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Abstract

Background: Surgical injury stimulates the systemic inflammatory response. The magnitude of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response has been shown to be significantly associated with short and long-term outcomes following surgery of varying severity. Different anesthetic techniques for surgery may have an impact on the postoperative systemic inflammatory response and on the rate of the postoperative infectious complications.

The aim of the present systematic review was to examine the relationship between perioperative anesthesia, the postoperative systemic inflammatory response and postoperative infectious complications in patients undergoing surgery.

Methods: This was carried out using PubMed and other established databases from 1987 up to March 2018. In particular, randomized controlled studies and systemic inflammation markers, interleukin 6 and C-reactive protein were examined.

Results: Overall, 60 controlled, randomized clinical trials were included in the review. The mean or median values of both interleukin 6 and C-reactive protein were taken for each study and the mean value was calculated for each anesthetic group at sampling points of 12–24 and 24–72 hours for interleukin 6 and C-reactive protein respectively. When taking the magnitude of surgery into account, TIVA using propofol was significantly associated with a reduction in particular C-reactive protein (P = .04). However, there were no other specific anesthetic methods including general, regional and combined anesthetics that were associated with a reduction in either interleukin 6 or C-reactive protein.

Conclusion: There is some evidence that anesthetic regimens may reduce the magnitude of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response. However, the studies were heterogeneous and generally of low quality. Future, well conducted, adequately powered studies are required to clarify the effect of anesthesia on the postoperative systemic inflammatory response and infectious complications.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

The surgical stress response is defined as the systemic reaction of the human body to a surgical procedure. It has long been recognized that a surgical injury results in stereotypical changes of the neuroendocrinological, metabolic, immunological and hematological systems in humans [1]. The neuroendocrine response to surgery involves the stimulation of the sympathetic nervous system and resultant tachycardia, hypertension and stimulation of the hypothalamic–pituitary adrenal axis. This induces the release of hormones such as adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), catecholamines (norepinephrine and epinephrine) and cortisol. Increasing circulating concentrations of such mediators are associated with the suppression of pro-inflammatory T cell responses [2]. For example, an increase in the
white cell count is associated with a decrease in the number of lymphocytes including CD4+ and CD8+ and is proposed to have a detrimental effect on the postoperative immunity [3]. Furthermore, the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines including interleukin (IL) IL-1, IL-6, IL-8 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha by innate immune cells such as neutrophils and macrophages, interacting with damaged cells and platelets, leads to the production of acute phase proteins from the liver such as C-reactive protein (CRP), fibrinogen and complement proteins. The existence of other factors including the pre-existing co-morbid condition, adjuvant chemo or radio therapy, blood transfusion and type of surgical procedure may amplify the surgical stress response [4]. An exaggerated postoperative systemic inflammatory response (SIR) is associated with increased postoperative morbidity and mortality [5,6].

In terms of routine clinical assessment of the magnitude of surgical injury, circulating concentrations of IL-6 and CRP are particularly useful in the 12–24 hour and 24–96 hour periods respectively following surgical injury [7]. Indeed, in colorectal surgery, postoperative threshold concentrations of CRP > 150 mg/L on day 3 and 4 are associated with increased postoperative infections precluding safe discharge [8,9].

In addition to host factors, and surgical factors, different anesthetic techniques used in surgery may have a differential effect on the postoperative SIR and postoperative complications [10]. Some anesthetic techniques may affect the immune system by decreasing the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and modify the function of innate and adaptive immune cells. For example, the immunomodulatory effect of propofol has been reported in several studies and more favorable than inhalational agents and that combined regional anesthesia has a greater effect than single use of general anesthesia in reducing the surgery induced inflammatory response. Furthermore, the modification of perioperative anesthetic technique may play an important role in cancer patients to reduce the incidence of metastasis and improve the long-term survival [11,12].

The aim of the present systematic review and meta-analysis was to examine the relationship between different anesthetic techniques and the magnitude of the postoperative SIR in particular that of IL-6 and CRP, and the postoperative infective complications in patients undergoing surgery of different degrees of severity. The results of this review may help to delineate which anesthetic techniques reduce the magnitude of the systemic inflammatory response.

METHODS

Outcomes of Interest. The primary outcome of interest was the impact of anesthesia on the postoperative SIR in particular IL-6 and CRP in patients following surgery. The secondary outcome of interest was the impact of anesthesia on postoperative complications, in particular infective complications, following surgery.

Literature Search. A systematic search of the scientific literature was conducted from 1987 until March 2018 using PubMed, the Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE), Web of Science databases and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR).

Study Selection and Data Extraction. The following search terms were used in free text and medical subject heading (MeSH) together with the usual Boolean meaning of “OR” and “AND” including (“anaesthesia and analgesia”/ OR analgesia, epidural/ OR analgesia, patient controlled/ OR anesthesia/ OR analgesia, general/ OR anesthesia, inhalation/ OR balanced anesthesia/ OR anaesthesia, endotracheal/ OR anesthesia, intravenous [Mesh]) AND “systemic inflammation OR stress response OR systemic inflammatory response” [Mesh]) AND (“General Surgery”[Mesh] OR “Surgical Procedures, Operative”[Mesh] AND “IL-6” AND “CRP” AND “postoperative complication”.

A search of the bibliographies of selected papers was carried out to identify any relevant articles missed during the primary search. The duplicated studies were removed manually. Additional studies were hand-searched from the reference list of included studies. The literature search and data extraction were carried out by a single author (AA). Any uncertainty regarding the inclusion, or otherwise, of a paper was discussed with the senior author (DM). Data on study characteristics including authors, year of publication, country of origin, number of patients, type and severity of surgery, anesthetic agents used type of complications and inflammatory response markers were extracted to preconstructed tables for each individual study. Study quality was assessed using the Jadad scale.

Study Eligibility Criteria. The study question was performed according to the PICO classification including: Population: patients undergoing surgery. Intervention: anesthetic technique. Comparison: different general and regional anesthetic techniques (general anesthesia; general plus regional anesthesia; regional anesthesia; miscellaneous adjuvants). Outcome: IL-6, CRP and postoperative infective complications.

Only controlled, randomized clinical trials published in the English language, including, patients older than 18 years, undergoing surgery of any type were included in the review.

All titles and abstracts were reviewed to assess their relevance for inclusion. There were no restrictions in terms of ethnicity, and stage of cancer or surgical approach.

Meta-Analysis. In the present review, some studies were amenable to meta-analysis using random or fixed effects model to calculate the combined mean difference and its 95% confidence interval in postoperative IL-6 and CRP. Where data were expressed as a median and range or interquartile range, the calculation of mean and standard deviation was derived from the methods of Hozo et al. and Wan et al. [13,14].

With regards to the effect of anesthesia on the postoperative complications, OR, and 95% confidence interval (CI) were obtained from each study and shown in a forest plot graph and combined using a random effects model.

In the present review, the majority of studies were heterogeneous and therefore the use of random effects model was considered more appropriate than fixed effects model as it was not assumed that they shared a common effect.

Meta-analysis was performed by using the Review Manager software version 5.3 (RevMan v5.3 Nordic Cochrane Collaboration). Statistical heterogeneity was determined by the I² test.

Evaluation of Clinical Trial Studies. The methodological quality of each study was evaluated using the Jadad scale tool, also known as the Oxford quality scoring system. This is a 3-question, 5-point system with superior validity and reliability evidence compared with other scoring systems [15].

Points for randomization, double-blinding, and description of withdrawals and dropouts are included within the score with points omitted for inappropriate description of randomization or blinding. Studies scoring ≥3 points are considered to represent satisfactory methodological quality, with studies scoring ≤2 points considered to be of low quality. Studies in which double-blinding is not possible may be assessed as high quality if the total score ≥2 points [16,17].

RESULTS

Study Selection Process. The results of the literature review are shown in the PRISMA Flow Diagram (Fig 1 [18]).

In total, 395 studies were identified through the databases. Records were excluded including 165 review articles, 30 articles not in English, 20 animal studies and 2 studies which include non-infective
complications. In addition, studies not meeting the inclusion criteria, such as those not reporting IL-6 or CRP or reporting these markers at time points out with the study specifications, were excluded.

Sixty studies examined the impact of different anesthetic techniques on the postoperative SIR and postoperative infective complications. The mean or median values of IL-6 and CRP were taken for each study and the mean value was calculated for each anesthetic group at sampling points of 12–24 and 24–72 hours for IL-6 and CRP respectively.

The Effect of General Anesthesia on the Postoperative SIR. In total, 12 studies compared different types of general anesthetic (GA) agents (intravenous or inhalational) on the postoperative SIR (Table 1). The mean peak IL-6 and CRP were 484 pg/mL (n = 425) and 107 mg/L (n = 195) respectively. Note: The mean peak IL-6 was 86 pg/mL if the study of Li et al., is excluded from the results.

Studies Comparing Inhalational Anesthetic Drugs. One study with minor severity of surgery (n = 40) reported no significant effect on the mean peak IL-6 when halothane plus nitrous oxide was compared with isoflurane plus nitrous oxide for maintenance of anesthesia after induction with propofol and fentanyl (30 pg/mL versus 31 pg/mL, P-value not given)[19].

Studies Comparing Total Intravenous Anesthesia (TIVA) to Inhalational Anesthesia. Six studies [12,20–24] with 272 patients compared the use of TIVA to inhalational anesthesia and measured IL-6 at 12 to 24 hours after surgery (Fig 2, A). On meta-analysis using a random effects model, TIVA was associated with a non-significant difference in IL-6 concentration (mean difference = −1.35, 95% CI−7.02, 9.72, P = .75). There was a wide variation in heterogeneity between studies (I² = 94%, P < .00001).

Three studies [24–26] with 172 patients compared the use of TIVA to inhalational anesthesia and measured CRP at 24 to 48 hours after surgery (Fig 3, A). On meta-analysis using a random effects model, TIVA was associated with a significant difference in CRP concentration (mean difference = −43.24, 95% CI−84.72, −1.76, P = .04). There was a wide variation in heterogeneity between studies (I² = 100%, P < .00001).

Of note, patients in the study by Nakanuno et al received postoperative sedation with either propofol or midazolam resulting in longer duration of drug administration than in other studies where anesthetic agents were only administered during surgery. If this study is removed from the meta-analysis, TIVA is associated with a non-significant difference in CRP concentration.

Studies Comparing Drugs Used in TIVA. One study in emergency orthopedic surgery (n = 60), reported a significant reduction of the mean peak IL-6 in patients given TIVA using etomidate versus TIVA with propofol (3240 pg/mL versus 9000 pg/mL, P = .001) [27]. It should be noted that etomidate inhibits the conversion of 11-deoxycortisol to cortisol resulting in transient HPA axis suppression. Another study in patients undergoing esophagectomy (n = 30), reported a significant reduction of the mean peak IL-6 in patients given TIVA using dexmedetomidine compared to TIVA with propofol (180 pg/mL versus 310 pg/mL, P < .05) [28]. In a further study (n = 23), a significant reduction of the mean peak IL-6 was observed when dexmedetomidine was added to propofol TIVA compared with propofol TIVA alone in mini-cardiopulmonary bypass surgery (130

Fig 1. Flow diagram chart illustrated the process of article selection. Some studies measured both IL-6 and CRP and showing the postoperative complications and 8 studies showing only the postoperative complications.
Table 1
The relationship between the general anesthesia and postoperative systemic inflammatory response in patients undergoing different types of surgery in the context of a randomized controlled trial

| Author(s) | Country | Type of surgery | Severity of surgery | Patients (n) | Anaesthetics used | Inflammatory response marker | Post-operative sampling point | Findings | Comments | Quality of study |
|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------|
| [19]      | Egypt   | Minor elective surgery. | Minor 40 | Halothane group. Isoflurane group. | IL-6* | 24 h | Halothane group, IL-6 = 30 pg/mL. Isoflurane group, IL-6 = 31 pg/mL. | No significant difference between groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [20]      | Germany | Minimal invasive partial disectomy. | Moderate 48 | TIVA§ with propofol and sufentanil compared with BAL§ with sevoflurane. | IL-6 | 24 h | TIVA, IL-6 = 15 pg/mL, P < .05 BAL, IL-6 = 35 pg/mL, P < .05 | Significant reduction in IL-6 in TIVA group versus BAL group. | Low range of quality score. |
| [12]      | China   | Open cholecystectomy. | Moderate 40 | TIVA with propofol and remifentanil compared with BAL§ with isoflurane. | IL-6 | 12 h | TIVA group, IL-6 = 13.7 ± 4.5 pg/mL, P < .001 IA group, IL-6 = 15.5 ± 5.2 pg/mL, P < .001 | Significant reduction in IL-6 in TIVA versus IA group. | Low range of quality score. |
| [21]      | Sweden  | Colorectal cancer. | Moderate 50 | TIVA with propofol and remifentanil compared with inhalational anaesthesia with sevoflurane and fentanyl. | IL-6 | 24 h | TIVA, 24 h, IL-6 = 505 (129.4-1370) pg/mL. Inhalational, 24 h, IL-6 = 370 (198-810) pg/mL. | No significant difference between groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [22]      | Brazil  | Otorhinological surgery. | Minor 34 | TIVA with propofol compared with inhaled anaesthesia with isoflurane. | IL-6 | 24 h | Propofol, 24 h, IL-6 = 22 pg/mL. Isoflurane, 24 h, IL-6 = 20 pg/mL. | No significant difference between groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [25]      | Korea   | Cardiopulmonary bypass surgery. | Major 112 | Group P = propofol with sufentanil. Group S = sevoflurane with sufentanil. | CRP† | 24 h | Group P, 24 h, CRP = 80 (13.108, 1.483-24.733) mg/L, P = .05 Group S, 24 h, CRP = 120 (13.108, 1.483-24.733) mg/L, P = .05 | Significant reduction in CRP in group P versus group S. | Low range of quality score. |
| [23]      | Romania | Colorectal cancer. | Moderate 60 | TIVA with propofol compared with inhalational anaesthesia with isoflurane. | IL-6 | 24 h | TIVA + propofol, 24 h, IL-6 = 88 (5.8-349) pg/mL, P = .6 Inhalational, 24 h, IL-6 = | No significant difference between groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| Reference | Country | Procedure | Quality | Groups | IL-6/CRP | Time | Significant Reduction | Quality Score |
|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|------|-----------------------|---------------|
| [24] | UK | Cardiopulmonary bypass surgery | Major 40 | Group P = Propofol and fentanyl group. Group I = Isoflurane and fentanyl group. | IL-6 24 h | 101(23-428) pg/mL, \(P = .6\) Group P, 24 h, IL-6 = 25.8 (4.4) pg/mL, \(P < .001\) Group I, 24 h, IL-6 = 34.5 (6.1) pg/mL, \(P < .001\) | Significant reduction in IL-6 in group P versus group I. | High range of quality score. |
| [24] | Japan | Thoracoabdominal esophagectomy | Major 20 | Group P = Propofol anaesthesia followed by propofol sedation. Group S = Sevoflurane anaesthesia followed by midazolam sedation. | CRP 24 h | Group P, 24 h, CRP = 15.7 (4) mg/L, \(P < .001\) Group I, 24 h, CRP = 25.8 (3.2) mg/L, \(P < .001\) | Significant reduction in CRP in group P versus group I. | |
| [24] | China | Open esophagectomy | Major 30 | TIVA with propofol compared with dexmedetomine. | IL-6 24 h | TIVA + propofol, 24 h, IL-6 = 310 pg/mL, \(P < .001\) Dexmedetomine, 24 h, IL-6 = 180 pg/mL. | Significant reduction in IL-6 in dexmedetomine group versus TIVA + Propofol group. | Low range of quality score. |
| [24] | Brasil | Mini-cardiopulmonary bypass surgery | Major 23 | TIVA + DEX ‡ group = Propofol, sufentanil and DEX. TIVA group = propofol and sufentanil. | IL-6 24 h | TIVA + DEX group, 24 h, IL-6 = 130 pg/mL, \(P < .0001\) TIVA group, 24 h, IL-6 = 160 pg/mL, \(P < .0001\) | Significant reduction in IL-6 in TIVA + DEX group versus TIVA group. | High range of quality score. |
| [24] | China | Tibial fracture surgery | Moderate 60 | Control group = patients received propofol with remifentanil. Etomidate group = patients received etomidate with remifentanil. | IL-6 24 h | Control, 24 h, IL-6 = 9000 ± 48 pg/mL, \(P = .001\) Etomidate, 24 h, IL-6 = 3240 ± 1.24 pg/mL, \(P = .001\) | Significant reduction in IL-6 in etomidate group versus control group. | Low range of quality score. |

* IL-6, Interleukin 6; CRP, C-reactive protein; DEX, dexmedetomine; TIVA, total intravenous anesthesia; BAL, balanced inhalational anesthesia.
vs 160 pg/mL, \( P < .0001 \) although the mean peak CRP was not different in both groups (150 vs 120 mg/L, \( P > .05 \)) [29].

The Effect of Regional Anesthesia/Analgesia on the Postoperative SIR. A total of 24 studies including 1034 patients compared the effects of different regional or neuraxial anesthetic or analgesic techniques on the postoperative SIR (Tables 2A and 2B).

Studies comparing regional anesthetic techniques in patients also receiving general anesthesia. One study in colonic resection compared thoracic epidural to intravenous lidocaine and a placebo control group in patients undergoing GA with desflurane maintenance for colonic surgery, finding a significant difference in IL-6 concentration 12 hours after surgery (\( P < .0001 \)) with the lowest in the epidural group (14 pg/mL), followed by the IV lidocaine group (20 pg/mL) and the highest in the placebo control group (29 pg/mL) [30].

Only one study, in patients undergoing laparoscopic colorectal resection, \( (n = 120) \) compared the combination of GA plus spinal anesthesia (bupivacaine and diamorphine) to GA plus postoperative patient controlled analgesia (PCA) with morphine and did not show any significant effect on the mean peak CRP (42 mg/L versus 58 mg/L, \( P \)-value not given) [31]. In addition, a single study in cardiac surgery \( (n = 30) \), compared GA with or without intercostal nerve block, reporting significantly lower peak IL-6 in the combined intercostal / GA group (2200 pg/mL versus 1300 pg/mL, \( P < .001 \)) [32].

One randomized study \( (n = 60) \) compared the effect of four different anesthetic techniques on the inflammatory response to cardiac surgery.
surgery with CPB. All patients received TIVA with Propofol plus either; alfentanil infusion; high dose remifentanil infusion; low dose remifentanil infusion; or low dose remifentanil infusion plus thoracic epidural. An increase in the mean peak IL-6 was seen in the group receiving low dose remifentanil infusion plus thoracic epidural \( (P = .006) \), although the mean peak difference of CRP was not statistically significant between the groups \[33\]. A further study in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy under GA \((n = 60)\), reported no significant difference in mean peak IL-6 when four different thoracic epidural analgesia regimens were compared; saline; fentanyl; fentanyl plus bupivacaine; or fentanyl plus levobupivacaine \((P\) value not given) \[34\].

Twelve studies \[35–46\] with 529 patients compared the use of epidural anesthesia in combination with GA to GA alone or with postoperative patient controlled parenteral opiates and measured IL-6 20 to 24 hours after surgery \(\text{Fig 2, B}\). On meta-analysis using a random effects model, epidural was associated with a non-significant difference in IL-6 concentration \(\text{mean difference} = 4.16, 95\% \text{CI} -4.83-13.15, P = .36\). There was a wide variation in heterogeneity between studies \(I^2 = 94\%\), \(P < .00001\).

Seven studies \(281\) patients \[35,36,39,47–50\] compared the use of epidural anesthesia in combination with GA to GA alone or with postoperative patient controlled parenteral opiates and measured CRP 24 to 72 hours after surgery \(\text{Fig 3, B}\). On meta-analysis using a random effects model, epidural was associated with a non-significant difference in CRP concentration \(\text{mean difference} = -0.74, 95\% \text{CI} -2.05-0.57, P = .30\). There was a wide variation in heterogeneity between studies \(I^2 = 91\%\), \(P < .00001\).

---

**Fig 3.** Forest graph of studies that compared the use of different anesthetics on the plasma level of CRP following surgery of varying severity. **A.** Total intravenous anesthesia compared with inhalational anesthesia. **B.** Epidural anesthesia in combination with general anesthesia to either general anesthesia alone or with postoperative patient controlled parenteral opiates. **C.** Ketamine compared with placebo or opiates.
Table 2.A
The relationship between combined general and regional or neuraxial anesthesia/analgesia and general anesthesia alone (including postoperative intravenous opiate analgesia) on the postoperative systemic inflammatory response in patients undergoing different types of surgery in the context of a randomized controlled trial

| Author(s) | Country | Type of surgery | Severity of surgery | Patients (n) | Anesthetics used | Inflammatory response marker | Post-operative sampling point | Findings | Comments | Quality of study |
|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|---------------|----------------|
| [35]      | Denmark| Coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. | Major | 16 | Group I = TEA\(^{3}\) combined with inhalational anesthesia. Group II = high dose fentanyl group. | IL-6\(^{*}\) CRP\(^{†}\) | 24 h 48 h | Group I, IL-6 = 200 pg/mL. Group II, IL-6 = 230 pg/mL. Group I, CRP = 132 mg/L (± 17.4) (± 13) Group II = CRP = 150 mg/L. | No significant difference between groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [36]      | Japan  | Esophageal cancer. | Major | 30 | Group E = GA\(^{3}\) with continuous epidural infusion for postoperative analgesia compared with group G = intraoperative GA and postoperative IV morphine infusion. | IL-6 CRP | 24 h 48 h | Group E, 24 h, IL-6 = 310 pg/mL. Group G, 24 h, IL-6 = 330 pg/mL. Group E, 24 h, CRP = 90 mg/L. 72 h, CRP = 100 mg/L. Group G, 24 h, CRP = 70 mg/L. 72 h, CRP = 100 mg/L. | No significant difference between groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [30]      | Taiwan | Colon cancer.    | Moderate | 60 | Thoracic epidural analgesia with lidocaine compared with IV infusion with lidocaine and control group. | IL-6 | 12 h | Control, 12 h, IL-6 = 29 pg/mL, P < .0001 TEA, 12 h, IL-6 = 14 pg/mL, P < .0001 IV group, 12 h, IL-6 = 20 pg/mL, P < .0001 | Significant reduction in IL-6 in TEA group versus other groups and IV group was better than the control group. | Low range of quality score. |
| [33]      | Netherlands | Coronary artery bypass surgery. | Major | 60 | AG = alfentanil group HDRG = high-dose remifentanil group. LDRG = low-dose remifentanil group. TEA = thoracic epidural analgesia in combination with propofol-TCI technique. | IL-6 CRP | 18 h 24 and 48 h 72 h | AG, IL-6 = 0.18 pg/mL, P = .006 HDRG, IL-6 = 0.14 pg/mL, P = .006 LDRG, IL-6 = 0.15 pg/mL, P = .006 TEA, IL-6 = 0.46 pg/mL, P = .006 | Significant increase in IL-6 in TEA group versus other groups. No significant difference between groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [47]      | Spain  | Coronary artery bypass graft surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass. | Major | 22 | GA = GA with postop IV morphine infusion TEA with bupivacaine combined with GA. | CRP | 24 and 36 h | GA, 24 h, CRP = 200 mg/L, P = .047 36 h, CRP = 250 mg/L. TEA, 24 h, CRP = 160 mg/L, P = .047 | Significant reduction in CRP in TEA group versus GA group. | High range of quality score. |
| [48]      | Greece | Abdominal colectomy. | Major | 40 | Group G = GA with postop PCA\(^{4}\) Group C = GA combined with epidural analgesia. | CRP | 24 h | Group G, 24 h, CRP = 120.40 mg/L (125.53 ± 35.03) Group C, 24 h, CRP = 139 mg/L (133.87 ± 34.65), P = .045 | Significant increase in CRP in group C versus group G. No significant difference between groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [37]      | Italy  | Colon cancer.    | Moderate | 35 | IEA = GA with intraoperative epidural analgesia compared with IA = GA with IV analgesia. Group I = GA alone. Group II = EA|| combined with GA. | IL-6 | 24 h | Group I, 24 h, IL-6 = 80 pg/mL, P < .05 Group II, 24 h, IL-6 = 50 pg/mL, P < .05 | Significant reduction in IL-6 in group II versus group I. | Low range of quality score. |
| [38]      | Turkey | Renal transplantation surgery. | Major | 46 | | | | | | |

\(^{3}\)TEA = thoracic epidural analgesia

\(^{4}\)PCA = patient-controlled analgesia
[39] Lithuania Laparoscopic colorectal surgery. Moderate 53 GA compared with combined GA with EA. IL-6 24 h GA, 24 h, IL-6 = 52.2 (197.56) pg/mL, EA, 24 h, IL-6 = 61.78 (215.31) pg/mL. CRP 24 and 48 h GA, 24 h, CRP = 128.6 (0) mg/L, 48 h, 62.07 (66.43) mg/L, EA, 24 h, CRP = 64 (36.47) mg/L, 48 h, 42.62 (26.98) mg/L. No significant difference between groups. Low range of quality score.

[40] Philadelphia Major spinal surgery. Major 85 Group E = EA and endotracheal anesthesia with sevoflurane during surgery and continuous epidural analgesia with ropivacaine, fentanyl and epinephrine after surgery. Group G = GA with sevoflurane and fentanyl and systemically administered opioids after surgery. IL-6 24 h Group E, 24 h, IL-6 = 9 pg/mL Group G, 24 h, IL-6 = 12 pg/mL. No significant difference between groups. Low range of quality score.

[41] Sweden Radical retro-pubic prostatectomy. Moderate 26 Group E = PCEA** received epidural analgesia using LA during operation and a combination of LA and opioids after operation. Group P = PCIA# has IV opioid-based analgesia. IL-6 24 h Group E, 24 h, IL-6 = 35.7 pg/mL, P = .953 Group P, 24 h, IL-6 = 29.1 pg/mL, P = .953. No significant difference between groups. Low range of quality score.

[42] Egypt Ivor Lewis esophagectomy Major 30 Group I = GA and postoperative PCA** morphine Group II = Thoracic epidural analgesia combined with GA. IL-6 20 h Group I, 20 h, IL-6 = 80.6 ± 13.7, P = .033 Group II, 20 h, IL-6 = 55.2 ± 24.6, P = .033. Significant reduction in IL-6 in group II versus group I. Low range of quality score.

[43] China Colon cancer. Moderate 40 Group I = Thoracic epidural analgesia GA with PCA IV sufentanil Group II = GA PEA = Thoracic propofol epidural anesthesia. IL-6 24 h Group I, 24 h, IL-6 = 26.75 (6.84) pg/mL, P = .007 Group II, 24 h, IL-6 = 33.60 (8.32) pg/mL, P = .007. Significant reduction in IL-6 in TPEA versus GA group. Low range of quality score.

[44] China Esophageal carcinoma undergoing thoracic surgery. Major 57 Group I = GA + PCIA Group II = GA + PCEA Group III = GA + TEA + PCIA Group IV = GA + TEA + PCEA. IL-6 24 h Group I, 24 h, IL-6 = 140 ± 56.3 pg/mL, P = .46 Group II, 24 h, IL-6 = 128.7 ± 29.7 pg/mL, P = .46 Group III, 24 h, IL -6 = 130 ± 20.8 pg/mL, P = .46 Group IV, 24 h, IL -6 = 117.3 ± 25.3 pg/mL, P = .46 No significant difference between groups. Low range of quality score.

[45] Egypt Major abdominal surgery. Major 80 Group I = combined TIVA with IL-6 24 h CRP 24 h GA, 24 h, CRP = 49.68 ± 19.69 mg/L, EGA, 24 h, CRP = 48.15 ± 11.73 mg/L. No significant difference between groups. Significant reduction in Low range of quality score.

(continued on next page)
| Author(s) | Country | Type of surgery | Severity of surgery | Patients (n) | Anesthetics used | Inflammatory response marker | Post-operative sampling point | Findings | Comments | Quality of study |
|-----------|---------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|
| [34] | Turkey | Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. | Minor | 60 | TEA Group II = GA with TIVA†† | IL-6 | 24 h | Group II, IL-6, 24 h = 66.93 ± 20.06 pg/mL, P = .033 | IL-6 in group I versus group II. | Low range of quality score. |
| [32] | China | Minimally invasive mitral valve surgery. | Major | 30 | Group A = patients received intercostal nerve block combined with GA. Group B = patients received GA alone. | IL-6 | 24 h | Group A, 24 h, IL-6 = 1300 pg/mL, P < .001 Group B, 24 h, IL-6 = 2200 pg/mL, P < .001 | Significant reduction in IL-6 in group A versus group B. | Low range of quality score. |
| [46] | Egypt | Coronary artery bypass graft surgery. | Major | 88 | GA = GA alone. TEA + GA = thoracic epidural analgesia combined with GA. | IL-6 | 24 h | GA, 24 h, IL-6 = 41.38 pg/mL TEA + GA, 24 h, IL-6 = 31.7 pg/mL | Significant reduction in IL-6 in TEA combined with GA group versus GA group. | High range of quality score. |

* IL-6, Interleukin 6; † CRP, C-reactive protein; ‡ TEA, thoracic epidural anesthesia; †† GA, general anesthesia; § EA, epidural anesthesia; ¶ LA, local anesthesia; # PCIA/PCA, patient-controlled intravenous analgesia; ††† PCEA, patient-controlled epidural analgesia; ‡‡‡ TIVA, total intravenous anesthesia.

Table 2.B
The relationship between regional anesthesia and postoperative systemic inflammatory response in patients undergoing different types of surgery in the context of a randomized controlled trial

| Author(s) | Country | Type of surgery | Severity of surgery | Patients (n) | Anesthetics used | Inflammatory response marker | Post-operative sampling point | Findings | Comments | Quality of study |
|-----------|---------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|
| [51] | Turkey | Anorectal Surgery. | Minor | 58 | ITGA = intratracheal GA‡ compared with regional (saddle block) anesthesia. Group A = Spinal anesthesia followed by IV morphine analgesia. Group B = EA§ followed by epidural analgesia. | CRP † | 24 h | ITGA, CRP = 15.08 ± 14.36 mg/L, P = .531 Regional, CRP = 18.06 ± 21.01 mg/L, P = .531 | No significant difference between groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [53] | Greece | Total knee arthroplasty. | Moderate | 56 | || | IL-6 † | 24 h | Group A, 24 h, IL-6 = 0.67 pg/mL Group B, 24 h, IL-6 = 0.73 pg/mL | No significant difference between groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [52] | Turkey | Major lower extremity surgery. | Major | 60 | Group E = EA group. Group G = standard GA group. | CRP | 24 h | Group E, 24 h, CRP = 62.1 ± 31.2 mg/L, P = .917 Group G, 24 h, CRP = 64.1 ± 38.4 mg/L, P = .917 | No significant difference between groups. | Low range of quality score. |

* IL-6, Interleukin 6; † CRP, C-reactive protein; ‡ GA, general anesthesia; § EA, epidural anesthesia.
effects model, epidural was associated with a non-significant difference in CRP concentration (mean difference = −14.62, 95% CI −37.60–8.35, \( P = .21 \)). There was a wide variation in heterogeneity between studies (\( I^2 = 95\%, \ P < .00001 \)).

**Studies Comparing General Anesthesia with Central Neuraxial Anesthesia.** One study in patients undergoing hemorrhoidectomy (\( n = 58 \), showed no significant difference of the mean peak CRP when “saddle block” spinal anesthesia without GA was compared to GA (18 mg/L versus 15 mg/L, \( P = .531 \)) [51]. In another study of patients undergoing major lower limb surgery (\( n = 60 \), there were no significant difference of the mean peak CRP in patients given epidural anesthesia without GA versus GA (62.1 mg/L versus 64.1 mg/L, \( P = .917 \)) [52].

**Studies Comparing Central Neuraxial Anesthetic Techniques Without GA.** A single study in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty (\( n = 56 \) reported no significant difference of the mean peak IL-6 (0.67 pg/mL versus 0.73 pg/mL, \( P = .626 \)) and CRP at 24 hours (5.5 mg/L versus 6.2 mg/L, \( P = .443 \)) when spinal anesthesia was compared to epidural anesthesia [53].

**The Effect of Miscellaneous And Adjuvant Drugs With General and Regional Anesthesia on the Postoperative SIR.** The addition of some adjuvant drugs with general and regional anesthesia may play a role in mitigating the inflammatory mediators. Sixteen studies were included with the results shown in Table 3.

**Studies of Cyclo-Oxygenase Inhibitors Administered Perioperatively.** Two studies reported the impact of cyclo-oxygenase (Cox) 2 inhibitors. In the first study (\( n = 120 \), a single dose of IV Parecoxib 40 mg was administered in patients who had undergone percutaneous nephrolithotomy on the day of surgery followed by 40 mg every 12 hours for 48 hours demonstrating significant reduction of the mean peak IL-6 (17 pg/mL versus 26 pg/mL, \( P < .05 \)) and CRP (19.7 mg/L versus 28.6 mg/L, \( P < .05 \)) [54]. In the second study, 37 patients undergoing total knee replacement were randomized to receive pre-operative oral Rofecoxib or placebo one hour before surgery. Both groups received GA plus epidural during surgery with patient controlled epidural anaesthesia postoperatively. Mean peak IL-6 was reduced significantly in the Rofecoxib group (38 pg/mL versus 63 pg/mL, \( P < .05 \)) [55].

**Studies Comparing Opioid Regimens as Part of GA.** Two studies reported the impact of different opioids during and after anesthesia on the postoperative systemic inflammatory response. In the first study (\( n = 113 \), there was a significant reduction in the mean peak IL-6 in those treated with oxycodone versus sulfentanil in patients undergoing resection of rectal carcinoma under TIVA (43 pg/mL versus 55 pg/mL, \( P < .05 \)) [56]. In the second study (\( n = 92 \), IV nalbuphine was associated with a significantly lower mean peak IL-6 when administered prior to induction of anesthesia in patients underwent to thoracoscopic lobectomy (126.49 pg/mL versus 153.36 pg/mL, \( P = .001 \)) [57].

**Studies of Ketamine Administered as an Analgesic Adjunct.** Three studies [58–60] with 120 patients compared the use of ketamine to either placebo or opiates during GA and measured IL-6 at 24 hours after surgery (Fig 2, C). On meta-analysis using a fixed effects model, ketamine was associated with a non-significant difference in IL-6 concentration (mean difference = −2.25, 95% CI −81.69–77.18, \( P = .96 \)). There was minimal heterogeneity between studies (\( I^2 = 3\%, \ P = .36 \)).

Two studies [59,61] with 178 patients compared the use of ketamine to either placebo or opiates during GA and measured CRP at 24 hours after surgery (Fig 3, C). On meta-analysis using a fixed effects model, ketamine was associated with a significant difference in CRP concentration (mean difference = 0.74, 95% CI 0.65–0.83, \( P < .001 \)). There was minimal heterogeneity between studies (\( I^2 = 0\%, \ P = .94 \)).

**Other/Miscellaneous.** Six studies investigated the impact of other / miscellaneous adjuvant drugs on the postoperative SIR during GA. In a study of 40 patients randomized to receive IV pentoxyphylline infusion or placebo before GA for colorectal surgery, mean peak IL-6 levels were reduced when compared with control (20 pg/mL versus 35.5 pg/mL, \( P < .0001 \)) [62]. In patients undergoing laparoscopic gastrectomy (\( n = 39 \), those who received a clinical dose of the beta blocker esmolol had a lower mean peak CRP versus placebo (24 mg/L versus 59 mg/L, \( P = .043 \)) [63].

In a study comparing prostaglandin E1 (PGE1) to placebo (\( n = 14 \), there was a significant reduction of the mean peak IL-6 when a small dose of PGE1 was added during anesthesia (33 pg/mL versus 67 pg/mL, \( P < .05 \)) [64]. In a study of cardiac surgery on cardiopulmonary bypass (\( n = 24 \), there was no significant difference in the mean peak IL-6 (52 pg/mL versus 45.72 pg/mL, \( P < .01 \)) and CRP (93.9 mg/L versus 105.1 mg/L, \( P < .01 \)) between patients who received amiodarone versus control [65]. In another cardiac surgery study (\( n = 81 \), there was no significant difference in the mean peak IL-6 (100 pg/mL versus 106 pg/mL, \( P = .17 \)) and CRP (111.5 mg/L versus 118 mg/L, \( P = .11 \)) between patients who received IV selenium before induction of anesthesia and placebo [66]. Finally, a further study of patients requiring cardiopulmonary bypass (\( n = 37 \)) which compared a short infusion of dexamethasone to placebo for 10 minutes after aortic cross clamp during CPB in addition to TIVA with propofol reported a significant association with lower peak IL-6 concentrations in the treatment arm (20 pg/mL versus 56 pg/mL, \( P = .0028 \)). Of note, both groups received 1 g methylprednisolone during surgery [67].

**Epidural Adjuncts.** Two studies reported the impact of adjuvant drugs used in epidural infusions on the postoperative SIR. The first study compared epidural using ropivacaine and morphine with the addition of clonidine during GA to epidural ropivacaine and morphine without clonidine in patients undergoing colorectal surgery, reporting a significantly reduced mean peak IL-6 in the treatment group (\( n = 40 \), 11.5 pg/mL versus 17 pg/mL) [68]. In a further study in patients undergoing open gynaecological surgery (\( n = 40 \), there was no significant difference in mean peak IL-6 when different doses of epidural neostigmine were administered before induction of GA [69].

The effect of regional and general anesthetic techniques on postoperative complications. Fourteen studies including 1755 patients reported the impact of general and regional anesthetic techniques on postoperative complications across a variety of surgical specialties and severities (Table 4).

**Infective Complications.** Eight studies [37,42,49,70–74] with 1446 patients compared the use of epidural anesthesia in combination with GA to GA alone and reported rates of infective complications after surgery (Fig 4, A). On meta-analysis using a random effects model, epidural was associated with a non-significant difference in infective complications (OR = 0.98, 95% CI 0.49–1.95, \( P = .94 \)). There was a wide variation in heterogeneity between studies (\( I^2 = 69\%, \ P = .002 \)).

Four studies [26,75–77] with 166 patients compared the use of anesthetic maintenance with TIVA to inhalational agents and reported rates of infective complications after surgery (Fig 4, B). On meta-analysis using a random effects model, TIVA was associated with a non-significant difference in infective complications (OR = 0.47, 95% CI 0.14–1.56, \( P = .21 \)). There was minimal heterogeneity between studies (\( I^2 = 0\%, \ P = .82 \)).

**Lower Respiratory Tract Infection.** Six studies [37,42,70–73] with 166 patients compared the use of epidural anesthesia in combination with
| Author(s) | Country | Type of surgery | Severity of surgery | Patients (n) | Anesthetics used | Inflammatory response marker | Post-operative sampling point | Findings | Comments | Quality of study |
|----------|---------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------|
| [58]     | Israel  | Coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. | Major | 31         | Control group = large dose of fentanyl. Ketamine group = small dose of ketamine added to GA. Ketamine, IL-6 = 100 pg/mL, \( P < .05 \). | IL-6 \( \ast \) | 24 h | Control, IL-6 = 170 pg/mL, \( P < .05 \). Significant reduction in IL-6 in ketamine group versus control group. | High range of quality score. |
| [68]     | China   | Colorectal cancer. | Moderate | 40         | Control group received only PCEA with morphine and ropivacaine. Clonidine group received preoperative epidural clonidine and postoperative PCEA with clonidine + morphine + ropivacaine. Clonidine, IL-6 = 16 pg/mL, \( P < .0001 \). | IL-6 | 12–24 h | Control, 12 h, IL-6 = 25 pg/mL, \( P < .0001 \). 24 h, IL-6 = 9 pg/mL, \( P < .0001 \). Clonidine, 12 h, IL-6 = 16 pg/mL, \( P < .0001 \). 24 h, IL-6 = 7 pg/mL, \( P < .0001 \). | Low range of quality score. |
| [64]     | Japan   | Esophageal cancer surgery. | Major | 14         | Control group = did not receive PGE1. PGE1 group = received IV PGE1 during anesthesia. PGE1, IL-6 = 32.8 (17.9–86.9) pg/mL, \( P < .05 \). | IL-6 | 24 h | Control, IL-6 = 66.7 (35.5–159.3) pg/mL, \( P < .05 \). PGE1, IL-6 = 32.8 (17.9–86.9) pg/mL, \( P < .05 \). | Significant reduction in IL-6 in PGE1 group versus control. High range of quality score. |
| [69]     | Japan   | Lower open abdominal surgery. | Major | 40         | Control group = did not receive PGE1. PGE1 group = received IV PGE1 during anesthesia. Different doses of pre-incisional epidural neostigmine with mepivacaine before the induction of GA. | IL-6 | 24 h | Control, 12 h, IL-6 = 50 pg/mL, \( P < .0001 \). 24 h, IL-6 = 21 pg/mL, \( P < .0001 \). PTX, 12 h, IL-6 = 38 pg/mL, \( P < .05 \). | No significant difference between groups. Low range of quality score. |
| [62]     | China   | Colorectal cancer. | Moderate | 40         | Control group = did not receive PGE1. PGE1 group = received IV PGE1 during anesthesia. Different doses of pre-incisional epidural neostigmine with mepivacaine before the induction of GA. | IL-6 | 24 h | Control, 12 h, IL-6 = 50 pg/mL, \( P < .0001 \). 24 h, IL-6 = 21 pg/mL, \( P < .0001 \). PTX, 12 h, IL-6 = 38 pg/mL, \( P < .05 \). | Significant reduction in IL-6 in pentoxifylline group versus control. Low range of quality score. |
| [55]     | China   | Total knee joint replacement surgery. | Moderate | 37         | Control group = placebo was given 1 hour before surgery. All patients received epidural combined with isoflurane anesthesia during operation and PCEA postoperatively. Study group = oral rofecoxib 1 hour before surgery. | IL-6 | 12 h | Control, 12 h, IL-6 = 63 pg/mL, \( P < .05 \). Rofecoxib, 12 h, IL-6 = 38 pg/mL, \( P < .05 \). | Significant reduction in IL-6 in rofecoxib group versus control group. Low range of quality score. |
| [59]     | Korea   | Off-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery. | Major | 50         | Control group = saline during induction of anesthesia with sevoflurane. Ketamine group = 0.5 mg kg-1 of ketamine during induction of anesthesia. Ketamine, IL-6 = 190 pg/mL, \( P < .05 \). Control, 24 h, CRP = 70 mg/L. 48 h, CRP = 150 mg/L. Ketamine, 24 h, CRP = 73 mg/L. 48 h, CRP = 160 mg/L. | IL-6 \( \ast \) CRP \( \ast \) | 24–48 h | Control, IL-6 = 130 pg/mL. Ketamine, IL-6 = 190 pg/mL. Control, 24 h, CRP = 70 mg/L. 48 h, CRP = 150 mg/L. Ketamine, 24 h, CRP = 73 mg/L. 48 h, CRP = 160 mg/L. | No significant difference between groups. High range of quality score. |
| [65]     | Turkey  | Cardiopulmonary bypass surgery. | Major | 24         | Control group = saline during induction of anesthesia with sevoflurane. Ketamine group = 0.5 mg kg-1 of ketamine during induction of anesthesia. Ketamine, IL-6 = 190 pg/mL, \( P < .05 \). Control, 24 h, CRP = 70 mg/L. 48 h, CRP = 150 mg/L. Ketamine, 24 h, CRP = 73 mg/L. 48 h, CRP = 160 mg/L. | IL-6 | 24 h | Control, 24 h, IL-6 = 45.72 ± 17.35 pg/mL. | No significant difference between groups. High range of quality score. |

Table 3: The relationship between the effects of adjuvant drugs with general anesthetics on the postoperative systemic inflammatory response in patients undergoing different types of surgery in the context of a randomized controlled trial.
| Ref. | Country     | Procedure                                      | Anesthesia Type                                                                 | IL-6 24 h | CRP 24 h | Statistical Comparison                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|------|-------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| [54] | China       | Percutaneous nephrolithotomy                    | Parecoxib and control group                                                    | IL-6       | CRP      | No significant difference in IL-6 in parecoxib versus control group.                                                                                                                                                     |
|       |             |                                                 |                                                                              | 24 h       | 24 h     | No significant difference in CRP in parecoxib versus control group.                                                                                                                                                     |
| [66] | Iran        | Coronary artery bypass graft surgery            | Selenium group = IV bolus of 600 μg Se before induction of anesthesia.          | IL-6       | CRP      | No significant difference between groups.                                                                                                                                                                               |
|       |             | with cardiopulmonary bypass surgery.            | Placebo group = normal saline.                                                 | 24 h       | 24 and 48 h | No significant difference between groups.                                                                                                                                                                               |
|       |             |                                                 |                                                                              |            |          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| [67] | Japan       | Cardiopulmonary bypass surgery                  | Group D = Dexmedetomidine group. Group S = Saline group.                       | IL-6       | CRP      | Significant reduction in IL-6 in group D versus group S.                                                                                                                                                               |
|       |             |                                                 |                                                                              | 24 h       | 24,48 and 72 h | High range of quality score.                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| [61] | UK          | Coronary artery bypass surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass. | Ketamine based anesthetics compared with standard anesthesia with propofol and sufentanil. | CRP        | 24 h     | No significant difference between groups.                                                                                                                                                                               |
|       |             |                                                 |                                                                              |            |          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| [56] | China       | Percutaneous nephrolithotomy                    | Parecoxib group and control group.                                             | IL-6       | CRP      | Significant reduction in IL-6 in parecoxib versus control group.                                                                                                                                                     |
|       |             |                                                 |                                                                              | 24 h       | 24, 48, and 72 h | High range of quality score.                                                                                                                                                                                           |

(continued on next page)
Post-operative Findings

**Table 3 (continued)**

| Author | Country Type of surgery | Severity of surgery | Patients | Anesthetic used | Inflammatory response marker | Post-operative sampling point | Quality of study |
|--------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| [57]   | China Thoracoscopic lobectomy. | Major | 92 | Nalbuphine HCL | IL-6 | 24 h | Placebo, OR = 0.5, 95% CI 0.18–2.79, P = .63. | High range of quality score. |
| [56]   | China Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. | Minor | 113 | Ketamine | IL-6 | 24 h | Ketamine=24 h, IL-6 = 50 ± 265 | No significant difference between observation group versus control group. |
| [60]   | USA Abdominal or perineal surgery. | Major | 39 | Ketamine, diamorphine | IL-6 | 24 h | Ketamine=24 h, IL-6 = 50 ± 265 | No significant difference between patients. |

**DISCUSSION**

In the present systematic review and meta-analysis, there were 60 randomized controlled, clinical studies that examined the relationship between anesthesia and the objective markers of the postoperative SIR following surgical operations of varying severity. The majority of the studies involved in this review had a small study population (<50 patients per trial arm). The majority of studies measured IL-6 in the postoperative period; however there was considerable variability in the values reported. In contrast, fewer studies reported CRP values with less variability. Irrespective, the majority of studies did not report a significant difference in the magnitude of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response when different general and regional anesthetic techniques were compared. Only 14 randomized studies reported the influence of anesthesia on postoperative infective complications and the results from the present meta-analysis did not find any difference in postoperative complications between different anesthetic groups.

There is good evidence that both IL-6 and CRP reflect the magnitude of surgical injury [7]. For example, laparoscopic surgery, compared with open surgery, is associated with a smaller surgical...
injury and lower peak IL-6 and CRP. Furthermore, it has been established that there are certain threshold values of CRP that when measured are associated with the development of postoperative infective complications, particularly in colorectal surgery, but increasingly in other surgical specialties [4,9]. However, although not routinely measured in clinical laboratories, the majority of studies in the present review examined IL-6 in the postoperative period. It is likely that the peak IL-6 measurement, rather than CRP, was made as it could be sampled earlier in the postoperative period. Therefore, given the relationship between peak CRP and infective complications, it would be important that in future studies peak CRP is measured when anesthetic regimens are being tested, especially in the context of postoperative complications.

Experimental and clinical studies have long suggested that the choice of anesthetic agents may influence the immune system, in particular, that some anesthetic regimens may be associated with less immunosuppression. This is likely to be very important in cancer surgery [78]. With the enhanced recovery protocols now being used in cancer surgery there is an opportunity to move towards standardized anesthetic and perioperative care protocols that are known to reduce the magnitude of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response and therefore reduce the relative postoperative immunosuppression, with the aim of reducing postoperative morbidity, and disease recurrence in the context of cancer surgery.

From the results of the present systematic review and meta-analysis it would appear that total intravenous anesthesia, in particular the use of propofol, was associated with a consistent moderation of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response (CRP not IL-6) in moderate to major severity of surgery. Therefore, it may be that intravenous anesthetic regimens in moderate to major severity of surgery have the potential to reduce the postoperative systemic inflammatory response. Indeed, it is of interest that there is some experimental evidence that propofol, a GABA receptor agonist, is less immunosuppressive compared with inhalational anesthesia. For example, it has been reported that propofol preserves NK function, inhibits COX-2 and the production of PGE-2 and pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, TNF-α and IL-6 [10,77,79,81]. In contrast, inhalational anesthetics such as sevoflurane and isoflurane may increase the pro-inflammatory cytokines especially IL-6, inhibit neutrophil function and reduce lymphocyte proliferation [12,82].

The administration of dexmedetomedine, an alpha 2 receptor agonist, an adjunct to general anesthesia leads to a significant decrease in plasma concentration of IL-6 but without any significant effect on CRP level [83]. In addition to the anesthetic effect of dexmedetomedine, it also exhibits some clinical benefits among them the anti-inflammatory, sedative, analgesic and anxiolytic effects [84].

Ketamine, an NMDA receptor antagonist, is thought to have both anti-inflammatory and sedative effects with a suppressive effect of NK cell function and pain transmission [80,81]. It produces an analgesic effect in low or small sub-anesthetic dose. However, the results of meta-analysis reported that the use of ketamine at analgesic doses did not show any significant reduction in IL-6 concentration but it shows a significant reduction in CRP concentrations.

The efficacy of combining epidural with general anesthesia as compared with general anesthetic alone has been reported by multiple clinical studies to maintain postoperative immune function and provide better pain control during perioperative period [85]. Epidural anesthesia can be associated with negative effects such as hypotension resulting in excessive fluid administration and local complications such as insertion site / epidural infection. However, the results of the present meta-analysis suggest that the use of epidural with general versus general anesthesia alone has no significant impact on either postoperative IL-6 or CRP.

Some other drugs, used before or after induction of anesthesia as adjuvant therapy, appear to have a significant effect in reducing the mean peak of IL-6 and CRP. Among these are anti-inflammatory drugs including corticosteroids, NSAIDs, and selective COX-2 inhibitors, and other agents not typically known for their anti-inflammatory effects including nalbuphine, oxycodeone, epidural clonidine, pentoxifylline and esmolol. Further work is required to define the role, if any, of these agents in the perioperative period.

The main limitation of this review is the small number of sample size in each arm. In addition, the majority of the studies reported low quality of evidence along with high level of heterogeneity and this may affect the overall summary estimate of the meta-analysis. The severity of surgical injury was variable from mild to moderate to severe, and a variety of different surgical procedures and specialties were included, and this may have had an effect on the efficacy of the anesthetic agent examined. Patients at higher risk of postoperative complications and patients undergoing higher severity of surgery may be more likely to receive additional anesthetic techniques such as epidurals resulting in the potential for unmeasured confounding by indication.

In general, when carrying out such systematic review and meta-analysis the sample size is important since it determines the precision of the estimates and the power of the study to determine whether or not there is a real effect. Therefore, where there were small numbers of studies with few observations then the conclusions that can be made from such a systematic review and meta-analysis is limited.

Further studies are required controlling for the anesthetic agent(s) administered, the severity of surgery and the postoperative biomarker used.

In conclusion, this systematic review and meta-analysis reported the current randomized controlled trials evidence of the association between general anesthesia, regional anesthesia or both combined to moderate the magnitude of the postoperative SIR as well as infective complications. There was a suggestion that TIVA using propofol or ketamine at analgesic doses is associated with a reduction in the magnitude of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response as measured by CRP although not IL6. However, there were no other observed differences in anesthetic techniques which favored a reduction in the magnitude of the postoperative SIR and infective complications.

Further, adequately powered studies in patients undergoing moderate / major severity of surgery using postoperative CRP measurements are required to clarify the effect of perioperative anesthesia on the postoperative SIR and infective complications. Such work is of clinical importance due to the associations between postoperative systemic inflammation and postoperative morbidity.
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| Author(s) | Country | Type of surgery | Severity of surgery | Patients (n) | Type of complications | Anesthetics used | Findings | Comments | Quality of study |
|----------|---------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|
| [70]     | California | Intra-thoracic, intra-abdominal or major (non-cerebral) vascular surgery. | Major | 53 | * Pneumonia * Sepsis | Group I = EA and postoperative analgesia. Group II = GA and parenteral narcotic administration for postoperative pain relief. | Group I, 1 case of pneumonia and one case of sepsis. Group II, 9 cases of pneumonia and 4 cases of sepsis. | Significant reduction in postoperative complications in group I compared with group II. | Low range of quality score. |
| [71]     | France | Major abdominal surgery. | Major | 153 | * Pulmonary complication. | Group I = GA with IV fentanyl and postoperative analgesia with subcutaneous morphine. Group II = GA combined with epidural bupivacaine and epidural bupivacaine with morphine for postoperative pain relief. | Group I, 23 cases with pulmonary complication. Group II, 21 cases with pulmonary complication. | No significant difference between the groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [72]     | UK | Coronary artery bypass graft surgery. | Major | 408 | * Lower respiratory tract infection. | Group TEA = GA with perioperative TEA. Group GA = GA with postoperative opioid analgesia. | Group TEA, 31 cases of lower respiratory tract infection. Group GA, 59 cases of lower respiratory tract infection. | Significant reduction in lower respiratory tract infection in TEA group compared with GA group. | High range of quality score. |
| [37]     | Italy | Colon cancer | Moderate | 35 | * Anastomosis leakage (AL). * Pneumonia * Ileus | IEA = GA with intraoperative epidural analgesia compared with IA = GA with IV analgesia. | IEA group, one case of AL, 5 cases of pneumonia and 2 cases of ileus. IA, no cases of AL or ileus and 4 cases of pneumonia. | No significant difference between the groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [73]     | The Netherlands | Cardiac surgery. | Major | 654 | * Pneumonia | Group I = GA alone. Group II = combined GA and TEA. | Group I, 19 cases of Pneumonia. Group II, 30 cases of Pneumonia. | No significant difference between the groups. | High range of quality score. |
| [75]     | South Korea | Ivor Lewis operation for esophageal cancer. | Major | 48 | * Anastomosis leakage (AL). * Sepsis | Group S = sevoflurane. Group P = TIVA with propofol and remifentanil. | Group S, 1 case of AL and 2 cases of sepsis. Group P, 2 cases of AL with no cases of sepsis. | No significant difference between the groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [39]     | Lithuania | Laparoscopic colorectal surgery. | Moderate | 53 | * Anastomotic permeability. | GA compared with combined GA with EA. | GA group, anastomotic permeability is 14.8% GA + EA group, anastomotic permeability is 11.5% | No significant difference between the groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| [42]     | Egypt | Ivor Lewis esophagectomy | Major | 30 | * Anastomosis leakage (AL). | Group I = GA Group II = Thoracic epidural analgesia combined | GA group, 4 cases of AL, 6 cases of pneumonia and 2 cases of | No significant difference between the groups. | Low range of quality score. |
| Study       | Procedure                          | Quality   | Score  | GA, general anesthesia | EA, epidural anesthesia | TEA, thoracic epidural anesthesia | TIVA, total intravenous anesthesia | PCA, patient-controlled analgesia |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| [49] China  | Colon cancer                       | Moderate  | 53     | * Pneumonia              |                         | Septic shock, GA.                | No significant difference between the groups. | Low range of quality score. |
|             |                                    |           |        |                         |                         | GA + TEA, 1 case of AL, 2 cases of pneumonia and one case of septic shock. | GA group, 1 case of AL, 1 case of wound infection and with no case of UTI. | GA + EA, no case of AL, 1 case of wound infection and with no case of UTI. |
| [31] UK     | Laparoscopic colorectal surgery.    | Moderate  | 120    | * Anastomosis leakage (AL). |                         | PCA II compared with spinal analgesia. | PCA group, 11 cases of ileus, Spinal analgesia group, 2 cases of ileus. | Low range of quality score. |
| [26] Japan  | Thoraco-abdominal esophagectomy.    | Major     | 20     | * Anastomosis leakage (AL). | Group P = propofol anesthesia followed by propofol sedation. | Group S = sevoflurane anesthesia followed by midazolam sedation. | Significant reduction in ileus in spinal analgesia compared with PCA. | Low range of quality score. |
| [76] China  | Laparoscopic radical hysterectomy for cervical cancer. | Moderate  | 58     | *Wound infection.        | Group S = sevoflurane. | Group P = TIVA with propofol. | Group S, no cases have shown with wound infection but 3 cases with UTI. | Low range of quality score. |
| [77] Slovenia| Craniotomy                          | Major     | 40     | *Wound infection.        | Group P = propofol.    | Group S = Sevoflurane.          | Group P, no cases have shown with wound infection but 1 case with UTI. | No significant difference between the groups. |
| [74] India  | Abdominal laparotomy.              | Major     | 60     | *Anastomosis leakage (AL). | TEB group = patients received GA along with thoracic epidural block. | GA group = patients received GA alone. | Group TEB, 2 of AL Group GA, 1 of AL. | No significant difference between the groups. |

* GA, general anesthesia; † EA, epidural anesthesia; ‡ TEA, thoracic epidural anesthesia; § TIVA, total intravenous anesthesia; ‡ PCA, patient-controlled analgesia.
Fig 4. Comparison of anesthetic techniques reporting infective complications after surgery. A. Forest graph of studies that compared the use of epidural to general anesthesia and reported rates of infective complications after surgery. B. Forest graph of studies that compared the use of total intravenous anesthesia to inhalational anesthesia and reported rates of infective complications after surgery.

Fig 5. Forest graph of studies that compared the use of epidural anesthesia in combination with general anesthesia to general anesthesia and reported rates of lower respiratory tract infection after surgery.
A. Forest graph of studies that compared the use of epidural anesthesia in combination with general anesthesia to general anesthesia.

B. Forest graph of studies that compared the use of total intravenous anesthesia to inhalational anesthesia.
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