COVID-19 related Attitudes and Risk Perceptions across Urban, Rural, and Suburban Areas in the United States
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Findings

This study identifies differences in COVID-19 related attitudes and risk perceptions among urban, rural, and suburban populations in the US using data from an online, nationwide survey collected during April-October 2020. In general, rural respondents were found to be less concerned by the pandemic and a lower proportion of rural respondents support staying at home and shutting down businesses. While only about half of rural respondents are concerned about getting severe reactions themselves from COVID-19 (compared to ~60% for urban and suburban respondents), all place types respondents are concerned about friends or family members getting severe reactions (~75%).

1. Questions

The COVID-19 pandemic has affected the entire world but its impacts have not been spread evenly (Zhang and Schwartz 2020). In the United States (US), urban areas initially reported a much higher proportion of COVID-19 related deaths, but they were later surpassed by rural areas (CDC 2020b). The Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has warned that populations in rural communities may be at a higher risk because they are generally older, have higher rates of chronic diseases, and have a higher proportion of the population with a disability (CDC 2020a). Several studies have also found differences in attitudes and behaviors toward COVID-19 varying between urban and rural areas (Boyle, Brassell, and Dayton 2020; Chen and Chen 2020; Haischer et al. 2020). The goal of this article is to investigate spatial variations in COVID-19 related attitudes and risk perception across the US among three groups of populations: urban, suburban, and rural.

2. Methods

The data were collected through an online longitudinal survey that asked a variety of COVID-19 related attitudinal and behavioral questions to more than 9,000 individuals from across the US (Capasso da Silva et al. 2021; Chauhan et al., 2021 (forthcoming); Conway et al. 2020; Salon et al. 2021). Specifically, we use the data collected during the first wave of the survey, which was conducted from April to October 2020. The survey data were weighted to match the distribution of the 2018 American Community Survey (ACS) 1-year estimates (Ruggles et al. 2020) using as control variables: age, education, gender, Hispanic status, household income, presence of children, and the number of household vehicles. More details on the data can be found in Chauhan et al. (2021 (forthcoming)).
Table 1. Number and percentage of respondents in each place type category

| Place types | Number of survey responses (N) | Weighted percentage distribution |
|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Urban       | 3,132                         | 32.82%                           |
| Suburban    | 4,951                         | 56.37%                           |
| Rural       | 605                           | 10.81%                           |
| Total       | 8,688                         | 100%                             |

Using the respondents’ current ZIP code, we categorized the sample into urban, suburban, and rural based on their housing densities, following the thresholds found by Kolko et. al. (2015). Categorizing ZIP codes based on housing density might be particularly useful for COVID-19 related analysis since it was found that increase in housing density increases the risk of COVID-19 (DiMaggio et al. 2020). Specifically, ZIP codes with a housing density above 2,213 households per square mile are classified as urban, those between 102 to 2,213 households per square mile as suburban, and those with fewer than 102 households per square mile as rural. Table 1 shows the distribution of respondents in each place type category.

The differences in attitudes and risk perception across the three place types were found through comparative analyses. Chi-squared tests were used to ensure that the differences are statistically significant. Furthermore, random forest (RF) models were estimated with attitudes and risk perception as dependent variables and place type variables and demographic variables (including the control variables) as independent variables. The feature importance (FI) of each variable was calculated to ensure that place type contributed to the differences in the attitudes and risk perceptions (not just the differences in demographic characteristics).

3. Findings

Significant differences were found in COVID-19 related attitudes and risk perception across respondents living in urban, suburban, and rural areas (Figures 1 and 2). More support for staying at home and shutting down the business was found in urban respondents, followed by suburban, and least in rural respondents. In particular, 79% of urban respondents support staying at home compared to 65% for rural respondents. 56% of urban respondents feel that shutting down the businesses to prevent the spread of the virus is worth the economic damage compared to 40% of rural respondents. About a third (36%) of rural respondents feel that society is overreacting to coronavirus, compared to a quarter (26%) of suburban respondents and a fifth (19%) of urban respondents.

With 53%, respondents from rural areas are slightly less concerned about getting severe reactions from COVID-19 compared to those from suburban (58%) and urban (61%) areas. Interestingly, across all three place types, the
Figure 1. Differences in COVID-19 related attitudes across the urban, suburban, and rural respondents.

Figure 2. Difference in COVID-19 related risk perception across the urban, suburban, and rural respondents.

The proportion of respondents having concern that friends or family members get severe reactions from COVID-19 was much higher than the same concern for themselves.
Significant differences were found in COVID-19 related risk perceptions. Compared to suburban and rural respondents, urban respondents have the highest share of respondents who perceive high risk in shopping at grocery store (34%) and walking or biking (9%). Compared to urban and suburban respondents, rural respondents have the lowest percentage of respondents who perceive high risk in traveling by airplane (66%) and public transit (65%). Suburban respondents have the highest proportion of respondents who feel high risk in taking a taxi or ride-hailing service (47%).

All of these differences were found to be statistically significant with a 95% confidence level using Chi-squared tests (please see Table A in supplementary materials for details). The RF models found that place type has a greater than zero FI for all variables. The FI of place type had a fairly high rank for the risk perception in taking taxi or ride-hailing services. In contrast, it had a low rank for attitude regarding staying at home indicating that other demographic variables influence this attitude more than place type. (please see Table B in supplementary materials for details).

Overall, rural residents are found to be less concerned by the COVID-19 virus, while urban residents are more concerned. These findings corroborate with other studies (Boyle, Brassell, and Dayton 2020; Chen and Chen 2020; Haischer et al. 2020). Nonetheless, since COVID-19 cases and deaths have evolved since the completion of wave 1 of our survey (CDC 2020b), attitudes from urban, rural, and suburban populations may have evolved as well. The data collected from the subsequent survey waves (Chauhan et al., 2021 (forthcoming)) should help in exploring temporal variation in attitudes across locations. Furthermore, beyond attitude and risk perception, urban, suburban, and rural populations are often found to exhibit different behaviors (e.g., energy and resource consumption (Derrible 2019)) that could be investigated to assess how differently the three populations were impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.
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