Crystal structure of Sec10, a subunit of the exocyst complex
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The exocyst complex is a heterooctameric protein complex composed of Sec3, Sec5, Sec6, Sec8, Sec10, Sec15, Exo70 and Exo84. This complex plays an essential role in trafficking secretory vesicles to the plasma membrane through its interaction with phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate and small GTPases. To date, the near-full-length structural information of each subunit has been limited to Exo70, although the C-terminal half structures of Sec6, Sec15 and Exo84 and the structures of the small GTPase-binding domains of Sec3, Sec5 and Exo84 have been reported. Here, we report the crystal structure of the near-full-length zebrafish Sec10 (zSec10) at 2.73 Å resolution. The structure of zSec10 consists of tandem antiparallel helix bundles that form a straight rod, like helical core regions of other exocyst subunits. This structure provides the first atomic details of Sec10, which may be useful for future functional and structural studies of this subunit and the exocyst complex.

Exocytosis is a fundamental cellular process that mediates secretion of biologically active molecules to the extracellular environment and transport of lipids and membrane proteins to the plasma membrane in eukaryotic cells. This process occurs with fusion between secretory vesicles and the plasma membrane. In the initial step of the fusion, it has been postulated that the vesicles and the plasma membrane are physically and reversibly connected through a heterooctameric protein complex termed the exocyst complex. The exocyst complex is required for trafficking of secretory vesicles to the plasma membrane. The disruption of its function causes defects in various biological processes including cell polarity, cell migration, primary ciliogenesis, neurite outgrowth and autophagy. The initial connection between trafficking vesicles and their target membranes has also been postulated in other intracellular trafficking pathways and is traditionally called tethering. The tethering process may accelerate capture of the specific vesicles and/or the following SNARE complex assembly for catalyzing the membrane fusion. The exocyst complex belongs to a family of evolutionally conserved complexes associated with tethering containing helical rods (CATCHR), which includes other multisubunit tethering complexes (MTC) such as conserved oligomeric Golgi (COG), Dsl1 and Golgi-associated retrograde protein (GARP) complexes.

The exocyst complex consists of Sec3, Sec5, Sec6, Sec8, Sec10, Sec15, Exo70 and Exo84. The genes encoding Sec3, Sec5, Sec6, Sec8, Sec10 and Sec15 were first isolated from temperature-sensitive secretory-deficient (sec) mutants of budding yeast. Then, the other two subunits Exo70 and Exo84 were biochemically identified from the exocyst complex purified from yeast and rat, respectively. To date, three-dimensional structures of near-full-length or partial forms of Sec3, Sec5, Sec6, Sec15, Exo70 and Exo84 have been reported: yeast Sec375–241 and Sec375–260, rat Sec54–95, yeast Sec6411–805, fruitfly Sec15383–699, yeast Exo7067–623, mouse Exo7085–653, thale cress Exo705–629, yeast Exo84525–753 and rat Exo84171–279. Core helical regions of Sec6, Sec15, Exo70 and Exo84 exhibit similar rodlike structures, which are composed of consecutively packed α-helix bundles with a characteristic α-solenoid topology. Bioinformatics analysis has predicted that all exocyst subunits contain similar rodlike structures, although three-dimensional structures of the core helical regions of Sec3, Sec5, Sec8 and Sec10 remain unknown. Structures of other CATCHR subunits also adopt the conserved α-solenoid topology, suggesting that the CATCHR family members have diverged from a common ancestor to become specialized in their specific trafficking pathways.

Pairwise interactions between the individual exocyst subunits have been investigated by pull-down and yeast two-hybrid assays (summarized in ref. 22). Furthermore, two recent studies that monitored the subunit assembly occurring in cells suggest that the exocyst complex can be divided into two assembly modules: one...
contains Sec3, Sec5, Sec6 and Sec8, whereas the other contains Sec10, Sec15, Exo70 and Exo84\(^{12,24}\). Sec10 appears to serve as a central hub for bridging these two assembly modules, possibly through its interaction with Sec6 and/or Sec8\(^{12,24}\). The functional importance of Sec10 has been assessed by enhancement or suppression of Sec10 at the cellular level\(^{25,26}\). Overexpression of Sec10 increases the exocyst-mediated vesicular trafficking to the bud in yeast and to the basolateral surface in Madin-Darby canine kidney cells. Conversely, overexpression of dominant-negative Sec10 or knockdown or knockout of Sec10 causes morphological defects in budding yeast or inhibition of neurite outgrowth in neurons. In mammalian cells, Sec10 interacts with small GTPase Arf6, which can restrict clathrin-mediated endocytosis sites to the apical surface of epithelial cells\(^{27–29}\). Sec10 is also involved in the primary ciliogenesis on the apical surface\(^{30}\), besides targeting trafficking vesicles to the basolateral surface of epithelial cells.

Despite the importance of Sec10 in exocyst-mediated cellular processes, three-dimensional structural information of Sec10 remains unknown. In this study, we report the near-full-length structure of zebrafish Sec10 (zSec10). This structure provides the first atomic details of this exocyst subunit.

**Results**

**Overall structure.** The recombinant full-length zSec10 (residues 1–708) tended to aggregate during purification. This property is similar to that of rat Sec10 (rSec10), from which we failed to obtain high-resolution crystals. It was assumed that the aggregation properties of full-length zSec10 and rSec10 might be due to partial structural disorders, which were predicted computationally using the program DISOPRED2\(^{31}\) (Fig. 1A) and experimentally by limited proteolytic analyses. On the basis of the information on the computationally and experimentally predicted disordered regions, we designed sets of expression constructs of rSec10 and zSec10 (Supplementary Table 1) and tested their expression in *Escherichia coli*, purification and crystallization. Basically, removal of the major predicted disordered regions enhanced the expression of soluble zSec10 and zSec10 in *E. coli* and improved their stability during purification. Among the expression constructs tested in this study, we obtained clusters of daggerlike small crystals from zSec10\(^{395–708}\) (Δ385–394). For data collection, single crystals of the native or selenomethionine (SeMet)-substituted zSec10 were grown by streak seeding with crushed native crystals as the seed. Bromide soaking improved the diffraction from ~4 Å to 3 Å or higher. The structure of zSec10\(^{395–708}\) (Δ385–394) was determined by a single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) method and refined to 2.73 Å. The crystals contain one protein molecule per asymmetric unit. Residues 378–384 and 395–402 were invisible, probably owing to the structural disorder. The final refined model includes 10 bromide atoms and 2 water molecules. The bound bromide atoms were confirmed using an anomalous difference Fourier map, although their anomalous signals were insufficient for phasing. Data collection and refinement statistics are shown in Table 1.

zSec10 folds into an elongated rod with dimensions of 150 Å × 40 Å × 25 Å. The structure of zSec10 can be divided into five domains A–E, each of which is composed of an antiparallel helix bundle. Domains A, B–D and E contain three (H1–H3), four (H4–H7, H8–H11, H13–H16) and two (H17–H18) helices, respectively (Fig. 1B). These domains are connected by long solvent-exposed loops (residues 373–412 connecting domains B and C, and residues 555–573 connecting domains C and D) or short turns (residues 239–245 connecting domains A and B, and residues 666–670 connecting domains D and E). Helix H7 is shared between domains B and C, whereas helix H11 is shared between domains C and D. These two long helices serve as the bridge connecting two adjoining subdomains. Similar bridge helices have also been found in other CATCHR subunits, indicating a common mechanism of building a long rodlike protein from individual helix bundles in the CATCHR subunits. The Sec10 residues that are highly conserved among representative species are located mainly in four regions: (i) the loop connecting helices H2 and H3, (ii) the hydrophobic core stabilizing the helix bundle composed of helices H6, H7 and H8, (iii) helix H12 located within the long loop between domains C and D, and (iv) the hydrophobic core maintaining the relative spatial arrangement of domains D and E (Figs 2 and 3). Most of them are hydrophobic residues that are buried inside the protein.

In our limited proteolytic experiment of zSec10, trypsin cleavage occurred at Arg394 and generated two polypeptides corresponding to the N- and C-terminal halves. These two polypeptides were co-eluted as a single peak in gel-filtration chromatography (Supplementary Fig. 1A), indicating that the N- and C-terminal halves of Sec10 can interact with each other, even without the linker connecting them. The hydrogen bond between the conserved Glu353 in helix H7 and Arg429 in helix H8 may contribute to this interdomain interaction, together with the nearby hydrophobic residues (Supplementary Fig. 1B).
For other CATCHR subunits, the structures of their C-terminal halves have been reported (Fig. 4A). Structural classification using the Dali server indicated that Sec10 is most similar to Cog4 with the best Z-score of 15.6 and rmsd value of 4.0 Å (Fig. 4A) among all CATCHR subunits and their structurally related proteins of known structures. Pairwise superposition of Sec10 onto other CATCHR subunits (Sec6, Cog4, Tip20, Dsl1, M-Sec and MyoVa) highlights the remarkable structural conservation of domain D with an average rmsd value of 2.1 Å; domains C and E seem more divergent than domain D with average rmsd values of 3.5 and 3.2 Å, respectively (Cog4 was excluded for domain C, because two helices are missing in the domain C structure of Cog4) (Supplementary Fig. 2).

**Common functional domain D.** Domain D of the CATCHR subunit is functionally important. For example, the Rho3-binding site of Exo70 and the Rab11-binding site of Sec15 are located within the third helix of domain D, which corresponds to helix H15 in zSec10 (Fig. 1). Mutations of Sec6 that cause mislocalization of the exocyst complex are positioned in the third helix (residues 624–645) of domain D in Sec6, which corresponds to helix H13 in zSec10 (Supplementary Fig. 2). A conserved positively charged patch in M-Sec is located in the short turn between the helices corresponding to helices H14 and H15 of zSec10. Mutations in the positively charged patch eliminated the M-Sec-induced membrane protrusion. In Sec6, Cog4, Tip20 and M-Sec, domain E is additionally flanked by domain D (Fig. 4). Domains D and E of Cog4 interact with each other through the electrostatic
interaction between the conserved arginine and glutamate residues. Mutations disturbing this interaction cause cell surface glycosylation defects32.

In yeast, the C-terminal half of Sec10 is required for its tethering function, whereas the N-terminal half of Sec10 has been suggested to engage in the assembly of the exocyst complex26. The C-terminal half (i.e., domains C–E) of Sec10 reportedly interacts with Arf6 27. In the zSec10 structure, a conserved hydrophobic interaction stabilizes the relative conformation between domains D and E, as mentioned above. Deletion of the C-terminal region of rSec10 (residues 606–708), including most parts of domain D and the entire domain E, prevents the association of rSec10 with Arf6, as shown by yeast two-hybrid assay27. The corresponding region of zSec10 forms a cave with negative charges, which are derived primarily from acidic residues on the loop connecting domains C and D. This cave could electrostatically interact with the conserved lysine residues (i.e., Lys3, Lys7 and Lys12) of Arf6 in the N-terminal region, which is required for the interaction with Sec1027. In addition, the conserved positively charged patch (Fig. 5) located at the C-terminus of domain E potentially interacts with the negatively charged phosphoinositides in the membrane, in analogy to yeast Exo70, which interacts with PI(4,5)P2 and Rho3 via the C-terminal region19.

Discussion
To date, the N-terminal half structures of CATCHR subunits and their structurally related proteins have remained mostly unknown. The present zSec10 structure is the second near-full-length structure of the exocyst subunits determined so far. Yeast, mouse or thale cress Exo70 could be crystalized with small N- and C-terminal truncations, whereas the crystallization of zSec10 was suggested to engage in the assembly of the exocyst complex26. The C-terminal half (i.e., domains C–E) of Sec10 reportedly interacts with Arf627. In the zSec10 structure, a conserved hydrophobic interaction stabilizes the relative conformation between domains D and E, as mentioned above. Deletion of the C-terminal region of rSec10 (residues 606–708), including most parts of domain D and the entire domain E, prevents the association of rSec10 with Arf6, as shown by yeast two-hybrid assay27. The corresponding region of zSec10 forms a cave with negative charges, which are derived primarily from acidic residues on the loop connecting domains C and D. This cave could electrostatically interact with the conserved lysine residues (i.e., Lys3, Lys7 and Lys12) of Arf6 in the N-terminal region, which is required for the interaction with Sec1027. In addition, the conserved positively charged patch (Fig. 5) located at the C-terminus of domain E potentially interacts with the negatively charged phosphoinositides in the membrane, in analogy to yeast Exo70, which interacts with PI(4,5)P2 and Rho3 via the C-terminal region19.

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics. Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

|                         | Native         | SeMet          |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Data collection         |                |                |
| Beamline                | SPring-8 BL41XU | PF BL-17A      |
| Space group             | C2             | C2             |
| Cell dimensions         |                |                |
| \( a, b, c (\text{Å}) \) | 147.7, 162.7, 45.6 | 150.0, 161.3, 45.5 |
| \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma (\text{˚}) \) | 90.0, 95.6, 90.0 | 90.0, 95.4, 90.0 |
| Wavelength (Å)          | 0.9185         | 0.9788         |
| Resolution (Å)          | 50.0–2.73 (2.78–2.73) | 50.0–3.11 (3.16–3.11) |
| \( R_{\text{sym}} \) (%) | 12.0 (38.4)    | 17.2 (45.2)    |
| \( I/\sigma I \)        | 10.7 (1.5)     | 14.5 (1.7)     |
| Completeness (%)        | 95.3 (75.9)    | 94.6 (75.8)    |
| Redundancy (%)          | 8.2 (3.5)      | 29.8 (13.1)    |
| Refinement              |                |                |
| Resolution (Å)          | 46.92–2.73 (2.83–2.73) |                |
| No. reflections         | 26,989 (2,247) |                |
| \( R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{free}} \) (%) | 23.6/26.7 (34.1/37.4) |                |
| Ramachandran plot       |                |                |
| favored, allowed, outliers (%) | 94.7, 5.3, 0.0 |                |
| Clashscore              | 7.00           |                |
| No. atoms               |                |                |
| Protein                 | 3,991          |                |
| Water                   | 2              |                |
| Br                      | 10             |                |
| \( B \)-factors (Å²)    |                |                |
| Protein                 | 57.7           |                |
| Water                   | 41.1           |                |
| Br                      | 148.5          |                |
| R.m.s deviations        |                |                |
| Bond lengths (Å)        | 0.003          |                |
| Bond angles (˚)         | 0.61           |                |

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics. Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.
(residues 373–412), which ensures the conformational flexibilities of the N- and C-terminal halves of zSec10 for their interdomain interaction.

Previous studies suggest that subcomplexes of the exocyst complex exist in mammalian and/or yeast cells. On the other hand, a recent electron microscopy study suggests that most of the exocyst subunits exist as the components of the entire exocyst complex in yeast23. Amino acid sequences of the exocyst subunits are well conserved among metazoans (e.g., 36–84% identity between zSec10 and the Sec10 proteins from the representative metazoans shown in Fig. 2) but are somewhat different between fungi and metazoans (e.g., 22% identity between yeast Sec10 and zSec10). This difference may affect the electrostatic and/or hydrophobic properties of the molecular surface of each subunit: yeast and mouse Exo70 structures are similar but substantially differ in surface electrostatic potential18, as mentioned above. Such difference might be related to the difference in regulation of the full or partial assembly of the exocyst subunits between yeast and mammals. The present structure of zSec10 does not show a biased distribution of hydrophobicity (Supplementary Fig. 3A). This property implies a weak assembly with other exocyst subunits to form a subcomplex.

Figure 2. Amino-acid sequence alignment of Sec10. Amino-acid sequence alignment of Sec10 from 8 representative organisms (Danio rerio, Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Rattus norvegicus, Gallus gallus, Drosophila melanogaster, Caenorhabditis elegans and Saccharomyces cerevisiae). The invariant residues are indicated as white characters with a red background, whereas the conserved residues are indicated as red characters. The α-helical regions are represented as boxes above the alignment. The coloring scheme is based on the domain assignment shown in Fig. 1B. The residues comprising the four main conserved regions (i)–(iv) are indicated by orange, cyan, grey and light green triangles, respectively.
in antiparallel, whereas those of the exocyst complex are arranged roughly in parallel\(^{27,45,46}\). Some or many of the inter-subunit interactions might be mediated by the side-by-side helix–helix interactions. Sec10, Exo70 and M-Sec (Sec6 homolog) exhibit a straight rod shape, whereas Tip20 exhibits a hooked rod shape, as mentioned above. The overall shapes of the CATCHR subunits may be diverse, although the structural information of their N-terminal halves is mostly unavailable. However, three structures of the exocyst subunit and its homolog adopt a similar straight structure (Fig. 4), which might allow the parallel arrangement of the exocyst subunits. The hooked or other undetermined shape of the N-terminal half structure might mediate the antiparallel subunit arrangement in other CATCHR complexes.

In conclusion, the crystal structure of near-full-length Sec10 retains its conserved \(\alpha\)-solenoid architecture, which has been found in other CATCHR subunits. This structure provides a basis for further studies on its possible function as an individual molecule and/or as a subunit of the exocyst complex, and on its potential interactions with other proteins including other exocyst subunits, small GTPase and/or SNARE proteins. In particular, the present zSec10 structure will be useful for the interpretation of high-resolution three-dimensional images of the entire exocyst complex, which is expected to be determined in the near future.

Materials and Methods

Sample preparation. The gene encoding zSec10\(_{185-708}\) (\(\Delta385-394\)) was amplified by PCR and cloned into the pGEX-6P-1 expression vector (GE Healthcare), using BamHI and XhoI restriction sites to produce the N-terminally GST-fused protein. The protein was overproduced in \(E. coli\) Rosetta cells at 20 \(^\circ\)C for 12–15 hours after induction with 0.1 mM isopropyl-\(\beta\)-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). The harvested cells were suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 1 mM DTT and 0.1% Triton X-100 and lysed by sonication. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation and loaded onto a Glutathione Sepharose 4 Fast Flow column (GE Healthcare). The GST-fused protein was eluted with 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT and 15 mM reduced glutathione. The GST tag of the eluted protein was cleaved by PreScission protease (GE Healthcare). To remove the cleaved GST tag, protease and other minor impurities, the sample treated
with protease was subjected to size-exclusion chromatography on a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) with 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 50 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT. Finally, to completely remove the GST tag and GST-tagged protease, the sample was loaded onto a Glutathione Sepharose 4 Fast Flow column. The purified protein in the flowthrough fraction was collected and concentrated to 10 g L\(^{-1}\) using Amicon Ultra 15 (Millipore) for crystallization. The SeMet-substituted Sec10 was overexpressed in E. coli B834 cells at 20 °C for 24 hours after induction with 0.1 mM IPTG. The cells were grown in 200 mL culture supplemented with Core medium (Wako) containing all amino acids except methionine. Before induction with IPTG, 25 mg L\(^{-1}\) L-SeMet, 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) L-glucose and 250 mg L\(^{-1}\) MgSO\(_4\) were added. The SeMet-substituted zSec10\(^{195-708}\) (Δ385–394) was purified in the same manner as the native zSec10\(^{195-708}\) (Δ385–394).

**Limited proteolysis.** Trypsin was mixed with the Sec10 samples at a weight ratio of 1:100. The mixtures were incubated for 12–36 hours at 4 °C. The protease-treated samples were fractionated by size-exclusion chromatography using a Superdex200 10/300 GL column with 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 50 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT. The peak fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE with Coomassie brilliant blue staining. For N-terminal amino acid sequencing, the protein bands were transferred to PVDF membranes (Immobilon-P, Merck Millipore) and analyzed using an ABI Procise Model 492 peptide sequencer.
Crystallization. The native zSec10\textsubscript{195–708} (Δ385–394) was crystallized at 20 °C by the hanging drop vapor diffusion method against a reservoir solution composed of 0.1 M HEPES-Na buffer (pH 7.5) and 0.57 M K\textsubscript{3}Na tartrate after streak seeding with crushed native crystals as the seeds. The sample was mixed with the reservoir solution at a ratio of 1:1. The best rodlike crystals with dimensions of 0.25 × 0.05 × 0.05 mm\textsuperscript{3} appeared within 3–5 days. The seed crystals were obtained at 20 °C by the sitting drop vapor diffusion method against a reservoir solution composed of 0.1 M HEPES-Na buffer (pH 7.5) and 1 M K\textsubscript{3}Na tartrate. The SeMet-substituted zSec10\textsubscript{195–708} (Δ385–394) was crystallized against a reservoir solution composed of 0.1 M HEPES-Na buffer (pH 7.5) and 0.4 M K\textsubscript{3}Na tartrate after streak seeding. The sample was mixed with the reservoir solution at a ratio of 1:0.6. The best SeMet-substituted protein crystals were grown with dimensions of 0.15 × 0.05 × 0.03 mm\textsuperscript{3}. To increase the incorporation rate of SeMet, the crystallization process was repeated. The native crystals were used as the seeds for the first round of crystallization, and then the obtained SeMet-substituted protein crystals were used as the seeds for the second round.

Data collection and structure determination. The obtained crystals were soaked in a 1:2 mixture of the reservoir solution and the saturated Li\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} solution containing 0.2 M NaBr and flash frozen by plunging into liquid nitrogen. The diffraction data sets of the native and SeMet-substituted protein crystals were collected at beamline BL41XU of SPring-8 (Hyogo, Japan) and beamline BL-17A of Photon Factory (Tsukuba, Japan), respectively. All diffraction data were processed using HKL2000 (HKL Research)\textsuperscript{47} and the CCP4 program suite\textsuperscript{48}. To solve the structure from the 3.11-Å-resolution SAD data sets collected from the SeMet-substituted protein crystals, the program Phenix was used for heavy-atom site search, phase calculation and density modification\textsuperscript{49}. Eighteen Se sites were identified. Phase extension using the 2.74-Å-resolution native data set was performed for automatic model building using the program Buccaneer (CCP4 package) with higher accuracy\textsuperscript{50}. On the basis of the initial atomic model and the identified Se sites, the complete model of zSec10\textsubscript{195–708} (Δ385–394) except residues 378–384 and 395–402 was built using the program Coot\textsuperscript{51}. The structure was refined using the program Phenix. The final addition of 2 water molecules and 10 Br atoms decreased \textit{R}\textsubscript{free}. In the final model, 94.7 % of the residues are in the most favored regions and 5.3 % are in the additional allowed regions. Data collection, phasing and refinement statistics are shown in Table 1. Electrostatic surface potential was calculated using the program APBS tool\textsuperscript{52}. Structure figures were generated using the program PyMol (Delano Scientific; http://www.pymol.org) or CueMol (CueMol: Molecular Visualization Framework; http://www.cuemol.org). The protein surface color-coded according to hydrophobicity was drawn using the Python script “Color_h.py” for PyMol (downloaded from http://us.expasy.org/tools/pyscale/Hphob.Eisenberg.html)\textsuperscript{53}. Multiple sequence alignment was performed using the program ClustalW at EMBL-EBI to generate the alignment file in the ClustalW format\textsuperscript{54}.
With this alignment file, the surface conservation was calculated using the ConSurf server. The protein surface color-coded according to sequence conservation was drawn using the Python script "consurf_new.py" in PyMol. The multiple-sequence-alignment figure was generated using ESPript 3.0. The pairwise alignment of the structure of zSec10 with those of other CATCHDR subunits and their structurally related proteins was performed using the Dali server.
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