Analysis and Evaluation of the Global Aerosol Optical Properties Simulated by an Online Aerosol-coupled Non-hydrostatic Icosahedral Atmospheric Model
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ABSTRACT

Aerosol optical properties are simulated using the Spectral Radiation Transport Model for Aerosol Species (SPRINTARS) coupled with the Non-hydrostatic ICosahedral Atmospheric Model (NICAM). The 3-year global mean all-sky aerosol optical thickness (AOT) at 550 nm, the Angström Exponent (AE) based on AOTs at 440 and 870 nm, and the single scattering albedo (SSA) at 550 nm are estimated at 0.123, 0.657 and 0.944, respectively. For each aerosol species, the mean AOT is within the range of the AeroCom models. Both the modeled all-sky and clear-sky results are compared with observations from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET). The simulated spatiotemporal distributions of all-sky AOTs can generally reproduce the MODIS retrievals, and the correlation and model skill can be slightly improved using the clear-sky results over most land regions. The differences between clear-sky and all-sky AOTs are larger over polluted regions. Compared with observations from AERONET, the modeled and observed all-sky AOTs and AEs are generally in reasonable agreement, whereas the SSA variation is not well captured. Although the spatiotemporal distributions of all-sky and clear-sky results are similar, the clear-sky results are generally better correlated with the observations. The clear-sky AOT and SSA are generally lower than the all-sky results, especially in those regions where the aerosol chemical composition is contributed to mostly by sulfate aerosol. The modeled clear-sky AE is larger than the all-sky AE over those regions dominated by hydrophilic aerosol, while the opposite is found over regions dominated by hydrophobic aerosol.
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1. Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols have great impacts on the environment, human health, and the earth’s climate (Twomey, 1974; Kampa and Castanas, 2008; Zhang et al., 2012a). Currently, the effects of aerosol on climate (especially the interactions among aerosols, radiation, and clouds) are one of the largest uncertainties in model simulations and climate change assessment (Lohmann et al., 2010). To properly quantify aerosol effects on the climate system, we need to accurately estimate aerosol optical properties such as aerosol optical thickness (AOT), Angström exponent (AE) and single scattering albedo (SSA) with models (Goto et al., 2012).

The optical properties of aerosols are determined not only by the aerosol amount, but also by physical and optical parameters such as aerosol size distribution, the mixing state of particles, hygroscopic growth, and refractive indices, especially in absorbing particles such as black carbon (BC) and dust. These parameters are either prescribed empirically or calculated explicitly in global climate aerosol models (Kinne et al., 2006; Textor et al., 2007; Peng et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012).
2. Model description and observation data

2.1. Model description

The Non-hydrostatic ICosahedral Atmospheric Model (NICAM) is designed to perform cloud-resolving simulations by directly calculating deep convection and mesoscale circulations, which play key roles not only in tropical circulation but also the global circulation of the atmosphere (Satoh et al., 2008). The model has been used for several types of global cloud-resolving experiments with horizontal resolutions up to 3.5 km (Satoh et al., 2008), including a realistic simulation of the Madden–Julian Oscillation (Miura et al., 2007). These studies demonstrate that NICAM reproduces the detailed features of global cloud and precipitation fields. The Spectral Radiation Transport Model for Aerosol Species (SPRINTARS) is a global three-dimensional aerosol transport–radiation model, described fully in Takemura et al. (2000; 2002a; 2009) and Goto et al. (2011a). In the aerosol-coupled version of NICAM (Suzuki et al., 2008), which is referred to as NICAM+SPRINTARS, the mass mixing ratios of the main tropospheric aerosols, i.e., carbonaceous aerosols (BC and organic carbon), sulfate, soil dust, sea salt, and the precursor gases of sulfate, are predicted with the transport processes including advection, convection, diffusion, gravitational settling, and wet and dry deposition. The advection scheme of NICAM has desirable requirements for tracer transport simulations: mass conservation, monotonicity, and efficiency (Niwa et al., 2011b). These facts encourage us to use NICAM+SPRINTARS as an aerosol transport model.

The present study requires a long-term model integration to include the aerosol seasonal variation. This makes it too expensive to perform the model simulation with cloud-resolving resolutions, which directly simulate the cloud microphysics using a one-moment (Tomita, 2008) or two-moment bulk scheme (Seiki and Nakajima, 2014; Seiki et al., 2014) for several days only (Miura et al., 2007; Suzuki et al., 2008). NICAM can also be run at coarser resolutions (Niwa et al., 2011a; Dai et al., 2014a), using the prognostic Arakawa–Schubert cumulus convection scheme (Arakawa and Schubert, 1974) and large-scale condensation scheme (Le Trent and Li, 1991) for cloud parameterization. NICAM is still advantageous when run at coarser resolutions, especially for transport simulations, because of the conservation of mass. Thus, we perform the model simulation with a coarse horizontal resolution of 224 km and 40 vertical layers and the model top located at 40 km for four years (2005–08). The first year is used for spin-up. The column cloud fraction is calculated with the commonly used maximum-random overlap method (Geleyn and Hollingsworth, 1979). The other model physics, such as the minimal advanced treatments of surface interaction and runoff (MATSIRO) land surface scheme (Takata et al., 2003), the two-stream k-distribution radiation scheme (Nakajima et al., 2000; Sekiguchi and Nakajima, 2008), and the level 2 vertical turbulence closure scheme (Mellor and Yamada, 1974) are identical to those used in the cloud-resolving resolutions. National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Final (FNL) operational global tropospheric analyses are used for the initial and boundary conditions.

The emission inventories of BC are based on the Global Emissions Inventory Activity (GEIA) database (Cooke and Wilson, 1996), as monthly means without yearly variation, with the exception of the fossil fuel consumption emission. The latter is based on yearly mean data taken from the AeroCom phase-II dataset (Diehl et al., 2012). Assumming different emission ratios of OC to BC according to the burning conditions (Takemura et al., 2000; Takemura et al., 2002a), the OC emission flux is calculated by the model itself. The inventory of sulfate aerosol precursor (SO$_2$) is also taken from the AeroCom phase-II dataset. The dust and sea salt emission fluxes are parameterized as in Takemura et al. (2009). The oxidant concentrations, such as ozone and hydroxyl radical, which are required to calculate sulfate chemistry (Takemura et al.,...
The modeled AOT, AE and SSA are calculated in the same way as Dai et al. (2014a) by using the newly proposed optical parameters. Hygroscopic growths for sulfate, organic carbon, and sea salt are parameterized as a function of relative humidity to consider the aerosol water uptake (Takeda et al., 2002a). The relative humidity is calculated identically for clear-sky and cloud-sky grids based on the Clausius–Clapeyron equation with grid mean values (i.e., grid mean specific humidity and temperature). The model integral time step is 20 minutes, and the aerosol optical properties are calculated at each integral time step but archived at every 3 hours. The modeled daily mean aerosol optical properties are simple means of the eight instantaneous snapshots per day. To examine the effect of cloud on the evaluation of the model results, we separate the simulated monthly mean aerosol optical properties into clear-sky and all-sky properties. We sample the modeled daily aerosol optical properties to the daily cloud-free observations and calculate the modeled clear-sky monthly aerosol optical properties by averaging the sampled daily results, whereas the all-sky ones are calculated without any conditional sampling.

2.2. The MODIS products

The Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) is a key instrument onboard the NASA earth observing system satellites (Salomonson et al., 1989; Barnes et al., 1998). It has the ability to monitor the spatiotemporal variation of the global aerosol and cloud fields over both ocean and land with several well-calibrated spectral channels (King et al., 1992; Kaufman et al., 1997; Tanré et al., 1997). To eliminate the strong solar reflectance by cloud, MODIS Level 2 AOT retrieval at a 10 × 10 km² resolution considers only the best cloud-free pixels using a sophisticated cloud screen as a preprocessing step (Ackerman et al., 1998; Martins et al., 2002; Remer et al., 2005). The Level 2 AOT is further aggregated to Level 3 gridded global product at a 1° × 1° resolution (King et al., 2003; Remer and Kaufman, 2006). In the present study, the MODIS Collection 5.1 daily Level 3 products of AOT at 550 nm and cloud fraction from both the Terra and Aqua satellites are used, which can be downloaded freely from NASA's innovative data analysis and visualization system (http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni/overview/index.html) (Acker and Leptoukh, 2007).

2.3. AERONET dataset

The Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) provides the largest dataset of global aerosol optical properties derived from ground-based remote sensing using sun/skyradiometers (Holben et al., 1998; Dubovik et al., 2000). In the present study, the daily average AERONET Level 2.0 almucantar inversion products are used for comparison (http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/combined_data_access_inv).

The AERONET AOTs and SSAs at both 440 and 675 nm are interpolated to compare with the modeled results at 550 nm under the assumption that the AOTs are proportional to wavelength on a logarithmic scale. The AE used for comparison is determined from the AOTs at 440 and 870 nm.

3. Results

3.1. Global aerosol distribution with NICAM

Figure 1 shows the three-year averaged global distribution of simulated AOT under all-sky conditions at the wavelength of 550 nm for individual aerosol components and its relative contribution to the total AOT. The sulfate and dust aerosols are located mainly in the Northern Hemisphere, whereas the carbonaceous aerosols and sea salt are located mainly in the Southern Hemisphere. High AOT values (>0.2) for sulfate aerosol are found in eastern Asia and Europe because of the high emission of the sulfate aerosol precursor SO₂ from fossil fuel consumption. Carbonaceous aerosols originating from biomass burning are prominent in central and southern Africa, Southeast Asia, and South America, with AOT values generally higher than 0.2. The maximum value of dust AOT (>0.3) is seen over the Sahara Desert area, and the dust with high AOT emitted from the deserts of East Asia is also simulated well (Wang et al., 2008; Bi et al., 2011). High sea salt AOT (>0.1) located near 60°S directly reflects the high emission rates due to the strong surface wind. In terms of the global 3-year means, soil dust aerosol has the largest AOT (0.035), followed by sulfate aerosol (0.032), carbonaceous aerosol (0.030), and sea salt (0.026). As shown in Table 1, the mean AOTs of NICAM for both aerosol species and the total are all within the ranges of the 20 aerosol models that participated in the AeroCom exercise (Kinne et al., 2006). For dust aerosol, sulfate aerosol and the total, the mean AOTs are close to (~10%) the AeroCom means. For carbonaceous aerosol and sea salt, the mean AOTs are 30.4% higher and 18.7% lower than the AeroCom means, respectively. Sulfate aerosol usually contributes more than 40% to the total AOT over major pollution regions, such as East Asia, Europe, and eastern America. On the other hand, carbonaceous aerosols contribute most (>60%) to the total AOT over biomass burning regions. Sea salt aerosol contributes the most to the AOT over oceans, except the paths of the Asian aerosol transpacific transport and the Sahara dust transatlantic transport. Dust aerosol contributes over 60% to the total AOT over the desert source and outflow regions.

AE indicates the wavelength dependence of AOT, which is used commonly to infer the aerosol particle size distribution and chemical composition (Chung et al., 2012; Logan et al., 2013). Small aerosol particles (i.e., sulfate and carbonaceous) have strong wavelength dependence and thus large AE. SSA governs the strength of aerosol in absorption (Dubovik et al., 2000). The AE and SSA both have spatial distributions related to the aerosol chemical composition (figure not shown for brevity). Large AEs (>1.0) in biomass burning and pollution regions are found because
small aerosol particles (sulfate and carbonaceous) are dominant in such areas. Small AEs (<0.6) are seen in the dust or sea salt aerosol predominant regions because the aerosol particles are large. Dust and carbonaceous aerosols make the SSAs as small as 0.86–0.90 because of their strong absorption properties. Over the remote ocean, especially in the Southern Hemisphere, the SSAs are around 1.0, as non-absorbing sea salt aerosol dominates.

**Fig. 1.** NICAM-simulated 3-year averaged all-sky AOTs at 550 nm (left column) for individual aerosol components and its contribution to the total AOT (right column).

**Table 1.** Globally and annually averaged AOTs at 550 nm with NICAM+SPRINTARS, the AeroCom means, and the AeroCom ranges.

| Models       | Dust   | Sulfate | Carbonaceous | Sea salt | Total  |
|--------------|--------|---------|--------------|----------|--------|
| NICAM        | 0.035  | 0.032   | 0.030        | 0.026    | 0.123  |
| AeroCom means| 0.031  | 0.035   | 0.023        | 0.032    | 0.122  |
| AeroCom ranges| 0.009–0.054 | 0.015–0.051 | 0.008–0.046 | 0.003–0.067 | 0.06–0.151 |
3.2. Comparisons with MODIS retrievals

The modeled climatology of all-sky AOTs at 550 nm, the corresponding MODIS retrievals, and the discrepancies for January, April, July, and October are shown in Fig. 2. The simulated AOTs can reproduce the general characteristics of aerosol distribution as observed by MODIS. AOTs are commonly higher over the Saharan, Arabian and East Asian regions, and the seasonal variation of AOT with higher values in April and July is mostly regulated by the larger dust aerosol emissions (Yang et al., 2008; Ridley et al., 2012). Although the model tends to overestimate the AOTs over biomass burning regions in July, the strong seasonal cycles of the biomass burning in the Congo and Amazon basins are captured. The transpacific transport of the aerosol plume from East Asia to North America (Takemura et al., 2002b; Logan et al., 2010) is evident from both the model and satellite results. The discrepancies reveal the model tends to underestimate the transatlantic transport of the Saharan Desert dust and overestimate the transpacific transport of the East Asian aerosols, except during the summer season.

To investigate the effect of cloud cover on AOT simulation, the modeled climatology of all-sky and clear-sky AOTs are compared in Fig. 3. Distinct differences are found over the regions of East Asia, Europe, and eastern America, where aerosols are mostly from pollution sources. The clear-sky AOTs are generally lower than the all-sky AOTs, especially in January. The maximum absolute and relative differences over −0.3 and −30%, respectively, are found over eastern China in January. To clarify the reason for such maximal differences, the modeled and MODIS-retrieved cloud fraction are also compared. The MODIS cloud fraction is highest over eastern China in January (figure not shown for brevity), and this will cause more higher modeled AOTs to be masked out for the climatology of clear-sky AOTs because the sulfate aerosol is mostly formed in clouds and the hygroscopic growth is more effective in higher humidity regions near the clouds (Takemura et al., 2000; Goto et al., 2011a). Meanwhile, we find there is a clear correlation between the simulated cloud fraction distributions and MODIS results, although the model tends to underestimate the cloud fraction over North America, Eurasia, and the western coasts of the main continents, as in many other models (Le Trent and Li, 1991). Detailed verification of the modeled cloud structures is beyond the scope of this study. Over the tropical and subtropical ocean regions, the clear-sky AOTs are generally

Fig. 2. Modeled all-sky AOTs at 550 nm (left column), the corresponding MODIS-retrieved AOTs (middle column), and the differences between the modeled and MODIS-retrieved AOTs (right column) in January (top row), April (2nd row), July (3rd row), and October (bottom row) averaged over the 3-year period from 2006 to 2008.
slightly higher ($<0.05$) than the all-sky ones, and this could induce some high relative differences where the AOTs are also small, such as over the tropical Pacific. The clear-sky AOTs are generally slightly lower ($<−0.05$) than the all-sky AOTs over the Southern Ocean near $60^\circ$S, where the cloud fraction and sea salt aerosol are generally higher. This indicates that the sea salt AOT enhancement by hygroscopic growth is larger than the decrement caused by the wet deposition under high-cloud or high-humidity conditions, and the large AOT under high cloud fraction conditions may be masked out to calculate the climatology of clear-sky AOT.

To evaluate the evolution of the modeled AOTs quantitatively, we compare the modeled AOTs over land and over ocean with MODIS retrievals separately. The global land area is divided into seven regions according to the aerosol sources and their geographical locations, similar to Chin et al. (2009): North America (NAM), Europe (EUR), Asia (ASA), northern Africa and the Middle East (NAF), South America (SAM), southern Africa (SAF), and Australia/New Zealand/tropical western Pacific countries (AUS) (Fig. 4a). Figures 4b–i show comparisons of the regional and global monthly mean modeled AOT over land under both clear-sky and all-sky conditions with the MODIS retrievals. The statistical parameters, including the correlation coefficient ($R$), bias, and model skill are given in Table 2. The model skill depends on both $R$ and the standard deviations of the observed and modeled results:

$$
\text{Skill} = \frac{4(1 + R)}{(\sigma_f + 1/\sigma_f)^2(1 + R_0)}
$$

where $\sigma_f$ is the ratio of the standard deviation of the model to...
Table 2. Summary of the statistical parameters for the comparisons shown in Fig. 4.

| Region | MODIS Mean | All-sky | Clear-sky | All-sky | Clear-sky | All-sky | Clear-sky |
|--------|------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| NAM    | 0.171      | 0.416   | 0.589     | −0.082  | −0.096    | 0.217   | 0.255     |
| SAM    | 0.181      | 0.656   | 0.657     | −0.017  | −0.024    | 0.804   | 0.816     |
| EUR    | 0.196      | 0.512   | 0.589     | −0.013  | −0.040    | 0.663   | 0.772     |
| NAF    | 0.348      | 0.586   | 0.573     | −0.043  | −0.049    | 0.748   | 0.754     |
| SAF    | 0.215      | 0.742   | 0.740     | −0.015  | −0.019    | 0.580   | 0.585     |
| ASA    | 0.335      | 0.753   | 0.801     | −0.086  | −0.111    | 0.875   | 0.900     |
| AUS    | 0.113      | 0.354   | 0.367     | −0.012  | −0.015    | 0.662   | 0.664     |
| Global land | 0.234 | 0.641   | 0.690     | −0.049  | −0.062    | 0.818   | 0.841     |

Fig. 4. (a) Definition of the different land regions used in this study. The surrounding panels compare the variation of modeled monthly all-sky AOT (red line), clear-sky AOT (green line), and MODIS AOT (black line) over (b–h) the different regions and (i) the global land area.

that of the observation, and $R_0$ is the maximum attainable $R$, which is set to 1 (Taylor, 2001; Chin et al., 2009). Note that the regional monthly mean AOT under all-sky conditions is calculated using only the grid values where monthly mean MODIS AOTs are available. It is clear that the modeled all-sky and clear-sky AOTs can both reproduce the monthly AOT variability as observed by MODIS, except over NAM where the modeled AOT variability is too small compared to MODIS results. Although the model tends to underestimate the AOTs over all regions, and the clear-sky AOTs further enlarge the underestimations, the clear-sky AOTs are better correlated with the observed AOTs. This indicates that the aerosol variations are better simulated using the modeled clear-sky results, and this is further verified by the increments of the model skill using the clear-sky AOTs over all regions. The global ocean is also divided into seven regions, as shown in Fig. 5a: northern Atlantic (NA), northern Pacific (NP), tropical northern Atlantic (TA), southern Pacific (SP), southern Atlantic (SA), Indian Ocean (IO), and Southern Ocean (SO). Similar comparisons over the ocean as over land are shown in Figs. 5b–i, and the statistical parameters are given in Table 3. The model shows higher skill in simulating the monthly AOT variations over the downwind regions of the main land aerosol sources, such as the outflows of dust aerosol from the Sahara Desert (TA), mixed aerosols from East Asia (NP), and biomass burning aerosols from South America (SA). The differences between clear-sky and all-sky AOTs are generally ignorable, except over the NA and SO
Table 3. Summary of the statistical parameters for the comparisons shown in Fig. 5.

| Region | MODIS Mean | All-sky | Clear-sky | Bias All-sky | Bias Clear-sky | Model Skill All-sky | Model Skill Clear-sky |
|--------|------------|---------|-----------|--------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| NA     | 0.184      | 0.412   | 0.339     | −0.061       | −0.073         | 0.417                | 0.331                 |
| NP     | 0.193      | 0.459   | 0.434     | −0.040       | −0.050         | 0.723                | 0.705                 |
| TA     | 0.252      | 0.798   | 0.798     | −0.108       | −0.108         | 0.898                | 0.897                 |
| SP     | 0.123      | 0.392   | 0.411     | −0.061       | −0.062         | 0.679                | 0.693                 |
| SA     | 0.183      | 0.835   | 0.833     | −0.049       | −0.051         | 0.893                | 0.897                 |
| IO     | 0.194      | 0.398   | 0.389     | −0.069       | −0.071         | 0.595                | 0.572                 |
| SO     | 0.147      | 0.550   | 0.602     | −0.046       | −0.055         | 0.418                | 0.345                 |
| Global ocean | 0.171     | 0.497   | 0.520     | −0.061       | −0.067         | 0.693                | 0.725                 |

Fig. 5. (a) Definition of the different ocean regions used in this study. The surrounding panels show comparisons of the variation of modeled monthly all-sky AOT (red line), clear-sky AOT (green line), and MODIS AOT (black line) over (b–h) the different regions and (i) the global ocean.

regions. Although the $R$ values of the clear-sky AOTs with observations are higher over the SO region, the increment of underestimation using clear-sky AOTs further induces the decrement of the model skill. On the basis of the global ocean, the clear-sky AOT is better correlated with the observation and slightly increases the model skill.

Figure 6a shows the 3-year mean differences of the modeled daily AOTs and the MODIS retrievals over land as a function of MODIS cloud fraction. During the comparison, the modeled AOTs are sampled to the observations for the regional mean. The differences are clearly dependent on the cloud fraction over all regions. The model tends to overestimate the AOTs over the AUS, SAF, SAM, and NAF regions under low cloud fraction conditions, whereas underestimations are found under high cloud fraction conditions. In these regions, aerosols are mostly from dust and biomass burning sources. The cloud only affects the wet deposition of these aerosols. Insufficient wet deposition under low cloud fraction conditions induces the overestimation of the AOT. The model underestimates the AOTs under all cloud conditions over the ASA, EUR, and NAM regions. In these regions, aerosols are dominated by sulfate. The cloud affects both the formation and the wet deposition of sulfate. Although the wet deposition is small when the cloud cover is low, the insufficient formation of sulfate could cause the underestimation of AOT. It is interesting that the AOT underestimation increases with the cloud fraction over all regions. As shown in Fig. 6b, the MODIS AOT increases with the cloud fraction
Fig. 6. Mean differences of the modeled and MODIS-retrieved daily AOTs over the 3-year period for varying cloud fraction over (a) land and (d) ocean, the mean MODIS-retrieved AOTs for varying cloud fraction over (b) land and (e) ocean, and the mean modeled AOTs with varying cloud fraction over (c) land and (f) ocean.

over all regions, and such enhancement can be well explained as the aerosol hygroscopic growth in the humid environment surrounding clouds (Chand et al., 2012). As shown in Fig. 6c, the model can generally reproduce AOT enhancements with the cloud fraction except over the NAF region; however, the slopes of enhancements are much smaller than in the MODIS retrievals. This indicates that the model may underestimate the effect of aerosol hygroscopic growth, while MODIS may overestimate the AOT under higher cloud fraction caused by the unscreened cloud particles with AOT uncertainties of about 5%–15% (Remer et al., 2005). In our model, the consideration of hydrophobic dust aerosol induces the decrement of the AOT with cloud fraction over the NAF region. Similar results are also found over the ocean regions, as shown in Figs. 6d–f.

3.3. Comparisons with AERONET observations

AERONET simultaneously retrieves AOT, AE, and SSA (Dubovik and King, 2000; Dubovik et al., 2000), and this makes more aerosol characteristics available to constrain model performances. The modeled monthly and 3-year mean AOT and SSA at 550 nm and the AE based on AOTs at 440 and 870 nm are compared with the AERONET retrievals. The monthly and 3-year mean AERONET-retrieved optical values are derived from the daily mean values. There are in total 148 AERONET sites that have more than 120 daily mean retrievals during the period 2006 to 2008. The locations of these AERONET sites are shown in Fig. 7a, and the AERONET sites are also further classified into seven world regions. The regional mean observed aerosol optical properties are calculated using the available observations at the AERONET sites located over each region, and the regional mean modeled results are calculated similarly to the observed ones by interpolating the model results to the corresponding AERONET sites.

Figures 7b–i show inter-comparisons of the modeled all-sky, clear-sky, and the retrieved monthly mean AOT variations on the basis of regional and global means, and the statistical parameters are given in Table 4. Similar comparisons for the AE and SSA are shown in Figs. 8 and 9, and the statistical parameters are summarized in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. Over the NAM and EUR regions, where aerosols are mostly from pollution sources, the observed AOTs show clear seasonal variation, which is reproduced better by using the clear-sky AOTs than the all-sky AOTs, although the clear-sky AOTs are more biased than the all-sky AOTs over the NAM region. Such an influence is not so obvious with respect to the comparisons of the AE and SSA values. The monthly variations of AE and SSA are not clear, except that the AEs are slightly lower during the spring season in the NAM region. The latter could be caused by the frequent occurrence of Asian dust transpacific transport in the spring season (Logan et al., 2010). Over the biomass burning regions of SAM and SAF, the observed monthly variations of AOTs and AEs with peaks during biomass burning periods are also slightly improved with higher model skill by using
Table 4. Summary of the statistical parameters for the comparisons shown in Fig. 7.

| Region | MODIS Mean | All-sky | Clear-sky | Bias All-sky | Bias Clear-sky | Model Skill All-sky | Model Skill Clear-sky |
|--------|------------|---------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| NAM    | 0.110      | 0.244   | 0.538     | -0.005       | -0.029        | 0.348               | 0.425               |
| SAM    | 0.158      | 0.744   | 0.765     | 0.048        | 0.032         | 0.870               | 0.882               |
| EUR    | 0.154      | 0.313   | 0.422     | 0.022        | -0.001        | 0.656               | 0.708               |
| NAF    | 0.374      | 0.779   | 0.783     | -0.070       | -0.062        | 0.872               | 0.856               |
| SAF    | 0.134      | 0.665   | 0.659     | 0.025        | 0.020         | 0.691               | 0.703               |
| ASA    | 0.436      | 0.666   | 0.658     | -0.076       | -0.137        | 0.816               | 0.826               |
| AUS    | 0.060      | 0.357   | 0.401     | 0.037        | 0.030         | 0.677               | 0.695               |
| All    | 0.210      | 0.737   | 0.760     | -0.010       | -0.035        | 0.824               | 0.863               |

Fig. 7. (a) Locations of the AERONET sites used in this study and the seven regions these sites are further divided into. The surrounding panels show inter-comparisons between the modeled all-sky (red line), clear-sky (green line) and AERONET-retrieved (black line) monthly mean AOT variations at 550 nm on the basis of the (b–h) regional mean and (i) global mean.

clear-sky results. The retrieved SSAs with lower values during the biomass burning season over the SAF region are also better simulated by the clear-sky results, although the bias is slightly higher than based on the all-sky results. Over the NAF and AUS regions, where aerosols are mostly from dust sources, except those perturbed by biomass burning, the observed AOT, AE, and SSA variations are also better reproduced by the clear-sky results with higher $R$. In the ASA region, where the aerosol composition is more complicated, although the biases of AOT, AE and SSA are enlarged with the clear-sky results, the variations are better reproduced by the clear-sky results with higher $R$ and model skill for both AOT and AE.

Figure 10 shows an inter-comparison of the modeled all-sky, clear-sky, and observed 3-year mean of AOT, AE and SSA over all the available AERONET sites. As shown in Figs. 10a–c, on a global basis, the all-sky and clear-sky AOTs, AE and SSAs are significantly correlated with $R$ values of 0.963, 0.985, and 0.950, respectively, indicating similar horizontal distributions of clear-sky and all-sky results. The 3-year mean all-sky AOT, AE, and SSA are 0.203, 0.895, and 0.916, respectively, which are 0.022, 0.019, and 0.008 higher than the clear-sky values. The clear-sky AOT is generally lower than the all-sky AOT, except over the dust-dominant regions. The clear-sky AE is generally lower than the all-sky AE, except over the dust-dominant regions. The clear-sky AE is generally lower than the all-sky AE when the AE value is high (>1.1), whereas the clear-sky AE is lower than the all-sky AE when the AE value is low (<0.6). The high AE value indicates that the AOT is contributed to mostly by the sulfate and/or carbonaceous aerosols. These aerosol radii are larger under cloudy...
conditions because of hygroscopic growth, so the all-sky AE values are lower. In contrast, the low AEs indicate the aerosol composition is mostly dust. This hydrophobic aerosol is not influenced much by the cloud, but the extinction coefficients of hydrophilic aerosols in clear-sky conditions are lower than in all-sky conditions, and this induces the lower all-sky AEs. The clear-sky SSAs are mostly lower than the all-sky SSAs, especially over those regions dominated by
The global spatial and temporal distributions of the major aerosol optical properties, i.e., AOT, AE, and SSA, are simulated using a new aerosol-coupled non-hydrostatic icosahedral atmospheric model from 2006 to 2008. The 3-year global mean AOT, AE and SSA at 550 nm are estimated at 0.123, 0.657 and 0.944, respectively, with soil dust having the largest AOT (0.035), followed by sulfate aerosol (0.032), carbonaceous aerosol (0.030), and sea salt (0.026). For all the aerosol species, the mean AOTs are within the ranges of the AeroCom results.

To include the effect of cloud on the aerosol model evaluation, the model results are separated to all-sky and clear-sky results. The simulated spatial distribution of all-sky AOTs can generally reproduce the MODIS retrievals. The transpecific transport of the aerosol plume and the seasonal varia-

| Region | MODIS Mean | All-sky | Clear-sky |
|--------|------------|---------|-----------|
| NAM    | 1.356      | 0.894   | 0.902     |
| SAM    | 1.190      | 0.473   | 0.474     |
| EUR    | 1.378      | 0.450   | 0.403     |
| NAF    | 0.589      | 0.701   | 0.735     |
| SAF    | 1.235      | 0.808   | 0.776     |
| ASA    | 1.206      | 0.577   | 0.637     |
| AUS    | 1.030      | 0.337   | 0.624     |
| All    | 1.204      | 0.875   | 0.864     |

| Region | MODIS Mean | All-sky | Clear-sky |
|--------|------------|---------|-----------|
| NAM    | 0.937      | 0.245   | 0.312     |
| SAM    | 0.899      | 0.392   | 0.329     |
| EUR    | 0.924      | -0.189  | -0.037    |
| NAF    | 0.921      | 0.672   | 0.704     |
| SAF    | 0.891      | 0.656   | 0.695     |
| ASA    | 0.917      | 0.678   | 0.666     |
| AUS    | 0.876      | 0.427   | 0.597     |
| All    | 0.921      | 0.715   | 0.760     |

The model may also have less scavenging for large dust particles, and this induces an incorrect dust size distribution over the outflow regions. SPRINTARS uses a single-moment scheme to track only the dust mass in 10 bins, as compared to the two-moment dust model that also includes the size distribution (Adams and Seinfeld, 2002; Peng et al., 2012). Although the underestimation of AE is further enlarged when using the clear-sky results, especially over the NAM and ASA regions, the clear-sky values can slightly improve the value of R.
Fig. 10. Inter-comparison of the modeled all-sky, clear-sky and AERONET-retrieved 3-year mean AOTs at 550 nm (left column), AEs (440/870 nm) (middle column), and SSAs at 550 nm (right column) at all the available AERONET sites in this study. Each point represents the site-specific 3-year mean results, and points are colored according to the seven world regions.

The modeled AOTs are in reasonable agreement with the retrievals. Although the clear-sky results show larger bias to the observations, they are in better agreement with the retrievals with higher \( R \) and model skill. The differences between the modeled AOTs and observations are larger under the higher cloud fraction conditions. Compared with the ground-based AERONET observations, the modeled clear-sky AOT, AE, and SSA are generally in better agreement with observations than the all-sky results, based on \( R \). The clear-sky AOTs and SSAs are generally lower than the all-sky results, especially over those regions where aerosols are mostly from pollution sources, because the non-absorbing sulfate is mostly formed in cloud and the hygroscopic growth is more effective in higher humidity regions near the cloud. The modeled clear-sky AEs could be either larger or smaller than the all-sky AEs, depending on the aerosol chemical composition. Although larger differences between all-sky and clear-sky results are found over the pollution regions, the differences are smaller than the aerosol seasonal and spatial variations.

The modeled AEs are exclusively lower than the AERONET retrievals in the NAM, EUR, and ASA regions, highlighting the uncertainties of the aerosol processes in our model. An investigation of the model’s uncertainties using updated emission inventories and observations (Levy et al., 2013) will provide multi-dimensional diagnostics of the model’s shortcomings, as well as possible remedies. Recently, the aerosol assimilation system of NICAM+SPRINTARS has been developed to overcome some of the uncertainties involved in the aerosol processes (Dai et al., 2013; Dai et al., 2014b), helping to improve the
simulation of aerosol optical properties over East Asia.
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