An endosomal tether undergoes an entropic collapse to bring vesicles together
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An early step in intracellular transport is the selective recognition of a vesicle by its appropriate target membrane, a process regulated by Rab GTPases via the recruitment of tethering effectors1–4. Membrane tethering confers higher selectivity and efficiency to membrane fusion than the pairing of SNAREs (soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptors) alone5–7. Here we address the mechanism whereby a tethered vesicle comes closer towards its target membrane for fusion by reconstituting an endosomal asymmetric tethering machinery consisting of the dimeric coiled-coil protein EEA1 (refs 6, 7) recruited to phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate membranes and binding vesicles harbouring Rab5. Surprisingly, structural analysis reveals that Rab5-GTP induces an allosteric conformational change in EEA1, from extended to flexible and collapsed. Through dynamic analysis by optical tweezers, we confirm that EEA1 captures a vesicle at a distance corresponding to its extended conformation, and directly measure its flexibility and the forces induced during the tethering reaction. Expression of engineered EEA1 variants defective in the conformational change induce prominent clusters of tethered vesicles in vivo. Our results suggest a new mechanism in which Rab5 induces a change in flexibility of EEA1, generating an entropic collapse force that pulls the captured vesicle towards the target membrane to initiate docking and fusion.

EEA1, as nearly all putative coiled-coil tethering proteins, extends more than ten times the length of SNARE proteins8,9. To explain how such a long molecule can mediate membrane tethering but also allow the membranes to come closer for fusion, we reconstituted a minimal asymmetric membrane tethering in liposomes containing EEA1, Rab5 and different fluorescent tracers (Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. 1b–c). EEA1 binds to phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PI(3)P) via its carboxy (C) terminus with high affinity (dissociation constant $K_d \approx 50$ nM)$^{10,12}$, and to Rab5-GTP via its amino (N) terminus with comparatively lower affinity ($K_d \approx 2.4$ μM)$^{13}$. Liposomes containing PI(3)P and labelled with RhoDPPE effectively recruited EEA1 and tethered to DiD-labelled Rab5-6×His-liposomes, as analysed by confocal microscopy (Fig. 1a–c). The reaction required EEA1, Rab5 and GTP–γS, as no co-localization was observed in the presence of GDP. The efficiency of tethering approached that of biotin–streptavidin liposomes (Fig. 1d). Furthermore, no co-localization was observed between pairs of liposomes harbouring Rab5 (Fig. 1e). Therefore, Rab5, EEA1 and PI(3)P form a minimal endosomal asymmetric membrane tethering machinery.

In principle, the N terminus of EEA1 could also bind Rab5 in cis: that is, on the same membrane. However, the presence of Rab5 on both pairs of liposomes, as in early endosomes in vivo, did not interfere with the tethering activity of EEA1 in vitro, as tethering was indistinguishable between the asymmetric and symmetric conditions (Fig. 1c, e). Moreover, coiled-coil prediction algorithms estimate a central segment of nearly ~200 nm (refs 14, 15) (Extended Data Fig. 1a), suggesting that the molecule adopts an extended conformation. Indeed, filamentous EEA1-positive structures emanating from the surface of early endosomes in vivo have been observed by electron microscopy13. In further support of this interpretation, we visualized the N and C termini of EEA1 using specific antibodies by super-resolution microscopy in HeLa cells (Fig. 1f, g, Extended Data Fig. 1f–h and Methods). If the N terminus of EEA1 bound Rab5 in cis, it should co-localize with the C terminus. Strikingly, the ends of EEA1 could instead be resolved, with the N terminus extending radially from the C terminus into the cytoplasm. We estimated an end-to-end distance of $141 \pm 47$ nm (mean ± s.d.; Fig. 1h), in the range of the predicted length and rigidity of coiled-coils.

To characterize the distances and dynamics of the tethering reaction, we generated bead-supported membranes (10 μm silica microspheres) harbouring green fluorescent protein (GFP)–Rab5 (Fig. 1i and Extended Data Fig. 2). These tethered to liposomes containing PI(3)P in the presence of GTP–γS but not GDP in an EEA1 concentration-dependent manner (Extended Data Fig. 2g, h). Time-lapse microscopy showed that some liposomes were captured by the bead-supported membrane, while others diffused away (Extended Data Fig. 2i and Supplementary Videos 1 and 2), similar to the behaviour of endosomes in vivo16. We next measured the distances between the tethered vesicle and GFP–Rab5 (Fig. 1j, Extended Data Fig. 2j and Methods). Surprisingly, we observed distances ranging from 20 nm up to approximately the predicted length of 200 nm (mean ± s.d.; 84 ± 56 nm) (Fig. 1k). Such a broad distribution is irreconcilable with the predicted length of EEA1 and suggests that EEA1 may change its conformation.

We determined the conformation of EEA1 using rotary shadowing electron microscopy and image analysis (Fig. 2a). The measurements of contour length and mean end-to-end distance followed Gaussian distributions with an average of $222 \pm 26$ nm (Fig. 2b, top) and $195 \pm 26$ nm (Fig. 2b, bottom), respectively, confirming that the molecule is largely extended, as in vivo17. Therefore, we asked whether binding to Rab5 may cause EEA1 to adopt a more compact conformation. Remarkably, this was the case. Addition of Rab5-GTP–γS (Fig. 2c) resulted in a significant fraction of bent EEA1 molecules having a substantially reduced end-to-end distance of $122 \pm 50$ nm (Fig. 2d).

To gain further insights into this mechanism, we generated two mutants with alterations in the coiled-coil but retaining the Rab5- and PI(3)P-binding domains (Extended Data Fig. 3 and Methods). In the extended EEA1 mutant, we removed regions of discontinuity
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Figure 1 | EEA1, Rab5 and PI(3)P form an asymmetric tethering machinery. a, b, Vesicle–vesicle tethering assay. Rho-DPPE liposomes harbouring Rab5 (green) tether to DiD–PI(3)P liposomes (magenta) upon addition of EEA1 and GTP-\(\gamma\)S but not GDP (a, scheme; b, microscopy; representative of \(n = 20\)). Scale bar, 2 \(\mu\)m. c–e, Analysis of vesicle co-localization. Asymmetric (c) and symmetric (e) tethering required Rab5, PI(3)P and EEA1, streptavidin–biotin control (d) (mean ± s.d., \(n = 3\)). f–h, In vivo stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM) defines the extension of EEA1. The N-terminal (magenta) and C-terminal (green) domains of EEA1 (f) were differentially labelled. Representative between heptad repeats creating a more idealized, extended coiled-coil. In the swapped EEA1 mutant, we swapped the coiled-coil regions between the N and C termini. Electron microscopy analysis revealed that the extended mutant was impaired in the Rab5-induced conformational change (Fig. 2i and Extended Data Fig. 4a–c). In contrast, the swapped mutant was mostly bent, often presented kinks, and did not significantly change conformation upon Rab5 binding (Fig. 2f and Extended Data Fig. 4e–g). These results suggest that coiled-coil discontinuities and their physical arrangement are critical for the structure of EEA1 and its Rab5-induced conformational change.

To shed light on how EEA1 adopts a compact conformation upon Rab5 binding, we measured the curvature along the contour of molecules. We aligned N-terminally MBP-tagged EEA1 and determined how the tangents to the contour change by 8 nm steps along the contour (Methods and Extended Data Fig. 5). Interestingly, the variance of this measure of curvature calculated over the ensemble of molecules increased significantly upon Rab5:GTP-\(\gamma\)S binding (Fig. 2g), indicating that coiled-coil discontinuities and their physical arrangement are critical for the structure of EEA1 and its Rab5-induced conformational change.

Figure 2 | EEA1 changes flexibility upon Rab5 binding. a, c, i, j, Representative examples of rotary-shadowing electron microscopy of EEA1 (a), EEA1 + Rab5:GTP-\(\gamma\)S (c), EEA1-extended (i) and -swapped (j) variants. Scale bar, 100 nm; \(n = 88, n = 212, n = 90, n = 145\), respectively. b, d, Contour and end-to-end length histograms for EEA1 (green, \(n = 88\)) and EEA1 + Rab5:GTP-\(\gamma\)S (magenta, \(n = 212\)). e, f, Visual comparison of aligned EEA1 proteins. The highlighted ends of EEA1 + Rab5:GTP-\(\gamma\)S lie significantly closer to the origin. Hemispheres demarcate 50 nm. g, Variance of curvature measures along the contour of aligned EEA1 + Rab5:GDP (green) and EEA1 + Rab5:GTP-\(\gamma\)S (magenta) molecules (\(n = 90, n = 145\), respectively). h, Radial distribution functions define the extension probability for EEA1 ± Rab5:GTP-\(\gamma\)S (−Rab5:GTP-\(\gamma\)S, green; +Rab5:GTP-\(\gamma\)S, magenta) with fit (black lines).
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debinding results in a faster decay. Generally, the worm-like chain was prolonged by GTP- depend on GTP hydrolysis (Fig. 2f). As a result, it will undergo an entropic collapse, with its end-to-end distance decreasing towards a new equilibrium (Fig. 2f). This process generates a force that could pull the membranes together (estimated ~3 pN (Methods)). In some sense, the extended molecule is like a loaded spring that rapidly recoils upon Rab5 binding.

To provide experimental evidence for entropic collapse of EEA1, we used high-resolution dual-trap optical tweezers (Methods). Two glass 2 μm microspheres coated with membranes were held in optical traps (Fig. 3a). One trap was moved closer to the other, in iterative cycles of approaching, pausing and retracting (Fig. 3b). At distances below 250 nm and at low concentrations of EEA1 (5–40 nM) to ensure single-molecule events, we observed transient interactions as a decrease in the mean and variance of the distance between the two beads (Fig. 3b,

To test the prediction that EEA1 becomes flexible upon Rab5 binding, for each tethered molecule we determined its effective persistence length from the capture distance, and measured force increase (Fig. 3c) and bead displacements using the WLC model (Methods). Strikingly, we observed infrequent, as expected for single molecules and non-existent without EEA1, whereas their frequency and duration increased at high concentrations of EEA1 (400 nM) (Extended Data Fig. 7e and Methods). The interaction distance was broad (Fig. 3d), with the mean 176 ± 76 nm comparing favourably with rigid EEA1 (Fig. 2b).

Figure 3 | EEA1 collapse generates a force. a, Scheme of bead-supported membranes harbouring EEA1 or Rab5 captured by dual-trap optical tweezers. b, c, Traps moved successively closer until interactions (arrows) were observed, characterized by increase in force and decrease in variance (c). d, Interaction distance consistent with length of extended EEA1. d, e, Persistence length distributions of EEA1 and variants from optical tweezers measurements. f, Force did not depend on GTP hydrolysis (P > 0.15), n = 39, 26 respectively. g, Interaction duration (log-scale) was prolonged by GTP-S (P < 10^{-4}). Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test (e-g); box-whisker plot with Tukey error bars (e-g).

That EEA1 displays a larger variety of curvatures upon Rab5:GTP binding. Such changes occurred along the entire length of the molecule, with some regions increasing in flexibility more than others (Fig. 2g), but were not observed for the EEA1 mutants (Extended Data Fig. 5f-1).

Although molecules are adsorbed onto a 2D surface, some aspects of their 3D conformations are captured (Methods). Analysis of the kurtosis of the distribution of angles between contour tangents indicated that 3D shape fluctuations are retained for the entire contour of EEA1 in the presence of Rab5:GDP, but only up to 60 nm with Rab5:GTP-S (Methods and Extended Data Fig. 6). Moreover, tangential–tangential correlations of the contour in this regime revealed that Rab5:GTP-S binding results in a faster decay. Generally, the worm-like chain (WLC) model is used to describe fluctuations in polymer shapes and capture aspects of the physics underlying their shape fluctuations17 (Methods). In the WLC model, the polymer is considered a homogeneous molecule with its flexibility determined by a bending stiffness reflected in a characteristic length, the persistence length, over which correlations between tangents to the contour decay. We applied the WLC model to EEA1 and determined an effective persistence length of 246 ± 42 nm for the unbound and 74 ± 5 nm for the Rab5:GTP-S-bound ensembles. In contrast, the extended EEA1 mutant had similar effective persistence lengths in either state (unbound = 183 ± 13 nm and bound = 224 ± 25 nm; Supplementary Data Table).

To corroborate these estimates, we fitted the radial distribution functions (that is, the probability of observing a given end-to-end distance) of the molecules extracted from the electron microscopy data with analytical solutions of the WLC model (Methods). This showed a clear reduction in effective persistence length of EEA1 upon Rab5:GTP binding (Fig. 2h). In contrast, the extended EEA1 mutant maintained a similar radial distribution regardless of Rab5 (Extended Data Fig. 4d).

Reducing the persistence length of EEA1 makes the molecule flexible. However, the tether is still extended and, therefore, in an out-of-equilibrium conformation (Fig. 2e). As a result, it will undergo an entropic collapse, with its end-to-end distance decreasing towards a new equilibrium (Fig. 2f). This process generates a force that could pull the membranes together (estimated ~3 pN (Methods)). In some sense, the extended molecule is like a loaded spring that rapidly recoils upon Rab5 binding.

Figure 4 | EEA1 mutants blocking entropic collapse induce trafficking defects. a, b, d, e, Confocal images of HeLa EEA1-KO cells (a), rescued with EEA1, extended or swapped mutants (b, d, e). Uptake of LDL (green) and immunostaining for EEA1 (magenta). Inset, endosomes depicted at arrows. Representative of n = 30 images per condition (Methods). Scale bar, 10 μm. c, f, Relative difference in number of large endosomes (e) and LDL fluorescence (f) (a.u., arbitrary units). Mean ± s.d., representative experiment of 3, n = 30 images. P < 0.01 versus HeLa, t-test, except rescue.
EEA1 is flexible. In contrast, the extended EEA1 mutant remained significantly more rigid than EEA1 (Fig. 3e). Rab5 binding is necessary to trigger structural and conformational changes on EEA1. When Rab5 was bypassed by His-tag-mediated tethering, EEA1 flexibility was significantly lower than that of EEA1 with Rab5 (Fig. 3e).

If EEA1 becomes flexible upon capture, an entropic pulling force will be generated. This entropic force balances with the force exerted by the optical traps as the molecule undergoes the collapse and as the system finds its new equilibrium (Extended Data Fig. 7h)\(^1\). For a capture distance of 195 nm and a peak collapse force of 3 pN, we predict a force balance at ~0.6 pN (Methods), consistent with our tweezer measurements of 0.5 ± 0.3 pN (Fig. 3c). EEA1 binding to Rab5 requires the GTP-bound form. No significant force differences were observed in the presence of the non-hydrolysable analogue GTP-\(\gamma\)S or GTP (Fig. 3f). In contrast, the duration of the interaction was much prolonged (Fig. 3g), as expected given that GTP-\(\gamma\)S stabilizes Rab5 in the active form\(^2\,3\). Finally, replacing EEA1-Rab5 binding with 10× His-EEA1 tethering to Ni-NTA-beads resulted in a decreased collapse force (Extended Data Fig. 7i).

To validate in vivo the mechanism observed in vitro, we genome-edited HeLa cells to disrupt the EEA1 gene (HeLa EEA1-KO; Fig. 4a, Extended Data Fig. 8c and Methods), and analysed the distribution of Rab5-positive endosomes and the uptake of cargo (low-density lipoprotein (LDL)) by confocal microscopy (Fig. 4a). HeLa EEA1-KO displayed a significant reduction in Rab5 endosome size, particularly for the largest endosomes (Fig. 4c), and a marked decrease in cargo (LDL) uptake (Fig. 4f). Expression of EEA1 rescued the normal, rounded morphology of endosomes (Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 8f, i) and LDL uptake (Fig. 4c). In contrast, the expression of both extended and swapped EEA1 mutants generated enlarged endosomes and inhibited cargo uptake (Fig. 4c–f).

Because the size of endosomes is below the resolution limit of light microscopy, we performed electron microscopy on the HeLa EEA1-KO cells (Fig. 5 and Extended Data Fig. 9). The filamentous material on endosomes\(^1\) was much reduced in HeLa EEA1-KO cells (Fig. 5a, b, and Extended Data Fig. 8n) and restored by the re-expression of EEA1 on endosomes that appeared normal or enlarged, consistent with the light microscopy analysis (Fig. 4b). Strikingly, cells expressing the extended EEA1 mutant had large (>1 \(\mu\)m) clusters of small vesicles, within areas filled with filamentous material (Fig. 5d, e), suggesting that they are arrested in a tethered state (Fig. 4d, e). The distance between the tethered vesicles was significantly longer than that between endosomes in control cells (Extended Data Fig. 8o), consistent with the mutant EEA1 being incapable of undergoing entropic collapse to shorter distances (Figs 2e and 3e). Similar endosomal clusters were induced by the swapped mutant (Extended Data Fig. 8m).

Our data suggest a new mechanochemical cycle of EEA1 regulated by Rab5-GTP binding and GTP hydrolysis. On early endosomes, EEA1 is in the extended state (Fig. 2e) and increases the probability of capturing a vesicle bearing Rab5. Similarly, it forms a Rab5-selectivity barrier (analogous to a polymer brush)\(^2\,3\). When Rab5 on an incoming vesicle binds EEA1, it induces an allosteric conformational change, from extended to flexible (Fig. 2f). This shows a new function of Rab proteins beyond effector recruitment. The reduction in persistence length of EEA1 causes its entropic collapse, releasing up to \(~14\,k_B T\) of mechanical energy (Extended Data Fig. 7k) and generating up to 3 pN of force that could pull the vesicle closer to its target membrane where it may diffuse\(^2\) or be brought by other Rab5 effectors\(^2\,5\,2\) within the range of trans-SNARE pairing. This mechanism explains why the Rab5 machinery dramatically increases the efficiency of SNARE-mediated membrane fusion\(^2\). The mechanical energy released by EEA1 is of the order of the free energy released by GTP hydrolysis. However, the energy required to complete the cycle could potentially also come from chaperones.

A key question is how Rab5 can induce such a long-range allosteric effect. This is not uncommon among coiled-coil proteins\(^5\,2\,6\). The entropic collapse mechanism is different, however, for other membrane tethering factors\(^2\). In the course of this study, the GCC185 tether was shown to bind through central joints\(^2\). For EEA1, instead (1) the arrangement and structure of the coiled-coils and (2) Rab5 binding are critical for the propagation of allosteric conformational changes (Extended Data Fig. 10). We can envisage different mechanisms (see Supplementary Discussion), such as local register shifts. In dynein, dynamics in the heptad register prove critical to function. This is not uncommon among coiled-coil proteins\(^2\,5,2\,6\). Further ad hoc structural studies are necessary to resolve this outstanding problem. The entropic collapse upon stiffness reduction could be an effective and general mechanism used not only by membrane tethers but also by many coiled-coil proteins for generating an attractive force in diverse biological processes.
Online Content Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the online version of the paper; references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.
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METHODS

Statistics. Sample size was not predetermined. For cell electron microscopy, samples were double-blind examined. Other experiments were not randomized or blinded. Box–whisker plots all show median, 25/75 percentiles by box boundaries and minimum/maximum values by errors, with the exception of Fig. 3 and Extended Data Fig. 7 which use Tukey-defined error bars.

Cloning, expression and purification of proteins. Human Rab5-6 × His and GFP-Rab5-6 × His were expressed and purified essentially as previously described in the Exterencia coli expression system32. Human RabX-5 amino-acid residues 131–394 were PCR and restriction cloned into a pGST-parallel2 vector containing a TEV cleavable N-terminal glutathione-S-transferase (GST)29,30. Expression and purification was performed essentially as described31. Briefly, E. coli-expressed proteins were transformed into BL21(DE3) cells and grown at 37 °C until absorbance at 600 nm (A600 nm) of 0.8, whereupon the incubator was reduced to 18 °C. After 30 min, cultures were induced with 0.1 mM IPTG and grown overnight (16 h). Cell pellets were resuspended in standard buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP) and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. All subsequent steps performed at 4 °C or on ice. Cell pellets were resuspended in standard buffer supplemented with 1 mM MgCl2, for GTPases, and protease inhibitor cocktail (chymostatin 6 μg/ml, leupeptin 0.5 μg/ml, antipain-HCl 10 μg/ml, aprotinin 2 μg/ml, pepstatin 0.7 μg/ml, APMSF 10 μg/ml), homogenized and lysed by sonication. Histidine-tagged proteins were bound in batch to Ni-NTA resin in the presence of 20 mM imidazole, and eluted with 200 mM imidazole. GST-tagged proteins were purified on GS resin (GS-4B, GE Healthcare) by binding for 2 h followed by stringent washing, and cleavage from resin overnight. Imidazole-containing samples were immediately diluted after elution and tags cleaved during overnight dialysis. Following dialysis and tag cleavage, samples were concentrated and TEV or HRV 3C protease were removed by reverse purification through Ni-NTA or GS resin. Samples were then purified by size-exclusion chromatography on Superdex 200 columns in standard buffer.

Human EEA1 was purified as a GST fusion in a pOEM series vector (Oxford Expression Technologies) modified to contain a HRV 3C-cleavable N-terminal GST and protease cleavage site or from a modified pFastbA1 vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific)32. Some samples were also purified as 6 × His-MBP and 10 × His fusions from a modified pOEM vector (rotary shadowing for N-to-C terminus alignment, and optical tweezer control, respectively; all other experiments performed with tags removed). Mutants were purified identically to wild-type EEA1.

SF9 cells growing in ESF921 media (Expression Systems) were co-transfected with linearized viral genome and the expression plasmid and selected for high infectivity. P1 and P2 virus was generated according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and expression screens and time courses performed to optimize expression yield. Best viruses were used to infect 1–2 × 106 SF9 cells at 10% cell/ml at 1% vol/vol and routinely harvested after 40–48 h at about 1.5 × 106 cells/ml, suspended in standard buffer and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Pellets were thawed on ice and lysed by Dounce homogenizer. Purification took place rapidly in standard buffer at 4 °C on GS resin in batch format. Bound protein was washed thoroughly and cleaved from resin by HRV 3C protease overnight. Proteins retaining 6 × His-MBP tags were purified on amylase resin and eluted with 10 mM maltose. Protein retaining 10 × His were eluted from Ni-NTA resin in standard buffer supplemented with 200 mM imidazole. All EEA1 and mutants were immediately further purified by Superose 6 size-exclusion chromatography where they eluted as a single peak. All experiments were performed with a preparation confirmed for Rab5 and P1(3)P binding. Concentrations were determined by UV280 and Bradford assay. All proteins were aliquoted and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C.

EEA1 variants extended and swapped were synthesized genes optimized for expression in E. coli-expressed proteins and pre-mixed in an EAL1 construct33. Nucleotides were pre-mixed in length (versus 1,411 in wild-type EEA1) (see Extended Data Fig. 3). The swapped mutant has the C-terminal portion of the coiled-coil rearranged to follow the N-terminal Zn2+–finger domains, and the N-terminal portion of the coiled-coil therefore rearranged to the C-terminal region of EEA1. Variants were treated identically to wild-type EEA1 in purification.

Static light scattering. An autosampler equipped Viskotek TDAmax system was used to analyse the light-scattering from purified EEA1. Sample was loaded the autosampler and passed through a TSKgel G5000PW column (Tosoh Biosciences) and fractions were subjected to scattering data acquisition. Data obtained were averaged across the protein elution volume and molecular masses determined in OmniSEC software package.

Lipids. The following lipids were purchased and used directly: DOPC, DOPS, DOGS-NINTA, RhoDPPE (Avanti), DiD (Invitrogen) and P1(3)P (Echelon Biosciences). Lipids were dissolved in chloroform, except P1(3)P in 1:2.0.8 CHCl3:MeOH:H2O. All were stored at –80 °C.

Rab5/P1(3)P binding by EEA1. Early endosome fusion assay was performed as previously described33. To assess the ability of EEA1 to bind competitively in a GTP-dependent manner to Rab5, Rab5 was bound to GS resin and subsequently loaded with nucleotide (GDP, GTP-γS) as previously described. Binding of EEA1 and all mutants to immobilized Rab5 proceeded for 1 h at room temperature, and the washed Rab5 resin was evaluated for EEA1 binding by western blot. Similarly, the binding of EEA1 to P1(3)P containing liposomes was evaluated as previously described by formation of liposomes composed of DOPC:DOPS:DOGS-NINTA:RhoDPPE, with RhoDPPE and DiD where applicable. Liposomes containing bead-supported membranes were DOPC:DOPS:DOGS-NINTA, DOPC:DOPS:P1(3)P. Solvent was evaporated under nitrogen and vacuum overnight. The resulting residue was suspended in standard buffer, rapidly vortexed, freeze-thawed five times by submersion in liquid N2 followed by water at 40 °C and extruded 11 passes through two polycarbonate membranes with a pore diameter of 100 nm (Avicen). Vesicles stored at 4 °C were used within 5 days.

Bead-supported bilayer preparation. Silicone beads (2 μm NIST-traceable size-standards for optical tweezers, or 10 μm standard microspheres for microscopy; Corpuscular) were thoroughly cleaned in pure ethanol and Hellmanex (1% sol., Hellma Analytics) before storage in water. Supported bilayers were formed as previously described with modifications34. Liposomes composed of DOPC:DOPS 85:15 with P1(3)P and DOGS-NINTA (where applicable) were added to a solution containing 250 mM NaCl for tethering assays (10 μm) and 100 mM for optical tweezers (2 μm), and 5 × 106 beads. Liposomes were added to final concentration of 100 μM and incubated for 30 min (final volume 100 μl). Samples were washed with 20 mM Tris pH 7.4 three times by addition of 1 ml followed by gentle centrifugation (at 380 g). Final wash was with standard buffer. Salt concentrations were optimized by examination of homogeneity at the transverse plane followed by examination of the excess membrane at the coverslip plane (see Extended Data Fig. 2a–d). We found that the membranes were extremely robust in conditions where the bilayer is fully formed, and could be readily pipetted and washed, consistent with previous reports35. Membrane-coated beads were used within 1 h of production and always stored before use on a rotary suspension mixer.

Confocal microscopy of vesicle–vesicle tethering assay. Glass cover slips were cleaned in ethanol, Hellmanex and thoroughly rinsed in water. In these experiments, the following concentrations were used: 1 mM Rabex-5 (131–394), 100 mM Rab5-6 ×His, 120 nM EEA1. Experiments were performed in standard buffer with 5 mM MgCl2 and 1 μM nucleotide. Liposomes and proteins were pre-mixed in low-binding tubes at concentrations indicated, incubated for 5 min and imaged immediately upon addition to the coverslip. Images were acquired with a Nikon T2 equipped with a 60 × plan-apochromat 1.2 numerical aperture objective and Yokagawa CSU-X1 scan head. Images were acquired on an Andor DU-897 back-illuminated CCD. Acquired images were processed by the SQUASH package for Fiji37.

Confocal microscopy of bead-supported membrane tethering assay. A 200 μl observation chamber (µ-Slide 8 well, uncoated, #1.5, ibidi) was pre-blocked with BSA (1 mg/ml in standard buffer) for 1.5–2 h and washed thoroughly. Finally, 180 μl of standard buffer containing beads was added to the sample chamber. In these experiments, the following concentrations were used: 1 mM Rabex-5 (131–394), 100 nM Rab5-6 × His, 120 nM EEA1. Experiments were performed in standard buffer with 5 mM MgCl2 and 1 μM nucleotide. Liposomes and proteins were pre-mixed in low-binding tubes at concentrations indicated, incubated for 5 min and imaged immediately upon addition to the coverslip. Images were acquired with a Nikon T2 equipped with a 60 × plan-apochromat 1.2 numerical aperture objective and Yokagawa CSU-X1 scan head. Images were acquired on an Andor DU-897 back-illuminated CCD. Acquired images were processed by the SQUASH package for Fiji37.

Data obtained for distance measurements were acquired in the same way and processed in Fiji by determining line profiles eight pixels wide from the centre of the bead outwards over an observed vesicle. These profiles were fitted with a Gaussian distribution. The alignment of the microscope was confirmed by imaging of sub-diffraction beads, revealing no clear systematic shift and a maximum positional error of 21 nm determined in Motion Tracking. Controls with sub-diffraction-sized multicolour particles (Methods) and distance measurements between Rab5
and its resident membrane were within the measurement error of the technique (approximately 15 nm) 13.4.

Super-resolution imaging of EEA1 termini. HeLa cells were stained using primary antibodies against EEA1 N terminus (610457, prepared in mouse, BD Biosciences) and EEA1 C terminus (2900, prepared in rabbit, Abcam). The secondary antibodies were anti-mouse Alexa568 antibody (A-11004, prepared in goat, Life Technologies) and anti-rabbit Alexa647 (A-21244, prepared in goat, Life Technologies). Coverslips were mounted in STORM buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.7, 10 mM NaCl, 100 µg/ml glycerol, 0.5 mg/ml glycerol oxidase, 40 µg/ml catalase, 1% BME) and sealed with nail polish. Cells were imaged on a Zeiss Eclipse Ti microscope equipped with a 150 mW 561 nm laser and a 300 mW 647 laser. For imaging, lasers intensities were set to achieve 50 mW at the rear lens of the objective. Illumination was applied at a sub-TIRF angle through the objective to improve the signal to noise ratio. Videos of 24,000 frames (12,000 frames per channel) were acquired by groups of 6 consecutive frames using the NIS Elements software (Nikon.). Images were aligned using 100 nm Tetraspeck beads (Thermo Fisher). This software was also used for peak detection and image reconstruction.

The localization of the EEA1 termini could be distorted a maximum of approximately 20 nm owing to the size of the antibodies. The localization accuracy of the secondary antibody was ~25 nm. Measured distances were determined in Fiji and represent distances between respective centres-of-mass. Representative experiment is shown, n = 3.

Sample preparation for optical trap experiments. Bead-supported membranes were prepared as described. The concentrations used were as in the microscopy experiments: 1 nM Rab6-5 (131–394), 100 nM Rab5-6:Nis and EEA1 concentrations (between 30 and 400 nM). Most experiments were performed at 40 nM EEA1, with additional trials taking place at 4 and 400 nM. At lowest concentrations, single transient events became difficult to observe (<5% had interactions). At the highest concentrations, the events were often non-transient or repeated.

Electron microscopy. Samples were rotary-shadowed essentially as described 19, briefly: Samples were diluted in a spraying buffer, consisting of 100 mM ammonium acetate and 30% glycerol. Diluted samples were sprayed via a capillary onto freshly cleaved mica chips. These mica chips were mounted in the high vacuum evaporator (MED 020, Baltec) and dried. Spectra of platinum coated (5–7.5 nm) and carbon was evaporated. Following deposition, the replica was floated off and examined at 71000 × magnification and imaged onto a CCD (Morgagni 268D, FEI; Morada G2, Olympus).

Analysis of electron microscopy. Images obtained were processed in ImageJ by skeletonizing the particles. Lengths were determined directly from these data and represent an overestimation due to the granularity of the platinum shadowing (5–7.5 nm granules). The bouquet plots were generated by aligning the initial five segments of the molecules and the entire population set was plotted.

To determine the curvature measure, we first took the skeletonized curves and smoothed them with a window of 8.2 nm. These curves were then segmented with 301 equally spaced points, and these smoothed curves were used for the curvature calculation. We first attempted to define curvature at one segment length (~0.75 nm) but this analysis was too noisy to obtain meaningful description of the curvature. Therefore we determined the curvature by taking the difference of the tangents and dividing it by the arc length at a distance of ~15 nm (20 points). The variance of this measure was determined, and bootstrapping with resampling was used to determine errors over the whole population and for 1,000 iterations.

Although proteins are not homogeneous polymers, the WLC model captures essential aspects of the physics underlying their shape fluctuations 40,41 . Calculation of fits to all mean tangent-correlations and the equilibration analysis of the differential distance signal during these events gave an estimated tether misalignment of less than 30° in all interactions 50 . Only transient events were further processed. silica beads alone as a negative control measured a mean contact distance of 22 nm (Fig. 3d, grey).

To calculate the persistence length for individual captured molecules we determined the equilibrium extension, $\zeta_{eq}$, from the capture distance D (nm), the average measured force increase upon tethering $\frac{\Delta F}{\Delta x}$ (pN) and the known displacements from each trap $\Delta x_i = \Delta F_i / \zeta$ and $\Delta x_i = \Delta F_i / \zeta_{eq}$ as $\zeta_{eq} = D - \Delta x_i - \Delta x_i$. With this distance, the persistence length was calculated according to

$$\chi(\Delta F, \zeta_{eq}) = \frac{k_B T}{\Delta F} \frac{z_{eq}}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4 \left( \frac{\Delta F}{\Delta x} - \frac{1}{\zeta_{eq}/L} \right) } \right)$$

Similarly to estimate the magnitude of the entropic collapse force, this formula was applied to the equilibrium extensions of EEA1, as estimated by the end-to-end distances of the molecules from electron microscopy. Values determined were (median and bounds at (2.5%, 97.5%) EEA1, 23 (14, 33) nm; extended, 73 (60, 88) nm; swapped, 26 (21, 30) nm; His, 78 (35, 140) nm. Values reported are medians and 95% confidence intervals determined from bootstrapping.

Generation of HeLa EEA1 KO cell line. HeLa EEA1 KO lines were generated using CRISPR-Cas9 technology 20 on HeLa-Kyoto cell lines obtained from the BAC recombineering facility at the Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics. Cell lines were tested for mycoplasma and authenticated (Multiplexion, Heidelberg). pSpCas9(BB-2A–GFP (PX458) and pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (PX459) were a gift from F. Zhang (Addgene plasmid 48138, 48139). A PX458 plasmid encoding a GFP–labelled Cas9 nuclease and the sgRNA sequence (from GECKO 52 library 17446, GTGGTTAAGCACTTGAAGG, targeting first exon) was transfected into standard HeLa Kyoto cells with Lipofectamine 2000 following the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were cultured in DMEM media supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin at 37°C and 5% CO2. After 3 days, the transfected cells were FACS sorted by their GFP fluorescence into 96-well plates to obtain single clones and visually inspected 53. These clones were then screened by western blotting and in-dilution formation confirmed sequencing of genomic DNA (primer forward, AGCCGCCGCTGGCCACCG; reverse, TAAAGCGCTGGCCCGGCT) Note the region is extremely GC-rich (75%, ± 250 nt from targeted indel region). Additionally, a mixed-clonal line was obtained by transfection of HeLa Kyoto with PX459 with the sgRNA sequence. After 72 h of transfection, cells were exchanged into media supplemented with 0.5µg/ml puromycin (concentration determined in separated
experiment) and selected for 3 days. All imaging experiments were confirmed on this secondary line.

**Endocytosis rescue assays.** Wild-type EEA1 and the extended and swapped variants (Extended Data Fig. 3) were cloned into customized mammalian expression plasmids under the CMV promoter resulting in untagged proteins. HeLa or HeLa EEA1-KO cells were seeded into 96-well plates and transfected (or mock transfected) after 48 h. Following 48 h after transfection, cells were exchanged into serum-free media containing 8.2 μg/ml LDL-Alexa 488 (prepared as previously described) or 100 ng/ml EGF-Alexa 488 (E13345, Thermo Fisher) for 10 min at 37°C, and washed in PBS then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde.

**Automated confocal immunofluorescence microscopy and analysis.** Fixed cells were stained with antibodies against EEA1 (laboratory-made rabbit) and Rab5 (610724, prepared in mouse, BD Biosciences) as previously described. DAPI was used to stain the nuclei. Not all early endosomes harbour EEA1 (ref. 54) and other tethering factors could compensate for EEA1 (refs 24, 55). All imaging was performed on a Yokogawa CV7000 s automated spinning disc confocal using a 60× 1.2 numerical aperture objective. Fifteen images were acquired per well and each condition was duplicated at least twice per plate, resulting in 30 or more images per condition.

Image analysis used home-made software, MotionTracking, as previously described. Images were first corrected for illumination, chromatic aberration and physical shift using multicolour beads. All cells, nuclei and cell objects in corrected images were then segmented and their size, content and complexity calculated. The intensity of EEA1 in wild-type HeLa cells was measured to determine a wild-type intensity distribution. In the rescue experiments, an intensity threshold for the transfections was set at about two times the mean of wild-type cells (Extended Data Fig. 8i). Experiments were repeated at different seeding densities with similar results. Given a cell density threshold between 10 and 100 per well, we obtained an average of more than 300 cells per condition after filtering for the transfection level of EEA1, and more than 15,000 endosomes per experiment. A two-tailed t-test was used for significance calculations.

**Cell electron microscopy.** Cells in 3 cm diameter plastic dishes were processed for electron microscopy using a method to provide particularly heavy staining of cellular components. Briefly, cells were fixed by addition of 2.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 1 h at room temperature and then washed with PBS. The cells were then processed as described and sequential incubations in solutions containing potassium ferricyanide/osmium tetroxide, thio-carbohydrazide, osmium tetroxide, uranyl acetate and lead nitrate in aspartic acid before dehydration and flat embedding in resin. Sections were cut parallel to the substratum and analysed in a JEOL 1011 transmission electron microscope (Tokyo, Japan). Images for quantitation were collected from coded samples (double blind) to avoid bias.

**Distance analysis used ImageJ.** To correct for thickness of slices (60 nm), the following equation was used:

\[
P(R) = \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^R \frac{p_0(\sqrt{R^2 - h^2})}{\sqrt{R^2 - h^2}} dh,
\]

where \( p_0(r) \) is the apparent 2D distance distribution, \( R \) is the 3D distance, \( H \) is the thickness of the slice and \( Z \) is the normalization constant. Uncorrected distance was measured at 119.8 ± 78.2 nm (mean ± s.d.), which resulted in 130.0 ± 76.8 nm corrected.
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Extended Data Figure 1 | EEA1 is a predicted extended coiled-coil dimer that binds Rab5 in a GTP-dependent manner and extends outwards from endosomes. a, Human EEA1 in COILS prediction reveals a clear coiled-structure flanked by the Rab5-binding Zn\(^{2+}\) finger on the N terminus and PI(3)P binding FYVE domain on the C terminus. b, Coomassie-stained gel of human EEA1 expressed as a GST fusion in SF+ insect cells and purified by GS affinity, cleaved on resin, and subsequently concentrated and separated from smaller contaminants by size-exclusion chromatography on a Superose 6 column. c, Static light scattering in line with size-exclusion chromatography reveals a molecular mass of 323 kDa, compared with a theoretical molecular mass of 326 kDa for a dimeric protein. d, Purified protein binds Rab5 in both standard and optical tweezer conditions (35% glycerol) in a GTP-dependent manner. GST or GST-Rab5 was purified and conjugated to GS resin, and subsequently nucleotide was exchanged to either GTP-\(^{\gamma}\)S or GDP using EDTA-Mg\(^{2+}\)-mediated exchange and subsequent wash. The GST resin was then incubated with EEA1 in either the standard or optical tweezers buffer, washed three times, and beads were then blotted for EEA1. e, Recombinant EEA1 binds specifically to PI(3)P liposomes. When mixed with POPC:POPS 85:15 liposomes, no EEA1 is observed in the liposome pellet (CTRL). In contrast, EEA1 is pelleted with control POPC:POPS:PI(3)P 80:15:5 liposomes (PI3P). f, The N-terminal Zn\(^{2+}\)-finger and C-terminal FYVE domain of EEA1 were differentially labelled with specific antibodies and STORM microscopy performed to define their localization in HeLa cells. Representative STORM images of EEA1 radial extension from endosome of \(n=22\). Scale bar, 500 nm. g, h, Primary antibody binding controls for N and C termini. Primary antibodies for the N (g) and C (h) termini were left out of the staining, resulting in no unspecific secondary staining for each. Representative of \(n=5\). Scale bar, 500 nm.
Extended Data Figure 2 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 2 | Validation of bead-supported lipid bilayers for optical tweezers, and bead tethering experiment controls and methods. To optimize the conditions for forming supported lipid bilayers on the 2–10 μm beads, we systematically investigated the dependence of membrane formation on salt and liposome concentration. a, Fluorescent profiles of supported lipid bilayer bead cross sections. At high liposome concentration (100 μM, solid line) during formation of the bilayer on the silica bead, the bead-supported membrane fluorescence intensity is circumferentially homogenous. At lower lipid concentrations (10 and 1 μM, dashed and dotted lines), less than full coverage is achieved and the supported bilayer is inhomogeneous. b, Consistent with previous reports, increasing salt concentrations result in more homogenous membrane coverage. c, Representative examples of the ‘spilled-out’ membrane of beads prepared at 100 mM (top, blue) and 250 mM (bottom, red) NaCl salt and 100 μM liposomes, of n = 5. d, Histogram of the size of membrane spilled from the beads onto the substrate when prepared at 100 and 250 mM NaCl (blue and red, respectively). This indicated that the lower salt samples (blue) were homogeneously covered with membrane and that they had little excess present, and therefore the optimal conditions for formation of membrane on the silica beads used in tethering and in optical tweezer experiments. e, Segmentation of beads and vesicles by the SQUASH method. Bead-supported bilayers and vesicles (green and magenta, respectively) were segmented as illustrated by red outlines to determine their co-localization. Representative of n = 1 generated for schematic. f, Methodology comparison for co-localization in GDP and GTP-γS conditions. All methods give P < 0.01 in a two-tailed Student’s t-test. Co-localization by signal is better than by size or object, as vesicles become undercounted at high concentrations. Mean ± s.d., n = 5. g, Co-localization of liposomes (PI(3)P, magenta) to the bead-supported membrane (GFP–Rab5, green) was strictly dependent on GTP-γS. Box–whisker plot with minimum/maximum error, n = 5. h, The co-localization of liposomes to the supported membrane was dependent on EEA1 concentration. At higher concentrations of EEA1, co-localization approached 100%. These concentrations are within the range of the concentration of endogenous protein. Mean ± s.d., n = 5. i, Time-lapse micrographs of the bead-supported bilayer labelled with GFP–Rab5 (green), and a dynamically tethered vesicle (magenta). Vesicles were observed to tether and reversibly leave the membrane, as well as diffuse about its surface. Images displayed were acquired at 350 ms intervals as z-stacks. Representative of n = 1 to acquire video. Scale bar, 2 μm. j, Example fits for radial line-profile data.
Extended Data Figure 3 | Structure prediction and sequence description of EEA1 mutants. a, COILS prediction for extended EEA1 mutant, revealing removal of most of the discontinuities in the coiled-coil. b, c, The swapped EEA1 mutant has a rearranged coiled-coil. The coiled-coil was split as indicated by red triangles in the original EEA1-WT (b), and the two regions a (shaded green) and b (shaded magenta) were rearranged in a synthetic gene, producing the swapped EEA1 variant maintaining the features and sequence of the original coiled-coil, but in an alternative location (c). d, Full sequence alignment for human EEA1 and the extended and swapped mutants used in the study. The crystal structure (Protein Data Bank accession number 3MJH) for the Zn^{2+}-finger domain is marked in dark blue close to the N terminus. Segment a of the coiled-coil region is marked in green, and segment b in magenta. The crystal structure (Protein Data Bank accession number 1JOC) of the C-terminal FYVE domain and portion of the coiled-coil is marked in cyan. Details of the mutant constructs are found in the Methods.
Extended Data Figure 4 | Extended and swapped EEA1 mutants exhibit limited changes in the presence of Rab5:GTP-γS. a, e. Rotary-shadowed EEA1-extended particles and EEA1-swapped mutants were skeletonized and analysed in ImageJ for contour length (top), resulting in normally distributed contour length histograms. The end-to-end length histograms (bottom) are similarly distributed. These data were collected on N-terminally MBP-tagged samples. Compare with wild-type in Fig. 2b, d; \( n = 212 \) for the extended and \( n = 93 \) for the swapped variants.

b–d, f, g. The EEA1 mutants revealed limited changes to their curvature in the presence of Rab5-GTP-γS (b, f; compare Fig. 2i, j), and therefore minor changes to their contour and end-to-end length histograms (c, g) and radial distribution plots (d, h); \( n = 80 \) for the extended and \( n = 47 \) for the swapped variants.

i, j. Rotary-shadowing electron microscopy of EEA1 in the presence of Rab5:GDP (compare d, h; Fig. 2g); \( n = 90 \).

k. Radial distribution function of EEA1 in the presence of Rab5:GDP (compare d, h; Fig. 2g); \( n = 90 \).
Extended Data Figure 5 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 5 | Representative segmentation, smoothing and signed curvature measures for EEA1, and averages for EEA1 and mutants. EEA1 and EEA1 mutants were skeletonized and smoothed using a moving average filter with a window of 8.2 nm, segmented to 300 equally spaced segments and aligned N terminus to C terminus by recognition of an N-terminal MBP-tag. Their curvature was calculated at 15 nm distances along the length of the proteins and plotted. a–c, Representative examples of rotary shadowing derived EEA1 curves. The original data appear in the first panel, with the second panel revealing the data after smoothing for comparison (Methods). The curvature measure, determined by how the tangents to the contour change at a distance of 15 nm along the contour is plotted below. Note that the choice of sign for the curvature measure is arbitrary for each molecule. d, e, Curvature measure and variance of this measure for EEA1 in the presence of Rab5-GDP (green) and EEA1 in the presence of Rab5-GTP-γS (magenta); n = 90, n = 145, respectively. Alignment of EEA1 curvature from the electron microscopy data reveals an increase in curvature over the length of the molecule upon Rab5 binding, whereas the extended and swapped EEA1 variants show no change. All curvature values were taken to be positive given that the N-terminal MBP could be recognized but the handedness of the molecule adsorbed to the grid could not be inferred. Bootstrapping with resampling at full population size was performed for 1,000 iterations to determine errors. f, g, Extended EEA1 variant in the absence (green) and in the presence of Rab5-GTP-γS (magenta); n = 212, n = 80, respectively. h, i, Swapped EEA1 variant in the absence (green) and in the presence of Rab5-GTP-γS (magenta); n = 93, n = 47, respectively.
Extended Data Figure 6 | Detailed persistence length and equilibration analysis for EEA1 and variants. To validate the methodology used for analysis of the persistence lengths, and to assure internal consistency in analysis methods, we systematically applied the analysis to EEA1 (and mutants, see Supplementary Data Table). The skeletonized curves were segmented to 300 equally spaced segments, where \( \theta \) describes the angle between segments. The tangent–tangent correlations were then determined for the entire ensembles. 

\( a-h \), To determine the molecular equilibration of EEA1 and variants from 3D to 2D, the kurtosis of the theta distribution (top) was calculated. Full equilibration to 2D gives a value of 3.0, and for 3D the expected value is 1.8 as the angle distributions become Gaussian. As expected, the measured kurtosis is approximately 3.0 until lengths above the persistence length of the molecule, where the equilibration begins to fail. The value at which the kurtosis began to diverge from 2D was taken as the limit for subsequent measurements, as beyond this limit (red shaded region) 3D fluctuations are not retained and as such the consequences of surface adsorption are uncertain. Next, the tangent–tangent correlation was calculated across the ensemble and fitted up to the divergence of the kurtosis (red shaded region).
Extended Data Figure 7 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 7 | Supplementary data related to optical tweezer experiments. a, Change-point analysis was used to identify changes in the mean and variance of the combined force signal. An example plot of averaged force (linear combination of signals from both traps) with respect to time. Data have been collected at 1 kHz. Two long transient interactions can be clearly identified. b, c, Cross-correlation of the force signals from each trap are not sufficient to reveal stepwise interactions as they are time-averaged. By applying cross-correlation over a correlation window of 0.8 s (blue) or 0.3 s (cyan), long transient interactions (that is, at ~4 s) could be identified. However, an unbiased identification of short transients (that is, at ~9 s) by this method was not possible. All identified long transient interactions showed characteristic changes in the cross-correlation: anti-correlation as beads are pulled together, and correlation after tethering was established. d, Change-point analysis was used to detect both changes in mean and variance of the combined force signal, and thereby identify transient interactions (red line). This procedure has the additional advantage of defining clear boundaries to stepwise processes. e, The possibility of multiple tethers taking part in the reaction was observed. Averaged force trace for wild-type EEA1 occasionally showed signals consistent with multiple interactions (cyan), in addition to single transient interactions (red). f, Zoom into time series around the transient interaction identified in the previous panel. To a first approximation, the dynamic interactions were fitted as piecewise constant steps (red). Note also two very short (<10 ms) spikes of similar magnitude (to the left and right of identified interaction) occurred but are not used in further analysis. Only transients with a duration longer than 100 ms were analysed. g, To illustrate the sensitivity of the optical tweezer experiments, a noise analysis was performed on the segment outlined in the top panel (yellow, labelled Allan analysis). The Allan deviation (square root of Allan variance, in piconewtons) gives a threshold for detecting a signal change over different averaging windows. All detected transients (blue) are at minimum an order of magnitude above this threshold. To provide perspective, the transient in the above example is indicated as a red dot. h, The entropic collapse force is balanced in the tweezer experiments below its peak value. The balance between the average restoring force in the optical traps (brown) and the entropic collapse force of EEA1 (blue) in the bound state gives the measured equilibrium force and extension (red dot). The schematic assumes the measured capture distance of 195 nm, a persistence length in the Rab5:GTP-bound state of $\lambda_p = 26$ nm, and a contour length of 222 nm. The overall trap response of the dual-trap system is treated as two springs in series with the mean trap stiffness in trap 1 ($k_1 = 0.035 \pm 0.007$ pN/nm) and the mean trap stiffness in trap 2 ($k_2 = 0.029 \pm 0.007$ pN/nm), leading to an overall trap stiffness of $k_T = 0.0159$ pN/nm (brown line). Given these parameters, the predicted equilibrium force in the optical trap for Rab5-bound EEA1 is ~0.6 pN and the predicted equilibrium extension ~160 nm. i, Force changes upon capture for Rab5-GTP-bound EEA1 and the extended and swapped variants. Force was measured from change-point analysis for transient interactions between EEA1 beads and Rab5-GTP beads. To test binding per se, the force change for 10× His-EEA1 beads tethered to Ni-NTA beads was similarly determined from established connections. For 10× His-EEA1, no transient interactions could be observed. Median change in force and 95% confidence interval from bootstrapping with resampling (lower and upper bounds at (2.5%, 97.5%)) were determined. EEA1, 0.37 (0.31, 0.46) pN; extended, 0.39 (0.35, 0.42) pN; swapped, 0.45 (0.41, 0.56) pN; 10× His, 0.19 (0.14, 0.22) pN. j, Capture distances defined at the proximal distance upon which transient interactions were observed for Rab5-bound EEA1 and the extended and swapped variants. Median capture distance and 95% confidence interval from bootstrapping with resampling (lower and upper bounds at (2.5%, 97.5%)) were determined. EEA1, 168 (141, 182) nm; extended, 195 (189, 199) nm; swapped, 183 (179, 189) nm; 10× His, 157 (120, 196) nm; n = 60, 93, 27, 24 per condition respectively. k, Mechanical work is performed as the tether collapses. The mechanical work performed during the relaxation to the new equilibrium extension is the integral under the force-extension curve. The exact value of the extracted work depends both on the capture distance (the extension at the moment of persistence length change) and on the release distance (the extension at the moment when Rab5 unbinds). The uncertainties in these extensions are different for the two positions, reflecting the different longitudinal fluctuations of the rigid or the flexible tether ($\lambda_{rigid} = 26$ nm (blue arrows), $\lambda_{flexible} = 300$ nm (magenta arrows)). For example, for a relaxation between the capture distance, $d_{capture} \approx 195$ nm and the release extension, $d_{release} \approx 122$ nm, the extracted mechanical work is $W = 14 k_BT$. © 2016 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature. All rights reserved.
Extended Data Figure 8 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Figure 8 | EEA1 mutants incapable of undergoing entropic collapse result in defects in endosomal trafficking.

A, B, Automated confocal immunofluorescence images (n = 30 each) of HeLa EEA1-KO and standard HeLa cells. EEA1 (green) and Rab5 (magenta). Scale bar, 10 μm. C, Western blot of HeLa and HeLa EEA1-KO clonal cell line for EEA1 and Rab5. D, E, G, H, Automated confocal images (n = 30 each) of HeLa EEA1-KO cells expressing no EEA1 (KO, D), rescued with wild-type EEA1 (rescue, E) or extended and swapped mutants (G, H). Cells were pulsed with fluorescently labelled cargo (LDL) (green) for 10 min, fixed and immunostained for Rab5 (magenta) and EEA1 (for EEA1, see Fig. 4). Magnified insets of endosomes are depicted at arrows. Scale bar, 10 μm. F, Relative complexity of Rab5 endosomes per cell. Each Rab5 endosome is segmented, and the segmented object requires a defined number of 2D Gaussian functions, hereby referred to as complexity. Relative to wild type, HeLa EEA1-KOs (black line) had a significantly reduced number of endosomes of high complexity (>3.0), but more endosomes defined simply by one or two Gaussian functions. Rescue experiments (red) revealed no significant difference in complexity. In contrast, both extended and swapped mutants (blue and green respectively) had significantly fewer simple endosomes of low complexity, and significantly more of higher complexity. Mean ± s.d., n = 30.

I, Histogram of fluorescence intensity of EEA1 per cell. KO cell lines had a sharp peak of intensity at background levels, whereas wild-type HeLa cells had a normal distribution. Grey box represents threshold levels of EEA1 intensity per cell taken for analysis. J–L, EGF uptake experiments. Confocal images of HeLa EEA1-KOs expressing wild-type EEA1 (rescue, J) or extended and swapped mutants (G, H). Cells were pulsed with fluorescently labelled EGF (green) for 10 min, fixed and immunostained for EEA1 (magenta). Images shown are maximum intensity projections. Scale bar, 5 μm. M, HeLa EEA1-KO cells in which the swapped EEA1 mutant was reintroduced showed clusters of vesicles and more rarely the classical endosomal morphology. The clusters were clearly delineated by a zone of cytoplasm with a distinct density. Representative of n = 19. Scale bars, 2 μm.

© 2016 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature. All rights reserved.
Extended Data Figure 9 | Unlabelled version of Fig. 5.
Extended Data Figure 10 | Bouquet plots of EEA1 and variants. EEA1 in the absence of Rab5 is predominantly extended. The initial five segments of the curves from rotary shadowing electron microscopy were aligned and the curves plotted with the end position highlighted (dots). Grey concentric hemispheres demarcate 50, 100, 150 and 200 nm extensions from the origin. The end positions therefore resulted in a cloud of empirical positions for the EEA1 N terminus of EEA1 (left), and reveal the overall change in conformational space that can be occupied by EEA1 when bound to Rab5-GTP-γS (right). a, Bouquet plots for the extended EEA1 variant. b, Bouquet plots for the swapped EEA1 variant.