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A concise route to MK-4482 (EIDD-2801) from cytidine†
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A two-step route to MK-4482 (EIDD-2801, 1) was developed consisting of an esterification and hydroxamination of cytidine. The selective acylation and direct amination eliminate the need for protecting and activating groups and proceed in overall yield of 75%, a significant advancement over the reported yield of 17%. The step count is reduced from five transformations to two, and expensive uridine is replaced with the more available cytidine.

Remdesivir has presented itself as an option for COVID-19 treatment; however, improvements upon this initial solution are still desired. Fulfilling demand is complicated by issues related to raw material supply, price, and synthetic route length. MK-4482 (EIDD-2801)3 presents an interesting complement to remdesivir for COVID-19 treatment. It is structurally simple in comparison, and it can likely be made from abundant raw materials. These factors would be expected to alleviate supply chain difficulties and reduce costs. Encouragingly, MK-4482 shows potential to treat mice with remdesivir resistant strains of COVID-19, and the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) is orally bioavailable. These advantages prompted Merck to license the drug candidate from Ridgeback Biotherapeutics.4

The initial disclosure of MK-4482 is the only synthesis which appears in the open literature (Fig. 1), and not unexpectedly there are significant opportunities for improvement over this early route:5

- The API is constructed over five chemical transformations.
- The route suffers from low yield (17% maximum, yield of diol deprotection not disclosed).
- The step count is lengthened by derivatizations and protections.
- Uridine, an expensive material of limited availability, is the synthetic starting point.

Building the API from cytidine instead of uridine presents several advantages. First, raw material costs can be decreased because cytidine is ~40% of the price of uridine.6 Secondly, there is potential to reduce the synthesis to a two-step sequence comprising esterification and transamination (Fig. 2). We began our exploration by examining direct transamination of cytidine with hydroxylamine.7 Older literature studies suggested that mono-hydroxamination can be achieved under the right concentration, temperature and pH, while minimizing over-reaction of the substrate.7a,b More recently this finding was repeated by Purohit with preparative HPLC separation7c while Painter claimed difficulties with the procedure leading to 20% yield.7d In our hands, with slight adjustment of the reaction conditions, N(4)-hydroxycytidine (NHC, 3) was synthesized in 70% assay yield (AY). Importantly, upon concentration, pure NHC was obtained by simple crystallization directly from the reaction mixture in 50% isolated yield.

We also explored transamination of cytidine isobutyryl ester 4, and surprisingly, with the use of NH₂OH·H₂SO₄ in iPrOH,
selective acylation remained as the largest technical uncertainty toward production of a shorter, protecting group free route to MK-4482. The esterification of NHC 3 would need to be selective for one of four hydroxyl groups, and the literature suggests that the N-hydroxy group is most reactive toward acylation by chemical means.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^9\) Enzyme catalyzed esterification of cytidine has achieved this goal by making use of vinyl esters and anhydride acyl donors.\(^9\) The use of oxime ester transfer agents was of particular interest due to the structural similarity with N-hydroxyctidine, 3, and excellent selectivity was observed with uridine though cytidine was unfortunately reported to give the O,N-diacylated product.\(^10\) We were curious whether this approach would work to form the desired x-branched esters.

Surprisingly immobilized CALB (Candida Antarctica Lipase B) provided the desired selectivity not only for N-hydroxyctidine but also for cytidine. Isobutyric oxime ester 5 was used as the acyl transfer agent with solid supported enzyme (200 wt%, 1.5 mol%). A sufficient excess of the oxime ester was necessary to drive the reaction to completion, and early results have been best with 1,4-dioxane. MK-4482 was isolated in 74% yield from the intermediate at scale. Conversion was stopped at 90% to halt byproducts are formed which might hamper efforts to purify the product. Further reagents might not be preferable to the enzymatic route. More reagents would need to be added to the reaction system and a greater number of byproducts are formed which might hamper efforts to purify the product, resulting in an isolated yield of 76% of 4. Similarly, an alternative route to 3 was developed from uridine.\(^11\)

This completes two concise routes to MK-4482, which differ in the order of synthetic transformations. When conducting esterification first, MK-4482 is obtained in 75% yield, and it is made in 37% yield when hydroxyamination is conducted first. The step count is reduced from five transformations to two, and the more expensive uridine is replaced with cytidine. The use of protecting groups and derivatization is eliminated. We plan to further report on the optimization of this preliminary result to refine catalyst loadings, solvent selection, and yield while developing process-amenable isolation sequences.
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