Introduction

In India, breast and cervix-uterus are the first and third most common sites of cancers contributing to about 144,937 cases (Globocan, 2018; Patil et al., 2019). Reports from the Tamil Nadu Cancer Registry Project (2017) observed that 56% of women were affected by cancer, out of which gynecological cancers (breast, cervix and ovary) comprised of 50%. The survival rates of breast and cervical cancers can be improved by early diagnosis (National Programme for Prevention and Control of Cancer, Diabetes, CVD and Stroke, 2017). Yet, the universal availability and accessibility of screening are debatable (Jacob, 2012), particularly in developing countries like India (Gakidou et al., 2008, Van Dyne et al., 2019, Gupta et al., 2019). While coverage is a concern, the low screening uptake by targeted women has been reported as the major challenge in cancer screening. Significant information asymmetry, economic, cultural and psychosocial factors have been identified as barriers for the low cancer screening uptake among women (Nyblade et al., 2017).

Although the nationwide screening program (National Programme for Prevention and Control of Cancer, Diabetes, CVD and Stroke, 2017) is being implemented in India in a phased manner, not understanding and addressing the barriers will hinder the success of the program. This qualitative study attempted to explore the current barriers and enablers to breast and cervical cancer screening uptake among women in Tamil Nadu.

Materials and Methods

Study design

Descriptive qualitative study design was conducted using in-depth interviews among the selected key informants (KI). Experiences and perceptions of cancer screening were explored with particular focus on barriers to screening uptake and possible solutions.

Study participants

All participants in the study were purposively selected to ensure representation of various sects from the population. To obtain a triangular perspective, cancer survivor (CS), community women (CW) with and without symptoms, community service providers (SP) and professionals from oncology and community medicine were included in the study.
Data collection

Interviews were conducted using a semi-structured interview guide with open-ended questions between January and March 2019 (Box 1) by a qualified psycho-oncologist (M.Phil) trained in qualitative research. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and briefing about the cancer screening. The socio-demographic characteristics of KI was collected using a structured pro forma. The KI were inquired about barriers to cancer screening in general followed by their own experiences in screening. Among SP, their experiences about cancer screening in their routine clinical setting were explored. All the interviews were conducted face-to-face at their residence or workplace in the regional language and audio-recorded. The questions proceeded from general to specific topics to reduce interviewer and participant bias. Probing questions were asked wherever appropriate. The verbatim was transcribed on the same day by the interviewers in the same language (Tamil). The duration of interviews ranged from 20 to 30 minutes. Data collection was carried out until saturation was achieved and redundancy of information was observed.

Data analysis

Two trained researchers read the transcripts to become familiar with the data and conducted the manual descriptive thematic analysis using a deductive approach to reduce researcher bias and improve interpretive credibility. The decision on coding rules and theme generation was done using standard procedures (Saldana, 2010). The contents of participants’ verbatim quotes were shortened and coded with names. The codes that covered similar ideas were merged into specific categories. Finally, the categories with similar context were grouped into themes (Creswell and Clark, 2007). Any differences between the researchers were resolved by discussion. To ensure that the results were a reflection of data, codes were related to the original data (Lincoln and Guba, 1985). Final stage of the analysis was carried out by the two researchers. The naming of categories and themes were discussed until agreement was reached. In this manuscript, the verbatim is reported in double quotations and italicized, the author explanations within quotes in square brackets and the respondents’ identities are given in round brackets and italicized. The findings were reported using ‘Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research (COREQ) guidelines (Tong et al., 2007).

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the doctoral committee appointed by Rajiv Gandhi National Institute of Youth Development for the research degree of the first author.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics of participants

There were no refusals of consent or dropouts during participation, with a total of 19 KI, of which one KI was a male service provider (Medical Oncologist). Of the remaining 18, nine were community women, eight were service providers from health care and cancer screening setting and one was a cancer survivor. The mean age of KI was 38 years, ranging from 32 to 58 years. Only 38.9% and 16.7% of the KI underwent breast and cervical cancer screening respectively. Table 1 shows the characteristics of participants.

Barriers and Enablers

Table 2 shows the barriers and enablers for cancer screening reported by KI in the context of themes, categories and codes. Three broad themes for barriers and two broad themes for enablers are described below.

Box 1. Thematic Questions Used during in-Depth Interviews

| Interview questions | Probing questions |
|---------------------|------------------|
| Why do women hesitate to undergo cancer screening? | Do you think women feel embarrassed to attend screening programs?  
Is it due to a lack of awareness?  
Is it due to the inadequacy of hospitals to provide screening facilities?  
Do you think facilities are accessible to women near their living area?  
Do doctors and other health professionals have adequate knowledge to conduct screening?  
Do women feel scared of the screening procedures?  
Are women afraid of getting diagnosed with cancer after screening?  
Is it because they might call for multiple visits?  
Do you think the screening procedures are costly?  
Do women get husband and family support for attending cancer screening programs?  
Is it because women feel they are healthy at present?  
Is it important to get screened for cancer even if there are no symptoms?  
Are there any other reasons? |
| Have you ever undergone cancer screening? | If no, why?  
If yes, what motivated you to undergo cancer screening? |
| How to motivate the community women to undertake cancer screening? | |
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Table 1. Participant Details

| Variables                      | N (%) |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| Age in years [Mean (SD)]       | 38 (8.48) |
| Gender                         |       |
| Male                           | 1 (5.3) |
| Female                         | 18 (94.7) |
| Category                       |       |
| Survivor                       | 1 (5.3) |
| Community women                | 9 (47.4) |
| Health care providers          | 9 (47.4) |
| Breast cancer screening done (n=18) | 7 (38.9) |
| Cervical cancer screening done (n=18) | 3 (16.7) |

SD, Standard Deviation

Barriers

Theme 1: Psychosocial and Individual Factors

Women were found to have psychological barriers like fear, anxiety, embarrassment, shyness, negligence which were influenced by social convictions and lack of awareness.

i. Nihilistic attitude towards cancer

Many participants reported that fear of being diagnosed with cancer was a major barrier to screening uptake. It was also associated with fear of discrimination by family and society, stigma related to cancer (that cancer is equivalent to death) and the lack of understanding about cancer (that cancer is contagious). A few stated,

“I am scared, what will I do, if they say, I have cancer” (CW1, CW3, CW8, SP1)

“[I’m] Scared, the family members may not mingle with us casually. If the society comes to know that is all, [I fear [that], they will isolate us]” (CW6)

“If they say, we have the disease after the screening, our life is gone after that, the life is over, that kind of negative thoughts [prevent going]”(CW5)

“This is like a contagious disease, if one is diagnosed of cancer, we should not allow children near them” (CW1)

The same was reiterated by SPs as well, “Scared that the life will be over with that, and they will die” (SP1)

Few women expressed concerns about the prolonged treatment and multiple visits and associated financial implications.

“If we have to go for screening, the situation is that we need to go to hospital eight to ten days” (CW6, CW1)

“One day, they should spend” (SP1)

“Time will be waste, if we go it will take one day” (CW2)

“The treatment expenses [of cancer] are high” (CW5, CW6)

ii. Lack of awareness about cancer screening

All the participants perceived that lack of awareness about cancer screening was a barrier for not participating in the screening program.

“There is no adequate amount of awareness [about cancer screening] among people” (CW4, CS1)

Cancer screening was frequently described as a painful and uncomfortable process.

“We cannot bear the pain” (CW1)

“First of all, that [mammogram] will be very painful, that is also one reason for my fear” (CW2)

“The fear related to the pain during the screening is also one of the important reasons” (CW8)

Most of the women reported that being asymptomatic, feeling fit or healthy does not necessitate screening and the cause for not approaching the doctors for screening. Procrastination was also observed due to the lack of understanding of the rationale of screening.

“Thinking that we can do little later, maybe after 15 days” (CW1)

“If there are any symptoms, we can go, otherwise why should we go. The attitude is that after 40 or 45 years, the system will start functioning slow, then we can do, what is the urgency”(CW2)

“I don’t have any symptoms, why should I check” (SP6)

“I am fine only” (SP3, SP8).

Lack of awareness also found among the family members and elders was stated as,

“Even before, we go to the screening, they will stop us saying, you will not get such diseases, why are you imagining yourself” (CW1)

“A few relatives will ask, why are you going to the hospital when you don’t have any problem” (SP3)

iii. Negligence

An attitude of carelessness regarding their health was seen in women as mentioned by a CW.

“We are fine only if anything comes let us see at that time” (CW9)

iv. Embarrassment or shyness

The embarrassment of revealing their body parts was a commonly perceived notion that caused hesitation in women. It was difficult for women to break their conventional mindset imbibed with cultural norms for the purpose of screening.

“Shyness, they need to expose the private parts to doctors (Breast and cervix)” (SP2, SP3)

“People are shy, that is why refusing to go” (CW3)

v. Superstitious beliefs

A common social stigma was identified among CW that related to the occurrence of cancer with their misdeed. They believed that going to church could cure them of their sins as well as the disease.

“I did not commit any sin” (CW3, CS1)

“This disease will come only to people who commit sin” (SP8)

“If we go to church, it will be alright” (CS1)

Theme 2: Cultural and financial factors

Cultural hindrances were found to be interlaced with familial barriers like lack of family support, household responsibilities. Financial difficulties were also reported as a major concern by the women.
Table 2. Perspectives of Community Women, Survivor and Health Care Providers on Barriers and Enablers for Cancer Screening

| Themes                              | Categories                          | Codes                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Barriers for cancer screening**   |                                     |                                                                      |
| Psychosocial and Individual Factors | Fear of cancer diagnosis            | Fear of screening outcome                                           |
|                                     |                                     | Fear of family rejection                                            |
|                                     |                                     | Stigma related to cancer                                             |
|                                     |                                     | Cancer is contagious                                                 |
|                                     |                                     | Fear about treatment cost                                            |
|                                     |                                     | Prolonged treatment                                                  |
|                                     | Lack of awareness about cancer       | Lack of awareness about screening                                    |
|                                     | screening process                    | Pain during screening procedures                                    |
|                                     |                                     | Misguidance from elders in the family                               |
|                                     |                                     | Time consuming                                                       |
|                                     |                                     | Absence of symptoms                                                  |
|                                     |                                     | Feeling fit                                                          |
|                                     | Negligence                           | Carelessness about their health                                     |
|                                     | Embarrassment                        | Shyness to reveal their body parts                                   |
|                                     | Social stigma                        | Cancer is the consequence of sin                                     |
| Cultural and financial factors      | Family barriers                     | Lack of family support                                               |
|                                     |                                     | Lack of spousal support                                              |
|                                     |                                     | Responsibilities of women - household work/ child care               |
|                                     | Economic issues                      | High screening cost                                                  |
|                                     |                                     | Loss of income                                                       |
| Health care system related factors  | Lack of trust in hospitals and doctors| Lack of knowledge or skills about cancer screening among doctors     |
|                                     |                                     | Lack of trustworthiness toward private hospitals                    |
|                                     |                                     | Unapproachable government doctors                                   |
|                                     |                                     | Non-availability of doctors in government hospitals                 |
|                                     | Poor accessibility due to geographical location | Non-availability of screening facilities at PHCs                  |
|                                     | Male health service providers        | Male physician and male technician                                   |
|                                     |                                     |                                                                      |
| **Enablers for cancer screening**   |                                     |                                                                      |
| Intensification of culture specific | Advertisements                       | Media, mobile, pamphlets, women movie personalities, role models, colleges, camp |
| IEC activities - Awareness generation|                                     | Screening camps and awareness programs                              |
| Policy changes – screen and treat,  | Awareness                           |                                                                      |
| financial support                   | Government policies                  | Make it mandatory by government                                     |
|                                     |                                     | Make it reachable                                                    |
|                                     | Financial support                    | Reduce the screening cost                                            |
|                                     | Appointing female health service     | Provide incentive                                                    |
| providers                            |                                     |                                                                      |

i. **Family-related barriers**

Women reported that they needed a companion as some were not habituated to going out alone. There was no support from the family to go for screening in some cases. “More than 50% of the spouses do not co-operate” (CS1)

“Sometimes, the husband will say not to go for screening” (CW6)

Women with household and childrearing responsibilities were not willing to give up on their duties in order to attend the screening.
ii. Economic barriers

In many families women were still the bread-winners, working daily-wage jobs, and would lose a day’s wage if they attended the screening. Women felt the screening cost to be high and an additional expense.

“If we go to screening, we lose our daily wages” (CS1)

“Firstly, if we go for screening, it will cost about Rs.4000 for breast and cervical screening. Many people may think [hesitate], because they need to spend more money” (CW1)

Theme 3: Health care system-related factors

i. Lack of trust in doctors and hospitals

Pervasive distrust on the health care facilities, both private and Government, was observed in the community. The KI mentioned that doctors from government hospitals were unavailable or unresponsive based on their previous experiences.

“Don’t believe corporate hospitals, they will prescribe investigations unnecessarily” (CW2)

“At the Government hospitals, there is no regulation on the doctor’s visiting time to the hospital” (CW6)

“... At government hospital, he [the doctor] said, we cannot do anything hereafter; that is all, they behaved very harsh, ...” (CS1)

ii. Poor accessibility due to geographic location

Women reported that screening was inaccessible to those in villages due to inadequate facilities.

“In villages, there are no facilities at the primary health centres to do the cancer screening” (CW8)

iii. Male health service providers

Screening conducted by male physician or technicians was found to be an obstacle in cancer screening. Women felt diffident and reluctant to undergo cancer screening if male health care providers conducted the screening procedures.

“Men are conducting the screening test [mammogram], it will be ok if women take [mammogram]. If we go to scan centres, more than ladies, in many places men are only there, that is why women refuse to go” (CW3)

“We hesitate to show to male doctors” (CW4)

“If the doctor is sometimes male, people definitely feel shy and hesitate to show their breast to them” (CW8, CS1)

Enablers

Theme: Intensification of culture-specific IEC activities - Awareness generation

The participants mentioned that creating awareness and educating the public about the need for screening could help reach to the community. Media was considered an essential tool to spread knowledge among people.

“Need to conduct awareness programs, can insert handbills through newspapers, through Television...”

(CW4)

“Can show someone who is cured of cancer as a role model” (CS1)

It was also suggested that regular camps should be conducted extensively.

“Can conduct screening camps” (CW2)

Theme 5: Policy changes – screen and treat, financial support

Policy changes to increase the availability and accessibility to screening facilities, appointing female staff incentivization were suggested by the KIs.

i. Government policies

Participants recommended that the government should make it compulsory for women to undergo cancer screening and that women have increased access to these services.

“The Government should bring a policy to screen all the women compulsorily” (SP1)

“The screening facilities should be made available in all the hospitals” (CW7, CW8)

“The camp should be near their place” (CW2)

“The facilities should be made available near their villages” (SP2)

ii. Financial support

As for the financial burden, it was suggested that the screening should be done at low cost or incentives could be provided to attend cancer screening.

“We should advertise that the screening will be done at low cost” (CW4)

“Incentives can be provided. Then the people from below poverty line will come forward to do cancer screening” (SP1)

iii. Appointing female service providers

Appointing more women doctors and technicians for the screening programs could help women overcome fear and embarrassment leading to a higher proportion of women attending the cancer screening. Appointing doctors from the same community will improve the level of comfort and trust in the system.

“We need to take them to familiar doctors, then without fear, they can do the screening” (CW5)

“If women do the screening/mammogram it will be good” (CW3)

Discussion

The study qualitatively explored the barriers and enablers for screening uptake among women. Psychosocial, economic, cultural and system related factors were identified.

The strength of this study was that, the population consisted of educated and uneducated male and female participants from rural and urban communities, professionals including oncologists, epidemiologist and other health care providers belonging to different socio-economic backgrounds representing variation in the study population. Hence the study could acquire different experiences.
dimensions in its viewpoint. There were some limitations in our study. Firstly, the study population was small and there was not enough representation from each category. Secondly, as the study was conducted in a specific Indian region, generalizability is difficult.

Fear of being diagnosed or of the examination procedure were reported as barriers in previous studies (Agurto et al., 2004; Allahverdipour et al., 2011; Malhotra et al., 2016) as in the current study. Embarrassment to reveal their body parts, especially with male health service providers, not necessitating screening in asymptomatic conditions and lack of family support were also found in the current study confirming previous findings (Devarapalli et al., 2018; Marlow et al., 2015; Nyblade et al., 2017; Szalacha et al., 2017).

In previous literatures, cultural beliefs have been identified as a significant barrier (cancer as sin, the result of immorality) in women to undergo cancer screening as they prominently influence the level of understanding and knowledge about these cancers (de Cuevas et al., 2018; Gupta et al., 2015; Lee, 2015; Meana et al., 2001; Modibbo et al., 2016; Szalacha et al., 2017) and interventions addressing them have also produced results (Adunlin et al., 2019; Pratt et al., 2019). Financial concern was reported both in the current study and previous studies (Malhotra et al., 2016). Nevertheless, screening conducted at no cost for all eligible women by the current nationwide program in India might help in addressing this issue. The main enablers mentioned in our study were creating awareness, policy changes by the government including availability of facilities and incentivization. Government has been providing incentives for Tuberculosis patients to continue receiving treatment and to mothers undergoing institutional deliveries in an attempt to reduce infant and maternal mortality rate. Similar incentivization to women attending cancer screening could improve the rate of screening uptake.

The currently prevailing barriers and enablers in the society, mentioned in this study have been consistently reported as determinants of screening uptake over the years (de Cuevas et al., 2018; Devarapalli et al., 2018; Dinshaw et al., 2007; Gupta et al., 2015; Kulkarni et al., 2019). Despite repeated research conducted with this focus, barriers influencing cancer screening uptake have not changed for the past two decades. This might be attributed to the society’s low sensitivity about screening of women related cancers. Awareness for women cancers were reported as poor (Bora et al., 2016; Khokhar, 2009) and lack of media attention towards cancer control in India is prevalent (Gupta et al., 2015).

Usage of decision aids will help in increasing the rate of acceptance in contemplating stage, thus improving the screening uptake. Decision aids have been shown to reduce indecisiveness, improve consensus on values and choices as well as improve knowledge (Barratt et al., 2004). IEC and screening are the two main components in cancer prevention recommended by the World Health Organization (Bora et al., 2016). The interim results of a community-based cancer screening conducted in Mumbai showed a compliance rate of 85% and 70% for breast and cervical cancer screening where the women were sensitized about the reproductive organs, cancer symptoms and early detection (Mishra et al., 2015). IEC method and targeted intervention programs have been effective to improve participation in breast and cervical cancer screenings (Jacob, 2012; Rao et al., 2005). Although decision making aids are widely used for cancer treatment, its role in cancer screening is still unexploited. In India, massive mobilization of the community, effective micro-planning and compound communication approach have been the foundation to eradicate polio in the country (Thacker et al., 2016). Such galvanizing social movement regarding women cancer screening is crucial to reach out to maximum population. Empowering through awareness and use of decision making aids are the need of the hour for mass mobilization of the society and improving the uptake of women cancer screening.

In Conclusion, This study reiterates the psychosocial barriers and enablers that have been prevalent in the community women over the period of time. It was inferred that the factors hindering the cancer screening uptake have remained unchanged for about two decades. Addressing these barriers by creating awareness, using decision making aids and changes in government policy are the means to strengthen the current NPCDCS program.
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