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I.C.E.: a Transportable Atomic Inertial Sensor for Test in Microgravity

R. A. Nyman, G. Varoquaux, F. Lienhart, D. Chambon, S. Boussen, J.-F. Clément, T. Muller, G. Santarelli, F. Pereira Dos Santos, A. Clairon, A. Bresson, A. Landragin, P. Bouyer

Abstract We present our the construction of an atom interferometer for inertial sensing in microgravity, as part of the I.C.E. (Interférométrie Cohérente pour l’Espace) collaboration. On-board laser systems have been developed based on fibre-optic components, which are insensitive to mechanical vibrations and acoustic noise, have sub-MHz linewidth, and remain frequency stabilised for weeks at a time. A compact, transportable vacuum system has been built, and used for laser cooling and magneto-optical trapping. We will use a mixture of quantum degenerate gases, bosonic \(^{87}\)Rb and fermionic \(^{40}\)K, in order to find the optimal conditions for precision and sensitivity of inertial measurements. Microgravity will be realised in parabolic flights lasting up to 20s in an Airbus. We show that the factors limiting the sensitivity of a long-interrogation-time atomic inertial sensor are the phase noise in reference frequency generation for Raman-pulse atomic beam-splitters and acceleration fluctuations during free fall.

1 Introduction

Intense research effort has focussed on the study of degenerate quantum gases and macroscopic matter waves since their first observation in 1995. Atom interferometers benefit from the use of trapped ultracold atomic gases, gaining good signal-to-noise ratios due to the high atomic densities, and the coherence required for the visibility of interference patterns due to the low temperatures\(^1\). The sensitivity of an interferometric measurement also depends on the interrogation time, the time during which the sample freely evolves. This time is limited by both the free-fall of the atomic cloud, requiring tall vacuum chambers, and by its free expansion, demanding extra-sensitive detection systems for extremely dilute clouds. Ultralow temperatures further reduce the expansion. In conceiving the next generation of extreme-precision atom interferometers, there is much to be gained by performing experiments in microgravity \(^2,3\). Free-fall heights of more than 100m, corresponding to durations of 5 seconds or more are available either in a drop tower (e.g. ZARM Bremen, Germany) or in a parabolic flight in an aeroplane. Laboratory experiments are limited to about 300ms of free fall. The sensitivity of an interferometric accelerometer increases quadratically with time, and thus one can expect to gain more than two orders of magnitude in having a transportable, drop-compatible device.

There remain questions over the best method to perform atom interferometry. Bosons suffer from interaction shifts leading to systematic errors such as the clock shift, a problem not apparent in ultracold fermions\(^4\). However, degenerate fermions have an intrinsically broad momentum distribution due to Pauli blocking, limiting the visibility of interference patterns. Furthermore, to achieve quantum degeneracy, fermions must be cooled using a buffer gas, typically an ultracold gas of bosons, thus complicating experiments using fermions. Pairs of fermions (molecules or Cooper pairs\(^5\)) can be created by applying a homogeneous magnetic field (Feshbach resonances\(^6\)), offering yet more possible candidate species for atom interferometers.

A further bonus to free-fall is the possibility of using weaker confining forces for the atoms, since gravity need not be compensated with additional levitation forces\(^7\). Temperatures achieved by evaporative cooling and adiabatic expansion are lowered as the trapping potential is reduced. Not only does the sensitivity of an interferometric measurement benefit, but also new phases of matter may be observed if the kinetic energy can be made smaller than the interatomic potential. A reduced-gravity environment will permit study of new physical phenomena, e.g. spin dynamics and magnetic ordering (see for example \(^8\) and references therein).
This article presents our design for a transportable, boson-fermion mixture, atom interferometer, compatible with a parabolic flight in an aeroplane. We describe our laser systems: a temporary bench for ground-based development, and the rack-mounted transportable system, based on frequency-doubled telecommunications lasers. We then explain our vacuum system and optics for atomic manipulation, and the accompanying support structure. Finally we describe the Raman-transition based atom-interferometric accelerometer, and show that the limits to in-flight performance are vibrations (acceleration fluctuations) and phase-noise on the Raman laser frequency difference.

1.1 Overview of the Experiment

The central components of this project are the atomic-physics vacuum system, the optics, and their supports. The atomic manipulation starts with alkali-metal vapour dispensers for rubidium and potassium[9]. A slow jet of atoms is sent from the collection chamber by a dual-species, two-dimensional, magneto-optical trap (2D-MOT) to the trapping chamber, for collection and cooling in a 3D-MOT. Atoms are then be transferred to a conservative, far-off-resonance optical-dipole trap (FORT) for further cooling towards degeneracy. The sample is then ready for coherent manipulation in an atom-interferometer. Raman two-photon transition will be used as atomic beam-splitters and mirrors. Three-pulse sequences ($\pi/2 - \pi - \pi/2$) will be used for accelerometry.

All light for the experiment arrives by optical fibres, making the laser sources independent of the vacuum system. Transportable fibre laser sources for laser cooling and trapping have been fabricated with the required frequency stability. The techniques for mechanically-stable power distribution by free-space fibre couplers function according to specifications. The vacuum chamber is compatible with the constraints of microgravity in an Airbus parabolic flight. Such a flight permits total interrogation times up to 7s, giving a potential sensitivity of better than $10^{-9}$ m s$^{-2}$ per shot, limited by phase noise on the frequency reference for the Raman transitions.

2 Laser Systems

2.1 Ground-based laser diodes for Potassium and Rubidium cooling

Our test laser system is not intended to fly, but nonetheless represents several technical achievements, detailed in Ref. [10]. All of the lasers and optical amplifiers for trapping and cooling light are built around commercial semiconductor elements (Eagleyard) with home-made mounts and drive electronics. Semiconductor technology is one of the candidates for atomic-physics lasers in micro-gravity experiments: the chips are small, lightweight and robust, with low power consumption.

Extended-cavity grating-diode lasers (based on a design by Arnold et al.[11]) are locked to atomic transitions (the hyperfine structure of the D2 lines of $^{87}$Rb and $^{39}$K, as appropriate), frequency shifted by acousto-optical modulators, injected into tapered amplifiers, then input to the optical fibres. We produce more than 200mW of useful light (out of the fibres) for trapping and cooling each species for both the 2D-MOT and the 3D-MOT.

One major difficulty was in making the master oscillator at 766.5nm (potassium D2 transition, wavelength in air). Semiconductor lasers at 780nm (rubidium D2 line) have been available for some time[12], but are less easily found at short wavelengths. We pulled a 780nm diode to 766.5nm using very weak feedback, by anti-reflection coating the output face, and ensuring low reflectance from the grating (which was optimised for UV not visible light). Decreasing the feedback increases the threshold current, which increases the number of carriers in the active region, increasing the energy of the lasing transitions, thus giving gain at relatively short wavelengths. The tapered amplifiers we use work equally well for the two wavelengths.

2.2 Continuous-Wave Fibre-Laser Source at 780 nm for Rubidium Cooling

An entirely pigtailed laser source is particularly appropriate in our case as it does not suffer from misalignments due to environmental vibrations. Moreover, telecommunications laser sources in the C-band (1530–1570 nm) have narrow linewidths ranging from less than 1MHz for laser diodes, down to a few kHz for Erbium doped fibre lasers. By second-harmonic generation (SHG) in a nonlinear crystal, these 1.56µm sources can be converted to 780nm sources [13,14,15]. Such devices avoid having to use extended cavities as their linewidths are sufficiently narrow to satisfy the requirements of laser cooling.

Our laser setup is sketched in Figure 1. A 1560nm Erbium doped fibre laser is amplified by a 500mW polarisation-maintaining (PM) Erbium-doped fibre amplifier (EDFA). A 90/10 PM fibre-coupler directs 10% of the
pump power to a pigtailed output. 90% of light is then sent into a periodically-poled Lithium-Niobate Waveguide (PPLN-WG). This crystal is pigtailed on both sides with 1560nm single-mode fibres. The input fibre is installed in a polarisation loop system in order to align the electric field with principal axes of the crystal. A fibre-coupler which is monomode at 780nm, filters pump light after the crystal and sends half of the 780nm light into a saturated- absorption spectroscopy device for frequency servo-control. The other half is the frequency-stabilised pigtailed output. The whole device, including the frequency control electronics was implemented in a rack for ease of transport. Typical output from the first generation device was 500 $\mu$W of 780nm light, with more than 86dB attenuation of 1560nm light after 3m of monomode fibre. A more recent version (> 50mW) has been used to power a magneto-optical trap.

Two PPLN-WGs from HC-Photonics were tested. Both have a poling period appropriate for SHG at 780nm. They have the same quasi-phase matching temperature of 63°C. The first is 13mm long, doped with 1% MgO, and is used in our laser source. The second is 30mm long, doped with 5% MgO. Figure 2 gives the output power as a function of the pump power. The 13mm long crystal has a fibre-to-fibre efficiency of 10%/W. The fit curve corresponds to the non-depleted pump regime. Photorefractive effects appear around 10mW of 780nm light. In practice the laser is run with 100mW pump power. Power fluctuations in this crystal are due to two phenomenon: first the input fibre does not maintain polarisation, and polarisation fluctuations lead to a variation of the output power. Secondly the output fibre of the crystal is not single mode at 780nm. Thus the power distribution in the fundamental mode varies with time, leading to power fluctuations when the crystal is pigtailed to a single-mode fibre at 780nm. The second crystal has a fibre-to-fibre efficiency of 120%/W for low pump power. The fit curve corresponds to a depleted regime. Photorefractive threshold is estimated around 60mW of second harmonic. The input fibre is still not polarisation maintaining, leading to output power drifts, but the output fibre is PM and single mode at 780nm, which greatly reduces power fluctuations.

2.2.1 Frequency Stabilisation: A Doppler-free saturated-absorption spectroscopy system without polarisation sensitive elements provides the frequency reference signal. The frequency of the laser has been tested by locking to a crossover of $^{85}$Rb. The laser frequency is oscillated over a few 100kHz by modulating the piezoelectric element of the fibre Bragg grating of the pump laser. The modulation frequency is 1.3kHz, permitting long-term drifts to be compensated without significantly broadening the laser linewidth. The spectroscopic signal is demodulated by a phase-sensitive detection and fed back to the piezo. Figure 3 presents the spectral density of noise with and without frequency stabilisation. Noise up to 1.6Hz is attenuated, a frequency corresponding to the low-pass filter bandwidth of the demodulation. Points below 7kHz/$\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ are not represented because they are below the measurement noise. The r.m.s. frequency excursion in the band 0–20Hz is less than 200kHz.

The laser remains frequency locked even with strong mechanical disturbances (hand claps, knocks on the rack ...), but cannot withstand even small variations of the ambient temperature. The fibre source at 1560nm, though temperature controlled, suffers frequency drifts due to temperature changes of the fibre. Small fluctuations are compensated by the frequency loop but long-term drifts are beyond the range of the piezoelectric servo-loop, so the laser jumps out of lock. An integrated circuit based on a PIC 16F84 micro-controller was developed: the output voltage of the regulator is monitored by the micro-
controller, and, when fixed boundaries are exceeded, the set temperature of the laser controller is adjusted. This additional loop prevents the frequency control from unlocking without modifying the frequency properties of the source. The laser typically stays locked for up to three weeks.

2.3 Fibre Power Splitters

The optical bench and the vacuum chamber are not rigidly connected to each other, and laser light is transported to the vacuum chamber using optical fibres. Stability in trapping and coherent atom manipulation is assured by using only polarisation maintaining fibres. Six trapping and cooling laser beams are needed for the 3D-MOT and five for the 2D-MOT, with relative power stability better than a few percent. We have developed fibre beam-splitters based on polarising cubes and half-wave plates with one input fibre and the relevant number of output fibres. The stability of the beam splitters has been tested by measuring the ratio of output powers between different outputs as a function of time. Fluctuations are negligible on short time scales (less than \(10^{-4}\) relative intensity over 1s), and very small over typical periods of experimental operation (less than 1% over a day). Even over months, drifts in power distribution are only a few percent, which is sufficient for this experiment.

3 Mechanical and Vacuum Systems

The mechanical construction of the apparatus is critical to any free-fall experiment. Atomic-physics experiments require heavy vacuum systems and carefully aligned optics. Our design is based around a cuboidal frame of foam-damped hollow bars with one face being a vibration-damped optical breadboard: see Figs. 4 and 5. The outside dimensions are 1.2m \(\times\) 0.9m \(\times\) 0.9m, and the total weight of the final system is estimated to be 400kg (excluding power supplies, lasers, control electronics, air and water flow). The frame provides support for the vacuum system and optics, which are positioned independently of one another. The heavy parts of the vacuum system are rigided to the frame using steel chains and high-performance polymer slings under tension, adjusted using turnbuckles; most of the equipment being standard in recreational sailing or climbing. The hollow bars have precisely positioned grooves which permit optical elements to be rigidly fixed (bolted and glued) almost anywhere in the volume within the frame. An adaptation for transportability will be to enclose the frame in a box, including acoustic and magnetic shielding, temperature control, air overpressure (dust exclusion), as well as ensuring safety in the presence of the high-power lasers.

Fig. 4 Artist’s impression of the vacuum system. Atoms are transferred from the collection chamber, using a 2D-MOT, to the trapping chamber, where they are collected in a 3D-MOT. The trapping chamber has large optical accesses for the 3D-MOT, optical-dipole trap (FORT), imaging, and interferometry. There is a getter pump between the two chambers to ensure a large pressure difference. The other pump is a combined ion pump-titanium sublimation pump.

The vacuum chamber has three main parts: the collection chamber (for the 2D-MOT), the trapping chamber (for the 3D-MOT and the FORT) and the pumps (combined ion pump and titanium sublimation pump). Between the collection and trapping chambers there is an orifice and a getter pump, allowing for a high differential pressure, permitting rapid collection by the 2D-MOT but low trap losses in the 3D-MOT and FORT. The magnetic coils for the 2D-MOT are under vacuum, and consume just 5W of electrical power.

The main chamber has two very large viewports as well as seven side windows (and one entry for the atoms from the 2D-MOT). Thus there is plenty of optical access for the 3D-MOT, the FORT, imaging and interferometry. To preserve this optical access, the magnetic coils are outside of the chamber, although this markedly increases their weight and power consumption.

To avoid heating due to vibrations in the FORT optics, or measurement uncertainties due to vibrations of the imaging system, the trapping chamber is as close to the breadboard as possible. For laboratory tests, the breadboard is lowest, and the 2D-MOT arrives at 45° to the vertical, leaving the vertical axis available for addition of interferometry for precise measurements, e.g a standing light wave. Around the main chamber, large electromagnet coils in Helmholtz-configuration will be added, to produce homogeneous, stable fields up to 0.12T (1200G), or gradients up to 0.6T/m (60G/cm).

3.1 2D-MOT

The 2D-MOT is becoming a common source of cold-atoms in two-chamber atomic-physics experiments[16],
and is particularly efficient for mixtures [17] of $^{40}$K and $^{87}$Rb, if isotopically enriched dispensers are used. Briefly, a 2D-MOT has four sets of beams (two mutually orthogonal, counter-propagating pairs) transverse to the axis of the output jet of atoms, and a cylindrical-quadrupole magnetic field generated by elongated electromagnet pairs (one pair, or two orthogonal pairs). Atoms are cooled transverse to the axis, as well as collimated. Implicitly, only slow atoms spend enough time in the 2D-MOT to be collimated, so the output jet is longitudinally slow. The number of atoms in the jet can be increased by the addition of the push beam, running parallel to the jet: a 2D-MOT +. Typically the output jet has a mean velocity below $30\text{m s}^{-1}$, with up to $10^{10} \text{at.s}^{-1}$ of $^{87}$Rb and $10^{8} \text{at.s}^{-1}$ of $^{40}$K.

Our design uses 40mW per species for each of the four transverse beams, each divided into two zones of about 20mm using non-polarising beam-splitter cubes, corresponding to about three times the saturation intensity for the trapping transitions. The push beam uses 10mW of power, and is about 6mm in diameter. Each beam comes from an individual polarisation-maintaining optical fibre, with the light at 766.5nm and 780nm being superimposed on entry to the fibres. The 2D-MOT is seen as two bright lines of fluorescence in the collection chamber.

At the time of writing we do not have much quantitative data for the performance of our $^{87}$Rb 2D-MOT. One interesting test we have performed is spectroscopy of the confined cloud, using a narrow probe beam parallel to the desired output jet (replacing the push beam): see Fig 6. We detect a significant number of atoms in the 2D-MOT with velocities at or below $20\text{m s}^{-1}$ (the output jet should have a similar velocity distribution). More sensitive spectroscopy is difficult, since the probe beam must be smaller than the transverse dimension of the atom cloud (less than 0.5mm) and much less than saturation intensity (1.6mW cm$^{-2}$), so as not to excessively perturb the atoms. We used a lock-in detection (modulation-demodulation-integration) method, averaging over many spectra. A saturated-absorption spectroscopy signal was used for calibration. We have not yet tested a $^{39}$K or $^{40}$K 2D-MOT.

### 3.2 3D-MOT and Optical-Dipole Trap

The atomic jet from the 2D-MOT is captured by the 3D-MOT in the trapping chamber. At the time of writing, we have observed the transfer and capture of atoms, significantly increased by the addition of the push beam[18]. The 3D-MOT uses one polarisation-maintaining fibre input per species. Beams are superimposed and split into 6 arms (on a small optical breadboard fixed near one face of the frame) for the three, orthogonal, counter-propagating beam pairs. Once enough number of atoms are collected in the 3D-MOT, the 2D-MOT is to be turned off, and the 3D-MOT optimised for transfer to the FORT.

The FORT will consist of two, nearly-orthogonal ($70^\circ$) beams making a crossed, dipole trap using 50W of light at 1565nm. We will have rapid control over intensity using an electro-optical modulator, and beam size using a mechanical zoom, after the design of Kinoshita et al.[19]. Optimisation of transfer from the 3D-MOT to the
FORT, and the subsequent evaporative cooling will require experiments. Strong, homogeneous, magnetic fields will be used to control interspecies interactions via Feshbach resonances[6], to expedite sympathetic cooling of $^{40}\text{K}$ by $^{87}\text{Rb}$.

We can expect to load the 3D-MOT during less than 5s, then cool to degeneracy in the optical-dipole trap in around 3–10s. Thus we will be able to prepare a sample for interferometry in less than the free-fall time of a parabolic flight (around 20s).

4 Performance

4.1 Coherent Raman-pulse Interferometer

The acceleration measurement is based on an atomic interferometer using light pulses as beam splitters[20, 21], a technique which has demonstrated best performance for atomic inertial sensors. Three Raman pulses ($\pi/2 - \pi - \pi/2$) to generate respectively the beam splitter, the mirror and the beam re-combiner of the atomic interferometer. Two counter-propagating lasers (Raman lasers) drive coherent transitions between the two hyperfine ground states of the alkaline atoms. Two partial wave-packets are created with differing momenta, due to absorption and stimulated emission of photons in the Raman lasers. The differences in momenta correspond to absorption and stimulated emission of photons in the wave-packets are created with differing momenta, due to ground states of the alkaline atoms. Two partial lasers) drive coherent transitions between the two hyperfine levels of the alkaline atoms.

The acceleration measurement is based on an atomic interferometer using light pulses as beam splitters[20, 21], a technique which has demonstrated best performance for atomic inertial sensors. Three Raman pulses ($\pi/2 - \pi - \pi/2$) to generate respectively the beam splitter, the mirror and the beam re-combiner of the atomic interferometer. Two counter-propagating lasers (Raman lasers) drive coherent transitions between the two hyperfine ground states of the alkaline atoms. Two partial wave-packets are created with differing momenta, due to absorption and stimulated emission of photons in the Raman lasers. The differences in momenta correspond to absorption and stimulated emission of photons in the wave-packets are created with differing momenta, due to ground states of the alkaline atoms. Two partial lasers) drive coherent transitions between the two hyperfine levels of the alkaline atoms.

4.2 Influence of Phase Noise

The sensitivity of the interferometer can be characterised by the Allan variance of the interferometric phase fluctuations, $\sigma^2(\tau)$, defined by:

$$\sigma^2(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \langle (\delta\phi_{k+1} - \delta\phi_k)^2 \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\delta\phi_{k+1} - \delta\phi_k)^2 \right\}$$

where $\delta\phi$ is the fluctuation of the phase measured at the output of the interferometer, $\delta\phi_k$ the average value of $\delta\phi$ over the interval from $t_k$ to $t_{k+1}$ (of duration $\tau$). For an interferometer operated sequentially at a rate $f_c = 1/T_c$, $\tau$ is a multiple of $T_c$. $\tau = mT_c$.

When evaluating the stability of the interferometric phase $\Phi$, one should take into account the fact that the measurement is pulsed. The sensitivity of the interferometer is limited only by the phase noise at multiples of the cycling frequency weighted by the Fourier components of the transfer function. For large averaging times ($\tau \gg T_c$), the Allan variance of the interferometric phase is given by

$$\sigma^2(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |H(2\pi nf_c)|^2 S_\phi(2\pi nf_c)$$

where $S_\phi$ is the spectral power density of the phase difference between the Raman lasers.

Assuming square Raman pulses, the transfer function $H(f)$ of the Raman laser phase fluctuations to the interferometric phase is [24]:
where \( \omega = 2\pi f \) and \( \Omega \) is the Rabi oscillation frequency, taken in such way that the Raman \( \pi \) - pulses have the ideal transfer efficiency: \( \Omega = \pi / 2\tau_R \). The transfer function is characterised by zeroes at multiples of \( 1 / (T + 2\tau_R) \) and decreases as \( 1 / \Omega^2 \) for frequencies higher than the Rabi frequency, as illustrated in Figure 8.

\[
|H(f)|^2 = \left| -\frac{4\Omega \omega}{\omega^2 - \Omega^2} \sin \left( \frac{\omega T + 2\tau_R}{2} \right) \left( \sin \left( \frac{\omega T + 2\tau_R}{2} \right) + \frac{\Omega}{\omega} \sin \left( \frac{\omega T}{2} \right) \right) \right|^2
\]  

(3)

where \( \omega = 2\pi f \) and \( \Omega \) is the Rabi oscillation frequency, taken in such way that the Raman \( \pi \) - pulses have the ideal transfer efficiency: \( \Omega = \pi / 2\tau_R \). The transfer function is characterised by zeroes at multiples of \( 1 / (T + 2\tau_R) \) and decreases as \( 1 / \Omega^2 \) for frequencies higher than the Rabi frequency, as illustrated in Figure 8.

For white phase noise \( S_0 \), and to first order in \( \tau_R/T \), the phase stability is given by:

\[
\sigma_\phi^2(\tau) = \frac{\pi \Omega}{2} S_0 \frac{\tau_c}{\tau}.
\]  

(4)

Thus the transfer function filters such noise for frequencies greater than the Rabi frequency: the shorter the pulse duration \( \tau_R \), and thus the greater the Rabi frequency, the greater the interferometer noise. However, longer-duration pulses interact with fewer atoms (smaller velocity distributions) leading to an pulse duration, around \( 10 \mu s \). More quantitatively, a desired standard deviation of interferometer phase below 1 mrad per shot, with pulse duration \( \tau_R = 10 \mu s \) demands white phase noise of \( 4 \times 10^{-12} \) rad²/Hz or less.

4.3 Generation of a Stable Microwave Source for Atom Interferometry

4.3.1 The 100 MHz Source Oscillator: The frequency difference between the Raman beams needs to be locked to a very stable microwave oscillator, whose frequency is close to the hyperfine transition frequency, \( f_{\text{MW}} = 6.834 \text{ GHz for } ^{87}\text{Rb} \), and 1.286 GHz for \( ^{40}\text{K} \). The reference frequency will be delivered by a frequency chain, which transposes an RF source (typically a quartz oscillator) into the microwave domain, retaining the low level of phase noise. With degradation-free transposition the phase noise power spectral density of the RF oscillator, of frequency \( f_{\text{RF}} \), is multiplied by \( (f_{\text{MW}} / f_{\text{RF}})^2 \).

No single quartz oscillator fulfills the requirements of very low phase noise over a sufficiently large frequency range. We present in figure 9 the specifications of different high stability quartz oscillators: a Premium 10 MHz-SC from Wenzel, a BVA OCXO 8607-L from Oscilloquartz, and a Premium 100 MHz-SC quartz from Wenzel. The phase noise spectral density is shown as transposed to 100 MHz, for fair comparison of the different oscillators.

The 100 MHz source we plan to develop for the ICE project will be a combination of two phase-locked quartz oscillators: one at 100 MHz locked onto one of the above-mentioned high-stability 10 MHz reference oscillators. The bandwidth of the lock corresponds to the frequency below which the phase noise of the reference oscillator is lower than the noise of the 100 MHz oscillator. The phase noise properties of such a combined source can be seen in Figure 9, where we also show (solid line) the performance of the 100 MHz source developed by THALES for the PHARAO space clock project. This combined source has been optimized for minimal phase noise at low frequency, where it reaches a level of noise lower than any commercially available quartz oscillator. An atomic clock is indeed mostly limited by low-frequency noise, so the requirements on the level of phase noise at higher frequency (\( f > 1 \text{kHz} \)) are less stringent than for an atom interferometer. A medium performance 100 MHz oscillator is thus sufficient.

Using a simple model for the phase-lock loop, we calculated the phase noise spectral power density of the different combined sources we can make by locking the Premium 100 MHz-SC either to the Premium 10 MHz-SC (Source 1), or the BVA (source 2), or even the PHARAO source (source 3). We then estimated the impact on the interferometer of the phase noise of the 100 MHz source, assuming we are able to transpose the performance of the source at 6.8 GHz without degradation. The results presented in Table 1 were calculated using Equation 2 for the Allan standard deviation of the interferometric phase fluctuations for the different configurations and various interferometer parameters.

For short interrogation times, such as \( 2T = 100 \text{ ms} \) (the maximum interrogation time possible when the experiment is tested on the ground), Source 1 is best, whereas for long interrogation times, where the major
Table 1: Contribution of the 100 MHz source phase noise to the interferometric phase fluctuations ($\sigma_\phi$) and to the acceleration sensitivity ($\sigma_a$). The calculation has been performed for a $^{87}$Rb interferometer, for each of the three different sources assuming pulse duration $\tau_R=10$ µs. $T_c$ is the cycle time for measurements, $2T$ is the total interrogation time. (Source 1: Premium; Source 2: BVA; Source 3: PHARAO)

| $T_c$ (s) | $2T$ (s) | Source 1 $\sigma_\phi(T_c)$ (mrad) | Source 2 $\sigma_\phi(T_c)$ (mrad) | Source 3 $\sigma_\phi(T_c)$ (mrad) | Best Source $\sigma_a(T_c)$ (m.s$^{-2}$) / shot | Best Source $\sigma_a(1s)$ (m.s$^{-2}$.Hz$^{-1/2}$) |
|----------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0.25     | 0.1     | 1.2                           | 3.5                           | 2.2                           | $3 \times 10^{-5}$               | $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$               |
| 10       | 2       | 22                            | 8.8                           | 4.6                           | $1.1 \times 10^{-9}$               | $3.6 \times 10^{-9}$               |
| 15       | 10      | 110                           | 37                            | 19                            | $4.7 \times 10^{-11}$              | $1.8 \times 10^{-10}$              |

The phase noise of the source developed for the PHARAO project is also displayed as a solid black line (courtesy of CNES).

The derived contribution to the noise comes from the lowest frequencies (0.1–10 Hz), Sources 2 and 3 are better.

We are currently using a source based on the design of Source 1 for the gravimeter experiment at SYRTE [25]. Its performance is about 10% better than predicted, as the reference oscillator phase noise level is lower than the specifications. Considering that the interferometer is intended for a zero-g environment, we plan to build a source based on Source 2.

We have assumed here that for any source, the phase noise below 1 Hz is accurately described as flicker noise, for which the spectral density scales as $S_\phi(f) = S_\phi(1\text{Hz})/f^2$. If the phase noise behaves as pure flicker noise over the whole frequency spectrum, the Allan standard deviation of the interferometer phase scales as $T$. We note that the observer behaviour of the gravimeter is consistent with Table 1.

The sensitivity of the accelerometer improves with the square of the interrogation time, $T^2$. For example, for $2T = 10s$ and $T_c = 15s$, the phase noise of Source 3 would limit the acceleration sensitivity of the interferometer to $4.6 \times 10^{-11}\text{ms}^{-2}$ per shot for $^{87}\text{Rb}$. As the hyperfine splitting of $^{40}\text{K}$ is five times smaller, the transposed phase noise is lower, and the measurement limit with $^{40}\text{K}$ decreases to $8.7 \times 10^{-12}\text{ms}^{-2}$ per shot.

4.3.2 The Frequency Chain: The microwave signal is generated by multiplication of the 100 MHz source. We have developed a synthesis chain whose principle is shown in figure 10.

The source is first frequency doubled, the 200 MHz output is filtered, amplified to 27 dBm, and sent to a Step Recovery Diode (SRD), which generates a comb of frequencies, at multiples of 200 MHz. An isolator is placed after the SRD in order to prevent back reflections to damage the SRD. The 35th harmonic (7 GHz) is then filtered (passed) using a passive filter. A dielectric resonator oscillator (DRO) is then phase locked onto the 7 GHz tooth of the comb, within the bandwidth of the DRO phase-lock loop (about 500 kHz). The noise added by the frequency chain was measured by mixing the outputs of two identical chains, with a common 100 MHz source; this noise is weaker than the noise due to the 100 MHz source.

The derived contribution to the phase noise of a $^{87}\text{Rb}$ interferometer is 0.6 mrad per shot for $\tau_R = 10 \mu s$, $2T = 10s$ and $T_c = 15s$. The sensitivity limit due to the
frequency synthesis is almost negligible for the $^{40}K$. In conclusion, the limit to sensitivity comes predominantly from the phase noise of the low frequency oscillator. This contribution could be further reduced by the use of cryogenic sapphire oscillator [26].

4.4 Zero-Gravity Operation

In this section, we estimate the possible limitations of the interferometer when used in a parabolic flight, by calculating the effect of residual acceleration in the Airbus (the proposed test vehicle for this experiment) during a parabola. During a typical flight the residual acceleration can be of the order of 0.1 m s$^{-2}$, with fluctuations of acceleration of the same order (Fig. 11).

Fig. 11 Typical residual acceleration along three orthogonal axes during a parabolic flight. The period when an experiment can be performed in conditions of very low residual acceleration is highlighted. During this period (from 7 to 14 seconds, between the dotted lines) the apparatus may be allowed to float freely.

To determine the influence of environmental noise on the acceleration measurement, one uses the transfer function $H(f)$ for the phase (Equation 3). Phase noise is equivalent to position noise, since the phase of the Raman beams is $\Delta \phi = k_L \delta z$, where $k_L$ is the wave-vector of the laser, $\Delta z$ the position difference along the laser path, and position is the second integral of acceleration over time. The variance of the fluctuation of the phase shift at the output of the interferometer is:

$$\sigma^2 = \langle |\delta (\Delta \phi)|^2 \rangle = k_L^2 \int_0^\infty S_a(f) |H(f)|^2 / \omega^4 \, df \quad (5)$$

where $S_a(f)$ is the acceleration-noise power density which corresponds to the Fourier transform of the temporal fluctuation.

From the residual acceleration curves for the Airbus, one can deduce the acceleration noise power in a bandwidth from 0.05 to 10Hz, giving an estimation of the noise on the measurement of acceleration. A spectral acceleration-noise power density curve for the useful low-noise part of a parabola is shown in Figure 12, and is converted to interferometric phase noise power spectral density by multiplication by $k_L^2 |H|^2 / \omega^4$.

The vibration noise results in a substantial residual phase noise which is incompatible with the operation of the accelerometer. Calculating the variance of the fluctuations from Equation 5, one obtains a variance $\sigma_\phi \approx 10^7 \text{rad}$, which corresponds to acceleration noise $\sigma_a \approx 1 \text{m s}^{-2}$ where $\sigma_a = k_L^2 \sigma_\phi T^2$ with $T = 1s$. Thus a vibration isolation system will be required, reducing the noise by 60–80dB around 0.5 Hz, about 40dB at 50 Hz and less than 10dB beyond 1kHz. The situation can be more favourable if one restricts the measurements in the middle of the parabola, as indicated on the Figure 11.

Fig. 12 Typical acceleration noise power spectral density during the quiet part of the zero-gravity parabola. The three curves represent the noise along three directions (vertical being the noisiest). Insert: the corresponding phase noise which should be taken into account in the actual interferometer performance.

5 Conclusions

We have shown our design for a transportable atom interferometer for parabolic flights in an Airbus. The device is built in two main parts, the laser systems and the atomic physics chamber. We have made major technical advances: high-stability frequency synthesis for coherent atom manipulation, flight-compatible laser sources and fibre power splitters, as well as a rugged atomic-physics chamber.

We have analysed the possibility of using this device in the micro-gravity environment of a parabolic flight, as a high-precision accelerometer, taking advantage of the long interrogation times available to increase the sensitivity to accelerations. We conclude that the limits to measurement under such conditions come from acceleration fluctuations and from phase noise in the frequency synthesis, and thus both aspects are to be minimised. Sensitivity of better than $10^{-9}$ m s$^{-2}$ per shot is predicted. Comparisons of acceleration measurements made using two different atomic species (K and Rb) are possible.
The I.C.E. collaboration is funded by the CNES, as is RAN’s fellowship. Further support comes from the European Union STREP consortium FINAQS.
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