Deciphering Coral Disease Dynamics: Integrating Host, Microbiome, and the Changing Environment
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Diseases of tropical reef organisms is an intensive area of study, but despite significant advances in methodology and the global knowledge base, identifying the proximate causes of disease outbreaks remains difficult. The dynamics of infectious wildlife diseases are known to be influenced by shifting interactions among the host, pathogen, and other members of the microbiome, and a collective body of work clearly demonstrates that this is also the case for the main foundation species on reefs, corals. Yet, among wildlife, outbreaks of coral diseases stand out as being driven largely by a changing environment. These outbreaks contributed not only to significant losses of coral species but also to whole ecosystem regime shifts. Here we suggest that to better decipher the disease dynamics of corals, we must integrate more holistic and modern paradigms that consider multiple and variable interactions among the three major players in epizootics: the host, its associated microbiome, and the environment. In this perspective, we discuss how expanding the pathogen component of the classic host-pathogen-environment disease triad to incorporate shifts in the microbiome leading to dysbiosis provides a better model for understanding coral disease dynamics. We outline and discuss issues arising when evaluating each component of this trio and make suggestions for bridging gaps between them. We further suggest that to best tackle these challenges, researchers must adjust standard paradigms, like the classic one pathogen—one disease model, that, to date, have been ineffectual at uncovering many of the emergent properties of coral reef disease dynamics. Lastly, we make recommendations for ways forward in the fields of marine disease ecology and the future of coral reef conservation and restoration given these observations.
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INTRODUCTION

Insights into disease mechanisms are being broadly reconsidered (Byrd and Segre, 2016), and investigations into coral disease highlight many of the issues in identifying single pathogens that can reproduce the signs of a specific disease. Coral biologists have cataloged outbreaks on reefs since the 1970s (Antonius, 1973; Garrett and Ducklow, 1975; Mitchell and Chet, 1975; Dustan, 1977; Gladfelter et al., 1977; Gladfelter, 1982), and evidence from paleontological and ecological monitoring suggest that the number and geographic distribution of coral disease epizootics increased in recent years (Richardson, 1998; Precht et al., 2002, 2016; Sutherland et al., 2004; Harvell et al., 2007; Tracy et al., 2019). Global coral disease distributions are both diverse and widespread across all ocean basins, indicating hotspots occur in multiple geographic locations (Figure 1).

Yet despite extensive monitoring and exploration, only six described coral diseases have a known pathogen that can repeatedly initiate a consistent disease phenotype. To date, Koch’s postulates was fulfilled for few coral diseases, although numerous studies have also questioned these results (Table 1). Disease outbreaks often appear in relatively pristine environments, during mild seasons, and in ideal ecological states (e.g., low host densities), altogether countering many theories in traditional disease ecology and confounding pathogen identification. The environmental variables (e.g., temperature, salinity, organic and inorganic nutrient concentrations, benthic competitor density, predator abundance) that influence coral disease outbreak are numerous for sure, and those that are indirect are likely incalculable. Thus, many controversies about the etiological and ecological conditions responsible for coral diseases likely result in: (1) our inability to distinguish coral phenotypes or disease signs, (2) our incomplete understanding of the interactive roles of host phylogeny, genotype, immunology and physiology, (3) animal and reef-associated microbial and viral community dynamics, (4) the contextual roles that a highly variable environment play in coral disease susceptibility, onset, progression and transmission and (5) the limitation on reproducibility or comparability among studies. Although these issues are not unique to coral disease (Egan and Gardiner, 2016; Logan et al., 2016; Apprill, 2017; Levy et al., 2017), the rapidity and severity of coral disease driven decline has brought these issues to the forefront of coral biology research.

Therefore, after over 40 years of work, we argue here that by adopting the assumptions from classic wildlife disease ecology, our paradigms and viewpoints have been clearly insufficient to understanding the dynamics of coral disease ecology—particularly the one pathogen—one disease framework. Therefore, to identify emergent properties of coral reef disease ecology, that will help us preserve these ecosystems in the future, we must adopt and adapt more holistic and modern paradigms that reflect the complexities of our system, including interactions among the three major players associated with most epizootics (Figure 2): the host, its microbiome, and the environment. Below we present a discussion of each component of the classic disease triad, how adhering to traditional wildlife disease frameworks limits current understanding of coral diseases, and why expanding our approach to studying each component separately and collectively provides a way forward.

PART I: THE HOST

The host animal is clearly a critical facet in the quest to decipher disease dynamics in any system. However, the sessile, modular nature of corals, and the complexity of their endosymbioses with algae and bacteria introduce unique challenges not typically considered in the development of disease ecology theory in other animals. In addition, subtle but important variation in the evolutionary history, genetics, physiology, and immunity among coral species makes predicting the pathogen or groups of pathogens underlying coral diseases elusive because not all hosts are equally permissive to infections. Here, we describe the main contributors to variability in coral hosts that have hampered our ability to produce reliably validated hypotheses about disease dynamics across the coral tree of life, yet we also make recommendations for ways forward in this area of coral biology research to help us in these endeavors.

Coral Disease Phenotypes and Disease Classification

A major hurdle in the field of coral disease ecology is our reliance on linking characteristic phenotypes of corals to explicit disease signs. Weil et al. (2009) expressed this problem well when asserting that descriptions of many coral diseases are limited and often confused by the lack of clear diagnostic criteria with no pathological observations, so that similar disease signs may emerge in multiple coral species (Weil, 2004; Work and Aeby, 2006; Raymundo et al., 2008; Work et al., 2008). In other words, ascribing a single pathogen to a disease sign indicative of numerous conditions hampers our ability to monitor the drivers of disease. For example, Couch et al. (2014) found that diffuse subacute tissue loss was the most common phenotype across all species suffering from distress. Similarly, Bourne et al. (2015) highlighted that white syndromes are a collective term for unexplained patterns of coral tissue loss of unknown etiology in Indo-Pacific corals. These examples illustrate a common challenge that arises because tissue loss is a general and widespread clinical sign that may have multiple root causes. Indeed, coral biologists acknowledge that corals may only have a limited number of ways to express visual signs of disease. This has led to a push for systematic, detailed species-specific descriptions to facilitate sharing of disease information, especially when the etiology remains unknown (Work and Aeby, 2006).

Coral Evolutionary History

The long evolutionary history of scleractinian corals has given rise to variability in biological and ecological characteristics both among and within coral species that are central to current difficulties in identifying the etiology of coral diseases. Although simple in design, scleractinian corals are a group of organisms that have evolved over more than 400 million years, a timeline equivalent to the evolution of land plants. Coral have diverged into 21 distinct clades that represent potentially hundreds of
different species (Fukami, 2008; Huang, 2012; Huang and Roy, 2013, 2015). This relatively long evolutionary history and high species diversity creates uncertainty in the ongoing efforts to generalize how all coral hosts might respond to various pathogens and environmental conditions.

Differences in disease susceptibility among species illustrates the extent of host variability in corals, as entire coral families experience higher rates and severity of disease than others (Pinzon et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2020). Acroporids and pocilloporids generally show elevated susceptibility to disease (Willis et al., 2004), particularly diseases like white syndromes that affect many coral species (Hobbs et al., 2015). Palmer et al. (2010) attributed broad patterns of differential susceptibility among coral families to differing levels of investment in immune parameters in a meta-analysis of skeletal eroding band disease. Pocilloporids were most susceptible, followed by acroporids and finally poritids (Palmer et al., 2010). These kinds of patterns may have a clear phylogenetic basis. For example, Pinzon et al. (2014) demonstrated that species-specific and genus-specific disease susceptibility in Caribbean corals was higher in modern taxa and lower in corals from earlier lineages such as *Porites* and *Siderastrea* that diverged more than 200 MYA.

### Coral Species Genotypic Diversity

Even among coral species within the same genus, responses to exposure to the same pathogen or environment can differ. The important role that a coral’s genotype plays in its resistance and resilience to thermal stress and bleaching has been recognized for some time (Edmunds, 1994; Fitt et al., 2009). Bleached coral colonies can directly neighbor colonies of the same species that do not exhibit bleaching (Edmunds, 1994; Ritson-Williams and Gates, 2020). Even less is known about genotypic variation in disease resistance within coral species, but evidence is mounting that it is important for disease dynamics (Muller et al., 2018). Conceptually, coral genotypes should differ in the same ways

---

**TABLE 1** Coral disease studies confirming Koch’s Postulates and work refuting the findings.

| Coral disease           | Paper confirming pathogen | But see                                      |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| White band type II      | Richie and Smith, 1998    | Pantos et al., 2003; Kellogg et al., 2013    |
| White plague type II    | Richardson et al., 1998   |                                              |
| Aspergillosis           | Nagelkerken et al., 1997; Geiser et al., 1998; Smith et al., 1998 | Toledo-Hernández et al., 2008 |
| Acroporid serratiosis   | Patterson et al., 2002; Sutherland et al., 2011 | Lesser and Jarett, 2014 |
| Bacterial bleaching     | Kushmaro et al., 1997; Ben-Haim et al., 2003b |                                  |
| White syndromes         | Sussman et al., 2008; Pollock et al., 2017 |                                  |

---

**FIGURE 2** Complex interactions within and among the coral host, environment, and microbiome can lead to a disease state with many connections still unknown.
that coral species differ in four main ways: (1) the signs of disease they display at a certain level of infection, (2) the way they manifest damage, (3) their degree of resistance and (4) degree of tolerance. As an example, genetic diversity among species complicates disease diagnosis. White syndrome lesions in different *Porites* species healed at different rates (Lozada-Misa et al., 2015). In this case, coral morphology is a critical metric of susceptibility as massive and branching *Porites* species showed different white syndrome dynamics. Another example of differences in the level of resistance was found by Mullen et al. (2006), when they detected differential prevalence of a fungal disease in three gorgonian species in the Yucatan, hypothesizing that lower prevalence in *Gorgonia mariae* than in *G. ventilina* resulted from its greater chemical defenses.

Another major knowledge gap is the degree and rate of increased host genotype resistance following an epizootic. Several studies examined whether coral populations become more resistant following a major epizootic. For example, Vollmer and Kline (2008) detected a small fraction of *Acropora cervicornis* genotypes resistant to white band disease in Panama following an outbreak. Currently about 5% of *A. cervicornis* are resistant (Libro and Vollmer, 2016) and genes potentially involved in this process were identified using RNASeq approaches (Libro et al., 2013; Wright et al., 2017). In many cases, there is little understanding of the mechanisms behind these patterns, although candidate genes and immune mechanisms are being correlated to resistance (Fuess et al., 2017; Wright et al., 2017). Recently, Muller and van Woesik (2014) shed light on the role of colony genotype with signs of white pox disease in *Acropora palmata* in the US Virgin Islands. While the size of the colony and elevated water temperatures mattered, white pox susceptibility also depended in part on the number of past infections of the colony. The number of previous infections could function as an integrative metric of susceptibility which was perhaps initially based on colony genotype sensitivity. Interestingly, the distance of a colony from of susceptibility which was perhaps initially based on colony of previous infections could function as an integrative metric part on the number of past infections of the colony. The number temperatures mattered, white pox susceptibility also depended in

Coral Immune Responses and Their Influences on Disease

Host phenotypic variability also poses significant challenges for identifying the proximate causes of a disease outbreak. Corals rely on the innate immune defense system, and do not have the adaptive arm of immunity as do vertebrates. It was often thought that innate immunity in corals was primitive and led to an oversimplified view that corals all had the same immune capabilities. But, variability in disease presentations, lesion sizes, and color among different hosts when infected by the same pathogen suggest otherwise (Williams et al., 2020) and are likely due to differences in host innate immune systems (Mydlarz and Palmer, 2011). Host cell physiology such as receptor binding sites used by the pathogen, host cellular machinery used for pathogen replication, and apoptosis can also lead to differences in the way diseases manifest themselves in different coral species (Fuess et al., 2017).

The study of coral host physiology and genomics has progressed in recent years, with studies looking at immune responses in naturally diseased corals, or experimentally exposed to other diseased corals and pathogens and immune elicitors, such as lipopolysaccharides (LPS). These studies identify the known repertoires of innate immune responses: self/non-self recognition, signaling, effector responses, and wound healing (Mydlarz et al., 2016; Palmer and Traylor-Knowles, 2018). The study of coral host physiology and genomics has progressed in recent years, with studies looking at immune responses in naturally diseased corals, or experimentally exposed to other diseased corals and pathogens and immune elicitors, such as lipopolysaccharides (LPS). These studies identify the known repertoires of innate immune responses: self/non-self recognition, signaling, effector responses, and wound healing (Mydlarz et al., 2016; Palmer and Traylor-Knowles, 2018). Several patterns in the data sets emerge leading to some potential coral immune targets for further study. The gene DMBT-1 is upregulated in several corals exposed to LPS (Connelly et al., 2020), and live bacteria Vibrio challenges (Wright et al., 2017), and importantly is associated with increased survival. DMBT-1 likely maintains coral mucosal immunity and microbial homeostasis (Libro and Vollmer, 2016; Zhou et al., 2019) and is capable of agglutinating bacteria and activating the complement system. Although it is tempting to assign immune competence of a host to one gene or pathway, the response is likely multifaceted. In fact, most transcriptomic studies of
corals see changes in GO terms associated with immunity that may allow for more direct comparisons between different coral species and diseases. In several transcriptomic studies the GO terms for Immune Response Regulation and Innate Immunity (and variations within those) are typically enriched (Fuess et al., 2018, 2020; Zhou et al., 2019; Takagi et al., 2020).

The potential for a coral species to exhibit transgenerational plasticity can also lead to variation in disease resistance that can confound coral disease diagnostics (Putnam et al., 2017; Torda et al., 2017). New phenotypes of offspring that arise post-stress of the parental colonies can be a result of transfer of nutrients and hormones, as well as beneficial microbes that could protect against dysbiosis (see section “Part II: The Microbiome”; Torda et al., 2017). Epigenetic processes that regulate gene expression such as gene methylation are also a mechanism for transgenerational plasticity. While gene methylation has not been directly studied in the context of coral disease yet, studies looking at other stressors such as heat and nutrient addition do show methylation of immune-related adhesion and signaling genes in addition to housekeeping genes (Dimond and Roberts, 2016; Liew et al., 2020). It is important to note that these mechanisms will not benefit all coral species equally, and species that are relatively short-lived and brood their embryos may be better candidates for adaptation due to transgenerational plasticity that could lead to disease resistance, such as Porites astreoides (Pinzon et al., 2014; Fuess et al., 2017).

Immunity in corals varies with many environmental factors and with exposure to pathogens whether or not disease phenotypes are apparent (Mydlarz et al., 2008, 2009; Pinzón et al., 2015). Some of these responses are to directly mitigate the stress, such as antioxidants, fluorescent proteins and melanin cascade, other have upstream signaling roles such as NFkb and NOD-like receptors (Traylor-Knowles and Connelly, 2017). These environmentally and pathogen induced variations in immunity change through time (Pinzón et al., 2015; Traylor-Knowles and Connelly, 2017), leading to different susceptibilities to diseases and inconsistencies in the development of disease signs. Such persistent natural variation in immune status makes it more difficult and complex to determine the baseline or health status of a coral at any given time.

Knowledge Gaps and Ways Forward in Coral Host Biology

In many of the studies mentioned above, it was not known whether the beginning or end of an epizootic was affected by host resistance and tolerance or changing pathogen virulence or change in some aspect of the environment (see sections below). While we have made progress in understanding the mechanisms of host immunity, we still do not understand the main drivers of variation in immunity both within and between species. Overcoming this knowledge gap is particularly needed as fast spreading coral diseases still are causing losses throughout Caribbean reefs (Aeby et al., 2019).

The emergence of more genomic and proteomic tools will help elucidate the pathways important to coral disease resistance and tolerance (Okamura et al., 2019; Ricci et al., 2019), however, we still need to understand how they vary and why. The “hidden” effects of evolutionary and environmental history and transgenerational plasticity of an individual coral or population result in a reduced ability for direct quantification and links between host factors and disease outbreaks (Torda et al., 2017).

PART II: THE MICROBIOME

For several decades, coral-associated bacteria (and to a lesser degree viruses) were examined in an explicit effort to link some microbes to specific disease phenotypes (Table 2). Yet for the vast majority of cases, linkages between a given taxa (or group) and a coral disease are inconclusive. It is now clear that the fundamental properties of the coral microbiome (see below), combined with current standard operating procedures in coral disease ecology, plus conventional assumptions about disease etiology, have contributed to our inability to recapitulate the standard one pathogen-one disease paradigm. Here we provide background on the coral microbiome and present new ideas on how to integrate this information into coral disease ecology studies.

Corals Play Host to Unique and Dynamic Coral Microbiomes

Since the late 1990’s it has become increasingly clear that most organisms host a wide variety of microbes that are responsible for aspects of host health and longevity, as well as ecosystem functioning (Dethlefsen et al., 2007; Manor et al., 2016; Apprill, 2017; Burkepile and Thurber, 2019). This concept that the microbes or “microbiome” contribute to the functioning, and even evolution, of marine taxa is now well established (Wilkins et al., 2019). The exact nature of these relationships is often unknown and likely dynamic and host taxon-specific. Luckily, recent methodological advances in tracking microbial and even viral communities with fine resolution are dramatically advancing our knowledge about the membership and function of these host-associated microbiomes.

Corals contain dozens to hundreds of species-specific bacteria that can both contribute to and detract from coral health depending on the state of the host and the environmental context at the time (Blackall et al., 2015; Thompson et al., 2015; Bourne et al., 2016; Pollock et al., 2018; Rosales et al., 2019). In particular, environmental stressors such as climate change, reduced water quality, and habitat exploitation all can affect the composition and function of the coral microbiome (McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2017). Thus, coral microbiomes and viromes dynamically respond to host and environmental perturbations in a variety of ways (Bourne et al., 2013; Bourne et al., 2016; Thurber et al., 2017). Yet how the structure and function of these microbiomes relate to coral health and resilience to anthropogenic and natural stressors remains a major area of investigation because most of the linkages between changes in the microbiome and coral health remain correlative.

Drivers of Coral Microbiome Dynamics

As a research community, we have extensively documented how several biotic and abiotic factors contribute to coral
TABLE 2  | Proposed causative agents to coral diseases.

| Proposed causal agent                                                | Described disease                                                                 | Studies                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Aspergillus sydowii                                                  | Aspergillosis                                                                      | Alker et al., 2001                                                     |
| Aspergillus sydowii and other fungal pathogens                        | Aspergillosis                                                                      | Soler-Hurtado et al., 2016                                             |
| Vibrio corallilyticus                                                | Bacterial bleaching                                                                | Ben-Haim et al., 2003, b                                              |
| Boring cyanobacteria and novel bacteria                              | Black band                                                                        | Miller et al., 2011                                                   |
| Consortium                                                           | Black band                                                                        | Cooney et al., 2002; Frias-Lopez et al., 2003, 2004; Sekar et al., 2006, 2008; Barneah et al., 2007; Voss et al., 2007; Sato et al., 2010; Séré et al., 2016; Frias-Lopez et al., 2003; Voss et al., 2007; Hutabarat et al., 2018 |
| Cyanobacteria                                                        | Black band                                                                        | Rützler and Santavy, 1983                                             |
| Cyanophyte pathogen                                                  | Black band                                                                        | Vehnman et al., 2006; Brownell and Richardson, 2014                  |
| Desulfovibrio                                                        | Black band                                                                        | Richardson and Ragoonath, 2008                                        |
| Geitlerinema species                                                 | Black band                                                                        | Buerger et al., 2019                                                  |
| Novel T4 bacteriophages                                              | Black band                                                                        | Rasoulouiniara et al., 2009                                           |
| Pseudoscillatoria coralli                                            | Black band                                                                        | Buerger et al., 2016                                                  |
| Roseofillumin                                                        | Black band                                                                        | Bourne D. G. et al., 2008                                             |
| Scuticociliatina                                                     | Black band                                                                        | Arotsker et al., 2009                                                 |
| Vibrio species                                                       | Black band                                                                        | Lobban et al., 2011                                                  |
| Arcobacter sp., (JF831360), ciliates: Morph1 (JN626268) and Morph2 (JN626269) | Brown band, white syndrome                                                        | Sweet et Bythell, 2012                                               |
| Porpistoma guamensis                                                | Brown band                                                                        | Vehnman et al., 2006; Brownell and Richardson, 2014                  |
| Corynebacterium (KC190237), Acinetobacter (KC190251), Parvulacaceae (KC190271), Oscillatoria (KC190271), two Vibrio species | Montipora white syndrome                                                         | Beurmann et al., 2017                                               |
| Pseudoalteromonos piratica                                          | Montipora white syndrome                                                         | Ushijima et al., 2014                                                 |
| Vibrio corallilyticus                                                | Montipora white syndrome                                                         | Ushijima et al., 2012                                                 |
| Vibrio owensi                                                        | Montipora white syndrome                                                         | Lawrence et al., 2015                                                |
| Icosahedral virus-like particles                                     | Portes white patch syndrome                                                       | Séré et al., 2013                                                    |
| Shimia marina and Vibrio hepatarius                                  | Portes white patch syndrome                                                       | Séré et al., 2015                                                    |
| Vibrio tubiashi                                                    | Rapid tissue necrosis                                                              | Luna et al., 2007                                                   |
| Vibrio harvey                                                        | Acropora Serriatosis                                                              | Sutherland et al., 2011                                              |
| Serratia marcescens                                                 | Skeletal tumors                                                                   | Breibart et al., 2005                                                |
| Vibrio species                                                       | Ulcerated yellow spot syndrome                                                    | Cervino et al., 2012                                                |
| Photobacterium damselaee and multiple Vibrio species                | Ulcerative white spot                                                             | Arboleda and Reichardt, 2010                                         |
| Gram negative bacterium                                              | White band                                                                        | Peters et al., 1983                                                  |
| Vibronales and Rickettsiales                                        | White band                                                                        | Gignoux-Wolfsohn and Vollmer, 2015                                  |
| Bacterial Strain BA-3                                                | White plaque                                                                      | Barash et al., 2005                                                 |
| Nucleocyttoplasmic large DNA virus                                  | White plaque                                                                      | Soffer et al., 2014                                                 |
| Thalassomonas ioyana                                                | White plaque                                                                      | Thompson et al., 2006                                               |
| Vibrio species                                                       | White plaque                                                                      | Chimetto Tonon et al., 2017                                          |
| Aurantimonas coralicida                                             | White plaque type II                                                              | Denner et al., 2003                                                 |
| Serratia marcescens                                                 | White pox                                                                         | Patterson et al., 2002                                              |
| Vibrio algolyticus                                                 | White syndrome                                                                    | Zhenyu et al., 2013                                                 |
| Consortium of Vibrio species                                         | White syndrome                                                                    | Sussman et al., 2008                                                |
| Vibrio harvey                                                        | White syndrome                                                                    | Luna et al., 2010                                                   |
| Vibrio species                                                      | Yellow band (blotch)                                                               | Cervino et al., 2004, 2008                                           |

Microbiome variability. For example, it is clear that the largest contributing factor of microbiome composition is host phylogeny (Rohwer et al., 2002; Chu and Vollmer, 2016; Pollock et al., 2018). But along with host specificity, variation in many co-occurring variables associated with geographic location (e.g., latitude, temperature, and depth) can contribute significantly to differences in the microbiome (Littman et al., 2009; Kvennefors et al., 2010; Pantos et al., 2015; Glasl et al., 2017; Pootakham et al., 2019). Two main factors, host species and geographic variation, can obscure clear patterns during disease outbreaks. For example, the co-occurrences of microbiomes with disease outbreaks may falsely lead researchers to believe that a particular microbial taxon is responsible for a disease outbreak, when in fact the uninfected coral lacks that microbe because of a difference in geographic location (Roder et al., 2014) or is a cryptic species susceptible to the infection (Cuvelier et al., 2014).
Corals are composed of four major compartments each containing unique microbial members (Ainsworth et al., 2015): the surface mucus layer, the tissues (gastroderm and epithelium), the coelenteron (stomach), and the skeleton (Pollock et al., 2018). Many of these compartment-specific bacteria are core members, as defined by a baseline prevalence, while others are considered transients (Ainsworth et al., 2015; Hester et al., 2016; Zaneveld et al., 2016). Membership and environmental responsiveness of the coral microbiome varies across the compartments, with the mucus being the most variable and responsive (Pollock et al., 2018). Even more surprisingly, coral size and whether corals are physically touching other benthic community members (e.g., macroalgae) are major variables that drive differences in coral microbiome diversity and function (Zaneveld et al., 2016).

On top of all these biotic factors, abiotic factors such as nutrient pollution, anomalous temperature, PCO₂ levels, and sedimentation can act alone or interact to cause disruptions in the microbiome that can either preclude an outbreak or directly lead to one. However, a recent meta-analysis found that patterns can be found in how different members of the microbiome respond to these local and global stressors (McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2017). It was found that almost all stressors induced three kinds of changes in the microbiome: (1) shifts in alpha diversity, (2) shifts in beta diversity, and (3) loss of one major group of bacteria, the Endozoicomonas clade, hypothesized to be involved in nutrient cycling in corals.

**Challenging the “One Disease, One Pathogen” Hypothesis**

When evaluating coral disease, researchers must be aware of potentially misleading, but common, baseline assumptions about the properties and patterns of microbial prevalence, abundance, and function in the host. Several papers concluded that due to the persistent presence of some bacterial taxa (i.e., high prevalence) in apparently healthy animals that such members cannot be the source of a disease (Casas et al., 2004; Kline and Vollmer, 2011). Yet commensal and even mutualist bacteria can become pathogenic under various conditions (Seyedsayamdost et al., 2011). For example, a recently discovered yet common bacterial parasite, Candidatus Aquarickettsia, is commonly found in corals but proliferates when exposed to elevated nutrients reducing coral growth and increasing tissue loss and mortality (Shaver et al., 2017; Klinges et al., 2019). What's more, this parasite has been found to be associated with disease susceptibility in some coral genotype backgrounds (Klinges et al., 2020). Pathogenesis also may initiate from either an extrinsic, invading pathogen or from an intrinsic member of the microbiome sometimes referred to as a “pathobiont” (Sweet and Bulling, 2017) or “opportunistic.” The increase in abundance or virulence activity of this pathobiont response to some perturbation may be the trigger that begins the process of disease onset. An example in corals is, *Vibrio coralliliiyiticus*, a thermally sensitive pathogen that requires elevated temperatures to induce chemotaxis toward coral and to express virulence cassettes for infection, with higher rates of tissue lysis and disease progression when seawater temperatures rise above 29–30°C (Ben-Haim et al., 2003b; Cervino et al., 2008; Séré et al., 2015; Tout et al., 2015; Garren et al., 2016). The pathobiont concept was developed based on evidence that gastrointestinal inflammatory diseases are often caused by bacterial species found in healthy hosts, including Clostridium difficile and Heliobacter pylori (Chow et al., 2011). Similarly, the Rhodobacteraceae increased fourfold in coral white syndrome lesions compared to healthy tissues (Pollock et al., 2017; Rosales et al., 2020) and are implicated in Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease that is currently devastating Caribbean reefs. Therefore, it is possible that some coral diseases are caused by pathobionts rather than environmentally acquired pathogenic agents.

Other standard assumptions contributing to our inability to identify clear patterns of disease etiology are that one must: (1) isolate a given strain that upon application leads to a recapitulation of the disease (Koch's postulates), (2) observe a strictly distinct microbial alternative state, or (3) identify statistically more abundant taxa that coincide with the apex phenotype of the disease. Unfortunately, due to these assumptions, attempts have been generally unfruitful in linking a pathogen to a coral disease. This is also true in other complex host-microbiome systems (but see exceptions described below). It has become apparent that the etiology of many diseases that initiate in mucosal membranes, including periodontitis, lung infections, bacterial vaginosis, and gastro-intestinal inflammatory diseases, do not involve monocultures of bacteria, but rather heterogeneous communities of organisms (Nelson et al., 2012; Lamont and Hajishengallis, 2015). Pathogenesis of disease can arise from polymicrobial synergy that results in disruption of microbiome homeostasis and normal host immune function (Nelson et al., 2012; Vayssier-Taussat et al., 2014; Byrd and Segre, 2016). For example, although some model pathogens infect corals, such infections lead to downstream alterations in the microbiome that may contribute to the ultimate death of the host (Welsh et al., 2017). When *V. coralliliiyiticus* is added to naïve corals, the infection can be transient while opportunist bacteria such as Rhodobacterales and Cytophagales become more abundant and begin to dominate the coral system.

This invasion by opportunists during an epizootic is likely a common feature of coral diseases. This is evident by the observation that several common Caribbean coral diseases, including yellow-band disease, dark-spot syndrome, white pox disease, and white plague, all of which do not display typical transmission dynamics characteristic of contagious diseases (Muller and van Woesik, 2012, 2014; Klinges et al., 2020), suggesting intrinsic properties of the holobiont may play a large role in disease initiation and progression. In these cases, it is important to examine host organismal traits that affect disease susceptibility and environmental thresholds that serve as tipping points for disruption of microbiome homeostasis and disease induction rather than focusing on transmission dynamics of pathogens.

**Dysbiosis as a Hallmark of Coral Disease**

Another major hurdle in our attempts to identify the bacteria that are strongly linked to disease may be a lack of accurate paradigms for diseases in the marine system. One such paradigm
is that diseased animals always exhibit microbial communities that are distinct from apparently healthy congeners. Recently Zaneveld et al. (2017) argued that under real-life environmental conditions, coral microbiomes do not always demonstrate clear shifts in bacterial community stable states. Instead, increases in overall dispersion of the community are major features of stressed corals, where high variability in the community likely represents the host’s inability to regulate the microbiome. This quantitatively defined “dysbiotic state” is strongly correlated with coral disease, tissue loss, and mortality and may be a prominent feature of coral disease progressions (Zaneveld et al., 2016; Ezzat et al., 2019; Maher et al., 2019). In fact, although first reported in corals, this feature of microbiome disruption as a feature of disease (in contrast to true alternative stable states) has been described but not formalized in many host systems, including chimpanzees infected with Simian Immunodeficiency Virus (SIV) and the lung microbiomes of smokers (Zaneveld et al., 2017).

Knowledge Gaps and Ways Forward on the Coral Microbiome

Adherence to the “one microbe—one disease” as well as other standard disease ecology paradigms have centralized our efforts on identifying and describing the role of particular microbial taxa. A more appropriate focus should be on understanding successional stages in the coral disease process (Figure 3). For example, the development of black band disease pathogenesis in corals was linked to successional changes in the dominant cyanobacterium associated with lesions, followed by heterotrophs and the development of anoxia and sulfide in the microbial mat, which enhances colonization by a variety of bacterial groups and Archaea that intensifies tissue loss (Sato et al., 2016). It is likely that the role of blooming secondary opportunists (or accessory pathogens) during dysbiosis is as critical as the primary and elusive pathogen and therefore should not be discounted. For example, a low-abundance keystone pathogen may disrupt normal host immune function, allowing microbiome members that are otherwise commensal to take on a pathogenic role, exacerbating inflammatory tissue breakdown and the disease process (Hajishengallis et al., 2012). Furthermore, blooming opportunists or accessory pathogens may promote further host health declines, increase virulence of other members of the microbiome via horizontal gene transfer of important virulence factors (e.g., antibiotic resistance, toxin production, flagellar motility, and sensing behavior), or modify the mucosal environment to such an extent that the host cannot return to normal homeostasis (Stecher et al., 2013; Rice et al., 2019).

One of the defining characteristics of the field of coral microbiology is that it is traditionally conducted in the field, with all of the accompanying environmental and contextual complexities that come with studying disease ecology in in situ studies. The coral microbiome is also often sampled in a state of dysbiosis following disturbance (environmental factors or pathogenesis), therefore the timing of sampling often lacks necessary resolution. Rather than directly addressing questions of pathogen dynamics, the opportunistic nature of outbreaks often means that sampling more likely characterizes the wake of pathogen disturbance in the microbiome. At the same time, the current body of literature suggests that we often do not sample at sufficiently frequent intervals to capture the dynamics of pathogenesis, and are more often blending our analyses of pathogenesis and dysbiosis. Thus, we should aim to adjust our approaches and paradigms, develop our models, and refocus our approaches to testing critical questions in coral disease ecology that are more in step with what we know about animal microbiome dynamics.

PART III: THE ENVIRONMENT

All interactions between corals and pathogens are intimately governed by varying environmental parameters. Being sessile and existing as a thin layer of tissue in constant contact with the environment, corals have limited options for avoiding unfavorable environmental change that may directly or indirectly lead to disease. The changing nature of the environment in the Anthropocene is likely a major factor causing coral microbiome dysbiosis, leading to the onset of disease, and may go a long way
toward explaining recent rises in the prevalence of coral diseases globally (Mera and Bourne, 2018).

Environmental stressors affect both host resistance and pathogen virulence (see above). Similarly, changes in the environment impacts population dynamics, spatial distributions of hosts or pathogens or both, providing greater opportunities for host-pathogen interactions that are favorable for the emergence or re-emergence of disease. It is well established that many coral diseases are connected to multiple environmental parameters. These parameters can be abiotic (e.g., temperature, light, nutrients) or biotic (e.g., contact with macroalgae) and can affect disease directly (as when warmer temperatures induce virulence factors in pathogens) and indirectly through a cascade of events. In some cases, predictive models were successfully developed to describe the relationship between disease dynamics and environmental drivers (Bruno et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2010; Maynard et al., 2011, 2015). However, many coral disease studies are initiated in response to disease outbreaks, where long-term environmental data do not exist, leading to difficulties in determining the role of potential environmental drivers after the fact (Brandt et al., 2012). Below we discuss our understanding of how the environment affects host-microbiome relationships with emphasis on the complexities and multiple stressors interactions that corals and their microbiomes experience.

**Thermal Stress**

Several bacterial, fungal and protozoan diseases of corals are linked to seawater temperatures, including black band disease (Muller and van Woesik, 2012, 2014), yellow band disease (Cervino et al., 2004; Harvell et al., 2009), and white syndromes (Bruno et al., 2007; Heron et al., 2010; Maynard et al., 2011, 2015). There were rare instances where the specific link driving this relationship was identified, as when warmer spring temperatures allow for the adhesion of *Vibrio shiloi* to the coral *Oculina patagonica* leading to bleaching (Kushmaro et al., 2001). However, in most cases it is unknown what is driving the link between temperature and coral disease, whether it is a positive impact of increasing temperature on the growth and virulence of the pathogen(s), negative impacts to the host leading to greater vulnerability (i.e., the compromised host hypothesis), or both.

Temperature also interacts with light intensity to affect disease. For instance, while many field studies correlated black band disease with seasonally warmer temperatures (Kuta and Richardson, 2002; Voss and Richardson, 2006; Sato et al., 2009), a laboratory experiment tested the interaction between temperature and light on black band disease progression rates and found that light, not temperature, was the driving factor influencing disease progression. The high light treatments were also associated with negative impacts to the algal symbionts (Sato et al., 2011). Similarly, Muller and Van Woesik (2009) demonstrated in a field experiment that reducing irradiance on corals affected by the disease white plague led to a reduction in disease progression rates. However, a separate study (Muller and Van Woesik, 2011) also found that shading black band disease in the field resulted in faster progression rates, possibly because the dominant cyanobacterium component of the pathogenic band experienced reduced photosynthetic pressure.

Projected climate change-related increases in sea surface temperatures range from 1°C (under RCP 4.5) to 3°C (under RCP 8.5) by 2100 (Stocker et al., 2013). This increase in sea surface temperature will likely increase overall disease risk for corals (Maynard et al., 2017). Thermal stress as a result of abnormally high temperatures leads to shifts in coral-associated microbial communities (Bourne D. et al., 2008; Litman et al., 2011), a breakdown in the symbiosis between the coral animal and its endosymbiotic algae, and stressful physiological state for the coral. Numerous studies report links between thermal bleaching events and subsequent increases in disease outbreaks globally (Brandt and McManus, 2009; Cröker and Weil, 2009; Miller et al., 2009; Weil et al., 2009; Muller et al., 2018; but see Ban et al., 2013), with a dramatic example being widespread outbreaks following the 2005 Caribbean mass bleaching event (Eakin et al., 2010). Outbreaks following that region-wise event encompassed multiple diseases, host species, and habitats, and resulted in devastating losses in coral cover (Cröker and Weil, 2009; Miller et al., 2009; Weil et al., 2009). Coral colonies followed through time during this event showed that the bleaching-compromised state of the host, vs. environmental impacts on pathogens, was the likely driver of disease incidence and severity during this event (Muller et al., 2008; Brandt and McManus, 2009).

The abnormally high temperatures that drove the 2005 mass bleaching were driven by human-induced climate change (Donner et al., 2007). Record seawater temperatures have triggered several global-scale coral bleaching events since mass bleaching was first documented in the 1980s (Hughes et al., 2017). Adding to this complexity, other studies show negative associations between thermal stress and coral disease (Aebi et al., 2011b), while others suggest cooler winter conditions prior to summer thermal events could reduce disease outbreak likelihoods as a result of pathogen “knockback” (Heron et al., 2010).

Ocean acidification is also considered a significant environmental impact affecting reef-building corals, with experimental studies showing potential shifts in coral-associated bacterial communities toward a more disease-associated state with decreasing pH (Thurber et al., 2009; Meron et al., 2011; Webster et al., 2013; Morrow et al., 2015). However, explicit links between ocean acidification and coral disease currently do not exist, thus efforts in this area are sorely needed.

There are a number of other environmental perturbations that influence coral disease, such as reductions in salinity (Haapkylä et al., 2011), influxes of terrestrial pollutants or nutrients (Bruno et al., 2003; Vega Thurber et al., 2014; Lamb et al., 2016; Klinges et al., 2019; Rice et al., 2019), overfishing (Zaneveld et al., 2016) and storms and cyclones (Brandt et al., 2013; Beeden et al., 2015). These environmental factors are all linked to human development and resource use. This introduces additional complexity into determining the role of the environment on coral disease due to a suite of anthropogenic stressors that may act synergistically with climate-related stressors. For example, several anthropogenic factors outside climate related activities are implicated in disease outbreaks and rising prevalence levels such as those involved in coastal development, tourism, farming, and resource extraction (Table 3).
TABLE 3 | Anthropogenic factors associated with increased coral disease levels.

| Anthropogenic stressors promoting disease | References |
|------------------------------------------|------------|
| Proximity to human population centers    | Sandin et al., 2008; Aeby et al., 2011a; | |
|                                          | Gutiérrez-Ruíz et al., 2011; Guilherme Becker et al., 2013 |
| Coastal land alteration                   | Haapelylä et al., 2011 |
| Dredging, terrestrial runoff, of sediment or agricultural herbicides | Sheridan et al., 2014; Aeby et al., 2016; Lamb et al., 2016; Pollock et al., 2016 |
| Sewage release                           | Redding et al., 2013; Wear and Thurber, 2015 |
| Release of human enteric microorganisms   | Sutherland et al., 2011 |
| Increases in nutrient concentrations      | Bruno et al., 2003; Voss and Richardson, 2008; Vega Thurber et al., 2014 |
| Aquaculture and fish farms                | Garren et al., 2008; Sabdono et al., 2019 |
| Reduction in the diversity of reef fish assemblages | Raymundo et al., 2009 |
| Overfishing                               | Zaneveld et al., 2016 |
| Injuries from fishing line                | Lamb et al., 2015, 2016 |
| Tourism                                  | Lamb and Willis, 2011; Lamb et al., 2014 |
| Sunscreens                                | Danovaro et al., 2008 |
| Plastic waste                            | Lamb et al., 2018 |
| Damage from ship grounding                | Raymundo et al., 2018 |

Interactive Influences of Local and Global Stressors on Coral Disease

Diseases of corals are influenced by changing climate and increasing levels of anthropogenic activities, but these relationships are often complex and interactive (Harvell et al., 2002; Altizer et al., 2013). Not only are these drivers of coral disease likely to be both spatially and temporally heterogeneous, pathogen reservoirs in the environment further complicate our understanding of environmental influences of coral disease. These include airborne African dust, which has been shown to contain fungal spores associated with aspergillosis in gorgonian sea fans (Shinn et al., 2000); sewage outfalls off the coast of Florida, which harbor Serratia marcescens, a human gut microbiota that has been associated with white pox in acroporid corals (Patterson et al., 2002); and reef crevices for microbial communities associated with black band disease (Kuta and Richardson, 2002). Management actions to reduce anthropogenic stress are needed at locations with high or very high anthropogenic stress (Burke et al., 2011), and are particularly urgent given the expected increases in sea surface temperature (Maynard et al., 2015) that will likely drive disease dynamics in the coming decade.

IV: BEYOND THE HOLOBIONT: PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS FOR MANAGING CORAL DISEASE IN A VARIABLE ENVIRONMENT

Marine Reserves and Protected Areas

Marine reserves and protected areas serve to protect existing natural space while simultaneously supplementing non-reserve areas with marine resources. Evidence from studies testing the efficacy of marine reserves as management tools for preventing disease in coral populations varies. For example, no-take marine reserves were shown to reduce coral disease levels through mitigation of tissue injury associated with fishing activities and derelict gear (Lamb et al., 2015, 2016) or sustaining functionally diverse fish assemblages (Raymundo et al., 2009). High densities of herbivorous fish within protected areas could limit algal growth (Bellwood et al., 2003), which have been implicated as reservoirs of pathogens on reefs in the Caribbean and Indo-Pacific (Nugues et al., 2004; Smith et al., 2006). Exclusion of activities that damage corals inside marine reserves that directly damage corals (e.g., Asoh et al., 2004; Yoshihaka and Asoh, 2004) and high-intensity tourism (e.g., Lamb and Willis, 2011; Lamb et al., 2014), is likely to mitigate disease by reducing entry points for opportunistic coral pathogens (Page and Willis, 2008; Nicolet et al., 2013; Katz et al., 2014; Lamb et al., 2014). Environmental influences that permeate reserve borders (e.g., Coelho and Manfrino, 2007; McClanahan et al., 2009; Page et al., 2009) have been shown to limit reserve effectiveness. It is also plausible that protected areas facilitate the spread of disease by increasing the number of susceptible coral hosts (McCullum et al., 2005; Bruno et al., 2007; Myers and Raymundo, 2009), or fishes that act as vectors for coral pathogens through feeding injuries (Aeby and Santavy, 2006; Raymundo et al., 2009).

Well-managed marine reserves may help assist adaptation to impacts of climate change (Roberts et al., 2017), however, there is mounting evidence that climate-related stressors can undermine coral resistance to disease afforded by reserve protection. For example, although marine reserves were found to mitigate coral disease following a severe cyclone, they were found to be ineffective in moderating disease when sites were exposed to higher than average levels of terrestrial runoff from a degraded river catchment (Lamb et al., 2016). This is further supported by Hughes et al. (2017), which recently reported that water quality and marine reserves had no influence on the unprecedented bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef in 2016, suggesting that local protection may provide little or no value to coral diseases associated with temperature.

Satellite Imagery and Predictive Modeling

The implications of climate-driven and anthropogenic outbreaks of disease on services provided to people will require preemptive solutions and mitigation. Early warning systems form an important component of potential solutions. For example, high risk areas for malaria outbreaks were predicted using global atmospheric and ocean climate models in order to initiate early mitigation strategies in Botswana (Thomson et al., 2006). Forecasting is well-established in managing diseases of agricultural crops, leading to improved deployment of planting strategies that lower disease risk though precise pesticide timing (Schaafsma and Hooker, 2007). On coral reefs, accurate forecasting programs to predict bleaching have become essential to marine resilience programs (Eakin et al., 2010) and are leading to the development of climate-driven, coral disease–forecasting algorithms (Maynard et al., 2011, 2015). More recently, the first
satellite-derived water quality data were critical in assessing the drivers of disease following chronic exposure to terrestrial run-off from a degraded river catchment (Lamb et al., 2016) and sediment exposure from seafloor dredging (Pollock et al., 2014), offering the potential for identifying and forecasting locations that are at increased risk of outbreaks from poor water quality. Linking forecasting models to trait-based models (Laughlin et al., 2012) in corals may help identify the specific coral individuals or species that are at risk when environmental conditions favor disease outbreaks.

**Human-Assisted Evolution and Active Intervention for Reef Recovery**

Although it is critical to increase efforts to understand and reduce environmental threats influencing coral disease, recent reviews and commentaries are increasingly considering alternative strategies that involve more active interventions. There is discussion about the feasibility of developing coral stocks with enhanced disease and stress tolerance through the acceleration of naturally occurring processes, an approach known as (human)-assisted evolution (van Oppen et al., 2015). For selective breeding approaches to be successful, the resistance to disease would need to be clearly identified and exhibit significant heritability. At the same time, for microbial symbionts, vertical or horizontal transmission of the mutualist taxa would likely promote plasticity or benefits to fight disease while transmission of parasites or pathogens would potentially prevent success of such approaches. Evidence for genetic-based heritability exists in the coral host (Meyer et al., 2009) and their symbiotic algae (Csaszar et al., 2010) in response to thermal stress, however, little is known about other environmental conditions of heritability (van Oppen et al., 2015). Damjanovic et al. (Damjanovic et al., 2019) showed that inoculation of *Acropora tenuis* and *Platygyra daedalea* recruits with cocktails of bacterial cultures influenced the coral microbiome, demonstrating that the host bacterial community may be manipulated for the purposes of enhancing coral resilience. Other probiotic studies have shown that bacterial addition to corals prior to stress events may mitigate some of the negative effects; what the mechanisms behind these effect are remains unknown (Peixoto et al., 2017). Similarly, following a coral disease outbreak, *in situ* treatment could include the therapeutic use of bacteriophages. For instance, Atad et al. (2012) found that phage-treated corals experienced much lower levels of tissue loss compared to non-treated corals. Furthermore, by phage-treating diseased corals, the disease transmission rates to surrounding healthy coral colonies were much lower. Although these intervention examples are promising and have the potential to treat or increase resistance to disease expansive areas, there is considerable public resistance and unknown environmental risk. Lastly, the scalability of these approaches may be too limited to adequately address global and long reaching stressors such as climate change.

**CONCLUSION AND WAYS FORWARD**

It is now becoming very clear from nearly four decades of research that many coral diseases do not conform to standard assumptions and paradigms in disease ecology. Here, we recommend the development of new concepts to integrate what we now know about coral species genotype diversity, physiological or immunological variability, the role of the microbiome, and complex nature and dynamics of the marine environment. We recommend using a multi-layered approach when undertaking coral disease research that specifically integrates the host, microbiome, and the environment. Experiments where environmental parameters are tracked or manipulated and then holobiont genotype, phenotype, and the microbiome are evaluated at appropriate time scales will be our best way forward. We recognize that these are not small asks, and the methods to integrate such disparate and computationally intensive data streams are not fully developed. Nevertheless, we foresee that such approaches can help not only explain the causes and mechanisms behind disease outbreaks but also predict disease susceptibility in different corals and locations. We envision that by re-developing theory from the vast amounts of empirical and observation-based data collected thus far, we can invigorate our community and ultimately reveal new principles that govern coral disease ecology and biology, allowing us to better combat and mitigate current and future coral disease outbreaks.
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