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1 Introduction

Matrix theory [1] purports to be a complete, non-perturbative formulation of M theory. Since a great deal is known about the physics of M theory in various corners of moduli space, much effort has recently been directed towards verifying that such physics can be recovered from Matrix theory. In this paper we will be primarily interested in studying the description of D-branes that emerges when Matrix theory is compactified on a circle, yielding the type IIA theory. Some salient features of D-branes in IIA theory which one would like to obtain from Matrix theory include the following: there exist Dp-branes for p = 0,2,4,6,8; the low energy dynamics of k parallel Dp-branes is described by a supersymmetric p+1 dimensional U(k) gauge theory; the (not necessarily low energy) dynamics of a single D-brane is described by a Born-Infeld type action. In addition, one knows the supergravity fields produced by D-branes and so also the corresponding small angle scattering amplitudes of several such objects.

Banks, Seiberg, and Shenker [2], and S.-J. Rey [3], took some first steps towards deriving the effective actions of D-branes from Matrix theory. They identified matrix assignments corresponding to D-branes by identifying the appropriate central charges in the 11 dimensional light cone gauge supersymmetry algebra. Then by analyzing the fluctuations around such backgrounds and replacing large N commutators by Poisson brackets, they were able to recover the general structure of the known effective actions to quadratic order in fields. Here, our goal is similar, but we wish to go beyond quadratic order and to keep careful track of numerical factors. To do so successfully, we found it necessary to replace matrix commutators not by Poisson brackets but rather by the commutators of covariant derivatives, much as one does when considering toroidal compactification. By so doing, we are able to obtain gauge invariant actions which precisely reproduce the fluctuations of the Born-Infeld action in the presence of a large background magnetic field.

There have been a number of studies comparing D-brane scattering amplitudes obtained from Matrix theory and from supergravity. On the Matrix theory side, the proce
dure involved computing the effective action of a finite N matrix configuration, and then extrapolating to large N. Strictly speaking, this procedure is inconsistent as the backgrounds require that certain finite N matrices have a commutator proportional to the identity, even though such matrices cannot exist. In our approach, we avoid this problem by taking $N \to \infty$ from the outset. As a specific application, we consider the scattering of a D0-brane from a configuration composed purely of D6 and D0 branes [3], which has not been studied before. We find complete agreement at the one loop level between Matrix theory and supergravity.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we rewrite the Matrix theory action in a manner suitable for the study of D-branes. Sections 2.1 and 2.2 focus on the effective action for D2-branes, and section 2.3 on the effective action for D4-branes. In section 3 we compute the potential between a D0-brane and a D6+D0 configuration, and in section 4 we discuss some of our results.

2 Fluctuations of branes in Matrix theory

The action governing Matrix theory is gotten by dimensionally reducing the action of 10 dimensional Super Yang-Mills theory to 0+1 dimensions. In string units ($2\pi \alpha' = 1$) the bosonic part of the Lagrangian is

\[ L_B = \text{Tr} L_B ; \quad L_B = \frac{T_0}{2} \left\{ (D_0 X_I)^2 + \frac{1}{2} [X_I, X_J]^2 \right\} \]

where $T_0$ is the D0-brane “tension”

\[ T_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha'} g} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{g} , \]

the covariant derivative $D_0$ is

\[ D_0 = \partial_t - i [A_0, \cdot ] \]

\footnote{following [3], Appendix B}
and \( I = 1, 2, \ldots, 8, 9 \). A p-brane background is described by

\[
X_r = U_r ; \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots, p
\]
\[
X_i = 0 ; \quad i = p + 1, \ldots, 9
\]

where \( U_r, r = 1, \ldots, p \) are certain matrices to be specified later.

Fluctuations around this background are denoted by \( A_r, \phi_i \),

\[
X_r = U_r + A_r
\]
\[
X_i = \phi_i
\]

Following Banks, Seiberg and Shenker [2], we substitute the above expansions into the Lagrangian \( L_B \), and then regroup and rename the terms so that we arrive at the following form:

\[
L_B = \frac{T_0}{2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (F_{\mu\nu})^2 + (D_\mu \phi_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} [\phi_i, \phi_j]^2 + i[U_r, U_s] F_{rs} + \frac{1}{2} [U_r, U_s]^2 \right\},
\]

where \( \mu, \nu = 0, 1, \ldots, p; r, s = 1, \ldots, p \) and

\[
F_{0r} = -F_{r0} = \partial_0 A_r + i[U_r, A_0] - i[A_0, A_r]
\]
\[
F_{rs} = -i[U_r, A_s] + i[U_s, A_r] - i[A_r, A_s]
\]
\[
D_0 \phi_i = \partial_0 \phi_i - i[A_0, \phi_i]
\]
\[
D_r \phi_i = -i[U_r, \phi_i] - i[A_r, \phi_i]
\]

(In the above, \((D_\mu \phi_i)^2 = (D_0 \phi_i)^2 - (D_r \phi_i)^2 \) etc.)

So far, we have not done anything other than reorder the terms and give them new names. Now we try to interpret the result in the context of some particular p-brane backgrounds.
2.1 Infinite membrane backgrounds (p=2)

The 11 dimensional SUSY algebra admits central charges corresponding to the presence of membranes. Banks, Seiberg and Shenker \[2\] computed the central charges starting from the Matrix theory action and found

\[
Z_{rs} = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \text{Tr}[X_r, X_s].
\]  

(7)

It follows immediately that \(Z_{rs}\) vanishes for finite \(N\). On the other hand, it has recently been proposed by Susskind \[5\] that the finite \(N\) version of Matrix theory is to be interpreted as describing a finite longitudinal momentum sector of M theory quantized in light cone gauge. The vanishing of \(Z_{rs}\) at finite \(N\) can be understood in this light, for a membrane carrying finite momentum must necessarily be compact and so carry no net central charge.

Ref. \[1\] discussed a formal method for constructing membrane backgrounds in the \(N \to \infty\) limit. The construction uses canonical variables \(Q, P\) obeying the commutation relation

\[
[Q, P] = \frac{2\pi i}{N}.
\]  

(8)

Since this relation cannot be satisfied at finite \(N\), we will instead take the \(N \to \infty\) limit in terms of the rescaled variables \[6\]

\[
U_1 = Q\sqrt{Nz_{12}} ; \quad U_2 = P\sqrt{Nz_{12}}.
\]  

(9)

Taking the spectrum of \(P, Q\) to go from 0 to \(2\pi\), the area of the membrane is \(A = (2\pi)^2Nz_{12}\), becoming infinite in the \(N \to \infty\) limit. The commutation relation

\[
[U_1, U_2] = 2\pi i z_{12}
\]  

(10)

can be represented by differential operators acting on a space of functions. More formally, the \(N\) dimensional vector space \(V_N\) on which the matrices \(X\) act will be replaced by an

\[ \text{with the normalization corresponding to conventions in this paper} \]
infinite dimensional space $V_\infty$ of functions on the membrane worldvolume. The membrane background $U_1, U_2$ is represented by differential operators acting on $V_\infty$, and fluctuations will be represented by elements of $V_\infty$, i.e., functions on the membrane worldvolume.

A simple representation of $U_{1,2}$ found in the literature consists of taking

$$U_1 = 2\pi i z_{12} \partial_y \quad ; U_2 = y$$

in the $N \to \infty$ limit. As Aharony and Berkooz [6] have pointed out, a cosmetic flaw of this representation is that a membrane carries two spatial coordinates whereas only one is manifest in the above equation.

There exists, however, a more “natural” representation than the one given above. Membranes carry a charge, which in Matrix theory arises from a $U(1)$ subgroup of the $U(N)$ symmetry, or in the continuum limit, the $U(1)$ subgroup of $U(\infty)$ which is isomorphic with the infinitesimal area preserving diffeomorphisms of the membrane. Specifically, the membrane charge density $2\pi z_{12}$ is associated with a $U(1)$ magnetic field living on the membrane world volume. In ten dimensions the boosted membrane corresponds to a D2-brane with a large background magnetic field $f_{12}$ on its worldvolume, related to the density $\sigma_0$ of D0-branes bound to the D2-brane by [7]:

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} f_{12}.$$  \hfill (12)

Since the D0-brane density is

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{N}{A} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 z_{12}},$$  \hfill (13)

we find that the magnetic field is given by

$$f_{12} = \frac{1}{2\pi z_{12}}.$$  \hfill (14)

Let $\mathbf{a} \equiv (a_1, a_2)$ be the background $U(1)$ vector potential corresponding to the magnetic field: $f_{12} = \nabla \times \mathbf{a}$. We choose

$$a_1 = -\frac{1}{2} f_{12} x_2 \quad , \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{2} f_{12} x_1.$$  \hfill (15)
Then, a representation of $U_{1,2}$, in which both of the membrane coordinates and the background magnetic field are manifest, is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
U_1 &\leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12} \left( i\partial_{x_1} + a_1(x_1, x_2) \right) \\
U_2 &\leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12} \left( i\partial_{x_2} + a_2(x_1, x_2) \right)
\end{align*}
\] (16)

This representation satisfies the commutation relation (10). To avoid confusion, let us point out that although expressions similar to (16) appear in the context of toroidal compactification [8], here the motivation and the interpretation are quite different. We have not T-dualized anything, the two coordinates $x_1, x_2$ parametrize the infinite membrane, $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

All other $N \times N$ matrices are represented by functions on the membrane worldvolume:

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_i &\leftrightarrow \phi_i(x_1, x_2) \\
A_0 &\leftrightarrow A_0(x_1, x_2).
\end{align*}
\] (17)

(We have suppressed the dependence on the worldvolume time coordinate.) For the components $A_r, \ r = 1, 2$ we will choose

\[
A_r \leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12} A_r(x_1, x_2).
\] (18)

With this normalization, (14) becomes

\[
X_r \leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12} \left[ i\partial_{x_r} + a_r(x_1, x_2) + A_r(x_1, x_2) \right],
\] (19)

and we see that $A_\mu(x_1, x_2)$ then has the proper interpretation as the fluctuation around the background (13).\]

In the $N \to \infty$ limit, the trace operation is replaced by integration over the spatial membrane worldvolume coordinates $x_1, x_2$:

\[
\text{Tr} \leftrightarrow \sigma_0 \int dx_1 dx_2,
\] (20)

where the normalization factor $\sigma_0$ is included in order to preserve the interpretation of the rank of the matrices as the number of D0-branes $N = Tr 1_N$; we represent the unit matrix $1_N$ by 1.
Finally, the matrix commutators which involve $U_r$ become commutators of operators and functions, e.g.

$$[U_r, A_0] \leftrightarrow (2\pi z_{12}) [i\partial_r + a_r(x_1, x_2), A_0(x_1, x_2)] ,$$

(21)

and the matrix commutators which do not involve $U_r$ become commutators of functions, e.g.

$$[\phi_i, \phi_j] \leftrightarrow [\phi_i(x_1, x_2), \phi_j(x_1, x_2)] .$$

(22)

In the case of a single membrane, these will be zero.

Now we can see what happens to $L_B$. It becomes a 2+1 dimensional Lagrangian density with the terms

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{0r} &= \partial_0 A_r + i[U_r, A_0] - i[A_0, A_r] \leftrightarrow (2\pi z_{12}) (\partial_0 A_r(x) - \partial_r A_0(x)) \\
F_{12} &= -i[U_1, A_2] + i[U_2, A_1] - i[A_1, A_2] \leftrightarrow (2\pi z_{12})^2 (\partial_1 A_2(x) - \partial_2 A_1(x)) \\
D_0 \phi_i &= \partial_0 \phi_i - i[A_0, \phi_i] \leftrightarrow \partial_0 \phi_i(x) \\
D_r \phi_i &= -i[U_r, \phi_i] - i[A_r, \phi_i] \leftrightarrow (2\pi z_{12}) (\partial_r \phi_i(x))
\end{align*}
$$

We find

$$\mathcal{L}_B = \text{Tr} L_B \leftrightarrow \sigma_0 \int d^2 x \frac{T_0}{2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (F_{\mu\nu} F^{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}) + \partial_\mu \phi_i \partial_\mu \phi_i - 2(2\pi z_{12})^3 F_{12} - (2\pi z_{12})^2 \right\} ,$$

(23)

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$, and the hatted upper indices are raised with the metric

$$\hat{\eta}_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag} (1, -\frac{1}{(2\pi z_{12})^2}, -\frac{1}{(2\pi z_{12})^2}) .$$

(24)

As a check, using the last term we can work out the tension of the membrane to be

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} T_0$$

(25)

which is correct. Notice that a key feature of our representation in the $N \to \infty$ limit is the vanishing of commutators such as (22). This is in contrast to the approach in [2], where the authors represented the commutators of matrices by Poisson brackets. Such a representation yields nonvanishing contributions such as

$$\{A_r, A_s\}$$
to the $F_{rs}$ component of the field strength, which is cumbersome from the D2-brane point of view where one expects to obtain a U(1) symmetry. This puzzle seems to be related to the distinction between infinite and finite membranes; for discussion, see \[6\]. Note also that even if the commutators vanish for a single infinite membrane, we will obtain nonvanishing commutators later when we discuss the case of multiple membrane backgrounds.

It is interesting to examine the relation between the effective action (23) which was derived from Matrix theory, and the analogous Born-Infeld action for a D2-brane in IIA theory. The latter is

$$L_{BI} = -T_2 \int d^2 x \sqrt{\det[\eta_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu \phi_i \partial_\nu \phi_i]},$$

(26)

We recall that boosting to the infinite momentum frame in the eleven dimensional description corresponds in ten dimensions to turning on a large magnetic field on the worldvolume of the D2-brane. We therefore make the replacement $F_{12} \to f_{12} + F_{12}$, where $f_{12} = \frac{1}{2\pi z_{12}}$ as in (14), and expand $L_{BI}$ for large $f_{12}$. To quadratic order in fluctuations we find

$$L_{BI} = -T_2 \int d^2 x \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi z_{12}} + F_{12} \right\}$$

$$- \frac{T_2}{2} \int d^2 x \left\{ (2\pi z_{12}) + (2\pi z_{12})^2 F_{12} + \frac{1}{(2\pi z_{12})^2} \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{(2\pi z_{12})} \partial_\mu \phi_i \partial^\mu \phi_i \right\}.$$  

(27)

Again, upper indices are raised with the metric (24). To compare with Matrix theory the expression in the first set of braces should be subtracted according to $H_{IMF} = H - p_{11}$. Then, using $T_2 = T_0/2\pi$ and (13), comparison of $L_{BI}$ with (23) shows complete agreement except for a factor of $1/2$ multiplying the term linear in $F_{12}$. This term is a total derivative and does not appear to be significant.

The action (27) represents the starting point for a calculation of Polchinski and Pouliot \[9\] involving M-momentum transfer between membranes. (Related discussion can be found in \[10, 11\].) There it was assumed that the action could be obtained directly from Matrix theory; here we have shown explicitly how this can be accomplished.
Consider now a background configuration of \( k \) parallel infinite membranes in the transverse directions \( X_1, X_2 \). At finite \( N \), this is represented by block diagonal \( kN \times kN \) matrices, \( X_1 \) has \( k \) copies of the \( "N \times N\) matrix” \( Q \) in the diagonal, and \( X_2 \) has \( k \) copies of \( P \). Correspondingly, for \( k \) infinite membranes we take

\[
U_1 \leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12}(i\partial_1 + a_1) \otimes 1_k \tag{28}
\]

\[
U_2 \leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12}(i\partial_2 + a_2) \otimes 1_k \tag{29}
\]

where \( 1_k \) is the unit \( k \times k \) matrix. Thus,

\[
[U_1, U_2] = 2\pi iz_{12}1_k . \tag{30}
\]

This background breaks the \( U(\infty) \) symmetry down to an \( U(k) \) symmetry. The fluctuations \( A_r, \phi_i \) are not block diagonal, which would correspond to fluctuations within each brane, but also contain off diagonal components corresponding to strings connecting distinct membranes, thereby accounting for the full \( kN \times kN \) matrix structure. The matrices can be represented as a sum of tensor products of \( N \times N \) matrices and generators of the \( U(k) \) Lie algebra \([2, 3]\). The \( N \times N \) parts, in the \( N \to \infty \) limit, are replaced by functions on the membrane - thus (17), (18) are now replaced by

\[
A_0 \leftrightarrow A_0^0(x_1, x_2) 1_k + A_0^a(x_1, x_2) T^a \tag{31}
\]

\[
A_r \leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12} (A_r^0(x_1, x_2) 1_k + A_r^a(x_1, x_2) T^a)
\]

\[
\phi_i \leftrightarrow \phi_i^0(x_1, x_2) 1_k + \phi_i^a(x_1, x_2) T^a .
\]

where \( T^a \) are the traceless generators of the \( SU(k) \) Lie algebra. Commutators thus become commutators of fields in the adjoint representation of \( U(k) \), e.g. (22) becomes

\[
[\phi_i(x_1, x_2), \phi_j(x_1, x_2)]_k
\]

and the \( SU(k) \) part will survive.

The trace over \( kN \times kN \) matrices is now represented as follows

\[
\text{Tr} \leftrightarrow \sigma_0 \int dx_1 dx_2 \text{Tr}_k , \tag{32}
\]
where \( \text{Tr}_k \) is the trace over the \( k \times k \) structure. Note that the total membrane charge of the multimembrane configuration is

\[
Z_{12} = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \text{Tr}[X_1, X_2] \leftrightarrow \sigma_0 \int dx_1 dx_2 z_{12} \text{Tr}_k 1_k
\]

\[
= k \cdot (\text{charge of a single membrane})
\]

as it should be. Plugging everything into the Lagrangian (6), we obtain

\[
\mathcal{L}_B \leftrightarrow \sigma_0 \int d^2x \frac{T_0}{2} \text{Tr}_k \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) + D_\mu \phi_i D^\mu \phi_i + \frac{1}{2} ([\phi_i, \phi_j]_k)^2 - 2(2\pi z_{12})^3 F_{12} - (2\pi z_{12})^2 1_k \right\}
\]

(34)

where

\[
F_{0r} = \partial_0 A_r(x) - \partial_r A_0(x) - i[A_0(x), A_r(x)]_k
\]

\[
F_{12} = \partial_1 A_2(x) - \partial_2 A_1(x) - i[A_1(x), A_2(x)]_k
\]

\[
D_0 \phi_i = \partial_0 \phi_i(x) - i[A_0(x), \phi_i(x)]_k
\]

\[
D_r \phi_i = \partial_r \phi_i(x) - i[A_r(x), \phi_i(x)]_k
\]

We can also check the gauge transformation properties directly. The Matrix Lagrangian (3) is invariant under the \( U(kN) \) gauge transformations

\[
\delta A_r = -i[U_r, \lambda] + i[\lambda, A_r]
\]

\[
\delta \phi_i = i[\lambda, \phi_i]
\]

\[
\delta A_0 = \partial_0 \lambda + i[\lambda, A_0]
\]

(35)

where the gauge transformation parameter \( \lambda \) (and everything else) is a \( kN \times kN \) matrix. In the \( N \to \infty \) limit these become

\[
\delta A_r(x) \leftrightarrow \partial_r \lambda(x) + i[\lambda(x), A_r(x)]_k
\]

\[
\delta \phi_i(x) \leftrightarrow i[\lambda(x), \phi_i(x)]_k
\]

\[
\delta A_0(x) \leftrightarrow \partial_0 \lambda(x) + i[\lambda(x), A_0(x)]_k
\]

(36)

and give the correct \( U(k) \) gauge symmetry of (34).
2.2 Wrapped membrane backgrounds (p=2)

We now compactify the Matrix theory on a two dimensional torus $T^2$ in the $X_1, X_2$ directions. This corresponds to compactifying M theory on $T^2 \times S^1$, since one spatial direction was already compactified. We will then consider backgrounds of transverse membranes which wrap around the torus $T^2$. As a result of the compactification, there will be additional degrees of freedom in the theory, due to strings which wind around the compact directions. As explained by Taylor [8], they can be accommodated in the Matrix theory by replacing each partonic D0-brane by a countably infinite number of copies of it on the noncompact covering space of the torus. The vector space $V$, on which the matrices act, then has a tensor product structure

$$V = V_N \otimes H^2.$$  \hfill (37)

The $N$-dimensional space $V_N$ is multiplied by a countably infinite dimensional space $H^2$ associated with the countable infinity of copies of D0-branes and strings stretching between them.

The description of the Matrix model becomes simpler upon performing T-duality in directions $X_1, X_2$. The torus $T^2$ is replaced by its dual torus $\hat{T}^2$, and the 0+1 dimensional quantum mechanics becomes a 2+1 dimensional U(N) super-Yang-Mills theory [8, 1, 13].

Instead of analyzing the fluctuations of a wrapped transverse membrane in the T-dual 2+1 dimensional Matrix theory, we will try to recover a Lagrangian for the massless fluctuations directly from the 0+1 dimensional theory on the initial torus $T^2$. Our approach will be to construct a membrane on the covering space and then to demand periodicity of the spatial coordinates. We consider a constant density $\sigma_0$ of D0-branes on the covering space. The total number of D0-branes is thus infinite, consistent with a nonvanishing value of the membrane charge, $\text{Tr}[X_1, X_2] \neq 0$. The construction then proceeds much as before: we represent the membrane background $U_{1,2}$ as covariant derivatives with a U(1)

\footnote{Recently, winding supermembranes in eleven dimensions were investigated in [12].}
magnetic field, acting on functions of the membrane world volume,

\[ U_1 \leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12} (i \partial_1 + a_1) \]
\[ U_2 \leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12} (i \partial_2 + a_2) \]  

where \( a = (a_1, a_2) = -\frac{1}{2} f_{12}(x_2, -x_1) \) as before. However, now the coordinates \( x_1, x_2 \) have a finite range:

\[ 0 \leq x_r \leq L_r, \quad r = 1, 2 \]  

where \( L_1, L_2 \) are the sizes of the torus \( T^2 \), and we demand that all quantities are periodic up to gauge transformations. With this range for the coordinates \( x_1, x_2 \), the membrane wraps once around the torus. The trace is represented as in (20), leading to a finite value of total charge

\[ Z_{12} = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \sigma_0 \int d^2 x \ [U^1, U^2] = \sigma_0 z_{12} L_1 L_2 = \frac{L_1 L_2}{(2\pi)^2} \]  

for the wrapped membrane.

The fluctuation analysis proceeds as before, and leads to the same effective 2+1 dimensional Lagrangian (23) as before. The tension of the membrane can be checked to be given by the relation (25), as it should.

Consider now 2 parallel membranes wrapped on \( T^2 \). In addition to the excitations of open strings within each membrane, there are excitations of strings which interpolate between the two membranes. As discussed in [14], these strings do not have winding modes because they are all homotopic to each other. Effectively, these strings behave as if the spacetime would be non-periodic. However, the homotopy property and the periodicity of the torus is seen in the possibility of introducing Wilson lines which correspond to non-trivial gauge holonomy. We will return to this issue shortly.

For two parallel membranes, we could write

\[ U_1 \leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12} (i \partial_1 + a_1) \otimes 1_2 \]
\[ U_2 \leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12} (i \partial_2 + a_2) \otimes 1_2 \]  
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as before, and then proceed exactly as we did in the previous section. This leads to the 2+1
dimensional U(2) Yang-Mills Lagrangian (34). However, it should be possible to make
distinction between two singly wound (around $T^2$) membranes and a single membrane
winding twice around one of the cycles of $T^2$. This distinction can be made as follows.

We can add constant terms to (41) and define

\[ U_1 \leftrightarrow \pi z_{12} \left[ (i\partial_1 + a_1) \otimes 1_2 + \langle A_1 \rangle \right] \quad (42) \]
\[ U_2 \leftrightarrow \pi z_{12} \left[ (i\partial_2 + a_2) \otimes 1_2 + \langle A_2 \rangle \right] . \]

The constant terms $\langle A_r \rangle$, $r = 1, 2$ are U(2) Lie algebra valued. This addition does not
affect the total 2-brane charge $Z_{12}$, since the commutator $[\langle A_1 \rangle, \langle A_2 \rangle]$ is traceless under
$\text{Tr}_2$. However, in order to leave the 2-brane charge density unaffected, we must require
that the $\langle A_1 \rangle$ and $\langle A_2 \rangle$ commute.

Recall that the fluctuations $A_1, A_2$ around the background are defined by

\[ X_1 = U_1 + A_1 \leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12} \left[ (i\partial_1 + a_1) \otimes 1_2 + \langle A_1 \rangle + A_1 \right] \quad (43) \]
\[ X_2 = U_2 + A_2 \leftrightarrow 2\pi z_{12} \left[ (i\partial_2 + a_2) \otimes 1_2 + \langle A_2 \rangle + A_2 \right] . \]

From these relations it is apparent that the constant terms $\langle A_r \rangle$ can be interpreted as
constant background values of the gauge fields $A_r$ in the U(2) Yang-Mills Lagrangian (34).

Thus, they can be used [15] to induce gauge holonomies $U_r$, through the Wilson lines

\[ U_r = P \exp \{ i \oint_r A \} \]

around the cycles of $T^2$. When the background values are both zero, the holonomies are
trivial,

\[ U_1 = U_2 = 1_2 , \]

around both cycles. From the IIA point of view, we then have a bound state of two
D2-branes (and D0-branes), both winding once around the two cycles of $T^2$. But if we set

\[ \langle A_1 \rangle = \frac{\pi}{2L_1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right) \quad (44) \]
\[ \langle A_2 \rangle = 0 , \]
we get a non-trivial holonomy

\[ U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

In this case, the result is interpreted as a single D2-brane (with D0-branes) which winds twice around the \( X_1 \) cycle of \( T^2 \). Both cases yield the same 2-brane charge \( Z_{12} \) which is twice the charge (H) of a single membrane. Generalizations to other winding membranes can be obtained in similar manner.

### 2.3 Longitudinal fivebrane backgrounds (p=4)

The fivebrane of M theory admits a simple description in Matrix theory only if it is wrapped around the longitudinal direction. Since the fivebrane is boost invariant in its world volume directions, going to the infinite momentum frame is more involved than for the transverse membrane. Momentum is instead added by superimposing a gravitational wave solution. However, in the case of a single fivebrane such a configurations necessarily includes nonzero membrane charge as well. To obtain a configuration with vanishing membrane charge one can take two fivebrane solutions with mutually opposite membrane charges and then combine them. In this section we will examine fluctuations around both types of backgrounds. First, let us summarize the backgrounds and their properties:

(i) an infinite longitudinal fivebrane with transverse membrane charge density; this reduces in D=10 to a type IIA non-marginal bound state \( 4 + 2 \perp 2 + 0 \)

(ii) infinite longitudinal fivebranes with transverse leftmoving oscillations carrying momentum density in 11th direction; this reduces in D=10 to a marginally bound \( 4 \parallel 0 \) configuration

In case (i), in the \( N \to \infty \) limit the background is represented by

\[
U_r \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 2\pi z_{12} \left( i\partial_r + a_r \right) & r = 1, 2 \\ 2\pi z_{34} \left( i\partial_r + a_r \right) & r = 3, 4 \end{cases}
\]
where $a_r$ is a U(1) background field with a field strength $f_{rs} = \partial_r a_s - \partial_s a_r$, with nonzero constant components $f_{12}, f_{34}$ describing magnetic flux densities in 1-2 and 3-4 planes,

$$f_{12} = \frac{1}{2\pi z_{12}}, \quad f_{34} = \frac{1}{2\pi z_{34}}.$$  

A similar analysis as in Section 2.1 yields an effective Lagrangian for the bosonic fluctuations,

$$\mathcal{L}_B = \sigma_0 \int d^4x \frac{T_0}{2} \{ -\frac{1}{2} (F_{\mu\nu} F^\mu{}^\nu) + \partial_\mu \phi_i \partial^\mu \phi_i - f_{rs} F^r{}^s - \frac{1}{2} (f_{rs} f^r{}^s) \}$$  

(45)

where the indices are raised with the metric

$$\hat{\eta}_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag} (1, -f_{12}^2, -f_{12}^2, -f_{34}^2, -f_{34}^2),$$  

(46)

and where $\sigma_0$ is the D0-brane density.

In case (ii), the background is represented by covariant derivatives with a selfdual U(2) background field:

$$a_1 = 0 \quad a_3 = 0 \quad a_2 = F_0 x_1 \sigma^3 \quad a_4 = F_0 x_3 \sigma^3.$$  

(47)

The ±1 diagonal elements of $\sigma^3$ represent the superposition of two solutions carrying opposite membrane charge. Note that the background breaks the U(2) symmetry to U(1)$^2$. The field strength $f_{pq} = \partial_p a_q - \partial_q a_p - i [a_p, a_q]$ satisfies the selfduality condition

$$f_{pq} = \tilde{f}_{pq} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{pqrs} f_{rs}.$$  

(48)

The transverse volume of the fivebranes becomes infinite in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit and is given by $A = (2\pi)^4 N z_{1234}$, where $z_{1234}$ is the longitudinal fivebrane charge density. The D0-brane charge density $\sigma_0$ is

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{N}{A} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4 z_{1234}}.$$  

(49)

It is related to the background field by

$$2 \sigma_0 = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \text{Tr}_2 (f \wedge f),$$  

(50)

\[\footnote{For earlier studies of such background configurations in non-abelian gauge theories, see e.g. \cite{16}.}\]
which gives
\[ F_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{z_{1234}}} . \]  
(51)

Note that \( \sigma_0 \) is the density of D0-branes per fivebrane; the total density of D0-branes is \( 2\sigma_0 \).

We then represent the background (ii) by the covariant derivatives
\[ U_r \leftrightarrow 2\pi \sqrt{z_{1234}} (i\partial_r 1 + a_r) \quad r = 1, 2, 3, 4 . \]

We can check that the configuration carries a longitudinal 5-brane charge density
\[ -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \epsilon_{pqrs} \text{Tr}[U_p U_q U_r U_s] = 2 \cdot z_{1234} , \]  
(52)

where the prefactor 2 represents the presence of two fivebranes. The fluctuations \( A_0, A_r, \phi_i \) about the background are represented by \( U(2) \) Lie algebra valued fields in 4+1 dimensions:
\[ A_0 \leftrightarrow A_0^0(x) \ 1_2 + A_0^a(x) \ T^a \]  
\[ A_r \leftrightarrow 2\pi \sqrt{z_{1234}} (A_r^0(x) \ 1_2 + A_r^a(x) \ T^a) \]  
\[ \phi_i \leftrightarrow \phi_i^0(x) \ 1_2 + \phi_i^a(x) \ T^a . \]

where \( T^a \) are SU(2) generators. The effective action for the fluctuations is found to be
\[ \mathcal{L}_B = \sigma_0 \int d^4x \frac{T_0}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}) + D_\mu \phi_i D_\nu \phi_i + \frac{1}{2} [\phi_i, \phi_j]^2 - f_{rs} F_r^{\hat{\nu}} F_s^{\hat{\mu}} - \frac{1}{2} (f_{rs} f_{\hat{r}\hat{s}}) \right\} , \]  
(54)

where the indices are raised with the metric
\[ \hat{\eta}_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag} (1, -F_0^2, -F_0^2, -F_0^2) . \]  
(55)

The energy density of the configuration is the sum of fivebrane plus gravitational wave contributions \( H = T + p_{11} \). The infinite momentum frame Hamiltonian is found by subtracting \( p_{11} \): \( H_{\text{IMF}} = T \). \( T \) is found from the constant term in (54),
\[ T = 2T_4 = 2 \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} T_0 . \]  
(56)

This is as expected, since two longitudinal fivebranes are interpreted as two D4-branes in the IIA theory.
3 Scattering of branes

Recently, there have been several studies of brane-brane scattering in the context of Matrix theory [3, 7, 8, 13, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. These calculations have demonstrated agreement\footnote{Subtler issues and possible discrepancies have also been discussed, see [25, 26].} between 11 dimensional supergravity and Matrix theory at one loop and even two loops [22]. For Matrix theory compactified on torii, such calculations amount to loop diagrams in Super-Yang-Mills theory on the dual torus with magnetic background fields encoding the brane configuration and Higgs field VEVs specifying the kinematics of the scattering problem. From the discussion in Section 2, it should be obvious that a similar correspondence applies also for scattering in uncompactified Matrix theory involving infinite branes.

Note that all the effective actions (23, 34, 45, 54) can be rewritten in a form where the magnetic background fields are combined with the fluctuation fields. Introducing a U(k) gauge field $A_\mu$,

\begin{align}
A_0 &= A_0 \\
A_r &= a_r + A_r
\end{align}

and denoting its field strength by $F_{\mu\nu}$, the actions (23, 34, 45, 54) can be written in the form

\begin{equation}
L_B = T_0 \sigma_0 \int d^p x \text{Tr} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu \phi_i D^{\mu} \phi_i) + \frac{1}{4} [\phi_i, \phi_j]^2 \right\}
\end{equation}

where the covariant derivative $D_\mu$ is taken with respect to the field (57) which includes the background. Now, after including fermions, gauge fixing and ghost terms, we can compute scattering amplitudes from loop diagrams in the appropriate background fields which encode the information about the scattering objects and kinematical setup.
3.1 D0 - D6+D0 Scattering

We will perform a scattering calculation involving configurations of D6-branes and D0-branes. Among various other configurations, G. Lifschytz studied the scattering of 6+4+2+0 bound states from D0-branes [18]. (Further discussion can be found in [25].) Recently, W. Taylor [4] showed how to compose configurations of D6 branes and D0-branes which do not carry D4 or D2 brane charges. Such configurations carry a background U(4) gauge field

\[ a_1 = 0 \quad a_3 = 0 \quad a_5 = 0 \]
\[ a_2 = F_0 x_1 \mu_1 \quad a_4 = F_0 x_3 \mu_2 \quad a_6 = F_0 x_5 \mu_3 \]

with traceless U(4) matrices

\[ \mu_1 = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1) \]
\[ \mu_2 = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, 1) \]
\[ \mu_3 = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1) \] .

Analogously to (50), the above configuration gives a D0-brane charge density

\[ 4 \sigma_0 = \frac{1}{48\pi^3} \text{Tr}_4 (f \wedge f \wedge f) = 4 \left( \frac{F_0}{2\pi} \right)^3 . \]

As before, one can examine the fluctuations about this configuration and obtain an effective U(4) action of the form (58) with \( p = 6 \) and a metric

\[ \hat{\eta}_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -F_0^2, -F_0^2, -F_0^2, -F_0^2, -F_0^2) . \]

We have examined the scattering of D0 particles from Taylor’s D6+D0 brane configurations and calculated the potential between these objects. The D6+D0 configuration can be understood in the same way as the fivebrane with vanishing membrane charge of Section 2.3. It represents a superposition of four 6+4+2+0 solutions which are chosen to give vanishing D4-brane and D2-brane charges when combined. The scattering calculation that follows reveals this structure, in as much as the potential is found to be four

\[ \text{These configurations are classically stable up to quadratic order. They are thought to be related to the supergravity black hole solutions of [25] carrying 0-brane and 6-brane charges.} \]
times that between a D0 particle and a 6+4+2+0 state. In the Matrix theory 1-loop calculation (which is the same as the 1-loop calculation in the effective theory \(\text{[58]}\), as we argued above), we encounter the following determinants (the boson, ghost and fermion contributions in the background gauge)

\[
\begin{align*}
\det^{-3}\{(-\partial_{\tau}^2 + H + 2c)\ 1_4\} & \det^{-3}\{(-\partial_{\tau}^2 + H - 2c)\ 1_4\} \\
\det^{-2}\{(-\partial_{\tau}^2 + H)\ 1_4\} & \det\{(-\partial_{\tau}^2 + H + 3c)\ 1_4\} \det\{(-\partial_{\tau}^2 + H + c)\ 1_4\} \\
\det^3\{(-\partial_{\tau}^2 + H)\ 1_4\} & \det\{(-\partial_{\tau}^2 + H - c)\ 1_4\} \det\{(-\partial_{\tau}^2 + H - 3c)\ 1_4\} ,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[H = r^2 + c(2(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) + 3) .\]

Here \(r\) is the distance between the D0-brane and the 6+0 configuration, \(c = 1/F_0\), and \(n_1, n_2, n_3 = 0, 1, \ldots\). These determinants are the same as those in the calculation by Lifschytz of the D0 - 6+4+2+0 potential, except for additional 4 × 4 unit matrix factors. The unit matrices signal that the D0-brane sees the four 6+4+2+0 sublayers in the 6+0 configuration, thus the potential between the 6+0 configuration and the D0-brane will be four times that obtained by Lifschytz \(\text{[18]}\):

\[V(r) = 4 \cdot \frac{3}{16} \frac{1}{F_0 r} .\]  

The corresponding supergravity result is easily obtained by considering the 6+0 configuration as a probe moving in the D0-brane background. The calculation is performed in the same manner as by Chepelev and Tseytlin \(\text{[23]}\), who considered various configurations involving 4-branes and 5-branes. Their paper also collects a number of useful formulae which we will draw from in the following. The effective action for the 6+0 configuration is given by\footnote{For the effective action we will use Tseytlin’s truncated version of the non-abelian Born-Infeld action \(\text{[28]}\), valid in cases, such as the present one, where the worldvolume field strengths commute.}

\[
S_6 = \int d^7 \xi \ Tr\{-T_6 e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\det[g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial X^\mu}{\partial \xi^i} \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial \xi^j} + F_{ij}] + \mu_6 (C_0 F_{12} F_{34} F_{56} + \cdots)}\} ,
\]

\(\text{[63]}\)

where \(\cdots\) indicates various Chern-Simons terms which are irrelevant for our present purposes. In our units, we have \(\mu_6 = T_6\). The field strength in the worldvolume is given
by \( F_{12} = F_0 \mu_1, F_{34} = F_0 \mu_2, F_{56} = F_0 \mu_3 \), with \( \mu_{1,2,3} \) defined in (60). The spacetime fields to be inserted into \( S_6 \) are those induced by a D0-brane source “smeared” in directions \( x^1, \ldots, x^6 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
    ds^2 &= H_0^{-1/2} dt^2 - H_0^{1/2} (dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_9^2) \\
    e^{-\phi} &= H_0^{-3/4} ; \quad C_0 = H_0^{-1} - 1 \\
    H_0 &= 1 + \frac{Q_0^{(6)}}{r} ; \quad r^2 = x_7^2 + x_8^2 + x_9^2 \\
    Q_0^{(6)} &= \frac{g}{2} (2\pi)^{5/2} .
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting into \( S_6 \) yields

\[
S_6 = -4T_6 \int d^7 x \left\{ H_0^{-1} (H_0 + F_0^2)^{3/2} - (H_0^{-1} - 1) F_0^3 \right\} .
\]

To compare with the Matrix theory result we should take \( r, F_0 \to \infty \), corresponding to the large distance interaction between objects in the infinite momentum frame of M theory. Then

\[
S_6 \approx -4T_6 \int d^7 x \left\{ \frac{3 H_0}{8 F_0} + F_0^3 + \frac{3}{2} F_0 \right\} .
\]

Now we can read off the potential,

\[
V(r) \approx 4 \cdot \frac{3 T_6 Q_0^{(6)}}{8 F_0 r} = 4 \cdot \frac{3}{16} \frac{1}{F_0 r} ,
\]

in agreement with the Matrix theory result (62).

## 4 Conclusion

We have seen that by taking the \( N \to \infty \) limit of Matrix theory in a particular way, it is possible to recover much of the known physics of D-branes in IIA theory. In particular, by representing the coordinate matrices as covariant derivatives we arrived at the correct Yang-Mills actions governing the low energy dynamics of D-branes. As discussed, these actions are properly interpreted as Born-Infeld actions expanded around large magnetic field backgrounds. This interpretation was verified explicitly, including checking numerical
factors, in the case of a single D2-brane. We also used the effective actions to compute the potential between a D0-brane and a configuration of D6-branes and D0-branes, and saw that the result was in agreement with supergravity.

Finally, although in this paper attention has been restricted to the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, it would be interesting to investigate D-brane actions at finite $N$. Despite the absence of infinite BPS branes for such $N$, it is possible to compare various processes with the predictions of supergravity compactified along a null direction \cite{5,29}. To understand such processes better, it would be useful see to how much of our techniques may be carried over to finite $N$.
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