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Featured Application: This work presents a time-efficient and parallel encryption algorithm that is suitable for encrypting a significant amount of data, and that could be used to encrypt Hyperspectral Images and Hyperspectral video in real-time for remote sensing, classification, object recognition, earth monitoring, security and medical applications.

Abstract: Hyperspectral images (HI) collect information from across the electromagnetic spectrum, and they are an essential tool for identifying materials, recognizing processes and finding objects. However, the information on an HI could be sensitive and must to be protected. Although there are many encryption schemes for images and raw data, there are not specific schemes for HI. In this paper, we introduce the idea of crossed chaotic systems and we present an ad hoc parallel crossed chaotic encryption algorithm for HI, in which we take advantage of the multidimensionality nature of the HI. Consequently, we obtain a faster encryption algorithm and with a higher entropy result than others state of the art chaotic schemes.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays Hyperspectral Images (HI) [1] are an essential tool for many research topics like Remote sensing [2], classification and object recognition [3] and Earth monitoring [4]. HI cameras collect information from across the electromagnetic spectrum retrieving more information than RGB cameras.

The HI is a three-dimensional arrange of numbers of size \((n, m, l)\), as is shown in Figure 1, where \(n\) is the numbers of rows, \(m\) the numbers of columns, and \(l\) the number of layers which every layer represents a range of light-waves.

In some HI applications, the information contained is sensitive, for example, in military or medical applications; then, it is essential to protect the information. There already exist many various algorithms for secure encrypting [5,6], like Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) [7–10] based on irreducible polynomials in Galois fields or the Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) algorithm [11] based on large prime number factorization. These algorithms offer a secure way to protect sensitive data, and they work with raw data, that is to say, they work at the binary level of data then they can deal with all kind of data structures like images, videos, and documents.
However, algorithms like AES and RSA have a high computational cost; consecutively they are not suitable for encrypting a significant amount of data, or for real-time. For this reason, in recent years a new trend of encryption algorithms has been developed specifically for image and video [12]. In [12], we can find the following topics to consider in visual data encryption:

- **Security**: The required level of security of encrypting passwords or other structured data could differ than the one required for visual data. A more secure algorithm could impact with a high computational cost.
- **Speed**: A significant difference between visual data encryption and text-based encryption is that visual data is usually much larger. If we consider a time constraint or real-time execution requirements, the speed of encryption is an important issue.
- **Bitstream compliance**: Visual data could have a specific data format. Algorithms for raw data such as AES do not take data format into account and this could cause unexpected crashes in the image decoding.

Then, for image encryption algorithms it is highly desirable to obtain a good balance between security and speed. In the last decade, chaotic ciphers [13] have been very used algorithms for image encryption [14]. In [15], a comparison between chaotic and non-chaotic image encryption indicates that chaotic-based schemes are better because of chaos is nonlinear, deterministic and highly sensitive to initial conditions. This conclusion is based on a comparison of a correlation coefficient, information entropy analysis, compression friendliness, maximum derivation, uncertain derivation, avalanche effect, Number of Changing Pixel Rate (NPCR), Unified Average Changed Intensity (UACI) [16], and key space analysis. However, in nowadays chaotic image encryption schemes are specific for gray-scale images or RGB, and there is not an ad hoc encryption algorithm for HI. In the present paper, we propose a new chaotic encryption algorithm for HI which take advantage for designing a fast and high-entropy-valued algorithm. We also introduce the idea of crossed chaotic encryption (CCE), and we empirically show how CCE obtains better entropy results. Finally, we offer a comparison between serial and parallel implementations. The proposed algorithm obtain high-speed results in the parallel version, and high entropy compared with another state of the art algorithms.

The paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we briefly review the basic properties of chaotic systems and chaotic encryption. In Section 3, we develop the idea of crossed chaotic encryption and the proposed scheme for HI. In Section 4, we show the results and comparison, and we also discuss the cryptanalytic features of the proposed algorithm. Finally, in Section 5 the conclusions are shown.
2. Chaotic Systems and Chaotic Encryption

In this section, we introduce some concepts of chaotic system and chaotic encryption.

2.1. Chaotic Systems

Chaotic systems are dynamical systems that exhibit the following features:

- **Deterministic**: There is no randomness involved in the system evolution, then if we know the initial condition and parameters, we will be able to predict the system.
- **Sensitive to initial conditions**: A chaotic system is exponentially sensitive to an initial condition, in other words, a small change in the initial condition provokes a big difference in the evolution of the system.
- **Aperiodic**: There is no periodicity in a chaotic system.
- **Bounded**: The state of a chaotic system is bounded, and it maintains chaotic inside this bounded limits.

The mentioned features make chaotic systems a suitable mathematical tool for encryption. Various chaotic systems have been used for encryption, such as the Lorenz system [17], Ikeda chaotic map [18] and others. Chaotic encryption has been used for electroencephalogram signal encryption [19], video encryption [20–22]. Chaotic systems, are also useful for designing bio-inspired optimization algorithms [23] or embed secret data with in the image [24].

2.2. Chaotic Encryption

We can distinguish between two types of chaotic encryption. The first one is based on the synchronization phenomena [25], where a chaotic system is combined with the on-line data stream and it is received with another chaotic system that synchronizes and enables us to filter the original data stream. Although this is a secure scheme, it only works for continuous data stream (e.g., airplanes telemetry), and not for static data because we could lose data during the system synchronization.

On the other hand, the second type where a chaotic signal is simulated and used to diffuse and confuse the plaintext information. In this paper, we focus on this second kind of encryption. Chaotic systems have been broadly used in image encryption, e.g., the logistic map is used in [26], 2D Arnolds Cat Map is compared to 3D logistic map for RGB image encryption [27], also 3D chaotic maps are used in general multimedia data [28].

Using different chaotic maps could increase the information entropy as is evidenced in [29]. In [14], a survey of image encryption is offered, and in [15] a comparison of different chaotic maps is shown.

2.3. Chaotic Image Encryption Performance

To measure the performance of the image chaotic encryption algorithms is common to use the information entropy defined in (1), where $s_i$ is the intensity of the pixel $i$ of the image, $P(s_i)$ is the probability of $s_i$ intensity to appear. The $2^b - 1$ is the larger number represented in the data (255 for image intensity values), then the maximum theoretical value of entropy for the image of 256 intensity values is 8. Encrypted data that have a value of entropy close to the theoretical maximum is difficult to differentiate from random data, consequently it is secure against cypher-text-only attacks.

$$H(s) = - \sum_{i=0}^{2^b-1} P(s_i) \log_2[P(s_i)]$$

The idea of chaotic encryption is that using the chaotic behavior no algorithm could differentiate between an encrypted image and image full of random pixel values. A common technique in cryptanalysis is the differential attack, where we encrypt two different images with the same cipher. If the encrypted images are statistically different, then we could infer information about the cipher
secret key or parameters. In [16], two statistical measures are proposed to verify if cipher algorithm is weak against differential attack. The Number of Changing Pixel Rate (NPCR) is defined in Equation (3), where \( T \) is the total of pixels in the images and \( D(i, j, k) \) is defined in (2), where \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) are two different images and \( c \) is a cipher algorithm.

\[
D(i, j, k) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } c(I_1(i, j, k)) = c(I_2(i, j, k)) \\
1 & \text{if } c(I_1(i, j, k)) \neq c(I_2(i, j, k)) 
\end{cases} 
\tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{NPCR}(I_1, I_2, c) = \frac{\sum_{i,j,k} D(i, j, k)}{T} \times 100\% 
\tag{3}
\]

The Unified Average Changed Intensity (UACI) is defined in (4), where \( F \) is the maximum intensity value allowed. To ensure that the encrypted image is not differentiable of a image full of random pixel values, the expected values of NPCR and UACI are \( \text{NPCR}_e = 99.6094\% \) and \( \text{UACI}_e = 33.4634\% \).

\[
\text{UACI}(I_1, I_2, c) = \frac{\sum_{i,j,k} |c(I_1(i, j, k)) - c(I_2(i, j, k))|}{F \cdot T} \times 100\% 
\tag{4}
\]

2.4. Piecewise Linear Chaotic Map

The algorithm proposed in this paper, is based on the Piecewise Linear Chaotic Map (PLCM), but it can be implemented with any other chaotic map. The PLCM is defined in (5), where \( x_n \) is the state of the system and \( q \) is a parameter. The PLCM shows a chaotic behaviour when the parameter \( q \in (0, 0.5) \) and the state \( x_n \) is bounded inside the interval \((0, 1)\).

\[
x_{n+1} = c(x_n, q) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{x_n}{q} & \text{if } x \in [0, q) \\
\frac{x_n-q}{q} & \text{if } x \in [q,0.5) \\
c(1-x_n, q) & \text{if } x \in [0.5,1) 
\end{cases} 
\tag{5}
\]

The authors in [30] propose an improved version of the PLCM, called Modified Piecewise Chaotic Map (MPLCM). They report an information entropy of 7.9972–7.9976. This result overcomes the 7.8472–7.9413 reported in [29]. The MLPCM is defined in (6) and a simulation is shown in Figure 2.

\[
x_{n+1} = c(x_n, q) = \frac{x_n - \lfloor \frac{x_n}{q} \rfloor q}{q} 
\tag{6}
\]

3. Encryption for Hyperspectral Images

One of the main disadvantages of using chaotic encryption is that we have to simulate the chaotic system until we have the same amount of information than the one to be encrypted. For this reason,
the chaotic systems are commonly used as a stream cipher. To overcome this problem, we propose to use a crossed scheme, where a \( p \)-dimensional chaotic signal is generated through the XOR of 1-dimensional systems, this is denoted in (7).

\[
C_p(i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_p) = c_1(i_1) \oplus c_1(i_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus c_p(i_p)
\]  

(7)

In Figure 3, we present how two chaotic systems can generate a chaotic two-dimensional signal.

![Figure 3. 2D Crossed Chaotic Signal.](image)

Therefore using crossed chaotic signals we can generate a multi-dimensional chaotic signal without simulating a chaotic system for the dimension of the data to be encrypted. Based in crossed chaotic signal, we propose the following encryption scheme in Figure 4.

![Figure 4. Chaotic Encryption Scheme.](image)

We propose to use four chaotic systems. The first three systems form a three-dimensional crossed chaotic signal, and they are used to introduce diffusion in the result. They are simulated for \( \max(n, m, l) \) iterations. The forth chaotic system is used to creating a Substitution Box (S-Box) [31], we use the generation algorithm proposed in [32]. S-boxes are used for introducing confusion in the encryption and is a common technique applied in AES and other block ciphers [33].

It is important to note that the proposed scheme is a transformation that is made pixel by pixel, this is because we are looking for a high parallelizable algorithm. Then the encryption of the pixel
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(i, j, k) is applied with (8) and the decrypted pixel is recover with (9). In the Algorithm 1, we present the parallel version of this algorithm.

\[
I_c(i, j, k) = S_{box} [c_3(k) \oplus c_2(j) \oplus c_1(i) \oplus I(i, j, k)] \tag{8}
\]

\[
I(i, j, k) = c_1(i) \oplus c_2(j) \oplus c_3(k) \oplus S_{box}^{-1} [I_c(i, j, k)] \tag{9}
\]

**Algorithm 1:** Parallel Crossed Chaotic Encryption.

**Data:** Hyperspectral Image \(I\)

**Result:** Encrypted Image \(I_c\)

- Simulate all chaotic systems \(\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_s\}\) in parallel for \(\max(n, m, l)\) iterations;
- Generate S-box with \(c_s\);
- Upload \(I\) to GPU memory;
- In parallel apply \(I_c(i, j, k) = S_{box} [c_3(k) \oplus c_2(j) \oplus c_1(i) \oplus I(i, j, k)]\);
- Download \(I_c\) to CPU memory;

4. Results

To demonstrate the performance of the proposed algorithm, we offer the following results. These experiments were carried out in an Intel Xeon® E31225 3.10 GHz, with a 16 GB of RAM and a GTX 1050Ti. The algorithm was implemented in Matlab® programming language and with GPU computing support for Nvidia CUDA®. The GTX 1050Ti have 768 cores and 4 GB of memory.

In Figure 5, we present an encryption over “San Francisco with Polarizing filter” HI, from the Scene Database 4 of ImageVal Consulting® (Database from http://www.imageval.com). The image size is 702 × 1000 × 148 (we show in Figure 5 the layer 50) and the light-wave is 415–915 nm. The entropy of the original image is 6.1057 and the encrypted entropy is 7.99997, very close to the theoretical maximum entropy.

![Figure 5: Experiment 1. Original and encrypted images.](image_url)

We also show in Figure 6, the normalized hyperhistogram where we calculate the histogram of every layer. Please note that the encrypted image has a hyperhistogram approximately uniform.
In this way, to show the time advantage of using an ad hoc encryption technique for HI, consider the data set shown in Table 1, where the sizes of 24 HI of women and men faces (this data set is taken from Hyperspectral High-Resolution Faces of the Scene Database of ImageVal Consulting® (Taken from http://www.imageval.com/scene-database/)). The dataset consists of 12 portraits of women and 12 of men with a light-wave spectrum of 415–915 nm. We show the file size and the image size.

| Name        | Size (MB) | Dimensions | Name        | Size (MB) | Dimensions |
|-------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| Female01    | 922       | 1403 975 29 | Male01      | 993       | 1349 965 41 |
| Female02    | 820       | 1169 912 42 | Male02      | 974       | 1294 969 43 |
| Female03    | 993       | 1346 935 46 | Male03      | 949       | 1337 948 39 |
| Female04    | 906       | 1279 912 43 | Male04      | 927       | 1322 981 35 |
| Female05    | 802       | 1260 904 33 | Male05      | 851       | 1379 969 24 |
| Female06    | 651       | 1237 855 21 | Male06      | 894       | 1317 1066 24 |
| Female07    | 1014      | 1368 942 45 | Male07      | 986       | 1447 1044 26 |
| Female08    | 883       | 1322 955 33 | Male08      | 1013      | 1423 1059 30 |
| Female09    | 952       | 1323 1043 31 | Male09     | 920       | 1366 938 35 |
| Female10    | 763       | 1197 970 27 | Male10      | 1085      | 1271 1038 30 |
| Female11    | 867       | 1213 929 42 | Male11      | 1105      | 1414 975 47 |
| Female12    | 771       | 1214 914 32 | Male12      | 937       | 1317 981 36 |

In Tables 2 and 3, we present the results of the encryption of the female and male faces datasets, respectively. We show the serial time and the parallel time. In the parallel time, we also include the upload to GPU and the download to CPU times, such time is achieve thanks to the high parallelism of the scheme. We also show the original and encrypted entropy. In Figure 7, we show an example of the Female06 image with the respective hyperhistograms. In the same way, and Figure 8 shows an example of the Male03 image with the respective hyperhistograms.
Table 2. Female dataset encryption results.

| Name   | Serial Time (s) | Parallel Time(s) | Entropy  |
|--------|-----------------|------------------|----------|
|        |                 | Upload | Encrypt | Download | Total   | Original | Encrypted |
| Female01 | 12.4671         | 0.0194 | 0.003338 | 0.0231   | 0.045838 | 7.6478   | 7.99995   |
| Female02 | 14.5577         | 0.0220 | 0.003502 | 0.0259   | 0.051482 | 6.9685   | 7.99994   |
| Female03 | 18.0801         | 0.0289 | 0.004160 | 0.0323   | 0.065360 | 7.7217   | 7.99994   |
| Female04 | 15.3995         | 0.0249 | 0.003745 | 0.0290   | 0.057645 | 7.4850   | 7.99994   |
| Female05 | 11.4269         | 0.0188 | 0.003177 | 0.0225   | 0.044477 | 6.9084   | 7.99994   |
| Female06 | 6.6332          | 0.0129 | 0.002135 | 0.0139   | 0.028935 | 7.5043   | 7.99996   |
| Female07 | 17.8849         | 0.0286 | 0.004158 | 0.0332   | 0.065958 | 7.7350   | 7.99997   |
| Female08 | 12.8754         | 0.0208 | 0.003407 | 0.0249   | 0.049107 | 7.5036   | 7.99994   |
| Female09 | 13.5488         | 0.0230 | 0.005120 | 0.0420   | 0.070120 | 6.0217   | 7.99995   |
| Female10 | 10.5763         | 0.0164 | 0.003324 | 0.0186   | 0.038324 | 7.0142   | 7.99996   |
| Female11 | 14.1764         | 0.0215 | 0.003804 | 0.0243   | 0.049604 | 6.9170   | 7.99994   |
| Female12 | 11.4762         | 0.0145 | 0.002545 | 0.0194   | 0.036445 | 7.2636   | 7.99994   |

Table 3. Male data set encryption results.

| Name   | Serial Time (s) | Parallel Time(s) | Entropy  |
|--------|-----------------|------------------|----------|
|        |                 | Upload | Encrypt | Download | Total   | Original | Encrypted |
| Male01 | 16.6417         | 0.0253 | 0.00353 | 0.0304   | 0.05923 | 7.6170   | 7.99994   |
| Male02 | 16.9902         | 0.0249 | 0.00356 | 0.0301   | 0.05856 | 7.2491   | 7.99994   |
| Male03 | 15.0768         | 0.0243 | 0.00346 | 0.0298   | 0.05756 | 7.4629   | 7.99994   |
| Male04 | 14.8045         | 0.0239 | 0.00351 | 0.0301   | 0.05751 | 7.4662   | 7.99995   |
| Male05 | 10.4175         | 0.0186 | 0.00295 | 0.0275   | 0.04905 | 7.3869   | 7.99995   |
| Male06 | 9.8852          | 0.0187 | 0.00334 | 0.0256   | 0.04764 | 7.7191   | 7.99996   |
| Male07 | 11.8605         | 0.0225 | 0.00421 | 0.0261   | 0.05281 | 7.0507   | 7.99995   |
| Male08 | 14.7449         | 0.0246 | 0.00394 | 0.0311   | 0.05964 | 7.2739   | 7.99995   |
| Male09 | 13.2464         | 0.0225 | 0.00284 | 0.0294   | 0.05474 | 7.2322   | 7.99994   |
| Male10 | 22.14           | 0.0351 | 0.00353 | 0.0395   | 0.07913 | 7.4603   | 7.99996   |
| Male11 | 20.9537         | 0.0346 | 0.00467 | 0.0481   | 0.08737 | 7.3924   | 7.99995   |
| Male12 | 13.939          | 0.0253 | 0.00347 | 0.0331   | 0.06187 | 7.3524   | 7.99995   |

![Figure 7. Cont.](image-url)
Please note that the time in parallel is minimal compared with the serial time, this is due to the high parallelism in the cipher scheme. Furthermore, the information entropy is very close to the theoretical maximum entropy. This results overcome the results reported in [29,30], for gray-scale and RGB images.

The key of the proposed cipher is the parameters and the initial conditions of the chaotic systems, this can be improved with key establishment protocols [34] and chaotic-based hash functions [35].
In this manner, to test the strength against cryptanalytic differential attacks [36], we apply the NPCR, and UACI tests [16]. As we need two images of the same size, we trim the Female09 image to the Female10 image size. Then, we encrypt the two images with the same cipher and the same key and run NPCR and UACI test with the results displayed in Table 4. Please note that the result values approximate the expected values of a random image, then we can claim that the cipher is protected against differential attacks.

| Table 4. NPCR and UACI analysis. |
|----------------------------------|
| Input Images | NPCR   | UACI   |
| Female09 and Female10           | 99.6186 | 33.4595 |

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we present a new chaotic encryption algorithm specifically designed for hyperspectral images. We also present the new idea of crossed chaotic signals that solve the problem of simulating a system for many iterations, using a family of 1-dimensional chaotic maps instead. The proposed algorithm is fast in its parallel version, and it achieves high information entropy (very close to the theoretical maximum) and an approximately uniform hyperhistogram. High entropy and uniform histogram make it safe against Ciphertext-only attacks and their statistical tools. Furthermore, we applied the NPCR and the UACI tests to the cipher, and we show strength against cryptanalytic differential attacks.
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