Tendencies toward Internet-pornography-use disorder: Differences in men and women regarding attentional biases to pornographic stimuli
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INTRODUCTION

Since the Internet has become an important tool to cope with several goals or to fulfill certain needs, it is evident that many individuals use it also for sexual purposes (Döring, 2009). The majority of Internet pornography users experience positive effects, such as an enrichment of individual’s sex life or inspiration of sexual imagination (Grové, Gillespie, Royce, & Lever, 2011; Hald & Malamuth, 2008; Paul, 2009; Shaughnessy, Byers, Clowater, & Kalinowski, 2014). Some users, however, seem to develop an excessive usage pattern characterized by increased using times as well as a diminished control over the use and they experience severe negative consequences due to their uncontrolled use of Internet pornography (Griffiths, 2012). Due to the easy accessibility and affordability of pornographic contents as well as users’ perceived anonymity (Cooper, 1998), the Internet-pornography-use seems to be risky for its addictive potential (Griffiths, 2001; Meerkerk, van den Eijnden, & Garretsen, 2006; Young, Pistner, O’Mara, & Buchanan, 1999). With regard to several other Internet applications (e.g., social networking or shopping), it is discussed whether or not the phenomenon of an uncontrolled and excessive use of Internet pornography might be considered as one type of specific Internet-use disorder (Brand, Young, Laier, Wölling, & Potenza, 2016; Garcia & Thibaut, 2010; Kuss, Griffiths, Karila, & Billieux, 2014; Laier & Brand, 2014). Although discussed controversially, several authors consider Internet-pornography-use disorder (IPD) as an addictive disorder, which is comparable with Internet gaming disorder or gambling disorder. Consequently, applying the addiction framework is useful for studying psychological mechanisms potentially underlying IPD. One of the mechanisms that has been intensively studied in substance-use disorders is an enhanced attentional bias toward addiction-related cues (Bradley, Mogg, Wright, & Field, 2003; Field, Marhe, & Wölfling, 2017; Garcia & Thibaut, 2010; Kuss, Griffiths, Karila, & Billieux, 2014; Laier & Brand, 2014). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial purposes, provided the original author and source are credited, a link to the CC License is provided, and changes – if any – are indicated.
Attentional biases in IPD

Attentional biases are described as cognitive processes of individual’s perception affected by addiction-related cues (Field & Cox, 2008). The theoretical background of the attentional bias hypotheses is, for example, the incentive sensitization theory by Robinson and Berridge (1993). Robinson and Berridge (1993) argue that individuals with addictive disorders show fast shifts of attention to stimuli, which are associated with the addictive behavior (e.g., drug intake), due to the cue’s incentive salience. The incentive salience is considered as the result of classical conditioning processes (Robinson & Berridge, 2000, 2001, 2008). In the context of the development and maintenance of an IPD, these attentional biases may interfere with decision-making behaviors in order to receive short-term gratification in terms of sexual arousal. It is assumed that the anticipation of sexual gratification plays a key role in the development and maintenance of an IPD as the gratification is highly positively (and partly negatively) reinforcing (Brand et al., 2011; Georgiadis & Kringlebø, 2012; Young, 1998). Findings from previous research have shown the conditioning-ability of sexual arousal and its reinforcing potential (Hoffmann, Janssen, & Turner, 2004; Klucken et al., 2009) and therefore indicate the role of sexual arousal as predictor for IPD (Laier & Brand, 2014; Snagowski, Laier, Duka, & Brand, 2016). Attentional bias toward stimuli that are associated with the addictive behavior has already been demonstrated for Internet-gaming disorder (Dong, Zhou, & Zhao, 2011; Jeromin, Nyenhuis, & Barke, 2016; Lorenz et al., 2012; Metcalf & Pammer, 2011) but not for IPD, to date.

In the recently published theoretical framework, the Interaction of Person-Affect-Cognition-Execution (I-PACE) model (Brand et al., 2016) of specific Internet-use disorders, the authors assume interactions between subjectively perceived situational triggers and cognitive responses to specific cues. This assumption is based on the dual-process theory (Bechara, 2005), whereby an addictive behavior can be seen as a result of an interaction between an automatic, impulsive and a more controlled reflective processing. Considering cognitive and affective responses to specific cues in the addiction process, attentional biases result from an imbalance between these two processes and are more impulsive rather than rationally driven as they are a consequence of cue-reactivity (Bechara, 2005). A repeated confrontation with addiction-related cues within the addiction’s development process strengthens attentional biases and therefore increases craving responses to those cues. Research on hypersexual behaviors could show that addicted individuals react faster on sexual stimuli in terms of attentional bias toward sexual stimuli can occur independently of sex. Kagerer et al. (2014) could show that male and female participants did not differ in reaction times in terms of attentional bias toward sexual cues. However, it is still unclear how an attentional bias interacts with symptoms of an IPD. Due to the theoretical assumptions of the I-PACE model (Brand et al., 2016) and first empirical evidence on the appearance of attentional biases toward sexual stimuli in male and female participants (Kagerer et al., 2014), we hypothesize:

H1: Attentional biases toward pornographic pictures are associated with higher symptom severity of IPD.
H2: There is a relationship between attentional bias and indicators for cue-reactivity and craving.
H3: The relationship between attentional bias and symptoms of IPD is independent from gender.
H4: The effect of attentional bias on symptoms of IPD is mediated by indicators for craving and cue-reactivity.

METHODS

Participants

We examined 174 participants (n = 87 females, M_age = 23.59, SD = 4.93 years, range: 18–52 years) for this study. All participants were recruited through offline and online advertisements at the University Duisburg-Essen. Advertisements explicitly asked for participants of full-age and informed about the confrontation with pornographic pictures of legal content during examination. All participants gave written informed consent prior to the investigation. The study was approved by the local ethics committee. Investigation took place in a laboratory setting. Students were able to collect course credits and non-students were paid at an hourly rate of 10€. The sample’s mean time spent on pornographic websites was M_total = 70.82 (SD = 280.21) min per week. While male participants reported to spend 121.71 min (SD = 387.51) per week on pornographic websites, women reported a weekly pornography use of 19.92 min (SD = 50.44) on average.
Visual Probe Task

The Visual Probe Task (Bradley, Field, Healy, & Mogg, 2008) was used to assess attentional bias. For the context of Internet pornography, the paradigm was modified with 16 pornographic pictures out of four categories (male/female oral sex and vaginal intercourse; female/female oral sex and vaginal intercourse). To provide an adequate neutral counterpart of the pornographic cues, a mostly enlarged cutout from each pornographic picture was excluded free of any sexually representation matched for color and color intensity (Figure 1). That matching was consciously chosen to ensure the same color intensity attention level for neutral and pornographic cues and to constrain the differential only to a sexual component. These 16 neutral cues were similar in terms of color, but neither with any explicit sexual details nor with a recognition value of the actors. Each pornographic picture was presented simultaneously to its neutral counterpart (Figure 2). There were two conditions: cues were presented for either 2000 or 200 ms. Following the presentation of a pair of pornographic-neutral pictures, a small arrow (probe) occurred pointing in an upper or lower direction. This arrow occurred at the position of either a pornographic or a neutral cue until participant’s response. Participants had to indicate the direction of arrow as fast and correct as possible by pushing one of two buttons on a keyboard. The position of each cue varied trial-by-trial between left and right resulting in a total number of 256 randomized trials [16 pairs (pornographic/neutral), 2 conditions (200/2000 ms), 2 positions of cue (left/right), 2 positions of arrow (left/right), and 2 directions of arrow (up/down)]. Participants completed a practice trial before beginning the experimental trials. There was a short break after 128 trials. The basic idea of the Visual Probe Task is the presentation of addiction-related and neutral cues followed by the measurement of reaction times by subtracting wrong reactions on arrows. The main scores were calculated by subtracting the reaction times for the arrow appearing after the pornographic picture from reaction times for the arrow appearing after the neutral pictures. A positive score represents faster reaction times for arrows appearing after a pornographic picture and therefore an attentional bias.

Figure 1. Example for a pornographic and neutral cue used in the Visual Probe Task. The neutral cue was a cutout from a pornographic picture matched for color and color intensity and free of any sexually details. The pornographic pictures were presented uncensored in the study.

Figure 2. Representation of sequence for the Visual Probe Task. Participants had to react on an arrow pointing up or down, which appeared either after a pornographic or neutral picture.
The scores were an initial attentional bias for the 200 ms condition (initial AB), a maintained score for the 2000 ms condition (maintained AB), and an overall score (overall AB), which is a mean score of the initial and the maintained AB. Higher scores indicate a higher attentional bias to pornographic picture cues.

Internet-pornography-use disorder

To assess the severity of complaints and negative consequences in everyday life due to Internet-pornography-use, a German version of the short-Internet Addiction Test (Pawlikowski, Alströter-Gleich, & Brand, 2013) was used, which was modified for Internetsex sites [short-Internetsex Addiction Test (s-IATsex); Laier et al., 2013]. This questionnaire consists of 12 items, of which each item has to be rated on a scale ranging from 1 = “never” to 5 = “very often” resulting in an overall score ranging from 12 to 60. The s-IATsex has a two-dimensional structure consisting of the subscale s-IATsex-control measuring a loss of control and difficulties in time management and s-IATsex-craving measuring symptoms of craving and social problems (six items). One example for an item would be “How often do you try to cut down the amount of time you spend on Internet sex sites and fail?” In this sample, the s-IATsex had a good internal consistency of Cronbach’s α = .893 for the overall score, Cronbach’s α = .878 for s-IATsex-control, and Cronbach’s α = .764 for s-IATsex-craving.

Sexual arousal and craving

To induce sexual arousal and craving, participants were presented 100 pornographic pictures out of 10 categories (male/female oral, vaginal, and anal intercourse; male/male oral and anal intercourse; female/female oral and vaginal intercourse; and male and female masturbating). This paradigm was used in several studies earlier (Laier et al., 2013, 2014; Laier, Pekal, & Brand, 2015). Each picture had to be rated with respect to sexual arousal and attractiveness on a scale ranging from 1 = “not sexual arousal at all”/“not attractive at all” to 5 = “very sexual arousal”/“very attractive.” Mean scores were calculated only for pictures, which are seen to be arousing for heterosexual individuals (male/female oral, vaginal, and anal intercourse and female/female oral and vaginal intercourse) (pictures arousal and pictures attractiveness). Before (t1) and after (t2) the picture presentation, the participants had to indicate their current sexual arousal and their need to masturbate on a scale ranging from 1 to 100. The increase of sexual arousal (arousal Δ) and the increase of the need to masturbate (craving masturbation Δ) were assumed as the indicators for cue-reactivity and craving responses and were calculated by subtracting t2 from t1. Time point t1 is considered as baseline measure. The pornographic pictures were presented prior to the Visual Probe Task.

Statistical analysis

For moderated regression analysis, all independent variables were centralized (Cohen, Cohen, West, & Aiken, 2003). The structural equation model on latent level was calculated using Mplus 6 (Muthén & Muthén, 2011). The data set was free of missing data. We evaluated the model fit on the basis of the standard criteria: standardized root mean square residual (SRMR; values <0.08 indicate a good fit with the data), comparative fit index/Tucker–Lewis index (CFI/TLI; values >0.90 indicate an acceptable and >0.95 a good fit with the data), and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA; values <0.08 indicate a good and 0.08–0.10 an acceptable model fit) (Hu & Bentler, 1995, 1999). The χ²-test was used to check if the data derivated from the defined model. All relevant variables for the mediation were required to correlate with each other (Baron & Kenny, 1986).

Ethics

All participants were fully instructed and gave written consent prior to the investigation. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

RESULTS

Descriptive values of all variables are summarized in Table 1. Male participants showed a mean score for the s-IATsex of 18.85 (SD = 6.22, range: 12–42), whereas female participants had a mean score of 14.34 (SD = 4.35, range: 12–37). Based on cut-off scores for the short-Internet Addiction Test (s-IAT; original questionnaire for symptoms of an Internet-use disorder) (Pawlikowski et al., 2013), this sample consists of two problematic and pathological female users (2.2%) and eight problematic and pathological male users (8.9%). A t-test for independent samples showed significant differences between male and female participants regarding symptoms of an IPD (s-IATsex), attentional biases (maintained and overall), and picture ratings (sexual arousal and attractiveness). No differences were found for indicators of craving (arousal and need to masturbate) and the 200-ms attentional bias condition (initial AB) (Table 1). Correlations between tendencies toward IPD, indicators for sexual arousal and craving, and measures for attentional biases are shown in Table 2. As hypothesized, the results indicate relationships between attentional bias, symptoms of IPD, and indicators for cue-reactivity and craving.

Two moderated hierarchical regression analyses were conducted to explore potential interactions between the group variable “sex” and measures of attentional biases on tendencies toward IPD. Moreover, a post hoc power analysis for determining the effect sizes as well as the sample size’s power for both regression analyses was calculated. As dependent variable, the subscale “s-IATsex-craving” was chosen, as it is assumed that attentional bias has effects on symptoms of craving and that this subscale assesses subjective complaints of craving more specifically than the “s-IATsex sum score” does. The group variable “sex” was the predictor and the “initial AB score” was used as moderator variable. In the first step, the group variable “sex” showed a significant explanation of variance in the dependent variable “s-IATsex-craving” of 9.9% (F = 18.970, p < .001). Adding the “initial AB score” in the second step significantly increases the explanation of
Table 1. t-test for independent samples comparing male and female participants regarding measurements for tendencies toward IPD, sexual arousal, craving, and attentional biases

| Symptom severity of IPD | Overall (N = 174) | Male (n = 87) | Female (n = 87) | t  | p   | d    |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----|-----|------|
| s-IATsex               | 16.60             | 5.81          | 18.85          | 6.22| 14.34| 4.35 | 5.53 | <.001| 0.84 |
| s-IATsex-craving       | 8.13              | 2.83          | 9.02           | 2.96| 7.24 | 2.41 | 4.36 | <.001| 0.66 |
| s-IATsex-control       | 8.47              | 3.47          | 9.83           | 3.92| 7.10 | 2.26 | 5.62 | <.001| 0.71 |

| Attentional bias scores | Initial AB        | 24.99         | 30.28          | 27.93| 32.67| 22.06| 27.56| 1.28 | .202| 0.20 |
|                        | Maintained AB     | 9.41          | 29.46          | 14.23| 28.47| 4.60 | 29.81| 2.18 | .031| 0.33 |
|                        | Overall AB        | 17.48         | 23.46          | 21.40| 23.12| 13.56| 23.27| 2.23 | .027| 0.34 |

| Picture presentation ratings | Pictures arousal | 2.50          | 0.91           | 2.92 | 0.82 | 2.08 | 0.79 | 6.84 | <.001| 1.04 |
|                            | Pictures attractiveness | 2.55          | 0.83           | 2.92 | 0.77 | 2.18 | 0.72 | 6.56 | <.001| 0.99 |

| Cue-reactivity and craving | Arousal t1        | 8.22          | 15.92          | 9.61 | 18.22| 6.84 | 13.19| 1.15 | .252| 0.17 |
|                           | Arousal t2        | 22.92         | 21.38          | 24.48| 21.79| 21.36| 20.97| 0.96 | .336| 0.17 |
|                           | Arousal Δ         | 14.70         | 21.45          | 14.48| 19.17| 14.52| 17.81| 0.13 | .899| 0.00 |
|                           | Craving_masturbation t1 | 4.95          | 12.58          | 6.60 | 15.81| 3.31 | 7.94 | 1.73 | .085| 0.26 |
|                           | Craving_masturbation t2 | 13.44         | 18.50          | 15.08| 19.23| 11.79| 17.69| 1.17 | .242| 0.18 |
|                           | Craving_masturbation Δ | 8.48          | 14.38          | 8.48 | 13.67| 8.48 | 15.14| 0.00 | 1.000| 0.00 |
|                           | Other             |               |                |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |
|                           | Weekly porn usage (min) | 70.82      | 280.21         | 121.71| 387.51| 19.92| 50.44| 2.43 | .016| 0.37 |

Note. IPD: Internet-pornography-use disorder; SD: standard deviation; s-IATsex: short-Internetsex Addiction Test.

The variance of “s-IATsex-craving” (ΔR² = .020, ΔF = 3.968, p = .048) No significant interaction effect was observed (ΔR² = .00, ΔF = 0.027, p = .871). However, the regression model remained significant with an overall explanation of variance of 12% in the tendencies toward IPD (R² = .120, F = 7.720, p < .001). Further regression values are shown in Table 3. The moderated regression analysis shows a medium effect size with f² = 0.14 and a necessary power of 0.83 (1 – β err prob) (Cohen, 1992). The simple slopes (Figure 3) of the regression representing “low initial AB” and “high initial AB” were not significantly different from zero (blowinitialAB = 0.13, p = .895; bhighinitialAB = 0.14, p = .886). In a second moderated regression analysis, the “maintained AB” was used as moderator variable (group variable and dependent variable are the same as above). Consequently, the group variable “sex” showed the aforementioned significant effect on the tendencies toward IPD (s-IATsex-craving) with R² = .099 (F = 18.970, p < .001). The maintained AB as a second predictor in this model showed a significant explanation of variance with ΔR² = .034 (ΔF = 6.660, p = .011). No significant interaction effect was found (ΔR² = .002, ΔF = 0.356, p = .552). Further regression values are shown in Table 4. The moderated regression analysis shows a medium effect size with f² = 0.16 and a necessary power of 0.89 (Cohen, 1992). Thus, the effect sizes and the power indicate that we do not mistakenly expect and accept null interactions. The simple slopes (Figure 4) of the regression representing “low maintained AB” and “high maintained AB” were not significantly different from zero (blowmaintainedAB = 0.14, p = .893; bhighmaintainedAB = 0.14, p = .892). Both regression and simple slope analyses indicate that individuals with higher attentional bias toward sexual stimuli report stronger symptoms of craving in the context of IPD. Therefore, the results indicate the important role of attentional bias in both sexes, because the two attentional bias scores had their own incremental validity beyond the group variable biological sex and no interaction effects between group (male and female) and attentional biases were observed.

For the mediation model, the latent variable “cure-reactivity and craving” was modeled by the craving measures sexual arousal t2, and the need to masturbate t2 since the delta scores for both measures were not significantly correlated with the severity of symptoms due to an IPD and attentional bias scores. The assumption is that individuals with a higher symptom severity already have a higher baseline craving before watching the pornographic picture presentation. Thus, the increase of sexual arousal is small, but sexual arousal remains higher for t2 measure in individuals with tendencies toward an IPD. The proposed structural equation model on latent level with IPD symptoms (s-IATsex) as dependent variable showed a good fit with the underlying data. The RMSEA was 0.067 (p = .279), CFI was 0.985, TLI was 0.962, and the SRMR was 0.028. The χ² test was not significant with 10.72 (p = .097) and χ²/df was 1.79. Overall, the proposed model explained 24.1% of the variance in the IPD symptoms (R² = .241, p = .015). The latent mediation model with the β weights are shown in Figure 5. The latent variable “attentional bias” modeled by the initial AB and maintained AB had a direct effect on symptoms of IPD, which was modeled by the two sub scales (s-IATsex-control and s-IATsex-craving) of the s-IATsex (β = .310, SE = 0.154, p = .044). Furthermore, attentional bias showed a direct effect on the latent variable “cue-reactivity and craving,” which was represented by the subjective sexual arousal and the need to masturbate after
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Table 2. Correlations of the measurements for tendencies toward IPD, attentional biases, and indicators for sexual arousal and craving

| N  | 1   | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|    | 1 s-IATsex | 2 s-IATsex-craving | 3 s-IATsex-control | 4 Initial AB | 5 Maintained AB | 6 Overall AB | 7 s-IATsex-control | 8 Initial AB | 9 Maintained AB | 10 Overall AB | 11 Pictures_arousal | 12 Arousal t1 | 13 Arousal t2 | 14 Arousal Δ | 15 Craving_masturbation t1 | 16 Craving_masturbation t2 | 17 Craving_masturbation Δ |
|----|------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|    | .904**     | .697**              | .937**              | .161**      | .211**        | .283**       | .322**              | .163**      | .205**        | .237**      | .172**          | .172**        | .172**        | .201**      | .209**         | .209**         | .209**         |
|    | 1 s-IATsex | .937**              | .937**              | .211**      | .283**        | .322**       | .352**              | .163**      | .205**        | .237**      | .172**          | .172**        | .172**        | .201**      | .209**         | .209**         | .209**         |
|    | 2 s-IATsex-craving | .904**              | .904**              | .161**      | .211**        | .283**       | .322**              | .163**      | .205**        | .237**      | .172**          | .172**        | .172**        | .201**      | .209**         | .209**         | .209**         |
|    | s-IATsex-control | .697**              | .697**              | .937**      | .211**        | .283**       | .322**              | .937**      | .937**        | .937**      | .937**          | .937**        | .937**        | .937**      | .937**         | .937**         | .937**         |
|    | Initial AB | .937**              | .937**              | .161**      | .211**        | .283**       | .322**              | .163**      | .205**        | .237**      | .172**          | .172**        | .172**        | .201**      | .209**         | .209**         | .209**         |
|    | Maintained AB | .937**              | .937**              | .161**      | .211**        | .283**       | .322**              | .163**      | .205**        | .237**      | .172**          | .172**        | .172**        | .201**      | .209**         | .209**         | .209**         |
|    | Overall AB | .937**              | .937**              | .161**      | .211**        | .283**       | .322**              | .163**      | .205**        | .237**      | .172**          | .172**        | .172**        | .201**      | .209**         | .209**         | .209**         |
|    | Pictures_arousal | .352**          | .352**              | .322**       | .163**      | .205**        | .237**              | .283**      | .322**        | .352**      | .352**          | .352**        | .352**        | .352**      | .352**         | .352**         | .352**         |
|    | Arousal t1 | .322**              | .322**              | .322**       | .163**      | .205**        | .237**              | .322**      | .322**        | .322**      | .322**          | .322**        | .322**        | .322**      | .322**         | .322**         | .322**         |
|    | Arousal t2 | .322**              | .322**              | .322**       | .163**      | .205**        | .237**              | .322**      | .322**        | .322**      | .322**          | .322**        | .322**        | .322**      | .322**         | .322**         | .322**         |
|    | Arousal Δ | .322**              | .322**              | .322**       | .163**      | .205**        | .237**              | .322**      | .322**        | .322**      | .322**          | .322**        | .322**        | .322**      | .322**         | .322**         | .322**         |
|    | Craving_masturbation t1 | .308**          | .308**              | .308**       | .163**      | .205**        | .237**              | .308**      | .308**        | .308**      | .308**          | .308**        | .308**        | .308**      | .308**         | .308**         | .308**         |
|    | Craving_masturbation t2 | .349**          | .349**              | .349**       | .163**      | .205**        | .237**              | .349**      | .349**        | .349**      | .349**          | .349**        | .349**        | .349**      | .349**         | .349**         | .349**         |
|    | Craving_masturbation Δ | .180**          | .180**              | .180**       | .163**      | .205**        | .237**              | .180**      | .180**        | .180**      | .180**          | .180**        | .180**        | .180**      | .180**         | .180**         | .180**         |

Note. Significant values are represented in bold. IPD: Internet-pornography-use disorder; s-IATsex: short-Internetsex Addiction Test.

Table 3. First moderated regression analysis with s-IATsex-craving as dependent variable

| | β  | T       | p     |
|---|-----|---------|-------|
| Sex | .301 | 4.17    | <.001 |
| Initial AB | .142 | 1.93    | .055  |
| Sex × Initial AB | .012 | 0.16    | .871  |

Note. Significant value is represented in bold. s-IATsex: short-Internetsex Addiction Test.

Table 4. Second moderated regression analysis with s-IATsex-craving as dependent variable

| | β  | T       | p     |
|---|-----|---------|-------|
| Sex | .285 | 3.94    | <.001 |
| Maintained AB | .184 | 2.55    | .012  |
| Sex × Maintained AB | -.043 | -0.60   | .552  |

Note. Significant value is represented in bold. s-IATsex: short-Internetsex Addiction Test.

Figure 3. Simple slopes for the first moderated regression analysis with s-IATsex-craving as dependent variable, the first moderated regression analysis with s-IATsex-craving as dependent variable, sex as independent variable, and initial AB as moderator. No interaction was found and simple slopes did not differ significantly from zero.

As a main result of the study, we found the hypothesized relationship between attentional bias toward sexual stimuli and symptom severity of IPD in a sample of male and female participants. Furthermore, the relationship between attentional bias and symptoms of IPD was mediated by indicators for cue-reactivity and craving. The results indicate a difference between male and female individuals watching pornographic pictures (β = .297, SE = 0.145, p = .041). In addition, there was a direct effect from cue-reactivity and craving on symptoms of IPD (β = .299, SE = 0.093, p < .001). Overall, attentional bias showed an indirect effect on IPD symptoms (β = .089, SE = 0.045, p = .047) indicating a partially mediation over indicators for cue-reactivity and craving.

DISCUSSION

As a main result of the study, we found the hypothesized relationship between attentional bias toward sexual stimuli and symptom severity of IPD in a sample of male and female participants. Furthermore, the relationship between attentional bias and symptoms of IPD was mediated by indicators for cue-reactivity and craving. The results indicate a difference between male and female individuals...
regarding an attentional bias for the overall and maintained condition, but not in the initial condition in the Visual Probe Task. However, regression analysis could show that sex and attentional biases in both time conditions predicted tendencies toward IPD, the interaction of both did not add further explanation of variance in IPD symptoms. This result indicates that attentional biases play a role in IPD symptoms and seem to occur independently from gender.

The results are consistent with the I-PACE model proposed by Brand et al. (2016), which emphasizes an important role of implicit cognitions in the development and maintenance of Internet-use disorders including IPD. Implicit cognitions are considered as a result of interactions between indicators for sexual arousal and specific predispositions, for example, a high sexual excitability triggered by sexual cues and experiencing gratification while using Internet pornography. We could show that the subjective sexual arousal due to the presentation of pornographic cues as well as the sexual arousal and the need to masturbate afterward are related to indicators of attentional biases and partially mediates the effect of attentional bias on IPD. Therefore, the results support theoretical assumptions regarding the incentive salience of addiction-related cues and are consistent with studies addressing cue-reactivity and craving in substance-use disorders (Field & Cox, 2008; Field, Mogg, & Bradley, 2005; Robbins & Ehrman, 2004). Specific implicit and affective cognitions, for example, attentional biases, are a direct result of a conditioned response to rewarding cues and are positively reinforced by the experienced gratification. This effect of attentional biases on tendencies toward an IPD could be shown in this study. Similar results were observed for hypersexual individuals, who reacted faster on sexual cues than on neutral compared to healthy individuals (Mechelmans et al., 2014).

We found higher attentional biases in male individuals for the maintained condition and the overall AB compared to female individuals, but not so for the initial AB. These results are partly contrary to other studies, which could not show any sex differences (Kagerer et al., 2014; Prause, Janssen, & Hetrick, 2008). This may be explained by the selection of stimuli in this study, as the pornographic pictures used for the Visual Probe Task may have a stronger rewarding character for male than for female individuals and therefore grab stronger attention in male users. Pictures presented in the study by Kagerer et al. (2014) were a combination of stimuli showing hard- and softcore intercourse and were selected previously by a male and female investigator. The procedure was applied to ensure an equally arousing picture set for both sexes. This assumption is supported by sex differences in this study regarding the valence and sexual arousal rating for the pornographic pictures used to induce craving and a higher pornography use by males. Furthermore, male and females showed a different use of Internet-sex-related contents in general. While male users prefer solitary-arousal content in general, such as pornography, female users seek for more
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**Figure 4.** Simple slopes for the second moderated regression analysis with s-IATsex-craving as dependent variable, the first moderated regression analysis with s-IATsex-craving as dependent variable, sex as independent variable, and maintained AB as moderator. No interaction was found and simple slopes did not differ significantly from zero
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**Figure 5.** The proposed structural equation model on latent level with IPD symptoms as dependent variable. Direct and indirect effects were found indicating a partial mediation from attentional bias over indicators for cue-reactivity and craving on severity of symptoms of IPD
interactive applications, such as chatrooms or sex through webcam (Shaughnessy et al., 2011). Therefore, male participants might be strongly attracted by pornographic cues compared to females, potentially as a consequence of conditioning processes.

Regarding the prediction of symptoms of problematic or even pathological use of Internet pornography, participants’ sex served as a significant predictor. This result may seem contrary to several studies showing that female users are also prone to get addicted by pornography (Daneback, Ross, & Månsson, 2006; Green et al., 2012; Laier et al., 2014), even if they prefer more socially interactive applications. Though, prevalence rates in male samples are known to be higher than in female samples (Ross, Månsson, & Daneback, 2012), as male users use Internet pornography more frequently. Prevalence rates in this sample, although it is not representative, are comparable with other studies with 2.2% problematic and pathological female users and 8.9% problematic and pathological male users (based on cut-off scores for the original s-IAT, Pawlikowski et al., 2013).

An enhanced attentional bias toward sexual cues predicted tendencies toward IPD. This effect of implicit cognitions on addictive behaviors is supported by the results from several studies in the field of substance-use disorders (for review, see Field et al., 2014) and behavioral addictions (Mechelmans et al., 2014). However, no interaction of participants’ sex and attentional biases on IPD tendencies was found. Obviously, the relationship between IPD symptoms and attention to sexual cues is independent from biological sex, even though males rated pornographic cues as more arousing and more attractive than the female participants. One explanation might be that the visual system is evolutionary programmed to catch attention of cues, which have a biological significance and a reward character for its viewer, such as sexual stimuli (LeDoux, 1996; Rolls, 2000). This more general attentional bias associated with non-drug reward, also referred to as value-driven attention in literature (Anderson, 2016), might also explain small effect sizes of the correlations. Sexual pictures might be associated with both drug- and non-drug rewards and therefore occur also in a non-clinical sample of men and women. However, it has to be noted that the selection of stimuli was not aligned for both sexes, but rather fits male user’s preference. Kagerer et al. (2014) argue that females’ attention to sexual cues is interfered when they get confronted with same-sex models as found in the study by Schimmack (2005). In terms of tendencies toward IPD, these sexual stimuli also became significant for female individuals. It can be assumed that the anticipated and experienced gratification of Internet pornography positively reinforces the incentive salience of addiction-related cues, whereas, in consequence, the effects of implicit cognitions like attentional biases in Internet pornography-related decision situations might be strengthened independently from sex.

LIMITATIONS AND FURTHER STUDIES

There are some limitations in this study. We investigated a hypothesis with clinical relevance by conducting a study with a non-clinical sample. Therefore, it remains necessary to address effects of attentional biases on symptoms of IPD with a clinical sample in future studies. Moreover, the selection of sexual stimuli used in the Visual Probe Task needs to be adjusted for female participants and tested in advance to ensure that women’s attention is not interfered by any distractors, such as same-sex model intercourse. Moreover, the selection of neutral pictures as cutouts from the pornographic material might not be the most appropriate solution. However, we have created these neutral pictures with respect to comparability in color and color intensity without showing any sex interactions of human bodies. Thus, the orientation and attention on the sexual cues might be increased for the whole sample and not only for individuals showing tendencies toward an IPD. The selection of these cutouts was conducted in order to have the same colors in the pictures, given that it is well known that color of stimuli can also have an effect on attention. Future studies should provide a more distinct control compared to the sexual cues. Furthermore, to address effects of attentional bias in more detail, the Visual Probe paradigm should be extended to measure reaction times on a neutral cue in a pairing of sex and neutral cues compared to reaction times on a neutral cue in a neutral/neutral cue pairing, as it was conducted in the study by Kagerer et al. (2014). This condition would be helpful to understand, if individuals get distracted and slowed down in reaction times by sex cues. Finally, it has to be critically mentioned that pornographic picture presentation was conducted prior to the Visual Probe Task leading to a potential bias on reaction times within the paradigm.
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