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ABSTRACT

Few-shot image classification aims to classify unseen classes with limited labeled samples. Recent works benefit from the meta-learning process with episodic tasks and can fast adapt to class from training to testing. Due to the limited number of samples for each task, the initial embedding network for meta learning becomes an essential component and can largely affects the performance in practice. To this end, many pre-trained methods have been proposed, and most of them are trained in supervised way with limited transfer ability for unseen classes. In this paper, we proposed to train a more generalized embedding network with self-supervised learning (SSL) which can provide slow and robust representation for downstream tasks by learning from the data itself. We evaluate our work by extensive comparisons with previous baseline methods on two few-shot classification datasets (i.e., MiniImageNet and CUB). Based on the evaluation results, the proposed method achieves significantly better performance, i.e., improve 1-shot and 5-shot tasks by nearly 3% and 4% on MiniImageNet, by nearly 9% and 3% on CUB. Moreover, the proposed method can gain the improvement of (15%, 13%) on MiniImageNet and (15%, 8%) on CUB by pre-training using more unlabeled data. Our code will be available at https://github.com/phcxyi/ssl-few-shot.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Recent advances of deep learning techniques have made significant progresses in many areas. The main reason for such success is the ability to train a deep model that can retain profound knowledge from large scale labeled dataset [1,2]. This is somehow against the human learning behavior - one can easily classify objects from just a few examples with limited prior knowledge. How to computationally model such behavior motivates the recent researches in few-shot learning, where the focus is how to adapt the model to new data or tasks with restricted number of instances.

One popular solution for few-shot classification is to apply a meta-learning process, in which the dataset is divided into subsets for different meta tasks, in order to learn how to adapt the model according to the task change. The main challenge here is that the meta-learning could easily lead to over-fitting, as only a few samples for each class are available. To solve this problem, an attention mechanism that achieves a good classification for the unlabeled samples by learning an embedding of the labeled ones has been proposed [3]. By sub-sampling classes and the associated data therein to simulate few-shot tasks [4, 5, 6], the so called episodes can further benefit meta-learning by constructing a probability model to predict a decision boundary between classes. Recent meta-learning methods [7,8] focus on retrieving transferable embedding from the dataset along with the relation between images and their class descriptions. This is done by decomposing the training into two stages, i.e., i). learning a robust and transferable embedding, and ii). fine-tuning the learned embedding for downstream classification task. All these works demonstrate that a robust pre-trained embedding network is essential to the performance of the few-shot image classification task.

Current methods [9,10,11,12] with good performance mostly apply a ResNet12 [12] or a wide ResNet [13] as the embedding network and surpass the methods [14,15] with deeper network. We argue that the abandon of large network are mainly because that all these methods are trained in a supervised way with limited labeled samples. In this paper, we propose to apply a much more larger embedding network with self-supervised learning (SSL) to incorporate with episodic task based meta-learning. According to the evaluation presented in Section 3.4, the proposed method can significantly improve few-shot image classification performance over baseline methods in two common datasets. As a remark, under the same experiment setting, the proposed method improves 1-shot and 5-shot tasks by nearly 3% and 4% on MiniImageNet, by nearly 9% and 3% on CUB. Moreover, the proposed method can gain the improvement of 15%, 13% and 15%, 8% in two tasks on MiniImageNet and CUB dataset by pretraining using more unlabeled data. We also observe that self-supervised learning pre-train model can be robustly transferred to other dataset.

2. RELATED WORK

Few-shot learning as an active research topic has been extensively studied. In this paper, we will primarily review recent deep-learning based approaches that are more relevant to our work. A number of works aim to improve the robustness of the training process. Garcia et al. [15] propose a graph neural network according to the generic message passing inference method. Zhao et al. [17] split the features to three orthogonal parts to improve the classification performance for few-short learning, allowing simultaneous feature selection and dense estimation. Chen et al. [15] propose a Self-Jig algorithm to augment the input data in few-shot learning by synthesizing new images that are either labeled or unlabeled.

A popular strategy for few-shot learning is through meta-learning (also called learning-to-learn) with multi-auxiliary tasks [3,18,6,8,9]. The key is how to robustly accelerate the learning progress of the network without suffering from over-fitting with limited training data. Finn et al. propose MAML [18] to search the best initial weights through gradient decent for network training, making the fine-tuning easier. REPTILE [19] simplifies the complex computation of MAML by incorporating an $L_2$ loss, but still performs in high dimension space. To reduce the complexity, Rusu et al. propose a network called LEO [11] to learn a low dimension latent embed-
Fig. 1. The overall architecture of our approach. LEFT: Train embedding network by Self-Supervised learning (AMDIM). The pretext task is designed to maximize the mutual information between two views $\langle x_a, x_b \rangle$ generated from the same image $x$ by data augmentation. Right: Meta-learning with episodic task (3-way, 1-shot example). For each task, the training samples and query samples are encoded by the embedding network. Query sample embeddings are compared with centroid of training sample embeddings and make further prediction.

3. METHOD

Few-shot learning is a challenging problem as it has only limited data for training and need to verify the performance on the data for unseen classes. One popular solution for few-shot learning classification problem is to apply a meta learning on top of a pre-trained embedding network. Most of current methods are mainly focusing on the second stage i.e., meta learning stage. In this work, we follow this two stages paradigm but utilize self-supervised learning to train a large embedding network as our strong base.

3.1. Self-supervised learning stage

Our goal is to learn representations that enhance the feature’s generalization. In our approach, we use Augmented Multiscale Deep InfoMax (AMDIM) [25] as our self-supervised model. The pretext task is designed to maximize the mutual information between features extracted from multiple views of a shared context.

The mutual information (MI) measures the shared information between two random variables $X$ and $Y$ which is defined as the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence between the joint and the product of the marginals.

$$I(X, Y) = D_{KL}(p(x, y) || p(x)p(y))$$

$$= \sum \sum p(x, y) \log \frac{p(x|y)}{p(x)} \quad (1)$$

where $P(x, y)$ is the joint distribution and $P(x)$ and $P(y)$ are the marginal distributions of $X$ and $Y$. Estimating MI is challenging as we just have samples but not direct access to the underlying distribution. [22] proved that we can maximizes a lower bound on mutual information by minimizing the Noise Contrastive Estimation (NCE) loss based on negative sampling.

The core concept of AMDIM is to maximize mutual information between global features and local features from two views $\langle x_a, x_b \rangle$ of the same image. Specifically, maximize mutual information between $\langle f_g(x_a), f_5(x_b) \rangle$, $\langle f_g(x_a), f_7(x_b) \rangle$ and $\langle f_5(x_a), f_5(x_b) \rangle$. Where $f_g$ is the global feature, $f_5$ is encoder’s $5 \times 5$ local feature map as well as $f_7$ as the encoder’s $7 \times 7$ feature map.
the NCE loss between \( f_g(x_a) \) and \( f_g(x_b) \) is defined as below:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{amdim}}(f_g(x_a), f_g(x_b)) = \exp\{\phi(f_g(x_a), f_g(x_b))\} - \log \sum_{\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{N}_c \cup x_b} \exp\{\phi(f_g(x_a), f_g(\tilde{x}))\}
\]

(2)

\( \mathcal{N}_c \) are the negative samples of image \( x \), \( \phi \) is the distance metric function. At last, the overall loss between \( x_a \) and \( x_b \) is as follows:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{amdim}}(x_a, x_b) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{amdim}}(f_g(x_a), f_g(x_b)) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{amdim}}(f_g(x_b), f_g(x_b))
\]

(3)

The stage as shown in the Figure 1 gives an overview of the AMDIM self supervised learning method. The red and blue lines shows the local and global feature between two view \( x_a \) and \( x_b \). The detail of encoder network is defined in Table 1.

### 4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we first introduce the dataset and training process used in our evaluation, then show quantitative comparisons against other baseline methods, finally we conduct a detailed study to validate the transfer ability of our approach.

#### 4.1. Datasets

MiniImageNet dataset, as proposed in [3], is a benchmark to evaluate the performance of few-shot learning methods. This dataset is a subset randomly selected from ImageNet. MiniImageNet contains 60,000 images from only 100 classes, and each class has 600 images. We follow the data split strategy in [3] to sample images of 64 classes for training, 16 classes for validation, 20 classes for test.

CUB-200-2011 dataset contains 200 classes of birds with 11788 images in total. For evaluation, we follow the split in [27]. 200 species of birds are randomly split to 100 classes for training, 50 classes for validation, and 50 classes for test.

#### 4.2. Training Details

Several recent works show that a typical training process can include a pre-trained network [8,11] or employ co-training [10] for feature embedding. This can significantly improve the classification accuracy. In this paper, we adopt the AMDIM [25] SSL training framework to pre-train the feature embedding network. AMDIM-Net(ndf=192, ndepth=8, nrkhs=1536) is used for all datasets and the embedding dimension is 1536. Adam is chosen as the optimizer with a learning rate of 0.0002. We use 128 \( \times \) 128 as the input resolution among these datasets. For MiniImageNet dataset, 3 embedding models are trained. Mini800-SSL is self-supervised trained from 48,000 images (80 classes training and validation) without labels. Mini800-SSL is supervised training using same AmdimNet by cross entropy loss with labels. ImageNetSSL is SSL trained from all images from ImageNet1K except MiniImageNet. For CUB dataset, CUB150SSL is trained by SSL from 150 classes (training and validation). CUB150-SSL is the supervised trained model. Image1K-SSL is SSL trained from all images from ImageNet1K without label.
Table 3. Few-shot classification accuracy results on CUB dataset [28] on 1-shot 5-way, 5-shot 5-way task. All accuracy results are reported with 95% confidence intervals. ‘−’ indicates result without meta-learning. For each task, the best-performing method is highlighted.

Table 2. Few-shot classification accuracy results on MiniImageNet [11] on 1-shot 5-way, 5-shot 5-way and 10-shot 5-way tasks. All accuracy results are reported with 95% confidence intervals. ‘−’ indicates result without meta-learning.

4.3. Quantitative comparison

For MiniImageNet dataset, we evaluate our method in two common few-shot learning tasks i.e., 1-shot 5-way task and 5-shot 5-way task against 18 baseline methods with different embedding networks including classical ones [5, 3, 18] and recently proposed methods [11, 10, 32]. For CUB dataset, we follow the recent work [28] to evaluate the robustness of the proposed method with 7 other alternatives on this fine-grained dataset.

As detailed in Table 2, the proposed method outperforms all baselines in the tested tasks. In 1-shot 5-way test, our approach achieves 7.53% and 2.27% improvement over ProtoNet+ [5] and LEO [11] respectively. The former is an amended variant of ProtoNet using pre-trained Resnet as embedding network and has same meta-learning stage with the proposed method, the later is the state-of-the-art method. In the experience for 5-Shot 5-Way, we observe a similar improvement in accuracy. Furthermore, we observe that the performance of our proposed method significantly increases when receiving more images/classes as input for pretrain. It gives 81.15% improvement on 5-shot 5-ways test against 64.03% for 1-Shot 5-Way.

Table 3 illustrates our experiment on CUB dataset. Our proposed method yields highest accuracy from all trials. In the 1-shot 5-way test, we have 71.85% gaining a margin of 20.54% increment to the classic ProtoNet [5]. The improvement is more significant for 5-shot 5-way test. Our proposed method results is 84.29% which introduces 2.39% improvement to DN4-Da [30]. Comparing to Baseline++ [28], our method shows a significant improvement, i.e., 11.32% and 4.95% in both tests.

4.4. Ablation Study

As shown in the quantitative evaluation, the proposed method can significantly improve the performance in few-shot classification task by self-supervised pretrain using a large network. One concern may be raised is that if the gain of improvements of proposed network is simply due to the increment of network’s capacity. To prove the effectiveness of the proposed method, we train the embedding network with labeled data (Mini80-SL and CUB150-SL as detailed in Section 4.2). As shown in Table 2 and Table 3, it performs even worse than the methods with simple 4 Conv blocks embedding networks as such big network under supervised learning with limited data can cause overfitting problem and cannot adjust to new unseen classes during testing. However, with SSL based pre-training a more generalized embedding network can be obtained and improve the results significantly. One may also concern about the effectiveness of the meta learning fine-tuning in the second stage. To test this, the pretrain embedding network is directly applied on the task with nearest neighbourhood(NN) classification. As shown in the test results on both dataset, meta-learning can effectively fine-tune the embedding network and achieve remarkable improvement.

We also include more data without labels during SSL pre-training and observe an more significant improvement of the result. As shown in Table 2, the proposed method can gain the improvement of 15% and 13% in two test tasks. As detailed analyzed in [28], current few-shot learning methods can not efficiently transfer the domain of learning, i.e., the training domain can not have huge gap with the testing set. In this paper, a transferability test is also conducted by pre-training the embedding network on ImageNet and applied on CUB dataset. As shown in Table 3, the proposed method with ImageNet pre-trained embedding network can be efficiently transferred to CUB dataset and gain an improvement of 15%,8% in both test tasks.
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