Proteomics-based mass spectrometry profiling of SARS-CoV-2 infection from human nasopharyngeal samples
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Abstract
Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the cause of the on-going global pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) that continues to pose a significant threat to public health worldwide. SARS-CoV-2 encodes four structural proteins namely membrane, nucleocapsid, spike, and envelope proteins that play essential roles in viral entry, fusion, and attachment to the host cell. Extensively glycosylated spike protein efficiently binds to the host angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 initiating viral entry and pathogenesis. Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction on nasopharyngeal swab is the preferred method of sample collection and viral detection because it is a rapid, specific, and high-throughput technique. Alternate strategies such as proteomics and glycoproteomics-based mass spectrometry enable a more detailed and holistic view of the viral proteins and host–pathogen interactions and help in detection of potential disease markers. In this review, we highlight the use of mass spectrometry methods to profile the SARS-CoV-2 proteome from clinical nasopharyngeal swab samples. We also highlight the necessity for a comprehensive glycoproteomics mapping of SARS-CoV-2 from biological complex matrices to identify potential COVID-19 markers.
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INTRODUCTION

The emergence of the pathogenic severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in late 2019, is responsible for the ongoing global pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (Cui et al., 2019; Drosten et al., 2003; Xu et al., 2020). The first outbreak of SARS-CoV-1 in China in 2003, followed by the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) epidemic 10 years later highlighted the danger of zoonotic transmission of Coronaviridae viruses (Zaki et al., 2012; Zhong et al., 2003). Detected in Wuhan, China in 2019, SARS-CoV-2 spread rapidly in the human population, has led to millions of deaths across the world, and has been identified as a disease with ambiguous etiology (Y. Huang et al., 2020; Meyerowitz et al., 2021). SARS-CoV-2 infections often show flu-like symptoms but may also appear asymptomatically in individuals that further adds a layer of complexity and challenges, to diagnose and monitor the disease (Gao et al., 2021; Peiris et al., 2003).

SARS-CoV-2 is a positive-sense single-stranded ribonucleic acid (RNA) enveloped virus with viral particle size ranging from 60 to 140 nm (Alexandersen et al., 2020; Andersen et al., 2020; Chan et al., 2020; Masters, 2006; Naqvi et al., 2020). Researchers have deduced the molecular architecture and assembly of SARS-CoV-2 virus using cryo-electron microscopy and tomography (Hardenbrook & Zhang, 2022; Kim et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020). The SARS-CoV-2 genome (~30 kilobases) encodes four major structural proteins: membrane (M), nucleocapsid (N), envelope (E), and spike (S) (Arya et al., 2021; C. Cao et al., 2021), in addition to 16 nonstructural proteins (NSP1-16) and 9 auxiliary proteins (ORF3a, ORF3b, ORF6, ORF7a, ORF7b, ORF8, ORF9b, ORF9c, ORF10) (Arya et al., 2021; Banerjee & Mukhopadhyay, 2016; Chan et al., 2020; D. J. Harvey, 2018; Redondo et al., 2021; Syed et al., 2021). These proteins function in viral replication, RNA binding, and packaging (Benton et al., 2020; de Haan & Rottier, 2005; Gordon et al., 2020; Smits et al., 2021). M-protein (Uniprot ID: P0DTC5, ~25.2 kilodalton, 222 amino acids), the most abundant structural protein, defines the shape of the viral envelope. N-protein (P0DTC9, ~45.6 kDa, 419 aa) is the next most abundant protein that can be detected as an early marker in the serum or nasopharyngeal aspirate of infected patients (Barlev-Gross et al., 2021; Che et al., 2004; Shen et al., 2020). E-protein (P0DTC4, ~8.4 kDa, 75 aa) forms only a small portion of the entire virion (Bar-On et al., 2020). The trimeric glycosylated S-protein (P0DTC2, ~141.2 kDa, 1273 aa), contributing to only ~5% of the total protein abundance on SARS-CoV-2 (Bezstarosti et al., 2021; B.-Z. Zhang, Hu, et al., 2020) is composed of two subunits (S1 and S2). The virus enters the host cell via the widely known angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), an important type-I integral transmembrane metalloproteinase receptor (Q9BYF1, ~92.5 kDa, 805 aa) expressed on the human cell surface in the airway passage (W. T. Harvey et al., 2021; Ke et al., 2020; Oz et al., 2021). Trimming of the S-protein by host cellular serine proteases (furin, TMPRSS2) is necessary for invasion of target cells (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2020; Xia et al., 2020). Once inside the cell, the virus hijacks the host cell biosynthetic machinery to replicate and generate viral RNA. Apart from ACE2 receptor, studies have shown the presence of other factors such as extracellular vimentin that also facilitates the entry of SARS-CoV-2 into human endothelial cells further leading to vascular complications (Amraei et al., 2022). While the expression of ACE2 receptor is high in the upper respiratory tract (H. Zhang, Rostami, et al., 2020) it remains low in the lower respiratory tract that has enabled the identification of several other potential receptors such as neuropilin-1, CD209L/L-SIGN, and CD209/DC-SIGN that can act as an entry point for SARS-CoV-2 in a cell-dependent manner (Amraei et al., 2021; Lukassen et al., 2020; Sungnak et al., 2020). Interestingly, researchers have also made comparisons in the total number and mass of SARS-CoV-2 virions between samples with different viral load in a time-dependent manner that demonstrated the genetic diversity of virions across many infected hosts (Sender et al., 2021). Although the trajectory of SARS-CoV-2 was unknown at the time of emergence, the virus was thought to have lower mutation rates when compared to other enveloped viruses (De Maio et al., 2021). We now know, tracked by the World Health Organization, the constant transmission of SARS-CoV-2 has generated variants of concern: Alpha (lineage B.1.1.7, United Kingdom), Beta (B.1.351, South Africa), Gamma (P.1, Brazil), Delta (B.1.617.2, India) and Omicron (B.1.1.529, South Africa) (Deng et al., 2021; L. Zhang, Jackson, et al., 2020). Recently, sequence variation analysis of SARS-CoV-2 isolates have identified several genetic modifications, such as D614G and L452R mutations in S-protein that are shown to increase virion spike density and infectivity (Deng et al., 2021; Kuo et al., 2022; L. Zhang, Jackson, et al., 2020).

The highly contagious COVID-19 disease requires an efficient and rapid testing system to isolate the infected individuals restricting escalation of SARS-CoV-2. It is estimated that an infected person carries $10^9$–$10^{11}$ virions during peak infection with a total mass in the range of 1 μg to 100 μg (Sender et al., 2021; Padhi & Tripathi, 2020). Reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) has been the major tool for SARS-CoV-2 detection that identifies the viral RNA,
primarily because it is minimally invasive and has a rapid turn-around time (Corman et al., 2020; Freire-Paspuel & García-Berenguiaín, 2021; Studdert & Hall, 2020). While nasopharyngeal swab is the preferred sample collection method, (Basu et al., 2020; Pascarella et al., 2020), SARS-CoV-2 can also be detected from saliva (Costa et al., 2022; Hernandez et al., 2021; Takeuchi et al., 2020), sputum (Bezstarosti et al., 2020), blood (Li, Liu, et al., 2021; Peng et al., 2020) and breath (Ruszkiwicz et al., 2020; Steppert et al., 2021) samples. RT-PCR lacks information on the infectious nature and host–pathogen interactions and cannot distinguish between viable and nonviable virus (Y. Chen et al., 2022; Healy et al., 2021). Alternative approaches to diagnose and test for SARS-CoV-2 include epitope mapping, enzyme-linked immunosays, and multi-antigen serology assays (Haynes et al., 2021; Mazhari et al., 2021; Noy-Forat et al., 2020; Roy et al., 2020).

Mass spectrometry (MS)-based approaches have been employed in molecular pathology to study infectious diseases and other conditions (Aebersold & Mann, 2003; Mahmud & Garrett, 2020; Rybicka et al., 2021). In principle, MS analysis provides a holistic proteomic view of SARS-CoV-2 infected samples (Che et al., 2004; S.-J. Cho et al., 2011; Kammila et al., 2008; Van Puyvelde et al., 2021) that complements RT-PCR methods for the diagnosis of COVID-19 (Lu et al., 2022; Overmyer et al., 2021; Wandernoth et al., 2020; Wörner et al., 2021).

Protein glycosylation, the addition of complex carbohydrates (hereby referred to as glycans) decorating polypeptide chains, is a post-translational modification (PTM) that occurs to a wide range of intracellular and intercellular proteins. Quantification of the glycosylation changes is therefore an important aspect of mechanistic understanding of human health and disease processes (Kreisman & Cobb, 2012; Reily et al., 2019; Varki, 2017). Glycosylated spike proteins include the hemagglutinin glycoprotein of influenza (D. Chang et al., 2020; Khatri et al., 2016; Kobayashi & Suzuki, 2012), envelope glycoprotein of human immunodeficiency virus-1 (L. Cao et al., 2018; Struwe et al., 2018), Ebola virus glycoprotein (Lee et al., 2008; Ritchie, Harvery, & Stroeker, et al., 2010), nipah virus glycoprotein (Z. Wang et al., 2022) and coronavirus S-protein (D. Chang et al., 2021; Ritchie, Harvery, & Stroeker, et al., 2010; Walls et al., 2016). Viral protein glycosylation occurs by the host cell secretory pathway and is required for viral host cell invasion, budding and aggregation of virion particles, and immune evasion using glycan shield mechanisms (Bagdonaite & Wandall, 2018; Li, Liu, et al., 2021; Watanabe et al., 2019). The mechanistic aspects of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein glycosylation is still emerging, and of particular interest is to characterize S-protein glycosylation on the virions and its binding with the glycosylated ACE2 receptor that infect humans from nasal mucosal swabs. We summarize the progress in the field towards this goal. We highlight N-linked (Asn x Ser/Thr, x ≠ Pro) (Breitling & Aebi, 2013) and mucin-type O-linked (Ser/Thr-) protein glycosylation (Van den Steen et al., 1998) and their potential roles in the life cycles of enveloped viruses, particularly, of SARS-CoV-2. In animal cells, the N- and O-glycoproteins are assembled using monosaccharide building blocks that include primarily mannose (Man), fucose (Fuc), galactose (Gal), glucose (Glc), N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc), N-acetylglicosamine (GlcNAC), and sialic acids (N-acetylneuraminic acid, NeuAc or N-glycolyneuraminic acid, NeuGc). Sulfate and phosphate modifications to glycans are also common. Incomplete biosynthetic reactions give rise to considerable macroheterogeneity and microheterogeneity of glycans. As a result, it remains a challenge to quantify changes in glycosylation that occur in biological processes.

In this review, we focus on the advantages and limitations of proteomics-based MS methods for profiling SARS-CoV-2 from the nasopharyngeal swabs used to study the SARS-CoV-2 life cycle and understanding the host responses (Akgun et al., 2020; Crispin & Doores, 2015; Gordon et al., 2020; D. J. Harvey, 2018). We summarize state-of-the-art MS-based approaches to characterize the SARS-CoV-2 proteome and highlight the need for advanced glycoproteomics mapping of viral proteins from clinically relevant nasopharyngeal swab samples. Original contemporary research papers on SARS-CoV-2 published from 2018 onwards were considered for discussion in this review.

2 PROTEOMICS-BASED MS OF SARS-COV-2-INFECTED NASOPHARYNGEAL SWAB SAMPLES

Proteomics-based MS, in the past decade, has renewed the growing interest in structural virology (Greco et al., 2014; Grenga & Armengaud, 2021; Grosegesse et al., 2020; Terracciano et al., 2021). A deep comparative and quantitative proteomics characterization often gives an overview of the viral and/or host protein changes during the infection stages. However, the hypervariable nature
of viruses with immense heterogeneity across many variants can make the characterization more difficult. Developments in the proteomics workflows, using instruments with higher sensitivity and specificity, generating reliable spectral libraries from data-dependent acquisition (DDA), to further improve on designing data-independent acquisition (DIA) for identification of low abundant species have overcome some of the challenges and boosted viral research (Amiri-Dashatan et al., 2022; SoRelle et al., 2020; Van Puyvelde & Dhaenen, 2021; Wörner et al., 2021; Zecha et al., 2020).

2.1 Virus sample workup

To characterize the proteome of SARS-CoV-2, a starting point for investigation has been the use of recombinantly-expressed viral proteins in different expression systems such as HEK293, Vero E6, Caco-2, or Calu-3 cells. Creating plasmid constructs with desirable mutations generates either virus-like particles or recombinant viral proteins that are then transfected into human cell culture for production (Renuse et al., 2021; Syed et al., 2021). Additionally, SARS-CoV-2 strains, specifically handled in a bio-safety level 3-guided laboratory have been also used to infect fresh cell cultures in vitro before conducting MS analysis. Often, studies have collected the cell lysates and performed in-gel or in-solution trypsin digestions that have generated a list of the major viral tryptic peptides. Some studies have found that cell culture supernatants with a titer value of at least $1 \times 10^6$ pfu/ml contain sufficient viral particles for MS detection of the viral proteins (Gouveia, Miotello, et al., 2020; Grenga et al., 2020). Finally, to identify the real-time biological changes, researchers have attempted to characterize SARS-CoV-2 proteome from clinical nasopharyngeal swab samples from human donors. The following sections highlight in detail the present status of proteomics-based MS methods to detect SARS-CoV-2 proteins using clinically available human nasopharyngeal swab samples. The number of nasopharyngeal samples, sample preparations, and LC-MS conditions obtained from the existing literature is summarized in detail in Table 1.

2.2 Nasopharyngeal swab sample preparation

Nasopharyngeal swab samples are collected in viral transport medium containing phosphate-buffered saline and serum albumin, supplemented with antibiotics (McAuley et al., 2021; Radbel et al., 2020; K. P. Smith et al., 2020). For virus detection purposes, RT-PCR-focused studies have shown varied effects of long-term nasal swab storage and usage conditions; for accurate results, swabs must be tested for viral RNA within 48–72 h (Yilmaz Gulec et al., 2021). The viral load, pH of transport media, and storage temperature can often alter the viral RNA and may potentially become contaminated with other agents leading to false PCR results. While RT-PCR detects a precise target as the viral messenger RNA, MS analysis can measure many other biomolecules such as peptides and glycopeptides that remain stable for a longer time. Therefore, for MS investigations, the assumption is that proteins from swab samples can be analyzed even after long-term storage at −80°C (Van Puyvelde et al., 2021). The samples are vortexed vigorously to release the virus into the solution before heat-inactivation with or without detergents in the range of 56°C–70°C for at least 30 min. Depending on the research question, the specimens are either analyzed directly or grown in cell culture (e.g., Vero E6 or Calu-3 cells) (Dollman et al., 2020; Hekman et al., 2020; Ng et al., 2003). Mainly used as standard controls, some studies also include recombinant SARS-CoV-2 N-protein or S-protein or generate virus-like particles (Syed et al., 2021) that are either analyzed separately or spiked into negative nasal swab samples (Cardozo et al., 2020; Renuse et al., 2021; Schuster et al., 2021; Syed et al., 2021). For protein extraction, samples are reduced, alkylated, and digested in-solution with one or more proteolytic enzymes such as trypsin, chymotrypsin, endoproteinase Lys-C, serine protease Glu-C, or alpha tryptic proteases (Maus et al., 2022; Vanderboom et al., 2021; Wörner et al., 2021). Researchers have also used S-Trap mini columns as an alternate approach for digestion of SARS-CoV-2 proteins (Cazares et al., 2020; Pinto et al., 2021). While sodium dodecyl sulfate is commonly used to denature proteins, it is avoided in proteomics sample preparations as MS analysis is very sensitive to detergents. However, S-Trap approach has enabled researchers to incorporate the use of sodium dodecyl sulfate to denature nondigested proteins, induce enzymatic digestion and generate peptides for MS analysis in a rapid, spin-column format. Immuno-magnetic capture to enrich for SARS-CoV-2 virions was also attempted from the mucous-rich nasal swab samples before digestion treatments which showed a 10-fold increase in identifying markers when compared to samples without any enrichment (Schuster et al., 2022). Next, digested and cleaned up peptides can be directly analyzed or undergo further peptide (Cardozo et al., 2020; Hoben et al., 2021; Schuster et al., 2021) and/or phosphopeptide (Vanderboom et al., 2021) enrichments to enhance sensitivity before MS analysis. A recent study
| # of Nasopharyngeal samples | Sample preparation | Liquid chromatography and column information | Mass spectrometer and instrument parameters | References |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------|
| 237 samples                 | Direct spot of sample mixed in sinapinic acid matrix for MALDI-TOF analysis |  | Bruker Autoflex maX MALDI-TOF-MS (combined with artificial Intelligence) Positive ion mode 20 laser shots at 2000 Hz frequency Total 1800 shots in 300 increments Mass range of 5000–20,000 Da | Deulofeu et al. (2021) |
| 199 samples (107 positive, 92 negative) | Direct spot of sample mixed in α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid matrix for MALDI-TOF analysis |  | Shimadzu 8020 MALDI-TOF-MS (combined with machine learning) Positive ion mode 10 laser shots at 100 Hz frequency Total 1000 shots/well Mass range of 2000–20,000 Da | Tran et al. (2021) |
| 223 samples (88 positive, 135 negative) | Direct spot of sample mixed in α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid matrix for MALDI-TOF analysis |  | MALDI-TOF-MS (Bruker Daltonics) Positive ion mode 240 laser shots collected in increments of 40 carried out at 40% of maximum laser energy Mass range of 2000–20,000 Da | Rocca et al. (2020) |
| 23 samples (19 positive, 4 negative) | Samples were concentrated using 300 kDa MWCO filter, in-solution trypsin digestion, clean peptides were spotted mixed with 4-chloro-α-cyanoacinnamic acid matrix for MALDI-TOF analysis |  | MS-S3000 SpiralTOF-plus MS (JEOL) Positive ion mode Laser intensity 55% and frequency 250 Hz with 330 ns delay time Mass range of 700–3000 Da Both external and internal standards were used for mass calibration | Yoshinari et al. (2022) |
| Unknown number of samples | Samples were concentrated using 300 kDa MWCO filter, in-solution trypsin digestion, and cleaned up peptides were spotted mixed with α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid matrix for MALDI-TOF analysis |  | MALDI-FT ICR-MS (unknown company) No information given on the instrument company or parameters used for the experiments | Dollman et al. (2020) |

(Continues)
Table 1 (Continued)

| # of Nasopharyngeal samples | Sample preparation | Liquid chromatography and column information | Mass spectrometer and instrument parameters | References |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|
| 44 samples                  | RNA extraction (using chemagic viral DNA/RNA 300 Kit) and SARS-CoV-2 mass spectrometric multiplex assay performed on the samples | -                                           | MassARRAY MALDI-TOF-MS (Agena Bioscience)   | Wandernoth et al. (2020) |
| 101 samples                 | MassARRAY SARS-CoV-2 Panel manufacturer’s protocol performed on the samples | -                                           | MassARRAY Panel (Agena Bioscience), Cobas 6800/8800 System (Roche Molecular Diagnostic) | Stelzl et al. (2021) |
| 244 samples                 | Lipid extraction (using Bligh and Dyer method) performed on the samples | -                                           | MasSpec Pen-ESI system (LTQ-Orbitrap XL and QE HF MS, Thermo Fisher Scientific) | Garza et al. (2021) |
| 350 samples                 | Antibody-based purification using anti-nucleocapsid protein, in-solution trypsin digestion on purified samples, clean up peptides on Evotips | C18 column (Dr. Maisch) on preformed gradient LC system (EvoSep One) 1.9 µm, 4 cm × 150 µm analytical column (flow rate of 2 µl/min and gradient of 5.6 min) | Orbitrap Exploris 480 MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) | Maus et al. (2022) |
| 363 samples                 | In-solution trypsin digestion and SISCAPA enrichment of N-peptides from nasal swab samples | C18 column (PepMap100 and EasySpray, Thermo Fisher Scientific) on UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 2 cm × 75 µm trap column (flow rate of 20 µl/min) 1.9 µm, 100 Å, 50 cm × 75 µm analytical column (flow rate of 300 nl/min and | Orbitrap Eclipse MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) | Renuse et al. (2021) |
| # of Nasopharyngeal samples<sup>a</sup> | Sample preparation<sup>b</sup> | Liquid chromatography and column information<sup>b</sup> | Mass spectrometer and instrument parameters<sup>b</sup> | References |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| 24 samples (18 positive, 6 negative) | In-solution trypsin/lys-C digestion, tandem mass tag labeling, and high-pH reversed-phase fractionation, followed by phosphopeptide enrichment on nasal swab samples | C18 column (PepMap100 and EasySpray; Thermo Fisher Scientific) on UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 2 cm × 75 µm trap column (flow rate of 20 µl/min) 50 cm × 75 µm analytical column (flow rate of 300 nl/min and gradient of over 2 h) | Orbitrap Fusion Lumos MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) Positive ion mode Mass range of m/z 370–1700 MS1 resolution 60,000 AGC 1 × 10⁶, injection time 50 ms MS2 resolution 30,000 AGC 2 × 10⁵, injection time 54 ms HCD fragmentation (NCE 35%) Acquisition: DDA mode Orbitrap Eclipse (Thermo Fisher Scientific) MS1 resolution 30,000 AGC 5 × 10⁴, injection time 54 ms HCD fragmentation (NCE 28%) Acquisition: PRM mode | Vanderboom et al. (2021) |
| 985 samples (540 positive, 445 negative) | In-solution trypsin digestion on samples | C18 column (PepMap100, Thermo Fisher Scientific) on UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 5 µm, 0.3 × 5 mm trap column (flow rate of 150 µl/min) 2 µm, 15 cm × 150 µm analytical column (flow rate of 1.5 µl/min and gradient of 60 min) C18 column (Acquity BEH, Waters) on turbulent flow chromatography on | QE HF-X MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) ESI voltage +2.2 kV, capillary temperature 45°C and S-lens RF level 50 Positive ion mode Mass range of m/z 350-1650 MS1 resolution 120,000 AGC 3 × 10⁶, injection time 60 ms MS2 resolution 15,000 | Cardozo et al. (2020) |
| # of Nasopharyngeal samples<sup>a</sup> | Sample preparation<sup>b</sup> | Liquid chromatography and column information<sup>b</sup> | Mass spectrometer and instrument parameters<sup>b</sup> | References |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------|
| 71 samples (41 positive, 30 negative)   | In-solution trypsin digestion and SISCAPA enrichment of N-peptides from nasal swab samples | Transcend TLX-4 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific)<br>5 cm × 1.7 µm analytical column (flow rate of 1.2 ml/min) | AGC 2 × 10^6, injection time 60 ms<br>HCD fragmentation (NCE 27%)<br>Acquisition: DDA mode<br>TSQ Altis Triple Quadrupole MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) | Mangalaparthi et al. (2021) |
| 9 samples (5 positive, 4 negative)      | In-gel trypsin digestion on samples | C18 column (PepMap100 and PepSep, Thermo Fisher Scientific) on UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano system (Thermo Fisher Scientific)<br>2 cm × 100 µm trap column (flow rate of 20 µl/min)<br>1.9 µm, 100 Å analytical column (flow rate of 500 nl/min and gradient of 25 min) | QE HF MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific)<br>Positive ion mode<br>MS range of m/z 350–1700<br>MS1 resolution 120,000<br>AGC 3 × 10^4, injection time 200 ms<br>MS2 resolution 30,000<br>HCD fragmentation (NCE 28%)<br>Acquisition: PRM mode | Gouveia, Miotello, et al. (2020) |
| 40 samples (20 positive, 20 negative)   | In-solution trypsin/Lys-C digestion on samples | C18 column (PepMap100; Thermo Fisher Scientific) on UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano system (Thermo Fisher Scientific)<br>3 µm, 100 Å, 5 mm × 300 µm trap column<br>3 µm, 100 Å, 50 cm × 75 µm analytical column (flow rate of 0.2 µl/min and gradient of 90 min) | QE HF MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific)<br>Positive ion mode<br>MS range of m/z 350–1500<br>MS1 resolution 60,000<br>AGC 5 × 10^4<br>HCD fragmentation<br>Acquisition: DDA mode | Liou et al. (2021) |
| # of Nasopharyngeal samples<sup>a</sup> | Sample preparation<sup>b</sup> | Liquid chromatography and column information<sup>b</sup> | Mass spectrometer and instrument parameters<sup>b</sup> | References |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10 samples (5 positive, 5 negative) | In-gel trypsin digestion on samples | C18 column (PepMap100; Thermo Fisher Scientific) on UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 3 µm, 2 cm × 75 µm trap column 2 µm, 100 Å, 50 cm × 75 µm analytical column (flow rate of 250 nl/min and gradient of 150 min) | Multiple mass ranges between m/z 375–1650 MS1 resolution 60,000 AGC 1 × 10⁶, injection time 55 ms MS2 resolution 30,000 AGC 1 × 10⁶, injection time 55 ms HCD fragmentation (NCE 27%) Acquisition: DIA mode QE Plus (Q-Orbitrap) MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) ESI voltage +1.7 kV, capillary temperature 250°C and S-lens RF level 50 Mass range of m/z 200-2000 MS1 resolution 70,000 AGC 1 × 10⁶, injection time 100 ms MS2 resolution 17,500 AGC 1 × 10⁵, injection time 50 ms HCD fragmentation (stepped NCE 25%, 30%, 35%) Acquisition: DDA mode | Rivera et al. (2020) |
| 19 samples (12 positive, 7 negative) | In-solution trypsin digestion on samples | Aeris peptide XB-C18 column (Phenomenex) on UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 1.7 µm, 100 Å, 15 cm × 2.1 mm analytical column (flow rate of 0.5 ml/min and gradient of 40 min) | Quadrupole-Orbitrap MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) ESI voltage +4 kV, capillary temperature 320°C Positive ion mode Mass range of m/z 200–2000 MS1 resolution 70,000 AGC 1 × 10⁶, injection time 250 ms MS2 resolution 17,500 HCD fragmentation (NCE 18%) Acquisition: DDA mode MS1 resolution 70,000 AGC 1 × 10⁵, injection time 125 ms HCD fragmentation (NCE 15%–20%) Acquisition: PRM mode | Saadi et al. (2021) |

(Continues)
| # of Nasopharyngeal samples<sup>a</sup> | Sample preparation<sup>b</sup> | Liquid chromatography and column information<sup>b</sup> | Mass spectrometer and instrument parameters<sup>b</sup> | References |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 16 samples (6 positive, 10 negative) | In-solution trypsin digestion on samples | C18 column (Gemini) on 1290 HPLC system (Agilent) 3 µm, 10 cm × 2.1 mm analytical column (flow rate of 0.3 ml/min and gradient of 20 min) | QE Plus Orbitrap MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) ESI voltage +1.25 kV, capillary temperature 275°C, and S-lens RF level 55  Positive ion mode  Mass range of m/z 250–2000  MS1 resolution 140,000  AGC 3 × 10<sup>6</sup>, injection time 100 ms  MS2 resolution 35,000  AGC 2 × 10<sup>5</sup>, injection time 100 ms  HCD fragmentation (NCE 20%)  Acquisition: DIA mode | Schuster et al. (2021) |
| 26 samples (15 positive, 13 negative) | Streptavidin-magnetic based enrichment of SARS-CoV-2 from nasal swab samples followed by in-solution trypsin digestion | C18 column (Acquity, Waters) on Acquity UPLC-I Class (SM-FTN) system (Waters) 1.7 µm, 15 cm × 2.1 mm analytical column (flow rate of 0.4 ml/min and gradient of 10 min) | Xevo Triple Q-S MS (Waters) ESI voltage +0.6 kV and capillary temperature 150°C  Positive ion mode  Acquisition: MRM mode | Schuster et al. (2022) |
| 103 samples (83 positive, 20 negative) | In-solution trypsin digestion on samples | C18 column (ChromXP) on Eksigent nanoLC-425 system 5 µm, 120 Å trap column 3 µm, 120 Å analytical column (flow rate of 5 µl/min and gradient of 40 min)  C18 column (Acquity, Waters) on ExionLC UHPLC system (Sciex) 1.7 µm, 10 cm × 2.1 mm analytical column (flow rate of 600 µl/min and gradient of 2.3 min) | TripleTOF 6600 (Sciex) ESI voltage +5.5 kV and capillary temperature 250°C  Positive ion mode  Mass range of m/z 400–1250  Acquisition: DDA mode  QTrap 6500 + (Sciex) Acquisition: MRM mode  No information given on the instrument parameters used for the experiments | Singh et al. (2020) |
| 90 samples (45 positive, 45 negative) | In-solution trypsin digestion on samples | C18 column (Xbridge) on UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 3.5 µm, 50 cm × 4.6 mm analytical column (flow rate of 300 nl/min and gradient of over 2 h) | TIMS-TOF Pro (Bruker Daltonics) Acquisition: PASEF-DDA mode  Mass range of m/z 100–1700  100 ms of ramp time 100% duty cycle | Mun et al. (2021) |
| # of Nasopharyngeal samples | Sample preparation | Liquid chromatography and column information | Mass spectrometer and instrument parameters | References |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 8 samples                   | In-solution trypsin digestion and Rapigest digestion on samples | C18 column (IonOpticks) on nanoElute LC system (Bruker Daltonics) 2 cm × 100 µm trap column 1.6 µm, 25 cm × 75 µm analytical column (flow rate of 300 nl/min and gradient of over 2 h) | Ion mobility range 0.60–1.60 V s cm⁻² 10 PASEF MS/MS scans per cycle Isolation width of m/z 2 Stepwise NCE 20–59% Acquisition: dia-PASEF mode Mass range of m/z 400–1200 Ion mobility range 0.69–1.47 V s cm⁻² Isolation width of m/z 25 Stepwise NCE 20–59% | Nikolaev et al. (2020) |
| 101 samples                 | S-Trap and in-solution trypsin digestion on samples | C18 column (Acquity, Waters) on UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 1.6 µm, 25 cm × 75 µm analytical column (flow rate of 400 nl/min and gradient of 40 min) | TIMS-TOF Pro (Bruker Daltonics) Mass range of m/z 100–1700 Ion mobility range 0.60–1.60 V s cm⁻² 10 PASEF MS/MS scans per cycle Acquisition: dia-PASEF mode | Pinto et al. (2021) |
| 356 samples                 | In-solution trypsin digestion and SISCAPA enrichment of N-peptides from nasal swab samples | C18 column (Acquity BEH, Waters) on Acquity UPLC-1 Class (SM-FTN) system (Waters) 1.7 µm, 130 Å, 5 cm × 150 µm analytical column (flow rate of 3 µl/min and gradient of 40 min) | Xevo Triple Q-S MS (Waters) Positive ion mode Mass range of m/z 300–1000 MRM time window of 0.4–1 min/peptide Acquisition: MRM mode | Hober et al. (2021) |

(Continues)
| # of Nasopharyngeal samples\(^a\) | Sample preparation\(^b\) | Liquid chromatography and column information\(^b\) | Mass spectrometer and instrument parameters\(^b\) | References |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Multiple cohorts of positive and negative samples | In-solution trypsin digestion and SISCAPA enrichment of N-peptides from nasal swab samples | Luna Omega Polar C18 column (Phenomenex) on Eksigent nanoLC-425 system (Sciex) | TripleTOF 5600 and TripleTOF 6600 + (Sciex) | Van Puyvelde et al. (2021) |
| Unknown number of samples | In-solution trypsin digestion and SP3 clean-up of peptides from nasal swab samples | C18 trap column (PepMap100; Thermo Fisher Scientific) C18 analytical column (Dr. Maisch) on EASY-nLC 1200 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 1.9 µm, 50 cm x 75 µm column (flow rate of 250 nl/min and gradient of 60 or 90 min) | Orbitrap Fusion Lumos MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) Positive ion mode Mass range of m/z 375–1400 MS1 resolution 120,000 MS2 resolution 30,000 AGC 5 x 10^6, injection time 50 ms HCD fragmentation (NCE 30%) Acquisition: DDA mode Orbitrap Eclipse MS (Thermo Fisher Scientific) Positive ion mode Isolation width m/z 0.7 M2 resolution 30,000 HCD fragmentation (NCE 30%) Acquisition: PRM mode | Bezstarosti et al. (2021) |

**Abbreviations:** LC-MS, liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry; RT-PCR, reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction.

\(^a\) Confirmation by RT-PCR tests.

\(^b\) All samples were collected with consent from infected patients or healthy controls using nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal swabs. The LC-MS instrumentation and parameters listed in the table are taken directly from the main article and supplementary information provided in each of the respective references.
employed the stable isotope standards and capture by antipeptide antibodies (SISCAPA), where the targeted proteolytically digested peptides of interest are enriched using specific antipeptide antibodies (Whiteaker et al., 2011). In this study, antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 N-peptides were generated and a semi-automated SISCAPA-based approach was used to detect the viral peptides from nasal swabs with very low viral loads thus improving the detection limit (Mangalaparthi et al., 2021).

### 2.3 Trends in MS instrumentation and acquisition modes

Electrospray ionization (ESI) and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) are the most common soft ionization techniques used in MS-based proteomics analyses. ESI operates in the presence of an electric field applied to the spray capillary to form charged ions and is often used as an on-line LC detector (Mann, 2019). MALDI, on the other hand, employs a laser to vaporize analytes embedded in crystalline organic matrix on a target plate. MALDI is often coupled to TOF analyzers with CID-type dissociation, either in the TOF-TOF or in the hybrid quadrupole-TOF formats (van den Boom et al., 2013). The use of LC interfaced to ESI-MS facilitates the analysis of complex peptide mixtures due to the chromatographic separation of the analytes in time before entering the MS source. The LC step can also provide separation of isomeric analytes before the MS source. Although the LC step is time-consuming, it serves to improve the dynamic range relative to the direct analysis of complex mixtures. Contrastingly, while MALDI-TOF-MS is not directly interfaced with LC, it provides rapid, high-throughput and cost-effective analysis and a nearly unlimited m/z range with resolution varying from 2000 to 100,000. MALDI-MS is well suited to tissue imaging applications that help to determine the spatial arrangement of analytes (Nadler et al., 2017). As discussed below, investigation of SARS-CoV-2 using either of these ionization techniques have confidently advanced our understanding on this viral infection (Cardozo et al., 2020; Dollman et al., 2020; Grenga et al., 2020; Nachtigall et al., 2020; Preianò et al., 2021; Rybicka et al., 2021).

DDA selects precursor ions based on the top-most abundant peptides at a given time. By contrast, DIA fragments all the ions in a specific m/z window and samples the entire m/z and retention time range without any bias towards a specific precursor ion (Bittremieux et al., 2021; Ludwig et al., 2018; F. Zhang, Ge, et al., 2020). While DDA cannot sample all the information present in a sample due to limited duty cycle, DIA acquires tandem mass spectra on all precursor ions but introduces complexity in terms of data analysis that requires appropriate tools for accurate interpretation (Bichmann et al., 2021). Both spectral library and library-free methods have been developed for DIA data. Overall, DIA generates consistent, reproducible, and high-precision data with optimal ability to quantify sample proteins (Meyer & Schilling, 2017; F. Zhang, Ge, et al., 2020). Various DIA-based acquisition schemes have been developed over the years including sequential windowed acquisition of all theoretical fragment ion mass spectra (SWATH-MS) (Ludwig et al., 2018), PulseDIA (Cai et al., 2021), and scanning quadrupole approach (SONAR) (Moseley et al., 2018). Recently, DIA-parallel accumulation-serial fragmentation (diaPASEF) (Meier et al., 2015; Meier et al., 2020) was developed to work in combination with a trapped ion mobility spectrometer (TIMS). Previously, the TIMS-PASEF configuration was shown to have a 10-fold increase in sequencing speed in DDA mode without any loss in sensitivity. Extending this observation, the authors investigated the PASEF principle in conjunction with DIA mode. This approach improved the proteome detection and coverage (as an example, >4000 proteins were identified from only 10 ng of HeLA mixture) due to the high ion sampling capability. Parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) and multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) methods are widely used methods for targeted quantitative proteomics analysis of SARS-CoV-2 (Meyer & Schilling, 2017; Zecha et al., 2020). Utilizing both DDA and DIA approaches to analyze SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal samples have opened avenues to better understand the viral infection and its effect on the hosts (Liou et al., 2021; Saadi et al., 2021).

Traditionally, the analysis of proteoforms is performed using either the bottom-up (proteolytic protein) or top-down (intact protein) proteomics approach. Bottom-up, also known as shotgun proteomics, is widely used to identify peptides and quantify protein. By contrast, top-down approaches use RP-LC to first separate intact proteins without any digestion from biologically complex mixture which are then identified from the MS fragmentation patterns. Complexity of the data and other technical challenges impede the top-down approach, but it can provide high-quality protein information (L. M. Smith & Kelleher, 2013; L. M. Smith et al., 2021). In addition, native MS is a powerful tool to interrogate the structure of intact macromolecular assemblies in their near-native state while preserving noncovalent protein-protein or ligand interactions (Tamara et al., 2021). This complex technique can be employed in the analyses of glycan-specific drug binding, lectin recognition, and in glycoengineering (S. Chen et al., 2021). However, native MS of glycoproteins has
achieved modest success, primarily because of the diversity and overlap of multiple glycosites and glycoforms on proteins that requires extensive orthogonal strategies such as glycomics (released glycans), bottom-up and top-down glycoproteomics for accurate analysis (Struwe & Robinson, 2019). Although limited, there have been reports that used native MS to study SARS-CoV-2 interactions which are discussed in Section 2.3.3.

2.3.1 DDA- and DIA-based LC-ESI-MS/MS

In 2003, a preliminary report of MS characterization of cultured SARS-CoV-1 virus used a MALDI-TOF-MS approach to map virus proteolytic peptides release from gel bands. The authors identified the prominent ~46 kDa N-protein and ~139 kDa S-protein (Krokhin et al., 2003). Later, in early 2020, Grenga et al. performed shotgun proteomics on SARS-CoV-2 infected Vero E6 cells over a week at different multiplicities of infection with a virus titer of $1 \times 10^7$ pfu/ml. Virus-infected cell lysates were heat-inactivated, and proteins were precipitated with cold trichloroacetic acid before gel electrophoresis. The protein gel bands were excised and in-gel trypsin digestion was done before LC analysis coupled to a Quadrupole Exactive (QE) HF MS. Correlations were made between the higher protein abundances found across the increasing time-points spanning several peptides from the three structural viral proteins (M-, N-, and S-) as well as other accessory proteins (Grenga et al., 2020). Using a similar shotgun proteomics approach, Gouveia et al. analyzed SARS-CoV-2 infected Vero E6 cell supernatants on a QE HF Orbitrap MS system. The study identified 101 peptides from six viral proteins including M-, N-, and S-proteins (Gouveia, Grenga, et al., 2020). A shortlist of 14 peptides from the viral proteins were used for targeted MS method development and diagnostic studies of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The study was expanded into targeting the low abundance peptides of N-protein from 9 positive nasal swabs, analyzed on a QE HF Orbitrap MS system, and detecting them in only a 3-min MS window (Gouveia, Grenga, et al., 2020). In another study, also published in 2020, Cazares et al. prepared cultured kidney epithelial cells and in vitro-derived mucus samples, both spiked with SARS-CoV-2 inactivated virus. Using DDA data, the authors developed a PRM assay on QE HF-X Orbitrap MS with a spectral library containing 7 proteotypic peptides (4 from S-protein and 3 from N-protein). The PRM assay efficacy was evaluated, and it was estimated that $2 \times 10^5$ viral particles/ml sufficed for the detection of S- and N-proteins of SARS-CoV-2. A limit of detection (~200 attomoles) and a limit of quantitation (~390 attomoles) was also formed for the developed PRM assay (Cazares et al., 2020). These findings showed that developing targeted or DIA approaches from DDA data could be useful to target low abundant or specific peptides from both pure protein and complex mixtures. Additionally, Bojkova et al. devised a cell-culture model to perform proteomic analysis and determine SARS-CoV-2-infections in Caco-2 cells using a QE HF MS. The proteome profiling revealed modulation of core cellular pathways that occur after infection as well as identified several potential drugs that can inhibit viral replications such as ribavirin (Bojkova et al., 2020). Other studies have also used proteomics as the primary tool to identify dysregulated proteins after viral infection from 144 autopsy samples were obtained from seven organs (Nie et al., 2021) as well as reported on altered mechanisms involved in tissue fibrosis and autophagy in the host caused by SARS-CoV-2 (Stukalov et al., 2021).

Renuse et al. (2021) conducted preliminary discovery experiments on recombinant SARS-CoV-2 N-protein on an Orbitrap Exploris 480 MS mass analyzer to generate a list of detectable viral peptides. To improve the sensitivity of detection, an automated mass spectrometric immuno-assay-based workflow specific to N-proteins were performed on 116 SARS-CoV-2 positive and 71 SARS-CoV-2 negative nasal swab samples. The authors used reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) in conjunction with field asymmetric ion mobility spectrometry (FAIMS) separation for on-line fractionation. FAIMS or differential IMS operates in alternating low and high electric field strengths that filters and separates the ions. This technique improves the linear dynamic range and the ion detection limits by filtering out background noise which enhances the resolution and sensitivity of targeted peaks in both MS and tandem MS levels (Swearingen & Moritz, 2012). In discovery analysis, the authors tested 17 different antibodies and identified 42 peptides belonging to N-protein, some of which being low signal peptides, from a range of samples with both low and high viral loads. FAIMS compensation voltages were optimized for N-protein peptides (Renuse et al., 2021). Based on these observations, a PRM method was optimized for the best-performing peptides and the method was used to analyze 350 clinical samples (250 SARS-CoV-2 positive and 100 negative swabs) where four low-abundant N-peptides were observed (Maus et al., 2022). These studies together demonstrate that N-protein peptides can be assayed using FAIMS-PRM-MS in a high-throughput workflow.

FAIMS can be easily interfaced with an existing MS and is compatible with LC-MS; however, due to the asymmetric waveform of the measured mobilities, it
cannot provide the collisional cross-section values (CCS) that correlate with the structure of an ion (Dodds & Baker, 2019). Contrastingly, TIMS operates by first accumulating and trapping ions in an opposing electric field, and then ejecting them sequentially based on their mobilities. The development of TIMS greatly increased the robustness, resolution, and sensitivity of ion mobility separation whereby isomeric peptides or lipids from very small sample amounts could be distinguished based on the CCS values of the observed ions (Aballo et al., 2021; Vasilopoulou et al., 2020). Application of diaPASEF acquisition mode on the TIMS-TOF instrument was employed in two studies to determine SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins from positive nasopharyngeal swab samples. Mun et al. analyzed 45 positive and 45 negative samples where first the swab samples were heat-inactivated at 70°C for 30 min, then the proteins were precipitated using methanol, before employing a proteomics workflow. They identified 7723 proteins using PASEF-enabled DDA mode from which they built a spectral library to quantify 5023 proteins in diaPASEF mode. Upregulated proteins in positive samples were found to be involved in key biological processes of the innate immune system, viral protein assembly, and exocytosis (Mun et al., 2021). The use of PASEF in both DDA and DIA modes on the TIMS-TOF was an advanced choice to study SARS-CoV-2. Using the same LC-MS and acquisition setup, Nikolaev et al. investigated nasopharynx epithelial scrapings from 5 positive and 3 negative individuals using the standard proteomics workflow and an express preparation procedure (using RapiGest SF Surfactant) whereby the latter confidently identified N-proteins even in the samples with the lowest viral loads. In addition, low abundant and unique phosphopeptides of the N-protein were also detected using the diaPASEF approach (Nikolaev et al., 2020).

Interestingly, Schuster et al. developed a multistep procedure to obtain a set of reliable peptide markers for SARS-CoV-2 infection. RP-HPLC coupled to a QE Plus Orbitrap MS was used to analyze SARS-CoV-2 infected Vero E6 cells. Recombinant N- and S-proteins were codon-optimized in Escherichia coli cells, virus stocks were propagated in the Vero E6 cells, and the viral titers were determined by serial dilutions of the cell cultures. The resultant protein fractions were reduced and alkylated before trypsin digestion. They identified almost all tryptic N- and S-peptides in buffer samples spiked with cell-cultured SARS-CoV-2 at 1 x 10^6 pfu/ml concentration. However, when they used this workflow to analyze viral proteins spiked into clinical nasal swab samples, the matrix background of the nasal swab samples interfered with identification of some of the viral peptides (Schuster et al., 2021). In a recent paper, the authors showed enrichment of SARS-CoV-2 from nasopharyngeal swab samples by first combining the biotinylated S-protein antibodies with streptavidin-coated magnetic beads and then enriching the virions. After enrichment and tryptic digestion for viral proteins, analysis was completed on an RP ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) combined with Xevo Triple-Q MS in MRM mode. After rounds of method optimization, they improved the sensitivity and selectivity of the workflow (Schuster et al., 2022). Given the complexity of nasal swab samples, enrichment steps to further isolate the SARS-CoV-2 proteome is recommended to improve the identification and quantitation of these proteins.

Similar viral N-protein enrichment strategies were used in a study by Cardozo et al. where the authors analyzed 985 respiratory tract samples (540 positive and 445 negative samples, based on RT-PCR results). Recombinant N-protein was added as a standard and DDA acquisition was performed on QE HF-X Orbitrap MS that identified 119 peptides from 8 SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins and 23.5% of the identified peptides belonged to N-protein. A spectral library of 17 peptides (9 from N-protein, 5 from S-protein, 2 from M-protein, and 1 from protein 3a) was then generated for PRM analysis that was performed on a turbulent flow on a Transcend TLX-4 system consisting of four Dionex Ultimate 3000 quaternary and binary pumps coupled to a TSQ Altis Triple Quadrupole MS with heated ESI source that enabled processing of more than 500 samples per day. N-peptides were found to exhibit 80-fold higher relative intensities compared to the other peptides. Together, this workflow showed a high sample stability with 84% sensitivity and 97% specificity that could be considered for large-scale SARS-CoV-2 testing (Cardozo et al., 2020).

Rivera et al. demonstrated proteomic analysis of oro-and nasopharyngeal samples from 5 SARS-CoV-2 positive and 5 negative samples analyzed on a nano-LC coupled to a QE Plus Orbitrap MS. A total of 1177 proteins were observed in the DDA data where unique set of proteins were identified for each of the positive and negative cohorts, N-protein being one of the top hits in the positive samples (Rivera et al., 2020). Alternatively, using an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos MS with multiplexed isobaric tagging and basic pH reversed-phase chromatography fractionation, Vanderboom et al. identified 7582 proteins from a set of four SARS-CoV-2 positive and four negative nasal swabs. Tandem mass tags composed of an amine-reactive NHS-ester group, a spacer arm, and an MS/MS reporter generate low mass reporter ions that quantify the ratios of peptides in multiplexed samples. Using this strategy, significant upregulation of interferon-related
proteins and downregulation of proteasomal subunits in the infected samples were observed in this study. They also used immobilized metal affinity chromatography enrichment of nasopharyngeal swab tryptic phosphopeptides and identified more than 8500 phosphorylation sites, among which 194 were upregulated and 213 were downregulated. The alterations were primarily at the phosphorylation level, with the protein level unchanged (Vanderboom et al., 2021).

Bezstarosti et al. first assessed the limit of detection for SARS-CoV-2 N-protein from infected Vero E6 cell lysates analyzed on an Orbitrap Eclipse MS with label-free quantification. They then reported that 4% of the total proteome of the positive nasal swab samples corresponded to SARS-CoV-2 peptides, of which 88% corresponded to N-protein. Next, they developed a PRM method for the viral proteins and applied it to 15 positive clinical patient samples with a stable isotope-labeled N-protein peptide as an internal control. They showed that the abundances of the N-protein peptides correlated with the viral load for nasopharyngeal swab samples (Bezstarosti et al., 2021). Saadi et al. selected proteotypic synthetic peptides as absolute quantification standards corresponding to three viral peptides each from M-, N-, and S-proteins of SARS-CoV-2 from 12 positive nasopharyngeal swabs (compared with 7 negative samples) using a microflow RP-HPLC interfaced with a Q-Orbitrap MS in PRM acquisition mode. Their LC-PRM method confirmed 75% of samples that were positive by RT-PCR assay (Saadi et al., 2021).

Finally, two studies used the MRM acquisition approach. Singh et al. identified 22 viral peptides covering three structural (N-, E- and S-) and one nonstructural (replicase polyprotein 1ab) proteins from 8 positive nasal swab samples on nanoflow RP-HPLC coupled to a TripleTOF 6600 MS (Singh et al., 2020). Next, they developed a short-scheduled MRM method using 8 proteotypic peptides from the four identified proteins. They reported detection of 2 peptides specific to SARS-CoV-2 with 100% and 90.5% specificity, respectively, compared to results from an RT-PCR assay. Pinto et al. employed an S-Trap mini spin column digestion and an LC-MRM method to quantify 23 viral peptides spanning the 4 major structural and 2 accessory proteins from 81 positive samples on a Xevo Triple-Q MS (Pinto et al., 2021). Hober et al. (2021) utilized the SISCAPA approach to enrich viral N-proteins and identified 4 low-abundance targeted peptides of N-protein using a Xevo Triple-Q MS instrument.

The MasSpec Pen is an automated and biocompatible MS handheld device that uses a discrete water droplet to extract biomolecules from ex vivo or in vivo tissue samples for direct transportation to an MS system (J. Zhang et al., 2017). This device has been used to study protein and lipid profiles directly from the tissues from various cancers (Sans et al., 2019; J. Zhang et al., 2017) and is compatible with different MS instruments. As a method for rapid screening of SARS-CoV-2 from mucous secretions, the MasSpec Pen was redesigned to incorporate a disposable sampling device for optimal analysis of swab tips via liquid extraction and direct connection to an ESI MS source. This system was used to analyze lipid profiles from 268 nasal swab samples. The samples were analyzed using two MS systems: LTQ-Orbitrap XL and a QE HF Orbitrap MS with ESI in the negative ion mode. A set of 75 mass spectra were extracted and analyzed for each sample. SARS-CoV-2 positive and negative samples were determined using this tool which were in accordance to existing RT-PCR results, and alterations in lipid profiles were observed between the samples (Garza et al., 2021).

2.3.2 MALDI-MS

The MALDI-TOF peptide mass fingerprinting method has been used to characterize the proteome of SARS-CoV-2 infected nasal swabs without any enrichments from large sample cohorts of 311 (Rocca et al., 2020), 237 patients (Deulofeu et al., 2021), and 107 (Tran et al., 2021), respectively, each of which detected peptides of N-protein only. In the first study, MS testing of the nasal samples were performed on a 8020 MALDI-TOF-MS analyzer. The investigators used the Machine Intelligence Learning Optimizer (MILO) platform that consisted of a combination of supervised and unsupervised algorithms that identifies machine learning models with optimal performance (Tran et al., 2020). With the purpose of rapid screening of SARS-CoV-2, a selected subset of 82 samples (40 positive and 42 negative cases) was first used to validate the MILO models, which was then tested on the remaining 117 samples (67 positive and 50 negative cases). A total of 379,269 models were generated exhibiting high-performance characteristics and two optimized machine learning models were identified with 98.3% and 96.6% accuracy respectively (Tran et al., 2021). Next, samples were analyzed on the Autoflex maX system and the data were used to build Extreme Gradient Boosting Trees and Support Vector Machines algorithms with hyper-variable parameters. This differentiated SARS-CoV-2 positive samples from healthy controls with an accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of more than 90%, promoting a rapid and economic diagnostic testing alternative for COVID-19.
disease. Finally, this study demonstrated the power of machine learning tools to generate models that correspond to distinct fingerprints of nasal swab samples in two different viral transfer media as well (Deulofeu et al., 2021).

Yoshinari et al. demonstrated purification of inactivated SARS-CoV-2 viral particles (focusing on N-protein) first from control nasopharyngeal swabs inoculated with the virus, and then in clinically available samples (19 positive and 4 negative cases) using an ultrafiltration cartridge and anion exchange chromatography step before trypsin digestion. The N-peptides were detected on a JMS-S3000 SpiralTOF-plus MS with a limit of detection of 10^5.7 viral copies and 7 N-peptides were selected as the target molecules for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 (Yoshinari et al., 2022).

Dollman et al. investigated SARS-CoV-2 proteins from both nasal swabs and tryptic digests of whole virus cultured in Vero E6 cells by combining MALDI with Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) MS. The major advantage of the MALDI FT-ICR MS setup is its ability in distinguishing isobars with a high resolving power (greater than 50,000) and mass accuracies (better than 5 ppm). In fact, this tool can provide confident peptide mass assignment from minimal samples without the need for tandem mass spectrometry (Spraggins et al., 2015). However, relatively data slow acquisition rates are a major limitation of this approach. Nasal swab samples were first washed in saline and water, and then passed through a 300 K molecular weight cut-off filter. Cultured viral samples were chemically-inactivated with 1% formalin, heat-inactivated at 100°C for 15 min, filtered, and precipitated using polyethylene glycol, similar to an approach described previously (Fernandes et al., 2014). Cultured viral samples produced higher virus titers which was used for analysis to overcome potential false negative results associated with low viral loads in clinical samples. In determining the power of high-resolution MS, despite low virus titers, peptides of M-, N-, and S-proteins were detected with reduced signal-to-noise ratio in SARS-CoV-2 positive nasal samples. 5 distinct and reliable peptides were consistently identified in all clinical samples for proteotyping of SARS-CoV-2 using this approach (Dollman et al., 2020).

The recently developed MassARRAY system, a benchtop MS, is based on a combination of RT-PCR and MALDI-TOF-MS customized for the detection of nucleic acid molecules (Jurinke et al., 2002). Two separate studies evaluated the sensitivity and reliability of this tool, one with 44 samples and another with a set of 101 nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal swabs that were analyzed using the MassARRAY that effectively detected the viral nucleocapsid genes (Stelzl et al., 2021; Wandernoth et al., 2020).

2.3.3 | Native MS

Native MS has been a particularly challenging tool for SARS-CoV-2 studies because of its extreme degree of heterogeneity and interactions with its host system. Additionally, the highly glycosylated nature of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein and its interaction with the ACE2 receptor makes the analyses more complex, which is why there is a paucity of native MS studies on SARS-CoV-2. Two studies from a single group of researchers used recombinant forms of human ACE2 receptor and the S-protein to perform native MS and molecular modeling. Using a combination of these advanced techniques, they first showed that heparin plays a major disruptor of the SARS-CoV-2 interaction with the host cell receptor (Yang, Hughes, et al., 2020) and then evaluated the interactions between S1 domain of S-protein with the ACE2 ectodomain (Yang et al., 2021). In addition to this, another group of researchers characterized the functional relevance of SARS-CoV-2 N-protein and main protease using native MS. In one study, Lutomski et al. identified multiple N-terminal proteoforms of N-protein that differentially interacted with the antibodies (IgM, IgG, and IgA) from convalescent plasma, whereas C-terminal proteoforms showed increased reactivity with convalescent antibodies. N-protein was also shown to bind to RNA preferentially via the GGG motifs which plays a role in packaging signals (Lutomski et al., 2021). In another study, El-baba et al. investigated the SARS-CoV-2 main protease known to play a role in viral replication. Monomer/dimer equilibrium was analyzed in the presence of several small molecules that non-covalently bound to the protease slowing down the substrate processing, thereby optimizing the use of antiviral compounds in this context (El-Baba et al., 2020). Native and denaturing top-down MS-based approaches to determine the glycosylation of the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein and its interaction with the ACE2 receptor is described in Section 3.4.

3 | GLYCOPROTEOMICS-BASED MS OF SARS-COV-2 VIRAL PROTEINS

Enveloped viruses are often decorated with surface glycoproteins that play vital roles in the viral life cycle and evasion of host immune responses to infection (H. Y. Huang et al., 2022; Tapper, 2006; Watanabe et al., 2019; Watanabe, Berndsen, et al., 2020). Viral evolution and mutation can alter the glycoproteome profile which contributes to the survival and transmissibility of the viruses (H. C. Huang et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2020).
For example, the glycosylated S-protein of SARS-CoV-2 improves viral entry and binding efficacy with the host receptors that when inhibited has shown a reduction in the spread of the infection (Casas-Sanchez et al., 2022; Yang, Hughes, et al., 2020). Understanding the glycoproteome alterations are also essential in identifying vaccine targets, particularly of the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the S-protein (Gstöttner et al., 2021; Watanabe, Berndsen, et al., 2020; Yang, Hughes, et al., 2020). While several forms of protein glycosylation occur in nature, we will focus on N-linked and mucin-type O-linked glycosylation of SARS-CoV-2.

N-linked glycan biosynthesis originates in the endoplasmic reticulum where there is an en bloc transfer of the immature glucose-capped precursors (Glc3Man9GlcNAc2) to an Asn residue in an NxS/T sequon via the oligosaccharyltransferase protein complex (Varki, 2017; Varki & Kornfeld, 2015). A series of glycan processing steps catalyzed by dozens of glycosyltransferases and glycoside hydrolases occur that generates four heterogeneous types of N-glycans: oligomannosidic-, hybrid-, complex-, paucimannosidic-, and chitobiose core types (Mikolajczyk et al., 2020; Nagae et al., 2020; Rini & Esko, 2015), Figure 1A. A common trimannosyl chitobiase core with or without Fuc (Man3GlcNAc2Fuc0–1) is common for oligomannose, complex, and hybrid classes (Breitling & Aebi, 2013), whereas paucimannose type N-glycans range between Man1–3GlcNAc2Fuc0–1 (Thaysen-Andersen et al., 2015). While oligomannose and paucimannose type N-glycans have only Man residues in the antennae, complex type N-glycans contain GlcNAc-Gal-NeuAc antennae or structures with bisecting GlcNAc. Hybrid type N-glycans have one Man-containing arm whereas the other arm is occupied by saccharides other than mannose, including GlcNAc, Gal, NeuAc, and Fuc (D. J. Harvey, 2018). In addition to their original structures, some of these glycan types may have elongated Gal-GlcNAc repeating units, phosphate, or sulfate groups (D. J. Harvey, 2018).

Mucin-type O-glycans are simpler than N-glycans, albeit they lack a single, uniform core structure. Generally, for mucin-type O-glycans, the amino acid residues (Ser, Thr, or Tyr) are covalently attached to a GalNAc residue by polypeptide-N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferases to form the Tn antigen (Pratt et al., 2004) which becomes modified by glycosyltransferases to form a total of 8 mucin-type O-glycan core structures, Figure 1B. Cores 1-4 are common O-GalNAc structures found in nature, where cores 1 and 2 are predominantly found in viruses that infect humans (Cipollo & Parsons, 2020).

Of the four coronaviruses major structural proteins, membrane (M), envelope (E), and spike (S) are known to be glycosylated whereas nucleocapsid (N) protein is heavily phosphorylated (Fung & Liu, 2018). While M- and E-protein glycosylation have not been studied in detail, S-protein glycosylation (derived from cell cultures or commercial recombinant sources) has been studied extensively because of its interaction with the crucial host ACE2 receptor that allows the first viral entry into the host cells (Gong et al., 2021; Shajahan, Archer-Hartmann, et al., 2021).

3.1 Membrane, M-protein

Membrane or M-protein, which defines the viral shape and binds to all other structural proteins, is a
transmembrane protein that becomes glycosylated in the host cell secretory pathway. It contains a short N-terminal ectodomain, 3 hydrophobic transmembrane domains, and a large C-terminal endodomain that play a role in viral particle assembly by interacting with the other structural proteins (Boson et al., 2021; Fu et al., 2021; Thomas, 2020). M-protein resembles closely the semiSWEET family (Semi-sugars Will Eventually be Exported Transporters) of prokaryotic transporters that catalyze diffusion of sugars through concentration gradients (Feng & Frommer, 2015). In silico experiments have predicted 8 N-glycosylation sites: Asn5, Asn21, Asn41, Asn43, Asn117, Asn121, Asn203, and Asn216 (Dawood, 2021; Oostra et al., 2006; Thomas, 2020) but there is a gap in knowledge of site occupancy, glycoforms, and heterogeneity across all the sites. No O-glycosylation has been reported for M-protein (Figure 2A).

3.2 | Nucleocapsid, N-protein

Nucleocapsid or N-protein constitutes the helical ribonucleocapsid that encapsulates the viral genome and interacts with the M protein (which is in a two-dimensional lattice) during viral assembly (C. K. Chang et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2021; Zeng et al., 2020). Using an enzyme-linked immunoassay-based “bait and prey” system and recombinant fusion proteins, interactions between N- and S-proteins were monitored and captured using monoclonal antibodies for N-protein produced in SARS-CoV-2 infected Vero E6 cell cultures (Kim et al., 2021). N-protein contains two major N- and C-terminal domains that are both rich in Ser and Arg motifs (C. K. Chang et al., 2006). Since the N-protein does not pass through the secretory pathway, the protein remains unglycosylated in the assembled virions but is known to be phosphorylated at Ser176 and Thr393 (observed on HEK293-derived N-protein). Supekar et al. compared the posttranslational modifications for N-proteins derived from HEK293 cells, one prepared in-house without a signal peptide sequence, and the other commercially obtained with a signal peptide and channeled through the secretory pathway (Supekar et al., 2021). N- and O-glycans were released, purified and permethylated, and the samples were analyzed on the 5800 MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS and an Orbitrap Fusion MS in DDA mode. The commercial HEK293-derived N-protein with a signal peptide showed extensive N-glycosylation (Asn47, Asn77, Asn192, Asn196, and Asn269), O-glycosylation (Ser23, Thr148, Thr165, Thr166, Thr205, Ser206, Thr245, Thr247, Thr279, Thr391, Thr393, and Ser404) and phosphorylation at Thr393 (Figure 2B). Among the N-glycosylation sites, Asn47 and Asn269 were decorated with complex and oligomannose-type N-glycans respectively, while other sites were found to be unoccupied. However, the native N-protein without a signal peptide showed only a single O-phosphorylation at Ser176 and no glycosylation.
as would be expected for a protein that does not pass through the secretory pathway (Supekar et al., 2021). ADP-ribosylation modifications have also been observed for N-protein from SARS-CoV-1 virus-infected Vero E6 cells (Grunewald et al., 2018). Sumoylation has been identified in SARS-CoV-1 N-protein that was cloned and expressed in mammalian cells (F. Q. Li et al., 2005).

Another study by Sun et al. that used purified N-protein highlights the dangers of using a secreted recombinant form of the protein to assess biologically relevant PTMs. HEK293 or Vero E6 cells were transfected with the plasmid-encoded for SARS-CoV-2 N-protein and after 40–60 h of incubation, the cells were lysed using immunoprecipitation buffer. Purified N-protein fractions after multienzyme digestions using trypsin, chymotrypsin, and Lys-C were enriched for N-glycopeptides using zwitterion hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (ZIC-HILIC) which were then separated on an RP-HPLC connected to a QE HF-X MS in DDA mode (Sun, Zheng, et al., 2021). While this study revealed multiple PTMs including acetylation, succinylation, ubiquitination, methylation, and phosphorylation on the secreted N-protein, there is little evidence that these results are biologically relevant. A total of 27 phosphorylation sites on N-protein were identified out of 80 potential sites (as reported by Group-based Prediction System 5.0; Wang, Xu, et al., 2020). Intact N-glycopeptide profiling confirmed the presence of N-glycosylation on Asn77 (complex-type N-glycans) and Asn269 (oligomannose-type N-glycans), while no evidence was detected for the other sites. O-glycosylation was not investigated in this study (Sun, Zheng, et al., 2021). Research showed presence of N-protein in nasopharyngeal swabs from SARS-CoV-2 positive individuals, the detection of which was improved using an abbreviated workup without reduction and alkylation (Nikolaev et al., 2020).

### 3.3 Envelope, E-protein

Envelope or E-protein, the smallest of the four structural proteins, contains a short outer hydrophilic amino acid terminal domain, a single helix of hydrophobic transmembrane domain and a long inner hydrophilic carboxy-terminal domain (Schoeman & Fielding, 2019). E-protein interacts with M- and N-proteins and the accessory proteins 3a and 7a (Boson et al., 2021) that plays critical roles in viral infectivity (Duart et al., 2020; Nieto-Torres et al., 2014). Based on existing observations and sequence predictions, E-protein of SARS-CoV-2 has two N-glycosylation sites (Asn48 and Asn66) (Duart et al., 2020). Asn66 is known to be decorated with oligomannose-type N-glycans (Duart et al., 2020) whereas Asn48 is predicted to be a site which is difficult to be glycosylated due to its proximity of the residue to the membrane in the hydrophobic region (Schoeman & Fielding, 2019). No O-glycosylation have been reported for E-protein (Figure 2C).

### 3.4 Spike, S-protein

Spike or S-protein is a trimeric transmembrane protein made up of two subunits; S1 (~100–200 kDa, composed of 672 aa) with an N- and C-terminal domain and two subdomains, and S2 (~80–150 kDa, 588 aa) with a hydrophobic N-terminal domain, two heptad repeats, a transmembrane domain and a cytoplasmic tail (Du et al., 2009; Duan et al., 2020; Y. Huang et al., 2020; Riley et al., 2021; Wrapp et al., 2020). S-protein binds to the ACE2 receptor of the host cells via the RBD (319–541 aa) of the S1 subunit, an interaction that determines the infectivity and transmissibility rate of SARS-CoV-2 (Gong et al., 2021; Walls et al., 2020). S2 subunit is involved in the fusion of the viral and host cellular membranes (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Shajahan, Archer-Hartmann, et al., 2021). The heavy glycosylation of both S-protein and ACE2 receptor may play a role in fostering binding mechanism to initiate infectivity (Campos et al., 2022; Gong et al., 2021; Shang et al., 2020; H. Zhang, Rostami, et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). Interestingly, some studies have indicated that altering the host glycosylation can effectively inhibit viral binding to the host. Particularly, human milk oligosaccharides that are heavily decorated with α−2,6-sialyllactose are capable of preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection (Moore et al., 2021; Sheng et al., 2022). In-depth characterization of the viral glycoproteome is therefore vital to understand the roles of SARS-CoV-2 in host-pathogen mechanisms. A comparison of S-protein from MERS and SARS-CoV-1 with the SARS-CoV-2, respectively, concluded that coronaviruses are extensively shielded (~40%) from antibody recognition due to the glycan decoration (Allen et al., 2021; B. G. Cho et al., 2021; Grant et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2019; Yang, Hughes, et al., 2020). Owing to these functions and its antigenicity, S-protein is often the chosen target for vaccine and therapeutic developments (Du et al., 2009; Hussain et al., 2020; Papageorgiou & Mohsin, 2020; Samrat et al., 2020; Yang, Hughes, et al., 2020; Y. Zhang & Kutateladze, 2020; Zhao et al., 2021).

Previous studies on cryo-based electron microscopy performed on trimeric S-protein from SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 resolved at least 16 N-glycosites with several glycoforms occupancy (Ke et al., 2020; Romeo et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2016; Walls et al., 2020; Wrapp et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2017). In 2009, Ritchie et al.
Figure 3. Glycosylation of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. (A) Overview of N- and O-linked glycosites found on the S1 and S2 subunits of spike protein. (B) Site-specific micro-heterogeneity observed on 22 N-glycosites and 2 O-glycosites of recombinant spike protein derived from HEK293 cells.

characterized N-glycans of S-protein from SARS-CoV-1 infected Vero E6 cells using a combination of normal-phase HPLC with exoglycosidase digestion and MALDI-TOF-MS. Oligomannosylation was found to be the major class of N-glycans, followed by complex-type N-glycans comprising of bi-, tri-, and tetra-antennary structures with and without bisecting GlcNAc (Ritchie, Harvey, & Stroeher, 2010). Later, SARS-CoV-2 S-protein derived recombinantly in the monomeric and trimeric forms, from cultured viral stocks and from virus-infected cells were analyzed using site-specific MS approaches, where a number of studies confirmed a total of 22 N-glycosites with more than 95% occupancy and high macro- and micro-heterogeneity (Antonopoulos et al., 2021; Bagdonaite et al., 2021; D. Chang et al., 2021; Duan et al., 2020; Sandra et al., 2021; Shajahan et al., 2020; Shajahan, Archer-Hartmann, et al., 2021; Y. Zhang, Zhao, et al., 2021a; Zhao et al., 2021). Apart from the canonical N-glycosylation sequons, 3 other non-canonical motifs of N-glycosites with cysteine sequon are known including Asn164, Asn334, and Asn536, but no glycoforms have been identified on these sites (Gong et al., 2021; Y. Zhang, Zhao, et al., 2021a). S1 subunit of SARS-CoV-2 has 13 putative N-glycosites: Asn17, Asn61, Asn74, Asn122, Asn149, Asn165, Asn234, Asn282, Asn331, Asn343, Asn603, Asn616, and Asn657, and 3 putative O-glycosites: Thr323, Thr325, and Thr678. S2 subunit has 9 putative N-glycosites: Asn709, Asn717, Asn801, Asn1074, Asn1098, Asn1134, Asn1158, Asn1173, and Asn1194. At least 60 O-glycosites have been identified on S-protein, of which many belong to the RBD region; however, the exact glycoforms and sites of occupancy are still under investigation (Figure 3A) (Ritchie, Harvey, & Stroeher, 2010; Roberts et al., 2021; Sandra et al., 2021; Shajahan et al., 2020; Shajahan, Archer-Hartmann, et al., 2021; Tian et al., 2021; Y. Zhang, Zhao, et al., 2021a; S. Zhang, Go, et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2021).

Glycosylation of recombinant trimeric S-protein expressed in different systems have been studied by various groups around the world. While the identified N- and O-glycosites are mostly consistent across different studies, the glycan compositions and occupancies on each site are unique depending on the analysis platform and expression system, respectively (Krishnan & Krishnan, 2021). As an example, the micro-heterogeneity of N- and O-glycoforms observed for only HEK293-derived S-protein from different research groups are depicted in Figure 3B.

Shajahan et al. characterized the N- and O-glycoproteomes of both S1 and S2 protein subunits expressed in HEK293-derived cell culture supernatants...
on an Orbitrap Fusion MS in DDA mode. A total of 17 out of 22 N-glycosylation sites were detected with heterogeneous glycoforms and 5 sites (Asn17, Asn603, Asn1134, Asn1158, and Asn1173) were found to remain unoccupied. Asn331 and Asn343 were heavily decorated with oligomannose-type N-glycans. The Asn234 and Asn282 sites (adjacent to RBD) contained highly sialylated complex structures that may influence virus binding to the ACE2 receptors. O-glycosylation on sites Thr323 and Ser325 from a single O-glycopeptide indicated the presence of core-1 and core-2 mucin-type O-glycans on Thr323, and a plausible core-2 mucin-type
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O-glycan on Ser325 which needs further confirmatory evidence (Shajahan et al., 2020). By contrast, Sanda et al. analyzed N- and O-glycoproteome of recombinant histidine-tagged trimeric S-protein expressed in HEK293 cells on an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos MS in DDA mode and on a cyclic ion mobility mass spectrometer (cIMS). Efforts to improve IM-MS technology for high mobility resolution in separating isomers led to the development of cIMS instrument that operates on the principle of travelling wave ion mobility. Ions travel
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through the cyclic device where they get separated based on mobility through single or multiple passes that are detected on the TOF analyzer. An increased path length through the circular track greatly increases the mobility resolution (Giles et al., 2019). Data were analyzed using Byonic and Driftscope, respectively, and identified 17 out of 22 N-glycosylation sites. While Asn17 and Asn603 remained unoccupied in accordance with Shajahan et al. (Shajahan et al., 2020); Asn1134, Asn1158, and Asn1173 were found to be glycosylated with more than 90% occupancy. Asn234 was exclusively occupied by oligomannose-type N-glycans whereas all other sites were predominantly decorated by complex-type N-glycans with many containing LacdiNAc and polyNac structural motifs (Sanda et al., 2021). 8 O-glycopeptides occupied by core-1 and core-2 mucin-type structures on Thr323 and Ser325 were identified, along with 13% occupancy of both O-glycan types on Thr678 (Sanda et al., 2021).

Watanabe, Berndsen, et al. (2020) expressed and purified 3 biological replicates of the recombinant soluble stabilized trimeric S-protein (with substitution at furin cleavage sites) from HEK293F cells, similar to the S-protein obtained from cryo-based electron microscopy studies (Wrapp et al., 2020). The majority of the sites and glycoforms identified in this study were in accordance with other publications; however, this study detected only low abundances of oligomannose-type N-glycans on Asn331 and Asn343, hybrid-type N-glycans on many sites, and did not report on the presence of LacdiNAc structures (Watanabe, Berndsen, et al., 2020). O-glycosylation was not studied in this study.

Zhang et al. attempted to compare the N-glycosylation profile of HEK293-derived recombinant S-protein and S-protein S1 and RBD subunit expressed in High Five insect cells. Samples were enriched for intact N-glycopeptides using ZIC-HILIC and analyzed on an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos MS in DDA acquisition mode. Identifying all 22 N-glycosites in both systems, HEK293-derived S-protein were dominated by complex-type N-glycans whereas insect-derived S-protein was oligomannose-rich (Y. Zhang, Zhao, et al., 2021a) highlighting the differences in glycoforms based on different species-specific glycosylation pathways. Similar observations of oligomannose-rich N-glycosylation on S-protein secreted from baculovirus-insect cells was made by Zhou et al. (2021).

O-glycosylation profiling was conducted by Bagdonaiite et al. between HEK293F and Drosophila melanogaster S2 cells-derived S-protein analyzed on both Orbitrap Fusion Lumos MS and QE HF-X MS. A set of 25 O-linked glycosites were confidently identified with similar pattern across both HEK293 and S2 cell-types. Interestingly, more than 60% of the identified sites were located next to an unmodified N-glycosite (Bagdonaitė et al., 2021). These observations were also found consistent with a study where researchers analyzed the O-glycoproteome landscape of recombinant S-protein expressed in HEK293 cells and High Five baculovirus-insect cells (Y. Zhang, Zhao, et al., 2021b). Research has also highlighted the importance of O-linked glycosylation in the RBD region when expressed as a monomer where the Thr323 site is almost completely occupied, in contrast to the native S-protein where Thr323 displays low O-glycan occupancy (Eldrid et al., 2021).

Antonopoulos et al. (2021) performed glycoproteomics analysis of the RBD (Arg319-Phe541) region of monomeric S1 subunit recombinantly expressed in HEK293 cells on a Xevo G2-XX Q-TOF MS system that identified two N-glycosylation sites: Asn331 and Asn343. In contrast to the findings presented by Shajahan et al., both the sites contained exclusively complex-type N-glycans with 98% core-fucosylation. They confirmed presence of LacdiNAc and polyNac structural motifs using MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS. A very small proportion of paucimannose-type N-glycan was also detected. A variety of O-linked glycopeptides were observed with high site occupancy; for example, Thr323 site was found to be decorated with core-1 di-sialyl mucin-type O-glycans (Antonopoulos et al., 2021). Alternatively, nuclear magnetic resonance-based studies of the RBD region identified similar epitopes of GalNAc and Fuc, as reported by Antonopoulos et al. (2021). Further, experiments were conducted to identify the interaction of S-protein with relevant receptors and lectins such as DC SIGN, MGL, siglecs, and galectins (Lenza et al., 2020).

Brun et al. compared the site-specific glycosylation changes among S-protein derived from SARS-CoV-2 cultured virus propagated in Vero E6 and Calu-3 cells, recombinant trimeric S-protein expressed in HEK293F cells, expression constructs of S-protein obtained from plasmids including nonstabilized S-protein, recombinant trimeric soluble S-protein and S1 subunit of S-protein. Affinity purification was performed in the same manner for all the S-protein types against CR3022 antibodies (recombinant monoclonal form expressed in HEK293F cells) and data were acquired using a QE HF-X Orbitrap MS in DDA acquisition mode (Brun et al., 2021). Significant site-specific N- and O-glycome variations were found between the different S-proteins which were suggested to appear due to the distinctive cellular secretion pathways resulting in altered glycosylation profiles. For example, S-protein from viral cultures showed 79% complex-type and 21% oligomannose-type N-glycans, whereas the recombinant trimeric form carried 89% complex-type and only 11% oligomannose-type N-glycans. Byonic and Bylogic software were used.
to obtain the area of each extracted ion chromatogram to subsequently quantify the site-specific glycosylation on each site. Asn234 showed 100% complex-type N-glycans for recombinant S1 subunit which was completely absent from the recombinant trimeric S-protein and S-protein obtained from viral cultures. An O-glycan site, Thr678, was identified on S-protein from viral cultures and was found to be absent on the recombinant forms (Brun et al., 2021). Furthermore, Wang et al. investigated the differences in glycosylation of recombinant S-protein S1 subunit obtained from different expression host systems including HEK293 and baculovirus-insect cell cultures. N- and O-glycans were detected on MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS and on Orbitrap Fusion Lumos MS with DDA acquisition (Y. Wang et al., 2021). A set of 12 and 13 N-glycosites were identified on the S1 subunit derived from HEK293 and baculovirus systems respectively. Generally, HEK293-derived S-protein predominantly contained complex-type N-glycans, whereas insect-derived S-protein were found to be rich in oligomannose-type N-glycans. Site-specific glycoform variations were also identified where for example Asn149 of HEK293 was decorated with only complex-type N-glycans, while insect-derived Asn149 showed 50% hybrid, 30% oligomannose, and 20% complex-type N-glycans. Additionally, 9 and 15 O-glycosites were identified on the S1 subunit derived from HEK293 and baculovirus systems respectively. The O-glycosylation pattern was found to be more diverse and complex for the insect-derived S-protein when compared to HEK293 cells. Combining these observations indicated that the glycosylation of recombinant S-protein may not be representative of the native S-protein found in biological samples. This is an important consideration when selecting an expression system to develop relevant antibodies and vaccines for SARS-CoV-2.

Another major tropism determinant of SARS-CoV-2 spread is its interaction with the glycosylated ACE2 receptor commonly found on human host cells (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Mehdipour & Hummer, 2021; Oz et al., 2021; Shang et al., 2020). The N-terminal domain of ACE2 has 7 putative N-glycosylation sites: Asn53, Asn90, Asn103, Asn322, Asn432, Asn546, and Asn690 and several potential O-glycosylation sites (Casalino et al., 2020; Gong et al., 2021; Shajahan, Archer-Hartmann, et al., 2021; Sun, Ren et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2020) that potentially play vital roles in virus binding. Investigations have already elucidated that inhibition of glycosylation on specific sites (Asn122, Asn331, Asn334, Asn717, Asn801, and Asn1074) significantly reduces the viral infectivity rate (Wang, Xu et al., 2020). Researchers continue to investigate the glycosylation profile of the ACE2 receptor to identify the features responsible for the virus-receptor binding mechanisms.

Shajahan et al. characterized the N- and O-glycosylation of recombinant histidine-tagged human ACE2 expressed in HEK293 cells on an Orbitrap Fusion MS and on MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS (permethylated glycans). All 7 N-glycosites on ACE2 were identified with more than 73% occupancy of complex-type N-glycans with high degree of sialylation and multiple antennae and primarily core-fucosylated structures. Out of all the sites, the Asn432 and Asn690 sequons were found to be ~27% and ~1% unoccupied, respectively with equal proportion of sialylated and non-sialylated structures. A single O-glycosite, Thr730, was confidently assigned with almost 97% occupancy of core-1 mucin-type O-glycans (Shajahan, Archer-Hartmann, et al., 2021).

Subsequently, Zhao et al. used a glycomics-informed glycoproteomics approach (Rosenbalm et al., 2020) with molecular dynamic simulations to assess the SARS-CoV-2 and ACE2 interactions (Zhao et al., 2020). This study used purified recombinant trimeric S-protein and recombinant histidine-tagged human ACE2, both expressed in HEK293F cells, applied different proteolytic digestions and released N- and O-linked glycans, conducted extensive LC-MS/MS acquisitions on an Orbitrap Lumos MS and performed analysis on multiple software for accurate data validations. All 22 N-glycosites of S-protein were detected showing a massive degree of microheterogeneity in glycoforms spanning oligomannose-, complex-, and hybrid-type N-glycans. N-glycans at sites Asn17, Asn74, Asn122, Asn149, Asn331, Asn616, Asn801, Asn1098, Asn1158, Asn1173, and Asn1194 were also found to be decorated with sulfated complex-type N-glycans. Sulfation on Asn74 was particularly in agreement with findings from Klein et al. where the authors re-analyzed publicly available data (Watanabe, Berndsen, et al., 2020) that further reported the presence of sulfated N-glycans on other sites such as Asn234 and Asn1074 (manuscript under review, doi 10.1101/2020.05.31.125302). O-glycosylation on Thr323 was observed with only 11% occupancy of core-1 and core-2 mucin-type O-glycans. Using their systematic approach, the authors provided finer structural details of the S-protein and highlighted the shielding effect of N-glycans on the peptide backbone which was in agreement with other studies (Allen et al., 2021; Watanabe, Berndsen, et al., 2020). A total of 6 out of 7 N-glycosylation sites on ACE2 was observed with more than 75% occupancy of complex-type N-glycans, and limited oligomannose- and hybrid-type N-glycans. No sulfated N-linked glycans were detected. Additionally, the Ser155 O-glycosite could be confidently assigned but several other O-glycosites were observed in extremely low stoichiometry. Finally, glycan-mediated interactions, specific to this system, were reported between the Asn74 and Asn149 sites of
S-protein and Asn90, Asn322, and Asn546 sites of ACE2 receptor (Zhao et al., 2020). In a molecular dynamics simulation-focused study by Casalino et al., the authors revealed that the N-glycans on Asn165 and Asn234 of the RBD region of S-protein influenced ACE2 recognition. Using bio-layer interferometry, deletion of glycans from these two N-glycosites significantly reduced the binding affinity with ACE2 receptor (Casalino et al., 2020). However, the evolution in the S-protein glycoforms across the different variants is still unknown.

Interestingly, Sun, Ren, et al. (2021) reported that the binding of recombinant S-protein ectodomain expressed in insect cells and the recombinant extracellular domain of ACE2 receptor expressed in HEK 293 cells did not only depend on the N-glycosylation. Using binding kinetics, the authors deduced that the ACE2 receptor bound to the deglycosylated S-protein. The authors concluded based on proteomics database search results that methylation was present on lysine, arginine, and/or glutamic acid residues of both S-protein and that ACE2 also contained hydroxylproline residues. While the study identified these PTMs through database searches, it will be important to confirm the presence of these PTMs and their biological significance using careful experiments to address if these modifications really play a role in the interactions between S-protein and ACE2 receptor. S-protein was expressed in Spodoptera frugiperda SF9 insect cells and analyzed on QE HF-X MS in DDA mode. The binding evaluation was measured by bio-layer interferometry on an Octet Re6E system. A total of 21 N-glycosites were identified with glycoforms similar to other studies (Brun et al., 2021; Y. Wang et al., 2021). In another study, Liu et al. developed a novel MS-based two-step isotope labelling-lysine reactivity profiling method to quantify the reactivity of lysine residues that are known to be crucial between the S1 subunit-ACE2 receptor interactions. Recombinant S1 subunit and ACE2 receptor proteins were labelled, digested with Glu-C or chymotrypsin and analyzed on an LTQ-Orbitrap MS. Interestingly, significant alterations in lysine reactivities were observed particularly between Lys386-Lys462 that was essential in the formation of the protein-receptor complex (Liu et al., 2020). Recently, a study reported that a loss in glycosylation on Asn370 site of S-protein fosters an enhanced infectivity for SARS-CoV-2. Previously observed on bat and pangolin-derived coronavirus S-protein trimers (S. Zhang et al., 2021), the glycosylation site at Asn370 was found to be lost in the S-protein from SARS-CoV-2 because of the threonine-to-alanine mutation at that position that may have occurred over the viral evolution process. For this reason, the authors attempted to restore this N-glycosite of S-protein expressing it in different systems, and demonstrated through MS, surface plasmon resonance, and molecular dynamic simulation experiments that the absence of glycosylation on Asn370 facilitated a more efficient binding to the ACE2 receptor thereby providing a higher capacity for infection (S. Zhang, Liang, et al., 2022).

Researchers have also investigated enrichment and trigger methods to detect low-abundant glycopeptides of S-protein. In one study, dual-functionalized titanium (IV)-immobilized metal affinity chromatography approach was employed on HEK293-derived recombinant S-protein to separate neutral and sialyl glycopeptides analyzed on an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos MS (H. C. Huang et al., 2021). A total of 19 of 22 potential N-glycosites with 398 unique glycoforms were profiled. Comparisons were drawn with HILIC-MS analysis which identified 18 N-glycosites with only 247 unique glycoforms. This approach also identified a mannose-6-phosphate glycan on Asn74 site and an O-linked glycosylation site at Thr323 with three glycoforms. This approach assisted in eliminating signal suppression from neutral glycopeptides to increase detection of sialylated glycopeptides thereby increasing the overall glycoproteome coverage of S-protein (H. C. Huang et al., 2021). In another study, W. Wang, Xu, et al. (2020) analyzed S-protein from HEK293 cells and SF9 insect cells on an Orbitrap Eclipse MS using a signature ion-triggered electron transfer/higher-energy collision dissociation (EThCD) method. While HCD, the commonly used fragmentation mode on Q-Orbitrap instruments generates efficient dissociation, EThCD fragmentation provides more abundant peptide backbone fragments that are useful for complete structural elucidation of glycopeptides. Glycan oxonium fragments of m/z 138.0545 (HexNac fragment), m/z 204.0867 (HexNac), and m/z 366.1396 (HexNacHex) were included to trigger the ETD fragmentation. A set of 21 N-glycosites with the known glycoforms were identified, and the presence of paucimannosylated N-glycans were also confirmed in this approach. The authors also investigated the S1 subunit of S-protein which primarily contained complex-type N-glycans. Many truncated N-glycans with core-fucosylation were observed on the S-protein expressed in insect cells (Wang, Xu, et al., 2020). Glycosylation machinery in insects support a hexosaminidase pathway that can expectedly generate abundant paucimannosidic N-glycans (Tjondro et al., 2019). While paucimannosylation is known to play important roles in human cancers, inflammation, and stemness (Chatterjee et al., 2019), its exact involvement in the context of SARS-CoV-2 infection is yet to be determined. While DDA-MS acquisition has frequently and successfully been used by research groups, Chang et al. analyzed N-glycosites of recombinant S-protein without the furin cleavage site from HEK293 cells using both DDA and DIA approaches. Samples were
separated on a nano RP-UPLC system before acquiring the data on a QE HF-MS instrument. This study identified 22 N-glycosites using DDA approach with the glycoforms in agreement with other findings, whereas DIA approach identified 20 N-glycosites with many more low-abundant glycoforms. The study indicated that to survey the evolving viral glycoproteins, DIA mode can provide a higher sensitivity, selectivity, and reproducibility across the identified glycopeptides (D. Chang et al., 2021).

Finally, investigators have also explored top-down MS-based methods of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein with its glycoforms. Using charge detection mass spectrometry (CDMS) approach, Miller et al. attempted to investigate the heterogeneity of N- and O-glycosylation of S-protein from HEK293, HEK293S, CHO and insect cell systems comparing it with existing glycoproteomics studies. CDMS measures both m/z and charge of individual ions making it a suitable approach to analyze large protein complexes or other heterogeneous macromolecules. Top-down CDMS measurements indicated that the glycans were 35%–47% larger than the typical bottom-up glycoproteomics approaches and some trimers were more heavily processed than the other ones (Miller et al., 2021). Additionally, in another study, structural O-glycoform heterogeneity on S-protein RBD expressed in HEK293 cells was explored using native and denaturing top-down MS employing TIMS-TOF MS and FT-ICR MS, respectively. The authors exploited the exclusive features of these different mass analyzers to delineate a complete structural information of intact O-glycoforms on S-protein RBD. The ion mobility separation capacity of TIMS-TOF was used to identify native protein conformers of the RBD region whereas the ultrahigh resolving power of 12 T on FT-ICR MS instrument was used to provide an in-depth characterization of the RBD O-glycoforms. A total of eight O-glycoforms spanning core-1 and core-2 type O-glycans with their abundances and occupancies on RBD were reported using this approach. N-terminal acetylation and sulfonation found on the glycans were not previously reported, thus overcoming the challenges of structural elucidation of O-glycan proteoforms using bottom-up glycoproteomics approaches (Roberts et al., 2021). Similarly, Gstöttner et al. also determined both N- and O-glycosylation abundances on S-protein RBD expressed in CHO and HEK293 cells using a combination of bottom-up and top-down approaches (Gstöttner et al., 2021). In a recent study by Wilson et al., (2022) top-down MS-based analysis of S-protein RBD was greatly enhanced by using HILIC separation method that identified several low abundant forms showing the presence of more than 200 glycoforms only on the RBD. An in-depth characterization of the S-protein with its two subunits including the RBD region as well as the ACE2 receptor has provided important information on the N- and O-glycome profile of this viral protein and human host receptor that forms the first key step in understanding the glycobiology of viral pathogenesis. However, it should also be noted that these observations are largely dependent on factors including the recombinant nature and the expression system of the proteins, employing enrichment or purification methods for the peptides or glycopeptides, MS instruments, parameters, and acquisitions modes as well as the data analyses tools (Hackett & Zaia, 2021). Hence, even though these essential preliminary findings advance our understanding on the glycosylation pattern of S-protein and ACE2 receptor, they may not necessarily be representative of the actual biological scenario.

### 3.5 Accessory proteins

Out of the other SARS-CoV-2 accessory viral proteins, ORF3a (P0DTC3, ~31.2 kDa, 274 aa), a nonstructural accessory protein localized on the surface is essential for viral replication and transcription. ORF3a is known to contain an N-terminal ectodomain, three transmembrane domains, and a C-terminal endodomain (Tan et al., 2004). ORF3a protein was found to localize in the Golgi compartment as well as on the cell surface, from which it is presumably endocytosed (Tan et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2004). According to hydrophobicity analyses and topology studies, ORF3a has four O-linked glycosites (Ser27, Thr28, Thr32, and Thr34) but there is no information on the O-glycoforms (Oostra et al., 2006; Yadav et al., 2021). An absence of N-glycosylation has been confirmed in ORF3a (Majumdar & Niyogi, 2020).

### 4 Conclusion and Future Directions

In this review, we first summarized the current state-of-the-art MS-based proteomics approaches used to characterize the SARS-CoV-2 proteome obtained from clinical human nasopharyngeal nasal swabs. Based on the research question, a number of different sample preparation workflows and LC-MS instrumentation and methods were used to detect SARS-CoV-2 proteins. Many of the studies in the early 2019–2020 used DDA-based workflows that revealed several upregulated and downregulated proteins caused by COVID-19 infection. The use of enrichment tools was shown to improve the detection of proteolytic peptides primarily of SARS-CoV-2 M-, N-, and
S-proteins from infected cell cultures or biological samples with an abundance of proteins from both host and virus. Multi-enzyme digestion prior or in parallel to enrichments also allow increased peptide detection. Alternatively, peptides of interest may be isolated using appropriate SWATH- or DIA-based MS methods. As discussed in Section 2.3, each MS analyzer came with its own benefits and limitations, but the experimental choices including the acquisition compatibility with instruments were heavily dependent on the aims of the study. Only a few studies reported on the presence of the less abundant E-protein and accessory proteins which are still under investigation.

Next, we recapitulated the known glycosylation pattern of SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins from commercial or expression sources, highlighting the different methods and glycoproteomics-based MS approaches used to profile the N- and O-glycoproteome, particularly of recombinantly expressed S-protein and ACE2 receptor. The macro- and micro-heterogeneity of protein glycosylation make it harder to accurately identify glycopeptides with their multiple glycoforms, but with the advancement of MS techniques and bioinformatics, observations have shed light on the potential glycosites and glycoforms that are now known to play significant roles in viral binding to the host receptors. Generally, the studies have emphasized on the identification of the glycosites, glycopeptides, and glycan compositions using high-throughput MS, but only few have deduced the isomers and linkages and attempted to quantify the detected glycans. Notably, many N- and O-glycosites are lacking information on the extensive isomeric glycoforms and occupancies that still needs to be investigated further to get a complete glycoproteome picture of SARS-CoV-2. Glycopeptide enrichment using suitable HILIC resin is a common step for glycopeptide detection because analysis of the enriched fraction, devoid of the unmodified peptides, allows better separation and fragmentation of glycopeptides. While HILIC is popularly used for enrichment before LC-MS, the chromatography separation is often performed on the C18-RP setup. RP-LC is robust in separating glycopeptides based on the hydrophobic nature of the peptide part; but produces only a small degree of separation based on the glycopeptide glycan composition. While a few reports have suggested that HILIC is capable of resolving some glycan isomers (Huang et al., 2016; van der Burgt et al., 2020), particularly for top-down MS-based characterization of SARS-CoV-2 (Wilson et al., 2022), this has yet to be tested on the viral protein glycopeptides.

While there has been much focus on studying S-protein for its interaction with the ACE2 receptor, glycoprofiling of other structural proteins such as M-, N-, and E-proteins remain under investigation. There is also a considerable gap in understanding of the protein glycosylation alterations of the SARS-CoV-2 structural viral proteins from biologically relevant samples (nasal- or oro-pharyngeal swabs, or other biological fluids), what mutations occur, how the glycosylation profile changes across the different variants of concern, and if new methods and bioinformatics approaches are needed to accurately quantify site-specific glycosylation changes. Together, these findings will form essential components for sensitive vaccine and therapeutic developments against SARS-CoV-2.
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