Artesunate sensitizes ovarian cancer cells to cisplatin by downregulating RAD51
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Introduction

Ovarian cancer is relatively uncommon, occurring in 1 of 2,500 postmenopausal women in the US, but it is the most lethal gynecologic malignancy, accounting for 5-6% of all cancer related deaths.1 Most patients present with advanced disease and are usually treated with surgical resection followed by platinum-based chemotherapy.2 However, most patients relapse with platinum-resistant disease and require treatment with a regimen that does not contain platinum. Liposomal doxorubicin, topotecan, gemcitabine, paclitaxel, oral etoposide and vincristine are among the alternative agents for platinum-resistant disease, but with response rates in the range of 10 to 20 percent.2 Platinum and all the other drugs tend to also have serious side effects. The limitations with the current therapeutic agents call for development of new drugs or chemosensitizers.

Recent studies showed that artesunate, a derivative of artemisinin that was originally developed for the treatment of malaria, possesses antitumor activity.3,4 In particular, it can inhibit the proliferation of cancer cells by inducing oxidative stress and inflicting DNA damage.5,7 In this report, we studied the antitumor effect of artesunate on ovarian cancer in vitro and in tumor xenografts. We found that in addition to inducing oxidative stress and DNA damage, artesunate could effectively downregulate RAD51 and increase the sensitivity of ovarian cancer cells to cisplatin in vitro and in vivo. We also showed that RAD51 foci formation and homologous recombination repair are significantly impaired in artesunate-treated cells. Our findings suggest that artesunate can potentially be used as an adjuvant drug in the therapy of ovarian cancer.

Results

Artesunate induces ROS and DNA double-strand breaks in ovarian cancer cells

Artesunate has been previously reported to kill cancer cells by inducing oxidative stress and DNA damage.5 To determine whether artesunate can similarly induce oxidative stress and DNA damage in ovarian cancer cells, we measured the...
levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the level of γ-H2AX, a marker of DNA double-strand breaks, in the cancer cells after they were treated with artesunate. As shown in Figure 1A and 1B, artesunate treatment for 24 h caused a significant increase in the levels of ROS in a dose-dependent manner in both cell lines. Moreover, Western blotting showed that the levels of γ-H2AX were significantly elevated when cancer cells were treated with artesunate in the higher dose range for 24 h (Figure 1C). Together, these results indicated that artesunate acts as a genotoxicant in ovarian cancer cells. These findings were consistent with the previous reports.5,6

Artesunate downregulates RAD51 in ovarian cancer cells

The induction of oxidative stress and DSBs by artesunate is reminiscent of the effect of berberine, which also elevates ROS and inflicts DSBs.8-11 We previously showed that berberine can also sensitize cancer cells to ionizing radiation by downregulating RAD51.12 We therefore tested whether artesunate can also downregulate RAD51 in ovarian cancer cells. Western blot analysis showed a dose-dependent decrease in the level of RAD51 in A2780, H8910 and HEY cell lines when cells were treated with artesunate for 24 h (Fig. 2A). SKOV3 cells, however, appeared to be unresponsive to artesunate. Artesunate also showed a time-dependent effect on the level of RAD51 in A2780 and H8910 cells (Fig. 2A). In two types of non-malignant cells, normal human fibroblasts and immortalized epithelial cells, FTE-187, the level of RAD51 was not altered by artesunate (Fig. 2B). We next determined whether the downregulation of RAD51 by artesunate occurs at transcription level. In A2780 cells, the level of RAD51 mRNA was indeed decreased by the addition of artesunate, in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2C). Correspondingly, the promoter activity of RAD51 was significantly inhibited by artesunate (Fig. 2D). In contrast, the RAD51 mRNA level in H8910 cells was not affected by artesunate (Fig. 2E). As expected, the promoter activity showed no response to artesunate (Fig. 2F). These results indicate that artesunate can downregulate RAD51 at transcription level and post-transcriptionally. The fact that RAD51 mRNA level in H8910 cells was not reduced by artesunate also implies that the downregulation of RAD51 by artesunate is not due to cell cycle arrest. Consistently, analysis of cell cycle distribution showed that while there was a modest induction of G1 arrest in artesunate-treated A2780 cells, cell cycle distribution was not altered in H8910 cells (Data not shown).
Artesunate inhibits the formation of RAD51 foci and HRR

We next tested whether the downregulation of RAD51 would be translated into a reduced function of HR. Because the formation of RAD51 foci is regarded as critical step in HR, we first determined whether the formation of RAD51 foci is impaired by artesunate. We observed that while the baseline level of RAD51 foci was not different in artesunate-treated ovarian cancer cells when compared to control cells, the induction of RAD51 foci by cisplatin was significantly attenuated by artesunate in both cell lines tested (Fig. 3A). This result suggests that with a reduction in the total amount of RAD51, the formation of RAD51 foci in ovarian cancer cells sustaining DNA damage is also significantly reduced by artesunate.

The formation of RAD51 foci as one step of HR only partially reflects the function of HR repair. We then resorted to a GFP (green fluorescence protein) reporter assay that measures the end products of HR repair.13 Linearized GFP reporter plasmids and DsRed plasmids were co-transfected into ovarian cancer cells and the GFP positive cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. As shown in Figure 3B, in cells treated with artesunate, the frequency of GFP positive cells were significantly reduced. This result indicates that HRR function can indeed be reduced by artesunate.

Artesunate sensitizes ovarian cancer cells to cisplatin

Since HRR is essential for the repair of DSBs that arise in S phase, it could be expected that cancer cells treated with artesunate and cisplatin in combination would incur more DNA damage than those treated with artesunate or cisplatin alone. Indeed, while artesunate and cisplatin could each induce DSBs, as reflected by the γ-H2AX foci formed, they acted synergistically in combination (Fig. 4A). This result suggests that artesunate may greatly elevate the genotoxic effect of agents that cause DSBs and DNA cross-links.

We next tested whether artesunate and cisplatin could act synergistically in inhibiting the clonogenic formation of ovarian cancer cells. As shown in Figure 4B, while cisplatin (0.3 μM) and artesunate (5 μg/ml) each had a very mild inhibitory effect on the colony-forming efficiency of ovarian cancer cells when applied alone, concomitant application of the 2 produced a significant synergistic effect that approaches synthetic lethality.

Ectopic expression of RAD51 attenuates the increased chemosensitivity conferred by artesunate

Because artesunate can potentially disrupt many biological processes in the cancer cells, it is possible that the
The chemosensitizing effect of artesunate may not be caused by the downregulation of RAD51. To demonstrate that the downregulation of RAD51 is primarily responsible for increased sensitivity to cisplatin, we generated A2780 and H8910 cell lines that overexpress RAD51 and subjected them to artesunate and/or cisplatin. The expression of exogenous RAD51 was confirmed by Western blot (Fig. 5A). As shown in Figure 5B, ectopic expression of RAD51 rendered the ovarian cancer cells more resistant to the combined treatment when compared to control cells that express empty pcDNA3.1 vectors. This result suggests that the chemosensitizing effect of artesunate is at least partially mediated by the downregulation of RAD51.

Artesunate in combination with cisplatin inhibits ovarian cancer xenograft tumor growth

We next tested the effects of artesunate and cisplatin, administered alone or in combination, on the growth of ovarian cancer cells in vivo. A2780 and H8910 cells, $5 \times 10^6$ cells/in 0.2 ml PBS, were injected subcutaneously into the left inguinal area of the female nude mice. Tumor-bearing mice were randomly divided into 4 groups and were administered daily via i.p. injection of artesunate (50 mg/kg), cisplatin (2 mg/kg), or in combination of the 2 for 16 days. As shown in Figure 6, tumor growth was significantly reduced in the group receiving combined treatment of artesunate and cisplatin ($P < 0.01$). In comparison, artesunate alone had no significant effect on the growth of tumor xenografts for both cell lines. Cisplatin alone appeared to have some inhibitory effect only on H8910 cells, but not on A2780 cells. These results indicate that artesunate can render ovarian cancer cells sensitive to cisplatin in vivo.

Discussion

We studied the antitumor effect of artesunate on ovarian cancer cells in vitro and in tumor xenografts. We showed that artesunate could indeed exert a significant cytotoxic effect on the cancer cells and could effectively induce oxidative stress and DSBs, which is consistent with previous reports on other types of cancer cells. Importantly, we observed that artesunate could significantly downregulate RAD51 in 3 of 4 ovarian cancer cell lines tested. Consistent with the reduction in protein amount, formation of RAD51 foci that were induced by cisplatin was greatly impaired. Furthermore, a reporter assay that measures the function of HRR showed that HRR was significantly reduced in artesunate-treated cells. Moreover, the downregulation of RAD51 by artesunate conferred cancer cells an increased sensitivity to...
Correspondingly, artemisinin and cisplatin appeared to act synergistically in inducing DSBs and in inhibiting the proliferation of ovarian cancer cells. Our results thus indicated that artemisinin possesses the dual function of inducing DSBs and impairing DSB repair in ovarian cancer cells. Since the DNA damage induced by artemisinin particularly requires HHR, the downregulation of RAD51 would make the cells more vulnerable to artemisinin. As a practical note, platinum-based drugs are most commonly used in ovarian cancer, yet the cancers almost invariably become platinum-resistant after initial response. Thus, with its relatively weak side effect, artemisinin especially merits special consideration in the management of ovarian cancer.

In addition to the chemosensitizing effect we described here, artemisinin has also been shown to be capable of radiosensitizing glioblastoma cells, reportedly mediated by downregulating survivin. A recent study showed that cervical cancer cells can also be sensitized by artemisinin. Because the repair of DSBs induced by ionizing radiation also requires HRR and RAD51, it is conceivable that downregulation of RAD51 may have also contributed to the radiosensitizing effect of artemisinin in those situations. Because RAD51 is frequently upregulated in malignancies and confers cancer cells with radioresistance, this property of artemisinin offers a new venue for attacking those cells.

It should be pointed out that only 3 of the 4 ovarian cancer cell lines tested responded to artemisinin treatment by downregulating RAD51, and the downregulation of RAD51 also appeared to be achieved by different mechanisms in different cell
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Figure 4. Artesunate sensitizes ovarian cancer cells to cisplatin. (A) Artesunate and cisplatin act synergistically in inducing double-strand breaks. Cells were treated with artemisinin (10 μg/ml), cisplatin (30 μM), or both for 24 h and then processed for immunofluorescence. Left: representative images of immunofluorescence staining of γ-H2AX. Right: Quantitative summary of γ-H2AX foci. P < 0.001 for interaction between artemisinin and cisplatin in both A2780 and HO8910 cells. (B) Left: Representative images of colonies formed by ovarian cancer cells after treatment by artemisinin alone or in combination with cisplatin. Right: Quantitative summary of colonogenic assay results. P values for interaction between artemisinin and cisplatin are <0.001 and <0.003, respectively, in A2780 and HO8910 cells. Artesunate was applied at 5 μg/ml for 24 h, cisplatin was applied at 0.3 μM for 24 h, the combination group was pre-treated with artemisinin at 5 μg/ml for 24 h and then subjected to cisplatin at 0.3 μM for 24 h, and the control group received DMSO only. After treatments, the cells were harvested and plated for colonogenic survival assay.
lines. This is not surprising considering that RAD51 is regulated at multiple levels, and many factors could lead to RAD51 dysregulation.17-22 Future studies are needed to elucidate the detailed mechanisms whereby RAD51 is downregulated by artesunate.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and drug treatment

Human ovarian cancer cell lines HO8910 and SK-OV-3 were from Shanghai Cell Bank, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Human ovarian carcinoma cell line A2780 was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Immortalized fallopian epithelial cell line FTE-187 was as described.23 Normal human fibroblasts (NHF) were generated in this lab.24 A2780 and HO8910 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640, NHF in DMEM, and FTE-187 in M199, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin. All the cells were incubated in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO2. Artesunate was acquired from Sigma-Aldrich and was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)(25 mg/ml) as a stock solution and stored at −20°C in aliquots until use. It was applied to the cultured cells at the concentration of 0, 5, 10, 25, or 50 μg/ml for various periods. Cisplatin, also purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, was dissolved in PBS.

Flow cytometric analysis of ROS

The ROS production following artesunate treatment was determined by membrane permeable CM-H2DCFDA. Briefly, cells were loaded with 5 μM of CM-H2DCFDA and incubated at 37°C for 20 min after treatment with artesunate. Cells were resuspended using preserving fluid and analyzed with a FACS-Canto II (Becton Dickinson). The peak excitation wavelength for oxidized CM-H2DCFDA was 490 nm and emission was 530 nm.

Immunofluorescence staining of γ-H2AX and RAD51

Cells grown on coverslips in 6-well plates were treated with artesunate for 24 h before they were processed for determination of the level of γ-H2AX. For the immunofluorescence staining of RAD51, cells were pre-treated with 10 μg/ml artesunate for 24 h, and then 30 μM cisplatin for 4 h to induce RAD51 foci. Cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde, followed by treatment with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 minutes, then blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 for 30 minutes. Mouse anti-γ-H2AX antibody was from Millipore (Billerica, MA) at a dilution of 1:600 in 5% bovine serum albumin in PBS. Rabbit anti-Rad51 antibody was from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA USA) at a dilution of 1:600. The specimens were incubated overnight at 4°C. Cells were then washed thrice in PBS before incubating in the dark with a Rhodamine-labeled secondary antibody for 60 minutes. After washing with PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 for 3 times, cells were then counterstained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole for 5 minutes. The coverslips were mounted to slides with an antifade solution. Slides were then examined under a fluorescence microscope. At least 500 nuclei were scored for nuclear foci in each of 3 experimental repeats.

Western blot analysis

After treatment with artesunate for 24 h, cells were harvested and lysed in 1×cell lysis buffer (P0013B, Beyotime). Total
proteins of 15–25 μg were separated by SDS–PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidenedifluoride (PVDF) membranes. Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk for 1-2 h at room temperature and then probed with primary antibodies and incubated at 4°C overnight. After extensive washing with TBS-T, membranes were incubated with appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature, and then were detected by Western ECL-enhanced luminol reagent (Thermo scientific). Antibodies against the following proteins were as RAD51 (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA USA), β-actin was from (Chemi-con, Santa Cruz, CA), and His-tag (Cell signaling Technology, Danvers, MA USA).

Real-time PCR
Total cellular RNA was prepared using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen). First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA using reverse transcriptase (Thermo Scientific, Beijing, China). The expression levels of RAD51 mRNAs were determined by RT-PCR using SYBR GREEN mix (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan) and a Light Cycler 480 system (Roche). Human GAPDH gene was used as an internal control. Primers were:

RAD51: 5'-CAACCCATTTTCAGTTAGAGC-3' (forward)
5'-GCTTTGGCTTCACTAATTCTT-3' (reverse)

GAPDH: 5'-CAGAACATCATCCCTGCCTCTAC-3' (forward)
5'-TTGAAAGTCAGAGGAGACACCCTG-3' (reverse)

The samples were loaded in quadruple, and the results of each sample were normalized to GAPDH.

Luciferase reporter assay
Cells were transfected with pGL3-basic reporter plasmids carrying a series of truncated RAD51 promoters using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Cells were incubated with/without artesunate (10 μg/ml) for 24 h and were then harvested for luciferase assay using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay system (Promega) with a multilabel counter (Victor 1420; PerkinElmer). The firefly luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity of the pRL-TK reporter (co-transfected internal control). Transfections were performed in 3 independent experiments, and assayed in quadruplicates.

Clonogenic survival assay
Ovarian cells were divided into 4 groups, the artesunate group (5 μg/ml for 24 h), the cisplatin group (0.3 μM for 24 h), the combination group (a sequential treatment of artesunate for 24 h and cisplatin for 24 h) and the control group (DMSO). Cells were seeded into 6 cm dishes. 10–12 days later, colonies were fixed with methanol and stained with 1.25% Giemsa for counting. Only those colonies containing at least 50 cells in size were counted.

Expression vector of RAD51
The expression vector of pcDNA3.1B-mRAD5 was as described[12]. The mouse Rad51 cDNA was first amplified pCMV-SPORT6- mRAD51 by PCR and was then subcloned into a pcDNA3.1/myc-His B vector.

HR reporter assay
Plasmids containing HR reporter cassette were kindly provided by Dr. Gorbunova.13 The reporter cassette consists of 2 mutated copies of GFP. The first copy contains an artificial intron and a deletion of 22 nt and an insertion of 2 I-SceI recognition sites in inverted orientation in the first exon. The second copy contains the first exon but lacks a promoter and a start codon. Upon induction of DSBs by I-SceI, gene
conversion events reconstitute a functional GFP gene. The plasmids were linearized by I-SceI restriction enzymes and purified using TIANGEN Universal DNA Purification Kit (Cat.# DP214-03). Exponentially growing A2780 and HO8910 cells were transfected with 2 μg of the HR reporter constructs, and 0.1 μg of pDsRed-N1 as the internal control. Then, cells were treated with artesunate for 24h, replaced with fresh medium, and sequentially cultured for 48h. Cells were harvested, resuspended in 0.4 ml of PBS, pH 7.4, and analyzed by FACS. The DNA repair efficiency expressed as GFP+/DsRed+ ratio, which was independent of the transfection conditions.

Tumor xenograft model

Four to 6 weeks old female athymic nude mice (BALB/c, nu/nu) were purchased from Beijing Experimental Animal Center (Beijing, China). A2780 and HO8910 cells were harvested and resuspended in 0.1 ml of PBS, 5 × 10^6 cells/0.2 ml were injected subcutaneously into the left inguinal area of the mice. Two weeks later, mice bearing tumors (~70 mm^3 for A2780 and HO8910) were randomly divided into 4 groups. Artesunate was administered daily via i.p. injection at doses of 50 mg/kg alone or in combination with cisplatin (2 mg/kg) for 16 days. The tumor growth was monitored every other day. Tumor volume was determined by the formula 1/2a × b^2 where a is the long diameter (mm) and b is the short diameter (mm).

Statistics

All values in the present study were reported as mean ±SD from at least 3 independent experiments and the Student’s t test was used to evaluate the statistical significance at the 95% confidence level. The synergistic effect of artesunate and cisplatin was analyzed by ANOVA. Significance levels were *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01.
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