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Abstract

Background: Nutrition plays a major role in intensive care unit (ICU) treatment, influencing ICU length of stay and patient's survival. If preferable enteral nutrition administration is not feasible, ESPEN and ASPEN guidelines recommend initiation of a supplemental parenteral route between the first and seventh day, but exact timing remains elusive. While rapid development in critical care enabled significant reduction in the mortality rate of ICU patients, this improvement also tripled the number of patients going to rehabilitation. Thus, it is quality of life after ICU that has become the subject of interest of clinicians and healthcare policy-makers. A growing body of evidence indicates that protein turnover in the early phase of critical illness may play a crucial role in the preservation of lean body mass. A negative protein balance may lead to muscle wasting that persists weeks and months after ICU stay, resulting in deterioration of physical functioning. Following oncological gastrointestinal tract surgery, patients are threatened with negative protein turnover due to cancer and extensive surgical insult.

Methods: This is a multi-centre, single-blinded, randomised controlled trial. The study population includes patients admitted to ICU units after major oncological gastrointestinal surgery that require supplemental parenteral nutrition. After initiation of enteral nutrition, the intervention group receives remaining daily requirement via supplemental parenteral nutrition on the first day of ICU stay while the control group is not supplemented parenterally until the seventh day of ICU stay while enteral nutrition is gradually increased.

Primary endpoint: long-term quality of life measured in the physical component score (PCS) of SF-36 questionnaire at 3 and 6 months after ICU admission.

Discussion: To our knowledge, this is the first trial to investigate the influence of early supplemental parenteral nutrition on long-term quality of life after major oncological gastrointestinal surgery. We assume that, particularly in this population of patients, early supplemental parenteral nutrition may increase the long-term quality of life. The study construction also allows establishment of patients’ PCS SF-36 score prior to surgery and mean change in PCS SF-36 score during the recovery period, which is rarely seen in studies on critically ill patients.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT03699371 registered on 12 October 2018.
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Background

Overview
Nutrition plays a major role in intensive care unit (ICU) treatment, influencing not only ICU length of stay and in-hospital mortality but also the patient's long-term quality of life. According to the American Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN) and the European Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ESPEN) the enteral nutrition (EN) route is preferential to the parenteral nutrition (PN) route to provide the daily protein and energy requirements for ICU patients (1.2–2 g protein/kg/day; 20–25 kcal energy/kg/day). However, in many cases, this cannot be met exclusively via EN due to a multitude of reasons. ASPEN and ESPEN guidelines then recommend the use of supplemental parenteral nutrition (SPN). Optimal timing of SPN remains elusive in the acute phase of critical illness (between first and seventh day after admission to ICU). A growing body of evidence underlines the crucial role of protein turnover in ICU patients [1].

Existing knowledge
The importance of nutritional support is well established in the existing literature. Wischmayer et al. [2] raise awareness of the impact of interventions during ICU stay on long-term outcomes. The authors underline that, although rapid development in critical care enables a significant reduction in mortality rate, at the same time, it resulted in tripling the number of patients undergoing rehabilitation. Moreover, recent studies have proven that loss of lean body mass can reach a kilogram a day in critically ill or post-surgical patients [3]; most of this weight loss takes place during the first 7 days of ICU stay. However, the prevalence of fat tissue in the mass gained by patient following this may influence the future physical functioning after critical illness. Additionally, there are reports associating impaired wound healing, spontaneous wound development or even increased mortality secondary to pneumonia with extensive lean body mass loss [4]. It is worth noting that a catabolic/hypermetabolic state can persist for up to 2 years from hospital discharge and, although patients usually gain weight back after the ICU discharge, most of this weight is just fat mass.

Lately, the evidence on the role of optimal provision of protein in the prevention of muscle wasting is accumulating. Shifting the protein balance from negative to positive during the early stage of admission to ICU was proven possible by infusion of high level of amino acids (1 g/kg/day) [5]. Furthermore, the extent of the effect of the amino acid bolus, which was observed at the end of 3-h infusion, is present at 24 h [6]. Thus, these studies advocate that protein turnover remains stable even during critical illness. Moreover, studies on other populations (e.g. elderly, athletes) have proven that protein synthesis can be stimulated by bolus infusions [7, 8].

Aim of the study
Our goal is to compare the influence of early and late SPN on the long-term quality of life (measured by the 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) scoring system) in a population of critically ill patients after oncological surgery of the gastrointestinal tract at 3 and 6 months after admission to ICU.

Hypothesis
We hypothesise that early goal-directed supplementation of proteins in SPN may significantly increase the number of points in the physical component score (PCS) at 3 and 6 months after admission to ICU in the population of critically ill patients after oncological surgery of the gastrointestinal tract.

The need for the trial
Little is known about the effects of continuous low-dose protein administration on lean body mass in the early phase of critical illness. There are only a few studies on the impact of early protein delivery on long-term physical quality of life after ICU [9], whereas no studies assess this issue in a population of patients after oncological surgery of the gastrointestinal tract, who are highly threatened with a persistent catabolic state following the mixed effect of carcinogenesis and surgical insult. The potential benefits of this trial include not only the identification of factors that could alter the rate of individual physical impairments but it could also address the issue of growing costs of rehabilitation of ICU survivors to the healthcare system.

Methods/design

Study setting
The study will be conducted at the II Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care at the First Teaching Hospital in Lublin (Medical University of Lublin) and Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care at University Hospital of Opole (Medical University of Opole), Poland.

Objective
This randomised trial will investigate the effect of an early supplemental nutrition therapy during intensive care, on long-term physical quality of life and short-term clinical outcomes.

Trial design
Multi-centre, single-blinded, randomised controlled trial.
Trial population
The trial population will include patients admitted to the ICU after major gastrointestinal surgery due to cancer who require SPN.

Interventions

Intervention group
The intervention group will receive EN reaching up to 20% of daily nutritional requirements and early (on day 1 of their ICU stay) provision of up to 80% of their protein (2 g/kg/day or, in case of continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT), 2.5 g/kg/day) and caloric (15–20 kcal/kg/day) needs in SPN, which will be continued until day 7 of ICU stay for the purpose of the study.

Control group
The control group will receive EN reaching up to 20% of their daily nutritional requirements and late provision (of up to 80%) of their protein (2 g/kg/day or, in case of CRRT, 2.5 g/kg/day) and caloric (15–20 kcal/kg/day) needs in SPN on day 7 of ICU stay if it is not already met via EN.

Route of administration
SPN is continuously infused for 24 h via central venous catheter. The central venous catheter is placed for routine medical purposes and therefore is not a part of any study-related procedure.

Dosage
SPN will be administered in a volume that provides up to 80% of the targeted proteins and calories. If energy is provided from other sources (e.g. via supplemental EN/oral nutrition or non-nutritional sources such as glucose solution for drug dilution or lipids from propofol), the dose of the SPN will be reduced accordingly. For dose calculation, the patient’s estimated body weight at the time of admission to the ICU will be used.

Administering of nutrition
The ICU nurses will administer the PN or EN according to the allocation. The SPN solutions will be prepared in a hospital pharmacy based on the ICU specialist’s prescription. The EN solutions are ready-to-use products prepared by manufacturers, details of which will be provided in the study report. Any problem related to nutrition will be reported by ICU nurses to ICU specialists. The site Principal Investigator is responsible for the adherence of administration of nutrition to the study protocol. Full and empty parenteral solution bags will be counted daily.

Concomitant care
The implementation of either the intervention or control group protocols will not require alteration to other usual care pathways. Relevant concomitant care will be recorded according to the study protocol.

Outcomes

Primary endpoint
The long-term quality of life will be measured by the physical component of the 36-SF questionnaire assessed by a phone call at 3 and 6 months after admission to the ICU (License number QM047431 CT198126 OP073545 OGSR).

Secondary endpoints
A. During the 7-day treatment period in both treatment arms:
   1. EN route intolerance (inability to administer up to 60% of protein needs on day 3 via EN route)
   2. Change from baseline in ultrasound measured thickness of diaphragm on days 1, 3 and 5 of ICU stay
   3. Protein delivery
   4. Energy intake
   5. Insulin dose
   6. Blood glucose profile
   7. Organic phosphorus level
B. During the 28-day treatment period in both treatment arms:
   8. Change from baseline in the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score
   9. Duration of mechanical ventilation
   10. Length of stay in the ICU
   11. ICU mortality
   12. Length of stay in hospital
   13. Hospital mortality
   14. New onset of hospital-acquired infection (defined according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance definition as a localised or systemic condition resulting from an adverse reaction to the presence of an infectious agent(s) or its toxin(s), with no evidence that the infection was present or incubating at the time of admission to the acute care setting)
   15. Antibiotic-free days

End of trial
Recruitment will cease when the last patient is randomised following our sample size recommendation. The end of the trial is expected when the last 6-month follow-up data is collected.
Sample size
Target sample size: 220 (110 in each intervention and control group).

Rationale
According to the data presented by Allingstrup et al. [9] we estimated that 200 patients are needed to show a 15% relative reduction in the primary outcome (physical component of SF-36 score at 6 months) corresponding to a difference of 5.5 points (minimal clinical important difference defined as half a standard deviation from the observed dataset in the presented study) between the intervention and the control group at a significance level of 0.05 and a power of 80%. The calculations were based on a PCS of 37.5 (SD 10.65) among survivors from their data. The PCS SF-36 did not differ between the intervention and control groups at 6 months in the general ICU population in this study. Moreover, we increased the number of patients in our study to 220 assuming a 10% loss to follow-up among survivors from ICU. We hypothesised that early SPN might influence the long-term outcome of critically ill patients after oncological surgery of the gastrointestinal tract that constituted only 6% of the intervention group and 12% of the control group of the study mentioned above.

Recruitment
Patients enrolled in the study will be recruited from the population scheduled for gastrointestinal cancer surgery at the II Department of General and Gastrointestinal Surgery and Surgical Oncology of the Alimentary Tract and at Department of Surgical Oncology at First Teaching Hospital in Lublin, Poland, and the Department of General and Vascular Surgery at University Hospital in Opole, Poland.

Methods
Assignment of intervention
Enrolment procedure
All study participants will be enrolled from surgical departments by anaesthetic residents during presurgical assessment according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria (Table 1 and Fig. 1). Prior to entry, the patient, next of kin or legal representatives will need to sign the consent forms.

Randomisation
Each eligible patient is allocated randomly during admission to one of the two groups: the early SPN or the late SPN group. The randomisation process is based on the order of admission to ICU, during which each eligible patient is given a consecutive number. Subsequently, a sealed envelope with a matching number is opened, which contains the name of either the intervention or the control group. The envelopes will be concealed and prepared according to the 1:1 ratio computer-generated randomisation table by a medical secretary who will not be involved in the trial.

### Table 1: Eligibility criteria

| Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1. ICU patients in the acute phase of critical illness after gastrointestinal oncological surgery | Exclusions associated with nutritional status: |
| 2. Age ≥18 years | 1. Received parenteral nutrition within 7 days before randomisation |
| 3. Central venous access available for continuous infusion of the study drugs | 2. Expected to receive ≥20% of energy via supplemental EN and/or non-nutritional sources (e.g. glucose solution for drug dilution or lipids from propofol) during the first 3 nutritional treatment days |
| 4. Admitted to the ICU during the previous 24 h with a minimum expected ICU stay of 2 days | 3. Inability to initiate EN prior to randomization |
| 5. Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score ≥2 | 4. Body mass index <17 kg/m² or >35 kg/m² |
| 6. Written informed consent from the patient or the patient's legal representative | 5. Any congenital errors of amino acid metabolism |

Exclusions associated with comorbidities and allergies:
6. Known hypersensitivity to fish, egg, soybean proteins, peanut proteins, or to any of the active substances or excipients contained in SPN
7. Known hypersensitivity to milk protein or to any other substance contained in SPN
8. Hemophagocytic syndrome
9. Known history of HIV, hepatitis B and/or C
10. Any severe, persistent blood coagulation disorder with uncontrolled bleeding
Concomitant therapy exclusions:
11. Chronic maintenance therapy with systemic glucocorticoid steroids (hydrocortisone >0.3 mg/kg/d).
12. Concomitant administration of chemotherapy
13. Administration of growth hormone and tetradecylate within the previous 4 weeks

Laboratory exclusions:
14. Hypertriglyceridemia characterised by serum triglyceride levels >4 mmol/L (>350 mg/dL)
15. Treatment-refractory, clinically significant major abnormality in the serum concentration of any electrolyte (sodium, potassium, magnesium, total calcium, chloride, inorganic phosphate)
16. Acute liver failure with encephalopathy, including intoxication (e.g. paracetamol, death cap, golden chain) and/or liver enzymes (aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, gamma glutamyl transferase) or bilirubin exceeding 10 x upper limit normal
Other exclusions:
17. Chronic liver failure (Child-Pugh scale B or C), e.g. secondary to drug or alcohol abuse
18. Participation in another interventional clinical trial within the previous 4 weeks
19. Pregnancy or lactation
20. Previous inclusion in the present study
21. Patient unlikely to survive to 6 months due to underlying illness
22. Receiving end-of-life care

EN enteral nutrition, ICU intensive care unit, SPN supplemental parenteral nutrition
**Allocation concealment**
Patients will be allocated to the early SPN or the late SPN group according to the given randomisation stored in the closed envelopes. The table will be stored in a designated safe by the medical secretary not involved in the study.

**Equipment used**
In order to assess thickness of the diaphragm on days 1, 3 and 5 of ICU stay, a Philips CX50 Portable Ultrasound Machine and a Philips Sparq Ultrasound Machine will be used.

**Participant data collection**
Participant background data of age, sex, medical history, primary diagnosis, weight, height, body mass index and baseline PCS SF-36 will be collected.

**Clinical testing data**

a) Prior to enrolment, haemoglobin, platelet, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca, Cl⁻, Mg²⁺, P, triglyceride, alkaline phosphatase, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, gamma glutamyl transferase and bilirubin levels, International Normalised Ratio, and activated partial thromboplastin time as well as presence of HIV, hepatitis B virus or hepatitis C virus will be assessed.

b) Daily from days 1 to 7, haemoglobin, leukocyte, platelet, lactic acid, bicarbonate, urea, creatinine, bilirubin, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, gamma glutamyl transferase, alkaline phosphatase, glucose, phosphorus, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Cl⁻, Mg²⁺, C-reactive protein, and procalcitonin
levels as well as peripheral capillary oxygen saturation (SpO₂), the arterial oxygen partial pressure to fractional inspired oxygen ratio (PaO₂/FiO₂), blood pH, partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood (PaCO₂) and fluid balance will be measured.

c) Daily from days 1 to 28 (or until discharge), the SOFA score will be measured.
d) On days 1 and 7 of ICU stay, albumin and total proteins will be measured.
e) On days 1, 3 and 5 of ICU stay, ultrasonographic assessment of the thickness of diaphragm will be performed.

**Treatment and support in-hospital data** All performed surgeries will be laparoscopic or opened abdominal/thoracic surgery. The surgical sites will be grouped into oesophageal procedures, gastric procedures, bile duct, liver or pancreatic procedures, small bowel procedures, colonic procedures, and rectal procedures.

Postoperative surgical course will be assessed for postoperative complications according to the 7-grade Clavien–Dindo classification.

The level of organ support and pharmacotherapy required will be assessed according to duration of CRRT and mechanical ventilation, antibiotic administration, gastric antisecretory agents, prokinetic agents, catecholamines and insulin dose (per 24 h).

The name of EN or PN, the target volume, received volume, energy intake, protein intake, intolerance to EN or PN support, and the decrease or discontinuation of nutritional support will be assessed.

The use of invasive devices such as central catheters, urinary catheters, enteral route devices and endotracheal tubes, with the dates of insertion and removal, will be recorded. All those parameters, treatments and devices will be controlled until day 28 or until discontinuation, whichever occurs first.

The occurrence of hospital-acquired infections such as ventilator-associated pneumonia, bacteraemia, catheter-related infections or urinary tract infections will be recorded.

**Follow-up data** Each patient will be followed-up until day 180. The vital status will be recorded at ICU discharge, hospital discharge and on day 28. Follow-up data will be collected by study investigators and study nurses. We plan to collect two phone numbers per patient to increase the likelihood of patient follow-up at 3 and 6 months after allocation to the study group (Fig. 2).

**Statistical analysis** The assumption of this trial is to match the results in adherence to intention-to-treat analysis. Patient demographic data and baseline characteristics will be presented with the use of descriptive statistics. For the summary of

| STUDY PERIOD | ENROLMENT | ALLOCATION | POST-ALLOCATION | FOLLOW-UP |
|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|-----------|
| **TIMEPOINT**| Presurgical assessment | Admission to ICU | D₁ D₂ D₃ D₄ D₅ D₆ D₇ D₂₈ D₁₂₀ | D₁₂₀ |

**ENROLMENT:**
- Eligibility screen X
- Informed consent X
- Allocation X

**INTERVENTIONS:**
- Early SPN
- Late SPN

**ASSESSMENTS:**
- Standard blood tests X
- Mechanical Ventilation
- SOFA score
- CRRT
- Protein delivered
- Energy delivered
- Insuline daily dose
- Glucose levels
- Phosphorus levels
- Thickness of diaphragm
- Antibiotic-free days X X X
- ICU LOS
- ICU Mortality
- In-hospital LOS
- In-hospital Mortality
- PCS SF 36 X

Fig. 2 Study period
continuous data, we plan to apply minimum and maximum values, medians, means, standard deviations and quartiles. A summary of categorical variables will be presented as distribution (with the use of percentage and numbers). We plan to analyse the primary outcome, which is the PCS SF-36, by Wilcoxon’s test and general linear regression. We expect data to be non-normally distributed.

For the secondary outcomes, we plan to use the χ² test for dichotomous variables and Wilcoxon’s test as well as regression analyses for ordinal data. Time-to-event data will be compared with log rank test.

Missing data
We assumed a 10% loss to follow-up among the population that completed the trial and enlarged the sample size from 200 to 220 participants.

Blinding
Assessors will be blinded. However, the blinding of investigators and participants (masking of intervention) to the use of PN is not feasible. We do not anticipate any requirement for unblinding but, if required, investigators will have access to group allocations and any unblinding will be reported.

Post-trial care
Conventional intensive care therapy will be continued according to the discretion of the intensivist.

Harms and benefits
Potential burdens and expected benefits
Potential adverse events and risks related to PN include, but are not limited to, infections (e.g. catheter-related infections), hyperglycaemia, refeeding syndrome, diarrhoea and liver dysfunction (e.g. bile sludge and cholestasis). The study protocol is designed in compliance with the present recommendations of ASPEN and ESPEN on optimal nutrition care in patients after surgery. The strategies used in both arms are classified as standard care; therefore, for example, adverse events associated with routine medical invasive procedures, such as central venous catheter placement, will not be treated as any study-related risks. There will be no special criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions. There is no anticipated harm and compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation. The benefits of SPN for patient recovery are well documented. Complementary to previous studies, the results of this trial might elucidate the optimal timing of SPN in patients recovering from oncological gastrointestinal tract surgery in the long-term setting.

Evaluations and reporting of adverse event
If any adverse event or serious adverse event occurs, the Principal Investigator will be informed immediately. The duty of the Principal Investigator is to record these in the patient’s requisition form and inform the Local Ethical Committee in order to establish the causal relationship between the event and trial interventions. Adverse events will be reported in the study publication.

Monitoring
Independent monitoring of adherence of the investigators to Good Clinical Practice regulations and of the data collection process will be held every 6 months. The Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee will review confidential interim analyses of accumulating data annually.

Confidentiality
Data management
All actions will be undertaken to ensure anonymity of the trial participants. Patient data will be collected on paper sheets and later uploaded to the study database, which will not contain identifiable personal information. Access to the study password-protected database will be granted exclusively to the investigators and study statisticians. Storage of any study-related information on portable memory devices will be minimised. Collected paper data will be stored in a designated archive. Printed and electronic data obtained for study purposes will be kept for 5 years from the time of publication and later disposed of. Polish and EU legal regulations regarding medical data storage will be followed. The procedure of data management will be audited by the Principal Investigator.

Protocol amendments
Changes to the study protocol will have to be accepted by the Local Ethical Committees of the participating centers. Recruitment of the study participants will begin only when the final study protocol is assessed and approved Additional file 1.

Dissemination policy
We plan to share the results of our study with the scientific and clinical community by reporting it at conferences and by submission to a scientific journal for publication.

Discussion
Survival of critical illness and ICU mortality have been reduced in the last 10 years; however, the number of patients going to rehabilitation after ICU stay has tripled [10].

The factors that may influence the deterioration of physical quality of life after ICU need to be identified. A population particularly vulnerable to impairment in functioning after ICU stay are patients following
expanded surgery of the gastrointestinal tract. Rapid development of national preventive and screening programmes have enabled early identification of resective gastrointestinal tumors, increasing the number of patients scheduled for that type of surgery. Nutritional support plays a major role in the recovery after extensive resections of the gastrointestinal tract. ESPEN and ASPEN recommend initiation of feeding via the EN route. However, early meeting of full nutritional requirements is rarely viable via the EN route alone, while adequate delivery of proteins might prevent the cascade of catabolism during the first days of ICU stay after extended surgery. Extensive muscle wasting may be a consequence of prolonged catabolic state. SPN is recommended when EN is not able to fulfill the daily nutritional requirements of those patients. Nevertheless, the optimal timing for introduction of SPN remains elusive. ESPEN guidelines suggest the introduction of SPN in first 7 days, while ASPEN recommends waiting until day 8. The a previous large trial, EPA NIC-NEJM, showed higher risks of adverse events after early introduction of SPN versus late introduction. It also showed no influence on mortality rate in either group. However, the issue of long-term quality of life was not covered discussed. The EAT-ICU trial [9], which was the only study investigating physical quality of life of the general population of patients after ICU, showed no improvement in PCS SF-36 in the group where early goal-directed nutrition was implemented. We hypothesize that early initiation of full nutritional support could significantly influence the physical quality of life of patients after oncological surgery of the gastrointestinal tract, a group of patients who are particularly vulnerable to the mixed catabolic effect of cancer and extensive surgery. Postsurgical patients admitted to the ICU constituted only 6% of the population studied in the EAT-ICU trial.

This is a multi-center, single-blinded, prospective randomised study designed to establish the influence of early SPN on the long-term quality of life of patients admitted to the ICU after oncological surgery of the gastrointestinal tract. The potential benefits of this trial would include not only identification of factors that could alter the rate of individual physical impairments but, moreover, it might address the issue of growing costs of rehabilitation of ICU survivors to the healthcare system. Potential limitations of this study would include lack of masking of the assigned intervention. Another drawback of this study protocol includes the fact that a proportion of patients after major gastrointestinal oncological surgery is not routinely admitted to the ICU. Thus, the results of the study may have limited generalisability to patients admitted postoperatively to the ICU. We addressed this limitation by identifying the proportion between the whole cohort of patients who underwent major gastrointestinal oncological surgery to those included in the trial. We set the follow-up period at 3 and 6 months due to the fact that the definitive answer to the question about physical functioning after ICU can be established only after returning to the original home setting, while hospitalisation of cancer patients is prolonged on many occasions Additional file 1.

**Supplementary information**

**Supplementary information** accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3796-3.

**Additional file 1.** SPIRIT 2013 Checklist: Recommended items to address in a clinical trial protocol and related documents.

**Abbreviations**

ASPEN: American Society of Enteral and Parenteral Nutrition; CRTT: continuous renal replacement therapy; EN: enteral nutrition; ESPEN: European Society of Enteral and Parenteral Nutrition; ICU: intensive care unit; PCS: Physical Component Score; PN: parenteral nutrition; SF-36: 36-item Short Form Health Survey; SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; SPN: supplemental parenteral nutrition

**Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank medical staff of the II Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care at the First Teaching Hospital in Lublin, Poland, and the Department of Anesthesiology and staff of the Intensive Care at University Hospital of Opole, Poland, for their help in collecting trial data.

**Public access**

This protocol, participant level dataset and statistical code can be granted at the II Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care at First Teaching Hospital in Lublin, ul. Staszica 16, Poland.

**Trial sponsor investigator**

Paweł Piwowarczyk, II Department of Anesthesiology, Medical University of Lublin, Poland, ul. Staszica 16, 20–081 Lublin, Poland, mobile +4,851,285,352, tel. +48 81 53 22,713, fax number - +48 81 53 49,712, mail:piwowarczyk.pawel@gmail.com. The sponsor-investigator participated in the study design. The responsibility of the sponsor-investigator will include supervision over collection, management and analysis of data, writing of the report, and granting public access to the full protocol and participant-level dataset. The sponsor-investigator accompanied by the steering committee will make the final decision to submit the report for publication.

**Trial founder**

Role of Medical University of Lublin as a funder will include provision of resources for the conduct of the study.

**Composition and responsibilities of the steering committee**

Mirosław Czuczwar – Head of the Steering Committee; Paweł Piwowarczyk – Vice Head of the Steering Committee, will be responsible for implementation of the study in the participating centres, adherence to the protocol and to GCP regulations; Michał Borys – Member, methodologist and statistical advisor, will be responsible for statistical analysis after termination of data collection process; Tomasz Czarnecki – Member, methodologist and scientific writing advisor, will be responsible for the process of manuscript writing; Elżbieta Rypulak – Head of the Data Management Team, will be responsible for the transparency of data collection process and adherence to GCP regulations.

**Consent**

Anaesthetic residents during pre-surgery visit will provide full explanation of the study supported by the written and understandable study protocol summary given to the patient or next-of-kin of the patient. After ascertaining that the patient fully understands the assumptions and consents to participate in the study, the physician providing the explanation will collect the patient's
signed consent form and authorise it by their own stamp and signature. A copy of the signed consent form will be given to the patient, while the original signed document will be stored by the Principal Investigator. Withdrawal from participation in the study at any level will not affect the care given to the patient. Attendance in this study is voluntary. Participants will be asked if they agree to the use of their data should they choose to withdraw from the trial. Participants will also be asked for permission to share relevant data with people from the centres taking part in the research or from regulatory authorities, where relevant. This trial does not involve the collection of biological specimens for storage.

**Trial status**
Study Protocol version #1.4 was approved on 27.09.2019. Recruitment of the study participants will begin on November 2019. We plan to complete the recruitment period on January 2021.

**Authors’ contributions**
PP and KP drafted the manuscript and contributed to trial design. CM supervised the manuscript writing. BM, CT, RE and P-SB supported the manuscript writing. All named authors read, approved this manuscript, and adhered to the authorship guidelines of Trials.

**Funding**
This work was supported by the Medical University of Lublin, Poland.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**
This trial was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03699371 on October 12, 2018; URL https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03699371. This study will be conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2013) and the Regulation on Good Clinical Practice issued by Ministry of Health of Poland (2 May 2012) as well as regulation of The European Parliament and of the Council no 536/2014 on Clinical Trials (2014). All investigators involved in this study are responsible for adhering to the above ethical guidelines. Central ethical approval has been confirmed from the Ethics Committee of the Medical University of Lublin, Poland (ref approval no. KE-0254/152/2018), and we will not begin recruiting at other centres in the trial until local ethical approval has been obtained.

**Consent for publication**
Not applicable.

**Competing interests**
MCz declares a competing interest by receiving honoraria for lectures andCompeting interests
Not applicable.

**Consent for publication**
Not applicable.

**Consent for publication**
Not applicable.

**Author details**
1II Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Medical University of Lublin, ul. Staszica 16, 20-081 Lublin, Poland. 2Student’s Scientific Association at II Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland. 3Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Opole University Hospital, Wincentego Witosa 26, 45-401 Opole, Poland.

Received: 11 February 2019 Accepted: 11 October 2019
Published online: 27 December 2019

**References**
1. Singer P, Blaser AR, Berger MM, Alhazzani W, Calder PC, Casaer MP, et al. ESPEN guideline on clinical nutrition in the intensive care unit. Clin Nutr. 2019;38:48–79.
2. Wischmeyer PE. Are we creating survivors … or victims in critical care? Delivering targeted nutrition to improve outcomes. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2016;22(4):279–84.
3. Wischmeyer PE, San-Millan I. Winning the war against ICU-acquired weakness: new innovations in nutrition and exercise physiology. Crit Care. 2015;19(Suppl 3):S6. https://doi.org/10.1186/cc14724.
4. Demling RH. Nutrition, anabolism, and the wound healing process: an overview. Eplasty. 2009;9:e9.
5. Wernerman J, Hammarqvist F, Garrin L, Essén P. Protein metabolism in critical illness. Bailliere Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1996;10:603–15.
6. Sundström Rehal M, Liebau F, Tjäder I, et al. A supplemental intravenous amino acid infusion sustains a positive protein balance for 24 hours in critically ill patients. Crit Care. 2017;21(1):298.
7. Katsanos CS, Chinkes DL, Paddon-Jones D, Zhang XJ, Aarsland A, Wolfe RR. Whey protein ingestion in elderly persons results in greater muscle protein accrual than ingestion of its constituent essential amino acid content. Nutr Res. 2008;28:651–8.
8. Moore DR, Robinson MJ, Fry JL, Tang JE, Glover EJ, Wilkinson SB, Prior T, Tamopolski MA, Phillips SM. Ingested protein dose response of muscle and albumin protein synthesis after resistance exercise in young men. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;89:161–8.
9. Allingstrup MJ, Kondrup J, Wils J, et al. Early goal-directed nutrition versus standard of care in adult intensive care patients: the single-centre, randomised, outcome assessor-blinded EAT-ICU trial. Intensive Care Med. 2017;43(11):1637–47.
10. Kaukonen KM, Bailey M, Suzuki S, Pilcher D, Bellomo R. Mortality related to severe sepsis and septic shock among critically ill patients in Australia and New Zealand, 2000-2012. JAMA. 2014;311:1308–16.

**Publisher’s Note**
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.