Steroid receptor RNA activator (SRA1): unusual bifaceted gene products with suspected relevance to breast cancer
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Abstract

The steroid receptor RNA activator (SRA) is a unique modulator of steroid receptor transcriptional activity, as it is able to mediate its coregulatory effects as a RNA molecule. Recent findings, however, have painted a more complex picture of the SRA gene (SRA1) products. Indeed, even though SRA was initially thought to be noncoding, several RNA isoforms have now been found to encode an endogenous protein (SRAP), which is well conserved among Chordata. Although the function of SRAP remains largely unknown, it has been proposed that, much like its corresponding RNA, the protein itself might regulate estrogen and androgen receptor signaling pathways. As such, data suggest that both SRA and SRAP might participate in the mechanisms underlying breast, as well as prostate tumorigenesis. This review summarizes the published literature dealing with these two faces of the SRA gene products and underscores the relevance of this bifaceted system to breast cancer development.

Estrogen and breast cancer

Through its mitogenic action on breast epithelial cells, estrogen not only controls the biology and the development of the normal mammary gland, but also participates in breast tumor growth promotion and breast cancer progression (for a review see [Jensen and Jordan, 2003]). Estrogen action is mainly mediated through two estrogen receptors (ERs), α and β [Green et al., 1986; Mosselman et al., 1996; Ogawa et al., 1998], that belong to the steroid/thyroid/retinoic acid receptors superfamily and primarily act as ligand-dependent transcription factors [Evans, 1988]. These receptors share the same functional and structural organization: a variable N-terminal region containing a hormone-independent activation domain AF-1; a DNA binding domain (DBD) responsible for the specificity of DNA recognition; and a C-terminal extremity containing both the ligand binding domain (LBD) and a ligand-dependent activation domain AF-2. Once bound to their ligand, the receptors undergo conformational changes, dimerize and specifically recognize regulatory DNA sequences (hormone responsive elements, HRE) upstream of target genes. Activated receptors, through a dynamic interplay involving coregulators, chromatin remodeling, histone modification and proteosomal activity, direct the assembly and the stabilization of a pre-initiation complex that will ultimately lead to the transcription of these genes [Dennis and O'Malley, 2005; McKenna et al., 1999; Shibata et al., 1997; Xu, 2005].

Acknowledgement of the importance of estrogen signaling pathways in the growth of a large number of breast cancers has led to the development of endocrine therapies. For example, Tamoxifen, through competitive binding to ERs, antagonizes the mitogenic action of estrogen. It has been successfully used as an endocrine therapy for more than 20 years and an estimated 400,000 women are alive today because of long-term adjuvant Tamoxifen therapy [Jordan and Morrow, 1999]. The demonstration that Tamoxifen could also prevent the occurrence of breast cancer in women at risk [Fisher et al., 1998; Fisher et al., 2000] raised the hope that many more lives will be spared through the better understanding and manipulation of ER signaling pathways.

Over the last few years, it has become apparent that the balance between coactivators and corepressors, which respectively enhance and repress receptor activity, has an important role in the control of steroid receptor action in a given tissue [Lonard and O'Malley, 2006]. A direct participation of this balance during breast tumorigenesis and cancer progression is now suspected, and a search for possible means to control it and develop new targets for preventive and therapeutic endocrine strategies has started worldwide [Hall and McDonnell, 2005; Perissi and Rosenfeld, 2005].

In this context, the discovery of the steroid receptor RNA activator, which not only differentially coactivates ER-α
and ER-β as a RNA, but can also encode a protein likely involved in the regulation of steroid receptor activity, brings a new layer of complexity.

**Figure 1. SRA1 genomic structure and transcripts.** A. Original SRA transcripts. Three SRA sequences (I, II and II) were originally described, differing in their 5' and 3' extremities, but sharing a central core sequence depicted in light blue [Lanz et al., 1999]. One sequence has been registered with the NCBI nucleotide database (AF092038). Alignment with chromosome 5q31.3 genomic sequence is provided. Introns and exons are represented by black lines and blue boxes, respectively. B. Currently identified SRA transcripts. Thirteen sequences, corresponding to all SRA transcripts identified to date, have been aligned with the genomic sequence of chromosome 5q31.3 (AC005214). White and black strips indicate the position of SRAP translation start and stop codons, respectively. White and black stars correspond to a point mutation in exon 2 (position 98 of the core: U to C) and a point mutation followed by a full codon (position 271 of the core: G to CCAC), respectively.

### An atypical coregulator: the noncoding steroid receptor RNA activator (SRA)

**Discovery**

In an effort to discover new coregulators interacting with the AF-1 domain of the progesterone receptor (PR), Lanz et al. screened a human B-lymphocyte library using this domain as bait in a typical Yeast two-hybrid assay [Lanz et al., 1999]. They identified a new clone they called SRA, for steroid receptor RNA activator. Pursuing the analysis of the transcript corresponding to this clone, they subsequently identified three human SRA cDNAs (Figure 1A; SRA I, II and II) via conventional screening of skeletal muscle, heart and HeLa S3 cell line cDNA libraries. These sequences differed in their 5' and 3' extremities, but shared a central 687 bp core region (Figure 1A).

Only one of these sequences (AF092038) has been registered in the nucleotide database at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). This sequence fully aligns with a portion of chromosome 5q31.3, defining the SRA1 gene overlapping 5 exonic and 4 intronic regions. The core sequence, identified as common among the 3 original cDNAs, encompasses exon 2 to exon 5 (Figure 1A). The SRA1 gene is flanked on the 5' terminus by the Fe64-LIKE2 gene (Fe64L2) and on the 3' reverse strand by the gene encoding the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E binding protein 3 (EIF4EBP3). Despite their close proximity, expression pattern analyses confirmed that SRA was an autonomous gene whose expression was independent of the concurrent expression of the flanking genes [Lanz et al., 2002].

In their original report, Lanz et al. presented solid functional evidence supporting the role of SRA as a steroid receptor coactivating molecule. Using cotransfection and reporter assays, they showed that SRA selectively enhanced the AF-1 activity of class I nuclear receptors (i.e., steroid receptors: androgen receptor “AR”, ER-α, progesterone receptor “PR”, and glucocorticoid receptor “GR”), while it did not affect, in their model, the activity of class II nuclear receptors (thyroid hormone “TR-β”, all-trans retinoic acid receptor “RAR-γ”, 9-cis retinoic acid “RXR-γ”, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor “PPAR-γ”).

**SRA is a RNA coactivator**

Surprisingly, although the Yeast two-hybrid screening system is based upon protein-protein interaction, Lanz et al. reported that their original Gal/SRA fusion clone contained a stop codon upstream of the SRA sequence. This construction, even though unable to generate a Gal/SRA fusion protein, was however required for the growth of the yeast colony. This led the authors to speculate that SRA, as a RNA, might have acted as a bridge between the PR-AF-1/Gal4 DNA binding domain and endogenous yeast transcriptional activators. All attempts by these authors to generate SRA protein products in vitro using the three original SRA cDNAs were unsuccessful, except when carboxyl-, but not N-terminal, fusions of SRA with GST or GAL4 were made [Lanz et al., 1999]. This suggested that none of the ATG codons contained in the three identified SRA transcript sequences could be used for the initiation of an efficient translation.

Because the concept of an RNA coactivating steroid receptor was entirely unprecedented, Lanz et al. performed a series of convincing experiments to prove an action at the RNA, rather than the protein level. They first established that SRA was able to coactivate the progesterone receptor in an open reading frame-independent manner by showing that all three alternate open reading frames fused to the translation initiation region of the HSV-thymidine kinase were able to activate transcription with similar efficiency. Furthermore, the introduction of point mutations changing any putative open reading frame or adding premature translation stop codons did not affect the ability of SRA to coactivate PR-mediated transcription. Finally, inhibition of de novo protein synthesis with cycloheximide had no
effect on the coactivating properties of SRA on
glucocorticoid receptor-mediated transcription, but
efficiently reduced the activity of other known coactivator
peptides, such as the steroid receptor coactivator 1
(SRC-1) and the CREB-binding protein (CBP). Altogether,
these data confirmed that the observed coactivator role
of SRA was mediated through a RNA transcript rather
than any peptide product.

**SRA functional core**

SRA core sequence, found to be necessary and sufficient
for SRA to act as a coactivator [Lanz et al., 1999], is fairly
well conserved between rodent and human (Figure 2).
Serial removal of both ends of the core region reduced
SRA coactivation. Removed sections however, were not,
by themselves, sufficient for coactivation [Lanz et al.,
1999; Lanz et al., 2002]. These results hinted that SRA
functional regions were not limited to a single, discrete
domain, but rather to several sections distributed
throughout the whole core sequence. Low-resolution RNA
modeling [Zuker, 2003] predicts several substructures in
SRA secondary structure (Figure 3). Through mutation
experiments, six secondary structural motifs (STR-1, -9,
-10, -11 and -12) individually participating in SRA’s
coaactivator role have been identified [Lanz et al., 2002].
These observations not only underlined the functional
importance of SRA structural features, but also suggested
their potential role(s) in modulating the ability of SRA to
interact with other molecules.
Effect of SRA on ER-α- and ER-β-mediated transcription

SRA, in many different cell models, increases E2-induced activity of both full-length ER subtypes [Cavarretta et al., 2002; Coleman et al., 2004; Deblois and Giguere, 2003; Hatchell et al., 2006; Klinge et al., 2004; Lanz et al., 1999; Shi et al., 2001; Watanabe et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2007]. Functional analyses performed with constructions lacking the AF-1 domains showed that SRA coactivates the AF-2 regions of ER-α and ER-β [Coleman et al., 2004; Deblois and Giguere, 2003]. This somewhat contradicts the earlier results, which showed that AF-1-deleted PR and GR mutants were not activated by SRA, and that the N-terminal domain was needed for this RNA to coactivate steroid receptors [Lanz et al., 1999]. This suggests that either estrogen receptors have differential SRA-mediated mechanisms and/or that the cell system used or HREs investigated have a critical effect on the observed action of SRA on a given receptor. The impact of the sequence of EREs used to drive the expression of reporter genes on measured SRA effects has indeed been reported [Klinge et al., 2004].

SRA can also enhance AF-1 activity of ER-α, but not that of ER-β [Coleman et al., 2004; Deblois and Giguere, 2003]. Conflicting reports have been published regarding the role played by E2 and the phosphorylation of the S118 residue in ER-α in this SRA effect [Coleman et al., 2004; Deblois and Giguere, 2003]. In the study by Deblois et al., a construction consisting of ER-α AF-1/DNA binding domain (hence not containing the ligand binding domain) was active only when SRA was present and E2 added. The phosphorylation of S118 residue, known to be critical for ER-α activity [Ali et al., 1993; Kato et al., 1995; Kato et al., 2000; Le Goff et al., 1994; Weigel and Moore, 2007a; Weigel and Moore, 2007b], was necessary to see this effect [Deblois and Giguere, 2003]. It was therefore proposed that E2, even though unable to bind the receptor itself, was activating the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway and indirectly induced the S118 phosphorylation necessary for SRA to act as a coactivator of the AF-1 region. In the study by Coleman et al., a fusion protein consisting of ER-α AF-1 domain fused to the DBD domain of Gal 4 induced transcription in the presence of SRA [Coleman et al., 2004]. In this system, neither E2 treatment or phosphorylation of S118 was needed for SRA to coactivate ER-α AF-1 activity. These opposite findings may again result from the different systems used, but they also raised the possibility of a role played by ER-α sequences (mainly DBD) present in the first report, but absent in the second study. The DBD of nuclear receptors is indeed known to be the target of coregulatory molecules [Ko et al., 2002; Mathur et al., 2001; Tao et al., 2001]. Further studies are warranted to further address this issue.

The ability of SRA to enhance, in the presence of E2, AF-2 activity of both estrogen receptors, but only ER-α AF-1, suggests at least two different mechanisms of action of this SRA in participating in ligand-mediated transcription. The observation that it can also coactivate the response of ER-α, but not ER-β, to Tamoxifen [Coleman et al., 2004], raises the possibility that, in addition, it could participate in the events leading to the known differential response of these two receptors to antagonist molecules [Barkhem et al., 1998; Watanabe et al., 1997].

Emerging mechanism of action

Several studies have now been published shedding light on SRA’s mechanism of action (Table 1). SRA action appears not to be solely limited to enhancing steroid receptor activity. Indeed, it was found to increase the activity of other nuclear receptors, as well. The discrepancy with the original findings in the ability to modulate the activity of nuclear receptors other than steroid receptors may, as shown for ERs, result from differences in cell-type and reporter systems used. It is also likely that SRA’s coactivator role on a given nuclear receptor will depend upon the presence or absence of other regulatory molecules (see below). The recent report that SRA modulates the activity of MyoD, a transcription factor participating in skeletal myogenesis [Caretti et al., 2006], suggests that the role of SRA might be broader than originally predicted.

Several proteins participating in the formation of ribonucleoprotein complexes with SRA RNA have now been identified (Table 2). These include the transcription factors whose activity is increased by SRA, as well as accessory proteins acting as positive or negative regulators of nuclear receptor activity.

Association with nuclear receptors

Using an in vitro system consisting of extracts from Xenopus oocytes, it was found that SRA could form complexes with full-length AR, but not with AF-1-deleted AR (ΔAF-1-AR) mutants [Lanz et al., 1999]. This suggests that sequences within this domain directly or indirectly participate in the association of SRA/NR. Interestingly, it has been shown that SRA RNA could directly bind to a 40 amino acid long segment immediately following the second Zinc finger of the DNA binding domain of TR-α1, TR-α2 and TR-β [Xu and Koenig, 2004; Xu and Koenig, 2005], emphasizing that this nuclear receptor might have different options/sites to recruit SRA.

Nuclear receptor coactivators

(i) SRC-1 and TIF2 - SRC-1 and TIF2 belong to the p160 family of nuclear receptor coactivators [Xu and Li, 2003]. These proteins, which directly bind to the AF-2 region of nuclear receptors upon agonist binding, are also able to interact with the AF-1 domain of ERs [Dutertre and Smith, 2003; Metivier et al., 2001; Tremblay et al., 1999]. They therefore participate in the functional synergy existing between AF-1 and AF-2, as well as in the recruitment of other coregulators [McKenna et al., 1999; Smith and O'Malley, 2004; Xu, 2005]. SRA and SRC-1 can be associated in a large ribonucleoprotein complex of 600-700 kDa, which does not contain the other coactivators p300 or CBP [Lanz et al., 1999]. This led to the hypothesis that SRA might act by modulating the
activity of very distinct coactivator complexes. Interestingly, SRA can form complexes with ΔAF-1-AR in the presence of SRC-1 [Lanz et al., 1999], confirming the ability of activated receptors to recruit SRA through different mechanisms.

(ii) p68 and p72 - p68 and p72 belong to the large DExD/H box family of RNA-helicases, which are involved in all aspects of RNA biology, from synthesis and splicing to transport and translation [Fuller-Pace, 2006]. p68/72 directly interact with all members of the SRC-1 family, with the AF-1 region of ER-α, but not other nuclear receptors (including ER-β) and with SRA [Watanabe et al., 2001]. As such, they are able to specifically coactivate not only the agonist-induced AF-2 activity, but the ligand-independent or antagonist-induced AF-1 activity of this receptor as well. It should be stressed that p68 interaction with ER-α is potentiated by the phosphorylation of ER-α [Watanabe et al., 2001]. Interestingly, the physical interaction of p68/72 with SRA is required for these helicases to act as ER-α-specific coactivators. This suggests a crucial role played by SRA in the proper folding/interplay of the different molecules needed to lead to an efficient transcription of target genes. More details on the possible roles of SRA/p68/p72 interactions can be found in a recently published review [Caretti et al., 2007].

(iii) Pus1p and Pus3p - Pus1p and Pus3p belong to the pseudouridine synthase (PUS) family of proteins, which isomerize uridine (U) to pseudouridine (Ψ) in noncoding RNAs such as tRNA, rRNA or snRNA [Charette and Gray, 2000; Ferre-D’Amare, 2003]. Such post-transcriptional modification was found to alter the structural and rigidity features of the target RNAs and to modulate RNA/RNA, as well as RNA/protein interactions. Pus1p and Pus3p pseudouridylate several common, as well as different residues within SRA. Both Pus proteins physically interact with the first Zinc finger of the DNA binding domain of the class II nuclear receptor RAR [Zhao et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2004]. In the presence of either Pus protein, pseudouridylated SRA becomes able to coactivate this class of nuclear receptor. In contrast, only Pus1p associates with class I receptors and synergizes with SRA to act as a coactivator of these receptors. It was shown that the mutagenesis of a site of pseudouridylation common to both Pus1p and Pus3p, and located in the STR-5 substructure (change from U to A, position 207, see Figure 2 and Figure 3), leads to an overall hyper-pseudouridylation of SRA. Interestingly, in such a hyper-pseudouridylated state, SRA switches from being a coactivator of class I receptors to a dominant negative regulator. Pseudouridylation of SRA by Pus1p and Pus3p therefore appears as a major player in regulating the effect of this RNA on nuclear receptor activity.

Proteins antagonizing nuclear receptor activity

(i) SHARP (SMRT/HDAC1 Associated Repressor Protein) - SHARP was originally identified [Shi et al., 2001] as a protein directly interacting with the C-terminal extremity of the nuclear receptor corepressor SMRT (silencing mediator of retinoic acid and thyroid hormone receptor). SHARP contains a transcriptional repressor domain (RD) and an RNA-interacting domain (RRM). Through the former domain, SHARP is able to recruit HDAC1 and SMRT, whereas the latter domain is needed to interact with STR-7, one of the important functional/structural domains of SRA involved in SRA action [Hatchell et al., 2006; Lanz et al., 2002; Shi et al., 2001]. Full-length SHARP, but not RD only, repressed SRA coactivation of

| Action on | Responsive element | References |
|-----------|--------------------|------------|
| AR        | ARE                | [Hatchell et al., 2006; Lanz et al., 1999; Zhao et al., 2007] |
| ER-α-β    | ERE                | [Cavarretta et al., 2002; Coleman et al., 2004; Deblois and Giguere, 2003; Hatchell et al., 2006; Klingle et al., 2004; Lanz et al., 1999; Shi et al., 2001; Watanabe et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2007] |
| GR        | GRE                | [Hatchell et al., 2006; Lanz et al., 1999; Shi et al., 2001] |
| PR        | PRE                | [Lanz et al., 1999; Lanz et al., 2002] |
| PPAR-δ    | PPARE              | [Hatchell et al., 2006] |
| RAR       | RARE               | [Zhao et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2004] |
| TR-α1–β1  | TRE                | [Hatchell et al., 2006; Xu and Koenig, 2004, 2005] |
| Vit-D-R   | VDRE               | [Hatchell et al., 2006] |
| MyoD      | MCK enhancer       | [Caretti et al., 2006] |

Table 1. Transcription factors coactivated by SRA RNA. For each protein, the responsive element involved and the references reporting the effect are listed.
Table 2. Proteins forming complexes with or directly binding to SRA RNA. For each protein, the formation of complexes or direct binding, together with reporting references are given.

| Proteins | Direct interaction | Complex formation | References |
|----------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|
| AR       |                    | x                 | [Lanz et al., 1999] |
| ER-α     |                    | x                 | [Watanabe et al., 2001] |
| PR       |                    | x                 | [Lanz et al., 1999] |
| RAR      |                    | x                 | [Zhao et al., 2004] |
| TR-α1/2-β1| x                  | x                 | [Xu and Koenig, 2004, 2005] |
| MyoD     |                    | x                 | [Caretti et al., 2006] |
| SRC-1    |                    | x                 | [Hatchell et al., 2006; Lanz et al., 1999; Watanabe et al., 2001] |
| TIF2     |                    | x                 | [Watanabe et al., 2001] |
| YB1      |                    | x                 | see [Honig et al., 2002] |
| Pus1p    |                    | x                 | [Zhao et al., 2004] |
| Pus3p    |                    | x                 | [Zhao et al., 2007] |
| p68      |                    | x                 | [Caretti et al., 2006; Watanabe et al., 2001] |
| p72      |                    | x                 | [Caretti et al., 2006; Watanabe et al., 2001] |
| Sharp    |                    | x                 | [Shi et al., 2001] |
| SLIRP    |                    | x                 | [Hatchell et al., 2006] |

ER and GR. It has been suggested that this repressive action might result from the sequestration of SRA and associated coactivators or from the recruitment of corepressor on the site of target genes.

(ii) SLIRP (SRA stem-loop interacting RNA binding protein) - SLIRP was recently identified as a protein binding to STR7 [Hatchell et al., 2006]. This small (109 amino acids) protein mainly consists of a RNA recognition motif (RRM) and represses nuclear receptor activity through binding SRA. SLIRP, which is recruited on the promoter of target genes, also controls the amount of SRA associated with SRC-1. SLIRP siRNA experiments showed a reduced level of nuclear receptor corepressor (NCoR) associating with ER on the pS2 target gene promoter in the absence of ligand. This led the authors to hypothesize that SLIRP could participate in the recruitment of this corepressor to the promoter of target genes. Interestingly, the majority of the endogenous SLIRP is found in the mitochondria, raising the possibility that SRA may also have a role in this cell compartment.

An emerging model of the mechanism of action of SRA on ER-α is presented in Figure 4. It should be stressed that the presence of molecules such as p68, SLIRP or Pus1/3p at the promoter of target genes has been demonstrated by Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays [Caretti et al., 2006; Hatchell et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2004], though the recruitment of SRA RNA at these sites remains to be experimentally established. Similarly, further studies are needed to establish the exact kinetics of events involving these different partners, as well as the potential differential effects of receptor ligands. The active participation of SRA RNA in the different interactions between the receptor and its coregulators has however led to the proposition that SRA serves as a “gasket” or “molecular adapter”, facilitating the interactions between these molecules. It should be emphasized that the exact participation of the different SRA secondary substructures (detailed in Figure 3) in these physical interactions remains to be elucidated. Indeed, as of today, only STR-7 has been clearly shown, through its ability to be recognized by SLIRP and SHARP, to modulate the
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inhibitory effect of these molecules [Hatchell et al., 2006]. Further analyses are therefore needed to establish the involvement of other SRA substructures in establishing adequate physical interfaces between SRA RNA and its interacting proteins. The observation that pseudouridylation, known to stabilize RNA structures, affects SRA function [Zhao et al., 2007], strongly suggests that this posttranscriptional modification might also participate in the establishment of functional interfaces between the different partners.

SRA expression and relevance to breast cancer

Different SRA transcripts, detected by Northern blot with apparent migration size of 0.7, 0.85, and ~1.5 kb, have been observed in human tissues [Lanz et al., 1999]. SRA appears highly expressed in liver, skeletal muscle, adrenal gland and the pituitary gland, whereas intermediate expression levels are seen in the placenta, lung, kidney and pancreas. Interestingly, brain and other typical steroid-responsive tissues such as prostate, breast, uterus and ovary contained low levels of SRA RNA [Lanz et al., 2003; Lanz et al., 1999]. In all established cancer cell lines, the 0.85 kb SRA appears to be the main detectable transcript [Lanz et al., 1999]. It should be stressed that the additional 0.7 kb SRA transcript is also observed in cells from breast origin (MCF-7, T47-D). Altogether, SRA is expressed in most tissues. The presence of different transcripts, together with their relative levels of expression, suggest alternative mechanisms of regulation are likely to be tissue- and cell type-specific.

Using RT-PCR targeting core SRA sequences, several reports have shown that SRA expression was increased during breast, uterus and ovarian tumorigenesis [Hussein-Fikret and Fuller, 2005; Lanz et al., 2003; Leygue et al., 1999; Murphy et al., 2000]. Interestingly, SRA overexpression might characterize particular subtypes or subgroups of lesions. Indeed, serous ovarian tumors expressed higher levels of SRA than granulosa cell tumors or mucinous cystadenocarcinoma [Hussein-Fikret and Fuller, 2005]. Similarly, ER-α-positive/PR-negative breast tumors expressed more SRA than ER-α-positive/PR-positive breast tumors [Leygue et al., 1999], whereas Tamoxifen-sensitive and -resistant breast tumors express similar levels [Murphy et al., 2002].

A possible direct involvement of SRA in the mechanisms underlying breast tumorigenesis and tumor progression has been proposed [Lanz et al., 2003; Leygue et al., 1999]. The generation of transgenic mice has however demonstrated that overexpression of the core SRA sequence in the mammary gland was not sufficient per se to induce tumorigenesis [Lanz et al., 2003]. Indeed, even though elevated proliferation leading to the formation of preneoplastic lesions were found to take place in the mammary gland of transgenic mice, none of these lesions led to the development of malignant tumors. The inability of the core SRA to lead, by itself, to a full malignant phenotype may result from the lack of other necessary mechanisms involving additional factors. It may also, as underlined by Lanz et al., result from the high level of apoptosis observed in transgenic mice breast tissue [Lanz et al., 2003]. Apoptosis, through cell loss, may indeed slow down the growth of potential tumor cells. The interpretation of the phenotype observed in these transgenic mice is further complicated by the ability of SRA to regulate the activity of both ERs and PRs. Indeed, both receptors are known to play crucial roles in normal, as well as abnormal mammary gland biology [Anderson and Clarke, 2004; Conneely et al., 2003; Hennighausen and Robinson, 1998; Curtis Hewitt et al., 2000]. The increased expression of PR (known target gene of ER) in the mammary gland of transgenic virgins, together with the apparent phenotype similarities with previously generated PR-A transgenic mice [Shyamala et al., 1998] led the authors to propose that they were observing a SRA-enhanced ER transactivation of PR expression [Lanz et al., 2003]. Altogether, the phenotype observed likely results from a complex interplay involving steroid receptor activities and possibly other still to be discovered SRA-regulated factors.

Coding SRAs and SRA protein (SRAP)

Heterogeneity of SRA transcripts

Several additional human SRA sequences have now been deposited in the nucleotide database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (Figure 1B). As expected, most of these sequences contain an intact core sequence (exon-2 to exon-5) and differ in their 5'-extremity. The presence of a full core sequence suggests that these isoforms could be fully functional as transcriptional coactivators. Compared to the original AF092038 SRA sequence, some of the sequences contain a point mutation in exon-2 (position 98 of the core: U to C) or a point mutation followed by a full codon (position 271 of the core: G to CGAC), which likely correspond to gene polymorphisms [Emberley et al., 2003].

Four sequences have a full or partial retention of intron-1. Interestingly, the 5' end of six sequences consists of an extended exon-1, which contains two methionine codons in frame with a large open reading frame defining a 236/237 amino acid long peptide. These cDNAs, as opposed to the original SRA, were translatable in vitro, as well as in vivo, leading to the production of a protein localized both in the cytoplasm and the nucleus [Emberley et al., 2003]. Altogether, this raised the possibility that these transcripts, even though still functional at the RNA level through their core sequence, might also encode a protein.

Sequence conservation of the protein encoded by coding SRAs

The sequence of the protein encoded by SRA, referred to as SRAP, is highly conserved in all Chordata (Figure 5). The most conserved amino acids define two distinct domains (N- and C-terminal) that represent the typical signature of this new family of proteins, and which are likely both participating in SRAP function. The presence of an endogenous SRA protein (SRAP) has now been confirmed in the muscle of several vertebrates including
mouse, birds, cows and humans [Chooniedass-Kothari et al., 2004]. This protein is also ubiquitously found in human cancer cell lines from the breast [Emberley et al., 2003], the prostate [Kurisu et al., 2006], and other tissues as well (Leygue et al., personal observations), even though levels of expression appear to vary from one cell type to another.

**SRAP expression in breast tumors**

In a small subset of patients with primary ER-α-positive tumors that was subsequently treated with Tamoxifen, it has been found that SRAP was detectable by Western blot in some patients, but not others [Chooniedass-Kothari et al., 2006]. Interestingly, the apparent overexpression of SRAP in some cases correlated with an overall better survival of the patients. This indicates that SRAP expression is differentially regulated in breast tumors. This also suggests that an increase in SRAP expression might characterize a less aggressive type of tumor, and possibly that this protein contributes to the overall improved outcome after Tamoxifen treatment. Further expression and functional studies are needed to clarify this issue.

**SRAP function**

To date, most studies have focused mainly on the coactivating function of the noncoding SRA. Exact functions of SRAP therefore remain generally underexplored. Nonetheless, SRAP was shown to directly interact with the AF-2 domain of AR *in vitro* [Kawashima et al., 2003]. In this study, it was proposed that SRAP, instead of SRA RNA, was coactivating the response to androgen. This proposition is based on the observation that an introduced shift in SRAP reading frame inhibited the translation of the SRAP protein studied, and led to the loss of coactivation function. This appears to be in direct contrast with the observations of Lanz et al. [Lanz et al., 2003]. Besides the already underlined differences, possibly resulting from the cell type and reporter system used, alternative hypotheses can be raised to explain these apparently contrasting results. Indeed, while suppression of SRAP protein production leads to a suppression of coactivating activity, which certainly suggests a functional role of the protein studied, it does not necessarily exclude the possibility that SRA RNA can be a coactivator. Indeed, the sequence used by Kawashima et al. in their experiments corresponds to the coding sequence of a short putative rat SRAP starting at the AUG codon at position 208 in Figure 2 [Kawashima et al., 2003]. This construct is therefore missing the 5' end of the SRA core and might generate a nonfunctional SRA RNA. It should also be stressed that the SRAP rat protein analyzed in these experiments was also missing the first domain of SRAP (as defined in Figure 5). This region is strongly conserved in Chordata. It is therefore reasonable to assume it might have an important functional role. The transient transfection of full-length SRA coding sequence also led to an activation of the response to androgen [Kurisu et al., 2006]. This contrasts with the decreased response to estrogen observed in breast cells stably transfected with coding SRA, which
Figure 5. Alignment of SRA protein-related sequences. Putative SRA protein sequence homologues corresponding to 20 Chordata species are aligned. The numbers indicated on top of the alignment correspond to amino acid numbering of the human SRAP isoform 1. Amino acids conserved in all species are in red letters, whereas those observed in between 70% and 100% of all species are in yellow. Within the consensus sequence, #, !, and $ stand for D or E, I or V, M or L, respectively.
suggested that SRAP might repress the activity of ER in these cells [Chooniedass-Kothari et al., 2006].

Table 3. Proteins forming complexes with SRAP. Proteins identified by protein sequencing as coimmunoprecipitating with SRAP in nuclear (n) or cytoplasmic (c) extracts of HeLa cells [Jung et al., 2005a] are listed. Examples of proteins considered, using the Gene Ontology terms (biological processes: GOTERM-BP or molecular functions: GOTERM-MF, http://www.geneontology.org/), as molecular chaperones, involved in DNA replication, RNA processing, regulation of transcription or transport are highlighted in orange, green, blue, yellow and pink, respectively.

Interestingly, SRAP has recently been shown to interact with several transcription factors and transcription regulators [Jung et al., 2005a] and Chooniedass-Kothari et al., personal observations. As a result of a concerted effort to generate a database of proteins interacting with nuclear receptor coregulators [Jung et al., 2005b; Jung et al., 2005a], a series of SRAP-interacting proteins has been recently listed. These proteins have been identified by communoprecipitation of nuclear or cytoplasmic extracts from nuclear HeLa cell extracts using commercially available polyclonal rabbit anti-SRAP antibodies (Bethyl Laboratories, Inc.). As shown in Table 3, among the 54 proteins characterized as communoprecipitating with SRAP, are protein chaperones and proteins involved in transport. Interactions with such molecules either reflect a "house-keeping" stage (folding, stabilization and transport) of the SRAP protein processing (common to many proteins), or indicate its possible functional involvement in these processes. The identification, however, of a large number of partners directly involved in RNA processing, regulation of transcription or DNA replication strongly suggests that SRAP may play a role in gene expression. The characterization of p68 (DDX5) as a SRAP-interacting protein is of particular interest, as it underlines the likelihood of crosstalk between SRA RNA and SRAP signaling. Altogether, emerging data suggest that SRAP, similar to its RNA counterpart, might also participate in the regulation of transcription. The exact roles of SRAP on nuclear receptor signaling pathways remain to be elucidated.

Coding/noncoding SRA RNAs: possible regulatory mechanisms?

Understanding how cells could regulate such a bifaceted system, involving both noncoding and coding RNAs, possibly sending contradictory and/or intertwined signals to the transcriptional machinery, represents an important question that currently remains unexplored. It has however been demonstrated that both coding and noncoding SRA RNAs coexist in breast cancer cells and that their relative proportions vary from one cell line to another [Hube et al., 2006]. The retention of intron-1, which introduces a shift in the SRAP reading frame and results in the production of noncoding SRA RNAs, has therefore been proposed as a potential mechanism used by cells to control between these two RNA species.

The demonstrated nuclear function of noncoding SRA RNAs (i.e., coactivation of nuclear receptor), together with the existence of SRAP (resulting from the translation of coding RNAs), underlines the need for these transcripts to be present, at least temporarily, in a specific cell compartment. Such a need suggests that cells, through controlling the cellular localization of SRA transcripts, might have a simple way to regulate the functional effects of both partners. One might speculate that cis-elements, located within the transcripts or at their 5′ or 3′ extremities could, as described in other systems [Chabanon et al., 2004; Jambhekar and Derisi, 2007; Kindler et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006], participate in the targeting of a given RNA to a particular cellular compartment. In situ hybridization results have corroborated the likelihood of the existence of cellular mechanisms regulating the localization of SRA transcripts. Indeed, whereas SRA RNA is detected mainly in the nucleus of transgenic mice mammary epithelial cells overexpressing SRA [Lanz et al., 2003], this transcript is primarily found in the cytoplasm of transiently transfected MCF-7 breast cancer cells [Zhao et al., 2007]. Interestingly, these two groups used the same SRA construction (SRA II, see Figure 1A). Besides possible differences in the 5′ and 3′ extremities introduced by construction variations (such as different transcription start sites), which might contribute to the observed differential localization of SRA RNAs in the two systems, one might speculate that normal [Lanz et al., 2003] and cancer [Zhao et al., 2007] cells could differentially target the same SRA sequence. Studies are critically needed to fully characterize the mechanisms controlling the generation and the localization of noncoding and coding SRA RNAs, as well as their possible impact on breast tumorigenesis and breast tumor progression.
Review

Summary

The overexpression of SRA core sequence during breast tumorigenesis, together with a higher expression of SRAP in patients more likely to survive under Tamoxifen treatment, make the study of these molecules highly relevant to breast cancer research. Indeed, one might foresee that the full understanding of this bifaceted system might provide new targets for curative or preventive strategies to fight this disease. Unfortunately, the complexity of the bifaceted SRA/SRAP system makes function difficult to address. For example, the interpretation of specific experiments performed using approaches decreasing SRA RNA [Caretti et al., 2006; Cavarretta et al., 2002; Hatchell et al., 2006; Kurisu et al., 2006] might be impaired by the fact that both RNA and protein are likely affected. This makes such approaches unsuitable for the further dissection of respective mechanisms of action of these two protagonists. It is likely that new techniques, specifically targeting the RNA or the protein production/function, will be needed to overcome this problem.

SRA can now definitively be seen as a functional coding RNA. It provides a fascinating link between two worlds, which, up to now, appeared to be quite delineated. Indeed, functional RNAs were thought to be inherently different from their messenger counterparts [Costa, 2005; Mattick, 2001]. mRNAs (coding RNAs) were only seen as a transitional step of genetic information, a passive link between DNA and a defined biological function filled by the corresponding protein. The duo SRA/SRAP is now forcing us to reconsider this concept.
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