Effect of boron treatment on the microstructure and toughness of Ti-containing steel weld metals
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ABSTRACT: Ti-containing steel weld metals with boron addition contents of 0-85 ppm were prepared, and their microstructural characteristics as well as the impact toughness were investigated. The results show that in these microstructures, compared to the weld metal without boron, the addition of 22-39 ppm boron results in a remarkable increase in the amount of acicular ferrite at the expense of grain boundary ferrite, idiomorphic ferrite and side-plate ferrite. However, with a further increase in the boron content up to 61-85 ppm, the bainitic ferrite is formed, accompanied with a drop in the amount of acicular ferrite. In the acicular ferrite, the size of martensite-austenite (M/A) islands is much smaller, and the amount is much lower than those found in the bainitic ferrite. In the case of the weld metals primarily composed of acicular ferrite, during the fracture of the impact specimens, the crack propagation path is more bent in comparison with the weld metals with large amounts of grain boundary ferrite, idiomorphic ferrite, side-plate ferrite or bainitic ferrite, which that the presence of acicular ferrite improves the toughness of the weld metals. The coarse martensite-austenite islands readily induce micro-cracks at the interface between martensite-austenite islands and ferrite matrix, deteriorating the toughness. The weld metals with B contents of 22-39 ppm exhibit outstanding impact toughness because of high amount of acicular ferrite, accompanied with fine martensite-austenite islands.
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RESUMEN: Efecto de la adición de boro en la microestructura y tenacidad de soldaduras de acero aleado con titanio. Se han preparado soldaduras de acero aleadas con titanio con contenidos de boro de 0-85 ppm, y se investigó su microestructura, así como su tenacidad al impacto. Los resultados muestran que, en estas microestructuras, en comparación con la soldadura sin boro, la adición de 22-39 ppm de boro da como resultado un aumento notable en la cantidad de ferrita acicular a expensas de las de la ferrita que se forma en el límite de grano, la ferrita idiomórfica y la ferrita de placa lateral. Sin embargo, con un mayor aumento en el contenido de boro hasta 61-85 ppm, se forma la ferrita bainítica, acompañada de una caída en la cantidad de ferrita acicular. En la ferrita acicular, el tamaño de las islas de martensita-austenita (M/A) es mucho menor, y la cantidad es inferior comparado con la que se forma en la ferrita bainítica. En el caso de las soldaduras compuestos principalmente
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de ferrita acicular, durante la fractura de las muestras sometidas a impacto, la ruta de propagación de la grieta muestra una ruta más zigzagueante en comparación con las soldaduras con grandes cantidades de ferrita en el límite de grano, ferrita idiomórfica, ferrita de tipo placa lateral o ferrita bainítica, lo que demuestra que la presencia de ferrita acicular mejora la tenacidad de los metales de soldadura. Las islas groseras de martensita-austenita inducen fácilmente micro-grietas en la interfaz entre las islas de martensita-austenita y la matriz de ferrita, lo que deteriora la tenacidad. Las soldaduras con contenidos de B de 22-39 ppm exhiben una excelente tenacidad al impacto debido a la alta cantidad de ferrita acicular, acompañada de finas islas de martensita-austenita.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The microstructures of low carbon low alloy steel weld metals are usually composed of different amounts of coarse-grained transformation products (i.e., grain boundary ferrite (GBF), idiomorphic ferrite (IF) and side-plate ferrite (SPF)), bainitic ferrite (BF), and fine acicular ferrite (AF), depending on the chemical compositions of weld metals, welding processes, cooling conditions, etc. The GBF and IF are the proeutectoid ferrite (PF) nucleated respectively at prior austenite grain boundaries and the inclusions within austenite (Jorge et al., 2021). The SPF, also known as Widmanstätten ferrite, forms from pre-existing GBF (Spanos and Hall, 1996). The microstructural constituent type plays a substantial role in determining the mechanical properties, especially the toughness of the weld metals. Compared to other ferritic phases, such as GBF, IF and SPF, AF can significantly enhance the toughness of weld metals owing to its fine-grained microstructural characteristics (Zhang et al., 2011; Winarto et al., 2020; Mosallaei and Semiromi, 2021). Therefore, AF is desirable to develop extensively in the weld metal microstructures.

AF nucleates intragranularly in the form of independent plates on the inclusions (Ricks et al., 1982), so the inclusion feature is a crucial factor affecting AF formation. The literatures (Wang et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; Milani and Saeid, 2020; Uto et al., 2020) reported that some specific type Ti-containing inclusions can effectively promote AF nucleation by Mn depletion and/or crystallographic lattice match mechanisms. In addition, the hardenability of the weld metal also has a considerable effect on the AF formation (Lee and Pan, 1995) as a result of the competition relationships between AF and GBF, IF, SPF, etc., in the course of the austenite decomposition during cooling after welding (Ferrante and Farrar, 1982). The formation temperatures for GBF, IF and SPF are higher than that for AF (Farrar and Harrison, 1987) and, as a result, GBF, IF and SPF nucleate prior to AF during austenite to ferrite transformation in low hardenability weld metals (Chaveriat et al., 1987). For the sake of obtaining a high proportion of AF in the microstructure and the desirable mechanical properties, the hardenability of weld metal can be increased by addition of appropriate alloy elements to suppress coarse transformation products of GBF, IF and SPF. Mosallaei and Semiromi (2021) studied the influence of the Ni content on the microstructures and the mechanical properties in E7018-G electrode weld metal, and pointed that when Ni is increased up to a critical content of 1.2 wt.%, the amount of AF is enhanced from 32% to 62%, accompanied with a decrease in the amounts of IF, GBF and SPF, and accordingly, with a noticeable improvement in the tensile strength as well as the impact toughness of weld metal. Winarto et al. (2020) obtained similar conclusions in their works about the microstructure and impact toughness relationship for different Ni levels of the electrode in multi-pass FCA welded SM570-TMC steel joints. Additionally, also by means of adding Mo or Cr elements (Han et al., 2008), or increasing by Mn content (Fujiyama and Shigesato, 2021) in weld metals, the expected microstructures and mechanical properties are obtained.

Boron (B) element is another additive used to increase the hardenability, and even a small amount of B can also enhance the hardenability of the weld metal. Free B dissolved in austenite is known to segregate strongly to the austenite grain boundaries, lowering the interfacial energy. On the basis of the transformation thermodynamics, the decrease in the interfacial energy raises the energy barrier for ferrite nucleation at the austenite grain boundaries and, as a result, suppresses the transformation products preferentially nucleated at prior austenite grain boundaries, such as GBF and SPF (Mortimer and Nicholas, 1976; He et al., 1989; Seto et al., 1999; Shigesato et al., 2014). Consequently, the hardenability of weld metal and/or steel is enhanced (Lin and Cheng, 1987; Terzie et al., 2013).

In the present study, the weld metals with a certain amount of Ti, but different B contents were prepared by a submerged-arc welding process. In these weld metals, expensive elements, such as Ni and Mo, were not added, and Mn content was lower. The microstructures in the weld metals with different B contents were analyzed, and the microstructure-toughness relationship was investigated. This work aims at that in the case of no additions of Ni, Mo, etc., in the weld metals, a high amount of AF and an excellent impact toughness can also be obtained only by B addition, and the alloying cost is decreased.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Weld metal preparation

Figure 1 illustrates the preparation process of the weld metals with different B contents. By a plasma arc build-up welding process, pure Fe powder was first deposited in a trapezoid slot with about 15 mm depth machined in a 20 mm thick C-Mn steel plates. Subsequently, a 7 mm deep single side V-groove was machined at the build-up welds. Finally, for the sake of obtaining the experimental weld metals, a single pass submerged-arc welding process was applied with a H08MnA welding wire of 4 mm in diameter, and the welding voltage and electric current are 30 V and 460 A, respectively. The compositions of the weld metals were adjusted by adding different amounts of B-Fe powder (21 wt.% B) and a certain amount of Ti-Fe powder (33 wt.% Ti) to the groove before welding. Furthermore, a small amount of Mn-Fe powder (81 wt.% Mn) was also filled due to very low Mn content in welding wire.

The compositions of weld metals were determined by a Shimadzu OES-5500 optical emission spectrometer except for oxygen and nitrogen, which were analyzed using a Leco TC-436 N/O analyzer. The compositions of the welding wire and weld metals are listed in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

2.2. Microstructure analyses and tests of impact toughness

The specimens for metallographic microstructure observation were cut from the weld metals, and the examined planes were vertical to the welding direction. After mechanically polished, the specimens were etched with 4% nital solution and LePera reagent, respectively. The microstructural morphologies along with M/A islands were examined under a Leica DMIRM image analyzer, and the amounts of different ferritic phases were measured quantitatively.

According to Fig. 1, sub-size Charpy V-notch impact specimens (5 mm×10 mm×55 mm) were extracted from the welding joints, and impact tests were per-
formed at -30 ºC by a SANTAM STM-300J machine. The average values of absorbed impact energy were calculated after five specimens were tested at every compositions point (determined by the B content).

The fracture surface and the cross-sectional region beneath the fracture surface coated by nickel were observed using an FEI Quanta 600 SEM to investigate the fracture morphology and crack propagation during fracture.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2 indicates the metallographic microstructures in weld metals with different B contents, and the contents of ferritic phases in the microstructures are shown in Table 3. It is clearly evident that the B content has a significant effect on the weld metal microstructures. For the weld metal without B, the microstructure is composed of a series of different ferritic phases, i.e., GBF + IF (39% content), SPF (35% content) and AF (26% content). It is worth noting that these ferritic phases have a distinct difference in terms of grain size. The AF, as opposed to other ferritic phases, is finer. In the case of 22 ppm B content, the AF amount is increased to 76%, accordingly the contents of GBF+IF and SPF are decreased to 20% and 4%, respectively. In the 39 ppm B weld metal, the microstructure basically consists of AF, while other ferritic phases almost disappear. However, further increase of the B content to 61 ppm results in the appearance of BF with 41% content, accompanied by a drop of AF amount to 59%. For the weld metal with

![Figure 2. Metallographic microstructures of weld metals with B contents of (a) 0 ppm, (b) 22 ppm, (c) 39 ppm, (d) 39 ppm under amplification, (e) 61 ppm, and (f) 85 ppm.](image)

| B contents | Constituents fractions (area %) | Impact absorbed energy (J) |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| without B  | IF+GBF 39, SPF 35, AF 26, BF 0 | 35                        |
| 22 ppm     | IF+GBF 20, SPF 4, AF 76, BF 0  | 67                        |
| 39 ppm     | IF+GBF 0, SPF 0, AF 100, BF 0 | 75                        |
| 61 ppm     | IF+GBF 0, SPF 0, AF 59, BF 41 | 45                        |
| 85 ppm     | IF+GBF 0, SPF 0, AF 0, BF 100 | 23                        |

Table 3. Quantitative measurements of ferritic phases in microstructures and corresponding impact toughness values
85 ppm B, the microstructure is completely composed of BF. The authors do not have a clear explanation to why high amounts of B promote the formation of BF at the expense of AF, producing the opposite effect observed at low B levels (ferritic phases like GBF, PF or SPF as well as BF are inhibited and AF formation is promoted at inclusions). Further investigations are required in this regard.

Additionally, the B content also affects the amount and size of the M/A islands in the microstructures. The characteristics of M/A islands etched in LePera reagent are shown in Fig. 3. The M/A islands and the ferrite matrix exhibit white and grey color characteristics, respectively. Comparing this image with Figs. 2c and 2f, it can be seen from Fig. 3a that in the 39 ppm B weld metal (microstructure is dominated by AF), M/A islands have tiny size and much small amount, while M/A islands indicate opposite characteristics in the weld metal with 85 ppm B content (the microstructure is characterized by having BF).

In the microstructures of low carbon low alloy steel weld metals, different ferritic phases form at their specific temperature ranges during continuous cooling. The formation temperatures for IF, GBF and SPF are higher than that for AF (Farrar and Harrison, 1987), while BF usually forms at a lower temperature compared with AF (Dowling et al., 1986; Jorge et al., 2021). Though there are some differences in the transformation temperatures between above ferritic phases, in the case of continuous cooling after welding, two or more ferritic phases could coexist in the weld metal microstructures, as shown in Fig. 2. It is well known that in a CCT diagram, the B shifts to the right the nose of the transformation fronts of those phases nucleating at the prior austenite grain boundaries (i.e., GBF and SPF), which are phases that nucleate at higher temperatures. This facilitates the formation of other phases at lower temperatures that form intragranularly (Lin and Cheng, 1987; Terzic et al., 2013). In the case of the present compositions of weld metals, the hardenability of the weld metal without B should be lower, so the austenite transforms into large amounts of IF, GBF and SPF at higher temperature ranges. In the weld metals with B addition of 22-39 ppm, the hardenability is increased, which retards the formation of GBF, IF and SPF. On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 4a, owing to containing Ti-oxides, AF nucleation is promoted, leading to a remarkable increase in the AF amount. For the 61-85 ppm B alloyed weld metals, the BF appears in the microstructures. The reason is probably that the Ti-oxides are inefficient for AF nucleation due to the changes of the Ti-oxides in the chemical compositions and constituents under high B contents, as shown in Fig. 4b. The further works should be carried out to confirm this. Moreover, as the bainite grows, the carbon is rejected outside the bainitic ferrite laths/plates, increasing locally the stability of the austenite close to these plates, which results in the appearance of large amount of M/A islands with much coarse size in the microstructure (Wen et al., 2019).
Figure 5 indicates the experimental results of the impact test for the weld metals with different B contents. For the B-free weld metal, it can be seen that in addition to a small amount of dimples, a large amount of cleavage patterns are observed in the fracture surface, and the impact absorbed energy is lower. In the case of the sample with a B addition of 22-39 ppm, the fracture characteristics change from a mixture of cleavage pattern and dimple to a fully dimpled morphology. The impact absorbed energy values have increased in these weld metals, especially in 39 ppm B alloyed weld metal, for which the highest impact absorbed energy is obtained. However, further increase in the B content up to 61 ppm causes a decrease of impact absorbed energy. The fracture morphology is basically the same as that of the B-free weld metal, with the exception of having more dimples in the weld metal with a B content of 61 ppm. In the weld metal with a B content of 85 ppm, the fracture morphology exhibits a cleavage fracture with the lowest impact absorbed energy.

The impact toughness of the weld metals is closely correlated with their weld metal microstructures, as shown in Tables 3. It is clearly visible from Tables 3 that the impact toughness of the weld metals is enhanced gradually with the increase in AF amount in the microstructures.

Figure 6 shows the effect of microstructure on the crack propagation path during specimen fracture. It can
be seen that in the case of the microstructure containing large amounts of coarse GBF, IF and SPF (weld metal without B, Fig. 6a), or the microstructure mainly composed of BF (85 ppm B weld metal, Fig. 6c), the crack propagation path is rather straight. In contrast, for the sample with a high amount of AF in the microstructure (39 ppm B weld metal, Fig.6b), crack propagation deflects frequently and, as a result, the crack propagation path gets more bent.

AF is known to consist of fine-grained lath-like ferrite separated by the grain boundaries with the misorientation of 15° or more (i.e., high angle grain boundary) (Liu et al., 2017; Abson, 2018). The high angle grain boundaries (HAGBs) can efficiently hinder crack propagation, and force crack to change propagation direction during fracture (Wang et al., 2009). Therefore, as shown in Fig. 6b, AF can lead to a more twisty crack propagation due to its microstructure and type of grain boundaries. For the BF, low angle grain boundaries distribute between parallel arranged bainitic ferrite plates within a bainite packet with HAGBs (Wen et al., 2019). Thus, the crack propagates almost in a straight line manner inside a coarse bainite packet, and crack propagation direction does not deflect until it encounters bainite packet boundaries, as shown in Fig. 6c. Similar to BF, low angle grain boundaries exist between adjacent side-plate ferrites (Spanos and Hall, 1996), which can not prevent crack propagation. Although there are HAGBs between the grains of GBF and IF (Wang et al., 2014), the crack propagation also displays straighter path due to coarse grain, as shown in Fig. 6a.

Frequently deflecting the crack paths resulted from the impediment of HAGBs can consume more crack propagation energy, leading to an improved impact toughness (Wang et al., 2009). Consequently, the high amount of AF in the microstructure can increase the impact toughness of the weld metal.

Furthermore, M/A islands in the microstructure also have a significant effect on the impact toughness. Figure 7 presents that voids or microcracks usually initiate at the interfaces between coarse-sized M/A islands and ferritic phases, deteriorating impact toughness. Conversely, fine M/A islands hardly cause microcracks. The literatures (Chen et al., 1984; Davis and King, 1994) showed that the hard M/A islands in the soft ferrite matrix can lead to a high stress concentration at the interfaces between M/A islands and ferrite under exerted load due to the distinctions of hardness and strength between these two structures, which readily induces microcracks. The size of M/A islands is a key factor for the microcracks formation induced by M/A islands (Moeinifar et al., 2011; Jorge et al., 2021). With the increase in the size of M/A islands, the exerted load for inducing the microcrack is decreased (Lan et al., 2014; Qin et al., 2019; Cui et al., 2020). On the other hand, the literatures (Li et al., 2011; Lan et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015) documented that fine-sized M/A islands do not impair the toughness of metal. As a consequence, the microstructures with a high amount AF, for example 39 ppm B alloyed weld metal, exhibit outstanding impact toughness due to the small amount of M/A islands with a fine size (as shown in Fig. 3a). On the contrary, for the microstructure characterized by having BF, for instance 85 ppm B weld metal, the impact toughness is deteriorated dramatically because of a large amount of coarse M/A islands (as shown in Fig. 3b).

4. CONCLUSIONS

- The addition of 22-39 ppm B in the steel weld metals containing Ti results in a remarkable increase in the amount of AF at the expense of GBF, IF and SPF in the microstructures. However, with further increase in the B content up to 61-85 ppm, BF is formed, accompanied with a drop in the amount of AF. In the weld metals with a mainly AF microstructure, the size of the M/A islands is much smaller, and the amount is much lower than those microstructures containing BF.
- In the case of the steel weld metals which microstructure is primarily composed of AF, the crack propagation path is more bent in comparison to the weld metals with large amounts of GBF, IF and SPF, or BF. The coarse M/A islands readily induce microcracks at the interface between M/A islands and ferrite matrix, deteriorating the toughness.
- The weld metals with B contents of 22-39 ppm exhibit excellent impact toughness because of having a high amount of AF and fine M/A islands in the microstructure.
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