Measurement of Stochastic Entropy Production
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Using fluorescence spectroscopy we directly measure entropy production of a single two-level system realized experimentally as an optically driven defect center in diamond. We exploit a recent suggestion to define entropy on the level of a single stochastic trajectory (Seifert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 040602 (2005)). Entropy production can then be split into one of the system itself and one of the surrounding medium. We demonstrate that the total entropy production obeys various exact relations for finite time trajectories.

Entropy as the central concept in statistical physics pervades many branches of science. Well-defined and uncontested only in equilibrium, its extension to time-dependent non-equilibrium phenomena has been debated since the days of Boltzmann mostly in relation to an explanation of irreversibility and a foundation of the second law of thermodynamics [1]. Major progress arose with the formulation of the fluctuation theorem, which quantifies in the long time limit the probability of entropy annihilating trajectories in small systems constantly driven in a steady state [2–6]. Entropy production in these systems is either defined as phase space contraction rate or associated with a dissipation functional, which ultimately should describe the dissipated heat.

By introducing the notion of a stochastic entropy along a single trajectory, it has become possible both to extend the validity of the fluctuation theorem to finite times and to prove an integral fluctuation theorem for the total entropy production in arbitrarily driven systems governed by stochastic dynamics [7]. In this Letter, using our previously introduced driven two-level system [8], we measure the stochastic entropy production along single trajectories and demonstrate that it obeys various exact relations for finite times.

Fluctuation theorems for entropy production should be distinguished from related theorems like the Jarzynski relation [8], Crooks’ theorem [9], and the Hatano-Sasa relation [10]. The first two allow to extract free energy differences from non-equilibrium work measurements. Experimental tests have been performed by using a torsional pendulum [11], by mechanically stretching RNA hairpins [12, 13] as well as by driving a colloidal particle in a time-dependent harmonic [14, 15] and non-harmonic potential [16]. The third one yields an exact relation for transition between different steady states from which a general Clausius inequality follows that has been tested using a driven colloidal particle [17]. All these relations address a small system embedded in a surrounding heat bath of constant temperature. In contrast, our set-up works athermally and does therefore neither involve nor require any notion of dissipated heat. While our previous work has demonstrated an exact Jarzynski-like relation for the athermal analog of “dissipated work” [8], the present paper addresses the concept of stochastic entropy production directly. The crucial difference is that the derivation of the latter requires using the actual nonequilibrium probability distribution in a general master formula [18], whereas the former involves the corresponding equilibrium distribution [19]. Still, both quantities fulfill various exact relations like an integral fluctuation theorem.

Our system is a photochromic defect center in natural IIa-type diamond. Its optical properties indicate that we are dealing with a nickel-related center [20]. It can be excited by red light responding with a Stokes-shifted fluorescence. Additionally to this “bright” state the defect exhibits a non-fluorescent “dark” state. The transition rates \( a \) (from dark to bright) and \( b \) (from bright to dark) depend linearly on the intensity of green and red light, respectively, turning the defect center into an effective two-level system

\[
0 \ (\text{dark}) \overset{a}{\rightleftharpoons} 1 \ (\text{bright})
\]

with controllable transition rates \( a \) and \( b \).

Single centers were addressed with a home-built confocal microscope [21] using a dye laser (CR699, DCM) running at 680 nm (red light intensity \( \propto b \)) superimposed to the 514 nm line of an Ar-Ion laser (green light intensity \( \propto a \)) as excitation sources. While the red light was kept constant throughout the experiment, the green light was modulated using a function generator controlled acoustooptical modulator (AOM). In addition to the fluorescence of the single defect, a second Avalanche Photo Diode recorded simultaneously the alternating intensity of the green light.

The system can be found in state \( n \) with probability \( p_n \), where \( n \) takes either the value 0 or 1. To drive the system out of equilibrium, we modulate the rate \( a \) (from dark to bright) according to the sinusoidal protocol

\[
a(t) = a_0 [1 + \gamma \sin(2\pi t / t_m)]
\]

whereas the rate \( b \) is held constant. The parameters are the equilibrium rates \( a_0 \) and \( b \), the period \( t_m \), and the modulation depth \( 0 \leq \gamma < 1 \). At a time resolution of 1 ms the data of the two detectors were acquired simultaneously after starting the modulation protocol for the green...
laser. Following 20 periods with $t_m = 50\text{ ms}$ and a certain modulation depth $\gamma$, the system was given 1,000 ms of unmodulated green light to relax back into equilibrium. A sine function has been fitted to the mean intensity of the green laser to obtain the modulation depth $\gamma$. The 4 runs in this Letter contain 3 times 1,000 and once 2,000 trajectories. The modulation depths were varied from $\gamma = 0.07$ to 0.46.

A dimensionless, non-equilibrium entropy for driven systems on the level of a single stochastic trajectory has been defined in Ref. [7] as

$$s(t) = -\ln[p_{n(t)}(t)],$$

where the measured probability $p_{n}$ is evaluated at the actual state $n(t)$ at time $t$. Fig. 1a shows the protocol $a(t)$ together with the probability $p_{1}(t)$ to dwell in the bright state. Fig. 1b displays a sample binary trajectory $n(t)$ jumping between the two states. In Fig. 1c we see that the evolution of $s(t)$ is governed by two effects. First, the time-dependent driving of the rates leads to an evolving probability resulting in a continuous contribution. Second, a jump between the two states gives rise to a contribution $-\ln[p_+/p_-]$, where $p_-$ and $p_+$ are the probabilities of the states immediately before and after the jump, respectively.

Beside the entropy of the system itself, energy exchange and dissipation lead, in general, to a change in medium entropy. For an athermal system, this change in medium entropy $\Delta s_m$ can not be inferred from the exchanged heat. Rather it has to be defined. In Ref. [7], the choice

$$\Delta s_m = \ln\left(\frac{w_{ij}}{w_{ji}}\right)$$

for a jump from state $i$ to state $j$ with instantaneous rate $w_{ij}$ ($w_{ji}$ being the backward rate) has been motivated in analogy to the thermal case. In our case it becomes $\Delta s_m = -\ln[a(t)/b]$ for a jump $1 \rightarrow 0$ and $\Delta s_m = -\ln[b/a(t)]$ for a jump $0 \rightarrow 1$. As demonstrated in Fig. 1d, the medium entropy changes only when the system jumps, thereby balancing to some degree the change of $s(t)$.

One of the fundamental consequences of a stochastic entropy [3] is the fact that beside entropy producing trajectories also entropy annihilating trajectories exist, see Fig. 1e and f, respectively. However, in accordance with physical intuition, the latter become less likely for longer trajectories or increased system size. Entropy annihilating trajectories not only exist, they are essential to satisfy the integral fluctuation theorem [7]

$$\langle\exp[-\Delta s_{\text{tot}}]\rangle = 1.$$
This theorem states that the average \( \langle \cdots \rangle \) over infinitely many realizations of a process involving the total entropy change \( \Delta s_{\text{tot}} = \Delta s + \Delta s_m \) becomes unity for any trajectory length and any driving. Trajectories with \( \Delta s_{\text{tot}} < 0 \) may occur seldom but are exponentially weighted and thus contribute substantially to the left hand side of Eq. (5). As an immediate consequence of Eq. (5) one has with \( \langle \Delta s_{\text{tot}} \rangle \geq 0 \) a consistent formulation of the second law of thermodynamic for small systems, giving a posteriori support to the entropy definition (9).

Fig. 2 demonstrates how the average entropy production increases with increasing driving amplitude \( \gamma \). To fulfill the constraint imposed by Eq. (5) the distributions spread, making trajectories with large negative production more likely. In Fig. 2(b) we present the experimental evidence for the validity of the theorem (5) analyzing 1,000 trajectories. Only for the largest modulation depth \( \gamma \) has relaxed into the corresponding periodic limit distribution. The first requirement is easily met by choosing start and end of the trajectories at \( n + 1/4 \) periods. As for the second requirement, in Fig. 1 we see that the probability \( p_n(t) \) indeed relaxes and trails with a constant phase shift behind the driving rate \( a(t) \). Therefore we wait several periods before we start to record the data.

In conclusion, we have provided the first direct measurement of entropy production along single stochastic trajectories in periodically driven systems. In particular, we have shown that it is crucial to include the entropy of the system itself. While for long trajectories it remains bounded, its contribution is required for both the integral and the detailed fluctuation theorem to hold for finite times. How this stochastic entropy will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of non-equilibrium dynamics remains to be elucidated through the future study of more complex systems.
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