Identification and characterization of *Colletotrichum* species causing apple bitter rot in New York and description of *C. noveboracense* sp. nov.
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Apple bitter rot caused by *Colletotrichum* species is a growing problem worldwide. *Colletotrichum* spp. are economically important but taxonomically un-resolved. Identification of *Colletotrichum* spp. is critical due to potential species-level differences in pathogenicity-related characteristics. A 400-isolate collection from New York apple orchards were morphologically assorted to two groups, *C. acutatum* species complex (CASC) and *C. gloeosporioides* species complex (CGSC). A sub-sample of 44 representative isolates, spanning the geographical distribution and apple varieties, were assigned to species based on multi-locus phylogenetic analyses of *nrITS*, GAPDH and TUB2 for CASC, and *ITS*, GAPDH, CAL, ACT, TUB2, APN2, ApMat and GS genes for CGSC. The dominant species was *C. fioriniae*, followed by *C. chrysophilum* and a novel species, *C. noveboracense*, described in this study. This study represents the first report of *C. fioriniae* and *C. noveboracense* as pathogens of apple. We assessed the enzyme activity and fungicide sensitivity for isolates identified in New York. All isolates showed amylolytic, cellulolytic and lipolytic, but not proteolytic activity. *C. chrysophilum* showed the highest cellulase and the lowest lipase activity, while *C. noveboracense* had the highest amylase activity. Fungicide assays showed that *C. fioriniae* was sensitive to benzovindiflupyr and thiabendazole, while *C. chrysophilum* and *C. noveboracense* were sensitive to fludioxonil, pyraclostrobin and difenoconazole. All species were pathogenic on apple fruit with varying lesion sizes. Our findings of differing pathogenicity-related characteristics among the three species demonstrate the importance of accurate species identification for any downstream investigations of *Colletotrichum* spp. in major apple growing regions.

*Colletotrichum* is a cosmopolitan fungal genus comprised of more than 189 species distributed throughout tropical and temperate regions worldwide1–3. *Colletotrichum* species cause devastating diseases such as anthracnose and fruit rots on a broad range of plant hosts4–6 and affect valuable fruit crops such as banana, strawberry, citrus, avocado, papaya, mango and apple6–10.

Apple (*Malus domestica* Borkh.), native to central Asia and then introduced to the west and other parts of the world11,12, is a major fruit crop cultivated in temperate regions today. The United States produces about five million metric tons on almost 130,309 hectares, making it the second largest apple producer after China13. In the U.S. in 2018, apples were grown commercially in 20 states with New York ranking as the second largest producer14, with 17 thousand hectares of apples cultivated for over 260 million dollars in value15.
Apple is vulnerable to a wide range of diseases affecting yield and fruit quality. Bitter rot, caused by *Colletotrichum* spp., is one of the most important fungal diseases of apple causing remarkable economic losses under wet and warm weather conditions in the US and globally[6,14,17]. Reports of apple fruit losses to bitter rot in New York range from 14–25%[14,19] and up to 100% in organic orchards, reach up to 100% in North Carolina[22] and are 30% on average in Kentucky, where some orchards were a complete loss[21,22]. Among the nine major clades of *Colletotrichum*, the *C. gloesporioides* species complex (CGSC) and the *C. acutatum* species complex (CASC) are the two most common clades that cause bitter rot of apple[2,16,17]. *C. fioriniae*, *C. nymphaea* and *C. godetiae* are known to cause bitter rot on apple worldwide. Besides causing bitter rot, species such as *C. limitticola*, *C. paraananea*, *C. melonis* in CASC and *C. fructicola* in CGSC cause Glomerella leaf spot (GLS) of apple[27]. Although these two diseases are associated with the same fungal genus, differences in pathogenicity, morphology and cultural characteristics of species have been reported[28].

Accurate identification of *Colletotrichum* species causing bitter rot is crucial due to potential species-level variation in pathogenicity-related characteristics. Identifying the causal agent(s) facilitates resistance breeding programs and determines the best control strategies for apple diseases[29,30]. Identification of *Colletotrichum* to the species level was traditionally reliant on host, cultural and morphological descriptions, as well as comparison of nuclear rDNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS1–5.8S–ITS2 = ITS) sequences[31–35]. However, these identification techniques are limited in their effectiveness as growth medium and temperature are known to cause variation in cultural and morphological characteristics, such as size and shape of conidia, colony growth rate and pigmentation of *Colletotrichum* isolates[12,36]. The ITS regions known as the barcode locus for fungi[36,37], is considered insufficient to delimit species in the CGSC[37–39].

While species delimitation using morphology and ITS-based phylogeny remains insufficient for resolution of *Colletotrichum* at the species level, multi-locus phylogenetic analyses have proven reliable in addressing challenges in the identification of *Colletotrichum* species[3,10,40–43]. In addition to ITS, loci such as glutamine synthetase (GS), gyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), calmodulin (CAL), actin (ACT), chitin synthase (CHS–1), β-tubulin (TUB2), DNA lyase (APN2), and the intergenic region between DNA lyase and the mating type (Mat1–2) gene (ApMat) have been used to resolve various species in the CGSC[3,26,41,44,45].

Accurate identification of *Colletotrichum* species causing bitter rot is a prerequisite to successfully manage this disease in apple production regions because different species of *Colletotrichum* respond differently to fungicides and vary in traits such as enzyme activity and pathogenicity[17,54,55]. Accordingly, characterizing fungicide sensitivity, enzyme activity and pathogenicity of species is of extreme importance for future research on control of bitter rot. The ability of *Colletotrichum* species to produce extracellular enzymes determines their pathogenicity and virulence capacity[34]. The variable levels of amylolytic, pectolytic, polymethylgalacturonase (PMG) and polygalacturonase (PG) activity was detected in *Colletotrichum* species associated with different plant diseases[3,26–28].

Several studies showed variable fungicide sensitivity within and between the two main complexes causing bitter rot, CASC and CGSC[25,60–62], which gives rise to challenges in bitter rot management[14,25,63,64]. For instance, a response variation among *C. acutatum* and *C. gloesporioides* isolates collected in Kentucky was observed following the *in vitro* evaluation of fungicides[32]. Within each complex, *The in vitro* screening to determine the half maximal effective concentration (EC₅₀) allows tracking the sensitivity of *Colletotrichum* species to fungicides and manage the risk of fungicide resistance as a rising problem[35–37], which to some extent stems from applying ineffective concentrations of fungicides[38].

In this study we aimed to: (1) Identify the *Colletotrichum* species causing bitter rot of apple in New York; (2) Determine *in vitro* enzyme activity of *Colletotrichum* species; (3) Evaluate sensitivity of collected *Colletotrichum* species to several key fungicides; and (4) Compare the pathogenicity of these species on apple fruit.

**Results**

**Screening of isolates.** In 2017 and 2018, we collected a total of 400 *Colletotrichum* isolates from apple fruit in New York and other states. Isolates were morphologically screened for colony color, growth rate, sporulation capacity and conidial shape on PDA, and organized into two general morphological types. The first morphotype, comprising more than 60% of isolates, included isolates producing a distinct salmon to red colony color, fusiform spores, and slower growth rate on PDA. The second morphotype included two distinct groups: 12.5% isolates comprising more than 60% of isolates, included isolates producing a distinct salmon to red colony color, fusiform spores, and slower growth rate on PDA. The characteristics of isolates in the morphotype 1 and 2 were consistent with the descriptions of CASC and CGSC, respectively. Initially, 100 isolates from morphotypes 1 and 2, representing the morphological variation, geographical and apple cultivar range, were selected for species identification using ITS, TUB2 and GAPDH sequences. Facing challenges in species delimitation within CGSC, we increased the number of partially sequenced genes to eight for isolates belonging to CGSC, adding ACT, ApMat, CAL, GS and APN2, and reduced the number of isolates for identification and downstream analyses to 44 (19 from morphotype 1 and 25 from morphotype 2).

**Multiplex PCR assay.** An amplicon of approximately 349 bp was recovered using *Colletotrichum*-specific GAPDH primers GDF1/C-GAPDH-R, confirming that all isolates were *Colletotrichum* spp. The CAL gene primers specific to species complex confirmed that of the 44 isolates, 19 from morphotype 1 were members of the CASC and 25 from morphotype 2 were members of the CGSC (491 and 649 bp amplicons respectively; Supplementary Fig. S1). Amplified fragments of expected lengths representing the CASC and CGSC support[38] that the GAPDH/CAL multiplex PCR approach is satisfactory at differentiating these two species complexes.
Phylogenetic analyses. The ITS phylogeny concurred with the multiplex PCR assay in that Colletotrichum isolates collected in this study fell into two Colletotrichum species complexes: *C. acutatum* (19 isolates) and *C. gloeosporioides* (25 isolates) with high support (Supplementary Fig. S2). The *C. acutatum* phylogeny dataset included 85 taxa (including 19 isolates from this study) and 1278 characters consisting of three loci (ITS, TUB2 and GAPDH). Two *C. orchidophilum* isolates, CBS 119291, and CBS 632.80, were used as an outgroup. All five major *C. acutatum* clades were resolved with high support (BS ≥ 84, PP = 0.99; Fig. 1). Both Bayesian Inference (BI) and Maximum Likelihood (ML) analyses revealed that the 19 isolates collected in this study clustered with *C. fioriniae* as part of clade 3 of the CASC with full support (BS/PP: 100/1; Fig. 1) and are hereafter designated as *C. fioriniae*. We found that the majority of isolates in this study included in the CASC phylogenetic analysis clustered with *C. fioriniae* type isolate CBS 128517, with high PP support (0.98), but lacking BS support ≥ 70. The remaining three isolates (ACFK3, ACFK6, ACFK205) fell outside of this group (Fig. 1), though remaining within the highly supported Clade 3 (*C. fioriniae*). ACFK3 and ACFK6 were placed well-within a different subclade with high BS support (85) but lacking PP support ≥ 0.90. While further analysis is required, we believe this separation of the isolates in this study may be similar to the previous finding that the *C. fioriniae* clade is partitioned into two major subclades.

The *C. gloeosporioides* phylogeny dataset included 201 taxa (including 25 isolates collected in this study and Coll940) and 4890 characters consisting of eight loci (*ACT, ApMat, CAL, GAPDH, GS, APN2, ITS* and TUB2). The outgroup included one member of the CASC, *C. javanense* CBS 144963, and two members of the *C. boninense* complex, *C. boninense* CBS 123755 and *C. hipppeastri* ICMP17920.

The 26 isolates belonging to CGSC collected in this study from apple in New York, Virginia, and Pennsylvania, were found to group into three distinct clades, two of which represent previously described species within the CGSC. Twelve isolates, AFK31, AFK18, AFK22, AFK26, AFK29, AFK3, ACFK6, and ACFK154 from New York, isolates PMKs1-1, PMCMS-6760 and PMLynd-9a from Pennsylvania, grouped with the ex-type strain of *C. chrysophilum* with maximum support (BS/PP: 100/1; Fig. 2) and are hereafter designated as *C. chrysophilum*. To our knowledge, this is the first time that the *C. chrysophilum* species has been reported to cause bitter rot disease on apple. After *C. fioriniae*, *C. chrysophilum* was the second most abundant species causing bitter rot disease in New York. Two isolates, AFK156 and PMCrwn1 from Virginia, grouped with the ex-type strain of *C. fructicola* (BS/PP: 91/1; Fig. 2) and are hereafter designated as *C. fructicola*. Of the two CGSC isolates originally collected from peach in South Carolina, RR12-1 was found to group with *C. fructicola*, as previously reported. The second isolate, RR12-3, previously recognized as *C. fructicola* using a multi-locus analysis (*CAL, GAPDH and TUB*), was found clustered within the fully supported *C. chrysophilum* clade in our eight-gene multi-locus analysis (Fig. 2). Further, CGSC member GA253, isolated from avocado in Israel, which was previously identified as *C. napharicola* using an *ApMat* phylogeny as well as a six-gene multi-locus analysis, was found to cluster within the *C. chrysophilum* clade (Fig. 2). No isolates belonging to *C. fructicola* were identified from apple fruit in New York and Pennsylvania.

The remaining 9 isolates, AFK109, AFK65, AFK220, AFK289, AFK408 and AFK423 from New York and PMEssl-10a, PMCMS-6751 and PMBrms-1 from Pennsylvania, formed a separate, distinct clade with maximum support and independent from any recognized species in the CGSC (BS/PP: 100/1; Fig. 2). This distinct clade included isolate Coll940, which was originally isolated from leaves of black walnut (*juglans nigra*) in Oklahoma and had an uncertain placement based on *nrITS*, TUB2, APN2 and *ApMat* analyses. We pursued further analyses to determine if this unique cluster represented a new, undescribed lineage in the CGSC. For phylogenetic models and partitioning schemes see Supplementary Table S1.

Species delimitation. All Colletotrichum isolates from apple were assigned to a lineage containing the ex-type of a previously described species using genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition approach (GCPSR) except for AFK109, AFK65, AFK220, AFK289, AFK408, AFK423, PMEssl-10a, PMCMS-6751, and PMBrms-1. These isolates were strongly supported in the 8-locus concatenated analyses as monophyletic (BS = 100; PP = 1) and sister to *C. fructicola*, *C. napharicola* and *C. chrysophilum*. Among the independent gene trees, these isolates were strongly supported as monophyletic in the *ApMat* (BS = 99; PP = 1), APN2 (BS = 100; PP = 1), and GS (BS = 92; PP = 1) phylogenies. These isolates were also inferred to be monophyletic in the ACT phylogeny, although with weak support in both the ML analysis (BS = 54) and BI analysis (PP = 0.85). While they were not monophyletic in the phylogenies inferred from TUB2, ITS, GAPDH and CAL, there was no strongly supported conflict in those trees. Our results are consistent with the criteria of GCPSR for recognizing these isolates as an independent lineage representing a novel species of Colletotrichum, named as *C. novenboracense*. Phylogenetic models and partitioning schemes used can be found in Supplementary Table S1.

Morphology characterization. We described morphological characteristics including colony color, conidial shape, measurements of colony growth rate and conidial length and width for several isolates of each *Colletotrichum* species causing apple bitter rot in this study (*C. fioriniae*, *C. chrysophilum*, *C. fructicola* and *C. novenboracense*). The isolates of *C. fioriniae* produced salmon to red conidial masses on 7-day-old cultures on PDA in both front and reverse sides and produced fusiform conidia after 10 days on PDA (Fig. 3a–c). Isolates belonging to *C. chrysophilum* initially produced colonies in white to light gray and progressively turned to dark grey in the center covered with predominantly black acervuli, producing orange conidial masses with longer incubation time. Cylindrical conidia with rounded ends developed after 10 days of incubation on PDA for this species (Fig. 3d–f). *C. fructicola* formed off-white to slightly gray aerial mycelium and yellowish to grey in reverse, developing cylindrical conidia with rounded ends after 10 days of incubation on PDA (Fig. 3g–i). Comparisons of conidial dimensions and shape, colony growth rates, as well as the description of colony color are presented in Table 1. Detailed morphological description for *C. novenboracense* is provided in the Taxonomy section.
Figure 1. Maximum-likelihood phylogeny inferred from ITS, TUB2 and GAPDH sequences from the *Colletotrichum acutatum* species complex. *Colletotrichum* isolates from this study are denoted with asterisks. The remaining taxa are reference isolates retrieved from NCBI. The phylogeny is rooted with *C. orchidophilum* (CBS 119291) and *C. orchidophilum* (CBS 632.80) as the outgroup. Bootstrap support values greater than 70 and posterior probabilities greater than 0.90 are shown on the branches (BS/PP). Type isolates are in bold font. Double hash marks indicate branch lengths shortened at least 2-fold to facilitate visualization. Scale bar represents the estimated number of substitutions per site.
**TAXONOMY.** *Colletotrichum noveboracense* F. Khodadadi, P.L. Martin, V.P. Doyle, & J.B. Gonzalez & S.G. Aćimović, sp. nov. MB 836581.

MycoBank MB 833232 (Figs. 4 and 5).

**Etymology.** The specific epithet is a combination of the long-established Latin name for New York (Noveboracum) state in the United States of America and the Latin -ensis, denoting the origin of the holotype.

**Figure 2.** Maximum-likelihood phylogeny inferred from eight loci (ACT, CAL, GAPDH, GS, ITS, ApMat, APN2 and TUB2) from the *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* species complex. *Colletotrichum* isolates from this study are denoted with asterisks. The remaining taxa are reference isolates retrieved from NCBI. The phylogeny is rooted with *C. javanense* CBS 144963, *C. boninense* CBS 123755 and *C. hippeastri* ICMP17920 as the outgroup. Bootstrap support values greater than 70 and posterior probabilities greater than 0.90 are shown on the branches (BS/PP). Type isolates are in bold font. Double hash marks indicate branch lengths shortened at least 2-fold to facilitate visualization. Scale bar represents the estimated number of substitutions per site.
Figure 3. Morphological characteristics (colony color and conidial shape) of Colletotrichum spp. isolated from bitter rot-infected apple fruit. Colony color of *Colletotrichum fioriniae*: (a) Front, (b) Reverse, (c) Conidial shape; colony color of *Colletotrichum chrysophilum*: (d) Front, (e) Reverse, (f) Conidial shape; Colony color of *Colletotrichum fructicola* (isolate AFK156 from Virginia): (g) Front, (h) Reverse, (i) Conidial shape.

| Characteristics                      | Species                                      |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
|                                      | *C. fioriniae*                              | *C. novoaracense*                           | *C. fructicola*                              | *C. chrysophilum*                           |
| Colony color                         | Initially white then covered with pink to salmon conidial masses; reverse pink to red | Predominantly white mycelial masses; reverse off-white to white | white mycelia with grey to dark grey at the center; reverse yellowish yellow | Light to dark gray mycelium; reverse dark grey to white in the margins |
| Conidium length (μm) x ± SD**         | 11.43 ± 1.41a (11–16.1) n = 25              | 13.6 ± 0.86b* (12.1–15.6) n = 25            | 16.6 ± 1.83c (13.2–20.3) n = 25              | 14 ± 1.46b (11.7–17.6) n = 25               |
| Conidium width (μm) x ± SD            | 4.38 ± 0.7a (3.2–5.6) n = 25                 | 5.7 ± 0.48b (4.6–6.4) n = 25                | 5.10 ± 0.44c (4.4–5.9) n = 25                | 4.9 ± 0.64c (4–6.3) n = 25                  |
| Conidium shape                        | Fusiform with pointed ends                   | Cylindrical                                 | Cylindrical with both ends rounded           | Cylindrical with rounded ends               |
| Growth rate (mm/day) x ± SD           | 7.89 ± 0.75a (4–11.1)                        | 13.10 ± 1.30b (7–17.5)                     | 13.1 ± 0.16b (7–17)                         | 14.95 ± 0.79c (12–20)                      |

Table 1. Morphological characteristics of *Colletotrichum* species in this study. *Values followed by different letter were significantly different (P < 0.05). **SD = Standard deviation.*
Holotype. The United States of America: New York State, Hudson, from fruit lesion of *Malus domestica* cultivar Idared, July 2017, F. Khodadadi & S.G. Aćimović, BPI 911227.

Ex-holotype culture. CBS 146410; AFKH109.

Description. Growth rate on full strength PDA at 25 °C, 13.1 ± 1.3 mm/d (avg. ± std. dev.) and 11.9 ± 0.9 mm/d on ½ strength PDA. Colonies on PDA white with light gray toward the center, reverse white to pale off-white and slightly grey at the center. Aerial mycelium on PDA white to off-white and cottony. Colony on CMA nearly invisible. Acervuli not observed. Perithecia solitary to clustered on SNA and OMA, dark brown to black, globose to obpyriform; ascospores allantoid, light olive (13.1–)15.9–17.6–19.8(–22.4) × (3.8–)4.4–4.8–5.1(–5.5) μm, length-width ratio (2.7–)3.4–3.7–4.0(–4.6). Conidiophores hyaline, smooth-walled, aseptate, unbranched. Conidiogenous cells hyaline, smooth-walled, cylindrical to ampulliform, monophialidic, often extending percurrently to form new monophialides and conidiogenous loci. Conidia formed from conidiogenous cells, one-celled, smooth-walled, hyaline, and cylindrical, sometimes oblong, contents appearing granular with occasional oil droplets. Conidia on ½ strength PDA (12.19–)12.5–13.03–14.9(–15.6) × (4.6–)4.8-5.3–5.9(–6.4) μm (avg. 13.6 × 5.7 μm, n = 25), length/width ratio (1.9–)2.3–2.7(–2.8) (avg. 2.41, n = 25). Appressoria (hyphopodia) in slide cultures, single, or in groups, light to medium brown, smooth-walled, oval, often with undulate margin (4.47–) 5.5–8.6–11.7(–13.5) × (3.7–)4.9–5.5–6.1(–6.2) μm (avg. 8.8 ± 2 × 4.9 ± 0.6 μm, n = 25), Hyphal diameter 1.8–4.5 μm.

Habitat/host. Known from the states of New York and Pennsylvania, causing bitter rot on *Malus domestica* fruit and a single isolate from Oklahoma as a leaf endophyte on *Juglans nigra*.
Diagnosis. Isolates of *Colletotrichum noveboracense* are strongly supported as monophyletic by the combined analysis of *ACT*, *APN2*, *GS*, *CAL*, *ApMat*, *GAPDH*, *ITS* and *TUB2* and sister to *C. nupharicola*, *C. chrysophilum* and *C. fructicola*. *C. noveboracense* differs from *C. nupharicola* by having a faster growth rate on PDA as well as shorter and narrower conidia. *C. nupharicola* also differs in having an orange colony that turns black with age on PDA versus white to grey colony color for *C. noveboracense*. *C. noveboracense* differs from *C. fructicola* by having shorter conidia and lighter colonies on PDA and differs from *C. chrysophilum* by having a slower growth rate on PDA. Sequence data from *ApMat*, *APN2*, *GS* and *ACT* delimit *C. noveboracense*, but *C. noveboracense* could not be distinguished by sequences of *GAPDH*, *CAL*, *TUB2* and *ITS*.

Additional specimens examined. USA. New York: Ulster County: on fruit of *Malus domestica*, Jul 2017, F. Khodadadi (AFK220, AFK408, AFK423, and AFK289); USA. New York: Colombia County: on fruit of *Malus domestica*, Jul 2017, F. Khodadadi (AFK65); USA. Pennsylvania: Adams County: on fruit of *Malus domestica*, late summer and fall of 2018, P. L. Martin (PMBrms-1); USA. Pennsylvania: Lehigh County: on fruit of *Malus*.

Figure 5. Teleomorph morphology of *Colletotrichum noveboracense* (CBS 146410, Ex-holotype culture) on OMA overlaid with filter paper. (A) Clustered perithecia, (B, C) Asci, (D) Ascospores, All scale bars = 10 μm.
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Notes. A very low sporulation rate was observed among the isolates collected from New York. No sporulation was seen on PDA and ½ strength PDA except for few isolates including AFKH109 which sparsely produced conidia on OMA. However, isolates collected from Pennsylvania sporulated on ½ strength PDA and OMA.

Agar plate enzyme activity. All isolates belonging to C. novoboracense, C. fioriniae and C. chrysophilum showed lipolytic, amylolytic and cellulolytic activity after five days of incubation on PDA (Fig. 6). However, none of the isolates showed halos of degradation for the proteolytic activity on skimmed milk. All isolates evaluated in this study showed cellulolytic activity as a yellow halo around the colony in plates including CMC stained with Congo red and fixed with NaCl. C. chrysophilum showed a significantly larger mean degradation halo of 8 mm in cellulolytic activity assay when compared to C. novoboracense and C. fioriniae (mean halo zone 6 and 6.5 mm, respectively) (Fig. 6a,b). Colletotrichum isolates of all three species produced halo around their colonies indicating their ability to produce lipase. C. chrysophilum isolates exhibited significantly the lowest lipid degradation with the mean halo diameter of 17 mm compared to isolates of C. fioriniae and C. novoboracense, with the mean halo diameter of 23 and 27 mm, respectively (Fig. 6c,d). The screening of Colletotrichum isolates on starch agar plates showed that all species produced halo zones reflecting amylase activity after exposure to iodine. The smallest and largest halo sizes for amylase belonged to C. fioriniae and C. novoboracense isolates, with an average of 3 and 8 mm, respectively. However, no significant difference was observed between the mean degradation halo of C. chrysophilum and C. fioriniae (Fig. 6e,f).
Fungicide sensitivity. Isolates belonging to the three *Colletotrichum* species showed significantly lower sensitivity to natamycin (mean EC50 values ranged from 4 to 5 µg/ml) compared to the other fungicides (mean EC50 values less than 0.5 µg/ml) (Fig. 7). Relative to *C. noveboracense* and *C. chrysophilum*, *C. fioriniae* isolates exhibited greater sensitivity to difenoconazole (EC50 value of 0.09 µg/ml), pyraclostrobin (EC50 value of 0.04 µg/ml) and fludioxonil (EC50 value of 0.12 µg/ml), but had less sensitivity to thiabendazole and benzovindiflupyr with EC50 values of 0.4 and 0.3 µg/ml, respectively. With respect to the relative fungicide sensitivity of individual species within the CGSC, we found that *C. noveboracense* isolates had significantly higher EC50 values in response to the fungicide difenoconazole and fludioxonil compared to *C. chrysophilum*. While all members of the CGSC responded similarly to thiabendazole (mean EC50 of 0.2 µg/ml), *C. chrysophilum* isolates were significantly less sensitive to pyraclostrobin and benzovindiflupyr (mean EC50 values of 0.26 µg/ml) when compared to *C. noveboracense* with mean EC50 value of 0.17 µg/ml (Fig. 7).

Pathogenicity. All isolates caused the typical symptoms of bitter rot as light to dark brown and sunken circular lesions on apple fruit of cultivar 'Honeycrisp'. To meet the requirements of Koch's postulates, *Colletotrichum* isolates were recovered from inoculated apple fruit and re-identified. Symptoms did not develop on the apple fruit inoculated with agar plugs. In the comprehensive pathogenicity test of selected *Colletotrichum* isolates of each species, the average diameter of lesions varied between the two species complexes and among the species.
within the CGSC complex (Fig. 8). The average diameter of lesions caused by C. fioriniae isolates on apple fruit of ‘Fuji’ and ‘Gala’ was significantly smaller, 17.7 and 39.6 mm, respectively, compared to that of produced by C. chrysophilum and C. noveboracense (Fig. 8). However, in ‘Red Delicious’, ‘Golden Delicious’ and ‘Honeycrisp’, the average lesion diameter caused by C. fioriniae isolates were the same as that produced by C. chrysophilum isolates (53.2, 76.5 and 44.4 mm) but significantly larger than the lesions developed by C. noveboracense (29, 57, 23.5 mm) (Fig. 8).

**Discussion**

Effective control of plant diseases caused by Colletotrichum species and determination of host specificity and virulence factors are reliant on precise identification and accurate taxonomical delineation of species boundaries. The assorting of Colletotrichum isolates recovered from apple fruit in this study to CASC and CGSC using a multiplex-PCR49, confirmed the reliability and affordability of this method to differentiate between these two species complexes. The ITS gene tree placed all the isolates in the CASC and CGSC with strong support, aligning with previous studies confirming the utility of ITS sequencing for classifying Colletotrichum isolates at the species complex level70,71. In addition, the placement of our isolates in this study into the CASC and CGSC supports previous evidence that species from these two species complexes are predominantly involved in causing apple bitter rot41,72,73. Although the application of ITS rDNA sequences to identify Colletotrichum species was used in studies in the 1990s70–72, ITS data are insufficient for identifying species in the CGSC73,74. The multi-locus analyses provided strong resolution and placed the Colletotrichum isolates causing bitter rot of apple in New York orchards in C. fioriniae clade from CASC and C. chrysophilum clade from CGSC. It also contributed to the identification of a new species in this study, C. noveboracense, causing apple bitter rot in New York and Pennsylvania.

In our study, C. fioriniae was the dominant species causing bitter rot on apple which is consistent with previous work in Kentucky where C. fioriniae was also the most abundant species17. C. fioriniae causes bitter rot on apple in the US, Korea and Croatia72,74,75. Seventy percent of isolates recovered from apple orchards in Arkansas, North Carolina and Virginia were identified as C. acutatum76, the taxon assigned to all Colletotrichum strains with acute conidia which later were assigned to CASC of over a dozen species42.

**C. fructicola** was reported as a causal agent of bitter rot of apple in the USA, Brazil, Korea and Uruguay43,44. However, in our work, C. fructicola was recovered only from symptomatic apple fruit received from Virginia, not from apple orchards in New York and Pennsylvania. C. fructicola was reported to represent the most biological and geographical diversity in the CGSC5. Its host range and distribution were reported from coffee berries in Thailand, peach in USA, avocado in Australia and apple in USA, Brazil and Korea, to name a few examples of the geographic and host diversity from which this species has been isolated3.

First, consisting of two strongly supported monophyletic subclades, C. ignotum was described as an endophyte of Genipa americana, Tetragnathus panamensis and Theobroma cacao82. This species was later synonymized with C. fructicola1, with the ex-type of C. ignotum and C. fructicola nested within the same clade. Later, it was determined that the second subclade within C. fructicola represented an independent evolutionary lineage and was described as C. chrysophilum1. We detected C. chrysophilum for the first time as pathogen on apple in New York and Pennsylvania. It ranks as the second most common species identified in apple orchards in New York, after C. fioriniae. In classification of Colletotrichum isolates causing anthracnose of peach, using CAL, GAPDH and TUB2, isolate RR12-3 clustered with C. fructicola reference strain ICMP 18645 with bootstrap value 9449. By adding five more partial gene sequences, RR12-3 was re-identified as C. chrysophilum in our phylogenetic analyses. In addition, we re-identified isolate GA253 as C. chrysophilum, that was previously identified as C. nupharicola49. These findings expand the known host range and geographic distribution of C. chrysophilum, which has been identified on cacao and genipa (Genipa americana; Panama52), fern (Terpsichore taxifolia; Puerto Rico53), avocado (Israel49), peach (South Carolina49) and banana (Brazil51).

**Colletotrichum noveboracense** was identified as a new species in Colletotrichum genus causing apple bitter rot disease in New York and Pennsylvania. The nine C. noveboracense isolates from New York and Pennsylvania, as well as a single endophytic isolate from Juglans nigra in Oklahoma, formed a distinct clade with high support. In our initial phylogenetic analyses using Bayesian inference, the isolates later attributed to C. noveboracense formed a distinct clade in a three-gene multi-locus analysis (ITS, TUB2, ApMat) with full support (BI PP 1.0). Additionally, in our initial Bayesian analysis of seven loci (ACT, TUB2, CAL, GAPDH, GS, ITS and ApMat) and other different combinations of loci, C. noveboracense was sister to C. nupharicola (PP = 0.95). C. nupharicola is easily distinguished within the CGSC in terms of morphology. This host-specific species has very slow growth on PDA and both the length and width of the conidia are much greater than other species in CGSC51,52. The morphological differences between C. nupharicola and C. noveboracense prompted us to extend the analysis to include a much larger dataset, include an additional locus (APN2) known to provide better resolution in CGSC39, and evaluate the new clade under GCPSR criteria11. This led us to identify these isolates as a strongly supported clade, distinct from other taxa in CGSC.

Fungi have developed a plethora of adaptive mechanisms, including extracellular enzyme secretion79. In our study, using the skimmed milk agar plates to detect proteolytic activity, it was impossible to observe visible halos of degradation for the assessed isolates. Several possibilities might contribute to the lack of visualization of proteolytic activity in Colletotrichum species. First, the ability and the level of protease gene expression in fungi could differ based on the nutrient source used in agar medium. Aspergillus isolates showed ability to produce proteases in agar medium supplemented with gelatin and casein as two different sources of protein80. Second, the difference in range of pH in culture medium also affects the proteolytic activities80. Finally, although the degradation halo indicating the protease activity in C. fructicola isolates was detected easily on skimmed milk agar plate85, sometimes the detection of the degradation zones is not possible unless a developing agent like bromocresol green dye is used85. The three Colletotrichum species in our study showed different level of amylytic, cellulolytic and lipolytic activities. Prior to the present work, only a few studies investigated the enzyme activity of
Colletotrichum isolates. C. fructicola isolates causing bitter rot and leaf spot on apple in Brazil were compared for their ability to produce amylolytic, pectolytic, lipolytic and proteolytic activity, and showed higher amylolytic and pectolytic activity compared to the isolates causing leaf spot, while they were the same in lipolytic and proteolytic activity. Our results show species variation in enzymatic activity, which might be related to variable ability of different Colletotrichum species to effectively penetrate and spread in host plant tissues and the higher level of virulence. This hypothesis must be further evaluated by investigating the contribution of these enzymes in pathogenicity.

To control bitter rot disease, applications of different fungicides are recommended. We observed statistically different fungicide sensitivity between and within the complexes in our study, which is supported by the previous studies on apple where the CASC was more tolerant to thiophanate-methyl, myclobutanil, trifloxystrobin, captan and demethylation inhibitor (DMI) fungicides in comparison to the CGSC. In addition, within the CASC, isolates from apple orchards in Brazil showed different levels of sensitivity (25–83%) to mancozeb, thiophanate-methyl and azoxystrobin. All the fungicides in our study showed high mycelial growth inhibition against all the species. Several studies support our findings. Benzovindiflupyr was highly active against mycelial growth of C. gloeosporioides, C. acutatum, C. cereale and C. orbiculare with EC50 values lower than 0.1 μg/ml. Similar efficiency of this fungicide was seen in germination of conidia and germ tube growth of isolates with EC50 values 0.1 and 1 μg/ml. Few isolates belonging to C. fioriniae, C. fructicola and C. siamense were sensitive to fluoxadifen, and benzovindiflupyr with EC50 values < 0.1 μg/ml and < 0.1 to 0.33 μg/ml, respectively.

In our study, in vitro toxicity of natamycin with an EC50 of 3 μg/ml against Colletotrichum species was significantly lower than that of the other fungicides with EC50 values ranging from 0.04 to 0.4 μg/ml. This is consistent with the previous work in which the toxicity of natamycin against mycelial growth of C. acutatum ranged from 0.5 to 1.9 μg/ml in EC50 values and was considerably lower compared to fluoxadifen, azoxystrobin and cyprodinil. Our data show strong in vitro activity of fungicides used in this study and likely would provide effective control of bitter rot in orchards or storages. Although the susceptibility profiles of Colletotrichum species against fungicides in apple orchards across the United States are limited, the efficacy of benzovindiflupyr and pyraclostrobin against bitter rot and GLS was reported in two recent trials in North Carolina. Future studies should continue to validate the effectiveness of these and other fungicides against apple bitter rot.

In conclusion, three Colletotrichum species, C. fioriniae, C. chrysophilum and a novel species C. noveboracense, were identified as the causal agent of apple bitter rot in New York. Also, our study for the first time describes C. chrysophilum as the causal agents of bitter rot on apple in Virginia and Pennsylvania and C. noveboracense in Pennsylvania. We determined that the three species varied in pathogenicity, enzyme activity and fungicide sensitivity, which are important characteristics for bitter rot management. Our results highlight the significance of accurate identification of Colletotrichum species causing bitter rot in apple production regions in order to manage this economically important disease and secure the profitability of apple industry.

Methods

Sample collection and fungal isolation. In 2017 and 2018, apple fruit with typical symptoms of bitter rot disease were collected from a variety of apple cultivars in commercial and private apple orchards in the Hudson Valley area, New York (Table 2). Around 400 Colletotrichum isolates were obtained from apple fruit disinfected with 5% bleach for 2 min and rinsed with sterile distilled water. After removing the peel around the hyphal tip method.

Selection of isolates for molecular analysis. Besides sample collection from New York, we also received bitter-rot infected apple fruit from commercial orchards in Pickerel and Cana, Virginia and Thurmond, North Carolina in 2017 (provided by Virginia Tech Research Station, Winchester, VA) and isolates from Pennsylvania State University’s Fruit Research and Extension Center in Biglerville, PA, for identification and comparison. Moreover, two isolates (CgRR12-1 and CgRR12-3 identified as C. fructicola recovered from peach fruit) were received from School of Agricultural, Forest and Environmental Sciences, Clemson University, SC, for re-identification and comparison. All isolates collected in this study were placed into two morphological types based on growth rate, colony texture and color, sporulation and conidial shape on PDA. In total, 44 isolates (31 from New York and 13 from other states) from the two morphologically distinct types were selected based on geographical distribution and apple cultivar for identification to the species complex using ITS sequencing and multiplex PCR assay, and subsequently to the species level using multi-locus phylogenetic analyses (Table 2). Isolates collected from New York were used for enzyme activity assay, fungicide sensitivity and pathogenicity test.

Multiplex PCR assay. DNA from mycelia of 7-day-old Colletotrichum cultures was extracted using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A multiplex PCR assay was performed to differentiate isolates of the CGSC and CASC by partial amplification of the GAPDH and CAL genes using primer pairs GDF1/C-GAPDH-R, CALF1/Cg-R, and CALF1/Ca-R1. PCR amplifications were carried out in 25 μL volumes containing 10X PCR buffer (includes 20 mM MgCl2) (Dream Taq, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, US), 200 ng of gDNA, 2 mM dNTP, 1 μM/L of Taq DNA polymerase (Dream Taq, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, US) and 10 μM of each primer using Applied Biosystems 2720 Thermo Cycler (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, US). Cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation of 4 min at 94 °C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 40 s, annealing at 56 °C for 40 s and extension at 72 °C for 1 min, with a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products were visualized in 1% (w/v) agarose gels in 1X TAE buffer electrophoresed at 94.1 V for 45 min.
DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing. DNA was extracted from mycelia of 7-day-old cultures of 44 isolates using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc, Valencia, CA, US). The partial nucleotide sequences were amplified from eight loci (ITS, CAL, TUB2, GAPDH, GS, ACT, ApMat and APN2), and from three loci (ITS, TUB2 and GAPDH) for isolates belonging to the CGSC and CASC, respectively (primer pairs described in Table 2).
in Table 3). PCR reactions were performed in 30–μL volumes, including 200 ng of genomic DNA, 10X Dream Taq Green PCR buffer (includes 20 mM MgCl2) (Dream Taq, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, US), 2 mM dNTP, 1 μM Taq DNA polymerase (Dream Taq, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, US) and 10 μL of each primer. Cycling conditions were as follows52; initial denaturation of 4 min at 95 °C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, 30 s annealing at 52 °C (ITS), 59 °C (CAL and GS), 55 °C (TUB2), 60 °C (GAPDH), 58 °C (ACT and ApMat) and 56 °C (APN2), extension of 45 s at 72 °C, final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were examined in 1% (w/v) agarose gels in 1X TAE buffer electrophoresed at 94.1 V for 45 min. PCR product purification and Sanger sequencing were performed by Eurofins Genomics, Louisville, KY, USA.

**Phylogenetic analyses.** Consensus sequences were obtained by assembling forward and reverse reads using Geneious Pro v. 11.1.490. In order to confirm the placement of the isolates within species complexes, ITS sequences collected from 44 isolates and references from representatives of each of the nine major clades10 were used to construct the ITS phylogeny. To evaluate the placement of isolates at the species level, the C. acutatum phylogeny was constructed using three loci (ITS, TUB2 and GAPDH), whereas the C. gloeosporioides phylogeny was constructed using eight loci (ACT, CAL, GAPDH, GS, ITS, ApMat, APN2 and TUB2).

All three phylogenies were constructed using Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML) approaches. Reference sequences (Supplementary Table S2) were downloaded from GenBank and aligned using MAFFT v7 on-line106,107, specifying the G-INS-i iterative refinement strategy. The alignments were trimmed using Gblocks v0.91b52 specifying the less stringent criteria. Model selection was conducted using PartitionFinder 294, study, in addition to isolate Coll94053, clustered together with high support and were distinct from any clade containing the ex-type of any previously described species, suggesting that these isolates may represent a novel lineage. In order to determine whether this new clade formed a distinct phylogenetic lineage, we applied GCPSR78. In this approach, a clade is determined to represent an independent evolutionary lineage if the clade satisfies one of two criteria: genealogical concordance or nondiscordance. The genealogical concordance criterion is satisfied if the clade is found well-supported (e.g. both ML and BI analysis ≥ 70% and ≥ 0.95, respectively) in most individual gene trees. The nondiscordance criterion is satisfied if the clade is found well-supported in at least one gene tree and members not found strongly supported in contradictory placement (e.g. clustering with the type isolate of another species) in any other individual gene trees.

**Table 3.** List of primers used in this study, sequences and sources.

| Product Name | Gene | Primer | Direction | Sequence (5’–3’)
|---------------|------|--------|-----------|-------------------|
| Calmodulin    | CAL  | CL1C   | Forward   | GAATTCAAGGAGGCGCTTCCTC|
|               |      | CL2C   | Reverse   | CTCTGCAATCAAGGGGGCAGC|
| Glutamine Synthetase | GS   | GSF    | Forward   | ATGGCCGAGTACATCTGGG|
|               |      | GSR    | Reverse   | GAACCTGAGATTCCCTCCAC|
| Glyceroldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | GAPDH | GDF-F | Forward | CCGCTCAAGACGCCCTTCATTGA|
|               |      | GDF-R  | Reverse   | GGTGGAGTCTGGACCTGGCA|
| Internal transcribed Spacer | ITS  | ITS1-F | Forward | CTTGTCATTTAGAGGAAGT|
|               |      | ITS4   | Reverse   | TCTCCGCTTATGATATGC|
| β-tubulin 2   | TUB2 | T1     | Forward   | AACATGCGTAAGTTGAGT|
|               |      | T2     | Reverse   | TAGTGACCTTGGGAGCTTG|
| Actin         | ACT  | Act512F| Forward   | ATGTGCAAGGGCGCTTCGC|
|               |      | Act783R| Reverse   | TACGAGTCTTCTGGCCCAT|
| DNA Lyase     | APN2 | ColdL-F3| Forward | GGGGAAGGCGAACACATAC|
|               |      | CgDL-R1| Reverse   | GCCCGACGAGCAGAGACTG|
| Intergenic spacer and partial mating type (Mat1-2) gene | ApMat | CgDL-F6| Forward | AGTGAGGATTGCCAGGC|
|               |      | CgMat1F2| Reverse  | TGATGTATCCCGACTACG|

Species delimitation. Initial phylogenetic analyses revealed that several CGSC isolates collected in this study, in addition to isolate Coll94053, clustered together with high support and were distinct from any clade containing the ex-type of any previously described species, suggesting that these isolates may represent a novel lineage. In order to determine whether this new clade formed a distinct phylogenetic lineage, we applied GCPSR78. In this approach, a clade is determined to represent an independent evolutionary lineage if the clade satisfies one of two criteria: genealogical concordance or nondiscordance. The genealogical concordance criterion is satisfied if the clade is found well-supported (e.g. both ML and BI analysis ≥ 70% and ≥ 0.95, respectively) in most individual gene trees. The nondiscordance criterion is satisfied if the clade is found well-supported in at least one gene tree and members not found strongly supported in contradictory placement (e.g. clustering with the type isolate of another species) in any other individual gene trees.
To apply the GCPSR approach, individual gene trees were constructed for each of the eight genes used in the multi-locus *C. gloeosporioides* phylogeny. Evolutionary model selection and gene tree constructed were as described above, except that 5,000,000 generations were used to infer posterior probabilities for the Bayesian approach. Placement of clade members in each Bayesian and ML tree were evaluated for each individual gene tree.

**Morphological characterization.** Colony color, growth rate, conidial shape, length and width of *Colletotrichum* spp. in this study was evaluated by transferring 4-mm diameter plugs from the periphery of 5-day-old cultures, grown at 25 °C in dark, onto PDA and ½ strength PDA. Colony color was described after 7 days of incubation on PDA at 25 °C in dark. Colony growth rate was determined by measuring the colony diameter of each isolate grown on PDA daily over the course of 7 days at 25 °C in dark. To study the morphology of isolates belonging to the novel species, slide culture technique was used to induce the isolates to produce appressoria. Synthesicher nahrstoffarmer agar (SNA i.e. synthetic nutrient-poor medium) and oatmeal agar (OMA) were used to induce sporulation.

Microscopic observations, with 25 measurements per each structure, were viewed with an Olympus BX51 microscope (Olympus Corporation of the Americas, Center Valley, PA, US) using the differential interference contrast (DIC) setting. Statistical analysis was conducted by one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) using Graph Pad Prism software v5 (San Diego, CA, U.S.A).

**Agar-plate enzyme activity.** To perform the qualitative enzyme activity, isolates were grown on PDA at 25 °C for 7 days in the dark. For lipolytic and proteolytic activities, we transferred a mycelial plug from the growing part of each colony onto peptone agar medium (10 g peptone, 5 g NaCl, 0.1 g CaCl, 2H.O, 15 g agar, pH 6.0) supplemented with 1% Tween 20 and onto PDA containing 1% soluble skim milk, respectively. After five days of incubation at 25 °C in dark, the size of the clear zone indicating lipolytic and proteolytic activity around each colony was measured in millimeters (mm) using a caliper. For amylolytic activity, isolates were transferred to starch hydrolysis agar medium (pH 7) and kept at 25 °C for 5 days in dark. After flooding with 1 ml of Gram Iodine solution, the clear halo around each colony was measured. Isolates were cultured on PDA supplemented with 0.5% carboxy-methylcellulose (CMC) for 5 days at 25 °C in dark for cellulolytic activity. The plates were treated with 1% Congo red solution and shaken for 15 min. After removing Congo red, cultures were treated with 1 M NaCl and shaken for 15 min. Subsequently, clear zones indicating cellulolytic activity were measured. Three replicates per each isolate was used. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Graph Pad Prism software v5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, US).

**Fungicide sensitivity.** We evaluated sensitivity of *Colletotrichum* isolates to the technical grade of fungicides pyraclostrobin (Merivon, Pristine, BASF Corporation), difenoconazole (Inspire Super, Syngenta Crop Protection), benzoindiflupyr (Aprovia, Syngenta Crop Protection), thiabendazole (Mertect 340-F, Syngenta Crop Protection), fludioxonil (Scholar, Syngenta Crop Protection) and bio-fungicide natamycin, by using colony growth inhibition assays. We selected these active ingredients as they are registered in the US by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for application in apple orchards or storages. Each isolate was sub-cultured on PDA and grown at 25 °C for 5 days in the dark. Three-mm mycelial disks cut from actively growing parts of each colony were transferred to PDA plates supplemented with pyraclostrobin and thiabendazole at 0, 0.0001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.2, 0.5, 1 and 10 μg/ml; difenoconazole at 0, 0.0001, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1 and 10 μg/ml; benzoindiflupyr at 0, 0.0001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 10 and 20 μg/ml, and fludioxonil at 0, 0.0001, 0.01, 0.03, 0.1, 0.2, 1, 10 and 20 μg/ml. All fungicides were dissolved in acetone. Natamycin was dissolved in methanol and used at 0, 0.2, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 20, 40 μg/ml. Each concentration for each fungicide was replicated five times and the experiment was performed twice. To calculate the EC50 values, mean colony diameter and growth rate of each isolate were measured after 5 days incubation at 25 °C in dark. The data were fit to a sigmoidal dose-response curve and EC50 values were determined by nonlinear regression using Graph Pad Prism software v5 for Windows OS (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, US). Mean EC50 of each fungicide for all isolates was compared using two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Comparison Posttest using GraphPad Prism v5.

**Pathogenicity assay.** Pathogenicity of all isolates was first tested on apple fruit of cultivar ‘Honeycrisp’ to reproduce bitter rot symptoms. Later, six *Colletotrichum* isolates from each species were inoculated on the apple fruit of cultivars ‘Golden Delicious’, ‘Honeycrisp’, ‘Red Delicious’, ‘Fuji’ and ‘Gala’ obtained from a grocery store and washed with detergent and water to ensure that no fungicide residues remain on the surface. Three fruit per each cultivar were disinfected for 2 min in 5% bleach, rinsed twice with sterile distilled water and then wounded with a 3-mm corkborer. Two opposite sides of each fruit were inoculated with 3-mm mycelial plugs of each isolate with aerial mycelia facing the flesh. Control apple fruit received uninoculated agar plugs. Plastic boxes containing inoculated apple fruit placed on moist paper towels were incubated at 25 °C in the dark. Lesion diameter was measured 15 days after inoculation. Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Posttest using Graph Pad Prism software v5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, US). P-values ≤ 0.05 were considered significant. To fulfill Koch’s postulates, strains were re-isolated and morphologically re-identified.

**Data availability**

Alignments and tree files generated during the current study are available in the TreeBase (Access: http://purl.org/phylo/treebase PHYL6947). All sequence data are available in NCBI GenBank following the accession numbers in the manuscript.
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