1. **Introduction**

A new strain of coronavirus was found in Wuhan, China named Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) on December 31. This virus causes the Coronavirus Disease-19 (COVID-19) which infects the human respiratory system and spreads mainly through droplets from nose or mouth when an infected person coughs or sneezes. By the early 2020, the outbreak started to spread outside China and then spread rapidly throughout the world. On March 11th, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the COVID-19 outbreak as a pandemic. A month later, the Indonesian President announced COVID-19 as a non-natural national disaster on April 13th, 2020. Effective prevention and control measures are still urgently needed to control the number of cases. These measures depend heavily on the community, where the role of the society is increasingly important in suppressing the spread of disease as efforts to advance effective drug and vaccine developments and implement global vaccination programs continue.

According to the Sendai Framework Priority 1 “Understanding the Risk”, various actions that can be taken for disaster risk reduction consist of developing, promoting, enhancing knowledge and information. Knowledge is one of the capacity domains as described by UNISDR: "Capacity is the combination of all the strengths, attributes, and resources available within a community, society or organization that can be used to achieve agreed goals". The exchange of information is one way to strengthen the capacity of communities and individuals to recognize and reduce risks in their surroundings, so they can manage the risks themselves which is part of capacity development. Knowledge is needed to be able to make the right decisions and take coordinated action.

The occurrence of a pandemic makes knowledge about COVID-19 essentially important for the community. The knowledge that people have about COVID-19 affects their attitudes and practices in...
preventing COVID-19. Studies conducted in South Korea and Indonesia revealed respondents with good knowledge showed good attitudes and behavior towards COVID-19 prevention behavior such as hand hygiene practice, wearing masks, avoiding crowds, and social distancing. Developing the capacity of the community in fighting COVID-19 is expected to reduce the risks posed by the COVID-19 pandemic through preventive and control measures. One of the ways to develop community capacity against disasters is through training. This study aimed to determine the effect of disaster preparedness training toward knowledge of COVID-19 pandemic among the residents in rural society.

2. Method

This study was a quasi-experimental study with pre-post test design conducted in September 2020 in Banjararum Village, Kulon Progo Regency, Yogyakarta Special Region Province. Using an unpaired categorical formula, there were 29 general public respondents included in this study:

\[
N_2 = \frac{(Z_a + Z_p) \cdot \sigma}{X_1 - X_2} \left( \frac{1.96 + 1.64}{2} \right) \left( \frac{7.48}{5} \right) \left( \frac{3.6}{5} \right) = 29 \text{ people}
\]

The researchers chose the target population because it is located in a rural area. Based on previous study conducted in China, rural residents are less likely to engage in preventive behavior and tend to have a lower level of information appraisal skills than urban residents. The researchers conducted face-to-face training in compliance with health protocols with a limited number of participants to avoid crowds and to be able to apply social distancing. The inclusion criteria were people aged > 17 years old; who are a member of either Disaster Risk Reduction Forum (DRRF), disaster volunteer, or health volunteer (cadres); and have never attended any previous similar training. The exclusion criteria were having physical limitations to participate in disaster preparedness training.

We held a disaster preparedness training in Banjararum village to determine the effect on respondents’ knowledge. During the training, respondents received information about COVID-19, Introduction and Prevention; Hand Washing; Use of Masks; and Body Temperature Measurement from speakers. Booklets containing training materials were given to all of the respondents. At the end of the training, demonstrations of the COVID-19 health protocols (washing hands and wearing a mask) and measuring body temperature with an infrared thermometer were carried out. The respondents were required to fill in the pre-test and post-test questionnaire, before and after the training was conducted.

There are two questionnaires used in this study, the first was a demographic questionnaire consisting of respondents’ name, gender, age, residence/domicile, occupation, and last education. The second questionnaire consisted of 15 questions about respondents’ knowledge regarding the COVID-19 pandemic disaster. These instruments were arranged based on the training material provided to determine the respondent’s level of knowledge. Each correct answer got a score of 1, while the wrong answer was not counted. All correct answers were added up and presented in percentage. We divided the community’s knowledge scores into three categories: good (76-100 %), average (56-75 %), and poor (< 56 %). This scoring was used to determine the effect of the training given on the respondents’ knowledge.

The data were then analyzed using the Wilcoxon test. This study was reviewed and approved by the Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Public Health and Nursing UGM, with the ethics code KE/0972/09/2020. Participants voluntarily agreed to participate in this
study after being given an explanation and filling out informed consent forms.

3. Result

The distribution of the characteristics of the respondents in this study consisted of age, gender, education, occupation, and experience in attending similar training as shown in Table 1.

From 29 respondents, 15 (51.72%) are men and 14 (48.28%) are women. Respondents’ ages range from 25 to 60, and most of them (44.83%) are aged between 36-45 years old. As many as 19 (65.52%) respondents finished high school. Twelve (41.38%) respondents work as civil servants, and 10 (34.48) respondents are housewives. Twenty-five (86.21%) respondents had never attended similar training before.

Pre-test results showed that 17 (58%) respondents have average knowledge. After the training, respondents were asked to fill in the same questionnaire and the results were 22 (75%) respondents have good knowledge. The pre-test mean score is 9.93, and the post-test mean score is 11.68 with a 1.75 score difference. From the cumulative score, we can see that there is significant knowledge improvement as seen from the $P$-value ($P < 0.005$), in respondents' knowledge before and after the training.

---

**Table 1.** Characteristics of respondents (n=29)

| Characteristics                          | f  | %      |
|-----------------------------------------|----|--------|
| Gender                                  |    |        |
| Male                                    | 15 | 51.72  |
| Female                                  | 14 | 48.28  |
| Age (years old)                         |    |        |
| 17-25                                   | 1  | 3.45   |
| 26-35                                   | 1  | 3.45   |
| 36-45                                   | 13 | 44.83  |
| 46-55                                   | 7  | 24.14  |
| 55-65                                   | 7  | 24.14  |
| Education                               |    |        |
| Didn’t attend school                    | 1  | 3.45   |
| Elementary School                      | 2  | 6.90   |
| Junior High School                     | 3  | 10.34  |
| Senior High School                     | 19 | 65.52  |
| Diploma                                 | 1  | 3.45   |
| Undergraduate                           | 3  | 10.34  |
| Occupation                              |    |        |
| Unemployed                              | 1  | 3.45   |
| Housewife                               | 10 | 34.48  |
| Farmer                                  | 2  | 6.90   |
| Civil Servant                           | 12 | 41.38  |
| Entrepreneur                            | 2  | 6.90   |
| Others                                  | 2  | 6.90   |
| Experience in attending similar training|    |        |
| Never been                              | 25 | 86.21  |
| Ever been                               | 4  | 13.79  |
4. Discussion

After identifying the vulnerable populations in the rural areas where people often neglect the important health protocols that are mandated by the government, this research was conducted to determine the effect of disaster training during the COVID-19 pandemic. Training was provided to demonstrate the importance of proper hand washing, avoiding crowds, and maintaining public safety by engaging in the current social restrictions that limit travel and large gatherings. The government encouraged the community to continue implementing the specific steps that can be taken to prevent and control COVID-19 transmission. The public must have sufficient knowledge and skills as a capacity to deal with the COVID-19 pandemic. After we conducted the disaster preparedness training related to COVID-19, we found that overall there was significant knowledge improvement after the training.

Based on the test score in Table 2, it is known that before the training, most respondents (58.62%) have average knowledge and after the training, most respondents (75.68%) have more knowledge. This indicates there was knowledge improvement. This is in line with the results of research where there was an increase in the knowledge of respondents who attended disaster preparedness training. Respondents learned about COVID-19 prevention and control measures through the training. Learning is a process that learners go through to change behavior, which allows a person to acquire, update, enhance, or complement knowledge, skills, and attitudes in order to function effectively in ongoing changes. Learning methods used in the training such as lectures and demonstration with practice can increase a person’s knowledge.

The disaster preparedness training had an impact on a significant increase in knowledge ($P < 0.005$). Knowledge and skills are elements of capacity at the individual level that can be gained through training. Improvement of knowledge after the training is part of the stimulation process in capacity development. Knowledge is essential in this unprecedented pandemic situation. Thailand and Singapore are examples where one of the COVID-19 containment measures is community education, in which the government provides the latest and accurate information about COVID-19, and continues to encourage people to implement health protocols. Along with other containment measures such as surveillance, mass testing, lockdown, and border control measures, these efforts can successfully flatten the curve and prevent the health system from collapsing.

This increase is expected to change the community’s behavior and increase their self-awareness about the importance of preventing the spread of COVID-19. In addition, people who take part in the training are expected to be able to educate those around them. That way, the community can support the government in dealing with the COVID-19 pandemic crisis because basically the main control comes from individual behavior.

5. Conclusions

There is a significant effect of disaster preparedness training on public knowledge about COVID-19. The capacity of the community in dealing with the COVID-19 pandemic is very important. With the role of the community, prevention and control measures can be implemented down to the smallest unit of
society. Various methods adapted to the conditions of COVID-19 can be conducted in an ongoing manner, so that community can have up-to-date knowledge and information.

The limitation is that the study only assessed the knowledge through pretest and posttest. Further research that assesses the practical skills of the disaster preparedness training (washing hands, wearing a mask and measuring body temperature with an infrared thermometer) is needed to understand the COVID-19 health protocols performed by the respondents. Moreover, further studies with larger sample sizes are needed to draw a firm conclusion.
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