Sub-Doppler Laser Cooling and Magnetic Trapping of Erbium
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We investigate cooling mechanisms in magneto-optically and magnetically trapped erbium. We find efficient sub-Doppler cooling in our trap, which can persist even in large magnetic fields due to the near degeneracy of two Landé $g$ factors. Furthermore, a continuously loaded magnetic trap is demonstrated where we observe temperatures below 25 μK. These favorable cooling and trapping properties suggest a number of scientific possibilities for rare-earth atomic physics, including narrow linewidth laser cooling and spectroscopy, unique collision studies, and degenerate bosonic and fermionic gases with long-range magnetic dipole coupling.

I. INTRODUCTION

The maturation of laser cooling and trapping techniques has enabled many recent advances with elements ranging over much of the periodic table. Narrow intercombination lines in Sr provide ultra-precise atomic frequency standards [1, 2]. Yb has also been proposed as a frequency standard [3, 4], with quantum degeneracy recently reached in both bosonic [5] and fermionic isotopes [6]. a Ra magneto-optical trap (MOT) promises recent advances with elements ranging over much of the periodic table. Narrow intercombination lines in Sr provide ultra-precise atomic frequency standards [3, 4], with quantum degeneracy recently reached in both bosonic [5] and fermionic isotopes [6]. Cr has a large ground-state magnetic moment (7 μB), which is expected to give long-range order to a Bose-Einstein condensate [5]. In an extension of laser cooling techniques to a highly magnetic rare earth atom, one of us recently reported an Er MOT where a novel recycling mechanism allows trapping despite significant leakage into energy levels that are dark to the cooling light [5].

Along with the other rare-earth elements, erbium offers an exciting combination of favorable properties for future cold atomic physics experiments. All six stable isotopes have been trapped, with significant populations in three bosonic (166Er, 168Er, 170Er) and one fermionic (167Er, nuclear spin $I = 7/2$) species. Er exhibits a variety of accessible transitions that are useful for spectroscopy or laser cooling, including a broad (36 MHz) line at 401 nm, a narrow (8 kHz) line at 841 nm, and an ultra-narrow (2 Hz) line in the telecommunication spectrum at 1299 nm. [10, 11, 12]. It has a very large ground-state magnetic moment (6μB), which is expected to give long-range order to a Bose-Einstein condensate [5].

In our MOT operating on the strong (Γσ+/2π = 36 MHz) transition at 401 nm. Cooling and trapping light is derived from a frequency-doubled Ti:sapphire laser. The Zeeman slower [16] then loaded into a MOT where temperatures reach as low as 100 μK. Second, we point out that for the 401 nm transition, the Landé $g$ factors describing the Zeeman shift of the ground- and excited-state magnetic sublevels are nearly equal. This near degeneracy of Landé $g$ should soften the usual constraint that $\sigma^+\sigma^-$ polarization gradient cooling can only occur in negligible magnetic fields and may have interesting applications for atomic beam collimation or atomic waveguiding along magnetic field lines. Finally, we show that a magnetically trapped atom fraction in our MOT is unexpectedly cold, with temperatures falling below 25 μK, lower than expectations based on simple thermodynamic considerations. We believe these magneto-optical properties, coupled with the unique atomic properties of the rare-earth elements, make Er an exciting candidate for a wide range of future experiments.

II. SUB-DOPPLER COOLING IN THE MOT

Our apparatus was described in [9]. An effusive atomic beam is produced in the horizontal (x) direction by heating a sample of Er to 1200°C in a crucible with a 1 mm aperture. The beam is subsequently decelerated in a $\sigma^-$ Zeeman slower [10] then loaded into a MOT operating on the strong (Γ/2π = 36 MHz) transition at 401 nm. Cooling and trapping light is derived from a frequency-doubled Ti:sapphire laser. The Zeeman slower beam, MOT beams, and a probe beam are derived from the same laser, with frequency offsets and relative intensities controlled by acousto-optic modulators. All laser frequencies are calibrated and locked to a fluorescence probe on the atomic beam, with an overall uncertainty of ±2.8 MHz [26]. The quadrupole magnetic field at the trap position is the sum of fields from our MOT coils together with the Zeeman slower and compensation coils, with the largest gradient along the direction of gravity (z). Typical field gradients are
(dB_x, dB_y, dB_z) = (0.20, 0.11, −0.33) T/m with ±5% uncertainty. All of the measurements described here were performed on 166Er, with trapped atom populations between 10^4 and 2 × 10^5.

Er exhibits a complex level structure, with 110 electronic states lying between the ground and excited state of the 401 nm laser-cooling transition. As shown in Fig. 1 the MOT remains functional because decay of excited atoms into metastable intermediate states does not necessarily result in the loss of those atoms. On the contrary, a large fraction of these metastable, dark-state atoms remain magnetically trapped and eventually relax back to the ground state. We begin by studying the temperature of the MOT, which we measure by observing the ballistic expansion of the atom cloud after all optical and magnetic fields are extinguished. The cloud is imaged by pulsing the MOT beams for 200 µs and recording the resulting fluorescence image (in the xz plane) using a CCD camera. Fig. 2 shows the measured temperature T as a function of the detuning of the MOT beams, δ. The error bars represent uncertainty estimates from variation in the expansion velocity determined independently along the x and z directions. The upper solid curve shows the prediction of simple Doppler theory for a two-level atom 17, while the lower curve is a fit to a characteristic sub-Doppler scaling law 18, 19:

\[ T = T_0 + C_{\sigma^+\sigma^-} \frac{\hbar \Gamma}{2k_B} \left( \frac{\Gamma}{|\sigma|} \right) \frac{I}{I_s} \]  

where I is the total intensity at the MOT position and \( I_s = 72 \text{ mW/cm}^2 \) is the saturation intensity. The resulting fit gives \( C_{\sigma^+\sigma^-} = 0.38 \pm 0.02 \) and a predicted minimum temperature \( T_0 = (77 \pm 10) \mu K \) in the zero-intensity limit. We also varied the intensity at fixed detuning \( \delta = -0.7\Gamma \) (inset of Fig. 2) to find \( C_{\sigma^+\sigma^-} = 0.15 \pm 0.08 \) and \( T_0 = (125 \pm 21) \mu K \). The difference in fit parameters between the data sets indicates that the temperature may have a more complicated dependence on intensity than the simple scaling law of Eq. (1). This is not too surprising, since in our trap, intensity-dependent rates of optical pumping into magnetically trapped dark states may strongly affect the MOT temperature (we show below that the magnetically trapped atom fraction exhibits anomalous temperature behavior). Nevertheless, our results show qualitatively and quantitatively that strong, efficient sub-Doppler cooling occurs in the MOT. We emphasize that this is a single-stage process (see also Ref. 19), where sub-Doppler cooling occurs in the MOT without an additional “optical molasses” period of polarization-gradient cooling in a nulled magnetic field.

As discussed in Refs. 20, 21, \( \sigma^+\sigma^- \) polarization-gradient cooling typically breaks down in a longitudinal magnetic field because the “locking velocity,” where atomic-motion induced frequency shifts are balanced by Zeeman shifts, is generally different for sub-Doppler and Doppler cooling mechanisms. This difference arises because Doppler mechanisms rely on absorption and spontaneous emission, so Zeeman shifts of both the ground and excited states affect the cooling efficiency; on the other hand, sub-Doppler mechanisms rely on stimulated processes between ground state sub-levels, and thus depend primarily on Zeeman shifts in the ground state. Be-
cause the Landé $g$ factors of the ground and excited states of a cooling transition are generally unequal, there is a critical magnetic field value at which the two mechanisms “unlock,” with the larger capture range of the Doppler cooling force dominating beyond this point [20, 21]. For most laser-cooled atoms, this critical magnetic field value is around 0.1 mT. In Xe, for example, the difference in $g$ factors between the ground and excited state is $\Delta g_{eg} = -0.17$ and polarization-gradient cooling was observed to fail at 0.2 mT [22]. On the other hand, the $g$ factor difference for the 401 nm transition in Er is $\Delta g_{eg} = -0.004$ [11], suggesting that $\sigma^+\sigma^-$ polarization-gradient cooling should remain effective in longitudinal magnetic fields as large as 10 mT.

To investigate the possible effect of $g$ factor degeneracy on Er sub-Doppler cooling in a magnetic field, we calculated the semiclassical force $F(p)$, momentum diffusion coefficient $D(p)$, and steady-state momentum distribution $W(p)$ for an atom with momentum $hkp$ in a one-dimensional $\sigma^+\sigma^-$ optical molasses in a longitudinal magnetic field $B = 1$ mT. For both cases, the saturation parameter $s = 2$ and detuning $\delta = -2.5\Gamma$.

FIG. 3: (Color online) Semiclassical force $F(p)$ (in units of $\hbar kT$), momentum diffusion coefficient $D(p)$ (in units of $\hbar^2 k^2 T$), and equilibrium probability distribution $W(p)$ (arbitrary units) for $^{87}$Rb (dashed lines, red) and $^{166}$Er (solid lines, blue) in a $\sigma^+\sigma^-$ optical molasses in a longitudinal magnetic field $B = 1$ mT. For both cases, the saturation parameter $s = 2$ and detuning $\delta = -2.5\Gamma$.

III. MAGNETIC TRAPPING

We now consider the fraction of ground-state atoms that remain magnetically trapped after the MOT beams have been turned off. Assuming these atoms can be described by an effective magnetic moment $\bar{\mu} \geq 0$, then the potential energy for a trapped atom is given by

$$U(x, y, z) = \bar{\mu} \sqrt{dB_x^2 x^2 + dB_y^2 y^2 + dB_z^2 z^2 + Mgz}$$

where $M$ is the atomic mass, and $g$ is the gravitational acceleration. In equilibrium at temperature $T$, the marginal distributions of atoms along the $x$ and $z$
these images, we can determine age. Such an image is shown in Fig. 4. By analyzing unchanged. After a variable hold time \( \Delta t \) loading and trapping lasers, leaving all magnetic fields clouds by loading the MOT for 2 s then extinguishing the cloud, while for \( \bar{\mu} \) the magnetic trap evolves in time [27].

However, our magnetic trap temperatures systematically violate this bound as shown in Fig. 5 where we measure temperatures below 25 \( \mu \)K. Similar anomalously low temperatures have been observed in MOT-loaded, magnetically trapped Ca [24] and Sr [25] while unexpectedly high temperatures were reported in Cr [26]. In Ref. [25], a selection effect during trap loading was suggested to explain the low temperatures. We suspect a similar phenomenon is at work here, in which spatially-dependent optical pumping in the MOT tends to correlate an atom’s spin state with its energy; the ejection of atoms in untrapped magnetic states \( \langle m_J < 0 \rangle \) may then reduce the average energy of the sample when the MOT is turned off. This hypothesis cannot be quantitatively evaluated without studying the loading efficiency of the magnetic trap, which is difficult to assess due to the presence of trapped, dark-state atoms that cannot be probed directly.

An example of the time evolution of the magnetic trap population and temperature is shown in Fig. 6. Before \( \Delta t \approx 0.2 \) s, the trap population appears to increase. This is due to the relaxation of metastable atoms back to the ground electronic state where they are resonant with the probe light (MOT beams). After this time, we see single-exponential decay, most likely arising from collisions with the background gas, with a pressure around \( 2.3 \times 10^{-6} \) Pa [28]. This claim is further supported by a strong correlation between background gas pressure and trap lifetime and the similar lifetimes for \(^{166}\)Er and \(^{168}\)Er (data not shown). We do not suspect that the trap decay rate is due to density-dependent collisional effects within the trap, because the number densities in the present measurements are not particularly large [we observe peak densities of \( n = (2.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^9 \) cm\(^{-3} \)] and because we see no evidence of non-exponential decay. On the other hand, we do not know the origin of the observed heating rate, which may arise from dipolar relaxation processes or collisional heating mechanisms. Improvements to the vacuum system should eliminate our dominant loss channel.

\[
\begin{align*}
p_x(x) &= N_x \exp \left( -2 \frac{|x|}{\bar{x}} \sqrt{1 - \bar{g}^2} \right) \left( 1 + 2 \frac{|x|}{\bar{x}} \sqrt{1 - \bar{g}^2} \right) \\
p_z(z) &= N_z \exp \left( -2 \frac{|z|}{\bar{z}} - 2 \bar{g} \frac{z}{\bar{z}} \right) \left( 1 + 2 \frac{|z|}{\bar{z}} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( N_x \) and \( N_z \) are normalization constants. The length scales and effects of gravity are characterized by \( \bar{g} = Mg/(\mu dB_x) \) and \( \bar{x} = 2k_B T/(\mu dB_x) \) with a similar expression for \( \bar{z} \). Such a trap is stable against gravity only if \( \bar{g} < 1 \). For \( \bar{g} = 0 \), \( \bar{x} \) and \( \bar{z} \) are the standard deviations of the cloud, while for \( \bar{g} > 0 \), the cloud stretches along gravity. We capture images of magnetically trapped atomic clouds by loading the MOT for 2 s then extinguishing the loading and trapping lasers, leaving all magnetic fields unchanged. After a variable hold time \( \Delta t \), we pulse the MOT beams and capture the resulting fluorescence image. Such an image is shown in Fig. 4. By analyzing these images, we can determine \( T, \bar{\mu} \), and atom number as the magnetic trap evolves in time [27].

If the magnetic trap loading efficiency is independent of an atom’s energy, then thermodynamic arguments [29] predict that the magnetically trapped atom temperature \( T_B \) should be related to the MOT temperature \( T_M \) by \( T_B \geq T_M/3 \) (equality holds when the spatial extent of the MOT is much smaller than that of the magnetic trap). However, our magnetic trap temperatures systematically violate this bound as shown in Fig. 5 where we measure temperatures below 25 \( \mu \)K. Similar anomalously low temperatures have been observed in MOT-loaded, magnetically trapped Ca [24] and Sr [25] while unexpectedly high temperatures were reported in Cr [26]. In Ref. [25],
and allow us to study other relaxation processes. Finally, our data indicate a phase-space density \( \rho \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-8} \). It should be straightforward to increase this by at least an order of magnitude by increasing the atomic beam flux.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we have presented a number of favorable cooling and trapping properties for atomic erbium. We showed that efficient sub-Doppler cooling occurs in our MOT without the need for an additional optical molasses cooling stage. Furthermore, sub-Doppler cooling should remain effective in large magnetic fields due to the near degeneracy of Landé \( g \) factors. This phenomenon may have interesting applications for laser cooling in large magnetic gradient traps, and might be useful for constructing atomic waveguides along magnetic field lines.

We also studied the thermodynamics of a continuously-loaded magnetic trap, where temperatures reach unexpectedly low values. The efficient cooling and trapping methods discussed here should be straightforwardly useful as a starting point for phase-space compression towards quantum degeneracy. However, magnetic and collisional relaxation processes must be studied before such a procedure can be considered.
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