Preliminary Study Of Local Catalyst For Low Cost Methanol Synthesis As Subsequence Process Of A Model System Of Biomass Fluidized Bed Catalytic Gasification
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Abstract. Biomass waste has been emerging as an alternative energy and fuel. Direct combustion of biomass leads to harmful substances such as NOx and CO which are environmentally unfriendly manner. Innovation of clean technologies like gasification would have a potential in developing technology to reduce the emissions of harmful substances into the environment. The syngas of biomass gasification is an intermediate product which can be converted further to various types of alternative fuels especially methanol. Agency for the Assessment and Application of Technology (BBPT)-Indonesia in cooperation with Gunma University-Japan has been conducting assessment and application of environmentally friendly solid biomass wastes utilization technology under the SATREPS (Science and Technology Research Partnership for Sustainable Development) program. This work is a prolongation of biomass gasification process from empty fruit bunches (EFB) to produce syngas. Furthermore, the syngas has a potential as raw material to synthesize methanol. The study of methanol synthesis focused on the development of efficient and low-cost catalyst in term of low pressure and low temperature. The catalyst of methanol synthesis was prepared by co-precipitation method with copper basis. The experiments have been performed and tested in a once-through process by Low-Pressure Fixed Bed Reactor in Micromeritics unit at a mild operating condition. The result shows that catalyst CuO: ZnO: Al2O3 (47%: 37%: 15%) has a good performance at 20 bar and 270°C with methanol concentration in the gas product up to 1.15%. On the words, the local catalyst performance comparable with commercial catalysts at low pressure and low temperature.

1 Introduction

The treatment of biomass like Empty Fruit Bunch (EFB) of Palm by direct combustion results harmful gases such as SO2, NOx, and CO [1]. Therefore, this treatment can be considered as not environmentally friendly manner. The technology development of biomass treatment is needed to minimize the pollutant. Regarding the environmental issues of direct combustion, a solution like gasification method can overcome this problem. On the other words, the development of gasification technology, is clean technology, can control the harmful gases [2-3]. The syngas can be used as raw material to methanol synthesis, a liquid fuel.

Micro process technology is one of the promising breakthroughs involving Cu/ZnO-support catalyst in the Integrated micro packed bed reactor-heat exchanger (IMPBRHE) [4-6]. In this process, CO which is one of the pollutants will act as reactant together with H2 and converted to methanol through a catalyzed process in the fixed bed reactor.

Several industries in Indonesia, which are mainly engaged in the catalytic industries, do not uses local catalyst but import. So far, the demand of the catalysts has been fulfilled by importing from advanced countries such as America, Japan, and Europe. This is the reason why Indonesia should be sovereign in producing its own local catalyst [7]. Therefore, innovation and development of catalyst is very important, should be sustained in Indonesia. The co-precipitation catalyst is expected to have superiority over impregnation catalyst because of higher concentration of surface active sites, and uniform dispersion active catalyst [8].

The first generation of methanol synthesis was carried out by high temperature and elevated pressure (350°C and 250-350 bar) over ZnO/Cr2O3 catalyst,
which content 20-75 % Zn. The catalytic process shows high activity and selectivity as well as resistance to sulphur toxicity from syngas of gasification. At further development of methanol synthesis process, sulphur content should be removed prior to the reactor so that Cu-based catalysts can be used [9]. This method is still in current trend of methanol synthesis catalyst. The subsequent development should direct in the catalyst development for low temperature and pressure.

Previous work using impregnation catalyst gave a good result but still controlled by reaction rate rather than equilibrium condition [10]. By co-precipitation method, the catalyst was expected to reach equilibrium condition because of higher concentration Cu on surface active catalyst. This study focused on the development methanol synthesis catalyst at low pressure and low temperature and to optimize the process. The initiation study was carried out in a programme in Centre for Energy Resources and Chemical Industry (PTSEIK-BPPT) that works to formulate the composition of catalyst to figure out the best performance in term of high productivity and high selectivity for methanol synthesis in fixed bed reactor.

2 Methodology

2.1 Catalyst Preparation

Cu/ZnO/γ-Al2O3 catalysts was prepared by impregnation method. Cu-nitrate and Zn-nitrate were raw materials that would be attached on γ-Al2O3 as the support as Cu and ZnO. A part of Cu on the catalyst, would be oxidized, and written as Cu[Zn]. Afterward, from the CuO molecular would be in the form of CuO/ZnO phase. Its reduction will be affected by ZnO [11].

The second method, CuO–ZnO–γ-Al2O3 catalysts were also prepared by co-precipitation method at neutral pH and temperature of 70°C. The metal salt solution ([Cu2⁺] + [Zn 2⁺] + [Al 3⁺]) with a certain molarity was dropped into demineralized water and parallelized with the addition 1 M sodium carbonate to neutralize the pH. The precipitate was formed on neutral pH. Afterward, the precipitate was rinsed several times with demineralized water to remove the remaining Na⁺ ions and aged overnight at 110 °C [12].

2.2 Calibration of the Micromeritics Unit by GC

The confirmation test of gas flowrate, H2/CO was set in the fixed bed reactor, Micromeritics unit. The flowrate was carried out by comparing the gas concentration in the sampling bag to the flowmeter indicator. The estimation of ratio gas flowrate of H2/CO to ratio gas concentration of H2/CO is shown in Fig. 1.

2.3 Performance Test of Catalyst on the Micromeritics System

The catalytic activity test was carried out in a continuous tubular fixed-bed micro-reactor as shown in Fig. 2. The operating condition was adjusted at pressure 20 bar and temperature 270°C. A total of 1 gr catalyst was filled in the tubular reactor. Prior to the reaction step, the catalyst was reduced with H₂ flow at the operating temperature.

2.4 Physical Characterization by XRD

X-ray diffraction (XRD) is an effective method for determining for the crystal structure of the catalyst. The XRD analyze was performed to show the domain area of crystals greater than 3-5 nm. This arrangement will show the bulk crystal structure and the composition of chemical phase.

3 Result and Discussion

A single stoichiometric reaction of methanol synthesis is shown in equation 1, an exothermic reaction.

\[
2H_2 + CO \xrightarrow{\text{Cu/ZnO}} CH_3OH
\]  

(1)

According the stoichiometry, to obtain 1 mole CH₃OH requires 2 moles of H₂ and 1 mole of CO. Based on the calibration curve, to get the ratio of H₂/CO 2:1, the gas
flow rates of H2 and CO were set at 22.57 ml/min and 15 ml/min, respectively. The catalytic activity was tested on four types methanol catalysts, 3 catalysts of Cu-based catalyst were developed by PTSEIK-BPPT and one commercial catalyst. Some experiments was conducted for those four catalysts (Cu-ZnO/γ-Al2O3 with the composition of 48:37:15; 53:33:14; 58:30:12) and another one was type of commercial catalyst as a control. Table 1 shows the test results of 4 types of catalysts that displayed in the concentration of methanol in the gas product.

Table 1. Performance test of catalyst for methanol production

| Types of MeOH catalysts | Concentration of MeOH (%) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Commercial              | 0.26                      |
| 48:37:15                | 1.15                      |
| 53:33:14                | 0.28                      |
| 58:30:12                | 0.39                      |

Based on

Table 1, the performance of developed catalyst (48:37:15) was the best methanol synthesis from syngas. It was showed by obtaining the highest methanol concentration in the gas product at low cost process, low temperature and pressure. However, the performance of commercial catalyst did not reach as high conversion as expected although it gave highest methanol product at higher temperature and pressure. Thus, the conversion to methanol did not reach equilibrium state at low temperature but influenced by reaction rate. That is the reason why the conversion was always increasing by higher temperature, the conversion was influenced by reaction rate not thermodynamic properties. Therefore, the suggestion is to prolong the retention time or make lower Gas Hourly Space Velocity (GHSV) to get closer to equilibrium state [13].

The methanol concentration in the gas outlet of micromeritic unit. The comparison among those result, the commercial catalyst and the developed catalysts especially for Cu-Zn/Al2O3 (48:37:15) during 4 hours is shown in Fig. 3. The methanol synthesis by local catalyst of Cu-Zn/Al2O3 (48:37:15) had the best performance among the others. It was indicated by higher methanol concentration in the gas product on every sampling. Therefore, it can be concluded that the developed catalyst performed more effective than the commercial catalyst at low pressure and low temperature.

The increment of the catalyst effectiveness performance may due to the uniform distribution of Cu-active metals catalyst on the surface of the support catalyst. Moreover, ZnO has a role as promoter preventing sintering so that active catalyst Cu distributed better and increase the selectivity towards methanol formation. Fig. 4 displays the XRD analysis of Cu-Zn/Al2O3 (48:37:15) catalyst.

According to statistical analysis related to the parameter structure, the data shows value

\[ \chi^2 \text{(chi-squared)} = 1.097 \] (2)

This value approved that the significant correlation between expected value for both the observations and the theories. \( \chi^2 \) with a value of 1.097 shows that the value \( p = 0.05 \) implying the probability of this result was only 5% coincidental, meanwhile 95% of the atomic structure of the crystalline phase and its orientation are fulfill the expectation. Based on the standard \( p> 0.05 \) indicates that this value is in acceptable area. Thus, the hypothesis for \( \chi^2 \) of this sample shows that the result is significantly according to the theory.

To increase the conversion of methanol, double stoichiometric of H2 reactants was conducted during the reaction. The excess of H2 is expected to convert more CO into methanol. In the same operating condition, a commercial catalyst was examined and gave a positive result as shown in Fig. 5. The increasing of the methanol concentration up to 0.09% was obtained from 0.26% to 0.35% over the ratio H2:CO = 1:1 and H2:CO = 2:1, respectively.

![Fig. 3. The comparison of methanol concentration during the reaction over commercial catalyst](image1)

![Fig. 4. Diffraction profile X-ray of Cu-Zn/Al2O3 (48:37:15).](image2)

![Fig. 5. Double stoichiometric of H2 reactant on the methanol synthesis over commercial catalyst](image3)
Implemented double stoichiometric for local catalyst Cu-Zn/Al2O3 (48:37:15) was delivered extraordinary results. By excess of H2, methanol concentration at fourth hour reached 1.15% far in excess that of commercial catalyst. Methanol synthesis by local catalyst is shown Fig. 6 proves the excess conversion to methanol over commercial catalyst.

![Figure 6. Double stoichiometric of H2 reactant on the methanol synthesis over Cu-Zn/Al2O3 (48:37:15)](image)

### 3.1 Shifting Reaction

The existence of CO and H2 in the environment with content humidity will cause shifting reaction, an equilibrium reaction. This condition give potential degradation for methanol since part of CO will be converted to CO2. Due to equilibrium shifting among reactants, the reformation reaction can also convert to CO2 as stated by equation 3.

\[
H_2 + CO \leftrightarrow CO + H_2O
\]  

(3)

The absence of CO2 at the beginning will cause the formation of CO2. By excess of H2, the equilibrium will shift provide more to CO including water formation. It will affect the performance of methanol conversion.

The possible cause of low productivity methanol synthesis was a high gas flow rate which leads to shorter retention times of the reactants in the micromeritics which shown as high GHSV. The lower contact duration among the reactants and the catalyst in short time will result to low methanol conversion. All the reaction was interconnection and work in parallel.

As shown at Figure 7, the effect of H2 excess during the synthesis of methanol for 4 hours compiled over 3 types of the local-developed catalyst.

![Figure 7. Double stoichiometric of H2 reactant on methanol production over the developed catalysts](image)

Table 2 shows the selectivity of various types of catalysts to methanol products with the reactant of H2/CO = 1:1. It can be seen that the Cu-Zn/Al2O3 (48:37:15) catalyst had the highest selectivity toward the methanol product compared to other catalysts.

| Types of Cu:Zn:Al catalyst (%) | Concentration in the gas product S (MeOH) (%) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Commercial                    | 0.347                                       |
| 48:37:15                      | 0.377                                       |
| 53:33:14                      | 0.319                                       |
| 58:30:12                      | 0.314                                       |

The selectivity calculation of methanol for various types of the catalyst during the reaction with 100% excess H2 is shown in Table 3. The selectivity of catalyst to methanol product was very different from other reaction with H2:CO = 2:1. The Cu-ZnO/γ-Al2O3 catalyst (58:30:12) has the highest methanol selectivity of 15.58%, but this value is not greater than Cu-Zn /γ-Al2O3 catalyst (48:37:15) with a value of 23.74%.

| Types of Cu:Zn:Al catalyst (%) | Concentration in the gas product S (MeOH) (%) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Commercial                    | 0.347                                       |
| 48:37:15                      | 0.377                                       |
| 53:33:14                      | 0.319                                       |
| 58:30:12                      | 0.314                                       |

Overall, the average methanol productivity with Cu-Zn/γ-Al2O3 (48:37:15) was 0.38% slightly higher than the commercial catalyst by 0.35%.
4 CONCLUSION

The catalytic performance examinations for all developed and commercial catalysts were carried out in a low-pressure fixed-bed reactor. The standard operating condition was conducted in two conditions, based on the stoichiometric and 100% excess of H₂ reactant, the average concentration of methanol produced over Cu-ZnO/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst (48:37:15) was slightly higher than that of the commercial catalyst. The best condition was achieved in the feed gas with the ratio of H₂:CO = 2:1, where Cu-ZnO/Al₂O₃ (48:37:15) catalyst was capable of producing gas products with a methanol concentration of 1.15%, whereas by using the commercial catalyst, the methanol concentration produced was only 0.26%.

There are some parameters that affect the high performance of the developed catalyst. Firstly, the catalyst preparation technique has an impact on the productivity of methanol. The XRD analysis result shows that the CuO active metal was evenly distributed on the surface of the catalyst. This active metal determines the effectiveness of methanol formation. Meanwhile, ZnO as a promoter also plays a role in the catalyst activity by determining the level of selectivity of catalyst to form methanol.

In this preliminary study, there are several points that should be improved in order to get better results, namely:
1. Standardization of the catalyst preparation techniques is required to obtain a uniform catalyst.
2. The reliability of the catalyst needs to be examined for longer duration methanol synthesis. This endurance activity test is expected to provide results of the durability and deactivation study of the catalyst over the duration of the reaction.
3. A larger catalyst preparation needs to be assessed if the catalytic activity tests are conducted on a larger scale.
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