Aims: Obesity is known to be associated with an altered thermoregulation as well as a dysregulation of Sympathetic Nervous System (SNS). Considering the ability of deep Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (dTMS) to modulate the SNS, we hypothesized a potential role of dTMS in affecting thermoregulation in obesity. Aims of the study were to monitor the effect of a single session of dTMS on body temperature in subjects with obesity, and to correlate the dTMS-induced changes in body temperature with activation of the SNS (epinephrine and norepinephrine release).

Methods: Twenty-nine subjects with obesity [5 M, 24 F; age 50 (IQR: 58, 38) yrs; BMI 36.1 (IQR: 33.9, 38.7) kg/m2], were randomized into 2 groups receiving a single session of High Frequency stimulation (HF) or sham stimulation. Under neutral thermal conditions, Infrared Thermography was utilized to assess bilateral fingernail-beds and...
abdominal temperature.

Results: During a single session HF, the average temperature of both fingernail beds decreased. Right hand temperature difference was statistically greater in HF vs Sham: median = -1.45 (IQR: -2.0, -1.0) °C for HF, p=0.009. Whilst, temperature variation in the fingernail-bed of left hand was not statistically significant in HF compared to Sham: median = -1.26 (IQR: -1.6, -0.5) °C, p=0.064. Concurrently, when estimating the effect of norepinephrine variation on temperature change of fingernail-bed of left hand, a borderline significant positive association was estimated (beta= 1.09, p=0.067) in HF.

Conclusions: Deep TMS revealed to be effective in modulating temperature in subjects with obesity, partially reversing obesity-induced alterations in heat production and dissipation with a potential SNS-mediated mechanism.
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It is well known that skin temperature regulation is a complex system that depends on blood-flow rate, local structures of subcutaneous tissues and mainly, the activity of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS). Based on the homeostasis principle, humans are supposed to be thermally balanced and should have similar temperature in the left and right region.
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4) The meaning of the "Signif. codes: 0.001 ‘***’, 0.01 ‘**’, 0.05 ‘*’" under the tables is not clear, as 1) p values are reported in the tables and 2) not all tables report asterisks

The meaning of the "Signif. codes: 0.001 ‘***’, 0.01 ‘**’, 0.05 ‘*’" under the tables has been clarified. Moreover, the bold font has been removed and the asterisks have been added for the significant p values in all the tables, according to the legend.
5) The statement that HF dTMS is effective in acutely modulating body temperature by decreasing the fingernail-bed temperature of both hands in individuals with obesity, reverting obesity-induced alterations in heat production and dissipation (Page 16, lines 21-28) is overly enthusiastic and should be toned down, also in light of the lack of significant effect on the left hand and abdominal temperature. The latter (no effect on abdominal temperature) is not mentioned in the discussion, but deserves at least a comment in this section.

As suggested by the Reviewer, we revised and geared down the conclusions, according to the less noticeable decrease of the fingernail-bed temperature in the left hand. A paragraph to debate the lack of dTMS effect on abdominal temperature has been added in the Discussion section.
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ABSTRACT

Aims: Obesity is known to be associated with an altered thermoregulation as well as a dysregulation of Sympathetic Nervous System (SNS). Considering the ability of deep Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (dTMS) to modulate the SNS, we hypothesized a potential role of dTMS in affecting thermoregulation in obesity. Aims of the study were to monitor the effect of a single session of dTMS on body temperature in subjects with obesity, and to correlate the dTMS-induced changes in body temperature with activation of the SNS (epinephrine and norepinephrine release).

Methods: Twenty-nine subjects with obesity [5 M, 24 F; age 50 (IQR: 58, 38) yrs; BMI 36.1 (IQR: 33.9, 38.7) kg/m²], were randomized into 2 groups receiving a single session of High Frequency stimulation (HF) or sham stimulation. Under neutral thermal conditions, Infrared Thermography was utilized to assess bilateral fingernail-beds and abdominal temperature.

Results: During a single session HF, the average temperature of both fingernail beds decreased. Right hand temperature difference was statistically greater in HF vs Sham: median= -1.45 (IQR: -2.0, -1.0) °C for HF, p=0.009. Whilst, temperature variation in the fingernail-bed of left hand was not statistically significant in HF compared to Sham time changes were also greater in the HF arm: median = -1.26 (IQR: -1.6, -0.5) °C, p=0.064. Concurrently, when estimating the effect of norepinephrine variation on temperature change of fingernail-bed of left hand, a borderline significant positive association was estimated (beta= 1.09, p=0.067) in HF.

Conclusions: Deep TMS revealed to be effective in modulating temperature in subjects with obesity, partially reversing obesity-induced alterations in heat production and dissipation with a potential SNS-mediated mechanism.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity is known to be associated with an altered thermoregulation [1, 2]. An increased resting metabolic caloric production [3, 4], combined with reduced heat dissipation due to the subcutaneous adipose tissue that acts as an insulating layer, has been shown in individuals with obesity [5]. Therefore, heat retention in areas of the body with greater adiposity is counteracted by an augmented heat release from the extremities, as the fingernail-beds of both hands to maintain euthermia in subject with obesity [5, 6]. Several mechanisms could be implicated in the higher heat production in individuals with obesity [7]: the larger fat-free mass (FFM) that accompanies excessive adiposity [4]; the increased adipokine levels, especially leptin, which induces a thermogenic effect via augmented heat production in skeletal muscle [8, 9]; the obesity-associated microbiota composition changes which can indirectly affect heat production by the host via inflammation, insulin resistance and deposition of fat stores [10, 11].

Maintenance of a homeostatic body core temperature is a critical brain function accomplished by a complex neural network. The hypothalamus, specifically the preoptic anterior hypothalamus, represents the coordinating or central integration center for the thermoregulation [7] [12]. It receives inputs from peripheral as well as from central thermoreceptors, which could be cold or warmth-responding [8] [13]. Peripheral thermoreceptors are in the skin, where cold receptors are more abundant than warm receptors. Central thermoreceptors are mainly for warm than cold, and are located in the hypothalamus, spinal cord, viscera, and great veins [13]. A significant role in the thermoregulatory process has been played by the skin blood flow, which in turn is regulated by the Autonomic Nervous System (ANS) [9] [14]. Specifically, two branches of the Sympathetic Nervous System (SNS) are mainly effectors of skin blood flow [10] [15]: sympathetic vasoconstrictor nerves, which release norepinephrine (NE) and co-transmitters and are responsible for minor variations in skin blood flow occurring during most daily activities, and the sympathetic active vasodilator system.
that works via cholinergic nerve co-transmission, but, in this case, the underlying mechanisms are incompletely understood [11] [46].

Thermoregulatory arterio-venous shunt vasoconstriction is mainly mediated by local release of NE rather than alterations in systemic catecholamine concentrations [6]. Norepinephrine preferentially binds α1-adrenoceptors by inducing smooth muscle contraction and vasoconstriction. Similar responses occur with the NE binding to post-junctional α2-adrenoceptors located on some blood vessels. Conversely, a vasodilator effect has been observed when NE binds the post-junctional β2-adrenoceptors, although this effect of NE is relatively weak and counteracted by the more powerful α-adrenoceptor-mediated vasoconstriction [12] [17]. Concerning epinephrine (EPI), a high affinity for smooth muscle β2-adrenoceptors, inducing vasodilation in some organs, has been shown; although at higher concentrations, it can produce vasoconstriction by binding the α1- and α2-adrenoceptors it is concentration-dependent. In fact, at high concentrations EPI binds the post-junctional α1- and α2-adrenoceptors, overriding the vasodilator effects of β2-adrenoceptor stimulation and producing vasoconstriction [12] [17].

The impact of ANS on thermoregulation could be mediated, not only by its regulatory effect on cutaneous blood flow, but also on Brown Adipose Tissue (BAT) function. Specifically, the SNS regulates BAT function both acute BAT function and prolonged BAT adaptation, mainly through the β1- and β3-adrenergic receptors. They are involved in stimulating brown adipocyte proliferation and in activating mature brown adipocytes, respectively, and in their differentiation. β1-adrenergic receptors on brown pre-adipocytes have been found to mediate noradrenergic stimulation of brown adipocyte proliferation. ANS dysfunctions, specifically an increased sympathetic activity, have been demonstrated in individuals with obesity, favoring the development of complications in the cardiovascular system, as well as in the thermoregulation process [13, 14][18, 19]. Concerning thermoregulation, sympathetic over-activity results in increased mobilization of free fatty acids (FFA) by white adipose tissue (WAT), which are used as substrate for BAT to increase energy expenditure.
inducing heat production. Chronic SNS activation also induces the conversion of “beige” adipose tissue in WAT, which also contribute to adaptive thermogenesis [19].

Although few data are available in humans, it is well known that also the opioid system plays an important role in regulating body temperature [15]–[20]. Specifically, previous studies demonstrated that intra-cerebro-ventricular administration of kappa opioid receptor agonists decreased body temperature in rats, whilst mu agonists increased it [17].

Noninvasive brain stimulation (NBS) has been introduced to alter human brain function in a safe, tolerable, and convenient way, and has been employed in the treatment of various neuro-psychiatric disorders [16]–[21]. It includes repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS), transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS), and a variant of TMS [i.e., deep Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (dTMS)], able to stimulate deeper brain regions as the insula. Recently, we demonstrated the safety and efficacy of dTMS, targeted to the Prefrontal Cortex (PFC) and insula bilaterally, in controlling food craving and reducing body weight, up to 1 year period in individuals with obesity [17, 18]–[22,23], through enhancing inhibitory capacity of PFC overeating behaviour [19]–[24], and modulating intra-cerebral dopamine release. The potential for NBS to become an effective and safe strategy for the management of obesity has been confirmed by other randomized clinical trials [20]–[25].

Considering the ability of dTMS to modulate directly or indirectly (via cortical excitability) the ANS [21, 22]–[26,27], to promote neuro-hormonal peptides release, as the β-endorphin [23]–[28], and to potentially affect the leptin system by promoting weight loss (many hypothalamic neurons involved in regulating thermogenesis are also leptin sensitive) [24, 25]–[29,30], we hypothesized a potential role of dTMS in affecting thermoregulation in obesity, and in reversing obesity-induced alterations in body temperature. Presently, we propose the combination of dTMS with Infrared Thermography (IRT), as a new research tool for the detection of body temperature, with the following aims: 1. Monitoring the effect of a single session of high frequency dTMS on body temperature in
subjects with obesity, compared to a single session of sham stimulation; 2. Correlating the dTMS-induced changes in body temperature with activation of the SNS (EPI and NE release).

To our knowledge, this is the first study that uses IRT to evaluate differences in skin temperature of different body areas in individuals with obesity, at rest condition and after a single session of dTMS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study setting

This study was performed at the Endocrinology and Metabolic Diseases Division, IRCCS Policlinico San Donato, San Donato Milanese (MI), Italy and is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT03009695. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments. The study received approval by the local Institutional Review Board (Ethics Committee of San Raffaele Hospital, Milan, Italy). All participants provided written informed consent before participating in any study procedures.

Original study protocol was designed as a double-blind, sham-controlled, randomized clinical trial aimed at investigating the effects of a 5-weeks treatment with dTMS in reducing food craving and body weight in individuals with obesity, comparing high frequency (HF, 18 Hz) with low frequency (LF, 1 Hz) stimulation and with Sham. The trial has been registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT03009695.

In 2019, we published preliminary results of the study, demonstrating the safety and efficacy of dTMS, along with a hypocaloric diet, in reducing body weight for up to 1 year in obese people [17] [22]. In this study, statistical analysis highlighted poor efficacy of low-frequency stimulation in controlling food craving and reducing body weight in obesity. Therefore, after approval of a protocol
amendment by the Ethics Committee, we discontinued recruitment to the LF group, and only enrolled in the HF and Sham groups.

**Study participants**

Adult men and women (aged 22 to 65 years, inclusive), who referred to the Endocrinology and Metabolic Diseases outpatient clinic for overweight/obesity treatment from January 2017 until January 2020, were screened with a short interview to determine eligibility. Patient recruitment strategy involved direct interviews. Inclusion and exclusion criteria reported in Table 1.

**Randomisation and masking**

Patients fulfilling all inclusion/exclusion criteria were randomised to one of two experimental groups: HF or Sham. Allocation in the two groups was performed according to a randomisation sequence generated by a computerized program. The randomisation code was only given to the treating investigator at the first treatment session by an independent investigator not involved with any other aspect of the trial. Participants and other investigators were unaware of the type of treatment assignment. Magnetic cards encoding for real or sham stimulation were used to activate the dTMS device or not, according to the randomization sequence. Both real and sham stimulation produced identical sounds and scalp sensations during the sessions.

**Study design**

This study was designed as a double-blind, sham-controlled, randomized protocol aimed to investigate the acute effects of a single HF dTMS session on body temperature, measured by IRT, and to identify potential correlations between temperature variations and serum level changes of EPI, NE, β-endorphin, in individuals with obesity.
Repetitive Deep Transcranial Stimulation procedure (dTMS)

The repetitive dTMS was performed by a trained physician using a Magstim Rapid²TMS (The Magstim Co. Ltd., Whitland, Carmarthenshire, United Kingdom) stimulator equipped with an H-shaped coil, specifically designed to bilaterally stimulate the PFC and the insula [26, 27][31,32]. Magnetic cards encoding for real or sham stimulation were used to activate the dTMS device. Both real and sham stimulation produced identical sounds and scalp sensations during the sessions.

The characteristics of the stimulation protocols are the same as those used in the study by Ferrulli et al. [17][22]. For active stimulation, sessions consisted of 80 trains of 18 Hz, each lasting 2 seconds, with an intertrain interval of 20 seconds. The HF treatment duration was 29.3 minutes with 2880 pulses in total. Sham stimulation entailed the same coil placement and procedures as the active condition; however, the device automatically turned off after 15 s of active stimulation, producing similar acoustic artefacts and scalp sensations.

Infrared Thermography

Thermographic images of fingernail-beds of both hands and of abdominal skin were acquired by an AVIO R500EXPro Thermal Camera. The sensitivity of the camera was <0.025°C, and the images had dimensions of 640 x 480 pixels. The IRT technique is based on the principle that the amount of energy radiated depends on the surface temperature of the object and the emissivity of the object’s surface [33]. The camera detects the infrared energy from an object and uses this information to estimate its temperature. Last decades witnessed a steady increase in the clinical application of IRT technique to obtain correlations between the thermal physiology and skin temperature [28][33]. IRT has been successfully used in diagnosis of breast [29, 30][34,35] or skin [31][36] cancer, diabetes neuropathy [32][37] and peripheral vascular disorders [33][38].
We decided to measure the temperature at the fingernail-beds of the hands and abdomen as they represent the areas where the temperature varies more significantly in individuals with obesity compared to healthy controls, in agreement with previous studies [5, 34-39]. The plane of the infrared camera’s lens was positioned parallel to the plane of the body region to detect, at 40–60 cm. Participants were asked to remain as still as possible during the periods of infrared imaging, to reduce motion artifacts.

Moreover, on the day of the examination, the participants were asked to not apply any type of skin cream or alcohol-based products, to not practice physical activity, to not ingest food or alcohol, to not smoke, and to not exposure to UVA. The testing room was comfortable and acclimated so that the participant felt calm before undergoing the test, in order to avoid physiological changes (sweat or tachycardia, dizziness, etc) and reach a thermal equilibrium. The participants were asked to remain seated for at least twenty minutes before the examination, avoiding, during the wait, inappropriate postures like crossed legs or arms.

In our study, the average temperature of the test room was maintained between 21°C and 23°C, and there was no heat source close to the subject. Doors and windows were closed during the tests to avoid uncontrolled airflow in the room. In addition, there were no objects that generated any thermal interference.

Laboratory measurements

After placing a plastic catheter into the forearm vein, blood specimen were drawn for the measurement of EPI (pg/mL), NE (ng/mL) and β-endorphin (ng/mL) before (T0) and after a single dTMS session (T1). Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits were used to assess EPI and NE (Elabscience Biotechnology Co. Ltd, Wuhan, China); β-endorphins levels were measured using
commercially available enzyme immunoassay (EIA) kits (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Burlingame, CA, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

Data for each parameter were expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR). Comparisons of patients’ characteristics between treatment arms at baseline were evaluated with Wilcoxon signed rank test.

Changes in time ($t_1-t_0$) in temperatures measurements were compared by treatments arms. The associations of changes in time in temperatures measurements with neurotransmitters changes were also investigated looking at the effects of treatment arms.

For left and right hand, linear regression models were used to determine associations between neurotransmitters changes and temperature changes and in time. We also investigated the role of confounders such as sex, Body Mass Index (BMI) and age. Residuals were checked to investigate normal distribution of fully adjusted models. For all tests, differences were considered statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$. All statistical analyses were conducted using R (version 4.1.0) software.

**RESULTS**

**Subjects**

A total of twenty-nine patients with obesity met the criteria and were enrolled in the study protocol. In particular: 24 F, 5 M with median age 50 (IQR: 58, 38) yrs, median body weight 97.6 (IQR: 87.9, 104.1) kg, median BMI 36.1 (IQR: 33.9, 38.7) kg/m². **Out of the 29 patients, 27 were right-handed, only 2 patients were left-handed.** Seventeen patients were enrolled in HF arm. In particular: 14 F, 3 M with median age 48 (IQR: 38.0, 55.0) yrs, median body weight 98 (IQR: 87.7,
103.9) kg, BMI 35.4 (IQR: 33.8, 36.6) kg/m². Twelve patients were enrolled in Sham arm. In particular: 10 F, 2 M with median age 55.0 (IQR: 39.7, 58.5) yrs, median body weight 97.1 (IQR: 94.7, 104.7) kg, median BMI 38.2 (IQR: 35.1, 38.9) kg/m². At baseline, no significant differences in gender, age, body weight and BMI were found between the two arms (Table 2).

Under neutral thermal conditions, fingernail bed temperature of both hands and abdominal skin temperature, and neuropeptides (EPI, NE and β-endorphin) were evaluated acutely before (T0) and after (T1) a single HF or sham dTMS session.

**Body Temperature variations**

All values are reported as median change ($t_1-t_0$) and IQR of changes ($t_1-t_0$). During a single session of HF dTMS, the fingernail-bed of both hands’ temperature (°C) decreased in both arms. Right hand temperature difference ($t_1-t_0$) was statistically greater in HF vs Sham: median= -1.45 (IQR: -2.0, -1.0) °C for HF, $p=0.009$. Left hand temperature time changes ($t_1-t_0$) were also greater in the HF arm: median = -1.26 (IQR: -1.6, -0.5) °C, **but the difference between arms was not statistically significant** and the differences between arms was borderline statistically significant $p=0.064$ (Table 3).

During a single treatment session, a non-significant increase in abdominal skin temperature was observed in HF arm comparing to Sham arm. For HF arm: 0.2 (IQR: -0.5, 1.0) °C vs Sham arm 0.3 (IQR: -0.3, 0.5) °C, $p=0.869$ (Table 3). Boxplots of the difference in left hand temperature, right hand temperature and abdominal skin temperature are displayed in Fig. 1. IFR images of fingernail-bed of right hand before and after a single dTMS session have been also reported (Fig. 2).

**EPI, β-Endorphin and NE variations**

After a single HF dTMS session the median value of NE change ($t_1-t_0$) was 0.09 (IQR: -0.12, 0.25) ng/mL. The median value of endorphin change ($t_1-t_0$) was 0.01 (IQR: -0.01, 0.05) ng/mL. The
median value of EPI change \((t_1-t_0)\) was -2.84 (IQR: -34.61, 7.70) pg/mL. No significant differences between the HF and Sham arms were found (Table 3).

**Regression models results on neurotransmitters changes.**

To understand if, in the sample, a change \((t_1-t_0)\) in neurotransmitters affects temperature \((t_1-t_0)\), linear models for left-hand and right-hand temperature change were estimated. For NE, temperature changes \((t_1-t_0)\) in left hand and right hand are reported in Table 4 and Table 5, respectively. For \(\beta\)-endorphin, temperature changes \((t_1-t_0)\) in left hand and right hand are reported in Table 6 and Table 7, respectively. Finally, for EPI, temperature changes in left hand and right hand are reported in Table 8 and Table 9, respectively.

For each neurotransmitter, for each hand, three models were estimated. Model 1 presents estimates considering as dependent variable temperature change \((t_1-t_0)\) and as independent variables each neurotransmitter change \((t_1-t_0)\) and trial ARM. Model 2 and 3 present the results by treatment arm, HF and Sham, respectively.

**Norepinephrine:**

The effect of NE change \((t_1-t_0)\) on left hand temperature change on \((t_1-t_0)\) are reported in Table 4.

Model 1 reports a non-significant (beta= 0.30, p=0.296) effect of NE change \((t_1-t_0)\) on temperature change \((t_1-t_0)\) as well as a significant positive effect for treatment arm (beta=0.75, p=0.020). To have an insight if a different effect for NE change exists by trial arm, we presented the stratified analysis by treatment arms in Model 2 and 3. In Model 2, when estimating the effect of NE change \((t_1-t_0)\) on temperature change \((t_1-t_0)\) only for the HF treated arm, a borderline significant positive association was estimated (beta= 1.09, p=0.067). In Model 3, the effect on temperature change \((t_1-t_0)\) of NE change
(t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) for Sham arm was lower than the one estimated in the HF (beta = 0.05, p=0.878). The different effect of NE change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) on temperature change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) in left hand for different arms is displayed in Fig. 3A.

Estimates for right hand are reported in Table 5. In Model 1, a non-significant association was found for NE change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) (beta= 0.14, p=0.799). The treatment effect was estimated as no significant as well (beta=1.03, p=0.096). To see if, like left hand, a different effect of NE change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) on temperature change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) was estimated, Model 2 and Model 3 report the estimates by trial arm. In Model 2, the effect for HF group is positive (beta=0.72, p=0.601) while it becomes negative for the Sham group (beta=-0.04, p=0.921). Fig. 3B displays the different regression lines estimated for HF and Sham arms (Table 5).

**B-Endorphin:**

Temperature changes (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) in left hand and right hand are also investigated as functions of β-endorphin changes (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) (Table 6, Table 7, respectively).

For left hand, no effect of β-endorphin change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) on temperature change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) was estimated (beta= -0.49, p=0.811). A significant treatment effect is estimated (beta=0.77, p=0.002). Given this result, to understand if β-endorphin change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) affects temperature change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) differently in HF and Sham arm, Model 2 and Model 3 estimate the effect by trial arm. For HF arm, the effect of β-endorphin change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) on temperature change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) is positive (beta=0.40, p=0.876) while it becomes negative for Sham arm (-2.93, p= 0.418) (Table 6).

In Fig. 4A, the different effects of β-endorphin changes (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) on temperature change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) for each trial arm in left hand are displayed.

Similarly, for right hand, no effect of β-endorphin change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) on temperature change (t\(_1\)-t\(_0\)) was found (beta=2.72, p=0.482) and a borderline significant treatment effect was estimated (beta= 1.14,
As for left hand, when stratified by trial arm, an opposite effect for each arm is estimated. In fact, in Model 2 the effect of $\beta$-endorphin change ($t_1-t_0$) on temperature change ($t_1-t_0$) in HF arm is positive ($\beta= 4.30$, $p=0.429$) while, in Model 3, it is estimated as negative for Sham arm ($\beta=-1.62$, $p=0.723$). Fig. 4B displays the opposite effects reported in Model 2 and Model 3 (Table 7).

**Epinephrine:**

The effect of EPI change ($t_1-t_0$) on right hand and left-hand temperature change ($t_1-t_0$) are reported in Table 8 and 9, respectively. For left hand, Model 1 estimates no effect for EPI change ($t_1-t_0$) on temperature change ($t_1-t_0$) ($\beta=0.00$, $p=0.386$). A significant treatment effect is estimated ($\beta=0.95$, $p=0.006$). Therefore, to understand if a different effect by trial arm might be present in the sample, Models 2 and 3 present an analysis by treatment arm. In Model 2 a positive effect of EPI change ($t_1-t_0$) on temperature change ($t_1-t_0$) is estimated ($\beta=0.0002$, $p=0.966$) for HF arm, while in Model 3 a negative of EPI change ($t_1-t_0$) on temperature change ($t_1-t_0$) effect is estimated ($\beta=-0.0009$, $p=0.347$). Fig. 5A displays the different effect estimated for HF and Sham arms (Table 8).

Similarly, for right hand, no effect for EPI change ($t_1-t_0$) on temperature change ($t_1-t_0$) was estimated ($\beta=0.0004$, $p=0.988$) and a borderline significant treatment effect was estimated ($\beta=1.16$, $p=0.078$) in Model 1. As done for left hand, to understand whether a different effect of EPI change ($t_1-t_0$) on temperature change ($t_1-t_0$) is estimated by trial arm, Model 2 reports the effect for HF arm and Model 3 reports the effect for Sham arm. In HF arm, the effect is estimated as positive ($\beta=0.007$, $p=0.538$) while it becomes negative in Sham arm ($\beta=0.0004$, $p=0.757$). Fig. 5B reports the different effects by trial arm.

Age, BMI, and sex were also found to be not statistically significantly associated with any temperature change.
DISCUSSION

In the present study, we examined the effects on body temperature (fingernail-bed of both hands and abdominal skin) of a single treatment session with dTMS over the PFC and the insula, bilaterally, using either HF or sham stimulation in individuals with obesity. Secondarily, we investigated possible correlations between the dTMS-induced variations in body temperature and the EPI, NE and β-endorphin level changes, the last two being suggestive of SNS activation. The novelty of this study consists in the combination of dTMS with IRT as a system to correlate SNS activation (EPI and NE blood levels) with the decrease of skin temperature of selected regions.

First achievement of our study is the demonstration that a single session of HF dTMS is effective in acutely modulating body temperature by decreasing the fingernail-bed temperature of right hand both hands, but not of the left hand (in which only a downward trend was observed) in individuals with obesity, thus partially reversing obesity-induced alterations in heat production and dissipation. No acute dTMS-induced effect has been observed on abdominal temperature. Obesity is characterized by a general down-regulation of heat turnover, with an impaired heat production a reduced calorie production and impaired heat dissipation [5]. The impairment of heat homeostasis is directly proportional to the degree of obesity [35, 36]-[40,41]. The mechanisms underlying the alteration of heat turnover regulation are several. Heat production takes place mainly in skeletal muscles mass [37]-[42]. In the natural history of obesity, muscle mass can be either increased (young subjects) or decreased in absolute terms when sarcopenia develops in older individuals with obesity [38]-[43]. To note that muscle mass is always reduced in relative terms, namely related to surface area or total body weight in subjects with obesity [39]-[44]. This leads to a reduction of basal metabolic rate and heat production. Concerning heat dissipation, this takes place mainly at the body extremities, site with less fat deposition [5]. Although our evaluation was performed acutely, before and after a single dTMS session, these findings lead to hypothesize that dTMS may play a modulatory action on body temperature.
Despite a decreasing trend occurred also in the temperature fingernail-bed of the left hand, the temperature variation turned out to be significant only in the right hand. Some hypotheses to explain this difference can be formulated. It is well known that skin temperature regulation is a complex system that depends on blood-flow rate, local structures of subcutaneous tissues and, mainly, the activity of the ANS (especially at the extremity sites). Anatomical and functional differences regarding left-right comparisons have been detected at several levels of neuroaxis [40, 41]. For example an asymmetry has been shown in the descending pathways from the hypothalamus and in the autonomic control of different organs [40], a degree of lateralization was found also in the anatomical projections from and to brain hemispheric areas associated with autonomic control [42, 43], and from the sympathetic premotor neurons to preganglionic segments [42]. For example, a study demonstrated that right arteries have significant higher innervation than left [44]. Therefore, a possible difference in left-right ANS activation could be explained by a hemispheric asymmetry in the response to dTMS due to a different cerebral hemispheric dominance. Obviously, these hypotheses need to be confirmed by targeted studies involving a larger population.

Several hypotheses can be raised about the mechanisms underlying the variation in the fingernail-bed temperature. The application of a linear regression model for investigating the effect of catecholamines on the left-hand fingernail-bed temperature changes showed a trend toward a significant positive effect of NE change on temperature variation in HF group but not in Sham. A comparable effect was not observed neither for EPI nor for the right hand.

Although in this study a significant change in EPI and NE levels was not observed after a single session of HF dTMS compared to Sham, the evidence of a borderline significant impact of NE variation on fingernail-bed temperature change of left hand suggests that the mechanism by which dTMS can acutely affect body temperature in obesity may be related to an effect on SNS. This observation is indirectly supported by previous studies where HF repetitive TMS evoked a sympathetic activation measured with an increase in pupil diameter [45] and with an induced
sympathetic skin response [46]. Conversely, application of low frequency repetitive TMS to the PFC seems to affect SNS via a slight parasympathetic activation [47].

EPI and NE are both hormones and neurotransmitters; they are involved in several regulatory processes in the body by the brain. They are secreted into the bloodstream by the adrenal glands in response to stress, but they are also synthesized and released as neurotransmitters by axon terminals in the central nervous system and in sympathetic fibers of the ANS. Specifically, EPI is the main hormone secreted by the adrenal medulla and plays a key role in the responses to metabolic and global challenges to homeostasis, such as glucose deprivation, and in the response to emotional distress. For these reasons, EPI response is more closely linked to responses of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical system than of the SNS. Norepinephrine is the main neurotransmitter of the SNS; it is responsible for tonic and reflexive in cardiovascular tone [48]. Norepinephrine preferentially stimulates α1- and α2-adrenoceptors, located on vascular smooth muscle cells, by eliciting vasoconstriction, and influencing blood flow, blood pressure, and consequently, body temperature in the extremities of the body [49-52]. Therefore, our hypothesis is that bilateral stimulation of both medial and lateral PFC, two brain areas which exert a well-defined control on ANS [53], could influence peripheral vasomotor activity through a modulatory impact on NE action. Furthermore, the lack of a significant impact of EPI on the temperature change of the fingernail-beds in both hands may be supportive evidence for the prevalent interconnection of NE with the SNS, and hence, for its prevalent role in thermoregulation.

Although a significant decrease of the fingernail-bed temperature of the right hand both hands and to a lesser extent, of the left hand has been shown after a single session of HF dTMS, we found a positive correlation between fingernail-bed temperature of left hand and NE variations but no in fingernail-bed of the right hand. This result, which is a limitation of our study, could be explained considering that the human conduction system and the Kent bundles receive an appreciable
sympathetic influence from the stellate ganglion (SG). Experimental studies found an asymmetric response to unilateral SG block and a dominance of the left SG [54, 55].

During a single treatment session, no significant variation in abdominal skin temperature was observed in HF arm compared to Sham. Being the temperature of the abdominal skin strongly conditioned by the subcutaneous adipose tissue acting as an insulating layer, we did not expect significant variations of temperature in this area after a single dTMS session. Furthermore, as previously reported, the main determinant of the skin temperature at the level of the limb extremities is the vascularization, mainly regulated by the SNS, but the abdominal adipose tissue is poorly vascularized and, probably, less sensitive to the potential dTMS-induced vasomotor effect.

Catecholamine-induced thermoregulation may result not only from the peripheral vasomotor activity by NE action on α-adrenoceptors, but also from the lipolytic effect of β-adrenoceptor agonism. Plasma increased catecholamine levels might increase resting energy expenditure, participating in maintaining body weight [48]. In this connection, BAT is the main responsible of non-shivering thermogenesis in humans and is deeply innervated by sympathetic fibers reaching their β3-receptors [56]. Although we were not able to acutely quantify the changes in intra-scapular and supra-clavicular skin temperature in the present study, it is conceivable that the SNS activation via dTMS might also induce an activation of BAT. However, this intriguing hypothesis needs to be tested especially in a longitudinal study in which the participants undergo repeated dTMS sessions.

Second achievement of our study is the establishment of a procedure (the combination of dTMS and IRT) which may be utilized beyond the treatment of pathophysiology of obesity, being extendable to all other conditions characterized by alteration of the ANS including cardiovascular diseases [57-59]. In fact, the correlation herein identified between heat production (measured with IRT) and NE blood level, could be used as a physiological marker of SNS activity in several clinical and paraphysiological conditions including obesity and sport activity).
The main limitation of our study is that the temperature variations of fingernail-beds of the right hand and, to a lesser extent, of the left hand, both hands and abdomen, and the blood level changes of EPI, NE, β-endorphin were assessed only acutely. Therefore, no data are currently available on the duration of dTMS-induced effects on body temperature variations in individuals with obesity, and assumptions about clinical implications of these findings should be proposed with caution. However, this study could constitute a proof of concept to be exploited by a longitudinal study, as previously done by us concerning the efficacy of dTMS on body weight [17]-[22].

In summary, this study suggests a potential effect of HF dTMS in modulating temperature in subjects with obesity, and sympathetic activity modulation represents one of the potential mechanisms via which dTMS exerts its thermoregulatory action.

Future longitudinal studies should be designed to analyze body temperature variations after repeated sessions of dTMS to confirm the potential role of dTMS in modulating ANS as well as BAT thermogenic activity.
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Legend for figures

Fig. 1. Temperature change (t1-t0) boxplot: Left Hand, Right Hand, Abdominal Skin.

After a single HF dTMS session, the difference in the fingernail-bed temperature of left hand (t1-t0) was greater in the HF arm: median = -1.26 (IQR: -1.6, -0.5) °C and the differences between arms was borderline statistically significant p=0.064. Right hand temperature difference (t1-t0) was statistically greater in HF vs Sham: median= -1.45 (IQR: -2.0, -1.0) °C for HF, p=0.009. A non-significant increase in abdominal skin temperature was observed in HF arm comparing to Sham arm. For HF arm: 0.2 (IQR: -0.5, 1.0) °C vs Sham arm 0.3 (IQR: -0.3, 0.5) °C, p=0.869

Fig. 2. Fingernail beds of left-hand temperature after a single HF dTMS session detected by Infrared Thermography

Fig. 3. Effects of Norepinephrine changes on temperature changes of fingernail beds of both left- and right-hand in HF group.

Linear regression model (Model 2) estimating the effect of NE’s change (t1-t0) on temperature change of fingernail beds of left hand (t1-t0) for the HF treated arm, showed a borderline significant positive association (beta= 1.09, p=0.067) (Fig. 3A). About the fingernail bed temperature of right-hand, in Model 2, the effect for HF group was positive (beta=0.72, p=0.601) while it became negative for the Sham group (beta=-0.04, p=0.921), although it was not statistically significant. Fig. 3B displays the different regression lines estimated for HF and Sham arms in the right hand.

Fig. 4. Effects of β-endorphin changes on temperature changes of fingernail beds of both left- and right-hand in HF group.

For the left hand, the effect of β-endorphin change (t1-t0) on temperature change (t1-t0) was positive (beta=0.40, p=0.876) for HF, while it became negative for Sham arm (-2.93, p= 0.418) (Fig. 4 A). About the fingernail bed temperature of right-hand, in Model 2, the effect for HF group was positive (beta= 4.30, p=0.429) while, in Model 3, it is estimated as negative for Sham arm (beta= -1.62, p= 0.723). Fig. 4B displays the opposite effects reported in Model 2 and Model 3.

Fig. 5. Effects of Epinephrine changes on temperature changes of fingernail beds of both left- and right-hand in HF group.

For the left hand, the effect of Epinephrine change (t1-t0) on temperature change (t1-t0) was positive (beta= 0.0002, p=0.966) for HF arm, while it became negative for Sham arm (beta=-0.0009, p=0.347). Fig. 5A displays the different effect estimated for HF and Sham arms (Table 8). About the fingernail bed temperature of right-hand, in Model 2, the effect for HF group was positive (beta= 0.007, p= 0.538) while it became negative in Sham arm (beta= 0.0004, p= 0.757). Fig. 5B reports the different effects by trial arm.
### Table 1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria of participants.

| Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Age 22-65 years    | Personal or a family history of seizures |
| BMI 30-45 Kg/m²    | Organic brain disorders |
|                    | Psychiatric disorders according to DSM-5 criteria |
|                    | Implanted metal devices |
|                    | Abuse of substances other than nicotine |
|                    | Weight variation (>3%) within three months prior the screening visit |
|                    | Current or recent (within 6 months prior the screening visit) treatment with anti-obesity medications or other medications for weight reduction |
|                    | Medications associated with lowered seizure threshold |
|                    | Type 1 diabetes or insulin-treated type 2 diabetes |
|                    | Fever and/or infectious state |
|                    | Pregnancy and breastfeeding |
|                    | Post-ovulatory phase for women of childbearing age |

**Abbreviations:** BMI=Body Mass Index; DSM=Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders
Table 2. Anthropometric measures of the total population of subjects with obesity enrolled in the study.

|                           | TOTAL (29) | HF (17)  | SHAM (12) | p-value |
|---------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| **Patients, n (%)**       | 100%       | 58.6%    | 41.4%     |         |
| **Gender**                |            |          |           |         |
| Female, n (%)             | 24 (82.8%) | 14 (82.4%)| 10 (83.4%)| 1       |
| Male, n (%)               | 5 (17.2%)  | 3 (17.6%)| 2 (16.6%) |         |
| **Age (yrs)**             | 50.0       | 48.0     | 55.0      | 0.49    |
| *Median (IQR)*            | (38.0, 58.0)| (38.0, 55.0)| (39.7, 58.5)|         |
| **Body weight (kg)**      | 97.6       | 98.0     | 97.1      | 0.89    |
| *Median (IQR)*            | (87.9, 104.1)| (87.7, 103.9)| (94.7, 104.7)|         |
| **BMI (kg/m²)**           | 36.1       | 35.4     | 38.2      | 0.18    |
| *Median (IQR)*            | (33.9, 38.7)| (33.8, 36.6)| (35.0, 38.9)|         |

Signif. Codes: \( p \leq 0.001 \) ***, \( p \leq 0.01 \) **, \( p \leq 0.05 \) *

Data are expressed as median (IQR). Comparisons between the 2 arms of treatment (HF, Sham) have been performed by \( \chi^2 \) test (Gender) and by Wilcoxon signed rank test (Age, Body weight, BMI) and their p-values are reported.

**Abbreviations:** HF: High Frequency; BMI, Body Mass Index.
Table 3. Temperature and neuropeptides measures of each arm and their changes over time (t1-t0).

|                      | HF T₀ Median (IQR) | HF T₁ Median (IQR) | SHAM T₀ Median (IQR) | SHAM T₁ Median (IQR) | Δ HF p-value (IQR) | Δ SHAM p-value (IQR) | p-value |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| **Fingernail-bed of** |                   |                   |                     |                     |                   |                   |         |
| **right hand**       |                   |                   |                     |                     |                   |                   |         |
| temperature          | 34.5 (33.4, 34.9) | 33.0 (31.5, 33.8) | 33.8 (31.0, 34.3)   | 33.0 (30.1, 33.8)  | -1.45 (-2.0, -1.0)| -0.5 (-1.0, -0.0) | 0.009 **|
| **Fingernail-bed of** |                   |                   |                     |                     |                   |                   |         |
| **left hand**        |                   |                   |                     |                     |                   |                   |         |
| temperature          | 34.2 (33.4, 34.8) | 33.1 (31.2, 33.7) | 34.0 (31.2, 34.6)   | 33.3 (31.7, 34.0)  | -1.26 (-1.6, -0.5)| -0.4 (-0.8, -0.1) | 0.064   |
| **Abdominal skin**   |                   |                   |                     |                     |                   |                   |         |
| temperature          | 34.1 (33.4, 34.7) | 34.6 (33.2, 35.3) | 33.5 (33.3, 33.7)   | 34.1 (33.2, 34.2)  | 0.2 (-0.5, 1.0)   | 0.3 (-0.3, 0.5)   | 0.869   |
| **Norepinephrine**   |                   |                   |                     |                     |                   |                   |         |
| Median (IQR)         | 3.64 (2.63, 4.89) | 3.27 (1.51, 4.73) | 2.06 (1.38, 4.48)   | 3.03 (1.51, 4.73)  | 0.09 (-0.12, 0.25)| -0.01 (-0.06, 0.09)| 0.804   |
| **β-Endorphin**      |                   |                   |                     |                     |                   |                   |         |
| Median (IQR)         | 0.46 (0.450, 0.54)| 0.51 (0.48, 0.54) | 0.52 (0.48, 0.59)   | 0.52 (0.47, 0.56)  | 0.01 (-0.01, 0.05)| -0.00 (-0.06, 0.03)| 0.328   |
| **Epinephrine**      |                   |                   |                     |                     |                   |                   |         |
| Median (IQR)         | 327.35 (121.7, 636.5) | 283.02 (115.9, 635.6) | 267.26 (111.9, 456.0) | 366.80 (222.3, 667.2) | -2.84 (-34.61, 7.70) | 11.75 (-26.72, 31.63) | 0.238   |

Signif. Codes: **p ≤ 0.001***, *p ≤ 0.01**, p ≤ 0.05 *

Table 3. Temperature measures (°C) and epinephrine (pg/mL), norepinephrine (ng/mL), β-endorphin measures (ng/mL) in each arm and their changes over time (t1-t0).

Data are expressed as median (Q1-Q3). Comparisons between the 2 arms of treatment (HF, Sham) have been performed by Wilcoxon signed rank test.

P-value evaluates the differences between treatment arms in norepinephrine, endorphin and epinephrine changes over time (t1-t0).
Table 4. Left hand: linear regression models for the effect of norepinephrine change (t₁-t₀) on temperature change (t₁-t₀).

| Left Hand Temp. (Δ°C) | Model 1 | Model 2 (HF) | Model 3 (Sham) |
|-----------------------|---------|--------------|----------------|
|                       | Predictors | Beta (CI 95%) | p-value | Estimates (CI 95%) | p-value | Estimates (CI 95%) | p-value |
|                       | Intercept  | -1.30 (-1.69, -0.92) | <0.001 *** | -1.37 (-1.77, -0.98) | <0.001 *** | -0.50 (-1.06, 0.06) | 0.071 |
|                       | Norepinephrine (Δ) | 0.30 (-0.28, 0.87) | 0.296 (-0.09, 2.28) | 0.067 (-0.68, 0.78) | 0.878 |
|                       | Sham (vs HF) | 0.75 (0.13, 1.37) | 0.020 * | . | . | . |

Observations (n) 21 13 8

Signif. codes: 0.001 ‘***’, 0.01 ‘**’, 0.05 ‘*’, p ≤ 0.001 ‘***’, p ≤ 0.01 ‘**’, p ≤ 0.05 ‘*’

Table 4

Model 1: Whole cohort linear regression model of norepinephrine change (t₁-t₀) on Left Hand temperature change (t₁-t₀) by ARM.
Model 2 (HF): Whole cohort linear regression model of norepinephrine change (t₁-t₀) on Left Hand temperature change (t₁-t₀) for HF arm.
Model 3 (Sham): Whole cohort linear regression model of norepinephrine change (t₁-t₀) on Left Hand temperature change (t₁-t₀) for Sham arm.
Table 5. Right hand: linear regression models for the effect of norepinephrine change (t1-t0) on temperature change (t1-t0).

| Predictors         | Model 1 |           | Model 2 (HF) |           | Model 3 (Sham) |
|--------------------|---------|-----------|--------------|-----------|----------------|
|                    | Beta (CI 95%) | p-value | Estimates (CI 95%) | p-value | Estimates (CI 95%) | p-value |
| Intercept          | -1.73 (-2.49,-0.97) | <0.001 *** | -1.78 (-2.75,-0.81) | 0.002 * | -0.67 (-1.36, 0.02) | 0.055   |
| Norepinephrine (Δ) | 0.14 (-1.00, 1.28) | 0.799 | 0.72 (-2.21, 3.64) | 0.601 | -0.04 (-0.94, 0.87) | 0.921   |
| Sham (vs HF)       | 1.03 (-0.20, 2.25) | 0.096 | . | . | . | . |

Observations (n) 21 13 8

Signif. codes: 0.001 '***', 0.01 '**', 0.05 '*', p ≤ 0.001 '***', p ≤ 0.01 '**', p ≤ 0.05 '*

Table 5

Model 1: Whole cohort linear regression model of norepinephrine change (t1-t0) on Right Hand temperature change (t1-t0) by ARM.
Model 2 (HF): Whole cohort linear regression model of norepinephrine change (t1-t0) on Right Hand temperature change (t1-t0) for HF arm.
Model 3 (Sham): Whole cohort linear regression model of norepinephrine change (t1-t0) on Right Hand temperature change (t1-t0) for Sham arm.
Table 6: Left Hand: Linear regression models for endorphin change ($t_1-t_0$) effect on temperature change ($t_1-t_0$).

| Left Hand Temp. ($\Delta ^\circ C$) | Model 1 | Model 2 (HF) | Model 3 (Sham) |
|-------------------------------------|---------|--------------|----------------|
| Predictors                          | Beta (CI 95%) | p-value | Beta (CI 95%) | p-value | Beta (CI 95%) | p-value |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Intercept                           | -1.27 (-1.67, -0.87) | <0.001 *** | -1.29 (-1.74, -0.83) | <0.001 *** | -0.54 (-1.07, -0.01) | 0.046 * |
| Endorphin ($\Delta$)                | -0.49 (-4.68, 3.71) | 0.811 | 0.40 (-5.15, 5.96) | 0.876 | -2.93 (-11.18, 5.32) | 0.418 |
| Sham (vs HF)                        | 0.77 (0.12, 1.42) | 0.023 * | . | . | . | . |

Observations (n) 21 13 8

Signif. codes: 0.001 ‘***’, 0.01 ‘**’, 0.05 ‘*’, p ≤ 0.001 ‘***’, p ≤ 0.01 ‘**’, p ≤ 0.05 ‘*’

Table 6
Model 1: Whole cohort linear regression model of endorphin change ($t_1-t_0$) on Left Hand temperature change ($t_1-t_0$) by ARM.
Model 2 (HF): Linear regression model of endorphin change ($t_1-t_0$) on Left Hand temperature change ($t_1-t_0$) for subjects in HF arm.
Model 3 (Sham): Linear regression model of endorphin change ($t_1-t_0$) on Left Hand temperature change ($t_1-t_0$) for subjects in Sham arm.
Table 7: Right Hand: Linear regression models for endorphin change (t₁-t₀) on temperature change (t₁-t₀).

| Predictors   | Model 1 (HF) | Model 2 (HF) | Model 3 (Sham) |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
|              | Beta (CI 95%) | p-value      | Beta (CI 95%)  | p-value |
| Intercept    | -1.77 (-2.53, -1.01) | <0.001 *** | -1.80 (-2.74, -0.85) | 0.002 ** | -0.70 (-1.39, -0.02) | 0.045 * |
| Endorphin (Δ) | 2.72 (-5.24, 10.68) | 0.482 | 4.30 (-7.23, 15.83) | 0.429 | -1.62 (-12.28, 9.05) | 0.723 |
| Sham (vs HF) | 1.14 (-0.10, 2.38) | 0.068 | . | . | . | . |

Observations (n): 21, 13, 8

Signif. codes: 0.001 ‘***’, 0.01 ‘**’, 0.05 ‘*’, p ≤ 0.001 ‘***’, p ≤ 0.01 ‘**’, p ≤ 0.05 ‘*’

Table 7

Model 1: Whole cohort linear regression model of endorphin change (t₁-t₀) on Right Hand temperature change (t₁-t₀) by ARM.
Model 2 (HF): Linear regression model of endorphin change (t₁-t₀) on Right Hand temperature change (t₁-t₀) for subjects in HF arm.
Model 3 (Sham): Linear regression model of endorphin change (t₁-t₀) on Right Hand temperature change (t₁-t₀) for subjects in Sham arm.
Table 8: Left Hand: Linear regression models for epinephrine change (t1-t0) effect on temperature change (t1-t0).

| Left Hand Temp. (Δ°C) | Model 1 | Model 2 (HF) | Model 3 (Sham) |
|-----------------------|---------|--------------|----------------|
|                       | Predictors | Beta (CI 95%) | p-value | Beta (CI 95%) | p-value | Beta (CI 95%) | p-value |
|                       | Intercept | -1.37 (-1.75, -0.98) | <0.001 *** | -1.36 (-1.82, -0.90) | <0.001 *** | -0.41 (-0.94, 0.12) | 0.106 |
|                       | Epinephrine (Δ) | -0.0009 (-0.003, 0.001) | 0.386 | 0.0002 (-0.010, 0.010) | 0.966 | -0.0009 (-0.003, 0.001) | 0.347 |
|                       | Sham (vs HF) | 0.95 (0.31, 1.59) | 0.006 ** | . | . | . | . |
| Observations (n)      | 20 | 12 | 8 |

Signif. codes: 0.001 ‘***’, 0.01 ‘**’, 0.05 ‘*’ p ≤ 0.001 ‘***’, p ≤ 0.01 ‘**’, p ≤ 0.05 ‘*’

Table 8

Model 1: Whole cohort linear regression model of epinephrine change (t1-t0) on Left Hand temperature change (t1-t0) by ARM.
Model 2 (HF): Linear regression model of epinephrine change (t1-t0) on Left Hand temperature change (t1-t0) for subjects in HF arm.
Model 3 (Sham): Linear regression model of epinephrine change (t1-t0) on Left Hand temperature change (t1-t0) for subjects in Sham arm.
**Table 9**: Right Hand: Linear regression models for epinephrine change \((t_1-t_0)\) effect on temperature change \((t_1-t_0)\).

| Right Hand Temp (°C) | Model 1 | Model 2 (HF) | Model 3 (Sham) |
|----------------------|---------|--------------|----------------|
| Predictors           | Beta (CI 95%) | p-value | Beta (CI 95%) | p-value | Beta (CI 95%) | p-value |
| Intercept            | -1.83 (-2.62, -1.04) | <0.001 *** | -1.77 (-2.78, -0.75) | 0.003 ** | -0.64 (-1.34, 0.06) | 0.066 |
| Epinephrine (Δ)      | 0.0004 (-0.004, 0.004) | 0.988 | 0.007 (-0.016, 0.030) | 0.538 | -0.0004 (-0.003, 0.003) | 0.757 |
| Sham (vs HF)         | 1.16 (-0.14, 2.46) | 0.078 | . | . | . | . |

**Observations (n)**

|          | 20 | 12 | 8 |

Signif. codes: 0.001 ‘***’, 0.01 ‘**’, 0.05 ‘*’ p ≤ 0.001 ‘***’, p ≤ 0.01 ‘**’, p ≤ 0.05 ‘*’

**Table 9**

Model 1: Whole cohort linear regression model of epinephrine change \((t_1-t_0)\) on Right Hand temperature change \((t_1-t_0)\) by ARM.

Model 2 (HF): Linear regression model of epinephrine change \((t_1-t_0)\) on Right Hand temperature change \((t_1-t_0)\) for subjects in HF arm.

Model 3 (Sham): Linear regression model of epinephrine change \((t_1-t_0)\) on Right Hand temperature change \((t_1-t_0)\) for subjects in Sham arm.
Figure 1.

Temperature change ($t_1-t_0$) boxplot: Left Hand, Right Hand, Abdominal Skin.
**Figure 2.** Fingernail beds of left-hand temperature after a single HF dTMS session detected by Infrared Thermography
Figure 3. Effects of Norepinephrine changes on temperature changes of fingernail beds of both left- and right-hand in HF group.
Figure 4. Effects of β-endorphin changes on temperature changes of fingernail beds of both left- and right-hand in HF group.
Figure 5. Effects of Epinephrine changes on temperature changes of fingernail beds of both left- and right-hand in HF group.
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