Dielectric D0-Branes in Near-Horizon D-Brane Backgrounds and D-Strings under Electric RR Fluxes
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Abstract

Using the nonabelian Dirac-Born-Infeld action with the Wess-Zumino term that is constructed in consistent with T duality we examine the Myers dielectric effect for multiple D0-branes in the near-horizon geometry of D4-branes. The effect in the curved spacetime is also confirmed by the dual formulation based on the abelian Dirac-Born-Infeld action of a D2-brane. Putting a system of multiple D-strings in the external electric RR five-form flux, we construct a noncommutative non-BPS solution where the D-strings expand into a spherical D3-brane. We discuss the external field dependence of the funnel-like or wormhole solution.
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1 Introduction

Recently there have been interesting observations in string theory that the objects that are naively point-like become the expanded branes under certain background fluxes. A system of the multiple D0-branes in the electric RR four-form field strength can develop a dipole moment under the corresponding three-form potential \[1, 2\]. Its lowest energy configuration is described by a noncommutative two-sphere that is regarded as a single spherical D2-brane. This Myers dielectric effect has been demonstrated in the flat spacetime by analyzing the nonabelian Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action with the Wess-Zumino term that is constructed by means of T duality. On the other hand the behaviors of a graviton propagating in the spherical part of product space \(AdS_m \times S^n\) have been studied \[3\]. The graviton that is point-like at low angular momentum blows up into the expanded brane state for large angular momentum. The size of giant graviton increases with increasing angular momentum till it becomes the maximal size specified by the radius of the surrounding sphere, which provides the maximal bound of angular momentum.

The magnetic analogue of the Myers dielectric effect has been studied and related with the giant graviton \[4\]. When a Dp-brane in the near-horizon geometry produced by the multiple D(6 − p)-branes is placed in a magnetic RR \((p + 2)\)-form field strength, it expands into a D\((p + 2)\)-brane which behaves like a massless particle with a bounded angular momentum. There have been several studies about the Myers dielectric effect \[5, 6, 7, 8\]. In the type IIB string theory the polarization of D3-branes placed in the electric seven-form field strength has been investigated from the view point of dual description where the abelian DBI action of a five-brane in the \(AdS_5 \times S^5\) curved spacetime has been analyzed \[5\]. The extensions to other noncommutative fuzzy manifolds such as \(S^2 \times S^2, CP^2\) have been performed \[6\]. For a system of multiple D-instantons in the presence of electric RR five-form field strength in the flat spacetime a stable noncommutative solution has been found \[7\].

Based on the nonabelian DBI theory in consistent with T duality the noncommutative solutions have been constructed in a system of \(N\) coincident D-strings in the flat spacetime as well as the D3-brane background \[8\]. The BPS solutions describe the D-strings expanding out in a funnel-like geometry to become a spherical D3-brane. This expanding behavior is distinct from the Myers dielectric effect since it is observed although the external electric RR flux is absent. It occurs owing to the nonabelian nature of the world-volume theory.

For the well understood abelian DBI theory of a D2-brane with a world-volume electric flux that is placed in the background of the electric RR four-form field strength, the interesting static solutions have been found where the fundamental strings can be viewed as D2-branes whose world-volumes have collapsed to string-like configurations \[9\]. Further for this system the other type of finite-energy solution has been presented \[10\].

Working on the nonabelian DBI theory proposed in Ref. \[1\] we will construct a noncommutative solution for a system of multiple D0-branes in the near-horizon geometry of D4-branes, which is placed in the constant electric RR four-form flux. In the dual description based on the abelian DBI theory of a single D2-brane in the same D4-brane background under the electric RR flux the corresponding solution will be analyzed. Through the two approaches we will examine the dielectric effect in the curved spacetime. We will study the same type of nonabelian DBI theory for a system of multiple D-strings in the flat space-
time. When the constant electric RR five-form flux is turned on we will demonstrated how a noncommutative solution appears. This solution will be compared with the BPS funnel-like solution in the absence of external electric RR flux.

2 Dielectric effects in the near-horizon geometries

The nonabelian DBI action describing \( N \) coincident \( D_p \)-branes in consistent with T duality is given by

\[
S_{\text{DBI}} = -T_p \int d^{p+1} \sigma \text{Str}(e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-\det(E_{\mu\nu} + E_{ai}(Q^{-1} - \delta)ij E_{jb}) + \lambda E_{ab}) \det(Q^i)})
\]

with \( E_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu} \) and \( Q^i \equiv \delta^i_j + i\lambda[\Phi^i, \Phi^k]E_{kj} \) where the symmetrized trace prescription is used and \( T_p \) is the tension of \( D_p \)-branes [1]. The world-volume scalars \( \Phi^i, i = p + 1, \cdots, 9 \) are \( N \times N \) matrices in the adjoint representation of the \( U(N) \) world-volume gauge symmetry. The pull-back of the bulk spacetime tensors to the \( D_p \)-brane world-volume is expressed in terms of the covariant derivatives of the nonabelian scalars as

\[
P[E_{ab}] = E_{ab} + \lambda E_{ai}D_b \Phi^i + \lambda E_{ib}D_a \Phi^i + \lambda^2 E_{ij}D_a \Phi^i D_b \Phi^j,
\]

where \( a = 0, 1, \cdots, p \) and we have chosen a static gauge and the transverse displacements are given by \( X^i = \lambda \Phi^i \) with \( \lambda = 2\pi l_s^2 \). The Wess-Zumino action is described by

\[
S_{\text{WZ}} = T_p \int \text{Str}(P[e^{i\lambda_i\Phi^i} \sum C^{(n)}(e^B)]e^{\lambda F}),
\]

where \( i_\Phi \) denotes the interior product by \( \Phi^i \) regarded as a vector in the transverse space, acting on the \( n \)-form RR potential \( C^{(n)} \). The interaction terms in the Wess-Zumino action show that the \( N \) \( D_p \)-branes couple to RR potentials of degree \( p + 3 \) and above, besides having the well understood couplings to RR potentials of degree equal to and smaller than dimensions of the world-volume.

We consider the \( N \) coincident D0-branes sitting in the background of the near-horizon geometry of \( N_4 \) D4-branes in the type IIA string theory. Turning on a constant electric RR four-form field strength associated with D2-brane charge we examine the dielectric effect for the D0-branes. The metric and dilaton for this background are given by

\[
ds^2 = H^{-1/2}(-dt^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{4}(dX^n)^2) + H^{1/2} \sum_{i=5}^{9}(dX^i)^2,
\]

\[
e^{\phi} = H^{-1/4},
\]

where \( H = (L/r)^3 \) and \( L^3 = \pi g_s l_s^3 N_4 \). Through the parametrization

\[
(X^5, X^6) = r \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}(\cos \phi, \sin \phi),
\]

\[
(X^7, X^8, X^9) = r \rho (\cos \theta, \sin \theta \sin \psi, \sin \theta \cos \psi)
\]
the metric reduces to
\[ ds^2 = H^{-1/2}(-dt^2 + (dX^i)^2) + H^{1/2}(dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{1 - \rho^2}d\rho^2 + r^2(1 - \rho^2)d\phi^2) + r^2\rho^2d\theta^2 + r^2\rho^2\sin^2\theta d\psi^2. \] (6)

We take the transverse electric RR four-form field strength to be
\[ F_{t,i,6+; j,6+k}^{(4)} = -2f\epsilon_{ijk}, \text{ for } i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\} \] (7)
with a constant $f$ and vanish for otherwise so that the transverse scalars $\Phi^{6+i} = X^{6+i}/\lambda, i = 1, 2, 3$ are relevant. Though we intend to study the static solution for the D0-branes we leave $\phi$ arbitrary time-dependent to construct the Hamiltonian of this system later. We construct the static solution where $X^5, X^6$ are commutative variables and $X^{6+i} (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are non-commutative ones so that $r, \rho, \phi$ are regarded to be proportional to the $N \times N$ identity matrix $I_N$. Since $[\Phi^5, \Phi^6] = 0$ and $P[G_{00} + G_{0i}(Q^{-1} - \delta)^{ij}G_{j0}] = G_{00} + \lambda^2\partial_\phi\Phi^iG_{ij}(Q^{-1})^{jk}G_{k\partial_0}\Phi^i$, the DBI action (1) for the D0-branes reads
\[ S_{DBI} = -T_0 \int dt\text{Str}(e^{-\phi}\sqrt{(H^{-1/2} - H^{1/2}r^2(1 - \rho^2)\frac{\dot{\phi}}{(1 - \rho^2)})(1 - \frac{\lambda^2H}{2}[\Phi^i, \Phi^j]^2)}), \] (8)
where $\Phi^{6+i}$ have been replaced by $\Phi^i$ for convenience of notation. The Wess-Zumino action (3) produces an interaction $i(\lambda^2T_0/3) \int dt\text{Tr}(\Phi^i\Phi^j\Phi^k)F^{(4)}_{t,6+; i,6+; j,6+k}$. The equation of motion for $\Phi^i$ is obtained through
\[ \text{Str}\left(\left[H - \frac{[\Phi^j, [\Phi^j, \Phi^i]]}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\lambda^2H}{2}[\Phi^i, \Phi^j]}^2} + i\epsilon_{ijk}[\Phi^j, \Phi^k]\right]\delta\Phi^i\right) = 0. \] (9)
Substitution of the ansatz
\[ \Phi^i = R\alpha^i \] (10)
with $\alpha^i$ SU(2) generators in the irreducible $N \times N$ representation yields the solutions
\[ R^2 = \frac{\lambda^2H + \lambda^2CH^2}{2\lambda^2CHf^2} \] (11)
with the quadratic Casimir of this representation $C = N^2 - 1$. Due to the RR external flux the $N$ coincident D0-branes expand into the form of a noncommutative two-sphere whose size is measured by the physical radius $\tilde{R}$ which is defined by $\tilde{R}^2 = \lambda^2\sum_{i=1}^{3}\text{Tr}(\Phi^i)^2/N = \lambda^2CR^2$. Combining the solutions (11) with a constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{3}(X^i)^2 = r^2\rho^2I_N$ we see that $\rho$ is specified as
\[ \rho_\pm = \frac{\tilde{R}_\pm}{r} \] (12)
for the fixed $r$.

Alternatively substituting the ansatz (10) directly into the starting DBI action (8) with the Wess-Zumino term and replacing the $\alpha^i$ by $C1_N$ approximately we have the momentum $P_\phi$ conjugate to $\phi$ and construct the Hamiltonian of this system
\[ H_{D0} = H^{-1/4}\left(\frac{P_\phi^2}{H^{1/2}(1 - \rho)} + (T_0NH^{1/4})(1 + (2\lambda R^2)^2HC)^{1/2}\right) - \frac{4}{3} T_0\lambda^2NCR^3f. \] (13)
We can confirm that extremizing the Hamiltonian with respect to $R$ for the static case gives an equation of motion which determines the radius of the puffed two-sphere and reproduces the solutions (13). For the weak external field $R_\pm$ becomes $f/2H$ due to the near-horizon geometry $H \gg 1$. This $R_-$ solution, when $H$ is replaced by 1, agrees with the solution for the flat spacetime in Ref. [1]. Whether the $R_+$ solution exists or not will be argued later from the viewpoint of the dual description. The position dependent mass term in (13) for the $R_-$ solution is rewritten as

\[ \sqrt{g_{tt}}((NT_0 e^{-\varphi})^2 + (T_2 e^{-\varphi} S_A)^2)^{1/2}, \]  

(14)

where $\sqrt{g_{tt}}$ is the redshift factor and $S_A = H^{1/2} 4\pi \tilde{R}_\perp$ is the physical surface area of the puffed two-sphere. This expression implies that the $R_-$ solution gives the nonmarginal bound state consisting of $N$ D0-branes and a spherical D2-brane.

On the other hand there is a dual formulation of the same system. It is described by the abelian DBI action of a single D2-brane with $N$ units of $U(1)$ magnetic flux that represent $N$ D0-branes bound to the D2-brane in the near-horizon D4-brane background. From (7) we make a convenient gauge choice to represent the RR three-form potential as $C_{i,6+1,6+j} = 2f \epsilon_{ijk} X^{6+k}/3$. Through the static gauge $\sigma^0 = t = X^0, \sigma^1 = \theta, \sigma^2 = \psi$ this potential together with (5) yields a well understood coupling in the Wess-Zumino action

\[ T_2 \int P[C^{(3)}] = T_2 \int dt d\theta d\psi \frac{2f}{3} (r\rho)^3 \sin \theta. \]  

(15)

For this system where a D2-brane wraps a two-sphere of radius $r\rho$ in the $X^{7,8,9}$ directions and moves to the $\phi$ direction, the Hamiltonian is also derived as

\[ H_{D2} = H^{-1/4} \left( \frac{P_\phi^2}{H^{1/2} r^2 (1 - \rho)} + (4\pi T_2 H^{1/4})^2 ((H^{1/2} r^2 \rho^2)^2 + \frac{N^2 \lambda^2}{4}) \right)^{1/2} - \frac{8\pi}{3} T_2 (r\rho)^3 f. \]  

(16)

The two-sphere whose surface area is given by $\int d\theta d\psi \sqrt{\det G_{\Omega_2}} = H^{1/2} 4\pi r^2 \rho^2$, is embedded in the transverse four-sphere in the $X^i (i = 5, \cdots, 9)$ directions. The static equilibrium equation with respect to $\rho$ is given by

\[ \frac{H r \rho}{\sqrt{H (r\rho)^4 + \frac{N^2 \lambda^2}{4}}} = f, \]  

(17)

whose solutions are expressed as

\[ \rho_{\pm}^2 = \frac{H^2 \pm \sqrt{H^4 - \frac{4N^2 H f^4}{r^2 H f^2}}}{2r^2 H f^2}. \]  

(18)

Up to $1/N^2$ corrections through (12) these solutions for the dual abelian description of a D2-brane agree with the previous solutions (11) for the nonabelian description of D0-branes. But the solutions exist only when $\rho_{\pm}^2 < 1$ is satisfied. For the weak external field the solutions can be approximately given by $\rho_{+}^2 \simeq H/r^2 f^2, \rho_{-}^2 \simeq \lambda^2 N^2 f^2/4r^2 H^2$ in the near-horizon geometry $0 < r \ll L$. Since these expressions can be rewritten as $\rho_{+}^2 \simeq H^{5/3}/L^2 f^2, \rho_{-}^2 \simeq (\lambda^2 N^2 f^2/4L^2) H^{-4/3}$, the $\rho_+$ solution does not appear while the $\rho_-$ solution exists.
3 Dielectric D-strings

We consider the $N$ coincident D-strings for the type IIB string theory in the flat background, whose nonabelian DBI action is given by

$$S_{DBI} = -T_1 \int d^2 \sigma \text{Str} \sqrt{- \det(\eta_{ab} + \lambda^2 \partial_a \Phi^i \partial_b \Phi^i) \det(Q^{ij})},$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)$$

where the world-volume gauge field is taken to be zero. Choosing the static gauge $\tau = t = X^0, \sigma = X^9$ and expanding this nonabelian action in $\lambda$ we have

$$S_{\lambda} = -T_1 \int dt d\sigma (N + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \text{Tr}(\partial^a \Phi^i \partial_a \Phi^i + \frac{1}{2}[\Phi^i, \Phi^j][\Phi^j, \Phi^i]) + \cdots).$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)$$

We are concerned with the behavior of $N$ D-strings when we turn on a constant electric RR five-form field strength $F^{(5)}$ that is associated with D3-brane charge. In this case the Wess-Zumino action (13) yields an interaction term

$$i \frac{\lambda^2 T_1}{3} \int dt d\sigma \text{Tr}(\Phi^i \Phi^j \Phi^k) F^{(5)}_{t,\sigma,5+i,5+j,5+k},$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)$$

where $F^{(5)}_{t,\sigma,5+i,5+j,5+k} = -2 f \epsilon_{ijk}$ only for $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\Phi^{5+i}$ have been again replaced by $\Phi^i$. From the linearized action (20) and (21) the equation of motion for the nonabelian scalars is given by

$$\partial^a \partial_a \Phi^i = [\Phi^j, [\Phi^j, \Phi^i]] + if \epsilon_{ijk}[\Phi^j, \Phi^k].$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)$$

For the static solution we use also the ansatz (10) whose $R$ here has a $\sigma$ dependence to derive an equation

$$\frac{d^2 R}{d\sigma^2} = 8 R^3 - 4 f R^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)$$

The integration of it gives

$$\frac{dR}{d\sigma} = \pm \sqrt{4(R^4 - R_0^4) - \frac{8}{3} f R^3},$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)$$

where $R_0^4$ is an integration constant. The further integration with an integration constant $\sigma_\infty$ yields the general solution

$$\sigma = \sigma_\infty \pm \frac{1}{2} \int_{\infty}^{R} \frac{dR}{\sqrt{R^4 - R_0^4 - \frac{2}{3} f R^3}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)$$

If $R_0$ is chosen to be zero, $R$ can be obtained explicitly in terms of $\sigma$ as

$$R = \frac{1}{\pm 2(\sigma - \sigma_\infty) - \frac{2}{3} f (\sigma - \sigma_\infty)^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)$$

When there is no external background field $f = 0$, this solution reduces to the supersymmetric funnel solution where the D-strings open up into an anti-D3-brane/D3-brane at $\sigma = \sigma_\infty$. 
and the spherical three-brane becomes more and more string-like as $\sigma \to \mp \infty$. Our solution (20) with (24) shows that $dR/d\sigma$ vanishes at $\sigma = \sigma_\infty \mp 3/2f$ where $R$ takes $2f/3$. Due to the non-zero electric external field a three-brane bubble with a noncommutative $R^1 \times S^2$ structure is produced from a system of $N$ D-strings. The spherical anti-D3-brane/D3-brane with the infinite radius at $\sigma = \sigma_\infty$ shrinks as one moves along the $\mp \sigma$ direction to become the smaller three-brane. Combining the two minus/plus solutions in (26) a wormhole solution can be constructed. Through the plus solution the D3-brane with the infinite radius at $\sigma = \sigma_\infty$ shrinks as $\sigma$ increases and the radius takes the minimum value $2f/3$ at $\sigma = \sigma_\infty + \Delta \sigma$ with $\Delta \sigma = 3/2f$. This plus solution is continued to the minus solution which grows into an anti-D3-brane at $\sigma = \sigma_\infty + 2\Delta \sigma$.

Here without using the linearized world-volume action we manipulate the full DBI action. The direct substitution of our ansatz into (19) and (21) yields

$$S = -T_1 \int dtd\sigma \text{Str}(\sqrt{(1 + \lambda^2 \alpha^i \alpha^j (R')^2)} (1 + 4\lambda^2 \alpha^i \alpha^j R^4)) - \frac{4}{3} \lambda^2 R^3 f \alpha^i \alpha^i).$$

(27)

Making an approximation to replace the $\alpha^i \alpha^j$ by $C_{1N}$ we get a full equation of motion for $R$

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma} \left( \frac{R' \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda^2 R^4}}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2 C(R')^2}} \right) - 8R^3 \sqrt{1 + \lambda^2 C(R')^2} / 1 + 4\lambda^2 C R^4 \right) + 4R^2 f = 0,$$

(28)

which describes the evolution of radius as one moves along the D-string worldsheet. This equation can be cast into

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma} \left( \left[ \frac{1 + 4\lambda^2 C R^4}{1 + \lambda^2 C(R')^2} \right] - \frac{4\lambda^2 C}{3} f R^3 \right) = 0.$$

(29)

Using an integration constant $A$ we have a first integral

$$\lambda \sqrt{C} \frac{dR}{d\sigma} = \pm \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda^2 C R^4 - \left( \frac{4\lambda^2 C}{3} f R^3 + A \right)^2}. $$

(30)

We will analyze this equation in the $A = 1$ case which corresponds to the previous $R_0 = 0$ case, because in this case (30) is compared with (24) in the small $f$ limit. From (30) we obtain the solutions implicitly with an integration constant $\sigma_c$

$$\sigma = \sigma_c \pm \lambda \sqrt{C} \int_{R_c}^R dR \frac{R^3 + \frac{3}{4\lambda^2 C f}}{R^{3/2} \sqrt{R^3 + \alpha R + \beta}}. $$

(31)

where $\alpha = 9/4\lambda^2 C f^2$, $\beta = -3/2\lambda^2 C f$ and $R$ takes $R_c$ at $\sigma = \sigma_c$. When $f^4 < 3/4\lambda^2 C$, the third order equation $R^3 - \alpha R - \beta = 0$ has the following three real roots

$$R_1 = -2 \left( \frac{3}{4\lambda^2 C f^2} \right)^{1/2} \cos \frac{\psi}{3}, \quad R_2 = \left( \frac{3}{4\lambda^2 C f^2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \cos \frac{\psi}{3} - \sqrt{3} \sin \frac{\psi}{3} \right),$$

$$R_3 = \left( \frac{3}{4\lambda^2 C f^2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \cos \frac{\psi}{3} + \sqrt{3} \sin \frac{\psi}{3} \right).$$

(32)
with \( \cos \psi = \sqrt{4 \lambda^2 C / 3 f^2} \) for \( 0 < \psi < \pi / 2 \), which obey \( R_1 < 0 < R_2 < R_3 \) and \( R_1 + R_2 + R_3 = 0 \). The three roots can be expanded in a small parameter \( x = 2 \lambda \sqrt{C} f^2 / \sqrt{3} < 1 \) as

\[
R_1 = -\frac{f}{x}(\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{3} x - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{18} x^2 + \frac{4}{81} x^3 + \cdots),
\]

\[
R_2 = \frac{f}{x} (\frac{2}{3} x + \frac{8}{81} x^3 + \cdots),
\]

\[
R_3 = \frac{f}{x} (\sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{3} x - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{18} x^2 - \frac{4}{81} x^3 + \cdots).
\]

In the small \( f \) limit \( R_2 \) approaches to \( 2 f / 3 \) and \( R_3 \) grows as \( 3 / 2 \lambda \sqrt{C} f \). Therefore if we choose \( R_c = R_3 \), the expression \((31)\) corresponds to \((24)\). The limiting value \( R_2 = 2 f / 3 \) agrees with the previous minimum radius in \((26)\). In the weak field region \( f^4 < 3 / 4 \lambda^2 C \) the radius takes a range \( R_2 < R < R_3 \) due to \(-R^3 + \alpha R + \beta > 0\). The solution for the minus sign in \((31)\) with \( R_c = R_3, \sigma_c = \sigma_3 \) implies that the D-string with a geometry \( R^1 \times S^2 \) opens up into a D3-brane with a finite radius \( R_3 \) at \( \sigma = \sigma_3 \) and shrinks gradually as \( \sigma \) increases, and stops contracting when \( R = R_2 \) at \( \sigma = \sigma_2 \).

Patching together two solutions in \((31)\) we have again a wormhole solution between a D3-brane and an anti-D3-brane. The distance between the two three-branes is evaluated as \( 2 \Delta \sigma \) with

\[
\Delta \sigma = \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 = \lambda \sqrt{C} \left( I + \frac{3}{4 \lambda^2 C f} J \right)
\]

and

\[
I = \sqrt{R_2(R_2 - R_1)} B \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 1, -\frac{R_3 - R_2}{R_2}, -\frac{R_3 - R_2}{R_2 - R_1} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{2 R_1 R_2}{\sqrt{R_3(R_2 - R_1)}} \Pi \left( \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{R_3 - R_2}{R_3}, k \right),
\]

\[
J = \frac{2}{R_2 \sqrt{R_3(R_2 - R_1)}} \left( E - \frac{R_2}{R_1}(E - K) \right),
\]

where \( k = \sqrt{(R_3 - R_2) (-R_1) / (R_2 - R_1)} \) and \( F_1, K, E, \Pi \) are Appell’s hypergeometric function, the elliptic integral of the first, second, third kind respectively. The expansion of \( E \) in the first term in \((36)\) with respect to \( k^2 = \sqrt{1 - k^2} \) gives the leading term \( \Delta \sigma \simeq 3 / 2 f \) in the small \( f \) region, which is the previous value in the linearized prescription. Since the derivative of \( R_3 \) with respect to \( z = f^2 \) is negative for \( 0 < \psi < \pi / 2 \)

\[
\frac{dR_3}{dz} = -\left( \frac{3}{4 \lambda^2 C} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{6 z^{3/2} \sin \psi} (3 \sin \psi (\cos \psi / 3 + \sqrt{3} \sin \psi / 3))
\]

\[
+ 2 \cos \psi (\sqrt{3} \cos \psi / 3 - \sin \psi / 3),
\]

the radius \( R_3 \) decreases under the increasing external field. On the other hand the radius \( R_2 \) increases because of

\[
\frac{dR_2}{dz} = \left( \frac{3}{4 \lambda^2 C} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{6 z^{3/2} \sin \psi} F
\]
with
\[ F = 3 \sin \psi (\sqrt{3} \sin \frac{\psi}{3} - \cos \frac{\psi}{3}) + 2 \cos \psi (\sin \frac{\psi}{3} + \sqrt{3} \cos \frac{\psi}{3}), \]  
whose positivity is shown as follows
\[ F > \sin \psi \left( (3\sqrt{3} + 2) \sin \frac{\psi}{3} + (2\sqrt{3} - 3) \cos \frac{\psi}{3} \right), \text{ for } 0 < \psi < \frac{\pi}{4}, \]  
\[ F > \cos \psi \left( (3\sqrt{3} + 2) \sin \frac{\psi}{3} + (2\sqrt{3} - 3) \cos \frac{\psi}{3} \right), \text{ for } \frac{\pi}{4} \leq \psi < \frac{\pi}{2}. \]  

From these behaviors it follows that the radius \( R_3 \) coincides with \( R_2 \), when the external field takes a critical value \( f_c = \left( \frac{3}{4} \lambda^2 C \right)^{1/4} \), and then the funnel or wormhole configuration is broken.

Let us estimate the energy of funnel configuration extending from \( \sigma_3 \) to \( \sigma_2 \). Combining (27) with (29) we can extract it as
\[ E = T_1 N \int_{\sigma_3}^{\sigma_2} d\sigma ((1 + \lambda^2 C (R')^2)(\gamma f R^3 + 1) - \gamma R^3 f) \]  
with \( \gamma = 4\lambda^2 C/3 \). Through the substitution of the minus expression in the first integral (30) into (41) the energy is given by
\[ E = -T_1 N \int_{\sigma_3}^{\sigma_2} d\sigma \left( \frac{4\sqrt{C} f}{3} R' \sqrt{-R^6 + \alpha R^4 + \beta R^3 ((\frac{d\sigma}{dR})^2 + \lambda^2 C)} + \frac{4}{3} \lambda^2 C R^3 f \right). \]  
The first term is rewritten as \( T_1 N \int_{\sigma_3}^{\sigma_2} d\sigma (\gamma f R^3 + 1) \) whose first term cancels the third term in (42). Thus we obtain in a suggestive form
\[ E = -T_1 N \int_{\sigma_3}^{\sigma_2} d\sigma. \]  
The first term is further expressed as
\[ T_1 N \sqrt{C} \gamma (R_3 - R_2)^2 R_2^{3/2} (R_2 - R_1)^{1/2} B \left( \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right) \]  
\[ \times F_1 \left( \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{R_3 - R_2}{R_2}, -\frac{R_3 - R_2}{R_2 - R_1} \right), \]  
and the second term is estimated by using (34). Alternatively we use a relation \( T_1 = 2\pi \lambda T_3 \) and the physical radius to rewrite the first term in (43) as
\[ E = T_3 \frac{N}{\sqrt{C}} \int_{R_3}^{R_2} d\bar{R} 4\pi \bar{R}^2 \left( 1 - \frac{4f^2 \bar{R}^2}{9} - \frac{2\lambda \sqrt{C} f}{3 \bar{R}} \right)^{1/2}, \]  
which can be regarded as a bulk energy stored in the spherical D3-brane. The second term is naturally interpreted as the energy of the \( N \) D-strings with tension \( T_1 \) in the \( X^9 \) direction. In view of the first integral (30) with \( A = 1 \) and (32) we note that there is a simply expanded D-string solution with a constant radius \( R_2 \) or \( R_3 \) for all \( \sigma \) whose energy evaluated from (27) with \( R' = 0 \) shows that it is a nonmarginal bound state of \( N \) D-strings and a D3-brane. In the weak external field the total energy of the \( R_2 \) solution is estimated to be lower than that of the \( R_3 \) solution.
4 Conclusions

In the framework of the nonabelian DBI theory we have observed that there exists a noncommutative stable solution describing the expanding of $N$ D0-branes into a spherical D2-brane under a constant electric RR flux, even when they sit in the curved near-horizon spacetime produced by the multiple D4-branes. The same configuration appears also in the dual description using the abelian DBI action of a D2-brane with $N$ units of $U(1)$ magnetic flux. In Ref. [1] the leading order terms in the nonabelian DBI action were analyzed for the multiple D0-branes in the flat spacetime, while we have worked on the DBI action itself to see the dielectric effect in a curved spacetime. We have shown that the weak external field produces a stable solution for the near-horizon geometry.

In the behaviors of the noncommutative solution for the multiple D-strings under the electric background flux we have seen that there are some differences between the leading order prescription of the nonabelian DBI action and the full one. The differences occur since the coupling produced by the external flux breaks supersymmetries. In the absence of electric flux the noncommutative BPS funnel solution has a pole where the multiple D-strings expand into a spherical D3-brane with an infinite radius, which is seen in both the leading order prescription and the full DBI theory [9]. When the non-zero external flux is turned on, this pole structure is reproduced in the leading order prescription but disappears in the full DBI theory. In no electric RR flux the expanded D-strings collapse down to zero size far away from the position where the D3-brane opens up, while under non-zero flux they shrink along the D-string worldsheet till having some minimum size. The minimum sizes characterized by the strength of external field emerge in solving the full as well as linearized equations of motion and take the same value in the weak external field limit. Though the expanding of multiple D-strings occurs owing to both the nonabelian nature of the world-volume theory and the effect of external electric flux, the minimum bound of puffing is produced by the latter effect. By solving the full equation of motion we have seen that in the decreasing weak external field the minimum size of spherical D3-brane decreases in proportion to the strength of external field and the finite maximum size increases inversely in proportion to it, whose behaviors are smoothly continued to those of the BPS funnel solution in the zero external field limit. By manipulating the full DBI action we can see the dependence of the dielectric effect for the multiple D-strings on the strong external field. As the external field becomes stronger, the slope of our puffed funnel-like solution is reduced to be more gradual. Specially at some critical strong external field the funnel or wormhole configuration disappears. We guess that it may be related with the annihilation of a pair of D3-brane and anti-D3-brane.

The stable noncommutative expanded configuration of $N$ D0-branes is regarded as a nonmarginal true bound state of $N$ D0-branes and a spherical D2-brane, whose energy has a resemblance to that of the nonmarginal BPS bound state of $Dp$-branes and $D(p+2)$-branes compactified on a two-torus. The energy of the non-BPS funnel configuration of $N$ D-strings has been shown to receive two separated contributions from the $N$ D-strings background and a spherical D3-brane. It is desirable to have a deeper understanding why the similar separations emerge both in this non-BPS bound state and in the marginal BPS bound state of $Dp$-branes and $D(p+4)$-branes wrapped around a four-torus. One interesting extension of our work would be to investigate the dielectric effect for the multiple D2-branes under
the electric RR flux and show how the expanding behaviors depend on the world-volume coordinates of D2-branes and the strength of flux.
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