Clinical value of four-quadrant method use in CT and MRI to evaluation of the orbital tumor
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Abstract

Purpose: To evaluates the efficacy of four-quadrant method in CT and MRI for diagnosis and differential diagnoses of the orbital tumor. The location of the orbital tumor was assigned to: superolateral, superomedial, inferolateral, inferomedial, and optic nerve were considered as the center point.

Methods: From Sep-2008 to April-2016 a total of 87 cases of orbital tumor were included in study. All included orbital tumors were verified radiologically and histopathologically. Forty nine patients underwent CT scanning and 38 patients underwent MR Imaging. We classified the orbital region according to four-quadrant location method and eight spaces. The frequency distribution of individual CT and MR imaging features in the benign tumor was compared with malignant tumor by using Chi-square tests.

Results: Among the 87 cases of the orbital tumor, 70 cases (80.45%) were benign tumor and 17 cases (19.54%) were malignant tumor. Regarding the four-quadrant location of the orbit, 41 lesions (47.12%) were in superolateral, 18 lesions (20.68%) in inferolateral, 16 lesions (18.39%) in inferomedial, 8 lesions (9.19%) in superomedial, 3 lesions (3.44%) in globe, 1 lesion (1.14%) was in optic nerve. There was significant difference in four quadrant location by comparing benign with malignant tumor (P=0.023). Hemangiomas were the predominant benign lesion and lymphoma was major type of malignancy.

Conclusion: The four-quadrant and eight-space (FQES) division of the orbit may play an important role in determining the anatomical location, origin and nature of orbital tumor, and aid in diagnosis and treatment.
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Introduction

The orbit a small compartment in the skull but may harbor different type of tumors that may be primary and secondary tumor or metastatic in origin. Orbital tumor is most common cause of blindness but has low incidence of diagnosis. An early diagnosis with CT and MRI may prevent chronic eye diseases and blindness. Two third of the orbital tumors is benign and one third of malignant tumors occur in the orbit [1]. The orbital tumor has low incidence of diagnosis and there have several complications after treatment or operation such as cosmetic problems, severe ophthalmological deficit with loss of vision and eye movement [2]. Careful pre-therapeutic work-up for orbital tumor is important to reduce postoperative complications [3-5]. Localizing orbital lesions in relation to specific compartments plays a vital role in the diagnosis and management of these lesions. Tumors are classified according to the site of their origin; inside and outside of the orbit [6]. Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are commonly used imaging modality for characterization of the orbital tumors [7, 8]. Extraocular and intraocular muscles (musclecone division) spaces only indicated outside and inside of the extraocular muscles, it cannot define exact location such as superior, inferior, superolateral or inferolateral. However, when used four quadrant methods, it can provide exact anatomical location in orbit. Therefore, four quadrant methods may effective in evaluation of orbital soft tissue masses.

The purpose of this study is to demonstrate the significance of four quadrant location used in CT and MRI to evaluate of the orbital tumor for diagnosis and differential diagnosis.
The location of the orbital tumor was assigned to: superolateral, superomedial, inferolateral, inferomedial, and optic never were considered as the center point. In addition, we mention 8 spaces; 4 spaces in anterior part and 4 spaces in posterior part of the orbit.

**Martial and Methods**

**General information**

From September 2008 to April 2016, we retrospectively analyzed eighty-seven patients with orbital tumors. CT and MRI records from the First Affiliated Hospital, Second Affiliated Hospital and Provincial Hospital were retrieved. All of which were verified on the basics of characteristic finding of CT & MRI and by histopathological diagnosis.

**CT and MRI**

Orbital CT was performed in 49 patients; a 64 slice MDCT scanner (GE light speed VCT, American) was used. The imaging parameters included: Voltage 120Kvp, tube current 200 mA to 400 mA, helical scan with pitch ratio, 1.375:1. collimation thickness 0.625 × 64 and slice thickness 33mm, Matrix 512 × 512. All CT images were reconstructed by using both a soft tissue algorithm and a bone algorithm. These images were examined in soft tissue window setting (window width = 400 HU and window Level = 40 HU) and in a bone window setting (window width = 2000HU and window level = 200HU) respectively. The iodine contrast agent was injected at a rate of 2.5 ml/sec.

Orbital MRI was performed in 38 patients; 3T MRI (GE, sigma HDxt, America) was used. The parameters were classified as: T1WI FSE sequence (TR: 720 to 1972ms, and TE: 12.0 to 21.9 ms) and T2WI FSE sequence (TR: 4480 to 8571ms, and TE: 95.0 to 119.6ms). A contrast enhanced imaging obtained with T1WI LAVA (TE: 1.2 to 2.6ms,) or 3DBRAVO (TR = 7.8 ms, TE = 3.0ms), Matrix = 256 × 256, FOV = 20 X 20 cm, thickness = 3mm and gap = 0.5cm. The Gd-DTPA was injected at a rate of 2 to 3 mL/sec.

**CT and MR imaging evaluation and orbital division**

Method

The patients underwent either CT or MRI or both. The orbit were divided into four quadrants; superolateral, superomedial, inferolateral, and inferomedial, whereby optic nerve was considered as the centre point. For each tumor, the location, shape, margin, size, density with HU, signal intensity of T1WI and T2WI, enhancement pattern and infiltrative adjustment tissues were analyzed.

**Axial section**

In the axial section image of MRI, the orbital septum was divided into anterior and posterior part of the orbit (Fig. 1a and 1d).

**The posterior part of orbit**

In the coronal section image of MRI, optic nerve was considered the center point of the four quadrants. Further to determine and estimate the location of the orbital tumor the compartment was divided by vertical and horizontal axis lines namely; superolateral, superomedial, inferomedial and inferolateral (Fig. 1b).

**Periorbital (anterior part) of orbit**

In the coronal section image of MRI, the anterior part of the orbit was divided into four quadrants by vertical and horizontal axis lines namely; superolateral, superomedial, inferomedial and inferolateral (Fig. 1c).

**Statistical analysis**

The data analysis was performed using statistical package for social science (SPSS) Version 16.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). The frequency distribution of individual CT and MR imaging features in the benign tumor was compared with malignant tumor by using Chi-square tests. P value of less than 0.05 was considered to represent a significant difference.

**Results**

The orbital tumors were histopathologically confirmed in all 87 patients (43 male and 44 female mean age 47.63 years; range 1-84 years). Benign tumor was diagnosed in 70 patients; of them 34 were male and 36 were female, and the mean age was 45.19 years. Malignant tumor was diagnosed in 17 patients; of them 10 and 7 were male and female with a mean age was 57.71 years. There was no significant difference in the age of patients with benign versus malignant tumors (P=0.505) Table 5.3. Similarly, no significant difference was observed in gender when compared patients with benign versus malignant tumors (P=0.448) Table 5.4. Thirty six benign tumors and 11 malignant tumors was found in right orbit and 34 benign tumors and 6 malignant tumors found in the left orbit with no significant between the two side regarding benign and malignant tumor (P=0.324) Table 5.5. Hemangiomas were the predominant benign lesion and lymphoma was major type of malignancy Table 1.

The orbital tumors’ size ranged from 0.8 mm × 4.5 cm to 4.5 cm × 2.0 cm in CT. In 49 cases from CT findings; 23 cases (23/49) had irregular shaped, 13 cases (13/49) had oval shaped, 8 cases (8/49) had round shape, and 5 cases (5/49) had regular shape. Specifically, 32 cases (32/49) were observed with well defined margin and 17 cases (17/49) ill defined margin Table 2. The tumors size ranged from 0.7 cm X 1.2cm X 0.6 cm to 4.0 X 3.5 cm X 2.5 cm, in MRI imaging. Among 38 cases, 14 cases (14/38) were irregular shaped, 12 cases (12/38) were round, 8 (8/38) were oval, 4 (4/38) were regular, 32 had (32/38) well defined margin, and 6 had (6/38) ill defined margin Table 2.

Four quadrants location of the orbit; 41 (47.12%) tumors were located in superolateral part of the orbit, 18 (20.68%) tumors in inferolateral, 16 (18.39%) tumors in inferomedial, 8 (9.19%) tumors in superomedial, 3 (3.44%) tumors in globe and 1 tumor in centre of quadrant Table 3. There was significant difference in four quadrant locations when compared with benign versus malignant tumor (P=0.023) Table 5.1. There was also showed significant difference between the lateral and medial side of the orbit in hemangioma and pleomorphic adenoma (P=0.027) Table 5.6.

Regarding the anterior and posterior part of the orbit; 56 (64.36%) tumors were located in posterior part, and 31 (35.63%) tumors were located in anterior part. Furthermore, 46 (65.7%) benign tumors and 10 (58.8%) malignant tumors were in posterior part. Twenty four (34.4%) benign tumors and 7 (41.2%) had malignant tumors were in anterior part Table 4. There was no significant difference in anterior and posterior region for benign versus malignant tumor (P=0.675) Table 5.2.
Discussion
To our knowledge, the present study represented the first retrospective study for four quadrant methods used in CT and MRI to evaluated diagnosis and differential diagnosis of orbital tumor. We found a significant difference in four quadrant location of the orbit for benign versus malignant tumors. Most of the benign and malignant tumors were located in superolateral quadrant. Significant differences between the lateral and medial side of the orbit was seen with majority of tumors located in lateral side. Moreover, most of the malignant tumors were located in lateral side of the orbit.

There was no significant difference in the age and sex of patients between benign versus malignant tumor. In line with our findings, a previous study found no significant difference in the age and sex of patients with benign versus malignant tumor. The same study reported no significant difference in the side involvement of tumors between the benign versus malignant tumor [9]. The age of the patients in our study was divided into two group, group A (0-30 years) and group B (>30 years) Orbital tumor was more common in group B and malignant tumor was also prominent in the same group Table 5.3. The incidence of orbital tumors was relatively low in group A and higher in group B.

Most of the benign and malignant tumors were located in superolateral quadrant and malignant tumors were related with lacrimal gland. The cavernous hemangioma was found in all four quadrants, but was predominant in the inferolateral quadrant. Our findings were similar to previous studies [10-12]. Most of the pleomorphic adenoma, dermoid cyst, lymphoma, adenoid cystic carcinoma and inflammatory pseudotumor, solitary fibrous tumors were located in the superolateral quadrant. The retinoblastoma and choroidal melanoma were localized in the globe and while meningioma was found in central point in orbit.

Four quadrant methods in CT and MRI imaging feature can effectively distinguish between benign and malignant orbital tumor. The study showed that most of the malignant tumors had ill-defined margins, irregular shape, infiltrative adjustment of tissues and bone erosion, iso/slightly high density, low intensity on T1WI, iso/slightly high intensity on T2WI and heterogeneous enhancement. Most of the benign tumors had well defined margin, oval/round shape, isodensity, low intensity on T1WI, high or slightly intensity on T2WI and homogeneous enhancement. The radiological finding was supported by previously described [9]. It was previously documented that CT and MRI images are important modalities in diagnosis and assessment of the location and extend of a pathological process prior to surgical exploration and others differential diagnosis [11, 13].

This study showed that cavernous hemangioma was found in all four quadrants, but more seen in the inferolateral quadrant and it had well defined margin, oval/round shape, intraconal space [14], slightly high density, low signal intensity on T1WI, high signal intensity on T2WI and gradual homogeneous enhancement (Fig. 2). These findings are similar with previous studies [12, 15, 16]. Pleomorphic adenoma showed regular/oval shape well defined, mixed isodensity and mixed heterogeneous enhancement (Fig. 3) and irregular shaped, ill defined, isodensity, slightly heterogeneous enhancement and infiltrative adjustment tissues and bone erosion in adenoid cystic carcinoma. our findings are similar with previous studies [17]. They were frequently seen in the supralateral, extraconal space and between the globe and orbital periosteum [18]. The CT and MRI findings are key to define the differential diagnosis of dermoid and epidermoid cyst with others benign and malignant tumors of the orbit. CT and MRI showed a well circumscribed cystic tumor of the low or fat density with calcification (Fig. 4) and typically appeared hyperintense on T1WI and hypointense on T2WI. The orbital lymphoma usually infiltrates structures in superolateral quadrant with frequent involvement of superior and lateral rectus muscles (Fig. 5). The orbital malignant lymphoma usually manifests as a diffuse solid, enhancing mass with molding around the globe on images studies, and reflecting the irregular infiltrative of orbital structures [19, 20]. The orbital pseudotumor is benign tumor in the orbit and it mostly located in superolateral and inferolateral quadrant (Fig. 6). Orbital lymphoma can be very difficult to distinguish from orbital pseudotumor, however the lymphoma is usually seen with decreased density on delayed images in dual- phase-spiral CT contrast, and orbital pseudotumor is mostly seen with increased density on delayed images in dual-phase CT contrast [21].

The four quadrant location and eight spaces are not only for guided to diagnosis and differential diagnosis. It can determine the accurate description of tumor location and involved adjustment tissues surrounding lesion, may facilitate proper treatment planning. Extranodal and intraconal (muscleconal division) spaces only indicated outside and inside of the extraocular muscles, it cannot define exact location such as superior, inferior, superolateral or inferolateral. However, when used four quadrant methods, it can provide superior, inferior, medial, lateral and exact anatomical location in orbit so, may have comparatively easier to diagnosis and differential diagnosis in orbital tumor.
Fig 1a: the orbital septum was used for differentiated location of anterior and posterior part of orbit. Fig 1b: The posterior part of the lesion was positioned with optic nerve in coronal section image. Fig 1c: The anterior part lesion around the globe was positioned with annulus of eye in center of coronal section image. Fig 1d: The orbital septum was used for anterior and posterior part of lesion on sagittal section image.
Fig 2: 44 years old male with left sided cavernous hemangioma, located in intraconal and superomedial region with oval shape and well defined, low signal intensity on T1WI(A) and high signal intensity on T2WI (B) and gradual homogeneous enhancement (C&D) and 44 years old female patient with right sided schwannoma, located in intraconal and inferolateral region with well define margin and oval shaped, low signal intensity on T1WI (A) and slightly high signal intensity on T2WI(B&C) and marked homogeneous enhancement (D).
Fig 3: 40 years old male with left sided pleomorphic adenoma, located in extraconal and superolateral region with irregular shaped and ill defined, mixed signal intensity on T1WI (A) and mixed signal intensity on T2WI (D) and marked homogeneous enhancement (B&C) and 47 years old male with Right sided pleomorphic adenoma, located extraconal and superolateral region with irregular shaped and ill defined, mixed density (30-40 HU) (A&B) and mixed heterogeneous enhancement (C&D).
Fig 4: 43 years old male with Right sided dermoid cyst located in extraconal and superiolateral region with irregular mass and well defined, slightly low signal intensity On T1WI (A) and slightly high signal intensity on T2WI (C) and Boundary homogeneous enhancement (B & D) and 64 years old female with left sided dermoid cyst, located in extraconal and inferomedial region with regular shaped and well defined, iso-density (40 HU) [A, B, C, & D].
Fig 5: 75 years old male with left sided lymphoma, located in extraconal and inferomedial region with regular shaped and well margin, slightly low signal intensity on T2WI (A) and iso intensity on T2WI (B & D)and marked homogeneous enhancement (C) and 57 year old male with right sided lymphoma, located in extraconal and superolateral region with irregular mass and ill defined with invaded bone, iso density (38 HU) [A&B] and slightly homogeneous enhancement (C&D).

Fig 6: 39 years old female with left sided Inflammatory pseudotumor, located in extraconal and inferolateral region with irregular shaped and well margin, low signal intensity on T1WI (A) and slightly high signal intensity on T2WI(B&D) and mixed with intermediated enhancement (C) and 75 years old male with left sided lymphoma, located in extraconal and inferomedial region with regular shaped and well margin, slightly low signal intensity on T2WI (A) and iso intensity on T2WI (B & D)and marked homogeneous enhancement (C).
### Table 1: Constitutions ratio of orbital tumor

| S/N | Name                              | Case of number | Percent |
|-----|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| 1   | Hemangioma                        | 36             | 41.37%  |
| 2   | Pleomorphic adenoma               | 9              | 10.34%  |
| 3   | Dermoid cyst                      | 9              | 10.34%  |
| 4   | Lymphoma                          | 7              | 8.04%   |
| 5   | Infl. pseudotumor                 | 5              | 5.74%   |
| 6   | Adenoid cystic carcinoma          | 3              | 3.44%   |
| 7   | Solitary fibros tumor             | 3              | 3.44%   |
| 8   | Lipoma                            | 3              | 3.44%   |
| 9   | Choroidal melanoma                | 2              | 2.29%   |
| 10  | Retinoblastoma                    | 1              | 1.14%   |
| 11  | Malignant melanoma                | 1              | 1.14%   |
| 12  | Dedifferentiated chondrosarcoma    | 1              | 1.14%   |
| 13  | Metastasis                        | 1              | 1.14%   |
| 14  | Meningioma                        | 1              | 1.14%   |
| 15  | Schwannomas                       | 1              | 1.14%   |
| 16  | Granulomatous inflammation        | 1              | 1.14%   |
| 17  | Lymphangiomyoma                   | 1              | 1.14%   |
| 18  | Squamous cell carcinoma           | 1              | 1.14%   |
| 19  | Cystadenoma                       | 1              | 1.14%   |
|     | **Total**                         | **87**         | **100%**|

S/N serial number, Infla. = inflammation

### Table 2: CT and MRI - Imaging Finding of orbital tumor

| Features                                      | CT (Total=49) | MRI (Total=38) |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1. Size range                                 | 0.8 mm x 4.5 cm to 4.5 cm x 2.0 cm | 0.7 cm x 1.2 cm x 0.6 cm to 4.0 x 3.5 cm x 2.5 cm |
| 2. Shape                                      |               |                |
| - Irregular                                   | 23            | 14             |
| - Regular                                     | 5             | 4              |
| - Oval                                        | 13            | 8              |
| - Round                                       | 8             | 12             |
| 3. Margin                                     |               |                |
| - well defined                                | 32            | 32             |
| - ill defined                                 | 17            | 6              |
| 4. Hounsfield Unit                            |               |                |
| - Non- Contrast (range)                       | 12 to 122     |                |
| - Contrast (range)                            | 52 to 85      |                |
| 5. Density                                    |               |                |
| - Iso                                         | 22            |                |
| - Slight high                                 | 15            |                |
| - Mixed                                       | 6             |                |
| - Low                                         | 5             |                |
| - High                                        | 1             |                |
| 6. Intensity                                  |               | most of the cases were hypo-intensity on T1WI and hyper-intensity on T2WI |
| 7. Pattern of Contrast                        |               |                |
| - Gradual homogeneous                         | -             | 14             |
| - Gradual heterogeneous                       | -             | 1              |
| - Marked homogeneous                          | 3             | 7              |
| - Marked heterogeneous                        | 2             | 4              |
| - Slightly homogeneous                        | 7             | 1              |
| - Slightly heterogeneous                      | 3             |                |
| - Mixed heterogeneous                         | 2             | 2              |
| - Focal heterogeneous                         | 1             |                |
| - Intermediate homogeneous                   | -             | 3              |
| - intermediate heterogeneous                  | -             |                |
| - Boundary heterogeneous                      | -             | 3              |
| 8. Non- Contrast                              | 31            | 3              |
| 9. Infiltrative adjustment tissues            | 8             | 5              |
Table 3: Distribution of orbital tumor in four quadrants

| S/N | Name                        | Number Of case | Sup/med | Sup/lat | Infero/med | Infero/lat | Globe | Optic nerve |
|-----|-----------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|------------|------------|-------|-------------|
| 1   | Hemangioma                  | 36             | 7       | 9       | 8          | 12         | 0     | 0           |
| 2   | Pleomorphic adenoma         | 9              | 0       | 9       | 0          | 0          | 0     | 0           |
| 3   | Dermoid cyst                | 9              | 0       | 7       | 2          | 0          | 0     | 0           |
| 4   | Lymphoma                    | 7              | 0       | 3       | 2          | 2          | 0     | 0           |
| 5   | Infl. Pseudotumor           | 5              | 0       | 4       | 0          | 1          | 0     | 0           |
| 6   | Adenoid cystic carcinoma    | 3              | 0       | 3       | 0          | 0          | 0     | 0           |
| 7   | Solitary fibrosis tumor      | 3              | 0       | 2       | 1          | 0          | 0     | 0           |
| 8   | Lipoma                      | 3              | 1       | 1       | 0          | 1          | 0     | 1           |
| 9   | Choroidal melanoma          | 2              | 0       | 0       | 0          | 2          | 0     | 0           |
| 10  | Metastasis                  | 1              | 0       | 0       | 0          | 1          | 0     | 0           |
| 11  | Meningioma                  | 1              | 0       | 0       | 0          | 0          | 0     | 1           |
| 12  | Malignant melanoma          | 1              | 0       | 0       | 1          | 0          | 0     | 0           |
| 13  | Retinoblastoma              | 1              | 0       | 0       | 0          | 0          | 1     | 0           |
| 14  | Dedi-Chondrosarcoma         | 1              | 0       | 0       | 0          | 1          | 0     | 0           |
| 15  | Schwannomas                 | 1              | 0       | 0       | 1          | 0          | 0     | 0           |
| 16  | Granulomatous infla.        | 1              | 0       | 0       | 1          | 0          | 0     | 0           |
| 17  | Lymphangiomyoma             | 1              | 0       | 1       | 0          | 0          | 0     | 0           |
| 18  | Squamous cell carcinoma     | 1              | 0       | 1       | 0          | 0          | 0     | 0           |
| 19  | Cystadenoma                 | 1              | 0       | 1       | 0          | 0          | 0     | 0           |
|     | Total                        | 87             | 8       | 41      | 16         | 18         | 3     | 1           |

S/N = serial number, sup/med = superomedial, sup/lat = superolateral, infero/med= inferomedial, infero/lat =inferolateral, Dedi= dedifferentiated

Table 4: Distribution of orbital tumors in anterior, posterior part, extracranal and intracranal

| Name                                      | Anterior | Posterior | Extranodal | Intracranal | Globe |
|-------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------|
| Hemangioma                                | 7        | 29        | 11         | 25          |       |
| Pleomorphic adenoma                       | 7        | 2         | 9          | 0           |       |
| Dermoid cyst                              | 6        | 3         | 9          | 0           |       |
| Lymphoma                                  | 3        | 4         | 7          | 0           |       |
| Infl. pseudotumor                         | 2        | 3         | 5          | 0           |       |
| Adenoid cystic carcinoma                  | 3        | 0         | 3          | 0           |       |
| Solitary fibrous tumor                    | 2        | 1         | 3          | 0           |       |
| Lipoma                                    | 0        | 3         | 2          | 1           |       |
| Choroidal melanoma                        | 0        | 2         | 0          | 2           |       |
| Retinoblastoma                            | 0        | 1         | 0          | 1           |       |
| Malignant melanoma                        | 1        | 0         | 1          | 0           |       |
| Dedifferentiated chondrosarcoma           | 0        | 1         | 1          | 0           |       |
| Metastasis                                | 0        | 1         | 0          | 1           |       |
| Meningioma                                | 0        | 1         | 0          | 1           |       |
| Schwannomas                               | 0        | 1         | 0          | 1           |       |
| Granulomatous inflammation                | 0        | 1         | 1          | 0           |       |
| Lymphangiomyoma                           | 0        | 1         | 1          | 0           |       |
| Squamous cell carcinoma                   | 0        | 1         | 1          | 0           |       |
| Cystadenoma                               | 0        | 1         | 0          | 1           |       |
| Total                                     | 31       | 56        | 54         | 30          | 3     |

Table 5: Benign tumor versus malignant Tumor

5.1: Significant different in four quadrant location of benign tumor versus malignant Tumor

| Location       | Benign tumor | Malignant | Total | P – value |
|----------------|--------------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Superolateral  | 32 (45.7%)   | 6 (35.3%) | 43.7% | 0.023     |
| Superomedial   | 9 (12.9%)    | 2 (11.8%) | 12.6% |           |
| Inferolateral  | 15 (21.4%)   | 3 (17.6%) | 20.7% |           |
| Inferomedial   | 13 (18.6%)   | 3 (17.6%) | 18.4% |           |
| In globe       | 0 (0.0%)     | 3 (17.6%) | 3.4%  |           |
| In optic never | 1 (1.4%)     | 0.0%      | 1.1%  |           |

5.2: Significant different in Anterior and Posterior part of orbit for benign versus malignant tumor

| Orbit   | Benign tumor | Malignant tumor | P - value |
|---------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Anterior| 24 (34.2%)   | 7 (41.2%)       | 0.675     |
| Posterior| 46 (65.7%) | 10 (38.8%)     |           |

5.3: Benign versus malignant tumors of Age Groups

| Age Group | Benign tumor | Malignant tumor | P - value |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|

~ 141 ~
Conclusion
This data indicate that the four-quadrant and eight-space (FQES) division of the orbit may play an important role in determining the anatomical location, origin and nature of orbital tumor, and aid in diagnosis and treatment. It has supplementary role to traditional muscleconal division in the assessment of the orbital tumors.
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| Location          | Hemangioma | Pleomorphic adenoma | Total | X²  | P-value |
|-------------------|------------|---------------------|-------|-----|---------|
| Medial             | 7          | 1                   | 10.28 | 0.027 |
| Inferomedial       | 8          | -                   |       |     |         |
| Lateral            | 9          | 7                   |       |     |         |
|                   | 12         | 1                   |       |     |         |
|                   | 21         | 8                   | 29    |     |         |

5.5: Benign versus malignant tumor of Side of Eye

| Side of eye | Benign tumor | Malignant tumor | P-value |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| Right       | 36 (51.4%)   | 11 (64.7%)      | 0.324   |
| Left        | 34 (48.6%)   | 6 (35.3%)       |         |

5.6: A Comparison of the spatial distribution between cavernous hemangioma and pleomorphic adenoma

| Location       | Hemangioma | Pleomorphic adenoma | Total | X²  | P-value |
|----------------|------------|---------------------|-------|-----|---------|
| Medial         | 7          | 1                   |       |     |         |
| Inferomedial   | 8          | -                   |       |     |         |
| Lateral        | 9          | 7                   |       |     |         |
|                | 12         | 1                   |       |     |         |
|                | 21         | 8                   | 29    |     |         |