Stenting the Superior Petrosal Sinus in a Patient With Symptomatic Superior Semicircular Canal Dehiscence
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Patients presenting superior semicircular canal dehiscence (SSCD) can experience symptoms such as conductive hearing loss, pulsatile tinnitus, autophony, and pressure-induced vertigo. Decreased cervical vestibular-evoked myogenic potentials (cVEMPs) thresholds and high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) of the petrous bone are essential for diagnosis of SSCD syndrome. We report the case of a 43-year-old man suffering from constant right pulsatile tinnitus, intermittent autophony, and unsteadiness induced by physical exercise. An SSCD by the superior petrosal sinus (SPS) was confirmed on the right side by axial HRCT of the temporal bone reformatted in the plane of Pöschl and ipsilateral abnormally low elicited cVEMPs. Treatment options were discussed with the patient since the pulsatile tinnitus progressively became debilitating. Two options were considered: surgery or a new endovascular treatment; the patient chose the latter option. After stenting the right SPS, the intensity of the pulsatile tinnitus dramatically decreased. As there was no complication the patient was discharged at Day 1. The other symptoms improved progressively. By the 60-day follow-up visit the patient only reported a slight tinnitus worsened by physical exercise. Angiographic follow-up at 5 months confirmed the patency of the SPS. Stenting the SPS in patients with SSCD by the SPS appears to be an alternative to the existing surgical treatments.
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BACKGROUND

The superior semicircular canal dehiscence (SSCD) syndrome was described by Minor in 1998 (1). In a survey study that included 1,000 temporal bones a SSCD was identified in five specimens from four adults (0.5%) (2). Among these, one SSCD was located between the superior semicircular canal (SSC) and the middle cranial fossa and four were found in relation with the superior petrosal sinus.
A 43-year-old male was referred to our otolaryngology department for constant right pulsatile tinnitus, intermittent autophony, and unsteadiness induced by physical exercise. As a result of symptoms progressed, he began to experience a sensation resembled “moving eyeballs” when speaking loudly. As a result of these symptoms, the patient could no longer work, and became depressed. Surgical options and risks were discussed with the patient. Since surgery was thought too invasive by the patient, an alternative endovascular treatment was considered by the medical staff.

The patient underwent a cerebral Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA, 3T MRI–MR750 Discovery, GE Healthcare) and a cerebral angiogram (Monoplane angiographic system, ALLURA 400©, Philips). As the cerebral and peri-petrous vascular system was normal, the feasibility of an endovascular procedure was considered. The two main challenges were: (1) access and (2) rigidify the SPS wall in contact with the labyrinthine membrane (Figures 2A–E). The objective was to attenuate the pulsations of the SPS wall transmitted through the SSCD to the perilymphatic/endolymphatic compartments which then would abnormally stimulate cochlea and vestibular end organs (8) (Figure 3A). As a more rigid SPS wall would increase the impedance at the dehiscence level, it was planned to fit a T-tube insertion in the right tympanic membrane; however, since surgery was thought too invasive by the patient, an alternative endovascular treatment was considered by the medical staff.

**RESULTS**

The audio-vestibular assessment was normal except a right-sided low frequency conductive hearing loss (15 dB HL at 250 Hz and 10 dB HL at 500 Hz; Figures 1A,B), and an ipsilateral abnormally low cVEMPs threshold at 70 dB SPL (Figure 1C). THI questionnaire score before treatment was of 80/100 indicating severe handicap according to the classification reported by Newman et al. (15). HRCT confirmed a bony defect on the right SSC limited to the groove of the SPS (Figure 2A).

A brief clinical improvement occurred several months after a T-tube insertion in the right tympanic membrane; however, the patient continued to complain of disabling pulsatile tinnitus that greatly impaired quality of life. The frequency of autophony symptoms progressed and he began to experience a sensation of “moving eyeballs” when speaking loudly. As a result of his symptoms, the patient could no longer work, and became depressed. Surgical options and risks were discussed with the patient. Since surgery was thought too invasive by the patient, an alternative endovascular treatment was considered by the medical staff.

**FURTHER EXAMS AND INTERVENTION**

The patient underwent a cerebral Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA, 3T MRI–MR750 Discovery, GE Healthcare) and a cerebral angiogram (Monoplane angiographic system, ALLURA 400©, Philips). As the cerebral and peri-petrous vascular system was normal, the feasibility of an endovascular procedure was considered. The two main challenges were: (1) access and (2) rigidify the SPS wall in contact with the labyrinthine membrane (Figures 2A–E). The objective was to attenuate the pulsations of the SPS wall transmitted through the SSCD to the perilymphatic/endolymphatic compartments which then would abnormally stimulate cochlea and vestibular end organs (8) (Figure 3A). As a more rigid SPS wall would increase the impedance at the dehiscence level, it was planned to fit a stent of convenient dimensions in the middle third of the venous structure in contact with the SSC (Figures 2C–E, 3B). The entire procedure was explained to the patient who agreed with this new treatment.

A standard femoral vein puncture was made under general anesthesia and 500 mg of IV aspirin was injected per procedure.
A six French guiding catheter with guide wire was moved forward into the right inferior petrosal sinus, guided by digital subtraction imaging. After accessing the right cavernous sinus, a venogram with retrograde opacification confirmed the presence of a complete petrosal venous system (Figure 4A). A 0.010-inch microcatheter with micro guide wire (Echelon; Medtronic) was inserted inside the SPS and a selective venogram was obtained. The minimal diameter of the SPS in the planned stenting zone was measured at 1.5 mm. It was decided to place a $2 \times 20$ mm Leo stent (Balt, Montmorency, France). The placement of the stent was uneventful but considered too distal. A second stent ($2 \times 12$ mm) was placed more proximally at 1 cm distal to the cavernous sinus avoiding obstructing the Superior Petrosal Vein (SPV) junction to the SPS (Figure 4B). At the end of the procedure, a venogram demonstrated the patency of both SPS and SPV. Guiding and microcatheter were withdrawn and manual pressure was applied at the groin.

When the patient awoke up from general anesthesia autophony and pulsatile tinnitus had dramatically decreased. The THI questionnaire completed at Day 1 dropped to 54 points indicating a moderate handicap. VNG was normal with no evidence of canal paresis. VHIT results were not different of those found before treatment (Figure 1B). The THI questionnaire score at Day 60 was 20 points indicating a mild handicap. Angiographic follow-up at 5 months confirmed the patency of the petrosal venous system (Figure 4C). The patient had to follow a preventive antithrombotic treatment (75 mg aspirin and 75 mg clopidogrel) for 3 months, then 75 mg daily aspirin daily for an additional 6 months. This is the standard protocol proposed by our neuro-interventional team after treating cerebral aneurysms or arterio-venous malformations to prevent secondary thrombosis. The audio-vestibular findings remain stable at month 5.

**DISCUSSION**

A 43-year-old man presented a typical SSCD syndrome related to SPS. The main auditory complaints were: constant pulsatile tinnitus and intermittent autophony. He also mentioned exercise-induced vertigo and dizziness progressively disappeared, and the patient was able to return to work a few weeks thereafter. At month 1 the initial air-bone gap (ABG) on the right was normalized although right cVEMPs threshold remained unchanged. VHIT results were not different of those found before treatment (Figure 1B). The THI questionnaire score at Day 60 was 20 points indicating a mild handicap. Angiographic follow-up at 5 months confirmed the patency of the petrosal venous system (Figure 4C). The patient had to follow a preventive antithrombotic treatment (75 mg aspirin and 75 mg clopidogrel) for 3 months, then 75 mg daily aspirin daily for an additional 6 months. This is the standard protocol proposed by our neuro-interventional team after treating cerebral aneurysms or arterio-venous malformations to prevent secondary thrombosis. The audio-vestibular findings remain stable at month 5.
the symptoms were debilitating, two possible interventions were discussed with the patient.

The first was the surgical option. Surgical techniques such as resurfacing, plugging (or both combined) of the SSCD by a middle-fossa approach have been frequently reported in the literature (6, 7, 10, 11, 13). However, only a few patients have been surgically treated for SSCD by the SPS (3, 6, 7, 11, 13). Cheng et al. (18) emphasized the difficulties and
FIGURE 3 | Pathomechanism of SSCD by the SPS-modified with permission from Merchant and Rosowski (6). (A) Before stenting. Endolymphatic flow (black arrows) generated by the wall pulsations of the superior petrosal sinus (SPS) in contact with the membranous SSC resulting in abnormal auditory and vestibular stimulation. (B) After stenting. Principle of the endovascular treatment: rigidifying the SPS wall would diminish the energetic transfer between the vascular structure and the perilymphatic/endolympatic compartments. Cochlear and vestibular ends organs are no longer abnormally stimulated. U, utricle; S, Saccule; A, Ampulla of the SSC.

FIGURE 4 | Venogram–frontal views. (A) Normal venous configuration before stenting the SPS; white arrow (SPS), black arrow (superior petrosal vein) (B) Stents fitted in the SPS (white arrow) (C) Venogram at 5 months showing the patency of the superior petrosal sinus, stents fitted in the SPS (white arrow).
potential complications when using bone wax for plugging the SSC. Despite the sealing of the dehiscence, if a too large quantity of wax extends along the canal anteriorly toward to the ampulla or posteriorly to the common crus, patients will experience vestibular and hearing impairments after surgery. Indeed, post-surgical vestibular impairments of various degrees are frequent since the principle of plugging is to surgically occlude the SSC (10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19, 20). This inconvenience also applies in cases of plugging by the transmastoid approach since the procedure is the same. The second was the endovascular option. This technique could rigidify the SPS wall in contact with the labyrinth by fitting a stent slightly wider than the minimal diameter of the vein (Figure 3). As the vascular anatomy of the region was normal, the risks per procedure related to this technique were acceptable for the patient.

Bleeding or thrombosis of the SPS were two possible local complications in this technique. The risk of bleeding was estimated as minimal since the petrosal venous system was complete allowing a relatively easy retrograde access of the guiding catheter in the SPS via the cavernous sinus. This approach is frequently used by our neuro-interventional team in case of other neuro-vascular pathologies. The deployment of both stents in the lumen of the SPS was gentle and uneventful due to the patency of the SPS at the end of the procedure. The risk of an eventual secondary thrombosis on the stent was estimated low since the venous disposition through the cavernous sinus and to the inferior petrosal sinus was normal in shape and dimensions. In case of any local venous perturbation generated by the presence of the stent in the middle third of the SPS, an alternative drainage of the SPV through the jugular vein would still be possible in a retrograde way (via the cavernous sinus and/or the inferior petrosal sinus) with no hemodynamic impact. However, in case of important anatomical variations of the venous petrosal system (e.g., narrowed or/and hypoplastic inferior or SPS) stenting the SPS would not be recommended. The reasons that finally accounted for the decision to perform the endovascular treatment were: a less invasive and (we believe) safer procedure for the inner ear, and the presence in our team of an experienced interventional-radiologist with particular expertise in neuro-vascular pathologic conditions affecting the peri-petrosal venous system. The patient recovered rapidly and was discharged the day after the procedure. The early Day 1 THI questionnaire showed a notable improvement that further improved by Day 60. Right cVEMPs threshold remained abnormally low although ipsi-lateral ABG normalized (Figure 1A'), and the vestibular assessment remained normal as prior to the procedure. A possible explanation for these findings would be that the procedure was designed to reduce the transmission of the pulsatile energy through the perilymphatic/endolymphatic compartments by rigidifying the SPS wall. As a consequence, the impedance added by the stent would be sufficient to suppress the slight right audiometric conductive gap of 15–25 dB observed initially. However, as the third window is not completely excluded as in case of surgery, the right cVEMPs threshold remains low since they are elicited at much higher acoustic pressure levels.

**CONCLUSION**

In this patient with symptomatic SSCD by the SPS, the endovascular treatment appears to be an effective procedure. Although stenting the SPS was successful in this case, in the future similar cases should be carefully selected before proposing this approach. The main advantages which seem to emerge using this technique are the preservation of the vestibular function, a limited risk for hearing, and a limited risk of bleeding since the elastic tension exerted by the stent on SPS wall would be minimal and uniformly applied on the internal surface of the vessel. As for the surgical treatment, this technique should be reserved for very impaired patients. Normal anatomy of the petrosal and jugular venous system is necessary and should be determined by selective venogram prior to the procedure. Antithrombotic therapy for 9 months after the procedure would add minimal risk, but is important in order to avoid secondary thrombosis of the stent.
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