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A B S T R A C T

The present data is reported in the article “Regulatory Focus and Regulatory Mode – Keys to Narcissists’ (Lack of) Life Satisfaction?” (Hanke et al., in press) [1]. The two data sets represent answers from two German samples. Data were collected via self-report questionnaires using EFS survey from QuestBack Unipark. The surveys included self-questionnaires of narcissistic grandiosity, narcissistic vulnerability, regulatory focus, regulatory mode, self-esteem, life-satisfaction, and demographic information.
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Specifications table

| Subject area               | Psychology |
|----------------------------|------------|
| More specific subject area | Social Psychology |
| Type of data               | Quantitative data; Tables |
| How data was acquired      | Online surveys using EFS survey from QuestBack Unipark |
| Data format                | Analyzed data |
| Experimental factors       | Correlational design |
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Experimental features

The main variables are narcissistic grandiosity, narcissistic vulnerability, regulatory focus, regulatory mode, self-esteem, and life-satisfaction.

Data source location

Germany

Data accessibility

Data is with the article

Related research article

S. Hanke, E. Rohmann and J. Förster, Regulatory focus and regulatory mode – keys to narcissists’ (lack of) life satisfaction?, Pers. Indiv. Differ. 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.09.039, (in press). [1]

Value of the data

- Raw data based on two data sets (Ns = 297, 143) assessing narcissistic grandiosity, narcissistic vulnerability, regulatory focus, regulatory mode, self-esteem, life-satisfaction, and demographic information are provided.
- The data sets may be examined using a number of statistical methods such as analysis of variance, regression analysis, and structural equation modeling.
- By reusing these data sets, researchers interested in narcissism and self-regulation may compare their own results with the data found in these two data sets.
- The data provided gives an insight into the relationships between grandiose and vulnerable narcissism, self-regulation, and life-satisfaction.

1. Data

Data set 1 contains self-report responses of 297 participants, data set 2 those of 143 participants. Table 1 lists the variables contained in data sets 1 and 2.

2. Experimental design

The two data sets represent answers from two German samples. Data were collected using EFS survey from QuestBack Unipark. The two online questionnaires included self-report questionnaires of grandiose narcissism, vulnerable narcissism, regulatory focus, regulatory mode (provided in data set 2 only), self-esteem, life-satisfaction, and demographic information.

3. Materials and methods

Data set 1 (N = 297) was collected among university students at a large university in Western Germany and white-collar workers with an age range between 18 and 60 years (66 males, 231 females).

Data set 2 (N = 143) was also collected among university students at a large university in Western Germany and white-collar workers. The age ranged between 16–53 years (40 males, 103 females).

The following validated scales were used to assess the study variables (see Table 2):

- The NPI includes 40 forced-choice items, each consisting of two statements, which contrast a narcissistic alternative with a non-narcissistic alternative. The number of narcissistic alternatives chosen is calculated so that the total score of the NPI ranges from 0 to 40 with higher scores indicating higher grandiose narcissism. Example statements include “I am more capable than other people.” (narcissistic alternative) and “There is a lot that I can learn from other people”.

- The NI-R consists of 36 items. Participants respond on five-point Likert scales (1 = not at all true; 5 = completely true). The mean across scale items is calculated with higher scores indicating higher vulnerable narcissism. Items include statements such as “I would really enjoy being praised for everything I do (like a child is praised by its parents)”. 

The RFQ contains two subscales: The promotion subscale encompasses six items and assesses individuals’ subjective histories of promotion success whereas the prevention subscale contains five items and assesses individuals’ subjective histories of prevention success. Participants respond on five-point Likert scales (1 = not at all true; 5 = completely true). The mean across scale items is calculated with higher scores on either subscale reflecting individuals’ sense of their history of promotion or prevention success in goal attainment, respectively. Sample items would be “How often have you accomplished things that got you ‘psyched’ to work even harder?” (promotion focus) and “How often did you obey rules and regulations that were established by your parents?” (prevention focus).

The RSES is a widely used and validated self-report instrument for measuring explicit and global self-esteem by assessing positive and negative feelings about the self. The RSES consists of 10 items which are rated on a 4-point Likert scale format ranging from 1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree). A sample item would be “On the whole, I am satisfied with myself”.

The SWLS is a five-item scale designed to measure global cognitive judgments of satisfaction with one’s life. The items are rated on seven-point scales ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). Items include statements such as “I am satisfied with my life”.

The Regulatory Mode Questionnaire assesses locomotion with 12 items and assessment with 10 items. The items are rated on six-point Likert scales ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree). Example items are: “When I decide to do something, I can’t wait to get started.” (locomotion) and “I often critique work done by myself or others.” (assessment).

### Table 1

| Variable name    | Variable label                  | Variable values                        | Variable type |
|------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|
| Gender           | Gender                          | 1 = male                               | Numeric       |
|                  |                                 | 2 = female                             |               |
| Age              | Age                             | 1 = college student                    | Numeric       |
| main_occ         | Main occupation                 | 2 = employed                           |               |
|                  |                                 | 3 = carer/homemaker                    |               |
|                  |                                 | 4 = apprentice                         |               |
|                  |                                 | 5 = unemployed                         |               |
|                  |                                 | 6 = retiree                            |               |
|                  |                                 | 7 = other                              |               |
| Marital          | Marital status                  | 1 = single (never married)             | Numeric       |
|                  |                                 | 2 = married                            |               |
|                  |                                 | 3 = divorced/separated                 |               |
|                  |                                 | 4 = widowed                            |               |
| s_npi            | Sum narcissistic grandiosity    |                                       | Numeric       |
| m_nir            | Mean narcissistic vulnerability |                                       | Numeric       |
| m_prom           | Mean promotion focus            |                                       | Numeric       |
| m_prev           | Mean prevention focus          |                                       | Numeric       |
| m_swls           | Mean life satisfaction         |                                       | Numeric       |
| m_rses           | Mean self-esteem               |                                       | Numeric       |
| Provided in data set 2 only: | | | | |
| m_loco           | Mean locomotion strength       |                                       | Numeric       |
| m_assess         | Mean assessment strength       |                                       | Numeric       |

The RFQ contains two subscales: The promotion subscale encompasses six items and assesses individuals’ subjective histories of promotion success whereas the prevention subscale contains five items and assesses individuals’ subjective histories of prevention success. Participants respond on five-point Likert scales (1 = not at all true; 5 = completely true). The mean across scale items is calculated with higher scores on either subscale reflecting individuals’ sense of their history of promotion or prevention success in goal attainment, respectively. Sample items would be “How often have you accomplished things that got you ‘psyched’ to work even harder?” (promotion focus) and “How often did you obey rules and regulations that were established by your parents?” (prevention focus).

The RSES is a widely used and validated self-report instrument for measuring explicit and global self-esteem by assessing positive and negative feelings about the self. The RSES consists of 10 items which are rated on a 4-point Likert scale format ranging from 1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree). A sample item would be “On the whole, I am satisfied with myself”.

The SWLS is a five-item scale designed to measure global cognitive judgments of satisfaction with one’s life. The items are rated on seven-point scales ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). Items include statements such as “I am satisfied with my life”.

The Regulatory Mode Questionnaire assesses locomotion with 12 items and assessment with 10 items. The items are rated on six-point Likert scales ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree). Example items are: “When I decide to do something, I can’t wait to get started.” (locomotion) and “I often critique work done by myself or others.” (assessment).
Table 2
Overview of the measures utilized in data sets 1 and 2.

| Study variable | Measure used | Original scale | German scale |
|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| Narcissistic grandiosity | Narcissistic Personality Inventory (NPI) | Raskin and Terry [2] | Schütz et al. [3] |
| Narcissistic vulnerability | Narcissistic Inventory-Revised (NI-R) | Deneke and Hilgenstock [4] | Rohmann et al. [5] |
| Promotion focus & Prevention focus | Regulatory Focus Questionnaire (RFQ) | Higgins et al. [6] | |
| Self-esteem | Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSES) | Rosenberg [7] | von Collani and Herzberg [8] |
| Life satisfaction | Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS) | Diener et al. [9] | Schumacher [10] |
| Locomotion & Assessment | Regulatory Mode Questionnaire (RMQ) | Kruglanski et al. [11] | Sellin et al. [12] |

Table 3
Descriptive statistics and gender differences of narcissism, regulatory focus, and life satisfaction (data set 1).

| Scale | Total sample (N = 297) | Men (N = 66) | Women (N = 231) | F | $\eta^2$ | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|-------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|---------|----------|----------|
|       | Alpha | $M$ | $SD$ | $M$ | $SD$ | $M$ | $SD$ |       |       |       |
| Grandiosity | 0.82 | 13.91 | 6.31 | 16.65 | 7.45 | 13.14 | 5.73 | 16.77*** | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.06 |
| Vulnerability | 0.85 | 2.74 | 0.47 | 2.76 | 0.48 | 2.74 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.02 | −0.20 |
| Self-esteem | 0.90 | 3.11 | 0.68 | 3.23 | 0.60 | 3.08 | 0.69 | 2.46 | 0.01 | −0.75 | −0.13 |
| Promotion | 0.64 | 3.54 | 0.64 | 3.59 | 0.65 | 3.53 | 0.64 | 0.40 | 0.001 | −0.25 | −0.11 |
| Prevention | 0.73 | 3.32 | 0.75 | 3.24 | 0.79 | 3.35 | 0.74 | 1.09 | 0.004 | −0.28 | −0.09 |
| Life satisfaction | 0.87 | 4.95 | 1.23 | 4.83 | 1.34 | 4.99 | 1.19 | 0.86 | 0.003 | −0.69 | −0.12 |

Note. N = 297. SWLS = Satisfaction with Life Scale.

a Values range from 0 to 40.
b 4-point Likert-type scale.
c 5-point Likert-type scale.
d 7-point Likert-type scale.
*** $p < .001$.

Table 4
Descriptive statistics and gender differences of narcissism, regulatory focus, regulatory mode and life satisfaction (data set 2).

| Scale | Total sample (N = 143) | Men (N = 40) | Women (N = 103) | F | $\eta^2$ | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|-------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|---------|----------|----------|
|       | Alpha | $M$ | $SD$ | $M$ | $SD$ | $M$ | $SD$ |       |       |       |
| Grandiosity | 0.85 | 13.70 | 6.68 | 13.48 | 7.47 | 13.78 | 6.39 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.72 | 0.48 |
| Vulnerability | 0.87 | 2.68 | 0.48 | 2.73 | 0.49 | 2.67 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.004 | 0.29 | 0.03 |
| Self-esteem | 0.87 | 3.25 | 0.56 | 3.30 | 0.50 | 3.23 | 0.58 | 0.44 | 0.003 | −0.75 | 0.14 |
| Promotion | 0.63 | 3.63 | 0.61 | 3.61 | 0.67 | 3.64 | 0.60 | 0.07 | 0.00 | −0.36 | −0.12 |
| Prevention | 0.76 | 3.35 | 0.81 | 3.22 | 0.76 | 3.40 | 0.83 | 1.56 | 0.01 | −0.56 | 0.23 |
| Life satisfaction | 0.87 | 5.13 | 1.22 | 5.10 | 1.10 | 5.15 | 1.27 | 0.06 | 0.00 | −1.04 | 1.14 |
| Locomotion | 0.74 | 4.17 | 0.57 | 4.09 | 0.58 | 4.20 | 0.56 | 0.95 | 0.01 | −0.45 | 0.11 |
| Assessment | 0.65 | 4.02 | 0.61 | 3.89 | 0.60 | 4.07 | 0.61 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.27 | −0.69 |

Note. N = 143. SWLS = Satisfaction with Life Scale.

a Values range from 0 to 40.
b 4-point Likert-type scale.
c 5-point Likert-type scale.
d 6-point Likert-type scale.
e 7-point Likert-type scale.
Descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations, Cronbach’s alpha) were computed for each measure and can be found in Tables 3 and 4. In the case of the NPI, summary scores were computed instead of means.

Further, we tested for gender differences in the study variables through an ANOVA (see Tables 3 and 4). In data set 1, men ($M = 16.65, SD = 7.45$) expressed more narcissistic grandiosity than women ($M = 13.14, SD = 5.73$), $F(1, 290) = 16.77, p < .001, \eta^2 = 0.05$. In data set 2, tests for gender differences turned out to be not significant.
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