Structural conservation in a membrane-enveloped filamentous virus infecting a hyperthermophilic acidophile
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Different forms of viruses that infect archaea inhabiting extreme environments continue to be discovered at a surprising rate, suggesting that the current sampling of these viruses is sparse. We describe here Sulfolobus filamentous virus 1 (SFV1), a membrane-enveloped virus infecting Sulfolobus shibatae. The virus encodes two major coat proteins which display no apparent sequence similarity with each other or with any other proteins in databases. We have used cryo-electron microscopy at 3.7 Å resolution to show that these two proteins form a nearly symmetrical heterodimer, which wraps around A-form DNA, similar to what has been shown for SIRV2 and AFV1, two other archaeal filamentous viruses. The thin (∼20 Å) membrane of SFV1 is mainly archaeol, a lipid species that accounts for only 1% of the host lipids. Our results show how relatively conserved structural features can be maintained across evolution by both proteins and lipids that have diverged considerably.
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Viruses hold immense interest in areas ranging from the origin of life to biotechnological questions of how to package nucleic acids for delivery to cells. In addition, many viruses such as HIV, influenza and Ebola pose urgent threats to human health. Viruses that infect archaea are intensively studied for obvious reasons, while bacteriophages (viruses that infect bacteria) have been an important tool in molecular biology, and more recently have re-emerged as promising therapeutic agents against antibiotic-resistant bacteria. However, we know far less about viruses that infect archaea, the third domain of life. New forms of such viruses continue to emerge at a surprising rate, suggesting that our sampling of such viruses remains quite sparse. In this paper we describe a novel filamentous double-stranded (ds) DNA virus that infects a host living in nearly boiling acid, and show how components of the virion (proteins and lipids) can diverge considerably while preserving the overall virion organization and mode of the dsDNA packaging.

The simplest structural solution for packaging of a linear double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) molecule into a virion appears to be its condensation into a filamentous nucleoprotein helix. This would be similar to the packaging of a single-stranded RNA genome in tobacco mosaic virus, the first virus ever isolated. Surprisingly, such virion organization is rare among dsDNA viruses. Indeed, filamentous viruses with linear dsDNA genomes are known to parasitize only hyperthermophilic members of the phylum Crenarchaeota in the domain Archaea. They have been unified into the families Ruviridae, Lipothrixviridae, and Tris-tromaviridae, the virions of which carry one, two, or three major coat proteins (MCPs), correspondingly. Members of the Ruviridae are non-enveloped whereas members of the two other families are covered with lipid-containing envelopes. The three-dimensional reconstructions of the rudivirus SIRV2 (Sulfolobus islandicus virus 2) and the lipothrixvirus AFV1 (Acidianus filamentous virus 1), both at near-atomic resolution, revealed unexpected similarities in the virion organization of the members of the two families. In both cases the nucleoprotein helix is composed of asymmetric units which contains two molecules of the MCPs; a homodimer in the case of SIRV2 and a heterodimer in the case of AFV1. Moreover, in both virions DNA was found in A-form, although with different packaging parameters. With the aim of better understanding the evolutionary history of filamentous dsDNA viruses and general mechanisms of virus evolution, we have isolated and characterized a new species of hyperthermophilic crenarchaeal viruses — Sulfolobus filamentous virus 1, and report here on its structural and genomic properties.

Results

Virus and host isolation. The replication of the filamentous virus-like particles (VLPs), with lengths of about 900 nm, was observed in the enrichment culture established from an environmental sample collected at hot, acidic spring Umi Jigoku in Beppu, Japan (75°C, pH 3.6). From the enrichment culture, 150 single cell isolates were colony purified. Their ability to replicate the VLPs was verified by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations of “infected” cell cultures. Three VLP-replicating strains were identified. One of them, designated as BEU9, was subjected to an additional round of colony purification. The 16S rRNA gene sequence of the isolate revealed that it represents a strain of Sulfolobus shibatae, with 99% sequence identity to Sulfolobus shibatae B12[10]. The virus replicating in S. shibatae BEU9 was isolated from a single plaque and named Sulfolobus filamentous virus 1 (SFV1) (Fig. 1).

In order to determine the host range of SFV1, virus replication was analyzed in collection strains of the genus Sulfolobus, including S. islandicus strains REY15A[11], HVE10/4[11], and LAL14/1[12], S. solfaricus strains P1 (GenBank accession no. NZ_LT549890), P2[12] and 98/2[13], and S. acidocaldarius strain DSM639[14]. None of these strains served as a viral host.

Virion morphology. From images of negatively stained samples (Fig. 1a, b), virions of SFV1 appear as flexible filamentous particles that are 845 ± 15 nm long. Each end of the virion is tapered, measures 110 ± 12 nm in length (Supplementary Fig. 1a), and consists of a “neck” and a mop-like structure with several thin, short fibers (Fig. 1b). Intriguingly, in the virus preparations we frequently observed virions with significantly elongated “necks” at one or both termini, which could reach 700 nm in length (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 1b).

Viral genome. The nucleic acid extracted from the purified SFV1 virions was insensitive to RNase A but could be digested by type II restriction endonucleases (BglII, BamHI, KpnI and XbaI), indicating that the viral genome is a dsDNA molecule. Sequencing revealed that the SFV1 genome contains 37,311 bp, including
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Fig. 1 Electron micrographs of SFV1 virions. a Negatively stained purified virions. b Negatively stained virions with an elongated “neck”; mop-like terminal structures are shown in the inset. c Cryo-EM of SFV1. Scale bars, 200 nm (50 nm in the inset, b).
the 337 bp-long terminal inverted repeats, and has a G+C content of 35.8%. The SFV1 genome contains 66 predicted open reading frames (ORFs) larger than 35 codons that are tightly arranged and occupy 95% of the genome. Fifty-six ORFs use AUG as a start codon.

Homology searches using the BLASTP program revealed that only 12 (~18%) SFV1 gene products are significantly similar (E-value cutoff of 1e-03) to sequences in the non-redundant protein database (Supplementary Table 1). Eight ORFs have homologs in viruses infecting host of the order Sulfolobales. One of them, ORF40, has homologs in members of the viral families Lipothrixviridae, Spiraviridae, Ampullaviridae and Portogloboviridae. Another, ORF28, has homologs in the Lipothrixviridae and Rudiviridae, while the remaining six (ORFs 2, 44, 48, 49, 50, 52) are exclusively shared with members of the Lipothrixviridae (Supplementary Table 1).

A combination of BLASTP analysis and a more sensitive hidden Markov model (HMM)-based HHpred analysis allowed (Supplementary Table 1). Rudiviridae are exclusively shared with members of the Lipothrixviridae. The former fi

65, were predicted to be involved in carbohydrate metabolism. Be involved in glycosylation of the viral and/or host proteins, archaeal viruses including rudivirus SIRV2, where the protein has been experimentally characterized and suggested to participate in certain stages of genome replication and repair or degradation of the host chromosome. ORF1 and ORF66 are encoded within the terminal inverted repeats and, accordingly, are identical to each other (Fig. 2a). In the rudivirus SIRV2, the genes located within the terminal inverted repeats are the first to be expressed and encode proteins believed to recruit the cellular replisome for viral genome replication via interaction with the host-encoded PCNA, the DNA sliding clamp. Based on the overall virion morphology and gene content analysis, SFV1 appears to be evolutionarily related to lipothrixviruses. Surprisingly, however, neither of the two major coat proteins, a signature of the Lipothrixviridae, could be identified in SFV1 by sequence similarity searches.

![Fig. 2 Genes, proteins, and lipids of SFV1.](image_url)

- **a** Genome map of SFV1. The ORFs are represented with arrows, indicating the direction of transcription. Genes encoding structural proteins are in blue, and ORFs containing predicted transmembrane domains are indicated by asterisks. **b** SDS-PAGE of SFV1 virion proteins stained with Coomassie brilliant blue. The VPs which were identified in proteins bands are indicated. The protein bands marked by asterisks contained a mixture of different VPs in comparable proportion. **c** Distribution of lipid species identified in S. shibatae BEU9 cells (Host) and SFV1 virions. **d** Chemical diagrams for the lipid species identified in SFV1 virions and S. shibatae BEU9 cells. M molecular mass standards.
Virion composition. Two prominent bands of equal intensity and seven weaker bands were observed on an electropherogram of highly purified SFV1 virions (Fig. 2b). The viral proteins (VPs) in each band were analyzed by liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The results revealed that the two most prominent bands contained proteins VP4 and VP5, with apparent molecular masses of about 16 and 23 kDa, which are encoded by ORF23 and ORF24, respectively (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Table 2). The traces of the two major VPs were detected across the whole length of the gel, most likely due to the presence of their multimers, and/or post-translational modifications. The weaker bands contained minor virus proteins encoded by ORF11 (VP1), ORF12 (VP2), ORF20 (VP3), ORF30 (VP6), ORF33 (VP7), and ORF34 (VP8) (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Table 2). The two bands, which are not labeled in Fig. 2b, contained a mixture of diverse VPs in comparable abundance. Notably, VP1 (ORF11) is a putative Bergerat-fold ATPase, which may be involved in folding of the virion proteins or silencing of the host genes, as has been shown for Hsp90 chaperones and Micorochidia family ATPases, respectively, which also belong to the GHKL superfamily. Finally, VP3 contains two putative membrane-spanning α-helical segments, suggesting that it may be embedded within or associated with the virion envelope. We have determined that VP3, VP4, and VP5 are all glycosylated (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Like most archaeal filamentous viruses, SFV1 contains an envelope. The presence of lipids in the viral envelope has been confirmed by LC-MS/MS analysis, and the corresponding lipid composition compared to that of the host cell membrane. The distribution of the lipid species in virions is markedly different from that in the host (Fig. 2c). Whereas the cellular membrane is dominated (99% of lipid content) by membrane-spanning C_{20} glycerol dibiphytanyl glycerol tetraether (GDGT) species, particularly GDGT-4 (containing four cyclopentane rings) and GDGT-5, the viral membrane is specifically enriched (80% of the lipid content) in the C_{20} sn-2,3-glycerol dibiphytanyl ether lipid, also known as archaeol (Fig. 2c). Furthermore, the glycerol trialkyl glycerol tetraether (GTGT), which represents less than 0.7% of the lipid content in the host, was substantially enriched (5%) in the SFV1 envelope. In contrast, the most dominant lipid in the cellular membrane, GDGT-4, represented only 7% of the viral envelope (Fig. 2c). These results indicate that incorporation of lipids into the SFV1 envelope from the host lipid pool is highly selective. Interestingly, envelopes of other crenarchaeal viruses, such as lipothrixivirus AFV1 (ref. 19) and fusellovirus SSV1 (ref. 23), lack archaeol and instead are enriched in GDGT-0 which, in the case of AFV1, assumes a U-shaped horseshoe conformation. The dominance of the flexible C_{20} archaeol suggests that the SFV1 envelope may be more fluid than that of the structurally related virus AFV1.

Cryo-EM Structure. Images of the vitrified virions (Fig. 1c) were analyzed by single-particle methods, ignoring the specialized structures at the ends and only focusing on the constant diameter tubes which account for most of the virions. We were able to extract ~500,000 overlapping segments of the tubes from ~600 micrographs. Power spectra of the virion segments (Supplementary Fig. 3) suggested that the helical symmetry could be described as \( n + 1/7 \) units per turn, where \( n \) was an integer between 15 and 20, inclusive. By trial-and-error, the correct symmetry (which was 17.14 units/turn) revealed a largely α-helical protein capsid, while incorrect symmetry values gave rise to density that was uninterpretable. The three-dimensional reconstruction showed a total diameter of ~270 Å, and that the nucleocapsid within the outer membrane (Fig. 3a) had a helical pitch of ~47 Å (Fig. 3b). There is a hollow lumen that is ~95 Å in diameter. In an initial reconstruction, some density appeared near the center of this lumen that was disconnected from the surrounding helical coil and could not be interpreted. Subsequent analysis (Supplementary Fig. 4) revealed that this density arose from regions near the tapered ends of the virus and must be due to the presence of other proteins at these ends. Since it is likely that these internal structures do not have the helical symmetry of the nucleocapsid, the reconstructed density could not be interpreted in this region. The nucleocapsid helix is right-handed, as determined by the hand of the α-helices that are clearly visualized. The asymmetric unit was shown to contain VP4, VP5 and 12 bp of DNA, since the 3.7 Å resolution of the reconstruction (Supplementary Fig. 5) allowed building an unambiguous atomic model for both VP4 and VP5 (Fig. 4a) as well as for the DNA (Fig. 4b, c). The DNA exists in the A-form, as has been shown for SIRV2 and AFV1. Since there are 12 bp per asymmetric unit, with 17.14 units/turn, there are ~120 asymmetric units in seven 47 Å pitch helical turns. This means that there are 1440 bp in 127 turns (120 local right-handed turns and seven right-handed superhelical turns), so the DNA has a twist of 11.3 bp/turn. In SIRV2 there are 11.2 bp/turn, while in AFV1 there are 10.8 bp/turn. These values all bracket 11 bp/turn, the “canonical” twist for A-form DNA. The helical radius of the DNA solenoidal supercoiling in SFV1 is ~75 Å, in contrast to SIRV2 where it is ~60 Å and in AFV1 where it is ~30 Å. Given the similar asymmetric units in all three viruses (see below), this is why there are 17.14 asymmetric units per turn in SFV1, 14.7 in SIRV2 and only 9.3 in AFV1.

An interesting difference between SFV1 and both SIRV2 and AFV1 is that the protein subunits contain N-terminal portions that project into the DNA groove. In VP5 (Fig. 5a) approximately six or seven residues can be seen making a deep insertion into the hollow core of the DNA (in A-DNA there is such a hollow core, which does not exist in B-DNA). Although the backbone density can be visualized very clearly, the absence of sidechain densities suggests that the conformation of these sidechains may be dictated by the local DNA sequence. In VP4 no density exists for the first three residues, but two or three residues at the N-terminus (Fig. 5b) can be seen partially inserting into the DNA. In SIRV2, no density was seen for the first six residues, and it is possible that these might be partially inserted into the DNA and in very different positions, so the density disappears after helical averaging. On the other hand, in the AFV1 heterodimer the first six residues of one subunit and the first seven of the other were not seen, but both of these missing regions appear to be too far from the DNA to be compatible with a significant insertion.

Surprisingly, VP4 and VP5 assemble to form a pseudo-symmetric heterodimer (Fig. 6a, b, c), which wraps around the DNA, in a manner similar to the symmetrical capsid homodimer in SIRV2 (Fig. 6d) and the pseudo-symmetrical heterodimer in AFV1 (Fig. 6e). This is surprising since a BLAST search using the VP5 sequence not only failed to find VP4 as a possible homolog, but also failed to find any other protein in the existing protein databases as having significant sequence similarity. In contrast to the compact dimers in SIRV2 and AFV1, VP5 contains a small C-terminal domain containing two short helices (Fig. 6a, b) that projects away from the dimer. This small VP5 domain makes extensive contacts with a VP4 subunit in an adjacent helical turn (Fig. 3c) as well as with the C-terminal domain from two other VP5 subunits in the same helical turn. It is likely that this small domain limits the axial extensibility of the virion, as AFV1, which lacks this extension, was observed to have a helical pitch that varied from ~39 to 47 Å. SIRV2, which also lacks this extension but is more ordered than AFV1, has a more compact helical pitch of 42 Å, which likely stabilizes the virion. In addition, VP5 has an insertion containing two β-strands.
While SIRV2 virions are bipolar since they have a twofold axis that is perpendicular to the filament axis and coincides with the local twofold axis in each subunit dimer (Fig. 6d) as well as with the pseudo twofold axis of the DNA (which would be an exact twofold if one ignored the non-palindromic DNA sequence), both SFV1 and AFV1 form polar filaments where the polarity is evident at fairly low resolution. In AFV1 this polarity is due to the pseudo twofold axis of the dimers (Fig. 6e) being tilted by ~26° from the perpendicular to the filament axis. In AFV1, as in SIRV2, the twofold (or pseudo-twelofold) axis is perpendicular to the filament, and the overall polarity in SFV1 arises from the asymmetry between the two subunits (Fig. 6c).

Properties of the membrane. The reconstruction of the virion (Fig. 3) shows that the membrane has an inner and outer density peak, as might be seen in a normal bilayer. However, as in AFV1, the membrane is anomalously thin, and only ~20–25 Å in thickness. The distance between the inner and outer peaks is 13 Å, in comparison with the 11 Å distance found in AFV1 (ref 9). As with AFV1, the density of the outer peak is greater than the inner one, but the density dip between these peaks is larger than in AFV1 and the height of the outer peak is greater than in AFV1 (Fig. 3d). A very crude estimate of the lipid content of the virion, assuming that cryo-EM density is directly proportional to MW (strictly speaking it is not, since the density arises from Coulombic potential), was obtained from simply summing the density in the membrane and comparing it with the total cryo-EM density of the virion. This suggested that the membrane accounts for ~40% of the total mass of the virion. This estimate agrees with a calculation of the membrane mass from simulations described below, which yields an upper limit of 45% of the total mass due to lipids in the cylindrical region of the virion, assuming that all density of the membrane is due to lipids. Since the overall resolution of the SFV1 reconstruction is significantly better than what was achieved for AFV1, the question of whether the membrane is a smooth cylinder (as was stated for AFV1) or is locally perturbed by the helical arrangement of protein subunits can be asked again, and answered with even more confidence. For some icosahedral viruses that contain an internal membrane, it has been shown that the membrane is not spherical, but has an icosahedral shape that is likely maintained by both integral membrane proteins and proteins which span the space between the membrane and the outer capsid28–30. What we see for SFV1, as was stated for AFV1,
is that there is no helical perturbation of the membrane by the protein, and that it appears cylindrically symmetric. Since the membrane accounts for ~40% of the mass of the virions, and since there are no features in the averaged power spectrum (Supplementary Fig. 3) arising from any liquid crystalline order of the membrane, all evidence suggests that it is fluid. If we compare the membrane with helical symmetrization with a membrane generated from cylindrically averaging the helical density, we see no systematic differences. This excludes the possibility that the membrane is deformed locally by the protein due to certain amino acid residues facing the membrane or inserted into the membrane.

Simulations of the membrane show that phosphatidylinositol archaeal lipids can reproduce the approximate membrane thickness but cannot account for the large density peaks on the inner and outer edges of the viral membrane (Supplementary Fig. 6). These peaks require a membrane component much more massive than the lipids detected by mass spectrometry. Our best explanation is that some or most of this density may come from VP3, a protein predicted to be nearly all α-helical with two putative membrane-spanning regions. To localize VP3 we have fractionated the virions into a nucleoprotein core and a lipid envelope (Fig. 7). The analysis of the fractions by SDS-PAGE revealed the presence of VP3 in the envelope fraction (Fig. 7b). The two major capsid proteins, VP4 and VP5, were identified in the fraction containing the nucleoprotein core, which had only negligible amount of VP3 (Fig. 7b).

The density of the outer peak does correspond in width to that expected from α-helices at a radius of 128 Å. These would need to be curved to follow the outer surface of the membrane if oriented in a plane perpendicular to the filament axis, or straight if in a plane parallel to the filament axis, or somewhere in between these two extremes. Given the unknown stoichiometry and structure of VP3, a molecular model of the archaeol:VP3 viral envelope would be grossly underdetermined at this time.

Discussion

It has been widely recognized that the tertiary structures of proteins can be highly conserved across large evolutionary distances while the primary sequences can diverge considerably31. Thus, two proteins that share no detectable sequence similarity can be discovered by structural techniques to have the same fold, and are most likely homologous. Homology can be established more reliably when sequences from a large family of proteins sharing a common fold are known, as in the example, of the actin-hexokinase-HSP70 family32. Indeed, comparison of viral structures has provided valuable insights into the origins of viral capsid proteins and revealed deep evolutionary connections in the virosphere that were not apparent from sequence analysis33,34. We show here that SFV1 VP4 and VP5, which have no sequence similarity detectable by BLAST15, are not only extremely similar in fold to each other, but also form a pseudo-symmetrical heterodimer that is very similar to the heterodimer formed by two AFV1 proteins9 and to the homodimer formed by a single SIRV2 protein8. Since all five of these proteins (two in SFV1, two in AFV1, and one in SIRV2) appear to be homologs, the lack of any detectable sequence similarity between, for example, SFV1 VP5 and the other four, suggests that there must be a large number of other sequences sharing the same fold that exist in archaeal viruses that have yet to be discovered or characterized. This leads to the conclusion that the current sampling of viruses that infect acidophilic hyperthermophiles is quite sparse.

The structural similarities observed among SIRV2, AFV1, and SFV1 testify to their common ancestry and that the differences are a
result of divergence rather than convergence towards one particular solution among filamentous thermophilic viruses. Thus, they adopt similar structures not because this is the optimal way to build a virus in such aggressive environments but simply because of common ancestry. This is supported by the fact that the virion organization of the evolutionarily unrelated helical virus APBV1 is completely different. The latter virus forms a hollow shell into which the circular dsDNA genome is stuffed. The examples of different archaeal lipids that are themselves highly dissimilar to the lipids that predominate in eukaryotic enveloped viruses. This demonstrates yet again that nature has evolved many different ways to accomplish similar functions. Understanding how these structures are assembled may give us new tools in fields ranging from nanotechnology to materials science.

Methods

Enrichment culture and isolation of SFV1 and host strain. The 10 ml aliquot of the environmental sample was used to inoculate 40 ml of Sulfolobus medium of which the components were described by Zillig et al. The culture was incubated for 10 days at 75 °C under anaerobic conditions. Single strains were colony purified from the enrichment cultures by plating on Phytagel (Sigma-Aldrich) plates and incubated for 5 days at 75 °C. To isolate the sensitive host of SFV1, 2 µl aliquot of cell-free enrichment culture supernatant containing VLPs were dropped onto the Phytagel plate that contained a mixture of soft layer and cells of each isolate. After 3 days at 75 °C, halo zones around the drops were observed on cell lawns of several isolates. The halo zones were then inoculated to exponentially growing cultures of the corresponding isolates. After 2 days at 75 °C, the replication of the virus was monitored by TEM observation of the culture supernatant. The single SFV1 virus strain was isolated from a single plaque formed on the lawn of isolated S. shibatae strain BEU9. The primers used for amplification of 16S rRNA gene of S. shibatae BEU9 were A21F (′-TTCCGGTTGATCCYGCCGGA-3′) and U1525R (′-AAG GAGGTGTGATCACCAGCC-3′).

SFV1 production and purification. About 25 ml of exponentially growing S. shibatae BEU9 cell culture (OD600: ~0.6) was infected by SFV1 at an MOI of 0.1–0.5. After incubation at 78 °C for 24 h, the infected culture was diluted by eight volumes of fresh medium and one volume of fresh exponentially growing BEU9 cells, and further incubated for 24 h at 78 °C. This procedure was repeated once, until the volume of the infected cell culture reached 2.5 l. To isolate the sensitive host of SFV1, 2 µl aliquot of cell-free enrichment culture supernatant containing VLPs were dropped onto the Phytagel plate that contained a mixture of soft layer and cells of each isolate. After 3 days at 75 °C, halo zones around the drops were observed on cell lawns of several isolates. The halo zones were then inoculated to exponentially growing cultures of the corresponding isolates. After 2 days at 75 °C, the replication of the virus was monitored by TEM observation of the culture supernatant. The single SFV1 virus strain was isolated from a single plaque formed on the lawn of isolated S. shibatae strain BEU9. The primers used for amplification of 16S rRNA gene of S. shibatae BEU9 were A21F (′-TTCCGGTTGATCCYGCCGGA-3′) and U1525R (′-AAG GAGGTGTGATCACCAGCC-3′).
purified in 10–25% sucrose gradient (in the sample buffer) by rate zonal centrifugation (Beckman SW32 Ti rotor, 82,667 × g, 20 min, 15 ºC), and the virus light-scattering zone was collected and further purified in 0.45 g ml⁻¹ CsCl (in the sample buffer) by isopycnic gradient centrifugation (Beckman SW60 Ti rotor, 215,000 × g, 17 h, 15 ºC).

Negative-stain electron microscopy. For negative-stain TEM, 3 µl of the sample was added to a Formvar-coated copper grid (Ted Pella Inc.) and stained with 2% (wt/vol) uranyl acetate. Samples were imaged with an FEI Tecnai Biotwin 120 TEM at 120 kV (Ultrapole of Institut Pasteur, France).

Extraction and analysis of viral DNA. Nucleic acid was isolated from the purified virions with phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1 vol/vol). Before extraction, the virus preparation was treated with SDS and Proteinase K with final concentrations of 0.5% (wt/vol) and 0.2 mg ml⁻¹, respectively for 1 h at 55 ºC. SFV1 genome library was prepared with the NEBNext Ultra DNA library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs), and samples were sequenced by Illumina technology Information. Searches for distant homologs were performed by using RAST v2.041. Homologs of the in silico predicted by using TMHMM42.

Analysis of SFV1 structural proteins. The highly purified virions were analyzed by 4–12% gradient NuPAGE Bis-Tris precast gel cast (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Proteins were stained with Coomassie blue using InstantBlue (Expedoen), and the stained protein bands were excised from the gel. Proteins were in-gel trypsin digested, and the generated peptides were analyzed by nano-LC-MS/MS (Proteomics Platform, Institut Pasteur, France) using an Ultimate 3000 system (Dionex) coupled to an LTQ-Orbitrap Velos system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Transmembrane domains were predicted using F2.

Dissociation of SFV1 virions. The purified SFV1 virions were treated with Triton X-100 with a final concentration of 0.5% (vol/vol) for 8 h at room temperature. After treatment, the virion fragments were separated by isopycnic gradient centrifugation in CsCl in the presence of 0.3% (vol/vol) Triton X-100. Nine fractions were collected and analyzed by SDS-PAGE, and TEM, prior to TEM observations Triton X-100 was removed from the fractions with Bio-Rad (Bio-Rad). Light-scattering bands were observed in fractions F1 and F8. In the fraction F1 were observed pleomorphic spherical particles of different sizes, most likely detached envelopes (Fig. 7a). The nucleoprotein core of the virion was observed in fraction F8 (Fig. 7a).

Analysis of SFV1 and host cell lipids. The freeze-dried host-cell preparation and the virion preparation were directly acid hydrolyzed by refluxing with 5% HCl in methanol for 3 h to release GDGT lipids. GDGT lipids were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography/atmospheric pressure chemical ionization-mass spectrometry (UHPLC/APCI-MS) using an Agilent 1260 HPLC, equipped with automatic injector, coupled to a 6130 Agilent MSD and HP Chemstation software. The injection volume was 10 µl. Separation of the GDGTs was achieved in normal phase using two silica BEH HILIC columns in series (150 mm × 2.1 mm; 1.7 µm; Waters Acquity) at a temperature of 25 ºC. The mobile phases are hexane (A) and hexane-isopropanol (9:1, v-v) (B). Compounds were isocratically eluted for 25 min with 18% B, followed by a linear gradient to 35% B in 25 min and a linear gradient to 100% B in the 30 min thereafter. The flow rate was kept constant (0.2 ml min⁻¹) during the analysis. The mass spectrometer was operated in single ion mode (SIM) to monitor archaeol, GTGTs and GDGTs with 0–8 cyclopentane moieties. Relative abundances of these lipids were determined by integrating peak areas of the SIM signal.

Cryo-EM and image analysis. The purified virus preparation (4 µl, 1–2 µg ml⁻¹) was applied to lacy carbon grids that were plasma cleaned (Gatan Solarus) and vitrified in a Vitrobot Mark IV (FEI, Inc.). Grids were imaged in a Titan Krios at 300 keV, and recorded with a Falcon III direct electron detector at 1.09 Å per pixel, with 24 “fractions” per image. Each fraction, containing multiple frames, represented a dose of ~2 electrons/Å². All the images were first motion corrected by

Fig. 7 Separation of fragments of Triton X-treated SFV1 virions by CsCl gradient centrifugation. a The scheme shows collected fractions and their density, along with electron micrographs of the observed particles from two fractions negatively stained with 2% uranyl acetate. Scale bars: 500 nm in the upper panel, 100 nm in the lower panel. b SDS-PAGE of collected fractions after staining with Coomassie brilliant blue; M molecular mass standards, SFV1 SDS-PAGE of intact virions, with indication of proteins VP3, VP4, and VP5
MolProbity was used to evaluate the quality of the models, and the statistics are building protocol of Rosetta. First, the map corresponding to a single VP4/VP5 model was generated from the long filament segments that were padded to 3.7 Å in Relion by movie-reconstruction of the local refinement map using Chimera. Then the A-form DNA model was docked to the experimental density. This allowed for approximately 75% of the backbone of both VP4 and VP5 to be successfully built by Rosetta. Then the full-length VP4/VP5 asymmetric unit was segmented from the Rosetta CM protocol. For both VP4 and VP5, a total of 3000 full-length models were generated based on the segmented map, and the top ~10 models were selected according to Rosetta’s energy function. These selected models were then combined into one model by manual editing in Coot using the criteria of the local fit to the density map and the geometry statistics of the model. The combined model was further refined by Phenix real space protocol to yield single asymmetric units of VP4/VP5.

Following the procedures from SirV and AFV, we also built models for the A-form DNA. The density corresponding to A-form DNA was first segmented from the filament map using Chimera. Then the A-form DNA model was docked into the map and further refined by the Phenix real space protocol to generate the best local fit of model to density. After this, DNA and the VP4/VP5 models were combined to generate a filament model. An additional Phenix real space refinement step was done to generate the final filament model, and for this step the DNA was held fixed as a rigid body so only protein conformation was optimized. MolProbity was used to evaluate the quality of the models, and the statistics are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

Membrane simulations. Simulations of the SVF capsid and membrane were performed using Gromacs and the CHARMM36 force field, with phosphatidyl-archaeol lipid parameters constructed from trivial modification of our previously published parameters. Lipids were placed in a cylindrical arrangement of the viral capsid, energy-minimized, solvated in TIP3P water and 150 mM NaCl, and then simulated for 450 ns under NVT conditions using the velocity-rescaling thermostat at 4 °C with long-range electrostatics treated using Particle Mesh Ewald. All other simulation parameters were as in our previous work. Simulations were performed at 0, 4, and 37 °C, and the viral membrane was simulated in all three.

Data availability. The sequences of the SVF1 genome and the host Sulfolobus BEU9 16S rDNA gene have been deposited in Genbank, with accession numbers MH441846 (SVF1) and MH4418276 (Sulfolobus BEU9 16S). The atomic model of the nucleoprotein complex has been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (6DFE) and the reconstructed volume has been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMD-7797). Other data are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.
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