Bringing the age-related macular degeneration high-risk allele age-related maculopathy susceptibility 2 into focus with stem cell technology
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Abstract
Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a major cause of blindness in older adults in developed countries. It is a multifactorial disease triggered by both environmental and genetic factors. High-temperature requirement A serine peptidase 1 (HTRA1) and age-related maculopathy susceptibility 2 (ARMS2) are two genes that are strongly associated with AMD. Because ARMS2 is an evolutionarily recent primate-specific gene and because the ARMS2/HTRA1 genes are positioned at a locus on chromosome 10q26 in a region with strong linkage disequilibrium, it is difficult to distinguish the functions of the individual genes. Therefore, it is necessary to bring these genes into focus. Patient-specific induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) provides direct access to a patient’s genetics and allows for the possibility of identifying the initiating events of RPE-associated degenerative diseases. In this paper, a review of recent epidemiological studies of AMD is offered. An argument for a definite correlation between the ARMS2 gene and AMD is presented. A summary of the use of ARMS2 genotyping for medical treatment is provided. Several ARMS2-related genetic models based on such stem cells as iPSCs are introduced. The possibility of applying gene-editing techniques and stem-cell techniques to better explore the mechanisms of the ARMS2 high-risk allele, which will lead to important guidance for treatment, is also discussed.
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Background
Age-related macular degeneration (AMD), also known as senile macular degeneration, is one of the primary causes of irreversible visual impairment among the elderly in the developed world [1, 2]. The incidence of AMD among Asian adults over the age of 50 is high [3, 4]. AMD has increasingly become a global issue. Several population-based studies have examined AMD worldwide [5–9]. Although the data are different in each study due to racial differences and participant recruitment, the obvious tendency towards an increased prevalence cannot be ignored.

AMD is a multifactorial disease due to interactions between genetic and environmental risk factors [10]. Genome-wide association studies revealed that variations in or near the complement factor H (CFH), complement factor I (CFI), complement factor B (CFB), and complement 3 (C3) genes are significantly associated with AMD. In addition to mutations in complement genes, a polymorphism (rs10490924) in ARMS2 (age-related maculopathy susceptibility 2), which encodes a protein that binds to the cell surface and enhances complement activation, shows the strongest association with AMD [11]. Several challenges remain regarding ARMS2. First, the cellular expression and function of ARMS2 in AMD are not fully understood. Second, whether the efficacy of medical treatment has a relationship with risk alleles remains controversial. Third, the ARMS2 gene is present only in primates, which makes it difficult to construct animal models.

Given this situation and the rapid development of regenerative medical therapy, many researchers have performed deep and fruitful analyses of AMD and ARMS2.
A recent report using a bisretinoid N-retinylidene-N-ethanolamine (A2E)-stressed human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) model of AMD indicates that ARMS2/HTRA1 compromises the superoxide dismutase 2 response, suggesting increased vulnerability to oxidative stress [12]. In 2016, Saini et al. used a human iPSC model of AMD to demonstrate that nicotinamide altered disease-related phenotypes (ARMS2/HTRA1) by inhibiting drusen proteins and inflammatory and complement factors [13]. With the help of stem cells or iPSC genetic models, researchers can better simulate the morbid state and then explore how the ARMS2/HTRA1 genes affect AMD.

**ARMS2 variants and AMD**

Because ARMS2 is an evolutionarily recent primate-specific gene and because the ARMS2/HTRA1 genes are found at a locus on chromosome 10q26 in a region with strong linkage disequilibrium [14], whether ARMS2 is the key gene leading to AMD is debatable.

Before we analyze the relationships between ARMS2 polymorphisms and AMD, we must summarize the basic information available about ARMS2 polymorphisms (Table 1). Recently, Grassmann et al. [15] demonstrated that genetic variants in or close to ARMS2 but not HTRA1 are responsible for disease susceptibility at the 10q26 locus. These results encourage a focus on functional analyses of ARMS2 and its role in AMD pathogenesis [15], even though a study by Yang et al. [16] suggested that a variant of the HTRA1 gene increased susceptibility to AMD. Jabbarpoor Bonyadi et al. considered that ARMS2/LOC387715 and CFH share a common pathway, possibly the complement system pathway, in AMD pathogenesis, and proposed that these genes might have a synergistic effect in AMD [17]. Furthermore, R38X, A69S, and R3H (rs10490923), which are the three common coding variants in the ARMS2 gene, were tested in a large case-control data set; the analysis showed that the A69S variant was significantly associated with AMD risk. The effect of the R3H variant was the opposite after adjustment for sex and age. Wang et al. [18] showed that if the effect of A69S is removed, the inverse effect of R3H is no longer statistically significant, revealing the strong linkage disequilibrium in this region and showing that the A69S variant is a strong risk factor for AMD. Kortvely et al. [19] found chimeric transcripts of the PLEKHA1 (pleckstrin homology domain-containing protein family A member 1) gene that ended in ARMS2, which may explain how variants in this locus affect AMD. From these studies, it may be concluded that a close relationship between ARMS2 variations and AMD exists, but the specific mechanism remains to be confirmed.

### Table 1 Basic information for single nucleotide polymorphisms of four susceptibility loci in ARMS2

| SNP          | Physical location | Function                   | Allele | Minor allele | Minor allele frequency |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------|--------------|------------------------|
| rs10490923   | 10:122454735      | Intron variant, missense   | A/G    | A            | 0.022                  |
| rs10490924   | 10:122454932      | Intron variant, missense   | G/T    | T            | 0.402                  |
| rs2736911    | 10:122454839      | Intron variant, stop gained| C/T    | T            | 0.122                  |
| rs2736912    | 10:122456078      | Intron variant             | C/T    | T            | 0.081                  |

**Medical treatment effects and AMD genetic variations**

In recent years, the prevalence of AMD has increased, and medical treatment options are developing quickly [20]. The argument that it is necessary to perform genotyping during the medical treatment of AMD has garnered attention over the past few years. Awh et al. [21, 22] suggested that the treatment response to antioxidants and zinc differs based on various combinations of CFH risk alleles and ARMS2 risk alleles, an idea that was inconsistent with a previous study by Lee and Brantley [23]. Chew et al. [24] opposed this idea as well, demonstrating that the genotypes at the CFH and ARMS2 loci did not significantly alter the benefits of Age-Related Eye Disease Study (AREDS) supplements, including a placebo, antioxidants, zinc, or antioxidants plus zinc. Smailhoodzic et al. [25] revealed that, although there was no significant association between changes in complement catabolism and CFH and ARMS2 genotypes, there was evidence that the daily administration of 50 mg of zinc sulfate could inhibit complement catabolism in AMD patients with increased complement activation and slow AMD progression.

These findings raise concerns about genotyping during the medical treatment of AMD. To date, the results for AREDS supplement use have shown dissimilar effects with different genotypes (summarized in Table 2). Basic research is greatly needed to resolve the question of whether genotyping is important.

At present, vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors (anti-VEGF) have become the first-line medication for the treatment of choroidal neovascularisation [26]. Ranibizumab is used frequently for neovascular AMD and for polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy. Hu et al. [27] indicated that, in patients with the A69S variant, the East Asian population showed better response to antiangiogenic treatment than the Caucasian population on the basis of a meta-analysis. Piermarocchi et al. [28] and Park et al. [29] indicated that the TT genotype of VEGFA
was associated with a significantly higher chance of visual gain than other genotypes. With respect to the tomographic outcome, the GG genotypes of both ARMS2 and HTRA1 were associated with larger central subfield macular thickness reduction than other genotypes, and no polymorphism showed a significant
association with the frequency of injections. In contrast, complement factor H risk alleles affected the mid-term response to ranibizumab in exudative AMD, with worse 12-month best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) outcomes, but there was no statistically significant association between ARMS2 and the curative effect. Dedania et al. [30] reviewed 16 different types of studies with follow-up periods that assessed 18 different genes and found that the frequency of injections may be associated with certain genotypes and that different genotypes might affect an individual’s response to treatment for neovascular AMD.

**Stem cell technology and ARMS2 research**

**Stem cell transplantation as a potential treatment**

Many different forms of blindness result from the dysfunction or loss of the outer retina, including AMD and hereditary retinal degeneration. Various genetic diseases, such as Best disease, retinitis pigmentosa (RP), and Stargardt disease (STGD), are increasingly becoming important causes of irreversible blindness. However, effective treatments for these diseases have not yet been developed. Therefore, cell replacement is becoming a new method of treatment for outer-retina diseases. Fortunately, the differentiation of retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) from human embryonic stem cells (hESCs), from mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), and from iPSCs has created many potential ways to replace dead or dying RPE. These cells have been shown to merge into the retina and regain functionality [31].

hESC-RPE replacement therapy is one current research focus. Qu et al. [32] successfully differentiated rat ESCs into glia-enriched retinal progenitor cells (RPCs) and retinal neuron-enriched RPCs in vitro; these cells might be useful for the treatment of such degenerative retinal diseases as AMD, RP, and STGD. Schwartz et al. [33] investigated the primary safety and tolerability endpoints of the subretinal transplantation of hESC-derived RPE in nine patients with Stargardt’s macular dystrophy (aged >18 years) and nine with atrophic AMD (aged >55 years). This study provided the first evidence of the medium- to long-term safety, graft survival, and possible biological activity of pluripotent stem cell progeny in individuals with Stargardt’s macular dystrophy and AMD [33]. Buchholz et al. [34] described a new protocol that was useful for rapidly generating RPE for transplantation. Although RPE from hESCs is an ideal RPE source for patients with dry AMD, it has potential risks. Ethical issues and lifelong immune rejection limit its application for modeling and transplant therapy in the clinical setting.

Recently, two encouraging reports using a rat model showed that erythropoietin (EPO) gene modification in MSCs may represent an even better source of RPE [35, 36]. As the derivation of RPE from MSCs is a new method for transplantation, further research in animal models is required to verify these results.

iPSCs, which originate from somatic cells, bear patient-specific genetic or protein information that may have potential for use in the development of personalized therapeutic approaches. Silva et al. [37] proposed standardized practices for iPSC generation and analyzed bottlenecks and future directions of this technique. Gong et al. [38] found that protein-induced pluripotent stem cells can be differentiated into RPE-like cells using a method that shows an increased safety profile, a critical consideration for the development of better treatments for degenerative retinal diseases such as AMD. Mandai et al. [39] demonstrated the feasibility of transplanting a sheet of RPE cells differentiated from iPSCs into a patient with neovascular AMD one year after surgery. The transplanted cell sheet remained intact; however, the BCVA neither improved nor worsened, and Central Macular Edema (CME) was present [39]. Although iPSCs have enormous potential for clinical applications, they also present several challenges. For example, they are derived from the patients themselves and thus may carry disease-causing genes, and they pose a potential risk of carcinoma [40, 41]. In future iPSC research, investigators should conduct detailed studies that focus on high-yield components to better apply iPSCs to humans.

**ARMS2 research based on the iPSC-RPE AMD model**

The development of iPSC technology has caused a stunning shift in the field of stem cell biology and has provided an alternative source of pluripotent cells [42]. The pioneering accomplishment in 2006 by the Yamanaka group had important implications for the field [43]. Several retinal models have been developed. Singh et al. [44] used Best patient-specific iPSC-derived RPE to simulate some of the cellular disease endophenotypes in RPE cells; Megaw et al. [45] used human iPSCs with RP-causing mutations to examine the pathophysiology of disease; and Yvon et al. [46] published a comprehensive paper about using stem cells to model diseases of the outer retina.

Genome-wide association studies have identified risk alleles for the disease, such as those of the ARMS2 and HTRA1 genes, but how these alleles lead to pathology remains unclear. There is currently a dearth of appropriate models for AMD; autopsied eyes from end-stage patients already possess terminal changes and therefore cannot be used to determine how abnormal gene expression can lead to RPE pathology, and mice do not have maculae [47]. As noted above, iPSC-RPE derived from AMD patients can model aspects of AMD in culture and is a better model than RPE stem cells. There is ample opportunity to study the ARMS2 gene using
iPSC-RPE cells that are derived from AMD patients themselves and express multiple biomarkers associated with AMD and AMD-associated proteins. Superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2), encoded by the SOD2 gene, is a mitochondrial enzyme that protects cells from oxidative damage [48]. Yang et al. [12] created a model of AMD by obtaining patient-specific iPSC-derived RPE and pharmacologically accelerating the ageing process by treatment with A2E and blue light. They found with this iPSC-RPE AMD model that the ARMS2/HTRA1 risk alleles decreased SOD2 defense, making RPE more susceptible to oxidative damage and thereby contributing to AMD pathogenesis [12]. However, these findings would be better supported if they were reproduced using additional patient samples with identical ARMS2/HTRA1 risk alleles. Using this ground-breaking iPSC model, researchers can better assess the effects of ARMS2/HTRA1 risk alleles on AMD pathogenesis and identify pathways that can be therapeutically targeted. Furthermore, Saini et al. [13] revealed the function of nicotinamide in AMD using the iPSC model; they noted that ICAM1, which has been linked to the development of the wet form of AMD, was strongly upregulated in human AMD ARMS2/HTRA1 iPSC-RPE and was markedly inhibited by nicotinamide [13].

Due to the 100% linkage disequilibrium between the ARMS2 and HTRA1 risk alleles, it has not been possible to establish which of these genes is the causal variant for AMD. Fortunately, in 2013, two American laboratories discovered that the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats/Cas-9(CRISPR/Cas9) system of prokaryotic adaptive immunity might be used as a new method for genome editing [49]. This technique may provide insight into iPSC-RPE AMD modeling. Researchers can now edit the genotypes at will, and the resulting iPSC-RPE cells can serve as models of specific ARMS2 risk alleles. This strategy is similar in principle to deriving iPSCs from patients with identical ARMS2/HTRA1 risk alleles. Furthermore, the genome-editing model has overtaken models using patient-specific hESCs due to the ethical, technical, and political concerns associated with such cells [50].

Conclusions
For the purposes discussed above, further study is needed for a greater understanding of the characteristics of ARMS2 gene-related AMD modeled using stem cell technology. The identification of additional disease-specific biological characteristics and a fuller understanding of the mechanisms of induction and migration will make drug discovery and cell therapy gradually change from dream to reality. Although they remain difficult to apply to clinical practice, several researchers have found that iPSCs have great potential for regenerative medicine. Continuing the progress of cell transplantation and regeneration in the retina and in AMD genetic models will prove to be beneficial. Regenerative medicine in the eye must be further improved so that it can be more effectively employed.

Although several issues remain to be solved, the findings discussed above provide new ideas for continuing exploration of the mechanisms by which ARMS2 high-risk alleles lead to AMD; such research will provide important guidance for the treatment of AMD.
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