INTRODUCTION

The recent global pandemic of the virus that emerged from Hubei province in China has caused coronavirus disease in 2019 (COVID-19), which has affected a total number of 900,036 people globally, involving 206 countries and resulted in a cumulative of 45,693 deaths worldwide as of 3 April 2020.¹ The mode of transmission is identified through airdrops from patients’ body fluids such as during sneezing, coughing and talking. However, the relative importance of environmental effects in the transmission of the virus has not been vastly studied. In addition, the role of temperature and humidity in air-borne transmission of infection is presently still unclear. This study aims to identify the effect of temperature, humidity and air quality in the transmission of SARS-CoV-2.

Methods and analysis

We will systematically conduct a comprehensive literature search using various databases including PubMed, EMBASE, Scopus, CENTRAL and Google Scholar to identify potential studies. The search will be performed for any eligible articles from the earliest published articles up to latest available studies in 2020. We will include all the observational studies such as cohort case–control and cross-sectional studies that explains or measures the effects of temperature and/or humidity and/or air quality and/or anthropic activities that is associated with SARS-CoV-2. Study selection and reporting will follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines and Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guideline. All data will be extracted using a standardised data extraction form and quality of the studies will be assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale guideline. Descriptive and meta-analysis will be performed using a random effect model in Review Manager File.

Ethics and dissemination

No primary data will be collected, and thus no formal ethical approval is required. The results will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication and conference presentation.

PROSPERO registration number

CRD42020176756.
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The current global pandemic of the virus that emerged from Hubei province in China has caused coronavirus disease in 2019 (COVID-19), which has affected a total number of 900,036 people globally, involving 206 countries and resulted in a cumulative of 45,693 deaths worldwide as of 3 April 2020.¹ The transmission of SARS-CoV-2 particularly related to temperature and humidity.

This review will specifically include studies on COVID-19 confirmed cases with association to air temperature and/or humidity in the study demographic areas.

This review will systematically retrieve and summarise all observational studies adhering to the standard guidelines for data collection and reporting of findings.

This review will be the first of its kind to include meta-analysis to provide evidence and increase the precision of quantitative estimates of exposures related to the transmission of SARS-CoV-2.

Why is it important to do this review?

SARS-CoV-2 has caused a turmoil in the healthcare systems worldwide and within weeks of its outbreak WHO declared it to
be a global pandemic resulting in closures of international borders subsequently exhausting the economy of affected countries. In a matter of weeks, the world has seen a surge in new cases and steady increase in mortality rates. Currently, most studies pertaining to SARS-CoV-2 are vigorously investigating the clinical manifestations and pharmacological aspects of the disease. On the other hand, it is known that the environment plays an important role in the transmission of infectious diseases that have not been emphasised. To the present knowledge, very few papers have discussed on the effect of temperature, humidity, air quality and anthropic activities on the transmission of SARS-CoV-2. We believe a comprehensive systematic review will provide the best available evidence on transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and its associated environmental risk factors, particularly evidence on effects of temperature, humidity, air quality and anthropic activities. This review is essential for public health authorities to conduct environmental health assessment, to plan activities for environmental surveillance and control.

**Objectives**

To identify the effects of temperature, humidity and air quality in the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and to determine if the effects are linked to anthropic activities.

**Review questions**

For patients who are diagnosed and confirmed COVID-19, we will identify their exposure(s) if any as:

1. Are there any effects on the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to variation of temperature, humidity, air quality and other transmission factors such as drainage systems, indoor and outdoor surface contamination?
2. Is there any association in the variation of temperature or between temperate, tropical and subtropical climate with the incidence of confirmed cases of COVID-19?
3. Is there any association between air quality with indoor and outdoor transmission of SARS-CoV-2?
4. Is there any association between anthropic activities and transmission of SARS-CoV-2?

**METHODS**

We will conduct a systematic review in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses. As this systematic review will rigorously review observational study designs with meta-analysis as the outcome, we will integrate our reporting with the Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guideline.

**Patient and public involvement**

Systematic review studies do not require patients and/or public involvement.

**Eligibility criteria**

**Types of studies**

This systematic review will include all published either peer reviewed, preprint or expedited published articles of observational study designs including cohort, case-control, cross-sectional, control cohort before and after (CChBA) and interrupted time series (ITS) that evaluate the risk of exposure for transmission of SARS-CoV-2. We will also include case reports, sentinel surveillance and outbreak reports, which describe on the risk factors associated with transmission of SARS-CoV-2. We will also include guidelines, opinion papers and communication that show potential evidence associated with temperature, humidity and SARS-CoV-2.

**Population**

We will include studies that recruit participants at large, as defined by the study authors. We will group the population as similar if they come from the same climate zone.

**Types of exposures**

We will include all types of climate conditions as exposures associated with transmission of SARS-CoV-2 among the population. Climate conditions are considered as the variation of temperature, humidity and air quality. Anthropic activities are any human activities that affect the environment such as drainage systems, indoor and outdoor surface contamination which may contribute to the transmission of the SARS-CoV-2. The exposures are defined as (1) measures or variation of estimates of temperature or any environments with moderate rainfall spread across the year or portion of the year with sporadic drought, mild to warm summers and cool to cold winters, (2) measures of humidity which refers to the presence of water vapour in the air and (3) measures of anthropic activities. The exposures will be measured as per definition by the study authors or by using validated standardised measurement scales such as sol-air thermometer and microelectromechanical system.

**Types of outcome measures**

The outcomes of this review are divided into primary and secondary outcomes. Primary outcome is total number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 of any severity involving children and adults irrespective of sex and comorbidities as defined in accordance with the standard case definitions by WHO and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines. Confirmed case is defined as secondary outcomes are (1) total number of cases in critical care, (2) total number of cases with mild symptoms as defined by study authors or WHO, (3) total number of asymptomatic cases (if available) and (4) total number of deaths. Percentage of cases associated to seasonality and optimum temperature and humidity associated with the highest and the lowest cases will also be identified.
Information sources

Electronic searches

We will systematically conduct a comprehensive literature search using various databases including PubMed, EMBASE, Scopus, CENTRAL and Google Scholar to identify potential studies. We will retrieve earliest eligible published articles up to latest available studies in 2020. We will adapt the search strategy with the key elements in research question: population, exposure and outcome. We will use a comprehensive search strategy include searching using the Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms and free-text terms in the title and abstract on databases (see online supplemental appendix 1). This review will identify potential studies without language restrictions and we will translate the non-English languages abstracts and articles for inclusion in this review. We will also check reference lists from all reviews related to the inclusion criteria.

Selection of studies

We will employ the standard Cochrane methods, as described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Two review authors (CJ and NAM) will independently screen for potentially eligible studies by inspecting the titles and abstracts to generate a shortlist. We record and import search results of their findings in Mendeley—Reference Management Software. Two review authors (CJ and RMAG) then will independently inspect the abstracts or full texts, or both, of these shortlisted studies further to determine final eligibility, using the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. We will resolve any disagreement with the help of a third review author (NAM) who acted as an arbiter. We will include published and unpublished studies available in the full-text article or abstract form. Two review authors (MHJ and ZMI) will independently extract data from included study using a dedicated data collection form. We will collect study characteristics such as study design, setting, country, participants, exposure, comparator (if any), outcomes and sponsorship details. We will also check reference lists from all reviews related to the inclusion criteria.

Data extraction

We will collect study characteristics, including study design, setting, country, participants, exposures, comparators, outcomes, sponsorship details, declaration of interests of the primary investigators and methods used to control possible conflicts of interests, and other information considered relevant according to the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. We will resolve potential discrepancies through discussion and by involving a third review author if necessary. Reviewers will use a standardised data extraction form that will be piloted in this review. Two authors will extract the study characteristics, quantitative data (findings on the exposures) and outcome data from included studies.

Risk of bias

All included papers will be evaluated for study quality. All selected studies will be examined for methodological quality using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) in non-randomised studies of intervention. The NOS composed of all these aspects: selection, comparability and exposure or outcome. The maximum score is 9 points, where studies with NOS Score <3 is classified poor, NOS Score between 3 and <7 considered moderate and NOS Scores ≥7 classified high quality. Two authors will independently assess the risk of bias for each study according to the criteria incorporated by NOS. We will include studies with potential high risk of bias. We will judge each potential source of bias as high, low or unclear and provide a quote from the study report together with justifications for our judgement in the risk of bias in included studies table. We will grade the level of certainty of evidence with very low, low, moderate and high.

Summary of findings table

We will create a ‘summary of findings’ table by tabulating the following outcomes: (1) temperature and (2) humidity. We will assess the quality of evidence for all outcomes using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) methodology. The GRADE elements consist of study limitations, consistency of effect, indirectness, imprecision and publication bias.

Data analysis and statistical analysis

We will conduct meta-analysis if there are at least two similar studies with broadly similar population, exposure and outcome measures using a random effect model in Review Manager File. Studies are considered sufficiently similar if the relevant outcome data are available in the group originally allocated or if the exposures such as temperature in the allocated group belongs to the same category, for example, low temperature during winter, spring and fall or high temperature during summer. We will also categorise the studies as sufficiently similar according to the climates or total rain fall from where the study is conducted. Our primary data analysis will include proportions, prevalence or counts on the positive cases of SARS-CoV-2.

Strategy for data synthesis

We will consider studies to be sufficiently similar namely with the same category or population, exposure and outcome as defined above. We will conduct a meta-analysis if more than one study that provides usable data in any single comparison is available. We will stratify the analyses in forest plots and tables by type of studies such
as case-control, cohort or cross-sectional, CChBA and ITS. We will chart and tabulate descriptive summary of the results on environmental exposures as defined above. We will describe skewed data and report as medians and IQRs. Data will be qualitatively synthesised based on types of exposures and outcomes.

Assessment of reporting biases

Publication bias will be assessed by the Begg’s rank correlation and Egger’s weighted regression method,

whenever available and we will further create and examine the funnel plots for publication bias.

Reaching conclusions

We will base our conclusions only on findings from the quantitative or narrative synthesis of included studies for this review. The conclusions can be used as guidelines like to express our gratitude to the National University of Malaysia and National

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

We will register this systematic review with the National Medical Research Register, Ministry of Health Malaysia. This protocol will be registered with PROSPERO. We will present the findings of this review in National and International Conferences, Continuous Medical Education and we will disseminate to policy-makers and top management (stakeholders) of Ministry of Health Malaysia.
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