The prevalence of morphological changes in the thoracolumbar spine on whole-spine computed tomographic images
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Abstract
Objectives This article reviews the prevalence of lumbarisation, sacralisation and lumbar ribs, and their morphological relevance by evaluating multi-slice computed tomography (MSCT) images. These segment variations can cause miscounting of vertebrae at the lumbar spinal level.
Methods A retrospective radiographic analysis of 226 cases scanned by MSCT prior to forensic autopsy was undertaken. MSCT scans of the entire spine were obtained. Radiological data were evaluated on a three-dimensional image workstation. Vertebral levels were determined by counting downward from the first cervical vertebra, based on the assumption of seven cervical, 12 thoracic and five lumbar vertebrae. The prevalence of lumbarisation, sacralisation and lumbar ribs was assessed.
Results Lumbar ribs were observed in 13 of the 226 cases (5.8 %). Lumbarisation and sacralisation were observed in 14 cases (6.2 %) and six cases (2.7 %), respectively. Lumbar ribs were present in 11 of the 14 cases with lumbarisation, and in two of the 206 cases with normal lumbar vertebral configuration. The prevalence of lumbarisation, sacralisation and lumbar ribs was assessed.

Conclusions There was a strong association between lumbar ribs and lumbarisation, with a resulting miscount rate for the lumbar spine of slightly less than 10 %.

Teaching points
• Lumbarisation and sacralisation are observed 6.2 % and 2.7 %, respectively.
• Thoracolumbar segment variations can cause a miscount rate for the lumbar spine of less than 10 %.
• Lumbar rib is significantly associated to lumbarisation.
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Introduction
Lumbosacral transitional vertebrae (LSTV) are congenital spinal anomalies. LSTV associated with the fifth lumbar vertebra may show assimilation to the sacrum (sacralisation), and those affecting the first sacral vertebra may show transition to a lumbar configuration (lumbarisation) [1], causing misinterpretation as four or six lumbar vertebrae, respectively. LSTV are common in the general population, with a reported prevalence of 4–35.9 % [1–17]. Inaccurate identification of an LSTV may lead to surgical and procedural errors and poor correlation with clinical symptoms [18].

Identification of LSTV and accurate numeric identification of vertebral segments on conventional lumbar radiographs or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are essential to ensure that interventional procedures or surgery are performed at the intended level [19]. However, establishing whether an LSTV is a lumbarised S1 or a sacralised L5 on MRI alone can be problematic. Conventional spine radiographs are often
unavailable at the time of imaging, and cervicothoracic localisers may not be routinely obtained. Konin et al. [18] reported that radiographs of the entire spine allowed the radiologist not only to count from C2 inferiorly but also to differentiate hypoplastic ribs from lumbar transverse processes. This approach allows accurate counting of thoracic segments, correct identification of the L1 vertebral body and correct numeric assignment of the LSTV. They also reported that patients undergoing lumbar spinal MRI commonly had radiographs of the lumbar spine alone, rather than of the entire spine. Correct enumeration was often achieved in these cases, but there remained cases in which the presence of thoracolumbar transitions as well as segmentation anomalies complicated evaluation [18].

Thirteenth ribs, known as lumbar ribs, may also cause miscounting at the lumbar spinal level. The lumbar level is usually identified on radiographs by noting T12, which is defined as the lowest vertebra with ribs. However, in some cases, transverse processes of the first lumbar vertebra resemble 12th ribs and have an articular surface. These transverse processes are termed lumbar ribs. Lumbar ribs can complicate identification of the first lumbar vertebra in frontal lumbar radiographs. In cases with both lumbar ribs and lumbarisation, lumbar spinal configuration might be interpreted as normal (Fig. 1). Cases with lumbar ribs and normal lumbar spinal configuration might be interpreted as sacralisation (Fig. 2).
In the present study, we investigated the prevalence of LSTV (lumbarisation and sacralisation) and lumbar ribs and their morphological relevance, by evaluating computed tomography (CT) images. We highlight the importance of accurate enumeration of LSTV and of communication with the referring clinician to avoid severe complications such as wrong-level spine surgery.

Materials and methods

Materials

We performed a retrospective radiographic analysis of 226 cases (148 men, 78 women; age range, 17–94 years; mean...
age, 59.2 years) scanned from October 2009 to June 2011 by multi-slice computed tomography (MSCT) before forensic autopsy. Cases under 10 years of age, and cases with bodies severely damaged by fire, traffic accident or putrefaction were excluded from the study.

**CT machines**

MSCT scanning was performed on an eight-channel scanner (Aquilion; Toshiba Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan). Volumetric helical scans were obtained from the head to the proximal femur at 120 kV with variable mAs, a beam pitch of 0.875 and 2.0-mm collimation. The volumetric data allow observation of the whole spine in all directions and differentiation of the presence of rib articular facets. Evaluation of the radiological data was based on a combination of axial images, multi-planar reconstructions (MPRs), and three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction using a 3D image workstation (ziostation2 ver. 2.1.0.3; Ziosoft, Tokyo, Japan).

**Vertebrae numbering**

The vertebral levels were counted craniocaudally, starting from C1, based on the assumption of seven cervical, 12 thoracic, and five lumbar vertebrae. The 20th and 25th vertebrae were defined as L1 and S1, respectively.

**Table 1** The prevalence of rib anomalies and lumbosacral transitional vertebrae (LSTV), including lumbarisation and sacralisation. Vertebral segments were counted inferiorly from C1

| Rib anomalies                  | Male | Female | Total |
|-------------------------------|------|--------|-------|
| Normal                        | 134 (90.5 %) | 72 (92.3 %) | 206 (91.2 %) |
| LSTV Lumbarisation (6 lumbar type vertebra) | 11 (7.4 %) | 3 (3.8 %) | 14 (6.2 %) |
| LSTV Sacralisation (4 lumbar type vertebra) | 3 (2.0 %) | 3 (3.8 %) | 6 (2.7 %) |
| Normal | 137 (92.6 %) | 74 (94.9 %) | 211 (93.4 %) |
| Rib anomalies | Lumber ribs (interpreted as 13 pairs of ribs) | 11 (7.4 %) | 2 (2.6 %) | 13 (5.8 %) |
| Rib anomalies | Agenesis of 12th ribs (interpreted as 11 pairs of ribs) | 0 | 2 (2.6 %) | 2 (0.9 %) |
| No. of cases | 148 | 78 | 226 |
Lumbar ribs were defined as ribs articulating with L1 (Fig. 3). Lumbarisation was defined as non-fusion of S1 and S2 (26th vertebra), meaning that there was one additional articulated vertebra (Fig. 4). Sacralisation was defined as anomalous fusion of L5 (24th vertebra) and S1 (Fig. 5).

Statistical analysis

Fisher’s exact test was used to compare categorical variables. Differences were assessed with an alpha level of 0.05.

Results

Lumbar ribs were observed in 13 of the 226 cases (5.8 %: unilateral rib, 3; bilateral ribs, 10). Agenesis of the 12th ribs was observed in two cases. Lumbarisation was observed in 14 of 226 cases (6.2 %) and sacralisation in six of 226 cases (2.7 %; Table 1). There was no gender difference in the incidence of lumbar LSTV or lumbar ribs.

As shown in Table 2, lumbar ribs were found in 11 of 14 cases with lumbarisation (79 %: unilateral rib, two; bilateral, nine), and in two of 206 cases with normal lumbar vertebral configuration (1.0 %: unilateral, one; bilateral, one). No lumbar ribs were observed in the six cases with sacralisation. Agenesis of the 12th ribs was observed in one of the six cases with sacralisation. Another case of 12th rib agenesis was observed among the 206 cases with normal vertebral configuration. Lumbarisation was found in 11 of the 13 cases with lumbar ribs. Three cases with lumbarisation were observed among 211 cases with normal rib configuration (12 pairs of ribs). Of the six cases with sacralisation, one had concurrent agenesis of the 12th ribs, while the other five had normal rib configuration. We combined cases of 12th rib agenesis with the normal rib configuration group due to the small number of cases (agenesis of 12th ribs, \( n = 2 \)). Similarly, we combined the sacralisation with normal rib configuration group (\( n = 5 \)) with the normal rib configuration group. We compared the prevalence of lumbar ribs in the lumbarisation group with those in the non-lumbarisation groups using Fisher’s exact test. Lumbarisation and lumbar ribs had a statistically significant association (\( p < 0.01 \)).

Discussion

Our vertebral counting method using whole-spine CT images revealed a strong association between lumbar ribs and lumbarisation. Eleven of 14 cases with lumbarisation also had lumbar ribs. Eleven of 13 cases with lumbar ribs also had lumbarisation. In cases with lumbar ribs and lumbarisation, lumbar spinal configuration might be interpreted as normal, based on lumbar spinal radiographs (Fig. 6).

In a study by Carrino et al. [19], 4.1 % of subjects had transitional thoracolumbar segments, defined as lumbar ribs in our study, and two-thirds of those also had LSTV. These results were consistent with those of our study.

The cause of lumbar rib formation has not been clearly determined. However, genetic factors are thought to play a role in the segmental development of the spine [13]. The number of cervical vertebrae is extremely stable at seven.

Table 2  The prevalence of different rib configurations by vertebral configuration type, subdivided into lumbarisation, normal and sacralisation

| Rib configuration | Lumbarisation | Vertebral configuration Normal configuration | Sacralisation | Total |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------|-------|
| Agenesis of 12th ribs | 0 - (0 %) | 1 (0.5 %) | 1 (16.7 %) | 2 (0.9 %) |
| Normal configuration | 3 (21.4 %) | 203 (98.5 %) | 5 (83.3 %) | 211 (93.4 %) |
| Lumbar ribs | 11 (78.6 %) | 2 (1.0 %) | 0 - | 13 (5.8 %) |
| Unilateral | 2 | 1 | | 3 |
| Bilateral | 9 | 1 | | 10 |
| No. of cases | 14 | 206 | 6 | 226 |

Fig. 6  CT images from a case with lumbar ribs and lumbarisation. a VR image of thoracolumbar spine and ribs with removal of the sternum. Lumbar ribs are clearly shown (white arrows). b Counting inferiorly from C1 or C2, the lowest ribs are lumbar ribs and the lowest non-fused vertebra is identified as S1. c If the lowest ribs are interpreted as 12th ribs, the lumbar spinal configuration might be identified as normal.
The number of thoracic vertebrae may be reduced to 11 or increased to 13, and the number of lumbar vertebrae may range from four to six [20]. Variations in the thoracolumbar segment have the potential to promote morphological shifts in the lumbosacral segment, because the thoracic spine, lumbar spine and sacral spine develop craniocaudally in early fetal life [21]. If ribs form on L1 (20th vertebrae), then S1 (25th vertebra) might separate from S2, resulting in lumbarisation. The incidence of lumbarisation was 6.2 % and of sacralisation was 2.7 % in the present study. Widely variable incidences of LSTV have been reported in the literature, ranging from 4 % to 35.9 % [1–17]. This variation may be explained by differences in diagnostic criteria, imaging techniques and confounding factors among the investigated populations.

Previous studies have reported that the presence of LSTV is best identified on a true 30° cranially angled anteroposterior (AP) radiograph of the lumbosacral junction together with frontal AP and lateral views that include the thoracolumbar junction, enabling assessment of the vertebral level [10]. The lumbar spinal level is easily defined on radiographs by counting inferiorly from T12, which is defined as the lowest vertebra with ribs. In this way, Castellvi et al. [3] classified the four types of LSTV according to the form and orientation of the transverse processes (Table 3). They reported a prevalence of lumbarisation and sacralisation of 2 % and 28 %, respectively (Table 4). However, this counting method leads to miscount of the lumbar spinal level when lumbar ribs are present, as mentioned above. In the present study, if the lowest rib was a lumbar rib, we regarded it as the 12th rib. When we recounted the lumbar vertebral level using the lowest ribs as a landmark, the lumbarisation incidence rate fell from 6.2 % to 1.8 %, closely agreeing with Castellvi’s results. It appears that Castellvi et al. did not consider the presence of lumbar ribs in their study. Our definition of sacralisation corresponds to Castellvi types III and IV. Thus, our results agreed with Castellvi’s study, as shown in Table 4.

Some studies have reported lumbarisation and sacralisation prevalence using our counting method [2, 15] (Table 5), and these values almost exactly match the lumbar spinal level misdiagnosis rates.

### Table 3 Classification of LSTV according to Castellvi et al. [2]

| Type | Description | Illustration |
|------|-------------|--------------|
| I    | Dysplastic transverse process (unilateral: Ib; bilateral: Iab, measuring at least 19 mm in width (craniocaudal dimension) | ![Diagram I] |
| II   | Incomplete lumbarisation/sacralisation showing enlarged transverse processes with unilateral (Ila) or bilateral (Ilb) pseudarthrosis with the adjacent sacral ala | ![Diagram II] |
| III  | Complete lumbarisation/sacralisation showing enlarged transverse processes, with unilateral (IIla) or bilateral (IIlb) fusion with the adjacent ala | ![Diagram III] |
| IV   | Mixed (e.g., type Ila on one side and type IIla the other) | ![Diagram IV] |

### Table 4 Comparison of LSTV prevalence by counting method, based on different vertebral level landmarks

| | LSTV | Lumbarisation | Sacralisation | No. of cases | Landmark | Imaging modality |
|---|------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------|-----------------|
| This study | | | | | | |
| Count from C2 | 20 (8.8 %) | 14 (6.2 %) | 6 (2.7 %) | 226 | C2 | Whole-spine MSCT |
| Recount | 11 (4.9 %) | 4 (1.8 %) | 7 (3.1 %) | the lowest rib | |
| Castellvi et al. [3] | | | | | | |
| Type I | 60 (30.0 %) | 4 (2.0 %) | 56 (28.0 %) | | AP, LAT and 30° cranially angled AP lumbar radiograph |
| Type II | 25 (12.5 %) | | 200 | the lowest rib | |
| Type III | | 5 (2.5 %) | | | |
| Type IV | | 3 (1.5 %) | | | |

*C2 second cervical spine, MSCT multi-slice computed tomography, AP anteroposterior, LAT lateral*
Hughes et al. [22] reported another technique to correctly number an LSTV by locating the iliolumbar ligaments. Sacralisation was determined by the lack of an iliolumbar ligament at the level above the sacrum. When an iliolumbar ligament was identified above the LSTV, the vertebra with the iliolumbar ligament was considered L5 and the LSTV was termed lumbarisation. However, this technique assumes that there are always seven cervical, 12 thoracic and five lumbar vertebrae. Identification of the iliolumbar ligament in cases with various segmentation anomalies might not accurately identify the L5 vertebral body [18].

LSTV is a common benign anatomical variation of the lumbosacral spine. However, the clinical significance of the condition is still unknown and its relationship with low back pain is controversial [1]. The presence of LSTV and rib anomalies can lead to inaccurate identification of vertebral levels. Caution in numbering the lumbosacral vertebrae in patients with LSTV is of the utmost importance in spinal surgery [1]. Our report suggests that evaluating AP radiographs alone could result in miscounting of the lower spinal levels. There is no foolproof method for accurately numbering a transitional segment without high-quality imaging of the entire spine; therefore, communication between radiologists and referring clinicians, and correlation of intraoperative and preoperative imaging, are very important.
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Table 5  Comparison of the prevalence of lumbarisation and sacralisation in studies using the same counting method. Vertebral segments were counted from C1 or C2 inferiorly on whole-spine image

|                | Lumbarisation | Sacralisation | No. of cases | Imaging modality |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
| This study     | 14 (6.2 %)    | 6 (2.7 %)     | 226          | Whole spine MSCT |
| Hahn et al. [2]| 9 (4.5 %)     | 15 (7.5 %)    | 200          | TL and LS sagittal MRI |
| Peh et al. [15]| 9 (7.0 %)     | 8 (6.2 %)     | 129          | TL and LS sagittal MRI |

MSCT multi-slice computed tomography, TL thoracolumber, LS lumbosacral
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