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Preliminary H1 results on dedicated searches for QCD instanton induced processes in DIS are presented. The investigations are based on the expected characteristics of their hadronic final state. Searches were performed in the kinematical region $x > 10^{-3}$, $0.1 < y < 0.6$ and $\theta_{el} > 156^\circ$.

1 Introduction

Instantons\textsuperscript{1}, non-perturbative fluctuations of non-abelian gauge fields, induce anomalous processes which violate classical conservation laws like baryon plus lepton number in the case of the electroweak interactions and chirality in the case of QCD. Deep-inelastic scattering (DIS) at HERA offers the unique possibility\textsuperscript{2} to discover processes induced by QCD-instantons. The theory\textsuperscript{3} and phenomenology\textsuperscript{4,5} have been worked out by A. Ringwald and F. Schrempp. The expected event topology can be simulated with the QCDINS\textsuperscript{5} Monte Carlo program. The predicted cross section\textsuperscript{6} is $\sigma_{\text{ins}} \approx 30 - 100$ pb for $0.1 < y < 0.9$ and $x > 10^{-3}$\textsuperscript{7} We can therefore expect a sizeable number of such events. The background, however, is three orders of magnitude higher.

2 Data Selection

The analysis is based on data taken in 1997 with the H1 detector corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $L = 15.78$ pb$^{-1}$. The analysis is performed in the following phase space: $0.1 < y_{el} < 0.6$, $x_{el} > 10^{-3}$ and $\theta_{el} > 156^\circ$. The charged particles are reconstructed in the acceptance region of the Central Track Chambers $20^\circ < \theta < 155^\circ$ with the transverse momentum $p_T > 0.15$ GeV. A combination of tracks and energy depositions in the calorimeter is used to measure the energy flow.\textsuperscript{7} The total DIS sample contains $\sim 280000$ events.

3 Observables and Search Strategy

The instanton-induced hadronic final state is expected to have the following signature (see Fig.\textsuperscript{1}): a densely populated narrow band in pseudorapidity

\textsuperscript{a} We use QCDINS2.0 with the default values. We do not apply the $Q^2 > 100$ GeV$^2$ cut to suppress non-planar diagrams as recently recommended by the authors.
Table 1: Measured numbers of events and expected background for three cut scenarios. The errors are dominated by systematic errors.

|               | (A) DATA: 3000 | (B) DATA: 1332 | (C) DATA: 549 |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|               | CDM            | MEPS           | CDM            | MEPS           | CDM            | MEPS           |
|               | $2469_{-238}^{+242}$ | $2572_{-222}^{+237}$ | $1005_{-70}^{+82}$ | $1084_{-46}^{+75}$ | $363_{-26}^{+22}$ | $435_{-22}^{+36}$ |

which is homogeneously distributed in azimuth (isotropic 'fireball'-like final state), a large total transverse energy, a large particle multiplicity including all kinds of flavours e.g. strange particles. The high background from normal DIS events requires the best possible discrimination.

The following observables have been used to discriminate I-induced processes from normal DIS events: (1) $E_{T_{\text{jet}}}$, the jet with highest $E_T$ (cone algorithm with radius $R = 0.5$). We associate this jet with the 'current' quark ($q''$) in Fig. 1. (2) The virtuality of the quark entering the I-process $Q^{'2} = -(q-q'')^2$ where the photon ($q$) is reconstructed by the scattered electron. (3) The number of charged particles $n_B$ in the instanton band (4) The sphericity $SPH$ calculated in the rest system of the particles not associated with the current jet. (5) $E_{T_b}$ the total transverse energy in the instanton band calculated as the scalar sum of the transverse energies and (6) $\Delta b$, a quantity measuring the $E_T$ weighted $\Phi$ event isotropy.

To find the optimal cut scenario the following cut values have been applied: $n_B > 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$; $SPH > 0.4, 0.5, 0.55, 0.6, 0.65$ and $95, 100, 105, 110, 115 < Q^{'2} < 200$ GeV$^2$ (see Fig. 1). From 125 cut combinations three scenarios are chosen according to the following criteria: (A) The highest instanton efficiency ($\epsilon_{\text{ins}} \approx 30\%$), (B) High $\epsilon_{\text{ins}}$ at reasonable background reduction and (C) Highest background reduction ($\epsilon_{\text{dis}} \approx 0.13 - 0.16\%$) at $\epsilon_{\text{ins}} \approx 10\%$.

\[b\] All observables are calculated in the hadronic CMS ($q + \vec{P} = \vec{0}$) except the sphericity.

\[c\] The particles belonging to the jet $q''$ are removed from the final state and the $E_T$-weighted mean pseudorapidity $\bar{\eta}$ is recalculated with the remaining ones. The instanton band is defined as $\bar{\eta} \pm 1.1$.

\[d\] $\Delta b$ is defined as $\Delta b = \frac{E_{\text{in}} - E_{\text{out}}}{E_{\text{in}}}$ where $E_{\text{in}}$ ($E_{\text{out}}$) is the maximal (minimal) value of the sum of the projections on all possible axis $i$ of all energies depositions in the band (i.e. $E_{\text{in}} = \max \sum_n \langle |p_n| \rangle$). For isotropic events $\Delta b$ is expected to be small while for jet-like events it should be large.
4 Results and Conclusions

The results are presented in Fig. 2 and Table 4. In all scenarios more events are observed than expected by the standard QCD models CDM and MEPS. The shape of the excess in $n_B$, SPH and $Q'^2$ is qualitatively compatible with the expected instanton signal. However, the size of this signal is at the level of differences between the QCD models. The shapes of the other observables (not used in the cuts) are neither well reproduced by CDM nor by MEPS. The observed excess in $E_{t_b}$ and $\Delta_{b}$ is not particularly favoured by the QCDINS predictions.

QCDINS contributions cannot be excluded from the data given the uncertainties in their calculation and modelling. In addition, a better understanding of the DIS hadronic final state formation in the phase space relevant for instanton searches is required.
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