Relic density of neutrinos with primordial asymmetries
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We study flavor oscillations in the early universe, assuming primordial neutrino-antineutrino asymmetries. Including collisions and pair processes in the kinetic equations, we not only estimate the degree of flavor equilibration, but for the first time also kinetic equilibration among neutrinos and with the ambient plasma. Typically the restrictive BBN bound on the $\nu_\ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$ asymmetry indeed applies to all flavors as claimed in the previous literature, but fine-tuned initial asymmetries always allow for a large surviving neutrino excess radiation that may show up in precision cosmological data.
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Introduction.—The state of the hot medium in the early universe before and around big-bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) is fixed by a small number of cosmological parameters, most importantly the baryon abundance that nowadays is measured most accurately with precision cosmological data. In addition there are three unknown neutrino asymmetries, one for each flavor, that modify the cosmic neutrino density and, for the case of the $\nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$ asymmetry, influence $\beta$ reactions of the form $e^- + p \leftrightarrow n + \nu_e$ and $e^+ + p \leftrightarrow p + \bar{\nu}_e$, and thus the primordial $^4$He abundance. If all initial asymmetries have the same sign, oscillations by $\Delta m^2 \sim 10^{-5}$ eV$^2$ and $\Delta m^2_\text{atm} = \pm 2.40^{+0.24}_{-0.22} \times 10^{-3}$ eV$^2$ and by the large mixing angles $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.30^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.50^{+0.13}_{-0.11}$ are now established, whereas the third angle is constrained by $\sin^2 \theta_{13} < 0.04$ and could actually vanish. (2$\sigma$ ranges, taken from Ref. 8.) In the early universe, flavor conversions are suppressed by large matter effects. Oscillations driven by $\Delta m^2_\text{sol}$ begin only at $T \sim 10$ MeV whereas those driven by $\Delta m^2_\text{atm}$ begin as late as 3 MeV, still early enough to achieve strong flavor conversions before BBN. Therefore, all flavors must have similar asymmetries at BBN and the restrictive limit on the $\nu_e$ degeneracy parameter, conservatively $-0.04 \lesssim \xi_{\nu_e} \lesssim 0.07$, seemingly applies to all flavors, unless oscillations are blocked, for instance by a hypothetical neutrino-majoron coupling.

Based on this reasoning it was often concluded that primordial neutrino asymmetries could not cause a significant increase of the cosmic neutrino density without violating the BBN bound on $\xi_{\nu_e}$. Assuming that neutrinos indeed reach perfect kinetic and chemical equilibrium, the possible increase of the cosmic radiation density is small, $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\Delta m^2_\text{sol}}{\Delta m^2_\text{atm}} \frac{\xi_{\nu_e}}{\xi_{\nu_e}} \lesssim 0.006$. Here, the usual “effective number of neutrino families” measures the cosmic radiation density, after $e^+e^-$ annihilation, by $\rho_{\nu\bar{\nu}}/\rho_\gamma = 1 + (7/8)/(4/11)\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$.

We stress, however, that Refs. 10, 11, 12 do not claim that neutrinos after flavor conversions follow Fermi-Dirac distributions in terms of a common degeneracy parameter and the temperature of the ambient plasma. Refs. 11, 12 showed that, if $\theta_{13} = 0$ and if one ignores collisions, synchronized MSW-like flavor conversions driven by $\Delta m^2_\text{sol}$ and $\theta_{12}$ achieve comparable $\nu\bar{\nu}$ asymmetries among all flavors, but this is not the same as kinetic and chemical equilibrium. Ref. 10 included collisions in a schematic way, showing that the coherence among flavors was efficiently destroyed. However, the transfer of entropy to the electromagnetic plasma was not considered and the final neutrino distributions not studied.

If all initial asymmetries have the same sign, oscillations alone are enough to achieve approximate flavor equipartition and the BBN limits on the $\nu\bar{\nu}$ asymmetry will apply to all flavors. A dangerous case arises, however, if one begins with opposite asymmetries in two flavors. Oscillations, with or without collisions, will achieve approximate flavor equipartition separately among neutrinos and antineutrinos, so in the end all asymmetries vanish. On the other hand, the original excess radiation remains. The efficiency of $\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow e^+e^-$ entropy transfer determines if the BBN limits on the $\nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$ asymmetry still preclude a large $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$. The main point of our paper is to study this case that was not covered in previous treatments 10, 11, 12.

Numerical Approach.—We describe neutrino distributions by $3 \times 3$ matrices in flavor space $g_\nu$ for each mode. The diagonal elements are the usual occupation numbers whereas the off-diagonal ones encode phase information.
We need to solve the equations of motion (EOMs) \[14,15\]
\[i \dot{\nu}_p = [\Omega_p, \nu_p] + C[\nu_p, \bar{\nu}_p] \tag{1}\]
and similar for the antineutrino matrices $\bar{\nu}_p$. The first term on the r.h.s. describes oscillations with
\[\Omega_p = \frac{M^2}{2p} + \sqrt{2} G_F \left( -\frac{8p}{3m^2_\nu} E + g - \bar{g} \right), \tag{2}\]
where $p = |p|$, $M$ is the neutrino mass matrix, $g = \int \varphi_d d^4p/(2\pi)^3$ and similar for $\bar{g}$. The small baryon density implies that the dominant matter term in the oscillation matrix is equal for neutrinos and antineutrinos, where $E$ is the $3 \times 3$ flavor matrix of charged-lepton energy densities \[14,16\]. The matrix $\Omega_p$ for antineutrinos is the same with $M^2/2p \rightarrow -M^2/2p$. The term proportional to $g - \bar{g}$ is responsible for synchronized oscillations \[10,11,12\].

Solving these EOMs with the full collision terms is numerically challenging and not necessary for a first exploratory study. Collisions have two main effects: They break the phase coherence of mixed flavor states and they create or destroy neutrino pairs. The first effect is efficiently implemented with $p$-dependent damping factors for those $\varphi_d$ elements that are off-diagonal in the weak-interaction basis. Here we follow exactly the earlier work of Dolgov et al. \[10\] in that we use the damping factors spelled out in Eqs. (60) and (61) of Ref. \[15\]. Essentially one assumes that neutrinos collapse into weak-interaction eigenstates each time they interact in a process that distinguishes among flavors \[17\].

The crucial new ingredients are collisions and pair processes for the diagonal $\varphi_d$ elements, allowing the neutrino distributions to achieve equilibrium with the ambient plasma. With small modifications we here use an existing numerical code \[18\] where the collision integrals are solved without approximation, using the same approach as Ref. \[13\]. For example, we obtain exact Fermi-Dirac distributions if the relaxation time is large enough.

So in our implementation the diagonal elements change by collisions and oscillations, whereas the off-diagonal elements change by oscillations and damping. We have checked that the damping rates of Ref. \[15\] and the collision rates used in the code \[18\] are consistent with each other. Changing the damping factors by as much as a factor of 2 has only a minimal impact on the final $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$. Of course, our combined treatment of oscillations, damping of flavor coherence, and collisions and pair processes is only approximate and would be questionable if one were to aim at a precision determination of $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$. However, our relatively simple extension of existing tools provides a first reasonable estimate of the surviving $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$.

**Opposite Equal Asymmetries.**—Our main example is the “dangerous case” of two large but opposite initial asymmetries. To be specific, we first consider an exactly vanishing global lepton asymmetry. The initial asymmetry in one flavor $\nu_\alpha$, defined in analogy to the baryon asymmetry, is
\[\eta_{\nu_\alpha} = \frac{n_{\nu_\alpha} - n_{\bar{\nu}_\alpha}}{n_\gamma} = \frac{1}{12 \xi(3)} \left( \pi^2 \xi_{\nu_\alpha} + \xi^3_{\nu_\alpha} \right). \tag{3}\]

A vanishing global asymmetry requires for the initial degeneracy parameters $2 \left( \pi^2 \xi_{\nu_\alpha} + \xi^3_{\nu_\alpha} \right) + \left( \pi^2 \xi_{\nu_\alpha} + \xi^3_{\nu_\alpha} \right) = 0$. In Fig. \[1\] we show the evolution of $\rho_\nu/\rho_\gamma$, properly normalized so that it corresponds to $N_{\text{eff}}$ at early and late times, first for the baseline case without asymmetries (dotted lines). The fast drop of $\rho_\nu/\rho_\gamma$ at $T \sim 0.2$ MeV represents photon heating by $e^+ e^-$ annihilation. At late times the dotted lines end at $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.046$ instead of 3 because of residual neutrino heating \[13\].

Next we show (solid lines) the evolution for our main example where $\xi_{\nu_\alpha} = -0.64$ and $\xi_{\nu_\alpha} = 1.17$, corresponding to an initial value $N_{\text{eff}} = 4.0$. The neutrino mass differences and $\theta_{23}$ are fixed to their best-fit values. In the upper panel we assume $\theta_{13} = 0$ and for the upper curve also $\theta_{12} = 0$ (no oscillations), leading to an unchanged final $N_{\text{eff}} = 4.0$. The bottom curve is for $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.5$ (maximal mixing), showing that at $T_e \sim 3$ MeV the neutrino density depletes relative to photons, when oscillations driven by $\Delta m^2_{\text{sol}}$ begin and the flavor asymmetries

![FIG. 1: Evolution of the neutrino energy density. The vertical axis is marked with $N_{\text{eff}}$, left before $e^+ e^-$ annihilation, right afterwards. Dotted lines: Baseline case without asymmetries. Top panel: $\theta_{13} = 0$, the solid lines correspond to $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0$, 0.1, 0.3, and 0.5 from top to bottom. Bottom panel: $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.3$, the top solid curve is $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0$, while the other solid curves correspond to $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.04$ with normal (top) and inverted (bottom) hierarchy.](image-url)
are reduced. The main effect of collisions is to keep the neutrino spectra closer to their equilibrium form: Physically the excess of entropy is transferred from neutrinos to the electromagnetic plasma, cooling the former and heating the latter. Practically the same behavior is found for the next curve representing $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.3$, the experimental best fit, where in the end $N_{\text{eff}} = 3.24$. A further curve is shown for the value $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.1$, remaining far from equilibrium until the end. In the bottom panel we use the measured $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.3$ and repeat the case $\theta_{13} = 0$ (top curve). The other two curves are for $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.04$, near the experimental limit, for the normal and inverted hierarchies.

As expected, a nonvanishing $\theta_{13}$ together with $\Delta m_{23}^2$ drives the system towards equilibrium at a time when collisions are still efficient. In our numerical example, a significant deviation from equilibrium survives only for a very small $\theta_{13}$. In this case the outcome depends on $\theta_{12}$ as found in the original studies [10, 11, 12]. A small $\theta_{12}$ does not lead anywhere close to flavor equilibrium, a large value leads to near–equilibrium.

For $\theta_{13} = 0$ and $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.3$ we show the final $\nu_e$ and $\bar{\nu}_e$ energy spectra in Fig. 2. We compare them with Fermi-Dirac spectra that produce the same $\nu_e\bar{\nu}_e$ asymmetry and the same energy density $\rho_{\nu_e} + \rho_{\bar{\nu}_e}$.

**Asymmetry Scan.**—Next we study the final deviation from equilibrium for a range of initial asymmetries $0 \leq \xi_{\nu_e} \leq 3$, assuming a vanishing global asymmetry as before. In Fig. 3 we show the final outcome in terms of the residual excess radiation density $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$ and $\xi_{\nu_e}$ and $T_{\nu_e}$ chosen for a Fermi-Dirac distribution that approximates the final $\nu_e$ and $\bar{\nu}_e$ spectra such that we reproduce the numerical asymmetry and energy density. We show these results for the experimental best-fit value $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.3$ and for $\theta_{13} = 0$ as well as $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.04$.

Qualitatively we confirm that kinetic equilibrium is rather good in that the final neutrino temperature is close to what it should be in equilibrium. Moreover, we also find that the value of $\theta_{13}$ is crucial in determining how close the system comes to equilibrium, which however is never perfect for any value of $\theta_{13}$. If $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim 10^{-3}$, the outcome is very close to a vanishing $\theta_{13}$.

We note that for large initial $\xi_{\nu_e}$ the final electron neutrino degeneracy can be so large that it is excluded by BBN. However, we are not bound to the assumption of an initially vanishing global asymmetry, but we can use slightly more negative initial $\xi_{\nu_e}$ values such that the final $\xi_{\nu_e}$ is pulled into the range allowed by BBN, yet a significant excess radiation density survives as shown in Fig. 3 being quite similar to $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$ for the simple case of a vanishing global asymmetry. In other words, up to small adjustments of initial conditions, the simple case
of a vanishing global asymmetry gives us a fair idea of a possible surviving residual radiation density.

No Initial $\nu_e, \bar{\nu}_e$ Asymmetry.—Another initial configuration for a vanishing global asymmetry is $\xi_{\nu_e} = 0$ and $\xi_{\bar{\nu}_e} = -\xi_{\nu_e}$. If the latter are exactly equal but opposite, $\nu_e, \bar{\nu}_e$ oscillations driven by $\Delta m^2_{\text{atm}}$ and $\theta_{13}$ are suppressed by neutrino-neutrino interactions in that the synchronized oscillation frequency vanishes exactly [10]. However, oscillations driven by $\Delta m^2_{\text{sol}}$ and $\theta_{12}$ achieve approximate equilibrium, the transformations beginning at $T \sim 3$ MeV as before. We have not studied this case in detail because it is numerically more challenging. We note that “blocking” the $\nu_e, \bar{\nu}_e$ oscillations requires a very precise cancellation and thus a more special case than before where an exactly vanishing global asymmetry was only a choice of convenience.

Conclusions.—The first studies of primordial neutrino flavor equilibration were concerned with the role of the “solar” neutrino mixing parameters and found that approximate global flavor equilibrium is only achieved for the now-established “large mixing angle solution” [10, 11, 12]. While this conclusion is correct, we here stress that it must not be over interpreted. Oscillations driven by the solar parameters take place so late ($T \sim 3$ MeV) that neither perfect flavor equilibrium nor thermal equilibrium with the ambient plasma is achieved, although a large value for $\theta_{13}$ helps to come closer because flavor transformations begin earlier. (If $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \lesssim 10^{-3}$ it plays no significant role.) For $\theta_{13} = 0$ we have constructed an explicit example with nearly equal but opposite asymmetries between $\nu_e$ and the other flavors such that the BBN limit on $\xi_{\nu_e}$ is satisfied, yet a large $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$ survives.

Precision cosmology has advanced in such strides that today one can derive nontrivial bounds on the cosmic radiation density that however still allow for $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$ of a few but $\lesssim 1$ [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. It is conceivable that in future one can do much better and constrain or detect a value of $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$ significantly smaller than unity [26, 27, 28, 29, 30], for instance with very precise CMB data from Planck. Such results remain complementary to BBN limits on $\xi_{\nu_e}$—if precision cosmology were to turn up a nonvanishing $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$, it could be a remnant of a primordial neutrino asymmetry, although the exact interpretation would strongly depend on $\theta_{13}$. In this sense, future measurements of $\theta_{13}$ are important for the interpretation of cosmological precision data.

In summary, the established neutrino mixing parameters together with BBN constraints on $\xi_{\nu_e}$ typically assure that the surviving excess radiation density is immeasurably small, in agreement with the previous literature. However, imperfect kinetic and chemical equilibrium achieved by the solar mixing parameters allows for exceptions. In particular, large but opposite primordial asymmetries of two flavors can be fine-tuned such that the $\xi_{\nu_e}$ limit is respected, yet a large excess radiation density survives with $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$ of order unity or larger that may be detectable in future cosmological precision data.
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