Metastability Exchange Optical Pumping of Helium-3 at High Pressures and 1.5 T: Comparison of two Optical Pumping Transitions
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Abstract:
At low magnetic field, metastability exchange optical pumping of helium-3 is known to provide high nuclear polarizations for pressures around 1 mbar. In a recent paper, we demonstrated that operating at 1.5 T can significantly improve the results of metastability exchange optical pumping at high pressures. Here, we compare the performances of two different optical pumping lines at 1.5 T, and show that either the achieved nuclear polarization or the production rate can be optimized.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Be - 32.60.+i - 32.80.Bx - 67.65.+z - 87.61.-cg

I. INTRODUCTION

Highly polarized helium-3 is used in various fields of science, for example, to perform magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of air spaces in human lungs [1, 2] or to prepare spin filters for neutrons [3] and polarized targets for nuclear physics [4]. The most successful methods presently used to polarize helium-3 are spin-exchange optical pumping using alkali atoms [5, 6], and pure-helium metastability exchange optical pumping [7, 8]. The applications have driven research towards improvement in terms of photon efficiency, steady-state polarization, and production rate, both for spin exchange optical pumping [9], and metastability exchange optical pumping [7, 10]. The metastability exchange technique was demonstrated by Colegrove, Schearer, and Walters over forty years ago [7]. In standard conditions, metastability exchange optical pumping is performed at low pressure (1 mbar) in a guiding magnetic field up to a few mT. Metastable $^2S$-state atoms are produced using a radiofrequency discharge. They are optically pumped using the $^2S$-$^2P$ transition at 1083 nm. The electronic polarization is transferred to the nuclei by hyperfine interaction. Through metastability exchange collisions, nuclear polarization is transferred to ground state helium-3 atoms. Metastability exchange optical pumping in standard conditions provides in a few seconds high nuclear polarizations (up to 90% at 0.7 mbar [11]). Unfortunately, the achieved nuclear polarization rapidly drops down when the helium-3 pressure exceeds a few mbar [11, 12]. Therefore, a delicate polarization-preserving compression stage is necessary for all applications needing a dense sample.

We recently demonstrated that operating at 1.5 T can significantly improve the nuclear polarization achieved at high pressures [13], using one of the most intense lines in the $^2S$-$^2P$ absorption spectrum. Here, we show that a different choice of optical pumping transition can further improve the steady-state polarization although the production rate is slightly lower. We also describe more precisely the experimental protocol, and demonstrate the consistency of the optical absorption technique for dynamic measurement of the nuclear polarization in presence of the optical pumping laser.

![FIG. 1: Picture of the experimental apparatus of optical pumping at 1.5 T, containing the optical elements and the sealed optical pumping cell. The pump laser beam (solid arrow) is parallel to the magnetic field B, and the probe laser beam (dashed arrow) is perpendicular to the magnetic field. C: optical pumping cell, PC: polarizing cube, P: quarter-wave plate, PD: photodiode, A: attenuator.](image-url)
II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Setup

Experiments are performed in the bore of a clinical MRI scanner providing a homogeneous 1.5 T magnetic field. The experimental apparatus is shown in Fig.1. The helium-3 gas is enclosed in a sealed cylindrical Pyrex cell, 5 cm in diameter and 5 cm in length. Cells filled with pressure $P=1.33$, 8, 32, and 67 mbar of pure helium-3 are used. A radiofrequency high voltage applied to electrodes on the outside of the cell generates a weak electrical discharge in the gas. It is used to populate the $2^3S$ state and maintain a metastable atoms density $n_m$ in the range $1-8\times10^{10}$ atoms/cm$^3$, depending on the applied voltage and the gas pressure. The optical pumping laser is a 50 mW single mode laser diode amplified by a 0.5 W ytterbium-doped fiber amplifier [14]. The laser wavelength can be tuned by temperature control over the entire spectrum of the $2^3S$-$2^3P$ transition of helium ($\sim$150 GHz at 1.5 T, see Fig.2). The circular polarization of the pump beam is obtained using a combination of polarizing cube and quarter-wave retarding plate. The pump beam is back-reflected after a first pass in the cell to enhance its absorption, and collected by a photodiode to measure its absorption. The probe beam is provided by another single mode laser diode. It is attenuated and linearly polarized perpendicularly to the magnetic field. The absorption of probe and pump lasers are measured with lock-in amplifiers. The average values of the transmitted probe and pump intensities are also recorded. Laser sources and electronics remain several meters away from the magnet bore in a low-field region.

The structure of the $2^3S$-$2^3P$ transition and Zeeman sublevels of helium-3 at 1.5 T is described in [13,15]. In our experiments, optical pumping is performed using one of the two $\sigma^-$ pumping lines labeled $f_1$ or $f_2$ in the absorption spectrum displayed in Fig.2. The $f_4$ line consists of four unresolved transitions spreading over 1.31 GHz. Given the Doppler width of helium-3 at room temperature (2 GHz FWHM), it addresses simultaneously four metastable sublevels $A_1$ to $A_4$ with $m_F=-3/2, -1/2$ and 1/2, where $m_F$ is the magnetic quantum number for the total angular momentum (see Fig.3). The $f_2$ line (two transitions split by 1.37 GHz) simultaneously addresses sublevels $A_5$ and $A_6$ with $m_F=1/2$ and 3/2. The population transfer into $A_5$ and $A_6$ for $f_4$ pumping (or into $A_1$ to $A_4$ for $f_2$ pumping) occurs as follows: excitation

![FIG. 2: Computed absorption spectra for $\sigma^-$ (thick line) and $\sigma^+$ (thin line) light at 1.5 T. $f_4$ and $f_2$ are the two optical pumping lines used in our experiments. The circled peaks on the left (respectively on the right) correspond to the probe lines used when the pump laser is tuned on $f_4$ (respectively on $f_2$). Spectral line positions are defined as in reference [15].](image)

![FIG. 3: Energies and magnetic quantum numbers $m_F$ of the helium-3 sublevels at 1.5 T for the $2^3S$ ($E_S$) and $2^3P$ ($E_P$) states. The upper (lower) scheme corresponds to the $f_4$ ($f_2$) optical pumping configuration. The $\sigma^-$ pumping transitions (solid lines) are displayed. The populations of the sublevels $A_5$ and $A_6$ ($A_1$ and $A_2$) not addressed by the $f_4$ ($f_2$) optical pumping transition are measured using the $\sigma^-$ ($\sigma^+$) probe transitions (dashed lines). Level names $A_1$ to $A_6$ and energy zeroes are defined as in reference [15].](image)
by laser absorption, collisional redistribution in the $2^3P$ state, and spontaneous emission.

C. Optical measurement of nuclear polarization

The optical detection method used in our experiments is based on absorption measurements using a weak probe beam. This absorption technique does not need any calibration and can be used at arbitrary magnetic field, and pressure [15]. It relies on the fact that in the absence of optical pumping, metastability exchange collisions impose a spin temperature distribution for the metastable populations $a_{mF} \propto e^{\beta mF}$, where $1/\beta$ is the spin temperature in the $2^3S$ state related to the nuclear polarization $M$ in the ground state $M = (e^\beta - 1)/(e^\beta + 1)$.

In practice, the probe laser frequency is swept over two lines. Peaks amplitudes are precisely measured by a fit to a Voigt absorption profile. The population ratio of the two hyperfine sublevels addressed by the probe lines is then found using the field-dependent computed transition probabilities, and is used to calculate the spin temperature. Hence, the ground-state nuclear polarization $M$ is inferred [15].

Polarization build-up is monitored in the presence of the optical pumping beam. Therefore, the probe absorption measurements must involve metastable sublevels not addressed by the optical pumping laser. In our configuration (see Fig.3), the populations of sublevels $A_5$ and $A_6$ (respectively $A_1$ and $A_2$) are measured for $f_4$ (respectively $f_2$) pumping. Fig.4 shows typical absorption spectra recorded in the absence and in the presence of the pump laser. In the absence of the pump laser (solid line), the spectrum is accurately fit by the spectrum computed assuming a spin temperature distribution (residue plot in Fig.4b). The presence of the pump strongly affects the population distribution, with efficient population transfer from the pumped levels to $A_5$ and $A_6$ and modifies the absorption profile (dashed line). However the ratio of populations in sublevels $A_5$ and $A_6$ remains unaffected, and an absorption measurement still accurately provides the correct value for $M$.

An example of dynamical measurement of polarization build-up and decay is shown in Fig.5 for the 8 mbar cell. Several scans of the probe laser are recorded successively, and the value of the nuclear polarization $M$ is inferred as a function of time. The gas is initially polarized to the equilibrium positive value of $M$ achieved with $f_4$ pumping. At time $t = 0$, the pump laser is turned on the $f_2$ line. When the new steady-state polarization $M_{eq}$ is reached, the pump laser is turned off and polarization decays by discharge-induced relaxation.

III. RESULTS

Several experimental parameters influence the performances of optical pumping: the cell geometry, the gas pressure, the discharge conditions (voltage, electrodes configuration) which impact on $T_1$ and $n_m$, the optical pumping transition, and the pump laser power. A sys-

![FIG. 4: a: Absorption signals recorded with pump laser on (dashed line) and off (solid line). They are performed in the 8 mbar cell with 0.25 W pump laser power for $f_4$ pumping after reaching $M_{eq} = 0.43$. The circled peaks involving sublevels $A_5$ and $A_6$, not addressed by the pump laser, are used to compute $M$. b: The lower graph is a residue plot showing the difference between the solid line data in Fig.4a and a computed spin temperature distribution spectrum.

![FIG. 5: Example of dynamic monitoring of the nuclear polarization $M$ in the 8 mbar cell. Starting from a gas prepared with $M > 0$ at time $t = 0$, the pump laser is tuned on the $f_2$ line with 0.5 W power. After reaching the steady-state nuclear polarization $M_{eq} = -0.75$, the pump laser is turned off at $t = 1300$ s, and the discharge-induced decay of the polarization is observed.](image-url)
We have compared the performances of two different optical pumping lines at 1.5 T in helium-3 gas, at high pressures (up to 67 mbar). The strongest line of the $\sigma^-$ absorption spectrum ($f_4$) yields the highest magnetization production rates. However, the highest steady-state nuclear polarizations (up to $M_{eq} = -0.75$ at 8 mbar) are achieved using a weaker line with higher photon efficiency. $f_2$ pumping, requiring fewer absorbed photons to achieve the same production rate, is thus advantageous when long, optically thick pumping cells are used.

IV. DISCUSSION

Given the structure of sublevels and optical transitions, one could expect that the two most intense $\sigma^+$ lines would be just as efficient as the corresponding $\sigma^-$ lines. Similar optical pumping performances have indeed been obtained, although no systematic comparison has been carried out.

An analysis of all optical pumping data collected at 1.5 T is under way using a detailed model of metastability exchange optical pumping in high field conditions, and will be the subject of a forthcoming paper.