THE NUMBER OF FLAGS IN FINITE VECTOR SPACES:
ASYMPTOTIC NORMALITY AND MAHONIAN
STATISTICS
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Abstract. We study the generalized Galois numbers which count flags
of length $r$ in $N$-dimensional vector spaces over finite fields. We prove
that the coefficients of those polynomials are asymptotically Gaussian
normally distributed as $N$ becomes large. Furthermore, we interpret
the generalized Galois numbers as weighted inversion statistics on the
descent classes of the symmetric group on $N$ elements and identify their
asymptotic limit as the Mahonian inversion statistic when $r$ approaches
$\infty$. Finally, we apply our statements to derive further statistical aspects
of generalized Rogers–Szegő polynomials, re-interpret the asymptotic
behavior of linear $q$-ary codes and characters of the symmetric group
acting on subspaces over finite fields, and discuss implications for affine
Demazure modules and joint probability generating functions of descent-
inversion statistics.
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1. Introduction

Let $G_N^r(q)$ denote the number of flags $0 = V_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_r = \mathbb{F}_q^N$ of length $r$ in an $N$-dimensional vector space over a field with $q$ elements where repetitions are allowed. Then $G_N^r(q)$ is a polynomial in $q$, a so-called generalized Galois number [23]. In particular, when $r = 2$ these are the Galois numbers which give the total number of subspaces of an $N$-dimensional vector space over a finite field [5].

The generalized Galois numbers are a biparametric family of polynomials, each with non-negative integral coefficients, in the parameters $N$ and $r$. We want to analyze their limiting properties as those parameters become large. Viewing each generalized Galois number as a discrete distribution on the real line, we determine the asymptotic behavior of this biparametric family of distributions. Our first result will be:

**Theorem 3.5.** For $r \geq 2$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ let $G_{N,r}$ be a random variable with probability generating function $E[q^{G_{N,r}}] = r^{-N} \cdot G_N^r(q)$. Then,

$$E[G_{N,r}] = \frac{r-1}{4r}N(N-1),$$

$$\Var(G_{N,r}) = \frac{(r-1)(r+1)}{72r^2}N(N-1)(2N+5).$$

For fixed $r$ and $N \to \infty$, the distribution of the random variable

$$G_{N,r} - E[G_{N,r}]/\sqrt{\Var(G_{N,r})}$$

converges weakly to the standard normal distribution.

Furthermore, we derive an exact formula in terms of weighted inversion statistics on the descent classes of the symmetric group and derive the asymptotic behavior with respect to the second parameter:

**Theorem 4.1.** Consider the Galois number $G_N^r(q) \in \mathbb{N}[q]$ for $r \geq 2$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_N$ be the symmetric group on $N$ elements, and for a permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N$ denote by $\text{inv}(\pi)$ its number of inversions and by $\text{des}(\pi)$ the cardinality of its descent set $D(\pi)$. Then,

$$G_N^r(q) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \left(\frac{N + r - 1 - \text{des}(\pi)}{N}\right)q^{\text{inv}(\pi)}. $$

For fixed $N$ and $r \to \infty$ we have

$$\frac{N!}{r^r} \cdot G_N^r(q) \to \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} = [N]_q!. $$

To state one application, our exact formula in Theorem 4.1 allows us to re-interpret the asymptotic behavior of the numbers of equivalence classes of linear $q$-ary codes [7, 8, 24, 25] under permutation equivalence ($\mathfrak{S}$), monomial equivalence ($\mathfrak{M}$) and semi-linear monomial equivalence ($\mathfrak{S}$) as follows:
Corollary 5.2. The number of linear $q$-ary codes of length $n$ up to equivalence $(\mathcal{E})$, $(\mathcal{M})$ and $(\Gamma)$ is given asymptotically, as $q$ is fixed and $n \to \infty$, by

\begin{equation}
N_{n,q}^\mathcal{E} \sim \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \left( \frac{n + 1 - \text{des}(\pi)}{n} \right)^{\text{inv}(\pi)},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
N_{n,q}^\mathcal{M} \sim \frac{1}{n!(q-1)^{n-1}} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \left( \frac{n + 1 - \text{des}(\pi)}{n} \right)^{\text{inv}(\pi)},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
N_{n,q}^\Gamma \sim \frac{1}{n!(q-1)^{n-1}a} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \left( \frac{n + 1 - \text{des}(\pi)}{n} \right)^{\text{inv}(\pi)},
\end{equation}

where $a = |\text{Aut}(F_q)| = \log_p(q)$ with $p = \text{char}(F_q)$. In particular, the numerator of the asymptotic numbers of linear $q$-ary codes is the (weighted) inversion statistic on the permutations having at most 1 descent.

The organization of our article is as follows. Since the generalized Galois numbers are a specialization of the generalized Rogers–Szegö polynomials \cite{23}, which are generating functions of $q$-multinomial coefficients \cite{17,18,22}, we summarize the statistical behavior of the $q$-multinomial coefficients in \S\ 2. The determination of mean and variance for generalized Galois numbers and of the higher cumulants for $q$-multinomial coefficients in \S\ 3 allow us to prove the asymptotic normality of the generalized Galois numbers (Theorem 3.5) through the method of moments. In \S\ 4 we analyze the combinatorial interpretation of $q$-multinomial coefficients in terms of inversion statistics on permutations \cite{21}. Based on our interpretation of the generalized Galois numbers as weighted inversion statistics on the descent classes of the symmetric group, we describe their limiting behavior towards theMahonian inversion statistic (Theorem 4.1). We conclude with applications of our results in \S\ 5. That is, we derive further statistical aspects of generalized Rogers–Szegö polynomials in Corollary 5.1 re-interpret the asymptotic behavior of the numbers of linear $q$-ary codes in Corollary 5.2 and discuss implications for affine Demazure modules in Corollary 5.6 and joint probability generating functions of descent-inversion statistics \eqref{5.10}, \eqref{5.11}.

2. Notation and preliminaries

We denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of nonnegative integers $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$. Let $q$ be a variable, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$. The $q$-multinomial coefficient is defined as

\begin{equation}
\begin{bmatrix} N \vphantom{\frac{1}{k}} \end{bmatrix}_q^k = \begin{cases} \frac{[N]_q!}{[k_1]_q! \cdots [k_r]_q!} & \text{if } k_1 + \cdots + k_r = N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\end{equation}
Here, \([k]_q^! = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1 - q^i}{1 - q}\) denotes the \(q\)-factorial. Note that the \(q\)-multinomial coefficient is a polynomial in \(q\) and
\[
\binom{N}{k}_q = \frac{N!}{k_1! \cdots k_r!}.
\]

For \(N \in \mathbb{N}\), the generalized \(N\)-th Rogers–Szegő polynomial \(H_N^{(r)}(z, q) \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_r, q]\) is the generating function of the \(q\)-multinomial coefficients:
\[
H_N^{(r)}(z, q) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^r} \binom{N}{k}_q z^k.
\]

Here we use multi-exponent notation \(z^k = z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_r^{k_r}\) for \(k = (k_1, \ldots, k_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r\). Note that by our definition of the \(q\)-multinomial coefficients it is convenient to suppress the condition \(k_1 + \cdots + k_r = N\) in the summation index.

As described in [23], the \(q\)-multinomial coefficient \(\binom{N}{k}_q\) counts the number of flags \(0 = V_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_r = F_q^N\) subject to the conditions \(\dim(V_i) = k_i + \cdots + k_i\), and consequently the specialization of the generalized Rogers–Szegő polynomial \(H_N^{(r)}(z, q)\) at \(z = 1 = (1, \ldots, 1)\) counts the total number of flags of subspaces of length \(r\) in \(F_q^N\). This number (a polynomial in \(q\)) is called a generalized Galois number and denoted by \(G_N^{(r)}(q)\). In particular, when \(r = 2\), the specializations of the Rogers–Szegő polynomials are the Galois numbers \(G_N^{(2)}(q)\) which count the number of subspaces in \(F_q^N\) [5].

We will need notation from the context of symmetric groups. \(D(\pi)\) is the descent set of \(\pi\), \(D_T\) the descent class, \(\text{des}(\pi)\) the number of descents, and \(\text{inv}(\pi)\) the number of inversions of \(\pi\), i.e.,
\[
\begin{align*}
D(\pi) &= \{i : \pi(i) > \pi(i + 1)\} \\
D_T &= \{\pi : D(\pi) = T\} \\
\text{des}(\pi) &= |D(\pi)| \\
\text{inv}(\pi) &= |\{(i, j) : i < j\text{ and } \pi(i) > \pi(j)\}|.
\end{align*}
\]
The sign \(\sim\) refers to asymptotic equivalence, that is for \(f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}\) we write \(f(n) \sim g(n)\) if \(\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 1\). We write \(f(n) = O(g(n))\) if there exists a constant \(C > 0\) such that \(f(n) \leq Cg(n)\) for all sufficiently large \(n\), and \(f(n) = o(g(n))\) if \(\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 0\).

Let us recollect some known results about statistics of \(q\)-multinomial coefficients. Note that one has the usual differentiation method.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \(X\) be a discrete random variable with probability generating function \(E[q^X] = f(q) \in \mathbb{R}[q]\). Then,
\[
\begin{align*}
E[X] &= \left. \frac{d}{dq} f(q) \right|_{q=1}, \\
\text{Var}(X) &= \left. \frac{d^2}{dq^2} q^{-E[X]} f(q) \right|_{q=1}.
\end{align*}
\]
Via [Proposition 2.1] one can prove from the definition of the q-multinomial coefficient:

**Proposition 2.2** (Equation (1.9) and (1.10)). For \( \mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r \) let \( X_{N,\mathbf{k}} \) be a random variable with probability generating function

\[
E[q^{X_{N,\mathbf{k}}}] = \binom{N}{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} \cdot \binom{N}{\mathbf{k}}_q.
\]

Then,

\[
E[X_{N,\mathbf{k}}] = \frac{e_2(\mathbf{k})}{2},
\]

\[
\text{Var}(X_{N,\mathbf{k}}) = \frac{\left(e_1(\mathbf{k}) + 1\right)e_2(\mathbf{k}) - e_3(\mathbf{k})}{12}.
\]

Here, \( e_i(\mathbf{k}) \) denotes the \( i \)-th elementary symmetric function in the variables \( \mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_r) \).

### 3. Asymptotic normality of Galois numbers

Let us start by computing mean and variance of the generalized Galois numbers.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( G_{N,r} \) be a random variable with probability generating function

\[
E[q^{G_{N,r}}] = r^{-N} \cdot G_N^{(r)}(q).
\]

Then,

\[
E[G_{N,r}] = \frac{r - 1}{4r} N(N - 1),
\]

\[
\text{Var}(G_{N,r}) = \frac{(r - 1)(r + 1)}{72r^2} N(N - 1)(2N + 5).
\]

**Proof.** By [Proposition 2.1] we have to compute the value of the derivatives \( \frac{d}{dq} \) and \( \frac{d^2}{dq^2} \) of \( G_N^{(r)}(q) = H_N^{(r)}(1, q) \) evaluated at \( q = 1 \). Since \( H_N^{(r)}(1, q) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^r} \binom{N}{\mathbf{k}}_q \), they can be computed from [Proposition 2.2] via index manipulations in sums involving multinomial coefficients. We will need the identities

\[
\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^r} \binom{N}{\mathbf{k}} e_s(\mathbf{k}) = s! \binom{N}{s} \left( \begin{array}{c} r \\ s \end{array} \right) r^{N-s}, \tag{3.1}
\]

and

\[
\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^r} \binom{N}{\mathbf{k}} e_2(\mathbf{k})^2 = r^N \frac{N^2(r-1)^2 - N(r-1)^2 + 2(r-1)}{4r^2} N(N - 1). \tag{3.2}
\]

The last identity follows from

\[
e_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(p_4 - e_1^4 + 4e_2^2e_1^2 - 4e_3e_1 + 4e_4\right)
\]

where \( p_s \) denotes the \( s \)-th power sum and

\[
\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^r} \binom{N}{\mathbf{k}} p_4(\mathbf{k}) = Nr^N + 14 \binom{N}{2} r^{N-1} + 36 \binom{N}{3} r^{N-2} + 24 \binom{N}{4} r^{N-3}.
\]
Now, $G^{(r)}_N(1) = r^N$ and by (3.1)

$$E[G_{N,r}] = \frac{1}{r^N} \frac{d}{dq} \bigg|_{q=1} H^{(r)}_N(1,q)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^N} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^r} \binom{N}{k} \frac{e_2(k)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{r - 1}{4r} N(N - 1).$$

For the variance we will also need (3.2). That is,

$$\text{Var}(G_{N,r}) = \frac{1}{r^N} \frac{d^2}{(dq)^2} \bigg|_{q=1} q^{-E[G_{N,r}]} H^{(r)}_N(1,q)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^N} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^r} \frac{d^2}{(dq)^2} \bigg|_{q=1} q^{-E[G_{N,r}]} \binom{N}{k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^N} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^r} E[G_{N,r}](E[G_{N,r}] + 1) \binom{N}{k}$$

$$- 2 E[G_{N,r}] \frac{d}{dq} \bigg|_{q=1} \binom{N}{k} + \frac{d^2}{(dq)^2} \bigg|_{q=1} \binom{N}{k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^N} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^r} \left( E[G_{N,r}]^2 + E[G_{N,r}] \right) \binom{N}{k}$$

$$+ \left( \frac{e_1(k) + 1}{12} e_2(k) - e_3(k) \right) + \frac{e_2(k)}{2} \left( \frac{e_2(k)}{2} - 1 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^N} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^r} \left( e_2(k) \right)^2 + E[G_{N,r}]$$

$$+ \left( \frac{1}{6} (N + 1) - 2 E[G_{N,r}] - 1 \right) \frac{e_2(k)}{2} - \frac{e_3(k)}{12} + \frac{e_2(k)^2}{4}$$

$$= E[G_{N,r}]^2 + E[G_{N,r}] + \left( \frac{1}{6} (N + 1) - 2 E[G_{N,r}] - 1 \right) E[G_{N,r}]$$

$$- \frac{6}{12} \binom{r}{3} \frac{1}{r^3} + \frac{1}{4r} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^r} \binom{N}{k} e_2(k)^2$$

$$= \frac{(r - 1)(r + 1)}{72r^2} N(N - 1)(2N + 5). \square$$

In order to prove asymptotic normality, we make use of the well-known method of moments [3, Theorem 4.5.5]. We will need some preparatory statements. First, from the description through elementary symmetric polynomials in [Proposition 2.2] we can derive the asymptotic behavior of the first two central moments of the central $q$-multinomial coefficients and their square-root distant neighbors.
Furthermore, for \( k \in \mathbb{N}^r \) be a sequence such that 
\[ k_1^{(N)} + \cdots + k_r^{(N)} = N \] \( \sum_{i=1}^r k_i^{(N)} \leq \mathbb{E}[X^N] \leq \frac{N^r}{r!} \). Let \( X_{N,k} \) be a random variable with probability generating function \( \mathbb{E}[q^{X_{N,k}}] = \binom{N}{k}^{-1} \cdot \binom{N}{k}_q \). Then, as \( r \) is fixed and \( N \to \infty \),

\begin{align}
(3.3) \quad & \mathbb{E}[X_{N,k}] \sim \frac{r - 1}{4r} N^2, \\
(3.4) \quad & \text{Var}(X_{N,k}) \sim \frac{r^2 - 1}{36r^2} N^3.
\end{align}

Furthermore, for \( k \) as above and any sequence \( s = (s_i^{(N)} : i \in [r]) \in \mathbb{N}^r \) such that 
\[ s_1^{(N)} + \cdots + s_r^{(N)} = N \] \( \|s - k\| = O(\sqrt{N}) \) we have, as \( r \) is fixed and \( N \to \infty \),

\begin{align}
(3.5) \quad & \mathbb{E}[X_{N,s}] \sim \mathbb{E}[X_{N,k}], \\
(3.6) \quad & \text{Var}(X_{N,s}) \sim \text{Var}(X_{N,k}).
\end{align}

Proof. The asymptotic equivalences (3.3) and (3.4) can be computed from the definition of the elementary symmetric polynomials (recall Proposition 2.2). Recall that we are dealing with sequences of \( k \)'s and \( s \)'s, and that all asymptotics refer to fixed \( r \) and \( N \to \infty \). We will treat the first moment for illustration purposes:

\[ \mathbb{E}[X_{N,k}] = \frac{e_2(k)}{2} = \sum_{i<j} k_i^{(N)} k_j^{(N)} \sim \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i<j} \frac{N}{r} \frac{N}{r} = \frac{(r-1)N^2}{2r} = \frac{r - 1}{4r} N^2. \]

Furthermore, for the \( s \)'s in question one has

\[ e_1(s) = e_1(k) + O(\sqrt{N}), \]
\[ e_2(s) = e_2(k) + O(\sqrt{N}), \]
\[ e_3(s) = e_3(k) + O(\sqrt{N}). \]

Therefore, the claimed asymptotic equivalences (3.5) and (3.6) follow immediately from Proposition 2.2 and the exhibited quadratic and cubic asymptotic growth (in \( N \)) of \( \mathbb{E}[X_{N,k}] \) and \( \text{Var}(X_{N,k}) \), respectively. \( \square \)

By a method of Panny [15], we can determine the cumulants of \( q \)-multinomial coefficients explicitly. The same technique has already been applied by Prodinger [16] to obtain the cumulants of \( q \)-binomial coefficients. The exact formula will be stated as (3.9), but in the sequel we will only need the following asymptotic statement:

Lemma 3.3. Let \( k = k^{(N)} \in \mathbb{N}^r \) be any sequence such that \( k_1^{(N)} + \cdots + k_r^{(N)} = N \). For each \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( X_{N,k} \) be a random variable with probability generating function \( \mathbb{E}[q^{X_{N,k}}] = \binom{N}{k}^{-1} \cdot \binom{N}{k}_q \). Then, for all \( j \geq 1 \), the \( j \)-th cumulant of \( X_{N,k} \) is of order

\[ \kappa_j(X_{N,k}) = O(N^{j+1}). \]
for \( N \to \infty \). Furthermore, if \( \|k - k_c\| = O(\sqrt{N}) \) for \( k_c \) as above, then as \( r \) and \( \alpha \) are fixed, and \( N \to \infty \),

\[
E[X_{N,k}^\alpha] = \left(\frac{r - 1}{4r}N^2\right)^\alpha + O(N^{2\alpha - 1}).
\]

**Proof.** For \( k \geq 1 \), let \( Y_k \) be a random variable with probability generating function \( E[q^{Y_k}] = \frac{[k!]}{k!} \). Denote the \( j \)-th cumulant of \( Y_k \) by \( \kappa_{j,k} \). Panny \[15, bottom of p. 176\] shows that

\[
\kappa_{j,k} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{k(k-1)}{4} & j = 1, \\
B_j \left( \frac{B_{j+1}(k+1)}{j+1} - k \right) & j \geq 2,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( B_j(x) \) denotes the \( j \)-th Bernoulli polynomial evaluated at \( x \) and \( B_j \) denotes the \( j \)-th Bernoulli number. Note that \( B_j = 0 \) for odd \( j \geq 3 \).

Our random variable \( X_{N,k} \) has probability generating function

\[
E[q^{X_{N,k}}] = \left( \frac{N}{k} \right)^{N-1} \cdot \frac{[N]}{k} = \frac{[N]!}{k!} \ldots \frac{[k_r]!}{k_r!}.
\]

Hence, its cumulant generating function is

\[
\log E[e^{tX_{N,k}}] = \log \frac{[N]e^t}{N!} - \log \frac{[k_1]e^t}{k_1!} - \cdots - \log \frac{[k_r]e^t}{k_r!}
\]

and for \( j \geq 2 \) its cumulants are

\[
\kappa_j(X_{N,k}) = \kappa_{j,N} - \kappa_{j,k_1} - \cdots - \kappa_{j,k_r}
\]

\[
= \frac{B_j}{j(j+1)} \left( -B_{j+1}(N+1) \right) - B_{j+1}(k_1+1) - \cdots - B_{j+1}(k_r+1) \right).
\]

Since \( B_j(x) \sim x^j \) for \( x \to \infty \) and each \( k_i = O(N) \), and by a similar argument for \( j = 1 \), the first part of the lemma follows.

For the second part, suppose \( \|k - k_c\| = O(\sqrt{N}) \). Since \( k_i^{(N)} = \frac{N}{r} + O(\sqrt{N}) \) it follows that \( B_j(k_i^{(N)} + 1) \sim \left( \frac{N}{r} + O(\sqrt{N}) \right)^j \sim \frac{1}{r^j} N^j \). Hence, if we consider the nonvanishing even cumulants \( \kappa_{2\beta}(X_{N,k}) \), we have

\[
B_{2\beta+1}(N+1) - \sum_{i=1}^r B_{2\beta+1}(k_i^{(N)} + 1) \sim N^{2\beta+1} - \frac{1}{r^{2\beta+1}} N^{2\beta+1}
\]

\[
= \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r^{2\beta}} \right) N^{2\beta+1},
\]

i.e., \( \kappa_{2\beta}(X_{N,k}) \) is exactly of order \( 2\beta + 1 \) in \( N \).

We abbreviate \( \kappa_j(X_{N,k}) \) by \( \kappa_j \) for convenience. Recall that the moment generating function is the exponential of the cumulant generating function.
Consequently, one has the following standard relation between higher moments and cumulants:

\[
(3.10) \quad E[X_{N,k}^\alpha] = \sum_{\pi \in \{0,1,\ldots,\alpha\}} \frac{\kappa_1^{\pi_1} \kappa_2^{\pi_2} \cdots \kappa_\alpha^{\pi_\alpha}}{\pi_1! \cdots \pi_\alpha!},
\]

Since the \(j\)-th Bernoulli polynomial has degree \(j\) and the higher cumulants \(\kappa_j \) of odd order vanish, we have (cf. [15, §3])

\[
\left(\frac{\kappa_1}{1!}\right)^{\pi_1} \left(\frac{\kappa_2}{2!}\right)^{\pi_2} \cdots \left(\frac{\kappa_\alpha}{\alpha!}\right)^{\pi_\alpha} = O(N^{2\pi_1+3\pi_2+\cdots+(\alpha+1)\pi_\alpha}) = O(N^{\pi_\alpha+\pi_{\alpha+1}+\cdots+\pi_\alpha}).
\]

This leads to the asymptotic expansion

\[
(3.11) \quad E[X_{N,k}^\alpha] = \kappa_1(X_{N,k})^\alpha + O(N^{2\alpha-1}).
\]

But \(\kappa_1(X_{N,k}) = E[X_{N,k}], \) and since \(||k - k_c|| = O(\sqrt{N})\), our Proposition 3.2 yields

\[
\kappa_1(X_{N,k}) \sim \frac{r-1}{4r} N^2
\]
as \(N \to \infty\). This finishes the proof.

For our proof of Theorem 3.5 by the method of moments, we need to show that the moments of the standardized central \(q\)-multinomial coefficients converge to the moments of the standard normal distribution. We will show this more generally for \(q\)-multinomial coefficients in \(O(\sqrt{N})\)-distance to the center, since our arguments yield this without extra effort. Similar results have been obtained by Canfield, Janson and Zeilberger [1] (for a history concerning this distribution see their erratum).

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \(k_c = (k_1^{(N)}, \ldots, k_r^{(N)}) \in \mathbb{N}^r\) be a sequence such that \(k_1^{(N)} + \cdots + k_r^{(N)} = N\) and \(\lfloor \frac{N}{r} \rfloor \leq k_i^{(N)} \leq \lceil \frac{N}{r} \rceil\). Furthermore, consider a sequence \(s = (s_1^{(N)}, \ldots, s_r^{(N)}) \in \mathbb{N}^r\) such that \(s_1^{(N)} + \cdots + s_r^{(N)} = N\) and \(||s - k_c|| = O(\sqrt{N})\). Let \(X_{N,s}\) be a random variable with probability generating function \(E[q^{X_{N,s}}] = \left(\frac{N}{s}\right)^{-1} \left[\frac{N}{s}\right]_q\). Then the moments of the random variable

\[
\tilde{X}_{N,s} = \frac{X_{N,s} - E[X_{N,s}]}{\sqrt{\text{Var} X_{N,s}}}
\]
converge to the moments of the standard normal distribution. In particular, the distribution of \(\tilde{X}_{N,s}\) converges weakly to the standard normal distribution.

**Proof.** Once we have shown that convergence of the moments, the weak convergence of the distributions follows by the method of moments. Hence we will concentrate on showing the convergence of the moments. Since the moments of a random variable depend polynomially, in particular continuously, on its cumulants, it is sufficient to show that the cumulants
of $\tilde{X}_{N,s}$ converge to the cumulants of the standard normal distribution, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, ... It follows directly from the definition of cumulants that
\[
\kappa_j(X + c) = \begin{cases} 
\kappa_j(X) + c & \text{if } j = 1, \\
\kappa_j(X) & \text{if } j \geq 2,
\end{cases}
\]

Hence, for $j \geq 3$,
\[
\kappa_j(\tilde{X}_{N,s}) = \frac{\kappa_j(X_{N,s})}{\text{Var}(X_{N,s})^{j/2}} = O(N^{j+1-3j/2}) \to 0
\]
by (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7). □

We are ready to prove the advertised asymptotic normality.

**Theorem 3.5.** For $r \geq 2$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ let $G_{N,r}$ be a random variable with probability generating function $\mathbb{E}[q^{G_{N,r}}] = r^{-N} \cdot G_N^{(r)}(q)$. Then,
\[
\mathbb{E}[G_{N,r}] = \frac{r-1}{4r} N(N-1),
\]
\[
\text{Var}(G_{N,r}) = \frac{(r-1)(r+1)}{72r^2} N(N-1)(2N+5).
\]

For fixed $r$ and $N \to \infty$, the distribution of the random variable
\[
\frac{G_{N,r} - \mathbb{E}[G_{N,r}]}{\text{Var}(G_{N,r})^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]
converges weakly to the standard normal distribution.

**Proof.** We will deploy the method of moments (cf. [3, Theorem 4.5.5]). All asymptotics refer to $N \to \infty$. We will show that for any $\alpha$,
\[
(3.12) \quad \mathbb{E}[G_{N,r}^\alpha] \sim \mathbb{E}[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha].
\]

Note that once we have shown (3.12), the theorem follows by the method of moments: We have already shown in Proposition 3.4 that the moments of the standardized $X_{N,k_c}$ converge to the moments of the standard normal distribution, hence the same holds for the standardized $G_{N,r}$ by linearity of the expected value and the binomial theorem.

We use the notation
\[f(N) \lesssim g(N) \quad \text{if} \quad \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{f(N)}{g(N)} \leq 1.\]

In order to verify (3.12), we will show $\mathbb{E}[G_{N,r}^\alpha] \gtrsim \mathbb{E}[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha]$ and $\mathbb{E}[G_{N,r}^\alpha] \lesssim \mathbb{E}[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha]$ separately.

Let us start with $\mathbb{E}[G_{N,r}^\alpha] \gtrsim \mathbb{E}[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha]$. For this, it is sufficient to prove that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
\[
(3.13) \quad \mathbb{E}[G_{N,r}^\alpha] \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \mathbb{E}[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha]
\]
for all $N \geq N_0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $U_N \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^r : x_1 + \cdots + x_r = N\}$ be the ball around $(\frac{N}{r}, \ldots, \frac{N}{r})$ of minimal radius such that $\sum_{k \in U_N} \binom{N}{k} \geq \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon \cdot rN}$. By the central limit theorem for ordinary multinomial coefficients, the radii of $U_N$ are proportional to $\sqrt{N}$ up to an error of order $O(1)$. Choose a sequence $k_{\text{min}}$ with $k_{\text{min}}(N) \in U_N$ such that $E[X_{N,k_{\text{min}}}^\alpha] = \min_{k \in U_N} E[X_{N,k}^\alpha]$. By (3.8), $E[X_{N,k_{\text{min}}}^\alpha] \sim E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha]$. Hence there is an $N_0$ such that

$$E[X_{N,k_{\text{min}}}^\alpha] \geq \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon \cdot rN}E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha]$$

for all $N \geq N_0$. Consequently

$$E[G_{N,r}^\alpha] = r^{-N} \sum_k \binom{N}{k} E[X_{N,k}^\alpha]$$

$$\geq r^{-N} \sum_{k \in U_N} \binom{N}{k} E[X_{N,k}^\alpha]$$

$$\geq r^{-N} \sum_{k \in U_N} \binom{N}{k} \frac{E[X_{N,k_{\text{min}}}^\alpha]}{\geq \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon \cdot rN} E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha]}$$

$$\geq (1 - \varepsilon) E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha].$$

We continue by showing $E[G_{N,r}^\alpha] \lesssim E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha]$. We claim that for all sequences $k = k^{(N)}$,

$$E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha] \lesssim E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha].$$

In order to show this, we will treat the summands of the expression (3.10) for $E[X_{N,k}^\alpha]$ individually. Let us start with the index $\pi = (\alpha, 0, \ldots, 0)$, i.e., the summand $\kappa_1(X_{N,k})^\alpha$. Note that

$$(3.15) \quad \max_{x \in N\Delta_{r-1}} e_2(x) = e_2\left(\frac{N}{r}, \ldots, \frac{N}{r}\right) = \frac{r - 1}{2r} N^2.$$ 

Here $N\Delta_{r-1}$ denotes the dilated $(r - 1)$-dimensional standard simplex in $\mathbb{R}^r$. Hence,

$$\kappa_1(X_{N,k}) = \frac{e_2(k)}{2} \leq \frac{r - 1}{4r} N^2$$

for all $N$, so our summand is bounded from above by

$$\kappa_1(X_{N,k})^\alpha \leq \left(\frac{r - 1}{4r} N^2\right)^\alpha.$$ 

Now, consider a summand of (3.10) with index $\pi \neq (\alpha, 0, \ldots, 0)$. By the same arguments that lead to (3.11) such a summand is $O(N^{2\alpha-1})$. Since the number of summands (the number of partitions of $\alpha$) does not depend on $N$, we have

$$E[X_{N,k}^\alpha] \leq \left(\frac{r - 1}{4r} N^2\right)^\alpha + O(N^{2\alpha-1})$$

for all $N \geq N_0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $U_N \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^r : x_1 + \cdots + x_r = N\}$ be the ball around $(\frac{N}{r}, \ldots, \frac{N}{r})$ of minimal radius such that $\sum_{k \in U_N} \binom{N}{k} \geq \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon \cdot rN}$. By the central limit theorem for ordinary multinomial coefficients, the radii of $U_N$ are proportional to $\sqrt{N}$ up to an error of order $O(1)$. Choose a sequence $k_{\text{min}}$ with $k_{\text{min}}(N) \in U_N$ such that $E[X_{N,k_{\text{min}}}^\alpha] = \min_{k \in U_N} E[X_{N,k}^\alpha]$. By (3.8), $E[X_{N,k_{\text{min}}}^\alpha] \sim E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha]$. Hence there is an $N_0$ such that

$$E[X_{N,k_{\text{min}}}^\alpha] \geq \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon \cdot rN}E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha]$$

for all $N \geq N_0$. Consequently

$$E[G_{N,r}^\alpha] = r^{-N} \sum_k \binom{N}{k} E[X_{N,k}^\alpha]$$

$$\geq r^{-N} \sum_{k \in U_N} \binom{N}{k} E[X_{N,k}^\alpha]$$

$$\geq r^{-N} \sum_{k \in U_N} \binom{N}{k} \frac{E[X_{N,k_{\text{min}}}^\alpha]}{\geq \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon \cdot rN} E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha]}$$

$$\geq (1 - \varepsilon) E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha].$$

We continue by showing $E[G_{N,r}^\alpha] \lesssim E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha]$. We claim that for all sequences $k = k^{(N)}$,

$$E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha] \lesssim E[X_{N,k_c}^\alpha].$$

In order to show this, we will treat the summands of the expression (3.10) for $E[X_{N,k}^\alpha]$ individually. Let us start with the index $\pi = (\alpha, 0, \ldots, 0)$, i.e., the summand $\kappa_1(X_{N,k})^\alpha$. Note that

$$(3.15) \quad \max_{x \in N\Delta_{r-1}} e_2(x) = e_2\left(\frac{N}{r}, \ldots, \frac{N}{r}\right) = \frac{r - 1}{2r} N^2.$$ 

Here $N\Delta_{r-1}$ denotes the dilated $(r - 1)$-dimensional standard simplex in $\mathbb{R}^r$. Hence,

$$\kappa_1(X_{N,k}) = \frac{e_2(k)}{2} \leq \frac{r - 1}{4r} N^2$$

for all $N$, so our summand is bounded from above by

$$\kappa_1(X_{N,k})^\alpha \leq \left(\frac{r - 1}{4r} N^2\right)^\alpha.$$ 

Now, consider a summand of (3.10) with index $\pi \neq (\alpha, 0, \ldots, 0)$. By the same arguments that lead to (3.11) such a summand is $O(N^{2\alpha-1})$. Since the number of summands (the number of partitions of $\alpha$) does not depend on $N$, we have

$$E[X_{N,k}^\alpha] \leq \left(\frac{r - 1}{4r} N^2\right)^\alpha + O(N^{2\alpha-1})$$
\[
\lesssim \left( \frac{r - 1}{4r} N^2 \right)^\alpha 
\]
\[
\lesssim E[X_{N, k_\max}^\alpha].
\]

This proves (3.12). We are ready to prove the final inequality \( E[G_{N,r}^\alpha] \lesssim E[X_{N, k_\max}^\alpha] \): Choose a sequence \( k_{\max} = k_\max^{(N)} \) in \( N^r \) such that \( E[X_{N, k_{\max}}^\alpha] = \max_k E[X_{N, k}^\alpha] \). Then
\[
E[G_{N,r}^\alpha] = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_k \binom{N}{k} E[X_{N, k}^\alpha]
\]
\[
\lesssim \frac{1}{r!} \sum_k \binom{N}{k} E[X_{N, k_{\max}}^\alpha]
\]
\[
= E[X_{N, k_{\max}}^\alpha]
\]
\[
\lesssim E[X_{N, k_\max}^\alpha].
\]

This verifies (3.12), and finishes the proof of the theorem. \( \square \)

4. GALOIS NUMBERS AND INVERSION STATISTICS

Let \( \mathcal{P}_{N,r} \) denote the set of partitions of an arbitrary integer into up to \( r \) parts of size up to \( N \). Let \( p_{N,r} = |\mathcal{P}_{N,r}| \) denote the number of such partitions. Then
\[
p_{N,r} = \binom{N + r}{N}.
\]

For \( T \subset \{1, \ldots, N\} \) with \( t := |T| \leq r \), let
\[
\mathcal{P}_{N,r}^T = \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{N,r} : \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r\} \supset T \}
\]
denote the subset of \( \mathcal{P}_{N,r} \) consisting of all the partitions such that each element of \( T \) occurs as the size of a part. Then removing one part of each size in \( T \) defines a bijection \( \mathcal{P}_{N,r}^T \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{N,r-t} \). Hence
\[
|\mathcal{P}_{N,r}^T| = p_{N,r-t} = \binom{N + r - t}{N}.
\]

Note that we adhere to a more restrictive definition of binomial coefficients, namely \( \binom{a}{b} = 0 \) unless \( 0 \leq b \leq a \).

**Theorem 4.1.** Consider the Galois number \( G_N^{(r)}(q) \in \mathbb{N}[q] \) for \( r \geq 2 \) and \( N \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( \mathfrak{S}_N \) be the symmetric group on \( N \) elements, and for a permutation \( \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N \) denote by \( \text{inv}(\pi) \) its number of inversions and by \( \text{des}(\pi) \) the cardinality of its descent set \( D(\pi) \). Then,

\[
G_N^{(r)}(q) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \binom{N + r - 1 - \text{des}(\pi)}{N} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)}.
\]
For fixed $N$ and $r \to \infty$ we have
\[
\frac{N!}{r^N} \cdot G^{(r)}_N(q) \to \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} = [N]_q!.
\]

Proof. $G^{(r)}_N(q) = H^{(r)}_N(1, q)$, and by definition
\[
H^{(r)}_N(1, q) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_r} \left[ \frac{N}{k} \right]_q.
\]

Note that there is a bijection $\mathcal{P}_{N,r-1} \to \{k \in \mathbb{N}^r : k_1 + \cdots + k_r = N\}$ given by
\[
k_\lambda := (N - \lambda_1, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{r-1} - \lambda_r, \lambda_r - 1).
\]

Hence
\[
H^{(r)}_N(1, q) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{N,r-1}} \left[ \frac{N}{k_\lambda} \right]_q.
\]

By [21 Chapter 2, (20)]
\[
\left[ \frac{N}{k_\lambda} \right]_q = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)}.
\]

Hence
\[
H^{(r)}_N(1, q) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{N,r-1}} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)}
\]
\[
= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{N,r-1}} \sum_{T \subset \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r-1}\}} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)}
\]
\[
= \sum_{T \subset \{1, \ldots, N-1\}} |\mathcal{P}^T_{N,r-1}| \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)}
\]
\[
= \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \binom{N + r - 1 - t}{N} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)}.
\]

The last equality follows from (4.2) which implies our first assertion. As for the second claim, note that as $N$ is fixed, $0 \leq t \leq N - 1$ and $r \to \infty$ we have
\[
\binom{N + r - 1 - t}{N} \sim \frac{r^N}{N!}.
\]

The stated decomposition of the inversion statistic as a $q$-factorial is well-known. □
5. Applications and discussions

5.1. Rogers–Szegö polynomials. Our Lemma 3.1 is a sufficient ingredient to determine the covariance of the overall distribution of coefficients in the generalized $N$-th Rogers–Szegö polynomial.

**Corollary 5.1.** Given $N, r > 0$, let $(X; Y) = (X_1, \ldots, X_r; Y)$ be a random vector with probability generating function $E[z^{X} q^{Y}] = r^{-N} H_{N}^{(r)}(z, q)$. Then, the covariance of $(X; Y)$ is given by

$$
\Sigma(X; Y) = \begin{pmatrix}
\Sigma(X) & 0 \\
0 & \text{Var}(G_{N,r})
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where $\Sigma(X)$ is the covariance of the multinomial distribution.

**Proof.** The diagonal (block) entries are clear, since the specialization at $(z, 1)$ gives the multinomial distribution whereas the specialization at $(1, q)$ is exactly the generalized Galois number studied in Lemma 3.1. Therefore, we only have to prove that $\text{Cov}(X_i, Y) = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, which can be shown as follows: By symmetry, it is clear that all Cov($X_i, Y$) coincide. As $X_1 + \cdots + X_r = N$ almost surely, it follows that

$$
0 = \text{Cov}(N, Y) = N \text{Cov}(X_1, Y). \quad \Box
$$

5.2. Linear $q$-ary codes. Consider the classical Galois numbers $G_n(q) = G_{n}^{(2)}(q)$ that count the number of subspaces of $F_q^n$. For a general prime power $q$, Hou [7, 8] studies the number of equivalence classes $N_{n,q}$ of linear $q$-ary codes of length $n$ under three notions of equivalence: permutation equivalence ($\mathcal{S}$), monomial equivalence ($\mathcal{M}$), and semi-linear monomial equivalence ($\Gamma$). He proves

$$
N_{n,q}^{\mathcal{S}} \sim \frac{G_n(q)}{n!},
$$

$$
N_{n,q}^{\mathcal{M}} \sim \frac{G_n(q)}{n!(q-1)^{n-1}},
$$

$$
N_{n,q}^{\Gamma} \sim \frac{G_n(q)}{n!(q-1)^{n-1}a},
$$

where $a = |\text{Aut}(F_q)| = \log_p(q)$ with $p = \text{char}(F_q)$. The case of binary codes up to monomial equivalence, $N_{n,2}^{\mathcal{S}} \sim \frac{G_{n}^{(2)}(2)}{n!}$, is previously derived by Wild [24, 25]. Now, the following corollary is immediate from our Theorem 4.1.

**Corollary 5.2.** The number of linear $q$-ary codes of length $n$ up to equivalence ($\mathcal{S}$), ($\mathcal{M}$) and ($\Gamma$) is given asymptotically, as $q$ is fixed and $n \to \infty$, by

$$
N_{n,q}^{\mathcal{S}} \sim \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \frac{n + 1 - \text{des}(\pi)}{n} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)},
$$

(5.1)
Then, the character of

\[ \chi_1 \]

inversion statistic on the permutations having at most

\( z = (\text{...}) \)

where

\( a = |\text{Aut}(F_q)| = \log_p(q) \) with \( p = \text{char}(F_q) \). In particular, the numerator of the asymptotic numbers of linear \( q \)-ary codes is the (weighted) inversion statistic on the permutations having at most 1 descent.

5.3. The symmetric group acting on subspaces over finite fields. Consider the character \( \chi_N(\tau) = \#\{V \subset F_q^N : \tau V = V\} \) of the symmetric group \( \mathfrak{S}_N \) acting on \( F_q^N \) by permutation of coordinates. Lax [12] shows that the normalized character \( \chi_N(\tau)/G_N(q) \) asymptotically approaches the character which takes the value 1 on the identity and 0 otherwise.

**Corollary 5.3.** Consider the character \( \chi_N(\tau) \) of the symmetric group \( \mathfrak{S}_N \) acting on \( F_q^N \) by permutation of coordinates. Then, as \( N \to \infty \), the normalized character

\[ \chi_N(\tau) \]

\[ \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \frac{\chi_N(\tau)}{\binom{N}{\text{des}(\pi)}} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} \to \delta_{d,\tau}. \]

In particular, the character \( \chi_N \) approaches asymptotically the (weighted) inversion statistic on the permutations having at most 1 descent.

5.4. Affine Demazure modules. We refer the reader to [14] for the basic facts about the representation theory of affine Kac-Moody algebras and Demazure modules, and the notation used. Now, according to [6] Equation (3.4) and [19] Theorem 6 and 7, certain Demazure characters can be described via generalized Rogers–Szegő polynomials.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let \( r, N \in \mathbb{N}, r \geq 2 \) and \( 0 \leq i < r, i \equiv N \mod r \). Let \( q = e^\delta \), \( z = (e^{\Lambda_1-\Lambda_0}, e^{\Lambda_2-\Lambda_1}, \ldots, e^{\Lambda_r-\Lambda_{r-1}}, e^{\Lambda_0-\Lambda_{r-1}}) \), and

\[ d_r(N) = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} - \frac{(N-i)(N+i-r)}{2r}. \]

Then, the character of the \( \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_r \) Demazure module \( V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0) \) is given by

\[ \text{ch}(V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0)) = e^{\Lambda_0-d_r(N)\delta} \cdot H_N^{(r)}(z,q) \in \mathbb{N}[z,q^{-1}]. \]

**Proof.** Note that \( t_{-\omega_1} = s_1 s_2 \ldots s_{r-1} \sigma^{-1} \) with \( \sigma \) being the automorphism of the Dynkin diagram of \( \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_r \), which sends 0 to 1 (see e.g. [14], §2). Furthermore, following [19] §2 we have \( [N] = t_{-N\omega_1} \cdot \eta_N \) with the convention \( \sigma \cdot \eta_N = \eta_N \). Here, \( [N] = (N,0,\ldots,0) \in \mathbb{N}^r \) denotes the one-row Young diagram, and \( \eta_N \) the smallest composition of degree \( N \). That is, when \( N = kr + i \) with

\[
N_{n,q}^\gamma \sim \frac{1}{n!(q-1)^{n-1}} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n \atop \text{des}(\pi) \leq 1} \binom{n + 1 - \text{des}(\pi)}{n} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)},
\]

\[
N_{n,q}^\Gamma \sim \frac{1}{n!(q-1)^{n-1}a} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n \atop \text{des}(\pi) \leq 1} \binom{n + 1 - \text{des}(\pi)}{n} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)},
\]

where \( a = |\text{Aut}(F_q)| = \log_p(q) \) with \( p = \text{char}(F_q) \). In particular, the numerator of the asymptotic numbers of linear \( q \)-ary codes is the (weighted) inversion statistic on the permutations having at most 1 descent.

5.3. The symmetric group acting on subspaces over finite fields. Consider the character \( \chi_N(\tau) = \#\{V \subset F_q^N : \tau V = V\} \) of the symmetric group \( \mathfrak{S}_N \) acting on \( F_q^N \) by permutation of coordinates. Lax [12] shows that the normalized character \( \chi_N(\tau)/G_N(q) \) asymptotically approaches the character which takes the value 1 on the identity and 0 otherwise.

**Corollary 5.3.** Consider the character \( \chi_N(\tau) \) of the symmetric group \( \mathfrak{S}_N \) acting on \( F_q^N \) by permutation of coordinates. Then, as \( N \to \infty \), the normalized character

\[
\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N \atop \text{des}(\pi) \leq 1} \frac{\chi_N(\tau)}{\binom{N+1-\text{des}(\pi)}{N}} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} \to \delta_{d,\tau}.
\]

In particular, the character \( \chi_N \) approaches asymptotically the (weighted) inversion statistic on the permutations having at most 1 descent.

5.4. Affine Demazure modules. We refer the reader to [14] for the basic facts about the representation theory of affine Kac-Moody algebras and Demazure modules, and the notation used. Now, according to [6] Equation (3.4) and [19] Theorem 6 and 7, certain Demazure characters can be described via generalized Rogers–Szegő polynomials.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let \( r, N \in \mathbb{N}, r \geq 2 \) and \( 0 \leq i < r, i \equiv N \mod r \). Let \( q = e^\delta \), \( z = (e^{\Lambda_1-\Lambda_0}, e^{\Lambda_2-\Lambda_1}, \ldots, e^{\Lambda_r-\Lambda_{r-1}}, e^{\Lambda_0-\Lambda_{r-1}}) \), and

\[
d_r(N) = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} - \frac{(N-i)(N+i-r)}{2r}.
\]

Then, the character of the \( \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_r \) Demazure module \( V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0) \) is given by

\[
\text{ch}(V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0)) = e^{\Lambda_0-d_r(N)\delta} \cdot H_N^{(r)}(z,q) \in \mathbb{N}[z,q^{-1}].
\]

**Proof.** Note that \( t_{-\omega_1} = s_1 s_2 \ldots s_{r-1} \sigma^{-1} \) with \( \sigma \) being the automorphism of the Dynkin diagram of \( \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}}_r \), which sends 0 to 1 (see e.g. [14], §2). Furthermore, following [19] §2 we have \( [N] = t_{-N\omega_1} \cdot \eta_N \) with the convention \( \sigma \cdot \eta_N = \eta_N \). Here, \( [N] = (N,0,\ldots,0) \in \mathbb{N}^r \) denotes the one-row Young diagram, and \( \eta_N \) the smallest composition of degree \( N \). That is, when \( N = kr + i \) with
0 \leq i < r$, we have $\eta_N = ((k)^r-i, (k+1)^i) \in \mathbb{N}^r$. Then, by \cite[Theorem 6 and 7]{[13}} and \cite{[13] Equation (3.4)} we have
\[
\text{ch}(V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0)) = e^{\delta_0-d_2(N)\delta} \cdot \text{P}_{[N]}(z; q, 0) = e^{\delta_0-d_2(N)\delta} \cdot H_N^{(r)}(z, q),
\]
where $P_{[N]}(z; q, 0)$ denotes the specialized symmetric Macdonald polynomial (see \cite[Chapter VI]{[13]}) associated to the partition $[N]$.

**Example 5.5.** For $r = 2$ consider the specialization of the Rogers–Szegő polynomial $H_4^{(2)}(z, z^{-1}, q)$ and the Demazure module $V_{-4\omega_1}(\Lambda_0)$. Via Demazure’s character formula we obtain
\[
\text{ch}(V_{-4\omega_1}(\Lambda_0)) = (e^4(\Lambda_1-\Lambda_0) + e^{-4(\Lambda_1-\Lambda_0)})e^{-4\delta} \\
+ (e^{2(\Lambda_1-\Lambda_0)} + e^{-2(\Lambda_1-\Lambda_0)})(e^{-\delta} + e^{-2\delta} + e^{-3\delta} + e^{-4\delta}) \\
+ e^{0(\Lambda_1-\Lambda_0)}(e^{0\delta} + e^{-\delta} + 2e^{-2\delta} + e^{-3\delta} + e^{-4\delta}).
\]
Furthermore, by definition
\[
H_4^{(2)}(z, z^{-1}, q) = (z^4 + z^{-4})q^0 + (z^2 + z^{-2})(q^3 + q^2 + q + q^0) \\
+ z^0(q^4 + q^3 + 2q^2 + 2q + q^0).
\]
Hence, with $z = (e^{\Lambda_1-\Lambda_0}, e^{\Lambda_0-\Lambda_1})$, $q = e^{\delta}$ and $d_2(4) = 4$ we have the equality
\[
\text{ch}(V_{-4\omega_1}(\Lambda_0)) = e^{\delta_0-d_2(4)\delta} \cdot H_4^{(2)}(z, z^{-1}, q)
\]
as claimed.

The coefficient $l$ in $e^{-l\delta}$ is commonly referred to as the degree of a monomial in $\text{ch}(V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0))$. When $d$ is a scaling element, the polynomial $\text{ch}(V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0))|_{C_d} \in \mathbb{N}[e^\delta]$ is called the basic specialization of the Demazure character (see \cite[§1.5, 10.8, and 12.2]{[10]} for the terminology in the context of integrable highest weight representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras). Based on the relation described in \textbf{Lemma 5.4} we summarize our main results, \textbf{Theorem 3.5} and \textbf{Theorem 4.1} in this language.

**Corollary 5.6.** For $r \geq 2$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_r}$ Demazure module $V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0)$. Let $\Gamma_{N,r}$ be a random variable with probability generating function $E[e^{\Gamma_{N,r}\delta}] = r^{-N} \cdot \text{ch}(V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0))|_{C_d} \in \mathbb{Q}[e^\delta]$. Then, for $0 \leq i < r$, $i \equiv N \mod r$ we have
\[
E[\Gamma_{N,r}] = \frac{(r+1)N(N-1) - 2(N-i)(N+i-r)}{4r},
\]
\[
\text{Var}(\Gamma_{N,r}) = \frac{(r-1)(r+1)}{72r^2} N(N-1)(2N+5).
\]
For fixed $r$ and $N \to \infty$, the distribution of the random variable
\[
\frac{\Gamma_{N,r} - E[\Gamma_{N,r}]}{\text{Var}(\Gamma_{N,r})^{1/2}}
\]
\footnote{There seems to be a misprint in \cite[§4]{[13]}. Namely, the image $\pi(q)$ should equal $q = e^{\delta}$, not $q = e^{-\delta}$.}
converges weakly to the standard normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. Furthermore, let $\mathfrak{S}_N$ be the symmetric group on $N$ elements, and for a permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N$ denote by $\text{inv}(\pi)$ its number of inversions and by $\text{des}(\pi)$ the cardinality of its descent set $\text{D}(\pi)$. Then, with $a_{N,r} = N(N-1)/2 - (N-i)(N+i-r)/2r$

$$\frac{\text{ch}(V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0))}{e^{\lambda_0 - d_r(N)\delta}} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \left(\frac{N + r - 1 - \text{des}(\pi)}{N}\right) e^{\text{inv}(\pi)\delta}. \tag{5.8}$$

For fixed $N$ and $r \to \infty$ we have

$$\frac{N!}{r^N} \cdot \frac{\text{ch}(V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0))}{e^{\lambda_0 - d_r(N)\delta}} \to \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} e^{\text{inv}(\pi)\delta} = [N]_e^\delta!. \tag{5.9}$$

It is interesting to continue the investigation of the basic specialization of Demazure characters including Kac-Moody algebra types different from $A$. The starting point should be Ion’s article [9] which is a generalization of Sanderson’s work [19], and one should also consider [11]. In view of (5.9) and [9, 11] we propose the following conjecture

**Conjecture 5.7.** Let $X = A, B, D$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}_r$ Demazure module $V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0)$ and let $d_r^X(N)$ be the maximal occurring degree. For fixed $N$ and $r \to \infty$ it holds

$$\frac{\#W(X_N)}{\dim(V(\omega_1)\otimes N)} \cdot \frac{\text{ch}(V_{-N\omega_1}(\Lambda_0))}{e^{\lambda_0 - d_r^X(N)\delta}} \to \sum_{w \in W(X_N)} e^{l(w)\delta}. \tag{5.10}$$

Here, $W(X_N)$ is the Weyl group of finite type $X_N$, $l : W(X_N) \to \mathbb{N}$ is the length function, and $V(\omega_1)$ denotes the standard representation of the finite-dimensional Lie algebra of type $X_r$.

Note that (5.9) proves the case $X = A$. It is interesting to investigate in an analogue of (5.8) for the types $B$ and $D$.

### 5.5. Descent-inversion statistics.

Stanley [20] derived a generating function identity for the joint probability generating function of the descent-inversion statistic on the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_N$:

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} t^{\text{des}(\pi)} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)} \frac{u^N}{[N]_q!} = \frac{1 - t}{\text{Exp}_q(u(t - 1)) - t},$$

where $\text{Exp}_q(x) = \sum q^{(2)} x^n/[n]_q!$. Motivated by Theorem 4.1 we define a weighted joint probability generating function of the descent-inversion statistic on the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_N$ by

$$G^{(r)}_N(q, t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \left(\frac{N + r - 1 - \text{des}(\pi)}{N}\right) t^{\text{des}(\pi)} q^{\text{inv}(\pi)}. \tag{5.10}$$
Note that $G_N^{(r)}(q, 1) = G_N^{(r)}(q)$. It is interesting to investigate the generating function

\begin{equation}
\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} G_N^{(r)}(q, t) \frac{u^N}{[N]_q!},
\end{equation}

possibly with refinements depending on $r$ and $t$, and the $t$-deformed generalized Galois number $G_N^{(r)}(q, t)$ itself.
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