Confining massless Dirac particles in two-dimensional curved space
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Dirac particles have been notoriously difficult to confine. Implementing a curved-space Dirac equation solver based on the quantum lattice Boltzmann method, we show that curvature in a two-dimensional space can confine a portion of a charged, massless Dirac fermion wave packet. This is equivalent to a finite probability of confining the Dirac fermion within a curved-space region. We propose a general power-law expression for the probability of confinement with respect to average spatial curvature for the studied geometry.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The equations of motion of quasirelativistic electrons in materials such as carbon nanotubes [1], graphene [2–7], three-dimensional (3D) Weyl semimetals [8,9], and topological insulators [10–12] can be mapped to the equations of motion of relativistic Dirac fermions when considering specific local regions of the Brillouin zone in a periodic lattice [13]. These Dirac materials have revived experimental and theoretical research of quasirelativistic particles in systems of different dimensions [14,15]. Two-dimensional (2D) systems are especially of interest due to graphene, 2D topological insulators, and the fractional quantum Hall effect [16]. Research is focused on bound states of Dirac particles, for example, in the context of quantum computing and waveguides [17,18].

Massless Dirac particles are notoriously difficult to confine. Studies about transmission of Dirac particles through one-dimensional potentials have shown guided modes using abrupt potentials [19,20]; however, these potentials are not experimentally feasible. In addition, some analytical investigations have indicated confinement only when considering a rotating frame [21,22]. Likewise, zero energy or Majorana bound modes have been theoretically predicted for an integrable graphene quantum dot [23] and by forming bielectron vortices [24].

An alternative approach is based on Fermi velocity engineering, for example, by embedding Dirac materials on substrates, where the bound states are achieved for specific Fermi velocity geometries [25,26]. Furthermore, in the context of quantum dots, some experimental success has been reported for soft confinement only by slightly opening the Dirac cone [27,28] and thus deviating from the truly relativistic dispersion.

We propose an alternative geometric approach to confine Dirac particles, namely, through static spatial curvature. Historically there have been other examples of quantum field theories solved in curved space-time in attempts to incorporate some effects of gravity to special-relativistic quantum theories [29] and these have also been applied to graphene sheets [30]. That is, following Einstein’s principle of equivalence, which requires the laws of physics to be the same in all inertial frames, the force of gravity can be modeled as a curvature of space-time. For example, in three dimensions bound states of moving Dirac particles in gravitational fields have been postulated [31]. Additionally, other effects of curvature, such as energy dissipation [32], have also been proposed for classical fluids. Specifically Dirac particles in curved space have been studied in the context of the surface electronic structure of topological insulators [33], electronic properties of curved graphene sheets with distortion and defects [34], analytical work of cold Dirac fermions on (2+1)-dimensional Rindler metric [35], and massless Dirac fermions in curved space-time that were implemented for understanding the behavior of quantum walks and their use in quantum algorithms [36]. In this work we give evidence for spatial confinement of massless Dirac fermions in general two-dimensional curved space and on deformed pure monolayer graphene.

To this end the transmittance of Dirac fermions is explored numerically using a solver of the Dirac equation developed by Debus [37]. The method is based on the conceptual similarities between the Dirac and the Boltzmann equations and is an extension of the quantum lattice Boltzmann method [38] to curved space.

First we introduce the Dirac equation and its extension to curved space and specifically deformed graphene. In the next section the simulations and results are presented. The paper finishes with a summary and outlook section. Appendixes can be found at the end including a more detailed description of the numerical model.

II. DIRAC MODELS IN CURVED SPACE

The original Dirac equation [39] for massless fermions can be written in compact notation:

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu)\Psi = 0,$$

(1)
in natural units such that $\hbar = c = 1$ for $\hbar$, Planck’s constant, and $c$, the speed of light, where $\mu = 0, 1, 2$ for 2D spacetime. $\Psi = (\Psi^+_a, \Psi^-_a) = (\psi^+_1, \psi^+_2, \psi^-_1, \psi^-_2) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ denotes the Dirac spinor for particle, antiparticle ($+,-$), and $\gamma^\mu = \gamma^\mu e^\mu_a$ are the generalized space-dependent $\gamma$ matrices, where $\gamma^\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 4}$ are the standard Dirac matrices and $e^\mu_a$ is the tetrad, which relates the flat Minkowski metric to the curved spacetime with the first and second indices, respectively.

Here the tetrad is defined by

$$e^\mu_a g_{\mu\nu} e^\nu_b = \eta_{ab},$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the metric tensor and $\eta_{ab}$ is the Minkowski metric. In two dimensions the tetrad can be computed directly as the square root of the metric. Now we define the covariant derivative as $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + \omega^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\mu} \sigma^{\alpha\beta}$, where $\sigma^{\alpha\beta} = i[\gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\beta]$ and the spin connection

$$\omega^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\mu} = e^\nu_b \nabla^\nu e^{\mu b}.$$

Using these definitions, Dirac equation (2) can be naturally extended to curved space described by a metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ with a covariant derivative as

$$(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu) \Psi = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $D_\mu$ is the covariant spinor derivative defined above. The Dirac equation in curved space describes relativistic Dirac particles (e.g., electrons) moving on arbitrary manifold trajectories (see Appendix B). The Dirac current is defined by

$$J^\mu = \overline{\Psi} \gamma^\mu \Psi.$$

In 2 + 1 dimensions, of interest in this work, the Dirac matrices can be represented in terms of the standard Pauli matrices $\sigma^i \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$, as $\gamma^0 = i\sigma^3$, $\gamma^1 = \sigma^2$, and $\gamma^2 = -\sigma^1$, where $\{\sigma^i, \sigma^j\} = \delta^{ij}$. Furthermore, the massless Dirac or Weyl equation can be expressed in the chiral representation with $\Psi = (\Psi^K, \Psi^K)$, where $\Psi_{K,R} = 1/2(1 \pm \gamma^0)$ are decoupled Pauli spinors. In the context of graphene, the general Dirac spinor $\Psi = (\Psi^K, \Psi^K) = (\psi^+_1, \psi^+_2, \psi^-_1, \psi^-_2)$, for sublattices $A, B$ and valleys $K, K'$. Equivalently to the Weyl representation, the two valleys are decoupled from each other; therefore, the spinor can be simplified to the sublattice basis, $\Psi = (\psi^+_1, \psi^-_2)$, without loss of generality.

To model the single-layer carbon atom honeycomb lattice structure we start from the tight-binding Hamiltonian which is constructed assuming superposition of local waves for isolated atoms on a honeycomb lattice [40]. In the low-energy limit it has been shown that the tight-binding Hamiltonian converges to the Dirac Hamiltonian in the continuum limit, the equation of motion from this Hamiltonian is simply the Dirac equation. In this work, we consider a static space-time metric with trivial time components,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -g_{ij} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the latin indices run across the spatial dimensions. This simplifies the Dirac equation, Eq. (2), in 2+1 dimensions to

$$\partial_\mu \Psi + \sigma^\mu e^\mu_a (\partial_\mu + i A_\mu) \Psi = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

After the addition of external vector and scalar potentials $A_\mu(x)$ and $V(x)$, respectively, as explained in Ref. [41], the Dirac equation takes the following form:

$$\partial_\mu \Psi + \sigma^\mu e^\mu_a (\partial_\mu + i A_\mu) \Psi = \sigma^3 V \Psi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

For the given metric, the conservation law for the Dirac current can be written as $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot J' = 0$, where $\rho = \Psi^\dagger \Psi \in \mathbb{R}$.

For graphene the effective Dirac Hamiltonian looks like [42]

$$H_D^{\text{eff}} = -i v_f \int \Psi^\dagger \sigma^a (\nabla^a \partial_\mu + i A_\mu) \Psi \sqrt{|g|} d^2 x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $v^a_f = \delta_{ai} + u_{ai} - \beta \epsilon_{ai}$ is the space-dependent Fermi velocity, $\Gamma^a = \frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon_{ij} v^j_a$ is a complex vector field, and $A^a_\mu$ is a strain-induced pseudovector potential given by $A^a_\mu = (A^a_x, A^a_y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\epsilon_{xx} - \epsilon_{yy}, -2 \epsilon_{xy})$, where $\beta$ is the electron Grueneisen parameter, $a$ the lattice spacing, and $\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_{uij} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_i h \delta_j / h$ the general strain tensor with in-plane ($u_{ij}$) and out-of-plane ($h$) deformations. The term $u_{ai}$ in $v^a_f$ can be interpreted as the lattice deformation potential term and is purely a geometric consequence. Comparing this to the standard Dirac Hamiltonian in curved space,

$$H_D = -i \int \Psi^\dagger \sigma^a e^\mu_a (\partial_\mu + i A_\mu) \Psi \sqrt{|g|} d^2 x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

we can match both Hamiltonians $H_D$ and $H_D^{\text{eff}}$ by fulfilling the following relations:

$$v^a_f = v_f \sqrt{|g|} e^a_\mu, \quad \Gamma^a = v_f \sqrt{|g|} \epsilon_{ij} \Gamma^i, \quad A^a_\mu = v_f \sqrt{|g|} e^a_\mu A_\mu.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

All three can be simultaneously fulfilled by an effective metric tensor derived from the explicit expression of the tetrad [41]. The effective Dirac model for nonuniform strained graphene as explained in Ref. [42] does not distinguish between the graphene valleys $K$ and $K'$ as it relies on the basic principle that the theory for graphene under nonuniform strain should describe the particular case of a uniform strain, where both Dirac points in the Brillouin zone are affected the same way and thus considered equivalent. The numerical model implemented is explained in Appendix A.

III. SIMULATIONS AND RESULTS

The transmittance of a traveling wave packet through a curved-space metric is investigated similarly to Ref. [43] for the graphene effective Hamiltonian equation (6). A Gaussian wave packet is initialized as

$$\Psi(r, k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma^2}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda e^{i\theta}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \right) \xi \cdot \mathbf{k},$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)
where \( \lambda = \pm 1 \) is the band index, \( \theta = \arctan(k_x/k_y) \), \( \sigma \) is a measure for the width, \( \mathbf{r} = (x, y) \), \( x \) and \( y \) are the two space dimensions, and \( \mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y) \), where \( k_x \) and \( k_y \) represent the \( x \) and \( y \) momenta, respectively. \( k_\ell \) is initialized to 1, \( k_\ell \) to zero, and \( \lambda \) to +1. In the simulations, we consider a rectangular sheet with periodic boundary conditions on a grid of size \( L_x \times L_y = 512 \times 128 \) or 20 nm \( \times \) 5 nm; \( A_\text{ex} \), the external potential, is set to zero. The norm of the wave function, \( ||\Psi||^2 \), i.e., the probability density, \( \rho \), is plotted in Fig. 1(a) for the initial and a later time step. For the latter, the wave packet has been reshaped by curvature; in the case of a flat metric it will only spread [see Fig. 2(a)]. The kinematics of relativistic wave packets for Minkowski space-time is explained in Ref. [44].

Defining \( \delta g^{ij}(x, y) = C_0 \exp((x/\sigma')^2 + (y/\sigma')^2) \), where \( C_0 \) denotes the amplitude and \( \sigma' = 0.2L_y \), the metric is

\[
g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \delta g^{11}(x, y) & \delta g^{12}(x, y) \\ \delta g^{21}(x, y) & 1 + \delta g^{22}(x, y) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}\]

Here \( C_0 < 0 \), which constitutes an attractive spatial curvature, resulting in a squeezing effect on the wave packet as seen in Fig. 2. In the case of \( C_0 > 0 \) the wave packet would be repelled from the central region, splitting it up. For numerical stability we keep \( |C_0| < 0.1L_y \); additionally, small out-of-plane deformations are more easily physically realizable.

Experimentally, optical forging can be used to construct graphene into the free-standing three-dimensional shape [45]. The method exploits local strain induction due to irradiation with laser pulses under inert atmosphere and has been shown to form up to 20-nm-high custom-made structures. The metric tensor can be computed from the discreet mapping (or chart) \( h^\alpha(x, y) \) relating the positions of the atoms from the three-dimensional flat space (laboratory frame with Minkowski metric) to the curved space by

\[
g_{ij} = \frac{\partial h^\alpha(x, y)}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial h^\beta(x, y)}{\partial x^i} \eta_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{11}\]

as explained in Ref. [46]. The positions of the atoms and consequently \( h^\alpha \) can be determined by scanning tunneling microscopy and atomic force microscopy.

The amount of spatial curvature is best described by the Ricci scalar \( R \), shown in Fig. 1(b). \( R \) represents the contraction of the Riemann curvature tensor \( \mathbf{R} = g^{ij}R_{ij}^k \) (see Appendix B). The space-averaged Ricci scalar \( \langle R \rangle \) is calculated from

\[
\langle R \rangle = \frac{\int_{x, y} R(x, y)\sqrt{\mathbf{g}} \, dx \, dy}{\int_{x, y} \sqrt{\mathbf{g}} \, dx \, dy}, \tag{12}\]

where an explicit expression can be found in Appendix C.

The wave packet undergoes some spreading perpendicular to the motion as expected qualitatively from the Dirac equation [44], shown in Fig. 2(a). The effect of curvature is to squeeze the wave packet along the zero-momentum direction as shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c). This is not a geometrical artifact as the squeezed shape is retained, with some spreading, even after the wave packet exits the curved region.

The relative change in normalized probability density,

\[
\Delta \rho(t) = \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{H}} [\rho(x, y, t) - \rho(x, y, t = 0)], \tag{13}\]
FIG. 3. (a) Time evolution of the total relative change of the probability density in region II for different average curvatures \( R \), in semilogarithmic scale. (b) Density change in region II for \( t = 3.0 \) fs and \( \langle R \rangle = 3.9 \times 10^{-3} \) nm\(^{-2}\) plotted against different resolutions as \( x \) data points. The residual density is exponentially converging with resolution to an asymptotic value shown as the dotted line. The curve \( 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \exp(-1.006RF) + 1.058 \times 10^{-4} \) is fitted to the data as the dashed line. The inset shows the probability density within region II for \( \langle R \rangle = 3.9 \times 10^{-3} \) nm\(^{-2}\) and \( RF = 8 \) after a long time.

Within the central region II, as indicated in Fig. 1(a), is measured for all time steps and plotted in logarithmic scale in Fig. 3(a). The main bulk of the wave packet exits region II at around \( t = 2.6 \) fs. Comparing the flat with the curved cases, one sees that some density is left within region II.

In order to exclude the possibility of a numerical artifact, the residual density relative to the flat case at \( t = 3 \) fs,

\[
\Delta \rho(t = 3 \text{ fs}) = \Delta \rho(t = 3 \text{ fs}) - \Delta \rho_{(R)}(t = 3 \text{ fs}),
\]

is plotted in logarithmic scale for \( \langle R \rangle = 3.9 \times 10^{-3} \) nm\(^{-2}\) against the resolution factor \( (RF) = \text{(number of computational cells)/(smallest number of computational cells)} \), for the same physical scenario. From Fig. 3(b), by fitting the exponential \( 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \exp(-1.006RF) + 1.058 \times 10^{-4} \), we conclude that the residual density is exponentially converging with resolution to an asymptotic value. Therefore, the density-confining effect is a real solution and not a numerical artifact.

In Ref. [47], the vorticity or angular momentum of a relativistic wave packet is defined as \( w_D = \nabla \times J^\mu = \nabla \times \Psi y^\mu \Psi \). Therefore, as a measure of the angular momentum the total vorticity of the wave packet relative to the flat scenario,

\[
\Delta |w_D(t)| = \sum_{x,y \in \Pi} |w_D(x,y,t) - w_D(x,y,t=0)| - \sum_{x,y \in \Pi} |w_D(x,y,t) - w_D(x,y,t=0)|_{(R)} = 0,
\]

is plotted in logarithmic scale for \( \langle R \rangle = 3.9 \times 10^{-3} \) nm\(^{-2}\) and \( RF = 8 \) after a long time.

The density confined in region II at \( t = 2.9 \) fs is plotted over a wire-frame visualization of the Ricci scalar in Fig. 5. The density retains a squeezed Gaussian shape with a higher probability in the center, similar to the forward-moving wave packet. The trapped Dirac fermion density can be understood as the probability of confining a Dirac fermion within the curved-space region. Therefore, a Gaussian peak is the natural, expected shape of the confined density. For relatively small curvatures, as investigated here, this probability is about 0.1%. Alternatively the wave packet can be apprehended as a collection of Dirac fermions, which can break apart, and some remain within the “curved-space trap.”

The local density of states (LDOS) is calculated from the energy spectrum \( E_n \) of the system and its normalized

FIG. 4. (a) Time evolution of total vorticity change, a measure of angular momentum, in region II for \( \langle R \rangle = 3.9 \times 10^{-3} \) nm\(^{-2}\), in semilogarithmic scale. (b) Total vorticity within region II for \( t = 2.9 \) fs and \( \langle R \rangle = 3.9 \times 10^{-3} \) nm\(^{-2}\).

FIG. 5. Normalized confined density within region II, indicated by the top rectangle, for \( t = 2.9 \) fs and \( \langle R \rangle = 3.9 \times 10^{-3} \) nm\(^{-2}\). The curved wire frame represents the Ricci scalar of the domain.
Local density of states plotted for energy along the $x$ dimension for $y = 0.5L_y$.

eigenfunctions $\phi_n(x)$ according to the following relation:

$$\rho_{\text{LDOS}}(x, E) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_n |\phi_n(x)|^2 \text{Im} \frac{1}{E - E_n - i\delta\epsilon}.$$  (16)

$\delta\epsilon \approx 0.02$ eV is the approximate broadening of the energy spectrum peaks and is expected to be present in the material. The result is plotted in Fig. 6, where the discrete energy levels of the system are clearly visible.

The stability of the confined density is investigated by simulating a longer time. This result is shown in the inset of Fig. 3(b). The confined $\Delta\tilde{\rho}$ remains constant for up to $1.2 \times 10^3$ computational time steps and, within numerical errors, shows no indications of depletion. The oscillations of $O(10^{-5})$ relative to $\Delta\tilde{\rho}$ are caused by minor boundary effects since their period of oscillation is dependent on domain size. In real units, using graphene as an example, for deformation of 20 nm and Fermi velocity $v_f \approx 1 \times 10^6$ m/s [48], one computational time step corresponds to 0.01 fs of physical time. The total simulation time is then equivalent to 12 fs.

For completeness, the same wave packet is initialized at rest ($k_x = k_y = 0$) at the center of region II. The time evolution of the relative change in normalized probability density within region II is plotted in Fig. 7. The wave packet spreads outwards but similarly to the previous scenario ($k_z \approx 1$) there is some residual density in region II for the curved relative to the flat space. As seen in the inset of Fig. 7, the difference between the curved and flat spaces remains constant, indicating confined charge density in the region.

The present model describes perfect Dirac fermions and a pure monolayer graphene sheet for low energy levels close to the Dirac point. In experimental reality the result should be stable to disorder significantly smaller than the curved-space trap. For example, ripples of the order of 0.1 nm would not affect the trapping. Equivalently, any impurities and/or dislocations would only become significant if they alter the basic properties of graphene such as lattice periodicity, affecting dramatically the phase-space representation.

The dependence of confinement on curvature is plotted in Fig. 8 and fitted with a power law. Specifically, the residual density relative to the flat case at $t = 3.0$ fs is plotted against total average curvature $\langle R \rangle$. From Fig. 8, an explicit expression for the probability of confinement, $P_c$, can be deduced:

$$P_c = \frac{\Delta\langle \|\Psi\|^2 \rangle}{\langle \|\Psi\|^2 \rangle} \propto \langle \langle R \rangle^\alpha \rangle,$$  (17)

where $\Delta\langle \|\Psi\|^2 \rangle$ denotes the change in probability density and $\langle \langle R \rangle \rangle$ the space-averaged Ricci scalar. Equation (17) is only valid for an attracting and confining curved-space manifold; the exponent $\alpha$ is also case specific, where we find in the current scenario $\alpha = 0.77$ and $\alpha = 0.81$ for the pure Dirac equation (3) and the graphene effective Hamiltonians [Eq. (6)], respectively. The discrepancy between the two cases is expected due to the differences in the models; pure Dirac particles are less likely to be confined relative to electrons on graphene.
IV. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We presented a study on transmittance and confining of Dirac particles in 2D curved space and graphene sheets showing that curvature can squeeze a traveling wave packet.

Furthermore, we have shown that it is possible to confine a portion of a traveling wave packet within a curved-space region on a 2D manifold. We propose Eq. (17) for describing the probability of confinement. In principle, this effect could be experimentally verified by forging graphene into a curved shape [45] to reproduce the metric in Eq. (10).

Building on these results, other geometries and even time-dependent metrics can be further investigated to increase confinement probability and lifetime. Locally confined Dirac fermions on graphene might be potentially utilized in advanced electronics applications such as memory modules and quantum computing. Additionally, by experimenting further with possible geometries and their effect on traveling wave packets and currents, a viable graphene waveguide might be numerically engineered.

For this study we implemented a curved-space Dirac equation solver [37,41] based on the quantum lattice Boltzmann method [38]. This solver can be further developed to curved space-time, opening up the possibility of numerically solving model 

with unitary transformation matrices $X_1$, $X_2$ given by

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 1 \\ -i & 0 & i & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -i \\ \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

The streaming and collision operations are performed in successive steps using operator splitting because simultaneous diagonalization of three $\sigma$ matrices is not possible; please refer to Ref. [41] for the operator splitting procedure. Here, the collision operator is expanded in a unitary way to conserve the norm but since the streaming and forcing terms contain derivative operators a unitary expansion is not possible. Therefore, a simple second-order expansion is performed, limiting the probability norm to $\Delta t^2$ accuracy.

The manifold itself is described by a chart $h$ defined in linear space (see Appendix B), which is discretized on a regular rectangular lattice. The curved-space quantum lattice Boltzmann method evolves the spinor $\Psi = (\Psi^1, \Psi^2, \Psi^3, \Psi^4)$ from $t$ to $t + \Delta t$. Once the operators are split, the following algorithm is performed consecutively for each lattice direction $n_a$, where $n_1 = (1,0)$, $n_2 = (0,1)$, and $a = 1,2$.

1. Rotation: The spinor is rotated by

$$\tilde{\Psi}_a(x,t) = X_1^{n_a}\Psi(x,t).$$

2. Collisions and curvature: The collision and force operators are applied on the rotated spinor,

$$\tilde{\Psi}_a^*(x,t) = \left(\Delta t \tilde{F}_a + \left(1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \tilde{C}_a \right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \tilde{C}_a \right)\right)\tilde{\Psi}_a(x,t),$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}_a^*(x,t)$ denotes an auxiliary field,

$$\tilde{C}_a = \frac{1}{2} X_1^a \bar{C} X_a,$$

$$\tilde{F}_a \tilde{\Psi}_a(x,t) = (\epsilon_a \delta_a^2 - \delta_a^1) \langle \tilde{\Psi}_a(x) \mp n_i \Delta t, t \rangle - \tilde{\Psi}_a(x,t),$$

where $n_i$ is the lattice direction and $\bar{C}$ is the collision term [Eq. (A2)]. The minus sign applies for the spin-up components ($\Psi^1_a$, $\Psi^2_a$) and the plus sign for the spin-down components ($\Psi^3_a$, $\Psi^4_a$).

3. Streaming: The spinor components are streamed to the closest grid points along the lattice direction $\pm n_a$.

$$\tilde{\Psi}_a \left( x, t \mp \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right) = \tilde{\Psi}_a^*(x \mp n_a \Delta t, t).$$

4. Inverse rotation: The spinor is rotated back by $X_a$,

$$\Psi_a \left( x, t \mp \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right) = X_a \tilde{\Psi}_a \left( x, t \mp \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right).$$

(5) Repeat steps 2–4 for the next spatial direction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for the financial support of the Swiss National Science Foundation, under Grant No. 200021 165497.

APPENDIX A: NUMERICAL MODEL: QUANTUM LATTICE BOLTZMANN

The Dirac equation in curved space can be written as

$$\partial_t \Psi + \sigma^a \partial_a \Psi = C \Psi + F \psi,$$

where the left-hand side represents the “free streaming” step along matrix-valued “velocities” $\sigma^i$ and the right-hand side contains a collision term

$$C = -(i m \gamma^0 + \sigma^a e^a_i \Gamma_i),$$

where $m$ is the fermion mass, and a forcing term,

$$F = -\sigma^a (e^a_i - \delta^a_i) \partial_i.$$ As explained in Ref. [41], to avoid interpolation the partial derivative is distributed between the streaming part and the forcing term, resulting in a lattice-compatible streaming operator of the form $\partial_t + v^a \partial_a$. In order to obtain a diagonal streaming operator the complex $\sigma$ matrices have to be diagonalized first, which also yields a diagonal velocity matrix with eigenvalues $v^a = \pm 1$. The digitalization is achieved by

$$X^a_i \alpha^c X_c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \gamma^0 \quad \text{for } c = 0, 1, 2,$$

$\gamma^0$ being the probability norm to $\Delta t^2$ accuracy.

$$X^a_i \alpha^c X_c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 1 \\ -i & 0 & i & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}.$$
The simulation for strained graphene is carried out with modified Eqs. (A5) and (A6) such that

\[ \tilde{C}_a \rightarrow \sqrt{g} \tilde{C}_a, \quad e_a^i \rightarrow \sqrt{g} e_a^i. \]

The additional factor of \( \sqrt{g} \) originates from the volume element of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (7).

**APPENDIX B: RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY**

The latin indices run over the spatial dimensions, and Einstein summation convention is used for repeated indices.

A \( D \)-dimensional curved space is represented by a Riemannian manifold \( M \), which is locally described by a smooth diffeomorphism \( \mathbf{h} \), called the chart. The set of tangent vectors attached to each point \( y \) on the manifold is called the tangent space \( T_y M \). In the fluid model, all the vector quantities are represented as elements of \( T_y M \). The derivatives of the chart \( \mathbf{h} \) are used to define the standard basis \( (e_1, \ldots, e_D) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^D} \right) \).

The metric tensor \( g \) can be used to measure the length of a vector or the angle between two vectors. In local coordinates, the components of the metric tensor are given by

\[ g_{ij}(x) = e_i(x) \cdot e_j(x) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^i} \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^j}, \quad (B1) \]

where \( \cdot \) is the standard Euclidean scalar product.

For a given metric tensor, the vector \( v = v^i e_i \in T_y M \) has a norm \( ||v||_g = \sqrt{g^{ij} v^i v^j} \) and a corresponding dual vector \( v^* = v^i e_i \in T^*_y M \) in the cotangent space, which is spanned by the differential 1-forms \( dx^i = g(e_i, \cdot) \). The coefficients \( v_i \) of the dual vector are typically denoted by a lower index and are related to the upper-index coefficients \( v^i \) by contraction with the metric tensor: \( v_i = g_{ij} v^j \) or, equivalently, \( v^i = g^{ij} v_j \), where \( g^{ij} \) denotes the inverse of the metric tensor.

The upper-index coefficients \( v^i \) of a vector \( v \) are typically called contravariant components, whereas the lower-index coefficients \( v_i \) of the dual vectors \( v^i \) are known as the covariant components.

A necessary feature for the description of objects moving on the manifold is parallel transport of vectors along the manifold. The tangent space is equipped with a covariant derivative \( \nabla \) (Levi-Civita connection), which connects the tangent spaces at different points on the manifold and thus allows to transport a tangent vector from one tangent space to the other along a given curve \( \gamma(t) \). The covariant derivative can be viewed as the orthogonal projection of the Euclidean derivative \( \partial \) onto the tangent space, such that the tangency of the vectors is preserved during the transport. In local coordinates, the covariant derivative is fully characterized by its connection coefficients \( \Gamma^i_{jk} \) (Christoffel symbols), which are defined by the action of the covariant derivative on the basis vector, \( \nabla_j e_k = \Gamma^i_{jk} e_i \). In the standard basis, \( e_i = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^i} \), the Christoffel symbols are related to the metric by

\[ \Gamma^i_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \left( \partial_k g_{jl} + \partial_l g_{kj} - \partial_j g_{kl} \right). \quad (B2) \]

Acting on a general vector \( v = v^i e_i \), the covariant derivative becomes

\[ \nabla_k v = (\partial_k v^j + \Gamma^j_{ki} v^i) e_j, \quad (B3) \]

where the product rule has been applied, using that the covariant derivative acts as a normal derivative on the scalar functions \( v^j \). Extending to tensors of higher rank, for example, the second-order tensors \( T = T^{ij} \),

\[ \nabla_k T = (\partial_k T^{ij} + \Gamma^i_{kj} T^{lj} + \Gamma^j_{ki} T^{lj}) e_i \otimes e_j, \quad (B4) \]

in this work the basis vectors \( e_i \) are generally dropped. Compatibility of the covariant derivative with the metric tensor implies that \( \nabla_k g^{ij} = \nabla_k g_{ij} = 0 \). This property allows us to commute the covariant derivative with the metric tensor for the raising or lowering of tensor indices in derivative expressions.

The motion of the particle can be described by the curve \( \gamma(t) \), which parametrizes the position of the particle at time \( t \). The geodesic equation, \( \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} = 0 \), in local coordinates \( \gamma(t) = y^i(t) e_i \) is defined by

\[ \gamma^i + \Gamma^i_{jk} \gamma^j \gamma^k = 0. \quad (B5) \]

The geodesic equation can be interpreted as the generalization of Newton’s law of inertia to curved space. The solutions of Eq. (B5) represent lines of constant kinetic energy on the manifold, i.e., the geodesics. The Riemann curvature tensor \( R \) can be used to measure curvature or, more precisely, it measures curvature-induced change of a tangent vector \( v \) when transported along a closed loop:

\[ R(e_i, e_j) v = \nabla_i \nabla_j v - \nabla_j \nabla_i v. \quad (B6) \]

In a local coordinate basis \( e_i \), the coefficients of the Riemann curvature tensor are given by

\[ R^l_{ijk} = g(R(e_i, e_j) e_k) = \delta_j \Gamma^l_{ik} - \delta_k \Gamma^l_{ij} + \Gamma^l_{jm} \Gamma^m_{ik} - \Gamma^l_{km} \Gamma^m_{ij}; \quad (B7) \]

Contraction of \( R^l_{ijk} \) to a rank 2 and 1 tensor yields the Ricci tensor \( R_{ij} = R^l_{ikl} \) and the Ricci scalar \( R = g^{ij} R_{ij} \), respectively, which can also be used to quantify curvature.

The gradient is defined as \( \nabla f = g^{ij} \partial_j f \), the divergence as \( \nabla_i v^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{g} v^i) \), and the integration over the curved volume as \( V = \int_V \sqrt{g} dV \), where \( dV = \sqrt{g} dx^1 \cdots dx^D = \sqrt{g} d^D x \) denotes the volume element. \( \sqrt{g} \) denotes the square root of the determinant of the metric tensor.

It should be clarified that in the simulations there is no time curvature and \( g_{ij} \) denotes the curved-space metric.

**APPENDIX C: EXPLICIT RICCI SCALAR**

The Ricci scalar \( R \) as explicitly calculated for the metric Eq. (10) is as follows:

\[ R = -C_0 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)} [2 C_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)} (e^{\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)} - 4)] (x - y)^2 + C_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)} (e^{\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)} - 4)] (x - y)^2 + e^{\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)} \times (x^2 - 2xy + y^2 - 32) - 2e^{\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)} (3x^2 - 6xy + 3y^2 + 80) + 4e^{\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)} (49x^2 - 98xy + 49y^2 - 32) + 16(x - y)^2 \]
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\[ +C_{0}e^{\frac{i}{2}(x^{2}+y^{2})}(2e^{\frac{i}{2}(x^{2}+y^{2})}(3x^{2} - 6xy + 3y^{2} - 8) + 32(3x^{2} - 6xy + 3y^{2} - 2) + 16e^{\frac{i}{2}(x^{2}+y^{2})}(10x^{2} - 20xy + 10y^{2} - 17) \\
+e^{\frac{i}{2}(x^{2}+y^{2})}(55x^{2} - 110xy + 55y^{2} - 128)) + C_{0}e^{\frac{i}{2}(x^{2}+y^{2})}(e^{\frac{i}{2}(x^{2} - 10xy + 5y^{2} - 24)} \\
+16(5x^{2} - 10xy + 5y^{2} - 6) + 2e^{\frac{i}{2}(47x^{2} - 94xy + 47y^{2} - 60)}) \\
+2e^{\frac{i}{2}(x^{2} - 2xy + y^{2} - 4) + 32e^{\frac{i}{2}(x^{2} - 2xy + y^{2} - 1)}./(16(2C_{0} + e^{\frac{i}{2}})(2C_{0} + e^{\frac{i}{2}+y^{2}})).\]