Outcomes of Cessation of Antiviral Therapy in Chronic Hepatitis B: A Retrospective Cohort Study
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Data on the efficacy and duration of nucleos(t)ide analogue (NUC) therapies to prevent the development of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis B (CHB) patients are scarce and heterogeneous. This study aimed to summarize the clinical and laboratory results of the patients with CHB infection who discontinued oral antiviral therapy.

Methods: A single-centered cohort study was conducted with CHB infection. NUCs were discontinued in patients who were under viral suppression for at least two years with undetectable HBV DNA levels for 18 months. Risk factors for clinical relapse (CR) were evaluated.

Results: A total of 77 patients were recruited. HBeAg status showed that 9.4% of the patients underwent HBeAg seroconversion with NUCs. HBeAg reversion was noted in four (31%) of these patients. Severe hepatitis, which resolved after antiviral therapy was restored, was reported in two out of 77 patients (4%). None of the patients with CR had clinical or biological signs of hepatic decompensation or died during the study period.

Conclusions: We found no benefits of the discontinuation of antiviral therapy after viral suppression in patients with initially severe fibrotic HBV infection. In patients with mild to moderate fibrosis, cessation of antiviral treatment is not associated with adverse outcomes.
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ÖZ

Amaç: Kronik hepatit B (KHB) hastalarında sıroz ve hepatoselüler kanserin gelişimini önlemek için nukleos(t)id analog (NUC) tedavilerinin etkinliği ve süresine ilişkin veriler az ve heterojendir. Bu çalışma, oral antiviral tedaviyi bırakan KHB enfeksiyonlu hastaların klinik ve laboratuvar sonuçlarını özetlemeyi amaçlamaktadır.

Yöntem: KHB enfeksiyonu olan hastalarda tek merkezli bir kohort çalışması yapılmıştır. En az iki yıldır viral baskılamaya sahip olan hastalardan seçilen hastaların nükleo(s)itid analog tedavileri kesildi. Başlangıçta vaka sayısının %94’sinin NUC’la viral baskılama altında olduğu, 18 ay içinde HBV DNA nedeniyle viral baskılamayı içermeyen hastaların sayısı ve bu hastalardaki virale bağlı klinik ve laboratuvar sonuçları incelenmiştir.

Bulgular: Çalışmaya toplam 77 hasta alındı. HBeAg durumu, hastaların %94’ünün NUIK’la klinik başarı gösterdiği, bu hastaların %31’si HBeAg reversionu göstermiştir. Antiviral tedavilerin kesilmesi sonrasında viral baskılamaların %31’si HBeAg serokonversiyonu gerçkleştirilmiştir. HBeAg reversionu, viral baskılamaların %31’sinin serokonversiyonu gerçkleştirilmesi sonucunda, bu hastalarda viral baskılamaların %31’sinin klinik ve laboratuvar sonuçları değerlendirilmiştir.

Sonuç: Başlangıçta nükleo(s)itid analog tedavileri kesilmiş olan hastalarda viral baskılamaların %31’sinin klinik ve laboratuvar sonuçları değerlendirilmiştir. Kronik hepatit B, antiviral tedavi, hepatit B virüs
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© Copyright Istanbul Medeniyet University Faculty of Medicine. This journal is published by Logos Medical Publishing. Licensed by Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0)
INTRODUCTION

The viral infection of chronic hepatitis B (CHB) is a major public health problem, estimated to affect about 300 million people worldwide. Currently, there is no cure for the CHB infection; however, nucleos(t)ide analogues (NUCs) are used to suppress the viral replication in CHB. The rationale behind long-term viral suppression is that it can be used to reduce progressive liver damage and prevent the development of HBV-associated cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However, data regarding the efficacy of antiviral suppression on cirrhosis and HCC development are scarce and heterogeneous; thus, no firm conclusion can be drawn regarding the benefits of its long-term administration. Further, the challenge of ambiguity regarding the duration of suppression emerges because of the side effects of the drug and the financial burden. Long-term use of NUCs may cause decreases in bone mineral density and glomerular filtration rate in patients and lead to further complications, such as osteomalacia and chronic renal failure. In recent years, to reduce the long-term side effects of viral suppression, discontinuation of antiviral therapy has been suggested by international guidelines. The most feared short-term adverse effect of discontinued viral suppression is acute liver failure, which may be induced by virological relapses (VRs) and clinical relapses (CRs). In this regard, previous studies including cohort studies from different countries, have reported the risk factors associated with VRs and CRs in cases of discontinued therapy. However, data from patients who discontinued viral suppression are still limited.

In this study, the short-term clinical and laboratory outcomes following cessation of antiviral therapy were analyzed in patients with CHB infections.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Settings and data collection

This single-center retrospective cohort study took place between January 2016 and July 2019. Data were entered into a Microsoft Access (2010) database. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Goztepe Training and Research Hospital at Istanbul Medeniyet University, and the study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. Informed consent was not required because the research protocol was retrospective.

Patients

The records of patients who discontinued viral suppression for any reason were retrospectively screened. Patients who had undergone viral suppression for at least two years and had undetectable HBV DNA levels over the last 18 months were included in the study. Patients who were less than 18 years old, pregnant, those who had a co-infection (such as hepatitis C virus, hepatitis D virus, human immunodeficiency virus infections, and/or autoimmune hepatitis), chronic alcoholism, and/or were undergoing immunosuppressive/chemotherapy were excluded from the study.

Procedures

Patients were visited monthly for the first three months following cessation of antiviral therapy, and then once every three months for follow-up. At each visit, clinical, biochemical, and virological evaluations for each patient were performed and the data were recorded. Alanine transaminase (ALT, upper limit: 37 U/L) (Architect C16000 Abbott Clinic, Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, IL, USA), Hepatitis B virus DNA (HBV DNA, lower limit of detection: 10 IU/ml), HBsAg, Anti-HBs, HBeAg, and Anti-HBe (lower limit of detection: 0.05 IU/mL) levels were measured. The clinical approach to ALT exacerbation and the decision to initiate oral antiviral therapy were left to the discretion of the specialist.
Staging of fibrosis according to Ishak classification, was used to evaluate the liver biopsies. Stages 1-2 were classified as mild, 3-4 as moderate, and 5-6 as advanced. The Fibrosis (FIB)-4 score was determined using the following formula: FIB-4 = [age × AST/platelet count (109/L) × √ALT].

**Outcomes**

The primary objective of this pre-specified analysis was to compare the characteristics of patients who exhibited a sustained clinical response versus those who experienced a flare-up following the cessation of viral suppression. Clinical response was defined as persistence of post-suppression HBV DNA levels below 2000 IU/ml and ALT levels lower than twice the normal threshold.

The secondary objective was to compare the characteristics of patients with VR, which was defined by HBV DNA levels above 2000 IU/ml and ALT levels greater than twice the normal threshold.

**Variables and Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted using statistical software R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2019). Categorical variables were compared using Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, if required. Continuous variables that did not violate normality of distribution were compared using Student’s t test; otherwise, they were compared by the Wilcoxon test. The independent factors of age, gender, HBeAg positivity, platelet (PLT) count, serum albumin level, level of fibrosis on biopsy, and duration of DNA suppression were tested for hazard ratios (HRs).

**RESULTS**

In this study, 77 patients were recruited between 2014 and 2019. Table 1 displays the baseline characteristics of the cohort at both the time when the antiviral suppression was initiated (initial) and terminated (baseline). The cohort consisted of

| Table 1. Initial (at the start of antiviral suppression) and baseline (at the cessation of antiviral suppression) features of the cohort. |
|---|---|
|                | Initial\(^1\) | Baseline |
| Age             | 46.0 [38.0;56.0] | 77  |
| Female gender   | 25 (32.5%) | 77  |
| DDU\(^2\)       | 1979 [1061;2905] | 77  |
| HBeAg (+)       | 20 (26.7%) | 75 13 (17.3%) 75  |
| PLT (×10^9/mm^3) | 207 [172;247] | 77 212 [174;255] 77  |
| ALT (U/L)       | 45.0 [24.0;89.0] | 77 20.0 [15.0;30.0] 77  |
| HDL (U/L)       | 40.0 [36.5;44.0] | 7 44.0 [36.5;48.5] 7 |
| Cholesterol (U/L) | 176 [160;199] | 57 181 [163;199] 57  |
| LDL (U/L)       | 126 [110;148] | 23 126 [102;145] 23  |
| Triglyceride (U/L) | 103 [75.0;174] | 13 134 [81.0;176] 13  |
| Fibrosis\(^3\)  |             |       |
| moderate        | 11 (16.2%) | 1 (14.3%) |
| severe          | 1 (1.47%)  |       |
| FIB-4 score     |             |       |
| [0-1]           | -            | 65 (84.4%) |
| [2-3]           | -            | 12 (15.6%) |
| HAI\(^4\)       |             |       |
| mild            | 40 (58.8%) | 41 (61.2%) |
| moderate        | 17 (25.0%) | 14 (20.9%) |
| severe          | 3 (4.41%)  | 3 (4.48%)  |

\(^1\)Initial, at the time antiviral suppression started; Baseline, at the time antiviral suppression terminated

\(^2\)DDU, duration of DNA suppression (days)

\(^3\)Fibrosis, mild=0-2, moderate=3-4, severe=5-6

\(^4\)HAI, mild

![Figure 1. The mean platelet, cholesterol, and triglyceride blood levels of CHB patients under antiviral suppression.](image-url)
25 (32.5%) female patients with a median age of 46 years (interquartile range (IQR) 38.0; 56.0). At baseline, the patients had undetectable HBV DNA levels (less than 29 IU/mL), and the mean suppression time was 1979 days [IQR 1061; 2905]. The mean ALT level was 20.0 IU/L [IQR 15.0; 30.0] at baseline. HBeAg status at baseline showed that 9.4% of the patients underwent HBeAg seroconversion with NUCs. Figure 1 displays cholesterol, triglyceride, and PLT values at the initial and baseline time-points. Although there was a slight increase in the triglyceride levels, these three factors stayed relatively stable during the suppression period. In other words, NUCs were generally well-tolerated by the patients.

During the cessation of viral suppression (CAS period), four patients underwent HBsAg seroconversion, and one patient developed seroclearance. All of these five patients [incl. 4 (80%) males] were HBeAg negative with a mean age of 57±12 years. Approximately 23.4% (18/77) of patients experienced CR and 40% (31/77) experienced VR.

Figure 2 displays CR as cumulative events by time. The mean onset time of CR was 451 (SD, 382; range 48-1930) days. Nearly 80% of 18 patients achieved CR within the first six months. The mean time to the onset of VR was 615 (SD, 360; range 215-1930) days. Accordingly, we may expect VR only if a patient does not have CR in the first six months of the CAS period.

Liver enzyme levels of HBeAg(-) patients stayed relatively stable during the CAS period, whereas liver enzymes of HBeAg(+) patients displayed a tendency to decline over time (Figure 3). However, no patients experienced hepatic failure, and none died during the study period.

Figure 4 displays the HBV DNA levels of the
patients in three subsets as follows: the patients that did not relapse, patients who achieved CR, or VR. HBV DNA levels declined over time in the patients with CR. Table 2 presents the univariate HRs of CR risk. Since none of the tested variables had a significant HR, no predictors could be identified among them.

HBeAg reversion was observed in four (31%) patients. Severe hepatitis was reported in two (4%) of 77 patients after CAS. One patient showed an increased serum bilirubin level (11 mg/dL [range; 0.2-1.1 mg/dl]), along with an elevation in ALT concentration to 1700 U/L (range 0-42 U/L) and evidence for nodular involvement of the bilateral suprarenal gland on positron emission tomography-computed tomography. Severe hepatitis resolved after treatment was restarted. None of the patients with CR showed clinical or biological signs of hepatic decompensation, and no patient died after CAS.

**DISCUSSION**

NUCs inhibit HBV reverse transcription activity and do not affect covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA), which provides a minichromosomal template for replication\(^26\). HBV replication can resume after termination or interruption of the NUCs with cccDNA transcription. Therefore, the finite approach to chronic HBV treatment with NUCs still requires further research and development, and the use of this method for disease management remains controversial. The main reason for the lifelong antiviral treatment recommendation for CHB patients is that the risk of developing cirrhosis and HCC without viral DNA suppression may be higher. Reducing cost burden, enhancing patient adherence, and minimizing long-term drug toxicity are the main motivators for clinicians to terminate NUC usage, while a flare-up of severe hepatitis resulting in acute liver failure is the main potential risk.

In a large-scale prospective cohort study with HBsAg(+) individuals, only about 10% of the patients developed cirrhosis and HCC\(^27\). Furthermore, other risk factors like fatty liver disease have been reported as major contributors to the progression of hepatitis into cirrhosis\(^28\). Other factors, including aging and metabolic changes, may also be confounders that influence the emergence of unfavorable outcomes during treatment with NUCs. A retrospective study was performed on HBV patients administered entecavir or tenofovir-disoproxil-fumarate, administration of tenofovir-disoproxil-fumarate, but not entecavir was associated with decreased serum cholesterol levels\(^29\). On the contrary, patients in the present study showed an increased mean triglyceride level, while their cholesterol levels remained stable. In the long-term, further research is needed

### Table 2. HRs of key variables for clinical relapse.

| Age | Female gender | ALT | PLT | HBeAg (+)ive | Albumin | Fibrosis | FIB_4 | DDU (mean(SD)) | No event | Event | HR | p-value |
|-----|---------------|-----|-----|-------------|---------|----------|-------|----------------|-----------|-------|----|---------|
| 47.0 [38.0;54.0] | 19 (32.2%) | 20.0 [14.5;30.0] | 212 [177;256] | 9 (15.8%) | 4.40 [4.20;4.53] | 8 (13.6%) | [0-1] | 51 (78.5%) | N=59 | 45.0 [38.2;57.0] | 19.5 [15.2;32.8] | 201 [170;242] | 4 (22.2%) | 14 (21.5%) | Ref. | 0.883 |
| 47.0 [38.0;54.0] | 19 (32.2%) | 20.0 [14.5;30.0] | 212 [177;256] | 9 (15.8%) | 4.40 [4.20;4.53] | 8 (13.6%) | [2-3] | 8 (66.7%) | N=18 | 45.0 [38.2;57.0] | 19.5 [15.2;32.8] | 201 [170;242] | 4 (22.2%) | 14 (21.5%) | Ref. | 0.905 |
| 47.0 [38.0;54.0] | 19 (32.2%) | 20.0 [14.5;30.0] | 212 [177;256] | 9 (15.8%) | 4.40 [4.20;4.53] | 8 (13.6%) | [0-1] | 51 (78.5%) | N=59 | 45.0 [38.2;57.0] | 19.5 [15.2;32.8] | 201 [170;242] | 4 (22.2%) | 14 (21.5%) | Ref. | 0.905 |
| 47.0 [38.0;54.0] | 19 (32.2%) | 20.0 [14.5;30.0] | 212 [177;256] | 9 (15.8%) | 4.40 [4.20;4.53] | 8 (13.6%) | [2-3] | 8 (66.7%) | N=18 | 45.0 [38.2;57.0] | 19.5 [15.2;32.8] | 201 [170;242] | 4 (22.2%) | 14 (21.5%) | Ref. | 0.905 |

5 DDU, duration of DNA suppression (days)
to identify whether this increase in triglyceride levels creates any additional risk.

Additionally, NUCs do not produce antifibrotic effects alone. In this study, no significant change was observed between initial fibrosis and FIB-4 scores before CAS. In a 144-week cohort study that compared NUCs alone with NUCs plus an antifibrotic agent, the combination therapy resulted in more profound antifibrotic effects\textsuperscript{30}. As in the case of cirrhosis, the relationship between HCC and HBV is debatable. Aflatoxin exposure as a major confounding factor for HCC development was not investigated in a well-known HCC epidemiological study\textsuperscript{31}. Although the details are beyond the scope of this article, the findings reported in a recently published proteomics and genomics study have raised suspicions about the etiology of HCC\textsuperscript{32}. In the present study, 23\% of the patients experienced CR after discontinuation of NUC treatment. Among them, two patients (2\%) developed severe hepatitis. One observational study revealed association between pretreatment cirrhosis and liver failure. The initial fibrosis (moderate-severe) score was not associated with CR. However, a second biopsy before CAS was not possible due to its invasive nature. FIB-4 scores were also not associated with CR.

Although HBV DNA monitoring after CAS was recommended as a predictor or follow-up parameter for biochemical exacerbation, the findings of current study did not support this method\textsuperscript{11}. On the contrary, CR presenting with a decrease in HBV DNA, as in acute hepatitis B, is differentiated from chronic hepatitis B with an acute exacerbation\textsuperscript{33}. In a systematic review of 25 studies and 2332 patients, HBeAg positivity was associated with VR. In this study, HBeAg positivity was not associated with CR\textsuperscript{34}. However, both HBV DNA and HBeAg were associated with true replication sourced from HBV pregenomic RNA (pgRNA) and were proposed as markers of cccDNA activity, and CR may be related to host inflammatory factors\textsuperscript{35}.

Some observational studies reported that HBsAg seroconversion developed in 8-15\% of the patients after treatment cessation\textsuperscript{36,37}. In comparative studies, it was noted that HBsAg clearance was higher in patients with NUC discontinuation compared with the control group\textsuperscript{11,38}. Although the follow-up period was an important confounding factor, it is noteworthy in this study that HBsAg seroconversion was observed in five patients over a short time period. In a systematic review, the pooled annual rate of HBsAg seroclearance in 19 CHB patients was reported to be 1.02\%\textsuperscript{39}. The authors indicated that HBsAg seroclearance was greater in HBeAg(-) patients, irrespective of their antiviral regimes. Likewise, all patients were HBeAg (-), and HBsAg seroclearance was not associated with treatment history in this study. Although NUCs are thought to exert a different effect by providing interferon release in peripheral blood cells, a drug-specific condition for both CR and HbsAg seroclearance could not be identified\textsuperscript{40}. Researchers have claimed that changes in natural (CD56dim NK cells) and adaptive (Th17/Treg balance) immunity after suppression of viral replication might play a role in the pathogenesis of seroclearance\textsuperscript{41}. The role of immunity in determining the seroclearance process warrants further investigation.

The participants in this study accurately represented the relevant population and possessed the general characteristics of the patients with CHB infections undergoing treatment. However, most of the patients had mild to moderate baseline fibrosis, which limited the study’s ability to evaluate the patients with advanced fibrosis. In addition, routine genotypic analysis and HBsAg quantitation were not performed. However, epidemiological studies in Turkey revealed that more than 90\% of the patients had HBV genotype D\textsuperscript{42}.

In conclusion, considering the limited number of patients in this study, no significant benefits were found regarding the discontinuation of antiviral
therapy after viral suppression in patients with initially severe fibrotic HBV infection. For patients with mild to moderate fibrosis, cessation of antiviral therapy was not associated with adverse outcomes.
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