An Incidental Diagnosis of the Cystadenofibroma of the Ovary in the Cesarean Section: A Case Report
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Introduction

Ovarian cystadenofibromas are relatively rare epithelial ovarian tumors which contain fibrous stroma besides the epithelial proliferation. They may mimic malignant neoplasms with their gross appearance or by imaging modalities. In a study, the incidence of ovarian cystadenofibroma is reported as 1.7% of all ovarian tumors while the age of the patients ranged between 12-85 years, with a median age of 52 years [1]. The classification of cystadenomas is based on the epithelial types of the tumor as serous, endometrioid, mucinous, clear cell and mixed category [2]. The behavior of the tumor is described as benign, borderline and malignant depending on the degree of epithelial proliferation [2]. Ovarian cystadenofibromas have a gross appearance of multicystic solid mass which may mimic ovarian malignancy. In this report, we present a case of serous cystadenofibroma of the ovary which was incidentally found in cesarean section.

Case

A 41 year-old female presented to our hospital with complaints of labor contractions. She had 39 weeks of gestation and her previous delivery was performed with cesarean section. The patient did not have any complaint of pelvic pain before or during pregnancy. She had admitted to other hospitals during the pregnancy follow-up, and any suspicious adnexal findings were detected at the ultrasonographic examination before the delivery at our clinic due to the difficulty of the imaging adnexa in women with term pregnancy. A cesarean section was performed because of the continuous regular contractions and a healthy 3230 gr baby was delivered. Exploration in the surgery revealed a 70x60x80mm mass with cystic and solid components on the right ovary (Figure 1). The appearance of the mass gave an impression of a malignant neoplasm; therefore, right salpingo-oophorectomy was performed (Figure 2). Frozen section diagnosis was not possible at the time of the operation due to the night shift conditions. The final result of pathology was reported as a serous cystadenofibroma of the ovary.

Discussion

Ovarian cystadenofibromas are rare and mostly asymptomatic epithelial tumors which can be seen both in premenopausal and postmenopausal period that may give a malignant tumor impression...
by a macroscopic analysis or with radiological imaging modalities [3]. Tumor sizes range between 2.5 and 20 cm, with a mean 8.7 cm [1]. They can be purely cystic or complex cystic with solid components [1].

Ovarian masses can be evaluated by using simple ultrasound rules for presumption of malignity. An irregular solid tumor, presence of ascites, more than three papillary structures, irregular multilocular solid tumor with largest diameter >100 mm, increased blood flow are ultrasound criteria described for increased risk of malignity while unilocular ovarian cysts, presence of solid components with a largest diameter of less than 7 mm, presence of acoustic shadows and smooth multilocular tumor with a largest diameter smaller than 100 mm are criteria that are useful for prediction of benign lesions [4]. CT and MR are useful modalities for characterizing complex adnexal masses.

Sonographic features of ovarian serous cystadenofibromas were described by Alcázar et al. [3] as uniocular or multilocular cystic structures which may be purely anechoic or contain septations, papillary projections or solid nodules. Blood flow can be detected by color Doppler in half of the cases usually on peripheral cyst wall and in solid areas and sometimes the blood flow impedance can be low and give false-positive results [3].

Computed Tomography (CT) has a limited value in evaluation of cystadenofibromas as it can cause preoperative misdiagnosis of malignancy [1]. MRI has been described as a reliable modality for evaluation of complex adnexal masses; the presence of very low signal intensity on T2 weighted images of fibrous tissue of the solid component is described as MRI characteristics of ovarian cystadenofibromas [5-7]. Differential diagnosis of ovarian cystadenofibroma includes some other benign tumors with fibrous components like fibroma, fibrotecoma and Brenner tumor which have similar MRI findings, and malignant tumors like clear cell carcinoma and granulosa cell tumor because of their cystic and solid appearance [1,5,6].

Ovarian cystadenofibromas are usually benign tumors since the borderline variants are very rare [1]. However due to the malignant potential, these tumors tend to be removed surgically. MRI features may be beneficial to distinguish ovarian cystadenofibromas from malignant tumors while planning appropriate management for surgery [8]. Although the gross appearance of ovarian cystadenofibromas may resemble a malignant tumor, a frozen-section diagnosis in elective cases may save the patient from unnecessary extensive surgery. In our case we did not have the chance for frozen-section diagnosis because the emergency cesarean section was performed in night shift conditions. The awareness of the surgeons of this entity is particularly important in emergency conditions when frozen diagnosis is not possible, to prevent the patient from unnecessary management in the operation.
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