Nonlinear Radiative Treatment of Hydromagnetic Non-Newtonian Fluid Flow Induced by a Nonlinear Convective-Boundary-Driven Curved Sheet With Dissipations and Chemical Reaction Effects
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This study investigates the flow of heat and mass transport of an incompressible MHD Cross fluid over a nonlinear curved stretching sheet. Heat transport incorporates viscous dissipation, radiative flux, and surface heating, whereas the fluid concentration is distressed with the first-order chemical reaction. A radially varying applied magnetic field is considered to examine the effect of Lorentz force and Ohmic heating. The rheology of the fluid is theoretically modeled and constitute a novel work for the completeness of shear thinning and thickening fluids over curved structure. Similarity method is utilized to reduce the governing system of PDE’s into ODE’s. Numerical computation through Runge-Kutta fourth order with shooting technique is implemented by the first initialized higher-order system into the first ODEs. The behaviors of the flow quantities—velocity, temperature, and concentration—are graphically analyzed against the parameters, including radius of curvature, fluid rheology, fluid radiation, and rate of reactions. The numerical results are validated in comparison with the published results. Studies of Newtonian fluids on flat and curved surfaces are the special cases of this work. The results are useful in material processing and polymer dynamics involving stretchable materials.
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INTRODUCTION

Fluid flow past a stretching sheet has been discussed extensively due to its practical applications in science and engineering. The pioneering works of Sakiadis and Crane [1, 2] on the subjects of free stream velocity and sheet stretching remain some of the most fundamental papers. However, literature on this subject is extensive, particularly when it comes to the linear stretching of plane surface for Newtonian fluid. The tremendous practical importance of stretching sheets in the chemical, polymer, and manufacturing industry, such as in fiber spinning, casting and drawing of annealing wires, and glass fiber, and extrusion of polymer sheets instigated linear stretching for viscous flow over a plane surface. Later on, other forms of stretching are introduced and more meaningful in practice, but the mathematical concept in exploring the solution of Navier-Stokes’s equations remains the prime task. The physical significance underlying this concept gives rise to non-linear velocity for power-law, exponential, and quadratic stretching in the forms of $ax^n$, $ae^x$, and $ax + bx^2$, respectively [3–15]. Non-Newtonian fluids are classified based on natural fluids, industrial fluids,
and biological fluids. The study of these fluids becomes imperative without exception and due to the absence of a unified model that could exhibit all the intrinsic properties of non-Newtonian fluids. This observation encourages several rheological models to be developed in order to accommodate the physical features of these fluids through various distinctive complex constitutive equations. The magnetohydrodynamics of non-Newtonian fluids in the phase of uniform, variable, and radially varying magnetic fields has various applications: in the production of plastic film, manufacturing of sensors for aerospace engineering, metallurgy, purification of crude oil, nuclear fuel slurries, hydroelectric power plants, cancer research, melt spinning processes, nuclear reactor-power plant, etc. Malik et al. [16] investigated the boundary-layer flow of MHD Sisko fluid past a stretchable cylinder with the dissipating effect of viscosity. Heat transfer of MHD stagnation point flow of a melting Sisko liquid is presented by Hayat et al. [17]. The thermal analysis of an unsteady hydromagnetic nano-Eryaniating Powell fluid due to a convective heated stretching sheet with viscous dissipation and radiation is documented by Mahanthesh et al. [18]. Hayat et al. [19] discussed the flow of hydromagnetic Jeffrey fluid past a nonlinear radially stretching sheet. Khalil et al. [20] examined the numerical solution of hydromagnetic Casson-nanofluid flow in a rigid rotating disk with Naver’s slip effect. For more relevant papers see [21–24]. The needs for heat and mass transfer in engineering, manufacturing, and geophysical applications cannot be over-exaggerated; examples include solar collector, drying of porous solids, hydrometallurgy, underground energy, transport, geothermal reservoirs, treatment of chemical vapor deposition on a surface freezing of ice (icing), packed bed catalytic reactors, thermal insulation, cooling of the skin of high-speed aero-velocity and turbine blades, and polymer melts. Moreover, the occurrence of chemical reactions in bulk fluid transport expedited the interest of several investigators to analyze some crucial aspects of fluid flow. Hammed et al. [25] used the Lie group to investigate the effects of convective boundary conditions over the flow, heat, and mass transfer. The hydromagnetic slip effect of MHD porous channel in the presence of entropy generation with the convective boundary condition was studied by Guillermo Ibanez [26]. Akhil et al. [27] presented micropolar ferrofluid in mixed convection flow subjected to convective Joule heating boundary conditions with dissipation effect. Reddy et al. [28] documented the flow of magnetohydrodynamic nanofluid with convective heat and mass transfer past a vertical cone. Mair et al. [29] studied with variable viscosity the nanofluid of the Casson model over a convective stretchable surface with heat and mass diffusion. Some non-Newtonian flow models are less descriptive and less generalized to accommodate certain essential features of non-Newtonian fluids. Power-law fluid is only credible for low shear rate; Ellis fluid can predict the behavior of shear thinning to a realistic degree of shear rates; Carreau fluid best fits for cases of low and high limiting; and Sisko fluid behaves like a shear-thinning fluid by varying its parameters. Cross [30] introduced a viscous rheology model that generalizes the power law and Sisko and Bingham fluid models and predicts the drawbacks of these fluids in which \( n \) and \( \Gamma \) are two curve fitting parameters. The Cross viscous model tends to predict flow behavior at very low and high shear rates. The model can reduce to various viscosity models under certain approximations. It yields a power-law model if \( k^+ \ll k_0 \) and \( k^+ \gg k_0 \), Sisko model if \( k^+ \ll k_0 \) and Bingham model if \( n = 1 \) with slight parameter adjustment. This fluid consists of a time constant, which makes it predominantly useful in carrying out industrial calculations. Its importance includes changing to the Newtonian fluid in the limit for both low and high shear rates. The appearance of a time constant in the governing equation widens the range of its industrial solicitations. We observe these features, and few papers have addressed the consideration of Cross fluid on plane flows, which includes the numerical investigations of heat transfer stagnation point flow of MHD Cross fluid toward stretching sheet by Hayat et al. [31]. The mixed convection Cross fluid flow in the presence of thermal radiation with buoyancy effects is studied by Manzur et al. [32]. Khan et al. [33] investigated Cross fluid flow on axisymmetric past a radially stretching plate with heat transfer phenomena. The point-stagnation flow of MHD Cross fluid over the stretched plane surface with numerical simulation and heat transfer is analyzed by Izaz et al. [34]. The problem of stretching on curved surfaces has attracted the attention of many researchers in the last couple of years. However, few articles have appeared for curved surfaces; nevertheless, curved structures are more realistic and can correspond to a wide range of flow situations. Sajid et al. [35] initiated viscous flow over a curved surface using the curvilinear coordinate to model linear stretching of viscous fluid. They observed that the pressure variations inside the boundary layer cannot be ignored compared to the plane-stretching sheet. Sanni et al. [36] presented a nonlinear power stretching of the curved surface, and unsteady flow of Micropolar fluid past a stretching/shrinking curved surface in the presence of permeability is examined by Saleh et al. [37]. Nadeem et al. [38] studied the variable viscosity of magneto nanofluid over a stretching curved surface with carbon nanotubes. Saba et al. [39] investigated the effects of internal heat generation in nanofluid flow past a curved stretching surface. The dual solutions of a hydromagnetic viscous fluid with shrinking effects over a curved plate were analyzed by Naveed et al. For further studies, readers can refer to [40–47]. In view of the abovementioned literature, no investigation has been made on the stretching problem of non-Newtonian Cross fluid in curved geometry. The objective of this investigation is to envisage the fluid velocity, heat transport, and concentration developed in manufacturing and engineering processes under desirable flow conditions. It analytically explores another important way to control the velocity using curved structures and widened the scope to foresee the most realistic phenomenon. The curved mechanism has been extensively hailed in surgical procedures where the blood flow requires to be controlled and minimized. Results show that both velocity and temperature are decreased for small curvature in the stretching problem and
TABLE 1 | Comparison of the $f'(0)$ and $-e'(0)$ values for limiting case with the published results.

| k  | M   | M   | We | N   | Cortel [4] | Ijaz et al. [34] | Khan et al. [33] | Hamad and Ferdows [6] | Present results |
|----|-----|-----|----|----|-----------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| $\infty$ | 1   | 0   | 0  | 0  | 1.0000    | 1.0000           | 1.0000           | 1.0004            | 1.0018          |
|    | −   | 0.2 | 0.5| 2  | −         | −                | −                | −                   | −1.8324          |
|    | 1.5 | −   |    |    | −         | −                | −                | −                   | −1.7066          |
|    | 2.0 | −   |    |    | −         | −                | −                | −                   | −1.4508          |
|    | 2.5 | −   |    |    | −         | −                | −                | −                   | −1.3797          |

$k = \infty$, $n = 0$, $Rn = 0$, $M = 0$, $w = 0$, $Ec = 0$, $We = 0$, $m = 1$, and $\Theta_0 = 1$.

such that $\sigma$ is the fluid conductivity, $B_0$ is the magnetic field strength, and $v$ and $u$ are the velocity components in radial and axial directions, respectively. The physical flow geometry is given in Figure 1.

$V = [v(r,x,0), u(r,x,0), 0]$ denotes the velocity vector field, $V = \hat{e}_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \lambda \hat{e}_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, with $\hat{e}_r$ and $\hat{e}_z$ being the unit vector in radial and axial direction, respectively. The governing equations for the continuity and momentum from Eqs. 1–4 are obtained as follows:

$$R^{-1}\lambda v + \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} = 0,$$

$$v \frac{d u}{d r} + \lambda \frac{u}{d r} + R^{-1} \lambda u v = -\frac{\lambda p}{\rho}$$

$$- \Gamma \frac{k_0 n}{2 \rho} \left( \frac{1}{(1 + (\Gamma y)^2)} \right)$$

$$+ 2 \lambda S_{xx} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x}$$

$$+ \frac{k_0}{\rho} \left[ 1 - \Gamma\left( \frac{1}{(1 + (\Gamma y)^2)} \right) \right]$$

$$- \frac{\lambda^2 B_0^2 u}{\rho}$$

$$(6)$$

$$(7)$$

PROBLEM MODELING

Consider the 2D flow of an incompressible MHD Cross-fluid past a boundary driven curved sheet of radius R. We introduce a curvilinear coordinate $(r, x, 0)$ in which $r$-axis is normal to the flow $(x - axis)$ and $d = 1/R$ as the curvature for $\lambda = 1/(1 + dr)$. A radially varying applied magnetic $B(r) = \lambda B_0 \hat{e}_r$ is acting transversely to the flow. The Lorentz force generated due to an electrically conducting Cross fluid is defined by $F = J \times B$, where the current density expresses as $J = \sigma (V \times B)$. In the absence of the electrical field, $E \approx 0$, the Lorentz force can now be described as

$F = (\sigma \lambda^2 B_0^2 u, 0, 0)$

$$(4)$$

where the various stress components can be expressed as
\[ S_{\nu} = \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial r}, S_{\nu x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\lambda}{\delta} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x} - R^{-1} \nu u, \text{ and, } S_{\nu x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + R^{-1} \nu u. \]  

(8)

Boundary conditions relevant for the velocity are

\[ u|_{r=0} = b_0 x^m, \nu|_{r=0} = 0, u|_{r=\infty} = 0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}|_{r=\infty} = 0, \]  

(9)

such that \( b_0(1/l^{(m-1)}) > 0 \) is constant and \( l \) is the characteristic length. Consider the dimensionless variables given below.

\[ \bar{\eta} = \frac{x}{r}, \bar{r} = \frac{r}{\delta}, \bar{\lambda} = \frac{R}{\delta}, \bar{p} = \frac{p}{\rho U_\infty^2}, \bar{u} = \frac{u}{U_\infty}, \bar{\nu} = \frac{\nu}{U_\infty}, \]  

\[ A = \frac{b_0}{\rho} U_\infty^{2m}. \]  

(10)

Using Eq. 10 and dropping the bars, the boundary layer equations from Eqs. 5, 8 take the form

\[ R^{-1} \lambda u^2 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}, \]  

(11)

\[ \nu \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \lambda u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + R^{-1} \lambda u \nu = -\frac{\lambda}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}, \]  

\[ -n \eta^3 A \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{r}} - R^{-1} \lambda u \right) + \]  

\[ \begin{bmatrix} (n+1) \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{r}^2} \\ -(n-1)R^{-1} \lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + (n-1)R^{-2} \lambda^2 u \\ \end{bmatrix} + \]  

\[ \frac{\bar{\nu}}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{r}^2} \right) - \frac{\sigma \bar{v}^2 B_\alpha^2 u}{\rho}, \]  

(12)

It is worth mentioning that the existing model of a Newtonian fluid can be recovered by setting the Cross fluid parameter, \( \Gamma = 0 \) from Eq. 12. For similarity investigation, the following variables are given:

\[ \eta = r \sqrt{b_0 x^{(m-1)}} \gamma, \rho^2 = \sqrt{b_0 x^{(m+1)}} \gamma, \]  

\[ \nu = -b_0 \lambda \sqrt{\gamma x^{(m-1)}} \frac{[m+1]}{b_0} f(\eta) + \eta [m-1] f'(\eta), \]  

(13)

\[ p = u^2 \rho(\eta), \]  

\[ k = \sqrt{b_0 x^{(m+1)}} \gamma R, M = \sqrt{\sigma B_\alpha^2 b_0^2 / \mu}, u = b_0 x^m f(\eta), \]  

(14)

in which \( f(\eta) \) represents the flow stream function. Using Eqs. 13, 14 in Eqs. 5, 11, 12, one can get

\[ \frac{(f')^2}{k+\eta} = p'(\eta), \]  

(15)

Equation 5 remains identically satisfied and primes symbolize derivatives with respect to \( \eta \). The Weissenberg number, \( We = \sqrt{b_0^2 \gamma R_0} \), gives dimensionless Cross-fluid parameter and \( M^2 = \sigma B_\alpha^2 b_0^2 / \mu \) represents the Hartmann number. The limiting case \( (k \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } p \rightarrow 0) \) confirms the correctness of the present model, thus Eq. 16 reduces to flow problem over a flat stretching sheet. The second tier induces the linear stretching \((m = 1)\),

\[ f'' + f f'' - (f')^2 = \left[ We(f') \right]^n (n+1) f'' - M^2 f'. \]  

(17)

The solution of Eq. 17 has been numerically given \([31-34]\). Moreover, the model governing power stretching for \( m > 1 \) gives

\[ f'' + \frac{(m+1)}{2} f f'' - m (f')^2 = \left[ We(f') \right]^n (n+1) f'' - M^2 f'. \]  

(18)

Here, numerical results of Eq. 18 for a large value of the dimensionless radius of curvature \( k \) are provided (see Table 1).

Utilizing Eq. 15 in Eq. 16, the pressure term is eliminated and one can get

\[ f'' - \frac{1}{k+\eta} f' - \frac{1}{k+\eta} f' + \frac{k}{k+\eta} \left[ \frac{(m+1)}{2} f'' \right] - \frac{k}{k+\eta} \left[ \frac{(m-1)}{2} f'' \right] \]  

\[ \frac{1}{k+\eta} \left[ \left( n+1 \right) f'' + \frac{1}{k+\eta} f'' \right] \]  

\[ = \left( \frac{n-1}{k+\eta} \right) f'' + \frac{1}{k+\eta} f'' \]  

\[ + \frac{2n}{k+\eta} \]  

\[ + \frac{n}{k+\eta} \]  

\[ + \frac{M^2 k}{(k+\eta)^2} \left( f' - \frac{1}{k+\eta} \right). \]  

(19)

There exist corresponding boundary conditions to Eqs 9, 10 in the dimensionless form.

\[ f(\eta)|_{\eta=0} = 0, f'(\eta)|_{\eta=0} = 1, f'(\eta)|_{\eta=\infty} = 0, f''(\eta)|_{\eta=\infty} = 0. \]  

(20)
HEAT AND MASS TRANSFER

The thermodynamics and Fick’s law for heat transport and concentration diffusion are given:

\[ \rho C_p (V \cdot \nabla)T = \nabla (K_0 \nabla T) + \tau A_1 + \nabla \tilde{q}_0 + \frac{1}{\sigma} (V \cdot J), \]  

\[ \tau A_1 = \frac{\Pi}{A_1} \left[ 2 (S_{r})^2 + 2 (S_{w})^2 + 2 (s_{x})^2 \right], \]  

\[ (V \cdot \nabla)C = D_m (\nabla^2 C) + k_c (C - C_\infty). \]

in which \( \tau A_1 \) and \( \tilde{q}_0 \) denote viscous dissipation term and radiative heat flux, respectively. \( K_0 \) is the thermal conductivity, \( C_p \) the specific heat capacity at constant pressure, \( C \) the fluid concentration, \( D_m \) the coefficient of chemical diffusion, \( k_c \) the reaction rate of the first order, and the last term of Eq. 22 represents the Ohmic heating. After using Eqs. 3, 22, 23 in Eq. 21, we get

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} + \lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \left\{ \frac{K_0}{\sigma} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + R^{-1} \lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right] + \frac{k_0 (\frac{\partial \tilde{q}_0}{\partial r} - R^{-1} \lambda \rho)}{1 + \left[ \frac{\Gamma (\frac{\tilde{q}_0}{\sigma})}{R^{-1} \lambda \rho} \right]^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{q}_0}{\partial r^2} + \sigma \lambda^2 E_b \right\}, \]

\[ \frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma} + \lambda \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = D_m \left[ \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + R^{-1} \lambda \frac{\partial C}{\partial r} \right] + k_c (C - C_\infty), \]

subjected to the boundary conditions:

\[ -K_0 \tilde{q}_0 T_r |_{r=0} = h_f (T_w - T), \quad -D_m \tilde{q}_0 C_r |_{r=0} = D_c (C_w - C), \]

\[ T_r |_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow T_\infty, \quad C_r |_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow C_\infty, \]

\( T_w \) is the surface temperature, \( T_\infty \) the ambient temperature, and \( h_f \) and \( D_c \) are convective heat and mass transport, respectively.

**FIGURE 2** | Effect of \( k, M, m, \) and \( We \) on the stream function.
By virtue of Rosseland approximation, the radiative heat flux can be written in the form

$$\dot{q}_0 = \frac{4\sigma^*}{3k^*} \frac{\partial T^4}{\partial r} - \frac{16\sigma_SB^* T_0^4}{3a_k \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}},$$  

(28)

such that $\sigma^*$ is Stefan-Boltzmann constant and $k^*$ the mean spectral absorption coefficient.

Using Eq. 28 in Eq. 24, we obtain

$$v_{\eta} + \lambda u_{\eta} = \frac{K_0}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{3a_R n^2 T^2}{\rho C_p} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma^* R^* T^4}{\rho C_p}
$$

$$= 0,$$  

(29)

$$\alpha = K_0/\rho C_p$$ yields the thermal diffusivity and $Rn = 16\sigma_SB^*/3a_k K_0$ is the radiation parameter. If dimensionless similarity for the temperature and concentration take form

$$\Theta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_w - T_\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\eta) = \frac{C - C_\infty}{C_w - C_\infty},$$  

(30)

we can express $T = [1 + (\Theta_w - 1)\Theta(\eta)]$. Utilizing this expression and Eq. 30 together with Eqs. 13, 14, the dimensionless form of Eqs. 25, 29 give the following:

$$\frac{1 + Rn[1 + (\Theta_w - 1)\Theta]^3}{Pr} \Theta^* + \frac{3Rn(\Theta_w - 1)[1 + (\Theta_w - 1)\Theta]^2}{Pr} \left( \frac{\Theta^*}{Pr} \right)^2 + \Theta^* = 0,$$  

(31)

$$\frac{k(m + 1)f^*}{2(k + \eta)} + \frac{Ec(f^* - \frac{\lambda}{\rho C_p}f)}}{1 + \left[ We(f^* - \frac{\lambda}{\rho C_p} f^*) \right]^2} + \frac{k^2w(f^*)^2}{Pr(k + \eta)^2} = 0,$$  

(32)

In these equations, $\Theta_w = T_w/T_\infty$ is the temperature parameter, $Ec = (U_{\infty, w}^2/C_p(T_w - T_\infty))$ the Eckert number, $Pr = (k/\rho C_p)$ the Prandtl number, $\beta = (k_0/k_{pa})^2$ the chemical reaction parameter, $Pe = Sc^*Re$ the Peclet number, $Sc = k_0/\rho D_m$ the Schmidt number, and $w = (\sigma B_0^2 U_{\infty, w}^2 R^* T_0(T_w - T_\infty))$ an Ohmic heating parameter. The linear radiation can be
verified if $\Theta_w = 1$, whereas $\Theta_w > 1$ corresponds to the nonlinear part.

Equations 26, 27 yield

$$\Theta(\eta)|_{\eta=0} = -Bt(1 - \Theta(0)), \Phi'(\eta)|_{\eta=0} = -Bc(1 - \Phi(0)),$$ (33)

$$\Theta(\eta)|_{\eta\to\infty} = 0, \Phi(\eta)|_{\eta\to\infty} = 0,$$ (34)

where $Bt = \frac{h_0}{k_0} \sqrt{\frac{k_0}{\rho \kappa b x^2}}$ and $Bc = \frac{k_0}{K_0} \sqrt{\frac{k_0}{\rho \kappa b x^2}}$ are the thermal and concentration Biot number, respectively.

Quantities like surface drag force, heat, and mass transfer rate are influential in fluid flow control and thermal regulation from an engineering and practical point of view. These physical quantities for the Cross fluid are calculated as follows:

$$C_f = \frac{\tau_{rx}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho b x^2}, \text{Nu} = \frac{x a_0}{K_0 (T - T_w)}, \text{Sh} = \frac{x \Pi_j}{k (C - C_a)},$$ (35)

where
\[ r_{\eta_{\infty}} = \frac{k_0}{1 + \left[ \frac{W(f''(0) - \frac{1}{2} f')}{1 + \left( \frac{1}{\\bar{\nu}} - R^{1+\lambda} \mu \right) \eta} \right]^n}, \quad \bar{q}_0 = -K_0 \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial r_{\infty}} \text{ and } \]
\[ \Pi_k = -D_m \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial r_{\infty}}. \quad (36) \]

After incorporating Eqs. 13, 14, 36 into Eq. 35, we arrive at
\[ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Re}^2 C_t = \frac{f''(0) - \frac{1}{2}}{1 + \left[ W(f''(0) - \frac{1}{2} f') \right]^n}, \quad (37) \]
\[ \text{NuRe}^{\frac{1}{2}} = -\Theta(0), \quad (38) \]
\[ \text{ShRe}^{\frac{1}{2}} = -\Phi'(0). \quad (39) \]

**NUMERICAL SOLUTION**

The transformed system of nonlinear ODEs gotten in Eqs. 19, 31, 32 together with the conditions given in Eqs. 20, 33, 34 are numerically solved using the Runge-Kutta (RK) fourth order with shooting technique. The higher-order ODEs (BVPs) are discretized into a system of initial value problems (IVPs) by swapping the characterized variables:

\[ f = y_1, f' = y_2, f'' = y_3, f''' = y_4, \Theta = y_5, \Theta' = y_6, \Phi = y_7, \Phi' = y_8, \quad (40) \]

Invoking Eq. 40 in the required equations, a linearized first order is presented in block:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{y_1'}{}
\frac{y_2'}{}
\frac{y_3'}{}
\frac{y_4'}{}
\frac{y_5'}{}
\frac{y_6'}{}
\frac{y_7'}{}
\frac{y_8'}{}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{y_3}{y_2}
\frac{y_4}{y_3}
\frac{2y_5 + \epsilon^2 y_5 + \epsilon y_7 + kD_{m+1, \lambda_1} y_7 - kD_{3m-1, \lambda_1} y_7 y_2}{y_2 - kD_{m+1, \lambda_1}} y_2 y_3 y_4 y_5 y_6 y_7 y_8
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{y_6}{y_5}
\frac{y_7}{y_6}
\frac{y_8}{y_7}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{y_6}{y_5}
\frac{y_7}{y_6}
\frac{y_8}{y_7}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
- \frac{1}{1 + RnN^2} y_5
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{y_6}{y_5}
\frac{y_7}{y_6}
\frac{y_8}{y_7}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{y_6}{y_5}
\frac{y_7}{y_6}
\frac{y_8}{y_7}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

for

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{y_6}{y_5}
\frac{y_7}{y_6}
\frac{y_8}{y_7}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{y_6}{y_5}
\frac{y_7}{y_6}
\frac{y_8}{y_7}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where \( z_1, z_2, z_3, \) and \( z_4 \) are unknown conditions initially at infinity. These conditions are treated using Taylor’s series for \( f''(0), f'''(0), \Theta(0), \) and \( \Phi(0) \) about \( \infty \) while \( N_1 = 1 + (\Theta w - 1)\Theta \) and \( \epsilon = 1/(k + \eta) \). The shooting technique assumed guesses for \( z_1 \) to \( z_4 \) and numerically integrate Eq. 40 to a given endpoint. The accuracy of these guesses is checked in comparison with the value of the dependent variable at that end point, and guesses are updated if differences exist via loops and the process is repeated until accurate guesses are obtained and then terminated. The algorithm is implemented through ode45 built-in MATLAB command with the step size of \( (\delta \eta = 10^{-2}) \) and tolerance error of \( 10^{-8} \) to obtain the numerical results.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The responses of the curvature \( k \), Lorentz force \( M \), power law stretching index \( m \), and Cross fluid parameter \( W_e \) on the stream function \( f(\eta) \) are presented in Figure 2. Figure 2A shows that the

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  y_1(0) \\
  y_2(0) \\
  y_3(0) \\
  y_4(0) \\
  y_5(0) \\
  y_6(0) \\
  y_7(0) \\
  y_8(0)
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
  0 \\
  1 \\
  z_1 \\
  z_2 \\
  -B_1(1 - y_5(0)) \\
  z_3 \\
  -B_1(1 - y_7(0)) \\
  z_4
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (42)
\]
stream function \( f(\eta) \), decreases steadily with increasing radius of curvature \( k \) (as the surface becomes flat). Figure 2B confirms the reduction of the flow lines due to the applied magnetic field \( M \), which produces the opposing Lorentz force. The flow trajectories are reduced by the stretching index \( m \) as shown in Figure 2C. From these figures, we conclude that the flow trajectories can be controlled/regulated with the geometry parameter (radius of curvature), body force (magnetic field), and induced stretching index. This observation will aid the flow control system in polymer dynamics, manufacturing, and engineering industry. Figure 2D explains the rheology effect of \( \text{We} \) (Weissenberg number) on flow lines. It is observed that the stream lines increase for increasing \( \text{We} \). Physically, the shear thinning (low viscosity) of the Cross fluid having less resistance increases the flow lines and enlarges the momentum boundary layer. The flow velocity accompanied by the boundary layer thickness that is developed on the curved surface can be portrayed in Figure 3. An increase in the flow parameters results in decreasing the velocity, \( f'(\eta) \), which in turn decreases the momentum boundary layer thickness. We recall that the velocity decreases with the radius of curvature, magnetic field, and power law index. Accordingly, the momentum boundary layer thickness will be controlled by these parameters (most revered phenomena in fluid dynamics). These observations are presented in Figures 3A–C. The rheology effect of \( \text{We} \) is presented in Figure 3D. That is, increasing the Weissenberg number \( (\text{We}) \) increases the velocity as well as momentum boundary layer thickness. In other words, this affirms that the flow field can be optimized for a higher shear-thinning parameter for the Cross fluid. The heat transport is investigated under the influence of non-linear \((\Theta_w > 1)\) Stefan-Boltzmann radiations against linear \((\Theta_w = 1)\) scenario. From Figure 4A, we observe that a large radius of curvature \( k \) reduces the temperature \( \Phi(\eta) \) and the thermal boundary layer region. In other words, heat kinematics can be minimized inside the boundary layer region by virtue of increasing/decreasing \( k \). The influence of the Lorentz force on the temperature is given in Figure 4B. The graph reveals an increasing trend in thermal profile with increasing magnetic field parameter, \( M \). An important conclusion of the heat transfer analysis is that additional heat is generated by the application of Lorentz force and nonlinear radiation, which are the two additional features included in this study. Figures 4C,D present the effect of stretching velocity power index \( m \) and Cross fluid parameter \( \text{We} \) on the temperature field. In these graphs, both the temperature and thermal boundary layer region diminish for increasing \( m \) and \( \text{We} \), respectively. This observation shows that the temperature and associated thermal boundary layer can

**FIGURE 7** | Variation of Surface drag force on curvature parameter \( k \) against \( m \), and \( \text{We} \).
be controlled by means of any of these parameters. Figures 4E,F
illustrate the impacts of temperature parameters and thermal Biot
number, Bt, on the temperature profile. In these plots, increasing,
Θ_w/Bt, enhances the temperature and widens the thermal boundary
region. Thus, both the parameters play a substantial improvement to
the heat flow and vital to this study. With much emphasis on the
temperature difference to harness the linear and nonlinear radiative
impact, a comparative illustration is given in Figure 5. However, the
usual interpretations of the various physical parameters, Pr, Ec, Rn,
and w, involved in this study are present with a striking difference
between linear and non-linear radiation. Figure 5A shows a decaying
temperature profile for large Pr. This observation is due to an increase
in fluid conductivity. Figures 5B–D displayed an increasing
temperature profile together with an accompanying thermal
boundary layer. The reason being this is that the viscosity bond
dissociates as the Eckert number increases (see Figure 5B). Moreover,
the response of the nonlinear term (Θ_w = 1.5) is obviously intense in
comparison with linear radiation (Θ_w = 1). The effect of radiation on
temperature profile is presented in Figure 5C. As radiation is a
habitual source of heat, increasing Rn increases the thermal boundary
layer thickness and temperature profile. The effect of Ohmic heating
on the temperature field experienced by the surface is shown in
Figure 5D. In this figure, the thermal profile increases slightly for
increasing w owing to the additional heat generated at the surface and
flows to the fluid. However, the nonlinearity, Θ_w, slightly
optimizes the temperature and thermal boundary layer thickness. The
influence of radius of curvature k, stretching power index m, Pedlet number
Pe, Schmidt number Sc, reaction parameter β, and concentration Biot
number Bc on concentration profile Φ(η) are sketched in Figure 6.
Figure 6A explains the impact of increasing k on Φ(η). We observe
that the concentration profile increases as the surface curvature
reduces. Figures 6B–E present consequences of m, Pe, Sc, and β
on Φ(η). Increasing stretching power-index decreases
fluid concentration (see Figure 6B). Figures 6C–D elucidate reducing
concentrations due to high Pe (low diffusivity) and Sc (low mass
diffusion). Further, the effect of the rate of chemical reaction on Φ(η)
is shown Figure 6E. This implies the higher the chemical reaction in
the flow field, the lesser the fluid concentration. In fact, these
observations are important in regulating the concentration profile
and will be helpful in the chemical industry. Figure 6F lightens the
influence of concentration Biot number on Φ(η). It is seen that an
increase in Bc boosts the concentration profile inferring a remarkable
consequence of depressing mass diffusivity. The variation in the
physical quantities, surface drag force (∫f(0)), heat transfer rate
(−Θ′(0)), and mass transfer rate (−Φ′(0)) are presented in Figures 7–9. Figure 7 presents the variation of the skin drag force for different k against stretching index, Lorenz force, and fluid rheology parameters, respectively. In Figure 8, variation in heat transfer against parameters examined in Figure 7 is presented in addition to the thermal Biot number. Figure 9 gives the variation of the mass transfer rate for different values of k against the stretching power-index, Peclet number, Schmidt number, reaction rate, and concentration Biot number. The upper part of Table 1 shows dual numerical results of the surface drag force in agreement with the published work and for the special case of Eq. 18. The rate of heat transfer computed shows a good agreement with the previous work.
presents the surface drag force and rate of heat and mass transfer of the comparison with the existing literature on curved surfaces. These two

| K   | Abbas et al. [44] | Sanni et al. [42] | Present results |
|-----|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
|     | f′(0) − Θ′(0)   | f′(0) − Θ′(0)   | f′(0) − Θ′(0)   |
| 5   | 1.22881 0.43268 | 1.20372 0.42418 | 1.20370 0.42420 |
| 10  | 1.12311 0.41896 | 1.10709 0.41132 | 1.10704 0.41130 |
| 20  | 1.07541 0.41094 | 1.06389 0.40365 | 1.06385 0.40362 |
| 200 | 1.03553 0.40298 | 1.02788 0.39604 | 1.02785 0.39600 |
| 1,000| 1.03212 0.40224 | 1.02480 0.39553 | 1.02480 0.39560 |

(see the lower part of the table). Table 2 gives the present results in comparison with the existing literature on curved surfaces. These two tables show an excellent agreement and confirm the results in the literature as special cases of this present study. Appendix Table A1 presents the surface drag force and rate of heat and mass transfer of the present problem.

CONCLUSION

This study investigated the nonlinear radiative heat and mass transport of MHD fluid developed by non-linear stretching (power law index) of the curved surface. A non-Newtonian Cross fluid is examined. The flow fields, velocity, temperature, and concentration are explained under the effect of viscous dissipation, Ohmic heating, and chemical reaction, respectively. The thermal and concentration appearances are good empirical proof, and the emerging parameters of the flow problem and main findings of this study are highlighted below.

- The velocity and associated momentum boundary layer decreases for small curvature (large radius of curvature), high Lorentz force, and nonlinear stretching power (m) whereas it increases for the high fluid rheology parameter (We). Thus, the flow field can be controlled/regulated through these parameters as a requirement of industrial, bio-mechanical, and engineering processes.

- The temperature profile decreases for an increase in the following: radius of curvature, k, stretching power-index, m, and the fluid rheology parameter, We. On the other hand, the heat flow region is enhanced by way of the impacts of the magnetic field M, nonlinear radiative temperature difference, Θ_m, and thermal Biot number, Bt.

- The temperature profile is significantly improved for optimizing Eckert number, radiation, and Ohmic heating parameters (due to quantum heat produced by Lorentz force). The effect is substantial for the nonlinear radiation. A lower temperature profile is experienced for a higher Prandtl number. These observations have been widely discussed and accepted in their consequences.

- The concentration profile is increased for; small curvature (large radius of curvature) parameter, increasing the stretching power parameter, high Peclet and Schmidt numbers, and high rate of reaction. However, the opposite behavior is observed as the temperature profile enhances for an increasing concentration of the Biot number.
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APPENDIX

The expressions for $B_i$ ($i = 1(1)3$) are given below:

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (n+1)x_4' + \varepsilon x_4 + (n-1)e^2x_3 \\ -(n-1)e^2x_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (A1)$$

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} (n+1)x_5' - 2n\varepsilon x_4x_4' + 2n\varepsilon^2x_3x_4 \\ + (n-1)e^2x_5' - (2n-2)e^2x_3x_2 \\ + (n-1)e^2x_4'' - M^2k^2\varepsilon^2(x_3 - \varepsilon x_2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (A2)$$

$$B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} PrE(\frac{m+1}{2})x_4x_8 + 3Rn(\Theta - 1)N^2x_8^2 \\ + \varepsilon x_6 + \frac{PrEc(x_3 - \varepsilon x_2)^2}{1 + [We(x_3 - \varepsilon x_2)]^2} + wk^2\varepsilon^2x_2' \end{bmatrix} \quad (A3)$$

| k | M | Ec | Rn | We | W | Bt | Bc | Pe | Sc | f'(0) | -\Phi'(0) | -\Theta'(0) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | -0.75791 | -0.24172 | 0.21494 |
| - | - | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -0.35695 |
| - | - | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -0.53997 |
| 7 | - | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | -0.13736 |
| - | - | - | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | -0.40112 | 0.13426 | 0.21241 |
| - | - | - | 1.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | -0.31929 | 0.23770 | 0.21789 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | -0.07680 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -0.45489 |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | 0.1 | - | - | - | -0.45636 | -0.26205 | 0.06118 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | 0.23155 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.4 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 0.19889 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 | - | - | - | 0.22569 |