ZLc002, a putative small-molecule inhibitor of nNOS interaction with NOS1AP, suppresses inflammatory nociception and chemotherapy-induced neuropathic pain and synergizes with paclitaxel to reduce tumor cell viability
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Abstract

Elevated N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor activity contributes to central sensitization. Our laboratories and others recently reported that disrupting protein–protein interactions downstream of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors suppresses pain. Specifically, disrupting binding between the enzyme neuronal nitric oxide synthase and either its upstream (postsynaptic density 95 kDa, PSD95) or downstream (e.g., nitric oxide synthase 1 adapt protein, NOS1AP) protein partners suppressed inflammatory and/or neuropathic pain. However, the lack of a small-molecule neuronal nitric oxide synthase-NOS1AP inhibitor has hindered efforts to validate the therapeutic utility of disrupting the neuronal nitric oxide synthase-NOS1AP interface as an analgesic strategy. We, therefore, evaluated the ability of a putative small-molecule neuronal nitric oxide synthase-NOS1AP inhibitor ZLc002 to disrupt binding between neuronal nitric oxide synthase and NOS1AP using ex vivo, in vitro, and purified recombinant systems and asked whether ZLc002 would suppress inflammatory and neuropathic pain in vivo. In vitro, ZLc002 reduced co-immunoprecipitation of full-length NOS1AP and neuronal nitric oxide synthase in cultured neurons and in HEK293T cells co-expressing full-length neuronal nitric oxide synthase and NOS1AP. However, using a cell-free biochemical binding assay, ZLc002 failed to disrupt the in vitro binding between His-neuronal nitric oxide synthase1-299 and glutathione S-transferase-NOS1AP400-506, protein sequences containing the required binding domains for this protein–protein interaction, suggesting an indirect mode of action in intact cells. ZLc002 (4–10 mg/kg i.p.) suppressed formalin-evoked inflammatory pain in rats and reduced Fos protein-like immunoreactivity in the lumbar spinal dorsal horn. ZLc002 also suppressed mechanical and cold allodynia in a mouse model of paclitaxel-induced neuropathic pain. Anti-allodynic efficacy was sustained for at least four days of once daily repeated dosing. ZLc002 also synergized with paclitaxel when administered in combination to reduce breast (4T1) or ovarian (HeyA8) tumor cell line viability but did not alter tumor cell viability without paclitaxel. Our results verify that ZLc002 disrupts neuronal nitric oxide synthase-NOS1AP interaction in intact cells and demonstrate, for the first time, that systemic administration of a putative small-molecule inhibitor of neuronal nitric oxide synthase-NOS1AP suppresses inflammatory and neuropathic pain.
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Introduction
Elevated N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) activity is one of the key mechanisms contributing to central sensitization. However, NMDAR antagonists have limited therapeutic applications due to unwanted side effects (e.g. motor impairment, memory deficits, cognitive dysfunction, dissociation from reality, and abuse liability). Strategies that counteract or prevent aberrant elevated NMDAR activity without altering the basal activity of NMDAR would, therefore, be advantageous. Our laboratories and others have previously demonstrated that disrupting protein–protein interactions downstream of NMDAR (i.e. NR2B-PSD95, PSD95-nNOS, and nNOS–NOS1AP) produces antinociceptive efficacy without unwanted side effects associated with NMDAR antagonists. We recently proposed that the nNOS–NOS1AP protein–protein interface was a previously unrecognized target for analgesic drug development. We showed that TAT-GESV, a peptide inhibitor of the nNOS–NOS1AP interface, disrupted binding between nNOS and NOS1AP in vitro, suppressed glutamate-induced cell death in cultured cortical neurons, and produced antinociceptive efficacy in mechanistically distinct models of neuropathic pain. We proposed that the nNOS–NOS1AP protein–protein interface was a previously unrecognized target for analgesic drug development. We showed that TAT-GESV, a peptide inhibitor of the nNOS–NOS1AP interface, disrupted binding between nNOS and NOS1AP in vitro, suppressed glutamate-induced cell death in cultured cortical neurons, and produced antinociceptive efficacy in mechanistically distinct models of neuropathic pain. We demonstrated that ZLc002 disrupted nNOS–NOS1AP interaction in primary cultures of cortical neurons. We documented that, in a cell-free binding assay, ZLc002 did not directly disrupt binding between purified nNOS and NOS1AP containing the known interacting sites. We also established that, in intact HEK293T cells transfected with the full-length tagged proteins, ZLc002 disrupted the co-immunoprecipitation of nNOS with NOS1AP. We demonstrated that ZLc002 suppressed formalin-evoked pain behavior and neuronal activation in lumbar spinal dorsal horn. We established that ZLc002 suppressed the maintenance of chemotherapy-induced neuropathic pain induced by paclitaxel, which is used clinically to treat breast, ovarian, and lung cancers but produces dose-limiting toxic neuropathy in humans. Finally, we showed that ZLc002 acted synergistically with paclitaxel to enhance ovarian and breast cancer tumor cell line cytotoxicity in vitro.

Materials and methods

Drugs and chemicals
Peptides were purchased from GeneCust (Dudelange, Luxembourg) or GenicBio (Shanghai, China) with at
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Figure 1. Chemical structure of ZLC002, putative small-molecule inhibitor of nNOS–NOS1AP interaction.
least 95% of purity: L-TAT-GESV (GRKKRRQRRYAGQWGESV); L-TAT-GESVA1 (GRKKRRQRRYAGQWGESV): lacking the last C-terminal valine residue. Peptides were dissolved in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for AlphaScreen. ZLc002 was synthesized by RTI international (Research Triangle Park, NC) for Anagin Inc. (Indianapolis, IN) and provided to the investigators. MK-801 and ZLc002 were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at 20 mM for AlphaScreen biochemical binding assays and in a vehicle composed of 3% DMSO, 1:1:18 of emulphor (Alkamuls EL 620L; Solvay), 95% ethanol (Sigma Aldrich), 0.9% NaCl (Aquillte System; Hospira, Inc, Lake Forest, IL) for in vivo administration. All drugs were delivered via intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection in a volume of 5 ml/kg for in vivo studies performed in mice and in a volume of 1 ml/kg for in vivo studies performed in rats. In the rat formalin study, ZLc002 and MK-801 were dissolved in a vehicle containing 20% DMSO (Sigma Aldrich), and the remaining 80% consisting of 95% ethanol, emulphor, and 0.9% saline at a ratio of 1:1:8 (final ratio of 5: 2: 2: 16). MK-801 and formaldehyde (37% in H2O) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Formalin was diluted to 2.5% in saline from formaldehyde stock (100% formalin) and administered via local intraplantar (i.pl.) injection in a volume of 50 μl.

**Protein purification**

Purification of glutathione S-transferase (GST), His-tagged nNOS, and NOS1AP was performed as previously described 9,18 nNOS1-299 containing the core PSD-95, Dlg (discs large homolog), and ZO-1 (zona occludens-1) (PDZ) domain that binds NOS1AP and the β-finger that binds to PDZ2 of PSD95, but lacking the catalytic domain, was expressed as an N-terminal His-tagged fusion protein. GST-NOS1AP400-506, containing the internal ExF motif and the C-terminal tail that is recognized by the core PDZ domain of nNOS, was expressed as an N-terminal GST-tagged fusion protein.

**AlphaScreen assay**

AlphaScreen assays were set up and performed as previously described 9. Briefly, binding between nNOS and NOS1AP was set up using His-nNOS1-299 and GST-NOS1AP400-506 proteins. AlphaScreen nickel chelate acceptor beads (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) and AlphaScreen glutathione donor beads (PerkinElmer) were sequentially added and incubated at room temperature for 1 h with each addition. The reaction was carried out in a 40 μl final volume using 96-well 1/2 area plates in 1X PBS containing bovine serum albumin (1 mg/mL) and Tween-20 (0.1%). An EnSpire® Multimode Plate Reader (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) equipped with AlphaScreen optical detection module was used to read plates. Titration was performed to determine 50% binding between His-nNOS1-299 and GST-NOS1AP400-506 (0–100 nM each). To test the disruption of the protein–protein binding by inhibitors, the reaction was carried out using concentrations of His-nNOS1-299 and GST-NOS1AP400-506 which lead to 50% of maximum binding. Inhibitors or vehicle (PBS or DMSO) were added to the protein pairs at the beginning of the experiments. All the peptides used in this experiment were dissolved in PBS. ZLc002 was dissolved in DMSO. Peptides and ZLc002 were prepared as 20 mM stocks, and subsequent dilutions were made from this stock for use in each assay. The concentrations of peptides and ZLc002 ranging from 0 to 100 μM were used to determine IC50. Each data point represents the mean % AlphaScreen signal count derived from at least four determinations (i.e. duplicate determinations obtained in two independent assays performed on separate days).

**Cell culture and transfection**

HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s minimal essential medium (with 10% fetal bovine serum, 19.4 mM supplementary glucose, 2 mM glutamine, 50 μg/ml streptomycin sulfate, and 50 U/ml penicillin) at 37°C under a 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere. The cells were transfected by the calcium phosphate method as previously described 19 with full-length plasmid DNA pEGFP-nNOSβ (human sequence fused to enhanced green fluorescent protein) and full-length pLuc-NOS1AP (human, transcript variant 1, fused to firefly luciferase) or pLuc-PSD95-PDZ2 (encoding aa 159–249 of human Dlg4 transcript variant4, fused to firefly luciferase) as indicated, or empty Luciferase vector pLuc-C1 as negative control. These constructs have been previously described in the studies by Li et al. 15,18

**Inhibitor treatment and co-immunoprecipitation assay using HEK293T cells**

Twenty-two hours after transfection, HEK293T cells were treated in 0.5 mM probenecid-supplemented conditioned cell culture medium with or without 10 μM ZLc002 for 90 min. The probenecid was added because cell lines, in contrast to neurons, have a high rate of extrusion of esters like calcium dyes 20 and, therefore, most likely ZLc002 also. Cells were then lysed in low stringency buffer 21 supplemented with protease inhibitors, 1 mM DTT, and 0.5% Igepal CA-630 and precleared at 4°C by centrifugation at 20,000g. Cell lysates were precipitated by agarose-coupled single-chain anti-green fluorescent protein (GFP) cameld antibody-based
protein ("GFP-Trap," Chromotek) for 1 h at 4°C. The co-precipitated luciferase-fused proteins were measured using a tube luminometer and % co-immunoprecipitation determined by normalization to lysate levels of luciferase-fusion. Equal immunoprecipitation of the EGFP-nNOS in all samples was determined by Western blotting with anti-GFP antibody (mouse monoclonal clone JL8, RRID:AB_2313808, used at 0.1 µg/ml; Clontech).

Cortical neuronal culture

Cortical neuronal cultures were prepared from P0 rats of either sex (mixed) as described previously.22 The isolation of cells and tissues from animals was performed in accordance with the corresponding local, national, and European Union regulations. The neurons were cultured in Neurobasal-A/B-27 medium (Thermofisher), and one third of medium was changed every three days.

Inhibitor treatment and co-immunoprecipitation assay using cortical neurons

At eight days in vitro, neuronal medium was replaced by minimum essential medium (MEM cat. # 11700077, Thermofisher) and neurons were then treated with or without 10 µM ZLc002 for 90 min. Following pretreatments for 90 min, neurons were stimulated with 50 µM NMDA for 10 min, followed by immediate lysis in low stringency buffer (LSB), supplemented with protease inhibitors, 1 mM DTT, and 0.5% Igepal CA-630 and precleared at 4°C by centrifugation at 20,000g.21 Immunoprecipitating (IP) antibody, nNOS (mouse monoclonal clone A-11, RRID: AB_626757, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 2.5 µg/ml) was added to the lysate. Samples were rotated for 2 h at 4°C, after which 5 µl of protein-A resin (GenScript) was added, and rotation continued for 1 h. The resin was then washed three times with the LSB, and protein was eluted from drained resin by boiling at 95°C for 10 min in SDS-PAGE sample loading buffer and analyzed by Western blotting.18,23 Immunoprecipitated nNOS and co-immunoprecipitated NOS1AP in all samples were determined by Western blotting with anti-nNOS antibody (A-11) and anti-NOS1AP antibody (rabbit polyclonal IgG, R-300, RRID: AB_2251417, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), respectively.

Tumor cell viability assay

4T1 mouse breast cancer cells were a gift from Dr Harikrishna Nashatri (IUPUI) and were maintained in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin–streptomycin. HeyA8 human ovarian cancer cells were a gift from Dr Kenneth Nephew (IU Bloomington) and were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin–streptomycin. All the cells were kept in a 37°C incubator equipped with 5% CO2. Tumor cell viability was measured with the 3-(4,5-Dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Roche, Indianapolis, IN), as described in our previously published work.24 Briefly, cells were seeded at a density of 3000 cells/well in a 96-well plate and cultured overnight. The following day, 4T1 and HeyA8 cells were treated with an increasing concentration of ZLc002 (0 – 50 µM), paclitaxel (0 – 500 nM), or the combination of both and incubated for a further 72 h. At the end of the incubation, 10 µL MTT solution (5 mg/mL) was added to each of the wells. After 4 h of incubation, 100 µL solubilization solution was added. The solubilized crystals were measured at optical density 570 nm. The effect of the drugs on cells was expressed as a percentage of viability compared to untreated cells. Data were derived from multiple experiments (n = 7 for 4T1 cell line and n = 3 for HeyA8), all performed on separate days; all data sets were normalized and subjected to nonlinear regression analysis to generate IC50. The combination response (additivity, synergy, or antagonism) was analyzed using Combenefit (Cancer Research UK Cambridge Institute; Cambridge, UK), a software tool that enables the visualization, analysis, and quantification of drug combination effects.25 The data from the combination treatments were processed using three synergy reference models: the Bliss independence model, the Loewe additivity model, and the highest single agent (HSA) model using Combenefit (‘Combination Benefit’).25

Subjects

Adult C57BL/6J male mice, weighing 23–33 g (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME), were used in the studies of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathic pain produced by paclitaxel. Adult male Sprague Dawley rats, weighing 285–446 g (Envigo, Indianapolis, IN, USA), were used in the formalin study. The positive control (MK-801) and vehicle-treated groups that appear in the rat formalin study described here (vehicle and MK801 data points in Figure 5 only)6 were published previously by our group as comparators in a separate evaluation of structurally distinct PSD95-nNOS protein–protein interaction disruptors (i.e. IC87201 and ZL006) and are included here with permission from the publisher.6 The vehicle- and MK-801-treated groups were tested, perfused, and processed concurrently, under blinded conditions, with the ZLc002-treated groups described for the first time in the present report, in accordance with our obligations to comply with the guidelines from the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care to reduce unnecessary animal
use. Tissue from all subjects was processed concurrently for Fos immunohistochemistry. Animals were housed in a temperature-controlled facility (73 ± 2°F), 45% humidity under a 12-h light/dark cycle with standard rodent chow and water ad libitum. All experimental procedures were approved by the Bloomington Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Indiana University and followed guidelines of the International Association for the Study of Pain.

**Formalin test**
Rats received a single i.p. injection of ZLc002 (4 or 10 mg/kg), MK-801 (0.1 mg/kg) or vehicle 30 min before i.p. formalin injection. Animals were placed on an elevated clear glass table in Plexiglass observation chambers immediately following i.p. injection and were allowed to habituate to the testing apparatus for 30 min. Next, rats received a unilateral i.p. injection of 2.5% formalin (50 μl) into the superficial plantar surface of the hind paw. Behavior was videotaped for 60 min immediately following i.p. formalin and nociceptive behaviors were quantified by a single experimenter (LMC) blinded to the experimental conditions. Composite pain scores (CPS) were calculated for every 5 min time bin as described in our previous work. No pain behavior was scored as 0, lifting of the paw was scored as 1, and shaking/biting/flinching was scored as 2. The area under the curve (AUC) for formalin-evoked pain was calculated for the early phase of pain behavior (phase 1, 0–10 min) and the late phase (phase 2, 10–60 min) for each subject.

**Tissue preparation for immunohistochemistry**
Immunohistochemical experiments were conducted on tissues from the same subjects that are used to evaluate the impact of ZLc002 (4 or 10 mg/kg i.p.), MK-801 (0.1 mg/kg i.p.) and vehicle on formalin-evoked pain behavior. Immediately after concluding behavioral procedures, rats were anesthetized with 25% urethane and immediately perfused transcardially with 0.1% heparinized 0.1 M PBS followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (i.e. 1 h post i.pl. formalin). Lumbar spinal cord tissue was dissected and kept in the same fixative for 24 h and then cryoprotected in 30% sucrose for three days prior to sectioning.

**Immunohistochemistry**
Immunohistochemical experiments were conducted as described previously. Briefly, transverse sections (30 μm) of the L4-L5 lumbar spinal cord were cut on a cryostat and kept in an antifreeze solution (50% sucrose in ethylene glycol and 0.1 M PBS) prior to staining. Every fourth section was processed for immunostaining to avoid counting the same cell twice in adjacent sections. Sections were washed in 0.1 M PBS, then endogenous peroxidases were quenched in 0.3% H₂O₂ for 30 min. Tissue was then incubated for 1 h in a blocking solution consisting of 5% normal goat serum and 0.3% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PBS. Tissue was incubated with rabbit polyclonal Fos protein antibody (1:1500, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA) for 24 h at 4°C. Fos protein expression was visualized using the avidin-biotin peroxidase method using diaminobenzidine as the chromagen. Three sections per animal which displayed the greatest numbers of Fos-like immunoreactive (FLI) cells, based upon qualitative evaluation, were quantified by an experimenter blinded to treatment conditions. Images were obtained using a Retiga 1300 digital camera mounted on a Leica DMLB microscope. The number of FLI cells were counted manually using ImageJ software in spinal subdivisions as first described by Presley et al. The spinal subdivisions subjected to quantification were the superficial dorsal horn (laminae I and II), the nucleus proprius (lamina III and IV), the neck region of the dorsal horn (laminae V and VI), and the ventral horn (laminae VII-X). Statistical analyses were conducted on the number of FLI cells per subdivision averaged across the three sections quantified per animal to generate a single mean for each subdivision per animal. FLI cells were largely absent in rats receiving an i.pl. injection of saline in lieu of formalin (data not shown and Carey et al.).

**Paclitaxel-induced neuropathic pain**
Paclitaxel (Tecoland Corporation, Irvine, CA) was dissolved in a vehicle consisting of a 1:1:4 ratio of cremophor EL (Sigma Aldrich), ethanol (Sigma Aldrich) and saline (Aquilite System; Hospira, Inc, Lake Forest, IL). Mice were injected with either the cremophor-vehicle or paclitaxel (4 mg/kg, i.p.) on days 0, 2, 4, and 6 following initiation of paclitaxel dosing (16 mg/kg i.p. cumulative dose). Responsiveness to mechanical and cold stimulation was assessed before initiation of paclitaxel or cremophor-vehicle dosing (i.e. baseline, day 0) and during development and maintenance phases of paclitaxel-induced hypersensitivity on days 4, 7, 11, and 15 as previously described.

**Time course of anti-allodynic effects of ZLc002 in the paclitaxel model of neuropathic pain**
Paclitaxel-treated mice were randomly divided into two groups and injected systemically with either vehicle or ZLc002 (10 mg/kg, i.p.) on day 16 following initiation of paclitaxel dosing. Responsiveness to mechanical and cold stimulation was assessed starting at 30 min after drug injection and reevaluated at 60, 90, and 150 min postinjection.
Effects of repeated systemic dosing with ZLc002 in the paclitaxel model of neuropathic pain

The same mice used in the time course evaluation were repeatedly injected with vehicle or ZLc002 (10 mg/kg, i.p.) once daily for another seven consecutive days. Repeated dosing was initiated on day 16 following initiation of paclitaxel dosing. Responsiveness to mechanical stimulation was assessed at 30 min posttreatment on days 1, 4, and 8 of chronic injection.

Assessment of mechanical allodynia

Withdrawal thresholds (g) to mechanical stimulation were measured in duplicate for each paw using an electronic von Frey anesthesiometer supplied with a 90-g semi-flexible probe (IITC Life Science, Woodland Hills, CA) as described previously.32,33

Assessment of cold allodynia

Cold allodynia was assessed by applying one drop (~5–6 μl) of acetone (Sigma Aldrich) to the plantar surface of the hind paw. Time spent reacting to acetone stimulation was measured in triplicate for each paw.33,34

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism for Windows 5 (Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA USA). IC50 values in AlphaScreen were calculated by nonlinear regression analysis using the equation of a sigmoid concentration–response curve using GraphPad Prism. Co-immunoprecipitation data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc analysis. In vivo data were analyzed by two-way repeated measures ANOVA and one-way ANOVA, as appropriate. Post hoc comparisons were performed using Bonferroni’s post hoc tests or, in the case of comparisons to control, Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

ZLc002 reduced co-immunoprecipitation of NOS1AP with nNOS immunoprecipitated from primary cultured cortical neurons

We verified that ZLc002 can disrupt nNOS–NOS1AP interactions in primary neuronal cultures as previously suggested14 using methodology published previously by our group.18 Our results confirm that NMDA (50 μM) challenge elevated nNOS–NOS1AP interaction relative to control conditions in primary cortical neurons as determined with co-immunoprecipitation (Figure 2). Moreover, pretreatment with ZLc002 (10 μM) reduced NMDA-induced nNOS–NOS1AP interaction (F2,11 = 21.26, p < 0.001, Figure 2). NMDA-treated cells displayed higher levels of co-immunoprecipitated nNOS–NOS1AP than either control cells not treated with NMDA (p < 0.001; Bonferroni’s post hoc test) or NMDA-treated cells pretreated with ZLc002 (p < 0.01; Bonferroni’s post hoc test) (Figure 2).

ZLc002 failed to disrupt nNOS–NOS1AP protein–protein interactions in the AlphaScreen in vitro binding assay

To investigate whether ZLc002 disrupts the binding between nNOS and NOS1AP through a direct mechanism, we set up AlphaScreen assays for cell-free
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acting in cells as a prodrug as previously suggested\(^{14}\) (Figure 3). These results are consistent with ZLc002 had no effect on binding between nNOS and NOS1AP analogous conditions. Moreover, consistent with our interaction with an IC\(_{50}\) of 4.9 \(\mu\)M (Figure 3) under analogous conditions. ZLc002 failed to disrupt the interaction between His-nNOS–NOS1AP disruptor TAT-GESV, reliably disrupted the assay, whereas the consensus peptide inhibitor of nNOS–NOS1AP, TAT-GESV, reliably disrupted the interaction with an IC\(_{50}\) of 4.9 \(\mu\)M (Figure 3) under analogous conditions. Moreover, consistent with our interaction with an IC\(_{50}\) of 4.9 \(\mu\)M (Figure 3) under analogous conditions. ZLc002 reduced co-immunoprecipitation of full-length nNOS1-299 and GST-NOS1AP400-506 in AlphaScreen. The peptide motif and C-terminal tail). ZLc002 failed to disrupt the targeted protein–protein interaction\(^{14}\) in intact cells as intended, we evaluated the effect of ZLc002 exposure on the co-immunoprecipitation of NOS1AP preassembled with over-expressed nNOS in HEK293T cells, cells that would not be expected to express endogenous nNOS, PSD95, or NMDAR subunits. Full-length GFP-tagged nNOS was immunoprecipitated from transfected 293T cells co-expressing full-length NOS1AP (tagged with luciferase tag for quantification, see methods) with or without a 90-min preexposure to 10 \(\mu\)M ZLc002. Immunoblotting demonstrated comparable immunoprecipitation of GFP-nNOS in all samples in each replicate (\(n=3\)), and quantification of co-immunoprecipitated NOS1AP showed that ZLc002 reduced the nNOS–NOS1AP interaction by \(~40\%\) (\(F_{2,8}=495.5, p<0.0001\); Figure 4(a)). To evaluate the selectivity of this inhibition for the nNOS interaction with NOS1AP, the experiments were repeated using nNOS and PSD95-PDZ2. While higher levels of binding of nNOS to PSD95-PDZ2 were observed in control relative to empty vector samples (\(F_{2,8}=859.4, p<0.0001\); \(p<0.0001\); Figure 4(b)), ZLc002 had no effect on co-immunoprecipitation of PSD95-PDZ2 with nNOS. These observations suggest that ZLc002 is selective for a specific function of the nNOS-PDZ domain, i.e. the recruitment of NOS1AP.

**Figure 3.** ZLc002 failed to disrupt the interaction between His-nNOS\(_{1-299}\) and GST-NOS1AP\(_{400-506}\) in AlphaScreen. The peptide nNOS–NOS1AP disruptor TAT-GESV disrupted this interaction with an IC\(_{50}\) of 4.9 \(\mu\)M, whereas the inactive peptide TAT-GESV\(_{1}\) failed to do so (\(n=4\) replicates derived from two separate assays performed on separate days). Data are mean \(\pm\) S.E.M.
and (d) in a lamina-dependent manner ($F_{3,24} = 21.43$, $p < 0.0001$; Figure 6(a) and (b)), and the interaction between drug treatment and spinal cord laminar expression of Fos-protein like immunoreactivity was significant ($F_{15,24} = 8.7$, $p < 0.0001$; Figure 6(a)). ZLC002, at doses of both 4 and 10 mg/kg i.p., reduced formalin-evoked Fos-like immunoreactivity in the superficial dorsal horn ($p < 0.001$; Bonferroni’s post hoc test) and the neck region of the dorsal horn ($p < 0.001$; Bonferroni’s post hoc test) but not in the nucleus proprius or the ventral horn ($p > 0.05$ for each comparison; Bonferroni’s post hoc test) relative to vehicle treatment.
By contrast, MK-801 (0.1 mg/kg i.p.) reduced formalin-evoked Fos-like immunoreactivity in laminae I-II (p < 0.001) and laminae V-VI (p < 0.001) relative to vehicle. MK-801 reduced formalin-evoked Fos-like immunoreactivity in laminae I-II (p < 0.001), laminae III-IV (p < 0.001), V-VI (p < 0.001), and the ventral horn (p < 0.01) relative to rats treated with vehicle. MK-801 and vehicle groups were published previously but run and processed concurrently with ZLc002-treated groups shown here (see methods and Carey et al.6). Example photomicrographs taken at 10x magnification showing formalin-evoked Fos-like immunoreactivity in lumbar dorsal horn of rats treated with vehicle (c), ZLc002 (4 mg/kg i.p.) (d), ZLc002 (10 mg/kg i.p.) (e), and MK-801 (0.1 mg/kg) (f). Scale bar is equal to 100 μm.

ZLc002 attenuates mechanical and cold allodynia evoked by paclitaxel in mice

Paclitaxel treatment decreased mechanical paw withdrawal thresholds, mechanical paw withdrawal thresholds differed across test days, and the interaction between treatment and test day was significant (F_{1,22} = 33.7, p < 0.0001 (treatment); F_{4,88} = 20.81, p < 0.0001 (day); F_{4,88} = 17.49, p < 0.0001 (interaction); Figure 7(a)). Similarly, paclitaxel increased the duration

\[ a = b \]
of time spent responding to cold, cold responsiveness differed across test days, and the interaction between treatment and test day was significant ($F_{1,22} = 43.49$; $p < 0.0001$ (treatment); $F_{4,88} = 34.02$, $p < 0.0001$ (day); $F_{4,88} = 13.31$, $p < 0.0001$ (interaction); Figure 7(b)).

Mechanical and cold hypersensitivity was present on day 7, was maintained throughout the observation interval, and remained ongoing on day 15, prior to initiation of pharmacological manipulations ($p < 0.0001$, Bonferroni’s post hoc test for both mechanical and cold assessment), relative to day 0 prepaclitaxel baseline response (Figure 7(a) and (b)).

Paclitaxel lowered mechanical paw withdrawal thresholds ($t_{11} = 9$, $p < 0.0001$; Figure 7(c)) and increased duration of time spent responding to cold ($t_{11} = 8.28$, $p < 0.0001$; Figure 7(d)) relative to prepaclitaxel baseline responses. In paclitaxel-treated mice, ZLc002 (10 mg/kg, i.p.) increased postinjection mechanical paw withdrawal thresholds, mechanical paw withdrawal thresholds differed across postinjection times, and the interaction between drug treatment and injection time was significant ($F_{1,10} = 14.81$, $p = 0.0032$ (drug); $F_{2,20} = 9.05$, $p = 0.0016$ (time); $F_{2,20} = 4.20$, $p = 0.030$ (interaction); two-way repeated measures ANOVA;
Figure 7(c)). In paclitaxel-treated mice, ZLc002 elevated mechanical paw withdrawal thresholds relative to vehicle treatment from 30 min (p < 0.01, Bonferroni’s post hoc test; Figure 7(c)) to 90 min postinjection (p < 0.05, Bonferroni’s post hoc test; Figure 7(c)). In paclitaxel-treated mice, ZLc002 (10 mg/kg, i.p.) decreased postinjection cold responsiveness, cold responsiveness did not differ reliably across postinjection times, and the interaction between drug treatment and injection time was not significant (F 1,10 = 5.46, p = 0.0415 (drug); F 2,20 = 1.99, p = 0.1632 (time); F 2,20 = 0.16, p = 0.8556 (interaction); two-way repeated measures ANOVA; Figure 7(d)). Thus, ZLc002 attenuated paclitaxel-induced cold responsiveness throughout the observation interval (Figure 7(d)).

In mice that received the cremophor-based vehicle in lieu of paclitaxel, ZLc002 treatment did not reliably alter postinjection mechanical or cold responsiveness, behavioral responsiveness was stable across postinjection times, and the interaction between drug treatment and time was not significant (Mechanical: F 1,10 = 3.30, p = 0.0992 (drug); F 2,20 = 0.84, p = 0.4469 (time); F 2,20 = 0.16, p = 0.8565 (interaction); Cold: F 1,10 = 2.40, p = 0.1522 (drug); F 2,20 = 1.51, p = 0.2441 (time); F 2,20 = 2.15, p = 0.1430 (interaction); two-way repeated measures ANOVA; Figure 7(e) to (f)).

Effects of repeated dosing with nNOS–NOS1AP disruptor in a mouse model of paclitaxel-induced neuropathic pain

In paclitaxel-treated mice, once daily dosing with ZLc002 (10 mg/kg i.p. x 8 days) increased mechanical paw withdrawal thresholds relative to the vehicle-treated group across the observation interval (F 1,10 = 26.59, p = 0.0004 (drug)) (Figure 8(a)). Mechanical paw withdrawal thresholds also differed across injection days, and the interaction between treatment and injection day was significant (F 2,20 = 4.13, p = 0.0316 (day); F 2,20 = 8.25, p = 0.0024 (interaction)) (Figure 8(a)). ZLc002 increased mechanical paw withdrawal thresholds relative to vehicle in paclitaxel-treated mice on day 1 (p < 0.01; Bonferroni’s post hoc test) and day 4 (p < 0.001; Bonferroni’s post hoc test) but not on day 8 (p > 0.05 for each comparison; Bonferroni’s post hoc test).

Once daily dosing with ZLc002 (10 mg/kg i.p. x 8 days) also lowered paclitaxel-induced cold hypersensitivity relative to the vehicle-treated group across the observation interval (F 1,10 = 12.5, p = 0.0054 (drug)), and these effects were also time dependent (F 2,20 = 8.20, p = 0.0025 (interaction)) (Figure 8(b)). Cold responsiveness did not differ across injection days (F 2,20 = 0.15, p = 0.8579 (day)) (Figure 8(a)). ZLc002 lowered cold response times relative to vehicle in paclitaxel-treated mice on day 1 (p < 0.05; Bonferroni’s post hoc test) and day 4 (p < 0.001; Bonferroni’s post hoc test) but not on day 8 (p > 0.05 for each comparison; Bonferroni’s post hoc test) of chronic dosing (Figure 8(a) and (b)).

Impact of ZLc002 in the presence and absence of paclitaxel on breast and ovarian tumor cell line viability

The impact of ZLc002 and paclitaxel over a wide range of molar ratios (i.e. dose–response matrix between eight concentrations of ZLc002 and eight concentrations of paclitaxel) on 4T1 and HeyA8 tumor cell line cytotoxicity is shown in Figures 9 and 10, respectively. ZLc002...
alone had no effect on the viability of either 4T1 (Figure 9(a)) or HeyA8 (Figure 10(a)) tumor cells, which was markedly inhibited by paclitaxel in each case (Figures 9(b) and 10(b)). Nonetheless, quantification of the drug combination responses indicates that the combination between ZLc002 and paclitaxel is synergistic using the Bliss model (4T1: Figure 9(e); HeyA8: Figure 10(e)), Loewe additivity model (4T1: Figure 9(f); HeyA8: Figure 10(f)), and HSA model (4T1: Figure 9(g); HeyA8: Figure 10(g)). The synergy maps showed that ZLc002 and paclitaxel have synergistic effects (blue areas in the model graph) on inhibiting tumor cell proliferation at a wide range of drug combination ratios in both 4T1 (Figure 9(e) to (g)) and HeyA8 (Figure 10(e) to (g)) cells.

**Discussion**

Our studies support a role for disruption of nNOS–NOS1AP protein–protein interactions downstream of NMDARs as a therapeutic strategy for suppressing inflammatory and neuropathic pain. We verified that the putative small-molecule nNOS–NOS1AP inhibitor ZLc002 disrupts the NMDA-induced interaction between full-length nNOS and NOS1AP proteins in primary cortical neurons. We also showed that ZLc002
selectively disrupted the preestablished interaction in HEK293T cells transfected with full-length nNOS and NOS1AP proteins without altering the interactions between full-length nNOS and PSD95-PDZ2. These observations are consistent with a direct action on the nNOS–NOS1AP protein–protein interaction itself rather than on the upstream, NMDA-evoked mechanism of interaction. Our studies suggest that the nNOS–NOS1AP interface is a previously unrecognized target for inflammatory pain. We revealed, for the first time, that a small-molecule nNOS–NOS1AP disruptor exhibits anti-allodynic efficacy in models of inflammatory and neuropathic pain. Importantly, ZLc002, administered systemically, suppressed both formalin-evoked pain behavior as well as inflammation-evoked neuronal activation in lumbar spinal dorsal horn of the same subjects, similar to the NMDAR antagonist MK-801. Moreover, ZLc002 suppressed both mechanical and cold allodynia in a mouse model of neuropathic pain induced by paclitaxel treatment and enhanced the ability of paclitaxel to reduce tumor cell viability in vitro.

ZLc002 was previously shown to disrupt nNOS–NOS1AP interactions, as judged by the observation of reduced co-immunoprecipitation of NOS1AP with

Figure 10. ZLc002 synergizes with paclitaxel in HeyA8 cells to reduce ovarian tumor cell line viability. Dose–response matrix delineating the effect of (a) ZLc002 and (b) paclitaxel in HeyA8 cells. Rel EC95, Relative EC95 = 0 µM, reflects absence of inhibition of HeyA8 tumor cell viability by ZLc002; Abs EC50, Absolute EC50 = 10.2 nM, reflects efficacy of paclitaxel in reducing HeyA8 tumor cell viability by 50% of maximum. (c–d) Single-agent and combination responses determined by an MTT viability assay in 4T1 cells. The landscape of the combination responses for ZLc002 and paclitaxel based on the (E) Bliss model, (F) Loewe model, and (G) highest single agent (HSA) model. Each model supports synergism of the combination of ZLc002 with paclitaxel in reducing tumor cell line viability. (n = 3 experiments). HSA: highest single agent.
nNOS measured in hippocampal cells. However, such actions in a complex cellular system could occur through direct or indirect mechanisms. Our studies verify and extend these published observations by showing that ZLc002 reduces the co-immunoprecipitation of NOS1AP but not PSD95-PDZ2 with nNOS in HEK293T cells. Although both of these interactions involve the extended PDZ domain at the first 130 N-terminal amino acids of nNOS, the stable NOS1AP interaction requires the docking of a PDZ ligand motif into the nNOS-PDZ pocket, whereas PSD95-PDZ2 interacts with a distinct β-finger extension of the core nNOS-PDZ domain. The two sites of interaction are, therefore, spatially very close to one another but are distinct, making this comparison a good evaluation of selectivity. Importantly, because endogenous nNOS, PSD95, or NMDAR subunits can be expected to be absent in HEK293T cells and ZLc002 disrupts the constitutive nNOS–NOS1AP interaction in this system, ZLc002 is unlikely to reduce co-immunoprecipitation of nNOS and NOS1AP in cultured neurons by acting through NMDA-evoked mechanisms involving extraneous targets that are present in neurons but not measured herein.

To determine whether disruption of nNOS–NOS1AP interactions induced by ZLc002 resulted from a direct mechanism, we used a cell-free AlphaScreen biochemical binding assay employing purified nNOS and NOS1AP fragments containing the interacting interface. Intriguingly, we failed to detect the disruption by ZLc002 of His-nNOS1-299-GST-NOS1AP400-506 binding with the fragments that are critical for nNOS–NOS1AP interactions in this reductionist assay. By contrast, the peptide nNOS–NOS1AP disruptor TAT-GESV disrupted these interactions in the same AlphaScreen assay, whereas a putative inactive peptide TAT-GESVΔ1, lacking the terminal valine residue, failed to do so, consistent with the known critical role of the peptide ligand terminal valine in target recognition. Therefore, the potency of ZLc002 for disrupting binding of nNOS–NOS1AP could not be determined using AlphaScreen, which uses a cell-free system consisting of only a single pair of purified protein fragments. It is plausible that ZLc002 disrupts the interactions through a mechanism distinct from the direct disruption at this interacting interface (e.g. allosteric mechanisms and/or via an active metabolite of ZLc002 that is produced in cells).

ZLc002 has recently been hypothesized to act as a pro-drug. This observation could account for our observation that ZLc002 disrupted the co-immunoprecipitation of NOS1AP with nNOS from primary cortical neurons expressing enzymes such as esterases that would, presumably, be available to transform ZLc002 into other bioactive mediators, but it failed to disrupt the interaction between nNOS and NOS1AP in our cell-free AlphaScreen assay even though the peptide nNOS–NOS1AP disruptor TAT-GESV was able to potently disrupt this interaction. Support for this hypothesis is derived from the fact that ZLc002 also disrupted co-immunoprecipitation between full-length nNOS and NOS1AP in transfected HEK293T cells in the immunoprecipitation assay. In our study, ZLc002 disrupted nNOS–NOS1AP interactions in HEK293T cells transfected with full-length nNOS and full-length NOS1AP but not between full-length nNOS and PDZ2 of PSD95. This finding is consistent with previous work showing that ZLc002 inhibits the co-immunoprecipitation of NOS1AP with nNOS but not of PSD95 with nNOS. More work is needed to determine the precise location at which ZLc002 binds within the complex, if indeed it binds at all, and whether the currently identified two nNOS interacting sites on NOS1AP may affect ZLc002’s binding differentially from TAT-GESV, therefore, giving us different results in different protein–protein disruption assays. Our observations, nonetheless, suggest that ZLc002 produces a functional disruption of nNOS–NOS1AP interactions in intact cells.

ZLc002 exhibits anxiolytic efficacy in mice and disrupts co-immunoprecipitation of nNOS and NOS1AP in ZLc002-treated hippocampal cells without changing PSD95 expression or its association with NMDARs. Increases in association of nNOS and NOS1AP also accompany anxiogenic-like behaviors. However, prior to the present report, whether this small molecule disrupts nNOS–NOS1AP binding through a direct mechanism or suppresses pathological pain was unknown. Our studies demonstrate, for the first time, that disruption of nNOS–NOS1AP protein–protein interactions suppresses inflammatory nociception. ZLc002, administered systemically, produced antinociceptive efficacy in the formalin test and reduced the number of formalin-evoked Fos-like immunoreactive cells in the lumbar spinal dorsal horn. ZLc002 selectively suppressed phase 2, but not phase 1, of formalin-induced pain behavior, similar to the NMDAR antagonist MK-801. These observations are consistent with the role of NMDARs in contributing to central nervous system sensitization and, specifically, phase 2 of formalin-induced pain behavior. Moreover, ZLc002 selectively suppressed the number of formalin-evoked Fos protein-like immunoreactive cells, a marker of neuronal activation, in dorsal horn regions implicated in nociceptive processing but did not reliably alter Fos protein expression in ventral horn regions typically associated with motor function. Notably, ZLc002 suppressed formalin-evoked Fos protein expression in the superficial dorsal horn (lamina I, II) and neck region (lamina V, VI) of the dorsal horn but not in the nucleus proprius (lamina
III, IV) or ventral horn. The ZLc002-induced suppression of Fos protein expression was observed in the same subjects that exhibited ZLc002-induced antinociception in the formalin test. These observations are consistent with the hypothesis that the suppression of inflammation-evoked Fos protein expression induced by antinociceptive doses of ZLc002 reflects a suppression of nociceptive processing. Moreover, the pattern of changes in both pain behavior and Fos protein expression was similar to those observed previously by our group with PSD95-nNOS inhibitors IC87201 and ZL006.6

We previously demonstrated that the nNOS–NOS1AP inhibitor TAT-GESV, but not the inactive peptide TAT-GESVA1, reversed established neuropathic pain due to paclitaxel treatment.11 Anti-allodynic efficacy of TAT-GESV (i.t.) was preserved following repeated intrathecal injection of the peptide inhibitor.11 Here, we show that ZLc002, administered systemically, reduces the maintenance of paclitaxel-evoked mechanical and cold allodynia. The anti-allodynic effects of ZLc002 were preserved for at least four days of repeated dosing, although loss of anti-allodynic efficacy was observed by day 8 of repeated dosing. More work is necessary to determine whether differences in the route of administration contributed to loss of efficacy (i.e. tolerance) observed with repeated systemic, but not intrathecal, administration. A compensatory mechanism in NMDAR-PSD95-nNOS–NOS1AP-mediated nociceptive signaling could be engaged following repeated systemic dosing of the small molecule but not following repeated intrathecal dosing with the peptide nNOS–NOS1AP disruptor. Tolerance was not observed with repeated intrathecal dosing of either TAT-GESV or MK-801 in the same paclitaxel model of neuropathic pain in our previous work.9,11 More work is necessary to establish the site of action of systemically administered small-molecule nNOS–NOS1AP disruptors and determine whether mechanisms of anti-allodynic efficacy and tolerance could differ at spinal and supraspinal levels.

The anti-allodynic effects of ZLc002 observed herein were selective for the pathological pain state; ZLc002 did not alter responsiveness to either mechanical or cold stimulation in control animals that received the cremophor-based vehicle in lieu of paclitaxel. Our findings reveal, for the first time, that a functional small-molecule inhibitor of nNOS–NOS1AP interactions produces anti-allodynic efficacy in rodent models of both neuropathic and inflammatory pain.

ZLc002 failed to impede, and in fact, was synergistic with paclitaxel in reducing tumor cell line viability, without itself producing tumor cell cytotoxicity. Similar synergistic effects of ZLc002 with paclitaxel were observed in both breast cancer (4T1) and ovarian (HeyA8) tumor cell lines. The same conclusions were obtained using Combenefit analysis applied to different classical synergy models (i.e. the Bliss model, Loewe model, and HSA model). The fact that identical conclusions were derived from separate experiments employing distinct tumor cell lines and three different synergy models further validate our findings, although synergism appeared more robust in the breast cancer 4T1 cell line. These observations raise the possibility that nNOS–NOS1AP disruption may be highly efficacious clinically for suppressing chemotherapy-induced neuropathic pain in breast cancer patients without impeding the anti-tumor efficacy of paclitaxel. More work is necessary to evaluate whether ZLc002 could enhance the anti-cancer effects of paclitaxel in vivo and whether such effects translate to different chemotherapeutic agents.

We recently reported that nNOS–NOS1AP interactions are involved in pro-nociceptive signaling using a peptide nNOS–NOS1AP disruptor.11 TAT-GESV, administered i.t., attenuated mechanical and cold allodynia in two mechanistically distinct neuropathic pain models (i.e. chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy produced by paclitaxel and traumatic nerve injury induced by partial sciatic nerve ligation).11 TAT-GESV, administered i.t., also reduced paclitaxel-evoked phosphorylation of p53 in the lumbar spinal cord, consistent with a spinal site of anti-allodynic efficacy.11 Because p53 is a downstream substrate of proinflammatory p38 MAPK that is known to be activated upon nNOS–NOS1AP association, it was used as a surrogate marker of p38 activation.18 More work is necessary to determine whether p38MAPK could also be activated by pathological pain and disrupted by ZLc002 at its site of action.

Elevated NMDAR activity contributes to central sensitization.2 However, targeting NMDAR produces unwanted side effects, such as motor and memory impairment rendering NMDAR antagonism undesirable.3 Thus, it is noteworthy that ZLc002 does not produce motor impairment,14 consistent with similar observations made by our group using the peptide nNOS–NOS1AP disruptor TAT-GESV11 and nNOS-PSD95 inhibitors IC87201 and ZL006.6–9,11 Novel strategies disrupting protein–protein interactions downstream of NMDARs—including NR2B-PSD95, PSD95-nNOS, and nNOS–NOS1AP interactions—thus remain promising alternative approaches capable of suppressing elevated NMDAR activity-mediated pronociceptive signaling and allodynia without unwanted on-target side effects of NMDAR antagonists.6–9,11 Further studies are required to determine whether therapeutic strategies disrupting nNOS–NOS1AP interactions are superior to those targeting NR2B-PSD95 or PSD95-nNOS interactions in the quest to develop safe and effective anti-hyperalgesic and anti-allodynic agents for the treatment of pain.
In conclusion, our findings collectively suggest that the putative nNOS–NOS1AP small-molecule inhibitor, ZLc002, disrupts nNOS–NOS1AP interactions in primary cortical neurons and in HEK293T cells transfected with the full-length proteins but not in a cell-free Alphascreen biochemical binding assay. ZLc002 suppresses neuropathic and inflammatory pain as well as a neurochemical marker of inflammation-evoked neuronal activation in pain processing regions of the spinal dorsal horn. ZLc002 reduces paclitaxel-induced neuropathic pain in vivo and synergized with paclitaxel to reduce both breast and ovarian tumor cell line viability in vitro. ZLc002 did not alter mechanical or cold sensitivity in the absence of paclitaxel, suggesting that the nNOS–NOS1AP disruptor reversed the sensitized responses to cutaneous (mechanical and cold) stimulation in a manner that was selective for the pathological pain state. Future medicinal chemistry efforts are required to identify small-molecule nNOS–NOS1AP inhibitors that themselves disrupt nNOS–NOS1AP in a cell-free system and exhibit better drug-like properties (i.e. enhanced duration of action, lack of tolerance, and show improved drug-like properties).
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