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Abstract

Background Opioid-related mortality is high and increasing in the Western world, and interventions aimed at reducing mortality represent an important area of study. In Skåne County, Sweden, a patient choice reform resulted in increased access to opioid substitution treatment (OST). In addition, a gradual shift towards less restrictive terms for exclusion from OST has been implemented. The aim of this study was to assess the impact of these policy changes on opioid-related mortality.

Methods Detailed data on all opioid-induced deaths in Skåne during the two years prior to and following the policy change were obtained from forensic records and from health care services. Data on overdose deaths for Skåne and the rest of Sweden were obtained using publicly available national register data. Time periods were used as the predictor for opioid-related mortalities in the forensic data. The national level data were used in a natural experiment design in which rates of overdose deaths were compared between Skåne and the rest of Sweden before and after the intervention.

Results There was a non-significant increase in the number of deaths in Skåne between the data collection periods (RR: 1.18 95% CI:0.89-1.57, p= 0.251). The proportion of deaths among patients enrolled in OST increased between the two periods (2.61, 1.12-6.10, p= 0.026). There was no change in deaths related to methadone or buprenorphine in relation to deaths due to the other opioids included in the study (0.92, 0.51-1.63, p= 0.764). An analysis of national mortality data showed an annual relative decrease in unintentional drug intoxications in Skåne compared to the rest of Sweden following the onset of the reform (0.90, 0.84-0.97, p= 0.004).

Conclusions Opioid-related mortality, as assessed using forensic data, has not changed significantly in Skåne following a change to lower-threshold OST. By contrast, national level data indicate that the policy change has been associated with decreased opioid mortality. The discrepancy between these results highlights the need for more research to elucidate this issue. The result that more patients die during ongoing OST following an increase in access to treatment underlines the need for further preventive interventions within the OST treatment setting.

Background

Introduction

Opioid-related mortality is high and increasing in the Western world and constitutes a significant public health challenge [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. As in many other Western European countries, opioid-related mortality has increased continuously in Sweden over the past two decades [7, 8]. It is well established in the research that mortality among opioid-dependent individuals decreases when opioid substitution treatment (OST) is initiated and that mortality is lower for those who stay in such treatment compared to those who discontinue it [9, 10, 11, 12]. Less is known about the impact of OST on opioid mortality in communities at large, for instance whether increased access to OST reduces such mortality at an aggregate level.
In Sweden, OST has for a long time been conducted with a distinctly rehabilitative ambition and with restrictions on the number of patients receiving treatment. In the case of repeated relapses, treatment has often been terminated through involuntary discharge [13], a practice supported by national regulations. Tendencies towards a more harm reduction-oriented OST have been noted during the 2010s, however, especially in some parts of the country [14, 15]. In parallel with this, both the number of care providers and the number of patients in treatment have increased [16, 17, 18].

In the county of Skåne (Scania) in the south of Sweden, there has been a particularly sharp increase in recent years in both the availability of OST and the level of retention in treatment. These changes can partly be attributed to the introduction of a patient choice reform for OST in Skåne in 2014, which led to a marked increase in the number of places in OST in the region, and partly to changes in practice and regulations within OST since the mid-2010s [16, 19].

**High-threshold and low-threshold OST settings**

OST can be conducted in many ways, with variations being found both between and within countries. One commonly used distinction is that between programs that diverge in their views on strictness in the treatment setting, known as *high-threshold* and *low-threshold* OST.

High-threshold programs are typically characterized by an idea of rehabilitation, with complete abstinence from illicit drugs (and in some settings alcohol) being required for participation in OST. Exclusion through involuntary discharge follows (repeated) relapses into use or abuse of such substances. Follow-ups of patient compliance in treatment are frequent in the form of supervised on-site drug administration and supervised urine tests. Access to the treatment is often provided through specialist care only. Admission criteria are inflexible and waiting lists are often long due to restrictions on the number of treatment places [20, 21].

Typical low-threshold programs are described as being more harm-reduction oriented, and in contrast to high-threshold programs they do not require abstinence from drug use as a condition of service use. Relapses are expected and strategies to cope with relapses are therefore outlined. Low-threshold programs aim to reduce barriers to service access, such as waiting lists, and advocate more flexible admission criteria, treatment that is free of charge to the patients, and access to OST through both specialist and primary care providers. Low-threshold OST settings are also characterized by less frequent supervision of drug administration and less focus on urine testing as a means of following up patients’ compliance with treatment [20].

**OST in Sweden and Skåne**

OST was introduced in Sweden in the late 1960s and has for a long time been implemented within a high-threshold paradigm [14, 15, 22]. Sweden’s restrictive stance on the regulation of OST was traditionally characterized by limitations on the number of patients in treatment, strict admission criteria, and an abstinence-based view on relapse during treatment. In addition to waiting lists to access treatment, a suspension period was applied following involuntary discharge, which prevented patients from seeking...
treatment again before the specified period had elapsed [17, 23]. Apart from limitations on the length of treatment and costs for medication, which are often represented in high-threshold OST but do not apply to Swedish programs, OST in Sweden has generally been conducted based on the features that commonly characterize high-threshold and abstinence-based programs.

However, a gradual change has taken place in Swedish OST over recent years towards low-threshold and more harm-reduction oriented OST programs. This change has been particularly evident in Skåne County in southern Sweden [19]. In 2014, the Skåne Regional Council implemented a patient choice reform for OST. The main aims of the reform were to increase access to treatment by permitting private clinics to provide OST, and to strengthen the patients’ influence on their treatment conditions by letting them choose between treatment providers [16]. In parallel with the implementation of the patient choice reform in Skåne, the national guidelines regulating OST were revised in 2016. These changes in the guidelines, towards a more tolerant approach to admission criteria and relapses during OST, were an adjustment to reflect the way OST practice had over time adopted a more lenient approach towards relapse and exclusion, which was particularly evident in Skåne. The suspension period following discharge from treatment was also finally abolished in this revision of the guidelines [24].

The patient choice reform led to a substantial increase in access to OST in Skåne, from 992 to 1453 patients in treatment between 2013 and 2016, an increase of 46%. The number of OST treatment clinics rose from eight to sixteen during the same period. The reform has also resulted in a somewhat larger geographical spread across the region, as the number of municipalities with OST clinics has increased. However, the three largest municipalities, which already had established OST clinics, accounted for 73% of the increase in the number of patients. The largest increase in patients in OST treatment occurred during the initial years of the patient choice reform. The possibility for patients to choose their treatment provider has also led to increased retention rates in OST. Dissatisfied patients can choose to change clinics rather than dropping out of treatment [16, 19].

**Opioid-related mortality and OST**

One ambition with the patient choice reform was that increased access to OST would reduce the number of opioid-related deaths in the region. Drug-related mortality in Sweden has increased sharply during the 2000s, and Swedish figures are high by comparison with other European countries [2, 7]. At least 80% of drug-related deaths are opioid related [8]. Since there are no current estimates of the number of people with problematic opioid use, either in Sweden or Skåne, it is not possible to establish the size of the target population.

Low-threshold OST, characterized by rapid and generous access to OST and lower levels of restrictions that may lead to discharge, may result in a higher proportion of opioid-dependent individuals initiating OST and not then being involuntarily excluded from the programs [20, 25]. High participation and retention in OST among the population of opioid-dependent individuals is desirable in order to reduce the risks associated with untreated opioid dependence [11, 20]. As was mentioned above, changes towards
more low-threshold treatment have been implemented at a later stage in Sweden than in many other western countries.

However, there are also objections to low-threshold programs. More liberal, harm reduction-based treatment contexts with a lower degree of control may pose a greater risk that patients in OST may divert their medication to drug users who are not in treatment [18, 26, 27, 28, 29]. A study on methadone-related deaths in Danish low-threshold OST settings found high prescribed doses of methadone and a high level of prescribed benzodiazepines among the deceased [30]. Such factors may counteract OST's purpose of preventing opioid-related deaths [11, 31]. Increased access to OST may be associated with both diversion and increased opioid mortality, as more individuals with problematic opioid use are given access to methadone and buprenorphine, but also with a reduced risk of overdose, if illegal demand decreases when the number of opioid-dependent individuals entering treatment increases [32, 33].

**Objectives**

In this study, we investigate opioid-related deaths in Skåne before and after the implementation of the patient choice reform for OST based on mortality data at the regional and national levels. The objective of the study is to examine how the implementation of the patient choice reform may be associated with different aspects of opioid-related mortality. More specifically, we:

1. *Examine the changes in total opioid-related mortality in Skåne, and whether the trend differs between areas with increased and unchanged access to OST.* As mortality is lower among opioid-dependent individuals who receive OST than among those who use illegal opioids, it is plausible that increased access to OST might lead to reduced mortality in Skåne overall. This change would be most noticeable in municipalities in which there has been a marked increase in the number of treatment places.

2. *Examine whether the proportion of deaths in Skåne related to OST medications has changed by comparison with deaths related to other opioids.* Increased access to OST and a more low-threshold, harm reduction-oriented treatment approach may lead to an increased supply of OST medications on the illegal market, partly because a higher number of patients receive treatment, and partly because patients with a high risk of diversion may remain in treatment. At the same time, increased access to OST may lead to reduced demand for illicit OST drugs, as more individuals with problematic opioid use enter treatment.

3. *Examine whether there has been a change in Skåne in the proportion of deceased individuals during ongoing OST.* A transition to more low-threshold oriented treatment would be expected to lead to individuals who had previously risked involuntary discharge instead remaining in treatment. Considering the high risk of intoxication among individuals with problematic use of opioids and other illicit drugs who remain in OST, this would mean that deaths during ongoing treatment may have become more common after the reform (while the number of deaths among involuntarily discharged patients may have decreased).
4. Examine the development of drug-related mortality in Skåne in relation to the rest of Sweden. Increased access to OST in Skåne might be expected to lead to lower opioid-related mortality in Skåne in relation to the other regions of the country, in which no corresponding increase in access has taken place. This analysis serves to control for the general tendency of increasing drug-related mortality that has been noted in Sweden during the last two decades.

Methods

Study design and setting
The present study is based on analyses of two different data sets. One of these consists of data on individuals who have died of opioid intoxication during a period of four years in Skåne, a county in southern Sweden with approximately 1.4 million inhabitants. The other contains data from the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare's (NBHW) national Cause of Death Register. These data sets are hereafter referred to as ‘forensic data’ and ‘national level data’, respectively. Both data sets are described in more detail below. We analyze the data based on the possible impact that the patient choice reform may have had on opioid-related mortality at the regional level.

The forensic data set
The forensic data employed in this study were collected in connection with a larger research project, which examined all deceased persons who had been residing in Skåne and who underwent a forensic autopsy during two observation periods of two years each, and in whom any opioid had been detected at toxicological analysis. The first period, 1 January 2012 to 31 December 2013, occurred prior to the introduction of the patient choice reform for OST, while the second period, 1 July 2014 to 30 June 2016, took place during the first two years of the reform's implementation.

The data set is based on information from health care and forensic records (including police reports). The data were collected manually via journal review. Data from the forensic and health records were linked at the individual level via the unique personal identification numbers employed in Sweden. The data set also contains information from municipal records and from the Prison and Probation Service, which is not used in this article. We refer to a previous publication from our research group for an extensive and detailed account of authorities and background information [34].

Swedish health care is tax-funded and regionally organized, with a single central organization in Skåne, regardless of whether the care is provided by private or public caregivers. As mentioned previously, OST is only available in Sweden through specialist care provision. Buprenorphine-naloxone is the recommended first-line choice of OST medication [35].

In Sweden, about 90,000 deaths occur annually. Of these, a forensic autopsy is carried out in approximately 6%, including more than 90% of deaths that are drug related [36, 37]. In Skåne, forensic autopsies are conducted at the National Board of Forensic Medicine in Lund on behalf of the police or prosecutor when the cause of death or circumstances surrounding the death are unclear [38, 39]. Forensic
medical records contain causes of death, demographic information on the deceased, and the presence and quantity of various substances detected in the toxicological analysis.

Out of a total of approximately 4,000 deaths in Skåne for which a forensic autopsy had been conducted during the two periods examined here, opioids were found in 503 deaths. Individuals over 64 years of age (116 cases) have been excluded, since previous Swedish studies have shown that opioid dependence and opioid overdoses are rare among people above the age of 65 [19, 40]. The majority of these deaths were caused by disease, and the presence of the substances of interest to this study were, in most cases, of no significance for the death.

The data set includes individuals for whom the underlying cause of death was considered to be intoxication with heroin, methadone, buprenorphine, fentanyl, oxycodone, or morphine, and where the individuals had a history of illicit drug use. The assessment of whether there was a history of illicit drug use was based on the presence of written information on illicit drug problems in police reports or forensic records, on whether the forensic journals mentioned the presence of injection marks, or if any illegal drug (heroin / 6-Monoacetylmorphine, amphetamine, cocaine or tetrahydrocannabinol (THC)) was found in the forensic toxicological analysis. The reason for assessing whether there was a background of illicit drug use was that we have sought to include individuals who might have met the inclusion criteria for OST, i.e. a diagnosis of opioid dependence. In this way, we have attempted to identify a possible target group for OST, in order to obtain an idea of the impact of OST at the community/county level.

One hundred and ninety-four individuals were further excluded due to the opioids of interest not being present, an absence of information on a history of illicit drug use, or where the cause of death was not considered to be intoxication with any of the opioids of interest. In the majority of these cases, the cause of death was considered to be disease, an accident, or intoxication with substances not examined in this study.

The national level data material
One analysis examines drug-related deaths in Skåne by comparison with the rest of Sweden during the years 2011–2017, although excluding the year 2014, during which the patient choice reform was being implemented. For this analysis we use data from the NBHW's Swedish Cause of Death Register. This register contains annual information on underlying causes of death for nearly all deceased individuals in Sweden, specified using the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD) classification [37].

The NBHW's Cause of Death Register includes the deaths found in the manually collected forensic data from Skåne. However, the overlap is probably not complete, since the forensic data were not based on diagnostic codes but on the presence of certain opioids, whose (contributory) significance for the death we have then assessed.
The underlying ICD cause of death codes included in the national level data are X42, X44, and Y10-14. Population estimates in Skåne and the rest of Sweden are based on census data from Statistics Sweden.

**Measurements and data analysis**
Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 24 for Windows and R version 3.5.3.

**The forensic data**

We divided the cases in the forensic data into two time periods in the form of a dichotomous variable, with the first period referring to the years prior to the patient choice reform (January 2012- December 2013) and the second to the period following the reform (July 2014-June 2016). The reason for using this division, rather than analyzing the trend year by year, is that we have assumed that changes related to the implementation of the intervention should be momentary in nature.

The principal outcome variable in the regression models is the number of opioid intoxication deaths before and after the intervention, adjusted for population size. However, we examine several aspects of these deaths in different analyses. The outcome measures examined are the total number of deaths, deaths during ongoing OST, which substance caused the intoxication (OST drugs versus other opioids), and having a residential address in municipalities with increased or unchanged access to OST following the patient choice reform. Municipalities in which at least one new OST clinic was started during the first year of the patient choice reform have been coded as having increased access to OST. This group includes five municipalities (Malmö, Helsingborg, Lund, Ystad and Landskrona). Of these, OST was already available in the first three prior to the reform. These are also the three largest municipalities in Skåne, comprising 44.8% of the total population in the county. Municipalities in which no new OST clinic was started during the first year of the patient choice reform have been coded as having unchanged access to OST. This group includes 28 municipalities in total. Two of these (Kristianstad and Trelleborg) had OST clinics that were already established prior to the introduction of the patient choice reform. In the remaining 26 municipalities, there was no OST located in the municipality neither before the implementation of the reform nor during the initial year of the patient choice reform. The majority of these 26 municipalities are small, each with a population below 20 000 inhabitants.

Bivariate Poisson regression models have been used to evaluate the relationship between the periods examined and opioid-related mortalities for all outcome measures, with the log of the estimated population as offset, yielding rate ratios (RRs) for analysis. Period one was specified as the reference category.

Monthly population estimates (for the 18–65 age range) were obtained from publicly available data from Statistics Sweden [41], and the population size for each period was calculated by averaging the estimates for the period in question.

Data on the number of patients in OST during the years examined are approximate, since there are no aggregate regional or national statistics on this. The size of this population was calculated based on
data obtained from reports and surveys distributed to treatment providers [19, 42, 43].

The national level data
The analysis of national level data used annual numbers of overdose deaths in Skåne County and in the rest of Sweden respectively, and corresponding population estimates. As described above, data for Skåne County for 2014 were excluded because that was the year in which the intervention started. This analysis employed a natural experiment design, comparing pre- and post-intervention periods for Skåne County by comparison with the rest of Sweden. We employed a model selection process in which several Poisson regression models were compared, each using a different set of independent variables, with the aim of selecting the model with the best fit to the data. Several independent variables were included in the regression models: year (assessing the national linear effect of time), county (Skåne vs. the rest of Sweden), intervention level effect (modeling a shift in mean values after the intervention), and intervention slope effect (modeling a shift in the slope of the linear trend after the intervention). Interaction effects between county and intervention level effect (county X level), and between county and intervention slope effect (county X slope), were also included as variables in the model, and the logarithmized population estimate was used as an offset variable.

Inspecting the graph of overdose deaths visually (see the results section), it was apparent that year needed to be included in the model and that there was no baseline effect of county. In order to keep the statistical models parsimonious and more easily interpretable, we decided that either intervention level effect or intervention slope effect, but not both, were eligible for inclusion in the models, and, likewise, either county X level or county X slope, but not both, were eligible for inclusion. Nine models with all thus eligible combinations of the remaining four variables were thus computed. The Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) were used for model selection. The best performing model on both information criteria was the model that included year, intervention slope and the interaction effect between county and intervention slope, and this model was thus chosen as the final model in the present study (Supplementary Table 1).

Results

Background of the population in the forensic data set
One hundred and ninety-three individuals were included in the forensic data set, 88 in the first data collection period and 105 in the second. Descriptive demographic, clinical, and forensic data for this population are provided in Table 1.
Table 1
Characteristics and forensic information for the study population, by data collection period. Numbers (%).

|                          | Period 1 (n = 88) | Period 2 (n = 105) | Total (n = 193) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Age at death, median (Q1;Q3) | 33.0 (27.25; 42.75) | 35.0 (25.0; 43.0) | 34.0 (26.5;43.0) |
| Male gender              | 73 (83.0%)        | 84 (80.0%)        | 157 (81.3%)     |
| Resident in city with increased access to OST\(^a\) | 62 (70.5%) | 57 (54.3%) | 119 (61.7%) |
| Stable housing           | 57 (64.8%)        | 79 (75.2%)        | 136 (70.5%)     |
| Opioid substitution treatment, ongoing at time of death or discharged in last year of life | 15 (17.0%) | 28 (27.2%) | 43 (22.5%) |
| Opioid substitution at time of death | 7 (8.0%) | 23 (22.3%) | 30 (15.7%) |
| Methadone substitution treatment at time of death | 7 (8.0%) | 20 (19.0%) | 27 (14.0%) |
| Buprenorphine substitution treatment at death | 8 (9.1%) | 8 (7.6%) | 16 (8.3%) |
| Sedatives (Benzodiazepines, Z-drugs or pregabalin) | 79 (89.8%) | 83 (79.0%) | 162 (83.9%) |
| Benzodiazepines          | 67 (76.1%)        | 73 (69.5%)        | 140 (72.5%)     |
| CNS stimulants           | 20 (22.7%)        | 24 (22.9%)        | 44 (22.8%)      |
| THC                      | 18 (20.5%)        | 20 (19.0%)        | 38 (19.7%)      |
| Alcohol (≥ 0.5‰)\(^b\)  | 17 (19.3%)        | 16 (15.2%)        | 33 (17.1%)      |
| Heroin intoxication \(^c\) | 19 (21.6%) | 21 (20.0%) | 40 (20.7%) |
| Methadone intoxication \(^c,d\) | 41 (46.6%) | 41 (39.0%) | 82 (42.5%) |
| Buprenorphine intoxication \(^c\) | 12 (13.6%) | 18 (17.1%) | 30 (15.5%) |
| Fentanyl intoxication \(^c,e,f\) | 11 (12.5%) | 17 (16.2%) | 28 (14.5%) |
Period 1: 1 January 2012 to 31 December 2013; Period 2: 1 July 2014 to 30 June 2016

|                                    | Period 1 (n = 88) | Period 2 (n = 105) | Total (n = 193) |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Oxycodone/morphine intoxication    | 5 (5.7%)          | 6 (5.7%)           | 11 (5.7%)      |

*a* Malmö, Helsingborg, Lund, Landskrona and Ystad

*b* Two cases were removed since the analyses were not carried out on femoral blood.

*c* In most deaths, the intoxication included more than one substance (often opioids and benzodiazepines). The table shows the number of cases in which specific opioids had caused or essentially contributed to the death.

*d* Including two cases in which the fatal intoxication was caused by the ingestion of both methadone and buprenorphine.

*e* Including seven cases in which fentanyl findings involved acrylfentanyl, acetylfentanyl or furanylfentanyl (all in data collection period 2).

*f* Fentanyl is in Sweden prescribed in the form of patches, tablets or nasal spray.

*g* Of these, eight were oxycodone-related and three were morphine-related (all morphine cases were in data collection period 1).

**Opioid-related mortality in Skåne and in areas with increased or unchanged access to OST**

The analyses of the forensic material from Skåne indicate that there was an increase in the number of deaths due to intoxication with heroin, methadone, buprenorphine, fentanyl, oxycodone, or morphine in the county between the two data collection periods; from 88 during period 1 to 105 during period 2. When adjusted for population estimates, the increase was not significant (RR = 1.18 [0.89–1.57], p = 0.251) (Fig. 1).

The analysis of the effect of the patient choice reform on deaths in municipalities with increased or unchanged access to OST respectively, showed a significant increase in the proportion of deaths in municipalities with unchanged access between period 1 and period 2 (RR = 1.85 [1.15–2.98], p = 0.011). The effect of the intervention on the proportion of deaths registered in municipalities with increased access to OST was found to be a non-significant decrease between the data collection periods (RR = 0.90 [0.63–1.29], p = 0.561). An analysis of the interaction effect showed a significant difference in the change from period 1 to period 2 with regard to the proportion of deceased persons who were resident in municipalities with increased access to OST at the time of death, as compared with those who were resident in municipalities with unchanged access to OST. This means that the proportion of the deceased who were resident in municipalities with increased access to OST decreased by comparison with
municipalities with unchanged access to OST following the implementation of the reform (RR = 0.49 [0.27–0.88], p = 0.018).

Deaths related to OST medications or other opioids
The proportion of Skåne’s population aged 18–65 who died from intoxication with the OST medications methadone or buprenorphine, viewed in relation to the proportion who died as a consequence of intoxication with heroin, fentanyl, oxycodone, or morphine, did not change significantly between the data collection periods (RR = 0.92 [0.51–1.63], p = 0.764). Nor was any significant change between the periods observed in the analysis of deaths due to intoxication with OST medications (RR = 1.14 [0.79–1.65], p = 0.489) or in the analysis of deaths caused by intoxication with other opioids (RR = 1.24 [0.80–1.94], p = 0.335) (Fig. 2).

Deaths during ongoing OST
The study has also analyzed changes between the observation periods in the proportion of individuals who died from intoxication caused by the opioids included in the study during ongoing OST in Skåne viewed in relation to the number of treatment places in OST. The results show that the proportion of deaths during ongoing OST increased by 161 percent from period 1 to period 2 (RR = 2.61 [1.12–6.10], p = 0.026).

Drug-related deaths in Skåne and the rest of Sweden
Finally, we analyzed national mortality data from the NBHW regarding the proportion of deceased individuals aged 20–64 who died from unintentional drug intoxication in Skåne compared with the rest of Sweden for the period 2011–2017 (Fig. 3). The final model included year, intervention slope effect, and county X intervention. The main effect of chronological year was an increase of 17 percent per year (RR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.13–1.22, p < 0.001) and the national level change in slope after the intervention was minus 14 percent per year (RR = 0.86, 95% CI: 0.80–0.91, p < 0.001). The main effect of interest to the present study, county X intervention (i.e. the difference in the post-intervention slope change between Skåne and the rest of Sweden) was a decrease of 10 percent per year (RR = 0.90, 95% CI: 0.84–0.97, p = 0.004).

Discussion
In this study, we have examined drug-related mortality in the southern Swedish county of Skåne as the level of access to OST has increased sharply following the introduction of a patient choice reform in 2014, in parallel with a gradual shift towards increased symptom tolerance and a more harm reduction-oriented approach in OST treatment. In summary, OST in Skåne is now conducted more in accordance with a low-threshold paradigm than was previously the case [16, 19].

One aim of the implementation of the patient choice reform was that deaths due to opioids would decrease in the region as a result of increased access to OST for individuals in need of such treatment [19, 44]. OST provision has previously been under-dimensioned both in the region and in the rest of the country [45, 46]. In absolute numbers, there has been an increase in deaths in Skåne due to intoxication
with the opioids examined in the study, although analyses of the number of deaths in the region adjusted for population estimates show no significant increase in the mortality rate between the data collection periods. However, the analysis based on national data from the NBHW's Cause of Death Register for 2011–2017 showed a reduction in unintentional drug intoxications in Skåne after 2014 relative to the rest of the country. The differences in these results can be attributed to the two analyses’ different inclusion criteria for the populations of interest (with regard to substances and causes of death), different time periods (the forensic material from Skåne includes two years that do not run per calendar year), and the fact that the data from the NBHW cover more years. However, taken together, the results from these analyses suggest that there has not been a significant increase in opioid-related deaths in Skåne following the implementation of the patient choice reform. At the same time, support for the expectation that the reform would be associated with a reduction in opioid deaths in Skåne is weak.

The proportion of individuals who at the time of death were registered as resident in municipalities with unchanged access to OST increased between the data collection periods. The expansion in the number of patients receiving OST may have helped to restrain opioid-related mortality in municipalities with improved access to OST, where opioid-dependent individuals are able to initiate OST more easily and may now also remain in treatment regardless of relapses. A previous evaluation has shown proximity to the clinic to be important for patients in OST when choosing a treatment facility [19]. In recent years, there has been a shift in several western countries towards an increasing number of opioid deaths in less densely populated communities outside metropolitan areas [47, 48, 49]. In this study, the three larger municipalities in Skåne were the places in which access to OST had increased the most and no increase in the proportion of deaths was noted in these. Municipalities with unchanged access to OST, on the other hand, are largely comprised of areas with longer geographical distances to an OST clinic. This group mainly consists of a large number of smaller municipalities. It is possible that the results in the present study in a way reflect this shift towards opioid use and deaths being more common in smaller communities. In this context, however, it should be clarified that a shortcoming in the current analysis is the premise that proximity to treatment, i.e. that OST is available in the municipality, is desirable in order to reach the aim of a reduction in opioid-related deaths. At the same time, it is unrealistic for most of the smaller communities in Skåne to have an OST-clinic located in each municipality.

Prior to the introduction of the patient choice reform, a concern was raised that an expansion of the number of patients in treatment, and thus an increase in individuals receiving medication in the form of methadone and buprenorphine, could lead to increased diversion of these medications to people not in OST. This is something that has been observed in other studies where access to OST has increased [17, 18, 27, 30, 50, 51]. The analyses in this study show that there was no significant increase in deaths caused by methadone or buprenorphine in general in Skåne between periods examined. There has been a change in which opioids cause the most deaths in many western countries since the turn of the millennium, from heroin to prescription opioids, including methadone and buprenorphine [52, 53, 54, 55]. This trend has also been noted in Sweden [8, 40]. In the current study, the increased prescription of methadone and buprenorphine linked to the patient choice reform has not been associated with increased regional mortality as a result of intoxication with these substances.
When patients, as in this study, are able to initiate and remain in OST even though they may have a potentially life-threatening use of other narcotic drugs, the fact that a larger proportion of patients in the present study died during ongoing treatment in the second data collection period might be viewed as a natural consequence of this. One possible explanation for this finding, in addition to the large increase in the number of patients in treatment, may be that OST-patients are not being discharged from treatment to the same extent as before the introduction of the patient choice reform, in combination with the changes in national guidelines, as presented in a previous study [19]. It should also be noted that the ability of the OST clinics to reach patients with risky opioid use for preventive measures such as naloxone distribution and overdose information may be greater than before, with more patients with lower treatment compliance and an ongoing use of illicit or prohibited drugs remaining in treatment [17, 34, 56].

**Strengths and limitations**

Possible strengths of the present study include the fact that the forensic data cover a complete regional population of individuals subject to forensic examination and that the manual searches reduce the risk of missing cases that should be included in the study. The unique personal identification number provides easy linkage between patient registers. The national level data from the NBHW's Cause of Death Register are of high quality in terms of their level of completeness with regard to the inclusion of the total number of deaths that occur in Sweden annually.

One of the study's limitations is that we lack data for the prevalence and development of illicit use of opioids and opioid dependence in Skåne and Sweden during the years covered by the study.

Another limitation concerns the fact that both data sets consist of relatively few cases, which diminishes the possibility of drawing general conclusions regarding opioid-related mortality on the basis of the study's results. Another weakness is the shortness of the time that elapsed between the two data collection periods, and that a gradual change towards a more tolerant attitude towards relapse and the exclusion criteria employed in OST practice had begun prior to and continued during the period in which the empirical data were collected. However, the major impact of the examined intervention, i.e., the sharp increase in the number of patients in OST, can be attributed to the implementation of the patient choice reform.

**Conclusion**

Increased access to and lower treatment thresholds in OST have not led to a reduction in opioid-induced deaths in the short term in Skåne County in southern Sweden. There has been an increase in the actual number of such deaths in the county, but this increase was not significant when adjusted for population size. An analysis of national death data, however, shows a relative decrease in unintentional drug intoxication deaths in Skåne compared to the rest of Sweden following the implementation of the patient choice reform for OST in Skåne. This discrepancy between the results from these different analyses calls for more research to further elucidate this matter.
Deaths due to intoxication with the OST drugs methadone and buprenorphine showed no increase between the data collected prior to and after the introduction of the patient choice reform, indicating that the hypothesis that a higher number of patients in OST might lead to increased mortality due to the diversion of such medications was not supported.

A geographical comparison between individuals who at the time of death were registered as resident in municipalities with increased or unchanged access to OST showed an increase in the proportion of deaths in municipalities with unchanged access to OST.

Following the increase in access to and in the number of patients in OST in Skåne, a larger proportion of patients died during ongoing OST following the introduction of the reform. This can probably be attributed to the greater number of OST clinics and the increase in the number of patients in treatment, and to the policy change which has meant that non-compliance with treatment, in terms of illicit drug use during treatment, no longer necessarily leads to discharge. One implication of the results relating to the increase in deaths among individuals receiving OST at the time of death is the significance of the way in which OST staff work with patients with an ongoing use of illicit or prohibited drugs. OST should therefore be highlighted as an important area for overdose prevention measures aimed at this group of problematic opioid users, such as naloxone distribution and information on safer drug use.

**Abbreviations**

AIC Akaike Information Criterion

BIC Bayesian Information Criterion

ICD The International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems

NBHW The Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare

OST opioid substitution treatment

RR rate ratio

SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

THC tetrahydrocannabinol

**Declarations**

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The study has been subjected to ethical review and was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Lund (case no. 2014/547; 2015/369 and 2016/771).
Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

LA, JB and BJ declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was supported by Skåne Regional Council.

Authors' contributions

BJ planned the original project. LA, BJ and AH designed the study. LA collected the majority of the data from the national Board of Forensic Medicine and the health care records and created the database. LA and AH conducted a thorough review of the forensic patient files. JB and LA performed the statistical analyses. LA wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Revisions were made jointly by LA, BJ, AH and JB. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Peter Krantz for providing access to forensic records and helping in classifying the causes of death, and to Emma Briheim for assisting in the collection of some of the data and entering it into the database.

References

1. Gomes T, Mamdani MM, Dhallia IA, Cornish S, Paterson JM, Juurlink DN. The burden of premature opioid-related mortality. Addiction. 2014;109(9):1482–8.

2. EMCDDA. European Drug Report 2018: Trends and developments. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. Lisbon: EMCDDA; 2018.

3. Saloner B, McGinty EE, Beletsky L, Bluthenthal R, Beyrer C, Botticelli M, et al. A public health strategy for the opioid crisis. Public Health Rep. 2018;133(1_suppl):24S-34S.

4. Paulozzi LJ. Prescription drug overdoses: A review. Journal of Safety Research. 2012.

5. Degenhardt L, Grebely J, Stone J, Hickman M, Vickerman P, Marshall BDL, et al. Global patterns of opioid use and dependence: harms to populations, interventions, and future action. Lancet. 2019;394(10208):1560–79.

6. Kolodny A, Courtwright DT, Hwang CS, Kreiner P, Eadie JL, Clark TW, et al. The prescription opioid and heroin crisis: a public health approach to an epidemic of addiction. Annu Rev Public Health. 2015;36:559–74.
7. Socialstyrelsen. [The National Board of Health and Welfare]. Statistics on causes of death. Stockholm: Socialstyrelsen; 2019. https://www.socialstyrelsen.se/en/statistics-and-data/statistics/

8. Leifman H. Drug-related deaths in Sweden- Estimations of trends, effects of changes in recording practices and studies of drug patterns. Centralförbundet för alkohol- och narkotikaupplysning. [The Swedish Council for Information on Alcohol and Other Drugs]. Stockholm: CAN; 2016.

9. Mattick RP, Breen C, Kimber J, Davoli M. Methadone maintenance therapy versus no opioid replacement therapy for opioid dependence. Cochrane Libr. 2009;

10. Degenhardt L, Bucello C, Mathers B, Briegleb C, Ali H, Hickman M, et al. Mortality among regular or dependent users of heroin and other opioids: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. Addiction. 2011;106(1):32–51.

11. Sordo L, Barrio G, Bravo MJ, Indave Bl, Degenhardt L, Wiessing L, et al. Mortality risk during and after opioid substitution treatment: systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. bmj. 2017;357:j1550.

12. Steer CD, Macleod J, Tilling K, Lim AG, Marsden J, Millar T, et al. The impact of opiate substitution treatment on mortality risk in drug addicts: a natural experiment study. Heal Serv Deliv Res. 2019;7(3).

13. Svensson B, Andersson M. Involuntary discharge from medication-assisted treatment for people with heroin addiction-patients’ experiences and interpretations. Nord Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2012;29(2):173–93.

14. Johnson B, Richert T, Svensson B. Alkohol-och narkotikaproblem. [Alcohol and other drug problems]. Lund: Studentlitteratur; 2017.

15. Monwell B. En dyster parenthes: opiatregeln i svensk LARO-behandling 2010–2016. [A dismal parenthesis: The opiate rule in Swedish opioid maintenance treatment 2010–2016]. Jönköping: Jönköping University; 2019. Available from: http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:hj:diva-43443

16. Andersson L, Johnson B. Patient choice as a means of empowerment in opioid substitution treatment: a case from Sweden. Drugs Educ Prev Policy. 2019;1–13.

17. Ledberg A. Mortality related to methadone maintenance treatment inStockholm, Sweden, during 2006-2013. J Subst Abuse Treat [Internet]. 2017;74:35–41. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0740547216304123

18. Romelsjö A, Engdahl B, Stenbacka M, Fugelstad A, Davstad I, Leifman A, et al. Were the changes to Sweden's maintenance treatment policy 2000–06 related to changes in opiate-related mortality and morbidity? Addiction. 2010;105(9):1625–32.

19. Andersson L, Johnson B. Valfrihet och egenmakt: en utvärdering av Region Skånes vårdfat för LARO. [Freedom of choice: An evaluation of patient choice in opioid substitution treatment in Region Skåne]. Malmö: Malmö universitet; 2018.

20. Kourounis G, Richards BDW, Kyprianou E, Symeonidou E, Malliori M-M, Samartzis L. Opioid substitution therapy: lowering the treatment thresholds. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2016;161:1–8.
21. Waal H. Merits and problems in high-threshold methadone maintenance treatment. Eur Addict Res. 2007;13(2):66–73.
22. Ekendahl M. The limits of legitimacy: service providers’ views on maintenance treatment in Sweden. Addict Res Theory. 2011;19(5):427–37.
23. Johnson B. After the storm. Developments in maintenance treatment policy and practice in Sweden 1987–2006. In: On the margins Nordic alcohol and drug treatment 1885–2007. Nordic Centre for Alcohol and Drug Research; 2007.
24. Socialstyrelsen [The National Board of Health and Welfare]. Socialstyrelsens föreskrifter och allmänna råd (HSLF-FS 2016:1) om läkemedelsassisterad behandling vid opioidberoende [Code of statutes (HSLF-FS 2016:1) regulating opioid substitution treatment]. Stockholm: Socialstyrelsen; 2016.
25. Brugal MT, Domingo-Salvany A, Puig R, Barrio G, Garcia de Olalla P, De La Fuente L. Evaluating the impact of methadone maintenance programmes on mortality due to overdose and aids in a cohort of heroin users in Spain. Addiction. 2005;100(7):981–9.
26. Clausen T. The Norwegian OMT program-benefits and challenges. Nor J Pharm. 2014;10:39–42.
27. Fugelstad A, Johansson LA, Thiblin I. Allt fler dör av metadon. [More and more people die from methadone]. Läkartidningen. 2010;107(18):1225–8.
28. Strang J, Hall W, Hickman M, Bird SM. Impact of supervision of methadone consumption on deaths related to methadone overdose (1993–2008): analyses using OD4 index in England and Scotland. Bmj. 2010;341:c4851.
29. Piercefield E, Archer P, Kemp P, Mallonee S. Increase in unintentional medication overdose deaths: Oklahoma, 1994–2006. Am J Prev Med. 2010;39(4):357–63.
30. Tjagvad C, Skurtveit S, Linnet K, Andersen LV, Christoffersen DJ, Clausen T. Methadone-related overdose deaths in a liberal opioid maintenance treatment programme. Eur Addict Res. 2016;22(5):249–58.
31. Jones JD, Mogali S, Comer SD. Polydrug abuse: a review of opioid and benzodiazepine combination use. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2012;125(1):8–18.
32. Richert T, Johnson B. Long-term self-treatment with methadone or buprenorphine as a response to barriers to opioid substitution treatment: the case of Sweden. Harm Reduct J. 2015;12(1):12.
33. Harris M, Rhodes T. Methadone diversion as a protective strategy: the harm reduction potential of ‘generous constraints.’ Int J Drug Policy. 2013;24(6):e43–50.
34. Andersson L, Håkansson A, Krantz P, Johnson B. Investigating opioid-related fatalities in southern Sweden: contact with care-providing authorities and comparison of substances. Harm Reduct J. 2020;17(1):1–11.
35. Bråbäck M, Nilsson S, Isendahl P, Troberg K, Brådvik L, Håkansson A. Malmö Treatment Referral and Intervention Study (MATRIS)—effective referral from syringe exchange to treatment for heroin dependence: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Addiction. 2016;111(5):866–73.
36. Jönsson AK, Holmgren P, Druid H, Ahlner J. Cause of death and drug use pattern in deceased drug addicts in Sweden, 2002–2003. Forensic Sci Int. 2007;169(2–3):101–7.

37. Brooke HL, Talbäck M, Hörnblad J, Johansson LA, Ludvigsson JF, Druid H, et al. The Swedish cause of death register. Eur J Epidemiol. 2017;32(9):765–73.

38. Bendroth P, Kronstrand R, Helander A, Greby J, Stephanson N, Krantz P. Comparison of ethyl glucuronide in hair with phosphatidylethanol in whole blood as post-mortem markers of alcohol abuse. Forensic Sci Int. 2008;176(1):76–81.

39. Druid H, Holmgren P. A compilation of fatal and control concentrations of drugs in postmortem femoral blood. J Forensic Sci. 1997;42(1):79–87.

40. Wikner BN, Öhman I, Seldén T, Druid H, Brandt L, Kieler H. Opioid-related mortality and filled prescriptions for buprenorphine and methadone. Drug Alcohol Rev. 2014;33(5):491–8.

41. Statistics Sweden. Retrieved 2020-04-10. Available from: https://www.scb.se/en/finding-statistics/statistics-by-subject-area/population/

42. Socialstyrelsen. [The National Board of Health and Welfare]. Kartläggning av läkemedelsassisterad behandling vid opiatberoende. Mapping of opiate substitution treatment]. Stockholm: Socialstyrelsen; 2012.

43. KEFU. (2015). Vårdvalets och ersättningsmodellens effekter för Läkemedelsassisterad rehabilitering vid opiatberoende (LARO). [The effects of the patient choice and the compensation model on opioid substitution treatment]. KEFU – Rådet för kommunalekonomisk forskning och utbildning. [The council for municipal economical research and education]. Lund: KEFU; 2015.

44. Region Skåne. Utredning om vårdval. Läkemedelsassisterad Rehabilitering vid Opiatberoende, LARO. [An inquiry into patient choice reforms. Opiate substitution treatment, OST]. Malmö: Region Skåne; 2013.

45. Abrahamsson T, Widinghoff C, Lilliebladh A, Gedeon C, Nilvall K, Hakansson A. Interim buprenorphine treatment in opiate dependence: a pilot effectiveness study. Subst Abus. 2016;37(1):104–9.

46. Kakko J, Grönbladh L, Svanborg KD, von Wachenfeldt J, Rück C, Rawlings B, et al. A stepped care strategy using buprenorphine and methadone versus conventional methadone maintenance in heroin dependence: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Psychiatry. 2007;164(5):797–803.

47. Keyes KM, Cerdá M, Brady JE, Havens JR, Galea S. Understanding the rural–urban differences in nonmedical prescription opioid use and abuse in the United States. Am J Public Health. 2014;104(2):e52–9.

48. Peters DJ, Monnat SM, Hochstetler AL, Berg MT. The Opioid Hydra: Understanding Overdose Mortality Epidemics and Syndemics Across the Rural-Urban Continuum. Rural Sociol. 2019;

49. CAN. Drogutvecklingen i Sverige 2019 - med fokus på narkotika. [Drug development in Sweden 2019 - with a focus on illicit drugs]. Centralförbundet för alkohol- och narkotikaupplysning. [The Swedish Council for Information on Alcohol and Other Drugs] Stockholm: CAN; 2019. https://www.can.se/app/uploads/2020/01/can-rapport-180-drogutvecklingen-i-sverige-2019.pdf Drogutvecklingen i Sverige 2019 - med fokus på narkotika [Internet]. Stockholm:
Centralförbundet för alkohol- och narkotikaupplysning; 2019. Available from: http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:can-2019-2

50. Bernard J-P, Havnes I, Slørdal L, Waal H, Mørland J, Khiabani HZ. Methadone-related deaths in Norway. Forensic Sci Int. 2013;224(1):111–6.

51. Albion C, Shkrum M, Cairns J. Contributing factors to methadone-related deaths in Ontario. Am J Forensic Med Pathol. 2010;31(4):313–9.

52. OECD. Addressing Problematic Opioid Use in OECD countries. OECD Health Policy Studies. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2019. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1787/a18286f0-en

53. EMCDDA. European Drug Report 2017: Trends and developments. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. Lisbon: EMCDDA; 2017.

54. Jalal H, Buchanich JM, Roberts MS, Balmert LC, Zhang K, Burke DS. Changing dynamics of the drug overdose epidemic in the United States from 1979 through 2016. Science (80- ) [Internet]. 2018 Sep 21;361(6408). Available from: http://science.sciencemag.org/content/361/6408/eaau1184.abstract

55. Fischer B, Rehm J, Patra J, Cruz MF. Changes in illicit opioid use across Canada. Cmaj. 2006;175(11):1385.

56. Clausen T, Anchersen K, Waal H. Mortality prior to, during and after opioid maintenance treatment (OMT): a national prospective cross-registry study. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2008;94(1–3):151–7.

Supplementary Table

Supplementary Table 1. Model selection for analyses of national level data

| Model   | Variables                      | df | AIC  | BIC  |
|---------|--------------------------------|----|------|------|
| model 1 | year                           | 2  | 134.6| 135.8|
| model 2 | year + intervention mean       | 3  | 134.3| 136.0|
| model 3 | year + intervention slope      | 3  | 112.2| 113.9|
| model 4 | year + county X mean           | 3  | 126.7| 128.4|
| model 5 | year + county X slope          | 3  | 124.2| 125.9|
| model 6 | year + intervention mean + county X mean | 4  | 127.4| 129.6|
| model 7 | year + intervention mean + county X slope | 4  | 124.4| 126.6|
| model 8 | year + intervention slope + county X mean | 4  | 105.7| 108.0|
| model 9 | year + intervention slope + county X slope | 4  | 105.3| 107.6|