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Abstract
Acute kidney injury (AKI) is associated with extended hospital stays, high risks of in-hospital and long-term mortality, and increased risk of incident and progressive chronic kidney disease. Patients with urological diseases are a high-risk group for AKI owing to the coexistence of obstructive uropathy, older age, and preexistent chronic kidney disease. Nonetheless, precise data on the incidence and outcomes of postoperative AKI in urological procedures are lacking. Benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer are common diagnoses in older men and are frequently treated with surgical procedures. Whereas severe AKI after prostate surgery in general appears to be unusual, AKI associated with transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) syndrome and with rhabdomyolysis (RM) following prostatic surgeries will be emphasized.
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Core tip: Postoperative acute kidney injury has a significant effect on patient outcomes and has been associated with longer hospital stays, high risks of in-hospital and long-term mortality. Urology patients are a high-risk group for acute kidney injury (AKI) because of the common occurrences of obstructive uropathy, older age, and chronic kidney disease, as well as postoperative complications. The purpose of this review is to discuss the current knowledge regarding the epidemiology, risk factors, outcomes, prevention, and treatment of AKI associated with prostatic surgery.

INTRODUCTION

Prostatic diseases are associated with morbidity and mortality in elderly men. Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is the fourth most common diagnosis in older men[1]. Meanwhile, in developing countries, prostate cancer (PCa) is the most common solid neoplasm, and it is the currently second-leading cause of cancer mortality for men. Beyond conservative medical therapy, the surgical approach remains an important step for the treatment of these diseases[2].

A number of surgical techniques have been devel-
oped over the years to treat prostate diseases (Table 1). In recent decades, new surgical methods for treating BPH and PCa have been developed, such as laser- and robot-assisted prostatectomy. Although these procedures have been associated with lower postoperative complication rates in some studies, their efficacy and long-term robustness remain to be proven. At present, the gold-standard treatments for PCa and BPH are still open radical prostatectomy (ORP) and transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP), respectively[1].

In surgical patients, outcomes are strictly dependent on the occurrence of complications. Urology patients are a high-risk group for acute kidney injury (AKI) because of the common occurrences of obstructive uropathy, older age, and CKD, as well as bleeding and urinary obstruction, that sometimes follow the surgery. However, precise data on the incidence and outcomes of postoperative AKI in urological procedures are lacking[3].

Observational studies that compared different surgical approaches to treating prostatic diseases rarely monitored AKI as a relevant early postoperative complication. For instance, in a prospective multicenter analysis of the postoperative complications of 10654 patients subjected to transurethral prostatic surgery for BPH, no AKI case was reported[3]. Similarly, in a prospective observational study of 280 patients subjected to laparoscopic (LSP) or open simple prostatectomy (OSP) for BPH, three patients developed AKI (defined as a 50% rise above the patient’s baseline serum creatinine level)[5]. Moreover, Marmiroli et al[5] studied the postoperative outcomes of 100 patients ≥ 75 years old who had undergone TURP or OSP for BPH and found an incidence of 1% of AKI that required dialysis in this high-risk population. As is the case with the literature on BPH, AKI seems to be infrequently or underreported in patients undergoing ORP for PCa. Recently, one large retrospective analysis, including more than 77000 patients, examined outcomes after robot-assisted radical prostatectomy (RARP) and ORP, and AKI was not cited as a major complication[6].

Based on the available literature, episodes of severe AKI after prostate surgery appear to be unusual. Because the current recommended Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) AKI definitions[8] (Table 2) have never been employed in this situation, acute subclinical serum creatinine (SCr) increases have never been systematically monitored across prostate surgery outcome studies, and the exact incidence of AKI cannot be determined. However, AKI secondary to TURP syndrome has been consistently described[9,13]. Furthermore, a number of small case studies and case reports of rhabdomyolysis (RM)-associated-AKI after radical prostatectomy have also been published[11-13].

This review outlines AKI associated with prostatic surgery, emphasizing the mechanisms of TURP syndrome and RM following prostatic surgeries. The surveillance, prevention and treatment of these complications will also be addressed.

**TURP AND AKI**

TURP requires the use of an irrigating fluid to expand the operating field and to wash away debris and blood. TURP syndrome is a clinical complication caused by the systemic absorption of the irrigating fluid and is characterized by a combination of hyponatremia and fluid overload, causing potential damage to the cardiovascular, renal and nervous systems[14,15].

The incidence of TURP syndrome ranges from 1.0% to 8% of reported TURPs and appears to be decreasing in recent years[16,17]. Mortality rates are generally between 0.2% to 0.8%, but rates as high as 25% can occur if severe TURP syndrome develops[10,18].

TURP syndrome can be defined as sodium of 125 mEq/L or less after TURP with two or more circulatory and/or neurological symptoms[19]. However, no universal defining criteria have been adopted by all centers, and not all studies have used clear definitions of TURP syndrome. Of note, the definition and severity of kidney dysfunction are not always detailed in TURP syndrome, and no studies have used the definition proposed by the most recently updated Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) AKI guidelines. Similarly, there are scant data on late prognoses because few studies have reported on outcomes more than three to six months after the event. In particular, the incidence of CKD resulting from TURP syndrome is unknown. Many aspects of TURP syndrome are still unclear, and its overall burden is not completely determined.

### Table 1 Surgical approach to the treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer

| Procedure                          |
|-----------------------------------|
| Benign prostatic hyperplasia      |
| Transurethral resection           |
| Open simple prostatectomy         |
| Electrovaporization               |
| Laser prostatectomy               |
| Holmium laser enucleation         |
| GreenLight™ laser vaporization    |
| Transurethral incision            |
| Transurethral needle ablation     |
| Prostate cancer                   |
| Radical Prostatectomy             |
| Open (retropubic or perineal)     |
| Minimally invasive                |
| Laparoscopic                      |
| Robot-assisted                    |

### Table 2 Kidney disease improving global outcomes acute kidney injury definitions

| AKI is defined as any of the following |
|---------------------------------------|
| Increase in serum creatinine by ≥ 0.3 mg/dL within 48 h; or |
| Increase in serum creatinine to ≥ 1.5 times baseline, which is known or presumed to have occurred within the prior 7 d; or |
| Urine volume < 0.5 mL/kg per hour for 6 h |

AKI: Acute kidney injury.
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RISK FACTORS

Although important differences appear according to the compositions of the irrigation fluids, the most important risk factor for TURP syndrome is the amount of fluid absorbed, which can vary from < 300 to 3000 mL\(^{[20]}\). After the absorption of 1.0 mL of fluid, serum sodium reduction is approximately 6 to 8 mEq/L, and it can achieve 20 mEq/L after absorption of 3.0 L\(^{[21]}\). The rate of fluid absorption is most likely also an important risk factor, and absorption in excess of 200 to 300 mL per 10 min is more frequently related to hyponatremia\(^{[22]}\). The risk of the TURP syndrome is higher in the presence of bleeding, longer resection times, higher absorption of irrigating fluid and prostate size larger than 45 g\(^{[23]}\). Smoking is a factor known to be associated with the increased risk of TURP syndrome, but the malignancy does not seem to be associated with the increased risk\(^{[24]}\).

FLUID ABSORPTION MECHANISM

In TURP, a resectoscope loaded with a diathermy loop is introduced into the bladder to resect prostatic tissue (Figures 1 and 2). TURP typically takes 60 min, and approximately 10 to 20 liters of irrigating fluid are generally required. During TURP, irrigation pressure is regulated to achieve 60 mmHg, a much higher threshold than that for physiological intravesical pressure, which peaks at 25 mmHg during micturition\(^{[25]}\). When prostate tissue is resected, veins may be severed, and irrigating fluid can be rapidly absorbed into the vascular system. Intravenous fluid absorption begins when the fluid pressure exceeds the prostate venous pressure by approximately 12.5 mmHg\(^{[26]}\), and it rarely ceases once it begins\(^{[20]}\). Most of the fluid absorption takes place during the second half of the resection when the resectoscope approaches the vein plexus, reaching larger vessels, and an extended area for fluid influx is opened\(^{[20]}\). Herein, small amounts of fluid are always absorbed during TURP, and absorption in excess of one liter has been reported to occur in 5%-20% of procedures\(^{[27,28]}\). Extravasation can occur after instrumental damage of the prostatic capsule or the bladder wall during TURP, which occurs in at least 10% of surgeries (Figure 3). The fluid pressure must only exceed an intra-abdominal pressure of approximately 3.72 mmHg for extravasation to occur, and several liters of irrigating fluid will be rapidly deposited in the peri-prostatic, retroperitoneal or intraperitoneal spaces\(^{[29]}\). The fluid is absorbed by lymphatic drainage, a slower process than vascular intake, which can translate into a delayed reduction in serum sodium compared with classic intravascular absorption. Extravasation contributes to TURP syndrome development in approximately 20% of cases\(^{[30]}\).

IRRIGATION FLUID PHYSIOPATHOLOGY

An ideal irrigating fluid should be isotonic, nonhemolytic, electrically inert, nontoxic when absorbed, not metabolized, and transparent; should not influence blood osmolality; should be rapidly excreted; and should not cause significant expansion of extracellular fluid volume. However, no such solution exists; each irrigating fluid has particular physicochemical and pharmacokinetic characteristics and each comes with its own potential complications.

Distilled water

Distilled water (D.W.) was the first irrigating fluid used in TURP surgeries and is still often used because of its advantages: clear visual field, absence of electric conductivity, volume of distribution equal to the body’s full water content, and lower cost\(^{[31,32]}\). After the description of cases of hemolytic AKI\(^{[33,34]}\), iso- or hypo-osmolar nonhemolytic solutions other than D.W. were introduced to overcome this issue. D.W. continues to be used, but it should be restricted to laser TURP or to procedures that are expected to be short and performed by very skilled surgeons with all precautions taken to avoid the risk of
Saline solution cannot be used as an irrigating fluid during TURP, as it is nonconductive, hypo-osmotic, and nonhemolytic. Normal saline (0.9%) osmolality is approximately 300 mOsm/L, which makes it the most suitable irrigation fluid for TURP.

Mannitol is considered a suitable irrigating fluid that could help to increase serum sodium concentration and minimize the risk of severe hyponatremia. However, mannitol is also a safe irrigating fluid and is associated with low rates of TURP syndrome. It has cardiotoxic properties, can cause serious visual disturbances due to retina damage, and hyperoxaluria has been associated with Gly metabolism.

Sorbitol solutions are frequently used in the United States as an irrigating fluid, typically in the concentration range of 2.2% to 3% and frequently in association with mannitol. Sorbitol is non-electrolytic and has an osmolality of approximately 180 mOsm/L, and clears rapidly from the plasma after its transformation to fructose and glucose by liver cells. Sorbitol is considered a safe irrigating fluid and is associated with low rates of TURP syndrome.

Mannitol is frequently used as an irrigating fluid in concentrations of 3% or 5% solution (osmolalities of 175 and 275 mmOsm/L, respectively). Mannitol is non-conductive and nonhemolytic and gives a satisfactory visual operating field. Mannitol is excreted unchanged in the urine, promoting osmotic, electrolyte-free diuresis, which can help to increase serum sodium concentration. Mannitol is considered a suitable irrigating fluid that is associated with low rates of TURP syndrome.

Physiologic saline cannot be used as an irrigating fluid during the standard prostate resection because its dissipates the electrical current of bipolar resectoscope, therefore preventing both cutting and coagulation. Normal saline (0.9%) osmolality is approximately 300 mOsm/L, which makes it the most suitable irrigation fluid for TURP. Very few cases of TURP syndrome have been described with this solution. Fluid overload is more likely during the absorption of normal saline solution due to the higher volume expansion. In addition, the excessive sodium chloride infusion can cause hyperchloremic acidosis.

CLINICAL AND LABORATORY PRESENTATION

TURP syndrome occurs from 15 min to 24 h after prostate resection. The incidence and severity of TURP syndrome symptoms increase progressively as more solution is absorbed. When the threshold of 3.0 L is exceeded, the symptoms are severely impaired.

Some symptoms may be noticed in the intraoperative period. Vague, nonspecific symptoms may occur such as the sense of being unwell, transitory feeling of burning, accompanied by nausea, restlessness and headache. Neurologic events are more frequently observed when glycine solutions are used and in patients with decreases in serum sodium of 10 mEq/L or more. Focal or generalized seizures and altered mental states can occur. This is generally associated with irrigant absorption levels as high as 2.0 to 3.0 L. Brain stem herniation, persistent brain injury and death have also been reported.

Visual disturbances, including transitory blindness have been observed, mostly related to glycine solution. Patients may develop both hypervolemic and hypovolemic complications. Bradycardia and hypotension at the end of the operation, or immediately after, are often early signs suggesting TURP syndrome. Shortness of breath and pulmonary edema can occur in surgeries where mild/less severe bleeding is observed. Chest pain and hypertension have also been observed in 5% of the patients, particularly when more than 1 L is absorbed. Small elevations in cardiac enzymes can occur, especially when Gly solution is used.

Most patients subjected to TURP are elderly with coexisting diseases, reduced functional heart and kidney reserves and less capacity to endure stress. CKD patients are also at exacerbated risk for TURP syndrome. AKI has been reported in TURP syndrome patients; it is typically oliguric and can be observed as early as the first postoperative day. Bilen et al. assessed a group of 439 patients who had undergone TURP using mostly distilled water as the irrigating fluid. AKI defined as an increase in postoperative SCr > 1 mg/dL occurred in 16 (3.64%) of the patients.

Severe TURP syndrome, defined by a drop in serum sodium concentration to < 120 mEq/L, is a rare but well-described event in the specialized literature, characterizedly reported when more than 3.0 L of irrigating fluid are absorbed. A review of 24 severe...
cases in which Gly 1.5% was used as the irrigating fluid demonstrated neurological complaints in 92%, cardiovascular signs in 54%, visual disturbances in 42%, and gastrointestinal symptoms in 25% of these patients, with a mortality rate of 25%. AKI is observed in more than 50% of cases of severe TURP syndrome, sometimes requiring renal replacement therapy (RRT)\(^6^\).

Hyponatremia (≤ 135 mEq/L), a hallmark of the syndrome, is seen in nearly all patients, and it is more frequently observed at the end of surgery (or one to two hours subsequent)\(^{[3]}\). Hyponatremia might be transitory and could go undetected if serum sodium is assessed more than three hours after surgery completion. Although most irrigating fluids are hypo-osmolar (approximately 200 mOsmol/L), compared with normal serum osmolality (approximately 290 mOsm/L), hypo-osmolality in TURP syndrome is less pronounced than that observed in other hyponatremia etiologies because the solute contents of irrigating fluids (Gly, sorbitol, mannitol) prevent large osmolality reductions\(^{[58]}\). In TURP syndrome, serum osmolar gaps reflect the concentrations of the infused/absorbed irrigants and can achieve 30 to 60 mOsm/kg\(^{[53]}\). Herein, serum osmolality should be measured in all TURP syndrome patients.

**PATHOPHYSIOLOGY**

**Hemodynamics**

The rapid volume expansion which can reach up to 200 mL./min can cause hypertension and reflex bradycardia. Hypertension coupled with hyponatremia can trigger pulmonary edema and hypovolemia due to net water flux from the intravascular space into the pulmonary interstitium\(^{[56]}\). In sequence, a major hypokinetic hemodynamic phase ensues, distinguished by low cardiac output, hypovolemia and hyponatremia\(^{[54,55]}\).

Natriuresis has been highlighted as a key element in promoting dilutional hyponatremic shock and explains why hypovolemic hypotension persists despite the administration of large amounts of fluid. The osmotic diuresis results in ischemic kidney episodes\(^{[6]}\) and tubular necrosis is an important cause of AKI in some cases after TURP. Hypotension coupled with osmotic diuresis results in ischemic kidney episodes\(^{[60]}\). Another possible mechanism is sudden kidney cell swelling as a result of acute hypo-osmolality, similar to the development of central nervous system edema\(^{[60]}\).

More recently, Kim et al\(^{[71]}\) described three cases of AKI after laser vaporization of the prostate using distilled water as the irrigating fluid. All patients developed significant hyponatremia, and two of them required RRT\(^{[7]}\). Histological findings were tubular cell necrosis and Tamm-Horsfall protein stasis with regurgitation into the Bowman capsule accompanied by an amount of eosinophilic interstitial infiltrate. Special staining for hemoglobin and myoglobin had negative results, and there was no histologic evidence of ischemic damage. Hyponatremia and hemodynamic mechanisms could not be ruled out, but this scenario strongly suggested direct damage to the tubular epithelium by urinary stasis and the backflow of the irrigating fluid, hemoglobin, and prostate secretions resulting from high intravesical pressure. This report suggests transient vesicoureteral reflux as a new pathogenic mechanism of kidney injury in TURP syndrome, although this has yet to be confirmed by other studies\(^{[7]}.\)

**Central nervous system**

Even moderate osmolality reduction could result in a fluid influx into the cerebral space, leading to brain edema\(^{[59,60]}\). Other factors that contribute to central nervous system impairment in TURP syndrome, such as the very low serum sodium concentration itself, are Gly toxicity and the accumulation of its metabolic derivatives (ammonia, serine, and/or glyoxylate)\(^{[37,61]}\).

**Renal disease**

AKI following TURP has been reported since 1947, and a variety of mechanisms have been proposed for its development\(^{[62,63]}\). When sterile water was used for irrigation, intravascular hemolysis was thought to be the principal insult\(^{[64]}\). Hemolysis takes place in the blood as well as in the bladder, where hemolyzed blood is absorbed. In both cases, hemoglobinuria develops and renal injury occurs through a number of pathways. Heme proteins have powerful oxidant effect, it can trigger renal vasoconstriction\(^{[69]}\) and under acidic conditions, precipitate with Tamm-Horsfall proteins contributing to tubule obstruction. Hemolysis should be investigated in all AKI events after TURP\(^{[63]}\) although these events have become rare with the observed change to other irrigating fluids, and other pathogenic mechanisms have been described.

Hyponatremia-associated RM resulting in AKI has been reported as a complication following TURP in a small number of cases\(^{[60]}\). After a number of hours, muscle cellular swelling induced by hyponatremia will peak because of the potassium outflux from the muscle cells into the extracellular fluid. Hyponatremia also reduces the concentration gradient for sodium entry into the muscle cells, resulting in a decreased outward flux of calcium, which leads to increased intracellular calcium\(^{[67]}\) destroying the cell structure\(^{[68]}\). At this point, all patients with AKI-related TURP syndrome should be screened for RM.

It has been suggested that “hemodynamic” acute tubular necrosis is an important cause of AKI in some patients after TURP. Hypotension coupled with osmotic diuresis results in ischemic kidney episodes\(^{[69]}\). Another possible mechanism is sudden kidney cell swelling as a result of acute hypo-osmolality, similar to the development of central nervous system edema\(^{[60]}\).
derstood. It is most likely that one or more of a number of mechanisms are implicated.

**PREVENTION**

**Prostate gland size and operative time**

There are no definitive data establishing an operative time threshold beyond which excessive fluid is absorbed, but after one hour of surgery, the risk increases significantly (72) and after that point, the patient’s overall status, the volume of fluid absorbed, and the anticipated time to completion should be reassessed (73). For patients with large glands and expected long procedures, it is advised that bipolar TURP or other low-risk techniques be used.

**Fluid bag height**

Fluid is infused using the force of gravity (elevating the infusion bag to different heights) or by inflating a large blood pressure cuff around the infusion bag. Placing the irrigating fluid bag at 60 cm above the operating table has been advised to avoid fluid absorption. Nevertheless, two studies including almost 600 patients did not demonstrate conclusive benefits in higher fluid bag (74,75). For patients with large prostates or when fluids associated with higher incidence of TURP syndrome such as Gly and D.W. are used.

**Intraprostatic vasopressin injection**

Transrectal intraprostatic vasopressin (IPVP) injected at the operating site is considered to vasoconstrict intraprostatic vessels and reduce blood loss and fluid absorption during TURP (76). IPVP appears to be effective and could be used in patients with large prostates or when fluids associated with higher incidence of TURP syndrome such as Gly and D.W. are used.

**Low-pressure irrigation**

Irrigating fluid absorption is less pronounced when TURP is performed under low pressure. A number of measures to maintaining low intra-bladder pressure has been used, such as suprapubic catheterization, intermittent drainage of the irrigating fluid and continuous flow resection (77).

**Bipolar TURP**

Bipolar resection of the prostate utilizes a specialized resectoscope loop that incorporates both the active and the return electrodes. The bipolar loop resects, coagulates, vaporizes and transects the tissue. Because the bipolar resectoscope uses a 0.9% sodium chloride solution as the irrigation fluid, the risk of TURP syndrome is eliminated, allowing for longer and safer resections (78). Omar et al (79) recently published a systematic review and meta-analysis comparing bipolar and monopolar TURP. The study comprised 24 trials, and no case of TURP syndrome was observed in the bipolar group. Therefore, bipolar TURP is a safe procedure that is suitable for high-risk patients such as CKD patients and those with large glands.

**Laser and vaporization prostatectomy vs TURP syndrome**

Photoselective vaporization of the prostate (PVP) and other laser techniques are novel procedures that promote effective hemostasis with nearly bloodless removal of prostate tissue and minimal absorption of irrigating fluid (80). PVP can use normal saline as the irrigating fluid, and laser therapies have been reported to successfully treat patients with very large prostates (>100 g) and those with ongoing oral anticoagulation (81).

**Trans-operatory monitoring of fluid absorption**

A key aspect to preventing the development of TURP syndrome is monitoring the fluid absorption during the endoscopic surgery. A number of alternatives have been attempted to achieve this goal. Volumetric fluid balance, the difference between the amount of irrigating fluid used and the output volume, is the most commonly used technique to estimate fluid absorption. However, other variables such as bleeding, irrigant leakage, urinary output (diuresis), and blood dilution make this a comparatively unreliable tool (82). Although it has limitations, volumetric fluid balance is simple, noninvasive, and inexpensive, and it should be performed in every surgery.

The gravimetric method is often used and requires that the surgery take place on a bed-scale. The method relies on the supposition that increases in the body weight are generated by fluid absorption. Bleeding and intravenous infusions must be considered in recordings, that must be carried out when the bladder is empty (83).

To minimize the risk of hyponatremia, an intraoperative approach based on the amount of absorbed fluid is suggested (84). If more than 1.0 L of fluid is estimated to be absorbed, the surgical team should temporarily halt the procedure, fluid inflow should cease and serum sodium should be measured. If mental and cardiovascular status are maintained, surgery can be resumed for as short a period as possible. If more than 2.0 L of fluid were absorbed, hemorrhage points should be coagulated and the procedure should be terminated. Serum sodium concentration and mental status should be closely monitored.

**MANAGEMENT AND TREATMENT OF TURP SYNDROME**

The urologic surgeon and the anesthetist should be aware of the development of TURP syndrome. Asymptomatic and stable patients should be kept under observation. Specific treatment is not required, particularly if sodium reduction is below 5 mEq/L. In these cases, if renal function is adequate, excretion of the excess water and metabolism of the infused solute will rapidly correct the hyponatremia (85). There is no specific treatment for the visual symptoms of Gly intoxication, and even blindness is typically resolved in 24 h without the need for specific treatment (86).

Hypertonic saline is indicated to replace the excreted sodium in symptomatic patients with marked hyponatremia, particularly those who have substantially reduced serum osmolality or a cerebral edema (87). Hypertonic
saline in a 3% solution can be given as a 100 mL bolus at 10-min intervals or continuously infused (approximately 1.0 L in 12 h). Rapid correction of hyponatremia is most likely safe following TURP because of the extremely short duration of hyponatremia and the restricted time for cerebral adaptations. A reasonable and safe strategy is to increase the serum sodium concentration to up to 12 mEq/L in the first 24 h. Furosemide may be used to reverse the fluid overload, although furosemide increases natriuresis and hyponatremia, further reducing plasma volume and increasing the cellular edema. Furosemide should not be routinely given in TURP patients in the absence of fluid overload.

Hemodialysis will rapidly correct hyponatremia, osmotic derangements, and volume expansion and remove the non-electrolyte solute and its toxic metabolites (Gly, sorbitol, mannitol). It has been used in symptomatic patients with severe renal disease and in patients with severe neurologic symptoms and marked hyponatremia. In the case of important fluid extravasation and large fluid collections, it might be necessary to carry out a open surgical drainage by percutaneous drainage.

**ANESTHESIA AND TURP SURGERY**

For years, spinal anesthesia was considered the anesthetic technique of choice for TURP. Spinal anesthesia is considered to reduce the risk of pulmonary edema, to decrease bleeding risk and to allow a prompt diagnosis of neurologic symptoms. However, spinal anesthesia reduces central venous pressure, affecting prostate venous pressure, which could result in greater absorption of the irrigating fluid. During general anesthesia, the detection of TURP syndrome may be more difficult, based on afterward changes in blood pressure and electrocardiographic abnormalities. In fact, the best anesthetic technique for TURP procedures has not yet determined.

**RADICAL PROSTATECTOMY AND RHABDOMYOLYSIS**

Radical prostatectomy is the main surgical treatment for PCa. Radical retropubic prostatectomy (RRP) is performed with patients in the supine position, while in radical perineal prostatectomy (RPP), patients are placed in an exaggerated lithotomy position. More recently LSP and RARP have been developed, and these minimally invasive procedures are replacing open radical prostatectomy in some countries. The first description of position-induced RM with subsequent AKI after a knee-chest position was in 1953 by Gordon. Renal failure following radical prostatectomy is uncommon, and the incidence of subclinical RM following this procedure is currently unknown. In a recent retrospective study of 175699 patients subjected to robotic or non-robotic radical prostatectomy, the incidence of RM was 0.08%. In a prospective study of 60 patients undergoing RARP and lymph node dissection with prolonged positioning in a steep Trendelenburg position, Mattei et al. demonstrated that ten patients developed RM (serum creatine kinase > 5000 IU/L). Although AKI following radical prostatectomy appears to be rare, there are a number of case reports that suggest RM secondary to an exaggerated lithotomy position as a cause of AKI in this setting.

AKI associated with myoglobinuria is an important complication of RM. After glomerular filtration, myoglobin is uptake by the tubule epithelial cell through endocytic pathways and is metabolized. The precise mechanisms leading to the glomerular filtration rate impairment are unclear, however some evidence suggests that hypovolemia, vasoconstriction, intraluminal cast formation, oxidative stress and direct heme-induced cytotoxicity are all responsible for kidney injury.

RM after the exaggerated lithotomy position during surgery is usually due the intraoperative development of lower extremity compartment syndrome or from muscle breakdown in the back and gluteal regions. Improperly positioned or inadequately padded patients are prone to ischemia-reperfusion injury from excessive compression. Compartment syndrome was reported in 93% of 46 patients with position-related RM associated with AKI. The lower extremities were most often involved (50%), with muscle swelling and ache being the presenting symptoms. Lithotomy and chest-knee position were the most frequent postures in these patients. Fortunately, compartment syndrome is a rare complication of radical prostatectomy surgery.

**Rhabdomyolysis associated with prostatectomy prevention and management**

To prevent RM associated with surgical position, all pressure points should be protected, including paying special attention to the shoulders, back, and sacrum. The vascular status of the patient’s lower extremity should be evaluated with a preoperative vascular examination, and repositioning the lower extremities every two hours could improve perfusion and avoid the occurrence of injury. Some investigators have suggested noninvasive or invasive intraoperative monitoring to assess for impending limb compartment syndrome. Others have recommended obtaining preoperative CPK levels, levels every two hours intraoperatively and levels six to eighteen hours postoperatively if the procedure is expected to be prolonged, especially in high-risk groups.

An approach to the extensive management of RM was published elsewhere. The approach to RM after prostatectomy is not different from that in other settings. Early and aggressive intravascular volume expansion with crystalloids to restore kidney blood flow and increase urine flow is the cornerstone intervention for preventing and treating AKI. Intravenous fluids should be initiated ideally within the first 6 h after muscle injury, at a rate that maintains a urine output of 300 mL/h or more in adults, for at least the first 24 h. There
### Table 3 Suggestions for the prevention and management of transurethral resection of prostate syndrome

| Preoperative | Intraoperative |
|--------------|----------------|
| Estimate GFR using the CKD-EPI equation | Avoid D.W. and glycine as irrigating fluids. Sorbitol and mannitol are good options. Physiologic saline is a safe choice when feasible |
| Identify patient risk factors: large prostate gland (>45 g), heart disease, CKD, and smoking | Maintain low-pressure irrigation |
| Advise bipolar TURP or laser techniques for high-risk patients | Consider the use of intra-prostatic vasopressin injection in high-risk patients |
| Intraoperative | Alert surgical team when surgery exceeds one hour |
| | Monitor the volume of absorbed fluid. Consider aborting the procedure if the absorbed volume exceeds 1.0 L and suspend surgery if absorbed volume exceeds 200 mL |
| | Both spinal and general anesthesia are adequate |
| | Avoid hypotension and central venous pressure reduction and closely monitor the vital signs |
| | Assess serum osmolality |
| | Assess serum sodium and serum creatinine in all patients in the immediate postoperative period |
| | Apply KDIGO AKI definitions to AKI diagnosis |
| | If TURP syndrome is diagnosed, initiate medical treatment: |
| | Assess serum osmolality |
| | Maintain asymptomatic and mildly symptomatic patients under close observation |
| | Initiate hypertonic saline 3% infusion in symptomatic patients with marked hyponatremia, reduced osmolality and cerebral edema |
| | Restrict diuretic use to treat fluid overload |
| | If AKI occurs, test for hemolysis and rhabdomyolysis |
| | Consider hemodialysis in symptomatic patients with severe renal disease |
| | Patients that developed AKI should be followed and eGFR equations must be used to identify CKD |

**AKI**: Acute kidney injury; **CKD**: Chronic kidney disease; **CKD-EPI**: Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration; **D.W.**: Distilled water; **GFR**: Glomerular filtration rate; **KDIGO**: Kidney disease improving global outcomes; **TURP**: Transurethral resection of prostate.

CONCLUSION

Severe AKI appears to be a rare event after prostate surgery. However, it is a hazardous surgical complication that increases the risk of permanent kidney damage or death. Because mild SCr elevations were not systematically monitored across the majority of the available studies, the exact incidence of AKI is underdetermined. Studies using the current definitions of AKI are very necessary for providing a better understanding of AKI risk factors and the influence of AKI on patient outcomes after prostate surgeries. Preoperative nephrology consultation might be helpful to better assess kidney function and the presence of other risk factors for AKI, allowing for adequately planning the surgical technique and reinforcing preventive strategies. Affected patients should be followed to assess long-term prognosis and CKD development.

In the last years, several studies about urinary and serum biomarkers for the diagnosis and prognostication of AKI have been published\(^\text{[108,109]}\). The question that arises is which biomarker is a reliable differential diagnostic tool under which circumstances. As hematuria and need of bladder irrigation are common after prostatic surgeries, the urinary biomarkers might be less suitable in this setting. Further research in this field is warranted before biomarkers can be introduced in the clinical practice.

The available data suggest TURP syndrome as the main mechanism for AKI following prostatic surgery. The absorption of 1-2 L of irrigating fluid occurs in 5%-10% of patients and results in easily overlooked mild TURP syndrome. Fortunately, TURP syndrome inci-
dence appears to be declining because of the use of laser surgery techniques and bipolar circuitry, together with the systematic institution of routine precautions to minimize the risk of TURP syndrome development (e.g., low-pressure irrigation, monitoring the extent of absorption and surgery length). TURP syndrome pathophysiology is complex, multifactorial and not completely understood. The pathogenic mechanisms postulated for AKI development include acute hemolysis, renal interstitial edema, ischemic tubular injury, RM and reflux nephropathy resulting from the absorption of irrigating fluid, dilutional hyponatremia and high intra-bladder pressure. A variety of different irrigating fluids are available, but studies in animals, volunteers and patients show that glycine solution should be avoided. Treatment of symptomatic hyponatremia should be based on the administration of hypertonic saline rather than diuretics. RRT might be necessary in severe AKI.

RM-induced AKI after radical prostatectomy has also been described in a small number of case reports. These reports identified a number of risk factors, such as exaggerated lithotomy position, preexisting CKD, obesity, and surgery longer than 5 h. In patients at high risk for AKI, every effort should be made to ensure correct positioning during surgery. Early diagnosis of RM and aggressive volemic expansion are the keys to the patient’s successful recovery.

It is important for nephrologists to know the main aspects of the physiopathology, clinical presentation, treatment and particular characteristics of AKI in the context of prostate surgery. Close collaboration with the urologist and anesthesiology staff is extremely important to allow for the adoption of preventive measures and to detect any earlier, elusive clinical presentations of AKI.
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