Occurrence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria on hydroponically grown butterhead lettuce (Lactuca sativa var. capitata)
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Abstract
Antibiotics used during production of food crops to control plant diseases may result in selection of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and occurrence of antibiotic residues. The aim of this research was to evaluate the effect of antibiotics used in butterhead lettuce production on persistence of commensal microbiota. Butterhead lettuce were treated with antibiotics (oxytetracycline, gentamicin, and streptomycin) at different concentrations (100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 ppm) starting at 5 weeks' growth by spraying once daily for 4 weeks and harvesting 7 days after the final spray application. The population of total aerobic bacteria and antibiotic-resistant bacteria were determined. The results showed antibiotic usage significantly decreased bacterial populations on lettuce. Moreover, increased concentration of antibiotics resulted in significantly greater decrease in bacterial populations. At a concentration of 500 ppm, all antibiotics achieved an approximate 2 log CFU/g decrease in bacterial populations. A stable population (4 log CFU/g) of potentially antibiotic-resistant commensal microbiota were maintained throughout production. Screening for level of susceptibility indicated that bacteria exhibited greater resistance to oxytetracycline than gentamicin. In conclusion, application of antibiotics failed to eliminate commensal microbiota, demonstrating large populations of antibiotic-resistant bacteria reside on lettuce grown under conditions used in the present study. This is the first study focused on antibiotic usage on hydroponic systems. Results of this study suggest regulations directed at antibiotic use on food crops must be developed and implemented to control the selection and spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria that present a global health concern.
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INTRODUCTION

Agricultural practices in Thailand have shifted dramatically in recent years from traditional to modern monoculture that depends on the use of fertilizers and other agricultural chemicals for increased production. The over-use of these compounds has had a negative impact on the environment and created health concerns for farmers using those agents (Chaudhary, 2016; Nisha, 2008). In addition to the use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides, farmers are now treating crops with antibiotics to control plant pathogens and increase yields.

The over-use of antibiotics for the control of plant diseases may result in increased population of antibiotic-resistant bacteria on crops, which may spread throughout the food chain. The use of antibiotics for control of bacteria on crops may result in antibiotic residues accumulating in the edible portion of crops, agricultural waters, soil, and workers. Large quantities of antibiotics are used annually in livestock production and agriculture operations throughout the world; the eventual fate of antibiotic residues and potential damage to the environment are now being seriously questioned (Blair et al., 2015; Chaudhary, 2016; Nisha, 2008). The use of antibiotics in production agriculture may adversely impact human health through ingestion of antibiotic residues on edible crops (e.g., lettuce, cabbage). Negative pathological effects include but are not limited to impaired immune response, mutagenicity, reproductive disorders, bone marrow toxicity, and allergy (Nisha, 2008). Ingestion of antibiotic residues may facilitate selection of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, the emergence, dissemination, and persistence of which represents a major public health concern (Lemus et al., 2008). The indirect impact on human health may include exposure to antibiotic-resistant bacteria and the altering of normal protective intestinal microbiota. The number and types (genus/species) of antibiotic-resistant bacteria now detected in patients have increased dramatically. According to the U.S. CDC (2017), antibiotic-resistant bacteria cause at least 2 million infections, 23,000 deaths per year, and $55–70 billion in economic loss per year. In Europe, approximately 25,000 people die annually as the result of multi-drug-resistant bacterial infections coupled with a 1.5 billion Euro per year cost to the economy. Antibiotic-resistant bacteria on food crops include phytopathogens, and spoilage and foodborne bacteria.

In Thailand, farmers regularly use antibiotics to reduce plant disease and increase crop yield (Ministry of public health Thailand, 2005). As a result, many of the crops in Thailand are contaminated with antibiotic residues. Butterhead lettuce (Lactuca sativa var. capitata) is normally consumed raw in salads. Disease(s) of butterhead lettuce can be caused by viruses including lettuce mosaic virus, fungus such as downy mildew, and bacteria including Xanthomonas campestris and Erwinia carotovora, which cause black rot or soft rot disease.

Butterhead lettuce in Thailand may be grown indoor, semi-indoor, or outdoor using hydroponics. Generally, for disease control in hydroponic crops biocontrol agents such as Trichoderma are used, but often the causative disease agent is resistant to such treatments (Khalil et al., 2009; Lee & Lee, 2015). The use of antibiotics was viewed as an alternative approach to control plant diseases and replacement of Trichoderma treatment. The antibiotics used in this study were gentamicin, oxytetracycline, and streptomycin, which are the antibiotics of choice used by producers in Thailand. According to the regulatory agencies in the USA and Britain, certain antibiotics (streptomycin, oxytetracycline) are permissible for use on production crops including oranges, stone tree fruit, and pome fruit (McManus et al. 2002, British Crop Production Council, 2019). Gentamicin is used in the treatment of animal and human diseases but has been used in crop production to control plant diseases (Vidaver, 2002). This is particularly true in Brazil, Korea, and China for the control of citrus greening disease or huanglongbing and lettuce diseases (Qiao et al., 2018; Vidaver, 2002). Han et al. (2018) reported the highest prevalence of toxigenic C. difficile in US retail lettuce. The antibiotic resistance to metronidazole, vancomycin, and erythromycin of the isolated C. difficile from retail lettuces could lead to public health concerns.

Antibiotics are substances that inactivate or inhibit bacteria by damaging cell walls, resulting in decreased cytoplasmic synthesis or cell membrane function, or degrading nucleic acids and decreasing protein synthesis (Weledji et al., 2017). Plant diseases linked to phytopathogens can be controlled though the use of antibiotics (British Crop Production Council, 2019). Bacteria may possess intrinsic mechanisms that render resistance to antibiotics or acquire antibiotic-resistant genes that protect the organism from the action of an antibiotic. This is supported by the increase in antibiotic-resistant bacteria (WHO, 2013, Ministry of public health, Thailand, 2015, Sultana et al., 2014, Singenani & Younessi, 2017).

Thailand lacks appropriate regulations to control the use of antibiotics in agricultural crop production; antibiotics are considered a more cost-effective method than other chemical agents to control plant disease. Unregulated application of antibiotics may result in increased populations of antibiotic-resistant bacteria (phytopathogens, spoilage and pathogenic bacteria) and antibiotic residues on crops intended for human consumption creating a significant human health risk. The aim of this research was to evaluate the effect of antibiotic use in butterhead lettuce production on antibiotic-resistant bacterial populations associated with butterhead lettuce and antibiotic residues.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Lettuce planting and antibiotic treatment

Butterhead lettuce were grown hydroponically in open space systems in which mesh shading was used. This is typical for hydroponic systems in Thailand. The seedlings were transplanted to hydroponic flats in the spacing of 1.00 × 0.80 m comprising a plot of 25 lettuce heads. Five heads of Butterhead lettuce were treated with one of the predetermined concentrations (100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 ppm) of oxytetracycline (OTC), gentamicin (GEN), or streptomycin (STP) starting at 5 weeks of growth through week eight of growth. The
antibiotic concentration selected was based on feedback from growers. Antibiotics were applied using a handheld spray applicator. All control [0 ppm antibiotic] lettuce plants were separated from plants treated with antibiotic. The study was conducted twice over two planting seasons.

Control butterhead plants were treated with Trichoderma since during preliminary studies nontreated plants either died or were heavily damaged by infestation. Treating control plants with Trichoderma had no impact on the study since the goal of the study was to evaluate antibiotic use on persistence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and antibiotic residues on butterhead lettuce. Each row of plants was separated using plastic screens to prevent cross-contamination. Plants were treated once daily (evening) for 4 weeks and then harvested 7 days later. Application of antibiotic was terminated at the end of week 8, and the lettuce was harvested by hand during week 9. Visual evaluation of the physical appearance of lettuce was performed during harvesting.

2.2 | Total aerobic bacterial count

Heads of butterhead lettuce were harvested, placed in a cooler, and transported back to the laboratory for processing. Samples were processed by placing 25 g of lettuce into 225 ml of 0.1% peptone water in sterile bags and homogenizing for 3 min using a paddle blender homogenizer. Serial (1:10) dilutions were prepared in 0.1% peptone and 100 µl aliquots spread- plated for determining total aerobic bacterial count (USDA APHIS, 2005).

2.3 | Antibiotic susceptibility testing

Bacterial colonies were randomly picked from agar plates and evaluated for antibiotic susceptibility using the disk diffusion assay. Bacteria were incubated in tryptic soy broth (TSB) at 37°C for 24 hr, and turbidity was adjusted to a 1 McFarland standard. A swab was used to swab the surface of plate count agar (PCA), and then, selected antibiotic impregnated disks were placed on the agar surface. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hr. Susceptibility to oxytetracycline, streptomycin, and gentamicin was determined. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hr, and the antibiotic susceptibility results were recorded as susceptible (S), intermediate (I), or resistant (R) based on Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (CLSI, 2016).

2.4 | Bacteria identification by 16S rDNA sequencing

Isolated bacterial colonies were harvested and prepared for shipping to the Thailand Bioresource Research Center (TBRC) for identification using 16S rDNA sequencing. Direct sequencing of the single-banded and purified PCR products (ca. 1,500 bases, on 16S rDNA by the E. coli numbering system; Brosius et al., 1981) was carried out. Sequencing of the purified PCR products was performed on an ABI Prism® 3730XL DNA Sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA) by the sequencing service provider. The two primers 27F (5’-AGA GTT TGA TCM TGG CTC AG-3’) or 800R (5’-TAC GGY TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT T-3’) and 518F (5’-CCA GCA GCC GCG GTA ATA CG-3’) or 1492R (5’-TAC GGY TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT T-3’) (Brosius et al., 1981) for single strand 16S rDNA sequencing, and 4 primers of 27F, 518F, 800R, and 1492R for double strand 16S rDNA sequencing were used.

2.5 | Determination of antibiotic residue using HPLC

2.5.1 | Sample extraction

One gram (composite sample of edible portion of the plant) of freeze-dried butterhead lettuce was extracted with 30 ml mixed solution of acidified acetonitrile and acetone (v:v = 1:1) by vortex mixing (60 s each time) and ultrasonication (15 min each), followed by centrifugation in air-cooled conditions at 12,000 g for 15 min. The supernatant was extracted two additional times, combined, and evaporated using a rotary evaporator evaporated at 35°C until dry. A 1 ml volume of methanol was added to dilute the residue and passed through a 0.2-µm filter into a 2-ml amber glass vial, and stored at −18°C before analysis.

2.5.2 | High-performance liquid chromatography analysis

Antibiotic residues in the extracts were measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with an ultraviolet detector and quaternary gradient pump. Chromatographic separation was performed on the Thermo Scientific-C18 column (5 µm, 4.6 × 250 mm). For oxytetracycline, the mobile phase consisted of methanol (A) and 0.01 mol/L aqueous oxalic acid (B) with the following gradient conditions: 0–50 min, 50%–100%B; 50–60 min, and 100%-50%B. The temperature of the column was held on 25°C, the flow rate of the mobile phase was kept at 1.0 ml/min, and the injection volume of the sample was 20 µl. The detection wavelength was set at 360 nm (Xu et al., 2017). For gentamicin and streptomycin, the mobile phase was prepared by mixing methanol, water, and glacial acetic acid (70:25:5) with the isocratic condition. The temperature of the column was held on 25°C, the flow rate of the mobile phase was kept at 1.0 ml/min, and the injection volume of the sample was 20 µl. The detection wavelength was set at 280 nm (Chuong et al., 2013). The limit of detection (LOD) and relative standard deviation (RSD) were 5% and 0.5 ppm, respectively.

2.6 | Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed in triplicate. All data are subject to an analysis of variance (ANOVA) test (p ≤ .05) using SPSS for Windows Ver.16.0 (SPSS Inc., USA).
3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Total aerobic bacterial population

The initial total bacterial populations on butterhead lettuce were approximately 6 log CFU/g (Table 1). Following treatment of lettuce with various concentrations of each antibiotic, populations declined 2.0–2.5 log CFU/g depending on the concentration of applied antibiotic. Most samples were acceptable in terms of total bacterial count based on the Thai Department of Medical Science that permits total bacterial counts of less than 6 log CFU/g (DMSC, 2010). Results showed that the use of antibiotics when applied during production can significantly decrease the number of bacteria associated with butterhead lettuce. Increasing an antibiotic concentration from 100 to 500 ppm significantly decreased the number of bacteria associated with the lettuce. At 500 ppm, oxytetracycline, gentamicin, and streptomycin achieved the greatest log decrease in bacterial population of 1.94, 2.47, and 2.13 log CFU/g, respectively. In two instances, control samples had significantly higher bacterial populations than butterhead lettuce treated with low concentration of antibiotics. Although significant, differences are likely of little practical relevance.

3.2 | Bacteria identification

Isolated colonies were selected through plating samples on plate count agar (PCA) containing antibiotics (oxytetracycline, gentamicin, or streptomycin) at a concentration of 100 µg/ml. Bacterial colonies that grew on PCA containing antibiotics were selected and streaked onto PCA for isolation. An isolated colony was then selected and sent to the Thailand Bioresource Research Center (TBRC) for identification using 16S rDNA sequencing. Selected bacterial isolates were sent to the Thailand Bioresource Research Center (TBRC) for identification using 16S rDNA sequencing. Selected bacterial isolates were then subjected to antibiotic susceptibility testing (Tables 2–5).

Plating of isolates recovered from control samples onto PCA containing antibiotic resulted in recovery of bacteria including Acinetobacter, Bacillus, Cupriavidus, Delftia, Sphingomonas, and Pseudomonas. Sphingomonas sanguinis resides on the upper leaf surfaces (Kim et al., 1998) and can cause brown spots on yellow Spanish melon fruits (Buonario et al., 2002) and bacterial apical necrosis on mango (Liu et al., 2018).

Isolates recovered from lettuce treated with oxytetracycline included Acinetobacter baumannii, Bacillus, and Pseudomonas. Acinetobacter baumannii has been linked to respiratory infection, pneumonia, and urinary tract infections (Tiwari et al., 2015). The bacteria can be also found in untreated water (Dekic et al., 2018). Antibiotic-resistant bacteria recovered from lettuce treated with gentamicin included Delftia sp, Cupriavidus pauculus, Sphingobacterium changhhouense, and Ralstonia insidiosa. Delftia sp have been shown to be plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB). PGPB promote plant growth either by helping to provide nutrients to the host plant or by helping to resist infection by phytopathogens (Agafonova et al., 2017; Ubalde et al., 2012). Cupriavidus pauculus is an environmental bacterium, which may be found in soil, in water, or on plants (Duggal et al., 2013). Ralstonia insidiosa is a waterborne bacterium and an emerging pathogen in hospital settings (Ryan & Adley, 2013).

Acinetobacter, Cellulomonas sp, and Lysinibacillus fusiformis and Pseudomonas were isolated from butterhead lettuce treated with streptomycin. Cellulomonas sp and Lysinibacillus fusiformis may also function in growth promotion of plants (Egamberdiyeva & Höflich, 2002; Rafique et al., 2017; Sahu et al., 2018).

3.3 | Antibiotic susceptibility

Antibiotic susceptibility was determined for each isolate identified (Tables 2–5). Most isolates recovered from samples collected from control lettuce plants exhibited multiantibiotic resistance (Table 2). All isolates, Gram-negative and Gram-positive, exhibited resistance to gentamicin and streptomycin to at least 50 µg/ml of each antibiotic. Only Pseudomonas was resistant to the lowest concentration of oxytetracycline, the other isolates were susceptible or exhibited intermediate susceptibility.

Susceptibility to oxytetracycline of isolates recovered from butterhead lettuce treated with oxytetracycline is shown in Table 3. Results indicate that all isolates recovered from butterhead lettuce treated with oxytetracycline at concentrations of 100–300 ppm were resistant to oxytetracycline up to 100 µg/ml. Isolates were

### TABLE 1  Total aerobic bacterial count

| Antibiotic | Bacteria (log CFU/g) |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|---------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|            | Control | 100 ppm | 200 ppm | 300 ppm | 400 ppm | 500 ppm |
| OTC        | 6.12 ± 0.01<sup>A</sup> | 6.96 ± 0.04<sup>B,a</sup> | 5.94 ± 0.01<sup>C,b</sup> | 5.56 ± 0.01<sup>D,b</sup> | 5.41 ± 0.01<sup>E,a</sup> | 4.18 ± 0.01<sup>F,a</sup> |
| GEN        | 6.12 ± 0.01<sup>A</sup> | 5.80 ± 0.03<sup>B,c</sup> | 4.31 ± 0.00<sup>C,c</sup> | 4.01 ± 0.01<sup>D,c</sup> | 3.97 ± 0.01<sup>E,c</sup> | 3.65 ± 0.05<sup>E,c</sup> |
| STP        | 6.12 ± 0.01<sup>A</sup> | 6.36 ± 0.04<sup>B,a</sup> | 6.26 ± 0.01<sup>B,a</sup> | 6.25 ± 0.00<sup>B,a</sup> | 5.19 ± 0.01<sup>B,b</sup> | 3.99 ± 0.01<sup>E,b</sup> |

Note: Abbreviations: GEN, gentamicin; OTC, oxytetracycline; STP, streptomycin.

Different letters (A, B, C, D, E, F) in the same row mean that the results are significantly different at p ≤ .05 by Duncan’s multiple-range test. ns means no significant difference at p > .05.

Different letters (a,b,c) in the same columns mean that the results are significantly different at p ≤ .05 by Duncan’s multiple-range test. ns means no significant difference at p > .05.
sustainable at 400 and 500 ppm oxytetracycline. Antibiotic susceptibility of isolates recovered from lettuce treated with gentamicin or streptomycin exhibited resistance to 200 µg/ml of each antibiotic except for a single Delftia isolate and Pseudomonas isolate (Tables 4 and 5).

3.4 | Antibiotic residue

Antibiotic residue associated with butterhead lettuce treated with antibiotics is shown in Table 6. Two plantings of lettuce were grown: Planting 1 was planted in winter (December–January) while Planting 2 was planted in summer (April–May). Butterhead lettuce from Planting 1 treated with oxytetracycline at concentrations 400–500 ppm had residue at 2.24 and 2.71 ppm, respectively. All remaining samples from Planting 1 and Planting 2 antibiotic residues were not detected.

4 | DISCUSSION

The practice of applying antibiotics directly to crops intended for human consumption creates risks associated with antibiotic residues, maintenance of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, and selection of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Fresh vegetables that are often consumed raw may harbor high populations of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, serving as a reservoir of resistance genes that can potentially be transferred to bacteria of animal, human, or environmental origin (Falomir et al., 2013). Many people consume fresh vegetables such as spinach and lettuce without applying a process (e.g., cooking) to inactivate bacteria before consumption; this may facilitate the transfer of antibiotic-resistant gene(s) in the human gastrointestinal tract creating a threat to human health (Schjorring & krogfelt, 2011). The literature is rich with papers on the prevalence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria associated with fresh produce, but not the ramifications of direct application of antibiotics to food crops such as leafy greens and persistence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria (Ghafura et al., 2019; Luo et al., 2017).

In the present study, butterhead lettuce was treated with antibiotics and the presence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and antibiotic residues determined on mature lettuce heads typically harvested for human consumption. Antibiotic residues were detected in association with a limited number of lettuce samples. Antibiotic-resistant bacteria were isolated from control (no antibiotic exposure) and treated (oxytetracycline, gentamicin, or streptomycin) lettuce samples. These results are not surprising since

| Bacteria isolate | Antibiotic | Susceptibility |
|------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Pseudomonas spp  | OTC       | R | I | S | S |
|                  | GEN       | R | R | R | R |
|                  | STP       | R | R | I | I |
| Bacillus spp     | OTC       | R | S | S | S |
|                  | GEN       | R | R | R | R |
|                  | STP       | R | I | I | I |
| Sphingomonas sanguinis | OTC | I | S | S | S |
|                  | GEN       | R | R | R | R |
|                  | STP       | R | R | R | I |
| Delftia sp       | OTC       | I | S | S | S |
|                  | GEN       | R | R | R | R |
|                  | STP       | R | R | R | R |
| Cupriavidus pauculus | OTC  | S | S | S | S |
|                  | GEN       | R | R | R | R |
|                  | STP       | R | R | R | R |
| Acinetobacter baumannii | OTC | I | I | S | S |
|                  | GEN       | R | R | R | R |
|                  | STP       | R | R | R | R |
| Sphingomonas sanguinis | OTC | R | R | R | S |
|                  | GEN       | R | R | R | R |
|                  | STP       | R | R | R | R |

Abbreviations: GEN, gentamicin; I, intermediate; OTC, oxytetracycline; R, resistant; S, susceptible; STP, streptomycin.
### TABLE 3  Antibiotic susceptibility of bacteria from butterhead lettuce treated with oxytetracycline

| Concentration of antibiotic applied to lettuce | Bacterial isolate                | Susceptibility |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 100 ppm                                        | Acinetobacter baumannii         | R R R R        |
|                                                | Bacillus spp                    | R R R R        |
| 200 ppm                                        | Pseudomonas spp                 | R R I I        |
|                                                | Bacillus spp                    | R R R R        |
|                                                | Acinetobacter baumannii         | R R R R        |
| 300 ppm                                        | Acinetobacter baumannii         | R R R R        |
|                                                | Bacillus spp                    | R R R R        |
| 400 ppm                                        | Acinetobacter baumannii         | R S S S        |
|                                                | Bacillus spp                    | R I S S        |
|                                                | Pseudomonas spp                 | I S S S        |
| 500 ppm                                        | Acinetobacter baumannii         | R S I I        |
|                                                | Bacillus spp                    | I S S S        |
|                                                | Pseudomonas spp                 | S S S S        |

Abbreviations: GEN, gentamicin; I, intermediate; OTC, oxytetracycline; R, resistant; S, susceptible; STP, streptomycin.

### TABLE 4  Antibiotic susceptibility of bacteria from butterhead lettuce treated with gentamicin

| Concentration of antibiotic applied to lettuce | Bacterial isolate                | Susceptibility |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 100 ppm                                        | Delftia sp                      | R R R R        |
|                                                | Cupriavidus pauculus            | R R R R        |
|                                                | Sphingobacterium changzhouense  | R R R R        |
| 200 ppm                                        | Delftia sp                      | R R R R        |
|                                                | Cupriavidus pauculus            | R R R R        |
|                                                | Sphingobacterium changzhouense  | R R R R        |
|                                                | Ralstonia insidiosa             | R R R R        |
| 300 ppm                                        | Delftia sp                      | R R R R        |
|                                                | Cupriavidus pauculus            | R R R R        |
|                                                | Sphingobacterium changzhouense  | R R R R        |
| 400 ppm                                        | Delftia sp                      | R R R R        |
|                                                | Cupriavidus pauculus            | R R R R        |
|                                                | Sphingobacterium changzhouense  | R R R R        |
| 500 ppm                                        | Delftia sp                      | R R I I        |
|                                                | Cupriavidus pauculus            | R R R R        |
|                                                | Sphingobacterium changzhouense  | R R R R        |
|                                                | Ralstonia insidiosa             | R R R R        |

Abbreviations: GEN, gentamicin; I, intermediate; OTC, oxytetracycline; R, resistant; S, susceptible; STP, streptomycin.
Antibiotic-resistant bacteria have been isolated from irrigation water, soil, and soil amendments (Lupo et al., 2012; Wellington et al., 2013). All isolates identified from control plants were resistant to the highest level (200 µg/ml) of gentamicin tested. The research demonstrates the persistence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in covered hydroponic growth environments and on lettuce grown under those conditions. The bacteria identified are not foodborne pathogens but may facilitate the spread of antimicrobial-resistant genes. The prudent use of antibiotics in agricultural crop production and appropriate regulations and implementation of those regulations are required to mitigate the spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to the production environments, soil, water, and importantly humans through crops that are typically consumed raw.

The antibiotics selected for use in the present study have the same mechanism of action. They work by inhibiting protein synthesis by binding the 30S ribosome subunit. Gentamicin and streptomycin are aminoglycoside antibiotics and effective against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. Ultimately, the antibiotics act by inhibiting the ability of the bacterium to maintain a cell wall. Oxytetracycline is a broad-spectrum antibiotic active against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Davies & Davies, 2010; Kapoor et al., 2017). Streptomycin was the first antibiotic used effectively in agricultural production. The use of streptomycin is

### TABLE 5 Antibiotic susceptibility of bacteria from butterhead lettuce treated with streptomycin

| Concentration of antibiotic applied to lettuce | Bacterial Isolate          | Susceptibility |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
|                  |                            | 50 (µg/mL) | 100 (µg/ml) | 150 (µg/mL) | 200 (µg/ml) |
| 100               | Pseudomonas spp            | R          | R          | R          | R          |
|                   | Cellulomonas sp            | R          | R          | R          | R          |
|                   | Lysinibacillus fusiformis  | R          | R          | R          | R          |
|                   | Acinetobacter baumannii    | R          | R          | R          | R          |
| 200               | Pseudomonas spp            | R          | R          | R          | R          |
|                   | Cellulomonas sp            | R          | R          | R          | R          |
|                   | Lysinibacillus fusiformis  | R          | R          | R          | R          |
| 300               | Cellulomonas sp            | R          | R          | R          | R          |
|                   | Lysinibacillus fusiformis  | R          | R          | R          | R          |
|                   | Acinetobacter baumannii    | R          | R          | R          | R          |
| 400               | Pseudomonas spp            | R          | R          | R          | R          |
|                   | Cellulomonas sp            | R          | R          | R          | R          |
|                   | Lysinibacillus fusiformis  | R          | R          | R          | R          |
|                   | Acinetobacter baumannii    | R          | R          | R          | R          |
| 500               | Pseudomonas spp            | R          | R          | I          | I          |
|                   | Cellulomonas sp            | R          | R          | R          | R          |
|                   | Lysinibacillus fusiformis  | R          | R          | R          | R          |
|                   | Acinetobacter baumannii    | R          | R          | R          | R          |

Abbreviations: GEN, gentamicin; I, intermediate; OTC, oxytetracycline; R, resistant; S, susceptible; STP, streptomycin.

### TABLE 6 Antibiotic residue associated with treated butterhead lettuce

| Concentration of antibiotic applied to lettuce | Oxytetracycline | Gentamicin | Streptomycin |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|
|                                                 | Planting 1      | Planting 2 | Planting 1   | Planting 2 | Planting 1 | Planting 2 |
| Control                                         | ND              | ND         | ND           | ND         | ND         | ND         |
| 100 ppm                                         | ND              | ND         | ND           | ND         | ND         | ND         |
| 200 ppm                                         | ND              | ND         | ND           | ND         | ND         | ND         |
| 300 ppm                                         | ND              | ND         | ND           | ND         | ND         | ND         |
| 400 ppm                                         | 2.24 ± 0.05     | ND         | ND           | ND         | ND         | ND         |
| 500 ppm                                         | 2.71 ± 0.02     | ND         | ND           | ND         | ND         | ND         |

Abbreviation: ND, not detected.
limited since issues have been raised surrounding toxicity and antibiotic resistance. Unfortunately, this has resulted in the use of various other antibiotics, which exasperates the selection of multi-antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Most growers in Thailand use oxytetracycline and gentamicin rather than streptomycin or a biocontrol agent such as Trichoderma.

Microorganisms can be intrinsically resistant to certain antibiotics because of inherent structural or functional characteristics or acquire resistance (Blair et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2015). Microorganisms acquire resistance gene(s) from other bacteria by mobile plasmids or transposons through horizontal gene transfer (Chellat et al., 2016). This is the most common means by which plant-associated bacteria have developed antibiotic resistance (Sundin & Wang, 2018). For example, the major mechanisms P. aeruginosa use to counter antibiotic attack can be classified into intrinsic, acquired, and adaptive resistance. The intrinsic resistance of P. aeruginosa includes low outer membrane permeability, expression of efflux pumps that expel antibiotics out of the cell, and the production of antibiotic-inactivating enzymes (Pachori et al., 2019).

4.1 | Effect of antibiotic application on total aerobic plate count

Lettuce treated with even the highest level (500 ppm) of each antibiotic maintained bacterial populations of approximately 4 log CFU/g (Table 1). Gentamicin had the greatest effect in decreasing microbial populations on butterhead lettuce. These results suggest that natural microflora found on lettuce grown under conditions used in the present study are multi-antibiotic-resistant. The microbiota of lettuce in the present study may have come from multiple sources including equipment, water, workers, and the surrounding environment. The hydroponic operations used in the present study are open to the environment with typically only a canopy to mitigate direct exposure of crops to the sun. In Thailand, farmers may use Trichoderma as a biocontrol agent to control plant diseases (Harman, 2006). Trichoderma acts to control deleterious plant diseases and aids in promoting plant growth and plant defensive mechanisms (Qualhato et al., 2013). Regardless, many farmers believe antibiotics provide better outcomes with respect to minimizing crop loss.

Bacillus spp. and Pseudomonas spp. are often part of the normal commensal microbiota of plants (Khalil et al., 2009). They are associated with enhanced plant growth and disease prevention through control of phytopathogens (Lee & Lee, 2015). Nonpathogenic Bacillus spp. and Pseudomonas spp. are used as biocontrol agents in hydroponic operations (Compant et al., 2005). However, their capacity to prevent disease is minimal compared with application of antibiotics.

4.2 | Bacteria recovered and antibiotic susceptibility

In this study, bacterial isolates recovered were resistant to the antibiotics applied to butterhead lettuce (Li & Webster, 2018). The bacteria ranged from Acinetobacter to Bacillus to Pseudomonas to Sphingobacterium, and are considered spoilage or normal commensal microbiota of plants. Not surprisingly, antibiotic-resistant bacteria were recovered from control plants (Table 2). One strain of S. sanguinis was resistant to all concentrations of each antibiotic except 200 µg/ml oxytetracycline.

Results from total aerobic count and identified species of bacteria demonstrate high populations of antibiotic-resistant bacteria reside on lettuce cultivated under conditions used in the present study. Potentially, approximately 4 log CFU/g bacterial populations on butterhead lettuce are resistant to exposure to 500 ppm oxytetracycline, gentamicin, or streptomycin (Table 1). Individual strains recovered from plants exposed to even the highest level (500 ppm) of an antibiotic typically exhibited resistance to 200 µg/ml of that same antibiotic. The exception was for isolates recovered from lettuce treated with 400 ppm and 500 ppm oxytetracycline.

All selected bacterial isolates identified from control plants exhibited resistance to 200 µg/ml gentamicin (Table 2). All but one of the isolates recovered from plants treated with gentamicin exhibited resistance to the antibiotic. All isolates recovered from lettuce treated with streptomycin were resistant to streptomycin (Table 5), although not all isolates recovered from control plants were resistant to streptomycin. These results suggest that exposure of lettuce commensal bacteria to antibiotics may lead to selection of strains resistant to the applied antibiotic. Although the bacteria recovered in the present study were not foodborne pathogens, they still enter the food chain and may contribute to the spread of antimicrobial resistance.

The spread of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria through food represents a significant human health concern even when the bacteria are not considered as foodborne pathogens. Commensal microbiota may exchange and spread genes that encode for antimicrobial resistance to spoilage bacteria and foodborne pathogens. The transfer of the extended-spectrum β-lactamase-encoding gene (blaSHV18) among Klebsiella pneumoniae recovered from seed sprouts was investigated (Jung & Matthews, 2016). The study demonstrated that transconjugants carried the blaSHV18 gene and cotransfer of a class 1 integrase gene and resistance to tetracycline, trimethoprim/sulfa- methoxazole occurred during mating. Others have demonstrated that streptogramin-resistant enterococci are present in deli salads (Christensen et al., 2008). Subsequent research demonstrated that native salad vancomycin-sensitive Enterococcus disseminated vanA to streptogramin-resistant enterococci of food and animal origin (Christensen & Matthews, 2011). Conditions (food matrix, temperature) that bacteria may exchange genes encoding for antibiotic resistance likely vary substantially.

4.3 | Effect of antibiotic application on antibiotic residues

Antibiotic residues on crops may have a direct negative impact on human health through altering of normal gut protective flora.
(Lemus et al., 2008). According to McManus et al. (2002), the concentration of antibiotics commonly used in crop production is 50–300 ppm. The physical and chemical properties of each antibiotic used in the present study may have influenced lettuce plant uptake. Gentamicin is highly water-soluble; 100,000 mg gentamicin can be dissolved in a liter of water. Streptomycin is highly water-soluble (12,800 mg/L) with a low Kd value (Distribution coefficient) of 8–290 L/kg, properties that enhance mobility and solubility in water. While oxytetracycline has lower water solubility (1,000 mg/L) and a higher Kd value (417–1,026 L/kg), its mobility and solubility is less than gentamicin (Cyczon et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2005). Mode of application (spray, drip line, furrow) of antibiotics to crops may aid in the contamination of agricultural waters.

In this study, farmers used sprinklers to treat plants with antibiotics and to reduce temperature and transpiration of lettuce plants. Antibiotic residues were only detected in two of the samples evaluated in the present study (Table 6). Biodegradation of antibiotics is influenced by temperature (typical winter and summer growing season temperature in Thailand is 32°C) and accelerated by photosynthesis. The authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Health.
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