Global Analysis of Genes Regulated by Low Temperature and Photoperiod in Peach Bark
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ABSTRACT. In response to environmental cues plants undergo changes in gene expression that result in the up- or down-regulation of specific genes. To identify genes in peach [Prunus persica (L.) Batsch.] whose transcript levels are specifically affected by low temperature (LT) or short day photoperiod (SD), we have created suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) libraries from bark tissues sampled from trees kept at 5 °C and 25 °C under short day (SD) photoperiod or exposed to a night break (NB) interruption during the dark period of the SD cycle to simulate a long day (LD) photoperiod. Sequences expressed in forward and reverse subtractions using various subtracted combinations of temperature and photoperiod treatments were cloned, sequenced, and identified by BLAST and ClustalW analysis. Low temperature treatment resulted in the up-regulation of a number of cold-responsive and stress-related genes and suppression of genes involved in “housekeeping” functions (e.g., cell division and photosynthesis). Some stress-related genes not observed to be up-regulated under LT were increased in response to SD photoperiod treatments. Comparison of the patterns of expression as a consequence of different temperature and photoperiod treatments allowed us to determine the qualitative contribution of each treatment to the regulation of specific genes.

Organisms respond to environmental stress by exhibiting distinct changes in gene expression that confer varying levels of stress tolerance. The ability of plants to cold acclimate and develop freezing tolerance is especially critical. Early studies in Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. identified several cold-regulated (COR) genes that were also responsive to drought (Baker et al., 1994; Shinozaki et al., 2003; Shinozaki and Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, 2000, Thomashow, 1998; Xin and Browse, 2000). It is recognized that genes associated with response to cold may overlap with drought-responsive genes because freezing stress is largely a dehydrative stress (Vertucci and Stushnoff, 1992).

More recent studies examining global gene regulation in response to LT have identified a number of genes that are cold-regulated. For example, a recent microarray analysis of gene expression in A. thaliana identified some 306 genes responding to LT (4 °C), including 218 that were up-regulated at least 3-fold by cold treatment (Fowler and Thomashow, 2002). In another study, serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) in cold-treated leaves of A. thaliana indicated that 190 genes were up-regulated in response to LT, whereas 82 were repressed (Jung et al., 2003). There is considerable overlap in the genes identified as up- and down-regulated in the two studies thus providing independent confirmation regarding sets of genes responding to LT.

Some global studies of stress responses have included multiple abiotic stresses or hormone treatments for comparison with cold exposure. For example, a total of 53 genes that were induced at least 5-fold at 4 °C were identified from a microarray of ≈7000 independent cDNAs (Seki et al., 2002) in A. thaliana; however, 22 of these genes were also expressed in response to drought and high salt. Likewise, in rice (Oryza sativa L.), an array of ≈1700 cDNAs identified nine genes as being uniquely up-regulated at 4 °C, compared to 27 genes that were induced by cold, drought, abscisic acid, or high salt (Rabbani et al., 2003). In another microarray approach, organ-specific gene expression was determined in A. thaliana roots and shoots in response to LT, mannitol, and salt stress (Kreps et al., 2002). Of ≈8100 genes screened, only 42 were found to be unique to LT treatment in both roots and shoots at either of two sampling times. In contrast, 118 genes responded to all three stresses.

A number of woody plant studies have been conducted to identify genes associated with cold acclimation and/or tolerance. Identification of genes associated with freezing tolerance using molecular mapping techniques has been attempted in blueberry (Vaccinium darrowi Camp x V. caesariense MacKenzie) (Arora et al., 2000), peach (Bliss et al., 2002), and Poncirus trifoliata (L.) Raf. (Cai et al., 1994). Seasonally expressed or cold-induced genes have been described in pubescent birch (Betula pubescens Ehrh.) (Rinne et al., 1998, 1999; Welling et al., 1997), blueberry (Muthalif and Rowland, 1994), sweet chestnut (Castanea sativa Mill.) (Lopez-Matas et al., 2004), P. trifoliata (Cai et al., 1995), red-osier dogwood (Cornus sericea L.) (Sarnighausen et al., 2002), mulberry (Morus bombycis Koidz.) (Ukaji et al., 1999, 2001), peach (Arora and Wisniewski, 1994; Artlip et al., 1997),
several poplar species [Populus tremula (Dode) C.K.Schneid., P. tremula x P. tremuloides Michx., P. trichocarpa Torr. & A. Gray] (Sterky et al., 2004), and rhododendron (Rhododendron catawbiense Michx.) (Marian et al., 2004; Wei et al., 2005). In many of these studies the genes up-regulated by LT were related to LEA- (late embryogenesis abundant) type proteins or small heat shock proteins.

A variety of other gene products have also been associated with cold tolerance in both herbaceous and woody plants. For example, enzymes associated with the synthesis of compatible solutes have been shown to be more active and/or more abundant in response to LT (Kaplan and Guy, 2004; Schrader and Sauter, 2002). Likewise, accumulation of sugars and oligosaccharides corresponds with the development of freezing tolerance (Stushnoff et al., 1998).

Changes in the abundance or identity of membrane-integral proteins and membrane-associated lipids are also often associated with cold responses (Kawamura and Uemura, 2003).

It has long been recognized that the development of cold acclimation in woody plants involves several stages (Fuchigami et al., 1970; Weiser, 1970). The first step reflects the tree’s response to increasingly shorter days (SD photoperiods) resulting in the cessation of shoot growth and the onset of dormancy. This step is likely regulated primarily by phytochrome A ( Howe et al., 1998; Olsen and Junttila, 2002; Welling et al., 2002). Later steps relate to changes in gene expression discussed above which result in proteins or substances that directly or indirectly protect the plant from subsequent LT extremes. This stage is achieved independently of SD acclimation, relying almost exclusively on LT induction (Welling et al., 2002). However, maximum cold hardness generally results from a combination of both SD and LT exposure.

Induction of LT-responsive genes by light or SD exposure has been examined in several plants. Analysis of cor15a expression in A. thaliana indicated that light had little or no effect on mRNA abundance compared to LT (Kim et al., 2002). In barley (Hordeum vulgare L.), an acidic SK-like [S = having a serine tract, K = having one or more conserved lysine-rich motifs (Close, 1996)] dehydrin responded to SD at 4 °C, but not LD at the same temperature (Fowler et al., 2001). In contrast, an acidic, SK-type dehydrin from pubescent birch responded primarily to LT with little change under SD or LD photoperiods, although a YK-type [Y = conserved DEYGMP motif (Close, 1996)] dehydrin responded optimally to SD, followed by LT treatment (Welling et al., 2004). A dehydrin-like, 24-kD protein from red-osier dogwood increased after trees were subjected to 8 weeks of SD treatment; however, accumulation of the dehydrin-like protein was preceded by a reduction in stem water content, making it unclear whether or not induction of the 24-kD protein was due to SD or water deficit or both (Karlson et al., 2003).

Seasonal analysis of stress gene expression in peach has revealed that a Y,K,S-type dehydrin (PpDhn1) and a defensin (PpDfn1) begin to accumulate in the fall, reaching maximum levels in November-December (Artlip et al., 1997; Wisniewski et al., 2003). Since accumulation of these mRNAs and polypeptides in the field parallels the transition to SD photoperiods, it has been nearly impossible to ascertain the relative contributions of photoperiod and LT to the induction of these genes. In the absence of oligoarray chips for temperate fruit crops and desiring to represent the whole mRNA population, including low abundant transcripts, we chose to use an improved SSH protocol (Diatchenko et al., 1996) coupled with growth of plant material under rigidly controlled conditions of light and temperature to begin addressing this question in peach trees. In this process sequences expressed by two populations of cDNA are subtracted, leaving behind an enriched population of differentially expressed cDNA sequences. This type of approach has not been reported for temperate tree fruit crops. Here we describe a series of experiments using SSH to examine the predominant signal regulating peach bark gene expression under different combinations of temperature (5 °C vs. 25 °C) and photoperiod (SD vs. LD). The experiments were likewise designed to separate the photoperiod effects from the effects of temperature.

Materials and Methods

Tree growth and experimental designs. Sixty 1-year-old, dormant peach seedlings of ‘Canadian Harmony’ on ‘Tennessee Natural’ rootstock (Adam’s County Nursery, Aspers, Pa.) were planted in 10-L pots with equal volumes of MetroMix 360 and supplemented with 30 g per pot Osmocote 17N–2.6P–8.3K fertilizer (Scotts, Marysville, Ohio) containing Mg (1%), S (2.3%) and trace elements (B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, and Zn, <0.5%). Fertilizer release rates average ≈23% per month. In Spring 2002, the trees were grown in a glasshouse under ambient conditions. Trees were watered daily, and re-fertilized after 2 months. At the conclusion of the various treatments, bark and leaves were harvested, frozen in liquid N2, and stored at −80 °C. Several trees were harvested as time zero controls for the various treatments at the same time duplicate trees were placed in the growth chamber.

Photoperiod and temperature experiments were conducted as follows. Fourteen trees were placed within a growth chamber (CMP4030; Conviron, Winnipeg, Man., Canada) at either 5 or 25 °C, with 8 h light/16 h dark cycles. Photosynthetic photon flux density was ≈500 μmol-m⁻²-s⁻¹. The trees were divided into two groups, and placed within two boxes that were constructed within the chamber. The two boxes were open at the bottom and top to allow proper air flow, but the sides were enclosed. A long-day photoperiod was simulated by introducing a 15-min night break (four 150-W incandescent bulbs) into one of the boxes within the growth chamber at ≈8 h into the dark cycle (Zhu and Coleman, 2001). Light impermeable coverings were placed over both boxes preventing light from the night break disrupting the short-day entrainment of the trees in the other box. Trees were subjected to these photoperiods and temperatures for 5 weeks (Table 1). Due to limited chamber space and experimental demands, the temperature treatments were separated by 8 weeks. Control trees for each temperature regimen were harvested from the pool of glasshouse trees at the respective zero time points (Table 1, T=0: cDNAs 5 and 6). To assess the efficacy of the photoperiod treatments, shoot growth was monitored by measuring branch length and bud set on the trees exposed to different temperatures and photoperiods (data not shown).

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis. Peach bark tissues were prepared and RNA was extracted as per Artlip et al. (1997). cDNA synthesis was performed using the Super SMART system (Clontech, Palo Alto, Calif.) according to the manufacturer’s directions. Seven-hundred nanograms total RNA were used for cDNA synthesis and the reaction products were separated in 2% agarose gels and visualized with SYBRGold (Molecular Probes, Eugene, Ore.) in a STORM 860 fluorescence image analyzer (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, N.J.). cDNAs from the various treatments are summarized in Table 1.

cDNA subtractive hybridization and suppression PCR. Subtractions of various treatment combinations are summarized...
in Table 2. Amplification of cDNAs and suppression subtractive hybridization (Diatchenko et al., 1996) followed procedures outlined in the manufacturer’s protocol (Clontech). Briefly, the cDNAs amplified by the Super SMART cDNA protocol were digested with Rsal, and a portion (the tester cDNA) of each cDNA was ligated separately to two different adaptors provided by the manufacturer. The remaining unligated cDNA served as driver in subsequent reactions. Two rounds of hybridization were performed, one with each tester + driver for each ligated adaptor separately, followed by a second hybridization after mixing the two hybridized samples together and adding more freshly denatured driver.

**CLONING AND ANALYSIS OF PCR PRODUCTS.** Two rounds of PCR following the manufacturer’s protocol were performed at the conclusion of the last hybridization, a primary and secondary (nested primers) reaction. Products from the secondary (and sometimes from the primary) reactions were TOPO-cloned into pCR2.1 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif.) following the manufacturer’s protocol. White colonies were isolated from selective media and analyzed by colony PCR using M13 forward and reverse primers to estimate insert sizes in the recombinant plasmids. Recombinant plasmids with inserts >200 bp were isolated with the QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif.). These DNAs were sequenced in both directions using nested PCR primer 1 (5’-TCGAGCGGCCCAGGCGACCTTG-3’) and nested PCR primer 2R (5’-AGCGTGGTCGCGGCCAGGT-3’) supplied by Clontech or using M13 forward and reverse primers. Sequencing was done by Macrogen (Seoul, South Korea) or by the Nucleic Acid Facility at the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service Eastern Regional Research Center (Wyndmoor, Pa.).

Vector, adaptor and primer sequences were initially trimmed by Chromas (Technelysium, Tewantin, Queensland, Australia) or by visual inspection, and finally checked by BLAST (Altschul et al., 1997) analysis in VecScreen (National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, Md.). Trimmed sequences were all BLASTed against the nr (nonredundant) protein database using BLASTx default parameters, and each match alignment was inspected visually if the lowest E value were above 0.001 or if the highest S score (bit score) were below 200. Sequences without similarity to entries in the protein database were BLASTed against the translated db (tBLASTx), re-examined at the nucleotide level using BLASTn or BLASTed against the Genome Database for Rosaceae [GDR (Clemson Univ., Clemson, S.C.)]. Select sequences or their conceptual translations were further characterized by ClustalW, PROSITE, PYMOOD (Allometra, Davis, Calif.), or at the Baylor College of Medicine (BCM) Search Launcher (Sequence Utilities) (Smith et al., 1996).

**CONFIRMATION OF SUPPRESSION SUBTRACTIONAL HYBRIDIZATION RESULTS.** A portion of the cDNAs synthesized as described above were set aside for subtraction confirmation after PCR-select amplification and before Rsal digestion. These cDNAs were used as templates in touchdown PCR reactions. The primer pairs for each gene analyzed are shown in Table 3. Primers and different dilutions of template cDNA were added to the PCR mix [Advantage 2 (Clontech) or HotStart (Qiagen)] according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Cycling parameters for touchdown PCR were: 1 min at 94 °C, followed by 20 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at Tm+10 °C*, 1 min at 72 °C and 15 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at the Tm, 1 min at 72 °C. The asterisk indicates that the annealing temperature was decreased by 0.5 °C each cycle to a final annealing temperature equal to the manufacturer’s highest predicted Tm of each primer pair (Qiagen). Ten microliters (one-half the reaction volume/lane) were loaded into the wells of a 1% to 3% agarose gel and electrophoresed as previously described. Bands were visualized as before. Products from template dilutions indicating that the reactions were still in the linear phase were chosen for quantitation with digital image software [ImageQuant (GE Healthcare) or Gel-Pro Analyzer (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, Md.)].

**Results**

**GLOBAL ANALYSIS.** cDNA libraries were constructed from bark tissues of peach trees subjected to 25 °C or 5 °C and either SD (8 h light/16 h dark) photoperiods or a NB interruption to simulate a long day photoperiod (Zhu and Coleman, 2001) (Table 1). These libraries were utilized in different combinations of SSH to identify up- and down-regulated genes responding to the temperature and photoperiod treatments (Table 2). A control subtraction between cDNAs 5 and 6 revealed only three genes that reflected any “age” differences (8 weeks) between the 25 °C and 5 °C experiments (data not shown). These genes were subsequently removed from

### Table 1. List of peach tree treatments and sampling times for RNA extraction from bark and subsequent cDNA synthesis.

| Sample time | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Age difference at sampling | 13 weeks | 13 weeks | 5 weeks | 5 weeks | 8 weeks | 0 |
| Photoperiod | SD* | NB* | SD | NB | LD* | LD* |
| Temperature | 5 °C | 5 °C | 25 °C | 25 °C | 25 °C | 25 °C |
| Sampling time | 5 weeks | 5 weeks | 5 weeks | 5 weeks | T = 0 | T = 0 |

* T=0: Time 0 control for 5 °C growth chamber experiment; greenhouse temperature was ≈25 °C.
* T=0: Time 0 control for 25 °C growth chamber experiment; greenhouse temperature was ≈25 °C.
* Age difference reflects the length of time between the beginning of the first (25 °C) experiment when the T=0 control was sampled and the sampling dates at the end of the first experiment (5 weeks), the beginning of the second (5 °C) experiment (T=0), and sampling at the end of the second experiment 5 weeks later [a total of 13 weeks (5 + 8) from the 25 °C T=0 sample].
* SD = short day, LD = long day (greenhouse), NB = night break.
* Trees were grown and kept in the greenhouse under natural light.
* Sample times are measured from the trees were moved to the growth chamber.
Table 3. List of peach primers used to assess expression of select genes by reverse transcription PCR.

| Select gene       | Forward primer | Reverse primer |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| T-Cz tumor protein| 5´-TCTCCTCTCATACTCCATAGTAATCT-3´ | 5´-AATCCTCCCCACCACCTTCAG-3´ |
| Ppdhn3            | 5´-TGGGCGCTCACTACCTCCTTTG-3´ | 5´-TGGGCGCTCACTACCTCCTTTG-3´ |
| Class IV chitinase| 5´-ATGCACTTACATCTACCTCCTTTG-3´ | 5´-AGTAAACACACTGAAACACACAA-3´ |
| PC-like protein-like gene | 5´-GCACTTACATCTACCTCCTTTG-3´ | 5´-AGTAAACACACTGAAACACACAA-3´ |
| LEA-like gene     | 5´-GCCGCGCTCACTACCTCCTTTT-3´ | 5´-AGTAAACACACTGAAACACACAA-3´ |
| Class II chitinase| 5´-GCACTTACATCTACCTCCTTTG-3´ | 5´-AGTAAACACACTGAAACACACAA-3´ |
| Class II chitinase| 5´-GCACTTACATCTACCTCCTTTG-3´ | 5´-AGTAAACACACTGAAACACACAA-3´ |

1 T-C = translationally controlled tumor protein.
2 Pc = pollen coat.
3 LEA = late embryogenesis abundant.
4 LTP = nonspecific lipid transfer protein gene isolated from the B Forward (SD down-regulated) library.

consideration in the analyses of subtraction combinations. Figure 1 illustrates typical results from several subtracted combinations. The banding patterns within a subtraction (e.g., AF vs. AR) are very different, as are the patterns between subtractions (cf. AF and BF). These bands were individually cloned and sequenced, resulting in the identification of a total of some 180 individual genes represented in 291 clones. Confirmation of the efficacy of subtracted hybridization was obtained by PCR analysis of cDNAs prior to subtraction using primer pairs for select genes, as illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3 and in Table 4.

Table 2. Summary of subtraction combinations used with cDNAs synthesized from the RNAs sampled from peach trees treated with various combinations of temperature and photoperiod.

| Tester cDNA | Driver cDNA | Designation | Putative difference |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| cDNA 5      | cDNA 2      | A Forward (AF) | 25 °C vs 5 °C + [LD/NB] |
| 25 °C GH control | NB at 5 °C | | |
| cDNA 2      | cDNA 5      | A Reverse (AR) | |
| NB at 5 °C | 25 °C GH control | | |
| cDNA 6      | cDNA 3      | B Forward (BF) | LD vs SD |
| 25 °C GH control | SD at 25 °C | | |
| cDNA 3      | cDNA 6      | B Reverse (BR) | |
| SD at 25 °C | 25 °C GH control | | |
| cDNA 2      | cDNA 1      | C Forward (CF) | NB vs SD |
| NB at 5 °C | SD at 5 °C | | |
| cDNA 1      | cDNA 2      | C Reverse (CR) | |
| SD at 5 °C | NB at 5 °C | | |
| cDNA A1     | cDNA 3      | E Forward (EF) | 5 °C vs 25 °C |
| SD at 5 °C | SD at 25 °C | | |
| cDNA 3      | cDNA A1     | E Reverse (ER) | |
| SD at 25 °C | SD at 5 °C | | |
| cDNA 5      | cDNA 6      | L Forward (LF) | Age |
| 25 °C GH control | 25 °C GH control | | |
| cDNA 6      | cDNA 5      | L Reverse (LR) | |
| 25 °C GH control | 25 °C GH control | | |

1 GH = greenhouse, NB = night break, LD = long day, SD = short day.
2 LD vs. SD: the daylength (i.e., actual length of the light period) in this treatment group varied. Plants in the greenhouse (GH) under natural light (Mar. to Aug. 2002) experienced long days [LD (≈ 16 h light/8 h dark)]. Plants under short days [SD (8 h light/16 h dark)] were kept in a light and temperature-controlled growth chamber for the duration of the experiment.
3 NB vs. SD: the length of the light period was approximately same in this treatment group, although interruption of the dark period with 15 min light (NB) has the same photomorphogenic effect as a long day.
4 Genes differentially expressed due to age differences were identified in forward and reverse subtractions between cDNAs 5 and 6 (not shown). These genes were removed from consideration when they appeared in the other subtractions.
that differed by photoperiod (B and C). As indicated in Fig. 4, there are several LT up-regulated genes that overlap between the A and E subtractions, but only one gene down-regulated in common. Likewise, there are also overlapping genes up- and down-regulated by SD treatments (B and C). Interestingly, there were only 10 genes regulated by both SD and LT. The majority of genes isolated were unique to a given treatment [supplemental Tables 1–8 (see footnote “3” on p. 1)].

**ANALYSIS OF SELECT GENES.** More detailed analyses of genes predominantly regulated by LT or SD are shown in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. A disproportionately large number of defense-related genes are increased in response to LT and SD, although β-1,3-glucanase was the only gene observed to be up-regulated by both LT and SD (Fig. 4). Likewise, most of the LT or SD down-regulated genes appear to be associated with housekeeping functions, such as general metabolism and cell division. More genes related to fatty acid and energy metabolism responded to SD than to LT treatment.

Four genes not previously identified in any study as being responsive to LT were shown to be up-regulated at 5 °C. These included a transducin-like protein, which may be related to G-coupled signaling, a putative mRNA binding protein, and a TAR1-like protein of unknown function first described in yeast (Coelho et al., 2002). The fourth novel gene up-regulated by LT is a peach dehydrin, *PpDhn3*. This gene encodes an SK₃-type polypeptide with at least one degenerate D-like segment and is most closely related to dehydrins from poplar and silver birch (Fig. 5) rather than to *PPDHN2*, a structurally similar Y₂SK₃-type peach dehydrin. Surprisingly, the conceptually translated product, *PPDHN3*, is predicted to contain four cysteines. Furthermore, *PPDHN3* is also significantly different from *PPDHN1* (Y₂K₉) in size, as well as sequence (not shown). A partial clone of *PpDhn1* was isolated from the EF (cold responsive) subtracted library, whereas *PpDhn3* was found in the cold responsive AR subtracted library.

The TAR1-like sequence (EF49-02) also from the cold responsive EF subtracted library was a short, but good quality match to the yeast TAR1 (transcript antisense to ribosomal RNA) protein (tar1p). However, longer matches were obtained with sequences from a rice chromosomal library and a variety of dicot ESTs, including several peach sequences (Fig. 6). Two translation frames for EF49-02 and the plant sequences were equally good; however, only one frame matched any known sequences (i.e., tar1p).

The LT-responsive transducin-like protein had strong similarity to two transducin-like *A. thaliana* proteins (data not shown), but only weak similarity to the WD-40 repeat motif associated with such proteins. In addition, this clone represented only about one-third of the carboxy terminal end, and, as a result, any potential upstream consensus WD-40 repeats were not visible. It is not clear whether these proteins function like classical transducins or like other WD-40 repeat proteins, which function in a variety of roles where protein-protein interactions are important.

---

**Fig. 1.** PCR products from peach subtracted cDNA combinations representing sequences up- or down-regulated by low temperature (5 °C) or short day photoperiods (8 h light/16 h dark). cDNAs subtracted and amplified as described in Materials and Methods were separated in 2% agarose gels and visualized by SYBR Gold staining. Molecular mass markers (M) are indicated in base pairs (bp) to the left of the gel (kbp = kilobase pairs). AF = forward subtraction of cDNAs 5 (tester) and 2 (driver), AR = reverse subtraction of cDNAs 2 (tester) and 5 (driver), BF = forward subtraction of cDNAs 6 (tester) and 3 (driver), BR = reverse subtraction of cDNAs 3 (tester) and 6 (driver), CF = forward subtraction of cDNAs 2 (tester) and 1 (driver), CR = reverse subtraction of cDNAs 1 (tester) and 2 (driver).

**Fig. 2.** Semi-quantitative reverse transcription PCR confirmation of subtractions A and E using RNA from the bark of peach trees exposed to 5 °C or 25 °C to synthesize cDNA (Table 1). PCR products were generated from aliquots of template cDNAs 1, 2, 3 and 5 removed prior to subtraction as detailed in Materials and Methods. Specific primers for the following genes were used with optimal dilutions of each appropriate template cDNA: pollen coat protein-like gene (from the EF subtraction representing LT up-regulation), peach dehydrin 3 (from the AR subtraction representing LT up-regulation), class IV chitinase (from the AR subtraction) and the translationally controlled (T-C) tumor protein (from the AF subtraction representing LT down-regulation). The products were separated in agarose gels and visualized as described in the legend to Fig. 1. Molecular mass markers (M) are indicated in base pairs to the left and right of the gels.
Previous studies have identified several genes associated with RNA regulation and metabolism that respond to LT treatment (Gong et al., 2002; Karlson et al., 2002). One of the clones isolated from the EF cold up-regulated subtracted library had strong similarity to a putative RNA binding protein from fava bean (*Vicia faba* L.) (Fig. 7). Although the fava bean gene was originally designated as a possible transcription factor, it appears to be homologous to a novel class of RNA binding genes (Landsberger et al., 2002).

Studies in *A. thaliana* analyzing global regulation of genes in response to LT have identified a number of genes showing differential expression. In these studies, as in the present one, the majority of genes up-regulated in LT were previously identified as cold responsive, although some were also associated with responses to other abiotic or biotic stresses (Fowler and Thomashow, 2002; Jung et al., 2003; Kreps et al., 2002; Seki et al., 2001, 2002). Among those genes responding primarily to cold treatment in two or more studies were *Xero2* (a homologue of *PpDhn1*), several LEA/Cor/ERD genes, β-amylase, and several light-inducible proteins. Although some of the studies identified a cold-responsive type II dehydrin (Fowler and Thomashow, 2002; Jung et al., 2003), none of the studies found *Xero1* to be LT regulated within the limitations of the experimental design and/or methodology. In the present study we identified a cold-responsive type II dehydrin (*PpDhn3*) and *TAR1*, which was LT-responsive. We also did not find the peach *Xero1* homologue (*PpDhn2*) in any of the subtracted cDNA combinations, consistent with the observation that it is primarily regulated by water deficit stress (Wisniewski et al., 2006).

Based on data reported from previous *A. thaliana* studies, several conclusions can be drawn: 1) a number of genes induced by LT are also induced by other stresses (see also Rabbani et al., 2003), 2) only a few genes are uniquely responsive to cold treatment, and 3) in general, more genes appear to be repressed, than induced by LT treatment (see also Wei et al., 2005). Our results likewise support these observations using a different methodology to obtain genes differentially regulated by LT. In addition we discovered two genes, *PpDhn3* and *TAR1*, not previously reported that are up-regulated primarily by LT compared to photoperiod.

We also found two previously identified genes whose expression had not been linked to any specific biological process. The trans-

---

**Table 4.** Changes (fold difference) in expression of randomly selected peach genes in response to low temperature or short day treatments to confirm subtractions.

| Gene                        | Change (increase or decrease) | Fold differencea |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| *PpDhn3*                    | >                            | 2.5              |
| PC protein-like gene        | >                            | 5.9              |
| Class IV chitinase          | >                            | 266.0            |
| Lipid transfer protein      | <                            | –7.1             |
| LEA-like protein            | <                            | –2.2             |
| 14-3-3 protein              | <                            | –2.0             |
| T-C tumor protein           | <                            | –1.1             |
| Class II chitinase          | >                            | 1.4              |

aTen-fold dilutions of the various cDNA templates were used to determine optimal PCR conditions for quantitation, as described in Materials and Methods. Bands of PCR products from reactions in the linear phase of the amplification curve were quantified with digital imaging analysis software to compute fold change in expression.

bSD = short day (8 h light/16 h dark).

cPC = pollen coat.

dLEA = late embryogenesis abundant.

t-C = translationally controlled.

Previous studies have identified several genes associated with RNA regulation and metabolism that respond to LT treatment (Gong et al., 2002; Karlson et al., 2002). One of the clones isolated from the EF cold up-regulated subtracted library had strong similarity to a putative RNA binding protein from fava bean (*Vicia faba* L.) (Fig. 7). Although the fava bean gene was originally designated as a possible transcription factor, it appears to be homologous to a novel class of RNA binding genes (Landsberger et al., 2002).
Table 5. List of peach bark sequences regulated predominantly by cold treatment.

| Function                     | Gene description                     | Process                                      | Regulation |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------|
| **GENERAL METABOLISM**       |                                      |                                              |            |
| Acetohydroxy acid isomeroreductase | Isoleucine/valine synthesis          | ↓                                            |            |
| 50S ribosomal protein, L20   | Organellar translation               | ↓                                            |            |
| Ubiquitin-specific protease  | Targeted protein degradation         | ↓                                            |            |
| RING-H2 finger protein       | Targeted protein degradation; E3 ligation component | ↓     |            |
| Ubiquitin precursor          | Targeted protein degradation         | ↑                                            |            |
| 40S ribosomal protein, S11   | Cytoplasmic translation              | ↑                                            |            |
| **ENERGY METABOLISM**        |                                      |                                              |            |
| Fructose biphosphate aldolase | Glycolysis                           | ↑                                            |            |
| **CELL DIVISION**            |                                      |                                              |            |
| Translationally-controlled tumor protein | Binds calcium and stabilizes microtubules | ↓     |            |
| Actin                        | Microtubule assembly                 | ↓                                            |            |
| Actin depolymerizing factor 2 | Microtubule turnover                 | ↓                                            |            |
| **REDOX**                    |                                      |                                              |            |
| Thioredoxin                  | Redox regulation of proteins         | ↓                                            |            |
| **PHOTOSYNTHESIS**           |                                      |                                              |            |
| PSI P700 apoprotein A2       | Light reaction center                | ↓                                            |            |
| **DEFENSE**                  |                                      |                                              |            |
| Cytosolic ascorbate peroxidase | PR-9 protein                         | ↓                                            |            |
| Caffeoyl-CoA 3-O-methyltransferase | Lignin biosynthesis                 | ↓                                            |            |
| Pectin acetyl esterase       | Cell wall loosening                  | ↓                                            |            |
| Mannosyl-oligosaccharide 1,2-a-mannosidase | Glycoprotein processing | ↓ |            |
| ERD3-like protein            | Dehydration responsive               | ↓                                            |            |
| **DEHYDRIN PpDhn1**           | Prevents desiccation of proteins     | ↑                                            |            |
| **DEHYDRIN PpDhn3**           | This paper                           | ↑                                            |            |
| Class IV chitinase           | Chitin degradation; PR-3             | ↑                                            |            |
| Thaumatin                    | PR-5 protein                         | ↑                                            |            |
| PR-4 protein                 | Pathogenesis related                 | ↑                                            |            |
| LEA-like protein             | Similar to ARG2                      | ↑                                            |            |
| Pollen coat-like protein     | Similar to kin1                      | ↑                                            |            |
| **GENE EXPRESSION**          |                                      |                                              |            |
| DNA binding protein          | AP2-like domain                      | ↓                                            |            |
| Homocdomain-leucine zipper protein 56 | Possible transcription factor       | ↓                                            |            |
| Upstream binding factor      | Possible transcription factor        | ↓                                            |            |
| Nucleotide binding protein   | Possible mRNA binding protein        | ↑                                            |            |

Table 5. Continued.

| Function                     | Gene description                     | Process                                      | Regulation |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------|
| **MISCELLANEOUS**            |                                      |                                              |            |
| Putative splicing factor     | mRNA processing                      | ↓                                            |            |
| APD-ribosylation factor      | Vesicular trafficking                | ↓                                            |            |
| Gibberellin-induced protein  | Hormone responses                    | ↓                                            |            |
| Putative permease            | Membrane transport                   | ↓                                            |            |
| Phloem-specific protein      | Unknown                               | ↓                                            |            |
| Rubber elongation factor-related | Latex particle protein               | ↑                                            |            |
| ORF 2                        | Nodulin-like protein                 | ↑                                            |            |
| **Phase-change protein**     | Developmentally regulated             | ↑                                            |            |
| Transducin-like protein      | Associated with signaling             | ↑                                            |            |
| **UNKNOWN FUNCTION**         |                                      |                                              |            |
| Putative senescence protein  | Aging                                 | ↓                                            |            |
| Hypothetical protein         | Has DNA binding motif                 | ↓                                            |            |
| Hypothetical protein         | Has zinc-finger motif                 | ↓                                            |            |
| Hypothetical protein         | Chloroplast protein                   | ↓                                            |            |
| At1g22400 homologue          |                                      | ↓                                            |            |
| At4g31410 homologue          |                                      | ↓                                            |            |
| At4g18400 homologue          |                                      | ↓                                            |            |
| At1g69230 homologue          |                                      | ↓                                            |            |
| At3g21550 homologue          |                                      | ↓                                            |            |
| At4g31410 homologue          |                                      | ↓                                            |            |
| Unknown proteins             | Groups 29-36                          | ↓                                            |            |
| TAR1 homologue               | Located in mitochondrion              | ↑                                            |            |
| At3g62130 homologue          |                                      | ↑                                            |            |
| At5g17460 homologue          |                                      | ↑                                            |            |
| At4g36850 homologue          |                                      | ↑                                            |            |
| At3g17380 homologue          | Similar to ubiquitin protease         | ↑                                            |            |
| **Unknown proteins**         | Groups 2, 5, 6 and 7                  | ↑                                            |            |

*Up-facing arrows indicate sequences up-regulated in response to low temperature; down facing arrows indicate sequences down-regulated in response to low temperature. Bolded sequences are regulated the same way in both 5 °C (A and E) subtractions; the remaining sequences were unique to either the A or E subtraction. Sequences regulated by cold and photoperiod (A or E and B or C; shown in Fig. 4) were removed.
Table 6. Summary of peach bark sequences regulated predominantly by short days (from the B and C subtractions).

| Function               | Gene description             | Process                     | Regulation |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| GENERAL METABOLISM     |                              |                             |            |
| 60S ribosomal protein L10a | Cytoplasmic translation      | ↓                          |            |
| 60S ribosomal protein L28-like | Cytoplasmic translation     | ↓                          |            |
| 60S ribosomal protein L35-like | Cytoplasmic translation    | ↓                          |            |
| 40S ribosomal protein S4 | Cytoplasmic translation      | ↓                          |            |
| 50S ribosomal protein L16 | Chloroplast translation     | ↓                          |            |
| 30S ribosomal protein S16 | Chloroplast translation     | ↓                          |            |
| Amino acid transporter | Amino acid metabolism       |                            |            |
| Anthranilate synthase, β-chain | Tryptophan synthesis      |                            |            |
| Esterase/lipase family protein | Fatty acid metabolism  | ↓                          |            |
| Lipase/hydrolase family protein | Fatty acid metabolism | ↓                          |            |
| Omega-3 fatty acid desaturase | Fatty acid metabolism | ↓                          |            |
| Keto-acyl reductase | Cuticle wax synthesis       | ↓                          |            |
| Protease-related | Protein turnover             | ↓                          |            |
| SKP1-like protein | F-box; targeted protein degradation | ≤ |            |
| 60S ribosomal protein L34 | Cytoplasmic translation     | ↓                          |            |
| 60S ribosomal protein L21 | Cytoplasmic translation     | ↓                          |            |
| 40S ribosomal protein S6-like | Cytoplasmic Translation  | ↓                          |            |
| 40S ribosomal protein S20-like | Cytoplasmic translation  | ↓                          |            |
| Adenorylhomocysteinase | One-carbon metabolism      | ↓                          |            |
| Ubiquitin-conjugating protein | Targeted protein degradation | ↓ |            |
| RAD23-like protein | Associates with ubiquitinated proteins | ↓ |            |
| Pectinesterase         | Cell wall metabolism        | ↓                          |            |
| Methionine synthase    | Amino acid metabolism       | ↓                          |            |
| Acetyl-CoA-acetyltransferase-like | Fatty acid/steroid metabolism |↓ |            |
| Abnormal inflorescence meristem | Fatty acid metabolism | ↓                          |            |
| ENERGY METABOLISM      |                              |                             |            |
| Mitochondrial ATP synthase | Respiration                  | ↓                          |            |
| NADH dehydrogenase, subunit 7 | Respiration                | ↓                          |            |
| AMP-binding protein | AMP synthetase family      | ↓                          |            |
| 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase | Glycolysis               | ↓                          |            |
| NAD-dependent malate dehydrogenase | Anaplerotic reactions in glycolysis | ↓ |            |
| Cytochrome b6-f complex | Respiration                  | ↓                          |            |
| CELL DIVISION          |                              |                             |            |
| Microtubule-associated protein | Microtubule assembly      | ↓                          |            |
| REDOX                  |                              |                             |            |
| Oxidoreductase family | Similar to cinnamoyl CoA reductase | ↓ |            |
| Blue copper protein    | Electron transfer           | ↓                          |            |
| PHOTOSYSTEMS           |                              |                             |            |
| Photosystem II, D2 polypeptide | Light reaction center | ↓                          |            |
| DEFENSE                |                              |                             |            |
| Non-specific lipid transfer protein | PR-14 protein             | ↓                          |            |
| Stress-inducible protein | PR-related protein          | ↓                          |            |
| Wound-induced protein  | Defense                     | ↓                          |            |
| Major allergen         | Defense                     | ↓                          |            |
| Putative allergen      | Defense                     | ↓                          |            |
| GENE EXPRESSION        |                              |                             |            |
| Putative transcription factor | Auxin-responsive            | ↓                          |            |
| Putative transcription factor | Contains AP2 domain      | ↓                          |            |
| Putative chloroplast RNA-binding protein | Salt inducible   | ↓                          |            |
| Myb-like protein       | Transcription               | ↓                          |            |
| Zinc-finger protein    | Transcription               | ↓                          |            |
| Homeodomain protein    | Transcription               | ↓                          |            |
| Nucleic acid binding protein | Has RNA recognition motif | ↓                          |            |
| MISCELLANEOUS          |                              |                             |            |
| Phosphatase 1          | Signaling                   | ↓                          |            |
| Calmodulin-binding protein | Signaling                 | ↓                          |            |
| 14-3-3-like protein   | Various processes via protein-protein interaction | ↓ |            |
| Syntrophobrevin-related protein | Intracellular trafficking | ↓ |            |
| C2 domain protein      | Membrane trafficking        | ↓                          |            |
| Auxin efflux carrier protein | Auxin transport           | ↓                          |            |
| Senescence-associated protein | Aging                     | ↑                          |            |
| transcribed rRNA homing endonuclease | Group I intron processing; usually organellar | ↑ |            |
| Hydroxyproline-rich protein | Cell wall structure       | ↑                          |            |
| PDR-like ABC transporter | Membrane trafficking       | ↑                          |            |
| SAM decarboxylase      | Spermine/spermidine synthesis | ↑ |            |
| SAM synthetase         | S-adenosylmethionine synthesis | ↑ |            |
| Mitochondrial carrier protein | Mitochondrial transport | ↑                          |            |
| UNKNOWN FUNCTION       |                              |                             |            |
| Steroid-binding protein |                            |                            |            |
| Unknown transferase    |                            |                            |            |
| At3g11780 homologue    |                            |                            |            |
| At2g12905 homologue    |                            |                            |            |
| At4g23630 homologue    |                            |                            |            |
| At4g31130 homologue    |                            |                            |            |
| At2g7280 homologue     |                            |                            |            |
| Arabidopsis NP_187568 homologue |            |                            |            |
| Rice AAP21410 homologue |                        |                            |            |

continued next page
lationally controlled tumor protein was originally described in mammals (Chipatima et al., 1988; Gross et al., 1989) and alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.; Pay et al., 1992), and is down-regulated by cold treatment. The other gene is a putative RNA-binding protein (RBP) gene that is a member of a rare and novel class of RBP proteins of unknown function. To our knowledge this particular class of RBP has not been previously identified in other analyses of cold responsive gene expression.

One important difference in the present study compared with other global analyses of cold-regulated gene expression is the inclusion of treatments designed to separate SD responses from LT-specific responses. Cold acclimation in woody plants is thought to proceed in stages initiated by exposure to shorter photoperiods (Fuchigami et al., 1970; Weiser, 1970). Recent analysis of the expression of a silver birch (Betula pendula Roth.) dehydrin under LT and SD (12 h) photoperiods suggested that expression of LT-regulated genes is potentiated by short days to achieve maximum levels, since in LT under continuous light or in SD at higher temperatures expression was significantly lower (Puhakainen et al., 2004). Our results indicate that a number of stress-regulated genes, including some previously shown to be responsive to LT in other studies, are primarily regulated by photoperiod (Table 5). This result does not contradict conclusions reached in the birch study regarding the additive effects of SD and LT. Indeed, our libraries would not be able to ascertain the individual contributions of each treatment, but would reflect the dominant factor regulating expression at the time of RNA sampling. Therefore the expression of genes of interest would have to be examined in greater detail (e.g., more sampling times and treatment combinations) to assess more quantitatively the actual contribution of LT and photoperiod to overall expression, and it would not be surprising to find considerable variation in that contribution, since the number and quality of respective promoter response elements linked to cold and/or photoperiod are expected to differ among genes and gene family members. Furthermore, it is expected that some of these genes would be associated with different cold- and/or photoperiod-responsive pathways; there is ample evidence from the analysis of cold-responsive mutants in A. thaliana for the existence of multiple cold-responsive pathways (Shinozaki et al., 2003).

The efficacy of the subtractive combinations in selecting sequences expressed in an either/or state in response to treatments is quite extraordinary. This is supported by duplication of certain sequences in parallel treatments and by the high degree of separation maintained between each forward and reverse treatment. For example, out of more than 300 individual sequences analyzed, in only two cases were clones detected in a forward reaction also noted in the reverse reaction. Further analysis of both cases revealed that the clones represented a single gene or small gene family having significantly different 3' untranslated regions and poly(A) attachment sites, which would have created single-stranded fragments in the first hybridization that would have appeared as “different” genes. Further support for the subtraction efficacy comes from PCR amplification of genes isolated from the various subtracted library combinations using the unsubtracted cDNAs templates, as illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3. Another feature of the methodology that sets it apart from other global approaches to differential gene expression is the ability to equalize the cDNA subtraction products so that low abundance
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Fig. 6. Alignment of the low temperature up-regulated peach EF49-02 amino acid sequence with tar1p-like proteins from different sources. Conceptual translation of genes representing TAR1-like sequences were aligned by ClustalW and re
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Fig. 5. Comparison of PPDHN3 amino acid sequence with dehydrins from diverse plants. Conceptual translations of genes representing dehydrins from different woody species and from Arabidopsis thaliana were aligned with peach dehydrins using ClustalW. The alignment was manually adjusted to maximize similarity. PPDHN2 = peach dehydrin 2 (GenBank accession no. AY465376), PPDHN3 = peach dehydrin 3, which responds to low temperature [this paper (GenBank accession no. DQ111949)]. BUDPHD2 is DHHN3 from *Betula pendula* (Puhakainen et al., 2004), PEDR1 is DHHN3 from *Populus xuroamericana* (Dode) Guinier (GenBank accession no. Q9AR85). Y (DEYGNP) and D (DRGLF) segments are enclosed in ellipses, K (KIKEKLPG) segments are boxed. Cysteine residues in PPDHN3 are highlighted by grey boxes.
been observed to translocate to mitochondria and can suppress the petite phenotype of a point mutation in the mitochondrial RNA polymerase (Coelho et al., 2002). It is believed to coordinate rRNA transcription with mitochondrial function in response to changing cellular energy demands, such as one might expect to see under stress conditions.

EF35-02 appears to represent the 3'-most end of a gene encoding an RNA-binding protein. Recent studies indicate that many aspects of post-transcriptional processing and stability are regulated by a large number of RNA binding proteins and small RNAs which form stable ribonucleoprotein complexes. Although a number of RNA binding proteins have been identified in plants (Mar-Albe and Pagès, 1998), only a few have been associated with specific functions. Most of those isolated to date comprise a class of RNA binding proteins having a highly homologous RNA recognition motif (RRM) in the N-terminal region, and several of these proteins have been associated with stress responses, e.g., BLT 801, an LT-responsive gene from barley (Dunn et al., 1996), only a few have been identified in plants; however, these programs do not rule out possible intermolecular interactions which might play a role in the function of these molecules.

Additional detailed analyses of the novel genes identified in this study will lead to a more complete understanding of how woody plants respond to different abiotic signals in their environment. Identifying the contributions made by various environmental signals will provide new information as to how different signal pathways interact to control gene expression in response to abiotic stresses like LT. A more in-depth understanding of the regulation of genes induced and suppressed by various environmental signals could lead to new insights in developing trees better able to withstand seasonal stresses encountered in temperate climates.
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