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1. Introduction

Nanotechnology is considered as “the sixth truly revolutionary technology introduced in the modern world.”[1] Nanotechnology is based on engineered nanoparticles (ENPs), novel entities with significantly enhanced electrical, mechanical, optical, chemical properties at nanoscale.[2] Hence, the purposely made engineered nanomaterials outperforming the traditional non-nanoparticle materials show a lot of promise for direct and indirect benefits in almost all spheres of modern society. Nanotechnology brings novel opportunities for the environment – from improved pollution sensors and water treatment procedures to pesticide replacements.[3] However, it is not all good news given the serious concerns that some ENPs may have detrimental effects on the environment if present in significant quantities.[4] For example, nanobiocides, such as AgNPs, CuONPs, were shown to be more toxic to non-target organisms than to targeted bacteria.[5] Therefore, the big challenge is how to take advantage of the opportunities provided by the nano-enabled materials, while avoiding or mitigating associated environmental hazards and risks of ENP use. Addressing the above challenge requires rigorous analysis of the benefits versus risks. Such analysis needs to be underpinned by state-of-the-art tools for quantification and characterization of ENPs in complex environmental and biological settings, appropriate bioassays for reliable assessment of ENPs potential effects as well as suitable models for predicting their risks. More importantly, improved and quantitative understanding on the key processes underlying the interactions between the ENPs and living organisms is central for linking the exposure (usually addressed in environmental chemistry) and effects (usually addressed in ecotoxicology).

In such a context, the research of the Laboratory Environmental Biogeochemistry and Ecotoxicology of the University of Geneva aims to improve the understanding of the interactions of the ENPs with different biotic and abiotic components of the aquatic environment. We are using bioavailability or “the extent of adsorption of a substance by a living organism”[6] as a key concept allowing to quantitatively relate the changes in the contaminant concentrations and speciation with the intensity of the biological effects. The specific focus is on the phytoplankton given (i) its crucial role in the global elemental cycling,[7] (ii) its significant contribution to half of global primary production[8] and (iii) its key position at the bottom of the aquatic food webs.

In this review paper, we focus on two interconnected questions on the effects of ENPs on phytoplankton and the influence of phytoplankton on the ENPs’ fate in the aquatic environment and discuss the key concept of bioavailability of ENPs. It is out of the scope of this article to provide a detailed overview on the fate and impact of the ENPs in the environment. Abundant information on the environmental implications of ENPs can be found in recent review papers.[9]

2. Bioavailability of ENPs to Phytoplankton

It is an important paradigm in ecotoxicology that a contaminant must be biologically available (bioavailable) to have significant effect on living organisms like phytoplankton. In the case of the ENPs, the bioavailability to phytoplankton is a result of several interconnected processes (Fig. 1)[10] including: (i) transport of the ENPs from exposure medium to cellular surface, e.g. via diffusion (1, Fig. 1). This process is size-dependent and differs for dissolved, single ENPs and aggregates formed in the ambient medium; (ii) reversible adsorption of different ENPs forms (2, Fig. 1) on the cell walls and membrane; (iii) internalization (or not) by different mechanisms (3, Fig. 1), e.g. by endocytosis and phagocytosis and/or by altering the cell membranes[9c,10a,11] (iv) cellular distribution and transformation (4, Fig. 1) and (v) excretion (5, Fig. 1). Furthermore, bioavailability can be considered as three principal components:[12] 'exposure availability', 'actual or potential uptake availability', and 'toxico-availability'.

The bioavailability depends on multiple factors[10a] related with: (i) the type and characteristics of the ENPs, such as its physicochemical speciation, size, shape, surface functionalization etc.; (ii) the ambient water variables, such as pH, water hardness and alkalinity; (iii) the presence and concentrations of other dissolved,
nanoparticulate and colloidal forms of contaminants; (iv) the presence and concentrations of different ligands from natural and anthropogenic origins, which may influence chemical and physicochemical speciation; (v) the phytoplankton characteristics, such as cell type, cell wall, cell membrane, differentiation stage and its pathways of particle uptake and cellular processing.

3. How Do ENPs Affect the Phytoplankton in the Aquatic Environment?

Addressing this question requires extensive understanding of different processes determining the exposure availability, the uptake availability, and toxico-availability.

3.1 Exposure Availability

In the specific case of phytoplankton, the forms of ENPs still dispersed in the water column are considered as available for exposure in contrast to the sedimented particles. Thus, the exposure availability is determined by the physicochemical speciation of the ENPs, which is a result of the interplay between aggregation, sedimentation and dissolution processes.[13] These processes are modified by different physicochemical variables, including pH, O₂, light, ionic composition, nature and concentration of dissolved organic matter (DOM).[14] The complexity of ENPs with different ligands, such as DOM, leading to the formation of particle’s eco-corona and its stabilizing/destabilizing role in the ENPs suspensions was highlighted.[15] The nature of the eco-corona could affect the ENPs behavior and bio-interactions,[16] hence it is very important to take it into account in the evaluation of the exposure availability. The lack of process-based models, representing the particle size distribution and its dynamics in the exposure medium, and the dynamics of dissolution and aggregation were pointed out recently as an important challenge in the exposure assessment and modelling.[12]

3.2 Uptake Availability of the ENPs

Uptake availability refers to the ENPs’ forms that can be adsorbed and internalized by the organism.[12] Multiple examples demonstrate the adsorption of metallic and metal oxide nanoparticles (NPs) to freshwater microalgae. Platinum nanoparticles (PtNPs) were shown to adhere to the surface of the green algae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*,[17] palladium (Pd) NPs,[17b] and four iron-containing particles[18] to C. reinhardtii; silver (Ag) NPs to *Euglena gracilis*,[19] and C. reinhardtii,[17b] gold (Au) NPs to the diatom *Eolimna minima*,[20] and *C. reinhardtii*.[17b] Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) NPs aggregates trapped different phytoplankton species, including the green alga *Raphidocelis subcapitata*, the diatom *Fistulifera pelliculosa*, and the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis sp.*[9b] Similarly the TiO₂NPs aggregates attached to *C. reinhardtii*, but the aggregate formation and pattern was pH dependent (Fig. 2).

The adsorption of the ENPs to cell surface was dependent on the composition of the cell wall.[10a,11,22] Carboxyl-CdSe/ZnS quantum dots significantly adsorbed to a cell wall-less mutant of *C. reinhardtii*, while adsorption was minimal to the wild-type strain containing glycoproteins and no adsorption was observed to *Chlorella kessleri* possessing a cellulosic cell wall.[23] Overall, the interactions of ENPs with proteins and polysaccharides of the cell wall and membranes seem to play an important role in actual or potential uptake of ENPs. However, these interactions have been poorly studied and should be subject of future research.

Endocytosis is accepted as a major pathway of entry of ENPs and was demonstrated in various phytoplankton species.[10a,11,24] For example, endocytosis was involved in the internalization of AgNPs by the golden-brown alga *Ochromonas danica*. Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) NPs demonstrated the adsorption of metallic and metal oxide nanoparticle (NPs) to freshwater microalgae. Platinum nanoparticles (PtNPs) were shown to adhere to the surface of the green algae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*.[17b] Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) NPs aggregates trapped different phytoplankton species, including the green alga *Raphidocelis subcapitata*, the diatom *Fistulifera pelliculosa*, and the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis sp.*[9b] Similarly the TiO₂NPs aggregates attached to *C. reinhardtii*, but the aggregate formation and pattern was pH dependent (Fig. 2).

![Fig. 2. pH dependence of the attachment of TiO₂NP aggregates to the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii.](image)

Fig. 2. pH dependence of the attachment of TiO₂NP aggregates to the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. The zeta-potential of suspensions containing TiO₂NPs (black squares), C. reinhardtii (green triangles) and C. reinhardtii and TiO₂NPs (red dots) was measured at different exposure times at pH 5.0 (A) and pH 7.0 (B). TiO₂NPs are positively charged at pH 5.0, and negatively charged at pH 7.0; whereas C. reinhardtii is negatively charged at both pHs. At pH 5.0, the interaction of TiO₂NPs with C. reinhardtii results in changes of the sign of the Zeta-potential of the algae which became positive and closer to that determined in TiO₂NPs suspensions. At pH 7.0, no significant changes in the Zeta-potential of C. reinhardtii were found. The presence of opposed charge between the ENPs and C. reinhardtii could promote the change of the surface’s charge of algae and facilitate the surface adhesion of ENPs to the cells. These observations were consistent with bright field microscopy observation at pH 5.0 (C) and pH 7.0 (D). The figures are based on data from Sottocasa.[29]
copper oxide (CuO) NPs\textsuperscript{29} were found in the cell wall and cytoplasm of \textit{C. reinhardtii}. AuNPs were internalized by both the wild-type and the cell wall-deficient, \textit{C. reinhardtii} strains.\textsuperscript{30} AgNPs uptake was proposed to determine its toxicity to the marine microalgae \textit{Phaeodactylum tricornutum},\textsuperscript{31} whereas AgNPs dissolution was the main toxicity factor in the other microalga \textit{C. reinhardtii}.\textsuperscript{13,32} AuNPs dose- and time-dependent uptake was verified in \textit{Cryptomonas ovata}\textsuperscript{33} and CdTe/CdS quantum dots were taken up by \textit{C. reinhardtii}.\textsuperscript{34} These selected examples illustrate that ENPs of different composition and sizes can penetrate the cell membranes of phytoplankton species. However, the exact mechanisms need further attention and the question whether the majority of phytoplankton species have specific mechanisms of ENPs uptake is still open. Furthermore, the phytoplankton cell walls are considered as efficient barriers, which prevent internalization of ENPs, thus the majority of the phytoplankton are often considered as particle-proof.\textsuperscript{10a,35} Other possible mechanisms of ENPs penetration through the cell membrane/walls include uptake by non-specific diffusion, uptake by non-specific membrane damage, specific uptake or adhesive interactions.\textsuperscript{10a,11,36} However further experimental evidence is necessary to confirm their relevance with respect to the phytoplankton species.

### 3.3 Toxico-availability of ENPs

“Toxico-availability” of ENPs includes “the biochemical and physiological processes resulting from the effects of the toxicant at the site of action”.\textsuperscript{12} It is governed by the interactions with biomolecules essential for cellular functioning.\textsuperscript{9h,37} ENPs exhibit a potential to affect the phytoplankton directly and indirectly, via metal ion release and generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) harmful to cells.\textsuperscript{9c,38} The generation of the excessive ROS by ENPs can be triggered by impurities, photoactivation, dissolution and interaction of ENPs with biomolecules.\textsuperscript{39} The excessive intracellular ROS levels could damage lipids, proteins and DNA.\textsuperscript{40} The importance of the oxidative stress in ENPs ecotoxicity is well understood and comprehensively reviewed.\textsuperscript{9h,10,41} Here we will provide a few recent examples specific to phytoplankton species. Dichromium trioxide (Cr$_2$O$_3$) NPs induced excessive ROS formation and damage to the reaction center of photosystem II in the chloroplasts of \textit{C. reinhardtii}.\textsuperscript{42} Zinc oxide (ZnO) NPs\textsuperscript{43} and cobalt ferrite (CoFe$_2$O$_4$) NPs\textsuperscript{44} altered the activity of oxidative stress enzymes in \textit{Chlorella vulgaris}. Similar observations were found for TiO$_2$NPs and several diatom species including \textit{Nitzschia closterium}.\textsuperscript{45} Barenia brevis and \textit{Skeletonema costatum},\textsuperscript{46} and \textit{Scenedesmus sp}\textsuperscript{47}. The enhanced ROS production was shown to depend on multiple factors\textsuperscript{44} including ENPs properties, organism properties and the ambient medium variables. For example, it was shown that the excessive ROS generation by TiO$_2$NPs is dependent on: (i) ENPs size, with a lower size exhibiting a stronger pro-oxidant effect;\textsuperscript{48} (ii) crystal structure, with anatase being more ROS reactive;\textsuperscript{48} (iii) surface functionalization of the TiO$_2$NPs, as well as UV radiation activation.\textsuperscript{49} However, concentration- and primary size dependences of ENPs causing cellular oxidative stress are not always clearly seen (Fig. 3).

The oxidative stress potential of metal oxide nanoparticles to bacteria increased when the energy at the conduction band of the particle falls into the range of redox potentials of biological molecules together with having a less negative hydration enthalpy.\textsuperscript{51} However, whether this is valid in the case of phytoplankton species has to be elucidated. In addition, comparative studies with phytoplankton species showed that they differ according to their sensitivity to excessive ROS. For example, more than 90\% of the cells of \textit{C. reinhardtii} were stressed after 2 h exposure to 1 mg L$^{-1}$ Pt of 2 nm starch-coated PtNPs, whereas under similar conditions only 25\% of cells of \textit{P. subcapitata} were affected.\textsuperscript{17a} Interestingly, no significant oxidative membrane damage was observed for \textit{P. subcapitata} (<2 \%) cells and \textit{C. reinhardtii} (< 22\%), suggesting the antioxidant systems were able to cope with the oxidative stress. Finally, the combination of ZnONPs\textsuperscript{52} or CuONPs\textsuperscript{53} with UV radiation resulted in synergistic effects on oxidative stress and membrane damage, which were attributed to the important ion release from ENPs. Comparative study of AgNPs and ionic silver showed that both silver forms affected viability of \textit{C. reinhardtii}, but only ionic silver-induced ROS production.\textsuperscript{54} Exposure to high concentrations of TiO$_2$, silicon dioxide (SiO$_2$) and ZnONPs provokes DNA damage in the diatom \textit{Dunaliella tertiolecta}. However, associated mechanisms were nanoparticle composition dependent: ZnONPs induced significant DNA strand breaks through the release of Zn$^+$; SiO$_2$NPs through excessive ROS generation; and TiO$_2$NPs indirectly via activation of cellular signals involved in cell division or cell wall destruction.\textsuperscript{55} ENPs were shown to form complexes with DNA \textit{in vitro}, which could alter DNA conformation, and induce DNA degradation.\textsuperscript{56} Similarly, ENPs form complexes with proteins, which could alter protein conformation and activity, and in turn impact cellular function.\textsuperscript{57} For example, metallothionein adsorbed on 20 nm citrate-coated AgNPs and promoted their dissolution; ceruloplasmin formed stable protein corona with a limited substitution of Cu.\textsuperscript{58} One study on mixtures of AgNPs and AuNPs with catalase (CAT) revealed that CAT formed complexes with AgNPs but not with AuNPs. The formation of the AgNPs–CAT complex resulted in losses of CAT quaternary structure, as well as in decrease of Ag ions released by AgNPs (Fig. 4). Despite the existing progress towards understanding the processes governing NP-biomolecule interactions,\textsuperscript{59} various issues mainly related to the underlying mechanism, time evolution of the formation of NP-biomolecule complexes in biological media and long-term effects to organisms are still to be resolved.

### 4. Impact of Phytoplankton on ENPs Occurrence and Fate in the Aquatic Environment

Phytoplankton species can affect the ENPs occurrence and fate in the aquatic environment directly by: (i) producing metallic nanoparticles from dissolved metals ions, (ii) inducing transfor-
Several possible mechanisms of nanoparticle Scenedesmus stable AgNPs with average sizes of 5–10 nm were generated by reduction to CuS and direct reduction of CuS to Cu2ONPs, involving involvement in ENPs transformations. For example, CuONPs sulfidation and complexation by intracellular ligands were reported to be involved in ENPs secretome and modulate the stability of ENPs.

4.3 Influences of Phytoplankton on ENPs

Phytoplankton excrete a large variety of small molecules, nucleic acid, fatty acid, carbohydrates polymers and proteins which form their secretome and modulate the stability of ENPs. For example, exudates secreted from the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. efficiently stabilized 20 nm and 50 nm citrate-coated AgNPs and lipico acid-coated AgNPs, but had a minor effect on the PVP-coated AgNPs of similar size, showing the importance of the primary surface coating of ENPs. Exudates released from Chlorella sp. decreased the release of Zn2+ ions from ZnONPs, as did EPS isolated from cyanobacterial bloom. Moreover, polysaccharides were shown to be involved in the formation of AgNPs in cell-free culture liquid extracts of individual cultures of 14 different strains of cyanobacteria and microalgae. The above examples demonstrate the important role of the phytoplankton secretome in ENP stability.

5. Conclusions and Outlook

Understanding the environmental implications of nanotechnology requires bridging environmental chemistry and ecotoxicology. In the past 15 years, significant progress was made to understand ENPs exposure, uptake and toxicological mechanisms for future research. Therefore, a more systematic approach taking into account the combined pressure of multiple stressors and reconceptualization of exposure and effects of chemical cocktails containing ENPs will be a central topic for the future nanotoxicology agenda.
Rapid development of ‘omics’ technologies opened novel avenues towards elucidating contaminant modes-of-action. [73] Very recent results of ‘omics’ approaches demonstrated that ENPs alter expression of genes related to photosynthesis, lipid biosynthesis and cell proliferation in alga. [74] TIO-NPs induced a downregulation of plastocyanin, which underlines the contribution of metabolic disturbances, and emphasized the threat to photosynthesis. [75] Metabolic profiling of *Chlorella vulgaris* demonstrated significant changes in a number of metabolites involved in redox metabolism upon exposure to carbon nanotubes. [76] The role of ‘omics’ in nanoeotoxicology undoubtedly will increase in future and will significantly contribute unraveling the mode of action of ENPs and developing the mechanism-based predictive risk assessment approaches. Understanding the interactions of ENPs with living systems is central for assessing the ways in which humanity is continuously changing our environment as well as for promoting the sustainable and environmentally friendly nanotechnology.
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