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The dwarf planet Ceres is known to host a considerable amount of water in its interior, and areas of water ice were detected by the Dawn spacecraft on its surface. Moreover, sporadic water and hydroxyl emissions have been observed from space telescopes. We report the detection of water ice in a mid-latitude crater and its unexpected variation with time. The Dawn spectrometer data show a change of water ice signatures over a period of 6 months, which is well modeled as ~2-km² increase of water ice. The observed increase, coupled with Ceres' orbital parameters, points to an ongoing process that seems correlated with solar flux. The reported variation on Ceres' surface indicates that this body is chemically and physically active at the present time.

**INTRODUCTION**

Ceres contains significant amounts of water (1), which is a key element of its evolution (2), composition (3), and activity (2). Water ice has been estimated to be ubiquitous and abundant within a few meters from the surface (4). Exposed water ice was also discovered by the Dawn mission in a few craters on Ceres situated in the northern hemisphere above 42° latitude, indicating a strong dependence of water ice stability with the surface illumination condition and temperatures (5, 6). A notable exception is represented by Juling crater (Fig. 1A), being situated in a mid-latitude, in the southern hemisphere (35°S, 168°E), where the Dawn visible and infrared (VIR) mapping spectrometer (7) detected ice on the northern shadowed crater wall, characterized by an almost vertical rocky cliff, illuminated by a secondary light source coming from the adjacent illuminated regions. The crater floor shows evidence of the flow of ice and rock, similar to Earth’s rock glacier (fig. S1) (8).

This crater has been observed by VIR several times: two times with a nominal spatial resolution of ~100 m per pixel during the Low Altitude Mapping Orbit (L1 and L2) and three times with a nominal spatial resolution of ~400 m per pixel during a phase devoted to Juling’s observation: Extended Juling Orbit (E1, E2, and E3).

**RESULTS**

Analyzing all the acquisitions, we discovered changes in the spectra of the ice-rich wall. Water bands were detected in all the observations on the northern wall of Juling but with a variation of the water ice features, in particular, the 2.0-μm water ice absorption (Fig. 2) that is the most prominent band and least affected by instrumental errors.

However, the rim and wall were observed under different conditions in terms of (i) illumination and viewing geometry (table S1) and (ii) sampling and resolution. Because these conditions might affect the spectral shape, we accounted for them as follows:

1) We noticed that illumination and viewing geometry can be considered equal in E1 and L1 (table S1), thus permitting a direct comparison of the measured spectra (Fig. 3). All the other observations have been compared by means of dedicated modeling, as discussed afterward.

2) The different spatial resolution and different sampling of the surface by the observations (fig. S2) are taken into account calculating an average spectrum, obtained over several pixels in the target area (see the Supplementary Materials). The selected area is defined by a rectangle of coordinates in latitude = [−35.0643, −34.7051] and longitude = [167.8362, 169.1599] (Fig. 3). The rectangle was chosen to be larger than the area containing the ice-rich wall, to include all pixels showing water ice signatures, considering the extension of the instrument point spread function (fig. S3). The resulting average spectra are shown in Fig. 2A.

The spectra shown in Fig. 2 are affected by different illumination conditions and viewing geometry, and they cannot be compared directly to infer intrinsic water ice variation. However, these conditions are very similar for L1 and E1 observations, allowing a direct comparison. The direct comparison between L1 and E1 shows significant differences, with more pronounced ice spectral signatures in E1 (Figs. 2 and 3). We verified this approach by comparing the spectra of a test area without ice signatures. In this case, no significant variation in the spectra can be detected, ruling out any bias due to the different spatial resolution and sampling as well as illumination conditions (Fig. 3).

The selected ice-rich area (Fig. 3) includes both the signal coming from the wall, indirectly illuminated by the light reflected by the crater floor, and the signal coming from a region outside the crater rim, directly illuminated by the Sun. By modeling the average spectra as the sum of the two contributions (fig. S4), we estimate the spectrum of the ice-rich wall and its band area at 2.0 μm for all observations (L1, L2, E1, E2, and E3), as explained in the Supplementary Materials.
Using this approach, we can compare all the observations. Figure 4A shows a clear increase of the derived 2.0-μm band area from ice-rich wall as a function of time.

Intrinsic variations of the band area can be attributed to changing abundances of water ice, as well as other physical properties, such as changes in grain size. Using the model described in Materials and Methods, the spectra can be modeled by an areal mixture of regolith and water ice, and the observed variation of spectral signatures can be explained by an increasing abundance of water ice as a function of time, with an effective grain diameter fixed to 100 μm (see fig. S5). Best fits of the spectra are shown in fig. S6. As a further check, considering the information on the illumination condition and viewing geometry, we simulated the spectra of all observations assuming a constant ice abundance. The simulations show that a constant quantity of water ice is incompatible with the observations (fig. S7).

According to the modeled spectra, the total area of the ice-rich wall results to be covered by 9.1 ± 0.3% of water ice in the first observation and by 13.7 ± 0.9% of water ice in the last observation (Fig. 4A and table S2). Using shape model analysis, we estimated the total surface of the wall to be ~40 km², from which we obtain an increase from 3.6 to 5.5 km² (±0.4 km²) of the surface covered by water ice.

**DISCUSSION**

The observed increasing abundance of water ice on the crater wall implies a change in temperature/pressure induced by (i) internal processes, (ii) exposure of ice present behind a regolith layer by falls, or (iii) solar input.

i) One possible internal process would involve subsurface displacement of brine or liquid water, which, percolating through clay layers, freezes when exposed to the shadowed cold surface of the wall. The flow features on the crater floor would favor this hypothesis, but this scenario is difficult to reconcile with current evolution models of Ceres’ interior (2). Water in liquid or brine forms would imply either higher internal

---

**Fig. 1. General context of the ice-rich area in Juling crater from Framing Camera and VIR spectrometer data.** (A) Context Dawn Framing Camera image taken during L1 acquisition of the northern rim of Juling crater. The area in shadow is enhanced to show details of the ice-rich wall. (B) Measured spectra corresponding to positions in (A). Dotted lines represent spectra in the ranges corresponding to instrument filter junctions that could produce fictitious signals. The diagnostic absorption bands of water ice at 1.25, 1.5, and 2.0 μm are visible. The broad absorption band of water ice at 3.0 μm is superimposed to the narrower absorption bands at 2.7, 3.1, and 3.4 μm, which indicates the presence of Mg phyllosilicates, NH₄ phyllosilicates, and Mg carbonates (3), respectively. These minerals are ubiquitous on Ceres’ surface (3).

**Fig. 2. Measured average spectra and their band area at 2.0 μm from the ice-rich region.** (A) Average spectra for each of the five observations from pixels in the rectangle of coordinates: latitude = [−35.0643, −34.7051] and longitude = [167.8362, 169.1599]. Spectral regions corresponding to the instrument filter junctions are not shown. (B) Absorption band at 2.0 μm normalized at 1.83 μm. (C) Band area measured as a function of Earth days by setting the day of the first observation (L1) equal to 0.
temperatures with respect to those foreseen for Ceres or much lower melting point (9). Nevertheless, subsurface brines have been suggested to explain the morphology and composition of the Occator central dome (9), and cryovolcanism has been indicated as the source of Ahuna Mons (10). Moreover, Ceres topography suggests the presence of liquid pore fluids in the uppermost mantle (11).

ii) Another possibility is represented by falls exposing water ice. The monotonic trend (Fig. 4A) is not consistent with an exceptional event, such as a rock fall exposing water ice. However, a continuous erosion of the wall by regolith falls is possible, and it can justify the formation of the rock glaciers. In that case, the increase of ice on the wall can be explained by the exposure of pristine water ice, which does not sublimate with the same rate of its exposure during the season of the observations.

iii) Other mechanisms would involve water vapor, which has been observed by Küppers et al. (12) and A’Hearn and Feldman (13). Water vapor might originate from the rock glaciers on the crater floor below a regolith layer, and the shadowed wall of the crater may be a cold trap for the water molecules. As long as the accumulation rate of water molecules is higher than the sublimation rate from the wall itself, the ice on the wall increases. Considering the derived 1.9-km² (±0.4 km²) increase of ice cross section and assuming a grain diameter of 100 µm, we obtain an increase of the volume of water ice of 125 ± 25 m³ in 6 months. The equivalent average accumulation rate (net of sublimation) is 8.0 ± 1.6 g/s (ρ_{H₂O} = 1 g/cm³).

It has been suggested that the observed water vapor (12) was triggered by solar radiation (12) and/or solar energetic particle events (14). The changes in heliocentric distance (Fig. 4 and table S1), coupled
with the varying season (the subsolar point was moving toward lower latitudes), result in a net increase in solar flux and a retreat of shadow on the floor (fig. S8). We used a thermophysical model to simulate the water flux coming from the crater floor, triggered by solar radiation, at the epoch of Herschel observations (15, 16). Assuming that the crater floor is filled by water ice at ~1 cm below the surface, the estimated vapor flux coming from the crater is comparable to the total flux derived from Herschel observations (fig. S9). Moreover, Juring is located in proximity of the longitudinal coordinate, where the maximum emission was observed by Küppers et al. (12). However, we do not have constraint to derive the extension of the ice layer and the thickness of the supercritical regolith layer. Thus, if water ice is confined below the thermal skin depth, then the solar radiation would not be sufficient to sublimate enough water vapor.

Solar energetic protons have been indicated as possible sources of water vapor (14) because they could reach ice present in deeper subsurface layers. Although these also might affect the surface ice at Juring, the event observed by Dawn (14) lasted about a day and the ensuing exosphere remained for a week. Hence, any lost water from Juring’s cold shadowed walls could be replenished.

The linear relationship between ice abundance and solar flux (fig. S8) supports the possibility of solar flux as the main factor responsible for the observed increase. The water ice abundance on the wall is probably not constantly increasing over a longer time range. More likely, we are observing only part of a seasonal cycle of water sublimation and condensation, in which the observed increase should be followed by a decrease. Similar cycles of water ice have been observed for comet 67P/ C-G (17–20) related to changes in heat flux.

The orbital parameters of Ceres could justify such a cyclical trend (see Fig. 4B). After the period covered by the observations, Ceres approaches the summer solstice, close to perihelion, where the temperature of the shadowed area increases, possibly triggering also the sublimation of water ice previously accumulated on the cold wall or sublimating pristine water ice with higher rate than its exposure.

The variation of superficial water ice occurring in the short time frame covered by these observations provides new scenarios for Ceres’ evolution. It indicates an active body and reinforces not only the link with the icy solar system objects (3) but also the uniqueness of Ceres, given its present location in the main belt.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Non-intrinsic sources of spectral variability among different observations
To correctly compare the average spectra measured for the five observations of the ice-rich wall of Juring crater, we should take into account the non-intrinsic sources of spectral variability due to different conditions of observation:
1) Poissonian noise, which produces spurious spectral variability among different measured spectra. This noise is less significant for average spectra obtained by a large number of measurements. It minimizes the noise for the average spectra analyzed in the present work because the average spectra are derived from tens or hundreds of pixels (table S1).
2) Different spatial resolutions among the five observations analyzed in this work may introduce a bias when the spectra are compared: in particular, the higher the resolution, the more sensitive would be the measurement of the finest detail, which could not be resolved in lower-resolution observations. To address this issue, we only compared average spectra coming from the whole area of interest, covered by tens or hundreds of pixels, which is spatially resolved for all observations.
3) Although the area of interest is covered by these observations, the footprints of the spectrometer slit are not contiguous (fig. S2); thus, each point on the surface can be sampled in differing degrees of detail by the instrument, according to the position of the closest pixel footprint. This sampling heterogeneity introduces a further source of uncertainty. However, ~50% of the area of interest is covered by considering the pixel footprint only (fig. S2), and nearly 100% by considering the spatial extension of the point spread function of the instrument (fig. S3). Thus, the measured average signal should be representative, within the margin of error, of the whole area of interest, regardless of the extent of sampling of a particular area. This is confirmed by the same average signal of the test area shown in Fig. 3.

To evaluate the above-mentioned uncertainties, we simulated different spatial samplings and assessed the induced variability of the derived parameters. We used the following approaches: (i) random weights in the 0 to 2 range have been assigned to all pixels in the area of interest; (ii) a weighted average spectrum is calculated from the pixels with their assigned weights; (iii) the targeted spectral parameter is calculated from the average spectrum; (iv) the previous three points are repeated many times, starting from different random weights and thus obtaining a distribution of values of the targeted parameter; and (v) the error associated for each derived parameter is the SD of the corresponding distribution. The error bars of Figs. 2C and 4A are calculated in this way. Using this approach, we assigned a relative uncertainty of 100% for each pixel, simulating all the intermediate possibilities between the case in which a pixel is not detected and the case in which it is detected twice. This uncertainty propagates an error on the spectral parameter derived from the average spectrum, which is estimated by the approach discussed here.

4) In each observation, the total surface of the ice-rich wall can have a different projection of the corresponding area along the line of sight, according to the spacecraft position at the moment of observation. A different contribution between the area of the ice-rich wall and the area of outer regions (devoid of water ice), in the resulting average spectra, produces a fictitious variation of the spectral features. As an example of this effect, we observed an apparent smaller band area at 2.0 μm in L2, with respect to L1. To account for this issue, and to derive intrinsic spectral parameters of water ice in the ice-rich wall, a dedicated model has been implemented, as discussed in the “Spectral modeling” section.

Band area
The band area at 2.0 μm, reported in Figs. 2C and 4A, fig. S7, and table S2, is defined as

\[ \int_a^b \left[ 1 - \frac{\text{reflectance}(\lambda)}{\text{continuum}(\lambda)} \right] d\lambda \]

where \( a \) and \( b \) are the edges of the bands: 1.83 to 2.24 μm. The continuum used to characterize the bands is calculated with a linear fit between \( a \) and \( b \). The band area as defined above is expressed in micrometers. Error bars are estimated as discussed above.

Spectral modeling
The measured signal inside the region of interest defined in the main text is the sum of the radiance coming from the illuminated outer region (without ice) (OR) (Eq. 1) and the one coming from the ice-rich wall.
(IRW) in shadow, but illuminated by the light reflected by the crater itself (Eq. 2). The nearly vertical wall in shadow is illuminated from all directions within the solid angle subtended by the crater as seen by the wall (∼π steradians). To model the light scattered from the crater floor toward the shadowed wall, and the secondary scattered light from the latter toward the detector, we take into account the bi-hemispherical reflectance (21). Higher orders of scattering are assumed to be negligible.

The regolith of the ice-rich wall (Eq. 2) is modeled by an aerial mixture of water ice (WI) [optical constants by Warren (22), Mastrapa et al. (23), and Clark et al. (24)] and Ceres’ average terrain (CAT) with optical properties as defined by Ciarniello et al. (25).

Using spectral modeling, we derived grain size of water ice of the order of 100 μm for all observations. This value is thus kept fixed in the fitting procedure discussed here.

\[
\text{Rad}_{\text{OR}} = J \times r_{\text{B,OR}} \times f
\]

where \( \text{Rad}_{\text{OR}} \) is the radiance of the outer regions; \( J \) is the solar irradiance; \( r_{\text{B,OR}} \) is the bidirectional reflectance (21) of Ceres’ average terrain, with photometric parameters as defined by Ciarniello et al. (25), and viewing geometry by shape model analysis and spacecraft position at the moment of the observations (table S1); and \( f = (1 + b^2(\lambda - \lambda_0) + c^4(\lambda - \lambda_0)^4) \) is the correction factor for the continuum slope, with \( b \) and \( c \) being constants derived in the fitting procedure, and \( \lambda_0 = 3.0 \) μm. This term accounts for the variation of the continuum slope due to spurious instrumental effects in correspondence with spatial signal contrast, such as shadowed regions. A similar approach is discussed by Ciarniello et al. (19). The models performed with and without this correction factor are shown in fig. S4.

\[
\text{Rad}_{\text{IRW}} = J \times (r_{\text{s,CAT}} <\mu_o>/\pi)/2 \times (r_{\text{s,WI}}p_{\text{WI}} + r_{\text{s,CAT}}(1 - p_{\text{WI}}))
\]

where \( \text{Rad}_{\text{IRW}} \) is the radiance of the ice-rich wall; \( r_{\text{s,CAT}} \) is the bi-hemispherical reflectance (21) of Ceres’ average terrain; \( <\mu_o> \) is the average incidence angle cosine on the crater at the time of the observation, derived by shape model analysis (\( r_{\text{s,CAT}} <\mu_o>/\pi \)) represents the reflectance of a Lambertian surface, with Lambert albedo equal to \( r_{\text{s,CAT}} (20) \); the factor 2 in the denominator accounts for the fact that one-half of the whole solid angle seen by the ice-rich wall is covered by the crater itself; \( r_{\text{s,WI}} \) is the bi-hemispherical reflectance (21) of water ice; and \( p_{\text{WI}} \) is the cross section of water ice as a fraction of the total projected area of the ice-rich wall (in the main text, we refer to this quantity as water ice abundance).

\[
\text{Ref}^{\text{average}} = [\text{Rad}_{\text{IRW}} \times p_{\text{IRW}} + \text{Rad}_{\text{OR}} \times p_{\text{OR}}]/J
\]

where \( \text{Ref}^{\text{average}} \) is the average reflectance; \( p_{\text{IRW}} \) is the cross section of the ice-rich wall as a fraction of the total projected area of interest; and \( p_{\text{OR}} = (1 - p_{\text{IRW}}) \) is the cross section of the outer regions as a fraction of the total projected area of interest.

Using a least-square optimization algorithm, we performed a model of \( \text{Ref}^{\text{average}} \) (Eq. 3) by fitting the measured reflectance, similar to that of Raponi et al. (20). Photometric correction of measured data is not required, but due to photometric parameters are included in the model as specified above.

As a result, we retrieved \( p_{\text{IRW}} \) and \( p_{\text{WI}} \) unambiguously. Spectral modeling was performed in the 1.15- to 3.55-μm interval to avoid the spectral range affected by thermal emission. Models are shown in fig. S5.
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