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Abstract
From the relatively simple nervous system of Drosophila to the elaborate mammalian cortex, neurogenesis requires exceptional spatial and temporal precision to co-ordinate progenitor cell proliferation and subsequent differentiation to a diverse range of neurons and glia. A limited number of transiently expressed proneural basic-helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors, for example achaete-scute-complex (as-c) and atonal (ato) in Drosophila and the vertebrate homologues Ascl1 and Neurogenin2 (Ngn2), are able to orchestrate the onset of neuronal determination, context-dependent subtype selection and even influence later aspects of neuronal migration and maturation. Within the last decade, two models have emerged to explain how the temporal activity of proneural determination factors is regulated by phosphorylation at distinct sites. One model describes how cell-cycle associated phosphorylation on multiple sites in the N and C termini of vertebrate proneural proteins limits neuronal differentiation in cycling progenitor cells. A second model describes phosphorylation on a single site in the bHLH domain of Drosophila atonal that acts as a binary switch, where phosphorylation terminates proneural activity. Here we combine activating mutations of phosphorylation sites in the N- and C- termini with an inhibitory phospho-mimetic mutation in the bHLH domain of Ascl1 and Ngn2 proteins, and test their functions in vivo using Xenopus embryos to determine which mode of phospho-regulation dominates. Enhancing activity by preventing N- and C terminal phosphorylation cannot overcome the inhibitory effect of mimicking phosphorylation of the bHLH domain. Thus we have established a hierarchy between these two modes of proneural protein control and suggest a model of temporal regulation for proneural protein activity.
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Introduction

Development of the nervous system requires elaborate control to expand progenitor cells before subsequent differentiation into a diverse array of neuronal and glial subtypes. One of the most striking features is that a limited number of proneural basic-helix-loop-helix (bHLH) determinant factors, for example achaete-scute-complex (as-c) and atonal (ato) in Drosophila and the vertebrate homologues Ascl1 and Neurogenin2 (Ngn2), are able to orchestrate both generic neuronal determination and context-dependent neuronal subtype selection. Furthermore, these determination factors are able to influence relatively late aspects of neuronal migration and maturation despite having only a transient window of expression. Proneural protein activity is exquisitely regulated both spatially and temporally, and mechanisms are conserved from Drosophila neurogenesis to the mammalian cortex.

The mechanisms that underlie the complexity of the developing nervous system have begun to be elucidated. For example there are multiple bi-directional links that intimately connect and influence the transition between progenitor cell proliferation and neuronal differentiation during neurogenesis. Within the last decade, models of phospho-regulation have emerged to explain the temporal control and/or context-dependent activity of proneural determination factors. Modification of single regulatory phospho-sites may fine-tune activity of individual proneural proteins within a given context, for example GSK3β-mediated phosphorylation of Ngn2 to promote motor neuron formation. Additionally, models have been described to regulate generic proneural activity in progenitor cells.

Firstly, a multi-site phospho-regulatory model is described for vertebrate Ascl1 and Ngn2, based on data from Xenopus embryos and mammalian cell culture. Cyclin-dependent-kinase activity that promotes the cell cycle can additionally phosphorylate proneural proteins on multiple Serine-Proline sites located in the N and C termini either side of the basic helix-loop-helix domain that regulates DNA binding and dimerisation. This multi-site phosphorylation limits protein stability and chromatin association, and specifically reduces activation of genes associated with cell cycle exit and differentiation. Correspondingly, Serine to Alanine phospho-mutant proneural proteins that cannot undergo this phosphorylation promote differentiation during development, and in cellular reprogramming assays.

Secondly, a single regulatory phospho-site within the bHLH domain is described for atonal in Drosophila retina and Ngn2 in mouse cortex; while the proneural versions behave as the wild-type proteins, the respective Serine/Threonine to Aspartic acid phospho-mimetics are inactive. Phosphorylation of this single site in atonal acts a binary switch to terminate proneural activity in retinal R8 precursors. Here we present a short study to determine the effect of combining the activating mutations blocking phosphorylation of the N and C-termini of mouse Ascl1 and Ngn2 with an inhibitory phospho-mimetic mutation in the bHLH domain, to determine whether one mode of phospho-regulation is dominant over the other.

Methods

Animal care

All work has been carried out under UK Home Office Licence and has passed an Institutional ethical review committee assessment at the University of Cambridge.

Plasmids and constructs

Wild-type mouse Ascl1 (Genbank accession number NM008553) and 6S-A phospho-mutant mouse Ascl1 were published in 9. Wild-type mouse Ngn2 (Genbank accession number NM009718) and 9S-A mouse Ngn2 were published in 5. The S150D and T149D substitutions were introduced by Quickchange II site directed mutagenesis (Agilent Technologies). Primers:

mAscl1_S150D_S = CCGCCAACAAAGAGATGGACAAGTTGGAGACCGTGC;

AS = GCAGCGTCCTCACTTTGGAACAGCTGCG, M9S-A mouse Ngn2 were published in 3.

mNgn2_T149D_S = CCGAGGATGCAAGCTGAGATCGAGACGCTGCG,

AS = CGCAGGCTTCGCTTATGAGGCTTCGATCGTCGACCGTGC.

Xenopus laevis embryo manipulation

All efforts are made to ameliorate suffering to any animal. For example, the colony of approximately 80 X. laevis females are housed and cared for by a dedicated team of animal technicians operating under Home Office Licence. Each experiment requires eggs from 2 or 3 females (depending on N = 2 or 3) and females are used on rotation within the colony with at least a 3-month rest period after laying. A single male frog is sacrificed under humane conditions and Home Office Licence to provide testes for at least 16 experiments. Embryos obtained from fertilised eggs are used for the experiments and development is stopped 48 hours post fertilisation when embryos reach late neurula stage and prior to formation of tadpoles.

Thus, X. laevis eggs were obtained by standard hormone methods of induction, and subsequently fertilised in vitro. pCS2+ constructs were linearised and capped mRNA was transcribed in vitro using the SP6 mMessage mMachine® kit (Ambion). Embryos were injected unilaterally at the two cell stage with mRNA as indicated in the text, with GFP (for qPCR) or β-gal (ISH) as lineage tracers. Embryos were cultured at 18°C in Ficol solution and staged according to 11. At stage 18, embryos were either snap-frozen for qPCR analysis or fixed in MEMFA for 90 minutes, as described in 12.

Whole mount in situ hybridisation (ISH)

Dig-oxigenin-labelled anti-sense probes were synthesised from plasmid X. laevis neural-β-tubulin. Whole mount ISH
was performed as described in 12 and embryos were scored for the extent and pattern of gene expression as described in data analysis.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)

GFP expression was used to confirm successful injection and samples of four embryos were frozen whole. Whole embryo RNA was extracted using the RNaseasy® Mini kit (Qiagen) and template cDNAs synthesised with the QuantiTect® Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen). qPCR was performed using the Quantifast® SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen) in a LightCycler® 480 (Roche). Thermal cycling conditions: 95°C for 5 minutes, then 45 cycles of 95°C for 10s, 60°C for 10s and 72°C for 20s. EF1α reference gene (Genbank accession NM001087442): Forward, CACCATGAAGCCCTTACTGAG; Reverse, TGA-TAACCTGTGGCCTTAAATG. N-β-Tubulin target gene (Genbank accession NM001086064): Forward, TGGATTGGAAACCAG-GCA; Reverse, GCTCAGCTCCTTGCCTGTA.

Western blotting

For western blot analysis, 12 embryos were snap frozen at stage 11 and whole embryo protein was extracted as described in 12. 50µg total protein was loaded on to pre-cast BioRad Criterion® TGX 18% gels in Tris-Glycine buffer. Primary antibodies were used at 1:2000 dilution for at least 1 hour at room temperature (tubulin) or at 4°C overnight (HA). Rat HRP-conjugated anti-HA clone 3F-10 antibody (Roche; 12013819001) and mouse anti-α-tubulin clone B-5-1-2 antibody (Sigma; T5618). Anti-tubulin antibody was detected with a sheep HRP-conjugated anti-mouse antibody at 1:10000 dilution (GE Healthcare; NA931V).

Data analysis

For ISH data, embryos were scored for the extent and pattern of N-β-Tubulin expression on the injected side relative to uninjected side and to uninjected embryos. Scores were assigned as 0, no difference; +1, mild increase in expression within the neural tube with or without occasional ectopic expression on the injected side; +2, moderate increase with ectopic expression occurring in patches on the injected side and sometimes bilaterally; +3, substantial increase with extensive ectopic expression in a more homogenous pattern on the injected side and sometimes bilaterally. Experiments were conducted in independent duplicate and the N numbers refer to the range of total numbers of embryos in each injection category.

For qPCR data, mRNA expression was normalised to expression of reference gene EF1α and mRNA levels in the injected embryos were calculated relative to stage-matched uninjected controls. Mean values are plotted and error bars show the standard error of the mean from two independent experiments. Statistical significance was calculated by a paired two-tailed student T test in Microsoft Excel 2010: NS = not significant; * = p< 0.05; ** = p< 0.025; *** = p< 0.0125. Western blot quantification, Image J software was used as described in 12.

Results

Generation of combined phospho-mutant and phospho-mimetic constructs

Experiments supporting the regulatory model based on multi-site phosphorylation in the N- and C-termini of proneural proteins has been well documented in Xenopus, mouse and human proneural proteins13,6,9,10,12, with conservation of the model across germ layers to include bHLH proteins in other tissues such as MyoD in muscle/mesoderm14 and Ngn3 in pancreas/endoderm15. In each case, the regulatory phospho-sites are located in the N and C terminal domains, and preventing phosphorylation by mutating Serine/Threonine-Proline sites to Alanine-Proline results in enhanced protein stability and increased DNA binding affinity. This enables expression of slower responding differentiation genes that tend to be less epigenetically available; reviewed in 16. Hence de-phosphorylation of these sites enhances the ability of bHLH proteins to drive differentiation.

In contrast, phosphorylation of a single site in the bHLH domain of Drosophila atonal in the R8 photoreceptors of the Drosophila retina terminates proneural activity, and this mode of regulation appears to be conserved with Ngn2 in murine cortical progenitors12. This single conserved site at the junction between the loop region and helix 2 is predicted to lie in the near vicinity of negatively charged phosphates in the DNA backbone; phosphorylation of the site, possibly mediated by Protein Kinase A, reduces DNA binding to inactivate atonal upon R8 precursor selection12.

The effect of manipulating the single bHLH domain regulatory site has not previously been investigated in mouse Ascl1 protein (homologue of Drosophila as-c), nor has the effect of modulation been tested in the Xenopus system. Hence, in the present study, the equivalent phospho-mimetic construct was made with substitution of Serine 150 to Aspartic acid (S150D) in wild-type (WT) mAscl1. To explore the effect of combining this potentially inactivating mutation with activating mutations that prevent phosphorylation on multiple N- and C-terminal sites, the same S150D phospho-mimetic substitution was made into 6S-A mAscl1, where six Serine-Proline (SP) sites in the N and C terminal have been mutated to Alanine-Proline sites (Figure 1A). In addition, a Threonine 149 phospho-mimetic (T149D) form of mNgn217 was recreated in both a wild-type and a 9S-A Ngn2 background, where nine Serine-Proline (SP) sites in the N and C termini of mNgn2 have been mutated to Alanine-Proline sites (Figure 2A). All constructs contain a single C terminal HA tag.

The single-site bHLH phospho-mimetic within the bHLH domain inactivates Ascl1 activity

Early development of Xenopus laevis is an excellent in vivo assay system in which to explore proneural protein activity; over-expression of proteins such as Ascl1 and Ngn2 by micro-injection of in vitro transcribed mRNA results in induced neurogenesis and expression of neural-β-tubulin across the lateral ectoderm18. Initially focusing on Ascl1, to confirm protein expression whole embryo extracts were made from embryos
Figure 1. S150D single site mutation inactivates both WT and 6S-A Ascl1. (A) Schematic representation of WT Ascl1 and the three mutant constructs tested. The relative location of the S150D phospho-mimetic substitution within the bHLH domain is indicated (blue), along with the location of the six Serine to Alanine phospho-mutant substitutions in the N and C termini (red). (B) Western blot analysis of stage 11 whole embryo extracts over-expressing 200 pg of each construct, with tubulin as a loading control. All four constructs are expressed in Xenopus embryos and the additional S150D mutation has no significant effect on WT or 6S-A Ascl1 protein migration or accumulation. (C–E) Two cell stage embryos were unilaterally injected with 40 pg of mRNA encoding each construct. At stage 18, embryos were assayed for expression of neural-β-tubulin relative to uninjected control embryos. (C) qPCR data [N=2] with significance calculated by paired student T test; * = p<0.05. (D) Semi-quantitative scoring of grade of neurogenesis after ISH [N=53-73 embryos per category from two experiments]. (E) Representative images of embryos with injected side to the right, stained with pale blue β-gal tracer. Induction of neural-β-tubulin by WT and 6S-A Ascl1 is prevented with the respective introduction of the single S150D mutation.

over-expressing equal amounts of mRNA encoding each of the four Ascl1 constructs (Figure 1A, B). All four constructs are successfully expressed in Xenopus embryos: WT Ascl1 and S150D Ascl1 migrate as broad bands while 6S-A Ascl1 and 6S-A/S150D Ascl1 appear as single faster migrating bands, consistent with the former pair being phosphorylated on the sites mutated in the latter pair.

Additionally, 6S-A Ascl1-based proteins accumulate more than the respective WT proteins, consistent with an increase in...
Figure 2. T149D single site mutation significantly inhibits both WT and 9S-A Ngn2. (A) Schematic representation of WT Ngn2 and the three mutant constructs tested. The relative location of the T149D phospho-mimetic substitution within the bHLH domain is indicated (blue), along with the location of the nine Serine to Alanine phospho-mutant substitutions in the N and C termini (red). (B–D) Two cell stage embryos were unilaterally injected with 25pg of mRNA encoding each construct. At stage 18, embryos were assayed for expression of neural-β-tubulin relative to uninjected control embryos. (B) qPCR data [N=2] with significance calculated by paired student T test; * = p< 0.05; *** = p< 0.0125. (C) Semi-quantitative scoring of grade of neurogenesis after ISH [N=36–39 embryos per category from two experiments]. (D) Representative images of embryos with injected side to the right, stained with pale blue β-gal tracer. Introduction of the T149D mutation prevents induction of neural-β-tubulin by WT Ngn2 and significantly inhibits 9S-A Ngn2.

protein half-life of this phospho-mutant. While there is a trend towards a reduced protein density in 6S-A/S150D compared to 6S-A Ascl1, when measured relative to the tubulin loading control from repeat experiments, the difference between these is not statistically significant (p=0.078). Thus, the introduction of the S150D phospho-mimetic into the WT or 6S-A Ascl1 constructs does not significantly affect the protein accumulation.

To test for functional effects of these different forms of Ascl1, mRNA encoding each construct was unilaterally injected into two cell stage embryos, and neurogenesis was assayed at stage 18 by qPCR or in situ hybridisation (ISH) for expression of N-β-tubulin (Figure 1C–E), a marker of primary neurogenesis. As previously reported, over-expression of both WT and 6S-A Ascl1 induces ectopic neurogenesis on the injected side both
within and outside the neural plate. In direct comparison, 6S-A Ascl1 shows enhanced proneural activity relative to WT Ascl1, inducing N-β-tubulin expression more extensively over the lateral ectoderm and three-fold higher transcript accumulation by qPCR analysis. In contrast, the introduction of the single S150D phospho-mimetic dramatically inactivates both WT and 6S-A Ascl1, consistent with inhibition of DNA binding as described previously. If so, this suggests that the superior activity of 6S-A relative to WT Ascl1 requires direct DNA binding.

**The single-site bHLH phospho-mimetic inactivates Ngn2 activity**

To complement these experiments with Ascl1, we undertook a comparable analysis, investigating the activity of WT and 9S-A mouse Ngn2 with and without the T149D substitution in the bHLH domain. Ngn2 is more potent than Ascl1 in upregulating N-β-tubulin in Xenopus embryos. Hence, mRNA quantities for injection were reduced to 25pg with assay of N-β-tubulin induction as before (Figure 2B-D). Both WT and 9S-A Ngn2 induce extensive ectopic expression of N-β-tubulin within and outside the neural plate, and on qPCR analysis, 9S-A Ngn2 induces approximately twice the level of N-β-tubulin transcripts as WT Ngn2. As with Ascl1, the introduction of the single T149D mimetic strikingly inhibits the ability of both WT and 9S-A Ngn2 to induce ectopic neurons. However, in contrast to Ascl1, some residual activity is maintained in 9S-A/T149D Ngn2 but this is reduced to expansion of the neural tube only rather than induction of ectopic neurogenesis.

**Discussion/conclusions**

In this study, we firstly demonstrate that introduction of a single phospho-mimetic residue into the bHLH domain of mouse Ascl1 inactivates its ability to drive neurogenesis, as previously described in *Drosophila aotional*. We next investigated Ascl1 and Ngn2 proneural activity in the presence of activating mutations in the N- and C-termini, together with inhibitory phospho-mimetic mutation in the bHLH domain. We find that inhibition of proneural activity by this inhibitory phospho-mimetic mutation is dominant. S/T-D substitutions in the bHLH domain reduce DNA binding of the proneural protein so it is reasonable to assume that S-A mutant Ascl1 and Ngn2 require DNA binding for their enhanced activity in *Xenopus*, but we have not tested this hypothesis directly. It would be interesting to determine whether residual activity in the 9S-A/T149D mutant of Ngn2 represents residual DNA binding or acts via a DNA-binding independent mechanism, e.g. by complexing with other DNA-bound factors.

The binary switch model where a single phosphorylation event in the bHLH domain controls DNA binding is an elegant way to achieve a rapid and sharp change in proneural activity in response to the cellular environment that is not dependent on protein degradation or subcellular translocation. In contrast, multi-site phosphorylation of the N- and C-termini works much more like a rheostat where decreasing levels of Cyclin-dependent-kinase activity at the transition towards differentiation leads to a more gradual change in phospho-status and proneural activity. Combining both models, a temporal scheme could be suggested where sequential kinase activity exquisitely controls neurogenesis. In actively proliferating neural progenitor cells, proneural activity may be restrained by multi-site phosphorylation of proneural factors by Cyclin/Cyclin-dependent-kinases enabling sufficient progenitor cell expansion. At the transition to differentiation, where the cell cycle slows and lengths, gradual de-phosphorylation of proneural proteins may tip the balance in favor of differentiation and activation of downstream gene cascades required to drive neurogenesis. Once these cascades are activated, determination proneural factor activity could be rapidly halted by phosphorylation of the bHLH domain, possibly by PKA or other later acting kinases. In this way, combining these two modes of phospho-regulation in proneural proteins could provide an explanation for temporal regulation of generic proneural determination activity from proliferating progenitor cells through to differentiating neurons.

**Data availability**

Raw data files available in Open Science Framework: Interaction between opposing modes of phospho-regulation of the proneural proteins Ascl1 and Ngn2, https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/73NE5

See Methods section for description of data analysis and ISH scoring. Datasets presented are as follows:

- Fig1B_WesternBlot with Fig1B_Loading
- Fig1C_qPCR: Mean fold change in N-β-tubulin expression relative to uninjected controls; two independent experiments.
- Fig1D_ISH: Semi-quantitative scoring of extent of neurogenesis for each injection category showing total number of embryos from two independent experiments.
- Fig1E_embryos: Representative images from 53–73 embryos in each category in two independent experiments.
- Fig2B_qPCR: Mean fold change in N-β-tubulin expression relative to uninjected controls; two independent experiments.
- Fig2C_ISH: Semi-quantitative scoring of extent of neurogenesis for each injection category showing total number of embryos from two independent experiments.
- Fig2D_embryos: Representative images from 36–39 embryos in each category in two independent experiments.

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

**Grant information**

This work is supported by the Wellcome Trust [203151]; LH has been supported by an MRC Doctoral Training Award and Peterhouse Research Fellowship.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
References

1. Bertrand N, Castro DS, Guillemot F: Proneural genes and the specification of neural cell types. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2002; 3(7): 517–30. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

2. Baker NE, Brown NL: All in the family: proneural bHLH genes and neuronal diversity. Development. 2018; 145(9): pii: dev159426. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

3. Wilkinson G, Dennis D, Schuurmans C: Proneural genes in neocortical development. Neuroscience. 2013; 253: 256–73. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

4. Hardwick LJ, Ali FR, Azzarelli R, et al.: Cell cycle regulation of proliferation versus differentiation in the central nervous system. Cell Tissue Res. 2015; 359(1): 187–200. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

5. Ali F, Hindley C, McDowell G, et al.: Cell cycle-regulated multi-site phosphorylation of Neurogenin 2 coordinates cell cycling with differentiation during neurogenesis. Development. 2011; 138(19): 4267–77. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

6. Ali FR, Cheng K, Kirwan P, et al.: The phosphorylation status of Ascl1 is a key determinant of neuronal differentiation and maturation in vivo and in vitro. Development. 2014; 141(11): 2216–24. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

7. Quan XJ, Yuan L, Tiberi L, et al.: Post-translational Control of the Temporal Dynamics of Transcription Factor Activity Regulates Neurogenesis. Cell. 2016; 164(3): 460–75. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

8. Ma YC, Song MR, Park JP, et al.: Regulation of motor neuron specification by phosphorylation of neurogenin 2. Neuron. 2008; 58(1): 65–77. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

9. Wylie LA, Hardwick LJ, Papkovskaia TD, et al.: Ascl1 phospho-status regulates neuronal differentiation in a Xenopus developmental model of neuroblastoma. Dis Model Mech. 2015; 8(5): 429–41. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

10. Hindley C, Ali F, McDowell G, et al.: Post-translational modification of Ngn2 differentially affects transcription of distinct targets to regulate the balance between progenitor maintenance and differentiation. Development. 2012; 139(10): 1718–23. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

11. Nieuwkoop PD, Faber J: Normal table of Xenopus laevis. New York: Garland Publishing; 1994. Reference Source

12. Hardwick LJ, Philpott A: Multi-site phosphorylation regulates NeuroD4 activity during primary neurogenesis: a conserved mechanism amongst proneural proteins. Neural Dev. 2015; 10: 15. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

13. Vernon AE, Devine C, Philpott A: The cdk inhibitor p27kip1 is required for differentiation of primary neurones in Xenopus. Development. 2003; 130(1): 85–92. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

14. Hardwick LJ, Davies JD, Philpott A: MyoD phosphorylation on multiple C terminal sites regulates myogenic conversion activity. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2016; 461(1–2): 97–103. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

15. Azzarelli R, Hurley C, Szurkowski MK, et al.: Multi-site Neurogenin3 Phosphorylation Controls Pancreatic Endocrine Differentiation. Dev Cell. 2017; 41(3): 374–86.e5. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

16. Philpott A: Multi-site phospho-regulation of proneural transcription factors controls proliferation versus differentiation in development and reprogramming. Neurogenesis (Austin). 2015; 2(1): e1049733. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

17. Hardwick L: Interaction between Opposing Modes of Phospho-Regulation of the Proneural Proteins Ascl1 and Ngn2. OSF. 2018. http://www.doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/73NE5
Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status: ✔ ✔

Referee Report 24 October 2018

doi:10.21956/wellcomeopenres.16177.r34041

Kristine A. Henningfeld
Department of Developmental Biochemistry, Center for Nanoscale Microscopy and Molecular Physiology of the Brain (CNMPB), University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

The authors have previously shown that multi-site phosphorylation is a conserved mechanism that inhibits the neuronal-inducing activity of the proneural bHLH proteins. Correspondingly, phospho-null mutations in the N- and C-termini of Neurog2 and Ascl1 cumulatively increases the stability and proneural activity of these proteins. More recently (Quan et al., 2016), it has been shown that the proneural activity of Scute and Atonal in the Drosophila wing disc, as well as Neurog2 in the developing mouse cortex can be inactivated by phosphorylation of a single conserved residue. This conserved residue is located in the bHLH domain and its phosphorylation disrupts DNA binding.

In this short research note, Hardwick and Philpott investigated which of the afore described bHLH protein phosphorylation mechanisms is dominant in the control of the proneural activity. The ability of the wild-type Ascl1 and Neurog2 to promote neuronal differentiation in comparison to versions of the proteins harboring phospho-null mutations in the N- and C-termini or a phospho-mimetic mutation in the bHLH domain alone, as well as in combination were studied. The proneural activity was assessed in gain of function assays using X. laevis embryos. As shown using both qPCR analysis and whole mount in situ hybridization, the phospho-mimetic mutation in the bHLH domain of Ascl1 and Neurog2 abolished their ability to promote neuronal differentiation. The inhibitory phospho-mimetic mutation was also able to override the activating effect of the phospho-null mutations in the N- and C-termini of Ascl1. Neurog2, however, still maintained weak proneural activity.

In general, the report is well and succinctly written, the figures are clear and represent the authors conclusions. The report is of interest as it further demonstrates the conservation of phosphorylation in the bHLH domain as a dominant regulatory mechanism to inhibit proneural protein activity. Introduction of the bHLH phospho-mimetic mutation in the Neurog2 phospho-null N- and C-termini mutant (9S-A/T149D) was not sufficient to completely disrupt neuronal differentiation induced by this mutant. These findings complement that of Quan et al., which found that the Neurog2 bHLH phospho-mimetic mutant still maintains weak DNA binding activity. However, alternative mechanisms cannot be excluded.

It will be of interest to study the phospho-null bHLH mutation in this system to further evaluate the consequences of persistent proneural activity.

Minor point: The authors state that the 9S-A/T149D Neurog2 mutant maintains residual activity, which is restricted to the neural ectoderm. However, for the embryo depicting this activity (Fig 2D), it appears that the injected mRNA has not targeted the nonneural ectoderm (see b-gal staining).
In this research note, the authors attempt to reconcile two different mechanisms regulating the activity of pro-neural bHLH transcription factors. The authors have previously shown very convincingly that Neurogenin2 and Ascl1 are phosphorylated on multiple SP sites by Cyclin Dependants Dependant Kinase 2 (Cdk2), preventing their ability to drive neuronal differentiation. These phosphorylation sites are located in the N- and C-terminal regions of the proteins, but not in the DNA binding domain. Furthermore, they have previously shown that the multiple phosphorylation sites can act as a “rheostat” to regulate Ngn2/Ascl1 activity. In contrast, studies from Drosophila (attonal in the retina) and in the mouse cortex (Ngn2) pinpoints to an on/off mechanism by a single phosphorylation event in the DNA binding domain. Interestingly, this phosphorylation site is very well conserved in other pro-neuronal bHLH transcription factors such as Ascl1. The authors have therefore decided to test the possibility that both mechanisms (rheostat and on/off switch) might co-exist to regulate the activity of pro-neural bHLH in vertebrates.

Overall the manuscript is very well written, the methods are well established in the lab and the assays used are very robust. The data support the conclusions of the study, i.e. that both mechanisms of regulation co-exist. However, I have one major issue that the authors could address easily to improve the validity of their conclusions. The statistical analyses of their data should be improved by doing the
following:
- mean and standard deviation (which is more appropriated than standard error of the mean) should be calculated on at least three repeats rather than two.
- within an experiment where multiple conditions are compared (ie Figure 1c or 2b), a two-way ANOVA with post-hoc test should be applied rather than multiple t-tests.
- when categorising data (Fig1D/2C), a $X^2$ should be performed.

Given the clear differences, I am sure that these analyses will not change the conclusion of the study but there is rightly a drive for more rigorous statistical analyses of the data that are reported.

One minor point that could be discussed by the authors, and maybe the subject of further studies: I would have expected the S150D / T149D mutants to have a dominant negative effect (i.e. less neurogenesis) but it seems that they are inert. Is it because they do not dimerise with Xenopus Ascl1 / Ngn2? Would the authors expect the same results should they use the Xenopus orthologues?
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