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Experimental section

Chemicals and materials

Red phosphorous (P, ≥ 97.0 %), potassium hydroxide pellets (KOH, ≥ 85 %), hydrochloric acid (37 wt.%) and absolute ethanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and used as received without any further purification. Co foam (CF, 1.0 mm thick, 110 Pores Per Inch) was obtained from Hezhe Jiaotong Group, China. Deionized (DI) water from a Millipore system (18.2 MΩ·cm) was utilized for solution preparation and rinsing.

Fabrication of the self-supported CoP NWs electrodes.

A piece of Co foam (CF, 3 × 4.5 cm²) was cleaned by ultrasonication in 6 M HCl for 10 min, subsequently thoroughly rinsed with DI water and ethanol, and finally dried under N₂ flow at room temperature. The clean CF was loaded into a ceramic boat, with ca. 8 g of red phosphorous placed 1 cm away from the CF in the upstream side. Afterwards, the ceramic boat was put into a tube furnace (Carbolite). The furnace was then purged with nitrogen (N₂, 99.999 %), heated to 600 °C at a ramping rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, and maintained at this temperature for 1 h. Finally, the furnace was naturally cooled down to room temperature. The N₂ flow (500 sccm) was maintained throughout the whole process. The resultant foam was washed with DI water and ethanol, and subsequently dried under N₂ flow. By calculating the weight change of the foam before and after phosphorization, ca. 35 % of bulk Co foam was consumed during this phosphorization process. The resultant cobalt phosphide nanowires foam under this condition was denoted as CoP NWs throughout the main text unless otherwise specified.

The CoP NWs can also be successfully obtained by increasing the reaction time to 6 h or loading more red P (e.g., 16 g) under similar conditions. This led to the formation of CoP NWs with similar
morphology and structure. To investigate the effect of phosphorization temperature on the
electrocatalytic performance, the phosphorization was also carried out at 700 and 800 °C while
keeping all other phosphorization conditioned unchanged (i.e., 8 g of red P, 1 h reaction time). The
corresponding resultant electrodes were denoted as Co₅P₂-700 and Co₅P₂-800, respectively.

Characterization

All the samples were thoroughly rinsed prior to characterization. Scanning electron microscopy
(SEM) characterization was conducted using a FEI Quanta 650 FEG microscope equipped with an
INCA 350 spectrometer (Oxford Instruments) for energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX).
X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out on an X’Pert PRO diffractometer
(PANalytical) at 45 kV and 40 mA, using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.541874 Å) and a PIXcel detector.
XRD data were collected using Bragg-Brentano configuration in the 2θ range of 25 – 90 ° with a
scan speed of 0.01° s⁻¹. The XRD patterns were indexed and analyzed according to the
International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) PDF-4+ database using the HighScore software
package (PANalytical). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM), high-resolution TEM
(HRTEM), high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-
STEM) and EDX elemental mapping studies were performed on a probe-corrected transmission
electron microscope operating at 200 kV (FEI Titan ChemicSTEM 80-200). X-ray photoelectron
spectroscopy (XPS) data were acquired on an ESCALAB 250Xi X-ray photoelectron spectrometer
(Thermo Scientific) using Al Kα radiation. Raman spectra were collected by using Witec Alpha
300R confocal Raman system. The Raman measurements were performed with 50× objective lens,
a laser excitation wavelength of 532 nm with a power of 0.8 mW and 2 s acquisition time and 100
accumulations. The grating was 600 g mm⁻¹.

Electrochemical measurements
All electrochemical measurements were conducted at room temperature (ca. 25 °C) using a Biologic VMP-3 potentiostat/galvanostat in a typical three-electrode configuration for the HER and the OER tests or a two-electrode configuration for overall water splitting.

The HER performance was evaluated in H$_2$-saturated 1.0 M KOH aqueous solution using the as-fabricated CoP NWs foam as the working electrode and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as a reference. A foil of electrocatalytically inactive gold (0.1 mm, 99.99 %, Sigma-Aldrich), instead of Pt, was employed as the counter electrode to avoid any contamination from Pt. The SCE electrode was calibrated before each measurement in H$_2$-saturated 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution using a clean Pt wire as the working electrode. Prior to each HER test, the electrolyte was deaerated by bubbling with mixed H$_2$/N$_2$ gas (1 atm, 5% H$_2$ in 99.995 % N$_2$) for 2 h. The bubbling was then kept throughout the whole experiment.

The OER performance was evaluated in a three-electrode configuration in O$_2$-saturated 1.0 M KOH solution using the as-fabricated CoP NWs foam as the working electrode, a calibrated SCE as a reference, and a clean Pt foil as the counter electrode. Prior to each OER test, the electrolyte was deaerated by bubbling with high-purity O$_2$ (1 atm, 99.9999 %) for 2 h, and the bubbling was subsequently maintained throughout the whole experiment.

Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was performed at a scan rate of 2 mV s$^{-1}$ to obtain the polarization curves. Unless stated otherwise, all potentials are reported versus reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) by converting the potentials measured vs. SCE according to the following equation:

$$E(\text{RHE}) = E(\text{SCE}) + 0.241 + 0.059 \times \text{pH}$$

(1)
The current density \((j)\) presented in this work is normalized with respect to the geometric surface area of the electrode. No pre-activation (e.g., repetitive cyclic voltammetry) process was performed before the HER. For the CoP NWs electrode, the steady-state LSV curves can be obtained from the second scan for the HER. For the OER, the CoP NWs electrode was scanned by using cyclic voltammetry (CV) at \(2 \text{ mV s}^{-1}\) between 0.8375 V and 1.6375 V vs. RHE for 10 continuous cycles. Afterwards the steady-state CV curves can be obtained.

Accelerated degradation test (ADT) for the HER was performed using cyclic voltammetry (CV) at \(50 \text{ mV s}^{-1}\) in the range of \(-0.22 - 0.2\) V vs. RHE for 1000 continuous cycles. To evaluate the long-term stability of the activated CoP NWs electrodes, chronopotentiometric (CP) measurements were carried out at a given constant current density. To investigate the conversion process of CoP to CoOOH, chronoamperometric (CA) measurements were carried out using pristine CoP NWs electrodes at the anodic potential of \(1.54\) V (non iR-corrected). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out in the frequency range of \(2 \times 10^5 - 5 \times 10^3\) Hz with 10 mV sinusoidal perturbations. Unless stated otherwise, all polarization curves measured in a three-electrode configuration are iR-corrected. The correction was made according to the following equation:

\[
E_{\text{corr}} = E_{\text{mea}} - iR_c
\]

where \(E_{\text{corr}}\) is iR-corrected potential, \(E_{\text{mea}}\) experimentally measured potential, and \(R_c\) is the compensated resistance measured by using the Bio-Logic EC-Lab software (ZIR technique).

For comparison, the electrocatalytic performance of a bare Co foam (CF), commercially available RuO\(_2\) nanopowders (99.9%, Alfa Aesar) and Pt-C catalysts (20 wt.% Pt on Vulcan,
FuelCell Store) supported on CF (loading mass = 3.0 mg cm$^{-2}$) was also measured under the similar conditions.

For overall water splitting, a symmetrical full electrolyzer was constructed using two identical CoP NWs electrodes as cathode and anode, respectively. Overall water splitting performance was evaluated using LSV and CP in a two-electrode configuration in 1.0 M KOH. LSV curves were recorded at a sweep rate of 2 mV s$^{-1}$. The CP curves measured in a two-electrode configuration are not iR-corrected. For comparison, the control electrolyzers consisting of two identical Co foams or a pair of RuO$_2$ (anode) and Pt-C (cathode) catalysts loaded on the Co foams with the loading mass of 3.0 mg cm$^{-2}$ were also tested under the above-mentioned conditions. Long-term stability of the two-electrode CoP NWs electrolyzer was evaluated by CP at 20 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 100 mA cm$^{-2}$ in 1.0 M KOH aqueous solution.
Supplementary Figures:

Figure S1. (a) and (b) Low-magnification SEM images of a bare Co foam (CF). (c) High-magnification SEM image of the surface of Co ligament.
Figure S2. SEM image (a) and EDX spectrum (b) of Co<sub>x</sub>P<sub>y</sub> electrodes obtained at 700 °C (Co<sub>x</sub>P<sub>y</sub>-700). SEM image (c) and EDX spectrum (d) of Co<sub>x</sub>P<sub>y</sub> electrodes obtained at 800 °C (Co<sub>x</sub>P<sub>y</sub>-800). (e) XRD patterns of Co<sub>x</sub>P<sub>y</sub>-700 and Co<sub>x</sub>P<sub>y</sub>-800. The standard ICDD powder diffraction patterns of CoP (No. 00-029-0497) and Co<sub>2</sub>P (No. 00-029-0306) are also given for reference.
Figure S3. High-resolution XPS spectra of CoP NWs. (a) Co 2p peaks and (b) P 2p peaks.

In the typical Co 2p\textsubscript{3/2} region, the sharp peak at 778.6 eV is assigned to Co 2p\textsubscript{3/2} in the CoP component, consistent with the binding energy (BE) reported for CoP in the literature.\textsuperscript{[1-5]} The broad low-intensity peak at 781.6 eV corresponds to oxidized Co species that likely result from the slight surface oxidation. The presence of such an oxidation state is commonly observed in CoP nanostructures reported previously.\textsuperscript{[3-5]} In the P 2p region, the peaks at 129.6 and 130.4 eV are attributed to the P 2p\textsubscript{3/2} and 2p\textsubscript{1/2} of CoP, respectively, which are in good agreement with the BE in the reported CoP materials.\textsuperscript{[1-5]} The other peak at 134.1 eV corresponds to surface oxidized derivatives, which were reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{[2-5]} These assignments are well consistent with the BEs reported for CoP, demonstrating the good crystallinity of as-prepared CoP nanowires in this work.\textsuperscript{[1-5]}
**Figure S4.** The steady-state cyclic voltammetric (CV) curves of the conditioned CoP NWs electrode (a) and bare Co foam (b) recorded at a rate of 2 mV s⁻¹. The shaded areas indicate the integration based on the oxidative (red) or reductive (blue) peak of the Co²⁺ ↔ Co³⁺ redox pair. The integration potential window is 0.87 – 1.21 V vs. RHE. (c) Values of the integrated charges of the redox peaks for the conditioned CoP NWs and bare CF electrodes.
Figure S5. (a) Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) Nyquist plots of the conditioned CoP NWs and bare CF electrodes recorded at 1.558 V vs. RHE in the frequency range of $2 \times 10^5$ – $5 \times 10^{-3}$ Hz under the OER conditions. The data of conditioned CoP NWs and bare CF electrodes were fitted using the modified Randle equivalent circuit model shown in (b) and (c), respectively. $R_s$ and $R_{ct}$ represent the equivalent series resistance and charge transfer resistance.
Figure S6. The steady-state cyclic voltammetric (CV) curves of the CoP NWs electrode obtained at 600 °C for 1 h in the presence of 8 and 16 g of red P. All experiments were conducted in 1.0 M KOH at room temperature.
Figure S7. The steady-state cyclic voltammetric (CV) curves of the CoP NWs electrode obtained at 600 °C in the presence of 8 g of red P for 1 and 6 h. All experiments were conducted in 1.0 M KOH at room temperature.
Figure S8. (a) The cyclic voltammetric (CV) curves of the cobalt phosphide electrodes synthesized at 600 (CoP-600), 700 (Co_{x}P_{y}-700) and 800 °C (Co_{x}P_{y}-800). (b) The Tafel plots of CoP-600, Co_{x}P_{y}-700 and Co_{x}P_{y}-800 derived from the reduction branches of their corresponding CV curves. All experiments were conducted in 1.0 M KOH at room temperature.
Figure S9. The chronoamperometric (CA) profile of a pristine CoP NWs electrode synthesized at 600 °C recorded at 1.54 V vs. RHE in 1.0 M KOH solution. Inset: zoomed view of the plot in the beginning 0.12 – 30 min. Five CoP NWs electrodes were examined comprehensively by SEM, TEM, Raman and XPS techniques, which are the ones after the CA test for only 20 s, 5 min, 30 min, 3 h and 6 h, respectively (the time points denoted by red open circles).
Figure S10. SEM images of the CoP NWs electrodes after the CA measurements at 1.54 V vs. RHE for 20 s (a), 5 min (b, c), 30 min (d), 3 h (e) and 6 h (f).
Figure S11. SEM-EDX spectra of the as-obtained CoP NWs electrode and those after the CA measurements at 1.54 V vs. RHE for a given period of time.
Figure S12. HAADF-STEM image (a) and elemental maps of Co (b), P (c), O (d) and their overlap (e) for a single NW after the CA measurement for 20 s at 1.54 V vs. RHE.
Figure S13. HAADF-STEM image (a) and elemental maps of Co (b), P (c), O (d) and their overlap (e) for a single NW after the CA measurement for 5 min at 1.54 V vs. RHE.
Figure S14. HAADF-STEM image (a) and elemental maps of Co (b), P (c), O (d) and their overlap (e) for a single NW after the CA measurement for 30 min at 1.54 V vs. RHE.
Figure S15. HAADF-STEM image (a) and elemental maps of Co (b), P (c), O (d) and their overlap (e) for a single NW after the CA measurement for 3 h at 1.54 V vs. RHE.
Figure S16. HRTEM image (a), HAADF-STEM image (b) and elemental maps of Co (c), P (d), O (e) and their overlap (f) for a single NW after the CA measurement for 6 h at 1.54 V vs. RHE.
Figure S17. The Raman spectra of the pristine CoP electrode and those after the CA measurements at 1.545 V vs. RHE for the given time.
Figure S18. High-resolution XPS spectra of the pristine CoP NWs electrode and those after the CA measurements at 1.545 V vs. RHE for the given time. (a) Co 2p, (b) P 2p and (c) O 1s peaks.

The Co 2p\textsubscript{3/2} peak at 778.7 eV corresponds the Co species in CoP (J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 7587-7590; Nano Energy, 2015, 15, 634-641; Inorg. Chem., 2005, 44, 8988-8998). As the in-situ electrochemical oxidation/dephosphorization proceeds, the intensities of P 2p peaks attributed to CoP significantly decrease, and the Co 2p\textsubscript{3/2} peak at 780.4 eV and deconvoluted O 1s peaks at 531.2 and 529.8 eV become prominent, which can be assigned to the formed CoOOH (J. Ryu, N. Jung, J. H. Jang, H.-J. Kim and S. J. Yoo, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 4066-4074; M. S. Burke, M. G. Kast, L. Trotochaud, A. M. Smith and S. W. Boettcher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 3638-3648;
J. Yang, H. Liu, W. N. Martens and R. L. Frost, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, 114, 111-119). This indicates that CoOOH starts to appear in the CA-20 s electrode and the CoP NWs are in-situ converted to CoOOH progressively, while P is leaching out as the electrolysis proceeds.

**Figure S19.** Electrocatalytic performance of the electrodes for the HER measured in H$_2$-saturated 1.0 M KOH. (a) Polarization curves of CoP NWs synthesized at 600 °C, bare CF and Pt-C catalysts deposited on CF. (b) The corresponding Tafel plots. (c) Multi-step chronopotentiometric (CP) curves of CoP NWs and CF at varying current densities without iR-correction. (d) The CP curve
of CoP NWs recorded at a current density of -20 mA cm\(^{-2}\). Inset is the polarization curves of the CoP NWs electrode before and after 1000 continuous CV cycles in the range of -0.22 – 0.2 V at a scan rate of 50 mV s\(^{-1}\).

The monolithic CoP NWs foam was also used an integrated electrode to catalyze the HER in H\(_2\)-saturated 1.0 M KOH in a three-electrode configuration. Figure S19 shows the polarization curves of CoP NWs, CF and Pt-C catalyst supported on CF measured under the same conditions. As the state-of-the-art electrocatalyst for HER, Pt-C exhibits the highest electrocatalytic activity, delivering a current density of –10 mA cm\(^{-2}\) at -39 mV. The CoP NWs electrode only requires overpotentials of 124 (\(\eta_{10}\)), 163 (\(\eta_{20}\)) and 244 mV (\(\eta_{100}\)) to deliver current densities of –10, –20 and –100 mA cm\(^{-2}\) respectively, outperforming those of many recently-reported non-precious HER catalysts tested under the similar conditions (Table S2). In contrast, the bare CF shows a small current density of merely –5.7 mA cm\(^{-2}\) even at a high overpotential of 300 mV. The Tafel slope of CoP NWs is 105 mV dec\(^{-1}\), which is much smaller than that of CF (119 mV dec\(^{-1}\)) and favorably comparable to those of many recently-reported non-precious HER catalysts (Table S2). The Tafel slope falls within the range of 40–120 mV dec\(^{-1}\), suggesting that the HER taking place on the CoP surface in the basic solution would follow a Volmer–Heyrovsky mechanism, and that the rate of the discharge step would be consistent with that of the desorption step.\(^{[6, 7]}\) Figure S19c illustrates multi-step chronopotentiometric (CP) curves of CoP NWs and CF recorded under varying current densities from –10 to –150 mA cm\(^{-2}\) without iR-correction. Upon increasing the cathodic current density, the potential of CoP NWs grows and gets stabilized rapidly, suggesting its excellent mass transfer characteristic and mechanic stability. The overpotential of CoP NWs is substantially lower than that of bare CF at any given cathodic current, demonstrating its superior rate capability. The CoP NWs electrode only needs a small overpotential of 331 mV (non iR-corrected) to deliver a
high current density of –150 mA cm\(^{-2}\). The CoP NWs electrode shows remarkable long-term durability under a stable potential of ca. –0.164 V to afford a current density of –20 mV cm\(^{-2}\) for 48 h. Even after 1000 continuous CV cycles scanned from –0.22 to 0.2 V, the CoP NWs electrode still displays a similar polarization to initial one with negligible degradation, indicative of remarkable stability. The outstanding HER electrocatalytic activity of the CoP NWs electrode is likely attributed to the dense thin CoP nanowires with high aspect ratio, which not only maximizes the number of exposed active sites, but also facilitates the diffusion of electrolyte and the release of generated gas bubbles.\(^{[5]}\)

**Figure S20.** (a) The polarization curves and Tafel plots of the CoP electrodes synthesized at 600 °C (CoP-600), 700 °C (Co\(_x\)P\(_y\)-700) and 800 °C (Co\(_x\)P\(_y\)-800).
Figure S21. Energy efficiency of the CoP NWs, RuO$_2$||Pt-C and CF electrolyzers for overall water splitting in 1.0 M KOH solution as a function of current density.
Figure S22. XRD patterns of the pristine CoP NWs, CoP NWs cathode and in-situ oxidized CoP NWs anode after overall water splitting in 1.0 M KOH at 20 mA cm\(^{-2}\) for 1000 h.
Figure S23. SEM images (a, b) and EDX spectrum (c) of the CoP NWs cathode after overall water splitting in 1.0 M KOH at 20 mA cm\(^{-2}\) for 1000 h.
Figure S24. SEM images (a, b) and EDX spectrum (c) of the in-situ oxidized CoP NWs anode after overall water splitting in 1.0 M KOH at 20 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 1000 h.
### Table S1 Comparison of the electrocatalytic performance of the in-situ oxidized CoP NWs electrode for the OER with that of reported non-precious metal electrocatalysts tested under similar conditions.

| Electro Catalyst                  | Electrolyte | $\eta_{10}$ (mV vs. RHE) | $\eta_{20}$ (mV vs. RHE) | $\eta_{100}$ (mV vs. RHE) | Tafel slope (mV dec$^{-1}$) | Reference                                      |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| In-situ electrochemically oxidized CoP NWs | 1.0 M KOH  | 248                      | 261                      | 300                        | 78                         | This work                                      |
| Co-P film                        | 1.0 M KOH  | 345                      | 370                      | 413                        | 47                         | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6251.          |
| Cobalt phosphate/phosphate film  | 1.0 M KOH  | 310                      | 320                      |                            | 165                        | Adv. Mater. 2015, 27, 3175.                   |
| CoP nanoparticle                 | 0.1 M KOH  | 360                      | 380                      |                            | 83                         | ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 4066.                     |
| Co$_2$P foil                     | 1.0 M KOH  | 270                      | 290                      |                            | 30                         | Energy Environ. Sci., 2016, 9, 2257.          |
| Co$_2$P                          | 1.0 M KOH  | 370                      | 390                      |                            | 128                        | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 4006.            |
| CoP and Co$_2$P in N-doped carbon| 1.0 M KOH  | 319                      | 350                      | 410                        | 88                         | Chem. Mater. 2015, 27, 7636.                  |
| Co-Ni-P NWs                      | 1.0 M KOH  | 300                      | 320                      | 360                        | 65                         | J. Power Source, 2016, 330, 156.              |
| Ni$_3$P$_4$ nanosheets on Ni foil| 1.0 M KOH  | 290                      | 310                      |                            |                            | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12361.       |
| Ni$_3$P                           | 1.0 M KOH  | 290                      | 300                      |                            | 59                         | Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 8, 2347.          |
| NiFe LDH                         | 1.0 M NaOH | 250                      | 270                      | 470                        |                            | Science 2014, 345, 1593.                      |
| Ni(OH)$_2$ nanocages             | 1.0 M KOH  | > 470                    |                          |                            | 182                        | Adv. Energy Mater. 2015, 5, 1401880.          |
| Ni$_3$Co(OH)$_x$ nanocages        | 1.0 M KOH  | > 470                    |                          |                            | 135                        |                                                |
| NiCo$_{2-x}$(OH)$_x$ nanocages    | 1.0 M KOH  | 350                      | 380                      |                            | 109                        |                                                |
| Co(OH)$_2$ nanocages             | 1.0 M KOH  | 390                      | 420                      |                            |                            |                                                |
| NiCo LDH                         | 1.0 M KOH  | 474                      | 500                      |                            | 66                         | Nano Lett. 2015, 15, 1421.                   |
| CoSe$_2$ sheets                  | 1.0 M KOH  | 340                      | 370                      |                            | 64                         | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12004.       |
| CoSe$_2$ nanosheets              | 0.1 M KOH  | 320                      | 370                      |                            | 84                         | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15670.          |
| Ni$_3$S$_2$/Ni foam              | 1.0 M KOH  | 320                      | 330                      |                            | 159.3                      | Energy Environ. Sci. 2013, 6, 2921.          |
| Material       | Electrolyte | Overpotential (mA cm⁻²) | Overpotential (mA cm⁻²) | Overpotential (mA cm⁻²) | Source |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| MoO₂          | 1.0 M KOH   | 260                     | 280                     | 116                     | Adv. Mater. 2016, 28, 3785. |
| V/Ni foam     | 1.0 M KOH   | 292                     | 320                     | 350                     | Nanoscale 2016, 8, 10731.  |

a The overpotential required to deliver 10 mA cm⁻². b The overpotential required to deliver 20 mA cm⁻². c The overpotential required to deliver 100 mA cm⁻². Note that the values of single-crystalline CoP NWs in this work were obtained from the reduction branch of its CV in Figure 3a, while those reported values in the references were obtained from their LSV polarization curves in the literature.
Table S2. Comparison of the electrocatalytic performance of the CoP NWs electrode for the HER with that of non-precious metal electrocatalysts reported in the literature. Unless stated otherwise, the HER tests were made in 1.0 M KOH solution.

| Electrocatalyst                               | $\eta_{10}$ (mV vs. RHE)$^a$ | $\eta_{20}$ (mV vs. RHE)$^b$ | $\eta_{100}$ (mV vs. RHE)$^c$ | Tafel slope (mV dec$^{-1}$) | Reference                                      |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Single-crystalline CoP NWs                   | 124                           | 163                          | 244                           | 105                      | This work                                     |
| EG/Co$_{0.83}$Se/NiFe-LDH                    | 260                           | ca. 330                      | -                             | 160                      | Energy Environ. Sci., 2016, 9, 478.           |
| EG/Co$_{0.83}$Se                             | 325                           | 440                          | -                             | 223                      | Energy Environ. Sci., 2016, 9, 478.           |
| CoSe$_2$/carbon cloth                        | 190                           | 300                          | -                             | 85                       | Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 7527.                 |
| CoSe$_2$ nanocrystals                        | 450                           | 600                          | -                             | 126                      | ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 5327.   |
| Co-P film on Cu foil                         | 94                            | 115                          | 158                           | 42                       | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6251         |
| Polycrystalline CoP NWs on carbon cloth      | 209                           | 250                          | > 500                         | 129                      | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 7587            |
| Porous Co-P film                             | 380                           | 410                          | > 450                         |                          | Adv. Mater. 2015, 27, 3175                   |
| Co-embedded nitrogen-rich CNTs               | 370                           | 450                          | 69                            |                          | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 4372         |
| Ni$_2$P nanoparticles                        | 170                           | 205                          |                               |                          | J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9267            |
| Ni$_2$P nanoparticles                        | 220                           | 250                          | 100                           |                          | Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2014, 16, 5917       |
| MoS$_{2+x}$ on Ni foam                       | 210                           | 220                          | 335                           | 84                       | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 664          |
| Ni$_3$P$_4$ nansheets on Ni foil             | 150                           | 170                          | 53                            |                          | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12361        |
| MoB                                           | 225                           | 240                          | 59                            |                          | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12703        |
| Mo$_2$C                                       | 200                           | 210                          | 54                            |                          | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12703        |
| FeP nanowires on carbon cloth                | 218                           |                               |                               |                          | ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 4065                     |
| WP nanorods on carbon cloth                  | 120                           | 175                          | 280                           |                          | ACS Appl. Mater. Interf. 2014, 6, 21874      |
| WN nanorods on carbon cloth                  | 285                           | 340                          | 445                           | 170                      | Electrochim. Acta 2015, 154, 345             |
| NiP$_2$ nansheets on carbon cloth            | 102                           | 130                          | 270                           | 65                       | Nanoscale 2014, 6, 13440                     |
| NiSe/Ni foam                                 | 96                            | 130                          | 120                           |                          | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9351         |
| NiFe layered double hydroxide on Ni foam in 1.0 M NaOH | 210                   | ca. 250                      |                               |                          | Science 2014, 345, 1593                      |
| Co-MoS$_2$                                   | 203                           | 250                          | > 380                         | 158                      | Energy Environ. Sci., 2016, 9, 2789          |
| Ni/NiP                                       | 130                           | 170                          | 123.3                         |                          | Adv. Funct. Mater. 2016, 26, 3314.           |
| Co-P nanocube                                | 280                           | > 350                        | 132.3                         |                          | Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 1633.               |
**Table S3.** Comparison of the electrocatalytic performance of the CoP NWs || oxidized CoP NWs electrode pair for two-electrode overall water splitting with that of reported non-precious metal electrocatalysts and precious metal electrocatalysts tested under similar conditions.

| Electrode pair | $V_{10}$ (V) | $V_{20}$ (V) | $V_{100}$ (V) | Durability test made | Reference |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------|
| CoP NWs (cathode) || oxidized CoP NWs (anode) | 1.56 | 1.67 | 1.78 | 1000 h@20 mA cm$^{-2}$ (ca. 1.68 V)$^a$ | This work |
| Co foam (CF) | 1.89 | 1.94 | 2.06 | | This work |
| RuO$_2$ || Pt-C on CF | 1.56 | 1.62 | 1.77 | | This work |
| EG/Co$_{0.85}$Se/NiFe-LDH | 1.67 | 1.71 | - | 10 h@ 20 mA cm$^{-2}$ (ca. 1.73 V)$^a$ | Energy Environ. Sci., 2016, 9, 478. |
| Ir-C || Pt-C on EG | 1.62 | 1.71 | - | 10 h@ 20 mA cm$^{-2}$ (ca. 1.75 V)$^a$ | |
| c-CoSe$_2$/carbon cloth | 1.63 | 1.8 | - | 2.8 h@1.7 V (ca. 12.5 mA cm$^{-2}$)$^b$ | Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 7527-7532. |
| NiCoP/graphene | 1.59 | ca. 1.7 | | 75h@ 1.59 V (10 mA cm$^{-2}$)$^b$ | Adv. Funct. Mater. 2016, 26, 6785-6796. |
| Ni$_3$P on Ni foam | 1.63 | ca. 1.7 | | 10 h@10 mA cm$^{-2}$ (ca. 1.65 V)$^a$ | Energy Environ. Sci. 2015, 8, 2347-2351. |
| Ni$_3$P$_4$ on Ni foil | 1.7 | ca. 1.75 | | | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12361-12365. |
| Pt || Pt | >1.8 | | | |
| Co-P film | 1.65 | 1.67 | | 24 h@1.63 V (ca. 4 mA cm$^{-2}$)$^b$ | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6251-6254. |
| IrO$_2$ || Pt-C | 1.6 | 1.67 | | 24 h@1.63 V (ca. 3.5 mA cm$^{-2}$)$^b$ | |
| Pt-C || Pt-C | 1.75 | 1.83 | | | |
| IrO$_2$ || IrO$_2$ | >1.9 | >1.9 | | | |
| NiSe NWs on Ni foam | 1.63 | 1.75 | > 1.9 V | 20 h @ 20 mA cm$^{-2}$ (1.74 V)$^a$ | Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9351-9355. |
| Ni foam | 1.93 | >2.0 | | | |
| Pt-C on Ni foam | 1.67 | 1.75 | | | |
| Ni(OH)$_2$/NiSe | 1.78 | - | - | 2 h @ 5 mA cm$^{-2}$ (1.8 V)$^a$ | Chem. Mater., 2015, 27, 5702-5711 |
| Ni$_3$P/Ni/Ni foam | 1.49 | 1.54 | 1.68 | 20 h@10 mA cm$^{-2}$ (1.6 V) | ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 714-721 |
| NiFe LDH on Ni foam in 1.0 M NaOH | 1.7 | 1.78 | | 10 h @1.8 V (40 mA cm$^{-2}$ fell to 30 | Science 2014, 345, 1593-1596. |
| Material                          | Voltage (V) | Current Density (mA cm⁻²) | Duration/Condition | Source                                                                 |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ni(OH)₂ on Ni foam               | 1.8         | 1.9                       |                    |                                                                       |
| Ni foam                          | 1.92        | >2.0                      |                    |                                                                       |
| 3.5 nm Pt on Ni foam             | 1.7         | 1.8                       |                    |                                                                       |
| Co-P film on Au foil             | 1.73        |                           | 2 h @ 10 mA cm⁻² (1.74 V) | *RSC Adv.* 2015, 5, 105814-105819.                                    |
| FeP                              | 1.69        | 1.75                      | 14 h @ 10 mA cm⁻² (1.69 V rose to 1.78 V) | *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, 21, 18062 – 18067.                             |
| NiMo alloy                       | 1.64        | 1.75                      | 10 h @ 10 mA cm⁻² (1.64 V) | *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2015, 3, 20056–20059.                           |
| RuO₂ │ Pt-C both on Ti mesh            | 1.57        | 1.63                      |                    |                                                                       |
| CoSe film                        | 1.65        |                           | 27 h @ 10 mA cm⁻² (1.65 V rose to 1.69 V) | *Chem. Commun.* 2015, 51, 16683–16686                              |
| CoOₓ@CN on Ni foam               | ca. 1.6     | > 2.0 V                   | 2.5 h @ 10 mA cm⁻² (1.58 V rose to 1.7 V) | *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, 137, 2688–2694.                           |
| NiCo₂O₄ │ Ni₀.₃₃Co₀.₆S₂  | 1.72        | 1.8                       | 20 h @ 1.65 V (5 mA cm⁻² fell to 4.5 mA cm⁻²) | *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2015, 5, 1402031.                              |

V₁₀, V₂₀ and V₁₀₀ represent the required cell voltage to deliver current densities of 10, 20, 100 mA cm⁻² in the two-electrode electrolyzer, respectively. These cell voltage values are obtained from the polarization curves reported in this work of literature. Unless stated otherwise, the tests were made in 1.0 M KOH solution.

*a* The durability used the chronopotentiometry at the constant applied current density, recording the variation of the potential.

*b* The durability used the chronoamperometry at the constant applied potential, recording the change of the current density.
Estimation of the energy efficiency of water electrolyzers:

Water electrolysis involves the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) on cathode and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) on anode. The minimal cell voltage for water electrolysis in an open system, $E_{\text{cell}}^0$, is given by the following equation under standard conditions (25 °C, 1 atm, P, T constant):

$$E_{\text{cell}}^0 = -\frac{\Delta G^0}{nF}$$

or

$$\Delta G^0 = -nFE_{\text{cell}}^0$$

where $\Delta G^0$ is the change in the Gibbs free energy under standard conditions, $n$ the number of electrons transferred, and $F$ the Faradaic constant.

$$\Delta G^0 = \Delta H^0 - T\Delta S - T\Delta S^0$$

For the electrolysis of water, the standard reaction enthalpy is $\Delta H^0 = 285.8$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, $\Delta n = 1.5$, $\Delta S^0$ (H$_2$) = 130.6 J mol$^{-1}$, $\Delta S^0$(O$_2$) = 205.1 J mol$^{-1}$, $\Delta S^0$(H$_2$O) (l) = 70 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, $\Delta S^0_{\text{tot}} = 130.6 + \frac{1}{2} 205.1 - 70 = 163.14$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, and $\Delta G^0 = 237.2$ kJ mol$^{-1}$. So the minimum cell voltage for an open cell is $E_{\text{cell}}^0 = -\Delta G^0/nF = 1.23$ V, according to the equation (4).\[^{[58]}\]

The maximum possible efficiency of an ideal open electrochemical cell is defined by the following equation:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{max}} = \frac{\Delta H^0}{\Delta G} = \frac{\Delta H^0}{nFE_{\text{cell}}^0}$$

where $E_{\text{cell}}$ is the cell voltage to drive water splitting at the current of $I$:

$$E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{cell}}^0 + IR + \Sigma \eta$$

where $R$ is the total ohmic series resistance in the cell including resistance of external circuit, electrolyte, electrodes, and membrane materials (if any); $\Sigma \eta$ is the sum of overpotentials including the activation overpotential at the two electrodes and the concentration overpotential.

The splitting of water by electrolysis is an endothermic reaction with the enthalpy change defined as follows:

$$\Delta H^0 = \Delta G^0 + T\Delta S = -nFE_{\text{cell}}^0 + T\Delta S$$

where $\Delta G^0$ supplies energy in the form of electricity and the rest, $T\Delta S$, by heat. In actual electrolysis, cell voltage is always higher than the theoretical voltage of electrolysis, namely reversible voltage $E_{\text{cell}}^0 = 1.23$ V, and the difference is converted into heat. When the cell voltage reaches 1.48 V, all heat generated by overpotential and ohmic loss is used by the reaction, and at this voltage there is no heat generation or absorption to and from outside of the system (i.e., $\Delta S =$
Therefore, the cell voltage of 1.48 V is defined as “thermoneutral potential” where the cell does not heat or cool and all electric energy used for electrolysis is converted into heat content of evolved gas\cite{S8, S9}. This voltage is used as the standard of 100% efficiency. Since the current efficiency is almost 100% in water electrolysis, dividing 1.48 V by practical cell voltage ($E_{cell}$) will thus approximately give the energy efficiency of water electrolysis\cite{S10, S11} according to equations (6) and (8):

$$\varepsilon \approx \frac{1.48 \, V}{E_{cell}} \times 100\%$$
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