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Abstract: Data Science in healthcare is an innovative and capable for industry implementing the data science applications. Data analytics is recent science in to discover the medical data set to explore and discover the disease. It's a beginning attempt to identify the disease with the help of large amount of medical dataset. Using this data science methodology, it makes the user to find their disease without the help of health care centres. Healthcare and data science are often linked through finances as the industry attempts to reduce its expenses with the help of large amounts of data. Data science and medicine are rapidly developing, and it is important that they advance together. Health care information is very effective in the society. In a human life day to day heart disease had increased. Based on the heart disease to monitor different factors in human body to analyse and prevent the heart disease. To classify the factors using the machine learning algorithms and to predict the disease is major part. Major part of involves machine level based supervised learning algorithm such as SVM, Naviebayes, Decision Trees and Random forest.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Healthcare research in 1990’s researching the electronic healthcare data. It focusses on information management, operational management, research details and organizational management is a major challenges of healthcare systems. IT services to reduce the manual data work and reduce the cost. It can increase the productivity and efficient outcomes of the patient. Security services in healthcare sensitive data uploaded to the cloud [1] [2]. 20th century, Healthcare focuses and shifted from treating heart-based disease and to preventing it in those at risk. In 1998, to identify the heart disease risk factors based on family environment, hypertension, stress, age, smoking, cholesterol, diabetes and life style is the major risk factor in the patient. Now a day, risk factors can be improved in the public patient health.

II. DIFFERENT TYPES OF ATTACKS IN HEART

Heart attack is a form of ACS is known as a myocardial infarction. ACS (Acute coronary syndrome) is the arteries that carry blood, nutrients and get blocked. It occurs blood supply doesn’t go properly in the heart. Acute Coronary Syndrome refers to any group of clinical symptoms that occur when there isn’t enough blood flow to heart. Three different types of ACS are ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), Non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) and coronary spasm, or unstable angina. The ST segment represents the isoelectric period when the ventricles are in between depolarization and repolarization.

STEMI: A STEMI is a full-blown heart attack caused by the complete blockage of a heart artery. A STEMI heart attack is taken very seriously and is a medical emergency that needs immediate attention.

SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS OF STEMI:
1. Chest pain or discomfort
2. Shortness of breath
3. Dizziness or light-headedness
4. Nausea or vomiting
5. Diaphoresis

NSTEMI: An NSTEMI differs from a STEMI, which is the most common type of heart attack, by causing less damage to a person’s heart. While there may be less heart damage, an NSTEMI is still a serious condition. Treatment for an NSTEMI depends on how blocked the coronary artery is as well as the severity of the heart attack itself.

SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS OF NSTEMI:
- Feeling Short of breath
- Pain or tightness in the jaw
- Excessive sweating

CORONARY SPASM: Coronary artery disease causes narrowing in one or more of the coronary arteries. Chest pain is a common symptom of coronary artery disease. Coronary artery spasm is a brief and temporary.[4]

SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS OF CORONARY SPASM:
- Chest tightness
- A feeling of constriction
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III. PROPOSED ALGORITHM:

The algorithm is diagrammatically depicted as shown in the Fig I.

Step 1: The first step is to collect the input image and the image processing system is applied to the data.

Step 2: The image processing system applies the grey scale conversion to the input image.

Step 3: The converted image is then classified.

Fig I: Heart disease data processing system

Step 4: The features of the image is extracted from the classified image. The feature extraction is to segregate the data based on the categories.

Step 5: In this step the Disease is predicted based on the threshold level.

Step 6: Disease is categorized based predicted value.

Step 7: Then we apply the decision making system to identify the newly inserted data.

Step 8: Finally we apply the Feature reduction to reduce the size of the data.

Fig II. Naïve Bayes Theorem

IV. CLASSIFIERS

4.1 Naïve Baye’s:

Naïve bayes (as shown in Fig.II) is a very popular classification algorithm. It identifies the base accuracy of the given dataset. It can be correlate non correlated data [5].

Parameters used in the system

1. Age age in years
2. sex(1 = male; 0 = female)
3. cp = chest pain type
4. trestbps = resting blood pressure (in mm Hg on admission to the hospital)
5. chol = serum cholesterol in mg/dl
6. fbs(fasting blood sugar > 120 mg/dl) (1 = true; 0 = false)
7. restecg = resting electrocardiographic results
8. thalach = maximum heart rate achieved
9. exang = exercise induced angina (1 = yes; 0 = no)
10. oldpeak = ST depression induced by exercise relative to rest
11. slope = the slope of the peak exercise ST segment
12. ca = number of major vessels (0-3) colored by flourosopy
13. thal = normal; 6 = fixed defect; 7 = reversible defect

During the attacks there are different factors are measured to identify the risk factors. The factors are age, sex, chest pain type, blood pressure, cholestoral, blood sugar, electrocardiographic, heartrate, exang.

The main factor is blood sugar can be blood sugar > 120 mg/dl. If blood sugar decreases, the risk factor of the patient increased in the heart disease.

4.2 KNN (K Nearest Neighbhor):

K- Nearest Neighbors is a supervised machine learning algorithm, it knows the target variable. It also uses classification and regression.

It uses similarity values and to predict the new values from the cluster. It is not an assumption of non-parametric distribution value pattern.

At the time of prediction, all the data points are mainly used. No training step, prediction step so costlier [6].

In our experimentation, we have age and testbps in a dataset along with the different categories of heart disease. We want to predict the heart disease of different ages, whose age and testbps affected in the heart disease [3].

Step 1: Choose a value for K. K should be an odd number (age or testbps).

Step 2: Find the testbps values of the new point >= 120 to each of the training data.

Step 3: Find the K nearest neighbors to the new data point in testbps and different age group.

Step 4: For classification, count the number of data points in test bps and age each category among the k neighbors. New data point will belong to class that has the most neighbors.
4.3 LSVC (Longitudinal support vector classifier): LSVC is based on support vector machine, it separates data from the datasets based on different classes. It also detects the cost of data based on the regression techniques [7].

4.4 SVM (Support Vector Machine): Support vector machine is to build a multi-dimensionally separating a data from different classes. It also increase and maximizing the distance from multidimensional data to the near set data of the class. It can assign the nearest data point. These data points are called support vectors [8][9].

Table I: Age based heart Analysis Data

| S.No | Age | Sex | cp | trestbps | chol | fbs | restecg | thalach | exang | oldpeak | slope | ca | thal | Target |
|------|-----|-----|----|----------|-----|-----|---------|--------|-------|---------|-------|----|------|--------|
| 1    | 63  | 1   | 3  | 145      | 233 | 1   | 0       | 150    | 0     | 2.3     | 0     | 0  | 1    | 1      |
| 2    | 37  | 1   | 2  | 130      | 250 | 0   | 1       | 187    | 0     | 3.5     | 0     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 3    | 41  | 0   | 1  | 130      | 204 | 0   | 0       | 172    | 0     | 1.4     | 2     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 4    | 56  | 1   | 1  | 120      | 236 | 0   | 1       | 178    | 0     | 0.8     | 2     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 5    | 57  | 0   | 0  | 120      | 354 | 0   | 1       | 163    | 1     | 0.6     | 2     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 6    | 57  | 1   | 0  | 140      | 192 | 0   | 1       | 148    | 0     | 0.4     | 1     | 0  | 1    | 1      |
| 7    | 56  | 0   | 1  | 140      | 294 | 0   | 0       | 153    | 1     | 1.3     | 0     | 2  | 1    | 1      |
| 8    | 44  | 1   | 1  | 120      | 263 | 0   | 1       | 173    | 0     | 0       | 0     | 2  | 3    | 1      |
| 9    | 52  | 1   | 2  | 172      | 199 | 1   | 1       | 162    | 0     | 0.5     | 2     | 0  | 3    | 1      |
| 10   | 57  | 1   | 2  | 150      | 168 | 0   | 1       | 174    | 0     | 1.6     | 2     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 11   | 54  | 1   | 0  | 140      | 239 | 0   | 1       | 160    | 0     | 1.2     | 2     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 12   | 48  | 0   | 2  | 130      | 275 | 0   | 1       | 139    | 0     | 0.2     | 2     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 13   | 49  | 1   | 1  | 130      | 266 | 0   | 1       | 171    | 0     | 0.6     | 2     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 14   | 64  | 1   | 3  | 110      | 211 | 0   | 0       | 144    | 1     | 1.8     | 1     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 15   | 58  | 0   | 3  | 150      | 283 | 1   | 0       | 162    | 0     | 1       | 2     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 16   | 50  | 0   | 2  | 120      | 219 | 0   | 1       | 158    | 0     | 1.6     | 1     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 17   | 58  | 0   | 2  | 120      | 340 | 0   | 1       | 172    | 0     | 0       | 2     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 18   | 66  | 0   | 3  | 150      | 226 | 0   | 1       | 114    | 0     | 2.6     | 0     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 19   | 43  | 1   | 0  | 150      | 247 | 0   | 1       | 171    | 0     | 1.5     | 2     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 20   | 69  | 0   | 3  | 140      | 239 | 0   | 1       | 151    | 0     | 1.8     | 2     | 2  | 2    | 1      |
| 21   | 59  | 1   | 0  | 135      | 234 | 0   | 1       | 161    | 0     | 0.5     | 1     | 0  | 3    | 1      |
| 22   | 44  | 1   | 2  | 130      | 233 | 0   | 1       | 179    | 1     | 0.4     | 2     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 23   | 42  | 1   | 0  | 140      | 226 | 0   | 1       | 178    | 0     | 0       | 2     | 0  | 2    | 1      |
| 24   | 61  | 1   | 2  | 150      | 243 | 1   | 1       | 137    | 1     | 1       | 1     | 0  | 2    | 2      |

Graph I: Age based heart Analysis Graph using trestbps

As shown in Graph I, we predicted the heart disease of different ages, in that ages and testbps affected in the heart disease.

V. RESULT

Table II. Accuracy Level of Different Classifiers

| S.No | Classifier Name | Accuracy Level |
|------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1    | LR              | 0.75563        |
| 2    | KNN             | 0.74098        |
| 3    | NB              | 0.73945        |
| 4    | SVC             | 0.75727        |
| 5    | LSVC            | 0.7638         |

Table II shows the Accuracy level of prediction [10] of heart disease in the different classification techniques 75, 74, 73, 75, 76. These values help us to predict the heart disease based on the accuracy and threshold values. Compared to the classification techniques LSVC is better than the other classification techniques based on the evidence observation.

Graph II: Accuracy level of heart disease data using different classifier

As shown in Graph II, we compared different classification techniques. In all those techniques LSVC is better than others.
VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, mainly discussed on different effective techniques that can be used for predicting the heart diseases using classification techniques is evaluated based on the different parameters using classification algorithms. A main challenge in machine learning technique is to predict the heart disease using different algorithms in the healthcare applications. It is mainly discussed to predict different ages of patient and their blood sugar level, cholesterol, heart rate and chest pain. These techniques very performance of Naive Bayes shows high level compare with other classifiers. This automated system to detect efficient trust based intelligent system which predicts the heart attack accurately based on the symptoms according to gender/age and knowledge of ANN in the field at the lowest cost.
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