Systematic Identification of CpxRA-Regulated Genes and Their Roles in *Escherichia coli* Stress Response
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ABSTRACT The two-component system CpxRA can sense environmental stresses and regulate transcription of a wide range of genes for the purpose of adaptation. Despite extensive research on this system, the identification of the CpxR regulon is not systematic or comprehensive. Herein, genome-wide screening was performed using a position-specific scoring matrix, resulting in the discovery of more than 10,000 putative CpxR binding sites, which provides an extensive and selective set of targets based on sequence. More than half of the candidate genes ultimately selected (73/97) were experimentally confirmed to be CpxR-regulated genes through experimental analysis. These genes are involved in various physiological functions, indicating that the CpxRA system regulates complex cellular processes. The study also found for the first time that the CpxR-regulated genes *ydeE*, *xylE*, *alx*, and *galP* contribute to *Escherichia coli* resistance to acid stress, whereas *prlF*, *alx*, *casA*, *yacH*, *ydeE*, *sbmA*, and *ampH* contribute to *E. coli* resistance to cationic antimicrobial peptide stress. Among these CpxR-regulated genes, *ydeE* and *alx* responded to both stressors. In a similar way, a cationic antimicrobial peptide is capable of directly activating the periplasmic domain of CpxA kinase in vitro, which is consistent with the CpxA response to acid stress. These results greatly expand our understanding of the CpxRA-dependent stress response network in *E. coli*.

IMPORTANCE CpxRA system is found in many pathogens and plays an essential role in sensing environmental signals and transducing information inside cells for adaptation. It usually regulates expression of specific genes in response to different environmental stresses and is important for bacterial pathogenesis. However, systematically identifying CpxRA-regulated genes and elucidating the regulative role of CpxRA in bacteria responding to environmental stress remains challenging. This study discovered more than 10,000 putative CpxR binding sites based on sequence. This bioinformatics approach, combined with experimental assays, allowed the identification of many previously unknown CpxR-regulated genes. Among the novel 73 CpxRA-regulated genes identified in this study, the role of nine of them in contributing to *E. coli* resistance to acid or cationic antimicrobial peptide stress was studied. The potential correlation between these two environmental stress responses provides insight into the CpxRA-dependent stress response network. This also improves our understanding of environment-bacterium interaction and Gram-negative pathogenesis.
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well-characterized TCS involved in envelope stress responses, consisting of CpxA (sensor histidine kinase) and CpxR (response regulator). In several Gram-negative bacteria, it contributes to environmental adaptation, e.g., intestinal infections (2, 3), heavy metal tolerance (4), virulence (5), antibiotic resistance (6), acid tolerance (7), biofilm formation (8), and oxidative stress (9). As in most TCSs, CpxA can phosphorylate or dephosphorylate its cytoplasmic cognate partner response regulator, CpxR (10). A variety of environmental signals can activate CpxA, resulting in its autophosphorylation using ATP at a conserved histidine residue. Subsequently, the phosphoryl group is transferred to an aspartate residue on CpxR. Finally, the phosphorylated CpxR (CpxR-P) regulates the expression of target genes involved in protection against environmental stress. Three genes were initially identified that were regulated by CpxR—

\[ \text{dsbA}, \text{degP}, \text{and ppiA} \]

followed by a growing number of other CpxR-regulated genes involved in different Cpx responses. The CpxRA TCS integrates physical, chemical, and biological signals, indicating its underlying role for biological processes in Gram-negative bacteria (2, 13–16).

The CpxRA TCS usually regulates specific gene expression in response to different signals. For example, in *Salmonella*, CpxRA can respond to gold (Au) ions and promotes *gesABC* transcription to protect cells from Au damage (4). In *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, CpxRA response to antibiotic stress by activating *mexAB-oprM* expression, which is important for multidrug resistance (17). Moreover, we demonstrated previously that CpxRA directly senses acidification through protonation of CpxA periplasmic histidine residues and promotes *fabA* and *fabB* transcription to improve *Escherichia coli* survival under mild acid conditions (7). These results support the existence of a complex *E. coli* stress response network dependent on the CpxRA TCS. In addition to these individual TCSs responding to complex external environment changes, Oshima et al. (18) proposed the presence of functional interactions between different TCSs, such as cross talk and cascades of signal transductions. These would enable *E. coli* to fine-tune its environmental adaptability and favor the survival of bacteria (1).

To date, more than 40 CpxR-regulated genes have been found in bacteria. These target genes facilitate the discovery of CpxRA-mediated stress responses and are probably putative links between the CpxRA system and other signal transduction pathways. For example, the CpxR-regulated gene *acrD*, which encodes a multidrug efflux pump RND permease, is also regulated by other two-component systems, such as EvgAS and BaeSR (19, 20). In addition, CpxR appears to function to enhance the expression of *acrD* mediated by BaeR (20). These findings provide a foundation for further research into the CpxRA-mediated bacterial multidrug resistance mechanism, as well as evidence that two envelope stress response systems may work together to combat environmental stress by coregulating the expression of some target genes. As a result, identifying more CpxR-regulated genes will facilitate our understanding of the CpxRA two-component regulatory system in response to a wide variety of environmental stress and the complicated cross talk between the CpxRA system and other stress response pathways. However, screening and identifying more target genes, elucidating the regulative role of the CpxRA system, and illustrating the mechanisms by which functional interactions are established between CpxRA and other stress response systems represent a challenging endeavor.

In this study, we screened the *E. coli* BW25113 genome sequence based on the position-specific scoring matrix (PSSM) and discovered more than 10,000 putative CpxR binding sites in promoter regions which are similar to the CpxR motif (GTAAA\_N\_\_\_\_\_\_GTAAA) (21). Of these, 97 candidate genes were selected for transcriptional analysis. To our knowledge, none of these genes have been reported to be regulated by CpxR. More than half of these genes (73/97) were identified to be regulated by the CpxRA system, at least under one condition of CpxRA activation. This is more than the overall number available accumulated in the past decades. This discovery increases our understanding of the overall Cpx pathway-dependent environmental stress response. These CpxR-regulated genes are functionally diverse and involved in complex physiological processes.
Gel shift analyses revealed that some of them are controlled by CpxR direct binding to the promoters. The contribution of nine of these 73 target genes to *E. coli* resistance to either acid or protamine (a model cationic antimicrobial peptide) was investigated. We determined that *ydeE* and *alx* participate in both environmental stress responses. Furthermore, analysis of the reconstituted proteoliposome revealed that the periplasmic domain of CpxA kinase acts like a sensor domain of protamine and acid. These results further support the idea that CpxRA connects different environmental stress responses by varying the expression of specific target genes, which is responsible for mobilizing subsequent programs and thus improving bacteria’s adaptability to environmental stress.

**RESULTS**

**Screening the possible CpxR binding sites using PSSM.** In this study, we attempted to determine the contribution of the individual bases by PSSM (22, 23) using the previously reported CpxR recognition sequence GTAAA-N<sub>5-6</sub>-GTAAA as a reference (21). This can help guide future research into identifying putative CpxR-regulated genes. Based on 41 known CpxR binding sites (see Table S1 in the supplemental material), the PSSM sources of 15-bp sequences were produced. To compensate for the lack of data for 16-bp CpxR binding sites, PSSM sources of 16-bp sequences were obtained by repeating the middle base of known 15-bp CpxR binding sites. In this study, the *E. coli* BW25113 genome was scanned for potential CpxR boxes, focusing on the promoter and adjacent regions of putative CpxR-regulated genes (700 bp upstream to 100 bp downstream of the start codons). We hypothesized that sequences with higher PSSM scores have higher information entropy and would be more likely to interact with CpxR protein physically. In total, 6,522 conserved 15-bp and 6,464 conserved 16-bp sequences were found (threshold = mean of PSSM output scores – standard deviation of PSSM output scores) (Tables S2 and S3). These candidate genes were classified into four groups based on the location of their potential CpxR box (Fig. 1). From these four groups, 97 candidate genes from four groups were randomly chosen (Table S4), and their promoter regions contained conserved sequences similar to a CpxR recognition site. To the best of our knowledge, none of these candidate genes have been shown to be regulated by CpxR before.

**Identification of CpxR-regulated genes.** The outer membrane-anchored lipoprotein NlpE acts as an activator of the CpxRA system when overexpressed (24). Furthermore, the *cpxA24* allele (which has a deletion which encompassing 32 amino acids in the central region of the periplasmic loop) also results in the constitutive activation of the Cpx response independently of any inducing cues (25). Both of these
circumstances are frequently used to activate the Cpx pathway. We used quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) to examine the expression during the log phase of several previously reported CpxR-regulated genes that were placed in two groups according to genetic background. One group was formed by BW25113, the cpxA24 strain, and a ΔcpxA mutant. The other group had BW25113 with the empty vector and recombinant plasmid carrying nlpE and a ΔcpxR mutant with a recombinant plasmid carrying nlpE. In general, the transcriptional regulation of these genes is consistent with previous reports, under one or both of the activating conditions (Table 1). However, with one exception, although the weakly negative regulation by the Cpx response was detected after NlpE overexpression in this study, tsr was positively regulated in the cpxA24 background, which is in contrast with previous studies (26, 27). These results suggest that these two activation conditions can be used to identify CpxR-regulated genes, although expression levels or expression pattern may not be completely consistent under different activation conditions.

Then, the expression of the 97 candidate genes obtained was measured in two groups separated by genetic background. We observed significant differences (≥2-fold) in the transcriptional levels of 42 genes in the cpxA24 mutant and the ΔcpxA mutant compared to the wild type (Table 2). These genes are involved in a wide range of physiological functions, such as amino acid synthesis and degradation, electron transfer, H⁺ transport, central metabolism, iron acquisition, quorum sensing, biofilm function, and stress responses. The majority of proteins encoded by these genes are located in the cytoplasm and inner membrane. Interestingly, in the ΔcpxA mutant, some Cpx-regulated genes still showed a slight response, which could be due to the leaky output caused by the loss of CpxA phosphatase activity in the ΔcpxA mutant and the phosphotransfer from acetyl phosphate (aceyl-P) to CpxR. Indeed, this intermediate of the phosphotransacetylase (Pta)-acetate kinase (AckA) pathway can donate its phosphoryl group to CpxR without CpxA (28).

To test this hypothesis, we measured the mRNA levels of some CpxR-regulated genes in wild-type (WT) cells and isogenic mutants, including (i) a ΔcpxA mutant, (ii) a ΔcpxR mutant, (iii) a ΔcpxA ΔcpxR mutant, (iv) a Δpta ΔackA mutant, (v) a ΔcpxA Δpta ΔackA mutant, and (vi) a cpxA24 mutant. In this study, the ΔcpxA mutant exhibited leaky output compared with the wild type. Intriguingly, the ΔcpxA Δpta ΔackA triple mutant exhibited a dramatic decrease in this leaky output compared with the ΔcpxA mutant (Fig. 2). These results indicated that disruption of the Pta-AckA pathway diminished Cpx signaling and support the hypothesis that CpxR-P would accumulate and cause a leaky output because of the donation of a phosphoryl group from the Pta-AckA pathway coupled with the deletion of phosphatase activities of CpxA. As expected, deleting cpxR or removing the CpxRA system completely eliminated this leaky output (Fig. 2). However, for htxX and

### TABLE 1 Transcriptional analysis of known CpxR-regulated genes

| Gene | Function | Proposed cpx regulation | cpxA24 Avg fold difference in expression | ΔcpxA Avg fold difference in expression | nlpE Avg fold difference in expression | ΔcpxR nlpE Avg fold difference in expression |
|------|----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| degP | Periplasmic serine endoprotease | Positive (12) | 26.60 | 2.91 | 32.41 | 6.43 |
| htxX | Protease | Positive (53) | 25.23 | 17.94 | 37.59 | 0.61 |
| cpxP | Periplasmic adaptor protein | Positive (54) | 18.99 | 7.59 | 60.27 | 0.03 |
| ftnB | Putative ferritin-like protein | Positive (55) | 2.05 | 1.39 | 3.24 | 0.49 |
| sbmA | Peptide antibiotic/peptide nucleic acid transporter | Positive (15) | 5.75 | 0.94 | 3.20 | 1.69 |
| srrA | Stress response kinase A | Positive (56) | 3.49 | 1.40 | 0.90 | 1.81 |
| tss | Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein | Negative (26, 27) | 5.01 | 2.06 | 0.79 | 1.09 |
| ycca | Modulator of FtsH protease | Positive (55) | 3.96 | 3.62 | 4.89 | 0.03 |
| sll | Soluble lytic murein transglycosylase | Positive (15) | 6.57 | 3.32 | 5.07 | 0.31 |
| alx | Putative membrane-bound redox modulator | Positive (21) | 5.36 | 3.55 | 4.61 | 2.06 |
| ompC | Outer membrane porin C | Positive (57) or no difference (15, 27) | 1.23 | 0.82 | 1.16 | 0.02 |
| efuL | Inactive ferrous iron permease | Negative (27, 58) | 0.53 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 2.61 |
| amIC | N-Acetyl/muramoyl-α-alanine amidase C | Positive (43) | 1.53 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 0.84 |
| psd | Phosphatidylinerine decarboxylase | Positive (21) | 8.46 | 2.58 | 2.03 | 0.71 |
| motA | Flagellar motor component | Negative (21) | — | — | 0.44 | 1.38 |

*— means insignificant differences (< 2-fold) in the transcriptional levels.*
| Gene category                             | Gene | Functiona                                      | Cellular location | cpxA24 | ΔcpxA | pnlpE | ΔcpxR | pnlpE |
|------------------------------------------|------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Amino acid transport and metabolism      | carA | Carbamoyl phosphate synthetase subunit alpha   | Cytoplasmic       | 42.76  | 1.16 | 5.70  | 0.42  |
|                                          | carB | Carbamoyl phosphate synthetase subunit beta    | Cytoplasmic       | 31.80  | 3.02 | 34.10 | 5.20  |
|                                          | glkA | Glutaminase 1                                  | Cytoplasmic       | 0.08   | 0.29 | 0.01  | 0.13  |
|                                          | metD | Cystathionine beta-lyase/-cysteine desulfhydrase | Cytoplasmic       | 0.40   | 0.22 | 0.08  | 0.06  |
|                                          | edd  | Phosphogluconate dehydratase                   | Cytoplasmic       | 2.26   | 0.61 | 0.77  | 0.44  |
|                                          | aipC | Succinylornithine transaminase                 | Cytoplasmic       | —      | —    | 0.13  | 0.25  |
|                                          | epmB | Lysine 2,3-aminomutase                         | Cytoplasmic       | 2.43   | 1.78 | 0.48  | 0.53  |
|                                          | yhdW | Putative ABC transporter periplasmic binding protein | Periplasmic | 0.12   | 0.25 | 0.29  | 2.18  |
|                                          | sdaC | Amino acid permeases                           | Inner membrane    | —      | —    | 0.31  | 0.28  |
|                                          |      |                                                |                   |        |      |       |       |
| Energy production and conversion         | fdnG | Formate dehydrogenase N subunit alpha          | Periplasmic       | 7.34   | 1.41 | 0.59  | 3.01  |
|                                          | hcp  | Protein S-nitrosylase                          | Cytoplasmic       | 2.37   | 1.70 | 0.15  | 1.03  |
|                                          | appC | Cytochrome bd-1 ubiquinol oxidase subunit I    | Cytoplasmic       | 0.18   | 0.17 | 0.16  | 0.28  |
|                                          | atpI | ATP synthase accessory factor                   | Inner membrane    | 3.42   | 1.25 | 2.88  | 1.19  |
|                                          | cyaA | Cytochrome o ubiquinol oxidase subunit II      | Inner membrane    | —      | —    | 0.32  | 0.29  |
|                                          | trc  | Formyl-CoA transferase                         | Cytoplasmic       | —      | —    | 6.18  | 1.26  |
|                                          | atpB | F, F-type ATP synthase, subunit alpha          | Inner membrane    | 4.95   | 3.51 | 0.51  | 0.3   |
|                                          |      |                                                |                   |        |      |       |       |
| Inorganic ion transport and metabolism    | nrfA | Cytochrome c552 nitrite reductase              | Periplasmic       | 10.25  | 3.57 | —     | —     |
|                                          | chaA | Na+/K+/H+ antiporter                           | Inner membrane    | 44.89  | 13.10 | 5.37  | 0.57  |
|                                          | chaB | Putative cation transport regulator            | Cytoplasmic       | 0.21   | 0.22 | 0.26  | 1.90  |
|                                          | copA | Soluble Cu+ chaperone                          | Inner membrane    | 2.06   | 1.21 | —     | —     |
|                                          | fetA | Putative iron ABC exporter ATP-binding subunit | Inner membrane    | 0.23   | 0.26 | 0.30  | 0.29  |
|                                          | xyIE | D-XyloseH+ symporter                           | Inner membrane    | —      | —    | 0.80  | 3.59  |
|                                          | facA | Formate channel                                | Inner membrane    | —      | —    | 0.72  | 0.06  |
|                                          | ybaL | Thiamine transporter subunit                   | Periplasmic       | —      | —    | 0.25  | 1.04  |
| Carbohydrate transport and metabolism    | gudP | Galactarate/glucarate/glycerate transporter     | Inner membrane    | 0.42   | 1.91 | —     | —     |
|                                          | ydeE | Dipeptide exporter                             | Inner membrane    | 0.38   | 0.50 | 0.28  | 1.36  |
|                                          | araF | Arabinose ABC transporter periplasmic binding protein | Periplasmic | 1.13   | 0.27 | —     | —     |
|                                          | yddG | Aromatic amino acid exporter                   | Inner membrane    | 2.39   | 1.38 | 0.42  | 0.98  |
|                                          | emaA | Cysteine/O-acetylserine exporter               | Inner membrane    | 0.44   | 0.58 | 0.27  | 0.78  |
|                                          | gpmM | 2,3-Bisphosphoglycerate-independent phosphoglycerate mutase | Cytoplasmic       | 4.92   | 0.82 | 0.15  | 0.03  |
|                                          | frmB | S-Formylglutathione hydrolase                   | Cytoplasmic       | —      | —    | 0.46  | 0.75  |
|                                          | gapC | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (pseudogene) | Unknown          | —      | —    | 0.17  | 0.06  |
|                                          | gnhA | α-Sedoheptulose 7-phosphate isomerase           | Cytoplasmic       | —      | —    | 0.26  | 0.46  |
|                                          | galP | GalactoseH+ symporter                          | Inner membrane    | —      | —    | 0.58  | 3.58  |
|                                          | ascF | Beta-glucoside-specific PTS enzyme IIBC component | Inner membrane    | —      | —    | 0.47  | 0.84  |
|                                          |      |                                                |                   |        |      |       |       |
| Signal transduction mechanisms           | bts  | PyruvateH+ symporter                           | Inner membrane    | —      | —    | 0.06  | 1.01  |
|                                          | ylaB | Predicted cyclic-di-GMP phosphodiesterase      | Cytoplasmic, Inner membrane, Periplasmic | 0.42   | 0.53 | 0.37  | 0.98  |

(Continued on next page)
| Gene category | Gene | Functiona | Cellular location | cpxA24 | ΔcpxA | pnlpE | ΔcpxRnlpE |
|---------------|------|-----------|------------------|--------|-------|-------|------------|
| Transcription | bluR  | DNA-binding transcriptional repressor | Cytoplasmic | 0.25   | 0.40  | 0.25 | 0.26 |
|               | fis   | DNA-binding transcriptional dual regulator | Cytoplasmic | 3.98   | 2.07  | —     | —         |
|               | etta  | Energy-dependent translational throttle protein | Cytoplasmic | 2.43   | 1.76  | 0.50 | 0.28 |
|               | cspa  | Cold shock protein | Cytoplasmic | —      | —     | 0.86 | 0.33 |
|               | prlF  | Antitoxin | Cytoplasmic | —      | —     | 0.25 | 0.33 |
|               | cbl   | DNA-binding transcriptional activator | Cytoplasmic | —      | —     | 11.85 | 98.39 |
|               | ecpr  | DNA-binding transcriptional dual regulator | Cytoplasmic | —      | —     | 0.90 | 6.44 |
|               | feaR  | DNA-binding transcriptional activator | Cytoplasmic | —      | —     | 0.36 | 1.14 |
| Intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport | exbB  | Ton complex subunit | Inner membrane | —      | —     | 0.70 | 0.20 |
| Defense mechanisms | amph | Peptidoglycan \(\beta\)-carboxypeptidase/peptidoglycan \(\beta\)-endopeptidase | Periplasmic | 3.45   | 2.58  | 2.21 | 0.72 |
|                | casA  | Type I-E CRISPR system Cascade subunit | Cytoplasmic | 4.16   | 1.06  | 3.76 | 0.83 |
|                | shoB  | Toxic peptide | Inner membrane | 0.37   | 0.60  | 0.09 | 3.28 |
|                | inaA  | Putative lipopolysaccharide kinase | Cytoplasmic | —      | —     | 3.38 | 1.26 |
| Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, and chaperones | qmcA  | PHB domain-containing protein | Inner membrane | 0.21   | 0.43  | 0.21 | 1.26 |
| Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis | dgkA  | Diacylglycerol kinase | Inner membrane | 2.02   | 1.46  | —    | —         |
| Lipid transport and metabolism | fadB  | 3-Ketoacyl-CoA thiolase | Cytoplasmic | 3.94   | 1.78  | 0.43 | 0.79 |
|                | fadE  | Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase | Inner membrane | 12.02  | 3.35  | 0.24 | 2.63 |
|                | acs   | Acetyl-CoA synthetase (AMP forming) | Cytoplasmic | 0.97   | 7.28  | 0.11 | 2.55 |
|                | pIsB  | Glycerol-3-phosphate 1-O-acyltransferase | Inner membrane | —      | —     | 0.89 | 2.42 |
| Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, and chaperones | gestA | Glutathione S-transferase | Cytoplasmic | —      | —     | 0.71 | 0.26 |
|                | dsBG  | Protein sulfenic acid reductase | Periplasmic | —      | —     | 0.27 | 0.14 |
| Posttranscriptional gene silencing by RNA | ohsC  | Small regulatory RNA | Unknown | 0.35   | 0.73  | —    | —         |
|                | xthA  | Exodeoxyribonuclease III | Cytoplasmic | 2.52   | 1.20  | 0.47 | 0.58 |
| Replication, recombination, and repair | dusB  | tRNA-dihydrouridine synthase B | Cytoplasmic | 4.35   | 1.80  | —    | —         |
|                | rNB  | ATP-dependent tRNA helicase | Cytoplasmic | —      | —     | 0.75 | 0.47 |
|                | fimB  | Regulator for fimA | Cytoplasmic | 0.12   | 0.15  | 0.09 | 0.13 |
| Nucleotide transport and metabolism | mtn   | 5′-Methylthioadenosine/5′-adenosylhomocysteine nucleosidase | Cytoplasmic | —      | —     | 0.91 | 0.40 |
|                | dgt   | dGTP triphosphohydrolase | Cytoplasmic | —      | —     | 0.77 | 0.29 |

(Continued on next page)
| Gene category | Gene | Function | Cellular location | \( \Delta \text{cpxA} \) cpxA | \( \Delta \text{cpxA} \) pnpE | \( \Delta \text{cpxA} \) yhdU |
|---------------|------|----------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Unknown function | yhdU | DUF2556 domain-containing protein | Inner membrane | 2.52 | 1.34 | 2.24 |
| Unknown function | yncD | Putative TonB-dependent outer membrane receptor | Outer Membrane | 1.36 | 2.09 | — |
| Unknown function | yncE | PQQ-like domain-containing protein | Unknown | 4.09 | 1.18 | 0.27 |
| Unknown function | yfaH | Putative uncharacterized protein | Unknown | — | — | 1.08 |
| yhdJ | DNA adenine methyltransferase | Cytoplasmic | 2.86 | 1.09 | — |
| ygjR | Putative oxidoreductase YgjR | Unkown | 0.11 | 0.37 | — |
| yihN | Putative sulfur transferase | Inner membrane | 0.20 | 0.55 | — |
| yadH | DUF3300 domain-containing protein | Extracellular | 0.90 | 3.87 | — |

\( ^a \text{CoA, coenzyme A; PTS, phosphotransferase system; PHB, poly-} \beta\text{-hydroxybutyrate.} \)

\( ^b — \text{means insignificant differences (<2-fold) in the transcriptional levels.} \)
chaA, deletion of the Pta-AckA pathway in the ΔcpxA mutant still resulted in partial leaky output, implying that nonphosphorylated CpxR may also play a role in background induction. Alternatively, unidentified phosphoric acid contributors other than CpxA kinase and the Pta-AckA pathway are involved in CpxR protein activation. In addition, deleting the Pta-AckA pathway caused a slight rise compared to the wild type, which could be reduced by further deleting cpxA, probably because the metabolic stress caused by the deletion of the Pta-AckA pathway would have a positive effect on CpxRA system activation.

Furthermore, we discovered significant differences (≥2-fold) in the transcriptional levels of 64 genes in another group of genetic backgrounds (Table 2). Similarly, these CpxR-regulated genes are involved in multiple physiological functions, and the majority of encoded proteins are located in the cytoplasm and inner membrane. Interestingly, in the strain carrying the pnlpE plasmid, even when the cpxR gene was deleted, some of these CpxR-regulated genes still showed an unusual response in the strain carrying the pnlpE plasmid, suggesting the existence of additional interactive pathways. For example, cross talk probably exists between different two-component signaling systems through phosphotransfer from a histidine kinase to a noncognate response regulator (29). Indeed, the histidine kinase CpxA has been shown to have cross-phosphorylation with OmpR, which is the response regulator of the EnvZ/OmpR TCS (30). As a result, when pnlpE was overexpressed in the cpxR knockout mutant, transcription of some target genes was still higher/lower than in the wild-type strain, most likely due to OmpR phosphorylation.
To test this hypothesis, we measured the mRNA levels of some CpxR-regulated genes in (i) BW25113 with the recombinant plasmid carrying \( nlpE \), (ii) BW25113 with an empty vector, (iii) a \( \Delta cpxR \) mutant with the recombinant plasmid carrying \( nlpE \), or (iv) a \( \Delta cpxR \Delta ompR \) double mutant with a recombinant plasmid carrying \( nlpE \). However, compared to the \( \Delta cpxR \) mutant, the \( \Delta cpxR \Delta ompR \) double mutant did not result in significant changes in \( carB \) and \( cbl \) transcription upon \( nlpE \) overexpression (Fig. 3). Taken together, these results suggest that CpxA/OmpR cross talk is unlikely to play a role in regulating the expression of these genes.

If that is the case, what is the physiological reason for the higher/lower transcriptional level of the \( \Delta cpxR \) \( nlpE \) strain? Even though NlpE, as a sensor for multiple envelope stresses, has been exploited as a research tool to study Cpx in \( E. coli \) for a long time, the underlying signal transduction mechanism remained unclear. Delhaye et al. (31) demonstrated that NlpE specifically monitors lipoprotein sorting and oxidative folding as a sentinel and physically interacts with the CpxA through its N-terminal domain, while the interaction between NlpE and CpxA seems to be nonspecific. Overproduction of NlpE probably affects other signal transduction pathways besides CpxRA TCS and results in a complex effect on gene expression. For example, Feng et al. (32) recently proposed that the BaeSR two-component system is activated when NlpE detects a mechanical cue generated by initial host adherence. Given that NlpE overexpression displays complex effects besides activating the Cpx pathway, transcriptional analysis was performed in a \( \Delta cpxR \) mutant with the empty vector. It was found the mRNAs of \( carB \) and \( cbl \) return to levels similar to that of the wild-type strain, with significant differences compared to the \( \Delta cpxR \) \( nlpE \) strain (Fig. 3). These findings suggest that NlpE overproduction affects gene expression in ways that are not entirely dependent on CpxR and that there is probably some cross talk and/or synergistic actions between the CpxRA system and other pathways after NlpE overproduction for the regulation of some specific target genes, which should be investigated further.

**CpxR binds to the promoter region of target genes in \( E. coli \) BW25113.** To explore whether CpxR regulates the expression of target genes identified in this study by directly binding to their promoter regions, we selected some candidates for testing by gel shift assay. For broad representation, 15 genes with diverse functions, corresponding to 11 potential CpxR-P recognition sites in various locations, were chosen at random from the four groups described above (Fig. 4A; Fig. S1A). The first group was represented by \( carA-carB \) and \( dusB-fis \), where two predicted CpxR boxes exist within gene clusters (Fig. 4A). The second group was represented by \( shoB-ohsC \), where two
FIG 4 Genomic locations and relative mRNA levels under two CpxRA activation conditions of some target genes. (A) Schematic diagram showing the genomic locations of target genes and corresponding CpxR boxes. (B and C) Relative mRNA (Continued on next page)
predicted CpxR boxes exist (Fig. 4A). The third group was represented by chaA-chaB, fdnG-yddG, sbmA-ampH, and acs-nrfA, with one predicted CpxR box in intragenic regions (Fig. 4A; Fig. S1A). The fourth group was represented by xylE, whose upstream region contained only one predicted CpxR box (Fig. 4A). qRT-PCR analysis showed that all of these genes were regulated by CpxR (≥2-fold) at least in one type of CpxRA activation condition (Fig. 4B and C; Fig. S1B and C). Also, purified His<sub>6</sub>-CpxR protein could directly bind to DNA fragments corresponding to the promoter regions of these CpxR-regulated genes in vitro, and this was abolished by the addition of excess unlabeled competitor DNA (Fig. 5; Fig. S1D).

In the presence of multiple putative CpxR boxes, the gel shift analysis pointed to a CpxR-specific preference for one box over the other (Table S4; Fig. 5), which correlated with our PSSM scores. The positive correlation between the sequence score and the CpxR binding affinity suggests the usefulness of the PSSM method in predicting CpxR-regulated operons. Particularly, for the first group of genes like carA-carB and dusB-fis, CpxR may be more likely to bind to the recognition site in front of the gene cluster (CB1) and regulate the expression of genes (Fig. 4A). However, despite the observed correlation between CpxR binding and PSSM scores, the latter are not a complete indicator of the CpxR regulatory ability for candidate genes. For example, upstream of the proP and adiA genes, sequences with high scores of 16.75 and 14.82, respectively (Table S4), were found, and EMSA analysis revealed that CpxR directly binds to proP and adiA promoters (Fig. S2). However, qRT-PCR analysis showed no significant difference (<2-fold) in the expressions of proP and adiA under both Cpx pathway-activating conditions (data not shown). This could be because CpxR binding to the site upstream of proP and adiA may require additional activation conditions to result in in vivo regulation. Thus, although a rough prediction of target operons in E. coli appears to be reasonable using PSSM, and more than half of these sites (73/97) are functional, questionable candidates must be identified and subjected to additional testing, such as transcriptional analysis or in vitro DNA binding analysis, for more conclusive identification of CpxR-regulated genes under different activating conditions of CpxRA.

**FIG 4** Legend (Continued)
Levels of target genes obtained by qRT-PCR in BW25113 and the cpxA24 and ΔcpxA mutants (B) and in strains carrying empty vector or pnlpE and the ΔcpxR mutant carrying pnlpE (C). Statistical analysis was performed using a two-tailed Student’s t test (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001; NS, no significance).
CpxR-regulated genes contribute to E. coli resistance to acid stress. The CpxRA system could be activated by mild acid stress and activates transcription of fabA and fabB genes, which are essential in the biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids (UFAs). Increased UFA production improves bacterial tolerance to acid stress (7). To further identify more CpxR-regulated genes contributing to E. coli resistance to acid stress, we measured the survival of several single-deletion strains during exponential growth after an acid challenge. In either cpxA24 or NlpE overexpression backgrounds, these target genes showed high or moderate regulation and were most likely involved in acid tolerance, according to their function (Table 3). The exponentially growing E. coli BW25113 wild-type strain and single-deletion strains (from the Keio collection [33]) were transferred into minimal medium E at pH 7.0 or pH 3.0. As in our previous study (7), the survival was calculated by determining numbers of CFU of E. coli growing at pH 3 versus CFU of E. coli growing at pH 7.0, which represents the acid tolerance of exponentially growing E. coli. Under our growth conditions, acid stress produced clear effects on the survival of cpxR, ydeE, xylE, alx, and galP, mutant strains compared to the wild type (P < 0.05) (Fig. 6A). Specifically, deletion of the cpxR gene reduced the CFU ratio after acid challenge, confirming that CpxRA is required for the response to the acidic challenge. Also, ydeE and xylE are likely protective against acid resistance, whereas alx and galP had the opposite effect. The discovery of these acid resistance genes provides new insights into the acid tolerance mechanism in E. coli.

Given that CpxRA regulates target genes depending on different environmental stimulus, we measured the relative transcription level of the acid-related genes identified in our study. The mRNA level of cpxP, which encodes a small periplasmic protein, was induced in a Cpx-dependent manner and increased significantly after acidic challenge (Fig. 6B), indicating activation of the CpxRA TCS. qRT-PCR analysis showed that the acidic stress could activate xylE transcription and inhibit galP transcription (Fig. 6B), whereas deleting cpxR partially alleviated the effects of acid challenge on gene expression. These results indicate that their positive and negative effects on acid resistance are both dependent on the CpxRA system and that other unidentified pathways must regulate xylE and galP expression after acidic stress. XylE is a D-xylene/proton symporter which can elicit an alkaline pH change (34) and is a member of the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) of transporters (35).

Uphill transport appears to be energized by a proton-motive force (36). Similarly, GalP is a galactose:H⁺ symporter and also belongs to the MFS (37). This protein has
been shown to share a high level of sequence similarity with XylE (34% identity) in *E. coli* (38). Although XylE and GalP are both involved in proton transport, it is unclear whether they can transport H\(^+\) in the absence of xylose or galactose. Together, our results suggest that both genes are likely functionally related to the acid tolerance response in an CpxRA-dependent manner. As alx is a known CpxR-regulated gene (21), its expression can respond to changes in pH, and it could be highly induced by alkaline pH (8.5 and above), both aerobically and anaerobically (39, 40), and repressed by acidic pH only aerobically (41). However, whether CpxRA plays a role in acid resistance by downregulating alx expression is not clear. Although deletion of alx increased the CFU ratio compared to the wild type (Fig. 6A) and acidic stress inhibited alx transcription as previously reported (Fig. 6B), deleting cpxR did not increase the expression of alx (Fig. 6B). This indicates that alx does contribute to acid resistance in *E. coli*, but the effects of other unknown regulatory systems probably act on alx expression and may mask the effect of the CpxRA system after an acidic challenge. In addition, ydeE encodes a protein which is a putative member of the drug:H\(^+\) antiporter-1 (DHA) family (42). The ΔydeE mutant appears to have a lower survival rate than the wild type (Fig. 6A), but the acid challenge resulted in a downregulation of ydeE gene transcription, and this inhibition was partially alleviated by deleting cpxR.

These results suggested that ydeE contributes to *E. coli* resistance to acid stress and that the acid response of ydeE gene is dependent on the CpxRA system; however, the acid response mechanism of ydeE and whether other signal transduction pathways affect ydeE expression are both unknown. Thus, although our study is the first to identify four CpxR-regulated genes related to the *E. coli* acidic stress response, the expression of target genes after an acid challenge is likely to be a complex, multifactorial trait, because numerous cellular functions are impacted by the Cpx pathway. Furthermore, additional signal transduction pathways involved in the acid stress response probably
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**FIG 6** CpxR-regulated genes contribute to acid resistance. (A) Growth of the *E. coli* BW25113 wild-type strain and single-deletion strains after acidic challenge at pH 3. Strain BW25113 was used as the control. (B) Relative mRNA levels of target genes determined by qRT-PCR in the *E. coli* BW25113 wild-type strain and ΔcpxR strain after acidic challenge at pH 3. Statistical analysis was performed using a two-tailed Student’s t test (*, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; ****, *P* < 0.0001; NS, no significance).
interact with CpxRA in a complex cross talk or directly affect the expression of target genes as transcriptional regulators to protect exponentially growing *E. coli* from acidic stress.

**CpxR-regulated genes contribute to *E. coli* resistance to protamine.** A previous study proposed that the CpxRA system facilitates bacterial resistance to protamine, a model cationic antimicrobial peptide (CAMP) (43). To understand whether the CpxRA TCS senses external protamine directly, we examined the phosphorylation level of CpxR at different concentrations of protamine (0, 0.5, and 1.0 mg/mL) using an *in vitro* reconstituted proteoliposome system (7) (Fig. 7A). In this study, purified His6-CpxR was incubated with CpxA-His6-containing proteoliposomes in phosphorylation buffer. The increased CpxR-P levels were accompanied by a higher protamine concentration inside vesicles (Fig. 7B), suggesting that protamine stress directly activates the periplasmic domain of CpxA kinase *in vitro*, similarly to the CpxA response to acid stress (7).

To explore new CpxR-regulated genes that contribute to *E. coli* resistance to protamine, we carried out a susceptibility assay to compare the protamine resistance of *E. coli* BW25113 wild-type and single-deletion strains from the Keio collection (33). All of these target genes were CpxR-regulated genes and were likely involved in protamine tolerance, according to their function (Table 3). The results showed that protamine killed the *E. coli* wild-type strain in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 8A). Furthermore, compared to the *E. coli* wild-type strain, the ΔcpxR mutant was more susceptible to high concentration protamine, indicating the role of CpxRA system in bacterial resistance to protamine (Fig. 8B). However, at low protamine concentrations, no significant differences were observed between the ΔcpxR mutant and wild-type strain. Surprisingly, other single-deletion strains (ΔsbmA, ΔprlF, ΔcasA, ΔampH, ΔyacH, and ΔydeE mutants) outperformed the wild-type strain in terms of survival after protamine challenge (Fig. 8A), suggesting that these target genes contribute to *E. coli* resistance to protamine.

Next, we attempted to investigate the roles of these CpxR-regulated genes in *E. coli* protamine resistance. qRT-PCR results showed that protamine significantly increased the expression of *cpxP* of the wild-type strain (Fig. 8B) in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 8C), suggesting that the CpxRA system is activated by protamine stress. In contrast, the mRNA levels of *casA*, *prlF*, *yacH*, and *ydeE* were reduced in a protamine concentration-dependent manner compared to untreated control bacteria (Fig. 8B and C). However, when the *cpxR* gene was deleted, this transcriptional depression caused by protamine stress was partially alleviated (Fig. 8B). These findings demonstrated that *prlF*, *casA*, *yacH*, and *ydeE* are likely involved in *E. coli* protamine resistance, which is dependent on the CpxRA system acting as an inhibitor. Surprisingly, the protamine stress inhibits the transcription of *casA* and *yacH* genes (Fig. 8B and C), which were both activated under conditions of *cpxA24* mutation or NlpE overexpression (Table 2). The probable reason is that the expression pattern of the CpxR-regulated genes under different conditions is not solely dependent on the phosphorylation state of the cognate kinase.
activation conditions is not always constant. It is most likely a fine regulation dependent on the CpxRA system in response to various signal stimulations. Concurrently, unknown pathways may cross-regulate these target genes in conjunction with the Cpx pathway, providing synergistic defense against protamine challenge. Taken together, our results suggest that prlF, casA, yacH, and ydeE all play roles in protamine resistance in a partially CpxRA-dependent manner, which will provide new insights into the mechanism of CAMP resistance in E. coli and the cross talk between various signal transduction pathways.

Interestingly, in these CpxR-regulated genes, ydeE is a linking target gene that contributes to both protamine and acid resistance. Furthermore, although ΔsbmA and
ΔampH strains showed higher resistance to protamine (Fig. 8A), the transcription levels of these genes increased after the protamine challenge, regardless of the presence of the CpxRA system (Fig. 8B). sbmA is a known CpxR-regulated gene (15) that encodes an inner-membrane transport protein that is responsible for the import of microcin 25, an antibiotic peptide (44), and plays a significant role in antibiotic bleomycin resistance (45), whereas ampH encodes a penicillin-binding protein that is probably involved in peptidoglycan remodeling and/or recycling (46, 47). We demonstrated that they were both involved in protamine resistance in E. coli, but whether this physiological process is linked to the Cpx pathway is still unclear.

Due to the interesting correlation between CpxRA-mediated protamine resistance and acid resistance, other linking CpxR-regulated genes besides ydeE may also contribute to these two environmental stress responses. Thus, we attempted to determine whether other acid resistance-related genes identified in this study (xylE, alx, and galP) are involved in the protamine stress response. The Δalx mutant displayed decreased susceptibility to protamine (Fig. S3A). qRT-PCR analysis showed that protamine stress can activate the transcription of alx, where the CpxRA system acts as an activator (Fig. S3B). However, the regulatory pathway of alx in response to protamine stress is not clear. Overall, these results suggest a potential link between these two environmental stress responses.

DISCUSSION
The CpxRA system is a well-known TCS that responds to several environment-associated simulations and protects cells against a wide variety of surrounding stresses. As a typical TCS, the process of CpxA autophosphorylation at a specific histidine and phosphoryl group transfer to an aspartate residue of CpxR has been well elaborated (48). However, the identification of CpxR-regulated genes and the analysis of their function in response to environmental stress is grossly inadequate. In fact, a critical factor in understanding how bacteria employ the CpxRA TCS against environmental stress lies in the identification of CpxR-regulated genes.

Our study used PSSM, a bioinformatics analysis for sequence-based prediction, to systematically screen genome-wide profiling of CpxR promoters. Using alignments with data for 41 known CpxR binding sequences, thousands of putative CpxR binding sites (6,522 conserved 15-bp sequences and 6,464 conserved 16-bp sequences) were obtained. This bioinformatics analysis reveals a referential view of targets based on sequence and provides a molecular basis for identification of CpxR-regulated genes. The potential binding sites for CpxR are distributed evenly across the E. coli BW25113 chromosome (Fig. 9). The distribution of the 97 candidate genes we selected randomly in this study is not skewed across the genome (Fig. 9), and we found that 73 of these were controlled by the Cpx pathway. This greatly increases the number of known CpxR-regulated genes and potentially enables the discovery of the complex interrelationships between the CpxRA system and other regulatory pathways.

Each putative CpxR binding site with a high PSSM score (>17.68) was found to correspond to at least one CpxR-regulated gene (Table 2; Table S4). Further, when more than one CpxR binding site was predicted in the promoter regions of candidate genes, gel shift assays showed preferential CpxR binding to putative CpxR boxes with higher PSSM scores. These results support the use of PSSM scores as a tool in the identification of target genes, based on the recognition of specific binding sequences for a regulator protein. Additionally, the discovery of 73 new CpxR-regulated genes enhances sequence-based data sets. Evolutionary information can further improve the prediction capacity of the PSSM method, allowing circular screening of the genome sequence and the identification of more meaningful CpxR binding sites.

As reported previously, the CpxRA is a key system in the acid and CAMP stress responses of exponentially growing E. coli (6, 7). Herein, several CpxR-regulated genes were shown to be involved in E. coli exponential-phase survival after challenges with acid or CAMP. Although their regulatory mechanisms and their effects on cellular
physiological functions remain unknown, the discovery of nine target genes involved in these two stress responses will provide new insights into CpxRA-dependent resistance mechanisms. For the first time, the linkages between these two stress resistances are proposed in this study, suggesting that synergistic effects probably exist in a CpxRA-mediated multiple signal transduction pathway. Furthermore, Raivio has proposed that the CpxRA system appears to play a role in altering inner membrane transport in all cases studied thus far (49). Thus, in future studies, to gain a better understanding of *E. coli* resistance mechanisms to acid and antimicrobial peptides, we hope to focus on more CpxR-regulated genes that are related to proton or toxic-compound transport.

Surprisingly, the environment or genetic background appears to have a strong influence on the expression of CpxR-regulated genes. Indeed, constitutively activated *cpxA* mutation and NlpE overexpression exposed different CpxR-regulated genes, suggesting that neither approach was exhaustive. Also, after activation of the CpxRA system, the expression pattern of these regulated genes is not constant. As a result, the expression of CpxR-regulated genes varies depending on the activation condition (*cpxA* mutation, acidic conditions, CAMP, or NlpE overexpression). For example, NlpE overproduction inhibited *xylE* expression, but acidic challenge increased its transcriptional level (Table 2; Fig. 6B). Also, the expression of *casA* was activated in *cpxA24* mutant or after NlpE overexpression but was inhibited under a protamine challenge (Table 2; Fig. 8B and C). In addition, Raivio noted that the Cpx response and other cellular signaling pathways may have complex connections, such as feed-forward and feedback inhibition loops, which may enhance the precision and/or magnitude with which the Cpx response affects adaptive gene expression (49). As a result, the stress response of some genes may be the result of synergistic fine regulation of a combination of regulatory pathways. Our results indicated that the regulatory mechanism of the CpxRA TCS is complex and dynamic and is dependent on environmental cues and the genetic

---

**FIG 9** Visualization of the whole genome by using Circos. From outermost to innermost, the layers represent chromosomes of *E. coli* BW25113, the locations of the genes in *E. coli* BW25113 (dark red), the location of complementary chain’s genes in *E. coli* BW25113 (dark blue), and the location of putative CpxR binding sites across the *E. coli* BW25113 chromosome, with the depth of color being proportional to the PSSM scores of sequences (green). 91 candidate CpxR-regulated genes were selected in this study (blue), and 67 CpxR-regulated genes we identified in this study (red). Circos analysis was performed using the OmicStudio tools at https://www.omicstudio.cn/tool/.
background of bacteria. This may be very important for environment-bacterium interaction and bacterial evolution in a specific environment and could also guide future studies aimed at uncovering the cross talk of different signaling pathways or regulator factors.

In summary, PSSM predicted a large number of putative CpxR binding sites based on sequence characteristics, and the matrix score correlated with the relative site affinity for CpxR protein \textit{in vitro}. A series of new CpxR-regulated genes were determined under two activation conditions of the Cpx pathway. The acid and CAMP resistance-related genes controlled by the CpxRA system can help elucidate the mechanism of environmental stress responses (Fig. 10). Remarkably, the availability and efficiency of PSSM based on genome screening have facilitated the discovery of novel putative CpxR recognition sites, which in combination with experimental analysis will vastly improve our understanding of how bacteria respond to environmental signals by regulating various genes, and this may serve as a typical method to develop sequence-specific screens for the novel regulon’s identification of various TCSs in different bacteria. Taken together, these results provide more insights into the \textit{E. coli} stress response network dependent on the CpxRA system and offer us potential targets that can be used for combating infection.

\section*{Materials and Methods}

\textbf{Bacterial strains and growth conditions.} All strains used in this study are listed in Table S5, and all primers used are listed in Table S6. Bacteria were grown at 37°C in Luria-Bertani broth or in E minimal broth.
medium (0.8 mM MgSO₄, 10 mM citric acid, 57.5 mM K₂HPO₄, 16.7 mM NaNH₄HPO₄, 0.5% glucose). When necessary, antibiotics were added to final concentrations of 100 µg/mL for ampicillin. E. coli DH5α was used as a host for the preparation of plasmid DNA, and E. coli χ7213 was used for the preparation of suicide vectors. Diaminopimelic acid (DAP) (50 µg/mL) was used for the growth of χ7213 strain. LB agar containing 10% sucrose was used for sacB gene-based counterselection in allelic exchange experiments.

In this study, E. coli BW25113 cpxA24 was constructed by homologous recombination using a suicide plasmid, E. coli BW25113ΔcpxRΔcpxA, E. coli BW25113ΔptaΔackA, E. coli BW25113ΔcpxAΔptaΔackA, and E. coli BW25113ΔcpxRΔompR were constructed using the λ Red recombinase system (50).

In the experiment on activation of the Cpx pathway by the cpxA24 mutant, the strains were grown at 37°C in Luria-Bertani broth to exponential phase. In the experiment on overexpression of NlpE, the strains were grown in E medium (pH 7.0), IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside) was added to a final concentration of 0.5 mM at an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.4, and the strain was further grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6.

**Position-specific scoring matrix screening.** PSSMs were calculated with the Python tool package Biopython (51), assuming that the probabilities for each position are statistically independent with a pseudocount of 0.5. The matrix screening method predicted the affinity of CpxR for DNA sequences in the genome of E. coli BW25113 (GenBank accession no. CP009273) based on the sequence statistics of 41 known CpxR binding sites. The score for all continuous 15-bp sequences in the genome was calculated, and the scores higher than the cutoff were considered potential CpxR binding sites. For 16-bp sequences, the middle base of N₅ was duplicated to yield the data set for N₆.

**Quantitative RT-PCR.** Total RNA was isolated from bacterial culture using an EASYSpin Plus bacterial RNA quick extraction kit (Aidlab Biotechnologies, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentration was determined by spectrophotometry at 260 nm. Removal of genomic DNA and synthesis of cDNA were carried out using a PrimeScript RT reagent kit with gDNA Eraser (TaKaRa, Japan). qRT-PCR was conducted using TB Green Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa, Japan) with the QuantStudio 1 system (Applied Biosystems, USA). The constitutively transcribed gene rpoD was used as a reference control to normalize the total RNA quantity of different samples. Differences between mRNA levels were calculated using the ΔΔCₚ method (52). Two independent biological samples with three technical repeats for each sample were performed for each qRT-PCR analysis.

**EMSA.** Purification of His₅-CpxR was conducted according to our previous work (7). Primers were labeled using biotin. The promoter regions of target genes were amplified with primers listed in Table S6. Biotin-labeled DNA (0.1 pM) was incubated at room temperature for 30 min with 0 or 60 pmol of His₅-CpxR protein in 20 µL of an EMSA buffer (Beyotime, China). The mixture was subjected directly to 6.5% Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE)-PAGE. Signals were detected with a luminometer.

**pH sensitivity assay.** Specific strains from the Keio collection (33) were selected to test their susceptibility to an acid condition. Bacterial cells were cultured overnight, harvested, and washed twice with double-distilled water (ddH₂O), reinoculated (1:100) in E medium (pH 7.0), and grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6. Cells were harvested and washed twice with ddH₂O, and inoculated into E medium at various pHs, as indicated, and strains were grown for another 1 to 2 h before the cells were collected to determine the number of CFU.

**Protamine susceptibility assay.** Specific strains from the Keio collection (33) were selected in order to test their susceptibility to protamine. Bacterial cells were cultured overnight, reinoculated (1:100) in LB broth, and grown for 3 h at 37°C. Cultures were diluted, inoculated dropwise onto LB agar plates containing various concentrations (0.25 to 1.0 mg/mL) of protamine sulfate (Aladdin, China), and incubated overnight at 37°C to determine the number CFU. The percentage survival of each strain was calculated by comparing numbers of CFU from plates supplemented with and without protamine.

**Preparation of proteoliposomes.** Purification of His₅-CpxR and CpxA-His₆ and reconstitution in proteoliposomes were performed as previously described (7). Briefly, E. coli phospholipids (Avanti, USA) were dried under a stream of nitrogen gas and slowly dissolved in sodium citrate-hydrochloric acid buffer (pH 7.0) with 10% glycerol (vol/vol), 0.47% Triton X-100 (vol/vol), and various concentrations (0, 0.5, and 1.0 mg/mL) of protamine. Purified CpxA-His₆ was added to the mixture at a phospholipid/protein ratio of 100:1 (wt/wt) and stirred at room temperature for 20 min. Bio-Beads SM-2 (Bio-Rad) were added at a bead/detergent ratio of 10:1 (wt/wt), and the mixture was gently stirred at 4°C overnight. After 16 h, fresh Bio-Beads were added, and the mixture was stirred for another 6 h. Proteoliposomes were collected by ultracentrifugation and then incubated with 300 µmol ATP in phosphorylation buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 10% glycerol (vol/vol), 2 mM dithiothreitol, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂) at room temperature for 5 min. A 5 × SDS sample buffer was loaded to terminate the reaction. Purified His₅-CpxR was added to this mixture. The samples were ultracentrifuged after 20 min reaction, and the upper phase was collected. The 5 × SDS sample buffer was added to stop the reaction. To detect the phosphorylation level of CpxR, all the samples were subjected to 8% SDS-PAGE with 20 to 50 µM Phos-tag acrylamide (Wako) and 0.1 mM Mn²⁺.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material is available online only.

**FIG S1** TIF file, 0.8 MB.
**FIG S2** TIF file, 0.1 MB.
**FIG S3** TIF file, 0.3 MB.
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