DETERMINATION OF DIPHENHYDRAMINE BY HPLC METHOD IN BIOLOGICAL LIQUIDS
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The aim of the study was to develop an algorithm for directed analysis of diphenhydramine in biological extracts from urine and blood using a unified method of HPLC research.

Materials and methods. The extraction of diphenhydramine was carried out with chloroform at pH 9.0. The extracts were purified from impurities by a combination of TLC and extraction with hexane. TLC purification and identification of diphenhydramine were carried out under optimal conditions: organic solvents systems – chloroform-methanol (90:10); methanol; methanol-25 % solution of ammonium hydroxide (100:1.5) and chromatographic plates – Sorbfil PTLC-AF-A, Sorbfil PTLC-B-UV. For the detection of diphenhydramine, the most sensitive location reagents were used – UV light (λ=254 nm) and Dragendorff reagent in the modification of Mounier. HPLC analysis was carried out on a microcolumn liquid chromatograph "Milichrome A-02" in conditions: reversed-phase variant, column with non-polar sorbent Prontosil 120-3 C18 AQ, 5 μm; mobile phase in the mode of linear gradient – from eluent A (5 % acetonitrile and 95 % buffer solution) to eluent B (100 % acetonitrile) as during 40 min. The flow rate of the mobile phase has been formed 100 μl/min, injection volume – 4 μl. Multichannel detection of the substance was carried out using a UV spectrophotometer at 210, 220, 230, 240, 250, 260, 280 and 300 nm; the optimal value of column temperature – 37–40 °C and pressure of pump – 2.8–3.2 MPa.

Results and its discussion. Extraction, purification, identification and quantitative determination of diphenhydramine were carried out according to the developed methods. It is established that when isolating diphenhydramine from blood according to the developed methods it is possible to allocate 34.2–38.4 % of substance (\( \bar{c} = \pm 5.69 \% \), RSDx = 2.04 %) and from urine – 55.8–60.5 % of substance (\( \bar{c} = \pm 3.91 \% \), RSDx = 1.40 %).

Conclusions. An algorithm has been developed for directed analysis of diphenhydramine in biological extracts from urine and blood using a unified HPLC method. Statistical processing of the experimental results indicates the reliability and reproducibility of the technique.
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1. Introduction

Diphenhydramine hydrochloride (dimedrol) – 2-diphenylmethoxy-N,N-dimethylethyamine hydrochloride – first generation of \( H_3 \)-histamine receptor blocker. The effect on the central nervous system occurs due to the blockade of \( H_3 \)-histamine receptors in the brain and inhibition of the central cholinergic structures. The drug has a pronounced antihistamine activity, reduces or prevents histamine-induced smooth muscle spasms, increasing capillary permeability, tissue edema, itching and hyperemia.

Diphenhydramine causes the effect of local anesthesia, blocks the cholinergic receptors of the ganglia, lowers blood pressure, has sedative, hypnotic, antiparkinsonian and antiemetic effects. The drug is used to treat anaphylactic shock, urticaria, hay fever, Quincke’s edema, dermatoses, allergic eye diseases, allergic reactions associated with taking medications [1, 2].

Currently, diphenhydramine hydrochloride is widely used in various fields of medicine. So, Sawicka K. M. and co-authors presented data on the successful treatment of paroxysmal movement disorders in children with diphenhydramine due to anticholinergic activity and limited toxicity at low doses [3]. According to Li Y.Y. and co-authors diphenhydramine in the prophylactic process reduces bladder discomfort in patients in the postoperative period [4]. Pickett L.E. and co-authors found that the use of diphenhydramine is effective for patients with an allergy to lidocaine during skin surgical procedures [5].

According to the work of Drogovoz S. M. and co-authors the increased uncontrolled use of \( H_1 \)-histamine receptor blockers is also due to the multivectorial pharmacological effects, the ability to potentiate the effect of analgesics and alcohol on the central nervous system. The small latitude of the therapeutic action of antihistamines increases the risk of intoxication with an increase in the therapeutic dose by 3–5 times, and the symptoms of poisoning can be delayed in time due to a decrease in the absorption rate of antihistamines, since they block the motility of the gastrointestinal tract, and also due to the relatively high affinity for transport proteins [6].
In case of an overdose, diphenhydramine hydrochloride causes respiratory depression and central nervous system depression, confusion, hyperkinesia, convulsions, delirium, tachycardia, arrhythmia [7]. Given the urgency of diphenhydramine poisoning, Varma A. and co-authors studies were carried out to remove patients from the state of intoxication, the influence of various factors on this process was studied [8]. Cherukuri S. V. and co-authors presented a case of severe overdose of diphenhydramine by a woman after taking 18 g (360 tablets of 50 mg) of the drug (coma of a patient with hemodynamic instability and hypotension), for the treatment of which lipid emulsion therapy was used [9].

Particular attention is paid to the mortality of children when taking anti-cold drugs, which contained diphenhydramine. The FDA has banned the use of these drugs in children under 6 years and restricted in children under 12 years. As a result of damage to the body, arrhythmia develops, which leads to cardiac arrest. First-generation antihistamines are responsible for 8.3% of deaths from overdose poisoning in adults, according to U.S. toxicology services [10].

The detection and quantification of diphenhydramine hydrochloride in pharmaceuticals and biological matrices during treatment and after human death are based on the choice of highly sensitive and selective research methods, which is an urgent problem.

The most widely used method is high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The literature presents HPLC methods for the study of diphenhydramine using different conditions (variants of detection of the test substance, the use of isocratic and gradient modes of elution, the use of different composition of mobile phases, sorbents, buffer solutions), which are based on individual properties, but they do not take into account complex treatment of allergic diseases and intoxications with mixtures of different drugs.

Urine and blood, in which native substances and their metabolites are localized, are characterized by significant informativeness in conducting research in biological matrices. An actual problem is the development of an algorithm for directed analysis of diphenhydramine in urine and blood using a unified HPLC method of analysis and the creation of a database of identification parameters, quantification of the above method of first-generation antihistamines.

Zagorodniy S. L. and co-authors developed a method of HPLC analysis of diphenhydramine in tablets [11]. Chromatography was performed in reversed-phase variant using a 4.0 mm x 150.0 mm column with Hypersil Gold sorbent, 5 μm. Elution was conducted in isocratic mode using a mobile phase – phosphate buffer solution pH 2.5 – methanol (10:90). The analysis of substances with a UV spectrophotometric detector was carried out only at one wavelength – 262 nm.

Grigoriev A. M. and co-authors developed HPLC method for determining of the metabolites of diphenhydramine in urine [13]. According to this technique, chromatography was carried out in a reversed-phase variant using a steel column 4.6 mm x 150.0 mm filled with an Eclipse XDB-C18, 5 μm sorbent. Elution was carried out in a gradient mode using eluent A (phosphate buffer pH 3.0) and eluent B (acetonitrile). Linear gradient – A:B=9:1 to 3:7. The analysis of substances with a diode-array detector was carried out only at two wavelengths – 220 and 360 nm.

Gelotte C. K. and co-authors used the HPLC method to study the pharmacokinetics of diphenhydramine in blood plasma after a single oral dose in children aged 2 to 17 years according to a dosing regimen based on weight and age with a large number of levels [14]. Chromatography was carried out in a reversed-phase variant with the detection of substances by tandem mass spectrometry. The analysis was performed on a Discovery® RP Amide C16 column, 10 cm x 3 mm, 5 μm. Elution was performed in an isocratic mode using 20% eluent A (acetic buffer pH 3.0) and 80% eluent B (acetonitrile).

The disadvantages of the above HPLC methods are the use of an isocratic elution mode, which limits the possibility of all sample components leaving the column in the form of narrow zones and efficient separation of drug mixtures. Detection at one or two wavelengths reduces the reliability of the results obtained in the identification, since it allows to use only the retention parameters. HPLC methods for the analysis of diphenhydramine have limitations in their application to the study of mixtures with other drugs.

Modern HPLC methods of diphenhydramine analysis indicate the absence of systematic studies, which does not allow the selection of optimal conditions for drug analysis in biological objects and pharmaceuticals.

The aim of the study was to develop an algorithm for directed analysis of diphenhydramine in biological extracts from urine and blood using a unified method of HPLC research.

2. Planning (methodology) of research

The creation of databases based on the results of express and cost-effective methods for analysis of biological fluids for the presence of drugs, which are based on unified methods of isolation, purification, identification and quantitative determination, is an important task of modern analytical services for the organization and monitoring of the effectiveness of treatment of the population and diagnosis of population intoxication with drugs.

The previously developed algorithms for the analysis of diphenhydramine in blood and urine [15, 16] were based on the use of various extractants, complex in composition (for example, extraction from blood with a mixture of solvents – n-hexane-dichloromethane-isopropanol (60:40:2) at pH 9.5 or selected taking into account the individual properties of the drug (for example, extraction
from urine with a mixture of solvents – chloroform-isobutanol (6:1) at pH 8.5. For HPLC and GC analysis of diphenhydramine, various conditions were also used (sorbents, eluents, temperature, pressure, mobile phase feed rate). The results obtained were different (68.3 % and 90.2 %) and were not characterized by reliability.

The presented study was distinguished by the use of unified methods and included the following stages: carrying out the extraction of diphenhydramine according to the unified method of isolation of organic substances of the basic character from biological objects, selection of optimal conditions for TLC purification and identification of diphenhydramine in biogenic extracts (thin layers of sorbents, organic solvents systems, substance detection means); use of the unified HPLC method of identification and quantification of diphenhydramine in biogenic extracts from urine and blood according to the developed algorithm of the directed analysis in biological extracts with application of the unified HPLC technique.

### 3. Materials and methods

Diphenhydramine hydrochloride was isolated from «Dimedrol» tablets (10 pcs) 50 mg (Darnitsa, Closed Joint-Stock Company, Kyiv, Ukraine) as follows: the number of tablets, which contained 300 mg, were transferred to the porcelain mortar and were triturated to a homogeneous state. 100.0 mL of methanol was added to the mixture and mixed thoroughly. The resulting mixture was filtered through a paper filter in porcelain cup and evaporated in water bath at a temperature not higher than 40°C to remove the organic solvent; the residue was dried.

The purity of the substances was tested by TLC and UV spectroscopy and the quality assurance was established in accordance with the requirements of the pharmacopoeial article [17].

Organic solvents corresponded to the qualification of “PFA”: chloroform, methanol, hexane (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Reagents corresponded to the qualification of “PFA”: 10 % solution of acid trichloroacetic, 25 % solution of ammonium hydroxide, 0.1 M solution of sodium hydroxide (Chimmed, Moscow, Russia).

0.100 g of test substance was added to a 100.0 mL volumetric flask, dissolved in water and the volume of the solution was adjusted to the mark with solvent (standard solution, concentration 1000.0 μg/mL).

According to the algorithm for the study of biological objects for the presence of drugs, the following steps were performed: isolation of the substance from the biological object, purification from nutrients, identification and quantification. The presence of impurities of organic origin (steroids, pigments, peptides, low molecular weight products of amino acid metabolism – amines, urea, carboxylic acids) in extracts from biological material reduced the objectivity of the results obtained in the quantitative determination of substances by HPLC method. Purification of extracts from co-extractive compounds was performed by combining TLC and hexane extraction.

Model mixtures of blood and urine with diphenhydramine were used for the studies, taking into account the lethal concentrations of the drug in the blood (19.7 – 31.0 μg/ml) and urine (37.6–40.0 μg/ml) [18]. To do this, 1000.0 μg of diphenhydramine hydrochloride was added to 10 ml of the appropriate biological fluid using an aqueous solution of the drug substance, which contained 1000.0 μg/ml, as well as control samples. Samples were left for 24 h at room temperature. A day later, studies were performed according to the developed extraction techniques.

**Methods of isolation of diphenhydramine from blood and urine and extract purification by hexane.** To 10.0 ml of a model mixture of blood or urine with diphenhydramine hydrochloride, 5.0 ml of 10 % solution of acid trichloroacetic was added for breaking bonds with proteins, mixed and checked with a universal indicator pH of the mixture 2.0–2.5, left for 2 h with constant stirring at room temperature.

The mixture was centrifuged at 3000–5000 rpm for 10 min, the the liquid over the precipitate was separated. Lipid impurities were extracted three times with hexane in portions of 5 ml. Hexane phases were not investigated.

The aqueous layer was alkalinized with a 0.1 M solution of sodium hydroxide to pH 9.0 and the diphenhydramine-base was extracted twice with chloroform in portions of 10.0 ml followed by centrifugation at 3000–5000rpm for 10 min for the destruction of water-chloroform emulsions. Chloroform extracts were combined and filtered through a paper filter (“red tape”) with 1.0 g sodium sulphate anhydrous, TLC-purification of the extracts was performed.

**Methods of TLC purification of biogenic extracts.** The purified chloroform solutions were evaporated at room temperature to dryness, which was dissolved in 2.0–3.0 ml of methanol, then quantitatively transferred to a volumetric flask with a capacity of 5.0 ml, brought to the mark with methanol.

TLC purification was performed under conditions – chromatographic plates Sorbil PTLC-AF-A, system of organic solvents – chloroform-methanol (90:10), \( R_f \) diphenhydramine = 0.52±0.03, impurities are located at the start line or at the finish line. Detection of diphenhydramine was performed under uniform conditions using the most sensitive developers – UV light (λ=254 nm) – purple color of the spots, sensitivity – 0.3–0.5 μg in the sample, Dragendorff reagent in the modification of Mournier – orange color of the spots, sensitivity of the developer – 1.0–3.0 μg of the substance in the sample. To confirm the presence of diphenhydramine in biogenic extracts, the following conditions were used: organic solvent systems: methanol, \( R_f \) diphenhydramine = 0.56±0.03 (Sorbil PTLC-AF-A); methanol-25 % solution of ammonium hydroxide (100:1.5) \( R_f \) diphenhydramine = 0.55±0.03 (Sorbil PTLC-AF-A), \( R_f \) diphenhydramine = 0.55±0.03 (Sorbil PTLC-P-B-UV) [19].

TLC purification of diphenhydramine in the extracts was performed according to the following method: 1.0 ml of methanolic solution of diphenhydramine after extraction purification, evaporated to 0.3–0.5 ml. At the starting line of the chromatographic plate at a distance of 1–2 cm from the edge to the point was applied using a calibrated capillary witness – 0.01 % methanol solution of diphenhydramine. At a distance of 1–2 cm from the witness was applied an extract from the control.
sample, the diameter of the stain should not exceed 0.5 cm. Methanol solution of diphenhydramine after extraction purification was applied in the form of a strip 1.0–1.5 cm long.

The chromatographic plate was placed in a chromatography chamber, which was a glass vessel with a ground lid with a volume of 500 cm³, into which a system of solvents for chromatography (50 ml) was introduced. The chamber was carefully closed, followed by solvent vapor saturation for at least 30–60 minutes. The length of the mobile phase front was 7 cm. Chromatography was completed when the solvent reached the finish line. The chromatographic plate was dried at room temperature. The part of chromatographic plate with localized the witness and control sample extracts was developed using UV light and Dragendorff reagent in the Mounier modification.

At the level of the spot of standard methanol 0.01 % solution of diphenhydramine from the part of the plate that was not treated with the developer, removed a layer of sorbent with an area of 4–5 cm², transferred to the filter. The substance was eluted three times with methanol of 5.0 ml and the resulting solution was filtered through a filter (“red tape”).

The resulting solutions were evaporated at room temperature to dryness, which was dissolved in 2.0–3.0 ml of methanol, and then quantitatively transferred to a volumetric flask with a capacity of 5.0 ml, brought to the mark with methanol.

**Methods of research of diphenhydramine by HPLC method.** Chromatographic analysis was carried out on a microcolumn liquid chromatograph “Milichrome A-02” (EkoNova, Closed Joint-Stock Company, Novosibirsk, Russia) according to the unified HPLC method developed by Baram G. Y. [20]: reversed-phase variant with using of metal column with non-polar absorbent Prontosil 120-SC 18 AQ, 5 μm; mobile phase in the mode of linear gradient – from eluent A (5 % acetonitrile and 95 % buffer solution – 0.2 M solution of lithium perchlorate in 0.005 M solution acid perchloric) to eluent B (100 % acetonitrile) as during 40 min. Regeneration of column has been conducted during 2 min with mixture of solvents; the flow rate of the mobile phase has been formed 100 μl/min, injection volume – 4 μl.

Multichannel detection of the substance was carried out using a two-beam multi-wave UV spectrophotometer at 8 wavelengths of 210, 220, 230, 240, 250, 260, 280 and 300 nm; the optimal value of column temperature – 37–40 °C and pressure of pump – 2.8–3.2 MPa.

**4. Results**

Identification of diphenhydramine was performed by retention parameters and spectral ratios. It was found that the absolute retention time of diphenhydramine was 20.28±0.02 min, the absolute retention volume was 2028.32±0.32 μl. To obtain reliable identification results, the spectral ratios of the optical density values at wavelengths from 220 to 280 nm to the optical density values at 210 nm were determined – 0.713±0.006; 0.305±0.005; 0.034±0.005; 0.023±0.004; 0.029±0.003; 0.001±0.006; 0.001±0.007.

The concentration of diphenhydramine in methanol solution (C, μg/ml), which was obtained after purification of biogenic extracts by TLC and extraction methods, was calculated using a calibration graph or the equation of the line corresponding to the calibration graph.

The linearity of the calibration graph was observed in the concentration ranges of 10.0–200.0 μg/ml, which corresponded to the content of diphenhydramine in the sample (4 μl) from 40.0 μg to 800.0 ng. The lower limit of determination of diphenhydramine by HPLC was 10.0 μg/ml (40.0 ng in the sample).

The equation of the linear dependence of the area of diphenhydramine peaks (S, mm²) on its concentration (C, μg/ml) had the form: S=0.60·10⁻³ C+0.64·10⁻³. The correlation coefficient was 0.9989 [21].

The degree of isolation of diphenhydramine from biological objects was calculated by the formula:

\[ C_a = \frac{C_V \cdot V_Y \cdot k \cdot 100}{a \cdot V_X}, \]

where \( C_a \), % – mass fraction of diphenhydramine in 10 ml of blood or urine, in %;

\( V_1 \) – volume of a volumetric flask with methanol extract of the substance from biological fluids after extract purification (5 ml);

\( V_2 \) – volume of methanol extract of the substance from biological fluids, taken for TLC purification (1 ml);

\( V_3 \) – volume of the volumetric flask with the extract after TLC purification using methanol (5 ml);

\( k \) – coefficient of recount, which is equal to the ratio of molecular weights of salt / base;

\( a \) – weight of the sample of diphenhydramine hydrochloride, which is made in 10.0 ml of blood or urine (1000.0 μg).

The results of the study are shown in Table 1.

According to the results of research it was established that when isolating diphenhydramine from blood according to the developed methods it is possible to allocate 34.2–38.4 % of substance

\( (\bar{\varepsilon} = \pm 5.69 \% , RSD\bar{\varepsilon} = 2.04 \% ) \)

and from urine – 55.8–60.5 % of substance

\( (\bar{\varepsilon} = \pm 3.91 \% , RSD\bar{\varepsilon} = 1.40 \% ) \)

The limit of quantitative determination of diphenhydramine in biological fluids by HPLC was – 0.5–0.7 μg in the sample.

According to the results of HPLC and TLC studies, an algorithm for directed analysis of biological fluids for diphenhydramine was developed (Table 2).
The results of HPLC analysis of diphenhydramine in blood and urine extracts (n=5, P=95 %)

| The value of the area of the peaks S, mm² | Diphenhydramine was isolated | Metrological characteristics, % |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                        | µg  | %              | X | S | RSDX | Sx | ΔX | ΔΔ | ε |
| Blood                                  |     |                |   |   |      |    |    |    |   |
| 0.0088                                 | 13.68 | 34.2           | 36.48 | 1.67 | 2.04 | 0.75 | 2.08 | 5.69 |
| 0.0092                                 | 14.20 | 35.5           |      |    |      |    |    |    |   |
| 0.0094                                 | 14.68 | 36.7           |      |    |      |    |    |    |   |
| 0.0097                                 | 15.04 | 37.6           |      |    |      |    |    |    |   |
| 0.0099                                 | 15.36 | 38.4           |      |    |      |    |    |    |   |
| Urine                                  |     |                |   |   |      |    |    |    |   |
| 0.0140                                 | 22.32 | 55.8           | 58.24 | 1.83 | 1.40 | 0.82 | 2.28 | 3.91 |
| 0.0143                                 | 22.84 | 57.1           |      |    |      |    |    |    |   |
| 0.0147                                 | 23.44 | 58.6           |      |    |      |    |    |    |   |
| 0.0149                                 | 23.68 | 59.2           |      |    |      |    |    |    |   |
| 0.0152                                 | 24.20 | 60.5           |      |    |      |    |    |    |   |

Algorithm for directed analysis of diphenhydramine in biological fluids

| Stage of the algorithm                  | Conditions of performance                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Destruction binding of diphenhydramine to proteins | To 10.0 ml of a model mixture of blood or urine with diphenhydramine hydrochloride, 5.0 ml of 10 % solution of acid trichloroacetic was added, mixed and checked with a universal indicator pH of the mixture 2.0–2.5, left for 2 h with constant stirring at room temperature. |
| Extraction purification by hexane       | The mixture was centrifuged at 3000–5000 rpm for 10 min, the the liquid over the precipitate was separated. Lipid impurities were extracted three times with hexane in portions of 5 ml. Hexane phases were not investigated. |
| Chloroform extraction of diphenhydramine base | The aqueous layer was alkalinized with a 0.1 M solution of sodium hydroxide to pH 9.0 and the diphenhydramine-base was extracted twice with chloroform in portions of 10.0 ml followed by centrifugation at 3000–5000 rpm for 10 min for the destruction of water-chloroform emulsions. |
| TLC-purification and preliminary identification of diphenhydramine in extracts | System of organic solvents – chloroform – methanol (90:10), developers – UV light, Dragendorff reagent modified by Munier, Rf diphendramine=0.52±0.03 (Sorbfil PTLC-AF-A). |
| Confirming TLC-identification of diphenhydramine in extracts | Methanol – R=0.56±0.03 (Sorbfil PTLC-AF-A); methanol –25 % solution of ammonium hydroxide (100:1.5) – R=0.55±0.03 (Sorbfil PTLC-AF-A); R=0.55±0.03 (Sorbfil PTLC-P-B-UV). |
| Confirming HPLC-identification of diphenhydramine in extracts | Diphenhydramine was identified with the retention time of 20.28±0.02 min; retention volume 2028.32±0.32 µl; spectral relations: 0.713; 0.305; 0.034; 0.023; 0.029; 0.001; 0.001. |
| Quantitative determination of diphenhydramine in extracts by HPLC-method | The range of linearity of the calibration graph was 10.0 – 200.0 µg / ml, which corresponds to the content of diphenhydramine in the sample from 40.0 ng to 800.0 ng, respectively. To determine the amount of diphenhydramine, the equation was used S=0.60×10⁻³ C+0.64×10⁻³, the correlation coefficient was equal 0.9998. |

5. Discussion
The developed algorithm for the analysis of diphenhydramine in blood and urine had advantages over the previously indicated methods [15, 16]. The analysis was differed by its economy and universality for basic substances – the extraction of diphenhydramine from blood and urine was carried out with chloroform at pH 9.0.

At the stage of isolation of diphenhydramine, the destruction of bonds with proteins in an acidic medium was carried out, followed by purification of the extracts from impurities by different methods – extraction with hexane and TLC. The high degree of purification of extracts from impurities made it possible to obtain reliable and reproducible results according to metrological characteristics. It was found that the relative uncertainty of the average result in the analysis of diphenhydramine in biological fluids was 3.91–5.69 %, the relative standard deviation of the average result was within 1.40–2.04 %. Algorithms of the analysis of diphenhydramine using solvents n-hexane-dichloromethane-isopropanol (60:40:2) and chloroform-isobutanol (6:1) did not in-
Include multi-stage cleaning. So, when analyzing blood by HPLC method, only the guard column was used, which gave a significant scatter of the isolation results – 58.3 %, 70.1 %, 77.0 % [15, 16].

The advantage of using a unified HPLC technique was the ability to identify diphenhydramine by retention parameters and spectral ratios, which made the results accurate, reliable and reproducible. The use of a linear gradient elution mode made it possible to obtain symmetric, sharp in shape chromatographic peaks (the symmetry coefficient of the peaks did not exceed 2–2.5) and to carry out their reliable processing. The results of identification and quantification by HPLC were calculated using a computer program “MultiChrome” (CISC “Ampersend”, Moscow, Russia), which was included in the chromatograph.

The disadvantages of the developed analysis were the low degree of extraction of diphenhydramine – from blood 34.2–38.4 % and from urine – 55.8–60.5 % of the substance compared with the results given in the literature – 68.3 % from blood and 90.2 % from urine [15, 16]. These results are due to the lower extracting power of chloroform in comparison with mixtures of solvents with different polarities; losses during multi-stage purification of extracts from impurities. At the same time, the high degree of extraction of diphenhydramine from biological fluids, according to the literature data, could be due to the lack of effective purification, the influence of biogenic impurities, and getting false positive results.

Study limitations. In the course of our study, there were difficulties in providing the experiment with reagents, solvents and modern chromatographic equipment.

Prospects for further research. Chromatographic techniques can be recommended for implementation in practice of the Bureau of Forensic Medical Examination, poison control centers, clinical laboratories regarding the study of medicinal substances in biological objects.

6. Conclusions
Diphenhydramine was extracted with chloroform at pH 9.0 from blood and urine. Purification of extracts from co-extractive compounds was performed by combining TLC and extraction with hexane. It is established that when isolating diphenhydramine from blood according to the developed methods it is possible to allocate 34.2–38.4 % of substance (\(\bar{z} = \pm 5.69 \%, \text{RSD}_x = 2.04 \%\)) and from urine – 55.8–60.5 % of substance (\(\bar{z} = \pm 3.91 \%, \text{RSD}_x = 1.40 \%\)).

The method of TLC purification and identification of diphenhydramine in biogenic extracts was tested under optimal conditions: system of organic solvents – chloroform – methanol (90:10), location reagents – UV light, Dragendorf reagent in the Mounier modification, \(R_f\) diphenhydramine=0.52±0.03 (Sorbfil TLC-AF-A). To confirm the presence of diphenhydramine in biogenic extracts, the following chromatographic conditions were used: organic solvents systems – methanol, \(R_f\) diphenhydramine=0.56±0.03 (Sorbfil TLC-AF-A); methanol-25 % solution of ammonium hydroxide (100:1.5) \(R_f\) diphenhydramine=0.55±0.03 (Sorbfil TLC-AF-A), \(R_f\) diphenhydramine=0.55±0.03 (Sorbfil TLC-P-B-UV).

The unified HPLC method for identification and quantification of diphenhydramine was tested in biogenic extracts from urine and blood according to the developed algorithm of directed analysis. It was found that diphenhydramine can be identified by retention time – 20.28±0.02 min; retention volume 2028.32±0.32 μl; spectral ratios – 0.713; 0.305; 0.034; 0.023; 0.029; 0.001; 0.001. Equation was used to determine the diphenhydramine content \(S=0.60 \times 10^{-3}\ C+0.64 \times 10^{-3}\); the correlation coefficient was equal to 0.9989.

Chromatographic techniques can be recommended for implementation in practice of the Bureau of Forensic Medical Examination, poison control centers, clinical laboratories regarding the study of medicinal substances in biological objects.
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