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ABSTRACT

SUMMARY: The diagnostic evaluation of a patient with reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome integrates clinical, laboratory, and radiologic findings. Imaging plays an important role by confirming the presence of cerebral vasoconstriction; monitoring potential complications such as ischemic stroke; and suggesting alternative diagnoses, including CNS vasculitis and aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. Noninvasive vascular imaging, including transcranial Doppler sonography and MR angiography, has played an increasingly important role in this regard, though conventional angiography remains the criterion standard for the evaluation of cerebral artery vasoconstriction. Newer imaging techniques, including high-resolution vessel wall imaging, may help in the future to better discriminate reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome from primary angiitis of the CNS, an important clinical distinction.

ABBREVIATIONS: PACNS = primary angiitis of the CNS; RCVS = reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome; TCD = transcranial Doppler sonography; VWI = vessel wall imaging

Reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome (RCVS) is a clinical and radiologic syndrome characterized by the hyperacute onset of severe headache and reversible segmental vasoconstriction of the cerebral arterial vasculature. In the first part of this review, we discussed the historical background, possible pathogenesis, and clinical features of RCVS. In this second part, we will explore the diagnostic work-up of suspected cases of RCVS, with an emphasis on the role of imaging in the diagnosis of the entity and monitoring potential complications. This includes high-resolution MR vessel wall imaging (VWI), a new technique that may help differentiate RCVS from alternative diagnoses by characterizing pathologic changes in the wall of affected cerebral arteries. Finally, we will discuss how to integrate both clinical and radiographic features in suspected cases of RCVS to formulate a tailored differential diagnosis. Special emphasis will be placed on differentiating RCVS from aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage and primary angiitis of the CNS (PACNS).

Diagnostic Work-Up and Imaging Evaluation

Appropriate care of patients suspected of having RCVS begins with a prompt diagnostic work-up to exclude alternative diagnoses, such as aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage and PACNS. This includes obtaining a complete medical history, with particular attention paid to any common RCVS triggers that may be present; detailed physical examination; CSF analysis; and non-contrast head CT to evaluate for intracranial hemorrhage. CSF analysis is an important element in the diagnostic work-up of RCVS, which is reflected in its inclusion in the diagnostic criteria of the syndrome. In most cases of RCVS, findings of CSF analysis will be unremarkable, with red and white blood cell counts and protein levels either within normal limits or only mildly elevated. Finally, findings of other laboratory tests, including serum analysis for markers of inflammation such as erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein, are also usually within normal limits in patients with RCVS.

The role of neuroimaging in patients with RCVS includes demonstration of cerebral vasoconstriction, evaluation of alternative diagnoses, and monitoring potential complications such as intracranial hemorrhage, vasogenic edema, and ischemic stroke. Although conventional angiography has been the criterion standard for evaluation of cerebral vasoconstriction in suspected cases of RCVS, noninvasive imaging modalities such as transcranial Doppler sonography (TCD), CT angiography, and MR angiography are being used with increasing frequency (Table 1). When present, cerebral vasoconstriction involves...
Table 1: Role of imaging modalities in the management of RCVS

| Role of Imaging Modalities                     |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Transcranial Doppler sonography               |
| Diagnosis of vasoconstriction                 |
| Monitoring of vasoconstriction                |
| Noncontrast CT, CT angiography                |
| Detection of cerebral vasoconstriction        |
| Evaluation for complications such as SAH      |
| Provide plausible alternative diagnoses such as cerebral aneurysm |
| MR imaging, MR angiography                    |
| Detection of cerebral vasoconstriction        |
| Evaluation for complications such as ischemic stroke |
| Provide plausible alternative diagnoses such as cortical vein thrombosis |
| Vessel wall imaging                           |
| Catheter angiography                          |
| Detection of cerebral vasoconstriction, criterion standard |
| Demonstration of reversibility of vasoconstriction following intra-arterial vasodilator therapy |
| Possible treatment with vasodilator or balloon angioplasty |

multiple vascular territories and results in a beaded appearance of medium-to-large cerebral arteries with multifocal areas of narrowing interspersed with normal-caliber segments. The severity and distribution of vasoconstriction can fluctuate among examinations, with some areas improving and others worsening. Although the above angiographic findings are highly suggestive of RCVS in the appropriate clinical setting, they remain nonspecific and can be encountered with various other types of CNS vasculopathies and vasculitis.

The initial angiographic evaluation findings in suspected cases of RCVS may be unremarkable in the 4–5 days following patient presentation. In fact, cerebral vasoconstriction may not be visualized in up to one-third of patients with RCVS during the first week following symptom onset. This finding may be due to segmental vasoconstriction in RCVS beginning in small, peripheral arterioles before subsequently proceeding centripetally to involve medium and large cerebral arteries, which are more readily visualized. If cerebral vasoconstriction is not demonstrated on initial vascular imaging and other diagnoses have been excluded, the patient should be managed as if he or she has possible or probable RCVS.

The following sections further explore the various imaging techniques available for evaluation of suspected cases of RCVS, followed by a more detailed discussion of alternative diagnoses.

**Transcranial Doppler Sonography**

TCD has been used to monitor the evolution of vasoconstriction in patients with RCVS by measuring mean and peak blood flow velocities in proximal cerebral arteries around the circle of Willis. A prospective study of 67 patients found that 69% of patients with RCVS had elevated middle cerebral and internal carotid artery velocities, with means of 163 and 148 cm/s, respectively. Peak mean cerebral flow velocities were typically present just >3 weeks following symptom onset (22 days). Serial TCD examinations may be more sensitive for detecting RCVS-related elevations in cerebral arterial flow than single examinations because TCD findings may be unremarkable early in the course of the syndrome. Normalization of TCD parameters is typically seen by 12 weeks, paralleling the delay in resolution of cerebral vasoconstriction seen with other vascular imaging modalities such as CT and MR angiography.

However, alterations in TCD parameters do not appear to be as profound as those seen in patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. Only 13% of patients with RCVS in 1 series fulfilled the TCD diagnostic criteria for mild vasospasm. TCD may play a role in monitoring potential complications of RCVS. Chen et al noted that transcranial color Doppler sonography indicators of cerebral vasoconstriction, including elevated mean flow velocities in the MCA (>120 cm/s) and an elevated Lindegaard Index (>3), were associated with an increased risk of developing posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome.

**Noncontrast CT and CT Angiography**

Noncontrast head CT should be the initial examination performed for patients presenting with symptoms suggestive of RCVS, particularly thunderclap headache. Noncontrast CT is an effective way to screen patients for the presence of intracranial hemorrhage, including subarachnoid and intraparenchymal hemorrhage, as well as ischemic stroke. Subarachnoid hemorrhage associated with RCVS is often small in amount and confined to cerebral sulci near the vertex. It may be a subtle finding on noncontrast CT. If subarachnoid hemorrhage is present, the distribution of blood, cisternal versus sulcal, can help direct further diagnostic evaluations. Finally, noncontrast CT may demonstrate multifocal infarcts of varying ages, which can suggest the alternative diagnosis of CNS vasculitis.

CT angiography can be used to demonstrate segmental vasoconstriction suggestive of RCVS. It can also serve to evaluate other potential etiologies of patient symptoms and findings, including cerebral aneurysm, pituitary hemorrhage, arterial dissection, and, occasionally, arterial narrowing and irregularity suggestive of CNS vasculitis. Dual-energy CTA may aid in the diagnosis of cerebral vasoconstriction in suspected cases of RCVS and the evaluation of potential alternative diagnoses such as cerebral aneurysm, by improved bone removal at the skull base. However, one important drawback of this technique is the increased radiation exposure to the patient. Finally, CT venography can also be performed with CTA with a slightly delayed scan following contrast administration, potentially allowing the diagnosis of cortical vein and/or dural sinus thrombosis.

**Brain MR Imaging and MR Angiography**

Brain MR imaging is often performed in suspected cases of RCVS, and findings can appear normal or demonstrate evidence of complications of the syndrome, such as watershed infarcts or posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome. For example, T2 FLAIR-weighted imaging can be used to evaluate for subarachnoid hemorrhage and cerebral edema, while diffusion-weighted imaging is helpful in evaluating for watershed infarcts. Susceptibility-weighted imaging can help evaluate the presence of intracranial hemorrhage. Ischemic infarctions in RCVS are typically watershed in location and bilateral, presumably reflecting impaired cerebral blood flow secondary to severe cerebral vasoconstriction. In addition, MR imaging can also evaluate potential alternative diagnoses, including PACNS, dural sinus thrombosis,
pituitary apoplexy, cortical vein thrombosis, and arterial dissection.

Hyperintense vessels along cerebral sulci on T2 FLAIR imaging have been noted in patients with RCVS (22%) and correlate with more severe vasoconstriction as measured by TCD. In one study, the presence of hyperintense vessels was associated with a higher risk incidence of ischemic stroke and posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome. Hyperintense vessels on T2 FLAIR imaging have previously been described in association with other conditions involving severe cerebral artery stenosis or occlusion, including acute large-vessel ischemic stroke and Moyamoya disease. The hyperintense vessels are thought to represent slow flow in either distal cortical arteries or leptomeningeal anastomotic collaterals. However, hyperintense vessels on T2 FLAIR imaging must be differentiated from subarachnoid hemorrhage, which may also be present in patients with RCVS. SWI may be helpful in this regard by identifying the latter.

MR angiography is an effective way of diagnosing and monitoring the evolution of RCVS-related vasoconstriction, allowing patients to avoid exposure to ionizing radiation and the small risk of complications associated with conventional angiography. Chen et al followed a group of patients with RCVS with serial MRA and showed that the severity of segmental cerebral vasoconstriction peaked in these patients around day 16 following symptom onset and significantly improved in most patients by 1 month. However, the evolution of cerebral vasoconstriction was not uniform, with some cerebral arteries improving on serial scans and others worsening. They also found that combined segmental vasoconstriction scores in the M1 and P2 arterial segments were most closely associated with the complications of ischemic stroke and posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome. One limitation of MRA is the evaluation of small, distal cerebral arteries, which are better evaluated on conventional angiography, given its superior spatial resolution.

**Vessel Wall Imaging**

High-resolution MR VWI is a relatively new technique that is being increasingly used in the evaluation of cerebrovascular disease, including CNS vasculitis, RCVS, cerebral aneurysms, Moyamoya disease and syndrome, arterial dissection, and intracranial atherosclerosis. This method can use high-resolution 2D or 3D imaging, frequently with pre- and postcontrast T1 or proton-attenuation sequences. In addition, high-resolution T2-weighted imaging can be used for multicontrast imaging. In contradistinction to conventional angiographic imaging techniques that primarily evaluate the blood vessel lumen, such as conventional angiography, this approach provides information regarding the blood vessel wall itself, which is typically only 1–2 mm thick in proximal intracranial vessels.

VWI has been described as black-blood imaging because it results in low signal in the vessel lumen, thereby aiding in the visualization of the blood vessel wall. VWI can be technically challenging to perform however, due to the small caliber and tortuous course of the intracranial arteries, necessitating high spatial resolution and thus high-field-strength magnets. Specific blood vessel wall abnormalities that can be detected by using VWI include vessel wall thickening, which can be further characterized as smooth versus irregular or concentric versus eccentric; and vessel wall enhancement and signal characteristics.

There has been considerable recent interest in using VWI to help differentiate RCVS from CNS vasculitis, which can overlap in clinical and conventional imaging features. Mandell et al used VWI to evaluate a small group of patients presenting with multifocal narrowing of large intracranial arteries, suspicious for vasculitis or RCVS. They found that while patients ultimately diagnosed with both RCVS and CNS vasculitis demonstrated arterial wall thickening, wall enhancement was present only in cases of CNS vasculitis. The authors hypothesized that this finding was...
consistent with pathology results of patients with RCVS who have undergone biopsy—namely, vasoconstriction without an underlying inflammatory vessel wall infiltrate.30

A more recent article by Obusez et al20 compared VWI findings in a larger group of patients diagnosed with RCVS and CNS vasculitis (n = 13 in each group). They found that 12 of 13 patients diagnosed with CNS vasculitis demonstrated multifocal, short-segment vessel wall thickening, with 9 having concentric and 3 having eccentric wall enhancement (Fig 1). In contrast, of the 13 patients diagnosed with RCVS, 10 demonstrated diffuse uniform wall thickening, of which only 4 had associated mild wall enhancement. A minority in each group underwent follow-up VWI, which demonstrated earlier resolution of imaging findings in patients with diagnosed RCVS. These results suggest that VWI may be a useful tool in differentiating RCVS and CNS vasculitis, though further investigation is needed (Fig 2).

Perfusion Imaging
Perfusion imaging is being increasingly used in the evaluation and monitoring of cerebrovascular diseases such as RCVS and can be performed by using CT or MR imaging techniques.44 CT perfusion is performed by repeatedly imaging through the brain during the administration of an iodine contrast bolus. The resulting patient radiation exposure is a potential drawback of this method, particularly in those patients requiring multiple scans. MR perfusion techniques include T1 dynamic contrast-enhanced and dynamic susceptibility contrast MR imaging, the latter performed by rapid, repeat echo-planar imaging of the brain during the passage of a gadolinium contrast bolus, with resulting loss of intra-arterial signal secondary to susceptibility effects from the paramagnetic contrast.44 Alternatively, arterial spin-labeling perfusion is a completely noninvasive MR imaging technique that does not require the administration of gadolinium contrast but instead uses an electromagnetic spin inversion to tag water molecules, which then serve as a freely diffusible flow tracer.45

On the basis of our own clinical experience and a few isolated case reports, perfusion imaging in RCVS may show multifocal areas of hypoperfusion that often include cerebral watershed zones corresponding to the involved vascular territories (Fig 3).46,47 These areas of perfusion abnormality may worsen acutely and, in some instances, progress to watershed infarction as previously discussed.47 Changes in cerebral perfusion may correspond to the evolution of arterial vasoconstriction, and this information could potentially be used to track treatment response (eg, vasodilator therapy) and provide physiologic information regarding the effects of individual stenoses.46 However, given the relative paucity of published data, further research into the potential role of perfusion imaging in the evaluation and monitoring of RCVS is needed.

Catheter Angiography
Conventional angiography remains the imaging criterion standard for the evaluation of cerebral vasculature and may detect cerebral vasoconstriction in patients whose initial noninvasive vascular imaging findings appear unremarkable.12 This is particularly true in the evaluation of small, distal cortical vessels, which are suboptimally evaluated by CTA or MRA secondary to their inferior spatial resolution. Ducros and Bousser2 found that noninvasive imaging with MRA and CTA demonstrated sensitivity for detecting RCVS-vasoconstriction of 80% compared with conventional angiography. In our experience, conventional angiography has been proved an invaluable tool when clinical diagnosis is equivocal and noninvasive imaging findings are normal. For example, it may help evaluate patients with sus-
pected RCVS who either present in a somewhat atypical fashion (eg, more insidious-onset headache, no obvious risk factors) or demonstrate a plausible alternative diagnosis (eg, cerebral aneurysm arising from the circle of Willis). In these instances, better visualization of the character and distribution of cerebral artery irregularity and the morphology of any cerebral aneurysms present can be helpful.

Additionally, DSA may provide complementary information to aid the diagnosis, including reversibility of vasoconstriction following intra-arterial administration of a vasodilator.48-52 Because diagnostic confirmation of RCVS is usually retrospective following spontaneous resolution of clinical and angiographic findings in 1–3 months, there is often a substantial delay in confirming the diagnosis. Consequently, demonstration of reversibility following intra-arterial vasodilator administration can be clinically useful in the early recognition of RCVS.10

Differential Diagnosis

As previously discussed, presenting symptoms, sequelae, and radiographic features of RCVS can significantly overlap other frequently encountered medical conditions involving the CNS (Table 2).1,2,7,15,21,23 Furthermore, treatment of some of these alternative diagnoses, including aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage and PACNS, varies considerably from that of RCVS, making an accurate diagnosis critical to ensuring appropriate patient care.13,19 The following section will highlight important clinical and radiologic findings that can help differentiate some of these entities from RCVS.

Cerebral Aneurysm Rupture with Subarachnoid Hemorrhage. Differentiating RCVS from aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage can be challenging due to the overlap in patient symptomatology and radiographic features.54 In particular, the scenario of thunderclap headache, sulcal subarachnoid hemorrhage, and a remote cerebral aneurysm at or near the circle of Willis can be particularly difficult.55 As previously discussed, the relapsing-remitting thunderclap headache typical of RCVS would be highly unusual for patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage.1,2,11,12,21,29 Although aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage is overall the most common cause of nonprimary thunderclap headache, RCVS is the most probable diagnosis in patients who experience episodic thunderclap headaches for 1–2 weeks.55

Furthermore, patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage often demonstrate acute, progressive neurologic decline following presentation due to complications such as increased intracranial pressure and communicating hydrocephalus, which, again, would be atypical for RCVS. A retrospective analysis of patients with RCVS (n = 38), aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrh-
phage \((n = 515)\), and cryptogenic subarachnoid hemorrhage \((n = 93)\) by Muehlschlegel et al\(^5\) found that among other factors, younger patient age, less severe neurologic symptoms, and better clinical grade (ie, lower Hunt and Hess scale score) were predictive of RCVS as opposed to aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. However, one clinical scenario that could more closely mimic RCVS would be a patient experiencing a small sentinel hemorrhage from a cerebral aneurysm, which could produce a similar clinical course with waxing and waning symptoms.\(^{55}\)

Imaging can also help differentiate RCVS from aneurysmal (or perimesencephalic) subarachnoid hemorrhage. First, many patients with RCVS will have unremarkable findings on a noncontrast head CT examination, without evidence of intracranial hemorrhage or infarct.\(^7\) In cases of RCVS complicated by intracranial hemorrhage, the pattern has focal subarachnoid hemorrhage most often confined to superficial cerebral sulci, which is in contradistinction to aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage, in which blood is most often centered at the basal cisterns/circle of Willis.\(^2,5,10,13,16\) This pattern of subarachnoid hemorrhage may also help differentiate RCVS from nonaneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage on angiography, which typically predominate in the perimesencephalic region.\(^16\)

In patients who present with thunderclap headache and localized, sulcal subarachnoid hemorrhage, the presence of a cerebral aneurysm arising more proximally near the circle of Willis can pose a diagnostic challenge.\(^{15}\) In these instances, evaluating the patient’s clinical course and symptomatology may help differentiate the 2 diagnoses. VWI may be useful in these instances by evaluating the aneurysm for wall enhancement, which would suggest inflammation and possible recent rupture. However, the validity of this technique remains uncertain.

Differentiating RCVS cerebral vasoconstriction from arterial vasospasm associated with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage can also be difficult. On the basis of their clinical experience and review of the literature, Ansari et al\(^{10}\) suggested several diagnostic criteria to help differentiate these 2 entities, focusing on the severity, distribution, and time of onset of cerebral artery narrowing and the relation of these findings to a potential culprit aneurysm (Table 3). Unfortunately, none of these diagnostic criteria, either alone or in combination, are entirely specific for RCVS vasoconstriction or arterial vasospasm. For example, although RCVS vasoconstriction is often noted to involve distal cerebral arteries, more proximal vessel involvement occurs. In addition, the delay in the appearance of RCVS vasoconstriction may mimic the typical time course of arterial vasospasm. Conversely, hyperacute vasospasm may occasionally be associated with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage.\(^{15}\) Consequently, considering the patient’s overall clinical picture and radiographic features may be the most effective way of differentiating these 2 entities.

### Table 2: Potential alternative diagnoses

| Diagnosis                        |
|----------------------------------|
| Aneurysmal SAH                    |
| Primary angitis of the CNS        |
| Migraine                          |
| Cortical vein thrombosis          |
| Pituitary apoplexy                |
| Amyloid angiopathy                |
| Hypertensive hemorrhage           |
| PRES                              |
| Giant cell arteritis              |
| Arterial dissection               |
| Spontaneous intracranial hypotension |
| Meningitis                        |

Note: PRES indicates posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome.

### Table 3: Proposed criteria for differentiating RCVS vasoconstriction from SAH vasospasm\(^{10}\)

| 
| RCVS Vasoconstriction | Vasospasm-Aneurysmal SAH |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| No evidence of ruptured aneurysm or vascular malformation | Plausible target lesion identified |
| Diffuse and disproportionate extent of cerebral vasoconstriction relative to amount of SAH | Severity of vasospasm correlates with amount of hemorrhage and is most pronounced in the vicinity of the lesion |
| Beaded appearance of alternating areas of segmental vasoconstriction preferentially involving distal 2nd- and 3rd-order cerebral branches | Smooth, long segmental narrowing for proximal arteries at circle of Willis |
| Development of vasoconstriction in first 4-5 days after symptom onset, or persistence past 3 weeks | Development of vasospasm peaking between 4 and 14 days after hemorrhage |

Primary Angiitis of the CNS. Although differentiating severe RCVS and PACNS can be challenging because the 2 entities overlap in clinical and radiographic features, the distinction is critical because treatment significantly differs.\(^{2,7,13}\) Patients with PACNS often experience a fulminant course with a poor prognosis if immunosuppressive therapy with steroids and cytotoxic agents is not initiated early, while these medications are not beneficial in patients with RCVS and may be harmful.\(^{1,2,13,14}\) Fortunately, a correct diagnosis can be made in most patients by considering multiple factors, including the onset and severity of patient symptoms, patient demographics, CSF and imaging findings, and specific disease sequelae.

The headache associated with PACNS is often slowly progressive with an insidious onset, differing markedly from the typical thunderclap headache of RCVS in both time course and peak severity.\(^{1,2,7,13,16,18}\) Patient demographics in these disease entities also demonstrate significant differences. RCVS is typically encountered in young-to-middle-aged women, as opposed to PACNS, which is most often seen in older men.\(^{14,18,19}\) Analysis of CSF is also helpful because patients with PACNS, in contradistinction to RCVS, typically demonstrate elevations of CSF protein levels and white blood cell count, with values often >100 mg/dL and 5–10 cells/mm, respectively.\(^{1,2,7,10,16}\) Finally, the early clinical course of the patient can help distinguish these 2 entities. PACNS generally follows a benign, self-limited course with supportive care, while clinical deterioration would be expected in PACNS without prompt immunosuppressive therapy.\(^{2,18}\)

Nonvascular imaging findings can also help differentiate PACNS and RCVS. Most patients with PACNS will demonstrate evidence of multifocal infarcts of varying ages on presentation (90%), compared with initial MR imaging findings in patients with RCVS, which are often unremarkable.\(^{2,18}\) This finding is
consistent with the later timeframe during which ischemic stroke typically occurs in the course of RCVS, as previously discussed.\textsuperscript{1,2} Hemorrhagic complications, including cortical subarachnoid hemorrhage and concomitant posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome, which are well-established features of RCVS, are extremely unusual in cases of PACNS.\textsuperscript{1,14,18}

Imaging of the cerebral vasculature can also assist in the diagnostic work-up. Although PACNS can produce a pattern of multifocal narrowing and irregularity of mid-to-distal cerebral arteries that is indistinguishable from RCVS, most cases will appear unremarkable on angiographic imaging.\textsuperscript{2,18-20} This appearance is true even for the criterion standard of conventional angiography, which has a reported sensitivity of only 20%–64% for detecting CNS vasculitis.\textsuperscript{7,10,29} Alternatively, cerebral vasoconstriction is often apparent in cases of RCVS at presentation or shortly thereafter. Some authors have argued that certain angiographic features are more characteristic of PACNS, including eccentric luminal narrowing and abrupt vessel occlusions (Fig 4).\textsuperscript{2,7,10} However, the specificity of these findings for PACNS remains uncertain. Finally, improvement in cerebral artery narrowing following intra-arterial vasodilator therapy has also been proposed as a feature distinguishing RCVS from PACNS.\textsuperscript{52}

**Cortical Vein Thrombosis.** Cortical vein thrombosis is another potential cause of both thunderclap headache and convexity subarachnoid hemorrhage and should be considered in the differential diagnosis with RCVS in the appropriate clinical setting. Postpartum women are one specific subgroup of patients who are at increased risk for both disease entities.\textsuperscript{1} MR imaging, including susceptibility sequences and MRV, can provide high specificity for the diagnosis of cortical vein thrombosis. Both RCVS and cortical vein thrombosis can lead to ischemic stroke, often a week or more after the onset of symptoms. As is the case with primary angiitis of the CNS, distinguishing RCVS from cortical vein thrombosis is critical because treatment of the latter often entails anticoagulation, which carries significant risks and has not been shown to be beneficial in RCVS.

**Migraine Headache and Stroke.** The association between migraine headache and RCVS can make differentiating these 2 entities challenging.\textsuperscript{1,5,16} Both entities can present with thunderclap headache, associated photophobia and nausea and vomiting.\textsuperscript{7} Furthermore, migrainous headaches have rarely even been associated with ischemic stroke. However, most patients with a history of migraine who present with RCVS describe the quality and severity of the pain as being different from that in their typical migraine.\textsuperscript{7,15} Ischemic stroke in patients with migraine tends to be limited to a single vascular territory, as opposed to RCVS, in which multiterritory involvement is common.\textsuperscript{7}

**Amyloid Angiography.** Although both amyloid angiopathy and RCVS can result in lobar intraparenchymal hematoma and cortical subarachnoid hemorrhage, amyloid angiography is encountered in older individuals and typically does not present with a thunderclap or acute-onset headache.\textsuperscript{1,58} Kumar et al\textsuperscript{58} retrospectively evaluated a group of patients with atraumatic convexity subarachnoid hemorrhage and found 2 distinct patterns of clinical presentation. In patients younger than 60 years of age, presentation with abrupt, severe headache was common, and most of these individuals were presumptively diagnosed with RCVS. In contradistinction, patients older than 60 years of age most commonly presented with transitory neurologic deficits and had evi-
dence of leukoaraiosis and microhemorrhages on MR imaging. Most of these patients were diagnosed with cerebral amyloid angiopathy.

CONCLUSIONS

Imaging plays a critical role in the diagnosis and management of RCVS. Noninvasive techniques such as MR angiography are being increasingly used in clinical practice, though cerebral angiography remains the criterion standard for the detection of cerebral vasoconstriction. Clinical and imaging features of RCVS can overlap other disorders of the central nervous system considerably, particularly primary angiitis of the CNS. However, newer imaging techniques, particularly vessel wall imaging, may offer increased specificity for the diagnosis.
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