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Abstract
Fitted fourth order central difference scheme is presented for solving singularly perturbed two-point boundary value problems with the boundary layer at one end point. A fitting factor is introduced in a tri-diagonal finite difference scheme and is obtained from the theory of singular perturbations. Thomas Algorithm is used to solve the system and its stability is investigated. To demonstrate the applicability of the method, we have solved linear and nonlinear problems. From the results, it is observed that the present method approximates the exact solution very well.
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1. Introduction
There are varieties of physical processes in which a boundary layer may arise in the solutions for certain parameter ranges. These types of problems may be generally characterized as singular perturbation problems, and the parameter is termed as the perturbation parameter. Detailed theory and analytical discussion on singular perturbation problems, can be referred in Bender and Orszag[1], Kevorkian and Cole[3], Nayfeh[5-6], O’Mally[7] and Van Dyke[12] and for exponential fitted methods Miller,J.J.H., O’Riordan,E. and Shishkin, G.I.[4], Y.N.Reddy, P.Pramod.Chakravarthy[9] and Reddy Y.N., Awoke A.[10-11].

Fitted stable fourth order scheme is presented for solving singularly perturbed two point boundary value problems with the boundary layer at one end point. A fitting factor is introduced in a tri-diagonal finite difference scheme and is obtained from the theory of singular perturbations. Thomas Algorithm is used to solve the system and its stability is investigated. To demonstrate the applicability of the method, we have solved several linear and nonlinear problems. From the results, it is observed that the present method approximates the exact solution very well.

2. Fourth Order Finite Difference Method
Consider a linear singularly perturbed two-point boundary value problem of the form:

\[ \varepsilon y''(x) + a(x) y'(x) + b(x) y(x) = f(x), \quad x \in [0,1] \]  

with \( y(0) = \alpha \)  
and \( y(1) = \beta \);  
where \( \varepsilon \) is a small positive parameter (0<\(\varepsilon<<1\)) and \( \alpha, \beta \) are known constants. We assume that a(x), b(x) and f(x) are sufficiently continuously differentiable functions in[0,1].

Further more, we assume that b(x) \leq 0, a(x) \geq M > 0 throughout the interval[0,1], where M is some positive constant.

A finite difference scheme is often a convenient choice for the numerical solution of two point boundary value problems, because of their simplicity. Let us divide the interval[0,1] in to N equal parts , each of length h where \( h = 1/N \) and then we have \( x_i = ih \), i = 0,1,...,N . For simplicity, let us denote

\[ a(x_i) = a_i, b(x_i) = b_i, f(x_i) = f_i, y(x_i) = y_i \]  

and \( y_i, y_i', y_i'' \) and \( y_i''' \).

Assuming that \( y \) has continuous fourth order derivatives in the interval [0,1], by using Taylor series expansion we obtain the central difference formulas for \( y_i', y_i'' \) given by:

\[ y_i' \approx \frac{y_{i+1} - y_{i-1}}{2h} - \frac{\varepsilon}{6} y_i''' + R_i \]  
and

\[ y_i'' \approx \frac{y_{i+1} - 2y_i + y_{i-1}}{h^2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{12} y_i'''' + R_i \]

where, \( R_i = \frac{h^4 y_i^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!} \) and \( R_i = \frac{2h y_i^{(5)}(\eta)}{6!} \) for \( \xi, \eta \in [x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}] \).

From (1), we have

\[ \varepsilon y''' = f(x) - a(x) y' - b(x) y \]

Differentiating both sides of equation (5) with respect to x, we get

\[ y''' = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (f' - a' y' - (a + b) y' - b' y) \]

Differentiating twice both sides of equation (5) with respect to x, we get

\[ y^{(5)} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (f'' - a'' y' - (a' + b) y' - b'' y) \]
\[ y^{(4)}(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (f'' - ay'' - (2a' + b) y' - (a' + 2b') y - b'y) \]  

By substituting \( y', y'' \) from equations (3) and (4) in (1) at \( x = x_i \), we get the central difference approximation in a form that includes all the \( O(\varepsilon) \) error terms:

\[ e(y_{i-1} - 2y_i + y_{i+1}) + \frac{a_i}{12}\varepsilon - \frac{a_i}{2h} y_i = f_i, \] 

where, \( y_i \) and \( y_i^{(4)} \) are defined accordingly in equations (6) and (7) respectively. Using equations (3, 4, 6 & 7) in equation (8), we get the fourth order scheme

\[ e(y_{i-1} - 2y_i + y_{i+1}) + \frac{a_i}{12}\varepsilon - \frac{a_i}{2h} y_i = f_i, \] 

where, \( R = R_i = O(h^2) \), from equation (4). For detail discussions of the method refer Joshua Y. Choo and David H. Schultz [2].

### 3. Fitted Fourth Order Scheme

A difference scheme with a fitting factor containing exponential functions is known as exponentially fitted difference scheme. From the theory of singular perturbations it is known that the solution of (1)-(2) is of the form \([O'(1)]\). Therefore exponential functions is known as exponentially fitted difference scheme (8) and introduce the fitting factor \( \sigma(\rho) \):

\[ \sigma(\rho) \left[ \frac{e}{h^2} + \frac{a_i^2}{12h^2} (2a' + b) y' + \frac{a_i}{2h} y_i \right] = f_i + h a_i (a' + 2b') y_i, \] 

where, \( \rho = \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \)

Now, we consider the stable fourth order central difference scheme (8) and introduce the fitting factor \( \sigma(\rho) \):

\[ \sigma(\rho) \left[ \frac{e}{h^2} + \frac{a_i^2}{12h^2} (2a' + b) y' + \frac{a_i}{2h} y_i \right] = f_i + h a_i (a' + 2b') y_i, \]

where, \( \rho = \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \)

This gives us the tri-diagonal system which can be solved easily by Thomas Algorithm.

### Thomas Algorithm

A brief discussion on solving the tri-diagonal system using Thomas algorithm is presented as follows:

Consider the scheme given in (20):

\[ \left[ \frac{\sigma(\rho)}{h^2} + \frac{a_i^2}{12h^2} (2a' + b) y' + \frac{a_i}{2h} y_i \right] = f_i + h a_i (a' + 2b') y_i, \]

where, \( \rho = \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \)
\( E_i y_{i+1} - F_i y_i + G_i y_{i+1} = H_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N-1 \)

subject to the boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
E_0 y_0 &= y(0) = \alpha \\
E_N y_N &= y(1) = \beta
\end{align*}
\]

We set \( W_i = W_i(x) \) and \( T_i = T_i(x) \), which are to be determined. From (22), we have

\[ y_{i+1} = W_{i+1} y_{i+2} + T_{i+1} \]

By substituting (23) in (20), we get

\[ E_i (W_{i+1} y_{i+2} + T_{i+1}) = F_i y_i + G_i y_{i+1} + H_i \]

By comparing (24) and (22), we get the recurrence relations

\[
\begin{align*}
W_i &= \left( \frac{G_i}{F_i - E_i W_{i-1}} \right) y_{i+1} + \left( \frac{E_i T_{i-1} - H_i}{F_i - E_i W_{i-1}} \right) \\
T_i &= \left( \frac{E_i T_{i-1} - H_i}{F_i - E_i W_{i-1}} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

To solve these recurrence relations for \( i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N-1 \), we need the initial conditions for \( W_0 \) and \( T_0 \). For this we take \( y_0 = y(0) = \alpha \) and \( T_0 = y(1) = \beta \). With these initial values, we compute \( W_i \) and \( T_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N-1 \) from (25) in forward process, and then obtain \( y_i \) in the backward process from (22) and (21b).

**Stability Analysis**

We will now show that the algorithm is computationally stable. By stability, we mean that the effect of an error made in one stage of the calculation is not propagated into larger errors at later stages of the calculations. Let us now examine the recurrence relation given by (25a). Suppose that a small error \( \varepsilon \) has been made in the calculation of \( W_{i+1} \); then, we have

\[ W_{i+1} = W_{i+1} + \varepsilon_{i+1} \]

we actually calculating

\[
\bar{W}_i = \left( \frac{G_i}{F_i - E_i W_{i-1}} \right)
\]

From (26) and (25a), we have

\[
\varepsilon_i = \left( \frac{G_i}{F_i - E_i (W_{i-1} + \varepsilon_{i-1})} \right) - \left( \frac{G_i}{F_i - E_i W_{i-1}} \right)
= \left( \frac{G_i E_i \varepsilon_{i-1}}{(F_i - E_i (W_{i-1} + \varepsilon_{i-1})) (F_i - E_i W_{i-1})} \right)
= \left( \frac{W_{i-1}^2 E_i}{G_i} \right) \varepsilon_{i-1}
\]

under the assumption that the error is small initially. From the assumptions made earlier that \( a(x) > 0 \), \( b(x) \leq 0 \) and its derivatives also non-positive, we have

\[ F_i \geq G_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N-1 \]

Form (25a) we have \( W_i = \frac{G_i}{F_i - G_i} < 1 \), since \( F_i > G_i \)

\[ W_i = \frac{G_i}{F_i - E_i W_{i-1}} \quad \text{for } W_{i-1} < 1 \]

\[ < \frac{G_i}{E_i + G_i - E_i} = 1 \quad \text{for } F_i \geq E_i + G_i \]

Next, we consider the following non-homogeneous singular perturbation problem from fluid dynamics for fluid of small viscosity, Reinhardt[[8], example 2]

\[ \varepsilon y''(x) + y'(x) + y(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [0,1], \text{with } y(0) = 0 \text{ and } y(1) = 1. \]

The exact solution is given by

\[ y(x) = \frac{(e^{m_2} - 1)e^{m_1 x} + (1 - e^m)e^{m_2 x}}{2} \]

where \( m_1 = (1 + \sqrt{1 + 4 \varepsilon})/(2 \varepsilon) \) and \( m_2 = (1 - \sqrt{1 + 4 \varepsilon})/(2 \varepsilon) \)

Error plot for Example 4.1, \( \varepsilon = 10^{-5}, \quad h = 10^{-2} \)

\[ y(x) = \frac{(e^{m_2} - 1)e^{m_1 x} + (1 - e^m)e^{m_2 x}}{2} \]

Error plot for example 4.1, \( \varepsilon = 10^{-4}, \quad h = 10^{-2} \).

**4.2. Example**

Let us consider the following non-homogeneous singular perturbation problem from fluid dynamics for fluid of small viscosity, Reinhardt[[8], example 2]

\[ \varepsilon y''(x) + y'(x) + y(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [0,1], \text{with } y(0) = 0 \text{ and } y(1) = 1. \]

The exact solution is given by

\[ y(x) = \frac{(e^{m_2} - 1)e^{m_1 x} + (1 - e^{m_1})e^{m_2 x}}{2} \]
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\[ \varepsilon y''(x) + y'(x) + y(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [0,1], \text{with } y(0) = 0 \text{ and } y(1) = 1. \]

The exact solution is given by

\[ y(x) = \frac{(e^{m_2} - 1)e^{m_1 x} + (1 - e^m)e^{m_2 x}}{2} \]

Error plot for example 4.1, \( \varepsilon = 10^{-4}, \quad h = 10^{-2} \).

\[ y(x) = \frac{(e^{m_2} - 1)e^{m_1 x} + (1 - e^{m_1})e^{m_2 x}}{2} \]
5. Non-linear Problems

Nonlinear singular perturbation problems were converted as a sequence of linear singular perturbation problems by using quasilinearization (Replacing the non-linear problem by a sequence of linear problems) method. The outer solution (the solution of the given problem by putting $\varepsilon=0$) is taken to be the initial approximation.

5.1. Example

Consider the following singular perturbation problem from Bender and Orszag[1], page 463; equations: 9.7.1]

$$\varepsilon y''(x) + 2y'(x) + e^{-x} = 0; \ x \in [0,1],$$

with $y(0)=0$ and $y(1)=0$.

The linear problem concerned to this example is

$$\varepsilon y''(x) + 2y'(x) + \frac{2}{x+1} y(x) = \left( \log_e \left( \frac{2}{x+1} \right) - 1 \right)$$

We have chosen to use Bender and Orszag’s uniformly valid approximation[1], page 463; equation: 9.7.6] for comparison, $y(x) = \log_e \left( \frac{2}{x+1} \right) - (\log_e 2) e^{-\sqrt{x}/\varepsilon}$

For this example, we have boundary layer of thickness $O(\varepsilon)$ at $x=0$. [cf. Bender and Orszag[1]].

5.2. Example

Let us consider the following singular perturbation problem from Kevorkian and Cole[3], page 56; equation 2.5.1]

$$\varepsilon y''(x) + y(x)y'(x) - y(x) = 0; \ x \in [0,1], \text{with } y(0)= -1 \text{ and } y(1)=3.9995$$

The linear problem concerned to this example is

$$\varepsilon y''(x) + (x + 2.9995)y'(x) = x + 2.9995$$

We have chosen to use the Kevorkian and Cole’s uniformly valid approximation[3], pages 57 and 58; equations (2.5.5), (2.5.11) and (2.5.14)] for comparison,

$$y(x) = x + c_1 \tanh \left( \frac{c_1}{2} \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon} + c_2 \right) \right)$$

Where $c_1=2.9995$ and $c_2=(1/c_1)\log_e[(c_1-1)/(c_1+1)]$

For this example also we have a boundary layer of width $O(\varepsilon)$ at $x=0$ [cf. Kevorkian and Cole[3], pages 56-66].

The numerical results are given in table 4(a), 4(b) for $\varepsilon=10^{-3}$ and $10^{-4}$ respectively.

6. Conclusions

We have presented fitted fourth order finite difference
method for solving singularly perturbed two-point boundary value problems. The present fitted fourth order finite difference method for solving singularly perturbed two-point boundary value problems produce better approximation to the exact solution, specifically in the boundary layer region with step size $h > \varepsilon$, the perturbation parameter.
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