Circulating levels of leptin, adiposity and breast cancer risk
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The present case–control study was to investigate the relationships of plasma leptin level and anthropometric measures of adiposity with the risk of breast cancer. Questionnaire information, anthropometric measures and blood samples were taken before treatment from 297 incident cases with breast cancer and 593 controls admitted for health examination at the Tri-Service General Hospital, Taipei, between 2004 and 2006. Plasma levels of leptin were measured by RIA. Logistic regression analysis was used to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for assessing the associations. Overall, higher leptin concentrations were significantly associated with an increased risk of breast cancer (OR (95% CI) for top vs bottom tertile of leptin was 1.63 (1.07–2.49), P trend = 0.009). Waist circumference was a significant anthropometric factor for breast cancer in both pre- and postmenopausal women. Furthermore, the associations of leptin with breast cancer risk remained after adjustment for obesity indices. These results suggest that leptin may have an independent role in breast tumorigenesis. Regardless of the impact of circulating leptin, more research is needed to elucidate molecular mechanisms and local leptin levels that are critical for the development of breast cancers.
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Epidemiologic evidence suggested that obesity is associated with an increased risk of breast cancer in women, primarily in postmenopausal population (Calle and Thun, 2004). However, the molecular mechanisms underlying the obesity–breast cancer link are not fully clear. One important factor contributing to obesity–breast carcinogenesis might be an excess exposure of mammary epithelium to various bioactive substances produced by the adipose tissue (adipokines). Indeed, adipose tissue is a source of oestrogens, insulin and insulin-like growth factors, all of which are believed to be involved in mammary tumorigenesis (Schäffer et al, 2007). The most prominent adipokine is leptin, which is best known as a regulator of food intake and energy balance in the hypothalamus (Auwerx and Staels, 1998). Interestingly, leptin is also implicated in the regulation of reproductive hormones and function (Zhang et al, 2005). Notably, leptin consistently stimulates the proliferation of benign and malignant epithelial breast cells in vitro as measured by DNA synthesis and upregulation of downstream regulators of cellular proliferation (Diedonne et al, 2002; Hu et al, 2002). Thus, the intriguing possibility exists that leptin could be directly related to breast carcinogenesis by underlying the effects of obesity on cancer development. We have undertaken a case–control study to test this hypothesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case and control selection

This case–control study was conducted at the Tri-Service General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, from January 2004 to November 2006. To account for the notions that type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome (MS) have been associated with increased serum leptin levels and elevated risk of breast cancer (Vona-Davis et al, 2007), individuals with a history of type 2 diabetes and/or MS were excluded from this study. On the basis of the hospital chart number, the cases involved 297 women consecutively selected from subjects with a first confirmed histopathologic diagnosis of breast carcinoma in the age range of 24–72 years. The histopathological profile included 216 cases of invasive ductal carcinoma, 31 cases of mucinous carcinoma or invasive lobular carcinoma and 50 cases of carcinoma in situ. There were 172 premenopausal and 125 postmenopausal cases with breast cancer. Control subjects comprising individuals without a history of cancer were simultaneously recruited from the health examination clinics of the same hospital during the same study period. Two control subjects were matched to each case by date of enrolment (±3 months) and duration of fasting (±4 h). One control subject with inadequate specimen was excluded, resulting in 297 cases and 593 controls included in this study.

Collection of questionnaire data and blood specimens

Once case patients and control subjects agree to participate, written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects. The research protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board.
at the Tri-Service General Hospital, Taipei. All participants underwent personal interview administered by well-trained interviewers in conformance with institutional guidelines for studies including human subjects. Data were collected on sociodemographic characteristics, menstrual and reproductive history, menopausal status, lifestyle behaviours and medical history as well as family history of breast and other cancers. More specifically, in this study, menopausal status was defined as last menstruation after 1 year free of menstrual cycle, and no attempt was made to distinguish between women with artificial and those with natural menopause. Immediately after the interview, a 10-ml blood sample was drawn into coded EDTA-treated tubes and centrifuged at 1467 g for 10 min at room temperature within 10 h of collection. Plasma, buffy coat and red blood cells were separated and stored at −70 °C until subsequent analysis. In this study, efforts had been made to obtain questionnaire data and biospecimens before cases’ acceptance with surgery and/or receiving adjuvant therapy, any influence of treatment protocol being unlikely.

### Anthropometric measurements and laboratory analysis of plasma leptin levels

Participants reported information regarding height and weight. Body mass index (BMI), as an indicator of generalised obesity, was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in metres. In addition, the measurements of waist and hip circumferences were performed by trained clinical staff using standardised techniques. Waist girth was determined with a measuring tape placed horizontally around the midpoint between the iliac crest and lower margin of the ribs. Hip girth was the maximum circumference around the buttocks posteriorly and the symphysis pubis anteriorly. As a result, waist circumference (WC) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) represent a measure of central adiposity. Plasma leptin concentrations were measured in a single run using a commercially available RIA kit (Linco Research Inc., Missouri, MO, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The sensitivity of this assay is 0.5 ng ml⁻¹; the intraassay coefficient of variation being 4.98% and the interassay coefficient of variation being 4.5%. Leptin is extremely stable and can be stored at −20 °C for prolonged periods of time and even at 4 °C or room temperature for several days without significant degradation (Ma et al., 1996). All matched case–control blood samples were handled identically and assayed in the same analytical run. The blood samples were labelled by number only and ordered randomly within each case–control pair. Accordingly, laboratory personnel were unaware of the case–control status.

### Statistical analysis

Differences between cases and controls in age at menarche, age at first full-term pregnancy (FFTP), age at menopause and parity numbers were tested using the Student’s t-test. Spearman correlation coefficients were used to examine cross-sectional relationships between leptin and anthropometric measures of adiposity. In addition, conditional logistic regression, which preserves the matching of cases and controls, was used to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the associations between plasma leptin levels and anthropometric measures and breast cancer risk.

### RESULTS

The baseline characteristics of cases and controls are summarised in Table 1. The mean age (± s.d.) of the cases and controls was 49.7 (± 8.7) and 48.7 (± 8.3) years, respectively. There were no statistically significant differences between cases and controls in terms of age at menarche (13.6 vs 13.7 years), age at FFTP (26.7 vs 26.5 years), age at menopause (48.9 vs 48.5 years) and parity number (2.0 vs 2.0). By contrast, there were statistically significant differences between cases and controls for anthropometric measures of adiposity. The average BMI, WC and WHR were higher in breast cancer cases than in control subjects (23.4 vs 22.9 kg m⁻², P = 0.0048; 77.7 vs 75.1 cm, P < 0.0001; and 0.81 vs 0.78, P < 0.0001, respectively).

To assess the relationship of plasma leptin levels with anthropometric measures of adiposity, we performed a correlation analysis among control subjects. As expected, plasma leptin was significantly positively correlated with BMI (r = 0.59, P < 0.0001), WC (r = 0.50, P < 0.0001) and WHR (r = 0.23, P < 0.0001). The mean (± s.d.) levels of leptin in cases with invasive breast cancer, patients with carcinoma in situ and control subjects were 10.4 (± 7.0), 8.7 (± 5.3) and 8.4 (± 5.3 ng ml⁻¹), respectively. We further categorised plasma leptin either as high or as low levels (based on the 75 percentile in the control group) and into three groups (based on the tertile values in the control group) when appropriate for subsequent analyses. Table 2 presents the risk of breast cancer in relation to plasma leptin levels. Overall, higher leptin concentrations were statistically significantly associated with an increased risk of breast cancer. Compared with those in the lowest tertile, the ORs of breast cancer for women in the second and third tertiles were 0.93 (95% CI = 0.63–1.38) and 1.63 (95% CI = 1.07–2.49), respectively (Ptertile = 0.0091). In subgroup analyses according to menopausal status, a similar pattern regarding breast cancer risk associated with higher levels of leptin was observed in postmenopausal women. Additional adjustment for BMI and WC slightly attenuated these associations. Restricting analysis to cases with invasive cancers and control subjects did not reveal any other remarkable discrepancies in results (data not shown). Concerning the roles of general and central obesity, we found that increasing BMI values were associated with reduced risk of breast cancer in premenopausal women after adjustment for circulating leptin levels (OR = 0.22; 95% CI = 0.10–0.48 for the highest vs the lowest tertile; Ptrend = 0.001), whereas an increasing WC was associated with increased risk of breast cancer in both pre- and postmenopausal women when an adjustment for leptin concentrations was made (ORs (95% CI) for the highest vs the lowest tertile in pre- and postmenopausal women were 3.54 (1.55–8.10) and 2.85 (1.19–6.84), respectively). Accordingly, WC was a significant anthropometric factor for breast cancer. Thus, we further evaluate the possible joint effect of WC and leptin on breast cancer risk for the whole group and separately for pre- and postmenopausal women (Table 3). In general, the highest risk was observed for those who had higher WC measures and elevated circulating leptin levels. When compared with risks for women with WC measures and leptin concentrations less than the third tertiles, the ORs (95% CI) for those who had WC measures and plasma leptin levels in the highest tertiles for the whole group and

### Table 1

| Baseline characteristics | Cases (n = 297) | Controls (n = 593) | P-value |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------|
| Age (year)               | 49.66 ± 8.72  | 48.71 ± 8.51      | 0.1196 |
| Age at menarche (year)   | 13.64 ± 1.50  | 13.67 ± 1.53      | 0.7624 |
| Age at FFTP (year)       | 26.72 ± 4.14  | 26.46 ± 4.05      | 0.0409 |
| Age at menopause (year)  | 48.89 ± 5.15  | 48.48 ± 4.82      | 0.4466 |
| Number of parity         | 2.01 ± 1.15   | 2.02 ± 1.08       | 0.9314 |
| BMI (mg l⁻¹)             | 23.36 ± 4.00  | 22.68 ± 2.93      | 0.0648 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 77.67 ± 9.37  | 75.13 ± 7.56      | <0.0001 |
| WHR                      | 0.81 ± 0.06   | 0.78 ± 0.05       | <0.0001 |

BMI = body mass index; FFTP = first full-term pregnancy; WHR = waist-to-hip ratio.
Clinical Studies

Postmenopausal prostate cancers (Garofalo and Surmacz, 2006).

New evidence suggests that leptin could be involved in tumorigenesis, especially in the development of breast, colorectal and prostate cancers (Garofalo and Surmacz, 2006).

**DISCUSSION**

Leptin is a peptide hormone that is mainly synthesised and secreted by the adipose tissue (Considine et al, 1996; Auwerx and Staels, 1998). Several lines of evidence suggest that leptin plays a much broader physiological role (Rosenbaum and Leibel, 1999; Zhang et al, 2005). On a cellular level, leptin has been found to act as a physiological role (Rosenbaum and Leibel, 1999; Zhang et al, 2005). Although leptin has been viewed as the hormonal signal for the regulation of energy homeostasis (Auwerx and Staels, 1998), several lines of evidence suggest that leptin plays a much broader physiological role (Rosenbaum and Leibel, 1999; Zhang et al, 2005). On a cellular level, leptin has been found to act as a metabolic regulator and motogenic and pro-angiogenic factors (Sierra-Honigmann et al, 1998; Hardwick et al, 2001; Hu et al, 2002; Chio et al, 2004; Yin et al, 2004; Chen et al, 2006a). In addition, new evidence suggests that leptin could be involved in tumorigenesis, especially in the development of breast, colorectal and prostate cancers (Garofalo and Surmacz, 2006). In this study, we found a significant increase in the risk of breast cancer associated with a high plasma level of leptin. Intriguingly, women in the intermediate tertile of leptin had a nonsignificantly reduced risk for breast cancer compared with those in the lowest tertile. The reasons for this observation are unclear and may reflect the play of chance. Although leptin has been shown to be correlated with breast cancer risk in this study and earlier reports (Tessitore et al, 2004; Han et al, 2005; Chen et al, 2006b; Hou et al, 2007; Liu et al, 2007), contradictory results were also documented by other investigators. Some reports suggested a negative correlation between leptin and breast cancer in the premenopausal but not postmenopausal group (Petridou et al, 2000), whereas several authors described that there was no significant association between circulating leptin and breast cancer risk (Mantzoros et al, 1999; Coskun et al, 2003; Sauter et al, 2004; Stattin et al, 2004; Woo et al, 2006). The inconsistent data obtained with circulating leptin and breast cancer risk could be, at least in part, explained by differences in sample preparation and measurement techniques as well as the lack of control for potential factors that influence leptin concentrations, such as food intake. Indeed, the hypothesis that leptin is mechanistically related to the development of breast separately for pre- and postmenopausal women were 2.51 (1.51–4.19), 1.99 (1.06–3.39) and 3.25 (1.53–6.91), respectively.
cancer is supported by several breast cancer cell models showing that leptin induces proliferation, survival and anchorage-independent growth (Dieudonne et al, 2002; Hu et al, 2002; Yin et al, 2004).

Furthermore, leptin has been found to modulate both oestrogen synthesis and oestrogen receptor-α activity. For instance, leptin can upregulate the aromatase gene expression and aromatase activity in MCF-7 cells, possibly leading to an increased oestrogen activity in MCF-7 cells, possibly leading to an increased oestrogen activity. For instance, leptin can upregulate the aromatase gene expression and aromatase activity in MCF-7 cells, possibly leading to an increased oestrogen synthesis (Catalano et al, 2004). The relevance of leptin signalling in breast tumorigenesis is reinforced by the observation that both leptin and leptin receptor appear to be significantly overexpressed in breast cancer tissue relative to normal breast epithelium (Ishikawa et al, 2004). Despite the large body of in vitro data suggesting the role of leptin in breast tumorigenesis, there was a large gap between the mean serum leptin concentration in experiments (above 100 ng ml\(^{-1}\)) and in that of epidemiological studies (range: 8–41 ng ml\(^{-1}\)). Furthermore, regardless of the impact of circulating leptin, recent studies suggest that carcinogenicity could be induced by an overabundance of locally produced leptin. The analysis of approximately 300 biopsies revealed that leptin is overexpressed in breast cancer, whereas it is absent or expressed at very low levels in normal epithelium or benign tumors (Ishikawa et al, 2004; Garofalo et al, 2006). Taken together, as leptin has been associated with cancer risk, but has not been shown to be oncogenic, further studies are needed to elucidate the levels of leptin that are needed in human breast tissue for the development of cancer.

Numerous studies have established that obesity is a risk factor for breast cancer development (Stoll, 1994; Calle and Thun, 2004). Specifically, the relationship between obesity assessed by BMI and breast cancer risk differs between pre- and postmenopausal women. Body mass index has a strong positive association with postmenopausal breast cancer and an inverse correlation with premenopausal cancer risk (van den Brandt et al, 2000). However, central obesity, mainly measured by the WC or WHR, can increase breast cancer risk in both premenopausal and postmenopausal populations (Calle and Thun, 2004). In this study, women with breast cancer appeared to have high BMI, WC and WHR than control subjects (Table 1). When stratification by menopausal status and adjustment for plasma leptin concentration was made, results suggest that general obesity assessed by BMI and central obesity measured by WC may both have effects on breast cancer risk. General obesity has an inverse effect in premenopausal women, whereas central obesity appears to be positively associated with increased risk of breast cancer in both pre- and postmenopausal women. It has been noted that Asian women have greater amounts of visceral fat – the adipose tissue component most strongly associated with insulin resistance and lower sex hormone-binding globulin – for a given waist measurement than Caucasian women (Lovejoy et al, 1996). As a result, central obesity may have an important role in breast tumorigenesis in Chinese women (Ng et al, 1997).

It is worth noting that the association between plasma leptin concentrations and breast cancer risk remained after adjustment for obesity indices in this study. In other words, the positive associations with leptin level were independent of measures of adiposity (Table 2), and obesity and leptin appear to have independent roles in breast carcinogenesis (Table 3), as our earlier observation on adiponectin-breast cancer association (Tian et al, 2007). These results seem to suggest a possibility that other growth hormones may contribute to obesity-cancer link and mechanisms other than energy balance may be involved.

The limitations of our study design warrant discussion. There was only one-time measurement of leptin concentration in this study. However, a single measurement of circulating leptin levels appears to reflect an individual’s long-term levels of leptin quite well, because even in samples taken 4 years apart, intraclass correlation was high \((r = 0.74)\) (Chu et al, 2001). Meanwhile, any random error in the assays would tend to underestimate the true association. In addition, a recent study has shown that leptin was produced by breast cancer cells (Tessitore et al, 2000), and it is possible that levels of circulating leptin in subjects for whom samples were collected subsequent to breast cancer diagnosis and/or receiving adjuvant treatments may be influenced by the disease process and/or treatment protocol. Although we restricted our case-control comparisons to patients whose blood was drawn before surgery and/or receiving adjuvant therapy, results must be viewed cautiously. On the other hand, Chen et al (2006b) compared serum leptin levels in patients with breast cancer, before surgery and 1 month after surgery, and found no significant difference between the two groups. This observation suggested that breast cancer cells produce only small amount of the circulating levels of leptin and other body adipose tissues might be the major contributor. The current report has other limitations that the effects of premenopausal menstrual cycle and postmenopausal hormone replacement therapy (HRT) on leptin concentrations were not directly assessed. Circumstantial evidence suggests that ovarian hormones affect leptin production. Leptin is reported to fluctuate with the menstrual cycle (Shimizu et al, 1997), and premenopausal HRT may regulate leptin concentrations (Augoulea et al, 2005). However, our data indicated that there was no significant difference in the average duration between the date of last menstruation and the date of enrolment between premenopausal cases and controls (25.5 vs 26.5 days), and this average duration was not significantly associated with circulating leptin levels (the Spearman correlation coefficient in the control group was 0.0823; \(P = 0.8361\)). In addition, our data showed that there was no significant difference in leptin concentrations between women who received postmenopausal HRT (51 cases and 145 controls) and those who were non-HRT users (74 cases and 114 controls) (8.78 vs 9.25 ng ml\(^{-1}\); \(P = 0.810\)). Thus, the phase of menstrual cycle in premenopausal women and HRT in postmenopausal women may not affect the case-control comparisons for leptin concentrations in this study.

In conclusion, the converging lines of evidence from this study and earlier in vitro studies provide the background biological context for considering a role for leptin in the pathogenesis of breast cancer. Further investigation is warranted to confirm and expand on this empirical finding.
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