Simultaneous determination of four active components in rat plasma by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry and its application to a pharmacokinetic study after oral administration of Callicarpa nudiflora extract
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ABSTRACT

Background: Callicarpa nudiflora has been commonly used as a Chinese folk medicine for resolving toxin, dispersing edema and hemostasis; however, its pharmacokinetic (PK) behavior remains unknown. In our present study, a simple and sensitive ultra-high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry method was firstly developed on simultaneous determination and PK study of four active components (luteoloside, dracocephaloside, junccein and nudifloside) following the oral administration of C. nudiflora extract to investigate their PK profiles.

Materials and Methods: Chromatographic separation was achieved on a Phenomenex® Kinetex C18 column (50 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 μm) with gradient elution using a mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (A) and 0.05‰ formic acid in water (B). The quantitation was carried out by multiple reaction monitoring using electrospray ionization in the negative ion mode.

Results: Calibration curves offered satisfactory linearity, with correlation coefficients >0.99 for all compounds within the concentration range. The low limits of quantification were 1.03 ng/mL for luteoloside, 1.16 ng/mL for dracocephaloside, 0.82 ng/mL for junccein and 0.88 ng/mL for nudifloside, respectively. The intra- and inter-day precisions (relative standard deviation) were within 7.4% and the accuracies (relative error) ranged from −7.4% to 7.9%. Conclusion: This method was successfully applied to the PK studies of luteoloside, dracocephaloside, junccein and nudifloside in rat plasma after oral administration of C. nudiflora extract, four analytes exhibited quick absorption with peak concentrations occurring at around 25 min and eliminated rapidly.
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INTRODUCTION

Callicarpa nudiflora, a member of the verbenaceae family, is distributed in Guangdong, Guangxi and Hainan provinces of mainland China. It has been commonly used as a Chinese folk medicine for resolving toxin, dispersing edema and hemostasis. Modern pharmacological studies indicate that C. nudiflora and its preparation C. nudiflora tablets exhibit a variety of pharmacological effects, such as anti-inflammatory, hemostatic, antibacterial and cytotoxicity activities. Phytochemical research revealed that C. nudiflora contained many constituents, like flavonoids, terpenoids, volatile oils and so on. However, most studies have only focused on its chemical and pharmacological research, its pharmacokinetic (PK) behavior was unknown until recently, therefore, it is essential to investigate the PKs of its active components to supply research information.
for clinical use. Existing studies showed that flavonoids in *C. nudiflora* had the anti-inflammatory and hemostasis activities.\(^{[10]}\) Luteoloside, dracocephaloside, junccein, three abundant flavonoid glycosides present in *C. nudiflora*, were found to possess anti-inflammatory\(^{[11]}\) and antibacterial effects.\(^{[12,13]}\) Nudifloside, belongs to the terpenoids, was reported to display the cytotoxicity against a K562 cell line with IC\(_{50}\) values of 20.7 μg/mL.\(^{[14]}\) The above studies suggested that luteoloside, dracocephaloside, junccein and nudifloside could be found to contribute to the pharmacological efficacy of *C. nudiflora* and chosen as markers for quantification.

Recently, several studies have been developed concerning the quantification of luteoloside *in vivo*.\(^{[15,16]}\) However, there is no report to determine dracocephaloside, junccein and nudifloside up to now, not to mention the simultaneous PKs studies of luteoloside, dracocephaloside, junccein and nudifloside after oral administration of the *C. nudiflora* extract. Since the therapeutic effects of Chinese folk medicine are based on the complex interactions of multiple ingredients, it is of paramount importance to develop an analytical method to allow four compounds to be quantified simultaneously in rat plasma.

In the present study, a simple and sensitive ultra-high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS/MS) method was firstly developed for the simultaneous determination of luteoloside, dracocephaloside, junccein and nudifloside in rat plasma after oral administration of the *C. nudiflora* extract. The structures of the four compounds are shown in Figure 1. It was expected that the results obtained would provide some references to evaluate the clinical application of *C. nudiflora*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials and reagents**

The reference standards of luteoloside, dracocephaloside, junccein and nudifloside were isolated and purified in our laboratory and their structures were unequivocally confirmed by comparing ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), ¹³C NMR and MS data with those reported previously.\(^{[7,14]}\) The purity (≥98%) of all compounds was checked by HPLC-ultraviolet methods. Liquiritin (internal standard, purity >99%, lot number [111610–200604]) were purchased from the National Institute for Food and Drug Control (Beijing, China). Acetonitrile and methanol (HPLC grade) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Inc. (Taufenkirchen, Germany). Formic acid, acetic acid, and ammonium acetate (MS grade) were purchased from Fluka BioChemika (Buchs, Switzerland). Deionized water was generated from a Milli-Q-system (Millipore, Milford, MA, USA). All other reagents were of analytical grade.

The herb materials of *C. nudiflora* were collected from Wuzhishan, Hainan, China in August 2012 and identified by Prof. Guiping Yuan at Jiangxi Provincial Institute for Drug and Food Control, China.

**Instrumentation and analytical conditions**

Chromatographic separation was performed on an Agilent 1290 Series UHPLC system (Agilent Technologies, USA) equipped with a binary pump (G4220A), an autosampler (G4226A) and a column compartment (G1316C). A Phenomenex® Kinetex C\(_18\) column (50 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 μm, Phenomenex, CA, USA) was employed to separate all analytes and the internal standard. The mobile phase was comprised of acetonitrile (A) and 0.05% formic acid (B) using a linear gradient elution of 10–43% A at 0–7.0 min, 43–95% A at 7.0–7.1 min, 95% A at 7.1–9.0 min, the re-equilibration time was 2.0 min. The flow rate was set at 0.45 mL/min, the column oven temperature (TEM) was maintained at 40°C, the injection volume was 1 μL. A 10 s needle wash with 1:1 (v/v) acetonitrile/water was used to reduce carryover for each sample. The TEM in the sample compartment of the autosampler was set at 4°C.

The API 4000 triple-stage quadrupole mass spectrometer (AB Sciex, USA) equipped with a Turboionspray™ interface was used as the mass spectrometric detection. Analyst® Software (version 1.5.2, Applied Biosystems, CA, USA) was used to control the LC–MS/MS system as well for data acquisition and processing. All analytes, including the IS, were detected using electrospray ionization (ESI) in the negative ionization mode and quantified by multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode. The parameters in the source were set as follows: Curtain gas, 25 psi; ion source gas 1 (GS 1), 60 psi; ion source gas 2 (GS 2), 55 psi; source

![Figure 1: The structures of four active components in Callicarpa nudiflora and an internal standard (at column width)](image-url)
TEM, 500°C; capillary voltage, −4500 V; entrance potential, −10 V; collision cell exit potential, −13 V. The dwell time of each MRM transition was 80 ms. Nitrogen was used in all cases. The precursor-to-product ion pairs, declustering potential (DP), collision energy (CE) and retention time (t<sub>r</sub>) for each analyte are listed in Table 1.

**Preparation of standard solutions, calibration standards and quality control samples**

Stock solutions of all analytes were separately prepared by dissolving accurately weighed amounts in methanol. All stock solutions were mixed and diluted with methanol to prepare a final mixed standard solution containing 10,300 ng/ml of luteoloside, 11,600 ng/ml of dracocephaloside, 8250 ng/ml of juncein and 8750 ng/ml of nudifloside, respectively. This mixed standard solution was then serial diluted in methanol to yield a series of working solutions. Standard stock solution of liquiritin (IS) was prepared at 100 μg/mL in methanol and was successively diluted to result in a final concentration of 250 ng/mL. All solutions were stored at 4°C and kept away from light.

The working solutions were used to spike the blank rat plasma with appropriate volumes, providing finally eight calibration standards containing a mixture of all analytes with the concentrations ranging from 2.06 to 1030 ng/mL for luteoloside, 2.32–1160 ng/mL for dracocephaloside, 1.65–825 ng/mL for juncein and 1.75–875 ng/mL for nudifloside, respectively.

Quality control (QC) plasma samples were prepared containing luteoloside (4.12, 103.00, 824.00 ng/mL), dracocephaloside (4.64, 116.00, 928.00 ng/mL), juncein (3.50, 82.50, 660.00 ng/mL) and nudifloside (3.50, 87.50, 700.00 ng/mL) in the same manner as the calibration standards. The spiked samples were processed according to the procedures for plasma samples as described below.

**Preparation of sample solutions**

All frozen standards and plasma samples were thawed gradually to room TEM and thoroughly vortexed prior to analysis. To a 100 aliquot of rat plasma, 10 μL of the internal standard solution (250 ng/mL of liquiritin), 50 μL of 0.25 mol/L hydrochloric acid and 10 μL of methanol (volume of the corresponding working solution for the calibration curve and the QC samples) was added. After vortexing for 1 min, 300 μL of acetonitrile was added, and the mixture was vortexed for an additional 2 min for protein precipitation. Following centrifugation for 10 min at 13,000 rpm, the whole supernatant was pipetted into a 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tube and evaporated to dryness under a gentle stream of nitrogen gas at 40°C for approximately 10 min. The dried residues were reconstituted in 100 μL of 50% of acetonitrile and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min. Finally, the supernatants (85 μL) were transferred into glass vials prior to injection into the UHPLC-ESI-MS/MS for analysis.

**Preparation of Callicarpa nudiflora extract**

Dried whole plants (1 kg) were extracted three times (2 h/each) with 80% alcohol (10 L/each). The extracting solution was combined and evaporated under reduced pressure to yield C. nudiflora extract (180 g). To calculate the administered dose, the contents of luteoloside, dracocephaloside, juncein and nudifloside in the extract were measured quantitatively to be 1.08 mg/g, 0.92 mg/g, 1.34 mg/g and 1.42 mg/g, respectively, through an external standard method using the same chromatography conditions as described above.

**Method validation of determination**

The validation of this method was performed in accordance with the Food and Drug Administration Bioanalytical Method Validation publication.[17] The specificity of the method was assessed by comparatively analyzing the chromatograms of blank plasma samples of six individual rats, blank plasma spiked with the analytes and IS, and rat plasma after oral administration of the C. nudiflora extract. The calibration curves were constructed by plotting peak area ratios (y) of each analyte to the IS versus nominal concentrations (x) of least square linear regression analysis with a weighting factor of 1/x². The calibration curves were prepared daily prior to sample analysis. The low limits of quantification (LLOQ) was defined as the lowest concentration that can be quantitatively determined with precision not exceed 20% and accuracy within ± 20%. Intra-day precisions and accuracies were tested on the same day of six replicates at three QC levels. Inter-day precisions and accuracies were determined at the same concentration levels, but in 3 consecutive days. The precision was calculated using the relative standard deviation (RSD). RSD = (standard deviation [SD]/calculated concentration) ×100. The accuracy was calculated using the relative error (RE). RE = (calculated concentration − nominal concentration)/

### Table 1: Optimized MRM parameters for four analytes and IS

| Analytes       | Q1 (m/z) | Q3 (m/z) | DP (V) | CE (eV) | t<sub>r</sub> (min) |
|----------------|----------|----------|--------|---------|---------------------|
| Luteoloside    | 447.1    | 285.0    | −80    | −32     | 5.77                |
| Dracocephaloside| 447.1    | 285.0    | −80    | −32     | 6.74                |
| Juncein        | 447.1    | 285.0    | −80    | −32     | 3.64                |
| Nudifloside    | 523.4    | 160.9    | −84    | −48     | 5.70                |
| Liquiritin (IS)| 417.2    | 254.7    | −53    | −35     | 5.83                |

MRM: Multiple reaction monitoring; DP: Declustering potential; CE: Collision energy; t<sub>r</sub>: Retention time; IS: Internal standard
nominal concentration) × 100. The RSD at each QC level is required to be below 15%, and the RE should be within ±15%. The extraction recoveries were determined by calculating the ratios of the peak areas of samples added before extraction against those of samples added after extraction at three QC levels. This procedure was repeated for six replicates. The matrix effect was determined by calculating the ratios of the peak areas at three QC levels dissolved with blank matrix extract against those dissolved with 50% of acetonitrile. The stability of each analyte in plasma samples was studied at three QC levels in three different storage conditions: Three freeze–thaw cycles; 8 h after being prepared at room TEM; long-term stability at −20°C for 14 days. The sample was considered to be stable when the RE was within ±15%.

**Animals**

Sprague-Dawley (SD) male rats, weighing 200 ± 20 g, were purchased from Hunan Silaikejing Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd. Animals were allowed to adapt to the controlled environmental conditions (TEM 22°C ± 2°C; humidity 55% ± 10%; a 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle) with free access to standard laboratory food and water. The study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals of Jiangxi Institute for Drug and Food Control (Nanchang, China) and all animal experiments were performed according to the guidelines of this institution.

**Pharmacokinetic analysis**

Six SD rats were fasted for 12 h and had free access to water before dosing. The *C. nudiflora* extract was dissolved with 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose-Na solution. Six SD rats were given orally with 5 g/kg *C. nudiflora* extract. Blood samples of 0.4 mL were collected in heparinized centrifuge tubes from the eye veins of rats at 5, 10, 20, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 180, 300, 420 min after administration. Meanwhile, plasma samples were immediately centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C, plasma samples were then removed into 1.5-ml tubes and stored at −20°C until analysis.

The PK parameters were determined by the Drug and Statistics (DAS 3.0) software (Bontz Inc., Beijing, China). The PK parameters, such as area under the concentration–time curve from time zero to the last measured concentration (area under the curve [AUC$_{0-t}$]), AUC extrapolated to infinity (AUC$_{0-\infty}$) and terminal elimination half-life ($t_{1/2z}$) were calculated by a noncompartmental analysis method. Peak concentration (C$_{max}$) and the time of C$_{max}$ were measured directly from the concentration–time curve. All results were expressed as the arithmetic mean ± SD.

![Figure 2: Mass spectrometry (MS)/MS spectra of four analytes and their proposed fragmentation pathway (at column width)](image)
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optimization for ultra-high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry parameters

All MS parameters were optimized via direct infusion of the reference standard into the mass spectrometer separately using a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, USA) at a flow rate of 5 μL/min. The parent ions were selected by Q1 scans, and the transition ions were selected by product ion scans. The ionization mode was optimized in both the positive and the negative ion modes, we found the response of all analytes in negative mode were higher than that in positive mode. Therefore, ESI in negative ion mode was selected for the ionization in our research. Then the DP and the CE was optimized to get maximum sensitivity for the [M − H]− ions and to find the maximum response for the MS/MS fragment ion. The product ion scan spectrum of the analytes and IS are shown in Figure 2.

Compared to conventional HPLC, UHPLC we choosed allowed the simultaneous analysis of four analytes and IS with a shorter chromatographic run time, it could also improve both efficiency and resolution. Next, since the efficiency of the ionization process and good chromatographic behavior were highly dependent on the mobile phase composition, different solvent mixtures (methanol–water, acetonitrile–water, acetonitrile–methanol–water) and mobile phase additives were tested. The results showed that acetonitrile–water generated the highest peak response, but all mobile phases mentioned above displayed peak-tailing chromatographic peak shapes. In order to overcome the peak-tailing effect to

Table 2: The regression equations, correlation coefficients, linear ranges and LLOQs for the determination of four analytes in rat plasma

| Analytes    | y=ax+b             | R²   | Linear range (ng/mL) | LLOQ (ng/mL) |
|-------------|---------------------|------|----------------------|--------------|
| Luteoloside | y=1.09×10⁻²x+1.46×10⁻² | 0.9980 | 2.06-1030           | 1.03         |
| Dracocephaloside | y=2.44×10⁻³x+7.25×10⁻¹ | 0.9983 | 2.32-1160           | 1.16         |
| Juncein | y=1.79×10⁻³x+9.65×10⁻⁵ | 0.9985 | 1.65-825            | 0.82         |
| Nudifloside | y=1.54×10⁻³x+3.13×10⁻⁴ | 0.9971 | 1.75-875            | 0.88         |

LLOQ: Low limits of quantification

Table 3: Intra-day and inter-day precisions and accuracies of four analytes in rat plasma (n=6)

| Analytes    | QC (ng/mL) | Measured concentration (mean±SD) | RSD (%) | RE (%) | Measured concentration (mean±SD) | RSD (%) | RE (%) |
|-------------|------------|----------------------------------|---------|--------|----------------------------------|---------|--------|
| Luteoloside | 2.06       | 2.02±0.09                        | 4.5     | -1.9   | 2.00±0.13                       | 6.5     | -2.9   |
|             | 206        | 217.43±3.56                      | 1.6     | 5.6    | 218.70±4.61                     | 2.1     | 6.2    |
|             | 824        | 803.83±12.21                     | 1.5     | -2.5   | 848.47±46.45                    | 5.5     | 3.0    |
| Dracocephaloside | 2.32     | 2.30±0.06                        | 2.6     | -0.9   | 2.40±0.05                       | 2.1     | 3.5    |
|             | 232        | 236.50±4.30                      | 1.8     | 1.9    | 242.42±13.89                    | 5.7     | 4.6    |
|             | 928        | 937.67±14.28                     | 1.5     | 1.0    | 918.53±10.86                    | 1.2     | -1.0   |
| Juncein     | 1.65       | 1.78±0.04                        | 2.3     | 7.9    | 1.58±0.08                       | 5.1     | -4.2   |
|             | 165        | 170.49±2.04                      | 1.2     | 3.3    | 156.17±11.52                    | 7.4     | -5.4   |
|             | 660        | 687.12±9.54                      | 1.4     | 4.1    | 683.79±8.33                     | 1.2     | 3.6    |
|             | 175        | 183.38±6.28                      | 3.4     | 4.8    | 169.16±8.79                     | 5.2     | -3.3   |
|             | 700        | 648.50±12.44                     | 1.9     | -7.4   | 651.3±46.69                     | 7.2     | -7.0   |

SD: Standard deviation; RSD: Relative standard deviation; RE: Relative error

Table 4: Recoveries and matrix effect of four analytes in rat plasma (n=6)

| Analytes    | Spiked concentration (ng/mL) | Extraction recovery (%) | Matrix effect (%) |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
|             | Mean RSD                    | Mean RSD                |
| Luteoloside | 2.06                        | 82.1 4.3               | 102.4 2.7         |
|             | 206                         | 80.8 7.8               | 98.6 3.4          |
|             | 206                         | 82.3 3.9               | 94.6 2.6          |
| Dracocephaloside | 2.32      | 85.4 2.6               | 93.9 5.4          |
|             | 232                         | 84.2 4.7               | 103.8 3.6         |
|             | 928                         | 85.6 4.3               | 96.2 1.5          |
| Juncein     | 1.65                        | 91.6 2.0               | 92.3 4.3          |
|             | 165                         | 80.1 4.9               | 98.3 3.3          |
|             | 660                         | 84.1 5.7               | 102.5 6.9         |
| Nudifloside | 1.75                        | 80.5 3.4               | 94.2 3.7          |
|             | 175                         | 83.8 4.6               | 98.5 6.1          |
|             | 700                         | 88.0 8.2               | 92.9 5.3          |

RSD: Relative standard deviation
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Improve the resolution for analytes and IS, the addition of different electrolytes including formic acid, acetic acid, and ammonium acetate to the water phase was tested. We found the addition of formic acid in an acetonitrile–water gradient could improve the peak shape of all analytes but reduced the MS sensitivity of the most analytes. Eventually, a low (0.05‰) formic acid concentration was found to be a good compromise between MS response and general analyte peak shape. Besides, it was no easy to separate the luteoloside, dracocephaloside and juncein as isomers owning to their similar polarity, therefore, we compared different types of chromatographic columns (Phenomenex® Kinetex C₁₈ column [50 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 μm], Agilent Eclipse XDB-C₁₈ [3.0 mm × 100 mm, 1.8 μm], Waters ACQUITY UPLC® BEH C₁₈ column [50 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 μm]) by gradient elution to produce satisfactory separation for isomers within a shorter analysis time and found Phenomenex® Kinetex C₁₈ column (50 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.7 μm) was most suitable. Other chromatographic conditions, including the flow rate of the mobile phase and column TEM were optimized as well.

Preparation of the plasma samples
Protein precipitation, with the advantages of a faster sample preparation and lower costs, was found to be effective to deproteinization due to the low probability of losses in preparation of the plasma samples. When 300 μL acetonitrile was used as the plasma protein precipitating reagent, the recoveries of four analytes from rat plasma were satisfied. Evaporation of the supernatant and reconstitution of the residues in 50% of acetonitrile caused an increase in baseline noise. Additionally, the acidification of plasma with hydrochloric acid (0.25 mol/L) before protein precipitation could further enhance the response of the four analytes, the reason may be that the acidification could promote the release of the analytes from plasma protein.

Method validation results
Specificity
The chromatograms of blank plasma, spiked plasma, and oral administered rat plasma are shown in Figure 3. No
endogenous interference was observed at the $t_R$s of the analytes and IS, the peaks of the analytes and IS were detected with excellent resolution and exhibited good peak shape under the chromatographic conditions.

**Linearity and low limits of quantification**

The linear ranges, typical regression equations and LLOQs of four analytes are shown in Table 2. The calibration curves for the four analytes were found to be linear with the regression coefficients ($R^2$) all higher than 0.99. The chromatograms of four analytes at LLOQ level are given in Figure 3. The method is sensitive for the quantitative evaluation of four analytes as the precisions and the accuracies at the LLOQ were <15%.

**Precision and accuracy**

Table 3 summarizes the intra‑day and inter‑day precisions and accuracies of four analytes. The intra- and inter-day precisions (RSD) were within 7.4% and the accuracies (RE) ranged from −7.4% to 7.9%, they were all within ± 15% for all the QC levels, which indicates that our method was accurate, reliable, and reproducible.

**Recovery and matrix effect**

The mean extraction recoveries of four analytes at three QC levels were found to be in the range 80.1–91.6% with RSD <8.2%, which indicates that the protein precipitation method was acceptable. The matrix effects ranged from 92.3% to 103.8% with RSD <6.9%, which demonstrates that no significant matrix effect existed on the ionization of four analytes [Table 4].

**Stability**

The results shown in Table 5 demonstrate the good stability of four analytes in plasma samples during three freeze–thaw cycles (RE in the range −7.7–6.3%, RSD <7.3%), at 8 h at room TEM (RE in the range −5.6–7.0%, RSD <7.0%) and at a TEM of −20°C for 14 days (RE in the range −4.7–6.1%, RSD <8.4%). The method is proved to be applicable for routine analysis.

**Pharmacokinetic study**

This validated UHPLC‑MS/MS method was sensitively applied to the PK studies of luteoloside, dracocephaloside, juncein and nudifloside in rat plasma after oral administration of the C. nudiflora extract. Figure 4 depicts the mean plasma concentration–time profiles of the four analytes in rats. Their main PK parameters were summarized in Table 6. It was observed four analytes exhibited quick absorption with $C_{max}$ occurring at around 25 min and were eliminated rapidly thereafter, these behaviors may be attributed to the relatively high polar of the compounds. Three flavonoid glycosides (luteoloside, dracocephaloside and juncein) exhibited consistent plasma concentration–time and PK
Table 5: Stability of four analytes in rat plasma at different storage conditions (n=6)

| Analytes       | QC (ng/mL) | Three freeze-thaw cycles (%) | Room temperature for 8 h (%) | Storage at-20°C to 14 days (%) |
|----------------|------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Luteoloside    | 2.06       | 3.4                          | −1.9                        | −2.9                          |
|                | 206        | −6.0                         | −3.1                        | 3.6                           |
|                | 824        | −2.8                         | −2.3                        | 6.0                           |
| Dracocephaloside| 2.32       | 2.6                          | 2.6                         | 6.3                           |
|                | 232        | −5.2                         | 2.1                         | −2.2                          |
|                | 928        | 1.1                          | 1.9                         | 2.9                           |
| Juncein        | 1.65       | 5.5                          | 6.7                         | 3.0                           |
|                | 165        | −7.7                         | −5.6                        | −4.7                          |
|                | 660        | 5.6                          | −2.7                        | −3.2                          |
| Nudifloside    | 1.75       | 6.3                          | 3.4                         | 6.1                           |
|                | 175        | 3.0                          | 7.0                         | 4.8                           |
|                | 700        | 1.7                          | 2.8                         | 2.1                           |

RSD: Relative standard deviation; RE: Relative error; QC: Quality control

Table 6: Pharmacokinetic parameters of the four analytes after the oral administration of Callicarpa nudiflora extract (n=6)

| Analytes       | AUC_{0→t} (ng/mL min) | AUC_{0→∞} (ng/mL* min) | C_{max} (ng/mL) | t_{1/2z} (min) | T_{max} (min) |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Luteoloside    | 3134.65±413.45        | 3134.68±413.46          | 67.55±5.67      | 25.39±9.05     | 26.67±5.16    |
| Dracocephaloside| 2944.69±571.63        | 2944.72±571.64          | 59.55±7.24      | 26.04±2.02     | 30.00±0.00    |
| Juncein        | 2801.90±304.44        | 2801.94±304.44          | 52.10±3.07      | 26.49±0.74     | 23.33±5.16    |
| Nudifloside    | 987.50±232.30         | 1002.13±266.55          | 17.16±3.36      | 42.58±39.55    | 30.00±0.00    |

AUC_{0→t}: Area under the concentration-time curve from time zero to the last measured concentration; AUC_{0→∞}: Area under the curve extrapolated to infinity; t_{1/2z}: Terminal elimination half-life; C_{max}: Peak concentration; T_{max}: Time of C_{max}

parameters in vivo as isomers; the trend in concentration–time profiles of luteoloside was basically consistent with the literature report. Although the administered doses of nudifloside were higher than those of the flavonoid glycosides, the AUC_{0→t} of nudifloside was lower than those of the flavonoid glycosides, which demonstrates that the bioavailability of nudifloside was lower than that of the flavonoid glycosides.

CONCLUSIONS

A simple and sensitive UHPLC–MS/MS method was firstly developed and validated for the simultaneous determination of luteoloside, dracocephaloside, juncein and nudifloside in rat plasma. This method has been successfully applied in a PK study of four compounds after oral administration of the C. nudiflora extract. The validated method and the PK parameters in this paper would be helpful to provide certain references to clinical application of C. nudiflora.

REFERENCES

1. Editorial Committee of Flora of China. Flora of China. Vol. 65. Beijing: Science Press; 1982. p. 37.
2. National Committee of Pharmacopoeia. Pharmacopoeia of the People’s Republic of China. Vol. 1. Beijing: People’s Heath Publishing House; 1977. p. 622.
3. Chen Y, Yang G. Study on the anti-inflammatory and immunologic effects of Callicarpa nudiflora Hook Et Arn. Guangdong Trace Elements Sci 2006;8:39-41.
4. Fu J, Kuang SY, Wang SX. Study on the effects of Callicarpa nudiflora tablets in the antibiotics and antiglucoses and the hemostasis. Nat Sci J Hainan Univ 2002;2:154-7.
5. Zhou Q, Gu Z, Liu C, Huang S, Zhong Z, Jin Y, et al. Research on the synergistic antibacterial effect of association of Callicarpa nudiflora and Vancomycin Hydrochloride towards Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Chin J Tradit Chin Med Pharm 2013;10:3075-8.
6. Xie B, Li L, Cai SD, Cai FC, Liu DM. The cytological mechanism of the Chinese medicinal herb Callicapa nudiflora. Acad J Guangdong Coll Pharm 1995;3:141-5.
7. Wang ZN, Han Z, Cui HB, Dai HF. Chemical constituents from Callicarpa nudiflora. J Trop Subtrop Bot 2007;4:359-62.
8. Zhou Z, Wei X, Fu H, Luo Y. Chemical constituents of Callicarpa nudiflora and their anti-platelet aggregation activity. Fitoterapia 2013;88:91-5.
9. Wang ZP, Fan H, Yang K, Luo Y, Zhang MY, Wang YF. GC-MS analysis of essential oils in Callicarpa nudiflora. Lishizhen Med Pharm 2013;10:1640-1.
10. Liang JJ, Xu K, Li LF, Wang ZY, Mo FK. Study of total flavonoids of Callicarpa nudiflora on anti-inflammatory and hemostasis effects. Mod J Integr Tradit West Med 2009;26:3161-2.
11. Fan SH, Wang YY, Lu J, Zheng YL, Wu DM, Li MQ, et al. Luteoloside suppresses proliferation and metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma cells by inhibition of NLRP3 inflammasome. PLoS One 2014;9:e89961.
12. Kumarasamy Y, Nahar L, Byres M, Delazar A, Sarker SD. The assessment of biological activities associated with the major
Shao, et al.: Determination in plasma and pharmacokinetic study

constituents of the methanol extract of ‘wild carrot’ (Daucus carota L) seeds. J Herb Pharmacother 2005;5:61-72.

13. Xiong J, Li S, Wang W, Hong Y, Tang K, Luo Q. Screening and identification of the antibacterial bioactive compounds from Lonicera japonica Thunb. leaves. Food Chem 2013;138:327-33.

14. Mei WL, Han Z, Cui HB, Zhao YX, Deng YY, Dai HF. A new cytotoxic iridoid from Callicarpa nudiflora. Nat Prod Res 2010;24:899-904.

15. Yin L, Shi M, Sun Y, Sun X, Meng H, Fawcett JP, et al. A liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometric method for the simultaneous quantitation of five components of Ixeris sonchifolia (Bge.) Hance in rat plasma and its application to a pharmacokinetic study. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 2013;931:12-6.

16. Yin R, Han F, Tang Z, Liu R, Zhao X, Chen X, et al. UFLC-MS/MS method for simultaneous determination of luteolin-7-O-gentiobioside, luteolin-7-O-ß-D-glucoside and luteolin-7-O-ß-D-glucuronide in beagle dog plasma and its application to a pharmacokinetic study after administration of traditional Chinese medicinal preparation: Kudiezi injection. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2013;72:127-33.

17. US Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Guidance for Industry: Bioanalytical Method Validation; 2001. Available from: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/Guidance/Compliance/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM070107.pdf. [Last accessed on 2014 Apr 14].

Cite this article as: Shao J, Ma S, Zheng D, Chen W, Luo Y. Simultaneous determination of four active components in rat plasma by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry and its application to a pharmacokinetic study after oral administration of Callicarpa nudiflora extract. Phcog Mag 2015;11:509-17.

Source of Support: Our work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (NSFC, Grant No. 81373955) and the Youth Development Research Foundation (NIFDC, Grant No. 2013WA9). Conflict of Interest: None declared.