DnaB helicase dynamics in bacterial DNA replication resolved by single-molecule studies
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ABSTRACT

In Escherichia coli, the DnaB helicase forms the basis for the assembly of the DNA replication complex. The stability of DnaB at the replication fork is likely important for successful replication initiation and progression. Single-molecule experiments have significantly changed the classical model of highly stable replication machines by showing that components exchange with free molecules from the environment. However, due to technical limitations, accurate assessments of DnaB stability in the context of replication are lacking. Using in vitro fluorescence single-molecule imaging, we visualise DnaB loaded on forked DNA templates. That these helicases are highly stable at replication forks, indicated by their observed dwell time of \( \sim 30 \text{ min} \). Addition of the remaining replication factors results in a single DnaB helicase integrated as part of an active replisome. In contrast to the dynamic behaviour of other replisome components, DnaB is maintained within the replisome for the entirety of the replication process. Interestingly, we observe a transient interaction of additional helicases with the replication fork. This interaction is dependent on the \( \gamma \) subunit of the clamp-loader complex. Collectively, our single-molecule observations solidify the role of the DnaB helicase as the stable anchor of the replisome, but also reveal its capacity for dynamic interactions.

INTRODUCTION

All living organisms depend on the accurate duplication of their genomic DNA to ensure their survival. In every domain of life, this intricate process is fulfilled by a set of co-evolved enzymes collectively known as the replisome. In the model bacterium Escherichia coli, the replisome (Figure 1) consists of twelve separate proteins that assemble on DNA and combine their actions to faithfully copy the circular chromosome (reviewed in (1)). The replicative helicase DnaB plays a key role within the replisome. It is a homo-hexameric, RecA-like ATPase (2) that encircles single-stranded (ss) DNA, on which it hydrolyses ATP or other NTPs to drive its translocation in the 5′–3′ direction. DnaB unwinds the parental double-stranded (ds) DNA and thereby provides the ssDNA templates for DNA polymerase and primase activity. During replication initiation, the loading of DnaB at the replication origin (oriC) is observed as the key determinant in replisome assembly (reviewed in (3)). In order to be loaded, DnaB must form a tight complex with its helicase-loader protein DnaC, and then be recruited to oriC by the DnaA initiator protein.
The transiently exposed DNA on the lagging strand.

The core then synthesises new DNA on both template strands. DNA synthesis occurs continuously on the leading strand and discontinuously on the lagging strand. The CLC tethered the polymerase to the helicase via one sliding clamp and the εβ̃ polymerase III core onto newly primed sites. The core then synthesises new DNA on both template strands. DNA synthesis occurs continuously on the leading strand and discontinuously on the lagging strand. The CLC tethers the polymerase to the helicase via one τ subunit. Single-stranded DNA-binding protein (SSB) coats and protects the transiently exposed DNA on the lagging strand.

The DnaC helicase loader destabilises the DnaB hexamer and forms with it a three-tiered, right-handed cracked-ring structure that enables deposition of the helicase onto ss-DNA (4–6). Once loaded, the hexameric DnaG primase molecules interact, leading to subsequent ejection of DnaC (7). During replication, two or three helicase-bound primase molecules cooperate to produce short RNA primers required for initiation of DNA polymerase activity (8,9). The DNA polymerase III holoenzyme (Pol III HE) is the replicase responsible for engaging the primers on both the leading and lagging strands and extending them into new DNA. Pol III HE consists of three sub-assemblies: the αεθ polymerase III core, the clamp loader complex (CLC) and the β₂ processivity clamp (10). The CLC hydrolyses ATP to load the β₂ clamp onto DNA, which in turn stabilises the Pol III core while it synthesises DNA (11–13). The CLC also acts as the physical bridge between the Pol III cores and DnaB helicase through its multiple τ subunits (14–16).

The E. coli replisome is able to duplicate the entire 4.6 Mb chromosome with high speed and accuracy (17,18). Due to the complex network of strong and weak interactions within the replisome, the nature of the interactions and how they permit the replicosome to coordinate its various enzymatic activities are still not fully understood. The DnaB helicase, however, is generally acknowledged as the key for orchestrating the formation of the multi-enzyme replisomal complex at the replication fork. Consequently, the stability of the replicative helicase at the fork is likely an important parameter underlying successful replication initiation and processive fork progression. To date, DnaB stability during replication has only been substantiated to a limited extent through studies into DnaB binding kinetics, unwinding analysis and helicase structures in various states (reviewed in (19)).

In vivo single-molecule studies have characterised the average stoichiometry of DnaB at the fork (20–22). One of these studies estimates the lifetime of DnaB at the fork to be on the order of 15 min (22). In a similar scenario, several ensemble in vivo studies of replication fork stalling infer the stability of DnaB after stalling to be between 5 and 30 min (23–26). Due to the nature of these in vivo methods, technical limitations prevent accurate detection of DnaB stability. It is clear that DnaB remains at the fork longer than other replisome components, but the exact stability of the helicase during loading and replication is still uncertain.

Recently, single-molecule studies have revealed the ability of many replisome components to exchange with equivalent molecules in the surrounding environment (22,27–32). Specifically, the E. coli replicative polymerases were shown to rapidly exchange in a concentration-dependent manner (31). Similar behaviour was observed for the single-stranded DNA-binding protein (SSB) that is carried with the replisome (29). This emerging picture of plasticity in DNA replication portrays the replisome as a multifaceted machine capable of sampling parallel reaction pathways to fulfil its goal (33,34). In light of these observations of the rapid dynamics of many replisome components, it could be inferred that the integrity of the replisome is linked to the stability of the DnaB helicase. It is tempting to speculate about an alternative scenario—that DnaB might also have the capability for dynamic exchange, and thus the entire replisome would be in a constant state of flux.

To assess the stability of the DnaB helicase in the context of replication, we use single-molecule assays to directly visualise individual helicases during DNA replication. In an in vitro reconstituted system, we use fluorescently labelled DnaB to allow us to monitor helicase behaviour in real time. We find that the active DnaB helicase remains stably associated even in the presence of a large excess of free DnaB molecules. Interestingly, additional helicases do transiently interact with the replisome. This transient interaction is enabled through the τ subunit of Pol III HE. In contrast to the dynamic behaviour of other replisome components, our results explicitly demonstrate that DnaB acts as a stable anchor within the replisome, thereby providing the interaction platform necessary to maintain replisome integrity throughout the processive replication of the bacterial chromosome.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Replication proteins**

*Escherichia coli* DNA replication proteins were produced from *E. coli* expression strains using genes from *E. coli* K12 strains as described previously: the β₂ sliding clamp (35); SSB (36); DnaG primase (37); Pol III εθ core (31); and the Pol III clamp loader complexes τδδψχ, τγγδδψχ, τγγδδψχ (38), and γδδδψχ (12). DnaBn, DnaC and the DnaBn/DnaCn helicase–loader complex were produced through a new and more effective method. The overexpression and purification steps of this method are detailed in Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figure S1.
Concentrations of DnaB6, DnaC and the DnaB6(DnaC)6 complex were determined using the Quick Start Bradford Dye Reagent (Bio-Rad).

Production of DnaB6-H201C

The dnaB H201C mutation (Supplementary Figure S2) was made by a two-step overlap extension PCR, with internal mutagenic primers 578/H201C/F (5′-GCAGGCACTGGGTTACCGGGG) and 579/H201C/R (5′-GGCCATCGCATGGCTGCTGAAACAACTG) and external primers 299 (5′-TGTTGTATCTTACACTGG) and 300 (5′-TGGTACGGCAATACG). Plasmid DNA of pSB958 encoding wild-type DnaB (Supplementary Figure S1) was used as template; this plasmid contains a synthetic \textit{E. coli} dnaCB operon in a pCE30 derivative (39), with a unique NdeI site at the start codon of \textit{dnaB}. Primer 299 is located upstream of the \textit{dnaB} gene and 300 is inside \textit{dnaB}, beyond a unique NcoI site. The final PCR product was cleaned up using a QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen), digested with NdeI and NcoI, and the digested product used to replace the corresponding NdeI–NcoI fragment of pSB958. The nucleotide sequence of the inserted fragment in the resulting plasmid pZX1548 confirmed the presence of the H201C mutation and the absence of other mutations. Expression and purification of DnaB6-H201C was carried out in the same manner as the wild-type DnaB6 helicase (Supplementary Methods).

Labelling of DnaB6-H201C

The labelling methods described are based on a published procedure (40). DnaB6-H201C was labelled with Alexa Fluor 647 (Invitrogen) by solid-state labelling followed by multiple rounds of ammonium sulphate washing. First, 2 mg of DnaB6-H201C was reduced by adding 15 mM additional fresh dithiothreitol (DTT) to the existing buffer. The protein was then precipitated by gradual addition of solid ammonium sulphate (0.45 g ml⁻¹), centrifuged (21 000 \( \times \) g; 20 min) and the supernatant carefully removed. The protein was washed with 1 ml ice-cold labelling buffer (30 mM Tris–HCl pH 6.8, 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 10 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM ADP) + 70% (w/v) ammonium sulphate that is now devoid of reducing agent and has been extensively degassed by sonication and deoxygenated with \( \text{N}_2 \) gas. The protein was centrifuged again (21 000 \( \times \) g; 20 min) and the pellet resuspended in 1 ml labelling buffer + 70% (w/v) ammonium sulphate + 0.95 mg AF647-maleimide dye (dissolved in 30 \( \mu \)l DMSO immediately before use; 20:1 molar ratio of dye to cysteine). The reaction was allowed to proceed by rotating gently overnight at 6°C in the dark. DnaB6-H201C–AF647 was separated from excess dye by centrifugation of the solution (21 000 \( \times \) g; 20 min) and then washing the protein with 1 ml labelling buffer + 70% (w/v) ammonium sulphate + 4 mM DTT. The wash steps were repeated 15 times until no more dye remained in the supernatant. DnaB6-H201C–AF647 was dialysed into storage buffer (labelling buffer + 4 mM DTT, 20% v/v glycerol). The purity was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and the degree of labelling was determined by to be 3–4 dyes per DnaB hexamer by detection of single-molecule photobleaching steps (see Data analysis).

DnaB6–H201C was also labelled with Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen) by solid-state labelling followed by column chromatography and ammonium sulphate washing (procedure modified to improve protein yield). The labelling procedure was the same as above until the purification steps. After the reaction was complete, DnaB6–H201C–AF488 was separated from excess dye by centrifugation (21 000 \( \times \) g; 20 min) and resuspended in 1 ml storage buffer. To remove the remaining dye, the labelled protein was applied at 1 ml min⁻¹ to a column (1.5 × 10 cm) of Sephadex G-25 (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in storage buffer. Fractions containing DnaB6–H201C–AF488 were pooled, purity confirmed by SDS-PAGE (Supplementary Figure S3) and the degree of labelling determined to be 2–3 dyes per DnaB hexamer as above.

Ensemble replication assay of DnaB activity

Both Alexa Fluor 647- and Alexa Fluor 488-labelled DnaB6, now referred to as DnaB6(red) and DnaB6(blue) respectively, were tested for their activity in a bulk solution-phase leading-strand replication assay measuring the duplication of a flap-primed single-stranded M13 DNA template (31). First, the primed ssDNA template was made by annealing M13mp18 ssDNA (Gild BioSciences) with a 66-mer (IDT) oligonucleotide consisting of a 30-nt complementmentary segment. Each replication reaction contained 2.5 nM primed DNA template, 90 nM \textit{ε}0 clamp, 30 nM \( \tau_\text{ε}^6\) clamp loader, 200 nM \( \beta_2 \) clamp, 60 nM DnaB6(red) or DnaB6(blue) and 315 nM DnaC in bulk replication buffer (30 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.6, 12 mM magnesium acetate, 50 mM potassium glutamate, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.0025% v/v Tween20, 1 mM ATP, 10 mM DTT, 100 \( \mu \)M of each dNTP) in a final volume of 10 \( \mu \)l. All the reaction components were mixed (except for DNA) and cooled on ice before the reaction was initiated by adding DNA and moving to 30°C. After 10 min the reaction was stopped by addition of 10 \( \mu \)l heated quench buffer (200 nM EDTA, 2% w/v SDS, 1× DNA loading dye mix). The quenched mixtures were loaded into a 0.7% (w/v) agarose gel in TAE running buffer (160 mM Tris base pH 8.2, 80 mM acetic acid, 2 mM EDTA). DNA products were separated by electrophoresis for 100 min at 75 V, then stained with SYBR Gold and visualised by UV light on a Gel Doc XR (Bio-Rad).

Using this ensemble replication assay, DnaB6(red) and DnaB6(blue) were shown to support DNA replication as compared to WT DnaB6 and inactive DnaB6 (inactive DnaB6 comes from a bad preparation of this protein) (Supplementary Figure S3). Each labelled DnaB helicase proved capable of promoting DNA replication.

Single-molecule DnaB-loading assay

Microfluidic flow-cell devices were prepared as previously described (41,42). Briefly, a flow chamber was created by adhering a PDMS block with an indented channel to a functionalised biotin (Laysan Bio) coverslip. To reduce non-specific interactions with the surface during the experiment, the flow chamber was injected with degassed blocking buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.6, 100 mM NaCl, 2% w/v Tween20) and incubated for 30–60 min. The flow-cell device was mounted on an inverted TIRF microscope (Nikon...
Eclipse Ti-E), with an electrically heated stage (31°C; Oko-lab), a 100x TIRF objective (NA = 1.49, oil, Nikon), and connected syringe pump (Adelab Scientific).

The conditions used to measure DnaB loading were modified from previously described single-molecule replication experiments (29,31,38,42). Construction of a 2030-bp rolling-circle DNA template with controlled fork topology has been described previously (43). The loading solution contained 4 nM DnaB6(red), 21 nM DnaC and 20 pM rolling-circle DNA template (components preloaded in situ by incubating in 20 μl for 5 min at 37°C) in 150 μl degassed single-molecule loading buffer (30 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.6, 12 mM magnesium acetate, 50 mM potassium glutamate, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.0025% w/v Tween20, 0.5 mg ml−1 BSA, 1 mM ATP, 10 mM DTT, 150 nM SYTOX Orange (Invitrogen)). The loading solution was injected into the blocked flow chamber at 100 μl min−1 for 1 min and then at 5 μl min−1 for 10 min.

To detect DnaB loaded onto DNA, the SYTOX Orange-stained rolling-circle DNA template was visualised in real time with either a 514-nm (Coherent, Sapphire 514-150 CW) or 568-nm laser (Coherent, Sapphire 568-200 CW) at 80 mW cm−2 for 1 s once every 30 s. The DnaB6(red) protein was visualised by constant excitation with a 647-nm laser (Coherent, Obis 647-100 CW) at 4500 mW cm−2. The fluorescence signals were captured with an EMCCD camera (Evolve delta, Photometrix) with appropriate filter sets (Chroma). DnaB loading was identified by localisation of DNA foci with DnaB6(red) foci to within 2 pixels (see Data analysis). In the loaded-DnaB stability experiments, the injection of the loading solution was followed by injection of single-molecule loading buffer at 100 μl min−1 for 1 min and then at 10 μl min−1 for 35 min. DNA was excited in a similar manner as the loading experiment; however, DnaB6(red) was excited in intervals of 400 ms once every 8 s to significantly extend the lifetime of the fluorophores to approximately 60 min (Supplementary Figure S4).

Single-molecule rolling-circle replication assay

Preloaded conditions. This replication assay used the same microfluidic flow-cell devices described above as well as the same DnaB-loading step, followed by a replication step that was adapted from existing methods (29,31,38,42). In preloaded DnaB experiments, the replication solution contained 10 nM Pol III αθ core, 3.3 nM τ3δεψχ clamp loader (i.e. Pol III* assembled in situ from clamp loader and cores in 4 μl for 90 s at 37°C), 30 nM βε clamp, 75 nM DnaG and 20 nM SSB in single-molecule replication buffer (single-molecule loading buffer + 250 μM of each dNTP, 250 μM of each NTP, 1 mM UV-aged Trolox, 0.8% w/v glucose, 0.12 mg ml−1 glucose oxidase and 12 μg ml−1 catalase). Replication was initiated by injecting the replication solution into a flow chamber containing immobilised rolling-circle DNA templates at 100 μl min−1 for 1 min and then at 10 μl min−1 for 10 min. DNA and DnaB6(red) were visualised using the same conditions as the Single-molecule loading assay for a period of 10 min.

In-solution conditions. For the in-solution DnaB6(red) experiments, 2 nM DnaB6(red) was added to the replication solution. DnaC is not present here.

Chase conditions. For the WT DnaB6 chase experiments, 30 nM WT DnaB6 was added to the replication solution. The preloaded DnaB6(red) fluorescence lifetime was extended by exciting intermittently for 400 ms once every 800 ms. For the DnaB6(blue) chase experiments, 2 nM DnaB6(blue) was added to the replication solution. DnaB6(blue) was visualised by excitation with a 488-nm laser (Coherent, Sapphire 488-200 CW) at 4500 mW cm−2.

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) conditions. For the DnaB6(red) FRAP experiments, 2 nM DnaB6(red) was added to the replication solution and after 2 min of imaging replication, a 647-nm FRAP pulse at 240 W cm−2 for 5 s was used to bleach all of the DnaB6(red) foci in the field of view. Recovery of any foci was recorded over the following 4 min.

Stationary replisome association assay

The single-molecule replisome association assay was designed to detect the frequency with which free DnaB molecules interact with the replisome. This assay was identical to the DnaB6(blue) chase experiments, except for the replication/association step. In these experiments, dATP and dTTP were omitted. The remaining dCTP and dGTP are the next three nucleotides to be incorporated at the primer–template junction and thus keep the Pol III core bound in either the polymerization or proofreading mode and not synthesizing new DNA (Supplementary Figure S5). This technique has previously been applied to pre-assemble the whole replisome prior to replication (31,44,45), and to direct Pol III core towards the polymerisation or proofreading mode (46).

Therefore, in the whole replisome experiments, DnaB association was observed after injecting the association solution (replication solution without dATP or dTTP + 2 nM DnaB6(blue)) into a flow chamber containing immobilised rolling-circle DNA templates at 100 μl min−1 for 1 min and then at 10 μl min−1 for 10 min. As with the DnaB6(blue) chase experiments, this experiment was imaged by switching between DnaB6(red) and DnaB6(blue), and SYTOX Orange stained DNA was imaged once every 30 s for a total of 10 min. When indicated, replisome components were omitted to test their effect on the DnaB binding frequency.

Data analysis

All analyses were carried out using ImageJ/Fiji (1.51c) and MATLAB 2016b, and in-house built plugins. Many of these processes are detailed elsewhere (41).

Quantification of degree of labelling. The numbers of fluorophores per labelled DnaB6(red) and DnaB6(blue) were quantified by immobilisation of DnaB6 from a 20 pM solution in replication buffer on the surface of a cleaned microscope coverslip. Imaging was done by exciting constantly
at 2400 mW cm$^{-2}$ for 5 min to allow photobleaching of the fluorophores. Raw movies were corrected for the electronic offset and excitation-beam profile. Single molecules of labelled DnaB$_6$ were identified using our peak fitter tool and the photobleaching steps were fit using change-point analysis (Supplementary Figure S6A and D) (47–49). The histogram of steps per molecule was fit to a Poisson distribution (Supplementary Figure S6C and F). The degree of labelling was found to be 3–4 dyes (Poisson mean parameter, \( \lambda = 3.50 \); number of observations, \( n = 260 \)) and 2–3 dyes (\( \lambda = 2.52 \); \( n = 181 \)) per hexamer of DnaB$_6$(red) and DnaB$_6$(blue), respectively.

**Determination of stoichiometry.** The number of labelled DnaB$_6$ molecules (during the loading step or at actively replicating replisomes) was calculated by dividing their initial intensities by the intensity of a single fluorophore and correcting for the pre-determined degree of labelling. The average intensity per fluorophore was quantified by detecting photobleaching steps in labelled DnaB$_6$ non-specifically bound to the surface (Supplementary Figure S6B and E). The integrated intensity for every fluorescent DnaB in a field of view was calculated after applying a local background subtraction. The histograms obtained were fit with a Gaussian distribution function to give the average intensity.

**Colocalisation analysis.** Foci of two separate colours were classed as being colocalised if their centroid positions (determined using our peak fitter tool) fell within 2 pixels of each other. The chance of coincidental colocalisation (C) was calculated using Equation 1, where \( A_R \) is the focus area, \( A_{FOV} \) is the field of view area, and \( n \) is the number of foci.

\[
C = \frac{A_R}{A_{FOV}} \times n \tag{1}
\]

**FRAP recovery analysis.** To obtain the characteristic exchange time \( r \) from the FRAP experiments, the intensity of DnaB was tracked as a function of time. The data were fit with a FRAP recovery function correcting for photobleaching (22,29,31,50) (Equation 2, where \( a \) is the amplitude of photobleaching, \( \tau_b \) is the photobleaching time, and \( I_0 \) is the number of DnaB at the fork at steady state).

\[
I = a \times e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_b}} + I_0 \left(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{r}}\right) \tag{2}
\]

**DnaB association frequency analysis.** The characteristic timescales of the DnaB dynamics were extracted by tracking the fluorescence intensity of DnaB$_6$(blue) over time. A threshold was determined for each intensity trajectory corresponding to the intensity of half a DnaB$_6$(blue) molecule. The binding frequency was defined as the number of times per minute where the intensity exceeded the threshold.

**RESULTS**

**Single-molecule visualisation of DnaB helicase dynamics**

Previous studies have established single-molecule fluorescence methods to monitor reconstituted replisomes during *in vitro* DNA replication (28,29,31,32,44,45,51–54). Several of these studies also explore how functional *E. coli* replisomes can be sequentially assembled *in vitro* by introducing the appropriate proteins over time to immobilised DNA templates (29,31,50). We adapted these replisome assembly conditions to separate helicase loading and DNA replication into discrete steps and thus to examine DnaB activity in each.

To visualise single molecules of DNA-loaded DnaB, we used a mutant of DnaB$_6$ (H201C) site-specifically labelled with a cysteine-reactive red fluorescent dye (Alexa Fluor 647). Note that wild-type DnaB contains no native cysteine residues, and His201 is positioned in a solvent-exposed loop near the C-terminal face of the helicase, remote from the sites of its interaction with DnaG (PDB ID: 2R6A) (55), the DnaC helicase loader and ssDNA (PDB ID: 6QEM) (4) (Supplementary Figure S2). Consistent with this location, neither the mutation nor subsequent labelling significantly affected the function of DnaB during leading-strand replication (Supplementary Figure S3). Nevertheless, we have not been able to exclude that interactions with other proteins (e.g. DnaA or Rep) that are not used in this work may be affected. It is also possible that the helicase activity of the mutant may be modestly affected by modulation of interactions with its excluded (leading) strand, as has been observed for a series of conserved basic residues on its surface (56); note that these residues are also structurally remote from H201, and interactions of DnaB with the excluded strand have not yet been characterised structurally.

A 5′-biotinylated rolling-circle DNA template (230 bp) with replication fork topology (43) was used for DnaB loading and subsequent replisome assembly (Supplementary Figure S5A). DnaB$_6$(red) mixed with DnaC in the presence of ATP was added to the DNA template and then injected into a microfluidic flow-cell to immobilise the complex on a streptavidin-functionalised surface (Figure 2A). The DNA template stained with SYTOX Orange (green) and DnaB$_6$(red) were both visualised by near-total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) imaging and loaded DnaB helicases identified by the colocalisation of the corresponding foci (Figure 2B). Of the DNA template foci detected in one field of view, 32% (\( n = 128 \)) colocalised with DnaB$_6$(red) foci and thus represent successfully loaded DnaBC helicase–helicase loader complexes. This value is well above the degree of colocalisation expected by chance (5%; see Methods).

To calculate the number of DnaB helicases loaded on DNA templates, we quantified the intensities of colocalised DnaB$_6$(red) foci and divided them by the calibrated average intensity for a single DnaB molecule (Supplementary Figure S6B). The resulting distribution shows three distinct populations corresponding to 1.0 ± 0.1, 2.0 ± 0.1 and 2.9 ± 0.1 loaded helicases (mean ± S.E.M., \( n = 606 \)) with decreasing occurrence, respectively (Figure 2C). The 59-nt single-stranded tail of the DNA template (Supplementary Figure S5A) could plausibly accommodate up to two DnaB6 complexes with an estimated footprint of ~30 nt (4) or three DnaB after DnaC dissociation with a footprint of 18–20 nt per helicase hexamer (57). It is also possible that one molecule of DnaB could occupy the 25-nt gap on the leading strand. The shape of the distribution (Figure 2C) implies
Figure 2. Visualisation of loaded DnaB helicases at the single-molecule level. (A) Illustration of the single-molecule helicase-loading assay. DnaB6(red)DnaC6, a 2030 bp rolling-circle DNA template and the nucleotides required for loading are mixed and applied to a microfluidic flow channel. The 5′-biotinylated DNA couples to the streptavidin-functionalised surface and immobilises the complex. (B) Loaded DnaB helicases appear as colocalised foci (white) of DnaB6(red) and SYTOX Orange-stained DNA (green). The table indicates the number of foci, the degree of colocalisation and the degree of coincidental colocalisation by chance. (C) Distribution of DnaB6(red) stoichiometry loaded onto the 59-nt single-stranded DNA tail (n = 606). The black line represents the sum of three Gaussian distribution functions fit to the data. The dashed grey lines represent the individual Gaussian distributions. (D) The average binding lifetime of loaded DnaB6(red) molecules (magenta; n = 123). A single-exponential fit to the data (black) gives a binding lifetime of 34.4 ± 0.4 min. Photobleaching time is measured to be ~60 min (Supplementary Figure S4) and therefore does not significantly impact on the observed kinetics.

that the probability of loading another helicase decreases as available ssDNA space decreases.

We assessed the stability of DnaB helicases loaded at the fork by flowing out excess DnaB6(red) (and DnaC) and measuring the binding lifetime of loaded DnaB6(red) (Figure 2D). The average intensity over time of n = 123 DnaB6(red) molecules was fit to a single exponential decay, yielding a mean lifetime of 34.4 ± 0.4 min (mean ± S.E.M.). The photobleaching of DnaB6(red) was greatly extended by imaging intermittently for 400 ms once every 8 s. To determine the photobleaching lifetime under these conditions, we extrapolated several known values for other conditions to determine a value of ~60 min (Supplementary Figure S4). It is unlikely that this degree of photobleaching interferes with the measurement of the DnaB binding lifetime. Even taking the impact of photobleaching into account, our data suggest that once loaded, DnaB remains stably bound at a fork for ~30 min.

After our observation of the number of helicases loaded at the fork, we set out to determine the number associated with progressing replisomes. Similar to the helicase loading experiments, we pre-loaded DnaB6(red) on the rolling-circle DNA template and immobilised the complex on the surface. Then, we flow out excess DnaB6(red) (and DnaC) and flow in replication solution (Pol III*, B2 clamp, DnaG primase, SSB, dNTPs, NTPs) to initiate the replication reaction (Figure 3A). Rolling-circle replication products could be observed within tens of seconds as they were stretched out by hydrodynamic force, where the start of the kymograph indicates the point of buffer injection (Figure 3B, Supplementary Figure S7A). Intermittent imaging of SYTOX Orange stained DNA (green) and DnaB6(red) identified DnaB helicases present only at the replication fork of DNA products (Figure 3B). Stoichiometric quantification of these DnaB6(red) foci produced a distribution centred about 0.9 ± 0.1 helicases (mean ± S.E.M., n = 32) (Figure 3C). Therefore, these results confirmed that of the multiple DnaB helicases stably loaded onto ssDNA, only one is converted into an active replisome.

When we repeat this rolling-circle replication experiment, but now with 2 nM DnaB6(red) present in the replication solution, we observe a different outcome (Figure 3D). DnaB6(red) foci were found at the fork (Figure 3E) but also occasionally left behind the progressing replisome (Supplementary Figure S7B). We considered the possibility that DnaB6(red) might be binding to incomplete Okazaki frag-
Figure 3. More than one DnaB helicase are frequently present at the replication fork. (A) Illustration of the rolling-circle replication assay with DnaB$_6$(red) preloaded on the DNA prior to introducing the replication solution. Replication is monitored in real time by flow stretching the replicating DNA products by hydrodynamic force. (B) (Top) Representative kymograph of preloaded DnaB$_6$(red) moving with the fork during rolling-circle replication. (Bottom) Overlay of the DnaB$_6$(red) and SYTOX Orange-stained DNA (green) kymographs shows the helicase molecule moving with the replication fork at the tip of the DNA product. (C) Distributions of DnaB helicase stoichiometry at the fork in the absence of DnaB$_6$(red) in solution (magenta; $n = 32$) and in the presence of DnaB$_6$(red) in solution (purple; $n = 33$). The black lines represent Gaussian fits to the data. (D) Illustration of the in-solution assay, where DnaB$_6$(red) is preloaded and also included at 2 nM in the replication solution. (E) Representative kymograph showing the DnaB$_6$(red) signal at the fork when DnaB$_6$(red) is present in solution. (F) Number of DnaB$_6$(red) as a function of time for the kymograph in (E) showing the fluctuation in DnaB helicase stoichiometry during the course of replication, where steps are detected by change-point analysis (47–49).

ments with ssDNA gaps under these conditions where excess Pol III holoenzyme and SSB, but not DnaC, are present in solution. Although DnaB is known to associate with naked ssDNA (57,58), its loading onto SSB-coated ssDNA generally requires specialized DNA structures such as forks, DnaC and other (replication restart) proteins (58–60). Although ssDNA gaps on the lagging strand can be observed when experiments are set up specifically to detect them (61,62) and may be produced under our conditions due to premature release of the lagging strand polymerase, we have shown that these gaps are subsequently filled by the additional free Pol III holoenzyme from solution (29). We conclude therefore that the labelled DnaB is most likely bound via the $\tau$ subunit (50) to the Pol III holoenzyme left behind at Okazaki fragment junctions under these conditions where Okazaki fragment processing enzymes (DNA Pol I and ligase) are absent (29).

Analysis of the stoichiometry of DnaB$_6$(red) localised at the fork results in a distribution centred at 0.6 $\pm$ 0.3 helicases (mean $\pm$ S.E.M., $n = 33$). Examining individual intensity traces of DnaB$_6$(red) shows that intermittently, there is an increase in fluorescence equivalent to another helicase
molecule localised to the replisome (Figure 3F). The physical divergence of these two helicase signals (Figure 3E) suggests one supports rolling circle replication, while the other does not. The presence of more than one helicase raises two questions: Is the replisomal DnaB helicase exchanging with other helicases in the surrounding solution? Or conversely, are extra helicases contributing to the replisome via a secondary mechanism?

DnaB helicase is a stable anchor within the replisome

There is no evidence in the literature to suggest that DnaB stochastically exchanges during DNA replication in the same manner shown for Pol III* and SSB (22,29,31). We detect, however, recurrent dynamics in the DnaB6(red) signal, indicating there is often more than one helicase at the replication fork (Figure 3E and F), and thus the potential for helicase exchange. Similar to other single-molecule studies of exchange, we applied fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) to our replication assay with DnaB6(red) present in solution. Recovery events were detected (Supplementary Figure S8A and B) which indicates DnaB6(red) molecules from solution associate with the fork during replication. Summing multiple single-molecule recovery curves suggests a recovery on the timescale of several minutes (Supplementary Figure S8C). While suggestive of dynamic exchange, the apparent on/off events in the fluorescence signal creates noise in the FRAP recovery curves, making the process challenging to study.

To further test the helicase exchange hypothesis, we developed a chase exchange assay as an alternative approach to address exchange during DNA replication. First, we initiated rolling-circle replication with pre-loaded DnaB6(red) and then chased with a physiological concentration (30 nM) of dark, WT DnaB6, in the replication solution (Figure 4A). We hypothesised that if fluorescent DnaB exchanges with unlabelled DnaB from solution, we should see a disappearance of fluorescence at the fork. However, we found replisomal DnaB6(blue) molecules to be unaffected by the excess unlabelled, WT DnaB6 during replication (Figure 4B, Supplementary Figure S7C). Analysing the fluorescence of these replicating DnaB6(red) foci identified that the average lifetime of 4.6 ± 0.1 min (mean ± S.E.M., n = 29) is very close to that of the photobleaching lifetime of 4.8 ± 0.1 min (mean ± S.E.M., n = 667) (Figure 4C). The inability of the WT DnaB6 to perturb replisomal DnaB6(red) is compelling evidence that the helicase does not undergo exchange during DNA replication. Instead, these results suggest a single DnaB helicase persists for the entirety of the replication cycle, acting as a stable anchor for the other replisome components.

To further investigate the extra DnaB6(red) foci identified at the replication fork, we applied a similar technique to the dark WT DnaB6 chase experiment. In this experiment, DnaB6(red) was pre-loaded onto the rolling-circle DNA template, but then chased with DnaB6(blue) in the replication solution (Figure 4D). Imaging both colours of labelled DnaB during replication showed DnaB6(red) foci at the replication fork in parallel to our previous observations, but also DnaB6(blue) molecules binding intermittently at the fork (Figure 4E, Supplementary Figure S7D). The appearance of DnaB6(blue) fluorescence did not correlate with the disappearance of DnaB6(red) signal (Figure 4F), which further solidifies the absence of helicase exchange. The dynamic association of DnaB6(blue) with the replication fork suggests extra DnaB helicases can somehow transiently interact with the replisome.

Additional helicases dynamically interact with the replisome through the γ subunit of the CLC

Our observation of the presence of extra helicases at the replication fork has not been reported before. Our chase replication experiments establish that any additional helicases do not interfere with the unwinding function of the main replisomal DnaB helicase. To understand the nature of the interaction of the extra DnaB helicases, we restructured our replication assay into a stationary replisome association assay. In this assay we utilise our available fluorescent tools to assess association of fluorescent DnaB with a stationary replisome to achieve much higher throughput of data. This stationary replisome association assay builds on observations of single-molecule DNA replication as carried out by replosmes completely pre-assembled prior to replication (31,44,45). First, the loaded DnaB6(red)DNA complex (identical to the DnaB-loading experiments in Figure 2A) is immobilised on the surface of a flowcell. Then, an association solution consisting of the remaining replisome components, DnaB6(blue), ATP, dCTP and dGTP is injected (Figure 5A). Including only two of the four nucleotides allows for proper binding of the polymerases within the replisome but prevents them from replicating the whole template (Supplementary Figure S5B). When the polymerase core is blocked from synthesis, it is expected to enter a stalled state which still retains a strong affinity for DNA (46), and thus the entire replisome can be assembled but remains stationary. Herein lies the effectiveness of this assay, as we can identify assembled replosmes and then automate the acquisition and analysis of DnaB6(blue) replosme interactions.

We identified stationary, assembled replosmes based on the colocalisation of DnaB6(red) and SYTOX Orange-stained DNA in the same manner as the DnaB loading experiments (Supplementary Figure S9A). When the immobilised DnaB6(red)DNA complex are exposed to the association solution with all the replisome components, we see dynamic binding of DnaB6(blue) molecules (Figure 5B). These dynamics are consistent with the association events detected at the replication fork during the DnaB6(blue) chase assay (Figure 4E). When we analyse all the intensities observed throughout the experiment, we see that, on average, DnaB6(blue) is bound 43% of the time (Supplementary Figure S1A). In contrast, when we omit all other replisome components from the association solution, we only see DnaB6(blue) bound 12% of the time (Supplementary Figure S10B). To extract the characteristic frequency of the binding dynamics, we applied thresholding analysis to the DnaB6(blue) signal to automate the detection of binding events (Figure 5C). We found that the binding frequency of DnaB6(blue) varied both within and between experiments depending on several factors. For the condition where all replisome components are present...
Figure 4. DnaB helicases are both stable and dynamic during replication. (A) Illustration of the WT DnaB chase assay, where preloaded DnaB(red) was ‘chased’ with a relatively high concentration of WT DnaB (30 nM) in the replication solution. Like the standard rolling-circle assay, DNA products are stretched out by hydrodynamic force. (B) Representative kymograph of DnaB(red) moving with the fork during rolling-circle replication in the WT DnaB chase assay. (C) The average intensity over time from replicating DnaB(red) molecules in the WT DnaB chase assay (magenta; \( n = 29 \)), compared to the photobleaching lifetime of DnaB(red) (grey; \( n = 667 \)). The curve from each condition is fit with a single-exponential decay to provide the characteristic lifetime. (D) Illustration of the DnaB(blue) chase assay, where preloaded DnaB(red) is ‘chased’ with DnaB(blue) (2 nM) in the replication solution. Again, the DNA products are stretched out by hydrodynamic force. (E) (Top) Representative kymographs of DnaB(red) moving with the fork during rolling-circle replication in the DnaB(blue) chase assay. (Bottom) The DnaB(blue) kymograph from the same replication event shows the frequent association of additional helicases with the replication fork. (F) The stoichiometry over time from both the DnaB(red) and DnaB(blue) signal corresponding to the kymograph in (E), where steps are detected by change-point analysis (47–49).

(Figure 5D), we determined a binding frequency of 0.84 ± 0.05 min⁻¹ (mean ± S.E.M., \( n = 123 \)). We are able to compare this measurement to other DnaB(blue) binding populations within the same experiment. When we measure the DnaB(blue) dynamics on DNA templates that lack a loaded DnaB(red), the frequency was slightly lower (0.69 ± 0.01 min⁻¹, \( n = 1651 \); Supplementary Figure S11). The dynamics on DnaB(red) foci not bound to DNA are less frequent (0.35 ± 0.02 min⁻¹, \( n = 421 \); Supplementary Figure S11). Together these observations show that the additional DnaB interactions occur more frequently at replisomes containing all components. Furthermore, when we modify the experimental condition to include only DnaB(blue) in the association solution, we observe significantly less DnaB(blue) binding at colocalised DnaB(red)–DNA sites (0.13 ± 0.02 min⁻¹, \( n = 74 \)) (Figure 5D). The lack of dynamics in the absence of other replisomal components indicates that the additional DnaB binds to the
replisome through an interaction with another replisomal protein.

To identify this factor, we repeated the experiment with the omission of specific proteins and found that when the CLC lacks the τ subunit (γH9270), significantly less frequent DnaB6(blue) binding is detected. Under these conditions, the binding frequency of DnaB6(blue) at DnaB6(red)–DNA sites, $0.07 \pm 0.02 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ($n = 43$) is not significantly different from that observed with DnaB6(blue) only (above) or with only SSB and DnaB6(blue) ($0.12 \pm 0.04 \text{ min}^{-1}$, $n = 36$) (Figure 5D). Part of the DnaB6(blue) binding dynamics can be recovered proportionally by the presence of a CLC that includes either one ($\tau_1 \gamma_{H9270}$) or two $\tau$ subunits ($\tau_2 \gamma_{H9270}$; $0.41 \pm 0.06 \text{ min}^{-1}$, $n = 26$) (Figure 5D). Taken together, these results implicate the $\tau$ subunit of Pol III holoenzyme as the factor responsible for recruiting extra DnaB helicases to the replisome. DnaB has a known affinity for $\tau$ (16,50), yet it was always believed that this interaction was reserved for maintaining the physical connection between the Pol III HE and the replisomal helicase.

DISCUSSION

We report here the use of single-molecule fluorescence imaging to visualise single molecules of the DnaB helicase and study its dynamics. We used a collection of functional assays to examine the stability of the helicase during the discrete stages of helicase loading and DNA replication.

Firstly, our quantification of labelled DnaB stoichiometry showed that during loading, multiple DnaB molecules can be deposited onto the exposed single-stranded region of our DNA template. In contrast, quantitative studies of replication initiation identified that each strand of the *E. coli* origin of replication, *oriC* is bound by a single DnaBC complex (63,64). Our loading method, although effective for our assay, does not reproduce the physiological conditions of initiation at *oriC*. We used a pre-made fork template with an exposed 5′ end in contrast to the topologically closed DNA bubble that occurs at *oriC*. We also do not include the DnaA initiator protein, which normally recruits DnaB to the origin through interactions with both DnaB and DnaC (64–69). Our results demonstrate that multiple helicases will associate with ssDNA if there is space available. Therefore,
we know DnaBC is capable of engaging ssDNA by itself, but special mechanisms are in play at oriC involving DnaA to load two and only two helicases onto opposite strands. We find that, once loaded, DnaB remains stably associated with the forked ssDNA for ~30 min. This lifetime of DnaB during loading is comparable to other observations of DnaB being bound to ssDNA for times between 5 and 30 min (22–26). Our results are further evidence that once DnaB associates with DNA, it forms a highly stable nucleoprotein complex. The DnaB helicase is also expected to be stably integrated as part of the active replisome. Other studies have inferred the stability of replisomal DnaB based on in vivo lifetime measurements (22), and the stability of the whole replisome during normal DNA replication (70) or once challenged (23–26). It is nevertheless possible that hidden amongst these indirect measurements of stability, DnaB has the capacity to exchange during replication. There are an increasing number of studies demonstrating that, at cellular protein concentrations, the bacterial replisome does not have a static composition, but rather exchanges its components frequently (22,27–32,71). Therefore, we designed three single-molecule replication assays to directly detect DnaB exchange. Each of these showed the absence of exchange on the minutes timescale—instead the replisomal helicase was maintained for the entirety of replication. This result implicates DnaB as the stability factor of the replisome on which other components can dynamically interchange, and agrees with the regulatory mechanism suggested by Monachino et al. (50). Our direct observations of DnaB stability indicates its function as the processivity factor of the replisome. The replisomal helicase not only forms the stable anchor on which exchange occurs, but also acts as the central platform for the coordination of the main events of replication.

Despite the lack of DnaB exchange in the replisome, we do detect recurrent dynamics in the DnaB signals. These dynamics signify the frequent and transient association of extra helicases with the replication fork. From our chase experiments, we demonstrate that these extra helicases are indeed not stably incorporated, and do not interfere with the replisomal DnaB. Two other single-molecule studies of replisome stoichiometries in live cells find populations centred about one or two helicases (20,21). Both studies explain the two-helicase population as the result of two replisomes bidirectionally replicating the chromosome contained within a single diffraction-limited spot. The width of the stoichiometry distributions in both studies, however, could hide populations in which up to three or four hexamers are bound momentarily within these diffraction-limited spots. These observations are consistent with our finding of extra DnaB transiently interacting with the replisome.

It is unclear if additional helicases play a functional role in the replisome. Our observation of successful replication with and without extra helicases suggests that these DnaB molecules do not contribute to unwinding of dsDNA. Furthermore, as we have demonstrated the high degree of stability of the helicase, the benefit of having additional DnaB in the replisome is not readily apparent. One possible implication relates to cellular DNA lesions (60), where re-loading of DnaB after lesion-induced stalling could be helped by readily available extra helicases. Through quantification of the frequency of DnaB associating with stationary replisomes, we determined that additional helicases interact with the replisome through the τ subunit(s) of the clamp-loader complex. With increasing number of τ subunits in the CLC, we see a proportionate increase in the binding frequencies of additional helicases. This dependency shows that the local concentration of τ subunits dictates the access of free helicases from solution. The mode of this interaction is likely the same as the previously identified weak interaction of domain IV of τ and DnaB (50). It remains difficult to pinpoint the exact number of replisomal helicase connections and if such factors are static or dynamic throughout the Okazaki fragment cycling of the replisome.

In conclusion, we applied single-molecule imaging tools to an in vitro-reconstituted E. coli replication reaction to demonstrate a high stability of integration of the replisome DnaB helicase at replication forks. We argue that DnaB is the stable anchor within the replisome that plays a critical role in replisome processivity and thus integrity. Having established a fluorescence-based assay for visualisation of DnaB at the single-molecule level, future experimentation should focus on the dynamics of replication initiation as well as alternative pathways sampling by DnaB and the CLC during the cycling of Okazaki fragments. Such studies would help us understand how the DnaB helicase, and by extension the whole replisome, coordinates with other proteins to respond to challenges to replication integrity.
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