Hemophilic Arthropathy: Barriers to Early Diagnosis and Management
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Abstract: Hemophilia is a congenital coagulopathy characterized by a deficiency of one of the clotting factors. It is characterized by the development of hematomas and hemarthrosis, either spontaneously or after minor trauma. The recurrence of hemarthroses leads to progressive and degenerative joint damage from childhood (hemophilic arthropathy). This arthropathy is characterized by disabling physical effects that limit the functionality and quality of life of these patients. Medical progress achieved over the last decade in the drug treatment of hemophilia has improved the medium and long-term prospects of patients with more effective and long-lasting drugs. The universal use of safer, more effective and prolonged prophylactic treatments may promote the prevention of bleeding, and also therefore, of the development of hemarthrosis and joint damage. A number of imaging instruments have been developed for the assessment of hemarthrosis and hemophilic arthropathy, using ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging and simple radiology. Different physical examination scores and questionnaires allow the assessment of joint health, self-perceived activity and functionality of patients with hemophilia. The approach to these patients should be interdisciplinary. Assessment of the processes that affect pain in these patients and the development of pain education models should be implemented. Expert advice and information to patients with hemophilia should be based on individual functional prevention diagnoses, advice on available therapies and sports practice, as well as health recommendations.
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Hemophilia. Overview and Clinical Manifestations

Hemophilia is a genetic bleeding disorder caused by a missing or defective clotting factor.1 Hemophilia is usually inherited via an affected X chromosome with a mutation of the FVIII or FIX gene. However, both genes are prone to new mutations and about 30% of all cases result from spontaneous genetic variants. Prospective studies have shown that more than 50% of newly diagnosed individuals with severe hemophilia have no family history of the disease.2 Depending on the missing clotting factor, it can be classified hemophilia A (factor VIII) or hemophilia B (factor IX). Both types of hemophilia can be classified according to the plasma level of existing clotting factor: mild (5–40%), moderate (1–5%) or severe (<1%).3 The severity of bleeding in patients with hemophilia is proportional to the amount of residual factor activity in the blood. In patients with severe hemophilia, bleeding may occur spontaneously or with minor trauma. In patients with moderate or mild hemophilia, bleeding episodes occur after minor surgical interventions, requiring immediate treatment to prevent complications.4

Hemophilia is considered a rare disease. The estimated prevalence for hemophilia A is 24.6:100,000 live births, and 5.0:100,000 live births for hemophilia B.5

Uncontrolled bleeding in critical organs can lead to death in patients with hemophilia. However, the main clinical manifestation is the development of hemarthrosis These intra-articular bleeds are more common in knees, ankles and elbows.1 Similarly, the risk of hemarthrosis increases in cases of severe hemophilia with minimal trauma.6
Repeated bleeding in the same joint eventually leads to synovial hypertrophy, hemosiderin deposits, cartilage destruction and changes in the structure of the subchondral bone. Such hemosiderin deposits can stimulate further synovial hypertrophy and swelling.\textsuperscript{7} Several in vitro and in vivo animal studies have shown how blood has a direct effect on cartilage due to the combination of mononuclear cells and red blood cells present in the blood.\textsuperscript{8} The development of hemarthrosis induces chondrocyte apoptosis, which alters the cartilage matrix renewal. Hypervascularization occurs on the synovial tissue, initially synoviocyte hypertrophy, increasing the risk of new bleeding events and inflammatory changes.\textsuperscript{9} These inflammatory changes contribute to cartilage damage through the production of tissue-destroying enzymes and cytokines\textsuperscript{10} leading to joint destruction. A recent study by Zheng et al\textsuperscript{11} has shown how iron is involved in cartilage injury through regulation of FGF23 and SOX9 expression in chondrocytes in patients with hemophilia who have developed hemarthrosis. A high level of COL-18N has been noted in patients with hemophilia A\textsuperscript{12}, which could be used as a potential marker to monitor the development of arthropathy, making it possible to adapt the best treatment to prevent further joint damage. The recurrence of hemarthrosis leads to the development of a degenerative and irreversible process known as hemophilic arthropathy.\textsuperscript{1,13} As a result, hemophilic arthropathy is accompanied by pain, loss of joint range and muscle atrophy, among other alterations.\textsuperscript{1} Figure 1 shows the joint damage process induced by the development of hemarthrosis.

The gold standard in the prevention of bleeding and hemophilic arthropathy is the intravenous prophylactic administration of the missing clotting factor.\textsuperscript{1} However, most patients with hemophilia worldwide can only access on-demand pharmacological treatment once bleeding has happened, with the consequent risk of joint damage.\textsuperscript{3} The main complication related to the treatment of hemophilia is the development of antibodies to clotting factor concentrates. These inhibitors react against the administered substitution factor, increasing the risk of serious bleeding and accelerating the degenerative damage caused by the recurrence of hemarthrosis.\textsuperscript{14}

Prophylactic regimens are customized depending on the hemorrhagic phenotype, the pharmacokinetics of FVIII/FIX or the physical activity performed by the patient.\textsuperscript{15} However, the short half-life of these factor VIII/FIX concentrates implies the need for frequent infusions. In addition, the limitations offered by the minimum blood levels of FVIII/FIX do not ensure complete protection against the development of hemarthrosis in the event of moderate-intensity trauma.\textsuperscript{16}

\textbf{Figure 1} The hemarthrosis-synovitis-hemarthrosis vicious circle in hemophilia.
In the last ten years, new FVIII/FIX concentrates have been designed to prolong their half-life: extended half-life treatment (EHL). This extended half-life can reduce the frequency of intravenous infusions by 30–35%, maintaining a higher minimum level of FVIII (3%) than with conventional products. Similarly, in patients with hemophilia B, a considerable increase in the terminal half-life has been described in pediatric subjects (median: 36.9 h) and over 13 years of age (median: 49.9 h).

Search Strategy
Clinical trials were searched in various electronic databases: PubMed/MEDLINE, Web of Science, Embase, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL). For the drafting of the review, articles were extracted using the following keywords and search strategies: (hemophilia OR haemophilia), (joint disease OR haemophilic arthropathy), (pain OR pain management), and (diagnosis OR evaluation OR approach). Boolean operators (AND, OR, NOT) were used in the search.

Limitations in the Physical and Functional Approach and Evaluation in Patients with Hemophilia
The clinical symptoms of acute haemarthrosis are characterized by a rapid swelling where patients usually notice initial symptoms of stiffness, tingling and pain. Their physical examination reveals typical signs of swelling, hotness, redness of the surrounding skin, joint strain, muscle contracture and limitation of mobility. As a result of the hemorrhagic process, the joint becomes positioned in an antalgic flexion position, compensating for intra-articular pressure to reduce pain. Acute hemarthrosis do not usually cause secondary changes in the synovial cells, so limitations do not usually persist and the joint returns to its normal state.

Considering the hemarthrosis recurrence pattern in patients with hemophilia, hemarthrosis tends to become chronic, generating chronic synovitis, inflammatory arthritis and progressive hemophilic arthropathy. This arthropathy is the cause of the progressive development of chronic pain, limitations in the range of motion and functional alterations causing disability in patients with hemophilia. Due to the serious sequelae of hemarthrosis in patients with hemophilia, early diagnosis and treatment is essential to minimize the evolution of arthropathy, with the functional and physical limitations that this process entails. Hence the importance of an early diagnosis and treatment in order to minimize the degenerative evolution to hemophilic arthropathy with the functional and physical limitations that such a process would entail.

The World Federation of Hemophilia has been recommending for years the assessment of the degree of joint injury using radiological scoring systems and orthopedic exploration. The use of diagnostic imaging techniques makes it possible to classify the joint degenerative process into different clinical and pathological stages. The aim is to detect joint changes, determine their severity and evaluate the follow-up of therapeutic effects. The most commonly used techniques are ultrasound, simple radiology and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Ultrasound is an inexpensive, easily available and excellent technique for determining the presence of soft tissue inflammation. In the same way, it allows detecting intra-articular fluid and evaluating the cartilage, being a highly useful tool for clinical follow-up and adapting treatments for bleeding and synovitis. Musculoskeletal ultrasound has shown high sensitivity in detecting low concentrations of intra-articular blood and in discriminating between bloody and non-bloody fluids. Thus, ultrasound is an ideal tool for the rapid detection of joint hemorrhage. Martinoli et al designed a simplified scoring system (HEAD-US) based on an additive scale to define the joint condition and offer a tool to assess the progression of the disease and monitor the outcome of treatment in follow-up studies. It has three markers for the main joints affected (knees, elbows and ankles): synovitis (score 0–2), cartilage (score 0–4) and subchondral bone (score 0–2), with a maximum score of 8 points per joint; the higher the score the more evolved the degenerative joint process. The literature has shown the importance of ultrasound in the early detection of arthropathic changes and its contribution to the clinical approach in patients with hemophilia. An association between the Joint tissue Activity and Damage Exam protocol and clinical and functional parameters has been observed, supporting the clinical value of musculoskeletal ultrasound as a complementary tool for the diagnosis and treatment of hemophilic arthropathy.
Simple radiology remains one of the most widely used methods for joint evaluation in hemophilic arthropathy, despite its limitations. Its main disadvantage is that in the initial stages it underestimates the degree of joint pathology and alterations in soft parts such as synovitis due to its low sensitivity for detecting problems in the synovial membrane and cartilage. Simple radiology should not be the method of choice for early assessment of the disease or to determine the evolution of a short-term treatment. Its main utility lies in the evaluation of adult patients with hemophilia who present with advanced joint pathology. The scoring system recommended by the WFH is the Pettersson system. It assesses 8 parameters with a maximum score of 13 points; each radiological change is assigned a score according to its severity. The higher the score, the poorer the joint condition.

MRI is the gold standard instrument for the study of joint changes in patients with hemophilia. It has been shown to be the most useful tool in the analysis of soft tissues and osteochondral alterations, present in the initial stages of hemophilic arthropathy. However, its high costs, its lower accessibility, the time required for its evaluation and its difficult applicability in children, limit its use and periodic follow-ups to determine the evolution of acute and chronic processes. The most widely accepted methods proposed for assessing joint damage with magnetic resonance imaging include the Denver scale and the European scale.

The Denver group created a 5-phase joint evaluation scale assessing the presence of effusion or hemarthrosis, synovial hypertrophy with hemosiderin deposits, presence of cysts, bone erosions and cartilage destruction with joint impingement. The score is determined on the basis of the most significant observable deterioration with a range of 0 to 10 points. For its part, the European classification collects information on similar criteria with a more detailed reading procedure. The evaluation criteria are the existence or not of subchondral cysts, erosion, chondral lesion, joint effusion or hemarthrosis, synovial hypertrophy and hemosiderin deposition. This additive scale has a range of 0 to 28 points where the higher the score, the greater the joint destruction.

In relation to the diagnosis of the degree of joint injury by orthopedic examination, the World Federation of Hemophilia considers the use of different tools.

The Hemophilia Joint Health Score (HJHS) version 2.1, evaluates the joint condition of knees, ankles and elbows, in patients with hemophilia. This scale was designed to assess joint deterioration in pediatric patients with hemophilia, although a study has recently been published that shows its validity in the adult population. This measuring instrument measures 8 items: Swelling, Duration of swelling, Muscle Atrophy and Strength, Crepitus, Mobility and Joint Pain. The scoring range of this additive scale is 0–20 points in each joint.

The Gilbert screening protocol is a tool recommended by the World Federation of Hemophilia for the measurement of joint deterioration. This protocol consists of 7 items linked to anatomical destruction, biomechanical alterations and deformity. Each item has a value of 0, 1 or 2 points, so that the final value is presented in a range between 0 and 12 points, in knee and ankle, and from 0 to 10 in elbow, a higher score indicating greater joint deterioration. Among its limitations we find that it may not be appropriate in the assessment of young children and in mild arthropathies as it is not very sensitive to slight joint changes.

The Hemophilia Activities List (HAL) is a questionnaire used for the measurement of participation and performance in daily activities of patients with hemophilia. With this instrument, the impact of the disease on self-perceived functional abilities in patients with hemophilia is evaluated, through 42 items grouped into seven domains: Lying down, sitting, kneeling and standing; Functions of the legs; Functions of the arms; Use of transportation; Self-care; Household tasks; and Leisure activities and sports. This scale has a score range from 0 to 100 points, where a low score indicates a greater impact of hemophilia on self-perceived functional abilities.

The Functional Independence Score in Hemophilia (FISH) is a questionnaire developed to measure the degree of disability in patients with hemophilia with three categories: Self-care (assesses the ability to perform basic activities of daily living; Transfers (assesses the ability to sit and stand); and Mobility (walking and step climbing). Eight activities (Eating; Dressing; Dressing; Transferring from chair; Squatting; Walking; Climbing stairs; and Running) are measured and scored from 1 to 4 with a maximum score of 32 points. The purpose of this instrument is to measure what the person with hemophilia can actually do, and not what he or she should be able to do or might be able to do if the circumstances were different. It is also used to evaluate the change or evolution of these patients over time or after a therapeutic intervention.
For its part, the Haemophilia & Exercise Project Test Questionnaire (HEP-Test-Q) is a measuring instrument that evaluates subjective physical performance. This Likert-like scale has five domains: Physical condition (comparing current physical activity to a year earlier), Mobility, Strength and coordination, Resistance and Body perception.

Figure 2 shows the main characteristics of the radiological and physical measuring instruments used in patients with hemophilia.

**Evaluation and Approach of Pain in Patients with Hemophilia**

The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) defines pain as an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with or similar to that associated with actual or potential tissue damage. Pain is recognized as a personal experience, influenced by biological, psychological and social factors. In the same way, it identifies nociception and pain, and addresses the learning of pain through life experience.

With this concept in mind, we must consider how to assess pain in a patient with hemophilia and how to treat it. Acute and chronic pain in patients with hemophilia has been evaluated with self-reporting tools. One-dimensional (analog or numerical) scales have been used to quantify the intensity of pain, and some non-specific multidimensional scales such as the McGill Pain Questionnaire or the Brief Pain Inventory.

Specific (Hemophilia-Specific Quality of Life Index [Haem-a-Qol]) and generic scales (36 and 12-Item Short Form Health Survey [SF-36 and SF-12]) have been used to assess the quality of life of these patients. Other scales used are those that evaluate determining psychosocial factors in the experience of pain, such as catastrophism (Pain catastrophizing scale), kinesiophobia or fear of movement (Tampa Scale of Kinesiophobia), or anxiety (State-Trait Anxiety inventory questionnaire [STAI]).

The Multidimensional Hemophilia Pain Questionnaire [MHPQ] is a specific scale for patients with hemophilia, developed from a biopsychosocial perspective. This scale evaluates different pain dimensions (location, duration, frequency, trigger factors, intensity, interference in the patient’s life, management strategies used by patients and by professionals, and satisfaction). Although this scale is very comprehensive, it does not assess other psychosocial aspects such as kinesiophobia or catastrophism.

The use of specific scales improves sensitivity with respect to the study population. However, they prevent comparing the situation of these patients with other populations with similar pathologies. This makes it difficult to find normative values in a population without pathology with which to compare results.

The use of these scales and questionnaires offer valuable information regarding the patient’s painful experience and should be part of the assessment process. However, they do not report aspects such as the functioning of the nervous system or the processing of information whose output is the patient’s pain. Neither do these scales assess the descending...
inhibitory mechanisms of the patient. It would further be important to establish if there is nociceptive information that is being perceived and relevant to the brain and its bodily representation.

The literature discloses multiple studies focused on the evaluation and treatment of pain in patients with hemophilia. By contrast, no evaluation models are proposed that assess the processes that influence pain. A number of clinical practice guidelines propose a treatment based on analgesics understanding pain explained by its intensity, and not as an experience influenced by brain inputs and outputs. Although Auerswald et al. pointed out the absence of a multidisciplinary approach by pain management specialists, much progress is still to be made. Health professionals treating patients with hemophilia should receive education in pain and this could facilitate a transition from the obsolete Cartesian stimulus-response approach to a biopsychosocial model, a more popular approach to pain today.

Acute pain in hemophilia is associated with the development of joint bleeding, although imaging techniques have shown how it can develop in the absence of hemarthrosis. Acute pain linked to hemarthrosis and, therefore, clearly having a nociceptive mechanism, is treated conservatively according to the RICE protocol (Rest, Ice, Compression, Elevation). This approach does not differ from that used in most acute injuries that involve bleeding or swelling. However, doubts have been raised on the use of ice due to its interference in the clotting process. Along with the administration of clotting factor replacement, the administration of analgesic drugs (paracetamol, acetaminophen, COX-2 inhibitors) and, where indicated, arthrocentesis is recommended to reduce bleeding as soon as possible.

The pharmacological approach to chronic pain includes the use of antidepressants, antiepileptic drugs, NSAIDS, opioids, and paracetamol. Ultimately, with the progression of the joint degenerative process, orthopedic surgery and the use of orthoses are widely supported therapeutic proposals. The above is useful when there are movement-related nociceptive mechanisms involved in the patient’s pain.

In terms of the physiotherapeutic approach, various therapeutic alternatives have been proposed. Passive and analgesic interventions, such as the use of laser, massage, heat or cold, have shown limited evidence in the approach to chronic pain. Approaches aimed at causing structural changes or addressing nociceptive or tissue mechanisms such as manual therapy or myofascial release have shown good results in the short and medium term. Similarly, interventions focused on tackling central mechanisms through educational programs, and the approach to the patient’s descending inhibitory mechanisms through aerobic exercise, and strength or proprioception have demonstrated relevant results.

However, different clinical guidelines and publications stress on the pharmacological approach to pain in patients with hemophilia, using analgesics and anti-inflammatory drugs. In the same way, physiotherapy is outlined as a therapeutic tool focusing on passive techniques, centered on the patient as a passive target. This approach limits the active role of the patient as an active participant necessary to achieve changes in the process and improve his or her painful experience. It should not be forgotten that psychosocial factors have an impact on the painful experience of hemophilia patients.

If chronic pain is understood as a disease in itself, and as something dysfunctional that will affect people’s lives, progress must be made in the treatment of patients with hemophilia. Future research should consider the treatment of chronic pain in patients with hemophilia accounting for the mechanisms involved in the patient’s pain. A summary of the approach to pain in patients with hemophilia is shown in Figure 3.

Quality of Life and Psychosocial Factors in Patients with Hemophilia

The World Health Organization defines quality of life as “an individual’s perception of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns”. In the same way as pharmacological treatment is needed, objective health measures are required in aspects such as the joint condition and the quality of life of hemophilia patients. In recent decades, the assessment of quality of life has increasingly focused on the inclusion of items and domains that assess the doctor-patient relationship, in order to quantify the impact of the disease and its treatment. Over time, generic and specific hemophilia questionnaires have been designed and validated, which measure the impact of the disease and treatment from the patient’s perspective.
The psychosocial predictors of patients with hemophilia have a greater influence on the quality of life assessment than the clinical predictors. However, psychosocial treatment is deficient and poorly implemented, even in countries where pharmacological treatment is novel. The severity phenotype of the pathology affects the quality of life of patients with hemophilia A and B, showing a poorer quality of life in patients with severe hemophilia, compared to those with mild or moderate phenotypes. Patients with hemophilia who report pain have limitations in their mobility and autonomy, with increased anxiety, poorer quality of life and frustration due to restrictions in the performance of activities of daily living. However, therapeutic advances in the approach to patients with hemophilia and the generalization of prophylactic treatment have allowed to improve life expectancy and health-related quality of life in these patients. The development of hemophilic arthropathy and joint pain are predictors of a deteriorated perception of physical health limiting the type of work and activities in these patients, especially when the lower limbs are involved, causing a high prevalence of mental health disorders. The clinical situation and psychosocial variables affect the perceived quality of life of patients with hemophilia. The absence of a relationship between the patients’ joint condition and their perceived quality of life prompts the need to investigate the effectiveness of interventions aimed at improving quality of life.

The literature that addresses other psychosocial factors such as catastrophism or kinesiophobia in patients with hemophilia is limited, thus limiting the knowledge of these factors and their possible approach. Kinesiophobia, catastrophism and anxiety are determining factors in the painful experience in patients with chronic pain and their approach may be key for the treatment of patients with hemophilia. Therefore, addressing the psychosocial factors that affect pain should be a priority for the multidisciplinary team, to establish the association between intensity of pain and functionality.

**New Perspectives in the Approach and Management of Patients with Hemophilia**

The development of extended-life factors and strategies to replace the function of procoagulant factors through drugs administered subcutaneously, have meant a paradigm shift in the treatment of hemophilia. In the near future, gene therapy,
which has shown a long-lasting effect for increasing the levels of the missing factor, poses a favorable horizon towards the
reduction and even elimination of hemorrhagic symptoms. Although gene therapy with adeno-associated vectors (AAV)
has shown some extent of efficacy in patients with hemophilia A, it is somewhat limited due to AAV-induced cellular stress,
immunogenicity and lower durability of gene expression. Recently, Kaminski et al linked altered liver-targeted gene
transfer to maladaptive structural changes of the hepatic endothelium associated with FVIII deficiency in mice. Despite the
limitations of the predictive value of preclinical immunogenicity testing, progress has been made in developing the
principles of safe and effective genetic drug design in animal models. In June 2022, the European Medicines Agency
has recommended granting a conditional marketing authorization in the European Union for roxaparvovec valoctocogene
gene therapy for the treatment of severe hemophilia A in adults who do not have factor VIII inhibitors or antibodies against
adeno-associated virus serotype 5 (AAV5). Several clinical trials are currently investigating the safety and efficacy of gene
therapy in hemophilia A (NCT05454774) and hemophilia B (NCT05203679). These advances can change the natural
history of the disease and, consequently, the clinical manifestations of hemophilia. This promising future in terms of the
significant reduction of muscle and joint bleeding will result in the improvement of the perceived quality of life in these
patients.

These changes in the medical approach mean that the future management of hemophilic arthropathy will likewise
change radically. In many cases arthropathy development will be minor, if at all, being similar to that present in the
general population. Therefore, the therapeutic possibilities are expected to be greater and more effective in pediatric and
young patients in whom a deterioration of the locomotor system has not yet been established, and who will benefit from
these new therapies.

Faced with this paradigm shift, future clinical strategies should be oriented in two directions: a) adult patients who are
over the 30 years old and who have hemophilic arthropathy and, b) younger patients, beneficiaries of the new treatments
and who have not developed arthropathy or to a minor extent.

In the case of patients with an already established arthropathy, we must refer to the existing scientific literature to follow
the guidelines for safe and effective therapeutic programs. These should be aimed at controlling the symptomatology related
to pain, joint mobility, decreased functionality and quality of life. The effectiveness of laser combined with exercise and
hydrotherapy and dry exercise has been proven, in relation to the management of joint pain. Similarly, physical exercise can
promote a reduction in pain perception, improving range of motion and muscle strength in patients with hemophilia. Other
physiotherapy approaches, aimed at tackling tissue damage through myofascial therapy, joint traction and home
exercises. They have also shown their safety and efficacy in decreasing the frequency of hemorrhrosis, improving range of
motion and perceived joint pain.

As noted for the evaluation and management of pain, the patient’s psychosocial and individual aspects should give
him or her an active role in the process of changing the painful experience. This consideration, together with suitable
education in pain based on neuroscience, offers a new therapeutic perspective in the management of chronic pain. In this
way, the approach to hemophilic arthropathy would follow the assumptions already noted for other osteoarthritides
processes. Similarly, improvements have been identified in psychosocial variables related to pain catastrophizing and
kinesiophobia. García-Dasi et al observed an improvement in patients’ pain after a cognitive behavioral therapy
approach, although further studies are needed to provide more information on this type of approach.

In the younger population groups, linked to the new drug treatments, a preventive approach associated with suitable
education and counseling for the patient and their family environment is proposed. Promoting healthy habits and
physical activity in children with hemophilia is essential at each stage of development and growth. In the postnatal
period, adequate psychomotor stimulation should be used to favor the child’s motor and social skills. In preschool and
childhood it is recommended to encourage play and exploration of activities that stimulate and promote movement. An
interest in physical or sports activity is generated in school children, at which time the relevant decisions must be
analyzed and made in relation to the type of activity to be carried out. In this section it is essential that the
interdisciplinary team assesses to what extent the corresponding prophylactic measures favor the hematological
coverage of these patients.

These approaches are of great importance. Patients with hemophilia show an increased risk of low bone mass during
childhood, due to reduced physical activity and other factors such as increased urinary calcium loss and impaired bone
metabolism. These metabolic alterations predispose subjects to osteoporosis later on in life.\textsuperscript{82} This phenomenon, together with lower vitamin D levels compared to the general population,\textsuperscript{83} is a risk factor in young people with hemophilia and may contribute to increased skeletal fragility.

A low level of physical activity is a risk factor for the reduction of lumbar and coxofemoral bone mass in people with hemophilia,\textsuperscript{84} and can be prevented through physical activity from childhood.\textsuperscript{85} In this population it is essential to educate in order to avoid sedentary lifestyle and obesity, which act as negative stimuli for the locomotor system. In many cases, this inactivity can be reinforced by the family environment through overprotective behaviors and hypervigilance. Physical activity is effective for improving the musculoskeletal clinical symptoms of hemophilia, with a low incidence of related adverse events. Similarly, physical activity can improve endogenous clotting factors after high-intensity activities that may reduce the risk of bleeding in patients with mild and moderate hemophilia,\textsuperscript{60} as well as prothrombin time in adults with hemophilia,\textsuperscript{86} with greater changes in those individuals presenting elevated prothrombin time during exercise.

Expert advice and information to patients with hemophilia should be based on individual functional prevention diagnoses, advice on available therapies and sports practice, and health recommendations. In this way, the safety and effectiveness of the activity and physical fitness of these patients can be improved, without increasing the bleeding rate and maintaining joint function.\textsuperscript{87} It is recommended that such advice, the prescription of therapeutic exercise and the recommendation on sports activities, be guided by a physiotherapist of reference within the framework of the interdisciplinary group. However, it is essential that this measure be taken in consensus with the patient and his or her family. For this to be successful, it is essential to consider the specific features of the activity, offering all the available information to guarantee safety, and promote the prevention of exercise-related bleeding. This advice can be based on that guidelines provided by Bérubé et al\textsuperscript{88} who pointed out that behaviors, attitudes and subjective rules present in the educational and formative processes of children largely explain the intention to engage in physical activity in the future. Figure 4 shows a summary of the new perspectives in the approach and management of patients with hemophilia.

![Figure 4](https://doi.org/10.2147/JBM.S343924)

**Figure 4** New perspectives in the approach and management of patients with hemophilia.
Conclusions
Progress made in the pharmacological treatment of patients with hemophilia has significantly improved the life prospects of these patients. The interdisciplinary approach should focus on the total prevention of hemarthrosis and of the development of hemophilic arthropathy. In children and adolescents, the chances of success in the prevention of joint damage is favored by recent medical progress. For patients with already established hemophilic arthropathy, it is necessary to change the therapeutic model, with the patients actively participating in their treatment. The adoption of an active role by patients can facilitate a better control of the disability associated with hemophilic arthropathy and their quality of life.
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