Abstract: The synthesis and switching properties of two “basket handle” porphyrin isomers is described. The cis-oriented meso-phenyl groups of these porphyrins are linked at their ortho-positions via benzocrown-ether-based spacers, which as a result of slow atropisomerization are located either on the same side of the porphyrin plane (cis), or on opposite sides (trans). In solution, the cis-linked isomer slowly isomerizes in the direction of the thermodynamically more stable trans-isomer. In the presence of viologen (N,N'-dialkyl-4,4'-bipyridinium) derivatives, which have different affinities for the two isomers, the isomerization equilibrium could be significantly influenced. In addition, the presence of these guests was found to enhance the rate of the switching process, which was suggested to be caused by favorable interactions between the positively charged guest and the crown ethers of the receptor, stabilizing the transition state energies of the isomerization reaction between the two isomers.
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1. Introduction

Host-guest chemistry provides a valuable platform for studying binding processes observed in nature with the help of relatively simple mimics. Many artificial receptors have been designed, displaying similar features as observed in natural host-guest systems, such as induced-fit binding [1–4], lock and
key mechanisms [5], and cooperative binding effects [6–12]. Dynamic recognition processes, in which the guest influences the conformation of the receptor, play a crucial role in biological systems and are of particular importance for allosteric binding, regulation or feedback [13–17]. To date, there are a number of examples of artificial dynamic recognition systems that exhibit complexation-induced atropisomerism or conformational changes [18–30]. The vast majority of these studies, however, is focused on thermodynamic aspects of complex formation, whereas kinetic aspects, while being of significant importance for determining the actual binding mechanisms involved, are not explored. Houk et al. have convincingly demonstrated that the origin of binding affinities between guests and receptors (ranging from small synthetic cavitands to large proteins) are well-understood, and that enzymes do not show any special binding behaviour for their substrates when compared to artificial receptors [31]. This is a powerful reminder that the ability to catalyze reactions arises from transition state interactions and not from substrate binding. Therefore, in order to get a better understanding of the combination of interactions responsible for the nature of transition states in enzymatic systems, it is of key importance to also study the transition states of relatively simple artificial host-guest systems. However, for many of these systems the kinetics of the binding processes are simply too fast to be studied accurately with the help of general methods.

In order to gain detailed insight in the kinetic aspects of complexation-induced conformational changes of dynamic artificial receptors, we have designed a porphyrin-based receptor molecule that interconverts extremely slowly between two distinct conformational isomers. Thanks to this slow exchange, not only the guest-induced kinetics of interconversion between the two isomers could be studied accurately, but in addition also the binding affinities of each of the two isomers towards viologen (N,N'-dialkyl-4,4'-bipyridinium) guests could be determined. A complete kinetic and thermodynamic picture of a guest-induced “switching” was obtained, which revealed that the presence of guests not only influences the thermodynamic outcome, as expected on the basis of the obtained individual equilibrium constants, but also significantly influences the kinetics of the switching process.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Design

We decided to make use of the atropisomerization properties of ortho-meso-phenyl-substituted porphyrins in the design of the receptor. It is known that the restricted rotation of the aryl rings in many of such porphyrins can be extremely slow [32,33] (rates between $10^{-4}$ and $10^{-9}$ s$^{-1}$ depending on the substitution pattern and metal ion present in the porphyrin), which often allows the isolation of the individual atropisomers. By appending two adjacent-linked binding pockets (“handles”) to the porphyrin ortho-phenyl positions, two so-called “basket handle” porphyrin [34–40] isomers (trans-linked S and cis-linked C; Scheme 1), which can interconvert slowly via atropisomerization, requiring the rotation around two aryl rings, were obtained. By appending crown ether handles that are specifically designed for the complexation of viologen derivatives, the adjacent-linked “basket handle” porphyrins are expected to become excellent receptor molecules for these guests. The cis-linked isomer C was expected to have higher affinity for the viologen derivatives than trans-linked isomer S as a result of the interplay of two crown ether handles on the same side of the porphyrin plane in the former isomer.
The addition of viologen derivatives should therefore result in the slow switching of the equilibrium in the direction of isomer C, a process that allows the accurate determination of both the thermodynamics and the kinetics involved.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of basket handle isomers X, S and C.

2.2. Synthesis

A mixture of “basket handle” porphyrin isomers X, C and S (Scheme 1) was prepared in an overall yield of 52% by reacting ditosylate 1 [41] with 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(meso-o-hydroxyphenyl)porphyrin 2 [42] under basic conditions in DMF at 110 °C. The three different isomers could be separated by preparative TLC and were obtained in a ratio X:S:C = 4:8:1. The individual isomers were identified with the help of $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy in CDCl$_3$ (Figure 1a), and in addition the X-Ray structure of the S-isomer was determined (Figure 1b). Isomer X has an $S_2$ symmetry, and as a result the $^1$H-NMR spectrum revealed only four resonances for all the 32 crown ether protons H-3, H-4, H-5 and H-6, and one single resonance for the $\beta$-pyrrolic porphyrin protons. Isomers S and C have $C_{2h}$ and $C_{2v}$ symmetry, respectively, and as a result the crown ether proton resonances show AB-patterns in the $^1$H-NMR spectra and two distinct resonances for the $\beta$-pyrrolic protons. The resonances of the protons of the handles of S (H-1–H-6) are significantly shifted upfield in comparison to those of C, which indicates that they experience more shielding from the porphyrin ring-current. This can be understood since in S there is space to position both handles in the proximity of the porphyrin, whereas in C this is sterically impossible. Also the pyrrole NH resonances of S are shifted upfield compared to those of C, which suggests that the ring currents of the phenyl groups of the handles shield the center of the porphyrin more in S than in C. These combined observations indicate that, unlike in the X-ray
structure of S in which the handles bend away from the porphyrin plane (Figure 1b), in solution they are on average folded over the porphyrin plane. This folding was confirmed by a 2D-ROESY NMR measurement which showed nOe contacts between the handle phenyl protons H-1 and H-2 and the β-pyrrole protons and H-10, respectively.

**Figure 1.** (a) $^1$H-NMR spectra (400 MHz) of “basket handle” porphyrin isomers X, S and C in CDCl$_3$ with proton assignments based on COSY and 2D-ROESY NMR experiments. See Scheme 1 for proton numbering. (b) X-ray structure of isomer S (protons have been omitted for clarity).

2.3. Isomerization

As observed in other adjacent-linked “basket handle” porphyrins [36] the adjacent-cis-linked isomer C and the adjacent-trans-linked isomer S slowly interconvert in time. Figure 2 reveals that upon standing, the resonances of S in the $^1$H-NMR spectra increase in intensity at the expense of those of C. Isomer S is thermodynamically significantly more stable than isomer C, since equilibrium is reached at a ratio of S/C = 7.6. This observation is in contrast with results in a previous report, in which the switching of a hexyl-bridged basket-handle porphyrin resulted in equimolar amounts of the adjacent-cis-linked and adjacent-trans-linked isomers at equilibrium [43], as would statistically be expected. The rate constants for the isomerization process ($k_{C\to S}$) could be simply determined by first order analysis of the decrease in the relative intensities [44] of the resonances of C in time.

The equilibrium constants ($K_{S/C} = [S]_{eq}/[C]_{eq}$) were obtained at different temperatures from the ratio between S and C at equilibrium, after which the rate constant $k_{S\to C}$ could be calculated indirectly from $K_{S/C} = k_{C\to S}/k_{S\to C}$. Isomerization experiments in which the switching from S to C was monitored provided identical values for $k_{S\to C}$ as were obtained by the indirect method via $K_{S/C}$ and $k_{C\to S}$. The calculated constants are presented in Table 1.
Figure 2. Series of partial 400 MHz ^1^H-NMR spectra in time revealing the decrease in abundance of cis-linked isomer C in favor of the thermodynamically more stable trans-linked isomer S at 25 °C in CDCl\textsubscript{3}/CD\textsubscript{3}CN 1:1 (v/v).

Table 1. Calculated rate constants for the switching of C to S (k\textsubscript{C→S}) and for the switching of S to C (k\textsubscript{S→C}) at different temperatures, and the equilibrium constant K that represents the ratio of S/C at equilibrium.

| T (°C) | k\textsubscript{C→S} (s\textsuperscript{-1}) \textsuperscript{a} | k\textsubscript{S→C} (s\textsuperscript{-1}) \textsuperscript{b} | K \textsuperscript{b} |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|
| 25    | 2.8 × 10\textsuperscript{-6} | 3.7 × 10\textsuperscript{-7} | 7.6 |
| 32.5  | 6.0 × 10\textsuperscript{-6} | 1.0 × 10\textsuperscript{-6} | 5.8 |
| 40    | 1.5 × 10\textsuperscript{-5} | 2.8 × 10\textsuperscript{-6} | 5.3 |
| 47.5  | 3.2 × 10\textsuperscript{-5} | 6.8 × 10\textsuperscript{-6} | 4.7 |

\textsuperscript{a} Estimated error 5%; \textsuperscript{b} Estimated error 15%; \textsuperscript{c} Calculated from k\textsubscript{S→C} = k\textsubscript{C→S}/K.

The switching from C to S was monitored at four different temperatures (Figure 3a) from which, with the help of van’t Hoff and Eyring plots, the entropic (ΔS) and enthalpic (ΔH) contributions to the activation energy (ΔG\textsuperscript{≠}) and the free energy of binding (ΔG\textsuperscript{°}) could be determined. The resulting energy diagram with all these parameters is presented in Figure 3b. The switching process is unfavorable both in entropy and in enthalpy, but the majority of the free energy of activation (ΔG\textsuperscript{≠}) is enthalpic in origin (the proposed mechanism will be presented later).

The obtained parameters ΔS\textsuperscript{°} and ΔH\textsuperscript{°} (Figure 3b) revealed that the formation of the trans-linked isomer S is enthalpically more favorable than the cis-linked isomer C, whereas the latter is entropically more likely to form. The value of ΔH\textsuperscript{°} suggests that S experiences more stabilizing intramolecular interactions than C. These are presumably π-π interactions between the phenyl groups of the handles and the porphyrin plane, and additional van der Waals interactions. The entropic parameters suggest that C is more disordered than S, which could be the result of a restricted motion of the handles in S as a result of the intramolecular binding interactions (entropy-enthalpy compensation [45]). Another possibility is that S needs to organize more solvent molecules in a defined shell than C, which would result in the release of solvent to the bulk upon switching from S to C, thereby accounting for the entropic gain. The observation that C dissolves significantly better in the used solvent mixture than S could validate this hypothesis, although solubility depends on more factors.
Figure 3. (a) Kinetics of switching from C to S at different temperatures in CDCl₃/CD₃CN 1:1 (v/v), monitored by ¹H-NMR. (b) Energy diagram of the switching process with the entropic and enthalpic parameters (all in kJ/mol).

2.4. Binding of Viologen Derivatives

The binding of viologen derivatives V₁, V₂, and V₃ (Figure 4) to isomers C and S was investigated. As a result of the high kinetic stabilities of C and S, no detectable isomerization was observed in the first few hours after their separation, which allowed the study of the binding properties of the individual isomers. A ¹H-NMR titration between C and V₁ revealed that V₁ binds strongly to this receptor in a face-to-face geometry with respect to the porphyrin [46]. The addition of increasing amounts of V₁ to C resulted in large downfield complexation induced shift (CIS) values of the crown ether proton resonances (H-3 to H-6), which indicates that their position in the proximity of the porphyrin is replaced by V₁ (Table 2). The pyrrole NH resonances of C shifted dramatically upfield upon complex formation, indicating their shielding by the aromatic rings of V₁. Also the signals of V₁ displayed large upfield shifts compared to their original positions, confirming their position in the proximity of the shielding porphyrin ring current. The addition of one equivalent of V₁ resulted in the full binding to C, stressing the strong affinity between the components. To derive an accurate value for the association constant (Kᵥᵥᵥᵥ), the binding of V₁ to C was investigated at lower concentrations ([C] ≈ 10⁻⁶ M) with the help of a fluorescence titration. The addition of increasing amounts of V₁ to a solution of C resulted in the quenching of the porphyrin fluorescence of the receptor. The obtained binding curve could be fitted with a 1:1 binding isotherm and the association constant was calculated to be Kᵥᵥᵥᵥ = 3 × 10⁵ M⁻¹ (Table 3).

Figure 4. Structure of viologens V₁ and V₂ and pyridinium compound V₃.
Table 2. Selected calculated CIS values (ppm) of receptor proton signals upon binding of viologens to S and C.\textsuperscript{a}

| Proton \textsuperscript{b} | C | S |
|--------------------------|---|---|
|                         | V1 | V2 | V3 \textsuperscript{d} | V1 | V2 \textsuperscript{e} | V3 \textsuperscript{d} |
| H-1                     | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | −0.28 | −0.06 | −0.28 |
| H-2                     | −0.03 | −0.10 | −0.03 | −0.13 | −0.08 | −0.06 |
| H-3 to H-5 \textsuperscript{c} | 0.42 | 0.43 | / | 0.47 | / | 0.50 |
| H-6a                    | 0.51 | 0.64 | / | 0.25 | / | 0.21 |
| H-6b                    | 0.47 | 0.29 | / | 0.21 | / | 0.17 |
| N-H                     | −1.04 | −0.98 | −0.51 | −1.12 | −0.33 | −0.85 |

\textsuperscript{a} Calculated from \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR experiments (400 MHz, 298 K, CDCl\textsubscript{3}/CD3CN 1:1 (v/v)); \textsuperscript{b} See Scheme 1 for proton numbering; \textsuperscript{c} Average shifts of the series of protons; \textsuperscript{d} Concentration V3: 1 × 10\textsuperscript{−2} M; \textsuperscript{e} Concentration V2: 3.3 × 10\textsuperscript{−3} M; \textsuperscript{f} Resonances were obscured.

Table 3. Association constants (\(K_a\)) and binding free energies (\(\Delta G^\circ\)) at 298 K and the enthalpic (\(\Delta H^\circ\)) and entropic (\(\Delta S^\circ\)) contribution to the binding free energy between isomers C and S and viologen guests.

| Receptor isomer | Guest | \(K_a\textsuperscript{c} (M^{-1})\) | \(\Delta G^\circ \textsuperscript{e} (kJ/mol)\) | \(\Delta H^\circ \textsuperscript{d} (kJ/mol)\) | \(\Delta S^\circ \textsuperscript{e} (J/molK)\) |
|----------------|-------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| S             | V1 (\(K_{SV}\)) | \(1.3 \times 10^4\) \textsuperscript{a,b} | −23.6 | −31.3 | −25.9 |
|               | V1 (\(K_{VSV}\)) | \(6.0 \times 10^2\) \textsuperscript{a} | −15.8 | | |
| C             | V1 | \(3.0 \times 10^5\) \textsuperscript{a,b} | −31.2 | −30.1 | +3.7 |
|               | V2 | \(3.5 \times 10^3\) \textsuperscript{a} | −20.2 | | |

\textsuperscript{a} Determined in CDCl\textsubscript{3}/CD3CN 1:1 (v/v) by \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR titrations; \textsuperscript{b} Determined in CHCl\textsubscript{3}/CH\textsubscript{3}CN 1: (v/v) by fluorescence titrations; \textsuperscript{c} Estimated error 30%; \textsuperscript{d} Estimated error 10 kJ/mol; \textsuperscript{e} Estimated error 10 J/molK.

Molecular modeling revealed that V1 preferably accommodates its positive charges in between the two “handle” crown ether rings of C. The complex adopts a so called suit\[2\]ane geometry in which the positive charges are wrapped inside the crown ether sleeves of the suit-shaped receptor C (see Figure 5a). Since it is impossible to directly derive the exact geometry of the complex with the help of NMR techniques, and attempts to obtain an X-ray structure of the complex were unsuccessful, it was decided to compare the binding between C and V1 with that between C and V2. V2 cannot adopt the proposed suit\[2\]ane geometry as a result of the presence of 3,5-di-\textit{tert}-butylphenyl blocking groups, which can impossibly slip through the crown ether rings of C. A \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR titration between C and V2 indicated that V2 adopts a different binding geometry with C than V1. Although V2 also binds in a face-to-face orientation with respect to porphyrin plane of C, as can be concluded from the observed upfield shift of the pyrrole NH proton resonances of the receptor (Table 2), the CIS values for all the other proton resonances are significantly different for the complex between C and V2 when compared to the complex between C and V1. Moreover, the association constant for the binding of V2 to C is almost two orders of magnitude lower than that for the binding of V1 to C (Table 3). These combined results strongly suggest that V1 indeed binds to C in the proposed suit\[2\]ane geometry, whereas V2 adopts a geometry as indicated in Figure 5b.
Figure 5. Molecular models, based on the binding studies, of the 1:1 complexes between (a) C and V1, (b) C and V2 (blocking groups are not shown for clarity), (c) S and V1 and (d) the 1:2 complex between S and V1.

In addition to the binding to C, the binding of V1 to trans-linked S was investigated. A $^1$H-NMR titration revealed complex formation between V1 and S, which was expressed in large CIS values for the proton resonances of V1 and S. As observed for the binding of V1 with C, the pyrrole NH proton resonances and aromatic viologen signals shifted upfield, whereas the crown ether proton resonances shifted downfield (Table 2), which suggests a binding geometry in which the porphyrin ring of S and the aromatic rings V1 adopt a face-to-face orientation (Figure 5c). The experimentally obtained binding curve could however not be fitted with a 1:1 binding isotherm, which strongly points in the direction of 1:2 complex formation between S and V1. This was moreover indicated by the CIS value of the pyrrole NH proton signals of S (Table 2). These signals shifted significantly further upfield upon complexation of V1 than in the complex between V1 and C (and other known face-to-face viologen-porphyrin complexes known in literature [41,48,49]), which indicates that this is the result of the presence of two viologen molecules that are sandwiching the porphyrin (Figure 5d). Data analysis of the binding curves with a 1:2 host-guest binding isotherm gave a satisfying fit with association constants of $1.3 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ and $6 \times 10^2$ M$^{-1}$ for the binding of the first ($K_{SV}$) and second ($K_{VSV}$) molecule of V1 to S, respectively. Considering the statistical factor of 4 for the difference in binding of the first and the second identical guest molecule to a bivalent receptor, there is a negative cooperative effect for the binding of the second molecule of V1 to S with an $\alpha$-value of 0.18. This is most probably caused by the repulsive interactions between the positive charges of the two viologen derivatives [50].

Because the guest-induced switching between the conformers, which will be presented in the following sections, is performed at elevated temperatures, the effect of temperature on the binding constants of V1 to S and C, respectively, was studied with the help of fluorescence titrations. Although V1 was shown to form 1:2 host-guest complexes with S at $^1$H-NMR concentrations ($10^{-3}$ M), at the used experimental concentrations of the fluorescence titrations ($10^{-6}$ M) 1:2 complex formation was so marginal that it could be ignored, and the obtained fluorescence titration curves could therefore be fitted with the use of simple 1:1 binding isotherms. This was emphasized by both the good fits and the calculated value of the association constant that were obtained from the fluorescence titration for the 1:1 complex between V1 and S, which was in very good agreement with the value of $K_{SV}$ obtained from the $^1$H-NMR titration experiment at the same temperature [51]. With the use of Van het Hoff plots, the enthalpic ($\Delta H^o$) and entropic ($\Delta S^o$) contributions to the total free binding energy ($\Delta G^o$) of V1
with S and C, respectively, could be determined. Quite surprisingly, the calculated parameters revealed
that the differences in binding strength between V1 and C and V1 and S, respectively, are mainly
entropic in origin (Table 3). Similar values were obtained for the binding enthalpy (ΔH°). Complex
formation between C and V1 is slightly favorable in entropy, whereas complex formation between S
and V1 is unfavorable in entropy.

Intuitively, two good reasons can be envisaged why the binding of V1 to C should be enthalpically
more favorable than the binding of V1 to S. The first reason is that isomer C can provide more
stabilizing interactions to V1 than isomer S as a result of the presence of two crown ether handles,
which can both interact with the viologen guest, on the same side of the porphyrin plane. The second
reason is that within isomer S more intramolecular interactions are present than within C, which have
to be overcome in order to accommodate V1 (as suggested by the value of ΔH° for the switching
process as presented above). The fact that no enthalpic difference is observed between the two binding
processes, and that the difference in binding is mainly entropic in origin, therefore suggests that the
binding of viologen derivatives to both isomers depends to a crucial extent on the desolvation of the
viologen derivatives upon complex formation. Isomer C can fully accommodate the positive charges
of the viologen, thereby perfectly shielding it from the solvent (Figure 5a). In contrast, isomer S is only
capable of shielding one of the positive charges of the viologen from the solvent (Figure 5c). As a
result, the binding of V1 to C is accompanied by the release of more solvent molecules to the bulk than
the binding of V1 to S, which accounts for the observed difference in binding entropy.

2.5. Guest-Induced Switching: Thermodynamics

As a result of the higher affinity of V1 for C than for S (ΔΔG° = 7.6 kJ/mol), it would be expected
that in the presence of the guest the equilibrium should be switched further in the direction of the
thermodynamically less favorable isomer C. Because S is 5 kJ/mol more stable than C (Figure 4b), it
could theoretically result in an equilibrium situation which has its free energy shifted maximally
2.6 kJ/mol in the direction of C, and which would be translated into a ratio of approximately 74% C
and 26% S at equilibrium, or [S]_{tot-eq}/[C]_{tot-eq} = 0.36. A first isomerization experiment, in which a
mixture of S and V1 was annealed at 80 °C in toluene/acetonitrile 1:1 (v/v) for 12 h, indeed revealed
that the conformational equilibrium had shifted in the direction of C. After workup and purification of
the reaction mixture, the different isomers were isolated in a ratio of approximately C:S = 2:1. This
ratio is strikingly different from the ratio obtained after annealing isomer S under the same
experimental conditions but in the absence of V1, which amounted to C:S ≈ 1:5.

In order to gain more detailed information about the guest-induced switching, it was decided to
follow the switching from S to C in the presence of V1 with the help of 1H-NMR spectroscopy. The
1H-NMR spectra of a mixture of S (1 mM) and V1 (1.3 mM) in CDCl3/CD3CN 1:1 (v/v) at
37.5 °C revealed that in time isomer C was formed at the expense of isomer S (Figure 6), resulting in a
final equilibrium ratio of [S]_{tot-eq}/[C]_{tot-eq} = 0.56 (64% C and 36% S). This ratio is different from the
ratio (0.36) mentioned above because of the different solvent mixture and the different temperature.
Figure 6. Series of partial 400 MHz $^1$H-NMR spectra recorded over time, revealing the decrease in population of isomer $S$ at the expense of the population of isomer $C$ in the presence of 1.3 equiv. of $V1$ at 37.5 °C in CDCl$_3$/CD$_3$CN 1:1 (v/v).

To further explore the switching effect of $V1$, the isomerization from $S$ to $C$ in solutions containing varying concentrations of this guest was monitored by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy. The measurements revealed that there is an optimal concentration of $V1$ in which the equilibrium ratio ($[C]_{\text{tot}}/[C]_{\text{tot}} + [S]_{\text{tot}}$) is shifted furthest in the direction of $[C]_{\text{tot}}$ (Figure 7a and Table 4), after which increasing concentrations of $V1$ resulted slowly in the shifting back of the conformational ratio in the direction of $[S]_{\text{tot}}$. This observation is attributed to the possibility of also forming the 1:2 complex between $S$ and $V1$, as presented in Figure 8. At relatively low concentrations of $V1$, the equilibrium will shift towards the 1:1 complex between $V1$ and $C$ (since $K_{CV} > K_{SV}$) and thus in the direction of $[C]_{\text{tot}}$. At further increasing concentrations of $V1$, however, the formation of the 1:2 complex between $S$ and $V1$ will become more favorable, which results in a shifting back of the equilibrium situation towards $[S]_{\text{tot}}$. This behavior follows directly from Equation (1), which presents the equilibrium constant ($K_{\text{switch}}$) as a function of free $V1$ in solution ([V]) and all the individual equilibrium constants:

$$K_{\text{switch}} = \frac{[S]_{\text{tot}}}{[C]_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{[S] + [SV] + [VSV]}{[C] + [CV]} = K_{S/C} \cdot \frac{1 + K_{SV}[V] + K_{VS}K_{SV}[V]^2}{1 + K_{CV}[V]} \quad (1)$$

In Equation (1), $K_{S/C}$ is the equilibrium constant between $S$ and $C$ in the absence of viologen guests, $K_{SV}$ and $K_{CV}$ are the association constants of $V$ to $S$ and $C$, respectively, for the formation of the 1:1 complexes, and $K_{VS}$ is the association constant for the formation of the 1:2 complex between $S$ and $V$. The concentration of free $V$ is obviously directly related to the concentration of isomers $C$ and $S$ in solution, but it is clear from Equation (1) that there will exist a concentration of $V$ in which $K_{\text{switch}}$ has a minimum value and thus that $[C]_{\text{tot}}$ will be maximal. The value for $K_{\text{switch}}$ as a function of different equilibrium constants and concentrations of $V1$ could be determined numerically with the use of Mathematica®. Although the experimental data did not exactly match the theoretical calculations on the basis of all the experimentally derived individual equilibrium constants, as can be seen in Figure 7a (which is not surprising, since four equilibrium constants are involved, some of which have errors of up to 30% and $K_{VS}$ had to be estimated), the trend in switching is clearly as would be expected on the
basis of the binding model in Figure 8. Moreover, the data could be fitted with the assumed binding model and the calculated equilibrium constants as obtained by the fit did not deviate significantly from the experimentally derived values for the individual equilibrium constant (see Figure 7a).

**Figure 7.** (a) Equilibrium ratios ([C]<sub>tot</sub>/([S]<sub>tot</sub> + [C]<sub>tot</sub>) at 37.5 °C (●) and 47.5 °C (●) plotted against the concentration of V1 present in solution and the expected equilibrium ratios ([C]<sub>tot</sub>/([S]<sub>tot</sub> + [C]<sub>tot</sub>) based on the experimentally derived individual equilibrium constants (thin lines) and the bests fits (thicker lines matching the data points) of the experimental data (37.5 °C: $K_{SV} = 1.5 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$, $K_{SV} = 2.0 \times 10^2$ M$^{-1}$, $K_{CV} = 1.8 \times 10^5$ M$^{-1}$). 47.5 °C: $K_{SV} = 4.7$, $K_{SV} = 7.0 \times 10^3$ M$^{-1}$, $K_{SV} = 70$ M$^{-1}$, $K_{CV} = 9.5 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$). (b) Observed initial rates ($k_{S\rightarrow C\text{-obs}}$) for the switching of S to C in solutions with different concentrations of V1 at 37.5 °C. The framework represents the theoretical rates based on different values of the cooperativity factor ($cf$), assuming equilibrium constants $K_{SV} = 1.5 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ and $K_{VS} = 2.0 \times 10^2$ M$^{-1}$.
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**Table 4.** Calculated rate constants ($k_{S\rightarrow C\text{-obs}}$) and equilibrium constants ($K_{switch}$) for the switching of S to C in solutions with different concentrations of viologen guests V1–V3.$^{a}$

| Guest | Conc (mM) | $k_{S\rightarrow C\text{-obs}}$ $^{b,d}$ (s$^{-1}$) | $K_{switch}$ $^{c,e}$ |
|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| No    | 0        | $1.8 \times 10^{-6}$            | 5.5            |
| V1    | 0.5      | $2.3 \times 10^{-6}$            | 1.0            |
| V1    | 1.3      | $3.0 \times 10^{-6}$            | 0.6            |
| V1    | 2.6      | $2.7 \times 10^{-6}$            | 0.6            |
| V1    | 3.1      | $2.6 \times 10^{-6}$            | 0.7            |
| V1    | 6.5      | $1.9 \times 10^{-6}$            | 1.0            |
| V2    | 3.3      | $2.4 \times 10^{-6}$            | 0.9            |
| V3    | 20       | $1.1 \times 10^{-6}$            | 10.0           |

$^{a}$ Determined by $^1$H-NMR experiments in CDCl$_3$/CD$_3$CN 1:1 (v/v) at 37.5 °C; $^{b}$ Calculated from the initial 25% of the switching curves; $^{c}$ Calculated from the ratio [S]<sub>tot</sub>/[C]<sub>tot</sub> at equilibrium; $^{d}$ Estimated error 20%; $^{e}$ Estimated error 15%.
In addition to experiments in which switching was induced by V1, also experiments were carried out in which switching was triggered by the doubly blocked guest V2 and the guest V3 (1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium hexafluorophosphate). As can be seen in Figure 9, also V2 is capable of switching the equilibrium in the direction of C, which indicates that this guest has a higher affinity for C than for S. This binding process was further confirmed by the gradually upfield shifting aromatic resonances of the guest in time upon switching from S to C in the $^1$H-NMR spectra. Although the binding of V2 to S was not studied in detail, it is obvious that C can provide more stabilizing interactions (or cause more solvent shielding upon complex formation) to V2 than S. The switching experiment in the presence of V3 (20 equiv.) revealed that this guest is not capable of switching the equilibrium in the direction of [C]$_{tot}$. Instead, it causes the opposite effect and the equilibrium is shifted more in the direction of [S]$_{tot}$ (Figure 9). This observation suggests that S has a higher affinity for V3 than C, which is more or less in line with expectation since only one handle is needed for the accommodation of the single positive charge of V3 [52].

**Figure 8.** Binding scheme of the isomerization between S and C in the presence of V1.

**Figure 9.** Kinetics of the switching of S ($1 \times 10^{-3}$ M) to C in the absence of guest (□) and in the presence of 1.3 equivalents of V1 (●), 3.3 equivalents of V2 (■) and 20 equivalents of V3 (△). The line represents the calculated curve for the switching in the presence of 1.3 equivalents of V1 assuming no induced switching effect.
2.6. Guest-Induced Switching: Kinetics

In addition to the thermodynamics we also investigated the kinetics of the guest-induced switching. The observed initial rates \( (k_{S \rightarrow C_{\text{obs}}}) \) in solutions with different concentrations of \( V1 \) at 37 °C were calculated from the initial 25% of the switching curves, as obtained by \(^1\)H-NMR spectroscopy. The data, which are presented in Figure 7b and Table 4, reveal that the observed initial rates increase upon increasing concentrations of \( V1 \), and reach a maximum value after which they decrease again. This decrease is fully in line with expectation, since increased concentrations of \( V1 \) shift the initial equilibrium further in the direction of the 1:2 host-guest complex \( VSV \) (Figure 8) in which both handles are restricted from rotation. The initial increase in rate in solutions with lower concentrations of \( V1 \), on the other hand, is surprising and suggests that the switching is enhanced by the presence of \( V1 \). Statistically, it can be expected that the switching rate from \( S \) to \( C \) in the presence of \( V1 \) \( (k_{SV \rightarrow CV}) \), see Figure 9) is a factor 2 lower than in the absence of \( V1 \) \( (k_{S \rightarrow C}) \) as a result of the restricted rotation of one of the handles of \( S \) upon complex formation with \( V1 \). If a viologen-induced rate enhancement is not taken into account, the observed switching rates \( (k_{S \rightarrow C_{\text{obs}}}) \) should therefore decrease upon complex formation between \( V1 \) and \( S \), and drop even further upon a further increase in concentration of \( V1 \), as a result of 1:2 host-guest complex formation. In order to be able to fit the experimental data, a rate enhancement factor \( (cf) \) has to be included into the model. The initial rate \( (k_{S \rightarrow C_{\text{obs}}}) \) should evolve as a function of \([S]_\text{tot}\), \([SV]_\text{tot}\) and \([VSV]_\text{tot}\) according to Equation (2), in which \( k_{SV \rightarrow CV} \) is defined according to Equation (3) in which both the statistical factor of 0.5 and the rate enhancement factor is taken into account:

\[
k_{S \rightarrow C_{\text{obs}}} = k_{S \rightarrow C} \cdot \frac{[S]}{[S]_\text{tot}} + k_{SV \rightarrow CV} \cdot \frac{[SV]}{[S]_\text{tot}} (2)
\]

\[
k_{SV \rightarrow CV} = cf \cdot 0.5 \cdot k_{S \rightarrow C} (3)
\]

\[
k_{CV \rightarrow SV} = cf \cdot 0.5 \cdot k_{C \rightarrow S} \cdot \frac{K_{SV}}{K_{CV}} (4)
\]

Since the individual constants \( k_{S \rightarrow C} \), \( K_{SV} \) and \( K_{VSV} \) were determined separately (see above), the magnitude of the factor \( cf \) could be calculated. To this end, the expected overall initial rate constants were calculated with the help of Mathematica® as a function of the magnitude of \( cf \) and the concentration of \( V1 \) (which determines the ratios of \([S]\), \([SV]\) and \([VSV]\)). The calculated rates as a function of \( V1 \) are presented as the framework in Figure 7b, and from this framework it can be concluded that the magnitude of \( cf \) is approximately 4, hence the switching rate is enhanced in the presence of \( V1 \) by a factor of 4. In order to stress the acceleration of the switching process in the presence of \( V1 \), the expected kinetic curve of switching from \( S \) to \( C \) under the same conditions, assuming \( cf = 1 \), is presented in Figure 9. In addition to \( V1 \), also \( V2 \) clearly accelerates the switching process, as can be observed in Figure 9 and Table 4. The observed rate \( (k_{S \rightarrow C_{\text{obs}}}) \) in the presence of \( V3 \), on the other hand, is lower than \( k_{S \rightarrow C} \) (Table 4), which indicates that the switching rate is not enhanced by \( V3 \).

2.7. Mechanism

The magnitudes of the rate constants and the enthalpic and entropic contributions to the transition state energy for the switching process between the isomers \( C \) and \( S \) are all very similar to the values
observed for atropisomerization of ortho-meso-phenyl-substituted porphyrins, involving rotations around one single bond [53–59]. This suggests that the mechanism of isomerization between C and S is not significantly different from other porphyrin atropisomerization processes. For this reason, the switching between S and C most probably does not occur not via simultaneous but via consecutive rotations around the two porphyrin meso-phenyl rings. As a result, the switching consists of two steps that have similar activation barriers, with in between them a local energy minimum in which the handle is halfway the switching process (T in Figure 10). The energy level of T is unfavorable compared to both the energy levels of S and C making that it cannot be observed experimentally. The presence of V1 in the switching process is expected to have a significant influence on the relative energy levels. The same interactions that cause that the complex CV is energetically more favorable than the complex SV (Figure 10) will influence the transition state of the switching process. Transition state intermediate complex TV will experience additional stabilizing interactions compared to T as a result of the fact that half the handle is switched to the side of the viologen (hence [T]/[S] > [TV]/[SV]). Also the rotation around the second porphyrin meso-phenyl ring in the switching process from T to C is energetically more favorable as a result of these interactions. The viologen guest thus lowers the barriers associated with the switching process and effectively pulls the handle through to the other side of the porphyrin. Note that these same interactions should also cause a similar rate enhancement while switching back from C to S, in line with the principle of microscopic reversibility. The observation that both V1 and V2 (which binds in 90 degrees rotated geometries with respect to the porphyrin) accelerate the switching process is in line with this mechanism, since independent of the geometry of the eventual complexes, both V1 and V2 can exert these stabilizing interactions in the transition state. V3 most probably accommodates its positive charge inside one crown ether handle and is consequently not capable of stabilizing the transition state involving the switching of the other handle, which accounts for the apparent absence of rate enhancement in the presence of V3.

**Figure 10.** Proposed energy landscape of the switching between C and S in absence and in the presence of V1.
3. Experimental

3.1. Materials and Methods

All solvents and chemicals were used as received. K₂CO₃ was dried in an oven (150 °C). Chloroform and acetonitrile used in fluorescence titration experiments were distilled from CaCl₂. Preparative TLC was performed on Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) silica glass plates (TLC Silica gel 60 F₂₅₄). Fluorescence experiments were performed on a Perkin-Elmer LS50B luminescent spectrometer (Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with a thermostatted cuvette holder. UV-Vis spectra were recorded on a Cary 100 Conc UV-Vis spectrometer (Varian, Middelburg, The Netherlands). Maldi-TOF mass spectrometry was performed on a Bruker Biflex III spectrometer (Billerica, MA, USA). NMR spectra were taken on a Varian (Palo Alto, CA, USA) Inova 400 (400 MHz, ¹H and 2D spectra) or on a Bruker (Billerica, MA, USA) DMX300 (75 MHz, ¹³C spectra) and calibrated to an internal standard of tetramethylsilane. The synthesis of ditosylate 1 was reported elsewhere [41]. The syntheses of 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(meso-o-hydroxyphenyl)porphyrin 2 [42], V₁ [41] and V₂ [60] are also described in the literature.

3.2. Syntheses

3.2.1. “Basket Handle” Isomers X, S and C

A suspension of 1 (0.90 g, 1.51 mmol), 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(meso-p-hydroxyphenyl)-porphyrin 2 (0.50 g, 0.74 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (1 g, 7.2 mmol) in DMF (250 mL) was reacted for 16 h under an argon atmosphere at 110 °C. After filtration of the salts and evaporation of the solvents the product was purified by column chromatography (3% MeOH in CHCl₃ (v/v)) yielding 450 mg (52%) of a mixture of isomers X, S and C. The three isomers could be separated by preparative TLC (5:5:1 toluene/ethyl acetate/acetonitrile (v/v/v)) to give the products in a ratio of X:S:C = 4:8:1. (adjacent-trans-linked S could also be selectively crystallized out of a mixture of 5:1 acetonitrile/chloroform (v/v) containing isomers C and S) The separate products were dissolved in a minimal amount of CHCl₃ and to this solution n-pentane was added. This resulted in precipitates, which were collected by centrifugation and dried under vacuum at low temperatures yielding the different isomers X, S and C as purple solids. In order to prevent isomerization between C and S, both speed and low temperatures are of essential importance in the separation process.

Data for cross-trans-linked “basket handle” porphyrin isomer X: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃ 400 MHz) δ 8.71 (s, 8H), 7.75 (d, 4H, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.69 (t, 4H, J = 7.9 Hz), 7.22 (d, 4H, J = 8.3 Hz), 7.12 (t, 4H, J = 7.4 Hz), 6.83 (m, 4H), 6.60 (m, 4H), 4.00 (M, 8H), 3.00 (M, 8H), 2.25 (t, 8H, J = 4.5 Hz), 1.59 (t, 8H, J = 4.6 Hz), −2.72 (s, 2H) ppm. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃ 75 MHz) δ 158.68, 149.16, 135.02, 130.91, 129.69, 122.30, 119.60, 117.65, 115.65, 111.04, 69.65, 69.21, 68.63, 68.42 ppm. MALDI TOF m/z = 1180 (M + H⁺). UV-Vis (CHCl₃) λ/µm (log(ε·M⁻¹·cm⁻¹)) 418.5 (5.6), 513.0 (4.3), 546.5 (3.8), 589.0 (3.8), 643.5 (3.5).

Data for adjacent-trans-linked “basket handle” porphyrin isomer S: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃ 400 MHz) δ 8.69 (s, 4H), 8.68 (s, 4H), 8.00 (dd, 4H, J = 1.7 Hz, J = 7.4 Hz), 7.75 (ddd, 4H, J = 1.7 Hz, J = 7.6 Hz,
Data for adjacent-cis-linked “basket handle” porphyrin isomer C: 1H-NMR (CDCl3 400 MHz) δ 8.74 (s, 4H), 8.74 (s, 4H), 7.95 (dd, 4H, J = 1.7 Hz, J = 7.1 Hz), 7.74 (ddd, 4H, J = 1.8 Hz, J = 7.5 Hz, J = 8.3 Hz), 7.37 (dd, 4H, J = 6.0 Hz, J = 6.0 Hz), 6.26 (dd, 4H, J = 6.0 Hz, J = 6.0 Hz), 4.11 (td, 4H, J = 5.6 Hz, J = 11.1 Hz), 4.00 (m, 4H), 3.32 (m, 8H), 2.96 (m, 8H), −2.75 (s, 2H) ppm. 13C-NMR (CDCl3 75 MHz) δ 156.92, 144.61, 131.94, 127.52, 121.22, 119.88, 115.65, 114.89, 112.90, 69.54, 69.28, 69.09, 68.80 ppm. MALDI TOF m/z = 1180 (M + H+). UV-Vis (CHCl3) λ/nm (log(ε·M−1·cm−1)) 420.0 (5.6), 514.5 (4.3), 550.0 (3.8), 591.0 (3.8), 646.5 (3.4).

3.2.2. 1-Methyl-4-phenylpyridinium hexafluorophosphate (V3)

4-Phenylpyridine (200 mg, 1.2 mmol) was stirred with an excess of methyl iodide (0.5 mL) in acetonitrile (5 mL) for 48 h. Diethyl ether (5 mL) was added, the resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, washed with diethyl ether, and dried under vacuum. The product was dissolved in a minimal amount of water, and this solution was then added to a saturated aqueous NH4PF6 solution to yield, after filtration, washing with water and drying under vacuum, 100 mg (25%) of V3 as a white solid. 1H-NMR (CDCl3/CD3CN 1:1 (v/v), 400 MHz): δ 8.61 (d, 2H, J = 6.3 Hz), 8.22 (d, 2H, J = 6.3 Hz), 7.9 (d, 2H, J = 7.3 Hz), 7.67–7.62 (m, 3H), 4.32 (s, 3H) ppm. 13C-NMR (CDCl3/CD3CN 1:1 (v/v), 75 MHz): δ 155.92, 144.61, 131.94, 129.41, 127.52, 124.47, 116.54, 47.16 ppm.

3.3. X-ray Analysis of S

A single crystal was mounted in air on a glass fibre. Intensity data were collected at −65 °C. A Nonius KappaCCD single-crystal diffractometer (manufacturer, city, country) was used (φ and ω scan mode) using graphite monochromated Mo-Kα radiation. Unit cell dimensions were determined from the angular setting of 227 reflections. Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. SADABS multiscan correction [61] was applied. The structure was solved by the program CRUNCH [62] and was refined with standard methods using SHELXL97 [63]) with anisotropic parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms. All hydrogens were placed at calculated positions and were refined riding on the parent atoms. A structure determination summary is given in Table 5. CCDC 988037 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper [64].

3.4. Determination of Association Constants

The association constants were determined by means of fluorescence- and 1H-NMR titrations. For the 1:2 binding of S to V1 the experimental error was quite large because of uncertainties involved with determining two association constants from one single binding experiment. The fluorescence
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titrations giving association constants \( K_{SV1} \) and \( K_{CV1} \) were performed once, and although very good fits were obtained for the individual experiments, the experimental error was chosen on the conservative side (30%). Experimental errors evolving from weighing small quantities of receptor and potential atropisomerization during the experiment could not be fully excluded (all effort was taken to prevent this phenomenon: both S and C were purified directly before the binding studies, analyzed using \(^1\)H-NMR, and kept cold for as long as possible before the binding experiment to prevent the switching). For individual titration data, see below.

Table 5. Crystallographic data for isomer S.

| Crystal Property                  | Value                                                                 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Identification code              | CLIP10                                                               |
| Crystal colour                   | dark purple                                                          |
| Crystal shape                    | rough thick platelet                                                  |
| Crystal size                     | 0.21 × 0.20 × 0.05 mm                                                |
| Empirical formula                | \( \text{C}_{72} \text{H}_{66} \text{N}_4 \text{O}_{12} \)           |
| Formula weight                   | 1179.29                                                              |
| Temperature                      | 208(2) K                                                             |
| Radiation/Wavelength             | MoKα (graphite monochromated)/0.71073 Å                               |
| Crystal system, space group      | Monoclinic, \( P2_1/a \)                                            |
| Unit cell dimensions             | \( a, \alpha = 12.9808(4) \) Å, 90°                                 |
| 44169 reflections               | \( b, \beta = 14.6770(9) \) Å, 105.642(4)°                          |
| \( 1.900 < \theta < 25.000 \)    | \( c, \gamma = 16.2856(12) \) Å, 90°                                |
| Volume                           | 2987.8(3) Å                                                          |
| Z, Calculated density            | 2, 1.311 Mg/m\(^3\)                                                 |
| Absorption coefficient           | 0.090 mm\(^{-1}\)                                                   |
| Diffractometer/scan              | Nonius KappaCCD with area detector \( \phi \) and \( \omega \) scan |
| \( F(000) \)                     | 1244                                                                |
| Theta range for data collection  | 1.90 to 27.50°                                                       |
| Index ranges                     | \(-16 \leq h \leq 16, -18 \leq k \leq 18, -21 \leq l \leq 21\)     |
| Reflections collected/unique     | 50597/6846 [R(int) = 0.0684]                                        |
| Reflections observed             | 4082 ([I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)])                                        |
| Completeness to 2theta = 25.00   | 95.7%                                                               |
| Absorption correction            | SADABS multiscan correction (Sheldrick, 1996)                        |
| Refinement method                | Full-matrix least-squares on \( F^2 \)                              |
| Computing                        | SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997)                                          |
| Data/restraints/parameters       | 6846/0/398                                                           |
| Goodness-of-fit on \( F^2 \)     | 1.084                                                               |
| SHELXL-97 weight parameters      | 0.033600 2.925100                                                    |
| Final R indices [I > 2\sigma(I)] | R1 = 0.0788, wR2 = 0.1286                                            |
| R indices (all data)             | R1 = 0.1434, wR2 = 0.1485                                             |
| Largest diff. peak and hole     | 0.871 and −0.257 e.Å\(^{-3}\)                                      |

The association constants for the 1:1 complex formation between the different isomers and viologen derivatives were determined according to standard fitting procedures. The association constants for the 1:2 complex formation between S and V1 in the \(^1\)H-NMR titration experiment were fitted with the use
of Mathematica®, in which the association constant \( K_{SV} \) was varied and the fit provided the value of \( K_{VSV} \). In this paper the values for \( K_{SV} \) and \( K_{VSV} \) of the optimized fit are given. For a detailed description of the procedure we refer to the Supplementary Material.

The assumption that at lower concentrations the binding of \( V1 \) to \( S \) can be fitted with the use of a 1:1 binding isotherm can also be shown analytically. In a titration of \( S \) with a viologen \( V \) in which 1:2 complex formation is allowed both the 1:1 complex \( SV \) and the 1:2 complex \( VSV \) can form. The overall binding process in the titration is therefore: \( S + 2V \leftrightarrow SV + 18VSV \). The association constants for the 1:1 (\( K_{SV} \)) and the 1:2 complex formation (\( K_{VSV} \)) are presented in Equations (5) and (6).

\[
K_{SV} = \frac{[SV]}{[S][V]}
\]

\[
K_{VSV} = \frac{[VSV]}{[SV][V]}
\]

From the apparent association constant, which is given in Equation (7), it becomes clear that this constant, which describes the equilibrium of the combined 1:1 and 1:2 complexes, changes upon increasing the concentration of \( V \). There is, however, a certain concentration regime in which the deviations between Equations (5) and (7) are very marginal. As long as \( K_{VSV}[V] \) is small, the overall binding process does not deviate from a 1:1 binding process. At the experimental concentrations, the maximum concentration of \( V1 \) (\( [V]_o \)) was never higher than \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \) M and the concentration of \( S \) (\( [S]_o \)) was \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \) M. Because of this excess of \( V1 \) it can be safely stated that \( [V]_o \approx [V] \). Assuming an association constant \( K_{VSV} \) of 600 M\(^{-1}\) as derived from the \(^1\)H-NMR titration, it becomes clear that the apparent association constant deviates only 6% from the 1:1 binding behavior at the final point of the titration (\( K_{VSV}[V] = 0.06 \)), whereas in the initial part of the titration, in which lower concentrations of \( V \) are present, this deviation will be even smaller. Since this error is by no means larger than the experimental error in the chosen concentration regime of the titration experiment, accurate fits can be obtained with the help of 1:1 binding isotherms.

\[
K_{app} = \frac{[SV]+[VSV]}{[S][V]} = \frac{K_{SV}[S][V]+K_{SV}[S][V]K_{VSV}[V]}{[S][V]} = K_{SV}(1 + K_{VSV}[V])
\]

4. Conclusions

We have shown that the presence of viologen guests has a large influence on the thermodynamics and kinetics of the atropisomerization reaction between an adjacent-cis-linked and an adjacent-trans-linked “basket handle” porphyrin. The thermodynamic product of the guest-induced switching process strongly depends on the relative affinities of the individual isomers for the different viologen guests. In all cases, the switching experiments were in very good agreement with theoretical predictions based on the individual equilibrium constants. The kinetic studies of the switching process revealed that the presence of viologen derivatives actually enhances the rate of the switching process. It is suggested that this enhancement is caused by favorable interactions between the positively charged guest and the crown ethers of the receptor in the process of switching, which stabilize the transition state energies according to a mechanism similar to those proposed by Warshel [65,66] for the stabilization of transition states in enzymatic systems. Although the rate enhancement factor of 4 observed in this
switching process is not even close to the rate enhancement observed for natural enzyme systems, which are generally many orders of magnitude larger, the cooperative action of many of these stabilizing interactions could well account for a substantial part of the enzyme proficiencies.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary materials can be accessed at: http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/19/4/5278/s1.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the European Research Council in the form of an ERC Advanced grant to R.J.M.N. (ALPROS-290886) and an ERC Starting grant to J.A.A.W.E (NANOCAT-259064). Further financial support was obtained from the Council for the Chemical Sciences of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (CW-NWO) (Vidi grant for J.A.A.W.E and Vici grant for A.E.R) and from the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science (Gravity program 024.001.035).

Author Contributions

A.B.C.D., J.A.A.W.E, R.J.M.N. and A.E.R. conceived the idea. A.B.C.D. and T.W. performed the synthesis, characterization, the titration experiments and the calculations. J.M.M.S. and R.D.G. performed the X-ray structure analysis. A.B.C.D. and J.A.A.W.E. co-wrote the paper. J.A.A.W.E., R.J.M.N. and A.E.R. gave comments on the paper.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest

References and Notes

1. Le Gac, S.W.; Marrot, J.; Reinaud, O.; Jabin, I. Allosterically coupled double induced fit for 1+1+1+1 self-assembly of a calix[6]trisamine, a calix[6]trisacid, and their guests. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 19, 3123–3126.
2. Specht, A.; Bernard, P.; Goeldner, M.; Peng, L. Mutually induced formation of host-guest complexes between p-sulfonated calix[8]arene and photolabile cholinergic ligands. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4706–4708.
3. Schneider, H.-J.; Güttes, D.; Schneider, U. Host guest chemistry 16. Host guest complexes with water-soluble macrocyclic polyphenolates including induced fit and simple elements of a proton pump. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6449–6451.
4. Reek, J.N.H.; Engelkamp, H.; Rowan, A.E.; Elemans, J.A.A.W.; Nolte, R.J.M. Conformational behavior and binding properties of naphthalene-walled clips. Chem.-Eur. J. 1998, 4, 716–722.
5. Cram, D.J.; Choi, H.-J.; Bryant, J.A.; Knobler, C.B. Host-guest complexation 62. Solvophobic and entropic driving forces for forming verlcrplexes which are 4-fold, lock-key dimers in organic media. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7748–7765.
6. Liu, Y.; Chen, Y. Cooperative binding and multiple recognition by bridged bis(beta-cyclodextrin)s with functional linkers. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 681–691.
7. Trabolsi, A.; Urbani, M.; Delgado, J.L.; Ajamaa, F.; Elhabari, M.; Solladié, N.; Nierengarten, J.-F.; Albrecht-Gary, A.-M. Large photoactive supramolecular ensembles prepared from C(60)-pyridine substrates and multi-Zn(II)-porphyrin receptors. *New J. Chem.* 2008, 32, 159–165.

8. Gibson, H.W.; Yamaguchi, N.; Hamilton, L.; Jones, J.W. Cooperative self-assembly of dendrimers via pseudorotaxane formation from a homotritopic guest molecule and complementary monotopic host dendrons. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, 124, 4653–4665.

9. Jones, J.W.; Zakharov, L.N.; Gibson, H.W. Cooperative host/guest interactions via counterion assisted chelation: Pseudorotaxanes from supramolecular cryptands. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, 124, 13378–13379.

10. Sato, H.; Tashiro, K.; Shinmori, H.; Osuka, A.; Murata, Y.; Komatsu, K.; Aida, T. Positive heterotropic cooperativity for selective guest binding via electronic communications through a fused zinc porphyrin array. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, 127, 13086–13087.

11. Thordarson, P.; Coumans, R.G.E.; Elemans, J.A.A.W.; Thomassen, P.J.; Visser, J.; Rowan, A.E.; Nolte, R.J.M. Allosterically driven multi-component assembly. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004, 43, 4755–4759.

12. Deutman, A.B.C.; Monnereau, C.; Moalin, M.; Coumans, R.G.E.; Veling, N.; Coenen, M.; Smits, J.M.M.; de Gelder, R.; Elemans, J.A.A.W.; Ercolani, G.; et al. Squaring cooperative circles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2009, 106, 10471–10476.

13. Koshland, D.E., Jr. Application of a theory of enzyme specificity to protein synthesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1958, 44, 98–104.

14. Steitz, T.A.; Ludwig, M.L.; Quiocio, F.A.; Lipscomb, W.N. Structure of carboxypepridase A: V. Studies of enzyme-substrate and enzyme-inhibitor complexes at 6 Å resolution. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1967, 242, 4662–4668.

15. Bennett, W.S.; Huber, R. Structureal and functional aspects of domain motions in proteins. *Crit. Rev. Biochem.* 1984, 15, 291–384.

16. Koshland, D.E., Jr. The key-lock theory and the induced-fit theory. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1994, 33, 2375–2378.

17. Gerstein, M.; Lesk, A.M.; Chothia, C. Structural mechanisms for domain movements in proteins. *Biochemistry* 1994, 33, 6739–6749.

18. Rebek, J., Jr.; Askew, B.; Killoran, M.; Nemeth, D.; Lin, F.-T. Convergent functional-groups. 3. A molecular cleft recognizes substrates of complementary size, shape and functionality. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987, 109, 2426–2431.

19. Vicent, C.; Hirst, S.C.; Garcia-Tellado, F.; Hamilton, A.D. Conformational selectivity in molecular recognition—The influence of artificial receptors on the cis-trans isomerization of acylprolines. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991, 113, 5466–5467.

20. Sijbesma, R.P.; Nolte, R.J.M. A molecular clip with allosteric binding properties. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991, 113, 6695–6696.

21. Hayashi, T.; Asai, T.; Hokazono, H.; Ogoshi, H. Dynamic molecular recognition in a multifunctional porphyrin and a ubiquinone analog. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1993, 115, 12210–12211.

22. Hayashi, T.; Asai, T.; Borgmeier, F.M.; Hokazono, H.; Ogoshi, H. Kinetic and thermodynamic analysis of induced-fit molecular recognition between tetraarylporphyrin and ubiquinone analogues. *Chem. Eur. J.* 1998, 4, 1266–1274.
23. Palyulin, V.A.; Emets, S.V.; Chertkov, V.A.; Kasper, C.; Schneider, H.-J. Conformational switching of 3,7-diaeryl-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonanes by metal binding and by solvent changes. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 3479–3482.

24. Weinig, H.-G.; Krauss, R.; Seydeck, M.; Bending, J.; Koert, U. Molecular signal transduction by conformational transmission: Use of tetrasubstituted perhydroanthracenes as transducers. Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 2075–2088.

25. Chong, Y.S.; Smith, M.D.; Shimizu, K.D. A conformationally programmable ligand. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7463–7464.

26. Kacprzak, K.; Gawronski, J. Bifunctional receptor triad for efficient recognition of mono- and dicarboxylic acids. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1532–1533.

27. Rebek, J., Jr. Binding forces, equilibria, and rates—New models for enzymatic catalysis. Acc. Chem. Res. 1984, 17, 258–264.

28. Takeuchi, M.; Ikeda, M.; Sugasaki, A.; Shinkai, S. Molecular design of artificial molecular and ion recognition systems with allosteric guest responses. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 865–873.

29. Gawronski, J.; Kacprzak, K. Architecture and function of atropisomeric molecular triads. Chirality 2002, 14, 689–702.

30. Iwasawa, N.; Takahagi, H. Boronic esters as a system for crystallization-induced dynamic self-assembly equipped with an “on-off” switch for equilibration. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7754–7755.

31. Houk, K.N.; Leach, A.G.; Kim, S.P.; Zhang, X. Binding affinities of host-guest, protein-ligand, and protein-transition-state complexes. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4872–4897.

32. Gottwald, L.K.; Ullman, E.F. Biphenyl-type atropisomerism as a probe for coformational rigidity of alpha, beta, gamma, delta-tetraarylporphines. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 36, 3071–3074.

33. Walker, F.A. Biphenyl-type atropisomerism as a probe for conformational rigidity of alpha, beta, gamma, delta-tetraarylporphines. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 52, 4949–4952.

34. Momenteau, M.; Loock, B.; Mispelter, J.; Bisagni, E. Basket handle porphyrins and their ferrous complexes as stable oxygen carriers. Nouv. J. Chim. 1979, 3, 37–39.

35. Momenteau, M.; Loock, B. Basket handle porphyrins—New synthetic iron(II) complexes for oxygen binding. J. Mol. Catal. 1980, 7, 315–320.

36. Jimenez, H.R.; Moratal, J.M.; Faus, J.; Momenteau, M. A solution study of the interaction of superstructured iron(II) porphyrins with catechol. New J. Chem. 1994, 18, 1247–1252.

37. Landergren, M.; Baltzer, L. The effect of porphyrin ruffling on the intrinsic binding of carbon monoxide in iron(II) hybrid basket-handle porphyrins by multinuclear NMR and FT-IR spectroscopy. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1992, 2, 355–360.

38. Picaud, T.; le Moigne, C.; Loock, B.; Momenteau, M.; Desbois, A. Nonplanar distortions of bis-base low-spin iron(II)-porphyrinates: Absorption and resonance Raman investigations of cross-trans-linked iron(II)-basket-handle porphyrin complexes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11616–11625.

39. Rose, E.; Gallo, E.; Raoul, N.; Bouché, L.; Pille, A.; Caselli, A.; Lequin, O. Chiral “basket handle” binaphthyl porphyrins: Synthesis, catalytic epoxidation and NMR conformational studies. J. Porphyr. Phthalocyanines 2010, 14, 646–659.
40. Li, P.; Alenezi, K.; Ibrahim, S.K.; Wright, J.A.; Hughes, D.L.; Pickett, C.J. Towards alternatives to anodic water oxidation: Basket-handle thiolate Fe^{III} porphyrins for electrocatalytic hydrocarbon oxidation. *ChemSusChem* **2012**, *5*, 2361–2375.

41. Elemans, J.A.A.W.; Claase, M.B.; Aarts, P.P.M.; Rowan, A.E.; Schenning, A.P.H.J.; Nolte, R.J.M. Porphyrin clips derived from diphenylglycoluril. Synthesis, conformational analysis and binding properties. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 7009–7016.

42. Elemans, J.A.A.W.; Bijsterveld, E.J.A.; Rowan, A.E.; Nolte, R.J.M. Manganese porphyrin hosts as epoxidation catalysts: Activity and stability control by axial ligand effects. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *2007*, 751–757.

43. Simonis, U.; Walker, F.A.; Lee, P.L.; Hanquet, B.J.; Meyerhoff, D.J.; Scheidt, W.R. Synthesis, spectroscopic, and structural studies of extremely short chain basket handle porphyrins and their zinc(II) complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 2659–2668.

44. The error obtained via measuring the integrals was significantly higher.

45. Experimental uncertainties in van’t Hoff plots used to determine the $\Delta H^\circ$ and $\Delta S^\circ$ values may lead to accidental correlations with no statistical significance.

46. Hunter, C.A.; Sanders, J.K.M. The nature of $\pi-\pi$ interactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 5525–5534.

47. Williams, A.R.; Northrop, B.H.; Chang, T.; Stoddart, J.F.; White, A.J.P.; Williams, D.J. Suitanes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 6665–6669.

48. Gunter, M.J.; Hockless, D.C.R.; Johnston, R.; Skelton, B.W.; White, A.H. Self-assembling porphyrin [2]-catenanes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 4810–4823.

49. Gunter, M.J.; Jeynes, T.P.; Johnston, M.R.; Turner, P.; Chen, Z. Structural control of co-receptor binding in porphyrin-bipyridinium supramolecular assemblies. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1* **1998**, *1945–1958*.

50. Thordarson, P.; Bijsterveld, E.J.A.; Elemans, J.A.A.W.; Kasák, P.; Nolte, R.J.M.; Rowan, A.E. Highly negative homotropic allosteric binding of viologens in a double-cavity porphyrin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1186–1187.

51. Unfortunately, the effect of temperature on the binding of the second molecule of V1 to S ($K_{SVS}$) could therefore not be investigated.

52. Although it could also be a result of significant 1:2 complex formation. Nevertheless, it is clear that V3 does not show significant stronger binding to C than to S.

53. Crossley, M.J.; Field, L.D.; Forster, A.J.; Harding, M.M.; Sternhell, S. Kinetics of tautomerism in 2-substituted 5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrins—Directionality of proton-transfer between the inner nitrogens. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 341–348.

54. Hatano, K.; Anzai, K.; Kubo, T.; Tamai, S. Synthesis and kinetic investigation of the atropisomerization of meso-tetra(2-cyanophenyl)porphine. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1981**, *54*, 3518–3521.

55. Hatano, K.; Anzai, K.; Nishino, A.; Fujii, K. A kinetic-study of thermal rotational isomerization of 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(ortho-aminophenyl)porphyrin and 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(ortho-pivaloylaminophenyl)porphyrin. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1985**, *58*, 3653–3654.
56. Fujimoto, T.; Umekawa, H.; Nishino, N. A new picket-fence porphyrin precursor—Synthesis and atropisomerism of free base and zinc complex of meso-tetra(ortho-methoxycarbonylphenyl)porphyrin. *Chem. Lett.* 1992, 37–40.

57. Spasojevic, I.; Menzeleev, R.; White, P.S.; Fridovich, I. Rotational isomers of N-alkylpyridylporphyrins and their metal complexes. HPLC separation, H-1 NMR and X-ray structural characterization, electrochemistry, and catalysis of O-2(center dot-) disproportionation. *J. Inorg. Chem.* 2002, 41, 5874–5875.

58. Song, R.; Robert, A.; Bernardou, J.; Meunier, B. Illustrating atropisomerism in the porphyrin series using NMR spectroscopy. *Analusis* 1999, 27, 464–467.

59. Reginato, G.; di Bari, L.; Salvadori, P.; Guilard, R. Chiral atropisomeric metalloporphyrins in the enantioselective styrene epoxidation. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2000, 2000, 1165–1171.

60. Coumans, R.G.E.; Elemans, J.A.A.W.; Nolte, R.J.E.; Rowan, A.E. Processive enzyme mimic. Kinetics and thermodynamics of the threading and sliding process. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, 103, 19647–19651.

61. Sheldrick, G.M. *SADABS. Program for Empirical Absorption Correction*; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1996.

62. De Gelder, R.; de Graaff, R.A.G.; Schenk, H. Automatic determination of crystal structures using Karle-Hauptman matrices. *Acta Cryst.* 1993, A49, 287–293.

63. Sheldrick, G.M. *SHELXL-97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures*; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

64. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44 1223 336033; E-Mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

65. Warshel, A. *Computer Modeling of Chemical Reactions in Enzymes and Solutions*; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1991.

66. Warshel, A. Electrostatic Origin of the Catalytic Power of Enzymes and the Role of Preorganized Active Sites. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1998, 273, 27035–27038.

Sample Availability: Not available.

© 2014 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).