Toward Repurposing Ciclopirox as an Antibiotic against Drug-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii, Escherichia coli, and Klebsiella pneumoniae
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Abstract

Antibiotic-resistant infections caused by gram-negative bacteria are a major healthcare concern. Repurposing drugs circumvents the time and money limitations associated with developing new antimicrobial agents needed to combat these antibiotic-resistant infections. Here we identified the off-patent antifungal agent, ciclopirox, as a candidate to repurpose for antibiotic use. To test the efficacy of ciclopirox against antibiotic-resistant pathogens, we used a curated collection of Acinetobacter baumannii, Escherichia coli, and Klebsiella pneumoniae clinical isolates that are representative of known antibiotic resistance phenotypes. We found that ciclopirox, at 5–15 μg/ml concentrations, inhibited bacterial growth regardless of the antibiotic resistance status. At these same concentrations, ciclopirox reduced growth of Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical isolates, but some of these pathogens required higher ciclopirox concentrations to completely block growth. To determine how ciclopirox inhibits bacterial growth, we performed an overexpression screen in E. coli. This screen revealed that galE, which encodes UDP-glucose 4-epimerase, rescued bacterial growth at otherwise restrictive ciclopirox concentrations. We found that ciclopirox does not inhibit epimerization of UDP-galactose by purified E. coli GalE; however, ΔgalU, Δgale, ΔrfaI, or ΔrfaB mutant strains all have lower ciclopirox minimum inhibitory concentrations than the parent strain. The galU, gale, rfaI, and rfaB genes all encode enzymes that use UDP-galactose or UDP-glucose for galactose metabolism and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) biosynthesis. Indeed, we found that ciclopirox altered LPS composition of an E. coli clinical isolate. Taken together, our data demonstrate that ciclopirox affects galactose metabolism and LPS biosynthesis, two pathways important for bacterial growth and virulence. The lack of any reported fungal resistance to ciclopirox in over twenty years of use in the clinic, its excellent safety profiles, novel target(s), and efficacy, make ciclopirox a promising potential antimicrobial agent to use against multidrug-resistant problematic gram-negative pathogens.

Introduction

The World Health Organization lists antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections as an important public health problem [1]. In the U.S. alone, two million patients contract hospital-acquired infections, and 50–70% of these infections are antibiotic-resistant [2], resulting in the deaths of approximately 99,000 patients each year [3]. Longer hospital stays and increased morbidity and mortality as a consequence of antibiotic resistance translate to yearly estimated costs as high as $10 billion [3]. Gram-negative infections are particularly problematic and account for 47% of ventilator-associated pneumonias, 45% of urinary tract infections, and 70% of all intensive care unit infections [4]. If not appropriately treated, these infections can progress to sepsis and death.

Antibiotic-resistant gram-negative infections will continue to cause serious health problems because few of the antibiotics presently in development are effective against them [4,5]. In addition, most new antibiotics are derivatives of existing drugs and, thus, have bacterial targets already under strong selection to develop resistance. The recent outbreak of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae at the U.S. National Institutes of Health Clinical Center that caused six patient deaths illustrates how quickly these outbreaks spread and that vigilant precautions are needed for containment [6]. Identification of new antimicrobial agents, particularly those that affect novel targets, is needed to provide effective treatment options. Developing novel antimicrobial agents, however, usually takes a decade or more and costs millions of dollars. Repurposing already approved therapies for alternative uses saves both time and money [7]. Already such a
strategy was used to find off-patent drugs to repurpose against antibiotic-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* [8].

To identify new antimicrobial agents with novel targets, our effort in targeting 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate reductoisomerase (DXR) yielded an N-hydroxyquinidone compound that shows broad-spectrum antibacterial activity [9]. A substructure based literature search led to the identification of ciclopirox, which has more potent antibacterial activity. Ciclopirox is an off-patent, topical antifungal drug developed almost forty years ago that is appealing to repurpose as an antibiotic because of its excellent safety profile. No fungal resistance has been identified in over twenty years of clinical use [10]. Indeed, others have suggested repurposing ciclopirox as an anti-human immunodeficiency virus drug [11], an agent to protect against mitochondrial damaged cells [12], and a way to enhance diabetic wound healing [13]. Additionally, ciclopirox is currently in a Phase I clinical trial for treatment of multiple myeloma [14,15].

In spite of the multiple potential uses of ciclopirox, neither its drug target nor its mechanism of action is known. Genetic analyses in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Candida albicans* have been performed in attempts to understand how ciclopirox olamine functions, as this compound does not inhibit ergosterol biosynthesis like other antifungal agents [16–18]. In *S. cerevisiae*, a forward genetic screen identified fourteen mutants that were more susceptible to ciclopirox olamine. Mutations were identified in genes encoding proteins involved in DNA replication, DNA repair, cellular transport, oxidative stress, and signal transduction [16]. Results from *S. cerevisiae*, however, may not reflect the target of the drug because ciclopirox olamine only weakly inhibits *S. cerevisiae* growth [16]. A better experimental organism, the human pathogen, *C. albicans*, is susceptible to low μM ciclopirox olamine concentrations. Microarray analyses of ciclopirox olamine-treated *C. albicans* revealed gene expression level changes similar to those exhibited in iron-deprived conditions [17,18]. Additionally, iron added to growth medium ameliorates ciclopirox olamine inhibition [17–19]. Together these data formed the basis for the model that ciclopirox olamine inhibits cells through general iron chelation, but that oxygen accessibility and additional iron-independent mechanisms may also influence ciclopirox olamine efficacy [10,18].

Understanding how ciclopirox functions is important for uncovering additional repurposed clinical applications and could aid future ciclopirox derivatization. Here we demonstrate the effectiveness of ciclopirox against multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Escherichia coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *A. baumannii* clinical isolates. We show that ciclopirox affects the galactose salvage pathway, a novel mechanism of action for this drug.

**Results**

**Ciclopirox Inhibits Growth of *E. coli* Clinical Isolates with a Range of Antibiotic Resistance Phenotypes**

Whereas ciclopirox previously was known to block growth of select gram-negative bacteria, its efficacy had not been tested against antibiotic-resistant bacteria [10,20]. We took advantage of our curated collection of >4,000 *E. coli* clinical isolates with antimicrobial susceptibility patterns that range from fully sensitive to multidrug-resistant [21–23]. A list of these susceptibility profiles and the body sites from which these bacteria were isolated are included in Table S1 and Table S2. The ATCC® 25922™ *E. coli* strain was a control isolate that is drug-susceptible. For all experiments, we used ciclopirox without its conjugate salt, ethanolamine. Ethanolamine does not inhibit *E. coli* growth, but it can be metabolized as a nitrogen source and can serve as a signaling molecule, which could confound results [24]. We measured ciclopirox minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) for thirty non-clonal *E. coli* isolated from different patients and that represented the antibiotic resistance phenotypes in the collection.

To verify the antibiotic resistance variation among these isolates, we simultaneously measured ciprofloxacin MICs, which varied widely as expected. Ciclopirox inhibited all of the tested strains at 5–15 μg/ml independently of the antibiotic resistance status of the strains tested (Figure 1).

To determine whether ciclopirox was bactericidal or bacteriostatic, we performed time-kill curves using the ATCC® 25922™ *E. coli* isolate. The ciclopirox MIC measured for this isolate was 10 mg/ml. We inoculated 10^6 *E. coli* cells into medium with ciclopirox concentrations at one-half to 10x this MIC. The average colony forming units (CFUs) (Figure 2A) and the average OD600 (Figure 2B) are plotted for three independent experiments. Cultures with either no drug or with 5 μg/ml ciclopirox both showed no growth inhibition (Figure 2A and Figure 2B). Compared to no drug, 10 μg/ml ciclopirox inhibited bacterial growth by two orders of magnitude after twelve hours, a drop that is consistent with bacteriostatic antibiotics (Figure 2A). The corresponding growth curves at the MIC (10 μg/ml) showed occasional delayed growth after six hours (Figure 2B). To test whether this growth resulted because of an *E. coli* coping strategy against ciclopirox, we re-inoculated the same culture in fresh medium supplemented with fresh 10 μg/ml ciclopirox and let cultures grow overnight. The culture growth was delayed as before, indicating that ciclopirox slowed growth at this concentration but this did not confer an added growth advantage to subsequent ciclopirox exposure. Only when previously ciclopirox-exposed cultures were inoculated into medium without ciclopirox could growth resume, again indicative of a bacteriostatic drug. It is unlikely that ciclopirox is unstable in the growth medium, as subsequent experiments showed that the drug inhibits bacterial growth for up to 72 hours (Figure 3), which exceeds the time indicated in Figure 2.

Ciclopirox concentrations of 20, 40, or 100 μg/ml inhibited growth another order of magnitude following ciclopirox treatment. Bacterial death occurred within 90 minutes of exposure to ≥4x the ciclopirox MIC and within three hours following exposure to 2x the MIC, consistent with bactericidal antibiotics. By twelve hours following ciclopirox treatment, bacterial growth was further reduced with increasing drug dose. Therefore, at concentrations at or near the MIC, ciclopirox is a bacteriostatic drug, but at higher concentrations it is bactericidal.

**Iron Supplementation Prevents Ciclopirox-mediated *E. coli* Growth Inhibition**

Iron chelation is a proposed mechanism of action for ciclopirox [17,19]. Physicochemical studies showed that ciclopirox forms metal complexes with Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, and Mn<sup>2+</sup> and that these complexes display a wide range of water solubility and lipophilicity [25]. Researchers working with *C. albicans* demonstrated that medium supplemented with FeCl₃ prevented ciclopirox olamine-mediated inhibition of fungal growth [17–19]. We tested whether addition of iron or other divalent cations could rescue *E. coli* exposed to ciclopirox. We measured growth of *E. coli* isolate ATCC® 25922™ in inhibitory ciclopirox concentrations supplemented with increasing concentrations of FeCl₃, MgCl₂, ZnCl₂, or NiCl₂. Compared to no added metals (Figure 3A), 5 μM FeCl₃ allowed some bacterial growth (Figure 3B), while concentrations of 50 μM FeCl₃ rescued bacterial growth in ciclopirox-treated cultures (Figure 3C). The other metals did not rescue growth, even at concentrations of 500 μM (Figure 3D, 3E, and...
These data show that ciclopirox inhibition can be ameliorated with high concentrations of iron. During a bacterial infection, however, the human host defense system actively sequesters free iron to limit pathogen growth [26]. Indeed, unbound ferric iron concentrations in plasma, lymph, and external secretions of milk and bronchial mucus have been reported to be $10^{-18}$ M, which is far below the $50$ mM needed to rescue bacterial growth from ciclopirox inhibition [27]. While ciclopirox iron chelation would help attenuate infections, other iron-independent mechanisms of action may be involved or more important under physiological conditions.

**Effect of Ciclopirox on the Ability of *E. coli* to Survive Hydrogen Peroxide Exposure**

It was previously found that ciclopirox olamine sensitized *C. albicans* to H$_2$O$_2$ exposure [17,18]. In addition, the expression of genes, like catalase, that detoxify reactive oxygen species (ROS) have been linked to ciclopirox olamine inhibition of *C. albicans* and for bactericidal antibiotic function [17,18,28]. We tested whether *E. coli* exposed to ciclopirox became sensitized to subsequent H$_2$O$_2$ exposure. *E. coli* isolate ATCC® 25922™ was grown with increasing sub-inhibitory concentrations of ciclopirox to mid-logarithmic phase and then exposed to either water or H$_2$O$_2$. Chloramphenicol served as a positive control because it sensitizes cells to H$_2$O$_2$ [29]. Whereas preincubation with 1 µg/ml chloramphenicol reduced CFUs following H$_2$O$_2$ exposure by more than 10-fold compared to water (Figure 4; p = 0.008), preincubation with ciclopirox had no effect. This lack of sensitization is consistent with the mechanism of action of some bacteriostatic antibiotics [28] and agrees with the above time-kill curve results at sub-inhibitory ciclopirox concentrations. These data contrast to the *C. albicans* data, most likely because ciclopirox is fungicidal at sub-inhibitory concentrations. However for bacterial growth, hydrogen peroxide exposure does not appear to synergize with sub-inhibitory ciclopirox concentrations.

**Overexpression of *galE* Rescues Ciclopirox Inhibition**

Microarray analyses revealed that 25 of the 6,039 *C. albicans* genes were up-regulated and 21 were down-regulated with ciclopirox olamine incubation [18]. The majority of the up-regulated genes were involved in iron metabolism, and the rest included genes that encode Rbs5 glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-like proteins, transcription factors, an RNA binding protein, NADP-glutamate-dehydrogenase, superoxide dismutase Sod4, and two unknown proteins. The down-regulated genes included those encoding proteins involved with general stress responses, cell elongation, phosphate uptake, catalase, and many of unknown function. These microarray data indicated that some of these genes might encode targets of ciclopirox or proteins that might cause resistance to ciclopirox. Culturing *C. albicans* for six months with sub-inhibitory ciclopirox olamine concentrations, however, did not yield a resistant mutant [17].

With more genetic tools available for *E. coli* than *C. albicans*, we reasoned that an overexpression suppression screen in *E. coli* would identify pathways affected by ciclopirox. To identify genes that, when overexpressed, rescued *E. coli* growth at otherwise restrictive ciclopirox concentrations, we transformed pools of plasmids from the ASKA (A Complete Set of *Escherichia coli* K-12 ORF Archive) pCA24N ORF library into TransforMax™ EC100™ Electro-competent *E. coli*. Transformed cells were grown under selective ciclopirox concentrations of 7.5 µg/ml. 540 candidate transformants were streaked across agar containing a gradient of 0 to 7.5 µg/ml ciclopirox.
18 μg/ml ciclopirox. MICs were then measured for 50 candidates that grew at higher ciclopirox concentrations than the other candidates. Only six of these transformants had ciclopirox MICs greater than the parent strain. The pCA24N plasmids from these six transformants were purified and the ORF was sequenced using previously described primers [30]. The purified plasmids were used to transform the parent strain, and the increase in ciclopirox MIC was confirmed. For all six candidates, the sequenced ORF was \textit{galE}, which encodes UDP-glucose 4-epimerase. We confirmed that overexpression of \textit{galE} rescued growth at previously restrictive ciclopirox concentrations (Figure 5A, 5B, and 5C). These data identify \textit{GalE} or the \textit{GalE} pathway as a potential target of ciclopirox.

Ciclopirox does not Inhibit Purified GalE

In \textit{E. coli} and other bacteria, GalE epimerizes UDP-galactose and UDP-glucose. To test whether ciclopirox directly inhibits GalE, we purified 6xHis-GalE from \textit{E. coli} DH5α cells transformed with the pCA24N-\textit{galE} overexpression plasmid. Using previously described assays depicted in Figure 5D [31,32], we coupled GalE activity to purified UDP-glucose dehydrogenase (UGH). UDP-galactose was used as a substrate for GalE. After GalE epimerizes...
UDP-galactose into UDP-glucose, UGH then converts UDP-glucose into UDP-glucuronic acid with the concomitant release of two molecules of NADH. The production of NADH is spectrophotometrically measured at 340 nm. To assess whether ciclopirox could inhibit the epimerization of UDP-galactose, we measured NADH production in the presence of increasing ciclopirox concentrations (Figure 5E). For these experiments, ciclopirox was dissolved in 0.1 mM NaOH because we found that dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) inhibits GalE activity (Figure S1). For all reactions, the concentration of UDP-galactose used was 50 μM, which is below the published $K_m$ (100–200 μM) for *E. coli* GalE. As a control, we ensured that NADH production was observed only when UDP-galactose was added; there was no spontaneous NADH formation. As an additional control, we verified that ciclopirox did not inhibit the coupled enzyme, UGD (Figure S2). GalE epimerization of UDP-galactose was not affected by ciclopirox, even at concentrations of 500 μM (Figure 5E). Although this assay does not address the possibility that ciclopirox may affect GalE epimerization of UDP-glucose into UDP-galactose, this reaction is less favored [33]. These data suggest that other targets in the GalE pathway are affected by ciclopirox, or that the nucleotide-sugars GalE produces help the bacteria cope with ciclopirox-induced cellular stresses.

Figure 3. Effects of metals on exposure to ciclopirox for *E. coli*. Growth curves measured for three independent cultures of ATCC® 25922™ *E. coli* grown in (A) LB alone or (B) LB supplemented with 5 μM FeCl₃, (C) 50 μM FeCl₃, (D) 500 μM ZnCl₂, (E) 500 μM MgCl₂, (F) or 500 μM NiCl₂. Error bars are the standard deviation from the mean. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069646.g003

Figure 4. Effects of hydrogen peroxide exposure on bacterial response to ciclopirox. ATCC® 25922™ *E. coli* were grown to mid-logarithmic phase (OD₆₀₀ = 0.4) and then subjected to either water or 5 μM H₂O₂ for 20 minutes. Colony forming units (CFUs) were measured per 1 ml of *E. coli* grown with either 0, 2.5, 5.0, or 7.5 μg/ml ciclopirox or 1.0 μg/ml chloramphenicol. Each triangle represents a result from an independent culture and the gray bar shows the average. Statistical significance was measured using Student’s t-test. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069646.g004
Effect of Mutations in the Galactose Salvage and Lipopolysaccharide Biosynthesis Pathways on Ciclopirox MICs

Regulation of nucleotide-sugar concentrations is required for organisms to adjust to environmental stresses [34–36]. Depending on cellular needs, the galactose salvage pathway either metabolizes galactose for energy or uses galactose to build metabolic intermediates for lipopolysaccharide and exopolysaccharide construction (Figure 6A and 6B) [36–38]. When galactose or lactose is unavailable, GalE is required to synthesize UDP-galactose; however, when galactose is the sole carbon source, GalE synthesizes UDP-glucose, which is then converted to glucose-1-phosphate by GalU to be used in glycolysis [35,36]. Thus, GalE is essential when E. coli is grown in galactose medium.

GalE is unique among the other members of the galactose salvage and LPS pathways. Even when grown in medium with other sugars, overexpression of most of the other galactose salvage pathway genes (galK, galM, galP, galU, galR, galS, and galT) are lethal for bacteria. Only overexpression of gal or galE is not lethal (Figure 6A) [30,39]. The non-essential LPS biosynthesis genes, rfaB, rfaC, rfaF, rfaG, rfaI, rfaL, rfaP, and rfaQ are also toxic when overexpressed in any sugar source, while the rfaD, rfaJ, or rfaS genes are not (Figure 6B) [30,39]. However, in glucose medium, deletions of these same galactose metabolism or LPS biosynthesis genes are not lethal to bacteria.

Bacteria harboring deletions for proteins that promote cell survival upon ciclopirox exposure should make these bacteria more susceptible to the drug. Thus, ciclopirox MICs were measured in strains with deletion of genes known to be involved in the galactose salvage pathway (ΔgalE, ΔgalK, ΔgalM, ΔgalU, ΔgalR, ΔgalT, ΔgalF, ΔgalG, ΔgalI, ΔgalL, ΔgalP, ΔgalQ, ΔgalS, ΔgalT, ΔgalF, ΔgalG, ΔgalI, ΔgalL, ΔgalP, ΔgalQ, ΔgalS, and ΔgalT). Compared to the Keio parent strain, BW25113, 17/26 deletion mutants had altered average ciclopirox MICs; eight of these (ΔgalE, ΔgalU, ΔgalR, ΔgalF, ΔgalG, ΔgalI, ΔgalL, and ΔgalQ) were statistically significantly more susceptible to ciclopirox (Figure 6C; p < 0.05).

The ΔgalC, ΔgalD, ΔgalE, ΔgalF, ΔgalG, ΔgalI, ΔgalL, and ΔgalQ LPS pathway mutants had previously been shown to display enhanced sensitivity to other antibiotics compared to the parent BW25113 strain, but the galactose salvage pathway deletions were not affected by the tested antibiotics [40]. Therefore, whereas it was not surprising that the ΔgalC and ΔgalQ deletion mutant strains were more susceptible to ciclopirox, the other mutants that had increased sensitivity to ciclopirox were surprising. To determine whether the decrease in ciclopirox MIC in ΔgalE, ΔgalU, ΔgalR, ΔgalF, ΔgalG, and ΔgalL mutants was specific to ciclopirox or could be observed for other antibiotics, we measured ampicillin, aztreonam, chloramphenicol, and ciprofloxacin MICs (Table S3). Antibiotic MICs for ΔgalF mutants were also measured. Compared to BW25113, there were no significant susceptibility changes to ampicillin or ciprofloxacin. The ΔgalD and ΔgalL strains were less susceptible to aztreonam, and the ΔgalE and ΔgalF strains were slightly more susceptible to chloramphenicol, but ΔgalI strains were less susceptible to chloramphenicol. These data suggest that the ΔgalE, ΔgalU, ΔgalR, and ΔgalI mutants are specifically more susceptible to ciclopirox, but not to other antibiotics tested.

Aside from RfaC and RfaQ, which are involved in synthesis of the inner LPS core, GalE, GalU, RfaB, and RfaI are all involved in synthesis of the outer LPS core, and all of these proteins either synthesize or utilize UDP-glucose or UDP-galactose. RfaI is also involved in synthesis of the outer LPS and uses UDP-glucose, but ΔrfaI mutants did not have altered ciclopirox susceptibility compared to the parental strain. These data suggest that LPS...
biosynthesis processes affected by ciclopirox could be dependent on the specific sugars or sugar linkages present.

Of the remaining deletion strains tested, five (ΔgalF, Δpgm, ΔrfaF, ΔrfaP, and ΔrfaG) were less susceptible to ciclopirox than the parental strain. The deletion mutants that were the least susceptible to ciclopirox, ΔrfaF, ΔrfaG, and ΔrfaP, encode proteins that synthesize the inner LPS core [41]. RfaF adds the first glucose group and RfaG adds heptose II to the developing inner LPS core, and deletions of these genes results in no outer core [41]. If no outer core is formed, then there is less demand for UDP-glucose or UDP-galactose. Instead of adding sugars to the inner core, RfaP phosphorylates heptose I and can affect RfaY and RfaQ functions [42]. While ΔrfaF mutants form both inner and outer LPS cores, the lack of phosphorylation affects overall membrane charge and surface hydrophobicity [41]. E. coli utilizes such membrane modification to resist antibiotics, such as polymyxin, and this resistance is clinically relevant [43,44]. It is possible that changes in the membrane surface charge alters ciclopirox membrane permeability.

**Effect of Ciclopirox on LPS Formation**

GalE is involved with LPS [45–47] and exopolysaccharide (EPS) formation [38,48]. Studies in *C. albicans* have shown that ciclopirox alters the structure of cell membranes [17,49,50]. Indeed, 97% of ciclopirox administered to *C. albicans* was bound to cell membranes and organelles, with very little drug in the cytoplasm [50]. That we found ciclopirox MICs altered in strains deleted for genes responsible for LPS biosynthesis raises the possibility that ciclopirox alters LPS formation. To test this possibility, overnight cultures of the *E. coli* ATCC H25922TM isolate or MG1655 strain were spread onto agar without or with a sub-inhibitory concentration of ciclopirox. After 24 hours, LPS was purified and subjected to SDS-PAGE as described [51] (Figure 7). Of the LPS bands characteristic of the ATCC H25922TM isolate [52], ciclopirox reduced the concentrations of the highest molecular weight band (∼20 kDa) as well as the O-antigen bands (37–50 kDa), as indicated by the arrows (Figure 6). LPS isolated from MG1655, which lacks an O-antigen, was unchanged in the presence of ciclopirox. The LPS changes mediated by ciclopirox in the ATCC H25922TM isolate were seen six independent times. These data may indicate a subtle mode of ciclopirox action: LPS is formed but with altered composition, perhaps as a consequence of which nucleotide-charged sugars are available.
Ciclopirox Inhibits Growth of other Gram-negative Clinical Isolates with a Range of Antibiotic Resistance Phenotypes

Ciclopirox olamine inhibits the growth of A. baumannii, K. pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and E. coli; however, the antibiotic resistance status for the tested bacteria was not indicated [8,10,20]. Given that ciclopirox inhibited growth of both antibiotic-susceptible and antibiotic-resistant E. coli clinical isolates, we tested it against additional problematic gram-negative pathogens [5].

We collected A. baumannii, K. pneumoniae, and P. aeruginosa clinical isolates from the Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center from November 2010 to August 2011. These bacterial species are often found in complex, polymicrobial infections. We therefore isolated the bacteria and identified them using 16S rRNA sequencing [53]. We then measured MICs for amikacin, cefazidime, ciprofloxacin, imipenem, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (Table 1). The K. pneumoniae isolate ATCC®11296TM, A. baumannii isolate ATCC®17978TM, and P. aeruginosa isolate ATCC®27853TM were used as controls. All clinical isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin except for ELZ7832 and ELZ8326. One P. aeruginosa isolate was resistant to amikacin and four had intermediate amikacin resistance. One K. pneumoniae isolate, seven A. baumannii isolates, and four P. aeruginosa isolates were resistant to imipenem, and six K. pneumoniae, five A. baumannii, and two P. aeruginosa isolates were resistant to ceftazidime. These clinical isolates thus harbor multiple antibiotic resistance mechanisms and are representative examples of gram-negative pathogens with few antibiotic options.

Ciclopirox MICs in K. pneumoniae (n = 7) clinical isolates were 5 to 15 µg/ml. Strikingly, ciclopirox inhibited growth of the A. baumannii (n = 8) clinical isolates at 5 to 7 µg/ml, lower than ciclopirox olamine MICs previously reported [8]. This difference in MIC between ciclopirox and ciclopirox olamine could be attributable to the added ethanolamine salt. Whereas ciclopirox visibly reduced the growth of P. aeruginosa isolates, the MICs ranged from 10 to >30 µg/ml. In general, P. aeruginosa cultures that produce the siderophore, pyoverdin, are yellow-green and strains that produce the virulence factor, pyocyanin, are blue-green [54,55]. The P. aeruginosa clinical isolates we tested were blue-green in liquid culture medium, indicative of pyocyanin production. The isolates treated with ciclopirox were yellow-green, indicating either a decrease in pyocyanin production or an upregulation of pyoverdin synthesis. To visualize this color change, we spread the P. aeruginosa isolate ATCC®27853TM on agar without or with 9 µg/ml ciclopirox. After 24 hours at 37°C, a lawn grew for both experimental conditions, but P. aeruginosa grown with ciclopirox was yellow-green (Figure S8). This ciclopirox-mediated color change could reflect important clinical implications. Cystic fibrosis patients infected with pyocyanin-producing P. aeruginosa strains suffer significant lung destruction [55]. It is possible that ciclopirox, while not inhibiting growth as effectively, attenuates P. aeruginosa virulence by affecting pyocyanin or pyoverdin synthesis. Ciclopirox, thus, may affect quorum sensing, which regulates pyocyanin synthesis [55], or iron utilization or iron transport, which regulates pyoverdin and siderophore synthesis [54]. Ciclopirox appears to have a complex mechanism of action, including both iron-dependent and iron-independent pathways, and this complexity may make ciclopirox a useful antibiotic against these gram-negative pathogens.

Discussion

The lack of new antibiotic development has led to the use of toxic drugs, such as the polymyxins, when safer therapies are ineffective against treating antibiotic-resistant infections [5,7]. The increase in antibiotic-resistant gram-negative pathogens is particularly problematic. Enterobacteriaceae producing extended-spectrum β-lactamases and carbapenemases cause nosocomial outbreaks that are spreading globally [6,56]. MDR A. baumannii infections also have limited antibiotic therapeutic options available [7,8]. Repurposing already approved drugs for alternative use reduces the time and cost associated with antibiotic development. Ciclopirox is an excellent candidate to fulfill such a role.

The LPS and galactose salvaging pathways we found to be affected by ciclopirox represent promising targets for future drug development. E. coli galactose metabolism, also known as the Leloir pathway, is used for energy production, protein glycosylation, LPS biosynthesis, and virulence [36]. The amphibolic gdeETKM operon includes gde (UDP-glucose 4-epimerase), gdt (galactose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase), gcd (galactokinase), and gdm (galactose mutarotate) under control of two promoters, P1 and P2. During early to late logarithmic growth phase, 70% of the gdeETKM transcripts are synthesized from the P1 promoter and all four genes are made [35,57]. However, upon transition from late logarithmic to early stationary phase, 70% of transcripts are from the P2 promoter, which predominately synthesizes gcdE [35,57]. This differential promoter utilization and other posttranscriptional regulation of the gdeETKM operon using noncoding RNAs allows E. coli to either catabolize galactose or to generate the nucleotide-charged substrates, UDP-galactose or UDP-glucose, depending on cellular needs [35,58].

When UDP-galactose accumulates, UTP and CTP concentrations are reduced and cell growth is halted; cells may even lyse depending on medium conditions [35]. Depletion of UTP also affects many E. coli promoters, including P1, that encode three uridine nucleotides. These uridine-rich promoters cause polymerase stuttering and subsequent depression of transcription [35]. Through induction of pyrimidine biosynthesis genes by regulators of the galactose pathway, the cell alleviates the stress of UTP
The galactose pathway can be lethal to growth [35]. Therefore, disruptions in the regulation of the depletion and restores UTP and CTP concentrations to rescue cell Thermus thermophilus

Conversely, GalE overexpression increases biofilm production in readily form biofilms compared to the parental strain [60].

shorter O-antigens, are more susceptible to antibiotics, and more galE the only live attenuated bacterial vaccine in the United States, is a intestine [47]. A vaccine against O1 galU increases its sensitivity to bacteriophage P1 [46]. Vibrio cholerae formation. In the enterohemorrhagic E. coli O157:H7 isolate, ΔgalETKM::aad-7 mutants have altered O-antigens, which reduces the ability of the mutant to colonize the intestines of rabbits, increases its sensitivity to host-derived antimicrobial polypeptides, and increases its sensitivity to bacteriophage P1 [46]. Vibrio cholerae O1 galU mutants also have reduced ability to colonize mouse small intestine [47]. A vaccine against Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi, the only live attenuated bacterial vaccine in the United States, is a galE mutant [46,59]. In Porphyromonas gingivalis, galE mutants have shorter O-antigens, are more susceptible to antibiotics, and more readily form biofilms compared to the parental strain [60]. Conversely, GalE overexpression increases biofilm production in Thermus thermophilus HB8. Furthermore, galE and galU mutants in V. cholerae O1 had reduced biofilm-forming ability compared to wild-type strains [31,47]. Together, these data connect GalE and the galactose salvage pathway to virulence and confirm that LPS and EPS biosynthesis pathways are potential targets for antimicrobial agents. In fact, novel GalE inhibitors are being actively derived and tested against Trypanosoma brucei, the causative agent for African sleeping sickness [32].

How sugars are metabolized and which sugars are predominately used for energy vary widely in bacteria [61]. The model organisms, E. coli and Bacillus subtilis preferentially use glucose, metabolizing it through the Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (EMP) pathway, and repress other sugar metabolism pathways when it is present [61,62]. Other bacterial species, including Pseudomonas species, use glucose as a secondary carbon source and will catabolize glucose using the Entner-Doudoroff (ED) pathway [61,62]. This differential use of glucose as a carbon source is a possible explanation for why P. aeruginosa clinical isolates had higher ciclopirox MICs than E. coli and K. pneumoniae. Acinetobacter baumannii also prefers glucose as a secondary carbon source [61], but the metabolic preference of A. baumannii is not yet clear, even though this knowledge could lead to ways to inhibit MDR A. baumannii [63]. Regardless of sugar preference, for pathogens to invade the host, they must compete with the normal microbiota for nutrients [61,64]. Therefore, if ciclopirox inhibits the ability of a pathogen to utilize galactose, then it is likely that ciclopirox could attenuate virulence.

Our findings that ciclopirox affects galactose metabolism may explain why C. albicans is more susceptible to ciclopirox than S. neo-
cerevisiae [10,16]. In yeast, the homologues of the bacterial galETKM operon are GAL10 (UDP-galactose-4-epimerase), GAL7 (Galactose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase), and GAL1 (Galactokinase). Unlike E. coli GalE, the C. albicans GAL10 (CaGAL10) and the S. cerevisiae GAL1 (ScGAL10) homologues are larger with an added mutarose domain, similar to the bacterial GalM protein. GAL10 can also epimerize acetylated UDP-galactose and UDP-glucose [65]. Whereas ScGAL10 and CaGAL10 are both essential for growth when galactose is the sole carbon source, only CaGAL10 has additional functions to maintain cell-wall integrity and cell morphology, respond to oxidative stress, and proceed through normal hyphal morphogenesis in the absence of galactose [49].

The regulation of the GAL operon genes in S. cerevisiae also differs from C. albicans and other eukaryotic cells [49,66,67]. S. cerevisiae uses GAL80 to regulate GAL4, a transcriptional activator of the galactose operon [68]. Lacking a GAL80 ortholog and having a truncated GAL4 not involved in regulation of the GAL genes, C. albicans and other yeast instead use HGT4 to regulate GAL genes [66,69]. The way C. albicans senses galactose directly affects cell morphology, virulence, and pathogenicity [70,71]. These cell wall and morphology changes may help explain why C. albicans treated with ciclopirox exhibit reduced fungal adherence to buccal and vaginal epithelial cells [72].

Its effects on galactose metabolism or utilization may help explain why ciclopirox is effective against chronic myeloid leukemia and breast cancer cell lines compared to normal cells [15,73]. Galactose and N-acetylglucosamine are required to form O-glycan core structures in eukaryotic cells [74]. O-glycan-containing structures have distinct terminal carbohydrates and these affect cell integrity and cell recognition, which should affect adherence to other cells [74]. In chronic myelogenous leukemia cells and in breast cancer MCF-7 cells, there is an increase in α2,6-sialyltransferase activity, which produces shorter, sialylated O-glycans compared to normal myeloid and breast cells [75,76].

Given the importance of O-glycosylation posttranslational modifications in the eukaryotic cell, we predict that ciclopirox may affect O-glycan structure in cancer cells. While normal cells would also express O-glycans, the amount of ciclopirox required to inhibit tumor growth is within achievable, non-toxic concentrations [14].

Just as iron supplementation alleviates ciclopirox inhibitory effects in C. albicans, FeCl3 supplementation rescued E. coli growth at previously restrictive ciclopirox concentrations. While ciclopirox is likely chelating iron in bacteria, E. coli also uses iron to signal modifications to the lipid A structure, an essential component of the LPS responsible for the toxic effects of gram-negative infections on the immune system [77]. The well-studied PmrA-PmrB two-component system is involved in this iron signaling pathway. Under high Fe3+ or low Mg2+ concentrations, PmrB activates PmrA to promote transcription of the amr operon. In conjunction with Ugd, the amr operon-encoded proteins synthesize 4-amino-4-deoxy-α-L-arabinose to incorporate it into the Lipid A structure, which alters the overall membrane charge [44,78,79]. PmrA also regulates expression of PmrC, which incorporates phosphoethanolamine into the Lipid A structure. This phosphoethanolamine modification is required for virulence of Salmonella enterica in mice and alters the membrane charge conferring antibiotic resistance to polymyxin B [80]. Therefore, under high iron concentrations, E. coli may modify its LPS to diminish the inhibitory effects of ciclopirox on bacterial growth.

Repurposing older drugs as broad-spectrum antibiotics or against specific problematic pathogens could be successful [7,8]. Current topical formulations of ciclopirox olamine used to treat fungal skin infections and vaginal candidiasis penetrate deep into the skin and mucosal membranes without causing adverse systemic reactions [10]. It has already been shown that ciclopirox olamine applied topically to wounds induces angiogenesis and promotes faster wound healing [13]. Thus the excellent tolerability of ciclopirox and its unique mode(s) of action make it an attractive antibiotic to treat gram-negative pathogens, including those resistant to current antibiotic therapies.

**Materials and Methods**

**Reagents**

CaCl2, ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), glycerol, glycine, kanamycin, isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), MgCl2, NaCl, Na2HPO4, and Tris-HCl were from Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). β-NAD+, bovine UDP-glucose dehydrogenase, chloramphenicol, ciclopirox-olamine, DNase I, imidazole, NH4Cl, MgSO4, UDP-galactose, UDP-glucose, dithiothreitol (DTT), and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Yeast extract, potassium monobasic phosphate, and potassium dibasic phosphate were from EMD Chemical (Gibbstown, NJ). EDTA-free inhibitor tablet and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) were from Roche (Manheim, Germany). Taq DNA polymerase was from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA). Mueller-Hinton Broth, BactoTM Tryptone, and BacteTM™ Agar were from Becton, Dickinson and Company (Franklin Lakes, NJ). Ciclopirox was from AK Scientific Inc. (Union City, CA).

**Bacterial Strains, Clinical Isolates, and Growth Conditions**

Strains used were BW25113 [39], TransforMax™ EC100™ Electrocompetent E. coli from Epicentre Biotechnologies (Madison WI), MG1655 and BL21(DE3) [81]. The E. coli clinical isolates used here were previously described [21–23] and were selected based on their antibiotic susceptibility or resistance to: aminoglycosides (amikacin, gentamicin and tobramycin), carbapenems (imipenem), cephalosporins (ceftazidime, cefotetan, cepafepine, cefoxitin, ceftoxime and cefazolin), fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin), monobactams (aztreonam), nitrofurans (nitrofurantoin), penicillins (ampicillin), combination penicillins (amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, piperacillin-tazobactam and ticarcillin-clavulanic acid), and sulfa drugs (sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim). K. pneumoniae and A. baumannii clinical isolates were obtained from the Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center in Houston, Texas from November 2010 to August 2011. Strains and clinical isolates were grown in Mueller-Hinton (MH) or LB medium at 37°C. Chloramphenicol and kanamycin were used at 30 μg/ml, and ciclopirox concentrations were as indicated. LB agar had 1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1% NaCl, and 15% agar. Plates containing agar with a gradient of ciclopirox were supplemented with 30 μg/ml chloramphenicol. The bottom layer had no ciclopirox and the top layer had 18 μg/ml ciclopirox [82].

**Bacterial Growth Curves**

Overnight bacterial cultures were diluted 1:100 in MH or LB medium and grown to an OD600 = 0.4 at 37°C. Cells were then centrifuged and resuspended in either MH or LB medium, as indicated, and diluted 1:100 in medium containing various concentrations of ciclopirox. Bacterial growth in 100 well plates was also measured in MH and LB medium supplemented with various concentrations of MgCl2, FeCl3, ZnCl2, and NiCl2 and various ciclopirox concentrations, as indicated. Growth was measured under continuously shaking conditions, and OD600 was measured every half hour for three days using a BioscreenC.
machine and software (Oy Growth Curves Ab Ltd. Helsinki, Finland). Each ciclopirox and metal concentration was measured in triplicate and the average densities were plotted.

**MIC Determinations**

Ciclopirox MICs were measured using microbroth dilution protocols done in accordance with Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) and measured in triplicate for concentrations that blocked visible bacterial growth [83]. Amikacin, ampicillin, aztreonam, cefazidine, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, imipenem, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole MICs were measured by E-test® from AB bioMérieux (Marcy l’Étoile, France) and also by microbroth dilution when ciprofloxacin MICs were >32 μg/ml.

**Bacterial Time-kill Curves**

Overnight ATCC® 25922™ E. coli cultures were diluted 1:100 in LB medium and grown to an OD₆₀₀ = 0.4 at 37°C. The cultures were then diluted 1:100 in medium without or with 5, 10, 20, 40, or 100 μg/ml ciclopirox and grown shaking at 37°C. 1 ml of culture was removed upon inoculation and diluted. Diluted and undiluted cultures were spread onto LB agar plates and incubated overnight at 37°C. Over the next 12 hours, 1 ml of culture was removed, diluted, spread onto agar plates, and grown overnight at the times indicated. The number of colony forming units was then measured for each culture condition. Concomitantly, the OD₆₀₀ was measured for each experimental condition described. All concentrations and times were measured for three independent overnight cultures and the values plotted are the average of those three experiments.

**Hydrogen Peroxide Assay**

Overnight ATCC® 25922™ E. coli cultures were diluted 1:100 in LB medium without or with 1 μg/ml chloramphenicol or 2.5, 5.0, or 7.5 μg/ml ciclopirox. All cultures were grown shaking to an OD₆₀₀ = 0.4 at 37°C and then treated with 5 mM hydrogen peroxide or equal volumes of water for 20 minutes. 50 μg/ml catalase was added to quench each reaction. Diluted and undiluted cultures were spread onto LB agar plates and incubated overnight at 37°C. The number of colony forming units was then measured for each culture condition. Each experimental condition was repeated at least four times. The average CFUs/ml were plotted and significance was assessed using Student’s t-test.

**Overexpression Suppression Screen**

TransforMax™ EC100™ Electrocompetent E. coli was transformed with pooled plasmids of the GFP ASKA ORF library [30] and spread on LB agar supplemented with chloramphenicol and 10 μM IPTG without or with 7.5 μg/ml ciclopirox. After overnight incubation at 37°C, colonies were streaked onto LB agar containing a ciclopirox gradient to identify transformants that could grow at concentrations restrictive to the TransforMax™ EC100™ Electrocompetent E. coli. pCA24N-based vectors harboring candidate genes were purified using the QIAprep® Spin MiniPrep Kit from QIAGEN® (Valencia, CA). The candidate gene was identified using PCR primers previously described [30]. 30 μl reactions using Taq polymerase were run for 25 cycles at 94°C for 5 minutes, 94°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 2 minutes, and a final incubation at 72°C for 7 minutes. PCR products were purified using the QIAquick® PCR Purification Kit from QIAGEN® (Valencia, CA). Sequencing was performed by DeWalch Technologies (Houston, TX) and confirmed using genomic microbial BLAST [84,85].

**GaIE Purification**

BL21(DE3) was transformed with pCA24N-gaIE. Overnight cultures were used to inoculate 1 L of LB supplemented with chloramphenicol. Cells were grown shaking at 37°C to OD₆₀₀ = 0.4 and 1 mM IPTG was added for 2 hours. Cells were then centrifuged at 6,000 rpm for 15 minutes and pellets were frozen at −80°C overnight. Pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (25 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 1 EDTA-free inhibitor tablet, 2 mg/ml DNase I, and 37.5 μM MgCl₂). On ice, cells were sonicated using a 1/8-inch probe sonicator Model 60 Sonic Dismembrator from Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA) for five 30-second intervals over 10 minutes at 14 Watts. Lysates were centrifuged and filtered using a Corning 0.45 μm sterile syringe filter (Corning, NY). All subsequent purification was performed at 4°C. For 1 hour, the lysate was mixed with TALON Metal Affinity Resin beads from Clontech Laboratories, Inc. (Mountain View, CA). Beads were washed in 40 ml of 25 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, and 1 EDTA-free inhibitor tablet. Protein was eluted in four 5 ml fractions of 25 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, and 1 EDTA-free inhibitor tablet. Protein concentrations were determined using a Coomassie (Bradford) Protein Assay Kit from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (Rockford, IL). Fractions were analyzed by 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), and proteins were visualized with Coomassie Blue staining. Fractions with purified GaIE were dialyzed in two 1 L exchanges of 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 1 mM PMSF, and 1 mM DTT. The enzyme was used immediately.

**GaIE and UDP-glucose Dehydrogenase Enzymatic Assay**

GaIE enzymatic activity was assayed as previously published [31,32]. In brief, 1 μg purified GaIE was added to an overall reaction volume of 500 μl containing 100 mM glucose, pH 8.9, 1 mM β-NAD⁺, 8.3 mM MgCl₂, and 40 μU bovine UDP-glucose dehydrogenase. NADH formation was monitored spectrophotometrically at 340 nm for 5 minutes following the addition of 4 mM UDP-galactose. Enzyme function was assessed either in the absence of or with increasing concentrations of ciclopirox. To assess whether ciclopirox affected UDP-glucose dehydrogenase, 40 nM of UDP-glucose dehydrogenase was used under the same conditions. NADH formation was monitored following the addition of 4 mM UDP-glucose.

**LPS Analysis**

LPS constituents were analyzed by SDS-PAGE as described [51]. Briefly, E. coli was grown overnight on LB agar either without or with 9 μg/ml ciclopirox. The bacteria were suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.2) and adjusted to an OD₆₀₀ of 2. Cells were lysed and digested with DNase and protease K. Digested proteins were extracted with phenol. Trace amounts of phenol were removed with saturated ethyl ether. LPS samples were stored at −20°C until analysis by 12.5% Tris-Glycine-SDS-PAGE. Bands were visualized by silver staining. Bio-Rad Precision Plus Protein TM Standards Kaleidoscope TM ladder was the molecular weight marker.

**16S RNA Sequencing of Gram-negative Clinical Isolates**

To identify specific gram-negative pathogens in complex polymicrobial clinical isolates, we isolated single colonies and extracted their genomic DNA using the Promega Wizard® Genomic Purification Kit. This DNA was used as the template
to amplify the 16S rRNA gene. PCR conditions were the same as those outlined for amplification of ASKA library plasmids above. The forward primer 27f-mp (5′-AGRGTTTGATCMTGCGT-CAG) and reverse primer 1512r-mp (5′-TACGGYTACCTTGTTAYGCHT) have been previously used for species identification [53]. PCR product identity was validated by visualization with gel electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel. PCR products were purified using the QIAquick® PCR Purification Kit and the 16S rRNA products were sequenced by DeWalch Technologies (Houston, TX). Sequence identity was confirmed using genomic microbial database BLAST and the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) [84–86].
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