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Abstract

Background: Hypertension is a leading risk factor for mortality and morbidity globally and in the Arab world. We summarize the evidence on awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension, to assess the extent of gaps in the hypertension continuum of care. We also assess the influence of gender and other social determinants at each level of the cascade of care.

Methods: We searched MEDLINE and SSCI databases for studies published between 2000 and 2017, reporting the rates of awareness, treatment or control of hypertension and/or their determinants in the Arab region. We included sources on both general populations and on clinical populations. The review process was based on the PRISMA guidelines. We present rates on the three stages of the care cascade corresponding to (1) awareness (2) treatment and (3) control of blood pressure, and estimated the losses that occur when moving from one stage to another. We also take stock of the evidence on social determinants and assess the statistical significance of gender differences in awareness, treatment and control.

Results: Data from 73 articles were included. Substantial proportions of hypertensives were lost at each step of the hypertension care continuum, with more missed opportunities for care resulting from lack of awareness of hypertension and from uncontrolled blood pressure. More than 40% and 19% of all hypertensive individuals were found to be unaware and to have uncontrolled blood pressure, respectively, but among individuals diagnosed with hypertension, less than 21% were untreated. Awareness rates were higher among women than men but this advantage was not consistently translated into better blood pressure control rates among women.

Conclusions: This analysis of the cascade of care indicates that barriers to proper diagnosis and adequate control are greater than barriers to delivery of treatment, and discusses potential factors that may contribute to the gaps in delivery.
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Background

The importance of hypertension as a risk factor for cardiovascular and kidney diseases, and as a cause of mortality and morbidity is well recognized [1–3], with around 19% of global deaths and 9% of global disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) attributable to high systolic blood pressure in 2017 [4].

Cardiovascular diseases account for almost one-third of deaths in the Arab region and a 2015 analysis of data on the Eastern Mediterranean region attributed half of cardiovascular deaths to high systolic blood pressure [5]. The high prevalence of hypertension in the Arab region reflects in part the high prevalence of overweight and obesity [6–8] which have rapidly increased [9], and dire predictions have been made regarding the adverse health outcomes of these two risk factors [10]. There are however few systematic assessments of the extent to which hypertension is diagnosed and managed in the Arab region.

Global statistics indicate that despite diagnosis being straightforward and treatments widely available and relatively inexpensive, there are considerable delivery gaps at the level of awareness, treatment and control of hypertension [11, 12]. An analysis of data from 90 countries showed that 47% of all hypertensive adults were aware of their condition; 37% were being treated; and only 14% had their blood pressure under control [11], underscoring the importance of delivery gaps. In research on other diseases, most prominently HIV [13], the notion of the cascade of care has been proposed to examine the extent of delivery gaps as patients move from one stage to the next in the continuum of care [14, 15]. Few studies have applied this framework to hypertension [16, 17] and none have used it in the Arab region.

In this paper, we use the cascade of care framework to summarize the evidence on hypertension awareness, treatment and control, to identify where losses occur in the continuum of care, and to investigate to what extent social determinants influence rates of awareness, treatment and control in the different countries of the region. We pay special attention to gender differences, because the region is said to be characterized by inequitarian gender indicators, and it is important to examine to what extent such social factors translate into health inequalities.

Methods

Search strategy and inclusion criteria

Our review process followed PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines, in comprehensively reviewing several electronic databases, relying on two researchers to select studies and extract data, and using clear inclusion and exclusion criteria. However, in view of the heterogeneity in the available literature and the recognized difficulty of obtaining datasets from researchers in the region, we did not aim to conduct a standard systematic review and meta-analysis. In addition, we did not limit our scope to a single question, since we wanted to explore the evidence on awareness, treatment, and control rates of hypertension. The study was thus designed to present a systematic assessment and comprehensive summary of the evidence on awareness treatment and control of hypertension in the Arab world.

We searched MEDLINE and Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI) databases for studies, published between January 2000 and January 2017, pertaining to hypertension and its management in countries of the Arab region, defined as the 22 countries of the Arab League: Algeria, Bahrain, Comoros, Djibouti, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Mauritania, Morocco, Palestine, Oman, Qatar, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), Somalia, Sudan, Syria, Tunisia, United Arab Emirates (UAE), and Yemen. Thus defined, the Arab region overlaps closely but not completely with other regional groupings, including the Eastern Mediterranean region and the North Africa and Middle East region as used in the Burden of Disease studies. Various combinations of MeSH terms and key words related to hypertension, its magnitude, burden, and social determinants were used. Details are provided in Additional file 1. We searched for additional studies manually by screening the references cited in all relevant reviews and study articles.

The selection of studies was done as a two-step process. Studies were included if they reported on any of the following: prevalence, awareness, treatment, control or management of hypertension and/or their determinants and correlates among residents of Arab countries. In this analysis, we focus on the subset of studies reporting the rates of awareness, treatment or control of hypertension and/or their determinants. Two researchers conducted title-abstract screening followed by full-text screening, to harmonize results, and resolve disagreements. They also conducted quality assessment by excluding studies that did not report on sample size, or on the methods used to measure awareness and/or treatment and/or control of hypertension; or studies that presented inconsistent numbers. We included sources on both general populations and on clinical populations. Studies published in any language were eligible. Multi-country studies were included if they presented data on at least one Arab country. Editorials, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, studies conducted exclusively on children, adolescents, or on patients undergoing treatment or suffering from particular diseases, and studies conducted on Arabs residing outside the Arab region were all excluded.

Data extraction

Citations from search results were imported into EndNote and duplicates removed. We used the open-source
Open Data Kit (ODK) software (https://ona.io/) to create
the data entry protocol. Data for each study were ex-
ttracted by the two independent researchers and in-
cluded: article identification (title, author/s, publication
year, journal, country/ies of study), research design, set-
ting, sample size, study population, gender, and age;
diagnostic methods of hypertension, and information on
at least one of the following variables: awareness, treat-
ment or control and/or their social determinants.

Definition of hypertension, awareness, treatment and
control
The available studies use different definitions and different
denominators to present their results, thus requiring a
careful examination of definitions and a standardized way
to present our results. Most studies defined hypertension
by a systolic blood pressure (SBP) \(\geq 140\) mmHg and/or a
diastolic blood pressure (DBP) \(\geq 90\) mmHg; or by self-
reported treatment for hypertension with antihypertensive
medication. A few studies used different cut-off points for
SBP such as \(\geq 160\) mmHg, and for DBP such as \(\geq 80\)
mmHg or \(\geq 95\) mmHg. In most of the studies that we re-
trieved, awareness was defined as self-report of any prior
diagnosis of hypertension and was measured by the pro-
portion of known hypertensives among total hypertensives;
treatment was defined as use of a medication for manage-
ment of hypertension at the time of the study and was re-
ported either among all hypertensives or among aware
hypertensives; control was defined as SBP < 140 mmHg
and DBP < 90 mmHg and was reported among all hyper-
tensives, aware hypertensives, or treated hypertensives. The
term “undiagnosed hypertension” was sometimes used to
refer to participants with no history of hypertension but
who were diagnosed with hypertension in the course of
surveys. For this paper, we extracted all the different de-
nominators and terms used to calculate proportions of
awareness, treatment and control (See Supplementary
Table S1, Additional file 2); we also compared these pro-
portions among men and women.

Data analysis
Our analysis of the cascade of care is based on cross-
sectional studies, and we present results as proportions
rather than survival analytical statistics which are recom-
mended for longitudinal studies [18]. We consider three
stages of the hypertension continuum of care which cor-
respond to (1) awareness (2), treatment and (3) control,
and focus on the losses that occur when moving from one
stage to the other (see Fig. 1). We present rates of
awareness, treatment and control as percentages and in-
dicate whether they are based on the figures in the origi-
inal publications if these were provided, or on our own
calculations. For each study providing information rele-
vant to all three stages of the hypertension continuum of
care, we computed the absolute losses for each stage of the
care cascade by subtracting the proportions of (1) aware
hypertensives out of all hypertensives to derive the propor-
tion unaware; (2) treated among all aware hypertensives,
to derive the proportion untreated; and (3) controlled
among treated hypertensives to derive the proportion
uncontrolled. We also computed the relative percent
losses and report the results in Supplementary Table 2

![Fig. 1](https://via.placeholder.com/150.png)

*Most studies defined hypertension by a SBP \(\geq 140\) mmHg and/or a DBP \(\geq 90\) mmHg; or by a self-reported treatment with antihypertensive
medication. A few studies used different cut-off points for SBP and DBP such as SBP \(\geq 140\) mmHg and/or DBP \(\geq 80\) mmHg; or SBP \(\geq 160\)
mmHg and/or DBP \(\geq 95\) mmHg.
Results

State of the evidence: hypertension awareness, treatment and control in the Arab world

As shown in Fig. 2, out of 1575 retrieved publications, 73 met the inclusion criteria; these included 67 single-country and 6 multi-country studies.

Table S1 (Additional file 2) summarizes the evidence on awareness, treatment and control of hypertension by country. There were three instances where the same data source was used by two different articles [20–25]. We only kept in the analysis the three articles which provided the most information on awareness, treatment, and/or control of hypertension and/or included the different crude rates; thus a total of 70 articles contributed data. No published articles were found on Comoros, Djibouti, Iraq, Libya, Mauritania, Qatar or Somalia, and Syria lacked data on hypertension control rates. More than 3/4 of the studies were conducted on general populations and the remaining (n = 16/70) involved hypertensive populations. Only 17% of the articles were nationally representative studies (n = 12/70).

Awareness among all hypertensives ranged from 14% to 82%; the lowest rates were reported in Oman (18% and 24%), Morocco (22%) and a disadvantaged community in Jordan (14%) [26–29] (Table S1, Additional file 2).

Treatment rates among aware hypertensives ranged from 40% to 93%; more than 2/3 of aware hypertensives were being treated in the majority of the studies with lower rates reported in Morocco (40%), and in a disadvantaged community in Lebanon (58%) [28, 30].

Control rates among treated subjects ranged very widely, from 12% to 67%; the lowest rates were reported in studies conducted in Oman (12%) [28], in Tunisia (13%) [31] and among older-adults in Bahrain (15%) [32] whereas the highest control rates were reported in studies conducted in Kuwait (67%) [33], Algeria (57%) [34] and Lebanon (54%) [35].

The Cascade of hypertension care

Less than 1/3 (22/70) of articles provided information relevant to the cascade of care by (1) simultaneously including awareness, treatment and control rates of hypertension; or (2) by including enough information for the authors to calculate the corresponding values. Table 1 presents the results, using all hypertensive subjects as the denominator to facilitate comparisons.

Most studies have reported measuring blood pressure several times and based on a defined protocol to ensure accuracy of the results. Results show that large proportions of hypertensives are lost at each step of the hypertension care continuum with more missed opportunities...
| Study                   | Sample size | Agea | Prevalenceb (%) | Among Hypertensivesc (%) | Loss 1 (%) | Aware (%) | Loss 2 (%) | Treated (%) | Loss 3 (%) | Controlled (%) |
|------------------------|-------------|------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| **HOUSEHOLD**          |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Algeria                |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Hamidaa, F. et al. (2013) [46] | 722        | ≥ 40 | 50.0            | −57.5                    | 42.5       | −1.7      | 40.8       | −32.5       | 8.3        |                |
| Bahrain                |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Al-Mahroos, F. et al. (2000) [43] | 2090       | 40–69 | 30              | −38.0                    | 62.0       | −6.3      | 55.7       | −42.3       | 13.4       |                |
| Jordan                 |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Jaddou, H.Y. et al. (2011) [40] | 4117       | ≥ 25 | 32.3            | −43.9                    | 56.1       | −20.6     | 35.5        | −21.43      | 14.07      |                |
| Kheirallah, K. A et al. (2015) [41] | 517g       | ≥ 25 | 44.3            | −63.8                    | 36.2       | −3.0      | 33.2        | −23.2       | 10.0       |                |
| KSA                    |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Saeed, A.A. et al. (2011) [45] | 4758       | 15–64 | 25.5           | −55.3                    | 44.7       | −12.6     | 32.1        | −20.2       | 11.9        |                |
| El-Bcherouki C. et al. (2014) [44] | 10,735     | ≥ 15 | 15.2            | −57.8                    | 42.2        | −5.4      | 36.8        | −20.2       | 16.6        |                |
| Yusufali, A. M. et al. (2017) [25] | 1545       | 35–70 | 30.0           | −39.0                    | 61.0        | −2.0      | 59.0        | −29.0       | 30.0        |                |
| Morocco                |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Tazi, M.A. et al. (2009) [28] | 1802       | ≥ 20 | 39.6            | −78.1                    | 21.9       | −13.1     | 8.8        | −7.7        | 1.1        |                |
| Palestine              |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Yusufali, A. M. et al. (2017) [25] | 1545       | 35–70 | 37.0           | −40.0                    | 60.0       | −2.0      | 58.0        | −35.0       | 23.0        |                |
| Tunisia                |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Ben Romdhane, H.et al. (2005) [31] | 1837       | 40–69 | 44.3           | −58.1                    | 41.9       | −10.9     | 31.0        | −26.9       | 4.1         |                |
| Hammami, S. et al. (2011) [36] | 598        | ≥ 65 | 52.0            | −19.0                    | 81.0       | −2.6      | 78.4        | −54.0       | 24.4        |                |
| Ben Romdhane, H. et al. (2012) [47] | 8007       | 35–74 | 30.6           | −61.2                    | 38.8       | −5.9      | 32.9        | −25.0       | 7.9         |                |
| [UAE]                  |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Yusufali, A. M. et al. (2017) [25] | 1545       | 35–70 | 52.0           | −48.0                    | 52.0       | −2.0      | 50.0        | −37.0       | 13.0        |                |
| Yemen                  |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Modesti, P. A. et al. (2013) [20] | 10,242     | 15–69 | 12.8           | −55.5                    | 44.5       | −4.1      | 40.4        | −30.7       | 9.7         |                |
| HEALTH CARE FACILITIES |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Kuwait                 |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Awad, A. & Alsaleh, F. (2015) [33] | 1610       | 20–79 | 20.0           | −41.9                    | 58.1        | 0         | 58.1        | −19.3       | 38.8        |                |
| Palestine (West Bank)  |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Khdour, M. R. et al. (2013) [48] | 2077       | 25–92 | 27.6           | −49.0                    | 51.0       | −10.8     | 40.2        | −30.5       | 9.7         |                |
| Sudan                  |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Abdelsatir, S. et al. (2013) [38] | 389        | 41 ± 15 | 39.6       | −27.9                    | 72.1       | −17.1     | 55.0        | −19.9       | 35.1        |                |
| Tunisia                |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Laouani Kechrid,C.et al. (2004) [37] | 600        | ≥ 60 | 69.3           | −31.5                    | 68.5       | −2.1      | 66.4        | −50.6       | 15.8        |                |
| Yemen                  |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Noman, O. et al. (2008) [42] | 994        | NA    | 22.3          | −63.8                    | 36.2        | −5.6      | 30.6        | −3.6        | 27.0        |                |
| Multicountry study     |             |      |                 |                          |            |           |            |             |            |                |
| Nejjari, C. et al. (2013) [39] | 28,500i    | ≥ 18 | 45.4           | −54.8                    | 71.0       | −6.2      | 64.8        | −34.5       | 30.3        |                |
for care resulting from unawareness of hypertension and from losses between treatment and control stages (Fig. 3).

The rates presented in the following paragraphs were generated using all hypertensives as the denominator. The highest rates of awareness (81% and 69%) and treatment (78% and 66%) were observed in two studies of Tunisian older adults [36, 37]; whereas the highest rate of controlled blood pressure was observed in one study of Tunisian older adults [36, 37].

**Table 1** Studies of hypertension awareness, treatment and control in the Arab countries, 2000–2017 (percent among respondents by stage and absolute-percent losses between stages) (Continued)

| Study | Sample size | Agea | Prevalenceb | Among Hypertensivesc |
|-------|-------------|------|-------------|----------------------|
|       |             |      |             | Loss 1 (%) | Aware (%) | Loss 2 (%) | Treated (%) | Loss 3 (%) | Controlled (%) |
| **Lebanon** |          |      |             |           |           |           |           |           |                |
| Matar, D. et al. (2015) [35] | 1697 | > = 21 | 36.9 | −47.0 | 53.0 | −4.1 | 48.9 | −21.9 | 27.0 |

NA Not available

aNumber of BP measurements was not mentioned in three studies (Al-Mahrous et al., 2000; Jaddou et al., 2011; Noman et al., 2008). For the other studies, BP were recorded as the average of a) 2 BP measurements (AbdelSatir et al., 2013; Awad & Alsleh, 2015; Ben Romdhane et al., 2005; Ben Romdhane et al., 2012; Hammami et al., 2011; Khdour et al., 2013; Kheirallah et al., 2015; Nejjar et al., 2013; Shah et al., 2015; Yusufali et al., 2017), b) the last 2 BP measurements out of 3 (Hamida et al., 2013; Tazi et al., 2009), c) 3 BP measurements (El-Bcheraoui et al., 2014; Louani Kechrid et al., 2004; Saeed et al., 2011), d) 2 or 3BP (Matar et al., 2015), e) 4 BP out of 6 (Modesti et al., 2013).

bAge is reported in years either as age group or as mean age ± standard deviation; one study did not report the sample population’s age (Noman et al., 2008).

cPrevalence of hypertension was identified when participants reported (1) being on current anti-hypertensive drugs and/or (2) having blood pressure measures of SBP > =160 mmHg and/or DBP > =95 mmHg for Al-Mahrous et al. (2000); SBP > =140 mmHg and/or DBP > =80 mmHg for Kheirallah et al. (2015); and SBP > =140 mmHg and/or DBP > =90 mmHg for the remaining studies. Awareness, treatment and control were defined as the authors reported them in the original publications.

dProportion of patients lost when moving from one stage to another (absolute difference), calculated as follows: Loss 1 (%) = % aware - all hypertensives (i.e. 100%); Loss 2 (%) = % treated - % aware; and Loss 3 (%) = % controlled - % treated.

eInvitations were sent to individuals at households inviting them to participate in a screening survey at health centers.

fNationally representative studies.

gEstimates, based on our calculations.

hSample consisted of Ghawarna (an African-Descendant Ethnic Minority) living in Jordan.

iAwareness, treatment and control rates are weighted in the original publications (El-Bcheraoui et al., 2014; Noman et al., 2008).

jCrude prevalence of awareness, treatment and control retrieved from the Supplementary Table S1 (Yusufali et al., 2017).

kTotal sample size was 28,500: Algeria (n = 11,905); Morocco (n = 10,714) & Tunisia (n = 5881).

lA study conducted by Shah et al. (2015) at a government VSA screening center reported simultaneously rates of awareness, treatment and control of hypertension. However, as the sample consists of South Asian male immigrants solely and not general populations, we did not report the results in this table.

for care resulting from unawareness of hypertension and from losses between treatment and control stages (Fig. 3).

The rates presented in the following paragraphs were generated using all hypertensives as the denominator.

**Fig. 3** The cascade of care: Studies of awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in the Arab World, 2000–2017. Each study is represented by dots for the percent of respondents who are aware, treated, and have controlled blood pressure respectively, out of the study sample of hypertensives (as reported in the original publication). We plot a line to show how these percent decrease from one stage to the next reflecting the missed opportunities for care.
conducted in Kuwait (39%) [33]. Sudan also reported one of the highest rates of awareness (72%) and control (35%) of hypertension [38]. The multicounty study conducted in 2013 in Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia, reported high rates of awareness, treatment and control [39]. One study conducted in Morocco reported the lowest rates of all three variables: awareness (21.9%), treatment (8.8%) and control (1.1%) [28]. Control rates tend to be lower in studies conducted in households as compared to health facilities (Table 1). Our analysis of the cascade of care was built on cross-sectional studies. In Table 1, the percent losses computed between the different stages correspond to the absolute losses from all hypertensives when moving from one stage to the other (the relative losses are presented in Table S2, Additional file 3).

In general, more than a third of all hypertensives were unaware of their hypertensive condition and were lost from the continuum of care with losses ranging from 38 to 78.1%. There were some exceptions: two studies conducted among older adults in Tunisia [36, 37], reported the lowest percentage drops at the level of awareness of hypertension (19% and 31%) but the highest percentage drops from treatment to control stages (51% and 54%); in addition, one study conducted in Sudan reported relatively lower rates of unawareness (28%) [38].

Losses between awareness and treatment stages were considerably lower overall, with less than 21% of diagnosed hypertensive patients remaining untreated. In one study conducted in Kuwait [33] no decrease was observed, indicating that diagnosed individuals were treated. There were divergent results for some countries, where different populations were studied. For example, a national study conducted in Jordan [40] reported the highest loss (21%) between awareness and treatment, while another study among an ethnic minority in the country showed only a 2% loss [41]. In Sudan, one study reported very high awareness (70%), but also a substantial drop of 17% between awareness and treatment [38].

The proportion of patients lost between treatment and control stages was greater than 19% (ranges from 19 to 54%) in all studies except in studies conducted in Yemen [42] and in Morocco [28]. Substantial drops were observed in Bahrain (33%) [43]; in the Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study conducted in Palestine (35%) and UAE (37%) [25]; and in the multi-country study conducted in Algeria, Tunisia and Morocco (35%) [39].

Neither hypertension awareness, treatment and control rates nor the drops within the continuum of care appear to be associated with country level of income [19]. Data from the PURE study showed that Palestine’s rates of awareness, treatment and control were higher than those reported in UAE [25]. Awareness rates were higher in Sudan [38] as compared to high-income Arab countries such as Bahrain, KSA, Kuwait and UAE [33, 43–45]. One study conducted across all governorates of Lebanon [35] showed better rates of control blood pressure than two national studies conducted in KSA [44, 45].

Correlates of hypertension

In the following sections, we focus on the potentials barriers which hamper the delivery of services, and summarize the evidence on the extent to which gender and other social determinants play a role in the hypertension care cascade.

Gender differences in awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in the Arab World

Table 2 presents male/female (M/F) ratios for awareness, treatment and control, calculated based on the denominators used in the original publications (hypertensives, aware hypertensives or treated hypertensives). In total 27 studies reported on awareness, and/or treatment, and/or control of hypertension by gender, and yielded 20 data points for awareness, 11 for treatment and 20 for control of blood pressure.

In most studies (18/20) women are found to have greater awareness of their condition than men; this is the case in studies conducted in Jordan [40, 41, 49, 50], KSA [44, 45, 51, 52], Lebanon [35], Morocco [28], Oman [26, 27, 53], Palestine [48], Tunisia [31, 47], and Yemen [20, 54], with the difference reaching statistical significance in 11/18 studies. Only two studies, one conducted in Egypt [55] and another in KSA [56], show the reverse pattern, with a statistically significant difference. Higher treatment rates were also observed among all hypertensive women compared to men; differences were statistically significant in 3/6 studies conducted in Algeria [46, 57] and Palestine [48]. Among the subset of hypertensives who are aware of their condition, one study in Jordan [40] reported significantly higher rates of treatment among males, while the opposite pattern was observed in Morocco [28].

Gender differences in the subsets of treated patients whose blood pressure is controlled varied across countries, and no clear patterns could be discerned; controlled blood pressure was higher among women in studies in Algeria [46, 57], Jordan [41], KSA [45], Lebanon [35], Palestine [48], and Yemen [20], but higher among men in studies conducted in Jordan [40], in Morocco [28], and three studies in Tunisia [31, 47, 58].

Rates of awareness and/or treatment and/or control were significantly higher among women in 22 cases (M/F ratio < 1); and among men in only 4 cases (M/F ratio > 1). These numbers should however be interpreted with caution, since they come from studies that reported on crude bivariate analyses, and others that reported on multivariate analyses.
Table 2: Gender differences in prevalence of awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in Arab countries, 2000–2017 (percent among respondents by sex, and male/female ratios)a

| Country   | Study                  | Agec | Male (%) | Female (%) | Ratio M/F | Significance |
|-----------|------------------------|------|----------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| **Awareness of Hypertension** |                        |      |          |            |           |              |
| **Egypt** | Mohamed, M. R. et al. (2000) [55] | > = 18 | 62.9 | 49.5 | 1.27 | P = 0.002a |
|           | Jaddou, H. Y. et al. (2000) [49] | > = 25 | 79.4 | 83.6 | 0.95 | NS          |
|           | Jaddou, H. Y. et al. (2003) [50] | > = 25 | 50.0 | 55.6 | 0.90 | p = 0.02    |
|           | Jaddou, H. Y. et al. (2011) [40] | > = 25 | 54.7 | 56.7 | 0.96 | NS          |
|           | Keirallah, K.A.et al (2015) [41] | > = 25 | 34.1 | 37.6 | 0.91 | NS          |
| **Jordan** | Jaddou, H. Y. et al. (2003) [50] | > = 25 | 50.0 | 55.6 | 0.90 | p = 0.02    |
|           | Jaddou, H. Y. et al. (2011) [40] | > = 25 | 54.7 | 56.7 | 0.96 | NS          |
|           | Keirallah, K.A.et al (2015) [41] | > = 25 | 34.1 | 37.6 | 0.91 | NS          |
| **KSA**   | Kalantan, K. A. et al. (2001) [56] | 3–5 | 28.5 | 19.0 | 1.50 | P = 0.049   |
|           | Saeed, A.A. et al. (2011) d [45] | 1–5 | 37.3 | 53.3 | 0.70 | p < 0.001   |
|           | Amin, T. T. et al. (2014) [52] | 2–4 | 67.5 | 85.7 | 0.79 | P = 0.025e  |
|           | El-Bcheraoui, C. et al. (2014) f, d [44] | > = 15 | 38.8f | 47.1f | 0.82 | Undiagnosed |
|           | Mirza, A.A. et al. (2016) [51] | > = 30 | 49.7 | 62.8 | 0.79 | NS          |
| **Lebanon** | Matar, D. et al. (2015) [35] | > = 20 | 50.4 | 57.9 | 0.87 | OR = 0.6 (0.4–0.9)g, p < 0.005 |
| **Morocco** | Tazi, M.A.et al (2009) d [28] | > = 20 | 13.5 | 27.3 | 0.64 | OR = 0.5 (0.4–0.6)g, p < 0.001 |
| **Oman** | Al-Riyami, A. et al. (2003) d [59] | > = 20 | NA | NA | NA | Significantly higher among females than males |
|           | Barakat, H. et al. (2008) [53] | > = 20 | 14.9 | 21.4 | 0.70 | NSg         |
|           | Abd El-Aty, MA. et al. (2015) f, d [27] | > = 18 | 13.7f | 39.1f | 0.35 | Unawareness |
| **Palestine** | Khour, M. R. et al. (2013) [48] | > = 25 | 39.8 | 53.8 | 0.74 | p < 0.001h |
| **Tunisia** | Ben Romdhane, H. et al. (2005) [31] | 4–0 | 30.2 | 48.3 | 0.63 | OR = 0.4 (0.3–0.5)g, p < 0.001 |
|           | Ben Romdhane, H. et al. (2012) d [47] | 35–74 | 28.8 | 44.8 | 0.64 | OR = 0.5 (0.4–0.6)g, p < 0.001 |
| **Yemen** | Gunaid, A.A. et al. (2008) [54] | > = 35 | 25.0 | 38.0 | 0.66 | NSg         |
|           | Modesti, P. A. et al. (2013) d [20] | 15–69 | 40.7 | 47.6 | 0.86 | NSg         |

**Treatment of Hypertension**

| Country   | Study                  | Agec | Male (%) | Female (%) | Ratio M/F | Significance |
|-----------|------------------------|------|----------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| **Algeria** | Temmar, M. et al. (2007) [57] | 40–99 | 13.7 | 43.1 | 0.32 | p < 0.0001a |
|           | Hamida,F.et al. (2013) [46] | > = 40 | 32.0 | 45.0 | 0.71 | P = 0.032a  |
| **KSA** | El-Bcheraoui, C. et al. (2014) f, d [44] | > = 15 | 33.0f | 42.2f | 0.78 | NA          |
| **Lebanon** | Matar, D. et al. (2015) [35] | > = 20 | 46.1 | 54.0 | 0.85 | NS          |
| **Palestine** | Khour, M. R. et al. (2013) [48] | > = 25 | 36.1 | 43.3 | 0.83 | p = 0.02    |
| **Yemen** | Modesti, P. A. et al. (2013) d [20] | 15–69 | 36.5 | 43.6 | 0.84 | NSg         |

**Treatment among aware hypertensive subjects (%)**

| Country   | Study                  | Agec | Male (%) | Female (%) | Ratio M/F | Significance |
|-----------|------------------------|------|----------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| **Jordan** | Jaddou, H. Y. et al. (2011) [40] | > = 25 | 67.0 | 61.9 | 1.08 | P = 0.01    |
| **KSA** | Saeed, A.A. et al. (2011) d [45] | 15–64 | 74.2 | 69.2 | 1.07 | NS          |
| **Morocco** | Tazi, M.A. et al. (2009) d [28] | > = 20 | 26.3 | 44.9 | 0.59 | P = 0.042a  |
| **Tunisia** | Ben Romdhane, H et al. (2005) [31] | 40–69 | 72.5 | 74.6 | 0.97 | NS          |
|           | Ben Romdhane, H et al. (2012) d [47] | 35–74 | 85.3 | 84.6 | 1.01 | NS          |
Table 2 Gender differences in prevalence of awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in Arab countries, 2000–2017 (percent among respondents by sex, and male/female ratios)\(^a\) (Continued)

| Country          | Study\(^b\) | Age\(^c\) | Male (%) | Female (%) | Ratio M/F | Significance |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Control among hypertensive subjects (%) |             |           |          |            |           |              |
| KSA              | El-Bcheraoui, C. et al. (2014) \(^f, d\) \([44]\) | > = 15 | 13.9\(^f\) | 20.5\(^f\) | 0.68 | NA           |
| Control among aware hypertensive subjects (%) |             |           |          |            |           |              |
| KSA              | Siddiqui, S. et al. (2001) \([60]\) | > = 18 | 37.7 | 23.8 | 1.58 | NS\(^e\) |
| Jordan           | Jaddou, H.Y. et al. (2000) \([49]\) | > = 25 | 25.9 | 34.8 | 0.74 | NS           |
| Khader, A. et al. (2014) \([61]\) | > = 0/18 | 80.0 | 85.0 | 0.94 | OR = 1.4[1.2–1.4], p < 0.001 |
| Oman             | Abd El-Aty, M.A. et al. (2015) \(^f, d\) \([27]\) | > = 18 | 26.9\(^f\) | 38.3\(^f\) | 0.70 | Uncontrolled \(OR = 3.3[1.4–5.0]^g\), p < 0.001 |
| Sudan            | Babiker, F. et al. (2013) \([62]\) | > = 20 | 39.0 | 85.0 | 0.46 | p < 0.001 |
| Algeria, Morocco | Nejjar, C. et al. (2013) \([39]\) | > = 18 | 34.0 | 36.6 | 0.93 | p = 0.01 |
| Tunisia          |             |           |          |            |           |              |
| Control among treated hypertensive subjects (%) |             |           |          |            |           |              |
| Algeria          | Temmar, M. et al. (2007) \([57]\) | 40–99 | 13.9 | 28.0 | 0.50 | NS\(^e\) |
| Hamida,F. et al. (2013) \([46]\) | > = 40 | 17.1 | 21.3 | 0.80 | NS\(^e\) |
| Jordan           | Jaddou, H.Y. et al. (2011) \([40]\) | > = 25 | 42.9 | 38.2 | 1.12 | NS           |
| Kheirallah, K.A. et al. (2015) \([41]\) | > = 25 | 23.3 | 24.5 | 0.95 | NS           |
| KSA              | Saeed, A.A. et al. (2011) \(^d\) \([45]\) | 15–64 | 32.0 | 41.1 | 0.77 | NS           |
| Lebanon          | Matar, D. et al. (2015) \([35]\) | > = 21 | 48.9 | 62.3 | 0.78 | p = 0.021 |
| Morocco          | Tazi, M.A. et al. (2009) \(^d\) \([28]\) | > = 20 | 20.0 | 11.3 | 1.77 | NS\(^e\) |
| Palestine        | Khdour, M. R. et al. (2013) \([48]\) | > = 25 | 29.3 | 35.9 | 0.82 | p = 0.01 |
| Tunisia          | Ben Romdhane, H. et al. (2005) \([31]\) | 40–69 | 16.8 | 12.0 | 1.40 | NS           |
| Masmoudi, J. et al. (2010) \([58]\) | 61.8 ± 11.9 | 46.3 | 27.1 | 1.71 | p = 0.047 |
| Ben Romdhane, H. et al. (2012) \(^d\) \([47]\) | 35–74 | 27.5 | 22.8 | 1.21 | NS           |
| Yemen            | Modesti, P. A. et al. (2013) \(^d\) \([20]\) | 15–69 | 17.2 | 28.8 | 0.60 | OR = 0.5 \([0.3–0.9]^g\], p < 0.05 |

\(^f\) Female, M Male, NA Not available, NS Not significant, OR Odds ratio

\(^a\) Four studies were based on hypertensive populations (Khader et al., 2014; Siddiqui et al. 2001; Babiker et al., 2013 and Masmoudi et al., 2010) while the remaining studies were based on general populations

\(^b\) Number of BP measurements was not mentioned in 5 studies (Barakat et al., 2008; Jaddou et al., 2000; Jaddou et al., 2003; Jaddou et al., 2011; Masmoudi et al., 2010). For the other studies, BP were recorded as the average of a) 2 BP measurements (Al Riyami et al., 2003; Amin et al., 2014; Ben Romdhane et al., 2005; Ben Romdhane et al., 2012; Gunaid et al., 2008; Kalantan et al., 2001; Kheirallah et al., 2015; Khdour et al., 2013; Mirza et al., 2016; Mohamad et al., 2000; Nejjar et al., 2013), b) the last 2 BP measurements out of 3 (Hamida et al., 2013; Tazi et al., 2009; Temmar et al., 2007), c) 3 BP measurements (Abel el Aty et al., 2015; Babiker et al., 2013; El-Bcheraoui et al., 2014; Saeed et al., 2011), d) 2 or 3BP (Matar et al., 2015), e) 4 BP out of 6 (Modesti et al., 2013), f) on several separate occasions (Khader et al., 2014), and g) last 3 BP readings at least 3 months apart (Siddiqui et al., 2001)

\(^c\) Age is reported in years either as age group or as mean age ± standard deviation

\(^d\) Indicates that significance was calculated based on reviewer’s calculations using chi-square test for aggregated data

\(^e\) Awareness/unawareness, controlled/uncontrolled blood pressure rates are weighted in the original publications (Abd El-Aty et al., 2015; El-Bcheraoui et al., 2014)

\(^f\) Results of multivariate regression analyses were reported. The odd ratios for awareness/unawareness, undiagnosed, treatment and controlled/uncontrolled blood pressure are all presented with “female” being the reference variable. In Abd El-Aty et al., 2015 and El-Bcheraoui et al., 2014 odd ratios for undiagnosed hypertension, unawareness and uncontrolled blood pressure are reported

Other correlates of awareness, treatment and control of hypertension

Around 60% of the retrieved articles (44/70) examined some correlates of awareness and/or treatment and/or control of hypertension, but only 13/44 of these analyses adjusted for confounders; the main results of adjusted analyses are summarized below.

It is difficult to discern consistent patterns in the reported associations between social factors and hypertension awareness, treatment and control. Awareness was positively associated with: increased age [26, 27, 31, 35, 40, 47, 63]; urban residence [20, 26, 47]; utilization of health facilities [27]; family history of hypertension [63]; and other co-
morbidities [26] including diabetes [35, 40, 51, 63], dyslipidemia [35], obesity [26], and heart diseases [51]. The three articles that examined correlates of treatment, found that it was positively associated with higher age [20, 35, 40].

Inconsistent results were reported for controlled blood pressure. It was not associated with other health conditions such as dyslipidemia, kidney diseases, atrial fibrillation, myocardial infarction, elevated BMI or heart diseases [40, 51, 58, 64] but some studies showed a negative association with diabetes [58, 64] and depression, anxiety and psychiatric disorders [58]. Not surprisingly, better control of blood pressure was positively associated with high adherence to anti-hypertensive treatments [58, 65] and frequent visit to health facilities [27]. Results regarding the relationship between controlled blood pressure and dietary intake indicate a negative association with indicators of poor diet, including low intake of fruits and vegetables [58], consumption of fatty and salty foods, pickles, coffee and alcohol [58, 65]. In one study, a significant association between following a diet and controlled blood pressure was found only in the sub-group of subjects suffering from both diabetes and hypertension [64].

Discussion
This is the first review that focuses specifically on awareness, treatment and/or control rates of hypertension and their determinants in the Arab region. Out of 70 retrieved articles, only 12/70 were based on nationally representative data. Although hypertension is a leading risk factor for mortality in Iraq, Libya, Qatar and Syria, no sources on control were found for these countries.

The differences we found across countries of the region did not seem to be associated with wealth or development. Indeed, some high-income countries such as KSA or Oman had low rates of awareness, despite free and easily accessible health services and numerous prevention and health promotion campaigns [66, 67]. Similarly, the proportion of patients’ loss between treatment and control stages were reported to be similar in studies conducted in KSA [25] and Yemen [20]. Most countries of the region need to address these barriers, and even those richer countries with other cardiovascular prevention strategies and programs in place [67–69], could perhaps strengthen cardiovascular prevention by improving screening and prevention for hypertension.

We found rates of awareness, treatment and control to be generally low, and control rates were lower in household-based surveys compared to those conducted at health facilities, reflecting both the different sampling approaches and the effect of health care utilization. Most of the gaps in the care continuum were at the awareness stage and between treatment and control.

Well-known barriers to hypertension detection include the lack of knowledge regarding the importance of blood pressure screening [70] and the missed opportunity to correctly screen patients at the level of primary care facilities, where physicians may have insufficient knowledge and training, as documented in KSA [71]. The gap between treatment and control probably reflects inconvenient services [72–74], the cost of medications [75–78], and low adherence to therapy, which a number of studies have documented [17, 79, 80]. Low adherence to therapy is a contributor to uncontrolled blood pressure particularly where patients and physicians perceive lifestyle changes and stress control as sufficient. Another key factor that contributes to inadequate treatment and hence low control rates of diagnosed individuals is that health providers may not have the training and motivation to apply hypertension guidelines as documented in a study conducted in Lebanon [64]. They may also not be convinced about the thresholds used by the different guidelines to define uncontrolled blood pressure, may not be motivated to define them as goals for their patients [34, 81], or may prefer to make individual decisions about patient management [64].

The recent 2017 American Heart Association guidelines – which define hypertension as a SBP above 130 mmHg instead of 140 mmHg, and a DBP above 80 mmHg instead of 90 mmHg [82] – are expected to worsen the existing suboptimal rates of awareness, treatment and control of hypertension.

An important finding of our systematic examination of gender differences is that in almost all settings, women were more aware of their hypertension than men, and this is consistent with reports from other regions [83–87]. This could reflect women’s more frequent interactions with health care providers around reproduction and child health [84, 88], as well as the fact, reported in some studies, that primary health care facilities may be perceived as a female domain [89], and norms around masculinity discourage men from utilizing these facilities [90].

Another result of our analysis is that gender differences are themselves variable, depending on the cascade of care: indeed, while awareness was higher among women, rates of control were not always higher, suggesting that gender operates in complex rather than unidirectional ways. For example, in Morocco and Tunisia, while women are more prone to suffer from hypertension and more likely to be aware of their condition and to attend health care facilities [89], they have unfavorable statistics regarding the control of their hypertension [31, 47, 89]. This is of particular importance since it has been suggested that the reversal in the sex ratios for hypertension prevalence may occur much earlier among women in some Arab countries [25, 91]. Several factors may contribute to lower control among women, including higher rates of obesity [92] and possible differences in prescribing behaviors of health professionals.

Evidence on the social determinants and correlates of awareness, treatment and control of hypertension accounting for confounders is scarce. Studies indicate that
awareness is associated with increased age and presence of co-morbidities, both of which increase the likelihood of contact with health services, and hence detection of hypertension. In addition, more favorable indicators are reported for urban residents, reflecting greater access to health services, contacts with screening campaigns, and better-informed medical personnel.

Limitations
This paper was designed as a systematic assessment of the evidence available on the Arab world regarding awareness, treatment and control of hypertension. We did not conduct a standard systematic review and meta-analysis but our work was systematic and was based on most of the PRISMA requirements. In addition to the recognized difficulty of obtaining datasets in the Arab world, we found large discrepancies and substantial heterogeneity across the retrieved studies in regard to sample sizes, age groups, settings, and number of blood pressure measurements, which further limited our ability to pool datasets. Also, comparisons were difficult as authors were using different denominators and computation methods to estimate awareness, treatment and control rates of hypertension; thus, the evidence is patchy and pooling estimates may have yielded misleading results. Despite these limitations, our review identifies important delivery gaps in the continuum of care across all Arab countries, as well as gender differences indicating barriers for both women and men.

Conclusions
Although hypertension is the top risk factor of premature death in the Arab World, suboptimal rates of awareness, treatment and control are observed. Considerable efforts are needed to generate consistent data, assess the magnitude of loss in the cascade of care across the different Arab countries, and identify ways to overcome existing barriers. Our review showed substantial losses across the continuum of care particularly at the level of awareness as well as between the treatment and control levels. The similarity in rates of awareness, treatment and control of blood pressure among all hypertensives across high and low-middle income countries underscores the need to tackle delivery gaps across the region, and suggest that the main barriers are not limited to economic and resources factors. Interestingly, despite gender inequality indicators in the region, this disadvantage did not translate into greater delivery gaps.

Our paper calls for further investigations of the reasons for losses along the continuum of care, including a closer look at social determinants and gender differences, and a better understanding of the cultural context. Multipronged interventions such as screening campaigns, better information of patients and training of health providers, as well as support for treatment and, patient engagement have the potential to reduce losses across the continuum of care.
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