Predictive and prognostic value of phosphorylated c-KIT and PDGFRA in advanced non-small cell lung cancer harboring ALK fusion
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Abstract. Secondary KIT gene amplification leads to tyrosine kinase inhibitor resistance in anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) fusion-positive advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). The presence of the 4q12 amplicon causes the activation of downstream mast/stem cell growth factor receptor Kit (c-Kit) or platelet-derived growth factor receptor α (PDGFRA) signaling pathways. Therefore, in the present study, the association between the functional proteins phosphorylated c-Kit (p-c-Kit) and phosphorylated PDGFRA (p-PDGFRA) and the prognosis of ALK fusion NSCLC was investigated. Advanced stage NSCLC samples with ALK fusion were tested for their p-c-Kit and p-PDGFRA content by immunohistochemical staining, and for its association with crizotinib efficacy and the survival of the patients. Of 64 eligible ALK-positive patients with NSCLC, 30 (46.9%) were p-c-Kit-positive and 10 (15.7%) were p-PDGFRA-positive. Brain metastases were more common in ALK-positive cases that were p-PDGFRA-positive compared with those who were p-PDGFRA-negative. ALK-positive patients treated with crizotinib, who exhibited high levels of p-c-Kit had significantly lower progression-free survival times than those with low levels. In addition, the patients with high levels of p-c-Kit exhibited lower overall survival times than those with low levels. Furthermore, multivariate analysis indicated that high levels of p-c-Kit in patients with ALK fusion was the only significant predictive factor for crizotinib efficacy and was a prognostic factor for poor overall survival time. However, no statistically significant difference was observed in the survival of patients with different p-PDGFRA levels. p-PDGFRA was more frequently expressed in the ALK-positive cases with brain metastasis. c-Kit signaling activation may be associated with poor efficacy of crizotinib and poor prognosis in advanced ALK fusion NSCLC.

Introduction

Anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK)-fusion genes, including encoding echinoderm microtubule-associated protein-like 4 (EML4)-ALK fusion variant, represent a small but important fraction of oncogenic driver mutations in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), accounting for approximately 3-7% in all cases worldwide (1,2). Small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) are part of the standard therapy for ALK fusion NSCLC. Resistance to first-generation TKI crizotinib within the first 2 years following treatment is mediated by a variety of mechanisms, including secondary mutations within the ALK tyrosine kinase domain and activation of alternative signaling pathways, including those involving the ALK fusion gene or secondary KIT gene amplification (3).

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array data on NSCLC tissues and cell lines were evaluated for copy number aberrations, and amplification of chromosomal segment 4q12 overlapping the locus of proto-oncogenes PDGFRA and KIT was observed in 4.2% NSCLC samples (4). Therefore, in the present study it was also taken into consideration whether there may be an activation of the c-Kit/PDGFRA pathway in the ALK-fusion tumor at the initial stage of NSCLC, which may subsequently lead to intrinsic TKI resistance.

The phosphorylated forms of c-Kit and PDGFRA were selected as biomarkers because phosphorylated proteins are the biologically active states that function within the cell. In order to gain comprehensive understanding of the phosphorylated functional proteins in the c-Kit/PDGFRA signaling pathway and their association with clinicopathological characteristics of patients with ALK fusion, the expression of p-c-Kit and p-PDGFRA were investigated, along with their association with the clinical outcomes of patients with advanced stage NSCLC with ALK fusion.
Patients and methods

Patients and samples. Patients with tumors that were ALK-positive, as detected by immunohistochemical staining (IHC) at The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University between January 2012 and March 2017, were selected retrospectively for the present study. The tumors were staged pathologically according to the 2009 International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (version 7) (5).

Clinical responses were evaluated 1 month following the first administration of ALK-TKI (crizotinib) (250 mg twice daily) and then every 3 months using computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging scans. The final follow-up time point was in May 2017. The objective response rate (ORR) and disease control rate (DCR) were assessed independently by the present investigators and one radiologist, according to the Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST version 1.1) (6).

Progression-free survival (PFS) was measured from the day of treatment initiation until disease progression or mortality. Overall survival (OS) time was measured from the day of initiated treatment until death. Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) primary tumor tissues collected during bronchoscopy or percutaneous lung biopsies were evaluated by two pathologists in order to meet the criterion of ≥50% tumor cells. Specimens of insufficient tissue quantity or quality for molecular analyses were excluded. The present study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of The First Affiliated Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the study.

IHC staining. FFPE NSCLC tissue specimens from patients with metastatic NSCLC were prospectively tested for ALK by IHC using the Ventana platform (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). The assay was developed as a system with the Ventana anti-ALK (D5F3) rabbit monoclonal primary antibody (dilution, 1:100; cat. no. Ref 790-4794; Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland), according to the manufacturer's protocols, in combination with the OptiView DAB IHC detection and OptiView Amplification kits (Ventana Medical Systems, Inc, Tucson, AZ, USA) for use on a Ventana BenchMark XT automated staining instrument (Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.).

ALK-positive tumor FFPE sections (4 mm thick) were used for IHC using an automated immunostainer (Leica Microsystems, Germany). Briefly, the slides were heated at 95°C for 10 min for antigen retrieval, and endogenous biotin was blocked at room temperature for 10 min using an endogenous biotin blocking kit (cat. no. ab64212, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), and the assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Following incubation at 4°C overnight with anti-c-Kit (phosphor Y703) (dilution, 1:50; ab62154) or anti-PDGFRA (phosphor Y754) (dilution, 1:100; ab5460) antibody obtained from Abcam. The sections were subsequently incubated with biotinylated secondary anti-rabbit antibodies with 1:500 dilution (cat. no. K500711) with LSAB2 system-HRP (DAKO; Agilent Technologies, Inc, Santa Clara, CA, USA) for 30 min at room temperature. The stained specimens were analyzed by two independent pathologists using an fluorescent Olympus BX51 microscope (magnification x200-1,000; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and results were scored according to a semi-quantitative method (7) to reveal the staining intensity (0=negative; 1=weak/trace; 2=moderate; and 3=strong) and the percentage of positive cells (0, 0% to 10; 1, 11-25; 2, 26-50; 3, 51-75; and 4, 76-100%). This grading produced a final score of 0-7, calculated as the sum of intensity and percentage scores. Therefore, the IHC staining was reported as negative (score 0-1) or positive (score 2-7), further classified as weak (score 2-3), moderate (score 4-5) or strong (score 6-7). In c-KIT staining low protein expression was defined as having negative or weak IHC staining, and high expression was defined as having moderate or strong staining.

Statistical analysis. The χ² or Fisher exact tests were used to compare categorical variables. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate the PFS and OS rates, and the log-rank test was performed to compare the PFS and OS among the groups. Cox multivariate proportional hazard model was used for survival analysis, and the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated using SPSS 16.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). P<0.05 was considered to indicate statistically significant differences in a 2-way analysis.

Results

Patient characteristics. Samples from 64 patients with advanced ALK fusion NSCLC were selected. The patients had, a median age of 52 years (range, 25-81 years). Histologically, 62 samples classified as adenocarcinoma and 2 as adenosquamous carcinoma. Brain metastasis had occurred in 26 (40.6%) patients, including 20 (76.9%) patients at initial diagnosis and 6 (23.1%) patients while receiving crizotinib treatment. Within this cohort, 41 patients had received crizotinib treatment. The characteristics of the patients are outlined in Table I.

Expression of p-c-Kit and p-PDGFRA in ALK fusion NSCLC tumor samples. The levels of p-c-Kit and p-PDGFRA were detected in the samples of tumors with ALK fusion. IHC on the tumor cells revealed that p-c-Kit was mainly expressed in the membrane and cytoplasm (Fig. 1A), and p-PDGFRA was mainly detected in the membrane (Fig. 1B). The associations between p-c-Kit and p-PDGFRA levels and the clinicopathological features of the patients with advanced NSCLC are depicted in Table I. Out of 64 ALK fusion tumor samples, 30 (46.9%) were p-c-Kit-positive. High levels of p-c-Kit were observed in 16 out of 64 (25%) tumors. The tumors from older patients (≥52 years) exhibited high p-c-Kit expression significantly more frequently than those from younger individuals (P=0.03). Regarding p-PDGFRA expression, 11 out of 64 (17.2%) specimens were positive. Positivity for p-PDGFRA was observed with a higher occurrence in the samples of ALK fusion patients with brain metastasis than those without (34.6 vs. 5.3%; P=0.01).

Association between p-c-Kit and p-PDGFRA expression and the efficacy of crizotinib. In the total study population, the ORR (the sum of complete and partial response rate) and DCR (the sum of complete, partial response and stable disease rate) were 68.2 and 90.2%, respectively (Table I). There was no difference in either ORR or DCR between high-level and
Table I. Clinical characteristics of patients with non-small cell lung cancer with anaplastic lymphoma kinase fusion (n=64).

| Characteristic                  | n (%)          | High, % | Low, % | P-value | Positive, % | Negative, % | P-value |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------|--------|---------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Age, years                     |                |         |        |         |             |             |         |
| ≥52                            | 33 (51.6)      | 12, 36.4| 21, 63.6| 0.03*   | 7, 21.2     | 26, 78.8    | 0.38    |
| <52                            | 31 (48.4)      | 4, 12.9 | 27, 87.1|         | 4, 12.9     | 27, 87.1    |         |
| Sex                            |                |         |        | 0.77    |             |             | 0.30    |
| Male                           | 38 (59.4)      | 10, 26.3| 28, 73.7|         | 5, 13.2     | 33, 86.8    |         |
| Female                         | 26 (40.6)      | 6, 23.1 | 20, 76.9|         | 6, 23.1     | 20, 76.9    |         |
| TKI treatment                  |                |         |        | 1.0     |             |             | 0.93    |
| Crizotinib                     | 41 (64.1)      | 11, 26.8| 30, 73.2|         | 8, 19.5     | 33, 80.5    |         |
| No TKI treatment               | 23 (35.9)      | 5, 21.7 | 18, 78.3|         | 3, 13.0     | 20, 87.0    |         |
| Line of TKI treatment (n=41)   |                |         |        | 0.38    |             |             | 0.62    |
| First-line                     | 25 (61.0)      | 5, 20.0 | 20, 80.0|         | 6, 24.0     | 19, 76.0    |         |
| Second-line and above          | 16 (39.0)      | 6, 37.5 | 10, 62.5|         | 2, 12.5     | 14, 87.5    |         |
| Brain metastasis               |                |         |        | 0.77    |             |             | 0.01*   |
| Yes                            | 26 (40.6)      | 7, 26.9 | 19, 73.1|         | 9, 34.6     | 17, 65.4    |         |
| No                             | 38 (59.4)      | 9, 23.7 | 29, 76.3|         | 2, 5.3      | 36, 94.7    |         |
| Time of brain metastasis (n=26)|                |         |        | 1.0     |             |             | 0.63    |
| Incipient                      | 20 (76.9)      | 5, 25.0 | 15, 75.0|         | 7, 35.0     | 13, 65.0    |         |
| During TKI treatment           | 6 (23.1)       | 2, 33.3 | 4, 66.7 |         | 1, 16.7     | 5, 83.3     |         |
| Symptoms of brain metastasis  |                |         |        | 1.0     |             |             | 0.67    |
| Yes                            | 7 (26.9)       | 2, 28.6 | 5, 71.4 |         | 3, 42.9     | 4, 57.1     |         |
| No                             | 19 (72.1)      | 5, 26.3 | 14, 73.7|         | 6, 31.6     | 13, 68.4    |         |
| Response to crizotinib (n=41)  |                |         |        | 0.99    |             |             | 1.0     |
| Partial response rate          | 28 (68.2)      | 7, 25.0 | 21, 75.0|         | 5, 17.9     | 23, 82.1    |         |
| Stable disease rate            | 9 (22.0)       | 2, 22.2 | 7, 77.8 |         | 2, 22.2     | 7, 77.8     |         |
| Disease progression rate       | 4 (9.8)        | 2, 50.0 | 2, 50.0 |         | 1, 25.0     | 3, 75.0     |         |
| Overall survival rate* (n=64)  |                |         |        |         |             |             |         |
| Percent of patients alive at one year | 51, 79.6 | 10, 60.0 | 41, 86.0 | NA     | 8, 73.0 | 43, 81.0 | NA     |
| Percent of patients alive at three year | 37, 57.8 | 4, 25.0 | 33, 69.0 | NA     | 4, 36.0 | 33, 62.0 | NA     |

*p<0.05. p-c-Kit, phosphorylated mast/stem cell growth factor receptor kit; p-PDGFRα, phosphorylated platelet-derived growth factor receptor α; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; NA, not available.
*Overall survival rate refers to cumulative survival at one or three-year, calculated using Kaplan-Meier method. Data represent the ratio of the number of patients alive at one or three-year to the total number of patients among the different groups.
The median PFS time of the patients with high p-c-Kit levels was significantly shorter than those with low levels (5 vs. 26 months; P=0.005; Fig. 2A). Furthermore, the patients with high levels of p-c-Kit in their samples exhibited significantly lower OS times than those with low-level p-c-Kit (median OS time, 17 months vs. NA, not available; P=0.002; Fig. 2B), whether they had received TKI or not. The one- and three-year OS rates in the patients with high p-c-Kit levels were 60 and 25%, respectively, and in those with low levels they were 86 and 69% respectively (Table I). Notably, the results revealed no significant difference in PFS (Fig. 3A) of crizotinib and OS (Fig. 3B) between patients with p-PDGFRA-positive tumors and those with p-PDGFRA-negative tumors (P=0.05).

A multivariate Cox proportional hazard model was used to analyze the significance of p-c-Kit expression in the PFS time of patients. The factors included in the analysis were age, brain metastasis, crizotinib line of treatment and p-c-Kit status of the tumor. The results revealed that a high p-c-Kit level was the only significant predictive factor for poor PFS time in the patients treated by crizotinib (HR=2.7; 95% CI, 1.0-7.4; P=0.048). This was also the only significant prognostic factor for poor OS time (HR=5.3; 95% CI, 1.5-18.5; P=0.01; data not shown).

Discussion

To date, a limited number of biomarkers have been proven to be associated with survival in patients with ALK fusion NSCLC (8,9). c-Kit is a transmembrane tyrosine kinase, with SCF as a ligand, serving an important role in inducing a number of signal transduction pathways, including those of mitogen-activated protein kinase and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B (10,11). To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to investigate the association between the phosphorylated functional proteins of the c-Kit/PDGFRA signaling pathway, and the efficacy of crizotinib and prognosis in patients with advanced ALK fusion NSCLC. The present data revealed that high levels of p-c-Kit occurred more frequently in older patients (≥52 years) and p-c-PDGFRA expression was associated with metastasis to the brain (P<0.05). In the present study, p-PDGFRA expression was detected in primary tumor samples prior to initial treatment in patients with brain metastasis. PDGF serves an important role as a driver of tumor growth in glioblastoma multiforme and other malignant brain tumors, including malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors and seminoma, and chromosomal region 4q12, containing the PDGFRA and KIT genes, is often amplified in these tumors (12). It is therefore hypothesized that the PDGFRA pathway is associated with a subset of brain metastases occurring in patients with ALK fusion NSCLC, as in the case of the aforementioned patient with PDGFRA amplification in the metastatic brain tumor. However, the intratumoral heterogeneity may be caused by one clone harboring two gene aberrations or two distinctive clones occurring in these patients (13).

Currently, first or second generation TKIs are prescribed to patients with ALK fusion NSCLC as a first-line treatment. The second-generation TKIs could achieve improved PFS (but not OS) times and control of metastatic brain tumors as first-line treatment, compared with crizotinib (14). However, it is unknown which patients would benefit more from the second-generation TKIs. To date, only BIM deletion polymorphism and EML4-ALK variants 3a/b have been found to be associated with poor clinical response of patients with ALK fusion NSCLC to crizotinib (8,9). Therefore, further studies of the intrinsic mechanism of resistance to crizotinib would be beneficial for improved decision-making in TKI selection for first-line treatment.

In the present study, although no association was observed between the levels of p-c-Kit or p-PDGFRA and the response rate of the tumor following crizotinib treatment, the patients with high p-c-Kit levels exhibited a lower PFS time than those with low levels (5 vs. 26 months, P=0.005). Additionally, high levels of p-c-Kit was the only independent prognostic factor for poor PFS time following crizotinib treatment (HR=2.7, P<0.05). Although the study has some limitations due to small sample numbers and uncertainty of interpretation of the immunochemical staining results,
patients with low levels of p-c-Kit responded significantly better to crizotinib treatment compared with the patients treated by crizotinib reported in the previous clinical trials in which the median PFS was 11 months (15,16). Previous studies identified that the production of high levels of c-Kit in NSCLC tumors is mediated by KIT gene amplification, and not a gene mutation, which stimulates the activation of downstream signaling molecules in the KIT signaling pathway (17). This form of intrinsic crizotinib resistance could be overcome with a combination of ALK and c-Kit inhibitors. However, KIT gene amplification has been reported to occur in ≤5% of NSCLC cases (4). Therefore, it was speculated that if high p-c-Kit expression is caused by overamplification of the KIT gene in only a small subset of ALK fusion tumors, post-transcriptional or post-translational regulation may also contribute to the final majority of p-c-Kit levels. For ALK fusion NSCLC with de novo bypass pathway activation, it is unknown if the next-generation ALK TKIs will be superior to crizotinib.

As the present findings revealed that p-c-Kit levels are a predictive marker for TKI treatment, it was hypothesized that they may also be a prognostic factor for survival in patients with ALK fusion NSCLC. The results identified that high levels of p-c-Kit predicted poor survival of the patients whether they had received TKI treatment or not.

KIT gene functional mutations may be driver mutations in certain malignant tumors, including gastrointestinal stromal tumors, leukemia, lymphoma and mast cell tumors (17). In lung cancer, 69.2% of small cell lung cancer (SCLC) was reported to express c-Kit, as detected by IHC (18). Conflicting results have been reported on the association between c-Kit expression and prognosis in SCLC (19,20). Studies on c-Kit in NSCLC have focused more on the early stages of the cancer, and the expression of c-Kit in NSCLC tumors has been associated with an increased mortality rate (21). Inconsistent results from previous studies regarding the association between c-Kit expression and prognosis may be due to differences in samples and IHC staining measurements (10,21). In the present study, c-Kit protein was expressed in 46.9% of advanced NSCLC with ALK fusion, in agreement with a previous study on early stage NSCLC (8). The patients were further divided into two groups (high vs. low levels of c-Kit). The results demonstrated that patients with high levels of p-c-Kit exhibited significantly lower survival times than those with low levels (P<0.05). Furthermore, high levels
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Figure 2. The PFS and OS rates of patients with non-small cell lung cancer with anaplastic lymphoma kinase fusion. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses of patients with high vs. low p-c-Kit levels in their tumor samples. (A) Patients with high levels of p-c-Kit had significantly poorer PFS time (log-rank test, P=0.005). (B) Patients with high levels of p-c-Kit had significantly poorer OS time (log-rank test, P=0.002). PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; p-c-Kit, phosphorylated mast/stem cell growth factor receptor Kit.

![Figure 3](image2.png)

Figure 3. The PFS and OS rates of patients with non-small cell lung cancer with anaplastic lymphoma kinase fusion. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of patients with p-PDGFRα-positive vs. -negative tumor samples. (A) No significant difference was observed between the PFS time of patients with detectable p-PDGFRα expression compared with those without (log-rank test, P=0.6). (B) No significant difference was observed between the OS time of patients with detectable p-PDGFRα expression compared with those without (log-rank test, P=0.6). PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; p-PDGFRα, phosphorylated platelet-derived growth factor receptor α.
of p-c-Kit was the only significant indicator of poor survival in patients with ALK fusion NSCLC (HR=5.3, P<0.05).

In conclusion, the present study suggests that p-PDGFRα may be expressed more often in ALK-positive cases of NSCLC with brain metastasis, and that c-Kit signaling activation may serve as a predictor of crizotinib efficacy and as a prognostic indicator for advanced stage ALK fusion NSCLC.
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