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Abstract: This study reports the single machine scheduling problem for finding the minimum total weighted tardiness. As the problem is non-deterministic polynomial-time hard (NP-hard), the problem might not be answered by the exact solution techniques. Henceforth, different heuristics and meta-heuristics were recommended by different practitioners to tackle the problem. A modified elephant herd optimization algorithm (MEHOA) is investigated in the present work to solve the single machine total weighted tardiness scheduling problem (SMTWTSP). The performance of the anticipated approach is studied with the test instances available in the OR library. The outcomes are compared with several different algorithms offered in the literature and indicate the efficacy of the developed methodology.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Efficiency is perhaps one of the most crucial tasks in modern life and manufacturing environment. With scarce resources it’s important to distribute these to right channels to gain competitive advantage. Effective utilization of resources plays a vital role for the growth of any organization as they own limited resources only. This is achieved by a technique called as scheduling. For the past several decades tremendous research works were carried out on different scheduling environment such as single machine, flow shop, parallel machine, job shop, flexible job shop, and hybrid flow shop, and so on. Among them, the single machine environment is the fundamental scheduling problem that was addressed by several investigators with a variety of objectives. The single machine scheduling environment entails of a single machine and n jobs. The important objectives considered in the literature are: minimization of makespan, flow time, tardiness, earliness, etc. Minimization of tardiness is one of the widely preferred scheduling objectives as it is associated with customer satisfaction which in turn may also improve the profit to the organization. Hence, weighted tardiness minimization is considered in this paper. As the single machine total weighted tardiness scheduling problem (SMTWTSP) is NP-hard (non-deterministic polynomial-time hard) [1-2] kind combinatorial optimization problem, exact solution techniques are impossible to find the best solution in a feasible time for this type of large scale problem instances. Researchers developed many heuristics and meta-heuristics for solving the NP-hard problems.

Most researchers tend to analyze the behaviors of living organism in their natural environment to developed different metaheuristic optimization algorithms. The elephant herd optimization algorithm (EHOA) is one of the newly introduced social algorithms [3]. The EHOA has been preferred by researchers to solve numerous optimization problems. Hence, the present work’s aim is giving an efficient solution approach proposal to handle the SMTWTSP using a modified elephant herd optimization algorithm (MEHOA). The remaining of the paper is organized as given: Section II reviews the literature on single machine scheduling problems with weighted tardiness objective and the EHOA. Section III summarized the scheduling problem of the study. Section IV presents the developed solution technique. Section V describes the computational experiments. Finally, Section VI concludes the research along with some recommendations for future references.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Single machine total weighted tardiness scheduling problems

Scheduling problems are widely preferred in academic literature based on their real-life applications. Various test instances are selected to measure the efficiency of a newly developed metaheuristic and compare its performance against the previous known ones. Several algorithms are developed in the literature for solving the SMTWTSP. Abdul-Razaq et al. [4] presented a comprehensive survey on the various methodologies proposed to solve the SMTWTSP until 1990s. Many researchers proposed Branch & Bound algorithm [5-7] to solve the SMTWTSP. Potts and Van Wassenhove [8] addressed several heuristics to solve the SMTWTSP. Huegler and Vasko [9] presented a detailed comparison of several heuristics for solving the SMTWTSP. Crauwels et al. [10] introduced different local search heuristics to solve the SMTWTSP. Akturk and Yildirim [11] suggested a dominance rule-based algorithm to solve the SMTWTSP. They evaluated the efficiency of the given method on 40000 random problem instances with 50, 100, 300 and 500 jobs and showed the significance of the proposed algorithm. Borgulya [12] developed a cluster-based evolutionary algorithm (CBEA) for solving the...
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Ant colony optimization (ACO) algorithm is another important algorithm suggested by several researchers to solve the SMTWTSP. It’s based on the social information sharing principles of bees searching for the maximum nectar amount from the food sources. Bauer et al. [24] addressed the ACO algorithm to solve the SMTWTSP. They incorporated a modified due date (MDD) heuristic with the ACO algorithm to create better results. They carried out the performance measurement of the algorithm over the test problems from the OR library and showed the effectiveness of the ACO algorithm. Den Besten et al. [25] also used the ACO algorithm to solve the SMTWTSP. Holthaus and Rajendran [26] developed a fast ant-colony algorithm (FACO) for solving the SMTWTSP. In the FACO, the EDD dispatching rule and NEH heuristics were used to enhance the solution performance. The efficiency of the given approach was analyzed with the test instances. Merkle and Middendorf [27] also established an ACO for solving the SMTWTSP. In the proposed ACO, the places in the schedule are allocated in random order. Based on the algorithm proposed in the literature, Cheng et al. [28] introduced a hybrid algorithm. The proposed hybrid methodology was based on the ACO algorithm, and the elimination rules and the measurement of this new approach’s performance using test instances showed the better performance of the proposed hybrid method over simple ACO algorithm. Madureira et al. [29] also suggested an ACO algorithm for solving the SMTWTSP. Efficiency of the proposed approach is measured with benchmark instances.

Nearchou et al. [30] introduced a hybrid metaheuristic algorithm (HMA) for finding efficient solutions to the SMTWTSP. Differential evolution (DE) and with variable neighbourhood search (VNS) are combined. The effectiveness of the HMA was measured with the test instances from the literature and solutions are seemed better than the comparing algorithms. Bożejko et al. [31] addressed a Tabu Search (TS) algorithm for handling the SMTWTSP. They proposed and evaluated some new features of the problem related with the blocks. Bilge et al. [32] developed a TS based solution approach for the SMTWTSP to obtain better solutions for a set of test instances addressed in the literature.

Parsopoulos and Vrahatis [33] developed a Unified Particle Swarm Optimization (UPSO) for solving the SMTWTSP. The performance was tested with the test instances given in the literature. Tasgetiren et al. [34] developed a particle swarm optimization (PSO) and differential evolution (DE) algorithms for solving SMTWTSP. They evaluated the efficiency of the given algorithms with the benchmark instances. Cagnina et al. [35] introduced an improved hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization (IHPSO) solution method to solve the SMTWTSP.

Wang and Tang [36] combined VNS with TS to solve the SMTWTSP. Wang and Tang [37] also introduced a population-based variable neighbourhood search (VNS) for solving the SMTWTSP. Wang and Tang [38] applied a basic scatter search (SS) algorithm to achieve better solutions in the SMTWTSP. An investigation
of local search neighbourhoods for solving the SMTWTSP was presented by Geiger [39], El Majdouli and El Imrani [40] introduced a Discrete Fireworks algorithm (DFA) for solving the SMTWTSP. The proposed DFA is hybridized with VNS heuristic. The introduced approach is tested with several benchmark instances. A new VNS (NVNS) approach was proposed by Fu and Chung [41] for solving the SMTWTSP. Wang and Yin [42] presented a Differential evolution (DE) meta-heuristic with mixed strategy for solving the SMTWTSP. Yurtkuran and Emel [43] addressed a discrete artificial bee colony (DABC) algorithm for solving the SMTWTSP. An improved genetic simulated annealing algorithm (IGASA) was suggested by Chaabane [44] to solve the SMTWTSP. The genetic and simulated annealing algorithms were combined to obtain the advantages of both algorithms. The efficiency of the algorithm was measured with different test instances and compared with different metaheuristics.

A multiple-variable neighborhood search (MVNS) based solution technique was given by Chung et al. [45] for solving the SMTWTSP. The proposed algorithm’s performance was measured with the well-known test instances. Ding et al. [46] developed a hybrid evolutionary approach (HEA) to handle the SMTWTSP. In this HEA, a dynasearch procedure is introduced for local searching. The improved dynasearch algorithm with the fast neighborhood search is also included. A buffer technique was included to the approach to decrease the computational time and a recombination operator and a population updating procedure were also used to further enhance the quality of the results. The solution quality of the given approach was evaluated with various test instances. Recently, Marichelvam and Geetha [47] presented a hybrid solution technique using cuckoo search algorithm for solving the SMTWTSP with sequence dependent setup times. They measured the efficiency of the given approach with different benchmark instances.

B. Elephant Heard Optimization Algorithm (EHOA)

Wang et al. [48] designed the EHOA for solving the global optimization tasks. Gupta et al. [49] suggested the EHOA to optimize the PID controller design. Ahmed et al. [50] suggested an improved Elephant Swarm Optimization Algorithm for community detection problem. The efficiency of the given algorithm was measured against many other well-known algorithms used in different studies and proved to be better. Alighodzic et al. [51] addressed the EHOA to handle the unmanned aerial vehicle path scheduling problem. The performance of the given approach was measured using parameters of the battlefield environments from the various previous studies and proved to be better. Kilany & Hassanien [52] hybridized the EHOA and support vector machines for the identification of human behaviour. Sambiriya & Fagna [53] applied the EHOA for optimal design of PID controller for load frequency control in power system.

The EHOA was used to address the Home Energy Management System (HEMS) to minimize the electricity cost and waiting time [54-55]. The Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia cells were classified as normal or affected using the EHOA with neural networks by Sahlol et al. [56]. Tuba & Stanimirovic [57] addressed the EHOA for the parameter tuning of support vector machine. Tuba et al. [58] considered the multilevel image thresholding using the EHOA. Correia et al. [59] proposed the EHOA to tackle the energy-based source localization problem in wireless sensors networks. The key parameters of the EHOA were optimized using simulations. The efficiency of the EHOA was compared with the existing solutions and was proved to be more effective. The EHOA and cultural algorithm were hybridized to optimize the truss design problems by Jafari et al. [60]. The objective was to minimize the weight of the truss. The performance of the algorithm was evaluated for four problems.

Krishna & Ramanjaneyulu [61] handled wireless sensor networks problem and used EHOA based clustering and routing technique to find optimal placements. A multi objective distributed energy resource accommodation problem of distribution systems was tackled by Meena et al. [62] using an EHOA. Tuba et al. [63] introduced a chaotic EHOA (CEHOA) to solve the benchmark functions in the literature. Performance of the CEHOA was measured against the EHOA and PSO algorithms. The results indicated the better performance of the given CEHOA. Strumberger et al. [64] proposed the EHOA for optimal placement of drones. Recently, Elhosseini et al. [65] presented an improved EHOA to tackle the optimization problems. Ismaeel et al. [66] developed an enhanced version of EHOA for global optimization. Jaiprakash & Nanda [67] applied the EHOA for solving the clustering problems. The efficiency of the given method was measured with six benchmark problem instances. The results were compared with different well-known algorithms. Tuba et al. [68] solved the clustering problems by combining the EHOA and K-means. Though the EHOA is applied for wide variety of optimization problems, EHOA has previously never preferred for solving scheduling problems. For this reason, in this study, an attempt is made to handle the SMTWTSP using a modified EHOA (MEHOA).

III. PROBLEM DEFINITION

The single machine total weighted tardiness scheduling problem (SMTWTSP) can be summarized as follows: A set of $n$ jobs is to be operated without intervention on a single machine. The single machine can process only one job at a given time. The machine will be ready for the entire scheduling duration. The set-up time is negligible and is included in the processing time. All jobs are ready for handling at time zero. The processing time for job $j$ is $P_j$, and it is an integer. The due date and weight for the job $i$ are $d_i$ and $w_i$ respectively. These values are also positive integers. The tardiness can be well-defined as the unpunctuality of a job if it is unavailable to meet its due date, or zero otherwise. Tardiness can be very important on a manufacturing environment but mostly related with the service quality and customer fulfilment [69]. The tardiness of job $j$ is defined as:

$$T_j = \max (C_j - d_j, 0) \quad (1)$$

The objective of the study is finding the best schedule of the jobs that minimizes the total weighted tardiness given by

$$\text{Min} Z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j T_j \quad (2)$$
IV. PROPOSED ALGORITHM

The main idea about EHOA is the social behaviors of the elephants. Elephants are one of the most important animals to maintain the bio-diversity of forests. A wide variety of elephants are living in the world. In general, these elephants live in a group like any other social animal. Each group is consisting of several clans. These clans may be considered as a family group. There is a matriarch in each clan which will lead the clan. A matriarch is known as the eldest female elephant in the clan. A clan is composed of one or more female elephants and their calves. The male elephants would like to live separately when they would have grown up. However, the male elephants can communicate with their clan using some low-frequency vibrations. The herding behavior of elephants are applied to solve the optimization problems by developing three rules [3]. The rules are given below:

1. Clans are the basic units of the elephant population. In each of this clan, the number of male and female elephants is fixed.
2. From the clan, a constant number of male elephants would leave.
3. The eldest female elephant is the matriarch of the clan and it will lead the clan.

In the EHOA, the population size (Npop) and the number of generation is defined first. A solution is represented by the position of an elephant. The population is divided into a fixed number of clans with a specific number of elephants in each clan. The position of the elephants is generated randomly. For each elephant, the objective function value is determined. An elephant with the better objective function value is considered as matriarch of the clan. The position of other elephants in the clan is updated using the clan operating operator that considers the position of the matriarch. An elephant with worst objective function would leave the clan. The separation operator is used for this purpose. Now, the elephant population is updated. For creating a new population, the above steps are repeated until the number of iterations are achieved.

A. Modified Elephant herd optimization algorithm (MEHOA)

In the MEHOA, some amendments are made.

1. Instead of randomly generating an initial population of elephants, a dispatching rule is preferred to create one of the initial solutions. This would be used to enhance the solution quality.
2. In the EHOA addressed in the literature, the mating behavior of elephants were not considered. In the present work, the mating behavior of male and female elephants is considered.
3. In the EHOA, it is possible that the solution to be trapped at local optima. To avoid this, a local search improving procedure is added to the basic MEHOA.

The steps in the MEHOA are presented below:

1. Define the parameters. The required parameters of the proposed approach are defined. Number of the elephants Npop, number of clans, number of elephants in each clan, the number of generations and the other basic variables are determined.
2. The position of Npop-1 elephants is generated randomly and the remaining one solution is generated using the earliest due date (EDD) dispatching rule [70].
3. The objective function value of each clan is calculated. The elephant with the better objective function value is considered as matriarch. The position of other elephants in the clan is also updated with respect to the position of the matriarch. The elephant with the worst objective function would leave the clan and this elephant is assumed to be a male elephant. Now, this male elephant would mate with one or more of the female elephants in the clan. The female elephants would be selected with a probability of Ps for mating. Then, a new clone will be generated. This process will be similar to the cross-over operator in the GA [71]. Ischibuchi and Murata [72] suggested a two-point order-based crossover operator while solving the flow shop scheduling problems. This two-point order-based will generate only one offspring. This two-point order-based crossover operator is selected to generate a new clone.
4. The above step is repeated for all the clans in the elephant population and new clones are generated. This new clan may be either male or a female.
5. The above two steps are repeated until the stopping criterion is reached. The number of iterations is selected as the stopping criterion in this work. Hence, at the end of step 5, elephants with the best objective function values are identified for each clan. For these elephants, the local search algorithm is performed to prevent the solution to be trapped at the local optimum. The NVNS algorithm presented in [41] is used in this research.

V. COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

In order to claim a new proposed solution technique’s performance can be better than the other known approaches, benchmark problems can be used to effectively measure and compare its performance against the competitors. Therefore, different test problems are used to measure the efficiency of the modified solution idea and compared against other well-known solution methods. The population size and number of generations are selected as 100 in this study. The number of generations for the VNS is also fixed to 100.

A. Test problems

The performance of the given method is measured using test instances of SMTWTSP available in the OR library. 125 test problems are given for every problem size with different number of jobs (n) of 40, 50 and 100. The problems were randomly created. For each job, a processing time within the interval [1, 100], processing weight from the interval of [1, 10] are randomly created within the uniform distribution. For each test problem, different due dates were created by using uniform distributions separately for each control variable. For relative range of due dates (RDD) and average tardiness factor (TF) five value are taken. These values are (0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0) and using them an integer due date was randomly created with [P (1-TF-RDD/2), P (1-TF+RDD/2)] within the uniform distribution. Where,

\[ P = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_j \]  

(3)
There are total 25 variations of the RDD and TF pair. Each of this pairs, 5 different test problem is created. Thus, a total of 125 test instances for each value of n exeists. Each problem is repeated 10 times. The performance of the given MEHOA is measured against ACO [29], DABC [43], DE [42], GA [18], HSA [19], GRASP [21], and PSO [34] algorithms, widely used in similar studies. The parameters proposed in the respective papers are used in this paper to make a fair comparison. Relative Deviation Index (RDI) is used as the indicator of performance measurement to measure and evaluate the efficiency of selected methods. RDI is calculated for each problem instances by using the following equation:

$$ RDI = \frac{Z_{\text{Algorithm}} - Z^*}{Z^*} $$  \hspace{1cm} (4) 

Where,

$Z_{\text{Algorithm}}$ – objective function value obtained by each of the selected solution approach

$Z^*$ – Minimum objective function value

From the RDI values, Mean Relative Deviation Index (MRDI) is calculated as follows:

$$ MRDI = \frac{\sum RDI}{125} $$  \hspace{1cm} (5) 

The main performance evaluation of the selected metaheuristics are given in Table 1 using the MRDI indicator for each of the various problem size.

### Table- I: MRDI comparison of different algorithms

| Algorithms     | MRDI  |
|----------------|-------|
|                | n=40  | n=50  | n=100 |
| ACO [29]       | 1.03  | 1.08  | 1.12  |
| DABC [43]      | 0.91  | 0.96  | 0.98  |
| DE [42]        | 0.88  | 0.91  | 0.92  |
| GA [18]        | 0.82  | 0.84  | 0.83  |
| GRASP [21]     | 0.78  | 0.79  | 0.82  |
| HSA [19]       | 0.72  | 0.75  | 0.77  |
| PSO [34]       | 0.45  | 0.48  | 0.49  |
| MEHOA          | 0.05  | 0.06  | 0.05  |

From the above table it is seen that the MRDI measurement for the MEHOA has the lowest value against other comparing algorithms. Lower MRDI value showed that the performance of the given methodology is better than other solution approaches. Main reason of having better solutions against other well-known heuristics can be due to the hybridization of the approach with the EDD rule and the VNS. The mean computational time (MCT) comparison of the selected algorithms is also carried out as shown in Table 2.

### Table- II: Name of the Table that justify the values

| Algorithms | MCT |
|------------|-----|
| ACO [29]   | 1.03 |
| ACO [29]   | 5.32 |
| DABC [43]  | 4.85 |
| DE [42]    | 4.62 |

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, a social algorithm utilizing the elephant’s social life behaviors is proposed. A modified of the basic elephant herd optimization algorithm with different heuristics is given to elucidate the single machine weighted tardiness scheduling problem. As far as known, this paper is the first proposed study of the EHOA to solve the single machine total weighted tardiness scheduling problem. The well-known benchmark problems from the OR library are used to measure the solution quality of the developed methodology and the efficiency of the approach is evaluated against the best known results and other widely preferred algorithms. Solutions indicates that the given approach produced better quality solutions against the comparing algorithms. The proposed methodology is quite simple, and it reduces the time needed to find the optimal solution of the problem. There can be different future expansions to this methodology. The given approach based on several assumptions which are generally not realistic in real life manufacturing environment. Some of these assumptions could be lessened and the given solution strategy can be used to solve this new problem. The introduced solution methodology may be utilized to solve other type of scheduling problems with single and multi-objectives. Hybridization of the given methodology with different heuristics and dispatching rules would be another kind of future work.
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