Function and Distribution of the Wamide Neuropeptide Superfamily in Metazoans
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The Wamide neuropeptide superfamily is of interest due to its distinctive functions in regulating life cycle transitions, metamorphic hormone signaling, and several aspects of digestive system function, from gut muscle contraction to satiety and fat storage. Due to variation among researchers in naming conventions, a global view of Wamide signaling in animals in terms of conservation or diversification of function is currently lacking. Here, I summarize the phylogenetic distribution of Wamide neuropeptides based on current data and describe recent findings in the areas of Wamide receptors and biological functions. Common trends that emerge across Cnidarians and protostomes are the presence of multiple Wamide receptors within a single organism, and the fact that Wamide signaling likely functions across an extensive variety of biological systems, including visual, circadian, and reproductive systems. Important areas of focus for future research are the further identification of Wamide-receptor pairs, confirmation of the phylogenetic distribution of Wamides through largescale sequencing and mass spectrometry, and assignment of different functions to specific subsets of Wamide-expressing neurons. More extensive study of Wamide signaling throughout larval development in a greater number of phyla is also important in order to understand the role of Wamides in hormonal regulation. Defining the evolution and function of neuropeptide signaling in animal nervous systems will benefit from an increased understanding of Wamide function and signaling mechanisms in a wider variety of organisms, beyond the traditional model systems.
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INTRODUCTION

Neuropeptides are short peptidergic molecules released by animal neurons that act as modulators or hormones to regulate biological processes. These signaling molecules are notable for being present in the nervous system of early metazoans, and for their important functions in regulating animal behavior and physiology. Historically, neuropeptides have been named according to their function, or where function is unknown, according to repetitive conserved sequence motifs found in the precursor peptide. These naming strategies have the unfortunate consequence of often obscuring neuropeptide relationships across species or phyla. The Wamide neuropeptide superfamily is a striking example of this. Wamides are repetitive proneuropeptides that contain multiple cleavage sites flanking short, amidated active peptides with a conserved C-terminal tryptophan (W). This neuropeptide superfamily is of ancient origin, already present in the last common ancestor of cnidarians and protostomes (1). Depending on the species in which they were studied, Wamides have been referred to as myoinhibitory peptide (MIP), allatostatin B...
(ASTB), prothoracicostatic peptide (PTSP), WWamide, GLWamide, or metamorphosin A (MMA). Even the name “Wamide” is not ideal for defining this neuropeptide family, since neuropeptides from other families may also contain a C-terminal amidated tryptophan residue. For example, adipokinetic hormone (AKH) in some insect species, molluscan APGWamides and echinoderm luqins, and some insect short neuropeptide F’s (sNPF) also have C-terminal Wamide motifs, but phylogenetic analyses indicate that these neuropeptides belong to the AKH/corazonin/ACP/GnRH superfamily, the neuropeptide F’s (sNPF) also have C-terminal Wamide motifs, and the RYa/luqin, and the sNPF/prolactin superfamilies, respectively (2–5), therefore they are not considered here. In this mini review, I aim to unite recent knowledge of Wamide expression and function in diverse phyla to improve understanding of their evolutionary history and identify remaining knowledge gaps where future research could enlighten the evolution of Wamide function and mechanism of action.

A BRIEF HISTORY OF WAMIDE DISCOVERY

The first known Wamide discovered was locust myoinhibitory peptide (LOM-MIP). LOM-MIP was identified as a suppressor of visceral muscle contraction in hindgut and oviduct in 1991 (6). Subsequently, WWamide neuropeptide was identified in the mollusc Achatina fulica (7) and the first cnidarian GLWamide, known as metamorphosin A (MMA), was identified in Anthopleura elegantissima (8). Initially, both WWamide and MMA were considered novel peptides unrelated to insect MIPs. The term allatostatin B was used to describe MIPs which inhibited juvenile hormone III synthesis in the cricket Gryllus bimaculatus (9). In the silkworm Bombyx mori, MIPs were anointed “prothoracicostatic hormone” (PTSP), due to their inhibition of ec dysone synthesis in this species (10), although cricket ASTBs were also found to inhibit ovarian ec dysy steroid synthesis prior to this (11). The first crustacean Wamide was identified in crab in 2005 and was noted to be related to insect ASTBs (12).

Fifteen years after the discovery of LOM-MIP, the term “Wamides” was first used to describe this neuropeptide superfamily in the construction of a metazoan neuropeptide database by Liu et al. (13), who grouped Arthropod MIP/ASTB/PTSP, cnidarian GLWamides, molluscan WWamides and nematode MIPs within the Wamide family. Annelid Wamides were identified in 2011 by Veenstra (14), who noted their link to insect and mollusc Wamides. Genome analysis of the gastropod Lottia gigantea reinforced the link between molluscan WWamides and insect ASTB (15). Largescale similarity-based clustering of metazoan neuropeptides revealed that Wamides form part of the ancient central cluster of repetitive proneuropeptides that give rise to short, amidated peptides, with representative sequences from annelids, molluscs, platyhelminths, nematodes, arthropods, and cnidarians (1).

PHYLOGENETIC DISTRIBUTION OF WAMIDES

Following initial discoveries of Wamides through peptide purification and sequencing, recent largescale genomic and transcriptomic analyses have enabled a more complete view of Wamide distribution throughout the animal kingdom (Figure 1). Wamide neuropeptides also occur in brachiopods (18), tardigrades and priapulids (19–21). Transcriptome analysis of xenacoelomorph neuropeptides did not find myoinhibitory peptide orthologs, although a putative MIP receptor was found in an acocel (22). A transcript fragment of a MIP can be found however in a Xenoturbella bockii transcriptome dataset used to identify homeobox genes (21), thus the presence of MIP signaling in xenacoelomorphs remains uncertain for now. Extensive transcriptome analyses recently confirmed the presence of Wamides in all molluscan groups, but also supported the absence of Wamides (ASTB) in phoronids, platyhelminths and rotifers, as well as ectoprocts and entoprocts (23).

Despite extensive sequencing data, Wamides have not been identified in deuterostomes, although phylogenetic analysis of GPCRs identified orphan human GPCR139 and GPCR142 as potential MIP receptor orthologs (24). Ligands for these receptors have not been confirmed in vivo but are suggested to be small peptides or amino acids, such as L-Trp (W) and L-Phe (F) (25, 26). Therefore, while a Wamide signaling system arose early in metazoan evolution, in a cnidarian/bilaterian ancestor, it appears to have been lost multiple times during evolution, including in ambulacrarians and tunicates. This trend also occurs within phyla, for example, although widespread among insects, Wamides have not been found in the honey bee, wasp, or leaf-cutting ants (27, 28). A precise picture of Wamide gain and loss throughout metazoan evolution requires further sequence data, particularly from lesser-studied spiralian and ecdysozoan phyla.

Wamide distribution among early branching metazoan phyla is of ongoing interest. Recent bioinformatic analyses indicate that among cnidarians, GLWamides are absent in class Scyphozoa, Staurozoa, and Octocorallia (29). The same analysis uncovers new G[A/V/T]Wamides in Cubozoa, Scyphozoa, and Staurozoa. How these new cnidarian Wamides relate to GLWamides and the rest of the Wamide neuropeptide superfamily awaits further investigation, however it is interesting to note that an [A/V/T]Wamide C-terminal motif also occurs in arthropod, mollusc and annelid Wamides (Figure 2). In the placozoan Trichoplax adhaerens, an RWamide precursor was found through bioinformatic prediction (30). Placozoan RWamide has strong resemblance to cnidarian GLWamides (Figure 2), however since some luqin/RYamide and sNPF/prolactin family neuropeptides also show a conserved RWamide C-terminal motif (4, 5), it is currently unclear to which superfamily the placozoan RWamide belongs. Similarly, although putative neuropeptide precursors were found in ctenophores these did not have homology to other metazoan neuropeptides. However, since the cross-phylum conservation of neuropeptides can be limited to just a few residues (1, 31), the existence of Ctenophore Wamides remains a
FIGURE 1 | Phylogenetic distribution of Wamide neuropeptides. In “Genome” column, black boxes indicate the presence of both genome and transcriptome data, gray boxes indicate the presence of transcriptome data only, without a published genome. In “Wamide” column, black boxes indicate confirmed presence of Wamide neuropeptide, gray boxes indicate putative Wamide sequence (partial sequence, sequence evidence only from transcriptome shotgun assembly), striped gray box indicates uncertainty of Wamide superfamily orthology, white boxes indicate lack of Wamide according to currently available sequence data. “Motif” column indicates conserved structure of Wamides within each phyla. In “GPCR” column, black boxes indicate presence of MIP/sex peptide receptor GPCR ortholog confirmed by receptor deorphanization assay, white boxes indicate currently no orthologous biochemically confirmed GPCR. Phylogeny and presence of genome based on Bezares et al. (16), Figure 6, with authors’ permission. Tree structure based on a phylogenomic study with Bayesian inference under the CAT + GTR + y4 model to suppress long-branch attraction artifacts (17).

possibility. No neuropeptide-like precursors have been identified in poriferans to date, despite neuropeptide-processing enzymes being present in the Amphimedon queenslandica genome (32). Further peptide and receptor characterization through mass spectrometry, largescale receptor deorphanization and functional studies are needed to clarify the occurrence and evolution of Wamide signaling in poriferans, ctenophores, placozoans, and cnidarians.

WAMIDE RECEPTORS

The most well-described Wamide receptor is a rhodopsin family G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR). This was initially called the sex peptide receptor (SPR) after its first characterized ligand, the Drosophila sex peptide (33). Whereas sex peptides only occur in the family Drosophilidae and specifically activate the Drosophila receptor, Drosophila MIPs can activate orthologous receptors
from other insect groups and from *Aplysia californica*, a mollusc (34). Following this discovery, it was deduced that the sex peptide receptor’s ancestral ligands were in fact MIPs (34, 35), causing a reassignment of name to myoinhibitory peptide receptor. Sex peptides differ significantly from MIPs in their primary amino acid sequence; they are larger (36aa cf. 9-12aa), lack C-terminal amidation, and contain a disulfide bridge. Like MIPs, however, sex peptides contain a pair of tryptophan (W) residues which are predicted to stabilize a beta-turn secondary structure adopted for receptor binding in both sex peptide and MIPs, indicating that MIP may act through one or more additional receptors (38). In *Drosophila melanogaster*, loss of the MIP/SPR GPCR does not affect the function of MIP in appetite control or female mating behavior, indicating that MIP may act in vivo through one or more additional receptors (42, 43). In the hydrozoan *Hydra magnipapillata*, the GLWamide Hym-248 activates both endodermal muscle contraction and sphincter muscle contraction, whereas other GLWamides activate only sphincter muscle contraction (44). This additional function of Hym-248 suggests that a receptor specific only to this peptide is expressed in endodermal muscle, while a more generalist GLWamide receptor is expressed in sphincter muscle (45). These findings indicate that a common evolutionary strategy for the diversification of Wamide peptide function is through the addition of receptors with varying binding specificities.

A new family of Wamide receptors has recently been identified in the marine worm *Platyneireis dumerilii* (46). These receptors are peptide-gated ion channels from the degenerin/epithelial sodium channel family. The MIP-gated ion channel (MGIC) receptor is paralogous to the FMRFamide-gated sodium channels found in snails and cnidarians (47, 48). The mechanism of MIP binding to the MGIC receptor is similar to that of binding to the MIP GPCR in that the conserved tryptophans are essential for receptor activation. As with the MIP GPCR, replacement of the N-terminal tryptophan with an alanine reduced MGIC receptor activity, while replacement of the C-terminal tryptophan abolished MGIC receptor activity (46). Although all eleven mature MIP peptides from the same precursor activate both the MIP GPCR and the MGIC receptor, each mature peptide preferentially activates one of the receptor types, suggesting a mechanism for diversification of peptide function. Comparison of *in vivo* concentrations of the different mature MIPs to receptor activation concentrations determined *in vitro* would indicate whether different mature MIPs really activate both MGIC and GPCR receptor types *in vivo*. Phyllogenetic analysis of peptide-gated ion channels identified nematode, amphioxus, and cnidian sequences related to MGIC/FaNaC peptide-gated ion channels (46), however these putative peptide-gated ion.
channels are yet to be assigned a peptidergic ligand through physiological assays.

**KNOWN FUNCTIONS OF WAMIDES**

Throughout metazoans, Wamides have a wide variety of functions and often carry out multiple functions within the same organism. One known function of Wamides shared between cnidarians and protostomes is the regulation of life cycle transitions. Cnidarian GLWamides induce larval settlement and metamorphosis in the hydroid *Hydractinia echinata*, as well as the larvae of several coral species, and the hydrozoan *Clithea hemisphaerica* (8, 49–52). Knockdown of the GLWamide precursor gene in *Nematostella vectensis* showed that GLWamide is not necessary for metamorphosis, at least in this species, but plays a modular role in determining metamorphic timing (53). Similar to cnidarians, treatment of larvae of *Platynereis dumerilii* with synthetic MIP peptide induces settlement (39). Both cnidarian and *P. dumerilii* Wamide-expressing cells are sensory-neurosecretory, suggesting that this neuropeptide plays a role in activating a settlement and metamorphosis program in response to specific environmental cues, however the nature of the environmental cues that trigger Wamide peptide release, and the downstream signaling pathways activated or repressed by Wamides require further characterization. Microarray and RNA-Seq studies of GLWamide-treated branching coral *Acropora millepora* identified significant changes in transcription after peptide exposure (54, 55). These studies may be useful for identifying candidate genes and pathways for functional investigations of Wamide signaling networks acting in coral metamorphosis, now that tools for genome editing are available for coral (56). Curiously, the *Hydra* GLWamide, Hym-248, also promotes settlement and metamorphosis in two species of sponge (57). This suggests that although Wamides haven’t been identified in sponges, there may be some overlap between the signal transduction pathways involved in sponge and cnidarian metamorphosis, particularly at the level of neuropeptide receptors.

Similar to regulating marine invertebrate larval settlement and metamorphosis, in some insect species, Wamides regulate levels of metamorphic hormones. In the silkworm, PTSPs suppress ecdysteroidogenesis in the prothoracic glands (10, 35, 58), while in crickets, allatostatin B inhibits juvenile hormone production (59, 60). MIPs also regulate aspects of the behavioral sequence underlying ec dysis in *D. melanogaster* and *Manduca sexta*, as part of a peptidergic signaling cascade initiated by ec dysis triggering hormone (61–64). However, functions of Wamides during insect larval development and how Wamides regulate hormone production or release still require further investigation. Do Wamides also regulate hormones similar to juvenile hormone or ecdysone in the induction of marine invertebrate metamorphosis? Juvenile hormone and its precursor, methyl farnesolate, can regulate larval metamorphosis in polychaetes and barnacles (65, 66) and ecdysone signaling may play a role in crustacean and mollusc metamorphosis (67), however Wamide function in mollusc and crustacean larval stages has not yet been reported, nor has the effect of Wamides on specific marine invertebrate hormones.

Perhaps the most widely conserved function of Wamides is the regulation of muscle contraction. In arthropods, MIPs inhibit muscle contraction in the hindgut, ovovid and heart/pyloric system (6, 68–72). Contrary to their name, MIPs promote muscle contractions in annelid gut muscles (73). Cnidarian GLWamides also promote muscle contractions in the longitudinal (ectodermal) muscles and circumferential (endoderm) muscles (44). A specialization of the muscle contraction function of some *Hydra* GLWamides is in inducing the contraction of sphincter muscles, which causes detachment of buds from a parental polyp, thereby linking Wamides to the regulation of asexual reproduction in *Hydra* (74). Mollusc WWamides inhibit the phasic contractions of the anterior byssus retractor muscle in mussel, but potentiate contractions of the penis and radula retractor muscles in land snail, as well as inducing contractions of the radula protactor muscle in a gastropod (7). Studies of the effects of Wamides on muscle contraction have revealed that Wamide effects are dependent on the current physiological state of the system (71), the concentration of peptide applied (7, 75), the type of receptor activated (45), and whether the peptide acts pre- or post-synthetically (7).

Additional to the regulation of muscle contraction in the digestive system, Wamides have been implicated in a variety of feeding-related functions, primarily in insects. In the kissing bug and ticks, MIP expression in the salivary glands suggests a role in salivation (36, 37, 76, 77). Activating MIP neurons in *D. melanogaster* adults decreased food intake and body weight and reduced the sensitivity of starved flies toward food (42). Also in *D. melanogaster*, MIPs modulate attraction to polyamine food odors in mated females (78). This sex-specific modulation is through an autocrine signaling mechanism, with MIP and the MIP/sex peptide receptor both expressed in taste and olfactory neurons. Recent findings from *C. elegans* show a role for MIP in aversive gustatory short-term learning, and long-term learning of salt avoidance behaviors (38). MIP's function in sensing specific food cues in adult fly and nematode shows interesting parallels with the role of MIP in regulating marine invertebrate metamorphosis. In both cases, MIP is expressed in neurons with chemosensory morphology and detection of specific cues and release of MIPs activates a switch in states. Cues for marine invertebrate metamorphosis are also often associated with preferred food sources of their future adult stages (79).

Beyond the regulation of life cycle transitions, muscle contraction and feeding/digestive, MIPs may also play a role in diverse biological systems, including reproductive, visual, and circadian systems. In female *D. melanogaster*, MIP-expressing abdominal interneurons enhance mating receptivity in mated females (43). Additionally, MIP-expressing interneurons in the central brain form part of a mechanosensory circuit that informs female mating decisions (80). Also in *D. melanogaster*, some optic lobe neurons express MIP, although it is not yet clear if these regulate signaling in the visual or circadian system, or both (81). MIP expression in *D. melanogaster* cycles with circadian rhythm, in line with the role of MIP in maintaining a sleep-like state in adults (82). Spatial expression of MIP in cockroach brains also suggests a role in the circadian system (83, 84). MIP expression in insects has some similarities to GLWamide expression in jellyfish.
For example, GLWamide expression is seen in the gonadal ectoderm cells of Clytia hemisphaerica and Cytaeis uchidae (85, 86), as well as the photoreceptive organs of the jellyfish Cladonema radiatum, Aurelia aurita, and Tripedalia cystophora (87). In both insects and cnidarians, further functional studies are needed to reveal the function of Wamides in reproduction, vision or circadian rhythm. These previous studies of spatial expression highlight the usefulness of detailed expression atlases that encompass different life cycle stages, sexes and whole-body analyses for additional species and phyla, to provide initial indications of Wamide function in distinct biological systems.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Wamides are clearly neuropeptides of significant importance to nervous system signaling, with a role in diverse biological systems throughout an organism’s life cycle. The fact that Wamide signaling is lost in some species or phyla indicates that Wamides function within more complex networks of neuropeptide signaling, sometimes playing a modulatory but non-essential role, and their function may be taken on or replaced by other neuropeptides. Several similarities are seen in both the function and spatial expression of Wamides in cnidarians and protostomes, supporting the definition of this neuropeptide superfamily, however the identification of cnidarian Wamide receptors will further enlighten the evolution of Wamide signaling in metazoans.

The most detailed studies of Wamide signaling to date have been carried out in model organisms D. melanogaster and C. elegans and these studies show that subsets of MIP-expressing neurons are likely different cell types (e.g., sensory neurons vs. interneurons) responsible for different aspects of Wamide function. It is therefore important for future studies aiming to uncover mechanisms of Wamide signaling to develop methods for manipulating specific subsets of Wamide-expressing neurons. One approach to this is through the development of libraries of reporter constructs driven by different promoters with a range of cell-specificities. Further understanding of Wamide signaling can also be achieved by analysis of genes co-expressed in Wamide- and Wamide receptor-expressing cells and more widespread analyses of Wamide receptor expression. These analyses can indicate mechanisms of signaling, such as autoregulation in cells expressing both Wamide and receptor, or association with specific neurotransmitters, such as GABA or acetylcholine. They can also be used to generate maps of potential signaling cascades, as in insect ecdisis (61), through the comparison of expression of other neuropeptides and receptors. Receptor-ligand expression mapping based on single cell transcriptome data, as in (88), which should then be functionally tested, will facilitate these analyses.

Another aspect of Wamide signaling of importance for future studies is the identification of signaling cascades activated following Wamide release and receptor binding, in terms of which class of G protein is recruited, if indeed the Wamide signal is GPCR-mediated, and which downstream signaling pathways are activated or repressed. Again, single cell RNA-Seq analyses or precise spatial expression mapping can be used to identify which elements of the signaling pathway are present in the target cells of Wamide signaling. With both distinctive and conserved functions, the Wamide superfamily is an excellent model for studying the evolution of neuropeptide signaling and patterns of peptide-receptor coevolution in animal nervous systems.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EW conceived the study and wrote the paper.

FUNDING

EW is supported by a BBSRC David Phillips Fellowship (BB/T00990X/1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to members of the Jékely lab for informative discussions and valuable advice, and the two referees whose constructive comments improved the manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fendo.2020.00344/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

1. Jékely G. Global view of the evolution and diversity of metazoan neuropeptide signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2013) 110:8702–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1221833110
2. Tian S, Zandawala M, Beets I, Baytemur E, Slade SE, Scrivens JH, et al. Ubilibrarian origin of paralogueous GnRH and corazonin neuropeptide signalling pathways. Sci Rep. (2016) 6:28788. doi: 10.1038/srep28788
3. Zandawala M, Tian S, Elphick MR. The evolution and nomenclature of GnRH-type and corazonin-type neuropeptide signaling systems. Gen Comp Endocrinol. (2018) 264:64–77. doi: 10.1016/j.ygene.2017.06.007
4. Yañez-Guerra LA, Delroisse J, Barreiro-Iglesias A, Slade SE, Scrivens JH, Elphick MR. Discovery and functional characterisation of a luqin-type neuropeptide signalling system in a deuterostome. Sci Rep. (2018) 8:7220. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-25066-2
5. Yañez-Guerra LA, Zhong X, Moghul I, Butts T, Zampronio CG, Jones AM, et al. Urbilaterian origin and evolution of nPF-type neuropeptide signaling. Biol Rev. (2019), doi: 10.1101/712687
6. Schoofs L, Holman GM, Hayes TK, Nachman RJ, De Loof A. Isolation, identification and synthesis of locusta myoinhibiting peptide (LOM-MIP), a novel biologically active neuropeptide from locusta migratoria. Regul Pept. (1991) 36:111–9. doi: 10.1016/0167-0115(91)90199-Q
7. Minakata H, Ikeda T, Muneoka Y, Kobayashi M, Nomoto K. WWamide: 1,–2 and–3: novel neuropeptide isoforms isolated from ganglia of the African giant snail, achatina fulica. FEBS Lett. (1993) 323:104–8. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(93)81458-C
22. Thiel D, Franz-Wachtel M, Aguilera F, Hejnol A. Xenacoelomorph systems in bilaterians. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (1995) 92:296–310. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.10570

24. Mirabeau O, Joly J-S. Molecular evolution of peptidergic signalling systems in bilaterians. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2011) 108:1339–48. doi: 10.1073/pnas.121392111

26. Isberg V, Andersen KB, Bisig C, Dietz GP2, Bräuner-Osborne H, Gloriam DE. Computer-Aided discovery of aromatic l-amino acids as agonists of the orphan g protein-coupled receptor GPR139. J Chem Inform Model. (2014) 54:1553–7. doi: 10.1021/ci500197a

27. Hauser F, Neupert S, Williamson M, Predel R, Tanaka Y, Grimmeliukhuijen CJP. Genomics and peptidomics of neuropeptides and protein hormones present in the parasitic wasp nasonia vitripennis. J Proteome Res. (2010) 9:5296–310. doi: 10.1021/pr1005700

28. Nygaard S, Zhang G, Schiött M, Li C, Wurm Y, Hu H, et al. The genome of the leaf-cutting ant atomyrmex echinatior suggests key adaptations to advanced social life and fungus farming. Genome Res. (2011) 21:1339–48. doi: 10.1101/gr.121392.111

29. Koch TL, Grimmeliukhuijen CJP. Global neuropeptide annotations from the genomes and transcriptomes of cubozoa, scyphozoa, staurozoa (cnidaria: medusozoa), and octocorallia (cnidaria: anthozoa). Front Endocrinol. (2019) 10:831. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2019.00831

30. Nikitin M. Bioinformatic prediction of Trichoplax adhaerens regulatory peptides. Gen Comp Endocrinol. (2015) 212:145–55. doi: 10.1016/j.ygcend.2014.03.049

31. Jékely G, Paps J, Nielsen C. The phylogenetic position of ctenophores and the origin(s) of nervous systems. Evodevo. (2015) 6:1. doi: 10.1186/2041-9139-6-1

32. Srivastava M, Simakov O, Chapman J, Fahey B, Gauthier MEA, Mitros T, et al. The amphimoden statslilacina and the evolution of animal complexity. Nature. (2016) 466:720–6. doi: 10.1038/nature09201

33. Yapici N, Kim Y-J, Ribeiro C, Dickson BJ. A receptor that mediates the post-mating switch in drosophila reproductive behaviour. Nature. (2008) 451:33–7. doi: 10.1038/nature06483

34. Kim Y-J, Bartalska K, Audsley N, Yamanaka N, Yapici N, Lee J-Y, et al. MiPs are ancestral ligands for the sex peptide receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2010) 107:6520–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0914764107

35. Yamanaka N, Hua Y-J, Roller I, Spalovská-Valachová I, Mizoguchi A, Katoaka H, et al. Bombyc prothoracicosacitic peptides activate the sex peptide receptor to regulate ecdysteroid biosynthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2012) 109:2060–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0909771110

36. Simo L, Köji C, Park Y. Receptors for the neuropeptides, myoinhibitory peptide and SIFamid, in control of the salivary glands of the blacklegged tick Ixodes scapularis. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. (2013) 43:376–87. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2013.01.002

37. Paluzzi J-PV, Haddad AS, Sedra L, Orchard I, Lange AB. Functional characterization and expression analysis of the myoinhibitory peptide receptor in the chagas disease vector, rhodinus prolixus. Mol Cell Endocrinol. (2015) 399:143–53. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2014.09.004

38. Peyken M, Watteyne J, Borgfrügh C, Van Sinay E, Beets I, Schoofs L. Myoinhibitory peptide signaling modulates aversive gustatory learning in Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS Genet. (2019) 15:e1007945. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1007945

39. Conzelmann M, Williams EA, Tunaru S, Randel N, Shahidi R, Asadulina A, et al. Conserved MiP receptor-ligand pair regulates planthermis larval settlement. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2013) 110:8224–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1220851110

40. Vandersmissen HP, Nachman RJ, Vanden Broeck J. Sex peptides and MiPs can activate the same G protein-coupled receptor. Gen Comparative Endocrinol. (2013) 188:137–43. doi: 10.1016/j.ygcend.2013.02.014

41. Bauknecht R, Jékely G. Large-scale combinatorial deorphanization of platyreris neuropeptide GPCRs. Cell Rep. (2015) 12:684–93. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.06.052

42. Min S, Chae H-S, Jang Y-H, Choi S, Lee S, Jeong YT, et al. Identification of a peptidergic pathway critical to satiety responses in drosophila. Curr Biol. (2016) 26:814–20. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.01.029

43. Jang Y-H, Chae H-S, Kim Y-J. Female-specific myoinhibitory peptide neurons regulate mating receptivity in drosophila melanogaster. Nat Commun. (2017) 8:1630. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01794-9

44. Takahashi T, Kobayakawa Y, Muneoka Y, Fujisawa Y, Mohri M, Hirose K, et al. The importance of GLWamide neuropeptides in the larval brain of the silkworm, bombyx mori. Gen Compl Endocrinol. (1998) 135:309–24. doi: 10.1016/S0014-4827(98)00172-7

45. Takahashi T, Hatta M. The importance of Glwamide function and distribution. Curr Biol. (2003) 13:86–103. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2003.01.010

46. De Oliveira AL, Calçado A, Wanninger A. Extensive conservation of the proneuropeptide and peptide prohormone complement in mollusks. Sci Rep. (2019) 9:4846. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-40499-9

47. Miraudeau O, Joly J-S. Molecular evolution of peptidergic signalling systems in bilaterians. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2013) 110:E2028–37. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1219956110

48. Susens U, Hermans-Borgmeyer I, Urny J, Schaller HC. Characterisation and differential expression of two very closely related G-protein–coupled receptors, GPR139 and GPR142, in mouse tissue and during mouse development. Neuropharmacology. (2006) 50:512–20. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2005.11.003

49. Iseberg V, Andersen KB, Bisig C, Dietz GPH, Bräuner-Osborne H, Gloriam DE. Computer-Aided discovery of aromatic l-α-amino acids as agonists of the orphan g protein-coupled receptor GPR139. J Chem Inform Model. (2014) 54:1553–7. doi: 10.1021/ci500197a

50. Hua YJ, Tanaka Y, Nakamura K, Sakakibara M, Nagata S, Hatta M, et al. The genome of the leaf-cutting ant atomyrmex echinatior suggests key adaptations to advanced social life and fungus farming. Genome Res. (2011) 21:1339–48. doi: 10.1101/gr.121392.111

51. Takahashi T, Hatta M. The importance of glwamide neuropeptides in cnidarian development and physiology. J Amino Acids. (2011) 2011:1–8. doi: 10.4061/2011/424501
55. Meyer E, Aglyamova GV, Matz MV. Profiling gene expression responses of CLaM. Curr Biol. (2005) 16:1395–407. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2005.06.027
56. Davis NT. Localization of myoinhibitory peptide immunoreactivity in manduca sexta and bombbyx mori, with indications that the peptide has a role in molting and ecysis. J Exp Biol. (2003) 206:1449–60. doi: 10.1242/jeb.0 234
57. Laufer H, Biggers WJ. Unifying concepts learned from methyl farnesoate for invertebrate reproduction and post-embryonic development. Am Zool. (2001) 41:442–57. doi: 10.1093/icb/41.3.442
58. Yamamoto H, Okino T, Yoshimura E, Tachibana A, Shimizu K, Fuseta N. Methyl farnesoate induces larval metamorphosis of the barnacle, balanus amphitrite via protein kinase C activation. J Exp Zool. (1997) 278:34–55. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-010X(19970815)278:6<349::AID-JEZ2>3.0.CO;2-O
59. Joyce A, Vogeler S. Molluscan bivalve settlement and metamorphosis: neuroendocrine inducers and neuropeptide responses. Aquaculture. (2018) 487–88. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.01.002
60. Blackburn MB, Wagner RM, Kochansky JP, Harrison DJ, Thomas-Laemont P, Raina AK. The identification of two myoinhibitory peptides, with sequence similarities to the galanins, isolated from the ventral nerve cord of manduca sexta. Regul Pept. (1995) 57:213–9. doi: 10.1016/0167-0115(95)00034-9
61. Predel R, Rapus J, Eckert M. Myoinhibitory neuropeptides in the american cockroach. Peptides. (2001) 22:199–208. doi: 10.1016/S0196-9718(00)00831-8
62. Aguilar R, Maestro JL, Belles X. Effects of myoinhibitory neuropeptides on food intake in the German cockroach. Physiol Entomol. (2006) 31:257– 61. doi: 10.1111/j.1563-0502.2006.00513.x
63. Szabo TM, Chen R, Goeritz ML, Maloney RT, Tang LS, Li L, et al. Distribution and physiological effects of B-type allatostatins (myoinhibitory peptides, MIPs) in the stomatogastric nervous system of the crab Cancer borealis. J Comp Neurol. (2011) 519:2658–76. doi: 10.1002/cne.22654
64. Fu Q, Tang LS, Marder E, Li L. Mass spectrometric characterization and physiological actions of VPNDWAHRFGSWMamide, a novel B type allatostatin in the crab, cancer borealis. J Neurochem. (2007) 101:1099– 107. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2007.04882.x
65. Williams EA, Conzelmann M, Jékely G. Myoinhibitory peptide regulates feeding in the marine anemid Platynereis. Front Zool. (2015) 12:1. doi: 10.1186/s12983-014-0093-6
66. Takahashi T, Muneoka Y, Lohmann J, Lopez de Haro MS, Solleder G, Bosch TC, et al. Systematic isolation of peptide signal molecules regulating development in hydra: LWamide and PW families. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (1997) 94:1241–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.4.1241
67. Katsukura Y, Ando H, David CN, Grimmelikhuijzen CJP, Sugiyama T. Control of planula migration by LWamide and RFamide neuropeptides in Hydractinia echinata. J Exp Biol. (2004) 207:1803–10. doi: 10.1242/jeb.009974
68. Sinro J, Zitnam D, Park Y. Two novel neuropeptides in innervation of the salivary glands of the black-legged tick, ixodes scapularis: myoinhibitory peptide and SIFamide. J Comp Neurol. (2009) 517:551–63. doi: 10.1002/cne.22182
69. Lange AR, Alim U, Vandersmissen HP, Mizoguchi A, Vanden Broeck J, Orchard I. The distribution and physiological effects of the myoinhibiting peptides in the kissing bug, rhodnius prolixus. Front Neurosci. (2012). doi: 10.3389/fnins.2012.00098
70. Hussain A, Uçpunar HK, Zhang M, Loschek LF, Grunwald Kadow IC. Neuropeptides modulate female chemosensory processing upon mating in drosophila. PLoS Biol. (2016) 14:e3002455. doi: 10.1016/j.pbio.1002455
71. Hadfield M, Paul V. Natural chemical cues for settlement and metamorphosis of marine-invertebrate larvae. Marine Sci. (2001) 431–61. doi: 10.1201/9781420036602.ch13
72. Shao L, Chung P, Wong A, Siwanowicz I, Kent CF, Long X, et al. A neural circuit encoding the experience of copulation in female drosophila. Neuron. (2019) 102:2525–36. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.04.009
73. Kolodziejczyk A, Nüssel DR. A novel wide-field neuron with branches in the lamina of the drosophila visual system expresses myoinhibitory peptide and may be associated with the clock. Cell Tissue Res. (2011) 345:537– 69. doi: 10.1007/s00441-010-1106-7
74. Oh Y, Yoon S-E, Zhang Q, Chae H-S, Daubnerová I, Shafer OT, et al. A peptide-gated ion channel colocalization with pigment-dispersing factor in circadian pacemaker cells. J Exp Biol. (2012) 215:1078–97. doi: 10.1242/jeb.022785
75. Stengl M, Arend A. Peptidergic circadian clock circuits in the madeira cockroach.Curr Opin Neurobiol. (2016) 34: 41–52. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2016.07.010
76. Takeda N, Nakajima Y, Koizumi O, Fujisawa T, Takahashi T, Matsumoto M, et al. Neuropeptides trigger oocyte maturation and subsequent spawning
in the hydrozoan jellyfish Cytaeis uchidae. *Mol Reprod Dev.* (2013) 80:223–32. doi: 10.1002/mrd.22154

86. Takeda N, Kon Y, Quiroga Artigas G, Lapébie P, Barreau C, Koizumi O, et al. Identification of jellyfish neuropeptides that act directly as oocyte maturation-inducing hormones. *Development.* (2018) 145:140160. doi: 10.1101/140160

87. Plickert G, Schneider B. Neuropeptides and photic behavior in cnidaria. *Hydrobiologia.* (2004) 530–531:49–57. doi: 10.1007/s10750-004-2689-x

88. Williams EA, Verasztó C, Jasek S, Conzelmann M, Shahidi R, Bauknecht P, et al. Synaptic and peptidergic connectome of a neurosecretory center in the annelid brain. *Elife.* (2017) 6:e26349. doi: 10.7554/eLife.26349

**Conflict of Interest:** The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

*Copyright © 2020 Williams. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.*