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ABSTRACT

The X-shooter instrument on the Very Large Telescope was used to obtain spectra of seven moderate-redshift quasars simultaneously covering the spectral range $\sim$3000 Å to 2.5 $\mu$m. At $z \approx 1.5$, most of the prominent broad emission lines in the ultraviolet to optical region are captured in their rest frame. We use this unique data set, which mitigates complications from source variability, to intercompare the line profiles of C\textsc{iv} $\lambda$1549, C\textsc{iii}\textsc{j} $\lambda$1909, Mg\textsc{ii} $\lambda$2800, and H\textsc{$\beta$} and evaluate their implications for black hole (BH) mass estimation. We confirm that Mg\textsc{ii} and the Balmer lines share similar kinematics and that they deliver mutually consistent BH mass estimates with minimal internal scatter ($\lesssim$0.1 dex) using the latest virial mass estimators. Although no virial mass formalism has yet been calibrated for C\textsc{iii}\textsc{j}, this line does not appear promising for such an application because of the large spread of its velocity width compared to lines of both higher and lower ionization; part of the discrepancy may be due to the difficulty of deblending C\textsc{iii}\textsc{j} from its neighboring lines. The situation for C\textsc{iv} is complex and, because of the limited statistics of our small sample, inconclusive. On the one hand, slightly more than half of our sample (4/7) have C\textsc{iv} line widths that correlate reasonably well with H\textsc{$\alpha$} line widths, and their respective BH mass estimates agree to within $\sim$0.15 dex. The rest, on the other hand, exhibit exceptionally broad C\textsc{iv} profiles that overestimate virial masses by factors of 2–5 compared to H\textsc{$\alpha$}. As C\textsc{iv} is widely used to study BH demographics at high redshifts, we urgently need to revisit our analysis with a larger sample.
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1. MOTIVATION

Ever since their discovery in the early 1960s, quasars have attracted attention not only as laboratories for exploring extreme regimes in astrophysics, but also because they serve as useful beacons for probing the interstellar and intergalactic medium. With the growing appreciation that central black holes (BHs) are ubiquitous and inextricably tied to galaxy formation and evolution (Cattaneo et al. 2009 and references therein), quasars have gained even greater prominence in their unique role as markers of vigorous BH growth out to the highest accessible redshifts ( Mortlock et al. 2011; Treister et al. 2011).

A key development comes from our ability to estimate BH masses for broad-lined (type 1) active galactic nuclei (AGNs), including quasars, using simple parameters that can be extracted from their rest-frame ultraviolet (UV) and optical spectra. The basic premise is that the BH mass can be approximated by the virial product $M_{\text{BH}} = f R_{\text{BLR}} \Delta V^2 / G$, where $R_{\text{BLR}}$ is the radius of the broad-line region (BLR), can be estimated from the radius–luminosity relation calibrated through reverberating mapping experiments (Kaspi et al. 2000, 2005; Bentz et al. 2009), $\Delta V$ is the velocity dispersion of the line-emitting gas that can be measured from the widths of the broad emission lines, $G$ is the gravitational constant, and $f$ is a geometric factor of order unity that accounts for the poorly constrained geometry and kinematics of the BLR. A variety of approaches have been employed to calibrate the virial method for deriving $M_{\text{BH}}$ using single-epoch spectra, using different emission lines for different redshift regimes. H\textsc{$\beta$} is normally the line of choice for low-redshift objects (Kaspi et al. 2000), as it is the principal line for which most reverberation mapping experiments have been done to date, although under some circumstances H\textsc{z} is preferable to H\textsc{$\beta$} (Greene & Ho 2005b). At intermediate redshifts, $0.75 \lesssim z \lesssim 2$, McLure & Jarvis (2002) introduced a formalism based on Mg\textsc{ii} $\lambda 2800$, while C\textsc{iv} $\lambda 1549$ is the only viable option for quasars at $z \gtrsim 2$ (Vestergaard 2002). With the increasing availability of near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopy, these restrictions can now be circumvented by observing the rest-frame optical lines out to high redshift, thereby minimizing the additional uncertainties incurred through the extra layers of intermediary cross-calibrations. Nevertheless, access to NIR spectroscopy is still far from routine, and all extant, large spectral databases used for statistical analyses of AGNs continue to rely on optical surveys.

A number of studies have investigated the robustness of different broad emission lines commonly used to estimate BH masses. The general consensus is that Mg\textsc{ii} serves as a reasonably effective substitute for H\textsc{$\beta$}, the local “standard.” H\textsc{$\beta$} and Mg\textsc{ii}, both low-ionization lines, are thought to arise from gas with common physical conditions and presumably from similar locations with similar kinematics. This expectation appears to hold to a first approximation (McLure & Dunlop...
AGNs vary. Larger variations usually occur at shorter wavelengths and in lines of higher ionization. An important limitation of most of the previous studies comes from the fact that the rest-frame UV and optical lines were observed non-simultaneously, often separated widely apart in time (timescales of months to years), making any comparison between them inherently uncertain. The width of C\textsc{iv} in quasars, for instance, changes up to \textasciitilde 30\% on timescales of weeks to months (Wilhite et al. 2006a). Fortunately, variability only has a relatively minor impact on BH masses derived from single-epoch Balmer line measurements using near-IR spectroscopy; the widths of the Balmer lines exhibit little, if any, correlation with the widths of C\textsc{iv}.
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Figure 1. Distribution of the continuum luminosity at 3000 \AA{} and the FWHM of the broad component of Mg\textsc{ii} λ2800 for 11,015 SDSS DR7 quasars with \(z = 1.4–1.6\) (Shen et al. 2011). The objects observed with X-shooter are plotted as red points. The values of FWHM from SDSS were reduced by 0.05 dex to account for a systematic difference in which Mg\textsc{ii} was fitted (Shen et al. 2011). (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

\footnote{Vestergaard \& Peterson (2006) reassessed Baskin and Laor’s analysis and concluded that the mismatch between C\textsc{iv} and H\textbeta, though certainly substantial, is not as severe as had been claimed.}

\footnote{archive.eso.org/asm/ambient-server?site=paranal}
was present with precipitable water vapor\(^9\) varying between 5 and 7.5 mm, rather high for Paranal but not exceptional for this period of the year in Chile (the so-called Bolivian winter).

We processed the spectra using version 1.1.0 of the X-shooter data reduction pipeline (Goldoni et al. 2006), which performed the following actions. As usual in processing nodding observations, the raw frames taken at different positions were differenced with each other to obtain two frames (i.e., A—B and B—A), on which cosmic-ray hits were detected and corrected using the method developed by van Dokkum (2001). The frames were then divided by a master flat field obtained using day-time flat-field exposures with halogen lamps. The orders were extracted and rectified in wavelength space using a wavelength solution previously obtained from calibration frames. The resulting rectified orders were then shifted and added to superpose them, thus obtaining the final two-dimensional spectrum. The orders were then merged, and, in the overlapping regions, the merging was weighted by the errors that were propagated during the process. From the resulting two-dimensional, merged spectrum a one-dimensional spectrum was extracted at the source’s position. The one-dimensional spectrum with the corresponding error file and bad pixel map is the final product of the reduction.

To perform flux calibration, we used different procedures for the UVB data and for the VIS-NIR data. In the UVB band, we used an observation of the flux standard GD 71 (Bohlin et al. 2001). We approximate it by a broken power law, when a large range of wavelengths is considered (e.g., Vanden Berk et al. 2001). We fit simultaneously the nuclear continuum, the Fe \(\text{ii}\) narrow-line system, constructed from measurements of I Zw 1 templates, one for the broad-line system and the other for the narrow-line system, which is expected to be negligible for quasars in our luminosity range (\(L_{3000} > 10^{45.4}\) erg s\(^{-1}\)). As the broad emission lines, particularly the Fe \(\text{ii}\) multiplets, are so broad and strong that they merge together and essentially leave no line-free wavelength regions, we fit simultaneously the nuclear continuum, the Fe \(\text{ii}\) multiplets, and other emission lines. The featureless continuum is fitted using the Levenberg–Marquardt technique within the MPFIT package (Markwardt 2009).

The analysis for the optical spectra closely follows the methodology for decomposition of AGN spectra described in Dong et al. (2008). We do not correct for starlight contamination, which is expected to be negligible for quasars in our luminosity range (\(L_{3000} > 10^{45.4}\) erg s\(^{-1}\)). As the broad emission lines, particularly the Fe \(\text{ii}\) multiplets, are so broad and strong that they merge together and essentially leave no line-free wavelength regions, we fit simultaneously the nuclear continuum, the Fe \(\text{ii}\) multiplets, and other emission lines. The featureless continuum is fitted using the Levenberg–Marquardt technique within the MPFIT package (Markwardt 2009).

The analysis for the optical spectra closely follows the methodology for decomposition of AGN spectra described in Dong et al. (2011). We assume that the broad Fe \(\text{ii}\) lines have the same profile as the broad H\(\alpha\). In our data set, the H\(\beta\) region is significantly noisier than the H\(\alpha\) region. In our subsequent analysis we will use H\(\alpha\) in lieu of H\(\beta\); Greene & Ho (2005b) have shown that the broad component of H\(\alpha\) is on average slightly narrower than H\(\beta\), but the two closely track each other. All narrow emission lines are fitted with a single Gaussian, except for the [O \(\text{iii}\)] \(\lambda\lambda 4959, 5007\) doublet lines, each of which is modeled with two Gaussians, one accounting for the line core and the other for a possible blue wing seen in many objects (Greene & Ho 2005a).

For the near-UV spectra, we focus our analysis on the region around Mg \(\text{ii}\) \(\lambda\lambda 2796, 2803\), following the method described for

3. SPECTRAL FITTING

We correct the X-shooter and SDSS spectra for Galactic extinction using the extinction map of Schlegel et al. (1998) and the reddening curve of Fitzpatrick (1999). The spectra are transformed into the rest frame using the redshift as determined from the peak of the best-fit model (see below) for the [O \(\text{iii}\)] \(\lambda 5007\) line. To improve on the absolute flux calibration, we rescale the X-shooter spectra to the flux density level of the SDSS spectra over the wavelength region where the two overlap. Here we present a brief description of the spectral fitting, which is based on \(\chi^2\)-minimization using the Levenberg–Marquardt technique within the MPFIT package (Markwardt 2009).

### Table 1: Sample and Observations

| Name                  | \(E(B - V)\) \((\text{mag})\) | \(\zeta\)  | \(D_L\) \((\text{Gpc})\) | \(r\) \((\text{mag})\) | Exposure Time \((s)\) | Airmass | Seeing \((\text{}`\)\) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|-----------|
| SDSS J085615.62−001352.1 | 0.035                        | 1.3906    | 10.095          | 18.88          | 1800           | 1.32    | 0.83      |
| SDSS J102900.49+081325.8 | 0.029                        | 1.3715    | 9.922           | 18.73          | 1800           | 1.41    | 1.04      |
| SDSS J105023.68−010555.5 | 0.049                        | 1.5349    | 11.423          | 19.15          | 1800           | 1.18    | 0.77      |
| SDSS J122925.95−030702.4 | 0.033                        | 1.4428    | 10.572          | 17.50          | 3600           | 1.21    | 1.01      |
| SDSS J123816.84−005656.5 | 0.023                        | 1.3998    | 10.179          | 18.79          | 1800           | 1.11    | 0.61      |
| SDSS J124255.20+024957.0 | 0.028                        | 1.4583    | 10.714          | 19.19          | 1800           | 1.13    | 0.61      |
| SDSS J132339.23+015452.1 | 0.025                        | 1.4921    | 11.026          | 19.13          | 1800           | 1.12    | 0.64      |

Notes. Column 1: official SDSS name; Column 2: Galactic reddening, derived from Schlegel et al. (1998); Column 3: redshift, derived from the centroid of [O \(\text{iii}\)] \(\lambda 5007\), as measured from our X-shooter spectra; Column 4: luminosity distance; Column 5: SDSS \(r\)-band PSF magnitude; Column 6: total integration time; Column 7: airmass during the midpoint of the observation; Column 8: seeing.

---

9 archive.eso.org/bin/qc1_cgi?action=qc1_plot_table&table=ambient_PWV
10 http://www.stsci.edu/hst/observatory/cdbs/calspec.html
in Wang et al. (2009). Here the pseudocontinuum consists not only of a local power-law continuum and an Fe II template, but also an additional component for the Balmer continuum. The Fe II emission is modeled with the semi-empirical template for I Zw 1 generated by Tsuzuki et al. (2006). To match the width and possible velocity shift of the Fe II lines, we convolve the template with a Gaussian and shift it in velocity space. As in Dietrich et al. (2002), the Balmer continuum is assumed to be produced in partially optically thick clouds with a uniform temperature. Each of the lines of the Mg II doublet is modeled with two components, one broad and the other narrow. The broad component is fit with a truncated, five-parameter Gauss–Hermite series; a single Gaussian is used for the narrow component. The two doublet lines are assumed to have identical profiles, a fixed separation set to the laboratory value, and a flux ratio \( \lambda_{2796}/\lambda_{2803} \) set to be between 2:1 and 1:1 (Laor et al. 1997).

The fitting for the UV region is performed with a modified version of a code initially written and kindly provided by Jian-Guo Wang. We employ the UV Fe II + Fe III template for I Zw 1 generated by Vestergaard & Wilkes (2001). In light of the moderate signal-to-noise ratio of our data, we adopt the same scaling factor for the Fe II and Fe III emission; this assumption seems adequate from visual inspection of the fits. As in Vestergaard & Wilkes (2001), the power-law continuum and iron emission (both constituting the pseudocontinuum) are fit to the emission-line–free spectral regions from 1300 Å to 2200 Å. After the pseudocontinuum is subtracted, we concentrate our fits on two regions: (1) \( \sim 1350–1700 \) Å, which contains the blend of Si IV and O IV] at \( \sim 1400 \) Å, C IV \( \lambda 1549 \), He II \( \lambda 1640 \), O III] \( \lambda 1663 \), and Al II \( \lambda 1670 \), and (2) \( \sim 1800–2000 \) Å, which covers Si II \( \lambda 1817 \), Al III \( \lambda 1855, 1863 \), Si III] \( \lambda 1892, \) C III] \( \lambda 1909 \), and the Fe III UV34 triplet at \( \sim 1914 \) Å. We model the emission lines with multiple Gaussian components, using the minimum number necessary to achieve a satisfactory fit within the signal-to-noise constraints of the data. The C IV profile is fit with three Gaussians, taking care to avoid narrow absorption features when present (as in J1238−0056 and J1323+0154; see Figure 2). For the C III] region, Si II, Al III, Si III], and Fe III are each fit with a single Gaussian, while C III] itself is fit with two Gaussians, which in most cases yield better residuals than a single Gaussian. This suffices for our purposes. Our primary objective is to obtain a robust characterization of the profile of C IV and C III], not to achieve a detailed model for every line in this tremendously complicated spectral region.

Figure 3 illustrates the fits for one of objects, and the results of the fits for the entire sample are summarized in Table 2. The emission-line luminosities, velocity shifts (peak of the line; \( v \)), and velocity widths (FWHMs) are measured from the best-fit models of the line profiles, except for the case of Mg II, whose line peak and FWHM are measured from the model of the single doublet line, Mg II \( \lambda 2796 \). The strength of the optical Fe II \( \lambda 4570 \) emission is integrated over the wavelength range 4434–4684 Å, and that for UV Fe II is integrated over the range 2200–3090 Å. For all measured emission-line fluxes, we regard the values as reliable detections when they have greater than 3σ significance. As the instrumental resolution (FWHM \( \approx 45–75 \) km s\(^{-1}\)) is small compared to the measured line widths (FWHM \( \approx 500–6000 \) km s\(^{-1}\)), no correction for instrumental resolution has been applied to the line widths.

We follow the bootstrap method of Dong et al. (2008; their Section 2.5) to estimate measurement uncertainties. The typical 1σ errors on the fluxes of the strong lines considered in this paper are quite small, \( \lesssim 10\% \) (see Dong et al. 2008, 2011;
Wang et al. (2009). We adopt 10% errors for the fluxes of Mg ii, C iii, and [O iii] and 5% for H α. The only exception is C iv, whose final flux depends on the exact procedure adopted to fit the “red shelf” (region \( \sim 1600–1700 \AA \); see, e.g., Fine et al. 2010). We assume that the red shelf is intrinsic to C iv: excluding it reduces the inferred line flux by \( \sim 10\%–25\% \). To be conservative, we adopt an error of 18% for the flux of C iv. The FWHM values are best measured for H α (error 5%), followed by Mg ii (error 10%), C iv (error 15%), and C iii (error 15%–25%). C iii is particularly problematic because of severe blending with Al iii λ1855, 1863, Si iii λ1892, and Fe iii UV34.

### 4. RESULTS

Figure 4 shows the final, fitted profiles for the sample, normalized by their amplitude and plotted on a velocity scale. A direct comparison among the line widths is given in Figure 5. Several trends are immediately obvious.

1. H α shows, by far, the most symmetric profiles and the least amount of systemic velocity shift. This is not unexpected, given the overall similarity between the H α and Hβ profiles (Greene & Ho 2005b), reinforcing the commonly adopted view that the Balmer lines offer the most reliable BH virial mass estimators (but see Vestergaard et al. 2011 for a different point of view).

2. Consistent with other studies (e.g., McLure & Dunlop 2004; Shen et al. 2008; Shen & Liu 2012), we find that Mg ii on average serves as a reasonably decent proxy for the Balmer lines, at least for five out of the seven objects in our sample. The two exceptions are J1050–0105 and J1229–0307, whose Mg ii lines are distinctly narrower than H α and somewhat asymmetric.

3. Among the five objects that show better match between Mg ii and H α, the lines agree best near the core (FWHM) but often diverge noticeably in the wings. H α generally has more extended wings than Mg ii. This implies that comparison between virial mass estimators for Mg ii and the Balmer lines depends sensitively on the adopted measure of line width. The agreement should be reasonably close for the FWHM (Figure 5a); mean and standard deviation (FWHM (Mg ii)/FWHM (Hα)) = 0.95 ± 0.14 km s\(^{-1}\)), but the line dispersion, more sensitive to the line wings, will be systematically higher for the Balmer lines compared to Mg ii.

4. The behavior of C iv is much more perplexing. Apart from the presence of a prominent extended red wing—a model-dependent feature sensitive to the adopted fitting procedure (Fine et al. 2010)—the line peak exhibits a range of velocity shifts, from \( \sim 800 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) to \( \sim 1100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). A direct comparison between C iv and H α line widths reveals two clusters of points (Figure 5b). Four of the
Our sample, albeit small, does not seem to conform to this simple expectation. The three outliers with unusually high FWHM(C\textsc{iv})/FWHM(H\textalpha) share one common characteristic: the peak of the C\textsc{iv} is significantly blueshifted, by $-700$ to $-1100$ km s$^{-1}$. However, not all objects with C\textsc{iv} blueshifts show enhanced FWHM(C\textsc{iv})/FWHM(H\textbeta); two of the other four objects also show blueshifts of comparable magnitude. Nor is excess C\textsc{iv} width uniquely associated with any obvious AGN property. While J1229−0307, with its relatively narrow lines (FWHM(H\textbeta) $\approx 2500$ km s$^{-1}$), strong Fe\textsc{ii} emission ($\log$ Fe\textsc{ii} $\lambda$4570/H\textbeta = $-0.12$), and high Eddington ratio\footnote{We assume bolometric luminosity $L_{\text{bol}} = 9.8 L_\odot(5100 \text{ Å})$ (McLure & Dunlop 2004), Eddington luminosity $L_{\text{Edd}} = 1.26 \times 10^{38}(M_{\text{BH}}/M_\odot) \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, and BH mass $M_{\text{BH}}$ estimated from H\textalpha.} ($\log L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} = 0.21$) qualify it as a NLS1, whose C\textsc{iv} line may be particularly problematic for mass determination (Vestergaard et al. 2011), the other two outliers do not.

5. C\textsc{iii}] poses an even greater challenge to understand. While there are no large velocity shifts, the width of C\textsc{iii}] appears to be completely erratic (Figure 5(c)). Two of the objects have unusually narrow cores that result in FWHM(C\textsc{iii}]/FWHM(H\textbeta) $< 1$, and the rest are characterized by FWHM(C\textsc{iii}]/FWHM(H\textbeta) $\gg 1$. Counterintuitively, FWHM(C\textsc{iii}] > FWHM(C\textsc{iv}) in four out of the seven sources. This agrees with the results of Brotherton et al. (1994), Jiang et al. (2007), and Greene et al. (2010), but is inconsistent with the study of Shang et al. (2007), who generally find FWHM(C\textsc{iii}] $\approx$ FWHM(C\textsc{iv}). Using a much larger sample, Shen & Liu (2012) find no obvious offset between FWHM(C\textsc{iii}] and FWHM(C\textsc{iv}), although the two are poorly correlated. The discrepancy associated with C\textsc{iii}] may arise, at least in part, from the uncertainty in deblending the line from its surrounding contaminating features.

How do these results impact BH mass determinations? Figure 6 graphically illustrates the answer. We estimate BH masses using the C\textsc{iv}-based formalism of Vestergaard & Peterson (2006), the Mg\textsc{ii}-based formalism of Vestergaard & Osmer (2009), and the H\textbeta-based formalism of Greene & Ho (2005b), as updated by Xiao et al. (2011) to account for the latest BLR size–luminosity relation of Bentz et al. (2009). For consistency with our adopted C\textsc{iv} and Mg\textsc{ii} mass estimators, we further adjust Xiao et al.’s prescription so that its virial coefficient $f$ matches the value advocated by Onken et al. (2004). We do not consider C\textsc{iii}] because there is currently no mass estimator based on this line, and we feel discouraged by the line width comparison presented above. The BH masses derived from these virial mass estimators typically have statistical uncertainties of $\sim 0.3$–$0.4$ dex. For concreteness, we adopt an error bar of 0.4 dex for $M_{\text{BH}}$(C\textsc{iv}) and 0.3 dex for both $M_{\text{BH}}$(Mg\textsc{ii}) and $M_{\text{BH}}$(H\textbeta).

Vestergaard et al. (2011) stress the importance of using mass estimators calibrated on the same mass scale for a proper comparison between masses derived from different lines. Both the C\textsc{iv} and Mg\textsc{ii} masses are tied to a common scale based on reverberation-mapped AGNs. Vestergaard and Osmer, however, model the broad Mg\textsc{ii} line as a single component, whereas we follow Wang et al. (2009) and treat Mg\textsc{ii} as a doublet, which results in slightly narrower line widths. These two methods yield Mg\textsc{ii} line widths that differ on average by only $\sim 0.05$ dex (Shen et al. 2011); we scale up all of our Mg\textsc{ii} line widths by this constant factor to account for the minor systematic offset.
Figure 4. Model line profiles, shown on a velocity scale, for H$\alpha$ λ6563 (black; solid), Mg $\text{ii}$ λ2800 (red; dotted), C $\text{iii}^\dagger$ λ1909 (green; dashed), and C $\text{iv}$ λ1549 (blue; long dashed). The zero point of the velocity scale is referenced with respect to [O $\text{iii}$] λ5007.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 5. Comparison of FWHM of H$\alpha$ with FWHM of (a) Mg $\text{ii}$, (b) C $\text{iv}$, and (c) C $\text{iii}^\dagger$. The error bars represent measurement uncertainties as given in Table 2 (see Section 3).
To date the Hα BH mass estimator of Greene & Ho (2005b) has not been calibrated directly against the reverberation-mapped AGNs. Fortunately, the analysis of Shen et al. (2011) indicates that the Hα-based masses show only a mean offset of 0.08 dex with respect to Vestergaard & Peterson’s Hβ-based masses, which, like the C iv and Mg ii masses, are calibrated to the same scale tied to the reverberation-mapped AGNs. For the purposes of this paper, we will not worry about this small discrepancy, which does not impact any of our main conclusions.

As foreshadowed by the line width analysis, Hα and Mg ii deliver reasonably consistent mass estimates, which for our sample spans a small range around $M_{\text{BH}} \approx 10^{8.7\pm0.2} M_\odot$. For our choice of mass estimators, $M_{\text{BH}}$(Mg ii) agrees very well with $M_{\text{BH}}$(Hα): $\langle \log M_{\text{BH}}(\text{Mg ii}) - \log M_{\text{BH}}(\text{Hα}) \rangle \approx 0.03 \pm 0.07$ dex. By contrast, the comparison between $M_{\text{BH}}$(C iv) and $M_{\text{BH}}$(Hα) is less clear-cut. The three objects (labeled in Figure 6) with anomalously broad, blueshifted C iv profiles all have $M_{\text{BH}}$(C iv) in excess of $10^9 M_\odot$, deviating from $M_{\text{BH}}$(Hα) by 0.34 dex to as much as 0.72 dex. The most discrepant object is the NLS1 J1229–0307, confirming Vestergaard et al.’s (2011) suspicion that C iv masses are especially unreliable for this class of AGNs, but the other two show no obvious warning signs as to why C iv should misbehave. All three C iv outliers appear relatively normal in the Mg ii versus Hα comparison (Figure 6(a)).

The remaining four objects fare better: $\langle \log M_{\text{BH}}(\text{C iv}) - \log M_{\text{BH}}(\text{Hα}) \rangle \approx 0.01 \pm 0.14$ dex. While this may be regarded as reassuring confirmation that C iv-based masses can be trusted in at least some objects, the difficulty is that, in the absence of independent evidence from lower ionization lines (Mg ii, Hβ, or Hα), we have no means of forecasting which objects are reliable or not. This result is unsettling, especially in light of the very large masses ($M_{\text{BH}} \approx 10^9 - 10^{10} M_\odot$) routinely inferred for high-redshift quasars and the astrophysical implications attached to them.

5. SUMMARY

The widths of broad emission lines in active galaxies, when combined with physical dimensions inferred from the size–luminosity relation empirically calibrated from reverberation mapping experiments, provide a powerful and efficient means of estimating BH masses for large samples of sources detected at all redshifts. Over the past decade, a number of virial BH mass estimators have been devised and extensively used to determine BH masses, facilitating a wide range of investigations on BH demographics and AGN physics. Despite their popularity, however, there are nagging doubts as to the reliability of these mass estimators. While calibrations based on low-ionization lines, especially Hα and Hβ, are reasonably secure, higher redshift observations often depend on UV lines that are less well understood. The most contentious mass estimator is that based on C iv $\lambda 1549$, the workhorse for studies of high-redshift quasars, as the kinematics of this line may be significantly affected by winds and other non-virial motions. Mg ii appears to be safer, but even it may not be completely immune to systematic biases.

Spectral variability complicates the comparison between different mass estimators, if they derive from spectra taken at different times. To mitigate this effect, we have undertaken an experiment to acquire spectra of a small sample of seven moderate-redshift quasars that simultaneously cover the rest-frame UV through optical spectral regions (1300–7500 Å). This data set is enabled by the unique capabilities of the X-shooter instrument on the VLT, which delivers simultaneous spectra from $\sim3000$ Å to 2.5 $\mu$m. At $z \approx 1.5$, this allows us to access the principal broad emission lines from C iv to Hα.

In accord with other studies, we find that Mg ii and the Balmer lines (this study uses Hα instead of Hβ) have similar velocity widths near the core (FWHM) of their profiles, but Hα generally has more extended, higher velocity wings than Mg ii. Mg ii-based and Hα-based BH masses agree to better than $\sim0.1$ dex. The C iii $\lambda 1909$ line widths are difficult to interpret. Contrary to naive expectations, FWHM(C iii) $>$ FWHM(C iv) in most of our objects, but some also have unusually narrow lines, narrower than even those of Hα. While these discrepancies can perhaps be attributed to the difficulties of line deblending in the highly crowded spectral region near $\sim1900$ Å, it appears that it would be challenging to devise a robust BH virial mass estimator using C iii. The verdict on C iv is mixed. While roughly half of the C iv-based masses are reasonably consistent with those derived from Hα, the others are systematically high by factors of 2–5. These extreme outliers not only have unusually broad C iv line widths, but their line peaks are all systematically blueshifted by several hundred to a thousand km s$^{-1}$, suggesting that a significant fraction of the emission arises from outflowing or dynamically unrelaxed gas. However, systemic C iv blueshifts are a common feature in AGNs, and they do not appear to be a clean predictor of which objects show deviant C iv line widths or masses; two of the objects whose C iv-based masses agree with those derived from Hα also have systematic C iv blueshifts of comparable magnitude. We are thus left in an
uncomfortable predicament: in the absence of independent confirmation from lower ionization lines, we do not know, a priori, which C iv profiles provide more accurate mass estimates.

To end on a more positive note, we emphasize that our results clearly suffer from small-number statistics. Other investigators (e.g., Vestergaard & Peterson 2006; Greene et al. 2010; Assef et al. 2011) are more optimistic that C iv can be used to study BH demographics at high redshifts. It would be important to secure simultaneous, or at least near-contemporaneous, rest-frame UV–optical spectra for a larger, better-defined sample of AGNs to revisit the issues raised in this study. Because high-luminosity AGNs vary on timescales of weeks to months, complete simultaneity in spectral coverage (such as those presented here) is desirable, but not truly necessary. Near-contemporaneous observations, taken within a span of a few days (e.g., during the same observing run or coordinated between two different telescopes), would suffice.
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