Exact soliton solutions of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations: Shape changing collisions, logic gates and partially coherent solitons
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Abstract

The novel dynamical features underlying soliton interactions in coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations, which model multimode wave propagation under varied physical situations in nonlinear optics, are studied. In this paper, by explicitly constructing multisoliton solutions (upto four-soliton solutions) for two coupled and arbitrary $N$-coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations using the Hirota bilinearization method, we bring out clearly the various features underlying the fascinating shape changing (intensity redistribution) collisions of solitons, including changes in amplitudes, phases and relative separation distances, and the very many possibilities of energy redistributions among the modes of solitons. However in this multisoliton collision process the pair-wise collision nature is shown to be preserved in spite of the changes in the amplitudes and phases of the solitons. Detailed asymptotic analysis also shows that when solitons undergo multiple collisions, there exists the exciting possibility of shape restoration of atleast one soliton during interactions of more than two solitons represented by three and higher order soliton solutions. From application point of view, we have shown from the asymptotic expressions how the amplitude (intensity) redistribution can be written as a generalized linear fractional transformation for the $N$-component case. Also we indicate how the multisolitons can be reinterpreted as various logic gates for suitable choices of the soliton parameters, leading to possible multistate logic. In addition, we point out that the various recently studied partially coherent solitons are just special cases of the bright soliton solutions exhibiting shape changing collisions, thereby explaining their variable profile and shape variation in collision process.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger (CNLS) equations is receiving a great deal of attention in recent years due to their appearance as modelling equations in diverse areas of physics like nonlinear optics [1], including optical communications [2], bio-physics [3], multicomponent Bose-Einstein condensates at zero temperature [4], etc. To be specific, soliton type pulse propagation in multimode fibers [1] and in fiber arrays [5] is governed by a set of $N$-CNLS equations which is not integrable in general. However, it becomes integrable for specific choice of parameters [6,7]. On the other hand, the recent studies on the coherent [8] and incoherent [9] beam propagation in photorefractive media, which can exhibit high nonlinearity with extremely low optical power, necessitate intense study of CNLS equations both integrable and nonintegrable. The first experimental observation of the so-called partially incoherent solitons with the excitation of a light bulb in a photorefractive medium [10] has made this study even more interesting. In this context of beam propagation in a Kerr like photorefractive medium, the governing equations are a set of $N$-CNLS equations [11,12].

We consider the following $N$-CNLS equations of Manakov type [13] for our study,

$$i q_{jz} + q_{jtt} + 2\mu \sum_{p=1}^{N}|q_p|^2 q_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., N, \quad (1)$$

where $q_j$ is the envelope in the $j$th mode, $z$ and $t$ represent the normalized distance along the fiber and the retarded time respectively, in the context of soliton propagation in multimode fibers. In the case of fiber arrays $q_j$ corresponds to the $j$th core. Here $2\mu$ gives the strength of the nonlinearity. In the framework of $N$ self trapped mutually incoherent wavepackets propagation in Kerr-like photorefractive media [11,12], $q_j$ is the $j$th component of the beam, $z$ and $t$ represent the coordinates along the direction of propagation and the transverse coordinate, respectively. The interesting property of the $N$-CNLS equations of the form (1) is that they are integrable equations and possess soliton solutions.

It is obvious from Eq. (1) that for $N = 1$ it corresponds to the standard envelope soliton possessing integrable nonlinear Schrödinger equation, governing intense optical pulse propagation through single mode optical fiber [1,14]. For $N = 2$ case, it reduces to the celebrated Manakov model [13] describing intense electromagnetic pulse propagation in birefringent fiber. Manakov himself has made a detailed asymptotic analysis of the inverse scattering
problem associated with the system (1) for $N = 2$ and identified changes in the polarization vector [13]. However no explicit two soliton expression was given there. Very recently, Radhakrishnan, Lakshmanan and Hietarinta have obtained the bright one- and two-soliton solutions for this case [15] and have revealed certain novel shape changing (intensity redistribution) collision properties. These Manakov solitons have been observed recently in AlGaAs planar waveguides [16] and precisely this kind of energy exchanging (shape changing) collisions has been experimentally demonstrated in [17]. The results of Ref. [15] have led Jakubowski, Squier and Steiglitz [18] to express the energy redistributions as linear fractional transformations so as to construct logic gates. Later, Steiglitz [19] explicitly constructed such logic gates including the universal NAND gate, based on the shape changing collision property, and hence pointed out the possibility of designing an all optical computer equivalent to a Turing machine, at least in a mathematical sense. However, results are scarce for $N \geq 2$ case of Eq. (1) though they are of considerable physical importance as mentioned earlier.

The novel shape changing collision property exhibited by the 2-CNLS equations, which has not been observed in general in any other simpler $(1+1)$ dimensional integrable system, requires a detailed analysis to identify the various possibilities and the underlying potential technological applications. In a very recent letter [20], the present authors have studied the multicomponent $N$-CNLS equations and shown that shape changing collisions occur here also with more possibilities of energy redistribution. It has also been briefly pointed out that the much discussed partially coherent solitons (PCSs) [11,12], which are of variable shape, namely 2-PCS, 3-PCS, ..., $N$-PCS, are special cases of the 2-soliton, 3-soliton, ..., $N$-soliton solutions of the 2-CNLS, 3-CNLS, ..., $N$-CNLS equations, respectively. The understanding of variable shapes [11,12] of these recently experimentally observed partially coherent solitons [21] in photorefractive medium and their interesting collision behaviour will be facilitated by obtaining the higher order soliton solutions of the 2-CNLS and the $N$-CNLS ($N \geq 2$) equations.

In this paper, we wish to undertake a detailed analysis of the dynamical features associated with soliton interactions in multicomponent $N$-CNLS equations. There exists numerous interesting phenomena which one has to pay attention in order to realize the full potentialities of these equations and the underlying novel soliton dynamics. Some of the important aspects include the following among others:
1. Explicit expressions for multisoliton solutions in multicomponent CNLS equations useful for analysis of interactions (as against formal expressions).

2. Novel soliton interactions involving shape changing collisions.

3. Dependence of shape changes and relative separation distances on amplitudes of the colliding solitons.

4. Identification of different possibilities of energy redistributions among the different modes of the soliton during collision and obtaining generalized linear fractional transformations.

5. State restoring properties in multisoliton solutions.

6. Existence of partially coherent solitons, stationary and moving, as special cases of the above multisoliton solutions.

7. Identification of multisoliton solutions as logic gates in multicomponent CNLS equations.

The present paper will be essentially devoted to the understanding of multisoliton interactions in N-CNLS equations, and its application in constructing logic gates and in identifying partially coherent solitons as special cases of multisoliton solutions. In particular, in the present paper, we will deduce explicit expressions for multisoliton solutions (upto four-soliton solution), which can be easily generalized to arbitrary soliton case, 2-CNLS and then for arbitrary N-CNLS equations. To start with, we will briefly consider the two-soliton solution to bring out the shape changing nature of soliton collisions, which can be quantified in terms of generalized linear fractional transformations (LFTs), and identify the changes in amplitudes, phases and relative separation distances among the solitons by carrying out appropriate asymptotic analysis. However the standard (shape preserving) elastic collisions can occur for specific choice of soliton parameters (initial conditions). More interestingly, we also point out that when more than two solitons collide successively, say three solitons, there exists the exciting possibility of restoration of the shape of one of the three solitons leaving the other two undergoing shape changes and we prove that the underlying soliton interaction is pair-wise. We give explicit conditions for the shape restoring property. Extending this analysis, one can easily check that in an \( M \)-soliton collision, it is possible to restore
the states of \((M – 2)\) solitons after collisions. Such possibilities lead to the construction of optical logic gates of different types and generalized linear fractional transformations, as we will show in this manuscript.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we briefly present the bilinearization procedure for the \(N\)-CNLS equations. Explicit multisoliton solutions (upto four) of the 2-CNLS equations are obtained in Sec. III. Then the generalization of these multisoliton solutions to \(N\)-CNLS equations is given in Sec. IV. The two soliton collision properties of 2-CNLS and their generalization to \(N\)-CNLS equations are studied in Sec. V. In Sec. VI, we present a systematic procedure to identify the intensity redistribution among \(N\) modes in terms of a generalized linear fractional transformation which is the precursor to develop logic gates without interconnecting discrete components \[18\]. The interesting feature of the higher order soliton solutions, namely the pair-wise nature of collision of solitons, and the shape restoration property of the state of one soliton only in a three soliton collision process are presented in Sec. VII. In Sec. VIII we introduce the possibility of looking at the bright soliton solutions as logic gates, as an alternate point of view. Then in Sec. IX we demonstrate explicitly that for specific choices of the parameters of the bright soliton solutions various PCSs reported in the literature result. The collision properties of PCSs and the salient features of multisoliton complexes are also discussed. Sec. X is allotted for conclusion. Also in Appendix A we present the explicit form of the four-soliton solution.

II. BILINEARIZATION

The set of \(N\)-CNLS equations (1) has been found to be completely integrable \[6,7\] and admits exact bright soliton solutions. Their explicit forms can be obtained by using the Hirota’s bilinearization method \[22\], which is straightforward. Any of the other soliton producing methodologies in principle is equally applicable, however, this paper is not concerned with the relative merits of the various methodologies.

To start with, we make the bilinearizing transformation (which can be identified systematically from the Laurent expansion \[6\])

\[
q_j = \frac{g^{(j)}}{f}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., N,
\]  

(2)
to Eq. (1). This results in the following set of bilinear equations,
\begin{align}
(iD_z + D_t^2)g^{(j)} f &= 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., N, \\
D_t^2 f_f &= 2\mu \sum_{n=1}^{N} g^{(n)} g^{(n)*},
\end{align}

where * denotes the complex conjugate, \( g^{(j)} \)'s are complex functions, while \( f(z, t) \) is a real function and the Hirota’s bilinear operators \( D_z \) and \( D_t \) are defined by
\[
D^n_z D^m_t (a.b) = \left. \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z'} \right)^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \right)^m a(z, t)b(z', t') \right|_{(z=z', t=t')}. \tag{3c}
\]

The above set of equations can be solved by introducing the following power series expansions for \( g^{(j)} \)'s and \( f \):
\begin{align}
g^{(j)} &= \chi g_1^{(j)} + \chi^3 g_3^{(j)} + ..., \quad j = 1, 2, ..., N, \\
f &= 1 + \chi^2 f_2 + \chi^4 f_4 + ..., \tag{4b}
\end{align}

where \( \chi \) is the formal expansion parameter. The resulting set of equations, after collecting the terms with the same power in \( \chi \), can be solved recursively to obtain the forms of \( g^{(j)} \)'s and \( f \). Though a formal closed form solution of the \( N \)-soliton expression of Eq. (1) as a ratio of two \((N \times N)\) determinants can be given [23], it becomes necessary to deduce the explicit expressions (which is nontrivial) in order to understand the interaction properties at least for the lower order solitons. In the next section we will only present the minimum details.

### III. MULTISOLITON SOLUTIONS FOR \( N = 2 \) CASE

As a prelude to understand the nature of soliton solutions for arbitrary \( N \)-CNLS equations, we first present the bright one- and two- soliton solutions of Eq. (1) with \( N=2 \) (Manakov) case as given in Ref. [15] and then extend the analysis to obtain the explicit higher order soliton solutions.

#### A. One-soliton solution

After restricting the power series expansion (4) as
\[
g^{(j)} = \chi g_1^{(j)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad f = 1 + \chi^2 f_2, \tag{5}
\]
and solving the resulting set of linear partial differential equations recursively, one can write down the explicit one-soliton solution as
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_1 \\
q_2
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_1^{(1)} \\
\alpha_1^{(2)}
\end{pmatrix} \frac{e^{\eta_1}}{1 + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + R}} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{k_1 R e^{i\eta_1 R}}{\cosh (\eta_1 R + \frac{R}{2})},
\] (6)
where \(\eta_1 = k_1(t + ik_1 z), A_j = \frac{\alpha_1^{(j)}}{\mu ((|\alpha_1^{(1)}|^2 + |\alpha_1^{(2)}|^2))^{1/2}}, j = 1, 2,\) and \(e^R = \mu (|\alpha_1^{(1)}|^2 + |\alpha_1^{(2)}|^2)\). Note that this one-soliton solution is characterized by three arbitrary complex parameters \(\alpha_1^{(1)}, \alpha_1^{(2)},\) and \(k_1\). Here the amplitude of the soliton in the first and second components (modes) are given by \(k_1 R A_1\) and \(k_1 R A_2\), respectively, subject to the condition \(|A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2 = \frac{1}{\mu}\), while the soliton velocity in both the modes is given by \(2k_1\). Here \(k_1 R\) and \(k_1 I\) represent the real and imaginary parts of the complex parameter \(k_1\). The quantity \(\frac{R}{2k_1 R} = \frac{1}{2k_1} \log \left[ \frac{\mu (|\alpha_1^{(1)}|^2 + |\alpha_1^{(2)}|^2)}{(k_1 + k_1^*)^2} \right]\) denotes the position of the soliton.

B. Two-soliton solution

The two-soliton solution of the integrable 2-CNLS system has been obtained in Ref. [15] after terminating the power series (4) as
\[
g^{(j)} = \chi g_1^{(j)} + \chi^3 g_3^{(j)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \tag{7a}
\]
\[
f = 1 + \chi^2 f_2 + \chi^4 f_4, \tag{7b}
\]
and again solving the resultant linear partial differential equations recursively. Then the explicit form of the two-soliton solution can be written as
\[
q_j = \frac{\alpha_1^{(j)} e^{\eta_1} + \alpha_2^{(j)} e^{\eta_2} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \delta_1 j} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \delta_2 j}}{D}, \quad j = 1, 2, \tag{8a}
\]
where
\[
D = 1 + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + R_1} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + R_3} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + R_0} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + R_1} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + R_1} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + R_2} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \delta_3}, \tag{8b}
\]
In Eqs. (8), we have defined
\[
\eta_i = k_i(t + ik_i z), \quad \epsilon_0^\delta = \frac{\kappa_{12}}{k_1 + k_2^*}, \quad \epsilon_1^R = \frac{\kappa_{11}}{k_1 + k_1^*}, \quad \epsilon_2^R = \frac{\kappa_{22}}{k_2 + k_2^*},
\]
\[
e^{\delta_{1j}} = \frac{(k_1 - k_2) (\alpha_1^{(j)} \kappa_{21} - \alpha_2^{(j)} \kappa_{11})}{(k_1 + k_1^*) (k_2 + k_2^*)}, \quad e^{\delta_{2j}} = \frac{(k_2 - k_1) (\alpha_2^{(j)} \kappa_{22} - \alpha_1^{(j)} \kappa_{12})}{(k_2 + k_2^*) (k_1 + k_1^*)},
\]
\[
e^{R_3} = \frac{|k_1 - k_2|^2}{(k_1 + k_1^*) (k_2 + k_2^*)} (\kappa_{11} \kappa_{22} - \kappa_{12} \kappa_{21}), \tag{8c}
\]
and
\[
\kappa_{il} = \frac{\mu \sum_{n=1}^{2} \alpha_i^{(n)} \alpha_i^{(n)*}}{(k_i + k_l^*)}, \quad i, l = 1, 2. \quad (8d)
\]

The above most general bright two-soliton solution is characterized by six arbitrary complex parameters \(k_1, k_2, \alpha_1^{(j)}, \text{ and } \alpha_2^{(j)}, \ j = 1, 2,\) and it corresponds to the collision of two bright solitons. Note that in Ref. [15], \(\delta_{11}, \delta_{12}, \delta_{21}, \text{ and } \delta_{22}\) are called as \(\delta_1, \delta_1', \delta_2, \text{ and } \delta_2',\) respectively. The redefined quantities \(\delta_{ij}'s, i, j = 1, 2,\) are now used for notational simplicity.

C. Three-soliton solution

The two-soliton solution itself is very difficult to derive and complicated to analyse [15]. So obtaining the three-soliton solution is a more laborious and tedious task. However we have successfully obtained the explicit form of the bright three-soliton solution also. In order to obtain the three-soliton solution of Eq. (1) for the \(N = 2\) case we terminate the power series (4a) and (4b) as
\[
g^{(j)} = \chi g_1^{(j)} + \chi^2 g_3^{(j)} + \chi^5 g_5^{(j)}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (9a)
\]
\[
f = 1 + \chi^2 f_2 + \chi^4 f_4 + \chi^6 f_6, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (9b)
\]

Substitution of (9) into the bilinear Eqs. (3a) and (3b) yields a set of linear partial differential equations at various powers of \(\chi\). The three-soliton solution consistent with these equations is
\[
q_j = \frac{\alpha_1^{(j)} e^{\eta_1} + \alpha_2^{(j)} e^{\eta_2} + \alpha_3^{(j)} e^{\eta_3} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_1} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_2} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_3}}{D} + 
\]
\[
e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_4} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_5} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_6} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_7} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_8} + 
\]
\[
e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_9} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4 + \tau_1} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4 + \tau_2} + 
\]
\[
e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4 + \eta_5 + \eta_6} + 
\]
\[
e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4 + \eta_5 + \eta_6} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4 + \eta_5 + \tau_3}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (10a)
\]
where

\[ D = 1 + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_1' + R_1} + e^{\eta_2 + \eta_2' + R_2} + e^{\eta_3 + \eta_3' + R_3} + e^{\eta_4 + \eta_4' + \delta_{10}} + e^{\eta_1' + \eta_1 + \delta_{10}} \\
+ e^{\eta_1 + \eta_1 + \delta_{20}} + e^{\eta_2 + \eta_2 + \delta_{20}} + e^{\eta_3 + \eta_3 + \delta_{30}} + e^{\eta_4 + \eta_4 + \delta_{30}} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_1 + \eta_1 + \eta_3 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + R_4} \\
+ e^{\eta_1 + \eta_1 + \eta_1 + \eta_3 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + R_5} + e^{\eta_2 + \eta_2 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_3 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \tau_{10} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_1 + \eta_1 + \eta_3 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \tau_{10}} \\
+ e^{\eta_2 + \eta_2 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_3 + \tau_{20} + e^{\eta_2 + \eta_2 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_3 + \tau_{20} + e^{\eta_3 + \eta_3 + \eta_3 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \tau_{20} + e^{\eta_3 + \eta_3 + \eta_3 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \tau_{20} + e^{\eta_3 + \eta_3 + \eta_3 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \tau_{20}}.} \\
\]

Here

\[ e^{\delta_{ij}} = \frac{(k_1 - k_2)(\alpha^{(j)}_1 k_{31} - \alpha^{(j)}_2 k_{11})}{(k_1 + k_1')(k_1 + k_2')}, \quad e^{\delta_{ij}} = \frac{(k_1 - k_3)(\alpha^{(j)}_1 k_{31} - \alpha^{(j)}_3 k_{11})}{(k_1 + k_1')(k_1 + k_3')}, \]

\[ e^{\delta_{ij}} = \frac{(k_1 - k_2)(\alpha^{(j)}_2 k_{32} - \alpha^{(j)}_3 k_{12})}{(k_1 + k_1')(k_1 + k_2')}, \quad e^{\delta_{ij}} = \frac{(k_2 - k_3)(\alpha^{(j)}_3 k_{33} - \alpha^{(j)}_3 k_{23})}{(k_2 + k_2')(k_2 + k_3')}, \]

\[ e^{\delta_{ij}} = \frac{(k_1 - k_2)(\alpha^{(j)}_1 k_{23} - \alpha^{(j)}_2 k_{13})}{(k_1 + k_1')(k_1 + k_2')}, \quad e^{\delta_{ij}} = \frac{(k_1 - k_3)(\alpha^{(j)}_3 k_{23} - \alpha^{(j)}_3 k_{23})}{(k_1 + k_2')(k_2 + k_3')}, \]

\[ e^{\tau_{ij}} = \frac{(k_2 - k_1)(k_3 - k_1)(k_3 - k_2)(k_2^* - k_1^*)}{(k_1 + k_1')(k_1 + k_2')(k_1 + k_3')(k_2 + k_1)(k_2 + k_2')(k_2 + k_3)(k_2^* + k_3^*)} \\
\times \left[ \alpha_1^{(j)}(k_{21} k_{32} - k_{22} k_{31}) + \alpha_2^{(j)}(k_{12} k_{31} - k_{32} k_{11}) + \alpha_3^{(j)}(k_{11} k_{22} - k_{12} k_{21}) \right] , \]

\[ e^{\tau_{ij}} = \frac{(k_2 - k_1)(k_3 - k_1)(k_3 - k_2)(k_2^* - k_1^*)}{(k_1 + k_1')(k_1 + k_2')(k_1 + k_3')(k_2 + k_1)(k_2 + k_2')(k_2 + k_3)(k_2^* + k_3^*)} \\
\times \left[ \alpha_1^{(j)}(k_{33} k_{21} - k_{31} k_{23}) + \alpha_2^{(j)}(k_{31} k_{13} - k_{11} k_{33}) + \alpha_3^{(j)}(k_{23} k_{11} - k_{13} k_{21}) \right] , \]

\[ e^{\tau_{ij}} = \frac{(k_2 - k_1)(k_3 - k_1)(k_3 - k_2)(k_2^* - k_1^*)}{(k_1 + k_1')(k_1 + k_2')(k_1 + k_3')(k_2 + k_1)(k_2 + k_2')(k_2 + k_3)(k_2^* + k_3^*)} \\
\times \left[ \alpha_1^{(j)}(k_{22} k_{33} - k_{23} k_{32}) + \alpha_2^{(j)}(k_{13} k_{32} - k_{33} k_{12}) + \alpha_3^{(j)}(k_{12} k_{23} - k_{22} k_{13}) \right] , \]

\[(10d)\]
\[ e^{R_m} = \frac{\kappa_{mm}}{k_m + k_m^*}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \quad e^{\delta_{10}} = \frac{\kappa_{12}}{k_1 + k_2^*}, \quad e^{\delta_{20}} = \frac{\kappa_{13}}{k_1 + k_3^*}, \quad e^{\delta_{30}} = \frac{\kappa_{23}}{k_2 + k_3^*}, \]

\[ e^{R_4} = \frac{(k_2 - k_1)(k_2^* - k_1^*)}{(k_1^* + k_1)(k_2^* + k_2)(k_1^* + k_2^*)(k_2^* + k_2)} [\kappa_{11}\kappa_{22} - \kappa_{12}\kappa_{21}], \]

\[ e^{R_5} = \frac{(k_3 - k_1)(k_3^* - k_1^*)}{(k_1^* + k_1)(k_3^* + k_3)(k_1^* + k_3^*)(k_3^* + k_3)} [\kappa_{33}\kappa_{11} - \kappa_{13}\kappa_{31}], \]

\[ e^{R_6} = \frac{(k_3^* + k_2)(k_3^* + k_3)(k_3^* + k_2^*)(k_3^* + k_3^*)}{(k_3^* + k_3)(k_3^* + k_2)(k_3^* + k_3^*)(k_3^* + k_3^*)} [\kappa_{22}\kappa_{33} - \kappa_{23}\kappa_{32}], \]

\[ e^{\tau_{10}} = \frac{(k_2 - k_1)(k_2^* - k_1^*)}{(k_1^* + k_1)(k_2^* + k_2)(k_1^* + k_2^*)(k_2^* + k_2)} [\kappa_{11}\kappa_{23} - \kappa_{21}\kappa_{13}], \]

\[ e^{\tau_{20}} = \frac{(k_2^* + k_1)(k_2^* + k_2)(k_2^* + k_1^*)(k_2^* + k_2^*)}{(k_2^* + k_1)(k_2^* + k_2)(k_2^* + k_1)(k_2^* + k_2)} [\kappa_{22}\kappa_{13} - \kappa_{12}\kappa_{23}], \]

\[ e^{\tau_{30}} = \frac{(k_3^* + k_1)(k_3^* + k_2)(k_3^* + k_1^*)(k_3^* + k_2^*)}{(k_3^* + k_1)(k_3^* + k_2)(k_3^* + k_1)(k_3^* + k_2)} [\kappa_{33}\kappa_{12} - \kappa_{13}\kappa_{32}], \]

\[ e^{R_7} = \frac{|k_1 - k_2|^2|k_2 - k_3|^2|k_3 - k_1|^2}{(k_1^* + k_1^*)(k_2^* + k_2^*)(k_3^* + k_3^*)(k_1^* + k_2^*)(k_2^* + k_3^*)(k_3^* + k_1^*)} \times \left[ (\kappa_{11}\kappa_{22}\kappa_{33} - \kappa_{11}\kappa_{23}\kappa_{32}) + (\kappa_{12}\kappa_{23}\kappa_{33} - \kappa_{12}\kappa_{21}\kappa_{33}) \right. \]

\[ + (\kappa_{21}\kappa_{13}\kappa_{32} - \kappa_{22}\kappa_{13}\kappa_{31}) \right] , \quad (10e) \]

and

\[ \kappa_{il} = \frac{\mu \sum_{n=1}^{2} |\alpha_i^{(n)}| \alpha_i^{(n)*}}{(k_i + k_l^*)}, \quad i, l = 1, 2, 3. \quad (10f) \]

The above three-soliton solution represents three soliton interaction in the 2-CNLS equations and is characterized by nine arbitrary complex parameters \( \alpha_i^{(j)} \)'s and \( k_i^* \)'s, \( i = 1, 2, 3, \quad j = 1, 2 \).

One can also check that the above general three-soliton solution of the 2-CNLS equations reduces to that of the solution given in Ref. [24] for the particular case \( \alpha_3^{(1)} = 1 \). Further, the form in which we have presented the solution eases the complexity in generalizing the solution to multicomponent case as well as to higher order soliton solutions.

**D. Four-soliton solution**

The expression is quite lengthy, but it is written explicitly in terms of exponential functions so as to check the pair-wise nature of collisions. We indicate the form in Appendix A. One can generalize these expressions for the arbitrary \( N \) case also. However, it is too complicated to present the explicit form and so we desist from doing so.
As mentioned in the introduction, results are scarce for Eq. (1) with $N > 2$ and there exists a large class of physical systems in which the $N$-CNLS equations occur naturally. Further, in the context of spatial solitons in photorefractive media, each fundamental soliton can be “spread out” into several incoherent components [25], as defined by the polarization vectors. Obtaining one-, two-, and higher order soliton solutions of $N$-CNLS equations will be of considerable significance in these topics. In order to study the solution properties of such systems we consider the integrable $N$-CNLS equations (1). Following the procedure mentioned in the earlier section we obtain the one-, two-, and three- (as well as four-) soliton solutions of $N$-CNLS equations as given below. Particularly the so-called partially coherent solitons will turn out to be special cases of these soliton solutions (see Sec. IX below).

A. One-soliton solution

The one-soliton solution of Eq. (1) is obtained as

$$
(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_N)^T = k_{1R} e^{i \eta_1} \text{sech} \left( \eta_1 R + \frac{R}{2} \right) (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_N)^T,
$$

where $\eta_1 = k_1(t + ik_1 z)$, $A_j = \alpha_j^{(j)} / \Delta$, $\Delta = (\mu (\sum_{j=1}^{N} |\alpha_j^{(j)}|^2))^{1/2}$, $e_R = \Delta^2 / (k_1 + k_1^*)^2$, $\alpha_j^{(j)}$, and $k_1, j=1,2,\ldots,N$, are $(N + 1)$ arbitrary complex parameters. Further $k_{1R} A_j$ gives the amplitude of the $j$th mode ($j = 1,2,\ldots,N$) and $2k_{1I}$ is the soliton velocity in all the $N$ modes.

B. Two-soliton solution

The two-soliton solution of Eq. (1) can be obtained by following the procedure given for the 2-component case. It can be written as

$$
q_j = \frac{\alpha_1^{(j)} e^{\eta_1} + \alpha_2^{(j)} e^{\eta_2} + e^{\eta_1+\eta_2+\gamma_{1j}} + e^{\eta_1+\eta_2+\gamma_{2j}}}{D}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N,
$$

where the denominator $D$ and the co-efficients $e^{R_1}, e^{R_2}, e^{R_3}, e^{\delta_0}, e^{\delta_0^*}, e^{\delta_{1j}},$ and $e^{\delta_{2j}}$, bear the same form as given in (8c) and (8d), except that $j$ now runs from 1 to $N$ and that $\kappa_{il}$’s are redefined as

$$
\kappa_{il} = \frac{\mu \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_i^{(n)} \alpha_l^{(n)*}}{(k_i + k_l^*)}, \quad i,l = 1,2.
$$
One may also note that the above two-soliton solution depends on \(2(N+1)\) arbitrary complex parameters \(\alpha_1^{(j)}, \alpha_2^{(j)}, k_1,\) and \(k_2, j = 1, 2, ..., N.\)

C. Three-soliton solution

Following the procedure given in the previous section we obtain the 3-soliton solution to the \(N\)-CNLS equations as

\[
q_j = \frac{\alpha_1^{(j)} e^{\eta_1} + \alpha_2^{(j)} e^{\eta_2} + \alpha_3^{(j)} e^{\eta_3} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_{1j}} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_{2j}} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_{3j}}}{D}
\]

\[
+ \frac{e^{\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_{4j}} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_{5j}} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_{6j}} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_{7j}} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_{8j}}}{D}
\]

\[
+ \frac{e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_{9j}} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_{10j}} + e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \delta_{11j}}}{D}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., N. \tag{14a}
\]

Here also the denominator \(D\) and all the other quantities are the same as those given under Eq. (10) except for the redefinition of \(\kappa_{il}\)’s as

\[
\kappa_{il} = \mu \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\alpha_i^{(n)} \alpha_l^{(n)*}}{(k_i + k_l^*)}, \quad i, l = 1, 2, 3. \tag{14b}
\]

It can be observed from the above expression that as the number of solitons increases the complexity also increases and the present three-soliton solution is characterized by \(3(N+1)\) complex parameters \(\alpha_1^{(j)}, \alpha_2^{(j)}, \alpha_3^{(j)}, j = 1, 2, ..., N, k_1, k_2\) and \(k_3.\)

The above procedure can be generalized to obtain four-soliton solution and higher order soliton solutions as discussed in the case of 2-CNLS equations straightforwardly and one can identify the \(N\)-soliton solution of \(N\)-CNLS will be dependent on \(N(N+1)\) arbitrary complex parameters.

V. SHAPE CHANGING NATURE OF SOLITON INTERACTIONS AND INTENSITY REDISTRIBUTIONS

The remarkable fact about the above bright soliton solutions of the integrable CNLS system is that they exhibit fascinating shape changing (intensity redistribution / energy exchange) collisions as we will see below. This interesting behaviour has been reported in Ref. [15] for the two-soliton solution of the 2-CNLS equations. In a very recent letter [20], the
present authors have constructed the two-soliton solution of the 3-CNLS and generalized it to N-CNLS, for arbitrary N, and briefly indicated similar shape changing collision dynamics of two interacting bright solitons. As these N-CNLS equations arise in diverse areas of physics as mentioned in the introduction, it is of interest to analyse the interaction properties of the soliton solutions of 2-, 3-, and N-CNLS equations. The collision dynamics can be well understood by making appropriate asymptotic analysis to the soliton solutions given in the previous sections. Such an analysis will then be used to identify suitable generalized linear fractional transformations in the next section, to obtain possible multistate logic.

A. Asymptotic analysis of two-soliton solution of 2-CNLS equations

To start with we shall briefly review the collision properties associated with the two-soliton solution (8) of the 2-CNLS equations discussed in Ref. [15] in order to extend the ideas to the N-CNLS case. Without loss of generality, we assume that \( k_{jR} > 0 \) and \( k_{1I} > k_{2I} \), \( k_j = k_{jR} + ik_{jI}, \ j = 1, 2 \), which corresponds to a head-on collision of the solitons (For the case \( k_{1I} = k_{2I} \), see Sec. IX below). For the above parametric choice, the variables \( \eta_{jR} \)'s (real part of \( \eta_j \)) for the two solitons behave asymptotically as (i) \( \eta_{1R} \sim 0 \), \( \eta_{2R} \to \pm \infty \) as \( z \to \pm \infty \) and (ii) \( \eta_{2R} \sim 0 \), \( \eta_{1R} \to \mp \infty \) as \( z \to \pm \infty \). This leads to the following asymptotic forms for the two-soliton solution. (For other choices of \( k_{iR} \) and \( k_{iI} \), \( i = 1, 2 \), similar analysis as given below can be performed straightforwardly).

(i) Before Collision (limit \( z \to -\infty \))
(a) \textit{Soliton 1} \( (\eta_{1R} \approx 0, \eta_{2R} \to -\infty) \):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 q_1 \\
 q_2 
\end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix}
 A_{1}^- \\
 A_{2}^- 
\end{pmatrix} k_{1R} e^{i\eta_{1R}} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{1R} + \frac{R_1}{2} \right),
\]  

where

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 A_{1}^- \\
 A_{2}^- 
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
 a_{1}^{(1)} \\
 a_{1}^{(2)} 
\end{pmatrix} e^{-R_{1}/2} (k_1 + k_1^*)^{-1}.
\]  

(15b)

The quantity \( e^{R_1} \) is defined in Eq. (8c).

(b) \textit{Soliton 2} \( (\eta_{2R} \approx 0, \eta_{1R} \to \infty) \):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 q_1 \\
 q_2 
\end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix}
 A_{1}^- \\
 A_{2}^- 
\end{pmatrix} k_{2R} e^{i\eta_{2R}} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{2R} + \frac{(R_3 - R_1)}{2} \right),
\]  

(16a)
where
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1^2^- \\
A_2^2-
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
e^{\delta_{11}} \\
e^{\delta_{12}}
\end{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-(R_1+R_3)/2}}{(k_2 + k_2^*)}.
\] (16b)

The quantities in the above expression are again defined in Eq. (8c).

(ii) After Collision (limit \( z \to \infty \))

Similarly, for \( z \to \infty \), we have the following forms for solitons \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \).

(a) Soliton 1 \((\eta_{1R} \approx 0, \eta_{2R} \to \infty)\):
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_1 \\
q_2
\end{pmatrix} \to
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1^{1+} \\
A_2^{1+}
\end{pmatrix} k_{1R} e^{i\eta_{1R}} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{1R} + \frac{(R_3 - R_2)}{2} \right),
\] (17a)

where
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1^{1+} \\
A_2^{1+}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
e^{\delta_{21}} \\
e^{\delta_{22}}
\end{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-(R_2+R_3)/2}}{(k_1 + k_1^*)}.
\] (17b)

(b) Soliton 2 \((\eta_{2R} \approx 0, \eta_{1R} \to -\infty)\):
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_1 \\
q_2
\end{pmatrix} \to
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1^{2+} \\
A_2^{2+}
\end{pmatrix} k_{2R} e^{i\eta_{2R}} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{2R} + \frac{R_2}{2} \right),
\] (18a)

where
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1^{2+} \\
A_2^{2+}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_2^{(1)} \\
\alpha_2^{(2)}
\end{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-R_2/2}}{(k_2 + k_2^*)}.
\] (18b)

In the above expressions for \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) after collision the quantities \( e^{R_2}, e^{R_3}, e^{\delta_{21}} \) and \( e^{\delta_{22}} \)
are defined in Eq. (8c).

B. Asymptotic analysis of the 2-soliton solution of N-CNLS equations

We require the asymptotic forms of the 2-soliton solutions for arbitrary \( N \) case in the following section in order to identify a generalized linear fractional transformation for the amplitude redistribution among the components. To get the asymptotic forms of 2-soliton solution of the \( N \)-CNLS case, as may be checked by a careful asymptotic analysis along the lines of the \( N = 2 \) case, we simply increase the number of components in the \( A^\pm \) vectors above up to \( N \) \((A^\pm = (A_1^\pm, A_2^\pm, ..., A_N^\pm)^T)\) by adding two more complex parameters \( \alpha_1^{(i)}, \alpha_2^{(i)}, \ldots \)
\( i = 3, 4, \ldots, N, \) to each of the components so that the forms of the quantities \( e^{R_1}, e^{R_2}, e^{R_3}, e^{\delta_{11}}, e^{\delta_{12}}, e^{\delta_{21}}, e^{\delta_{22}} \) in Eq. (8c) remain the same as above except for the replacement of the range of the summation in \( \kappa_{il} \) (Eq. (8d)) from \( n = 1, 2 \) to \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \). As an example, in the following we give the asymptotic forms of two-soliton solution of the \( N \)-CNLS equations with \( N = 3 \), for the case \( k_{1R} > 0, \ l = 1, 2, \) and \( k_{1l} > k_{2l} \). For other possibilities similar analysis can be made.

(i) Before Collision (limit \( z \to -\infty \))

(a) **Soliton 1** (\( \eta_{1R} \approx 0, \eta_{2R} \to -\infty \)):

\[
\begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^- \\ A_{21}^- \\ A_{31}^- \end{pmatrix} k_{1R} e^{i\eta_{1l} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{1R} + \frac{R_1}{2} \right)}.
\]

where

\[
\begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^- \\ A_{21}^- \\ A_{31}^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} \\ \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{13} \end{pmatrix} e^{-R_1/2} \left( \frac{e^{R_1}}{k_1 + k_1^*} \right).
\]

(b) **Soliton 2** (\( \eta_{2R} \approx 0, \eta_{1R} \to \infty \)):

\[
\begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} A_{12}^- \\ A_{22}^- \\ A_{32}^- \end{pmatrix} k_{2R} e^{i\eta_{2l} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{2R} + \frac{(R_3 - R_1)}{2} \right)}.
\]

where

\[
\begin{pmatrix} A_{12}^- \\ A_{22}^- \\ A_{32}^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\delta_{11}} \\ e^{\delta_{12}} \\ e^{\delta_{13}} \end{pmatrix} e^{-R_1/2} \left( \frac{e^{R_1} + R_3}{k_2 + k_2^*} \right).
\]

(ii) After Collision (limit \( z \to \infty \))

(a) **Soliton 1** (\( \eta_{1R} \approx 0, \eta_{2R} \to \infty \)):

\[
\begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^+ \\ A_{21}^+ \\ A_{31}^+ \end{pmatrix} k_{1R} e^{i\eta_{1l} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{1R} + \frac{(R_3 - R_2)}{2} \right)}.
\]

\[16\]
where
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{1+}^1 \\
A_{2+}^1 \\
A_{3+}^1
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
e^{\delta_{21}} \\
e^{\delta_{22}} \\
e^{\delta_{23}}
\end{pmatrix} e^{-(R_2 + R_3)/2}
\frac{1}{(k_1 + k_1^*)}.
\]

(21b)

(b) **Soliton 2** \((\eta_{2R} \approx 0, \eta_{1R} \to -\infty)\):
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_1 \\
q_2 \\
q_3
\end{pmatrix} \approx
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{1+}^2 \\
A_{2+}^2 \\
A_{3+}^2
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
k_{2R} e^{i\eta_{2j}} \tanh \left( \frac{\eta_{2R}}{2} \right)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(22a)

where
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{1+}^2 \\
A_{2+}^2 \\
A_{3+}^2
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_{(1)}^2 \\
\alpha_{(2)}^2 \\
\alpha_{(3)}^2
\end{pmatrix} e^{-R_2/2}
\frac{1}{(k_2 + k_2^*)}.
\]

(22b)

In the above expressions, the forms of the quantities \(e^{R_j}, e^{\delta_{ij}}, i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, 3\), can be identified from Eqs. (12) and (13) with \(N = 3\).

1. **Intensity redistribution**

The above analysis clearly shows that due to the interaction between two copropagating solitons \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) in an \(N\)-CNLS system, their amplitudes change from \(A_{1j-}R_{1R}\) and \(A_{2j-}R_{2R}\) to \(A_{1j+}R_{1R}\) and \(A_{2j+}R_{2R}\), \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, N\), respectively. However, during the interaction process the total energy of each of the solitons is conserved, that is
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{N} |A_{1j}^\pm|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} |A_{2j}^\pm|^2 = \frac{1}{\mu}.
\]

(23)

Note that this is a consequence of the conservation of \(L^2\) norm. Another noticeable observation of this interaction process is that one can observe from the equation of motion(1) itself, that the intensity of each of the modes is separately conserved, that is,
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q_j|^2 dz = \text{constant}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N.
\]

(24)

The above two equations (23) and (24) ensure that in a two soliton collision process (as well as in multisoliton collision processes as will be seen later on) the total intensity of individual...
solitons in all the $N$ modes are conserved along with conservation of intensity of individual modes (even while allowing an intensity redistribution). This is a striking feature of the integrable nature of the multicomponent CNLS equations (1). The change in the amplitude of each of the solitons in the $j$th mode can be obtained by introducing the transition matrix $T_j^l$, $j = 1, 2, ..., N$, $l = 1, 2$, such that

$$A_j^{l+} = T_j^l A_j^{l-}.$$  \hfill (25a)

The form of $T_j^l$'s can be obtained from the above asymptotic analysis as

$$T_j^1 = \left( \frac{a_2}{a_2^*} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_{21}}{\kappa_{12}}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\lambda_2}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2}} \frac{(\alpha_2^{(j)})^*}{\alpha_1^{(j)}} \right], \quad j = 1, 2, ..., N,$$  \hfill (25b)

where

$$a_2 = (k_2 + k_2^*) \left( k_1 - k_2 \right) \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_1^{(n)} \alpha_2^{(n)*} \right]^{1/2}, \quad (25c)$$

and

$$T_j^2 = -\left( \frac{a_1}{a_1^*} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_{21}}{\kappa_{12}}} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2}}{1 - \lambda_1 \left( \frac{\alpha_2^{(j)}}{\alpha_1^{(j)}} \right) \right], \quad j = 1, 2, ..., N,$$  \hfill (25d)

in which

$$a_1 = (k_1 + k_2^*) \left( k_1 - k_2 \right) \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_1^{(n)*} \alpha_2^{(n)} \right]^{1/2}.$$  \hfill (25e)

In the above expressions $\lambda_1 = \frac{\kappa_{11}}{\kappa_{11}}$ and $\lambda_2 = \frac{\kappa_{12}}{\kappa_{22}}$, where $\kappa_{il}$'s , $i, l = 1, 2$, are defined in Eq. (13). Then the intensity exchange in solitons $S_1$ and $S_2$ due to collision can be obtained by taking the absolute square of Eq. (25b) and (25d), respectively.

The above expressions for the components of the transition matrix implies that in general there is a redistribution of the intensities in the $N$ modes of both the solitons after collision. Only for the special case

$$\frac{\alpha_1^{(1)}}{\alpha_2^{(1)}} = \frac{\alpha_1^{(2)}}{\alpha_2^{(2)}} = ... = \frac{\alpha_1^{(N)}}{\alpha_2^{(N)}},$$  \hfill (26)

there occurs the standard elastic collision. For all other choices of the parameters, shape changing (intensity redistribution) collision occurs.
The two conservation relations (23) and (24) allow the intensity redistribution to take place in definite ways. In general, for N-CNLS equations the intensity redistribution in a two soliton collision can occur in $2^N - 2$ ways. Denoting E and S as enhancement and suppression respectively, either complete or partial, of the intensity of corresponding modes, we table below the possibilities of intensity redistribution for the case $N = 2$ and $N = 3$.

| Case | $q_1$ | $q_2$ |
|------|-------|-------|
| 1    | E     | S     |
| 2    | S     | E     |

(a) $N = 2$ case

| Case | $q_1$ | $q_2$ | $q_3$ |
|------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1    | E     | S     | S     |
| 2    | S     | E     | S     |
| 3    | S     | S     | E     |
| 4    | S     | E     | E     |
| 5    | E     | S     | E     |
| 6    | E     | E     | S     |

(b) $N = 3$ case

Table-I. Possible combinations of intensity redistribution among the modes of soliton $S_1$ in the two soliton collision process.

For each of the above choices of $S_1$, the form of $S_2$ is determined by the conserved quantity (24) for the intensities of the individual modes. For illustrative purpose, we have shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 a few of such possibilities of intensity switching for the $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ cases, respectively.

FIG. 1: Two distinct possibilities of the shape changing two soliton collision in the integrable 2-CNLS system. The parameters are chosen as (a) $k_1 = 1 + i$, $k_2 = 2 - i$, $\alpha_1^{(1)} = \alpha_1^{(2)} = \alpha_2^{(2)} = 1$, $\alpha_2^{(1)} = \frac{39 + 80i}{89}$; (b) $k_1 = 1 + i$, $k_2 = 2 - i$, $\alpha_1^{(1)} = 0.02 + 0.1i$, $\alpha_1^{(2)} = \alpha_2^{(1)} = \alpha_2^{(2)} = 1$. 
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2. Phase shifts

Further, from the asymptotic forms of the solitons $S_1$ and $S_2$, it can be observed that the phases of solitons $S_1$ and $S_2$ also change during a collision process and that the phase shifts are now not only functions of the parameters $k_1$ and $k_2$ but also dependent on $\alpha_{i}^{(j)}$'s, $i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, ..., N$. The phase shift suffered by the soliton $S_1$ during collision is

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{(R_3 - R_1 - R_2)}{2} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \log \left[ \frac{|k_1 - k_2|^2 (\kappa_{11}\kappa_{22} - \kappa_{12}\kappa_{21})}{|k_1 + k_2|^2 \kappa_{11}\kappa_{22}} \right],$$

(27)

where $\kappa_{il}$'s are defined in Eq. (13). Similarly the soliton $S_2$ suffers a phase shift

$$\Phi_2 = -\frac{(R_3 - R_2 - R_1)}{2} = -\Phi_1.$$  

(28)

Then the absolute value of phase shift suffered by the two solitons is

$$|\Phi| = |\Phi_1| = |\Phi_2|.  \hspace{1cm} (29)$$

Let us consider the case $N = 2$. For a better understanding let us consider the pure elastic collision case ($\alpha_{1}^{(1)} : \alpha_{2}^{(1)} = \alpha_{1}^{(2)} : \alpha_{2}^{(2)}$) corresponding to parallel modes. Here the absolute phase shift (see Eq. (29)) can be obtained as

$$|\Phi| = \log \left[ \frac{|k_1 - k_2|^2}{|k_1 + k_2|^2} \right] = 2 \log \left[ \frac{|k_1 - k_2|}{|k_1 + k_2|} \right].$$

(30)

Similarly for the case corresponding to orthogonal modes ($\alpha_{1}^{(1)} : \alpha_{2}^{(1)} = \infty, \alpha_{1}^{(2)} : \alpha_{2}^{(2)} = 0$) the absolute phase shift is found from Eqs. (27)-(29) to be

$$|\Phi| = \log \left[ \frac{|k_1 - k_2|}{|k_1 + k_2|} \right].$$

(31)

The absolute value of the phase shift takes intermediate values for other choices of the parameters $\alpha_{i}^{(j)}$'s, $i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, ..., N$. Thus phase shifts do vary depending on $\alpha_{i}^{(j)}$'s (amplitudes) for fixed $k_i$'s. In Fig. 3, we plot the change of $|\Phi|$ as a function of $\alpha_{1}^{(1)}$, when it is real, at $\alpha_{1}^{(2)} = \alpha_{2}^{(2)} = 1$, $\alpha_{2}^{(1)} = \frac{30 + 80i}{89}$, $k_1 = 1 + i$, and $k_2 = 2 - i$. Similar analysis can be done for the $N = 3$ case and for the arbitrary $N$ case.
FIG. 3: Plot of the magnitude of phase shift as a function of the parameter \( \alpha_1^{(1)} \), when it is real (for illustrative purpose), see Eqs. (29-31). The other parameters are chosen as \( k_1 = 1 + i, k_2 = 2 - i, \alpha_1^{(2)} = \alpha_2^{(2)} = 1 \) and \( \alpha_2^{(1)} = \frac{39 + 80i}{89} \).

3. Relative separation distance

Ultimately the above phase shifts make the relative separation distance \( t_{12}^+ \) between the solitons (that is, the position of \( S_2 \) (at \( z \rightarrow \pm \infty \)) minus position of \( S_1 \) (at \( z \rightarrow \pm \infty \))) also to vary during collision, depending upon the amplitudes of the modes. The change in the relative separation distance is found to be

\[
\Delta t_{12} = t_{12}^+ - t_{12}^- = \frac{(k_{1R} + k_{2R})}{k_{1R}k_{2R}}\Phi^1.
\]

Thus as a whole the intensity profiles of the two solitons in different modes as well as the phases and hence the relative separation distance are nontrivially dependent on \( \alpha_i^{(j)} \)'s and vary as a result of soliton interaction.

VI. GENERALIZED LINEAR FRACTIONAL TRANSFORMATIONS AND MULTISTATE LOGIC

The intensity redistribution was characterized by the transition matrix as given in Eq. (25) in the previous section. Interestingly, this redistribution can also be viewed as a linear fractional transformation (LFT) as already pointed out by Jakubowski et al. [18]. However, no systematic derivation of such a connection was made. In this section, we point out that in fact a reformulation of Eq. (25) allows one to deduce such a LFT in a systematic way. This in turn allows us to generalize the procedure to the \( N \)-component case leading to a generalized LFT for the amplitude change during soliton collision thereby leading to a multistate logic.

A. \( N=2 \) case

For the \( N = 2 \) case, the amplitude change in the two modes of soliton 1 after interaction given by Eq. (25) can be reexpressed by the following transformation, which can be deduced
from comparison of expressions (15b) and (17b):

\[ A_{1+}^{1} = \Gamma C_{11} A_{1}^{-} + \Gamma C_{12} A_{2}^{-}, \]
\[ A_{2+}^{1} = \Gamma C_{21} A_{1}^{-} + \Gamma C_{22} A_{2}^{-}. \]  

(33a)

Here

\[
\Gamma = \Gamma(A_{1}^{1-}, A_{2}^{1-}, A_{1}^{2-}, A_{2}^{2-}) \\
\equiv \left( \frac{a_2}{a_2^*} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{((\alpha_1^{(1)} \alpha_2^{(1)*}) + \alpha_1^{(2)} \alpha_2^{(2)*})(\alpha_2^{(1)*} + \alpha_2^{(2)})} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{|\kappa_{12}|^2 - \frac{1}{\kappa_{11}\kappa_{22}}} \right]^{-1/2},
\]

(33b)

in which \(a_2\) is given in Eq. (25c). The forms of \(C_{ij}\)'s, \(i, j = 1, 2\), read as

\[ C_{11} = \alpha_2^{(1)} \alpha_2^{(1)*}(k_1 - k_2) + \alpha_2^{(2)} \alpha_2^{(2)*}(k_1 + k_2^*), \]  

(33c)

\[ C_{12} = -\alpha_2^{(1)} \alpha_2^{(2)*}(k_2 + k_2^*), \]  

(33d)

\[ C_{21} = -\alpha_2^{(2)} \alpha_2^{(1)*}(k_2 + k_2^*), \]  

(33e)

\[ C_{22} = \alpha_2^{(1)} \alpha_2^{(1)*}(k_1 + k_2^*) + \alpha_2^{(2)} \alpha_2^{(2)*}(k_1 - k_2). \]  

(33f)

Note that the coefficients \(C_{ij}\)'s are independent of \(\alpha_1^{(j)}\)'s and so of \(A_{1}^{1-}\) and \(A_{2}^{1-}\), that is the \(\alpha\)-parameters of soliton 1. Then from Eqs. (33a) the ratios of the \(A_{i}^{\pm}\)'s, \(i, j = 1, 2\), can be connected through a linear fractional transformation (LFT). For example, for soliton 1, from (33a),

\[ \rho_{i}^{1+} = \frac{A_{i}^{1+}}{A_{i}^{1+}} = \frac{C_{11}\rho_{i}^{1-} + C_{12}}{C_{21}\rho_{i}^{1-} + C_{22}}, \]  

(34)

where \(\rho_{i}^{1-} = \frac{A_{i}^{1-}}{A_{i}^{2-}}\), in which the superscripts represent the underlying soliton and the subscripts represent the corresponding modes. The quantities \(\rho_{1,2}^{1+}, \rho_{1,2}^{1-}, C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{21}, C_{22}\), in Eq. (34) are same as the quantities \(\rho_{R}, \rho_{1}, \left(\frac{1-h^*}{\rho_{L}} + \rho_{L}\right), h^* \frac{\rho_{L}}{\rho_{L}}, h^*\) and \(\left(1 - h^*\right)\rho_{L} + \frac{1}{\rho_{R}}\), respectively, given by Eq. (9) in Ref. [18] in an adhoc way. Thus the state of \(S_1\) before and after interaction is characterized by \(\rho_{1,2}^{1-}\) and \(\rho_{1,2}^{1+}\), respectively. It is to be noticed that during collision \(k_i\)'s, \(i = 1, 2\), are unaltered. The LFT has been profitably used in Ref. [19] to construct logic gates, associated with the binary logic \(\rho = [0, 1]\). Similar analysis can be done for the soliton 2 also.
B. **N=3** case

Extending the above analysis, straightforwardly one can relate the $A_j^{\pm}$'s, $j = 1, 2, 3$, for soliton 1, from Eqs. (19b) and (21b), as

\[ A_{1}^{\pm} = \Gamma C_{11} A_{1}^{\pm} + \Gamma C_{12} A_{2}^{\pm} + \Gamma C_{13} A_{3}^{\pm}, \]

\[ A_{2}^{\pm} = \Gamma C_{21} A_{1}^{\pm} + \Gamma C_{22} A_{2}^{\pm} + \Gamma C_{23} A_{3}^{\pm}, \]

\[ A_{3}^{\pm} = \Gamma C_{31} A_{1}^{\pm} + \Gamma C_{32} A_{2}^{\pm} + \Gamma C_{33} A_{3}^{\pm}, \]

where

\[ \Gamma = \Gamma(A_{1}^{\pm}, A_{2}^{\pm}, A_{3}^{\pm}, A_{1}^{\pm}, A_{2}^{\pm}, A_{3}^{\pm}) \]

\[ = \left( \frac{a_2}{a_2^*} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{((\alpha_1^{(1)} \alpha_2^{(2)*}) + \alpha_1^{(2)} \alpha_2^{(3)*})((\alpha_2^{(1)*} \alpha_2^{(2)}) + \alpha_2^{(2)*} \alpha_2^{(3)*})} \right] \times \left[ \frac{1}{|\kappa_{12}|^2} - \frac{1}{\kappa_{11} \kappa_{22}} \right]^{1/2}, \]

in which $a_2$'s are redefined as

\[ a_2 = (k_2 + k_1^*)[(k_1 - k_2)(\alpha_1^{(1)} \alpha_2^{(2)*} + \alpha_1^{(2)} \alpha_2^{(3)*} + \alpha_1^{(3)} \alpha_2^{(3)*})]^{1/2}, \]

and $\kappa_{il}$'s can be written from Eq. (13) with $N = 3$. Note that the form of $\Gamma$ is a straightforward extension of the $N = 2$ case. In the above equations the coefficients $C_{ij}$'s, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, for the 3-CNLS case can be written down straightforwardly by generalizing the expressions (33) corresponding to the two-soliton solution of the two component case.

Thus in the two soliton collision process of the $N = 3$ case, for soliton 1 we obtain the generalized Möbius transformation,

\[ \rho_{1,3}^{1+} = \frac{A_{1}^{1+}}{A_{3}^{1+}} = \frac{C_{11} \rho_{1,3}^{1-} + C_{12} \rho_{2,3}^{1-} + C_{13}}{C_{31} \rho_{1,3}^{1-} + C_{32} \rho_{2,3}^{1-} + C_{33}}, \]

\[ \rho_{2,3}^{1+} = \frac{A_{2}^{1+}}{A_{3}^{1+}} = \frac{C_{21} \rho_{1,3}^{1-} + C_{22} \rho_{2,3}^{1-} + C_{23}}{C_{31} \rho_{1,3}^{1-} + C_{32} \rho_{2,3}^{1-} + C_{33}}, \]

where $\rho_{1,3}^{1-} = \frac{A_{1}^{1-}}{A_{3}^{1-}}$ and $\rho_{2,3}^{1-} = \frac{A_{2}^{1-}}{A_{3}^{1-}}$. Similar relations can be obtained for the soliton 2 also.

C. **Arbitrary N case**

Proceeding in a similar fashion one can construct for the soliton $S_1$ a generalized linear fractional transformation for the N-component case also which relates the $\rho$ vectors before
and after collision.

\[
\rho_{i,N}^{1+} = \frac{A_{1+}^{i}}{A_{1+}^N} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} C_{ij} \rho_{j,N}^{1-}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} C_{Nj} \rho_{j,N}^{1-}}, \quad (37a)
\]

with the condition

\[
\rho_{NN}^{1-} = 1. \quad (37b)
\]

Here \(\rho_{i,N}^{1-} = \frac{A_{1-}^{i}}{A_{1-}^N}\). Similar expression can be obtained for soliton 2 also.

The above generalization paves way not only for writing down the bilinear transformation but also to identify multistate logic. For example, in the \(N = 3\) case, the following states are possible: \(\rho = [\rho_1, \rho_2] \equiv [(0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,1)]\), where the logical ‘0’ state can stand for the complex valued \(\rho\) state corresponding to a suppression of the amplitude in that mode, while the logical ‘1’ state may correspond to enhancement (including no change), which can be used to perform logical operations, whereas in the \(N = 2\) case we have only the two state logic, \(\rho = [0, 1]\). This shows that for \(N > 2\), we will get multistate logic and we believe that such states can be of distinct advantage in computation. This kind of study is in progress.

**VII. HIGHER ORDER SOLITON SOLUTIONS AND THEIR INTERACTIONS**

Now it is of interest to study the nature of multisoliton collisions making use of the explicit forms of multisoliton solutions given in Secs. III and IV. Due to the complicated nature of the above bright soliton expressions, it becomes nontrivial to identify the nature of the collision process. In his paper [13], Manakov pointed out that in general an \(N\)-soliton collision does not reduce to a pair collision due to the nontrivial dependence of the amplitude of a particular soliton before interaction on the other soliton parameters. In this section by a careful asymptotic analysis of the 3-soliton solution (10) of the 2-CNLS equations, which can be deduced to the \(N\)-CNLS case without any difficulty, we explicitly demonstrate that the collision process indeed can be considered to occur pair-wise and thereby making Manakov’s statement in proper perspective and clearer. One can carry out a similar analysis for the four-soliton solution given in Appendix A, generalizing which one can show that in the higher order solitons of CNLS equations also the collision is pair-wise. Such an analysis also reveals the many possibilities for energy exchange among the modes of the solitons, including the exciting possibility of state restoration in higher order soliton solutions, a precursor to the construction of logic gates.
A. Asymptotic analysis of 3-soliton solution of 2-CNLS equations

Considering the explicit three soliton expression (10), without loss of generality, we assume that the quantities \(k_{1R}, k_{2R}, \) and \(k_{3R}\) are positive and \(k_{1I} > k_{2I} > k_{3I}\) (For the equal sign cases \(k_{1I} = k_{2I} = k_{3I}\), see Sec. IX below). One can carry out similar analysis for other possibilities of \(k_{iI}'s\), \(i = 1, 2, 3\), also as discussed below. Then for the above condition the variables \(\eta_{iR}'s, i = 1, 2, 3\), for the three solitons \((S_1, S_2, \) and \(S_3)\) take the following values asymptotically:

(i) \(\eta_{1R} \approx 0, \eta_{2R} \to \pm \infty, \eta_{3R} \to \pm \infty\), as \(z \to \pm \infty\),

(ii) \(\eta_{2R} \approx 0, \eta_{1R} \to \mp \infty, \eta_{3R} \to \pm \infty\), as \(z \to \pm \infty\),

(iii) \(\eta_{3R} \approx 0, \eta_{1R} \to \mp \infty, \eta_{2R} \to \mp \infty\), as \(z \to \pm \infty\).

Defining the various quantities \(R_i's, i = 1, 2, ..., 7\) , \(\delta_{lj} 's, l = 1, 2, ..., 9, j = 1, 2, \tau_{mj}'s, \) and \(\tau_{m0}'s, m = 1, 2, 3\), as in Eq. (10) we have the following limiting forms of the three-soliton solution Eq. (10).

(i) Before Collision (limit \(z \to -\infty\))

(a) \textit{Soliton 1} \((\eta_{1R} \approx 0, \eta_{2R} \to -\infty, \eta_{3R} \to -\infty)\):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_1 \\
q_2
\end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{1-} \\
A_2^{1-}
\end{pmatrix} k_{1R} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{1R} + \frac{R_1}{2} \right) e^{i\eta_{1l}},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} A_1^{1-} \\
A_2^{1-}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^{(1)} \\
\alpha_1^{(2)}
\end{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-\frac{R_1}{2}}}{(k_1 + k_1^*)}.
\] (38a)

(b) \textit{Soliton 2} \((\eta_{2R} \approx 0, \eta_{1R} \to \infty, \eta_{3R} \to -\infty)\):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_1 \\
q_2
\end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{2-} \\
A_2^{2-}
\end{pmatrix} k_{2R} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{2R} + \frac{R_4 - R_1}{2} \right) e^{i\eta_{2l}},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} A_1^{2-} \\
A_2^{2-}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\delta_{11}} \\
e^{\delta_{12}}
\end{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-\frac{(\eta_{1l} + \eta_{2l})}{2}}}{(k_2 + k_2^*)}.
\] (39b)

(c) \textit{Soliton 3} \((\eta_{3R} \approx 0, \eta_{1R} \to \infty, \eta_{2R} \to \infty)\):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_1 \\
q_2
\end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{3-} \\
A_2^{3-}
\end{pmatrix} k_{3R} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{3R} + \frac{R_7 - R_4}{2} \right) e^{i\eta_{3l}},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} A_1^{3-} \\
A_2^{3-}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\tau_{11}} \\
e^{\tau_{12}}
\end{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-\frac{(\eta_{1l} + \eta_{2l})}{2}}}{(k_3 + k_3^*)}.
\] (40b)
(ii) After Collision (limit $z \to +\infty$)

(a) **Soliton 1** ($\eta_{1R} \approx 0$, $\eta_{2R} \to \infty$, $\eta_{3R} \to \infty$):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_1 \\
q_2
\end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix}
A^{1+}_1 \\
A^{1+}_2
\end{pmatrix} k_{1R} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{1R} + \frac{R_7 - R_6}{2} \right) e^{i\eta_{1I}},
\]

(41a)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A^{1+}_1 \\
A^{1+}_2
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
e^{\tau_{31}} \\
e^{\tau_{32}}
\end{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-(R_6 + R_7)/2}}{(k_1 + k_1^*)}.
\]

(41b)

(b) **Soliton 2** ($\eta_{2R} \approx 0$, $\eta_{1R} \to -\infty$, $\eta_{3R} \to \infty$):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_1 \\
q_2
\end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix}
A^{2+}_1 \\
A^{2+}_2
\end{pmatrix} k_{2R} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{2R} + \frac{R_6 - R_3}{2} \right) e^{i\eta_{2I}},
\]

(42a)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A^{2+}_1 \\
A^{2+}_2
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
e^{\delta_{31}} \\
e^{\delta_{32}}
\end{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-(R_6 + R_7)/2}}{(k_2 + k_2^*)}.
\]

(42b)

(c) **Soliton 3** ($\eta_{3R} \approx 0$, $\eta_{1R} \to -\infty$, $\eta_{2R} \to -\infty$):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q^+_1 \\
q^+_2
\end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix}
A^{3+}_1 \\
A^{3+}_2
\end{pmatrix} k_{3R} \text{sech} \left( \eta_{3R} + \frac{R_3}{2} \right) e^{i\eta_{3I}},
\]

(43a)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A^{3+}_1 \\
A^{3+}_2
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha^{(1)}_3 \\
\alpha^{(2)}_3
\end{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-R_3^2/2}}{(k_3 + k_3^*)}.
\]

(43b)

B. Transition elements

The above analysis clearly shows that during the three soliton interaction process, there is a redistribution of intensities among these solitons in the two modes along with amplitude dependent phase shifts as in the case of the two soliton interaction. The amplitude changes can be expressed in terms of a transition matrix $T^l_j$ as

\[
A^{l+}_j = T^l_j A^{l-}_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad l = 1, 2, 3.
\]

(44)

Explicit forms of the entries of the transition matrix quantifying the amount of intensity redistribution for the three solitons are as follows.

**Soliton 1:**

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
T^1_1 \\
T^1_2
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
e^{\tau_{31}} \\
e^{\tau_{32}}
\end{pmatrix} \frac{e^{-(R_6 + R_7 - R_1)/2}}{\alpha^{(1)}_1}.
\]

(45a)
Soliton 2:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
T_1^2 \\
T_2^2
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
e^{\delta_{11} - \delta_{11}} \\
e^{\delta_{22} - \delta_{12}}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
e^{- \left(R_3 + R_6 - R_1 - R_4\right) / 2} \\
e^{- \left(R_3 - R_1 - R_7\right) / 2}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(45b)

Soliton 3:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
T_1^3 \\
T_2^3
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_{13}^{(1)} e^{- \tau_1} \\
\alpha_{13}^{(2)} e^{- \tau_2}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
e^{- \left(R_3 - R_1 - R_7\right) / 2} \\
e^{- \left(R_3 + R_6 - R_1\right) / 2}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(45c)

The various quantities found in the above equations are defined in Eq. (10).

C. Phase shifts

Now let us look into the phase shifts suffered by each of the solitons during collision. These can be written as

\[
S_1 : \Phi^1 = \frac{R_7 - R_6 - R_1}{2},
\]

(46a)

\[
S_2 : \Phi^2 = \frac{R_6 - R_3 - R_4 + R_1}{2},
\]

(46b)

\[
S_3 : \Phi^3 = \frac{R_3 - R_7 + R_4}{2}.
\]

(46c)

Here the quantities \(R_1, R_2, ..., R_7\) are as given in Eq. (10). Note that each of the phase shifts \(\Phi^1, \Phi^2, \text{ and } \Phi^3\) contains a part which depends purely on \(k_i\)'s, \(i = 1, 2, 3\), and another part which depends on the amplitude (polarization) parameters \(\alpha_i^{(j)}\)'s along with \(k_i\)'s.

D. Relative separation distances

As a consequence of the above amplitude dependent phase shifts, the relative separation distances between the solitons \(t_{ij}^+\) (position of \(S_j\) (at \(z \rightarrow \pm \infty\)) - position of \(S_i\) (at \(z \rightarrow \pm \infty\)), \(i \neq j, i < j, i, j = 1, 2, 3\)) also varies as a function of amplitude parameters. The change in the relative separation distances \((\Delta t_{ij} = t_{ij}^- - t_{ij}^+)\) can be obtained from the asymptotic expressions (38-43). They are found to be

\[
\Delta t_{12} = \frac{\Phi^1 k_{2R} - \Phi^2 k_{1R}}{k_{1R} k_{2R}},
\]

(47a)

\[
\Delta t_{13} = \frac{\Phi^1 k_{3R} - \Phi^3 k_{1R}}{k_{1R} k_{3R}},
\]

(47b)

\[
\Delta t_{23} = \frac{\Phi^2 k_{3R} - \Phi^3 k_{2R}}{k_{2R} k_{3R}},
\]

(47c)
where $\Phi_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are defined in Eq. (46) and $k_{jR}$'s represent the real parts of $k_j$'s.

E. Nature of Collision

Now it is of interest to look into the nature of the collisions in the three-soliton interaction process, that is, whether it is pair-wise or not. This can be answered from the asymptotic expressions presented in Eqs. (38) to (46). For example, let us consider soliton 1 ($S_1$). The net change in the amplitudes of the two modes of soliton $S_1$ is given by the transition amplitudes $T_i^1$, $i = 1, 2$, that is,

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1^{1+} \\
A_2^{1+}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
T_1^1 & 0 \\
0 & T_2^1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1^{1-} \\
A_2^{1-}
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(48)

where $T_1^1$ and $T_2^1$ are defined in Eq. (45a). The above form of transition relations is obtained by expanding (44).

Let us presume first that the collision process is a pair-wise one and then verify this assertion. According to our assumption $k_{1I} > k_{2I} > k_{3I}$, and so the first collision occurs between $S_1$ and $S_2$ as shown schematically in Fig. 4. Then during collision with $S_2$, the two modes of $S_1$ change their amplitudes (intensities) by $\tilde{T}_1^1$ and $\tilde{T}_2^1$, respectively. Their forms follow from the basic two soliton interaction process discussed in Sec. V, Eq. (25b). This can be expressed in mathematical form as

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{A}_1^{1+} \\
\tilde{A}_2^{1+}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{T}_1^1 & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{T}_2^1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1^{1-} \\
A_2^{1-}
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(49a)

where

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{T}_1^1 \\
\tilde{T}_2^1
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\alpha_1^{31}}{\alpha_1^{131}} \\
\frac{\alpha_1^{32}}{\alpha_1^{132}}
\end{pmatrix}
\frac{e^{-\frac{(R_4+R_3-R_1)}{2}}}{\alpha_1^{132}}.
$$

(49b)

Again the above expressions can be obtained straightforwardly from Eq. (25b) with $N = 2$.

Now the resulting soliton ($\tilde{S}_1$), after the first collision, is allowed to collide with the third soliton ($S_3$) (see Fig. 4). From the asymptotic expressions (38-45) and using the above Eqs.
(49), it can be shown that
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1^{1+} \\
A_2^{1+}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{T}_1^1 & 0 \\
0 & \hat{T}_2^1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{A}_1^{1+} \\
\hat{A}_2^{1+}
\end{pmatrix},
\]  
(50a)

where
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{T}_1^1 \\
\hat{T}_2^1
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
e^{\tau_{31} - \delta_{31}} \\
e^{\tau_{32} - \delta_{32}}
\end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{(R_6 + R_7 - R_4 - R_2)}{2}}.
\]  
(50b)

However using Eq. (49) in (50a), we can write
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1^{1+} \\
A_2^{1+}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{T}_1^1 & 0 \\
0 & \hat{T}_2^1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{A}_1^{1+} \\
\hat{A}_2^{1+}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{T}_1^1 \hat{T}_2^1 & 0 \\
0 & \hat{T}_2^1 \hat{T}_2^1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
A_1^{1-} \\
A_2^{1-}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  
(51a)

If this is the collision scenario, then the right hand sides of Eq. (48) and Eq. (51b) should be the same, that is,
\[
T_1^1 = \hat{T}_1^1 \hat{T}_1^1,
\]  
(51c)

\[
T_2^1 = \hat{T}_2^1 \hat{T}_2^1.
\]  
(51d)

This can be easily verified to be true directly from the expressions (45) and (49-50). In a similar fashion, for the other two solitons also the transition matrix can be shown as a product of two matrices corresponding to two collisions, respectively.

Now let us look at the phase shifts. It is also of necessary to identify whether the total phase shift acquired by each soliton during the three soliton collision process is a result of two consecutive pair-wise collisions or not. In this regard, we again focus our attention on soliton 1 (\(S_1\)) first. Let us assume the collision to be pair-wise. Then one can write the phase shift suffered by \(S_1\) during the collision based on the analysis of the two soliton collision process. Following Eq. (27) (with appropriately changed notations) we can write the expression for the phase shift suffered by \(S_1\) on its collision with \(S_2\) as
\[
\tilde{\delta} = \frac{R_4 - R_2 - R_1}{2}.
\]  
(52)

Now the outcoming form of \(S_1\) (which is \(\hat{S}_1\)) is allowed to interact with \(S_3\) (see Fig. 4). The phase shift during this second collision can again be found from the asymptotic expressions
(38-43) as

\[ \hat{\delta} = \frac{R_7 - R_6 - R_4 + R_2}{2}. \]  

(53)

On the other hand, from the asymptotic expressions (46), the total phase shift suffered by \( S_1 \) in a three soliton collision process can be written as

\[ \delta = \frac{R_7 - R_6 - R_4 - 2R_2}{2} = \tilde{\delta} + \hat{\delta}. \]  

(54)  

(55)

Thus the total phase shift suffered by the soliton 1 is the sum of the phase shifts suffered by it during pair-wise collisions with soliton 2 and soliton 3, respectively. Similar conclusions can also be drawn on the phase shifts suffered by the other two solitons as well. Thus the above analysis on the changes in the amplitudes and phase shifts during the three soliton collision process establishes the fact that the collisions indeed occur pair-wise.

It may be noted that the above results also imply that the three soliton collision process is associative and independent of the sequence in which collisions occur, that is whether the collision occurs in the order \( S_1 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow S_3 \) or \( S_1 \rightarrow S_3 \rightarrow S_2 \). This property has been anticipated in the numerical study of Lewis et al. [26], which is now rigorously proved here.

F. Intensity redistributions and shape restoration

The asymptotic analysis not only explains the nature of the collision process, but also characterizes the collision process. It is clear from the above analysis of the three-soliton solution that in general there is an intensity redistribution among the three solitons due to pair-wise interaction in all the two modes along with amplitude dependent phase shifts as in the two soliton interaction, subject to conservation laws. We have analysed the various three soliton collision scenarios below.

1. Elastic collision

The standard elastic collision property of solitons results for the special case \( \alpha_1^{(1)} : \alpha_2^{(1)} : \alpha_3^{(1)} = \alpha_1^{(2)} : \alpha_2^{(2)} : \alpha_3^{(2)} \). The magnitude of the transition elements \( |T^l_j|, j = 1, 2, \) and \( l = 1, 2, 3 \), becomes one for this choice of parameters and there occurs no intensity redistribution.
FIG. 5: Intensity profiles $|q_1|^2$ and $|q_2|^2$ of the two modes of the three-soliton solution of the 2-CNLS equations, representing elastic collision, with the parameters chosen as $k_1 = 1 + i$, $k_2 = 1.5 - 0.5i$, $k_3 = 2 - i$, $\alpha_1^{(1)} = \alpha_2^{(1)} = \alpha_3^{(1)} = \alpha_1^{(2)} = \alpha_2^{(2)} = \alpha_3^{(2)} = 1$.

FIG. 6: Intensity profiles $|q_1|^2$ and $|q_2|^2$ of the two modes of the three-soliton solution of the 2-CNLS equations, representing the shape changing (intensity redistribution) collision process for the choice of the parameters, $k_1 = 1 + i$, $k_2 = 1.5 - 0.5i$, $k_3 = 2 - i$, $\alpha_1^{(1)} = \frac{39-80i}{89}$, $\alpha_2^{(1)} = \frac{39+80i}{89}$, $\alpha_3^{(1)} = 0.3 + 0.2i$, $\alpha_1^{(2)} = 0.39$, $\alpha_2^{(2)} = \alpha_3^{(2)} = 1$. Among the modes except for phase shifts. This is shown in Fig. 5 for the parametric choice $\alpha_l^{(j)} = 1$, $l = 1, 2, 3$, $j = 1, 2$, $k_1 = 1 + i$, $k_2 = 1.5 - 0.5i$, and $k_3 = 2.0 - i$.

2. Shape changing (intensity redistribution) collision

For general values of the parameters $\alpha_l^{(j)}$'s, there occurs shape changing collisions among the three solitons, however, leaving the total intensity of each of the solitons conserved, that is, $|A_1^{l\pm}|^2 + |A_2^{l\pm}|^2 = \frac{1}{\mu}$, $l = 1, 2, 3$. This intensity redistribution is accompanied by amplitude dependent phase shifts and changes in the relative separation distances of the solitons as discussed above. They can be calculated from the expressions (38-47). One such shape changing interaction is depicted in Fig. 6 for illustrative purpose. The parameters chosen are $k_1 = 1 + i$, $k_2 = 1.5 - 0.5i$, $k_3 = 2 - i$, $\alpha_1^{(1)} = \frac{39-80i}{89}$, $\alpha_2^{(1)} = \frac{39+80i}{89}$, $\alpha_3^{(1)} = 0.3 + 0.2i$, $\alpha_1^{(2)} = 0.39$, $\alpha_2^{(2)} = \alpha_3^{(2)} = 1$. In this figure we have shown the scenario in which the three solitons in the two modes have different amplitudes (intensities) after interaction when compared to the case before interaction. Here $S_1$ is allowed to interact with $S_2$ first and then with $S_3$. Due to this collision, in $q_1$ mode the intensity of $S_1$ is suppressed while that of $S_2$ is enhanced along with suppression of intensity in $S_3$. On the other hand, the reverse scenario occurs in the $q_2$ mode for the three solitons $S_1$, $S_2$, and $S_3$.

3. Shape restoration of any one of the three solitons

The asymptotic analysis also shows that there is a possibility for any one of the three solitons to restore its shape (amplitude/intensity) during collision. In this connection, let us
look into how the shape restoring property of $S_1$ occurs during its collision with the other two solitons (say $S_2$ and $S_3$). We have already shown that the collision process is a pair-wise one. Then the three soliton collision process is equivalent to two pair-wise collisions. Let the first collision be parametrized by the parameters $\alpha^{(1)}_1$, $\alpha^{(2)}_1$, $\alpha^{(1)}_2$, $\alpha^{(2)}_2$, $k_1$, and $k_2$. Now we exploit the arbitrariness involved in choosing the parameters $\alpha^{(1)}_3$ and $\alpha^{(2)}_3$ in the second collision process in order to make the net transition amplitude of $S_1$ to be unity, leaving the other two transition amplitudes of $S_2$ and $S_3$ to vary, that is,

$$T^1_j = 1, \quad T^2_j \neq 1, \quad T^3_j \neq 1, \quad j = 1, 2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (56)

This condition will make the soliton $S_1$ only to be unaffected at the end of the three soliton collision process. Then the equations corresponding to this condition are

\begin{align}
A_1R + A_2R x - A_2I y + A_3R (x^2 - y^2) - 2A_{3I} xy + A_{4R} x + A_{4I} y + A_{5R} (x^2 + y^2) \\
+ A_{6R} (x^3 + xy^2) - A_{6I} (x^2 y + y^3) + A_{7R} (x^2 - y^2) \\
+ 2A_{7I} xy + A_{8R} (x^3 + xy^2) + A_{8I} (x^2 y + y^3) + A_{9R} (x^2 + y^2)^2 = 0, \hspace{1cm} (57a)
\end{align}

\begin{align}
A_{1I} + A_{2R} y + A_{2I} x + 2A_{3R} xy + A_{3I} (x^2 - y^2) + A_{4I} x - A_{4R} y + A_{5I} (x^2 + y^2) \\
+ A_{6I} (x^3 + xy^2) + A_{6R} (x^2 y + y^3) - 2A_{7R} xy \\
+ A_{7I} (x^2 - y^2) - A_{8R} (x^2 y + y^3) + A_{8I} (x^3 + xy^2) + A_{9I} (x^2 + y^2)^2 = 0, \hspace{1cm} (57b)
\end{align}

\begin{align}
B_{1R} + B_{2R} x - B_{2I} y + B_{3R} (x^2 - y^2) - 2B_{3I} xy + B_{4R} x + B_{4I} y + B_{5R} (x^2 + y^2) \\
+ B_{6R} (x^3 + xy^2) - B_{6I} (x^2 y + y^3) + B_{7R} (x^2 - y^2) \\
+ 2B_{7I} xy + B_{8R} (x^3 + xy^2) + B_{8I} (x^2 y + y^3) + B_{9R} (x^2 + y^2)^2 = 0, \hspace{1cm} (57c)
\end{align}

\begin{align}
B_{1I} + B_{2R} y + B_{2I} x + 2B_{3R} xy + B_{3I} (x^2 - y^2) + B_{4I} x - B_{4R} y + B_{5I} (x^2 + y^2) \\
+ B_{6I} (x^3 + xy^2) + B_{6R} (x^2 y + y^3) - 2B_{7R} xy \\
+ B_{7I} (x^2 - y^2) - B_{8R} (x^2 y + y^3) + B_{8I} (x^3 + xy^2) + B_{9I} (x^2 + y^2)^2 = 0, \hspace{1cm} (57d)
\end{align}

where we have taken $\left(\frac{\alpha^{(1)}_3}{\alpha^{(2)}_3}\right) = x + iy$, the subscripts $\{lR\}$ and $\{lI\}$, $l = 1, 2, ..., 9$, represent the real and imaginary parts respectively. The expressions for $A_i$’s and $B_i$’s are lengthy but can be obtained straightforwardly (by making use of (56) and the expressions (45a)) and so we do not present them here. Solving these overdetermined system of equations for $x$ and $y$ will give the suitable ratio $\left(\frac{\alpha^{(1)}_3}{\alpha^{(2)}_3}\right)$ for which the shape restoring property of one of the solitons $S_1$ only arises in a three soliton collision process.
FIG. 7: Shape restoring property of soliton 1 (S₁) during its collision with the other two solitons, soliton 2 (S₂) and soliton 3 (S₃), for the choice of the parameters \( k_1 = 1 + i, k_2 = 1.5 - 0.5i, k_3 = 2 - i \), \( \alpha_{1}^{(1)} = \alpha_{1}^{(2)} = \alpha_{2}^{(2)} = 1, \alpha_{2}^{(1)} = \frac{39+80i}{89}, \alpha_{3}^{(1)} = 1.19, \alpha_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{39+80i}{89} \).

Though we have not investigated the problem of existence of solutions of the equations (57), one can make a numerical search and identify suitable values of \( x \) and \( y \) to demonstrate the shape restoration property. For example in Fig. 7 with the parameters fixed at \( k_1 = 1 + i, k_2 = 1.5 - 0.5i, k_3 = 2 - i, \alpha_{1}^{(1)} = \alpha_{1}^{(2)} = \alpha_{2}^{(2)} = 1, \alpha_{2}^{(1)} = \frac{39+80i}{89}, \alpha_{3}^{(1)} = 1.19, \alpha_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{39+80i}{89}, \) we have demonstrated the shape restoration property. We find that while the amplitudes of the two of the solitons (S₂ and S₃) change after interaction, the amplitude of the soliton S₁ remains unchanged during the interaction process.

In the above analysis we have required the complete restoration property of soliton S₁. However, it is also possible to require the intensity alone to be restored. In this case, the condition (56) can be modified as

\[
|T_{j}^{1}| = 1, \quad |T_{j}^{2}| \neq 1, \quad |T_{j}^{3}| \neq 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \tag{58}
\]

leading to a set of two complicated equations for \( x \) and \( y \) (which are too lengthy to be presented here). Solving them we can find \( x \) and \( y \). Note that the quantities \( x \) and \( y \) correspond to the real and imaginary parts of the ratio of the parameters \( \alpha_{3}^{(1)} \) and \( \alpha_{3}^{(2)} \), so that for every choice of \( x \) and \( y \) there exists a large set of \( \alpha_{3}^{(1)} \) and \( \alpha_{3}^{(2)} \) values for which shape restoration property holds good.

One might also go a step further and demand that the phase shift \( \Phi_{1} \) or the changes in the relative separation distances \( \Delta t_{12} \) and \( \Delta t_{13} \) vanish. These will give additional constraints on the choice of parameters \( \alpha_{3}^{(1)} \) and \( \alpha_{3}^{(2)} \). These considerations require separate study and we have not pursued them here. It is obvious that such shape changing and shape restoring collision properties of the optical solitons in integrable CNLS equations, exhibiting a redistribution of intensity among the three solitons in the two modes, will have considerable technological applications both in optical communications including wavelength division multiplexing, optical switching devices, etc., and optical computation, for example in constructing logic gates [18, 19].
G. Three-soliton solution of multicomponent CNLS equations and shape changing collisions

The above analysis on the three soliton collision in 2-CNLS equations can be extended straightforwardly to the 3-soliton solution (14) of $N$-CNLS equations, with arbitrary $N$, including $N = 3$. One can identify that shape changing collision occurs here also but with lot more possibilities for redistribution of intensities in contrast to the 2-CNLS case. The quantities characterizing the collision process here also are the intensity redistribution, amplitude dependent phase shifts and relative separation distances between the solitons, as explained in the 2-CNLS case.

We also note that as the number of components increases from two to some arbitrary $N$ ($N > 2$), the different possibilities for redistribution of intensity among them also increase in a manifold way. The corresponding transition matrix, measuring this redistribution, is found to be similar to Eqs. (45) with the redefinition of $\kappa_i$’s as given in Eq. (14b) along with the index $j$ running from 1 to $N$ instead of 1 to 2. The other factors, amplitude dependent phase shifts and change in relative separation distances, also bear the same form given by Eqs. (46) and (47), respectively, with this redefinition.

As to the shape restoration property one has to again solve the equations

$$T_j^1 = 1, \quad T_j^2 \neq 0, \quad T_j^3 \neq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, ..., N.$$  \hfill (59)

Alternatively for intensity restoration the conditions are

$$|T_j^1| = 1, \quad |T_j^2| \neq 0, \quad |T_j^3| \neq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, ..., N.$$  \hfill (60)

Extending the above analysis, it is clear that, carrying out an asymptotic analysis of 4-soliton solution given in Appendix A, it is possible to restore the shape of two of the solitons at the maximum, which can be further generalized to arbitrary $N$ soliton case, in which it is possible to restore the shape of $N - 2$ of the solitons. We have checked in this case also from the asymptotic analysis the soliton interaction is pair-wise and we conjecture that this should be true for the arbitrary $N$-soliton case as well.
VIII. MULTISOLITON SOLUTIONS AS LOGIC GATES

The state vectors and LFTs introduced in Sec. VI and the shape changing pair-wise collision nature of bright solitons mentioned in Sec. VII can be profitably used to look at the multisoliton solutions of CNLS equations as various logic gates. We believe that such an approach provides an alternative point of view of shape changing soliton collisions to construct logic gates as discussed in Ref. [19]. The present point of view may have its own advantage as system initial conditions are chosen suitably to generate specific forms of multisolitons to represent logic gates may be much easier from a practical point of view, including replication, compared to constructing them through predetermined independent soliton collisions. In the following we will demonstrate this idea for the case of the 2-CNLS as an example.

A. Three soliton solution and state restoration property

The shape restoration of a particular soliton in arbitrary state associated with the three soliton solution has been discussed in Sec. VII F. Particularly this can be well appreciated with respect to binary logic states. For example, if we consider the soliton \( S_1 \) is in 1 state with the state value \( \rho_{1/2}^{1-} = 1 \), it implies

\[
\frac{\alpha_1^{(1)}}{\alpha_1^{(2)}} = 1. \tag{61}
\]

To obtain this we choose, \( \alpha_1^{(1)} = \alpha_1^{(2)} = 1 \). For simplicity we require \( S_2 \) to be in 0 state before interaction. From the asymptotic expressions (39), this can be achieved by choosing the ratio \( \frac{\alpha_2^{(1)}}{\alpha_2^{(2)}} \) as

\[
\frac{\alpha_2^{(1)}}{\alpha_2^{(2)}} = \frac{k_1 + k_1^*}{2k_2 + k_1^* - k_1}. \tag{62}
\]

Now in order to restore the state of \( S_1 \) after two collisions, we have to allow the outcome of \( S_1 \) resulting after the first collision, which may be called soliton \( S_1' \), to interact with soliton \( S_3 \) having a state inverse to the above 0 state. This state for \( S_3 \) can be identified from its asymptotic form before interaction given in Eq. (40). The resulting condition can be shown
to be

\[
\frac{\alpha_3^{(1)}}{\alpha_3^{(2)}} = \frac{n}{d}, \quad (63a)
\]

\[
n = - (\alpha_2^{(1)} + \alpha_2^{(2)}) A + \kappa_{22} (k_1 - k_3) B + 2\alpha_2^{(2)} \alpha_2^{(2)*} C - \alpha_2^{(2)} (\alpha_2^{(1)*} + \alpha_2^{(2)*}) D + |\alpha_2^{(1)} + \alpha_2^{(2)}|^2 E, \quad (63b)
\]

\[
d = (\alpha_2^{(1)} + \alpha_2^{(2)}) A - \kappa_{22} (k_1 + k_3) B - 2\alpha_2^{(2)} \alpha_2^{(1)*} C - (\alpha_2^{(1)*} + \alpha_2^{(2)*}) \alpha_2^{(2)} D, \quad (63c)
\]

where

\[
A = (k_1 + k_3^*)(k_3 + k_1^*)(k_1 + k_2^*), \quad (63d)
\]

\[
B = (k_2 + k_3^*)(k_3 + k_2^*)(k_1 + k_2^*), \quad (63e)
\]

\[
C = (k_2 + k_1^*)(k_3 + k_1^*)(k_1 + k_2^*), \quad (63f)
\]

\[
D = (k_2 + k_3^*)(k_3 + k_2^*)(k_1 + k_1^*), \quad (63g)
\]

\[
E = (k_3 + k_2^*)(k_1 + k_1^*)(k_3 + k_1^*). \quad (63h)
\]

In the above equation choosing the parameters satisfying conditions (61) and (62) one can fix \(\frac{\alpha_3^{(1)}}{\alpha_3^{(2)}}\) suitably in order to restore the state of soliton \(S_1\). Thus the three soliton solution given by Eq. (10) having the specific choice of parameters specified by Eqs. (61)-(63) corresponds to the state restoration of soliton \(S_1\).

**B. Four-soliton solution and COPY gate**

Extending the above procedure, we can now consider the four-soliton solution given in Appendix A, and identify it as (i) a COPY gate or (ii) a ONE gate or (iii) a NOT gate studied in Ref. [19] for suitable choices of the arbitrary parameters. As an example, let us consider copying 1 state of \(S_1\) to the output state of soliton \(S_4\). This requires the following steps.

1. We consider the four soliton collision process in which the soliton \(S_1\) collides with the soliton \(S_2\) first and then with the soliton \(S_3\) and finally with the soliton \(S_4\). This sequence of collision follows from the condition \(k_{1I} > k_{2I} > k_{3I} > k_{4I}\).

2. Consider for convinience \(S_1\) to be in the 0 state, the so-called actuator state [19]. This requires \(\frac{\alpha_1^{(1)}}{\alpha_1^{(2)}} = 0\), which can be obtained by choosing \(\alpha_1^{(1)} = 0\) and \(\alpha_1^{(2)}\) as arbitrary.
3. Assign 1 state to soliton $S_2$ before interaction, for which we need
\[
\frac{\alpha_2^{(1)}}{\alpha_2^{(2)}} = \frac{k_2 - k_1}{k_2 + k_1}. \tag{64}
\]

4. After its collision with $S_2$ as a result of shape changing collision the outcoming state of $S_1$ (say $S'_1$) will be altered.

5. Now let us allow the third soliton in the four soliton solution to interact with $S'_1$ which changes the state $S'_1$ to $S''_1$.

6. Finally $S_4$ is allowed to interact with $S''_1$. From the asymptotic analysis, we identify the state of soliton $S_4$ after interaction as
\[
\rho_{1,2}^{4+} = \frac{\alpha_4^{(1)}}{\alpha_4^{(2)}}. \tag{65}
\]

We impose the condition on this state that this should be in the state of $S_2$ before interaction. Thus the parameters $\alpha_4^{(1)}$ and $\alpha_4^{(2)}$ of soliton $S_4$ get fixed depending upon the input state of $S_2$.

7. The asymptotic analysis of the four soliton solution given in Appendix A results in following condition for $S_4$ to be in one state after interaction.
\[
\frac{T_1^4 A_1^{4-}}{T_2^4 A_2^{4-}} = 1, \tag{66}
\]

where $T_1^4$ and $T_2^4$ are the transition elements of $S_4$ in the modes $q_1$ and $q_2$ respectively. Here $A_1^{4-}k_{4R}$ and $A_2^{4-}k_{4R}$ are the amplitudes of soliton $S_4$ before interaction in the two modes respectively.

8. If we flip the input state of $S_2$ from 1 to 0 state by suitably choosing the $\rho_{1,2}^{2-}$’s parameters then the condition on soliton $S_4$’s output will become
\[
\frac{T_1^4 A_1^{4-}}{T_2^4 A_2^{4-}} = 0. \tag{67}
\]

9. In the above two Eqs. (66) and (67) only free parameters are $\alpha_3^{(1)}$ and $\alpha_3^{(2)}$. In principle, we can solve these two complex equations to obtain the free complex parameters $\alpha_3^{(1)}$ and $\alpha_3^{(2)}$. Then for the given choice of parameters the state of the incoming soliton $S_2$ can be copied on to the outgoing soliton $S_4$. 
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Thus a four soliton collision process with the above premise is equivalent to a COPY gate. Similar procedure can be extended to other gates mentioned above as well. One can extend this idea further to identify a FANOUT gate from a 5-soliton solution. It appears that one can pursue the idea ultimately to identify the NAND gate itself as a multisoliton solution following the construction of Steiglitz in Ref. [19]. Fuller details will be reported elsewhere.

IX. BRIGHT SOLITON SOLUTIONS AND PARTIALLY COHERENT SOLITONS

As mentioned in the introduction, the recent observations by several authors [11, 12, 25] have shown that the $N$-CNLS equations (1) can support $N$-PCSs solutions. In general, these PCSs are said to be special cases of the so-called multisoliton complexes [2] which are nonlinear superposition of fundamental bright solitons. It has also been demonstrated that these PCSs are formed only if the number of components in Eq. (1) is equal to the number of solitons. Then it is quite natural to look for the 2-PCS, 3-PCS, 4-PCS, etc., as special cases of the two-soliton solution of the 2-CNLS, three-soliton solution of the 3-CNLS, four-soliton solution of the 4-CNLS equations etc., respectively, deduced in Secs. III and IV. In the following, we indeed show that the PCSs reported in Refs.[11, 12, 25] result as special cases, that is specific choices of some of the arbitrary complex parameters, from the bright soliton solutions of CNLS equations discussed in Secs. III and IV and thereby showing the origin of the various interesting properties of the PCS solutions.

A. 2-PCS : A special case of the bright two-soliton solution of 2-CNLS equations

Let us consider stationary limit of the two-soliton solution of the 2-CNLS equations (Manakov system) given by Eq. (8), that is, $k_{nI} = 0$, for the special choice of the parameters, $\alpha_{1}^{(1)} = e^{\eta_{1}0}, \alpha_{2}^{(2)} = -e^{\eta_{2}0}, \alpha_{1}^{(2)} = -\alpha_{2}^{(1)} = 0$, where $\eta_{j0}$'s, $j = 1, 2$, are now restricted as real constants. Then Eq. (8) becomes

$$q_{1} = \left( e^{\eta_{1}} + \frac{\mu(k_{1R} - k_{2R})e^{\eta_{1} + \eta_{2} + \eta_{2}^{*}}}{4k_{2R}^{2}(k_{1R} + k_{2R})} \right) / \tilde{D},$$ \hspace{1cm} (68a)

$$q_{2} = \left( -e^{\eta_{2}} + \frac{\mu(k_{1R} - k_{2R})e^{\eta_{1}^{*} + \eta_{2} + \eta_{2}^{*}}}{4k_{1R}^{2}(k_{1R} + k_{2R})} \right) / \tilde{D},$$ \hspace{1cm} (68b)
FIG. 8: Typical 2-PCS forms for the Manakov system for \( z = 0 \) see Eqs. (68), with \( k_1 = 1.0 \) and \( k_2 = 2.0 \): (a) Symmetric case \((t_{12} = 0)\), (b) Asymmetric case \((t_{12} = 1)\).

where

\[
\tilde{D} = 1 + \mu \left[ \frac{e^{\eta_1^2}}{4k_{1R}^2} + \frac{e^{\eta_2^2}}{4k_{2R}^2} \right] + \frac{\mu^2 (k_{1R} - k_{2R})^2 e^{\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2}}{16k_{1R}^2 k_{2R}^2 (k_{1R} + k_{2R})^2}, \tag{68c}
\]

and

\[
\eta_j = k_{jR}(t + ik_{jR}z) + \eta_{j0}, \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{68d}
\]

This stationary solution can be easily identified as the 2-PCS expression (13-15) given in Ref. [12] with the identification of \( \bar{x}_j \)'s as \( \bar{t}_j \)'s, \( j = 1, 2 \),

\[
\bar{t}_1 = t - t_1 = t + \frac{\eta_{10}}{k_{1R}} + \frac{1}{2k_{1R}} \log \left[ \frac{\mu (k_{1R} - k_{2R})}{4k_{1R}^2 (k_{1R} + k_{2R})} \right], \tag{69a}
\]

\[
\bar{t}_2 = t - t_2 = t + \frac{\eta_{20}}{k_{2R}} + \frac{1}{2k_{2R}} \log \left[ \frac{\mu (k_{1R} - k_{2R})}{4k_{2R}^2 (k_{1R} + k_{2R})} \right]. \tag{69b}
\]

As the 2-PCS is a special case of the bright two-soliton solution of 2-CNLS equations, it is also characterized by \( \alpha_i^{(j)} \)'s (through \( \eta_{j0} \)'s) and \( k_{iR} \)'s resulting in amplitude dependent phases and hence amplitude dependent relative separation distances. To be specific, in the PCSs the change in the relative separation distance play a predominant role in determining their shape as pointed out in [11,12]. These PCSs can be classified into two types as symmetric and asymmetric depending on the relative separation distances. Defining the relative separation distance \( t_{12} = t_2 - t_1 \), one can check that, for \( t_{12} = 0 \), the PCS bears a symmetric form with respect to its propagation direction and is known as symmetric PCS [11]. It takes an asymmetric form for \( t_{12} \neq 0 \) and is known as asymmetric PCS [11]. From Eqs. (69), the relative separation distances for the stationary 2-PCS can be obtained as

\[
t_{12} = t_2 - t_1 = \frac{\eta_{10}}{k_{1R}} - \frac{\eta_{20}}{k_{2R}} + \frac{1}{2k_{1R}} \log \left[ \frac{\mu (k_{1R} - k_{2R})}{4k_{1R}^2 (k_{1R} + k_{2R})} \right] - \frac{1}{2k_{2R}} \log \left[ \frac{\mu (k_{1R} - k_{2R})}{4k_{2R}^2 (k_{1R} + k_{2R})} \right]. \tag{70}
\]

Typical forms of symmetric and asymmetric stationary 2-PCS are shown in Fig. 8, which in similar to the ones in Ref. [12].
B. 3-PCS: A special case of the bright three-soliton solution of 3-CNLS equations

Since it has been observed that the PCS solutions exist when the number of components is equal to the number of solitons propagating in the system, we consider next the 3-soliton solution of the 3-CNLS equations in order to show that the 3-PCS as a special case of the 3-soliton solution. Thus considering the stationary limit \( k_{nl} = 0 \), \( n = 1, 2, 3 \), of the 3-soliton solution of 3-CNLS equations given by Eq. (14) with \( N = 3 \), and making the following parametric choice

\[
\alpha_1^{(1)} = e^{\eta_1}, \alpha_2^{(2)} = -e^{\eta_0}, \alpha_3^{(3)} = e^{\eta_0}, \alpha_1^{(2)} = \alpha_2^{(1)} = \alpha_3^{(1)} = \alpha_3^{(2)} = 0, \tag{71}
\]

where \( \eta_j \)'s, \( j = 1, 2, 3 \), are restricted to real parameters, we obtain

\[
q_1 = \left[ e^{\eta} + \frac{\mu(k_1R - k_2R)e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3}}{4k_2^2(k_1R + k_2R)} + \frac{\mu(k_1R - k_3R)e^{\eta_1 + \eta_3 + \eta_2}}{4k_3^2(k_1R + k_3R)} + \frac{\mu^2(k_2R - k_1R)(k_3R - k_2R)(k_1R - k_2R)^2e^{\eta_3 + \eta_1 + \eta_3 + \eta_2}}{16k_2^2k_3^2(k_2R + k_1R)(k_3R + k_2R)(k_1R + k_2R)^2} \right] / \tilde{D}_1, \tag{72a}
\]

\[
q_2 = \left[ -e^{\eta} + \frac{\mu(k_1R - k_2R)e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3}}{4k_2^2(k_1R + k_2R)} + \frac{\mu(k_3R - k_2R)e^{\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_1}}{4k_3^2(k_3R + k_2R)} + \frac{\mu^2(k_2R - k_1R)(k_3R - k_2R)(k_2R - k_1R)^2e^{\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_3 + \eta_1}}{16k_1^2k_3^2(k_2R + k_1R)(k_3R + k_2R)(k_2R + k_1R)^2} \right] / \tilde{D}_1, \tag{72b}
\]

\[
q_3 = \left[ e^{\eta} + \frac{\mu(k_3R - k_1R)e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3}}{4k_1^2(k_1R + k_3R)} + \frac{\mu(k_3R - k_2R)e^{\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_1}}{4k_2^2(k_2R + k_3R)} + \frac{\mu^2(k_3R - k_1R)(k_2R - k_3R)(k_3R - k_2R)^2e^{\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_3 + \eta_1}}{16k_1^2k_2^2(k_3R + k_1R)(k_2R + k_3R)(k_3R + k_2R)^2} \right] / \tilde{D}_1. \tag{72c}
\]

Here,

\[
\tilde{D}_1 = 1 + \mu \left[ \frac{e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2} + e^{\eta_2 + \eta_3} + e^{\eta_3 + \eta_1}}{4k_1^2(k_1R + k_3R)} + \frac{\mu^2(k_1R - k_2R)^2e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3}}{16k_1^2k_2^2(k_1R + k_2R)^2} \right] + \frac{\mu^2(k_1R - k_3R)^2e^{\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3}}{16k_1^2k_3^2(k_1R + k_3R)^2} + \frac{\mu^2(k_3R - k_2R)^2e^{\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_1}}{16k_2^2k_3^2(k_2R + k_3R)^2} + \frac{\mu^3(k_2R - k_3R)^2(k_2R - k_1R)^2e^{\eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_3 + \eta_1}}{64k_1^2k_2^2k_3^2(k_1R + k_2R)(k_3R + k_2R)(k_1R + k_3R)^2} \right]. \tag{72d}
\]

The above solution can be easily rewritten as Eq. (16-18) for the 3-PCS case given in Ref. [12]. As in the case of 2-PCS, here also we identify \( \tilde{x}_j \)'s given in Ref. [12] as \( \tilde{x}_j \)'s, \( j = 1, 2, 3 \),
which are defined below:

\[
\begin{align*}
t_1 &= t - t_1 = t + \frac{\eta_{10}}{k_{1R}} + \frac{1}{2k_{1R}} \log \left[ \frac{\mu(k_{2R} - k_{1R})(k_{3R} - k_{1R})}{4k_{1R}^2(k_{2R} + k_{1R})(k_{3R} + k_{1R})(k_{4R} + k_{1R})} \right], \quad (73a) \\
t_2 &= t - t_2 = t + \frac{\eta_{20}}{k_{2R}} + \frac{1}{2k_{2R}} \log \left[ \frac{\mu(k_{2R} - k_{1R})(k_{3R} - k_{2R})}{4k_{2R}^2(k_{1R} + k_{2R})(k_{3R} + k_{2R})(k_{4R} + k_{2R})} \right], \quad (73b) \\
t_3 &= t - t_3 = t + \frac{\eta_{30}}{k_{3R}} + \frac{1}{2k_{3R}} \log \left[ \frac{\mu(k_{3R} - k_{1R})(k_{3R} - k_{2R})}{4k_{3R}^2(k_{1R} + k_{3R})(k_{2R} + k_{3R})(k_{4R} + k_{3R})} \right]. \quad (73c)
\end{align*}
\]

These 3-PCSs can also be classified as symmetric and asymmetric as in the case of 2-PCSs. The stationary 3-PCS is symmetric when \( t_{12} = t_{13} = 0 \) and asymmetric otherwise. In Fig. 9 we have shown the symmetric and asymmetric 3-PCS solutions.

Fig. 9: Typical 3-PCS forms for the integrable 3-CNLS system for \( z = 0 \) with \( k_1 = 1.0, k_2 = 0.5 \) and \( k_3 = 0.2 \), see Eqs. (72): (a) Symmetric case \( (t_{12} = t_{13} = 0) \), (b) Asymmetric case \( (t_{12} = 1), t_{13} = 2) \).

C. 4-PCS: A special case of the four-soliton solution of 4-CNLS equations

In a similar fashion as in the above two cases, the 4-soliton solution of the 4-CNLS equations given in Appendix A, with \( N = 4 \) can also be shown to reduce to 4-PCS given by Eq. (19-23) in Ref. [12] by choosing, \( \alpha_{ij} = 0, \alpha_{1}^{(1)} = e^{\eta_{10}}, \alpha_{2}^{(2)} = -e^{\eta_{20}}, \alpha_{3}^{(3)} = e^{\eta_{30}}, \alpha_{4}^{(4)} = -e^{\eta_{40}}, \alpha_{i}^{(j)} = 0, i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, i \neq j \). Since it is straightforward but lengthy to write down the form, we desist from presenting the solution here. Here the \( t_j \)'s, \( j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), are defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
t_1 &= -\frac{\eta_{10}}{k_{1R}} - \frac{1}{2k_{1R}} \log \left[ \frac{\mu(k_{2R} - k_{1R})(k_{3R} - k_{1R})(k_{4R} - k_{1R})}{4k_{1R}^2(k_{2R} + k_{1R})(k_{3R} + k_{1R})(k_{4R} + k_{1R})} \right], \quad (74a) \\
t_2 &= -\frac{\eta_{20}}{k_{2R}} - \frac{1}{2k_{2R}} \log \left[ \frac{\mu(k_{2R} - k_{1R})(k_{4R} - k_{2R})(k_{4R} - k_{2R})}{4k_{2R}^2(k_{3R} + k_{1R})(k_{3R} + k_{2R})(k_{4R} + k_{2R})} \right], \quad (74b) \\
t_3 &= -\frac{\eta_{30}}{k_{3R}} - \frac{1}{2k_{3R}} \log \left[ \frac{\mu(k_{3R} - k_{1R})(k_{4R} - k_{3R})(k_{4R} - k_{3R})}{4k_{3R}^2(k_{3R} + k_{1R})(k_{3R} + k_{2R})(k_{4R} + k_{3R})} \right], \quad (74c) \\
t_4 &= -\frac{\eta_{40}}{k_{4R}} - \frac{1}{2k_{4R}} \log \left[ \frac{\mu(k_{4R} - k_{1R})(k_{4R} - k_{2R})(k_{4R} - k_{3R})}{4k_{4R}^2(k_{4R} + k_{1R})(k_{4R} + k_{2R})(k_{4R} + k_{3R})} \right]. \quad (74d)
\end{align*}
\]

Here also the symmetric PCS results for \( t_{ij} = 0, j > i, i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), and asymmetric PCS for \( t_{ij} \neq 0, j > i \).

Extending this idea to arbitrary \( N \), it is clear that the \( N \)-PCS is a special case of the \( N \)-soliton solution of \( N \)-CNLS equations (1). It has been noticed in Refs. [11, 12] that these
PCSs are of variable shape. The reason for the variable shape can be traced naturally to
the nontrivial dependence of phases on the complex parameters $\alpha_i^{(j)}$’s as shown above. Thus
it is clear that any change in the amplitude will affect the phase part of the solitons and
vice-versa. Since we have explicitly shown that $N$-PCSs are special cases of bright $N$-soliton
solutions of $N$-CNLS equations, they possess variable shape as a consequence of the shape
dependence on the $\alpha_i^{(j)}$ parameters.

D. Propagation of partially coherent solitons and their collision properties

The intriguing collision properties of the partially coherent solitons reported in Refs. [11,
12] can be well understood by writing down the expression for PCSs with nonvanishing $k_{nI}$’s,
that is nonstationary special cases of multicomponent higher order bright soliton solutions
discussed in Secs. III and IV. For the nonstationary PCSs we can choose as a special case the
complex parameters $\alpha_i^{(j)}$’s $(i \neq j)$ to be functions of $k_{nI}$’s such that they vanish as $k_{nI} = 0$.
As we make these $k_{nI} \neq 0$, then $\alpha_i^{(j)}$’s $(i \neq j)$ also vary, thereby making the collision scenario
interesting. We can consider both the cases of equal and unequal velocities, which exhibit
similar behaviours.

As a first example, we consider the propagation of the 2-PCS comprising two solitons
with equal velocities ($k_{1I} = k_{2I}$) in PR media. Its propagation can be studied by choosing
(for illustrative purpose) $\alpha_1^{(2)} = k_{1I}$ and $\alpha_2^{(1)} = (0.25 + 1.02i)k_{2I}$ as functions of velocities
($k_{jI}, j = 1, 2$) such that they vanish when $k_{jI} = 0$, $j = 1, 2$. This is shown in Fig. 10 for
the parameters $\alpha_1^{(1)} = 2.0 + i$, $\alpha_2^{(2)} = 1$, $k_1 = 1.0 + i$, and $k_2 = 2.0 + i$. For unequal
velocity case ($k_{1I} \neq k_{2I}$), the PCS collision is shown in Fig. 11 for the parametric choice
$\alpha_1^{(1)} = 1.0, \alpha_1^{(2)} = k_{1I}$, $\alpha_2^{(1)} = -\left(\frac{22+80i}{89}\right)k_{2I}$, $\alpha_2^{(2)} = -2.0, k_1 = 1.0 + i$, and $k_2 = 2.0 - i$. This
can also be viewed as collision of two 1-PCS which are spread among the two components,
which are travelling with equal but opposite velocities.

FIG. 10: Intensity profiles showing the collision scenario of two 1-PCSs, with equal velocities, at
(a) $z = -5$ and (b) $z = 5$, given by special choice of parameters (as given in text) in the 2-soliton
solution of the Manakov system.

Now let us consider the collision of 2-PCS and 1-PCS in PR media. This is equivalent
to the three soliton collision in the 3-CNLS system with specific choice of parameters. We
consider the case, in which the complex parameters $\alpha^{(2)}_1$, $\alpha^{(3)}_1$, $\alpha^{(1)}_2$, $\alpha^{(3)}_2$, $\alpha^{(1)}_3$, $\alpha^{(2)}_3$ are nonvanishing and as functions of $k_n$’s, $n = 1, 2, 3$. Then the resulting asymptotic forms of the 3-PCS propagation is shown in Fig. 12 for the parametric choice $\alpha^{(1)}_1 = 1.0$, $\alpha^{(2)}_1 = \alpha^{(3)}_1 = k_{1I}$, $\alpha^{(1)}_2 = -0.5 k_{2I}$, $\alpha^{(2)}_2 = 0.25$, $\alpha^{(3)}_2 = 0.02 k_{2I}$, $\alpha^{(1)}_3 = -(22+80i) k_{3I}$, $\alpha^{(2)}_3 = 2 k_{3I}$, $\alpha^{(3)}_3 = -2$, $k_1 = 1.0 + i$, $k_2 = 1.5 - i$, and $k_3 = 2.0 - i$. In the above figures it can be verified that the total intensity of the individual solitons comprising the PCS is conserved.

The above analysis on PCS propagation clearly shows that, there will be variation in the shape of the PCS during its collision with other PCSs. The explanation for this result follows from the shape changing (intensity redistribution) nature of fundamental bright soliton collision of the integrable CNLS equations, explained in Section V. Further, we have also observed that the collision of two PCSs each comprising $m$ and $n$ soliton complexes, respectively, such that $m+n = N$ studied in Refs. [11, 12, 25], is equivalent to the interaction of $N$ fundamental bright solitons (for suitable specific choice of parameters) represented by the special case of $N$-soliton solution of the $N$-CNLS system. It should also be noted that in the collision process the total intensity of individual solitons comprising the $N$-PCS is conserved. This is due to the complete integrable nature of the $N$-CNLS equations (1).

**E. Multisoliton Complexes**

In the above we have considered the CNLS equations with number of components (say $p$) is equal to the number of fundamental solitons (say $q$). This is only a special case of the multisoliton complexes and has been much discussed recently. However, the results are scarce for the case $p \neq q$, except for the work of Sukhorukov and Akhmediev [25], where the incoherent soliton collision is demonstrated numerically. To elucidate the understanding
we present a form of the three soliton complex in which three solitons are spread among the two components, by suitably choosing the parameters in the explicit expression, Eq. (10). This has been shown in Fig. 13 with the parameters chosen as $\alpha_1^{(1)} = \alpha_1^{(2)} = 1.0$, $\alpha_2^{(1)} = 0.5$, $\alpha_2^{(2)} = 0.25$, $\alpha_3^{(1)} = \frac{22 + 80i}{89}$, $\alpha_3^{(2)} = -2$, $k_1 = 1.0 + i$, and $k_2 = 1.5 + i$. From the figure and the analysis of the soliton interaction it is clear that the shape of these complexes strongly dependent on the $\alpha_i^{(j)}$'s along with $k_j$'s which determine how the solitons are spread up among the components. For the same case there exists various forms of multisoliton complexes depending on the spreading up of solitons in the two components. As a consequence of this multisoliton complexes will possess a rich variety of structures in comparison with the PCSs.

X. CONCLUSION

We conclude this paper by stating that the collision processes of solitons in coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations lead to very many exciting novel properties and potential applications. The novel properties include shape changing intensity redistributions, amplitude dependent phase shifts and relative separation distances, within the pair-wise collision mechanism of solitons. Interestingly, it is identified that the intensity redistribution characterising the shape changing collision process in $N$-CNLS equations can be written as a generalized linear fraction transformation. This will give further impetus in constructing multistate logic, multi-input logic gates, memory storage devices and so on by using soliton interactions. The implication of these properties require further deep investigations. Further, viewing the recently much discussed objects multisoliton complexes, partially coherent solitons as special cases of the bright soliton solution enhances the understanding of their various properties. We expect the interaction study presented here will shine more light on spatial soliton propagation in (1+1)D photorefractive planar waveguides.
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APPENDIX A: FOUR-SOLITON SOLUTION

In this appendix for completeness, we present the form of the four-soliton solution of the 2-CNLS equations by generalizing the two- and three-soliton solutions of it, which can be obtained by terminating the power series as

\[ g^{(j)} = \chi g_1^{(j)} + \chi^3 g_3^{(j)} + \chi^5 g_5^{(j)} + \chi^7 g_7^{(j)}, \]
\[ f = 1 + \chi^2 f_2 + \chi^4 f_4 + \chi^6 f_6 + \chi^8 f_8, \]

and solving the resulting set of linear partial differential equations recursively. It can be written as

\[ q_s = \frac{N^{(s)}}{D}, \quad s = 1, 2, \]
where

\[ N^{(s)} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_i^{(s)} \epsilon_i^n + \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{i,j,l=1}^{4} \frac{(k_i - k_l)(\alpha_i^{(s)} \kappa_{ij} - \alpha_l^{(s)} \kappa_{lj})}{(k_j + k_i)(k_j^* + k_l^*)} \epsilon_i^n + \eta_j^* + \eta_l^* \]

\[ + \left( \frac{1}{12} \right) \sum_{i,j,l,m,n,k=1}^{4} \frac{(k_n - k_i)(k_i - k_l)(k_p - k_l)(k_m - k_l)(k_l - k_i)}{(k_j + k_l)(k_j^* + k_l^*)(k_j^* + k_m)(k_m + k_l)(k_m^* + k_n)(k_n + k_l)} \cdot \{ \alpha_i^{(s)} [\kappa_{im} \kappa_{nj} - \kappa_{ij} \kappa_{nm}] + \alpha_n^{(s)} [\kappa_{nj} \kappa_{im} - \kappa_{ij} \kappa_{nm}] \} \epsilon_i^n + \eta_j^* + \eta_n^* + \eta_l^* \]

\[- \left( \frac{1}{144} \right) \sum_{i,j,l,m,n,o,p=1}^{4} \frac{1}{D_i} \left[ (k_p - k_i)(k_p - k_l)(k_p - k_n)(k_n - k_l)(k_l - k_i)(k_o - k_m)(k_o - k_j)(k_j^* - k_l^*) \right] \epsilon_i^n + \eta_j^* + \eta_n^* + \eta_l^* + \eta_o^* + \eta_p^* \]

\[ \times \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_i^{(s)} & \alpha_l^{(s)} & \alpha_n^{(s)} & \alpha_p^{(s)} \\ \kappa_{ij} & \kappa_{ij} & \kappa_{nj} & \kappa_{nj} \\ \kappa_{im} & \kappa_{im} & \kappa_{nm} & \kappa_{nm} \\ \kappa_{io} & \kappa_{io} & \kappa_{io} & \kappa_{io} \end{vmatrix} \]

(A2b)

where

\[ \eta_i = k_i(t + ik_i z), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \] (A2c)

\[ D_1 = (k_j^* + k_i)(k_j^* + k_i)(k_j^* + k_l)(k_j^* + k_p)(k_m + k_l)(k_m + k_i) \]

\[ (k_m + k_n)(k_m + k_p)(k_o^* + k_i)(k_o^* + k_l)(k_o^* + k_n)(k_o^* + k_p) \] (A2d)
and

$$D = 1 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{4} \frac{\kappa_{ij}}{k_i + k_j^*} e^{\eta_i + \eta_j^*} + \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) \sum_{i,j,l,m = 1}^{4} \frac{(k_l - k_i)(k_m^* - k_j^*)}{(k_j^* + k_i)(k_m^* + k_l)(k_m + k_l)} e^{\eta_i + \eta_j^* + \eta_m + \eta_m^*}$$

$$+ \left( \frac{1}{36} \right) \sum_{i,j,l,m,n,o = 1}^{4} \frac{(k_n - k_i)(k_n^* - k_i^*)(k_o^* - k_m^*)(k_o^* - k_j^*)}{D_2}$$

$$\times \begin{vmatrix}
\kappa_{ij} & \kappa_{im} & \kappa_{io} \\
\kappa_{ij} & \kappa_{im} & \kappa_{io} \\
\kappa_{nj} & \kappa_{nm} & \kappa_{no}
\end{vmatrix} e^{\eta_i + \eta_j^* + \eta_m + \eta_m^*}$$

$$+ \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{4} (k_i + k_i^*) |k_1 - k_2|^2 |k_2 - k_3|^2 |k_3 - k_1|^2 |k_4 - k_3|^2 |k_2 - k_4|^2 |k_3 - k_4|^2}{\prod_{i=1}^{4} (k_i + k_i^*) |k_1 + k_2|^2 |k_1 + k_3|^2 |k_1 + k_4|^2 |k_2 + k_3|^2 |k_2 + k_4|^2 |k_3 + k_4|^2}$$

$$e^{\eta_i + \eta_j^* + \eta_m + \eta_m^* + \eta_o + \eta_o^*}.$$  \(\text{(A2e)}\)

In the above

$$D_2 = (k_j^* + k_i)(k_j^* + k_i)(k_j^* + k_i)(k_m^* + k_i)(k_m^* + k_i)(k_m^* + k_i)$$

$$\times (k_m^* + k_n)(k_o^* + k_i)(k_o^* + k_i)(k_o^* + k_i),$$  \(\text{(A2f)}\)

and

$$\kappa_{il} = \frac{\mu \left( \alpha_i^{(1)} \alpha_l^{(1)*} + \alpha_i^{(2)} \alpha_l^{(2)*} \right)}{(k_i + k_i^*)}, \quad i, l = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$  \(\text{(A2g)}\)
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1: Two distinct possibilities of the shape changing two soliton collision in the integrable 2-CNLS system. The parameters are chosen as (a) $k_1 = 1 + i$, $k_2 = 2 - i$, $\alpha_1^{(1)} = \alpha_1^{(2)} = \alpha_2^{(2)} = 1$, $\alpha_1^{(1)} = \frac{39+80i}{89}$; (b) $k_1 = 1 + i$, $k_2 = 2 - i$, $\alpha_1^{(1)} = 0.02 + 0.1i$, $\alpha_1^{(2)} = \alpha_2^{(1)} = \alpha_2^{(2)} = 1$.

Fig. 2: Intensity profiles of the three modes of the two-soliton solution in a waveguide described by the 3-CNLS (Eq. (1) with $N = 3$) showing different dramatic scenarios of the shape changing collision for various choices of parameters.

Fig. 3 : Plot of the magnitude of phase shift as a function of the parameter $\alpha_1^{(1)}$, when it is real (for illustrative purpose), see Eqs. (29-31). The other parameters are chosen as $k_1 = 1 + i$, $k_2 = 2 - i$, $\alpha_1^{(2)} = \alpha_2^{(2)} = 1$ and $\alpha_2^{(1)} = \frac{39+80i}{89}$. The effect of phase shifts are not included in the figure.

Fig. 4: A schematic three soliton collision process (for the choice $k_{1R}, k_{2R}, k_{3R} > 0$, $k_{1L} > k_{2L} > k_{3L}$). Intensity profiles showing the collision scenario of two 1-PCSs, with equal velocities, at (a) $z = -5$ and (b) $z = 5$, given by special choice of parameters (as given in text) in the 2-soliton solution of the Manakov system.
Fig. 11: Intensity profiles showing the collision scenario of two 1-PCSs, moving with equal but opposite velocities, at (a) $z = -5$ and (b) $z = 5$, given by special choice of parameters (as given in text) in the 2-soliton solution of the Manakov system.

Fig. 12: Intensity profiles showing the collision scenario of 2-PCS with 1-PCS at (a) $z = -4$ and (b) $z = 4$ given by special choice of parameters (as given in text) in the 3-soliton solution of Eq.(1) with $N = 3$.

Fig. 13: Intensity profiles of a multisoliton complex comprising three solitons spread up in two components propagating in photorefractive media: A special case of the three soliton solution (10) of the integrable 2-CNLS system for the parameters chosen as in the text, (a) at $z = -5$ and (b) at $z = 5$. 
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