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Abstract
Background: Fracture of tibia are quite common and wound coverage is usually needed in its management.
Objective: To compare the outcome of early versus delayed hemisoleus flap coverage, of open wound over the middle one third of leg after fracture of tibia.
Methodology: This randomized controlled trial, was conducted over a year, in the Department of Plastic Surgery, Nishtar Hospital, Multan, including 240 patients, with open tibial shaft fractures, who had early (Group A) or delayed coverage (Group B). Both the groups were compared for outcome parameters (excellent, good, fair and poor) used by Haq et al, at six weeks after the procedure. Chi-square test was applied for test of significance. P-value < 0.05 was considered significant. Data was analyzed with the help of SPSS version 20.
Results: In group A, excellent, good, fair and poor outcome, were seen in 48 (40%), 45 (37.5%), 25 (20.8%) and 2 (1.7%) patients, respectively. In group B, excellent, good, fair and poor outcome, were seen in 0 (0%), 60 (50%) patients, 18 (15%) and 42 (35%) patients, respectively. The results were statistically significant on comparison of the two groups (p <0.05).
Conclusion: Early coverage of open tibial shaft fracture results in higher frequency of excellent and good outcome as compared to delayed coverage.
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Introduction
As frequency of motor bike accidents in developing countries is rising day by day so, there is an increase in open fractures of tibia.1,2 Fractures of tibia are presented as isolated injury as well as part of polytrauma.3,4 Management of open fractures of tibia is a challenge for the surgeons because of dirty wound that demands serial debridement, external and later internal fixation of fracture and coverage of wound defect.5,6 Open fracture of tibia requests for skills of both orthopedic and plastic surgeon.7,8 Soft tissue defects can be covered by flaps of microvascular and non-microvascular types.9,10 Non-microvascular flaps are technically easy to develop as compared to microvascular flap that require skillful learning and experience.11,12 Failure rate of microvascular flaps is higher so, to decrease burden of morbidity, non-microvascular flaps are encouraged these days to lessen the misery of fractured limb.13,14 Open fractures of shaft of tibia are always posing serious, challenge to orthopedic surgeon.15,16 Coverage with hemisoleus flap is in practice, now a days. However, there is no consensus on the time of coverage. Some surgeons favor early closure (0–7 days) while others prefer delay closure (8-40 days) of trauma. We conducted this study to assess and compare the outcome of early versus delayed hemisoleus flap coverage, of the open wound, over the middle one third of leg, after fracture of tibia.

Methodology
This randomized controlled trial, was conducted in the Department of Plastic Surgery, Nishtar Hospital, Multan, from January to December 2014. This study included 240 patients, with distal fracture of tibia and having open wound with loss of skin in at least 5 cm area over the tibia of either gender and between 20 to 45 years of age. Only the patients with Gustilo Anderson classification type IIIb, were included in this study. Patients with pathological fractures of tibia (X-rays showing osteolytic lesions), sepsis (patients having fever (> 102°F) and blood cultures positive for bacteria and patients presenting after 24 hours after injury, were excluded from the study. Patients were divided into two groups; Group A, 120 patients in this group, received early coverage (within 7 days of surgery) and Group B, 120 patients...
in this group received delayed coverage (within 8 – 30 days of trauma).

Surgical technique: All patients were operated under general anesthesia in supine position after tourniquet application. A skin incision 2cm away and parallel to medial edge of tibia was made posteriorly. Proximal and distal end of defect was extended into this incision. Soleus muscle was recognized. Medial half of muscle was raised, divided distally and splitted longitudinally. Flap was moved to cover defect. Donor area was approximated over a suction drain. An above knee POP slab and limb elevation for 1 week were advised postoperatively.

All the patients were observed for the outcome parameters (excellent, good, fair or poor), used by Haq et al, at six weeks after the procedure, as shown in table I. Demographic features, history and physical examination were noted. The data was entered into SPSS version 20, computer program and analyzed accordingly. The qualitative data like demographics (sex; male or female), the outcome parameters (excellent, good, fair or poor) were presented as frequency and percentage. The two groups were compared for any statistical significance. Chi-square test was applied for the outcome parameters. P-value < 0.05 was significant. Informed consent was taken from each patient for inclusion in study groups. Ethical approval was sought from ethical committee of hospital.

**Table I: Criteria as described by Haq et al.**

| Criteria                      | A                      | B                      | C                                      |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Skin condition                | Normal                 | Slightly discolored    | Ulcer or fistula, persistent infection |
| Muscle atrophy                | 0 – 1 cm               | 1 – 2 cm               | > 2 cm                                 |
| Deformity                     | None                   | Slight, not noticeable | Considerable, noticable, shortening >2 cm |
| Loss of knee movement         | < 10 degree            | 10 – 20                | > 20                                   |
| Loss of ankle movement        | < 5                    | 5 – 10                 | > 10                                   |
| Deep infection                | Mild asymptomatic      | Moderate               | severe asymptomatic                     |
| Radiological evidence of healing | Complete healing       | Delayed union          | Established non union                   |
| Return to employment          | Activities unchanged   | Incapacitated for some work | Stops work                             |

Results were classified into excellent, good, fair and poor groups. Excellent; All of the criteria of grade A, Good ; All of the criteria of grade B, Fair; One criterion of grade C and others of grade A or B and Poor; More than one criteria of grade C.

**Results**

Two hundred and forty patients were included in the study. Overall characteristics of patients of tibial fractures in both groups are shown in Table II. The mean age of the patients in group A and B, were 34.75±5.08 and 32.03±7.13 years, respectively. In group A, there were 83 (69.2%) male patients and 37 (30.8%) female patients. In group B, there were 91 (75.8%) male patients and 29 (24.2%) female patients. The male to female ratio was 2.6:1. Outcome and postoperative complications of early versus delayed hemisoleus flap coverage of open wound over the middle one third of leg after fracture of tibia are shown in table III and IV, respectively.

**Table II: Characteristics of patients and tibial fractures (n=240)**

| Variables                        | No. of patients (%) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Age (years)                      | Mean±SD             |
| Mean±SD                          | 33.41±6.73          |
| Range                            | 22-41               |
| Gender                           |                     |
| Male                             | 174 (73.0%)         |
| Female                           | 66 (27.5%)          |
| Co-morbidities                   |                     |
| Diabetes mellitus                | 8 (3.0%)            |
| Hypertension                     | 24 (10.0%)          |
| Ischemic heart disease           | 1 (0.4%)            |
| Others                           | 0 (0.0%)            |
| Types of tibial shaft fractures  |                     |
| Transverse                       | 164 (68.0%)         |
| Oblique                          | 41 (17.0%)          |
| Spiral                           | 14 (6.0%)           |
| Comminuted fracture              | 21 (8.8%)           |

**Table III: Outcome of Early versus Delayed Hemisoleus Flap coverage of Open Wound over the middle one third of leg after Fracture of Tibia (n = 240)**

| Outcome parameters | Group A | Percentage | Group B | Percentage | p-value |
|--------------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|
| No. of patients    | 48      | 40.0       | 0       | 0.0        | 0.001   |
| Excellent          | 45      | 37.5       | 60      | 50.0       |         |
| Good               | 25      | 20.8       | 18      | 15.0       |         |
| Fair               | 2       | 1.7        | 42      | 35.0       |         |
| Poor               |         |            |         |            |         |
Discussion
Open fractures of the tibia are a difficult problem to treat. Deep infection, malunion, delayed union, and nonunion have all been reported after these fractures. Treatment options may be limited. Proximally based muscle flaps have been used with varying amounts of success. In this study, outcome of early versus delayed hemisoleus flap covering was compared among 240 patients. This is one of the largest study nationwide to include this much large sample size. The results of the study favored the early flap coverage as compared to delayed coverage with 77.5% frequency of excellent and good outcome with early coverage as compared to 50% frequency of excellent and good outcome with delayed coverage.

The mean age of the patients in our study was 34.75±5.08 years in one group and 32.03±7.13 years in other group. In study by Syed AT et al, the mean age of the patients was 46.5 years. In study by Debbarma S et al, the average age of patients in one group was 23 years while that of patients in second group was 28.4 years. Majority of the patients were in younger age group i.e. 33.3% patients were in age group of 31 – 35 years in group A, and 35% patients were in age group 20 – 25 years. In our study, the majority of the patients were male i.e. 69.2% in group A and 75.8% in group B. This had also been verified by Syed AT, et al who showed that 77.8% patients were male. Similarly, Ul-Haq A, et al showed that 80% population of their study was male. This can also be due to the fact that male gender is more prone to the accidents or trauma.

In our study, excellent results were achieved with early closure (i.e. 40%) and good results in 37.5% patients while with delayed closure, none of the patients had excellent outcome, while 50% patients showed good outcome. Previously, Ul-Haq A et al also conducted a study to compare the outcome of early versus delayed closure. They found that with early wound coverage, the result was excellent in 37.5% cases, good in 37.5% cases and fair in 25% cases. With delayed wound coverage, the outcome was good in 37.5% case; fair in 12.5% case and poor in 50% cases. The results of this study concluded that early coverage of the exposed bone in open tibial shaft fracture hold better outcomes.

One of the characteristics of our study was that we used the scoring system, that was used by Ul-Haq A et al. This scoring system was based in skin changes, muscle activity, joint movements, non union and return to the employment. So, this study had the advantage of using the more physiological and functional parameters rather than subjective parameters like infection and non union.

In our study, we preferred medial hemisoleus muscle flap over the whole Soleus muscle because of its longer arc of rotation and minimal functional loss of foot plantar flexion. When whole Soleus muscle flap is used, its lateral half is usually wasted to traverse the deep flexors where it is not needed. The lateral half also limits the arc of rotation thus hindering the reach of flap. Whole Soleus muscle flap has to be orientated transversely/obliquely whereas hemisoleus muscle flap can be orientated more obliquely/longitudinally because its pivot point lies nearer to the tibia. Due to this geometrical advantage medial hemisoleus muscle flap can cover equally long or sometimes even longer defects than complete Soleus muscle flap. As medial hemisoleus muscle flap is less bulky than the whole Soleus muscle flap, the reconstructive outcome is usually cosmetically better than the whole muscle. Anatomy of the Soleus muscle has been explored thoroughly, providing the basis for the technically more comfortable and safe dissection of this flap. The bipennate nature of the Soleus and the independent neurovascular supply to both medial and lateral halves of the muscle are the key anatomical features that allow splitting the muscle longitudinally along the raphe. The most significant advantage of hemisoleus muscle flap is preservation of foot plantar flexion power by the hemisoleus muscle belly left in situ. The medial half of the muscle is supplied constantly throughout its length by the perforators from the posterior tibial artery. This feature makes medial hemisoleus muscle flap more reliable than the lateral half.

This study has certain limitations. This was not a double blind study, as it was not possible because both the procedures were done at different timings. Moreover, this was carried out in a single setup with a limited population size.

Conclusion
This study concludes that early coverage of open tibial shaft fracture results in higher frequency of excellent and good outcome as compared to delayed coverage and the results were statistically
significant. So, early coverage of open tibial shaft fracture should be preferred in routine practice.
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