GENERIC AND COMPREHENSIVE STANDARD BASES

ROUCHDI BAIIOUl

Abstract. Parametric Gröbner bases have been studied for more than 15 years and are now a further developed subject. Here we propose a general study of parametric standard bases, that is with local orders. We mainly focus on the commutative case but we also treat the case of differential operators rings. We will be concerned by two aspects: a theoretical aspect with existence theorems and a practical aspect devoted to how we can explicitly compute such objects when the given data are algebraic. We believe that parametric standard bases are important for both aspects. From a theoretical point of view, they constitute a strong tool for proving constructive results. From a practical one, they provide a tool for studying explicitly local objects associated with parametric algebraic ideals.

Introduction

Parametric Gröbner bases have more than 15 years old and have been studied or used by several authors: P. Gianni [Gi89], M. Lejeune-Jalabert and A. Philippe [LePh89], D. Bayer et al. [BGS93], A. Assi [As94], T. Becker [Be94], M. Kalkbrener [Ka97], E. Fortuna et al. [FGT01], A. Mones [Mo02], V. Weispfenning [We92] for the notion of comprehensive Gröbner basis and more recently [We03] with a canonical treatment; see also an alternative approach by T. Sato and A. Suzuki [SaSu03], etc.

All these constructions take place in polynomial rings with well-orderings. In 1997, T. Oaku [Oa97] used parametric Gröbner bases in rings of algebraic differential operators. This work inspired A. Leykin [Le01] and U. Walther [Wa03]. Again, these constructions concerned well-orderings.

Now, concerning the local situation, in a recent paper A. Frühbis-Krüger [Fr04] made use of a parametric approach to standard bases for the study of families of singularities. However, it seems that there does not exist any general study concerning standard bases with parameters.

The motivation of this paper is a natural question. We know how to construct parametric Gröbner bases for ideals in \( k[a, x] = k[a_1, \ldots, a_m, x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) (we see \( x \) as the main variables and \( a \) as a system of parameters and \( k \) is a field) and how to study their behaviour when we specialize the parameter \( a = c \) with \( c \in k^m \). What can we say about an ideal in \( \mathbb{C}\{a, x\} \) when we specialize the variable \( a = c \) with \( c \in \mathbb{C}^m \) in a neighbourhood of 0? Moreover for an ideal in \( k[a, x] \) and a local (or arbitrary) order on the monomials in \( x \), can we make explicit calculations?

These are natural questions, the first one being theoretical (in the sense that we cannot have finite algorithms) and the second one being practical.

1Throughout the paper, the symbol \( k \) denotes a field
The second question arises for example if we want to study the behaviour of the germ at 0 of the variety $V(I_{a=c}) \subset k^n$ when $c$ runs over $k^n$ for $I \subset k[x,a]$. The purpose of this article is to answer these two questions. We will also treat the case of rings of differential operators. However the proofs being the same, we felt it would be more convenient to the reader to give the statements and the proofs in the commutative case and separately to give only the statements in the non commutative case.

In order to motivate the reading, let us examine a trivial but instructive example.

**Example 1.** Let $f = f(a, x_1, x_2) = ax_2 - x_1x_2 + x_1 \in k[a][x_1, x_2]$. Let $\prec$ be a local order on the terms $x_1^i x_2^j$ such that the leading term of $f$ is $x_2$ (here $a$ is one parameter). Let $I = k[a][x_1, x_2] \cdot f$ and $\hat{I} = k[a][x_1, x_2] \cdot f$. In this trivial situation, $f(c, x_1, x_2)$ is a standard basis of $I_{a=c}$ and $\hat{I}_{a=c}$ for any $c \in k$ where the leading term is $x_2$ for any $c \neq 0$ and is $x_1$ for $c = 0$. However, this standard basis is not reduced. Let us (formally) reduce $f$ as if $a$ were a constant. Rigorously, we work in $\text{Frac}(k[a])[x_1, x_2]$ where $\text{Frac}$ denotes the fraction field. The reduction of $f$ is

$$x_2 + x_1/a + x_2^3/a^2 + x_3/a^3 + \cdots$$

As we can see, this is neither in the ring $k[a][x_1, x_2]$ nor in $k[a][x_1, x_2]$ but in $k[a][a^{-1}][x_1, x_2]$, that is power series with coefficients in the localization of $k[a]$ with respect to $a$, which can be seen as a subring of $\text{Frac}(k[a])[x_1, x_2]$. In order to justify the construction adopted in this paper, let us make a remark: as we saw here, if we don’t ask our “generic standard basis” (this term shall be defined later) to be reduced then it can be chosen in the ring where the given generators are (this fact is general as shall be proved in section 2) but if we want to construct a “generic reduced standard basis” then we will have to work in some localization $C[h^{-1}][x]$ with $h \in C$ where $C$ is the ring of parameters, here above we had $C = k[a]$. Therefore, the natural environment in which our objects are is the ring $\text{Frac}(C)[x]$.

Moreover, let us say that this necessity of localizing which (as been said) occurs when we need reduced generic standard bases, shall be the main difference with the global case (that is the case of polynomial rings with global orders).

Let us give the contents of the paper. In the first section, we give some recalls without the proofs. This concerns division theorems and standard bases in $k[[x]]$ and $C\{x\}$. We will introduce the notion of truncated division (it appeared after discussing with A. Assi that this notion has been already introduced in $\text{As91}$).

Section 2 constitutes the heart of the paper with the notion of generic standard basis and generic reduced standard basis (for short gen.s.b and gen.red.s.b).

In section 3, we show how we can in an algorithmic way construct a gen.s.b if we start with an ideal in $k[a, x]$. Let us point out the fact that in this section, we shall work with an arbitrary order (not necessarily a local order).
Section 4 contains direct applications. The first one is the existence of a comprehensive standard basis for an ideal in \( \mathbb{C}\{a, x\} \) by using that of a gen.s.b. The second application gives an example of a possible use of gen.s.b: it concerns the Hilbert-Samuel polynomial of the germ of variety associated with an ideal in \( \mathbb{C}\{a, x\} \).

In Section 5, we extend our result to ideals in rings of differential operators. We also treat the homogenized version. As said, proofs are not given since they are the same as in the commutative case.

This paper has been announced in \[\text{Ba04a}\] (here some changes have been made with more unified definitions). A preliminary work was the preprint \[\text{Ba03}\] where we applied gen.red.s.b to study the local Gröbner fan of an analytic ideal in rings of differential operators. We plan to apply this work to a “parametric” study of differential systems.

In order to keep a reasonable size for the present paper, we restricted ourselves to direct applications or illustrations. However, a more substantial use of generic standard bases (in rings of differential operators) is made in \[\text{Ba04b}\] where we study the local Bernstein-Sato polynomial associated with a deformation of a singularity.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank A. Assi, M. Granger and N. Takayama. Thanks to their advise, remarks or questions, my work on parametric standard bases has been considerably improved. Thanks go to O. M. Abderrahmane for pointing out to me the reference \[\text{Br88}\]. This work is made under the support of the FY2003 JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowship.

1. Recalls on divisions and standard bases

In the following subsections, we will give some recalls. For the proofs, the reader can refer to \[\text{CaGr04}\]. We also introduce truncated divisions as in \[\text{Assi, As91}\], they will play an important role in the sequel.

1.1. Division theorem. Let \( \prec \) be an order on the terms \( x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \). If it is compatible with products, we say it is a monomial order. An order \( \prec \) is a well order if any set of monomials have a minimum. A monomial order is a well order if and only if: \( x^\alpha \succeq 1 \), for any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \) (we also say ‘global order’). On the opposite side, a local order is a monomial order such that \( x^\alpha \preceq 1 \), \( \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \). Note that we will denote by the same symbol \( \prec \) the order induced on \( \mathbb{N}^n \).

Let \( \mathcal{R} \) be one of the following: \( \mathbb{k}[x], \mathbb{C}\{x\}, \mathbb{k}[x] \). Let \( \prec \) be a global order in the first case, otherwise it is a local order. Let \( f \) be non zero in \( \mathcal{R} \). It has a unique writing as \( f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_\alpha x^\alpha \) where \( c_\alpha \) is in \( \mathbb{k} \) or \( \mathbb{C} \) and this sum is finite if \( f \in \mathbb{k}[x] \).

Define the Newton diagram \( \mathcal{N}(f) \) of \( f \) as the set of \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \) such that \( c_\alpha \neq 0 \). Define the leading exponent of \( f \) (w.r.t. \( \prec \)) as \( \exp_\prec(f) = \max_\prec \mathcal{N}(f) \). If there is no confusion, we omit the subscript \( \prec \). We then define the leading term, leading coefficient and leading monomial of \( f \) as: \( \text{lt}(f) = x^{\exp(f)}, \text{lc}(f) = c_{\exp(f)}, \text{lm}(f) = \text{lc}(f)\text{lt}(f) \).
Let us now recall the division theorem with unique quotients and remainder as in \cite{CaGr04}. For \( e_1, \ldots, e_r \) in \( \mathbb{N}^n \), consider the following partition of \( \mathbb{N}^n \).

- \( \Delta_1 = e_1 + \mathbb{N}^n \) and for \( j = 2, \ldots, r \), \( \Delta_j = (e_j + \mathbb{N}^n) \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_{j-1}) \).
- \( \Delta = \mathbb{N}^n \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_r) \).

**Theorem 1.1.1** (\cite{CaGr04}). Let \( g_1, \ldots, g_r \) be non zero elements in \( \mathcal{R} \) and consider the partition associated with the \( \exp(g_j) \). For any \( f \in \mathcal{R} \), there exists a unique \((q_1, \ldots, q_r, R) \in \mathcal{R}^{r+1} \) such that:

(i) \( f = q_1g_1 + \cdots + q_rg_r + R \)

(ii) for any \( j \), \( q_j = 0 \) or \( N(q_j) + \exp(g_j) \subset \Delta_j \)

(iii) \( R = 0 \) or \( N(R) \subset \Delta \).

\( R \) is called the remainder of the division of \( f \) by \( g_1, \ldots, g_r \) (w.r.t. \( \prec \)).

As a consequence, we have:

\[
\exp(f) = \max \{ \exp(q_1g_1), \ldots, \exp(q_rg_r), \exp(R) \} \text{ and } \exp(R) \in \bar{\Delta}.
\]

For the proof in the global case, see (\cite{CaGr04}, Th. 1.5.1). Suppose \( \prec \) is local and let us show how we can recover this theorem from \cite{CaGr04}. In (\cite{CaGr04}, Th. 1.5.1) the authors proved the same result but for an order \( \prec_w \) defined in this way: they fix a weight vector \( w \in \mathbb{R}^n \) with \( w_i \leq 0 \) and a well order \( \prec_0 \) and they define \( \prec_w \) in a lexicographical way by \( w \) and the inverse of \( \prec_0 \). By Robbiano’s theorem \cite{Ro85}, it is easy to see that our order \( \prec \) is of this form. Thus we are under the hypothesis of (\cite{CaGr04}, Th. 1.5.1).

Let us recall the main steps of the proof of the theorem, this will be useful in the sequel.

- Put \((f^{(0)}, q_1^{(0)}, \ldots, q_r^{(0)}, R^{(0)}) = (f, 0, \ldots, 0)\).
- For \( i \geq 0 \), if \( f^{(i)} = 0 \) then put \((f^{(i+1)}, q_1^{(i+1)}, \ldots, q_r^{(i+1)}, R^{(i+1)}) = (f^{(i)}, q_1^{(i)}, \ldots, q_r^{(i)}, R^{(i)})\).
- If \( \exp(f^{(i)}) \in \bar{\Delta} \) then \((f^{(i+1)}, q_1^{(i+1)}, \ldots, q_r^{(i+1)}, R^{(i+1)}) = (f^{(i)} - \text{lm}(f^{(i)}), q_1^{(i)}, \ldots, q_r^{(i)}, R^{(i)} + \text{lm}(f^{(i)})\).
- If not, then let \( j = \min \{ k \in \{ 1, \ldots, r \}, \exp(f^{(i)}) \in \Delta_k \} \) and put \( f^{(i+1)} = f^{(i)} - \frac{\text{lc}(f^{(i)})}{\text{lc}(g_j)} \cdot x^{\exp(f^{(i)}) - \exp(g_j)} \cdot g_j \), \( q_j^{(i+1)} = q_j^{(i)} + \frac{\text{lc}(f^{(i)})}{\text{lc}(g_j)} \cdot x^{\exp(f^{(i)}) - \exp(g_j)}, \) for \( l \neq j \), \( q_l^{(i+1)} = q_l^{(i)} \) and \( R^{(i+1)} = R^{(i)} \).

In this construction, we have \((r + 2)\) sequences \( f^{(i)}, q_1^{(i)}, \ldots, q_r^{(i)} \) and \( R^{(i)} \) with \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) satisfying \( f = \sum q_j^{(i)}g_j + R^{(i)} + f^{(i)} \). The first step consists in showing that these sequences converge in \( k[[x]] \) for the \((x_1, \ldots, x_n)\)-adic topology (in particular, the limit of \( f^{(i)} \) is zero). The second step which is much harder is to prove that if the inputs are in \( \mathbb{C} \{ x \} \) then so are the outputs.

As an easy consequence, we have:

**Lemma 1.1.2.** Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be a commutative integral ring and \( \mathcal{F} \) a field containing \( \mathcal{C} \). Let \( f, g_1, \ldots, g_r \) be in \( \mathcal{C}[[x]] \). Let us consider the division of \( f \) by the \( g_j \)'s in \( \mathcal{F}[[x]] \) w.r.t. \( \prec \): \( f = \sum q_jg_j + R \). Then the coefficients of \( R \) and of the
$q_j$'s have the following form:
\[
\prod_{j=1}^{c} \text{lc}(g_j)^{d_j} \quad \text{where} \quad c \in \mathbb{C}, \ d_j \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Now let us end this subsection with the notion of truncated division.

**Definition 1.1.3.** Given $f, g_1, \ldots, g_r \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $f = \sum_j q_j g_j + R$ be the division as in the theorem above. We define the truncated division of $f$ by $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ to be:

1. if $R = 0$: $f = \sum_j q_j g_j$.
2. if $R \neq 0$: $f = \sum_j q_j^{(i_0)} g_j + f^{(i_0)}$ where $i_0$ is the minimal $i$ such that $\exp(f^{(i)}) \in \Delta$.

We call $f^{(i_0)}$ the remainder of the truncated division of $f$ by the $g_j$.

**Remark 1.1.4.**
(1) For this division, properties (ii) and (iii) of the division theorem are not satisfied in general, however, the relation (1) is satisfied.
(2) In the second case of the definition, we have $q_j^{(i_0)} \in k[[x]]$ thus $f^{(i_0)} \in f + \sum_j k[[x]] \cdot g_j$.

1.2. **Standard bases.** Here again, for the proofs, we refer to [CaGr04].

We still denote by $\mathcal{R}$ one of the rings $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$, $k[[x]]$. Let $J$ be an ideal in $\mathcal{R}$ and consider the set of leading exponents of $J$ (w.r.t. $\prec$):
\[
\text{Exp}(J) = \{ \exp(f) ; f \in J \setminus \{0\} \}.
\]
This is a subset of $\mathbb{N}^n$ stable by sums, thus by the usual Dickson lemma, we have:

**Definition 1.2.1.** There exists $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_r\} \subset J$ such that
\[
\text{Exp}(J) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} (\exp(g_j) + \mathbb{N}^n).
\]
Such a set $G$ is called a standard basis of $J$ (w.r.t. $\prec$).

As a consequence of the division theorem, the following holds.

**Proposition 1.2.2** ([CaGr04], Cor. 1.5.4). Let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_r\} \subset J$, these two statements are equivalent:

1. For any $f \in J$, the remainder of the division of $f$ by $G$ is zero.
2. The set $G$ is a standard basis of $J$.

Now we define the $S$-function of $f$ and $g$ in $\mathcal{R}$ as $S(f, g) = \text{lc}(g)m f - \text{lc}(f)m'g$ where $m = m_0/\text{lt}(f)$, $m' = m_0/\text{lt}(g)$ and $m_0 = \text{lcm}(\text{lt}(f), \text{lt}(g))$.

As in the polynomial case with well orders [Bu70], we have a Buchberger type criterion:

**Proposition 1.2.3** ([CaGr04], Prop. 1.6.2). Let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_r\}$ be a set of generators of an ideal $J \subset \mathcal{R}$. Then $G$ is a standard basis of $J$ if and only if: for any $j, j'$, the remainder of the division of $S(g_j, g_{j'})$ by $G$ is zero.
As a consequence, one can construct a standard basis by using the Buchberger algorithm \cite{Bu70}, which consists, starting from a set of generators \(G_0\), in adding all the non-zero remainders of the division of \(S(g,g')\) by \(G_0\), with \(g, g' \in G_0\) and, calling \(G_1\) this new set, continuing the same process with \(G_1\), etc. If we denote \(E(G) = \bigcup_g (\exp(g) + \mathbb{N}^n)\) then the termination of the algorithm is assured by the fact that \(E(G_0) \subset E(G_1) \subset \cdots\) and by noetherianity of \(\mathbb{N}^n\) and finally by Prop. \ref{prop2.3}.

**Remark 1.2.4** (truncations). In Buchberger algorithm, we can use truncated divisions as well. The process will stop for the same reasons.

By using truncated divisions in Buchberger algorithm we have the following easy consequence (see Remark \ref{remark1.4}):

**Lemma 1.2.5.** Let \(\mathcal{R}_0\) be a subring of \(k[[x]]\) such that \(k[x] \subset \mathcal{R}_0\). Let \(f_1, \ldots, f_q \in \mathcal{R}_0\). Let \(I\) (resp. \(\hat{I}\)) be the ideal of \(\mathcal{R}_0\) (resp. of \(k[[x]]\)) generated by the \(f_j\) then there exists a standard basis \(G\) of \(\hat{I}\) in

\[
k[x] \cdot f_1 + \cdots + k[x] \cdot f_q.
\]

In particular, \(G\) is in \(I\).

Let us end these preliminaries with the notion of reduced standard basis.

**Definition 1.2.6.** A standard basis \(G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_r\}\) of \(J \subset \mathcal{R}\) is said to be

- **minimal** if for any \(F \subset \mathbb{N}^n\), we have:
  \[
  \text{Exp}_{\leq 2}(J) = \bigcup_{e \in F} (e + \mathbb{N}^{2n+1}) \Rightarrow \{\exp(g_1), \ldots, \exp(g_r)\} \subset F.
  \]

- **reduced** if it is minimal and if for any \(j = 1, \ldots, r\), \(\text{lc}(g_j) = 1\) and \((\mathcal{N}(g_j) \setminus \exp(g_j)) \subset (\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \text{Exp}(J))\).

**Lemma 1.2.7.** Given an ideal \(J \subset \mathcal{R}\) and a local order \(\prec\), a reduced standard basis exists and is unique.

**Proof.** The unicity is left to the reader. Let us sketch the existence. Let \(G_0\) be any standard basis. By removing unnecessary elements we may assume \(G_0\) to be minimal. Set \(G_0 = \{g_j; 1 \leq j \leq r\}\). For any \(j\), divide \(g_j - \text{lm}(g_j)\) by \(G_0\) and denote by \(r_j\) the remainder. The set \(\{(\text{lm}(g_j) + r_j)/\text{lc}(g_j); 1 \leq j \leq r\}\) is then the reduced standard basis of \(J\).

2. **Generic standard bases**

Let \(\mathcal{C}\) be a commutative integral unitary ring for which we denote by \(\mathcal{F}\) the fraction field, by Spec(\(\mathcal{C}\)) the spectrum and by Specm(\(\mathcal{C}\)) the maximal spectrum. For any ideal \(\mathcal{I}\) in \(\mathcal{C}\), we denote by \(V(\mathcal{I}) = \{\mathcal{P} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}); \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}\}\) the zero set defined by \(\mathcal{I}\).

For any \(\mathcal{P}\) in Spec(\(\mathcal{C}\)) and \(c\) in \(\mathcal{C}\), denote by \([c]_{\mathcal{P}}\) the class of \(c\) in \(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{P}\) and by \((c)_{\mathcal{P}}\) this class viewed in the fraction field \(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{P})\). The element \((c)_{\mathcal{P}}\) is called the **specialization** of \(c\) into \(\mathcal{P}\).

We naturally extend these notations to elements in \(\mathcal{C}[[x]]\) and we extend \((\cdot)_{\mathcal{P}}\) to elements of \(\mathcal{F}[[x]]\) for which the denominators of the coefficients are in \(\mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{P}\), i.e. \(\mathcal{C}(x)_{\mathcal{P}}\) where \(\mathcal{C}(x)_{\mathcal{P}}\) is the localization w.r.t. \(\mathcal{P}\).

Now, given an ideal \(J \subset \mathcal{C}[[x]]\), we define the specialization \((J)_{\mathcal{P}}\) of \(J\) into \(\mathcal{P}\) as the ideal of \(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})[[x]]\) generated by all the \((f)_{\mathcal{P}}\) with \(f \in J\).
2.1. Generic standard basis on an irreducible affine scheme.

Fix a prime ideal $\mathcal{Q}$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Let us start with some notations. We denote by $\mathcal{Q}[x]$ the ideal of $\mathcal{C}[x]$ made of elements with all their coefficients in $\mathcal{Q}$. For $h \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}[h^{-1}]$ the localization of $\mathcal{C}$ w.r.t. $h$. The ring $\mathcal{C}[h^{-1}][x]$ shall be seen as the subring of $\mathcal{F}[x]$ made of elements with coefficients $\mathcal{Q}$ such that $c'$ is a power of $h$. In the latter, if all the $c$ are in $\mathcal{Q}$, we obtain an ideal denoted by $\mathcal{Q}[h^{-1}][x]$. Finally, $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$ denotes the ideal of $\mathcal{F}[x]$ made of elements with coefficients $\mathcal{Q}$ such that $c \in \mathcal{Q}$. Remark that the latter is a priori different from $\mathcal{F}[x] \mathcal{Q}$ since we don’t suppose $\mathcal{C}$ to be noetherian.

Now for an element $f$ in $\mathcal{C}[x] \setminus \mathcal{Q}[x]$ or more generally in $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{Q}[x] \setminus \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$, let us write $f = \sum_{\alpha} c_\alpha x^\alpha$ with $c_\alpha \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$. Then denote by $\exp_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(f)$ the maximum (w.r.t. $\prec$) of the $x^\alpha$ such that $c_\alpha \not\in \mathcal{Q}$. This is the leading exponent of $f$ modulo $\mathcal{Q}$. In the same way, we define the leading term $\text{lt}_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(f)$, leading coefficient $\text{lc}_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(f)$ and leading monomial $\text{lm}_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(f)$ modulo $\mathcal{Q}$.

If $f, g \in \mathcal{F}[x]$ then $\exp_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(fg) = \exp_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(f) + \exp_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(g)$ as for the usual leading exponent. However, there are some differences with the usual situation, for example the leading coefficient $\text{mod}\mathcal{Q}$ of $fg$ is not equal to the product of that of them. They are equal only modulo $\mathcal{Q}$ so we will have to be careful.

Now for an ideal $J \subset \mathcal{C}[x] \setminus \mathcal{Q}[x]$, we define: $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(J) = \{ \exp_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(f) \mid f \in J \}$. This is a subset of $\mathbb{N}^n$ which is stable by sums. Thus by Dickson lemma:

$$(2) \quad \exists \{g_1, \ldots, g_r\} \subset J \text{ such that } \text{Exp}_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(J) = \bigcup_j (\exp_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(g_j) + \mathbb{N}^n).$$

This shall be a generic standard basis of $J$ on $V(\mathcal{Q})$. However, this is not the definition we will adopt. In fact in the next paragraph we will define the notion of generic reduced standard basis and it will not be in the ring $\mathcal{C}[x]$ so we need a more general definition:

**Definition 2.1.1.** A generic standard basis (gen.s.b for short) of $J$ on $V(\mathcal{Q})$ is a couple $(\mathcal{G}, h)$ where

(a) $h \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$,
(b) $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite set in the ideal $\mathcal{C}[h^{-1}][x] \cdot J$ and for any $g \in \mathcal{G}$ the numerator of $\text{lc}_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(g)$ divides $h$,
(c) $\text{Exp}_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(J) = \bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left( \exp_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(g) + \mathbb{N}^n \right)$.

Above in (2), $\{g_1, \ldots, g_r\}, \prod_j \text{lc}_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(g_j)$ is a gen.s.b of $J$ on $V(\mathcal{Q})$. Remark that another way to state (b) is: For any $\mathcal{P} \in V(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus V(h)$, the specialization $(g)_\mathcal{P}$ is well defined and belongs to $(J)_\mathcal{P}$ and $\exp((g)_\mathcal{P})$ is equal to $\exp_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(g)$. Remark that $V(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus V(h)$ is non empty since $h \not\in \mathcal{Q}$.

**Proposition 2.1.2** (Division modulo $\mathcal{Q}$). Let $h \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ be in $\mathcal{C}[h^{-1}][x] \setminus \mathcal{Q}[h^{-1}][x]$ such that each $\text{lc}(g_j)$ divides $h$. Let $\Delta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Delta_r \cup \Delta$ be the partition of $\mathbb{N}^n$ associated with the $\exp_{\mathcal{mod}\mathcal{Q}}(g_j)$. Then for any $f$ in $\mathcal{C}[h^{-1}][x]$, there exist $q_1, \ldots, q_r, R, T \in \mathcal{F}[x]$ such that
(o) \( f = \sum_j q_j g_j + R + T, \)

(i) \( \mathcal{N}(q_j) + \exp_{\text{mod}}(g_j) \subset \Delta_j \) if \( q_j \neq 0, \)

(ii) \( \mathcal{N}(R) \subset \Delta, \)

(iii) the \( q_j \) and \( R \) are in \( \mathcal{C}[h^{-1}][[x]] \) and \( T \) is in \( \mathcal{Q}[h^{-1}][[x]]. \)

Moreover, \( (q_1, \ldots, q_r, R) \) is unique modulo \( \mathcal{Q}[h^{-1}][[x]]. \)

Proof. Write \( g_j = g_j^{(1)} - g_j^{(2)} \) with \( g_j^{(1)} \in \langle Q \rangle \) and \( \exp(g_j^{(1)}) = \exp_{\text{mod}}(g_j) \) then divide \( f \) by the \( g_j^{(1)} \)’s in \( \mathcal{F}[[x]] \) as in theorem 1.1.1. \( f = \sum_j q_j g_j^{(1)} + R. \)

We have, \( f = \sum_j q_j g_j + R + T \) with \( T = \sum_j q_j g_j^{(2)}. \) Conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied by theorem 1.1.1. The third one is a direct consequence of lemma 1.2.2. Let us prove the last statement. Since the division takes place in \( \mathcal{C}[h^{-1}][[x]], \) we can specialize into \( \mathcal{Q}: (f)_\mathcal{Q} = \sum_j (q_j)_\mathcal{Q}(g_j)_\mathcal{Q}+(R)_\mathcal{Q}. \) Statements (i) and (ii) become \( \mathcal{N}((q_j)_\mathcal{Q}) + \exp_{\text{mod}}(g_j)_\mathcal{Q} \subset \Delta_j \) and \( \mathcal{N}((R)_\mathcal{Q}) \subset \Delta. \)

Since \( \exp_{\text{mod}}(g_j) = \exp((g_j)_\mathcal{Q}), \) the latter is exactly the result of the division of \( (f)_\mathcal{Q} \) by the \( (g_j)_\mathcal{Q} \) in \( \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{Q})[[x]] \) but in this division the quotient is unique and the remainder are unique. This implies our desired statement. \( \square \)

Corollary 2.1.3. Retain the hypotheses and the notations of the previous proposition. For any \( P \in V(\mathcal{Q}) \smallsetminus V(h), \) the division of \( (f)_P \) by the \( (g_j)_\mathcal{P} \) is \( (f)_P = \sum_j (q_j)_\mathcal{P}(g_j)_\mathcal{P}+(R)_\mathcal{P}. \)

The proof is left to the reader (it uses the same arguments as above). Now, here is a result similar to proposition 1.2.2.

Proposition 2.1.4. Let \( (\mathcal{G}, h) \) satisfying condition (a) and (b) of definition 2.1.1. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. Condition (c) of definition 2.1.1 is satisfied.
2. For any \( f \in J, \) the remainder \( R \) of the division modulo \( \mathcal{Q} \) of \( f \) by \( \mathcal{G} \) is 0 (modulo \( \mathcal{Q} \)).
3. The specialization \( (\mathcal{G})_\mathcal{Q} \) is a standard basis of \( (J)_\mathcal{Q}. \)

Proof. (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2): Let \( f \) be in \( J, \) set \( \mathcal{G} = \{ g_1, \ldots, g_r \} \) and take the notations of the previous theorem, so we make the (usual) division of \( f \) by the \( g_j^{(1)} \) and we want to prove that the remainder is zero. As we recalled in subsection 1.1, this gives rise to sequences \( q_j^{(i)}, f^{(i)} \) and \( R^{(i)} \) in \( \mathcal{F}[[x]] \) such that for any \( i, \)

\[
 f = \sum_j q_j^{(i)} g_j^{(i)} + R^{(i)} + f^{(i)}. 
\]

Let us prove by an induction on \( i \) that for any \( i \geq 0, \) the following holds:

\[
\begin{cases} 
(1, i) & h^l f^{(i)} \in J + \mathcal{Q}[x] \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{N} \\
(2, i) & R^{(i)} = 0. 
\end{cases}
\]

Those two statements are true for \( i = 0. \) Assume \( (1, i) \) is true then by hypothesis (1), \( \exp_{\text{mod}}(f^{(i)}) \in \exp_{\text{mod}}(g_j^{(1)}) + \mathbb{N}^n = \exp(g_j^{(1)}) + \mathbb{N}^n \) for some \( j \) thus \( R^{(i+1)} = R^{(i)} \) which is zero by (2, i), thus (2, \( i + 1 \)) is true. Therefore, \( f^{(i+1)} = f^{(i)} - \frac{\exp(f^{(i)})}{\exp(g_j^{(1)})} \cdot g_j^{(1)} \) which implies \( (1, i + 1) \). The induction is done. It follows that \( R = 0 \) and we are done.
Lemma 2.1.5. Let $R_0$ be a subring of $C[[x]]$ such that $C[x] \subset R_0$. Let $J$ be an ideal in $R_0$ and $\hat{J}$ be its extension in $C[[x]]$. Then there exists a gen.s.b $(\mathcal{G}, \prod_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \text{lcm}^\mathcal{Q}(g))$ of $\hat{J}$ on $V(\mathcal{Q})$ such that $\mathcal{G} \subset J$.

Proof. By definition and noetherianity of $(\hat{J})_{\mathcal{Q}}$, there exists $f_1, \ldots, f_q \in J$ such that the $(f_j)_{\mathcal{Q}}$ generates $(\hat{J})_{\mathcal{Q}}$. So by Lemma 1.2.5 there exists a standard basis $G$ of $(\hat{J})_{\mathcal{Q}}$ which is included in $\sum_j \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{Q})[x] (f_j)_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Therefore by multiplying each $g \in G$ by some element in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus (0)$, we may assume that each $g \in G$ is equal to some $(f)_{\mathcal{Q}}$ with $f \in J$. By lifting from $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{Q})$ to $\mathcal{F}$, we obtain a set $\mathcal{G} \subset J$ such that $(\mathcal{G})_{\mathcal{Q}} = G$ is a standard basis of $(\hat{J})_{\mathcal{Q}}$. If we define $h$ as the product of the leading coefficients mod $\mathcal{Q}$ of $g \in G$, then $(\mathcal{G}, h)$ satisfies statement (3) of Prop. 2.1.4. □

The main result concerning generic standard basis is the following.

Theorem 2.1.6. Let $(\mathcal{G}, h)$ be a gen.s.b of $J \subset C[[x]]$ on $V(\mathcal{Q})$. Then for any $\mathcal{P} \in V(\mathcal{Q}) \setminus V(h)$:

(i) $(\mathcal{G})_{\mathcal{P}} \subset (J)_{\mathcal{P}}$,

(ii) $\text{Exp}((J)_{\mathcal{P}}) = \bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} (\text{Exp}((g)_{\mathcal{P}}) + \mathbb{N}^n) = \text{Exp}^\mathcal{Q}(J)$.

In other words, $(\mathcal{G})_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a standard basis of $(J)_{\mathcal{P}}$ for a generic $\mathcal{P} \in V(\mathcal{Q})$ and $\text{Exp}((J)_{\mathcal{P}})$ is (generically) constant and equal to $\text{Exp}^\mathcal{Q}(J)$.

Proof. Statement (i) follows from 2.1.4 (b). Let us prove (ii). The second equality is straightforward. To prove the first one, we shall use the criterion involving the S-functions. Set $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_r\}$ and let $f$ be in $J$ then by statement (2) in the previous proposition, the remainder of the division modulo $\mathcal{Q}$ of $f$ by $\mathcal{G}$ is $0$: $f = \sum_j q_j g_j + T$ with $T \in (\mathcal{Q})$. Thus by corollary 2.1.5 $(f)_{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_j (g_j)_{\mathcal{P}} (q_j)_{\mathcal{P}}$. As a consequence, $(\mathcal{G})_{\mathcal{P}}$ generates $(J)_{\mathcal{P}}$ over $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})[[x]]$.

Now, let us fix $g$ and $g'$ in $\mathcal{G}$. Put $S = \text{lcm}^\mathcal{Q}(g') m g - \text{lcm}^\mathcal{Q}(g) m' g'$ where $m = m_0 / \mathcal{H}^\mathcal{Q}(g)$, $m' = m_0 / \mathcal{H}^\mathcal{Q}(g')$ and $m_0 = \text{lcm}(\mathcal{H}^\mathcal{Q}(g), \mathcal{H}^\mathcal{Q}(g'))$. Remark that for any $\mathcal{P} \in V(\mathcal{Q})$ such that $h \notin \mathcal{P}$, we have $(S)_{\mathcal{P}} = (g(\mathcal{P}), (g')_{\mathcal{P}})$. Consider the division modulo $\mathcal{Q}$ of $S$ by the $g_j$'s for which the remainder $R$ is zero: $S = \sum_{j=1}^r q_j g_j + T$. By corollary 2.1.6, the division of $(S)_{\mathcal{P}} = (g(\mathcal{P}), (g')_{\mathcal{P}})$ by $(\mathcal{G})_{\mathcal{P}}$ has a zero remainder. We conclude with proposition 1.2.3. □
2.2. Generic reduced standard bases. The next result shall concern the existence of the reduced generic standard basis on $V(Q)$ (in fact we shall see that it is unique “modulo $Q$”). The importance of reduced standard bases is well known. Reduced generic standard bases are also important. For example, they played a fundamental role in our study of parametric Gröbner fans $[Ba03]$. Moreover, generic reduced standard bases constitute the main difference between “global” and “local” situations. Indeed, if we study parametric Gröbner bases for ideals in $k[a][x]$ with a well-order $\prec$ then generic reduced Gröbner bases have denominators with bounded multiplicities but as we saw in Example $[$it is not the case when the order is local.

Let $J$ be an ideal in $\mathcal{C}[[x]]$ and $Q$ be a prime ideal in $\mathcal{C}$.

**Theorem 2.2.1** (Definition-Theorem).

- There exists a gen.s.b $(G,h)$ of $J$ on $V(Q)$ such that $(G)_Q$ is the reduced standard basis of $(J)_Q$. Such a $(G,h)$ is called a generic reduced standard basis (gen.red.s.b) of $J$ on $V(Q)$.
- If $(G,h)$ is a gen.red.s.b on $V(Q)$ then for any $P \in V(Q) \setminus V(h)$, $(G)_P$ is the reduced standard basis of $(J)_P$.

Such a gen.red.s.b is unique “modulo $(Q)$”. More precisely:

**Lemma 2.2.2.** Let $(G,h)$ and $(G',h')$ be two gen.red.s.b of $J$ on $V(Q)$ then

- their cardinality and the set of their leading exponents mod $Q$ are equal,
- if $g \in G$ and $g' \in G'$ satisfy $\exp_{mod Q}(g) = \exp_{mod Q}(g')$ then $g - g'$ belongs to $Q[hh'^{-1}][[x]]$.

**Proof.** The first statement is trivial by unicity of reduced standard bases. For the second one, we have $g - g' \in C[hh'^{-1}][[x]]$ and by the same argument of unicity, $(g)_Q - (g')_Q = 0$ thus $g - g' \in Q[hh'^{-1}][[x]]$. \hfill $\square$

**Proof of the Theorem.** For the first statement, let $(G_0,h)$ be any gen.s.b of $J$ on $V(Q)$. Set $G_0 = \{g_1, \ldots, g_r\}$. By removing the unnecessary elements, we may assume that it is minimal. For any $j$ we may assume $\exp_{mod Q}(g_j)$ to be unitary. For any $j$, let $r_j$ be the remainder mod $Q$ of the division modulo $Q$ of $g_j - \text{lm}_{mod Q}(g_j)$ by $G_0$. Set $G = \{\text{lm}_{mod Q}(g_j) + r_j | j = 1, \ldots, r\}$. It is easy to check that $(G,h)$ is a red.gen.s.b.

Let us prove the second statement. Let $(G,h)$ be a gen.red.s.b. First, we know that for any $P \in V(Q) \setminus V(h)$, $(G)_P$ is a standard basis of $(J)_P$. Moreover it is minimal since $\text{Exp}((J)_P) = \text{Exp}((J)_Q)$ and $\exp_{mod Q}(g) = \exp((g)_Q)$ for any $g \in G$. The latter also implies that it is unitary. It just remains to prove that it is reduced. But this follows from the fact that $(G)_Q$ is reduced and that for any $g \in G$, $N((g)_P) \subset N((g)_Q)$ (since $Q \subset P$). \hfill $\square$

3. Polynomial case: an algorithmic construction

Let us consider an ideal $J$ in $k[a][x]$ where the system of variables $a = (a^1, \ldots, a^n)$ is seen as a parameter, i.e. $\mathcal{C} = k[a]$. In this section, we fix $\prec$ to be a monomial order on the terms $x^\alpha$ but $\prec$ is taken arbitrary, i.e. we
don’t suppose it to be local. The goal of this section is, given a prime ideal \( \mathcal{Q} \) in \( k[a] \), to present a (finite) algorithm for computing a generic standard basis of \( J \) on \( V(\mathcal{Q}) \).

In order to make precise statements, let us give a definition of a \( \prec \)-standard basis for an ideal \( I \subset k[x] \).

**Definition 3.0.3.** A set \( G \subset I \) is called a \( \prec \)-standard basis of \( I \) if for any \( f \in I \), we have a standard representation: \( f = \sum_{g \in G} q_g g \) where \( q_g \in k[x] \) and either \( q_g = 0 \) or \( \exp_\prec(f) \geq \exp(q_g g) \).

In the literature we can find other (non equivalent) definitions depending on the situation. When \( \prec \) is a well order, this definition coincides with:

\[
G \subset I \text{ and } \text{Exp}_\prec(I) = \bigcup_{g \in G} (\exp_\prec(g) + \mathbb{N}^n).
\]

**Remark 3.0.4.**

- In general, definition \( \mathbb{B} \) only implies \( \mathbb{A} \).
- If \( \prec \) is local then it is well known that if \( G \) satisfies \( \mathbb{A} \) then it is a \( \prec \)-standard basis of \( \hat{I} = k[[x]]I \).

**Sketch of proof of the second statement.** By Robbiano’s theorem \( \prec \) is equivalent to some \( \prec_w \) with \( w \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\leq 0} \) (see the notations after Th. 1.1.1). By a small perturbation, we may assume \( w \) to have non zero coefficients. Then we can prove the following fact: for any \( g \in \hat{I} \), there exists \( f \in I \) such that \( \exp_{\prec_w}(g) = \exp_{\prec_w}(f) \) (by a truncation of \( g \)). This concludes the proof. \( \square \)

We shall separate the case when the order \( \prec \) is a well order from the case when it is not.

### 3.1. The order \( \prec \) is a well order

Let us fix any well order \( \prec_0 \) on the terms \( a^\gamma, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^m \). Let us define the order \( \prec \):

\[
x^\alpha a^\gamma < x^{\alpha'} a^{\gamma'} \iff \begin{cases} x^\alpha < x^{\alpha'} \\
\text{or equality and } a^\gamma <_0 a^{\gamma'}.
\end{cases}
\]

Note that this order is also a well order. So in the following we will use the usual theory of Gröbner bases in polynomial rings \( \mathbb{B}_n \mathbb{A}70 \) (see \( \mathbb{C}_n \mathbb{L}92 \)).

**Note.** For an element \( f \in k[a,x] \), we can consider two types of leading exponents (and of leading terms, coefficients, etc): \( \exp_\prec(f) \in \mathbb{N}^n \) and \( \exp_\prec(f) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+n} \). Thus we will have to be careful.

**Remark 3.1.1.** For any \( f \in k[a,x] \), \( \exp_\prec(f) = (\exp_\prec(f), \exp_\prec_0(\text{lc}_\prec(f))) \).

Let \( G \) be a minimal Gröbner basis of \( \bar{J} = J + k[a,x] \cdot \mathcal{Q} \) w.r.t. \( \prec \).

**Lemma 3.1.2.** For any \( g \in G \): \( \text{lc}_\prec(g) \in \mathcal{Q} \iff g \in \mathcal{Q} \).

**Proof.** The right-left implication is trivial. Let us prove the converse one. Write \( g = \text{lc}_\prec(g)x^\alpha + \cdots \) where \( \alpha = \exp_\prec(g) \). We have \( \exp_\prec(g) = \exp_\prec(\text{lc}_\prec(g)) + (\alpha,0) \) but since \( \text{lc}_\prec(g) \in \mathcal{Q} \subset \bar{J} \), we have \( \exp_\prec(\text{lc}_\prec(g)) \in \exp_\prec(g') + (\alpha',\gamma') \) for some \( g' \in G \) and \( (\alpha',\gamma') \in \mathbb{N}^{m+n} \). By minimality of \( G \), we must have \( (\alpha,0) = (\alpha',\gamma') = (0,0) \). Therefore \( g = \text{lc}_\prec(g) \). \( \square \)

The following proposition is probably “well known to specialists”.


Proposition 3.1.3. Take \( G = G \setminus Q \) and let \( h \) be the product of \( \text{lc}_<(g) \) for \( g \in G \) then for any \( \mathcal{P} \in V(Q) \setminus V(h) \), \((G)_{\mathcal{P}}\) is a \( < \)-Gröbner basis of \((J)_Q\).

In this proposition, \( G \) is not a gen.s.b strictly speaking since \( G \) is not necessarily in \( J \). So we have to (re)construct a gen.s.b from this \( G \): Let us denote by \( f_1, \ldots, f_q \) and \( c_1, \ldots, c_e \) the given generators of \( J \) and \( Q \) respectively. The Gröbner basis \( G \) is constructed by using Buchberger algorithm starting from \( \{f_1, \ldots, f_q, c_1, \ldots, c_e\} \). This calculation is based on division of \( S \)-polynomials. Now, if we keep all these divisions, it will be possible for any \( f \in G \) to write explicitly \( f = \sum_j u_{f,j} \cdot f_j + \sum_j u_{f,j} \cdot c_j \). As a consequence the set \( G' = \{\sum_j u_{f,j} \cdot f_j | f \in G\} \) coupled with the \( h \) of the theorem form the desired generic standard basis.

Proof of the Proposition. It is easy to see that for any \( f' \in (J)_P \), there exists \( f \in \bar{J} \) with \( \text{lc}_<(f) \notin Q \) such that \( \exp_<(f') = \exp_<(f) \). We have to prove that \( \exp_<(f) \in \exp_<(g) + N^m \) for some \( g \in G \). By construction \( < \) is an elimination order for the variables \( x_i \) thus \( G \cap k[a] \) is a \( <_0 \)-Gröbner basis of \( J \cap k[a] \). Let us treat two cases.

- \( Q \) is included in but different from \( \bar{J} \cap k[a] \): in this case, there exists \( g \in k[a] \setminus Q \) in \( G \) and the conclusion is trivial.

- \( Q = \bar{J} \cap k[a] \): In this case, \( G \cap Q = G \cap k[a] \) and this set is \( <_0 \)-Gröbner basis of \( Q \). Write \( f = \text{lc}_<(f) \cdot \ell_<(f) + f_0 \) and divide \( \text{lc}_<(f) \) by \( G \cap Q \) w.r.t. \( <_0 \). Let \( r \) be the remainder. It is not zero. Put \( f_1 = r \cdot \ell_<(f) + f_0 \). We have \( \exp_<(f) = \exp_<(f_1) \) and \( \text{lc}_<(f_1) = r \notin Q \). By construction \( f_1 \in \bar{J} \) so there exists \( g \in G \) such that \( \exp_<(f_1) \in \exp_<(g) + N^{m+\rho} \). By Remark 3.1.1 this implies \( \exp_<(f_1) \in \exp_<(g) + N^m \) and \( \exp_<(r) \in \exp_<(\text{lc}_<(g)) + N^m \). Suppose \( g \in Q \) then by the lemma above, \( g = \text{lc}_<(g) \in Q \) and \( \exp_<(r) \in \exp_<(g) + N^m \) but this is impossible since \( r \notin Q \). Thus \( g \) must belong to \( G \) and we are done.

3.2. The order \( < \) is not a well order. In this case, our method is based on a homogenization and a computation w.r.t. to a well order (as introduced by D. Lazard [La83]).

As above, let us fix any well order \( <_0 \) on the terms \( a^\gamma, \gamma \in N^m \). Let \( z \) be a new variable and let us define the orders \( <_z \) and \( <_z' \):

\[
x^\alpha z^k <_z x^{\alpha'} z^{k'} \iff \begin{cases} |\alpha| + k < |\alpha'| + k' \\
orality \text{ and } x^\alpha < x^{\alpha'}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
a^\gamma z^k <_{z'} a^\gamma' z^{k'} \iff \begin{cases} x^\alpha z^k <_z x^{\alpha'} z^{k'} \\
orality \text{ and } a^\gamma <_0 a^{\gamma'}
\end{cases}
\]

Here above, \( \alpha, \gamma, k \) are in \( N^+, N^m \) and \( N \) respectively. Note that these orderings are well orders.

For any ring \( A \) and any \( f \) in \( A[x] \), write \( f = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha x^\alpha \) and define the homogenization of \( f \) in \( A[x, z] \) as \( h(f) = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha x^\alpha z^{d-|\alpha|} \) where \( d \) is the total degree of \( f \) in the variables \( x_i \). More generally an element \( f \) of the form \( f = \sum_{\alpha,k} c_{\alpha,k} x^\alpha z^k \) with \( c_{\alpha,k} = 0 \) if \( |\alpha| + k \neq d \) is called homogeneous (of degree \( d \)).

Now let \( f_j, j = 1, \ldots, q \) be the given generators of \( J \subset k[a,x] \) and set \( h(J) \subset k[x,a,z] \) to be the ideal generated by the \( h(f_j) \).
Theorem 3.2.1. Let $G$ be a $\prec$-standard basis of $J' = h(J) + k[x, a, z] \cdot Q$ made of homogeneous elements. Then the set $\mathcal{G} = \{f_{h=1}; f \in G \setminus Q\}$ satisfies the following: Let $h$ be the product of the lc$_z(g)$, $g \in \mathcal{G}$, then there exists $h' \in k[a] \setminus Q$ such that for any $\mathcal{P} \in V(Q) \setminus V(hh')$, $(\mathcal{G})_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a $\prec$-standard basis of $(J)_{\mathcal{P}}$ following definition 3.0.3.

Remarks. (1) Since $J'$ is generated by homogeneous elements and Buchberger algorithm conserves homogeneity, it is always possible to construct $G$ made of homogeneous elements. (2) As in the previous subsection, $\mathcal{G}$ is not strictly speaking a gen.s.b and we can reconstruct a gen.s.b from $G$.

Lemma 3.2.2. Take $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Spec}(k[a])$ then

(a) $(J)_{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_{j=1}^q F(\mathcal{P})[x] \cdot (f_j)_{\mathcal{P}}$.

(b) There exists $h' \in k[a] \setminus Q$ such that if $h' \notin \mathcal{P}$ then $(h(J))_{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_{j=1}^q F(\mathcal{P})[x, z] \cdot h((f_j)_{\mathcal{P}})$.

Proof. Statement (a) is trivial, let us prove (b). For each $j$, let $c_j \in k[a]$ be a coefficient of some term of $f_j \in k[a][x]$ with maximal degree. Put $h' = \prod_j c_j$. For any $\mathcal{P} \notin V(h')$, the degree of $f_j$ is equal to that of $(f_j)_{\mathcal{P}}$ so $(h(f_j))_{\mathcal{P}} = h((f_j)_{\mathcal{P}})$ from which the statement follows. □

Lemma 3.2.3. For any $\mathcal{P} \in V(Q) \setminus V(hh')$, $(G \setminus Q)_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a $\prec$-standard basis of $\sum_{j=1}^q F(\mathcal{P})[x, z] \cdot h((f_j)_{\mathcal{P}})$ and is made of homogeneous elements.

Proof. By the previous lemma, since $\mathcal{P} \in V(Q) \setminus V(h')$, $(G)_{\mathcal{P}}$ generates the ideal in question. We conclude with the proposition above. □

The following lemma is a classical result.

Lemma 3.2.4. Let $I$ be an ideal in $k[x]$ generated by $f_1, \ldots, f_q$. Let $G$ be a homogeneous $\prec$-standard basis of the ideal $h(I)$ generated by $h(f_j)$, $j = 1, \ldots, q$. Then $G_{|z=1}$ is a $\prec$-standard basis of $I$ in the sense of definition 3.0.3.

Proof. Let $f \in I$. Write $f = \sum_{j} u_j f_j$. Homogenization implies that there exist $l, l_1, \ldots, l_q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z^l h(f) = \sum_{j} z^{l_j} h(u_j) h(f_j)$ so $z^l h(f)$ belongs to $h(I)$. By definition of $G$: $z^l h(f) = \sum_{j} q_j g_j$ where $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_r\}$ and $q_j \in k[x, z]$ and $\exp_{\prec}(f) \geq \exp_{\prec}(q_j g_j)$. By division, the $q_j$ are homogeneous. But for a homogeneous element $H \in k[x, z]$ we have $\pi(\exp_{\prec}(H)) = \exp_{\prec}(H_{|z=1})$ where $\pi(\alpha, k) = \alpha$. Thus specializing $z = 1$ gives the desired standard representation. □

Now the proof of Theorem 3.2.1 is a direct application of this lemma to our situation.

4. Illustration

As we said, in another paper [DaHo] we use generic standard bases for studying the local Bernstein polynomial for a deformation of a singularity. However, in order to keep a reasonable size to the present paper we shall restrict ourselves to two direct applications.
4.1. Comprehensive standard bases. Our goal here is not to give a general theory of comprehensive standard bases (which in the global case, were treated by V. Weispfenning in [We92], [We03]), we only intend to illustrate in a natural situation how we can use generic standard bases.

Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ and $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be polydisks centered at 0 and let $J$ be an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{A \times X}$ which denotes the ring of analytic functions on $A \times X$. For $a_0 \in A$, we denote by $J_{a_0} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ the ideal obtained by specializing $a = a_0$. This ideal can be identified with the specialization $(J)_{m_{a_0}}$ where $m_{a_0} \subset \mathcal{O}_A$ is the maximal ideal generated by the $a^i - a_0^i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

For $Y \subset A$, a subset $W \subset Y$ is locally closed if it is the difference of two (analytic) closed subsets of $Y$. $W$ is constructible if it is a finite union of locally closed subsets.

**Proposition 4.1.1.**

(i) There exists a finite partition $A = \bigcup_k W_k$ into analytic locally closed subsets of $A$ such that for any $k$, $\text{Exp}(J_{a_0})$ is constant for $a_0 \in W_k$.

(ii) There exists finite set $\mathcal{G} \subset J$ such that for any $a_0 \in A$, $\mathcal{G}_{a_0}$ is a standard basis of $J_{a_0}$.

**Proof.** We shall prove both statements at the same time. By induction on the dimension, let us prove that for any Zariski closed set $Y \subset A$, (i) and (ii) where we replace $A$ by $Y$ are true. If $\dim Y = 0$, $Y$ is a finite union of points so (i) is trivial and for (ii), one has to take a generic standard basis of $\mathcal{O}_A[[x]] \cdot J$ w.r.t the maximal ideals associated to each point. Thanks to lemma 2.1.3 it can be chosen in $J$.

Suppose $\dim Y \geq 1$. Write $Y = V(Q_1) \cup \cdots \cup V(Q_r)$ (here $V(Q_i)$ is the “usual” zero set in $A$) with $Q_i$ prime in $\mathcal{O}_A$. For each $Q_i$, let $(G_i, h_i)$ be a generic standard basis of the extension $\mathcal{O}_A[[x]] \cdot J$. It can be chosen in $J$. Now write $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ where $Y_1 = \bigcup_i (V(Q_i) \setminus V(h_i))$ and $Y_2 = \bigcup_i (V(Q_i) \cap V(h_i))$. Set $\mathcal{G}' = \bigcup_i \mathcal{G}_i$. For any $a_0$ in $Y_1$, $\mathcal{G}'_{a_0}$ is a standard basis of $J_{a_0}$. We have $\dim Y_2 < \dim Y$ so let us apply the induction hypothesis to $Y_2$: we obtain a finite set $\mathcal{G}'' \subset J$ such that for any $a_0 \in Y_2$, $\mathcal{G}''_{a_0} \subset J_{a_0}$ is a standard basis; we also obtain that $Y_2$ is a finite union of locally closed sets such that on each of them the map $a_0 \mapsto \text{Exp}(J_{a_0})$ is constant. Finally we set $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}' \cup \mathcal{G}''$ and we reorganize the writing of $Y$ in order to have a partition (recall that constructible sets are stable by intersection, finite union and complementation). □

**Remark 4.1.2.** Suppose the order $\prec$ is not necessarily local and let $I$ be an ideal in $k[a][x]$. Take the notations of the previous section. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a homogeneous comprehensive Gröbner basis of $h(I)$ for $\prec$ (with the definition of [We92]) then by lemma 3.2.4, $\mathcal{G}_{|z=1} \subset I$ is a comprehensive standard basis of $I$ for $\prec$.

4.2. Hilbert polynomial. Let $J$ be an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{A \times X}$ (we keep the notations above).

**Proposition 4.2.1.** The partition of $A$ given by the local Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{O}_X/J_{a_0}$ at $x = 0$ is constructible.

Given an analytic function $f \in \mathcal{O}_{A \times X}$ such that $f(0, a) = 0$ for any $a \in A$. Then applying this proposition to the ideal generated by the partial
derivatives \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \) will provide a constructible partition of \( A \) such that the Milnor number of \( f_{\alpha_0} \) is constant on each strata (this result can also be derived from the semi-continuity of the Milnor number: see [BrSS] when \( f \) is polynomial). For the definition of the local Hilbert polynomial, one can refer to [Ma89] and [GrPI02]. By an abuse of notations, we will identify \( J \) with its germ in \( \mathbb{C}\{a,x\} \) and \( J_\alpha \) with its germ in \( \mathbb{C}\{x\} \).

Denote by \( m \) the maximal ideal in \( \mathbb{C}\{x\} \). For an ideal \( I \) in \( \mathbb{C}\{x\} \), the (local) Hilbert-Samuel function of \( I \): \( HF_I: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \) is defined by \( HF_I(r) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}\{x\}/(I + m^{r+1})) \). For a set \( E \in \mathbb{N}^n \) such that \( E + \mathbb{N}^n = E \), we define its Hilbert-Samuel function \( HF_E: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \) as \( HF_E(r) = \text{card}\{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \wedge |\alpha| \leq r \} \).

There exists a rational polynomial \( HF_P \) (the local Hilbert polynomial) such that for \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) large enough \( HF_P(r) = HF_P(1) \).

**Lemma 4.2.2.** Let \( < \) be a local order such that: \( |\alpha| < |\alpha'| \Rightarrow x^\alpha > x'^\alpha \). Set \( E = \text{Exp}_{\alpha}(I) \) then \( HF_P = HF_E \).

The proof of this lemma is easy and left to the reader. Now the proposition follows easily from this lemma and Prop. 4.1.1.

5. Extension to differential operators rings

Given an ideal \( J \) in \( \mathcal{C}[[x]] \) or more generally in a subring \( \mathcal{R} \) of \( \mathcal{C}[[x]] \) we have shown the existence of gen.s.b of the extension \( \hat{J} = \mathcal{C}[[x]]J \) of \( J \). We have seen that a gen.s.b can be chosen in \( \hat{J} \) (see [2]), while red.gen.s.b are not in \( \mathcal{C}[[x]] \) in general. We have also seen that the use of truncated divisions in Buchberger algorithm allows us to construct a gen.s.b in \( J \) itself.

The only results that we needed for this construction were: a formal division procedure, the fact that Buchberger algorithm works and truncated divisions. This means that our construction works in many other situations. Let us state this construction for two of them namely: rings of differential operators with parameters and the \((0,1)\)-homogenization of the latter. In [Ba04b], we used gen.s.b in rings of differential operators.

Here we will follow (Castro-Jiménez, Granger, [CaGr04]). Let \( \mathcal{D}_n(k) \) be the ring of differential operator with coefficients in \( k[[x]] \) and \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \). We denote by \( \partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n} \) the derivations. An element \( P \) in this ring has a unique writing: \( P = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} c_{\alpha, \beta} x^\alpha \partial_{\beta}^2 \). Define its Newton diagram \( N(P) \subset \mathbb{N}^{2n} \) as the set of \( (\alpha, \beta) \) with \( c_{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0 \). Following ([CaGr04], chapter 2), let \( w = (w^1, w^2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+n} \) where \( w^2 \) has strictly positive coefficients, and \( w^1 \) has non negative ones. Define an order \( <_w \) on \( \mathbb{N}^{2n} \) (or equivalently on the monomials \( x^\alpha \xi^\beta \) first by \( w^2 \), then the inverse of \( w^1 \) and refine them by the inverse of a well order \( <_0 \) on \( \mathbb{N}^{2n} \). We can define the leading exponent, leading coefficient, leading term and leading monomial w.r.t. \( <_w \) of an element \( P \in \mathcal{D}_n(k) \) as in the previous sections. For \( P \in \mathcal{D}_n(k) \), we denote by \( \text{ord}^w(P) \) the maximum of \( w^2 \cdot \beta \) for \( (\alpha, \beta) \in N(P) \). Remark that this order gives rise to the \( w^2 \)-Bernstein filtration \( F_k^{w^2} = \{ P \mid \text{ord}^w(P) \leq k \} \) for which the graded ring \( \text{gr}^{w^2}(\mathcal{D}_n(k)) \) is isomorphic to \( k[[x]][\xi] \). We see then that the order \( <_w \) is “adapted” to the weight vector \( w^2 \). Such orders are
used for the calculation of the characteristic variety of an analytic or formal $D$-module.

With the order $<_w$ we have a division theorem similar to Th. \[1.1.1\] where we just replace $k[[x]]$ by $\hat{D}_n(k)$ and $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ by $\hat{D}_n$, and $<$ by $<_w$, see (CaGr04, Th 2.4.1). As we did in the previous sections, truncated divisions work here again. The same definition of standard basis gives rise to the same criterion as in Prop. 1.2.2: a system of generators is a standard basis if the work here again. The same definition of standard basis gives rise to the same

\[\text{Proposition 2.5.1}.\] As we did in the previous sections, truncated divisions $\hat{D}_n$ where we just replace $k$ with $\hat{D}_n(k)$ and $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ with $\hat{D}_n$. Then we can state a division modulo $\hat{D}_n(k)$.

To 2.1.6 and 2.2.1. Finally here are the analogues theorems

\[\text{Theorem 5.0.5 (Definition- Theorem).}\]

- There exists a gen.s.b $(\mathcal{G}, h)$ of $J$ on $V(Q)$ such that $(\mathcal{G})_Q$ is the reduced standard basis of $(J)_Q$. We call $(\mathcal{G}, h)$ a gen. red.s.b of $J$ on $V(Q)$.
- If $(\mathcal{G}, h)$ is a gen. red.s.b on $V(Q)$ then for any $P \in V(Q) \setminus V(h)$, $(\mathcal{G})_P$ is the reduced standard basis of $(J)_P$.

In the case treated above, we have worked with an order adapted to the weight vector $w$ but for some situations we need standard bases w.r.t. “any” weight vector $w$. Thus as in Lazard \[La83\] for the polynomial case, we have a homogenized ring which enables us to work with any admissible weight vector $w$ (see the definition below).

In the following, we follow Assi et al. [ACG01]. Let $t$ be a new variable. Define $\hat{D}_n(k)[t]$ as the $k$-algebra generated by $k[[x]]$, the $\partial_x$’s and $t$ where the only non trivial commutation relations are $\partial_x a(x) - a(x) \partial_x = \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} \cdot t$. If $k = \mathbb{C}$ and if we replace $k[[x]]$ by $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$, we obtain $\hat{D}_n$. A weight vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is called admissible if $w_i \leq 0$ and $w_i + w_{n+i} \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Given an admissible weight vector, we can define an order $<_w^h$ on $\mathbb{N}^{2n+1}$ or equivalently on the monomials $x^\alpha \xi^\beta t^k$ as follows. We define $<_w^h$ in a
lexicographical way by $|\beta| + k, w, |\beta|, >_0$ where $<_0$ is a fixed total well order on $\mathbb{N}^{2n+1}$. With this order, the authors of [ACG01] proved a division theorem in $\mathcal{D}_n(t)$ and in $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_n(k)(t)$ for homogeneous operators as in Th. 1.1.1. This enables us to construct generic standard bases for homogeneous ideals in $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(C)(t)$ w.r.t. $<^h_w$. We thus obtain the analogues results to the two previous theorems.
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