Comprehensive Analysis of the Functions and Prognostic Value of RNA-Binding Proteins in Thyroid Cancer
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RNA binding proteins (RBPs) have been proved to play pivotal roles in a variety of tumors. However, there is no convincible evidence disclosing the functions of RBPs in thyroid cancer (THCA) thoroughly and systematically. Integrated analysis of the functional and prognostic effect of RBPs help better understanding tumorigenesis and development in thyroid and may provide a novel therapeutic method for THCA. In this study, we obtained a list of human RBPs from Gerstberger database, which covered 1,542 genes encoding RBPs. Gene expression data of THCA was downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA, n = 567), from which we extracted 1,491 RBPs’ gene expression data. We analyzed differentially expressed RBPs using R package “limma”. Based on differentially expressed RBPs, we constructed protein-protein interaction network and the GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses were carried out. We found six RBPs (AZGP1, IGF2BP2, MEX3A, NUDT16, NUP153, USB1) independently associated with prognosis of patients with thyroid cancer according to univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models. The survival analysis and risk score analysis achieved good performances from this six-gene prognostic model. Nomogram was constructed to guide clinical decision in practice. Finally, biological experiments disclosed that NUP153 and USB1 can significantly impact cancer cell proliferation and migration. In conclusion, our research provided a new insight of thyroid tumorigenesis and development based on analyses of RBPs. More importantly, the six-gene model may play an important role in clinical practice in the future.
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INTRODUCTION

Cancers are now the leading cause of death worldwide, and thyroid cancer is one of the top 10 cancer types with about 567,233 new cases and 41,071 deaths annually (1). The common subtypes of thyroid cancer based on histological characteristics are anaplastic, follicular, medullary, and papillary thyroid carcinoma (2). The age-standardized 5-year relative survival rate of thyroid cancer can reach 85%, which is recognized as an excellent vital prognosis (3, 4). However, the incidence of thyroid cancer continued to increase in many countries over the last decades (5), along with increased use of diagnostic imaging and surveillance (2). Despite the well-developed surveillance system, the newly diagnosed cases still account for a high proportion of cancer incidence. Moreover, patients with advanced-stage thyroid carcinoma have a poor 5-year survival rate. Therefore, accurate detection of thyroid cancer as early as possible is of great concern. This had led to an urgent need for new diagnostic markers and therapeutic targets for THCA, and a systematic study to explore the differentially expressed genes in thyroid cancer is needed to provide new biomarkers.

RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) interact with various kinds of RNA, playing critical roles in post-transcriptional regulation. A catalog of 1,542 experimentally validated human RBPs had been identified by high-throughput screening in diverse human cell types (6, 7). They create functional units by binding to sequence-specific motifs or RNA secondary structures, utilizing their specific RNA-binding domains (8). RBPs can control multiple targets by influencing different post-transcriptional steps including RNA splicing, polyadenylation, stability, localization, translation, and degradation by establishing dynamic interactions with other coding and non-coding RNAs in so-called ribonucleoprotein complexes (9, 10).

Given that RBPs have pivotal functions in post-transcriptional regulation, minor changes in the expressions and/or activities of RBPs may lead to extensive disruptions of downstream regulatory networks. Thus, it is inevitable that alterations in RBPs underlie several pathological conditions. Studies have proved that RBPs show abnormal expression in tumors relative to adjacent normal tissues (11–13). Various RBP regulation mechanisms have been clarified in cancer cells, including genomic alterations, transcriptional and post-transcriptional control, as well as post-translational modifications (PTMs). In breast cancer, hnRNPM-mediated alternative splicing of CD44 promotes the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) by activating the transforming growth factor-β signaling pathway (TGF-β), which is essential for metastasis (14). Another study showed that huR can bind the mRNA of the apoptosome inhibitor ProTα, improving its stability to increase the protein’s cytoplasmic abundance and translation, eliciting an antiapoptotic program in cervical cancer (15). In glioblastoma, overexpression of RBFOX1 was found to increase the blood-tumor barrier permeability by increasing the stability of MAFF, which promoted doxorubicin delivery across the blood-tumor barrier, resulting in apoptosis of glioma cells (16). In addition to the proto-oncogenic RBPs mentioned above, other studies have also demonstrated the tumor-suppressor roles of many RBPs. HnRNP K haploinsufficiency leads to genomic instability, increased tumor growth, reduced survival, and the development of transplantable hematopoietic neoplasms with myeloproliferation, which indicates that hnRNP K as a tumor suppressor in hematological disorders (17). Sustained KHSRP expression limits the TGF-β-dependent induction of EMT factors and cell migration, while its knockdown can induce the EMT in mammary gland cells (18). However, currently few studies have systematically investigated the predictive roles of RBPs regarding the diagnosis, prognosis, and therapeutic outcomes of thyroid cancer.

In this study, we downloaded the gene expression data and clinical information of patients with thyroid cancer in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. We then screened differentially expressed RBPs and analyzed potential molecular mechanisms using GO term and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. Univariate Cox regression analysis was used to identify potential prognostic RBPs, which were then used to construct a multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression model for patient prognosis. The nomogram was plotted to predict the patient outcomes quantitatively in practical work. Finally, experimental validation confirmed that downregulation of the RBPs included in the model could significantly affect the proliferation and migration of thyroid cancer cells. This study confirms the significance of RBPs in thyroid cancer, and the RBPs included in the model may be potential biomarkers for diagnosis and prognosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Preprocessing and Screening of Differentially Expressed RBPs

Datasets of thyroid cancer were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) database. We obtained gene expression data (FPKM, Fragments Per Kilobase Million) of 58 adjacent normal tissues and 509 tumor tissues, as well as the clinical information of 507 patients. The expression data for a total of 1,491 RBPs were extracted from the raw data using Perl software, and we identified differentially expressed RBPs using the “limma” package with a criterion of \(|\log_2 \text{fold change (FC)}| \geq 0.5\) and false discovery rate \(< 0.05\) (19). Based on the differentially expressed RBPs, we drew a heatmap and volcano plot using the heatmap package.

Construction and Visualization of the Protein-Protein Interaction Network

We used the online database “STRING” (https://string-db.org/) to construct a protein-protein interaction network based on the differentially expressed RBPs. The network was visualized using Cytoscape 3.7.2 software (20), and key subnetworks were screened based on score > 6 and node > 6 using the MCODE (Molecular Complex Detection) app in Cytoscape.

GO (Gene Ontology) and KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) Functional Enrichment Analyses

To systematically investigate the biological functions of the identified differentially expressed RBPs, we used gene ontology...
(GO) analysis, including biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), and molecular function (MF). The KEGG database was used to identify potential biological pathways of the differentially expressed RBPs. GO and KEGG pathway analyses were carried out using the R packages clusterProfiler, enrichplot, ggplot2, and org.Hs.eg.db, with a screening criterion encompassing a p-value of less than 0.05 and q value (adjusted p-value) of less than 0.05.

**Selection of Prognostic RBPs**

We evaluated the association between survival duration and the expression levels of the differentially expressed RBPs via individual univariate Cox regression analysis using the “survival” package in R. The RBPs with p-values of less than 0.05 were considered potential prognostic RBPs.

**Construction and Evaluation of a Prognostic Model**

We developed a multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression model to construct a prognostic model for thyroid cancer patients based on the screened prognostic RBPs. The risk score of each patient was calculated as follows:

\[
Risk\ Score = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i \times \text{ExpGene}(i)
\]

where \( n \) is the number of genes in this model, \( \text{ExpGene}(i) \) is the expression level of each gene, and \( \beta \) represents the regression coefficient.

To evaluate the performance of this model, we divided patients into high- and low-risk subgroups, based on the median risk score, and the significance of the difference in overall survival (OS) between the two subgroups was calculated via Kaplan–Meier (KM) survival analysis using the “survival” package in R and tested using the log-rank test. At the same time, ROC curve analysis was carried out using the “survivalROC” package in R. The p-value of survival analysis less than 0.05 and AUC of ROC curve more than 0.7 were considered to be a moderate model. After verification, the model was found to be reliable and could effectively predict the outcomes of THCA patients. Additionally, we drew a nomogram plot to forecast the OS using the rms package in R.

**Functional Enrichment Analysis of Individual Genes**

Gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was used to explore the potential biological pathways in the high- and low-expression groups defined by the thyroid cancer patients’ prognostic signature. KEGG gene sets (v7.0) and phenotype label (High-Expression vs. Low-Expression) files were generated and loaded into the GSEA software (v4.0.3; Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA) (21). The permutation test was conducted 1,000 times, after which we used the R packages pplyr, ggplot2, grid, and gridExtra to integrate the results into a single plot.

**Cell Lines and Culture Conditions**

The thyroid cancer cell lines TPC1 and BCPAP used in this study were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. All cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (1640) (HyClone, UT, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere comprising 5% CO₂.

**Antibodies and Small Interfering RNA (siRNA)**

The antibodies used in this study are listed in supplementary Materials and Methods. Sequences of small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) are listed in Supplementary Table S4.

**Transient Transfection of Thyroid Cancer Cells**

Transient transfection of siRNAs (100 nM) were carried out in 6-well plates using FuGENE HD Transfection Reagent (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Briefly, cells were seeded at a density of approximately 40,000 cells per cm². Medium was refreshed after 12 h and transient transfection was carried out for 12 h in OptimEM Reduced Serum Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific), followed by 24 h of recovery in media containing 10% FBS. siRNAs targeting NUP153 and USB1 and non-targeting negative control (NC) were purchased from RiboBio (Guangzhou, China).

**Proliferation and Migration Assays**

Cell proliferation was assessed using the MTT, plate colony formation and EdU assays, according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Transwell and wound healing/scratch assays were used to evaluate cell migration. Experiments were carried out as described in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

**Western Blot Analysis**

Cells were lysed with RIPA lysis buffer (Solarbio, China) with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA), and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. The blots were visualized using the enzyme chemiluminescence (ECL) reagent (Millipore, GE Healthcare, USA).

**RNA Extraction and Quantitative Reverse-Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)**

The total RNA of cultured cells was isolated using TRIzol® reagent (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cDNA templates were prepared using a PrimeScript™ RT reagent kit (Takara, Japan) and 1000 ng of total RNA as template in reaction mixtures comprising 10 μl. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed on a CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad, USA) using SYBR® Premix Ex TaqTM II (Tli RNaseH Plus) (Takara, Japan). The primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S3. Real-time PCR reactions were performed in triplicate and all samples were analyzed using the ΔΔCT method. Values were normalized to the internal control GAPDH.
Statistical Analysis
Data were presented as means ± SD. Student’s t-test (two-tailed) was used to determine the significance of differences between the experimental and control groups. The threshold for significance was set to p < 0.05. Kaplan-Meier survival curves and the log-rank test were used to evaluate the outcomes of patients with THCA with different NUP153 and USB1 expression. All calculations were performed SPSS software (IBM Corp., USA).

RESULTS
Differentially Expressed RBPs in Thyroid Cancer
The framework of the analysis applied in this study is shown in Figure 1. We downloaded gene expression data (FPKM, Fragments Per Kilobase Million) of 58 adjacent normal and 509 tumor tissues, as well as the clinical information of 507 patients. A total of 1,491 RBPs were extracted from the gene expression data, 162 of which met our inclusion criteria (|log2 fold change (FC)| ≥ 0.5 and false discovery rate < 0.05), including 70 down- and 92 upregulated RBPs. The corresponding heatmap and volcano plot are shown in Supplementary Figure S1.

Protein-Protein Interaction Network
We used the online database “STRING” (https://string-db.org) to construct a protein-protein interaction network of the differentially expressed RBPs. The network was visualized by Cytoscape 3.7.2 software to detect potential mechanisms of these differentially expressed RBPs. The PPI network was shown in Figure 2A, and the visualized network was shown in Figure 2B. It included 138 nodes and 411 edges. We further selected significant sub-networks using the MCODE plug-in and selected the top 2 sub-networks (Figure 2C). Subnetwork 1 included 26 nodes and 118 edges, and subnetwork 2 consisted of six nodes and 15 edges. Functional enrichment analysis revealed that: 1. Genes from subnetwork 1 were mainly enriched in ribosome biogenesis, RNA processing, and DNA modification. 2. Genes from subnetwork 2 were significantly enriched in defense response to viral infection, and the type I interferon signaling pathway. GO and KEGG pathway analyses of the two subnetworks are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Investigation of Biological Pathways Affected by the Differentially Expressed RBPs
To investigate potential biological signaling pathways and molecular mechanisms of the selected RBPs, we analyzed the
GO and KEGG enrichment of the up- and downregulated RBPs using the R packages clusterProfiler, enrichplot, ggplot, and org.Hs.eg.db. The top ten GO categories of the up- and downregulated RBPs are shown in Figures 3A, B, respectively.

The biological processes (BP) analysis showed that the upregulated differentially expressed RBPs were mainly enriched in regulation of RNA metabolic processes, RNA translation, and response to viral infection (Supplementary Figure S2A and Table
FIGURE 3 | GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses of the identified differentially expressed RBPs. (A) GO analysis of upregulated RBPs. The result showing the top 10 categories. (B) GO analysis of downregulated RBPs. The result showing the top 10 categories. (C) KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of upregulated RBPs. (D) KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of downregulated RBPs.
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TABLE 1 | The prognostic effect of prognosis-related RBPs.

| Gene    | HR*   | 95% CI* | p-value |
|---------|-------|---------|---------|
| PARP12  | 0.684 | 0.486–0.962 | 0.029   |
| NUP153  | 1.466 | 1.116–1.927  | 0.006   |
| TDRD5   | 2.401 | 1.133–5.018  | 0.038   |
| AZGP1   | 2.236 | 1.508–3.318  | <0.001  |
| TDRK4H  | 0.736 | 0.545–0.985  | 0.046   |
| CANX    | 1.086 | 1.002–1.101  | 0.007   |
| RPS27L  | 0.799 | 0.674–0.947  | 0.01    |
| SMAD1   | 1.992 | 1.102–3.603  | 0.023   |
| IGF2BP2 | 0.915 | 0.840–0.996  | 0.039   |
| TDRD6   | 1.434 | 1.161–1.771  | <0.001  |
| NUDT16  | 1.101 | 1.022–1.186  | 0.011   |
| KHDB2S  | 1.260 | 1.084–1.464  | 0.003   |
| PPARC1A | 1.139 | 1.033–1.256  | 0.009   |
| EEF1A2  | 1.019 | 1.005–1.034  | 0.009   |
| MRPL14  | 0.961 | 0.926–0.998  | 0.039   |
| MEX3A   | 1.163 | 1.008–1.342  | 0.039   |
| USP1    | 0.734 | 0.555–0.970  | 0.03    |
| NOLC1   | 1.130 | 1.032–1.236  | 0.008   |

*HR, Hazard ratio; CI, Confidence interval.

S2A). By contrast, the downregulated RBPs were enriched in regulation of translation, regulation of mRNA metabolic processes and RNA splicing (Supplementary Figure S2B and Table S2B). In terms of cellular components (CC), the upregulated differentially expressed RBPs were notably enriched in cytoplasmic ribonucleoprotein granules and the nucleolar compartment (Supplementary Figure S2A). The downregulated differentially expressed RBPs were enriched in cytoplasmic ribonucleoprotein granules, cytoplasmic stress granules and ribosomes (Supplementary Figure S2B). Concerning the molecular function (MF), the upregulated differentially expressed RBPs were mainly enriched in catalytic activity-acting on RNA, ribonuclease activity, translation regulator activity, and mRNA 3′–UTR binding (Supplementary Figure S2A), while the downregulated RBPs were enriched in helicase activity, catalytic activity-acting on RNA and mRNA 3′–UTR binding (Supplementary Figure S2B). The KEGG pathway analysis showed that the upregulated differentially expressed RBPs were enriched in RNA transport, RNA degradation, mRNA surveillance pathways and RNA polymerase function, all related to post-translational regulation related to RNA (Figure 3C). The downregulated differentially expressed RBPs were mainly enriched in the mRNA surveillance pathway (Figure 3D).

Screening of Prognostic RBPs
We detected 18 RBPs associating with prognosis by univariate Cox regression and the likelihood-ratio test. Among these, 12 were proto-oncogenic RBPs and six had been recognized as tumor-suppressor RBPs (Table 1). A forest plot of the prognostic RBPs is shown in Supplementary Figure S3.

Construction and Evaluation of a Prognostic Model
With the screening criteria where survival analysis less than 0.05 and AUC of ROC curve more than 0.7, six genes were incorporated into the multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression model. These were AZGP1, IGF2BP2, MEX3A, NUDT16, NUP153, and USB1. The risk score of each thyroid cancer patient was calculated according to the formula:

Risk Score = (1.021 × Exp AZGP1) + (−0.09 × Exp IGF2BP2) + (0.241 × Exp MEX3A) + (0.127 × Exp NUDT16) + (0.388 × Exp NUP153) + (−0.236 × Exp USB1)

To assess this model’s predictive power, patients were divided into high- and low-risk groups based on the median risk score, followed by survival analysis and ROC analysis. The high-risk group showed a significantly lower OS rate compared with the high-risk group (Figure 4A). We next conducted ROC analysis to further evaluate the prognostic power of the six-RBP gene model, which revealed AUC values of the ROC curve for OS of 0.766 (3-year OS) and 0.784 (5-year OS) (Figure 4B). The risk score duration (Figure 4C, top), survival status duration (Figure 4C, middle) and expression heatmap of the six biomarkers (Figure 4C, bottom) in the high- and low-risk groups were analyzed, which revealed that high-risk patients have a lower survival duration and the gene expression levels are significantly different between the high- and low-risk groups. These results indicated that this model had moderate sensitivity and specificity.

To establish a quantitative prognosis for thyroid cancer patients, we integrated the six RBP markers to build a nomogram, which was then used to calculate the estimated survival probabilities of thyroid cancer patients at 1, 2, and 3 years by plotting a vertical line across the total point axis and each prognostic axis (Figure 5).

After analyzing the relationship between the expression of the RBPs and prognosis, we next evaluated the prognostic value of different clinical features, including age, gender, T, N, histological type, radiation exposure history, and risk score, in 391 thyroid cancer patients by conducting univariate and multivariate regression analyses. The univariate regression analysis showed that T, radiation exposure history and risk scores were associated with the OS of thyroid cancer patients. However, we found that only T and risk score were independent prognostic factors related to OS according to multivariate regression analysis (Table 2).

**NUP153 Is Involved in the Maintenance of Proliferation in Thyroid Cancer**
After discovering that the expression of NUP153 was higher in the high-risk patients than the low-risk group (Figure 4C, bottom), and finding that high expression of NUP153 was negatively associated with prognosis in the survival analysis (Figure 6A), we explored the biological signaling pathways differentially enriched between the NUP153 high/low expression groups by gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA). The results indicated that the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway had a significant enrichment score (NES = 2.03, NOM p < 0.0001) (Figure 6B). Then, we carried out experiment validation. First, we transfected NUP153 specific siRNA into thyroid cancer cell line TPC1 (Figure 6C) and BCPAP (Supplementary Figure S4A) to decrease the expression of NUP153. The functional experiments showed
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that the downregulation of NUP153 suppressed the proliferation and migration of both TPC1 (Figures 6D–H) and BCPAP (Supplementary Figures S4B-F) cells. Finally, we used western blotting to validate that knockdown of NUP153 exhibited decreased expression of PI3K_α, P-AKT-Ser473, and mTOR (Figure 6I, Supplementary Figure S4G).

**Downregulation of USB1 Promoted Tumor Growth and Migration In Vitro**

Survival analysis revealed that high expression of USB1 was associated with a good prognosis (Figure 7A), which was consistent with the results of the expression-heatmap (Figure 4C, bottom). GSEA was used to explore the biological signaling pathways underpinning the different outcomes between the high- and low-risk groups, and the cell cycle showed a significant enrichment score (NES = 1.69, NOM \( p = 0.033 \)) (Figure 7B). We then carried out functional research by downregulating the expression of USB1 in TPC1 cells using a specific siRNA (Figure 7C). The downregulation of USB1 induced proliferation and migration in the TPC1 cells (Figures 7D–H). Furthermore, western blot analysis showed that knockdown of USB1 in TPC1 exhibited increased expression of cyclin D1 as well as decreased expression of p16 and p21 (Figure 7I), which indicated that the downregulation of USB1 promoted the G1/S phase transition of the cell cycle. To further confirm these results, the specific siRNA was used to knockdown USB1 expression in another thyroid cancer cell line, BCPAP (Supplementary Figure S5). As expected, USB1 depletion also
increased cell proliferation and migration in BCPAP cells by promoting the G1/S transition.

**DISCUSSION**

With the increased use of diagnostic imaging and surveillance, thyroid cancer incidence continued to increase in many countries over the past decades. However, the newly diagnosed cases still account for a high proportion of cancer incidence, and patients with advanced thyroid carcinoma still have a poor 5-year survival rate. In recent years, increasing investigations focused on detecting genetic changes that can be used to diagnose thyroid cancer at an early stage to improve the patients’ 5-year survival rate. Several biomarkers have been applied in clinical practice, such as TTR activation of the ERK signaling pathway (22), PAX8-PPARY rearrangement, as well as BRAF and RAS mutations (23, 24).

As a promising field of cancer biology, RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) have been shown to participate in the development of several types of malignant tumors. However, there was limited evidence of the involvement of RBPs in thyroid cancer. In this study, we extracted differentially expressed RBPs in thyroid cancer from the TCGA database. We found that these RBPs could regulate thyroid cancer through different biological processes and pathways. The functional enrichment analysis showed that the identified RBPs were mainly involved in RNA metabolic process, modification, translational regulation, splicing, localization and stabilization. Some RBPs involved in RNA associated processes have been reported. For instance, SNORD52 can promote the tumorigenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) by enhancing the stability of CDK1 (25). YTH N6-methyladenosine RNA binding protein 1 (YTHDF1) was found to be positively associated with aggressive tumor progression and poor overall survival by promoting the translation of the essential Wnt receptor frizzled 7 (FZD7) in an m6A-dependent manner (26). In addition, APAK8-mediated alternative splicing produces the CLSTN1-S splice isoform, which inhibits EMT and shows an inverse correlation with breast cancer progression (27).

The prognostic model identified by multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression in this study was significantly associated with the overall survival of THCA patients (p = 0.009). Six genes were incorporated into this model, consisting of AZGP1, IGF2BP2, MEX3A, NUDT16, NUP153, and USB1. A recent research proved that AZGP1 is an AR target gene and is
involved in androgen/AR axis-mediated cell proliferation and metastasis in primary PCa (28). Meanwhile the six-gene model revealed that high expression of AZGP1 was negatively associated with prognosis in thyroid cancer, so it might also play a critical role in thyroid cancer. A recent study identified that IGF2BP2 targets the lncRNA DANCR through m6A modification, and they work together to promote stemness and pathogenesis in pancreatic cancer (29). Furthermore, we noticed that high expression of IGF2BP2 was associated with a good prognosis in THCA patients, which implies that IGF2BP2 might...
also play an important role in thyroid cancer. The hydrolase activity of NUDT16 can remove ADP-ribosylation of 53BP1 to regulate its stability and localization at DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) (30). MEX3A (mex-3 RNA binding family member A) was found to have an impact on intestinal differentiation, polarity and stemness, likely contributing to intestinal homeostasis and carcinogenesis via post-transcriptional regulation of CDX2 (31). Here, we found that MEX3A is deregulated in THCA patients based on the TCGA expression data. However, there is no direct experimental evidence showing that MEX3A participates in THCA progression. Taken together, the RBPs included in this model could play key roles in the development of different tumors, which merits further study.

In this study, we proved that nucleoporin 153 (NUP153), previously described as a critical factor in double-strand break...
repair and DNA damage response (32), acts as an oncogene in thyroid cancer by regulating the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. U6 snRNA biogenesis phosphodiesterase 1 (USB1), also known as C16orf57, is mutated in poikiloderma with neutropenia (PN) and dyskeratosis congenita (DC) (33). In our study, we found that USB1 could induce cell cycle arrest in the G1 phase, thereby suppressing cell proliferation and migration. However, the mechanisms of these effects of NUP153 and USB1 need further study. Nevertheless, the results clearly show these six RBPs may have a prognostic value in THCA.

Overall, the integrated analysis of RBPs in thyroid cancer allowed us to construct a six-gene model associated with the thyroid tumor cell lines. Finally, the six-gene model might be applied as a potential prognostic signature in clinical practice in the future.
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