Comparative study of intubating conditions and hemodynamic effects after administration of rocuronium and succinylcholine for endotracheal intubation in adult patients for elective surgeries: A randomized control study
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Abstract

Background and Objective: Rocuronium provides faster neuromuscular blockade compared with other neuromuscular blocking drugs. It produces comparable intubating conditions to that of Succinylcholine conditions depending upon the dose administered. In our study, the effects of Rocuronium 0.9 mg/kg (3x ED95) was compared with Succinylcholine 2 mg/kg when used for endotracheal intubation in adult patients for elective surgeries under general anesthesia and assessed the hemodynamic stability.

Method: A prospective double blinded randomized controlled study was conducted at KVG medical college hospital, Sullia on 60 Adult patients 30-50yrs of age with ASA I or II grades who were undergoing elective surgeries under general anesthesia. Patients were premedicated with glycopyrrolate 0.2 mg intravenous. Patients randomly received either succinylcholine 2 mg/kg or rocuronium 0.9 mg/kg after induction with propofol 2 mg/kg and fentanyl 2µg/kg intravenously. Onset of action was assessed with adequate jaw relaxation. Intubating conditions and the time to intubation was assessed by modified grading given by Cooper et al. Hemodynamic changes were noted before and after intubation.

Results: We observed that succinylcholine chloride 2 mg/kg body weight and rocuronium bromide 0.9 mg/kg body weight produced well to excellent intubating conditions in all patients. Rocuronium bromide 0.9 mg/ kg body weight showed excellent intubating conditions in 83.4% of patients, which was comparable to that of 83.3% observed with succinylcholine chloride. Onset of action (60 sec) was faster with succinylcholine in 73.3% of patients than with rocuronium 66.7%. There was a transient rise in the hemodynamic variables but was not statistically significant 5 minutes after induction.

Conclusion: Rocuronium 0.9 mg/kg provides good to excellent intubating conditions in 60 – 90 seconds with no hemodynamic side effects and is a better alternative to succinylcholine for intubation in patients where succinylcholine chloride is contraindicated.
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Introduction

Rapid and safe tracheal intubation is of paramount importance for the airway maintenance in general anaesthesia [1]. The ease of tracheal intubation depends upon anatomy of the airway, the degree of muscle relaxation, depth of anesthesia and skill of anaesthesiologist [2]. Neuromuscular blocking agents play an important role in establishing airway control by providing adequate laryngopharyngeal relaxation and also provide muscle relaxation during surgery. Succinylcholine is a short acting depolarizing muscle relaxant with quick onset of action [1] but has untoward effects like myalgia, hyperkalemia, bradycardarrhythmia, and rise in intra-ocular, intracranial and intragastric pressure etc. [3]. Rocuronium is a non-depolarizing muscle relaxant with fast onset of action producing good muscle relaxation without the side effects of succinylcholine. The onset of maximum single-twitch depression after the administration of 3 to 4 times ED95 of rocuronium resembles the onset of action of succinylcholine 1mg/kg [4]. Rocuronium has been shown to possess most of the properties of an ideal muscle relaxant such as rapid recovery, noncumulative, free from cardiovascular side effects, histamine release, pharmacologically inactive metabolites [5]. Rocuronium in doses of 0.9-1.2mg/kg has proven to be as effective as succinylcholine for rapid tracheal intubation [6].
Our study is designed to compare rocuronium 0.9mg/kg and succinylcholine 2 mg/kg for tracheal intubation at 60 seconds after the administration of muscle relaxants in patients undergoing elective surgeries.

Materials and Methods
Source of Data
This is a Randomized, prospective double-blind study conducted from November 2019 -September 2021 at KVG medical college hospital, Sullia on 60 adult patients 30-50yrs of age with ASA I or II grades scheduled for elective surgeries under general anesthesia.

Formula used
\[ n = \frac{(s_1^2 + s_2^2)}{2} \]
\[ x = 1 - \frac{x - 2}{2} \]
\[ S_1 = 3.35 \times X_1 = 15.1 \ a = 0.01 = 2.58 \]
\[ S_2 = 2.18 \times X_2 = 12.5 \ \beta = 80\% = 0.84 \]

Methodology
A randomized controlled study conducted on 60 adult patients American society of anesthesiologist grade I and II patients. All patients underwent pre-anesthetic evaluation and relevant investigations one day prior to surgery. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Inclusion criteria
1. Age 30-50 years.
2. ASA grade I and II.
3. Malampatti classification 36 up to class 2.
4. Patients undergoing elective surgeries under general anesthesia.

Exclusion criteria
1. ASA grade III and IV.
2. Anticipated difficult airway.
3. Conditions like hyperkalemia, uncontrolled diabetic mellitus, hypertension, obesity, bronchial asthma, epilepsy.
4. Patients with neuro-muscular disease, history of cardiac, renal or hepatic disease.
5. History of allergy to the drugs being used.
6. Patients receiving medication known to influence neuromuscular function eg. aminoglycoside antibiotics.

Study Protocol
Following approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee of KVG Medical College Hospital, 60 patients aged 30-50yrs were selected for the study. All patients underwent pre-anesthetic evaluation prior to surgery and written informed consent was obtained. Oral Pantoprazole 40 mg, Alprazolam 0.25mg were given. Patients were randomly assigned to 2 equal groups R and S (n=30) according to computer generated list. The procedure was then double blinded maintaining randomization list. On the day of surgery after shifting the patient to preoperative room, intravenous line was secured with 18G cannula on nondoninant hand and ringer lactate 10-15ml/kg was connected and premedicated with Inj. Glycopyrrolate 0.2mg intravenous. ECG leads, Pulse oximeter and NIBP were attached. Baseline pulse rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, mean arterial pressure and SpO2 were recorded. Patients were preoxygenated for 3 minutes with 100% oxygen and Injection Fentanyl 2µg/kg was given 3 minutes before intubation. General anesthesia was induced with intravenous propofol 2mg/kg over 20 seconds. After loss of verbal response, mask ventilation was confirmed.

Patients randomly allocated to two groups
**Group S:** Received Succinylcholine 2 mg/kg intravenous.
**Group R:** Received Rocuronium 0.9 mg/kg intravenous.

The intubating anesthesiologist was blinded to the muscle relaxant given. Direct laryngoscopy was performed using a size 3 Macintosh Laryngoscope blade at 60 sec after injection of the muscle relaxant and Cormack Lehane grading was noted. Trachea was intubated with appropriate size, cuffed tracheal tube. Intubating conditions were assessed according to Cooper et al grading \[8\]. Tracheal intubation was not be performed until the intubating conditions are excellent or good. Subsequent attempts were noted. The pulse rate and blood pressure were recorded before and after induction, after injection of muscle relaxant and at 1-, 2-, 3- and 5-min following intubation. Our study was concluded at this point.

The parameters observed were
1. Time of administrating the muscle relaxant
2. Cormack Lehane grading.
3. Intubating conditions assessed according to four point scale of Cooper et al. \[9\].
4. Number of attempts taken for intubation
5. Time taken for intubation
6. Non-invasive blood pressure, heart rate, spo2 were recorded before and after induction, after injection of muscle relaxant and at 1, 2, 3 and 5 min following intubation.

Statistical methods applied
1. t’ test, to test the significance of difference in quantitative variables like heart rate and mean arterial blood pressure indicating the hemodynamic status
2. Chi square test to test the significance of difference in qualitative variables.

Results

**Table 1: Intubating conditions**

| Cooper et al score | Rocuronium (Mean± SD) | Succinylcholine (Mean± SD) | Total (Mean± SD) |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 7                  | 5                      | 16.7%                       | 5               | 16.7% | 10 | 16.7% |
| 8                  | 4                      | 46.7%                       | 15              | 50%   | 29 | 48.3% |
| 9                  | 11                     | 33.3%                       | 10              | 33.3% | 21 | 35%   |
| 10                 | 30                     | 100%                        | 30              | 100%  | 60 | 100%  |

* Statistically significant; Test statistic used: Chi square test

**Table 2: Heart rate**

|                | Rocuronium (Mean± SD) | Succinylcholine (Mean± SD) | P value |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Pre induction  | 77.07 ± 11.41         | 76.97 ± 11.85               | 0.487   |
| Post induction | 74.33 ± 10.87         | 77.70 ± 10.65               | 0.384   |
| Post muscle relaxant | 73.73 ± 10.52       | 78.13 ± 10.44               | 0.352   |
| 0 min          | 75.37 ± 10.10         | 80.17 ± 10.13               | 0.344   |
| 1 min          | 74.23 ± 9.54          | 79.63 ± 9.78                | 0.126   |
| 2 min          | 75.67 ± 8.45          | 79.23 ± 8.27                | 0.037*  |
| 5 min          | 74.93 ± 9.10          | 78.40 ± 9.66                | 0.403   |

* Statistically significant; Test statistic used: t test
Table 3: Systolic Blood Pressure

|                  | Rocuronium (Mean± SD) | Succinylcholine (Mean± SD) | P value  |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Pre induction    | 120.83 ± 7.97         | 120.27 ± 6.83             | 0.197    |
| Post induction   | 119.50 ± 6.49         | 122.57 ± 6.60             | 0.063    |
| Post muscle relaxant | 118.23 ± 6.07       | 125.83 ± 6.34             | 0.000*   |
| 0 min            | 126.13 ± 7.25         | 125.77 ± 6.31             | 0.024*   |
| 1 min            | 124.17 ± 6.72         | 127.07 ± 6.42             | 0.001*   |
| 2 min            | 123.27 ± 6.53         | 126.87 ± 5.08             | 0.043*   |
| 5 min            | 121.63 ± 6.47         | 125.13 ± 6.43             | 0.414    |

* Statistically significant; Test statistic used: t test

Table 4: Diastolic Blood Pressure

|                  | Rocuronium (Mean± SD) | Succinylcholine (Mean± SD) | P value  |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Pre induction    | 65.13 ± 8.58          | 64.30 ± 8.27              | 0.080    |
| Post induction   | 65.73 ± 8.89          | 66.27 ± 8.91              | 0.226    |
| Post muscle relaxant | 64.80 ± 8.68        | 68.93 ± 8.06              | 0.022*   |
| 0 min            | 70.07 ± 8.07          | 67.77 ± 8.70              | 0.028*   |
| 1 min            | 69.57 ± 7.44          | 68.13 ± 7.56              | 0.216    |
| 2 min            | 68.07 ± 7.25          | 69.40 ± 7.79              | 0.483    |
| 5 min            | 67.30 ± 8.15          | 67.47 ± 8.59              | 0.074    |

* Statistically significant; Test statistic used: t test

Table 5: Mean Arterial Pressure

|                  | Rocuronium (Mean± SD) | Succinylcholine (Mean± SD) | P value  |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Pre induction    | 83.60 ± 7.67          | 82.83 ± 7.08              | 0.07     |
| Post induction   | 83.37 ± 6.98          | 84.87 ± 6.52              | 0.233    |
| Post muscle relaxant | 82.30 ± 6.63        | 87.93 ± 6.10              | 0.080    |
| 0 min            | 88.37 ± 6.54          | 87.07 ± 6.31              | 0.090    |
| 1 min            | 87.73 ± 6.54          | 87.80 ± 6.22              | 0.008*   |
| 2 min            | 86.47 ± 6.20          | 86.63 ± 6.58              | 0.465    |
| 5 min            | 85.43 ± 7.01          | 86.63 ± 7.14              | 0.226    |

* Statistically significant; Test statistic used: t test

In the present study, based on intubating conditions in Rocuronium group, 16.7% showed score 7, 46.7% scored 8 and 36.7% scored 9. Whereas in Succinylcholine group, score 7 was noted in 16.7%, score 8 in 50% and score 9 in 33.3%. There was no statistically significant association observed with relation to intubating conditions between the study groups as the p value calculated to be > 0.05. A higher Heart rate was recorded in Succinylcholine group compared to Rocuronium group at Post induction, Post muscle relaxant use, at 0 minute, 1 minute, 2 minute, and 5 minutes. At Post induction, 0 min, 1 min, 2 min this difference was observed to be statistically significant as the p value calculated was < 0.05. A higher SBP was recorded in Succinylcholine group compared to Rocuronium group at Post induction, Post muscle relaxant use, 1 Min, 2 Min, and 5 min. At Post induction, Post muscle relaxant use, 1 min this difference was observed to be statistically significant as the p value calculated to be < 0.05. A higher DBP was recorded in Succinylcholine group compared to Rocuronium group at Post induction, Post muscle relaxant use, at post muscle relaxant use, this difference was observed to be statistically significant as the p value was < 0.05.

A higher SBP was recorded in Succinylcholine group compared to Rocuronium group at Post induction, Post muscle relaxant use, 1 Min, 2 Min, and 5 min. At Post induction, Post muscle relaxant use, 1 min this difference was observed to be statistically significant as the p value calculated to be < 0.05. A higher SBP was recorded in Succinylcholine group compared to Rocuronium group at Post induction, Post muscle relaxant use, at post muscle relaxant use, this difference was observed to be statistically significant as the p value was < 0.05.

In the present study, a higher MAP was recorded in Succinylcholine group compared to Rocuronium group at Post induction, Post muscle relaxant use, 1 minute, 2 minute, and 5 minutes. At post muscle relaxant use, this difference was observed to be statistically significant at 1 minute as the p value calculated to be < 0.05 and showed back to baseline after 5 minutes.

Discussion

With non-depolarizing muscle relaxants like Rocuronium, it has been found that the onset of paralysis at laryngeal muscles preceded that at adductor pollicis and hence monitoring of train of four at adductor pollicis may not give the correct picture of intubating conditions. So, intubating conditions are usually assessed using clinical criteria such as jaw relaxation, vocal cord movements and diaphragmatic relaxation. Hence in the present study, clinical criteria as adopted by Cooper R. A. et al. [7] were used for scaling intubating conditions at 60 seconds. In our study we got excellent intubation conditions with succinylcholine in 83.3% of patients which concurs with the findings of the studies conducted by Toni Magorian et al. [8] and Shaik Mira Shareef [10]. We got excellent to good intubation conditions with 2mg/kg in 100% of cases which can be comparable to the study conducted previously except for Friedrich K., Puhringer et al. [11] and K.C. McCourt et al. [12].

In the studies conducted by, Aparna Shukla et al. 2004 [2], Shaik Mira Shareef et al. 2016 [10] concluded that there is no significant change in the hemodynamic variables after the administration of succinylcholine and Rocuronium. In our study, we observed no significant change in hemodynamic variables following the administration of rocuronium bromide 5 minutes after induction. There was a change in mean heart rate by 1.9% following administration of rocuronium bromide 0.9 mg/ kg body weight, one minute following intubation. There was a similar increase in mean arterial pressure by 4.38% following the administration of 0.9 mg/ kg body weight one minute following intubation. This was a hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation which subsided to near pre induction values 5 minutes after intubation. Similar trends were seen following the administration of succinylcholine chloride 2 mg/ kg body weight. There was a rise in mean heart rate by 5.2% from pre induction value two minutes after intubation. There was also a rise in mean arterial pressure by 5.66% from pre induction value one minute after intubation. These values returned towards pre induction values 5 minutes following intubation. There was no significant change in the hemodynamic variables following administration of succinylcholine chloride and rocuronium bromide after 5 minutes of post induction and rise in mean heart rate and blood pressure which returned to the baseline value after 5 minutes was a response to laryngoscopy and intubation.

Conclusion

We have studied that the intubating conditions of Rocuronium bromide 0.9mg/kg body weight are comparable to Succinylcholine bromide. There was significant changes in the heart rate and mean arterial pressure with the use of Rocuronium following one minute after the intubation which became preinduction value after 5 minutes. There was rise in heart rate (one minute) and mean arterial pressure (two minute) with the use of succinylcholine following the intubation and touched baseline after 5 minutes. There is no significant change in hemodynamic variables after the administration of Succinylcholine and Rocuronium. Rocuronium is a better non-depolarizing muscle relaxant for endotracheal intubation where the use of...
succinylcholine is contraindicated.
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