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Background: Although performance on the category fluency test (CFT) is influenced by many cognitive functions (i.e., including language, executive functioning and speed of processing), item-level scoring methods of CFT performance might be a promising way to capture aspects of semantic memory that are less influenced by intervenient abilities. One such approach is based on the calculation of correlation coefficients that quantify the association between item-level features and the serial order with which words are recalled (SRO).

Methods: We explored the neural underpinnings of 10 of these correlational indices in a sample of 40 healthy adults who completed a classic 1-min CFT and an MRI protocol inclusive of T1-weighted (analysed with voxel-based morphometry) and resting-state fMRI sequences for the evaluation of the default-mode network (DMN). Two sets of linear models were defined to test the association between neural maps and each correlational index: a first set in which major demographic and clinical descriptors were controlled for and a second set in which, additionally, all other 9 correlational indices were regressed out.

Results: In the analysis of the DMN, ‘SRO-frequency’, ‘SRO-dominance’ and ‘SRO-body-object interaction’ correlational indices were all negatively associated with the anterior portion of the right temporoparietal junction. The ‘SRO-frequency’ correlational index was also negatively associated with the right dorsal anterior cingulate and the ‘SRO-dominance’ correlational index with the right lateral prefrontal cortex. From the second set of models, the ‘SRO-typicality’ correlational index was positively associated with the left entorhinal cortex. No association was found in relation to grey matter maps.

Conclusion: The ability to retrieve more difficult words during CFT performance as measured by the correlational indices between SRO and item-level descriptors is associated with DMN expression in regions devoted to attentional reorienting and processing of salience of infrequent stimuli and dominance status. Of all item-level features, typicality appears to be that most closely linked with entorhinal functioning and may thus play a relevant role in assessing its value in testing procedures for early detection of subtle cognitive difficulties in people with suspected Alzheimer’s degeneration. Although exploratory, these findings warrant further investigations in larger cohorts.
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INTRODUCTION

The Category Fluency Test (CFT) is among the most common neurocognitive instruments. When the classic version of the test (the ‘1-min’ CFT) is administered, participants are asked to generate, over a timed minute, as many words as they can that belong to a target category, such as, among others, animals, vegetables, furniture items, professions and musical instruments (Reynoso-Alcántara et al., 2019).

The CFT has been widely used as a test of semantic memory in samples with normal and abnormal cognitive abilities (e.g., Nyberg et al., 1996; Sumiyoshi et al., 2018; Venneri et al., 2018). However, a number of studies has described this test and its standard performance score (i.e., the total number of correct entries generated in 1 min) as a measure of linguistic (Whiteside et al., 2016) or executive functioning (Gibbons et al., 2012; Amunts et al., 2021). Experimental evidence indicates that additional functions, such as speed of processing (Elgamal et al., 2011) and episodic memory (Greenberg et al., 2009), may also contribute to CFT scores. This highlights the multidimensional nature of the cognitive skills that sustain performance on this test.

Such multidimensionality, however, leads to an important theoretical consideration that goes beyond the evidence obtained via aggregated data: it is unknown whether the aforementioned cognitive components contribute to CFT performance levels in an equal measure for each individual. This means that significant variability may exist at a group level in the construct validity of these scores. Clinical researchers interested in the study of semantic memory, however, recognise the potential of the CFT as it is based on free recall (Gruenewald and Lockhead, 1980), is easy and quick to administer in diverse sociocultural contexts (Ardila et al., 2006), and, importantly, is particularly versatile in its scoring and post-processing procedures.

In this respect, a range of methodological approaches has been proposed to obtain novel CFT measures able to capture aspects of score variability more explicitly linked to semantic memory. In particular, the focus on ‘item-level semantic features’ is a methodological approach that appears particularly promising. These are descriptors (e.g., word frequency, typicality and age of acquisition) that quantify certain aspects of semantic complexity (e.g., Forbes-McKay et al., 2005; Venneri et al., 2008; Vita et al., 2014; Vonk et al., 2019). One of these approaches has focussed on the correlation between item-level semantic features and serial recall order (SRO), that is the ordinal position within the list of retrieved words (i.e., first word retrieved: SRO = 1; second word retrieved: SRO = 2; third word retrieved: SRO = 3; etc.). Since SRO is a property of memory retrieval, these coefficients of correlation capture the strength of the link between memory retrieval and semantic complexity. Research has shown that, as SRO increases during the 1-min CFT, words that are semantically more difficult tend to be generated (Crowe, 1998; De Marco et al., 2021; Murphy and Castel, 2021). We do not know, however, what neurological mechanisms are at the basis of this phenomenon.

In this observational report, we explored the neural bases of the link between SRO and semantic complexity in a sample of 40 healthy adults. Specifically, we investigated volumetric maps of grey matter and the expression of a major haemodynamic network: the default-mode network (DMN). Of all various large-scale pathways, the DMN was chosen because semantic processing (including retrieval) is one of the types of mental elaboration occurring during rest (Binder et al., 1999), making the DMN an ideal neural candidate to outline the association between neurofunctional resources and SRO-based item-level indices of semantic memory.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Forty cognitively-normal adult volunteers (Table 1) were included in this exploratory study (15 males and 25 females). The procedures of recruitment were carried out at the Department of Neuroscience, University of Sheffield (United Kingdom) as an ancillary study to the ‘Virtual Physiological Human: Dementia Research Enabled by IT’ (VPH-DARE@IT) initiative, funded by the EU’s Framework Programme 7. All volunteers were screened by a consultant neuropsychologist and a senior neuropsychologist to rule out the presence of clinical or physiologically-relevant exclusion criteria that would otherwise have an impact on neurocognitive structure or function. Although no specific criterion was set in relation to bi/multilingualism, all participants were monolingual native English speakers who self-described as ‘White British’.

Each participant completed a session of neurocognitive testing and an experimental brain MRI protocol (acquired using a Philips Ingenia 3 T scanner) consisting of three anatomical and one functional acquisitions. All anatomical sequences (i.e., T1-weighted, T2-weighted and FLAIR) were visually inspected by a neuroradiologist to rule out abnormalities qualifying as exclusion criteria. Additionally, T1-weighted images were also used as part of the experimental procedures (as described in Section “MRI Acquisition and Processing”).

The experimental protocol described in this report received ethical approval from the Health and Care Research Wales Ethics Committee, Ref No: 19/W5/0177. Approval was also obtained from Brunel University London’s Ethics Committee (Ref No 30422-TISS-Jul/2021–33453-2) for retrospective data analysis. Each volunteer signed an informed consent form prior to participation. The study was run in compliance with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and subsequent amendments.

Neurocognitive Testing

Each participant completed a testing session in which the Mini-Mental State Examination and a ‘1-min CFT’ were administered. The former was used in this study as a measure of global cognitive abilities. The latter was administered as part of the experimental procedure. Two categories were used as part of this test: ‘animals’ and ‘fruit’. Two classes of incorrect entries (i.e., ‘perseverations’ and ‘intrusions’) were counted and discarded from any further analyses. As carried out in our previous study on SRO (De Marco et al., 2021), a ‘standardised entry’ was defined for each ‘animal’ and ‘fruit’ to regularise scoring of words having multiple lexical
labels (e.g., as with HIPPOPOPOTAMUS and HIPPO or BUDGERIGAR and BUDGIE) and even out the scores for each item across the sample (see De Marco et al., 2021 for a detailed description of this scoring procedure).

**Item-Level CFT Scoring**

Item-level ratings were based on published normative data obtained in cohorts of native English speakers. Each word was scored according to its SRO (i.e., an ordinal variable from 1 to n, increasing by one unit for each correct entry generated) and the 10 following item-level semantic properties: typicality (De Marco et al., 2021), age of acquisition (Kuperman et al., 2012), concreteness (Brysbaert et al., 2014), frequency (van Heuven et al., 2014), prevalence (Mandera et al., 2020), recognition time (Mandera et al., 2020), body-object interaction (Pexman et al., 2019), arousal (Warriner et al., 2013), valence (Warriner et al., 2013) and dominance (Warriner et al., 2013). Each of these ten features identifies a distinct aspect of semantic difficulty and, as observed in previous research (De Marco et al., 2021) shows its own unique trend of association with SRO.

Coefficients of correlation (Spearman’s rho) were calculated for each participant between SRO and the ten semantic features. In case of missing data due to the absence of normative values for a specific word, this was excluded from the calculation of the correlation coefficient for that specific index. All coefficients were then standardised using a rho-to-z conversion (Zar, 2005). To illustrate this conversion more clearly, Figures 1A, B show the association between converted and unconverted coefficients.

**MRI Acquisition and Processing**

Three-dimensional T1-weighted images were acquired with the following specifications: 0.94×0.94×1.00-mm³ voxel size, 256×256-mm² matrix, 8.2-msec repetition time, 3.84-msec echo delay time, 8° flip angle and a 240×240×170-mm³ field of view.

The functional acquisition was a resting-state echoplanar image devised to measure the brain’s blood oxygenation level-dependent signal for the calculation of the DMN. A 20-sec timeframe of dummy scans was launched prior to each acquisition to enable the scanner to reach electromagnetic equilibrium. The sequence consisted of 125 volumes (each volume was formed by 35 axial contiguous slices) acquired at a 2.6-sec repetition time (total acquisition time: 5min and 25sec) and a 35-sec echo delay time, with a 90° flip angle, a 230×230×140-mm³ field of view and a 1.80×1.80×4.00-mm³ voxel size.

Preprocessing and inferential analyses of anatomical images were run with MATLAB (Mathworks Inc., United Kingdom) and Statistical Parametric Mapping 12 (Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging, London, United Kingdom), following the most updated routine of the standard voxel-based morphometry procedures (Ashburner and Friston, 2000). Images were initially segmented to subdivide the native intracranial space into complementary maps of grey matter, white matter and cerebrospinal fluid. Grey matter maps were then normalised and registered to the standard anatomical template available in Statistical Parametric Mapping, modulated and smoothed with an 8-mm full-width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel.

Global native-space tissue-class maps were then quantified in millilitres using the ‘get_totals’ MATLAB command line1 to calculate normalised indices of total grey matter (i.e., grey matter volume divided by intracranial volume). This was done to obtain an estimate of retained cortical volume to be used as a proxy of brain reserve. Although quasi-linearly associated with age, in fact, cortical volumes show variability at any given age (Fjell et al., 2014).

Preprocessing and analyses of resting-state fMRI scans were carried out with the CONN toolbox suite (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012). Each image was initially realigned and corrected for in-scanner motion. Spatial displacement was assessed via the Artifact Detection Tools on a volume-by-volume basis, to identify outlier dynamics affected by excessive movements (i.e., above the 97th percentile). Each acquisition was then slice-timed, co-registered to its T1-weighted anatomy, normalised to the MNI space and smoothed with a 6-mm full-width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel. A series of denoising steps was then applied. This included band-pass temporal filtering (0.01 Hz – 0.1 Hz), regressing out of the first 10 principal components calculated across the maps of white matter and cerebrospinal fluid (aCompCor; Muschelli et al., 2014) and scrubbing-based censoring of outlier volumes. Additionally, the six linear and rotational rigid-body transformation indices of head motion calculated during realignment, their temporal derivatives and their squared values (for a total of 24 parameters) were also regressed out.

A group-level independent component analysis was run to extract individual maps of major large-scale brain networks (Calhoun et al., 2001). The ‘Fast ICA’ optimisation principle and ‘GICA3’ back-reconstruction were applied to calculate 20 independent components. Each group-level map was then inspected to identify that corresponding to the DMN (Figure 2).

**Inferential Models**

Two sets of analysis were defined. The association between each correlational index and neural maps was tested with a first set of inferential models. In these analyses, whole-brain maps of grey matter and DMN (both expressed as Beta scores) were modelled voxel by voxel as a function of each ‘SRO-semantic feature’ z-converted correlation coefficient. Each model was corrected for age, years of education, Mini-Mental State Examination score, normalised volumes of total grey matter and number of CFT entries on which that specific feature was calculated (Figure 3). These analyses were thresholded at an uncorrected cluster-forming threshold of p<0.001 as recommended by the literature (Woo et al., 2014). Clusters were deemed significant when surviving a cluster-level Family-Wise Error-corrected p<0.05.

A second set of models was then devised to explore whether any of the correlational indices was particularly informative of the underlying neural architecture above and beyond the predictive effect of all other indices. These linear models were similar to those of the first set of analyses, with the addition
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1http://www0.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/gridgway/vbm/get_totals.m
of nine further covariates (i.e., the other nine z-converted correlational indices; Figure 3). Moreover, a more conservative cluster-forming threshold (an uncorrected $p < 0.0001$) was used in these analyses to capture the strongest effects only.

**RESULTS**

All coefficients of correlation were calculated with $n_{\text{words}} \geq 22$. The distributions of the ten z-converted coefficients of correlation did not breach the assumption of normality (all Shapiro–Wilk’s $p$-values $> 0.05$). Boxplot graphs were created to capture the direction of the ‘SRO-semantic feature’ trend (i.e., positive or negative) and visualise the proportion of participants who showed instead a countertrend (Figure 1C). z-converted scores were all between $-1$ and $+1$. The only two coefficients with no apparent dominant direction (i.e., less than 85% of the sample showing a consistent positive/negative trend) were ‘SRO-concreteness’ and ‘SRO-arousal’. Of these, the central tendency of the distribution of the ‘SRO-arousal’ coefficients was not different from zero ($p = 0.092$, one-sample $t$-test).

No associations emerged from the analyses of grey matter volumetric maps.

Three significant results were found in the first set of analyses of the DMN. The ‘SRO-frequency’ coefficient was negatively
associated with the DMN in the right inferior parietal and in the middle-anterior cingulate cortex. The ‘SRO-dominance’ coefficient was negatively associated with the DMN in two clusters located in the right lateral prefrontal and right inferior parietal lobe. Finally, the ‘SRO-body-object interaction’ coefficient was negatively associated with the expression of the DMN in the right inferior parietal lobe. These findings, shown in Figure 4 and described in Table 2, were further explored to characterise the three coefficients in more detail and facilitate interpretation. Performances were split in groups of five words according to their SRO (i.e., ‘Words 1–5’, ‘Words 6–10’, ‘Words 11–15’ and ‘Words 16–20’). All three semantic indices showed a downward trend (as reflected by the negative correlation coefficient), and the first group of five words was significantly different from the others (Figure 5).

Since the pattern of associations was right-lateralised, we tested the possibility that the role of the left hemisphere might have been ‘masked’ by regressing out covariates that embed linguistic abilities. We thus re-ran the three inferential models without controlling for MMSE scores and for the number of valid feature-specific CFT entries. The resulting pattern of findings, however, was substantially unaltered, with no cluster emerging in the left hemisphere.

One single finding emerged from the second set of models (Figure 6; Table 3). The ‘SRO-typicality’ z-transformed correlation coefficient was positively associated with DMN expression in the left anterior entorhinal cortex (Brodmann Area 28).

**DISCUSSION**

This report describes the findings of an exploratory study designed to expand the study of item-level features of CFT words. We modelled maps of grey matter density and DMN expression to infer the statistical association between these neural resources and a series of correlational indices informative of the tendency shown by participants to generate more difficult words as the task progresses. Ten semantic features were studied (investigating ten distinct aspects of semantic difficulty) and four models (three from the first and one from the second set of analyses) yielded significant results.

‘SRO-frequency’, ‘SRO-dominance’ and ‘SRO-body-object interaction’ were the three indices yielding significant findings in the first set of models. As shown in Figure 1C, the distribution of z-transformed coefficients for these three indices had all a negative sign, meaning that, with increasing SRO, words were characterised by increasingly lower frequency, lower dominance and lower body-object interaction. In all three analyses an association was found in proximity (although slightly more anteriorly) of one of the major DMN hubs, the right inferior parietal lobule (Figure 4D), while the role of the anterior cingulate and right inferior frontal cortex emerged from the analyses of ‘SRO-frequency’ only and ‘SRO-dominance’ only, respectively.

Of the three aforementioned features, frequency has received the most attention from clinical researchers interested in the study of the CFT. Patients with neurodegenerative conditions, such as AD or semantic dementia, in fact, tend to generate words of significantly higher frequency of use than those generated by normal controls (Binetti et al., 1995; Marczinski and Kertesz, 2006). Moreover, when the focus is on SRO, words generated by normal adults tend to be of increasingly lower frequency as the task progresses (Crowe, 1998; De Marco et al., 2021; Murphy and Castel, 2021) and this has also been described in patients with AD (Vonk et al., 2019). In this respect, our results indicate that the steeper this decline, the more strongly expressed the
DMN. As shown in Figure 5, frequency of words retrieved at the start of the performance (i.e., Words 1–5) was significantly higher than that of later words. All but two participants had a negative ‘SRO-frequency’ correlation coefficient (see the Supplementary Material for more details), an observation that indicates a more effortful retrieval from Word-6 onwards.

More distinctively than frequency, dominance and body-object interaction are two aspects of semantic processing that embody a significant degree of perceived influence on and interplay with the referent. While body-object interaction can be defined as the sensorimotor information conveyed by the word (Hargreaves et al., 2012), dominance is an
TABLE 2 | Significant findings emerging from the first set of inferential models.

| Cluster-Level pFWE | Cluster Extent (voxels) | Peak-Level z-Score | Side | BA | Brain Region | Talairach Coordinates |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------|----|--------------|-----------------------|
|                    |                         |                    |      |    |              | x        | y        | z        |
| SRO-Dominance – DMN Expression – Negative Association | | | | | | | | |
| < 0.001            | 382                     | 4.96               | R    | 40 | Superior Parietal Lobule | 53       | -39      | 37       |
|                    | 4.45                    | R                   | 40   |    | Superior Parietal Lobule | 51       | -40      | 46       |
|                    | 3.97                    | R                   | 40   |    | Superior Parietal Lobule | 50       | -44      | 46       |
|                    | 3.7                     | R                   | 40   |    | Supramarginal Gyrus      | 48       | -39      | 35       |
| 0.003              | 206                     | 4.69               | R    | 46 | Inferior Frontal Gyrus   | 48       | 39       | 2        |
|                    | 4.3                     | R                   | 46   |    | Inferior Frontal Gyrus   | 42       | 37       | 11       |
|                    | 4.27                    | R                   | 46   |    | Inferior Frontal Gyrus   | 46       | 43       | 9        |
|                    | 4.22                    | R                   | 10   |    | Inferior Frontal Gyrus   | 46       | 43       | -2       |
|                    | 3.99                    | R                   | 46   |    | Middle Frontal Gyrus     | 38       | 30       | -15      |
|                    | 3.86                    | R                   | 10   |    | Inferior Frontal Gyrus   | 44       | 45       | 1        |
|                    | 3.71                    | R                   | 11   |    | Middle Frontal Gyrus     | 42       | 40       | -12      |
| SRO-Frequency – DMN Expression – Negative Association | | | | | | | | |
| 0.004              | 195                     | 4.5                 | R    | 40 | Superior Parietal Lobule | 55       | -24      | 31       |
| 0.021              | 146                     | 4.11                | R    | 2  | Postcentral Gyrus        | 50       | -25      | 34       |
|                    | 4.26                    | R                   | 32   |    | Cingulate Gyrus          | 4        | 25       | 26       |
|                    | 4.03                    | R                   | 32   |    | Anterior Cingulate       | 8        | 26       | 24       |
| SRO-Body-Object Interaction – DMN Expression – Negative Association | | | | | | | | |
| 0.008              | 176                     | 4.28                | R    | 40 | Superior Parietal Lobule | 55       | -41      | 41       |
|                    | 4.09                    | R                   | 1    |    | Postcentral Gyrus        | 57       | -25      | 38       |
|                    | 3.66                    | R                   | 40   |    | Superior Parietal Lobule | 59       | -31      | 40       |

FWE, Family-Wise Error; BA, Brodmann area; L, left; R, right.

FIGURE 5 | Post hoc analyses of downward trends showing significant results. For all three semantic indices (A: Frequency; B: Dominance; C: Body-Object Interaction), the first group of words (illustrated as dots on the left-hand side) was significantly different from the other groups. ***p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05. All p-values were Bonferroni-corrected.
affective measure of ‘perceived control/power’ transmitted by the item, that is with ratings ranging from ‘controlled’ to ‘in control’ (Warriner et al., 2013). Both normative studies (i.e., body-object interaction: Pexman et al., 2019; dominance: Warriner et al., 2013) were based on a 1 to 7 rating scale and, although the degree of interactivity of animals and fruits is likely to be linked to their elicited sense of control, the two features are independent from one another, despite their semantic contiguity; for example, entries exist with disproportionately higher body-object interaction than dominance: SPIDER (i.e., scores of 5.32/7 and 3.74/7, respectively) and RAT (i.e., scores of 4.61/7 and 3.35/7, respectively) and words with disproportionately lower body-object interaction than dominance: CONDOR (i.e., scores of 3.35/7 and 6.06/7, respectively) and BULL (i.e., scores of 3.78/7 and 6.89/7, respectively). As a result, the correlation between the two features calculated for all entries with valid normative scores (i.e., \( n = 198 \)) generated as part of our previous study (De Marco et al., 2021) was only moderate, (i.e., \( \rho = 0.418 \)). The degree of interactivity supports ‘the process of generating meaning’ (Tousignant and Pexman, 2012). Thus, words with higher body-object interaction scores tend to be easier exemplars of their reference category. In a similar way, words eliciting a stronger sense of control/power tend to stand out more easily within a category. As a result, as with ‘SRO-frequency’, stronger negative correlations for both ‘SRO-body-object interaction’ and ‘SRO-dominance’ indicated an ability to retrieve words of increasingly semantic difficulty during the course of the test.

The role of the anterior portion of the inferior parietal lobule was the common denominator of all three SRO-related trends (Figure 2D). This territory is part of the right temporoparietal junction, a region that has been widely studied in relation to perspective taking in social cognition (Martin et al., 2020; Valera-Bermejo et al., 2021) and episodic memory processing (De Marco et al., 2019). This region, however, serves a second major function, that is attentional reorienting (Corbetta et al., 2008; Mitchell, 2008). The exploration of a semantic category under the constraints imposed by the CFT requires a shift from automatic to controlled semantic retrieval in order to explore the category in more depth and access more difficult exemplars during the course of the 1-min time limit (Crowe, 1996, 1998; Hurks et al., 2004, 2006; Del Hoyo et al., 2015). To achieve this, attentional resources help disengage from semantic clusters that have been exhausted and shift to other unexplored clusters, or towards certain descriptors that might act as a cue (e.g., animals with antlers, animals that live in polar regions, or fruits with edible peel). The right temporoparietal junction, in particular, is involved when there is a conscious
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**TABLE 3** Significant findings emerged from the second set of inferential models.

| Cluster-Level pFWE | Cluster Extent (voxels) | Peak-Level z-Score | Side | BA | Brain Region | Talairach Coordinates |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------|----|--------------|-----------------------|
|                    |                        |                   |      |    |              | x        | y        | z        |
| SRO-Typicality – DMN Expression – Positive Association | 0.017 | 48 | 5.10 | L | 28 | Uncus | -14 | -9 | -26 |

FWE, Family-Wise Error; BA, Brodmann area; L, left.
use of a cue to reorient attention, but not when this is done without a cue (Wilson et al., 2021). Although it is not possible to infer causal relationships from these findings, individuals with stronger DMN expression in the right anterior inferoparietal lobule may have an advantage at exploring semantic categories with more flexibility thanks to attentional resources deployed to scan the target category in more detail.

The 'SRO-frequency' coefficient was also associated with DMN expression in the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (Figure 1A). This section of the anterior cingulate is functionally coupled with an ensemble of regions that includes the insula, bilaterally, the anterior prefrontal cortex bilaterally, and the anterior portion of the right inferior parietal lobule (Margulies et al., 2007). This pattern includes the right parietal territory emerged from our analyses as well as the salience network (Menon and Uddin, 2010). The salience network is typically anticorrelated with the DMN, but inter-network connectivity positively supports memory performance in normal individuals (Van Hoorem et al., 2018), suggesting that enhanced DMN expression in the dorsal anterior cingulate might confer a computational advantage. Of all semantic features, such advantage would emerge in relation to frequency because the dorsal anterior cingulate plays a role in detection of salient and infrequent stimuli (Manza et al., 2016).

The third and final territory where significant results were found from the first set of analyses was the right frontal lobe, in a cortical cluster extending between the inferior and middle frontal gyri and encompassing a number of peaks within the dorsolateral prefrontal territory and a large portion of the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (Figure 1B). DMN expression in this region was associated with the standardised coefficient of correlation between SRO and dominance, that is with the tendency to generate progressively less powerful/dominant entries. While the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex is a region deputed to executive control, the right ventrolateral prefrontal cortex sustains a multitude of diverse cognitive skills, such as controlled memory retrieval (Kostopoulos and Petrides, 2003), spatial navigation (Carrieri et al., 2018) and management of semantic knowledge (Schendan, 2012). In addition to these general abilities, this area is deputed to the elaboration of cues indicative of the stimulus’ dominant/submissive status (Marsh et al., 2009), a specific skill conceptually aligned with the ability to retrieve words characterised by certain levels of dominance/submissiveness. These findings suggest that the strength of DMN expression in the right lateral prefrontal cortex might support semantic memory during CFT and help retrieve animals and fruits that are more difficult exemplars of their category when described in terms of their perceived dominance.

Overall, this pattern of findings suggests that the DMN is linked to SRO and item-level features via mechanisms ascribable to semantic control. Attentional reorientation and detection of salience linked to infrequent or dominant/submissive stimuli, in fact, are all aspects linked to management and manipulation of semantic information. In support of this suggestion, in fact, evidence indicates that semantic control is sustained by frontal and temporoparietal regions (Lambon-Ralph et al., 2017).

Although this interpretation provides a literature-informed coherent view of our findings, it is important to understand why the remaining 7 of the 10 correlational measures showed no significant association with DMN expression. One of the features, 'concreteness', was not expected to play any relevant role since all animals and fruit are equally concrete. The variance for concreteness ratings was in fact very low (s² = 0.07) and the least concrete words had all a second meaning that likely influenced the rating (e.g., MANDARIN, SWALLOW, DATE and GRIZZLY). Other two features associated with non-significant findings were ‘prevalence’ and ‘recognition time’. These are dimensions that characterise word difficulty during recognition and, for this reason, they may not play an equally central role when words are freely recalled (i.e., see Mandra et al. (2020) for a description of data collection procedures implemented to collect normative data for these two features). As for ‘arousal’, the ‘SRO-arousal’ coefficient of correlation did not differ from zero, indicating no directional trend. The trends associated with the last three features (i.e., ‘valence’, ‘age of acquisition’ and ‘typicality’) were, overall, well-defined in terms of directionality (Figure 1C), but it is possible that substantial inter-individual variability exists in the neural processes that sustain this type of elaboration. In our previous study, for instance, we found that the ‘SRO-valence’ association is significantly influenced by age and, therefore, age-dependent mechanisms may exist at a neural level in support of the elaborations linked to pleasantness of stimuli (De Marco et al., 2021). Similarly, age is known to have an effect on age of acquisition of words (Hodgson and Ellis, 1998; Sirois et al., 2006), and, for this reason, there might be substantial age-dependent variability in the way retrieval is linked to these item-level features. In this respect, the findings reported in this study are sufficiently robust to survive statistical significance after correction for major demographic variables known to have an effect on neural mechanisms (i.e., age included).

One only significant cluster survived the second, more stringent set of analyses. The ‘SRO-typicality’ z-converted coefficient was positively associated with the anterior portion of the left entorhinal cortex after controlling for all the other nine z-converted correlational indices. This association, however, was in the opposite direction, that is the increasingly less typical the exemplars, the weaker DMN expression in the entorhinal cortex. It is important to point out, however, that all 40 participants showed a negative 'SRO-typicality' correlation (i.e., ranging from −0.06 to −0.76) and the positive association found in this analysis does not reflect an increasingly stronger link but, rather, a less steep decline. The entorhinal cortex is a portion of the anterior parahippocampal gyrus that, together with the perirhinal cortex, supports retrieval of 'context-free' semantic information (Mishkin et al., 1997). In patients with Alzheimer’s disease, the average typicality of words generated during the CFT is inversely associated with grey matter density in the parahippocampal gyrus, bilaterally, that is the less typical the words on average, the larger the volume in this region (Venneri et al., 2008). The outcome of our analyses suggests that, in healthy adults, the
stronger the DMN in an area deputed to semantic retrieval, the more gradual and less steep the tendency to retrieve increasingly atypical exemplars. Despite the size of the sample being limited to 40 adults, this statistical association is particularly robust since it survives a very stringent cluster-forming threshold and it emerges after controlling for all other correlational measures.

Although the interpretational remarks made in this section are informed by the literature and can, in turn, inform the definition of future experimental hypotheses, they are by no means conclusive, i.e., see the debate on the ‘reverse inference fallacy’, whereby cognitive interpretations of functional neuroimaging data should be drawn with caution (Poldrack, 2006; Hutzler, 2014). It is fair to recognise that mechanisms other than those proposed here may have contributed to the above pattern of inferential evidence. The DMN is a neural system that operates ‘as a whole’ and, although the right temporoparietal region does sustain a specific set of functions (as informed by the aforementioned publications), it remains possible that its key contribution may occur at a ‘network level’, by supporting network functioning in a more general (rather than specific) way. On a comparable note, more general aspects of CFT performance may have contributed to the individual definition of SRO profiles. For instance, inter-individual differences may exist in how automatic and controlled semantic processes are integrated during test performance and, for this reason, aggregated data might in part mask subject-specific aspects of the ‘automatic-controlled’ interplay. It is for this reason that further studies should be designed with larger cohorts and wider sets of variables, in order to put these findings in a more appropriate context and evaluate the role of alternative interpretations and hypotheses.

In summary, this study expands research in the field of semantic memory by exploring the neural underpinnings of item-level semantic features of words retrieved during the CFT in relation to their SRO. It is paramount to define novel approaches to the study of semantic memory, because this function is informative in clinical settings. Particularly, there is a strong body of evidence emerging from single-case studies (Garrard et al., 2005; van Velzen and Garrard, 2008; Berisha et al., 2015) as well as large cohort longitudinal investigations (Snowdon et al., 1996; Amieva et al., 2008; Gustavson et al., 2020; Payton et al., 2020) indicating that declining semantic memory is among the earliest neurocognitive changes observed along the timeline of Alzheimer’s disease, at a stage when no objective measurable alteration of episodic memory performance is yet detectable. Although this study is only exploratory in nature and needs to be replicated with larger cohorts, it points at the link between aspects of the DMN and semantic control as a potential major drive behind the link between SRO and item-level semantic features.
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