Efficacy and safety of tacrolimus monotherapy versus cyclophosphamide–corticosteroid combination therapy for idiopathic membranous nephropathy

A meta-analysis
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Abstract

Objective The objective of this meta-analysis was to compare the efficacy and safety of tacrolimus (TAC) monotherapy versus cyclophosphamide (CTX)-corticosteroid combination therapy in idiopathic membranous nephropathy (IMN) patients.

Methods Databases including the PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane Library, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Wanfang databases were searched from inception to October 20, 2020. Eligible studies comparing TAC monotherapy and CTX-corticosteroid combination therapy in IMN patients were included. Data were analyzed using Review Manager Version 5.3.

Results Nine studies were included in the meta-analysis. One randomized controlled trial and eight cohort studies involving 442 patients were identified. Compared with CTX-corticosteroid combination therapy for IMN, TAC monotherapy had higher complete remission (CR) at month 6 (odds ratio [OR] 2.18, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.35–3.50, P < .01). The 2 therapeutic regimens had similar partial remission (OR 0.69, 95% CI 0.45–1.04, P = .08), total remission (OR 1.38, 95% CI 0.85–2.23, P = .19) at month 6, and similar CR (OR 1.64, 95% CI 0.84–3.19, P = .15), partial remission (OR 0.71, 95% CI 0.37–1.38, P = .31), and total remission (OR 1.29, 95% CI 0.55–3.01, P = .56) after 1 year. The relapse rate of the TAC group was higher than that of the CTX group, but the difference was not statistically significant (OR 1.85, 95% CI 0.75–4.53, P = .18). There was no difference between the 2 therapeutic regimens concerning glucose intolerance (OR 1.15, 95% CI 0.61–2.14, P = .67), acute renal failure (OR 1.14, 95% CI 0.39–3.33, P = .81), or tremors (OR 4.39, 95% CI 0.75–25.67, P = .10). Incidences of gastrointestinal symptoms (OR 0.29, 95% CI 0.10–0.79, P = .02), infection (OR 0.18, 95% CI 0.08–0.39, P < .01), leukopenia (OR 0.14, 95% CI 0.04–0.51, P < .01), and abnormal aminotransferase (OR 0.31, 95% CI 0.13–0.77, P = .01) in the TAC group were all lower than those in the CTX group. Subgroup analysis showed that there was no significant difference between the TAC group and the CTX combined with corticosteroid 0.8 to 1 mg/kg/day group concerning abnormal aminotransferase (P > .05). There was no significant difference between the TAC group and the CTX combined with corticosteroid 0.5 mg/kg/day group concerning complete remission at month 6 (P > .05).

Conclusion TAC monotherapy is comparable to CTX-corticosteroid combination therapy for renal remission in IMN patients. TAC monotherapy had a higher CR in the early stage and had fewer drug-related adverse effects. The relapse rate of TAC monotherapy was higher than that of CTX-corticosteroid combination therapy, but the difference was not significant.

Abbreviations: ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB = angiotensin II subtype 1 receptor blocker, CIs = confidence intervals, CNI = calcineurin inhibitors, CR = complete remission, CTX = cyclophosphamide, IMN = idiopathic membranous nephropathy, OR = odds ratios, PR = partial remission, RCTs = RCTs total remission.
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1. Introduction
Idiopathic membranous nephropathy (IMN) is regarded as one of the most common causes of nephrotic syndrome in adults. Among IMN patients with persistent nephrotic syndrome, approximately 30% to 40% will progress to end-stage renal disease within 10 years. Cyclophosphamide (CTX) combined with corticosteroids has been recommended as an initial therapy for IMN according to Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes. However, the significant drug-related adverse effects of this standard therapy limit its administration in some patients.

Cyclosporine (CsA) and tacrolimus (TAC) are recommended as alternative therapy regimens for IMN. Compared to cyclosporine, TAC showed a stronger immunosuppressive effect and fewer side effects. Some meta-analyses showed that TAC combined with corticosteroids also had a satisfactory effect for IMN compared with CTX combined with corticosteroids. However, corticosteroids still exhibit adverse effects. In recent years, some studies have compared TAC monotherapy with CTX combined with corticosteroids for IMN concerning efficacy and safety, and the results are controversial. Our meta-analysis was conducted to compare the efficacy and drug safety between TAC monotherapy with CTX combined with corticosteroids for IMN.

2. Materials and methods
2.1. Search strategy
Our meta-analysis has been reported in line with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses and Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Review Guidelines. Our meta-analysis was registered at the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (Registration number: CRD42020211061). Ethical approval was not necessary because our study was a statistical analysis.

We searched the PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane Library, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Wanfang databases from inception to October 20, 2020. The combined text and MeSH terms included idiopathic membranous nephropathy, cyclophosphamide, and tacrolimus. In addition, the cited papers and relevant references were searched manually to identify eligible studies. There were no language restrictions.

2.2. Inclusion criteria
The inclusion criteria were defined as follows:
1. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), cohort, or case-control studies;
2. IMN patients with nephrotic syndrome and serum creatinine level of <133 µmol/L;
3. Studies designed to compare TAC monotherapy with a CTX-corticosteroid combination therapy;
4. The main endpoints of the review were partial remission (PR), complete remission (CR), and total remission (TR). The secondary endpoints were relapse and drug-related adverse effects.

2.3. Exclusion criteria
The exclusion criteria were defined as follows:
1. Case series, commentaries, and reviews;
2. Lack of relevant outcomes data;
3. Patients with secondary membranous nephropathy, malignant tumor, infection (hepatitis B or C virus infection, tuberculosis, and syphilis), diabetes mellitus, pregnancy or lactation, and active gastrointestinal bleeding.

2.4. Data extraction and quality assessment
Data were extracted independently by 2 investigators using standard data extraction forms. In the case of disagreement, a third investigator was consulted. We extracted characteristics including first author, the year of publication, location, study design, follow-up period, age, sex, sample size, specific drug treatment program, and all the outcomes (definitions of CR, PR, and relapse are shown in Table 1). TR was defined as either CR or PR. Relapse was defined as proteinuria >3.5 g/day in patients who had achieved CR or PR. The Cochrane assessment tool was used to evaluate the quality of RCTs. The Newcastle–Ottawa scale (NOS) was used to evaluate the quality of nonrandomized studies.

2.5. Statistical analysis
We performed the data analysis by using Review Manager Version 5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration). Heterogeneity between studies was assessed by using $I^2$ statistics. We considered $I^2 >50\%$ and $P <.10$ to imply significant heterogeneity. Homogeneous data were analyzed using the fixed-effects model. Heterogeneous data were analyzed using the random-effects model. We presented categorical variables as odds ratios (ORs). Summary estimates and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. Overall effects were determined by the using Z-test. A $P$ value $<.05$ was considered significant. Publication bias was assessed using subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis.

3. Results
3.1. Study selection and characteristics
A flow diagram of the selection process is shown in Figure 1. Ultimately, nine studies from China were included in this analysis. Of the 9 studies, 1 was an RCT, and 8 were cohort studies. Eight studies were published in Chinese journals. Overall, 228 patients were included in the TAC monotherapy group, and 214 patients were included in the CTX-steroid combination therapy group. The follow-up period was from 6 to 18 months. The risk of bias in the included RCTs was moderate. The cohort studies achieved scores of ≥6 points and were considered to be of high quality. The baseline characteristics of these studies are listed in Table 2. Specific drug treatment programs are listed in Table 3. The Cochrane assessment is listed in Table 4, and the NOS assessment is listed in Table 5.

3.2. Meta-analysis results
3.2.1. CR at month six. Data comparing TAC with CTX combined with corticosteroids at 0.5 mg/kg/day concerning CR at month 6 were reported in four articles: 43 of 101 (42.6%) for the TAC group and 26 of 102 (25.5%) for the CTX group. The heterogeneity between the 2 studies was not substantial ($P = .54$, $I^2 = 0\%$), so the fixed-effects model was used for the meta-
Table 1
Definition of complete remission, partial remission and relapse.

| Study       | Complete remission                                                                 | Partial remission                                                                 | Relapse                          |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Wang [20]   | Proteinuria <0.3 g/day with normal serum ALB (≥35 g/L) and renal function          | Proteinuria 0.3–3.5 g/day, which had declined to ≤50% of the baseline value with normal renal function | –                                |
| Liang et al [27] | Proteinuria <0.5 g/day with stable renal function                                   | Proteinuria 0.5–3.5 g/day, which had declined to ≤50% of the baseline value with well-preserved renal function | Proteinuria >3.5 g/day or a persistent severe hypoproteinaemia in patients who had achieved CR or PR |
| Peng et al [22] | Proteinuria <0.3 g/day with serum ALB ≥35 g/L                                      | Serum albumin ≥30 g/L or proteinuria 0.4–3.0 g/day, which had declined to ≤50% of the baseline value with serum ALB elevated and stable SCR | –                                |
| Liang [23]  | Proteinuria <0.3 g/day with normal serum ALB and SCR                                 | Proteinuria <3.5 g/day, which had declined to ≤50% of the baseline value with serum ALB and SCR | Proteinuria >3.5 g/day in patients who had achieved CR or PR |
| Chen et al [26] | Proteinuria <0.3 g/day with normal serum ALB (≥35 g/L) and renal function          | Proteinuria 0.3–3.5 g/day, which had declined to ≤50% of the baseline value with stable renal function | –                                |
| Yao [25]    | Proteinuria <0.3 g/day with normal serum ALB (≥35 g/L) and renal function          | A decrease of at least 50% in daily proteinuria with serum ALB elevated and stable SCR | Proteinuria >3.5 g/day in patients who had achieved CR or PR |
| Lu [26]     | Proteinuria <0.5 g/day with serum ALB ≥35 g/L                                       | Serum albumin ≥30 g/L and proteinuria 0.5–3.0 g/day, which had declined to ≤50% of the baseline value with renal function | –                                |
| Zhang [27]  | Proteinuria <0.3 g/day with stable renal function                                   | Proteinuria 0.5–3.0 g/day, which had declined to ≤50% of the baseline value with stable renal function | Proteinuria >3.0 g/day in patients who had achieved CR or PR |
| Hu et al [28] | Proteinuria <0.3 g/day with normal serum ALB (≥35 g/L) and renal function          | Proteinuria 0.3–3.5 g/day, which had declined to ≤50% of the baseline value with serum ALB elevated and stable renal function | Proteinuria >3.5 g/day in patients who had achieved CR or PR |

ALB = albumin, CR = complete remission, PR = partial remission, SCR = serum creatinine.

Figure 1. Flow diagram of the literature search.

CR at month 6 was higher in the TAC group than in the CTX group combined with corticosteroids at 0.5 mg/kg/day group (OR 2.30, 95% CI 1.24–4.29, P < .01). Data comparing CR for TAC with CTX combined with corticosteroids at 0.8–1 mg/kg/day at month 6 were reported in 4 articles: 28 of 103 (27.2%) for the TAC group and 17 of 85 (20%) for the CTX group. The heterogeneity between the 2 studies was not substantial (P = .56, I² = 0%), so the fixed-effects model was used for the meta-analysis. CR at month 6 was higher in the TAC group than in the CTX combined with corticosteroids at 0.8 to 1 mg/kg/day group, but the difference was not statistically significant (OR 2.01, 95% CI 0.96–4.22, P = .06). There was no significant difference between the 2 subgroups (P = .78). As a whole, CR at month 6 was higher in the TAC group than in the CTX group (OR 2.18, 95% CI 1.35–3.50, P < .01) (Fig. 2).

3.2.2. PR at month 6. Data about PR at month six were reported in eight articles: 86 of 204 (42.2%) for the TAC group and 93 of 187 (49.7%) for the CTX group. The heterogeneity between the 2 studies was not substantial (P = .63, I² = 0%), so the fixed-effects model was used for the meta-analysis. PR at month 6 was lower in the TAC group than in the CTX group, but the difference was not statistically significant (OR 0.69, 95% CI 0.45–1.04, P = .08). There was no significant difference between the 2 subgroups (P = .89) (Fig. 3).

3.2.3. TR at month 6. Data about TR at month six were reported in eight articles: 157 of 204 (77.0%) for the TAC group and 136 of 187 (72.7%) for the CTX group. The heterogeneity between the 2 studies was not substantial (P = .20, I² = 29%), so the fixed-effects model was used for the meta-analysis. TR at month 6 was higher in the TAC group than in the CTX group, but the difference was not statistically significant (OR 1.38, 95% CI 0.85–2.23, P = .19). There was no significant difference between the 2 subgroups (P = .42) (Fig. 4).
3.2.4. **CR after 1 year.** Data about CR after 1 year were reported in 3 articles: 39 of 86 (45.3%) for the TAC group and 23 of 67 (34.3%) for the CTX group. The heterogeneity between the 2 studies was not substantial ($P = .42, I^2 = 0\%$), so the fixed-effects model was used for the meta-analysis. CR after 1 year was higher in the TAC group than in the CTX group, but the difference was not statistically significant (OR 1.64, 95% CI 0.84–3.19, $P = .15$) (Fig. 5).

### Table 2

**Characteristics of the included studies.**

| Study(year) | Country | Study design | Follow-up period | Sample size | Mean age, y | Male/female | SCr, $\mu$mol/L | Proteinuria, g/day | ACEI and/or ARB treatment |
|-------------|---------|--------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Wang, 2020[28] | China | Cohort study | 6 mo | TAC group: 48 | 55±1.2 | 29/19 | 94.5±33.1 | 5.6±2.6 | + |
| Liang et al, 2017[21] | China | Cohort study | 12 mo | TAC group: 30 | 48±2.3 | 16/14 | 70.7±17.5 | 5.9±2.7 | + |
| Peng et al, 2015[22] | China | Cohort study | 18 mo | TAC group: 22 | 44.6±1.6 | 11/11 | 81.0±22.5 | 6.9±2.2 | ? |
| Liang, 2014[23] | China | Cohort study | 6 mo | TAC group: 10 | 52.8±4.9 | 7/3 | 91.6±16.2 | ? |
| Chen et al, 2019[24] | China | Cohort study | 6 mo | TAC group: 14 | 51.2±15.7 | 6/8 | 94.5±33.1 | 5.6±2.6 | ? |
| Yao, 2017[25] | China | Cohort study | 6 mo | TAC group: 18 | 48.3±13.2 | 8/10 | 54.2±14.9 | 4.9±1.0 | + |
| Liu, 2009[26] | China | Cohort study | 6 mo | TAC group: 10 | 51.1±8.4 | 6/4 | 70.9±25.0 | 6.9±4.0 | + |
| Zhang, 2019[27] | China | Cohort study | 6 mo | TAC group: 45 | 55.1±11.1 | 3/4 | 68.4±9.1 | – | + |
| Hu et al, 2020[28] | China | Cohort study | 12 mo | TAC group: 17 | 53.4±5.6 | 9/8 | 72.8±16.3 | 7.3±3.3 | + |

**Table 3**

**Specific drug treatment program.**

| Study         | TAC regimens | CTX regimens |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Wang[25]      | Oral TAC 0.05 mg/kg/day for the 6 mo (target trough blood concentration of 3–5 ng/mL) | IV CTX once a mo for 6 mo (accumulated dosage of 7.5–11.5 g); oral prednisone 0.5 mg/kg/day for 2 mo with gradual tapering |
| Liang et al[21] | Oral TAC 0.05–0.1 mg/kg/day (target trough blood concentration of 5–10 ng/mL for 6 mo and then 4–6 ng/mL in the subsequent 3 mo with gradual tapering) | IV CTX 0.5–0.75 g/m² once a month for 6 mo and then once in every 2–3 mo; oral prednisone 1 mg/kg/day for 1 mo with gradual tapering |
| Peng et al[22] | Oral TAC 0.05–0.1 mg/kg/day (the trough blood concentration of 5–10 ng/mL for 18 mo) | IV CTX 0.8–1.0 g once a mo for 6 mo and then once in every 2–3 mo; oral prednisone 1 mg/kg/day for 2–3 mo with gradual tapering |
| Liang[23]     | Oral TAC 0.05 mg/kg/day (the trough blood concentration of 3–8 ng/mL for 6 mo) | IV CTX 0.8–1.2 g once in every 2 wk for 2 mo and then once a mo; oral prednisone initial dose of 0.5 mg/kg/day |
| Chen et al[24] | Oral TAC 0.05 mg/kg/day (the trough blood concentration of 3–8 ng/mL for 6 mo) | IV CTX 0.5–0.75 g/m² once a mo for 6 mo; oral prednisone 0.5 mg/kg/day for 2 mo with gradual tapering |
| Yao[25]       | Oral TAC 0.05 mg/kg/day (the trough blood concentration of 3–8 ng/mL for 6 mo) | IV CTX 1 g once a mo for 6 mo; oral prednisone 0.8–1 mg/kg/day with gradual tapering |
| Li[26]        | Oral TAC 0.05 mg/kg/day (the trough blood concentration of 3–8 ng/mL for 6 mo) | IV CTX 0.6 g once in every 2 wk for 3 mo and then 1 g once a mo; oral prednisone oral prednisone 1 mg/kg/day for 2 mo with gradual tapering |
| Zhang[27]     | Oral TAC 0.1 mg/kg/day (the trough blood concentration of 5–10 ng/mL for 6 mo) | IV CTX 0.75 g/m² monthly and then once in every 2–3 mo (accumulated dosage was <10 g); oral prednisone 1 mg/kg/day for 2 mo with gradual tapering |
| Hu et al[28]  | Oral TAC 0.05 mg/kg/day (the trough blood concentration of 5–10 ng/mL for 6 mo then 4–6 ng/mL in case of remission) | Oral CTX 100 mg/day (accumulated dosage of 8–12 g); oral prednisone 0.5 mg/kg/day for 2 mo with gradual tapering |

**Table 4**

**Quality assessment of randomized control trial.**

| Study | Random sequence generation | Allocation concealment | Blinding of participants and personnel | Incomplete outcome data | Selective reporting | Other bias |
|-------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Li[29] | ?                          | ?                      | ?                                    | +                     | +                 | ?         |

The randomized control trial was evaluated using the Cochrane assessment tool. + = low risk of bias, ? = unclear risk of bias.
3.2.5. PR after 1 year. Data about PR after 1 year were reported in 3 articles: 33 of 86 (38.4%) for the TAC group and 31 of 67 (46.3%) for the CTX group. The heterogeneity between the 2 studies was not substantial ($P = 0.98, I^2 = 0\%$), so the fixed-effects model was used for the meta-analysis. PR after 1 year was lower in the TAC group than in the CTX group, but the difference was not statistically significant (OR 0.71, 95% CI 0.37–1.38, $P = .31$) (Fig. 6).

3.2.6. TR after 1 year. Data about TR after 1 year were reported in 3 articles: 72 of 86 (83.7%) for the TAC group and 54 of 67 (80.6%) for the CTX group. The heterogeneity between the 2 studies was not substantial ($P = .28, I^2 = 22\%$), so the fixed-effects model was used for the meta-analysis. There was no significant difference between the 2 groups concerning TR after 1 year (OR 1.29, 95% CI 0.55–3.01, $P = .56$) (Fig. 7).

3.2.7. Relapse rate. Data about relapse rate were reported in 5 articles: 22 of 99 (22.2%) for the TAC group and 12 of 78 (15.4%) for the CTX group. The heterogeneity between the 2 studies was not substantial ($P = .57, I^2 = 0\%$), so the fixed-effects model was used for the meta-analysis. The relapse rate was higher in the TAC group than in the CTX group, but the difference was not statistically significant (OR 1.85, 95% CI 0.75–4.53, $P = .18$). Subgroup analysis showed that the relapse rate was lower in the TAC group than in the CTX group with corticosteroids at 0.5 mg/kg/day group, but the difference was not statistically significant ($P > .05$). In addition, subgroup analysis showed that

Table 5
Quality assessment of cohort studies.

| Studies   | Selection | Comparability | Outcome | Score |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------|-------|
| Wang[20]  | ★★★       | ★             | ★★      | 6     |
| Liang et al[21] | ★★★★★ | ★             | ★★★★   | 8     |
| Peng et al[22] | ★★★★ | ★             | ★★★★   | 6     |
| Liang[23]  | ★★★★★     | ★             | ★★★★   | 8     |
| Chen et al[24] | ★★★ | ★             | ★★★★   | 7     |
| Yao[25]    | ★★★★★     | ★             | ★★★★   | 8     |
| Zhang[27]  | ★★★★★     | ★             | ★★★★   | 8     |
| Huet al[28] | ★★★★★   | ★             | ★★★★   | 8     |

The Cohort studies were evaluated using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale, which are comprised of the study of selection (Representativeness of the exposed group, Representativeness of the non-exposed group, Ascertainment of exposure, Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study), group comparability (Controls for the most important factor, Controls for any additional factor), outcome measures (Assessment of outcome, Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur, Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts), a total of 9 points. ★, 1 point.

Figure 2. Forest plots comparing CR at the sixth month between TAC and CTX. CR = complete remission, CTX = cyclophosphamide, TAC = tacrolimus.
Figure 3. Forest plots comparing PR at the 6th month between TAC and CTX. CTX = cyclophosphamide, PR = partial remission, TAC = tacrolimus.

Figure 4. Forest plots comparing TR at the 6th month between TAC and CTX. CTX = cyclophosphamide, TAC = tacrolimus, TR = total remission.
the relapse rate was higher in the TAC group than in the CTX combined with corticosteroids at 0.8 to 1 mg/kg/day group, but the difference was not statistically significant ($P > .05$) (Fig. 8).

3.2.8. Drug-related adverse effects. Data about drug-related adverse effects were reported in seven articles. The incidences of infection (10.9%, 13/119), leukopenia (2.3%, 2/86), glucose intolerance (18.8%, 27/144), abnormal aminotransferase (3.8%, 6/156), acute renal failure (11.2%, 10/89), gastrointestinal symptoms (4.7%, 5/107), and tremors (7.9%, 8/101) were reported in the TAC group. The incidences of infection (36.6%, 30/82), leukopenia (19.4%, 13/67), glucose intolerance (17.9%, 20/112), abnormal aminotransferase (12.9%, 16/124), acute renal failure (9.3%, 10/89), gastrointestinal symptoms (14.9%, 13/87), and tremors (0%, 0/66) were reported in the CTX group. There was no statistically significant difference between the 2 groups concerning glucose intolerance (OR 1.15, 95% CI 0.61–2.14, $P = .67$), acute renal failure (OR 1.14, 95% CI 0.39–3.33, $P = .81$), or tremors (OR 4.39, 95% CI 0.75–25.67, $P = .10$). Incidences of gastrointestinal symptoms (OR 0.29, 95% CI 0.10–0.79, $P = .02$), infection (OR 0.18, 95% CI 0.08–0.39, $P < .01$), leukopenia (OR 0.14, 95% CI 0.04–0.51, $P < .01$), and abnormal aminotransferase (OR 0.31, 95% CI 0.13–0.77, $P = .01$) were all lower in the TAC group than in the CTX group. There was no significant difference between the 2 subgroups concerning glucose intolerance ($P > .05$). In addition, subgroup analysis showed that there was no significant difference between the TAC group and the CTX combined with corticosteroids at 0.5 mg/kg/day group concerning abnormal aminotransferase ($P > .05$). All forest plots of drug-related adverse effects are provided in Figures 9–15.
Figure 8. Forest plots comparing relapse rate between TAC and CTX. CTX = cyclophosphamide, TAC = tacrolimus.

Figure 9. Forest plots comparing infection between TAC and CTX. CTX = cyclophosphamide, TAC = tacrolimus.

Figure 10. Forest plots comparing leukopenia between TAC and CTX. CTX = cyclophosphamide, TAC = tacrolimus.
Figure 11. Forest plots comparing glucose intolerance between TAC and CTX. CTX = cyclophosphamide, TAC = tacrolimus.

Figure 12. Forest plots comparing abnormal aminotransferase between TAC and CTX. CTX = cyclophosphamide, TAC = tacrolimus.
3.3. Sensitivity analyses

Some outcomes did not require subgroup analysis, so sensitivity analyses were used to judge the reliability of the results. We removed 1 study at a time, and the results of the meta-analysis still showed no difference.

4. Discussion

IMN is considered damage to glomerular podocytes mediated by autoantibodies. TAC, a calcineurin inhibitor (CNI), mainly binds to a particular intracellular receptor called FK-506-binding protein 12 to inhibit calcineurin phosphatase, thereby inhibiting cytokines such as interleukin-2. Consequently, TAC can inhibit the growth and differentiation of T cells, thereby reducing the immune damage of podocytes. Our meta-analysis revealed that TAC monotherapy had a higher CR at month six compared with CTX-corticosteroid combination therapy for IMN. However, after 1 year, the 2 therapy regimens had a similar CR, PR, TR, and relapse rate. Moreover, TAC monotherapy had fewer side effects concerning infection, gastrointestinal symptoms, leukopenia, and abnormal aminotransferase.

Sustained remission of nephrotic syndrome is very important for patients with IMN and can reduce complications and prevent progression to end-stage renal disease. Our study found that TAC monotherapy or CTX-corticosteroid combination therapy had similar remission rates, and both had a high remission rate of nearly 80%. However, our meta-analysis found that IMN patients achieved CR more rapidly using TAC monotherapy at month six. A previous meta-analysis also showed that TAC combined with corticosteroids had a significantly higher remission rate than CTX combined with corticosteroids at the early stage, but no significant difference was observed at the
longest follow-up periods.\textsuperscript{[17,31]} CTX was slow to take effect because cyclophosphamide usually requires a cumulative dose to impart an immunosuppressive effect.\textsuperscript{[24]} In addition, compared with CTX combined with moderate-dose corticosteroids at 0.5 mg/kg/day, CTX combined with large-dose corticosteroids at 0.8 to 1 mg/kg/day did not produce a higher remission rate.

Low relapse rate is beneficial for IMN patients. Many studies have shown that IMN patients tend to relapse after CNI tapering or withdrawal, and the relapse rate ranges from 13% to 50%.\textsuperscript{[32]} A study by Praga et al\textsuperscript{[33]} revealed that the relapse rate after TAC withdrawal was 47%, with no significant difference in the placebo group. A previous meta-analysis showed that the relapse rate of TAC combined with corticosteroids was not different from that of CTX-corticosteroid combination therapy.\textsuperscript{[17,31]} Our study observed that the relapse rate after remission with TAC monotherapy was 22.2%. TAC monotherapy tended to have a higher relapse rate, but the difference was not significant compared with CTX-corticosteroid combination therapy. There are low levels of evidence that prolonged TAC treatment with a low blood concentration is beneficial to sustained remission and reducing the relapse rate. Subgroup analysis suggested that CTX combined with large-dose corticosteroids at 0.8 to 1 mg/kg/day had the lowest relapse rate (8.8%), but there was no significant difference compared with TAC.

Long-term use of immunosuppressive therapy can increase the incidence of drug-related adverse effects, so clinicians should evaluate the beneficial and adverse effects when prescribing treatment regimens for IMN patients. The nephrotoxicity of CNI is an important issue, which has limited its applications. Many studies have attempted to investigate the nephrotoxicity of CNIs. In recent years, an RCT showed that TAC had no significant nephrotoxicity.\textsuperscript{[34]} Our study observed that the incidence of acute renal failure in the TAC group was 11.2%, which was slightly higher than that in the CTX group, with no significant difference. TAC and corticosteroids can both raise blood glucose. Our study observed that the incidence of glucose intolerance was not different between TAC monotherapy and CTX-corticosteroid combination therapy. TAC can cause tremors. Our study observed that none of the IMN patients using CTX had tremors, but the difference was not significant compared with TAC, which needs to be further verified. Moreover, our study observed that TAC monotherapy had fewer side effects concerning infection, gastrointestinal symptoms, leukopenia, and abnormal aminotransferase, which showed the advantages of TAC monotherapy. Furthermore, subgroup analysis suggested that CTX combined with large-dose corticosteroids at 0.8 to 1 mg/kg/day did not produce a higher remission rate but may have produced a higher incidence of drug-related adverse effects, such as abnormal aminotransferase.

There were some limitations in our meta-analysis. First, IMN has the possibility of spontaneous remission.\textsuperscript{[35]} Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes guidelines suggest that immunosuppressive therapy can be given to IMN patients with urine protein >4g/24 hours and no decrease in urine protein after 6 months of conservative treatment.\textsuperscript{[11]} However, in the majority of studies, immunosuppressants were used as soon as IMN was detected without 6 months of conservative treatment. Second, in some included studies, IMN patients were treated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II subtype 1 receptor blocker (ARB) antihypertensive drugs. Since angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and angiotensin II subtype 1 receptor blocker drugs have the effect of reducing proteinuria, they will affect the interference results. Third, there is no detailed definition of adverse drug reactions. Fourth, in the included studies, there were some differences concerning the specific drug regimen and definition of outcomes, which may cause a risk of bias. The target trough blood concentration of the TAC group was generally 3 to 10 ng/mL. The cumulative dose of CTX was generally 6 to 8g and did not exceed 12g. In some studies, the CTX group was combined with moderate-dose corticosteroids at 0.5 mg/kg/day; in other studies, the CTX group was combined with large-dose corticosteroids at 0.8 to 1 mg/kg/day. Therefore, we performed subgroup analysis according to the dosage of different corticosteroids, which can reduce risk bias.

5. Conclusions

Our meta-analysis revealed that TAC monotherapy is comparable to CTX-corticosteroid combination therapy for renal remission in IMN patients. TAC monotherapy had a higher CR in the early stage and had fewer drug-related adverse effects. The relapse rate of TAC monotherapy was higher than that of CTX-corticosteroid combination therapy, but the difference was not significant. To further confirm this conclusion, more large multicenter randomized controlled trials comparing the 2 drug treatment regimens are necessary.
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