Modeling and Experimental Analysis on the Temperature Response of AlN-Film Based SAWRs
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Abstract: The temperature responses of aluminum nitride (AlN) based surface acoustic wave resonator (SAWR) are modeled and tested. The modeling of the electrical performance is based on a modified equivalent circuit model introduced in this work. For SAWR consisting of piezoelectric film and semiconducting substrate, parasitic parameters from the substrate is taken into consideration for the modeling. By utilizing the modified model, the high temperature electrical performance of the AlN/Si and AlN/6H-SiC based SAWRs can be predicted, indicating that a substrate with a wider band gap will lead to a more stable high temperature behavior, which is further confirmed experimentally by high temperature testing from 300 K to 725 K with SAWRs having a wavelength of 12 µm. Temperature responses of SAWR's center frequency are also calculated and tested, with experimental temperature coefficient factors (TCF) of center frequency being −29 ppm/K and −26 ppm/K for the AlN/Si and AlN/6H-SiC based SAWRs, which are close to the predicted values.

Keywords: surface acoustic resonators; aluminum nitride; equivalent circuit modeling; high temperature response

1. Introduction

Surface acoustic wave resonators (SAWR) are widely used in sensing and telecommunicating industries [1–4]. Most of the conventional SAWR devices are based on bulk piezoelectric materials such as quartz, LiNbO3, and LiTaO3, but the decomposition or the weakening of piezoelectricity limits their working temperature within a relatively low range [5,6], thus novel piezoelectric materials with good thermal stabilities are valuable for high temperature applications. Several literatures have summarized that novel piezoelectric materials such as langasite, GaPO4, and YCa4O(BO3)3 (or YCOB) [5,7] are promising for high temperature environment. However, the majority of them are bulk materials which are less conventional and more expensive than film-like materials.

Aluminum nitride (AlN), is a non-ferroelectric piezoelectric material with a high melting point, high thermal conductivity [7,8], being compatible with most commonly seen CMOS fabrication processes [9–11]. Besides, AlN can be deposited on commercialized substrates such as Silicon (Si), hexagonal Silicon Carbide (α-SiC), poly-crystalline cubic Silicon Carbide, diamond and sapphire [12–16]. Among these aforementioned substrates, Si and α-SiC are probably the most compatible ones with conventional micro-fabrication processes. Therefore it is of interest to study the temperature responses of SAWRs based on AlN/Si and AlN/α-SiC in respect of the electrical property and center frequency.

In previous literatures, the equivalent circuit model of SAWR (Figure 1) has been developed and used to predict the electrical performance of SAWR [17–19]. As can be seen, the equivalent circuit of SAWR consists of the equivalent circuit components representing the inter-digital transducer (IDT)
part, the reflectors on both sides ($Z_R$), the transmission lines between IDT and reflectors ($Z_t$), and the port connected with IDT, among which, the equivalent circuit of the IDT part is established to describe the electro-mechanical coupling between the alternating current (AC) signal and SAW.

For the AlN/Si and AlN/α-SiC based SAWRs in this work, the IDT parts of the devices which are connected with the electrical port will be affected by the parasitic parameters from the semiconducting substrate (Si, 6H-SiC as one of α-SiC’s poly-types in this work), hence the aforementioned classic equivalent circuit shall be modified to take this effect into consideration. This work introduces the modification of the equivalent circuit model by analyzing the origins of the parasitic parameters and their equivalent electrical components. The modified equivalent circuit model is further used for calculating the electrical performance of the AlN film based SAWRs.

The temperature coefficient factor (TCF) of SAWR’s center frequency is another important aspect of temperature responses. In this work, the TCF of each composing material (AlN, Si, and 6H-SiC) is calculated for a prediction of SAWR’s TCF, and the influence from the thermal mismatch between AlN and the substrate is analyzed.

2. Modeling

2.1. Modification of the Equivalent Circuit of IDT

With the existence of the aforementioned parasitic parameters from the semiconducting substrate, the equivalent electrical components between IDT grids are modified, as shown in Figure 2a, where $C_{pIDT}$ represents the capacitor of the piezoelectric layer under the IDT electrodes, $R_g$ represents the resistor from the substrate at the gap between the IDT electrodes, and $C_g$ represents the capacitor from the substrate and piezoelectric layer at the same area.

Figure 1. The equivalent circuit model of SAWR.

Figure 2. Cont.
which connect the IDT and the port of the stimulating signal. The equivalent circuit components of
these parasitic parameters are shown in Figure 3.

Thus the equivalent circuit model of the IDT part can be derived by combining the equivalent
circuits of single pair IDT, as shown in Figure 2c. The acoustic ports of single IDT are connected in
serial and the electrical ports are connected in parallel. With the geometric definitions of the SAWR in
Figure 2b, the equivalent circuit components can be given as:

\[
R_g = \frac{R_s (p - a)}{w} \quad (1)
\]

\[
C_g = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_p w t_p}{p - a} + \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{s,c} w t_s}{p - a} \quad (2)
\]

\[
C_{pIDT} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_p a w}{t_p} \quad (3)
\]

where \( R_s \) is the square resistance of the substrate, \( t_p \) is the thickness of the piezoelectric layer, \( t_s \) is the
thickness of the substrate, \( \varepsilon_{s,c} \) and \( \varepsilon_p \) are the complex relative permittivity of the substrate and the
piezoelectric layer, respectively. \( Z_0 \) is the equivalent electrical impedance representing the acoustic
impedance from the piezoelectric layer and the substrate, and has been given by [20] as:

\[
Z_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0 C_s K^2} \quad (4)
\]

where \( \omega_0 \) is the angular resonant frequency of SAWR, \( C_s \) is the static capacitor storing the electrical
energy between the IDT electrodes, and can be given by Equation (5), where \( C_{g,r} \) is the real part of \( C_g \):

\[
C_s = \frac{1}{\left(2C_{pIDT}^{-1} + C_{g,r}^{-1}\right)^{-1}} \quad (5)
\]

\( K^2 \) is the electrical-mechanical coupling coefficient of the piezoelectric material, and can be derived
experimentally through the serial and parallel resonant frequencies (\( f_s \) and \( f_p \), respectively) of the
SAWR [21,22]:

\[
K^2 = (\pi f_s / 2f_p) \cot(\pi f_s / 2f_p) \quad (6)
\]

2.2. Parallel Parasitic Parameters Introduced from the Conducting Lines

The semiconducting substrate will also introduce parasitic parameters from the conducting lines
which connect the IDT and the port of the stimulating signal. The equivalent circuit components of
these parasitic parameters are shown in Figure 3.
Figure 3. Parallel parasitic parameters from the piezoelectric film and the semiconducting substrate (a) IDT part; (b) Reflector part.

As can be seen from Figure 3, both the IDT part (Figure 3a) and reflector part (Figure 3b) will introduce parasitic effects from the substrate.

In the IDT part, each components are outlined as follows: $C_{pc1}$ represents the capacitor from the piezoelectric film under the conducting lines, $C_{sub1}$ represents the capacitor from the substrate between the conducting lines, and $R_{sub1}$ represents the resistor from the substrate at the same region.

In the reflector part, $C_{pc2}$ represents the same as defined in the IDT part; $R_r$ represents the resistor of the reflecting grids; $R_{sub2}$ and $R_{cr}$ represent the resistors from the substrate lying under and outside the reflector region, respectively; $C_{sub2}$ and $C_{cr}$ represent the capacitor from the substrate at the same aforementioned area, respectively; $L_r$ and $R_r$ represents the inductance and resistance from the reflecting grids, respectively.

For these aforementioned parallel parasitic parameters, the analytical formulas can be approximately given as below, among which, $L_r$ is calculated based on the inductance of a flat conductor [23,24]:

\[
C_{pc1} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_p l_1 w_c}{l_p} \\
C_{sub1} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_s s_1 t_1}{2(w + 2w_t)} + \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_s s_1 t_2}{2w_t} \\
R_{sub1} = \left( \frac{R_0 (w + 2w_t)}{l_{c1}/2} \right)^{-1} + \left( \frac{R_0 w_t}{l_{c1}/2} \right)^{-1} \\
C_{pc2} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_p l_2 w_c}{l_p} \\
R_{sub2} = \frac{R_0 w}{l_{c2}} \\
R_{cr} = \frac{R_0 w_cr}{l_{c2}} \\
C_{sub2} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_s s_2 t_3}{w} \\
C_{cr} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_s s_2 t_4}{w} \\
R_r = \frac{\rho_n w}{t_m a N_r} \\
L_r = \frac{\mu_0}{2N_r \pi} w \ln \left( \frac{2w}{a + t_m} \right) + 0.5 + 0.2235 \left( \frac{a + t_m}{w} \right) 
\]
They can be equivalently expressed as an impedance, namely $Z_{ep}$, which is connected in parallel with the SAWR. $Z_{ep}$ can be expressed as:

$$Z_{ep} = R_{ep} + jX_{ep}$$

(17)

2.3. Modified Equivalent Circuit of AlN/Si SAWR

The equivalent circuit model can thus be modified as shown in Figure 4, where the modified equivalent circuit has been shown in Figure 2c. Based on the modified model, the performance of AlN/Si based SAWR can be predicted and compared with the experimental results.

![Figure 4. Modified equivalent circuit model.](image)

2.4. Temperature Response of Electrical Performance Based on the Modified Equivalent Circuit Model

Temperature will affect the performance of SAWR devices in a complex way due to its coupling with various material properties. These temperature-coupled material properties can be categorized into functioning parameters (such as the electrical-mechanical coupling coefficients), electrical parameters (such as the resistivity, relative permittivity) and mechanical parameters (such as elastic constants, mass density). Here in this section, the temperature influence on the SAW from the aspect of substrate will be analyzed based on the modified equivalent circuit model introduced above.

As can be seen from Sections 2.1 and 2.2, the parasitic parameters introduced by the semiconducting substrate are related to the square resistance $R_{s,c}$, the complex relative permittivity $\varepsilon_{s,c,T}$, and the geometric parameters, among which, $R_{s,c}$ can further be calculated through the resistivity ($\rho_{s,c}$) and the thickness of the substrate ($t_s$) as:

$$R_{s,c} = \frac{\rho_{s,c}}{t_s}$$

(18)

Therefore the parasitic parameters influenced by the temperature can further be expressed as functions of $\rho_{s,T}$, $\varepsilon_{s,c,T}$, and $a_T$, being the temperature-dependent resistivity of substrate, temperature-dependent complex relative permittivity of substrate, thermal expansion coefficient, respectively. $a_T$ is introduced here due to the variation of geometric parameters from thermal expansion.

For n-type semiconductors discussed here, $\rho_{s,T}$ can further be expressed as [25]:

$$\rho_{s,T} = (e\mu_{n,T}n_T)^{-1}$$

(19)

where $\mu_{n,T}$ and $n_T$ are, respectively, the carrier mobility and electron concentration, both being temperature dependent, while $e$ is the elementary charge and not sensitive to temperature. For a dopant concentration $N_d$ lower than $10^{14}$, $\mu_{n,T}$ can further be expressed as [25]:

$$\mu_{n,T} = \mu_{300}(\frac{T}{300})^{-2.2}$$

(20)

where $\mu_{300}$ is the carrier mobility of the substrate under 300 K, and $T$ is the absolute temperature in K. The temperature dependent electron concentration $n_T$ can be expressed as [25]:
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\[ n_T = \frac{N_d}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{N_d^2}{4} + n_{i,T}^2} \]  

(21)

where \( n_{i,T} \) is the intrinsic concentration of electrons, and can further be expressed as [25]:

\[ n_i(T) = \sqrt{N_{c,T}N_{v,T}e^{-\frac{E_g}{kT}}} \]  

(22)

where \( E_g \) is the band gap of the substrate, and \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant. \( N_{c,T} \) and \( N_{v,T} \) are, respectively, the effective density of states for the conduction band and valence band, and can be expressed as [25]:

\[ N_{c,T} = N_{C0,T}T^{3/2} \]  

(23)

\[ N_{v,T} = N_{V0,T}T^{3/2} \]  

(24)

where \( N_{C0} \) and \( N_{V0} \) are the effective density of states coefficients for the conduction band and valence band. The temperature dependent resistivity \( \rho_{s,T} \) can thus be given as Equation (25) by combining Equations (19)–(24).

\[
\rho_{s,T} = \frac{\mu_{300}}{300} \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^{-2.2} \left( \frac{N_d}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{N_d^2}{4} + N_{C0,T}N_{V0,T}e^{-\frac{E_g}{kT}}} \right)^{-1} 
\]  

(25)

The complex relative permittivity \( \varepsilon_{s,c,T} \) can be expressed by its real part \( \varepsilon_{s,r} \) and imaginary part \( \varepsilon_{s,i,T} \) as [26]:

\[ \varepsilon_{s,c,T} = \varepsilon_{s,r} - j\varepsilon_{s,i,T} \]  

(26)

while the real part of \( \varepsilon_{s,c,T} \) is the dielectric constant (\( \varepsilon_{s,R} \)) of the substrate, the imaginary part, being temperature dependent, can be expressed as Equation (27) without considering the electronic and ionic polarization [26], where \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the dielectric constant of vacuum.

\[ \varepsilon_{s,i,T} = \frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 \rho_{s,T}} \]  

(27)

As can be seen from Equation (27), \( \varepsilon_{s,i,T} \) is a function of \( \rho_{s,T} \) and frequency \( f \), where \( \rho_{s,T} \) has been given by Equation (25).

Based on the expressions listed above, the temperature response of substrate’s properties can be calculated. The chosen materials of the substrates are Si and 6H-SiC, with the nominal resistivity at 300 K \( (\rho_{s,300}) \) varying from \( 10 \Omega \cdot \text{cm} \) to \( 10^4 \Omega \cdot \text{cm} \). The material parameters used in calculation are listed in Table 1.

### Table 1. Material parameters used in calculating \( \rho_{s,T} \) [25,27].

| Parameters       | Value     | Unit       | Parameters       | Value     | Unit       |
|------------------|-----------|------------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| \( \mu_{300,\text{Si}} \) | 1350      | cm/Vs      | \( N_{C0,\text{Si}} \) | \( 5.41 \times 10^{15} \) | cm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-3/2}\) |
| \( \mu_{300,\text{SiC}} \) | 400       | cm/Vs      | \( N_{V0,\text{SiC}} \) | \( 2.19 \times 10^{15} \) | cm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-3/2}\) |
| \( \rho_{s,\text{Si,300}} \) | \( 10, 10^2, 10^3, 10^4 \) | \( \Omega \cdot \text{cm} \) | \( N_{C0,\text{SiC}} \) | \( 17.3 \times 10^{15} \) | cm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-3/2}\) |
| \( \rho_{s,\text{SiC,300}} \) | \( 10, 10^2, 10^3, 10^4 \) | \( \Omega \cdot \text{cm} \) | \( N_{V0,\text{SiC}} \) | \( 4.80 \times 10^{15} \) | cm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-3/2}\) |
| \( E_{g,\text{Si}} \) | 1.12      | eV         | \( e \)          | \( 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \) | C          |
| \( E_{g,\text{SiC}} \) | 3.0       | eV         | \( k \)          | \( 1.3806 \times 10^{-23} \) | J/K        |

The intrinsic concentrations of electrons at 300 K \( (n_{i,300}) \) are firstly calculated by Equation (22), and the dopant concentrations \( (N_d) \) can further be calculated by combing Equations (19)–(21) as:

\[
\frac{1}{\rho_{s,300}} = \frac{\mu_{300}}{300} \left( \frac{N_d}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{N_d^2}{4} + n_{i,300}^2} \right)^{-1} 
\]  

(28)
The calculated $N_d$ with different $\rho_{s,300}$ are listed in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Calculated $N_d$ with different $\rho_{s,300}$ (300 K).

| $\rho_{s,Si,300}/\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ | $N_d,\text{Si}/\text{cm}^{-3}$ | $\varepsilon_{s,\text{SiC},300}/\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ | $N_d,\text{SiC}/\text{cm}^{-3}$ |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 10                                   | $4.63 \times 10^{14}$         | 10                                  | $1.56 \times 10^{15}$         |
| $10^2$                                | $4.63 \times 10^{13}$         | $10^2$                              | $1.56 \times 10^{14}$         |
| $10^3$                                | $4.63 \times 10^{12}$         | $10^3$                              | $1.56 \times 10^{13}$         |
| $10^4$                                | $4.63 \times 10^{11}$         | $10^4$                              | $1.56 \times 10^{12}$         |

Through Equations (25) and (27), the calculated $\rho_{s,T}$ and $\varepsilon_{s,i,T}$ with different $\rho_{s,300}$ and temperatures (300–900 K) are shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Calculated $\rho_{s,T}$ and $\varepsilon_{s,i,T}$ with different $\rho_{s,300}$ and temperatures (a) $\rho_{s,T}$ of Si; (b) $\varepsilon_{s,i,T}$ of Si at 400 MHz; (c) $\varepsilon_{s,i,T}$ of Si at 600 MHz; (d) $\rho_{s,T}$ of 6H-SiC; (e) $\varepsilon_{s,i,T}$ of 6H-SiC at 400 MHz; (f) $\varepsilon_{s,i,T}$ of 6H-SiC at 600 MHz.

As shown in Figure 5a, $\rho_{s,T}$ of Si increases slightly and then decreases as the temperature rises, indicating a collaborative effect from the decreasing carrier mobility and increasing electron concentration. At temperatures higher than 600 K, the Si substrate is highly intrinsic, and $\rho_{s,T}$ with different $\rho_{s,300}$ become converged. Besides, both of the calculated $\varepsilon_{s,i,T}$ of Si at 400 MHz and 600 MHz are showing an increase on orders of magnitude at temperature higher than 600 K, mainly due to the decreasing $\rho_{s,T}$. As can be seen from Figure 5d, $\rho_{s,T}$ of 6H-SiC with different $\rho_{s,300}$ increases through the temperature range of calculation, and shows no convergence of the curves. As for $\varepsilon_{s,i,T}$ of 6H-SiC, it doesn’t manifest the increase at elevated temperatures observed in the case of Si. The different behaviors between Si and 6H-SiC are contributed to their different band gaps. 6H-SiC, with a wide band gap, shows less diversification of its electrical properties within the calculated temperature range.

Referring to Equations (1), (2), (8), (9) and (11)–(14), it can be seen that the geometric parameters are on the same order with $\rho_{s,T}$ or $\varepsilon_{s,c,T}$, and considering that Si’s and 6H-SiC’s coefficients of thermal expansion range from around 2 ppm/C to 5 ppm/C in the temperature range of 300 to 900 K [28,29], which is much lower than the relative changes of $\rho_{s,T}$ or $\varepsilon_{s,c,T}$, the effect from thermal expansion can be neglected.
With the calculated \( \rho_{s,T} \) and \( \varepsilon_{s,i,T} \), the temperature performance of SAWR can thus be derived through the modified equivalent circuit model introduced in this work. The geometric parameters and material properties used in the calculation are listed in Table A1 of Appendix A. Molybdenum (Mo) is chosen as the metal material for IDT and reflectors for its high melting point and close lattice constants with AlN. To evaluate the performance fluctuation of SAWR, the magnitude of the impedance \(|Z_{11}\)| is utilized. A typical frequency domain \(|Z_{11}\)| curve of SAWR is shown in Figure 6, from which the serial and parallel resonant frequencies can be derived. In this work, \( \Delta|Z_{11}| \), which is the difference between the \(|Z_{11}| \) at both the serial and parallel resonant frequencies, is used to indicate the strength of the electrical tuning of the SAWR.

SAWRs with configurations of AlN/Si and AlN/6H-SiC are modeled within a temperature range from 300 K to 900 K, the temperature dependence of \( \Delta|Z_{11}| \) for each configuration is calculated and normalized by using the result when \( \rho_{s,300} = 10^4 \) \( \Omega \) cm and \( T = 300 \) K as a reference. As can be seen from Figure 7a,b, a decrease of \( \Delta|Z_{11}| \) is shown in AlN/Si SAWR for every initial \( \rho_{s,300} \), while in the case of AlN/6H-SiC, opposite responses are observed, indicating a more stable device performance could be achieved by using 6H-SiC as the substrate. The contrary performances between the two configurations can be contributed to the different electrical characteristics with temperature of Si and 6H-SiC, which has been discussed above.

### 2.5. Temperature Response of Center Frequency

Except for the electrical performance of SAWR discussed in previous section, the TCF is another important parameter when evaluating the temperature response to SAWR devices. In this work, the TCF of SAWR’s center frequency (\( f_c \)) is analyzed theoretically and experimentally. The center frequency can be derived through the frequency domain reflection coefficient (\( S_{11} \)), corresponding to the frequency with the lowest \( S_{11} \) as shown in Figure 8.
For the theoretical analysis of $f_c$, it can be calculated by the SAW velocity ($v_{SAW}$) and wavelength ($\lambda_{SAW}$) as:

$$f_c = \frac{v_{SAW}}{\lambda_{SAW}} \tag{29}$$

Considering $\lambda_{SAW}$ equals the geometric period of IDT ($\lambda$), which along with $v_{SAW}$, are all functions of temperature, therefore Equation (29) can further be expressed as:

$$f_{c,T} = \frac{v_{SAW,T}}{\lambda_T} \tag{30}$$

By taking the center frequency at 300 K ($f_{c,300}$) as a reference, the TCF of SAW ($TCF_{SAW}$) can be expressed as:

$$TCF_{SAW} = \frac{f_{c,T} - f_{c,300}}{f_{c,300}\Delta T} = \frac{1}{\Delta T} \frac{v_{SAW,T} - v_{SAW,300}}{v_{SAW,300}} - \frac{1}{\Delta T} \frac{\lambda_T - \lambda_{300}}{\lambda_{300}} \tag{31}$$

where $v_{SAW,300}$ and $\lambda_{300}$ are the SAW velocity and geometric period of IDT at 300 K, respectively.

The first term of Equation (31) represents the TCF of SAW velocity. For the hexagonal crystallized and cubic crystallized materials (Si, 6H-SiC, AlN) discussed in this work, the SAW velocity can be expressed by the mass density ($\rho$) and the elastic constants ($c_{ij}$) as [30,31]:

$$c_{33}c_{55} (\rho v_{SAW})^2 (c_{11} - \rho v_{SAW}) = (c_{55} - \rho v_{SAW}) \left[ c_{33} (c_{11} - \rho v_{SAW}) - c_{13}^2 \right]^2 \tag{32}$$

By taking temperature into consideration, $v_{SAW,T}$ can thus be expressed as:

$$v_{SAW,T} = f(\rho_T, c_{ij,T}) \tag{33}$$

where $\rho_T$ is the mass density under temperature $T$ and can be given by:

$$\rho_T = \rho_{300} \left( 1 - \Delta T \sum_m a_{T,m} \right) \tag{34}$$

$\rho_{300}$ is the mass density at 300 K, $a_{T,m}$ is the effective thermal expansion coefficient of the material along $m$-axis ($m = x, y, z$), representing the average expansion rate through 300 K to ($300 + \Delta T$)K, and can be calculated as:

$$a_{T,m} = \frac{1}{\Delta T} \int_{300}^{300+\Delta T} a_{T,m}(T) \,dT \tag{35}$$

$c_{ij,T}$ in Equation (33) represents the elastic constants under temperature $T$, and can be calculated by:

$$c_{ij,T} = c_{ij,300} \left( 1 + \Delta T \frac{dc_{ij}}{c_{ij}dT} \right) \tag{36}$$

For the second term of Equation (31), noticing that in the coordinate system defined in Figure 1, SAW propagates along the $x$-axis, hence $\lambda_T$ can be expressed as:

$$\lambda_T = \lambda_{300} (1 + a_{T,x}\Delta T) \tag{37}$$
By taking Equations (37) into (31), Equation (31) can further be expressed as:

\[
\text{TCF}_{\text{SAW}} = \frac{1}{\Delta T} \frac{v_{\text{SAW,T}} - v_{\text{SAW,300}}}{v_{\text{SAW,300}}} - a_{\text{T,x}}
\]

(38)

The TCF\(_{\text{SAW}}\) of AlN, Si, 6H-SiC can thus be calculated and shown in Table 3, in addition to the parameters listed in Table A1 of Appendix A, all other parameters used in calculation have been listed in Table A2 of Appendix A [28,29,32–36].

Table 3. Calculated TCF\(_{\text{SAW}}\) of AlN, Si, and 6H-SiC.

| Material   | TCF\(_{\text{SAW}}\)/ppmK\(^{-1}\) |
|------------|-----------------------------------|
| AlN        | −28                               |
| Si         | −26                               |
| 6H-SiC     | −25                               |

It is known that the energy of SAW is constrained within several wavelengths beneath the surface of IDT plane [18], hence with a wavelength larger than the thickness of AlN in this work, the actual TCF\(_{\text{SAW}}\) of AlN/Si and AlN/6H-SiC based SAWR will be decided by both the piezoelectric film (AlN) and the substrate (Si or 6H-SiC). Besides, the mismatch of thermal expansions between AlN and the substrate in the x-y plane will also introduce thermal mismatch strains, namely \(\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{T}}\), which can be calculated by the thermal expansion of material in free and layered conditions, as:

\[
\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{T}} = \varepsilon_{\text{T,free}} - \varepsilon_{\text{T,layered}}
\]

(39)

where \(\varepsilon_{\text{T,free}}\) is the ideal thermal expansion of free material, and \(\varepsilon_{\text{T,layered}}\) is the thermal expansion of material in the layered structure. Considering the much larger thickness of the substrate than that of AlN, the thermal expansions of the AlN/Si and AlN/6H-SiC based SAWRs are decided by the substrates, hence the thermal mismatch strains of the substrates can be neglected, and the strain of AlN is not sensitive to the film thickness, both of which has been confirmed by finite element modeling results. For each of the AlN layers in the calculated AlN/Si and AlN/6H-SiC based SAWRs, with the premise that it is isotropic in the x-y plane, the \(\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{T}}\) of each configuration is calculated and shown in Figure 9.

Figure 9. Temperature dependences of \(\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{T}}\) in AlN layer for both AlN/Si and AlN/6H-SiC based SAWRs (a,b) AlN in AlN/Si based SAWR; (c,d) AlN in AlN/6H-SiC based SAWR.
With the given strain field $\varepsilon = [\Delta \varepsilon_T, \Delta \varepsilon_r, 0]$, the relative change of $f_c$ can thus be calculated by:

$$\frac{\Delta f_c}{f_c} \frac{f_{c,0,\varepsilon} - f_{c,0,\varepsilon}}{f_{c,0,\varepsilon}} = \frac{v_{\text{SAW},\varepsilon} - v_{\text{SAW},0,\varepsilon}}{v_{\text{SAW},0,\varepsilon}} - \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda_{0,\varepsilon}}{\lambda_{0,\varepsilon}}$$

(40)

Therefore the TCF introduced by the thermal strain mismatch can be expressed as:

$$\text{TCF}_{\varepsilon} = \frac{f_{c,0,\varepsilon} - f_{c,0,\varepsilon}}{\Delta T f_{c,0,\varepsilon}} = \frac{v_{\text{SAW},\varepsilon} - v_{\text{SAW},0,\varepsilon}}{\Delta T v_{\text{SAW},0,\varepsilon}} - \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon} - \lambda_{0,\varepsilon}}{\Delta T \lambda_{0,\varepsilon}}$$

(41)

where $\lambda_{\varepsilon}$ and $\lambda_{0,\varepsilon}$ are the geometric period of IDT with strain $\varepsilon$ and without $\varepsilon$, and has the relationship:

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon} = \lambda_{0,\varepsilon} (1 + \Delta \varepsilon_T)$$

(42)

Similarly, $v_{\text{SAW},\varepsilon}$ and $v_{\text{SAW},0,\varepsilon}$ are, respectively, the SAW velocity under the appearance and absence of strain $\varepsilon$. As shown in Equation (32), $v_{\text{SAW}}$ can be given by the elastic constant and the mass density, which are both functions of strain field $\varepsilon$, therefore $v_{\text{SAW},\varepsilon}$ can be given as:

$$v_{\text{SAW},\varepsilon} = f\left(\rho_{\varepsilon}, c_{ij,\varepsilon}\right)$$

(43)

where $\rho_{\varepsilon}$ is the mass density under strain field and can be given by:

$$\rho_{\varepsilon} = \rho_{0,\varepsilon} (1 - 2\Delta \varepsilon_T)$$

(44)

where $c_{ij,\varepsilon}$ represents the elastic constants of AlN in strain field, and can be calculated by the third order elastic constants $c_{ijk}$ as given by references [36–38] and written as Equation (45) for simplicity. The third order elastic constants used for calculation has been in Table A2 of Appendix A.

$$c_{ij} (\varepsilon) = f\left(c_{ij}, c_{ijk}, \varepsilon\right)$$

(45)

The calculated TCF$_{\varepsilon}$ of AlN in AlN/Si and AlN/6H-SiC configurations are $-0.03$ ppm/K and $-0.02$ ppm/K.

As can be seen, the TCF$_{\varepsilon}$ of AlN in both of the calculated configurations are orders of magnitude lower than the intrinsic TCF$_{\text{SAW}}$ of AlN listed in Table 3, therefore the TCF$_{\varepsilon}$ has little influence on the total TCF of the AlN layer in either SAWR configuration.

3. Experimental Section

The fabricated AlN/Si and AlN/6H-SiC SAWRs in this work has the same layout design, as has been given by Table A1 of Appendix A.

For the AlN/Si configuration, a 400 $\mu$m thick, 4” n-type (100) silicon wafer is prepared as substrate, and cleaned with buffer hydrofluoric acid (BHF), acetone, isopropanol (IPA) and deionized water. The resistivity of the substrate is around $4.5 \times 10^3$ $\Omega$cm (4-Probe method, NPS RESISTEST VIII). Polycrystalline AlN layer with a thickness of 3 $\mu$m is deposited on the Si substrate via RF sputtering, followed by 100 nm thick of Mo deposited with the same method. The Mo layer is patterned into the designed layout by reactive ion etching (RIE). A gold (Au) layer of 100 nm in thickness is then evaporated and patterned as electrodes for signal connection.

As for the AlN/6H-SiC configuration, a 350 $\mu$m thick, 4” n-type (0002) 6H-SiC wafer is chosen as the substrate, with a nominal resistivity higher than $1 \times 10^5$ $\Omega$cm. The same micro fabrication process is utilized for fabricating the SAW as in the case of AlN/Si configuration. It should be noted that besides the thickness of the substrate, the thickness of AlN film in AlN/6H-SiC configuration, being 1.5 $\mu$m, is also different from that of AlN/Si configuration due to the fabrication capability. But since this work mainly focuses on the relative fluctuation of each configuration under different temperatures, these inconsistencies will have little effect on the results and conclusions.
An abbreviated fabrication process has been illustrated in previously reported work [38]. The AlN films in both configurations are characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectrum, and the Mo/AlN/substrate layered stacks are characterized by scanning electrons microscope (SEM).

After the micro-fabrication process, SAWRs are diced from wafers and adhered to connection board by high temperature adhesion agent. High temperature silver paste (SX-9302) is used to electrically connect the SAWR devices and connections boards.

The high temperature range responses of the SAWRs are then tested from 300 to 725 K with the apparatus shown in Figure 10. The SAWRs are heated by a gun heater (ATTEN instrument), with the temperature monitored by thermocouples (Uni-Trend Technology, UT322). The frequency domain response of the SAWRs is monitored and recorded by network analyzer (Agilent Technology, N5230C) simultaneously. Temperature responses of both electrical performance and center frequency are evaluated and compared with the modeling and calculated results.

![Figure 10. Apparatus used for temperature response testing (a) Designed diagram; (b) Photo.](image)

4. Results and Discussion

The XRD and SEM characterizations of the as-deposited AlN on both Si and 6H-SiC are shown in Figure 11. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the (0002)-AlN can be derived from the XRD spectrum and are 0.16° and 0.18° for the AlN/Si and AlN/6H-SiC configuration, respectively, indicating highly c-axis oriented (0002) AlN has been grown on each substrate.

![Figure 11. Characterization of the layered SAWR device (a) XRD and SEM characterization of AlN/Si configuration; (b) XRD and SEM characterization of AlN/6H-SiC configuration.](image)

The center frequency of the fabricated AlN/Si SAWR is 422 MHz, while that of AlN/6H-SiC is 546 MHz. The typical reflection coefficient $S_{11}$ of both configurations have been shown in Figure 12. The electrical performances of SAWRs under different temperatures are evaluated by analyzing the frequency domain response of each configuration. Similar to the method mentioned in the modeling part, $\Delta|Z_{11}|$ of each temperature point is used for the comparison, and the values of $\Delta|Z_{11}|$
are normalized by using the room temperature (300 K) data for comparison. The tested results are shown in Figure 13a,b.

![Figure 12](image1)

**Figure 12.** Typical reflection coefficient of the AlN/Si SAWR in this work (a) AlN/Si based SAWR; (b) AlN/6H-SiC based SAWR.

![Figure 13](image2)

**Figure 13.** Electrical performances of SAWRs under different temperatures (a) AlN/Si based SAWR; (b) AlN/6H-SiC based SAWR.

As can be seen, the $|\Delta Z_{11}|$ of AlN/Si configuration decreases fast with an increasing temperature, while that for AlN/6H-SiC configuration degrades less than 5% within 625 K, which are in accordance with the predicted performances given by the modified equivalent circuit model.

The differences between the modeling and experimental results may come from the serial parasitic parameters such as the resistors and inductors introduced by the IDT grids and the conducting lines, which are not considered in the modeling here but also vary with temperature. Another possible contributing factor to the differences is the lattice vibration [39], leading to stronger acoustic scattering and phonon loss at elevated temperatures, which may lead to a deterioration stronger than the modeling results and play a dominating role at higher temperatures, as shown in the AlN/6H-SiC configuration at temperatures higher than 650 K.

After all, based on the modeling and experimental results shown in this work, it can be inferred that the semiconductor property of the substrate, mostly the band gap, is playing a key role in the electrical performance of the AlN-film based SAWR, and substrates with a higher band-gap will lead to a more stable high temperature performance.

The temperature responses of center frequency are also tested for both configurations and linear approximation is used for deriving the first order TCF$_{SAWR}$, as shown in Figure 14. The first order TCF$_{SAWR}$ of $-29$ ppm/K is obtained for the AlN/Si configuration, and $-26$ ppm/K for the AlN/SiC one. As has been shown in Section 2.5, the frequency shift due to thermal mismatch is negligible compared to the intrinsic TCF$_{SAW}$ of the materials discussed in this work, therefore the differences between the calculated TCF$_{SAWR}$ ($-26$ to $-28$ ppm/K for the AlN/Si configuration and $-25$ to $-28$ ppm/K for the AlN/6H-SiC configuration) can be contributed to the inconformity of the
actual parameter and those used in calculation, especially the temperature coefficient factors of elastic constants \( \frac{\Delta c_{ij}}{c_{ij}} \), which are sensitive to testing methods and have existing inconsistencies among different research reports.

![Figure 14. Electrical performances of SAWRs under different temperature (a) AlN/Si based SAWR; (b) AlN/6H-SiC based SAWR.](image)

Considering the relative mismatch of the calculated TCF\textsubscript{SAWR} to the experimental one is less than 15% in each configuration, the method induced in this work is an effective way for estimating the TCF of AlN-film based SAWRs, which would be important when designing SAWR-based sensors working in a wide temperature range and if temperature compensation being needed.

5. Conclusions

The temperature responses of aluminum nitride (AlN) film based surface acoustic wave resonators (SAWR) are modeled and tested. A modified equivalent circuit model is introduced to model the electrical performance in a varying temperature environment. By taking the parasitic parameters from the substrate into the modeling, the electrical performances of the AlN-film based SAWRs can be predicted, and are further compared with high temperature experiments from 300 K to 725 K, leading to a conclusion that SAWR based on substrates with wider band gaps will lead to a more stable high temperature behavior.

Temperature responses of SAWR’s center frequency are also calculated and tested, with experimental TCF\textsubscript{SAWR} of center frequencies being \(-29\ \text{ppm/K}\) and \(-26\ \text{ppm/K}\) for the AlN/Si and AlN/6H-SiC based SAWRs. Considering the relative mismatch is less than 15% between calculated TCF\textsubscript{SAWR} and the experimental ones, the calculation method introduced in this work is an effective way for estimating the TCF of AlN-film based SAWRs, which would be important when designing SAWR-based sensors working in a wide temperature range as well as temperature compensation.
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Appendix A

The geometric and material parameters used for modeling and calculation in this work are listed in Table A1.

Table A1. Material parameters used for modeling and calculation ($C_{ij}$ represents the elastic constants of material, $ij = 1$–6).

| Parameters | Value/Unit | Parameters | Value/Unit |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| $c_{11, AlN}$ | 408.03/GPa | $c_{44, SiC}$ | 137/GPa |
| $c_{12, AlN}$ | 100.18/GPa | $c_{66, SiC}$ | 153/GPa |
| $c_{13, AlN}$ | 83.40/GPa | $\rho_{300, SiC}$ | 3216/kg.m$^{-3}$ |
| $c_{33, AlN}$ | 384.30/GPa | $\varepsilon_{SiC,R}$ | 9.7 |
| $c_{44, AlN}$ | 100.08/GPa | $t_{p, Si}$ | 400/µm |
| $c_{66, AlN}$ | 153.93/GPa | $t_{p, AlN-Si}$ | 3/µm |
| $\rho_{300, AlN}$ | 3260/kg | $\varepsilon_{AlN,R}$ | 9 |
| $\varepsilon_{AlN, Si}$ | 440/GPa | $\varepsilon_{AlN-Si}$ | 3/µm |
| $c_{11, Si}$ | 165.63/GPa | $t_{m}$ | 100/nm |
| $c_{12, Si}$ | 63.87/GPa | $N$ | 50 |
| $c_{13, Si}$ | 79.55/GPa | $\lambda$ | 12/µm |
| $\rho_{300, Si}$ | 2329/kg.m$^{-3}$ | $a$ | 3/µm |
| $c_{11, Mo}$ | 440.77/GPa | $\varepsilon_{Mo}$ | 5000/µm |
| $c_{12, Mo}$ | 172.43/GPa | $l_{c}$ | 3072.30/GPa |
| $c_{13, Mo}$ | 121.65/GPa | $\lambda_{c}$ | 514.07/GPa |
| $\rho_{Mo}$ | 10,200/kg.m$^{-3}$ | $\lambda_{c}$ | 75.06/GPa |
| $c_{133, AlN}$ | 1.8/µm | $\lambda_{c}$ | 155.12/GPa |
| $c_{133, Si}$ | 200/µm | $\lambda_{c}$ | 100.08/GPa |
| $c_{133, Si}$ | 2413.0/GPa | $\lambda_{c}$ | 100.08/GPa |

Table A2. Material parameters used for modeling and calculation (complementary parameters) [28,29,32–35,37].

| Parameters | Value/Unit | Parameters | Value/Unit |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| $\alpha_{T,x, AlN}$ | ref. [32] | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{11}\text{AlN}}}$ | ref. [34] |
| $\alpha_{T,y, AlN}$ | ref. [32] | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{13}\text{AlN}}}$ | ref. [35] |
| $\alpha_{T,z, AlN}$ | ref. [32] | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{33}\text{AlN}}}$ | ref. [35] |
| $\alpha_{T,x, Si}$ | ref. [28] | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{11}\text{Si}}}$ | ref. [35] |
| $\alpha_{T,y, Si}$ | ref. [28] | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{13}\text{Si}}}$ | ref. [35] |
| $\alpha_{T,z, Si}$ | ref. [28] | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{33}\text{Si}}}$ | ref. [35] |
| $\alpha_{T,x, Si}$ | ref. [28] | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{11}\text{Si}}}$ | ref. [35] |
| $\alpha_{T,y, Si}$ | ref. [28] | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{13}\text{Si}}}$ | ref. [35] |
| $\alpha_{T,z, Si}$ | ref. [28] | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{33}\text{Si}}}$ | ref. [35] |
| $\alpha_{T,x, AlN}$ | $\frac{1}{37/\text{ppmK}^{-1}}$ | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{133}\text{AlN}}}$ | $-3072.30/\text{GPa}$ |
| $\alpha_{T,y, AlN}$ | $\frac{1}{65/\text{ppmK}^{-1}}$ | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{133}\text{AlN}}}$ | $-514.07/\text{GPa}$ |
| $\alpha_{T,z, AlN}$ | $\frac{1}{50/\text{ppmK}^{-1}}$ | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{133}\text{AlN}}}$ | $-75.06/\text{GPa}$ |
| $\alpha_{T,x, Si}$ | $\frac{1}{155.12/\text{GPa}}$ | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{133}\text{Si}}}$ | $-155.12/\text{GPa}$ |
| $\alpha_{T,y, Si}$ | $\frac{1}{100.08/\text{GPa}}$ | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{133}\text{Si}}}$ | $-100.08/\text{GPa}$ |
| $\alpha_{T,z, Si}$ | $\frac{1}{2413.0/\text{GPa}}$ | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{133}\text{Si}}}$ | $-2413.0/\text{GPa}$ |
| $\alpha_{T,x, AlN}$ | ref. [34] | $\frac{1}{\varphi_{C_{133}\text{AlN}}}$ | ref. [34] |
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