Acute experimental changes in mood state regulate immune function in relation to central opioid neurotransmission: a model of human CNS-peripheral inflammatory interaction
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Although evidence shows depressed moods enhance risk for somatic diseases, molecular mechanisms underlying enhanced somatic susceptibility are ill-defined. Knowledge of these molecular mechanisms will inform development of treatment and prevention strategies across comorbid depressive and somatic illnesses. Existing evidence suggests that interleukin-18 (IL-18; an IL-1 family cytokine) is elevated in depression and implicated in pathophysiology underlying comorbid medical illnesses. We previously identified strong associations between baseline IL-18 and µ-opioid receptor availability in major depressive disorder (MDD) volunteers. Combined with the evidence in animal models, we hypothesized that experimental mood induction would change IL-18, the extent proportional to opioid neurotransmitter release. Using the Velten technique in a [11C]carfentanil positron emission tomography neuroimaging study, we examined the impact of experimentally induced mood (sad, neutral) on plasma IL-18 and relationships with concurrent changes in the central opioid neurotransmission in 28 volunteers (healthy, MDD). Results showed mood induction impacted IL-18 (F2,25 = 12.2, P < 0.001), sadness increasing IL-18 (T27 = 2.6, P = 0.01) and neutral mood reducing IL-18 (T27 = −4.1, P < 0.001). In depressed volunteers, changes in IL-18 were more pronounced (F2,25 = 3.6, P = 0.03) and linearly proportional to sadness-induced µ-opioid activation (left ventral pallidum, bilateral anterior cingulate cortices, right hypothalamus and bilateral amygdala). These data demonstrate that dynamic changes of a pro-inflammatory IL-1 superfamily cytokine, IL-18, and its relationship to µ-opioid neurotransmission in response to experimentally induced sadness. Further testing is warranted to delineate the role of neuroimmune interactions involving IL-18 in enhancing susceptibility to medical illness (that is, diabetes, heart disease and persistent pain states) in depressed individuals.
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INTRODUCTION
Evidence shows that depression enhances both susceptibility to and mortality from specific somatic illness. Increased concentration of plasma interleukin-18 (IL-18), an indicator of peripheral immune activation, has been identified both in major depressive disorder (MDD) and in somatic illness highly comorbid with depression (that is, cardiovascular illness). More specifically, in coronary artery disease, elevated plasma IL-18 has been associated with plaque progression and increased risk of clinical events. Despite common associations between MDD, elevated IL-18, and somatic illness associated with both MDD and elevated IL-18, mechanisms underlying potential immune activating effects of depressed mood are ill-defined. Emerging evidence in depressed participants shows significant correlations between plasma IL-18 and central µ-opioid receptor (µ-OR) availability, a measure of endogenous opioid neurotransmitter activity. This neurotransmitter system was previously shown dysregulated in MDD. However, whether similar neuroimmune interactions mediate the impact of depression on somatic illness remains unclear. Identifying mechanisms mediating the impact of mood on peripheral immune activation is a critical step in understanding the shared pathophysiology in co-occurring somatic diseases. This knowledge is essential to the subsequent development of novel, personalized immune-based treatment strategies in somatic comorbidities.

IL-18, initially named as interferon-γ-inducing factor, is an IL-1 family cytokine structurally homologous to IL-1β and functionally upstream of IL-1β. In animal models, IL-18 is produced, activated and secreted by monocyte-derived immune cells (dendritic cells, glial cells, adrenocortical cells, cells in the medial habenula with projections to the interpeduncular nucleus and potentially via corticotropes in the anterior pituitary). IL-18 is an...
important regulator of peripheral immune functions, inducing synthesis of a variety of inflammatory factors (that is, tumor necrosis factor-α, IL-1β, IL-6) that are elevated in depressive illness. Via a process similar to that involved in activation and release of IL-1β, preformed pro-IL-18 is activated and readily released as active IL-18 from various cell types. In short, binding of pathogen-associated molecular patterns and damage-associated molecular patterns to cell surface receptors activates intracellular inflammasomes, in turn activating cytoplasmic caspase-1, which then cleaves active IL-18 from its precursor, inactive form, pro-IL-18.

Evidence to date suggests that stress-induced release of endogenous opioid neurotransmitters can induce activation and release of IL-1 family cytokines, including IL-18. Opioid–cytokine connections involving IL-1 cytokines have been well documented. IL-1β has been shown to regulate proenkephalin and μ-OR mRNA expression in astrocyte-enriched cultures. IL-18, an IL-1 family cytokine, is a potent inducer of IL-1β. It is plausible that IL-18 could exert autocrine and/or paracrine feedback control over opioidergic neurotransmission and/or opioidergic regulation of immune functioning either directly or indirectly via IL-1β. Although evidence of IL-1β’s response to psychosocial stress in humans is lacking, several factors suggest that hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA-axis) activation will lead to similar stress-induced elevation in IL-18, as occurs with IL-1β. Activation and release of both IL-18 and IL-1β is achieved through similar mechanisms. IL-18 is a potent inducer of IL-1β, and IL-18 may be susceptible to similar acute stress-related induction as IL-1β. In animal models, potent stress-induced elevation of IL-18 has been observed in the adrenal cortex. In humans, acute stress has also been shown to induce IL-1 family cytokines. A body of existing evidence suggests that regulation of stress-related cytokine induction may be mediated by activation of μ-ORs. Opiates immune-modulating effects have been shown to occur via the activation of μ-ORs on the membrane of brain microglia in animal models and on peripheral immune cells in vitro in humans.

Preliminary evidence in humans identifies significant opioid IL-1 family cytokine interactions within the amygdala both in depressed individuals and in relation to a painful stressor in healthy controls (IL-1β). Taken together, these findings suggest that neuroimmune interactions between μ-ORs and peripheral IL-1 family cytokines, including IL-1β, may underlie the impact of depressed mood on precipitation or exacerbation of co-occurring somatic disease. However, whether central neurotransmitter mechanisms involving μ-ORs mediate the impact of mood on peripheral IL-18 concentration has not been investigated, particularly in humans.

Here, we examine the impact of experimental sad mood (and neutral mood) induction on plasma IL-18 in association with cortico-limbic endogenous opioid release using a [11C]carfentanil positron emission tomography (PET) neuroimaging paradigm. We hypothesized that mood induction will alter plasma IL-18 concentration (that is, induction of sad mood increasing IL-18, induction of neutral mood reducing IL-18). Furthermore, we anticipated the change in plasma IL-18 to be proportional to endogenous opioid release within brain regions component to circuitry underlying regulation of emotion and in specific regions shown to have both prominent HPA stress-regulatory and immune-regulatory properties (that is, amygdala). To our knowledge, this is the first in vivo molecular evidence of human inflammatory self-regulation with concurrent mechanistic evidence of central opioid neurotransmitter—peripheral inflammatory interactions. That the neuroimmune interactions identified are localized within brain regions component to cortico-limbic circuitry further underscores the impact of our findings.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was approved by the Integrated Scientific and Ethical Review Board at the University of Michigan. Written informed consent was provided by all the study volunteers. Using a modified Velten technique in a manner similar to that used in experimental mood inductions previously described, both sad and neutral mood states were experimentally induced, 45 min each, in 28 female, right-handed volunteers (13 unmedicated and 15 healthy control volunteers) in the follicular phase of menstrual cycles during a [11C]carfentanil PET neuroimaging paradigm. All scans began at 1330 hours. Volunteers’ age, educational level and ethnicity were similarly distributed across diagnoses. Diagnoses were confirmed using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders. Only healthy control volunteers and volunteers determined to have a diagnosis of MDD were included in the study. We were unable to obtain adequate volume of blood samples from one MDD subject and one healthy control subject in order to complete IL-18 ELISA assays, so these subjects were not included in the described analyses. Sad moods were induced by having study volunteers focus on the emotions they experienced during a prior event that was associated with profound sadness (that is, death of a loved one and so on). Neutral moods were induced by having study volunteers who avoid engaging in active thought processes other than acknowledging sensations experienced in the PET scanner. During the diagnostic interview, the interviewer and study volunteer decided upon a specific sad event. Mood inductions were rehearsed upon entry into the study. Volunteers were randomized and counterbalanced with respect to order of mood induction, resulting in half of volunteers inducing neutral mood first, sad mood second, and the other half inducing sad mood first, neutral mood second. Positive and negative affect schedule (PANAS-X), a measure of internal affective state, was completed at baseline and following both sad and neutral mood induction, and scores were log10 normalized for statistical comparisons. Whole blood was sampled concurrently and centrifuged at 4750 rpm for 15 min with plasma extracted into aliquots and stored at −80°C. Following completion of PET scanning, volunteers were observed in the PET suite for ~30 min to 1 h for the presence of adverse events and/or worsening of depression. MDD volunteers received a prescription for fluoxetine 20 mg daily and were followed bi-weekly by the study psychiatrist in the local clinic over the course of 10 weeks. On each follow-up visit, volunteers completed objective mood measures and psychiatric screening for worsening depression.

Using plasma samples from three time points: 0 min (study baseline), 45 min and 90 min, we obtained concentrations of IL-18 (standard ELISA, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) and cortisol (Coat a Count kits, Diagnostic Products, Los Angeles, CA, USA). Only subject IDs and time of blood sampling (0 min, 45 min and 90 min) were included on individual sample tubes. Given that subjects were randomized and counterbalanced in the orientation, our investigators were blinded with regards to particular mood state (that is, sad vs neutral) at the time of completion of ACTH, cortisol and IL-18 assays. Assay procedures for quantifying ACTH and cortisol can be found in previously published work. In contrast to the various logistic difficulties in reliably quantifying plasma IL-18, IL-18 is reliably quantified using standard ELISA techniques. Using manufacturer provided IL-18 ELISA kits (R&D Systems) IL-18 concentration was determined from plasma samples run in duplicate. The range of detectable IL-18 concentrations was 12.5 pg ml−1 (minimal detectable concentration) to 1000 pg ml−1 (highest standard concentration). Measures of IL-18, ACTH and cortisol were log10 normalized for statistical comparisons of data within SPSS (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical testing for the impact of mood induction on these biological variables was completed using repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA), with the biological variables (that is, IL-18, ACTH and cortisol) representing independent variables of interest, time (or mood induced) as the repeated factor and diagnosis (that is, MDD, healthy control) as an independent factor of interest. Statistical significance for non-neuroimaging analyses was calculated using a statistical threshold that controls a type-I error rate at α = 0.05.

Using PET and the μ-OR selective radiotracer, [11C]carfentanil, we obtained a measure of μ-OR availability (also referred to as non-displaceable binding potential, BPNO) during a neutral mood. A measure of sadness-induced central endogenous opioid neurotransmitter release (also referred to as μ-OR activation) was derived from the extent of sadness-induced reduction in μ-OR BPNO during the sad mood. Details of neuromodulatory processing and other procedures are discussed in previously published work. Planned analyses on [11C]carfentanil PET neuroimaging data included two separate neuroimaging, covariate analyses. Analysis of covariance testing was within SPM8 (Statistical Parametric Mapping, 2016 Macmillan Publishers Limited, Molecular Psychiatry (2016), 243 – 251
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RESULTS
Impact of mood induction on affective state
The effect of mood induction on PANAS-X negative affect subscale scores at 45 min intervals over the experimental duration (90 min) is depicted graphically (see Figure 1). Statistical testing with repeated-measures ANOVA identified the impact of mood induction (repeated factor) on negative affect subscale score (dependent variable). As depicted in Figure 1a, experimental mood induction had a significant effect on PANAS negative affect scores (F_{2,52} = 12.2, P < 0.001) with non-significant reduction following neutral mood induction (mean reduction in negative affect score 0.54, P > 0.05) and significant increases following induction of a sustained sadness state (mean increase in negative affect score 7.10, P < 0.001). Figure 1b illustrates the impact of mood induction on negative affect subscale scores in two distinct clusters, one for healthy control volunteers and one for MDD volunteers.

Impact of mood induction on plasma IL-18
The effect of mood induction and diagnosis on plasma IL-18 was tested using repeated-measures ANOVA with mood induced (challenge condition) as the repeated factor, diagnosis as a factor of interest and IL-18 as the dependent variable. Experimental mood induction significantly regulated IL-18 (F_{2,52} = 12.2, P < 0.001) with IL-18 reduction following neutral mood induction (T_{27} = -4.1, P < 0.001) and IL-18 increase following sad mood induction (T_{27} = 2.6, P = 0.01; see Figure 2a). In addition, the effect of mood induction on IL-18 was more pronounced in MDD volunteers as compared with healthy control volunteers (F_{2,52} = 3.6, P = 0.03). MDD volunteers had more substantial IL-18 reduction following neutral mood and greater IL-18 increase following sad mood induction as compared with healthy control volunteers (see Figure 2b).

Impact of mood induction on ACTH and cortisol
Using a similar repeated-measures ANOVA model, but with both cortisol and ACTH as dependent variables, we showed that mood induction significantly reduced both cortisol and ACTH (F_{4,13} = 16.4, P < 0.001). However, in contrast to the changes in IL-18 outlined in the above paragraph, changes in ACTH and cortisol were not dependent on type of mood induced with ACTH and cortisol reducing following both neutral and sad mood induction (P < 0.001). Further, the mood-induced reduction of both ACTH and cortisol did not differ significantly between MDD and healthy control volunteers (P = 0.65; see Figure 2c).
Impact of mood induction on plasma IL-18 when accounting for ACTH and cortisol

Using repeated-measures multivariate ANOVA model, we tested whether the mood induced (repeated factor) had a significant effect on IL-18 while accounting for the impact of mood induction on cortisol and ACTH (three dependent variables; IL-18, ACTH and cortisol). The combined effect of mood induction on the dependent variables (IL-18, ACTH and cortisol) was significant ($F_{6,56} = 4.7, P < 0.001$). Mood induction had a significant effect on each dependent variable, including IL-18 ($F_{2,36} = 8.1, P = 0.002$), ACTH ($F_{1,22} = 4.1, P = 0.05$) and cortisol ($F_{2,38} = 4.6, P = 0.02$). IL-18 reduced following neutral mood ($F_{1,22} = 14.9, P = 0.001$) and increased following sad mood induction ($F_{1,22} = 4.8, P = 0.04$). Neutral mood induction reduced both ACTH ($F_{1,22} = 3.9, P = 0.06$; marginally significant) and cortisol ($F_{1,22} = 6.8, P = 0.02$), but sad mood induction had no significant effect on either ACTH ($P > 0.1$) or cortisol ($P > 0.1$).

Impact of mood induction on the covariance relationships between IL-18 and central opioid neurotransmission

Using analysis of covariance analyses in SPM8, we tested whether mood-induced changes in IL-18 were proportional to concurrent mood-induced changes in limbic μ-OR measures. Results are outlined below. During neutral mood induction, limbic μ-OR availability, a measure of endogenous opioid tone, covaried with neutral mood-induced changes in plasma IL-18. Similarly, the increase in IL-18 during sad mood induction covaried with sadness-induced release of endogenous opioid neurotransmitter (μ-OR activation).

Neutral mood induction. During neutral mood induction, effects were particularly well defined within MDD volunteers. In MDD volunteers, the extent that neutral mood induced a reduction in IL-18 during neutral mood induction was linearly proportional to the extent of μ-OR availability (that is, $BP_{ND}$) within the left ventral pallidum, bilateral anterior cingulate cortices, right hypothalamus, and bilateral amygdala in these depressed volunteers during neutral mood induction. To clarify, amongst the depressed volunteers, greater magnitude of reduction in IL-18 during neutral mood induction was associated with more substantial μ-OR availability (reflecting reduced endogenous opioid tone) within those various brain regions with inherent HPA-modulating properties. In contrast to the widespread effects seen in MDDs, within healthy control volunteers, the linearly proportional relationships between neutral mood-induced reduction in IL-18 and greater μ-OR availability (that is, reduced endogenous opioid tone) were constrained to the right ventral tegmental area (see Table 1, Figure 3a).

Sad mood induction. Sad mood induced an increase in plasma IL-18 that covaried with sadness-induced μ-opioid neurotransmitter release in a regionally specific manner in the brain. The regions where these effects occurred differed between MDDs and healthy controls. In MDDs, significant covariance effects were present in a functionally defined pattern bilaterally within the amygdala, ventral tegmental area, hypothalamus, left ventral pallidum and bilateral medial thalamus. More specifically, within these brain regions in MDDs, sadness induced an increase in IL-18 that was...
linearly proportional to the increase in endogenous opioid neurotransmitter release (that is, µ-OR activation; see Table 1, Figure 3b). In controls, a less functionally defined pattern of covariance effects (both linearly proportional and inversely proportional effects) was identified. In controls, sadness induced an increase in IL-18 that covaried with concurrent reduction in endogenous opioid neurotransmitter release (that is, reduced µ-OR activation) in the left amygdala and right hypothalamus. More specifically, in controls, the sadness-induced increase in IL-18 was more linearly proportional to µ-OR activation within the left amygdala and right hypothalamus. However, linearly proportional effects were also present in the control group wherein the sadness-induced increase in IL-18 was linearly proportional to the sadness-induced endogenous opioid neurotransmitter release (that is, µ-OR activation) in the right ventral tegmentum (see Table 1).

Mediation analysis

Given the critical HPA and immune regulatory functions of the amygdala,46 we hypothesized that sadness-induced endogenous opioid neurotransmitter release (that is, µ-OR activation) mediated the relationship between the sadness-induced change in IL-18 and the negative affect score following sad mood induction. Using the process function47 within SPSS we tested this hypothesis. The amygdala endogenous opioid neurotransmitter release (that is, µ-OR activation) data were extracted and entered as the mediator variable in two separate simple mediation analyses. In the first analysis, extracted data from the left amygdala were entered as the mediator (Figure 3c). Results of our mediation analysis showed the model predicted the negative affect score ($R^2 = 0.67, F_{2,9} = 9.3, P = 0.006$). Although the indirect effect was significant (indirect effect $= 25.1, 5\%$ confidence interval $= 13.5, 95\%$ confidence interval $= 44.7$), the direct effect was not significant (direct effect $= -11.7, 5\%$ confidence interval $= -31.9, 95\%$ confidence interval $= 5.8$), representing full mediation through the indirect path (see Figure 3c). Similar analysis testing the mediating effects of µ-OR activation data extracted from the right amygdala was not significant ($P > 0.05$).

**DISCUSSION**

These data demonstrate dynamic changes of a pro-inflammatory IL-1 superfamily cytokine, IL-18, and its relationship to µ-opioid neurotransmission in response to experimentally induced sadness, providing preliminary evidence suggesting IL-18 may be mechanistically involved in transforming the somatically deleterious effects of negative affective states. These changes in IL-18 induced by a sustained sadness challenge and their relationship with central µ-opioid neurotransmission were particularly pronounced and present in a functionally defined pattern in MDD volunteers. Taken together, the findings we present provide further evidence in support of IL-18’s involvement in MDD. Furthermore, given the abundance of evidence suggesting IL-18’s involvement in medical illnesses highly comorbid with MDD (that is, diabetes, heart disease and persistent pain states), the data we present suggest IL-18 may mediate susceptibility to these specific medical comorbidities in depressed individuals.

The moods induced were sufficient to regulate plasma concentration of IL-18, an IL-1 family pro-inflammatory cytokine, in both healthy volunteers and patients diagnosed with MDD, albeit the overall effect of mood induction was more pronounced in MDDs. In addition, changes seen in plasma IL-18 concentration were independent of changes in both ACTH and cortisol, suggesting the presence of distinct mechanisms underlying the impact of mood induction on IL-18 as compared with those underlying the impact of mood induction on both ACTH and cortisol, the latter commonly referred to as products of body’s main stress-regulatory system. The directionality of changes in IL-18 observed was dependent on the type of mood induced (that is, sad vs neutral) in direct contrast to the mood-induced change (that is, reduction) in both ACTH and cortisol, independent of type of mood induced. Furthermore, changes in plasma IL-18 concentration from neutral to sadness states were linearly proportional with the activity of endogenous opioid, µ-OR-mediated neurotransmission.

In the setting of lower baseline endogenous opioid tone (for example, higher µ-OR availability), IL-18 is reduced during the neutral mood. In contrast, the extent of sadness-induced endogenous opioid release within the amygdala was linearly proportional to sadness-induced IL-18 elevation. These findings suggest theta dysregulated endogenous opioid processing of emotionally salient events within the amygdala (previously identified in MDD)11 may contribute to disrupted homeostatic regulation of innate immune defenses (and vice versa). Proportional relationships between IL-1 family cytokines and post-synaptic endogenous opioid release have previously been identified in both the central nervous system and peripheral nervous system in animal models. The endogenous opioid neurotransmitter system has long been implicated in the maintenance of homeostasis during stressful challenges48 and linked, within the amygdala, with pro-inflammatory mechanisms in both animal models49,50 and humans5,56 as well as contributing critical neuroendocrine-immune regulatory roles (amygdala).46 Although the bulk of

**Table 1.** Shown in the table are brain regions where endogenous opioid neurotransmitter responses to mood induction were associated with concurrent mood-induced changes in plasma IL-18 concentration

| Brain region                  | MNI coordinates | Z-score |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| **Neutral mood induction: MDDs** |                 |         |
| Left anterior cingulate cortex | 6, 31, 5        | 3.9     |
| Right anterior cingulate cortex | -11, 36, 11    | 3.9     |
| Left ventral pallidum         | 10, 11, -14     | 4.3     |
| Right hypothalamus            | -11, 2, -13     | 5.0     |
| Left amygdala                 | 17, 6, -22      | 5.2     |
| Right amygdala                | -27, 1, -22     | 3.6     |
| **Neutral mood induction: controls** |               |         |
| Right ventral tegmental area   | -4, -7, -8      | 3.8     |
| **Sad mood induction: MDDs**   |                 |         |
| Left medial thalamus          | 2, -17, 4       | 5.1     |
| Right medial thalamus         | -5, -11, 3      | 5.3     |
| Left ventral pallidum         | 7, 14, -6       | 6.0     |
| Left hypothalamus             | 17, -1, -10     | 6.7     |
| Right hypothalamus            | -10, 0, -12     | 8.3     |
| Left ventral tegmental area   | 17, -1, -10     | 6.7     |
| Right ventral tegmental area  | -2, -4, -7      | 6.3     |
| Left amygdala                 | 19, -4, -18     | 8.3     |
| Right amygdala                | -24, 0, -21     | 3.8     |
| **Sad mood induction: controls** |               |         |
| Right ventral tegmental area  | -7, 4, -3       | 5.5     |
| Right hypothalamus            | -5, 8, -13      | 4.3     |
| Left amygdala                 | 22, -3, -24     | 7.8     |
research evidence to date pertains to IL-1β (or its antagonist, IL-1ra), given that IL-18 is activated by the same enzyme that activates IL-1β (and in many of the same cells) and that IL-18 is a key (and potent) inducer of IL-1β, it stands to reason that circulating concentrations of circulating IL-18 would also be proportional to post-synaptic endogenous opioid release, specifically within brain regions where IL-18 activity has been identified. It has previously been suggested that in the brain, pre-synaptic endogenous opioid release and binding of endogenous opioids to contiguous, post-synaptic μ-ORs may perform a compensatory role in depressed states in an attempt to dampen existing depressogenic neurotransmitter activity. Overall, during neutral mood induction, we observed a reduction in IL-18 and the extent of that reduction was found to
be linearly proportional to the extent of reduction in opioid release (as determined by increase in μ-OR BPND). Consistent with evidence in animal models, we have identified similar endogenous opioid—IL-1 family cytokine relationships. However, MDD volunteers were depressed at study entry and, compared with healthy control volunteers, had higher pre-mood induction IL-18 concentration. This finding may explain why reduction in IL-18 during neutral mood induction was substantially less in the healthy control group who had lower IL-18 concentration in the presence of a euthymic mood state at baseline. Concurrently, the depressed volunteers evidenced a proportional reduction in endogenous opioid tone, but with more widespread brain localization than occurred in healthy, non-depressed volunteers, particularly pronounced within brain regions previously identified to have substantial immune modulating (amygdala),46 stress regulating (hypothalamus) and pain regulating (thalamus) functions. That these opioid-cytokine interactions were more widespread in depressed volunteers as compared with the healthy control volunteers, underscores the greater need for compensatory opioid modulation of stress (both emotional and physical) during depression in the MDD group.

In contrast, sad mood induction results in a substantial increase in plasma IL-18 concentration, potentially in response to heightened levels of perceived emotional stress consequent to recollection of the prior sad event. The concurrent increase in endogenous opioid release can again be viewed as a compensatory mechanism, in an attempt to reduce the impact of recollecting the sad event. In both groups, the opioid-cytokine proportional relationships were identified within brain regions wherein endogenous opioids have previously been shown enhanced, potentially an attempt to dampen HPA activity that has increased consequent to recollecting the stressful prior sad event. As was the case during neutral mood induction, the significant opioid-cytokine covariance relationships we identified were more widespread in the MDD group, potentially reflecting a greater need for opioid induced modulation of depressogenic neurotransmitter activity during sadness in this group of depressed individuals.

Mediation analyses indicated that the associations between changes in IL-18 plasma levels and changes in affective state during sustained mood induction were mediated by activity of this neurotransmitter system (that is, sadness-induced endogenous opioid release) in the amygdala region. This is a brain region long implicated in vigilance and fear processing as well as in both identification and regulation of emotional salience and response, and where μ-ORs have been shown to have regulatory effects.11,38,51,52 In Figure 3 (see inset in Figure 3b), we illustrate potential mechanisms whereby μ-OR may impact concentration of IL-1 family cytokines. These interactions can occur either acutely (via reactive oxygen species induction of caspase-1 and subsequent activation and release of IL-18), or sub-acute/chronically via production of pro-IL-18 de novo. The opioid receptor—IL-1 family cytokine interactions outlined in the figure potentially occur in various cells throughout the body, including dendritic cells in the peripheral blood/tissues, or in the central nervous system (that is, microglia, anterior pituitary corticotrophs and so on). Given the known relationships between IL-18 and medical illness6,8,18 the basic neuroimmune interactions we present may well represent pathways underlying risk of somatic comorbidity in those somatic illnesses both associated with elevated IL-18 and highly comorbid with MDD, further underscoring the broad ranging clinical implications of these findings. However, what remains unclear is whether mood-induced changes in IL-18 are mediated through neurohormonal stimulation of corticotrophs, adrenal cortical cells, peripheral monocytes or central glial cells remains unclear and of interest for future investigations.

Taken together, the evidence we present supports the need for further testing to delineate whether neuroimmune interactions involving IL-18 have a mediating role, enhancing susceptibility to certain medical comorbidities (that is, diabetes, heart disease and persistent pain states) in depressed individuals. The topics discussed in this manuscript are of considerable interest across many disciplines and have substantial clinical translational potential. Although the findings we present help to unravel the contribution of neuroimmune interactions potentially underlying the clinically relevant impact of mood states (and changes therein) on peripheral immune functioning, further investigation on a broader sample, potentially including individuals with a comorbid medical illness, will help to validate the relationships presented in this manuscript. In addition, although we noted that various potential confounders (age, ethnicity, body mass index and so on) were similarly distributed across diagnostic groups, our sample size was adequate for examining between-group differences. Future investigations on an expanded sample would enable secondary testing to rule out potential contributions of these confounders. In addition, although our sample size was adequate for examining between-group differences, power for examining within group correlation effects would likely improve (that is, above the current 81% power) with a larger sample size. Future studies that include larger sample sizes would help to validate the effects presented here before being generalized to the larger population.
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