Study Protocol of a School-Based Randomized Controlled Trial to Promote Cycling to School Among Students in Germany Using Intervention Mapping: The ACTS Project
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Background: Despite a high rate of bicycle ownership, the prevalence of cycling to school among children and adolescents in Germany has been constantly low. Cycling to school can contribute to meeting the physical activity recommendations, which the majority of children and adolescents in Germany do not meet.

Methods: By using intervention mapping, this study protocol describes the systematic planning process of a school-based intervention in Germany aimed to increase the number of days on which students cycle to school and to increase their physical activity levels. To make sure that the intervention will match the needs of students, we conducted a concept mapping study investigating what students need to cycle to school, as perceived by students, parents, and teachers. The logic model of change was based on an integration of the self-determination theory and the social-ecological model. We structured our intervention as two phases, a preparatory phase with weekly components for and a practical phase with a daily repeated component of the targeted behavior. In the 8-week preparatory phase, teachers, parents, and peers will be involved. The content of the 12-week practical phase will involve peers only and was considered promising based on the findings from a systematic review that we conducted to identify the effective strategies of school-based interventions to promote cycling to school among children and adolescents. Overall, our intervention includes 27 behavior change techniques. A researcher, student assistants, teachers, and other collaborators will implement the intervention; a whole-of-school approach with components performed before, during, and after school was chosen. As a study design, we decided to draft a two-arm three-level cluster randomized controlled trial. Both the effect and process evaluation were prepared. In the first instance, approximately 250 students of 12–15 years of age from grade 7 or 8, who attend a secondary school of intermediate or high educational level located in (sub)urban regions in Southern Germany, will pilot the intervention.
INTRODUCTION

In Germany, up to 98% of children and adolescents until 17 years own a bicycle (1). However, cycling is the rarest mode used by girls and boys overall for commuting to school (2). Additionally, the prevalence of cycling to school in the years from 2003 to 2017 was constantly lower in girls (20.6 vs. 21.5%) compared with boys (23.8 vs. 25.2%) aged 11–17 years (2, 3). Living in a small town (5,000–19,999 inhabitants) and a city (>100,000 inhabitants) or attending an intermediate educational level providing a general education school leaving certificate lowered the chance of cycling to school among children and adolescents in Germany compared with those living in a medium-sized town (20,000–99,999 inhabitants) or attending a high educational level providing a general higher education entrance qualification (3, 4). These associations may vary based on the context (i.e., sampled regions of residential or school area) (5).

In Germany, only 26% of the children and adolescents (girls: 22.4%, boys: 29.4%) aged up to 17 years achieve the physical activity (PA) recommendations proposed by the World Health Organization (6). As the stability of PA patterns among girls and boys is lower in transitional phases (e.g., from childhood to adolescence) (7), the PA prevalence declines with age in Germany (6), which makes it important to counteract this negative trend in this phase of life. According to previous research from England, it is noteworthy that 36% of children and adolescents aged 5–15 years who cycle to school meet the weekly PA recommendations (8). In comparison, only 25% of walkers to school and 22% of neither cyclists nor walkers to school meet these recommendations. Following this, the promotion of cycling to school could be a promising strategy to increase PA levels among children and adolescents.

However, interventions in this research field are not well established (9) and especially interventions implemented in secondary schools (10) involving two grade levels from grade 7 upward (11) are lacking. Previous research recommended the stepwise structured intervention mapping protocol (IM) when planning interventions to change behavior (12). According to this conceptual review, the IM protocol uses theories and evidence, follows a social–ecological approach to intervene at multiple levels, and is characterized by involving the target group and all relevant stakeholders using a participatory approach. The authors concluded that the best possible intervention with the best chance of effectiveness can be expected when following this detailed and systematic protocol.

Therefore, this study protocol used IM to document the systematic planning process of a school-based intervention based on a combination of the social–ecological model and the self-determination theory. It is designed as a two-arm three-level cluster randomized controlled trial (RCT) with a pre- and post-measurement for the effect evaluation before and after the 5-month period of implementation. As the primary aim, the planned intervention should increase the number of cycling days to school and as a secondary aim, should increase the total moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) among children and adolescents aged 12–15 years from grade 7 or 8 attending secondary schools of intermediate or high educational levels located in (sub)urban regions (small town, medium-sized town, city) in Southern Germany.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

In this study protocol, IM was used. IM describes an iterative process, which consists of six steps (i.e., logic model of the problem, logic model of change, program design, program production, program implementation plan, and evaluation plan) divided into several tasks described in Figure 1 (13).

This study protocol includes the following terms, which explain the most crucial tasks allocated to steps one to three of the IM protocol. In step one, we defined the needs assessment as “the collection and analysis of information that relates to the needs” (14) (p. 314) of our identified high-risk population of cyclists to school, which help determine the facilitators and barriers of their behavior. For the construction of the matrix in step two, we used the following definitions of “performance objectives” and “change objectives.” Performance objectives are observable and specific behaviors, which are judged necessary to meet the desired aims of our intervention (i.e., who needs to do what) (13) and were allocated to modules (A, B, C, etc.) in the study matrix. The combination of determinants for behavioral outcomes and performance objectives lead to change objectives (13). In step three, intervention components were defined as different packages of contents (15), which were allocated to the different modules in order to address the changes needed according to the identified performance objectives. The composition of components will define the success of our intervention due to their direct relatedness to change methods (16). A change method (also technique) “provides evidence for how change may occur” (13) (p. 17).

Logic Model of the Problem

In 2019, the ACTS project was initiated. It was aimed at promoting active commuting to school (ACTS) with a
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particular focus on cycling to school in Europe. To plan interventions is part of this project. This project involves six research institutes from Poland, Czech Republic, Portugal, the Netherlands, and Germany, which were set as the planning group. For each country, an intervention adapted to the needs of the local context is planned. The intervention described here aims to address students aged 12–15 years attending grade 7 or 8 at secondary schools of intermediate or high educational levels located in sub(urban) regions (small town, medium-sized town, city) in Southern Germany. We involved teachers in the planning process of the intervention to ensure that the implementation will be feasible in their community, at their school, and with their students. Therefore, we sent teachers the draft of our planned intervention and asked for their feedback, which we considered in this study protocol.

In step one of the IM protocol, the logic model of the problem was created (see Figure 2). Here, the needs of students to cycle to school daily were assessed using a concept mapping study (17). In total, 136 students aged 12–15 years attending grade 7 or 8 at three different secondary schools of different educational levels located in different sub(urban) regions in Southern Germany participated in the study. For a more comprehensive understanding of the behavior of the students, concept mapping
was also performed among students’ parents ($n = 58$) and teachers ($n = 29$) of both genders female and male, whereby the low retention rate of fathers did not permit a separate gender analysis. As needs to cycle to school daily, a “bicycle and related equipment,” the “way to school,” and “personal factors” were mentioned by all three analyzed samples of students, mothers, and teachers. Additionally, students mentioned “cycle training,” mothers mentioned the “role of the school,” and the teachers mentioned “storage and changing room,” “financial aspects,” as well as “information and services.” Furthermore, “social behavior in road traffic” was mentioned by girls only, “role of parents” by mothers and female teachers, and “sense of safety” by female teachers. As none of these mentioned needs stood out as particularly (un)important and/or (un)feasible, we treated all of them as equally relevant. In this study, almost all students owned a bicycle (girls: 87.8%, boys: 100%) and all were able to cycle ($n = 5$). When examining the habits of the students in cycling to school, we found that approximately two-thirds of students stated to sometimes cycle to school (girls: 44.4%, boys: 72.9%), of whom approximately one-third cycled to school daily (girls: 31.6%, boys: 37.3%). On average, students generally cycled to school on 2.3 ± 2.0 days per week (girls: 1.6 ± 2.0, boys: 2.7 ± 2.0). Moreover, the following correlates were identified to be negatively associated with cycling to school behaviors: (a) being a girl, (b) increasing age (mainly in girls), (c) attending an intermediate educational level in combination with a suburban region of the school, (d) attending a school located in a small town (mainly in girls) or a medium-sized town, (e) living further away from school, and (f) having parents not using a bicycle to commute to work.

The primary aim of the planned intervention is to increase the number of days on which students cycle to school. As a secondary aim, the planned intervention should increase the total MVPA in students due to positive changes in their cycling to school behavior.

### Logic Model of Change

According to the previous IM step, complementary and stimulating impulses of behavioral (including personal factors) and situational (including social and physical environment) approaches are relevant for the successful promotion of cycling to school. All circumstances of the external reality of the students embody the environment (18). We defined the term “social environment” as all political–social–cultural factors (including parents, teachers, peers, school and its policies, and social norms), in which the student lives in, is educated, and interacts (19, 20). The term “physical environment” includes all factors related to the structural conditions, such as the (functional) quality of equipment, financial aspects, transportation system, design of the infrastructure, services, and the distance from home to school (20–22).

In step two of the IM protocol, a theoretical model has to be chosen to create the logic model of change. Therefore, two theoretical models were integrated as already described in a previous study protocol (23). This integration illustrates why the situational approach influences the behavior of the students and how the behavioral and situational approaches interrelate and interact (21) (see Figure 3): (a) The social–ecological model of the correlates of active transportation shows “the complex interaction of multiple levels of factors [i.e., individual, interpersonal, community, built environment, policy (19)] affecting decisions to be active” (21) (p. 57). This theoretical model was chosen as we identified multiple levels in our concept mapping study at which we need to intervene (i.e., individual, interpersonal, community, environment) (see Figure 2). (b) A sub-theory of the self–determination theory, the basic psychological needs (BPNs), emphasizes that the support and satisfaction of autonomy, competence, and relatedness lead to a more self-determined form of motivation toward a specific behavior (21, 24). This theoretical model was chosen as motivation (personal factors) of students was identified in our...
concept mapping study to play a role in their decision to cycle to school (see Figure 2).

Based on the social and physical environment, BPNs can be either satisfied or frustrated leading to a certain degree of motivation, which is crucial for the decision process of the students to cycle to school and the influence on total MVPA. Following this logic model of change, Table 1 illustrates the matrix of performance objectives and determinants targeting the promotion of cycling to school.

Program Design and Production
As illustrated in Table 2, the intervention will be structured in two phases: (a) preparation for and (b) practice of the targeted behavior. All chosen components and some of their descriptions were based on the findings and conclusions from our concept mapping study (5, 17) and our systematic review (11), which was conducted to identify effective strategies of school-based interventions to promote ACTS by bicycle among children and adolescents. We also used the following documents to design the mobility and traffic education components in the preparatory phase: (a) The content of the three-cycle training sessions off-road was based on a German research report on road safety education concepts for children and adolescents in secondary schools (25). (b) For the session in which theoretical knowledge about traffic rules will be transferred, two guidebooks published by the German Automobile Club (ADAC) (26, 27) were the basis. (c) The content of the cycle training session on-road to practice social behavior in road traffic was also based on these two guidebooks (26, 27). (d) To finally certify the basic cycling skills of students in a final exam, another guidebook of the ADAC was the basis (28). All students will obtain a certificate regardless of their scoring to allow for self-monitoring of their basic cycling skills.

Each component could be linked to at least one behavior change technique as proposed in the taxonomy v1 (29) and supplemented by our systematic review (11). Overall, 27 different techniques were applied to the components of our intervention.

Program Implementation Plan
For convenience, the three secondary schools included in our concept mapping study were asked to pilot the intervention. Two more secondary schools, each characterized by similar features as the already recruited ones, that is, in terms of regions, educational levels, and grades, will be searched by sending random invitation letters. Similar random invitation letters will also be sent to recruit secondary schools in the main study.

The school year in Germany starts in fall and ends in summer, whereby the first term ends in spring. In previous research from Norway, seasonal differences in cycling to school were observed between fall (52%), winter (3%), and spring (51%) (30) as well as between winter (12%) and summer (22%) (31). Also in Germany, cycling to school decreases in winter (32). Therefore, the implementation of the intervention should start in fall and end in spring (i.e., during the first term of the school year). According to the preparatory and practical phases, individual components will be implemented one after another at the participating secondary schools over a period of 5 months (see Table 3). As implemented components will prepare students for the possibly more difficult (weather) conditions in winter, we do not expect a negative seasonal influence on the effectiveness of the intervention. For example, information about appropriate clothes will be provided during the joint parents’, teachers’, and students’ evening. Furthermore, parents and teachers will be reminded of serving as role models so that the 12- to 15-year-olds learn how to establish a cycling routine regardless of (weather) conditions in winter. Students will feel safer and more confident in dealing with difficult road conditions after participating in the cycle training, which will improve their basic cycling skills and practice them on-road (e.g., handling obstacles and appropriate driving style). The bicycle train will increase the motivation and safety of students through positive experiences when accompanied by and interacting socially with peers instead of cycling to school alone in the darkness. Furthermore, the bicycle train will establish a new social norm (i.e., cycling to school as an activity throughout the whole year, including winter and not only in summer). The intervention will follow a whole-school approach as we designed components that will take place before, during (i.e., in art and physical education (PE) lesson), and after school. At each participating secondary school, a person of contact will be defined who will act as a coordinator for implementing the intervention. Furthermore, the person of contact will interact with the responsible implementers at their school (i.e., PE and art teacher) and a researcher as well as the project manager at the Technical University of Munich (TUM) who can be called or e-mailed any time in case of occurring questions or problems. The researcher from TUM will be in touch with the person of contact to organize data collections and the implementation of components, which will be led by the researcher and student assistants from TUM. As our aim was to draft a sustainable intervention, we will provide secondary schools with all materials needed for the replication of the intervention and initiate several collaborations with government facilities (i.e., police) and nongovernmental organizations (e.g., ADAC) free of charge that can be continued after we will have left schools.

Evaluation Plan
To report the findings of our planned evaluation, the “CONSORT 2010 statement: extension to cluster randomized trials” (33) will be followed.
TABLE 1 | Matrix of performance objectives and determinants targeting the promotion of cycling to school.

| Modules | Performance objectives                                                                 | Psychological determinants                                                                 |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A       | Researcher communicates to parents and students the purpose and benefits of cycling to school, useful bicycle-related equipment, and feasible mixed methods when living too far away from school. | Students can choose between different options. Students are aware of the purpose/benefits and solutions to tolerate adverse conditions (e.g., bad weather, heavy schoolbags) when cycling to school. Students perceive social support. |
| B       | Researcher seeks help from parents and teachers (e.g., parents do not drive their child to school by car, parents/teachers motivate their child/students to cycle to school, parents/teachers are role models for their child/students by cycling to work regardless of the weather condition and wearing a helmet, teachers develop a cycling-to-school-mission-statement). | Students are personally responsible and extensively independent in planning how to get to school. Students feel empowered to cycle to school when encouraged. Students establish the new social norm to wear a helmet and receive social support from parents who can help them to do their helmet-compatible hairstyle. Peers serve as role models. |
| C       | Researcher shows helmet-compatible hairstyle to students and parents.                    | Students make their own decisions, which helmet-compatible hairstyle they want to do. Students know, which hairstyles are helmet-compatible, and can do them. Students establish the new social norm to wear a helmet and receive social support from parents who can help them to do their helmet-compatible hairstyle. Peers serve as role models. |
| D       | Students and parents plan routes and stops so that students can cycle to school together. | Students are free in choosing the best route to cycle to school. Students feel proud to cycle to school on their own chosen routes. Students feel involved in the planning process, interact socially with peers and parents, establish a new social norm at school, and perceive social support from peers and parents. Peers serve as role models. |
| E       | Students, parents, and teachers plan cycling-to-school-events.                           | Students have the freedom to choose what kind of events they want to plan. Students are proud of the successful realization of their planned events. Students feel involved in the planning process of the intervention, perceive social support from peers, parents, and teachers, and interact with peers, parents, and teachers. Peers serve as role models. |
| F       | Students set goals on how often they want to try to cycle to school per week.           | Students decide on their own how often they want to try to cycle to school per week. Students successfully reach their set goals. Students establish a social norm and perceive social support from peers. Peers serve as role models. |
| G       | Researcher ensures that bicycles of students are roadworthy and provides required bicycle-related equipment if necessary. | Students have the chance to engage in cycling to school if they want to. Students trust in the safety of their bicycles. Students perceive the principle of equal opportunities and social support. |
| H       | Students personalize bicycle-related equipment.                                         | Students decide on their own how to make their bicycle-related equipment more attractive to themselves. Students receive positive feedback for their art from the teacher and peers, which encourage them to present it on-road. Students identify with the intervention and their bicycle-related equipment. Students develop group cohesion through personalized bicycle-related equipment as a common identifying feature of participating in the intervention. |
| I       | Students can cycle to school in road traffic (e.g., improve basic cycling skills, know traffic rules, practice social behavior, take part in a final exam). | Students make their own decisions on how to appropriately behave in road traffic. Students feel safe in applying traffic rules, have confidence in and do not overestimate their cycling skills, and make positive experiences when cycling to school. Students interact socially with other traffic participants. |

Study Design
The main study is planned as a two-arm (i.e., intervention and control group (IG; CG)) three-level cluster (i.e., students in classrooms in schools) RCT (see Figure 4), whereby a simple randomization technique (i.e., flipping a coin) on school-level was chosen. For convenience, the pilot study will follow a quasi-experimental study design as a non-RCT. Directly before and after the implementation of the intervention, a pre- and post-measurement will take place as part of the effect evaluation. Furthermore, the process evaluation will take place during and after the implementation of the intervention. Based on the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) of 0.2 calculated for the...
### TABLE 2 | Program design.

| Phases | Modules | Components | Descriptions | Materials | Behavior change techniques (11, 29) |
|--------|---------|------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| Preparation | A-F | Joint parents’, teachers’, and students’ evening | - General information for students, parents, and teachers:  
  ➔ Purpose and benefits of cycling to school (e.g., health, emotion, environment)  
  ➔ Options when living too far away from school (e.g., splitting the way to school into active and passive parts)  
  ➔ The role of parents and teachers (e.g., role modeling, motivators)  
  ➔ Useful bicycle-related equipment (e.g., clothes, carrier systems)  
  ➔ Helmet-compatible hairstyles  
  - Parents help students to develop a cycling-to-school-plan by letting students tell peers where they live and forming small groups when living close together to determine a joint route and stops  
  - Teachers develop a cycling-to-school-mission-statement as part of a new school policy  
  - Students, parents, and teachers determine three cycling-to-school-events  
  - Students set goals in written form | To perform: Computer, projector, paper, pencils, roadmaps  
To provide students, parents, and teachers with: Online video and booklet of the live meeting  
Involving parents and teachers, pros and cons, information about health, emotional, social, and environmental consequences, avoidance/reducing exposure to cues for the behavior, restructuring the physical and social environment, social support (unspecified, practical, emotional), demonstration of the behavior, knowledge transfer, adding objects to the environment, information about antecedents, goal setting (behavior), action planning |
| G-H | Bicycle inspection in the presence of parents and provision of required bicycle-related equipment; personalization of bicycle-related equipment | | | To perform: Tool kits, paper and pencils to document required bicycle-related equipment, paint, paintbrush  
Information about antecedents, restructuring the physical environment, social support (practical), adding objects to the environment, involving parents  
Instruction on how to perform the behavior, behavioral practice/rehearsal, demonstration of the behavior |
| I | Three cycle training sessions off-road (improvement of basic cycling skills) (25) | 1. Session: Ascending/descending, slow driving, braking, driving in a narrow lane and over obstacles, orientation  
2. Session: Keeping distance, handling and driving over obstacles, slalom, orientation  
3. Session: Adaptability, parcours | | To perform: 1. Session: Old bicycle tires  
2. Session: Little sandbags, pool noodles, self-made seesaw and other obstacles, pylons  
3. Session: Old bicycle tires, little sandbags, pool noodles, self-made seesaw and other obstacles, pylons  
Instruction on how to perform the behavior, behavioral practice/rehearsal, demonstration of the behavior |
|  | Information about traffic rules (26, 27) | e.g., rights and duties, traffic signs, how to enter traffic, penalties, liability, roadworthiness, how to do an emergency call, blind spot | | To provide students with: Booklet Knowledge transfer, instruction on how to perform the behavior |
|  | One cycle training session on-road (practicing social behavior) (26, 27) | e.g., unhurried driving style (adaptation of speed), keeping distance, how to pass a person/vehicle/bus stop, signaling and looking behind when turning left/right, crossing roads/intersections | | Instruction on how to perform the behavior, behavioral practice/rehearsal, demonstration of the behavior, social support (practical), avoidance/reducing exposure to cues for the behavior, feedback on behavior, problem solving, reduce negative emotions, behavior substitution |

(Continued)
### TABLE 2 | Continued

| Modules | Components | Descriptions | Materials |
|---------|------------|--------------|-----------|
| Practice | D | Final exam of basic cycling skills in the presence of parents (<1, 29>) | None |
|          |          | To perform: Materials from ADAC |          |
|          |          | To provide students with: Certificate |          |

**ADAC, German Automobile Club, incl. inclusive.**

**Sample Size Determination**

The optimal sample size for our chosen study design in the main study was calculated based on a formula by Rutterford et al. (34). This formula considers the confidence level (97.5%), power (80%), variance of days per week in cycling to school at the individual level [4.1 days (5)], our estimated clinically important difference in treatment means of days per week in cycling to school at the individual level (1.75 days), number of students per secondary school (based on the mean value in our concept mapping study: 51 students), and ICC of days per week students cycled to school at school level [0.2 days (17)]. According to this formula, the required number of students per intervention condition is 231. For the planned pilot study in the first instance, 10% of the main study’s calculated sample size is recommended (35, 36) (i.e., 23 students per arm).

**Measuring Instruments**

To perform the effect evaluation of the planned intervention, several measuring instruments were chosen (see **Table 4**). Furthermore, the content of the process evaluation was defined.

To perform the pre- and post-measurement for the effect evaluation before and after the implementation of the intervention, participating classes will be divided into two small groups by the researcher and student assistants from TUM during two regular consecutive PE lessons with a total duration of 90 min. One group will answer the self-report questions in paper/pencil questionnaires, while the other group will perform a practical cycling skills exam off-road. Students who completed the questionnaire will be sent to the other group to complete the cycling skills exam and the other way around. At the end of the two regular consecutive PE lessons, accelerometers will be handed out and their handling will be explained to students (see **Table 4**). While wearing the accelerometers, weather conditions will be documented daily by a student assistant from TUM.

For the process evaluation during the implementation of the intervention, each art and PE teacher from each class that received the intervention will be asked to document in written form the dosage of their own intervention delivery (i.e., was the content of components implemented correctly), fidelity (i.e., what content of components was not implemented correctly and why), and any adaptions (i.e., what changes were made to the content of components during implementation). Thus, the dimension of the implementation of the intervention can be determined. Additionally, four willing students (two girls and two boys), four parents (two mothers and two fathers), and each art and PE teacher from each class that received the intervention will be asked in a structured online interview individually.
## Table 3 | Program implementation plan.

| Phases | Weeks | Components | Locations | Time frame | Implementers | Tasks to be prepared |
|--------|-------|------------|-----------|------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Preparation | 1 | Joint parents’, teachers’, and students’ evening | School assembly hall and online | After regular school hours (1 × ca. 150 min) | Person of contact at school | 1. Finding a possible date and communication to the researcher at TUM  
2. Handing invitations out to students for their parents and to teachers  
3. Preparing assembly hall (e.g., chairs, media)  

Researcher and student assistant from TUM | 1. Drafting an invitation letter for parents and teachers  
2. Preparing lecture/materials (i.e., booklet, video)  
3. Preparing assembly hall (e.g., chairs, media) |
| Bicycle inspection in the presence of parents and provision of required bicycle-related equipment | 2 | School’s playground | After regular school hours (1 × ca. 180 min) | Person of contact at school | 1. Finding a possible date and communication to the researcher at TUM  
2. Handing invitations out to students for their parents  
3. Helping to prepare the event  
4. Handing bicycle equipment out to students | 1. Contacting the ADFC, asking for a collaboration, and what is needed to perform the event  
2. Drafting an invitation letter for parents  
3. Preparing the event (e.g., materials)  
4. Organizing missing bicycle equipment |
| Personalization of bicycle-related equipment | 2 | Classroom | During regular art lesson (1 × 45 min) | PE teacher | Realization of lesson plans |
| Cycle training sessions (incl. improvement of basic cycling skills, information about traffic rules, practicing social behavior) | 3–7 | Off-/on-road | Once per week during regular PE lesson (90 min): Off-road (3x), knowledge transfer (1x), on-road (1x) | PE teacher | Realization of lesson plans |
| Final exam of basic cycling skills in the presence of parents (incl. provision of a certificate) | 8 | School’s playground | After regular school hours (1 × ca. 180 min) | Person of contact at school | 1. Finding a possible date and communication to the researcher at TUM  
2. Handing invitations out to students for their parents  
3. Helping to prepare the event | 1. Contacting the ADAC, asking for a collaboration  
2. Preparing materials (i.e., obstacles, booklet)  
3. Handing lesson plans and materials out to teachers |
| Practice | 9–20 | Voluntary bicycle train to cycle to school among peers with an arranged route and stops (incl. events) | On the way to/from school | 5x to and 5x from school per week (i.e., before and after school) with 1 event per month | Researcher from TUM | Preparing and performing final exam  
ADAC | 1. Contacting the responsible police station, asking for a collaboration  
2. Drafting an invitation letter for parents  
3. Preparing the event (e.g., drafting certificates for each participating student) |

ADAC, German Automobile Club; ADFC, German Cyclist’s Club; ca., circa; incl., inclusive; PE, physical education; TUM, Technical University of Munich.
scheduled after the implementation of the intervention how they perceived the organization and content of the delivered intervention. They will also be asked to give feedback on their (dis)satisfaction regarding the intervention and to think about how it can be improved.

**Data Handling, Storage, and Monitoring**
Data will be collected using pseudonyms. Therefore, students will be instructed to create a six-digit ID code themselves to connect data throughout data collections and to ensure anonymity. Data collected for the effect evaluation will be entered in SPSS. Over a period of at least 10 years, data sets will be stored on central servers of TUM administered by the Leibniz–Rechenzentrum meeting the high standards of data safety in Germany. Only the researcher and manager of the ACTS project at TUM will get access to data sets, which means that anonymous data will not be made available for open access after the end of the study.

**Statistical Analysis**
To analyze the effectiveness of our intervention, a multiple group analysis considering the treatment factor (IG vs. CG) will be performed in a structural equation modeling using R. In this analysis, variances in the number of cycling days to school and MVPA due to belonging to different secondary schools will be considered in the following four levels: (a) repeated measurements for each student, (b) students, in which the repeated measurements are nested, (c) the class, to which each student belongs, and (d) the school, in which classes are nested. Subsequently, potential moderators (e.g., sociodemographic characteristics of the students) will be added to the model, and cycling to school will be regressed onto potential mediators (i.e., autonomy, competence, and relatedness) in levels (b–d). Furthermore, gender will be considered as a covariate in the analysis.

**DISCUSSION**
This study protocol describes the systematic planning process and design of the 5-month school-based ACTS intervention in Germany aiming to promote cycling to school among 12- to 15-year-olds. It used IM and a combination of the social–ecological model and the self–determination theory.

The decision to publish the detailed process of how our intervention was planned can be seen as a major strength as we demonstrate transparency of our structured procedure for other intervention planners. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that IM was used to plan an intervention aimed at the promotion of cycling to school. Our planned intervention has also several strengths: We chose the school setting for our intervention because it is regarded as ideal (50–53). According
TABLE 4 | Measuring instruments for the effect evaluation.

| Outcomes       | Variables                              | Instruments                                | Descriptions                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Primary outcome| Mode, frequency, and duration of ACTS  | Two valid self-report questions (37)       | Behavior of cycling to school will be measured retrospectively for 5 weekdays: (a) Thinking about the last school week, how did you get to school/home from school each day? Possible answers are walking, cycling, car, motorcycle, bus, underground/train/tram, or other. (b) Write beside the mode the journey start and end time. |
| Secondary outcome| Total MVPA in min per day              | Accelerometers (ActiGraph wGT3X-BT)       | On 7 consecutive days (38, 39), thigh-mounted (40) accelerometers with a sample rate of 30 Hz should be worn from waking up until going to bed except during water activities for a minimum of 8 h on a minimum of 3 weekdays and 1 weekend day (38, 39). Collected data will be downloaded using an epoch length of 1 s (38, 39). For wear time validation, the algorithm from Choi et al. will be applied (41). For data analysis, cut points from Hänggi et al. will be applied (42). For initialization and data processing of accelerometers, ActiLife will be used. |
| Moderators     | Sociodemographic characteristics (5, 20) | Thirteen self-report questions            | (a) Age/grade (b) Gender (c) Bicycle ownership/roadworthiness (d) Ability to cycle (e) Shortest distance from home to school by bicycle using Google Maps (43) (f) Zip code of the school (educational level, region) (g) Subjective socioeconomic status using the reliable “MacArthur Scale of subjective social status—youth version” (44) (h) Parents’ restriction/allowance in terms of letting their child cycle to school (i) Family car ownership (45) (a) Average rainfall in l/m² (b) Average wind speed in km/h (c) Relative humidity in % (d) Temperature in °C (average, minimum, maximum) |
|                | Daily weather conditions               | Metro Info                                 | Twenty-three items with three or four items per regulatory style will be rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree to strongly agree. |
|                | Regulators of motivation types         | “German behavioral regulation in cycling to and from school” (BR-CS) as self-report questionnaire based on the valid and reliable “Behavioral regulation in active commuting to and from school” (BR-ACS) questionnaire (47) | Twelve items with four items per need will be rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree to strongly agree. |
|                | Satisfaction of the three BPNs autonomy, competence, and relatedness | “German basic psychological needs satisfaction in cycling to and from school scale” (BPNS-CS) as self-report questionnaire based on the valid and reliable “Basic psychological need satisfaction in active commuting to and from school scale” (BPNS-ACS) (48) | Seven basic tasks representing essential situations in road traffic will be examined (i.e., slalom, slow driving, ascending/descending, driving in a narrow lane, turning left, driving an eight with one hand, braking between two lines). |
|                | Cycling skills                         | Reliable practical cycling skills exam off-road (49) |                                                                                                                                                                                                              |

ACTS, active commuting to school; BPNs, basic psychological needs; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.
and a combination of objective as well as subjective measuring instruments to accurately assess the PA level during cycling (58). To prevent negative experiences on-road (e.g., accidents), we will first provide some theoretical and practical components off-road. Instead of choosing a top-down approach, we partly chose a participative approach to consider the opinion of the target group (i.e., students) and relevant stakeholders (i.e., parents and teachers), to address the local context appropriately, and to ensure the feasibility of the implementation of the intervention. The success of this approach will be controlled in the process evaluation. Furthermore, we will initiate collaborations (e.g., with the ADAC and police) free of charge and provide all necessary materials so that schools could continue the work beyond the duration of the intervention, which will ensure sustainability. Finally, we determined the appropriate sample size for an adequately powered effect evaluation, will control for potential mediators and moderators in our analysis (57) and will pilot the intervention. The purpose of piloting is to pre-test the effectiveness, acceptance, and feasibility of the intervention, as it will later be performed in the main study, in accordance with the planned organizational procedure and chosen measuring instruments for data collection described in this study protocol but by using a smaller sample size and a weaker, that is, quasi-experimental, study design.

However, the following limitations have to be considered. In general, following the IM protocol was a time-consuming process making it difficult to fulfill each of its sub-steps (59). The time factor is also why the opinion of the target group could not be considered in every step (e.g., how students would design the intervention) but the IM protocol is normally only followed by researchers anyway (60). Besides, one demand mentioned in the needs assessment, that is, storage and changing room, could not be considered in the planned intervention. Moreover, this intervention was designed as an RCT providing a high evidence level (61) but without a follow-up. It will be characterized by a selective sample, that is, recruiting students of intermediate or high educational levels and located in different municipalities urbanized to different levels. Thus, we expect that our effect evaluation will show increasing numbers of days on which students cycle to school and increasing total MVPA. As we considered the opinion from the target group and all relevant stakeholders in the planning process to a certain extent, we expect that the process evaluation will show satisfaction concerning components and the implementation of the intervention as intended.
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Any changes made to the methodological procedure described in this study protocol will be reported when publishing the findings of the pilot and main study in international peer-reviewed journals. In addition, the findings will be disseminated through formal presentations at conferences and informal meetings.
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