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ABSTRACT

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) directly interacts with host's epithelial and immune cells, leading to inflammatory response induction, which is considered the hallmark of infection. The host immune system is programmed to facilitate the clearance of viral infection by establishing a modulated response. However, SARS-CoV-2 takes the initiative and its various structural and non-structural proteins directly or indirectly stimulate the uncontrolled activation of injurious inflammatory pathways through interaction with innate immune system mediators. Upregulation of cell-signaling pathways such as mitogen-activate protein kinase (MAPK) in response to recognition of SARS-CoV-2 antigens by innate immune system receptors mediates unbridled production of proinflammatory cytokines and cells causing cytokine storm, tissue damage, increased pulmonary edema, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), and mortality. Moreover, this acute inflammatory state hinders the immunomodulatory effect of T helper cells and timely response of CD4 + and CD8 + T cells against infection. Furthermore, inflammation-induced overproduction of Th17 cells can downregulate the antiviral response of Th1 and Th2 cells. In fact, the improperly severe response of the innate immune system is the key to conversion from a non-severe to severe disease state and needs to be investigated more deeply. The virus can also modulate the protective immune responses by developing immune evasion mechanisms, and thereby provide a more stable niche. Overall, combination of detrimental immunostimulatory and immunomodulatory properties of both the SARS-CoV-2 and immune cells does complicate the immune interplay. Thorough understanding of immunopathogenic basis of immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 has led to developing several advanced vaccines and immune-based therapeutics and should be expanded more rapidly. In this review, we tried to delineate the immunopathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 in humans and to provide insight into more effective therapeutic and prophylactic strategies.

1. Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) or human coronavirus 2019 (HCoV-19) is the causative agent of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (Tian et al., 2020). SARS-CoV-2 causes mild to severe infections in the respiratory tract and may lead to mortality in some patients, especially senescent individuals or those with underlying diseases such as diabetes. The current global pandemic caused by SARS-CoV-2 shows that in contrast to middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), SARS-CoV-2 is more contagious, which may be due to the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 to pass from one host to the next by easily crossing the respiratory mucosa (Keshavarz et al., 2021; Omrani et al., 2020).

The combination of mucous membrane and immune system constitutes a natural barrier against the virus. The initial innate immune responses induced by type I interferon (IFN), complement proteins, and cytokines/chemokines limit the replication and spread of SARS-CoV-2, and also mediate activation of the downstream adaptive immune responses (Kikkert, 2020). However, viruses can evade these responses via
2. Overview of SARS-CoV-2

SARS-CoV-2 virions with solar corona microscopic morphology possess positive sense single-stranded RNA genome (+ssRNA) and nucleoprotein (N) to form a ribonucleoprotein complex (RNP). The RNP is embedded with the envelope composed of a lipid membrane containing membrane protein (M), envelope protein (E), and spike glycoprotein (S) (Artika et al., 2020). The S protein comprises S1 and S2 subunits for binding to receptors on human cells. In constant to other coronaviruses, the hemagglutinin-esterase (HE) protein, which facilitates the release of viruses from cells, does not express in SARS-CoV-2 (Chan et al., 2020) (Fig. 1a). The successful infection by SARS-CoV-2 occurs through recognition of receptor on the surface of target cell, binding of S protein to the receptor, anchoring the viral envelope to the target cell, and ultimately the viral genome internalization (Plemper, 2011) (Fig. 1b, c). During fusion reaction, the host proteases including trypsin, tryptase Clara, human airway trypsin-like protease (HAT), and transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) catalyze the binding of S glycoproteins to the host cell by proteolytic priming (Wang et al., 2020a).

The fusion and gene delivery is dependent on the location and the type of proteolytic enzyme, and can be occurred at the cell surface (non-endosomal priming) and on subcellular membranes (endosomal priming) (Lukassen et al., 2020). In non-endosomal priming, after viral membrane fusion to the host cell surface, viral RNA directly releases into the cytoplasm. Nevertheless, in endosomal priming, the virus first enters into the host cell by means of endosomes, and then releases its genetic material into the cytoplasm (Fig. 2). Akin to SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 exploits the non-endosomal priming but with 10- to 20-fold higher affinity (Wrapp et al., 2020). The S glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 attaches to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor on target cells via receptor-binding domain (RBD) in S1 subunit (Letko et al., 2020), priming by TMPRSS2 (Lukassen et al., 2020). However, in vitro blocking of TMPRSS2 showed that SARS-CoV-2 has not been completely inhibited (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Kawase et al., 2012). Moreover, entry of SARS-CoV-2 to 293/TACE2 cells mainly occurs through endocytosis, which is mediated by PIRfyte, TPE2, and cathepsin L, representing the higher flexibility of S protein of SARS-CoV-2 than SARS-CoV (Coutard et al., 2020).

Furin-mediated pre-cleavage at the S1/S2 site of the S protein (see Fig. 1) plays an important role in viral fusion (Coutard et al., 2020). Furin is expressed by secretory pathway of infected cells of organs and tissues in brain, lung, gastrointestinal tract, liver, pancreas, reproductive tissues, and thus makes these tissues sensitive to SARS-CoV-2 infection (Wang et al., 2020a). The stronger transmissibility and higher infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 is due to the furin-mediated pre-cleavage mutation, which increases binding affinity of SARS-CoV-2 to its receptor (Lukassen et al., 2020; Wrapp et al., 2020). Moreover, S protein binds to integrins as an alternative receptor through a conserved RGD (403-405: Arg-Gly-Asp) motif present in its receptor-binding domain which can promote virus entry and infection of the host cell through activating transducing pathways including phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI-3 K) or mitogen-activate protein kinase (MAPK) (Sigrist et al., 2020). Another cell surface receptor, Neutropilin-1 (NR1P1) can bind to furin-cleaved proteins such as SARS-CoV-2 S protein and facilitate virus attachment and cell entry which significantly enhances SARS-CoV-2 infectivity and pathogenesis (Cantuti-Castelvetri et al., 2020).

Both of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 attach to ACE2 receptor on host cells surfaces in respiratory and gastrointestinal tract (Hoffmann et al., 2020) (Fig. 2). The highest level of ACE2 expression in nasal epithelial cells (specifically goblet/secretory cells) and ciliated cells, as early viral targets, constitutes standing by reservoirs helping more efficient SARS-CoV-2 transmission (Sungnak et al., 2020). Furthermore, ACE2 expression has been reported in high, medium and low levels in different body tissues, which all can be considered as potent alternative targets outside of lung (Di Sotto et al., 2018). However, one study has reported a negative correlation between the high expression level of ACE2 in Asian females and young people with COVID-19 severity and fatality (Wang et al., 2020b). Interestingly, SARS-CoV-2 S protein binds to CD147 spike protein, a receptor that expresses on the surface of host cells (Wang et al., 2020b). Studies on pseudovirus and live virus infection showed that T cells, with very low levels of ACE2, were infected through S protein-mediated membrane fusion of SARS-CoV-2, which indicates the involvement of another receptor, rather than ACE2, in infection of such immune cells (Wang et al., 2020c).

3. Immune response to SARS-CoV-2 infection

The immune system is able to direct its antiviral responses, which are a sequential set of steps leading to elimination of viruses and infected cells by non-specific or specific responses.

3.1. Innate immune responses

Antiviral immune response is initiated through recognition of the molecular signatures of viral particles, known as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs)
of innate immune cells. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) as the PRR sensors are residents of the cell surface (TLR1, 2, 4, 5, and 6), of the endosome (TLRs 3, 7, 8, 9, and 10), and of the cytosol (NOD-like receptors (NLRs) and retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs)) (Chan and Gack, 2016). During the infection process of MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2, the endosomal or the cytosolic PRR sensors can recognize distinct forms of viral RNA including ssRNA, 5′ triphosphate RNA, and double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) as PAMPs (Alosaimi et al., 2020). The link between the PRRs and these PAMPs leads to activation of downstream signaling cascades, production of types I and III interferons (IFNs), and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines by multiple myeloid lineages and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (DCs), and therefore grants them a vital antiviral role (Perlman and Netland, 2009). Then, autocrine IFN-1 mediates the expression of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) and establishes a so-called antiviral state to inhibit virus infection (Prompetchare et al., 2020). Proinflammatory responses locally recruit a variety of innate macrophages, neutrophils, Natural killer (NK) cells and DCs (Kindler and Thiel, 2016) (Fig. 3). Consequently, these responses and their balance give rise to clearance of viral infection. However, proinflammatory responses could also be injurious, especially when hypercytokinemia has formerly been triggered. Impairment of innate immune response in COVID-19-induced pneumonia has been shown to lead to poor outcome. In comparison of COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 patients with community-acquired pneumonia, mechanical ventilation was significantly longer in COVID-19 patients, which was associated with increased plasma concentrations of CXCL10, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and C–C chemokine ligand 5 (CCL5) in these patients (Blot et al., 2020).

Fig. 1. Virus particles, complete genome sequences, spike structure, cleavage sites and fusion reaction of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. a) Virions contain a +ssRNA genome of 26–32 kb in size. The genome ORF1a/b encodes polyproteins, which form the viral replicate transcriptase complex. The other ORFs on the genome encode four main structural proteins: S, E, N and M proteins, as well as several accessory proteins. b) The spike protein structure is composed of an extracellular (EC) domain, a transmembrane anchor domain and a short intracellular tail. EC domain contains two functional subunits, a receptor-binding subunit (S1) and a membrane-fusion subunit (S2), S1 contains two independent domains, an N-terminal domain (S1-NTD) and receptor binding domain (RBD), the S1/S2 cleavage site is shown in its uncleaved, native state and resides in an unstructured region between S1 and S2, the S2’ cleavage site is exposed only after receptor binding. c) Fusion reaction; S1 attaches to a receptor on the target cells, induces a conformational change in the S, exposing cleavage sites between S1 and S2. In SARS-CoV-2, the trimeric S protein then cleaves into S1 and S2 subunits by cellular proteases (scissors), the fusion peptide (FP) latches onto the target membrane, anchoring the virus and cell together. The heptad repeat 2 (HR2) then folds to interact with the heptad repeat 1 (HR1), bringing the membranes together. The successful refolding of enough adjacent S2s leads to fusion of viral and cell membranes and release of the viral genome into the target cell cytoplasm.
The life cycle of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 in host cells. Both viruses enter target cells through fusion at the cell surface (early entry) or in the endocytic compartment (late entry). Entry must depend on which proteases activate the spike proteins. In early entry, the virus is cleaved at S protein by cell-surface transmembrane serine proteases (TTSPs). If an S protein is unable to be cleaved by transmembrane proteases, due to S protein sequence or lack of protease expression on target cell, the virus must undergo endocytosis and be activated by Cathepsin in the endosome/lysosome. The S proteins of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 bind to cellular receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2). Early and late entry lead to release of the viral ssRNA genome to the cytoplasm. ORF1a and ORF1ab are translated and processed to form the RNA replicase (+) transcriptase complex for driving the production of negative-sense Full-length RNAs [(+)RNA]. Full-length (+)RNA is transcribed into four sub-genomic mRNAs which during translation encode viral structural proteins including S, E, M and N. Viral nucleocapsids are assembled from genomic RNA and N protein in the cytoplasm, followed by budding into the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)–Golgi intermediate compartment. Virions are then released from the infected cell through exocytosis and unlike nonenveloped viruses, do not lyse cells.

3.2. Adaptive immune responses

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus induce the adaptive immune responses to recruit T cells for killing the virus-infected cells and B cells for producing the pathogen-specific antibodies, which proceed until reaching an appropriate level of response (Newton et al., 2016) (Fig. 3). During the virus incubation and non-severe stages, the adaptive immunity in most people is primed to induce a significant immune response against SARS-CoV-2 infection (Qin et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2020a). Infiltration of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in the lungs and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) of BALB/C mice sensitized to SARS-COV-2 infection has been shown, which type 1 IFN pathway is essential for efficient response of these T cells. Indeed, increasing resistance to SARS-COV-2 infection, rapid clearance of this infection, and reducing COVID-19 immunopathogenesis and severity depend on the response of these specific T cells (Zhuang et al., 2021).

The increase in white blood cell (WBC) counts, neutrophil-lymphopenia ratio (NLR) and T lymphopenia, and decline in the number of monocytes, eosinophils, basophils, B cells, T cells, NK cells, memory helper T cells, and regulatory T cells (Tregs) have been reported in the patients with CoVs infection (Qin et al., 2020). Lymphopenia, as the representative of immunological dysregulation, is a common feature of SARS-CoV-2 infection and can be used as a biomarker for evaluation of hospitalization period, treatment effect, and outcomes of COVID-19 patients (Bermejo-Martin et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2020a). Moreover, decrease in the counts of T cells, especially CD8+ T cells, as well as increase in the levels of interleukin (IL)-6, IL-10, IL-2 and IFN-γ in the peripheral blood in the severe cases, and the neutrophil-to-CD8+ T cell ratio (NRR) were identified as important determinants of prognosis for severe SARS-CoV-2 disease (Tan et al., 2020a; Xu et al., 2020). Finally, SARS-CoV-2 neutral antibodies (nAbs) can act against receptor binding domains or subdomains engaged in membrane fusion or virus entry, which needs to be investigated more deeply (Jiang et al., 2020a).

The clinical signs of severe COVID-19 patients have shown the elevated plasma proinflammatory factors such as IL-1β, IL-8, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) (Huang et al., 2020). However, an increased level of serum C-reactive protein (CRP), serum amyloid A (SAA), procalcitonin (PCT), D-dimer, and creatine kinase, indicates sustained inflammatory response and disturbed coagulation mechanism after infection with SARS-CoV-2. In addition, a high number of TH17 cells in peripheral blood and cytokines involved in TH17-type responses by SARS-CoV-2 suggests the presence of a TH17-type cytokine storm (Wu and Yang, 2020). Furthermore, these cytokines induce the production of IL-21 and IL-22, supporting the TH17 cell maintenance and mediating antimicrobial peptides production in the mucosal organs, respectively. The high expression of inflammatory mediators can generate a feedback cycle between cytokines and immune cells, which may lead to cytokine storms, more severe respiratory complications and higher case fatality rate (Alosaimi et al., 2020). It has been proven that the extreme decrease of lymphocytes and the augmented levels of cytokines, in particular IL-2 and IL-6, are reliable indicators of severe COVID-19 (Tan et al., 2020b). Also according to another survey, COVID-19 patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) have higher serum levels of IL-6, CRP and procalcitonin, which IL-6 and CRP have been identified as the strongest predictors of disease severity in patients admitted with COVID-19 (Broman et al., 2021).

Adaptive immunity to the S protein of SARS-CoV-2, T cell responses and specific cytokine patterns produced by T helper cells (TH1, TH2, and TH17) are keys to understanding the immunopathology of COVID-19 and vaccine design (Weiskopf et al., 2020). Functional assays have also indicated that peptides derived from the M protein of SARS-CoV-2 are involved in T-cell responses in most of the COVID-19 patients (Mateus et al., 2020). Circulating SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells (CD8+ and CD4+) were identified in COVID-19 convalescent patients (Mateus et al., 2020). Recently, pre-existing SARS-CoV-2 cross-reactive memory T cells are also detected in 28 to 50% of non-exposed individuals (Grifoni et al., 2020).
Accumulating evidence has indicated the presence of pre-existing memory CD4\(^+\) T cells in blood samples than cross-reactive CD8\(^+\) memory T cells (Lipsitch et al., 2020), suggesting their exposure to some of common coronaviruses (Grifoni et al., 2020). Studies have demonstrated a significant decline in the number of CD3\(^+\) T cells, CD8\(^+\) T cells and natural killer cells, as well as a slight decrease in CD4\(^+\) T cells count in patients with COVID-19, introducing the counts of CD8\(^+\) and CD4\(^+\) T cells as a diagnosis and prognosis marker for COVID-19 disease (Jiang et al., 2020b). Also function of some special subtypes of T cells can be affected during SARS-CoV-2 infection. For example, activation of mucosa associated invariant T (MAIT) cells is associated with the severity of COVID-19 disease. MAIT cells are involved in the immune response against SARS-CoV-2 through an innate-like response independent of HLA-presented peptide antigens and may be involved in COVID-19 immunopathogenesis. Evidences suggest that in COVID-19 patients these cells become highly activated and their number in the bloodstream decreases. On the other hand, a significant increase in MAIT cells and IL-17A proinflammatory cells in the respiratory tract of these patients is observed that the presence of MAIT cell CD69\(^{high}\) and CXCR3\(^{low}\) immune types are associated with poor outcome (Parrot et al., 2020). Severe malfunction of MAIT cells in COVID-19 patients also has been reported in another study, where these innate-like T cells could express high levels of proinflammatory cytokines, including IL-17A and TNF\(\alpha\). Drastic changes in the expression profile of cytokines leading to a
defective antiviral function in MAIT cells of COVID-19 patients can be associated with the immunopathogenesis of this disease (Deschler et al., 2021).

4. SARS-CoV-2-immunescape

In order to disrupt innate immune responses and establish the viral infection, CoVs employ various mechanisms such as hiding of PRR agonists or suppressing the activation of PRRs and their downstream signaling cascades (Chan and Gack, 2016; Kikkert, 2020). The production of three types of IFNs (type I: IFN-α and -β, type II: IFN-γ, and type III: IFN lambdas) is an important early host immune defense mechanism; however, their expression is downregulated by SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 (Friedman et al., 2008). IFN III, as the primary local defending agent, protects epithelial surfaces of lung cells from low doses of pathogenicity (Wells and Coyne, 2018; Zhou et al., 2018). In higher doses of the virus, the second line of defense, type I IFN machinery, covers broader areas of the tissue (Kikkert, 2020). The unique aspect of the type III IFN is not inducing inflammation as much as type I IFN and is probably responsible for the protection of epithelial tissue from immunopathology (Galanis et al., 2017).

Regarding the tricks employed by SARS-CoV-2 to hamper IFN-I responses, it has been demonstrated that upon infection with SARS-CoV-2, nonstructural protein 6 (nsp6) and nsp13 bind to TANK binding kinase 1 (TBK1) and inhibit the phosphorylation of interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) or TBK1, respectively. Moreover, open reading frame 6 (ORF6) was also indicated to target importin Karyopherin (IRF3) or TBK1, respectively. Moreover, open reading frame 6 (ORF6) was also indicated to target importin Karyopherin (IRF3) or TBK1, respectively. Moreover, open reading frame 6 (ORF6) was also indicated to target importin Karyopherin (IRF3) or TBK1, respectively.

The SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 share the same structural (e.g., M, N, E) and non-structural proteins such as open reading frame (ORF) proteins that can inhibit type I IFNs signaling at several levels (Prompetchara et al., 2020). These proteins are capable of shielding viral RNAs from innate immune sensors by creating double membrane vesicles (DMVs) (Oudshoorn et al., 2017), and adding a cap or a cap-like structure to the 5’-end of RNAs (Chen et al., 2011) (Fig. 4). They also mask the viral dsRNA by protein 4a (p4a) (Batool et al., 2017), and mediate alternative replication inside the nucleus, owing to the absence of RNA sensors (i.e., RIG-I-like sensors or TLRs) (Kikkert, 2020).

Structural proteins also can directly interact with the inhibitor of βB kinase ε (IKKe) and TANK binding kinase 1 (TBK1) in the cytoplasm and decrease the STAT1 phosphorylation, which thereby suppresses the IFN-1 anti-viral responses (De Wit et al., 2016; Sui et al., 2014; Sui et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2015). The CoVs EndoU (endoribonuclease U) can inactivate the host immune sensors by cleaving a 5′-polyuridines sequence of negative-sense viral RNA, which acts as MDA5 (melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5)-dependent PAMP (Hackbart et al., 2020). In addition, CoVs proteins can interfere with host mRNA translations and cleave the cellular (innate immune) factors by SARS-CoV nsp1protein (Kikkert, 2020).

The absence of ORF 3b together with significant changes in ORF6 of SARS-CoV-2 virulent protein have made this virus much higher sensitive to type I IFN compared to SARS-CoV (Lokugamage et al., 2020). ORF6 and ORF8 of SARS-CoV-2 can inhibit type I interferon (IFN-β) activation, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) pathway, the interferon-stimulated response element (ISRE) and interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) such as ISG54 and ISG56 (Zhang et al., 2020). Furthermore, downregulation of MHC-I surface expression on various cell types is driven through direct interaction of ORF8 of SARS-CoV-2 with MHC-I molecules, which thus leads to the disturbance of antigen presentation and of the function of CTLs in recognition and elimination of infected cells (Zhang et al., 2020). As recently shown, the papain-like protease (PLpro) of SARS-CoV-2, the important factor in generating functional replicase complex, preferentially can reduce type I interferon responses via cleavage of the ubiquitin-like interferon-stimulated gene 15 protein (ISG15) from interferon responsive factor 3 (IRF3), and also post-translational modifications on host proteins (Devaraj et al., 2007). Also viral NSP3 protease with cleavage of IRF3 exacerbates the reduction of type I interferon response and immunoderepression during SARS-CoV-2 infection. Furthermore, cleavage of viral modulators of inflammatory pathways including NLRP12 and TAB1 by viral NSP5 protease leads to increased cytokine production and severe inflammatory response observed in COVID-19 patients. Interestingly, the absence of a cleavage motif in IRF3 and NLRP12 has been shown to inhibit the cleavage of these proteins by SARS-CoV-2 proteases and reduce the disease severity (Moustafi et al., 2020). Although delayed IFN-I responses and pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV infections share to a certain extent, the viral load of virus and the levels of IFN-I play important roles in immunopathogenesis of them (Lingeswaran et al., 2020). Recently, it has been shown that some of the SARS-CoV-2 proteins including NSP1, NSP3, NSP12, NSP13, NSP14, ORF3, ORF6 and M protein can restrain the Sendai virus-induced activation of IFN-β promoter (Lei et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). Generally, passive and active properties of structural and nonstructural proteins shared by SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 can interfere with multiple steps during the initial innate immune responses, including detection of RNA sensors, signaling pathway of type I IFN production, and paracrine activation downstream of type I IFN (Fig. 4).

5. Inflammatory signaling pathways of SARS-CoV-2

Mechanisms initiating inflammatory effects in response to SARS-CoV-2 infections are majorly associated with the activation of innate immune signaling (Chan et al., 2020). It has been demonstrated that the expression of genes involved in interferon signaling, neutrophil degradation and the innate immune response in the lungs of rhesus macaque monkeys increase following SARS-CoV-2 infection, while collagen production-inducing pathways are downregulated. In COVID-19 patients, aging is an important risk factor for poor prognosis and increased mortality, so that IFN type I and notch signaling increase significantly in the lungs of juvenile infected macaques compared to old infected macaques. While in older monkeys with COVID-19 disease an increase in neutrophil counts and neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio is observed (Rosa et al., 2021). SARS-CoV-2 can be recognized through NOD-like receptor protein 3 (NLRP3) on the cell membrane followed by formation of NLRP3 inflammasome, mediated by the adaptor protein apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a caspase recruitment domain (ASC) and caspase-1, to induce the pro-inflammatory responses (He et al., 2016) (Fig. 5a). SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV may promote an inflammatory response by inflammasome NLRP3 activation via cell pyroptosis and structural proteins (Paniri and Akhavan-Niaki, 2020; Zheng and Kaneganti, 2020). The rise of IL-1β in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 can be the result of NLRP3 inflammasome activation, suggesting the cell pyroptotic activity of SARS-CoV-2 (Huang et al., 2020).

The activity of 3α, E, and 8α proteins as ion-channel (IC) or vironporins in SARS-CoV has been previously identified (Castano-Rodriguez et al., 2018). The IC activity in the membrane of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) leads to the release of Ca²⁺ into the cytosol, activation of the inflammasome complex, and subsequently the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 (Farag et al., 2020) (Fig. 5a). The transient receptor potential channel subfamily V member 4 (TRPV4) cation is a mechanosensitive Ca²⁺ channel that is widely expressed in all tissues as well as in immune cells (Michailek and Kuebler, 2020). In macrophages, TRPV4 mediates pro-inflammatory functions and anti-inflammatory effects (Hamanaoka et al., 2015; Liedtke et al., 2011). In lung alveolar epithelium, TRPV4 has been shown to act as a key regulator of epithelial barrier function and the alveolar-capillary barrier (Kuebler et al., 2020). During infection, TRPV4 activation through pathogen-associated signals can lead to pro-inflammatory phenotypes and thereby boosts the acute
inflammatory responses (Scheraga et al., 2020) (Fig. 5a). Thus, loss of the TRPV4 barrier function can lead to the formation of alveolar edema (Alvarez et al., 2006). It is believed that TRPV4 hyperactivation plays a key role in inflammatory diseases by damaging the alveolar-capillary barrier, and so has currently been considered as a target for developing therapeutic strategies against COVID-19 (Kuebler et al., 2020).

The DNA sensors, cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS) and STING (stimulator of interferon genes), regulate the expression of inflammatory IFN-I/III mediators (Channappanavar et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2009). Dysfunction of these pathways in response to lesions of cells and tissues, autoimmune diseases, and STING-associated vasculopathy (SAV) gives rise to human inflammatory disease (Liu et al., 2014). Self-DNA as DAMP (danger associated molecular patterns) is released from damaged tissues and apoptotic cells associated with the viral infection, and can induce cGAS-STING-IFN pathway, which subsequently leads to cytokine storm (Benmerzoug et al., 2019) (Fig. 5b). In addition, SARS-CoV-2 S protein and other virulence factors can modulate the IL-1β secretion through activation of TLR4 and thus exacerbate the lung inflammation (Conti et al., 2020) (Fig. 5c).

Infectious virus-antibody complexes interact with the Fc receptor (FcR) or other cells receptors and promote viral cellular uptake, and then activate the MAPK pathway as a mediator of pro-inflammatory responses (Chan et al., 2020). Furthermore, the MAPK pathway can be activated through antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) mechanism by employing anti-spike IgG (Anti-S-IgG), PDZ-binding motif (PBM) of E protein, and structural proteins to induce inflammatory responses (Alam et al., 2020, 2020; Castaño-Rodriguez et al., 2018). Indeed, the interaction of the viral PBM with syntenin PDZ motifs activates the p38-MAPK pathway and promotes the acute pro-inflammatory response (Jimenez-Guardeño et al., 2014). Additionally, structural 3a and 7a proteins, by promoting the JNK activation, induce the inflammation and aggravate SARS-CoV infection (Kanzawa et al., 2006; Varshney and Lal, 2011). Altogether, these features trigger the cytokine storm, leading to increased edema in the lungs and thereby causing acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (Alam et al., 2020).

During acute lung injury, ACE2 can play a protective role (Hamming...
et al., 2004; Kuba et al., 2005). However, some studies have shown that binding of SARS-CoV-2 to ACE2 using SARS-CoV S protein in the mouse model downregulates the ACE2 expression and neutralizes its protective effect (Glowacka et al., 2009). It has been demonstrated that the cell entry of the virus induces the ADAM-17-dependent shedding of ACE2 N-terminal domain (Oudit et al., 2009), and this depletion leads to dysfunction of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) and enhancement in inflammation and vascular permeability (Chan et al., 2020) (Fig. 5e).

6. Immunopathogenesis of COVID-19

The SARS-CoV-2 life cycle is not cytolytic, and viral replication and assembly are temporally linked with epithelial cells; nevertheless, the damage to the lungs of COVID-19 patients has been observed in severe cases (Abdulamir and Hatifdh, 2020; Lu et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020a). So, what is the cause of this damage? It can be the outcome of intense inflammatory responses associated with immune dysregulation, direct infection of immune cells, or autoimmune reactions (Dandekar and Perlman, 2005). Although the exact immune mechanism that brings about mortality among COVID-19 patients is still unknown, however, immune responses in COVID-19 infection are induced in both mild and severe phases (Shi et al., 2020).

Upon SARS-CoV-2 infection, the respiratory epithelial cells and alveolar macrophages initiate an innate immune response through secretion of inflammatory factors (Alosaimi et al., 2020), leading to the recruitment of neutrophils and inflammatory monocyte-macrophages (IMMs) to the infection site (Channappanavar and Perlman, 2020; Kindler and Thiel, 2016). In our previous review we have discussed about mechanisms of influenza infection-induced metabolic perturbations leading to aberrant immune responses (Keshavarz et al., 2020). In fact, by interfering the host’s immunometabolic balance viruses can tailor the immune response for their propagation and survival. Changes in the bioenergetics and mitochondrial dysfunction of monocytes are evident in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. During these changes monocytes develop extensive disorders in the glycolysis pathway, cellular respiration and the structure and function of mitochondria. These metabolic changes are accompanied by a significant increase in different subsets of monocytes with higher expression levels of inhibitory checkpoints PD-1 or PDL-1 and increased plasma levels of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines including GM-CSF, IL-18, CCL2, CXCL10 and osteopontin. This can reveal the important role of monocytes in exacerbating inflammation, pathogenesis and mortality due to COVID-19 disease and worse prognosis in these patients (Gibellini et al., 2020). The process of these changes is also similar to the immunometabolic paralysis seen during sepsis (Rubio et al., 2019). High amounts of IL-1β, IFN-γ, chemokine CXCL10, and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) in the peripheral blood induce T-helper 1 (Th1)-mediated immune responses, in order to modulate the uncontrolled inflammatory responses (Huang et al., 2020). Findings indicate an increase in the expression of HIF1α and its transcriptionally regulated genes in blood myeloid cells of patients with severe COVID-19 disease. In these patients a shift toward an increase in circulating immature myeloid cells occurs, that has been shown to be caused by a physiological response called emergency myelopoiesis. These myeloid cells together with bronchoalveolar cells express HIF1α and its regulated genes, which are associated with inflammation, virus identification, and metabolism. Increased expression of HIF1α in COVID-19 patients and its role in inflammatory processes, immunometabolism and expression of TLRs, can make it a suitable molecular marker to assess the severity of COVID-19 and a preferential option for targeted treatment of this disease (Taniguchi-Ponciano et al., 2021). SARS-CoV-2s also stimulate antigen-presenting cells (APCs), which contribute to pro-inflammatory cytokine milieu and activate naïve CD4+ T cells. The regulated immune responses and regenerative processes can eliminate the virus (in non-severe stages of the disease) and preclude the disease progression to severe stages (Shi et al., 2020) (Fig. 5a).

However, reduced/delayed IFN-1 signaling and the high level of viral and immune responses, all expand the number of recruited immune cells, which is associated with local

![Fig. 5. Possible mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2-mediated inflammatory responses.](image-url)

- **(a)** NOD-like receptor protein 3 (NLRP3) is activated by virus, Ca2+ influx or ROS (induced via viroporins (α₃) or TRPV4 (α₃)) and binds to the precursor of caspase-1 (procaspase-1) through the adaptor protein ASC in the cell to form a multiprotein complex, thereby activating caspase-1.  
- **(b)** Entry of danger-associated molecular pattern (self-DNA) into the cytoplasm from the nucleus of mitochondria or dead cells activates the cGAS-STING pathway.  
- **(c)** Binding of viral PAMPs/DAMPs to the TLRs and activation of transcription factors for inducing proinflammatory factors.  
- **(d)** Viral structural proteins or binding of virus-Ab complex to FcR can also activate proinflammatory responses via MAPK signaling.  
- **(e)** Binding of the spike protein to ACE2 induces ADAM 17 activity, thereby reducing the number of ACE2 expressed on the cell surface.
exhaustion markers such as PD-1, Tim-3 and NKG2A and the decreased number of total T cells and NK cells (Bell et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2020). Related to lymphocytes has shown the increased expression of T cells and reduce the number of virus-specific CD8- T cells that have sensitized to IFN-I (Petrey et al., 2021). These conditions induce apoptosis in T cells and are involved in COVID-19 immunopathogenesis and increased severity of the disease (Petrey et al., 2021).

Severe inflammation associated with cytokine storm can change the differentiation and activity of lymphocytes. Analysis of some parameters related to lymphocytes has shown the increased expression of T cells exhaustion markers such as PD-1, Tim-3 and NKG2A and the decreased number of total T cells and NK cells (Bell et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2020). These conditions induce apoptosis in T cells that have sensitized to IFN-I and reduce the number of virus-specific CD8- and CD4+ T cells (Channappanavar and Perlman, 2020). Virus-induced inflammatory factor storms can generate serious pathological changes including fibrosis, alveolar-capillary barrier damage, hyaluronic acid (HA) membrane formation, and HA production that are collectively linked to ARDS (Bell et al., 2019; Hallgren et al., 1989). Therefore, optimization and modulation of immune responses may be a promising treatment for severe COVID-19 patients.

SARS-CoV-2 has shown the heterogeneity of clinical signs and symptoms as those observed to be akin to Kawasaki disease and toxic shock syndrome, namely multisystem inflammatory syndrome (MIS) that first recognized in children (MIS-C) (Godfred-Cato et al., 2020; Riollano-Cruz et al., 2020) and then was reported in adults (MIS-A) (Shaigany et al., 2020). Regardless of the role of acute viral infection in this occurrence, some highlight the mediating role of IgG antibody in enhancement of the disease post-infectiously. The latter postulation is strengthened by the facts that (1) in a number of countries and after a peak of SARS-CoV-2, a delay has been observed before there port of MIS-C cases; and (2) frequently, unlike RT-PCR assay, SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing of children with MIS-C has been resulted positive (Rowley, 2020). Comparison of severe clinical signs of COVID-19 in children has identified a wide range of symptoms from pneumonia to MIS-C. MIS-C is the most common clinical form in children with severe COVID-19 disease. Instead of the classic COVID-19 disease with severe respiratory involvement, these children are more likely to have gastrointestinal symptoms, shock and immune response dysregulation and to need vasoactive drugs, and are less likely to need mechanical ventilation (García-Salido et al., 2020). Many possibilities including injury from systemic inflammation, acute viral myocarditis, hypoxia, stress cardiomyopathy, and with less probability coronary artery (CA)-mediated ischemia have been proposed to describe this situation (Sperotto et al., 2020). Moreover, the observed cardiac dysfunction in some patients may be due to the combinatorial adverse effect of these mechanisms. It is also likely that the variability in clinical presentation be due to the involvement of distinct mechanisms in different patients (Godfred-Cato et al., 2020).

More recent studies have suggested certain immunological conditions as leading causes of MIS-C. SARS-CoV-2-associated acute MIS-C has been characterized by higher B-cell plasmablast and double-negative B-cell frequencies accompanied by severe activation of some other B-cell subsets including neutrophils, monocytes and memory CD8- T-cells. Furthermore, systemic activation of complement factors and increased plasma C5b-9 level were related to acute MIS-C progression (Syrini et al., 2021). Such possible role for hyper-activated complement system in pathogenesis of MIS-C also has been proposed elsewhere (Pombo et al., 2021). A study on several children with SARS-CoV-2 infection and mild to severe MIS-C has revealed that CDR3-independent interaction of unprocessed SARS-CoV-2 spike protein with T cell receptor (TCR) causes extensive proliferation and activation of TCRβ variable gene 11-2 (TRBV11-2) T cells which is associated with cytokine storm and MIS-C severity. Moreover, all patients had HLA class I alleles A02, B35, and C04 simultaneously which was associated with TRBV11-2 T cells expansion and increased susceptibility to severe MIS-C (Porritt et al., 2021). Activation of NF-kB by SARS-CoV-2 S and N proteins induces production of proinflammatory cytokines like IL-6 which shows positive correlation with disease severity. In fact, SARS-CoV-2-associated MIS-C can be a result of cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and these are tightly related due to their inflammatory basis (Que et al., 2021).

7. Immune-based therapies in COVID-19

Therapy strategies such as inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 fusion/entry, disruption of replication, suppression of excessive inflammatory response, and combination strategies are racing to develop a treatment against COVID-19 (Horby et al., 2020; Roth et al., 2020). Recently, thousands of clinical trials have been conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of those strategies for the treatment of COVID-19 all around the world. Among those clinical trials, inhaled nitric oxide has shown a beneficial effect in eliciting antiviral responses against SARS-CoV-2 (Alvarez et al., 2020). Toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists, which is a potent protease inhibitor and has been approved and marketed to treat chronic hepatitis C patients, has been shown to be effective against SARS-CoV-2, which may be due to the structure similarity between chymotrypsin-like protease of SARS-CoV-2 with HCV proteases (Chen et al., 2020). Hydroxychloroquine, an antimarial drug, has also been reported to be efficient in reduction of viral load among COVID-19 patients and its efficiency was further enhanced by azithromycin (Gautret et al., 2020).

Tables 1, 2, and 3 have summarized the ongoing clinical trials for treatment of COVID-19. However, the balance of innate and adaptive immune responses is a decisive factor in the treatment of COVID-19, which can be achieved by immunomodulatory agents with or without combination with antivirals (Table 3). The imbalanced IFN-mediated immune response is considered as a key factor in the COVID-19 severity (Xu et al., 2020), especially in the elderly than in children and adults, which may be due to the earlier induction of IFNs in children and their less developed immune system (Vabret et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2018). Furthermore, inhibition of cytokine storm by the regulation of immune responses using immunomodulatory agents such as agonists for suppression of IL-6, IL-1p, and TH17 type responses is possible. Moreover, IL-10-mediated hampering of IFN-γ, IL-12, and TNF-α, by polarizing immune responses toward Th2 and away from Th1 pathways (Fadel et al., 2020), might minimize the lung injuries and increase the survival chance. The efficacy of antagonism of cytokine/chemokine signaling pathways such astocilizumab, sarilumab, siltuximab for IL-6 signaling pathway, and gimsilumab for targeting GM-CSF are now being tested for managing COVID-19 outbreak (Vabret et al., 2020). In a study on a 50 years old patient with cytokine storm and ARDS induced by COVID-19, administration of anakinra, an IL-1 receptor antagonist commonly used to treat auto-inflammatory disorders in adult patients, reduced oxygen demand and improved inflammatory and ferritin markers (Nemchand et al., 2020). Recently, corticosteroids such as dexamethasone, which can reduce inflammation through inhibition of pro-inflammatory transcription factors, have demonstrated to reduce the hospitalization period of COVID-19 patients (Gralinski and Menachery, 2020; Horby et al., 2020). Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) are web-like structures containing antimicrobial granules that prevent the spread of pathogens in the bloodstream (Papayannopoulos, 2018), but excessive increase of NETs in the serum of COVID-19 patients leads to endothelial dysfunction and microvascular immunothrombosis and is associated with multi-organ damage and high mortality (Middleton et al., 2020; Fostamatinib, a spleen tyrosine kinase inhibitor, is a potential treatment for COVID-19 disease that can inhibit the release of NETs induced by the plasma of COVID-19 patients (Strich et al., 2021).
Fig. 6. Non-severe and severe COVID-19. a) During non-severe stage, innate and specific adaptive immune responses can eliminate the virus and prevent disease progression to severe stages. b) Nevertheless, high viral load and propagation, followed by dysregulated immune response and massive destruction of the affected tissues can induce innate inflammation in the lungs that is largely mediated by inflammatory monocyte-macrophages (IMMs). Massive accumulation of pathogenic inflammatory IMMs and exuberant inflammation increase the severity of disease and lead to lung damage in the severe stages of the disease.
The gold standard strategy for improving COVID-19 infection can be followed through inhibition of the hyperinflammation and subsequent repairing of damaged cells, which can be achieved by means of cell therapy and regenerative medicine (Parhizkar Rousdari et al., 2020; Rousdari et al., 2020). Applying mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs)-based approach is a hopeful strategy to reduce inflammation via releasing prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β), nitric oxide (NO), and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), and repairing damaged tissue by keratinocyte growth factor (KGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) (Golchin et al., 2020; Parhizkar Rousdari et al., 2020). Moreover, cell therapy based on NK-cells using NCT04280224, CYNK-001, and NKG2D-ACE2 CAR-NK cells is currently recruiting COVID-19 patients (Bonam et al., 2020; Market et al., 2020). Immune-based therapy with IFN (ChiCTR: ChiCTR 2,000,029,600) with specific timing and dose has also been adopted for treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection (Zhou et al., 2020c).

Besides, the oral administration of Olumiant (baricitinib), a JAK1/JAK2 suppressor under evaluation in phase 3 in adult hospitalized COVID-19 patients, has shown potential in decreasing the serum levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, rapid recovery of circulating T and B cell frequencies, and increasing the antibody production against the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, and thereby reduction in the need for oxygen therapy (Bronte et al., 2020). Leronlimab, the C–C chemokine receptor type 5 inhibitor developed against HIV-1 infection, is another drug that is in early stages of clinical trials for treatment of COVID-19 disease (Yang et al., 2020).

Using immunoglobulins and plasma therapy have improved clinical outcomes (Zhou et al., 2020c) and is recommended for healthcare systems of COVID-19 (Chen and Xia, 2020; Verma et al., 2020). Generally, until the production of an efficient immunotherapy agent such as vaccine, which produces a durable response through inducing immunological memory, the reduction in severity of the disease is in demand for administration of passive immunotherapy agents. For that purpose, produced neutralizing antibodies against structural proteins of SARS-CoV-2 such as monoclonal antibodies, polyclonal antibodies, and convalescent plasma from recovered COVID-19 patients are currently under investigation (Vabret et al., 2020).

8. Talented SARS-CoV-2-Epitopes for vaccination

SARS-CoV-2 dramatically differs in transmissibility compared to other coronaviruses (Goh et al., 2020), which may be due to the differences in predicted intrinsic disorder (PID), an index for the rigidity of viral shells (Dandekar and Perlman, 2005). PID analysis has demonstrated that the outer shell of SARS-CoV-2is the hardest among the coronavirus family members and so is more resilient in body fluids and the environment (Dandekar and Perlman, 2005; Kindler and Thiel, 2016). This property and fecal-oral-respiratory way of the virus transmission (Huang et al., 2020), together with durability of the virus outside the body for longer period justify the upper rate of SARS-CoV-2 spread than of MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV. As it is an emerging virus, many target antigen selection and vaccine platform for SARS-CoV-2 are inherited from previous antibody-mediated protection studies on SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (Prompetchara et al., 2020). Accordingly, the SARS-CoV RBD recombinant protein represents a potential candidate for the production of SARS-CoV-2 heterologous vaccine (Gralinski and Menachery, 2020). The non-self-sequences with minimal risk of cross-reactivity are considered ideal immunogenic epitopes; thus, most investigations have focused on the surface S glycoprotein of HCoVs, due to its high immunogenicity and ability to exert an efficient neutralizing effect (Gralinski and Menachery, 2020; Lucchese, 2020). Recently, 66 epitopes out of 107 human-foreign spike protein pentapeptides have been offered for this purpose, providing experimental proof for the immunogenic potential of non-self-peptides (Lucchese, 2020).

| Table 3 | Summary of immunomodulatory agents against severe COVID-19. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Therapeutic agents | Summary | References |
| Poly ICLC, Interferon alpha | Interferon-inducing agents and innate anti-viral response | Saxena et al., 2019; Wang et al. (2020a, 2020b, 2020c) |
| Suramin | Inhibition of GAS-STING-IFN production pathway | (Wang et al., 2018, 2020a) |
| Tocilizumab (TCZ) | IL6 antagonists, inhibition of IL-6 that is correlated with cytokine storm | (Emery et al., 2006; Norell et al., 2018) |
| Fedratinib | Inhibition of the TH17 type response that contributes to the cytokine storm | Wu and Yang (2020) |
| Amantadine, hexamethylene amiloride 9, 10, SE005805 | Inhibition of proinflammatory response arisen from E protein functions | Alam et al. (2020) |
| Lymphocyte Antigen 6 | LY9E impairs coronavirus fusion and confers immune control of viral disease | (Pfaender et al., 2020) |
| Family Member E (LY6E) | Melatonin | The efficacy in the inhibition of NLRP3 inflammasome, reducing the infiltration of macrophages and neutrophils into the lung | (Acaña-Castroviejo et al., 2020) |
| Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) | Inhibiting the cytokine storm by reducing CD154 expression in T cells | (Zhou et al., 2020a) |
| Anti-NKG2A mAb, Monalizumab | Targeting NKG2A may prevent the functional exhaustion of cytotoxic lymphocytes | (Yajunniuddin and Kathir, 2020) |
| Thalidomide | Regulating immunity, inhibiting the inflammatory cytokine surge | (Chen et al. 2020) |
| Jakotinib, hydrochloride, Baricitinib Chloroquine (QC), Tocilizumab, Baricitinib | a JAK inhibitor as well as an AAK1 inhibitor To quench the cytokine storm | (Zhang et al. 2020) |
| Anakinra | Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist | (Shetty et al., 2020) |
| Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVg) | Blocking Fc gamma receptor mediated response | (Shetty et al., 2020) |
| Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) | Powerful immunomodulatory for innate and adaptive immune system | (Leng et al., 2020) |
| IL-10 Polarisate immune system toward Th2 | | (Abdulamir and Hafsh (2020) |
| IL-37, IL-38 | Inhibit IL-1β and other proinflammatory IL-family members | (Conti et al. 2020) |
| Etanercept, adalimumab | TNF-α inhibitor | (Russell et al., 2020) |

| Table 2 | Summary of combination strategies against severe COVID-19 (Ky and Mann, 2020; Lythgoe and Middleton, 2020). |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Treatment strategies | Drug name | Mechanism of action |
| Antiviral/Antimalarial | Lopinavir, ritonavir, hydroxychloroquine | Viral replication and viral entry |
| | Remdesivir, hydroxychloroquine | Viral replication and viral entry |
| | Darunavir, ritonavir, lopinavir, oseltamivir, favipiravir | Viral replication and viral entry |
| Antiviral/ immunomodulators | Lopinavir, ritonavir, ribavirin, IFN-b1b | Antiretroviral and immunomodulatory |
| | Favipiravir, tocilizumab | Antiretroviral and immunomodulatory |
| Antiviral/ Antimalarial/ immunomodulators | Remdesivir, lopinavir, ritonavir, hydroxychloroquine | Antiretroviral, viral entry, and immunomodulatory |

[1] Goh B., Tung S., Tan S. (2020). "Summary of combination strategies against severe COVID-19." Gene Reports 25 (2021) 101417.
MHC class I and class II allele epitopes have been identified as best immunogenic peptides based on the number of alleles and antigenicity scores. The antigenic differences or invalidation of the most SARS-CoV spike protein antibodies for SARS-CoV-2 can be attributed to then on-conserved regions of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein sequence in comparison to SARS-CoV (Lv et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2020; Zheng and Song, 2020). However, the S2 domain of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 is a highly conserved and immunogenic target; thus, mAbs targeting the S2 domain of SARS-CoV can cross-react with SARS-CoV-2 and serve as efficient antigens for inducing both humoral and cellular immunity against SARS-CoV-2.

On the other hand, due to the scarcity of cross-reactive antibodies binding both SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV S proteins and providing cross-neutralizing responses, development of new antibodies and vaccines specific of SARS-CoV-2 seems necessary (Lv et al., 2020). The antiviral properties of viral vaccines are often based on antibody-mediated protection; although they can be efficient against virus infection, owing to their poor induction of T cell responses, the possibility of rapid mutation in the surface proteins of the virus (Rosen Dahl Huber et al., 2014), and the ability of the virus in entering quiescently (Shin et al., 2020), those vaccines may not be able to provide the thorough immunity. The current vaccine methodologies are based on the development of personalized vaccines like those developed against some cancers or populationized vaccinomics, in which the design of peptides are based on viral genome and the immune characteristics of the individual or the target populations such as epitopes with the capacity to bind to multiple HLA alleles, or CD8+ and CD4+ T cell epitopes against S and M proteins (De Groot et al., 2020). Moreover, due to their high potential in eliciting cellular and humoral immunity, design of multi-epitope vaccines (MEV) such as a 55,426.35 kDa vaccine protein, which has been designed against SARS-CoV-2 using immunoinformatics approaches, is of importance (ul Qamar et al., 2020). Using novel synthetic biology approaches that exploit reverse genetics (Gibson et al., 2010) and transformation-associated recombination (TAR) cloning (Kouprina and Larionov, 2008) to reconstruct the virus of target, biomedical scientists are now able to trace SARS-CoV-2 functional and structural evolution forthwith, helping them in immediate responding to appearing alterations and also in efficient vaccine development (Nhu Thao et al., 2020). Many technologies for manufacturing of COVID-19 vaccine, which initially look promising, are summarized in Fig. 7b.

So far, a variety of these technologies have been employed successfully to develop effective vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 infection. The BBIBP-CorV vaccine is one of the recently developed vaccines manufactured based on the inactivated virus platform. In an early study in several mammalian species, the vaccine induced the production of high levels of neutralizing antibodies and provided effective protection against SARS-CoV-2 challenge in rhesus macaques (Wang et al., 2020d). This vaccine was then approved in subsequent randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trials in terms of high safety and immunogenicity (Xia et al., 2021). Another successful vaccine developed after the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic to combat the COVID-19 severity and mortality was the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine which is a replication-deficient recombinant chimpanzee adenovirus vector expressing the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein gene (Folegatti et al., 2020). In animal studies, this vaccine significantly reduced viral load and also showed no adverse side effects (Marsh et al., 2021). The ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine has also shown excellent results in several blinded, randomised, controlled clinical trials and its safety and efficacy against symptomatic and severe COVID-19 has been proven in different age groups (Falsey et al., 2021; Voysey et al., 2021). However, there are some recent reports about post-vaccination venous sinus thrombosis and thrombocytopenia syndrome that have raised little doubt about continued general vaccination with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and indicate that this vaccine may need to be used with more cautions (Douxfils et al., 2021; Mehta et al., 2021; Wolf et al., 2021). Despite of these rare post-vaccination lethal adverse events, comprehensive studies have shown considerable benefit of vaccination with this vaccine in preventing COVID-19 mortality especially in people over 55 years of age (Kiem
The recombiant adenovirus vector technology also has been employed for development of another SARS-CoV-2 vaccine named Ad26.Cov2-S which can induce potent neutralizing antibody response and Th1 IFN-γ type cellular immunity in mice (Box et al., 2020). The results of clinical trials of this vaccine are also very satisfactory with acceptable safety and induction of potent immune response that can protect against severe COVID-19 disease, hospitalization and mortality (Sadoff et al., 2021a; Sadoff et al., 2021b). Continuous efforts since the COVID-19 pandemic have also led to the development of two highly effective vaccines produced as nanoparticle–encapsulated, nucleoside-modified, mRNA encoding SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, including BNT162b2 (Walsh et al., 2020) and mRNA-1273 (Corbett et al., 2020a). BNT162b2 vaccine has successfully passed all clinical trials with 95% protection against Covid-19 and a reassuring safety in ≥16 years old participants (Polack et al., 2020; Walsh et al., 2020). The other mRNA vaccine, mRNA-1273 is able to elicit highly protective immune response in nonhuman primates (Corbett et al., 2020b), and also subsequent clinical trials have shown a favorable safety profile and 94.1% efficacy in severe COVID-19 prevention for this vaccine (Baden et al., 2021; Jackson et al., 2020). Although very rare cases of allergic reactions as anaphylaxis has been reported after administration of the first dose of these two mRNA vaccines which prevented the injection of the second dose (COVID, C., Team, R., 2021a, 2021b).

9. Conclusion
COVID-19 is a respiratory disease that results from an undesirable interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and the host’s immune system, which together promote the duration, and severity of the disease. Indeed, it is quite obvious now that the extensive tissue and organ damage and high mortality following SARS-CoV-2 infection cannot be attributed to the limited pathogenic effect of viral propagation alone, and instead, the outcome of the disease caused by this virus is forcefully dependent to dilemma of protective or pathogenic host immune response. This emphasizes on the central role of the improperly severe inflammatory aspects of immune response in the pathogenesis of the COVID-19 disease which take the initiate and disrupt the regulative and protective role of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. This phenomenon is strongly supported by the beneficial effects of immunomodulatory therapy on controlling disease severity. Over the past 2 years, substantial progress has been made in deciphering the mechanisms of COVID-19 immunopathogenesis and new advanced vaccines and immunotherapeutic agents have been developed and evaluated in clinical trials which should be extended more seriously. Also continues monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 genomic evolution and antigenic changes can facilitate updating the existing vaccines as soon as emergence of new highly pathogenic mutant strains. However, despite the relative success of these methods in infection control, therapeutic approaches based on the host’s genetic susceptibility and personalized medicine seem to be the missing link of universal efforts for COVID-19 prevention and control. Future studies also can focus on a comprehensive understanding of factors complicating the COVID-19 control process especially the immune evasion mechanisms of the virus, to develop more specific vaccines and even theranostic agents.
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