Functional Characterization of Cotton GaMYB62L, a Novel R2R3 TF in Transgenic Arabidopsis
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Abstract

Drought stress can trigger the production of ABA in plants, in response to adverse conditions, which induces the transcript of stress-related marker genes. The R2R3 MYB TFs are implicated in regulation of various plants developmental, metabolic and multiple environmental stress responses. Here, a R2R3-MYB cloned gene, GaMYB62L, was transformed in Arabidopsis and was functionally characterized. The GaMYB62L protein contains two SANT domains with a conserved R2R3 imperfect repeats. The GaMYB62L cDNA is 1,017 bp with a CDS of 879, encodes a 292-residue polypeptide with MW of 38.78 kD and a pI value of 8.91. Overexpressed GaMYB62L transgenic Arabidopsis have increased proline and chlorophyll content, superior seed germination rate under salt and osmotic stress, less water loss rate with reduced stomatal apertures, high drought avoidance as compared to WT on water deprivation and also significant plant survival rates at low temperature. In addition, overexpressed GaMYB62L lines were more sensitive to ABA mediated germination and root elongation assay. Moreover, ABA induced GaMYB62L overexpression, enhanced the expression of ABA stress related marker genes like RD22, COR15A, ADH1, and RD29A. Together, overexpression of GaMYB62L suggested having developed better drought, salt and cold tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis and thus presented it as a prospective candidate gene to achieve better abiotic stress tolerance in cotton crop.

Introduction

Environmental abiotic factors especially drought stress severely effects the global production and distribution of important cash crops [1, 2]. To overcome these adverse conditions plants have evolutionarily developed a signaling cascade of relaying stress sensors, signaling transduction pathways, TFs and downstream targets, with an output of regulatory gene products and metabolites. Various intracellular molecular switches helps in adaption of plant to stress responses, by modulating transcript level of target genes which in turn brings physiological changes like up regulation of phytohormone, high levels of osmoxytes, antioxidants and reduction in energy consumption [3, 4].
To comprehend the molecular mechanism of target genes in relation to drought tolerance, mining of new gene is imperative with anticipation of functional genomics and comparative genomics studies. TF works by the specific binding of the cis-acting element with the activated trans-acting element, in the target gene promoter region. To date, numerous stress responsive marker genes and promoter genes related to abiotic and biotic stress have been broadly researched in important agronomic plants [5, 6]. Based on DNA-binding domains (DBD) TFs are divided into MYB/MYC TFs, DREB TFs, WRKY TFs, NAC TFs, AP2/ERF TFs and bZIP TFs [7, 8].

MYB TFs are well known for significantly involving in drought responsive genes crosstalk or signaling pathways. It contains a conserved DBD at N-termini known as MYB domain with 53 a.a residues and a helix-loop-helix organization, and recently also discovered to be located at C-termini of MYB protein. MYB proteins are further divided into four types based on DBD repeats; MYB-1R, R2R3-MYB, R1R2R3-MYB (MYB3R), and 4-RMYB proteins [9, 10].

MYB TFs, vastly represented within dicots and monocots, accounts approximately 205 putative R2R3 MYB genes in cotton D genome which is highest number so far as compared to 126 in Arabidopsis [11, 12]. R2R3 MYBs are found engaging in numerous stress responses of plant development and intracellular metabolism processes [13, 14].

R2R3 MYB TF are accounted to implicate in diverse plant responses to abiotic stress condition like AtMYC2/AtMYB2, are well-known to participate important function in high ABA dependent response to water deficit and NaCl stress. The reported AtMYB102 protein is an important component to amalgamate wounding, osmotic stress, and ABA transduction pathways in overexpressed transgenic Arabidopsis [7, 15]. Moreover AtMYB60, AtMYB61, AtMYB44 and AtMYB96 significantly regulate stomatal movements to decrease water loss during dehydration [16, 17]. AtMYB41 is only involved in the short term transcriptional response whereas AtMYB15 is recognized to confer osmotic tolerance in transgenic plants by up-regulated ABA levels [18, 19]. Similarly, TaMYB33 [20], NbPHAN [21], AtMYB20 [22], MdSIMB1 [23], OsMYB2 [24], GmMYBJ1 [25], GmMYBJ2 [26], GbMYB5 [27] and SbMYB8 proteins [28] are documented particularly to be positively implicated in transgenic plants responses to drought and multiple abiotic stresses.

Cotton is a key textile fiber and an important oil seed cash crop [29]. After the release of diploid cotton Gossypium arboreum genome sequence, it is documented to contains more than 200 R2R3 MYB genes [12, 30], thus it is imperative to research some novel genes which holds elite functionality against abiotic stress especially drought which is a serious threat to cotton production. Very few drought stress studies found in cotton, for instance, R2R3 cotton MYB GbMYB5 [27] than R2R3 cotton MYB proteins GhMYB25, GhMYB25L and GhMYB109; functions in early fiber and trichome development [31, 32]. Furthermore, the molecular co-ordinations and the plant gene behavior in relation to drought tolerance are still unclear.

Here, we transformed a novel Gossypium arboreum R2R3 MYB TF gene, GaMYB62L, in Arabidopsis and its ectopic overexpression results in enhanced tolerance against drought stress possibly due to high ABA phytohormone level, high rates of stomatal apertures closure with lower water loss rates, improved RWC, high proline levels and with good plant growth. Our results presented here provide a potential novel contender gene designated as GaMYB62L isolated from G.arboreum for future molecular modifications of drought-stress tolerant gene in cotton.

Results

GaMYB62L sequence characterization and phylogenetic analysis

Our laboratory previous studies on RNA-seq data of Gossypium arboreum revealed to have hormone crosstalk, when MYB TF are implicated in modifying plant response toward drought
and NaCl stresses in different tissues [33]. Thus based on these studies, novel cloned cotton gene GaMYB62L functional characterization was performed in Arabidopsis for drought stress. The full length CDS of GaMYB62L is 879 bp and contains a 292-amino acid harboring an expected MW of 38.78 kD with a theoretical isoelectric point of 8.91 (http://web.expasy.org.) (S1E Fig). Performing SMART analysis, (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/smart.), the deduced 292 residue polypeptide was determined to consist of two conserved SANT domains (MYB domains) as shown in (S1B Fig). The position of the putative TMH calculated by TMHMM 2.0 is shown in (S1D Fig). Hydropathy analysis revealed that GaMYB62L contains no TM domain, representing that GaMYB62L is not a TM protein. The secondary structure of GaMYB62L was analyzed by GOR ExPASy online tool, demonstrating that GaMYB62L protein includes 40.83% of α helix, 40.24% of random coil and 18.93% of extending chain (S1F Fig). The alignment results between cDNA and genomic sequence retrieved from cotton genome project database available online (http://cgp.genomics.org.cn/page/species/blast.jsp.) demonstrated that GaMYB62L contains 3 introns (S1C Fig) and is located on chromosome 6 with cotton ID number cotton_A_01409. The GaMYB62L protein alignment with other R2R3 MYBs plant: GmMYBJ1 (AGO06072.1), AtMYB42 (AEE31118.1), GbMYB5 (AEE14025.1), GmMYB92 (ABH02844.1), TaMYB2 (AAT37168.1), TaMYB33 (AEO21928.1), OsMYB4 (BAA23340.1), CpMYB10 (AAM43912.1), AtMYB2 (BAA03534.1), AtMYB62 (AT1G68320) and TaPIMP1 (ABU93236.1) showed the presence of conserved R2 and R3 domains of MYB gene (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle/) (Fig 1C). Phylogenetic analyses indicated that GaMYB62L clusters with the R2R3-type MYB homolog of Arabidopsis (AtMYB62) which is previously known for having positive response to salicylic acid and also involved in phosphate starvation responses [34, 35], TaPIMP1 which contributes host defense response to fungus Bipolaris sorokiniana and water deficit stress [36], and CpMYB10 which mediates drought stress tolerance, modify ABA and glucose signal transduction response in transgenic mutants [37]. While R2R3 MYB genes of wheat (TaMYB33 and TaMYB2) [20, 38] and AtMYB2 [7] were presented together in a clade and known to involved in salt, drought and osmotic stress tolerance respectively. AtMYB2 along with AtMYC2 act as TF activators in ABA induced gene transcripts in plants on encountering drought stress, thus partake during osmotic stress responses. GmMYB92 and GmMYBJ1 from soybean were present in different clades and were known to involve in salt and drought tolerance respectively. Similarly, AtMYB42 involved in cell wall production, OsMYB4 participates in chilling and freezing tolerance and GbMYB5 in drought stress tolerance respectively [39, 40, 41] (Fig 1D). In context to the tree analysis some of the homologue R2R3 MYB proteins (TaMYB33, TaMYB2, TaPIMP1 and GmMYBJ1) were known to contain MBS cis-element in the promoter region which is involved in drought stress tolerance. Moreover, bioinformatic analysis of GaMYB62L promoter also predicted to contain MBS cis-elements [42], which might be involved in drought stress tolerance. Moreover, it also contains TC-rich repeats [43], HSE [44], WUN–motif (wound response element) [45], Box-W1 (fungal elicitor responsive element) [46] and cis-elements related to phytohormone like MeJA responsive cis-elements (TGACG-motif and CGTCA-motif) [47] as shown in (S1 Table).

**GaMYB62L** overexpression positively modulates drought tolerance in transgenic lines of Arabidopsis 

To dissect GaMYB62L possible function, transformed homozygous lines were generated in *A. thaliana* containing CaMV-35S promoter driven GaMYB62L. The T1 transformants were checked by PCR to check the positive integration of 879 bp CDS in the vector, for it 35S primer was used as a forward primer and reverse primer of the respective gene (S3 Table), whereas *A.
Fig 1. Multiple sequence alignment of GaMYB62L protein and Phylogenetic tree analysis. (A) PCR analysis performed to check 879 bp CDS integration in transformants from T\textsubscript{1} generation. 35S primer was used as a forward primer and reverse primer for respective gene was used for transformation confirmation. PCR products from DNA of A. \textit{thaliana} Col-0 leaf were used as the negative control (lane 1) and extracted leaf DNA of GaMYB62L transgenic as test lines (lanes 2–11). M, kb DNA marker III. (B) Transcripts levels of the GaMYB62L of T\textsubscript{2} transgenic lines tested by quantitative real-time PCR. \textit{Arabidopsis Ubiquitin 10} gene (\textit{Accession no}: AT4G05320) used as internal standard. Three biological replicate data was represented with the mean ± SD value. (C). Amino acids alignment of GaMYB62L with other R2R3-MYBs plant: \textit{GmMYB J1} (AGO06072.1), \textit{AtMYB42} (AEE83118.1), \textit{GbMYB5} (AEIF14025.1), \textit{GmMYB92} (ABH02844.1), \textit{TaMYB2} (AAT37168.1), \textit{TaMYB33} (AEO21928.1), \textit{OsMYB4} (BAA23340.1), \textit{CpMYB10} (AAM43912.1), \textit{AIMYB2} (BAA03534.1), \textit{AtMYB62} (AT1G68320) and \textit{TaPIMP1} (ABU93236.1) (D) GaMYB62L proteins
thaliana (Col-0) leave DNA was used as a negative control (Fig 1A). T2 seeds were placed on selective medium BASTA agar plates and checked for ratio of surviving to death plants (Table). According to Mendel’s law of segregation, the lines with a segregation ratio of approximated 3:1 were probably having one insertion of T-DNA in the genomic, in which the expression was detected by qPCR (Fig 1B). The seeds of lines with relative higher gene expression level were obtained and successively selected on selective medium BASTA agar plates until we got homozygous lines. Three T3 generation lines (L1, L2 and L3) were selected for further analysis. To characterize the drought tolerance of transgenic GaMYB62L plants, 7-days 35S: GaMYB62L and WT plants were relocated from MS medium to well watered soil and grown for further 2 weeks. Afterward, plants were withheld from water for 14 days to induce drought stress. After indicated time span pots were rewatered and results were recorded after 3 days (Fig 2A). Before rewatering, wild-type (WT) and transgenic plants showed apparent drought stress symptoms like drying and wilting. After rewatering 40–60% of 35S: GaMYB62L plants survived in contrast to WT plants, with only 20% survival rate (Fig 2B). The water loss rate (WLR) of the WT and transgenic lines (L1, L2 and L3) were evaluated for over 7 hour (Fig 2C). Starting at 0.5 to 4 h, the WLR of the 35S: GaMYB62L lines was considerably slower than WT, the water losses of GaMYB62L overexpressing lines leaves were 32, 30 and 28% respectively, whereas those of the WT leaves were 52%. The final relative water content (RWC) maintained by 35S: GaMYB62L plants were 80–85% in contrast to 69% of WT, which is much higher in overexpressed plants as revealed in (Fig 2D). The above outcomes, indicates that GaMYB62L protein contributes drought tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis probably by enhanced water retention ability.

Analysis of proline and chlorophyll content in GaMYB62L transgenic lines

Free proline was well known to act as a multifunctional osmoprotectant, found ubiquitously and accumulates in plants under abiotic stress. Proline can participate significantly in attainment of osmotic stress tolerance [48]. The transgenic and control plants were given 14 days drought treatment, endogenous free proline content was drastically elevated in overexpressed GaMYB62L lines than that of WT (Fig 2E), this suggests that GaMYB62L overexpression led to enhanced endogenous proline synthesis which results in better drought tolerant transgenic Arabidopsis plants.

Subsequently, under normal condition 35S: GaMYB62L lines and WT showed no clear significant differences in chlorophyll content, but in 14 days drought stressed samples, overexpressed L1, L2 and L3 had significantly higher content of chlorophyll as shown in (Fig 2F). So, these significant levels in overexpressed transgenic plants strengthen the speculation that because of less damage to photosynthetic apparatus, transgenic plants maintained stay green property, thus distinguished its role in drought stress tolerance.

Constitutive expression of 35S: GaMYB62L in arabidopsis up-regulates tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses

Next, we examined whether GaMYB62L was implicated in ABA, salt, and osmotic stresses. The seeds from both transgenic and control were grown on MS media with different ABA
Fig 2. Water withhold assay, water status analysis and metabolite alternation of 35S:GaMYB62L in Transgenic A. thaliana under drought stress. (A) Drought tolerance assay of 35S:GaMYB62L overexpressed plants and WT. One week-old 35S:GaMYB62L overexpressed plants, were transplanted to soil to grow for another 2-weeks (initial drought stress stage). Thereafter, transgenic plants were water withholding for 14 d (late stage of osmotic stress) for water deficit stress assessment. The 35S:GaMYB62L overexpressed plants seedlings and WT were photographed at the initial and late stages of dehydration and rewatering. (B) The survival rate (%) was mean of three biological replicates (n = 18) with ± SE value. *p<0.05 and **p<0.01. (C) Leaf water loss time course in detached leaves.
of 3 week old 35S:GaMYB62L plants and WT, expressed as (%) of initial FW at designated time interval, significant value (*p < 0.05) of three replicates means with ± SE (n = 10). (D) 35S:GaMYB62L and WT relative water contents in 4 week old detached leaves from plants grown in pots, were left on a bench with RH 45–50% at 22°C and weighed. The leaf was submerged in water to get turgid weight, afterwards dried at 80°C for 72 h for dry weight analysis. The Relative Water Content (%) = (TW—DW) / (FW—DW), mean of ± SD (n = 10 plants). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, t-test. (E) 35S:GaMYB62L lines free proline content was higher than WT plants in osmotic stress. Proline content was measured spectrophotometrically in 14 days of water deficit leaf samples. The biological replicates mean value was calculated with SE (n = 3). Duncan’s multiple range tests analysis labeled with similar letters are not significantly same. (F) The total chlorophyll content in transgenic plants and WT under drought stress. The total chlorophyll level was determined in 14 day drought stressed samples. (n = 3) ± SE with (*p < 0.05) by t-test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170578.g002

concentration (0μM, 0.3μM, 0.5μM, 1μM, 2μM), NaCl (0, 50mM, 100mM, 125mM and 150mM) and Mannitol (0 mM, 100 mM and 200 mM) and grown for 10 days. The 35S:GaMYB62L lines were hypersensitive to ABA induced inhibition than control plants. Subsequently, 2μM ABA revealed decreased seeds germination rate for transgenic plants nearly upto 20%, while WT seeds retained 50% germination rate under the similar conditions (Fig 3C). Also in root length assay, varied ABA concentration revealed significant sensitivity of root length of transgenic GaMYB62L seedlings as compared to WT (Fig 3A and 3B), which depict that ABA is involved in root development process and also strengthens the speculation that this gene follows and might be regulated in ABA dependent manner.

In 150mM NaCl seed germination rates of 35S:GaMYB62L lines were higher with greater fresh weight as compared to WT, which underwent delayed growth rates with subsequent pale colored cotyledons and some of them unable to germinate (Fig 4A, 4B and 4E). Normal culture conditions did not have any obvious contrast during seed germination of the transgenic L1, L2 and L3 as compared with WT. Moreover, transgenic lines (L1, L2 and L3) maintained healthy root growth in 100mM NaCl plates (Fig 4C and 4D). When 200mM Mannitol was used, the growth rate of transgenic seedlings were higher and had normal shaped rosettes than WT (Fig 5A and 5B), also in comparison to WT transgenic plants had more fresh weight (Fig 5C). Overall, the growth of 35S:GaMYB62L plants were good in comparison to WT plants that showed slight inhibition, suggesting the involvement of transgene in a general osmotic response that make it more drought-tolerant.

Reduced leaf stomatal density, size and stomatal opening in 35S:GaMYB62L

To explore if the stomatal aperture closure is sensitive to ABA, we examined the constitutive GaMYB62L overexpression on guard cell apertures in the leaves under a microscope (Fig 6A). Stomatal density of 35S:GaMYB62L plants were 105, 92 and 96 per mm² respectively as compared to WT, which was higher about 127 per mm². The average stomatal length to width dimension was 19.2 by 7.12 μm for the WT, in contrast with 17.99–17.56 by 6.69–5.93 μm for transgenic lines as shown in (Fig 6C, 6D and 6E). ABA induction results in significant percentage of stomatal cell aperture reduction in overexpressed GaMYB62L plants, while on 5μM ABA treatment WT plant stomatal apertures opening percentage was 23.34% than 35S:GaMYB62L plants, which was 53.4,66.67%, and 40–33% respectively (Fig 6B). The percentage of 35S:GaMYB62L plants stomatal opening is further reduced to 23, 30 and 33% for transgenic L1, L2 and L3 as compared to 56.6% when treated with 10μM ABA. Thus, reduced guard cells and apertures of 35S:GaMYB62L plants along with reduced size and stomatal density per mm² displayed swift change in stomatal aperture reduction than WT plants; mainly due to abscisic acid response which therefore helps to decrease WLR with subsequent good drought tolerance in GaMYB62L overexpressed Arabidopsis plants.
Cold response of GaMYB62L overexpressing Arabidopsis plants

Overexpressed OsMYB4 and OsMYB3R-2 genes of rice were reported to be tolerant to low temperature mainly due to up-regulation of COR genes and high levels of osmoprotectants proline levels [41,49]. It revealed that high levels of compatible solutes like proline, underlies an important means which confers enhanced cold tolerance in rice MYB genes. The three week old GaMYB62L transgenic plants and WT plants were exposed for 3hr at –10˚C and before shifted to growth room (22˚C), kept at 4˚C for 4 hour. After 2 days of revival under

Fig 3. Increased sensitivity to ABA inhibition of root elongation in GaMYB62L overexpression seedlings and Germination rate. (A) Comparisons of root elongation between WT controls and GaMYB62L overexpression lines seedlings on MS with and without different ABA conc. (μM/L). Four day old seedlings were shifted to 0.5 MS plates containing varied ABA conc. and grown for 7 days. Line bar: 1 cm. (B) Quantitative comparisons of the differences of root elongation between WT controls and GaMYB62L overexpression lines seedlings on the MS having 0, 0.3, 0.5, 1 and 2 ABA conc. (μM/L), respectively. The values were calculated using the data from three separate root growth assays (with at least 30 seedlings examined per genotype per ABA concentration per assay). Samples mean with ± SE (n = 3) were calculated by t-test (*p <0.05). (C) 35S:GaMYB62L plants hypersensitivity to varied ABA concentration. GaMYB62L overexpressed and WT plants germination rates on MS with and without varied ABA conc. (μM/L), the results were scored at 10 days. 50 from each transgenic line and WT were used, ± SE (n = 3).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170578.g003

Cold response of GaMYB62L overexpressing Arabidopsis plants

Overexpressed OsMYB4 and OsMYB3R-2 genes of rice were reported to be tolerant to low temperature mainly due to up-regulation of COR genes and high levels of osmoprotectants proline levels [41,49]. It revealed that high levels of compatible solutes like proline, underlies an important means which confers enhanced cold tolerance in rice MYB genes. The three week old GaMYB62L transgenic plants and WT plants were exposed for 3hr at –10˚C and before shifted to growth room (22˚C), kept at 4˚C for 4 hour. After 2 days of revival under
Fig 4. Seed germination assays of GaMYB62L overexpressed lines and WT in response to NaCl. (A) 35S:GaMYB62L lines (L1, L2 and L3) and WT seedlings growth performance germinated on MS media in presence and absence of 0, 50, 100, 125 mM and 150 mM NaCl. (B) The seed germination ratio of 35S:GaMYB62L overexpressed plants and WT on MS NaCl (0, 50, 100, 125 and 150). Seed germination rate was monitored with full radicals penetrating from the seed coat, at 10th day of post stratification. The germinated seeds with albino phenotype were considered dead. 50 seeds from each transgenic and control plants were used with biological replicates (n = 3) and means of ± SE, with (*p < 0.05, t-test). (C) Comparisons of root elongation between seedlings of WT and GaMYB62L overexpression lines on the medium without and with different ABA conc. (μM/L). Four day old seedlings were placed on 0.5 MS plates supplemented without and with varied NaCl conc. for 6 days. Line bar: 1 cm. (D) Quantitative comparisons of the differences of root elongation between WT control and GaMYB62L overexpression lines seedlings on MS media without and with 0, 50, 100, 125 and 150 mM NaCl, respectively. The values shown were each calculated using the data from three separate growth assays (with at least 30
normal growth conditions 22˚C±1, majority of 35S:GaMYB62L lines seedlings were still green, while most of the WT plants turned pale yellow (Fig 7A). About 63.6,53 and 56% of transgenic plants (L1, L2 and L3) were able to survive respectively and grew as usual on recovery, while only 39% of the WT plants were able to survive (Fig 7B). The data imply that 35S: GaMYB62L plants have augmented tolerance towards freezing stress in contrast to WT.

Transcripts investigation of abiotic stress-responsive genes

Several prior studies have recommended numerous good stress related markers genes. Thus, in this study, we chose RD22, ABI1, ABI2, ADH, COR15A, RD29A, RD29B, EM6, RD26 and P5CS as stress-response marker genes, and checked their transcripts in GaMYB62L and WT transgenic Arabidopsis, treated with and without 100 μM ABA for 6 hr, in order to dissect the possible regulatory relationships of marker genes with GaMYB62L. Our qRT-PCR results showed that out of these genes RD22, ADH, RD29A and COR15-A responded well to ABA treatment than in WT plants (Fig 8A, 8B, 8C and 8D). Expression investigation of these up-regulated stress-responsive markers upon ABA proposed to have a close relationship with GaMYB62L protein in plant response towards abiotic stresses.

Discussion

MYB transcription factor superfamily is large, with R2R3 MYB highly represented in higher plant species, also have a good role in gene expression regulation under different environment scenario, and display multiple roles in plants [14]. More recently, R2R3 MYB genes role in rice, wheat and soya have been demonstrated in multiple stress responses [20, 24, 25]. We study a new candidate gene of Gossypium arboreum from MYB TF family designated as GaMYB62L; it was transformed to get homozygous transgenic lines which were then validated for drought, salt and cold stress function. The amino acid sequence analysis and phylogenetic tree showed that GaMYB62L contains two highly conserved SANT-DNA binding MYB domains which grouped with R2R3-MYB from other plant (Fig 1C and 1D), indicating that GaMYB62L is a functional protein with an R2R3-MYB domain. The MYB have conserved SANT type domain carrying two repeat (R2,R3) which forms the DNA binding domain and identified in numerous chromatin regulatory proteins like Swi3,Ada2,TFIIIB,Ncor and ISWI proteins [50]. The SANT-DBD was also known to redirect MYB function in chromatin organization by histone modifications [51].

ABA is well-known to engage in numerous environmental stimuli related signaling pathways of plant species [52, 53]. The plants subjected under water deficit conditions, can produce and build up more abscisic acid with drought tolerant phenotype which is assisted by numerous stress tolerant biomolecules triggered by an individual TF. The drought related phenotypes comprises better and broad roots and compact guard cell density, which contributes to keep water balance and retain intracellular homeostasis of plants [54, 55], elevated proline content positively contributes to improved ROS detoxification and osmotic regulation [56, 57], moreover accumulation of ABA hormone help in decrease water loss rate from stomatal cell thereby make transgenic plants stress tolerant. The ectopic expression of transgenic plants also unravels enhanced response to stress responsive genes with increase transcripts levels as reflected by improved ABA contents and endogenous proline built-up [58].
Fig 5. 35S:GaMYB62L overexpressed lines and WT Seed germination assays in response to osmotic stress. (A) 35S:GaMYB62L overexpressed lines seedlings and WT growth performance germinated on MS media with and without 100 or 200 mM mannitol. After 10 days of germination plates were photographed. (B) Seed germination ratio of 35S:GaMYB62L overexpressed lines and WT on MS media in the absence and presence of 100mM mannitol or 200mM mannitol. Data are the means of ±SE of 3 replicates, with 36 seeds of each plant (*p < 0.05). (C) Fresh weight of GaMYB62L overexpression lines and WT seedlings, germinated on MS media with and without 100 or 200mM mannitol. The FWs were measured at 10th day of germination. The data means (±SE) of 3 replicates with (10 seedlings) each.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170578.g005
Fig 6. Stomatal changes in WT and 35S:GaMYB62L overexpressed lines in response to ABA. (A) Quantitative comparisons of stomata aperture differences of WT and 35S:GaMYB62L overexpressed lines (L1, L2, and L3). WT and 35S:GaMYB62L lines photographed under control and two concentrations of ABA (5 and 10 μmol/L). The two genotypes stomatal aperture did not differ in the absence of ABA, but in presence of varied ABA conc. stomatal aperture reduction in both genotypes, with the degree of the reduction being substantially higher for 35S:GaMYB62L lines than WT. The reduction of stomatal aperture by ABA was expressed as percentage of control, which was taken as 100% for both genotypes. The values shown are the means of three 3 replicates (n = 10). Bars, approximately 1μm. (B) Stomatal opening rate comparisons of GaMYB62L overexpressed plants and WT, when treated with varied ABA concentrations. The stomatal apertures opening rates were calculated after 2.5 h of treatment with different ABA conc. 3 plants with 5 different sites were
examined and photographed, guard cell apertures were digitally measured (ImageJ software). Aperture sizes lesser than 0.1 μm were measured as closed, significant difference value were calculated by (*p < 0.05, **p <0.01). (C) Leave stomatal morphological phenotype and density of 35S:GaMYB62L and WT plants photographed under OLYMPUS Bx51 microscope with 40x magnification. (D) Comparisons of leave stomata density in 35S:GaMYB62L overexpressed plants and WT. 5 sights and 3 plants replicates were observed microscopically for each of transgenic and WT plant, values were means of ± SE. Stomatal density analysis and guard cell aperture was done under OLYMPUS Bx51 microscope with 40x magnifications. (E) 35S:GaMYB62L stomata size comparisons with WT (10 stomata for each of 5 microscopic sight). The 3 plants leaves for each line were monitored (*p < 0.05; **p <0.01).

Fig 7. Responses of GaMYB62L overexpressed lines and WT plants to cold stress. (A) 3 week GaMYB62L overexpressed and WT plants were placed at –10°C for three hour, and then shifted to growth room for plant recovery. 35S:GaMYB62L and WT plants were photographed after seven days. (B) The percentage of plant survival was determined as total number of phenotypically green revived plants. Experiment was run in triplicate with mean values ± SD (n= 18) and are significant (*p <0.05), t-test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170578.g006

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170578.g007
To assess the role of GaMYB62L tolerance for numerous abiotic stress conditions, we make overexpressed transformed plants to understand the possible underlying molecular and physiological function of GaMYB62L. 35S:GaMYB62L transgenic Arabidopsis as shown in (Fig 2A) have normal plant growth, which is in contrast to previous studies of AtMYB41 which experienced stunted growth in overexpressed plants [59].

The seed germination rates are generally dependent on the water movements into the seeds, and to avoid low water potential upon abiotic stress, plant tissue keep a balance by turgor regulation to ensure continued plant growth and survival [60]. Thus, salt inductions at

---

**Fig 8. Transcript levels of stress-responsive genes in 35S: GaMYB62L in response to ABA.** (A) Transcript level of stress-responsive markers in GaMYB62L overexpressed and WT treated by 100μM ABA using qRT-PCR. Test and control samples (2 week old) were treated with and without 100μM ABA for 6 hr. Transcript levels of RD22, ABI1, ABI2, ADH, COR15A, RD29A, RD29B, EM6, RD26 and PSCT stress marker genes were analyzed by qRT-PCR. Arabidopsis ubiquitin 10 used as control gene, means value with ±SD. * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01 and *** p <0.001 calculated by student t-test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170578.g008
germination stage could result in both osmotic stress and specific ion toxicity [61, 62]. As 35S: GaMYB62L transgenic plants showed higher rates of seed germination as compared to the WT under 200mM mannitol treatment, thus it depicts that the salt hypersensitive phenotype in transgenic plants is mainly because of the osmotic stress. These results corroborated with the function of AtMYB102, AtMYB41 and GmMYBJ2 which have been demonstrated to affect dehydration after wounding and implicated in ABA dependent osmotic and NaCl stress [15, 19, 26]. The high NaCl ionic toxicity could result in replacements of potassium ions with sodium ions inside the cell that brings conformational changes and interfered to regular biochemical pathways [63]. Therefore, 35S:GaMYB62L transgenic plants might cope with this situation by adapting osmotic stress tolerance, Na⁺ ion extrusion and tissue tolerance. These adaptations mainly occur via osmotic adjustments with the exclusion of absorbed ions in vacuoles and accumulation of osmoprotectants in cytoplasm [64, 65]. Moreover, root growth is an important factor to endure salt stress as it is in direct contact with soil and provides important clue to response of transgenic plants to NaCl stress. As roots are more sensitive to Na⁺ ion stress thus 35S:GaMYB62L transgenic plants shows significant root length growth upon NaCl treatment as compared to WT possibly due to germination differences with an increase in FW, which implies that ionic stress was manifested.

Exogenous biotic and abiotic stimuli results in built up of endogenous phytohormone ABA, which simulated as drought stress in the transgenic plants [3, 66]. On exposure to osmotic stress, transgenic plants showed varied degree of ABA sensitivity during different germination periods [67, 68]. Constitutive expression of GaMYB62L results in hypersensitivity to varied ABA concentration both in germination and root length assays than WT which are in line to the prior researches [18, 22, 69]. The consideration is that ABA-dependent pathway might be the major route for GaMYB62L, with enhanced tolerance against multiple stress condition, also there is a possibility that ABA might control the growth of roots by up regulated expression of GaMYB62L. Because of the ABA sensitive phenotypes at germination and post germination stages we further check the stomatal movements upon different ABA treatments. As elevated levels of abscisic acid underwent modifications for a set of physiological processes to handle unfavorable stressed conditions, thus we inferred that the GaMYB62L transgenic plants may have superior water retention abilities [70] under drought stress which led to descent in reduced transpiration rate, which contributes to reduced stomata density and reduced stomata apertures [71]. The 35S:GaMYB62L plants have reduced stomatal size, stomatal densities and reduced rate of stomata opening than WT (Fig 6B, 6C and 6D), which corroborated the previous studies in GbMYB5 where only stomatal densities are similar in transgenic and WT tobacco plants [27].

WLR is a key physiological indicator to elucidate the probable mechanisms of GaMYB62L protein that are implicated in improved osmotic tolerance of transformed plants [72]. In current study, the WLR was lower in leaves of transgene than WT ones (Fig 2C), which is in line to the recent studies of R2R3 MYB in wheat, cotton and soybean [26, 27, 73]. Also, GaMYB62L transgenic Arabidopsis have higher Relative Water Content % than control plants when prone to osmotic stress.

As GaMYB62L results in significant reduction of stomatal aperture upon ABA treatment which helps to reduce WLR in transgenic plants, so we further performed drought tolerance test. Drought stress can induce numerous phenotypical and physiological responses in plants as reported by [1]. After 14 days of water withholding, 35S: GaMYB62L plants exhibited high survival rates than WT, which inferred that GaMYB62L protein is implicated in plant responses to water scarcity and can be utilized for future plant breeding improvements. These results corroborate previous studied roles of AtMYB2, CpMYB10 and TaPIM1 which clusters with GaMYB62L and reported to conferred multiple stress plant tolerance [7, 36, 37].
The high level of chlorophyll at all stages of plant life cycle is significantly associated with good transpiration efficiency, improved rates of photosynthesis and enhanced drought avoidance mechanism in water-deficit environment [74, 75]. To identify and assess the ability of transgenic plants ameliorating drought effects on photosynthesis, total chlorophyll level were determined after 14 days of water withheld. The loss of chlorophyll in overexpressed lines was significantly lower than WT (Fig 2F). Thus, these data suggest that photosynthetic machinery is protected from deleterious drought effects, possibly due to increase production of osmoprotectants proline. Accumulation of compatible solutes such as free proline is significant to sustain plant cell homeostasis and structural stability under water deficit, salinity and low temperature stress. Proline is an osmoprotective molecule which acts as a molecular chaperone, helps in decreasing cell water potential by increasing antioxidant function which protects the plant from harmful effect of multiple stresses [24, 37, 76, 77]. Proline content was significantly increased in GaMYB62L overexpressed lines following 14 days of drought stress than in WT (Fig 2E), suggesting that GaMYB62L can also regulates the proline synthesis in transgenic plant. The high proline results in context with good drought tolerance together recommended that GaMYB62L plants might have synthesize osmolytes to alleviate cell damage, this is useful to select this gene as a novel gene for cotton breeding.

TFs R2R3-MYB is probable to regulate a set of targeted marker genes when transgenic plants were induced by ABA. Thus, it was imperative to understand and determine the genes that interplay the stress tolerance phenotype in 35S:GaMYB62L transgenic Arabidopsis. In an attempt to dig more about the downstream genes interactions which were regulated by 35S: GaMYB62L, we investigated the expression pattern of 10 genes specifically for stress response upon ABA treatment. The 35S:GaMYB62L over expression led to up regulation of 4 genes transcripts, RD29A, RD22, ADH and COR15A as compared to WT upon ABA treatment. Hydrophilic proteins RD29A and COR15A are known to exist in DRE or related motifs promoter regions which are specifically induced by multiple abiotic stresses [78, 79]. The RD29A can follow either ways of ABA-dependent or ABA-independent, when get activated by the combined binding action of DRE and ABRE cis motif in the gene promoter region [80]. Overexpression of DREB1A/CBF1 TF in A. thaliana led to up-regulation of numerous hydrophilic protein genes like RD29A and COR15A [81, 82]. Similarly, TaMYB19 works in either manner of ABA transductions and aid in improved osmotic stress resistance specifically due to the amplified transcripts of RD22 and RD29A [73]. The ABA-responsive expression of RD22 is due to co-expression activation of both AtMYB2 and AtMYC2 in contrast to ADH1 which only needs activated AtMYB2 [7, 83]. Investigations of AtADH1 promoter also depict the presence of (CACGTG, a MYC recognition site) G-box which is critical for gene initiation by ABA phytohormone [84] also reported for specific binding of AtMYB2 to MYB recognition site in AtADH1 promoter region, could trans activates gene upregulation by MBS-1 site in transient transcripts monitoring. AtMYC2 is well-known to binds specifically to the CACATG cis motif sequence that behave as a water deficit cis-element in the promoter regions [7]. Based on the above functional validation interpretations we speculate that 35S:GaMYB62L plants holds good tolerance for drought, salt and cold stresses and upon ABA treatment it functions in ABA-dependent manner, moreover the enhanced osmotic stress tolerance of the 35S:GaMYB62L transgenic plants are probably due to enhanced transcripts of RD22, ADH1, RD29A and COR 15A. Interestingly, bioinformatic analysis of GaMYB62L predicted to contain MBS, W-BOX and WUN cis-elements, P-BOX and CGTCA motif (for MeJA responsiveness), which warrants further investigation to understand the roles of these cis-elements in the transactivation and induction of GaMYB62L by ABA, biotic and abiotic stresses.
Conclusion

Based on molecular and physiological analysis, we speculate that the high tolerance of GaMYB62L transgenic Arabidopsis, a novel R2R3 MYB to abiotic stresses might be because of shared role of up-regulated transcripts of downstream stress-responsive gene, high osmo-protectants proline levels, decrease water loss rate under ABA induced reduced stomatal apertures and more notable significant levels of chlorophyll which helps in reduced harm to photosynthetic machinery on exposure to abiotic stresses. In the support of above results, we recommend that the overexpression of GaMYB62L holds improved drought, salt and cold stress tolerance. Thus, these results have greatly enhanced our perception about possible function of Gossypium arboreum R2R3 MYB transcription factors in augmented plant response to environmental abiotic stresses and provide a novel contender gene for future cotton breeding advancement.

Materials and Methods

Plant transformation and screening of 35S:GaMYB62L Arabidopsis lines

The CaMV-35S driven pCAMBIA3300-GaMYB62L construct in Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101, was confirmed by forward primer pair of GaMYB62L (TCTAGAATGAGATT AATCGGTGAGAT) and reverse primer pair of GaMYB62L (GAGCTCTCAATGCAAT GCATTTCCTAA). The A. thaliana ecotype (Col-0) wild-type plants (WT) were transformed by [85], floral dip method with minute modifications. Infiltration media used for transformation contains MS 2.215g/L, sucrose 50 g/L, MES 0.5/L, Silwet-77/L, 6-BA 0.01mg/L with pH 5.7. The transformed T0 Arabidopsis seeds were selected by directly growing seeds in the pots, followed by stratification for 2–3 days at 4˚C to break dormancy and then later shifted in Arabidopsis growth room with 16hr light/8hr dark regime. The 7 days old seedlings were sprayed with 1% BASTA solution as shown in (S2A and S2B Fig). After second BASTA spray, 3–5 days later the survived positive seedlings were shifted to new pots and allowed to set seeds. The T1 transformed lines were confirmed by PCR Mighty Amp Genotyping Kit (Takara, China) using sense 35S primer and antisense gene primer (S2C Fig).

The T3 homozygous progeny was bred from a T2 population, after qRT-PCR and selection of segregated lines with correct ratio (Fig 1B and S2 Table) using qGaMYB62L forward primer (GCCTGGAGACCGTAACTGAGA) and qGaMYB62L reverse primer (GAGCTCTCAATGCAATGCATTTCCTAA), with total cDNA as template. The phenotypic investigations were carried out on T3 or T4 homozygous lines.

Response of overexpressed GaMYB62L transgenic lines to drought and cold stress

T3 homozygous transgenic lines were sterilized by 10% Bleach solution (v/v) for 10 minutes, and stratified for 3 days at 4˚C before plating on MS. After 7 days seedlings were shifted to small pots, containing well watered equal amounts of (vermiculite: humus). After 2 week growth, pots were subsequently dehydrated for the next 2 weeks, afterwards pots were rewatered simultaneously and the plants revival rates were scored on day 3. The dehydrated plants were scored as survivals with green colored leaves, and the survival ratio were calculated and experiment was repeated thrice [86].

For freezing tolerance experiment three week transgenic and control WT plants were treated with −10˚C for 3 hour and before shifted to Arabidopsis room, kept at 4˚C refrigerator for 4 hour [69]. The survival rates were calculated based on greening phenotype, and healthy growing plants were counted as survival as compared to pale dead ones.
Germination and root elongation assays

Germination assay was carried out by using seeds from WT and GaMYB62L transgenic lines that were sown on 0.5 MS, supplemented by varied Mannitol concentrations (0, 100mM and 200mM), NaCl (0, 50mM, 100mM, 125mM and 150mM) [87] and exogenous ABA hormone (0, 0.3μM, 0.5μM, 1μM, 2μM) [18]. The plates were stratified for 3 days at 4˚C before being shifted to 22˚C. The plants phenotypes were examined at 10th days of germination.

Transgenic lines (L1, L2 and L3) were checked for NaCl stress tolerance by first growing seedlings in vertical position on MS for at least 4 days and then shifted to the new plates with varied NaCl concentrations (0mM, 50mM, 100mM and 150mM) and ABA (0μM, 0.3μM, 0.5 μM, 1μM, 2μM), and continued growth for next 5 days. The root length was recorded on the 6th day post transfer.

Measurements of transpirational WLR and RWC

The water loss rate of detached leaf is a critical method as described by [88] to determine transgenic plants water status under imposed stress condition. The water loss rate (WLR) was determined by using detached leaf from 10 plants of GaMYB62L lines and WT plants. Four week test and control plants leaves were detached and fresh weight (FW) were scored, afterwards left on bench at 22˚C RT with humidity level of 45–50%, then leave weights were recorded at designated time. The relative water content of leaves was calculated by [74] method. The cut leave were then submerged in water for next 4 h, to get turgid weights. At last, after drying leave for 72h at 80˚C in an oven, dry weight of leave were recorded. RWC percentage = (Fresh weight (FW) − Dry weight (DW))/(Turgid weight (TW) − Dry weight (DW)) ×100 formula used to calculate relative water content for test and control samples.

Analysis of proline content and chlorophyll content

Endogenous plant proline content was measured using PRO Kit (Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). 0.2 g of Leaf segments were homogenized with 1.8ml Solution I followed by centrifugation at 3500g for 10 min. 250μl of sample supernatant, 0.5 μl of both Sol. I and Sol. II were mixed together and boiled for 30 min, and finally the absorbances were determined at 520nm.

The determination of chlorophyll content was done by using 0.1 g of rosette leaves in 1.5 ml 95% ethanol at RT. The chlorophyll content extraction was carried out in dark place. The absorbances of chlorophyll extracted from test and control plants were checked at wavelength 649 and 665 nm. Total chlorophyll (a+b) concentration was monitored as follow: ((OD665nm×13.95–OD649nm×6.88) + (OD 649nm×24.96–OD665nm×7.32))/ (sample weight) [89]. Test and control samples were measured thrice and the results were averaged.

Stomata opening rate determination in response to Abscisic acid treatment

Three week old plants leaves were dipped in the stomatal opening solution: 10mMol/L CaCl2, 50mMol/L KCl and 5 mMol/L MES with, pH 6.15 [90] for 2h in a lighted growth chamber with 95±5% RH. Stomata apertures measurements were done after 2.5h; with application of two different concentrations of Abscisic acid (ABA) (5 and 10 μmol/L). Control experiments were performed without ABA.
Gene expression analysis of markers genes by qRT-PCR

Marker genes analysis was done by using 14 d seedlings of test and control plants treated with 100μM ABA. RNA extractions were done by RNA-prep Pure Plant kit (Tiangen, China), while cDNA were synthesized by PrimeScript RT Master Mix (Takara, Clontech, China). The SYBR Premix ExTaq™ (Takara, Clontech, China), and 7900HT detection system by (Applied Biosystem) were used for qRT-PCR analysis.

The qRT-PCR programme was as follow 95˚C for 30 sec, 95˚C for 5 sec (40 cycles), 60˚C for 30 sec, and 95˚C for 15 sec, followed by 60˚C for 15 sec. The Arabidopsis Ubiquitin 10 gene was used as control gene with (Accession no: AT4G05320). The relative transcripts detected for gene was computed by using the relative $2^{\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method [91], with error bars which indicate SD value (n = 3). Three biological replicates were performed using independent cDNA preparations.

Bioinformatic analysis of GaMYB62L gene

The conserved domain structure analysis was performed by (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Motif analysis of gene sequence was done by SMART (http://asp.pku.edu.cn) and GENE Structure Display Server was used intron exon analysis (http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn). GaMYB62L polypeptide physicochemical properties like MW and pl were analyzed by ExPASy (http://www.expasy.org/proteomics), online proteomics tool. Transmembrane domains prediction was produced using the TMHMM 2.0 portal (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk). Also, GaMYB62L protein secondary structure was calculated through protein homology & analogy portal (https://npsa-prabi.ibcp.fr/cgi-bin/secpred_gor4.pl/) recognition engine (S1A–S1F Fig). The multiple sequence alignment of GaMYB62L R2R3 homolog was performed by EMBL-EBI Muscle online tool (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle/). The phylogenetic tree analysis of retrieved homologous proteins was carried out by using NJ-method in MEGA 6.0 program [92]. The Bootstrap values with 1000 replicate were used to evaluate the strength of nodes in the branch of tree.

Statistical analysis of Data

All investigational data were the means of three independent experiment replicates, and results were determined using analysis of variance and student t-test. Variation among treatment means of control and test of proline and chlorophyll content were evaluated using Duncan’s multiple range tests.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Predicted Cis-acting elements in GaMYB62L promoter region. (DOCX)
S2 Table. Survival (%) of GaMYB62L transgenic plants in 6% BASTA selection medium. (DOCX)
S3 Table. The primers sequences used for GaMYB62L. (DOCX)
S1 Fig. Bioinformatic analysis of GaMYB62L. (DOCX)
S2 Fig. Survival rate in BASTA selection of transformants and PCR confirmation of GaMYB62L over-expression in transgenic plants.

Acknowledgments

Our appreciation goes to the staff and students of the State Key Laboratory of Cotton Biology, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Cotton Research Institute, Anyang, China, for their support during the course of this research. We also thank Dr Jiahe Wu (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing) and Dr Maozhi Ren (Chongqing University) for comments on the manuscript and helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Major Program of Joint Funds (Sinkiang) of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant U1303282) and also supported by a grant from Henan Province (No.162300410160).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: FL XZ ZEY.
Data curation: XZ ZEY.
Formal analysis: HIB EC GZ QG.
Funding acquisition: FL.
Investigation: HIB.
Methodology: HIB ZEY.
Project administration: FL XZ ZEY ZRY.
Resources: FL XZ ZEY
Software: ZEY EC GZ QG.
Supervision: FL XZ ZEY ZRY.
Validation: HIB ZEY ZRY.
Visualization: HIB.
Writing – original draft: HIB.
Writing – review & editing: ZEY ZRY.

References

1. Seki M, Umezawa T, Urano K, Shinozaki K. Regulatory metabolic networks in drought stress responses. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2007 Jun; 10(3):296–302. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2007.04.014 PMID: 17468040
2. Qin F, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. Achievements and Challenges in Understanding Plant Abiotic Stress Responses and Tolerance. Plant Cell Physiol. 2011 Sep; 52(9):1569–82. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcr106 PMID: 21828105
3. Ingram J, Bartels D. The molecular basis of dehydration tolerance in plants. Annu Rev Plant Phys. 1996; 47:377–403.
4. Ramanjulu S, Bartels D. Drought- and desiccation-induced modulation of gene expression in plants. Plant Cell Environ. 2002 Feb; 25(2):141–51. PMID: 11841659
5. Stockinger EJ, Gilmour SJ, Thomashow MF. Arabidopsis thaliana CBF1 encodes an AP2 domain-containing transcriptional activator that binds to the C-repeat/DRE, a cis-acting DNA regulatory element
that stimulates transcription in response to low temperature and water deficit. P Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997 Feb 4; 94(3):1035–40.

6. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 2006; 57:781–803. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105444 PMID: 16669782

7. Abe H, Urao T, Ito T, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. Arabidopsis AtMYC2 (bHLH) and AtMYB2 (MYB) function as transcriptional activators in abscisic acid signaling. Plant Cell. 2003 Jan; 15(1):63–78. doi: 10.1105/tpc.006150 PMID: 12509522

8. Song SY, Chen Y, Chen J, Dai XY, Zhang WH. Physiological mechanisms underlying OsNAC-dependent tolerance of rice plants to abiotic stress. Planta. 2011 Aug; 234(2):331–45. doi: 10.1007/s00425-011-1403-2 PMID: 21448719

9. Ogata K, Kanelishii C, Sasaki M, Hatanaka H, Nagadoi A, Enari M, et al. The cavity in the hydrophobic core of Myb DNA-binding domain is reserved for DNA recognition and transcription. Nature Structural Biology. 1996 Feb; 3(2):178–87. PMID: 8564545

10. Chen YH, Yang XY, He K, Liu MH, Li JG, Gao ZF, et al. The MYB transcription factor superfamily of arabidopsis: Expression analysis and phylogenetic comparison with the rice MYB family. Plant Mol Biol. 2006 Jan; 60(1):263–76. PMCID: 12509522

11. Kranz HD, Denekamp M, Greco R, Jin H, Leyva A, Meissner RC, et al. Towards functional characterisation of the members of the R2R3-MYB gene family from Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 1998 Oct; 16(2):263–76. PMCID: 9839484

12. He QL, Jones DC, Li W, Xie FL, Ma J, Sun RR, et al. Genome-Wide Identification of R2R3-MYB Genes and Expression Analyses During Abiotic Stress in Gossypium raimondii. Sci Rep-Uk. 2016 Mar 24; 6.

13. Meissner RC, Jin HL, Cominelli E, Denekamp M, Fuertes A, Greco R, et al. Function search in a large transcription factor gene family in Arabidopsis: Assessing the potential of reverse genetics to identify insertional mutations in R2R3 MYB genes. Plant Cell. 1999 Oct; 11(10):1827–40. PMID: 10521515

14. Dubos C, Stracker R, Grotewold E, Weisshaar B, Martin C, Lepiniec L. MYB transcription factors in Arabidopsis. Trends Plant Sci. 2010 Oct; 15(10):573–81. doi: 10.1016/j.tpb.2010.06.005 PMID: 20674465

15. Denekamp M, Smeekens SC. Integration of wounding and osmotic stress signals determines the expression of the AtMYB102 transcription factor gene. Plant Physiol. 2003 Jul; 132(3):1415–23. doi: 10.1104/pp.102.019273 PMID: 12857823

16. Cominelli E, Galbiati M, Vavasseur A, Conti L, Sala T, Vuyysteke M, et al. A guard-cell-specific MYB transcription factor regulates stomatal movements and plant drought tolerance. Curr Biol. 2005 Jul 12; 15(13):1196–200. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2005.05.048 PMID: 16005291

17. Seo PJ, Lee SB, Suh MC, Park MJ, Go YS, Park CM. The MYB96 Transcription Factor Regulates Cytolic Wax Biosynthesis under Drought Conditions in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2011 Mar; 23(3):1138–52. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.083485 PMID: 2139568

18. Ding ZH, Li SM, An XL, Liu XJ, Qin HM, Wang D. Transgenic expression of MYB15 confers enhanced sensitivity to abscisic acid and improved drought tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana. J Genet Genomics. 2009 Jan; 36(1):17–29. doi: 10.1016/S1673-8527(09)60003-5 PMID: 19161942

19. Lippold F, Sanchez DH, Musialak M, Schlereth A, Scheible WR, Hincha DK, et al. AtMYb41 Regulates Transcriptional and Metabolic Responses to Osmotic Stress in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 2009 Apr; 149(4):1761–72. doi: 10.1104/pp.108.134874 PMID: 19211694

20. Qin YX, Wang MC, Tian YC, He WX, Han L, Xia GM. Over-expression of TaMYB33 encoding a novel wheat MYB transcription factor increases salt and drought tolerance in Arabidopsis. Mol Biol Rep. 2012 Jun; 39(6):7183–92. doi: 10.1007/s11033-012-1550-y PMID: 22350156

21. Huang CJ, Hu GJ, Li FF, Li YQ, Wu JX, Zhou XP. NbPHAN, a MYB transcriptional factor, regulates leaf development and affects drought tolerance in Nicotiana benthamiana. Physiol Plantarum. 2013 Nov; 149(3):297–309.

22. Gao S, Zhang YL, Yang L, Song JB, Yang ZM. AtMYB20 is negatively involved in plant adaptive response to drought stress. Plant Soil. 2014 Mar; 376(1–2):433–43.

23. Wang RK, Cao ZH, Hao YJ. Overexpression of a R2R3 MYB gene MdSIMYB1 increases tolerance to multiple stresses in transgenic tobacco and apples. Physiol Plantarum. 2014 Jan; 150(1):76–87.

24. Yang A, Dai XY, Zhang WH. A R2R3-type MYB gene, OsMYB2, is involved in salt, cold, and dehydration tolerance in rice. J Exp Bot. 2012 Apr; 63(7):2541–56. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err431 PMID: 22301384

25. Su LT, Li JW, Liu DQ, Zhai Y, Zhang HJ, Li XW, et al. A novel MYB transcription factor, GmMYB1, from soybean confers drought and cold tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana. Gene. 2014 Mar 15; 538(1):46–55. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2014.01.024 PMID: 24440241
26. Su LT, Wang Y, Liu DQ, Li XW, Zhai Y, Sun X, et al. The soybean gene, GmMYBJ2, encodes a R2R3-type transcription factor involved in drought stress tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana. Acta Physiol Plant. 2015 Jul; 37(7).

27. Chen TZ, Li WJ, Hu XH, Guo JR, Liu AM, Zhang BL. A Cotton MYB Transcription Factor, GbMYB5, is Positively Involved in Plant Adaptive Response to Drought Stress. Plant Cell Physiol. 2015 May; 56(5):917–29. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcv019 PMID: 25657343

28. Yuan Y, Qi LJ, Yang J, Wu C, Liu YJ, Huang LQ. A Scutellaria baicalensis R2R3-MYB gene, SbMYB8, regulates flavonoid biosynthesis and improves drought stress tolerance in transgenic tobacco (vol 120, pg 961, 2015). Plant Cell Tiss Org. 2015 Mar; 120(3):973-.

29. Smith CW, Cothren JT. Cotton: origin, history, technology, and production. New York: Wiley; 1999. xiii, 850 p.

30. Li FG, Fan GY, Wang KB, Sun FM, Yuan YL, Song GL, et al. Genome sequence of the cultivated cotton Gossypium arboreum. Nat Genet. 2014 Jun; 46(6):567–72. doi: 10.1038/ng.2987 PMID: 24836287

31. Suo J, Liang X, Pu L, Zhang Y, Xue Y. Identification of GhMYB109 encoding a R2R3 MYB transcription factor that expressed specifically in fiber initials and elongating fibers of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Biochimica et biophysica acta. 2003 Oct 20; 1630(1):25–34. PMID: 14580676

32. Walford SA, Wu Y, Llewellyn DJ, Dennis ES. GhMYB25-like: a key factor in early cotton fibre development. Plant J. 2011 Mar; 65(5):785–97. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04464.x PMID: 21235650

33. Zhang XY, Yao DX, Wang QH, Xu WY, Wei Q, Wang CC, et al. mRNA-seq Analysis of the Gossypium arboreum transcriptome Reveals Tissue Selective Signaling in Response to Water Stress during Seedling Stage. Plos One. 2013 Jan 28; 8(1).

34. Yanhu C, Xiaoyuan Y, Kun H, Meihua L, Jigang L, Zhaofeng G, et al. The MYB transcription factor superfamily of Arabidopsis: expression analysis and phylogenetic comparison with the rice MYB family. Plant Mol Biol. 2006 Jan; 60(1):107–24. doi: 10.1007/s11103-005-2910-y PMID: 16463103

35. Devaiah BN, Madhuvanthi R, Karthikeyan AS, Raghoatham KG. Phosphate starvation responses and gibberellic acid biosynthesis are regulated by the MYB62 transcription factor in Arabidopsis. Molecular plant. 2009 Jan; 2(1):43–58. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssn081 PMID: 19529828

36. Zhang ZY, Liu X, Wang XD, Zhou MP, Zhou XY, Ye XG, et al. An R2R3 MYB transcription factor in wheat, TaPIMP1, mediates host resistance to Bipolaris sorokiniana and drought stresses through regulation of defense- and stress-related genes. New Phytologist. 2012 Dec; 196(4):1155–70. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04353.x PMID: 23046089

37. Villalobos MA, Bartels D, Iturriaga G. Stress tolerance and glucose insensitive phenotypes in Arabidopsis overexpressing the CpMYB10 transcription factor gene. Plant Physiol. 2004 May; 135(1):309–24. doi: 10.1104/pp.103.034199 PMID: 15122027

38. Klotz KL, Lagrimini LM. Nucleotide sequence of the tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) anionic peroxidase gene. Plant Physiol. 1993 Mar; 101(3):1117–8. PMID: 8310051

39. Yu H, Chen X, Hong YY, Wang Y, Xu P, Ke SD, et al. Activated expression of an Arabidopsis HSF1 protein confers drought tolerance with improved root system and reduced stomatal density. Plant Cell. 2008 Apr; 20(4):1134–51. doi: 10.1105/tpc.107.058263 PMID: 18451323

40. Zhong R, Ye ZH. Transcriptional regulation of lignin biosynthesis. Plant signaling & behavior. 2009 Nov; 4(11):1028–34.

41. Vannini C, Locatelli F, Bracale M, Magnani E, Marconi M, Osnato M, et al. Overexpression of the rice Osmyb4 gene increases chilling and freezing tolerance of Arabidopsis thaliana plants. Plant J. 2004 Jan; 37(1):115–27. PMID: 14675437

42. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. Arabidopsis DNA encoding two desiccation-responsive rd29 genes. Plant Physiol. 1993 Mar; 101(3):1119–20. PMID: 8310052

43. Diaz-De-Leon F, Klotz KL, Lagrimini LM. Nucleotide sequence of the tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) anionic peroxidase gene. Plant Physiol. 1993 Mar; 101(3):1117–8. PMID: 8310051

44. Yi SY, Liu J. Combinatorial Interactions of Two cis-Acting Elements, AT-Rich Regions and HSEs, in the Expression of Tomato Lh23.8 upon Heat and Non-Heat Stresses. J Plant Biol. 2009 Dec; 52(6):560–8.

45. Pastuglia M, Roby D, Dumas C, Cock JM. Rapid induction by wounding and bacterial infection of an S gene family receptor-like kinase gene in Brassica oleracea. Plant Cell. 1997 Jan; 9(1):49–60. doi: 10.1105/tpc.9.1.49 PMID: 9014364

46. Huang JY, Zhao XB, Weng XY, Wang L, Xie WB. The Rice B-Box Zinc Finger Gene Family: Genomic Identification, Characterization, Expression Profiling and Diurnal Analysis. Plos One. 2012 Oct 31; 7(10).
47. Rouster J, Leah R, Mundy J, Cameron Mills V. Identification of a methyl jasmonate-responsive region in the promoter of a lipoxygenase 1 gene expressed in barley grain. Plant J. 1997 Mar; 11(3):513–23. PMID: 9107039

48. Szabados L, Savoure A. Proline: a multifunctional amino acid. Trends Plant Sci. 2010 Feb; 15(2):89–97. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2009.11.009 PMID: 20036181

49. Dai X, Xu Y, Ma Q, Xu W, Wang T, Xue Y, et al. Overexpression of an R1R2R3 MYB gene, OsMYB3R-2, increases tolerance to freezing, drought, and salt stress in transgenic Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 2007 Apr; 143(4):1739–51. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.094532 PMID: 17293435

50. Aasland R, Stewart AF, Gibson T. The SANT domain: A putative DNA-binding domain in the SWI-SNF and ADA complexes, the transcriptional corepressor N-CoR and TFIIIB. Trends Biochem Sci. 1996 Mar; 21(3):87–8. PMID: 8882580

51. Mo XM, Kowenz-Leutz E, Laumonnier Y, Xu H, Lentz A. Histone H3 tail positioning and acetylation by the c-Myb but not the v-Myb DNA-binding SANT domain. Gene Dev. 2005 Oct 15; 19(20):436–57. doi: 10.1101/gad.355405 PMID: 16759416

52. Fujita M, Fujita Y, Noutsos Y, Takahashi F, Narusaka Y, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, et al. Crosstalk between abiotic and biotic stress responses: a current view from the points of convergence in the stress signaling networks. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2006 Aug; 9(4):436–42. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2006.05.014 PMID: 16759416

53. Lee SC, Luan S. ABA signal transduction at the crossroad of biotic and abiotic stress responses. Plant Cell Environ. 2012 Jan; 35(1):53–60. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02426.x PMID: 21923759

54. Hetherington AM, Woodward FI. The role of stomata in sensing and driving environmental change. Nature. 2003 Aug 21; 424(6951):901–8. doi: 10.1038/nature01843 PMID: 12931178

55. Masle J, Gilmore SR, Farquhar GD. The ERECTA gene regulates plant transpiration efficiency in Arabidopsis. Nature. 2005 Aug 11; 436(7052):866–70. doi: 10.1038/nature03835 PMID: 16007076

56. Kishor PBK, Hong ZL, Miao GH, Hu CAA, Verma DPS. Overexpression of Delta-Pyrroline-5-Carboxylate Synthetase Increases Proline Production and Confers Osmotolerance in Transgenic Plants. Plant Physiol. 1995 Aug; 108(4):1387–94. PMID: 17971045

57. Chen CB, Dickman MB. Proline suppresses apoptosis in the fungal pathogen Colletotrichum trifolii. P Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005 Mar 1; 102(9):3459–64.

58. Kasuga M, Liu Q, Miura S, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. Improving plant drought, salt, and freezing tolerance by gene transfer of a single stress-inducible transcription factor. Nature Biotechnology. 1999 Mar; 17(3):287–91. doi: 10.1038/nature01843 PMID: 12931178

59. Cominelli E, Sala T, Calvi D, Gusmaroli G, Tonelli C. Over-expression of the Arabidopsis AtMYB41 gene alters cell expansion and leaf surface permeability. Plant J. 2008 Jan; 53(1):53–64. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03310.x PMID: 17971045

60. Verslues PE, Agarwal M, Katiyar-Agarwal S, Zhu JH, Zhu JK. Methods and concepts in quantifying resistance to drought, salt and freezing, abiotic stresses that affect plant water status. Plant J. 2006 Feb; 45(4):523–39. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02593.x PMID: 16441347

61. Sabbagh E, Mohammad L, Abbas K, Khashayar R. The effect of salt stress on respiration, PSII function, chlorophyll, carbohydrate and nitrogen content in crop plants. Int J Farm & Alli Sci. 2014; 3(9):988–93.

62. Muhammad J, Deog B L., Kwang Y J., Muhammad A, Sheong C. L, Eui S. R. effect of salt (NaCl) stress on germination and early seedling growth of four vegetable species. Journal of Central European Agriculture 2006; 6(2):273–82.

63. Zhu JK. Regulation of ion homeostasis under salt stress. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2003 Oct; 6(5):441–5. PMID: 12972044

64. Chinnusamy V, Jagendorf A, Zhu JK. Understanding and improving salt tolerance in plants. Crop Sci. 2005 Mar–Apr; 45(2):437–48.

65. Munns R, Tester M. Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annual review of plant biology. 2008; 59:651–81. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092911 PMID: 18444910

66. Jakab G, Ton J, Flors V, Zimmerli L, Metraux JP, Mauch-Mani B. Enhancing Arabidopsis salt and drought stress tolerance by chemical priming for its abscisic acid responses. Plant Physiol. 2005 Sep; 139(1):267–74. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.05698 PMID: 16113213

67. Hu HH, Dai MQ, Yao JL, Xiao BZ, Li XH, Zhang QF, et al. Overexpressing a NAM, ATAF, and CUC (NAC) transcription factor enhances drought resistance and salt tolerance in rice. P Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006 Aug 29; 103(35):12987–92.

68. Osakabe Y, Mizuno S, Tanaka H, Maruyama K, Osakabe K, Todaka D, et al. Overproduction of the Membrane-bound Receptor-like Protein Kinase 1, RPK1, Enhances Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Arabidopsis. J Biol Chem. 2010 Mar 19; 285(12):9190–201. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.051938 PMID: 20089852
69. Zhang LN, Zhang LC, Xia C, Zhao GY, Jia JZ, Kong XY. The Novel Wheat Transcription Factor TaNAC47 Enhances Multiple Abiotic Stress Tolerances in Transgenic Plants. Front Plant Sci. 2016 Jan 18; 6.

70. Hamanishi ET, Thomas BR, Campbell MM. Drought induces alterations in the stomatal development program in Populus. J Exp Bot. 2012 Aug; 63(13):4959–71. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ers177 PMID: 22760471

71. Yang L, Liu QH, Liu ZB, Yang H, Wang JM, Li XF, et al. Arabidopsis C3HC4-RING finger E3 ubiquitin ligase AtAIRP4 positively regulates stress-responsive abscisic acid signaling. Journal of integrative plant biology. 2016 Jan; 58(1):67–80. doi: 10.1111/jipb.12364 PMID: 25913143

72. Vinocur B, Altman A. Recent advances in engineering plant tolerance to abiotic stress: achievements and limitations. Curr Opin Biotech. 2005 Apr; 16(2):123–32. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2005.02.001 PMID: 15831376

73. Zhang LC, Liu GX, Zhao GY, Xia C, Jia JZ, Liu X, et al. Characterization of a Wheat R2R3-MYB Transcription Factor Gene, TaMYB19, Involved in Enhanced Abiotic Stresses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol. 2014 Oct; 55(10):1802–12. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcu109 PMID: 25146486

74. Parida AK, Dagaonkar VS, Phalak MS, Umaikar GV, Aurangabadkar LP. Alterations in photosynthetic pigments, protein and osmotic components in cotton genotypes subjected to short-term drought stress followed by recovery. Plant Biotechnol Rep. 2007 Apr; 1(1):37–48.

75. Hwang OJ, Lim SH, Han YJ, Shin AY, Kim DS, Kim JI. Phenotypic Characterization of Transgenic Miscanthus sinensis Plants Overexpressing Arabidopsis Phytochrome B. International Journal of Photoenergy. 2014.

76. Yamaguchi K, Takahashi Y, Berberich T, Imai A, Takahashi T, Michael AJ, et al. A protective role for the polyamine spermine against drought stress in Arabidopsis. Biochem Biophys Res Co. 2007 Jan 12; 352(2):486–90.

77. Szekely G, Abraham E, Cselo A, Rigo G, Zsigmond L, Csizsar J, et al. Duplicated P5CS genes of Arabidopsis play distinct roles in stress regulation and developmental control of proline biosynthesis. Plant J. 2008 Jan; 53(1):11–28. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03318.x PMID: 17971042

78. Narusaka Y, Nakashima K, Shinwari ZK, Sakuma Y, Furihata T, Abe H, et al. Interaction between two cis-acting elements, ABRE and DRE, in ABA-dependent expression of Arabidopsis rd29A gene in response to dehydration and high-salinity stresses. Plant J. 2003 Apr; 34(2):137–48. PMID: 12694590

79. Simpson SD, Nakashima K, Narusaka Y, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. Two different novel cis-acting elements of erd1, a clpA homologous Arabidopsis gene function in induction by dehydration stress and dark-induced senescence. Plant J. 2003 Jan; 33(2):259–70. PMID: 12535340

80. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. Characterization of the expression of a desiccation-responsive rd29 gene of Arabidopsis thaliana and analysis of its promoter in transgenic plants. Mol Gen Genet. 1993 Jan; 236(2–3):331–40. PMID: 8437577

81. Jaglo-Ottosen KR, Gilmour SJ, Zarka DG, Schabenberger O, Thomashow MF. Arabidopsis CBF1 overexpression induces COR genes and enhances freezing tolerance. Science. 1998 Apr 3; 280(5360):104–6. PMID: 9525853

82. Kiyosue T, Shinozaki K. Characterization of the expression of a desiccation-responsive rd29 gene of Arabidopsis thaliana and analysis of its promoter in transgenic plants. Mol Gen Genet. 1993 Jan; 236(2–3):331–40. PMID: 8437577

83. Kasuga M, Liu Q, Miura S, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. Improving plant drought, salt, and freezing tolerance by gene transfer of a single stress-inducible transcription factor. Nat Biotechnol. 1999 Mar; 17(3):87–91. doi: 10.1038/7036 PMID: 10096298

84. Hirayama T, Shinozaki K. Research on plant abiotic stress responses in the post-genome era: past, present and future. Plant J. 2010 Mar; 61(6):1041–52. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04124.x PMID: 20409277

85. Hoeren FU, Dolfurus R, Wu Y, Peacock WJ, Dennis ES. Evidence for a role for AtMYB2 in the induction of the Arabidopsis alcohol dehydrogenase gene (ADH1) by low oxygen. Genetics. 1998 Jun; 149(2):479–90. PMID: 9611167

86. Clough SJ, Bent AF. Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 1998 Dec; 16(6):735–43. PMID: 10069079

87. Wang C, Jing R, Mao X, Chang X, Li A. TaABC1, a member of the activity of bc1 complex protein kinase family from common wheat, confers enhanced tolerance to abiotic stresses in Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot. 2011 Jan; 62(3):1299–311. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq377 PMID: 21115661

88. Sun X, Li Y, Cai H, Bai X, Ji W, Ding X, et al. The Arabidopsis AtbZIP1 transcription factor is a positive regulator of plant tolerance to salt, osmotic and drought stresses. J Plant Res. 2012 May; 125(3):429–38. doi: 10.1007/s10265-011-0448-4 PMID: 21938515
89. Zhao F, Ma J, Li L, Fan S, Guo Y, Song M, et al. GhNAC12, a neutral candidate gene, leads to early aging in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L). Gene. 2016 Jan 15; 576(1 Pt 2):268–74. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2015.10.042 PMID: 26496006

90. Pei ZM, Kuchitsu K, Ward JM, Schwarz M, Schroeder JI. Differential abscisic acid regulation of guard cell slow anion channels in Arabidopsis wild-type and abi1 and abi2 mutants. Plant Cell. 1997 Mar; 9(3):409–23. doi: 10.1105/tpc.9.3.409 PMID: 9090884

91. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(T)(-Delta Delta C) method. Methods. 2001 Dec; 25(4):402–8. doi: 10.1006/m eth.2001.1262 PMID: 11846609

92. Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. Mol Biol Evol. 2013 Dec; 30(12):2725–9. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst197 PMID: 24132122