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Abstract: This paper is concerned with a type of time-symmetric stochastic system, namely the so-called forward–backward doubly stochastic differential equations (FBDSDEs), in which the forward equations are delayed doubly stochastic differential equations (SDEs) and the backward equations are anticipated backward doubly SDEs. Under some monotonicity assumptions, the existence and uniqueness of measurable solutions to FBDSDEs are obtained. The future development of many processes depends on both their current state and historical state, and these processes can usually be represented by stochastic differential systems with time delay. Therefore, a class of nonzero sum differential game for doubly stochastic systems with time delay is studied in this paper. A necessary condition for the open-loop Nash equilibrium point of the Pontriagin-type maximum principle are established, and a sufficient condition for the Nash equilibrium point is obtained. Furthermore, the above results are applied to the study of nonzero sum differential games for linear quadratic backward doubly stochastic systems with delay. Based on the solution of FBDSDEs, an explicit expression of Nash equilibrium points for such game problems is established.
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1. Introduction

In 1994, Pardoux and Peng [1] put forward the following backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSEs):

\[ p(t) = \xi + \int_t^T F(s, p(s), q(s)) \, ds + \int_t^T G(s, p(s), q(s)) \, dB(s) - \int_t^T q(s) \, dW(s), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \] (1)

which can be applied to produce a probabilistic expression of certain quasilinear stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs). Because of its importance to SPDEs, the interest in BDSDEs has increased considerably (see [2–15]). At the same time, the stochastic control problem of backward doubly stochastic systems has been studied extensively (see [16–21]).
In 2003, Peng and Shi [22] introduced the following time-symmetric fully coupled forward–backward stochastic systems:

\[
\begin{aligned}
    y(t) &= x + \int_0^t f(s, p(s), y(s), q(s), z(s)) ds - \int_0^t z(s) dB(s) \\
    &\quad + \int_0^t g(s, p(s), y(s), q(s), z(s)) dW(s), \\
    p(t) &= \Phi(y(t)) + \int_t^T F(s, p(s), y(s), q(s), z(s)) ds - \int_t^T q(s) dW(s) \\
    &\quad + \int_t^T G(s, p(s), y(s), q(s), z(s)) dB(s),
\end{aligned}
\]

(2)

which are the so-called forward–backward doubly stochastic differential equations (FBDSDEs). The forward and backward equations in Equation (2) are the BDSDE in Equation (1) with stochastic integrals in different directions. Therefore, the FBDSDE in Equation (2) is established to provide a more general framework of fully coupled forward–backward stochastic differential equations. Under some monotone assumptions, Peng and Shi [22] obtained the unique solvability of FBDSDEs (2). Zhu et al. [23,24] have extended the results in [22] to different dimensions and the weaker monotonic assumptions, and gave the probabilistic interpretation for the solutions to SPDEs combined with algebra equations. Zhang and Shi [25] and Shi and Zhu [26] studied the stochastic control problem of FBDSDEs.

Game theory has penetrated into economic theory and attracted more and more research. It was first proposed by Von Neumann and Morgenstern [27]. Nash [28] has done groundbreaking work on non-cooperative games and presents the concept of a classic Nash equilibrium. Zhao et al. [29] studied the optimal investment and reinsurance of insurers in default securities under a mean-variance criterion in the jump-diffusion risk model. Many papers on stochastic differential game problems driven by backward stochastic differential equations have been published (see [30–32]). The differential game problem for forward–backward doubly stochastic differential equations was addressed in [33]. However, the future evolution of a lot of processes depends not only on their current state, but also on their historical state, and these processes can usually be characterized by stochastic differential equations with time delay. The optimal control problem for stochastic differential equations with delay was discussed in [34–39]. The nonzero sum differential game of the stochastic differential delay equation was studied in [40,41]. Shen and Zeng [42] researched the optimal investment and reinsurance with time delay for insurers under a mean-variance criterion.

The extra noise \( \{B(t)\} \) in Equation (1) can be regarded as some additional financial information that is not disclosed to the public in practice, such as in the derivative securities market, but is available to some investors. Arriojas et al. [43] and Kazmerchuk et al. [44] obtained the option pricing formula with time delay based on the stock price process with time delay. As far as we know, there is little discussion about differential games of doubly stochastic systems with delay. In this article, we will discuss this direction, that is, the following nonzero sum differential game driven by doubly stochastic systems with time delay. The control system is

\[
\begin{aligned}
    &dy(t) = f(t, y(t), z(t), y_1(t), z_1(t), v_1(t), v_2(t))dt - z(t) dB(t) \\
    &\quad + g(t, y(t), z(t), y_1(t), z_1(t), v_1(t), v_2(t)) dW(t), \ t \in [0, T], \\
    y(t) &= \psi(t), \ z(t) = \tilde{\psi}(t), \ t \in [-\delta, 0],
\end{aligned}
\]

where \((y(\cdot), z(\cdot)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d \times d\) is the state process pair, \(0 < \delta < T\) is a constant time delay parameter, and \(y_{\delta}(t) = y(t - \delta), z_{\delta}(t) = z(t - \delta)\). We denote \(f_1(\cdot, \cdot)\) and \(f_2(\cdot, \cdot)\), \(v_1(\cdot) = (v_1^1(\cdot), v_2^1(\cdot))\), which are the cost functionals corresponding to the players 1 and 2:

\[
\begin{aligned}
    f_i(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)) &= \mathbb{E}\left\{ \int_0^T f_i(t, y(t), z(t), y_{\delta}(t), z_{\delta}(t), v_1(t), v_2(t)) dt + \Phi_i(y(T)) \right\}, \ i = 1, 2.
\end{aligned}
\]
Our task is to find \((u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U}_2\) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
J_1(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) &= \min_{v_1(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_1} J_1(v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)), \\
J_2(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) &= \min_{v_2(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_2} J_2(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)).
\end{align*}
\]

To figure out the above nonzero sum differential game problem, it is natural to involve the adjoint equation, which is a kind of anticipated BDSDE (see [45, 46]). It is therefore necessary to explore the following general FBDSDE with the forward equation being a delayed doubly SDE and the backward equation being the anticipated BDSDE:
\[
\begin{align*}
dy(t) &= f(t, y(t), p(t), z(t), q(t), y_\delta(t), z_\delta(t))dt - z(t) \overrightarrow{d} B(t) \\
&\quad + g(t, y(t), p(t), z(t), q(t), y_\delta(t), z_\delta(t)) \overrightarrow{d} W(t), \ t \in [0, T], \\
-dp(t) &= F(t, y(t), p(t), z(t), q(t), p_{\delta+}(t), q_{\delta+}(t))dt - q(t) \overrightarrow{d} W(t) \\
&\quad + G(t, y(t), p(t), z(t), q(t), p_{\delta+}(t), q_{\delta+}(t)) \overrightarrow{d} B(t), \ t \in [0, T], \\
y(t) &= \psi(t), \ t \in [-\delta, 0], \\
z(t) &= \xi(t), \ t \in [-\delta, 0], \\
p(T) &= \Phi(y(T)), \ p(t) = \xi(t), \ t \in [T, T + \delta], \\
q(t) &= \eta(t), \ t \in [T, T + \delta],
\end{align*}
\]
where \(y_\delta(t) = y(t - \delta), z_\delta = z(t - \delta), p_{\delta+}(t) = p(t + \delta), q_{\delta+} = q(t + \delta)\).

Our work differs from the above in the following distinctions. First of all, we introduce a time-symmetric stochastic system, which generalizes the results in [22] to a more general case: forward doubly stochastic differential equations (SDEs) with delay as forward equations and anticipated backward doubly stochastic differential equations as backward equations. Secondly, we investigate the problem of a nonzero sum differential game driven by doubly stochastic systems with time delay, which enriches the types of stochastic delayed differential game problems. Finally, we explore the linear quadratic (LQ) games for a doubly stochastic system with time delay, and use the solution of the above general FBDSDE to give an explicit expression of the unique equilibrium point.

The structure of this paper is as follows. We give the framework of the doubly stochastic games with delay and a preliminary view on the general FBDSDE in Section 2. We set up a necessary condition for the open-loop Nash equilibrium of such games to form a Pontryagin maximum principle in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the verification theorem of a sufficient condition for Nash equilibrium. In order to visually demonstrate the above results, the nonzero sum differential game for LQ double stochastic delay systems is studied in Section 5. By using the results of our FBDSDE, the explicit representation of Nash equilibrium points for LQ game problems is obtained. For the convenience of the reader, we present the skeleton of the proof on uniqueness and existence for the general FBDSDE in Section 6. Finally, we conclude this article with a summary.

2. Formulation of Problems and Preliminaries

2.1. Notations and Formulation of Problems

Suppose \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\) is a probability space, and \([0, T]\) is a fixed arbitrarily large time duration throughout this paper. Let \(\{W(t); 0 \leq t \leq T\}\) and \(\{B(t); 0 \leq t \leq T\}\) be two mutually independent standard Brownian motions defined on \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\). For each \(t \in [0, T]\), we define \(\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^B\), where \(\mathcal{F}_t^W = \mathcal{N} \vee \sigma\{W(r) - W(0); 0 \leq r \leq t\}\), \(\mathcal{F}_t^B = \mathcal{N} \vee \sigma\{B(T) - B(r); t \leq r \leq T\}\). Note that the collection \(\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]\}\) is neither increasing nor decreasing, and it does not produce a filtration. \(\mathbb{E}\) denotes the expectation on \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\). \(\mathbb{E}^\mathcal{F}_t := \mathbb{E}[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_t]\) denotes the conditional expectation under \(\mathcal{F}_t\). We use the usual inner product \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) and Euclidean norm \(|\cdot|\) in \(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}\) and \(\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}\). The symbol "\(\tau^n\)" that appears on the superscript indicates the transpose of the matrix. All the equations and
inequalities mentioned in this paper are in the sense of $dt \times d\mu$ almost surely on $[0, T] \times \Omega$. We introduce the following notations:

$$L^2(F_T; \mathbb{R}^n) = \{ \xi : \xi \text{ is an } \mathbb{R}^n\text{-valued, } F_T\text{-measurable random variable s.t. } \mathbb{E}[|\xi|^2] < \infty \},$$

$$L^2_2(0, T; \mathbb{R}) = \{ v(t), 0 \leq t \leq T : v(t) \text{ is an } \mathbb{R}^n\text{-valued, } F_T\text{-measurable process } \text{s.t. } \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |v(t)|^2 dt < \infty \}.$$ 

We take into account the following controlled doubly stochastic differential systems with delay:

$$\begin{align*}
dy(t) &= f(t, y(t), z(t), y_\delta(t), z_\delta(t), v_1(t), v_2(t)) dt - z(t) \, dB(t) \\
y(t) &= \phi(t), \quad z(t) = \psi(t), \quad t \in [-\delta, 0],
\end{align*}$$

where $(y(\cdot), z(\cdot)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is the state process pair, $0 < \delta < T$ is a constant time delay parameter, and $y_\delta(t) = y(t - \delta), z_\delta(t) = z(t - \delta)$. Here, $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are given functions, and $\phi(\cdot), \psi(\cdot) \in L_2^2(\mathbb{R})$ are the initial paths of $y, z$, respectively.

Let $U_i$ be a nonempty convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $v_i(\cdot)$ be the control process of player $i, i = 1, 2$. We denote by $U_i$ the set of $U_i$-valued control processes $v_i \in L_2^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and it is called the admissible control set for player $i, i = 1, 2$. Each element of $U_i$ is called an (open-loop) admissible control for player $i, i = 1, 2$. In addition, $U = U_1 \times U_2$ is called the set of admissible controls for the two players.

We assume that

**Hypothesis 1 (H1).** $f$ and $g$ are continuously differentiable with respect to $(y, y_\delta, z, z_\delta, v_1, v_2)$, and their partial derivatives are bounded.

Now, if both $v_1(\cdot)$ and $v_2(\cdot)$ are admissible controls, and assumption (H1) holds, then the doubly stochastic differential equation with delay (3) admits a unique solution $(y(\cdot), z(\cdot)) \in L_2^2(\mathbb{R}) \times L_2^2(\mathbb{R})$ (see [20]). The two players have their own benefits, which are described by the cost functional

$$J_i(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T l_i(t, y(t), z(t), y_\delta(t), z_\delta(t), v_1(t), v_2(t)) dt + \Phi_i(y(T)) \right\},$$

where $l_i : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\Phi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are given functions, $i = 1, 2$.

We also assume

**Hypothesis 2 (H2).** $l_i$ is continuously differentiable in $(y, z, y_\delta, z_\delta, v_1, v_2)$, its partial derivatives are continuous in $(y, z, y_\delta, z_\delta, v_1, v_2)$ and bounded by $c(1 + |y| + |z| + |y_\delta| + |z_\delta| + |v_1| + |v_2|)$. Moreover, $\Phi_i(y)$ is continuously differentiable in $y$ and $\Phi_{\beta i}(y)$ is bounded by $c(1 + |y|)$.

Assume that each participant wants to minimize her/his cost functional $J_i(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot))$ by selecting an appropriate admissible control $v_i(\cdot)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then the problem is to find a pair of admissible controls $(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) \in U_1 \times U_2$ such that

$$\begin{align*}
J_1(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) &= \min_{v_1(\cdot) \in U_1} J_1(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)), \\
J_2(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) &= \min_{v_2(\cdot) \in U_2} J_2(u_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)).
\end{align*}$$

(4)
We call the above problem a doubly stochastic differential game with time delay. For simplicity’s sake, let us write it as Problem (A). If we can find an admissible control \( u(\cdot) = (u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) \) satisfying Equation (4), then we call it an equilibrium point of Problem (A) and denote the corresponding state trajectory by \((y(\cdot), z(\cdot)) = (y^u(\cdot), z^u(\cdot))\).

2.2. The General FBDSDE

We deal with the following general FBDSDE, in which the forward equation is a delayed doubly SDE, and the backward equation is the anticipated BDSDE:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{dy}(t) &= f(t, y(t), p(t), z(t), q(t), y_\delta(t), z_\delta(t))dt - z_\delta(t) \, dB(t) \\
- dp(t) &= F(t, y(t), p(t), z(t), q(t), p_\delta(t), q_\delta(t))dt - q_\delta(t) \, dW(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \\
y(t) &= \phi(t), \quad t \in [-\delta, 0], \\
z(t) &= \psi(t), \quad t \in [-\delta, 0], \\
p(T) &= \Phi(y(T)) \\
q(T) &= \eta(t), \quad t \in [T, T + \delta],
\end{align*}
\]

where \(y_\delta(t) = y(t - \delta), z_\delta(t) = z(t - \delta), p_\delta(t) = p(t + \delta), q_\delta(t) = q(t + \delta),\) and

\[
\begin{align*}
F & : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, \\
f & : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \\
G & : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m \times l}, \\
g & : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \\
\Phi & : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m.
\end{align*}
\]

Given an \(m \times n\) full-rank matrix \(H\). Let us introduce some notation:

\[
u = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ p \\ z \\ q \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} y_\delta(\cdot) \\ p_\delta(\cdot) \\ z_\delta(\cdot) \\ q_\delta(\cdot) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \mu \\ \beta \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}, \quad A(t, u, \alpha, \mu, \beta, \nu) = \begin{pmatrix} -H^T F(t, u, \alpha, \mu, \beta, \nu) \\ Hf(t, u, \alpha, \beta) \\ -H^T G(t, u, \alpha, \beta) \\ Hg(t, u, \alpha, \beta) \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(H^T G = (H^T G_1 \cdots H^T G_l)\) and \(H G = (H G_1 \cdots H G_d)\).

Similar to \([23, 35, 47]\), we present the definition of solution to FBDSDEs (5) as follows:

\textbf{Definition 1.} A quadruple of \(\mathcal{F}_t\)-measurable stochastic processes \((y, p, z, q) : \Omega \times [-\delta, T] \times [0, T + \delta] \times [-\delta, T] \times [0, T + \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}\) is called a solution of FBDSDE (5), if \((y, p, z, q) \in L_2^T(-\delta, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \times L_2^T(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L_2^T(-\delta, T; \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}) \times L_2^T(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^{m \times d})\) and satisfies FBDSDE (5).

We suppose the following Assumption (H3) holds:

\textbf{Hypothesis 3 (H3).}

(i) \( \int_0^T \langle A(t, u, \alpha, \mu, \beta, \nu) - A(t, \bar{u}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\nu}), u - \bar{u} \rangle dt \leq \int_0^T (\mu_1 |H(y - g)|^2 + |H(z - \bar{z})|^2) - \mu_2 \left( |H^T (p - \bar{p})|^2 + |H^T (q - \bar{q})|^2 \right) dt \), \quad \forall u = (y, p, z, q), \ \bar{u} = (\bar{g}, \bar{p}, \bar{z}, \bar{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d};

(ii) \( \Phi(y) - \Phi(g) \geq \beta_1 |H(y - g)|^2, \forall y, g \in \mathbb{R}^n; \)

where \(\mu_1, \mu_2\) and \(\beta_1, \beta_2\) are given non-negative constants with \(\mu_1 + \mu_2 > 0\) and \(\mu_2 + \beta_1 > 0\). Moreover we have \(\mu_1 > 0, \beta_1 > 0\) (resp., \(\mu_2 > 0\)) when \(m > n\) (resp., \(m < n\));
(iii) For each $u, a, \mu, \beta, v, A(\cdot, u, a, \mu, \beta, v)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable vector process defined on $[0, T]$ with $A(\cdot, 0) \in L^2_T(0, T)$, and for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \Phi(y)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable random vector with $\Phi(0) \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^m)$;
(iv) $A(t, u, a, \mu, \beta, v)$ and $\Phi$ satisfy the Lipschitz conditions: there exist constants $k > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that, for all $t, u, a, \alpha, \mu, \beta, v, \forall t \in [0, T], \quad [f(t, u, a, \beta) - f(t, a, \alpha)] \leq k |u - a| + |\alpha - \beta|,$

$[F(t, u, a, \beta) - F(t, a, \alpha)] \leq k |u - a| + E^T |\mu - \beta|, \quad [G(t, u, a, \beta) - G(t, a, \alpha)] \leq k |u - a| + |\alpha - \beta|,$

$[G(t, u, a, \beta) - G(t, a, \alpha)] \leq k |u - a| + E^T |\mu - \beta| + \lambda (|z - z| + |\beta - \beta|), \quad |\Phi(y) - \Phi(\hat{y})| \leq k |y - \hat{y}|.$

By the similar method of [23, 35, 47], we can prove the following Theorem 1. For the convenience of the reader, we present the skeleton of the proof in Section 6.

**Theorem 1.** Under assumption (H3), then FBDSDE (5) has a unique solution $(y, p, z, q) \in L^2_T(-\delta, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2_T(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_T(-\delta, T; \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \times L^2_T(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}).$

### 3. Necessary Maximum Principle

For convex admissible control sets, the classical method to obtain the necessary optimality condition is the convex perturbation method. Let $u(\cdot) = (u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot))$ be an equilibrium point of Problem (A) and $(y(\cdot), z(\cdot))$ be the corresponding optimal trajectory. Let $(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot))$ be such that $(u_1(\cdot) + v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot) + v_2(\cdot)) \in U_1 \times U_2.$ Since $U_1$ and $U_2$ are convex, for any $0 \leq \rho \leq 1, (u_{1,\rho}(\cdot), u_{2,\rho}(\cdot)) = (u_1(\cdot) + \rho v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot) + \rho v_2(\cdot))$ is also in $U_1 \times U_2.$

As illustrated before, we denote by $(y^{u_1}(\cdot), z^{u_1}(\cdot))$ and $(y^{u_2}(\cdot), z^{u_2}(\cdot))$ the corresponding state trajectories of the game system in Equation (3) along with the controls $(u_{1,\rho}(\cdot), u_{2,\rho}(\cdot))$ and $(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)).$

For convenience, we use the following notations throughout this paper:

$$q(t) = q(t, y(t), z(t), y_1(t), z_1(t), u_1(t), u_2(t)),$$
$$q^{u_1}(t) = q(t, y(t), z(t), y^{u_1}(t), z^{u_1}(t), u_1(t), u_2(t)),$$
$$q^{u_2}(t) = q(t, y(t), z(t), y^{u_2}(t), z^{u_2}(t), u_1(t), u_2(t)),$$

where $q$ means one of $f, g, l, i = 1, 2.$

We bring in the following variational equation:

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{dq_1^i(t)}{dt} &= \left[f_1(t)y_1^i(t) + f_2(t)z_1^i(t) + f_3(t)y_2^i(t) + f_4(t)z_2^i(t) + f_5(t)v_1(t)\right]dt \\
&\quad + [g_1(t)y_1^i(t) + g_2(t)z_1^i(t) + g_3(t)y_2^i(t) + g_4(t)z_2^i(t) + g_5(t)v_1(t)]dW(t) \\
&\quad - z_1^i(t) \frac{d}{dt} B(t), \\
y_1^i(t) &= 0, z_1^i(t) = 0, t \in [-\delta, 0], (i = 1, 2).
\end{align*}$$

By (H1) and Theorem 3.1.1 in [20], it is easy to see that there is a unique adapted solution to Equation (6).

For $t \in [0, T), \rho > 0,$ we set

$$y^{u_1}_i(t) = \frac{q^{u_1}(t)}{\rho} - y^i(t),$$
$$z^{u_1}_i(t) = \frac{z^{u_1}(t)}{\rho} - z^i(t), \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

We have the following:
Lemma 1. Let the hypotheses (H1) and (H2) be true. Then, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E}|g_i^\rho(t)|^2 = 0,$$

(7)

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |z_i^\rho(t)|^2 dt = 0.$$  

(8)

Proof of Lemma 1. For $i = 1$, we have

$$\begin{align*}
\text{d}g_i^\rho(t) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho} \left( f_i^\rho(t) - f(t) \right) - f_y(t) y_i^\rho(t) - f_z(t) z_i^\rho(t) - f_y(t) y_i^\rho(t) y_i^\rho(t) \right. \\
&\quad - f_z(t) z_i^\rho(t) - f_{\gamma_1}(t) \nu_1(t) \right\} dt \\
&\quad + \rho \left( g_i^\rho(t) - g(t) \right) - g_y(t) y_i^\rho(t) - g_z(t) z_i^\rho(t) - g_{\gamma_1}(t) y_i^\rho(t) \\
&\quad - g_{\gamma_1}(t) z_i^\rho(t) - g_{\gamma_1}(t) \nu_1(t) \left\} \mathbb{L} W(t) - z_i^\rho(t) \mathbb{L} B(t), \\
\hat{g}_i^\rho(t) &= 0, \ z_i^\rho(t) = 0, \ t \in [-\delta, 0], \\
\end{align*}$$

or

$$\begin{align*}
\text{d} \hat{g}_i^\rho(t) &= \left\{ [A_i^\rho(t, \cdot) \hat{g}_i^\rho(t) + A_i^\rho(t, \cdot) \nu_1^\rho(t) + B_i^\rho(t, \cdot) \nu_1^\rho(t) + C_i^\rho(t, \cdot) \nu_1^\rho(t) + D_i^\rho(t, \cdot) \nu_1^\rho(t)] dt \\
&\quad + [C_i^\rho(t, \cdot) \hat{g}_i^\rho(t) + C_i^\rho(t, \cdot) \nu_1^\rho(t) + D_i^\rho(t, \cdot) \nu_1^\rho(t)] \mathbb{L} W(t) - z_i^\rho(t) \mathbb{L} B(t), \\
\hat{g}_i^\rho(t) &= 0, \ z_i^\rho(t) = 0, \ t \in [-\delta, 0], \\
\end{align*}$$

where we denote

$$\begin{align*}
A_i^\rho(t) &= \int_0^1 f_y(t, y_1(t) + \lambda(y_i^\rho(t) - y(t)), z_i^\rho(t), u_i^\rho(t), u_2(t)) d\lambda, \\
B_i^\rho(t) &= \int_0^1 f_z(t, y(t), z_1(t) + \lambda(z_i^\rho(t) - z(t)), y_i^\rho(t), z_i^\rho(t), u_i^\rho(t), u_2(t)) d\lambda, \\
A_i^\rho(t) &= \int_0^1 f_y(t, y(t), z(t), y_1(t)) + \lambda(y_i^\rho(t) + y_i^\rho(t)) z_i^\rho(t), u_i^\rho(t), u_2(t)) d\lambda, \\
B_i^\rho(t) &= \int_0^1 f_z(t, y(t), z(t), y_1(t) + \lambda(z_i^\rho(t) + z_i^\rho(t)) u_i^\rho(t), u_2(t)) d\lambda, \\
C_i^\rho(t) &= \int_0^1 g_y(t, y_1(t) + \lambda(y_i^\rho(t) - y(t)), z_i^\rho(t), y_i^\rho(t), z_i^\rho(t), u_i^\rho(t), u_2(t)) d\lambda, \\
D_i^\rho(t) &= \int_0^1 g_z(t, y(t), z(t) + \lambda(z_i^\rho(t) - z(t)), y_i^\rho(t), z_i^\rho(t), u_i^\rho(t), u_2(t)) d\lambda, \\
C_i^\rho(t) &= \int_0^1 g_y(t, y(t), z(t), y_1(t) + \lambda(y_i^\rho(t) + y_i^\rho(t)) z_i^\rho(t), u_i^\rho(t), u_2(t)) d\lambda, \\
D_i^\rho(t) &= \int_0^1 g_z(t, y(t), z(t) + \lambda(z_i^\rho(t) + z_i^\rho(t)) u_i^\rho(t), u_2(t)) d\lambda, \\
C_i^\rho(t) &= \int_0^1 \left( f_{\gamma_1}(t, y_1(t), z(t), y_1(t), z_1(t), u_1(t) + \mu \lambda \nu_1(t), u_2(t)) - f_{\gamma_1}(t) \nu_1(t) \right) d\lambda \\
&\quad + [A_i^\rho(t) - f_y(t)] y_i^\rho(t) d\lambda \\
&\quad + [B_i^\rho(t) - f_z(t)] z_i^\rho(t) d\lambda, \\
C_i^\rho(t) &= \int_0^1 \left( g_{\gamma_1}(t, y_1(t), z(t), y_1(t), z_1(t), u_1(t) + \mu \lambda \nu_1(t), u_2(t)) - g_{\gamma_1}(t) \nu_1(t) \right) d\lambda \\
&\quad + [C_i^\rho(t) - g_y(t)] y_i^\rho(t) d\lambda \\
&\quad + [D_i^\rho(t) - g_z(t)] z_i^\rho(t) d\lambda.
\end{align*}$$
Using Itô’s formula to \( |y_i^r(t)|^2 \) on \([0, t] \), through (H1), we get

\[
\mathbb{E}|\gamma_i^r(t)|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\zeta_i^r(s)|^2 ds \\
\leq C_0 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\gamma_i^r(s)|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\zeta_i^r(s)|^2 ds + C_1 (\mathbb{E} \int_0^t (|G_{i1}^r(s)|^2 + |G_{i2}^r(s)|^2) ds).
\]

Applying Gronwall’s inequalities, we can easily get the desired result. Again, we can prove that for \( i = 2 \). The proof is complete. \( \square \)

Based on \((u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot))\) being an equilibrium point of Problem (A), then

\[
\rho^{-1}|f_1(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) - f_1(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot))| \geq 0, (9)
\]

\[
\rho^{-1}|f_2(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) - f_2(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot))| \geq 0. (10)
\]

From Equations (9) and (10) and Lemma 1, we obtain the following variational inequality.

**Lemma 2.** Let assumptions (H1) and (H2) hold. Then

\[
\mathbb{E} \int_0^T [l_{iy}(t) y_i^1(t) + l_{iz}(t) z_i^1(t) + l_{iy_1}(t) y_i^1(t) + l_{iz_1}(t) z_i^1(t) + l_{iy_1}(t)v_i(t)] dt \\
+ \mathbb{E}[\Phi_{iy}(y(T)) y_i^1(T)] \geq 0, \ (i = 1, 2).
\]

(11)

**Proof of Lemma 2.** For \( i = 1 \), from Equation (7), we derive

\[
\rho^{-1} \mathbb{E}[\Phi_1(y_{i1}^r(T)) - \Phi_1(y(T))] = \rho^{-1} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \Phi_{iy}(y(T) + \lambda(y_{i1}^r(T) - y(T)))(y_{i1}^r(T) - y(T)) d\lambda \\
\rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\Phi_{iy}(y(T)) y_i^1(T)], \ \rho \rightarrow 0.
\]

Similarly, we have

\[
\rho^{-1} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \int_0^T [l_{iy_1}(t) y_i^1(t) + l_{iz_1}(t) z_i^1(t) + l_{iy_1}(t)v_1(t)] dt \right\}, \ \rho \rightarrow 0.
\]

Let \( \rho \rightarrow 0 \) in Equation (9), so, for \( i = 1 \), Equation (11) is established. Similarly, we can prove that the conclusion holds for \( i = 2 \). The proof is complete. \( \square \)

Let us define the Hamiltonian function \( H_i : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^{k_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{k_2} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2 \) as follows:

\[
H_i(t, y, z, y_{i1}, z_{i1}, v_1, p_1, q_i) = (f(t, y, z, y_{i1}, z_{i1}, v_1, v_2, p_1), p_1) + \langle g(t, y, z, y_{i1}, z_{i1}, v_1, v_2), q_i \rangle + l_1(t, y, z, y_{i1}, z_{i1}, v_1, v_2), i = 1, 2.
\]

We introduce the following adjoint equation

\[
\begin{cases}
-dp_i(t) = \{H_{iy}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_i(t)) + \mathbb{E} \Phi_i \mathbb{E}[H_{iy}(t + \delta, \Theta(t + \delta), u_1(t + \delta), u_2(t + \delta), p_1(t + \delta), q_i(t + \delta))] dt \\
+ \{H_{iz}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_i(t)) \} dt \\
+ \mathbb{E} \Phi_i \mathbb{E}[H_{iz}(t + \delta, \Theta(t + \delta), u_1(t + \delta), u_2(t + \delta), p_1(t + \delta), q_i(t + \delta))] dW(t) \}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
p_i(T) = \Phi_{iy}(y(T)), \quad p_i(t) = 0, \quad q_i(t) = 0, \quad t \in [T, T + \delta], \ (i = 1, 2).
\]

where \( \Theta(t) := (y(t), z(t), y_{i1}(t), z_{i1}(t)) \).
Remark 1. It is easy to see that the adjoint Equation (12) above is a linear anticipated BDSDE, then the unique solvability of Equation (12) can be guaranteed by theorem 3.2 in [45] and theorem 2.4 in [46].

Theorem 2 (Necessary maximum principle). Suppose (H1) and (H2) hold, and \((u_i(\cdot)\), \(u_2(\cdot)\)) is an equilibrium point of Problem (A) and \((y(\cdot),z(\cdot))\) is the corresponding state trajectory. Then we have

\[
\langle H_{1y_1}(t,\Theta(t),u_1(t),u_2(t),p_1(t),q_1(t)),v_1-u_1(t)\rangle \geq 0,
\]

\[
\langle H_{2y_2}(t,\Theta(t),u_1(t),u_2(t),p_2(t),q_2(t)),v_2-u_2(t)\rangle \geq 0,
\]

hold for any \((v_1,v_2)\) \(\in U_1 \times U_2\), a.e., a.s., where \((p_i(\cdot),q_i(\cdot))(i = 1,2)\) is the solution of the adjoint Equation (12).

Proof of Theorem 2. For \(i = 1\). Using Itô's formula to \(\langle p_1(t),y_1^1(t)\rangle\), we obtain

\[
\mathbb{E}\langle \Phi_{y_1}(y(T)),y_1^1(T)\rangle = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle p_1(t),f_y(t)y_1^1(t) + f_z(t)z_1^1(t) + f_{y_1}(t)y_1^{1_2}(t) + f_{z_1}(t)z_1^{1_3}(t) + f_{v_1}(t)v_1(t) \rangle dt + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle -f_y^T(t)p_1(t) - g_y^T(t)q_1(t) - l_1y(t) \rangle dt + \mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}^T \int_0^T \langle f_y^T(t)p_1(t) + g_y(t)y_1^1(t) + g_z(t)z_1^1(t) + g_{y_1}(t)y_1^{1_2}(t) + g_{z_1}(t)z_1^{1_3}(t) + g_{v_1}(t)v_1(t) \rangle dt
\]

Combining the initial conditions and the termination conditions, we get

\[
\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle p_1(t),f_y(t)y_1^1(t) + f_z(t)z_1^1(t) + f_{y_1}(t)y_1^{1_2}(t) + f_{z_1}(t)z_1^{1_3}(t) + f_{v_1}(t)v_1(t) \rangle dt - \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle f_y^T(t)p_1(t) + g_y(t)y_1^1(t) + g_z(t)z_1^1(t) + g_{y_1}(t)y_1^{1_2}(t) + g_{z_1}(t)z_1^{1_3}(t) + g_{v_1}(t)v_1(t) \rangle dt = 0.
\]

Similarly, we have

\[
\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle q_1(t),g_y(t)y_1^1(t) + g_z(t)z_1^1(t) + g_{y_1}(t)y_1^{1_2}(t) + g_{z_1}(t)z_1^{1_3}(t) + g_{v_1}(t)v_1(t) \rangle dt - \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle g_y^T(t)q_1(t) + g_z(t)q_1(t) + g_{y_1}(t)q_1^{1_2}(t) + g_{z_1}(t)q_1^{1_3}(t) + g_{v_1}(t)q_1(t) \rangle dt = 0.
\]

Then, we get

\[
\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle l_1y(t)y_1^1(t) + l_1z(t)z_1^1(t) + l_{1y_1}(t)y_1^{1_2}(t) + l_{1z_1}(t)z_1^{1_3}(t) + l_{1v_1}(t)v_1(t) \rangle dt + \mathbb{E} \langle \Phi_{y_1}(y(T)),y_1^1(T)\rangle = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle f_{v_1}^T(t)p_1(t) + g_{v_1}(t)q_1(t) + l_{1v_1}(t)v_1(t) \rangle dt.
\]

According to Lemma 2, we have

\[
\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \langle H_{1y_1}(t,\Theta(t),u_1(t),u_2(t),p_1(t),q_1(t)),v_1(t) \rangle dt \geq 0.
\]
Because \( v_1(t) \) satisfies \( u_1(t) + v_1(t) \in \mathcal{U}_1 \), we have
\[
\mathbb{E} \int_0^T (H_{1v_1}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)), v_1 - u_1(t)) dt \geq 0, \forall v_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1,
\]
which means that
\[
\mathbb{E}(H_{1v_1}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)), v_1 - u_1(t)) \geq 0, \text{ a.s.} \tag{13}
\]

At present, take an arbitrary element \( F \) of \( \sigma \)-algebra \( \mathcal{F}_t \), and set
\[
w(t) = \Phi^1(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)) = v \mathbb{1}_F + u(t) \mathbb{1}_{\Omega \setminus F}.
\]
Obviously, \( w(t) \) is an admissible control.
We apply the inequality in Equation (13) to \( w(t) \), and get
\[
\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_F (H_{1v_1}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)), v_1 - u_1(t))] \geq 0, \forall F \in \mathcal{F}_t,
\]
which contains that
\[
\mathbb{E}[(H_{1v_1}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)), v_1 - u_1(t)) | \mathcal{F}_t] \geq 0.
\]
The expression within the conditional expectation is \( \mathcal{F}_t \)-measurable, so the result follows. Following the above proof, we can prove that the other inequality is true for any \( v_2 \in U_2 \). The proof is completed. \( \square \)

4. Sufficient Maximum Principle

In this section, the sufficient maximum principle for Problem (A) is investigated. Let \((y(t), z(t), u_1(t), u_2(t))\) be a quintuple satisfying Equation (3), and suppose there exists a solution \((p_1(t), q_1(t))\) of the corresponding adjoint forward SDE (12). We assume that:

**Hypothesis 4 (H4).** For \( i = 1, 2 \), for all \( t \in [0, T] \), \( H_i(t, y, z, y_\delta, z_\delta, v_1, v_2, p_1, q_1) \) is convex in \((y, z, y_\delta, z_\delta, v_1, v_2)\), and \( \Phi_i(y) \) is convex in \( y \).

**Theorem 3 (Sufficient maximum principle).** Suppose (H1), (H2) and (H4) are true. In addition, the following conditions hold
\[
H_1(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)) = \min_{v_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1} H_1(t, \Theta(t), v_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)), \tag{14}
\]
\[
H_2(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_2(t), q_2(t)) = \min_{v_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2} H_2(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), v_2(t), p_2(t), q_2(t)). \tag{15}
\]
Then \((u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot))\) is an equilibrium point of Problem (A).

**Proof of Theorem 3.** For any \( v_1(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_1 \), we consider
\[
J_1(v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) - J_1(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot))
= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T [H_1(t, \Theta(t), v_1(t), u_2(t)) - H_1(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t))] dt
+ \mathbb{E}[\Phi_1(y^\ast(T)) - \Phi_1(y(T))].
\]
Now we put into use Itô's formula to \( \langle p_1(t), y^{\alpha_1}(t) - y(t) \rangle \) on \([0, T]\), and get

\[
\mathbb{E}\langle \Phi_{1y}(y(T)), y^{\alpha_1}(T) - y(T) \rangle = -\mathbb{E} \int_0^T (y^{\alpha_1}(t) - y(t), H_{1y}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)))
\]

\[
+ \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\delta y^{\alpha_1}(t), \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)) dt
\]

Since \( \Phi_1 \) is convex, we have

\[
\Phi_1(y^{\alpha_1}(T)) - \Phi_1(y(T)) \geq \langle \Phi_{1y}(y(T)), y^{\alpha_1}(T) - y(T) \rangle.
\]

Then, we have

\[
f_1(\langle v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot) \rangle) - f_1(\langle u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot) \rangle)
\]

\[
\geq \mathbb{E} \int_0^T [H_1(t, \Theta(t), v_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)) - H_1(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t))] dt
\]

\[
- \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (y^{\alpha_1}(t) - y(t), H_{1y}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)))
\]

\[
+ \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\delta y^{\alpha_1}(t), \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)) dt
\]

Based on the convexity of \( H_1 \) with respect to \( y, z, y_0, z_0, v_1, v_2 \), we achieve

\[
H_1(t, \Theta(t), v_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)) - H_1(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t))
\]

\[
\geq (y^{\alpha_1}(t) - y(t), H_{1y}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)))
\]

\[
+ (\delta y^{\alpha_1}(t) - \delta y(t), H_{1y}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)))
\]

\[
+ (\delta y^{\alpha_1}(t) - \delta y(t), H_{1y}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t)))
\]

\[
+ (\delta y^{\alpha_1}(t) - \delta y(t), H_{1y}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t))).
\]

Noticing the fact that

\[
\mathbb{E} \int_0^T (y^{\alpha_1}(t) - y(t), H_{1y}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t))) dt
\]

\[
- \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\delta y^{\alpha_1}(t) - \delta y(t), H_{1y}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t))) dt
\]

\[
= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (y^{\alpha_1}(t) - y(t), H_{1y}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t))) dt
\]

\[
- \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\delta y^{\alpha_1}(t) - \delta y(t), H_{1y}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t))) dt
\]

\[
= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (y^{\alpha_1}(t) - y(t), H_{1y}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t))) dt
\]

\[
- \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\delta y^{\alpha_1}(t) - \delta y(t), H_{1y}(t, \Theta(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), p_1(t), q_1(t))) dt
\]

\[
= 0.
\]
Similarly, we have
\[
\mathbb{E} \int_0^T (z^v (t) - z(t), H_{1\nu_1} (t, \Theta (t), u_1 (t), u_2 (t), p_1 (t), q_1 (t))) dt
\]
\[
- \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (z^v (t) - z(t), \mathbb{E}_t [H_{1\nu_1} (t + \delta, \Theta (t + \delta), u_1 (t + \delta), u_2 (t + \delta), p_1 (t + \delta), q_1 (t + \delta))] dt = 0.
\]

Then, we get
\[
J_1 (v_1 (), u_2 ()) - J_1 (u_1 (), u_2 () ) \geq \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (H_{1\nu_1} (t, \Theta (t), u_1 (t), u_2 (t), p_1 (t), q_1 (t)), v_1 (t) - u_1 (t)) dt.
\]

Finally, by the necessary optimality conditions in Equation (14), we obtain
\[
J_1 (v_1 (), u_2 ()) - J_1 (u_1 (), u_2 () ) \geq 0.
\]

This implies that
\[
J_1 (u_1 (), u_2 ()) = \min_{v_1 (\cdot) \in U_1} J_1 (v_1 (), u_2 ()).
\]

In the same way
\[
J_2 (u_1 (), u_2 ()) = \min_{v_2 (\cdot) \in U_2} J_2 (u_2 (), v_2 ()).
\]

Hence, the desired conclusion is drawn. The proof is completed. □

5. Applications in LQ Doubly Stochastic Games with Delay

In this section, our maximal principle is used for the nonzero sum differential game problem of LQ doubly stochastic systems with delay. To simplify the notation, let us assume that \(d = I = 1\). The control system is

\[
\begin{align*}
&\begin{cases}
    d y (t) &= [A (t) y (t) + B (t) z (t) + \tilde{A} (t) y_0 (t) + \tilde{B} (t) z_0 (t) + E_1 (t) v_1 (t) + E_2 (t) v_2 (t)] dt - z (t) d \tilde{B} (t) \\
    \quad &+ [C (t) y (t) + D (t) z (t) + \tilde{C} (t) y_0 (t) + \tilde{D} (t) z_0 (t)] d \tilde{W} (t), \\
    y (t) &= \zeta (t), \quad t \in [-\delta, 0], \\
    z (t) &= \eta (t), \quad t \in [-\delta, 0],
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where \((\zeta (\cdot), \eta (\cdot)) \in L_2^2 (-\delta, T; \mathbb{R}^n)\) is the initial path of \((y, z)\). \(A, \tilde{A}, B, \tilde{B}, C, \tilde{C}, D, \tilde{D}\) are \(n \times n\) bounded matrices, \(v_1 (t)\) and \(v_2 (t)\) are two admissible control processes, \(i.e., \mathcal{F}_t\)-measurable square-integrable processes taking values in \(\mathbb{R}^k\). \(E_1\) and \(E_2\) are \(n \times k\) bounded matrices. We denote \(J_1 (v_1 (\cdot), v_2 (\cdot))\) and \(J_2 (v_1 (\cdot), v_2 (\cdot))\), which are the cost functionals corresponding to the players 1 and 2:

\[
J_i (v_1 (), v_2 ()) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \left[ (M_i (t) y (t), y (t)) + (R_i (t) z (t), z (t)) + (N_i (t) v_1 (t), v_1 (t)) \right] dt \right. \\
\left. + (Q, y (T), y (T)) \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2
\]

where \(M_i (t), R_i (t), N_i, i = 1, 2\) are \(n \times n\) non-negative symmetric bounded matrices, and \(N_i (t), i = 1, 2\) are \(k \times k\) positive symmetric bounded matrices and the inverse \(N_i^{-1} (t), i = 1, 2\) are also bounded. Our task is to find \((u_1 (\cdot), u_2 (\cdot)) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
&\begin{cases}
    J_1 (u_1 (), u_2 ()) = \min_{v_1 (\cdot) \in U_1} J_1 (v_1 (), u_2 ()), \\
    J_2 (u_1 (), u_2 ()) = \min_{v_2 (\cdot) \in U_2} J_2 (u_2 (), v_2 ()).
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

We need the following assumption:

**Hypothesis 5 (H5).** \(E_i (N_i)^{-1} E_i^T S = SE_i (N_i)^{-1} E_i^T\)
where \( S = A, \tilde{A}, B, \tilde{B}, C, \tilde{C}, D, \tilde{D} \), and \( i = 1, 2 \). Now, with the help of the above general FBDSDE, the explicit expression for the Nash equilibrium point of the above game problem can be obtained.

**Theorem 4.** The mapping

\[
(u_1(t), u_2(t)) = (N_1^{-1}(t)E_1^T(t)p_1(t), N_2^{-1}(t)E_2^T(t)p_2(t)), \quad t \in [0, T],
\]

is one Nash equilibrium point for the above game problems in Equations (16)–(18), where \((y(t), z(t), p_1(t), p_2(t), q_1(t), q_2(t))\) is the solution of the following general FBDSDE:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{dy}(t) & = \left[ A(t)y(t) + B(t)z(t) + \tilde{A}(t)\eta(t) + \tilde{B}(t)\xi(t) \\
& - E_1(t)N_1^{-1}(t)E_1^T(t)p_1(t) - E_2(t)N_2^{-1}(t)E_2^T(t)p_2(t) \right] dt - z(t) \tilde{d} B(t) + C(t)\eta(t) + D(t)\xi(t) \tilde{d} W(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \\
-dp_i(t) & = \left[ A_i^T(t)p_i(t) + C_i^T(t)q_i(t) + \mathbb{E}^F_i[A_i^T(t)p_{i\delta+}(t)] \\
& + \mathbb{E}^F_i[C_i^T(t)q_{i\delta+}(t)] + M_{i\delta}(t)y(t) \right] dt - q_i(t) \tilde{d} W(t) + B_i^T(t)p_i(t) + D_i^T(t)q_i(t) + \mathbb{E}^F_i[B_i^T(t)p_{i\delta+}(t)] \\
& + \mathbb{E}^F_i[D_i^T(t)q_{i\delta+}(t)] + R_{i\delta}(t)z(t) \tilde{d} B(t), \quad t \in [0, T],
\end{align*}
\]

(20)

\[
y(t) = \xi(t), \quad t \in [-\delta, 0], \\
z(t) = \eta(t), \quad t \in [-\delta, 0], \\
p_i(T) = Q_i(T), \quad p_i(t) = 0, \quad t \in [T, T + \delta], \quad i = 1, 2, \\
q_i(t) = 0, \quad t \in [T, T + \delta], \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

Similar to [31, 48], the proof of Theorem 4 is easy to give, and we have therefore excluded it. For sake of clarity, we give the following Problem (S), which is a special case of Problem (A). To simplify the notation, let us assume that \( n = d = l = k = 1 \). The control system is

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{dy}(t) & = [y(t) + z(t) + v_1(t) + v_2(t)] dt - z(t) \tilde{d} B(t) + y(t) \tilde{d} W(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \\
y(t) & = \xi(t), \quad t \in [-\delta, 0], \\
z(t) & = \eta(t), \quad t \in [-\delta, 0],
\end{align*}
\]

where \((\xi(\cdot), \eta(\cdot)) \in L^2_T(-\delta, T; \mathbb{R})\) is the initial path of \((y, z)\). \(v_1(t)\) and \(v_2(t)\), \(t \in [0, T]\) are two admissible control processes, i.e., \(\mathcal{F}_t\)-measurable square-integrable processes taking values in \(\mathbb{R}\). We denote \(I_1(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot))\) and \(I_2(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot))\), which are the cost functionals corresponding to the players 1 and 2:

\[
I_i(v_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \xi_i(t)^2 dt + y(T) \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

Our task is to find \((u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
J_1(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) & = \min_{v_1(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_n} J_1(v_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)), \\
J_2(u_1(\cdot), u_2(\cdot)) & = \min_{v_1(\cdot) \in \mathcal{U}_n} J_2(u_1(\cdot), v_2(\cdot)).
\end{align*}
\]

Then the Hamiltonian functions are

\[
H_i(t, y, z, v_1, v_2, p_i, q_i) = [y(t) + z(t) + v_1(t) + v_2(t)] p_i(t) + y(t) q_i(t) + \frac{1}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]

where \((p_1(t), p_2(t), q_1(t), q_2(t))\) is the solution of the following adjoint equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
-dp_i(t) & = \left[ \mathbb{E}^F_{i\delta}(p_{i\delta+}(t)) \right] dt - q_i(t) \tilde{d} W(t) + B_i^T(t)p_i(t) + D_i^T(t)q_i(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \\
p_i(T) & = 1, \quad p_i(t) = 0, \quad t \in [T, T + \delta], \quad i = 1, 2, \\
q_i(t) & = 0, \quad t \in [T, T + \delta], \quad i = 1, 2.
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to see that the above equation is the anticipated BDSDE, which is solvable theorem 3.2 in [45] and theorem 2.4 in [46]. From the maximum principle, we get that \((u_1(t), u_2(t)) = (p_1(t), p_2(t)), \quad t \in [0, T]\) is one Nash equilibrium point for the above game in Equations (16)–(18).
6. The Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. Since the initial path of \((y, z)\) in \([−δ, 0]\) and the terminal conditions and trajectories of \((p, q)\) in \([T, T + δ]\) are given in advance, we only need to consider \((y_1, p_1, z_1, q_1), 0 ≤ t ≤ T\).

Uniqueness Let \(U = (y, p, z, q)\) and \(Û = (\hat{y}, \hat{p}, \hat{z}, \hat{q})\) be two solutions of Equation (3). We set \(\hat{U} = U - \hat{U} = (y - \hat{y}, p - \hat{p}, z - \hat{z}, q - \hat{q})\).

Applying Itô’s formula to \((\hat{H}\hat{y}, \hat{p})\) on \([0, T]\), we have

\[
E(\hat{H}\hat{y}(T), \Phi(\hat{y}(T)) - \Phi(\hat{y}(T))) = E \int_0^T \left( A(t, U(t), \alpha(t), \mu(t), \nu(t)) - A(t, \hat{U}(t), \hat{\alpha}(t), \hat{\mu}(t), \hat{\nu}(t)) \right) dt
\]

\[
≤ -μ_1\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left( |H(y(t) - \hat{y}(t))|^2 + |H(z(t) - \hat{z}(t))|^2 \right) dt

-μ_2\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left( |H^T(p(t) - \hat{p}(t))|^2 + |H^T(q(t) - \hat{q}(t))|^2 \right) dt.
\]

By virtue of (H3), it follows that

\[
μ_1\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left( |H(y(t) - \hat{y}(t))|^2 + |H(z(t) - \hat{z}(t))|^2 \right) dt + μ_2\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left( |H^T(p(t) - \hat{p}(t))|^2 + |H^T(q(t) - \hat{q}(t))|^2 \right) dt ≤ 0.
\]

If \(m > n, μ_1 > 0\), then we have \(|H(y(t) - \hat{y}(t))|^2 \equiv 0\) and \(|H(z(t) - \hat{z}(t))|^2 \equiv 0\). Thus \(y(t) \equiv \hat{y}(t)\) and \(z(t) \equiv \hat{z}(t)\). In particular, \(\Phi(\hat{y}(T)) = \Phi(\hat{y}(T))\). Consequently, from the uniqueness result of the anticipated BDSDE (see [45,46]), it follows that \(p(t) \equiv \hat{p}(t)\) and \(q(t) \equiv \hat{q}(t)\).

If \(m < n, μ_2 > 0\), then we have \(|H^T(p(t) - \hat{p}(t))|^2 \equiv 0\) and \(|H^T(q(t) - \hat{q}(t))|^2 \equiv 0\). Thus \(p(t) \equiv \hat{p}(t)\) and \(q(t) \equiv \hat{q}(t)\). From the uniqueness result of the delayed doubly SDE (see [20]), it follows that \(y(t) \equiv \hat{y}(t)\) and \(z(t) \equiv \hat{z}(t)\).

Similarly to the above arguments, the desired result can be obtained easily in the case \(n = m\). The uniqueness is proved.

The proof of the existence is a combination of the above technique and a priori estimate technique introduced by Peng [49]. We divide the proof of existence into three cases: \(m > n, m < n\) and \(m = n\).

Case 1 If \(m > n\), then \(μ_1 > 0, β_1 > 0\). We consider the following family of FBDSDEs parametrized by \(α \in [0, 1]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{I} & = \left[ \begin{array}{l}
\text{dy}(t) = [αf(t, U(t), y(t), z(t)) + f_0(t)] dt + z(t) \left[ \text{diag} B(t) \right] \left[ \begin{array}{l}
\text{d} W(t)
\end{array} \right] + [αg(t, U(t), y(t), z(t)) + g_0(t)] \left[ \text{diag} W(t) \right], \\
\text{dp}(t) = [αF(t, U(t), p(t), q(t)) + F_0(t)] dt + [αG(t, U(t), p(t), q(t)) + G_0(t)] \left[ \text{diag} W(t) \right], \\
y(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \in [−δ, 0], \\
z(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \in [−δ, 0], \\
p(T) = α\Phi(y(T)) + (1 - α) Hy(T) + \varphi, \\
q(T) = \hat{ξ}(t), \quad t \in [T, T + δ],
\end{array} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

where \(U = (y, p, z, q)\) and \((F_0, G_0, G_0, 0) \subset L^2_P \left(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{m+n+m×l+n×d} \right)\) and \(φ \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^m)\) are arbitrarily given vector-valued random variables. When \(α = 1\) the existence of the solution of Equation (21) implies clearly that of Equation (5). Due to the existence and uniqueness of the delayed doubly SDE (see [20]), when \(α = 0\), the Equation (21) is uniquely solvable. Following a priori lemma is a key step in the proof of the method of continuation. It shows that for a fixed \(α = α_0 \in [0, 1]\), if Equation (21) is uniquely solvable, then it is also uniquely solvable for any \(α \in [α_0, α_0 + γ_0]\), for some positive constant \(γ_0\) independent of \(α_0\).

Lemma 3. We assume \(m > n\). Under assumptions (H3), there exists a positive constant \(γ_0\) such that if a priori, for each \(φ \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^m), (F_0, G_0, G_0, 0) \subset L^2_P \left(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{m+n+m×l+n×d} \right)\), Equation (16) is uniquely
solvable for some \( a_0 \in [0,1) \), then for each \( a \in [a_0,a_0+\gamma_0) \), and \( \varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T;\mathbb{R}^m) \), \((f_0,f_0,0,0,0)\in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left((0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m+n+m+n\times T})\right)\). Equation (16) is also uniquely solvable in \( L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left((-\delta, T;\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^m \right) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(-\delta, T;\mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}\right)\).

**Proof of Lemma 3.** Since for \( \varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T;\mathbb{R}^m) \), \((f_0,f_0,0,0,0)\in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left((0,T;\mathbb{R}^{m+n+m+n\times T})\right)\), there exists a unique solution to Equation (16) for \( a = a_0 \). Thus for each \( \check{U} = (\check{y},\check{p},\check{z},q) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left((-\delta, T;\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^m \right) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(-\delta, T;\mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}\right)\) satisfying the following equations,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{d}y'(t) &= [a_0f(t, U(t), y(t), z(t)) + \gamma f(t, \check{U}(t), \check{y}(t), \check{z}(t))] + f_0(t)\text{d}t \\
&+ [a_0g(t, U(t), y(t), z(t)) + \gamma g(t, \check{U}(t), \check{y}(t), \check{z}(t))] + g_0(t)\text{d}W(t) - z(t)\text{d}B(t),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
-dp'(t) &= [a_0F(t, U(t), p(t), q(t)) + (1 - a_0)\mu H(t)] + \gamma \nu(t) + \mu H(t)\text{d}t \\
&+ [a_0G(t, U(t), p(t), q(t)) + (1 - a_0)\mu H(t)\text{d}t \\
&+ \gamma G(t, \check{U}(t), \check{p}(t), \check{q}(t)) - \mu H(t)\text{d}t + G_0(t)\text{d}B(t) - q(t)\text{d}W(t),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
y(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in (-\delta, 0],
\]

\[
z(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in (-\delta, 0],
\]

\[
p(T) = a_0\Phi(y(T)) + (1 - a_0)HY(T) + \gamma(\Phi(y(T)) - \hat{H}(T)) + \varphi,
\]

\[
q(t) = \eta(t), \quad t \in [T, T + \delta],
\]

where \( \gamma \in (0,1) \) is independent of \( a_0 \). We will prove that the mapping defined by

\[
U = I_{a_0+\delta}(U):
\]

\[
L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left((-\delta, T;\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^m \right) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(-\delta, T;\mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}\right)\)
\]

\[
\rightarrow L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left((-\delta, T;\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^m \right) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(-\delta, T;\mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}\right)\)
\]

is contractive for a small enough \( \gamma > 0 \).

Let \( U' = (y', p', z', q') \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left((-\delta, T;\mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^m \right) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(-\delta, T;\mathbb{R}^{n \times l}\right) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}\left(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}\right)\) and \( (y', p', z', q') = U' = I_{a_0+\delta}(U') \).

\[
\check{U} = \hat{U} - U' = (\check{y}, \check{p}, \check{z}, \check{q}) = (y - y', \check{p} - p', \check{z} - z', \check{q} - q'),
\]

\[
\hat{U} = U - U' = (y, \check{p}, \check{z}, \check{q}) = (y - y', p', z - z', q - q').
\]

Applying Itô’s formula to \( \langle H\check{y}, \check{p} \rangle \) on \([0,T]\), it follows that

\[
(1 - a_0 + a_0\beta_1)\mathbb{E}[H\check{y}(T)^2] + \mu_1\mathbb{E} \int_0^T ([H\check{y}(t)^2 + |H\check{z}(t)|^2)dt \
\leq \gamma C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left( [\check{y}(t)^2 + |\check{p}(t)|^2 + |\check{z}(t)|^2 + |\check{q}(t)|^2] \right)dt \
+ \gamma C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left( |\check{y}(t)|^2 + |\check{p}(t)|^2 + |\check{q}(t)|^2 \right)dt \
\leq \gamma C \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T [\check{y}(t)^2 + |\check{z}(t)|^2]dt + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{T+\delta} (|\check{p}(t)|^2 + |\check{q}(t)|^2)dt \right) \
+ \gamma C \mathbb{E} \int_0^{T+\delta} [\check{y}(t)^2 + |\check{p}(t)|^2 + |\check{q}(t)|^2],
\]

(22)

with some constant \( C > 0 \). Hereafter, \( C \) will be some generic constant, which can be different from line to line and depends only on the Lipschitz constants \( k, \lambda, \mu_1, \beta_1, H \) and \( T \). It is obvious that \( 1 - a_0 + a_0\beta_1 \geq \beta, \beta = \min(1, \beta_1) > 0 \).

On the other hand, for the difference of the solutions \( (\check{p}, \check{q}) = (p - p', q - q'), \) we apply a standard method of estimation. Applying Itô’s formula to \( |\check{p}(t)|^2 \) on \([t,T]\), we have
\[ \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left( |\hat{p}(t)|^2 + |\hat{q}(t)|^2 \right) dt \leq \gamma C \left[ \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left( |\hat{g}(t)|^2 + |\xi(t)|^2 \right) dt + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{T+\delta} \left( |\hat{p}(t)|^2 + |\hat{q}(t)|^2 \right) dt \right] \\
+ C \left( \mathbb{E} |\hat{g}(T)|^2 + \mathbb{E} |\xi(T)|^2 \right) + CE \int_0^T \left( |\hat{p}(t)|^2 + |\xi(t)|^2 \right) dt. \] (23)

Combining the estimates in Equations (22) and (23), for a sufficiently large constant \( C > 0 \), we have

\[ \mathbb{E} \int_{-\delta}^T \left( |\hat{g}(t)|^2 + |\xi(t)|^2 \right) dt + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{T+\delta} \left( |\hat{p}(t)|^2 + |\hat{q}(t)|^2 \right) dt + \mathbb{E} |\hat{g}(T)|^2 \leq \gamma C \mathbb{E} \int_{-\delta}^T \left( |\hat{g}(t)|^2 + |\xi(t)|^2 \right) dt + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{T+\delta} \left( |\hat{p}(t)|^2 + |\hat{q}(t)|^2 \right) dt + \mathbb{E} |\hat{g}(T)|^2. \]

We now choose \( \gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2C} \). It is clear that, for each fixed \( \gamma \in [0, \gamma_0] \), the mapping \( I_{\alpha_0 + \gamma} \) is contractive in the sense that

\[ \mathbb{E} \int_{-\delta}^T \left( |\hat{g}(t)|^2 + |\xi(t)|^2 \right) dt + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{T+\delta} \left( |\hat{p}(t)|^2 + |\hat{q}(t)|^2 \right) dt + \mathbb{E} |\hat{g}(T)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_{-\delta}^T \left( |\hat{g}(t)|^2 + |\xi(t)|^2 \right) dt + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{T+\delta} \left( |\hat{p}(t)|^2 + |\hat{q}(t)|^2 \right) dt + \mathbb{E} |\hat{g}(T)|^2. \]

Thus this mapping has a unique fixed point \( U = (y, p, z, q) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-\delta, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-\delta, T; \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}) \), which is the solution of Equation (16) for \( \alpha = \alpha_0 + \gamma \), as \( \gamma \in [0, \gamma_0] \). The proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Case 2** If \( m < n \), then \( \mu_2 > 0 \). We consider the following equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{aligned}
dy(t) &= \left[ \alpha f(t, U(t), y_{\sigma}(t), z_{\sigma}(t)) + (1 - \alpha) \mu_1 H^T p(t) + f_0(t) \right] dt \\
-dp(t) &= \left[ \alpha g(t, U(t), y_{\sigma}(t), z_{\sigma}(t)) + (1 - \alpha) \mu_1 H^T q(t) + g_0(t) \right] d\mathbf{W}(t) \\

&\quad - z(t) \mathbf{R}(t), \ t \in [0, T], \\
y(t) &= \phi(t), \ t \in [-\delta, 0], \\
z(t) &= \psi(t), \ t \in [-\delta, 0], \\
p(T) &= \xi(t), \ t \in [T + \delta], \\
q(t) &= \eta(t), \ t \in [T + \delta].
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
\] (24)

When \( \alpha = 1 \), the existence of the solution of Equation (24) implies clearly that of Equation (16). Due to the existence and uniqueness of the anticipated BDSDE (see [45,46]), when \( \alpha = 0 \), we know that Equation (24) is uniquely solvable. By the same techniques, we can also prove the following lemma similar to Lemma 3.

**Lemma 4.** Assume \( m < n \). Under assumption (H3), there exists a positive constant \( \gamma_0 \) such that if a priori, for each \( \varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^m) \), and \( (f_0, f_0, g_0, g_0) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{m + n \times l + n \times d}) \), Equation (24) is uniquely solvable for some \( \alpha_0 \in [0, 1] \), then for each \( \alpha \in [\alpha_0, \alpha_0 + \gamma_0] \), and \( \varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^m) \), \( (f_0, f_0, g_0, g_0) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{m + n \times l + n \times d}) \). Equation (24) is also uniquely solvable in \( L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-\delta, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(-\delta, T; \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}) \times L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T + \delta; \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}) \).

**Case 3** \( m = n \). From (H3), we only need to consider two cases as follows:

1. If \( \mu_1 > 0, \mu_2 > 0, \beta_1 > 0 \), we can have the same result as Lemma 3.
2. If \( \mu_1 > 0, \mu_2 > 0, \beta_1 \geq 0 \), the same result as Lemma 4 holds.

Now we give the proof of the existence of Theorem 1.
Proof of the Existence of Theorem 1. For the first case where \( m > n \), we know that for each \( \varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^m) \), and \((F_0, f_0, G_0, g_0) \in L^2_\mathbb{F}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{m+n+m \times l+n \times d})\), Equation (21) has a unique solution as \( a = 0 \). It follows from Lemma 3 that there exists a positive constant \( \gamma_0 = \gamma_0(k, \lambda, \beta_1, \mu_1, H, T) \) such that for any \( \gamma \in [0, \gamma_0] \) and \( \varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^m) \), and \((F_0, f_0, G_0, g_0) \in L^2_\mathbb{F}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{m+n+m \times l+n \times d})\), Equation (21) has a unique solution for \( a = \gamma \). Since \( \gamma_0 \) depends only on \( k, \lambda, \beta_1, \mu_1, H, T \), we can repeat this process for \( N \) times with \( 1 \leq N\gamma_0 < 1 + \gamma_0 \). In particular, for \( a = 1 \) with \((F_0, f_0, G_0, g_0) \equiv 0\), and \( \varphi \equiv 0 \), \( \psi \equiv 0 \), Equation (21) has a unique solution in \( L^2_\mathbb{F}(-\delta, T; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_\mathbb{F}(0, T+\delta; \mathbb{R}^m) \times L^2_\mathbb{F}(-\delta, T; \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}) \times L^2_\mathbb{F}(0, T+\delta; \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}) \).

In the case where \( m < n \) and \( m = n \), our desired result can be obtained similarly. The proof of the existence of Theorem 1 is complete. \( \square \)

Remark 2. In the proof of the Existence of Theorem 1, (i) and (ii) in (H3) can be replaced by

(i) \[
\int_0^T \langle A(t, u, a, \mu, \beta, \nu) - A(t, \bar{u}, \bar{a}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\nu}), u - \bar{u} \rangle \, dt
\]
\[
\geq \int_0^T \left| \mu_1 \left( H(y - \bar{y}) \right)^2 + |H(z - \bar{z})|^2 \right| + \mu_2 \left( |H^T(p - \bar{p})|^2 + |H^T(q - \bar{q})|^2 \right) dt,
\]
\[
\forall u = (y, p, z, q), \bar{u} = (\bar{y}, \bar{p}, \bar{z}, \bar{q}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}, \forall t \in [0, T].
\]

(ii) \[
\langle \Phi(y) - \Phi(\bar{y}), H(y - \bar{y}) \rangle \leq -\beta_1 |H(y - \bar{y})|^2, \forall y, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

where \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \) and \( \beta_1 \) are given non-negative constants with \( \mu_1 + \mu_2 > 0 \) and \( \mu_2 + \beta_1 > 0 \). Moreover we have \( \mu_1 > 0, \beta_1 > 0 \) (resp., \( \mu_2 > 0 \)) when \( m > n \) (resp., \( m < n \)).

7. Conclusions

The future evolution of a lot of processes depends not only on their current state, but also on their historical state, and these processes can usually be characterized by stochastic differential equations with time delay. In this article, we have discussed a class of differential games driven by doubly stochastic systems with time delay. To deal with the above nonzero sum differential game problem, it is natural to involve the adjoint equation, which is a kind of anticipated BDSDE. It is therefore necessary to explore a kind of general FBDSDE with the forward equation being a delayed doubly SDE and the backward equation being an anticipated BDSDE, which are so-called time-symmetry stochastic systems. This kind of FBDSDE covers a lot of the previous results, which promotes the results in [35] to doubly stochastic integrals, and extends the results in [23] to the case that involves the time delay and anticipation. We have adopted the convex variational method, and established a necessary condition and a sufficient condition for the equilibrium point of the game. In the LQ game problem, the state equation and the adjoint equation are completely coupled, then a class of linear FBDSDE is constructed, in which the forward equation is an anticipated forward doubly SDE and the backward equation is a delayed backward doubly SDE. By means of the unique solvability of the FBDSDE, the explicit expression for the Nash equilibrium point of the LQ game is obtained. Many financial and economic phenomena can be modeled by the LQ model, and we expect that the LQ game driven by doubly stochastic systems with time delay can be widely applied in these fields.

Notwithstanding that we are committed to the above game problem, we are also able to progress some consequences of optimal control for BDSDEs with time delay, for example Xu and Han [19,20].
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