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ABSTRACT: Graphene is an attractive material for nanomechanical devices because it allows for exceptional properties, such as high frequencies, quality factors, and low mass. An outstanding challenge, however, has been to obtain large coupling between the motion and external systems for efficient readout and manipulation. Here, we report on a novel approach, in which we capacitively couple a high-Q graphene mechanical resonator ($Q \approx 10^5$) to a superconducting microwave cavity. The initial devices exhibit a large single-photon coupling of $\sim 10$ Hz. Remarkably, we can electrostatically change the graphene equilibrium position and thereby tune the single photon coupling, the mechanical resonance frequency, and the sign and magnitude of the observed Duffing nonlinearity. The strong tunability opens up new possibilities, such as the tuning of the optomechanical coupling strength on a time scale faster than the inverse of the cavity line width. With realistic improvements, it should be possible to enter the regime of quantum optomechanics.
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Mechanical resonators based on individual nanotubes and graphene flakes have outstanding properties. Their masses are ultralow, their quality factors can be remarkably high, the resonance frequencies are widely tunable, and their equilibrium positions can be varied by a large amount. As a result, the resonators can be used as sensors of mass$^{1-3}$ and force$^{4-6}$ with unprecedented sensitivities, and they can be employed as parametric amplifiers$^6$ and as tunable oscillators.$^6$ Thus far, all these scientific applications are accomplished in the classical regime.

Reaching the quantum regime with mechanical resonators has attracted considerable interest.$^9,10$ So far, three groups have been successful in this quest by demonstrating that the number of vibrational quanta can be lowered below one.$^{11-13}$ These three groups were using different resonators, namely, a piezoelectric resonator, a superconducting resonator, and an opto-mechanical crystal. There is now an intense effort from the community to develop new types of opto-mechanical and electro-mechanical devices, the goal being to explore new scientific and technological applications when these devices will enter the quantum regime. This includes levitating particles,$^{14-16}$ optically trapped cantilevers,$^{17}$ and heavy pillars$^{18}$ to test the foundations of quantum mechanics; metal coated silicon nitride membranes to coherently convert radio frequency photons to visible photons$^{19,20}$ and microdisks and nanopillars to boost the single-photon coupling and to enter the ultra strong coupling regime.$^{21,22}$ In this context, the unique properties of nanotube and graphene resonators are very interesting.

Although nanotubes and graphene have exceptional properties, an outstanding challenge in approaching the quantum regime has been the development of efficient coupling to external elements, which would enable motional readout and manipulation. For example, while graphene has been coupled to an optical cavity,$^{23}$ the 2.3% optical absorption of graphene makes it extremely challenging to reach the quantum regime, due to heating of the graphene and quenching of the optical cavity finesse. Here, we employ a different strategy, which is to couple the mechanical resonator capacitively to a superconducting cavity.$^{12,24-28}$ This is a promising approach with graphene resonators, because the two-dimensional shape of graphene is ideal for large capacitive coupling.

In this work we report on the integration of a circular graphene resonator with a superconducting microwave cavity. We use a transfer technique to precisely position a high-quality exfoliated graphene flake with respect to a predefined superconducting cavity. We develop a reliable method to reduce the separation between the graphene membrane and the cavity by tightly clamping the graphene sheet in between a support electrode and a cross-linked poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) structure. We show that this technique allows us to improve the mechanical stability and to achieve high mechanical quality factors. By pumping the cavity on a motional sideband, we are able to sensitively readout the
graphene motion. Importantly, by applying a constant voltage $V_{gC}$ to the graphene, the properties of the optomechanical device can be dramatically tuned. Namely, large static forces can be produced, allowing to tune the steady-state displacement, the mechanical resonance frequency, the optomechanical coupling, and the mechanical nonlinearities. Such a tunability cannot be achieved in other opto-mechanical systems.

Our device (see Figure 1a–d) consists of a superconducting microwave cavity, modeled as an LC-circuit with angular frequency $\omega_c = 1/(LC)^{1/2} \approx 6.7$ GHz, capacitance $C_{\text{tot}} \approx 90$ fF, inductance $L \approx 6.3$ nH, and characteristic impedance $Z_0 = (L/C)^{1/2} \approx 260$ $\Omega$. The total capacitance $C_{\text{tot}} = C + C_{\text{ext}} + C_m(z)$ effectively consists of a cavity capacitance $C \approx 85$ fF, a contribution $C_{\text{ext}} \approx 5$ fF from the external feedline, and importantly, a contribution $C_m(z) \approx 0.3–0.4$ fF that depends on the graphene position $z$, which arises from the graphene acting as a moving capacitor plate. A small displacement $z$ therefore produces a shift in $\omega_c$ quantified by the optomechanical coupling $g_0 = \partial \omega_c/\partial z$. As a result, the interaction between the mechanical resonator and the superconducting cavity can be described by the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{tot}} = \hbar G_0 n_c z$ with $n_c$ the number of pump photons in the cavity.\(^9\)

The characteristic coupling at the level of the zero-point motion $z_{zp} = (\hbar/2m_\phi \omega_\phi)^{1/2}$ is given by the so-called single-phonon coupling $g_0 = G_0 z_{zp}$ with $m_\phi$ the effective mass and $\omega_\phi/2\pi$ the resonance frequency of the mechanical mode of interest. Central to this work is (i) that the low mass of graphene boosts $z_{zp}$ and thus $g_0$, and (ii) that $C_m$ and $g_0$ can be tuned electrostatically with $V_{gC}$.

We start with engineering considerations in order to maximize the coupling $g_0$. When describing $C_m$ by a plate capacitor and noting that $C \gg C_{\text{ext}} \gg C_m(z)$ in our device, we have $g_0 \approx (\omega_c/2C)\partial C_m(z)/\partial z z_{zp} \propto (A/\omega_\phi)^{1/2} \omega_c /Cd^2$ using $\partial C_m(z)/\partial z \propto A/d^2$ and $z_{zp} \propto 1/(A\omega_\phi)^{1/2}$. Here $A$ is the area of the suspended graphene region and $d$ is the separation between the graphene membrane and its cavity counter electrode. In order to optimize the coupling $g_0$, it is crucial to minimize both $C$ and $d$. To this end, we utilize a narrow cavity conductor structured in a meander to increase $L$, while minimizing the capacitance for a given $\omega_c$. In order to be able to tune $d$ with $V_{gC}$, we use a cavity that is shorted to ground on one side, allowing for a well-defined electrical DC potential. The fundamental mode of the cavity is a quarter-wavelength standing wave, with a voltage node at the shorted end and the largest voltage oscillation amplitudes at the open end. The graphene membrane is coupled close to the open end of the cavity to harness the largest cavity fields (see Figure 1b,c).\(^{29,30}\) Using this geometry, we achieve a cavity capacitance of $C \approx 90$ fF. This compares favorably with $C = 18$ fF–1 pF in previous studies.\(^{12,24–28}\) Note that the lowest values for $C$ have been achieved in closed-loop cavities, where the mechanical capacitance is incorporated between the two ends of a half-wavelength cavity.\(^{12,27}\) In this geometry the two electrodes of the mechanical capacitance are shorted over the cavity, so that no static DC potential can be applied. Compared to the capacitance of a gated half-wavelength cavity,\(^{31–35}\) the capacitance of a quarter-wavelength cavity is lowered by a factor of 2.

In order to detect the vibrations of the graphene resonator, we couple the open-end of the superconducting cavity to a microwave transmission line through the capacitance $C_{\text{ext}}$. The transmission line is used to pump the superconducting cavity at frequency $\omega_c/2\pi$ with input power $P_{in}$. The transmission line is also employed to measure the output power $P_{out}$ of the cavity at frequency $\omega_c/2\pi$. $P_{out}$ is amplified at 4 K by a high-electron-mobility transistor (HEMT) with a noise temperature of about 2 K and measured in a spectrum analyzer (see schematic in Figure 1e and Supporting Information).

We use a graphene resonator with a circular shape. This geometry improves the attachment of the graphene sheet to its
support when compared to the doubly clamped resonator geometry. As further discussed below, a strong attachment of the graphene to its support is crucial to be able to lower $d$. Another advantage of circular graphene resonators over doubly clamped resonators is that the quality factor tends to be larger.\textsuperscript{34} In addition, the mechanical eigenmodes of circular resonators are well-defined.\textsuperscript{34,35} In particular, it avoids the formation of modes localized at the edges, which were observed in doubly clamped resonators.\textsuperscript{36}

To fabricate the devices, we start by carving out the superconducting cavity structure from a 200 nm thick sputtered niobium (Nb) film by ion milling and reactive ion etching (see Supporting Information). We employ a PMMA supported transfer technique pioneered at Columbia\textsuperscript{37} to position graphene flakes on the superconducting cavity structure. For this, we exfoliate graphene sheets from large graphite crystals onto a silicon (Si) chip covered by a polymer film optimized to give the largest optical contrast of graphene in an optical microscope. In particular, it allows to calibrate the number of layers of the graphene flake.\textsuperscript{38,39} The solvability of PVA in water is used to separate the Si chip from the PMMA with the graphene. Using a brass slide with a volcano-shaped hole, the membrane is fished from the water and dried on a hot plate. When drying, the PMMA membrane gets uniformly stretched across the volcano hole. By mounting the slide upside down into a micromanipulator, the graphene sheet can be aligned and transferred onto the prepatterned superconducting cavity structure, as illustrated in Figure 2a. To improve the attachment of the graphene flake to its support, it was shown that it is important to clamp the graphene membrane on the two sides of its surface.\textsuperscript{40} For this, we cross-link part of the transferred PMMA with a 10 000 μC/cm$^2$ electron beam dose (Figure 2b). The unexposed PMMA is removed in 80 °C hot N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), followed by critical point drying of the device. As a result, the graphene is firmly sandwiched between the support electrode and the cross-linked PMMA (Figure 2c,d). Using this technique the graphene sheet is less likely to collapse against its counter electrode. This allows us to increase the success yield of the device fabrication. We have successfully lowered the separation to $d = 85$ nm for a 3.5 μm diameter graphene resonator, which is equal to the best diameter/separation ratio of $2R/d = 40$ reported so far for graphene resonators.\textsuperscript{34} In addition, the strong attachment between the graphene and its support allows us to electrostatically tune the equilibrium position by a large amount (see below).

In this letter we present results measured at 30 mK for two different graphene devices, hereafter called devices A and B. Device A is a three layer graphene resonator with radius $R = 1.75$ μm and with $d = 95$ nm. The number of layers is determined from optical contrast measurements.\textsuperscript{38,39} The radius of the counter electrode is $R_c = 1.1$ μm (see Figure 2d). Device B is a four layer graphene resonator with the same membrane radius, $d = 135$ nm and $R_c = 1.25$ μm.

The principle of mechanical vibration readout is analogous to Stokes and anti-Stokes Raman scattering. By pumping the cavity at $\omega_{p,in}$ sidebands in energy are created at $\omega_p \pm \omega_d$ due to the coupling of the photons with the mechanical motion. If the pump is detuned such that the upper sideband frequency is matched with the cavity resonance frequency $\omega_c = \omega_p + \omega_m$ (see Figure 3a), the anti-Stokes scattering is resonantly enhanced. Then, the rate of the anti-Stokes scattering per phonon is given by $\Gamma_{\text{anti}} \approx 4n_p \hbar \omega_0 / \kappa$ with $n_p \propto P_{\text{pump}}(\omega_p)$ the number of photons in the cavity. We drive the graphene resonator by applying a constant voltage $V_{\text{bias}}$ and an oscillating voltage with amplitude $V_{\text{osc}}$ at a frequency $\omega_0/2\pi$ close to $\omega_0/2\pi$ so that $\omega_d = \omega_c - \omega_p$. As a result, the graphene resonator vibrates at $z(t) = \tilde{z} \cos(\omega_c t + \phi)$ with $\phi$ the phase difference between the displacement and the driving force. The output power at $\omega_c$ is

$$P_{\text{out}} = P_{\text{p,in}} \frac{\kappa_{\text{out}}}{\kappa^2 + 4(\omega_c - \omega_p)^2} \frac{\kappa_0^2}{\kappa^2} \frac{\langle z(t)^2 \rangle}{2z_{\text{osc}}^2} \tag{1}$$

From a transmission measurement of the feedline we readily get the resonance frequency of the cavity $\omega_c/2\pi = 6.73$ GHz and the total line width $\kappa/2\pi = \kappa_{\text{ext}}/2\pi + \kappa_{\text{int}}/2\pi = 15.2$ MHz with $\kappa_{\text{ext}}/2\pi = 2$ MHz the coupling rate of the superconducting cavity to the feedline and $\kappa_{\text{int}}/2\pi = 13.2$ MHz the internal loss rate of the cavity. A detailed analysis of the circuit, which includes a resistance to describe the losses in the graphene flake and the DC connections, shows that this additional resistance contributes roughly 20% to $\kappa_{\text{int}}$ (see Supporting Information). The high value of $\kappa_{\text{int}}$ is attributed to the contaminations and imperfections of the cavities. Indeed, we tested the cavity of devices A and B at $T = 4.2$ K before the transfer of the graphene flakes, and we observed larger $\kappa_{\text{int}}$ than what we usually observe in devices processed in the same way.

Figure 3b,c shows the resonance of the driven vibrations for the fundamental modes of devices A and B. Modes at higher frequencies are observed as well, but they are hardly detectable. For device A we extract the mechanical quality factor $Q_m = \omega_m / \gamma_m \approx 100 000$ from the line width of the resonance $\gamma_m/2\pi = 575$ Hz. This $Q_m$ is comparable to the largest values reported thus far for graphene resonators,\textsuperscript{42} showing that our fabrication

---

**Figure 2.** Fabrication process for PMMA-clamped graphene mechanical resonator. (a) Transfer of graphene with PMMA (blue) onto predefined structure (yellow/green, gray). (b) Cross-linking part of the transferred PMMA by electron-beam overexposure (red). (c) Schematic of the final device. (d) Cross-section of the device.
process does provide us with mechanical resonators of excellent quality. We used \( n_g = 8000 \) photons for this measurement, so that \( \Gamma_{opt}/2\pi \approx 0.12 \) Hz. With these parameters, the measurement imprecision, estimated to be 2.5 pm/(Hz)^{1/2}, is limited by the noise of the low-temperature HEMT amplifier. For comparison, the height of the resonance in the power spectral density of the thermal motion at 30 mK is \( (7 \text{ fm})^2/\text{Hz} \) (see Supporting Information). In device B we measure a quality factor of \( Q_m = 17 \text{ 700} \). We attribute this lower \( Q_m \) to the fact that the device was imaged in a scanning electron microscope (SEM) before the measurements, whereas the graphene surface got contaminated by amorphous carbon. This measurement was done with \( n_g = 4500 \) photons, corresponding to \( \Gamma_{opt}/2\pi \approx 0.01 \) Hz. If we further increase the pump power we observe a reduction of the quality factor. We attribute this reduction of \( Q_m \) to Joule heating in the graphene flake. A rough estimate of the heating can be made by measuring the quality factor as a function of the temperature of the cryostat. From this comparison, \( n_g = 10^6 \) corresponds, for instance, to a temperature of about 200 mK (see Supporting Information).

The resonance frequency decreases upon increasing \( V_{DC} \) (see Figure 3d,e). This reduction of the resonance frequency has been observed previously in graphene resonators under tension.\(^{42-44}\) This softening of the resonator is attributed to the change of the restoring potential of the resonator by the capacitive energy.\(^{42-45}\) We model the mechanical resonator with a circular membrane under tension\(^{46}\) to quantify the observed dependence. When neglecting static deflection, the frequency dependence is given by

\[
\omega_m(V_{DC}) = \sqrt{\frac{4.92Eh}{m_{eff}} - \frac{0.271\epsilon_0qR^2 z^2}{m_{eff} d^3} (V_{DC})^2}
\]

with \( \epsilon \) the strain in the graphene sheet, \( E \approx 1 \text{ TPa} \) the Young’s modulus of graphite, \( h = n_g \times 0.34 \text{ nm} \) the graphene thickness, \( n_g \) the number of graphene layers\(^{34,43}\) and \( m_{eff} = 0.27\pi R^2/\mu \) the effective mass of the fundamental mode (see Supporting Information). The two-dimensional mass density \( \rho_{2D} = n_m \rho_{graphene} \) includes the graphene mass density \( \rho_{graphene} = 7.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg/um}^2 \) and a correction factor \( \eta \geq 1 \) to account for contamination on the graphene surface. From a fit of eq 2 to the measurements around \( V_{DC} = 0 \) (in Figure 3d,e), we extract \( m_{eff} = 13 \times 10^{-18} \text{ kg} \) and \( \epsilon = 0.036\% \) for device A, and \( m_{eff} = 36 \times 10^{-18} \text{ kg} \) and \( \epsilon = 0.024\% \) for device B. The obtained mass is \( \eta = 2.2 \) times larger than the total graphene mass for device A and \( \eta = 4.5 \) times larger for device B. The larger \( \eta \) for device B might be attributed to the amorphous carbon deposited during SEM inspection. The tension is intermediate compared to previous measurements, where \( \epsilon \) ranges from 0.002% to 1\%\(^{34,43,47}\).

In order to account for the variation of \( \omega_m \) for large \( V_{DC} \) in Figure 3d,e, the static deflection of the graphene sheet toward the cavity counter electrode has to be considered. The static displacement of the center of the membrane \( z_s \) is given by

\[
z_s = \frac{e_0qR^2}{8\epsilon_0\hbar d^2} (V_{DC})^2 = c_s (V_{DC})^2
\]

for small displacement compared to \( d \). Although the renormalization of the mechanical frequency due to static displacement cannot be solved exactly, as an approximation we can include \( z_s \) in eq 2 using \( d = d_0 - z_s \) with \( d_0 \) the separation for \( V_{DC} = 0 \). We get a good agreement for \( \omega_m(V_{DC}) \) between the measurements and theory without any fitting parameter over the \( V_{DC} \) range shown in Figure 3d,e. The effect of \( z_s \) on the shift in \( \omega_m \) is 42% at \( V_{DC} = -6 \text{ V} \) for device A and 10% at \( V_{DC} = 4 \text{ V} \) for device B. The expected variation of \( z_s \) is plotted in Figure 4a.

The softening of the graphene resonator becomes enormous upon further increasing \( V_{DC} \), with a reduction of \( \omega_m \) by a factor

\[
\omega_m(V_{DC}) = \sqrt{\frac{4.92Eh}{m_{eff}} - \frac{0.271\epsilon_0qR^2 z^2}{m_{eff} d^3} (V_{DC})^2}
\]
of 3 down to ~10 MHz as shown in Figure 4b for device B. This reduction of $\alpha_M$ is large compared to that measured in previous works.\textsuperscript{33–45} Such a large reduction is expected when the capacitive force becomes comparable to the restoring force of the resonator. When the two forces are equal, $\alpha_M$ drops to zero and the resonator collapses against the counter electrode.\textsuperscript{46} Even though further work is needed to understand the quantitative dependence of $\alpha_M$ on $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$, it reveals that the graphene resonators we fabricate can bend by a large amount without being ripped apart due to the large induced strain and without sliding with respect to the anchor electrodes.

The static displacement of the graphene sheet also changes the resonance frequency of the microwave cavity upon varying $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$. As the graphene moves closer to the cavity counter electrode, the total capacitance of the cavity increases, so that the cavity frequency decreases. For $\Delta V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}} = 6$ V the decrease is $\Delta \omega / 2 \pi = 2$ MHz in device A. The measured $\Delta \omega_M$ agrees well with the shift expected from the static displacement (see Supporting Information).

Our device layout allows us to get large couplings $g_0$ between the mechanical resonator and the superconducting cavity (Figure 3f,g). We extract $g_0$ from the measurements of the response of driven vibrations at $\omega_D = \omega_M$ using eq 1 where $\langle z(t) \rangle = [\partial C_m z(V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{AC}})Q_{\text{g}}/(m \omega_M^2)]^2$. Remarkably, $g_0$ gets larger upon increasing $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$ for device A. This tunability of $g_0$ is attributed to the static deflection of the graphene sheet. When incorporating the effect of the static displacement into $C_{\text{out}}$ we get a good agreement between the expected $g_0 = (\alpha_M/2C)(\partial C_m z(V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{AC}}))/\partial z_{\text{out}}$ and the measurements, using $C = 75$ fF and 100 fF for devices A and B, respectively (red lines in Figure 3g). These values of $C$ agree well with $C = 90$ fF estimated from simulations. The obtained coupling rates $g_0$ compare favorably with previous experiments carried out with mechanical resonators made from other materials. Indeed, the coupling was $g_0/2\pi \approx 1$ Hz in works with cavity geometries similar to ours.\textsuperscript{26,28,49} Larger values were achieved with closed-loop cavities ($g_0/2\pi = 40$ and 210 Hz), but this geometry does not allow one to apply $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$ between the mechanical resonator and a counter electrode as discussed above.\textsuperscript{12,27}

Now, we investigate how the strong tunability of the graphene equilibrium position affects the nonlinear response of the mechanical resonator. For this, we measure $P_{\text{out}}$ as a function of $\omega_D$ as in Figure 3b,c in order to obtain the response of the vibrational amplitude $\tilde{z}(\omega_D)$ for large driving forces at different $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$ (Figure 5a–c). Interestingly, we are able to tune the sign of the Duffing nonlinearity from a hardening behavior at low $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$ (Figure 5a) to a softening behavior at high $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$ (Figure 5c). At an intermediate $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$ of about 3.4 V, we are able to cancel the Duffing nonlinearity, that is, the resonant frequency remains roughly constant upon varying the driving force (Figure 5b). We quantify the Duffing nonlinearity from the critical displacement amplitude $\tilde{z}_{\text{crit}}$ above which the response becomes bistable. For a Duffing resonator with linear damping, the effective Duffing constant $\alpha_{\text{eff}}$ is related to $\tilde{z}_{\text{crit}}$ by $\alpha_{\text{eff}} = (1.54m_{\text{eff}} \omega_M^2 / Q_{\text{g}} S_{\text{crit}})^{\frac{1}{3}} V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$. Figure 5d shows that $\alpha_{\text{eff}}$ is positive at low $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$ and becomes negative at large $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$. This dependence can be attributed to the symmetry breaking of the mechanical motion induced by static deflection,\textsuperscript{51,52} which reads

$$\alpha_{\text{eff}} \approx \alpha_0 - \frac{10}{m_{\text{eff}} \alpha_0} \frac{1}{z_0^2} \frac{1}{z_0^2}$$

Figure 5. (a–c) Dependence of the vibrational amplitude $\tilde{z}$ in device B on the drive frequency for different $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$ in each plot. In panels a and c, $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}} = 3–31$ μV, in panel b, $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}} = 1.9–31$ μV. The onset of bistability is determined to be at $\tilde{z}_{\text{crit}} = 360$ pm (a) and at $\tilde{z}_{\text{crit}} = 900$ pm (c). (d) Effective Duffing parameter $\alpha_{\text{eff}}$ as a function of $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$. The red line is a plot of eq 4 with $c_1 = 0.65$ nm/V$^2$ and $a_0 = 1.9 \times 10^{15}$ kg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-2}$.

where $\alpha_0$ is the Duffing constant when $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}} = 0$; $\alpha_0$ could have a geometrical origin.\textsuperscript{50} The fit of eq 4 to the measurement yields $c_1 = 0.65$ nm/V$^2$ and $a_0 = 1.9 \times 10^{15}$ kg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-2}$ (red line in Figure 5d). This value of $c_1$ is consistent with that expected from eq 3. The sign change of the Duffing nonlinearity due to static deformation is a unique property of graphene and nanotube resonators.\textsuperscript{53}

The prospects to reach the quantum regime with graphene resonators are promising. For this, it is illustrative to compare the figures of merit achieved here to those reported by Teufel et al.,\textsuperscript{12} which demonstrated ground-state cooling with a superconducting cavity. In device A of our work, we measure $g_0/2\pi \approx 15$ Hz, $\eta_p = 8000$, $Q_{m} = 100 000$, and $\kappa_{\text{int}}/2\pi = 13.2$ MHz, while the parameters of Teufel et al. are $g_0/2\pi \approx 200$ Hz, $\eta_p = 4000$, $Q_{m} = 350 000$, and $\kappa_{\text{int}}/2\pi = 40$ kHz. As discussed above, an obvious way to improve $\kappa_{\text{int}}$ is to fabricate cavities with less contamination and imperfections. $\kappa_{\text{int}}$ can then be further reduced by lowering the resistance of the graphene flake. This can be achieved for instance by selecting thicker graphene flakes or electrostatically doping the graphene. Minimizing the graphene resistance, together with increasing the area of the interface between the graphene and the electrodes, is beneficial for diminishing Joule heating at high pump power. In order to increase $g_0$ we will reduce $d$ further by fabrication and graphene pulling. We should reach $g_0/2\pi \approx 250$ Hz with $d = 30$ nm. An alternative route to increase $g_0$ is to enhance the coupling using a cooper-pair box.\textsuperscript{54,55}

In conclusion, we have reported devices where a graphene resonator is coupled to a superconducting cavity. The tunability of these devices, in combination with the large graphene–cavity coupling, constitutes a promising approach to study quantum motion. The large reduction of the resonance frequency of the graphene resonator observed here is interesting to enhance the zero-point motion and to increase the effect of mechanical nonlinearities.\textsuperscript{56–58} The tunability of the resonance frequency with $V_{\text{DC}}^{\text{g}}$ is suitable for parametric amplification and quantum
squeezing of mechanical states. In these graphene—cavity devices, the opto-mechanical coupling can be varied not only with the number of pump photons but also with $V \text{OC}$. Interestingly, the tuning of the coupling with $V \text{OC}$ can be made faster than that with $n$ since the inverse of the cavity line width poses an upper limit on how fast the photon number inside the cavity can be changed. Because the mass of graphene is ultralow, its motion is extremely sensitive to changes in the environment. Therefore, it will be interesting to couple the quantum vibrations of motion to other degrees of freedom, such as electrons and spins.
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