Analysis of retrieved STRYDE nails

Aims
The aim of this study was to present the first retrieval analysis findings of PRECICE STRYDE intermedullary nails removed from patients, providing useful information in the post-market surveillance of these recently introduced devices.

Methods
We collected ten nails removed from six patients, together with patient clinical data and plain radiograph imaging. We performed macro- and microscopic analysis of all surfaces and graded the presence of corrosion using validated semiquantitative scoring methods. We determined the elemental composition of surface debris using energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and used metrology analysis to characterize the surface adjacent to the extendable junctions.

Results
All nails were removed at the end of treatment, having achieved their intended lengthening (20 mm to 65 mm) and after regenerate consolidation. All nails had evidence of corrosion localized to the screw holes and the extendable junctions; corrosion was graded as moderate at the junction of one nail and severe at the junctions of five nails. EDS analysis showed surface deposits to be chromium rich. Plain radiographs showed cortical thickening and osteolysis around the junction of six nails, corresponding to the same nails with moderate – severe junction corrosion.

Conclusion
We found, in fully united bones, evidence of cortical thickening and osteolysis that appeared to be associated with corrosion at the extendable junction; when corrosion was present, cortical thickening was adjacent to this junction. Further work, with greater numbers of retrievals, is required to fully understand this association between corrosion and bony changes, and the influencing surgeon, implant, and patient factors involved.
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Introduction
The concept of using osteoclasis to perform limb lengthening is now an established orthopaedic procedure.1-3 Traditionally this has been achieved using external fixators however these have been associated with complications including pin site infections, long treatment times, and joint stiffness. The PRECICE (NuVasive Specialised Orthopaedics (NSO), USA) intramedullary nail overcame these limitations by being fully implantable in the patient.4-9 Lengthening was achieved using an external magnetic remote controller which interacted with magnets within the nail, which in turn activated the internal lengthening mechanism. The PRECICE nail, made of a titanium alloy casing, is permitted for use with partial weight-bearing in patients with a maximum weight of 57 kg to 114 kg, depending on the limb being treated, the nail diameter, and the specific PRECICE model used.10

The PRECICE STRYDE (NSO) nail system, CE-marked in February 2019, has a number of design modifications and is made of a tougher Biodur 108 stainless steel alloy, making it between 1.3 and 2.5 times stronger than previous PRECICE nails in terms of its four point bending and fatigue loads and distraction torque.11 The STRYDE nail is permitted
for use with weight-bearing in patients weighing up to 69 kg to 114 kg, depending on the limb, STRYDE model, and nail diameter. In accordance with ASTM F2229, the constituent elements of Biodur 108 include manganese (21% to 24% (mass/mass)) and chromium (19% to 23% (mass/mass)).

STRYDE nails serve to stabilize bone while they are magnetically lengthened at a typical rate of 1 mm/day until the desired lengthening has been achieved; the nails have a maximum lengthening stroke of 5 cm, 6.5 cm, and 8 cm, depending on the size of nail used. The nails are retained until the regenerate has fully formed and strengthened, after which time they are routinely removed.

On 20 January 2021, NSO issued a voluntary recall and suspension of supply of all their PRECICE systems implants in the UK, while safety concerns raised by the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) are addressed. This was due, in part, to concerns raised by our unit with the MHRA regarding osteolysis, periosteal reactions, and/or cortical hypertrophy in patients implanted with STRYDE nails. Similar evidence of these bony reactions has now been seen elsewhere, which may be associated with potential corrosion of the nail.

The aim of this study was to present retrieval analysis findings of STRYDE nails removed from patients, providing useful information in the post-market surveillance of these recently introduced devices.

Methods

This was a retrieval study involving the analysis of ten STRYDE nails (six femoral and four tibial) removed by two surgeons from six female patients (Figure 1).

Four out of the six patients were lengthened bilaterally for cosmetic reasons: two of these patients had a short stature of unknown origin, one patient had spondyloepiphyseal dysplasia, and one patient had achondroplasia. Two patients were implanted with unilateral femoral nails to correct leg length discrepancies (LLDs) of 40 mm and 30 mm.

The patients were aged 14, 18 (n = 2), 19, 44, and 64 years at the time of implantation. We collected data on the time to removal of each nail, the reason for removal, and associated clinical information including symptoms,
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The nails were macroscopically analyzed in order to determine the extent and location of any surface damage present. Regions in which damage or other surface changes were found to occur were photographed using a Canon EOS 6D DSLR Camera and a Canon 100 mm macro L lens (Canon, Japan). A Keyence VHX-700F optical microscope was used to further investigate regions of damage identified macroscopically, using imaging magnifications of between ×20 and ×50.

The presence and severity of six damage features was then graded based on previous definitions used in implant retrieval analysis: scratching, discolouration, cracking, galling, pitting, and fretting. Grading was performed on scale of 0 to 3; a score of 0 indicated no evidence of the damage feature in question; a score of 1 indicated <25% of the surface area was affected; a score of 2 indicated between 25% and 75% of the area was affected; and a score of 3 indicated >75% of the area affected by the damage feature. To assist with damage grading, each nail was separated visually into three different zones, with zone 1 covering the extendable section of the nail, and zones 2 and 3 bisecting the housing tube of the nail (Figure 2). The nails were further subdivided along their length, into their anterior, posterior, medial, and lateral sides (Figure 2); a total of 12 different sections were therefore defined for each nail and each section graded separately.

Corrosion scoring. The presence and severity of visually evident corrosion was evaluated at each of the screw-nail junctions and at the junction of the extendable region of the nails. Corrosion grading was performed using the Goldberg scoring criteria, which was first developed to evaluate hip stem-head junctions. This is a semiquantitative grading classification method which has proven repeatability and reproducibility.

Each junction was graded with a score of between 1 (indicating no evidence of corrosion) and 4 (evidence of severe corrosion). In the tibial nails, there were six nail-screw hole junctions (three either side of the extendable junction) and five screw holes in the femoral nails (Figure 2).

Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy. Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis was performed on surface deposits on five nails that were visually evaluated as having evidence of severe corrosion, using a Carl Zeiss EVO25 scanning electron microscope (SEM; Carl Zeiss, Germany). This analysis was used to identify the chemical composition of the deposits, in order to confirm that corrosion had occurred.

Metrology analysis. We used a Talyrond 365 (Taylor Hobson, UK) roundness measuring machine with a 5-micron diamond tipped stylus to capture 5 mm linear surface traces emanating from the junction of the housing tube and distraction rod of each nail. Traces were captured on the region around the junction that was visually identified as having the greatest surfaces changes; line scans were recorded every 2° around the nail, to a total of 150°. The line scans were combined to generate a surface damage map for each nail.

Results
The planned lengthening amount of 50 mm, 50 mm, 65 mm, 50 mm, 34 mm, and 20 mm was achieved for patients 1 to 6, respectively; the regenerate had consolidated in all cases. All nails were removed as planned after having been implanted for between nine and 16 months. Table I summarizes the clinical variables that were collected for each patient. Table II presents that measures of blood metal ion levels (titanium, cobalt, and chromium) that were captured prior to removal of the nails.

Prior to removal, Patient 1 experienced severe pain in their left tibia and mild pain in their right. Patient 2 had no symptoms of pain. Patient 3 reported bilateral mid-thigh pain. Patient 4 experienced tibial pain and erythema at six months postimplantation, which resolved with Flucloxacillin and removal of the distal locking screws. Patients 5 and 6 also reported thigh pain.

Plain radiographs. Figure 3 presents the plain radiographs captured for each patient following implantation of the nails and prior to their removal. These showed evidence of cortical thickening where bone union had occurred, as expected. Cortical thickening and osteolysis was also observed distally, localized to the bony regions around the extendable junction, in the left tibia of Patient 1, right femur of Patient 2, both femora of Patient 3, and in the right and left femora of Patients 5 and 6 respectively.

Microbiological and histological findings
Patient 1. Tissue samples taken from the right tibia at the time of nail removal grew Staphylococcus aureus. Samples taken from the left tibia grew Staphylococcus epidermidis and Paenibacillus Sordelli. Histology showed no evidence of acute infection or inflammation.

Patient 2. There was no growth from microbiology specimens taken at the time of nail removal. The histology
report suggested periprosthetic reaction to wear debris with no evidence of acute infection.

**Patient 3.** There was no growth from microbiology specimens taken at the time of nail removal. The histology report showed a non-specific chronic histiocytic reaction to wear debris with no evidence of acute inflammation.

**Patient 4.** Microbiology specimens at nail removal grew *Staphylococcus capitis*, however there was no clinical evidence of infection. Histology showed no evidence of acute infection.

**Patients 5 and 6.** No microbiology or histological findings.

**Plain radiograph imaging of retrieved nails.** Plain radiograph imaging of the retrieved nails did not reveal any apparent damage to their internal mechanism. Figure 4 presents an example of the images obtained for the tibial nails.

**Assessment of surface damage.** Table III presents a summary of the surface damage scores that were graded for each nail using macroscopic and microscopic analysis. Surface changes, where present, were predominately localized to around the screw holes on the nails and around the extendable junction (Figure 5). There was minimal surface damage found at other regions along the length of the nails, mainly presenting as light scratching and a discolouration of the surface.

Damage within the screw holes was predominately observed in holes 1 to 3 (as labelled in Figure 2) for the tibial nail patient (Patient 1) and one of the femoral nail patients (Patient 2); i.e. on the extending component of the nail. Patients 3 and 4 showed evidence of surface damage across all screw-nail holes in both nails, and Patient 3 had considerable surface damage at both extendable junctions. Patients 5 and 6 had evidence of surface damage at both the proximal and distal screw holes, as well as the extendable junction.

The main mechanism of damage in the holes and at the extendable junction appeared to be corrosion, with evidence of significant black surface deposits (Figures 6 and 7). Patients 1 and 2 had evidence of severe corrosion at the extendable junction of one nail while the other nail showed minimal unexpected surface damage (Figure 6).

**Corrosion scoring.** Table IV presents the corrosion scores graded for each nail. All nails showed evidence of corrosion to some extent. The grading showed a contrast in the evidence of severe corrosion at the

---

**Table I.** Summary of the clinical data associated with these retrieved nails.

| Variable                        | Patient 1          | Patient 2          | Patient 3          | Patient 4          | Patient 5          | Patient 6          |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Site                            | Tibia              | Femur              | Femur              | Tibia              | Femur              | Femur              |
| Nails, n                        | 2                  | 2                  | 2                  | 2                  | 1 (right)          | 1 (left)           |
| Sex                             | Female             | Female             | Female             | Female             | Female             | Female             |
| Reason for implantation         | Cosmetic due to    | Cosmetic due to    | Cosmetic due to    | Cosmetic due to    | Correct LLD        | Correct LLD        |
|                                 | short stature      | short stature      | short stature      | short stature      |                    |                    |
| Age at implantation, yrs        | 14                 | 18                 | 44                 | 18                 | 19                 | 64                 |
| Planned lengthening, mm         | 50                 | 50                 | 50 to 65           | 50                 | 34                 | 20                 |
| Achieved lengthening, mm        | 50                 | 50                 | 65                 | 50                 | 24                 | 20                 |
| Time to removal of left nail, mths | 11              | 13                 | 16                 | 10                 | N/A                | 10                 |
| Time to removal of right nail, mths | 11              | 13                 | 16                 | 10                 | 11                 | N/A                |
| Bone union achieved (left)      | Yes                | Yes                | Yes                | Yes                | N/A                | Yes                |
| Bone union achieved (right)     | Planned            | Planned            | Planned            | Planned            | Planned            | Planned            |
| Reason for removal (left)       | Planned            | Planned            | Planned            | Planned            | Planned            | Planned            |
| Reason for removal (right)      | Cortical thickening at extendable junction | No unexpected bony changes | Cortical thickening at extendable junction | No unexpected bony changes | Cortical thickening at extendable junction of nail | N/A |
| Radiological observations (left) | No unexpected bony changes | Cortical thickening at extendable junction | Cortical thickening at extendable junction | No unexpected bony changes | Cortical thickening at extendable junction of nail | N/A |
| Radiological observations (right)| No unexpected bony changes | Cortical thickening at extendable junction | Cortical thickening at extendable junction | No unexpected bony changes | Cortical thickening at extendable junction of nail | N/A |
| Symptoms (left)                 | Severe pain        | No symptoms of pain | Significant mid-thigh pain | No symptoms of pain | N/A                | Mild thigh pain    |
| Symptoms (right)                | Mild pain          | No symptoms of pain | Significant mid-thigh pain | Mild pain          | Significant thigh pain | N/A                |

LLD, leg length discrepancy; N/A, not applicable.

---

**Table II.** Summary of the blood metal ion values that were captured prior to nail removal for patients 1 to 4. Data for patients 5 and 6 are unavailable.

| Patient no. | Ti, ppb | Co, ppb | Cr, ppb |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1           | 0.14    | 0.59    | 1.66    |
| 2           | 0.24    | 0.59    | 1.56    |
| 3           | N/A     | 0.39    | 6.14    |
| 4           | 0.77    | N/A     | 0.68    |

N/A, not available.
Fig. 3
Plain radiographs captured of each patient post-implantation of each nail, and pre-removal. Patients 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6 showed evidence of cortical thickening and osteolysis preremoval in bony regions aligned with the extendable junction of the nails (red arrows).
extendable junction of one nail compared with mild to no corrosion in the opposite nail for both patients 1 and 2. Patient 3 was graded as having had severe corrosion around the extendable junction of both nails. Patient 4

Table III. Summary of the total surface damage grading scores that were obtained for each damage feature, for each nail, using a grading scale of 0 to 3. The sum of the scores for the different features is provided for each nail (total damage), graded out of a possible maximum score of 216.

| Variable          | Patient 1 | Patient 2 | Patient 3 | Patient 4 | Patient 5 | Patient 6 |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                   | Left      | Right     | Left      | Right     | Left      | Right     |
| Scratching        | 2         | 5         | 1         | 6         | 4         | 1         |
| Discolouration    | 6         | 5         | 13        | 14        | 13        | 14        |
| Cracking          | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| Galling           | 4         | 4         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         |
| Pitting           | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| Surface deposits  | 0         | 0         | 4         | 8         | 5         | 9         |
| Total damage      | 12        | 14        | 22        | 32        | 27        | 28        |

Macroscopic images of damage patterns localized to screw holes and the extendable junction of the nails. Example shown is of the left tibial nail retrieved from Patient 1 after 11 months in situ. This patient experienced severe pain in their left tibia and there was evidence of cortical thickening around the site of the junction.
Fig. 6
Microscopic images of the extendable junctions of the femoral nails retrieved from patient 2 after 13 months in situ. The left image is of the left nail, showing minimal unexpected surface damage. The right image is of the right nail, showing evidence of severe corrosion (Goldberg score 4). The left image also shows black marks and slight galling, corresponding to the incremental lengthening of the nails. The patient had no symptoms of pain; however, there was evidence of cortical thickening at the extendable junction of the right nail.

Fig. 7
Microscopic images showing evidence of severe corrosion (Goldberg score 4) within the nail-screw junction holes. This was observed in ten out of the 54 screw holes examined.

Table IV. Summary of the Goldberg corrosion scores for the nail-screw hole junctions and the extendable junction.

| Patient  | Hole 1 | Hole 2 | Hole 3 | Extendable junction | Hole 4 | Hole 5 | Hole 6 |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Patient 1 |        |        |        |                     |        |        |        |
| Left     | 2      | 1      | 4      | 4                   | 1      | 1      | 1      |
| Right    | 2      | 1      | 1      | 2                   | 1      | 1      | 1      |
| Patient 2 |        |        |        |                     |        |        |        |
| Left     | 1      | 1      | 4      | 1                   | 2      | 1      | N/A    |
| Right    | 4      | 1      | 2      | 4                   | 1      | 1      | N/A    |
| Patient 3 |        |        |        |                     |        |        |        |
| Left     | 3      | 1      | 3      | 4                   | 4      | 2      | N/A    |
| Right    | 3      | 2      | 4      | 4                   | 3      | 1      | N/A    |
| Patient 4 |        |        |        |                     |        |        |        |
| Left     | 3      | 1      | 3      | 2                   | 3      | 3      | 3      |
| Right    | 1      | 1      | 2      | 1                   | 4      | 2      | 1      |
| Patient 5 |        |        |        |                     |        |        |        |
| Right    | 3      | 1      | 3      | 4                   | 4      | 4      | N/A    |
| Patient 6 |        |        |        |                     |        |        |        |
| Left     | 3      | 1      | 4      | 3                   | 4      | 1      | N/A    |

N/A, not applicable.
had mild to no corrosion at the extendable junction and moderate to severe corrosion at the screw-nail holes. Patient 5 had severe corrosion at the extendable junction, while Patient 6 had moderate (Goldberg score 3) corrosion at this interface.

**EDS.** EDS analysis of the black surface deposits on the nails showed these to be rich in chromium, carbon, and oxygen. Figure 8 presents an example of the elemental composition that was determined during analysis.

**Metrology analysis.** Figure 9 presents the damage maps that were generated at the region of the extendable junction for each nail. These showed peaks of surface deposits up to 0.4 mm in height, which were localized adjacent to the point of contact between the extendable rod and the housing tube. Fewer surface deposits were detected as the scan lines emanated away from this junction. We found minimal evidence of mechanical damage in surface regions not impacted by corrosive mechanisms; the linear depth of any deviations below the surface of the nail was less than 1.5 µm in all cases.

**Comparison with clinical imaging.** Analysis of radiographs prior to nail removal showed evidence of cortical thickening and osteolysis in some cases, which was localized around the extendable junction. Patient 2 showed clear evidence of cortical thickening around their right nail (Figure 10), while this was not evident around their left nail (Figure 11). Comparison with retrieval findings showed that patients with cortical thickening around the extendable junction, also had severe corrosion (Goldberg score 4) around the junction; patients without cortical thickening had mild or no corrosion around this junction (Goldberg scores 1 to 2; (Figure 12)).

**Discussion**

This study reports findings from the analysis of retrieved STRYDE nails. This provides useful information for the
ongoing post market surveillance of a recently introduced implant, which has been lacking for orthopaedic implants in general over the last century. This aids the surgeon, manufacturer, and regulator when deciding which implant to use for which patient. Our key retrieval finding was the presence of corrosion localized to the extendable junction of the nails and the locking screw holes. The distal screw holes appeared to be more severely corroded than the proximal screw holes. Four nails had evidence of severe corrosion at the extendable junction while the remaining four nails had either mild or no corrosion at this junction. Plain radiograph imaging of patient femoral/tibial bones revealed unexpected cortical thickening and osteolysis in six bones, which was localized around the extendable junctions of the nails. There was no evidence of cortical thickening at this region in the other four bones. Comparison with retrieval findings revealed that five of the bones with cortical thickening had been implanted with nails with severe corrosion at the extendable junction; the sixth bone with cortical thickening had a nail that was moderately corroded (Goldberg 3) at the junction. While this study is not powered to draw definitive conclusions, there does appear to be an association between the nail corrosion and the bony changes observed.

Our findings align with those recently reported by Rölfing et al,15 who observed radiological changes in 21 out of 30 bone segments implanted with STRYDE nails, with evidence of osteolysis, periosteal reaction, and...
cortical hypertrophy around the extendable junction. They observed surface discolouration at these junctions in these symptomatic patients, similar to those observed in our study and which we have confirmed to have been due to corrosion. This pattern of osteolysis and junction corrosion has also been reported previously in a stainless steel intramedullary lengthening nail of a different design.20 Further work however, with greater numbers of implants, is necessary to separate out the other surgeon, implant, and patient factors which may impact the clinical outcomes observed.

All of the nails fulfilled their clinical objective of providing stability to a healing bone during the lengthening of that bone and all nails achieved the lengthening amount that was planned prior to implantation. Retrieval analysis showed all nails to be intact nails, with no gross mechanical damage such as bending. In three cases, microbiology analysis revealed evidence of the presence of bacteria; however, there was no clinical evidence of infection.14

The primary mechanism of damage observed in these nails does appear to be corrosion as opposed to mechanical wear. This evidence of corrosion is not necessarily surprising as we do commonly observe corrosion to some extent in many different implant types, including knee, hip and other spine implants. Indeed, previous retrieval work has shown the titanium alloy PRECICE intramedullary nail to corrode during use,20 however there has been no evidence of cortical thickening in these patients.

The underlying cause of corrosion in the STRYDE nails is not clear. It is predominately localized to the extendable junction and the distal screw holes; however, in two of the four patients, we found a contrast between severe corrosion at the junction of one nail compared with mild to no corrosion in the nail on the opposite side. Similarly, adjacent screw holes presented with very different corrosion damage scores.

One may speculate a process of mechanically assisted corrosion as having occurred in the Goldberg 4 regions. Indeed, the patterns of damage present at the screw-hole junctions are similar to those observed at the head-taper junction of retrieved total hip arthroplasties that have severely corroded; this is known to occur in hips due, in part, to a mechanically toggling of the stem trunnion in the head taper. The differences in extendable junction corrosion scores between two nails in the same patient may be due to potential differences in the contact regions between the two sides, leading to a greater mechanical loss of the passivation layer. At this stage however, this is only a hypothesis, which can be tested in future studies involving a greater number of retrieved nails.

Other potential causes of corrosion must be considered too, including the risk of fluid ingress between the extending components of the nails and between the screw-nail junction. While all components of the nail are made of the same stainless steel alloy, any potential differences in the composition of the different components may also influence the risk of corrosion. Finally, the impact of any variability in the surface finish and the passivation layer must also be considered.

The radiological findings of cortical thickening in some patients and the apparent association with corrosion of the nails requires further investigation. Elemental analysis of the corrosion deposits present on the nails showed these to be rich in chromium. There is considerable evidence from research into metal hip implants that points to chromium ions, released during wear and corrosion, as resulting in adverse reactions in patient soft-tissue and bone.21,22 This supports the need for further investigation of the chromium deposits found in the current study, in order to better understand their chemical speciation and their involvement in the periosteal reactions observed.23 Additionally, from the available blood metal ion data, Patient 3 was found to have an elevated level of chromium in their blood prior to nail removal.

With the small sample size in this study we are not able to account for the numerous other factors which can also result in the cortical changes observed. These may include infection (which was present in two patients), a variability in the local environment, a variability in the stability of the nail, haematomas, and certain diseases.

The bony changes observed may be due to a number of different surgeon, implant, and patient factors which future studies with greater numbers of retrievals must address. In the current study, we have focused our investigations on the potential local effects of the metallic debris released from the nails. While there was no evidence presented of any systemic effects by these patients, this too should be investigated in future studies.
We acknowledge the limitations of the study. As discussed previously, the number of nails investigated is low however early studies such as ours can help to provide important information in the wider management of these patients. Secondly, the use of radiographic imaging to assess the state of the internal mechanism of these nails is not fully validated and technical defects not visible on radiograph may still be present. All nails in this study were clinically functional in terms of their ability to lengthen, suggesting that the mechanism was intact, however future studies should consider sectioning the nails for more detailed investigation.

A recent study of 106 patients implanted with STRYDE nails reported good clinical outcomes, concluding that immediate full weight-bearing was safe and that there were no biocompatibility issues with the use of Biolod 108.1 This contrasts with the evidence of bony changes reported in the current study and further points to the need for large-scale studies that are able to fully separate out the multiple factors involved in the performance of these nails.

This study reported clinical engineering findings in retrieved STRYDE nails. We found, in fully united bones, evidence of cortical thickening that appeared to be associated with corrosion at the extendable junction, and when corrosion was present, the cortical thickening was adjacent to this junction. Further work, with greater numbers of retrievals, is required to fully understand this apparent association between corrosion and bony changes, and the influencing surgeon, implant, and patient factors involved.

**Take home message**
- All STRYDE nails in this study achieved their intended treatment aim.
- Evidence of cortical thickening and osteolysis adjacent to the extendable junction of the nail was unexpected and likely due to severe corrosion at this junction.

**Twitter**
Follow H. Hothi @harryhothi
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