CLUH regulates mitochondrial biogenesis by binding mRNAs of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins
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Mitochondrial function requires coordination of two genomes for protein biogenesis, efficient quality control mechanisms, and appropriate distribution of the organelles within the cell. How these mechanisms are integrated is currently not understood. Loss of the Clu1/CluA homologue (CLUH) gene led to clustering of the mitochondrial network by an unknown mechanism. We find that CLUH is coregulated both with genes encoding mitochondrial proteins and with genes involved in ribosomal biogenesis and translation. Our functional analysis identifies CLUH as a cytosolic messenger ribonucleic acid (RNA; mRNA)–binding protein. RNA immunoprecipitation experiments followed by next-generation sequencing demonstrated that CLUH specifically binds a subset of mRNAs encoding mitochondrial proteins. CLUH depletion decreased the levels of proteins translated by target transcripts and caused mitochondrial clustering. A fraction of CLUH colocalizes with tyrosinated tubulin and can be detected close to mitochondria, suggesting a role in regulating transport or translation of target transcripts close to mitochondria. Our data unravel a novel mechanism linking mitochondrial biogenesis and distribution.

Introduction

Mitochondrial homeostasis depends on effective biogenesis, quality control mechanisms, and appropriate distribution of the mitochondrial network and is key to cell survival. Dysfunctional mitochondria can trigger apoptotic cell death and play an important role in the pathogenesis of several diseases (Nunnari and Suomalainen, 2012). The interplay between mitochondrial distribution and biogenesis is still largely unexplored. Most mitochondrial proteins are encoded in the nucleus, translated in the cytosol, and subsequently imported into the mitochondrion. However, it is poorly understood whether mitochondrial biogenesis involves a specific subcellular localization of mRNAs for nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins.

The CluA protein was first identified in Dictyostelium discoideum as a determinant of mitochondrial distribution in cells (Zhu et al., 1997). Disruption of the CluA gene led to clustering of mitochondria near the nucleus and to multinucleated cells. Ultrastructural analysis showed the presence of thin connections between the clustered mitochondria, hinting to a defect in late phases of mitochondrial fission (Fields et al., 2002). CluA gene homologues have been described in several species. In yeast and plants, deletion of the orthologous gene led to mitochondrial clustering and did not affect cell division (Fields et al., 1998; Logan et al., 2003). Drosophila melanogaster clueless deletion mutants were characterized by small size, lack of motor coordination, sterility, and short life span and displayed signs of flight muscle defects (Cox and Spradling, 2009). Mitochondria in affected muscles appeared swollen and showed vesiculation or loss of cristae.
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Moreover, mitochondria clustered in the female germ cells at the sites of microtubule (MT) plus ends and remained clustered during mitosis in early germ cells, suggesting unequal inheritance in the absence of clu (Cox and Spradling, 2009). Adult Clu mutants showed drastically reduced ATP levels and signs of oxidative damage (Sen et al., 2013). Based on these data, it was proposed that Clu has a role in positioning mitochondria within the cell based on their physiological status.

We now show that the mammalian CLUH is an RNA-binding protein (RBP), which specifically binds a subset of mRNAs for nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins. CLUH depletion leads to mitochondrial clustering and reduced steady-state levels of proteins encoded by target mRNAs, indicating that CLUH links mitochondrial biogenesis and distribution.

Results and discussion
CLUH is coregulated with genes encoding mitochondrial proteins and involved in translation
Despite high evolutionary conservation (Fig. S1, A and B), the function of CLUH is still unknown. Coexpression analysis is a powerful tool to identify candidate pathways in which a gene is involved. We performed a systems biology analysis of the CLUH gene regulatory network by analyzing thousands of publicly available expression microarray experiments representative of multiple tissues and conditions after chemical, biological, or genetic perturbation (Sardiello et al., 2009; Gennarino et al., 2011). Strikingly, these analyses showed that human and mouse CLUH are significantly coexpressed with genes involved in mitochondrial metabolic pathways and oxidative phosphorylation and, surprisingly, also with genes that participate in cytosolic ribosomal biogenesis, RNA processing, and translation (Figs. 1 A and S1 C). Genes encoding for mitochondrial proteins and genes involved in the biogenesis of RNP complexes form two partially overlapping clusters in the Cluh gene regulatory network (Fig. 1 B) and occupy high-ranking positions within the Cluh coregulation list (Fig. S1 C). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) shows that these two sets of genes have a skewed distribution in the list (enrichment score = 0.55 for mitochondrial and 0.64 for ribosome biogenesis; P < 10^-4 for all analyses; Fig. 1, C and D). These data strongly support the connection of CLUH with mitochondrial biogenesis and suggest a role of CLUH in the regulation of translation.

CLUH exists in cytosolic granules that partially associate with tyrosinated tubulin and mitochondria
In Drosophila, Clu formed cytosolic aggregates that sometimes associated with MTs and were found next to mitochondria (Cox and Spradling, 2009). In COS7 cells, endogenous CLUH showed a granular cytosolic signal, which substantially decreased after CLUH depletion by RNAi (Fig. 2, A and B). We found poor colocalization of CLUH with β-tubulin and acetylated tubulin, a marker of old stable MTs (Fig. S2, A–F). In contrast, CLUH granules showed significant colocalization with tyrosinated tubulin, which labels newly assembled MTs (Fig. 2, C–F). Colocalization was maintained also after disruption of MTs by nocodazole, suggesting that this interaction does not depend on intact MT tracks but rather on the presence of soluble tubulin α/β heterodimers (Fig. S2, G–I). Consistently, CLUH did not associate with taxol-stabilized MT bundles or with MT tracks after detergent extraction of soluble proteins before fixation (Fig. S2, J–O). However, upon this treatment, the pattern of CLUH staining strikingly changed and was reminiscent of an organellar structure (Fig. 2, G and K; and Fig. S2, M–O). Labeling of these structures using an antibody against a mitochondrial marker demonstrated that under this condition, CLUH decorates mitochondria (Fig. 2, K–N). Similar results were obtained upon overexpression of a tagged version of CLUH (Fig. S2, P–U). Although we cannot rule out an artificial change of CLUH localization upon detergent extraction, it is conceivable that a fraction of CLUH residing in close proximity to mitochondria is revealed only after extraction of soluble CLUH or other interacting proteins.

Next, we performed sequential cell fractionation experiments. In mouse brain and liver, CLUH was detected in the crude mitochondrial fraction and the cytosol but was mostly enriched in a light membrane fraction (Fig. 2 O). Because the yeast Clu1p was previously identified as one of the subunit of the eIF3 eukaryotic translation factor (Vornlocher et al., 1999), we concentrated ribosomes and ribosomal-associated proteins (RAPs) by differential centrifugation (Otto et al., 2005). CLUH was detected in the pellet containing ribosomes and RAPs and was released in the supernatant by high salt washes, similar to EIF3G (Fig. 2 P). This crude purification does not allow conclusive establishment of CLUH association with the translation initiation machinery. We therefore investigated the distribution of CLUH in polysome profiles obtained in HeLa cells. As expected, EIF3G was enriched in the fractions corresponding to the 40S subunit. CLUH was mainly detected in lighter fractions containing free RNAs (Fig. 2 Q), indicating that it exists in a large part independently from the ribosomes. More experiments will be required to ascertain whether CLUH might be recruited to the eIF3 complex under specific conditions.

CLUH deficiency impairs mitochondrial distribution in mammalian cells
To assess whether lack of CLUH leads to a mitochondrial phenotype in mammalian cells, we depleted the protein using RNAi in COS7 cells. We observed that a significant percentage of cells showed abnormalities of mitochondrial distribution and morphology. The mitochondrial network lost its tubular appearance and collapsed on one side of the nucleus (Fig. 3, A and B). Despite their abnormal distribution, mitochondria possessed normal ultrastructure and conservation of the cristae structure (Fig. 3 C). We did not observe connections between clustered mitochondria that were previously described in D. discoideum (Fields et al., 2002). Mitochondrial clustering and neurite retraction were observed after depleting CLUH in NSC34 cells, a motoneuronal cell line (Fig. S3, A–D). Neurite retraction is
CLUH is an RNA-binding protein

To integrate our previous findings into a functional model, we postulated that CLUH regulates biogenesis of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins by binding their mRNAs. To test this hypothesis, we performed cross-linking immunoprecipitation (CLIP) experiments (Jensen and Darnell, 2008). HeLa cells were exposed to UV irradiation to generate a covalent bond between RNA–protein complexes. After partial hydrolysis of the RNA, the RNA–protein complex was immunoprecipitated with antibodies against CLUH. The precipitated complexes were then analyzed by Mass Spectrometry to identify the proteins bound to CLUH. The results showed that CLUH binds to mRNAs of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins, consistent with mitochondria depletion from axons and dendrites, as previously observed (van Spronsen et al., 2013).

We also generated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) deficient for Cluh. These cells did not show any overt defect in cell growth or difference in mitochondrial mass measured by FACS after labeling the organelles with MitoTracker green (Fig. 3 D). However, analysis of their mitochondrial network showed aggregated mitochondria, which were detected as less numerous and with higher area/perimeter by automatic quantification (Fig. 3, E–G).

**Figure 1. Coregulation analysis of CLUH.** (A) Gene Ontology analysis of the network of genes that are coexpressed with CLUH/Cluh. The fold enrichment for the biological process Gene Ontology terms is shown (P < 10^{-4}). The green dotted line corresponds to fold enrichment of 1. (B) Cytoscape-generated Cluh gene regulatory network. Genes encoding mitochondrial- and ribosomal biogenesis–related proteins are highlighted and are connected by blue lines whose color intensity is proportional to the extent of their coregulation. (C and D) GSEA of Cluh regulatory network for mitochondrion genes (C) and ribosome biogenesis genes (D). The x axis shows the rank for the first 20,000 genes in the coregulation list. The graphs on the top display the enrichment scores. Middle panels show enrichment plots, in which the relative positions of genes are indicated as vertical blue bars [left, most similar expression to Cluh [red]; right, less similar expression to Cluh [blue]]. The graphs on the bottom show the cumulative distribution of the gene sets within the ranked lists. 50% of mitochondrion and ribosome biogenesis gene sets are found in the first ~15 and 7% of Cluh coregulation list, respectively.

CLUH is an RBP and binds mRNAs of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins

To integrate our previous findings into a functional model, we postulated that CLUH regulates biogenesis of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins by binding their mRNAs. To test this hypothesis, we performed cross-linking immunoprecipitation (CLIP) experiments (Jensen and Darnell, 2008). HeLa cells were exposed to UV irradiation to generate a covalent bond between RNA–protein complexes. After partial hydrolysis of the RNA, the RNA–protein complex was immunoprecipitated with...
complex at the expected molecular weight (mol wt) of CLUH only after UV cross-linking. This complex shifted to a higher mol wt when lower concentrations of RNase were used (Fig. 4 A).
CLUH is an RNA-binding protein

Table S1. 259 transcripts displayed a fold enrichment greater than five in the CLUH-RIP versus the IgG-RIP samples with a $P < 0.01$ (Table S3). Remarkably, 234 of these mRNAs are annotated as mitochondrial proteins. We obtained similar results when we compared the enrichment of transcripts found in the CLUH-RIP samples to the input HeLa transcriptome (Table S4). 233 mRNAs were common to both comparisons using the same cutoff values (Table S5). Analysis of these transcripts with Ingenuity confirmed a striking enrichment of canonical pathways and diseases and function annotations related to mitochondria (Fig. 4 C). To further confirm these data, we selected a few CLUH target transcripts and performed quantitative RT-PCR analyses in independent RIP experiments. We found consistent enrichment for \textit{ATP5A1}, \textit{SPG7}, \textit{OPA1}, \textit{OGDH}, and \textit{GOT2} mRNAs in CLUH-RIP versus IgG-RIP samples, in contrast to control mRNAs (Fig. 4 D). Interestingly, many of the CLUH-bound transcripts

No band was detected in CLIP experiments using a control IgG (anti-AFG3L1).

To obtain an independent evidence of CLUH acting as an RBP, we constructed a stable HEK 293T cell line overexpressing tetracycline-inducible CLUH-FLAG. The CLIP experiment was repeated using the anti-FLAG antibody either in cells overexpressing CLUH or an empty vector, confirming that CLUH directly binds mRNAs (Fig. 4 B). Despite the fact that CLUH does not possess a known RNA-binding domain, our data are consistent with recent systematic studies in HeLa cells and yeast (Castello et al., 2012; Mitchell et al., 2013).

To identify the CLUH target mRNAs in an unbiased and genome-wide manner, we performed next-generation sequencing on three independent RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) experiments after UV cross-linking in HeLa cells using the CLUH antibody or the control IgG. Library quality control is summarized in Table S1. 259 transcripts displayed a fold enrichment greater than five in the CLUH-RIP versus the IgG-RIP samples with a $P < 0.01$ (Table S3). Remarkably, 234 of these mRNAs are annotated as mitochondrial proteins. We obtained similar results when we compared the enrichment of transcripts found in the CLUH-RIP samples to the input HeLa transcriptome (Table S4). 233 mRNAs were common to both comparisons using the same cutoff values (Table S5). Analysis of these transcripts with Ingenuity confirmed a striking enrichment of canonical pathways and diseases and function annotations related to mitochondria (Fig. 4 C). To further confirm these data, we selected a few CLUH target transcripts and performed quantitative RT-PCR analyses in independent RIP experiments. We found consistent enrichment for \textit{ATP5A1}, \textit{SPG7}, \textit{OPA1}, \textit{OGDH}, and \textit{GOT2} mRNAs in CLUH-RIP versus IgG-RIP samples, in contrast to control mRNAs (Fig. 4 D). Interestingly, many of the CLUH-bound transcripts
CLUH deletion globally affects cytosolic translation rates in knockout (KO) MEFs or down-regulated NSC34 cells (Figs. 5 A and S3 E). Consistently, polysome profiling did not show obvious differences in Cluh-deficient compared with control cells (Figs. 5 B and S3 F). We then asked whether the levels of CLUH target mRNAs or encoded proteins were affected by Cluh deficiency (Fig. 5, C–F; and Fig. S3, G and H). We analyzed genes that were proven to be CLUH targets in RIP experiments (Atp5a1, Opa1, Ogdh, Got2, Spg7, Pdha, and Sdha), and were also present in the coregulation list, consistent with a common transcriptional program regulating both CLUH and its targets. These data establish that CLUH specifically binds mRNAs of a subset of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins.

CLUH depletion decreases the levels of proteins encoded by target mRNAs

RBPs can affect any aspect of RNA life, including RNA stability, transport, and translation efficiency. First, we excluded that CLUH depletion globally affects cytosolic translation rates in knockout (KO) MEFs or down-regulated NSC34 cells (Figs. 5 A and S3 E). Consistently, polysome profiling did not show obvious differences in Cluh-deficient compared with control cells (Figs. 5 B and S3 F). We then asked whether the levels of CLUH target mRNAs or encoded proteins were affected by Cluh deficiency (Fig. 5, C–F; and Fig. S3, G and H). We analyzed genes that were proven to be CLUH targets in RIP experiments (Atp5a1, Opa1, Ogdh, Got2, Spg7, Pdha, and Sdha), and
Figure 5. **CLUH depletion decreases levels of proteins encoded by target mRNAs.** (A) Cluh KO does not affect the general translation rate in MEFs. CHX, cycloheximide. (B) Polysome profiling of Cluh KO MEFs does not show an obvious difference compared with that obtained in wild-type (WT) cells. This experiment has been completed once. (C) Western blots of WT and KO MEFs were decorated with antibodies against proteins encoded by CLUH target and control transcripts. (D) Quantitative RT-PCR of CLUH target and control transcripts in Cluh KO MEFs. The level of each transcript is expressed as a ratio to GAPDH (n = 4). (E) Quantification of Western blots shown in C (n = 4). (F) Protein levels in E were normalized to RNA levels in D. ***, P ≤ 0.001; **, P ≤ 0.01; *, P ≤ 0.05. Error bars show standard errors of the mean.
as a control, genes that were not significantly enriched (including genes encoding the mitochondrial protein TOMM20 and ribosomal or eukaryotic initiation factor components found in the coregulation list). Only the transcript level of Atp5a1 was significantly reduced in Cluh KO MEFs, providing a possible explanation for the reduced steady-state levels of the protein detected by Western blotting (Fig. 5 C). In contrast, we observed a significant decrease of the protein levels when normalized to the mRNA levels of SPG7, OPA1, OGDH, PDHA1, and GOT2 in Cluh-deficient cells. SDHIA showed a tendency toward a reduction, whereas all control genes were unaffected (Fig. 5, C–F). Our data are also consistent with decreased levels of pyruvate dehydrogenase complex V as a subunit previously reported in flies lacking Clu (Cox and Spradling, 2009).

In conclusion, we demonstrate that CLUH is a novel RBP that specifically binds a subset of mRNAs for nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins, thus playing a previously unrecognized role in mitochondrial biogenesis. Pathway enrichment analysis of CLUH target transcripts and the coexpression data strongly reinforce the hypothesis that CLUH is required to support a specific program of mitochondrial biogenesis. Target CLUH transcripts encode not only for components of the respiratory chain but also for molecules involved in the TCA cycle and in fatty acid and amino acid metabolism. Moreover, CLUH binds the mRNA encoding for PINK1 (Tables S3–S5), a mitochondrial protein kinase involved in Parkinson disease and shown to act upstream of parkin (Clark et al., 2006; Park et al., 2006; Narendra and Youle, 2011). This result could provide an explanation for the genetic interaction between Clu and parkin previously observed in Drosophila (Cox and Spradling, 2009).

Ad hoc experiments are now necessary to understand whether CLUH regulates stability, transport, and/or translation of its target mRNAs. Both in yeast and mammalian cells, a subset of mRNAs encoding for mitochondrial proteins was asymmetry localized to the vicinity of the organelle (Mare et al., 2002; Marguet et al., 2002; Devaux et al., 2010; Matsumoto et al., 2012). The localization of mRNAs to a particular subcellular compartment leads to efficient translation of proteins next to the location where they are needed. Such a process allows for fast temporal and spatial regulation of gene expression and protects the cell from possible toxic effects caused by protein mistargeting (Martin and Ephrussi, 2009). Furthermore, cells may need to quickly regulate translation of mitochondrial proteins, depending on their metabolic status, for example, changes of substrate availability.

Down-regulation of CLUH in mammalian cells alters mitochondrial distribution, as previously shown in other species (Zhu et al., 1997; Fields et al., 1998; Logan et al., 2003; Cox and Spradling, 2009). Mitochondrial clustering has been observed upon mitochondrial fragmentation, loss of mitochondrial membrane potential preceding mitophagy, and disruption of anterograde movement of mitochondria (Tanaka et al., 1998; Smirnova et al., 2001; Cho et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2010). It is conceivable that alteration in mitochondrial distribution observed in Cluh-depleted cells is secondary to a defect in mitochondrial biogenesis. Alternatively, CLUH might regulate both mitochondrial and RNP movement via yet-unknown interactors.

A hint for an active role of CLUH in transport of RNPs comes from the partial association of CLUH with tyrosinated tubulin, which labels newly assembled MTs and MT plus ends. The identification of CLUH as the first mammalian RBP that binds mRNAs for nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins sheds a completely new light on the function of this highly conserved protein and opens novel avenues of research to elucidate mechanisms of regulation of mitochondrial biogenesis.

### Materials and methods

#### Coregulation analysis

GSEA was performed as previously described (Subramanian et al., 2005). In brief, the lists of genes belonging to the mitochondrial and the ribosome biogenesis groups were downloaded from the Gene Ontology database, and the GSEA tool was used to evaluate their distribution within a genome-wide list of genes that were ranked according to their degree of coexpression with Cluh. The cumulative distribution function was constructed by performing 1,000 random gene set membership assignments. A nominal P < 0.01 and a false discovery rate of <10% were used to assess the significance of the enrichment score. Gene Ontology analyses were performed with the webtool DAVID (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery; Huang et al., 2009) using default parameters. The Cluh gene network was obtained by performing pathway coexpression analyses as previously described (Gennarino et al., 2011; Song et al., 2013). In brief, Cluh was used as a bait to analyze a vast set of transcriptional profiles available at the Gene Expression Omnibus database (Barrett et al., 2007). Multiple cellular conditions and tissues are represented in this database. To ensure data homogeneity, the analysis was focused on experiments that used the Mouse Genome 430 2.0 Array (GeneChip; Affymetrix). For each gene represented in the Affymetrix platform, a pairwise coexpression score with Cluh was calculated as its cumulative occurrence in the top 3% of correlated genes across all investigated experiments (Gennarino et al., 2011; Song et al., 2013). The expression correlation data were then analyzed with Cytoscape (Lopes et al., 2010) to draw a visual representation of expression relationships among genes. Genes annotated as mitochondrion and ribosome biogenesis in DAVID were highlighted in the Cytoscape-generated network by using different color codes.

#### Generation and culture of cell lines

CluhloxP/loxP conditional mice were generated by conventional gene targeting in C57BL6/N embryonic stem cells using constructs designed to insert intronic LoxP sites flanking exon 10 of mouse Cluh. CluhloxP/CluhloxP animals were crossed with cytomegalovirus-Cre transgenic mice to generate Cluh+/− heterozygous mice. Primary fibroblasts were isolated from embryonic day 14.5 embryos derived from a mating between Cluh−/− mice and immortalized using a plasmid encoding SV40 large T antigen. All animal procedures were performed according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

MEFs, HeLa, and COS7 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FetalClone III serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 2% penicillin-streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine (Life Technologies). Ns34 cells (Cashman et al., 1992) were cultured in DMEM, supplemented with 5% defined FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 2% penicillin-streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine.

#### RNAi and neurite quantification

Ns34 or COS7 cells were grown to 70–80% confluency. Predesigned siRNAs for murine Cluh [oligonucleotide (oligo) 1, 5′-CAUGGCC-GUGAGGAAUACUUGCUA-3′; oligo 2, 5′-CCUGCGUACUGUUG-AUGUGA-3′; and oligo 3, 5′-CAGAAUCACUGUGCAUGUCGA-3′] in human Cluh (oligo 1, 5′-UCCUUUCUCCUUGGUACUGCUACAGU-3′; oligo 2, 5′-CCUGCGUACUGUUG-AUGUGA-3′; and oligo 3, 5′-AACACGAGCAUCCUGGAGCAGGCG-3′) or washout condition (oligo 2, 5′-CCUGCGUACUGUUG-AUGUGA-3′; and oligo 3, 5′-AACACGAGCAUCCUGGAGCAGGCG-3′) were obtained from Life Technologies. Cells were transfected individually or together in a 1:1:1 ratio using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) with a final concentration of 100 nM. For immunofluorescence staining, cells were plated on coverslips after 48 h of down-regulation, and immunofluorescence experiments were performed after an additional 24 h. For cell lysate preparation, cells were harvested 72 h after transfection and lysed with buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8, 1% Igepal CA-630, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, and protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich).
Neurite length was measured with AxioVision version 4.8.2.0 (Carl Zeiss). 150–500 cells were analyzed per experiment, and neurite length was categorized as longer or shorter than 30 µm.

**Immunofluorescence and imaging**

Cells were washed twice with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, pH 7.4, in PBS for 30 min at RT or with ice-cold methanol for 20 min at −20°C. Cells were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min, blocked in 10% pig serum in PBS for 10 min, and subjected to primary antibodies diluted in PBS including 1% pig serum for 2 h at RT. PEM extraction of soluble proteins was performed as described before (Cappelletti et al., 2003). In brief, cells were washed twice with PEM buffer (85 mM Pipes, pH 6.94, 10 mM EDTA, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM glycerol, and 1 mM PMSF) containing protease inhibitor cocktail, and soluble proteins were extracted by incubating cells for 10 min with PEM buffer including 0.1% Triton X-100 at RT. Cells were fixed with ice-cold methanol for 10 min at −20°C followed by standard immunofluorescence protocol. Primary antibodies were diluted as follows: polyclonal rabbit CLUH at 1:1,000 (Novus Biologicals), monoclonal mouse monospec 1:100 (Neo Markers), monoclonal mouse γ-tubulin at 1:400, monoclonal mouse α-tubulin at 1:500, monoclonal mouse α-tubulin at 1:500, monoclonal mouse acetylated tubulin at 1:100, and polyclonal rabbit FLAG at 1:100 (Sigma-Aldrich). Subsequently, cover slips were washed in PBS and incubated in secondary antibodies, swine anti-rabbit TRITC (Dako) diluted 1:200 and goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (Life Technologies) diluted 1:500 in PBS containing 1% pig serum for 1 h at RT. MitoTracker red CMXRos (Life Technologies) staining was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cover slips were washed twice with PBS, mounted with Fluorsave (EMD Millipore), and visualized with an epifluorescence microscope (Image M2z; Carl Zeiss) mounting an ApoTome module (Carl Zeiss) and a 63×, 1.4 NA oil immersion objective lens (Plan Apochromat; Carl Zeiss) at RT. Images were acquired using a camera (AxioCam MRm; Carl Zeiss) and the software AxioVision version 4.8.2.0 (Carl Zeiss). Images represent a single plane with a thickness of 0.25 µm.

**Quantification of mitochondrial mass and morphology**

To measure mitochondrial content in MEFs, 100,000 cells were seeded on 30-mm dishes. 24 h later, cells were trypsinized, washed with PBS, and loaded with 200 nM MitoTracker green fluorescent mitochondrial (Life Technologies) in complete medium for 25 min. Cells were then washed twice with PBS and resuspended with PBS containing 1% FetalClone III serum before analysis with a cell sorter (FACS Aria III; BD). Experiments were performed three times (10,000 events in each replicate). Mitochondrial morphology in COS7 and NsC34 cells was scored by an experimenter who was blind to the genotype. Mitochondria were labeled either with MitoTracker red CMXRos or by transfection with mito-mCherry. Mitochondrial area/perimeter and number of particles in MEFs were obtained using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health), using a macro developed by Dagda et al. (2009). The image analysis macro can be downloaded at the following link: http://imagejdoc.tudor.lu/doku.php?id=plugin: morph:mitochondrial_morphology_macro_plugin-in-start.

**Electron microscopy**

COS7 were prepared for electron microscopy, as previously described (Wakabayashi et al., 2009). In brief, cells were fixed for 1 h in 1% glutaraldehyde, 2% (vol/vol) glutaraldehyde, 2.5% (mol wt/vol) sucrose, 3 mM CaCl₂, and 100 mM Hepes-KOH, pH 7.4. After washes, cells were postfixed with 0.1% OsO₄ in 1.25% (mol wt/vol) sucrose and 100 mM sodium cacodylate, pH 7.4 for 1 h on ice. Cells were then incubated in 2% (mol wt/vol) uranyl acetate for 30 min. After dehydration using 50, 70, 90, and 100% ethyl alcohol, cells were dried with acetone for 30 min. Cells were stored at −20°C. Cells were embedded in Epon (Fluka). Samples were observed under a transmission electron microscope (EM 902; Carl Zeiss) at 40,000× magnification (1% [mol wt/vol] OsO₄, 1.25% [mol wt/vol] sucrose, and 100 mM sodium cacodylate, pH 7.4) for 1 h on ice. Cells were postfixed with 0.1% OsO₄ in 1.25% [mol wt/vol] sucrose and 100 mM sodium cacodylate, pH 7.4 for 1 h on ice. Cells were then incubated in 2% (mol wt/vol) uranyl acetate for 30 min. After dehydration using 50, 70, 90, and 100% ethyl alcohol, cells were dried with acetone for 30 min. Cells were stored at −20°C. Cells were embedded in Epon (Fluka). Samples were observed under a transmission electron microscope (EM 902; Carl Zeiss) at 40,000× magnification. The resulting supernatant was centrifuged at 100,000 g for 3 h. Two parts of the membrane fraction were then layered onto one part of sucrose cushion containing 1 M sucrose, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 500 mM KCl and centrifuged at 275,000 g for 2.5 h. The pellet was then resuspended in 500 µM sucrose, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 0.16 U/ml RNase inhibitor (RNasin Plus; Promega). The lysates were then layered onto a 7–40% (vol/vol) continuous sucrose gradient and centrifuged at 97,658 g for 3 h at 4°C in a rotor (SW41; Beckman Coulter). For polysome profiling, fractions were monitored at 254 nm using a UV-6 detector and collected with a density gradient fractionation system (Foxy R1 Fraction Collector; Teledyne ISCO). For Western blot analysis, the same volume of samples from each fraction was loaded on the SDS-PAGE.

**Sucrose gradient and polysome profiling**

Cells were harvested at 70–80% of confluence. Polysomes were prepared by treating the cells with 100 µg/ml cycloheximide (Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 min. Cells were washed and scraped in PBS containing cycloheximide at 4°C. After pelleting cells at 21,000 g for 15 s, the pellet was lysed in buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 30 mM KCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 2 mM DTT, 1 mg/ml heparin, 100 µg/ml cycloheximide, and 0.16 U/ml RNase inhibitor (RNasin Plus; Promega). The lysates were then layered onto a 7–40% (vol/vol) continuous sucrose gradient and centrifuged at 97,658 g for 3 h at 4°C in a rotor (SW41; Beckman Coulter). For polysome profiling, fractions were monitored at 254 nm using a UV-6 detector and collected with a density gradient fractionation system (Foxy R1 Fraction Collector; Teledyne ISCO). For Western blot analysis, the same volume of samples from each fraction was loaded on the SDS-PAGE.

**Western blot analysis and quantification**

The following antibodies were used: polyclonal rabbit CLUH (detecting human and mouse CLUH), polyclonal rabbit RPL7, polyclonal rabbit RPS6, polyclonal rabbit EIF3G, polyclonal rabbit EIF3D, and polyclonal rabbit POLR2A obtained from Novus Biologicals; monoclonal mouse GAPDH and monoclonal mouse panactin obtained from EMD Millipore; monoclonal mouse ATP5A1 and monoclonal mouse SDHA obtained from Life Technologies; monoclonal mouse OPA1 purchased from BD; monoclonal mouse PDHA1, monoclonal rabbit GOT2, polyclonal rabbit OGDH, polyclonal rabbit EIF5A, monoclonal rabbit RPL13, monoclonal mouse ATP5A1, and polyclonal rabbit OPA1 purchased from Abcam; polyclonal rabbit TOM20 obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; monoclonal mouse β-tubulin obtained from Sigma-Aldrich; monoclonal rabbit calnexin obtained from Biotrend; and polyclonal rabbit cytochrome c reductase obtained from Stressgen. The polyclonal rabbit antibody against paraplegin was produced using as antigen a His-tagged fusion protein containing amino acids 50–307 of mouse paraplegin (Ferreirinha et al., 2004). Quantification of Western blots was performed with ImageJ (n = 4).

**Generation of the CLUH-FLAG overexpression cell line**

The full-length cDNA of human CLUH was cloned into the p3xFLAG-CMV-14 (Sigma-Aldrich). Stable transfected cells were obtained using the Flp-In T-Rex 293 cell system (Life Technologies). The cells were maintained in DMEM (Life Technologies), 7.5% tetracycline-free FBS (Biochrom AG), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Life Technologies), nonessential amino acids (Life Technologies), 2 mM-glutamine and 1.5 mg/ml hygromycin (InvivoGen), and 150 µg/ml blasticidin (InvivoGen). 1 µg/ml tetracycline (dissolved in ethanol) was used to induce protein expression.

**CUP and RIP**

Cells were harvested at 70–80% of confluence. HeLa and HEK 293T cells were cross-linked with 300 and 400 mCi/cm², respectively (CX2000 UV cross-linker, UV). After collection, the cell pellet was lysed in cold lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 1% [IGEPAL CA-630, 0.1% SDS, and 0.5% sodium deoxycholate] supplemented with different concentrations of RNase I (Life Technologies); 50 U/500 µl lysate or 1/500 µl lysis] and 4 U TURBO DNase (Life Technologies) per 500 µl cell lysate. The cleared lysate was then incubated with 0.5 µg anti-CLUH (Novus Biologicals), 0.5 µg anti-AFG3L1 (Koppen et al., 2007), no antibody, or 3 µg anti-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h at 4°C with head-to-toe rotation. The complex was immunoprecipitated by the addition of 20 µl protein G Dynabeads (Life Technologies) for 1 h at 4°C.
For the negative control, 100 µg/ml cycloheximide was added to the medium. Cells were collected at different time points, lysed in buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8, 1% IGEPA CA-630, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, and protease inhibitor cocktail. The proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (PVDF International) that were then exposed to x-ray film.

Statistical analysis
Statistical graphs were performed using a paired Student’s t-test. Error bars show standard errors of the mean.

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows the domain structure of Cluh, the alignment between Cluh domain in different species, and the list of the first 100 genes co-regulated with Cluh. Fig. S2 shows additional immunofluorescence analysis of Cluh. Fig. S3 shows results obtained after silencing of Cluh in NSC34 cells. Table S1 shows RIP-seq library statistics. Table S2 contains sequences of primers used in this study. Table S3 shows transcripts enriched with FC > 5 and P < 0.01 in Cluh-RIP versus IgG-RIP experiments and is provided online as an Excel [Microsoft] file. Table S4 shows transcripts enriched with FC > 5 and P < 0.01 in Cluh-RIP versus Hela input mRNA and is provided online as an Excel file. Table S5 displays a comparison between the two sets of analysis and is provided online as an Excel file. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201403129/DC1.
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