Adaptive thermal property control technique for holistic thermal management of mobile devices
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Abstract: From the perspective of user experience and safety when using mobile devices, skin (outer surface) temperature-aware thermal management, along with the application processor die-junction temperature, is crucial. Traditional thermal management techniques have ignored the combined effect of the junction and skin temperatures, resulting in unnecessary performance degradation due to excessive thermal throttling. We propose a novel thermal management method for mobile devices, by incorporating an adaptive thermal property control (ATPC) technique. The ATPC technique is designed to adapt the thermal properties between the junction and skin according to their thermal margins. Intensive simulation results show that the ATPC technique prolongs the maximum performance duration of the mobile device up to 34 min in the nominal application processor power consumption range of 2.9–4.6 W. In other words, the technique provides a performance gain of approximately 7% by preventing false early thermal throttling.
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1 Introduction

Traditionally, junction temperature has been the sole metric for thermal management. However, the skin temperature of the mobile device is a critical factor for ergonomic thermal management. Fully utilizing the thermal budget of the junction and skin simultaneously is challenging, because the thermal behavior and tolerable temperature ranges are different between the junction and skin [1]. In terms of the tolerable temperature range, the allowed maximum junction temperature is approximately 85°C, considering reliability stress, and the skin temperature limit ranges between 40°C and 45°C for comfortable use [1, 2, 3]. The typical thermal response time of the junction temperature is a few microseconds, whereas that of the skin temperature is up to a minute [1]. For these reasons, the thermally critical region varies with the power consumption level of the application processor (AP), which is the dominant heat source of the mobile device [4]. When the AP power consumption is relatively low, thermal throttling is not required, as shown in Fig. 1(a). A gradual increase of AP power consumption makes the skin temperature as the dominant factor for thermal throttling, as shown in Fig. 1(b). Conversely, in the high-power use cases, such as high-definition video recording or playing, the junction temperature acts as the critical factor. This is because the junction temperature rises drastically, whereas the skin does not experience any significant change over a short period, owing to the heat capacity difference between the junction and skin, as shown in Fig. 1(c).

Conventional thermal management strategies cannot cope with the thermal budget unbalancing, because the thermal properties such as thermal conductivity, heat capacity, and density in the system are handled as fixed factors [1, 5]. We present an adaptive thermal property control (ATPC) technique that can utilize the...
thermal properties between the junction and skin adaptively, according to the varying thermal margins for junction and skin during AP operation. Thermal margin means the remaining time before arriving at the temperature limit of the junction and skin, respectively.

2 Adaptive thermal property control

Fig. 2 shows a cross-section schematic and an equivalent RC-thermal network model for a mobile device. The temperature profiles of the junction and skin due to thermal resistance and AP power consumption are calculated using the simplified equivalent RC thermal model of the mobile device shown in Fig. 2(b) [6]. $P_{AP}$ is the AP power consumption. $R_{th_f}$ is the thermal resistance between junction and front skin.

The state transition equation, which is obtained by applying Kirchhoff’s current law (KCL) and Kirchhoff’s voltage law (KVL) to each temperature node in Fig. 2(b), is given by (1). $C$, $G$, $T$, and $P$ matrices in (1) indicate the thermal capacitance, thermal conductance, temperature difference between nodes, and heat source power, as shown in (2), (3), (4), and (5), respectively.

$$C \times \frac{dT}{dt} = G \times T + P$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} -C_{th_f} & C_{th_{air_f}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -C_{th_b} & C_{th_{air_b}} \\ C_{th_f} & 0 & C_{th_b} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{R_{th_f}} & -\frac{1}{R_{th_{air_f}}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{R_{th_b}} & -\frac{1}{R_{th_{air_b}}} \\ -\frac{1}{R_{th_f}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{R_{th_b}} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Fig. 1. Thermal throttling of junction and skin temperatures over different AP power consumption levels. (a) Low power, (b) Moderate power, (c) High power.
The temperature change over time can be calculated by using the finite-difference method based on (1), and we can plot the temperature limit arrival time of the junction and skin according to power $P_{AP}$ and thermal resistance $R_{th}$, as shown in Fig. 3. The solid line denotes the time at which the junction temperature reaches the limit according to $R_{th}$, and the dotted line indicates the time at which the skin temperature reaches the limit according to $R_{th}$ at 4 W, 6 W, and 9 W, respectively. The combined cooling capability is decided by the minimum arrival time between junction and skin at each power [2]. Therefore, the thermal resistance at the black point crossed by the solid line and the dotted line will be the ideal $R_{th}$ at each power. We can prevent a false early thermal throttling by adjusting $R_{th}$ according to power.

Fig. 4 shows a pseudo-code of the ATPC. The aim of the ATPC algorithm is to accurately predict the thermal throttling start time considering the temperature limit of either the junction or skin, and adaptively control the thermal resistance to minimize performance degradation caused by false early thermal throttling.

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
T_{\text{junction to skin front}} \\
T_{\text{skin front to ambient}} \\
T_{\text{junction to skin back}} \\
T_{\text{skin back to ambient}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(4)

\[
P = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
P_{AP} \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(5)

Fig. 2. Simplified equivalent thermal model of a mobile device. (a) Cross-section schematic, (b) Equivalent RC thermal model.
3 Simulation results and discussion

The ATPC technique was implemented in Python, and integrated into an Intel-Docea power simulator (iDPS) for system-level power-temperature co-simulation [7]. Our thermal model is based on one of the latest Samsung smartphones, whose AP contains eight CPU cores fabricated using a Samsung 10-nm CMOS process, and packaged in an interposer package on package (I-POP) with 4 GB LPDDR4x DRAM [8].

Fig. 5 shows the example of the increased cooling capacity achieved due to ATPC by plotting temperature versus time at an AP power of 4 W. When $T_{\text{skin}}$ reaches 39°C, which is a predefined threshold, and the junction thermal margin is bigger than the skin thermal margin, the thermal conductivity changes from 3 W/m·K to 0.3 W/m·K. As a result, the temperature profile changes to dotted lines at 326.5 s and we can adjust false early thermal throttling from 393 s (square) to 478 s (circle), whereas the junction temperature did not surpass its threshold temperature of 85°C (upper dotted red line).

---

**Fig. 3.** Ideal thermal resistance at each power.

**Fig. 4.** Basic pseudo-code of the ATPC.

```python
Initialize: Set $R_{\text{thermal}}$ of TPC component → low

TPC {
    while (1) {
        Read $T_{\text{skin}}$ and $T_{\text{junction}}$
        Calculate $T_{\text{skin\_margin}}$ and $T_{\text{junction\_margin}}$
        while (1) {
            if $(T_{\text{skin}} \text{ and } T_{\text{junction}} \text{ is in the target range}) \&\& (T_{\text{junction\_margin}} > T_{\text{skin\_margin}})$
                Set $R_{\text{thermal}}$ → high
            while ($R_{\text{thermal}}$ → high) {
                if $(T_{\text{junction}} > T_{\text{junction\_low\_limit}})$
                    Set $R_{\text{thermal}}$ → low
            }
        }
    }
}
```
Fig. 6 shows the change in the start time of thermal throttling due to ATPC in the AP power range of 2.9–4.6 W. ATPC can prevent false early thermal throttling up to 2040 s (34 min).

From the perspective of the AP power budget gain, up to 7% more power can be used than in the case of no ATPC with the same operating time without thermal throttling. This means that the ATPC technique achieves a performance improvement of approximately 7%.

4 Conclusion

We presented an ATPC technique that controls the thermal properties between the junction and skin adaptively, according to the thermal margin of the junction and skin. The simulation results showed that ATPC can be used to prevent false early thermal throttling up to 34 min in the AP power consumption range of 2.9–4.6 W. The ATPC technique defied the stereotype that the material property of the mobile device is fixed during the design time and cannot be changed.
The ATPC component for the mobile industry can be produced by applying advanced material and microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) technology, which is well-known in the research field today [9, 10, 11].
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