Beta-band activity in medial prefrontal cortex predicts source memory encoding and retrieval accuracy
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Reality monitoring is defined as the ability to distinguish internally self-generated information from externally-derived information. The medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) is a key brain region subserving reality monitoring and has been shown to be activated specifically during the retrieval of self-generated information. However, it is unclear if mPFC is activated during the encoding of self-generated information into memory. If so, it is important to understand whether successful retrieval of self-generated information critically depends on enhanced neural activity within mPFC during initial encoding of this self-generated information. We used magnetoencephalographic imaging (MEGI) to determine the timing and location of cortical activity during a reality-monitoring task involving self generated contextual source memory encoding and retrieval. We found both during encoding and retrieval of self-generated information, when compared to externally-derived information, mPFC showed significant task induced oscillatory power modulation in the beta-band. During initial encoding of self-generated information, greater mPFC beta-band power reductions occurred within a time window of −700 ms to −500 ms prior to vocalization. This increased activity in mPFC was not observed during encoding of externally-derived information. Additionally, increased mPFC activity during encoding of self-generated information predicted subsequent retrieval accuracy of this self-generated information. Beta-band activity in mPFC was also observed during the initial retrieval of self-generated information within a time window of 300 to 500 ms following stimulus onset and correlated with accurate retrieval performance of self-generated information. Together, these results further highlight the importance of mPFC in mediating the initial generation and awareness of participants’ internal thoughts.

Reality monitoring is defined as the ability to distinguish the source of internally self-generated information from outside reality (externally-derived information)1–4. The medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) is a key brain region that mediates reality monitoring and has also been shown to be activated during the retrieval of self-generated information. Although most studies have found mPFC activity increase during the retrieval of self-generated information3–8, to-date no study has investigated whether mPFC is activated during the encoding of self-generated information on the same task. If so, it is important to delineate the timing in the oscillatory frequency band power of mPFC activation to investigate when mPFC activity during encoding of self-generated information may be able to predict subsequent retrieval of this information.

In this study, we use magnetoencephalography (MEG) imaging to delineate the neural basis of the initial encoding of one’s own thoughts into memory prior to the actual retrieval/identification of these self-generated thoughts. In particular, we use MEG to examine the timing of precisely when induced neural oscillatory activity mediating the initial encoding of self-generated information can predict and facilitate accurate retrieval of this self-generated information. During the encoding of self-generated information, participants need to generate their own internal thoughts and then transform these thoughts into actions by vocalizing their thoughts.
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**Materials and Methods**

**Participants.** In the present study, we recruited 15 healthy participants who completed the reality monitoring task (7 females, mean age = 28.72, age range = 21–50 years, mean education = 19.03). This study was approved by the Internal Review Board (IRB) at the University of California San Francisco (UCSF) and all research was performed in accordance with IRB regulations at UCSF. All participants gave written informed consent and then completed the reality monitoring task. Inclusion criteria for healthy participants were: no psychiatric/neuropsychological disorders, no substance dependence or current substance abuse, meets MRI criteria, good general physical health, age between 18 and 60 years, right-handed and English as first language.

**Reality monitoring task.** All subjects completed the reality monitoring task in the MEG scanner. Reality monitoring requires that subjects make higher-order conscious judgments about distinguishing whether information was previously self-generated or externally-derived. As described in previous experiments, the reality monitoring task consisted of an encoding phase and a memory retrieval phase conducted in separate runs.

---

**Figure 1.** Reality Monitoring Task Design. (A) Encoding Task: Participants were given matched semantically constrained sentences in which the final word was either left blank for participants to generate and vocalize themselves (e.g., *The stove provided the*) or was externally-derived as it was provided by the experimenter for subjects to vocalize (e.g., *The sailor sailed the sea*). (B) Retrieval Task: Participants were randomly presented with the noun pairs from the sentences (e.g., *stove-heat*), and had to identify with a button-press whether the second word was previously self-generated or externally-derived.
Fig. 1A,B). During encoding, at the beginning of every trial, participants were visually presented with 200 highly semantically constrained sentences with the structure "noun-verb-noun," presented in blocks of 20 trials per run. On alternating half of the sentences, the final word was either left blank for participants to generate themselves (e.g., The stove provided the) or was externally-derived as it was provided by the experimenter (e.g., The sailor sailed the sea). For each sentence, participants were told to pay attention to the underlined words and to vocalize the final word of each sentence (Fig. 1A). Each trial was 5 s in length, with a variable fixation period between 3–4 s. In a separate run, participants completed the reality-monitoring retrieval task where they were randomly presented with the noun pairs from the sentences (e.g., stove-heat) at the beginning of every trial for a 2 s period, and had to identify with a button-press whether the second word was previously self-generated or externally-derived (Fig. 1B). The number of correctly identified self-generated and externally-derived trials was computed for each participant during the retrieval phase.

**Behavioral statistical analyses.** Repeated-measures ANOVAs were implemented in SPSS to examine differences in accuracy and reaction times (RT) between correctly identified self-generated and externally-derived information. Outliers were defined as values above/below 2 standard deviations from the mean. We did not find any outliers in the behavioral data. Mean accuracy and RT were computed for correctly identified self-generated and externally-derived information, averaged across all participants (Table 1).

**MEG data acquisition.** Magnetic fields were recorded in a shielded room using a whole-head 275 axial gradiometer MEG system with third-order gradient correction (MEG International Services Ltd. (MISL), Coquitlam, BC, Canada) at a sampling rate of 1200 Hz and acquired under a bandpass filter of 0.001–300 Hz. Three fiducial coils (nasion, left/right preauricular) were placed to localize the position of the head relative to the sensor array in order to be later co-registered onto the structural MRI to generate head shape. Head localization was performed at the beginning and end of each task block to register head position and to measure head movement during the task. Third gradient noise correction filters were applied to the data and corrected for a direct-current-offset based on the whole trial. Noisy sensors and trials with artifacts (i.e., due to head movement, eye blinks, saccades or sensor noise) were defined as magnetic flux exceeding 2.5 pT. Epochs were rejected from further analysis if they contained artifacts. High-resolution structural MRI images were also acquired sagittally on a 3-Tesla Siemens MRI scanner at the Neuroscience Imaging Center (MPRAGE sequence; 160 1 mm slices, FOV = 256 mm, TR = 2300 ms, TE = 2.98 ms) in order to reconstruct MEG data in source space.

**MEG data analyses.** Spatiotemporal estimates of neural sources were generated using a time–frequency optimized adaptive spatial filtering technique implemented in the Neurodynamic Utility Toolbox for MEG (NUTMEG; http://nutmeg.berkeley.edu) to localize induced changes in oscillatory power, with focus on beta (12–30 Hz) band. Adaptive spatial filtering was applied within the beta band frequency which also provides additional robustness to eye-blink and saccade artifacts. A tomographic volume of source locations (voxels) was computed through an adaptive spatial filter (5 mm lead field) that weights each location relative to the signal of the MEG sensors. Source power for each location was derived through a noise-corrected pseudo-F statistic expressed in logarithmic units (decibels) comparing signal magnitude during an “active” experimental time window versus a baseline “control” window. Datasets were independently reconfigured into stimulus (0 ms presentation) and response-locked (0 ms = vocal or button press onset, respectively) formats for separate analyses during the encoding and retrieval phases of the reality-monitoring task. Sliding window lengths (with 200 ms window size) and sampling rate were examined for activity throughout the timecourse of each task condition during the stimulus-locked and response-locked periods, and were compared to a resting fixation (inter-trial) baseline window of the same length as the active window.

We examined source-space reconstructions across all bands (theta (4–7 Hz), alpha (8–12 Hz), beta (12–30 Hz), low gamma (30–55 Hz) and high gamma (65–115 Hz). However, we were particularly interested in source-space reconstructions in the beta (12–30 Hz) band, given that suppression in this frequency range is related to cortical activation and has been found to be a reliable marker of neural activity increases that precede self-initiated actions. High-resolution anatomical MRIs were spatially normalized to a standard MNI template using SPM8 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm2/) with the resulting parameters being applied to each individual subject’s source-space reconstruction through NUTMEG. Group analyses to evaluate effects at the second level were performed with statistical nonparametric mapping (SNPM). To minimize spatial frequency noise in the beamformer volumes, average and variance maps for each individual time window were calculated and smoothed using a Gaussian kernel with a width of 20 × 20 × 20 mm FWHM. From these volumes, a pseudo-F statistic is obtained for each voxel, time window, and frequency band. Statistical significance was estimated by obtaining a permuted distribution (through 20 possible combinations of negations) and estimating the significance of each pseudo-F value from its position in this permuted distribution. In order to examine activation specifically induced by self-mediated processes, we contrasted whole-brain neural activity during the encoding and retrieval of self-generated information with the encoding and retrieval of externally-derived information by subtracting

| Identification         | Self-generated | Externally-derived | Self vs. External |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Accuracy (%)           | 83.77 ± 10.08  | 83.27 ± 9.19      | p = 0.87         |
| RT (ms)                | 1212.83 ± 124  | 1359.16 ± 156     | p < 0.0001       |

### Table 1. Reality-monitoring Accuracy and Reaction Times (RT).
these two periods and running a one-sample group t-test on the subtraction maps. Additionally, we computed whole-brain correlations in NUTMEG (Pearson’s r) between induced neural oscillatory activity during the encoding and retrieval of self-generated information with behavioral measures of performance (i.e., accurate identification of this self-generated information). Effect sizes (Cohen’s d) were used to quantify the power of the linear relationships. We controlled for confounds from behavioral performance by examining neural activity mediating only correct performance during the retrieval phase of the reality monitoring task. Multiple comparisons corrections were applied using a two-step cluster correction (>50 contiguous voxels), and family-wise-error correction (FWE, p < 0.05) on statistical maps thresholded at p < 0.001 uncorrected. Across all bands (theta (4–7 Hz), alpha (8–12 Hz), beta (12–30 Hz), low gamma (30–55 Hz) and high gamma (65–115 Hz)), activation in only mPFC was found only in beta band suppression in the self-generated condition, which survived whole-brain multiple-comparisons FWE cluster corrections.

Eye movement MEGI artifacts. We used several measures to remove eye-movement artifacts. First, during MEG acquisition, all noisy sensors and trials with artifacts (i.e., due to head movement, eye blinks, saccades or sensor noise) defined as magnetic flux exceeding 2.5 pT, were removed from our analyses. Second, here, we specifically focus on beta band power with much higher frequencies (12–30 Hz) than frequencies observed for eye-blinks (<8 Hz). Additionally, we have previously demonstrated the algorithms of precisely how adaptive spatial filtering applied within the beta band removes all noise, eye-blink and saccade artifacts. We also demonstrate here that there was no difference between the self and external conditions in noisy trials, trials with eye blinks and saccades (all ps > 0.30). In summary, in our MEG data, eye-blink artifacts were effectively removed by source-space reconstructions. However, to ensure eye-blink artifacts were also removed during acquisition in sensor data, we acquired a new set of MEG data, in which we instructed subjects to blink their eyes several times during MEGI acquisition. In this new dataset, we did not remove trials with noise artifacts in order to confirm we could find eye-blinking components using independent components analyses (ICA). We were able to find an ICA component related to eye blinks in our sensor data, which was not observed after noisy sensors were removed on our reality monitoring task either in sensor data or in source space reconstructions. Taken together, we can conclude eye-movement artifacts are not an issue here.

Results

Behavioral results. Mean accuracy and RT data during retrieval of self-generated and externally-derived information in the reality monitoring task is shown in Table 1. Participants were faster at identifying self-generated information when compared to externally-derived information (F[1,14] = 27.51, p < 0.0001) but were not more accurate (F[1,14] = 0.03, p = 0.87) (see Table 1). We did not find any difference in the number of noisy trials that were removed due to movement, eye-blinks or saccades between self-generated and externally-derived trials during either encoding (F[1,14] = 1.00, p = 0.33) or retrieval (F[1,14] = 0.09, p = 0.76).

MEG results. During the encoding phase, we examined whole-brain fluctuations in oscillatory activity in the period after stimulus onset (at presentation of the sentence) and prior to vocalization onset of the final word. Across all bands (theta (4–7 Hz), alpha (8–12 Hz), beta (12–30 Hz), low gamma (30–55 Hz) and high gamma (65–115 Hz)), mPFC activity increases were observed only in beta band (12–30 Hz) frequencies in the self-generated condition (Supplementary Fig. 1). Furthermore, throughout the brain, only one region, the mPFC, showed statistically significant activation increases during the self-generated condition, which survived whole-brain FWE multiple comparison correction (FWE, p < 0.05). In particular, participants showed strongest activation in mPFC in beta band frequencies during encoding of self-generated information (i.e., during the contrast of self-generated vs. externally-derived information), between −700 to −500 ms prior to vocalization onset (Fig. 2, Table 2). Vocalizations occurred well after stimuli presentation (i.e., 2.4 s on average). Prior to −700 ms before vocalization onset, we did not find any mPFC activity, providing evidence that mPFC activity cannot be attributed to early cognitive processing differences between the two types of stimuli during initial stimuli presentation. The lack of mPFC activity closer to vocalization onset (between −500 ms to 0 ms) additionally demonstrates that mPFC activity does not appear to mediate a general motor preparation to act (i.e., vocalize one’s thoughts). These findings suggest that mPFC was only active within a specific time window prior to this point, which likely represents the initial generation of one’s own internal thoughts, and the cognitive volition to transform these internally-generated thoughts into actions (speech output).

During the retrieval phase, we also examined whole-brain fluctuations in oscillatory activity in the period after stimulus onset at presentation of the word-pair up to the button-press (i.e., when subjects identified whether the final word of the sentence was previously self-generated or externally-derived). We only examined trials with accurate identification. Similar to our findings during encoding, whole-brain analyses revealed that across all bands (theta (4–7 Hz), alpha (8–12 Hz), beta (12–30 Hz), low gamma (30–55 Hz) and high gamma (65–115 Hz)), mPFC activity increases were observed only in beta band (12–30 Hz) frequencies during self-generated retrieval (Supplementary Fig. 2). Throughout the brain, only the mPFC, showed statistically significant activation increases during the self-generated condition, which survived whole-brain multiple comparison correction (FWE, p < 0.05). In particular, participants showed strongest activation in mPFC in beta band frequencies during accurate retrieval of self-generated information (i.e., during the contrast of self-generated vs. externally-derived information) within a specific time window between 300 ms to 500 ms after stimulus word-pair onset (Fig. 3). Furthermore, participants showed the same peak in mPFC activity during encoding of self-generated information, during accurate retrieval of self-generated information (i.e., same peak co-ordinates; x = −15, y = 40, z = 0) (Figs 2 and 3). The lack of mPFC activity within the first 200 ms after stimulus onset negates the idea that mPFC activity increase could be attributed to general stimuli properties of the self-generated condition (Supplementary Fig. 3). Additionally, the lack of mPFC activity immediately prior to the button-press.
(i.e., after 500 ms) negates the idea that mPFC activity could be attributed to a general motor preparation to act (Fig. 3). Rather, these findings indicate that mPFC activity observed during these specific time windows likely represents the initial generation and awareness of one’s own thoughts and the volition to cognitively prepare to transform these internal thoughts into self-initiated actions.

We also performed whole brain-behavior correlation analyses between neural activity (12–30 Hz) observed during the encoding and retrieval of self-generated information with participants’ accurate identification of this information as self-generated. These whole-brain correlation analyses also resulted in large significant mPFC clusters (Figs 4 and 5), which overlapped with the mPFC cluster observed during encoding and retrieval of self-generated information (i.e., during the contrast of self-generated vs. externally-derived information) within these same time windows (i.e., between −700 to −500 ms prior to vocalization onset for encoding; between 300–500 ms after stimuli onset for retrieval). Cohen’s d analyses yielded large effect sizes for the correlation coefficients between mPFC activation during encoding and retrieval of self-generated information with behavioral accurate identification (Cohen’s $d = 1.5$ and 2.14, respectively). Despite the large effect sizes, these correlation results should be interpreted with caution, given the low number of subjects ($N = 15$). These findings indicate that enhanced mPFC activity during encoding of self-generated information (indicated by increased suppression of the beta (12–30 Hz) rhythm over mPFC) primed and potentiated subsequent retrieval and accurate identification of this self-generated information.

Importantly, we found that mPFC was significantly activated (indicated by beta power suppression) only for the self-generated condition during both encoding and retrieval of information, which correlated with accurate self-generated identification. Note that during both encoding and retrieval of externally-derived information, mPFC was deactivated (indicated by beta power increases above baseline). Therefore, this mPFC activation that occurred only for the self-generated condition was the driving force behind the highly significant difference between self-generated and externally-derived information, as illustrated by the bar charts (Figs 2 and 3, Table 2).

We did not find any correlations between age and memory retrieval accuracy or between age and mPFC activity in beta band suppression during encoding or retrieval (all $p$’s > 0.10)

**Figure 2.** Self-generated Information Encoding Time Windows: Group analyses of changes only in beta (12–30 Hz) oscillatory power, indicating significant increases in mPFC activity during self-generated encoding (i.e., during encoding of self-generated vs. externally-derived information) only within the specific limited time windows shown between −700 to −500 ms prior to vocalization onset (0 ms). All images shown here are cluster corrected (>50 contiguous voxels) on statistical maps thresholded at $p < 0.001$, cross-hairs centered at the peak of mPFC activity ($x, y, z = −15, 40, 0$). Bar charts illustrate beta weights averaged across all participants for each condition, and are shown at the peak of mPFC activity during encoding of self-generated vs. externally-derived information.

|                      | Self-generated | Externally-derived | Self minus External |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| **Encoding Activity**|                |                   |                     |
| (beta weights)       | $−0.120 ± 0.37$| $0.037 ± 0.33$    | $−0.158 ± 0.45$     |
| **Retrieval (beta weights)** | $−0.272 ± 0.35$| $0.276 ± 0.41$    | $−0.548 ± 0.32$     |

**Table 2.** Mean mPFC Activity during Reality-monitoring.
Discussion

We provide convergent evidence from different time-frequency plots and analyses that mPFC was the only region that was significantly activated, for the self-generated condition within specific time windows during both encoding and retrieval of information. The same locus of mPFC peak activity was found during both encoding and retrieval of self-generated information, indicating that the same region within mPFC appears to mediate the initial generation and awareness of one's own thoughts, and correlates with subsequent accurate identification of these self-generated thoughts and actions. Task induced mPFC activity was specific to the encoding and retrieval of self-generated information (i.e., mPFC was not activated during externally-derived information), which resulted in the highly significant difference between self-generated and externally-derived information. Importantly, mPFC activation was found within a limited specific time window during both encoding and retrieval of self-generated information.

Figure 3. Self-generated Information Retrieval Time Windows: Group analyses of changes only in beta (12–30 Hz) oscillatory power, indicating significant increases in mPFC activity during self-generated retrieval (i.e., during retrieval of self-generated vs. externally-derived information) only within the specific limited time windows shown between 300 to 500 ms after stimulus onset (0 ms). All images shown are cluster corrected (>50 contiguous voxels) on statistical maps thresholded at \( p < 0.001 \), cross-hairs centered at the peak of mPFC activity \((x, y, z = -15, 40, 0)\). Bar charts illustrate beta weights averaged across all participants for each condition, and are shown at the peak of mPFC activity during retrieval of self-generated vs. externally-derived information.

Figure 4. Brain-behavior correlation during encoding of self-generated information: Whole-brain correlation analyses, indicating that activity in mPFC (shown by changes in beta (12–30 Hz) oscillatory power) during encoding of self-generated information significantly correlates with behavioral accurate identification of this self-generated information only within specific time windows between \(-700\) to \(-500\) ms prior to vocalization onset (thresholded at \( p < 0.001 \) and cluster corrected at >50 voxels, peak brain-behavior correlation activity at \( x, y, z = 1, 60, -17 \)).
of externally-derived information, rather than what we find here for self-generated information. Finally, because (likely indicating more cognitive effort), we would expect mPFC activity to be greater during the identification or effortful processing. If this was true, since participants took longer to identify externally-derived information that mPFC activity during the self-generated condition can be attributable to increased working memory load (i.e., RT and accuracy), but rather likely mediates the initial awareness of self-agency. We think it is also unlikely cannot be attributable to a general motor preparation to act or to any differences in performance on the task button-press (i.e., which occurred roughly between 1200–1400 ms across subjects), mPFC increase in activity note here that because mPFC activity increase during this specific time window occurred well before the actual time window observed between 300–500 ms after stimulus onset which likely reflects subjects' initial awareness of being the agent of their own thoughts. For example, the lack of increase was significant only within specific time windows during encoding and retrieval, indicate that mPFC activity likely reflects subjects' initial awareness of being the agent of their own thoughts. For example, the lack of mPFC activity observed during stimuli onset indicates that mPFC activity was not due to early cognitive processing differences between the two types of stimuli during stimuli presentation. The lack of mPFC activity immediately prior to response onset also suggests that mPFC activity does not also simply mediate a general motor preparation to act, but seems to be specific to the initial generation and volition to transform these internal thoughts to self-initiated actions in order to produce the resulting experience of self-agency. This also explains why we did not observe mPFC activation during the encoding of externally-derived words during this period (Fig. 2).

It must also be noted that the sentence completion paradigm was designed so that the noun-verb-noun sentences were highly semantically constrained and matched on high noun associations between the self and external conditions, based on our previous studies. In this respect, the reality monitoring task is different from the prior schema literature which is based on comparisons of encoding and retrieving information that were congruent versus incongruent with participants semantic knowledge base. In these schema-focused studies, it was previously found that encoding information highly related and congruent with prior knowledge was associated mPFC activity increase. However, in our task, because the self and external conditions were matched on semantic constraint, it is unlikely that the self-generated condition was consistently more contextually familiar and congruent across all our participants' knowledge base compared to the external condition, and that increased congruency during the self-generated condition was the factor that resulted in enhanced mPFC activity.

During retrieval of self-generated information, mPFC activity increase was significant only within a specific time window observed between 300–500 ms after stimulus onset which likely reflects subjects’ initial awareness of being the agent of their own thoughts (i.e., the awareness that information is previously self-generated). We also note here that because mPFC activity increase during this specific time window occurred well before the actual button-press (i.e., which occurred roughly between 1200–1400 ms across subjects), mPFC increase in activity cannot be attributable to a general motor preparation to act or to any differences in performance on the task (i.e., RT and accuracy), but rather likely mediates the initial awareness of self-agency. We think it is also unlikely that mPFC activity during the self-generated condition can be attributable to increased working memory load or effortful processing. If this was true, since participants took longer to identify externally-derived information (likely indicating more cognitive effort), we would expect mPFC activity to be greater during the identification of externally-derived information, rather than what we find here for self-generated information. Finally, because
we also observed significant mPFC activation during the retrieval of self-generated information and mPFC deactivation during externally-derived information retrieval, we can conclude that mPFC activity is specific to self-generated condition, and likely mediates the initial awareness of the experience of self-agency.

Previous research suggests that oscillations in the theta band between may be important for driving prefrontal activity, particularly between medial temporal lobe and prefrontal cortex during memory recall. However, a recent study showed theta neurostimulation of prefrontal cortex, induced impairments in source-memory retrieval. Thus, the relationship between theta power and overall memory performance is complex and has not been shown to induce consistent improvements on memory performance in the literature. In the present study, we did not find mPFC activation during the specific memory retrieval of self-generated information in theta band. In fact, whole-brain analyses revealed that across all bands (theta (4–7 Hz), alpha (8–12 Hz), beta (12–30 Hz), low gamma (30–55 Hz) and high gamma (65–115 Hz)), mPFC activity increases were observed only in beta band (12–30 Hz) frequencies during the self-generated condition. Throughout the brain, only the mPFC, showed statistically significant activation increases during the self-generated condition, which survived whole-brain FWE multiple comparison correction (FWE, p < 0.05).

The present findings are also consistent with prior research indicating that the mPFC appears to be particularly implicated in tagging information as being relevant to the "self." For example, Cabeza et al. found that mPFC activation was greater when subjects viewed photographs that they themselves had taken (the autobiographical "self" condition) versus when they viewed similar photographs taken by another person (the "external" condition), and that this enhanced mPFC activation difference was driven by mPFC activity increase specifically during the "self" condition. Our study was hypothesis-driven to examine beta-band activity in mPFC, particularly in light of our and other prior studies which have demonstrated a consistent preparatory process prior to self-generated actions. These studies show EEG beta band power reductions termed as a “readiness potential” which does not occur before externally-derived thoughts and actions but is specific to the planning, preparation and initiation of self-generated thoughts and actions. In this recent study, Khalighinejad et al. demonstrated that such self-initiated actions were preceded by a reduction in noise variability in EEG beta band power reductions, potentially indicating a neural precursor of self-initiated actions. For the first time, here, we provide evidence that the mPFC may be one candidate that represents one underlying neural correlate mediating this readiness potential. This is because not only is mPFC activated prior to self-initiated actions, indicating an initial awareness of self-agency during retrieval, but more importantly because it is also preferentially activated during the initial generation and encoding of one’s internal self-generated thoughts and correlates with subsequent accurate retrieval performance. These data together indicate that mPFC activity indexes an encoding precursor to early self-awareness and self-agency.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we show that the mPFC mediates initial encoding and early awareness of one's internal self-generated thoughts. We show that mPFC activity increase was not simply due to a relative increase in activity that resulted from the difference between self-generated and externally-derived conditions, but was specifically related to the self-generated condition. Importantly, mPFC activity increase was found within a specific limited time window that occurred during the encoding and early awareness of one's own thoughts, and its activity correlated with subsequent accurate judgments of self-agency within these same time windows. Taken together, the present findings demonstrate that mPFC is a good candidate for representing one neural precursor or early neural correlate of the experience of self-agency, which is activated during the initial generation and awareness of internally self-generated thoughts and actions.
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