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Abstract—We present a flexible interactive 3D morpho-kinematical modeling application for astrophysics. Compared to other systems, our application reduces the restrictions on the physical assumptions, data type and amount that is required for a reconstruction of an object’s morphology. It is one of the first publicly available tools to apply interactive graphics to astrophysical modeling. The tool allows astrophysicists to provide a-priori knowledge about the object by interactively defining 3D structural elements. By direct comparison of model prediction with observational data, model parameters can then be automatically optimized to fit the observation. The tool has already been successfully used in a number of astrophysical research projects.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The interpretation of astrophysical data often depends strongly on the knowledge of depth information along the line of sight. In most cases, however, this is the least well known information. That is true for the distance and especially for the position of substructure within an object. The development of effective methods for the reconstruction of the 3D structure of astrophysical objects is therefore an issue of growing importance in astronomy. Photographic images only provide a two-dimensional integration of the emission and absorption along the line of sight. The depth information is therefore flattened. Sometimes, symmetry properties combined with a favorable orientation of an object provide sufficient information to visually deduce what the structure must be. This can be the case for planetary nebulae and has been used to automatically reconstruct the 3D structure (Leahy [14], Magnor et al. [19], [20], Lintu et al. [16], [17]). If no such symmetries are present, then the depth information must come from other types of information, which usually depend on a fundamental physical model for the object class that is considered. This information could be the velocity field, e.g. in a radially expanding nebula a mapping between velocity and position exists. However, for some objects – such as turbulent interstellar clouds – such a mapping is not possible.

Much of observational astrophysics research involves physical modeling with limited constraints to deduce physical properties of the observed objects. Astrophysicists measure a limited number of physical properties of an object, via electromagnetic waves, to which a physical model of the phenomenon is then fitted. Such models are usually not unique.

Most of the astrophysical modeling effort tends to gravitate towards massive parallel supercomputing for dynamical simulations. Analysis and visualization of such simulations are done separately and often are complex and computationally intense processes themselves [11]. While such simulations produce insight into generic astrophysical processes, they are rarely suitable for elucidating the properties and structure of particular objects.

Knowing the properties of individual objects is essential when a single object class, e.g. planetary nebulae, shows a large variety of presentations in images. A serious difficulty for the modeling of particular objects is our fixed vantage point on Earth which restricts all observations to be along a single direction (up to the parallax provided by the Earth’s orbit, which is negligible for typical distances to astronomical nebulae). This is in strong contrast to, e.g., medical imaging where 3D information is recovered from observations from multiple directions around the subject. For any astronomical object beyond the solar system, we are able to observe only one 2D projection of its actual 3D volumetric shape. For the correct physical interpretation of observational data, information about the object’s actual 3D shape has to be available [3], [15], [18], [24], [34]. Obtaining new structural information and insight on particular objects is the main purpose of the application that we present in this paper. Our approach to modeling individual objects is very different from previous methods. The application that we present (called Shape) becomes essential when automatic reconstruction methods fail because theoretical or observational constraints are insufficient. A lack of constraints for an automatic reconstruction is at
least partially compensated by scientific user judgement. Often the available constraints are sufficient to test one or more hypotheses about the structure of an object.

In such cases, rather than a reconstructive, a constructive morpho-kinematical modeling approach is more suitable to reconstruct the structure and velocity field. The term morpho-kinematical is applied to modeling that involves only structural (morphological) and velocity (kinematic) information. This is in contrast to dynamical simulations, which include the effects of forces and temporal evolution from a set of simpler initial and boundary conditions. In general, the outcome of dynamical simulations is not predictable in detail and very hard to tune to a specific object.

Conventional morpho-kinematical modeling uses hard-coded mathematical descriptions of the objects, processes and boundary conditions. Therefore, the user needs at least basic programming skills in the particular language of the code. Modern 3D modeling software of the graphics industry shows that such modeling can be done effectively without user programming intervention. Although such software can visualize gas-dynamical processes, it is inefficient and its usefulness for astrophysical processes is limited. The general workflow of such systems seemed, however, very suitable for modeling particular astrophysical objects [33].

Following the technique of modern interactive 3D graphics systems, we have developed Shape with specialized functionality for interactive astrophysical modeling on single desktop or laptop computers. The primary purpose of Shape is to interactively generate 3D models. However, in contrast to conventional astrophysical modeling tools, it integrates the visualization and analysis of the model into the same system. Direct access to the model data at any stage of the modeling process allows for effective comparison with the observed data in a variety of ways within the feedback loop of the iterative workflow (Figure 1).

In artistic work on astronomical topics, commercial tools like Maya or 3D Studio Max are frequently being employed [4], [22], [37]. Professional animation tools are designed to assist in creating realistic 3D scenes of familiar environments. Unfortunately, when used for scientific work they display serious shortcomings. Especially volume rendering with mesh structures and particle systems are very different from the physical correct radiation transfer needed for reliable interpretation of astrophysical phenomena. A qualitative and quantitative comparison of such models with real objects is not possible. The key problem preventing their use in astrophysics research is the inability to produce the type of renderings that are comparable to the observations obtained with telescopes and other scientific instrumentation like spectrographs (e.g., for Doppler-shift measurements).

With Shape we remedy most of the shortcomings of previous astrophysical reconstruction systems by applying the powerful structure modeling techniques of commercial animation suites, while adding the information output and processing systems that are necessary for astrophysical research applications. We go beyond the current commercial rendering techniques by using physically more accurate modeling of the radiation transfer from the sources to the observer.

In this paper we first comment on previous related work in Section 2 on the type of observational data that are used for this work in Section 3 and then introduce the Shape system. In Section 5 we show three examples of previously published research applications of Shape, before giving an outlook on future developments and our conclusions in Sections 6 and 7, respectively.

2 Related Work

Many different approaches have historically been used to simplify the modeling of astrophysical objects. Besides the general reconstruction techniques applying
to a wider range of volumetric objects (cf. [13]), specialized approaches have been developed that exploit the peculiarities of the astrophysical case. Among them are automatic methods that make use of Doppler shift measurements (cf. the section on observational data) or symmetry assumptions as well as user-driven modeling systems.

2.1 Automatic Reconstruction Methods

Many extended astrophysical objects show a strong correlation between the velocity and position of the emitting gas relative to some local reference point, which often is the center of a star or stellar remnant. For example, material that has been ejected ballistically with different velocities from the same source (e.g. by an explosion) will naturally evolve such that – after a time period that is long enough compared to the duration of the ejection process – the faster regions have moved farther away from the source and an approximately linear dependence between velocity and distance from the source is established (see Figure 2 top).

Doppler shift methods like the one by Sabbadin et al. [27], [28] make use of this correlation in order to derive depth information from Doppler-shift data. If the assumption of linear dependence between the position and velocity vector holds, a linear mapping exists between the Doppler–shift (i.e. velocity along the line of sight) and the position along the same direction (see Figure 2 bottom). In this case the resulting models are accurate within the limits of the accuracy of the Earth-bound observational data. Unfortunately, many objects contain several different kinematic subsystems which may have different relations between velocity and position. Some also show complex interactions with their local environment which may further complicate the velocity law [32]. Furthermore, these methods require an almost complete coverage of the object with regularly spaced observations of the Doppler-shift, which require special observing programs. Such homogeneous data sets are rarely available.

Other algorithms that are more oriented towards high visual quality than physical accuracy of the results are based on symmetry constraints (Magnor et al. [19], [20], Lințu et al. [16], [17], Wenger et al. [33]). Many astrophysical nebulae show an inherent spherical or axial symmetry due to their evolution from more or less symmetrical sources. This symmetry assumption may be used to reconstruct the missing spatial dimension [14].

In many cases, however, these simple symmetries are disturbed by statistical effects or external influences, and more complex symmetries as well as turbulent structures arise which cannot be modeled in such a generic way.

2.2 User-Driven Systems

The above-mentioned shortcomings of fully automatic reconstruction approaches may be avoided by resorting to interactive modeling techniques. The interactive creation of a model aiming to reproduce a given single image is a common task, but most existing solutions are not well suited for the modeling of emissive transparent objects that are prevalent in astronomy and do not allow for representation of velocity information and spectral data. Among the tools that most closely reflect our modeling approach are the interactive approaches of Debevec et al. [5], François and Medioni [8] and Zhang et al. [38], all of which expect some kind of user-specified coarse geometry or a set of user-defined geometry constraints which is then automatically converted into a full three-dimensional model that best fits the provided image under the given constraints. The idea of
Fig. 3: Panel “a” shows the projected synthetic image of a bipolar nebula comparable to the one outlined in Figure 2 with a similar orientation to the observer. The color coding is according to the velocity along the line of sight (blue is approaching and red is receding). The marked synthetic spectrograph slit was used to generate the P–V diagram in panel “b”. Since the velocity field has been assumed homologous, the P–V diagram can be stretched along the horizontal velocity axis such that its outline corresponds to a cut through the object along the line of sight (panel “c”).

3 OBSERVATIONAL DATA IN ASTRONOMY

Many astrophysical objects (e.g. stars or emission nebulae) contain large amounts of ionized gas. This ionized gas (or plasma) emits light at a number of well-known wavelengths, depending on the chemical composition of the plasma. Using filters for selected wavelengths, two-dimensional projections of the spatial distributions of different ions within such an object can be measured as photographs. While the spatial resolution of earth-bound measurement devices is limited by the perturbing effects of the atmosphere, the advent of space telescopes such as the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) made high resolution imagery with high sensitivity available for a large number of objects.

Another key source of astronomical data for 3D reconstructions are Doppler-shift measurements. The underlying physical phenomenon is the Doppler-effect which causes the wavelength of light to change when the emitter and the receiver have a nonzero relative velocity towards each other. With high-resolution spectrographs, it is possible to measure the shift of any observed spectral line with respect to its known reference wavelength, and therefore the relative velocity of the emitter along the line of sight. Because many complex astrophysical objects also have complex internal velocity fields, the resulting distortions of the spectral lines are an important additional source of information.

To capture spatially varying wavelength information, usually a narrow slit is used to select only a narrow, but long region of the object. The light is then dispersed in wavelength along the direction perpendicular to the slit. The result is recorded on an image detector and leads to a position-velocity (P-V) diagram. The image intensity is the object intensity as a function of position along the slit and wavelength (Figure 3).

4 THE SHAPE SYSTEM

The motivation for the development of Shape was to be able to reconstruct the 3D structure of astrophysical objects based on data sets that do not allow an automatic reconstruction. This may be because of a lack of sufficiently detailed data or because the basic assumptions for the reconstruction algorithm are not met by the object. Therefore, a user-driven interactive approach was adopted. The minimum general requirements that such a system should have are those present in previous systems, which basically are:

- Tools to define a spatial emissivity and velocity field.
- Establish a mapping between Doppler-shift and position.
- Produce output that can be compared with observed images and spectra.
- Shape: The tools to define the spatial structure and velocity field should be interactive

The first three requirements are for previously existing systems. The last item, in italics, is the fundamental additional distinctive requirement for Shape.
To fulfill these four requirements, three major Shape modules are typically used when constructing a model to fit given observational data. The workflow is illustrated in Figure 1. First, the interactive modeling environment is used to specify the user’s assumptions about the object’s geometry, velocity and emissivity. This model is then input to the rendering module which emulates how the modeled object would be observed through a physical measurement device such as a telescope with a spectrograph. Visualizations have been designed such that they are an aid to obtain new physical insight and/or be comparable to actual astronomical data. The user then interactively refines the model until it qualitatively fits the observational data. In a final automatic optimization step, the numerical parameters of the model are varied to also quantitatively fit the model in the best possible way.

The interactive modeling approach makes it important to keep the system highly functional on single computers. Parallelization therefore focuses on the application of multi-core threading and, in the future, on parallel simulation and rendering on graphics processing units (GPUs).

4.1 Java Implementation

For the choice of Java as the programming language for Shape the following three initial criteria were decisive. Astrophysicists use a range of operating systems, mainly Linux, Mac OS X and Windows. Therefore, first, portability of the software and file formats was essential. Second, since the software was to be developed over a considerable time and updated regularly, Java Webstart seemed an excellent way to handle user-friendly updates. Third, all essential Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) are readily available.

In practice, however, there are a number of compatibility issues, especially with Mac OS X. The necessary Java software has become available only recently and only for 64-bit systems. Minor non-essential compatibility issues remain with Linux and 64-bit Mac OS X systems, which can be expected to disappear as these operating systems and Shape evolve.

Shape requires four basic Java components: the Java Virtual Machine (JVM), Java3D, Java Advanced Imaging (JAI) and Java Media Framework (JMF). The 3D modeling environment is implemented with Java3D, and JAI has been used for some image processing tasks. JMF allows the encoding of animated image sequences into common movie formats.

Depending on the selected algorithm and the complexity of a model, simulation times may range between fractions of a second and about one or two minutes on a current Intel Core Duo processor with 2 GB RAM, but they usually stay below a few seconds for the most common cases with typical 256 pixel image sizes.

4.2 Interactive Modeling

The modeling system that we present here has to solve two key problems that are common in existing astronomical 3D reconstruction tools. Since the spatial and spectral resolution of current observational techniques reveal a great wealth of irregular detail in gaseous nebulae, simple mathematical descriptions of the structures have become impractical as the number of parameters to handle can go into the hundreds. We want our system to be able to model the complex multipolar, knotty or filamentary structures that are commonly observed in gaseous nebulae. Also, most earlier solutions have been based on “off-line” modeling of the object being reconstructed, followed by an entirely separated rendering step. We want to allow for an interactive model–render–compare feedback loop in order to speed up and simplify the modeling process.

These problems lead us to the specification of a mesh-based interactive construction software similar to commercial modeling tools. The main difference between our tool and conventional 3D animation packages is that Shape produces not only images but also spectral
information which is presented in a way to be directly comparable to various types of astronomical observations, and that its modeling environment focuses on the structures and symmetries that are commonly present in astronomical objects.

The Shape program consists of two main components: A 3D modeling view in which geometry and behavior of the model are defined, and a 2D screen where the simulated appearance of the model can be compared to observational data (Figure 4).

The model properties are defined basically in three steps. First a structural selection of regions in the spatial domain is set using mesh surfaces and volumes. Multiple meshes can be used to model complex structures. Physical properties are assigned as a function of position in the spatial domain. Different functions can be used for different sub-structures, i.e. meshes. A sampling process in the selected spatial mesh regions then reads the physical properties. The sampling can either be done at particle locations on the surface or within the volume of the mesh or at the locations of the voxels of a regular 3D grid. Sampling particles can be distributed randomly on the surface or the volume of a mesh. The particles can also be used to visualize the vector field, attaching to them a velocity vector. Although these particles have physical attributes, they should not be confused with physical atomic particles. Here they serve only the purpose of visualization and of defining a position for the sampling process.

For the mesh creation process, a number of suitable primitives (sphere, torus, cone, cube, etc.) are available which are then deformed using a selection of modifiers; importing meshes from other software is also possible. The primitives can be used either as volumetric objects, as infinitely thin shells, or as volumetric shells with user-specified thickness. In addition to scaling, translation and rotation, the available modifiers include operations that are parameterized along a given axis (the squeeze, squish, shear and twist modifiers). Boolean combination of different primitives allows for constructive solid geometry modeling. Additionally, mesh vertices can be adjusted manually either individually or in groups.

Among others, the spatial distribution of density, color and velocity can be conveniently defined as a function of position. The user can choose among a set of predefined common spatial dependencies with adjustable parameters or create custom functions in different coordinate systems (cartesian, cylindrical or spherical). These functions are assumed to be separable into functions of the chosen coordinates, e.g. \( f(r, \theta, \phi) = f_r(r) \cdot f_\theta(\theta) \cdot f_\phi(\phi) \).

The different coordinate functions \( f_r, f_\theta \) and \( f_\phi \) in that example can be defined either analytically or as piecewise linear functions that may be graphically edited (like animation curves in conventional animation software packages). For the analytic functions, the user can define the function by typing in a formula. The formula is fed into a mathematical text interpreter and is calculated and displayed immediately as a graph. Predefined functions for, e.g., the velocity field may include common movements such as radial expansion, gaseous disk rotation and solid body rotation, and random distributions. More complex functions can be constructed by sequentially combining them with addition or multiplication.

All modeling and visualization can be done in either arbitrary units or in various actual physical units that are suited to the problem, e.g. the apparent size of the object in arcseconds, sizes and distances in parsec or astronomical units, velocities in km/s and the like. The modeling interface not only displays the mesh and particles, but also the particle velocities in the form of vectors color-coding their velocity along the line of sight, which is responsible for the observed Doppler-shift. The projection of these vectors on the sky is directly observable in some objects as tangential motion, after comparing observations with a sufficiently large time interval in between. This provides further kinematical constraints (see also Section 5.2, Figure 9).

Since the particle velocities can be specified in a model, the time evolution of the object may be predicted, assuming ballistic expansion (i.e. constant velocities). A time modifier calculates the future or past positions of the particles for a given time interval. Using this feature, the age of a nebula and its short–term structural evolution can be estimated.

Finally, our tool also allows importing data from external simulations in order to visualize and analyze the results (cf. the examples section). This makes it also possible to use other software for specialized modeling tasks (e.g. for the generation of sophisticated noise distributions). Also, the models created with Shape may be exported and used as input for external simulation or visualization software.

### 4.3 Image Rendering

Several renderers are available, which serve different purposes according to the type of object that is modeled and the adopted workflow. Many astrophysical objects are optically thin, i.e. transparent. This fact has been used in three renderers (particle, grid & mesh renderers). They provide faster rendering than the physical renderer, which takes into account opacity and other radiation transport effects. The physical renderer is still experimental and under development. Its details will be described elsewhere.

The particle renderer uses a random particle distribution to sample the model emissivity and velocity space. The values are added directly to the image pixels or P-V diagrams according to their projected position and velocity along the line of sight.

In the grid and mesh renderers, a regular grid is set up in world space that is aligned with the line of sight. In the grid renderer, particle positions are used to sample the physical properties of the object. The density of the particles are distributed in the 3D grid. The voxels...
are then rendered and/or output for external purposes. For the mesh renderer, the sampling of the physical properties is done by searching for the voxels of the grid that overlap with the model’s mesh. If the mesh is a volume, then the center point of the voxel is used as the sample point and emission is calculated from the fraction of the voxel that is located within the mesh. To reduce aliasing effects, the position can be jittered within the limits of the voxel. If the mesh is a surface, the fraction of each mesh segment in a particular voxel is determined. Assuming a small but finite thickness of the surface, the fraction of the volume occupied in the voxel, and hence the emission, can be determined. The emission from each sub-object is added to the grid and rendered separately (no mixing is done). Finally, the emission from each voxel is projected onto the image plane.

In the images, the emission is integrated along the line of sight (z-axis) regardless of their velocity, and the results are plotted in the image plane (xy-plane). Position–velocity diagrams (P–V diagrams), however, only take emission in a given x range (within the spectrograph slit) into account. The intrinsic spectral line width is assumed small compared to the resolution of the P–V diagram. The y coordinate is the position along the slit. The object’s emission is distributed according to its position along the slit and the velocity component along the line of sight (v_z, see Figures 2 and 3).

Fig. 5: The image on the left is a rendering of a hydrodynamical simulation after being imported into Shape. The middle shows the Shape mesh fitted to this image and a small fraction of the sampling particles. The panel on the right is the rendering of the Shape model of the hydrodynamical simulation after manually fitting an emissivity distribution in cylindrical coordinates [32].

4.4 Synthetic observations

An important task in the process of reconstructing an object based on observational data is, of course, the comparison between these data and the model. In order to make this comparison reliable, the rendering algorithm has to take into account not only the model itself, but also the properties of the measurement devices.

For comparison with observations, Shape includes three main 2D data types that are rendered from the 3D model: images, P–V diagrams and channel maps. For the images and P–V diagrams, several coloring schemes are available that display different types of secondary information. First, the color of a substructure can be used as assigned in the 3D model. This helps to distinguish the different sub-systems of the object, especially in the spectra. Second, the velocity along the line of sight as given by the Doppler-shift can be color-coded as simple red/blue to distinguish regions that move towards or away from the observer, respectively. Another option maps the Doppler-shift to a rainbow color range, such that a more detailed appreciation of the line-of-sight motion can be achieved.

Figure 6 shows the rainbow display option for image (a) and P–V diagrams (b & c). In panel (a) the image of a synthetic bipolar structure is shown as seen with a structure and inclination angle similar to the outline in the schematic diagram of Figure 2. The color-coding maps the Doppler-shift to the rainbow colors from red to violet, with red receding and blue approaching the observer. The width of the spectrograph slit has been marked. Panel (b) of the same figure is the resulting P–V diagram with a scaling in velocity (horizontal) that is typical for high-resolution spectral observations of bipolar planetary nebulae. In this object the velocity vector is proportional to the position vector (homologous expansion), such that for a suitable velocity scaling, the P–V diagram should reproduce the object structure along the line of sight. This is confirmed in panel (c) where the
velocity observations can be done that focus on the observation to visually detect differences. The rendered image and spectrum data manageable. Contour levels of the image intensity correction or logarithmic scaling make high dynamic range effects like complex point-spread functions and ghost convolution to the spatial and spectral dimensions.

Atmospheric and instrumental effects are the spatial and spectral information are used to model the properties of observational devices, e.g. the influence of the atmosphere or the aperture. The most important of the atmospheric and instrumental effects are the spatial and spectral resolution. These are modeled by applying gaussian convolution to the spatial and spectral dimensions. Effects like complex point-spread functions and ghost images from reflections inside the instrumentation are not taken into account. Other filters like gamma correction or logarithmic scaling make high dynamic range data manageable. Contour levels of the image intensity may also be applied. The rendered image and spectrum can then be displayed and interactively blended with the observation to visually detect differences.

When the model satisfies the demands of the user, a number of visualizations can be done that focus on the presentation of the results instead of being a modeling aid. For example, images may be rendered from different viewpoints, and image sequences and videos may be generated by changing display parameters (e.g. the camera position or the slit position of a P–V diagram).

### 4.5 Automatic Optimization

Shape includes an automatic optimization module which minimizes the difference between the rendered model and the observational data by tweaking the values of observing parameters or those of the modifiers in the model. The user can influence this process by selecting the parameters to be optimized and by limiting their values to a plausible range, as well as by optimizing different parameters in order of priority until the model adequately fits the observations.

Since a model may contain hundreds of parameters that influence each other, the user is presented with a hierarchical list of optimizeable parameters from which a reasonable subset (usually only a few parameters) are then selected for optimization. This interactive parameter pre-selection not only speeds up the following algorithm, but it may also be seen as an additional way for the user to emphasize certain properties of the model: Since changing the value of any one of two independent parameters might make the model explain the observational data equally well, there is ambiguity in any optimization process that can only be resolved by the user. Specifying ranges of valid parameter values is an even more powerful method of user intervention, because not selecting a parameter for optimization is eventually equivalent to selecting an infinitely small value range. Many parameter types presuppose certain boundaries for their values. For example, in a squeeze modifier which scales the distance of vertices from a given axis, the scaling coefficients are necessarily positive. For the optimization one can use images, P–V diagrams or data plots, of which only one can currently be optimized at a time.

### 4.6 Automatic reconstruction

Although Shape has been conceived for interactive reconstruction, there is an automatic reconstruction module. It is intended to provide a first look at the structure of a complex object for which a suitable data set is available. From the input data it generates a particle system that follows the brightness distribution of the data.

Our system has several advantages compared to other automatic reconstruction systems that are based on a mapping between Doppler–shift and position along the line of sight. First, it can use two different data types: P–V diagrams and channel maps. Second, it is not restricted to the assumption of a homologous expansion. It can use any radial velocity law as long as it is monotonic and provides a one-to-one mapping from Doppler–shift to position along the line of sight.

The input data in the form of P–V diagrams, in contrast to the tomographic method by Sabbadin et al. [30],...
are assumed to come from parallel slits. Parallel slit settings are much easier to obtain and process, since they can cover the complete object without gaps or superpositions. Similarly, a set of channel maps can be used to recover the 3D structure. Currently, no interpolation is performed if there are gaps in the spectrograph slit coverage. The gaps can, however, be filled by assuming that the slits are wider and touch each other. Slit positions and widths for the input P–V diagrams can be set individually. As usual, the exact scaling between Doppler-shift and position must come from some symmetry information about the object or some part of it. It is clear that such a reconstruction can only provide a first approximation to a detailed interactive reconstruction, especially if the assumed velocity law is not accurate for any part of the object.

4.7 Plot, Animation and Movie Modules

There are three auxiliary modules in Shape that are fully integrated in the main modeling process. They are the modules for plotting data, animating model parameters and the display of animation results.

The plot module can display graphs of a variety of data from the models, including 1D spectral line profiles. While the spectral line profiles require the rendering of the model, other data types can be obtained directly from the particles in a 3D model. For instance, when plotting velocity along the line of sight as a function of position along the spectrograph slit, a real-time preview of the P–V diagram is obtained in the form of a scatter plot of these particle properties. Such data can be visualized in real-time, as the user changes, for instance, the camera orientation or the slit position in the other modules.

The animation module was inspired by the equivalent curve editor modules of conventional 3D animation software. Here almost all object and camera parameters can be animated as a function of time using either manual animation curve editing or algebraic functions. In addition to educational visualizations, this allows the scientist to effectively explore the parameter space by producing sequences of outputs by automatically changing the parameters in a controlled manner. The animation module has an interactive time-line that updates the object structure in the 3D module in real-time. After rendering a sequence, the results can be viewed in the movie module. The movie module can load and simultaneously reproduce an arbitrary number of animation sequences, which may include images, spectra and plots. This is very helpful when analyzing various types of outputs as the parameters of a model change.

5 Results and Example Applications

In this section we show three examples of Shape models that have been published in the astrophysical research literature. The first example is an analysis of hydrodynamical simulations. These have been used to validate Shape and extract information about deviations from a homologous expansion that can be expected in planetary nebulae. Using Shape these deviations have been reduced to a small set of parameters for later use in models of real objects, like the one of the planetary nebula NGC 7009, which is presented after the hydrodynamical simulation. The third example is that of Nova RS Ophiuchi, which has been constructed by a user that is independent of the Shape developers. It applies features that have been implemented upon request by the user.

For this work, Shape has served as a completely integrated tool for modeling, simulation, analysis and visualization, setting it apart from any existing commercial or research tool. The results shown in this section represent original astrophysical research results which would have been very hard or impossible to obtain without Shape.

5.1 Validation of Shape with Hydrodynamical Simulations

In addition to direct modeling of astrophysical observations, Shape has been applied to the analysis and visualization of morpho-kinematical aspects of numerical hydrodynamical simulations. Such simulations have also served to validate the functionality of Shape, since their properties are known in full. Steffen & García-Segura [32] have used Shape to characterize the velocity field of numerical simulations of some basic types of planetary nebulae.

Parametric descriptions of the velocity field that extend commonly assumed velocity fields in morpho-kinematical modeling of axisymmetric objects where derived.

For this analysis, the simulated hydrodynamical data have been filtered according to density. The densest elements correspond to the shell that is usually observed as the brightest region in a planetary nebula. They have been imported to Shape as a particle system, including their velocity information. A 3D mesh and density distribution was then fitted to the large-scale structure. Figure 5 shows a comparison of the integrated emission measure (i.e. density squared) from one of the simulations (left) and that of the corresponding Shape model (right). The mesh structure and particle distribution (10% of the actually used particles) are shown in the middle panel of the same figure.

The authors allowed the presence of a poloidal velocity component. This component is perpendicular to the radial velocity component and points towards the symmetry axis along the “longitude” of a spherical coordinate system. As a function of angle from the symmetry axis, the poloidal velocity can be described by three linear segments with the condition of zero magnitude on the symmetry axis and equator. The radial component can also be described by two or three linear segments. These descriptions of velocity fields capture the kinematical properties of nebulae quite accurately, and are simple enough to be easily implemented in morpho-kinematical or photoionization codes (Figure 6).
To verify the kinematic modeling functionality of Shape, the velocity field of the simulations was manually fitted to high accuracy including small-scale variations. For later use in models of actual observations (see subsection 5.2), the radial and poloidal velocity field was simplified to two or three linear sections depending on the particular model. In Figure (5) in grey-scale we show, for three different viewing angles, the P–V diagrams of the simulation that was also used in Figure (3). Note the difference in the shape of the first P–V diagram from that of the image renderings in Figure (5, same viewing angle). This is a clear indication for deviations from a homologous expansion. For a homologous expansion, a change in viewing angle should show only a rotating structure even in the P–V diagrams. The changing structure at different viewing angles confirms the presence of deviations. In a reconstruction that incorrectly assumes a homologous expansion, the derived 3D structure will depend on the viewing angle with deformations along the line of sight.

The contour lines in Figure (6) are those of the simplified velocity field model. Together with the reconstructed structure in Figure (5) they show that piecewise linear velocity fields can yield an accurate representation of the global velocity structure in commonly seen planetary nebulae. In the following section we show how this result has been applied to a planetary nebula.

5.2 Reconstruction of the Saturn Nebula

We exemplify the reconstruction of complex planetary nebulae with the case of NGC 7009 [36], also called the Saturn nebula. The top panel of Figure (7) shows a color image of the nebula as constructed from three narrow-band filter images obtained with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) [1]. In the bottom panel a Shape model of the nebula is shown. This is a version of the model that includes more structural details than the one published in by Steffen et al. [36]. Compared to the model in that publication, for the rendering in Figure (7) we have added more small-scale features and models of additional narrow-band lines.

To model the object we took into account ground-based spectral data of four different spectral lines [29] in addition to color HST images. The spectral data are composed of 12 position-velocity diagrams rotated at intervals of 30° around the central star with a spatial resolution of approx. 1 arcsec and a velocity resolution of about 7 km/s.

Sabbadin et al. [29] used these data to derive the structure of NGC 7009 with their tomographic method which assumes homologous expansion (see section 2.1). Since the spatial coverage of the spectral data is incomplete, they apply an angular interpolation in regions of missing data between slits. This produces a unique solution for the 3D structure, but at the spatial resolution corresponding to ground-based observations, which is more than a factor of 5 worse than that of the HST. Although the object is not exactly axisymmetric, the reconstructed 3D structure of the object shows deviations from axisymmetry that are similar to those introduced by deviations from a homologous expansion.

Furthermore, the presence of hot X-ray emitting gas within the inner shell [9] leads to the expectation that this shell is likely to present deviations from a non-homologous expansion. The deviations have been estimated from a comparison between P–V diagrams and images. Although no unique solution was found, the distortions of the structure introduced by assuming a homologous expansion have been reduced considerably by the proposed velocity fields which include a poloidal velocity component [32]. They are within the expectations from the earlier hydrodynamical simulations.

In contrast to the direct tomographic reconstruction, we interactively modeled the structure as a set of nested meshes (Figure 8) and compared the rendered images and P–V diagrams with the observed counterparts. The interactive flexibility in the modeling of the complex structure and velocity field allows it to readily take into account complex structures without the need of any new coding. Volume meshes have been used for the large-scale structures, whereas the thin main shell was modeled as a surface mesh. Knots and filaments were reproduced using manually added particles on a copy of the main shell. The velocity and emissivity distributions have been adjusted separately for each mesh using piecewise linear functions.

Observationally, tests of the model can be achieved by measuring the expansion component in the plane of the sky (which can not be obtained by spectroscopy). Unfortunately, this requires the detection of the expansion in images that have been obtained with a time difference of a decade or more with the Hubble Space Telescope. For NGC 7009 this has not yet been adequately achieved. The velocity vector visualization in Shape provides an immediate built-in prediction of the expansion pattern in the plane of the sky. Figure (9) shows the projected velocity vectors for one of the models of NGC 7009. They clearly show the deviations from a homologous expansion, in that the vectors of the main shell and the bright symmetric knots do not converge at the position of the central star. Future observations of this pattern will provide hard evidence in favor or against the model published in Steffen et al. [36].

5.3 Nova RS Ophiuchi

Ribeiro et al. [25] have used Shape to model the structure and expansion of nova RS Ophiuchi after its outburst in 2006. In this system a white dwarf star orbits inside the outer layers or stellar wind of a red giant star. The white dwarf accumulates material from the giant, which after some time produces a nuclear explosion on its surface. The result is a fast expanding shell around the binary system (Figure 10 top). Nova explosions may have speeds of several thousand kilometers per
Fig. 7: A research example: The planetary nebula NGC 7009 as observed by the Hubble Space Telescope (top) and as modeled with Shape by an astronomer (bottom). Different colors represent different spectral line images. They have been modeled with similar structural meshes, but quite different emissivity distributions. This model is based on the one published in [36].

Fig. 8: In Shape, models are constructed from meshes which are generated from simple primitives and deformed using various modifiers. This model of the Saturn nebula (NGC 7009) has a number of nested transparent shells [36].

second, which produces considerable Doppler–shifts in the observed spectral lines (Figure 10 bottom). Some emission might then be outside the range of observations with narrow-band filters on the Hubble Space Telescope and may therefore go undetected. Ribeiro et al. [25] have used the spectral rendering filter in Shape to explain such “missing” regions in their HST imaging observations of Nova RS Ophiuchi. This feature was introduced in the software upon their request. When they do not use the HST filter transmission, the object is symmetrically double-lobed (Figure 10 top, left). When the filter transmission is included, one of the lobes largely disappears (Figure 10 top, right). The model with the filter matches the observed image much better, although there is still some discrepancy in the detailed structure of the larger lobe (Figure 10 top, center). The inner bright region dominates the 1D line profiles (Figure 10 bottom) and was very useful to set limits on the orientation of the nebula.

Figure 11 shows the mesh of the bipolar nebula that they constructed. The inner shaded region was found not to expand significantly during the explosion, as shown by second epoch observations. The top panels of Figure 11 show the rendered images on the right and left that do and do not include the spectral rendering filter, respectively. They can be compared with the observed image in the middle. The bottom panels compare the synthetic spectral line profiles of the final model (left) with the observed line profile (noisy line). On the right, the range of model line profiles is shown that is still compatible with the observations. The difference between them is only due to the viewing angle in the range from $29^\circ$ to $40^\circ$ deviation of the object axis from the plane of the sky.

Using the time modifier with the assumption of ballistic expansion, Ribeiro et al. [25] have been able to show that there is a considerable difference in the expansion of the bright inner region and the dimmer bipolar lobes. In this developer independent work, the multi-functional interactive modeling approach has proved to be especially fruitful.

5.4 Content production for digital media

Since their emergence, commercial animation systems have been applied to produce animated visualizations of high spatial and temporal resolution of astronomical phenomena in scientific documentaries and feature films.
More recently, astrophysical research simulations have contributed impressive visualizations of phenomena that are impossible to do in commercial animation packages. A stunning example is the evolution of a star forming region simulated by Henney et al. that was presented as part of the show “Journey to the Stars” by the Hayden Planetarium in New York and other digital planetaria.

*Shape* has the potential to simulate and visualize a variety of astrophysical phenomena for graphical media applications, both in animation and stills. A few examples of such visualizations can be seen on the Showcase page of the *Shape* website (http://www.astrosen.unam.mx/shape). With the future development of our software and that of computing resources, *Shape* will become a very useful tool to visualize and illustrate astrophysical phenomena for a variety of media, including print, television and digital planetaria.

6 FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

The current and near future development of *Shape* is steered by two driving forces: The first is the type of scientific applications that the developers and current users are working on. Second, development constantly addresses the existing limitations of the software. Limitations include the availability of processing memory in the Java Virtual Machine which mainly translates into limited spatial and spectral resolution. Another significant limitation is that texture mapping is currently not available, which would boost the possibilities to model noise structures like complex filaments that are observed in many nebular objects. Since the development of the Java version of *Shape* began, new features and changes have been introduced continuously. Current developments include explicit radiation transfer for dust scattering and spectral lines.

Long-term plans are guided by potential applications that the system has. Such plans include the incorporation of interactive hydrodynamical simulations making use of multi-processor graphics processing units. Gravitational interaction for particle systems in *Shape* will allow it to simulate a variety of phenomena, like interacting galaxies and multiple stars. A substantial increase in spatial resolution will make the system applicable to very realistic modeling and rendering of astrophysical objects for educational purposes in planetaria and other electronic media.

7 CONCLUSION

We have presented a novel 3D application for the modeling and reconstruction of astrophysical objects that incorporates interactive modeling tools. It considerably extends the capabilities of conventional reconstruction systems. This is achieved through the support of a system of construction and “modifier” tools that allow extremely complex structures and velocity fields to be assembled without the need for user programming. A number of visualization styles that are common for astronomical observations can be used to compare the model with the observed data. The workflow is enhanced by the ability to continuously compare the model to observational data during the modeling process as well as by an automatized optimization algorithm. The tool has been shown to cover the entire modeling and visualization pipeline of a common morpho-kinematical modeling task, supporting the scientifically accurate reconstruction of a wide class of astrophysical objects while keeping a convenient and user-friendly interface. The software we have presented has been thoroughly tested and applied in a number of astronomical research projects, some of which we have quoted as examples. In addition to scientific research, it may prospectively be applied for physically plausible artistic works or for the generation of astronomical animations for educational purposes, e.g. in digital planetariums. Non-astrophysical uses can also be imagined wherever velocity information is observed, e.g. in the field of Doppler radar observations of tornados and other weather phenomena.

*Shape* is freely available as a Java WebStart application from its website at http://www.astrosen.unam.mx/shape/.
Fig. 11: The outburst of nova RS Ophiuchi has been modeled by Ribeiro et al. \cite{25} using an expanding bipolar nebula (mesh structure) with a nearly inert waist (shaded structure). Using the time modifier feature of Shape they were able to model the expansion between the first and second epoch observations. [Figure reproduced with permission of the authors (Ribeiro et al. \cite{25}).]
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