Realistic Anatomical Prostate Models for Surgical Skills Workshops Using Ballistic Gelatin for Nerve-Sparing Radical Prostatectomy and Fruit for Simple Prostatectomy
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Purpose: Understanding of prostate anatomy has evolved as techniques have been refined and improved for radical prostatectomy (RP), particularly regarding the importance of the neurovascular bundles for erectile function. The objectives of this study were to develop inexpensive and simple but anatomically accurate prostate models not involving human or animal elements to teach the terminology and practical aspects of nerve-sparing RP and simple prostatectomy (SP).

Materials and Methods: The RP model used a Foley catheter with ballistics gelatin in the balloon and mesh fabric (neurovascular bundles) and balloons (prostatic fascial layers) on either side for the practice of inter- and intrafascial techniques. The SP model required only a ripe clementine, for which the skin represented compressed normal prostate, the pulp represented benign tissue, and the pith mimicked fibrous adhesions. A modification with a balloon through the fruit center acted as a “urethra.”

Results: Both models were easily created and successfully represented the principles of anatomical nerve-sparing RP and SP. Both models were tested in workshops by urologists and residents of differing levels with positive feedback.

Conclusions: Low-fidelity models for prostate anatomy demonstration and surgical practice are feasible. They are inexpensive and simple to construct. Importantly, these models can be used for education on the practical aspects of nerve-sparing RP and SP. The models will require further validation as educational and competency tools, but as we move to an era in which human donors and animal experiments become less ethical and more difficult to complete, so too will low-fidelity models become more attractive.
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INTRODUCTION

Prostate anatomy has become better understood in the past 20 years as techniques have been refined and improved for radical prostatectomy [1,2]. In particular, our understanding of the neurovascular bundles has become of paramount importance in striving to preserve erectile function in men undergoing surgery for prostate cancer [3-5]. With the increasingly complex surgical terminology and techniques, it is important that those learning nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy (NSRP) techniques understand the basic principles of surgical anatomy so that the new surgical terminology can be placed in context. For example, terms such as intrafascial, interfascial, and non-nerve-sparing surgery are all commonly used in the literature. Although videos and written descriptions may assist in learning such terms, ultimately, practicing surgery is the best facilitator of learning. Owing to various constraints upon training, however, this is not always possible or practical. It is therefore imperative that the urology community develop simple, low-cost models that can be used in informative and brief workshops to allow surgical train-
Realistic Anatomic Prostate Models for Skills Workshops

Surgical skills laboratories have become important tools for educating and training surgeons. Although the endpoints of improving patient outcome are yet to be fully defined, there are more conceptual areas of surgery that may benefit trainees provided integration between anatomy and surgical practice is maintained [6-8]. Furthermore, with newly enforced work hour restrictions, opportunities to acquire operative skills are limited, necessitating the development of alternative strategies of education [9]. This combined with the paucity of donated cadavers and the inherent ethical and cost issues in dealing with live and deceased animals in laboratories have forced educators to develop and consider creative workshops that require none of these elements [10-12].

From a urological point of view, a model for teaching prostate anatomy and placing into context the complex terminology such as that used in NSRP while also allowing the simulation of surgical maneuvers appears to be one of the most relevant models in need of development. This is also true for open simple prostatectomy, an operation that, although still required, is less common. Accordingly, an instructive model may improve conceptual understanding of such a procedure.

The objectives of this study were therefore to develop inexpensive and easily constructed but anatomically accurate models of the prostate that do not involve human or animal elements to teach the terminology and practical aspects of NSRP and simple prostatectomy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Ballistics gel radical prostatectomy neurovascular bundle dissection model (see video)

   1) Requirements: A 22 Fr Foley urinary catheter (Teleflex Medical, Pilling Week, Markham, Canada) with a 75 cc balloon, gelatin (Knox® Gelatine, Associated Brands, Mississauga, Canada), a piece of timber approximately 40x6 cm with two hook screws (4 cm), two standard party balloons, fabric mesh (or stockings), a heavy silk tie, hobby glue, water, and food dye (optional).

   2) Ballistics gel: Ballistics gel has long been used in forensic medicine. We modified the standard version [13] to develop a texture that appropriately represented a prostate. Two 1.8 g sachets were added to 155 cc of room-temperature water in a plastic container and were slowly stirred so as to not allow accumulation of air bubbles, which weakens the structure of the gel. After the water was mixed for 30 s, it was cooled at 4°C for at least 2 hours (preferably over-night). Next, hot water (50°C) was placed in a sink or repository so that the gel could be gently melted within its container, with stirring, while again being careful to not introduce air bubbles. Red food dye can be added (several drops as desired) at this stage for an even more life-like prostate. A 20 cc syringe was then used to aspirate the warm gelatin mixture and insert it via the catheter balloon.

2. Simple prostatectomy model (see video)

   1) Requirements: One ripe clementine (mandarin), a foam (or thick card or cork) board 10x20 cm, heavy silk or Vicryl suture on a needle, and a party balloon (optional). The clementines should be relatively large to approximate a prostate with significant benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) (other citrus fruit that can be peeled may be substituted depending on the season). One party balloon and needle driver are also required.

   2) Prostate construction: The skin of the clementine acts as the compressed normal prostate tissue, as happens with significant benign prostatic hyperplasia adenoma formation (Fig. 2). The pulp (segments within) acts as the pros-
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FIG. 1. Ballistics gel radical prostatectomy neurovascular bundle dissection model. The model is complete and fixed to the wood (A). The diagram (B) demonstrates the layers of the prostate, its capsule, the fascias, and the neurovascular bundle. The results of the two techniques are demonstrated (C) with the interfascial dissection still having fascia (blue balloon indicated by yellow arrow) over the capsule yet the neurovascular bundle being pushed away. The intrafascial dissection has no fascia visible with the prostate capsule exposed (clear Foley balloon indicated by white arrow) and the neurovascular bundle completely pushed away between both fascial layers. The artwork in part B is published with the permission of Shelley L.W. Chen, University of Toronto, Biomedical Communications Department.

FIG. 2. The simple prostatectomy model using a clementine. The model in this iteration was not modified to be fixed on foam or cardboard and has no urethra, thus highlighting the simplest form it may take yet still be instructional. For a retropubic approach, hemostatic sutures are first applied to the capsule (A) before incision (B) of the capsule (skin). The pulp (adenoma) is then dissected off the capsule (C) and finally carefully removed from the capsule, preferably intact, with the capsule closed (skin) with sutures (D).

FIG. 3. The simple prostatectomy model using a clementine as modified to be fixed on foam or cardboard and to have a urethra. A party balloon is inserted into the centre of the fruit (A) as the urethra and is later tied to the foam board. The urethra can be stretched as in real cases (B) before being formally incised. The blue suture fixing the base of the prostate model to the foam board (A and C) acts as a bleeding vessel to oversew when the pulp (adenoma) has been removed (in this case the skin [capsule] is split to demonstrate fixation to the foam board).

tate tissue, and the white fibrous adhesions of the pith from fruit to skin mimic adhesions in humans. To make the model more anatomical, we pulled a balloon through the center of the fruit by its neck after scissors grasped by needle holders were passed through (Fig. 3). Once pulled through, the balloon was tied to the other side to fix it in place. This formed the “prostatic urethra” that must be deliberately incised at the time of prostatectomy.
3) **Fixation and use of the model:** The clementine (prostate) need only be fixed to the foam board by three to four sutures at the base, being careful to take the full thickness of the fruit skin. This is important because once the fruit pulp is liberated from the skin, these sutures will be the “bleeding vessels” at the base of the prostate that will need to be oversewn in the model (Fig. 3).

4) **Open simple prostatectomy:** Standard instruments were used to dissect the skin (compressed normal prostate) away from the pulp (adenoma) after suturing the vessels in the fruit skin (thickened prostate). The pulp was carefully dissected by use of sharp and blunt techniques to separate the pith (adhesions), with sharp dissection formally cutting the balloon (urethra). At the base of the capsule, the fixing sutures of the model can be likened to bleeding vessels and can be oversewn. Vessels at the bladder neck can also be imitated if desired by using sutures as for the fixing ones. The skin (capsule) was then closed and a catheter was also used if needed that can be used by more than one group can be passed through to demonstrate the end result. The pulp can be inspected for completeness of excision of the adenoma.

3. **Testing of models**

Both models were tested by urological trainees, fellows, and staff for their ability to outline the principles of NSRP and simple prostatectomy as part of their standard teaching. This testing was done as part of the official University of Toronto teaching sessions for urology residents, and all data collected were anonymous as per the teaching protocol.

At the end of each session, the participants were asked to fill out a typical five-level Likert scale-based questionnaire (e.g., strongly disagree, disagree, neither agree nor disagree, agree, and strongly agree when asked a question) detailing their experience with the models. The questionnaire was a standardized form used for all University of Toronto resident training sessions. Participants were then also debriefed one-on-one to ascertain the nature of the models and their usefulness in skills workshops. Any technical points could also be noted on the back of the survey.

**RESULTS**

1. **Ballistics gel radical prostatectomy neurovascular bundle dissection model**

This model was easily created and was successfully utilized to study the principles of NSRP in the open setting (Fig. 1). The model was also used in laparoscopic simulations. The key features of the model are that it provides the correct anatomy for a radical prostatectomy section and highlights the relationship between the neurovascular bundle, fascial layers, and prostatic capsule. In particular, the layers can be dissected and color-coded and the different facets of prostatectomy such as intra- versus interfascial nerve-sparing techniques can be demonstrated. Incisions into the capsule of the prostate are noted by appearance of the ballistics gel.

2. **Simple prostatectomy model**

An anatomical model was easily created from simple components. Importantly, the anatomy was preserved so that it could be discussed in depth at workshops, and the model proved ideal for a retropubic (Millins) approach (Fig. 2, 3).

3. **Testing of models**

The average score was 4.7/5 for the NSRP and 4.5/5 for the simple prostatectomy models as judged for overall learning experience. Anatomy appeared to be reproduced in the case of both models to a high degree despite the low-fidelity nature of the tools. Importantly, maneuvers can be practiced with instruments used in real surgery. Thus, experience with handling of instruments and the principles of handling such instruments are an important byproduct of such a workshop. Some specific unexpected technical points were also able to be noted in this low-fidelity model. First, the ability to perform nerve-sparing correctly by not incising the capsule was evidenced by the gel being visible when the “capsule” (Foley catheter balloon) was inadvertently incised. Also, the concept of a high release (“veil”) versus a low release of the neurovascular bundle appeared to be mastered. In the simple prostatectomy model, the challenge was to remove the pulp intact with as little juice spilled as possible, indicating minimal handling of the “adenoma” with the juice likened to blood loss. Preservation of the “urethra” in this model was also identified as an excellent teaching point.

**DISCUSSION**

Simulation of surgical procedures is considered to be a huge paradigm shift in surgical education and skills acquisition. Certainly, simulation is now accepted as a new concept not only for surgery but also for broader health education [14].

The driving force behind such a shift is a complex mix of shorter work hours with reduced training time, a greater number of trainees with a reduced patient workload for educational opportunities, a demand to acquire “proven” surgical skill before practicing on patients in a bid to reduce medical errors, and a shift to outcome-based education with its requirements for assessment and demonstration of competence [9,15]. These reasons have spurred the development of alternative strategies of education with surgical skills laboratories being a central focus. Certainly, skills laboratories can be used to demonstrate specific skills transferable to the operating room at their highest level (e.g., nephrectomy in a live porcine model), but they may also be used further “downstream”: that is, to reaffirm basic principles of anatomy and steps of surgical procedures as well as to provide experience with the use of instruments that, although not designed to, may approach levels expected in competency testing. In other words, not every exercise in a skills laboratory need be done on human cadavers or live animal models to benefit training surgeons. We were able to create low-fidelity yet highly anatomically correct, inexpensive, and simple models that are useful for...
teaching urological surgery, with a focus more on the anatomy and procedural levels rather than the competency level. The models may be used for open, laparoscopic, or robotic-assisted radical and simple prostatectomy and may in the future be modified or tested so that they can become competency tools.

Evidence-based medicine is now entrenched in all specialties, including urology [16]. As such, overriding all of these new educational devices is the need for validation of the tools in a preliminary sense as not only educational devices but also for transference into real-life practice [17]. Both remain a challenge. In this manner, some models will be suitable for teaching anatomy and procedural steps, as our model appears to be, whereas others will be better for technical competency assessment and transferal; some will fall between. In a graduated way, low-fidelity models may assist with the initial experience of teaching procedures (e.g., robot-assisted radical prostatectomy) to surgeons-in-training by providing a forum for standardized learning, training, and evaluation as we move away from the master-apprentice educational system [18].

Surgical models were created in the early 1960s to establish the surgical skills of training surgeons for transurethral procedures [19] and are not likely to disappear soon [12,20]. Clearly, there will always be a place for cadaveric or animal models, but the current constraints on resources as well as ethical issues mean that low-fidelity models that are inexpensive and simple to construct are necessary [12,20,21]. It may be argued that surgical simulation may also fill the void in some instances, and even virtual reality is being proposed and investigated for use in a variety of urological procedures [22-24]. Although increasingly studied in urology, such educational tools do not allow the surgeon to hold real instruments and to practice on a cheap and easy made model that is tangible and anatomically correct. Many clinicians are questioning the validity of surgical procedures (e.g., robot-assisted radical prostatectomy) to surgeons-in-training by providing a forum for standardized learning, training, and evaluation as we move away from the master-apprentice educational system [18].

The final question to answer is whether models may also help to compensate for the lack of anatomy now taught at medical schools. Much has been written on the potential penalty of insufficient anatomy education at the undergraduate level, which may lead to incompetent anatomists and health care professionals, leaving patients to face dire repercussions [28]. Evidence suggests that textbooks and even in some cases contemporary multimedia tools have failed to keep pace with advances in surgical anatomy, which also delays educational flow to clinicians [1,2]. In our model, principles of anatomy are reinforced. When combined with appropriate diagrams and interactive lectures, the model certainly acts as an educational model. Models also need to be adaptable to have a place in open and laparoscopic (and robotic) surgery, because these techniques are progressing, and training the next generation of surgeons is essential [29,30].

The limitation of any low-fidelity model is that it does not exactly mimic the tissues encountered in surgery. Furthermore, there is no active physiology, so the tissues do not bleed. However, in the radical prostatectomy model, capsular incisions can be noted and discussed. Also, in the simple prostatectomy model, the ability to remove the pulp with minimal disruption (as evidenced by squeezed pulp) and evidence of citrus juice around the model provide some life-like responses. Furthermore, tissues may be torn, so there is some degree of passive tissue physiology. It may be possible to add pulsating bleeding vessels at low cost and with minimal equipment to such models, and we are working on this for future models.

CONCLUSIONS

Low-fidelity models are achievable in urology for the demonstration of prostate anatomy and for surgical practice and need not be expensive, cumbersome, or complex to construct. Importantly, these models can be utilized to study both the terminology and the practical aspects of NSRP and simple prostatectomy. The models will require further validation as educational and competency tools, but as we move to an era where human donors and animal experiments become less ethical and more difficult to complete, so too will low-fidelity models become more attractive.
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