Analysis of the grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) berry shape by using elliptic Fourier descriptors
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ABSTRACT

Grapevine berry shape has important marketing value in the table grape commerce, hence variability evaluation of this characteristic is highly important. In this study berry shape of 5 table grape genotypes: “Fanny”, “Lidi”, “Hamburgi muskotály”, “Moldova”, and “Orsi” were compared. To evaluate the shape variability graphic reconstruction and elliptic Fourier analysis have been carried out. Shape outlines have been investigated and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) has been performed with the SHAPE software package. PCA of the contours showed that 6 out of the 77 principal components were effective to describe shape attributes. The first 6 PCs explained 94.51% of the total variance. PC1 associated with the width and length of the berry. PC2 related to the shape of the top and bottom of the berries, while PC3 linked to the ratio of the top and the bottom width. ANOVA of the principal component scores revealed significant difference among the genotypes. Results suggest that morphology of the berry is a variable not only among but within the accessions. Our findings confirmed that elliptic Fourier descriptors (EFDs) would be a powerful tool for quantifying grapevine berry morphological diversity.
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INTRODUCTION

Table grape production is a rising sector of agriculture with increasing surface, which requires breeding of new cultivars (FAO-OIV, 2016). The most important targets of breeding programs are seedlessness, early ripening, abiotic stress resistance, and phenotypic appearance (Ferrara et al., 2017). Various berry shape types exist, and extreme ones have important marketing value. To define this phenotypic variability descriptor lists have already been developed. Pacottet (1905) distinguished 5 forms of the berries, while Bioletti (1938) classified cultivars into 15 classes. The most widely applied descriptor list given by the International Organisation of Vine and Wine (OIV) explains 10 types. It is difficult to precisely define the physical form of the berry, therefore reference cultivars are dedicated to each forms. For example, Riesling B has obloid berries, Chasselas B has globose, while Bicane has ovoid, etc. (OIV, 2009). In the case of organs with polygon shape attributes, e.g. leaves, landmarks serve geometric morphometric characterization (Bodor et al., 2018); contrary the berry shape is sphere or ellipsoid where the definition of homologous points is limited. Elliptic Fourier descriptors (EFDs) were developed for shape definition of closed contour (Kühl & Giardina, 1982), where a chain-code is obtained from the outline of the object. Using the procedure leaf shape of grapevine accessions have already been described (Chitwood et al., 2014).

In this study the berry shape of 5 table grape accessions has been investigated with EFD in order to explore morphological variability and define the discriminative characters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Berry samples were provided by the Research Institute for Viticulture and Oenology of the National Agricultural Research and Innovation Centre (Kecskeméti, Hungary). Grapevine accessions “Fanny”, “Lidi”, “Hamburgi muskotály”, “Moldova”, and “Orsi” were investigated. Bunches were harvested in 2017 in full ripeness. Twenty not deformed berries of each cultivar were collected from the middle third of several bunches and stored in plastic bags until digitalization. Samples were removed from the clusters and pedicel was nipped at the surface of the berry. Berries were placed on a transilluminating LED light box and digitalized individually with a Sony A58 camera on ISO100. All samples were oriented in the same position with pedicel upward (Fig. 1). Pictures were then converted into bitmap (BMP) file format. Shape analysis was performed with the SHAPE software package according to the protocol published by Iwata and Ukai (2004). The software package contains 4 programs: ChainCoder converts the color image to black and white (binary), reduces the noise and provides the chain code of the object contour. Based on the chain code Chc2Nef program calculates the normalized EFDs. In our study orientation was standardized by the program according to the major axis of the first harmonic ellipse that corresponds to the first Fourier approximation. At the same time there is the possibility to standardize based on the longest radius and make manual definition of the starting point. PrinComp software performs the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the normalized EFDs based on the variance-covariance matrix of the coefficients. The number of analyzed harmonics were 20. In the last step PrinPrint software provides the contour reconstruction. Calculation of the elliptic Fourier coefficients according to the chain-code of the contours and analysis of the principal component scores of the provided data was carried out with the corresponding software of the SHAPE package. PCA scores, and 95% ellipses were depicted.
Analysis of variance (ANOVA), post hoc test (Tukey) and cluster analysis based on the mean PC scores were carried out in the PAST 3.12. (Hammer et al., 2001). Digital reconstruction of the main shape types along the first 6 PCA was carried out in the SHAPE (Iwata & Ukai, 2004).

RESULTS

Principal Component Analysis of the contours showed that 6 out of the 77 PCs were effective to describe shape attributes. The first 6 PC explained 94.51% of the total variance. PC1, PC2, and PC3 described 78.01, 6.10, and 4.67%, respectively. PC1 associated with the width and length of the berry samples. Higher value of the PC1 are associated with the more globose (in terms of OIV, 2009), lower values with more narrow ellipsoid berries. PC2 and PC3 are related to the shape of the top and bottom of the berries, lower values are narrower at the bottom (ovoid) while higher values at the top (obovoid). (Fig. 2). Plotting the coordinates along PC1 and PC2 showed that “Orsi” has more narrow ellipsoid berries, while “Fanny” has more globose ones. Among the samples “Fanny” has wider berries at the bottom i.e. it is obovoid, while “Hamburgi muskotály” has them at the top, meaning it is more ovoid (Fig. 3).

ANOVA revealed significant difference among berry morphological characteristics of the accessions (Fig. 4). Three out of the 6 effective principal components were significantly different among the samples at $P < 0.001$ level (PC1: $F(4,95) = 42.23, P < 0.0001$; PC2: $F(4,95) = 5.55, P < 0.001$; PC3: $F(4,95) = 13.52, P < 0.0001$).

Morphological variability of the cultivars was evaluated based on the minimum and maximum PC values (data not shown). “Hamburgi muskotály” showed the largest morphological diversity along the PC1, while “Fanny” was the most uniform. Contrary to this, “Fanny” was the most variable along the PC2 and “Moldova” was the most uniform. In the case of PC3, “Lidi” showed the highest morphological diversity and “Fanny” was the most uniform. Along the PC4, “Moldova” was the most variable while “Orsi” had the lowest variability. Similarly to this, along PC5, “Moldova” was the most variable and “Orsi” was the most uniform. Samples obtained from “Lidi” proved to be the most divers along the PC6 while “Hamburgi muskotály” showed the lowest diversity.

Morphological similarity among the cultivars has been calculated by the mean values of the 6 PCs. Hierarchical clustering based on the Euclidean distance showed that “Lidi” and “Hamburgi muskotály” showed the lowest similarity.

Fig. 1. Digitalized berry samples of “Fanny”, “Hamburgi muskotály”, “Lidi”, “Moldova”, and “Orsi” grapevine cultivars
muskotály” are the most similar in berry shape, while the most different are “Orsi” and “Moldova” (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

To describe and distinguish grapevine species and cultivars berry morphology has been in the focus of morphological characterization from the very beginnings. Worlidge (1691) has already mentioned the importance of berry size. Clemente (1807) classified the cultivars among others based on the berry shape. Reference shapes have been included in the ampelographic literatures to help description of the genotypes (Lauche & Goethe, 1894).

During the 20th century with the help of photography new techniques were introduced in ampelography. Rodrigues (1953) for example used photograms to describe morphological diversity. In this study we recorded berry phenotype with digital photography.

Despite of the importance of berry shape its metric description (a.k.a. uvometry) is still come down to the measurement of the width and length or the ratio of these two, which is usually referred as the berry shape index. For example, El-Sayed (2013) reported data about the Crimson

---

**Fig. 2.** Shape variation based on the 6 effective principal components representing the mean – 2SD, mean and mean + 2SD
Seedless grapevine berry shape based on this index. There are numerous scientific papers reporting about shape attributes of object with closed contour. One of the possible methods is the characterization based on EFDs. The process was introduced by Kühl and Giardina (1982).

Fig. 3. PCA scores along PC1 and PC2

Fig. 4. Mean PC values of the investigated grapevine cultivars along PC1, PC2, and PC3 (letters indicating significant difference at $P < 0.05$)
and later applied in ampelography by Diaz et al. (1991) and Chitwood et al. (2014). In this study berry shape has been described by the method. Results of this study showed that the berry shape is differing significantly among the genotypes. Also, the variability of the shape within the same genotype was not the same. This result is in accordance with the literature. Kozma (1968) mentioned that some of the cultivars have more uniform, while others have more variable berry shape. We found that “Hamburgi muskotály” has the highest morphological diversity while “Fanny” has the lowest. Our results show that the berry shape variability is an important phenomenon when evaluation of the consumer’s preference is carried out. EFDs provide valuable information about the shape, at the same time size is also an important trait. Our next goal is to adopt further image analysis software already involved in the characterization of horticultural crops and describe large numbers of grapevine cultivars based on the size and shape attributes of the berry.

CONCLUSIONS

Grapevine berry shape is a variable morphological pattern whose description requires routine and reference cultivars. Our findings confirmed that EFD would be a powerful tool for quantifying berry morphological diversity.
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