Metagenome-assembled genomes infer potential microbial metabolism in alkaline sulphidic tailings
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Abstract

Background: Mine tailings are hostile environment. It has been well documented that several microbes can inhabit such environment, and metagenomic reconstruction has successfully pinpointed their activities and community structure in acidic tailings environments. We still know little about the microbial metabolic capacities of alkaline sulphidic environment where microbial processes are critically important for revegetation. Microbial communities therein may not only provide soil functions, but also ameliorate the environment stresses for plants’ survival.

Results: In this study, we detected a considerable amount of viable bacterial and archaeal cells using fluorescent in situ hybridization in alkaline sulphidic tailings from Mt Isa, Queensland. By taking advantage of high-throughput sequencing and up-to-date metagenomic binning technology, we reconstructed the microbial community structure and potential coupled iron and nitrogen metabolism pathways in the tailings. Assembly of 10 metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs), with 5 nearly complete, was achieved. From this, detailed insights into the community metabolic capabilities was derived. Dominant microbial species were seen to possess powerful resistance systems for osmotic, metal and oxidative stresses. Additionally, these community members had metabolic capabilities for sulphide oxidation, for causing increased salinity and metal release, and for leading to N depletion.

Conclusions: Here our results show that a considerable amount of microbial cells inhabit the mine tailings, who possess a variety of genes for stress response. Metabolic reconstruction infers that the microbial consortia may actively accelerate the sulphide weathering and N depletion therein.
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Introduction

Mine tailings are milled residue wastes of ore processing. Those of metal mines, typically Pb/Zn/Cu/Ni, always contain abundant sulphides that are mostly pyrite based, e.g. FeS₂. Due to the high reactivity of sulphides, high levels of metals and salinity can be generated by the coupled weathering of sulphide and carbonate wastes [1]. This makes mine tailings a rather hostile environment to microbes, while still a considerable amount cells, though with a relatively low diversity compared with normal soils, had been detected therein [1]. The contribution of microbial activities to the weathering of sulphides has long been recognized in mine tailings. At the acid mine drainage (AMD) site of Iron Mountain, Calif., Thiobacillus ferroxidans and Leptospirillum ferroxidans were found to be dominant in the community and contribute to the generation of AMD [2]. In a copper bioleaching heap, sulfurophilic and iron-ophilic
acidophilic bacteria were found to actively colonize the mineral surface [3]. These microbes show adaptability to extremely acidic environment and play an important role in the sustainability of this system. With the advent of the modern molecular tools, community genomics of microbial consortia in acid mine environments has been well explored [4, 5], while that of alkaline mine tailings has not been extensively studied. Alkaline mine environment is normally subjected to revegetation which requires the establishment of soil function including microbial diversity [6].

Microbial community networks related to sulphide weathering in neutralized tailings have been determined [7, 8], yet these studies are based on mainly 16S rRNA gene detection and then possible functions of the community members are inferred from physiological information of known related pure cultures. This approach is useful but is highly limited and speculative with regard to approximating the community metabolic capabilities related to the in situ elemental cycling and adaptation strategies. In contrast, metagenome-based analyses enable reconstruction of nearly complete genomes of microbial community members. Such analyses provide detailed insights of metabolic capabilities and enable estimating the possible contributions of populations to the community processes [5]. Metagenomic approaches have been successfully used to resolve the microbial biogeochemical potential in saline sea water [9, 10], and to reconstruct microbial metabolism in AMD. It is found that acidophilic bacteria community in AMD has a microbial diversity higher than expected [11, 12]. Recently, draft genomes acquired through metagenomics have been used to understand the bacterial colonization of the infant gut [13] and microbial systems in hypersaline lakes [14].

In this study, we analyzed metagenomes of alkaline sulphidic tailings from a tailings storage facility of northwest Queensland. Microbial metabolic capabilities for stress resistance and elemental cycling were implied through the annotation of 10 metagenome-assembled genomes (mAGs) from the tailings metagenomes. The results may provide further understanding on biogeochemistry of alkaline sulphidic tailings and valuable inference for tailings revegetation practice.

Materials and methods

Sampling

Sampling sites and methods have been described in our previous studies [15, 16]. Briefly, base metal mine tailings were sampled from a storage facility Mt Isa, northwest Queensland. The microbial community structure based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing related to revegetation has been determined and described elsewhere. The tailings mainly comprise of quartz, dolomite, pyrite, gypsum and kaolinite, and contain approximately 1300, 1800 and 2900 mg/kg of residue Cu, Pb and Zn, respectively. The 16S-based amplicon sequencing reveals that the dominant microbial species in the tailings include *Rubrobacter* spp. of the *Actinobacteria*, *Trueperabacterium* spp. of the *Deinococcus-Thermus*, and *Thioalkalivibrio* spp. of *Thiobacillus* spp. of the *Proteobacteria*. No substantial changes were detected of the microbial community structure in summer and in winter [6], and dominant species changed little in spite of significant increases in diversity detected along with the revegetation efforts [5].

Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)

Cells from the tailings samples were firstly enriched by a sucrose density centrifugation [17], followed by the standard FISH procedure for soil with minor modifications [18, 19]. To obtain sufficient microbial cells from the tailings, triplicates with 5 g of tailings each were used for cell enrichment. Oligonucleotide probes were used for detecting bacteria (mixed EUB338) and archaea (AKA415) [20]. The hybridized samples were observed within 24 h of performing the FISH and images were taken using a ZEISS LSM 510 META Confocal Microscope. For enumeration of microorganisms, from each sample a total of 30 confocal acquisitions were taken, and 21 quality images were used to determine the cell abundances using DAIME 1.3 [21].

DNA extraction and sequencing

DNA extraction was done using the PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc.), after cell enrichment using the previously described protocol [17]. A total of seven DNA samples of tailings samples were subjected to shotgun sequencing. The sequencing was done at the Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, using Illumina MiSeq with paired-end 300 bp reads. The detailed sequencing method is described in our previous study [16].

Bioinformatics

For the purpose of high quality assembly of the metagenome, the seven metagenomes were pooled together and analyzed using the flexible pipeline MetaWRAP [22] for genome-resolved metagenomic data analysis. The assembly module was used for sequence assembly. The assembly contigs obtained were all longer than 1000 bp. The workflow of MaxBin2 in MetaWRAP was used for contig encapsulation. MetaWRAP provides three assembly pipelines, namely Concoct, Metabat2 and Maxbin2, and after comparison Maxbin2 was chose for subsequent analysis [23]. Then the obtained bins were further analyzed for species annotation. Species annotation was performed in the annotate_bins module, based on the NCBI_nt and NCBI_tax databases. Taxator-tk was used.
for the classification of each contig, and a bin as a whole was estimated to annotate species. CheckM was used for the quality assessment of the assembled bins (MAGs; https://ecogenomics.github.io/CheckM/). For gene annotation, a cutoff E value of $10^{-6}$ and an identity of 70% were used. MetaWarp was run on a 42-core, 192 GB RAM server. Bins were then used for microbial metabolic pathway analysis using the online analysis platform KEGG [24].

Results and discussion

The environment

The tailings studied are basically neutral/alkaline in pH and of a high level of residue heavy metals, salinity and sulphidic minerals. Salinity and metal release in sulphidic tailings is mostly from the coupled weathering of sulphides and carbonates. The microbiially-mediated and chemical-mediated weathering of sulphides would have both occurred in the amended and revegetated tailings [15]. The microbial processes may become as important as the chemical weathering processes in neutral/alkaline sulphidic tailings, where the redox potential of oxygen and ferric is reduced by the high pH and ferrous can be oxidized more easily with diverse electron-acceptors (e.g. nitrate) [25]. Moreover, increased nutrients supplied from the organic matter amendment and plant roots, as indicated by our previous studies, is very likely resulting in increased activities of the sulphide weathering microorganisms [6].

Cell abundance in the tailings

Cell abundance in the tailings was enumerated using conventional FISH. We examined samples by FISH that were prepared as either sterilized raw tailings, the raw tailings or a cell enrichment obtained from the tailings by sucrose density gradient centrifugation. Strong background fluorescence was observed and direct observation of cell fluorescence was impossible for raw tailings materials. Background fluorescence is a common problem for cell enumeration in soil-like materials using FISH, and can be strong in metal tailings due to the presence of abundant uranium, lead and molybdenum minerals.

The FISH images taken on tailings samples prepared by cell enrichment were of good quality and suitable for cell enumeration (Fig. 1). The bacterial cell number in the tailings was estimated to be approximately $10^7$ cells/g tailings, assuming the cell extraction efficiency to be 10%. Bacteria made up the major component of the cells and Archaea were only scarcely detected. We did not detect any difference of the cell abundances between the tailings with and without revegetation. The bacterial and archaeal cell abundances in these tailings is close to those reported for other base metal tailings [7, 20].

The community genomics

The analysis of 5 Gb of sequenced DNA obtained from the tailing samples resulted in 10 genomic bins belonging to eight genera (Table 1). Five of the 10 MAGs, *Rubrobacter* sp., *Truepera* sp., *Thioalkalivibrio* sp., *Acidimicrobium* sp. and *Rhodococcus* sp., had high completeness of > 90% and a contamination rate of 1.35–4.87%. Our previous studies based on 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing showed that these 5 genera on average accounted for >12% of the total communities in the tailings sampled. These 5 MAGs were of high quality, which were binned with an $N_{50}$ of 9307–32,314 bp, with the longest contigs ranging between 51,994–209,767 bp and the number of coding sequences ranging between 2963 and 4168. Five other bins were obtained of a lower quality but with a completeness > 50%. These results enabled the metabolic capacities of the communities to be determined and these capacities could be related to the tailings’ bio-weathering. All the microbial genetic features discussed here were based on the annotation of contigs with a length > 8000 bp. Restricting the analyses to large contigs would serve to minimize system errors such as those caused by sequencing and bioinformatic assembly. This metagenomic-based analysis allows a comprehensive estimation of the community metabolic networks [5]. Additionally, the sequencing depth obtained here was much greater than that of previous metagenomic studies of other tailings communities [26], which in this instance enabled good estimations of the community metabolic capabilities.
Key populations from the near complete MAGs, *Rubrobacter* sp., *Thioalkalivibrio* sp. and *Thiobacillus* sp., were identified to have prominent capacities for acquiring energy and stress resistance in the tailing environment (Table 2). *Rubrobacter* sp. had a wide spectrum of genes for utilizing polysaccharides and aromatic compounds, such as the pca [27], sal [28], cat [29] and bpa [27] operons. This kind of capability would be particularly important considering tailings are an oligotrophic environment [15], and in this instance, the organic amendment for revegetation in the form of woodchips would have caused an increase in content of polysaccharides and chitin [30]. However, it is worth noting that the N content of the tailings and woodchips was very low, which would have impeded the decomposition of the woodchips in the long run [31]. Our recent studies report a very slow decomposition of litter amendment in the tailings. Nonetheless, the utilization of diverse organic molecules such as polysaccharides and aromatic compounds may be a vital survival strategy for these microbes after depleting easily labile and soluble organic carbon.

*Thioalkalivibrio* sp., *Acidimicrobium* sp. and *Thiobacillus* sp. may have found niches within the tailings by consuming sulphides and respiring on nitrates, as inferred by the presence of sulfur-oxidizing and denitrification genes in their binned MAGs. Interestingly, *Rubrobacter* sp. and *Acidimicrobium* sp. were found to have cox operons for CO oxidation, inferring an ability to respire on CO. CO utilization has been documented for many microbes residing in saline environments, such as in seawater [32] and saline soils [33]. In addition, four out of the eight genera were found to contain genes for CO₂ fixation, in the form of a minimum set of cbbLSX [34] (Fig. 2). The findings imply these genera can utilize atmospheric carbon sources, and this may greatly increase their survival ability in the oligotrophic tailings environment.

### Key functional genes/pathways related to the environment

The ability of microbes to cope with salinity and metal (loid) stress in the tailings was evident in the annotated MAGs. Various genes for osmotic stress resistance were detected in the MAGs of *Rubrobacter* sp., *Truepera* sp., *Thioalkalivibrio* sp. and *Acidimicrobium* sp.. These included bet and pro systems that code for the choline monoxygenase/betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase pathway [27] and for the L-proline glycine betaine ABC transport system [28], respectively. Genes coding for resistance to all the metals Zn, Cd, As, Cu and Pb were detected in all genera. Impressively, multiple copies of czc, encoding for Co-Zn-Cd resistance, were frequently detected in one contig (Fig. 3). All the MAGs contained both the pco and cop systems for Cu resistance, and 6 out of the 8 genera had both the ACR3 and ars systems for As resistance (Table 2). The high frequency of these systems emphasizes the importance of metal resistance for microbial survival in the tailings [16].

Sulphidic metal tailings are an environment where multiple stresses will occur. This includes those of oxidative damage (such as from free Fe²⁺ [32]), salinity [29], moisture content changes [34], and extremely high levels of heavy metals [33]. The Fenton reaction of intracellular Fe²⁺ by superoxide is fatal to cells [32]. We detected genes for ferroxidase (Fig. 4) and superoxide dismutases

### Table 1: General properties of the binned population genomes

| Bin | Closest species | Bin size (bp) | N₅₀ | Longest contig (bp) | Bin completeness | GC content (%) | Number of CDS | Number of RNAs | Annotated (%) |
|-----|----------------|--------------|-----|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| T1  | *Rubrobacter xylanophilus* | 4,197,005 | 32,314 | 20,9767 | 94 | 66.1 | 4168 | 57 | 42 |
| T2  | *Truepera radiovictrix* | 3,255,015 | 12,637 | 53,587 | 90 | 69.3 | 3046 | 35 | 4 |
| T3  | *Thioalkalivibrio* sp. | 3,135,801 | 16,696 | 69,403 | 91 | 64.1 | 2923 | 44 | 4 |
| T5  |  | 2,249,954 | 7700 | 41,708 | 51 | 65.8 | 1988 | 22 | 15 |
| T10 |  | 3,250,127 | 1063 | 13,563 | 78 | 62.0 | 2510 | 39 | 35 |
| T4  | *Acidimicrobium ferrooxidans* | 3,035,868 | 37,225 | 201,471 | 95 | 68.8 | 2984 | 40 | 39 |
| T8  | *Rhodomicrobium varianthi* | 3,603,927 | 9307 | 51,994 | 94 | 64.0 | 3429 | 59 | 41 |
| T9  | *Desulfoarmonas acetoxidans* | 1,678,559 | 1063 | 17,628 | 63 | 38.3 | 2175 | 32 | 15 |
| T17 | *Thiobacillus denitrificans* | 3,570,600 | 1305 | 8554 | 50 | 64.0 | 2903 | 44 | 21 |
| T18 | *Erythrobacter litoralis* | 4,900,514 | 848 | 9004 | 60 | 64.0 | 3638 | 56 | 36 |
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Table 2 Genetic features detected in the binned genomes from the Mt Isa mine tailings

| Features            | Rubrobacter xylanophilus | Thioalkalivibrio sp. | Acidimicrobium ferrooxidans | Rhodanococcus vannielii | Desulfuromonas acetoxidans | Thiobacillus denitrificans | Erythrobacter litoralis |
|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Carbon metabolism   |                          |                      |                             |                        |                           |                           |                         |
| cbb                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| cox                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| pca                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           |                         |
| sal                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      |                           |                           |                         |
| cat                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           |                         |
| FAH                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| bph                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| maIE                | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| Sulfur metabolism  |                          |                      |                             |                        |                           |                           |                         |
| sox                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| Bir3520             |                          |                      |                             |                        |                           |                           |                         |
| dso                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| Nitrogen metabolism |                          |                      |                             |                        |                           |                           |                         |
| nos                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| nar                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| nar                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| nir                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      |                           |                           |                         |
| nap                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| nos                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| nrf                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| NaT                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      |                           |                           | √                       |
| NIT                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      |                           |                           | √                       |
| nif                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| Metal resistance    |                          |                      |                             |                        |                           |                           |                         |
| ccc                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| cus                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| cop                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| pco                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| mer                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| ACR3                | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| Ars                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| Iron acquisition    |                          |                      |                             |                        |                           |                           |                         |
| pit                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      | √                         |                           | √                       |
| SDP                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      |                           |                           | √                       |
| efu                 | √                        | √                    | √                           | √                      |                           |                           | √                       |
| Features          | Rubrobacter xylanophilus | Supergerm radiis | Thioalkalivibrio sp. | Acidimicrobium ferrooxidans | Rhodococcus vannielii | Desulfuromonas acetoxidans | Thiobacillus denitrificans | Erythrobacter litoralis |
|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Oxidative stress** | **GT**                   | √                | √                    |                             | √                    | √                          | √                         | √                       |
|                   | **Glo**                   | √                |                       |                             | √                    |                            | √                         | √                       |
|                   | **SAM**                   | √                |                       |                             | √                    |                            | √                         | √                       |
|                   | **Fr**                    | √                | √                    |                             |                       | √                          | √                         | √                       |
|                   | **NO**                    | √                |                       |                             | √                    |                            | √                         | √                       |
|                   | **SOD**                   | √                | √                    |                             | √                    |                            | √                         | √                       |
| **Osmotic stress** | **mdo**                   | √                | √                    |                             | √                    |                            | √                         | √                       |
|                   | **bet**                   | √                | √                    |                             |                       | √                          | √                         | √                       |
|                   | **opu**                   | √                |                       |                             | √                    |                            | √                         | √                       |
|                   | **SOX**                   | √                | √                    |                             | √                    |                            | √                         | √                       |
|                   | **pro**                   | √                | √                    |                             | √                    |                            | √                         | √                       |
| **Mobility**      | **msh**                   | √                |                       |                             |                       |                            | √                         | √                       |
|                   | **flg/fli**               | √                | √                    |                             |                       |                            | √                         | √                       |
|                   | **mre**                   | √                |                       |                             | √                    |                            | √                         | √                       |
|                   | **che**                   | √                | √                    |                             | √                    |                            | √                         | √                       |

Notes: cbb RuBisCo genes for carbon fixation, cox carbon monoxide dehydrogenase genes, pca Protocatechuate pathway for aromatic compound catabolism, sal Salicylate pathway for aromatic compound catabolism, cat Catechol pathway for aromatic compound catabolism, FAHJ Gentisate pathway for aromatic compound catabolism, bphC Phenol pathway for aromatic compound catabolism, maE Maltose ABC transporter for polysaccharides metabolism, sox sulfur oxidation genes, Bhr3237 Conserved hypothetical genes for sulfur oxidation, dsr dissimilatory sulfite reductase genes, nos Nitrous oxide reductase genes, nor Nitric oxide reductase genes, nar Respiratory nitrate reductase genes, nir Nitrite reductase genes, nap periplasmic nitrate reductase genes, nosAosmolytic nitrate reductase genes, ncf Cytochrome c nitrite reductase genes, NapT Nitrate ABC transporter genes, NIT Nitrite ABC transporter genes, ure Urea ABC transporter, czc Cobalt-zinc-cadmium resistance genes, cys Cysteine synthesis genes, cop Copper resistance genes, pco Copper multicopper resistance genes, mnt Mercury resistance genes, ACR3 Arsenic resistance genes, ars Arsenic resistance genes, pit Ferric ion transporter genes, sae High affinity iron transporter siderophore genes, fur Ferrous ion transporter genes, GT Glutathione S-transferase genes for oxidative resistance, Glo Methylglyoxal detoxification genes, SAM SAM-dependent methyltransferase genes, Fr Ferrooxidase genes, NO Oxidative stress response genes, SOD Superoxide dismutase genes, mdo Glucans biosynthesis genes, bet Choline pathway for osmotic stress response, opu Choline transporter genes, SOX Sarcpsome oxidase genes, pro L-proline glycine betaine transporter genes, msh Mannose-sensitive haemagglutinin (MSHA)-like pilus genes for cell attachment, flg/fli flagellar genes, mre Rod-shape determining genes, che Chemotaxi genes

Table 2: Genetic features detected in the binned genomes from the Mt Isa mine tailings (Continued)
Fig. 2 A Neighbor-Joining tree showing the phylogenetics of the genes for ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase large chain of carbon fixation recovered from the binned genomes in this study. The tree was constructed using MEGA 6.06 based on the sequence alignment using the CLUSTAL method with default parameters.

Fig. 3 Gene clusters for metal resistance found in the binned genomes from the Mt Isa metal mine tailings. HMT, hypothetical metal transporter gene; czc, cadmium-zinc-cobalt resistance gene; H, hypothetical gene; GLU, ferredoxin-dependent glutamate synthase; ccaA, antitoxin gene; anR, arsenic resistance genes; mer, mercury resistance genes; MDM, hypothetical metal-related genes; tetR, tetracycline repressor gene; dsb, thiol/disulfide interchange genes; cad, cadmium resistance genes; cop, copper resistance genes; chr, chromium resistance genes. More details can be found in the notes of Table 2.
as well as genes for Fe uptake systems (e.g. Fur, pit) in almost all the tailings MAGs. Likely, these genes are providing these microorganisms with defenses against oxidative stress. Other protection mechanisms like methylglyoxal detoxification genes [35] and glutaredoxin genes [36] were also detected in most of the MAGs.

**Sulphide oxidation and salinity generation**

KEGG annotation successfully reconstructed the metabolic capacity of sulphide oxidation and nitrogen cycling in the microbial consortia, which may be vital for the survival of the community via inter-species cooperation (Fig. 3). Two microbial pathways for sulphide oxidation, the direct contact pathway and indirect pathway [37, 38], may exist in the tailings (Fig. 5). *Thioalkalivibrio* sp., *Acidimicrobium* sp. and *Thiobacillus* sp. are well known sulfur oxidizers able to respire on sulphides [39, 40], and in this study *dsr* (organized as *dsrABCKJOPR*) and *sox* (organized as *soxXYZABH*) genes for sulphur oxidation were found in these three MAGs from the tailings.
Thioalkalivibrio sp. and Thiobacillus sp. were both found to harbor novel conserved genes (Blr3520 genes) annotated for sulfur oxidation as well. In addition, msh operons encoding mannose-sensitive haemagglutinin (MSHA)-like pilus for cell attachment to substrates [41] were detected in the Thioalkalivibrio sp. genome. This indicated the ability of Thioalkalivibrio sp. to attach to pyrite surfaces for oxidation activities. Microbes can colonize and etch pyrite surfaces directly and oxidize the released sulfur, which was recently observed during ferric-catalyzed pyrite oxidation [38]. The features detected in the abovementioned genomes coincide with this model. It is thus suggested that in the oxic layer of the tailings Thioalkalivibrio sp., Acidimicrobium sp. and Thiobacillus sp. may colonize and oxidize sulphides particles directly, causing mineral dissolution and contributing to the elevated levels of salinity and metals in the pore water.

Genomic features detected here also inferred an indirect oxidation of sulphides in the tailings through the coupled reactions of ferrous oxidation and nitrate reduction which may occur in an anoxic layer. Diverse ferrooxidases were detected in all the MAGs (Table 2), indicating intensive microbial activities to regenerate ferric which is a strong oxidant for sulphide dissolution [38]. Additionally, a full set of denitrification genes (nir, nor, nos) were detected in the Thioalkalivibrio sp. and Thiobacillus sp. MAGs. It has been determined that total nitrogen is extremely low (<0.005%) in the tailings and most of this is in the form of microbial biomass (50–100%) [15]. There is the possibility that anaerobic microbial sulphide oxidation has occurred here with nitrate as electron acceptor. Other studies showed that pyrite minerals of nano-size were oxidized by Thiobacillus denitrificans with nitrate as electron acceptor [42]. Sulphides in the tailings used in this study may favor this indirect pathway due to their texture dominated by fine silt when nitrate is present. This claim about the role of nitrate in sulphide oxidation requires experimental verification.

Nitrogen deficiency is a common problem in tailings limiting plant growth, and its microbial cycling has not been well studied for neutral/alkaline metal tailings [15]. Our results highlight the possibility of nitrate-depletion by microbial sulphides oxidation as well as by CO oxidation [33] in the tailings. Nitrogen fixing mechanisms in the tailings remains largely unknown. The key nitrogen fixing genes were not detected, with only nitFL present in the Rubrobacter sp. and Acidimicrobium sp. MAGs.
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