labeling was observed in the ipsilateral red nucleus with 369 ± 227 labeled neurons (Figures 1J,L).
FIGURE 1 | Retrograde labeling of supraspinal and propriospinal neurons after injection of HiRet-GFP into the C6-T1 spinal cord segments. (A) Overexposure of GFP labeling at the HiRet-GFP injection site in the C6 spinal cord showing unilateral expression. (B) Representative image of contralateral somatomotor cortex showing labeling of layer V pyramidal neurons. (C) Higher magnification of box insert of corticospinal neurons from (B). (D) Contralateral superior colliculus (SC) with GFP labeled tectospinal neurons. (E) Caudal medulla showing bilateral GFP-positive neurons within the ventral part of the medullary reticular formation. Hypoglossal nucleus (H); Nucleus Gracilis (NG). (F) GFP-positive neurons labeled within the gigantocellular reticular formation near the ponto-medullary junction. (G) Ipsilateral (ipsi) and contralateral (contra) GFP-labeled propriospinal neurons within the C3-C4 spinal cord segments. GFP positive neurons were quantified from entire ipsilateral (or contralateral) gray matter area within the yellow highlighted region. (H) Higher magnification of GFP-labeled propriospinal neurons ipsilateral to the injection site. (I) Stereological estimates of GFP-positive propriospinal neurons within the C3–C4 spinal cord region showing both ipsilateral and contralateral densities. (J) A few GFP-positive rubrospinal neurons were identified within the ipsilateral red nucleus. (K) However, the vast majority were localized to the contralateral red nucleus. (L) Stereological estimates for the number of GFP-positive rubrospinal neurons identified within either the contralateral or ipsilateral red nucleus. Data is mean ± SD; n = 4. Scale bars: (A, G): 500 µm; (B, D–F, J, K): 200 µm; (C, H): 50 µm.
Within the spinal cord, C3-C4 PNs contribute to target-directed reaching and hand dexterity by acting as a disynaptic relay between the corticospinal tract (CST) and the C6-T1 forelimb motor neurons in monkeys and cats (Alstermark et al., 1981; Alstermark et al., 1999; Sasaki et al., 2004; Isa et al., 2006; see Alstermark et al., 2007 for review). Injection of HiRet-GFP into the C6-T1 spinal cord segments showed numerous bilateral GFP-positive C3-C4 PNs (Figure 1G). Labeled neurons were mostly ipsilateral in the gray matter from Rexed lamina IV to VIII, with somas found both medially and laterally (Figures 1G,H). Contralateral GFP expression of PNs was observed mostly medially in the ventral horn in lamina VII and VIII, with sparse neurons observed in the contralateral dorsal horn. Stereological estimates of retrogradely labeled GFP-positive PNs were 12,319 ± 3,853 neurons and 7,927 ± 3,198 neurons for ipsilateral and contralateral C3-C4 gray matter, respectively (Figure 1I). The anatomical location and laminar distribution of GFP-positive C3-C4 PNs was identical to retrograde tracing by other methods (Filli et al., 2014; Ni et al., 2014; Han et al., 2015).

To induce GFP expression within specific neuronal subpopulations we used a two-virus tetracycline-inducible system (Kinoshita et al., 2012). Typically, injections of HiRet-GFP will label multiple populations of neurons innervating a particular target region. Using an inducible promoter limits GFP expression to only the neuronal subpopulation co-expressing the tetracycline transactivating factor (TetOn). We generated HiRet lentivirus designed to drive inducible GFP expression (HiRet-TRE-GFP) and a second adeno-associated virus expressing Tet-On (AAV2-TetOn) to drive inducible expression. The HiRet-TRE-GFP was injected into the C6-T1 spinal cord segments and AAV2-TetOn was unilaterally injected into the C3-C4 spinal cord, allowing specific GFP labeling of only C3-C4 PNs. Four weeks later, rats were injected with doxycycline (30 mg/kg, s.c.) for 1 week. Analysis of spinal cords showed very specific GFP-positive labeling of only the unilateral C3-C4 PNs (Figures 2C,D). High density neuronal labeling was observed throughout the cell body and dendrites (Figures 2C,C’), while showing clear consistency in the total number of labeled neurons (Figure 2E). Since this method requires two viruses to infect the same cell to induce GFP expression (Figures 2A,B), glia at the injection site were not labeled, however, distinct GFP-positive labeling of the axons and their terminals could be identified within the C6-T1 spinal cord (Figure 2F). Some of these synaptic terminals co-labeled with vGlut1 (Figure 2G). This data indicates that this system can be used to genetically modify specific neuronal populations with synaptic connections within the spinal cord.

**Descending Pathways Into the L1-L4 Spinal Cord**

We next wanted to examine pathways involved in hindlimb locomotion. For these studies we injected HiRet-GFP into the L1-L4 spinal cord regions and examined GFP-positive labeling of neurons within the thoracic and cervical spinal cord, as well as various brainstem nuclei, both in the uninjured state and 8 weeks after a severe thoracic contusion.

In the thoracic gray matter, the neuronal population expressing HiRet-GFP in uninjured animals amounted to 7,401 ± 1,744 neurons on the side ipsilateral to the injection, and 3,526 ± 344 neurons in the contralateral cord (Figure 3A, quantified in Figure 3E). The majority of neurons were clustered in laminae V–VII, though scattered neurons were seen in laminae IV, VIII, IX, and X. Stereological counts 8 weeks after spinal cord contusion showed GFP-positive labeled neurons were reduced to 1,211 ± 653 in the ipsilateral cord and 871 ± 883 in the contralateral cord, with nearly all remaining neurons located in laminae V–VII of the thoracic cord (Figure 3B, quantified in Figure 3E). The cervical spinal cord is known to have significant connections with the lumbar spinal cord due to relays between the two central pattern generators (Menetrey et al., 1985; Reed et al., 2006). In agreement with this possibility, we observed a greater proportion of GFP-positive labeled neurons within the cervical cord compared to the thoracic spinal cord. There were 18,777 ± 8,144 neurons seen on the side ipsilateral to the injection and 13,742 ± 5,719 in the contralateral cord in uninjured animals (Figure 3C, quantified in Figure 3F). As with the thoracic cord, there was a significant loss of GFP-positive labeled neurons in contused animals, though it was significantly less than that observed in the thoracic region. Contusion resulted in an approximately 60% loss (7,572 ± 3,621 neurons) and about a 40% loss (8,407 ± 4,602 neurons) of GFP-positive labeled neurons within the ipsilateral or contralateral spinal cord, respectively (Figure 3D, quantified in Figure 3F). In uninjured rats, the greatest concentration of neurons occurred in laminae V–VII, with just a few isolated neurons in laminae IX and X; whereas, after contusion the expression was primarily localized to laminae VI and VII, with a few cells in laminae V.

### Connections From the Lumbar Cord to Supraspinal Nuclei

We also analyzed GFP-positive neurons retrogradely labeled in the brainstem, midbrain, and cortex to observe expression in nuclei associated with locomotion, and to analyze connections that may have been rerouted to unexpected areas.

### Reticular Nuclei

GFP-positive neurons were localized within several regions of the brainstem and midbrain, most notably the pontine (caudal portion) and medullary reticular nuclei and the red nucleus. In the gigantocellular nucleus of the MRF in uninjured animals, 1,665 ± 532 GFP-positive neurons were labeled on the ipsilateral side, and 728 ± 153 on the contralateral side (Figure 4A, quantified in Figure 4G). Eight weeks after contusion, GFP-positive neuronal numbers dropped by about 40% to 708 ± 116 on the ipsilateral side and by 80% to 155 ± 24 on the contralateral side (Figure 4D, quantified in Figure 4G).

A similar density of GFP-positive labeled neurons was found in the caudal pontine reticular nuclei. In uninjured animals, 1,403 ± 433 neurons were labeled on the ipsilateral side, and 492 ± 71 on the contralateral side (Figure 4B, quantified in Figure 4H). Eight weeks after contusive injury, GFP-positive neuronal numbers decreased 70% to 412 ± 211 neurons on the
FIGURE 2 | Specific labeling of neuronal subpopulations using HiRet tetracycline-inducible GFP. C3-C4 propriospinal neurons and their synaptic terminals in the C6-T1 spinal cord were labeled by injection of HiRet-TRE-GFP into the C6-T1 and AAV2-TetOn into the C3-C4 spinal cord. Cervical sagittal spinal cord sections from (A) AAV2-TetOn control, (B) HiRet-TRE-GFP control, (C) AAV2-TetOn + HiRet-TRE-GFP following doxycycline administration for 7 days. GFP-positive neurons were identified only in rats receiving injections of both viral vectors with doxycycline. All GFP-labeled neurons were localized to the C3-C4 region where the AAV2-TetOn was injected. (C',C") Higher magnification images show a cluster of labeled neurons and extensive dendritic arbors. (D) Unilateral injections of AAV2-TetOn limited expression of GFP to only the ipsilateral propriospinal neurons. (E) All animals showed relatively consistent numbers of GFP-positive neurons labeled per sagittal section (n = 4 A–D). This labeling technique allows identification of both the neuronal cell bodies and their axon terminals within the same animal. (F) C3–C4 propriospinal axons (yellow arrowheads) within the ventrolateral and ventral funiculi and their axon terminals (cyan arrowheads) within the ventral horn of C7. (G) C3–C4 GFP-positive axon terminals within the C7 spinal cord can easily be identified and co-labeled with the pre-synaptic marker vGlut1 (G'), merged (G''). Scale bars: (A–D) = 500 µm; (F) = 200 µm; (C',G) = 20 µm.
ipsilateral side and about 75% to 130 ± 36 on the contralateral side (Figure 4E, quantified in Figure 4H).

The Red Nucleus

The red nucleus is a midbrain structure whose total contribution to rodent behavior is not completely clear. Recent evidence from the Whishaw group suggests a role in the arpeggio movement associated with forelimb reaching and grasping, which was hindered when a focused lesion was made in the magnocellular region of the nucleus, the area that gives rise to the RST (Morris et al., 2015). However, the contribution to hindlimb locomotion of the RST is unclear. RST fibers are known to contact the lumbar spinal area, especially in laminae V and VI, and innervate interneuron pools (Holstege and Tan, 1988; Tsukamoto et al., 2003). Thus, we examined the red nucleus for GFP-positive labeling after injection of HiRet-GFP into lumbar spinal cord terminals.

A small population of GFP-positive labeled neurons was seen in the red nucleus in both injured and uninjured animals. RST axons decussate almost immediately upon exiting the red nucleus, and thus more signal can be expected on the side contralateral to the injection site (Liang et al., 2012). In uninjured animals, 315 ± 337 neurons were found on the contralateral side and 61 ± 106 neurons were found on the ipsilateral side (Figure 4C, quantified in Figure 4I). Interestingly, there was no significant loss of neurons seen in contused animals, with 314 ± 283 neurons seen on the contralateral side, and 109 ± 163 neurons quantified on the ipsilateral side (Figure 4F, quantified in Figure 4I).

**DISCUSSION**

Unlike traditional retrograde tracers, viral retrograde tracers allow genetic manipulation of neurons that innervate a specific region of the spinal cord. Here, we show that discrete unilateral injections of HiRet lentivirus into either the cervical or lumbar spinal cord label well-defined locations within the spinal cord and brainstem when compared to previous studies using retrograde chemical tracers. This labeling is found to be stable in vivo for extended time periods (we have observed GFP expression for up to 4 months), making it superior to tracers such as BDA or pseudo-rabies virus, which must be dealt with in a time-sensitive manner. Additionally, we have found no evidence of neuronal cell death in either the brain or spinal cord while using this tracer. HiRet lentivirus, however, substitutes the VSV-g for a rabies G/VSV-g chimeric envelope glycoprotein to enable preferential uptake at synaptic terminals, unlike traditional chemical retrograde tracers that can be taken up by damaged axons or axons en passage (see Kobayashi et al., 2016 for review). In this regard, we have observed no neuronal labeling of spinal motor neurons or DRG neurons after injection of HiRet-GFP into the transiently demyelinated sciatic nerve, however, injection of AAV2-mCherry shows very good labeling of both populations. Thus, this viral vector supports better definition of pathways that innervate specific regions of the brain or spinal cord and provides an advantage compared to AAVs that are used for retrograde mapping (e.g., rAAV2-retro) where uptake by axons en passage needs to be taken into account (Tervo et al., 2016). We have also found no evidence of trans-synaptic transport by this vector. For example, we observed no GFP labeling of neuronal populations that do not make direct synaptic connections into either the cervical or lumbar region even after increasing injection volume or waiting for longer time periods after injections. These advantages could prove critical not only for mapping of circuits from the brain to the spinal cord, but for studies specifically targeting neuronal populations for silencing or ablation (Kinoshita et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2017; Tohyama et al., 2017).

In the present study, we examined the utility of a retrogradely transportable lentivirus to map pathways terminating within either the cervical or lumbar spinal cord. We observed very good retrograde transport into multiple pathways known to
be involved in motor control, primarily within the brainstem and spinal cord. However, retrograde labeling of cortical motor neurons was relatively weak, so this method might not be as useful for that pathway. The number and distribution of neurons retrogradely labeled using HiRet lentivirus compared well to those labeled using other methods (e.g., fluorogold, microruby) (Reed et al., 2006; Liang et al., 2011). For example, fluorogold tracer injected into multiple mouse cervical spinal cord segments showed average ipsilateral and contralateral neuronal counts of 1,337 and 3,612 for the red nucleus, 1,001 and 532 for the caudal part of the pontine nucleus, and 2,744 and 1,148 for the ventral MRF (Liang et al., 2011). These numbers are comparable to those in the present study considering our injections are localized to specific regions and from either the cervical or lumbar spinal cord. Unfortunately, the retrograde labeling of the cortical motor neurons was relatively weak, in which we observed labeling
of about a tenth of those reported previously (Liang et al., 2011). Thus, this virus method would not be as useful for the corticospinal pathway. Finally, this viral tracer can be used to map changes in intraspinal and supraspinal circuit pathways pre- and post-SCI, to highlight neuronal populations potentially involved in spontaneous behavioral recovery or contributing to functional recovery in experimental models.

The cervical region of the spinal cord contains the spinal motor neurons for forelimb movements. The CST is considered the primary pathway for control of skilled forelimb reaching and grasping and we observed some, but minor, labeling of this pathway after cervical injections of HiRet-GFP. However, the CST also sends numerous collateral branches into the brainstem nuclei and data in monkeys and cats have identified an important role of C3-C4 propriospinal neurons in forelimb patterning (Alstermark et al., 1981, 1999; Sasaki et al., 2004; Isa et al., 2006; Kinoshita et al., 2012; see Alstermark et al., 2007 for review). For this reason, we were primarily interested in labeling C3-C4 propriospinal neurons since studies in the cat and monkey have demonstrated the importance of the C3-C4 propriospinal pathway in the recovery of reaching and grasping after CST lesions (see reviews by Flynn et al., 2011; Alstermark and Isa, 2012). We observed very robust bilateral labeling of these propriospinal neurons, showing much better details of dendrites than chemical tracers, which mostly only label the cell bodies. Cervical injections of HiRet with GFP under the control of the tetracycline-inducible promoter showed very nice unilateral expression, since AAV encoding TetOn was only injected into the right side of the spinal cord. This two vector inducible approach, first described by Kinoshita et al. (2012), supports the tracing of the entire labeled neuron from the dendrites to the axonal terminals within the C6-T1 spinal cord region. In addition to the C3-C4 propriospinal neurons, several studies have also demonstrated the red nucleus as an important midbrain structure potentially involved in forelimb reaching and grasping after CST lesions (Whishaw et al., 1990; van Kan and McCurdy, 2001; Horn et al., 2002; Kuchler et al., 2002), and after bilateral pyramidotomy, CST axons are known to sprout extensively into the red nucleus and to promote recovery of skilled forelimb function (Mosberger et al., 2017). Discrete injections of HiRet-GFP into the C6-T1 spinal cord showed robust labeling of rubrospinal neurons, a potential target for behavioral studies examining forelimb function post-scapular cord injury.

The lumbar cord contains both the central pattern generator and motor neurons that connect to the muscles of the hindlimb involved with locomotion (Rossignol, 2000). Thoracic contused animals initially lose the ability to step and bear weight with their hindlimbs after a moderately severe (200 kilodyne) injury, but spontaneously recover some stepping without coordination their hindlimbs after a moderately severe (200 kilodyne) injury, which originates in the PRF of the pons, and the lateral reticular spinal tract, which originates in the MRF of the medulla. Within the MRF, the nucleus reticularis gigantocellularis is known for integration of motor commands from the mesencephalic motor region and other areas (Filli et al., 2014; Zorner et al., 2014). In normal rat brains, we observed fairly robust labeling of neurons in the caudal part of the PRF and the gigantocellular portion of the medulla. After spinal cord contusion the numbers of GFP-positive neurons within the reticular formation decreased dramatically. Although the majority of connections are lost after injury, the reticulospinal fibers have been associated with spontaneous recovery by compensatory sprouting (Ballermann and Fouad, 2006; Filli et al., 2014; Zorner et al., 2014), particularly onto propriospinal neurons that send their axons within the lateral and ventral funiculi, which remains partially intact after a contusive injury.

Numerous studies have indicated that recovery of hindlimb locomotion after spinal cord injury is mediated by sprouting of supraspinal axons onto propriospinal neurons bypassing the lesion (Bareyre et al., 2004; Courtine et al., 2008; van den Brand et al., 2012). As with some reticular axons, these axons travel primarily within the ventral lateral and ventral white matter of the spinal cord. Injections of HiRet-GFP into the lumbar cord of uninjured rats showed dense labeling of both cervical and TPNs. We focused on two types of PNs in this study: the short descending thoracic propriospinal interneurons (TPNs) that connect the thoracic and lumbar segments and the long descending propriospinal interneurons (LDPNs), which connect the cervical to lumbar regions (Sherrington and Laslett, 1903; Kostyuk and Vasilenko, 1979; Chung and Coggeshall, 1983). The latter group is thought to synchronize activity between the cervical and lumbar central pattern generators (Miller et al., 1973; Zaporozhets et al., 2006). Depending on the lesion type or location either propriospinal population has been shown to contribute to recovery of locomotion (Bareyre et al., 2004; Courtine et al., 2008; van den Brand et al., 2012; Filli et al., 2014), indicating that either population could act as a relay to send motor commands past a spinal cord lesion. In our study, we observed a greater loss of GFP-positive labeled propriospinal neurons within the thoracic compared to the cervical spinal cord. The contusion injury model used may cause higher levels of cell death within thoracic neurons due to their proximity to the lesion site. Indeed, TPNs undergo increased cell death and show higher levels of apoptotic markers after thoracic injury (Siebert et al., 2010a,b; Conta Steencken et al., 2011). The relatively low amount of expression that we saw...
in TPNs after injury could indicate that in our lesion model, spontaneous recovery is more dependent on the cervical population of LDPNs.

**CONCLUSION**

HiRet lentivirus provides us with the ability to label neuronal populations within the brain and spinal cord with high fidelity. This viral vector can be utilized as a retrograde tracer to map pathways pre- and post-injury and to target specific neuronal populations. HiRet permits stable, long lasting transgene expression, providing a significant advantage over chemical tracers and neurotoxic retrograde viruses. In addition, this vector may allow detailed and specific analysis of interneuronal circuits that undergo plasticity post-injury. When combined with vectors allowing tetracycline-inducible transgene expression, these pathways are viable targets for investigating functional recovery.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

IS, KK, and GS: wrote paper text, contributed images, and conceived and designed the experiments. IS, KK, NS, II, CE, YL, and X-QT: performed the experiments and analyzed the data.

**FUNDING**

This work was funded by a grant from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke R01 R01NS103481, the Shriners Hospital for Pediatric Research (Grants SHC 84051 and SHC 85600), and the Department of Defense (SC140089).

**REFERENCES**

Ad jal i, O., Marodon, G., Steinberg, M., Mongellaz, C., Thomas-Val s i n, V., Jacquet, C., et al. (2005). In vivo correction of ZAP-70 immunodeficiency by intrathymic gene transfer. *J. Clin. Invest.* 115, 2287–2295. doi: 10.1172/JCI23966

Alst ermark, B., and Isa, T. (2012). Circuits for skilled reaching and grasping. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 35, 559–578. doi: 10.1146/annurev-neuro-062111-150527

Alst ermark, B., Isa, T., Ohki, Y., and Saito, Y. (1999). Dysynaptic pyramidal excitation in forelimb motoneurons mediated via C(3)-C(4) propriospinal neurons in *Macaca fascata*. *J. Neurophysiol.* 82, 3580–3585. doi: 10.1152/jn.1999.82.6.3580

Alst ermark, B., Isa, T., Pettersson, L. G., and Sasaki, S. (2007). The C3-C4 propriospinal system in the cat and monkey: a spinal pre-motoneuronal centre for voluntary motor control. *Acta Physiol.* 189, 123–140. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-1716.2006.01655.x

Alst ermark, B., Lundberg, A., Norssell, U., and Sybirska, E. (1981). Integration in descending motor pathways controlling the forelimb in the cat. 9. Differential behavioural defects after spinal cord lesions interrupting defined pathways from higher centres to motoneurones. *Exp. Brain Res.* 42, 299–318. doi: 10.1007/BF00237496

Aston-Jones, G., and Card, J. P. (2000). Use of pseudorabies virus to delineate multisynaptic circuits in brain: opportunities and limitations. *J. Neurosci.* Methods 103, 51–61. doi: 10.1016/S0165-0270(00)00295-8

Ayuso, E., Mingozzi, F., Montane, J., Leon, X., Angelu a, X. M., Haurigot, V., et al. (2010). High AAV vector purity results in serotype- and tissue-independent enhancement of transduction efficiency. *Gene Ther.* 17, 503–510. doi: 10.1038/gt.2009.157

Bae r, G. M., Shanthaveerap a, T. R., and Bourne, G. H. (1965). Studies on the pathogenesis of fixed rabies virus in rats. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 33, 783–794.

Ball ermann, M., and Fouad, K. (2006). Spontaneous locomotor recovery in spinal cord injured rats is accompanied by anatomical plasticity of reticulospinal fibers. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 23, 1988–1996. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9588.2006.04726.x

Bareyre, F. M., K erschensteiner, M., Raineteau, O., Mettenleiter, T. C., Weinmann, O., and Schwab, M. E. (2004). The injured spinal cord revisited: a retrograde neuron tracing vector may allow detailed and specific analysis of interneuronal circuits that undergo plasticity post-injury. When combined with vectors allowing tetracycline-inducible transgene expression, these pathways are viable targets for investigating functional recovery.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

IS, KK, and GS: wrote paper text, contributed images, and conceived and designed the experiments. IS, KK, NS, II, CE, YL, and X-QT: performed the experiments and analyzed the data.

**FUNDING**

This work was funded by a grant from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke R01 R01NS103481, the Shriners Hospital for Pediatric Research (Grants SHC 84051 and SHC 85600), and the Department of Defense (SC140089).
Han, Q., Cao, C., Ding, Y., So, K. F., Wu, W., Qu, Y., et al. (2015). Plasticity of motor network and function in the absence of corticospinal projection. *Exp. Neurol.* 267, 194–208. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2015.03.008

Higashikawa, F., and Chang, L. (2001). Kinetic analyses of stability of simple and complex retroviral vectors. *Virology* 280, 124–131. doi: 10.1006/viro.2000.0749

Hirano, M., Kato, S., Kobayashi, K., Okada, T., Yaginuma, H., and Kobayashi, K. (2013). Highly efficient retrograde gene transfer into motor neurons by a lentiviral vector pseudotyped with fusion glycopeptide. *PLoS One* 8:e75896. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075896

Holstege, G., and Tan, J. (1988). Projections from the red nucleus and Hirano, M., Kato, S., Kobayashi, K., Okada, T., Yaginuma, H., and Kobayashi, K. (2016). Altering surrounding areas to the brainstem and spinal cord in the cat. an HRP and vector delivery of parkin prevents dopaminergic degeneration in an alpha-synuclein rat model of parkinson’s disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 17150–17155. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1005313101

Luppi, P. H., Fort, P., and Jouvet, M. (1990). Iontophoretic application of unconjugated choleratoxin B subunit (CTB) combined with immunohistochemistry of neurochemical substances: a method for transmitter identification of retrogradely labeled neurons. *Brain Res.* 534, 209–224.

Malik, P., Arumugam, P. I., Yee, J. K., and Pathveneetil, G. (2005). Successful correction of the human cooley’s anemia beta-thalassemia major phenotype using a lentiviral vector flanked by the chicken hypersensitive site 4 chromatin insulator. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1054, 238–249. doi: 10.1196/annals.1345.030

Marr, R. A., Guan, H., Rockenstein, E., Kindy, M., Gage, F. H., Verma, I., et al. (2004). Nephylisin regulates amyloid beta peptide levels. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 22, 5–11. doi: 10.1385/JMN:22:1-2-5

Menetrey, D., de Pommery, J., and Roudier, F. (1985). Propriospinal fibers reaching the lumbar enlargement in the rat. *Neurosci. Lett.* 58, 257–261. doi: 10.1016/0304-3908(85)90174-0

Moller, S., Reitsma, D. J., and van der Meche, F. G. (1973). Functional organization of long ascending propriospinal pathways linking lumbo-sacral and cervical segments in the cat. *Brain Res.* 62, 169–188. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(73)90624-7

Morcuende, S., Delgado-Garcia, J. M., and Ugolini, G. (2002). Neuronal premotor networks involved in eyelid responses: retrograde transneuronal tracing with rabies virus from the orbicularis oculi muscle in the rat. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 8808–8818. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-20-08808.2002

Morris, R. W., Vallette, K. K., Newton, S. S., Kearsley, A. P., and Whishaw, I. Q. (2015). The differential contributions of the paraventricular and the magnocellular subdivisions of the red nucleus to skilled reaching in the rat. *Neuroscience* 295, 48–57. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.03.027

Mosberger, A. C., Miehlbradt, J. C., Bjelopolkaj, N., Schneider, M. P., Wahl, A. S., Ineichen, B. V., et al. (2017). Axotomized cortical neurons increase supra-lesional innervation and remain crucial for skilled reaching after bilateral pyramidalotomy. *Cereb. Cortex* 28, 625–643. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhw405

Ni, Y., Nawabi, H., Liu, X., Yang, L., Miyamichi, K., Tedeschi, A., et al. (2014). Correction of sickle cell disease in transgenic mouse models by conditional expression of nerve growth factor in the adult spinal cord. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 9404–9417. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1345.2014

Pawliuk, R., Westerman, K. A., Fabry, M. E., Payen, E., Tighe, R., Bouhassira, E. E., et al. (2005). Successful correction of the human cooley’s anemia beta-thalassemia major phenotype using a lentiviral vector flanked by the chicken hypersensitive site 4 chromatin insulator. *Hum. Gene Ther.* 20, 4435–4445. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.20-12-04435.2001

Reed, W. R., Shum-Siu, A., Onifer, S. M., and Magnuson, D. S. (2006). Inter-enlargement pathways in the ventrolateral funiculus of the adult rat spinal cord. *Neuroscience* 142, 1195–1207. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2006.07.017

Reiner, A., Veenman, C. L., Medina, L., Jiao, Y., Del Mar, N., and Honig, M. G. (2000). Pathway tracing using biotinylated dextran amines. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 103, 23–37. doi: 10.1016/S0165-0270(00)00293-4

Romero, M. I., Ragappan, N., Garry, M. G., and Smith, G. M. (2001). Functional regeneration of chronically injured sensory afferents into adult spinal cord after neurotrophin gene therapy. *J. Neurosci.* 21, 8408–8416. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-21-08408.2001

Rossignol, S. (2000). Locomotion and its recovery after spinal injury. *Curr. Opin. Neurol.* 10, 708–716. doi: 10.1097/0001051-3
Sasaki, S., Isa, T., Pettersson, L. G., Alstermark, B., Naito, K., Yoshimura, K., et al. (2004). Dexterous finger movements in primate without monosynaptic corticotomoneuronal excitation. J. Neurophysiol. 92, 3142–3147. doi: 10.1152/jn.00324.2004

Scheff, S. W., Rabchevsky, A. G., Fugaccia, L., Main, J. A., and Lumpp, J. E. Jr. (2003). Experimental modeling of spinal cord injury: characterization of a force-defined injury device. J. Neurotrauma 20, 179–193. doi: 10.1089/08977150360547099

Schmued, L. C., and Fallon, J. H. (1986). Fluoro-gold: a new fluorescent retrograde axonal tracer with numerous unique properties. Brain Res. 377, 147–154. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(86)91199-6

Sherrington, C. S., and Laslett, E. E. (1903). Observations on some spinal reflexes and the interconnection of spinal segments. J. Physiol. 29, 58–96. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1903.sp000946

Siebert, J. R., Middleton, F. A., and Stelzner, D. J. (2010b). Long descending cervical propriospinal neurons differ from thoracic propriospinal neurons in response to low thoracic spinal injury. BMC Neurosci. 11:148. doi: 10.1186/1471-2202-11-14

Siebert, J. R., Middleton, F. A., and Stelzner, D. J. (2010a). Intrinsic response of thoracic propriospinal neurons to axotomy. BMC Neurosci. 11:69. doi: 10.1186/1471-2202-11-69

Steward, O., Zheng, B., Banos, K., and Yee, K. M. (2007). Response to: Kim et al., “axon regeneration in young adult mice lacking nogo-A/B.” neuron 38, 187–199. Neuron 54, 191–195. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.04.004

Tang, X. Q., Cai, J., Nelson, K. D., Peng, X. J., and Smith, G. M. (2004). Functional repair after dorsal root rhizotomy using nerve conduits and neurotrophic molecules. Eur. J. Neurosci. 20, 1211–1218. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9586.2004.03595.x

Tang, X. Q., Heron, P., Mashburn, C., and Smith, G. M. (2007). Targeting sensory axon regeneration in adult spinal cord. J. Neurosci. 27, 6068–6078. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.1442-07.2007

Tervo, D. G., Hwang, B. Y., Viswanathan, S., Gai, T., Lavzin, M., Ritola, K. D., et al. (2012). A designer AAV variant permits efficient retrograde access to projection neurons. Neuron 72, 372–382. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.021

Tohyama, T., Kinoshita, M., Kobayashi, K., Isa, K., Watanabe, D., Kobayashi, K., et al. (2017). Contribution of propriospinal neurons to recovery of hand dexterity after corticospinal tract lesions in monkeys. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114, 604–609. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1610787114

Trojanowski, J. Q., Gonatas, J. O., and Gonatas, N. K. (1982). Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugates of cholera toxin and lectins are more sensitive retrogradely transported markers than free HRP. Brain Res. 231, 33–50. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(82)90005-1

Tsukamoto, T., Yamamoto, T., Okado, H., Nibu, K., and Terashima, T. (2003). Retrograde labeling of mouse spinal descending tracts by a recombinant adenovirus. Arch. Histol. Cytol. 66, 209–220. doi: 10.1679/aohc.66.209

Ugolini, G. (1995). Specificity of rabies virus as a transneuronal tracer of motor networks: transfer from hypoglossal motoneurons to connected second-order and higher order central nervous system cell groups. J. Comp. Neurol. 356, 457–480. doi: 10.1002/cne.930560312

van den Brand, R., Heutschi, J., Barraud, Q., DiGiovanna, J., Bartholdi, K., Huerlmann, M., et al. (2012). Restoring voluntary control of locomotion after paralyzing spinal cord injury. Science 336, 1182–1185. doi: 10.1126/science.1217416

van Kan, P. L., and McCurdy, M. L. (2001). Role of primate magnocellular red nucleus neurons in controlling hand preshaping during reaching to grasp. J. Neurophysiol. 85, 1461–1478. doi: 10.1152/jnen.2001.85.4.1461

Van Kan, P. L., and McCurdy, M. L. (2002). Contribution of primate magnocellular red nucleus to timing of hand preshaping during reaching to grasp. J. Neurophysiol. 87, 1473–1487. doi: 10.1152/jn.00338.2001

Veenman, C. L., Reiner, A., and Honig, M. G. (1992). Biotinylated dextran amine as an anterograde tracer for single- and double-labeling studies. J. Neurosci. Methods 41, 239–254. doi: 10.1016/0165-0270(92)90089-V

Wang, G., Slepushkin, V., Zabner, J., Keshavjee, S., Johnston, J. C., Sauter, S. L., et al. (1999). Feline immunodeficiency virus vectors persistently transduce nondividing airway epithelia and correct the cystic fibrosis defect. J. Clin. Invest. 104, R55–R62. doi: 10.1172/JCI8390

Watson, C., Paxinos, G., Kayalioglu, G., and Heise, C. (2009). “Chapter 15 - atlas of the rat spinal cord,” in The Spinal Cord, eds C. Watson, G. Paxinos, and G. Kayalioglu (San Diego: Academic Press), 238–306.

West, M. J., Slomianka, L., and Gundersen, H. J. (1991). Unbiased stereological estimation of the total number of neurons in subdivisions of the rat hippocampus using the optical fractionator. Anat. Rec. 231, 482–497. doi: 10.1002/ar.1092310411

Whishaw, I. Q., Tomie, J. A., and Ladowsky, R. L. (1990). Red nucleus lesions do not affect limb preference or use, but exacerbate the effects of motor cortex lesions on grasping in the rat. Behav. Brain Res. 40, 131–144. doi: 10.1016/0166-4328(90)90005-Y

Wickersham, I. R., Finke, S., Conzelmann, K. K., and Callaway, E. M. (2007). Retrograde neuronal tracing with a deletion-mutant rabies virus. Nat. Methods 4, 47–49. doi: 10.1038/nmeth999

Zaporozhets, E., Cowley, K. C., and Schmidt, R. J. (2006). Propiospinal neurons contribute to bulboospinal transmission of the locomotor command signal in the neonatal rat spinal cord. J. Physiol. 572, 443–458. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2005.102376

Zorner, B., Bachmann, L. C., Filli, L., Kapitza, S., Gullo, M., Bolliger, M., et al. (2014). Chasing central nervous system plasticity: the brainstem’s contribution to locomotor recovery in rats with spinal cord injury. Brain 137, 1716–1732. doi: 10.1093/brain/auw078

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Sheikh, Keefe, Sterling, Junker, Enesanya, Liu, Tang and Smith. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.