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ABSTRACT

We investigate the evolution of initially metal-free, low-mass red giant stars through the He core flash at the tip of the red giant branch (RGB). The low entropy barrier between the helium- and hydrogen-rich layers enables a penetration of the helium-flash–driven convective zone into the inner tail of the extinguishing H-burning shell. As a consequence, protons are mixed into high-temperature regions triggering an H-burning runaway. The subsequent dredge-up of matter processed by He and H burning enriches the stellar surface with large amounts of helium, carbon, and nitrogen. Extending previous results by Hollowell et al. and Fujimoto, Ikeda, & Iben, who claimed that the H-burning runaway is an intrinsic property of extremely metal-poor low-mass stars, we found that its occurrence depends on additional parameters like the initial composition and the treatment of various physical processes. We perform some comparisons between predicted surface chemical abundances and observational measurements for extremely metal-deficient stars. As in previous investigations, our results disclose that although the described scenario provides a good qualitative agreement with observations, considerable discrepancies still remain. They may be due to a more complex evolutionary path of “real” stars and/or some shortcomings in current evolutionary models. In addition, we analyze the evolutionary properties after the He core flash, during both the central and shell He-burning phases, allowing us to deduce some interesting differences between models whose RGB progenitor has experienced the H flash and canonical models. In particular, the asymptotic giant branch evolution of extremely metal-deficient stars and the occurrence of thermal pulses are strongly affected by the previous RGB evolution.

Subject headings: stars: abundances — stars: evolution — stars: interiors — stars: late-type

1. INTRODUCTION

The most metal-deficient stars in our Galaxy provide astronomers with a wealth of information about the physical conditions of the universe soon after the big bang in the early epoch of galaxy formation. In particular, the first generation of stars—commonly called “Population III”—which formed from primordial, essentially metal-free matter, should provide the oldest bright objects in galaxies. Therefore, the identification and the analysis of the properties of this class of stars should offer a unique tool for investigating the chemical and physical conditions in the very early history of our universe.

Owing to their importance for several fundamental cosmological questions, several attempts have already been made to find zero-metal and/or extremely metal-poor stars. The first extensive search for Population III stars was performed early by Bond (1980), who discovered only a few stars with metallicity $[\text{Fe/H}] = -3$ (i.e., an iron content of only 1/1000 of the solar value); more recently, the sample of extremely metal-poor stars has significantly been increased, mainly because of extensive wide-field objective prism surveys and especially because of the Preston-Shectman survey (Beers, Preston, & Shectman 1992; usually also called the HK survey). Although none of these stars shows a “true” Population III chemical composition, there is an increasing number of stars with metallicity $[\text{Fe/H}] < -3$, for which accurate postdetection analysis can be performed.

In addition, one can expect that forthcoming high-resolution spectroscopy from the new generation of 10 m class telescopes will lead to a big improvement in the search for this class of peculiar objects. Undoubtedly, unprecedented results in this field will be achieved with planned space-based survey instruments such as GAIA.

In order to fully understand the results of these surveys, it is necessary to investigate theoretically not only the main evolutionary properties of low-mass metal-free stars but also the changes of the surface chemical composition appearing during that evolution. These may be caused by accretion of metal-rich matter from the interstellar medium and/or by internal mixing of matter processed by nuclear reactions. One significant observational evidence that has yet to be explained is that the number of carbon-enhanced stars increases considerably when decreasing the metallicity below $[\text{Fe/H}] \approx -2.5$ (Rossi, Beers, & Sneden 1999). Several of the extremely metal-deficient carbon stars show a large carbon abundance as well as nitrogen enrichment ([C/Fe] and [N/Fe] $\gtrsim 2$, respectively). In some of these carbon-enriched stars, the abundances of s-process elements are enhanced too (see Hill et al. 2000 and references therein). This obviously implies that in extremely metal-poor stars mechanisms are at work that increase the probability of producing carbon-rich objects.

In the last decades, several theoretical studies of the structural and evolutionary properties of extremely metal-poor and metal-free stars (see, for instance, the reviews by Castellani 2000 and Chiosi 2000 and references therein) have shown how the lack of heavy elements—mainly of CNO-cycle catalysts—produces evolutionary features that are rather peculiar in comparison to stars of finite initial metallicity. One of these properties—investigated by Fujimoto and coworkers (Fujimoto, Iben, & Hollowell 1990; Hol-
lowell, Iben, & Fujimoto 1990; Fujimoto, Ikeda, & Iben 2000)—is the evolution during the He flash. Like their more metal-rich counterparts, metal-free stars with a mass of about 1.0 $M_\odot$ reach the conditions necessary for igniting triple-alpha reactions inside the He core at the tip of the red giant branch (RGB). The electron degeneracy of the surrounding material leads to a runaway of the He burning, the He core flash. The quoted authors discovered that the small entropy barrier between hydrogen- and helium-rich material in Population III stars allows the convective zone, which is produced by the huge energy release of He burning, to penetrate the overlying hydrogen-rich layers. The resulting inward migration of protons into high-temperature regions (referred to as “He-flash mixing” [HEFM]) leads to an H-shell flash. As a consequence, when the convective envelope is deepening and merging with the H-flash–driven convective zone (HCZ) later on, the surface is enriched with a large amount of matter that has experienced He-burning reactions and, furthermore, has been processed in H fusion during the He flash. Thus, the chemical composition of the initially metal-free stellar surface is strongly altered and, in particular, carbon enriched. These results provide an attractive working hypothesis for explaining the peculiar chemical patterns observed in extremely metal-deficient stars.

In a previous paper (Weiss et al. 2000, hereafter Paper I), we have investigated the evolutionary properties of low-mass metal-free objects from the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) to the He flash at the tip of the RGB, using updated physical inputs and, for the first time, accounting also for atomic diffusion. In view of the peculiar structural properties that characterize Population III stars (see Cassisi & Castellani 1993; Paper I), special care was taken to use an appropriate and complete nuclear reaction network. Furthermore, numerical experiments were performed with the aim of testing how the evolution of a metal-free star is affected when its surface is polluted by metal-rich matter through encounters with interstellar gas clouds—a scenario suggested in order to explain the absence of truly zero-metal objects (Yoshii 1981). We found that the metals in the accreted matter fail to reach the nuclear-burning regions, so that the main structural and evolutionary properties of the stars are not affected by the external pollution; it was also clearly shown that it is quite difficult to discriminate observationally between a polluted Population III star and a very metal-poor Population II object in this phase of evolution.

In Paper I we stopped the computations soon after the onset of the He flash and did not investigate the evolution during the further development of the He flash at the RGB tip. This had been done by, e.g., Fujimoto et al. (1990), but until now these results have not been supported by independent investigations. In the present work we present a reanalysis of the evolution during the He-flash phase, covering a larger parameter space with respect to the work by Fujimoto and coworkers.

The main characteristics of the evolutionary code used in the present investigation are discussed in the next section; special emphasis is laid upon the numerical algorithms adopted to follow the evolution during the He flash, and the most relevant differences with respect to previous investigations are indicated. In § 3 the evolution through the He-core flash in some selected models is presented together with a discussion of the dependence of the subsequent evolution on the “initial conditions,” like stellar mass and chemical composition. In the same section, we discuss the change in the surface chemical abundances caused by the appearance of an H-shell flash like the one investigated by Fujimoto et al. (1990). In § 4 we extend the computations for some selected models that experience an H-shell flash to the following evolutionary phases; we discuss the evolution all along the He-core– and He-shell–burning phases and compare our results with those corresponding to He-burning models in case that no H-shell flash in the HEFM had occurred. A first comparison with observed extremely metal-poor objects is made in § 5. Conclusions and a brief discussion close the paper.

2. THE STELLAR EVOLUTION CODE

All calculations reported about in this paper were done with the Garching stellar evolution code (Weiss & Schlattl 2000), which is based on the original program developed by Kippenhahn, Weigert, & Hofmeister (1967). The program in its present version is capable of calculating precise solar models (Schlattl 2000; Schlattl & Weiss 1999) as well as following the evolution of low-mass stars into the latest phases of their evolution (Wagenhuber 1996; Schlattl & Weiss 1999).

2.1. Numerical Details

The evolution through the He flash can be followed in an acceptable amount of computing time thanks to the grid routine implemented by Wagenhuber & Weiss (1994), which ensures a sufficiently high accuracy in the linearization of the stellar structure equations. Moreover, the algorithm to determine the undercorrection factor, i.e., the actually applied fraction of the correction found in each iteration step, has been improved considerably. By further limiting the time step with a constraint on the change of the He-burning luminosity ($L_{\text{He}}$), the critical phase of the He flash [$\log (L_{\text{He}}/L_\odot) > 7$] can be followed with about 200 models and with at most about 200 iterations per model around the maximum He luminosity [$\log (L_{\text{He}}/L_\odot) \approx 10$].

The helium-flash–induced mixing found by Fujimoto et al. (2000) results in a qualitative agreement with the observed surface chemical patterns of stars in the HK survey; however, their theoretical investigation has been performed by using a limited reaction network and a crude procedure to follow the fast-mixing process during the HEFM episode. On the other hand, the development and the general properties of the HEFM phenomenon strongly depend on where inside the structure the ingested hydrogen is burnt. Fujimoto et al. (2000) assumed that the hydrogen engulfed by the convective zone is mixed instantaneously down to a position at which the lifetime of a proton against capture in the $^{12}\text{C}(p, \gamma)^{13}\text{N}$ reaction is equal to the timescale of convective mixing. We wish to remark that in a previous paper, Hollowell et al. (1990) stated that they adopted a time-dependent mixing scheme that treats convection as a random-walk process with the rate of convective cell diffusion being a function of the local mixing length and of the local buoyancy velocity. It is not clear to us...
whether Fujimoto et al. (2000) still use this approach or whether they assume instantaneous mixing.

In order to determine how deeply hydrogen is mixed during the HEFM into the helium-flash–driven convective zone (HECZ), it is crucial to treat the mixing as a time-dependent process and to consider carefully the simultaneous mixing and burning processes. In the present investigation, we therefore improved the treatment of mixing and burning in our program. The equations for microscopic diffusion, convection (see below), and nuclear burning are solved in one common scheme (Schlattl 1999); this also implies that the hydrogen and helium networks are solved simultaneously. This is necessary for the correct treatment of the nuclear evolution during those phases when H and He burning are operating at the same time, as is the case in the core of evolved low-main-sequence stars (“CNO flash”; Paper I; Fujimoto et al. 1990) of Population III and during the HEFM.

In our approach, convection is treated as a fast diffusive process where the diffusion constant is proportional to the convective velocity obtained from a convection theory (Langer, El Eid, & Fricke 1985). When the HECZ touches the H-rich layers at the lower boundary of the H-burning shell, the ingested hydrogen interacts with $^{12}\text{C}$ to produce $^{14}\text{N}$ (CNO 1), releasing a huge amount of energy $[\log (L_{\text{H}}/L_\odot) > 6]$. The movement of the outer boundary of the convective zone is not smooth but proceeds discontinuously, and therefore this phase is difficult to follow numerically. Even if the number of grid points is increased considerably, the stepwise motion could not be suppressed totally. Consequently, it is very difficult to determine in advance when the next H-rich shell is mixed into the hotter regions, and the appropriate next time step is nearly impossible to determine. Using time steps too large shortly before the onset of mixing, the violent H-burning phase may be missed, while time steps too short make the computation of the whole phase very time consuming.

The reason for this behavior is that the stellar evolution program calculates the physical structure of a model at a certain epoch and afterward uses this structure (in particular, the density and temperature profiles) to calculate the chemical evolution during a certain time interval following. The solution of all the stellar structure equations (physical as well as chemical variables) in a common scheme would be possible, but it is not advisable, since during this phase already a large number of iterations ($\approx 40$) are needed for the physical variables alone. Including the chemical evolution in the solution of the stellar structure would increase the computing time by a factor of 3.7

The separation of the chemical and structural parts dictated by computational efficiency, however, has the consequence that during the solution of the physical structure any additional mixing that is related to the movement of the boundary of the convective zone is not taken into account. Hence, when the upper boundary of the HECZ moves outward, the program treats hydrogen burning for the current position only, yielding smaller energies than when additional hydrogen is mixed down into hotter regions from layers just becoming convectively unstable.

If the time step during this phase is chosen too large, all protons will be captured completely in the computation of the chemical evolution. The energy released, however, will be that of the total (and larger) time step. The specific energy release per second is therefore lower than it actually would be if the additional mixing due to the advance of the convective boundary had been included. With very short time steps, one could better follow the movement of the convective zone and thus changes in the hydrogen luminosity. However, experience shows that the (numerically) still discontinuous penetration of the HECZ into H-rich layers leads to oscillations in the hydrogen luminosities, and the resolution of these would demand a disproportionately high number of time steps.

Whenever the He-flash–driven convective zone penetrates into a region of nonvanishing hydrogen abundance, additional energy is released on a short timescale. As long as the hydrogen abundance is smaller than approximately $0.05$, the additional energy from the violent hydrogen fusion is negligible, because of the fact that the helium luminosity is the dominant energy source. When the HECZ is advancing to regions with hydrogen abundances higher than about $0.05$, the large H abundance in this zone causes a significant increase of the H-burning rate, which drives a further expansion of the convective zone (see Fig. 4). The numerical code then has to follow the ensuing H-burning runaway $[\log (L_{\text{H}}/L_\odot) > 10]$, during which the subsequent expansion of the star may even lead to a cessation of helium burning.

We found that the most appropriate quantity to determine the onset of the H flash is

$$\delta L = \langle L_{\text{nuc}}(\Delta t) \rangle / L_{\text{nuc}}(t_0),$$

where $L_{\text{nuc}}(t_0)$ is the nuclear luminosity as calculated in the solution of the stellar structure equations and $\langle L_{\text{nuc}}(\Delta t) \rangle$ is the average nuclear luminosity derived from the chemical changes within $\Delta t = t_1 - t_0$. This quantity effectively controls the consistency between the instantaneous energy generation and the subsequent chemical evolution based on it. Whenever $|1 - \delta L|$ exceeds a certain limit (presently set to about 0.7), the chosen time step is immediately reduced and the chemical evolution is recalculated. The time step is decreased until $|1 - \delta L|$ becomes sufficiently small. With this and additional criteria for the determination of time steps and grid resolution, it is possible to follow numerically the He flash and HEFM evolution of low-mass metal-free stars both accurately and efficiently.

In the lower panel of Figure 3, $\delta L$ during the approach of the HECZ to the H-rich layers is shown. Already at $t - t_0 \approx 10$ yr, i.e., before $L_{\text{H}}$ exceeds $L_{\text{nuc}}$, hydrogen is mixed into the He-burning convective zone, causing an increase in $\log (L_{\text{H}}/L_\odot)$ from initially about $-0.5$ to 2.5 (Fig. 3a, thick solid line). This energy release is, however, negligible compared to the energy produced by the fusion of helium. Hence, even with relatively large time steps, $|1 - \delta L|$ remains below 0.5. When the amount of ingested hydrogen is sufficient to affect the subsequent evolution ($t - t_0 \approx 29$ yr), $\delta L$ becomes larger than 2, leading to a drastic decrease of the time step. The subsequent evolution of $L_{\text{H}}$ and $L_{\text{nuc}}$ is resolved accurately ($|1 - \delta L| < 0.5$).

2.2. Input Physics

In order to describe the chemical evolution of the star we follow the abundances of H, $^3\text{He}$, $^4\text{He}$, $^{12}\text{C}$, $^{13}\text{C}$, $^{14}\text{N}$, $^{15}\text{N}$, $^{16}\text{O}$, and $^{17}\text{O}$, without assuming a priori equilibrium compositions for these chemical elements.
Since the metal distribution in our models can show strong deviations with respect to the usually adopted scaled-solar mixture, we decided not to use the most updated equation of state (like OPAL-EOS; Rogers, Swenson, & Iglesias 1996) but the Saha equation for the outer stellar layers and a simplified equation of state for a degenerate electron gas in the core regions (Kippenhahn & Weigert 1990).\textsuperscript{8} The switching point between these two equations of state is determined by the position where either carbon or helium (in case there is no carbon present) is fully or maximally ionized.

For the radiative opacity, we have used, unless stated differently (see below), the tables provided by Iglesias & Rogers (1996) for temperatures above $T > 10,000$ K, and for low temperatures ($T < 8000$ K) those by Alexander & Ferguson (1994). In the intermediate-temperature range, a smooth transition between the two tables is used. Both opacity table sets were computed by using a scaled-solar mixture for the heavy-element distribution. For electron conduction opacities, we used a program employing the results by Itoh et al. (1983), which allows the calculation of the opacity for arbitrary abundances of the most important elements.

The diffusion constants for the gravitational settling of heavy elements are calculated by a routine provided by A. A. Thoul (1995, private communication), which solves Burgers’s equation for a multicomponent fluid (Thoul, Bahcall, & Loeb 1994). For the treatment of convection, we apply the mixing length theory (MLT; Vitense 1953) with the parameter $\alpha = 1.6$. The energy losses from photoneutrinos and pair and plasma neutrinos are calculated according to Munakata, Kohyama, & Itoh (1985). Mass loss has been accounted for by using the Reimers formula (Reimers 1975) with $\eta = 0.4$.

3. THE HELIUM-FLASH-INDUCED MIXING

The evolutionary and structural properties of low-mass, metal-deficient stars have been described at several instances (see, for instance, Cassisi & Castellani 1993 and Paper I) and will not be repeated here. We wish to focus our attention on the development of the He-flash-induced mixing episode and, primarily, on its dependence on stellar parameters such as the initial helium abundance. The occurrence and the details of the HEFM phenomena have been discussed in detail by Hollowell et al. (1990). Here, we emphasize the differences between their results and ours.

3.1. The Hydrogen Flash

Our “standard” model is a metal-free one with mass equal to $1 M_\odot$ and an initial helium abundance $Y_i = 0.23$, computed by neglecting both atomic diffusion and possible external pollution. The main properties of this model are listed in the first line of Table 1. In this table we report initial conditions, main assumptions that characterize each case, the information on whether the HEFM leads to an H flash, and the final relevant surface abundances at the end of the H and He flashes, respectively, for all the experiments performed (§3.2). The evolution of our standard model in the H-R diagram is shown in Figure 1.

The major He-core flash occurs when the surface luminosity is equal to $\log(L/L_\odot) = 2.314$, i.e., a factor of $\approx 1.5$ lower than the value attained by the model of Iben and coworkers. At this stage, the mass of the He core is $M_{\text{He} \max} = 0.482 M_\odot$. The mass shell of maximum energy release by He burning, $M_{\text{He} \max}$, is located at $M_f = 0.151 M_\odot$. These values are in fair agreement with the ones obtained by Cassisi & Castellani (1993). The evolution of $M_{\text{He} \max}$ is shown in Figure 2.

Significantly different results were found by Fujimoto et al. (1990). In their model (of the same mass), the size of the H-exhausted core at He-flash ignition is $0.528 M_\odot$, and $M_{\text{He} \max}$ is $0.41 M_\odot$. We do not know the reason for such a large difference in the location of the He-flash ignition, but we suspect it could be due to the choice of radiative and/or conductive opacities adopted by Fujimoto et al. (1990) or differences in the treatment of plasma-neutrino emission. It should be emphasized that the value of $M_{\text{He} \max}$ is very important for the occurrence of an H flash. The closer $M_{\text{He} \max}$ is to the border of the He core, the higher is the probability for the HECZ to reach the H-rich layer.

Soon after the He flash sets in, a convective shell appears, as in the model computed by Fujimoto et al. (1990). However, in our computation this convective zone reaches H-rich matter $\approx 10$ yr after the He-core flash, whereas in the work by Fujimoto et al. (1990), the HEFM occurs immediately after the He flash ($\approx 10^{-3}$ yr). This difference is due to the much smaller distance between $M_{\text{He} \max}$ and the outer edge of the H-exhausted region in Fujimoto et al.’s (1990) model compared to our computations.

Figure 3 shows the evolution of the energy release produced by H and He burning during the major He-core flash for two models: the standard one and a model that does not experience an H flash (models S1 and S3; see also Fig. 1). At the onset of the H flash, i.e., when the H-burning luminosity exceeds that of He burning, the outer border of the convective shell has reached a mass shell with $X = 0.055$. This value has to be compared with the value of 0.023 listed by

\textsuperscript{8} The dependence of the results on this choice will be discussed in the next section.
TABLE 1

| MODEL | $Y$ | $M_{\odot}$ | $D_{\text{ew}}/D_{\text{MLT}}$ | $D_{\text{mic}}/D_{\text{th}}$ | Pollution | EOS       |
|-------|----|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| S1    | 0.23 | 1.0     | 1                | ...               | No        | Saha      |
| S2    | 0.235 | 1.0     | 1                | ...               | No        | Saha      |
| S3    | 0.24  | 1.0     | 1                | ...               | No        | Saha      |
| S4    | 0.25  | 1.0     | 1                | ...               | No        | Saha      |
| C1    | 0.23  | 1.0     | $10^3$            | ...               | No        | Saha      |
| O1    | 0.23  | 1.0     | 1                | ...               | No        | C&N       |
| E1    | 0.23  | 1.0     | 1                | ...               | No        | OPAL      |
| A1    | 0.23  | 1.0     | 0.01             | ...               | No        | Saha      |
| A3    | 0.24  | 1.0     | $10^3$            | ...               | No        | Saha      |
| D1    | 0.23  | 1.0     | 1                | ...               | No        | Saha      |
| D3    | 0.24  | 1.0     | 1                | ...               | No        | Saha      |
| D4    | 0.25  | 1.0     | 1                | ...               | No        | Saha      |
| D+4   | 0.25  | 1.0     | 1                | ...               | No        | Saha      |
| P1    | 0.23  | 1.0     | 1                | Yes               | ...       | Saha      |
| P3    | 0.24  | 1.0     | 1                | Yes               | ...       | Saha      |
| DP1   | 0.23  | 1.0     | 1                | Yes               | ...       | Saha      |
| DP3   | 0.24  | 1.0     | 1                | Yes               | ...       | Saha      |
| M1    | 0.23  | 0.82    | 1                | ...               | No        | C&N       |
| M3    | 0.24  | 0.81    | 1                | ...               | No        | C&N       |
| B1    | 0.23  | 0.82    | 1                | Yes               | ...       | C&N       |
| B2    | 0.23  | 0.82    | 1                | Yes               | ...       | C&N       |

| $a$ | $I_{\text{He}}^\text{max}^{a}$ | $Y^\text{Sh}$ | $X^\text{Fe}$ | $X^\text{N}$ | $X^\text{Fe}/X^\text{N}$ |
|-----|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Solar | 10.11 | 0.477 | 0.0088 | 0.0043 | ... |
| Solar | 10.06 | 0.478 | 0.0085 | 0.0040 | ... |
| Solar | 10.02 | 0.241 | 0.4 | 0.0 | ... |
| Solar | 9.92  | 0.251 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ... |
| Solar | 10.10 | 0.472 | 0.0086 | 0.0041 | ... |
| C&N  | 10.10 | 0.466 | 0.0088 | 0.0035 | ... |
| Solar | 10.54 | 0.436 | 0.0080 | 0.0032 | ... |
| Solar | 10.10 | 0.399 | 0.0060 | 0.0026 | ... |
| Solar | 10.02 | 0.241 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ... |
| Solar | 10.13 | 0.469 | 0.0064 | 0.0069 | ... |
| Solar | 10.05 | 0.471 | 0.0066 | 0.0066 | ... |
| Solar | 9.96  | 0.240 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ... |
| Solar | 10.09 | 0.459 | 0.0092 | 0.0035 | ... |
| Solar | 10.04 | 0.232 | 1.4 x 10^{-4} | 3.4 x 10^{-5} | 0.032 |
| Solar | 9.96  | 0.241 | 1.4 x 10^{-4} | 3.4 x 10^{-5} | 0.032 |
| Solar | 10.09 | 0.464 | 0.0086 | 0.0040 | 0.013 |
| Solar | 10.00 | 0.230 | 1.4 x 10^{-4} | 3.5 x 10^{-5} | 0.032 |
| Solar | 10.30 | 0.512 | 0.0069 | 0.0098 | ... |
| Solar | 10.25 | 0.550 | 0.0086 | 0.0097 | ... |
| Solar | 10.34 | 0.520 | 0.0123 | 0.0050 | 3.4 x 10^{-4} |
| Solar | 10.31 | 0.502 | 0.0115 | 0.0048 | 3.9 x 10^{-4} |

* Abundances after dredge-up (H flash) and at beginning of HB, respectively.
* Models experiencing an H flash are denoted by a dagger (†).
* Ratio of actual diffusion constant to the one derived from mixing length theory (Vitense 1953; $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = 1.6$). “CM” marks model calculated with the theory of Canuto & Mazzitelli 1991, 1992; $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = 0.8$.
* Ratio of actually applied microscopic diffusion constant to the values derived by Thoul et al. 1994.
* Heavy element distribution used in the opacities. “Solar” and “C&N” mean that a scaled-solar or carbon- and nitrogen-enhanced mixture, respectively, has been adopted.
* Logarithm of the maximum He-burning luminosity in units of the solar luminosity.
* $S$ = surface, $i$ = initial.
* In this sequence, the value of $\Delta M = 3 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ has been chosen in order to match the observed $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ value in the star CS 22892-052 (see text for more details).
* No mass loss in this model; i.e., $\eta_{\text{Reimers}} = 0$.

Fujimoto et al. (2000), which actually belongs to a $0.8 M_\odot$ model but which can be extrapolated safely to one with $M = 1 M_\odot$.

As soon as H is carried into the interior, it starts to be burnt at an extremely high rate because of the high temperatures in these regions, and this deeply affects the following evolution of the star. This behavior is as in the computations by Fujimoto and coworkers. We note that in this model experiencing an H flash, the amount of H ingested during the HEFM phase is $6.5 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$.

The evolution of the convective zones during the major He-core flash is shown in Figure 4. The single HECZ separates into two zones soon after the ingestion of H, because two burning shells are developing (an exhaustive description of this process can be found in Hollowell et al. 1990).

This behavior is in agreement with the computations performed by Hollowell et al. (1990), although the treatment of the driving mechanism, the CNO burning, is different: Hollowell and coworkers assumed that the abundances of isotopes involved in the CNO cycle are locally in equilibrium and that all of the energy from the CN chain is released whenever a proton is captured on $^{12}\text{C}$, whereas we adopt a more accurate treatment for the H-burning network (see § 2.1). In passing, we note that our models support the suggestion by Hollowell et al. (1990), according to which a more realistic treatment of the H burning should have only the effect of producing a wider H-burning shell.

However, at odds with the results obtained by Hollowell et al. (1990), in our computations of the HEFM the H-burning luminosity attains negligible values and the structure is fully supported by the H-burning shell. Besides, because of the H flash, the size of the He core is significantly reduced in comparison with the value reached just before the He flash.

About 100,000 yr after the HEFM, the convective envelope deepens and merges with the convective zone located above the H-burning shell (the HCZ), the lower boundary of which has, as also shown by Hollowell et al. (1990), moved outward. At the end of this process, a huge amount of matter processed by both H and He burning is carried to the surface of the star, polluting its initially metal-free chemical composition. Thus, the stellar surface is strongly enriched by helium ($Y_{\text{surf}} \approx 0.5$), and the global metallicity is equal to $Z = 0.0131$. However, unlike a Population I star, the most abundant heavy elements at the stellar surface are the various isotopes of carbon and nitrogen. The surface abundances of both elements are listed in Table 1. It is evident that the He-flash-induced H flash, if operating in real Population III stars, produces very significant changes in the chemical composition of the star, preventing its identification as a “true” metal-free star.
As a result of the dredge-up of metal-rich matter, the low-temperature opacity is increased drastically. This produces an abrupt jump in the effective temperature visible in the H-R diagram (Fig. 1, dotted segment).

The ceasing fusion of He after the H flash yields a newborn shell H-burning object, climbing up its own RGB. However, this time the H-burning shell is moving through a helium-rich region, and the energy production rate is quite large in comparison with the previous evolution. About 50 million yr after the first He flash, when the He core mass is equal to 0.452 \( M_\odot \), a second He flash develops at \( M_\star = 0.027 \ M_\odot \). The smaller He-core mass and the—compared to the first flash—lower \( M_\text{eHe}^{\text{max}} \) can both be explained by a substantial decrease of the central electron degeneracy during the previous He ignition. This argument is supported by the strength of the second He flash, which is significantly weaker than that of the first one.

In the subsequent evolution, no further mixing episode between the He-burning region and the outer envelope was found. The core He burning and later phases will be described in \( \S \) 4. In the next section we concentrate on the initial and physical conditions, which might influence the development of the H flash.

3.2. The Dependence of the H Flash on the Initial Conditions

The results discussed in the previous section have clearly shown that, at least for the standard model, our computations provide independent support for the results found earlier by Hollowell et al. (1990) and by Fujimoto et al. (2000). Nevertheless, there is an important difference between these investigations and ours concerning the location where the first He flash starts. As already stated in the previous section, \( M_\text{eHe}^{\text{max}} \) is closer to the border of the H-exhausted region in the models provided by Fujimoto and coworkers than in ours.

Since the position of maximum He-burning energy release is crucial in defining whether the HFM and the follow-up H flash occur, we wish to investigate if and to what extent the value of \( M_\text{eHe}^{\text{max}} \) depends on the initial conditions and on the treatment of various physical processes. Therefore, different models have been evolved through the major core He flash. In detail, we have accounted for changes in the initial helium abundance, the stellar mass, the amount of external pollution, the efficiency...
of atomic diffusion, and the uncertainty in the mixing length theory, which is used for determining the velocity of the convective cells in the adopted time-dependent scheme. Furthermore, two different sets of equations of state and opacities have been applied. In Table 1, the main properties of these models are listed. The models that experience an H flash are identified by a dagger.

From the data listed in this table, one notices that the evolution through the HEFM phase strongly depends on the initial conditions. For instance, increasing the initial He abundance from 0.23 to 0.24 inhibits the H flash. The inward shift of $M(\maxHe)$ is only partially compensated by a decrease of the He core mass (Fig. 2), disfavoring an H flash (see also Fig. 3).

We have computed some sequences accounting also for atomic diffusion as described by Thoul et al. (1994; models “D”). These models reveal that microscopic diffusion increases the probability for an H flash (compare models S3 and D3). Interestingly, by artificially increasing the diffusion coefficients by a factor of 5, the internal structure of model D4, which would not experience an H flash, is modified in such a way that an H flash does occur (model D + 4).

Since the strength of the H burning, and probably also the appearance of an H flash, strongly depends on where inside the HECZ the ingested protons are burnt—the deeper the burning occurs, the higher the burning rate—we computed models with different convective velocities and convective “theories.” In our standard model the velocity of the convective cells is obtained from the mixing length theory (Vitense 1953). We have computed again a model that usually experiences an H flash but have decreased the MLT velocity by 2 orders of magnitude (model A1). This model still shows an H flash. In the same manner, neither does increasing the MLT velocity by 2 orders of magnitude in model S3, where no H flash occurs, lead to the development of an H-burning runaway (model A3). The convective velocity itself, therefore, is of no influence on the flash.

Furthermore, we have verified that our results do not depend on the convection theory applied. For this purpose, one sequence (C1) has been computed using the convection theory by Canuto-Mazzitelli (1991, 1992). Again, an H flash occurred during the HEFM phase as in A1. Since the results are stable against changes in the convection theory and against large variations of the convective velocities, we consider them to be reliable, despite the unavoidable approximations one has to make when including time-dependent mixing in convective zones.

In view of the results discussed in Paper I, we have also checked whether external pollution can affect the occurrence of a H flash. Therefore, we computed additional models (P1 and P3) by artificially changing the chemical composition in the outer 0.01 $M_\odot$ of the star, making it similar to the solar one (see Paper I for more details). We found that external pollution disfavors the development of an H flash (see Table 1 and Fig. 2).

All numerical experiments discussed so far have been performed by using opacities where the metals are in scaled-solar proportions. As described in § 3.1, after the H flash the “metals” in the convective zone above the H-burning shell (the HCZ) consist almost entirely of carbon and nitrogen; therefore, the boundaries of the HCZ should be determined more accurately with opacity tables that have a more realistic heavy element distribution. It is important to notice that the position of the lower boundary of the HCZ crucially determines the amount of metals that are later dredged up to the surface.

We performed some additional experiments by using opacity tables taking into account the C- and N-enhancement characteristic for these models. For this purpose we computed opacity tables for the high-temperature regime, considering various He abundances but including only C and N in the heavy element distribution. In detail, we assumed that the C and N fractional abundances are both equal to 0.5 by mass within the metal group. For lower temperatures, the Alexander & Ferguson (1994) tables are used, which were available only for a weak carbon and nitrogen enhancement (3 × solar; D. R. Alexander & J. W. Ferguson 1999, private communication). Since the opacity evaluations in the very outer regions are less crucial for the later mixing process, we think that these tables are sufficiently accurate for the present investigations.

We ran model O1 with these new opacity tables and examined, in particular, if the boundaries of the different convective zones change with respect to the models with scaled-solar opacities. No significant differences in the internal properties of the structure and the final surface chemical composition could be detected.

A further test of the dependence of our results on the adopted physical input consisted in computing a model (E1) using the most accurate EOS presently available: the OPAL EOS (Rogers et al. 1996). Since this equation of state does not extend to the temperatures of He burning, it was extended by the simpler EOS described in § 2.2. The OPAL EOS is available for three metallicities ($Z = 0.0, 0.2, 0.4$); as for the “standard” opacity tables, metals are assumed to be scaled solar. We included this equation of state by interpolating between the available tables at each stellar layer to take into account the large variation of metal content in the star. As a result, a stronger He flash occurred in model E1 than in the standard case S1, further increasing the probability of an H flash during the HEFM.

In order to test the effect of mass loss, we changed the mass-loss rate (models B1 and B2). No effect on the general behavior of the HEFM process was found. This is explained by recalling that the outer envelope properties of RGB stars do not have much influence on the internal properties.

As a general rule, summarizing the results shown in Figure 2 and listed in Table 1, all those changes in the initial conditions and/or in the physical input that can contribute to an increase of the electron degeneracy and thus cause the location of He ignition to move closer to the border of the He core favor the occurrence of an H flash. This has the additional effect that less energy released in the He flash needs to be used for expanding overlying layers. This statement is further supported by the observation that the occurrence of an H flash in stars with smaller masses is less dependent on the initial conditions and physical processes included. These stars intrinsically have a more strongly degenerate electron gas in the center, and thus the development of an H flash in these stars is less sensitive to the various input parameters we investigated above. As an example, we calculated models M1 and M3 with 0.82 $M_\odot$, which both have a larger maximum He-energy production ($L_{\maxHe}$) in the He flash.

9 These opacity tables have been computed by using the tool available at http://www-phys.llnl.gov/Research/OPAL/index.html, provided by the Livermore Laboratory Opacity Group.
than almost all $1 \, M_\odot$ models and which both undergo an H flash (see Table 1).

An important result of the experiments presented is that the final surface abundances of $C$ and $N$ in all models experiencing an H flash during the HEFM stage are quite similar (they differ at most by a factor of 2; see Table 1). This means that despite the difficulties in the numerical treatment of the HEFM and the H-flash phases, the computations provide quite robust results for the relevant surface chemical abundances, which will be compared to observations in § 5.

4. THE POST–He–FLASH EVOLUTION

4.1. The Core He-burning Evolution

Irrespective of whether an H flash occurs or not, as soon as the model attains the thermal conditions necessary for the quiescent burning of He via the triple-alpha reaction, it displays the configuration typical of a horizontal branch (HB) star.

Until now only one theoretical investigation (Cassisi, Castellani, & Tornambé 1996) has addressed the problem of the evolution of low-mass metal-deficient stars during the He-burning phases. However, in that work the occurrence of HEFM was neglected, and the post–He–flash evolution was investigated without taking into account the changes in the stellar properties induced by this process.

In this section we analyze the evolution of a model that has experienced an H flash during the HEFM. We consider a $1 \, M_\odot$ model (S1)—the same one that we have defined as our standard model in the previous discussions—and the $0.82 \, M_\odot$ models B1 and B2. The latter models have been chosen because their age ($\approx$ 13 Gyr) at the main-sequence turnoff could be that of a contemporary Population III star.

The evolution in the H-R diagram of the $1 \, M_\odot$ model experiencing the HEFM is shown in Figure 1 (model S1). For comparison, the track during the same evolutionary phase for model S3 (no H flash) is plotted (dashed line); filled circles indicate the location of the zero-age horizontal branch (ZAHB) for both models. We notice that despite the quite different evolution during the previous core He flash, no major difference in the ZAHB luminosity [$\Delta \log (L/L_\odot) \approx 0.1$] exists. The ZAHB effective temperature is changed only little too ($\Delta \log T_{\text{eff}} \approx 0.06$). The reasons why the ZAHB location of the model experiencing an H flash is slightly brighter and cooler have been identified to be the larger He-envelope abundance and the larger surface metallicity, respectively. We also mention that the value of the effective temperature for the star undergoing HEFM is probably not precisely determined by our models, since the metal mixture of the low-temperature opacities does not exactly match the one at the stellar surface.

The time spent in core He burning is 77 Myr for the standard model S1 and 51 Myr for model S3 without HEFM. This difference is due to both the smaller He core at the beginning of the central He-burning phase and the larger efficiency of the H-burning shell in the model experiencing an H flash.

Interestingly, the evolutionary track in the H-R diagram of model S3, which does not experience the H flash, is quite similar to the results by Cassisi et al. (1996). However, the core He-burning lifetime in their models is longer by a factor of $\approx 2$. This is mainly due to neglecting convection during the quiescent He burning in our computations. Ignoring this effect probably also caused the occurrence of a blue loop that is not present in Cassisi et al.’s (1996) work.

The evolution of the $0.82 \, M_\odot$ model in the H-R diagram is shown in Figure 5. In order to test the effect of mass loss on the overall evolution, the sequence has been computed twice, first without mass loss (model B2 in Table 1) and then using a Reimers mass-loss law with $\eta_{\text{Reimers}} = 0.4$ (model B1). Because of the high mass loss in model B1, the mass of the star has been reduced to 0.63 $M_\odot$ at the beginning of the HB evolution. In spite of the large difference in their total mass, the ZAHB location (Fig. 5, open circle) of both models is quite similar and at $\log (L/L_\odot) \approx 2.4$ and $\log T_{\text{eff}} \approx 3.6$. These values are a consequence of the H flash, which increases the surface metallicity ($Z_{\text{surf}} \approx 0.017$) in both models. Therefore, they behave as metal-rich stars, which are known to show a quite red ZAHB location for masses larger than $\approx 0.55 \, M_\odot$ (see, for instance, Bono et al. 1997).

One notices that soon after the onset of core He burning, both models perform an excursion toward the blue side of the H-R diagram. This behavior can be explained by the quite large helium abundances in their envelopes ($Y_0 \approx 0.5$).

The possibility that a metal-deficient stellar population produces a significant number of RR Lyrae pulsators has been investigated by Cassisi et al. (1996). Neglecting the HEFM, the ZAHB location of metal-deficient stars is always hotter than the RR Lyrae instability strip, and one cannot expect to have any ZAHB pulsators. In addition, a close inspection of the evolutionary paths in the H-R diagram revealed that the possibility to form a significant number of variables from the more evolved stars is negligible too. In our scenario, accounting for the HEFM process and a subsequent H flash, once again the possibility that metal-free stars produce RR Lyrae variables is quite negligible, but for the opposite reason: metal-deficient HB stars experiencing the H flash have ZAHB locations too red in comparison with the RR Lyrae instability strip. In this respect, metal-deficient stars, the surfaces of which have been polluted during the H-flash phase, behave as “true” metal-rich stellar structures. The only possibility for obtain-

![Fig. 5.—H-R diagram of 0.82 $M_\odot$ models experiencing H flashes, with Reimers mass loss ($\eta = 0.4$; dashed line) and without mass loss (solid line). In Table 1 these models are denoted as B2 and B1, respectively. The open circle indicates the—almost identical—ZAHB positions of the two models.](image-url)
ing ZAHB pulsators is a quite strong but improbable mass-loss rate ($\eta_{\text{Reimers}} > 0.4$), which "forces" the ZAHB location to lie within the instability strip. The post-ZAHB evolution does not yield an appreciable number of RR Lyrae stars, because the instability strip is crossed in a very short time ($\approx 1\text{--}2\text{ Myr}$).

4.2. The Helium-Shell–burning Phase

The high He abundance in the envelope of post–H-flash stars ($Y \approx 0.5$) leads to a large burning rate in the H shell.

Therefore, at the end of core He burning the size of the H-rich envelope is significantly reduced in comparison to models that did not experience the H flash at the RGB tip. Hence, the HB progeny of a formerly H-flashing star has a larger probability to evolve as AGB-manqué or post–early AGB objects.

The evolution of low-mass metal-deficient stars during the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) has been investigated by Cassisi et al. (1996) and Fujimoto et al. (2000). It was found that for these masses, a process quite similar to the HEFM discussed previously can occur at the beginning of the thermal-pulse phase. Hydrogen is mixed into the convective zone driven by He burning, causing—as in the H-flash phase at the tip of the RGB—significant changes in the surface chemical composition.

Because we have evolved model B2 (no mass loss) through the AGB phase, we are now in the position to explore whether the H flash at the RGB tip affects the AGB evolution. In Figure 6 we show the evolution of the H-burning, the He-burning, and the total stellar luminosities during the first eight thermal pulses of model B2. We have found an interesting but expected result: if an H flash occurred at the RGB tip, then the star behaves as a normal thermal pulsing Population I or II star with no further HEFM episode on the AGB.

This behavior is due to the dredge-up of metals—carbon and nitrogen—into the stellar envelope during the H-flash phase, with the consequence that during the following AGB evolution, the H-burning shell moves into a region no longer devoid of metals.

Before concluding this section, we briefly discuss the carbon-oxygen (CO) abundance profile during the AGB phase. The CO stratification at this stage corresponds closely to that inside the final white dwarf configuration, which is a key parameter to determine its cooling time (see, e.g., Salaris et al. 1997). In Figure 7 we show the carbon and oxygen profiles within the CO core at the fifth thermal pulse for our standard model (S1) and for a 1 $M_\odot$ star of solar composition. In spite of the very different initial metallicity, the CO profile is quite similar throughout the core, and moreover, it is not affected by the occurrence of an H flash during the RGB evolution of the standard model. A notable difference, however, is the extension (in mass) of the core itself. The standard model shows a much larger CO core, by about 0.1 $M_\odot$. This should correspond to an analogous difference for the final white dwarf masses, provided both structures leave the AGB after approximately the same number of pulses. We refrain from comparing in detail these profiles with corresponding results (for solar metallicity stars) by Salaris et al. (1997), because in our models we not only neglect semiconvection during the quiescent He-burning phase but also use a different $^{12}\text{C} + \alpha \rightarrow ^{16}\text{O}$ reaction rate.

5. COMPARISON WITH OBSERVATIONS

Our ultimate goal being to investigate the conjecture that the observed ultra–metal-poor stars are the first low-mass stars in our Galaxy, we now confront our models with the observations. According to Beers (1999), 10% of the stars with [Fe/H] $\leq -2.5$ show a carbon overabundance of [C/Fe] $> 1.0$, this fraction rising to 25% at [Fe/H] $\leq -3.0$, with the excess increasing to [C/Fe] = 2 at the lowest metallicities (Rossi et al. 1999). In addition, nitrogen is enhanced to similar levels (where determined), while oxygen
remains normal with respect to iron, i.e., enhanced by \( \approx 0.4 \) dex as is typical for Population II. No standard stellar source is known for producing such abundances (Timmes, Woosley, & Weaver 1995) and neither is such massive Population III supernovae (A. Heger & S. E. Woosley 2000, private communication). We therefore follow the idea that the peculiar C and N abundances stem from H and He burning in the interior, while all heavier elements are the result of pollution or accretion. Our first prediction, therefore, would be that only post–He-flash objects can show enhanced C and N abundances. Because the flash occurs at comparably low luminosities in Population III stars and the star experiences a rather long-lived second RGB phase at lower \( T_{\text{eff}} \) (because of the strongly increased metal abundance in the envelope),\(^{10}\) such stars can also be found at rather moderate RGB brightness.

As a first object to compare with, we chose CS 22892-052, which is probably the best-observed star outside the solar system. In Table 2, we list the stellar properties. The effective temperature we took from Sneden et al. (1996), as well as its gravity (log \( g = 1.5 \)). Assuming that the mass is that of our model star with the turnoff age being 13 Gyr (0.82 \( M_\odot \)), we derive the luminosity to be \( L/L_\odot \approx 2.5 \). Additionally, we add CS 22957-027 (Norris, Ryan, & Beers 1997b).

We compare these observed values with the ones obtained from our “best” models (B1 and B2), whose properties are listed in the next three rows of Table 2. We consider B1 and B2 to be the best theoretical counterparts of CS 22892-052, since their mass is the one chosen to represent currently evolving Population III stars (their age at the RGB tip is 13.5 Gyr, consistent with actual estimates of the age of the universe and with the value for CS 22892-052 obtained by Cowan et al. 1999 from nuclear chronology). From the B1 sequence we selected two models: the first one immediately after the helium flash and the second one at a luminosity as estimated for CS 22892-052. The first one is also close to the luminosity of CS 22957-027. These models are at the approximately correct locations in the H-R diagram. We have tuned the amount of polluted matter in order to match approximately the observed \([\text{Fe/H}]\) ratio in these stars. The predicted C and N abundance ratios with respect to iron are listed in the fifth and sixth columns of Table 2.

\(^{10}\) Calling such postflash stars “metal poor” is appropriate if one refers to true metals only.

These theoretical predictions are 2–3 dex larger than the observed values. Even if there are objects at that metallicity that show enrichments in carbon of up to \([\text{C/Fe}] = 3\), our models still predict too much carbon and nitrogen. In fact, while the observations indicate a spread in the over-abundances, our models seem to produce always the same amount of C and N, such that \([\text{C/Fe}]\) and \([\text{N/Fe}]\) depend on the assumed pollution in Fe, which does not, however, influence the HEFM. Our models thus clearly fail to reproduce the absolute amount of carbon and nitrogen in these stars, and it remains to be investigated whether an additional parameter controlling C and N production can be found.

In Table 2 we list the same quantities also for a 1 \( M_\odot \) model (DP1). It is evident that in this case the \([\text{C/Fe}]\) and \([\text{N/Fe}]\) ratios are in better agreement with observations, but this result is just due to the fact that this model was polluted with more material and therefore has a higher abundance of iron at the surface, which disagrees with the observed one. We add this model only to underline the fact that the amount of polluting material hardly influences the internal C and N production.

On the other side, it is reassuring that \([\text{C/N}]\), which is 0.1 in CS 22892-052 and 0.2 in CS 22957-027, is similar in our models (\( \approx -0.2 \)) and that effective temperature and luminosity can be matched with models after the He flash.

6. DISCUSSION AND FINAL REMARKS

In the present work we have investigated the evolution of low-mass metal-free stars during the central ignition of He burning at the RGB tip. We have used an improved description of mixing and nuclear reaction network for these peculiar stellar structures. This has allowed us to examine in detail the occurrence and the properties of a mixing process induced by the He flash.

Our results provide independent support for the conclusions reached by Fujimoto and coworkers. Actually, when the H flash occurs, not only the general properties of this phenomenon but also its final consequences on the surface chemical abundances are quite similar to those found by Hollowell et al. (1990) and Fujimoto et al. (2000). There is an important difference, however: Whereas they claim that the H flash is a common property of all low-mass (<1.0 \( M_\odot \)) metal-deficient stars, we have found that the occurrence of an H flash depends on the adopted initial conditions, like mass and He abundance, as well as on the assumptions made for the treatment of some physical conditions, like mass and He abundance, as well as on the assumed pollution in Fe, which does not, however, influence the HEFM. Our models thus clearly fail to reproduce the absolute amount of carbon and nitrogen in these stars, and it remains to be investigated whether an additional parameter controlling C and N production can be found.

In Table 2 we list the same quantities also for a 1 \( M_\odot \) model (DP1). It is evident that in this case the \([\text{C/Fe}]\) and \([\text{N/Fe}]\) ratios are in better agreement with observations, but this result is just due to the fact that this model was polluted with more material and therefore has a higher abundance of iron at the surface, which disagrees with the observed one. We add this model only to underline the fact that the amount of polluting material hardly influences the internal C and N production.

On the other side, it is reassuring that \([\text{C/N}]\), which is 0.1 in CS 22892-052 and 0.2 in CS 22957-027, is similar in our models (\( \approx -0.2 \)) and that effective temperature and luminosity can be matched with models after the He flash.

### Table 2

**Observational Properties for Some Selected Theoretical Models and for Two Extremely Metal-poor Stars**

| Model     | Age (Gyr) | \( T_{\text{eff}} \) (K) | \( \log L/L_\odot \) | \([\text{C/Fe}]\) | \([\text{N/Fe}]\) | \([\text{Fe/H}]\) | \([^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}]\) |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| CS 22892-052 | 15.6 ± 4.6 (1) | 4760 (2) | 2.5\(^{a}\) | 1.1 (3) | 1.0 (3) | -3.0 (3) | \( \geq 10 \) (4) |
| CS 22957-027 | 13.7 | 4840 (5) | 1.7\(^{b}\) | 2.2 (4) | 2.0 (4) | -3.4 (4) | 10 (4) |
| B1         | 13.7 | 4500 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 4.1 | -3.3 | 4.8 |
| B2         | 13.7 | 4700 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 4.2 | -3.3 | 4.4 |
| DP1        | 6.57 | 4570 | 1.68 | 2.2 | 2.5 | -1.7 | 4.6 |

\(^{a}\) Derived from \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and log \( g = 1.3 \) of Sneden et al. 1996 by assuming a mass of 0.82 \( M_\odot \).
\(^{b}\) Derived as for CS 22892-052 from log \( g = 2.25 \) of Bonifacio et al. 1998.

References:—(1) Cowan et al. 1997. (2) Sneden et al. 1996. (3) Norris, Ryan, & Beers 1997a. (4) Norris et al. 1997b. (5) Bonifacio et al. 1998.
process like diffusion and external pollution. Our computations disclose that the occurrence of an H flash is mainly governed by one parameter: the position of the maximum He-burning energy release in the H flash. The deeper inside the star this point lies, the lower is the probability that the convective zone developing at the He-flash ignition can penetrate into the hydrogen-rich envelope, thereby carrying down protons and triggering an H-burning runway.

A first comparison with CS 22892-052 and CS 22957-027 revealed a good match of effective temperature and luminosity and the relative abundances of carbon and nitrogen, but a severe overproduction of these elements, which is independent of the assumed amount and composition of the polluting material. Even if the H-flash phenomenon provides an interesting working scenario for interpreting the observed abundance patterns in extremely metal-deficient stars, it is not yet able to provide a detailed match to the observations. There are several possible interpretations of this failure, and we are now going to comment on some of them.

In the H flash, N is produced in the CN chain by the fusion of carbon with hydrogen. Thus, any process destroying part of the carbon before the HEFM occurs also reduces the final nitrogen abundance. Obviously, with the rate of the \( ^{12}\text{C}(\alpha, \gamma)^{16}\text{O} \) reaction being affected by a large uncertainty (Buchmann 1996), a possible process to reduce the carbon abundance can be identified. However, our computations show that the mean temperature in the He-burning region is far too low for the \( ^{12}\text{C}(\alpha, \gamma)^{16}\text{O} \) reaction being efficient. Hence, the demanded changes in the \( ^{12}\text{C}(\alpha, \gamma)^{16}\text{O} \) rate to process considerable amounts of carbon would be much larger than the experimental uncertainties. In addition, the surface oxygen abundance would be increased considerably, in contrast to the observations, which reveal an oxygen content typical for Population II stars.

Another possibility for reducing the amount of C and N dredged up to the surface is an outward shift of the lower boundary of the convective zone driven by the H flash, when merging with the convective envelope. It is, however, unclear how this could be done, because in all our models, even in the ones containing the opacity with a C- and N-enhanced mixture—which we consider to be the most reliable ones, the convective boundaries are located almost at the same position.

The third, and in our opinion the most promising way out of the high C and N abundances lies in an improvement of the crude one-dimensional description used to calculate the violent H burning in the HECZ zone. Possibly, we overestimate the convective velocity and thus the penetration depth of hydrogen. Model A1 with reduced velocities of the convective elements shows the tendency of smaller carbon and nitrogen abundances (about 30% less than in the standard model S1; see Table 1). In addition, the \( ^{12}\text{C}(\alpha, \gamma)^{16}\text{O} \) ratio, which in all H-flashing models is about 4.5 ± 0.5, is 5.6 in model A1, which again points toward the experimental value of \( \gtrsim 10 \). A similar result might be obtained if the penetration of the HECZ into the H-rich layers could be delayed until the temperature in the He-burning shell has diminished. We add that in the first two possibilities presented above, the ingested hydrogen would be burnt under the same conditions, yielding the same lower values for \( ^{12}\text{C} / ^{13}\text{C} \).

Nevertheless, since the dredge-up of C and N to the surface is a consequence of the huge amount of energy released by hydrogen fusion and thus by the production of nitrogen, a minimum value for the nitrogen abundance must exist. Below this value, the H-burning energy release is not sufficient to change the subsequent evolution of the star, and no dredge-up would occur. Further investigations are required for deciding whether the observed N and C abundances can be reproduced by a modified mixing/burning description or by multidimensional simulations.

We point out a further potential problem that might become evident with larger samples of well-investigated metal-poor stars: since we predict carbon-enhancement due to internal production only during the He flash, stars on the main sequence or near the turnoff should not display the same abundance pattern. In addition, above the postflash luminosity (\( \log L/L_\odot \approx 1.5 \)) the number of postflash to pre-flash stars should reflect the evolutionary timescales (since the mass is approximately constant, assuming similar ages for all such halo stars); the ratio should be around 1:5, rising with increasing luminosity. This number is not too far from the observed numbers, but it is unknown to what extent observational biases might have influenced this. It is therefore extremely important to get larger samples with additional information about the stellar brightness.

Although our models face severe problems when comparing them to the best-observed ultra-metal-poor star, CS 22892-052, they still offer the only source for producing the observed C and N abundance patterns. Because of the mixing of protons into He-burning regions, s-process elements might result from the HEFM. We will investigate this and the evolution of light elements (\(^{12}\text{Li} \)) in future work.

This work was supported by a DAAD/VIGONI grant. One of us (S. C.) warmly thanks MURST (Cofin2000) for financial support under the scientific project “Stellar Observables of Cosmological Relevance.” A. W. is grateful for the hospitality at the Institute for Advanced Study and Princeton Observatory and for a Fulbright fellowship that allowed visiting both places. Helpful discussions with T. Beers, C. Sneden, J. Cowan and J. Truran and the very careful reading of the manuscript by H. Ritter are acknowledged.

REFERENCES

Alexander, D. R., & Ferguson, J. W. 1994, ApJ, 437, 879
Beers, T. C. 1999, in Galaxy Evolution: Connecting the Distant Universe with the Local Fossil Record, ed. M. Spite (Astrophys. & Space Sci. 265; Dordrecht: Kluwer), 547
Beers, T. C., Preston, G. W., & Shectman, A. 1992, AJ, 103, 1877
Hond, B. H. E. 1980, ApJS, 44, 517
Bonifacio, P., Molaro, P., Beers, T. C., & Vladilo, G. 1998, A&A, 332, 672
Bono, G., Caputo, F., Cassisi, S., Castellani, V., & Marconi, M. 1997, ApJ, 479, 729
Buchmann, L. 1996, ApJ, 468, L127
Canuto, M., & Mazzitelli, I. 1991, ApJ, 370, 295
———. 1992, ApJ, 389, 724
Cassisi, S., & Castellani, V. 1993, ApJS, 88, 509
Cassisi, S., Castellani, V., & Tornambé, A. 1996, ApJ, 459, 298
Castellani, V. 2000, in The First Stars, ed. A. Weiss, T. Abel, & V. Hill (Berlin: Springer), 95
Chiosi, C. 2000, in The First Stars, ed. A. Weiss, T. Abel, & V. Hill (Berlin: Springer), 95
Cowan, J. J., Pfeiffer, B., Kratz, K.-L., Tielemann, F.-K., Sneden, C., Burles, S., Tytler, D., & Beers, T. C. 1999, ApJ, 521, 194
Fujimoto, M. Y., Iben, I., Jr., & Hollowell, D. 1990, ApJ, 349, 580
Fujimoto, M. Y., Ikena, S., & Iben, I., Jr. 2000, ApJ, 529, L25
Hill, V., et al. 2000, A&A, 353, 557
Hollowell, D., Iben, I., Jr., & Fujimoto, M. Y. 1990, ApJ, 351, 245

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a DAAD/VIGONI grant. One of us (S. C.) warmly thanks MURST (Cofin2000) for financial support under the scientific project “Stellar Observables of Cosmological Relevance.” A. W. is grateful for the hospitality at the Institute for Advanced Study and Princeton Observatory and for a Fulbright fellowship that allowed visiting both places. Helpful discussions with T. Beers, C. Sneden, J. Cowan and J. Truran and the very careful reading of the manuscript by H. Ritter are acknowledged.
