Wearable myoelectric interface enables high-dose, home-based training in severely impaired chronic stroke survivors
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Abstract

Background: High-intensity occupational therapy can improve arm function after stroke, but many people lack access to such therapy. Home-based therapies could address this need, but they don’t typically address abnormal muscle co-activation, an important aspect of arm impairment. An earlier study using lab-based, myoelectric computer interface game training enabled chronic stroke survivors to reduce abnormal co-activation and improve arm function. Here, we assess feasibility of doing this training at home using a novel, wearable, myoelectric interface for neurorehabilitation training (MINT) paradigm. Objective: Assess tolerability and feasibility of home-based, high-dose MINT therapy in severely impaired chronic stroke survivors. Methods: Twenty-three participants were instructed to train with the MINT and game for 90 min/day, 36 days over 6 weeks. We assessed feasibility using amount of time trained and game performance. We assessed tolerability (enjoyment and effort) using a customized version of the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory at the conclusion of training. Results: Participants displayed high adherence to near-daily therapy at home (mean of 82 min/day of training; 96% trained at least 60 min/day) and enjoyed the therapy. Training performance improved and co-activation decreased with training. Although a substantial number of participants stopped training, most dropouts were due to reasons unrelated to the training paradigm itself. Interpretation: Home-based therapy with MINT is feasible and tolerable in severely impaired stroke survivors. This affordable, enjoyable, and mobile health paradigm has potential to improve recovery from stroke in a variety of settings. Clinicaltrials.gov: NCT03401762.

Introduction

Stroke remains a leading cause of disability worldwide. A majority of stroke survivors have impaired upper limb function even after conventional rehabilitation, which reduces independence in activities of daily living and quality of life. Animal and human studies have demonstrated that high-dose rehabilitation, even in the chronic period (more than 6 months after stroke), can improve motor function. However, high-intensity therapy is not yet integrated into standard clinical practice, and it is unclear how scalable high-dose, therapist-led therapy will be. Moreover, in the U.S., only 30% of stroke survivors receive therapy after their acute inpatient stay, largely due to socioeconomic factors including cost, transportation, insurance, and availability of rehabilitation services.
The situation is similar in the U.K., with much more limited access in low-to-moderate income countries. Thus, there is a growing interest in developing home-based therapies, either as an alternative or adjunct to conventional therapies, which could enable high-dose therapy to be provided more widely and cost effectively.

Design criteria for any include enjoyability and motivation. In any home-based rehabilitation system, enjoyability and motivation are critical to ensure adherence and effort and thus achieve high doses of therapy. While the optimal dose of most therapies is yet unproven, higher dose of therapy largely correlates with better outcomes and improved arm function.

Various home-based stroke therapies exist, including telerehabilitation, robot-assisted therapies, virtual reality (VR) and video gaming. Tele-rehabilitation typically delivers conventional rehabilitation via communication technology. Existing home-based therapies are designed mainly around conventional task-based practice, which often produces compensatory improvement in function without reducing impairment.

In addition to muscle weakness, abnormal muscle co-activation is a major contributor to arm impairment after stroke. Examples of abnormal co-activation include elbow flexion during forward reaching due to abnormal activation of the biceps brachii with the anterior deltoid. In an earlier study, we designed a myoelectric computer interface (MyoCI) to reduce this abnormal co-activation and associated arm impairment in chronic stroke survivors. The MyoCI mapped EMG from abnormally co-activating muscles to orthogonal components of cursor movements, and the training required decoupling these muscles to successfully acquire targets in those mapping directions. In this study, we have designed a novel, wearable, affordable version of the MyoCI called the Myoelectric Interface for Neurorehabilitation Training (MINT). MINT is designed for home use to enable high-intensity training that can scale to many users. Here, we describe MINT protocol design and assess tolerability and feasibility in severely impaired, chronic stroke survivors.

Methods

Overview

All procedures were approved by the Northwestern University Institutional Review Board. We recruited participants through the Shirley Ryan AbilityLab Clinical Neuroscience Research Registry, day rehabilitation centers, hospital websites, physician referrals, and word-of-mouth. Inclusion criteria for the study were (1) age >18 years, (2) moderately-severe to severe upper limb impairment (Fugl-Meyer Assessment of Upper Extremity, FMA-UE, of 8-30) following unilateral ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke occurring at least 6 months before enrollment. Exclusion criteria were (1) significant visual deficit impairing the ability to see a laptop screen, (2) language comprehension deficits (due to aphasia or inability to communicate in English), (3) bilateral stroke, (4) botulinum toxin treatment in the affected arm within 3 months prior to enrollment, (5) starting new physiotherapy within 3 months prior, and (6) participating in any other research studies related to upper limb within 3 months prior.

This is a report on the feasibility and tolerability of MINT therapy in moderately severely to severely impaired stroke survivors. We performed this analysis on participants from an ongoing randomized controlled trial comparing three experimental MINT groups to a sham control (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03401762). The following is an overview of the training. Two weeks prior to training start, participants were screened in the lab and evaluated for abnormal co-activation using a reaching task described below. They then participated in 6 weeks of training, 5 days a week at home and one day a week in the lab. Participants were randomized to an experimental or sham group. The goal of the experimental groups was to learn to activate the trained muscles in isolation from each other, while the sham group simply learned to control the EMG amplitude of a single muscle without having to isolate it from other muscles. Although the main study is evaluating efficacy using the Wolf Motor Function Test as primary outcome, we are reporting here only feasibility information, including adherence, motivation survey results, and training performance. Therefore, while we describe the randomization to different groups, we combine all participants for analysis of feasibility in this report.

Enrollment and screening

Occupational therapists evaluated the participants by assessing FMA-UE at their initial screening visit two weeks prior to starting training. Participants who qualified based on their FMA-UE scores were randomized to one of four different training groups, with stratification based on age and impairment level. A randomization schedule was generated by a biostatistician using R; group assignment was performed by a member of the team who did not work with, or evaluate, the participants. The groups differed in terms of the methods for controlling the cursor in the game (see Game Design for details): 2 muscles at a time (2D), 2 muscles at a time with full reaching (Reach), 3 muscles at a time (3D), or one muscle at a time (sham control group). Assessing occupational therapists remained blinded to this randomization throughout the study.
During the initial screening visit, participants also performed the reaching task. With the trunk restrained to a chair using Velcro straps, they reached as far as possible to targets placed at waist and shoulder height, in front of and to the side of the impaired arm, to identify abnormally co-activating muscle groups for each participant.\(^{22}\)

We recorded surface EMGs from 12 arm and shoulder girdle muscles (anterior/medial/posterior deltoids, long and lateral heads of the triceps, biceps brachii, brachioradialis, pectoralis major, trapezius, latissimus dorsi, infraspinatus, and pronator quadratus) during the reaching task using active EMG sensors (Trigno, Delsys, Inc.). We identified the muscles with the highest abnormal co-activation, defined as pairwise correlation coefficients, to customize the training muscle sets for each participant. Co-activation between the following muscle sets were considered abnormal\(^{19,20}\): anterior deltoid/biceps, anterior deltoid/posterior deltoid, anterior deltoid/brachioradialis, biceps/triceps, brachioradialis/triceps, pectoralis major/biceps, pec major/brachioradialis, pronator/biceps, anterior deltoid/trapezius. (While deltoid/trapezius often co-activate in healthy individuals in many movements, we reasoned that they can also be decoupled for certain movements and that this could lead to freer movement of the shoulder.)

**Training**

On the first training visit (Week 0), we taught participants to place surface EMG sticker electrodes (Bio-Medical Instruments, Inc.) on the muscles to be trained. Each electrode consisted of 3 snap-style, 10-mm diameter Ag-AgCl coated electrode contacts; two contacts were connected to bipolar leads and the third to a reference lead. The bipolar leads were placed parallel to the long axis of each muscle (Fig. 1). We taught the participants how to place the electrodes correctly and provided a photo of their arm for reference of electrode placement. Alignment marks were made on the sticker and the skin using a skin marker to enable participants to replace the electrodes on the arm as needed. These marks were reinforced each week in the lab and at home when the sticker was replaced. Participants were instructed to use the same electrodes for up to 5 days or until they started to come off, at which point they were replaced. Participants were sent home with a laptop and the MINT device, along with printed instructions for logging into the laptop and using the MINT. When possible, we also educated participants’ family members or caregivers in these procedures, in case they required assistance at home.

Participants were asked to train with the MINT for 90 min each day, for a period of 36 days over 6 weeks. During this time the participants also made weekly visits to our lab, which we used to monitor training progress, ensure correct training performance and electrode placement, and perform clinical outcome evaluations that will be reported separately upon completing the randomized trial. To help troubleshoot issues remotely in between visits, lab members communicated with the participants or their family members using video call, remote computer access, or by phone when participants did not have home WiFi. Training muscle sets were changed at 3 weeks for the 3D group (who trained on two sets of 3 muscles each), and at Weeks 2 & 4 for the 2D, Reach (three sets of 2 muscles each), and Sham group (three sets of 1 muscle each). We chose to balance the number of total muscles potentially trained in the experimental groups (6 muscles, trained as 3 sets of 2 muscles vs. 2 sets of 3 muscles), rather than the time of training for each muscle set.

**MINT device**

The custom-built, wearable MINT device was developed in collaboration with Myomo, Inc. The device, which measures 6 × 4.5 × 1.5 cm, sampled EMGs at 2 kHz and used a Kalman filter to extract the EMG envelopes. These envelope signals were then streamed via Bluetooth at 50 Hz to a laptop, where the signals were used in a Python-based gaming platform in real time and stored for offline analysis.
EMG calibration

The EMG signals used to control the game were normalized to the EMG value during maximum voluntary contraction (MVC). This served multiple purposes. It equalized the effort needed across muscles, reduced the likelihood of fatigue during training, and enabled comparisons of effort across days and participants. MVC was calculated before training on each day. The MINT game interface prompted participants to produce MVCs using videos demonstrating how to move the arm to activate each muscle trained. Three MVC attempts were made for each muscle. On each attempt, the participant was instructed to relax the muscle for 3 s and then maximally contract the muscle in an isometric manner using readily available props to brace against, such as a desk, wall or the back rest of their chair. For example, for biceps, the participant was instructed to flex the elbow while pushing up against the bottom of a table or desk. The videos were 8–12 s in duration; as participants had variable reaction times in starting to contract, this enabled us to obtain at least a few seconds of maximal contraction in most participants. The MVC was calculated by segmenting the EMG envelope into 500-ms bins, computing the mean value of each bin, then assigning the maximum of these mean values over all 3 attempts.

Game design

Participants controlled a cursor within a 3D environment created using Blender and Python. Each EMG envelope sample (20 ms) was mapped to one orthogonal component of the cursor’s position (Fig. 2). Participants moved the cursor into the “home” target at the bottom left of the screen by relaxing the controlling muscles. After holding in the home target for 1 s, an outer target appeared near the opposite side of the screen. Participants needed to move the cursor into that target by activating the controlling muscle and hold in the target for 0.5 s. Positive audiovisual feedback was provided for successful trials. If the participant failed to acquire the target within 7 s, the trial ended and was labeled a failure.

The cursor position was determined by the vector sum of the EMG components. There were two components for the 2D and Reach groups, three components for the 3D group, and one component for the sham control. Thus, to acquire targets on the cardinal axes, participants in the experimental groups had to activate the targeted muscle in isolation from the other muscles (those in the sham did not).

We normalized the components of cursor position according to the following equation:

\[
P = \frac{EMG - EMG_B \cdot f_B \cdot W}{M \cdot f_M - EMG_B \cdot f_B},
\]

where \( P \) was the position of each component, \( EMG \) was the EMG envelope amplitude, \( EMG_B \) was the mean EMG during a 1-s baseline (rest) period at the start of each trial, \( M \) was the MVC, \( W \) was the width of the game window on the screen, and \( f_M \) and \( f_B \) were the MVC factor and baseline factor, respectively. The MVC factor scaled the effort required to acquire the targets so that participants did not fatigue too quickly. The baseline was subtracted from the EMGs to enable participants to play the game despite having some difficulty relaxing the muscles, as tonic muscle contraction is a common issue among stroke survivors.

To keep participants engaged and challenged, the difficulty level increased steadily as performance improved. Each time the participant performed 2 consecutive 10-minute runs with 70% or higher success rate, the level was incremented; a success rate below 50% in a single run caused the level to decrement. Increases in difficulty level corresponded to changes in 5 factors: increasing the angular distance of the outer target from the diagonal, decreasing cursor size, decreasing target size, decreasing the baseline factor (which required the participant to relax the arm muscles more after each trial), and increasing the MVC factor (which required more activation on each trial). We initialized the MVC factor to 0.15 for the first level (meaning that outer targets were placed at a distance of 15% of MVC from the home target), with steady increments thereafter. The outer targets were generated at a random angle within a selected range of angles, starting close to the 45° diagonal between muscles (allowing for more co-activation) and moving farther away from the diagonal as the level increased, thereby requiring more isolation of muscles.

Adherence, motivation, and performance measures

We defined adherence as the amount of time trained by each participant, which was recorded by the MINT software. We computed the mean training time per day over all participants. To assess enjoyment, motivation and effort during the training protocol, we surveyed participants using a modified version of the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (IMI) at the end of the 6-week training. This was a questionnaire with 18 questions graded on a 7-point Likert scale, with 1 being the lowest rating (‘Strongly Disagree’), 7 the highest (‘Strongly Agree’), and 4 indicating a neutral response. The wording of these questions was modified from the original
IMI to reflect the participants’ experience as it related to the MINT training (Supplementary Material). The questions were stratified into categories reflecting enjoyment, effort, perceived benefit, and satisfaction. We computed the mean of these scores over all participants, over the complete survey and within each category. Certain questions in the survey were worded negatively; the scores on these questions \((n)\) were reversed \((8-n)\) to calculate the means. Our focus for this paper was on the first two categories of enjoyment and effort, as a measure of feasibility. This survey was added to the protocol after the start of the study for enhanced participant feedback and has been completed by 15 participants to date.

**Game performance metrics**

We measured training game performance using the time-to-target (TTT; mean time to acquire each target) divided by the difficulty level in each run. We computed TTT separately for each muscle set—i.e., every two weeks for the sham, 2D, and Reach groups. To simplify our analysis, we combined the data across groups and muscle sets.
For the 3D group, which trained for 3 weeks on each muscle set, we report only the first two weeks of each set to enable combination with the other groups. We also report the change co-activation for the experimental groups as a whole, during the last day of training of each muscle set compared to the baseline co-activation during the reaching task. Because sham only recorded from one muscle, we cannot report co-activation changes in that group.

**Results**

**Participants**

A total of 60 stroke survivors have enrolled in the main study to date; 17 were screened out (Fig. 3). Five participants dropped from the study after screening but before starting training, and 13 dropped after beginning training. Reasons for dropout (and number of participants) included the following: computer illiteracy preventing sufficient training (3), personal reasons/transportation issues (6), insufficient compensation (2), development of other health problems or excessive fatigue (4), and inability to communicate and comply with training schedule (3). We had to suspend training for two participants due to COVID-19-related restrictions on research. The 23 participants who completed training were 58 ± 15 years of age (mean ± SD); 10 were male and 13 female. Their baseline FMA-UE was 17.8 ± 5.7, indicating severe impairment. The 13 participants who dropped out of the study after starting training did not differ significantly in either age (62 ± 11, p = 0.3, t-test) or FMA-UE (18.1 ± 6.7, p = 0.8) from those who completed the study. Here, we report qualitative feedback from participants regarding the MINT protocol and quantitative outcomes in the form of adherence, IMI survey responses and game performance metrics.

**Training was feasible and highly adhered to**

Training adherence was high overall. Participants trained 82 ± 18 min of the required 90 minutes per day. While only 30% of participants averaged at least 90 min per day, 96% were able to train for at least 60 min/day. Some training was missed due to software or hardware malfunctions ($n = 6$ participants, 30-270 min each), or a family-related issue ($n = 1$, 270 min). Importantly, participants performed a high number of movements for a daily, home-based therapy: 299 ± 8 repetitions per day.

Most participants were able to set up the MINT device independently. Two participants required daily assistance from their caregivers to connect the device to the electrodes on their arm. Five participants received intermittent assistance from family members, primarily for help with logging into the laptop or carrying the device, but also with placement of electrodes on posterior muscles such as posterior deltoid and infraspinatus.

**Participant experience**

During the period of training, we actively asked for anecdotal feedback from the participants during lab visits and phone calls. Examples of such feedback included: “The concept for this game is definitely good”, “I get frustrated when I cannot control the cursor like how I wanted”, and “This is the best game for arm movement.” In addition, seven participants stated that they were able to move and use their affected arm more in activities of daily living. Eight participants expressed interest in continuing MINT training after completing the study. Muscle fatigue was mentioned by three participants following training on 1–4 days each, two of whom had more muscle pain than their usual amount. However, allowing flexibility in choosing the day off and spreading training over multiple sessions within each day mitigated the effects of fatigue, enabling these participants to complete the desired duration of training. The fatigue largely correlated with having too much tonic contraction on those days, leading to difficulty relaxing completely and spending a great deal of effort trying to reposition their arm to start a new trial. This was only a problem when the MVC for that muscle was also low, because this limited the dynamic EMG range between home and outer targets, and thus any tiny fluctuation made it more difficult for the cursor to remain in the home target. Accurately measuring MVC was an important, and yet difficult, aspect of training. The electrodes used were not designed for long-term home use and thus often needed to be replaced by participants, due to loss of adhesion. This sometimes led to inaccurate MVC estimates and was particularly an issue in 4 participants who trained on the brachioradialis, due to the high arm curvature near the muscle. Excess fat over the target muscles, e.g., triceps, also reduced MVC in some participants. Four participants described difficulty in relaxing the arm during training. Seven participants complained that the login experience was difficult, largely due to the university-mandated security software on the laptop that required frequent updates and more elaborate login procedures. In addition, the MINT devices occasionally had either Bluetooth or electrode connection issues. Finally, three participants experienced transient skin irritation from the electrodes, which did not cause them to drop out of the study or miss significant amounts of training.

**MINT training was motivating and enjoyable**

The modified IMI results showed that participants largely enjoyed the training and were highly motivated to...
Ninety-two percent of the surveyed participants agreed at least slightly that the MINT was enjoyable (mean \(5.7 \pm 1.0\)) and motivating (6.4 \(\pm 0.6\)). Keeping track of their high score was motivating for most participants (6.5 \(\pm 1.1\)). The training was enjoyed by participants in the experimental groups (5.8 \(\pm 1.2\), \(n = 10\)) as well as in the sham control group (5.4 \(\pm 0.6\), \(n = 5\)). There was no significant difference between experimental and sham groups (\(p = 0.4\), t-test). Eighty-five percent of participants felt the training was more enjoyable than standard physiotherapy (6.4 \(\pm 1.1\)). Ninety-three percent of participants rated themselves as exerting high effort, with a mean score of 6.4 \(\pm 0.6\) in effort. Overall, 60% of participants thought MINT training improved their arm function (mean score of 5.6 \(\pm 1.4\)), and 87% said they would recommend it to other stroke survivors (mean score of 6.3 \(\pm 1.0\)).

Participants learned to perform the task efficiently

Participants in the experimental groups reached 49 \(\pm 10\%\) (mean \(\pm SE\) over all groups) of the maximum game difficulty level and those in the sham group all reached the maximum level. Weighted TTT decreased steadily over all three muscle sets combined (\(R = -0.77\), \(p = 0.003\); Fig. 5A). There was higher variability in the last 3 days due to three participants training only 8 or 9 days on the first muscle set. Overall, participants succeeded more quickly as training progressed, which suggested that they were engaged with training and learned to reduce co-activation, at least in the experimental group. Indeed, in the experimental group, co-activation declined by 54 \(\pm 10\%\) over all three muscle sets combined, compared to the baseline reaching task (\(p = 0.04\), t-test; Fig. 5B). Co-activation decreased as early as the first day of training.
Discussion

We designed and tested a novel, wearable, high-dose, home-based therapy to reduce abnormal co-activation in chronic stroke survivors. We found that participants adhered very well to the training protocol and rated MINT as enjoyable and motivating. Anecdotal reports largely supported the formal survey results. Participants improved in their training performance over time. This indicates that they were engaged in learning the games. In addition, participants in the experimental groups learned to reduce co-activation between the targeted muscles. The number of daily repetitions (299) was very high compared to standard therapy (typically 3225). This demonstrates that high-intensity MINT training is feasible, motivating, and enjoyable in people with severe arm impairment from stroke.

The potential to help people with severe impairment is particularly important. Conventional rehabilitation techniques work better in patients with less severe impairments, largely because the subjects must have sufficient remaining function to participate. Unfortunately, severely impaired patients are the most in need of new therapies, yet are often excluded from clinical trials, including most telerehabilitation trials. MINT only requires electrical muscle activity, not movement, which allows severely impaired patients to participate. Moreover, these patients also have the most abnormal co-activation. Although we excluded people with FMA-UE less than 8 due to their extremely limited mobility for this study, it is possible that they might be able to benefit as well, since only some EMG modulation is required. However, outcome metrics that are used in clinical trials are very insensitive to improvement at the very low end of the scale, which makes it problematic to include such patients in rehabilitation trials without negatively biasing results.

Enjoyability and motivation are critical to participant engagement, which leads to greater therapy doses, which in turn may lead to better outcomes. Greater adherence also correlates with greater functional improvement. A randomized clinical trial of home-based gaming therapy for subacute stroke showed that telerehabilitation was noninferior to in-clinic therapy in

![Figure 4. Histogram of responses to questions assessing enjoyment (black) and effort (gray) from the modified IMI survey. Almost all participants enjoyed the training to some extent and were motivated to exert high effort.](image1)

![Figure 5. Game performance and co-activation improved with training. (A) Mean (±SE) performance (weighted TTT) over all trials on each day over participants from all groups, over all three muscle sets combined. Gray dashed line is best-fit linear regression, R = −0.77, p = 0.008. (B) Co-activation (R) over all experimental groups decreased significantly (*) from baseline (blue) to the last day of training (black). Data are combined over all three muscle sets.](image2)
terms of adherence (40 out of 70 min/day) and reduction in impairment. Adherence rates among our participants, in a more time-intensive protocol (6 days/week compared to 3 days/week), were high, with a mean of 82 min/day of training. This is especially encouraging in a severely impaired population. Fewer than a third of conventional home-based stroke rehabilitation therapy programs are reported to achieve an adherence rate of 75% or higher, while partial adherence to home exercise programs has been reported as 65%. Our results (96% completed two-thirds of the requested dose of therapy) thus compare favorably with conventional home exercise programs, as well as with the aforementioned trial of telerehabilitation (93% completed 57% of requested dose). Several features of the MINT paradigm were designed to increase adherence. The use of video games was designed to increase enjoyability, as has been reported before. Our games also tracked high scores, which participants rated as motivating in and of themselves. Overall, the MINT helped motivate participants to engage in therapy, as indicated by the survey results. Part of this motivation may have been simply providing detailed feedback about the muscles, which is often lacking in participants with very little motion in the limb. In addition, the ability to play the games at home likely improved adherence compared to having to come to a lab or clinic daily for 6 weeks. We also enabled participants to split up training into multiple sessions per day if desired, and we communicated frequently with participants. Finally, while some of the IMI survey questions try to distinguish between intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, it is difficult to make definitive conclusions from a limited survey. An additional survey early on in training might provide more information about how much intrinsic vs. extrinsic motivation affected enjoyment, participation, and functional improvement.

This study did identify several challenges for this version of MINT. While partial adherence was high, 70% of participants did not achieve the requested 90 min/day of therapy. This is not entirely surprising, given that other home-based therapies also had difficulty ensuring participant adherence to the full amount of practice time instructed. There was also a high rate of attrition; however, this was largely due to factors other than the MINT paradigm itself, as documented above. In a minority of dropouts, there was some limitation in participation due to computer literacy or motivation. Thus, making a wider variety of games may help enable motivation. Participants who dropped out during training did not differ in age or impairment from those that completed training. Thus, older, severely impaired patients could use the technology, which bodes well for MINT feasibility in general. The current version is somewhat limited by the need to clip wired leads to EMG electrodes. Future MINT versions will aim to improve on the design, with one near-term goal being a completely wireless system, with no need to clip wires on, to make it even easier to use. EMG electrodes that require less frequent replacement would help ensure reliable recordings. Laptop security/login issues and computer literacy can be easily remedied by designing a phone or tablet app. We continue streamlining the current system as much as possible. Finally, fatigue may be an issue in severely impaired patients, especially when concurrently working and receiving conventional therapy, as were many of our participants. Thus, finding an optimal dose for MINT therapy is an important future goal.
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