Interactive Three-Dimensional Teaching Models of the Female and Male Pelvic Floor
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Controversies regarding structure and function of the pelvic floor persist because of its poor accessibility and complex anatomical architecture. Most data are based on dissection. This "surgical" approach requires profound prior knowledge, because applying the scalpel precludes a "second look." The "sectional" approach does not entail these limitations, but requires segmentation of structures and three-dimensional reconstruction. This approach has produced several "Visible Human Projects." We dealt with limited spatial resolution and difficult-to-segment structures by proceeding from clear-cut to more fuzzy boundaries and comparing segmentation between investigators. We observed that the bicipital levator ani muscle consisted of pubovisceral and puborectal portions; that the pubovisceral muscle formed, together with rectococcygeal and rectoperineal muscles, a rectal diaphragm; that the external anal sphincter consisted of its subcutaneous portion and the puborectal muscle only; that the striated urethral sphincter had three parts, of which the middle (urethral compressor) was best developed in females and the circular lower ("membranous") best in males; that the rectourethral muscle, an anterior extension of the rectal longitudinal smooth muscle, developed a fibrous node in its center (perineal body); that the perineal body was much better developed in females than males, so that the rectourethral subdivision into posterior rectoperineal and anterior deep perineal muscles was more obvious in females; that the superficial transverse perineal muscle attached to the fibrous septa of the ischioanal fat; and that the uterosacral ligaments and mesorectal fascia colocalized. To facilitate comprehension of the modified topography we provide interactive 3D-PDFs that are freely available for teaching purposes. Clin. Anat. 33:275–285, 2020. © 2019 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

The pelvic floor plays an important role in urinary and fecal continence and pelvic-organ support. Despite years of study controversies regarding its structure and function do, however, persist because of its poor accessibility and complicated anatomical architecture.

Dissectional Versus Sectional Approach to Anatomy

Most anatomical investigations of the lesser pelvis used the "dissectional" approach. The dissectional approach is that of the surgeon and requires profound prior knowledge, because structures must be identified on inspection. In line, the low-power lenses that are used in laparoscopic dissection have led to the identification of new, surgically relevant structures (Jimenez and Aguilar, 2009). Perhaps even more important, a "second look" is impossible due to the irreversible results of applying the scalpel.

These limitations do not apply to the "sectional" approach, but this method, best known for its use in conjunction with microscopes, has limitations with respect to the size of the structure that can be studied (presently ≤45 * 15 cm). Larger specimens, such as adult human bodies, are visualized with an episodic approach (Kathrein et al., 1996; Spitzer et al., 1996). This variant of the sectional approach visualizes the surface of the part of the specimen that remains in the tissue block after a section of 0.1–1.0 mm is "removed" with a surface grinder. Accessibility of structures is, therefore, not an issue. The necessity to reconstruct the segmented structures to obtain 3D images is also hardly a problem, because episodic sections are in register and undeformed. This approach has resulted in several "Visible Human Projects" (Dai et al., 2012).

Three-dimensional models of the greater and lesser pelvis of the females of the Visible Human Project (USA) and the Visible Korean Project have been published (Sergovich et al., 2010; Shin et al., 2013). Both reports focused on the technical advances in dissectional and sectional approaches in presenting complex anatomical configurations. The components of the Korean model in particular can be inspected smoothly via an interactive 3D-PDF. Unfortunately, neither the American nor the Korean study addressed the clinical anatomy of the pelvic floor in detail and did not report whether their findings shed new light on pelvic anatomy.

We recently studied the pelvic floor of the Chinese Visible Human and presented our findings as interactive 3D-PDFs of almost 50 structures (Wu et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018). The main challenges of the "Visible Human" approach are the limited spatial resolution in the "section" and the dependence of segmentation on differences in structure and color that are present in the tissue block before sectioning. We dealt with these hurdles by gradually filling in the overall picture: we always labeled the easy-to-segment (parts of) structures first and then proceeded to the more-difficult-to-segment structures. Furthermore, we re-evaluated the outcome several times by re-inspecting the sections and, in complex cases, by comparing the segmented images prepared separately by different operators.

The sectional approach as delineated earlier has produced several new findings with respect to the topographic anatomy of the pelvic floor, which we consider relevant for teaching pelvic anatomy and which are incorporated in the published 3D models. The present brief review summarizes these new findings. However, due to the irregular boundaries that result from manual segmentation of the contours of structures or organs, it is difficult for students to get a feel for the position and mutual topographic relations of the reconstructed features. To facilitate comprehension we have, therefore, applied remodeling software that allows accurate smoothing of the surface of reconstructed structures and organs. These new interactive 3D-models are freely available for use in the classroom.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Consecutive sections of five female (CVH2, −4, −5, CVO and VHF (VHP female)) and five male specimens (CVH1, −3, VHM (VHP male), and two 26-week fetuses (Leiden S2289 and S2600)) were studied in detail (Wu et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018). We focused on the pelvic floor. All identified structures in the CVH1 male and the CVH5 female specimens were used to assemble a detailed 3D reconstruction using the Amira software package (http://www.amiravis.com). Furthermore, partial reconstructions of the other specimens were prepared to confirm that the features we highlight in CVH1 and CVH5 represented a typical anatomical configuration. The original photographs of CVH5 (transversely sectioned) and CVO (sagittally sectioned) can be found in Wu et al. (2017)). Polygon meshes from all reconstructed materials were exported via "vrml export" to the remodeling software Cinema 4D (MAXON Computer GmbH, Friedrichsdorf, Germany). The accuracy of the remodeling process was safeguarded by simultaneous visualization in Cinema 4D of the original output from Amira and the remodeled Cinema model (Supporting Information Fig. S1). Subsequently, the Cinema 3D-model was exported via "wrl export" to Adobe portable device format (PDF) reader version 9 (http://www.adobe.com) for the generation of 3D-interactive PDF files that are provided online (Supporting Information Figs. S2 and S3). Whereas we mostly refer in the text to the figures, the reader is encouraged to simultaneously inspect the interactive PDFs, because their rotational options ("live" images) allows a much better understanding of the complex local topography than the "still" pictures in the images. In our description, we use superior, inferior, anterior, and posterior for the description of topographical relations.
NEW FINDINGS

The study of five male and five female sectioned specimens modified our views on the anatomy of the levator ani muscle (LAM), anal sphincter, perineal body, urethral sphincter, mesorectum, and vaginal supports.

Levator Ani Muscle Complex

Sexual dimorphism. In agreement with present-day images of the LAM, our studies found the muscle to be funnel-shaped, with attachments to the pubic bone anteriorly, and the internal obturator muscle and ischial spine laterally (Fig. 1A,B). The fibrous attachment of the LAM to the internal obturator muscle (its “tendinous arch”) was remarkably inconspicuous. Posterosuperiorly, the LAM bordered the largely fibrous coccygeal muscle. The LAM is better developed in females than males, which is remarkable because the overall muscle mass of females is only 70–75% of that of males (Wang et al., 2001; Abe et al., 2003). We have attributed the difference to the more transverse orientation and, hence, less favorable leverage of the muscles in females than males. An important consequence of the shallower LAM funnel in females than in males is the more pronounced “S”-shaped configuration of the distal rectum and anal canal. The posterior part of the LAM, therefore, not only supports the distal rectum, but also the uterovaginal junction in a roof-tile fashion (Berglas and Rubin, 1953; Béthoux and Bory, 1962) (Fig. 1A′,B′).

Puborectal muscle is part of anal sphincter complex. Although the anterior portion of the LAM was well developed, there were no perimysial septa at this location that allowed a subdivision into puborectal, pubococcygeal, and iliococcygeal portions, as shown in virtually all anatomical textbooks. More posteriorly, however, fibrous septa and the orientation of muscle fibers allowed separation into the puborectal muscle inferiorly and the “pubovisceral” muscle superiorly. The topography of the puborectal muscle, with a muscle sling that passed the anorectal bend posteriorly, was similar to that depicted in anatomical textbooks. Unlike these textbooks, but in agreement with Fritsch and colleagues, who also used the sectional approach (3–5 mm-thick epoxy-resin sections; (Fritsch et al., 2002)), we found that the puborectal muscle colocalized with the “deep portion” of the external anal sphincter (EAS). Both names, therefore, represent one-and-the-same muscle (Table 1). Superficial and deep portions of the puborectal muscle, which correspond to superficial and deep portions of the anal sphincter, could be identified posteriorly where the puborectal muscle passed behind the anorectal junction. Anteriorly, the superficial portion of the puborectal muscle/anal sphincter attached to the perineal body, whereas the deep portion attached, in addition, to the pubic bone (Fig. 2A). While we retained two components in the puborectal muscle (Table 1), Fritsch and colleagues (Fritsch et al., 2002) considered the puborectal a single muscle.

Pubovisceral versus pubo- and iliococcygeal muscles. We were unable to identify the pubo- and iliococcygeal muscles as adjacent but separate parts of the LAM, as shown in anatomical textbooks. Instead, we identified a configuration that we labeled “pubovisceral,” because this part of the LAM inserts into the wall of the anorectum (Table 1). The term “pubovisceral” was proposed by DeLancey c.s. because of its insertion on the pelvic organs (Kearney et al., 2004) but, in agreement with Fritsch and colleagues (Fritsch et al., 2002), we could not demonstrate attachment to the vagina (Wu et al., 2015). The pubovisceral muscle had inner and outer muscle layers: its inner layer attached to the perineal body and the conjoint longitudinal muscle of the rectum inferiorly, and to the fascia surrounding of the rectococcygeal muscle posteriorly, while its outer layer consisted of patchy muscle sheets that only partially overlapped with the inner layer and also attached to...
the rectococcygeal fascia posteriorly. Fritsch and colleagues deduced an intermediate configuration, with a substantial overlap of the superomedial pubococcygeal and inferolateral iliococcygeal muscles (Frohlich et al., 1997). The puborectal and pubovisceral portions of the LAM shared their anterior insertion on the pubic bone, but differed in their posteroinferior course, so that the LAM can be considered a bicipital muscle (Fig. 1A,B). Muscle fiber orientation in the anterior portion of the LAM inclined ~30° and in the puborectal and pubovisceral muscles lateral to the rectum ~45° relative to the transverse plane, while the orientation in the superior portion of the pubovisceral muscle was predominantly transverse. These numbers correspond fairly well with those estimated on MRIs (Betschart et al., 2014).

**Rectococcygeal muscle.** The smooth ("unstriped" (Smith, 1908)) rectococcygeal muscle is also described as the "hiatal ligament" (Shaﬁk, 1999), "anterior layer of the anococcygeal ligament" (Kinugasa et al., 2011), "thick smooth muscle on the surface of the levator ani muscle" (Tsukada et al., 2016), or "posterior midline raphe of the LAM" (Stein and DeLancey, 2008). The transformation of smooth muscles from a contractile to a synthetic (fibrous) phenotype during one’s lifetime is often seen (Beamish et al., 2010), but in the young specimens that we studied (Wu et al., 2015), the structure had all features of a well-delineated (smooth) muscle.

**Rectoperineal muscle.** The longitudinal smooth-muscle layer of the rectum continued distally into the conjoint longitudinal muscle of the rectum. The latter derives its name from anterolateral contributions of the inner layer of the pubovisceral muscle to the muscle. Accordingly, the muscle is described to contain smooth muscle internally and striated muscle ﬁbers externally (Macchi et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2015; Muro et al., 2019), with the striated component possibly declining with age. The conjoint muscle reached up to the anorectal junction posteriorly and laterally, but anteriorly, it could only be identiﬁed inferiorly, because a few thick muscle bundles of the longitudinal smooth muscle of the rectum did not follow the anorectal bend, but continued downward to the perineal body. These smooth muscle bundles were described as "rectovaginal" or "rectourethral," or independent of the sex, "rectoperineal" muscle (Brooks et al., 2002; Sebe et al., 2005; Zhai et al., 2011). The rectoperineal muscle was widest superiorly and tapers off toward its insertion on the perineal body. This muscle was very well developed in VHM, an acknowledged body builder, suggesting it supports the pelvic floor.

**Rectal diaphragm.** Inspection of Supporting Information Figures S2 and S3 shows that the anal canal was suspended at the anorectal junction by strands of the longitudinal smooth muscle of the rectum to the

---

**TABLE 1. Terminology of Pelvic-Floor Muscles in Present Study Compared to that in Anatomical Terminology (Terminol, 1998)**

| Present study                        | Anatomical Terminology (TA)                                      |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Levator ani (bicipital)              | Puborectal + (pubococcygeal + iliococcygeal)                   |
| Pubovisceral                         | Pubococcygeal + iliococcygeal                                   |
| Puborectal (deep portion)            | Puborectal = deep portion of EAS                                |
| Puborectal (superficial portion)     | Superficial portion of EAS                                     |
| External anal sphincter proper       | Subcutaneous portion of EAS                                    |

**Fig. 2.** The sphincters of the hindgut. (a) Relation between the puborectal portions of the levator ani muscle and the external anal sphincter. Note attachments (*) of superficial and deep puborectal muscles on the deep part of the perineal body. Further note that anococcygeal ligament connects external anal sphincter with coccygeal bone. Arrows indicate movement upon contraction. (b) Configuration of the rectal diaphragm. The rectal diaphragm is attached to the pelvic fascia laterally, the coccygeal bone posteriorly, and the perineal body anteriorly. Arrows indicate direction of force upon contraction. Structures are identifiable by their color code (see also Supporting Information Fig. S4). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
The status of the deep (transverse) perineal muscle is contentious. This smooth muscle has variously been declared nonexistent (Dorschner et al., 1999) or identified as a “puboperineal” muscle that stretched > threefold during delivery (Lien et al., 2004). Only smooth muscles allow that degree of stretching without injury (Seow and Solway, 2011). Accordingly, several studies have confirmed its status as a smooth muscle (Nakajima et al., 2017; Muro et al., 2018, 2019). The developmental anatomy of the rectourethral muscle and perineal body can account for much of the controversies that surround the deep perineal muscle (Table 2). In fetuses a well-developed and well-delineated anterior slip of the longitudinal smooth muscle of the rectum extended toward the urethral rhabdosphincter as the so-called rectourethral muscle (Wu et al., 2018). When the (superficial portion of the) perineal body began to form prenatally inside this smooth muscle as a jagged fibrous structure, the part posterior to the perineal body became the rectoperineal muscle and the part anterior to it the deep perineal muscle (Table 2). In females, the deep perineal muscle divided anteriorly into left and right wings alongside the vagina (Fig. 3A) (Wu et al., 2017). Due to the limited development of the perineal body in males lateral fibers of the rectourethral muscle continue to bypass it (Fig. 3B), so that this muscle persists into adulthood (Zhai et al., 2011). In females, by contrast, the fibrous tissue of the perineal body often extends into and (partially) transforms the deep perineal muscle into the fibrous “perineal membrane” (Oelrich, 1983; Stein and DeLancey, 2008; Brandon et al., 2009), indicating that the perineal body expands as a regenerative response to wear and tear during life. This explanation is underscored by the relative persistence of the original architecture of the deep perineal muscle in elderly Japanese males (Nakajima et al., 2017) and its much less structured appearance in elderly Japanese females (Muro et al., 2019). The age-related expansion of fibrous tissue further explains why striated muscles like the levator ani, superficial transverse perineal, and bulbospongiosus muscles become secondarily attached to the perineal body.

Although the perineal body is an acknowledged item in “Anatomical Terminology” (A09.S.00.0005 (Terminol, 1998)), it is not universally accepted as a specific structure. Thus, Akita and colleagues argue that description of the perineal body as a node is a too delimited description and should, instead, be considered a region (Muro et al., 2018). However, our description of the perineal body as a fibromuscular structure in the wedge-shaped space between the lower portion of the rectum and the vagina or urethra (“region”) that penetrates with many antenna-like extensions between the fibers of the rectourethral muscle (“shape”) (Wu et al.,

### Table 2. Arrangement of Rectourethral Muscle in Males and Females

| Anterior | Rectourethral | Posterior |
|----------|---------------|-----------|
| Deep perineal | Perineal body | Rectoperineal |

For details, see main text.
2015; Wu et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018) is not very different from the impression that emanates from their trichrome-stained illustrations of the rectourethral muscle (Muro et al., 2018). We, therefore, conclude that, not uniquely, both groups describe the same observation with different terminologies.

Mesorectum

The mesorectum is the perirectal space that is filled mostly with fat, lymph nodes, but remarkably few veins, and that is delimited by the rectal adventitia. Threedimensionally, the mesorectum was an anteriorly concave, inverted cone. Superiorly, the mesorectum surrounded the rectum on all sides, but inferior to the rectouterine or rectovesical recess the rectum was directly apposed to the vagina or prostate, respectively. The common part of the adventitia of rectum and vagina or prostate is known as “Denonvilliers’ fascia.” Here again, it is useful to recall its developmental anatomy to understand the adult configuration. In the embryo, the coelomic cavity extends down to the muscles of the pelvic floor, as it still does in all adult quadrupeds. In humans, however, this downward extension of the peritoneal cavity disappears in much the same way as the ascending and descending portions of the colon become retroperitoneal (Hikspoors et al., 2019). Denonvilliers’ fascia has, therefore, the same origin as Toldt’s fascia in the abdomen (Toldt, 1893; Tobin and Benjamin, 1945). Although the coelomic space between rectum and vagina or prostate disappears in the seventh week of development (Tobin and Benjamin, 1945), Denonvilliers’ fascia reportedly develops only after birth (Kraima et al., 2015), again probably resulting from wear and tear due to the movements of rectum and vagina or prostate. The discussion of whether Denonvilliers’ fascia consists of one or two layers is, therefore, futile.

**Fig. 3.** The relation between the perineal body and the rectoperineal and deep perineal muscles in females (a) and males (b). The smooth muscle wall of the rectum and its anterior continuation into the rectoperineal and deep perineal muscles is shown in the left two columns, with the perineal body rendered transparent in the second column. The topographic relation to the urethra and vagina or prostate is shown in the third column, with the components of the urethral sphincter are added in the fourth column. Structures are identifiable by their color code (see also Supporting Information Fig. S4). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Urethral Sphincter Complex

**Female sphincter.** The adult female urethra was ~4 cm long, of which the striated urethral sphincter complex covered only the upper ~80%. In agreement with earlier histological studies (Oelrich, 1983), we distinguished three components: the urethral sphincter proper superiorly, the urethral compressor, and the urethrovaginal sphincter inferiorly (Fig. 4A). The sphincter proper encircled the upper portion of the urethra and contained a tendinous raphe in the posterior midline, which does not affect its function as a sphincter. The U-shaped compressor muscle surrounded the urethra anteriorly and laterally at the transition of the upper two-third into the lower one-third. Posteriorly, the compressor muscle passed the vaginal wall to insert into the fascia of the deep puborectal muscle, just anterior to the attachment of that muscle to the perineal body. The urethral compressor muscle did not attach on the pubic bone, as usually shown (Oelrich, 1983). This configuration was already seen in the fetus (Wallner et al., 2009) and implies that an impaired function of the puborectal muscle due to, for example, a laceration affects the function of this part of the urethral sphincter. The poorly developed urethrovaginal sphincter surrounded the urethra and the distal vagina. Pressure profiles of the urethra show that the compressor is the most powerful part of the sphincter complex (Constantinou, 2009).

**Male sphincter.** The male striated urethral sphincter complex consisted of a circular portion around the membranous urethra between the penile bulb and bulbous portion of the muscle inferiorly and the base of the prostate superiorly (Oelrich, 1980; Kaye et al., 1997; Gil-Vernet et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2018). The prostatic part of the rhabdosphincter was semicircular and extended upward on the anterior half of the prostate toward the base of the bladder as a thinning and narrowing muscle sheet (Fig. 4B). The male rhabdosphincter had two small posteroinferior wings that passed the rectourethral muscle laterally (Zhai et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2018).

**Sexual dimorphism.** The architectural plan of the rhabdosphincter is similar in both sexes: Inferiorly, the sphincter is circular, whereas it only covers the anterior and lateral portions of the urethra more superiorly (Fig. 4A, B'). The presence or absence of the prostate determines the appearance of upper portion of the sphincter (absence or presence, respectively, of a posterior raphe). The transition between the inferior circular and superior U-shaped portion of the urethral sphincter is just inferior to the entrance of the deferent ducts into the urethra in the male and that of the vagina into the vestibule in the female. These positions correspond to the adjacent entrances of the Wolffian and Müllerian ducts into the embryonic urogenital sinus. Sexual dimorphism results from differential development of the respective portions of the sphincter (Fig. 4A,B'). The inferior, circular “membranous” portion is best developed in males, whereas the middle, U-shaped compressor portion is best developed in females (Wu et al., 2018). The wings of the male sphincter appear to represent the lateral attachments of the compressor muscle in the female, again with a clear difference in development between both sexes.

**Vagina and Its Supports**

**Vaginal shape.** The vagina is often depicted as a tubular structure. In agreement with a few other studies (Pendergrass et al., 2000), our observations showed that this representation is incorrect (Wu et al., 2017). The outer shape of the vagina near its junction with the vestibule was circular on cross-section, while its lumen resembled that of a purse-string suture. At this position, we identified the urethrovaginal sphincter on its lateral and posterior surface. More superiorly, the cross-sectional shape of the vagina became trapezoid, with the vaginal lumen resembling the letter “H.” Here, the
anterior and posterior vaginal walls covered the urethra and rectoperineal muscle, respectively. At the level of the bladder neck and anorectal bend, the folds in the vaginal wall flattened and the lumen widened. Despite these marked differences in shape the perimeter of the vaginal lumen was similar along the entire vagina. At its superior end, the vaginal fornix forms an inverted cone around the cervix. The distance from the vaginal orifice to the tip of the anterior vaginal fornix is ~25% shorter than that to the posterior fornix.

**Vaginal fixation.** The bulbospongious muscles guarded the entrance to the vagina just below the pelvic floor and surrounded the vestibular bulbs anteriorly and Bartholin's glands posteriorly. Medial to Bartholin's gland, the urethrovaginal sphincter surrounded the vaginal introitus. Well-developed fibrous tissue connected the vaginal wall to the urethra anteriorly, to the deep perineal muscle laterally and its continuation into the perineal body posteriorly. More superiorly, however, only loose areolar tissue containing many large veins was present between the vaginal wall and the medial layer of the pubovisceral muscle. Above the pelvic floor Denovilliers' fascia formed the connection between the vaginal and rectal walls. The vaginal wall was, thus, surrounded and fixed by dense connective tissue inferiorly, whereas it was only supported by loose connective tissue and a rich venous plexus above the urethral compressor and Denovilliers' fascia (Wu et al., 2017).

In this respect it is of interest, the uterosacral ligaments as described by gynecologists colocalize with the mesorectal fascia as described by radiologists and surgeons (e.g., (Fritsch and Hotzinger, 1995; Umek et al., 2004)). The absence of any histological evidence for fibrous pelvic-organ support near the cervicovaginal junction in at least 10 studies over the last 90 years (Wu et al., 2017) suggests that, rather than a few strong suspending ligaments, the cooperation of many “weak forces” is necessary to retain the pelvic organs at their normal position (Cosson et al., 2013). These weak forces impress as “ligaments” when strands of tissue between the pelvic organs and the lateral pelvic wall, such as the sacrouterine and perhaps the cardinal ligaments, tighten upon extension, but fail to suspend these organs in the long run when used to repair a prolapse. In this respect, these “ligaments” have been likened to

![Diagram](wileyonlinelibrary.com)

**Fig. 5.** The pelvic floor in females and males. The left column shows the position of all reconstructed structures in the pelvic floor of females (a) and males (b). Note the relatively unguarded urethral and vaginal orifices, as well as the large muscular hiatus between the puborectal muscle and the ischial bone (asterisk) in the female. The right column (a’ and b’) shows the configuration of the perineal body and associated structures in females and males after removal of the ischiocavernous, bulbospongious, and superficial transverse perineal muscles. Please note small size of perineal body in the male. Structures are identifiable by their color code (see also Supporting Information Fig. S4). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
chicken wire or a woven net: they tighten locally when pulled apart, but fail when loaded permanently (Range and Woodburne, 1964; De Caro et al., 1998).

The conclusion that fibrous tissue does not define the “suspensory ligaments of the uterus” is underscored by the finding that all structures that can contribute to the support of the pelvic organs are necessary to keep these organs in place (Cosson et al., 2013). In this respect, we already referred to the roof-tile arrangement of anorectum and uterine cervix (Fig. 1A’). The finding that the muscles in the urogenital triangle (ischiocavernous, bulbospongious, and superficial transverse perineal) were hypertrophied in the VHM specimen, who practiced bodybuilding, indicates that these muscles contribute to pelvic floor support in the male. Comparison of the female (Fig. 5A) and male pelvic floor (Fig. 5B) shows that the entrance of the vagina and urethra into the vulva is, nevertheless, a weak spot. The elastic moduli of vagina, uterus, cardinal, sacrouterine, and round ligaments are similar, whereas that of the cervix is smaller (Chantereau et al., 2014; Baah-Dwomoh et al., 2016).

In agreement, biomechanical studies identify the fixation of vagina and cervix as most prone to fail (Brandao et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2016).

**Fibrous fat septa.** The superficial transverse perineal muscle did not to attach near the ischial tuberosity, as shown in anatomical textbooks, but on well-developed fibrous septa and connective tissue in the ischio-anal fossa. Contraction tightens the fibrous septa and confers stability on the fat body in the ischio-anal fossa. Although this mechanism may support the space between the ischiocavernous and bulbospongious muscle in the wide pelvic outlet of females (Fig. 5A’), it probably is more effective in the much narrower pelvic outlet of males (Fig. 5B’).

**Pelvic venous plexus.** The very well developed valveless venous plexus between the pelvic organs medially and LAM laterally (Batson, 1940) may play an interesting role in urinary continence. A brief increase in pressure on the uterus makes it “sink” a couple of centimeters downward. This feature was long interpreted as a sign of weakened pelvic support, but is now considered physiological (Smith et al., 2013). We interpret this finding as emptying of the venous plexuses. This mechanism may neutralize brief pressure pulses due to, for example, coughing and thus antagonize stress-induced urinary incontinence. Such a function would explain the presence of these venous plexuses in both females and males, and would become less effective if the pelvic connective tissue becomes more rigid with advancing age.

**CODA**

We have started to implement our interactive 3D-PDF models in our teaching courses at Maastricht University. Many medical students appreciate the opportunity to find out about and comprehend the three-dimensional construction of the pelvic floor. However, a non-negligible fraction of students experiences problems in appreciating such complex 3D spatial relationships (see e.g., (Preece et al., 2013)). A major advantage of the sectional approach is, therefore, that 3D-printing techniques can be used to convert the virtual 3D images into corresponding physical 3D models.
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