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**Abstract**

Emergence of antibiotic resistance is a serious cause of concern worldwide. Limitation in the discovery of new antibiotics and emergence of resistant infectious microorganisms has directed the attention of researcher toward the phage therapy. Phages are obligate parasite of bacteria and they are highly specific for their host. Phage therapy is an ideal strategy for the control of such infectious pathogens. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01 is multiple drug resistant (MDR) bacteria, biofilm forming nosocomial pathogen. Phage therapy of PA01 was studied using bacteriophage φs1 isolated from Ganga water, India. During this study, we observed PA01 variants that had evolved resistant mechanism against phage φs1 infection. These phage resistance cells showed variation in their pigment production from green to brown and also showed reversion of antibiotic resistance for - erythromycin (ERT), norfloxacin (NRF), kanamycin (KAN), gentamicin (GEN), gatifloxacin (GAT), and imipenem (IMP) class of antibiotics. Biofilm forming ability of prPA01 also decreased by up to 90% and they became more susceptible for imipenem antibiotic as compare to PA01.
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**1. Introduction**

Despite modern antibiotics, infectious diseases are still associated with health-problems and are responsible for the deaths worldwide (Schmidt et al., 2008)¹. Multiple drug resistant bacteria have evolved resistant mechanism against almost all available antibiotics. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is one of most common opportunistic pathogens associated with nosocomial infection. *P. aeruginosa* have evolved a variety of resistance mechanisms against different classes of antibiotics, particularly carbapenems. Meropenem and imipenem are most widely used antibiotics against gram positive and gram negative bacteria (Shahid et al., 2009)². According to European Centre for Disease prevention and control, 18% *P. aeruginosa* have evolved resistance mechanism against the carbapenems³. It was suggested that the carbapenems are the last line of drug for the gram negative bacteria (Falagas and Karageorgopoulos, 2008)⁴. But unfortunately, bacteria have evolved resistant mechanism against carbapenems and *P. aeruginosa* is one of them. Lautenbach et al.⁵, reported that patient with imipenem resistant *P. aeruginosa* infection show high mortality rates. Phage therapy has brought new hope to fight against such last drug resistant bacteria. Bacteriophages are obligate parasite of bacteria. Application of bacteriophage for the control of MDR pathogens is an ecofriendly and economical approach. However, a major drawback of phage therapy also is the rapid development of phage resistance by host pathogen. Basically, bacteria become resistant against phages by, restriction the entry of phages, adsorption blocking and by CRISPR mechanism (Labrie et al., 2010 and Sagar et al., 2017)⁶.⁷

In the described system, phages resistant host cell reverse the pathogen’s drug resistance, thereby restoring their sensitivity to antibiotics. A drug sensitizing DNA cassette was transferred into using lysogenization by phages rendering previously resistant pathogens to
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In the current study, phage resistant PA01 (prPA01) were isolated in biocontrol studies with isolated bacteriophage φs1. We isolated those phage resistant cells and analyzed them for the antibiotic susceptibility and its virulence traits. The results were very surprising, prPA01 reverse their resistant for the erythromycin (ERT), norfloxacin (NRF), kanamycin (KAN), gentamicin (GEN), gatifloxacin (GAT), and imipenem (IMP) antibiotics and its virulent traits were suppressed as well. Colony characteristics were also changed from green to brown with wrinkled colony characteristics.

### 2. Materials and Methods

**Bacterial Strain and Growth Media**

Standard strain *P. aeruginosa* PA01 was used as host for bacteriophage isolation studied. The bacteria were maintained on Tryptone Soya Broth (TSB) medium at 37°C.

**Isolation of Bacteriophages**

The enrichment method as per Cerveny et al.[9] was adopted for the isolation of phage specific to *P. aeruginosa* PA01 from Ganges river at the Kanpur city, India. These sites were selected to isolate phages, as sewage water is known to harbor many different bacteria and hence the likelihood of prevalence of phages against different microorganisms. Briefly, sewage samples were collected; centrifuged (10,000 rpm, 10 min, 4°C) and supernatants were filter sterilized (0.45 μm pore size Millipore filter). 5 ml filtered sewage sample and 5ml sterile SM buffer were mixed with 5.0 ml overnight culture of *P. aeruginosa* PA01 and incubated at 37°C overnight. The bacteria were removed by centrifugation; supernatant was filtering sterilized and checked for the presence of phages.

**Biofilm Formation Assay & Hemolytic Assay**

The ability of PA01 to form biofilms was determined using the crystal violet binding assay as well as Congo Red Assay (CRA). Briefly, isolate was streaked on Congo Red Agar and the presence of black precipitate of Congo Red on colonies after 24 h incubation at 37°C was indicative of biofilm formation. In the crystal violet binding assay, log phase isolates were cultured in microtiter plates with different treatments in TSB for 24h at 37°C. Post incubation, ability of isolate to form biofilm on microtiter surface was assayed by staining with crystal violet and absorbance measured at 540 nm. Hemolytic ability of the isolate was determined by streaking on blood agar plate and observing hemolytic zone following incubation.

**Spot Test and Plaque Assay**

To detect the presence of phages in supernatant, spot test was carried out as described by Chang et al.[10] The phage titer was determined by plaque assay by employing double agar overlay technique. Briefly, each of the phage suspension was serially diluted. 10 μl diluted phage were spot inoculated on molten agar (0.8 % agar, w/v) containing host cells of 10⁷ CFU/ml as per Chang et al.[10]. Clear zone of plaques were observed after incubating the plates overnight at 37°C.

**Electron Microscopy**

To observe phage morphology, transmission electron microscopy of *P. aeruginosa* PA01 specific phages was performed as described by Goodridge et al.[11] with some modification. Drops of ultracentrifuge phage samples were dropped on copper coated grids (diameter, 3 mm; 300 meshes). After 5 min, the phage particles were stained with 2% (w/v) phosphotungstic acid (PTA) for 10 s. The grids were allowed to dry for 20 min and examined under a transmission electron microscope (FEI Tecnai S Twin) at 200 Kv.

**MIC Test of PA01 and prPA01 with Imipenem Antibiotic**

Culture of *P. aeruginosa* PA01 and prPA01 were grown in TSB (OD560 of 0.1) having cell count of 10⁸ cfu/ml. The culture (190 μl) was dispensed in 96 wells of sterile polystyrene microtiter plate with imipenem antibiotic with various concentrations (0.1, 0.075, 0.03, 0.01, 0.005, 0.002, 0.0005, and 0.0002 mg/ml). Culture was incubated for the 24h at 37°C. After incubation MTT assay was performed as per Abate et al.[12] with some modification.

For the biofilm formation assay, biofilm cells well incubated with 0.3% MTT dye solution in PBS for 30 min under dark condition. After incubation, stained cells were dissolved in DMSO and absorbance was taken at A540.

### 3. Results and Discussion

**Selection of Phage Resistant *P. aeruginosa*:**

Bacteriophage φs1 was isolated from the Ganga river water using PA01 as host bacterium. The lytic phage was characterized for its ability to lyse host PA01 and within the plaque isolated, a number of resistant cells were observed (Figure 1A). These phage resistant variant were isolated on TSA and characterized as prPA01 (Figure 1B). Interestingly, the phage resistant prPA01 showed change in pigment production from green to dark brown. Similar
report has previously been published by Li et al.,\textsuperscript{[13]} wherein chromosomal DNA alteration rendered a *Pseudomonas* resistant to phage. They reported that red color pigment production in *P. aeruginosa* PA1r is due to deletion of gene hmgA on phage infection, apart from this galU is placed in front hmgA which impart resistance to PA1r against phage by mutation of LPS receptor. The transmission electron micrograph of phage ϕs1 shows that it’s a tailed icosahedral head phage belonging to Myoviridae (Figure 1C).

**Figure 1A.** Development of phage resistant prPA01 isolates in spot assay with bacteriophage ϕs1

**Figure 1B.** Change in colony morphology of prPA01 from green to brown pigment

**Characteristics of *P. aeruginosa* PA01 and Phage Resistant (prPA01)**

Comparison of the host PA01 and the phage resistant prPA01 was further performed based on antibiotic sensitivity and their ability to form biofilms. Antibiotic resistance profile of *P. aeruginosa* PA01 was determined using the Kirby Bauer disk diffusion assay as per CLSI norms. Antibiotic susceptibility test was performed with Erythromycin (ERT), Norfloxacin (NRF), Penicillin (PEN), Amikacin (AMK), Kanamycin (KAN), Gentamicin (GEN), Gatifloxacin (GAT), Imipenem (IMP). On the basis of antibiotic susceptibility test it was confirmed that PA01 was highly resistant for the used antibiotics (Table-1). Furthermore, biofilm forming ability and pathogenicity of PA01 was determined by CV and congo red binding assay and β hemolytic activity respectively. CV assay was done to determine for the quantitative analysis of biofilm forming ability of PA01, while congo red binding assay was done for the qualitative analysis for biofilm forming ability of PA01. Table 1 and Figure 2A show that the phage resistant variant prPA01 also showed variation in its phenotypic display of antibiotic susceptibility to ERT, NRF, KAN, GEN, GAT and IMP antibiotics. prPA01 had also lost its ability for biofilm formation as determined by two independent assays.

**Table 1.** Characteristics of *P. aeruginosa* PA01 and phage resistant PA01 (prPA01):

| Strain name | Antibiotic resistance profile of strains | Biofilm forming ability | β-hemolytic activity |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
|             | ERT NRF PEN AMK KAN GEN GAT IMP CV assay | Congo Red assay         |
| PA01        | R R R R R R R R | 1.6193±0.0381 | +++ |
| prPA01      | S S R R S S S S | 0.4703±0.0472 | ___ |

Antibiotic resistance profile was determined according to CLSI guideline; ND-not done; CV-Crystal Violet assay (provided data is in the form of absorbance average ± standard deviation (value above 0.6 is high biofilm former, while below 0.6 is poor biofilm former)
In order to determine the extent of change in antibiotic sensitivity, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) test for the imipenem was conducted with prPA01 cells. MIC test was done with various concentrations of imipenem antibiotics (0.1, 0.075, 0.03, 0.01, 0.005, 0.002, 0.0005, and 0.0002 mg/ml) against PA01 and prPA01. MIC data revealed that PA01 biofilm forming ability was slightly affected with 0.1 and 0.075mg/ml, while on decreasing concentration of antibiotic, biofilm cells survival rate increased (Figure.3B). In case of prPA01, 0.1, 0.075, 0.03, and 0.01 mg/ml concentration of imipenem antibiotic caused killing of biofilm cells by up to 90% (Figure 3B). MTT dye was used to determine the strength of live and dead biofilm cell (Figure 3C). MIC data determined that prPA01 reduced their biofilm forming by many fold as compared to PA01. The present study revealed that phage resistant cells sensitizes for the antibiotic imipenem and other used antibiotics. Chan et al.[14] have explained in their study that genetic trade off fare often observed in the field of biology where at one side organism evolved a trade for their fitness and simultaneously suffering in performance in another trait. Edgar et al.,[15], reported in their study that lysogenic phage M13 sensitized E. coli for the nalidixic acid and streptomycin by disrupting the SOS gene response Edgar et al.,[15]. This finding can bring a hope for the medical practitioners when bacteria have evolved resistance against the almost all antibiotics and bacteriophages as well.

4. Conclusions

The MDR bacteria are spreading their claws for capturing new hosts and have left little option for the medical practitioners. Medical societies have high hope with the phage therapy but unfortunately, bacteria have evolved a variety of resistant mechanism against them. Hence, currently we don’t have any option for controlling of such superbugs. Fortunately, it was observed that phage resistant cells reverses their traits such as resistance to antibiotics and become less virulent. This is a novel finding and can bring a new hope for the medical society by understand the mechanism of phage resistant cells.
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