Effect of Bee Pollination and Attractants on Quantitative and Qualitative Parameters of Onion (Allium cepa) Seed Yield and Quality
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Abstract

Nearly 75% of the world’s flowering plants are dependent on insects for pollination, with honeybees being well known for their importance for several crops. The Experiment was conducted to know the effect of pollination involving Apis cerana as major component in the study concentrated on onion crop growth. The open pollinated plot sprayed with cacambe (10%) was found significantly superior by recording highest number of seeds/umbel (930.44 seeds/umbel) which resulted in 65.92 and 126.40 per cent increase over open pollination without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively. The same treatment found to get the superior test weight (4.19 g/1000 seeds), higher net plot (4 m x 4 m) yield of 1.42 kg/plot. In case of qualitative parameters also the cacambe (10%) showed the significant result over the other treatments viz., maximum root length of 4.39 cm and maximum shoot length of 9.92 cm with an increase of 26.09 and 39.60 per cent over pollinated without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively. The next best treatments was jaggery (10%) (9.86 cm) and Bee-Q (1.25%) (9.79 cm).

Introduction

Crop pollination is the most important economic outcome of honeybee activities. A well planned managed bee pollination ensures higher yield levels with good quality. Pollination is closely associated with fruit and seed set, yield and seed quality. Nowadays intensive agriculture plays major role in declining native pollinators through the
modification and elimination of pollinator habitats and non judicious use use of agricultural chemicals (pesticides, herbicides and fertilizers) Kearns _et al._, 1998 (1). Intensive cultivation of land will destroy many natural food sources and nesting sites of wild pollinating insects. Free (1993) (2).

Onion (_Allium cepa_ L.), cross pollination is common and self pollination can not occur because of protandry and sticky pollen. Large number of insects including the honey bee species like _Apis dorsata, A. cerana indica, A. florea, Trigona irridipennis_, and non Apidae species like solitary bees, flies, butterflies and beetles visit onion flowers both for pollen and nectar. Thirty four insect species of different orders and families were visited the coriander flowers and apoidea was prominent group among all flower visitors. Among these, honey bees play major role in cross pollination of onion crop. Singh and Dharamwal (1970)(3) noted the increase of 21.80 per cent in yield and better seed germination with insect pollination of onion crop. Further, they reported that the open pollinated onion crop showed 72.00 to 79.00 per cent increase in seed set compared to the caged or bagged plants. There was 12 to 300 times more increase in seed set due to insect pollination. Kumar _et al._ (1989) (4) recorded 90.47 % seed set and 77.8 % germination in bee pollinated onion crop.

Onion crop is being protandrous flowering vegetable crop requires cross pollination and for that it is necessary to increase the bees visits. Any material to increase the honey bee visits to specific crops would be of great practical value to harvest the benefits of cross pollination. Bee pollination not only increases the yield of various vegetables but also improves its quality. Honey bees are efficient and primary pollinators of cross-pollinated crops like onion. Perusal of literature shows that limited information is available on effect of bee pollination on quality of onion seed and hence the present investigation was undertaken.

**Materials and Methods**

The effect of managed honeybee pollination on onion seed yield and quality was investigated and Systematic observations were recorded at farmers fields of Benakanakonda village near by Krishi Vigyana Kendra (KVK), Hanumanamatti, Haveri District (14° 17', 15° 01”, 75° 35” to 75° 50’, 750 m msl) during 2010-2011. The onion, Arka Kalyan variety was used for study in one acre. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with six treatments, each replicated thrice. The _A. cerana_ bee box was placed with cage (4 m x 4 m) made out of nylon mesh (1 mm size) (T1) and maintained no pollinators inside the cage as the control plot (T2).

**Treatment Details**

T1 Onion crop caged with one bee box
T2 Onion crop caged without bees and other pollinators (control)
T3 Open pollination with cacambe (10%)
T4 Open pollination with Bee-Q (1.25%)
T5 Open pollination with jaggery solution (10%)
T6 Open pollination (without any treatment)

In T1 and T2, bees were caged before the start of flowering during night to avoid natural pollinators. Later, all other treatments (T3 to T6) were imposed at 5 per cent flowering of the crop. Spraying was done two times at 15 days intervals. Bee box with back and front entrance was kept in boundary of cage to facilitate the bees to move in and out either inside or outside the cage. The cage was removed after one month flowering was completed. In order to study the role of pollinators particularly bees in increasing the
yields and quality of onion seeds, the following quantitative and qualitative parameters were recorded for each treatment (5 m x 5 m). The quantitative parameters viz., number of seeds per head, thousand seed weight (test weight) and net plot yield per ha and qualitative parameters like germination percentage, root length and shoot length were recorded. The data pertaining to influence of bee attractants and qualitative and quantitative parameters were subjected to Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT). (Methodology used as followed by Kalmath, 2002)(3).

Results and Discussion

Number of seeds per umbel

The open pollinated plot sprayed with cacambe (10%) of water was found significantly superior by recording highest number of seeds/umbel (930.44 seeds/umbel) which resulted in 65.92 and 126.40 per cent increase over open pollination without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively. Further, open pollination sprayed with jaggery (10%) (913.67 seeds/umbel) which intern recorded 62.93 and 122.31 per cent increases over open pollination without spray and caged plot without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively. The next best treatment was Bee-Q (1.25%) (728.99 seeds/umbel), cage with bee box (643.53 seeds/umbel). This was followed by open pollination 560.78 (seeds/umbel) and cage without bees (410.98 seeds/umbel) (Table-1). The present findings clearly shows that, application of attractant having positive influence in increasing the number of seeds/umbel which was ultimately due to increased visitation of bees to the umbel resulted in the increased and most efficient cross pollination which resulted in improved quality seed setting.

These findings are in conformity with the results of Sattigi et al. 2001a (5), Guruprasad (2001)(6) they recorded the highest number of seeds/umble in the open pollinated niger crop sprayed with different attractants. Similarly Viraktamath and Patil (1999)(7) and Patil et al.(2000)(8) also recorded higher number of seeds when crop was sprayed with Bee-Q and Bee-here on sesamum. Choudhary and Kumar (1998)(9) also recorded higher percentage of seeds per head (12.90%) in open pollinated niger crop compared to control.

Thousand seed weight (test weight)

The open pollinated crop which received cacambe (10%) spray produced significantly and statistically superior test weight (4.19 g/1000 seeds) with an increase of 90.45 and 98.58 per cent over open pollinated without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively. The treatment with jaggery (10%) (4.10 g/1000 seeds) and Bee-Q (1.25%) (3.85 g/1000 seeds) were equally effectively in recording test weight with an increase of 86.36, 75.00 and 94.31, 82.46 per cent over open pollination without spray and plot caged without bees, respectively. The cage with bee box plot which received was the next best treatment (3.33 g/1000 seeds) followed by open pollination and cage without bees recorded 2.20 and 2.11 g per 1000 seeds, respectively (Table-2). The present results are in line with the reports of Sattigi et al. (2001b)(14) and Guruprasad (2001)(6) on niger, Viraktamath and Patil (1999)(7) on sesamum

Net plot yield

The open pollinated crop was sprayed with cacambe (10%) recorded significantly higher net plot (4 m x 4 m) yield of 1.42 kg/plot which accounted for increase to the tune of 37.86 and 125.40 per cent over open pollinated plot without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively. Same time it was
on par with open pollinated crop sprayed with jaggery solution (10%) (1.41 kg/plot), followed by Bee-Q (1.25%) (1.37 kg/plot) and cage with bee box (1.15 kg/plot). The least net plot yield was received in open pollination and cage without bees (1.03 kg/plot) and (0.63 kg/plot), respectively (Table-2).

The converted yield per hectare based on net plot yield followed exactly the same trend in case of net plot yield. Present findings are in agreement with Kumar et al. (1989)(4), Mohan Rao and Suryanarayana (1989)(11), Choudhary and Kumar (1998)(9) and Kulkarni and Dhanorkar (1989)(10), who reported the increased yield in niger due to bee pollination. The present results are in close agreement with the finding of Sattigi et al. (2001b) and Guruprasad (2001)(5&6) who reported significantly higher seed yield in niger due to application of Bee-Q @ (1.25%). Similar results of increased seed yield have been reported in sesamum by Viraktamath and Patil (1999)(7).

**Influence of bee pollination on qualitative parameters of onion seeds**

**Germination percentage**

Significantly higher per cent germination (92.56%) was recorded in open pollinated treatment sprayed with cacambe (10%) as against 79.34 and 71.08 per cent in the open pollinated without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively, which accounted for 16.67 and 30.22 per cent increase over above two treatments. However, cacambe (10%) was on par in recording per cent germination with open pollinated plot sprayed with jaggery (10%) (89.26%) and Bee-Q (1.25%) (87.60%). The cage with bee box recorded 82.64 per cent germination which was the next best treatment with an increase of 4.16 and 16.27 per cent over open pollinated crop without any spray and caged plot without bees, respectively. The per cent germination was minimum with 71.08 in the crop caged without bees which proved significantly inferior to all other treatments (Table.3).

These findings are in agreement with the results of Kumar et al. (1989)(4) who reported highest per cent germination in onion. Patil (1999)(12) also recorded the highest per cent of germination in sesameum crop sprayed with higher dose of Bee-here (3 and 4 ml) and Bee-Q (1.25 gm/lit). Guruprasad (2001) (6) recorded the highest per cent germination in Niger. In contrast, Sattigi et al (2001b) (5) concluded that bee pollination did not increase the germination per cent in niger. This may due to the changes in the crop phenology and flowering patterns.

Increased germination percentage was obviously attributed to the impact of increased bee visitation on onion crop treated with different attractants both at 5 and 50 per cent flowering. These findings are in line with the results of Kumar et al (1989) (4) who reported the highest per cent germination in onion. Patil (1999)(12) also recorded the highest per cent of germination in sesameum crop sprayed with higher dose of Bee-here (3 and 4 ml) and Bee-Q (1.25 gm/lit). the present findings also in conformity with the results of Guruprasad (2001)(6). Sattigi et al (2001b)(5) reported that bee pollination did not increase the germination per cent in niger. This may due to the changes in the crop phenology and flowering patterns.

**Root Length**

Spraying cacambe (10%) to open pollinated crop was found significantly superior as it recorded maximum root length of 4.39 cm which resulted in 33.17 and 44.15 per cent increase over open pollinated without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively.
Table 1. Influence of bee pollination on quantitative parameters of onion seeds

| Treatments                                  | Total no. Seeds / umbel | % increase over control | No. of chaffy seeds / umbel | % decrease over control | No. of filled seeds | % increase over control |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Cage with bee box (A. cerana)               | 643.53                  | 14.76                   | 57.88                       | 15.71                   | 650.99              | 18.68                   |
| Cage without bees (Control)                 | 410.98                  | -                       | 99.54                       | -                       | 350.10              | -                       |
| Cacambe (10%)                               | 930.44                  | 65.92                   | 126.40                      | 28.75                   | 754.88              | 37.62                   |
| Bee-Q (1.25%)                               | 728.99                  | 30.00                   | 77.38                       | 12.80                   | 592.55              | 80.95                   |
| Jaggery solution (10%)                      | 913.67                  | 62.93                   | 122.31                      | 38.08                   | 965.87              | 76.09                   |
| Open pollination (OP)                       | 560.78                  | 36.45                   | 68.67                       | 31.01                   | 548.51              | 56.67                   |
| **SEM ±**                                   | **5.19**                | **1.20**                | **8.04**                    |                         |                     |                         |

Means followed by the same letter in the column do not differ significantly by DMRT (p=0.05)

Table 2. Influence of bee pollination on quantitative parameters of onion seeds

| Treatments                                  | 1000 seed weight (g) | % increase over control | Net plot yield (kg) | % increase over control | Converted yield (kg/ha) | % increase over control |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Cage with bee box (A. cerana)               | 3.33                 | 51.36                   | 57.82               | 11.65                   | 721.56                 | 12.26                   |
| Cage without bees (Control)                 | 2.11                 | -                       | -                   | -                       | -                       | -                       |
| Cacambe (10%)                               | 4.19                 | 90.45                   | 98.58               | 37.86                   | 854.28                 | 37.74                   |
| Bee-Q (1.25%)                               | 3.85                 | 75.00                   | 82.46               | 117.46                  | 854.96                 | 33.02                   |
| Jaggery solution (10%)                      | 4.10                 | 86.36                   | 94.31               | 123.81                  | 879.22                 | 36.79                   |
| Open Pollination (OP)                       | 2.20                 | -                       | 4.27                | 63.49                   | 642.74                 | 63.08                   |
| **SEM ±**                                   | **0.03**             | **0.01**                | **0.05**            |                         | **5.62**               |                         |
| **CD @0.05**                                | **0.08**             | **0.01**                | **16.93**           |                         |                         |                         |

Means followed by the same letter in the column do not differ significantly by DMRT (p=0.05)
Table 3 Influence of bee pollination on qualitative parameters of onion seeds

| Treatments                      | Germination (%) | % increase over control | Seedling vigour                      |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                                |                 | OP | caged | Root length (cm) | OP | caged | Shoot length (cm) | OP | caged |
| Cage with bee box (A. cerana)  | 82.64<sup>c</sup> | 4.16 | 16.27 | 3.50<sup>c</sup> | 7.08 | 15.91 | 9.09<sup>c</sup> | 15.57 | 27.95 |
| Cage without bees (Control)   | 71.08<sup>f</sup> | -   | -     | 3.00<sup>d</sup> | -   | -     | 7.11<sup>cd</sup> | -   | -     |
| Cacambe (10%)                  | 92.56<sup>a</sup> | 16.66 | 30.22 | 4.39<sup>a</sup> | 33.17 | 44.15 | 9.92<sup>a</sup> | 26.09 | 39.60 |
| Bee-Q (1.25%)                  | 87.60<sup>ab</sup> | 10.41 | 23.25 | 4.32<sup>ab</sup> | 31.10 | 41.91 | 9.79<sup>abc</sup> | 24.40 | 37.73 |
| Jaggery solution (10%)         | 89.26<sup>b</sup> | 12.50 | 25.58 | 4.30<sup>ab</sup> | 32.01 | 42.90 | 9.86<sup>ab</sup> | 25.25 | 38.66 |
| Open Pollination (OP)          | 79.34<sup>c</sup> | -   | 11.62 | 3.23<sup>b</sup> | -   | 8.25 | 7.87<sup>d</sup> | -   | 10.71 |
| SEm±                           | 3.24            | -   | -     | 0.01           | -   | -     | 1.04           | -   | -     |
| CD @ 0.05                      | 9.74            |     |       | 0.03           |     |       | 3.14           |     |       |

Means followed by the same letter in the column do not differ significantly by DMRT (p=0.05)
However, this treatment was on par with open pollinated treatment with Bee-Q (1.25%) (4.32 cm) and jaggery solution (10%) (4.30 cm) in recording the root length. The next best treatment was the cage with bee box (A. cerana) (3.50 cm) which recorded increased root length of 7.08 and 15.91 per cent over open pollinated crop without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively. This was followed by the treatment of open pollinated crop (3.23 cm) and caged without bees (3.00 cm) (Table 3).

The present study endorse the findings of Sattigi et al. (2001b) and Guruprasad (2001) who reported that bee pollination influenced the root length to an extent of 8.34 to 8.69 cm in niger. Patil et al. (2000) reported that root length was influenced by spraying Bee-Q (12.5 and 15 g/l) in sesame.

**Shoot Length**

Spraying with cacambe (10%) to open pollinated crop was found significantly superior over other treatments as it recorded maximum shoot length of 9.92 cm with an increase of 26.09 and 39.60 per cent over pollinated without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively. The next best treatments were jaggery (10%) (9.86 cm) and Bee-Q (1.25%) (9.79 cm). Next to follow was cage with bee box (9.09 cm) which resulted in an increase of 15.57 and 27.95 per cent over open pollinated without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively than open pollination (7.87 cm) and cage without bees (7.11 cm) (Table 3).

The present results confirmed the findings of earlier reports on sesame (Patil, 1999 and Patil et al., 2000) (12,8). Guruprasad (2001)(6) reported that spraying of cinnamon leaf extract, Bee-Q and fruit boost increased the shoot length ranging from 8.41 cm and 8.79 cm on niger. The bee pollination not only increased the yield but also improved the quality of the crop. Such improvements have been reported by some workers. Increase in seedling vigour of safflower due to bee pollination was reported by Lingapppa et al. (1999)(14). Similarly, enhanced in seed weight, diameter and TSS in watermelon were reported by Lingappa et al. (1999)(13) and Sattigi et al. (2001 a and b)(5&14).

The open pollinated plot sprayed with cacambe (10%) of water was found significantly superior by recording highest number of seeds/umbel (930.44 seeds/umbel) which resulted in 65.92 and 126.40 per cent increase over open pollination without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively. Further, open pollination sprayed with jaggery (10%) of water (913.67 seeds/umbel) which intern recorded 62.93 and 122.31 per cent increases over open pollination without spray and caged plot without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively. The open pollinated crop sprayed with cacambe (10%) recorded significantly higher net plot (4 m x 4 m) yield of 1.42 kg/plot which accounted for increase to the tune of 37.86 and 125.40 per cent over open pollinated plot without spray and caged plot without bees, respectively. The open pollinated plot sprayed with cacambe (10%) recorded significantly higher per cent germination (92.56%), maximum root length of 4.39 cm, maximum shoot length of 9.92 cm. However, this treatment was on par with open pollination with Bee-Q (1.25%) (4.32 cm) and Jaggery solution (10%) (4.30 cm) in recording the root length. The next best treatments was jaggery (10%) (9.86 cm) and Bee-Q (1.25%) (9.79 cm), respectively. Use of attractants to increase the honey bee visits to specific crops would be of great practical value to harvest the benefits of cross pollination. Bee pollination not only increases the yield of various vegetables but also improves its quality.
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