Numerical Investigation into the Two-Phase Convective Heat Transfer within the Hold of an Oil Tanker Subjected to a Rolling Motion
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Abstract: A crude oil tanker usually encounters a rolling motion during sea transportation, which leads to rotational movement and sometimes a sloshing of the liquid hold. This rolling-induced body motion seriously affects the thermal and hydraulic behavior of the liquid hold, which then affects the heating process and heat preservation of the tanker. Clarification of the involved thermal and hydraulic characteristics is the basic requirement for establishment of a scientific heating scheme and heat preservation method. A two-phase 3D model considering the free liquid surface and non-Newtonian behavior of the fluid was established for the thermal calculation of the liquid holds in oil tankers. The thermal and hydraulic characteristics of the liquid hold were investigated under different combinations of dimensionless parameters, and the combined effect of rolling and fluid non-Newtonian behavior was investigated. It was found that rolling intensifies the heat transfer based on the combination of the Richardson number ($R_i$) and the rotation-strength number ($\omega^*$), and non-Newtonian behavior of the fluid effectively affects the heat transfer in a rolling motion. This research is expected to provide a reference for design and optimization of the heating and heat preservation method for oil tanker operation.
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1. Introduction

Tanker transportation is one of the most important ways for crude oil transportation, especially during international crude oil trade. Some high-pour point or high-viscosity crude oil requires heating during transportation to ensure good flow ability for flexible offloading. This heating process consumes large amounts of energy, and a scientific heating scheme bears great potential to save energy. However, such a scientific heating scheme is based on the full understanding of the thermal and hydraulic behavior of the crude oil in the ocean condition. The thermal-hydraulic behavior of crude oil in ocean conditions is much more complicated than that in static conditions, since the flow and heat transfer is influenced by the motion-induced additional forces, such as centrifugal force, Euler force, Coriolis force, and buoyancy forces induced by these forces, in addition to the forces for static cases. The motion of the tanker during sea transportation includes oscillations of six degrees of freedom, such as linear motions, including heaving, swaying, and surging, and rotational motions, including rolling, pitching, and yawing. Among these movements, the rolling motion has a more important influence on the thermal-hydraulic behavior [1]. Therefore, only the rolling motion is investigated in this research.
There are many studies regarding the effect of a rolling motion on flow and heat transfer within oil tankers in the open literature. Hiroharu [2] studied the heat transfer characteristic of oil in a 1/50 scale model of a tanker in a rolling condition, finding that oil temperature in the tanker becomes uniform with rolling motion, and the heat transfer coefficient increases with increasing of amplitude and frequency of rolling. Yu et al. [3] studied the temperature drop of an oil tanker with a free liquid surface subjected to a rolling motion, indicating that the heat transfer of oil is dominated by mixed convection rather than natural convection under a rolling condition, and the temperature drop rate increases with the oscillation frequency. Similarly, only a small-size model tanker was investigated. Doerffer et al. [4] studied the influence of low-frequency harmonic oscillation on the natural convection occurring at the vertical walls of a tanker, pointing out that the dimensionless group \( \frac{Gr}{(Re^2Pr^{1/3})} \) (Gr, Re, Pr are Grashof number, Reynolds number and Prandtl Number respectively) can be regarded as the principal criterion for this kind of mixed convection and it decreases corresponding to the increasing participation of forced convection in mixed convection. Akagi et al. [5] studied the mixed convection heat transfer in an oil tanker subjected to a rolling motion, taking the inertia forces, including the centrifugal force and Coriolis force into consideration, indicating that forced convection plays an important role during a rolling motion.

Similar research can also be found in the area of convection heat transfer in pipe flow or channel flow. Most of these studies also indicated that the rolling affected the thermal-hydraulic behavior. Tan et al. [6,7] studied single-phase natural circulation heat transfer in a pipe system with a rolling motion. They found that the fluid flow fluctuates periodically due to the rolling motion, and the heat transfer coefficient increases with the rolling amplitude and frequency. Yu et al. [8] studied the fluid temperature fluctuation at the outlet of the test section in a single-phase natural circulation loop with a heat narrow rectangular channel under rolling motion conditions, indicating that the average temperature of fluid at the outlet of the test section under rolling motion conditions is higher than that under static conditions because of the decreasing cycle averaged flow rate. In addition, Liu et al. [9] studied the safety boundary of flow instability and critical heat flux for parallel channels in static and ship motion. Wu et al. [10] studied the thermal-hydraulic behavior of a nuclear reactor core in an ocean environment. Xing et al. [11] studied the effects of ocean conditions on coolant flow in nuclear power systems in sea transportation. Besides, Hu et al. [12] studied the hydraulic dynamics behavior in floating LNG (Liquefied Natural Gas) systems under ocean conditions. Grotle et al. [13] studied the thermal response in marine LNG fuel tanks by experiments and modelling. Jiang et al. [14] analyzed the effect between ship motion response and internal sloshing flow. Cercos-Pita [15] investigated the coupled nonlinear dynamics of a vessel with a free surface tank onboard. All this research indicates rolling has obvious effects on flow and heat transfer.

It can be found from the above literature review that there are many researchers focusing on the heat and flow characteristic of fluid in a rolling system, but their models are restricted to either small-size geometry or a single combination of influencing factors, and the general flow and heat transfer characteristics are not systematically investigated. In addition, another important factor—the non-Newtonian behavior of the crude oil—is not taken into consideration. In this paper, the convection heat transfer under different combinations of dimensionless numbers as well as the flow-behavior index \( n \) for characterizing the non-Newtonian behavior will be investigated.

2. Physical and Mathematical Model

2.1. Physical Model

The full physical model of a real tanker should be a three-dimensional one, as shown in Figure 1 (top). However, the calculation of a 3D model is too much time-consuming, since the geometry of the oil tanker is usually very large. Considering the longitude temperature gradient is very weak compared to the transverse one, the physical model can be simplified to a two-dimensional one shown in Figure 1 (bottom), in which the red part is crude oil, the green part is ballast water, and the blue part is air (inert gas).
For the convenience of establishment and computation of the mathematical model, the following assumptions were made:
(1) The upper part of the oil tank is a single layer wall without any building above, which directly touches the air;
(2) The oil tank contains two thirds of the oil; the initial temperature of the oil is 323.15 K;
(3) The four wing spaces at the bottom are filled with ballast water, while the other wing tanks are filled with air. The initial temperature of water and air is 293.15 K;
(4) The tanker’s draught is two thirds of its height;
(5) The outside boundary of the tanker is subjected to the third boundary conditions. The temperature of the external sea water and air are both 291.15 K, and the convective heat transfer coefficient between walls and sea water was set to be 1250 W/(m²·K), and that between walls and air was 50 W/(m²·K), respectively [16].

**Figure 1.** Physical model of the thermal system of an oil tanker.

### 2.2. Mathematical Model

The mathematical model was established in a non-inertial coordinate system shown in Figure 2. The origin of the coordinates was located at the center of the bottom wall. The $xoy$ coordinate system is a non-inertial coordinate system at rest relative to the model; the $x'oy'$ coordinate system is a static coordinate system. At $t = 0$, the model is stationary, and the model starts to move since $t > 0$ with the following parameters.

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta &= \theta_m \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{T_c} t \right) \\
\omega &= \frac{2\pi}{T_c} \theta_m \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{T_c} t \right) \\
\frac{d\omega}{dt} &= -\frac{4\pi^2}{T_c^2} \theta_m \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{T_c} t \right)
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

Here, $\theta_m$ and $T_c$ are the amplitude and period of the rolling motion, respectively.

During the rotation, the crude oil in the tanker is subjected to the inertial force caused by the rotation, including centrifugal force, Euler force, and Coriolis force. All the inertial forces are listed below:
Gravity force, $\rho g$;

Centrifugal force, $-\rho \omega \times (\omega \times \mathbf{r})$;

Euler force, $-\rho \frac{d\omega}{dt} \times \mathbf{r}$;

Coriolis force, $-2\rho \omega \times \mathbf{u}$.

Figure 2. Non-inertial coordinate system.

In the non-inertial coordinate system, the direction of gravity acceleration changes with time, and Rodrigues’ rotation formula [17] is used to determine the gradational acceleration in the non-inertial coordinate system, that is

$$g^* = \begin{pmatrix} -g \sin \theta \\ -g \cos \theta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

With the Boussinesq assumption, the governing equations are given as follows. The continuous equation:

$$\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The momentum equation:

$$\frac{\partial (\rho_0 u_x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho_0 u_x u_x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho_0 u_x u_y)}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p_{\text{eff}}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \mu \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \mu \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} \right)$$

$$+ \rho_0 \beta (T - T_0) g \sin \theta - \rho_0 \beta (T - T_0) \omega^2 x + \rho_0 \frac{d\omega}{dt} y + 2\rho_0 \omega u_y.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
\[
\frac{\partial (\rho_x u_y)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho_x u_x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho_y u_y)}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p_{\text{eff}}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial }{\partial x} (\mu \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x}) + \frac{\partial }{\partial y} (\mu \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y}) \\
+ \rho_0 \beta (T - T_0) g \cos \theta - \rho_0 \beta (T - T_0) \omega^2 y - \rho_0 \frac{d\omega}{dt} x - 2 \rho_0 \omega u_x
\] (5)

From Equations (3)–(5), \( u_x \) and \( u_y \) are velocities in \( x \) and \( y \) direction, respectively; \( \rho_0 \) is the reference density of the crude oil, and the subscript "0" here indicates the reference value; \( \beta \) is the thermal expansion coefficient; \( \omega \) is the rotation angular velocity. \( p_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective pressure defined as:

\[
-\frac{\partial p_{\text{eff}}}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - \rho_0 g \sin \theta + \rho_0 \omega^2 x
\] (5a)

\[
-\frac{\partial p_{\text{eff}}}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} - \rho_0 g \cos \theta + \rho_0 \omega^2 y
\] (5b)

The energy equation:

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho_0 T)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho_0 u_x T)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho_0 u_y T)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)
\] (6)

Here, \( \lambda \) and \( c_p \) are conductivity and specific heat capacity of the crude oil. Gas-liquid phase distribution function:

\[
\frac{\partial \alpha_q}{\partial t} + \left( \alpha_q \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} \right) + \left( \alpha_q \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} \right) = 0
\] (7)

Here, \( \alpha_q \) is the volume fraction of the \( q \)th phase.

Surface tension is considered in the calculation, based on the continuum surface force model (CSF) proposed by Brackbill et al. [18]; surface tension is added as a source term to the momentum equation

\[
F_{\text{csf}} = \sum_{i<j} \sigma_{ij} \left( \alpha_i \rho_i k_{ij} \nabla \alpha_j + \alpha_j \rho_j k_{ij} \nabla \alpha_i \right) \frac{1}{2} (\rho_i + \rho_j)
\] (8)

In this model, because there are only two phases, air and crude oil, in a cell, the equation can be simplified as follows.

\[
F_{\text{csf}} = \sigma_{12} \rho k \nabla \alpha_i \frac{1}{2} (\rho_1 + \rho_2)
\] (9)

Here, \( \rho \) is the volume averaged density and determined by \( \rho = \alpha_1 \rho_1 + (1 - \alpha_1) \rho_2 \).

In addition, the \( Nu \) number used in this paper is calculated by the following formulas [19].

\[
Nu_x = -\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial X}, \quad Nu_y = -\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial Y}, \quad \frac{Nu_{x \max}}{min} = \int_{min}^{max} Nu_x dX, \quad \frac{Nu_{y \max}}{min} = \int_{min}^{max} Nu_y dY
\] (10)
Governing Equations (3)–(7) are transformed into dimensionless form using the following formulas, and the dimensionless equations are given by Equations (13)–(17).

\[
X = \frac{x}{l}, \quad Y = \frac{y}{l}, \quad U = \frac{u}{\partial l}, \quad V = \frac{v}{\partial l}, \quad \Theta = \frac{T-T_0}{T_h-T_0}, \quad P = \frac{p_{\text{ref}}}{\rho \partial^2 l^2},
\]

\[
\tau = \bar{\omega} t, \quad \omega^* = \frac{\bar{\omega}l^2}{\alpha}, \quad \mu^* = \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}, \quad Pr = \frac{v_0}{\alpha}, \quad Ra = \frac{g \beta \Delta T l^3}{v_0 \alpha}, \quad Ra_w = \frac{\bar{\omega}l \beta \Delta T l^3}{v_0 \alpha}
\]

\[
Re = \frac{\bar{\omega}l^2}{\nu}, \quad Ri = \frac{Gr}{Re^2} = \frac{1}{\omega^*^2}Pr Ra
\]

In Equation (11), \(Ra_w\) is the rotational Rayleigh number, \(\omega^*\) is the rotation-strength number characterizing the importance of centrifugal force relative to diffusivity, \(Ri\) is the Richardson number, \(l\) is the reference length which equates the width of the largest tank, and \(\bar{\omega}\) is the average angular velocity calculated by Equation (12).

\[
\bar{\omega} = \frac{2}{T_c} \int_0^T \omega dt = \frac{40}{T_c}
\]

Dimensionless governing equations are written as follows.

\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial (UU)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial (UV)}{\partial Y} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial X} + Pr \frac{\partial}{\partial X} (\mu^* \frac{\partial U}{\partial X}) + Pr \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} (\mu^* \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y}) + Ri \Theta \sin(\theta_m \sin \tau) - Ri \Theta g^* X \theta_m^2 \cos^2 \tau - \theta_m Y \sin \tau + 2 \theta_m \cos \tau V
\]

\[
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial (UV)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial (VV)}{\partial Y} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial X} + Pr \frac{\partial}{\partial X} (\mu^* \frac{\partial V}{\partial X}) + Pr \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} (\mu^* \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y}) + Ri \Theta \cos(\theta_m \sin \tau) + Ri \Theta g^* Y \theta_m^2 \cos^2 \tau + \theta_m X \sin \tau - 2 \theta_m \cos \tau U
\]

\[
\frac{\partial (\Theta)}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial (U \Theta)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial (V \Theta)}{\partial Y} = 1 \omega \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Theta}{\partial Y^2} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \alpha_q}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial (U \alpha_q)}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial (V \alpha_q)}{\partial Y} = 0
\]

In Equations (14) and (15), \(g^*\) is the dimensionless centrifugal acceleration and is determined by \(g^* = \bar{\omega}l/g\).

It can be found from Equations (13)–(17) that there are four independent dimensionless parameters, \(Pr\), \(\omega^*\), \(Ri\), and \(\theta_m\). In this research, the properties and amplitude of rolling are fixed, so \(\omega^*\) and \(Ri\) are the independent variables that regulate the flow and heat transfer behavior. Besides, in the non-Newtonian behavior cases, which will be introduced in detail below, flow-behavior index \(n\), \(\omega^*\), and \(Ri\) are the three independent dimensionless variables.

Boundary conditions:
\[ L/2 < x < L/2, y = H : \ T = 293.15 \text{ K}, h = 50 \text{ W/(m}^2 \cdot \text{K}) \]
\[ x = -L/2, 0 < y < 2L/3 : \ T = 291.15 \text{ K}, h = 1250 \text{ W/(m}^2 \cdot \text{K}) \]
\[ x = -L/2, 2L/3 < y < L : \ T = 293.15 \text{ K}, h = 50 \text{ W/(m}^2 \cdot \text{K}) \]
\[ x = L/2, 0 < y < 2L/3 : \ T = 291.15 \text{ K}, h = 1250 \text{ W/(m}^2 \cdot \text{K}) \]
\[ x = L/2, 2L/3 < y < L : \ T = 293.15 \text{ K}, h = 50 \text{ W/(m}^2 \cdot \text{K}) \]
\[ -L/2 < x < L/2, y = 0 : \ T = 291.15 \text{ K}, h = 1250 \text{ W/(m}^2 \cdot \text{K}) \]

For easy reference, boundary conditions are also illustrated in Figure 3.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Boundary conditions of the mathematical model.

### 3. Numerical Methods and Their Validation

#### 3.1. Numerical Methods

The basic equations for the present three-dimensional unsteady rotating cavity flow were solved numerically in the framework of the control-volume method by Ansys-Fluent 17.0 solver. In particular, the power-law scheme was used to discretize Equations (3)–(7) on a non-staggered grid system with the pressure and temperature defined at the mesh centers. The convection terms were discretized by a second-order upstream scheme, and the diffusion terms were discretized by the central differencing scheme. The resulting finite difference equations were solved by the SIMPLE algorithm [20] with a \(k\)-\(\varepsilon\) model for turbulence effect. To resolve the steep velocity and temperature gradients in the near-wall region, a non-uniform grid shown in Figure 4 was used.
3.2. Grid-Independence Test

A grid-independence test was carried out for a rolling case with $Ri = 144.5$ and $\omega^* = 4.86 \times 10^5$, on three different grids—16,000 cells, 32,000 cells, and 64,000 cells—and the average temperatures are compared in Figure 5. It was found that the results calculated from the grid of 32,000 cells were very close to that calculated from the grid of 64,000 cells. Thus, all the calculations in this paper were performed on the grid of 32,000 cells.

![Figure 5. Average temperature calculated on different grid systems.](image)

3.3. Model Validation

The numerical validations were performed with two cases—a partially-filled cavity subjected to a linear acceleration motion for validating the phase interface, and a constant-velocity rotational natural convection case for validation of the heat transfer and flow.
In the first validation case, a partially-filled cavity was accelerated at \(3 \text{ m/s}^2\) on a horizontal flat surface. The free surface of the liquid can be determined by an analytical correlation given by Equation (19). The two-phase flow problem was solved by the proposed model at the same time and compared with the analytical correlation, which is shown in Figure 6. In the figure, the black dashed line indicates the analytical results of the free surface, while the contour shows the distribution of the liquid and gas phase. It can be found that the numerical results agree well with the analytical results.

\[
y = \arctan \frac{3}{9.8} x + 0.5 = 0.3x + 0.5
\]  

(18)

Figure 6. Comparison of phase interface between numerical results and analytical results.

In addition, a natural convection in a rotation system with respect to a horizontal axis, which was an ideal case for the rolling motion in this research, was employed to validate the flow and heat transfer. The numerical results were compared with those calculated by Tso et al. [21] (middle) and the experimental data performed by Saleh et al. [22] (right), as shown in Figure 7. It was found that the numerical results agree well with the results in the literature.

(a) \(\phi = 0, \text{Ra} = 3 \times 10^5, \Omega = 17.5 \text{ rpm}\)
4. Results and Discussion

It was discussed in Section 2.2 that $Pr$, $\omega^*$, $Ri$, and $\theta_m$ are four dimensionless parameters regulating the flow and heat transfer behavior. In this research, the properties and amplitude (10 degrees) of rolling were fixed (a medium value with the normal range [4] was selected), so $\omega^*$ and $Ri$ were the only two dimensionless independent variables.

When the tanker was subjected to a rolling motion, the surface of the crude oil oscillated accordingly, as shown in Figure 8. Thus, the mechanism of the heat transfer in this research was a mixed convection heat transfer, which can be described by the Richardson number ($Ri$)—the forced convection dominates the heat transfer when $Ri < 0.1$, natural convection dominates when $Ri > 10$, and both dominate when $0.1 < Ri < 10$. Thus, the selection of $Ri$ ensures the coverage of different ranges. The selection of rotation-strength number $\omega^*$ considers the popular angular velocity and tank size in real practice. In this section, 12 cases were designed to investigate effects of different dimensionless parameters as well as non-Newtonian behavior in terms of the flow-behavior index on flow and heat transfer. The combinations of the dimensionless parameters for case 1 to 12 are listed in Table 1, in which cases 7–12 consider the non-Newtonian behavior, while cases 1–6 do not consider this behavior. In this research, non-Newtonian behavior is described by a power law with a flow-behavior index $n$; different $n$ indicates different non-Newtonian behavior. It should be noted that case 1 was the reference case that was not subjected to a rolling motion. The designed cases were calculated with the combinations of the dimensionless parameters listed in Table 1.
Figure 8. The gas-liquid phase distribution images at two time instants in case 2: (a) $\tau=27$, (b) $\tau=54$.

Table 1. The combinations of the dimensionless parameters for cases 1 to 12.

| Case   | $Ri$   | $\omega^*$ | $n$ |
|--------|--------|------------|-----|
| Case 1 | $\infty$ | 0          | 1   |
| Case 2 | 1      | $1.67 \times 10^8$ | 1   |
| Case 3 | 5      | $1.67 \times 10^8$ | 1   |
| Case 4 | 10     | $1.67 \times 10^8$ | 1   |
| Case 5 | 5      | $1.67 \times 10^7$ | 1   |
| Case 6 | 5      | $1.67 \times 10^6$ | 1   |
| Case 7 | 5      | $1.67 \times 10^8$ | 0.5 |
| Case 8 | 5      | $1.67 \times 10^8$ | 0.7 |
| Case 9 | 5      | $1.67 \times 10^8$ | 0.9 |
| Case 10| $\infty$ | 0          | 0.5 |
| Case 11| $\infty$ | 0          | 0.7 |
| Case 12| $\infty$ | 0          | 0.9 |

4.1. The Effect of $Ri$ with Fixed $\omega^*$

As discussed at the beginning of Section 4, the Richardson number $Ri$ represents the importance of the natural convection relative to the forced convection, and $\omega^*$ characterizes the importance of centrifugal forces due to the rolling motion, relative to diffusivity. It can be concluded that $Ri$ and $\omega^*$ have effects on the thermal-hydraulic behavior of the crude oil. In this part, three different $Ri$, lying in different ranges of mixed convection, were investigated with fixed $\omega^*$ in cases 2 to 4. The results were compared with each other and with those of the reference case (case 1).

First, the temperature and the velocity fields at $\tau = 11,500$ are compared in Figure 9. It can be found that the temperature field presents a forced convection dominated distribution when $Ri$ was low. When $Ri$ increases, the flow and heat transfer was gradually dominated by natural convection. Figure 10 compares the dimensionless temperature drop of different $Ri$. Together with Figure 9, it was found that the temperature drops faster at lower $Ri$, since forced convection enhances the heat exchange.
(a) Case 1: $Ri=\infty$

(b) Case 2: $Ri=1$

(c) Case 3: $Ri=5$

(d) Case 4: $Ri=10$

**Figure 9.** The temperature and the velocity fields for cases 1–4 at $\tau = 1,500$. (a) Case 1, (b) Case 2, (c) Case 3, (d) Case 4.
For further analysis of the effect of rolling on the thermal process, the cycle-averaged Nusselt numbers on some typical boundaries shown in Figure 11 at five different time instants were compared among cases 1 to 4 in Figure 12. It was found that the largest Nusselt number corresponded to the lowest $Ri$, and the smallest Nusselt number corresponded to the largest $Ri$. However, for moderate $Ri$, such an obvious characteristic was not found, since the influence of angle effect on natural convection plays an inevitable role.

![Figure 10](image1.png)

**Figure 10.** Comparison of temperature drop among cases 1 to 4.

![Figure 11](image2.png)

**Figure 11.** Walls under observation for Nusselt numbers.
Figure 12. The $Nu$ number on different walls in cases 1, 2, 3, and 4: (a) $Nu$ on wall 1, (b) $Nu$ on wall 2, (c) $Nu$ on wall 3, (d) $Nu$ on wall 4, (e) $Nu$ on wall 5, (f) $Nu$ on wall 6.

4.2. The Effect of $\omega^*$ with Fixed $Ri$
For the rolling motion encountered by the oil tanker, the rotational angular velocity is small and usually changes in a narrow range. Therefore, large variation in $\omega^*$ is usually caused by the change of geometry size. Therefore, $\omega^*$ is dominated by the geometry size, which is different from a small-size model. In this part, three different $\omega^*$ were investigated with fixed $Ri$ in cases 3, 5, and 6. The results were compared with each other, and some instantaneous temperature and velocity fields are shown in Figure 13. It was shown that the temperature drops faster at lower $\omega^*$, since lower $\omega^*$ corresponded to smaller geometry size within a small angular velocity range, and thus led to a faster temperature drop. Figure 14 further indicates that lower $\omega^*$ corresponded to a faster temperature drop.

(a) Case 3: $\omega^* = 1.4 \times 10^9$

(b) Case 5: $\omega^* = 1.4 \times 10^8$

(c) Case 6: $\omega^* = 1.4 \times 10^7$

Figure 13. The temperature field and the velocity field for cases 3, 5, and 6 at $\tau = 11,500$: (a) Case 1, (b) Case 2, (c) Case 3.
Figure 14. Comparison of temperature drop among cases 3, 5, and 6.

The comparisons of boundary Nusselt numbers are shown in Figure 15. It was also found that lower \( \omega^* \) corresponded to a larger Nusselt number.
4.3. The Effect of Non-Newtonian Behavior with Fixed $\omega^*$ and $\text{Ri}$

When the fluid was subjected to a rolling motion, the flow velocity was larger, which can be found in Figure 9 and Figure 13. Thus, the shear rate in the rolling case should be large, which influences the viscosity of non-Newtonian fluids. In this part, the effect of different non-Newtonian behavior, represented by the flow-behavior index $n$, was studied for the static case and the rolling case, and the influence of non-Newtonian behavior on thermal-hydraulic process was clarified. The viscosity of non-Newtonian behavior is described by a power law shown in Equation (20).

$$
\mu = \begin{cases} 
0.07, & \gamma \leq 1 \\
0.07\gamma^{n-1}, & \gamma > 1 
\end{cases}
$$ (20)

Figure 16 compares the temperature and the velocity fields at $\tau = 11,500$ among cases 7 to 9 which correspond to the rolling case, and Figure 17 compares those among cases 10 to 12 which correspond to the static case. It was found that with these rolling cases that the stronger the non-Newtonian behavior (lower $n$), the faster the temperature drop.

Obviously, as $n$ increases the average temperature becomes higher. In case 7, the crude oil flow velocity field is slightly stronger. Comparison of average temperature drop also validates this conclusion. However, it can be found in both Figures 16 and 18 that different non-Newtonian behavior does not induce obvious differences in the thermal process for the static case.
Figure 16. The temperature field and the velocity field (from top to bottom, respectively, are cases 7, 8, and 9): (a) Case 7, (b) Case 8, (c) Case 9.

Figure 17. The temperature field and the velocity field (from top to bottom, respectively, are cases 10, 11, and 12): (a) Case 10, (b) Case 11, (c) Case 12.
4. Conclusions

In this paper, the effects of $R_i$, $\omega^*$, and non-Newtonian behavior on the thermal-hydraulic process of crude oil subjected to a rolling motion were investigated numerically. The following conclusions were obtained with the designed cases:

(1) A rolling motion induces mixed convection, which intensifies the heat transfer depending on the Richardson number $R_i$; the lower the $R_i$, the faster the heat transfer.

(2) For a large-size geometry model, such as an oil tanker, the rotation-strength number $\omega^*$ has a negative effect on heat transfer, i.e., the larger the $\omega^*$, the weaker the heat transfer, since $\omega^*$ is dominated by geometry size.

(3) The total effect of the rolling motion on thermal-hydraulic behavior is determined by the combined effect of $R_i$ and $\omega^*$, the effect of their combination may be different for small-size cases and large-size cases.

(4) Non-Newtonian behavior plays an important role in thermal-hydraulic process of crude oil in rolling cases, and a smaller flow-behavior index corresponds to stronger heat transfer; however its influence in the static case is weak.
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