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Abstract

We study how the exotic particles and supersymmetric partners would affect the discovery limit at the Tevatron and LHC for neutral gauge bosons in generic $E_6$ models. We examine the $Z'$ decay in the extreme case that all of the particles are massless, then consider how the masses of non-standard model particles will affect the discovery limit. We also calculate the discovery limit for a supersymmetric $E_6$ model with a secluded sector as a concrete example of a model with a definite set of exotic particles. Its discovery limit is small compared with other $E_6$ models due to the $U'(1)$ charge assignment.
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1 Introduction

While the standard model (SM) has been precisely tested by experiments, it is believed to be only a low energy effective description of nature. Extended gauge symmetries and/or extra gauge bosons appear in many extensions of the standard model, such as left-right symmetric models [1], superstring motivated models [2], GUT (grand unification theory) [3], little Higgs models [4], large extra dimensions [5], and dynamical symmetry breaking [6]. They are good candidates for new physics at future colliders. To date, extra gauge bosons have not been observed, setting constraints on various models.

In this paper, we focus on extra neutral gauge bosons [7]. The direct search for $Z'$ requires the collider energy to be high enough to produce the $Z'$ and the signal to be distinguished from the standard model background. The discovery limit for the $Z'$ mass is model dependent, but it is convenient to give it for some representative models, such as the SSM (sequential standard model), LRM (left-right symmetric model), and $E_6$ models. The CDF and DO collaborations [8] give direct $Z'$ search limits ranging from 560 GeV to 690 GeV for different specific models from their Run I data from the non-observation of $\bar{p}p \to e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$. These should be improved to $O(800 \text{ GeV})$ from these and other decay modes in Run II, and to several TeV at the LHC. The discovery reach for various models at future hadron and lepton colliders was studied in [9]-[15]. There are also stringent indirect limits, especially on $Z-Z'$ mixing, from low energy, $Z$-pole, and LEP2 experiments [16]-[20].

The model dependence arises in part because of the $U(1)'$ gauge couplings and quark and lepton charges. However, $U(1)'$ models necessarily imply new exotic fermions needed for anomaly cancellations [21]. If some of these and/or some of the superpartners in supersymmetric versions are light enough to be produced in $Z'$ decays, the leptonic branching ratios and discovery limits will be affected. Some earlier discussions of the implications of exotics and superpartners on the discovery limits are found in [22]-[31]. In this paper, we study these effects in detail for the example of generic $E_6$-motivated $Z'$ models. The $E_6$ gauge group can be broken as

$$E_6 \to SO(10) \times U(1)_\psi \to SU(5) \times U(1)_\chi \times U(1)_\psi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The $U(1)_\psi$ and $U(1)_\chi$ charges for the $E_6$ fundamental representation 27 are given in Table 1. Each 27 includes one SM family, an exotic charge $-1/3$ $D$ quark and its conjugate; two SM singlets $\overline{N}$ and $S_L$; and one pair of Higgs-like doublets $H'_{u,d}$. One pair can be associated with the MSSM Higgs doublets, and the other two as exotics\footnote{It is sometimes convenient, depending on the symmetries of the superpotential, to interpret the exotic Higgs fields as exotic lepton doublets, which have the same gauge quantum numbers.}. As described below, we will also add some additional doublets and singlets from $27 + \overline{27}$ pairs.

The $U(1)'$ in consideration is one linear combination of the $U(1)_\chi$ and $U(1)_\psi$

$$Q' = \cos \theta \ Q_\chi + \sin \theta \ Q_\psi ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
Table 1: Decomposition of the $E_6$ fundamental representation $27$ under $SO(10)$ and $SU(5)$, and their $U(1)_\chi$, $U(1)_\psi$, $U(1)_\eta$, secluded sector $U(1)'_s$, and neutral-N model $U(1)_N$ charges.

| $SO(10)$ | $SU(5)$ | $2\sqrt{10}Q_\chi$ | $2\sqrt{6}Q_\psi$ | $2\sqrt{15}Q_\eta$ | $2\sqrt{15}Q_s$ | $2\sqrt{10}Q_N$ |
|----------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 16       | 10 $(u,d,w,v)$ | -1 1 -2 -1/2 1 |
|          | $\overline{5}$ $(d,\nu,\epsilon)$ | 3 1 1 4 2 |
|          | $1\overline{N}$ | -5 1 -5 0 |
| 10       | 5 $(D,H'_d)$ | 2 -2 4 1 -2 |
|          | $\overline{5}$ $(\overline{D},H'_\overline{d})$ | -2 -2 1 -7/2 -3 |
| 1        | 1 $S_L$ | 0 4 -5 5/2 5 |

parameterized by angle $\theta$. For simplicity, we assume that the other $U(1)$ gauge symmetry from the orthogonal linear combination of the $U(1)_\chi$ and $U(1)_\psi$ is absent or broken at a high scale\(^2\). $U(1)_\eta$ is a particular combination of $U(1)_\chi$ and $U(1)_\psi$, i.e., $\theta = 2\pi - \tan^{-1}\sqrt{3} = 1.71\pi$. It occurs in Calabi-Yau compactifications of the heterotic string model if $E_6$ leads directly to a rank 5 group via the Wilson line (Hosotani) mechanism. $U(1)_N$ is a special model used in [33, 34], in which the right-handed neutrino decouples from the low energy $U(1)$, avoiding big bang nucleosynthesis constraints. It corresponds to $\theta = \tan^{-1}\sqrt{15} \sim 0.42\pi$.

Besides the general discussion of $E_6$ models, we are interested in a secluded sector model proposed in [35, 36], with $\theta = \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{15}/9) \sim 0.13\pi$. This model makes use of three $27$'s of $E_6$ and some particle pairs from $27 + \overline{27}$. It is anomaly free, can solve the MSSM $\mu$ problem, can give a natural explanation of the $Z - Z'$ mass hierarchy, and allows an enhanced possibility for electroweak baryogenesis.

We focus on the direct search for $Z'$ at hadron colliders such as the Tevatron and LHC by their decay into $e^- e^+$ and $\mu^- \mu^+$. We discuss the effect of exotic particles as well as supersymmetric partners on the discovery limits. Our concern is not so much the precise discovery limits themselves. These will be obtained much better by the experimenters in their own analysis. Rather, we want to quantitatively show the sensitivity of the discovery limit to the exotic particle spectrum.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next two Sections, we give the general discussion of $Z'$ physics and the necessary formulas for the decay width and couplings for mass eigenstates. In Section 4 we study the branching ratios and the total decay widths for different $\theta$, and consider how the discovery limits will be affected by the masses of the non-SM particles. In Section 5 we review the secluded sector $E_6$ model

\(^2\)We are not considering a full $E_6$ grand unified theory, because a light $Z'$ would prevent a large doublet-triplet splitting and lead to rapid proton decay. Rather, it is convenient and conventional to simply consider the $U(1)'$ charge assignment and exotic particle content of $E_6$ as an example of an anomaly free construction. Other examples, such as superstring motivated models, typically have more complicated exotic structures [32].
and calculate the discovery limits for $Z'$ only decaying into SM particles and for decays including the exotics and supersymmetric partners.

2 General Discussion of the $Z'$ Decay Width

The Born cross section $\sigma^f(pp(p\bar{p}) \rightarrow (\gamma, Z, Z')X \rightarrow f\bar{f}X)$ is

$$\sigma^f = \Sigma_q \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 \sigma(sx_1x_2; q\bar{q} \rightarrow f\bar{f})G^q_A(x_1, x_2, M_{Z'}^2)\theta(x_1x_2s - M_Z^2) \tag{3}$$

where $M_Z$ is the sum of the masses of the final particles, $x_{1,2} = \sqrt{\frac{2s}{s}} \exp(+y)$ and $y$ is the rapidity. The function $G^q_A(x_1, x_2, M_{Z'}^2)$ depends on the structure functions of the quarks. In an approximation adequate for our purposes, $\sigma^f$ is given by

$$\sigma^f_T = \frac{N_{Z'}^2}{L} = \frac{1}{s} c_{Z'} C \exp(-A \frac{M_{Z'}^2}{\sqrt{s}}) \tag{4}$$

where $C=600(300)$ and $A=32 (20)$ for $pp(p\bar{p})$ collisions. $s$ is the center of mass energy square of the collision, $N_{Z'}$ is the number of events, $L$ is the luminosity, and the subscript $T$ means it is a tree level result. QCD corrections ($K$ factors) increase the lowest order cross section by 20-30 percent. We take $K \sim 1.3$ in our numerical calculation [13]. From (4), we see that the predicted cross section falls exponentially as a function of $M_{Z'}$. The details of the $Z'$ model are collected in a quantity $c_{Z'}$ that depends on $M_{Z'}$, the $Z'$ couplings, and the masses of the particle the $Z'$ can decay into:

$$c_{Z'} = \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \frac{\Gamma_{Z'} \cdot Br_f^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \cdot \frac{1}{C_{ud} \cdot Br_2^d} \cdot \frac{1}{(Q_l^2 + Q_r^2)} \tag{5}$$

where $C_{ud} = 2(25)$, $\Gamma_{Z'}$ is the total $Z'$ width, and $Br_f^2$ is the branching ratio into $f\bar{f}$. In the limit that the fermion masses are small compared with $M_{Z'}$, the $Z'$ decay width into fermions is,

$$\Gamma_{Z' \rightarrow f\bar{f}} = \frac{g^2 M_{Z'}^2}{24\pi} (Q_l^2 + Q_r^2) \tag{6}$$

where $Q_l, Q_r$ are the $U(1)'$ charges for the left (right) chiral fermions. From (5), the dependence on $M_{Z'}$ cancels out in this limit, and $c_{Z'}$ is a constant depending only on the particle charge assignments. Then (4) can be inverted to obtain the $Z'$ discovery limit,

$$M_{Z'}^{lim} \sim \frac{\sqrt{s}}{A} \ln \left( \frac{L \cdot c_{Z'} C}{s N_{Z'}} \right) \sim \sqrt{s} \times 0.386(0.583) + \frac{1}{32(20)} \ln \left( \frac{L \cdot f b}{N_{Z'} \cdot s / TeV^2 \cdot 1000 \cdot c_{Z'}} \right) \tag{7}$$

For $M_{Z'} < M_{Z'}^{lim}$, more than $N_{Z'}$ events are expected. If the $Z'$ decays only into the SM fermions, (7) gives a good estimate. The result is changed only a small amount
for the models and mass ranges we are considering if we include the effect of the top quark mass of 175 GeV.

However, to study the effects of light exotic particle and/or light supersymmetric partners on the $Z'$ discovery limits, we need to deal with particles with masses comparable to the $Z'$ mass. In that case, the $Z'$ decay width will depend on the particle masses, and $c_{Z'}$ is not a constant, implying the need for a numerical study. The width for $Z'$ decays into boson pairs with nonzero masses is

$$
\Gamma_{Z \rightarrow s \bar{s}} = \frac{g^2 f_{ss}^2 M_{Z'}}{48\pi} \left( 1 + \frac{m_1^4}{M_{Z'}^2} + \frac{m_2^4}{M_{Z'}^2} - 2 \frac{m_1^2}{M_{Z'}^2} - 2 \frac{m_2^2}{M_{Z'}^2} - \frac{2m_1^2 m_2^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{8}
$$

where $f_{ss}$ is the $Z'$ couplings to $s_1, s_2^*$. It is a product of $U(1)'$ charges and a matrix connecting the mass eigenstates and weak eigenstates and will be given in the next section. The width for $Z'$ decays into fermions is more complicated because the formulae for $Z'$ decay into Majorana spinors (for example, neutralinos) and Dirac spinors (quarks and leptons) are different. The decay width for $Z'$ decays into Majorana spinors is

$$
\Gamma_{Z' \rightarrow f \bar{f}} = \frac{g^2 M_{Z'}}{24\pi} \left( 1 + \frac{m_1^4}{M_{Z'}^2} + \frac{m_2^4}{M_{Z'}^2} - 2 \frac{m_1^2}{M_{Z'}^2} - 2 \frac{m_2^2}{M_{Z'}^2} - \frac{2m_1^2 m_2^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{m_1^2 + m_2^2}{2M_{Z'}^2} - \frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}{2M_{Z'}^2} \right) \left( C_{l(i,j)}^2 + C_{r(i,j)}^2 \right) + \frac{3m_1 m_2}{M_{Z'}^2} (C_{l(i,j)} C_{r(i,j)}^* + C_{r(i,j)} C_{l(i,j)}^*) \right] \frac{1}{1 + \delta_{ij}} \tag{9}
$$

where $C_l$ and $C_r$ are the product of $U(1)'$ charges and the matrix connecting the mass and weak eigenstates. They will be given in the next section. $m_{1,2}$ are the masses of the two fermions. From the above, we can deduce the decay width for $Z'$ decay into Dirac fermion pairs,

$$
\Gamma_{Z' \rightarrow f \bar{f}} = \frac{g^2 M_{Z'}}{24\pi} \left( 1 - 4 \frac{m_1^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{m_1^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right) (C_l^2 + C_r^2) + \frac{3m_1^2}{M_{Z'}^2} (C_l C_r^* + C_r C_l^*) \right] \tag{10}
$$

We now consider the couplings between mass eigenstates.

### 3 Couplings Between Mass Eigenstates

If the Higgs fields have nonzero $U(1)'$ charge, there will be mixing between the two neutral gauge bosons. The covariant derivative appearing in the Lagrangian of a
supersymmetric $U(1)'$ model (we only include neutral gauge bosons) is

\[ D_\mu = \partial_\mu - i \frac{g_1 g_2}{\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}} A_\mu (T^3 + Y) - i \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}} Z_\mu (g_2^2 T^3 - g_1^2 Y) - i g' Q' Z'_\mu \]  

(11)

After the symmetry breaking, $H_{u,d}$ acquire nonzero VEVs $v_1, v_2$ and some SM singlets $S_i$ that are charged under $U(1)'$ will acquire nonzero VEVs to account for the $Z - Z'$ mass hierarchy. The $Z - Z'$ mass squared matrix is

\[
M_{ZZ'}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_1^2 & \delta M^2 \\ \delta M^2 & M_2^2 \end{pmatrix}
\]

(12)

where

\[
\delta M^2 = \sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2} g' (Q_{h_2} v_2^2 - Q_{h_1} v_1^2)
\]

(13)

\[
M_1^2 = \frac{(g_1^2 + g_2^2)(v_1^2 + v_2^2)}{2}
\]

(14)

\[
M_2^2 = 2 g'^2 (Q_{h_1}^2 v_1^2 + Q_{h_2}^2 v_2^2 + Q_{S_i}^2 S_i^2)
\]

(15)

The mass eigenstates $Z_1, Z_2$ are related to $Z$ and $Z'$ by

\[
\begin{pmatrix} Z \\ Z' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_z & \sin \theta_z \\ -\sin \theta_z & \cos \theta_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix}
\]

(16)

where $\theta_z$ is the $Z - Z'$ mixing angle, given by

\[
\tan 2\theta_z = \frac{2\delta M^2}{M_2^2 - M_1^2}
\]

(17)

The covariant derivative in terms of $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ is

\[
D_\mu = \partial_\mu - i \frac{g_1 g_2}{\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}} A_\mu (T^3 + Y) - i \left( \frac{\cos \theta_z}{\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}} (g_2^2 T^3 - g_1^2 Y) - g' Q' \sin \theta_z \right) Z_{1\mu}
- i \left( g' Q' \cos \theta_z + \frac{\sin \theta_z}{\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}} (g_2^2 T^3 - g_1^2 Y) \right) Z_{2\mu}
\]

(18)

The mixing angle, $\theta_z$, is very small by the LEP and SLD $Z$-pole data and other precise constraints \cite{16-20}, so we will neglect it in the following\cite{4}.

\footnote{We neglect the possibility of kinetic mixing \cite{11}.}

\footnote{Because we have neglected the mixing angle, we don’t consider the decays $Z' \to W^+ W^-$ and $Z' \to Z + boson$, since the amplitudes are proportional to the mixing angle \cite{12, 25, 39, 12}.}

We also need the $Z'$ couplings to the mass eigenstates. For the standard model and other Dirac fermions, the $C_l$ and $C_r$ in (10) are just the $U(1)'$ charges for the left-handed and right-handed components. For squarks and sleptons, the Lagrangian is

$$L = -ig' Q'_L Z'_\mu \phi_L \partial^\mu \phi_L^* - ig' Q'_R Z'_\mu \phi_R \partial^\mu \phi_R^*$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)$$

For simplicity, we neglect family mixing here. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2$ be the mass eigenstates and $\theta_s$ the mixing angle between the left and right fields.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_L \\ \phi_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_s & \sin \theta_s \\ -\sin \theta_s & \cos \theta_s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$ \hspace{1cm} (20)$$

In terms of the mass eigenstates,

$$L = -ig' Z'_\mu [(Q'_L \cos^2 \theta_s + Q'_R \sin^2 \theta_s) \phi_1 \partial^\mu \phi_1^* + (Q'_L \sin^2 \theta_s + Q'_R \cos^2 \theta_s) \phi_2 \partial^\mu \phi_2^* + (Q'_L - Q'_R) \sin \theta_s \cos \theta_s (\phi_1 \partial^\mu \phi_2^* + \phi_2 \partial^\mu \phi_1^*)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)$$

from which we can read off the couplings,

$$f'_{z11} = (Q'_L \cos^2 \theta_s + Q'_R \sin^2 \theta_s)$$

$$f'_{z22} = (Q'_L \sin^2 \theta_s + Q'_R \cos^2 \theta_s)$$

$$f'_{z12} = f'_{z21} = (Q'_L - Q'_R) \sin \theta_s \cos \theta_s.$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)$$

It is straightforward to generalize these formulæ to include family mixing. In the massless limit, adding all of the possible decay channels, the decay width is,

$$\Gamma_{Z' \to bb^*} = \frac{g'^2 M_{Z'}}{48 \pi} (Q'^2_l + Q'^2_r)$$ \hspace{1cm} (23)$$

There is a simple relation between the decay width into the standard model fermions and their supersymmetric partners in one chiral supermultiplet in the massless limit, i.e., $\Gamma_{Z' \to bb^*} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{Z' \to ff}$.

The couplings between mass eigenstates for other fields can be obtained parallel to the above discussion. There are some subtleties in the extended Higgs and neutralino sectors. Let $H_i, A_i$ be the mass eigenstates for CP even and CP odd Higgs fields and $H_{wi}, A_{wi}$ the weak eigenstates, with

$$H_i = U_{ij} H_{wi}, \quad A_i = V_{ij} A_{wi}$$ \hspace{1cm} (24)$$

where $U_{ij}$ and $V_{ij}$ are unitary matrices. Then

$$L = ig' H_j U_{ji}^{-1} Q'_i V^{-1}_{ik} \partial A_k$$ \hspace{1cm} (25)$$

The mass matrix can be derived directly from the superpotential. For the $E_6$ model with a secluded sector, it is given in the appendix of [36].
For the neutralino sector, since they are Majorana spinors, we need to pay more attention to the details of their couplings (For the chargino sector, we will obtain similar formulae; see [40] for details.). Let $N_i$ be the weak eigenstates and $\chi_i$ the 4-component Majorana spinor mass eigenstates, and

$$\psi_i \equiv \left( \frac{N_i}{\sqrt{N_i}} \right) = N_{ij} \chi_{wj}$$

Then,

$$L = -ig'Q'Z^\mu \bar{N}_i \sigma^\mu N_i$$

$$= \frac{i}{2}g'Z^\mu \bar{\chi}_i \gamma^\mu (C_{l(i,j)}P_L + C_{r(i,j)}P_R)\chi_j$$

where $C_{l(i,j)} = Q^k N_{ik}N_{jk}^*$, $C_{r(i,j)} = -C_{l(i,j)}^*$, and $N_{ij}$ is the matrix that diagonalizes the neutralino mass matrix. $C_{l(i,j)}$ and $C_{r(i,j)}$ are the couplings appearing in [19]. One can obtain the matrix from [36] for the $E_6$ model with a secluded sector. In that model, since there are 4 Higgs singlet fields, the mass matrices of the Higgs and neutralinos are $6 \times 6$ and $9 \times 9$, respectively.

### 4 Z' Decay in Different Supersymmetric $E_6$ models

Including more particles will enlarge the total decay width for the $Z'$ and reduce the branching ratio to quark and lepton pairs, reducing the discovery limit. As shown in (8) and (9), the partial decay width depends on the particle mass as well as the couplings to the $Z'$. If the sum of the particle masses is larger than the $Z'$ mass, the decay will not be kinematically allowed. Also, the $Z'$ couplings will be affected by the mass matrix through mixing, as can be read off from (19) and (21).

For a general $E_6$ model, the $U(1)'$ is a linear combination of $U(1)_\chi$ and $U(1)_\psi$, as in (2). The angle $\theta$ will affect the particle charges and the total decay width (Fig. 1), and branching ratios (Fig. 2). The dashed line in Fig. 1 is the total width for $Z'$ to decay only into SM fermions as a function of $\theta$. From (3) and (23), the decay width is proportional to the sum of charge squares of the quarks and leptons. The solid line is a limiting case in which all of the particles are massless. In that case, the decay width will involve the sum of charge squares of all of the particles. If the particle content only included three copies of $27$, the charge square sum would be a constant, independent of the $\theta$. We include an additional $H_u, \overline{H}_u$ from the $27 + \overline{27}$, as suggested by the gauge coupling unification [35], and three singlet pairs from the $27 + \overline{27}$ as in the $E_6$ model with a secluded sector [36]. The charge square sum then depends on $\theta$, due to the charges of $H_u, \overline{H}_u$ and the singlets.

In Fig. 2, the branching ratios of up quarks, down quarks and the sum of electrons plus muons are shown as a function of $\theta$. These affect the discovery limit as suggested by [5] and [7]. The first graph is the branching ratio in the case that the
Figure 1: The total decay width for $E_6$ models as a function of the angle $\theta$. The solid (dotted) lines are respectively for the case in which all the exotic and supersymmetric partners are massless and for decays into standard model fermions only. $m_t$ is neglected.
Figure 2: The branching ratios into SM particles for $E_6$ models as a function of $\theta$. The first graph is for the case that $Z'$ only decays into SM fermions, neglecting $m_t$. The second assumes that $Z'$ can decay into all of the particles and that every particle is massless.

$Z'$ only decays into SM fermions. The second is for the case that the $Z'$ can decay into all of the particles and that every particle is massless.

Including exotic particles and the superpartners of SM particles enlarges the total decay width and reduces the branching ratio for quarks and leptons significantly, i.e., by factors of 5 to 10. The exotic branching ratios are displayed in Fig. 3 assuming that all of the particles are massless. We classify the exotic particles as quark-like, Higgs-like (including the Higgs), and SM singlets, and consider the combined contributions of $Z'$ decaying into the exotics and their superpartners. In the second graph in Fig. 3, we display the branching ratios of the superpartners of the quarks (summing the three families), the superpartners of the leptons (charged leptons and left-handed neutrinos), as well as those of the quarks and the leptons.

We now consider the discovery limits for $E_6$ models at the Tevatron and LHC. The $U(1)'$ charges for specific $E_6$ models can be found in Table 1. We draw two limiting cases in Fig. 4 for the Tevatron ($\sqrt{s}=1.96$ TeV and $L=1,3 fb^{-1}$) and Fig. 5 for the LHC ($\sqrt{s}=14$ TeV and $L=100,300 fb^{-1}$). One is just the $Z'$ decay into SM fermions. The other is a limiting case in which all of the particle are massless. The particle content is $3 \times 27$ and $H_u, \overline{H}_u$ from $27 + \overline{27}$, which comes from the gauge unification requirement. We also include 3 pairs of singlets for comparison with the secluded sector $E_6$ model.

We have included the top quark mass effect in the SM figures. We use (4) as an estimate of the cross section; it is not accurate for small $M_{Z'}$ but gives a good approximation for the large $M_{Z'}$ that we are mainly concerned with. The QCD $K$ factor has been included. The upper limit experimental line is based on a total of...
Figure 3: Left: the branching ratios into Higgs and exotic particles and their superpartners as a function of $\theta$. $D$, $H$, and $S$ are the quark-like, Higgs-like, and singlet particles. Family and color degeneracy have been included. $H_u$ and $\overline{H}_u$ from $27 + \overline{27}$ have been included in the $H$, and 3 pairs of singlets from the $27 + \overline{27}$ have been included in the $S$. Right: the branching ratios into SM particles and their superpartners. Family and color degeneracy have been included. Lepton includes charged leptons and left-handed neutrinos.
Table 2: The $Z'$ discovery limits (GeV) (for 10 dilepton events) for the $E_6$ model at the Tevatron ($\sqrt{s}=1.96$ TeV and $L=1$ (3) $fb^{-1}$) and LHC ($\sqrt{s}=14$ TeV and $L=100$ (300) $fb^{-1}$), corresponding to Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. The particle content is $3 \times 27; H_u, \overline{H}_u$ from $27 + \overline{27}$; and 3 pairs of singlets.

| Tevatron | $Z'_{secluded}$ | $\chi$   | $\psi$   | $\eta$   | $N$     |
|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| extreme  | 490(598)        | 591(699) | 505(612) | 548(656) | 503(611) |
| $Z' \to SM$ | 619(727)        | 724(832) | 730(837) | 757(864) | 706(814) |
| LHC      | $Z'_{secluded}$ | $\chi$   | $\psi$   | $\eta$   | $N$     |
| extreme  | 3665(4147)      | 3703(4184)| 3008(3489)| 3150(3631)| 3157(3638)|
| $Z' \to SM$ | 4243(4725)      | 4295(4776)| 4012(4493)| 4079(4561)| 4063(4544)|

Table 3: Same as Table 2 but without the 3 pairs of singlets.

| Tevatron | $Z'_{secluded}$ | $\chi$   | $\psi$   | $\eta$   | $N$     |
|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| extreme  | 500(610)        | 600(710) | 520(638) | 559(676) | 526(634) |
| $Z' \to SM$ | 619(727)        | 724(832) | 730(837) | 757(864) | 706(814) |
| LHC      | $Z'_{secluded}$ | $\chi$   | $\psi$   | $\eta$   | $N$     |
| extreme  | 3700(4201)      | 3718(4230)| 3075(3580)| 3220(3720)| 3260(3741)|
| $Z' \to SM$ | 4243(4725)      | 4295(4776)| 4012(4493)| 4079(4561)| 4063(4544)|

10 dilepton events, i.e., including both $e^+e^-$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$. This is meant to be a rough idealization to illustrate the effect of the exotics and sparticles. Of course, the actual experimental analysis leads to an experimental line with more complicated structure than the horizontal line in the figure.

The intersection points between the experimental line and the theoretical lines are the discovery limits, which are shown in Table 2. The discovery limits at the Tevatron for the $E_6$ model with a secluded sector are lower than the other $E_6$ models because the $U(1)'$ charge of the up quark is smaller. For comparison, we also show the discovery limit for different $E_6$ models with the particle content $3 \times 27$ and $H_u, \overline{H}_u$ (but without the extra pairs of singlets) in Table 3. Since the number of exotic particles is less than that of the previous case, the discovery limits are increased.

From Figs. 4 and 5 we see that different $U(1)'$ charge assignments affect the discovery limit significantly. The discovery limits at the Tevatron and LHC as a function of $\theta$ are shown in Fig. 6. The $\theta$ dependences are quite different. This is mainly because the contribution to the $Z'$ production from the $u$ quark dominates for the Tevatron, while the $u$ and $d$ quark contributions are more comparable for the LHC.

The above analysis assumes that all of the particles are massless for the limiting
Figure 4: The $Z'$ discovery limit (for 10 dilepton events) for the $E_6$ model at the Tevatron ($\sqrt{s}=1.96$ TeV and $L = 1,3 fb^{-1}$). Lines with the label min are the limiting case in which all of the particle are massless; lines without are the cases in which the $Z'$ decays only into SM fermions, and the physical top quark mass is included. The intersection point between the experimental line and the theoretical lines are the discovery limits. The particle content is $3 \times 27$; $H_u, \overline{H}_u$ from $27 + \overline{27}$; and 3 pairs of singlets. The sequence of the curves is same as the sequence in the legend. The lines $N_{min}$ and $\psi_{min}$ are almost on top of each other.
Figure 5: The $Z'$ discovery limit (GeV) for the $E_6$ model at the LHC ($\sqrt{s}=14$ TeV and $L = 100, 300 \; fb^{-1}$). The sequence of the curves is same as the sequence in the legend. The lines $N$ and $\eta$ and the lines $N_{min}$ and $\eta_{min}$ are almost on top of each other.
Figure 6: The discovery limits for $E_6$ models as a function of $\theta$ at the Tevatron and the LHC. The lower two curves are the discovery limits in the case that $Z'$ decays into all of particles and all are massless (with $L = 1 fb^{-1}$ and $L = 3 fb^{-1}$ for the Tevatron and $L = 100 fb^{-1}$ and $L = 300 fb^{-1}$ for the LHC). The upper two curves assume that $Z'$ only decays into SM fermions, with the top quark mass included.

We also consider varying the masses of the non-SM particles. As seen in (8) and (9), the mass enters the discovery limit through the decay width. Since the $c_{Z'}$ in (5) is no longer independent of $M_{Z'}$, we can’t convert it analytically to obtain the discovery limit as in (7), but have to study it numerically.

We classify the non-SM particles as the superpartners of standard model quarks and leptons, the Higgs-like particles, the quark-like exotics, and singlets. Both fermions and bosons are included in the last three classes. We take the masses of all the particles and their superpartners in each class to be degenerate for simplicity, except that squarks and sleptons have different masses from the quarks and leptons. We also don’t distinguish between the ordinary and exotic Higgs fields.

In Figs. 7[10] we show the discovery limit versus the non-SM particle masses in four typical $E_6$ models for the Tevatron. For each case only SM particles and the non-SM particles of a given type are included. The line labelled Total includes every kind of non-SM particle. The minimum value in that line is the extreme case in which all particles are massless.
Figure 7: The $Z'$ discovery limit for the $\chi$ model at the Tevatron ($\sqrt{s}=1.96$ TeV and $L=1, 3 \ fb^{-1}$, left graph) and LHC ($\sqrt{s}=14$ TeV and $L=100, 300 \ fb^{-1}$, right graph) as a function of the mass of the various non-SM particles. The lowest curve, labelled Total, assumes that all of the particles have a common mass and the others assume only decays only into the SM fermions and one class of new particle. The sequence of the curves is same as the sequence in the legend.

Figure 8: The $Z'$ discover limit for the $\psi$ model at the Tevatron and LHC. The sequence of the curves is same as the sequence in the legend.
Figure 9: The $Z'$ discover limit for the $\eta$ model at the Tevatron and LHC. The sequence of the curves is same as the sequence in the legend.

Figure 10: The $Z'$ discover limit for the $N$ model at the Tevatron and LHC. The sequence of the curves is same as the sequence in the legend.
5 The Z' Discovery Limit in an E₆ Model With a Secluded Sector

To see the effect of a definite mass spectrum and mass matrix, we consider a concrete model with a definite parameter set.

A supersymmetric E₆ model with a weakly coupled (secluded) sector with a greatly enhanced possibility of electroweak baryogenesis was proposed in [36]. We will calculate the Z' discovery limit for that model for the parameters in [36]. We first give a short review of that model.

5.1 Review of the Model

There are one pair of Higgs doublets $H_u$ and $H_d$, and four SM singlets, $S$, $S_1$, $S_2$, and $S_3$. The $U(1)'$ charges for the Higgs fields satisfy

$$Q_S = -Q_{S_1} = -Q_{S_2} = \frac{1}{2} Q_{S_3}, \quad Q_{H_d} + Q_{H_u} + Q_S = 0. \quad (28)$$

The superpotential for the Higgs is

$$W_H = h S H_d H_u + \lambda S_1 S_2 S_3, \quad (29)$$

where the Yukawa couplings $h$ and $\lambda$ are respectively associated with the effective $\mu$ term and with the secluded sector. The existence of a number of SM singlets and the non-diagonal nature of the superpotential are in part motivated by explicit superstring constructions. There is an almost $F$ and $D$ flat direction involving the $S_i$, with the flatness lifted by a small Yukawa coupling $\lambda$. For a sufficiently small value of $\lambda$, the Z' mass can be arbitrarily large. For example, if $h \sim 10 \lambda$, one can generate a $Z - Z'$ mass hierarchy in which the $Z'$ mass is of order 1 TeV.

Because the representations of $E_6$ are anomaly free, we consider the three families of SM fermions, one pair of the Higgs doublets ($H_u$ and $H_d$) from three $27$s, and a number of SM singlets, exotics, and additional Higgs-like doublets. The embedding of the SM fermions is obvious, and we assume that the Higgs doublets ($H_u$ and $H_d$) are the doublets in $10$ (or $5$ and $\bar{5}$) in the third $27$. In addition, we assume that the four SM singlets $S, S_1, S_2, S_3$ are the $S_L, S^*_L, S'_L$ and $\bar{N}^*$ respectively in two pairs of $27$ and $\bar{27}$. We include the extra $S_L$ and $\bar{N}$ so that there are three complete pairs from $27 + \bar{27}$ to avoid anomalies. An $H_u$ and $\bar{H}_u$ pair from $27 + \bar{27}$ is also introduced for gauge unification. For simplicity, we assume that the other particles in the two pairs of $27$ and $\bar{27}$ are absent or very heavy.

From $Q_S = \frac{1}{2} Q_{S_3}$, we obtain

$$\tan \theta = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{9}. \quad (30)$$

The $U(1)'$ charges for the Standard Model fermions and exotic particles are given in Table 1. The general superpotential and soft terms are given in [35, 36].
Table 4: The CP even and CP odd Higgs boson masses in GeV at tree level. The light masses are mainly $SU(2)$ singlets and are consistent with experimental limits [43].

| $H_1^0$ | $H_2^0$ | $H_3^0$ | $H_4^0$ | $H_5^0$ | $H_6^0$ | $A_1^0$ | $A_2^0$ | $A_3^0$ | $A_4^0$ |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 101     | 150     | 151     | 169     | 229     | 931     | 3       | 62      | 261     | 282     |

Table 5: The chargino and neutralino masses in GeV at tree level.

| $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ | $\tilde{\chi}_2^\pm$ | $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ | $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ | $\tilde{\chi}_3^0$ | $\tilde{\chi}_4^0$ | $\tilde{\chi}_5^0$ | $\tilde{\chi}_6^0$ | $\tilde{\chi}_7^0$ | $\tilde{\chi}_8^0$ | $\tilde{\chi}_9^0$ |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 480                    | 105                    | 84                  | 106                 | 159                 | 213                 | 225                 | 228                 | 452                 | 876                 | 990                 |

5.2 The $Z'$ Discovery Limit With A Definite Parameter Set

To discuss the effect of non-SM particles on the $Z'$ discovery limit, we need to specify their mass matrices. We use a specific set of typical Yukawa couplings and soft terms. These were an example of a set which leads to a strong enough first order phase transition for electroweak baryogenesis\(^5\). The mass spectrum for the various particles are listed in Tables 4, 5 and 6.

We classify the non-standard model particles into 7 classes. The superpartners of standard model quarks and leptons, the Higgs fields, the neutralinos, the charginos, the Higgs-like exotics, the quark-like exotics, and the singlet exotics. To show their contribution to the discovery limit, we include them one by one into the $Z'$ decay width, in Fig. 11 for the Tevatron and Fig. 12 for the LHC. The line labelled $Sql$ corresponds to including the $Z'$ decay into SM fermions and their supersymmetric partners, while other non-SM particles remain too massive to be kinematically allowed. The other lines correspond to adding other non-SM particles one after another. The more particles included, the lower the discovery limit, which can become as small as 560(658) GeV at the Tevatron for $L = 1 \ (3) fb^{-1}$ and 3676(4154) GeV at the LHC for $L = 100 \ (300) fb^{-1}$. The intersection points are given in Table 7 and Table 8.

As in the generic discussion of $E_6$ models, we can vary the non-SM particle masses to see how they affect the discovery limit, as shown in Fig. 13. The only difference is that in this model, we separate the singlets into two groups, $Singlet_1$ represents the singlets from $3 \times 27$, and $Singlet_2$ is for the singlets belonging to $27 + 27$.

\(^5\)The parameters we use are slightly different from those used in [36], since here we only use the tree level mass matrix, while in [36] the spectrum is obtained through the full one-loop effective potential. However, the basic features of the strong first order phase transition will not be affected.
Figure 11: The $Z'$ Discovery limit for the secluded sector model at the Tevatron. Different classes of particles are added one after the other. The final theoretical line includes all particles. Lines Neutralino and Chargino are almost on top of each other.
Figure 12: The $Z'$ Discovery limit for the secluded sector model at the LHC. Lines \textit{Neutralino} and \textit{Chargino} are almost on top of each other.

![Graph showing $Z'$ discovery limit for the secluded sector model at the LHC](image)

Figure 13: The $Z'$ discover limit for the secluded sector $E_6$ model at the Tevatron and LHC.

![Graph showing $Z'$ discover limit for the secluded sector $E_6$ model at the Tevatron and LHC](image)
Table 6: Typical squark, slepton and exotic particle (fermion/boson) masses in GeV at tree level.

| squark | slepton | HiggsExo(f/b) | QuarkExo(f/b) | SingletExo(f/b) |
|--------|---------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| 336    | 336     | 180/358       | 180/358       | 180/358        |

Table 7: The $Z'$ Discovery limit (GeV) for the secluded sector model at the Tevatron. In each column an additional type of decay channel in included.

| fields | SM | Sql | Higgs | Neutralino | Chargino | HiggsExo | QuarkExo | SingletExo |
|--------|----|-----|-------|------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| $L = 1 fb^{-1}$ | 619 | 620 | 612   | 605        | 602      | 591      | 573      | 560        |
| $L = 3 fb^{-1}$ | 727 | 721 | 714   | 707        | 704      | 693      | 674      | 658        |

6 Conclusions and Discussions

In this paper, we discussed how the Higgs, exotic particles, and supersymmetric partners would affect the $Z'$ discovery limit in $E_6$ models. We first considered two limiting cases, $Z'$ decays only into standard model particles and $Z'$ decays into all particles with all particles massless. We showed how the total decay width and branching ratios into fermions would differ in these two limiting case, typically by a factor of 5 to 10. We then studied the discovery limits of different $E_6$ models at the Tevatron and LHC. We showed how the discovery limits would be affected in the two limiting cases and as we varied the masses of the exotic particles and sparticles. The discovery limits could be lowered by up to $\sim 200$ GeV at the Tevatron and up to $\sim 1$ TeV at the LHC. As a concrete example, we considered the mass spectrum for a typical set of parameters for the exotics and sparticles for an $E_6$ model with a secluded sector.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DOE-EY-76-02-3071.

References

[1] See M. Cvetic, P. Langacker and B. Kayser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2871 (1992), and references theirin.
Table 8: Same as Table 7, for the LHC.

| fields   | SM  | Sql | Higgs | Neutralino | Chargino | HiggsExo | QuarkExo | SingletExo |
|---------|-----|-----|-------|------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| $L = 100 fb^{-1}$ | 4244 | 4072 | 4032  | 3977       | 3965     | 3894     | 3774     | 3676       |
| $L = 300 fb^{-1}$ | 4725 | 4551 | 4511  | 4456       | 4444     | 4372     | 4252     | 4154       |

[2] M. Cvetić and P. Langacker, Phys. Rev. D 54, 3570 (1996) and Mod. Phys. Lett. A 11, 1247 (1996).

[3] For a review, see, M. Cvetić and P. Langacker, in *Perspectives on supersymmetry*, ed. G. L. Kane (World, Singapore, 1998), p. 312.

[4] N. Arkani-Hamed, A.G. Cohen and H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. B 513, 232 (2001); N. Arkani-Hamed, A.G. Cohen, E. Katz and A. E. Nelson, JHEP 0207, 034 (2002); T. Han, H.E. Logan, B. McElrath, L.T. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 67, 095004 (2003).

[5] M. Masip and A. Pomarol, Phys. Rev. D 60, 096005 (1999).

[6] For a review, see C.T. Hill and E.H. Simmons, Phys. Rept. 381, 235 (2003) [Erratum-ibid. 390, 553 (2004)].

[7] For reviews, see J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rept. 183, 193 (1989); P. Langacker, M. x. Luo and A. K. Mann, Rev. Mod. Phys. 64, 87 (1992); A. Leike, Phys. Rept. 317, 143 (1999); K.S. Babu and C. Kolda, “The Z’ Searches”, in S. Eidelman et al., Phys. Lett. B 592, 1 (2004).

[8] F. Abe et al. [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2192 (1997); V. M. Abazov et al. [D0 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 061802 (2001).

[9] S. Capstick and S. Godfrey, Phys. Rev. D 37, 2466 (1988).

[10] F. Del Aguila, Acta Phys. Polon. B 25, 1317 (1994).

[11] M. Cvetic and S. Godfrey, [hep-ph/9504216](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9504216).

[12] A. Leike, Ref [7], and references therein.

[13] S. Godfrey, in *Proc. of the APS/DPF/DPB Summer Study on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass 2001)* ed. N. Graf, eConf C010630, P344 (2001), [hep-ph/0201093](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0201093).

[14] M. Dittmar, A. S. Nicollerat and A. Djouadi, Phys. Lett. B 583, 111 (2004).

[15] M. Carena, A. Daleo, B. A. Dobrescu and T. M. P. Tait, [hep-ph/0408098](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0408098).
[16] R. Barate et al. [ALEPH Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C 12, 183 (2000); M. Acciarri et al. [L3 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 479, 101 (2000); P. Abreu et al. [DELPHI Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 485, 45 (2000); G. Abbiendi et al. [OPAL Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C 33, 173 (2004); [LEP Collaboration], hep-ex/0312023.

[17] P. Langacker and M. x. Luo, Phys. Rev. D 45, 278 (1992).

[18] J. Erler and P. Langacker, Phys. Lett. B 456, 68 (1999) and Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 212 (2000).

[19] G. C. Cho, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 15, 311 (2000).

[20] K. m. Cheung, Phys. Lett. B 517, 167 (2001).

[21] See for example, J. Erler, Nucl. Phys. B 586, 73 (2000), and reference therein.

[22] L. S. Durkin and P. Langacker, Phys. Lett. B 166, 436 (1986).

[23] D. London and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 34, 1530 (1986).

[24] M. J. Duncan and P. Langacker, Nucl. Phys. B 277, 285 (1986).

[25] F. del Aguila, M. Quiros and F. Zwirner, Nucl. Phys. B 284, 530 (1987); Nucl. Phys. B 287, 419 (1987).

[26] V. D. Barger, N. G. Deshpande, J. L. Rosner and K. Whisnant, Phys. Rev. D 35, 2893 (1987).

[27] J. R. Ellis, P. J. Franzini and F. Zwirner, Phys. Lett. B 202, 417 (1988).

[28] F. del Aguila, J. M. Moreno and M. Quiros, Phys. Rev. D 41, 134 (1990) [Erratum-ibid. D 42, 262 (1990)].

[29] P. Langacker and M. x. Luo, in Ref [17].

[30] F. Abe et al., in Ref [8].

[31] T. Gherghetta, T. A. Kaeding and G. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. D 57, 3178 (1998).

[32] See, for example, G. Cleaver, M. Cvetic, J. R. Espinosa, L. L. Everett, P. Langacker and J. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 59, 055005 (1999).

[33] T. Hambye, E. Ma, M. Raidal and U. Sarkar, Phys. Lett. B 512, 373 (2001).

[34] J. Kang, P. Langacker and T. Li, hep-ph/0411404

[35] J. Erler, P. Langacker, T. Li, Phys. Rev. D 66, 015002 (2002).

[36] J. Kang, P. Langacker, T. j. Li and T. Liu, hep-ph/0402086 and in preparation.
[37] A. Leike, Phys. Lett. B 402, 374 (1997).

[38] F. del Aguila, J. M. Moreno and M. Quiros, Phys. Rev. D 40, 2481 (1989).

[39] V. D. Barger and K. Whisnant, Phys. Rev. D 36, 3429 (1987).

[40] H. E. Haber and G. L. Kane, Phys. Rept. 117, 75 (1985).

[41] B. Holdom, Phys. Lett. B166, 196 (1986); F. Del Aguila, M. Cvetic and P. Langacker, Phys. Rev. D52, 37 (1995); F. del Aguila, M. Masip, M. Perez-Victoria, Acta Phys. Polon. B27, 1469(1996); K. S. Babu, C. Kolda and J. March-Russell, Phys. Rev. D54, 4635 (1996).

[42] J. F. Gunion, L. Roszkowski and H. E. Haber, Phys. Lett. B 189, 409 (1987); Phys. Rev. D 38, 105 (1988).

[43] T. Han, P. Langacker and B. McElrath, hep-ph/0405244