Assessment of 1.5 Mm Low Profile Titanium Miniplate As a Tension Band in Treatment of Mandibular Parasymphyseal Fractures
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Abstract

Purpose: The study was carried out to assess the use of 1.5 mm low profile titanium miniplate as a tension band in the treatment of mandibular parasymphyseal fractures.

Patients and Methods: The study was performed on 12 patients; 10 males and 2 females suffering from mandibular parasymphyseal fractures with/without other fractures in the maxillofacial region. Patients were selected from those attending the outpatient clinic, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department in both, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University and Faculty of Dentistry, October 6th University. The selected patients were randomly divided into two equal groups. All patients were treated under general anesthesia with standard nasal endotracheal intubation.

Results: The use of 1.5 mm low profile titanium miniplates shows no statistical significance (P < 0.05) in the treatment of mandibular parasymphyseal fractures when compared to 2.0 mm titanium miniplates.

Conclusion: There were no differences detected in results between 1.5 mm low profile titanium miniplate and 2.0 mm titanium miniplate when used as a tension band.
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Introduction

Mandible is a very prominent and vulnerable bone on the face, since the projected chin is a favored target of adversary’s lower jaw fractures are twice as common a major role in the mastication, speech and deglutition. Its fractures result in severe loss of function and disfigurement [1]. The aim of mandibular fracture treatment is the restoration of anatomical form and function, with particular care to establish the occlusion. Traditionally, this has been achieved by immobilizing the jaws using various wiring techniques. In the past 2 decades, interest has increased for different methods of open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) [2]. There are multiple alternatives in treatment of mandibular parasymphyseal fracture with miniplate technique as regard site and number of the plates applied with controversy in selection of the most successful technique.

Hence the purpose of the study was to assess the 1.5 mm low profile titanium miniplate as a tension band in the treatment of mandibular parasymphyseal fractures.

Patients and Methods

1.Patient Selection

a) Inclusion criteria: patients included suffered from trauma to the face and diagnosed with mandibular parasymphyseal fractures. Their age ranged from 18-50 with mean age 25 years old.

b) Exclusion criteria: patients with any comminuted fractures, associated pathology or bone disease and uncontrolled debilitating systemic disease.
II. Pre-operative Procedures

a) Case History

b) Clinical Examination: General examination was achieved to evaluate any concomitant injury in the body. Local examination for the fractured mandible was performed both extraorally and intraorally by inspection and palpation.

c) Radiographic examination: Preoperative radiological examination was performed mainly by using: digital Panoramic radiograph, Submentovertex projection. Any other radiographic views indicated for the associated fractures depending on the patient’s medical condition were performed.

III. Patients Grouping

The selected patients were randomly divided into two equal groups:

a) Group I: Treated by open reduction and internal fixation through intra-oral approach using 2.0 mm Titanium miniplate at the inferior border of the mandible and a 1.5 mm low profile Titanium miniplate as tension band.

b) Group II: Treated by open reduction and internal fixation through intra-oral approach using two 2.0 mm Titanium miniplate one at the inferior border of the mandible and the other as a tension band.

IV. Study design

a) Pre-operative preparation and investigations: Clinical digital photographs, preoperative radiographs, informed consent were taken. Wound debridement and care of any soft tissue injury were performed.

i. Medications: Antibiotic* was prescribed for maximum 48 hours as a prophylaxis against infection, Non-steroidal antiinflammatory drug** 75mg ampules to control pain and edema, Antiseptic mouthwash***.

Emergency intermaxillary fixation was performed utilizing Ivy loops as a temporary means of fixation following closed reduction to decrease pain and susceptibility to infection due to segments mobility.

* Unasyn: Each vial contains: 500 mg sulbactam sodium + 1000 mg ampicillin, manufactured by Pfizer Egypt S.A.E Cairo, A.R.E.

** Voltaren: Diclofenac sodium 75mg/amp manufactured by Novartis [3-5].

*** Antiseptol: Each bottle contains: Chlorhexidine Gluconate 0.1% manufactured by Kahira.

Standard laboratory investigations were requested. All selected patients were medically categorized as American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) class II. Patients were kept fasting at least six hours before the operation.

b) Postoperative instructions and medications

Good oral hygiene was emphasized by instructing the patient to perform tooth brushing, and to rinse utilizing warm saline and
mouth wash*. Semi-solid feeding was ordered for the patients on the second postoperative day and until the end of intermaxillary fixation period. Betadine 1%; 120 ml bottle contains povidine iodine 1%, manufactured by The Nile company Cairo, A.R.E. Prophylactic Antibiotics* were prescribed for one week postoperatively to guard against infection. Anti-edemic** were prescribed to reduce post-operative edema and inflammation. Analgesic*** were also prescribed whenever needed to control post-operative pain.

VI. Post-Operative follow up

Patients were followed up both clinically and radiographically for a period of six months.

a. Clinical follow-up: This was performed at the following intervals: 2nd post-operative day (immediate post-operative), Weekly for the first month (sutures were removed after 1st week), Three months post-operative and Six months post-operative. It was performed to examine the presence of: wound dehiscence, infection and swelling, segment mobility, non-union or malunion, any nerve deficit and any change in occlusion.

b. Radiographic follow-up: Digital Panoramic radiographs & submentovertex projection was performed for all patients according to the following schedule: Immediate post-operative, One-month post-operative and Six months post-operative (Figure 2).

c. Neuro-sensory examination: This was performed using single point objective method.

Results

Results shows that Wound dehiscence was observed in one patient (8.3 %) from the twelve that represent the whole study population. It occurred after one week post-operatively and managed with daily irrigation and prophylactic antibiotic therapy. Infection was observed in 16.7% of patients (one case) due to pulp necrosis of one of the neighboring teeth that was traumatized during the accident. It was treated endodontically under antibiotic coverage. It also happen in 33% (2 cases) of the control group 4 weeks post-operatively. In one case it was due to pulp necrosis of tooth near fracture line and managed by root canal treatment and antibiotic medication. While in the other, infection happened due to the presence of loose screw that was crossing the fracture line and it was managed by incision and drainage, removal of loose screw under antibiotic coverage. The difference between both groups was found to be statistically insignificant (P=0.33).

There was no segment mobility, non-union or malunion. Regarding occlusion, all patients return to their normal anatomic occlusion post-operatively. There was proper occlusion in all cases throughout the follow up period. In the present study, paresthesia was detected in all patients immediate post-operatively and gradually recovered totally one month post-operatively, this was attributed to trauma itself in some cases and due to stretching of mental nerve and soft tissue during operation. In group I, all patients suffered from paraesthesia immediate post-operatively (100%) that resolved by the 1st week in 2 cases (66.7%) and in the 2nd week another 2 cases (33.3%) had resolved, by the 3rd week one case resolved (16.7%) and by the 4th week another case had paraesthesia (16.7%) that is uneventful in 3rd and 6th months follow up. In group II, all patients had paraesthesia immediate post-operatively (100%) then resolved by the 1st week in one case (83.3%) and in the 2nd week 3 cases had resolved (33.3%) and after 4 weeks another 2 cases had resolved. There was no statistical significance throughout follow up period between both groups regarding incidence of paresthesia (P<0.05) (Figure 3).

Discussion

The study was designed to assess the use of 1.5 mm low profile titanium miniplate as a tension band in the treatment of mandibular parasymphyseal fractures. It is malleable, thin, easily adapted and fixed in place using monocortical screws. This allows its use as a tension band without affecting tooth
In Case 02 was due to pulp necrosis of tooth near fracture line (cases 02 and 03) of the control group 4 weeks post-operatively. It was treated under antibiotic coverage. It also happened in 33% of the study group due to pulp necrosis of one of the neighboring teeth (total 20 patients of the study) and treated adequately with daily irrigation and antibiotic medication.

In accordance to Kim and Nam [9] low-profile miniplates can be used in simple mandibular fractures regardless of the anatomic location. Also, Frost et al. [4], Edward and David [10] stated that low-profile miniplates applied with monocortical screws, which decrease the likelihood of damage from screws to the inferior alveolar nerve or teeth in the area of plate placement. The main cause of injuries in the present study was the interpersonal violence; it accounts for 66.7% in group I (cases 02, 03, 04 and 06) and 50% in group II (cases 01, 02 and 06) followed by road traffic accidents 33.3% in group I (cases 01 and 05) and 50% in group II (cases 03, 04 and 05). This was different from what showed by Ellis et al. [11] who reported in a large retrospective study of 2137 patients with mandibular fractures that (43%) were caused by motor vehicle accidents, (34%) by assaults, (7%) as the result of a fall, (4%) occurred in sporting injuries, and the remainder had miscellaneous causes. This might be due to the cultural and behavioral difference between the environment of the present study and Ellis study.

Wound dehiscence was observed in one patient (8.3%) from the twelve that represent the whole study population (case 03, group II). It occurred after one week post-operatively and managed with daily irrigation and prophylactic antibiotic therapy. It appeared as a suture break-down; this might be due to incision above the mentalis muscle that lead to compromising the vascularity of the healing flap and allowed for tension over the suture line during mastication after returning back to normal dite. This went relatively in accordance with what stated by Kim and Nam [9] as there was one case had dehiscence was observed only in 5% of patients (one patient of total 20 patients of the study) and treated adequately with daily irrigation and antibiotic medication.

Infection was observed in 16.7% of patients (case 03) of the study group due to pulp necrosis of one of the neighboring teeth that was traumatized during the accident. It was endodontically treated under antibiotic coverage. It also happened in 33% (cases 02 and 03) of the control group 4 weeks post-operatively. In Case 02 was due to pulp necrosis of tooth near fracture line and managed by root canal treatment and antibiotic medication. While in case 03 infection happened due to the presence of loose screw that was crossing the fracture line and it was managed by incision and drainage, removal of loose screw under antibiotic coverage. The difference between both groups was found to be statistically insignificant (P=0.33).

This was relatively in accordance with what stated by Kim and Nam [1-3] as they reported that postoperative infection was observed in only one patient and treated adequately with incision and drainage and antibiotic medication and the plate was not removed. Also this was different from what stated by Ellis and Ghali [13] in their study on 41 patients of lag screw fixation of mandibular parasymphyseal and angle fractures as they reported two postoperative infections. One treated by sequestrectomy, the other to removal of a tooth in the fracture line. External pin fixation was undertaken after surgery.

On the other hand, there was no evidence of infection radiographically throughout study period except in case 03 in the control group (16.7%) at one month; this was due to the presence of loose screw that affect the surrounding bone and need long period of healing to be disappear during radiographic examination. The difference between both groups was found to be statistically insignificant.

In the present study, there was no segment mobility, non-union or malunion and this went in accordance with Zakaullah S, Kotrashetti SM and Maiya R [12] as they reported that there were no cases of malunion or nonunion. There were no material-related failures such as plate fracture or loosening of hardware. Regarding occlusion, all patients return to their normal anatomic occlusion post-operatively, this was different from what have been reported by Kim and Nam [9] as there was one case had a premature occlusal contact and was managed with elastic traction. The difference between both groups was found to be statistically insignificant. There was proper occlusion in all cases throughout the follow up period.

In the present study, paresthesia was detected in all patients immediate post-operatively and gradually recovered totally after one month post-operatively, this was attributed to the effect of trauma in some cases and due to stretching of mental nerve and soft tissue during operation. In group I, all patients suffered from paresthesia immediate post-operatively (100%) that resolved by the 1st week in cases 04 and 06 (66.7%) after subsiding of the post-operative edema. In the 2nd week cases 05 and 01 (33.3%) had resolved as both cases underwent mental nerve skeletonization to minimize stretching of mental nerve and soft tissue intra-operatively; as the fracture line in both cases was oblique and need more exposure.

By the 3rd week case 02 resolved (16.7%) and by the 4th week case 03 had paresthesia (16.7%) that is uneventful in 3rd and 6th months follow up, in both cases delayed resolution of paresthesia was due to soft tissue stretching intra-operatively as they did not underwent mental nerve skeletonization. In group
II, all patients had paresthesia immediate post-operatively (100%) then resolved by the 1st week in case 06 (83.3%) after post-operative edema subsided. In the 2nd week cases 02, 03 and 04 had resolved (33.3%) as these cases underwent mental nerve skeletonization to minimize stretching of mental nerve and soft tissue intra-operatively as the fracture line in these cases was oblique and need more exposure. After 4 weeks, cases 01 and 05 had resolved, in both cases delayed resolution of paresthesia was due to soft tissue stretching intra-operatively as they did not underwent mental nerve skeletonization.

There was no statistical significance throughout follow up period between both groups regarding incidence of paresthesia (P<0.05). This went in accordance with Kim and Nam [9] as they reported that postoperative paresthesia is related to the trauma itself and the surgical approach and all patients with paresthesia recovered within 6 months postoperatively.

Conclusion

From the results of the present study, the following can be concluded that:

a. Low profile 1.5 mm titanium miniplate is good, malleable, thin, easily adapted and fixed in place using monocortical screws causing no injury to the tooth when used as a tension band.

b. There were no differences detected in results between 1.5 mm low profile titanium miniplate and 2.0 mm titanium miniplate when used as a tension band.
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