Dear Editor,

We read with interest the randomized multicentric study of liver transplantation (LT) for patients with downstaged hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), recently published in the Lancet Oncology Journal [1]. The authors were able to demonstrate the greater efficacy of LT over the alternative nonsurgical standard of care therapy, according to the strongest level of medical evidence. We believe the present study is an important occasion to discuss the relevant issue of how to introduce in clinical practice new indications in the setting of LT.

Clinical recommendations are formulated according to the relevant evidence, and a new surgical strategy may take up to several years to be fully validated before its introduction in clinical practice. Phase 3 randomized trials are the required ultimate evidence to produce updates of guideline recommendations, but they are often extremely difficult to be completed in the surgical setting. Consequently, the authors of the article are to be commended for their effort to produce the highest level of evidence to confirm the survival benefit of LT in downstaged HCC. But will these efforts remain always necessary?

Some authors consider that a difference in outcome greater than 25% based on preliminary studies is a reason for not proceeding to studies including randomization [2]. A randomized trial aimed at confirming a potential benefit >25% may place physicians in a very uncomfortable position of choosing between the already expected best strategy for the patient and that required by the rules of the strength of evidence.

Mazzaferro et al.’s [1] trial was designed in 2010 and enrolled 74 patients between 2011 and 2015. Forty-three patients who achieved successful downstaging were randomized: the study arm (21 cases) received LT experiencing no dropout, also thanks to a relatively short waiting time, while the control arm (22 cases) continued the fol-
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The article showed a significantly better patient survival in the transplanted arm (5-year survival rate: 77.5 vs. 31.2%), confirming the results of previous prospective cohort studies [3, 4]. The results of these cohort studies convinced many centers (including those of Bologna and Padova) to start performing LT in successfully downstaged HCC patients on a regular basis, and this conflicted with the participation of such centers in a randomized study.

However, according to the currently required levels of evidence, we should wonder whether these centers adopted in clinical practice a correct behavior even if not supported by randomized studies. We agree that the previous level of evidence for this strategy was lower than that provided by the present study [1], but we must also consider that accepting the lower level of evidence provided by cohort studies, many patients have been correctly treated over these years.

We did not want to compare the cohort to randomized studies, but we aimed to focus on the methodology to produce clinical recommendations. The GRADE methodology (www.gradeworkinggroup.org), which is the standard adopted worldwide as the most reliable way to produce trustworthy clinical guidelines, acknowledges that randomized trials are neither sufficient nor necessary to produce strong recommendations when some other conditions are met as was the case of LT versus non-LT treatments for HCC. Also, special circumstances warrant strong recommendations when evidence is weak or conflicting [5].

We have great esteem for all the authors of the study, some of them being pioneers of LT. The aim of our comment was not to criticize the study design or its ethical implications but to open the discussion concerning the validity of large prospective cohort studies to change the clinical practice without the need of randomized trials.

Several other cohort studies [6] show that LT is superior to nontransplant therapies even for patients who did not fully complete the downstaging procedure or failed to reach the Milan criteria, provided that macrovascular invasion and extrahepatic spread of the tumor were absent. Should we actually need another randomized trial to accept to transplant these patients, an option which might never come again or, instead, should we make maximal efforts to establish how to design prospective cohort studies able to provide a level of evidence sufficient to make randomized trials unnecessary?
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