Ethnic diversity in infant gut microbiota is apparent before the introduction of complementary diets
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The human gut microbiota develops soon after birth and can acquire inter-individual variation upon exposure to intrinsic and environmental cues. However, inter-individual variation has not been comprehensively assessed in a multi-ethnic study. We studied a longitudinal birth cohort of 106 infants of three Asian ethnicities (Chinese, Malay, and Indian) that resided in the same geographical location (Singapore). Specific and temporal influences of ethnicity, mode of delivery, breastfeeding status, gestational age, birthweight, gender, and maternal education on the development of the gut microbiota in the first 2 years of life were studied. Mode of delivery, breastfeeding status, and ethnicity were identified as the main factors influencing the compositional development of the gut microbiota. Effects of delivery mode and breastfeeding status lasted until 6M and 3M, respectively, with the primary impact on the diversity and temporal colonization of the genera Bacteroides and Bifidobacterium. The effect of ethnicity was apparent at 3M post-birth, even before the introduction of weaning (complementary) foods, and remained significant after adjusting for delivery mode and breastfeeding status. Ethnic influences remained significant until 12M in the Indian and Chinese infants. The microbiota of Indian infants was characterized by higher abundances of Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus, while Chinese infants had higher abundances of Bacteroides and Akkermansia. These findings provide a detailed insight into the specific and temporal influences of early life factors and ethnicity in the development of the human gut microbiota. Trial Registration: Clinicaltrials.gov registration no. NCT01174875.

Introduction

The colonization of the infant gut by a microbial community is likely to play an important role in developmental processes as it serves as a source of biochemical signals that can trigger and drive immunological, metabolic, and neural pathways. Hence, deviations from the norm with regard to microbiota development might have a long-term bearing on future health, thereby warranting a thorough understanding of factors that influence the acquisition and developmental dynamics of the microbiota. Previous work has shown that mode of delivery and breastfeeding status play important roles. For example, infants born by cesarean section have delayed colonization of Bacteroides and Bifidobacterium spp. compared to infants delivered vaginally. Likewise, the relative abundance of Bacteroides varies between breastfed vs. formula-fed infants. However, previous studies have primarily focused on individual neonatal exposures that did not negate the effects of concurrent and confounding exposures. The composition of the microbiota has
usually been assessed at a single time point, so it is not yet clear how long the effects of particular infant exposures last.

Current developments in adult gut microbiota research have indicated that factors such as ethnicity, geographical location, socioeconomic status, and genome-environment interactions can influence gut microbiota composition. For example, a recent study of 1,673 healthy adults showed gut microbiota diversity across different ethnicities in the United States. Interestingly, the ethnicity-specific microbial taxa also included Christensenellaceae, a heritable taxon identified by Goodrich et al. in a large-scale host-genome interaction study of twins. These observations also make it intuitive to test the role of ethnicity in the acquisition and temporal development of the gut microbiota in early life. However, the existing knowledge in this context is limited because the reported studies have either focused on specific population subtypes (mainly Caucasians in Western countries) or lacked a longitudinal design, or have been unable to segregate the ethnicity-specific effects from other infant exposures. Hence, a comprehensive analysis to parse the specific and dynamic effects of infant exposures and ethnicity on the establishment and inter-individual variability of the early gut microbiota is highly warranted.

To comprehensively monitor how ethnicity and early life exposures influence the acquisition and developmental dynamics of the infant gut microbiota, we longitudinally assessed the composition of the gut microbiota of 106 infants from the ‘Growing Up in Singapore Towards healthy Outcomes’ (GUSTO) birth cohort at four time points (3, 6, 12, and 24M) spanning the first 2 years of life. We interrogated the effect of seven factors (ethnicity, mode of delivery, breastfeeding status, maternal education, birthweight, gender, and gestational age) and covered three ethnic groups, i.e., Chinese, Malay, and Indian, that represents 80% of Asian and 40% of the world population. Our findings parse the independent and longitudinal effects of early life exposures and ethnicity in the critical developmental window of the first 2 years of life.

**Results**

**Developmental trajectory of the infant gut microbiota**

Fecal samples from 106 infants were collected longitudinally covering four time points (3, 6, 12 and 24M) post-birth. A detailed summary of the demographic and growth characteristics of these infants is provided in Table S1. The developmental trajectories of gut microbiota of these infants were profiled by sequencing the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene. Overall, 11,564,295 high-quality reads were obtained covering a total of 424 fecal samples, with an average of 27,342 ± 7,312 reads per sample. At 97% similarity, these reads yielded 1,286 operational taxonomic units (OTUs). Rare OTUs (relative abundance within each sample <0.1% and existing in less than 5% samples) accounting for 0.67% of the total reads were excluded leaving 404 OTUs for subsequent gut microbiota analysis.

A significant increase in the Shannon diversity index was observed between 3 and 24M (Figure 1a and Figure S1), with the most prominent shift seen between 6 and 12M, a phase during which infants typically switch to weaning (complementary) foods. Bray-Curtis distance-based PCoA indicated a dynamic trend in the acquisition of infant gut microbiota over time (Figure 1b), and a MANOVA clustering showed similar results, but also indicated a relatively stable gut microbiota composition between 12M and 24M (Figure 1c).

**Three significant factors influencing the gut microbiota in early life**

Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) was performed to assess the association of seven different factors (ethnicity, mode of delivery, feeding type, maternal education, gestational age, birthweight, and gender) with the OTUs detected at each time point. Four of these factors, i.e., ethnicity, delivery mode, breastfeeding status, and maternal education were significantly associated with gut microbiota composition (Figure 2a). However, in the partial CCA analysis used to parse the independent effects of these four factors, maternal education was no longer significant, indicating greater effects of the other three factors...
Longitudinally, the independent effects of breastfeeding status, mode of delivery and ethnicity on gut microbiota composition lasted for 3, 6 and 12 months, respectively (Table S2).

In alpha-diversity analysis, only the mode of delivery showed a significant effect on the Shannon diversity index at 3M, indicating that infants delivered by lower segment cesarean section (LSCS) had a microbiota that was significantly more diverse. This difference in diversity stayed significant even after adjusting for ethnicity and breastfeeding status (Table S3).

Delivery mode and infant gut microbiota

Among the 106 infants studied for mode of delivery analysis, 66 were delivered vaginally and the remaining 40 were delivered by LSCS. After adjusting for the effects of ethnicity and breastfeeding status, infants delivered by LSCS harbored a gut microbiota distinct to that of vaginally delivered infants. These differences were primarily observed at 3 and 6M of age (Figure 2c). Using partial CCA at these two-time points, we identified 86 and 80 OTUs differentiating the gut microbiota of LSCS vs. the vaginally delivered infants (Figure S2). Among them, 17 OTUs showed a differential longitudinal trend (Figure 3, Table S4). Twelve of these OTUs had higher abundance, while the remaining five had a lower abundance in the vaginally delivered infants (Figure 3). Among the 12 higher abundance OTUs, 8 belonged to Bacteroides, 2 to Parabacteroides, and 1 each to Bifidobacterium and the Bacteroidales S24-7 group. Notably, 8 OTUs from Bacteroides were consecutively higher in the gut of vaginally delivered infants at 3M and 6M. The five OTUs with higher abundances in the LSCS group belonged to Hungatella, Clostridium sensu stricto 1, Erysipelotrichaceae Incertae Sedis, Erysipelatoclostridium, and Ruminiclostridium 5, respectively.
Breastfeeding status and infant gut microbiota

Data for breastfeeding status at 3 months were available for 105 of the 106 infants. Of these, 16 infants were breastfed only, 33 were mixed fed with breastmilk and formula milk, and the remaining 56 were fed with formula only. After adjusting for ethnicity and delivery mode, breastfeeding status had a significant impact on the gut microbiota at 3M (Figure 2d and Figure S3). Among the 16 OTUs associated with breastfeeding status at 3M (Figure 4, Table S5), 7 OTUs had higher abundance in the breastmilk only group, 3 in the...
Figure 3. Comparison of changes in the abundances of key OTUs associated with LSCS and vaginally delivered infants over time identified by partial CCA. Only OTUs with mean relative abundance higher than 0.1% in any group and at any time point were shown in the plot. LSCS, lower segment cesarean section. OTUs with higher abundance in the gut of vagina-delivered infants were plotted in the upper panel, and lower abundance in the lower panel.

| Taxonomy                          | OTU ID     | 3M LSCS | 3M Vaginal | 6M LSCS | 6M Vaginal |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|
| **Bifidobacterium**               | OTU0648    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
|                                   | OTU0006    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
|                                   | OTU0008    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
|                                   | OTU0527    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
|                                   | OTU0021    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
|                                   | OTU0037    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
|                                   | OTU0313    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
|                                   | OTU0224    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
|                                   | OTU0796    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
| **Bacteroides**                   | OTU0052    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
|                                   | OTU0068    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
|                                   | OTU0039    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
| **Bacteroidales S24-7 group**     | OTU0043    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
| **Parabacteroides**               | OTU0042    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
| **Hungatella**                    | OTU0043    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
| **Clostridium sensu stricto 1**   | OTU0028    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
| **Erysipelotrichaceae incertae sedis** | OTU0010 | .       | .          | .       | .          |
| **Erysipelotrichaceae**           | OTU0042    | .       | .          | .       | .          |
| **Ruminoclostridium**             | OTU0101    | .       | .          | .       | .          |

Figure 4. Comparison in the abundances of key OTUs associated with different breastfeeding status identified by partial CCA. Only OTUs with mean relative abundance higher than 0.1% in any group are shown in the plot. B – breastfed only; B+F – breastfed with formula milk; F – formula milk only.
mixed fed group and 6 in the formula fed only group. Infants fed only with breastmilk showed higher abundance of three OTUs from \textit{Bacteroides}. Mixed feeding was associated with higher abundances of 1 OTU each from \textit{Bifidobacterium} and \textit{Lactobacillus}, respectively. Finally, the infants fed with formula milk only were characterized by higher abundances of OTUs from \textit{Staphylococcus}, \textit{Erysipelatoclostridium}, and \textit{Intestinibacter}.

**Ethnicity and infant gut microbiota**

Ethnicity-specific analysis of the gut microbiota was performed on 106 infants comprising 41 Chinese, 35 Malay, and 30 Indian. The gut microbiota of Indian infants aged between 3 and 12M of age was significantly different (Figure S4) from that of Chinese and Malay infants. Seventeen OTUs were identified as the key OTUs differentiating Indian infants from the other two ethnicities, among which eight OTUs showed longitudinally higher, and nine OTUs lower abundance in the Indian infants (Figure 5, Table S6). Among the eight OTUs with higher abundance, three OTUs were abundant from 3 to 12M, and included one OTU each from \textit{Collinsella}, \textit{Bifidobacterium}, and \textit{Catenibacterium}, respectively. In addition, two OTUs from \textit{Lactobacillus}, one OTU each from \textit{Bifidobacterium}, and \textit{Lachnoclostridium} were also longitudinally higher in abundance from 3 to 6M in the Indian subjects. As for the nine OTUs showing lower abundances in the Indian infants, the relative abundances of three OTUs were longitudinally lower from 3 to 12M, and were from \textit{Pseudobutyryrivibrio}, \textit{Ruminococcaceae}, and \textit{Erysipelotrichaceae Incertae Sedis}.

The gut microbiota of Chinese infants was significantly different from that of the Indian and Malay infants from 3 to 12M (Figure S5A). They had higher abundances of two OTUs from \textit{Bacteroides} and one OTU from \textit{Akkermansia}, and lower abundance of one OTU each from \textit{Lactobacillus} and \textit{Collinsella} (Figure S5B, Table S6). However, the temporal differences between the gut microbiota of Malay and the other two ethnicities were shorter as they lasted only until 6M (Figure S6A). They were characterized by higher abundances of one OTU each from \textit{Eggerthella}, \textit{Lachnoclostridium}, \textit{Pseudobutyryrivibrio}, and \textit{Faecalitalea}, and lower abundances of one OTU each from \textit{Bacteroides} and \textit{Bifidobacterium} (Figure S6B, Table S6).

**Discussion**

The study of 106 Asian infants residing in the same geographical location, spanning the first 2 years of life, revealed differences in the compositional development of the gut microbiota. In all infants, the microbiota developed in complexity between 3 and 24 months of age, with most changes occurring during the first year of life. This kind of progression in infant development was also observed in the ethnic-specific analysis, with significant differences between Chinese and Malay infants from 3 to 12M of age. The temporal differences between the gut microbiota of Malay and the other two ethnicities were shorter as they lasted only until 6M. However, further studies are needed to understand the underlying mechanisms and the implications of these differences in the health and development of Asian infants.
microbiota complexity has been recorded in several studies. Effects of delivery mode and infant nutrition (duration of breastfeeding) have also been reported by others, and are confirmed in our study. The predominance of bifidobacteria (OTU0001) in our study at 3M regardless of the type of nutrition (breast milk, formula milk, or combined) could be due to the use of fructo- and/or galacto-oligosaccharides containing formula milks in Singapore. These supplements are reported to promote the abundance of bifidobacterial populations in the gut.

The notable effect of ethnicity on the composition of the gut microbiota of Asian infants was a novel outcome of our study. The gut microbiotas of Indian, Chinese, and Malay infants were different and these differences were apparent even at 3 months of age when feeding of weaning (complementary) foods has usually not yet commenced. Therefore, these ethnic differences in microbiota composition point to the potential impact of human genetics, fecal microbiotas of family members, and general household environment on the development of the gut microbiota. Recent published evaluations of the relative importance of environment versus genetics on the development of the microbiota are relevant to the discussion of our results.

In general, the measurement of the contribution of human genetics in shaping the microbiota is considered elusive. According to Rothschild and colleagues, data from 1,046 individuals of different ancestral origins did not show a genetic association with microbiota composition. Nevertheless, ‘heritable taxa,’ notably members of the family Christensenellaceae, have been recognized in studies of Canadian, Europeans, and Koreans, as well as an association between the higher relative abundance of bifidobacteria in the gut microbiota and the human lactase ‘non-persister’ genotype of Europeans. Overall, the genotype of the host probably has little impact on the composition of the adult microbiota, but the situation in infants has not been investigated comprehensively. The outcomes of our study suggest that host genetics could be important in delineating the development of the gut microbiota in very early life, and so should be investigated further.

Differences in gut microbiota compositions between ethnic groups at 3 months of age might also be due to environmental factors such as dietary preferences of the mother and other household members that could select for particular bacterial strains that would be dispersed to the infants. Humans sharing the same environment tend to have microbiotas that are more similar in composition, indicating greater dispersal/acquisition of gut bacteria. We also tested if the first generation status (maternal migration) of the 41 infants (Table S7) born in Singapore had any influence on the 3M gut microbiota composition, but no significant associations were observed in either the univariate (P = .066), or the adjusted (for ethnicity, delivery mode, and breastfeeding status, P = .332) analysis. Differences in the breast milk chemistry might also influence the strains of bacteria that colonize the infant gut. The composition of breast milk has been reported to differ between mothers in different countries and even between mothers in different locations in the same country.

Therefore, biochemical analysis of breast milk composition of Indian, Chinese, and Malay mothers could be helpful in understanding the variations in microbiota composition. However, due to the limitation of the current study of not having collected maternal stool samples, absence of breast milk composition and the availability of limited maternal dietary intake data, we cannot reflect much on this aspect. Future studies that take into account all of these features is clearly warranted, and would potentially lead to a deeper understanding of the phenomenon of heritable taxa and its importance in the subsequent health and well-being of infants.

In conclusion, the comprehensive analysis conducted in this study identified three key factors with specific and dynamic effects on the development of the early gut microbiota. Changes in the abundances of particular bacteria were associated with mode of delivery and infant feeding practices. This is particularly important in the context of the increasing rates of elective cesarian section, and the decline in breastfeeding duration. Notably, the results show that ethnic diversity among Asians is an important consideration when studying pediatric gut microbiota. It also necessitates future research to identify the role of ethnic diversity in acquiring heritable taxa and their potential role in predisposition to population-specific health adversities.
Materials and methods

Study cohort

Infants included in this study were part of the GUSTO mother-offspring birth cohort study, designed to investigate the developmental origins of health and disease (DOHaD). Pregnant women of at least 18 years of age and in their first trimester of pregnancy were recruited from the two major public hospitals in Singapore with obstetric services (KK Women’s and Children’s Hospital (KKH) and the National University Hospital (NUH)) between 2009 and 2010. All participants were of Chinese, Malay, or Indian ethnicity, with homogeneous parental ethnic background. A detailed description of the GUSTO cohort can be accessed through the previous publication by Soh et al. (Clinicaltrials.gov registration no. NCT01174875).

One hundred and six infants within the normal range of BMI were selected from the GUSTO cohort with characteristics shown in Table S1. We investigated the impact of seven factors on the acquisition and developmental dynamics of gut microbiota in the first 2-years post-birth, including ethnicity, mode of delivery, breastfeeding status, maternal education, gestational age, birthweight, and gender. Breastfeeding status was classified as breastfed only, breastfed with formula milk, and formula milk only. Breastfed only meant infants received breast milk and certain liquids (water or water-based drinks) without non-human milk or solids. Infants included in the study did not receive any antibiotics at birth. Data for administration of antibiotics to mother during labor were available for 89 of the 106 infants. Of these, 45 mothers received antibiotics, but this did not have a significant effect on the fecal microbiota of infants at 3M (P = .099; adjusted for ethnicity, delivery mode, and breastfeeding status). Stool samples of 106 infants were collected at 3, 6, 12 and 24 months, and stored at −80°C. Altogether, 106 samples per time point, making it a total of 424 stool samples, were used for 16S rRNA gene sequencing and subsequent gut microbiota analysis.

DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene sequencing

DNA was extracted from 250 mg feces according to the kit protocol provided by the manufacturer (PowerSoil DNA isolation kit, catalog number 12855–100; Mo Bio). Amplification of the 16S rRNA gene V4 region, library preparation, and sequencing were carried out at Argonne National Laboratories (University of Chicago) using 250-bp paired-end reads on an Illumina MiSeq instrument.

Quality filtration and bioinformatics analysis of gut microbiota data

Raw sequencing data was processed using QIIME 1.9.0 and USEARCH v8.1.186. Both ends of the forward and reverse reads were truncated at the base where Q-value was no more than 2. If the forward and reverse reads had a minimum of 50 bp-length overlap, they were merged into a complete read. The reads with length between 252 bp and 254 bp and the expected errors less than 1 were retained for subsequent analysis.

OTUs were delineated at the cutoff of 97% using USEARCH v8.1.186. Sequences for each sample were rarefied to 12,000 for 1000 times to remove the differences in the sequencing depth. Rare OTUs (<0.1% relative abundance within each sample and <5% prevalence at four time points) were removed. Alpha-diversity indices based on the rarefied OTU abundance table were calculated in QIIME 1.9.0.

The Shannon diversity index was normally distributed. The longitudinal change of the Shannon diversity index over time was evaluated by one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey post hoc test under the same sequencing depth of 11,100 reads. Multiple linear regression was used to evaluate the effects of various factors on the Shannon diversity index after adjusting for the covariates in MATLAB 2016b (The MathWorks, MA, USA). At 3M, ethnicity, delivery mode, and breastfeeding status were used as the covariates. From 6 to 24 months, ethnicity and delivery mode were used as the covariates. The Shannon diversity index, gestational age, and birth weight were used as continuous variables and z-score transformed. Others measures were used as categorical variables.

CCA was used in CANOCO 5.0 (Microcomputer Power, Ithaca, USA) to assess the impact of individual factors on the infant gut microbiota without adjusting for covariates. Partial CCA was used to account for the effects of covariates and to identify key OTUs corresponding to the specific effects of three main factors (ethnicity, delivery mode, and
breastfeeding status). Monte-Carlo permutation test was performed to evaluate the significance of individual factor’s effect on the infant gut microbiota during the CCA and partial CCA. We performed 999 permutations under the unrestricted model. The taxonomy of each OTU was assigned against the SILVA SSU database 123. The weighted scatter plots for the key OTUs were plotted in R (v3.3.1).
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