Reproductive failure, possible maternal infanticide, and cannibalism in wild moustached tamarins, *Saguinus mystax*
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**Abstract** Maternal infanticide in wild non-human primates has only been reported twice. In this paper, we report a possible new case of infanticide and cannibalism within a series of four successive reproductive failures in wild moustached tamarins, *Saguinus mystax*. Necropsy and genetic analyses of the corpses enabled us to rule out any pathology, and to determine paternity. The mother was seen biting and then eating the head of its own infant during a period when another female was pregnant and gave birth just 1 month later. Before that, the perpetrator had given birth to twins three times successfully when four to five adult and subadult males were present in the group. Although we do not know for certain that the infant was alive when the mother started biting it, our field observations preceding the event suggest it probably was. The possible infanticide case and the two cases of births and early death of the infants occurred while only two to three adult males were present in the group. This could be the second case of maternal infanticide reported in the genus *Saguinus* and the similar circumstances suggest a common pattern. We discuss these events in the light of the different functional explanations of infanticide and conclude that parental manipulation was the most likely: the mother could have terminated the investment in offspring that had low chances of survival in a group with low availability of helpers.
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**Introduction**

Infanticide has been defined as any behaviour performed by an individual or group of individuals that leads to the immediate or imminent death of an immature conspecific (Digby 2000). This behaviour has been described, in the wild and under laboratory conditions, in a wide variety of mammalian taxa (reviewed in Ebensperger 1998). Five common hypotheses are provided to try to explain this behaviour: exploitation, resource competition, parental manipulation, sexual selection, and social pathology (Hrdy 1979). The most accepted explanation, the sexual selection hypothesis, states that it would be advantageous for a male to kill unrelated infants in order to gain reproductive access to females (Digby 2000) as the females are likely to resume cycling soon after the killing. For most callitrichine species, however, the killing of dependent young would have a minimum effect on the mother’s resumption of fertility, because lactation has little or no inhibitory effect on ovulation (Abbott et al. 1993; French 1997; Digby et al. 2007).
and post-partum ovulation occurs as soon as 12–32 days after parturition (Lunn and McNeilly 1982). Correspondingly, infanticide by males has never been reported in wild callitrichines (Digby and Saltzman 2009) whereas infanticide by females has been observed on ten occasions (Table 1).

Seven of these ten infanticides were perpetrated by females that were not the mothers of the killed infants. Of these seven, five were pregnant females (C. jacchus) and two were dominant, non-pregnant females (C. flaviceps and C. jacchus). One additional infanticide was perpetrated by an unknown individual (C. flaviceps) and two by the mothers (Saguinus). Infanticide perpetrated by females on other females’ offspring have also been reported in other cooperatively breeding mammals including meerkats (Suricata suricatta), wild dogs (Lycaon pictus), dingos (Canis familiaris dingo), and brown hyaenas (Hyaena brunnea) (Clutton-Brock et al. 1998; Digby 2000).

Table 1: Infanticide and cannibalism reports in wild callitrichines (adapted from Digby and Saltzman 2009) with the likely explanation according to the respective authors

| Species              | Perpetrator                     | Cannibalism | Likely explanation                        | References               |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Callithrix jacchus   | Pregnant female                | No          | Resource competition (food)               | (Roda and Mendes Pontes 1998) |
| Callithrix jacchus   | Pregnant female                | No          | Resource competition (helpers)            | (Digby 1995; Nievergelt et al. 2000) |
| Callithrix jacchus   | Pregnant female                | No          | Not mentioned (sexual selection likely)   | (Arruda et al. 2005)     |
| Callithrix jacchus   | Pregnant female                | Yes         | Sexual selection                         | (Lazar-Perea et al. 2000) |
| Callithrix jacchus   | Dominant female (neighbouring group) | Yes        | Resource competition and exploitation     | (Melo et al. 2003)       |
| Callithrix jacchus   | Pregnant female                | Yes         | Sexual selection (reproductive dominance) | (Bezerra et al. 2007)    |
| Callithrix flaviceps | Unknown–Dominant female (double infanticide) | No–Yes     | Resource competition (helpers)            | (Hilário and Ferrari 2010) |
| Saguinus fuscicollis | Mother                         | Yes         | Parental manipulation                     | (Tirado-Herrera et al. 2000) |
| Saguinus mystax     | Mother                         | Yes         | Parental manipulation                     | This study               |

Direct maternal infanticide is common in farm animals including pigs, rabbits (Sambraus 1985), and sheep (Fraser and Broom 1990), and indirect maternal infanticide (rejection of offspring) has been observed in wild carnivores such as grizzly bears (Tait 1980). In non-human primates, maternal infanticide in the wild is very rare (Hrdy 1999) and, before this study, has been reported once in S. fuscicollis, at the EBQB study site, and once in Callithrix jacchus, and Callithrix flaviceps at the EBQB study site (Digby and Saltzman 2009; Cásar et al. 2008). In this paper, we report a series of four infant losses in a group of moustached tamarins, Saguinus mystax, with multiple breeding females. These losses include one possible case of maternal infanticide, the third case described in wild non-human primates which is also the first case in S. mystax. We analysed these events in their demographic context (number of helpers and breeding females) and discuss the observations in the light of different functional interpretations of multiple breeding and infanticide in primates.

Methods

This study was conducted at the Estación Biológica Quebrada Blanco (EBQB), located 80 km south-east of Iquitos,
Peru (4°21'S, 73°09'W), in primeval Amazonian lowland forest (see Heymann 1995 for details of the study site). A well-habituated group of *S. mystax*, forming a mixed-species troop with *S. fuscicollis*, was followed from exiting a sleeping site (usually between 0515 and 0600 h) to retiring to a sleeping site (usually between 1500 and 1630 h). Both species were fully habituated to the presence of observers. All animals were individually recognizable through natural marks (e.g., genital shape and pigmentation, shape of the tail, etc.; Löttker et al. 2004). Group composition and special events were recorded by the authors from May 2004 to June 2008 in the context of other studies.

We followed the *S. mystax* 2 weeks per month. We collected routine data with instantaneous scan-sampling at 10 min intervals and included individual activity and location of group within the home range. Particular events were recorded ad libitum, describing the event and the location of group within the home range. Particular events collected routine data with instantaneous scan-sampling at 10 min intervals and included individual activity and location of group within the home range. Particular events were recorded ad libitum, describing the event and the circumstances in as much detail as possible. Throughout the manuscript, we refer to adult females as F-x, adult males as M-x, subadult females as SF-x, subadult males as SM-x, juvenile males as JM-x, and juvenile females as JF-x.

After each infant death, the corpse was collected and immediately stored in 96% ethanol at ambient temperature. Additionally, faecal samples of all group members were collected within the day after the infanticide event and stored under the same conditions in order to determine the parents of each dead infant by parentage analysis. Macroscopic, histological, microbiological, and parasitological examination of the dead infants was performed in the Department of Infection Pathology of the German Primate Centre (Göttingen, Germany). Genetic analysis was performed following Huck et al. (2005) (see supplementary material for further details). Unless otherwise specified, the identities of the father and the mother of the dead infants were confirmed by the genetic analysis.

In order to determine whether infant survival to 3 months (which represents the main nursing period; Tardif 1994) was linked to the presence of multiple breeding females and/or the number of male helpers, we analysed data from 1999 to 2008 of the EBQB *S. mystax* population consisting of three different groups (Smith et al. 2001; Löttker et al. 2004; M. Stojan-Dolar unpublished data; and this study). We performed a Pearson chi-squared test to determine whether infant survival significantly differed when one or two breeding females were present. We used logistic regression to determine whether the number of male helpers affected infant survival (response variable: lives or dies within 3 months after birth). We compared the median number of male helpers when infants (considered independently, not in pairs) survived or did not, with a Mann–Whitney *U* test. All statistical analysis was two-tailed and performed by use of Statistica 9 software, with the *z* level set at 0.05.

**Results**

**Group composition and births**

From May 2004 to March 2007, the *S. mystax* group contained 6–10 individuals including one single adult female F-1. During this period, we registered three births of twins and the disappearance of only one infant at 6 months of age. From April 2007 to June 2008, the *S. mystax* group contained 4–9 individuals including two adult females (F-1 and F-2, F-2 being the daughter of F-1). F-1 gave birth to two set of twins and F-2 to one set of twins, all of which died or disappeared before 15 days of life (Table 2). The sequence of births in the demographic context (number of breeding females and male helpers) is given in Fig. 1.

1st death: mother = F-1, father not sampled

The group contained two adult females (F-1, F-2), two juvenile females (JF-1, JF-2), and three adult males (M-1, M-2, M-3). On August 15th 2007, a male infant, about 2 weeks old, fell from about 25 m height (0818 h). One unidentified adult male and an unidentified adult female of the group descended to the ground and, after several attempts, the male picked it up and the group continued its progression. About 40 min later, the infant fell again from 25 m, was picked up, fell again immediately from 5 m height, and was picked up once more by the same male as before. The same scene occurred 20 min later, and the infant was picked up after 5 unsuccessful attempts. Half an hour later (1003 h), the infant fell for the last time from 12 m. Three males tried to pick it up, unsuccessfully, because the infant seemed unable to grab on to the adults. At 1015 h, the group left and just one adult male still tried to pick the infant up, until 1043 h when he left and joined the group, leaving the infant on the ground. The corpse was collected at 1215 h. Its twin was last seen 2 days later on 17th August, and disappeared between 18th August and mid-September 2007 while no observations were being carried out on the group.

2nd death (possible maternal infanticide):

mother = F-1, father = M-2

The group contained two adult females (F-1, F-2), two subadult females (SF-1, SF-2, previously JF-1 and JF-2), and two adult males (M-2, M-3). Twins were born in the night from 6th to 7th February 2008. The next morning we noticed that the two infants were carried separately by F-1 and M-2 (normally infants are carried together during the first weeks of life). While we were following the associated saddleback tamarins in a patch of secondary forest, we observed F-1, accompanied by SF-1 and SF-2, carrying one
infant and feeding on a fruiting tree at 0914 h. At 0926 h, we heard some short-lasting chirp vocalizations from the moustached tamarins in the secondary forest. The cause could not be determined as the vocalizing individuals were not visible at that time but the sound was characteristic of the vocalization produced by an adult and an infant when the infant is not well accommodated on the back of the adult for transport (unpublished observations). At that time, F-1 was still visible with one of the infants. At 1025 h, while we were coming back to the primary forest with the saddleback tamarins, we observed F-1 biting and eating the head of its son. We do not know for certain that the infant was alive when the mother started biting it. However, before that, we did not hear any other vocalization from the infant or the other group members which are typical when infants fall to the ground or after predator attacks (Lledo-Ferrer et al. 2009). We thus assume that possible infanticide can be attributed to the mother. The two subadult females SF-1 and SF-2 were vocalizing with the typical begging calls. Once F-1 had eaten the whole head, it left the corpse on a branch; F-2 took it and ate the neck and part of the shoulder. At 1036 h, F-2 dropped the corpse to the ground, descended to search for it accompanied by SF-1 and SF-2 but left very quickly, leaving the corpse on the ground and started resting approximately 25 m away with the rest of the group. The corpse was then collected. The second infant was not seen any more after this event. It may have been eaten or fallen when we heard the

Table 2 Group composition of *S. mystax* and special events from May 2004 to June 2008

| Period                  | Group composition | Special Events                                                                 |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 05/21/2004–10/23/2004  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 8 | Departure of 1 subadult male and 1 juvenile female                              |
| 10/24/2004–06/08/2005  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 6 | Birth of twins (1–20/06/2005)                                                  |
| 06/09/2005–06/19/2005  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 6 | Birth of twins (22/12/2005–09/01/2006)                                        |
| 06/12/2006–06/19/2006  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Disappearance of 1 newborn                                                     |
| 06/20/2006–07/11/2006  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| 07/12/2006–11/22/2006  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| 11/23/2006–03/11/2007  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Birth of twins                                                                |
| 03/12/2007–07/08/2007  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| 07/09/2007–08/05/2007  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| 08/06/2007–08/14/2007  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| 08/15/2007–08/16/2007  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| 08/17/2007–12/11/2007  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| 12/12/2007–02/06/2008  | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| 02/07/2008              | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| 02/08/2008–03/05/2008   | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| 03/06/2008–03/07/2008   | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| 03/08/2008              | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| 03/09/2008–04/07/2008   | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |
| Since the 04/08/2008 until June 2008 | A<sup>+</sup> 1 S<sup>+</sup> 1 J<sup>+</sup> 2 A<sup>−</sup> 1 S<sup>−</sup> 1 J<sup>−</sup> 1 I<sup>−</sup> 2 tot 9 | Departure of 1 adult male                                                     |

Date of the special event corresponds to the first date given in the interval when no other indications are given. Dates are given as month/day/year

A adult (19 months and more), S subadult (13–18 months), J juvenile (4–12 months), J? juvenile of which sex is unknown (4–12 months), I infant (0–3 months), tot total number of individuals

Fig. 1 Sequence of *S. mystax* births in the study group and the respective number of breeding females and male helpers. *Grey colour* represents the period during which the group contained two breeding females; *filled circles* represent birth of infant that survived at least 3 months; *daggers* represent birth of infant that died before 3 months of age; *asterisk* represents possible maternal infanticide
vocalizations at 0926 h. The same day, we observed that F-1 did not have swollen nipples, as should normally occur after parturition.

3rd death: mother = F-2, father = M-2

The group contained two adult females (F-1, F-2), one subadult female (SF-1, previously JF-1), and two adult males (M-2, M-3). One month after the 2nd death, we observed the presence of two newborns in the group (8th March 2008). Two days before (6/03/08), SF-2 had disappeared, probably migrating. At approximately 0700 h, as we were following the saddleback tamarins, we heard some loud alarm calls from the moustached tamarins. At 0806 h, we found a male infant on the ground, where the vocalizations had been heard, and only F-2 was close to it, vocalizing although not trying to pick it up. The rest of the group had already left, and the female joined them at 0927 h, after one hour and a half. At 0931 h, the saddleback and some moustached tamarins came closer to the place where the infant was lying but did not give it much attention. The corpse was collected at 0940 h. At 0945 h, we could observe the five moustached tamarins together and none of them was carrying an infant. The other infant was thus last seen at 0910 h, and its corpse could not be found.

4th death: mother = F-1 or F-2, father = unknown (no genetic analyses conducted)

When regular observations were no longer being carried out by the authors, a newborn infant was observed in the group 5 months after the third death (K. Lüttmann, personal communication). Both F-1 (more likely) and F-2 could have been the mother, because the mean gestation time in S. mystax is approximately 140–145 days (Harvey et al. 1987) and at least 5 months had elapsed since their last pregnancies. However, F-1 is more likely supposed to be the mother as 6 months had elapsed since its last pregnancy as opposed to exactly 5 months for F-2. Here again, the infant died after successive falls before reaching 15 days of life (K. Lüttmann, personal communication), which makes a total of four successive reproductive failures within the same group.

Necropsies

Necropsy did not reveal any pathological findings in the three infants.

Demographic analysis

Breeding by multiple S. mystax females at EBQB is a rare event. It occurred in our study group only in 2001 (Smith et al. 2001) and in 2007–2008 (this study) and significantly affected infant survival to 3 months ($n = 40$, $\chi^2 = 11.87$, $P = 0.0006$). When two breeding females were in the group, 80% ($n = 10$) of the infants less than 3 months old died whereas only 20% ($n = 30$) died when there was a single breeding female. The number of helpers significantly affected infant survival to 3 months ($n = 40$, $\gamma^2 = 4.257$, $P = 0.039$). Indeed, 75% of the infants survived when at least three male helpers were present in the group whereas only 41.7% did when the group contained one or two male helpers. Surviving infants were born in a group with a median of three male helpers whereas infants that died before reaching 3 months old were born in a group with a median of 2.5 male helpers (Fig. 2). This difference was significant ($n = 40$, $U = 113.0$, adjusted $Z = 2.113$, $P = 0.035$).

Discussion

This is the first possible case of maternal infanticide reported for Saguinus mystax, and the second for a tamarin species. Although such observations could be considered as only anecdotal, their repetition enables us to point out some common patterns and helps determine their functional significance. Both cases of maternal infanticide in Saguinus occurred in groups with multiple breeding females (this study and Tirado-Herrera et al. 2000). The occurrence of multiple breeding in callitrichines can be increased by

\[\text{Fig. 2 Median number of male helpers per group in S. mystax population of the EBQB (three study groups) when infants survived at least 3 months ($n = 26$) or died before reaching 3 months of age ($n = 14$). Statistical differences are indicated by an asterisk ($P < 0.05$)}\]
several factors, for example high population density, limited opportunities for dispersal, or the presence of a male unrelated to the daughter of the dominant female (Dietz and Baker 1993; Smith et al. 2001; Vleeschouwer et al. 2001; Saltzman et al. 2004). It is interesting to note that, in our study group, F-1 was the mother of F-2, which could have led to higher tolerance from the mother towards its daughter for access to males, as observed in golden-headed lion tamarins (Vleeschouwer et al. 2001).

Our long-term records of group composition showed that the possible infanticide in S. mystax happened within a series of reproductive failures, indicating a potential problem with infant care in the group. Indeed, we have shown that infant survival is directly linked to the number of male helpers. There were four or five adult and subadult males in our study group when the infants survived but only three, and then two, when the infants died (Table 2). The possible case of maternal infanticide occurred during this second period, and was perpetrated by F-1 while F-2 was pregnant.

The number of helpers is thus crucial in determining the probability of survival of young callitrichines (Garber 1997; Heymann 2000). This may be especially true when more than one pair of twins is present in the group and several females are competing for helpers. This assumption is consistent with our results showing lower infant survival when two breeding females are present in the group. Goldizen et al. (1996) showed that births must be spaced by 3 months or more to be successful in order to allow efficient helping behaviour. In both Saguinus infanticides, the interval between the birth events of the two females was smaller than 3 months (S. fuscicollis: 2.5 months, Tirado-Herrera et al. 2000, S. mystax: 1 month, this study), which would have led to a possible lack of helpers for raising two sets of twins. Competition for resources such as food but also burrows and access to helpers is often evoked as the explanation of infanticides by females in cooperative breeding species such as marmosets, meerkats, and social carnivores (Digby 2000 and references therein). However, in these cases, it is usually a dominant female (pregnant or that has just given birth) that kills the offspring of another female or prevents group members from sharing food with subordinates (Digby 2000, and references therein).

Hrdy (1979) provided several hypotheses to explain different infanticide scenarios. Our case, where the mother supposedly killed her own infant, is qualitatively different from those where a female kills another female’s offspring, so the resource competition and sexual selection hypotheses must be rejected.

Although cannibalism was observed in both Saguinus species, we reject the hypothesis that the mother would have killed its offspring in order to exploit the meat. Indeed, in both cases, only the head (brain) and in the case of S. mystax, a small part of the neck and shoulder were consumed, leaving the rest of the corpse totally intact, which rarely happens when tamarins consume vertebrate prey such as frogs or lizards (personal observation). The “social pathology hypothesis” is also very unlikely as it predicts that infanticide should be limited to areas disturbed by humans (Curtin and Dolhinow 1978). Our study group had been fully habituated to human observers for many years and many other successful births have been observed in S. mystax and S. fuscicollis at EBQB.

Based on these considerations, we consider the “parental manipulation hypothesis” as the best explanation of maternal infanticide in Saguinus, as proposed by Tirado-Herrera et al. (2000). Indeed, the circumstances of both infanticides were very similar, except that the S. mystax newborn apparently did not fall. However, even if the newborn was physically healthy, the mother could still have killed it because of its low probability of survival in the group, as previous and subsequent infants died, probably because of a low availability of helpers. Hrdy (1979) explained that the victim of an infanticide for parental manipulation is not necessarily defective but can simply be born at a bad time, consequently demanding a supplementary cost to the parents in terms of lifetime allocation of effort in the rearing of offspring. This observation has also been made concerning maternal infanticides in humans. Indeed, this type of infanticide is more common in humans than in non-human primates (Voland and Stephan 2000). It has been hypothesized that maternal infanticide is expected to happen only if biological reproduction occurs in a cooperative relationship. Termination of investment in infants in humans might happen when the child has a reduced reproductive value because of undesirable sex, the presence of too many siblings, young age of the mother, bad health, or simply because he is born at the wrong time (Voland and Stephan 2000). It can also happen in reaction to a lack of paternal contribution to child care. If the offspring has a low reproductive value, and if the mother already has dependent children, or is likely to have such children in the future, or if the killing of her own child increases her mating success, then maternal infanticide can be regarded as adaptive (Voland and Stephan 2000).

These considerations fit with our observation of the possible S. mystax infanticide. The low availability of helpers combined with the pregnancy of a second female might have created stressful conditions for the mother possibly affecting the health of the infant (Schneider et al. 1999) in a way that could not be detected by necropsies. Indeed, infants born from a stressed mother are more likely to have neuromotor and attention disorders (Schneider et al. 1999), which could have been the signal perceived by the mother for terminating the investment by killing its infant. Because F-1 and F-2 were related, F-1 would still
gain inclusive fitness by resuming her investment and favouring the survival of F-2 offspring. Moreover, even if we consider that the exploitation hypothesis is not the proximate cause of the possible infanticide, the mother still benefits from a nutritional resource by eating the brain despite losing its formal maternal investment.

We thus conclude that the possible maternal infanticide reported fits the parental manipulation hypothesis and can occur in a group with a poor capacity to raise offspring with multiple breeding females, with birth intervals shorter than 3 months, combined with an estimated low infant survival probability because of physical injuries or apparent weakness.
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