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Abstract – Anosognosia is often found in Alzheimer`s disease (AD), but its relationship with cognitive-behavioral changes is not well established. Objective: To verify if anosognosia is related to cognitive-behavioral disturbances, and to regional brain dysfunction as evaluated by neuroimaging. Methods: We included AD patients with Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores of 12 through 24, and Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scores of 1 or 2. Dementia diagnosis was based on DSM-IV and NINCDS-ADRDA criteria. We used Self-Consciousness Questionnaire (SCQ) and Denial of Illness Scale (DIS), and following neuropsychological counterproofs: WAIS-R digit span, Rey auditory verbal learning, verbal fluency test (category: animals), Cummings´ neuropsychiatric inventory (NPI) and Cornell scale for depression in dementia (CSDD). Results: We studied 21 patients (12 men, 9 women) with AD (14 mild, 7 moderate), age 72.4±8.5 years, education 4.9±4.2 years, and MMSE score 18.2±5. SCQ and DIS did not correlate to age, education, or regional cerebral perfusion defects, but they tended to correlate to disease duration (and only SCQ also to MMSE). SCQ and DIS were correlated neither to CSDD, NPI, CDR, nor to any neuropsychological test. Significant correlations were found between SCQ and DIS, as well as between SCQ domain of “moral judgment” and MMSE. Conclusion: SCQ and DIS were not correlated to age, education, disease duration, cognitive-behavioral measures, dementia severity, or regional cerebral perfusion defects, but were correlated to each other, suggesting SCQ and DIS evaluate similar mental functions.
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Anosognosia (Gk. “gnosis”, knowledge; “nosos”, disease) has been defined broadly as “apparent unawareness, misinterpretation, or explicit denial of illness”, or as impaired insight for behavioral and cognitive problems. Other authors have approached anosognosia as an impairment of self-consciousness (SC). SC is then conceived as the process by which the subject becomes the object of their own awareness by realizing that they are perceiving something (their own body or the outside world) or reflecting on their own history (autobiography) or projects. SC is thus a complex mental function dependent on memory and other cognitive functions, comprising different aspects or degrees, which have to be taken into account.

Anosognosia has been reported in Alzheimer’s disease (AD), with a prevalence ranging between 15% and 25%. AD involves pervasive changes of attention, perception, memory, humor, and personality, and whose relation with anosognosia is not well understood.

Even the relationship between anosognosia and dementia severity has been inconsistent, partly because other confounding variables (e.g., depression) have not been taken into account. Smith et al. have found a positive correlation between dementia severity and degree of anosognosia after controlling for depressive symptoms, while other authors have found similar correlation even without controlling for these symptoms.

As regards the role of depression, various authors have found it to be negatively correlated to anosognosia in AD patients, while others have not. Migliorelli et al. found that patients with depressive symptoms (dysthymia) had lower anosognosia scores (i.e., greater insight) than patients with AD who had either major depression or no depression. Therefore, it is important to distinguish between major depression and depressive symptoms when analyzing anosognosia.

The relationship between anosognosia and regional brain dysfunction is not well established. Anosognosia has been associated with right-hemisphere lesions involving the parietal and temporal lobes, thalamus, and basal ganglia, as well as the frontal lobes. According to Starkstein & Robinson in a study of stroke patients, the presence of neglect and frontal-subcortical dysfunction may constitute important predisposing factors. Furthermore, Reed et al. and Starkstein et al. have found anosognosia associated with a deficiency in the perfusion of the dorsolateral portion of the right frontal lobe. Thus, Lopez et al. and Stuss & Benson suggest that the frontal lobes play a relevant role in self-consciousness and monitoring of cognitive tasks. As such, anosognosia would result from a deficit in auto-monitoring due to frontal lobe dysfunction. However, Starkstein et al. detected no significant difference between AD patients with and without anosognosia, as regards their performance in tests of executive function.

The aim of this study was to verify relationships between anosognosia and cognitive deficits, depressive symptoms, behavioral disturbances, and regional cerebral blood flow in patients with mild to moderate AD. Our hypotheses were that anosognosia would be more frequent and severe (1) the longer the duration of the disease, (2) the more widespread the brain lesions, (3) the more severe the cognitive deficits and dementia, and (4) the lower the depressive scores.

**Methods**

We included patients with probable AD consecutively attended at our university hospital, aged 45 to 95 years, and presenting scores from 12 to 24 on Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), and scores 1 or 2 on Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR). Exclusion criteria were any clinically significant cardiac, pulmonary, hepatic or renal disease, chronic exposition to neurotoxic compounds, or previous head trauma with loss of consciousness. Major depressive disorder as a cause of dementia syndrome was excluded when not fulfilling DSM-IV criteria for depression or when cognitive complaints had not improved after satisfactory treatment of depressive symptoms. All patients gave their informed consent to participate, in accordance with the rules of our Medical School Ethics Committee.

All patients provided a medical history, and underwent physical, neurological, and neuropsychological examination. Diagnosis of dementia was based on DSM-IV criteria, as well as on NINCDS-ADRDA for AD. Computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), cerebral blood flow imaging (SPECT tomography using technetium-99m-HMPAO), electroencephalography, cerebrospinal fluid analysis, and relevant laboratory blood tests were performed to rule out other causes of dementia. SPECT images were interpreted by noting the location, extent and severity of the perfusion defects, and subsequently classified into the following perfusion patterns, according to Holman et al.:

- A, normal;
- B, bilateral posterior temporal and/or parietal cortex defects;
- C, bilateral posterior temporal and/or parietal cortex defects with additional defects;
- D, unilateral posterior temporal and/or parietal cortex defects with or without additional defects;
- E, frontal cortex defects only;
- F, other large (>7 cm) defects;
- G, multiple small (≤7 cm) cortical defects.
Neuropsychological investigation comprised MMSE, WAIS-R Digit Span for attention, Verbal Fluency test (VF; category: animals’ names in one minute) for executive functions, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning test for memory (RAVLT), Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI), and Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia (CSDD). CSDD was used to quantify depressive symptoms in our dementia patients.

Assessment of anosognosia was carried out using the Self-Consciousness Questionnaire and the Denial of Illness Scale (see Appendix). The Self-Consciousness Questionnaire (SCQ) has fourteen questions, four of them concerning “identity” (Nos. 1, 5, 6, 7), three “knowledge of cognitive disturbances” (metamemory or metacognition: Nos. 2, 3, 4), one “self-evaluation of the affective state” (No. 8), two “knowledge about representation of the body” (Nos. 9, 10), one on “anticipation” (prospective memory: No. 11), one “capacities for introspection” (prospective memory: No. 12), and two “moral judgment” (Nos. 13, 14). The answers were classified as relevant or correct (two points), incorrect (no points), or partly correct (one point). The higher the score, the greater the degree of self-consciousness.

### Table 1. Demographics and results of Mini-Mental State Examination, Self-Consciousness Questionnaire, Denial of Illness Scale, and cognitive-behavioral evaluation.

| Subjects | Sex | Age | Educ | Durat | MMSE | SCQ | DIS | RAVLT | CDR | Atten | VF | NPI | CSDD |
|----------|-----|-----|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-------|-----|-------|----|-----|------|
| AFS      | F   | 83  | 4    | 5     | 14   | 13.16 | 8   | 3.1   | 1    | 1     | 7  | 0   | 0    |
| EM       | M   | 77  | 4    | 4     | 25   | 13.00 | 4   | 4.0   | 1    | 4     | 16 | 0   | 0    |
| MMK      | F   | 74  | 1    | 10    | 13   | 12.66 | 4   | 2.8   | 2    | 3     | 4  | 3   | 1    |
| PF       | M   | 68  | 4    | 11    | 8    | 12.50 | 1   | 0.8   | 2    | 2     | 5  | 0   | 0    |
| MAL      | F   | 75  | 2    | 9     | 15   | 9.00  | 8   | 2.1   | 2    | 3     | 7  | 2   | 1    |
| ACV      | F   | 62  | 4    | 3     | 12   | 13.00 | 2   | 1.6   | 2    | 3     | 6  | 0   | 1    |
| APP      | F   | 74  | 4    | 2     | 22   | 12.50 | 4   | 5.4   | 1    | 6     | 12 | 3   | 1    |
| IAR      | F   | 63  | 4    | 7     | 14   | 7.75  | 5   | 2.8   | 2    | 3     | 6  | 5   | 1    |
| LB       | M   | 76  | 1    | 2     | 26   | 13.00 | 4   | 6.2   | 1    | 5     | 14 | 0   | 0    |
| IM       | M   | 78  | 2    | 5     | 16   | 11.73 | 6   | 3.2   | 1    | 7     | 11 | 4   | 2    |
| ADC      | F   | 77  | 1    | 4     | 14   | 11.83 | 6   | ND    | ND   | ND    | ND | ND | ND   |
| OC       | M   | 56  | 4    | 8     | 27   | 14.00 | 7   | 7.2   | 1    | 4     | 13 | 0   | 0    |
| FV       | M   | 78  | 2    | 2     | 19   | 14.00 | 3   | 3.8   | 1    | 4     | 6  | 0   | 0    |
| TLFM     | F   | 80  | 8    | 7     | 24   | 13.26 | 7   | 4.7   | 1    | 7     | 12 | 0   | 0    |
| JLC      | M   | 66  | 15   | 3     | 20   | 10.50 | 7   | ND    | ND   | ND    | ND | ND | ND   |
| PG       | M   | 74  | 4    | 8     | 17   | 12.08 | 7   | ND    | 2    | ND    | ND | ND | ND   |
| PM       | M   | 75  | 1    | 2     | 18   | 12.50 | 3   | 3.0   | 1    | 5     | 9  | 0   | 1    |
| EG       | M   | 52  | 15   | 3     | 19   | 14.00 | 3   | 3.1   | 2    | 5     | 8  | 16 | 3    |
| MCG      | F   | 85  | 8    | 6     | 20   | 9.50  | 8   | 3.9   | 1    | 4     | 7  | 0   | 0    |
| SL       | M   | 78  | 11   | 1     | 23   | 14.00 | 1   | 3.8   | 1    | 4     | 8  | 1   | 1    |
| JR       | M   | 69  | 4    | 5     | 16   | 12.66 | 5   | ND    | ND   | ND    | ND | ND | ND   |

Educ, education; years durat; disease duration; years atten; attention test; SCQ, Self-Consciousness Questionnaire; DIS, Denial of Illness Scale; VF, verbal fluency; F, female; M, male; ND, not done; remaining notations, see text (Methods).
Table 2. Results of structural (CT, MR) and functional (SPECT) neuroimaging.

| Subjects | CT or MRI | SPECT [Holman’s pattern] |
|----------|-----------|--------------------------|
| AFS      | Mild CSCA + HSFSCWM | Mild inferior bifrontal HP [E] |
| EM       | Marked CSCA + HSFSCWM | Moderate diffuse cortical HP [G] |
| MMK      | Moderate CSCA | Normal [A] |
| PF       | Moderate bilateral F-T-P atrophy | Marked bi-T-P-O HP [B] |
| MAL      | Moderate biparietal atrophy | Normal [A] |
| ACV      | Mild CSCA + HSFSCWM | Moderate bilateral T-P-O HP [B] |
| APP      | Normal | ND |
| IAR      | Normal | Normal [A] |
| LB       | Mild CSCA | Normal [A] |
| IM       | Moderate CSCA + HSFCSWM | Multiple bilateral cortical HP areas [G] |
| ADC      | Moderate CSCA + HSFCSWM | Moderate biparietal HP [B] |
| OC       | Normal | Mild bilateral T-P HP [B] |
| FV       | Moderate CSCA + HSFCSWM | Normal [A] |
| TLFM     | Mild CSCA (mostly F) | Mild bilateral T-P-O-cingulate HP [C] |
| JLC      | Mild CSCA (mostly P) + HSFCSWM | Mild bilateral P-O HP [B] |
| PG       | Mild CSCA | Mild bitemporal HP [B] |
| PM       | Mild CSCA | ND |
| EG       | Mild P and medial T atrophy | ND |
| MCG      | Moderate CSCA | Moderate left T and diffuse cortical HP [D] |
| SL       | Mild CSCA | ND |
| JR       | Mild CSCA | ND |

CSCA, cortico-subcortical atrophy; HSFSCWM, high signal foci on subcortical white matter; HP, hypoperfusion; F, frontal; T, temporal; P, parietal; O, occipital; ND, not done; Holman’s patterns A, B, C, D, E, G; see text (Methods).

the greater the degree of anosognosia. SCQ and DIS were translated to Portuguese by the authors, since these scales had not been published or validated in Brazil.

Data analysis by means of Statistica software 6.0 (StatSoft Inc., 2001) used contingency tables (chi-square) and Pearson coefficient for correlations between anosognosia items and neuropsychological tests (counterproofs). The significance level was 5% (two-tailed).

Results

We studied 21 patients (12 men, 9 women) with probable AD (14 mild and 7 moderate), age 72.4±8.5 years (mean±standard deviation), education 4.9±4.2 years, and score on MMSE 18.2±5.0 (Table 1). CT was performed in all patients and MRI in 12. SPECT could not be performed in 5 patients because of operational difficulties or patient refusal. SCQ scores were not related with age, education, or regional perfusion defects found on SPECT images, but tended to correlate with disease duration and MMSE scores, though did not reach statistical significance (r= –0.324 and r=0.317, respectively). In the analysis of correlation between SCQ scores and SPECT patterns (A, B, C, D, E, G; see Table 2), SCQ scores were classified into two subgroups: from 7 to 10.99, and from 11 to 14, since the minimum score was 7.75 and the maximum score, 14. Likewise, DIS scores did not show any correlation to age, education, MMSE, or SPECT data, but tended to correlate to disease duration (r=0.3208), though did not reach statistic significance.

SCQ and DIS scores did not correlate with mood state (CSDA), behavioral changes (NPI), dementia severity (CDR 1 and 2), nor with any of the neuropsychological
tests (digit span, verbal fluency, Rey verbal learning). Also, there were no correlations between the different aspects of self-consciousness and any of these cognitive and behavioral variables. The only significant correlations were found between SCQ and DIS scores ($r= -0.4482$, $t=2.185$, df=19, $p<0.05$) and between the domain of "moral judgment" of SCQ and MMSE ($r=0.4629$, $t=2.2763$, df=19, $p<0.05$).

**Discussion**

In agreement with other authors, we have found no correlations between SCQ or DIS scores and age, education, and disease duration$^2$. However, in contrast with these authors, we found no correlation either between SCQ or DIS, and dementia severity as measured by CDR or MMSE scores. As expected, there was a negative correlation between the scores of SCQ and those of DIS, suggesting they measure similar mental changes.

The analysis of each aspect of self-consciousness relative to the neuropsychological (MMSE, verbal learning, digit span, verbal fluency) or neuropsychiatric variables (CSDD, NPI) showed significant positive correlation only between the domain of "moral judgment" and MMSE, in agreement with Gil et al.$^2$. This finding is difficult to interpret. It may simply be a statistical artefact without clinical meaning, since changes of moral judgment are usually related to frontal-orbital regions, and only one of our patients had bifrontal hypoperfusion, but without MRI signs of frontal atrophy. Most of our patients had atrophy and hypoperfusion in posterior regions (temporal, parietal, occipital), often bilaterally. Recent studies$^{32}$ have shown moral judgment ability to be a complex function, which requires a whole neurofunctional network, including posterior associative brain regions and integrating semantic-cultural knowledge and appropriate motivational and affective states.

We hypothesized that anosognosia would be worse the longer the disease duration, the more widespread the brain lesions, the more severe the dementia, and the lower the depressive scores. However, none of these hypotheses were confirmed by our findings, probably because our sample size was small and comprised mostly mild dementia cases (67%), without any patients with severe dementia (CDR 3). The lesion model we used (Alzheimer’s disease, with degeneration predominantly in temporal-parietal regions) did not allow us to verify the relevant role of frontal dysfunctions in anosognosia, as established by various authors.$^{4,15,16}$ Michon et al.$^7$ was indeed able to verify that the severity of anosognosia is related to signs of frontal dysfunction but not to the severity of dementia. Thus, in order to tackle these questions, we need to include a greater number of patients with Alzheimer’s disease with CDR 1 through 3, to compare to patients with frontotemporal dementia and normal control subjects, while also using neuroimaging methods robust enough to more precisely delimit the brain lesions or dysfunctions.
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Appendix

A. Self-Consciousness Questionnaire

1. What is your name (surname and first name)?
2. Why have you come to see me?
3. Do you have any health problems that prevent you from leading a normal life?
4. Have you got any problem with your memory?
5. Have you had a job? What was it?
6. What is the first name of your spouse (or partner)?
7. What is your mother’s first name?
8. Do you feel rather happy or unhappy? Why?
9. Would you say that you are rather fair or dark-haired?
10. Are you now sitting, standing or lying down?
11. What are you planning to do shortly or tomorrow?
12. If you had to live your life over again, is there anything you would like to change? What?
13. Is it a good thing or a bad thing to tell a lie? Why?
14. Is it a good thing or a bad thing to give some money or some food to someone who is starving? Why?

B. Denial of Illness Scale

| Questions                                                                 | Scoring                      |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. Patient minimizes present symptoms (at interview).                     | 0 (no) 1 (once or twice) 2 (more than twice) |
| 2. Patient alludes to there being nothing really wrong with her or him and that she or he is ready to go home. | 0 (no) 1 (once or twice) 2 (more than twice) |
| 3. Patient (past or present) displaces source of symptoms to organs other than brain or complains of symptoms unrelated to the central nervous system. | (no) 1 (once or twice) 2 (more than twice) |
| 4. Did the patient at any time admit to fear of death?                    | 0 (yes) 1 (no)               |
| 5. Did the patient at any time admit to fear of invalidism?               | 0 (yes) 1 (no)               |
| 6. Patient verbally denies being in the hospital.                          | 0 (not at all) 1 (sometimes) 2 (every time) |
| 7. Patient displays, at least on the surface, a carefree, cheerful, jovial approach to life. | 0 (no) 1 (once or twice) 2 (more than twice) |
| 8. Patient’s behavior during interview is characterized by nonchalance, coolness, imperturbability. | 0 (no) 1 (once or twice) 2 (more than twice) |
| 9. Patient displaces fear for his or her own illness to family, older patients, weaker patients, and so on. | 0 (no) 1 (at least 1 time during the interview) |
| 10. Patient projects illness or weakness to family, spouse, and so on.    | 0 (no) 1 (at least 1 time during the interview) |
### Questionário de Auto-consciência (Gil et al., 2001)

1. Qual é seu nome e sobrenome?
2. Por que você veio a esta consulta?
3. Você tem algum problema de saúde que o(a) impede de levar uma vida normal?
4. Você tem algum problema de memória?
5. Você já teve algum trabalho ou emprego? Qual era sua ocupação?
6. Qual é o nome de seu marido (ou esposa)?
7. Qual é o nome de sua mãe?
8. Você se sente feliz ou triste? Por quê?
9. Você diria que seus cabelos são claros ou escuros?
10. Você agora está sentado(a), de pé ou deitado(a)?
11. O que você planeja fazer hoje ou amanhã?
12. Se você tivesse que viver sua vida novamente, começando tudo desde a infância, há algo que você gostaria de mudar? O que?
13. Você acha que mentir é bom ou ruim? Por quê?
14. Você acha que dar comida ou dinheiro a alguém que está passando fome é bom ou ruim? Por que?

### Escala de Negação da Doença (Starkstein & Robinson, 1994)

| Perguntas                                                                 | Pontuação |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. O paciente minimiza seus sintomas atuais durante a entrevista.          | 0 (não)   |
| 2. O paciente diz não haver nada realmente errado com ele (ela) e que está pronto(a) para ir para casa. | 0 (não)   |
| 3. O paciente (no passado ou no presente) refere que a causa de seus sintomas está em outros órgãos que não o cérebro, ou queixa-se de sintomas não relacionados ao sistema nervoso central. | 0 (não)   |
| 4. Em algum momento o paciente admitiu temer a morte?                      | 0 (não)   |
| 5. Em algum momento o paciente admitiu ter medo de ficar inválido?         | 0 (não)   |
| 6. O paciente nega verbalmente estar no hospital.                          | 0 (de nenhum modo) |
| 7. O paciente demonstra, ao menos aparentemente, um modo descontraído, alegre e jovial de lidar com a vida. | 0 (não)   |
| 8. Durante a entrevista, o comportamento do paciente se caracteriza por indiferença, frieza e despreocupação. | 0 (não)   |
| 9. O paciente transfere o medo de sua própria doença para a família e outros pacientes. | 0 (não)   |
| 10. O paciente projeta sua doença ou fraqueza em seus familiares.         | 0 (não)   |