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Abstract
Inequality between men and women is widely acknowledged across many parts of the globe. For example, among paid employees in Ghana, women’s average hourly earnings were around 67% of men. The disparity in earnings perpetuates poverty. Access to financial resources is widely regarded as crucial machinery to addressing this gender disparity and reducing poverty among women. Microfinance is a conduit to increasing access to finance among poor urban and rural women who usually lack the collateral to access loans from traditional financial institutions. Notwithstanding the vital role microfinance institutions play, there is no consensus on the assertion that its impact is generally favourable. Therefore, this study investigated the role of microfinance on health, education, and standard of living, as dimensions of poverty reduction in the Techiman Municipality of Ghana. The results indicate that access to microfinance services positively correlates to health, education, living standards and poverty reduction. Therefore, it is essential to extend the reach of microfinance services to increase access further to finance and, consequently, accelerate the rate of poverty reduction within the Municipality.
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1. Introduction
Gender inequality is widely acknowledged across many parts of the globe. Women, compared to men, receive various unequal treatment across economic and social settings. Globally, women receive 24 per cent less in wages than men, and it will take 170 years to close the gap (Oxfam International, 2019) at the current rate of progress. In developing countries, gender inequality in the economy costs women $9 trillion a year, an amount that would give women new spending power and support to their families, communities and the economy at large (Oxfam International, 2019). Gender inequality within an economy perpetuates poverty.

In Ghana, ownership of wealth and assets is highly skewed in favour of men (Oduro et al., 2018). Only an estimated 6% of Ghana’s wealthiest people are women. Land and housing make up the most significant proportion of portfolios of household property. Still, women are half as likely to own land as men, and almost twice as many men own the deed to their place of residence as women. In the public sector, the proportion of employed men is double that of women. Women are concentrated in precarious jobs in the informal sector and are less likely to be eligible for medical care, paid parental leave, annual or sick leave, or retirement pension than men. There is also a gender wage gap. Among paid employees, the average hourly earnings of women were around 67% of their male counterparts.

Many scholars opine that microfinance plays a tremendous role in the entrepreneurial growth of women (Ishfaq, Khan, Shah, & Jamil, 2016). It has been argued that microfinance creates opportunities for self-employment (Shepherd & Diwakar, 2018) and is well-positioned to provide financial services to those who mainstream financial institutions exclude. According to Beegle, Christiaensen, and Dabalen (2016), microcredit institutions contribute tremendously to economic development by giving finance to small-scale businesses, creating the willingness to save among rural people and improving the economic well-being of the people in the communities.

More sustainable growth of microfinance institutions is projected to have taken more people out of poverty (Nasir & Farooqi, 2016). Increasing inequality, however, decreased the effect of economic growth on poverty
reduction from 2006 to 2013 by 1.1 percentage points. This means that if inequality had not increased, poverty would have fallen by 8.8 percentage points instead of 7.7 percentage points. Thus, reducing women’s poverty means empowering them socially, economically, and in business development by reducing gender inequality in access to information and markets.

Several researchers such as Umemezia and Osifo (2018), Addai (2017) and Batola, Amoako, and Addai (2016) have reiterated the role of microfinance institutions in ensuring poverty reduction of women in Africa. Microfinance institutions disburse small amounts that have a knock-on effect resulting in a significant contribution toward the well-being of the poor (Boateng, Boateng, & Bampoe, 2015).

Generally, microfinance institutions focus on funding for investment in micro-enterprises, complex customer needs, and the broader financial environment (Ledgerwood, Earne, & Nelson, 2013). The goal of microfinance institutions includes providing credit to the poor to combat poverty and other economic, social and business development services often ignored by traditional commercial banks (Boateng et al., 2015).

Many women in Ghana, especially those residing in rural areas, have limited access to financial services due to gender inequalities (Owusu-Antwi, Antwi, & Crabbe, 2014). Women are mostly not creditworthy as they lack any collateral necessary to access a bank loan. This situation is seen as a major factor that hinders women’s productivity and renders them vulnerable to income shocks and, ultimately, loss of economic power.

There are, however, reasons to be concerned that Microcredit’s impacts may not be uniformly positive. Studies have found conflicting associations of Microcredit with women’s nutrition (Hamad & Fernald, 2012; Moseson, Hamad, & Fernald, 2014), empowerment (Mudaliar & Mathur, 2015; Olateju, 2018), contraceptive use (Mudaliar & Mathur, 2015; Norwood, 2011), and mental health (Bajracharya & Amin, 2013). A randomised group lending intervention study among administrative areas in Ethiopia found no change in economic or health outcomes among those communities randomised to the microcredit intervention (Tarozzi, Desai, & Johnson, 2015). Another study in India examined various health and economic outcomes and found only increased spending on durable goods in the treatment group (Bajracharya & Amin, 2013). An increased social capital was found among loan recipients, although the loans were combined with a “participatory gender training” and may not reflect the effects of the financial intervention itself (Banerjee, Karlan, & Zinman, 2015).

This study investigates the effect of microfinance services on health, education, and living standards as dimensions of poverty reduction in the Techiman Municipality of Ghana. In the Techiman Municipality, about 34.2% of the households in Techiman Municipality are female-headed. The famous Techiman market, the largest agricultural products market in the country, attracts a floating population of over three thousand for three days every week into the Municipality. Males constitute 48.5 per cent, and females represent 51.5 per cent. With the migration of women to the Municipality due to the market, this study sheds light on the role microfinance institutions play toward poverty reduction.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows: section two provides further literature to the teams on gender, poverty, and microfinance institutions, section three presents the methodological approach employed in conducting the study, section four presents the results and analysis, section five presents the conclusions drawn from the study.

2. Literature Review

Sub-Saharan Africa is the only region in the world where the overall number of impoverished people is growing rather than decreasing, according to the new World Bank report on Poverty and Shared Prosperity (Friederike, 2018). There are currently an estimated 413 million people living in extreme poverty in Africa, more than half of the total world poor population (Friederike, 2018). The continent has women who make up more than 80% of farmers, and more than 40% of them are analphabets without access to formal education (Johnson, 2013). Poverty is prevalent, especially among women. The consequences include limited access to better jobs, health services, a clean environment, and good drinking water. The poverty crisis in sub-Saharan Africa gives way to lack of knowledge, hunger and malnutrition, illness, and lack of access to credit facilities, short life span and hopelessness.

It is estimated that women do at least double the amount of unpaid work, such as child care (Oxfam International, 2019). Women work longer days than men when they are counted together for paid and unpaid jobs. Jagger (2013) noted that more than half of the world’s population consists of women who perform nearly two-thirds of the world’s working hours and earn just 10 per cent of the world’s profits. This means that a young woman today can work an average of four years more than a man during her lifetime (Oxfam International, 2019).
2.1 Gender and Poverty in the Ghanaian Context

Ghana’s economic growth has been remarkable over the past twenty years (Ghana Statistical Service, 2019). Since the return to democracy in the 1990s, growth has been rising, although it has become more erratic in recent years (Ghana Statistical Service, 2019). On average, between 1991 and 2013, the Ghanaian economy grew by 5.8 per cent annually in 13 of the 22 years. In the second quarter of 2019, Ghana’s economy progressed by 5.7% year-on-year, following a 6.7% rise in the previous period (Ghana Statistical Service, 2019). Despite continued economic growth and a substantial poverty reduction, income inequality in Ghana has been steadily increasing for several years. This is a serious threat to efforts to reduce inequality and needs to be addressed. Oxfam International (2019) report added that there was no rise in deprivation during this time, and nearly 300,000 more men, women and children could have been raised from poverty in Ghana between 2006 and 2013. Ghana needs a human society to address inequality: one that works equally for women as it does for men. The state should guarantee the right to quality universal health care and education, with nobody’s income restricting their access to essential services.

It is estimated that more than 2.8 million Ghanaians are living in extreme poverty, representing around 10 per cent of the population. The vast number is said to live below the $1.9 a day of the global poverty line (National Development Planning Commission, 2018). Nevertheless, Fortson (2003) reported that women reinvest up to 90 per cent of their total earnings in their societies in developing nations, as opposed to the thirty to forty per cent that men reinvest. From a development standpoint, women are what economists call a growth reserve, meaning that there is still tremendous untapped economic potential. The extreme economic and social effect unleashed on the poor in developing countries has given rise to substantial interest on donors, policymakers, and practitioners to increase access to Microfinance for the vulnerable.

2.2 Microfinance Institutions

Today, many analysts perceive Microfinance as a valuable financial service, but not as a transformative social and economic activity (Cull & Murdoch, 2017). Some, responding to high expectations, condemn Microfinance as a misguided fad, a capitalist paradox that entranced donors but failed to deliver programs that benefitted poor communities (Viswanath, 2018). Even optimistic critics fear that by focusing more on lenders’ profitability than on consumer poverty, Microfinance has lost its moral compass (Hulme & Maitrot, 2014). Other studies found adverse effects on poverty reduction among women (Bhuiyan, Siwar, & Ismail, 2013). There are other services like advisory, insurance, savings, asset financing that women entrepreneurs can access to help them achieve economic empowerment (Fwamba, Matete, Nasimiyu, & Sungwacha, 2015).

Given that microcredit organisations provide loans rather than monetary transfers, participation may increase debt and stress if the loans are not invested appropriately. Research has shown that women may become trapped in a cycle of debt as they take out additional loans to repay old ones (Naeem, Khan, Sana-ur-Rehman, Ali, & Hassan, 2015). Moreover, women often manage the microenterprise and run the household, resulting in a dual burden of responsibility and contributing to role overload or role conflict (Parvin & Rahman, 2012). It is also likely that the structural contexts in which women live play a determining factor in the effects of microcredit programs on women’s health (Kratzer & Kato, 2013). Prior research established that women in some microcredit programs are subject to domestic violence and are often forced to take out loans by their spouses or other relatives (Naeem et al., 2015). Studies further showed that women sometimes have little or no control over their loans, with the spouse or male family member making all decisions (Cull & Murdoch, 2017; Macours & Vakis, 2014).

Differences in literacy, property rights and social attitudes about women may limit impact outside of the immediate household. However, Bateman and Ha-Joon (2012) hold a contrary view, stating that micro-credit only offers an illusion of poverty reduction. Dzisi and Obeng (2013) relate stories of the brutal way individuals find themselves trapped in debts to some Microfinance institutions. Even when clients have lost everything through disasters, they are still expected to pay their loans. Adams (2015), in his research, found that Microfinance may not be appropriate in every situation as one size fits all strategy in poverty alleviation and empowerment. The study notes that debt alone cannot serve Microfinance clients and take them out of poverty. Boateng et al. (2015) also argue that although Microfinance can improve clients’ conditions, it can also create disturbing impacts hence the need for counteracting measures to curtail the adverse effects in the design of credit programmes. The contrasting opinions, thus raise questions about the impact Microfinance services have on the socio-economic lives of their clients. Despite the extensive research on Microfinance, there are limited studies on the effects of Microcredit on vital socio-economic indicators such as health, education, and standard of living.
2.3 Microfinance Institutions and Poverty Reduction

Adjei, Arun, and Hossain (2009) studied cross-sectional data from clients of Sinapi Aba Trust, a Microfinance NGO in Ghana. They found that participation in the program enabled established clients to own savings deposits and subscribe to a client welfare scheme that served as insurance to pay off debts in times of illness or death. In addition, increased economic independence for women may enable them to be more active in decision-making around finances and health, increasing their relative status within the household (Hamad & Fernald, 2015). Fwamba et al. (2015) believe that Microfinance schemes can reduce poverty and improve people’s health and education needs. The implication of this is that increased earnings also mean that clients may seek out and pay for health care services when needed, rather than go without or wait until their health seriously deteriorates (KIVA, 2013).

Shimamura and Lastarria-Cornhiel (2010) evaluated the impact of agricultural credit program participation on children’s school attendance and found a positive relationship between this MFI service and children’s school attendance. However, Augsburg and Fouillet (2015) found a lower level of schooling among teenagers in more impoverished families obtaining microfinance. Tarozzi et al. (2017) used data from a randomised control trial in rural Ethiopia to study the impact of Microfinance on several socio-economic outcomes, including schooling and found no effect on Education. Viswanath (2017) noted that the return on investments from finance awarded to women seems to reach all household members better, significantly improving children’s health and schooling.

Previously, Addae-Korankye (2012) indicated that all Microfinance institutions provide an affordable credit source to their members. In conformity with this finding, Chen, Foster, and Puttermann (2019) suggested that Microfinance move funds from parties with excess capital to parties needing funds. His study indicated a positive relationship between Microfinance services and poverty reduction. Microfinance institutions pool funds from several sources through this process, enabling their clients to make significant investments (Okibo & Makanga, 2014).

Ganle, Afriyie, and Segbefia (2015) stated that Microfinance institutions in Ghana came into existence to re-enforce the government’s commitment to rural credit as part of a national strategy to improve agriculture and the living conditions of rural farms. Ansoglenang (2006) concluded that micro-credit institutions had been helpful to the women in Lawra and another part of the Upper West Region. The women noted that their undertakings were painful and had brought about an enhanced standard of living. Asamoah, Ansah, Anchirinah, Aneani, and Agyapong (2012), in a comprehensive study on the fight against poverty using the Microfinance support system, found that the tactical engagement of well-made programs resulted in the increment of wealth for the underprivileged and enhanced their socio-economic position. They contended that a strong signal that the effect of a loan on a borrower’s income was associated with the level of income as those with greater wealth had an enhanced chance of investment opportunities. Funding establishments were disposed to favour the upper and middle poor. According to Charlotte, Amankwaa, Asafo-Adjei, Ekow and Kumah (2012), there has been an explicit endorsement of credit given to very underprivileged families. As a result, those homes grew their incomes and assets.

3. Method

The study was carried out in several Microfinance institutions in the Techiman Municipality of the Bono East Region of Ghana. The target population for the study comprised all Microfinance institutions and their female customers in the Municipality. The Microfinance institutions were treated as a unit, and the same questionnaire was administered to them. Sixteen Microfinance institutions registered within the Municipal Assembly were considered as the sample institutions for the study.

The sample size for the Microfinance institutions was determined using Van Dalen’s (1980) recommendation. Van Dalen stipulated that a survey should contain at least ten to fifteen per cent of the target population. In agreement with this, the researcher decided to use a sample of 8 out of the 16 microcredit institutions. This number constitutes 50% of the population. The institutions are the Multicredit Savings and Loans, BACCSOD, Abosomankotere Cooperative Credit Union, Ebenezer Cooperative Credit Union, Fiaigya Rural Bank, Kasseman Rural Bank, Sinapi Aba Savings and Loans and Techiman Area Teachers Cooperative Credit Union. Both the descriptive and the explanatory research design were adopted for the study. A sample size of 271 comprising 255 customers and 16 staff were selected.

A simple random sampling, where each of the participants in the study has an equal chance of being chosen, was then used to select the Microfinance institutions. Next, a questionnaire was designed and used as a data collection tool. A quota and simple random sampling techniques were used to determine the female customers of the chosen microfinance institutions. The quota sampling technique was first applied to help to decide how many
respondents are needed to represent each microcredit institution. According to Prabhat and Meenu (2015), this non-probability sampling technique helps researchers identify specific characteristics in their respondents and then take a tailored sample in proportion to a population of interest. The criteria for selecting the female customers included being active in the institution for at least one year and benefitted from the institution’s loan services. In addition, the respondents should be a resident in the Techiman Municipality.

Before applying the quota sampling, the study adopted the tables proposed by Krejcie and Morgan (1970) to determine the sample size for the sample women. This study sample determination has been used and recommended by various researchers such as Mutz, Hengevoss, Hugi, and Gross (2017). The formula guiding this sample size determination is:

\[ n = \frac{X^2 NP(1-P)}{d^2(N-1) + X^2 P(1-P)} \]  

where \( X^2 \) = the table value of chi-square for 1 degree of freedom at the desired confidence level, which is calculated as 1.96*1.96 = 3.8416

\( P \) = the population proportion (0.50 since this would provide the maximum sample size).

\( D \) = the degree of accuracy expressed as a proportion (.05).

Hence, for the women customers in the Microfinance institutions, the sample size is calculated as:

\[ n = \frac{3.8416*755*0.5*0.5}{(0.05)^2(755) + 3.8416*0.5*0.5} = \frac{752.102}{2.8479} = 254.61 \approx 255 \]  

The following formula was used to determine the sample size for each microfinance institution:

\[ n_h = \frac{nN_h}{N} \]  

Where \( n_h \) is the sample size of firm \( h \);

\( N_h \) is the population of respondents of the institution \( h \);

\( N \) is the total population of respondents of the institutions;

\( n \) is the total sample size of respondents for the study.

Hence the sample size for respondents of BACCSOD is calculated as:

\[ n_{BACCSOD} = \frac{255*111}{755} = 35.49 \approx 36 \]  

Hence the sample size for respondents of Abosomankotere Cooperative Credit Union is calculated as:

\[ n_{Abosomankotere} = \frac{255*121}{755} = 40.87 \approx 41 \]  

Applying the formula to the other institutions, the population and sample for the study is indicated in Table 1.

| Microfinance institution | Population of Women Customers | Sample of Women Customers |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| BACCSOD                  | 111                           | 36                       |
| Abosomankotere Cooperative Credit Union | 121                         | 41                       |
| Ebenezer Cooperative Credit Union | 93                           | 32                       |
| Multicredit Savings and Loans | 72                           | 25                       |
| Sinapi Aba Savings and Loans       | 116                          | 39                       |
| Fiagya Rural Bank           | 74                            | 25                       |
| Kaaseman Rural Bank         | 59                            | 20                       |
| Techiman Area Teachers Cooperative Credit Union | 109                        | 37                       |
| **Total**                  | **755**                      | **255**                  |

Source: Field Data (2020).

The names of the respondents were obtained from the microfinance institutions and shortlisted using simple random sampling.

The collection of this primary data provided an added advantage of designing the research tool to collect information for specific purposes of their study (Creswell, 2003). Primary data has been described as the
most efficient data source because it is directed to the respondents.

The questionnaire was the instrument for this study. Two sets of questionnaires were developed: one for Microfinance institutions and another for women customers. The management questionnaire consisted of two parts: ‘I’ and ‘II’ Part I contained items on the demographic characteristics of the respondents. This included the educational level and the working experience. Part II had questions relating to the Microfinance packages that are available for women. The customers’ questionnaire also consisted of three parts: ‘I, ‘II’ and ‘III.’ Part I contained items on the demographic characteristics of the respondents. This included education and working experience. Part II also had questions relating to the packages of the Microfinance institutions to women. This section also covered financial intermediation, microinsurance, social intermediation and enterprise development services. Part III looked at poverty reduction of women, covering health, education, and improvement in living standards as dimensions of poverty measure. The questionnaires were administered to both the institution (manager) and the female customers.

The data was processed into descriptive and inferential statistics, including a one-sample t-test, factor analysis, correlation analysis, and regression analysis. The correlation and multiple regression were used to examine the effect of Microfinance institutions on poverty reduction among women. The study used the correlation of Pearson r, which is the most used statistical correlation to calculate the degree of the relationship between linearly related variables.

The analytical model that was applied to compute for the regression analysis help to determine the Microfinance and poverty reduction, and it is as given as:

\[ Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \varepsilon \]  

(6)

Where:
- \( Y \) = Poverty Reduction (Health, Education, Standard of Living);
- \( X_1 \) = Financial Services;
- \( X_2 \) = Entrepreneurial Development Services;
- \( X_3 \) = Social Services;
- \( X_4 \) = Microinsurance;
- \( \varepsilon \) = the error term.

This equation summarises the different equations. The regression was conducted, pairing each dependent variable separately from the dependent variables. The dependability of a research result pivots on two essential testing methods; reliability and validity (Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2009). The subjection of the research outcomes to testing ensures that the findings of the study can withstand rigorous scrutiny.

The reliability test is vital in determining whether a measurement instrument used to arrive at a particular result will yield the same result if the same methodological apparatus is employed (Ofori-Kuragu, Baiden, & Badu). The research instrument should not vary in its results on different occasions when used (Denscombe, 2014). The study adopted the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient, the most common instrument used in measuring reliability (Bassioni, Hassan, & Price, 2008) to test for reliability.

The validity depicts the extent to which an instrument measures the intended research component (Kimberlin & Winterstein, 2008) and the appropriateness of the data in terms of the research question being investigated (Denscombe, 2014). Content validity, which helps check the validity of the data collected, is attained by ensuring that the respondents get into the accurate picture and the meaning of the concepts as purported by the researcher, thereby making the concept clear.

The questionnaires were piloted with three experienced experts to ascertain wording and understanding in the various constructs. However, the comments necessitated the modifications of some statements in the questionnaire.

4. Results and Discussions

This section presents the study results findings. The study sourced respondents’ demographics data, which is summarised in Table 2. The demographic information of the respondents is provided in Table 2 below.
Table 2. Demographic data of respondents

| Questions                  | Categories       | MFI Staff | Percent (%) | Women Respondents | Percent (%) |
|----------------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Educational Level          | Illiterate       | -         | -           | 21                | 8.2         |
|                            | Basic            | -         | -           | 53                | 20.8        |
|                            | SHS/SSS          | -         | -           | 70                | 27.5        |
|                            | Diploma / HND    | 2         | 12.5        | 47                | 18.4        |
|                            | Degree           | 9         | 56.3        | 49                | 19.2        |
|                            | Masters          | 5         | 31.2        | 15                | 5.90        |
| Total                      |                  | 16        | 100         | 255               | 100         |
| How many years you been    | 1-5 years        | 2         | 12.5        | 47                | 18.4        |
| with the Microfinance      | 6-10 years       | 6         | 37.5        | 76                | 29.8        |
| sector?                    | 11-15 years      | 8         | 50.0        | 63                | 24.7        |
|                            | More than 15     | -         | -           | 69                | 27.1        |
| Total                      |                  | 16        | 100         | 255               | 100         |

Source: Field Data (2019).

For educational qualification of staff, 2 (12.5%) had Diploma/HND, 9 (56.3%) were degree holders, and 5 (31.2%) had their masters. Therefore, respondents who answered the questionnaires on behalf of the Microfinance institutions had all attended tertiary institutions. It is therefore anticipated that they can read and understand the questions answer them appropriately. With their working experience, 2 (12.5%) indicated they have worked with the institution for 1-5 years, 6 (37.5%) indicated that they have worked for 6-10 years, and 8 (50%) had worked for 11-15 years. Most of the staff who answered the questionnaire have worked for the Microfinance sector for more than five years hence are expected to know the institutions’ operations.

Of the women respondents, 21 (8.2%) had no formal education, 53 (20.8%) had Basic education, 70 (27.5%) had attended either Senior High or Senior Secondary, and 47 (18.4%) possess Diploma / HND certificate. For the rest, 49 (19.2%) had their first-degree certificates, and 15 (5.9%) own their masters. Most of the women surveyed have had at least secondary education and could read and answer the questionnaires appropriately. We translated the questionnaire for them in the local dialect (“Twi”) to understand and respond to the respondents who could not read and write. In terms of the number of years that these women have been with the Microfinance sector, 47 (18.4%) indicated 1-5 years, 76 (29.8%) indicated 6-10 years, 63 (24.7%) indicated 11-15 years and 69 (27.1%) revealed more than 15 years.

4.1 The Effectiveness of Roles of Microfinance Institutions

The study examined the roles of the Microfinance institutions from both the staff and women customers’ perspectives. The staff were asked to specify what their institutions had instituted to assist their women. The results are shown in Table 3 below.

Table 3. Microfinance interventions for women

| Package                           | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Financial Products and Services   | 16        | 100        |
| Mobilization of Funds             | 16        | 100        |
| Business Advice                   | 16        | 100        |
| Training on Financial Management  | 16        | 100        |
| Training on Skill Development     | 15        | 93.8       |
| Social Services on advancing Women| 16        | 100        |

Source: Field Data (2020).

From Table 3, all respondents indicated that their institution offered financial products and services, business advice, financial management training, and mobilisation of funds. Other roles that were specified included training on skill development, business advice and provision of social services to advance the welfare of women. For training on skill development, 15 out of the 16 institutions (representing 93.8%) indicated that they offer women training.

To the women, the roles of Microfinance were evaluated in four dimensions; financial intermediation services,
social intermediation services, enterprise development services and microinsurance services. The descriptive of the financial intermediation services are indicated in Table 4.

Table 4. Descriptive of financial intermediation services

| Statement                                | SA    | A     | D     | SD    | Total  |
|------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Access to credit.                        | 137 (53.7%) | 118 (46.3%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 255 (100%) |
| Facilitating financial transaction of Entrepreneurs | 149 (58.4%) | 106 (41.6%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 255 (100%) |
| Reducing the cost of transactions of entrepreneurs | 76 (29.8%) | 92 (36.1%) | 47 (18.4%) | 40 (15.7%) | 255 (100%) |
| Help its customers to save               | 89 (34.9%) | 166 (65.1%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 255 (100%) |
| Enable businesses to do financial transactions with other SMEs | 47 (18.4%) | 40 (15.7%) | 76 (29.8%) | 92 (36.1%) | 255 (100%) |

Out of the 255 respondents who participated in the study, 137 (53.7%) strongly agreed, and 118 (46.3%) agreed that they have access to credit from Microfinance institutions (Refer Table 6). Overall, all the respondents indicated that they receive credit from the institutions. Similarly, 149 (58.4%) strongly agreed, and 106 (41.6%) agreed that Microfinance institutions facilitate the financial transaction of entrepreneurs. The role of Microfinance institutions in promoting the savings of their customers was also affirmed. The study recorded 89 (34.9%) respondents who strongly agreed, and 166 (65.1%) agreed.

However, some respondents did not perceive that the institutions help them to reduce their transactional costs. The study had 87 (34.1%) (18.4% who disagreed and 15.7% who strongly disagreed) who indicated that they do not experience the roles of the institutions in reducing their financial transaction cost with other businesses. However, 169 (65.9%) agreed that the institutions assist them in lowering their transactional cost. Similarly, 87 (34.1%) accepted that the institutions enable them to do financial transactions with other SMEs against 169 (65.9%) who did not make this assertion.

Table 5. Descriptive of social intermediation services

| Statement                                | SA    | A     | D     | SD    | Total  |
|------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Assist in employment creation            | 64 (25.1%) | 127 (49.8%) | 36 (14.1%) | 28 (11.0%) | 255 (100%) |
| Assist in the educational sector         | 84 (32.9%) | 171 (67.1%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 255 (100%) |
| Assist in the health sector              | 27 (10.6%) | 45 (17.6%) | 101 (39.6%) | 82 (32.2%) | 255 (100%) |
| Assist in recreational activities        | 36 (14.1%) | 64 (25.1%) | 93 (36.5%) | 62 (24.3%) | 255 (100%) |

The study indicates that all respondents accept that the institution assists in the education sector (32.9% strongly agreed and 67.1% agreed). With the creation of employment by the institutions, 64 (25.1%) strongly agreed, 127 (49.8%) agreed, 36 (14.1%) disagreed, and 28 (11.0%) strongly disagreed.

However, most people indicated that Microfinance institutions do not place much emphasis on assisting the health sector. The study had 101 (39.6%) who disagreed, and 82 (32.2%) strongly disagreed. Also, assistance in recreational activities had 36 (14.1%) who strongly agreed, 64 (25.1%) who agreed, 93 (36.5%) who disagreed, and 62 (24.3%) who strongly disagreed.

Table 6. Descriptive of enterprise development services

| Statement                                | SA    | A     | D     | SD    | Total  |
|------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Managerial skill training to assist SMEs  | 27 (10.6%) | 45 (17.6%) | 101 (39.6%) | 82 (32.2%) | 255 (100%) |
| Strategies to increase business knowledge of entrepreneurs | 36 (14.1%) | 64 (25.1%) | 93 (36.5%) | 62 (24.3%) | 255 (100%) |
| Business advice                          | 131 (51.4%) | 124 (48.6%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 255 (100%) |
| Periodic training on skill development by the union. | 47 (18.4%) | 40 (15.7%) | 76 (29.8%) | 92 (36.1%) | 255 (100%) |

The results indicate that Microfinance institutions do not perform well under the enterprise development role. Only business advice was agreed upon (51.4% strongly agreed, and 48.6% agreed). The respondents disagreed on all other enterprise development roles. For managerial skill training to assist SMEs, the study had 27 (10.6%) who strongly agreed, 45 (17.6%) who agreed, 101 (39.6%) who disagreed, and 82 (32.2%) who strongly disagreed. Also, 36 (14.1%) strongly disagreed, 64 (25.1%) agreed, 93 (36.5%) disagreed, and 62 (24.3%) strongly disagreed that the institutions have strategies to increase customers’ business knowledge in
entrepreneurship. Furthermore, for periodic training on skill development by the union, the study had 47 (18.4%) who strongly agreed, 40 (15.7%) who agreed, 76 (29.8%) who disagreed, and 92 (36.1%) who strongly disagreed.

Table 7. Descriptive of micro insurance services

| Statement | SA | A | D | SD | Total |
|-----------|----|---|---|----|-------|
| I have undertaken special deposits for my children in the institution | 17 (6.7%) | 35 (13.7%) | 111 (43.5%) | 92 (36.1%) | 255 (100%) |
| The institution has opportunity for me to insure myself against the future | 28 (11.0%) | 57 (22.3%) | 100 (39.2%) | 70 (27.5%) | 255 (100%) |
| The institution has special accounts for funeral and disability which I have engaged in | 81 (31.8%) | 74 (29.0) | 100 (39.2%) | 70 (27.5%) | 255 (100%) |
| Through the Microfinance institutions, I have successfully insured my work against future risk | 37 (14.5%) | 30 (11.8%) | 86 (33.7%) | 10 (3.9%) | 255 (100%) |

From the results, it could be inferred that the inclusion of women in the microinsurance services of Microfinance institutions is not encouraging. For special deposits of Microfinance geared towards the future of customers’ children’s, 203 (79.6%) did not include themselves or were not involved. Similarly, 170 women representing 66.7%, disagreed that Microfinance institutions have an opportunity to insure themselves against the future. In addition, women also disagreed that Microfinance institutions have insurance policies against their work.

4.2 Correlation, Factor Analysis and Descriptive Statistics of the Variables

The correlation table and its interpretations are shown below.

Table 8. Correlation analysis of the variables

| | H | ED | SL | FS | SS | EDS | MI |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|
| H  | PC | 1.00 | 0.645 | 0.612 | 0.514* | 0.181* | 0.164* | 0.023 |
| Sig. | 0.071 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.111 |
| ED | 0.645 | 1.00 | 0.387 | 0.213* | 0.412 | 0.198* | 0.121 |
| Sig. | 0.071 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.114 | 0.000 | 0.061 |
| SL | 0.612 | 0.387 | 1.00 | 0.316* | 0.089 | 0.401* | 0.141 |
| Sig. | 0.110 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.114 | 0.000 | 0.120 |
| FS | 0.51* | 0.213* | 0.316* | 1.00 | 0.178 | 0.386* | 0.386 |
| Sig. | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.150 | 0.000 | 0.100 |
| SS | 0.181* | 0.014 | 0.000 | 0.178 | 1.00 | 0.266 | 0.266 |
| Sig. | 0.008 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.150 | 0.000 | 0.114 |
| EDS | 0.164* | 0.198* | 0.401* | 0.386* | 0.266 | 1.00 | 0.386 |
| Sig. | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.114 | 0.000 | 0.100 |
| MI | 0.023 | 0.121 | 0.141 | 0.386 | 0.266 | 0.386 | 1.00 |
| Sig. | 0.111 | 0.061 | 0.120 | 0.100 | 0.114 | 0.100 |

Source: Field Survey, (2020).

*, Correlation is significant at the 0.05 significant level (2-tailed)

KEY: ED = Education, SL = Standard of Living, FS = Financial Services, SS = Social Services, EDS = Enterprise Development Services, MI = Micro insurance, H = Health, PC = Pearson Correlation, Sig = Significance.

The study indicated in Table 8 indicates a positive relationship between the variable under poverty reduction components and Microfinance institutions services, except micro-insurance services. Health component of poverty reduction correlated positively with financial services (r = 0.514, p-value < 0.05), social services (r = 0.181, p-value < 0.05) and also with enterprise services (r = 0.164, p-value < 0.05). This implies a positive relationship between Microfinance institutions services and the health dimension of poverty reduction. Education components correlated positively with financial services (r = 0.213, p-value < 0.05) and enterprise development services (r = 0.198, p-value < 0.05). Similarly, standard of living components correlated positively with financial services (r = 0.316, p-value < 0.05) and enterprise development services (r = 0.401, p-value < 0.05). This implies a positive relationship between Microfinance institutions financial and enterprise development services and financial and enterprise development components of poverty reduction. Microfinance microinsurance services did not, however, relate to poverty reduction.
4.2.1 Factor Analysis of the Variables

The basic principle of factor analysis is a set of underlying variables called factors (less than the observable variables) that explain the interrelationships between these variables for a series of visible variables. However, from the correlation (Table 8), there was no intercorrelation among the dependent variables or the independent variables, and hence the data is usually distributed. The data, therefore, do not meet the assumption for factor analysis.

The regression analysis requires all variables to be multivariate normal. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test and the scatter plot were used. The results are presented in Table 9. The decision is that if the significance value of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test is greater than 0.05, the data is normal. If it is below 0.05, the data significantly deviate from a normal distribution.

The results (see Table 10) indicate that the data passes the test for normality, confirming the multivariate normality of the data. This is because the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test values for the variables are greater than the 5% significance level.

Table 9. Tests of normality

| Variable                          | Statistic | Sig.   |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Financial Services               | 0.287     | 0.310* |
| Social Services                  | 0.177     | 0.200* |
| Enterprise Development Services  | 0.166     | 0.214* |
| Health                           | 0.151     | 0.218* |
| Education                        | 0.178     | 0.201* |
| Standard of Living               | 0.191     | 0.290* |

Source: Field Data (2020).

The scatter plot is indicated in Figure 1. The diagram depicts a bell-shaped curve, which means that the distribution is normal. The figure demonstrates that the normal distribution curve is not skewed to any side, confirming the multivariate normality of the data.
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Figure 1. Scatter diagram

Table 10. Descriptive of variables

| Variable                          | Min | Max | Mean  | Std. Deviation |
|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|----------------|
| Financial Services               | 1   | 5   | 3.56  | 0.5061         |
| Social Services                  | 1   | 5   | 3.25  | 0.4834         |
| Enterprise Development Services  | 1   | 5   | 3.01  | 0.4801         |
| Microinsurance                   | 1   | 5   | 2.31  | 1.8902         |
| Health                           | 1   | 5   | 4.12  | 0.3512         |
| Education                        | 1   | 5   | 3.32  | 1.0981         |
| Standard of Living               | 1   | 5   | 3.81  | 1.1610         |

Source: Field Survey, (2020).
The variables were evaluated from 1 (strongly disagreed) to 5 (strongly agree). The result gives minimum values for the variables as one and maximum values as five. The implication is that the study had some respondents who strongly disagreed and some who strongly agreed. From the results in Table 9, the variables with mean values greater than 3.5 are health (mean = 4.12, standard deviation = 0.3512), standard of living (mean = 3.81, standard deviation = 1.1610) and financial services (mean value of 3.52, standard deviation = 0.5061). The implication is that among the Microfinance institutions services or roles, financial services are highly observed. There is an improvement in people’s health and standard of living in terms of poverty reduction.

The social services, enterprise development services, and microinsurance services of these Microfinance institutions achieved a mean value of less than 3.5 (3.25 for social services and 3.01 for enterprise development). Therefore, respondents believed that Microfinance institutions had not played a significant role in these services. Also, the education component of poverty is low (mean of 3.32 and a standard deviation of 1.0981).

4.3 Effect of Microfinance Institutions’ Services on Health Dimension of Poverty Reduction

The study then determined the extent of influence of the Microfinance institutions services on improving respondents’ health. This is determined through the regression model of the analysis. The summary of the model indicating the correlation coefficient (R) and the ratio of determination (R-square) is presented in Table 11.

Table 11. Regression model summary of microfinance institutions services on health

| R     | R Square | R Square Adjusted | Std. Error |
|-------|----------|-------------------|------------|
| 0.514 | 0.264    | 0.261             | 0.659      |

Source: Field Data (2020).

The indicated value of the correlation coefficient is 0.514. This value indicates a positive relationship between Microfinance institutions services and improving the health of people. The study also registered the coefficient of determination (R-Square) and the adjusted R-Square values of 0.264 and 0.261. With an R-square value of 0.264, the implication is that Microfinance institutions services predict 26.4% of the health improvement of respondents. The ANOVA analysis of the results is used to determine whether this regression is significant. The ANOVA analysis is displayed in Table 12.

Table 12. ANOVA on cooperative credit services and health

| Model    | Sum of Squares | DF | Mean squares | F     | Sig.  |
|----------|----------------|----|--------------|-------|-------|
| 1        | Regression     | 0.827 | 3        | 0.827 | 16.66 | 0.000b |
|          | Residual       | 49.778 | 251     | 0.498 |       |       |
|          | Total          | 50.605 | 254     |       |       |       |

a. Dependent Variable: Health; b. Predictors: (Constant), financial services, social services, enterprise development services.

Source: Field Data (2019).

The results show that the significance value registered in the analysis is less than 0.05; this means the data is a good fit for the model. The implication is that there is evidence that the regression model gives accurate predictions on the relationship between cooperative credit services and improvement in people’s health. The regression coefficients of the study are indicated in Table 13.

Table 13. Regression coefficients of cooperative credit services and improvement in health

| Model    | Unstandardised Coefficients | Standardised Coefficients |
|----------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
|          | B  | Std. Error | Beta | T    | Sig.  |
| 1        | (constant) | 1.818 | 0.443 | 4.107 | 0.000 |
|          | Financial Services | 0.628 | 0.167 | 0.309 | 7.765 | 0.000 |
|          | Social Services | 0.412 | 0.219 | 0.123 | 5.245 | 0.000 |
|          | Enterprise Development Services | 0.410 | 0.145 | 0.187 | 5.678 | 0.000 |

a. Dependent variable: improvement in health.

The regression coefficients illustrated in Table 12 showed a constant of 1.818, significant at the 0.05 significance
level. Financial services, social services, and enterprise development services were also significant at the 0.05 significance level. The model equation is:

\[
\text{Improvement in Health} = 1.818 + 0.628 \text{ (financial services)} + 0.412 \text{ (Social Services)} + 0.410 \text{ (Enterprise Development Services)} + \varepsilon
\]

The implication is that a unit increase in access to micro-financial services improves health by a factor of 0.628. A unit increase in social services of cooperative credit institutions improves health by a factor of 0.412. Similarly, a unit increase in cooperative credit development will improve the respondent’s health by 0.410.

4.4 Effect of Microfinance Institutions’ Services on Educational Dimension of Poverty Reduction

The summary of the model indicating the correlation coefficient (R) and the ratio of determination (R-square) is presented in Table 14.

Table 14. Regression model of microfinance institutions services and education

| R     | R Square | R Square Adjusted | Std. Error |
|-------|----------|-------------------|------------|
| 0.291 | 0.0847   | 0.0618            | 0.41923    |

Source: Field Data (2019).

The model summary gives a positive correlation coefficient \(r = 0.291\) between Microfinance institutions services and the educational component of poverty reduction with an \(r\)-square value of 0.0847, adjusted \(R\)-Square value of 0.0618 and standard error of 0.41923. The \(r\)-square value of 0.0847 indicates that the Microfinance institutions explain an 8.5% variation of the educational component of poverty reduction.

Table 15. ANOVA on microfinance institutions services and education

| Model        | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean squares | F        | Sig.  |
|--------------|----------------|----|--------------|----------|-------|
| 1 Regression | 1.234          | 3  | 1.234        | 7.0114   | 0.000 |
| Residual     | 29.527         | 251| 0.176        |          |       |
| Total        | 30.761         | 254|              |          |       |

Source: Field Data (2019).

The ANOVA results in Table 14 (p-value = 0.000 < 0.05) indicate that the result is significant. This implies that the relationship between the Microfinance institutions services and improvement in Education is significant, and the model gives a better prediction. The regression coefficients of the study are indicated in Table 16.

Table 16. Regression coefficients of microfinance institutions services and improvement in education

|                  | Unstandardised Coefficients | Standardised Coefficients |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                  | B   | Std. Error | Beta | T     | Sig.  |
| 1 (constant)     | 1.677 | 0.156   | 17.159 | 0.000 |
| Financial Services | 0.165 | 0.056   | 0.189 | 11.155 | 0.000 |
| Social Services  | 0.276 | 0.187   | 0.223 | 7.291  | 0.141 |
| Enterprise Development Services | 0.139 | 0.048 | 0.177 | 6.108  | 0.000 |

Source: Field Data (2019).

From Table 15, the improvement in education-related positively and significantly with financial services (b-value = 1.165, p = 0.000 < 0.05), and enterprise development services (b-value = 1.139, p = 0.000 < 0.05). The implication is that Microfinance financial services and enterprise development services predict improvement education. The model for the study is thus stated as:

\[
\text{Improvement in Education} = 1.677 + 0.165 \text{ (financial services)} + 0.139 \text{ (enterprise development services)} + \varepsilon
\]

4.5 Effect of Microfinance Institutions’ Services on the Standard of Living

With the regression analysis, the model summary is indicated in Table 17.
Table 17. Regression model of microfinance institutions services and standard of living

|       | R     | R Square | R Square Adjusted | Std. Error |
|-------|-------|----------|-------------------|------------|
|       | 0.457 | 0.209    | 0.193             | 0.1986     |

Source: Field Data (2019).

The model summary indicates a positive relationship between Microfinance institutions services and standard of living ($r = 0.457$, $r$-square value = 0.209) (Refer to Table 17). The $r$-square value of 0.209 indicates that Microfinance institutions services explain a 20.9% variation in the standard of living.

Table 18. ANOVA on microfinance institutions services and standard of living

| Model      | Sum of Squares | Df  | Mean squares | F          | Sig.   |
|------------|----------------|-----|--------------|------------|--------|
| 1 Regression | 0.813         | 3   | 0.813        | 4.619      | 0.000  |
| Residual   | 30.171         | 251 | 0.175        |            |        |
| Total      | 30.984         | 254 |              |            |        |

b. Dependent Variable: Standard of Living; b. Predictors: (Constant), financial services, social services, enterprise development services.

Source: Field Data (2019).

From the ANOVA table indicated in Table 19, the study is significant (F-value = 4.619, p-value = 0.000 < 0.05). This means that the model is accurate, and Microfinance institutions services predict the standard of living.

Table 19. Regression coefficients of microfinance institutions services and standard of living

|                      | Unstandardised Coefficients | Standardised Coefficients |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                      | B   | Std. Error | Beta | T     | Sig. |
| (constant)           | 2.733 | 0.095     | 28.719 | 0.000 |
| Financial Services   | 1.061 | 1.046     | 1.102 | 4.336 | 0.000 |
| Social Services      | 0.479 | 0.890     | 0.523 | 6.990 | 0.091 |
| Enterprise Development Services | 0.738 | 0.149 | 0.771 | 5.009 | 0.000 |

c. Dependent variable: standard of living.

From Table 18, standard of living related positively and significantly with financial services ($b$-value = 1.061, $p = 0.000 < 0.05), and enterprise development services ($b$-value = 0.738, $p = 0.000 < 0.05). The implication is that Microfinance financial services and enterprise development services predict improvement standard of living. The model for the study could therefore be stated as

$$\text{Standard of Living} = 2.733 + 1.061 \text{ (financial services)} + 0.738 \text{ (enterprise development services)} + \varepsilon$$

4.6 Summary of Results

From the results the health component of poverty reduction correlated positively with financial services ($r = 0.514$, p-value < 0.05), social services ($r = 0.181$, p-value < 0.05) and also with enterprise services ($r = 0.164$, p-value < 0.05) (see Table 8). The component registered a mean of 3.56 and a standard deviation of 0.3512. There was a positive relationship between Microfinance institutions services and improvements in people’s health. Microfinance institutions’ services predict 26.4% of the health improvement of respondents. From the regression model, a unit increase in access to cooperative credit financial services improves health by a factor of 0.628. In addition, a unit increase in social services of cooperative credit institutions improves health by a factor of 0.412. Similarly, a unit increase in cooperative credit development will improve the respondent’s health by 0.410. This is consistent with some earlier studies, including Ferka (2011), who examined the impact of Microfinance on women’s livelihoods in rural communities and found that Microfinance has contributed to a large extend increased access to credit and savings mobilisation. This contributed to women’s ability to improve their petty trading, increase their income, lead to good health and education for their families, acquire assets, and participate in household decision-making. In addition, Hamad and Fernald (2015) examined cooperative credit participation and women’s health, establishing a positive correlation between the length of collaborative credit participation and psychological health measures for women but not physical health. Other studies, such as Littlefield,
Murdur, and Hashemi (2003), Brana (2008), and Vob and Muller (2009), supports this finding. The result indicated a positive correlation coefficient between Microfinance institutions’ services and the educational component of poverty reduction with an R-square value of 0.0847 and an adjusted R-Square value of 0.0618. The indication is that the Microfinance institutions explain an 8.5% variation of the educational component of poverty reduction. From the regression model, an improvement in education relates positively and significantly with financial services (b-value = 1.165, p = 0.000 < 0.05), and enterprise development services (b-value = 1.39, p = 0.000 < 0.05). In his study, Viwana (2018) described a study undertaken to gauge the impact of cooperative credit availability on education expenditures for children of clients of a South Indian Microfinance institution. The study revealed that cooperative credit impacts the demand for Education as mediated by wealth effects and status effects. This implies that microloans increase spending on Education as the wealth and social status of the family improves.

A positive relationship was also established between Microfinance institutions’ services and standard of living. Microfinance institutions services explain a 20.9% variation in the standard of living. Standard of living thus relates positively and significantly with financial services (b-value = 1.061, p = 0.000 < 0.05), and enterprise development services (b-value = 0.738, p = 0.000 < 0.05). The implication is that Microfinance financial services and enterprise development services predict improvement standards of living.

Dzisi and Obeng (2013) examined the impact of Microfinance on the socio-economic lives of women entrepreneurs in Ghana. The results showed an improvement in women’s businesses and their socio-economic status from the time the loans were taken. Rashid, John, Consolatta, and Stephen (2015) mirrored out the effects of Microfinance on the economic empowerment of Women Entrepreneurs in developing economies and found that Microfinance institutions services act as a critical fulcrum of women entrepreneurs’ economic empowerment.

While women are key economic actors, their inequality is slowing global economic growth. In contrast, their vulnerability in developing countries feeds a devastating cycle of deprived populations. Countries with higher levels of gender equality tend to have higher income levels, and data from several regions and countries suggests that narrowing the gap results in poverty reduction. It is, therefore, essential to support women in accessing quality and productive jobs and improving their livelihoods to reduce poverty and achieve broader development goals. The political, social, and economic empowerment of women could, therefore, reduce poverty for all.

5. Conclusions

The study examined the role of microfinance institutions towards poverty reduction among women in the Techiman Municipality of the Bono East Region of Ghana. It found that microfinance institutions play a critical role in eradicating poverty among women by providing financial products and services, business advice, financial management training, mobilisation of funds, skill development training, social services to advance the welfare of women.

The results indicated a positive relationship between services of microfinance institutions and the measured dimensions of poverty reduction. A unit increase in social services of cooperative credit institutions improves health by a factor of 0.412. Similarly, a unit increase in collaborative credit development will improve the respondent’s health by 0.410. Microfinance institutions positively correlate to Education, explaining an 8.5% variation of the educational component of poverty reduction. From the regression model, improvement in financial services and enterprise development services significantly improve Education. Finally, there was a positive relationship between microfinance institutions services and standard of living, with collaborative credit union services explaining a 20.9% variation of the standard of living.

The financial services of microfinance institutions services in the Techiman Municipality are essential for reducing poverty by improving key indicators such as health, education, and the standard of living. Therefore, the study concludes that the relationship between microfinance institutions programs (financial services, social services, and business growth services) and poverty reduction (health, education, and living standards) is positive.

Therefore, it is essential to promote the services of microfinance institutions to enhance their role in business advice, collaborative credit unions management, and skill development to support SMEs. Furthermore, policymakers and governments should establish various programs to raise lending and improve the institutional environment, to increase the willingness of microfinance institutions to lend to women. Such programs could involve low interest, guarantees, and unique lines of credit for economic sectors like agriculture businesses and innovative activities targeting women.
Women should also mobilise to form associations so that they can access group loans. These associations would give a voice to these women, create synergy, and serve as a guarantee for individual women to access loans from banks and other financial institutions.

The Bank of Ghana should enact laws to assist other banks to come out with innovative packages suitable for the financial needs of women entrepreneurs.
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