**MDR1** overexpression combined with **ERG11** mutations induce high-level fluconazole resistance in *Candida tropicalis* clinical isolates
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Marked increases in fluconazole resistance in *Candida tropicalis* have been recently reported. In this study, the molecular mechanisms behind fluconazole resistance were investigated.

**Methods:** Twenty-two *C. tropicalis* clinical isolates, including 12 fluconazole-resistant isolates and 10 fluconazole-susceptible isolates, were collected from a tertiary care teaching hospital in Beijing between 2013 and 2017. Antifungal susceptibility testing, multilocus sequence typing, **ERG11** amplification and sequencing, quantitative real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (**ERG11**, **UPC2**, **MDR1**, and **CDR1**), and clinical data collection were performed for all *C. tropicalis* isolates.

**Results:** Multilocus sequence typing revealed that the 10 fluconazole-susceptible isolates and 12 fluconazole-resistant isolates were divided into nine and seven diploid sequence types, respectively. Of the 12 patients with fluconazole-resistant isolates, six had been previously exposed to azole and four had a fatal outcome. Y132F and S154F amino acid substitutions in Erg11p were found in all fluconazole-resistant isolates except one. **MDR1** gene overexpression was identified in fluconazole-resistant isolates. In particular, seven high-level fluconazole resistant isolates (minimum inhibitory concentration \( \geq 128 \) mg/L) and three pan-azole resistant isolates were identified. **CDR1**, **ERG11**, and **UPC2** gene expression levels in fluconazole-resistant isolates were not significantly different from the control isolates (\( P = 0.262 \), \( P = 0.598 \), \( P = 0.114 \), respectively).

**Conclusions:** This study provides evidence that the combination of **MDR1** gene overexpression and **ERG11** missense mutations is responsible for high-level fluconazole resistance and pan-azole resistance in *C. tropicalis* clinical isolates. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the relationship between **MDR1** gene overexpression and increased fluconazole resistance.

**Keywords:** *Candida tropicalis*, Fluconazole resistance, **MDR1**

**Background**

In recent years, *Candida* species have emerged as important causes of nosocomial infections leading to high morbidity and mortality, particularly in immunocompromised patients [1–3]. In China, the prevalence of *Candida tropicalis* is similar to that of *Candida parapsilosis*, making it the second most common non-*albicans* *Candida* species [4].

Currently, azole antifungal agents are widely used in the battle against clinical *Candida* infections [5]. Due to the lower risk of side effects and low cost associated with fluconazole, it has become one of the most commonly used antifungals [6]. Until recently, *C. tropicalis* was susceptible to azole and other antifungal agents. However, azole resistance in *C. tropicalis* has been recently observed, possibly owing to the extensive use of antifungals in medical institutions [7]. Pan-azole-resistant *C. tropicalis* isolates, which pose serious clinical challenges, have also been found in multiple countries [8–10]. Indeed,
the widespread use of azoles has been deemed to be an independent risk factor for fluconazole resistance in *Candida* species [11]. In addition, *C. tropicalis* appears to develop fluconazole resistance much more rapidly than other *Candida* species under in vitro selection [12].

Azole resistance in *Candida* species has been associated with mutations in or overexpression of the ERG11 gene or with upregulation of the CDR1 and MDR1 genes, the products of which are active transport pumps [13]. ERG11 gene mutations and overexpression have been demonstrated to be responsible for azole resistance in *C. tropicalis* clinical isolates in China [14]. However, there is still insufficient evidence of the role of the MDR1 and CDR1 genes in azole resistance of *C. tropicalis* clinical isolates. Therefore, in order to better understand the molecular mechanisms underlying azole resistance in *C. tropicalis*, we obtained a collection of fluconazole-resistant *C. tropicalis* isolates from a tertiary care teaching hospital and evaluated them for efflux pump gene expression level as well as ERG11 missense mutations. Our findings suggest that ERG11 missense mutations and increased MDR1 gene expression are highly correlated with fluconazole resistance in *C. tropicalis* clinical isolates.

**Methods**

**Strains and clinical data collection**

A total of 12 non-duplicated fluconazole-resistant (minimum inhibitory concentration [MIC], ≥8 mg/L) *C. tropicalis* isolates were retrospectively collected from hospitalized patients at Peking University People’s Hospital, a tertiary care teaching hospital with 1448 beds located in Beijing, China between 2013 and 2017. In the Peking University People’s Hospital, a high rate of resistance to fluconazole, with 20.30% resistant *C. tropicalis* isolates, was observed between 2013 and 2017. These isolates were recovered from various clinical specimens (excluding sputum samples) and presumptively identified by CHROMagar *Candida* (CHROMagar, Paris, France) and then confirmed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (MS) (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany). Fluconazole-susceptible isolates (MIC, ≤2 mg/L) were randomly obtained from different wards between 2013 and 2017. All strains were stored at −80 °C in 20% glycerol until use and maintained by biweekly passage on Sabouraud agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom).

Clinical data and patient information were obtained retrospectively from medical records. Previous use of azole antifungal agents was defined as administration within 3 months prior to the isolation of *C. tropicalis*. Inpatient outcomes were assessed 30 days after *C. tropicalis* isolation. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Board at Peking University People’s Hospital.

**Antifungal susceptibility testing**

Antifungal susceptibility testing was performed using Sensititre YeastOne™ YO10 methodology (Thermo Scientific, Cleveland, OH, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. MICs were determined after 24 h of incubation at 35 °C and interpreted according to species-specific Clinical & Laboratory Standards (CLSI) breakpoints [15]. *Candida krusei* ATCC 6528 and *C. parapsilosis* ATCC 22019 were used as quality controls.

**PCR amplification and sequencing of ERG11**

Total genomic DNA was extracted from overnight cultures using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations and used as the template for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the ERG11 gene. The primers and conditions used for amplification and sequencing of ERG11 were described previously as shown in Table 1 [14]. Nucleotide sequences of the amplicon were aligned with the sequence of *C. tropicalis* MYA-3404 (GenBank accession number XM_002550136).

**Multilocus sequence typing**

Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) was performed as described on the PubMLST website (https://pubmlst.org/ctropicalis/). Each isolate was characterized as a diploid sequence type (DST) based on the sequence of six housekeeping genes [16]. Novel allelic profiles and DSTs were submitted to the *C. tropicalis* MLST database.

**Relative quantification of gene expression**

Total RNA extraction and real-time reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) for the evaluation of expression levels of *CDR1*, *MDR1*, *ERG11*, and *UPC2* were performed as described previously [14, 17]. Total RNA was extracted

---

**Table 1: Primers used in this study**

| Gene          | DNA sequence (5' to 3')            | Amplicon size (bp) | Reference         |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| ERG11 for amplification | F:TGAAAAATACCCACCAGGCT<sup>1</sup> | 1846               | This study        |
|               | R:CTTAGACACAAGGCTCTAATGTT<sup>2</sup> |                    |                   |
| ERG11 for RT-PCR | F:GAGATTTGATGATTTGCTGTTGTT<sup>1</sup> | 163                | This study        |
|               | R:GAGATTTGATGATTTGCTGTTGTT<sup>2</sup> |                    |                   |
| CDR1          | F:CCAGAAGCTGTTGAACTTGCC<sup>1</sup> | 186                | This study        |
|               | R:RTGCTTGTGGTCTGCTTTCCA<sup>2</sup> |                    |                   |
| MDR1          | F:GGGATGCAATATCGAGCT<sup>1</sup>   | 189                | This study        |
|               | R:GGAGATGGCAATACATCA<sup>2</sup>   |                    |                   |
| UPC2          | F:GGGAAACACACACACACAC<sup>1</sup>  | 208                | This study        |
|               | R:RTAAATCCTCCTAACCTGAAAA<sup>2</sup> |                    |                   |
| ACT1          | F:TTTAGCGTGTTTCTCTCGGC<sup>1</sup> | 322                | [14]              |
|               | R:GGGACCTTCAAGGGCT<sup>2</sup>    |                    |                   |
from strains grown in yeast peptone dextrose media at mid exponential (log) phase using the RNase mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA was quantified using a NanoDrop 2000C (Thermo Scientific) and reverse transcription was performed using PrimeScript™ RT Master Mix (Takara, Tokyo, Japan). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed in triplicate using an ABI 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ II (Takara), and the primers listed in Table 1. The mRNA expression levels of target genes were normalized to the ACT1 gene using the relative standard curve method as described previously [14]. The transcript levels of fluconazole-resistant isolates were compared with the average expression level of the 10 fluconazole susceptible isolates.

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Antifungal susceptibility
Of the 22 C. tropicalis isolates, seven were determined to be high-level fluconazole-resistant isolates (MIC, ≥128 mg/L), five were low-level fluconazole-resistant isolates (MIC, 8–64 mg/L), and 10 were control isolates (MIC, ≤2 mg/L). After applying species-specific epidemiological cutoff values, three and twelve isolates were categorized as non-wild-type for itraconazole and posaconazole, respectively. Therefore, a total of three isolates (Ct07R, Ct09R, and Ct10R) had a non-wild-type phenotype or were resistant to the fourazole antifungals tested. In addition, all isolates were sensitive to amphotericin B and 5-flucytosine. In contrast, no significant difference was observed in the expression levels of ERG11, UPC2, or CDR1 between the 12 fluconazole-resistant isolates and the 10 control isolates (Fig. 1d).

ERG11 gene mutations
Sequence analysis of the ERG11 gene revealed no missense mutations in any control isolate. In contrast, two missense mutations, Y132F and S154F, were observed in all fluconazole-resistant isolates except isolate Ct02R (Table 2).

Multilocus sequence typing analysis
The 10 control isolates and 12 fluconazole-resistant isolates were grouped into nine and seven DSTs, respectively (Table 2). Fluconazole-susceptible isolates were more genetically diverse than fluconazole-resistant isolates. Four fluconazole-resistant isolates belonged to DST225. Two isolates belonged DST169, DST508, and DST639. In addition, the sequences of five isolates were not found in the C. tropicalis DST database and the allelic profiles and DSTs of these isolates were submitted to the C. tropicalis MLST database.

Expression levels of ERG11, UPC2, CDR1, and MDR1
Quantitative RT-PCR experiments revealed that expression levels of MDR1 were higher in the 12 fluconazole-resistant isolates than in the 10 control isolates. Moreover, seven high-level fluconazole resistant isolates had expression levels of MDR1 than five low-level resistant isolates. In addition, three pan-azole resistant isolates (Ct07R, Ct09R, and Ct10R) had higher expression levels of MDR1 than the other nine fluconazole-resistant isolates (Fig. 1a, b, c). However, understanding of the mechanisms underlyingazole resistance in clinical C. tropicalis isolates is insufficient compared to that of other Candida species.

Clinical information
Clinical information was gathered from chart reviews. Previous use ofazole antifungals, history of antifungal therapy, and 30-day outcomes of all C. tropicalis infected patients are shown in Table 2. Of the 12 inpatients with fluconazole-resistant C. tropicalis, six had been exposed toazole within 3 months prior to the isolates being recovered. Four patients died from any cause in the 30 days after the detection of C. tropicalis isolates. In contrast, among the 10 patients from whom fluconazole-susceptible C. tropicalis isolates were recovered no patients had previously been exposed toazole and four patients died.

Discussion
C. tropicalis has received widespread attention in recent years due to an increase in fluconazole resistance and the high mortality rate associated with infections [7, 18]. In a global surveillance study conducted in 2013, 31.8% of non-susceptible C. tropicalis isolates worldwide were obtained from mainland China [19]. Moreover, the rate of fluconazole resistance of C. tropicalis increased from 11.2 to 42.7% between 2011 and 2015 in China [7]. However, understanding of the mechanisms underlyingazole resistance in clinical C. tropicalis isolates is insufficient compared to that of other Candida species. Studies have demonstrated that Erg11p amino acid substitutions in the 14α-sterol demethylase involved in the biosynthesis of sterol ergosterol results in decreased fluconazole susceptibility in C. tropicalis clinical isolates [9, 20, 21]. Jiang et al. demonstrated that two mutations, Y132F and S154F, are responsible forazole resistance by inserting them into wild-type isolate [14]. Similarly, the amino acid substitutions Y132F and S154F in Erg11p were found in all fluconazole-resistant isolates except one (Ct02R: MIC, 8 mg/L) in our study.

A previous study confirmed that the UPC2 gene, which encodes a global transcriptional regulator of the
Table 2  Amino acid substitutions, antifungal agent MICs, and patient’s characteristics for 22 *Candida tropicalis* clinical isolates

| Designation | Origin | Date of isolation | Age /Sex | Underlying disease | Ward | Substitution of amino acid residue (Erg11p) | DST | Antifungal agent MIC (mg/L) | Previous azole use | Antifungal therapy | Thirty-day outcome |
|-------------|--------|------------------|----------|-------------------|------|---------------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Ct01R       | Blood  | 8/5/15           | 37/M     | ALL               | Hematology | Y132F, S154F | 225 | 128 4 0.25 0.25 0.5 ≤0.06 | FLU, VOR | None            | Survival        |
| Ct02R       | Pleural fluid | 10/4/14      | 65/M    | Liver Transplantation | ICU   | None | 639 | 8 0.5 0.25 0.25 0.5 ≤0.06 | VOR | None            | Death           |
| Ct03R       | Blood  | 9/12/13          | 72/F     | Chronic Renal Failure | Gastroenterological Surgery | Y132F, S154F | 639 | 256 4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.25 | None | FLU, AMB | Death           |
| Ct04R       | Blood  | 11/8/13          | 26/M     | ALL               | Hematology | Y132F, S154F | 329 | 64 2 0.25 0.25 0.5 ≤0.06 | FLU | ITR            | Survival        |
| Ct05R       | Blood  | 2/22/15          | 40/M     | CML               | Hematology | Y132F, S154F | 615 | 128 4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.25 | None | FLU, ITR | CAS Survival |
| Ct06R       | Blood  | 4/6/17           | 38/M     | AML               | Hematology | Y132F, S154F | NT  | 128 4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.25 | None | FLU, VOR | CAS, AMB Survival |
| Ct07R       | Urine  | 9/15/14          | 87/F     | Nephrolithotomy   | Urology  | Y132F, S154F | 225 | 256 > 8 1 1 0.5 0.25 | None | None | Survival |
| Ct08R       | Urine  | 7/22/15          | 19/M     | Pneumonia         | Respiratory | Y132F, S154F | 225 | 256 > 8 8 1 1 1 0.5 0.25 | None | ITR | CAS Survival |
| Ct09R       | Urine  | 8/7/15           | 64/M     | Pneumonia         | Respiratory | Y132F, S154F | 225 | 256 > 8 1 1 1 0.5 0.25 | None | None | Survival |
| Ct10R       | Urine  | 9/2/15           | 25/F     | ALL               | Hematology | Y132F, S154F | 506 | 256 > 8 1 1 1 0.5 0.25 | None | ITR | CAS Survival |
| Ct11R       | Urine  | 9/26/15          | 80/M     | Pneumonia         | ICU     | Y132F, S154F | 508 | 64 2 0.25 0.25 0.5 ≤0.06 | None | None | Death |
| Ct12R       | Urine  | 6/17/15          | 83/F     | Adrenocortical Carcinoma | ICU | Y132F, S154F | 508 | 64 2 0.25 0.25 0.5 ≤0.06 | None | None | Death |
| Ct01S       | Cervix | 4/5/17           | 34/F     | Premature Delivery | Obstetrics | None | NT  | 2 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.25 ≤0.06 | None | None | Survival |
| Ct02S       | Sputum | 6/15/17          | 60/M     | Respiratory Failure | Respiratory | None | NT  | 1 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.25 ≤0.06 | None | None | Survival |
| Ct03S       | Urine  | 6/23/17          | 16/F     | Ewing's Sarcoma   | Musculoskeletal Tumor | None | NT  | 1 0.06 0.12 0.12 0.25 | None | FLU | Survival |
| Ct04S       | Catheter | 6/25/17          | 44/M     | Hepatocellular Carcinoma | ICU | None | NT  | 2 0.12 0.25 0.25 0.25 ≤0.06 | None | None | Death |
| Ct05S       | Blood  | 11/27/14         | 50/M     | Cirrhosis         | Hepatology | None | 519 | 2 0.12 0.25 0.25 0.5 ≤0.06 | None | CAS | Survival |
| Ct06S       | Blood  | 2/13/15          | 43/M     | AML               | Hematology | None | 169 | 1 0.12 0.25 0.25 0.25 ≤0.06 | None | None | ITR | Death |
| Ct07S       | Urine  | 7/3/15           | 80/M     | CHD               | Cardiology | None | 343 | 1 0.12 0.25 0.25 0.25 | None | None | Survival |
| Ct08S       | Blood  | 9/25/15          | 42/M     | AML               | Hematology | None | 399 | 1 0.12 0.25 0.25 0.25 | None | None | VOR, AMB | Death |
| Ct09S       | Blood  | 10/31/14         | 51/F     | AML               | Hematology | None | 300 | 2 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 | None | None | None | Survival |
| Ct10S       | Urine  | 7/26/17          | 23/M     | HPS               | ICU     | None | 169 | 1 0.12 0.25 0.25 0.25 ≤0.06 | None | None | Survival |

*SFC*  5-flucytosine,  *ALL*  acute lymphocytic leukemia,  *AMB*  amphotericin B,  *AML*  acute myeloid leukemia,  *CAS*  caspofungin,  *CHD*  coronary heart disease,  *CML*  chronic myeloid leukemia,  *FLU*  fluconazole,  *HPS*  hemophagocytic syndrome,  *ITR*  itraconazole,  *NT*  untyped,  *POS*  posaconazole,  *VOR*  voriconazole

*within 3 months prior to the detection of *C. tropicalis*
**ERG11** gene, was up-regulated in azole-resistant *C. tropicalis* isolates [17]. Therefore, we examined **UPC2** gene expression levels in 12 fluconazole-resistant isolates. However, we found that there was no difference in the expression of the **UPC2** gene between fluconazole-resistant and control isolates. These results suggest that **ERG11** overexpression, which results in up-regulation of target enzymes, is not involved in azole resistance in fluconazole-resistant isolates.

In addition to the targeted mutations and overexpression of the enzyme Erg11p, we found that overexpression of the efflux pumps Mdr1p and Cdr1p, encoded by the **MDR1** and **CDR1** genes, are involved in *C. tropicalis* azole resistance [22]. Consistent with this finding, pan-azole resistant *C. tropicalis* isolate, which overexpresses the **MDR1** gene and contains the Y132F and S154F amino acid substitutions in Erg11p, has reportedly been isolated from a patient with acute lymphoblastic leukemia [8]. In the present study, we found that fluconazole-resistant isolates had significantly higher expression levels of the **MDR1** gene than control isolates. Furthermore, high-level fluconazole-resistant isolates had higher levels of **MDR1** expression than low-level fluconazole-resistant isolates. Most importantly, among the 12 fluconazole-resistant isolates, **MDR1** expression levels were highest in the three pan-azole-resistant isolates. Hence, we conclude that overexpression of the **MDR1** gene is associated with high-level fluconazole resistance in *C. tropicalis* clinical isolates. Additionally, these results indicate that the combination of **MDR1** gene overexpression and the amino acid substitutions Y132F and S154F in Erg11p is an important mechanism of pan-azole resistance in *C. tropicalis* clinical isolates.

Of the 12 fluconazole resistant isolates obtained, four belonged to DST225, including two pan-azole resistant isolates (Ct07R and Ct09R). Interestingly, human and fruit samples have recently been found to contain DST225 *C. tropicalis* in Taiwan and these isolates have been shown to be less susceptible to azole drugs [23]. This suggests that *C. tropicalis* fluconazole resistance is related to specific genotypes, such as DST225.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, specific mutations in the **ERG11** gene and upregulation of drug efflux pumps are the most common mechanisms underlying azole resistance in *C. tropicalis*. This study finds that the **MDR1** gene may have a role in determining a high-level of fluconazole resistance and pan-azole resistance in *C. tropicalis* clinical isolates. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the relationship between **MDR1** gene overexpression and high-level fluconazole resistance. However, further studies are needed to identify the mechanisms by which **MDR1** is overexpressed. Homologs of gain-of-function mutations in the *C. parapsilosis* **MRR1** gene, a regulator of the **MDR1** gene [24], also need to be further examined in the future.
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