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Abstract: The conditional mean value has applications in regression analysis and in financial mathematics, because they are used in it. We can find papers from recent years that use the conditional mean value in fuzzy cases. As the intuitionistic fuzzy sets are an extension of fuzzy sets, we will try to define a conditional mean value for the intuitionistic fuzzy case. The conditional mean value in crisp intuitionistic fuzzy events was first studied by V. Valeníčková in 2009. She used Gödel connectives. Her approach can only be used for special cases of intuitionistic fuzzy events, therefore, we want to define a conditional mean value for all elements of a family of intuitionistic fuzzy events. In this paper, we define the conditional mean value for intuitionistic fuzzy events using Łukasiewicz connectives. We use a Kolmogorov approach and the notions from a classical probability theory for construction. B. Riečan formulated a conditional intuitionistic fuzzy probability for intuitionistic fuzzy events using an intuitionistic fuzzy state in 2012. In classical cases, there exists a connection between the conditional probability and the conditional mean value, therefore we show a connection between the conditional intuitionistic fuzzy probability induced by the intuitionistic fuzzy state and the conditional intuitionistic fuzzy mean value.
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1. Introduction

In general, a conditional mean value has many applications in regression analysis and in financial mathematics and insurance. The most used notion in these areas is uncertainty. The notion of uncertainty has two aspects. The first one is understood as risk uncertainty and it is modeled by a stochastic apparatus. The second one is vagueness, which can be modeled by a fuzzy methodology.

In [1], A. de Korvin and R. Kleyle studied a conditional expectation in a fuzzy case and they showed its use for Gaussian distribution. A conditional variance of fuzzy random variables needs for its definition the notion of a conditional mean value (see [2]). An approach to modeling risk by the conditional value at risk methodology under imprecise and soft conditions was solved in [3]. In [4], C. You discussed the properties of a conditional mean value for fuzzy variables such as the Hölders inequality. In [5], M. Bertanha and G. W. Imbens showed the use of a conditional mean value for testing an external validity in fuzzy regression discontinuity designs. B. Riečan and M. Jurečková studied a notion of conditional expectation of observables on MV-algebras of fuzzy sets and on probability MV-algebras with product (see [6,7]). The intuitionistic fuzzy sets introduced by K. T. Atanassov in [8] are a generalization of Zadeh’s fuzzy sets in [9,10], given by \((f, 1 - f)\), where \(f\) is a fuzzy set. As there are known practical applications in classical cases and fuzzy cases, it is interesting to study a notion of conditional expectation in a family of intuitionistic fuzzy sets.
In [11], V. Valenčáková defined a conditional mean value \( E(x \mid y) \) for crisp intuitionistic fuzzy events \( A = \{(\chi_A, 1 - \chi_A)\} \subset \mathcal{F} = \{(\mu_A, v_A) : \mu_A + v_A \leq 1\Omega\} \) as a Borel function \( g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfying the following equality

\[
E(x\mid y(C)) = \int_{C} g \ dm,
\]

for every \( C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( y(C) \in A \). There, \( y : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \to A \), \( x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{F} \) are the M-observables and \( m : \mathcal{F} \to [0, 1] \) is an M-state. She used the Gödel connectives \( \lor, \land \) given by

\[
A \lor B = (\mu_A + \mu_B, v_A \land v_B), \quad A \land B = (\mu_A \land \mu_B, v_A \lor v_B),
\]

for any \( A, B \in \mathcal{F} \). We show the connection with a conditional intuitionistic probability \( p(A \mid x) \) introduced by B. Riečan in [12] as a Borel measurable function \( f \) (i.e., \( B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \implies f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \)) such that

\[
\int_B p(A \mid x) \ dm_x = m(A \cdot x(B))
\]

for each \( B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \), where \( m : \mathcal{F} \to [0, 1] \) is the intuitionistic fuzzy state, \( A \in \mathcal{F} \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy event and \( x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{F} \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy observable. This conditional intuitionistic fuzzy probability is induced by an intuitionistic fuzzy state.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 includes the basic notions from intuitionistic fuzzy probability theory as an intuitionistic fuzzy event, an intuitionistic fuzzy observable and an intuitionistic fuzzy mean value. In Section 3, we present the main results of the research. First, we formulate a definition of an indefinite integral. Then we define a conditional intuitionistic fuzzy mean value for the intuitionistic fuzzy events. Next, we show a connection with a conditional intuitionistic probability induced by an intuitionistic fuzzy state. The last section contains concluding remarks and the direction of future research.

We note that in the whole text we use the notation IF as an abbreviation for ‘intuitionistic fuzzy.’

2. Basic Notions of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Probability Theory

In this section, we recall the definitions of basic notions connected with intuitionistic fuzzy probability theory (see [13–15]).

**Definition 1** ([13–15]). Let \( \Omega \) be a nonempty set. An IF-set \( A \) on \( \Omega \) is a pair \((\mu_A, v_A)\) of mappings \( \mu_A, v_A : \Omega \to [0, 1] \) such that \( \mu_A + v_A \leq 1\Omega \).

**Definition 2** ([13–15]). Start with a measurable space \((\Omega, \mathcal{S})\). Hence, \( \mathcal{S} \) is a \( \sigma \)-algebra of subsets of \( \Omega \). An IF-event is called an IF-set \( A = (\mu_A, v_A) \) such that \( \mu_A, v_A : \Omega \to [0, 1] \) are \( \mathcal{S} \)-measurable.

The family of all IF-events on \((\Omega, \mathcal{S})\) will be denoted by \( \mathcal{F} \), \( \mu_A : \Omega \to [0, 1] \) will be called the membership function, \( v_A : \Omega \to [0, 1] \) will be called the non-membership function.

If \( A = (\mu_A, v_A) \in \mathcal{F} \), \( B = (\mu_B, v_B) \in \mathcal{F} \), then we define the Lukasiewicz binary operations \( \oplus, \odot \) on \( \mathcal{F} \) by

\[
\mu_A \oplus \mu_B = \min\{\mu_A, \mu_B\}, \quad \mu_A \odot \mu_B = \max\{\mu_A, \mu_B\}
\]

\[
v_A \oplus v_B = \max\{v_A, v_B\}, \quad v_A \odot v_B = \min\{v_A, v_B\}
\]
\[ A \oplus B = ((\mu_A + \mu_B) \land 1_{\Omega}, v_A + v_B - 1_{\Omega} ) \lor 0_{\Omega} ), \]
\[ A \odot B = ((\mu_A + \mu_B - 1_{\Omega} ) \lor 0_{\Omega}, (v_A + v_B) \land 1_{\Omega} ) \]

and the partial ordering is given by

\[ A \leq B \iff \mu_A \leq \mu_B, v_A \geq v_B. \]

In the IF-probability theory ([12]), instead of the notion of probability, we use the notion of state.

**Definition 3 ([12]).** Let \( F \) be the family of all IF-events in \( \Omega \). A mapping \( m : F \to [0,1] \) is called an IF-state, if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) \( m((1_{\Omega},0_{\Omega})) = 1, m((0_{\Omega},1_{\Omega})) = 0; \)
(ii) if \( A \odot B = (0_{\Omega},1_{\Omega}) \) and \( A, B \in F \), then \( m(A \oplus B) = m(A) + m(B); \)
(iii) if \( A_n \uparrow A \) (i.e., \( \mu_{A_n} \uparrow \mu_A, v_{A_n} \downarrow v_A \)), then \( m(A_n) \uparrow m(A). \)

The third basic notion in the probability theory is the notion of an observable. Let \( J \) be the family of all intervals in \( R \) of the form

\[ [a,b) = \{ x \in R : a \leq x < b \}. \]

Then the \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \sigma(J) \) is denoted \( B(R) \) and it is called the \( \sigma \)-algebra of Borel sets and its elements are called Borel sets.

**Definition 4 ([12]).** By an IF-observable on \( F \) we understand each mapping \( x : B(R) \to F, \) satisfying the following conditions:

(i) \( x(R) = (1_{\Omega},0_{\Omega}), x(\varnothing) = (0_{\Omega},1_{\Omega}); \)
(ii) if \( A \cap B = \varnothing \), then \( x(A \cap x(B) = (0_{\Omega},1_{\Omega}) \) and \( x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B); \)
(iii) if \( A_n \uparrow A \), then \( x(A_n) \uparrow x(A). \)

If we denote \( x(A) = (x^\oplus(A),1_{\Omega} - x^\oplus(A)) \) for each \( A \in B(R) \), then \( x^\oplus, x^\ominus : B(R) \to T \) are observables, where \( T = \{ f : \Omega \to [0,1]; f \) is \( S \)-measurable \}.

Similar to the classical case, the following theorem can be proved ([12,16]).

**Theorem 1 ([16]).** Let \( x : B(R) \to F \) be an IF-observable, \( m : F \to [0,1] \) be an IF-state. Define the mapping \( m_x : B(R) \to [0,1] \) by the formula

\[ m_x(C) = m(x(C)). \]

Then \( m_x : B(R) \to [0,1] \) is a probability measure.

**Proof.** In [16] Proposition 3.1. \( \Box \)

In [17] we introduced the notion of product operation on the family of IF-events \( F \) and showed an example of this operation.

**Definition 5 ([17]).** We say that a binary operation \( \cdot \) on \( F \) is a product if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) \( (1_{\Omega},0_{\Omega}) \cdot (a_1,a_2) = (a_1,a_2) \) for each \( (a_1,a_2) \in F; \)
(ii) \( \cdot \) is commutative and associative;
(iii) if \( (a_1,a_2) \odot (b_1,b_2) = (0_{\Omega},1_{\Omega}) \) and \( (a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2) \in F \), then

\[ (c_1,c_2) \cdot ((a_1,a_2) \oplus (b_1,b_2)) = ((c_1,c_2) \cdot (a_1,a_2)) \oplus ((c_1,c_2) \cdot (b_1,b_2)) \]
and 
\[(c_1, c_2) \cdot (a_1, a_2) \circ ((c_1, c_2) \cdot (b_1, b_2)) = (0, 1)\]
for each 
\[(c_1, c_2) \in F; \]
(iv) if \((a_{1n}, a_{2n}) \, \bowtie \, (0, 1), (b_{1n}, b_{2n}) \, \bowtie \, (0, 1)\) and \((a_{1n}, a_{2n}), (b_{1n}, b_{2n}) \in F,\) then \((a_{1n}, a_{2n}) \cdot (b_{1n}, b_{2n}) \, \bowtie \, (0, 1)\).

The following theorem provides an example of product operation for IF-events.

**Theorem 2** ([17]). The operation \(\cdot\) defined by
\[(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 \cdot x_2, y_1 + y_2 - y_1 \cdot y_2)\]
for each \((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in F\) is a product operation on \(F\).

**Proof.** In [17] Theorem 1.

Since now \(m_x : B(R) \rightarrow [0, 1]\) plays an analogous role as \(P_x : B(R) \rightarrow [0, 1]\), we can define IF-mean value \(E(x)\) by the same formula (see [18]).

**Definition 6** ([18]). We say that an IF-observable \(x\) is an integrable IF-observable, if the integral \(\int_R t \, dm_x(t)\) exists. In this case we define the IF-mean value
\[E(x) = \int_R t \, dm_x(t).\]

If the integral \(\int_R t^2 \, dm_x(t)\) exists, then we define IF-dispersion \(D^2(x)\) by the formula
\[D^2(x) = \int_R t^2 \, dm_x(t) - (E(x))^2 = \int_R (t - E(x))^2 \, dm_x(t).\]

3. **Conditional Intuitionistic Fuzzy Mean Value**

In this section, we present the main results. First, we introduce our motivation from classical probability space.

In the classical probability space \((\Omega, S, P)\) if \(\xi, \eta\) are two random variables, then the conditional mean value \(E(\xi \mid \eta)\) of \(\xi\) with respect to \(\eta\) can be defined as a Borel function \(g : R \rightarrow R\) such that
\[\int_{g^{-1}(B)} \xi \, dP = \int_B g \, dP_\eta\]
for each \(B \in B(R)\). Here \(P_\eta = P \circ \eta^{-1}\) is the probability distribution of \(\eta\). In our case this idea could also be realised:
\[\int_{\gamma(B)} y \, dm = \int_B f \, dm_x.\]

Of course, first we must define \(\int_B y \, dm\), because we have defined \(\int_R y \, dm = E(y) = \int_R t \, dm_x(t)\) only.

**Definition 7.** If \(x, y : B(R) \rightarrow F\) are the IF-observable and \(B \in B(R)\) is fixed, then we define \(y_{x(B)} : B(R) \rightarrow F\) by the formula
\[y_{x(B)}(D) = \begin{cases} (0, 1), & \text{if } D = \emptyset \\ y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B), & \text{if } D \neq R, D \in B(R) \\ (1, 0), & \text{if } D = R \end{cases}\]
Proposition 1. The mapping \( y_{x(B)} \) is an IF-observable. If the IF-observable \( y \) is integrable, then the IF-observable \( y_{x(B)} \) is also integrable.

Proof. Let \( C, D \in B(R), C \cap D = \emptyset \). If \( 0 \notin C, 0 \notin D \), then using Definitions 4 and 5 we have

\[
y_{x(B)}(C \cup D) = y((C \cup D) \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B) = y(C \cup D) \cdot x(B) = (y(C) \oplus y(D)) \cdot x(B) = \]

\[
y(C) \cdot x(B) \oplus y(D) \cdot x(B) = y(C \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B) \oplus y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B) = \]

\[
y_{x(B)}(C) \oplus y_{x(B)}(D). \]

If \( 0 \notin C, 0 \notin D \), then \( 0 \notin C \cup D \) and using Definition 4 and Definition 5, we obtain

\[
y_{x(B)}(C \cup D) = y((C \cup D) \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B) = y(C \setminus \{0\} \cup D) \cdot x(B) = \]

\[
y(y(C \setminus \{0\} \oplus y(D)) \cdot x(B) = (y(C \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B)) \oplus (y(D) \cdot x(B)) = \]

\[
y(y(C \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B)) \oplus (y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B)) = y_{x(B)}(C) \oplus y_{x(B)}(D). \]

If \( 0 \notin C, 0 \in D \), then \( 0 \in C \cup D \) and we have \( y_{x(B)}(C \cup D) = y_{x(B)}(C) \oplus y_{x(B)}(D) \), similar to the previous case.

Since \( y(x) \cap y(D) = (0, 1) \), then using Definition 5 we have

\[
y_{x(B)}(C) \oplus y_{x(B)}(D) = (y(C \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B)) \oplus (y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B)) = (0, 1).
\]

If \( A_n \nrightarrow A \), then

\[
y_{x(B)}(A_n) = y(A_n \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B) \nrightarrow y(A \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B) = y_{x(B)}(A).
\]

Let \( y \) be integrable, that is, there exists \( E(y) = \int_{R} t \, d\mu(t) \). We want to prove that \( y_{x(B)} \) is integrable, too. It suffices to prove that there exist the integrals

\[
\int_{(0, \infty)} t \, d\mu_{y_{x(B)}}(t), \int_{(-\infty, 0)} t \, d\mu_{y_{x(B)}}(t).
\]

Define the measure \( \mu : B(R) \rightarrow R \) by the formula

\[
\mu(D) = \mathcal{m}_{y_{x(B)}}(D) = \mathcal{m}(y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B)).
\]

Then \( \mu \) is a measure and

\[
\mu(D) = \mathcal{m}(y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B)) \leq \mathcal{m}(y(D) \cdot x(B)) \leq \mathcal{m}(y(D \cdot (1_{\Omega}, 0_{\Omega})) = \mathcal{m}(y(D)).
\]

It follows,

\[
0 \leq \int_{(0, \infty)} t \, d\mu_{y_{x(B)}}(t) = \int_{(0, \infty)} t \, d\mu_{y_{x(B)}}(t) + \int_{(0, \infty)} t \, d\mu_{y_{x(B)}}(t) = \int_{(0, \infty)} t \, d\mu(t) \leq \int_{(0, \infty)} t \, d\mu_{y}(t) < \infty.
\]

On the other hand, for \( t < 0 \) we have

\[
\int_{(-\infty, 0)} t \, d\mu_{y_{x(B)}}(t) = \int_{(-\infty, 0)} t \, d\mu(t) \geq \int_{(-\infty, 0)} t \, d\mu_{y}(t) > -\infty.
\]
Theorem 3. Let \( x, y : \mathcal{B}(R) \to R \) be the IF-observables, such that \( y \) is integrable, \( i.e., \) there exists \( E(y) = \int_R t \, dm_y(t) \), then the indefinite integral is defined by the formula
\[
E(y_x(B)) = \int_R t \, dm_{y_x}(t)
\]
for fixed \( B \in \mathcal{B}(R) \).

Proposition 2. Let \( x, y : \mathcal{B}(R) \to R \) be the IF-observables and \( y \) be integrable. Then \( E(y_x(B)) \) is a finite generalized measure.

Proof. Let \( B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i, B_i \) be disjoint. Then \( x(B) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n x(B_i) \leq (1_{\Omega}, 0_{\Omega}) \). Put
\[
\mu(D) = m_{y_x}(D) = m(y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B)),
\mu_i(D) = m_{y_x}(D) = m(y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B_i)).
\]
Then,
\[
E(y_x(B)) = \int_R t \, d\mu(t), \quad E(y_x(B_i)) = \int_R t \, d\mu_i(t).
\]
Moreover,
\[
\mu(D) = m(y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B)) = m\left(y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot \bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty x(B_i)\right) =
\]
\[
= m\left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty (y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B_i))\right) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty m(y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B_i)) =
\]
\[
= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i(D).
\]
Therefore we have
\[
E(y_x(B)) = \int_R t \, d\mu(t) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \int_R t \, d\mu_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty E(y_x(B_i)).
\]
Since \( \mu(D) = m(y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B)) \leq m(y(D)) \), then we have
\[
|E(y_x(B))| = \left| \int_R t \, d\mu(t) \right| \leq \int_R |t| \, d\mu(t) \leq \int_R |t| \, dm_y(t) < \infty.
\]
\[\square\]

Theorem 3. Let \( x, y : \mathcal{B}(R) \to R \) be the IF-observables and \( y \) be integrable, \( i.e., \) there exists \( E(y) = \int_R t \, dm_y(t) \). Then there exists a Borel measurable function \( f : R \to R \) such that
\[
E(y_x(B)) = \int_B f \, dm_x
\]
for each \( B \in \mathcal{B}(R) \).

Proof. Define \( \mu : \mathcal{B}(R) \to [0, 1] \) by the formula \( \mu(B) = m(x(B)) = m_x(B) \) and \( \nu : \mathcal{B}(R) \to [0, 1] \) by the formula \( \nu(B) = E(y_x(B)) = \int_R t \, dm_{y_x}(t) \).

If \( m_x(B) = 0 \), that is, \( m(x(B)) = 0 \), then
\[
m_y(y_x(B)) = m(y(D \setminus \{0\}) \cdot x(B)) \leq m((1_{\Omega}, 0_{\Omega}) \cdot x(B)) = m(x(B)) = 0.
\]
Hence,
\[ \nu(B) = E(y_{x(B)}) = \int_B t \, dm_{y_{x(B)}}(t) = 0. \]

Therefore \( \nu \ll \mu \) and by Radon-Nikodym theorem there exists the Borel measurable function \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) such that
\[ E(y_{x(B)}) = \int_B f \, dm_x \]
for each \( B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \).

Now we are able to define a notion of a conditional intuitionistic fuzzy mean value (expectation).

**Definition 9.** If \( x, y : B(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \) are the IF-observables and \( y \) is integrable, then the conditional IF-mean value (expectation) \( E(y \mid x) = f \) is the Borel measurable function such that
\[ E(y_{x(B)}) = \int_B E(y \mid x) \, dm_x \]
for each \( B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \).

Now we show the connection between a conditional intuitionistic fuzzy mean value \( E(y \mid x) \) and a conditional intuitionistic probability \( p(A \mid x) \) introduced by B. Riečan in [12] (see Remark 1). Recall that a conditional intuitionistic fuzzy probability is a Borel measurable function \( f \) (i.e., \( B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \implies f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \)) such that
\[ \int_B p(A \mid x) \, dm_x = m(A \cdot x(B)) \]
for each \( B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \), where \( m : \mathcal{F} \to [0, 1] \) is the intuitionistic fuzzy state, \( A \in \mathcal{F} \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy event and \( x : B(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{F} \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy observable.

**Remark 1.** Take \( A \in \mathcal{F} \) and define the IF-observable \( y_A : B(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{F} \) by
\[ y_A(B) = \begin{cases} (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega), & \text{if } B = \emptyset \\ A, & \text{if } B = \{1\} \\ y_A(B \cap \{1\}), & \text{if } B \neq \emptyset, B \neq R, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \\ (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega), & \text{if } B = R \end{cases} \]

Then \( E(y_A \mid x) = p(A \mid x) \) holds \( m_x \) - almost everywhere.

**Proof.** Namely,
\[
\int_B E(y_A \mid x) \, dm_x = E(y_A \cdot x(B)) = \int_R \text{id} \, dm(y_A \cdot x(B)) = \int_R \text{id} \, dm(A \cdot x(B)) = m(A \cdot x(B)) = \int_B p(A \mid x) \, dm_x
\]
for each \( B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \).

Hence \( E(y_A \mid x) = p(A \mid x) \) holds \( m_x \) - almost everywhere.

4. Conclusions

This paper is concerned with the probability theory of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. We defined the indefinite integral for an intuitionistic fuzzy observable. We introduced the notion of a conditional intuitionistic fuzzy mean value and we showed a connection with
the conditional intuitionistic fuzzy probability induced by an intuitionistic fuzzy state. We used a Kolmogorov approach and the notions from a classical probability theory for construction. Another way of obtaining the results is a construction of MV-algebra of intuitionistic fuzzy events and using the results from MV-algebras. Unfortunately, this approach leads to the crisp results as in [11], because the family of intuitionistic fuzzy events $\mathcal{F}$ does not contain a set $\neg A = (1_\Omega - \nu_A, 1_\Omega - \nu_A)$. In future research we would like to prove the martingale convergence theorem for a conditional intuitionistic fuzzy mean value.
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