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We investigate the relaxation dynamics of a single artificial atom interacting, via multiple coupling points, with a continuum of bosonic modes (photons or phonons) in a one-dimensional waveguide. In the non-Markovian regime, where the traveling time of a photon or phonon between the coupling points is sufficiently large compared to the inverse of the bare relaxation rate of the atom, we find that a boson can be trapped and form a stable bound state. As a key discovery, we further find that a persistently oscillating bound state can appear inside the continuous spectrum of the waveguide if the number of coupling points is more than two since such a setup enables multiple bound modes to coexist. This opens up prospects for storing and manipulating quantum information in larger Hilbert spaces than available in previously known bound states.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of interaction between light and matter is one of the core topics in modern physics [1]. In such studies, the wavelength of the light is usually large compared to the size of the (artificial) atoms constituting the matter [2–7]. Indeed, the traditional framework of quantum optics is based on pointlike atoms [8] and neglects the time it takes for light to pass a single atom. Recently, following significant technological advances for superconducting circuits [7,9–11], “giant” artificial atoms [12] (transmon qubits [13]) have been designed to interact with surface acoustic waves (SAWs) via multiple coupling points in a waveguide [14–16] (or resonator [17–23]) as sketched in Fig. 1 (left inset). Such a giant-atom structure can also be realized in a more conventional circuit-quantum-electrodynamics (circuit-QED) experiment by coupling a single Xmon [24], a version of the transmon, to a meandering coplanar waveguide (CPW) as sketched in Fig. 1 (right inset) [25–27]. Since the distance between coupling points can be (much) longer than the characteristic wavelength of the bath, it is necessary to consider the phase difference between these coupling points. Striking effects have been found as a consequence of this, e.g., frequency-dependent relaxation rate and Lamb shift of a giant atom [25–27], and decoherence-free interaction between multiple giant atoms [26,28]. The giant-atom scheme has recently been extended to higher dimensions with cold atoms [29] and constitutes an exciting new paradigm in quantum optics [10,12,29], where much remains to explore.

Spurred by the growing interest in quantum information science, there have been many investigations of non-Markovian open quantum systems, e.g., single atom(s) in front of a mirror [30–34] or distant atoms coupled locally to the same environment [35–43]. The physical origin of the non-Markovianity is typically the coupling to a structured bath causing information backflow from the environment [44–46]. These systems can exhibit nonexponential relaxation [47,48] and bound states [34,49–60], which can be harnessed for quantum simulations [61,62]. Here, we realize non-Markovianity in a single giant atom by engineering the time delays between coupling points to be comparable to the relaxation time [63,64]. For such a non-Markovian giant atom with two coupling points, it has been predicted [63], and recently observed in experiment [16], that the spontaneous decay is polynomial instead of exponential.

In this work, we investigate the relaxation dynamics of a single giant atom interacting with a one-dimensional (1D) bosonic bath (e.g., an open waveguide for phonons or photons) through multiple coupling points. Our main result is that three or more coupling points enable the creation of persistently oscillating bound states, a phenomenon which, to the best of our knowledge, is unique to giant atoms. We envision that this phenomenon could be used for storing and manipulating quantum information in larger Hilbert spaces, and that it could be viewed as a minimalistic implementation of cavity QED with the atom forming its own cavity.

II. MODEL HAMILTONIAN

We consider a two-level atom interacting with an open 1D waveguide at N coupling points (Fig. 1 illustrates the case N = 3). As illustrated by the two insets in Fig. 1, this
system can be implemented in at least two different experimental schemes: a transmon qubit with multiple interdigital transducers (IDTs) coupled to SAWs through piezoelectric effects [14–17] or an Xmon qubit [24–27] with multiple arms capacitively coupled to a meandering microwave CPW at multiple points.

The transmon can be modeled as an anharmonic oscillator [13]. Restricting to the lowest two transmon levels (ground state \(|g\rangle\) and excited state \(|e\rangle\)), the total Hamiltonian for the system is (see Appendix A)

\[
H = \hbar \Omega \sigma_+ \sigma_- + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \ h \omega_k \hat{\alpha}_k^\dagger \hat{\alpha}_k
\]

\[
+ \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g_0 (\text{e}^{i \xi_m} \hat{\alpha}_m \sigma_+ + \text{H.c.}) \sqrt{|k|} dk,
\]

where \(\Omega\) is the atomic transition frequency and we have defined the atomic operators \(\sigma_+ \equiv |e\rangle \langle g|\) and \(\sigma_- \equiv |g\rangle \langle e|\). The parameters \(k, v,\) and \(\omega_k = |k|\) are the wave vectors, velocities, and frequencies of the bosonic fields (phonons or photons) in the waveguide. The field operators \(\hat{\alpha}_k\) satisfy \([\hat{\alpha}_k, \hat{\alpha}_k^\dagger] = \delta(k-k')\). The rotating-wave approximation (RWA) has been applied in the interaction term. The coupling strength \(g_0\) at each coupling point, located at \(x_m\) \((m = 1, 2, \ldots, N)\), is measured as an energy density over the wave-vector \(k\) space (see Appendix A). We also assume the coupling points are equidistant. Thus, the travel time for bosons between two neighboring coupling points is a constant \(\tau = (x_{m+1} - x_m)/v\). In this work, we investigate phenomena arising from non-Markovian dynamics due to \(\tau\) being non-negligible.

III. EQUATIONS OF MOTION AND THEIR SOLUTIONS

We study the process of spontaneous emission from the giant atom into the waveguide. The atom begins in the excited state \(|e\rangle\) and the field in the waveguide is in the vacuum state \(|\text{vac}\rangle\). Since the total number of atomic and field excitations is conserved in Eq. (1) due to the RWA, we study the single-excitation subspace of the full system. The total system state can thus be described by

\[
|\Psi(t)\rangle = \beta(t)|e, \text{vac}\rangle + \int \, dk \, \omega_k(t) \hat{\alpha}_k^\dagger |g, \text{vac}\rangle,
\]

where the integral describes the state of a single boson propagating in the waveguide. From the Schrödinger equation \(i\hbar \partial/\partial t|\Psi(t)\rangle = H|\Psi(t)\rangle\), we derive the equation of motion (EOM) for the probability amplitude of the giant atom being excited (see Appendix B):

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \beta(t) = -i\Omega \beta(t) - \frac{1}{2} N \gamma \beta(t)
\]

\[-\gamma \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} (N-l) \beta(t-l\tau) \Theta(t-l\tau).
\]

Here, the relaxation rate at single coupling point \(\gamma \equiv \frac{4\pi \tilde{g} \tilde{\Omega}}{\hbar^2}\) can be approximated as a constant over the relevant frequency range in the spirit of Weisskopf-Wigner theory. Note that the EOM (3) also describes the linear (classical) problem where a single harmonic mode, not an atom, interacts with the continuum of modes in an open waveguide. In the harmonic limit of the transmon Hamiltonian, the dynamics of a coherent state (classical motion) exactly follows the EOM (3) for \(\beta(t)\) (see Appendix C).

The time evolution of the bosonic field function \(\phi(x, t) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \, \text{e}^{ikx} \hat{\alpha}_k(t)\) in the waveguide is given by (see Appendix B)

\[
\phi(x, t) = -i \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{2v}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \beta(t) \Theta(t - |x - x_m|/v).
\]

Here, \(\Theta(\bullet)\) is the Heaviside step function, which describes time-delayed feedback among the coupling points. The field intensity function \(p(x, t) \equiv |\phi(x, t)|^2\) describes the probability density at position \(x\) and time \(t\) to find a single phonon or photon for all possible wave vectors \(k\).

The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3) describes the coherent dynamics of the atom. The second and third terms describe the relaxation processes due to Markovian and non-Markovian dynamics, respectively. The solution of \(\beta(t)\) can be obtained by a Laplace transformation:

\[
\beta(t) = \sum_{n} \frac{e^{nt}}{1 - \gamma \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} (N-l)e^{-s_l\tau}},
\]

where the complex frequency parameters \(s_n\) are given by the solutions to the equation

\[
s_n + i\Omega + \frac{1}{2} N \gamma + \gamma \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} (N-l)e^{-s_l\tau} = 0.
\]
For finite time delay $\tau > 0$, the nonlinear Eq. (6) has multiple solutions. In general, there is no simple closed form for these solutions.

### IV. DARK-STATE CONDITION

Usually, the complex frequency $s_n$ has a negative real part, which represents the relaxation rate. In some particular situations, $s_n$ can be purely imaginary. In that case, the corresponding mode is a dark state, which does not decay despite the dissipative environment. We seek the purely imaginary solution $s_n = -i\Omega_n$ with (see Appendix D)

$$\Omega_n = \frac{2n\pi}{N\tau}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (7)$$

Plugging this into Eq. (6), we obtain the following condition for the dark states:

$$\Omega\tau = \frac{2n\pi}{N} - \frac{1}{2}N\gamma\tau \cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{N}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (8)$$

Note that for the RWA to hold, we require $|\Omega_n - \Omega|/\Omega \ll 1$ or, equivalently, $\left|\frac{\gamma\pi}{N}\cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{N}\right)\right| \ll 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ according to Eq. (8). In the Markov limit $\gamma \tau \rightarrow 0$, the dark-state condition (8) is simplified into $\Omega\tau = 2n\pi/N$ and the dark frequency is $\Omega_n = \Omega + \frac{1}{2}N\gamma\tau \cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{N}\right)$ [25]. In the non-Markovian regime of sufficiently large $\gamma\tau$, the additional nonlinear cotangent term in Eq. (8) cannot be neglected. Due to this term, there is an associated bound field state in the waveguide for a given dark state of the atom.

### V. STATIC BOUND STATES

Inserting the dark-state solution $s_n = -i\frac{2\pi n}{N\tau}$ into Eq. (5), we obtain the long-time dynamics of the atomic excitation probability amplitude

$$\beta(t) \rightarrow A(n)e^{-i\frac{\pi}{N}t} \quad \text{with} \quad A(n) = \frac{2\sin^2(\pi n/N)}{2\sin^2(\pi n/N) + N\gamma\tau}. \quad (9)$$

From Eqs. (4) and (9), we calculate (see Appendix E) the explicit expression for the field density in the long-time limit, $p_n(x) \equiv p(x, t \rightarrow \infty)$, for a given dark state $s_n$:

$$p_n(x) = \frac{8\gamma}{v} \frac{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi n}{N}\right)}{\left(2\sin^2\frac{\pi n}{N} + N\gamma\tau\right)^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{n\pi}{N}(m' + 2\lambda - 1)\right). \quad (10)$$

Here, we have relabeled the position coordinate by $x = (m' - 1 + \lambda)x_N$ with $m' = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1)$. Equation (10) is only valid for the position between the two outermost coupling points, i.e., $x \in [x_1, x_N]$ with $x_1 = 0$ and $x_N = (N - 1)x_N$. Outside the giant atom, i.e., for $x \notin [x_1, x_N]$, the field intensity $p_n(x)$ is zero.

We calculate [65] the total field intensity $I(n)$ of the bound field state for a given dark state:

$$I(n) \equiv \int p_n(x)dx = \frac{2N\gamma\tau \sin^2\frac{\pi n}{N}}{(2\sin^2\frac{\pi n}{N} + N\gamma\tau)^2} \times \left(1 + \frac{N}{4n\pi} \sin \frac{2\pi n}{N}\right). \quad (11)$$

FIG. 2. Bound states in the waveguide for a giant atom with $N = 3$. (a1) Field intensity at $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and (a2) field intensity time evolution, for the dark state $s_{n=1}$ with $\gamma\tau/2\pi = 0.018$ and $\Omega\tau/2\pi = 0.317$. In (a1), the red filled curve is the numerical simulation and the black dashed line is the analytical prediction from Eq. (10). (b1), (b2) Same, but for the dark state $s_{n=4}$ with $\gamma\tau/2\pi = 0.073$ and $\Omega\tau/2\pi = 1.27$. 
We see that, in the Markovian limit $\gamma \tau \to 0$, the total field strength $I(n) \to 0$. Thus, the bound state only exists in the non-Markovian regime, where $\gamma \tau$ is sufficiently large. In the special case of $N = 2$, the dark-state condition (8) can only be fulfilled for odd integers $n$, and the residual field strength is $I(n) = \gamma \tau / (1 + \gamma \tau)^2 \leq 1/4$. In Fig. 2, we show how the bound state is formed. We plot the long-time field intensity distribution $P(x,t)$ [Figs. 2(a1) and 2(b1)] and the time evolution of the field intensity function $p(x,t)$ [Figs. 2(a2) and 2(b2)] for two different dark states ($n = 1$ and $4$) of a giant atom with $N = 3$ coupling points.

VI. OSCILLATING BOUND STATES

The dark-state condition (8) is a nonlinear equation for integer $n$ and $\gamma \tau > 0$. It is possible to find two integers $n_1$ and $n_2$ satisfying Eq. (8) simultaneously. This means that, in the long-time limit after all the dissipative modes die out, the dynamics of the atomic excitation probability amplitude $\beta(t)$ is a superposition of two dark states with different frequencies $\Omega_{n_1}$ and $\Omega_{n_2}$. As a result, the atomic excitation probability $|\beta(t)|^2$ oscillates persistently with frequency $\Omega_{n_1} - \Omega_{n_2}$, despite the dissipative environment. In Fig. 3(a), we show the population dynamics for a three-leg giant atom ($N = 3$) with two coexisting dark states: $s_{n=14}$ and $s_{n=16}$. The undamped oscillation of $|\beta(t)|^2$ indicates that the atom exchanges energy with the bosonic bath persistently. In Fig. 3(b), we plot the corresponding time evolution of the field intensity in the waveguide, showing an oscillating bound state in the long-time limit [65].

If $n_1$ and $n_2$ are the two simultaneous solutions of Eq. (8), the parameters $\Omega \tau$ and $\gamma \tau$ have to be

$$\Omega \tau = \frac{2n_1 \pi}{N} - \frac{2(n_1 - n_2) \pi}{N} \cot \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} \right) > 0,$$

$$\gamma \tau = \frac{4(n_1 - n_2) \pi}{N^2} \cot \left( \frac{n_2 \pi}{N} \right) - \cot \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} \right) > 0. \quad (12)$$

Here, the physical conditions of $\Omega \tau > 0$ and $\gamma \tau > 0$ need to be satisfied, together with the RWA condition that $|\Omega_{n_1} \cot (\frac{n_1 \pi}{N})/\Omega_{n_2} \cot (\frac{n_2 \pi}{N})| < 1$ and $n_{1,2} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The long-time dynamics of the giant atom is

$$\beta(t) \to A(n_1)e^{-i\Omega_{n_1}t} + A(n_2)e^{-i\Omega_{n_2}t}, \quad (13)$$

which results in

$$|\beta(t)|^2 = A^2(n_1) + A^2(n_2) + 2A(n_1)A(n_2)\cos(\Omega_{n_1} - \Omega_{n_2})t. \quad (14)$$

The amplitude of the persistent oscillations is thus $A(n_1)A(n_2)$.

The total field intensity left in the waveguide for two coexisting dark states is $I(n_1, n_2) \equiv \int p(x,t \to \infty)dx$, which can be calculated from Eqs. (4) and (5) (see Appendix F):

$$I(n_1, n_2) = I(n_1) + I(n_2) - 4A(n_1)A(n_2) \int \Omega \cos [(\Omega_{n_1} - \Omega_{n_2})t] \Omega_{n_1} + \Omega_{n_2}. \quad (15)$$

According to Eq. (2), the quantity $|\beta(t)|^2 + I(n_1, n_2)$ is the total excitation probability of the atom and the field, which is...
conserved, since the oscillating bound state does not decay. This gives an additional condition for the coexisting dark states, i.e.,
\[ \Omega_{n_1} + \Omega_{n_2} = \Omega. \] (16)
Combining this with Eq. (8), we find that the solutions are of the form \( n_1 = p N + n \) and \( n_2 = q N - n \) with \( p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) and \( 1 \leq n < N \). The conditions in Eq. (12) then become \( \Omega \tau / 2 \pi = (p + q) / 2 \) and \( \gamma \tau / 2 \pi = [(p - q) / N + 2 n / N^2] \tan \left( \pi n / N \right) \). By setting \( p \geq q \) and \( 1 \leq n < N / 2 \), Eq. (12) can be satisfied and we obtain the frequencies of the two dark modes: \( \Omega \pm N \gamma / (2 \pi) \).

In Fig. 3(c), we show the existence of oscillating bound states (solid dots) in the \( \Omega \tau - \gamma \tau^2 \) parameter space for a giant atom with \( N = 3 \). The condition in Eq. (12) implies that, if \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) are solutions yielding coexisting dark states, the integers \( n_1 + N \) and \( n_2 + N \) are also solutions of coexisting dark states with \( \gamma \tau \) unchanged but \( \Omega \tau \) increased by \( 2 \pi \). This results in the \( 2 \pi \) periodicity along the horizontal direction in Fig. 3(c). The dots in the green region are beyond WPA, where the dark-mode frequency \( |\Omega_{n_12} - \Omega| / \Omega > 0.1 \).

If the giant atom only has two coupling points \( (N = 2) \), the nonlinear cotangent term in condition (8) is either zero or infinity. Therefore, the oscillating bound states only exist for more than two coupling points \( (N \geq 3) \).

### VII. CONTINUUM LIMIT

We now discuss the limit of infinitely many coupling points \( (N \to \infty) \). In this case, the time it takes for the field to pass all coupling points is \( N \tau \to T \). For capacitive coupling between the atom and the waveguide, the interaction strength \( g \) at a single point is proportional to the local capacitance \( c \), i.e., \( g \propto c \) [13,16] and the relaxation rate is \( \gamma \propto g^2 \propto c^2 \) [25,63]. As a result, the parameter \( N^2 \gamma \propto (Nc)^2 \), where \( Nc \) is the total capacitance, is a converged quantity \( N^2 \gamma \to \Gamma \) describing the total relaxation rate of the atom into the waveguide. In this continuum limit, the dark-state condition (8) becomes
\[ \Omega T = 2 n \pi - \Gamma T / 2 \pi n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \] (17)
The solution is \( n = (4 \pi)^{-1} \left[ \Omega T \pm \sqrt{(\Omega T)^2 + 4 \Gamma^2 T} \right] \in \mathbb{Z} \), and the corresponding dark-mode frequency is \( \Omega_n = \Omega + \Gamma / 2 \pi n \).

The field intensity \( p_n(x) \) of the bound state can be calculated from Eq. (10), yielding
\[ p_n(x) = \frac{2 n^2 \pi^2 / \Gamma T}{(2 n^2 \pi^2 / \Gamma T + 1)^2} \left[ L \sin^4 \left( \frac{n \pi x}{L} \right) \right]. \] (18)
where \( L = x_N - x_1 \). The total field intensity is
\[ I(n) = (3 n^2 \pi^2 / \Gamma T)^2 (2 n^2 \pi^2 / \Gamma T + 1)^{-2} \leq 3 / 8. \]
However, since the RWA condition requires \( n > 0 \), and we only have one solution fulfilling that condition, it is not expected that an oscillating bound state can be created in this case.

As discussed below EOM (3), our predictions also apply to the linear (classical) system of a single harmonic oscillator coupled to an open waveguide. In Fig. 4(a), we show a continuum metal contacting capacitively with an infinite SAW waveguide made of piezoelectric material. The metal is attached to an LC circuit to tune the plasmon frequency in the metal. If the dark-state condition (17) is satisfied, we expect to observe a bound state in the waveguide. To generate an oscillating bound state, we can design the contact part of the metal as a comblike structure as shown in Fig. 4(b).

Note that the two integers \( n_1 = N + n \) and \( n_2 = N - n \) with \( 1 \leq n < N / 2 \) always satisfy the dark-state condition (12). In the limit of infinitely many coupling points \( N \gg n \), i.e., for a very extended comb, we have \( \Omega T = 2 \pi n \) and \( \Gamma T \to (2 \pi n)^2 \).

In this parameter setting, we can create two coexisting dark modes with frequencies \( \Omega_{n1} \to \Omega \pm \frac{\Gamma}{\pi n} \). We show the field intensity of bound states in the 1D waveguide for the dark state \( n = 1 \) in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c).

### VIII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We have shown that a giant atom with \( N \geq 3 \) coupling points to an open waveguide can harbor oscillating bound states. To observe these states in experiment, the coherence time of the (artificial) atom must exceed the oscillation period. For a transmon or Xmon qubit, the coherence time can be on the order of hundreds of microseconds [7,24,66–68], which...
is much longer than the oscillation period shown in Fig. 3(a) since, typically, Ω/2π is several gigahertz.

In contrast to bound states arising from an imparity protected by an energy gap [51–58], the bound states we find here appear inside the continuous energy spectrum. Therefore, it is possible to manipulate (catch or release) propagating photons/phonons in the waveguide by tuning the dark-mode condition [Eq. (8)] [69]. Furthermore, since the oscillating bound states are a result of coexisting bound modes, their Hilbert space is larger than those of previously known bound states, which should enable storage and manipulation of more complex quantum states. Note that the total amount of excitation stored in the (oscillating) bound state given by Eqs. (11) and (15) depends on the integer n, which can be changed by detuning the atomic transition frequency Ω.

Finally, the oscillating bound state, i.e., the dynamical exchange of excitations between the atom and the bosonic field, essentially demonstrates the Rabi-oscillation phenomenon of cavity QED (undamped, unlike in Ref. [64]). Typically, cavity QED requires two mirrors (which could be atoms [70]) or a segmented waveguide serving as a cavity, but this shows that a single giant atom with three coupling points in the open waveguide is a minimalistic implementation of cavity QED.
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APPENDIX A: HAMILTONIAN

The transmon is coupled capacitively to the waveguide. The total Hamiltonian of the transmon coupled to a waveguide is given by [13]

\[ H_{\text{tr}} = \frac{(2e)^2}{2C_{\Sigma}} (\hat{n} - \bar{n})^2 - E_J \cos \phi \]

\[ \equiv 4E_C \hat{n}^2 - E_J \cos \phi - 8E_C \hbar \hat{n}_s + 4E_C \tilde{n}_s^2, \tag{A1} \]

where \( \hat{n} \) is the offset charge of the transmon measured in units of the Cooper pair charge 2e, \( E_J \) is the Josephson energy, and \( E_C = e^2/2C_{\Sigma} \) is the charging energy with \( C_{\Sigma} \) the total capacitance of the transmon. By defining the operators \( \hat{b}, \hat{b}^\dagger \) via \( \phi = \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{2}}(\hat{b} + \hat{b}^\dagger) \), \( \bar{n} = -i\sqrt{\frac{\eta}{2}}(\hat{b} - \hat{b}^\dagger) \) with \( \eta = \sqrt{8E_C/E_J} \), the free transmon Hamiltonian is

\[ H_{\text{tr, free}} = 4E_C \bar{n}^2 - E_J \cos \phi \approx \hbar \omega_0 (\hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} + \frac{1}{2}) - \chi (\hat{b} + \hat{b}^\dagger)^4, \tag{A2} \]

where \( \omega_0 = \sqrt{8E_C E_J}/\hbar \) is known as the Josephson plasma frequency and \( \chi = E_C/12 \) is the nonlinearity.

The electric potential field \( \phi(x, t) \) in the waveguide can be described by [71]

\[ \phi(x, t) = -i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar Z_0 v}{4\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \sqrt{\omega_k} (\hat{a}_k e^{-i\omega_k t - kx} - \text{H.c.}). \tag{A3} \]

Here, \( \hat{a}_k \) is the annihilation operator of the waveguide mode with wave vector \( k \), satisfying the commutation relations \( [\hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_k^\dagger] = \delta(k - k') \), \( Z_0 \) is the characteristic impedance of the waveguide, and \( v \) is the velocity of SAWs or the speed of light (microwaves) with the dispersion relation \( \omega_k = |k|v \).

The coupling between the transmon and the waveguide is described by the term \( H_{\text{int}} = -8E_C \hbar \hat{n}_s \), in Eq. (A1) with the offset charge \( \hat{n}_s = (2e)^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{N} C_g \phi(x_m, t) \), where \( C_g \) and \( x_m \) are the effective capacitance and the position of each coupling point, respectively. Therefore, the interaction Hamiltonian is

\[ H_{\text{int}} = -8E_C \hbar \hat{n}_s \]

\[ = -i8E_C \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\eta}} (\hat{b} - \hat{b}^\dagger) \frac{1}{2e} \sum_{m=1}^{N} C_g \phi(x_m, t) \]

\[ = \frac{4E_C}{e} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\eta}} \frac{\hbar Z_0 v}{4\pi} \]

\[ \times \sum_{m=1}^{N} C_g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \sqrt{\omega_k} (\hat{a}_k e^{ikx_m} - \text{H.c.})(\hat{b}^\dagger - \hat{b}) \]

\[ \approx \frac{4E_C}{e} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\eta}} \frac{\hbar Z_0 v}{4\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{N} C_g \]

\[ \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \sqrt{|k|v} |\hat{b}^\dagger \hat{a}_k e^{ikx_m} + \text{H.c.}| \]

\[ = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_0 (\hat{b}^\dagger \hat{a}_k e^{ikx_m} + \text{H.c.}). \sqrt{|k|} dk. \tag{A4} \]

In the fourth line, we used the linear dispersion relation \( \omega_k = v|k| \) and adopted the rotating-wave approximation (RWA) by dropping counter-rotating terms like \( \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{a}_k e^{i\omega k t} \) and \( \hat{a}_k \hat{b}^\dagger \). In the fifth line, we defined the coupling strength

\[ g_0 \equiv \frac{4E_C}{e} v \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\eta}} \frac{\hbar Z_0 C_g}{4\pi}. \tag{A5} \]

Note that the coupling strength \( g_0 \) is measured as an energy density over the wave-vector \( k \) space since we are considering continuous modes in the open waveguide.

Thus, the total Hamiltonian including the waveguide is

\[ H = \hbar \omega_0 (\hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} + \frac{1}{2}) - \chi (\hat{b} + \hat{b}^\dagger)^4 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \ h \omega_k \hat{a}_k \]

\[ + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g_0 (\hat{b}^\dagger \hat{a}_k e^{ikx_m} + \text{H.c.}). \sqrt{|k|} dk. \tag{A6} \]

APPENDIX B: SINGLE-EXCITATION LIMIT

For the spontaneous emission process, the RWA guarantees that there is only one excitation either in the atomic state or in the waveguide. In this case, only the lowest two levels of the transmon, i.e., the ground state \( |g\rangle \) and the first excited state \( |e\rangle \), are involved in the dynamics. By defining the lowering operator \( \sigma_- \equiv |g\rangle \langle e| \) and raising operator \( \sigma_+ \equiv |e\rangle \langle g| \), we can
write the Hamiltonian in the single-excitation subspace
\[ H = \hbar \Omega \sigma_+ \sigma_- + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \hbar \omega_k \hat{a}_k^\dagger \hat{a}_k \]
\[ + \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g_0(e^{ik_m \hat{a}_k} + H.c.) \sqrt{|k|} dk. \] (B1)

Here, the atomic transition frequency \( \Omega = \omega_0 - 12 \chi = \sqrt{8E_c E_f / \hbar - E_c / \hbar} \) is the level spacing of the two lowest levels. The total system state can thus be described by
\[ |\Psi(t)\rangle = \beta(t)e + \int dk \alpha_k(t)\hat{a}_k^\dagger g, \text{ vac}. \] (B2)

where the integral describes the state of a single boson propagating in the waveguide. From the Schrödinger equation \( i\hbar \partial / \partial t|\Psi(t)\rangle = H|\Psi(t)\rangle \), we have
\[ H|\Psi(t)\rangle = \hbar \Omega \beta(t)e + \hbar \int dk \omega_k \alpha_k(t)\hat{a}_k^\dagger g, \text{ vac}. \]

Therefore, the dynamics for the giant atom is
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \beta(t) = -i\Omega \beta(t) - \left( \frac{g_0}{\hbar} \right)^2 \sum_{m,m'} \int_0^{t'} \beta(t')dt' \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sqrt{|k|} e^{ik(x_m-x_m')} \alpha_k(0)dk \]
\[ + i \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sqrt{|k|} e^{ik(x_m-x_m')} \alpha_k(0)dk \] (B3)

and for the propagating modes in the waveguide
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \alpha_k(t) = -i\omega_k \alpha_k(t) - i \frac{g_0}{\hbar} \beta(t) \sqrt{|k|} \sum_{m=1}^{N} e^{-ikx_m}. \] (B5)

Integrating Eq. (B5), we have the formal solution
\[ \alpha_k(t) = e^{-i\omega_k t} \left\{ \alpha_k(0) - i \frac{g_0}{\hbar} \sqrt{|k|} \sum_{m=1}^{N} e^{-ikx_m} \int_0^t \beta(t')e^{i\omega_k t'} dt' \right\}. \] (B6)

Inserting Eq. (B6) into Eq. (B4), we obtain
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \beta(t) = -i\Omega \beta(t) - \left( \frac{g_0}{\hbar} \right)^2 \sum_{m,m'} \int_0^{t'} \beta(t')dt' \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sqrt{|k|} e^{ik(x_m-x_m')} \alpha_k(0)dk \]
\[ + i \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sqrt{|k|} e^{ik(x_m-x_m')} \alpha_k(0)dk. \] (B7)

In order to simplify Eq. (B7), we adopt the well-known Weisskopf-Wigner approximation [72]. In the emission spectrum, the intensity of the emitted radiation is concentrated in the range around the atomic transition frequency \( \Omega \). Therefore, the quantity \( \omega_k \) varies little in this frequency range, and we define the relaxation rate at single coupling point by
\[ \gamma \equiv \frac{4\pi g_0^2 \Omega}{\hbar^2 v^2} \approx \text{constant}. \] (B8)

We can replace the lower limit in the \( \omega_k \) integration by \(-\infty \) in Eq. (B7). The integral \( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega_k e^{i\omega t} = 2\pi \delta(t) \) yields
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \beta(t) \approx -i\Omega \beta(t) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{m,m'} \int_0^{t'} \beta(t')dt' \left[ \delta(t' - t + \tau_{mm'}) + \delta(t' - t - \tau_{mm'}) \right] - i \frac{\gamma v}{4\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i(k_m-x_m')} \alpha_k(0)dk \]
\[ = -i\Omega \beta(t) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{m,m'} \beta(t - |\tau_{mm'}|) \Theta(t - |\tau_{mm'}|) - i \frac{\gamma v}{4\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i(k_m-x_m')} \alpha_k(0)dk, \] (B9)

where we have defined the delay time \( \tau_{mm'} \equiv (x_m - x_{m'}) / v \) between two coupling points and \( \Theta(x) \) is the Heaviside step function [\( \Theta(x) = 0 \) for \( x < 0 \) and \( \Theta(x) = 1 \) for \( x > 0 \)]. We make the Markovian approximation at each single coupling point but retain the time delay (non-Markovian dynamics) between different coupling points.
Since each \( \alpha_x(t) \) represents the time-dependent probability amplitude of a plane wave \( e^{ikx} \), the total time-dependent field function in the waveguide is given by

\[
\varphi(x, t) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, e^{ikx} \alpha_k(t).
\] (B10)

From Eq. (B6), we have

\[
\varphi(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, e^{i(kx-\omega t)} \alpha_k(0) - i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{4\pi}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_0^t \beta(t') dt' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, e^{ik(x-\omega t)+i\omega t(t'-t)}
\]

\[
\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, e^{i(kx-\omega t)} \alpha_k(0) - i \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2\pi}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \beta(t - \frac{|x-x_m|}{v}) \Theta\left(t - \frac{|x-x_m|}{v}\right).
\] (B11)

In the last step, we used the Weisskopf-Wigner approximation again like we did in Eq. (B9).

In order to solve Eq. (B9), we use Laplace transformation \( E_\beta(s) \equiv \int_0^\infty dt \, \beta(t)e^{-st} \) and obtain

\[
sE_\beta(s) - \beta(0) = -i\Omega E_\beta(s) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{m,m'=1}^{N} e^{-|\tau_{mm'}|s} E_\beta(s) - i \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{4\pi}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx_0} \alpha_k(0)}{s + i\omega_k} dk.
\] (B12)

Therefore, we have

\[
E_\beta(s) = \frac{\beta(0)}{s + i\Omega + \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{m,m'=1}^{N} e^{-|\tau_{mm'}|s}} - i \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{4\pi}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx_0} \alpha_k(0)}{s + i\omega_k} \, dk.
\] (B13)

The time evolution of \( \beta(t) \) can be obtained by the inverse Laplace transformation

\[
\beta(t) = \sum e^{s_n t} \text{Res}(E_\beta(s), s_n) = \sum e^{s_n t} \lim_{s \to s_n} E_\beta(s) = \sum e^{s_n t} \lim_{s \to s_n} E_\beta(s_n + \epsilon) e \epsilon,
\] (B14)

where \( \text{Res}(E_\beta(s), s_n) \) is the residue of \( E_\beta(s) \) at the pole \( s_n \). The poles of \( E_\beta(s) \) are \( s_n = -i\omega_k \) and also given by the roots of the following equation:

\[
s_n + i\Omega + \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{m,m'=1}^{N} e^{-|\tau_{mm'}|s_n} = 0.
\] (B15)

The explicit form of \( \beta(t) \) is thus

\[
\beta(t) = \sum \frac{\beta(0)e^{s_n t}}{1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{m,m'=1}^{N} |\tau_{mm'}|e^{-|\tau_{mm'}|s_n}} - i \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{4\pi}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_k(0)e^{ikx_0-i\omega_k t}}{(s_n + i\omega_k)^2 - \alpha_k(0)e^{ikx_0+s_n t}} e^{ikx_0+s_n t} \, dk.
\] (B16)

If the pole from Eq. (B15) is a purely imaginary number, i.e., \( s_n = -i\omega_k \), the k-component contribution in the integration of Eq. (B16) is zero.

In our experimental scheme proposed in the main text, the coupling points are equidistant with time delay \( \tau \) between neighboring points. Therefore, all the possible time delays can be written on the form \( |\tau_{mm'}| = l\tau \) with \( l = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1 \). The combination number of time delays is \( N \) for \( l = 0 \) and \( 2(N-l) \) for \( l \neq 0 \). Therefore, the condition (B15) to determine the poles becomes

\[
s_n + i\Omega + \frac{\gamma}{2} N\gamma + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (N-l)e^{-s_i l\tau} = 0.
\] (B17)

which is Eq. (6) in the main text. In this case, the EOM (B9) for \( \beta(t) \) becomes

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \beta(t) = -i\Omega \beta(t) - \frac{1}{2} N\gamma \beta(t) - \gamma \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \beta(t-l\tau) \Theta(t-l\tau)
\]

\[
- \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{4\pi}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(kx_0-\omega_k t)} \alpha_k(0) \, dk,
\] (B18)

which is Eq. (3) in the main text. For the spontaneous emission \( \alpha_k(0) = 0 \) and \( \beta(0) = 1 \), the solution (B16) by Laplace transformation is

\[
\beta(t) = \sum \frac{e^{s_n t}}{1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (N-l)e^{-s_i l\tau}}.
\] (B19)

which is Eq. (5) in the main text.
APPENDIX C: HARMONIC LIMIT

In the harmonic limit, where the nonlinearity vanishes $\chi \to 0$, the total Hamiltonian (A6) becomes
\[
H = \hbar \Omega \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \ h_{0k} \hat{a}_{k}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{k} + \sum_{m=1}^{N} g_{0}(e^{ik_{m}x_{m}} \hat{b}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}) \sqrt{|k|} dk. \tag{C1}
\]

The Heisenberg EOM for the transmon operator $\hat{b}(t)$ is
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \hat{b}(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{b}(t), H(t)] = -i\Omega \hat{b}(t) - i \frac{R_{0}}{\hbar} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sqrt{|k|} e^{ikx} \hat{a}_{k} dk. \tag{C2}
\]

The Heisenberg EOM for the field operator $\hat{a}_{k}(t)$ is
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \hat{a}_{k}(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{a}_{k}(t), H(t)] = -i\omega \hat{a}_{k}(t) - \frac{g_{0}}{\hbar} \sqrt{|k|} \sum_{m} e^{-ikx_{m}}. \tag{C3}
\]

Equations (C2) and (C3) have the exact same form as Eqs. (B4) and (B5) if we replace $\beta(t)$ and $\alpha(t)$ by operators $\hat{b}(t)$ and $\hat{a}_{k}(t)$, respectively. Note that the Hamiltonian (C1) describes the linear problem where a single harmonic mode interacts with the continuum of harmonic modes in an open waveguide. If we prepare all the harmonic modes in coherent states initially, they will stay in coherent states. If we prepare all the harmonic modes in coherent states initially, they will stay in coherent states.

APPENDIX D: SEARCHING FOR DARK MODES

To obtain the explicit solutions for $\beta(t)$, the central problem is to solve Eq. (B17), i.e., find the roots of the transcendental equation
\[
s + i\Omega = \frac{1}{2} N\gamma + \gamma \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (N-l)e^{-sl\tau} = 0. \tag{D1}
\]

It can be proved that
\[
G \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} e^{-sl\tau} = 1 - e^{-N\tau} \tag{D2}
\]

and
\[
\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} ne^{-sl\tau} = -\frac{\partial G}{\partial s} = \frac{e^{-s\tau} - Ne^{-N\tau} + (N-1)e^{-(N+1)\tau}}{(1-e^{-s\tau})^{2}}. \tag{D3}
\]

Thus, we have
\[
\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (N-n)e^{-sl\tau} = N \frac{1 - e^{-N\tau} - e^{-s\tau} - Ne^{-N\tau} + (N-1)e^{-(N+1)\tau}}{(1-e^{-s\tau})^{2}} \tag{D4}
\]

Equation (D1) is simplified into
\[
s + i\Omega - \frac{1}{2} N\gamma + \gamma \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} (N-l)e^{-sl\tau} = 0. \tag{D5}
\]

The solution is determined by the dimensionless parameters $\Omega \tau$, $\gamma \tau$, and $N$. Although $e^{-s\tau}$ satisfies Eq. (D6), it is not the solution of Eq. (D1) since $e^{-s\tau}$ gives $s\tau = -i2\pi n$ with integers $n$ and Eq. (D1) then becomes $i(\Omega \tau - 2\pi n) + \frac{1}{2} N\gamma \tau = 0$, which cannot be satisfied for real $\Omega$ and $N$ or $\gamma > 0$.

We are interested in searching for the dark states corresponding to a purely imaginary solution $s$. First, let us check if $s = -i\Omega$ can be the dark mode. In this case, we have from Eq. (D6)
\[
2e^{i\Omega \tau}[(e^{i\Omega \tau})^{N} - 1] = N[(e^{i\Omega \tau})^{2} - 1] = 0. \tag{D7}
\]

For even integers $N$, we have the solution $e^{i\Omega \tau} = -1$, indicating that, for even $N$ and $\Omega \tau = (2n+1)\pi$, $s = -i\Omega$ is indeed a dark mode.

To find more dark states, we assume $s = -i\Omega n$ with a real $\Omega n$ satisfying Eq. (D6). We then have the equation
\[
2e^{i\Omega \tau}[(e^{i\Omega \tau})^{N} - 1] = N[(e^{i\Omega \tau})^{2} - 1] \tag{D8}
\]

To simplify Eq. (D8) for finding dark states, we make the ansatz that
\[
(e^{i\Omega \tau})^{N} = 1 \Rightarrow \Omega n = \frac{2n\pi}{N\tau}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{D9}
\]

Thus, we can cancel the first term on the left-hand side of Eq. (D8) and obtain a simplified equation
\[
-N[(e^{i\Omega \tau})^{2} - 1] = i \frac{2(\Omega n \tau - \Omega \tau)}{\gamma \tau} (1 - e^{i\Omega \tau})^{2} \Rightarrow \frac{1 + e^{i\Omega \tau}}{1 - e^{i\Omega \tau}} = i \frac{2(\Omega n \tau - \Omega \tau)}{N\gamma \tau}. \tag{D10}
\]

Using the identity $1 + e^{i\Omega \tau}/(1 - e^{i\Omega \tau}) = i \cot(\Omega n \tau/2)$, we have the resonant condition for dark state from the above equation:
\[
\Omega \tau = \Omega n \tau - \frac{1}{2} N\gamma \tau \cot \left( \frac{\Omega n \tau}{2} \right) \Rightarrow \frac{2n\pi}{N} - \frac{1}{2} N\gamma \tau \cot \left( \frac{\Omega n \tau}{N} \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{D11}
\]

We label the dark mode by $\Omega n = -i\Omega n$ with $\Omega n = \frac{2n\pi}{N\tau}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The above Eq. (D11) is the Eq. (8) in the main text.
APPENDIX E: FIELD INTENSITY DISTRIBUTION FOR A SINGLE BOUND STATE

In this Appendix, we derive Eqs. (10) and (11) in the main text. For a given dark mode \( s_n = -i \frac{2n\pi}{N} \), the corresponding field intensity can also be calculated from Eqs. (4) and (9) in the main text. By parametrizing the position coordinate as \( x = (m' - 1)\tau + \lambda \tau \) with \( m' = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) and \( \lambda \in [0, 1) \), we have \( p_n(x) = p(x, t \to +\infty) \) and

\[
p(x, t \to +\infty) = \frac{\gamma}{2v} \left| \sum_m \beta(t - |x - x_m|/v) \Theta(t - |x - x_m|/v) \right|^2
\]

\[
= \frac{\gamma}{2v} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\pi \gamma t / N)} \right)^2 \left| \sum_m \exp \left[ i \frac{2n\pi}{N\tau} |x - x_m| / v \right] \right|^2
\]

\[
= \frac{\gamma}{2v} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\pi \gamma t / N)} \right)^2 \left| \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 - e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} m'} \right] \right|^2 \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{2n\pi}{N} m' \right) \right] \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{2n\pi}{N} \left( m' + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{\gamma}{2v} \frac{2N^2 \sin^2(\pi \gamma t / N)}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\pi \gamma t / N)} \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{2n\pi}{N} m' \right) \right] \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{2N\pi}{N} \left( m' + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{2\gamma}{v} \frac{\sin^2(\pi \gamma t / N)}{2(2\sin^2(\pi \gamma t / N) + N\gamma^2)} \sin^2 \left( \frac{n\pi}{N} m' \right) \sin^2 \left( \frac{k\pi}{N} (m' + \lambda - 1) \right)
\]

This distribution is valid for \( x \) between \( x_1 \) and \( x_N \) in the waveguide. We see that at the two ends of the giant atom, \( x_1 = 0 \) (i.e., \( m' = 1 \) and \( \lambda = 0 \)) and \( x_N = (N - 1)\tau \) (i.e., \( m' = N \) and \( \lambda = 0 \)), the intensity vanishes. When the position \( x \) is outside the interval \([x_1, x_N]\), since the sign of \((x - x_m)\) is fixed, the summation in the second line gives zero. This is reasonable since the excitations outside the outermost coupling points will propagate away in the waveguide and never come back. The total field intensity left in the waveguide for the given dark state \( s_n = -i \frac{2n\pi}{N} \) can be calculated, in the long-time limit, by plugging \( \beta(t) \) into the above equation, yielding

\[
I(n) = \int_{x_1}^{x_N} p_n(x) \, dx
\]

\[
= \frac{\gamma}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\pi \gamma t / N)} \right)^2 \left| \sum_m \exp \left( i \frac{2n\pi}{N\tau} |t' - t_m| \right) \right|^2 \, dt'.
\]

(E2)

Here, we have expressed the coordinates in terms of times, i.e., \( t' = x/v \) and \( t_m = (m - 1)\tau \) with \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, N \). The parameter \( T \equiv (N - 1)\tau \) is the total traveling time from \( x_1 \) to \( x_N \). By parametrizing \( t' = (m' - 1)\tau + a\tau \) with \( a \in [0, 1) \), we have

\[
\int_0^T \left| \sum_m e^{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}(m' - 1)\tau + a\tau} \right|^2 \, da = \tau \sum_{m=1}^N \int_{0}^{\frac{N}{2}} \left| \sum_{m'=1}^{m' - 1} e^{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}(m' - m)\tau + a\tau} + e^{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}(m' - m)\tau - a\tau} \right|^2 \, da
\]

\[
= \tau \sum_{m=1}^N \int_{0}^{\frac{N}{2}} \left| e^{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}(m' + a - 1)} - e^{-i\frac{2n\pi}{N}a} e^{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}a} \right|^2 \, da
\]

\[
= \tau \sum_{m=1}^N \int_{0}^{\frac{N}{2}} \left| e^{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}(m' + a - 1)} - e^{-i\frac{2n\pi}{N}(a - 1)} \right|^2 \, da
\]

\[
= \tau \sum_{m=1}^N \int_{0}^{\frac{N}{2}} \left| e^{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}(a - 1)}(e^{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}m' - 1}) + e^{-i\frac{2n\pi}{N}(a - 1)}(e^{-i\frac{2n\pi}{N}m' - 1}) \right|^2 \, da
\]

\[
= \tau \int_{0}^{\frac{N}{2}} \left| e^{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}(a - 1/2)}(e^{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}m' - 1}) + e^{-i\frac{2n\pi}{N}(a + 1/2)}(e^{-i\frac{2n\pi}{N}m' - 1}) \right|^2 \, da
\]

\[
= \tau \int_{0}^{\frac{N}{2}} \left| e^{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}(a - 1/2)}(e^{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}m' - 1}) + e^{-i\frac{2n\pi}{N}(a + 1/2)}(e^{-i\frac{2n\pi}{N}m' - 1}) \right|^2 \, da
\]
Using the identity \( \sum_{m'=1}^{N} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} m' \right) = 0 \), we obtain

\[
\int_{0}^{\tau} \left| \sum_{m} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} (\tau' - \tau_m)} \right|^2 dt' = \tau \left[ \frac{1}{4} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{N} \right| \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} m' \right) \right] \right| \left[ 1 - \frac{N}{2\pi} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} m' \right) \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} \right) \right] \]

\[
= \tau \left[ \frac{1}{4} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{N} \right| \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} m' \right) \right] \right| \left[ 1 + \frac{N}{2\pi} \cos^2 \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} m' \right) \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} \right) \right] \]

\[
= \tau \left[ \frac{1}{4} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{N} \right| \left[ 1 + \frac{N}{4\pi} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} \right) \right] + \frac{N}{4\pi} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} \right) \cos \left( \frac{4\pi}{N} m' \right) \right] \]

\[
= \tau \left[ \frac{1}{4} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{N} \right| \left[ 1 + \frac{N}{4\pi} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} \right) \right] \right] = \frac{N \tau}{\sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{N} \right)} \left[ 1 + \frac{N}{4\pi} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} \right) \right] \]

\[
= 2N \tau \frac{1 + \frac{N}{4\pi} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} \right)}{1 - \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} \right)} . \tag{E4}
\]

Plugging the above result into Eq. (E2), we find the total field strength left in the waveguide:

\[
I(n) = \frac{2N \gamma \tau}{\left[ 2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{N} \right) + N \gamma \tau \right]} \left[ 1 + \frac{N}{4\pi} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} \right) \right] . \tag{E5}
\]

**APPENDIX F: TOTAL FIELD INTENSITY OF AN OSCILLATING BOUND STATE**

The total field intensity of an oscillating bound state with two coexisting dark states \( s_{n_1} \) and \( s_{n_2} \) is

\[
I(n_1, n_2) = \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{0}^{\tau} \left| \sum_{m} A(n_1) e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} (\tau' - \tau_m)} + A(n_2) e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} (\tau' - \tau_m)} \right|^2 d\tau' 
\]

\[
= \frac{\gamma \tau}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{\tau} \left| A(n_1) e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} m' \tau} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{m'} e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} [(m'-m)\tau + \alpha \tau]} + \sum_{m=m'+1}^{N} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} [(m'-m-1)\tau - \alpha \tau]} \right) 
+ A(n_2) e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} m' \tau} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{m'} e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} [(m'-m)\tau + \alpha \tau]} + \sum_{m=m'+1}^{N} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} [(m'-m-1)\tau - \alpha \tau]} \right) \right|^2 d\tau 
\]

\[
= \frac{\gamma \tau}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{\tau} \left| A(n_1) e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} m' \tau} \left( e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} (m'+\alpha - 1)} - e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} (\alpha - 1)} \right) + A(n_2) e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} m' \tau} \left( e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} (m'+\alpha - 1)} - e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} (\alpha - 1)} \right) \right|^2 d\tau 
\]

\[
= \frac{\gamma \tau}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{\tau} \left| e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} (m'+\alpha - 1)} - e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} (\alpha - 1)} \right|^2 d\tau 
\]

\[
= 043014-11
\]
\[= \frac{\gamma \tau}{2} \sum_{m_1=1}^{N} \int_0^1 A(n_1) e^{-\frac{2\pi t n_1}{N}} \left[ e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}(m_1 \pi - a)} \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N} m_1} - 1 \right) + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} a} \left( e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} m_1} - 1 \right) \right] \left[ e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}(m_1 \pi + a)} \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N} m_1} - 1 \right) + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} a} \left( e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} m_1} - 1 \right) \right] d^a \]

\[= \frac{\gamma \tau}{2} \sum_{m_1=1}^{N} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} A(n_1) e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} (m_1 \pi + a)} \left[ e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N} m_1} \left( e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N} m_1} - 1 \right) + e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} a} \left( e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} m_1} - 1 \right) \right] d^a \]

\[= \frac{\gamma \tau}{2} \sum_{m_1=1}^{N} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} 2A(n_1) e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} (m_1 \pi + a)} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{2n_1 \pi}{N} [m_1 + a] \right) - \cos \left( \frac{2n_1 \pi}{N} a \right) \right] \]

\[= \frac{\gamma \tau}{2} \sum_{m_1=1}^{N} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} 4A(n_1) e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} (m_1 \pi + a)} \sin \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} m_1 \right) \sin \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} [m_1 + 2a] \right) \]

\[= I(n_1) + I(n_2) + \frac{\gamma \tau}{2} \cdot 16A(n_1) A(n_2) \left[ \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} (m_1 \pi + a)}}{1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}}} \right] + H.c. \]

\[\times \sum_{m_1=1}^{N} \sin \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} m_1 \right) \sin \left( \frac{n_2 \pi}{N} m_1 \right) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \sin \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} [m_1 + 2a] \right) \sin \left( \frac{n_2 \pi}{N} [m_1 + 2a] \right) d^a \]

\[= I(n_1) + I(n_2) + \frac{\gamma \tau}{2} \cdot 16A(n_1) A(n_2) \left[ \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N} (m_1 \pi + a)}}{4 \sin \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} \right) \sin \left( \frac{n_2 \pi}{N} \right)} \right] + H.c. \]

\[\times \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m_1=1}^{N} \sin \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} m_1 \right) \sin \left( \frac{n_2 \pi}{N} m_1 \right) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{n_1 - n_2 \pi}{N} [m_1 + 2a] \right) - \cos \left( \frac{n_1 + n_2 \pi}{N} [m_1 + 2a] \right) \right] d^a \]

\[= I(n_1) + I(n_2) + 2\gamma \tau \frac{A(n_1) A(n_2)}{\sin \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} \right) \sin \left( \frac{n_2 \pi}{N} \right)} \cos \left( \frac{2[n_1 - n_2 \pi]}{N \tau} \right) \]

\[\times \sum_{m_1=1}^{N} \sin \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} m_1 \right) \sin \left( \frac{n_2 \pi}{N} m_1 \right) \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{n_1 - n_2 \pi}{N} [m_1 + 2a] \right) - \cos \left( \frac{n_1 + n_2 \pi}{N} [m_1 + 2a] \right) \right] d^a \]

\[= I(n_1) + I(n_2) + 2\gamma \tau \frac{A(n_1) A(n_2)}{\sin \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} \right) \sin \left( \frac{n_2 \pi}{N} \right)} \cos \left( \frac{2[n_1 - n_2 \pi]}{N \tau} \right) \]

\[\times \sum_{m_1=1}^{N} \sin \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} m_1 \right) \sin \left( \frac{n_2 \pi}{N} m_1 \right) \left[ \frac{N}{(n_1 + n_2 \pi)} \sin \left( \frac{n_1 - n_2 \pi}{N} \right) \cos \left( \frac{n_1 - n_2 \pi}{N} \right) \right] \]

\[= \frac{N}{(n_1 + n_2 \pi)} \sin \left( \frac{n_1 + n_2 \pi}{N} \right) \cos \left( \frac{n_1 + n_2 \pi}{N} \right) \]

\[= I(n_1) + I(n_2) + 2\gamma \tau \frac{A(n_1) A(n_2)}{\sin \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} \right) \sin \left( \frac{n_2 \pi}{N} \right)} \cos \left( \frac{2[n_1 - n_2 \pi]}{N \tau} \right) \]

\[\times \sum_{m_1=1}^{N} \sin \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{N} m_1 \right) \sin \left( \frac{n_2 \pi}{N} m_1 \right) \left[ \frac{N}{(n_1 + n_2 \pi)} \sin \left( \frac{n_1 - n_2 \pi}{N} \right) \cos \left( \frac{n_1 - n_2 \pi}{N} \right) \right] \]

\[= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m_1=1}^{N} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{n_1 - n_2 \pi}{N} [m_1 + 2a] \right) - \cos \left( \frac{n_1 + n_2 \pi}{N} [m_1 + 2a] \right) \right] \]
In the second step, we again used the condition in Eq. (12) in the main text. The final result is which is exactly Eq. (15) in the main text.

\[
I(n_1, n_2) = I(n_1) + I(n_2) - 2A(n_1)A(n_2) \left[ 1 + \frac{(n_1 - n_2) \sin \left( \frac{n_1 + n_2}{2} \pi \right)}{(n_1 + n_2) \sin \left( \frac{n_1}{2} \pi \right)} \right] \cos \left( \frac{2(n_1 - n_2) \pi}{N \tau} \right).
\]

(F2)

For the two dark modes \( \Omega_{n_1} = 2n_1 \pi/(N \tau) \) and \( \Omega_{n_2} = 2n_2 \pi/(N \tau) \), we have

\[
\Omega_{n_1} + \Omega_{n_2} = \frac{2(n_1 + n_2) \pi}{N \tau} = \Omega_n.
\]

(F3)

In the second step, we again used the condition in Eq. (12) in the main text. The final result is

\[
I(n_1, n_2) = I(n_1) + I(n_2) - 4A(n_1)A(n_2) \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_{n_1} + \Omega_{n_2}} \cos \left( \left( \Omega_{n_1} - \Omega_{n_2} \right) t \right).
\]

(F4)

which is exactly Eq. (15) in the main text.
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