Hearing is one of our most important senses. Hearing disorders are quite common: according to the World Health Organization (WHO), 5 percent of the world's population suffer from hearing loss that requires treatment. Understanding the elementary processes of hearing is an important prerequisite for improving the treatment of hearing loss. One of the remaining fundamental questions about hearing is how our ears cope with processing a wide range of sound intensities. Moreover, hearing aids and cochlear implants are very limited in making this wide range of sound intensities accessible to the hearing impaired. To investigate this question, we focused on the first communication point between the sensory hair cells and the auditory nerve. We asked whether the sound intensity information, contained in the receptor potential of inner hair cells, is diversified via synapses with different properties. By combining imaging of calcium signals and neurotransmitter release with simultaneous electrophysiological recordings, we showed that synapses of an inner hair cell responded differently to the same stimulation. The differences in the glutamate release of the synapses emerged from heterogeneity of calcium signals and of the calcium dependence of release, which in turn depends on the molecular organization of these synapses. In addition, synapse properties showed a spatial gradient within the inner hair cell: the ones located away from the center of the cochlea released at weaker potentials, and typically had a tighter calcium channel-release coupling compared to the ones on the opposite side of the cell. By using a machine learning clustering method, we found three putative synapse subtypes within an inner hair cell. This provided a potential link to the classical observation in auditory physiology: synapses that are active at weaker potentials might drive the auditory nerve fibers that are especially sensitive to the sound. We propose that the synaptic differences allow an inner hair cell to diversify its output, which contributes to the functional diversity of auditory nerve fibers needed for processing a wide range of sound volumes.
A sensory cell diversifies its output by varying Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx-release coupling among active zones
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Abstract

The cochlea encodes sound pressures varying over six orders of magnitude by collective operation of functionally diverse spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs). The mechanisms enabling this functional diversity remain elusive. Here, we asked whether the sound intensity information, contained in the receptor potential of the presynaptic inner hair cell (IHC), is fractionated via heterogeneous synapses. We studied the transfer function of individual IHC synapses by combining patch-clamp recordings with dual-color Rhod-FF and iGluSnFR imaging of presynaptic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals and glutamate release. Synapses differed in the voltage dependence of release: Those residing at the IHC pillar side activated at more hyperpolarized potentials and typically showed tight control of release by few Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels. We conclude that heterogeneity of voltage dependence and release site coupling of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels among the synapses varies synaptic transfer within individual IHCs and, thereby, likely contributes to the functional diversity of SGNs. The mechanism reported here might serve sensory cells and neurons more generally to diversify signaling even in close-by synapses.
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Introduction

Neural systems employ functional diversity to achieve the complexity of behavior. Diversity is implemented at several levels, i.e., circuits, neurons, and subcellular functional units such as synapses. Sensory systems employ such multiscale diversity as well as adaptation to deal with the challenge of encoding a wide range of stimulus intensities (Kandel et al., 2012). The auditory system copes with processing a wide range of sound intensities by employing two types of sensory cells: outer hair cells to actively amplify and compress the range of mechanical inputs (Ashmore, 2008) and IHCs to adaptively encode at synapses with primary auditory neurons (type I spiral ganglion neurons [SGNs]) (Moser et al., 2019). Based on their physiology, these neurons can be classified into three functional subtypes, namely low, medium, and high spontaneous rate (SR) SGNs differing in the threshold and dynamic range of sound encoding (Kiang et al., 1965; Sachs & Abbas, 1974; Liberman, 1978; Winter et al., 1990; Taberner & Liberman, 2005). This functional SGN diversity appears at all tonotopic places of the cochlea, and the subtypes can even innervate the same IHC (Liberman, 1982).

Functional diversity of SGNs relates to the heterogeneity of their molecular profile, morphology, afferent, and efferent synaptic connectivity. In the cat (Liberman, 1982), back-tracing experiments linked morphology to function and showed that low-SR SGNs have thinner radial fibers (peripheral neurites) with fewer mitochondria than the high-SR ones. Low-SR SGNs preferentially innervate the modiolar (or neural) side of the IHC, where they face larger and more complex presynaptic active zones (AZs) (Liberman, 1980, 1982; Merchan-Perez & Liberman, 1996; Kantardzhieva et al., 2013). Larger and more complex AZs at the modiolar side of IHCs were also found in mouse (Liberman et al., 2011; Ohn et al., 2016; Michan- ski et al., 2019), guinea pig (Furman et al., 2013; Song et al., 2016), and gerbil (Zhang et al., 2018). In the mouse, RNA sequencing of individual SGNs indicated three distinct molecular profiles (Sun et al., 2018; Shrestha et al., 2018; Petitpré et al., 2018) that were suggested to correspond to low, medium, and high-SR SGNs based on the spatial segregation of their IHC innervation.

In mouse IHCs, AZ size correlates with the number of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels (approximately 30 to 300) (Neef et al., 2018) and consequently with the maximal Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx at the AZ (Frank et al., 2009; Ohn et al., 2016; Neef et al., 2018). Should the analogy to the innervation pattern in the cat cochlea apply, it is odd that Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-triggered glutamate release from modiolar AZs, with large size and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx, was to drive low-SR SGNs. A possible solution to this conundrum came from the finding that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx at the modiolar AZs requires stronger depolarization than at the pillar ones (Ohn et al., 2016). In other words, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels at modiolar AZs would be mostly closed at the IHC resting potential and require stronger receptor potentials.
to activate, which could explain the low SR and high thresholds of their postsynaptic SGNs.

Whether and how such heterogeneous properties of presynaptic 
Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling relate to glutamate release and to SGN firing remains to be elucidated. Exocytosis of readily releasable synaptic vesicles (SVs) in mature mouse IHCs relates near-linearly to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx when varying the number of open Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels (Brandt et al., 2005; Wong et al., 2014; Pangrsic et al., 2015). Similar findings were found in mouse vestibular hair cells (Dulon et al., 2009). Hence, one would assume that the heterogeneous Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling propagates into a concomitant diversity of transmitter release. However, this remains to be studied at the single-synapse level ideally for several AZs of a given IHC. In fact, a recent study of cerebellar synapses highlighted how differences in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel-release coupling diversify synaptic transfer (Rebola et al., 2019).

Here, we studied the synaptic transfer function and underlying Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dependence of release at individual IHC-SGN synapses by combining IHC patch-clamp with imaging of synaptic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx and glutamate release. To detect glutamate release, we utilized the fluorescent glutamate reporter iGluSnFR (Marvin et al., 2013) that we targeted to the postsynaptic SGNs. Our results suggest that IHCs vary the voltage dependence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels as well as their control of release sites among their AZs. This likely enables IHCs to vary the voltage dependence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} when varying the number of open Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels (Brandt et al., 2005; Wong et al., 2014; Pangrsic et al., 2015). Further studies are needed at the single-synapse level ideally for several AZs of a given IHC to be elucidated. Deciphering synaptic glutamate release from IHC active zones

Next, we probed the effect of the imaging plane on the iGluSnFR signal. We applied 50-ms-long step depolarizations in seven different planes each separated from the next one by 0.5 µm (ruptured patch-clamp, 10 mM intracellular EGTA, 5 mM [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{o}, n = 10 boutons, N = 6 IHCs from four mice; Appendix Fig S3). We compared ΔF-iGluSnFR in the optimal plane (the one with highest signal) to the ones in planes ± 0.5 µm and ± 1 µm from the optimal plane. We found that the ΔF-iGluSnFR was rather robust toward missing the optimal plane: There was a 24.79 ± 4.33% reduction in the peak of the iGluSnFR signal for the “± 0.5 µm planes” and a 26.83 ± 4.05% reduction for the “± 1 µm planes”. Furthermore, we checked the variability of the ΔF-iGluSnFR at a given synapse. We probed the peak of the iGluSnFR signal by repetitive 20-ms-long step depolarizations from the holding potential of −87 to −17 mV applied every 20 seconds over 5 min (Appendix Fig S4, ruptured patch-clamp, 10 mM intracellular EGTA, 5 mM [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{o}, n = 5 boutons, N = 2 IHCs from two mice). We observed a mild rundown of the ΔF-iGluSnFR over repetitive stimulation: The mean peak ΔF amplitude of the last three points was 36.75 ± 7.03% smaller than the mean of the first three points (14 step depolarizations over 5 min).

To probe the specificity of ΔF-iGluSnFR for reporting Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-mediated glutamate release, we tested the effect of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel blocker Zn\textsuperscript{2+}. ΔF-iGluSnFR triggered by step depolarizations gradually decreased and partially recovered upon Zn\textsuperscript{2+} application and wash-out, respectively (Fig EV1A and B). To assess potential adverse effects of iGluSnFR expression on auditory signaling, we recorded auditory brainstem responses (ABR) at P29 (~23 days after the AAV injection). ABR waveforms and thresholds of the injected and non-injected (control) ears were comparable (Fig EV1C) despite the efficient transduction and iGluSnFR expression of SGNs. In conclusion, AAV-mediated expression of iGluSnFR in SGNs is suitable for studying IHC glutamate release with high specificity and does not obviously alter auditory physiology.

Deciphering synaptic glutamate release from IHC active zones

To compare IHC exocytosis on a single-synapse versus whole-cell level, we measured synaptic ΔF-iGluSnFR simultaneously with well-established whole-cell membrane capacitance changes (ΔC\textsubscript{m}) (Moser & Beutner, 2000). To assess the sensitivity and saturation of ΔF-iGluSnFR, we applied stimuli of different durations (2–100 ms, in pseudo-randomized order) in near-physiological conditions (perforated patch configuration, 1.3 mM extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration ([Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{o}; Fig EV1D). ΔF-iGluSnFR became significant at 2 ms (P < 0.0001), while ΔC\textsubscript{m} were detectable only at 5 ms (P = 0.004; Appendix Fig S5). To evaluate a potential saturation of iGluSnFR by glutamate release, we related both the peak and the area under the curve of the iGluSnFR signal (hereafter referred to as iGluSnFR-AUC) to the corresponding ΔC\textsubscript{m}. Both measures showed a positive correlation with ΔC\textsubscript{m} (Pearson’s r = 0.63, P < 0.0001 and r = 0.66, P < 0.0001; Fig EV1F and G), indicating their robust report of exocytosis for depolarizations up to at least 100 ms. Furthermore, the decay time constant of iGluSnFR signal increased with depolarization duration (Fig EV1I). Different from postsynaptic ΔF-iGluSnFR, ΔC\textsubscript{m} also reports extrasynaptic exocytosis (Pangrsic et al., 2015), likely contributing to the sublinear relationship of both measures for longer stimuli.

Results

Optical detection of glutamate release at individual inner hair cell synapses

Fluorescence imaging allows analysis of individual IHC AZs (Griesinger et al., 2005; Frank et al., 2009; Ohn et al., 2016) due to their large nearest neighbor distance (~2 µm) (Meyer et al., 2009). To image glutamate release, we targeted iGluSnFR (Marvin et al., 2013) to the postsynaptic SGN membrane. We injected the round window of WT mice at postnatal days (P)5–7 with adeno-associated virus (AAV9, human synapsin promoter) to drive largely uniform SGN expression of iGluSnFR with several transduced afferent boutons per IHC (Fig 1A, Appendix Fig S1).

Using apicocochlear organs of Corti, acutely dissected after the onset of hearing (P15–19), we patch-clamped IHCs and simultaneously imaged postsynaptic iGluSnFR fluorescence by spinning disk confocal microscopy (Ohn et al., 2016). Figure 1B shows two exemplary IHCs innervated by iGluSnFR-expressing afferent boutons at their modiolar (IHC1) or pillar (IHC2) side in the given confocal sections. Sizeable changes in iGluSnFR fluorescence (ΔF-iGluSnFR) were evoked by brief (10-ms-long) step depolarizations to −22 mV (Fig 1B, see Appendix Fig S2 for the iGluSnFR-region of interest [ROI] detection routine). Toward the end of the perforated patch recording, we ruptured the membrane patch and introduced a TAMRA-conjugated dimeric ribbon-binding peptide to identify individual AZs (Fig 1B). When imaging, we purposely avoided the basal cap of the IHCs in which separating individual postsynaptic boutons is more challenging given the high synapse density (Meyer et al., 2009; Liberman et al., 2011).
As a complementary approach to validate ΔF-iGluSnFR as a readout of exocytosis, we probed it by applying brief (10-ms) step depolarizations from the holding potential (−87 mV) to −57 mV in 10 mV increments up to 23 mV (applied in pseudo-randomized order; Appendix Fig S6) and simultaneously recorded ΔCm. Both the peak and the AUC of iGluSnFR signal positively correlated with the ΔCm in the negative voltage range (from −57 to −17 mV; Pearson’s r = 0.57, P < 0.0001, Pearson’s r = 0.59, P < 0.0001, respectively).
and in the whole recorded voltage range (from −57 to 23 mV; Pearson’s r = 0.58, P > 0.0001, Pearson’s r = 0.61, P < 0.0001, respectively). These findings corroborated our observation in Fig EV1F and G that iGlutSnFR saturation is not a major concern under these conditions.

Next, we compared SV pool dynamics by synaptic iGlutSnFR-AUC versus whole-cell ΔC_m. To estimate the dynamics of RRP and sustained exocytosis, we fitted the sum of an exponential and a linear function. The resulting time constants of RRP and ΔC_m measurements were 11.39 ms for iGlutSnFR-AUC and 7.94 ms for ΔC_m (Fig EV1E and H, and see Materials and Methods). By estimating an average AZ RRP of ~10 SVs from ΔC_m measurements, we obtained an average change in iGlutSnFR-AUC (0.23 arbitrary units) per SV. The sustained component of exocytosis amounted to 42.7 a.u/s (~185 SVs per AZ) for the recorded synapses compared to 242 IFS (504 SVs per AZ; Fig EV1H). The faster rate derived from whole-cell ΔC_m measurements likely reflects the contribution of extrasynaptic exocytosis (Pangrsic et al, 2015). Hence, ΔF-iGlutSnFR can detect smaller amounts of exocytosis than whole-cell ΔC_m and, on average, reports similar SV pool dynamics for single AZs.

Finally, we studied how the RRP is recruited by brief graded depolarizations in the range of physiological receptor potentials (Russell & Sellick, 1983). Using near-physiological conditions (perforated patch configuration, 1.3 mM [Ca^{2+}]_o), we applied 10-ms long-step depolarizations from the holding potential (~87 mV) to −62 mV in 5 mV increments up to −22 mV (applied in pseudo-randomized order; Figs 1C and D, and EV2A and B). We analyzed the voltage dependence of glutamate release by fitting Boltzmann functions to iGlutSnFR-AUC (See Materials and Methods; Fig EV2C and D). The voltage threshold (V_{10}, defined as potential eliciting 10% of the maximum response) for glutamate release on average was ~47.11 mV with considerable variance (SD: 4.09 mV; Fig EV2C and D). This is close to the threshold of activation of CaV1.3 Ca^{2+} channels (~−60 mV) (Zampini et al, 2010) and the in vivo resting membrane potential of IHCs (~−55 mV) (Johnson et al, 2011). The variable voltage thresholds for glutamate release among the individual IHC synapses hint to differences in their transfer functions.

Sequential dual-color imaging of Ca^{2+} signal and glutamate release at single active zones

How the opening of CaV1.3 Ca^{2+} channels translates into glutamate release critically shapes synaptic transfer and is determined by the topography of Ca^{2+} channels and SV release sites. Previous studies evaluating the summed activity of several AZs indicate that a few channels in nanoscale proximity govern the [Ca^{2+}] driving fusion of individual SVs at mature hair cell synapses (Ca^{2+} nanodomain-like control of exocytosis) (Brandt et al, 2005; Graydon et al, 2011; Wong et al, 2014; Pangrsic et al, 2015). In the Ca^{2+} nanodomain-like control of release, a near-linear relation between release and Ca^{2+} influx is expected (apparent Ca^{2+} cooperativity of release (m) close to 1) when varying the number of open Ca^{2+} channels. Here, we found a near-linear dependence (operationally defined as m < 2) of glutamate release at single AZs on the whole-cell Ca^{2+} influx when depolarizing IHCs within the range of physiological receptor potentials (Fig EV3A-C, m_{QCA} = 1.55, n = 29 synapses, N = 11 IHCs). Varying the voltage in this hyperpolarized range changes the open-channel number, and for Ca^{2+} nanodomain control, this is more relevant than the change in single-channel current as once the channel opens the ensuing Ca^{2+} signal tends to saturate the Ca^{2+} sensor of release (Pangrsic et al, 2015). In contrast, and consistent with the suprinalinear intrinsic Ca^{2+} dependence of exocytosis (Beutner et al, 2001; Wong et al, 2014), reducing the effective single-channel current by the rapid flicker-block of Ca^{2+} channels by Zn^{2+} showed m > 2 (Fig EV3D-F, m_{QCA} = 2.56, n = 24 synapses, N = 10 IHCs). Taken together, imaging of glutamate release as a function of whole-cell Ca^{2+} influx corroborates the notion of a Ca^{2+} nanodomain-like control of release in mature IHCs (Brandt et al, 2005; Goutman & Glowatzki, 2007; Wong et al, 2014; Pangrsic et al, 2015). However, the presynaptic heterogeneity of Ca^{2+} signaling in IHCs (Frank et al, 2009; Ohn et al, 2016) underscores the need of studying Ca^{2+} influx-release coupling at individual AZs.

Studying the Ca^{2+} dependence of exocytosis at individual AZs has remained difficult. Previous studies related whole-cell Ca^{2+} influx to either whole-cell exocytosis (Brandt et al, 2005; Wong et al, 2014; Pangrsic et al, 2015) or to postsynaptic recordings of SV release (Goutman & Glowatzki, 2007). However, IHC synapses...
fundamentally vary in voltage dependence, number, and clustering of their Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels (Frank et al., 2009; Meyer et al., 2009; Ohn et al., 2016; Neef et al., 2018). Here, we studied the operation of individual IHC synapses in the physiologically relevant voltage range during the 4\textsuperscript{th} postnatal week (P21–26). We combined ruptured patch-clamp of IHCs with sequential dual-color imaging of first synaptic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals and then glutamate release (Fig 2A). To image synaptic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals, we used the red-shifted, low-affinity (Kd:19 μM) AEC’D’D’BC figure.

Figure 2. Sequential dual-color imaging of synaptic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx and glutamate release.

A IHCs were patch-clamped in ruptured-patch configuration with 800 μM Rhod-FF in the patch pipette, and simultaneously imaged for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals or glutamate release by spinning disk microscopy.

B Mean ΔF images of Rhod-FF and iGluSnFR signals in response to a voltage ramp and a 50-ms-long step depolarization, respectively. The synapse marked with * on the overlaid image is further analyzed in the following panels. (P: pillar side, M: modiolar side; Scale bar: 2 μm)

C, D Voltage command (top), corresponding whole-cell Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx (middle) and the functional fluorescence responses (bottom; band-stop filtered at 33.3 Hz) from Rhod-FF and iGluSnFR, respectively. A modified Boltzmann function (see Materials and Methods, \( R^2 = 0.81 \)) was fitted to a Rhod-FF fluorescence trace in response to a voltage ramp (C, C’). iGluSnFR-AUC was calculated per depolarization voltage and used for a Boltzmann fit (D’, \( R^2 = 0.92 \)). The voltage of half-maximal activation (\( V_{1/2} \)) and the dynamic range (10–90%) of synaptic Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-influx and glutamate release were calculated from the fits and depicted as circle and bar in (C’ and D’), respectively.

E The obtained fits from the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} “hot spot” (C’) and from glutamate release (D’) were plotted against each other in a voltage range from −57 to −17 mV in 1 mV increments. A power function was fitted up to the 25% of the maximum iGluSnFR-AUC (\( R^2 = 0.99 \)) to obtain the m estimate. (ruptured patch-clamp, 10 mM intracellular EGTA, 5 mM [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]). See also Fig EV4.)
chemical Ca²⁺-indicator Rhod-FF. We isolated Ca²⁺ signals at individual AZs using strong cytosolic buffering (10 mM EGTA in the patch pipette) and increased [Ca²⁺]ᵣ (5 mM) (Frank et al., 2009; Ohn et al., 2016; Neef et al., 2018). We recorded glutamate release using ΔF-iGluSnFR in SGN boutons contacting the mid-section of IHC.

Next, we studied the voltage dependence of synaptic Ca²⁺ influx and glutamate release. To probe the voltage dependence of synaptic
Ca²⁺ influx, we imaged Rhod-FF fluorescence (Fig 2B and C) while applying a voltage ramp (−87 to +63 mV), a fast protocol inducing a minimum cellular Ca²⁺ load (Ohn et al., 2016). This allowed us to analyze the Ca²⁺ influx of the AZ corresponding to a given SGN bouton, by repeating the voltage ramps in five different planes (separated by 0.5 μm). The hot spots of Rhod-FF fluorescence elicited by depolarizations localized to the plasma membrane (Fig 2B) and to the synaptic ribbon (Fig EV4), indicating a cytosolic rise of [Ca²⁺] near the Ca²⁺ channel cluster of the AZ. Then, to probe the voltage dependence of glutamate release, we imaged iGluSnFR (in the central plane of Ca²⁺ imaging), while applying 50-ms-long step depolarizations (ranging from −57 to −17 mV) in a pseudo-randomized fashion (Fig 2B and D). We used 50-ms-long depolarizations to elicit sufficient IHC release in the presence of 10 mM EGTA, which is expected to constrain the Ca²⁺ signal to the nanometer proximity of the Ca²⁺ channels and to slow Ca²⁺-dependent SV replenishment (Moser & Beutner, 2000). Furthermore, the iGluSnFR-AUC seemed robust toward saturation up to at least 100 ms of stimulation (see Fig EV1D–G and Appendix Fig S6). We analyzed the voltage dependences of synaptic Ca²⁺ influx and glutamate release by fitting Boltzmann functions to ΔF/ΔF₀ of Rhod-FF (modified Boltzmann function, see Materials and Methods) and iGluSnFR-AUC. As shown in the example shown in Fig 2, the voltages of half-maximal activation (V₁/₂) of Ca²⁺-influx (Fig 2C) and glutamate release (Fig 2D') were −42.2 and −37.6 mV, respectively. The resulting fit functions were then used to relate the glutamate release and the synaptic Ca²⁺ influx over the physiologically relevant voltage range. To estimate the apparent Ca²⁺ dependence of release for individual synapses, we fitted a power function on this relation (Fig 2E). We restricted the fit until the 25% of maximum iGluSnFR-AUC for all synapses, in order to avoid saturation, e.g., due to RRP depletion and obtained an m estimate (m = 2.1 for the exemplary synapse). In conclusion, the sequential dual-color imaging approach allowed us to study Ca²⁺ dependence of release at individual AZs.

**IHC synapses vary in voltage dependence and apparent Ca²⁺ dependence of release**

When systematically analyzing IHCs for the voltage dependence of whole-cell Ca²⁺ influx ([Q₁₀]), synaptic Ca²⁺ influx (ΔF/ΔF₀ of Rhod-FF), and glutamate release (iGluSnFR-AUC), we observed fundamental heterogeneity of AZs within and across IHCs. The threshold for glutamate release was −48.27 ± 6.47 mV (SD, n = 55 synapses, N = 34 IHCs from 28 mice) and showed a broader distribution than that of the whole-cell Ca²⁺ influx (V₁₀ = −47.16 ± 3.19 mV, SD, P < 0.0001, Levene’s test). As previously reported (Ohn et al., 2016), synaptic Ca²⁺ influx (V₁/₂ = −41.15 ± 5.70 mV, SD, n = 55 synapses, N = 34 IHCs from 28 mice, Fig 3B, see Appendix Fig S7 for individual fits) showed a broader and more negative V₁/₂ distribution than that of the whole-cell Ca²⁺ influx (V₁/₂ = −35.44 ± 2.83 mV, SD, Fig 3A). The V₁/₂ distribution of synaptic glutamate release ranged from −45.25 to −29.86 mV and also showed a more negative average V₁/₂ (−37.49 ± 3.71 mV, SD, n = 55 synapses, P = 0.008, Fig 3C, see Appendix Fig S8 for individual fits) than the whole-cell Ca²⁺ influx. The V₁/₂ distributions differed significantly between glutamate release and synaptic Ca²⁺ influx (Fig EV5 and P = 0.009, Levene’s test) as well as between synaptic and whole-cell Ca²⁺ influx (P = 0.001, Levene’s test). The V₁/₂ values of synaptic Ca²⁺ influx and glutamate release correlated (Pearson’s r = 0.43, P = 0.0008, Fig 3G). This correlation indicates that the differences in the voltage dependence of Ca²⁺ influx are propagated to release, generating heterogeneous output among the IHC AZs at a given receptor potential.

Next, we estimated the apparent Ca²⁺ dependence of release by relating the iGluSnFR-AUC to the whole-cell Ca²⁺ influx (Fig 3D) and to the synaptic Ca²⁺ influx (Fig 3E) for the above voltage protocol that primarily varied the open-channel number (see also Figs 1C and D, and EV3). The apparent Ca²⁺ dependence of glutamate release at the single-synapse level (Fig 3F) was higher on average (mᵣhod-FF = 2.47, see Appendix Fig S9 for the data of individual IHCs) than when relating glutamate release to the whole-cell Ca²⁺ influx (mᵣiGluSnFR = 1.42). The observed discrepancy of the average m estimates from single-synapse analysis and the m estimated based on the whole-cell Qᵢ₀ might at least partially be explained by the exclusion of synapses at the densely innervated basal IHC cap. We suspect that there are a fair number of synapses with m < 2 in the basal cap. The m estimate based on the whole-cell Qᵢ₀ was lower on average than the m estimate obtained in the perforated-patch configuration on P15–19 IHCs at 1.3 mM [Ca²⁺]o using a similar stimulus protocol (see Figs 1C and D, and EV3A and C, P = 0.001, Mann–Whitney U-test). This difference is compatible with the hypothesis that strong Ca²⁺ buffering by 10 mM EGTA favors the operation of release sites under Ca²⁺ nanodomain control. Since the data of Fig EV3 were acquired at an earlier developmental stage (P15–19), the developmental tightening of the Ca²⁺ channel–exocytosis coupling (Wong et al., 2014) might also have contributed to the lower m in Fig 3D (P21–26). We did not find a significant difference between the thresholds of release with physiological buffering (perforated patch-clamp, 1.3 mM [Ca²⁺]o) and with high EGTA buffering (ruptured patch-clamp, 10 mM intracellular EGTA, 5 mM [Ca²⁺]o), Mann–Whitney U-test, P = 0.42), supporting the lack of obvious glutamate release quench in these high buffering conditions. Nevertheless, probing the synaptic Ca²⁺ dependence of release in more physiological buffering conditions remains important task for future studies. When operationally defining m < 2 (“near-linear”) as indicative of Ca²⁺ nanodomain-like control of release, we found approximately half of the synapses (29 out of 55 synapses) to operate in this scenario. The other half showed a broad spread of m values reaching up to 8, compatible with Ca²⁺ microdomain-like control of release despite the presence of 10 mM EGTA. Taken together, single-synapse imaging of Ca²⁺ influx and glutamate release revealed a heterogeneity of the apparent Ca²⁺ dependence of release that is likely due to differences in the coupling of Ca²⁺ channels to release sites among the IHC AZs. This heterogeneous coupling of Ca²⁺ channels to release sites likely contributes to the heterogeneous output of IHC AZs.

**Pillar synapses operate at more negative potentials than modiolar synapses**

SGNs exhibit a spatial preference in their IHC innervation pattern in the cat: High SR–low threshold fibers innervate the pillar side of the IHC, and low SR–high threshold fibers contact the modiolar side of the IHC (Liberman, 1982). Assuming analogy for mouse IHCs, we probed how presynaptic heterogeneity might contribute to the diversity of SGNs by analyzing the synaptic Ca²⁺ influx and glutamate
Figure 4. Pillar synapses are active at more negative potentials than modiolar synapses.

A The single cell example shows release dynamics of two synapses innervating the same IHC from either pillar or modiolar side. iGluSnFR signals (band-stop filtered at 33.3 Hz) in response to 50-ms-long step depolarizations to the given depolarization voltages are depicted (ruptured patch-clamp, 10 mM intracellular EGTA, 5 mM [Ca$^{2+}$]$_e$). The middle panel shows $\Delta F$ images of iGluSnFR, recorded from the mid-section of the IHC. * depict the first detected response in the given synapse. Note that pillar synapse 1 is already active at $-49$ mV, while modiolar synapse 2 starts responding only at $-41$ mV (Scale bar: 2 μm).

A’ Left panel shows the linear regression analysis (solid lines) of $V_{1/2}$ of synaptic Ca$^{2+}$ influx (B), $V_{1/2}$ (C), threshold (D), and dynamic range (E) of glutamate release as a function of position along the pillar–modiolar axis. Shaded areas depict the associated 95% confidence intervals. Significance of correlation coefficients is reported by a two-tailed P-value. Right panel shows box and whisker plots of these properties of synapses grouped into pillar and modiolar halves of the IHCs. Box plots indicate first quartile (25th percentile), median and third quartile (75th percentile) with whiskers reaching from 10 to 90%. For comparison of pillar and modiolar synapses, either Student’s t-test (for normally distributed data) or Mann–Whitney U-test (for non-normally distributed data) was applied. *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01.
release as a function of position along the pillar–modiolar axis. Figure 4A compares the simultaneous iGluSnFR responses of two exemplary synapses of one IHC: One positioned at the pillar and the other one at the modiolar side. In this example, the pillar synapse had a lower threshold; i.e., it already became active at −49 mV, while the modiolar synapse only started to respond at −41 mV. The pillar synapse also showed a more negative $V_{1/2}$ and a wider dynamic range compared to the modiolar synapses in the given cell (Fig 4A'). As this observation was made in the same section of an IHC and was representative for the population (Fig 4B–E), we consider potential technical reasons unlikely. On average, $V_{1/2}$ of both $Ca^{2+}$ influx and glutamate release, and threshold of glutamate release (Fig 4B–D) were more negative at pillar synapses. Moreover, pillar synapses showed a wider dynamic range of release (Fig 4E).

Nonetheless, there was substantial variability in particular among the modiolar synapses. This is obvious from the example shown in Fig 4A' as well as at the population level (Fig 4B–E). We also performed linear regression analysis on the relation of pillar–modiolar position and $V_{1/2}$ of synaptic $Ca^{2+}$ influx as well as glutamate release (Fig 4B–E). This analysis confirmed a pillar–modiolar gradient of $V_{1/2}$ of synaptic $Ca^{2+}$ influx ($r = 0.48; P = 0.0001$) that we previously reported for an earlier postnatal stage (Ohn et al, 2016). Furthermore, it showed a pillar–modiolar gradient of the voltage threshold ($r = 0.47; P = 0.0002$), $V_{1/2}$ ($r = 0.32; P = 0.013$), and dynamic range ($r = −0.38; P = 0.003$) of release. $Ca^{2+}$ cooperativity did not show a significant correlation with the position along the pillar–modiolar axis ($r = 0.17; P = 0.21$; Appendix Fig S10). However, $Ca^{2+}$ cooperativities $≥ 3$ were mainly found for AZs on the modiolar side.

In conclusion, pillar and modiolar AZs differed in their voltage dependence and dynamic range of glutamate release. These differences between pillar and modiolar synapses support the hypothesis that diversity of the spontaneous and sound-evoked SGN firing is, at least in part, rooted in the heterogeneous biophysical properties of presynaptic $Ca^{2+}$ channels and transmitter release. Activation at more negative potentials of pillar synapses agrees with the high SR and low sound threshold of the corresponding SGNs. However, the wider dynamic range of glutamate release at pillar AZs is more difficult to reconcile with the narrower dynamic range of sound encoding of high SR–low threshold SGNs (Taberner & Liberman, 2005). While the exciting hypothesis of a modiolar–pillar segregation of synaptic properties has kept instructing important experiments, we should be aware of bias arising from this model. Indeed, the present data seem to support a model that is between a strict ordering and a “salt-and-pepper” distribution of AZ properties in IHCs.

**Clustering of synaptic properties indicates three synapse subtypes likely distinguished by their implementation of $Ca^{2+}$ influx–release coupling**

By the sequential dual-color imaging of synaptic $Ca^{2+}$ influx and release, we quantified each synapse with 15 parameters. Correlations among several of these parameters can be intuitively explained, such as the voltage dependence of a synapse, which is primarily rooted in that of $Ca^{2+}$ channel activation (Fig EV6). Moreover, a supralinear coupling of release to $Ca^{2+}$ influx is expected to compress the dynamic range of release. While the comparative analysis of pillar and modiolar synapses (see Fig 4) aims to elucidate synaptic correlates of the functional SGN properties, it has both value and limits. For an unbiased and in-depth analysis, we applied $K$-means clustering ($K = 3$) on the 11th-dimensional space of single-synapse properties (excluding positional and whole-cell information) and obtained three synapse clusters (putative subtypes; see Appendix Fig S11 for clustering results with $K = 2$ and $K = 4$). To visualize the clusters in two or three dimensions, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) and used the first three principal components that explained 79% of the variance (Fig 5A–D). The main factors contributing to the first three PCs were the dynamic range of glutamate release, thresholds of glutamate release, and synaptic $Ca^{2+}$ influx (Appendix Fig S12).

Next, we checked the response properties of these putative synapse subtypes. The first subtype of synapses showed a low $m$ ($1.56 ± 1.07, 27$ synapses) indicating a $Ca^{2+}$ nanodomain-like control of release as well as a wide dynamic range ($27.52 ± 9.37$ mV) and a hyperpolarized activation threshold ($−53 ± 5.07$ mV) of release. In contrast, the third synapse subtype showed a high $m$ ($6.10 ± 1.18, six$ synapses) with smaller dynamic range ($15.53 ± 3.95$ mV) and a more depolarized threshold ($−42 ± 2.8$ mV; Fig 5F–I). Synapses from the first cluster are predicted to be active already around the IHC receptor potential ($−55$ mV; Fig 5I) (Johnson et al, 2011). The properties of the second synapse subtype fell in between those of subtypes 1 and 3. Finally, we evaluated positions of the three synapse subtypes in an effort to match them to the concept of a SGN-subtype-specific innervation pattern along the pillar–modiolar axis. Pillar synapses were exclusively of subtype 1, while modiolar synapses were distributed across all subtypes. This is exemplified by three neighboring modiolar synapses of an IHC (Fig 5E): Each synapse belongs to a separate cluster, employing different apparent $Ca^{2+}$ dependencies (see Appendix Fig S9 for the data of all individual IHCs). Hence, different topographies of $Ca^{2+}$ channels and SV release sites apparently coexist even in compact presynaptic cells. How the IHC manages to vary the AZ organization and, thereby, $Ca^{2+}$ influx–exocytosis coupling remains to be elucidated. Our data indicate some extent of pillar–modiolar segregation of synaptic properties, while substantial heterogeneity is found among the AZ at all positions. Taken together, we propose a model in which IHCs fractionate the coding of sound intensity information, contained in the receptor potential, via heterogeneous synaptic input–output functions that are sourced by differences in voltage dependence and release site coupling to $Ca^{2+}$ channels (Fig 5J).

**Discussion**

The auditory system encodes sound pressures over a range of six orders of magnitude. The sensory mechanisms contributing to this fascinating behavior include active cochlear micromechanics, adaptation at various stages, as well as diversity of SGNs and their synapses with IHCs. At each tonotopic position in the cochlea, SGNs differ in their spontaneous and evoked firing, effectively tiling the range of audible sound pressures with their responses (Kiang et al, 1965; Sachs & Abbas, 1974; Liberman, 1978; Winter et al, 1990; Taberner & Liberman, 2005). Differences in the transcriptomic profiles of SGNs have led to their categorization into molecular
subtypes (Sun et al., 2018; Shrestha et al., 2018; Petitpré et al., 2018). Moreover, heterogeneity in the pre- and postsynaptic properties of afferent IHC-SGN synapses as well as of efferent SGN innervation have been proposed to explain the fractionation of the entire range of audible sound pressures, detected by the same IHC, into different neural representations (Ruel et al., 2001; Frank et al., 2009; Liberman et al., 2011; Ohn et al., 2016; Neef et al., 2018). Here, we studied the transfer at individual IHC-SGN synapses, by imaging of synaptic Ca^{2+} signals and glutamate release during IHC patch-clamp recordings. On average, glutamate release had a voltage threshold near the physiological resting potential (Figs 1 and 4) and showed a near-linear dependence on the whole-cell Ca^{2+} influx when primarily
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varying the open-channel number (Fig EV3). We then employed sequential dual-color imaging of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals and glutamate release of single AZs (Fig 2). This way we revealed the heterogeneity of synaptic transfer functions, rooted in differences in voltage dependence of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx and in its coupling to exocytosis (Fig 3). We found a pillar–modiolar gradient of AZ transfer functions: consistent with the preferred innervation of high-SR SGNs on the pillar side, pillar AZs operated at more negative potentials than the modiolar ones (Fig 4). By K-means clustering of AZs according to the single-synaptic parameters, we obtained three putative synaptic subtypes, differing in the voltage dependence, apparent Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dependence, and dynamics of release (Fig 5).

**Heterogeneity of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel–release coupling**

Studying Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals and glutamate release at individual AZs revealed that the coupling of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx to exocytosis varies among the AZs, unlike previously assumed based on average AZ behavior (Brandt et al., 2005; Goutman & Glowatzki, 2007; Wong et al., 2014; Pangrsic et al., 2015). We show that it ranges from Ca\textsuperscript{2+} nanodomain to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} microdomain control of exocytosis and differs even among neighboring IHC AZs. Approximately one-half of the AZs showed Ca\textsuperscript{2+} nanodomain-like control of exocytosis (operationally defined as m < 2) during primary manipulation of the open-channel number. Hence, different from what was proposed based on an AZ summation model (Heil & Neubauer, 2010), Ca\textsuperscript{2+} nanodomain-like control of exocytosis occurs at individual IHC AZs. However, we found the other half of the AZs with m > 2 suggesting Ca\textsuperscript{2+} microdomain control of exocytosis to co-exist. We assume all AZs share the same intrinsic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} cooperativity of 4–5 that was established for IHC exocytosis by C\textsubscript{in} recordings (Beutner et al., 2001; Brandt et al., 2005). This likely reflects the cooperative binding of 4–5 Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions to the putative Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensor of IHC release, otoferlin (Roux et al., 2006). In the 4\textsuperscript{th} postnatal week, we consider IHCs to have reached maturity but further changes might occur (Liberman & Liberman, 2016). However, the heterogeneity was preserved in all recorded ages (P21–26, Appendix Fig S13). Here, we studied synapses of apico-cochlear IHCs and, given the previously described tonotopic differences in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel–release coupling on the whole-cell level (Johnson et al., 2017), single-synapse analysis in basocochlear IHCs remains an important task for the future. Taken together, we propose a model by which IHCs vary the topographies of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels and SV release sites at the AZs likely to diversify synaptic transmission beyond the heterogeneity of AZ size and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling.

Non-exclusive candidate mechanisms for position-dependent AZ diversification in IHCs include (i) developmental competition of synapses, (ii) transsynaptic signaling from SGNs, and (iii) planar polarity signaling. One interesting idea is that, during development, pioneer SGN axons (Druckenbrod et al., 2020) making the first synaptic contact at the modiolar side of IHCs attract large amounts of presynaptic resources. In addition to such potential transsynaptic cueing of AZ properties, IHCs might employ their planar polarity signaling to instruct modiolar–pillar gradients of AZ size (Jean et al., 2019). How such signaling differentially shapes AZ properties remains an exciting topic for future studies. Clearly, polarized trafficking of components of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel complex or other AZ proteins could contribute. This might, for instance, involve the adapter and PDZ-domain protein Gipc3, defective in human deafness (Charizopoulou et al., 2011), required for the modiolar–pillar gradient of maximal synaptic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx (Ohn et al., 2016). Intriguingly, the spatial distribution of the number of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels and their voltage dependence might be regulated by different mechanisms (Jean et al., 2019). While the number of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels scales with AZribbon size (Frank et al., 2009; Ohn et al., 2016; Neef et al., 2018) and shows a modiolar–pillar gradient, the topography relative to SV release sites and biophysical properties of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels seem to follow an opposing gradient. For instance, the voltage dependence of synaptic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx (Ohn et al., 2016; Jean et al., 2019, and Fig 4) and, consecutively, glutamate release (Fig 4) shows a pillar–modiolar gradient, with activation at more hyperpolarized potential for the pillar AZs that show smaller Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel clusters. Likewise, m of exocytosis was typically lower at the pillar side, indicating that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} nanodomain control of release prevails with the lower number of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels, while an increased channel number favors domain overlap as also predicted by modeling (Wong et al., 2014). Future studies will need to probe for differences in the abundance of potential molecular linkers (Han et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011; Jung et al., 2015; Krimmer et al., 2017) or spacers of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}.
channels and release sites such as RIM and RIM-BP among the IHC AZs and to explore actual Ca$^{2+}$ channels—release site topography of IHC AZs e.g. by electron microscopy (Nakamura et al, 2015).

As for size and Ca$^{2+}$ channel number of the AZ, the positional dependence of biophysical properties and topography of Ca$^{2+}$ channels might be shaped during postnatal development. For instance, AZs of immature IHCs, on average, employ a Ca$^{2+}$ microdomain-like control of exocytosis. Maturation tightens the coupling of Ca$^{2+}$ influx and exocytosis at least when considering the collective behavior of all IHC AZs (Wong et al, 2014), coinciding with the appearance of high-SR fibers (Wong et al, 2013). Our study indicates that a subset of mature IHC synapses employs Ca$^{2+}$ microdomain control of release, representing an additional mechanism employed by the IHC to diversify synaptic transmission and endowing this subset with further potential of presynaptic plasticity (Vyleta & Jonas, 2014).

Potential pitfalls of our imaging approach to single IHC AZ function include the following: (i) glutamate spill-over from neighboring synapses, (ii) saturation of iGluSnFR and other non-linear effects resulting from the glutamate binding to endogenous receptors in the postsynapse, and (iii) contamination of synaptic Ca$^{2+}$ signals by mitochondrial-Ca$^{2+}$ changes, as some rhodamine-based dyes partition into mitochondria (Oheim et al, 2014). We aimed to minimize synaptic crosstalk by choosing well-separated boutons. Moreover, simulations showed iGluSnFR provides a linear indication of glutamate release (Armbuster et al, 2020). While we cannot rule out a contribution of mitochondrial Rhod-FF, the use of 10 mM EGTA would make mitochondrial-Ca$^{2+}$ uptake unlikely. This is supported with the strict co-localization of the Rhod-FF signals with the synaptic ribbon (Fig EV4).

**Relating presynaptic heterogeneity to functional SGN diversity**

The functional subtypes of SGNs are said to spatially segregate their IHC innervation: High-SR SGNs preferentially innervate the pillar side of the IHC, while low-SR SGNs preferentially innervate the modiolar side of the IHC in the cat cochlea (Liberman, 1982). Here, we demonstrate by iGluSnFR imaging in the apical organ of Corti of mice that glutamate release from pillar synapses operates at more hyperpolarized potentials than the modiolar ones. This offers an exciting presynaptic hypothesis for the functional SGN diversity: Resting potential or weak receptor potentials will primarily recruit pillar synapses, which can readily explain the high SR and low sound threshold of SGNs innervating the pillar IHC side. While this hypothesis was previously phrased based on the heterogeneous voltage dependence of Ca$^{2+}$ influx (Ohn et al, 2016), whether and how this translates into heterogeneity of glutamate release remained unclear. Indeed, unlike we had previously assumed (Ohn et al, 2016), the present study revealed differences in the Ca$^{2+}$ channel—release coupling among the IHC AZs.

Heterogeneity of Ca$^{2+}$ channel—release coupling, too, could contribute to diversifying SGN function. First, Ca$^{2+}$ nanodomain control of exocytosis would increase the SR, as stochastic opening of Ca$^{2+}$ channels could trigger release in such a tight coupling scenario (Moser et al, 2006; Eggermann et al, 2012). Interestingly, AZs of vestibular type I hair cells on average show very tight coupling of Ca$^{2+}$ channels and exocytosis (Vincent et al, 2014) and are innervated by neurons with high spontaneous activity (~ 90 spikes/s) (Goldberg & Fernandez, 1971). Second, Ca$^{2+}$ nanodomain control of exocytosis likely also promotes low voltage threshold for the same reason, and indeed, we found a correlation between the threshold of release and m (Fig EV6). Third, Ca$^{2+}$ nanodomain control of exocytosis widens the dynamic range of release. Indeed, we found a negative correlation between dynamic range and m (Fig EV6). One of the most puzzling questions is how the pillar AZs with more hyperpolarized operation driving high-SR SGNs that show a smaller dynamic range of sound encoding can be reconciled with the wider dynamic range of glutamate release found for pillar AZs. One possible explanation is high SR at the in vivo IHC resting potential lowers the standing RRP available for sound-evoked release and hence causes a narrower dynamic range of release (reviewed in ref. (Moser et al, 2019)). Indeed, disruption of PDZ protein Gipc3 resulted in enhanced SR and narrower dynamic range (Ohn et al, 2016). Furthermore, as rate-level functions are usually assessed in response to sound stimuli lasting more than 50 ms, there is likely a contribution of RRP replenishment (Pangrsic et al, 2015). We cannot exclude possible contribution of postsynaptic properties, efferent modulation, dynamic range adaptation (Wen et al, 2009), and non-linearity imposed by the basilar membrane (Sachs & Abbas, 1974) on the dynamic range of SGN firing. Lastly, differences in Ca$^{2+}$ channel—exocytosis coupling could affect the short-term plasticity (reviewed in ref. (Böhme et al, 2018)), note the higher adaptation strength of high-SR SGNs in vivo (Heil & Peterson, 2015). A similar example of linear and non-linear synapses comes from the zebrafish bipolar cells (Odermatt et al, 2012) that encode light intensities over four orders of magnitude.

In conclusion, we propose a model where differences among the functional subtypes of SGNs are spatially segregated among the IHC AZs in the presynaptic control of release, in terms of presynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ signaling and Ca$^{2+}$ channel—exocytosis coupling, enable a single IHC to diversify the synaptic signaling to SGNs for the same receptor potential. We suggest that non-linear transformation of the sensory signal by heterogeneous synapses extends the dynamic range of intensity coding.

**Materials and Methods**

**Reagents and Tools table**

| Reagent/resource | Reference or source | Identifier or catalog number |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| C57Bl/6j [Mus musculus] | | |
and inject located, a quartz capillary pipette was used to gently puncture it through a dorsal incision. Once the round window membrane was made into scala tympani of the right ear through the round window (Akil et al., 2012; Jung et al., 2015). P5–7 WT C57Bl/6 mice were used for the injection of AAV9 virus under human synapsin promoter (pAAV9.hSyn-iGluSnFR.WPRE.SV40, Cat#98929-AAV9, Addgene, USA, or produced in our own laboratory, see Reagents and Tools Table, and Huet and Rankovic, 2021) to drive transgenic promoter (pAAV9.hSyn-iGluSnFR, Cat#98929-AAV9, Addgene, USA, or produced in our own laboratory, see Reagents and Tools Table, and Huet and Rankovic, 2021) to drive transgenic

**Methods and Protocols**

**Animals and postnatal injections**

All experiments were done in compliance with national animal care guidelines and were approved by the University of Göttingen Board for Animal Welfare and the Animal Welfare Office of the State of Lower Saxony (permit number: 17-2394). Postnatal AAV injections were made into scala tympani of the right ear through the round window. Mice were anesthetized with a combination of ketamine (125 mg/kg) and xylazine (2.5 mg/kg) intraperitoneally. The core temperature was maintained constant at 37°C using a heat blanket (Hugo Sachs Elektronik–Harvard Apparatus). The TDT II system run by BioSig software (Tucker Davis Technologies) or by MATLAB (MathWorks) was used for stimulus generation, presentation, and data acquisition. Tone bursts (6/12/24 kHz, 10-ms plateau, 1 ms cos2 rise/fall) or clicks of 0.03 ms were presented at 40 Hz (tone bursts) or 20 Hz (clicks) in the free field ipsilaterally using a JBL 2402 speaker.

**Immunohistochemistry and confocal microscopy**

The cochlea was fixed in formaldehyde (4% in phosphate-buffered saline [PBS]), 1 h on ice. For immunostaining of the whole-mount organs of Corti, the apical turns of organs of Corti were dissected out and washed three times with PBS. For immunostaining of the cryosections, the cochlea was decalcified in 0.5 M EDTA overnight. After a PBS washing step, the cochleae were incubated in 25% Triton X-100, 0.3% NaCl, 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4) for 1 h at room temperature in a wet chamber. The blocking was followed by an overnight incubation with the primary antibodies at 4°C. After 3 × 5 min PBS washing steps, the samples were incubated with the secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Following the final 4 × 5 min PBS washing steps, the samples were mounted in mounting medium (Mowiol 4-88, Sigma). The primary antibodies used were the following: mouse anti-CtBP2 (1:200, BD Biosciences, 612044, See Reagents and Tools Table)—to detect synaptic ribbons—chicken anti-GFP (1:200, Abcam, 13970)—to detect iGluSnFR—and guinea pig anti-parvalbumin (1:200, Synaptic Systems, 195004)—to detect SGNs, OHCs, and IHCs. Secondary goat antibodies were used with 1:200 dilution: Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-chicken (Dianova, 703-45-155), Alexa Fluor 633-conjugated anti-mouse

Reagents and Tools table (continued)

| Reagent/resource | Reference or source | Identifier or catalog number |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Recombinant DNA** | | |
| pAAV-hSyn-iGluSnFR | Marvin et al (2013) | RRID:Addgene_98929 |
| **Antibodies** | | |
| Chicken anti-GFP | Abcam | Abcam Cat# ab13970, RRID:AB_300798 |
| Guinea pig anti-parvalbumin | Synaptic Systems | Cat# 195 004, RRID:AB_2156476 |
| Mouse anti-CtBP2 | BD Biosciences | Cat# 612044, RRID:AB_399431 |
| **Chemicals, enzymes, and other reagents** | | |
| Rhod-FF, tripotassium salt | AAT Bioquest, Biomol | Cat #: 21075 |
| TAMRA-conjugated CtBP2 peptide | Zenisek et al (2004) | BioSynthan |
| Abberior Star 488-conjugated CtBP2 peptide | Zenisek et al (2004) | BioSynthan, MPIbpc |
| Amphotericin B | Merck (Calbiochem) | Cat #: 171375 |
| **Software** | | |
| Python | Python Software Foundation | https://www.python.org/psf/ |
| Igor | WaveMetrics | https://www.wavelab.com/ |
| PatchMaster (Software), HEKA EPC-10 (Hardware) | HEKA Electronic GmbH | https://www.heka.com/ |
| ImageJ | | https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/ |

Recordings of auditory brainstem responses (ABR) were performed on P29 mice as previously described (Strenzke et al., 2016). Briefly, mice were anesthetized with a combination of ketamine (125 mg/kg) and xylazine (2.5 mg/kg) intraperitoneally. The core
Ohm. The Lindau-Neher technique was used to measure the Cm than 30 pA and the series resistance (Rs) was lower than 30 µm. The probe voltage dependence of release, IHCs were step depolarized for 10 ms to~23 mV simultaneously up to 20 times (Figs EV1A and B, and EV3D-F).

**Ruptured patch recordings**

Ruptured patch experiments were performed in extracellular solution containing (in mM): 2.8 KCl, 10 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 1 CsCl, 1 MgCl₂, 35 TEA-Cl, 2 mg/ml d-Glucose, and 5 CaCl₂ (pH 7.2, ~300 mOsm). The patch pipette solution contained (in mM): 111 l-glutamate, 1 MgCl₂, 1 CaCl₂, 10 EGTA, 13 TEA-Cl, 20 HEPES, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP and 1 l-Glutathione (pH 7.3, ~290 mOsm). For fluorescent imaging, 800 µM of the low-affinity chemical Ca²⁺ indicator Rhod-FF tripotassium salt (Kd:19 µM, AAT Bioquest, USA, See Reagents and Tools table) was added to the intracellular solution. The recordings were discarded when the leak current exceeded ~50 pA at ~87 mV or Rs was greater than 15 MΩ within 4 min after break-in. For Ca²⁺ imaging experiments, a voltage ramp (from ~87 to ~63 mV during 150 ms; 1 mV/ms) was applied to evoke Ca²⁺ influx (Figs 2 and 3).

For all IV recordings, the IHCs were step depolarized for 20 ms from ~87 to ~63 mV in 5 mV increments. The IV recordings were used to assess the fitness of the cell, and recordings were discarded when the Ca²⁺ current rundown exceeded 25%.

**Perforated patch recordings**

Perforated patch-clamp was performed as described previously (Moser & Beutner, 2000). For Ca²⁺ current and membrane capacitance (Cm) measurements, the extracellular solution contained the following (in mM): 110 NaCl, 35 TEA-Cl, 2.8 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 1 CsCl, 10 HEPES, 1.3 CaCl₂, and 11.1 d-glucose (pH 7.2, ~305 mOsm/kg) and was introduced into the recording chamber via a perfusion system. The pipette solution contained (in mM): 130 Cs-glucuronate, 10 TEA-Cl, 10 4-AP, 10 HEPES, 1 MgCl₂, as well as 300 mg/ml amphotericin B (pH 7.17, ~290 mOsm/kg). The intracellular solution also contained the TAMRA-conjugated dimeric CBP2/RIBEYE-binding dimer peptide (10 µM, Biosynth, Germany, See Reagents and Tools table) (Francis et al., 2011; Wong et al., 2014). To label the synaptic ribbons, the peptide was introduced to the cell by rupturing the membrane patch toward the end of the recordings. The intracellular exposure to amphotericin (used to perforate the membrane for electrical access) did not result in a noticeable increase in IHC conductance and compromise IHC health. All the measurements were done via EPC-10 amplifiers controlled by Patchmaster software (HEKA Elektronik, Germany). The holding potential was ~87 for all the recordings. All voltages were corrected for liquid junction potential offline (17 mV). Currents were leak-corrected using a p/10 protocol. Recordings were used only if the leak current was lower than 30 pA and the series resistance (Rs) was lower than 30 mOhm. The Lindau-Neher technique was used to measure the Cm changes (Lindau & Neher, 1988). Exocytosis was quantified from Cm changes as described previously (Moser & Beutner, 2000; Neef et al., 2014). IHCs were stimulated by step depolarizations of different durations (2–100 ms, applied in a pseudo-randomized manner) to ~23 mV at intervals of 60–100 s (Fig EV1D–G). To probe voltage dependence of release, IHCs were step depolarized for 10 ms to potentials ranging from ~62 to ~22 mV in 5 mV increments in a pseudo-randomized order (Figs 1 and EV2). For the Zn²⁺ perfusion experiments, 1 mM Zn²⁺ was slowly perfused in and out of the recording chamber, while IHCs were step depolarized for 10 ms to ~23 mV simultaneously up to 20 times (Figs EV1A and B, and EV3D-F).

**Spinning disk confocal imaging of Ca²⁺ and iGluSnFR**

Imaging experiments were performed with a spinning disk confocal scanner (CSU22, Yokogawa, Germany) mounted on an upright microscope (Axio Examiner, Zeiss, Germany) with 63×, 1.0 NA objective (W Plan-Apochromat, Zeiss). The spinning disk speed was set to 2,000 rpm to avoid uneven illumination. A scientific CMOS camera (Neo, Andor, Northern Ireland) with a pixel size of 103 nm was used to acquire images. iGluSnFR and Rhod-FF or TAMRA peptide were excited by diode-pumped solid-state lasers with 491 nm and 561 nm wavelength, respectively (Cobolt AB).

**iGluSnFR imaging**

To avoid photobleaching, iGluSnFR-expressing SGN boutons were detected via low intensity 491 nm excitation. The imaging plane for the target IHC was selected when several transduced boutons were visible, in the mid-basal section of the cell, avoiding the high synapse density at the basal pole. A brief step depolarization was applied to the cell to check for the functional signal in the given plane. iGluSnFR fluorescence was acquired at 50 Hz simultaneously with patch-clamp recordings. The iGluSnFR signal was evoked by step depolarizations of different durations to different voltage values, as it is specified in every dataset.

**Sequential dual-color imaging of Ca²⁺ and iGluSnFR**

For the sequential dual-color imaging of Ca²⁺ and glutamate release, as described above for iGluSnFR imaging, the imaging plane was selected based on the baseline fluorescence of iGluSnFR. Once the middle plane was set, the fluorescence of Rhod-FF was imaged at 100 Hz while Ca²⁺ currents were triggered by applying five voltage ramps (from ~87 to ~63 mV, 1 mV/ms) in five alternating planes separated by 0.5 µm. To precisely control the Z-plane, a piezo positioner for the objective (Piezosystem, Germany) was used. After the
Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging, the iGluSnFR signal was acquired at 50 Hz by applying 50-ms-long step depolarizations from the holding potential of \(-87\) to different voltage values. Depolarizations (to \(-57, -49, -45, -41, -37, -33, -25, -17\) mV) were applied in a pseudo-randomized manner and covered the dynamic range of IHC glutamate release.

Data analysis

Electrophysiological recordings were analyzed using custom-written programs in Igor Pro 6.3. Whole-cell Ca\(^{2+}\) charge \((\Delta C_m)\) was calculated by the time integral of the leak-subtracted current during the depolarization step. \(\Delta C_m\) was calculated as the difference between the average \(C_m\) 400 ms before and after the depolarization, skipping the initial 100 ms after the depolarization.

Imaging of iGluSnFR

Image and further data analysis and visualization were done in Python (Python software foundation) with custom written code using the following Python libraries: NumPy, Pandas, Matplotlib, Skimage, SciPy, Sklearn, statmodels, and Seaborn.

Region of interest detection

The \(\Delta F\) image was created by subtracting baseline fluorescence \((F_0, an average of 15 frames before stimulus)\) from the fluorescence images acquired during/after stimulation \((F, an average of five frames)\). The \(\Delta F\) image was median-filtered with a two-dimensional pixel array size of 4–6 depending on the signal amplitude. To create a mask for ROI detection, maximum entropy thresholding was applied to the median-filtered \(\Delta F\) image. To label and separate individual ROIs, a watershed segmentation algorithm was used. A single mask was generated per cell, using the recording with strongest stimulation, and applied for all images. Individual ROIs, corresponding to postsynaptic SGN boutons, were further confirmed by the presence of a corresponding Ca\(^{2+}\) hot spots (see below). A mean mask for a given ROI was used for further analysis. This way we used the plane with the highest signal for each Ca\(^{2+}\) “hot spot”.

Analysis of fluorescence traces

The average background value was subtracted from the raw fluorescence traces \((F)\). Following background subtraction, \(\Delta F\) traces were generated by subtraction of mean baseline fluorescence \((F_0)\). \(\Delta F\) was normalized to \(F_0\) to create \(\Delta F/F_0\) traces. For peak detection, \(\Delta F/F_0\) traces were smoothened using a Hanning window function with a window size of 7. To correct for photobleaching, we fitted a single exponential to \(\Delta F/F_0\) traces. The area under the curve \((AUC)\) was estimated by calculating the area between \(\Delta F/F_0\) and the fit in an interval of 40 frames from the beginning of the stimulus.

Estimation of sensitivity of iGluSnFR and \(\Delta C_m\)

For iGluSnFR, mean of 10 frames before stimulation is compared pairwise per synapse with the mean of four frames after stimulation. For \(\Delta C_m\), mean of 400 points before and after stimulation is used for pairwise comparison per cell.

Estimation of the time to peak and decay constant

To obtain decay constant \((\tau_{dec})\), the following function was fitted to the 30 points of photobleaching corrected \(\Delta F/F_0\) traces after stimulation.

\[
\Delta F/F_0 = A \cdot (1 - e^{-\Delta t/\tau_{dec}}) \cdot e^{-\Delta t/\tau_{dec}}
\]

\[
\text{timetopeak}(\text{ms}) = \tau_{on} \cdot \log(t_{off}/t_{on} + 1)
\]

Estimation of the RRP size and time constant of RRP depletion

To assess the dynamics of RRP and sustained exocytosis, we fitted a sum of an exponential and linear function (Pangrsic et al, 2015) to \(\Delta Cm\) and iGluSnFR-AUC for different stimulus durations.

\[
\Delta C_m(t) \cdot \text{orAUC}_{\text{iGluSnFR}}(t) = \text{RRPsize} \cdot (1 - e^{\tau/\Delta V}) + \text{slope} \cdot t.
\]

With the assumptions of \(\Delta C_m\) of ~ 40 a.u. contributed by a single SV (Graber & Moser, 2018) and ~ 12 AZs (Meyer et al, 2009) for the apical IHCs, we obtained iGluSnFR-AUC increase of 0.23 a.u. per SV.

Sequential imaging of Ca\(^{2+}\) and iGluSnFR

ROI detection–iGluSnFR

The ROIs were picked as described above. Differently, a Gaussian filter with sigma of 1–3 was applied consistent with the detection of Ca\(^{2+}\) hot spots (see below). A mean mask was generated per cell using all the recordings. ROIs were confirmed by the presence of a corresponding Ca\(^{2+}\) “hot spot”.

ROI detection–Rhod-FF

Similarly, a \(\Delta F\) image was created from the mean time series, in this case, by averaging all the trials from five recorded planes. This \(\Delta F\) image was treated the same way as described for iGluSnFR-ROI detection. The created mask was applied to all recording planes and the plane with the maximum \(\Delta F\) for a given ROI was used for further analysis. This way we used the plane with the highest signal for each Ca\(^{2+}\) “hot spot”.

Analysis of fluorescence traces

To remove the noise caused by the spinning disk speed at 2,000 rpm (33.3 Hz), obvious in the Fourier amplitude spectrum, the raw traces were filtered with a 33.3 Hz, band-stop filter. The obtained traces were background-subtracted and normalized to \(F_0\) as described above. Fluorescence–voltage \((FV)\) relations for iGluSnFR were estimated from the step depolarizations to different voltage values. iGluSnFR-AUC for each depolarization was calculated as described above.

Estimation of the parameters of threshold, dynamic range, and \(V_{1/2}\)

A Boltzmann fit was used to estimate the two fitting parameters: voltage of half-maximum activation \((V_{1/2})\) and slope factor \((k)\) of glutamate release.

\[
\text{AUC}_{\text{iGluSnFR}}(V) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-k(V - V_{1/2})}}.
\]

For Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging, FV curves were estimated from voltage ramps. To optimize the raw FV traces against noise such as readout or shot noise from the CCD camera, the following equation was used (Ohn et al, 2016):
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\[ F_{\text{Rhod–FF}}(V) = F_0 + \frac{\delta_{\text{max}}(V-V_1)}{1+e^{-t/V_2}}. \]

The slope factor (\(k\)) was obtained with this equation. The resulting fit was used to estimate \(V_{1/2}\) by minimizing the scalar at the midpoint. The reversal potential \((V_r)\) was fixed to +47.6 mV after LJ potential (17 mV) correction. In addition, this fit was used to calculate the fractional activation curve, dividing it by the extrapolated linear fit to the decay of fluorescence. To estimate fractional \(V_{1/2,\text{Activation}}\) and \(k_{1/2,\text{Activation}}\) (see Fig EV6), an additional Boltzmann fitting was done.

The peak of the Rhod-FF signal was obtained by averaging three frames corresponding to the voltage values between −17 and 3 mV during ramp depolarization. Dynamic ranges were calculated from the fits as the voltage range of 10–90% of the maximal activation. Threshold was calculated as the voltage value where there is 10% of the maximal activation. Note that \(V_{1/2}\) values obtained from fluorescence traces after denoising (band-stop filter at 33.3 Hz) were comparable to the ones from the raw fluorescence traces.

**Estimating the Ca\(^{2+}\) cooperativity** FV fits for Rhod-FF or Q\(_{\text{Ca}}\) and iGluSnFR-AUC were plotted against each other in the voltage range of −57 to −17 mV. To obtain single-synapse glutamate release–Ca\(^{2+}\) signal/whole-cell Ca\(^{2+}\) charge relationship, a power function was fitted:

\[
\text{AUC}_{\text{iGluSnFR}}(V) = A(F_{\text{Rhod–FF}})^{\text{m}}.
\]

\[
\text{AUC}_{\text{iGluSnFR}}(V) = A(Q_{\text{Ca}})^{\text{m}}.
\]

**Calculation of the position of the synapse along cell’s pillar–modiolar axis** To estimate the cell boundary, an ellipse was fitted to the baseline fluorescence of Rhod-FF. We defined the pillar–modiolar axis of the cell as the major axis of the ellipse. We calculated the shortest distance from the center of a given Ca\(^{2+}\) “hot spot” to the normalized major axis. A number was assigned to a given synapse on the normalized scale from 0 (pillar side) to 1 (modiolar side).

**K-mean clustering and principal components analysis** K-means clustering algorithm (K = 3) was applied to the whole dataset of 11 synaptic properties for three clusters (see Fig 5). Principal component analysis was used to display the clusters obtained by the K-means clustering.

**Statistical analysis** All the statistical tests were performed in Python (Python Software Foundation). Averages are expressed as mean ± SD or as mean ± SEM (specified in the figure legends), and box plots indicate 25–75 quartile with whiskers reaching from 10 to 90%. Datasets were checked for normal distribution by D’Agostino & Pearson omnibus normality test and for equality of variances. For normally distributed data, unpaired two-tailed student’s t-test was applied, and for non-normally distributed data, Mann–Whitney U-test was used. Dependent samples were compared by paired t-test (for normally distributed data) or Wilcoxon’s signed rank test (for non-normally distributed data). Comparison of dispersion was performed by Levene’s test. We used one-way ANOVA for multiple comparisons followed by post hoc Tukey’s test. The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to test for linear correlation. Significant differences are reported as *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001.

**Data availability** This study includes no data deposited in external repositories.

**Expanded View** for this article is available online.
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