The visible world is founded on the proton, the only composite building block of matter that is stable in nature. Consequently, understanding the formation of matter relies on explaining the dynamics and the properties of the proton's bound state. A fundamental property of the proton involves the response of the system to an external electromagnetic field. It is characterized by the electromagnetic polarizabilities that describe how easily the charge and magnetization distributions inside the system are distorted by the electromagnetic field. Moreover, the generalized polarizabilities map out the resulting deformation of the densities in a proton subject to an electromagnetic field. They disclose essential information about the underlying system dynamics and provide a key for decoding the proton structure in terms of the theory of the strong interaction that binds its elementary quark and gluon constituents. Of particular interest is a puzzle in the electric generalized polarizability of the proton that remains unresolved for two decades. Here we report measurements of the proton’s electromagnetic generalized polarizabilities at low four-momentum transfer squared. We show evidence of an anomaly to the behaviour of the proton’s electric generalized polarizability that contradicts the predictions of nuclear theory and derive its signature in the spatial distribution of the induced polarization in the proton. The reported measurements suggest the presence of a new, not-yet-understood dynamical mechanism in the proton and present notable challenges to the nuclear theory.

Explaining how the nucleons—protons and neutrons—emerge from the dynamics of their quark and gluon constituents is a central goal of modern nuclear physics. The importance of the question arises from the fact that the nucleons account for 99% of the visible matter in the universe. Moreover, the proton holds a unique role of being nature’s only stable composite building block. The dynamics of quarks and gluons is governed by quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the theory of the strong interaction. The application of perturbation methods renders aspects of QCD calculable at large energies and momenta—namely at high four-momentum transfer squared ($Q^2$)—and offers a reasonable understanding of the nucleon structure at that scale. Nevertheless, to explain the emergence of the fundamental properties of nucleons from the interactions of its constituents, the dynamics of the system have to be understood at long distances (or low $Q^2$), where the QCD coupling constant $\alpha_s$ becomes large and the application of perturbative QCD is not possible. The challenge arises from the fact that QCD is a highly nonlinear theory, because the gluons—the carriers of the strong force—couple directly to other gluons. Here theoretical calculations can rely on lattice QCD, a space-time discretization of the theory based on the fundamental quark and gluon degrees of freedom, starting from the original QCD Lagrangian. An alternative path is offered by effective field theories, such as the chiral effective field theory, which use hadronic degrees of freedom and are based on the approximate and spontaneously broken chiral symmetry of QCD. Although steady progress has been made in recent years, we have yet to achieve a good understanding of how the nucleon properties emerge from the underlying dynamics of the strong interaction. To do this, the theoretical calculations require experimental guidance and confrontation with precise measurements of the system’s fundamental properties.
For a composite system, such as the proton, the polarizabilities are fundamental structure constants, such as its size and shape. Listed among the primary properties of the system in the Particle Data Group (or PDG)\(^{1}\), the two scalar polarizabilities—the electric, \(\alpha_e\), and the magnetic, \(\beta_m\)—can be interpreted as the response of the proton’s structure to the application of an external electric or magnetic field, respectively. They describe how easily the charge and magnetization distributions inside the proton are distorted by the electromagnetic field and provide the net result on the spatial distributions of the system. To measure the polarizabilities, one must generate an electric (\(E\)) and a magnetic (\(H\)) field. In the case of the proton, this is provided by the photons in the Compton scattering process. The two scalar polarizabilities appear as second-order terms in the expansion of the real Compton scattering (RCS) amplitude in the energy of the photon:

\[
H_{(2)}^{E2} = -4\pi \left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_E E^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_M H^2\right).
\]

One can offer a simplistic description of the polarizabilities through the resulting effect of an electromagnetic perturbation applied to the nucleon constituents. An electric field moves positive and negative charges inside the proton in opposite directions. The induced electric dipole moment is proportional to the electric field and the proportionality coefficient is the electric polarizability, which quantifies the stiffness of the proton. On the other hand, a magnetic field has a different effect: it changes the spin of the proton; this is directly related to the magnetic polarizability, which describes the magnetic dipole moment induced by the magnetic field. Compared with the atomic polarizabilities, which are of the size of the atomic volume, the proton electric polarizability \(\alpha_E\) is much smaller than the volume scale of a nucleon.\(^{1}\) The small magnitude underlines the stiffness of the proton, a direct consequence of the strong binding of its constituents, and indicates the intrinsic relativistic character of the system.

The generalization\(^1\) of the two scalar polarizabilities in four-momentum transfer space, \(\alpha_{E}(Q^2)\) and \(\beta_{M}(Q^2)\), is an extension of the static electric and magnetic polarizabilities obtained in RCS. They can be studied through measurements of the virtual Compton scattering (VCS) process\(^2\): \(\gamma p \to p\gamma\). The VCS is accessed experimentally through the \(ep\to ep\gamma\) reaction. The definition of the reaction’s kinematical parameters is given in Methods. Here the incident real photon of the RCS process is replaced by a virtual photon. The virtuality of the incident photon \((Q^2)\) sets the scale of the observation and allows one to map out the spatial distribution of the polarization densities in the proton, whereas the outgoing real photon provides the electromagnetic perturbation to the system. The meaning of the generalized polarizabilities is analogous to that of the nucleon form factors. Their Fourier transform will map out the spatial distribution density of the polarization induced by an electromagnetic field. They inquire about the quark substructure of the nucleon and offer unique insight to the underlying nucleon dynamics. The interest on the generalized polarizabilities extends beyond the direct information that they provide on the dynamics of the system. They frequently enter as input parameters in various scientific problems. One such example involves the hadronic two-photon-exchange corrections, which are needed for a precise extraction of the proton charge radius from muonic hydrogen spectroscopy measurements.\(^3\)

In this work, we report on measurements of the VCS reaction at the Hall C of Jefferson Lab during the VCS (E12-15-001) experiment. Electrons with energies of 4.56 GeV at a beam current up to 20 \(\mu\)A were produced by Jefferson Lab’s Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF) and were scattered from a 100 cm-long liquid-hydrogen target. The Super High Momentum Spectrometer (SHMS) and the High Momentum Spectrometer (HMS) of Hall C were used to detect in coincidence the scattered electrons and recoil protons, respectively (see Fig. 1). Both spectrometers are equipped with similar detector packages, including a set of scintillator planes that were used to form the trigger and to provide time-of-flight information and a pair of drift chambers used for tracking. The coincidence time was determined as the time in the flight between the two spectrometers, accounting for path-length variation corrections from the central trajectory and for the individual start times. The experimental setup offered an approximately 1-ns (full width at half maximum) resolution in the coincidence timing spectrum. Random coincidences were subtracted using the side (accidental) bands of the coincidence time spectrum. The events of the exclusive reaction \(ep\to ep\gamma\) (see Fig. 2) were identified from the missing-mass reconstruction, through a selection cut around the photon peak in the mass-squared spectrum. Data were taken with an empty target to account for the background contributions from the target walls. Elastic scattering measurements with a proton target were performed throughout the experiment for calibration and normalization studies. The measurement of the absolute VCS cross section, \(\sigma = d^2\sigma/dQ^2 d\Omega_{ep}\), requires the determination of the coincidence

\(Q^2 = 0.33\) GeV\(^2\) (refs. \(^{4-6}\)) albeit with a large experimental uncertainty. Nevertheless, this anomaly has been questioned for many years. The theoretical calculations are unable to account for such a feature in the \(\alpha_Q(Q^2)\) and instead predict a monotonic fall-off with \(Q^2\). Recent experiments have attempted to explore further the existence of such an effect with measurements that extend around the kinematical regime of interest but have not succeeded to present any supporting evidence of such a puzzling behaviour in this fundamental property.\(^{10,11}\) This has left open a scenario that could involve issues in the experimental measurement at \(Q^2 = 0.33\) GeV\(^2\) (refs. \(^{8,9}\)) as an explanation to this problem. In lack of an independent experimental confirmation or further evidence, the existence of this anomaly and its dynamical origin remains an unresolved puzzle till this day. In this work, we capitalize on the unique capabilities of the experimental setup at Jefferson Lab along with a combination of new features in the experimental methodology to conduct measurements of the scalar generalized polarizabilities with unprecedented precision, targeting explicitly the kinematical regime that is relevant to this conjectured anomaly. A first advantage of the experiment is that it exploits the sensitivity of the polarizabilities to the excited spectrum of the nucleon, that is, for example, different compared with the nucleon elastic form factors that describe only the ground state of the system. The measurements were conducted in the nucleon resonance region. This enables enhanced sensitivity to the polarizabilities compared with previous experiments\(^{8-11}\), that measured in the region of the pion production threshold. This has been previously shown in, for example, refs. \(^{12,13}\). Furthermore, in this experiment, the methodology used cross-section measurements at azimuthally symmetric kinematics in the photon angle, namely for \((\phi_{\gamma\pi}, \phi_{\gamma\pi})\). The measurement of the azimuthal asymmetry in the cross section enhances even further the sensitivity in the extraction of the polarizabilities and suppresses part of the systematic uncertainties. Moreover, the \(e^+p\to e^+p\pi^0\) reaction was measured, simultaneously with the \(ep\to ep\gamma\) reaction. The pion electroproduction process is well understood in this kinematic regime, and its measurement offers a stringent, real-time normalization control to the measurement of the \(ep\to ep\gamma\) cross section. This offers a notable enhancement to the typical normalization studies that rely on elastic scattering measurements, which we also perform in this experiment. Overall, a marked improvement was accomplished in the precision of the extracted generalized polarizabilities compared with previous measurements.
The cross section of the ep → epy process observes the photon that is emitted by either the lepton, known as the Bethe–Heitler process, or by the proton, the fully virtual Compton scattering process, as shown in Fig. 2. The fully virtual Compton scattering process amplitude can in turn be decomposed into a Born contribution, with the intermediate state being the nucleon, and a non-Born contribution, which carries the physics of interest and allows for excited intermediate states of the nucleon and is parametrized by the generalized polarizabilities. The Bethe–Heitler and the Born VCS contributions are well known, calculable in terms of the proton electromagnetic form factors that are precisely measured from elastic electron scattering. We extract the generalized polarizabilities from the measured cross sections through a fit that uses the dispersion relation (DR) model14–16 for VCS. In the DR formalism, the two scalar generalized polarizabilities enter unconstrained and can be adjusted as free parameters, whereas the proton electromagnetic form factors are introduced as an input. The experimental cross sections are compared with the DR model predictions for all possible values for the two generalized polarizabilities, and the αE(Q2) and βM(Q2) are fitted by a χ² minimization. The extracted electric and magnetic generalized polarizabilities are shown in Fig. 4. We observe evidence of a local enhancement of αE(Q2) in the measured region, at the same Q² as previously reported in refs. 8,9, but we find a smaller magnitude and measure it with markedly improved precision. The world data at this Q² reconcile at approximately the 2σ level. The Q² dependence of the electric generalized polarizability is explored using two methods, one that uses traditional fits to the data using predefined functional forms and another that is based on a data-driven technique that assumes no direct underlying functional form (see Methods for details). In both cases, as shown in Extended Data Fig. 2, we find a Q² dependence of αE(Q²) that is statistically consistent with the presence of a structure in the measured region. The empirical fit to the world data is shown in Fig. 4a. This observation is in sharp contrast to the current theoretical understanding that suggests an αE(Q²) that decreases monotonically as the distance scale becomes smaller, namely with increasing Q². The theory predictions cover a wide range of approaches, such as chiral effective field theories17–22, the linear...
Fig. 3 | Cross-section measurements of the VCS reaction. a, Cross-section measurements for in-plane kinematics at \( Q^2 = 0.28 \text{ GeV}^2 \). Results are shown for different bins in the proton’s total centre-of-mass energy, \( W \), of the (p)p system. b, Measurements for in-plane kinematics at \( Q^2 = 0.33 \text{ GeV}^2 \). c, Measurements for in-plane kinematics at \( Q^2 = 0.40 \text{ GeV}^2 \). Top and bottom panels correspond to \( \phi_p = 180^\circ \) and \( \phi_p = 0^\circ \), respectively. The solid curves show the dispersion relation (DR) fit for the two scalar generalized polarizabilities. The dashed curves show the Bethe–Heitler plus Born VCS (BH+Born) cross section. The error bars correspond to the total uncertainty, at the 1σ or 68% confidence level.

From the measurements of the generalized polarizabilities, we derive the spatial deformation of the quark distributions in the proton subject to the influence of an external electromagnetic field (see Methods for details). This follows effectively an extension of the formalism to extract the light-front quark charge densities from the proton form factor data. First, we derive an accurate \( Q^2 \) parametrization of the polarizabilities from a fit to the experimental data. From that, we extract the induced polarization in the proton, \( P_d \), following ref. \cite{29}. As shown in Fig. 4c, we observe that the enhancement of \( \alpha(E) \) is translated to a distinct structure in the spatial distribution of the induced polarization in the proton. The distribution follows a change of sign around 0.25 fm and shows a secondary maximum in the amplitude around 0.35 fm. A primary measure that quantifies the extension of a spatial distribution is the mean square radius. The mean square electric polarization radius of the proton \(<r_{E}^2>\) is related to the slope of the electric generalized polarizability at \( Q^2 = 0 \) by

\[
<r_{E}^2> = \frac{-6}{a_E(0)} \cdot \frac{d}{dQ^2} a_E(Q^2)|_{Q^2=0}.
\]

We determine the slope of \( a_E(Q^2) \) at \( Q^2 = 0 \) from fits to the world data, using a group of functional forms that can provide a reliable fit (see Methods for details). For \( a_E(0) \), we adopt the most recent measurement from ref. \cite{31}. For the mean square charge radius of the proton, \(<r^2_c> = 0.7 \text{ fm}^2\) (ref. \cite{1})
Fig. 4 | The generalized polarizabilities of the proton. a, The electric generalized polarizability measured in this experiment (red circles). The world data\textsuperscript{8,15,16,41} (open symbols) are shown for results that involve the dispersion-relations (circles) and low-energy-expansion analysis (boxes). The theoretical calculations of BChPT\textsuperscript{17}, NRQCM\textsuperscript{28}, LSM\textsuperscript{23}, ELM\textsuperscript{25} and DR\textsuperscript{14–16} are also shown. The experimental fit that includes all the world data is also shown. b, The magnetic generalized polarizability. The definitions of symbols and curves are the same as in a. c, Induced polarization in the proton when submitted to an electromagnetic field as a function of the transverse position with photon polarization along the x axis for $b_y = 0$. The x–y defines the transverse plane, with the z axis being the direction of the fast-moving protons. d, The proton electric polarization radius $r_p = \langle r_p^2 \rangle$ derived from this work (red point). The measurements of the proton charge radius $r_q$ (refs.\textsuperscript{38,40,44–49} (blue points) are shown for comparison. The error bars and the uncertainty bands correspond to the total (statistical + systematic) uncertainty, at the 1σ or 68% confidence level.
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(see Fig. 4d). The dominant contribution to this effect is expected to arise from the deformation of the mesonic cloud in the proton under the influence of an external electromagnetic field. We derive the mean square magnetic polarization radius from the magnetic polarizability measurements, following a procedure that is equivalent to the extraction of the mean square electric polarization radius (see Methods for details) and find that $\langle r_p^2 \rangle = 0.63 \pm 0.31$ fm$^2$.

In conclusion, we have studied the response of the proton to an external electromagnetic field and its dependence on the distance scale within the system. We show evidence of a local enhancement in the electric generalized polarizability of the proton that the nuclear theory cannot explain. We provide a definitive answer to the existence of an anomaly in this fundamental property and have measured with high precision the magnitude and the dynamical signature of this effect. The reported data suggest the presence of a dynamical mechanism in the system that is not accounted for in the theory at present. They pose a challenge to the chiral effective field theory, the prevalent effective theory for the strong interaction, and they serve as high-precision benchmark data for the upcoming lattice QCD calculations. The measurements of the proton’s electromagnetic generalized polarizabilities complement the counterpart of the spin-dependent generalized polarizabilities of the nucleon\textsuperscript{15–16}. Together, the two components of the generalized polarizabilities provide a puzzling picture of the nucleon’s dynamics that emerge at long distance scales. The proton has the unique role of being nature’s only stable composite building block. Consequently, the observed anomaly in a fundamental system property comes with a unique scientific interest. It calls for further measurements so that the underlying dynamics can be mapped with precision and highlights the need for an improved theory so that a fundamental property of the proton can be reliably described.
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Methods

Experimental setup and measurements

For the measurement of the ep → epγ reaction, electrons with energies of 4.56 GeV at a beam current up to 20 µA were produced by Jefferson Lab’s CEBAF. The electrons were scattered from a 10-cm-long liquid-hydrogen target at a temperature of 19 K. The thickness of the aluminium target cell at the entrance and exit is 0.150(11) mm and 0.191(19) mm, respectively. For every kinematical setting, data were taken with a target made of two aluminium foils located at the positions of the cryotarget entrance and exit windows, each having a thickness of 0.6463(10) mm, to subtract the background contributions emerging from the target walls by scaling the thicknesses of the two targets. The scattered electron and recoil proton of the reaction are detected with two magnetic spectrometers, in coincidence. The outgoing photon of the VCS process is identified through the reconstructed missing mass spectrum. The polar angle θγ of the VCS reaction is defined as the centre-of-mass polar angle of the real photon with respect to the momentum transfer direction. The azimuthal angle of the reaction φγ defines the angle between the plane of the two (incoming and scattered) electrons and the photon–proton plane. The four-momentum of the outgoing photon, denoted by q′, is reconstructed as q′ = k + p = k′ − p′, in which k and p are the four-momenta of the incoming electron and the target proton, respectively, whereas k′ and p′ are the four-momenta of the final electron and proton, respectively. The four-momenta of the virtual photon is q = k − k′, with Q^2 = q^2.

The beam properties were monitored throughout the experiment with the Hall C beam diagnostic elements. The beam position monitors, which consist of a four-wire antenna array of open-ended thin wire striplines tuned to the radiofrequency of the beam, were used to determine the position and the direction of the beam on the experimental target point. The beam-current monitors, a set of resonant-cavity-based beam current monitors and a parametric current transformer monitor, were used for the continuous non-intercepting beam current measurements. The beam size was measured by using harp scanners, which moved a thin wire through the beam. The beam was rastered over a 2 × 2 mm^2 area to avoid overheating the target. The beam energy was determined with an uncertainty of 0.06% by measuring the bend angle of the beam, on its way into Hall C, as it traversed the Hall C arc dipole magnets. The total accumulated beam charge was determined with 0.5% uncertainty. The liquid-hydrogen target density receives contributions from both the target temperature and target boiling effects. The density of the liquid-hydrogen target has a nearly linear dependence on the temperature. The temperature is 19 ± 0.03 K (intrinsic electronics and computer dead time, came with an uncertainty that ranged between 0.3% and 0.6% for the different kinematical settings of the experiment. To estimate the systematic error on this correction, we used the standard deviation of the Gaussian fit to the histogram of the dead time of the runs used in each kinematic setting. A run was normally about half an hour of beam time and the number of runs per kinematic setting ranged from about 30 to 110.

The events of the exclusive reaction ep → epγ were identified from the missing-mass reconstruction, through a selection cut around the photon peak in the missing-mass-squared spectrum. The contamination from the missing-mass tail of the pion electroproduction events was studied by means of two methods. The contributions were evaluated by pion electroproduction simulation studies that use the well-known cross section of the reaction and offer an accurate description of the missing-mass tail. In the second method, the pion contamination was determined through a phenomenological parametrization of the missing-mass spectrum. The two methods show an agreement in the extracted cross section at the percent level. A 1% uncertainty was assigned to this correction.

Elastic scattering measurements with a proton target were performed at different stages of the experiment, for calibration and normalization studies. A real-time normalization cross-check during the measurement of the VCS cross section was also performed from the simultaneous measurement of the ep → eπ^0 reaction. In both the elastic and pion electroproduction measurements, we found an excellent agreement to these well-known cross sections and confirmed that the spectrometer acceptance is accurately described by the simulation of the experiment.

The true momentum settings of the two spectrometers were determined on the basis of a cross-calibration method that uses the pair of the azimuthal asymmetry measurements. Here the momentum and position of the electron spectrometer remain the same between the two kinematical settings. The momentum setting for the proton spectrometer also remains constant, whereas the proton spectrometer is repositioned symmetrically with respect to the momentum transfer direction. Because the two kinematical settings involve identical momentum settings for each of the two spectrometers, the determination of their true momentum settings comes from a unique solution
Cross sections and generalized polarizabilities

The measurement of the absolute VCS cross section, \( \sigma = \frac{d^4\sigma}{dE'dl'd\Omega'd\Omega_{cm}} \), requires the determination of the coincidence acceptance, in which \( dE' \) and \( dl' \) are the differential energy and solid angle of the scattered electron in the laboratory frame, respectively, and \( d\Omega_{cm} \) is the differential solid angle of the proton in the centre-of-mass frame. The determination of the coincidence acceptance is calculated by using the Monte Carlo simulation program, SIMC. The simulation integrates a realistic description of the beam configuration, target geometry, spectrometer acceptances, resolution effects, energy losses and the radiative corrections as described in ref.\(^3\). The measured cross section is derived as:

\[
\sigma = \frac{N}{e_{L1} \cdot e_{trig} \cdot e_p \cdot l \cdot \Delta\Omega \cdot f_{rad} \cdot \sigma_{\text{sim}} \cdot \sigma_{\text{avg}}} \tag{3}
\]

The parameters of Eq. (3) are as follows: \( N \) is the number of the measured events within the acceptance cuts after the subtraction of the contributions arising from the target walls and from the accidental background, \( \Delta\Omega \) is the fivefold coincidence solid angle that is determined from the simulation of the event, \( e_{L1} \) denotes the efficiency that is associated with the computer and electronics live time, \( e_{trig} \) and \( e_p \) are the combined tracking and trigger efficiencies for the two spectrometers, respectively, and \( e_l \) is the efficiency that corrects for the proton absorption in the spectrometer. The \( f_{rad} \) denotes the radiative corrections and the luminosity \( L = \frac{N_A \times Q}{A} \), in which \( p \) is the target density in \( \text{g cm}^{-3} \), \( N_A \) is Avogadro's number, \( A \) is the mass number, \( Q \) is the accumulated charge of the measurement and \( e_l \) is the electron charge. \( \sigma_{\text{sim}} \) denotes the point cross section of the simulation at the central kinematics of each bin, whereas \( \sigma_{\text{avg}} \) is the average cross section of the simulation within the analysis bin. The term \( \sigma_p / \sigma_{\text{avg}} \) effectively provides the bin-centring correction for the extraction of the point cross section from the finite phase space of the analysis bin. A first-layer global phase-space cut in the data analysis selects the central half of the coincidence acceptance, so that any potential influence from acceptance edge effects is eliminated. The bin width size \( (Q^2, W, \theta_P, \rho_P) \) is varied in the analysis so as to validate the stability of the results as a function of the bin-size selection and to confirm the good understanding of the coincidence phase space in the experiment simulation. The generated events in the simulation are weighted with a cross section using the DR model for VCS\(^{14,15} \). In the DR formalism, the two scalar generalized polarizabilities come as an unconstrained part and can be adjusted as free parameters, whereas the proton electromagnetic form factors are introduced as an input. For the non-Born VCS part, a realistic initial parametrization is applied on the basis of the current knowledge of the generalized polarizabilities.

We extract the generalized polarizabilities from the measured cross sections through a fit that uses the DR model. The experimental cross sections are compared with the DR model predictions for all possible order Bessel function. \( A(l, \kappa) \) is a function of the generalized polarizabilities:

\[
P_J^J(b) = \hat{b} \int_0^\infty dQ J_J (bQ) A(Q^2),
\]

in which \( b \) is the transverse position, \( b = \left| b \right| \), \( \hat{b} = b/b \) and \( J_J \) is the first-order Bessel function. \( A \) is a function of the generalized polarizabilities:

\[
A = -(2M) \int_{r/2}^{3/2} \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1 + 2\tau}{1 + \tau} \times \left\{ -p(\text{LM}, 1) + \frac{1}{2} p(\text{LM}, 2) - \frac{3}{2} p(\text{LL}, 1) \right. \\
- \left. \frac{3}{2} (1 + \tau) p(\text{LM}, 1) + \frac{3}{2} (2M) p(\text{LM}, 2) \right\},
\]

The generalized polarizabilities are expressed in the multipole notation \( P^{(L)} T^{(M)} \) (ref.\(^{29} \)), in which \( \rho \) \((\rho')\) refers to the Coulomb/electric (L) or magnetic (M) nature of the initial (final) photon, \( I (I' = 1) \) is the simulation and was found to be very small. The cross-section results are reported in Extended Data Tables 1, 2 and 3. The extracted generalized polarizabilities are reported in Extended Data Table 4.

**Q^2** dependence of the electric generalized polarizability

The theoretical models that include a physical mechanism for the polarizabilities give a poor fit to the data, with reduced \( \chi^2 \) of \( \chi^2 = 7.69 \) (BChPT), 14.18 (NRQCM), 13.09 (LSM) and 24.06 (ELM). The DR prediction that involves an empirical parametrization for the polarizability also shows a poor fit, with \( \chi^2 = 5.97 \).

We explore the \( Q^2 \) dependence of the electric generalized polarizability following two methods. In the first method, we explore \( Q^2 \) parametrizations that will offer a good description of the experimental data. We work on the basis of the two parametrizations that have been considered previously in ref.\(^{25} \). The first function involves the typical dipole parametrization, which is a natural functional form that can effectively describe similar physics quantities, such as the nucleon elastic form factors and the magnetic generalized polarizability, and can satisfy the monotonic \( Q^2 \) dependence that is predicted by the current theoretical models for the electric generalized polarizability. We find that such a functional form does not provide a good fit to the world data and results in a reduced \( \chi^2 \) of \( \chi^2 = 3.7 \). As seen in Extended Data Fig. 2a, the fit systematically overestimates the results from the most recent MAMI experiment (MAMI-VI\(^{10,11} \), which involves the most refined measurements using this experimental setup. It also systematically underestimates the two MAMI experiments (MAMI-I' and MAMI-V') at \( Q^2 = 0.33 \text{ GeV}^2 \) and runs grossly through the new measurements that we report in this work.

To seek a successful functional form, we follow the recipe suggested in ref.\(^{25} \), and add a further structure that is parametrized through a Gaussian term. This empirical parametrization, as shown in Extended Data Fig. 2a, offers a fit with \( \chi^2 = 1.9 \). It offers a reason-able description of the world data and is compared with the theoretical predictions in Fig. 4a (denoted as experimental fit). Another method is considered using a Gaussian process regression (GPR) technique\(^{37} \), which assumes no direct underlying functional form (that is, polynomial, exponential, Gaussian or any combination thereof) and provides the best linear unbiased prediction for a governing distribution based on the available data. The GPR prediction is shown in Extended Data Fig. 2b. Being data driven, the resulting GPR technique cannot provide a very precise prediction in the large \( Q^2 \) region \( (Q^2 > 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2) \), in which data are sparse. In the lower \( Q^2 \) region, the GPR predicts a distribution with similar confidence as the dipole + Gaussian fit shown in Extended Data Fig. 2a.

**Induced polarization**

We derive the transverse position-space dependence of the induced polarization in the proton following\(^{28} \):

\[
P_J(b) = \hat{b} \int_0^\infty dQ J_J (bQ) A(Q^2),
\]

in which \( b = \left| b \right| \), \( \hat{b} = b/b \) and \( J_J \) is the first-order Bessel function. A is a function of the generalized polarizabilities:

\[
A = -(2M) \int_{r/2}^{3/2} \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1 + 2\tau}{1 + \tau} \times \left\{ -p(\text{LM}, 1) + \frac{1}{2} p(\text{LM}, 2) - \frac{3}{2} p(\text{LL}, 1) \\
- \frac{3}{2} (1 + \tau) p(\text{LM}, 1) + \frac{3}{2} (2M) p(\text{LM}, 2) \right\},
\]
angular momentum of the initial (final) photon and S differentiates between the spin-flip (S = 1) and non-spin-flip (S = 0) transition at the nucleon side. \( r = Q^2/(4M^2) \), with \( M \) the nucleon mass. The two scalar generalized polarizabilities are defined as:

\[
a_\ell(Q^2) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \left( \frac{3}{2} p^{(1,1);0}(Q^2) \right) 
\]

\[
\beta_{M}(Q^2) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \left( \frac{3}{8} p^{(M, M);0}(Q^2) \right) 
\]

with \( e^2/4\pi = 1/\alpha_{QED} = 1/137 \). In calculating the A function, the spin generalized polarizabilities are fixed by the DRIs.\(^6\)\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^4\) For the asymptotic part of \( a_\ell(Q^2) \), we use the parametrization that we derive from the experimental fit to the world data:

\[
a_\ell(Q^2) = \rho_0 \times e^{-0.5Q^2/\rho_0^2} + \frac{1}{(p^3 + Q^2/p^4)^2} (\text{fm}^3) 
\]

with \( \rho_0 = (30.4 \pm 6.1) \times 10^{-5} \), \( p_1 = 0.345 \pm 0.008 \), \( p_2 = 0.040 \pm 0.003 \), \( p_3 = 34.217 \pm 1.136 \) and \( p_4 = 0.014 \pm 0.002 \). For \( \beta_{M}(Q^2) \), we find that the world data are described accurately by the DR model,\(^6\)\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^4\) which adopts a single-dipole behaviour for the unconstrained part of the scalar generalized polarizabilities with a mass-scale parameter of \( \Lambda_p = 0.5 \text{ GeV} \), and we adopt this parametrization.

**Electric and magnetic polarizability radius**

The electric polarizability radius \( r_{\text{el}}^2 \) is extracted from Eq. (2). For \( a_\ell(0) \), we adopt the most recent measurement from ref. \(^4\). To determine the slope of the electric generalized polarizability at \( Q^2 = 0 \), we explore a variety of functional forms, namely combinations of polynomial, dipole and exponential functions. We determine those functional forms that can provide a good fit to the data and a meaningful extraction of the slope in terms of its uncertainty. For example, for the dipole and (dipole + polynomial) functions, we find that they give a good fit, but the radius is derived with a very large uncertainty and does not influence the final extraction of this quantity. The results of the individual fits are shown in Extended Data Fig. 5. The exponential fit uses only two free parameters and offers an uncertainty \( \langle r_{\beta}^2 \rangle = 0.41 \pm 0.10 \text{ fm}^2 \) that is notably smaller compared with the other functional forms that involve three or more free parameters. The fitted exponential curve also seems to be systematically different compared with the rest of the fitted functions, as can be seen in Extended Data Fig. 5. To not bias the final extraction of the radius by the small uncertainty (or, equivalently, the large weight factor) of this one fit, the fitted results are divided into two groups, one for only the exponential fit and a second group for the rest of the functions. For the second group, we derive the radius based on the weighted average and the weighted variance of the individual fits and find \( \langle r_{\beta}^2 \rangle = 0.85 \pm 0.25 \text{ fm}^2 \). We adopt the mean average of the two group values as the final result for the magnetic polarizability radius. For the uncertainty, we consider the spread of the two group values as a model uncertainty and add it linearly to the statistical uncertainty. We find that \( \langle r_{\beta}^2 \rangle = 0.63 \pm 0.31 \text{ fm}^2 \).

**Data availability**

The raw data from the experiment are archived in Jefferson Laboratory's mass storage silo and at Temple University, Department of Physics. The filtered data are archived at Temple University. The data are available from the authors on request.

**Code availability**

The data analysis uses the standard C++ ROOT framework, which was developed at CERN and is freely available at https://root.cern.ch. The simulation of the experiment was generated with the Jefferson Lab simulation code SIMC. The DR fit was done using the DR code developed by B. Pasquini.\(^5\)\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^4\) The computer codes used for the data analysis are available on request.
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | Cross-section measurements of the VCS reaction for out-of-plane kinematics. a, Cross-section measurements for out-of-plane kinematics at $Q^2 = 0.28 \text{ GeV}^2$. Results are shown for different bins in the total centre-of-mass energy of the ($\gamma p$) system, $W$. Top, middle and bottom panels correspond to $\phi_{\gamma} = 140^\circ$, $\phi_{\gamma} = 28^\circ$ and $\phi_{\gamma} = 38^\circ$, respectively. b, Measurements for out-of-plane kinematics at $Q^2 = 0.33 \text{ GeV}^2$. Top and bottom panels correspond to $\phi_{\gamma} = 150^\circ$ and $\phi_{\gamma} = 30^\circ$, respectively. c, Measurements for out-of-plane kinematics at $Q^2 = 0.40 \text{ GeV}^2$. Top, middle and bottom panels correspond to $\phi_{\gamma} = 152^\circ$, $\phi_{\gamma} = 35^\circ$ and $\phi_{\gamma} = 50^\circ$, respectively. The solid curve shows the DR fit for the two scalar generalized polarizabilities. The dashed curve shows the Bethe–Heitler plus Born VCS (BH+Born) cross section. The error bars correspond to the total uncertainty, at the 1σ or 68% confidence level.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | $Q^2$ dependence of the electric generalized polarizability. **a**, The empirical fits to the electric generalized polarizability: the yellow band corresponds to a dipole fit ($\chi^2 = 3.7$) and the purple band corresponds to the dipole + Gaussian fit ($\chi^2 = 1.9$). The world data values for the electric generalized polarizability (open symbols) from the experiments MIT-Bates, MAMI-I, MAMI-IV, MAMI-V, MAMI-VI and JLab-I are summarized in the review paper of ref. 2. **b**, The $Q^2$ dependence of the electric generalized polarizability as derived from the experimental measurements using the GPR technique, a data-driven method that assumes no direct underlying functional form. The error bars and the uncertainty bands correspond to the total uncertainty, at the 1σ or 68% confidence level.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | Electric polarizability radius fits. Top, the mean square electric polarizability radius fits using combinations of different functional forms (exp, gaus, pol and dipole correspond to exponential, Gaussian, polynomial and dipole functions, respectively). The fits denoted with solid lines were performed over the full $Q^2$ range of the world data. The three functional forms denoted with dashed lines were performed in the low-$Q^2$ range, namely in $Q^2 = [0, 0.28]$ GeV$^2$. Bottom, the extracted mean square electric polarizability radius from the individual fits. The error bars correspond to the total uncertainty, at the 1σ or 68% confidence level. The blue band marks the final value for the extracted $\langle r^2_{\alpha} \rangle = 1.36 \pm 0.29$ fm$^2$. 
Extended Data Fig. 4 | Electric polarizability radius. The electric polarizability radius $r_{\alpha} = \sqrt{\langle r^2 \rangle}$ derived from this work is compared with the previous extractions of this quantity (open red symbols). The theoretical predictions of the models discussed in the paper are also shown as red triangles. The recent measurements of the proton charge radius $r_E$ (blue symbols) are also shown. The error bars correspond to the total uncertainty, at the 1σ or 68% confidence level.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | Magnetic polarizability radius fits. Top, the mean square magnetic polarizability radius fits using combinations of different functional forms (exp, pol and dipole correspond to exponential, polynomial and dipole functions, respectively). Bottom, the extracted mean square magnetic polarizability radius from the individual fits. The error bars correspond to the total uncertainty, at the 1σ or 68% confidence level. The blue band marks the final value for the extracted $\langle r^2_{\beta M} \rangle = 0.63 \pm 0.31$ fm².
Extended Data Table 1 | Cross section results at $Q^2 = 0.28 \text{GeV}^2$

| $Q^2$ | $W$ | $\phi_{\gamma \gamma}$ (deg) | $\theta_{\gamma \gamma}$ (deg) | $\sigma$ (nb/GeV/str) | $\delta\sigma_{\text{stat}}$ | $\delta\sigma_{\text{sys}}$ | $\delta\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ |
|-------|-----|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.28  | 1.23 | 0                           | 153                         | 7.67                 | 0.34            | 0.31            | 0.46            |
|       |      | 121                         | 8.02                        | 0.20                 | 0.80            | 0.83            |
|       |      | 142                         | 8.51                        | 0.53                 | 0.34            | 0.63            |
|       | 1.24 | 0                           | 150                         | 6.58                 | 0.30            | 0.26            | 0.40            |
|       |      | 137                         | 6.97                        | 0.28                 | 0.28            | 0.39            |
|       |      | 119                         | 6.92                        | 0.18                 | 0.69            | 0.71            |
|       |      | 156                         | 6.37                        | 0.54                 | 0.25            | 0.59            |
|       |      | 144                         | 7.64                        | 0.50                 | 0.31            | 0.59            |
|       |      | 148                         | 5.96                        | 0.30                 | 0.24            | 0.38            |
|       |      | 136                         | 5.90                        | 0.24                 | 0.24            | 0.34            |
|       |      | 117                         | 5.41                        | 0.16                 | 0.54            | 0.56            |
|       |      | 160                         | 5.39                        | 0.54                 | 0.22            | 0.58            |
|       |      | 147                         | 6.04                        | 0.48                 | 0.24            | 0.54            |
|       |      | 145                         | 5.11                        | 0.30                 | 0.20            | 0.36            |
|       |      | 133                         | 5.17                        | 0.24                 | 0.21            | 0.32            |
|       |      | 115                         | 4.25                        | 0.15                 | 0.43            | 0.45            |
|       |      | 161                         | 3.39                        | 0.61                 | 0.14            | 0.62            |
|       |      | 150                         | 4.86                        | 0.46                 | 0.19            | 0.50            |
|       |      | 144                         | 4.48                        | 0.25                 | 0.18            | 0.31            |
|       |      | 131                         | 4.25                        | 0.23                 | 0.17            | 0.29            |
|       |      | 113                         | 3.47                        | 0.14                 | 0.35            | 0.37            |
|       |      | 164                         | 3.37                        | 0.58                 | 0.13            | 0.60            |
|       |      | 152                         | 3.63                        | 0.46                 | 0.15            | 0.49            |
|       |      | 141                         | 3.51                        | 0.26                 | 0.14            | 0.30            |
|       |      | 130                         | 3.86                        | 0.21                 | 0.15            | 0.26            |
|       |      | 111                         | 2.87                        | 0.13                 | 0.29            | 0.32            |
|       | 1.25 | 38                          | 148                         | 6.63                 | 0.44            | 0.27            | 0.52            |
|       |      | 135                         | 8.01                        | 0.51                 | 0.32            | 0.60            |
|       |      | 116                         | 7.64                        | 0.37                 | 0.76            | 0.85            |
|       |      | 149                         | 6.73                        | 0.69                 | 0.27            | 0.74            |
|       |      | 137                         | 7.07                        | 0.75                 | 0.28            | 0.80            |
|       | 1.26 | 38                          | 145                         | 6.90                 | 0.41            | 0.28            | 0.49            |
|       |      | 132                         | 7.45                        | 0.47                 | 0.30            | 0.55            |
|       |      | 114                         | 6.89                        | 0.34                 | 0.69            | 0.77            |
|       |      | 151                         | 6.96                        | 0.58                 | 0.28            | 0.65            |
|       |      | 139                         | 6.51                        | 0.63                 | 0.26            | 0.68            |
|       | 1.27 | 38                          | 143                         | 5.42                 | 0.36            | 0.22            | 0.42            |
|       |      | 131                         | 6.00                        | 0.39                 | 0.24            | 0.46            |
|       |      | 112                         | 5.42                        | 0.33                 | 0.54            | 0.63            |
|       |      | 155                         | 5.09                        | 0.57                 | 0.20            | 0.61            |
|       |      | 142                         | 5.34                        | 0.60                 | 0.21            | 0.64            |
|       | 1.28 | 38                          | 140                         | 5.01                 | 0.35            | 0.20            | 0.40            |
|       |      | 128                         | 5.01                        | 0.37                 | 0.20            | 0.43            |
|       |      | 110                         | 4.48                        | 0.31                 | 0.45            | 0.54            |
|       |      | 156                         | 4.25                        | 0.60                 | 0.17            | 0.62            |
|       |      | 145                         | 4.73                        | 0.56                 | 0.19            | 0.59            |
|       |      | 139                         | 3.41                        | 0.31                 | 0.14            | 0.34            |
|       |      | 126                         | 3.84                        | 0.35                 | 0.15            | 0.38            |
|       |      | 108                         | 3.99                        | 0.31                 | 0.40            | 0.50            |
|       |      | 147                         | 3.89                        | 0.56                 | 0.16            | 0.58            |
|       |      | 136                         | 3.21                        | 0.32                 | 0.13            | 0.35            |
|       |      | 125                         | 2.99                        | 0.31                 | 0.12            | 0.34            |

Measured cross sections at $Q^2 = 0.28 \text{GeV}^2$. The statistical, systematic and total uncertainties are given at the 1σ or 68% confidence level.
Extended Data Table 2 | Cross-section results at $Q^2 = 0.33\text{GeV}^2$

| $Q^2$ (GeV)$^2$ | W (GeV) | $\phi_{\gamma\gamma}^*$ (deg) | $\theta_{\gamma\gamma}$ (deg) | $\sigma$ (nb/GeV/str$^2$) | $\delta\sigma_{\text{stat}}$ | $\delta\sigma_{\text{sys}}$ | $\delta\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ |
| -------------- | -------- | ---------------- | ---------------- | ---------------- | ---------------- | ---------------- | ---------------- |
| 0.33           | 1.21     | 0                | 156              | 5.50             | 0.24             | 0.22             | 0.32             |
|                |          | 143              | 4.90             | 0.17             | 0.20             | 0.26             |
|                |          | 122              | 5.06             | 0.12             | 0.51             | 0.52             |
|                |          | 145              | 7.33             | 0.30             | 0.29             | 0.42             |
|                |          | 132              | 6.77             | 0.37             | 0.27             | 0.46             |
|                | 1.22     | 0                | 154              | 5.22             | 0.22             | 0.21             | 0.30             |
|                |          | 140              | 4.75             | 0.19             | 0.19             | 0.27             |
|                |          | 119              | 5.02             | 0.12             | 0.50             | 0.52             |
|                |          | 148              | 6.50             | 0.27             | 0.26             | 0.38             |
|                |          | 135              | 7.40             | 0.30             | 0.30             | 0.42             |
|                | 1.23     | 0                | 152              | 4.93             | 0.19             | 0.20             | 0.28             |
|                |          | 138              | 4.77             | 0.17             | 0.19             | 0.26             |
|                |          | 118              | 4.66             | 0.10             | 0.47             | 0.48             |
|                |          | 151              | 5.74             | 0.27             | 0.23             | 0.35             |
|                |          | 138              | 6.30             | 0.28             | 0.25             | 0.38             |
|                | 1.24     | 0                | 150              | 4.21             | 0.18             | 0.17             | 0.25             |
|                |          | 136              | 4.31             | 0.16             | 0.17             | 0.23             |
|                |          | 116              | 3.97             | 0.10             | 0.40             | 0.41             |
|                |          | 153              | 4.73             | 0.28             | 0.19             | 0.34             |
|                |          | 140              | 5.02             | 0.28             | 0.20             | 0.34             |
|                | 1.25     | 0                | 148              | 3.67             | 0.17             | 0.15             | 0.23             |
|                |          | 135              | 3.66             | 0.14             | 0.15             | 0.20             |
|                |          | 114              | 3.33             | 0.09             | 0.33             | 0.34             |
|                |          | 156              | 4.03             | 0.27             | 0.16             | 0.31             |
|                |          | 143              | 4.46             | 0.26             | 0.18             | 0.32             |
|                | 1.21     | 30               | 151              | 5.48             | 0.22             | 0.22             | 0.31             |
|                |          | 138              | 4.91             | 0.22             | 0.20             | 0.29             |
|                |          | 117              | 4.91             | 0.17             | 0.49             | 0.52             |
|                |          | 150              | 5.59             | 0.41             | 0.22             | 0.47             |
|                | 1.22     | 30               | 149              | 5.44             | 0.21             | 0.22             | 0.30             |
|                |          | 135              | 4.62             | 0.23             | 0.18             | 0.30             |
|                |          | 114              | 5.41             | 0.18             | 0.54             | 0.57             |
|                |          | 150              | 5.85             | 0.40             | 0.23             | 0.47             |
|                | 1.23     | 30               | 147              | 4.96             | 0.20             | 0.20             | 0.28             |
|                |          | 133              | 4.64             | 0.21             | 0.19             | 0.28             |
|                |          | 113              | 4.95             | 0.16             | 0.49             | 0.52             |
|                |          | 146              | 5.79             | 0.32             | 0.23             | 0.40             |
|                |          | 133              | 6.02             | 0.36             | 0.24             | 0.44             |
|                | 1.24     | 30               | 145              | 4.00             | 0.20             | 0.16             | 0.26             |
|                |          | 131              | 3.69             | 0.21             | 0.15             | 0.26             |
|                |          | 111              | 4.25             | 0.16             | 0.42             | 0.45             |
|                |          | 148              | 4.19             | 0.33             | 0.17             | 0.37             |
|                |          | 135              | 4.95             | 0.35             | 0.20             | 0.40             |
|                | 1.25     | 30               | 143              | 3.52             | 0.20             | 0.14             | 0.24             |
|                |          | 120              | 3.77             | 0.19             | 0.15             | 0.24             |
|                |          | 139              | 3.61             | 0.16             | 0.36             | 0.40             |
|                |          | 150              | 4.26             | 0.31             | 0.17             | 0.36             |

The statistical, systematic and total uncertainties are given at the 1\sigma or 68% confidence level.
## Extended Data Table 3 | Cross-section results at $Q^2=0.40\text{GeV}^2$

| $Q^2 (\text{GeV}^2)$ | $W (\text{GeV})$ | $\phi_{\gamma\gamma}$ (deg) | $\theta_{\gamma\gamma}$ (deg) | $\sigma$ (nb/GeV/sr) | $\Delta\sigma\text{stat.}$ | $\Delta\sigma\text{sys.}$ | $\Delta\sigma\text{tot.}$ |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| \hline
| 1.19                | 0                | 144                         | 2.59                        | 0.15                  | 0.10                      | 0.19                      |
|                     |                  | 118                         | 3.36                        | 0.12                  | 0.34                      | 0.36                      |
|                     |                  | 180                         | 4.52                        | 0.18                  | 0.18                      | 0.26                      |
|                     | 0                | 157                         | 3.09                        | 0.21                  | 0.12                      | 0.24                      |
|                     |                  | 142                         | 2.74                        | 0.15                  | 0.11                      | 0.19                      |
|                     |                  | 117                         | 3.66                        | 0.11                  | 0.37                      | 0.35                      |
|                     |                  | 180                         | 4.38                        | 0.19                  | 0.18                      | 0.26                      |
|                     | 0                | 155                         | 3.44                        | 0.19                  | 0.14                      | 0.23                      |
|                     |                  | 140                         | 2.96                        | 0.15                  | 0.12                      | 0.19                      |
|                     |                  | 115                         | 3.94                        | 0.11                  | 0.39                      | 0.41                      |
|                     |                  | 180                         | 4.42                        | 0.20                  | 0.18                      | 0.26                      |
|                     | 0                | 153                         | 3.11                        | 0.17                  | 0.12                      | 0.21                      |
|                     |                  | 138                         | 3.09                        | 0.14                  | 0.12                      | 0.19                      |
|                     |                  | 114                         | 3.57                        | 0.09                  | 0.36                      | 0.37                      |
|                     |                  | 180                         | 3.91                        | 0.19                  | 0.16                      | 0.25                      |
|                     | 0                | 150                         | 3.01                        | 0.17                  | 0.12                      | 0.21                      |
|                     |                  | 136                         | 2.83                        | 0.14                  | 0.11                      | 0.18                      |
|                     |                  | 112                         | 3.11                        | 0.09                  | 0.31                      | 0.32                      |
|                     |                  | 180                         | 3.40                        | 0.20                  | 0.14                      | 0.24                      |
|                     | 0                | 148                         | 2.52                        | 0.17                  | 0.10                      | 0.19                      |
|                     |                  | 133                         | 2.45                        | 0.14                  | 0.10                      | 0.17                      |
|                     |                  | 110                         | 2.56                        | 0.08                  | 0.26                      | 0.27                      |
|                     |                  | 180                         | 2.96                        | 0.22                  | 0.12                      | 0.25                      |
|                     | 0                | 155                         | 2.76                        | 0.18                  | 0.11                      | 0.21                      |
|                     |                  | 139                         | 2.42                        | 0.16                  | 0.10                      | 0.19                      |
|                     |                  | 113                         | 2.79                        | 0.13                  | 0.28                      | 0.31                      |
|                     |                  | 152                         | 3.55                        | 0.26                  | 0.14                      | 0.29                      |
|                     | 0                | 152                         | 3.02                        | 0.18                  | 0.12                      | 0.21                      |
|                     |                  | 137                         | 3.20                        | 0.17                  | 0.13                      | 0.21                      |
|                     |                  | 112                         | 3.12                        | 0.13                  | 0.31                      | 0.34                      |
|                     |                  | 152                         | 3.73                        | 0.24                  | 0.15                      | 0.28                      |
|                     | 0                | 150                         | 3.31                        | 0.17                  | 0.13                      | 0.21                      |
|                     |                  | 135                         | 4.17                        | 0.17                  | 0.13                      | 0.21                      |
|                     |                  | 110                         | 3.59                        | 0.13                  | 0.36                      | 0.38                      |
|                     |                  | 152                         | 3.93                        | 0.25                  | 0.16                      | 0.29                      |
|                     | 0                | 148                         | 2.95                        | 0.15                  | 0.12                      | 0.19                      |
|                     |                  | 133                         | 3.05                        | 0.16                  | 0.12                      | 0.20                      |
|                     |                  | 109                         | 3.36                        | 0.12                  | 0.34                      | 0.36                      |
|                     |                  | 152                         | 3.29                        | 0.24                  | 0.13                      | 0.28                      |
|                     | 0                | 139                         | 3.29                        | 0.24                  | 0.13                      | 0.28                      |
|                     |                  | 123                         | 3.61                        | 0.31                  | 0.14                      | 0.34                      |
|                     |                  | 145                         | 2.79                        | 0.15                  | 0.11                      | 0.19                      |
|                     |                  | 107                         | 3.26                        | 0.12                  | 0.33                      | 0.35                      |
|                     |                  | 152                         | 3.28                        | 0.24                  | 0.13                      | 0.27                      |
|                     | 0                | 141                         | 3.00                        | 0.28                  | 0.12                      | 0.30                      |
|                     |                  | 127                         | 2.67                        | 0.16                  | 0.11                      | 0.19                      |
|                     |                  | 152                         | 2.82                        | 0.28                  | 0.11                      | 0.30                      |

The statistical, systematic and total uncertainties are given at the 1σ or 68% confidence level.
Extended Data Table 4 | The electric and magnetic generalized polarizabilities

| $Q^2$ (GeV$^2$) | $\alpha_E$ ($10^{-4} fm^3$) | $\beta_M$ ($10^{-4} fm^3$) |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0.28           | $3.18 \pm 0.53$ (0.38)      | $1.41 \pm 0.60$ (0.30)      |
| 0.33           | $4.24 \pm 0.59$ (0.41)      | $1.20 \pm 0.86$ (0.35)      |
| 0.40           | $3.07 \pm 0.47$ (0.40)      | $1.26 \pm 0.38$ (0.36)      |

The extracted values for the electric and magnetic generalized polarizabilities with their uncertainties at the 1σ or 68% confidence level. The statistical-only uncertainty is given in parentheses.