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Evaluating the Impact of Alternative Cropping Systems on Groundwater Consumption and Nitrate Leaching in the Piedmont Area of the North China Plain

Meiying Liu 1,2,3, Leilei Min 1,2,*, Yanjun Shen 1,2 and Lin Wu 1,2,3

1 Hebei Key Laboratory of Water-Saving Agriculture, Key Laboratory of Agricultural Water Resources, Center for Agricultural Resources Research, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shijiazhuang 050021, China; myliu@sjziam.ac.cn (M.L.); yjshen@sjziam.ac.cn (Y.S.); wulin@sjziam.zc.cn (L.W.)
2 Innovative Academy of Seed Design, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
3 University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

* Correspondence: llmin@sjziam.ac.cn; Tel.: +86-0311-85800019

Received: 21 September 2020; Accepted: 21 October 2020; Published: 23 October 2020

Abstract: The overexploitation of groundwater and the excessive application of nitrogen (N) fertilizer under the intensive double cropping system are responsible for the groundwater level decline and potential contamination in the North China Plain (NCP). Alternative cropping systems have the potential to alleviate current groundwater and N problems in the region, while there are limited studies simultaneously focusing on the impact of a change of cropping systems on crop yields, groundwater consumption, and N leaching. In this study, Field observed experiments of double-cropping system (i.e., winter wheat–summer maize) and mono-cropping system (early sowing maize) were used to calibrate and validate the Root Zone Water Quality Model (RZWQM2). Then, the validated RZWQM2 model was used to evaluate the long-term crop growth and environmental impact under the local winter wheat–summer maize rotation system with practical irrigation (WW-SM_pi) and auto-irrigation (WW-SM_ai), and three alternative cropping systems (single early maize, SEM; winter wheat–summer maize and single early maize, WW-SM-SEM; winter wheat-summer maize and double single early maize, WW-SM-2SEM). The net consumption of groundwater and N leaching under WW-SM_pi were 226.9 mm yr\(^{-1}\) and 79.7 kg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), respectively. Under the local rotation system, auto-irrigation could increase crop yields and N leaching. Compared with the WW-SM_ai, the alternative cropping systems, WW-SM-SEM, WW-SM-2SEM, and SEM, significantly decreased the net consumption of groundwater by 49.3%, 63.0%, and 97.8%, respectively (147.5–292.9 mm), and N leaching by 53.5%, 67.5%, and 89.6%, respectively (50.0–83.7 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). However, the yields of the three alternative cropping systems were reduced by less than 30% (12.2%, 20.1%, and 29.7%, respectively). The simulated results indicated that appropriately decreasing the planting frequency of winter wheat is an effective approach to reduce groundwater overexploitation and N contamination with a relatively limited reduction in grain yields. The results could provide a scientific basis for cropping system adjustment in guaranteeing sustainable regional water and grain policy.
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1. Introduction

The North China Plain (NCP) is a crucial area for grain production in China. The plain supplies approximately 20% of national grain production [1], playing a significant role in guaranteeing regional...
and national food security. The prevailing cropping system, the double rotation system of winter wheat and summer maize, meets the grain demand in this region, but gives rise to some adverse impacts, such as water table deline, rivers drying up, soil nitrate accumulation, and groundwater contamination [2,3]. Under the current rotation system, the annual evapotranspiration (ET) in the piedmont area of NCP ranges from about 700 mm to more than 800 mm [4,5], which is higher than the annual precipitation (496 mm) [6]. Therefore, precipitation cannot meet the crop water requirement. In addition, the gaps occur mainly in the winter wheat season, as the ET of winter wheat considerably exceeds the precipitation during its growth period [5,7,8]. In order to ensure the normal growth and development of the crop, irrigation is necessary to supplement the water deficit. During the past three decades, about 70% groundwater consumption was exploited for crop irrigation, resulting in a continuous decline in the groundwater level in the region [6,9,10].

Generally, water and nitrogen (N) are the two critical factors to ensure grain production [11]. To pursue high production, excessive N fertilizer (400–600 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) has been applied to the current rotation system, which exceeds the crop demands of 200 to 300 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) [4,12,13]. Overall, the applied N fertilizer would be absorbed by the crops, stored in the root zone, and lost by ammonia volatilization, N leaching, N\(_2\)O and N\(_2\) emissions. The soil in the NCP, with a semi-arid and semi-humid monsoon climate has relatively stable nitrification and mineralization capacity, which makes it easy to accumulate nitrate-nitrogen (NO\(_3^-\)-N) in the root zone [14,15]. The NO\(_3^-\)-N accumulated in the root zone would move downward continuously carried by percolated soil water, and eventually enter the groundwater. Therefore, the overuse of N fertilizer and flood irrigation has caused severe N leaching (15–55% N fertilizer applied) and increases the risk of groundwater N contamination [16,17].

Because of the aforementioned critical situation, it is necessary to manage the water and N input to ensure the sustainable utilization of groundwater resources. To decrease the water input and limit or even halt the groundwater withdrawal under the winter wheat–summer maize system, many studies have been carried out to investigate the effects of different irrigation schedules on the performance of crops [7,18,19]. For example, deficit irrigation, popular in recent years, was used to minimize irrigation water use with the least crop yield reduction. With irrigation targeting critical crop growth stages, water use efficiency could be further improved and crop yield decline could be further reduced [20]. However, these still cannot stop the over-exploitation of groundwater by irrigation. Sun et al. [21] found that the minimum irrigation strategy (one irrigation for each crop) under the current cropping system can still cause groundwater decline.

Changing the current intensive cropping system by foregoing the planting of winter wheat in one or two rotation systems is a potential option to reduce the over-exploitation of groundwater in the NCP [22,23]. Based on field experiments, Meng et al. [24] found that winter wheat/summer maize-spring maize in a two-year system and spring maize, a monoculture system can reduce the use of irrigation water by 35% and 61%, respectively, compared with the winter wheat and summer maize system. Based on long-term simulation using the Agricultural Production System Simulator model (APSIM), Xiao et al. [23] reported that a triple-cropping system (three crops in two years) consisting of winter wheat–summer maize and fallow early maize was the most viable alternative system as it can eliminate more than 80% of the groundwater overdraft, with only 13% compromising grain yield. Therefore, the adjustment of cropping systems with a reduction planting of a higher water-consumption crop (i.e., winter wheat) can effectively reduce the overexploitation of groundwater.

It is evident that changing the cropping system would also reduce the input of N [25,26]. Based on field experiments in relatively short periods and on limited types of cropping systems, several studies have focused on the environmental impact of cropping system adjustment [24,27]. However, there is still a lack of comprehensive assessments on the long-term effects of cropping system adjustment, accompanied by changes in water and Nitrogen input, on the quality and quantity of groundwater in NCP.

An agricultural system model, in conjunction with good field data, can help with understanding the environmental and crop responses to different water and N inputs in the long term. Root Zone
Water Quality Model 2 (RZWQM2), combining the RZWQM with the CERES-maize and CERES-wheat crop growth modules [28] of the Decision Support System for Agrotechnology Transfer (DSSAT) [29], is a comprehensively process-based agricultural system model. The detailed information of the model can be found in Ahuja et al. [30]. The RZWQM2 model has been widely used in rotation systems in the NCP to simulate the yield and water consumption [7,12,13,17,31,32]. However, integrative studies using the RZWQM2 model on yields, net consumption of groundwater, and N leaching under different cropping systems in China have not been reported.

In this study, the RZWQM2 model was applied to (1) determine the historical characteristics of the crop productivity, water consumption, and N leaching of the local winter wheat–summer maize rotation system; and (2) evaluate the impact of three alternative cropping systems (gradually reduce the planting frequency of winter wheat) on the crop productivity and groundwater environment compared with the winter wheat–summer maize rotation system.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site and Climate Data

The field experiments were conducted at Luancheng Agro-Ecological Experimental Station (LAES) (37°53′ N, 114°41′ E, elevation of 50.1 m, and a groundwater depth of 16 m in 1985 and 47 m in 2015), which is located in the middle of the piedmont of NCP (Figure S1). The area has a semi-arid climate (Bsk type in the Köppen-Geiger climate classification) [33]. The annual mean air temperature, precipitation, and global radiation are 13.2 °C (1971–2013), 496 mm (1971–2013) [6], and 5240 MJ m−2 [34], respectively. The predominant soil type is sandy loam [35]. The soil texture of the study area is shown in Table 1. The soil, formed principally from fluvial deposits, is classified as Fluventic Ustochrept in the USDA soil classification system. The winter wheat and summer maize rotation cropping system is most commonly used in this region. Under the current cropping system, 75% of the precipitation (372 mm) was in the summer maize season, which exceeds the water requirements of maize, while the rest (124 mm), in the winter wheat season, cannot meet the water requirements for wheat. According to the local practice, the high yield is related to intensive flood irrigation with pumped groundwater. There are 4–5 irrigations in the winter wheat season and no more than two irrigations in the summer maize season [34]. In addition, the high crop yield in the study area also depends on increasing fertilizer inputs, particularly N fertilizer. The forms of N fertilizer are ammonium bicarbonate and urea. The amount of N applied at the study site, following the local practice, increased from 200 kg ha−1 yr−1 in 1985 to the current 400 kg ha−1 yr−1 [4].

Table 1. The soil texture characteristics at the experimental site in the North China Plain.

| Soil Texture   | Horizon Depth (cm) | Bulk Density (g cm⁻³) | Sand  | Silt  | Clay  |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Loam           | 0–25               | 1.29                   | 40%   | 40%   | 20%   |
| Loam           | 25–40              | 1.34                   | 40%   | 40%   | 20%   |
| Loam           | 40–60              | 1.54                   | 40%   | 40%   | 20%   |
| Loam           | 60–85              | 1.66                   | 40%   | 40%   | 20%   |
| Sandy clay loam| 85–120             | 1.58                   | 60%   | 13%   | 27%   |
| Clay loam      | 120–165            | 1.66                   | 28%   | 37%   | 35%   |
| Sandy clay loam| 165–180            | 1.54                   | 60%   | 13%   | 27%   |

Historical daily climate data in 1985–2016 (including maximum and minimum temperature, precipitation, sunshine hours, precipitation, and relative humidity) were obtained from the Chinese Meteorological Administration (CMA). Sunshine hours were used to calculate solar radiation based on the Angstrom-Prescott equation [36].
2.2. Field Experiments

Field experiments, used to collect soil and crop data, including soil water content, soil NO$_3^-$-N content, and crop phenology, yield, and leaf area index, were conducted from 2007 to 2016 at the LAES. There were two field experiments. One was for the rotation system with winter wheat and summer maize, and the other for the one crop per year system with fallow and single early sowing-summer maize.

The field experiment of winter wheat–summer maize was conducted in three plots of 5 $\times$ 10 m for two periods (2009–2013 and 2015–2016). The plots were surrounded by concrete up to a depth of 1.5 m to prevent the exchange of water and N. During the experimental periods, the cultivars of winter wheat and summer maize were Kenong 199 and Zhengdan 958, respectively. The planting date, harvest date, plant density, row spacing, fertilization dates and amounts, and irrigation dates and amounts are listed in Table 2. N fertilizer in wheat sowing was incorporated into the surface soil (0–20 cm) and the rest was applied accompanied by irrigation and rain. After the summer maize was harvested, the soil was plowed before sowing the winter wheat. The straw of winter wheat and summer maize was removed from the field manually, because it is impossible to use agricultural machinery in small plots, surrounded by concrete; which is not the same with the practice of the local farmers.
Table 2. Summary of field management practices in the cropping system of winter wheat and summer maize at the Luancheng Agro-Experimental Station (LAES) in the piedmont of the North China Plain.

| Management Measures | 2009–2010 | 2010–2011 | 2011–2012 | 2012–2013 | 2015–2016 |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                     | Winter Wheat | Summer Maize | Winter Wheat | Summer Maize | Winter Wheat | Summer Maize | Winter Wheat | Summer Maize | Winter Wheat | Summer Maize | Winter Wheat | Summer Maize |
| Planting date       | 1 October 2009 | 17 June 2010 | 11 October 2010 | 15 June 2011 | 7 October 2011 | 11 June 2012 | 10 October 2012 | 11 June 2012 | 11 October 2012 | 13 June 2012 | 10 October 2015 | 13 June 2016 |
| Harvest date        | 14 June 2010 | 30 September 2010 | 13 June 2011 | 2 October 2011 | 9 June 2012 | 1 October 2012 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Planting density (seeds/ha) | 3,200,000 | 60,000 | 3,200,000 | 60,000 | 3,200,000 | 60,000 | 3,200,000 | 60,000 | 3,200,000 | 60,000 | 3,200,000 | 60,000 |
| Row spacing (cm)    | 20 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 20 | 60 | 20 | 60 |
| Irrigation date     | 25 November 2009 | 23 November 2010 | 16 June 2011 | 16 April 2012 | 12.2 | 7.3 | 21 June 2012 | 9.4 | 12.2 | 7.3 | 2 December 2012 | 9.4 | 12.2 | 7.3 | 21 June 2012 | 9.4 | 12.2 | 7.3 | 2 December 2012 | 9.4 | 12.2 | 7.3 | 21 June 2012 | 9.4 | 12.2 | 7.3 | 2 December 2012 | 9.4 | 12.2 | 7.3 |
| Irrigation amounts (cm) | 8.0 | 6.0 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 13.0 |
| Fertilization date  | 1 October 2009 | 11 October 2010 | 7 October 2011 | 27 July 2012 | (NH4+•N) | (NH4+•N) | (Urea-N) | (NH4+•N) | (Urea-N) | (NH4+•N) | (Urea-N) | (NH4+•N) | (Urea-N) |
| Fertilization amounts (kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) | 39.7 | 188.7 | 188.7 | 227.5 | 95.3 | 234.5 | 301.0 |

Note that NH4•N and Urea-N respectively denote Ammonium nitrogen and urea nitrogen.
The field experiment of single early maize was conducted in three plots in 2014–2016. The plot size was 6.5 × 5 m and each plot was separated by isolation strips. The management practices in 2014–2015 were as described by Xiao et al. [23]. A total of 548 kg urea (252 kg N) per hectare was incorporated into the surface soil before sowing single early maize in 2016.

Between these two experiments, the soil water content, leaf area index, crop yield, and crop phenology were measured in the two periods (2009–2013 and 2015–2016). Evapotranspiration based on Eddy Covariance observation [5] under the winter wheat–summer maize system was also used for model calibration and validation. The soil NO$_3^-$-N content of the different layers in the soil profile was measured from October 2015 to October 2016. The soil water content was determined by neutron probes with an interval of 10 cm in 0–20 cm and 20 cm in 20–180 cm. The time interval was 5–7 days, and there was an additional measurement after precipitation or irrigation. The leaf area index was measured every 10–15 days and the crop phenology was recorded. The soil samples were collected every 10–15 days by a manual auger, air-dried and mixed with deionized water in the ratio of 1:5, and centrifugally separated to extract the soil solutes. The soil NO$_3^-$-N concentration was then measured by ion chromatography (ICS-2100). In the winter wheat–summer maize experiment, the central area of 3×8 m of each experimental plot (5×10 m) was harvested to measure the crop yields, and ten and three representative plants were used to measure the aboveground biomasses for winter wheat and summer maize, respectively. Under the single early maize experiment, because of the isolation strip around the experimental area, measurement of the yield was based on the whole plot, and measurement of the biomass was the same for summer maize. In summary, the soil water content and crop data were collected in 2009–2013 under the winter wheat-summer maize experiment and in 2014–2015 under the single early maize experiment. And soil NO$_3^-$-N content was measured in 2015–2016 under winter wheat–summer maize and in 2016 under single early maize.

2.3. RZWQM2 Model Calibration and Validation

The RZWQM2 model integrates physical, chemical, and biological processes to simulate crop growth, and water, nutrients and pesticides movement through the root zone at a representative area of different management conditions. Evapotranspiration, runoff and water drainage out of the root zone are output items, with the inputs of precipitation and irrigation. The output items for N balance include denitrification, volatilization, runoff, N leaching out of the root zone, etc., with the inputs of N in rain, irrigation, fertilizer, and manure.

The calibrated model parameters involve the soil hydraulic, soil N transformation and crop cultivar parameters. As the RZWQM2 model developers recommended, soil hydraulic parameters should be calibrated first, followed by the N transformation parameters and the crop parameters [37]. A combination of trial and error and the automatic parameter adjustment method was used to implement the model calibration. Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and Mean Relative Error (MRE) were used as the criteria for evaluating simulation results:

\[ MRE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{S_i - O_i}{O_i} \right) \times 100\% \]  
\[ (1) \]

\[ RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (S_i - O_i)^2} \]  
\[ (2) \]

where $O_i$ is the $i$th observed value, $S_i$ is the $i$th simulated value and $n$ is the number of data pairs.

Considering the experiment design and availability of the measured data, different periods of data were used to calibrate and validate the soil hydraulic, soil N transformation, and crop cultivar parameters. The soil hydraulic parameters were calibrated and validated based on field experiment data under the winter wheat–summer maize experiment. The data from 2009 to 2011 was selected for calibration and the data from 2011 to 2013 for validation. The soil N transformation parameters
were calibrated based on the data of winter wheat-summer maize and validated based on the data of single early maize and the initial value of the parameter refers to Zhang and Ren [38]. For the winter wheat–summer maize system, crop cultivar parameters were calibrated based on the data from 2009–2011 under the winter wheat–summer maize experiment and validated using the data from 2011–2013. The crop cultivar parameters for single early maize were calibrated and validated using the single early maize experimental data in 2014 and 2015, respectively. Detailed information about the calibration and validation processes is given in Table 3.

Table 3. The process of the RZWQM2 model calibration and validation.

| Parameters Types          | Calibration       | Validation       |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| soil hydraulic parameters | 2009–2011 (WW-SM) | 2011–2013 (WW-SM)|
| soil nutrient component parameters | 2016 (WW-SM) | 2016 (EM) |
| crop cultivar parameters   | WW-SM             | 2009–2011 (WW-SM) | 2011–2013 (WW-SM) |
|                           | EM                | 2014–2015 (EM)   |

Note that WW-SM and EM respectively denote the rotation system of winter wheat and summer maize and the mono-cropping system of fallow and early sowing summer maize.

2.4. Evaluating the Impact of Alternative Cropping Systems on Groundwater Consumption and Nitrate Leaching

The grain yield, groundwater consumption for irrigation, and N leaching of the local rotation system under the practical irrigation (WW-SM_pi, with practical flood irrigation) in 1985–2015 were modelled. To clarify the impact of cropping system adjustment on crop production and the environment, the model was also run under alternative cropping systems. The three alternative cropping systems were: (1) three harvests in two years, consisting of winter wheat–summer maize and fallow-early maize (WW-SM-SEM); (2) four harvests in three years, consisting of winter wheat–summer maize and double fallow–early maize (WW-SM-2SEM); and (3) a single harvest in one year, consisting of fallow and early maize. The planting frequency of winter wheat gradually decreased in the alternative cropping systems. Due to there being no records of the irrigation times and amounts of the alternative cropping systems, they were simulated with triggered auto-irrigation in the RZWQM2 model. The triggered irrigation amount and time were determined by auto-irrigation of the RZWQM2 model. Irrigation was triggered at 65% field capacity and finished at 80% field capacity in 0–100 cm soil profile with a minimum time interval of seven days between the double continuous irrigation events. To compare the impact of alternative cropping systems under similar conditions, to use the same field practices, including irrigation schemes, as much as possible, was needed. Therefore, the local rotation system under auto-irrigation (WW-SM_ai) was modeled as the baseline for comparison.

Detailed information on the local practices over the last 30 years was obtained from scientific research reports and experiments at the LAES, including irrigation, fertilization, crop cultivars, and tillage practice. Figure 1 shows the irrigation and precipitation in the winter wheat and summer maize growing seasons over the past 30 years (1985–2015). The amounts of N fertilizer applied in 1985–1990, 1991–1998, 1999–2003, and 2004–2015 period were 200, 280, 320, and 400 kg ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, respectively. Twenty-five percent of the total N fertilizer in NH$_4^+$-N was applied to the surface soil layer with plowing before the winter wheat planting, while the rest, in the form of urea, was broadcast equally with irrigation or precipitation in the jointing stage of winter wheat and the V9 stage (the ninth leaf stage) of summer maize [4]. The straw of winter wheat and summer maize were removed from the field manually from October 1985 to October 1990. Winter wheat straw was crushed and left in the field as mulch, and the summer maize straw was still removed from the field manually from October 1990 to October 1998. The straw of the winter wheat and summer maize were both chopped and incorporated into the topsoil layer after October 1998 [4]. The setting of the straw treatment and N fertilizer application of the alternative cropping systems is consistent with WW-SM_pi (or
WW-SM_ai). The N application amount in the SEM was set to be the same as in the summer maize season in WW-SM_pi (or WW-SM_ai). As a summary of the foregoing, the average N application rates of WW-SM_pi (or WW-SM_ai), WW-SM-SEM, WW-SM-2SEM, and SEM were 322.7, 221, 187.7, and 121 kg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), respectively. In the study area, the irrigation was mainly from groundwater. Therefore, the net consumption of the groundwater by crops was calculated through Equation (3), where \(NGC\) is the net groundwater consumption (mm yr\(^{-1}\)), \(I\) is irrigation (mm yr\(^{-1}\)) and \(D\) is the deep drainage (mm yr\(^{-1}\)) out of the root zone:

\[
NGC = I - D
\]  

Figure 1. The amount of irrigation and precipitation in the growth period of winter wheat and summer maize at the Luancheng Agro-Experimental Station (LAES) in the North China Plain over the past 30 years (1986–2015).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Model Performance

As shown in Figure 2a and Table S1, in calibration, the greatest RMSE and MRE for soil water content from 0 to 180 cm were 0.071 cm\(^3\) cm\(^{-3}\) and –15.34%, located at 0–20 cm, which is partially due to the lower accuracy of neutron-based measured soil water content near the ground surface [39], while other layers all had the absolute values of MRE smaller than 10% and RMSE smaller than 0.05 cm\(^3\) cm\(^{-3}\). The RMSE and MRE of the calibration results of soil water storage (SWS) at 0–180 cm were 3.950 cm and –1.47%, respectively (Figure 2c). Hu et al. [13] obtained similar results with an RMSE of 5.9 cm for the SWS (0–180 cm) using the RZWQM. The RMSEs and MREs of soil water content in validation were similar to the calibration results (Figure 2b), with the greatest RMSE and MRE 0.046 cm\(^3\) cm\(^{-3}\) and 8.31% at 0–20 cm and 120–140 cm, respectively (Table S1). The RMSE of the SWS (0–180 cm) was 3.247 cm, with the corresponding MRE 4.66% (Figure 2d). Statistical information on the model performance of the soil water simulation during calibration and validation is given in Table S1. Overall, the RZWQM2 model can effectively simulate soil water dynamics.
The simulated soil NO$_3^-$-N storage (SNS) explained 78% of the total variance in the observation, with an RMSE of 44.57 kg ha$^{-1}$ and MRE of 4.37% (Figure 3a and Table S2). An RMSE of 39.00 kg N ha$^{-1}$ (at 0–212 cm) was reported in the NCP by Hu et al. [13], with the N balance simulated based on RZWQM. An RMSE of 55.8 kg N ha$^{-1}$ and an MRE of −22.4% under three N treatments were obtained by Fang et al. [12] based on RZWQM. The agreement of the soil NO$_3^-$-N content (SNC) in different soil layers in the observed and simulated was a little worse than that of the SNS (Figure S2). The absolute MREs for each layer ranged from 2.2 to 33.6% (Table S2) and the RMSE of each layer was an average of 7.94 μg g$^{-1}$. In validation, the SNS in the soil profile that had an RMSE of 76.45 kg N ha$^{-1}$
and an MRE of 3.2% was slightly worse than in the calibration (Figure 3b), but comparable with the previous results [12,13]. The largest and smallest absolute RMSEs of the SNC were 17.38 µg g⁻¹ in the top layer (0–10 cm) and 3.87 µg g⁻¹ at 60–80 cm (Table S2). The statistical information of soil NO₃⁻-N simulation suggested that the model can be used to simulate the dynamic change of NO₃⁻-N content in the root zone soil profile.

Figure 3. Comparisons of observed and simulated Soil NO₃⁻-N storage for calibration (a) and validation (b) in the 0–180 cm soil profile.

In the winter wheat–summer maize cropping system, the RMSEs and MREs for leaf area index (LAI), evapotranspiration (ET), and yield in calibration were 0.99 and 62.0%, 12.6 mm and 28.0%, and 370.2 kg ha⁻¹ and 4.0%, respectively (Table S3). The simulated LAI matched well with the observed LAI and the simulated ET matched well with the observed monthly ET by the Eddy Covariance (Figure 4). Similar to the calibration results, simulated LAI responded well to observed LAI, with RMSE and MRE of 1.01 and 14.6%, and simulated ET responded well to observed ET, with RMSE and MRE of 11.3 mm and 30.7% (Figure 4) in the validation, in spite of the RMSE for LAI and MRE for ET being higher than in the calibration (Table S3). The simulated and observed crop yields followed similar trends. In general, the simulation results of the validation are comparable to the calibration.

For the single early maize, the simulated phenology matched well with the observed value. The differences between simulated crop growth stages and the observed ones were within 10 days. The deviations of the simulated yields for the two years were 44 and −49 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 4). These results suggest that the RZWQM2 model is suitable to simulate crop (wheat and maize) growth and yield in the NCP.

Table 4. Comparisons between observed and simulated phenology and yield for single early maize.

| Items                  | 2014 Observed | Simulated | Deviation | 2015 Observed | Simulated | Deviation |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| Sowing date (DOY)      | 143           | 143       | 0         | 143           | 143       | 0         |
| Emergence date (DOY)   | 148           | 148       | 0         | 148           | 148       | 0         |
| Anthesis date (DOY)    | 205           | 203       | -2        | 206           | 204       | -2        |
| Maturity date (DOY)    | 262           | 254       | -8        | 264           | 261       | -3        |
| Yield (kg ha⁻¹)        | 12,720        | 12,764    | 44        | 11,700        | 11,651    | -49       |

Note that DOY denotes the day of year.

3.2. Impact of the Local Rotation System on the Grain Yield and Groundwater Environment

According to the historical record on practice management over the past 30 years (1985–2015), the grain yield, ET, deep drainage and N leaching of WW-SM under practical irrigation (WW-SM_pi) were simulated. The results showed that the average yield of the WW-SM_pi was 11,896.8 kg ha⁻¹, consisting of 6461.1 kg ha⁻¹ for the winter wheat and 5480.7 kg ha⁻¹ for summer maize (Table 5). The annual average ET was 692.6 mm, including 368.1 mm for winter wheat and 324.5 for summer maize, respectively (Figure 5a). The result was similar to a nearly 10-year annual ET in the WW-SM_pi based on the eddy covariance observation, which had a total of 690 mm with 400 mm in the winter wheat and 290 mm in the summer maize [5]. The annual average deep drainage was 90.7 mm. Xiao et al. [23]
found that the annual average deep drainage (1981–2015) of the WW-SM with auto-irrigation was 142 mm based on the simulation results of the APSIM model, with higher irrigation amount (400 mm) than it in this modeling. Many studies have also investigated deep drainage under similar WW-SM_pi in the NCP [6,40,41]. These studies reported that the deep drainage ranged from 67 mm yr\(^{-1}\) to 200 mm yr\(^{-1}\), which is closely related to the irrigation amount. In this study, the deep drainage was 90.7 mm yr\(^{-1}\), which was less than the groundwater extraction for irrigation (317.6 mm yr\(^{-1}\)). The average annual net consumption of the groundwater was 226.9 mm in the last 30 years, primarily in the winter wheat season (Figure 5c), which was approximately equal to the difference between precipitation and ET determined by Shen et al. [9].

Figure 4. Comparisons of observed and simulated crop yield, leaf area index (LAI), and evapotranspiration (ET) for calibration (a,c,e) and validation (b,d,f) of winter wheat and summer maize.

Table 4.

| Items          | 2014     | 2015     | Deviation | 2014     | 2015     | Deviation |
|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Sowing date (DOY) | 143      | 143      | 0         | 143      | 143      | 0         |
| Emergence date (DOY) | 148      | 148      | 0         | 148      | 148      | 0         |
| Anthesis date (DOY) | 205      | 203      | -2        | 206      | 204      | -2        |
| Maturity date (DOY) | 262      | 254      | -8        | 264      | 261      | -3        |
| Yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | 12,720   | 12,764   | 44        | 11,700   | 11,651   | -49       |

Note that DOY denotes the day of year.
Agronomy 2020, 10, x FOR PEER REVIEW 13 of 20

Figure 5. The results of water balance (a), yield (b), groundwater consumption (c) and NO$_3$–N leaching (d) of local rotation system of winter wheat and summer maize under practical irrigation (WW-SM$_{pi}$) over the past 30 years (1985–2015). P, I, D, ET, WW, and SM, respectively, denote precipitation, irrigation, drainage, evapotranspiration, winter wheat, and summer maize.

The NO$_3$–N leaching increased with the increase of N fertilizer application amount (Figure 5d). More precipitation and irrigation could result in more drainage, accompanying excessive N leaching. Owing to the lower precipitation in the winter wheat growing season, the deep drainage was smaller compared with the summer maize growing season. Therefore, N leaching mainly occurred in the growth season of the summer maize (Figure 5d) [12,13,17,42,43]. The annual average amount of N leaching was 79.7 kg ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ (about 25% of the annual average N application amount), which is similar to the results of Hu et al. [13]. N’s travel time through the deep vadose zone ranged between decades and centuries; however, anthropogenic N is expected to reach the aquifer in the near future in intensively irrigated areas [44]. Thus, N leaching out of the root zone poses a huge potential threat in terms of groundwater contamination.

Table 5. The simulated average of yields, water balance, and NO$_3$–N leaching under different cropping systems over the past 30 years (1985–2015).

| Items                        | WW-SM$_{pi}$ | WW-SM$_{ai}$ | WW-SM-SEM | WW-SM-2SEM | SEM |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----|
| Yield (kg ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$) | 11,896.8     | 12,764.3     | 11,204.9  | 10,223.1   | 8973.4 |
| Evapotranspiration (ET, mm yr$^{-1}$) | 692.6        | 739.2        | 602.6     | 565.8      | 471.4 |
| Precipitation (mm yr$^{-1}$)   | 490.8        | 490.8        | 490.8     | 490.8      | 490.8 |
| Irrigation (mm yr$^{-1}$)      | 317.6        | 390.8        | 250.5     | 209.8      | 108.5 |
| Drainage (mm yr$^{-1}$)        | 90.7         | 91.5         | 98.7      | 99.1       | 102.0 |
| Net consumption of groundwater (mm yr$^{-1}$) | 226.9        | 299.3        | 151.8     | 110.7      | 6.5  |
| NO$_3$–N leaching (kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$) | 79.7         | 93.4         | 43.4      | 30.3       | 9.7  |

Note that WW-SM$_{pi}$ denotes local winter wheat-summer maize rotation system, with two harvests in one year under practical irrigation. WW-SM$_{ai}$, WW-SM-SEM, WW-SM-2SEM, and SEM, respectively, denote local winter wheat-summer maize, with two harvests in one year; the winter wheat-summer maize and fallow-early maize system rotation system, with three harvests in two years; the winter wheat-summer maize and double fallow-early maize system, with four harvests in three years; and the single early maize system with one harvest per year under auto-irrigation.
3.3. Impact of the Alternative Cropping Systems on the Groundwater Environment

Compared with the WW-SM_pi, WW-SM_ai increased the irrigation amount by 73.2 mm yr\(^{-1}\). The mean yield, ET, and deep drainage under the WW-SM_ai were 12,764.3 kg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), 739.2 mm yr\(^{-1}\), and 91.5 mm yr\(^{-1}\), respectively. The average N leaching under WW-SM_ai was 93.4 kg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), an increase of 13.7 kg ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) compared with WW-SM_pi, which could be attributed to the increase in irrigation (Table 5). These results indicate that water is also an important limiting factor for crop production in NCP [23].

For local rotation system and alternative cropping systems under auto-irrigation, because of the interannual variability of weather factors, there was significant interannual variability in the yields, ET, net consumption of groundwater, and N leaching (Figure 6a). The accumulated amounts of irrigation, deep drainage, N leaching and net consumption of groundwater are shown in Figure 6b. The differences in deep drainage were very small under the four cropping systems, while the accumulative amounts of N leaching were significantly different, especially after 1995, which could be explained by the lower N fertilizer application before 1990 (the average annual N fertilizer application amount was 200 kg ha\(^{-1}\) under WW-SM_ai). After 1990, the amount of N fertilizer application gradually increased to 400 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Fang et al. [12] reported that the crop yield did not increase with the N fertilizer application under the WW-SM when the N fertilizer application was more than 200 kg ha\(^{-1}\), which indicated that the N uptake by the crop did not increase. The increase in N application and no significant variation of the N uptake by the crop (unchanged yield) contributed to the increased N accumulation in the root zone. Therefore, the N leaching, accompanied by drainage of precipitation and irrigation, would increase. Thus, the differences in nitrate leaching under the four cropping systems resulted from the variation in the nitrogenous fertilizer application [26].

The net consumption of groundwater differed greatly in the four cropping systems under automatic irrigation. And they were all more than zero, which mean that the groundwater is overexploited. Because of lateral recharge from the Taihang Mountains Front (113 mm yr\(^{-1}\)) [45], WW-SM-2SEM and SEM, with a net consumption of groundwater of 110.7 mm and 6.5 mm, respectively, could probably halt the groundwater level decline. If the water supply from the South–North Water Transfer project (41 mm yr\(^{-1}\)) [46] in the study area is taken into consideration, the WW-SM-SEM, with a net consumption of groundwater of 151.8 mm, could also prevent the decline of the water table.

Comprehensively evaluating the impact of the cropping system adjusting on the yields, groundwater consumption, and N leaching in the NCP is of great importance. Compared with the local rotation system (WW-SM_ai), the amounts of irrigation and N fertilizer for the alternative cropping systems of WW-SM-SEM, WW-SM-2SEM, and EM decreased by 35.9%, 46.3%, and 72.2% and 31.5%, 41.8%, and 62.5%, respectively. The yields, ET, net consumption of groundwater, and N leaching also decreased under the three alternative cropping systems. The yields decreased by 12.2%, 19.9%, and 29.7%, while the ET decreased by 18.5%, 23.5%, and 36.2%. The net consumption of groundwater decreased by 49.3%, 63.0%, and 89.6%, and the N leaching decreased by 53.5%, 67.5%, and 89.6%, respectively (Figure 7). The reductions in the yields of the alternative cropping systems were less than 30%, while the decrease in the net consumption of groundwater and N leaching was more than 40%.

The local rotation system had the most significant advantage in terms of grain production and made a great contribution to the food supply in the study region and even in the whole of China [47,48]. However, The local rotation system consumed significant groundwater resources and resulted in considerable N leaching, which caused a continuous decline in the groundwater level and posed a threat to the environment [42,45]. In addition, the NCP experienced climate change in the form of a reduction in precipitation (2.92 mm yr\(^{-1}\)) and a rise in temperature (0.20 °C 10a\(^{-1}\)), which exacerbated the water shortage crisis [49,50]. Therefore, it is urgent to reduce the consumption of groundwater by irrigation and lessen the potential contamination of the groundwater caused by the application of large amounts of N fertilizer.
contributed to the increased N accumulation in the root zone. Therefore, the N leaching, accompanied by drainage of precipitation and irrigation, would increase. Thus, the differences in nitrate leaching under the four cropping systems resulted from the variation in the nitrogenous fertilizer application [26].

Figure 6. Box plots (a) of the simulated yield, evapotranspiration (ET), net consumption of groundwater, and NO$_3$-$N$ leaching; and graphs (b) of accumulation of the simulated seepage, irrigation, net consumption of groundwater, and NO$_3$-$N$ leaching of the local rotation system, WW-SM$_{ai}$, and three alternative cropping systems, WW-SM-SEM, WW-SM-2SEM, and SEM by the RZWQM2 model under auto-irrigation. Note: WW-SM$_{ai}$, WW-SM-SEM, WW-SM-2SEM, and SEM are the winter wheat–summer maize rotation system, with two harvests in one year; the winter wheat–summer maize and fallow–early maize system, with three harvests in two years; the winter wheat–summer maize and double fallow–early maize system, with four harvests in three years; and the single early maize system with one harvest per year.
The yields of the alternative cropping systems (WW-SM-SEM, WW-SM-2SEM, and SEM) with a smaller reduction could be explained by the yield advantage of the early-sowing maize [23]. The grain production of the early-sowing maize (8973.4 kg ha\(^{-1}\), Table 5) may be further improved through increasing planting density and improving other management measures [24]. Cui et al. [51] assessed the environmental and economic impacts of the cropping system shift from winter wheat-summer maize to single maize and concluded that the single maize would be an effective countermeasure to resolve environmental pressures. However, as the primary staple food crop, not only in the local area but also in the whole country, wheat is expected to increase in yield as we select suitable traits for the increasing temperatures of climate change [52]. Careful consideration should be given before abandoning the planting of winter wheat entirely.

### 3.4. Impact of the Alternative Cropping Systems on the Regional Crop Yields

Since the 1970s, the rotation of winter wheat and summer maize has gradually become the dominant system, as a result of the pressure of grain production and the increase of the pumped wells in the NCP. In the past few decades, this rotational cropping system had made a great contribution to food production in this region and even in the whole of China [53,54], but it also led to serious groundwater overexploitation and a poor water environment [14,46]. In order to alleviate the crisis of water resources and the environment, Luo et al. [55] explored the influence of cropping system adjustment on regional grain yield based on APSIM modeling in the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei plain and found that the total yield decreased gradually from WW-SM (29.78 billion kg yr\(^{-1}\)) to WW-SM-SEM (27.50 billion kg yr\(^{-1}\)), WW-SM-2SEM (26.74 billion kg yr\(^{-1}\)), and SEM (25.22 billion kg yr\(^{-1}\)). The yields of the alternative cropping systems were 7.7–15.3% lower than with WW-SM\(_{\text{ai}}\) because of the decrease in the yield of wheat. However, along with economic development, people’s dietary structure has changed dramatically, which has reduced the demand for staple crops and increased the consumption of animal protein, also increasing the demand for maize for use as animal feed [24,56]. These alterations increase the promotion potential of alternative cropping systems.

---

**Figure 7.** The decreased ratio of yield, evapotranspiration (ET), drainage, irrigation, net consumption of groundwater, and NO\(_3\)\(^{-}\)N leaching of the three alternative cropping systems (WW-SM-SEM, WW-SM-2SEM, and SEM), compared with the local rotation system (WW-SM\(_{\text{ai}}\)). WW-SM-SEM, WW-SM-2SEM, and SEM are the winter wheat-summer maize and fallow-early maize system, with three harvests in two years; the winter wheat-summer maize and double fallow-early maize system, with four harvests in three years; and the single early maize system with one harvest per year.
In China, food production is mainly distributed in the northeastern region, the northwestern region, and the NCP region, which are characterized by scarce water resources [48,57]. From the perspective of virtual water theory, a spatial mismatch between current grain production intensity and water resources in China leads the flow of virtual water from the north, for example in the NCP, where water is scarce, to the south, where water is relatively abundant. These flows further aggravated the water shortage in the NCP. In the future, it is needed to change the situation of the NCP as China’s granary gradually, so that grain production in the NCP only needs to be self-sufficient, to reduce the pressure of food production on water resources [58].

3.5. Uncertainty and Limitations

In this study, the uncertainty in the results is mainly from model parameters. For the experiment, the crop cultivars of winter wheat and summer maize were “Kenong 199” and “Zhengdan 958”. In the past 30 years, the cultivars of winter wheat and summer maize changed substantially [4]. However, because of the absence of other crop cultivar parameters in the past, changes in crop cultivar are not taken into account. This problem was also faced in previous studies, whose authors made the same assumption [23,26,55]. In addition, under different cropping systems, the field management practice is different, which may cause changes in soil properties and lead to changes in soil parameters. These changes were not considered in this study. Moreover, in the simulation of WW-SM, WW-SM-SEM, WW-SM-2SEM, and SEM, the setting of the irrigation is auto-irrigation, because of no actual records. In any case, the focus is to carry out a comparative study, in which the impact of alternative cropping systems on the yield, water consumption, and N leaching is compared. Despite the assumptions mentioned above, this study can provide a reference for the grain yields and environmental effects of alternative cropping systems in the region.

The limitation of this study is that the research is based on the field scale. Although it can provide a reference for cropping system adjustment to balance the crop production and a sustainable groundwater environment, there also need to be further regional analyses due to differences in climate, hydrology, soil, and other factors, even taking future climate change into consideration. Some studies have reported that increasing temperatures would have a negative impact on maize production in the future [59–61]. Therefore, in the face of climate change (involving changes in temperature and precipitation), more sustainable alternative cropping systems need to be further investigated to balance the relationship between the grain production and the water environment in the future.

4. Conclusions

The winter wheat–summer maize rotation system consumes a lot of groundwater and posed a serious environmental threat. To achieve sustainable agricultural production and water resources utilization, this study investigated crop production, water consumption, and N leaching in the local rotation system and alternative cropping systems over the past 30 years using the RZWQM2 model. Under the intensive rotation system with the local practice (including irrigation, fertilization and crop straw treatment), the annual average net consumption of groundwater was 226.9 mm, which led to a continuous decline of the water table. In addition, 24.7% N input was lost by leaching, mainly in the summer maize season, because of heavy rainfall and N accumulation after the wheat harvest.

Compared with the local rotation system (WW-SM_ai), the three alternative cropping systems WW-SM-SEM, WW-SM-2SEM, and SEM could reduce the net consumption of groundwater by 49.3%, 63.0%, and 97.8%, respectively. And N leaching of the alternative systems WW-SM-SEM, WW-SM-2SEM, and SEM was reduced by 53.5%, 67.5%, and 89.6%, respectively, while the grain production lost was less than 30%. In summary, these alternative cropping systems with decreasing the planting frequency of winter wheat can reduce groundwater overexploitation and N contamination with a relatively limited reduction in grain yields, which will be effective in regional sustainable groundwater management and more friendly envirionment.
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