X-inactivation in the clinical phenotype of fragile X premutation carrier sisters

ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose of this study is to describe a case series of 4 sisters with discordant clinical phenotypes associated with fragile X–associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS) that may be explained by varying CGG repeat sizes and activation ratios (ARs) (the ratio of cells carrying the normal fragile X mental retardation 1 [FMR1] allele on the active X chromosome).

Methods: Four sisters with premutation size FMR1 gene repeats underwent detailed clinical characterization. CGG repeat length was determined by PCR, and AR was determined using a newly developed commercial methylation PCR assay and was compared with the results from Southern blot with densitometric image analysis.

Results: Sister 1 had the largest CGG expansion (82) and the lowest AR (12%), with the most severe clinical presentation. Sister 2 had a lower CGG expansion (70) and an AR of 10% but had a milder clinical presentation. Sister 3 had a similar CGG expansion (79) but a slightly higher AR of 15% and less neurologic involvement. Sister 4 had a similar CGG expansion size of 80 but had the largest AR (40%) and was the only sister not to be affected by FXTAS or have any neurologic signs on examination.

Conclusions: These results suggest that premutation carrier women who have higher ARs may be less likely to show manifestations of FXTAS. If larger studies show similar patterns, AR data could potentially be beneficial to supplement CGG repeat size when counseling premutation carrier women in the clinic.
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GLOSSARY

AR = activation ratio; FAM = fluorescein; FMR1 = fragile X mental retardation 1; FXPOI = fragile X–associated primary ovarian insufficiency; FXS = fragile X syndrome; FXTAS = fragile X–associated tremor/ataxia syndrome; FXTAS-RS = FXTAS Rating Scale; HEX = hexachlorofluorescein; mPCR = methylation PCR.

Fragile X–associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS) is caused by a “premutation” size 55 to 200 CGG repeat expansion in the fragile X mental retardation 1 (FMR1) gene. Individuals with a normal FMR1 gene have fewer than 41 CGG repeats at the 5′ untranslated region of the gene. Three discrete disorders that increase morbidity of individuals carrying an expansion have been associated with different lengths of the CGG tract. Fragile X syndrome (FXS), the most common inherited cause of intellectual disability in boys, results from a CGG expansion of >200 repeats (full mutation) and is characterized by neurocognitive and developmental abnormalities, including a high incidence of autism, anxiety, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. FXTAS, first described in 2001, is caused by a “premutation” with a CGG length of 55 to 200 repeats and manifests as kinetic tremor, cerebellar gait ataxia, executive dysfunction, and psychiatric symptoms in premutation carriers, typically over age 55. Although premutation carrier men are more frequently and severely affected, recent studies report more neurologic involvement in women than was previously believed, despite the presence of a normal FMR1 allele on the other X chromosome. A third disorder, fragile X–associated primary ovarian insufficiency (FXPOI), occurs in approximately 20% of women.
who carry a premutation size allele. Women with FXPOI experience ovarian dysfunction and early estrogen deficiency resulting in premature menopause. In addition, premutation carrier women have been reported to have a host of milder neurologic signs and other medical illnesses that have not yet been well defined.

Because of the observation that women with FXTAS show a milder clinical presentation of the disease than do men, attention has been given to the possible role of X-inactivation in mediating the phenotypic outcome of premutation carrier women. X chromosome inactivation is the transcriptional silencing of 1 X chromosome in the somatic cells of women. For premutation carrier women, this means that a percentage of cells will contain an active abnormal \textit{FMR1} allele and the remaining cells will contain an active normal \textit{FMR1} allele. On average, 50% of cells from a carrier woman should contain an active premutation allele and 50% an active normal allele, but some individuals have skewing of this ratio such that the percentages are unequal. It has been hypothesized that variation in the activation ratio (AR) (the ratio of cells carrying the normal \textit{FMR1} allele on the active X chromosome) may result in substantial phenotypic heterogeneity among premutation carrier women.

There are few published studies correlating disease severity and AR in FXTAS. A case report described 2 sisters with similar premutation expansion sizes (69 and 83) but differing ARs. The sister with 78% AR did not meet the clinical criteria for FXTAS, whereas the sister with 29% AR had definite FXTAS. A much larger study including 82 premutation carrier women demonstrated that a lower AR in association with increasing CGG repeats was correlated with the risk for FXTAS and symptom severity. Recently, we reported that higher AR was associated with better posturography balance scores in carrier women with and without FXTAS.

The current case series describes a family of 4 sisters with similar premutation size \textit{FMR1} alleles. The AR was measured in each sister, and clinical phenotypes were compared using these ratios. The purpose of a detailed study of this family was to determine whether AR, in addition to CGG repeat size, could account for the varying neurologic phenotypes.

**METHODS** Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. The sisters were recruited through the Fragile X-Associated Disorders Program at Rush University. This study was approved by the Rush University Institutional Review Board.

**Clinical and molecular methods.** All sisters were scored using the FXTAS Rating Scale (FXTAS-RS), which rates tremor, postural sway, gait, parkinsonism, coordination, dystonia, speech, and oculomotor deficits to assess the presence and severity of FXTAS signs. The scale was created using items from the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale, the Clinical Rating Scale for Tremor, the International Cooperative Ataxia Rating Scale, and a tandem item from the Unified Huntington’s Disease Rating Scale. Medical history, neurologic examination, and MRI, when available, were used to diagnose FXTAS according to clinical and radiologic criteria (table 1). Two sisters underwent additional posturography testing using the Neurocom Smart Balance Master system (Natus Medical, Pleasanton, CA) and gait analysis using inertial sensors.

DNA was isolated from blood samples, and molecular testing on the samples was performed at the Rush University

| Table 1 | Diagnostic criteria for fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Molecular** |                               |
| \textit{FMR1} CGG repeat size 55-200 |                               |
| **Clinical** |                               |
| Major signs |                               |
| Intention tremor |                               |
| Gait ataxia |                               |
| Minor signs |                               |
| Parkinsonism |                               |
| Moderate to severe short-term memory deficits |                               |
| Executive function deficits |                               |
| **Radiologic** |                               |
| Major signs |                               |
| MRI white matter lesions in the middle cerebellar peduncle (middle cerebellar peduncle sign) |                               |
| Minor signs |                               |
| MRI white matter lesions in cerebral white matter |                               |
| Moderate to severe generalized atrophy |                               |
| **Diagnostic categories** |                               |
| Definite | Presence of 1 major radiologic sign plus 1 major clinical symptom |
| Probable | Presence of either 1 major radiologic sign plus 1 minor clinical symptom or 2 major clinical symptoms |
| Possible | Presence of 1 minor radiologic sign plus 1 major clinical symptom |
Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory. *FMR1* PCR with quantification of allele-specific CGG repeat length and identification of AGG interspersions\(^7,\,8\) and AR measurement using a new methylation PCR (mPCR) assay\(^9\) were performed using commercially available kits (Asuragen, Inc., Austin, TX). The *FMR1* mPCR\(^9\) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions on 20 to 80 ng of DNA quantified with a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The mPCR methodology involved gene-specific PCR of genomic DNA that was treated with *Hpa* II (methylation-sensitive) and amplified with hexachlorofluorescein (HEX)-specific primers (amplifies only the methylated allele) or mock-treated and amplified with fluorescein (FAM)-specific primers (amplifies both alleles), followed by separation and visualization by capillary electrophoresis. The *FMR1* (DNA) locus was analyzed by Southern blot with probe StB12.3,\(^20\) following *Eco* RI/*Eag* I digestion. ARs for the normal allele were quantified by densitometric scanning of bands corresponding to unmethylated (active) DNA on the Southern blot. AR was calculated as signal from the normal-containing band divided by total signal in both the premutation-containing and normal bands with densitometric image analysis, as described in previous studies.\(^7\) Correspondingly, ARs using mPCR were determined relative to the unmethylated component of the normal allele, as described previously.\(^9\) The percentage methylation on the normal allele is proportional to the ratio of the total peak area in the HEX relative to the FAM channel. Thus, the AR is 1 – (HEX area/FAM area) for that allele. AR results were confirmed by Southern blot with densitometric image analysis as described in previous studies.\(^7\)

**RESULTS** Case reports. Four sisters are presented to illustrate phenotypic variability, CGG repeat size, and AR (table 2).

**Case 1.** A 79-year-old woman developed balance problems at age 74. She had 3 sisters and 1 brother, as well as a nephew, a daughter, and a granddaughter with FXS (figure 1). Examination at age 75 revealed transient end-gaze nystagmus and absent reflexes in all 4 extremities. She had anterocollis, mild tremor, and dysdiadochokinesia in her left hand, increased tone in the right upper extremity, and bradykinesis in her left leg. She was unable to stand or walk in tandem without falling. Posturography revealed significant abnormalities in the vestibular control of balance, reduced limits of postural stability, and delayed automatic postural reflexes. Her FXTAS-RS score was 23 (figure 2). MRI of the brain showed severe global and cerebellar atrophy, periventricular and deep white matter ischemia, and white matter hyperintensities in the pons (figure 3). Her *FMR1* CGG repeat sizes were 30 and 82 with an AR of 10%, and she was diagnosed with definite FXTAS.

At age 76, she fell twice and on examination her ataxia and tremor had worsened, with an increased FXTAS-RS score of 27. She then developed mild cognitive impairment, hallucinations, and paranoid delusions. Her examination showed continued postural instability, wide-based gait and ataxia, festination, short steps, difficulty with turns, and ineffective use of walker. At age 77, her Mini-Mental State Examination score was 5/30,\(^21\) but her FXTAS motor signs were stable. Memantine was prescribed to slow her cognitive decline. Over the next 2 years, her FXTAS-RS score continued to worsen, reaching 72. However, she appeared unaware of her balance difficulties; when self-reporting her balance confidence for numerous activities of daily living, she scored in the range that represents a high level of perceived balance function, despite the fact that she had fallen several times in the previous year. She died at age 80 due to a presumed pulmonary embolus.

**Case 2.** The first sister of case 1 was a 75-year-old woman who developed problems with balance and memory at age 72. She had fallen recently, and she described neck pain and numbness in the toes. Associated symptoms included problems with memory and depression starting at age 71. Her medical history was remarkable for FXPOI. She had a daughter who was healthy and a son with a learning disability and unknown gene status. Examination revealed persistent horizontal gaze-evoked nystagmus, mild dystarthishria, mild left hand action tremor, and cervical dystonia. MRI of the brain showed scattered deep white matter hyperintensities. Her FXTAS-RS score was 15, but she met clinical criteria for possible FXTAS. Her *FMR1* CGG repeat sizes were 30 and 70 with an AR of 10%.

| Case | Age at onset, y | Age at neurologic examination, y | FMR1 CGG | AR, %  | Diagnosis | Tremor | Ataxia | Other features | Baseline FXTAS Rating Scale score | MRI findings |
|------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| 1    | 74             | 79                              | 30, 82   | 12     | Definite FXTAS | Kinetic and postural | Present | Dementia, psychosis | 23                            | Global and cerebellar atrophy, periventricular and deep white matter ischemia, white matter hyperintensities in the pons |
| 2    | 72             | 75                              | 30, 70   | 10     | Possible FXTAS | Kinetic | Not present | Dysarthria, dystonia | 15                            | Scattered deep white matter hyperintensities |
| 3    | 66             | 66                              | 30, 79   | 15     | Possible FXTAS | Not present | Present | Neuropathy, dystonia | 11                            | NA           |
| 4    | NA             | 79                              | 30, 80   | 40     | Normal      | Not present | Not present | NA             | 7                             | NA           |

Abbreviations: AR = activation ratio; *FMR1* = fragile X mental retardation 1; FXTAS = fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome; NA = not applicable.
Case 3. The second sister of case 1 was a 66-year-old woman who presented with balance problems and dizziness. She described curling of her toes on the right foot, turning in of the right foot when walking, and numbness and tingling of the legs. She reported short-term memory problems, anxiety, and occasional depression. Neurologic examination showed increased stance, increased body sway with

Abbreviations: AR = activation ratio; FXTAS = fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome.

Figure 1 Pedigree

Figure 2 FXTAS Rating Scale scores for case 1
Individuals harboring premutation alleles produce increased levels of expanded CGG-containing FMR1 mRNA, which is believed to result in neurologic disease due to RNA toxicity.\textsuperscript{22} Severity of motor impairment has been related to CGG repeat length in women, but only when controlling for AR.\textsuperscript{8} As such, it has been observed that sisters with similar CGG expansions may have highly variable phenotypic presentations, likely due to differences in AR.\textsuperscript{7,23}

There are several limitations to this study. First, it describes only 1 family of sisters rather than a series. Second, the FXTAS diagnostic criteria (table 1) require a brain MRI to make a probable or definite FXTAS diagnosis. Cases 3 and 4 were not imaged because of mild or absent neurologic signs, so only a diagnosis of possible FXTAS could be reached in case 3. The presence of major radiologic criteria, such as the middle cerebellar peduncle sign, on imaging would increase the diagnostic certainty to definite despite the low FXTAS-RS score.

This case series suggests a possible role for AR in conferring risk for FXTAS and its severity in premutation carrier women. In current practice, counseling regarding premutation-related disorders is based on CGG repeat size alone. The addition of AR results may provide information that could inform the clinician regarding current or future phenotypes. Much larger studies are warranted to determine the true utility of this molecular measure in informing the clinician.

**DISCUSSION** This case series describes a family of 4 sisters with premutation size FMR1 alleles who presented with a high degree of phenotypic variability (table 2). Case 1 had the largest CGG expansion, lowest AR, and the most severe clinical presentation. Case 2 had an AR similar to that of case 1 but a lower CGG expansion and milder neurologic symptoms. Case 3 had a CGG expansion in between cases 1 and 2 but a slightly higher AR and less neurologic involvement. Case 4 was the only sister not affected by FXTAS despite having a similar CGG repeat expansion; this may be attributed to her having the largest AR of the family. Although the AR varies only between 10% and 40% in these sisters, it is in the expected direction: the sister with the lowest AR has the most severe neurologic signs and the sister with the highest ratio has no signs of FXTAS. The difference in ratios alone does not seem to be sufficient to explain such a large difference in outcomes and may suggest that another unknown secondary gene effect plays a role as well.
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