**Sdhd and Sdhd/H19 Knockout Mice Do Not Develop Paraganglioma or Pheochromocytoma**
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) is a component of both the tricarboxylic acid cycle and the electron transport chain. Mutations of SDH, the first protein of intermediary metabolism shown to be involved in tumorigenesis, lead to the human tumors paraganglioma (PGL) and pheochromocytoma (PC). SDHΔD is remarkable in showing an ‘imprinted’ tumor suppressor phenotype. Mutations of SDHD show a very high penetrance in man and we postulated that knockout of Sdhd would lead to the development of PGL/PC, probably in aged mice.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** We generated a conventional knockout of Sdhd in the mouse, removing the entire third exon. We also crossed this mouse with a knockout of H19, a postulated imprinted modifier gene of Sdhd tumorigenesis, to evaluate if loss of these genes together would lead to the initiation or enhancement of tumor development. Homozygous knockout of Sdhd results in embryonic lethality. No paraganglioma or other tumor development was seen in Sdhd KO mice followed for their entire lifespan, in sharp contrast to the highly penetrant phenotype in humans. Heterozygous Sdhd KO mice did not show hyperplasia of paraganglioma-related tissues such as the carotid body or the adrenal medulla, or any genotype-related pathology, with similar body and organ weights to wildtype mice. A cohort of Sdhd/H19 KO mice developed several cases of profound cardiac hypertrophy, but showed no evidence of PGL/PC.

**Conclusions:** Knockout of Sdhd in the mouse does not result in a disease phenotype. H19 may not be an initiator of PGL/PC tumorigenesis.
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**Introduction**

Succinate dehydrogenase, subunit D (SDHD) is one of four proteins that together make up the mitochondrial tricarboxylic acid cycle enzyme, succinate dehydrogenase (SDH). In addition SDH plays an important role as the complex II component of the electron transport chain, ultimately leading to the generation of ATP by oxidative phosphorylation. Combining these roles places SDH at the center of two essential energy producing processes of the cell.

The identification of SDHD (chromosome 11q23) as a tumor suppressor gene revealed, for the first time, the involvement of both a mitochondrial protein and a protein of intermediary metabolism in tumorigenesis [1]. Mutations of SDHD lead to head and neck paragangliomas (HN-PGL), mainly benign tumors of the carotid body and other parasympathetically innervated paraganglia, but may also lead to tumors of the adrenal medulla (pheochromocytoma) and the sympathetically innervated paraganglia (extra-adrenal paraganglioma), some developing into aggressive metastatic cancers. Subsequently, two other subunits of SDH, SDHC (chromosome 1q21) [2], and SDHB (chromosome 1p36) [3] were implicated in paragangliomas.

A striking aspect of the natural history of SDHD-linked paraganglioma is the parent-of-origin inheritance of tumor susceptibility [4]. In contrast to paraganglioma in SDHB and SDHC-linked families, both located on chromosome 1, in SDHD-linked families and in the recently described SDH5 (SDHAF2) family [5], only a mutation inherited via the paternal line results in tumorigenesis. This strongly suggests the involvement of an imprinted locus in paragangliomas. No evidence exists to support the idea that these genes, both on chromosome 11, show monoallelic expression [1,6]. The presence of the main cluster of imprinted human genes on the same chromosome, at 11p15.5, suggests a maternally expressed, imprinted gene as a compelling candidate for a modifier of tumor development. Loss of this gene, in addition to the maternal SDHD allele, may lead to the initiation of tumorigenesis. Loss of (maternal) chromosome 11 has been repeatedly demonstrated [6–8], counterintuitive if the maternal SDHD allele is imprinted and thus non-functional. This mecha-
nism will result in a tumor that retains only the mutated paternal SDHD allele and entirely lacks active copies of all maternally expressed imprinted genes. Several genes on chromosome 11 are known to be exclusively maternally expressed including CDKN1C, KCNQ1, KCNQ1OT1, SEC22A18, PHLD1A, OSBPL5, and H19. A well-described gene in the chromosome 11p15.5 region is H19, which has both a genetic and functional interaction with the paternally expressed insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2) gene. H19 knockout mice are viable and display an overgrowth phenotype [9] and H19 has recently been shown to be a tumor modifier [10].

Here we report an Sdhd knockout mouse, lacking the entire third exon of Sdhd, which codes for the bulk of the active protein. This knockout mouse has been studied as a putative model for paraganglioma or pheochromocytoma. Tumor cohorts on two distinct inbred backgrounds were followed for their full life span, and analyzed in relation to Sdhd-related tumorigenesis, general pathology, and subtle hyperplasia of paraganglioma associated tissue.

To test the hypothesis that H19 is the imprinted modifier gene, we crossed an existing H19 knockout mouse line, D13, entirely lacking the H19 gene and 10kb of the 5' flanking region [9], with Sdhd knockout mice to assess effects on tumorigenesis. These mice were followed in an independent cohort for up to 29 months and monitored for signs of tumorigenesis.

**Results**

**Generation of Sdhd Knockout Mice**

An Sdhd targeting construct was designed in which the major coding exon of Sdhd, exon 3, was deleted and replaced with the betaGeo selection-reporter cassette (Figure 1A). The DY380 recombination competent E. coli strain was used in construct preparation, allowing direct recombination of sub-cloned fragments to generate the final targeting construct. Chimeric founder mice were crossed to wildtype female 129P2/Ola and C57BL/6J mice and germline transmission confirmed by long-range PCR and RT-PCR (Figure 1, B and C). The origin of wildtype Sdhd transcripts can be assessed by exon 3 to exon 4 RT-PCR and PvuII restriction analysis. Digestion with PvuII discriminates

---

**Figure 1. Generation and analysis of Sdhd-deficient mice.** A. Schematic diagram of the strategy used to target the mouse Sdhd locus. The structure of the endogenous murine Sdhd gene (wildtype allele -wt) is shown in the middle with the targeting vector above and the disrupted allele below. Genomic DNA is represented by narrow horizontal lines with exons (shaded boxes), orientation of transcription (arrows), translation initiation and stop codons indicated; Genomic sequences flanking the betaGeo selection-reporter cassette (open box) in the targeting vector are represented by broad lines. Primers for genotyping (small arrows) and RT-PCR (arrowheads) are indicated below and above their target sequences, respectively. The dumbbells indicate the location of Southern blot probes used. B. Long-range PCR analysis of Sdhd gene targeting. The 7.1 kb normal allele amplified by primers LR-F and LR-R1 is present in the wildtype (lane 1) and heterozygous Sdhd knockout mouse (lane 3), whereas the 8.1 kb betaGeo targeted Sdhd allele amplified by primers LR-F and LR-R2 is present in the heterozygous Sdhd knockout mouse (lane 4) and not in the wildtype (lane 2). M = 10kb ladder marker. C. RT-PCR analysis of targeted gene expression. The 7.1 kb normal allele amplified by primers LR-F and LR-R1 is present in the wildtype (lane 1) and heterozygous Sdhd knockout mouse (lane 3), whereas the 8.1 kb betaGeo targeted Sdhd allele amplified by primers LR-F and LR-R2 is present in the heterozygous Sdhd knockout mouse (lane 4) and not in the wildtype (lane 2). M = 100bp marker. D. Routine Sdhd genotyping of pups. M = marker, lanes 1 & 3; wt mice, primers WT-F & WT-R. Lanes 2 & 4; heterozygote mice, primers WT-F & betaGeo-R.

---

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007987.g001
129P2/Ola and C57BL/6J alleles. The absence of a 129P2/Ola wildtype allele in the F1 offspring of the 129P2/Ola Sdhd+/- x C57BL/6J/wt cross confirms correct targeting of the 129P2/Ola Sdhd locus at the RNA level (Figure 1, C). Routine genotyping was carried out using PCR analysis (Figure 1, D). Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of Sdhd expression in several tissues of Sdhd+/- mice showed that expression is reduced by approximately 50% (Figure 2).

Heterozygous and Homozygous Gene Knockout of Sdhd

The loss of one allele and thus transcriptional potential of a gene with such a central role in intermediary metabolism as Sdhd may be significantly deleterious. Approximately equal numbers of wildtype and heterozygous Sdhd mice were obtained, indicating that these mice are fully viable (Table 1). Evaluation of the gross body weights of Sdhd+/- relative to wildtype littermates revealed no significant differences, either in males, females or in mice at a range of ages. Specific tissues, especially those normally showing high levels of SDH expression, such as heart or kidney, may show structural abnormalities or exhibit specific limitations in growth. Examination of these tissues (4 wt vs 4 healthy Sdhd+/- mice) revealed no differences. Complete lack of SDH/Complex II activity is unlikely to be compatible with life; therefore viability of homozygotes was examined. The expected Mendelian genotype ratios of 1:2:1 were not seen (Table 1). The absence of Sdhd+/- homozygotes among live offspring indicates that complete loss of Sdhd results in embryonic lethality.

Embryos isolated at 10.5 days onwards (n = 27) were developmentally normal and the genotype was found to be heterozygous. We conclude that lethality occurs at an earlier stage, in concordance with the findings of Piruat et al [11].

Deletion of Sdhd Does Not Result in Tumorigenesis

SDHD is a potent human tumor suppressor gene, heterozygous missense and nonsense mutations together with loss of heterozygosity (LOH), resulting in tumorigenesis [1]. A cohort was established to follow tumor development; including 93 mice with a homogenous 129P2/Ola background (62 Sdhd+/- mice and 31 wildtype), and a group of mice from a cross to C57BL/6J (n = 25).

Because paraganglioma has a relatively late onset of >40 yrs in humans, these cohorts were followed for the entire lifespan of the mice for signs of tumorigenesis and general pathology. No gross indications of paraganglioma or pheochromocytoma were noted in any mice, at any age. A single case of approximately five-fold unilateral carotid body hyperplasia was noted in one Sdhd+/- mouse at 26 months. The mean age at death of all mice was 19 months (range 4-26 months). Survival curves revealed no difference in survival between Sdhd+/- and wt mice (Figure 3). Differentiated survival curves for males and females also showed no differences based on genotype. Examination of gross tissues and histological sections from moribund mice revealed a range of pathologies, but none of the pathology noted occurred significantly

| Table 1. Sex and genotype ratios of Sdhd KO mice. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| 129P2/Ola F1+                                |
| Male  | Female | +/+  | +/- |
| Ratio | 1.3:1.0| 0.9:1.0 |
| n = 106| 82 | 85 | 93 |
| C57BL/6J F1                                |
| Male  | Female | +/+  | +/- |
| Ratio | 1.1:1.0| 1.1:1.0 |
| n = 139| 121 | 138 | 122 |
| 129P2/Ola +/- x +/-                     |
| +/-  | +/-  | +/-  |
| Ratio | 1.0:2:0:0:0 |
| n = 52 | 101 | 0 |

*not all mice could not genotyped.
Sex and genotype ratios of the offspring of +/- mice backcrossed with wildtype mice of the 129P2/Ola and C57BL/6J genetic backgrounds are normal. Absence of live homozygous offspring from the crossing of heterozygous mice (129P2/Ola +/- x +/-) indicates that homozygous loss of Sdhd is not compatible with life.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007987.t001

Figure 2. Sdhd expression in heart and kidney of one Sdhd+/- and two Sdhd+/- mice. Quantitative RT-PCR results were normalized to wildtype levels and expressed in arbitrary units. Error bars indicate 95% C.I. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007987.g002

Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival data for the Sdhd+/- and Sdhd+/- littermate cohort. Survival is shown as time to sacrifice (n = 93), determined by moribund state. The difference in survival is not significant (P = ns). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007987.g003
more frequently in the Sdhd+/− mice. We conclude that no genotype-specific pathology occurred in this cohort.

**No Significant Quantitative Change in the Carotid Body or Adrenal Medulla**

Although no gross changes in the organs associated with paraganglioma (carotid body) or pheochromocytoma (adrenal medulla) were noted, the small size of these organs indicates a quantitative appraisal. Quantitative histological analysis of 39 carotid bodies (1682 serial HE sections) showed a 12% increase in size of the carotid body in Sdhd+/− mice relative to Sdhd+/+, though due to wide variation in the size of the carotid body, this difference was not significant (P = 0.19) (Figure 4). The mouse displaying unilateral hyperplasia described above was excluded from the analysis. Representative sections of the adrenal medulla were also quantified in a similar manner and showed a similar but non-significant increase in total surface area.

Although the total surface area of carotid bodies of Sdhd+/− mice showed no significant increase in size, a change in the relative frequency of the cell types that constitute the carotid body would be significant in the context of neoplasia. To examine this possibility we quantified total surface area staining for chief cells using the specific marker, tyrosine hydroxylase (Figure 5). This analysis gave the same results as those for the HE staining described above, wt/het ratio 1:1.12 (P = 0.45), showing a trend to an increase in carotid body size in the heterozygotes but providing no statistical evidence for chief cell hyperplasia.

**H19 as a Modifier of Sdhd**

SDHD-linked paragangliomas in man show a striking ‘imprinted’ or parent-of-origin inheritance [4] and we have proposed a model including a maternally-expressed imprinted gene on chromosome 11 in SDHD tumorigenesis [6]. Because the orthologous imprinted locus in the mouse is located on chromosome 7 and Sdhd on chromosome 9, this mechanism is unlikely in the mouse. Therefore we crossed a knockout of a candidate imprinted modifier gene, the H19 KO Δ13, to C57BL/6j Sdhd+/+ mice. The resulting cohort consisted of 31 Sdhd+/+, H19+/− mice with the deletion inherited via the maternal line, and a similar numbers of controls, including Sdhd+/+; wt via the paternal line, and Sdhd+; H19+/− via the maternal line. These mice were followed for their full lifespan of up to 29 months, but showed no pathology specific to the Sdhd+/− defect. Several cases of striking cardiac hypertrophy were noted but this was probably related to the genetic background or the defect in H19, also being seen in the H19+/− maternal line on an Sdhd wt background. No changes were noted in the carotid body or the adrenal medulla.

**Discussion**

In man germline mutation of SDHD shows autosomal dominant inheritance with a penetrance of approximately 90% at age 70 [12]. In the Sdhd KO mice described here we saw no development of paraganglioma/ pheochromocytoma at any age in two inde-
ependent cohorts of mice followed for their entire lifespan. This divergence of genotype-phenotype correlation between man and mouse is far from unprecedented, and could be the result of any number of unknown physiological, biochemical or genetic mechanisms. What we do know is that there are clear differences in the chromosomal organization of genetic elements between the two species. While in man chromosome 11 harbours both SDHD (11q23) and the main locus for imprinted genes (11p15.5), these genes are on two separate chromosomes in the mouse (imprinted locus, chromosome 7 and Sdhd, chromosome 9).

The striking parent-of-origin inheritance pattern of SDHD-linked tumors in man strongly suggests the involvement of an imprinted locus in paragangliomas. All evidence indicates that Sdhd itself is not imprinted [1], and we have postulated a role for an imprinted modifier gene on chromosome 11 in SDHD-related paraganglioma [6]. While SDHD and this modifier can be affected by whole chromosome loss in man, in the mouse loss of these genetic elements would require loss of two separate chromosomes, intrinsically less likely and perhaps incompatible with cell viability. The H19-SDHD double knockout included H19 as the postulated SDHD-modifier, but did not lead to the development of paragangliomas even after 29 months. The modifier may not be H19 but another maternally expressed gene on chromosome 11. Equally, because there was no tumor development in these mice, the true role of H19 could not be properly evaluated [10]. While knockouts of other candidate genes exist, they generally result in pre- or perinatal lethality, precluding their use in the model postulated here. Inducible tissue-specific knockouts are not yet available. It is also worth noting that no case of spontaneous pre- or perinatal lethality, precluding their use in the model postulated here. Inducible tissue-specific knockouts are not yet available. It is also worth noting that no case of spontaneous development of paraganglioma or pheochromocytoma has ever been reported in mice, perhaps suggesting an intrinsic resistance to development of tumors of the paraganglia.

Knockout of orthologous human tumor suppressor genes in mice often results in the development of tumors of different tissue origin to that seen in man. Although a number of mouse in our cohorts developed tumors of diverse types, there was no evidence that knockout of Sdhd was related to their genesis.

Sdhd+/− mice showed no notable differences in fertility, body mass, organ mass, or gross or histological morphology compared to wildtype littermates. The loss of one allele of a gene that is so essential to cellular metabolism might be expected to show some phenotypic expression, but can evidently be sufficiently compensated by transcription from the remaining allele. Any morphological changes of Sdhd+/− mice may be confined to a trend to a subtle increase in the size of the carotid body and adrenal medulla. This finding is concordant with a similar finding by Piruat et al [11], and suggests a subtle deficiency in Sdhd levels.

In conclusion, while the Sdhd+/− mouse described here is not a model for paraganglioma or pheochromocytoma, the aim to produce such a model remains valid, and should be further explored.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

All mouse experiments were approved by the ethics committee for Animal Experiments of the University of Leiden and by the Commission Biotechnology in Animals of the Dutch Ministry of Agriculture. Following granting of ethical approval, all procedures were carried out in accordance with institutional policies.

Mice

Wildtype mice were obtained from the Harlan UK, Bicester, England [129P2/OlaHsd] and Charles River Laboratories, France (C57BL/6Jco). H19 Δ13 KO mice [9] on a C57BL/6J background were a generous gift of Shirley Tilghman and John Levorse.

Construction of the Sdhd betaGeo Targeting Vector and Generation of Knockout Mice

A 129/Sv mouse BAC genomic library was screened for Sdhd with primers for exon 4 (F 5’-TTGGACAAGTGGTACCAGCGAT-3’ and R 5’-ATGGCAACCCGTCCTGAGAT-3’), and primers for exon 1 (F 5’-GACGGGTCTATTTTCTC-3’ and R 5’-AGCCTTTAAGAGAACCACCAT-3’). Southern blotting, subcloning, and sequence analysis demonstrated that clone RPCI-21 560-8A contained the full Sdhd sequence. A targeting vector for the Sdhd locus was constructed on the basis of pU-Hachi, containing a SA – IRES - betaGeo cassette [13], (a generous gift of Dr. Kimi Araki). The betaGeo cassette includes a neomycin resistance gene and the E. coli betagalactosidase (lacZ) gene. The main coding exon of Sdhd, exon 3, was replaced by the betaGeo-IRES-cassette. As the 3’ homologous region, a 6.0-kb fragment EcoRI/BsuZ17I fragment was subcloned, and the 5’ homologous region was a 3.1 kb BglII/BamHI fragment containing exon 4 of Sdhd subcloned 3’ of the betaGeo-IRES-cassette. The DY380 recombination competent E. coli strain (a generous gift of Dr. Shyam Sharan) was used in all following construction steps. After introduction of a zeomycin resistance cassette (from pZero) into the 3’ homologous region vector, the subcloned fragments were recombined in DY380 as described by Lee et al [14] and positive clones screened with PCR and Southern blotting.

129/Ola-derived embryonic stem (ES) cells [15] were transfected with the Sdhd-betaGeo targeting vector and screened by Southern blotting. In addition, X-gal staining for betagalactosidase activity gave an indication of correct targeting of the promoterless betaGeo-IRES-cassette to the Sdhd locus in ES cells. Two separately derived ES cell lines were used to generate the chimeric founders.

Chimeric mice were produced by injection of targeted ES cells into 3.5-day-old blastocysts using standard techniques. Germline transmission of KO alleles was analyzed by Southern blotting, long-range PCR and RT-PCR, and mice carrying the Sdhd-betaGeo allele were mated with 129P2/OlaHsd mice. Chimeras generated by ES cell targeting were crossed with 129P2/Ola females to transfer the 129P2/Ola-derived Sdhd-betaGeo construct on a homogenous 129P2/Ola background. Two separate chimeric lines were established. The data presented here are representative of one chimeric line, although both showed a similar phenotype. Mating of Sdhd+/− mice to the C57BL/6J mouse strain and selection for the Sdhd exon 3 deletion generated C57BL/6J-Δ129P2/Ola Sdhd+/− mice. In contrast to all 129 strains, the C57BL/6J Δ129P2/Ola mice carry a PvuII RFLP in exon 4 of Sdhd, which was used for genotyping. Except where indicated, all experiments were performed with Sdhd-betaGeo heterozygous mice and wildtype littermates obtained from matings of male heterozygous animals to wildtype female C57BL/6J or 129P2/OlaHsd mice. The 129P2/Ola cohort included 93 mice, and the 129P2/Ola Sdhd+/− mice were housed in a 12-h light-dark cycle facility with free access to food and water.

Southern Blotting, Long-Range PCR and Genotyping of Mice

Southern blotting was carried out using standard methods with 32P-labeled 5’ and 3’ probes (PCR product of mSDHDex2 primers, 5’-TCCGAAGCCGGTGTGCAGA-3’ and 5’-GGTGCGCT-TGTTGACAGGTGA-3’) and (PCR product of mSDHDex4 primer pair 5’-AGCTTTAAGAGAACCACCAT-3’ and R 5’-ATGGCAACCCGTCCTGAGAT-3’). Southern blotting, subcloning, and sequence analysis demonstrated that clone RPCI-21 560-8A contained the full Sdhd sequence. A targeting vector for the Sdhd locus was constructed on the basis of pU-Hachi, containing a SA – IRES - betaGeo cassette [13], (a generous gift of Dr. Kimi Araki). The betaGeo cassette includes a neomycin resistance gene and the E. coli betagalactosidase (lacZ) gene. The main coding exon of Sdhd, exon 3, was replaced by the betaGeo-IRES-cassette. As the 3’ homologous region, a 6.0-kb fragment EcoRI/BsuZ17I fragment was subcloned, and the 5’ homologous region was a 3.1 kb BglII/BamHI fragment containing exon 4 of Sdhd subcloned 3’ of the betaGeo-IRES-cassette. The DY380 recombination competent E. coli strain (a generous gift of Dr. Shyam Sharan) was used in all following construction steps. After introduction of a zeomycin resistance cassette (from pZero) into the 3’ homologous region vector, the subcloned fragments were recombined in DY380 as described by Lee et al [14] and positive clones screened with PCR and Southern blotting.

129/Ola-derived embryonic stem (ES) cells [15] were transfected with the Sdhd-betaGeo targeting vector and screened by Southern blotting. In addition, X-gal staining for betagalactosidase activity gave an indication of correct targeting of the promoterless betaGeo-IRES-cassette to the Sdhd locus in ES cells. Two separately derived ES cell lines were used to generate the chimeric founders.

Chimeric mice were produced by injection of targeted ES cells into 3.5-day-old blastocysts using standard techniques. Germline transmission of KO alleles was analyzed by Southern blotting, long-range PCR and RT-PCR, and mice carrying the Sdhd-betaGeo allele were mated with C57BL/6J or 129P2/OlaHsd mice. Chimeras generated by ES cell targeting were crossed with 129P2/Ola females to transfer the 129P2/Ola-derived Sdhd-betaGeo construct on a homogenous 129P2/Ola background. Two separate chimeric lines were established. The data presented here are representative of one chimeric line, although both showed a similar phenotype. Mating of Sdhd+/− mice to the C57BL/6J mouse strain and selection for the Sdhd exon 3 deletion generated C57BL/6J-Δ129P2/Ola Sdhd+/− mice. In contrast to all 129 strains, the C57BL/6J Δ129P2/Ola mice carry a PvuII RFLP in exon 4 of Sdhd, which was used for genotyping. Except where indicated, all experiments were performed with Sdhd-betaGeo heterozygous mice and wildtype littermates obtained from matings of male heterozygous animals to wildtype female C57BL/6J or 129P2/OlaHsd mice. The 129P2/Ola cohort included 93 mice, and the 129P2/Ola Sdhd+/− mice were housed in a 12-h light-dark cycle facility with free access to food and water.
products were resolved on a 1.8% agarose gel.

72 min, and 35 cycles of 95°C for 20 sec, 58°C for 20 sec, and 72°C for 20 sec, followed by 5 min at 72°C. Amplification products were resolved on a 1.8% agarose gel.

RT-PCR Analysis and Real-Time PCR

Total cellular RNA was isolated from indicated adult mouse tissues using either RNA-Beet reagent (Tel-Test Inc, Texas, USA), or Trizol (Invitrogen BV, Leek, The Netherlands). 1ug of RNA was transcribed into cDNA with MMLV-RT (Invitrogen BV, Leek, The Netherlands) before being PCR amplified with primers specific for exon 3, or exon 4, of the Sdhβ wildtype allele. PaulaI digestion of the 994bp PCR product results in 603bp and 391bp fragments derived from the C57BL/6 allele and an undigested 1290Ma fragment. The following amplification protocol was used: 95°C for 2 min, and 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 58°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min. Products were loaded onto a 1.2% agarose gel.

For quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR), total RNA was isolated from mouse heart and kidneys and cDNA generated as above. Experiments were performed using qPCR Corekits for SybrGreen or TAQman probes (Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium). Cycle threshold (Ct) and starting quantities (SQ) were determined using the Biorad iCycler software (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA). Ct and SQ values were normalised to the expression levels of housekeeping genes, ActB, ActG, B2m, and Hprt using the geNorm program [16]. Statistical analysis (ANOVA) was carried out using SPSS 10.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Mean Sdhβ expression levels of two Sdhβ+/− mice were compared to the mean levels in two wt littermates. The following primers were used: ActB (F- 5'-TTCTTTTGGAGCTTCTTGGTCG-3', R- 5'-ACGACACGAC GCAGCATATG-3'), and ActG (F- 5'- GCACTTCTCGGCTTCCGCG-3', R- 5'-GTAC GACGACGTG TATG-3'). B2m, (F- 5'-TTCACTCGGC GGTCGTTCCAG-3', R- 5'-ATGGAGGTTTCTTG GA TAGCA-3'), and Hprt (F- 5'-AGTCCAGGGCG TGAT TAGC-3', R- 5'-GAGCAAGCTTC TCGTCTGGTGCG-3').

Pathology and Histochemistry

On sacrifice of mice, a necropsy was performed and the weight and general condition of the animal noted. The condition and weight of organs was noted, and diseased tissue removed, fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. 10-um sections were stained with haematoxylin-eosin according to standard protocols. An investigator and a qualified pathologist examined the sections and a histopathological diagnosis was noted in case of abnormal findings.

For carotid body histochemistry and quantification, carotid bifurcations were removed bilaterally from the wildtype and Sdhβ/betaGeo heterozygous mice, fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. A series of carotid bodies (n = 39) were completely sectioned in 7-um serial sections (n = 40–60 per CB) and stained with haematoxylin-eosin according to standard protocols. Sections were photographed under a 10× objective and the total surface area of the carotid body quantified using Image J software (NIH, USA).

For quantitative immunohistochemistry, bilateral carotid bifurcations were removed from 6 wildtype and 6 Sdhβ/s−/− mice, fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. The entire carotid body in 7-um serial sections was stained with an antibody specific for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) (P40101-0, PelFreez, Arkansas, USA). Slides were incubated with the primary antibody (1:500 dilution, o/n), followed by an anti-rabbit HPO secondary antibody for 30 min. Serial sections were photographed under a 10× objective and series quantified for total surface staining of TH in the carotid body using Image J software. Beta-galactosidase staining was on fresh tissue or frozen sections, fixed for 10 min in a 0.2% glutaraldehyde solution (pH 7.4) containing 5 mM EGTA and 2 mM MgCl2. Beta-galactosidase activity was detected with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl beta-D-galactopronaside (X-gal) under standard conditions, followed optionally by counterstaining with Nuclear Fast Red.
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