miR-30d Induced Apoptosis by Targeting Sox4 to Inhibit the Proliferation, Invasion and Migration of Nephroblastoma

Background: Wilms tumor (WT) is an embryonic malignant tumor, and its related mechanism is still unclear. microRNA (miR), as a short-chain non-coding RNA, has low expression in various tumors. In this study, WT differential miR was screened by multi-chip in GEO database and its mechanism was explored to provide potential therapeutic targets and ideas for clinic.

Methods: We logged into GEO database and downloaded GSE57370 and GSE48137 chip matrix files to analyze potential differences in miR. TargetScan, miRDB, miRTarBase and starBase were applied to predict the target genes of miR with significant differences. qRT-PCR was applied to determine the expression of miR-30d and Sox4 in WT tissue and cell line (G401). The interaction of miR-30d with Sox4 was confirmed by qRT-PCR, Western blot and luciferase assay, respectively. CCK-8, Transwell and flow cytometry were applied to determine the proliferation, invasion, migration and apoptosis of cells.

Results: We found that miR-30d was low expressed in two chips. qRT-PCR showed that miR-30d was down-regulated and SOX4 was up-regulated in WT tissues and cells. The online target gene prediction software showed there was a targeted binding site between Sox4 and miR-30d. Sox4 was negatively controlled by miR-30d. Subsequent studies found that over-expression of miR-30d inhibited the proliferation, invasion, migration and induced apoptosis of C64 and WiT49 cells. In addition, Sox4 could reverse the proliferation, invasion and migration of C64 and WiT49 induced by miR-30d and induce apoptosis.

Conclusion: miR-30d is poorly expressed in WT and can induce apoptosis and inhibit proliferation, invasion and migration by mediating Sox4.
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Introduction
Wilms tumor (WT), also namely nephroblastoma, is the most common solid carcinoma in children.1 WT originates from embryo, grows in renal parenchyma, deforms during growth and invades surrounding renal tissue.2 The data show that there is one WT child in every 100,000 children, and the peak incidence of WT is among 1–5 years old.3,4 Although the incidence rate of WT is low, it is easy to metastasize after illness, which is harmful to children. At present, clinical combined therapy can improve the prognosis of most children, but data show that 25% of children still cannot be effectively treated, and some children are prone to relapse and metastasis.5 Therefore, we urgently need to understand the occurrence and development of WT and find potential therapeutic targets for WT, so as to provide ideas for clinical practice.
In recent years, non-coding RNA has been a hot research field, in which micro RNA (miRNA/miRs) is participated in the occurrence and growth of many diseases.\(^6,7\) miR is an endogenous short-chain non-coding RNA, which is highly conservative and has a length of 21–23 nucleotides. Studies have shown that miR does not encode proteins, but it can regulate the transcription of target genes and inhibit their expression through the 3'-untranslated region (3'-UTR).\(^8,9\) Now there are many studies which can confirm that miR is participated in many cell events, namely cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis.\(^10,11\) In addition, studies found that miR participated in WT progress, which is a potential target for WT treatment.\(^12,13\) For example, miR-200c-3p targeting FRS2 controlled the proliferation, migration and invasion of nephroblastoma cells.\(^14\) miR-429 targeting c-myc regulated the proliferation and apoptosis of nephroblastoma cells in studies by Wang et al.\(^15\) Therefore, we hope to further explore the mechanism of miR and WT by finding out the potential differential miR in WT through selection.

With the continuous improvement of second-generation sequencing, more and more databases have been established. As one of the largest public databases in the world, GEO database contains gene chips for various diseases.\(^16\) In this study, we screened miR differential gene chip in WT through GEO database, and explored the related mechanisms to provide targets for clinical treatment.

**Methods and Data**

**GEO Database Data Analysis**

We logged into GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds) to search Wilms tumor and microRNA related chips. Finally, we selected GSE57370 and GSE48137 chips, downloaded matrix file Series Matrix File (s) and then performed log2 conversion on the data. The processed data were analyzed for differences using limma package, and thermography and volcano plot were visualized. The screening criteria were log Fold Change=1, P < 0.05. miRs with differences were collected and jvenn visualizing Venn diagram was used to screen common differential miRs.

**Clinical Data Collection**

We collected 30 WT children admitted to our hospital from May 2014 to May 2018 and cancer tissues and adjacent tissues of the 30 children. The collected tissues were immediately sent to the laboratory for testing through liquid nitrogen transportation at −80°C. The survival time of the patients admitted to this study was expected to be more than 1 month. All the children have signed informed consent (signed by the children’s families). This study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University. All the tests conformed to the principles of Declaration of Helsinki of the World Medical Association.\(^17\)

**Cell Culture**

Human WT cells HCM-BROD-0051-C64 (C64), G-401, SK-NEP-1, WiT49 and HEK-293T were collected from ATCC (Rockville, Maryland, USA) and then placed in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Gibco, Rockville, MD, USA) including 10% FBS (Gibco, Rockville, Maryland, USA) and 1% penicillin, streptomycin (Corning, New York, USA). A total of 100U/mL penicillin and 50 mg/mL streptomycin (Corning, New York, USA) were incubated at 37°C in a humid atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

**Cell Transfection**

miR-30d mimetics, inhibitors (miR-30d-inhibit) and reference material (miR-NC) were designed and synthesized by GenePharma. Sox4 specific siRNA (si-Sox4) and siRNA negative pair (si-NC) were collected from Santa Cruz (USA). For over-expression of Sox4, the full-length Sox4 sequence was transfected into pcDNA-3.1 vector (ThermoFisher), called pcDNA-Sox4. pcDNA-3.1 was used as a blank control. According to the manufacturer’s plan, all the above steps were performed using Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA). Stable transfected C64 and WiT49 applied a medium including 0.5mg/mL G418 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) for subsequent experiments.

**Cell Proliferation Detection**

In this study, the proliferation was determined by Cell Counting Kit (CCK-8, Dojindo Laboratories, Japan). Transfected cells were collected and resuspended. The cells were adjusted to 1×10⁴ cells per well, inoculated in 96-well plate, and incubated at 37°C for 24, 48, 72 and 96 h. After the incubation, CCK-8 solution was put into each well, and then the cells were placed at 37°C for 1.5h. Subsequently, the absorbance (450 nm) of each well was evaluated using an microplate reader.
Cell Invasion and Migration Detection
Transwell method was used to detect cell invasion and migration in this study. The cell invasion experiment was as follows: Matrigel was added to serum-free medium at 4°C to adjust its concentration to 1 mg/mL, and 50μL diluted media was put into the upper chamber and cultured at 37°C for 30min. Complete media including 15% FBS was put into lower chamber. The cells (5×10⁴ cells/well) were put into the upper chamber, placed at 37°C. The 95% absolute ethyl alcohol was taken out from the upper chamber for fixation after incubation for 24h. The 0.1% crystal violet was used for dyeing at room temperature for 20min, and the number of cell invasions was observed using optical microscope. The cell migration experiment was as follows: Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) with 15%FBS was put into the lower chamber of Transwell as induction. Cells were adjusted to 5×10⁴ cells/well, put into the upper chamber, and cultured at 37°C. After 24h, the upper chamber was taken out, fixed with 95% anhydrous ethanol, dyed with 0.1% crystal violet for 20min, and the cell migration number was observed under optical microscope.

Detection of Apoptosis
The transfected cells were detected for apoptosis by Annexin V-PE cell apoptosis detection kit (South Biotech, USA). Cells in the media were rinsed with PBS, fixed with 70% ethanol, then washed twice and dyed with Annexin V labeled with propidium iodide/fluorescein isothiocyanate at 50 μg/MLRNSEA. The samples were placed in dark at room temperature for 1 hour. Apoptosis (Annexin-V positive and PI negative) were determined using FACSCan (BD Biosciences, USA).

Double Fluorescein Report
The following two pmiR-RB-REPORT TM vectors were synthesized: Sox4 3’-UTR with the putative target site of miR-30d (sox4 wt-3’-utr); Sox4 3’-UTR with mutation binding site (sox4 mut-3’-UTR); 100ng of carrier (Sox4 WT-3’-UTR, Sox4 Mut-3’-UTR), miR-30d-mimics/inhibit and miR-NC (50 nM/well) were used together with Lipofectamine PTEN® 2000 reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and transfected into 293T and SW1353. Analog control and Sox4 Mut-3’UTR were applied as NC. Fluorescein activity was tested by a dual luciferase reporting kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

RNA Extraction and Real-Time qPCR (RT-qPCR)
Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Takara Bio, Inc.). The concentration and integrity of the extracted total RNA were tested. cDNA was used in accordance with the manufacturer’s plan. The production of Premix Ex Taq™II (TaKaRa) was carried out by executing SYBR PrimeScript analysis RT Kit (TaKaRa) synthesis ® referring to the manufacturer’s agreement. The reaction system and conditions were configured according to the kit instructions. A total of 40 cycles were performed. GAPDH (for mRNA) and U6 (for miRNA) were applied as internal references. The relative expression level was determined by 2ΔΔCq method. All the above steps were repeated three times. miR-30d upstream primer: 5’-GCCTGTAAACATCCCCGCAC-3’, downstream primer: 5’-GTGCGTGTCGTGGAGTCCG-3’; Sox4 upstream primer: 5’-GACCTGCTCGACCACAACTCG-3’, downstream primer: 5’-CCGGGCTCGAGGATGCGGCTAT-3’; U6 upstream primer: 5’-GCTTCGCAGCACATATATCAATTAA-3’, downstream primer: 5’-CGCTTCACGAAATTTGCGTCTG-3’.

Western Blot Detection
RIPA buffer was used to extract the total protein of cells, and BCA (Thermo, PA, USA) was used to prepare and measure the total protein of cells. The total protein was separated on 12% SDS-PAGE, moved to PVDF membrane, and then sealed with dry milk and

### Table 1 miR with Top 5 Differences of High and Low Expression in GSE57370 Chip

| Gene Name    | logFC | t    | P value | B   |
|--------------|-------|------|---------|-----|
| **High**     |       |      |         |     |
| hsa-miR-452-3P | 1.731 | 4.657 | 1.592E-05 | 2.651 |
| hsa-miR-135b-3P | 1.377 | 3.879 | 2.439E-04 | 0.059 |
| hsa-miR-320d | 1.654 | 3.444 | 9.998E-04 | −1.262 |
| hsa-miR-320c | 1.843 | 3.421 | 1.075E-03 | −1.330 |
| hsa-miR-320e | 1.613 | 3.282 | 1.648E-03 | −1.726 |
| **Low**      |       |      |         |     |
| hsa-miR-514 | −3.215 | −12.051 | 2.373E-18 | 31.254 |
| hsa-miR-215 | −4.453 | −10.716 | 4.198E-16 | 26.257 |
| hsa-miR-192 | −5.230 | −8.857 | 7.624E-13 | 18.977 |
| hsa-miR-192-3P | −1.892 | −8.702 | 1.435E-12 | 18.362 |
| hsa-miR-194 | −5.713 | −8.270 | 8.535E-12 | 16.629 |

Notes: logFC, log fold change; t, t-test value; P, value, statistical value; B, β coefficient.
immunostained with primary antibody Sox4 and GAPDH at 4°C for a night at −4°C. After incubation with secondary antibody, the signal was visualized by chemiluminescence detection system (Pierce, Thermo, PA, USA).

Subcutaneous Tumor Model

Ten BALB/c-nu nude mice (5–6 weeks old, 18–20 g) were collected from Charles River (Beijing, China). All mice were subcutaneously inoculated with WiT49 cells transfected with miR-30d-mimics and miR-NC (5×10⁶ cells per mouse) on the left dorsal side, and cultured for 28 days. The tumor volume of nude mice was detected every 7 days. The formula was (length× width²)/2 (mm³). Animals were euthanized 28 days later, and the tumor was removed and weighed. This study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee and performed referring to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of USA National Institutes of health.

Statistical Analysis

GraphPad 8 was used to analyze the data, and independent sample t-test was used for inter-group comparison. The counting data were expressed by percentage (%). Chi-square test was used and expressed by χ². One-way ANOVA was applied for multiple groups comparison, which was expressed by F. LSD-t-test was used for

Figure 1 GEO chip screening common differential miR. (A and C) GSE57370 chip difference miR volcano plot and thermography. (B and D) GSE48137 chip difference miR volcano plot and thermography. (E) Venn visualized the Venn diagram to screen out the common differential miR.

Note: Red dots indicate highly expressed and green dots indicate poorly expressed.
post-event comparison, repeated measurement ANOVA was used for expression at multiple time points, which was expressed as F. Bonferroni was used for back testing and Pearson test for analysis of correlation of genes, and when $P < 0.05$, there were statistical differences.

### Results

#### WT GEO Chip Differential miR Analysis

We analyzed the differential miR of GSE57370 and GSE48137 chips, respectively. As a result, we found that there were 50 differential miRs in GSE57370 chips, including 5 high expression, 45 low expression (See Table 1, Figure 1A and C). Among them, there were 31 differential miRs in GSE48137 chips, including 20 high expression and 11 low expression (See Table 2, Figure 1B and D). We found through Venn diagram analysis that there were two intersecting miRs in the two chips, namely miR-30d and miR-1973 (See Figure 1E). We searched the relevant literature and there is no research to prove that miR-1973 is expressed

| Table 2 miR with Top 5 Differences of High and Low Expression in GSE48137 Chip |
|---------------------------------------------|--------|-----|------|--------|
| **Gene Name** | **logFC** | **t** | **P value** | **B** |
| **High** | | | | |
| hsa-miR-1225-5p | 1.192 | 5.938 | 8.518E-07 | 5.737 |
| hsa-miR-769-3p | 1.130 | 5.397 | 4.499E-06 | 4.165 |
| hsa-miR-642b | 1.182 | 5.385 | 4.661E-06 | 4.132 |
| hsa-miR-3692-3p | 1.527 | 5.300 | 6.045E-06 | 3.886 |
| hsa-miR-150 | 1.938 | 4.810 | 2.704E-05 | 2.473 |
| **Low** | | | | |
| hsa-miR-514 | -1.164 | -6.555 | 1.289E-07 | 7.519 |
| hsa-miR-215 | -2.299 | -6.508 | 1.490E-07 | 7.382 |
| hsa-miR-192 | -1.421 | -5.495 | 3.326E-06 | 4.450 |
| hsa-miR-192-3p | -1.023 | -5.088 | 1.159E-05 | 3.271 |
| hsa-miR-194 | -1.709 | -4.127 | 2.086E-04 | 0.554 |

Notes: logFC, log fold change; t, t-test value; Pvalue, statistical value; B, $\beta$ coefficient.

Figure 2 Expression of miR-30d in WT children tissues and clinical data. (A) RT-qPCR for detecting the relative expression of miR-30d in WT children tissues. (B) RT-qPCR for detecting the relative expression of miR-30d in tissues of WT children with different pathological types. (C) RT-qPCR for detecting the relative expression of miR-30d in tissues of WT children at different NWTS stages. (D) RT-qPCR for detecting the relative expression of miR-30d in tissues of WT children with lymphatic metastasis. ***Indicates that $P<0.001$. 
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in any sample, so we chose miR-30d for further research in combination with the existing literature.

Clinical Value of miR-30d in WT Children
In order to determine the expression of miR-30d in WT children, miR-30d in tumor tissues of children with WT was tested by RT-qPCR. It was indicated that miR-30d was low expressed in tissues of WT children (See Figure 2A). Furthermore, we explored the correlation of miR-30d with clinical data of children and found the increasing probability of UH (See Figure 2B), NWTS high staging (See Figure 2C) and lymphatic metastasis (See Figure 2D) in children with miR-30d low expression. This suggested that miR-30d may be a potential clinical observation indicator of WT (See Table 3).

Up-Regulation of miR-30d Could Inhibit the Growth and Metastasis of WT Cells
In this study, the expression of miR-30d in WT cells was tested by RT-qPCR, and it was found that miR-30d down-regulated in WT cells (Figure 3A). C64 and WiT49 cells were selected for transfection respectively, and miR-30d-mimics/inhibit cell lines were constructed to explore the role of miR-30d on WT cells (Figure 3B–D). Further observation of CCK-8 experiment found that the proliferation ability of WT cells transfected with miR-30d-mimics was significantly inhibited (Figure 4A). Transwell experiment found that the invasion ability and migration ability were significantly inhibited (Figure 4B and C). Flow cytometry found that it further induced apoptosis, but the above results of it were reversed (Figure 4D). In addition, we observed through subcutaneous tumor model that the tumor volume and mass of nude mice injected with miR-30d-mimics were significantly reduced compared with those transfected with miR-NC (Figure 5A and B). This showed that miR-30d is a potential target for WT treatment.

miR-30d Could Target Sox4
miR regulated downstream target genes, which has become a classic approach. In order to further explore the in-depth mechanism of miR-30d, we predicted its target genes through online miR prediction websites of TargetScan, miRDB, miRTarBase, and starBase respectively (See Figure 6A). As a result, we found targeted binding sites between Sox4 and miR-30d (See Figure 6B). In order to explore the expression of Sox4 in WT tumor, we searched relevant chips through GEO database and found that Sox4 was highly expressed in WT tumor tissue in GSE66405 (See Figure 6C). For further determining the expression of Sox4 in WT children, we found that the expression of Sox4 in WT children’s tissues up-regulated significantly (See Figure 6D), and further found that the expression of Sox4 in WT children’s tissues was negatively correlated with miR-30d (See Figure 6E).

Table 3 Relationship Between miR-30d and WT Clinical Data

| Parameter          | miR-30d     |         |         | P value     |
|--------------------|-------------|---------|---------|-------------|
|                    | Low Expression (n=15) | High Expression (n=15) |         |             |
| Age                | ≥2 years old (n=13) | 6       | 7       | 0.713       |
|                    | <2 years old (n=17) | 9       | 8       |             |
| Gender             | Male (n=15) | 6       | 9       | 0.273       |
|                    | Female (n=15) | 9       | 6       |             |
| Histopathological type | Favorable (FH) (n=16) | 5       | 11      | 0.028       |
|                    | Unfavorable (UH) (n=14) | 10      | 4       |             |
| NWTS stage         | I+II (n=16) | 4       | 12      | 0.003       |
|                    | III+IV (n=14) | 11      | 3       |             |
| Lymphatic metastasis | Transferred (n=7) | 6       | 1       | 0.031       |
|                    | Non-transferred (n=23) | 9       | 14      |             |
which suggested that miR-30d and Sox4 had targeted relationship. For verifying the relationship between the two, we found that miR-30d-mimics could inhibit Sox4-WT fluorescence activity through double luciferase report detection, while Sox4-WT fluorescence activity was inhibited after co-transfection of miR-30d-inhibit (See Figure 6F), and Sox4 mRNA and protein in transfected cells increased and decreased in different degrees through detection of WT cells transfected with miR-30d-mimics/inhibit (See Figure 6G), which suggested that miR-30d could target Sox4 and might be participated in the occurrence and development of WT.

miR-30d Participated in WT Growth and Transfer by Regulating Sox4
At the end of the study, in order to determine the targeting of miR-30d to Sox4, we co-transfected miR-30d-mimics, pcDNA-Sox4 (See Figure 7A), miR-30d-inhibit, si-Sox4 (See Figure 7B), and further observed the growth and metastasis. After knocking-down, the proliferation (See Figure 7C), invasion (See Figure 7D), and migration (See Figure 7E) of Sox4 WT cells were significantly inhibited, and apoptosis was induced (See Figure 7F), while the above results of up-regulation of Sox4 were reversed. Moreover, after co-transfection of miR-30d-mimics, pcDNA-Sox4, miR-30d-inhibit, and si-Sox4 respectively, the cell proliferation, invasion, migration, and apoptosis were not different from those of miR-NC+si-NC, suggesting that miR-30d participated in WT growth and metastasis by regulating Sox4.

Discussion
WT is a multiple solid tumor in children. More and more studies have proved that miR are closely related to WT.23

![Figure 3 Establishment of miR-30d-mimics/inhibit and its expression after transfection into WT cells. (A) RT-qPCR for detecting the relative expression of miR-30d in WT cell line. (B and C) RT-qPCR for detecting the relative expression of miR-30d in the vector after constructing miR-30d-mimics/inhibit. (D) RT-qPCR for detecting the relative expression of miR-30d after miR-30d-mimics/inhibit was transfected into WT cells. *Indicates that P<0.05, **indicates that P<0.01.](image-url)
Figure 4 Up-regulation of miR-30d could inhibit growth and metastasis of WT cells. (A) CCK-8 for detecting the proliferation of WT cells after transfecting miR-30d-mimics/inhibit. (B and C) Transwell for detecting the changes of WT cell invasion and migration after transfecting miR-30d-mimics/inhibit. (D) Flow cytometry for detecting WT cell apoptosis after transfecting miR-30d-mimics/inhibit. *Indicates that P<0.05, **indicates that P<0.01.
Abnormal expression of miR has a close correlation with the occurrence of tumors, and many miRs play important regulatory roles in the biological functions, proliferation, invasion, migration and apoptosis. Therefore, we hope to provide potential therapeutic targets for clinic by exploring the mechanisms in miR and WT.

Figure 5 Inhibition of miR-30d-mimics with WT tumor growth in nude mice. (A and B) Subcutaneous tumorigenesis experiment for detecting the changes in tumor volume and mass of WT cells injected and transfected with miR-30d-mimics/inhibit. **Indicates that P<0.01, ***indicates that P<0.001.

Figure 6 miR-30d could target Sox4. (A) TargetScan, miRDB, miRTarBase and starBase for predicting the potential target genes of miR-30d. (B) Targeted binding site and mutation site of miR-30d and Sox4. (C) Expression of Sox4 in WT tissue in GSE66405 chip. (D) RT-qPCR for detecting the relative expression of Sox4 in WT children tissues. (E) Pearson test for analyzing the correlation between miR-30d and Sox4 in WT children tissues. (F) Double luciferase report for confirming the targeted binding of miR-30d and Sox4. (G) RT-qPCR for detecting of Sox4 mRNA and protein relative expression in WT cells transfected with miR-30d-mimics/inhibit. *Indicates that P<0.05, ***indicates that P<0.001.
miR-30d belongs to the miR family and is located on human chromosome 8q24.22. More and more studies have found that miR-30d is low expressed in various tumors such as lung cancer, colon cancer and ovarian cancer. Moreover, the biological function can be reversed by up-regulating miR-30d. However, there is no research on miR-30d in WT at present. In this study, we searched the common differential miR through GEO database, and learned that miR-30d was decreased in GSE57370 and GSE48137 chips, which suggested that miR-30d had a close correlation with the occurrence and development of WT. In order to further verify the relationship between miR-30d and WT, miR-30d in tumor tissues of WT children was subsequently detected, and we found miR-30d down-regulated in both tumor tissues and cell lines, and the analysis also found the increasing probability of UH, NWTS high staging and lymphatic metastasis in children with miR-30d low expression. This showed that miR-30d could be a potential indicator to observe the condition of WT patients. We regulated the expression of miR-30d in WT cells and found that the cell proliferation, invasion, migration were inhibited and apoptosis was induced after increasing miR-30d. This showed that miR-30d could be a potential target for treating WT.

Figure 7 miR-30d participated in WT growth and transfer by regulating Sox4. (A) RT-qPCR for detecting the relative expression of Sox4 after construction of SOX4 over-expression and inhibition vectors. (B) RT-qPCR for detecting the relative expression of Sox4 in cells after the constructed vector was transfected into the cells. (C) CCK-8 for detecting the proliferation of WT cells transfected with pcDNA-Sox4, si-Sox4 and co-transfected with miR-30d-mimic/inhibit. (D and E) Transwell for detecting the invasion and migration of WT cells transfected with pcDNA-Sox4, si-Sox4 and co-transfected with miR-30d-mimic/inhibit. (F) Flow cytometry for detecting the changes of WT cell apoptosis after transfection of pcDNA-Sox4, si-Sox4 and co-transfection with miR-30d-mimic/inhibit. *Indicates that P<0.05, **indicates that P<0.01.
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Sox4 participates in the regulation of embryo development and the determination of cell fate. Some studies have found that Sox4 may play a role in the apoptosis pathway leading to cell death and tumor occurrence. In order to verify the expression of Sox4 in WT, Sox4 was found to be highly expressed in WT through GEO database chip screening analysis. Further tests showed that Sox4 was also highly expressed in WT children’s tissues. In addition, it was found that Sox4 was negatively correlated to miR-30d in WT children’s tissues, which further confirmed the possible targeting relationship between miR-30d and Sox4. Subsequently, double luciferase report detection and Sox4 expression in WT cells transfected with miR-30d-mimics/inhibit were carried out, respectively, to verify the targeting correlation of miR-30d with Sox4. We found that miR-30d could directly target Sox4. So far, we could confirm that miR-30d could target Sox4.

At the end of the study, in order to determine that miR-30d inhibits WT cell growth and metastasis by targeting Sox4, we transfected different expressions of Sox4 into WT cells, and found that the proliferation, invasion and migration were significantly accelerated after up-regulation of Sox4, and cell apoptosis was inhibited, while the result was reversed after knocking-down of Sox4. In addition, we also found that after miR-30d-mimics and miR-30d-inhibit were co-transfected with pcDNA-Sox4 and si-Sox4 respectively, the proliferation, invasion, migration and apoptosis were not different from the control group, which indicated that miR-30d participated in occurrence of WT and it was completed by regulating Sox4.

Through the above research, we could determine the relevant mechanism of miR-30d in WT, but this research still has certain limitations. Firstly, due to the low incidence of WT, the samples collected in this study are relatively small. Secondly, the diagnostic value of miR-30d and Sox4 in WT children is not evaluated. Therefore, we hope to further explore the relationship of miR-30d and Sox4 with clinical diagnosis in future research to supplement the results of this study.

Conclusion

miR-30d is low expression in WT, and participates in the occurrence of WT by regulating Sox4, which is a promising target for the treatment of WT.
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