INTRODUCTION

The beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* Hübn. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a major pest in shallot producing areas and is widely spread in Indonesia (CIE, 1972; Rauf, 1999). *S. exigua* could cause yield loss ranging from 57 to 100% without control measures (Ministry of Agriculture, 1992; Rauf, 1999). Shallot farmers in Java rely heavily on insecticides to combat this insect (Trisyono & Untung, 2008, unpublished). Almost all farmers (93.3%) in the three districts had similar perception that *S. exigua* was the major insect pest in shallot and 84.4% farmers stated that this insect was difficult to control. The four most common insecticides active ingredients used were chlorfenapyr, methomyl, chlorpyrifos, and emamectin benzoate. Insecticides remained the first choice and they were applied throughout the shallot season mostly based on the calendar (1–3 days interval). When using insecticides farmers tend to exceed the label recommended rates, and the farmers mostly mixed different insecticides into one spray solution. These results suggest that application of insecticides to control *S. exigua* was already excessive. The potential risks and efforts essential to minimize the risks are discussed.

Keywords: beet armyworm *Spodoptera exigua*; insecticide misuse; Java; shallot

ABSTRACT

The beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* Hübn. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a major insect pest of shallot in Java. This research aimed to determine how insecticides were used as the common farmers practice for controlling *S. exigua*. The research was conducted in three shallot production centers in Java: the Districts of Brebes (Central Java Province), Nganjuk (East Java Province), and Bantul (the Special Region of Yogyakarta). Surveys were conducted by interviewing thirty shallot farmers as respondents from each district. The selected thirty farmers were taken from four sub-districts. Almost all farmers (93.3%) in the three districts had similar perception that *S. exigua* was the major insect pest in shallot and 84.4% farmers stated that this insect was difficult to control. The four most common insecticides active ingredients used were chlorfenapyr, methomyl, chlorpyrifos, and emamectin benzoate. Insecticides remained the first choice and they were applied throughout the shallot season mostly based on the calendar (1–3 days interval). When using insecticides farmers tend to exceed the label recommended rates, and the farmers mostly mixed different insecticides into one spray solution. These results suggest that application of insecticides to control *S. exigua* was already excessive. The potential risks and efforts essential to minimize the risks are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

The beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* Hübn. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a major pest in shallot producing areas and is widely spread in Indonesia (CIE, 1972; Rauf, 1999). *S. exigua* could cause yield loss ranging from 57 to 100% without control measures (Ministry of Agriculture, 1992; Rauf, 1999). Shallot farmers in Java rely heavily on insecticides to combat this insect (Trisyono & Untung, 2008, unpublished). Similarly, this insect has become more damaging in China since 1980, and insecticides have been intensively used by the farmers to control *S. exigua* (Zhang et al., 2014).

The improper use of insecticides may cause environmental pollution, resurgence, resistance, and may kill non-target organisms (Metcalfe, 1986). Although the newer insecticides are considered more selective and more environmentally friendly than the three most commonly used insecticide groups in the past (carbamate, organophosphate, and pyrethroid) (Koster, 1990), misuse of those insecticides may be detrimental to the environment. One of the most common risks of excessive use of insecticides is the development of resistance on the target insect. The development of resistance to different insecticides (chlorpyrifos, beta-cyfluthrin, cyromazine, carbosulfan, and abamectin) has been previously reported in Indonesia (Moekasan, 1998; Moekasan & Basuki, 2007; Basuki, 2009). Several studies showed that the field populations of *S. exigua* have developed resistance to insecticides in other countries (Osorio et al., 2008; Ahmad & Arif, 2010; Istiaq et al., 2012; Che et al., 2013; Ahmad et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Saeed et al., 2019). The populations of this insect in Indonesia, the United States, Thailand, Pakistan and China have become resistant to a relatively new insecticide group of non-steroidal ecdysone agonists such as tebufenozide and methoxyfenozide (Moulton et al., 2002; Wibisono et al., 2007; Osorio et al., 2008; Istiaq et al., 2012; Che et al., 2013).
Insecticides registered in Indonesia to control \textit{S. exigua} showed an annual increase. In 2016–2018, the number of trade names increased from 254 to 267 but the number of active ingredients decreased for the same period of time, from 67 in 2016 to 59 in 2018. (Ministry of Agriculture, 2013; 2014; 2016; 2018). These suggest that the same active ingredient was reregistered with more trade names. Farmers rely on the advices from their colleagues and agro shop dealers for the choice of pesticide to use against \textit{S. exigua} (Basuki, 2009). This study was aimed to determine which insecticides were the mostly used by shallot farmers to control \textit{S. exigua} in the shallot production centers in the Districts of Nganjuk, Bantul and Brebes. The findings will be useful to establish further researches on the development of resistance and its management as well as to develop policies to minimize the risks.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Location**

Data collection was carried out by a survey method involving 90 shallot farmers in Java from June to August 2018. The total sample was taken from three districts of shallot production centers: Brebes-Central Java, Nganjuk-East Java, Bantul-Yogyakarta, each of 30 farmers respectively. The farmers selected as respondents were from four sub-districts in Brebes (Songgom, Wanasari, Larangan, and Brebes) and Nganjuk (Bagor, Rejoso, Sukomoro, and Wilangan), and only three from Bantul (Sanden, Kretek, and Pundong). The selected sub-districts were shallot production areas in each district. The selected farmers were those who have had experience of planting shallot for a minimum of five years. The research was conducted by employing a quantitative descriptive method based on survey. Data was collected through individual interview using a questionnaire consisting of closed questions (multiple choices), open-ended questions, and a combination of open and closed questions (Creswell, 2014). The questionnaire used during the survey covered three major aspects: the perception of farmers in regard with the economic importance of \textit{S. exigua}; the insecticides commonly used by farmers to control \textit{S. exigua}, and the common farmer practices in using insecticides. Data was analyzed using the descriptive technique.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**The Importance of \textit{S. exigua}**

\textit{S. exigua} and \textit{S. litura} were the major pests infesting shallot in the three districts Brebes, Nganjuk, and Bantul. Among the two \textit{Spodoptera} species, most farmers (93.3\%) thought that \textit{S. exigua} was more damaging to the shallot than that of \textit{S. litura} (6.7\%) because of its difficulty to control (84.4\%) (Table 1). The larvae live inside the cylindrical leaves that give them more protection. In addition, the development of resistance may result in failure in control if insecticides are not appropriately used (Wibisono \textit{et al}., 2007; Osorio \textit{et al}., 2008; Ahmad & Arif, 2010; Istiaq \textit{et al}., 2012; Che \textit{et al}., 2013; Ahmad \textit{et al}., 2018; Wang \textit{et al}., 2018; Saeed \textit{et al}., 2019). Generally, the farmers identified \textit{S. exigua} based on the colors of larvae. Although the larvae of \textit{S. exigua} are polymorphic, the farmers were able to distinguish between the two species of \textit{Spodoptera} when pictures were shown to the farmers. During high population, 80\% of the fifth instars’ colour are dark. In contrast, 90\% of the larvae’s colour are bright green when the population was low (Rauf, 1999).

**Commonly Used Insecticides to Control \textit{S. exigua}**

To control \textit{S. exigua}, the first control measure was taken at 10–15 days after planting (dap), and 84.4\% of the farmers chose to control them with insecticide(s). During the planting season, 73.3\% of the farmers used a combination of insecticides and mechanical control by hand picking. In addition, light traps were employed by 6.7\% of the farmers.

| Based on the type of pest | Number of farmers (%) | Mean |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| Brebes                    | Nganjuk               | Bantul|
| a. \textit{Spodoptera exigua} | 90.0                 | 93.3  | 96.7  | 93.3  |
| b. \textit{Spodoptera litura} | 10.0                  | 6.7   | 3.3   | 6.7   |

| Based on the difficulty in control | Number of farmers (%) | Mean |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| a. \textit{Spodoptera exigua} | 86.7                  | 70.0 | 96.7 | 84.4 |
| b. \textit{Spodoptera litura} | 13.3                  | 30.0 | 3.3  | 15.6 |

Remark: *Number of farmers interviewed was thirty in each district and each number represented the proportion of farmers studied that \textit{Spodoptera exigua} is more important pest than \textit{Spodoptera litura}.
in Brebes but none in the other two locations. Most farmers (90%) in Brebes and Nganjuk stopped using insecticides between 1 to 5 days before harvest; while most farmers in Bantul (73.3%) stopped using insecticides earlier (>6 days before harvesting) (Table 2). This suggests that some of the farmers in Bantul is more rational in using insecticides than those in Brebes and Nganjuk.

Overall, there were a total of 19 insecticides’ active ingredients (a.i.) consisting of 14 single a.i. and five mixtures of two a.i. that have been used to control *S. exigua* by the farmers in three districts (Table 3). These 19 a.i. fell into 12 different mode of actions (MoA) based on Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC) classification (IRAC, 2019). The number of a.i. used by farmers among the three districts varied from nine in Bantul to 14 in Brebes. However, there were four insecticides commonly used by the farmers in all districts, namely chlorfenapyr, methomyl, chlorpyrifos, and emamectin benzoate. Among these four, chlorfenapyr was the most widely selected and used by the shallot growers. All farmers in Brebes used chlorfenapyr (100%), and the same insecticide was used by 93.3% farmers in Nganjuk, and 34.8% in Bantul. Methomyl was the second mostly used for controlling *S. exigua*; while chlorpyrifos and emamectin benzoate were very much similar in term of the number of farmers used these two insecticides.

A total of 29 commercially formulated products with different trade-names are available for chlorfenapyr and the same number also apply for chlorpyrifos, but in terms of trade names’ number for the same a.i. they are second to cypermethrin with 35 trade names (Ministry of Agriculture, 2018). A total of 17 different formulated commercial products based on 14 different a.i. were used by the farmers in Brebes for controlling *S. exigua*, while in Nganjuk this was 27 trade names with 13 a.i.; and 16 trade names with 9 a.i. in Bantul. These data suggest that the farmers tend to apply the same trade name for the same a.i. even though there are many other trade names with similar a.i. The use of the same trade name or different trade names but similar a.i. over a period of time would increase the risk of resistance development in *S. exigua*. Furthermore, the 267 trade names with 59 a.i. registered for this insect (Ministry of Agriculture, 2018) pose additional issues related to the implementation of insect resistance management (IRM) principles. For example, we found that selection of insecticides was not necessarily based on the intention to rotate the different MoA but was mostly driven by promotional insecticide sales (23.3%) and recommendation from other farmers (41.1%) based on the trade names.

The four mostly used insecticides mentioned above are all broad spectrum insecticides targeting

Table 2. Control measures practiced by farmers in the centers shallot producing areas in Java

| Control technique and timing | Number of farmers (%)* | Mean |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| A. Early stage               |                         |      |
| 1. First control (days after planting) |             |      |
| a. 1–5                       | 3.3, 10.0, 4.4         |      |
| b. 6–9                       | 16.7, 36.7, 20.0, 24.4 |      |
| c. 10–15                     | 73.3, 53.3, 63.3, 63.3 |      |
| d. 16–20                     | 6.7, 16.7, 7.8         |      |
| 2. Control technique         |                         |      |
| a. Insecticides               | 83.3, 90.0, 80.0, 84.4 |      |
| b. Mechanical                | 16.7, 10.0, 20.0, 15.6 |      |
| B. Control technique used during the plant growth |  |      |
| 1. Using insecticide only     | 10.0, 33.3, 26.7, 23.3 |      |
| 2. Insecticide and mechanical | 83.3, 63.3, 73.3, 73.3 |      |
| 3. Light trap                 | 6.7, 2.2               |      |
| 4. Insecticide, mechanical and glue | 3.3, 1.1      |      |
| C. Last insecticide application (days before harvesting) |  |      |
| 1. 1–5                       | 90.0, 90.0, 26.7, 68.9 |      |
| 2. 6–10                      | 6.7, 10.0, 53.3, 23.3  |      |
| 3. >10                       | 3.3, 20.0, 7.8         |      |

Remark: *Number of farmers interviewed was thirty in each district
different sites. Methomyl (carbamate group) and chlorpyrifos (organophosphate group) inhibit acetylcholinesterase (AChE), a neuroreceptor catalyst. Chlorfenapyr (pyrroles group) disrupts the respiratory pathway and the proton gradient through the termination of oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria, and emamectin benzoate (avermectin group) activates channels of chloride glutamate-gated glutamate (GluCls) caused paralysis in insects (IRAC, 2019).

In addition to the risk of resistance development due to lack of rotation with different MoA, the use of broad spectrum insecticides could be detrimental to the natural enemies of *S. exigua* which potentially facilitate the outbreak due to lack of the population regulating factors.

**Application of Insecticides**

All farmers in Brebes and Nganjuk applied insecticides to control *S. exigua* based on the calendar bases with an interval of 1–3 days with a 2–day interval as the most preferable. In Bantul, some farmers (13.3%) used insecticides only when the population of this insect was considerably high which might cause some economic losses. Most farmers (58.9%) used the same insecticide for the whole season (Table 4). Most farmers in all districts (80%) practiced improper use of insecticides by applying either lower or higher dosages than the label recommended rates (Table 5). Moreover, most farmers in these districts mixed 2–5 formulated products in one spray solution with the reason for reducing the

### Table 3. Active ingredients of insecticides commonly used by farmers in the center of shallot producing areas in Java

| No. | Active Ingredient of formulated product used | MoA group* | Number of farmers (%)** | Brebes | Nganjuk | Bantul |
|-----|---------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| 1   | chlorfenapyr                               | 13         | 100.0                   | 93.3   | 34.8    |
| 2   | methomyl                                   | 1A         | 26.7                    | 56.7   | 8.9     |
| 3   | chlorpyrifos                               | 1B         | 20.0                    | 10.0   | 4.4     |
| 4   | emamectin benzoate                         | 6          | 13.3                    | 26.3   | 13.0    |
| 5   | spinetoram+methoxyfenozide***              | 5+18       | 33.3                    | 13.3   |         |
| 6   | emamectin benzoate +chlorbenzuron***       | 6+15       | 26.7                    | 16.7   |         |
| 7   | triazophos                                 | 1B         | 3.3                     | 36.7   |         |
| 8   | cyantraniliprole                           | 28         | 10.0                    | 16.7   |         |
| 9   | indoxacarb+emamectin benzoate***           | 22A + 6    | 6.7                     | 13.3   |         |
| 10  | abamectin                                  | 6          | 6.7                     | 10.0   |         |
| 11  | cyromazine                                 | 17         | 6.7                     |        |         |
| 12  | chlorfluazuron                             | 15         | 3.3                     |        |         |
| 13  | chlorantraniliprole+thiamethoxam***        | 28+4A      | 3.3                     |        |         |
| 14  | thiodicarb                                 | 1A         | 6.7                     |        | 21.7    |
| 15  | chlorpyrifos–methyl                        | 1B         | 3.3                     |        |         |
| 16  | chlorpyrifos+cypermethrin***               | 1B+3A      | 16.7                    | 21.7   |         |
| 17  | beta–cyfluthrin                            | 3A         | 3.3                     | 30.4   |         |
| 18  | chlorantraniliprole                        | 28         | 29.1                    |        |         |
| 19  | profenofos                                 | 1B         | 13.1                    |        |         |

Remarks: * Mode of Action (MoA) group was based on Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC, 2019) ** Number of farmers interviewed was thirty in each district and each number represent the proportion of farmers used a particular a.i. *** Two active ingredients in one formulation

### Table 4. Interval and rotation of insecticide applications practiced by farmers in the centers of shallot producing areas in Java

| Interval Insecticide application | Number of farmers (%)** | Mean |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| A. 1. Routine (calendar)        |                         |      |
| a. Daily                        | 30.0                    | 40.0 | 15.4 | 29.1 |
| b. Two                          | 46.7                    | 60.0 | 38.5 | 48.8 |
| c. Three                        | 20.0                    |      | 38.5 | 17.8 |
| d. Four                         | 3.3                     |      | 7.7  | 3.3  |
| e. Five                         | 3.8                     |      | 1.1  |      |
| 2. Conditional (observing)      |                         |      |
|                                |                         |      | 13.3 | 4.4  |

B. Rotation of product

|                  | Number of farmers (%)** | Mean |
|------------------|-------------------------|------|
| 1. With rotation |                         |      |
|                  | 63.3                    | 63.3 | 50.0 | 58.9 |
| 2. No rotation   |                         |      | 36.7 | 36.7 | 50.0 | 41.1 |

Remark: *Number of farmers interviewed was thirty in each district
costs of labor and they thought that mixing several insecticides provided more effectiveness in controlling *S. exigua* (Table 6).

The above findings suggest that insecticides misuse is a common practice by farmers in the shallot producing area in Java and it is a serious issue. Mixing several a.i. without knowing their compatibility would increase the risk of resistance to several a.i. at the same time (Brown 1958). De Putter *et al.*, (2017) reported that farmers believed that mixing profenofos, lambda-cyhalothrin, and chlorantraniliprole would give a better control to *S. exigua* than using only a single a.i. Unfortunately, 63% of the farmers did not have sufficient knowledge about the resistance development and the risks of insecticides misuse.

In Indonesia, the resistance in the populations of *S. exigua* to a number of insecticides has been previously reported. Moekasan & Basuki (2007) found that *S. exigua* populations in Brebes was resistant to chlorpyrifos and beta-cyfluthrin. Resistance to non-steroidal ecdysone agonist methoxyfenozide was found in field populations collected from Brebes and Nganjuk (Wibisono *et al.*, 2007). In Pakistan, *S. exigua* was resistant to indoxacarb, spinosad, chlorfenapyr, abamectin, and emamectin benzoate (Ahmad *et al.*, 2018). These reports indicate that *S. exigua* has been able to develop resistance to different insecticides with different MoA. The resistance of pest to insecticides is characterized by a decrease in the insecticide efficacy (Gould, 1984; Lockwood *et al.*, 1984). As a result, the farmers often increase dosages, shorten the application frequency, and mix insecticides with the expectation to have an improved control. This approach is not likely to solve the problem, but it may even increase the intensity and diversity of the problems posed by high rate of insecticides, such as the development of resistance and reduced role of beneficial organisms in regulating the population of *S. exigua*.

### CONCLUSION

The farmers in the shallot production centers districts in Java (Brebes, Nganjuk, and Bantul) perceived that *S. exigua* was the most important pest in shallot, and they relied on the use of insecticides for controlling this insect. The four most commonly used insecticides were chlorfenapyr, methomyl, chlorpyrifos, and emamectin benzoate which were commonly applied on calendar bases with a 2-day interval. In practice farmer used 2–5 formulated products in one spray solution with limited rotation of a.i., and the excessive rate was often applied. Extension and education on the proper use of insecticides are essential and should be considered as an immediate need for the reduced risks in *S. exigua* control program.
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