Dynamic Phases, Pinning, and Pattern Formation for Driven Dislocation Assemblies
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We examine driven dislocation assemblies and show that they can exhibit a set of dynamical phases remarkably similar to those of driven systems with quenched disorder such as vortices in superconductors, magnetic domain walls, and charge density wave materials. These phases include pinned-jammed, fluctuating, and dynamically ordered states, and each produces distinct dislocation patterns as well as specific features in the noise fluctuations and transport properties. Our work suggests that many of the results established for systems with quenched disorder undergoing plastic depinning transitions can be applied to dislocation systems, providing a new approach for understanding pattern formation and dynamics in these systems.

There are numerous examples of systems of collectively interacting particles that, when driven externally, depin and undergo dynamical pattern formation and/or dynamic phase transitions, such as a transition from a fluctuating to a nonfluctuating state. In these systems, which include domain walls, driven vortices in type-II superconductors1–6, sliding charge density waves7, and driven Wigner crystals8, fluctuating and intermittent dynamics arise just above a plastic depinning transition when an applied external force is increased from zero. For higher drives the particles dynamically order into patterns such as anisotropic crystals or moving smectic phases of different types of fluctuations9–13. Dislocations in materials are known to undergo a transition at the onset of irreversibility or yielding that has similarities to plastic depinning14,15; however, it is not known whether driven dislocations exhibit the general features associated with plastic depinning transitions. Establishing such a connection could potentially open a new understanding of driven dislocations. The potential connection between moving dislocations and plastic depinning models has been inspired by recent numerical studies for scaling near the yielding transition which fit to a mean field model for interface type depinning16.

It is known that organized dislocation structures within individual crystals, such as walls or tangles, can become more refined and better defined as stress or strain increases. Two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) dislocation dynamics simulations based on linear elasticity theory predict self-organization of dislocation assemblies into varying configurations, such as pileups near the yielding or depinning transition14,15,17, and 2D mobile walls,18,19 or 3D slip bands20,21 under an external drive. Below a critical stress where dislocations show no net motion, the system is considered jammed or pinned1,3,10,28,29, while intermittent or strongly fluctuating behavior with jerky or avalanche-like motion of the type proposed to be a signature of critical dynamics of plastic depinning transitions occurs above the critical stress18,25. Here we demonstrate that driven dislocation assemblies exhibit a set of nonequilibrium phases similar to those observed for collectively interacting particle systems undergoing plastic depinning, including pattern organization in the pinned state, a strongly fluctuating intermittent phase with coexisting pinned and moving particles1,3,10,28,29, and at higher drive, when the substrate effectiveness is reduced, a phase in which the dislocations organize into moving wall structures2–4,6,7,11–13. The onsets of these different dynamical regimes are correlated with pronounced changes in the transport curves1,5, noise properties,3,30,31, and spatial structures4,6,9, and can be observed via changes in the dislocation structure, mobility, velocity distribution, and velocity noise. Our work implies that many of the established results obtained for driven vortex and other systems can be used to understand dislocation dynamics.

Simulation
We utilize a discrete dislocation dynamics model for a 2D cross section of a sample with periodic boundary conditions containing $N=480$ straight edge dislocations that glide along parallel slip planes. We also tested...
systems with smaller values of $N$. This model was previously shown to capture the behavior observed in stressed anisotropic materials, such as intermittent flow near the onset of motion\textsuperscript{22-24}. The periodic boundary conditions are of the same type that have been used previously to study intermittent dislocation flow in viscoplastic deformation\textsuperscript{24}, dislocation jamming and Andrade creep\textsuperscript{39}, and power-law relaxation of dislocation systems\textsuperscript{40}. An equal number of positive and negative dislocations are randomly placed in the sample and move in the positive or negative $x$-direction depending on the sign of their Burgers vector $b$. Out-of-glide plane motion is forbidden. To prevent in-plane pile-ups, we place at most one dislocation per plane. Rather than imposing an annihilation rule\textsuperscript{15,18,19}, we enforce that two adjacent glide planes must be separated by at least $dy$, where $dy$ is on the order of the Burgers vector of the dislocations\textsuperscript{22-24,38}.

The dislocations interact via a long-range anisotropic stress field that is repulsive or attractive depending on their sign and relative angle. We utilize a replicated image model to efficiently simulate a large number of dislocations over long times\textsuperscript{1}. Within the simulation volume, all dislocations are subject to the stress fields of all surrounding dislocations regardless of their position. To best make the connection with particle systems, nucleation of dislocations during loading is suppressed. Under an external applied stress $\tau_{\text{ext}}$, dislocation $i$ moves along $x$ in its assigned plane according to an overdamped equation of motion given by

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = b_i \left( \sum_{j \neq i} \tau_{\text{int}} (r_j - r_i) - \tau_{\text{ext}} \right),$$

where $x_i$ is the $x$ coordinate of dislocation $i$ at point $r_i = (x_i, y_i)$ with Burgers vector $b_i$. $\eta$ is the effective friction, and $\tau_{\text{int}} (r_j - r_i)$ is the long-range shear stress on dislocation $i$ generated by dislocation $j$. Here our time units are defined such that one simulation time step $dt = 10^{-6}$. The external load on a dislocation is proportional to the stress, $F_i = \beta \tau_{\text{ext}}$. For $r_i = (x_i, y_i) = (x_y, y_y)$, $\tau_{\text{int}} (r_j - r_i)$ is $\tau_{\text{int}} (r) = \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{\sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)}}{[(2n-1) - (1-n)(x^2 + y^2)]}$ where $\mu$ is the shear modulus and $n$ is the Poisson’s ratio. The length of the square simulation cell $L$ is set to unity and the simulation volume remains fixed throughout loading. In our normalized units, $\mu b/2(1-n) = 1$ and $\eta = 1$. We initially relax the system without an applied external drive, and then apply the external drive with small enough stress rate and sufficiently long waiting times $\tau_{\text{wait}}$ between increments to avoid transient effects.

We measure the average absolute value of the dislocation velocities $\langle |v| \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |v_i|$, where $v_i = dx_i/dt$, as a function of the stress. This is analogous to the voltage versus applied current curve for superconducting vortices, and is the same as the dislocation collective speed defined in Refs 16,22.

To characterize the dislocation content and charge of the wall structures, we use the pair-correlation distribution $d_i = |x_i - x_j|$, the $x$-axis separation between two dislocations. The fraction of dislocation pairs with $d_i < w$ is $P^w = n_{d_i < w}/n_{\text{tot}}$, where $w$ is the pre-assigned maximum wall width, $n_{\text{tot}}$ is the total number of pairs, and $n_{d_i < w}$ is the number of pairs with $d_i < w$. We set $w = 0.05$, although other reasonable values, such as $w = 0.2$, give qualitatively similar results.

To distinguish unipolar from dipolar walls, we discriminate between those pairs of like and unlike sign that lie within the critical wall width. We measure $B = \sum_{i} P_{+} + - - P_{-} - - -$, where $P_{+} + - -$ ($P_{-} - - -$) is the fraction of pairs of like (unlike) sign. $B$ is directly related to the net Burgers vector around one dislocation within the wall width $w$ and $P_{+}$ indicates the fraction of dipoles in the system. When a dipolar wall forms, $B \rightarrow 0$ since $P_{+} + - - \rightarrow P_{+} + - -$, while when a unipolar wall forms, $P_{-} - - - = 0$ and $B = P_{+} + - -$.

**Results and Discussion**

As the randomly positioned dislocations relax under zero applied stress, they form a locked configuration determined by the long-range stress fields they collectively produce. The relaxed arrangement shown in Fig. 1(a) is disordered and contains no percolating walls. The internal stresses generated by this spatially random arrangement are high and are distributed uniformly across the volume.

For loads $0 < F_i < 2.0$, the dislocation pattern slowly changes after each load increment but $\langle |v| \rangle$ goes to zero in the long time limit, indicating that the system is in the jammed phase below the critical yield\textsuperscript{22-24}. We treat the configuration as stable when $\langle |v| \rangle < v_0$, where we take the threshold value $v_0 = 0.01$, 10 times smaller than the $v_f$ used in previous work\textsuperscript{39}. Under these low drives, any dislocation motion merely causes the dislocations to lock into another immobilized pattern. Figure 1(b) illustrates a typical locked dislocation configuration for loads just below critical yield (i.e., $F_i < F_c$), where there is a dipolar wall comprised of a disordered arrangement of positive and negative dislocations that cannot move past one another. This dense bipolar structure effectively screens the dislocation-dislocation interactions. It has a high but localized internal stress field, with large stress concentrations in the vicinity of the wall. Such walls are analogous to the model of a “polarized” wall\textsuperscript{44-46}, with dislocations of predominantly one sign on one side of the wall and the other sign on the other side. They are thought to be responsible for the observed hysteretic behavior for superconducting vortices and the Bauschinger effect in subsequent reverse loadings\textsuperscript{46,47}. Observations of polarized walls have also been reported in crystals deformed to large strains\textsuperscript{46-48}.

Just above yielding, the dipolar wall structure breaks down as shown in Fig. 1(c) and the system enters a state characterized by strong fluctuations in the dislocation positions. The dipolar walls repeatedly break up and reform, while the remaining wall fragments become smaller at higher drives and show continual change. The fluctuating state persists up to $F_i = 3.0$, when a new type of dynamic pattern appears where the dislocations form continuously changing unipolar walls composed of only one type of dislocation, either negative or positive, as shown in Fig. 1(d). These walls can be identified as disordered tilt walls, which are periodic arrays of edge dislocations that accommodate a tilt misorientation between two adjoining crystals. The development of low-misoriented tilt walls is suspected to be a precursor for the eventual formation of subgrains in heavily deformed crystals.

**Figure 1** | Stress map snapshots of the sample. The color map scale is the same in all panels. Red (blue): large negative (positive) stress. (a) The initial dislocation positions at zero load. (b) Just before yielding, a single bipolar wall from dislocation pile-ups. (c) Above yielding at $F_i = 3.0$, intermittent structures form. (d) At $F_i = 8.0$ dynamically ordered polarized walls occur.
Figure 2 | (a) $P_{+-}$ (blue squares), the fraction of dipolar walls, vs $F_d$ has a peak just below yielding. $P_{-+,++}$ (black circles), the fraction of uni-polar walls, passes through a plateau when the polarized wall state forms. B (red triangles) is a measure of the net Burgers vector in the walls. (b) The average absolute value of the dislocation velocity $\langle |v| \rangle$ (solid lower curve) vs $F_d$. The upper dashed curve shows $\langle |v| \rangle$ for non-interacting dislocations. Points a, b, c, and d indicate the $F_d$ values illustrated in Fig. 1.

Figure 3 | $P_{+-}$ (blue squares), $P_{-+,++}$ (black circles), and B (red triangles) vs $F_d$ for samples with different numbers of dislocations $N = (a) 48$, (b) 96, (c) 144, (d) 192, (e) 240, and (f) 360. The same three regimes, pinned, fluctuating, and reordered, occur for all values of $N$, but shift to different values of $F_d$ as $N$ changes.
driven vortices and colloids. The power spectrum \( S(f) \) in Fig. 4(b) of the time series of \( |v| \) has a \( 1/f^{1.5} \) signal in this regime, in good agreement with driven vortex studies. For \( F_d = 8.0 \) in the dynamically ordered phase, we plot \( P(|v|) \) in Fig. 4(c), and in Fig. 4(d) we show that \( S(f) \) has a narrow band feature with a characteristic frequency generated by the formation of ordered unipolar walls. In Fig. 5(a) the noise power \( S_0 \) averaged over a fixed low frequency window peaks in the middle of the fluctuating disordered phase and then decreases upon approaching the dynamically ordered phase of unipolar walls. The \( 1/f^{1.5} \) noise signal is obtained from the low frequency range of the spectrum; for higher frequencies, the exponent is closer to 1.75 to 2.0. In recent studies of this same system\(^{16} \), \( S(f) \) above yield in the higher frequency regime had the form \( 1/f^2 \).

By conducting a series of simulations for varied \( N \) and analyzing the ordering dynamics, we construct the dynamic phase diagram shown in Fig. 5(b). The lower curve indicates the yielding transition from the low drive jammed or pinned phase of dipolar walls to the fluctuating disordered phase, as determined by using the dislocation velocity curves. The onset of the fluctuating regime is marked as the point at which the dislocation velocity rises above zero. The onset of the dynamically ordered phase is defined as the force at which the unipolar wall structures start to form, measured as the point at which the fraction of walls reaches 0.4, and is plotted in the upper curve. As \( N \) increases, the yielding point rises to higher \( F_d \) since the dislocations have a more difficult time breaking through the dipolar walls that form. The increase in yield threshold with increasing \( N \) remains robust when we perform simulations with different initial dislocation configurations. Figure 5(b) shows that the onset of the high drive dynamically ordered phase also increases in a similar fashion with increasing \( N \). This phase diagram exhibits the same features observed for vortex systems as a function of pinning strength vs external drive, where both the critical depinning force and the onset of the ordering rise to higher drives with increasing pinning strength\(^{13} \). For the dislocation system, increasing \( N \) is equivalent to increasing the pinning
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Figure 4 | (a) \( P(|v|) \) at \( F_d = 3.2 \) in the fluctuating phase. (b) The corresponding \( S(f) \) from the time series of the velocity has a \( 1/f^{1.5} \) shape. (c) \( P(|v|) \) for \( F_d = 8.0 \) in the ordered phase. (d) The corresponding \( S(f) \) has a characteristic peak indicating narrow band noise.
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Figure 5 | (a) \( S_0 \) vs \( F_d \) averaged over a fixed frequency window centered at \( f = 10 \) peaks in the fluctuating phase and drops upon approaching the dynamically ordered phase. (b) A log-log plot of the dynamical phase diagram \( F_d vs 1/N \). Lines indicate a slope of 0.7. Lower curve (red circles): onset of yielding; upper curve (black squares): onset of the dynamically induced ordered phase. The fluctuating phase falls between the two curves.
strength. In our simulation, the pinning strength scales with the total number of dislocations, $N$, with a power law exponent of 0.7. This value can be linked to the dislocation structures that form. For a random arrangement of dislocations spaced $dy$ apart, the scaling on strength is expected to follow $1/dy^{1/2}$, where $dy=1/N$ in our model.\textsuperscript{45,47} In contrast, a $1/dy$ scaling on strength should arise for systems where the dislocations form well defined walls.\textsuperscript{48} Theoretically, the dislocation dipole break stress scales as the vertical distance, $dy$, between two dipole dislocations.\textsuperscript{46} In contrast, in our simulations, the dislocation structure at the onset of yielding develops into a set of wavy dislocation dipole walls, rather than a random arrangement of perfectly aligned dipoles.

It is natural to consider the yielding transition to be some type of depinning transition. There are numerous kinds of depinning, such as the strongly plastic depinning of vortices, colloids, or particles driven over 2D substrates. This type of depinning has been shown to fall into the class of absorbing phase transitions, specifically directed percolation.\textsuperscript{46,49} There is also elastic depinning found for elastic lines or interfaces, such as domain walls; this type of depinning would fall into a different universality class of depinning models. The dislocation systems have much longer range interactions than a simple elastic interface; however, recent work on driven dislocation systems\textsuperscript{16} has found evidence that the yielding in this class of dislocation systems falls into the class of models of interface depinning. This is consistent with our results in which the dislocations form a wall that can be treated as an interface. In a more disordered dislocation system, the interface would be rougher. The interface picture for the gliding edge dislocations also can be understood by considering that any one dislocation can only move along a 1D line, so it maintains the same neighbors in adjacent planes even if they are not located nearby. In the 2D vortex system there is strong mixing in the directions both transverse and parallel to the drive, and the

**Figure 6** | The red (blue) crosses are the locations of the dislocations with positive (negative) Burgers vectors in a sample with $N=480$ that has been instantaneously loaded with $F_d=10$. The time progression of the formation of multiple unipolar walls is illustrated. (a) Initial dislocation configuration. (b) After 1 time unit. (c) After 3 time units. (d) After 5 time units. (e) After 20 time units. (f) After 149 time units.
vortices do not keep their same neighbors over time. It may be possible to observe the initial formation of the unipolar walls along with changes in the noise characteristics. Additionally, in a very clean system with low numbers of dislocations, it may be possible to reach high enough strains that freely flowing dislocations can appear. Free flow of dislocations was recently reported in experimental studies of solid He, and there is increasing interest in using solid He as an ideal system for clear studies of very low density dislocation dynamics. Our phase diagram suggests that all three dynamical phases could occur even for low dislocation densities.

The type of ordered state that forms in the strong driving regime varies depending on the manner in which the external load is applied. The ordered polarized walls illustrated in Fig. 1 form under continuous sweeps of the load. If the load is instead instantaneously set to a high value, we observe a transient disordered phase followed by the formation of multiple lower density unipolar walls instead of the two unipolar walls shown in Fig. 1(d). This process is illustrated in Figure 6.

In summary, we have shown that driven dislocation assemblies exhibit the same nonequilibrium phases observed for systems of collectively interacting particles such as vortices in disordered superconductors. These include a jammed phase analogous to a pinned state, a fluctuating or disordered phase, and dynamically ordered or pattern forming states. All of the states are associated with transport signatures such as changes in the transport noise fluctuations as well as features in the dislocation velocity vs applied shear, in analogy with velocity-force curves.
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