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1. Introduction

Image moments have been successfully used as images’ content descriptors for several decades. Their ability to fully describe an image by encoding its contents in a compact way makes them suitable in many disciplines of the engineering life, such as image analysis (Sim et al., 2004), image watermarking (Papakostas et al., 2010a) and pattern recognition (Papakostas et al., 2005, 2007, 2009a, 2010b). Apart from the geometric moments, which are firstly introduced, several moment types have been presented due time (Flusser et al., 2009). Orthogonal moments are the most popular moments widely used in many applications owing to their orthogonality property that permits the reconstruction of the image by a finite set of its moments with minimum reconstruction error. This orthogonality property comes from the nature of the polynomials used as kernel functions, which they constitute an orthogonal base. As a result the orthogonal moments have minimum information redundancy meaning that different moment orders describe different image parts of the image. The most well known orthogonal moment families are: Zernike, Pseudo-Zernike, Legendre, Fourier-Mellin, Tchebichef, Krawtchouk, dual Hahn moments, with the last three ones belonging to the discrete type moments since they are defined directly to the image coordinate space, while the first ones are defined in the continue space.

Recently, there is an increased interest on applying image moments in biomedical imaging, with the reconstruction of medical images (Dai et al., 2010; Papakostas et al., 2009b; Shu et al., 2007; Wang & Sze, 2001) and the description of image’s parts with particular properties (Bharathi & Ganesan, 2008; Iscan et al., 2010; Li & Meng, 2009; Liyun et al., 2009) by distinguishing diseased areas from the healthy ones, being the most active research directions the scientists work with.

Therefore, a method that computes fast and accurate the orthogonal moments of a biomedical image is of great importance. Although many algorithms and strategies (Papakostas et al., 2010c) have been proposed in the past, these methodologies handle the biomedical images as “every-day” images, meaning that they are not making use of specific properties of the image in process.

The authors have made a first attempt to compute the Krawtchouk moments of biomedical images by taking advantage of the inherent property of the biomedical image to have limited number of different intensity values (Papakostas et al., 2009c). Based on this observation and by applying the ISR method (Papakostas et al., 2008a) an image is
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decomposed to a set of image slices consisting of pixels with the same intensity value, an image representation that enables the fast computation of the image moments (Papakostas et al., 2009d).

This first approach has shown very promising results, by giving more space to apply it to more moment families and biomedical datasets under a general framework, which is presented in this chapter.

2. Image moments

A general formulation of the $(n+m)^{th}$ order image moment of a $N \times N$ image with intensity function $f(x,y)$ is given as follows:

$$M_{nm} = NF \sum_{x=1}^{N} \sum_{y=1}^{N} \text{Poly}_n(x) \text{Poly}_m(y) f(x,y)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $NF$ is a normalization factor and $\text{Poly}_n(x)$ is the $n^{th}$ order polynomial value of the pixel point with coordinate $x$, used as a moment kernel. According to the type of the polynomial kernel used in (1), the type of the moments is determined such as Geometric, Zernike, Pseudo-Zernike, Fourier-Mellin, Legendre, Tchebichef, Krawtchouk and dual Hahn.

For example, in the case of Tchebichef moments (Papakostas et al., 2009d, 2010c) the used polynomial has the form of the normalized Tchebichef polynomial defined as follows:

$$\text{Poly}_n(x) = \tilde{t}_n(x) = \frac{t_n(x)}{\beta(n,N)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where

$$t_n(x) = (1-N)_n \, _3F_2(-n,-x,1+n;1,1-N;1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{N-1-k}{n-k} \binom{n+k}{k} x^k$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

is the $n^{th}$ order Tchebichef polynomial, $\, _3F_2$, the generalized hypergeometric function, $n,x = 0,1,2,\ldots,N-1$, $N$ the image size and $\beta(n,N)$ a suitable constant independent of $x$ that serves as scaling factor, such as $N^n$.

Moreover the normalization factor $NF$ has the following form:

$$NF = \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}(p,N) \tilde{\rho}(q,N)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\tilde{\rho}(n,N)$ is the normalized norm of the polynomials

$$\tilde{\rho}(n,N) = \frac{\rho(n,N)}{\beta(n,N)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

with

$$\rho(n,N) = (2n)\binom{N+n}{2n+1}, \hspace{1cm} n = 0,1,\ldots,N-1$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
Based on the above assumptions, the final computational form of the \((n+m)\)th order Tchebichef moments of a \(NxN\) image having \(f(x,y)\) intensity function takes the following form:

\[
T_{nm} = \frac{1}{\hat{\rho}(n,N)\hat{\rho}(m,N)} \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} \hat{t}_n(x)\hat{t}_m(y) f(x,y) \quad (7)
\]

Working in the same way, the computational formulas of Geometric, Zernike, Pseudo-Zernike, Legendre, Krawtchouk and dual Hahn moments can be derived (Papakostas et al., 2009d, 2010c) based on the general form of (1).

3. A general computation strategy

Generally, there are four main computation strategies (Papakostas et al., 2010c) that have been applied to accelerate the moments’ computation speed: 1) the Direct Strategy (DS), which firstly used, since it is based on the definition formulas of each moment family, 2) the Recursive Strategy (RS), which is characterized by the mechanism of recursive computation of the kernel’s polynomials, 3) the Partitioning Strategy (PS), according to which the image is partitioned into several smaller sub-images in order to reduce the maximum order need to computed and finally 4) the Slice-Block Strategy (SBS), which decomposes a gray-scale image to intensity slices and rectangular blocks, developed by the authors (Papakostas et al., 2008a, 2009d).

Among the four above strategies the last one has the advantage to collaborate with the RS and PS strategies (Papakostas et al., 2010c), by resulting to more efficient computation schemes. Moreover, the SBS strategy can be applied to any moment family defined in the cartesian coordinate system (for the case of the polar coordinate system, appropriate transformation to the cartesian system is needed) in a common way, establishing it a general computation framework.

After the presentation of the main principles of the SBS methodology, this method will be applied to compute the moments of several families, for the case of biomedical images, which they constitute a special case of images where the benefits of the SBS strategy are significantly increased.

The principal mechanisms used by the SBS strategy are the ISR (Image Slice Representation) and IBR (Image Block Representation) methodologies, which decompose an image into intensity slices and a slice into rectangular blocks, respectively.

The main idea behind the ISR method is that we can consider a gray-scale image as the resultant of non-overlapped image slices, whose pixels have specific intensities. Based on this representation, we can decompose the original image into several slices, from which we can then reconstruct it, by applying fundamental mathematical operations.

Based on the above image decomposition, the following definition can be derived:

**Definition 1:** Slice of a gray-scale image, of a certain intensity \(f_i\), is the image with the same size and the same pixels of intensity \(f_i\) as in the original one, while the rest of the pixels are considered to be black.

As a result of Definition 1, we derive the following Lemma 1 and 2:

**Lemma 1:** Any 8-bit gray-scale image can be decomposed into a maximum of 255 slices, where each slice has pixels of one intensity value and black.

**Lemma 2:** The binary image as a special case of a gray-scale image consists of only one slice, the binary slice, where only the intensities of 255 and 0 are included.
Based on the ISR representation, the intensity function \( f(x,y) \) of a gray-scale image can be defined as an expansion of the intensity functions of the slices:

\[
f(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} f_i(x,y)
\]

(8)

where \( s \) is the number of slices (equal to the number of different intensity values) and \( f_i(x,y) \) is the intensity function of the \( i^{th} \) slice. In the case of a binary image \( s \) is 1 and thus \( f(x,y)=f_1(x,y) \).

In the general case of gray-scale images, each of the extracted slices can be considered as a two-level image and thus the IBR algorithm (Papakostas et al., 2008a, 2009d) can be applied directly, in order to decompose each slice into a number of non-overlapped blocks.

By using the ISR representation scheme, the computation of the \( (n+m)^{th} \) order orthogonal moment (1) of a gray-scale image \( f(x,y) \), can be performed according to the equations

\[
M_{nm} = NF \times \sum_x \sum_y Poly_n(x) Poly_m(y) f(x,y)
\]

\[
= NF \times \sum_x \sum_y Poly_n(x) Poly_m(y) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s} f_i(x,y) \right)
\]

(9)

\[
= NF \times \sum_x \sum_y Poly_n(x) Poly_m(y) \left( f_1(x,y) + f_2(x,y) + \ldots + f_s(x,y) \right) \Leftrightarrow
\]

\[
M_{nm} = NF \times \sum_x \sum_y Poly_n(x) Poly_m(y) f_1(x,y) +
\]

\[
+ NF \times \sum_x \sum_y Poly_n(x) Poly_m(y) f_2(x,y) + \ldots
\]

\[
+ NF \times \sum_x \sum_y Poly_n(x) Poly_m(y) f_s(x,y)
\]

(10)

\[
= f_1 \left[ NF \times \sum_{x_1} \sum_{y_1} Poly_n^1(x_1) Poly_m^1(y_1) \right] +
\]

\[
+ f_2 \left[ NF \times \sum_{x_2} \sum_{y_2} Poly_n^2(x_2) Poly_m^2(y_2) \right] + \ldots
\]

\[
+ f_s \left[ \sum_{x_s} \sum_{y_s} Poly_n^s(x_s) Poly_m^s(y_s) \right]
\]

\[
= f_1 M_{nm}^1 + f_2 M_{nm}^2 + \ldots + f_s M_{nm}^s
\]

where \( f_i \) and \( M_{nm}^i, i=1,2,\ldots,s \) are the intensity functions of the slices and the corresponding \( (n+m)^{th} \) order moments of the \( i^{th} \) binary slice, respectively.

The corresponding moment of a binary slice \( M_{nm}^i \) is the moment computed by considering a block representation of the image (Papakostas et al., 2008a, 2009d), as follows:
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\[ M_{nm}^j = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} M_{nm}(b_{j}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{x=x_{1,b_{j}}}^{x_{2,b_{j}}} \sum_{y=y_{1,b_{j}}}^{y_{2,b_{j}}} \text{Poly}_n(x) \text{Poly}_m(y) \]

\[ = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \sum_{x=x_{1,b_{j}}}^{x_{2,b_{j}}} \text{Poly}_n(x) \right) \left( \sum_{y=y_{1,b_{j}}}^{y_{2,b_{j}}} \text{Poly}_m(y) \right) \] (11)

where \( x_{1,b_{j}}, x_{2,b_{j}} \) and \( y_{1,b_{j}}, y_{2,b_{j}} \) are the coordinates of the block \( b_{j} \), with respect to the horizontal and vertical axes, respectively.

A result of the above analysis (10) is the following Proposition 1:

**Proposition 1**: The \((n+m)\)th order discrete orthogonal moment of a gray-scale image is equal to the “intensity-weighted” sum of the same order discrete orthogonal moments of a number of binary slices.

The SBS strategy has been applied successfully in computing the geometric moments (Papakostas et al., 2008a), the orthogonal moments (Papakostas et al., 2009d) and the DCT (Papakostas et al., 2008b, 2009e), by converging to high computation speeds in all the cases. The performance of the SBS methodology is expected to be higher for the case of the biomedical images, since the limited number of different intensities of these images, enables the construction of less intensity slices and therefore bigger homogenous rectangular blocks are extracted.

4. Biomedical images – A special case

As it has already been mentioned in the previous sections, the application of the SBS strategy can significantly increases the moments’ computation rate for the case of biomedical images, as compared with the “every-day” images. This is due to the fact that the biomedical images are “intensity limited” since the pixels’ intensities are concentrated mostly in a few intensity values. For example, let see the two “every-day” images Lena and Barbara as illustrated in the following Fig. 1, along with their corresponding histograms. These images having a content of general interest, present a more normally distributed pixel’s intensities into the intensity range [0-255].

On the contrary, in the case of biomedical images the intensities are concentrated in a narrower region of the intensity range. Figure 2, shows four sample images from three different kinds of biomedical images BRAINX, KNIX (MRI images), INCISIX (CT images) retrieved from (DICOM) and MIAS (X-ray images) (Suckling et al., 1994). All the images have 256x256 pixels size, while each dataset consists of 232 (BRAINX), 135 (KNIX), 126 (INCISIX), 322 (MIAS) gray-scale images.

It is noted that in the above histograms the score of the 0 intensity is omitted for representation purposes, since a lot of pixels have this intensity value, causing the covering of all the other intensity distributions.

A careful study of the above histograms can lead to the deduction that the most pixels’ intensities are limited to a small fraction of the overall intensity range [0-255]. This means that the images’ content is concentrated in a few intensity slices. This fact seems to be relative to the images’ nature and constitutes an inherent property of their morphology. From (10) and (11) it is obvious that the performance of the SBS method is highly dependent on the image’s intensity distribution, meaning that images with less intensities and big
blocks enable the achievement of high moments’ computation rates, conditions that are satisfied by the biomedical images.

![Fig. 1. “Every-day” images and their histograms: (a)-(b) Lena image and its histogram, (c)-(d) Barbara image and its histogram.](image)

5. Experimental study

In order to investigate the performance of the SBS strategy in computing the biomedical image moments, a set of experiments have been arranged. For this reason five representative moment families the Geometric Moments (GMs), Legendre Moments (LMs), Tchebichef Moments (TMs), Krawtchouk Moments (KMs) and dual Hahn Moments (DHMs), are computed to the entire four datasets of Fig.2, up to a maximum order from 0 to 50 with step 5. The variance ($\sigma$) and mean ($\mu$) values of the SBS strategy results are summarized in the following Table 1.

| Block          | BRAINIX       | KNIX         | INCISIX      | MIAS         |
|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Extraction Time(msecs) | 0.0234/1.1117 | 0.1189/1.8363 | 0.0874/1.9470 | 0.0442/0.9915 |
| Num. of Blocks | 1.7477E+07/28325 | 4.6382E+07/48291 | 2.3089E+06/56265 | 3.7785E+07/22053 |
| Num. of Intensity Slices | 740.1785/213.7845 | 305.7875/231.5407 | 136.3860/241.7169 | 220.6238/237.5280 |

Table 1. Performance statistics ($\sigma/\mu$) of applying SBS to the datasets.
Fig. 2. Biomedical images and their histograms: (a)-(b) BRAINIX sample image and its histogram, (c)-(d) KNIX sample image and its histogram, (e)-(f) INCISIX sample image and its histogram, (g)-(h) MIAS sample image and its histogram.
From the above results, it can be realized that the extraction of the homogenous block does not add a significant overhead to the entire computation procedure (small mean values with low variability), since it needs a little time to be executed. On the other hand, the high variance on the number of blocks and intensity slices, reveal a complicated dependency of the computation time on these two main factors, as far as the size of the blocks and the distribution of the blocks on the intensity slices are concerned.

In order to study the timing performance of the SBS strategy, a comparison of its behaviour with that of the DS methodology, for the case of the four biomedical datasets has been taken place. Since, the SBS strategy can effectively be collaborated with other fast strategies (RS and PS) (Papakostas et al., 2010c), only a comparison with the DS methodology is needed to highlight its advantages. The mean values of the computation time in each case are illustrated in the following Table 2, 3 and 4. From these results it is obvious that the proposed method needs less time to compute the moments of any order, as compared to the DS one. This outperformance varies by the moment family, since each family needs a different time to compute its moments.

| Order | GMs | LMs | TMs | KMs | DHMs |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| 0     | DS  | SBS | DS  | SBS | DS   |
| 5     | 15  | 9   | 4   | 23  | 14   |
| 10    | 1877| 1185| 4611| 8929| 15087|
| 15    | 3955| 2493| 36663| 22446| 47139|
| 20    | 6808| 4289| 72640| 44454| 108589|
| 25    | 10441| 6573| 125502| 76874| 208647|
| 30    | 14844| 9339| 200755| 122885| 355676|
| 35    | 19980| 12567| 298700| 183023| 556002|
| 40    | 25843| 16266| 423570| 259605| 825554|
| 45    | 32487| 25147| 577570| 354053| 1168485|
| 50    | 39909| 25147| 767700| 470815| 1598002|

Table 2. Timing performance (msecs) for the case of BRAINIX dataset.

| Order | GMs | LMs | TMs | KMs | DHMs |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| 0     | DS  | SBS | DS  | SBS | DS   |
| 5     | 13  | 11  | 4   | 25  | 21   |
| 10    | 1720| 1501| 14204| 11950| 15517|
| 15    | 3824| 3346| 36354| 30605| 48075|
| 20    | 6623| 5799| 72407| 60926| 110151|
| 25    | 10108| 8851| 125500| 105649| 209637|
| 30    | 14233| 12461| 200015| 168363| 355661|
| 35    | 19047| 16675| 296031| 249264| 555787|
| 40    | 24590| 21519| 420693| 354320| 823488|
| 45    | 30806| 26959| 574196| 483628| 1170698|
| 50    | 37735| 33021| 759956| 640210| 1605292|

Table 3. Timing performance (msecs) for the case of KNIX dataset.
A General Framework for Computation of Biomedical Image Moments

457

Order Moment Families (INCISIX Dataset)

| Order | GMs  | LMs  | TMs  | KMs  | DHMs |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
|       | DS   | SBS  | DS   | SBS  | DS   | SBS  | DS   | SBS  |
| 0     | 13   | 12   | 4    | 4    | 32   | 30   | 201  | 188  | 11922 | 11145 |
| 5     | 496  | 475  | 3578 | 3338 | 3272 | 3050 | 7783 | 7275 | 722863 | 675832 |
| 10    | 1685 | 1614 | 15295| 14254| 20771| 19302| 28372| 26505| 2660991| 2487824|
| 15    | 3572 | 3422 | 38163| 35545| 67594| 62776| 70776| 66143| 5864036| 5482582|
| 20    | 6188 | 5929 | 75896| 70658| 153916|143171|138969|128866|10284282| 9615163|
| 25    | 9475 | 9078 | 131719|125299|266591|247966|235428|220038|15971863|14932422|
| 30    | 13464| 12904| 208231|193770|425457|395679|359150|335315|22919177|21427273|
| 35    | 18187| 17431| 322708|300266|671490|624761|522587|487417|31164529|29135577|
| 40    | 23991| 23614| 504327|469081|983175|914848|706469|658649|40724145|38072365|
| 45    | 29692| 28472| 726877|678579|1346551|1252703|913268|851339|51649763|48285960|
| 50    | 36558| 35077| 932814|876355|1816793|1690125|1156850|1078288|63889158|59727774|

Table 4. Timing performance (msecs) for the case of INCISIX dataset.

Order Moment Families (MIAS Dataset)

| Order | GMs  | LMs  | TMs  | KMs  | DHMs |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
|       | DS   | SBS  | DS   | SBS  | DS   | SBS  | DS   | SBS  |
| 0     | 13   | 6    | 4    | 2    | 24   | 11   | 197  | 89   | 11835 | 4809 |
| 5     | 509  | 240  | 3333 | 1522 | 2398 | 1099 | 7856 | 3571 | 710597 | 290989 |
| 10    | 1714 | 809  | 14452| 6593 | 1542 | 7091 | 28732| 13070| 2690070|1067752|
| 15    | 3623 | 1712 | 36858| 16863| 48365| 22174| 65639| 29875| 5710608|2337811|
| 20    | 6272 | 2964 | 73305| 33510| 110365|50572 | 121797|55539 | 10042048|4113277|
| 25    | 9628 | 4550 | 127805|58579| 209384|96111 | 199562|90988 | 15602271|6392757|
| 30    | 13653| 6456 | 202445|92886|355803|163555|301687|137453|22409641|9184141|
| 35    | 18387| 8697 | 300303|137786|557843|256311|431414|196660|30481570|1249575|
| 40    | 23830| 1274 | 425332|195223|824113|378585|590462|286338|39851184|1634314|
| 45    | 29986| 4187 | 581006|263680|1162888|534107|783667|357651|50506603|2072049|
| 50    | 36819| 7432 | 770337|353421|1584871|728010|101265|461968|62485283|2564557|

Table 5. Timing performance (msecs) for the case of MIAS dataset.

A more descriptive way to present the performance of the SBS methodology is by computing the Computation Time Reduction (CTR), defined in the following equation (12) and depicted in Fig. 3 for the case of all moment families and biomedical image datasets.

\[
\text{CTR}\% = \frac{\text{Time}_{DS} - \text{Time}_{SBS}}{\text{Time}_{DS}} \times 100
\]

(12)

The above diagrams clearly show that the reduction of the computation time by using the SBS strategy is significant for all the cases. More precisely, this reduction varies between 37%-50%, 12%-25%, 0%-7.5% and 50%-95% for the BRAINX, KNIX, INCISIX and MIAS datasets respectively. This diversity of the reduction owing to the different intensity distribution each image dataset presents, forming different number of blocks as shown in Table 1.

Another important outcome from the above plots is that all the moment families give near the same reduction for the same dataset and moreover this reduction is quite stable as the moment order increases (DHMs constitutes an exception for the case of MIAS dataset, where...
the reduction is significant higher (95%), for the high moment orders, as compared with the rest moment families (58%).

Fig. 3. Computation time reduction for the case of (a) BRAINIX, (b) KNIX, (c) INCISIX and (d) MIAS, datasets.

6. Conclusion

A morphology-driven methodology that improves the computation rates of the biomedical image moments was presented and analyzed in the previous sections. The usage of the introduced methodology can reduce the computation overhead by a significant factor depending on the image intensity morphology. This improvement is mainly achieved due to the biomedical images’ nature dealing with their intensities distribution, which boosts the performance of the proposed computation scheme.
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