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Abstract

Background: Gunshot wounds (GSW) are common in Central African Republic (CAR). There are about eighteen rebel groups in Central Africa. Arms are available among the rebel groups and also the civilians. For the very simple region they open the fire against the opponent. The aim of this study is outcome of surgical management of gunshot wounds at Bangladeshi Level-II hospital in the CAR during our tenure.

Methods: This was a prospective study of 14 patients with gunshot wounds who were treated at level-II hospital, Kagabandoro, CAR from Dec 2019 to Nov 2020 for a period of 12 months. Wound debridement and removal of bullets were done in 8 (57.14%) cases, keep bullets in situ position in 2(14.29%) cases and surgical toileting followed by primary closure of wound were done in 3 (21.42%) cases and one female patient transferred to level-III hospital in Bangui.

Results: Out of 14 patients there were 13 male and 1 female patients. Mean age of the patients was 32.21 (18-55 years). High velocity injuries were common in 10 (71.43%). Injuries involved the limbs in 10 (71.43%), chest in 2 (14.29%), abdomen in 1 (7.14%) and external genitalia in 1(7.14%). Most of the patients 12 (85.71%) presented to the hospital within 12 hours of the injury. The average length of stay in the hospital was 14 days (Range 2- 60 days). Fractures of the long bone were in 5 (35.71%) cases. Open reduction and internal fixation was done in 3 (21.43%) cases. One female patient was transferred to level-III hospital in Bangui due to fracture neck of femur following gunshot injuries with 9 months of pregnancy. Mean follow-up was 5 months (Range 1-12 months). Post trauma pain developed in 3 (21.43%) cases, deformities of the extremities was found in 2 (14.29%) cases.

Conclusion: Outcome of surgical management of the gunshot wounds were depends on the nature of tissue injury and availability of the resources with promptness of intervention.
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Introduction

The Central African Republic has a long history of political turbulence with a succession of weak governments attempting to assert their authority beyond the capital city Bangui.¹ Due to regional, political and ethno-religious conflicts and also availability of the firearm increasing the number of gunshot injuries. These firearms are either locally fabricated or imported from other countries.² Improving the survival rate of casualties from the battlefield is a primary goal for all military doctors.³ The nature of injuries varies from burns due to gun powder to varying degrees of musculoskeletal and organ injuries. Numerous medical challenges exist in the remote and contested environment of the battlefield where the clinical evidence base is sparse, particularly in the pre-hospital arena.⁴ ⁵

Bangladeshi level-II hospital in Kagabandoro, Central African Republic has started their activities since 2014 and still it
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is ongoing with the facing of so many surgical challenges for the unconventional war among the arm groups. Gunshot injuries can be fatal and may be associated with lifelong disability. Management will involve rapid assessment, timely resuscitation, adequate and efficient treatment of injuries and effective rehabilitation where appropriate.

**Material and Methods:**

This prospective study was conducted on 14 patients with gunshot wound at level-II hospital in Kagabandoro, Central African Republic from Dec 2019 to Nov 2020. Patients with major vascular and severe head trauma associated with GSW were excluded from the study. Patient from 18 years and above were included. Mean age of the patient was 32.21. Amongst them youngest patient was 18 years while oldest was 55 years. 13 male and 1 female cases were treated. Male and female ratio 13:1. High velocity injuries were common in 10 (71.43%). Injuries involves the limbs in 10 (71.43%), Chest in 2 (14.29%), abdomen in 1(7.14%) case and external genitalia in 1(7.14%) case.

Initial management was done on the basis of Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) protocol. Therapeutic antibiotics and tetanus prophylactic was given for all patients. After the secondary survey of the patients, information on bio data, source of injury, nature of injury, region of body involved, type of gun used, injury arrival time, pre-hospital care, identity of those who brought the patient to hospital, treatment given in the hospital like wound debridement and removal of bullet were done in 8 (57.14%), keep the bullet in situ in 2 (14.29%), surgical toileting followed by primary closure of wound were done in 3 (21.42%).
Open reduction and internal fixation were done in 3 (21.42%), one patient with lacerated external genitalia injury was managed with wound debridement and reconstruction of genitalia, and one female patient was transferred to level-III hospital due to fracture neck of femur with 9 months pregnancy and outcome of treatment at the time of leaving the hospital were documented and analyzed.

**Results**

Total 14 patients were treated during our study period.

**Table-I:** Distribution of patients according to age group (n=14)

| Age(years) | No. | %  |
|------------|-----|----|
| 18-20      | 1   | 7.14 |
| 20-30      | 3   | 21.42 |
| 30-40      | 6   | 42.86 |
| 40-50      | 3   | 21.42 |
| 50-55      | 1   | 7.14 |
| **Total**  | 14  | 100 |

Table-I shows that the mean age of the patient was 32.21 years (range from 18 to 55 years).

**Table-II:** Distribution of patients according to sex (n=14)

| Sex         | No. | %   |
|-------------|-----|-----|
| Male        | 13  | 92.86 |
| Female      | 1   | 7.14 |
| **Total**   | 14  | 100 |

Table-II shows 13 patients (92.86%) male and 1 patient (7.14%) was female.

**Table-III:** Distribution of patients according to mode of injury (n=14)

| Mode of injury     | No. | %   |
|--------------------|-----|-----|
| High velocity injury | 10  | 71.43 |
| Low velocity injury | 4   | 28.57 |
| **Total**          | 14  | 100 |

Table-III shows that mode of injury due to high velocity trauma 10 (71.43%) patients Low velocity trauma 4 (28.57%) patients.

**Table-IV:** Distribution of region of the body injured by firearms (n=14)

| Region of the body | No. | %   |
|--------------------|-----|-----|
| Limbs              | 10  | 71.43 |
| Chest              | 2   | 14.29 |
| Abdomen            | 1   | 7.14 |
| External genitalia | 1   | 7.14 |
| **Total**          | 14  | 100 |

Table-IV shows common site of the injuries were limbs 10 (71.43%) patients where as chest in 2 (14.29) patients, abdomen in 1 (7.14%) patient and external genitalia in 1(7.14%) patient.

**Table-V:** Complications

| Complications         | No. | %  |
|-----------------------|-----|----|
| Post trauma pain      | 3   | 21.42 |
| Deformity of extremity| 2   | 14.29 |

Table-V shows that post trauma pain developed in 3 (21.42%) patients and deformity of extremity in 2 (14.29%) patients.
Table VI: Surgical outcome

| Rating                        | No. | %     |
|-------------------------------|-----|-------|
| Discharge with full recovery  | 9   | 64.28 |
| Post trauma pain              | 3   | 21.43 |
| Deformity of extremity        | 2   | 14.29 |

Table VI shows that full recovery in 9 (64.28%) patients, post trauma pain developed in 3 (21.42%) patients and deformity of extremity in 2 (14.29%) patients.

Discussion

Gunshot wounds are common in Central African Republic among the armed groups. Day by day increasing the number due to unrest political situation in CAR. It is more common in males as was noted in this study, this is in tandem with previous report by earlier researchers. Males are more common due to they are more adventurous, prone to taking risks and perhaps more aggressive in bullying or defence. About 71% of victims were less than 40 years old, and almost 93% were less than 50 years of age. Our finding is similar to that reported in Ibadan, Nigeria. This age groups represents the productive workforce in CAR, thus injuries from gunshot is expected to have some socioeconomic import on the victims, their relations and by extension the country. These costs are not just for treatment but also the man-hours lost during care or in event of death or permanent disability. Vulnerability of these age groups was also noted in the review autopsy reports from ballistic missiles by Seleye-Fubara et al.

In our study, use of high velocity guns were very common 71.43% in compared with low velocity guns that was 28.57%. Similar study done by A.C. Etonyeaku et al. in Nigeria during 2007-2011.

Common cause of gunshot wounds due to political unrest, robbery. Accidental GSW also found during festivals and celebrations that was similar to that reported Ogunlusi et al. In our study there was no case of gunshot injury from suicidal attempt as was case in the study by Balci Y et al.

Limbs are the common involved site of GSW which is similar, study done by Obalum et al. in Lagos, Nigeria.

Soft tissue injury without any bony, viscera or vviscus injury was managed by wound debridement followed by primary closure, delayed primary closure or by secondary intension. Limb injuries with fracture had wound excision with stabilization of fracture fragment, while chest injuries had wound debridement and primary closure of wound with bullet kept in situ as the patient was stable and position of bullet in safe site. One abdominal GSW was managed by exploratory laparotomy with drainage of blood, closure of perforated gut, one patient with lacerated external genitalia injury was managed with wound debridement and reconstruction genitalia.

Our most of the patient presented to Level-II hospital as soon as possible after gunshot injury which had been noted in the previous publication. Most of the patients brought directly to the Level-II hospital by the PAK-BATT and PAK-Eng. Coy from the incidence within short possible period of time. That was the main cause of early hospital presentation of the patient after GSW.

In our study all the patients survived and were discharge with post trauma pain in 3 (21.42%) cases and limb deformity in 2 (14.29%) cases which is similar, study done by A.C. Etonyeaku et al. in Nigeria. In our study treatment was depended base on the wound not the velocity or type of the gun. Early surgical intervention formed the determinants of favourable outcome in our study.

Conclusion

Management of gunshot wound depends upon the nature of injuries, damage of tissues not on the nature of the firearms used. Early definitive management will provide the excellent outcome. Large scale studies could be assessed for better reflection of the impact of GSW.
References

1. Malgras B, Barbier O, Pasquier P, et al. Initial deployment of the 14th Parachutist Forward Surgical Team at the beginning of the operation Sangaris in Central African Republic. Mil Med 2015;180:533–8.

2. Etonyeaku AC, Ogundipe KO, Omotola CA, et al. Spectrum of Gunshot Injuries civilian Practice at a Tertiary Hospital in a semi-rural Community in Nigeria. East Cent. Afr. J surg. 2014;19(1):83.

3. Venticinque SG, Grathwohl KW. Critical care in the austere environment: providing exceptional care in unusual places. Crit Care Med 2008;36(7 Suppl):S284–92.

4. Eastridge BJ, Mabry RL, Blackbourne LH, et al. We don’t know what we don’t know: prehospital data in combat casualty care. US Army Med Dep J 2011:11–14.

5. Tien HC, Jung V, Rizoli SB, et al. An evaluation of tactical combat casualty care interventions in a combat environment. J Am Coll Surg 2008;207:174–8.

6. Osime C, Kpolugbo J. Pattern and outcome of penetrating injuries in Irrua, a sub-urban community in Nigeria. Afr J Trauma 2004;2:40-2.

7. Makite I, Pibkijamaki NJ. The fatal firearm injuries in Finland: A nationwide survey. Scand J Surg 2000; 91: 329-331.

8. Afuwape O, Alonge T. An audit of gunshot injuries seen in the accident and emergency department of a Nigerian tertiary hospital. WAJM 2006;25:295-7.

9. Allard D, Burch VC. The cost of treating serious abdominal firearm-related injuries in South Africa. S Afr Med J. 2005; 95:591-594.

10. Cook PJ, Lawrence BA, Ludwig J, Miller TR. The medical costs of gunshot injuries in the United States. JAMA. 1999; 282: 447-454.

11. Seye-Fubara D, Etebu EN, Bob-Yellowe E. Pathology of firearm mortalities in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria: a study of 136 consecutive autopsies. Med Sci Law January 2009 vol.49 no. 1 51-55.

12. Ogunlusi JD, Oginni LM. Death From Celebratory gunshot injuries. Internet J Surg 2006;8: ISSN 1528-8242

13. Balci Y, Canogullari G, Ulupinar E. Characterization of the gunshot suicides Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine Volume 14, Issue 4, May 2007, Pages 203–208.

14. Obalum DC, Giwa SO, Ogo CN. Pattern of extremity gunshot injuries seen in Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos, Nigeria. Nig Q J Hosp Med. 2007 Oct-Dec; 17(4):140-3.

15. Adisa AC, Agu A. Gunshot injuries in Aba. JOMIP 2008;7:23-5.

16. Mohammed AZ, Edino ST, Ochicha O, Umar AB. Epidemiology of gunshot injuries in Kano, Nigeria. Nig J Surg Res 2005;7:296-9.

17. Saidi HS, Nyakiamo J, Foyas. Gunshot injuries as been at the AgaKhan Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya. East Afr. Med Jour. 2002; 79: 188 – 1892.