TNNC1 Reduced Gemcitabine Sensitivity of Nonsmall-Cell Lung Cancer by Increasing Autophagy
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Background: As we know, chemotherapy resistance is a critical factor leading to recurrence and metastasis of nonsmall-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). To clarify the key target and potential mechanism of resistance to gemcitabine (GEM) in NSCLC, we selected Gene Expression Omnibus Data Set and statistically analyzed a parent cell group and a GEM-resistant cell group. Results showed that the expression of troponin C1, slow skeletal and cardiac type (TNNC1) in GEM-resistant cells was higher than in parent cells, which implies that TNNC1 was associated with GEM resistance in lung cancer cells.

Material/Methods: TNNC1 expression level was detected by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction or western blot in GEM-resistant patient serum and cell lines. It could reduce or increase autophagy response and GEM resistance accordingly by inhibition of the short interfering ribonucleic acid or by forced overexpression of TNNC1 viruses in A549 cell line and GEM-resistant cell line (A549/GemR) respectively. Blocking autophagy with 3-methyladenine increased the sensitivity of chemotherapy confirmed by flow cytometry and microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B – light chain 3 punctate assay. What’s more, in a loss-of-function model, silencing of forkhead box 03 (FOXO3) in A549/GemR cells could rescue the autophagy weakened by TNNC1.

Results: TNNC1 promoted GEM chemoresistance of NSCLC by activating cytoprotective autophagy, regulated negatively by FOXO3. This research may provide a completely new strategy for NSCLC treatment.

Conclusions: Targeting the TNNC1/FOXO3 signaling pathway in NSCLC may be a novel strategy to combat GEM resistance.
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Background

Lung cancer is one of the most prevalent malignant tumors in the world, and also the leading cause of cancer-related death [1]. It is divided into two categories according to histological and clinical characteristics: small-cell lung cancer and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), with the latter accounting for 85–90% [2]. Though there has been significant progress in diagnosis and treatment in recent years, the overall 5-year survival rate for patients with NSCLC is still meager [3].

Chemotherapy is the most basic and effective therapy for NSCLC patients, especially for patients unable to undergo surgery. Gemcitabine (GEM) is a new pyrimidine antineoplastic drug mainly used for solid tumor therapy, including those in NSCLC [4]. Being a first-line therapeutic scheme for locally advanced-stage (stage III) and metastatic-stage (stage IV) NSCLC, it is used to treat NSCLC, with an effective rate of 20–30% [5,6]. However, its curative effect for lung cancer is restricted by intrinsic resistance, adaptive resistance, and acquired resistance; cancer cells can escape from the cytotoxicity of chemotherapeutic drugs by affecting cell cycle, cell apoptosis, or intracellular drug accumulation [7]. Presently, the exact molecular mechanism of resistance to GEM is not fully understood. Further study of this process will be useful to develop and improve cancer therapy strategies, and will also help to improve clinical efficacy.

Autophagy is a highly conserved lysosome degradation pathway that renews energy and maintains cell homeostasis through the degradation and recycling of proteins and damaged organelles [8]. As a self-protection mechanism used widely under stress conditions in eukaryotic cells, autophagy plays a vital role in cell survival, differentiation, aging, inflammation, and tumorigenesis [9,10]. Accumulated evidence shows that chemotherapy usually activates survival autophagy, which can reduce the apoptosis of cancer cells and then enable them to overcome the cytotoxicity or other stress-induced by therapy [11,12]. In NSCLC, autophagy intimately participated in the protective mechanism of resistance to chemotherapeutic agents. Pharmacologically or genetically induced autophagy inhibition can lead to sensitivity to chemotherapeutic agents; on the contrary, chemotherapy resistance appeared by its activation [13–15].
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GemR cells was confirmed by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). Functional assays were executed after 48 h of transfection. FOXO3 and TNNC1 were loaded into pCDNA3.1 vector to construct a FOXO3 overexpression plasmid and a TNNC1 overexpression plasmid respectively. TNNC1-overexpressed lentivirus was constructed and packaged by Genechem (Shanghai, China). The relative infection and transfection were conducted according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Serum collection**

A total of 20 primary lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) blood specimens was selected. These patients were initially treated and had undergone GEM chemotherapy at the Centenal Hospital of Yongzhou under the procedures approved by the Ethics Committee for Clinical Trial of the Centenal Hospital of Yongzhou (Hunan, China). Ten of them developed drug resistance after taking GEM for three courses of treatment. In contrast, another 10 patients were sensitive to GEM. Fasting blood samples (10 mL) were obtained from each of the patients using serum separation tubes (BD, USA). The blood samples were transported within 30 min of collection and then centrifuged at 1900 g at 4°C for 10 min. At least 3 mL of serum was collected into a sterile centrifuge tube and stored at –80°C until analysis.

**Cell viability assay**

To detect the sensitivity of GEM in A549/GemR cells and A549 cells, we incubated them with various concentrations of GEM. Different groups of different model cells were seeded into 96-well plates (approximately 1000 cells per well), and 10% 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) solution was added for about 4 h for each well. Cell proliferation viability for each well was measured on the basis of optical density measurements obtained at 490 nm. The experiment was repeated five times for each group. The negative control group was set as normal 100% survival.

**RNA isolation and RT-qPCR**

Total RNA was collected from the cultured cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The expression of TNNC1 and FOXO3 mRNA was quantified by RT-qPCR analysis using SYBR Green PCR kit (Invitrogen). The following were the thermocycling conditions: predenaturation at 95°C for 15 min; then 95°C for 10 s for 45 cycles, 60°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 60 s with an amplification fragment of 122 base pairs (bp) for TNNC1 and 109 bp for FOXO3. The results were normalized to β-actin using the 2^{-DDCq} method to calculate the differential gene expression. Each reaction was implemented in triplicate. Primers of TNNC1, FOXO3, and β-actin were as follows:

- TNNC1 forward, 5’-CAGCAGAGGGAATCTGAGG-3’ and reverse, 5’-TGTATGGTCTGCGCTTAG-3’;
- FOXO3 forward, 5’-TCTACGATGGATGTCCGT-3’ and reverse, 5’-CGACTATGACTGACAGTGTG-3’;
- β-actin forward, 5’- TTCTTCTCCTGGGATGAGTC-3’ and reverse, 5’-TCTTCAATTGCTGGTGCC-3’.

**Western blot analysis**

Cells were washed twice with precooled phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then ruptured with radioimmunoprecipitation assay lysis buffer (Beyotime, P0013B, Shanghai) supplemented with complete 5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid-free cocktail protease inhibitor. Cell extracts were centrifuged for 20 min at 10,000 g, and then the supernatants were collected. The cell-extracted protein samples were resolved by 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, USA). Membranes were blocked with nonfat dry milk (5%) in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST) at 4°C and incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-TNNC1 (13504-1-AP, 1: 500, Proteintech, Wuhan, China), anti-LC3B (18725-1-AP, 1: 500, Proteintech), anti-FOXO3 (10849-1-AP, 1: 800, Proteintech), anti-P62 (18420-1-AP, 1: 500, Proteintech), and anti-β-actin (YM3028, 1: 5000, Immunoway Inc., USA). β-Ac tin was used as the loading control. The next day, the membranes were washed for 30 min with TBST, then further incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at ambient temperature. Finally, proteins were detected by an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Amersham Biosciences Inc., USA).

**Apoptosis analysis**

Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)/propidium iodide (PI) staining apoptosis detection kit (BD Biosciences) was used to detect apoptosis. A549 and A549/GemR model cells were cultured in six-well plates and pretreated with GEM or 3-MA and Rapa respectively. Cells were harvested in PBS, washed three times, and then resuspended in Annexin V-FITC/PI to stain cells at 4°C in darkness. The apoptotic statistical population was determined using FlowJo software.

**Identification of autophagy**

A549 and A549/GemR model cells were transiently infected with AAv-mRFP-GFP-LC3 (Genechem). After incubation for 24 h, A549 and A549/GemR model cells were added into 5 μM or 40 μM GEM respectively for another 24 h. Finally, the GFP-LC3 punctate structures were visualized at ×200 using a fluorescence microscope (E3I-630, MOTIC, USA).
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Dual-luciferase reporter assay

To confirm our results that FOXO3 negatively regulated TNNC1, 1220 bp of the TNNC1 promoter region upstream sequence (1220 bp to 256 bp) from the starting codon was loaded to pGL3 luciferase reporter vector (Promega, USA) to construct a pGL3-TNNC1 promoter plasmid. The TNNC1 promoter sequence (965 bp) was synthesized by General Biosynthesis (Anhui, China). A549 cells were cotransfected with different reporter vectors using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen): pGL3 basic vector cotransfected with pCDNA3.1 vector; pGL3-basic cotransfected with pCDNA3.1-FOXO3 (FOXO3); pGL3-TNNC1(TNNC1) cotransfected with pCDNA3.1; pGL3-TNNC1 cotransfected with pCDNA3.1-FOXO3. After 48 h, luciferase activity was detected through the dual luciferase reporter assay system (Promega). Experiments for each group were repeated three times.

Statistical analysis

GraphPad prism 7.0 software was used for statistical analysis. Differences between two groups were analyzed by Student’s t tests; P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data are shown as means±SD.

Results

TNNC1 was upregulated markedly in GEM-resistant NSCLC cells and serum of patients

To research the role of TNNC1 in resistance of NSCLC to GEM, the TNNC1 overexpression model was established in A549 via infecting TNNC1 overexpression lentivirus (Lv-TNNC1), whereas as the cell model of loss of function (siRNA-TNNC1) was established via transfecting TNNC1 siRNA in A549/GemR cells (Figure 2A). RT-qPCR was performed to verify that the TNNC1 functional model cells were obtained successfully (Figure 2B, 2C). Autophagy-related proteins LC3B and P62 were tested in A549 and A549/GemR model cells by western blot (Figure 2D). Protein levels of LC3II were increased obviously but P62 were decreased by TNNC1 overexpression. Concurrently, knockdown of TNNC1 (siRNA-TNNC1) in A549/GemR cells significantly reduced the expression of LC3BII. To choose the appropriate GEM concentration and incubation time, the cell proliferation ability in the A549 and A549/GemR model cells was analyzed by MTT after GEM treatment (Figure 2E). A549 cells were incubated with GEM at 0.05, 1.5, 5, 10, and 20 μM, whereas A549/GemR cells were incubated with GEM at 5, 10, 20, 40, and 80 μM. After incubation of both for 24 h, GEM (5, 10, and 20 μM) treatment significantly affected cell survival in A549 model cells. Meanwhile, GEM (40 and 80 μM) treatment significantly affected cell survival in A549/GemR model cells. Therefore, A549 model cells were incubated with 5 μM GEM, and A549/GemR model cells were incubated with 40 μM GEM. Then the time course of cell viability was assessed. Cell survival was significantly reduced within 24 h. As shown in Figure 2F, Lv-TNNC1 reduced the GEM-induced cell apoptosis evidently compared with the group infected with negative control lentivirus (Lv-NC) in A549 model cells treated with 5 μM GEM. In the meantime, in A549/GemR model cells treated with 40 μM GEM, siRNA-TNNC1 enhanced the GEM-induced cell apoptosis obviously compared with the group transfected with negative control siRNA (siRNA-NC). A549 and A549/GemR model cells were infected by AAV-mRFP-GFP-LC3 virus for 48 h. Before observation and imaging, cells were treated with 5 μM or 40 μM GEM for 24 h, respectively (Figure 2G). We found that GFP-LC3 puncta increased significantly in Lv-TNNC1 infection group compared with Lv-NC infection group in A549 cells treated with GEM 4 μM for 24 h. GFP-LC3 puncta were decreased in the group transfected with siRNA-TNNC1 compared with siRNA-NC in the A549/GemR cells treated with GEM at 40 μM for 24 h. Overall, all these results demonstrated that TNNC1 attenuated the GEM sensitivity of A549 cells. On the other hand, the inhibition of TNNC1 could enhance the sensitivity of A549/GemR cells to GEM.

TNNC1 overexpression could enhance GEM sensitivity in A549 cells

To research the role of TNNC1 in resistance of NSCLC to GEM, the TNNC1 overexpression model was established in A549 via infecting TNNC1 overexpression lentivirus (Lv-TNNC1), whereas as the cell model of loss of function (siRNA-TNNC1) was established via transfecting TNNC1 siRNA in A549/GemR cells (Figure 2A). RT-qPCR was performed to verify that the TNNC1 functional model cells were obtained successfully (Figure 2B, 2C). Autophagy-related proteins LC3B and P62 were tested in A549 and A549/GemR model cells by western blot (Figure 2D). Protein levels of LC3II were increased obviously but P62 were decreased by TNNC1 overexpression. Concurrently, knockdown of TNNC1 (siRNA-TNNC1) in A549/GemR cells significantly reduced the expression of LC3BII. To choose the appropriate GEM concentration and incubation time, the cell proliferation ability in the A549 and A549/GemR model cells was analyzed by MTT after GEM treatment (Figure 2E). A549 cells were incubated with GEM at 0.05, 1.5, 5, 10, and 20 μM, whereas A549/GemR cells were incubated with GEM at 5, 10, 20, 40, and 80 μM. After incubation of both for 24 h, GEM (5, 10, and 20 μM) treatment significantly affected cell survival in A549 model cells. Meanwhile, GEM (40 and 80 μM) treatment significantly affected cell survival in A549/GemR model cells. Therefore, A549 model cells were incubated with 5 μM GEM, and A549/GemR model cells were incubated with 40 μM GEM. Then the time course of cell viability was assessed. Cell survival was significantly reduced within 24 h. As shown in Figure 2F, Lv-TNNC1 reduced the GEM-induced cell apoptosis evidently compared with the group infected with negative control lentivirus (Lv-NC) in A549 model cells treated with 5 μM GEM. In the meantime, in A549/GemR model cells treated with 40 μM GEM, siRNA-TNNC1 enhanced the GEM-induced cell apoptosis obviously compared with the group transfected with negative control siRNA (siRNA-NC). A549 and A549/GemR model cells were infected by AAV-mRFP-GFP-LC3 virus for 48 h. Before observation and imaging, cells were treated with 5 μM or 40 μM GEM for 24 h, respectively (Figure 2G). We found that GFP-LC3 puncta increased significantly in Lv-TNNC1 infection group compared with Lv-NC infection group in A549 cells treated with GEM 4 μM for 24 h. GFP-LC3 puncta were decreased in the group transfected with siRNA-TNNC1 compared with siRNA-NC in the A549/GemR cells treated with GEM at 40 μM for 24 h. Overall, all these results demonstrated that TNNC1 attenuated the GEM sensitivity of A549 cells. On the other hand, the inhibition of TNNC1 could enhance the sensitivity of A549/GemR cells to GEM.

TNNC1-promoted GEM-induced autophagy protected A549 cells from apoptosis

On the basis of previous research results, we considered whether TNNC1 attenuated the GEM sensitivity of A549 cells through...
autophagy regulation. To confirm that TNNC1-promoted GEM led to survival autophagy that further protected A549 cells from apoptosis, we introduced an inhibitor (3-MA, 10 mM) of autophagy and Rapa (10 μM), the autophagy agonist, to A549 cells and A549/GemR cells for 4 h before analysis. At the same time, GEM was added at 5 μM to A549 cells and at 40 μM to A549/GemR cells, both for 24 h. Cell proliferation and viability were checked by MTT assay (Figure 3A, 3B). Meanwhile, western blot was used to study LC3B and P62 (Figure 3C) to verify the effect of 3-MA and Rapa on autophagy. Cell apoptosis was tested by flow cytometry with annexin V-FITC/PI double staining (Figure 3D). These results showed that suppressed...
autophagy enhanced cell apoptosis induced by GEM in A549 cells, in which TNNC1 was overexpressed. At the same time, autophagy activation enhanced the cell survival rate further by reducing GEM-induced cell apoptosis in TNNC1-depleted A549/GemR cells. We reviewed that TNNC1 promoted pro-survival autophagy induced by GEM to protect A549 cells from apoptotic death.

**TNNC1 was negatively regulated by FOXO3**

Next, we tried to find out which transcription factors regulated TNNC1 expression. The program JASPAR was used to find the potential transcription factor for TNNC1, which was determined to be FOXO3 (Figure 4A). We tested the hypothesis that FOXO3 is a potential transcriptional suppressor for TNNC1 by the following experiments. First, we determined that FOXO3 can reduce the expression of TNNC1. A549 cells were directly transfected with an empty control vector plasmid in combination with a scrambled oligonucleotide (scramble) and FOXO3 overexpression plasmid (FOXO3-OVER). Western blots and RT-qPCR showed that FOXO3-OVER led to a significant downregulation of TNNC1 (Figure 4B–4D). Luciferase activity assay confirmed the interaction between TNNC1 promoter and FOXO3 (Figure 4E). These results revealed that FOXO3 overexpression...
activity of A549 cells. First, we established the overexpression of TNNC1 and further affected autophagy and GEM sensitivity. Moreover, analyses revealed that TNNC1 was regulated by FOXO3. TNNC1 was negatively transcription regulated by FOXO3.

Our previous research results showed that TNNC1 promoted autophagy of A549 cells and affected GEM sensitivity. The luciferase activity of the reporter plasmid containing TNNC1 promoter compared with the empty vector (P<0.01). These showed that FOXO3 bound with TNNC1 promoter and further inhibited TNNC1 transcription.

**TNNC1** promoted autophagy of A549 cells and affected GEM sensitivity (transcription regulated negatively by FOXO3)

Our previous research results showed that TNNC1 promoted autophagy of A549 cells and affected GEM sensitivity. Moreover, TNNC1 was negatively transcription regulated by FOXO3. Further analyses revealed that TNNC1 was regulated by FOXO3 transcription and further affected autophagy and GEM sensitivity of A549 cells. First, we established the overexpression or interference models for TNNC1 and FOXO3. In the TNNC1 overexpression model, A549 cells were transfected with FOXO3 plasmid (TNNC1+FOXO3) for 48 h; at the same time, TNNC1 overexpression model cells (TNNC1) were used for the control group. Then, A549/GemR cells were split into two groups. One group was co-transfected with TNNC1 siRNA+FOXO3 siRNA for 48 h and the other group was co-transfected with TNNC1 siRNA+FOXO3 siRNA for 48 h. All of the A549 and A549/GemR cells were incubated with GEM for 24 h before being tested. MTT arrays demonstrated that the ability of cell proliferation weakened by TNNC1 lost could be restored after FOXO3 silencing (Figure 5A). Consistent with this, the proliferation activity of A549/GemR cells induced by TNNC1 could be repressed by FOXO3 (Figure 5B). The results of western blot analyzed the autophagy-related proteins LC3B and P62 and confirmed that FOXO3 could abate the autophagy weakened the luciferase activity of the reporter plasmid containing TNNC1 promoter compared with the empty vector (P<0.001). These showed that FOXO3 bound with TNNC1 promoter and further inhibited TNNC1 transcription.
induced by TNNC1. Meanwhile, FOXO3 siRNA restored the autophagy that was weakened by TNNC1 siRNA in A549/GemR (Figure 5C). Next, flow cytometry with annexin V-FITC/PI double staining was used to analysis A549 and A549/GemR cell apoptosis rate (Figure 5D). A549 and A549/GemR cells of different groups were infected with AAv-mRFP-GFP-LC3 lentivirus for 48 h and later GFP-LC3 puncta accumulation was imaged under a fluorescence microscope (Figure 5E). These findings suggested that FOXO3, as one of the functional upstream effectors of TNNC1, negatively transcription regulated TNNC1 on GEM sensitivity of A549 cells.

**Discussion**

Although chemotherapy is the first treatment for advanced lung cancer, drug resistance is a crucial barrier to prolonging survival. Cancer cells may evade the cytotoxic effects of chemotherapeutic drugs by regulating the cell cycle, apoptosis, or accumulation of intracellular drugs. In this present study, we revealed a mechanism by which NSCLC cell evasion of chemotherapeutic drugs (GEM) is mediated by autophagy associated with TNNC1.

To find the critical targets for GEM resistance of NSCLC, we performed a query analysis on the GEO online database; the
results showed that TNNC1 was significantly upregulated in GEM-resistant cells compared with parental cells (Figure 1A), suggesting that TNNC1 may be related to the development of chemotherapy resistance. The outcomes of the experiment showed that TNNC1 was downregulated and compared clinically sensitive samples and A549 parent cells with corresponding resistance groups (Figure 1C–1E), which is accord with the results of GEO data analysis. The present finding suggested that TNNC1 was closely associated with GEM resistance in NSCLC. TNNC1 contains calcium-binding subunits, which are often unformed in muscle cells, that promote the interaction of actin and myosin in muscle cells and promote the formation of stress fibers [16,17]. In nonmuscle cells, TNNC1 did not act as a structural protein but as a regulatory protein for cell motility, cytoplasmic flow, and cell division. For example, increasing the expression of TNNC1 in epithelial ovarian cancer cells governed the movement and invasiveness of the cancer cells through cytoskeletal reorganization, associated with poor survival [18,24]. It was not reported how TNNC1 affects GEM resistance. Previous investigations had illustrated that enhanced autophagy was an essential mechanism of GEM resistance in cancer cells. Autophagy plays a protective role in cancer cells, preventing them from entering the apoptotic pathway after stimulation with GEM, whereas blocking autophagy can enhance the tumoricidal effect of GEM. TNNC1 contains a calcium-binding subunit, and Ca\(^{2+}\) acts as an essential regulator of autophagy [25–27]. Whether TNNC1 can regulate autophagy has not been well characterized. So far, we speculated that TNNC1 may affect GEM resistance by regulating autophagy.
Figure 5. Troponin C1, slow skeletal and cardiac type (TNNC1) promoted autophagy of A549 cells and affected gemcitabine (GEM) sensitivity, which was negatively transcription regulated by forkhead box 03 (FOXO3). A549-overexpressed TNNC1-stable cells were transfected with FOXO3 overexpression plasmid (TNNC1+FOXO3) for 48 h, whereas A549-overexpressed TNNC1-stable cells (TNNC1) were used as controls. At the same time, A549/GemR cells were transfected with TNNC1 short interfering ribonucleic acid (siRNA) (siTNNC1) or TNNC1 siRNA plus FOXO3 siRNA (siTNNC1+siFOXO3) respectively for 48 h. Meanwhile, GEM was incubated for 24 h before testing. (A, B) Cell proliferation of A549 and A549/GemR was determined by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. The data were presented as the mean±SD (**P<0.001). (C) Autophagy-related proteins LC3B and P62 were detected by western blot. (D) Apoptosis was investigated by flow cytometry. (E) GFP-LC3 punctate structures were observed and imaged using a fluorescence microscope in A549 and A549/GemR model cells after AAV-mRFP-GFP-LC3 viruses infected them. The original magnification was ×200.
As expected, our results first showed that autophagy was weakened by decreasing TNNC1 in A549/GemR cells (Figure 2D, 2G). It was proposed that increased TNNC1 expression might lead to enhanced autophagy and reduce GEM-induced apoptosis in the NSCLC cells, similarly to previous studies [13–15]. Moreover, we found that TNNC1-mediated apoptosis was effectively enhanced when 3-MA was used to inhibit autophagy, whereas apoptosis was attenuated when autophagy was activated by Rapa, which was demonstrated by apoptotic cell percentage (Figure 3D) and the expression of autophagy-related proteins (Figure 3C). Given the above, it was evident that TNNC1 regulated the sensitivity of lung cancer cells to GEM chemotherapy, at least in part, by autophagy. Further research is needed to research how TNNC1 regulates autophagy. This study did not address this issue because of time and funding constraints.

Nevertheless, the molecular mechanism of TNNC1 enhancement in GEM-resistant patients and cells is largely unknown. As documented, FOXO3 as a common transcription modulator of autophagy and tumor suppressor during cancer cell proliferation, invasion, metastasis, metabolism, and apoptosis [28,29]. It is worth mentioning that FOXO3 was downregulated in GEM-resistant A549 cells, which was the opposite of TNNC1 (Figure 1D, 1E). FOXO3 was predicted to be a potential transcription factor for the TNNC1 gene by online prediction analysis by JASPAR (Figure 4A). We speculated that FOXO3 was related to the expression of TNNC1. Next, we researched the regulating effect of FOXO3 for TNNC1-mediated autophagy as well as the GEM resistance of NSCLC. The dual-luciferase reporter assay confirmed that FOXO3 bound to the TNNC1 promoter region and inhibited TNNC1 transcription (Figure 4D). Therefore, we further studied the cell function model of loss and overexpression in A549/GemR or A549 cells respectively. These results showed that overexpression or inhibition of FOXO3 were able to weaken or rescue TNNC1-mediated autophagy, respectively (Figure 5C–5E). Our data suggested that FOXO3 was able to weaken or rescue TNNC1-mediated autophagy, respectively (Figure 5C–5E). Our data suggested that FOXO3 could be used as the upstream negative regulatory factor of TNNC1. Collectively, we deduced that TNNC1-mediated GEM resistance associated with autophagy was negatively regulated by FOXO3 in NSCLC cells. These findings had an insight deeply into molecular mechanisms underlying GEM resistance of NSCLC. Thus, targeting TNNC1-mediated autophagy might be a valid strategy to find novel therapeutic agents for NSCLC.

Conclusions

To research the mechanism of drug resistance in NSCLC, we obtained data on TNNC1 by analyzing the existing database GSE6914. We provided significant evidence that TNNC1 could enhance autophagy and further reduce the chemosensitivity of A549 cells to GEM. However, how TNNC1 regulated autophagy still needs to be researched. It was also demonstrated that FOXO3 could be used as the upstream negative regulatory factor of TNNC1. The chemosensitivity of TNNC1 to GEM was regulated by FOXO3. In the future, we will perform research on the regulation mechanism of the TNNC1/FOXO3 signaling pathway.
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