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**ABSTRACT**

The present paper describes a three compartment mathematical model to study the transmission of the current infection due to the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV or COVID-19). We investigate the aforesaid dynamical model by using Atangana, Baleanu and Caputo (ABC) derivative with arbitrary order. We derive some existence results together with stability of Hyers-Ulam type. Further for numerical simulations, we use Adams-Bashforth (AB) method with fractional differentiation. The mentioned method is a powerful tool to investigate nonlinear problems for their respective simulation. Some discussion and future remarks are also given.

1. Introduction

Studding the existing literature, one can read that in history numerous outbreak came out which totally changed the life situation of the people on this earth. In last four centuries some famous pandemic in which millions of people lasted their lives. In the past century two outbreaks killed many millions people in Europe, Asia and Middle east as well as in Africa \([1,2]\). Infectious diseases is a massive threat for humanity and can greatly effect the economy of a state. Proper understanding of a disease’ dynamics could play an important role in elimination of the infection from the community. Further, implementation of suitable control strategies against the disease transmission have been assumed a big challenge. Currently the coronavirus outbreak greatly destroyed the lives of many people around the globe. The mentioned outbreak was initiated in China Wuhan at the end of 2019 and with a very rapid speed of fifty or sixty days it spread out in the whole globe. WHO announced it as a pandemic. For some detail see \([3–6]\). Therefore numerous measures have been taken by different countries to control it. Also researchers have started to form different procedure to cure and control it. In this regards, the approach of mathematical modeling is one of the key tool for handling such and other challenges. A number of general and disease models have been investigated in existing literature which enables us to explore and control the spread of infectious diseases in a better way \([7,8]\).

The above mentioned epidemic models as well as many other in the literature are actually based on integer-order differential equations (IDEs). However, in the last few years, it is noticed that with the help of fractional-order differential equations (FDEs) one can model universal phenomenon with a greater degree of accuracy \([9]\). This idea was implemented in many field including engineering, economics, control theory, finance and some up to the mark results were founded. Fractional calculus is the generalization of classical integer-order calculus. The increasing interest of using FDEs in the modeling of complex real world problems is due to its various properties which are could not be founded in IDEs. In contrast of IDEs which are local in nature, the FDEs are non-local and posses the memory effects which make it more superior as in many situations the future state of the model depends not only upon the current state but also on the previous history. These features enables FDEs to effectively model the phenomenon having not only the non-Gaussian but also for non-Markovian behavior. Further, the classical IDEs are unable to provide the information in between two different integer values and it can be make clear with the help of FDEs. Various type of fractional-order operators were introduced in existing literature to over come such limitations of integer-order derivative. The
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applications of these fractional operators can be found in various fields
[10,11].

During the eighteen century when Reimann, Liouvilli, Euler and
Fourier are struggling in producing significant results in ordinary
calculus. At the same time, great contributions were made in the area of
fractional calculus as well and reinstated and valuable work has been
carried out later on. This is due to the various application of fractional
in the filed of mathematical modeling where several hereditary
materials and memory process cannot be explained clearly by ordinary
calculus. Because fractional calculus which include classical calculus is a
special case has greater freedom in differential operator as
compared to ordinary differential operator which is local in nature. The
important applications of the said calculus may be traced out in [12–17].
Therefore, researchers have given very much attention in studying of
fractional derivatives and integrals. In fact fractional derivative is a
definite integral which geometrically interpret the accumulation of the
whole function or the whole spectrum which globalize it. Investigation of
differential equations for qualitative study, numerical and optimization,
significant contribution has been made by researchers, we refer few
of iterative methods often faced to convergence problems. Therefore it
was needed to search some sophisticated tools of numerical analysis
which may help to find the numerical solution with good accuracy and
reliability for usual FDEs as well as those problem involving ABC
derivative. Therefore the authors [40] extended the well known
Adams–Bashforth numerical procedure for the concerned FDEs. They
successfully find numerical results with good accuracy to some chaotic
problems. After that the technique mentioned afore has frequently used
to deal those problems involving ABC derivative, see detail in [41–43].
For numerical purpose stability is also needed so Ulam type stability is
investigated for suggested model. Also the existence of the new con-
structed model is guaranteed by applying fixed point theorems of
Banach and Krassnoselskii’s. The mentioned stability has been investi-
gated for usual fractional derivatives in large numbers of research arti-
cles like [44–46], however, the same was not investigated for ABC
derivatives. Finally the results are displayed against real data which has
taken from a source about Pakistan during the month of March, 2020.

2. Deterministic form of our proposed model and its properties

Considering the above discussion, the deterministic form of model
(1) is formulated as follows:

\[\frac{d}{dt} P(t) = \lambda - \gamma P(t)I(t) - d_P(t),\]
\[\frac{d}{dt} I(t) = \gamma P(t)I(t) - (d_I + h + \eta)P(t) + \sigma Q(t),\]
\[\frac{d}{dt} Q(t) = \eta P(t) - (d_Q + \mu + \sigma)Q(t),\]

with initial conditions

\[P(0) = P_0, \ I(0) = I_0, \ Q(0) = Q_0,\]

where \(0 < r \leq 1\). Here \(P(t)\) is the susceptible human population, \(I(t)\) represent the people who are infected with COVID-19 and \(Q(t)\) is the
people who are quarantined at time \(t\). We place the following assump-
tions on the model:

\[a_1. \text{ All of the parameters and states of the model under discussion are}\]
\[a_2. \text{ The susceptible people move to the infection classes and there is a}\]
\[a_3. \text{ Initially infected or suspected people move to quarantined class}\]
\[a_4. \text{ Confirmed cases from quarantined come back to the infected}\]

The detail of parameters used in model (1) with complete descriptions are
given in Table 1. Further the involve state functions of the model
obey \(N(t) = P(t) + I(t) + Q(t)\), where the total population is \(N\). Since most
of the parameters and states of the model under discussion are

| Notation | Parameters description |
|----------|------------------------|
| \(\lambda\) | Recruitment rate |
| \(\gamma\) | The disease transmission rate |
| \(d_P\) | Natural death rate |
| \(\eta\) | Rate at which infected are getting quarantine |
| \(\mu\) | Disease-related death rate in quarantined individuals |
| \(h\) | Rate at which quarantined people getting infection |
| \(\sigma\) | Disease-related death rate in infected people |

| Table 1 |
|---------|
| Description of the parameters used in model (1). |

The model (3) whose the transmission structure is depicted in the
Fig. 1. We discuss the well posedness of the proposed model (3) then we
will use the method of [26, 47, 48] then we have the following axioms.

**Proposition 2.1.** The model (3) is in orhant \(R^5\) is invariant.

**Proof.** Let \(Y = \begin{pmatrix} P, I, Q \end{pmatrix}^T\), then system (3) becomes

\[\frac{dY(t)}{dt} = LY + C.\]
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The solution of (3) i.e., \((P, I, Q)\) with (4) are positive.

Proof. It could be clearly noted that the solution of the first equation of system (3) becomes

\[
\frac{dP(t)}{dt} + (\gamma(I(t) + D_0) + d_0)P(t) = \lambda.
\]

The solution of equation (6) is

\[
P(t) = e^{-\int_t^\infty (\gamma(I(t) + D_0) + d_0)dt} \left( P_0 + \int_t^\infty e^{\int_s^\infty (\gamma(I(t) + D_0) + d_0)ds} ds \right), \tag{7}
\]

\(\forall t > 0\), which shows that \(P(t) > 0\). Similarly the second equation of (3) gives the form

\[
I(t) = e^{-\int_t^\infty (\gamma(I(t) + D_0) + d_0)dt} \left( I_0 + \int_t^\infty e^{\int_s^\infty (\gamma(I(t) + D_0) + d_0)ds} ds \right),
\]

which implies that \(I(t) > 0\). Continuing the same process it is very simple to prove that \(Q(t)\) is also positive. Thus \((P, I, Q)\) is nonnegative.

\[\text{Proposition 2.3.} \quad \text{The solution i.e., } (P, I, Q) \text{ of the proposed problem is given by (3)–(4) is bounded.}\]

\[\text{Proof.} \quad \text{Since}
\]

\[
T(t) = P(t) + I(t) + Q(t).
\]

The differentiation of the above Eq. (8) gives

\[
\frac{dT}{dt} + d_0T = \lambda - \mu Q - hI.
\]

Clearly, \(\frac{dI}{dt} + d_0T \leq \lambda\). Solving we obtain

\[
0 < T(t) \leq \frac{\lambda}{d_0} + T(0) e^{-d_0t},
\]

which gives that \(0 < T(P, I, Q) \leq \frac{\lambda}{d_0}\) as \(t \to \infty\).

\[\text{Proposition 2.4.} \quad \text{If } T(0) \leq \frac{\lambda}{d_0}, \text{ then the proposed problem is stated by (3)–(4) is a well-defined dynamical system the region is given by}
\]

\[
\Delta = \left( (P, I, Q) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \otimes (T_0(0) \leq T \leq T_0(\infty)) \right).
\]

\[\text{which is biologically feasible. Moreover every solution in } \Delta \text{ remains in } \Delta \text{ for } t > 0.
\]

\[\text{Proof.} \quad \text{It is very much clear that all the states variables of the proposed problem are nonnegative, so the problem as stated by (3)–(4) is well-posed and biologically feasible. From } T(0) \leq \frac{\lambda}{d_0}, \text{ we concludes that}
\]

\[
T(t) \leq \frac{\lambda}{d_0}.
\]

\[\text{So every solution of (3) along with (4) in } \Delta \text{ remains in } \Delta.
\]

2.1. Basic reproductive number and stability of disease-free equilibrium

Let

\[
a = d_0 + h + \eta, \quad b = d_0 + \mu + \sigma.
\]

The disease-free state \((E_1)\) takes the form

\[
E_1 = \left( P_1, 0, 0 \right), \quad P_1 = \frac{\lambda}{d_0}.
\]

\[\text{This disease free state is used to calculate the threshold parameter } (\mathcal{R}_0), \text{ also called the basic reproduction number i.e., the average of secondary number of infections. Moreover, the threshold parameter } (\mathcal{R}_0) \text{ is used in the calculating of the endemic state. We follow the Watmough et al.\text{[26,47]} method for the purposes of calculating the threshold parameter. We know that } I > 0, \text{ so}
\]

\[
I^* = \frac{\gamma \lambda b - d_0 ab + d_0 \sigma \eta}{ab - \sigma \eta}.
\]

By rearranging the terms, we can write \(I^*\) in the following way

\[
I^* = \frac{b h \gamma}{\gamma b (d_0 + h) + \eta (d_0 + \mu)} - \frac{d_0}{\gamma} \left( \mathcal{R}_0 - 1 \right).
\]

The term \(\mathcal{R}_0\) used in (14) and so called the threshold number or threshold quantity which is given by

\[
\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{b h \gamma}{d_0 (b (d_0 + h) + \eta (d_0 + \mu))}
\]

\[\text{Lemma 2.5.} \quad \text{If } \mathcal{R}_0 < 1 \text{ then model (3) is stable locally at DFE } (E_1) \text{ defined in (13).}
\]

\[\text{Proof.} \quad \text{Let } J^\prime \text{ is the Jacobian matrix of model (3) at } E_1, \text{ then}
\]

\[
J^\prime = \begin{pmatrix} -d_0 & -b P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta & -b \end{pmatrix}
\]

Fig. 1. Flowchart of our proposed model (1).
For obtaining the characteristic polynomial, we set \( | \lambda I - A | = 0 \), where \( A \) is identity matrix. Thus the three eigenvalues of the Jacobean matrix at disease free equilibrium (DFE) are \( \lambda_1 = -d_0, \lambda_2 = -b \) and \( \lambda_3 = \frac{b(d_1+h)+b\eta(d_0 + h)}{b(d_0 + h)} (\lambda_0 - 1) - \frac{\eta}{b} \). Clearly, the first two eigenvalues are negative, whereas, the third eigenvalue is negative only if \( \lambda_0 < 1 \). Hence the proof.

**Theorem 2.6.** Assume that \( \lambda_0 < 1 \), then model (3) is stable globally at DFE (E_1). Otherwise unstable.

**Proof.** We define a function given by

\[
F(t) = \frac{P(t)}{P(t)} \left( \frac{b}{d_0} - \ln \frac{P(t)}{P(t)} + I(t) \right) + Q(t).
\]

Differentiating \( F(t) \), we get

\[
\frac{dF}{dt} = \left( \frac{dP}{dt} \right) \left( \frac{b}{d_0} - \ln \frac{P(t)}{P(t)} + I(t) \right) + \frac{dt}{dt} + \frac{dQ}{dt}.
\]

Then

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dP}{dt} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\lambda - gP(t)I(t) - d_0P(t)}{P(t)} + \lambda - d_0 \right) - \mu - \frac{h}{1 + I(t)}.
\]

The simplification and some rearrangements gives

\[
\frac{d}{dt} = \left( \frac{\lambda - d_0} {d_0} \right)^2 + \frac{b^2 - d_0(b_0 + h)}{b^2} I - \frac{(d_0 + \mu)}{b} Q.
\]

As \( Q = \frac{1}{r} I \), thus

\[
\frac{d}{dt} = \left( \frac{(d_0 + h)}{b} \right) \left( \frac{d_0 + h}{b} \right) \left( \frac{d_0 + \mu}{b} \right) I,
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\lambda - d_0} {d_0} \left( \frac{\lambda - d_0} {d_0} \right)^2 + \frac{b^2 - d_0(b_0 + h)}{b^2} I - \frac{(d_0 + \mu)}{b} Q.
\]

Thus, when \( \lambda_0 < 1 \), then \( \frac{d}{dt} < 0 \). Also, \( \frac{d}{dt} = 0 \) if and only if \( P(t) = P_1, I(t) = 0 \) and \( Q(t) = 0 \), which proves the conclusion.

### 3. Fundamental results

**Definition 3.1.** If \( x(t) \in \mathcal{H}(0, \tau) \) and \( r \in [0, 1] \), then the ABC derivative is defined by

\[
\text{ABC} \left[ \gamma_{ABC}^\tau \phi \right](t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t \frac{d}{dy} \gamma \left[ \frac{-r}{1 - r} \left( t - y \right) \right] dy.
\]

We remark that if we replace \( \text{ABC} \left[ \gamma_{ABC}^\tau \gamma \left[ \phi \right] \right] \) by \( \gamma_{ABC} \left[ \gamma \left[ \phi \right] \right] \) then we get the so-called Caputo-Fabrizio differential operator. Further it is to be noted that

\[
\text{ABC} \left[ \gamma_{ABC} \right] \text{[Constant]} = 0.
\]

Here \( \text{ABC}(r) \) is known as normalization function which is defined as \( \text{ABC}(0) = \text{ABC}(1) = 1 \). Also \( \mathcal{H} \), stands for famous special function called Mittag-Leffler which is a generalization of the exponential function [19].

**Definition 3.2.** [20,21] The Mittag-Leffler function \( \mathcal{E}_r \) is defined as

\[
\mathcal{E}_r(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{\Gamma(k+1)} r > 0.
\]

In particular if we take \( r = 1 \) we get \( \mathcal{E}_1(t) = \exp(t) \). In same way two parametric function of Mittag-Leffler is defined as

\[
\mathcal{E}_{r_1, r_2}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{\Gamma(k+1)} r_1 r_2 > 0.
\]

Here again if we have \( r_1 = r_2 = 1 \), then \( \mathcal{E}_{1,1}(t) = \exp(t) \).

**Definition 3.3.** Let \( x \in \mathcal{L}([0, T]) \), then the corresponding integral in ABC sense is given by

\[
\text{ABC} \left[ \gamma_{ABC}^\tau \right] = \frac{1}{\text{ABC}(r)} \int_0^t \left( t - y \right)^{-1} \gamma \left[ \phi \right] dy.
\]

**Lemma 3.4.** (See Proposition 3 in [44]) The solution of the given problem for \( 1 > r > 0 \)

\[
\text{ABC} \left[ \gamma_{ABC}^\tau \right] = \frac{1}{\text{ABC}(r)} \int_0^t \left( t - y \right)^{-1} \gamma \left[ \phi \right] dy.
\]

**Note:** For the qualitative analysis, we define Banach space \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \), where \( \mathcal{X} = C([0, T]) \) under the norm \( ||w|| = ||(P, I, Q)|| = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |P(t) + |I(t)| + |Q(t)|| \).

The following fixed point theorem will be used to proceed in our main results.

**Theorem 3.5.** [46] Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a convex subset of \( \mathcal{H} \) assume that \( \mathcal{A} \) are two operators with

1. \( \mathcal{A} w + \mathcal{B} w \in \mathcal{A} \) for every \( w \in \mathcal{B} \);
2. \( \mathcal{A} \) is contraction;
3. \( \mathcal{B} \) is continuous and compact.

Then the operator equation \( \mathcal{A} w + \mathcal{B} w = w \) has at least one solution.

### 4. Qualitative analysis of the considered model

Before analyzing any biological model, it is natural to ask weather such dynamical problem really exist or not. This question is guaranteed by fixed point theory. Here, we will try to use the same theory for the proposed problem (1) being part of this research. Regarding to the aforesaid need, we express the right sides of model (1) as

\[
g_1(t, P, I, Q) = \lambda - P(t) \left( I(t) - d_0P(t) \right),
\]

\[
g_2(t, P, I, Q) = rP(t) \left( I(t) - d_0P(t) + h + \eta \right),
\]

\[
g_3(t, P, I, Q) = \frac{\eta}{b} \left( I(t) - d_0P(t) + \eta \right).
\]

With the help of (20), the developed system can be written in the form of

\[
\text{ABC} \left[ \gamma_{ABC}^\tau \right] = \Theta(t, \mathcal{H}(t)), \quad t \in [0, \tau], \quad 0 < r \leq 1, \quad \mathcal{H}(0) = \mathcal{H}_0.
\]

In view of Lemma 3.4, (21) yields

\[
\mathcal{H}(t) = \mathcal{H}_0(t) + \Theta(t, \mathcal{H}(t)) - \Theta_0(t) \int_0^t \left( t - y \right)^{-1} \mathcal{H}(y) dy,
\]

where
Due to (22) and (23), we define the two operators $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ from (22)

$$
\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}_0(t) + \left[ \Theta(t, \mathcal{H}(t)) - \Theta_0(t) \right] \left( 1 - r \right) \frac{1}{\text{ABC}(r)}
$$

$$
\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}) = \frac{r}{\text{ABC}(r)\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-y)^{r-1} \Theta(y, \mathcal{H}(y)) dy.
$$

Expressing some growth condition and Lipschitzian assumption for existence uniqueness as:

\(A_1\) There exists constants $C_0, D_0$, such that

$$|\Theta(t, \mathcal{H}(t))| \leq C_0 |\mathcal{H}| + D_0.$$

\(A_2\) There exists constants $L_0 > 0$ such that for each $\mathcal{H}, \overline{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$|\Theta(t, \mathcal{H}(t)) - \Theta(t, \overline{\mathcal{H}}(t))| \leq L_0 \left| \mathcal{H} - \overline{\mathcal{H}} \right|.$$

### Theorem 4.1
Under the hypothesis \(A_1, A_2\), the integral equation (22) has at least one solution which consequently means that the considered system (1) has the same number of solutions if \(\frac{1 - r}{\text{ABC}(r)} L_0 < 1\).

#### Proof
We prove the theorem in two step as below:

**Step I: Let** $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{B} = \{ \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{H} : \| \mathcal{H} \| \leq \rho, \rho > 0 \}$ is closed convex set. Then using the definition of $\mathcal{F}$ in (24), one get

$$
\left\| \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) - \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}) \right\| \leq \frac{1 - r}{\text{ABC}(r)\Gamma(r)} L_0 \left| \mathcal{H} - \overline{\mathcal{H}} \right|.
$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}$ is contraction.

**Step II:** To show that $\mathcal{G}$ is relatively compact, we must show that $\mathcal{G}$ is bounded, and equi-continuous. To show this, we proceed as: Clearly, $\mathcal{G}$ is continuous as $\mathcal{H}$ is continuous and also for any $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$
\left\| \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}) \right\| \leq \frac{r}{\text{ABC}(r)\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t-y)^{r-1} \Theta(y, \mathcal{H}(y)) dy.
$$

Hence (36) implies that $\mathcal{G}$ is bounded. For equi-continuity we let $t_1 > t_2 \in [0, \tau]$, so that

$$
\left| \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}(t_1)) - \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}(t_2)) \right| \leq \frac{r}{\text{ABC}(r)\Gamma(r)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - y)^{r-1} \Theta(y, \mathcal{H}(y)) dy.
$$

Right side in (27) becomes zero at $t_1 \to t_2$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is continuous and so

$$\left| \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}(t_1)) - \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}(t_2)) \right| \to 0, \text{ as } t_1 \to t_2.$$
\[ \| \mathcal{F}(t) - \mathcal{F}(t) \| = \| \mathcal{F}(t) - \mathcal{F}'(t) \| + \| \Theta(t, \mathcal{F}'(t)) - \Theta(t, \mathcal{F}(t)) \| \frac{1 - r}{\text{ABC}(r)} \int_0^1 (t - y)^{r-1} \Theta(y, \mathcal{F}(y)) \, dy \].

\[ \| \mathcal{F}(t) - \mathcal{F}'(t) \| = \| \mathcal{F}(t) - \mathcal{F}'(t) \| + \| \Theta(t, \mathcal{F}'(t)) - \Theta(t, \mathcal{F}(t)) \| \frac{1 - r}{\text{ABC}(r)} \int_0^1 (t - y)^{r-1} \Theta(y, \mathcal{F}(y)) \, dy \].

\[ \| \mathcal{F}(t) - \mathcal{F}(t) \| \leq \Omega_{\epsilon,r} + A \| \mathcal{F}(t) - \mathcal{F}(t) \|. \tag{33} \]

\[ \| \mathcal{F}(t) - \mathcal{F}(t) \| \leq \Omega_{\epsilon,r} + A \| \mathcal{F}(t) - \mathcal{F}(t) \|. \tag{34} \]

Hence the result (34) concluded that the solution of (22) is Ulam-Hyers stable and consequently the solution of the considered system is Ulam-Hyers stable.

5. Numerical analysis of the constructed model (1)

Here we are going to construct a numerical procedure for the concerned model to perform simulation. Here we use a coupled numerical method due to the combination of “fundamental theorem of fractional calculus” and the two-step Lagrange polynomial as used in [49]. From first equation of model (1), we let

\[ \text{ABC} \mathcal{D}_{0}^{\alpha} P(t) = g_{1}(t, P(t), I(t), R(t)), P(0) = P_{0}. \tag{35} \]

In view of Lemma 3.4, (35) implies that

\[ P(t) = P_{0} \frac{1 - r}{\text{ABC}(r)} \int_0^1 (t - y)^{r-1} g_{1}(y, P(y), I(y), R(y)) \, dy + \frac{r}{\text{ABC}(r)} \int_0^1 (t - y)^{r-1} g_{1}(y, P(y), I(y), R(y)) \, dy. \tag{36} \]

Now interm of Lagrange interpolation polynomials, we may write over \([t_{k}, t_{k+1}], \) the function

\[ g_{1}(y, P(y), I(y), R(y)) \] with \( h = t_{k+1} - t_{k} \)

as

\[ P_{k} \approx \frac{1}{h} \left[ (y - t_{k+1}) g_{1}(t_{k}, P(t_{k}), I(t_{k}), Q(t_{k})) - (y - t_{k}) g_{1}(t_{k+1}, P(t_{k+1}), I(t_{k+1}), Q(t_{k+1})) \right]. \tag{37} \]

Plugging (37) in (36), we may write (36) as

\[ P(t_{k+1}) = P_{0} + \frac{1 - r}{\text{ABC}(r)} \int_0^1 (t_{k+1} - y)^{r-1} g_{1}(y, P(y), I(y), R(y)) \, dy + \frac{r}{\text{ABC}(r)} \int_0^1 (t_{k+1} - y)^{r-1} g_{1}(y, P(y), I(y), R(y)) \, dy. \]

where the notions \( \Omega_{\epsilon,r} \) and \( \Lambda_{\epsilon,r} \) are given below

\[ \Omega_{\epsilon,r} = \int_0^1 (y - t_{k+1}) (t_{k+1} - y)^{r-1} \, dy = -\frac{1}{r} \left[ (t_{k+1} - t_{k}) (t_{k+1} - t_{k})' - (t_{k} - t_{k+1}) (t_{k+1} - t_{k})' \right] \tag{39} \]

and

\[ \Lambda_{\epsilon,r} = \int_0^1 (y - t_{k}) (t_{k+1} - y)^{r-1} \, dy = -\frac{1}{r} \left[ (t_{k+1} - t_{k}) (t_{k+1} - t_{k})' - (t_{k+1} - t_{k}) (t_{k+1} - t_{k})' \right]. \tag{40} \]
Put \( t_0 = j\hbar \) in (39) and (40), one has

\[
\Omega_{j+1} = -\frac{\hbar^{j+1}}{r} [(j+1)-(j-1)] \left[ (n+1-j+1)E_{n+1} - (j-1)(n+1-j)E_j \right] - \frac{\hbar^{j+1}}{r(r+1)} \left[ (n+1-j)E_{n+1} - (n+1-j)^{j+1} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{\hbar^{j+1}}{r(r+1)} \left[ 2(r+1)(n-j)^{j+1} + (r+1)(n+1-j)^{j+1} + (n+1-j)^{j+1} \right]
\]

(41)

and

\[
\lambda_j = -\frac{\hbar^{j+1}}{r} [(j+1)-(j-1)] \left[ (n+1-j)E_{n+1} - (j-1)(n+1-j)E_j \right] - \frac{\hbar^{j+1}}{r(r+1)} \left[ (n+1-j)E_{n+1} - (n+1-j)^{j+1} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{\hbar^{j+1}}{r(r+1)} \left[ (n-j)^{j+1} - (r+1)(n-j)^{j+1} + (n+1-j)^{j+1} \right]
\]

(42)

Table 2

| Parameter | Value       | Source |
|-----------|-------------|--------|
| \( \Lambda \) | 0.03805333333 | fitted |
| \( \gamma \) | 0.00594474 | estimated |
| \( d_0 \) | 0.00712100000 | [47] |
| \( \eta \) | 0.1442111141 | estimated |
| \( \upsilon \) | 0.00712100000 | [47] |
| \( \sigma \) | 0.0052281 | estimated |
| \( k \) | 0.027864676 | estimated |

Substituting (41) and (42) into (38), we get

\[
P(t_{i+1}) = P(t_i) + \frac{1 - \frac{\hbar}{ABC(r)}}{r} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{g_1(t_i,P(t_i),I(t_i),Q(t_i))}{F(r+2)} \right) \left( n+1-j \right)^{(n-j)^{j+1}} \right] + \frac{\hbar}{r(r+1)} \left[ (n+1-j)^{j+1} - (n-j)^{j+1} \right]
\]

(43)

Similarly

Fig. 2. Dynamics of susceptible individuals \( S(t) \) for \( \lambda_0 < 1 \).
\[ I(t_{n+1}) = I(t_0) + 1 - r \sum_{j=0}^{n-j} \left( \frac{\Gamma(t_{n-j})}{\Gamma(r+2)} \right)^{r-j} \left( 1 - I(t_{n-j-1}) \right) \left( \frac{\Gamma(t_{n-j-1})}{\Gamma(r+2)} \right)^{j} \left( n-j \right)^{r-j} \]
and

\[ Q(t_{n+1}) = Q(t_n) + \frac{1 - r}{\text{ABC}(r)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( g_s(t_{n-j}, P(t_{n-j}), I(t_{n-j}), Q(t_{n-j})) s^n_j \right) + \frac{r}{\text{ABC}(r)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( g_s(t_{n-j}, P(t_{n-j}), I(t_{n-j}), Q(t_{n-j})) s^n_j \right) \]

\[ + \frac{1 - r}{\text{ABC}(r)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( g_s(t_{n-j}, P(t_{n-j}), I(t_{n-j}), Q(t_{n-j})) s^n_j \right) \]

6. Numerical interpretation and discussion

This part is composed on two subsections. In first subsection we simulate the model I corresponding to integer order derivative by taking different initial values.

6.1. Numerical results and discussion for model I at \( r = 1 \)

We carry out the simulation of the model 3 to verify the previous analytical results with the help of graphical representations. We used the data where its corresponding numerical values and sources are presented in Table 2. By using the values shown in Table 2, sample simulation were carried out for susceptible population. We have consider four different initial population of susceptible individual, that is, \( S_0 = 58.498998, 65.498998, 50.498998, 70.498998 \) where the population was considered in million and 58.498998 is the actual population. Whenever \( S_0 < 1 \). In the case of \( S_0 < 1 \), each solution curve \( S(t) \) almost taking 550 days in order to reach to its equilibrium value \( P = 5.343818752 \). It means that if we wish to eliminate the disease from the community, still it will take enough time. Further, the figures show that the disease will effect a major portion of the population during the indicated course of outbreak. Further, Figs. 2–4 verify our theoretical findings that the disease-free equilibrium is locally and globally asymptotically stable if and only if \( S_0 < 1 \).

6.2. Numerical results under fractional order derivative

Now we taking Numerical simulation for model I. We take some approximation to real values of the parameters of some locality which is considered in the model. The assumed values of the parameters are presented as given in Table 3. We took hypothetical initial population of susceptible, infected and quarantined to be \( 10^{-5} \) respectively. The approximate percentage of the density in the total susceptible, infected and quarantined to be \( 10^{-5} \).

7. Conclusion

A new mathematical model of three different compartments of present novel coronavirus infection has been established under the nonlocal and nonsingular kernel type derivative. Further its existence has been demonstrated via the use of classical fixed point results of Banach and Guo-Krasnoselskii. Also stability results have been established. By using Adams-Bashforth numerical method of fractional type the numerical simulations were performed which addressed as the infection go on increasing, then more people will be pushed into quarantined so that other people may be saved from being infected in a community. On the other hand if before self quarantined is adopted then the process may go on reverse direction and infection will be deceasing and hence healthy community may be restored.
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