A New Basal Ankylosaurid (Dinosauria: Ornithischia) from the Lower Cretaceous Jiufotang Formation of Liaoning Province, China
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Abstract

A new ankylosaurid, Chuanqilong chaoyangensis gen. et sp. nov., is described here based on a nearly complete skeleton from the Lower Cretaceous Jiufotang Formation of Baishizui Village, Lingyuan City, Liaoning Province, China. Chuanqilong chaoyangensis can be diagnosed on the basis of two autapomorphies (glenoid fossa for quadrate at same level as the dentary tooth row; distally tapering ischium with constricted midshaft) and also a unique combination of character states (slender, wedge-like lacrimal; long retroarticular process; humerus with strongly expanded proximal end; ratio of humerus to femur length = 0.88). Although a phylogenetic analysis places Chuanqilong chaoyangensis as the sister taxon of the sympatric Liaoningosaurus near the base of the Ankylosauridae, the two taxa can be distinguished on the basis of many features, such as tooth morphology and ischial shape, which are not ontogeny-related. Chuanqilong chaoyangensis represents the fourth ankylosaurid species reported from the Cretaceous of Liaoning, China, suggesting a relatively high diversity in Cretaceous Liaoning.
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Introduction

Ankylosaurs is a group of quadrupedal herbivorous dinosaurs characterised by parasagittal rows of osteoderms on the dorsolateral surface of the body and a heavily armored skull [1]. The earliest records of the group have been reported from various Early or Middle Jurassic localities, and include Bienosaurus bifengensis, Tianchisaurus nedeoapteferina, and Sarcolesosteus leedsi [2–4], although all of these records have been considered either nomina dubia or dubiously referable to Ankylosauria [1,5]. Definitive ankylosaur taxa are known to occur from the Late Jurassic (e.g., Gargoyleosaurus from western North America: [6]) to the end of the Cretaceous and their remains have been reported from all continents except Africa [1]. In Liaoning Province, China, three ankylosaurian species have been reported: Liaoningosaurus paradoxus from the Lower Cretaceous Yixian Formation [7] and Chrichtonsaurus bohlini [8] and C. benxiensis [9] from the Upper Cretaceous Sunjiawan Formation. Liaoningosaurus was originally considered to be a possible nodosaurid [7], but a recent study suggests that it is a basal ankylosaurid [10]. C. bohlini and C. benxiensis are also referable to the Ankylosauridae (and are probably basal ankylosaurines) [9,10].

Here, we describe a fourth ankylosaur species from Liaoning based on a specimen collected from the Lower Cretaceous Jiufotang Formation. This specimen preserves a nearly complete skeleton, and it provides new information on the morphology and taxonomy of the Ankylosauria.

Materials and Methods

The permits for this research were obtained from the Chaoyang Jizantang Paleontological Museum of Liaoning, China. All of the materials described herein were collected from a single quarry by local farmers. Locality information was provided by staff at the Chaoyang Jizantang Paleontological Museum. The fossils are twodimensionally preserved and visible in ventral view only. The material includes a skull and articulated postcranial material referable to a single individual. The skull and mandible are nearly complete, but have been strongly compressed dorsoventrally. The vertebral column includes the cervicals, dorsals, sacrals, and most of the caudals, but most of them are disarticulated. Both of the fore- and hind limbs are well preserved and articulated. Armor is preserved around the entire body but is only visible in ventral view.

In order to compare the ratios of humerus/tibia length to femur length with those of other ankylosaurs, the data were analysed in the software package SPSS 16.0 using the linear fit function. The best fit lines, regression equation and R² values are presented in the Results.
The phylogenetic position of Chuanqilong chaoyangensis was inferred using parsimony analysis. The new taxon was incorporated into a previously published data matrix built to examine ankylosaurian interrelationships [10]. Liaoningosaurus paradoxus was also recored in the matrix based on our firsthand observations of the holotype specimen (Text S1). The modified data matrix consists of 170 characters and 52 taxa. The matrix was analyzed using TNT [11], and all of the characters were treated as equally weighted and unordered. The analysis was conducted using a heuristic search with 1000 replicates. TBR branch swapping was employed and 100 parsimonious trees were saved per replicate. A reduced consensus analysis was performed to identify wildcard taxa within TNT to provide maximum phylogenetic resolution for the new taxa [11]. Standard bootstrap values (absolute frequencies) were calculated using a traditional heuristic search with 1000 replications. Bremer supports were calculated by running the script “Bremer. run” automatically.

Nomenclatural Acts
The electronic edition of this article conforms to the requirements of the amended International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained herein are available under that Code from the electronic edition of this article. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSID (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix “http://zoobank.org/”. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:EE6564A0-33AE-4CC7-B4EA-8CD682E1EB43

Etymology. The generic name is derived from Chinese Chuan (legendary, referring to western Liaoning providing a spectacular assemblage of Mesozoic terrestrial fossils) + long (dragon). The specific name is derived from the broader geographical area including the type locality.

Holotype. CJPM V001, a nearly complete skeleton missing only the distal portion of the caudal series. The specimen is housed in the Chaoyang Jizantang Paleontological Museum. A cast of the holotype specimen is housed in the Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology as IVPP FV 1978.

Locality and Horizon. Baishizui Village, Goumenzi County, Lingyun City, Liaoning Province, China (Fig. 1); the quarry is in the Jiufotang Formation [Lower Cretaceous, Aptian] [17] (Fig. 2). A detailed stratigraphical investigation of this quarry is required to establish its relationships to other exposures of the Jiufotang Formation in the area.

Diagnosis. An ankylosaur distinguished from other ankylosaurs by two autopomorphies: the glenoid fossa for the quadrate is at the same level as the dentary tooth row; and the distally tapering ischium is constricted at midshaft length. Chuanqilong also differs from all other ankylosaurs in having the following unique combination of character states: presence of a long retroarticular process (differs from all other ankylosaurs except Gargarosaurus); presence of a slender, wedge-like licalminal (differs from all other known ankylosaurs except Minmi); ratio of humerus to femur length of 0.88 (notably higher than in most known ankylosaurs except Hungarianosaurus and Liaoningosaurus); the width of the proximal end of the humerus is half of the length of the humeral shaft (substantially different from that of Liaoningosaurus, in which this ratio is 0.38); presence of subtriangular unguals (absent in all other ankylosaurs except Liaoningosaurus and Dyoplosaurus).

Description and Comparisons
The holotype skeleton is exposed mainly in ventral view (Fig. 3) and as result numerous anatomical details are not visible. In addition, the presence of some elements obscures large portions of the other bones present limiting the amount of information available. Nevertheless, preservation is generally good. Although the specimen represents a large animal (approximately 4.5 m in total body length; see Table 1 for measurements of the holotype skeleton), it is likely to be a juvenile individual based on several features. For example, the vertebral centra are not fused to their neural arches in all visible vertebrae including cervicals, dorsals, and caudals. In addition, the sacral ribs are not fused to the sacral centra. Consequently, an adult individual is likely to have been greater than 4.5 m in length. Jurassic ankylosaurs are mostly relatively small in size with body lengths no greater than 4 meters. For example, Myrtosvelia and Gargarosaurus each have body lengths of approximately 3 m [18,19]. By comparison, most Cretaceous ankylosaurs have body lengths greater than 5 m. For example, the primitive ankylosaur Cedarpetta has an estimated body length of 7.5–8.5 m [20].

Skull
The skull and mandibles are strongly compressed dorsoventrally. The skull is triangular in ventral view, with a transverse width that was probably greater than its length, as in ankylosaurs...
The maxilla is partially exposed in lateral view and exhibits a shallow, flattened buccal emargination. A large, triangular antorbital fossa or fenestra seems to be present, located in the caudodorsal region of the maxilla (Fig. 4A, B) in lateral view. An antorbital fenestra is also present in juvenile ankylosaurids such as *Liaoningosaurus* and *Pinacosaurus*, though it is replaced by a small concavity in adult *Pinacosaurus* [7, 22]. A small antorbital fenestra is also present in the probably adult *Minotaurus* [22, 23], whereas it is either unknown or absent in all other ankylosaurians [1]. It seems likely that the presence of an open antorbital fenestra in *Chuanqilong chaoyangensis* is due to its juvenile status. However, the orbit is circular in outline, and relatively small in comparison to skull length, contrary to what would be expected in a juvenile individual. The lacrimal is slender and wedge-shaped (Fig. 4A, B), forming the rostral margin of the orbit, as in the basal ankylosaurid *Minmi* [24], whereas it is sub-rectangular in other known ankylosaurians, such as *Pinacosaurus* and *Cedarpelta* [1]. A long and dorsoventrally compressed supraorbital is visible in lateral view, contacting the lacrimal rostroventrally. Caudally, the postorbital is damaged and only partially exposed. The ‘squamosal’ may be composed of both the squamosal and a portion of the postorbital. It is sub-rectangular in outline and ornamented with subparallel grooves, as in *Minmi* [24] (Fig. 4A, B). The left quadrate is exposed in rostral view. It is long and straight with a rectangular head, and there is no indication that the quadrate head was fused with the squamosal, thereby differing from the condition seen in nodosaurids [25]. Below the quadrate head, the shaft is transversely expanded to form a wide, shallow, and rostroventrally opening depression. The quadrate constricts ventral to this point and is narrowest at midshaft length. A crescentic depression is present on the cranioventral surface of the quadrate for reception of the quadratojugal. The pterygoid process is thin, short, and sub-triangular in outline. The transversely expanded ventral end is composed of two well-defined mandibular condyles, which are separated by a shallow groove ventrally. The medial condyle is transversely wider and extends further ventrally than the lateral condyle, as in most other ankylosaurians. No other features of the skull are visible.

**Mandible**

The paired mandibular rami are preserved separately and both are visible in medial view only (Figs. 3, 4A, B). The predentary is missing. The mandible is long and shallow, as in other basal ankylosaurids, but it differs from other taxa in the apparent absence of an osteoderm from its ventral margin. The lack of an osteoderm in this area may be either due to incomplete preservation, suggesting that they were not fused to the mandibular bones and thereby supporting the suggestion that the holotype *Chuanqilong* was not fully grown. In adult individuals of other ankylosaurians, such as *Pinacosaurus* and *Saichania*, osteoderms are fused to the lateral surface of the mandible [22, 26]. Alternatively, an osteoderm, if present, might be restricted to the lateral-most corner of the mandible and hence obscured from view (this condition is present in juvenile individuals of *Pinacosaurus grangeri* e.g., IVPP V16853; V. Arbour, pers. comm.), which would also represent a juvenile feature. The dentary tooth row is straight or slightly arched dorsally, but it is not as strongly sinusoidal as those of derived ankylosaurians, such as *Euoplocephalus* [27] or *Pinacosaurus* [26]. In dorsal view, the rostral end of the dentary tooth row is curved medially. At least 20 alveoli are present. The ventral margin of the mandible is relatively straight in its rostral and middle regions, but curves caudodorsally in its caudal part. The right dentary symphysis is preserved and is slightly downturned, short, robust, and sub-triangular in cross-section. The Meckelian canal is open, long, and deep. The coronoid eminence is prominent and projects above the level of the dentary tooth row, as in nodosaurids, whereas the coronoid eminence is situated at approximately the same level as the dentary tooth row in ankylosaurids, including *Pinacosaurus* [26], *Euoplocephalus* [27], and *Ankylosaurus* [28]. The splenial and prearticular are missing, exposing the adductor fossa, which is
large and located below the coronoid eminence. The articular is small and oval in outline in lateral view. The retroarticular process is long and slender, as in *Gargoyleosaurus*, but differs from those of all other ankylosaurians, which possess relatively short and deep retroarticular processes [19]. Unusually, the glenoid fossa is situated in a relatively dorsal position, lying at approximately the same level as the dentary tooth row, unlike the condition present in all other ankylosaurians known from appropriate material, in which the glenoid fossa is situated at a level ventral to the dentary.
Dentition

Premaxillary teeth are unknown due to breakage of the snout. Both maxillary and dentary teeth are preserved, with the former exposed labially and the latter exposed lingually.

There are at least 20 alveoli in the left maxilla. The maxillary tooth counts of most ankylosaurians are around 20: *Ankylosaurus* has the largest number of maxillary teeth (34–35) [28], whereas *Liaoningosaurus* has the smallest number (about 10: [7]). Tooth count probably increases during growth [7] and adult tooth count also varies between species [28]. The teeth and their marginal denticles are small in comparison to the size of skull, as occurs typically in ankylosaurids [21].

The four preserved rostral maxillary teeth are smaller than the caudal teeth. The crowns are as tall as their width with sub-triangular outlines, as in most ankylosaurians (Fig. 4C). The base of the crown is strongly swollen with a weak cingulum, as in ankylosaurids [21]. There is no shallow notch at the base of tooth crowns, unlike the notched condition present in the ankylosaurid *Crichtonsaurus* [8] and the nodosaurid *Edmontonia* [29]. Additionally, the teeth of *C. bohlini* bear much larger denticles and a more distinct cingulum than those of *Chuanqilong*. There is no

Figure 3. Photograph and outline drawing of the skeleton of *Chuanqilong chaoyangensis*. A, photograph; B, outline drawing. Abbreviations: ca, caudal vertebrae; cb, cervical band; da, dermal armor; dr, dorsal rib; lfe, left femur; lfi, left fibula; lhu, left humerus; lili, left ilium; lis, left ischium; lma, left maxilla; lmd, left mandible; lra, left radius; lsc, left scapula; lti, left tibia; lul, left ulna; mc, metacarpals; orb, orbital; pl, plates; q, quadrate; rfe, right femur; rfi, right fibula; rhu, right humerus; rli, right ilium; rma, right maxilla; rmd, right mandible; rmt, right metatarsals; rra, right radius; rsc, right scapula; rti, right tibia; rul, right ulna; sar, sacral rib; te, tendons. [planned for page width].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104551.g003
Table 1. Measurements of the girdles and limb bones of *Chuanqilong chaoyangensis*.

| Bone         | L/R | Length | Wp  | Wd  | Wm  | 1*  | 2*  |
|--------------|-----|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Scapula      | L   | —      | —   | 19.5| 9   | —   | —   |
|              | R   | 40     | —   | 19  | 9   | —   | —   |
| Humerus      | L   | 35     | 18  | 14  | 5.5 | —   | —   |
|              | R   | 35     | —   | —   | —   | 20  | —   |
| Ulixa        | L   | 18     | 13  | 5   | —   | —   | —   |
|              | R   | 17     | 13  | 6   | —   | —   | —   |
| Radius       | L   | 23     | 6.5 | 6.5 | 4   | —   | —   |
|              | R   | 23     | 8   | 8   | 4   | —   | —   |
| Femur        | L   | 40     | 18  | 17  | 9   | —   | —   |
|              | R   | 40     | 20  | 18  | 9   | —   | —   |
| Tibia        | L   | —      | 15  | 19  | 7   | —   | —   |
|              | R   | 36     | 12  | 17  | 6   | —   | —   |
| Fibula       | L   | 32     | 6   | 5   | 3.5 | —   | —   |
|              | R   | 35     | 6   | 5   | 3.5 | —   | —   |
| Ilium        | L   | 76     | —   | —   | 20  | 15  | —   |
|              | R   | —      | —   | —   | 20  | 15  | —   |
| Ischium      | L   | 42     | 18  | 7   | 5   | —   | —   |
|              | R   | 41     | 19  | 7   | 5.5 | —   | —   |
| Metacarpal I | L   | 7.5    | 4.5 | 4.5 | —   | —   | —   |
| Metacarpal II| L   | 8      | 4   | 4   | —   | —   | —   |
| Metacarpal III| L  | 8     | 4   | 5   | —   | —   | —   |
| Metacarpal IV | L  | 7     | 2   | 4   | —   | —   | —   |
| Metatarsal II| L   | 13    | 7.5 | 5   | 3.5 | —   | —   |
| Metatarsal III| L  | 15.5  | 7.5 | 6   | 3.5 | —   | —   |
| Metatarsal II| R   | 13    | 6.5 | 5   | 3.5 | —   | —   |
| Metatarsal III| R  | 15    | 6   | 6   | 3   | —   | —   |
| Metatarsal IV| R   | 13    | 5   | 6   | 1.5 | —   | —   |

*For humerus, 1) refers to the length from the proximal edge to the distal end of deltopectoral crest. For ilium, 1) refers to the length of the preacetabular process and 2 refers to the length of the postacetabular process. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104551.t001
distinct primary ridge, and secondary vertical ridges and grooves are present on the labial surfaces of the tooth crowns. These ridges usually terminate apical to the cingulum, but some ridges extend across the cingulum to the basal margin of the crown. The crescentic cingula seen in some ankylosaurs, such as *Texasetes*, are absent. Small denticles and cusps are present on one rostral maxillary tooth crown. The denticles are small and tapering with a round cross-section at their base. However, most of the teeth do not bear these structures, though it is not clear if this absence is due to poor preservation or tooth wear. Most of the dentary teeth are missing. Those that are preserved are only partially exposed in the left dentary. Dentary teeth seem to have been similar in size and shape to the maxillary teeth.

**Axial Skeleton**

Several cervical and dorsal vertebrae are scattered on the slab. The cervical centra are spool-like and shorter than they are wide: their articular surfaces are all obscured. The dorsal centra are also spool-like in ventral view, slightly amphicoelous, and possess concave lateral surfaces. Dorsal centra are longer than tall. A ventral keel is absent from all of the preserved dorsal centra. One sacral vertebra is exposed on the slab in ventral view. Its centrum is wider than it is long, and its exposed articular surface is rugose, suggesting that it had not yet fused with the other sacrals. Several sacral ribs are preserved separately. They are robust and dumbbell-shaped in outline. Approximately 20 caudal vertebrae are preserved, but most of them are disarticulated. The centra of the proximal and middle caudal vertebrae are shorter than they are wide. Deep longitudinal grooves are present on the ventral surfaces of the proximal caudal vertebrae. Chevron facets are well developed, with the caudal facets more prominent than the cranial ones.

One middle caudal vertebra is well preserved. Its centrum is relatively longer and transversely narrower than those of the cranial caudals and in lateral view it has a square outline. The transverse process is reduced to a small nodular process and is located on the dorsal part of the lateral surface of the centrum. The neural spines are elongate, oriented caudodorsally, and

![Figure 4. Holotype skull and mandibles of *Chuanqilong chaoyangensis*. A, photograph in ventral view; B, outline drawing in ventral view; C, close up to maxillary teeth in lateral view. Abbreviations: afe, antorbital fenestra; ar, articular; cv, cervical vertebra; la, lacrimal; ld, left dentary; lma, left maxillary; orb, orbital; ppq, pterygoid process of the quadrate; q, quadrate; rd, right dentary; rma, right maxilla; so, supraorbital; sq, squamosal. (planned for page width). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104551.g004](figure)
Pectoral Girdle and Forelimb

**Scapula.** Both scapulae are preserved. The scapula and coracoid are not co-ossified, contrary to the condition in most ankylosaurians (e.g., *Ankylosaurus* [20]), but this may be another indication of specimen immaturity [42]. The scapula and coracoid are unfused in all known juvenile ankylosaurs, including *Liaoningosaurus* [7], an indeterminate nodosaurid hatching from the Paw Paw Formation of Texas, juvenile *Pinacosaurus* [43], and *Anoplosaurus* [42], but they are fused in most adult or sub-adult individuals (Table 2). The scapula blade is slender, dellected caudoventrally, and has a rhomboidal outline (Figs. 3, 5). The likely absence of a tail club in *Chuanaplól ushanyangensis* adds further support to the hypotheses that the tail club is a derived feature that appears only in derived, and currently only Late Cretaceous taxa.

**Humerus.** Both humeri are well preserved, except that the ventral part of the deltopectoral crest is damaged on the left humerus. The left humerus is exposed in cranial view, and the right humerus in lateral view (Figs. 3, 5B, C). The humerus is short and robust. The deltopectoral crest is large and rounded in outline in cranial view, unlike in *Crichtonsaurus benxiensis* which possesses a straight lateral margin [9] (Fig. 6C). The deltopectoral crest and the transverse axis through the distal condyles are in the same plane, and the deltopectoral crest extends for more than half of the length of the humerus as in ankylosaurids, but unlike the condition in nodosaurids, which possess a relatively short deltopectoral crest [21]. There is no distinct separation between the humeral head and the deltopectoral crest as in most ankylosaurs, but in contrast to *Cedarpelta* and *Ankylosaurus* in which the dorsal surface of the deltopectoral crest is lower than the humeral head [28,44] (Fig. 6). The width of the proximal end is much greater than the distal width as in most ankylosaurs except *Zhongyuanosaurus*, in which both ends are of equal width [40]. The laterally placed radial condyle is oval and more prominent than the mediolateral ulna condyle. The lateral epicondylar ridge is not well developed.

**Ulna and Radius.** Both of the ulnae and radii are complete (Figs. 3, 5D, E). The olecranon process of the ulna is low and wedge-shaped as in *Liaoningosaurus* [7] and juvenile specimens of *Pinacosaurus* [45], whereas it is tall and strongly developed in most large ankylosaurs, such as *Pelorolites* and *Cedarpelta* [44] (Fig. 7). The low olecranon process may represent an ontogenetically variable character of ankylosaurians [7]. The humeral notch is moderately developed. The radius is slender in comparison with the ulna. It is rod-like with a flat proximal articular surface and a rugose, convex distal end. The distal end is wider transversely than the proximal end.

**Manus.** The left manus contains four complete but disarticulated metacarpals and their identifications are based on the well preserved metacarpals of *Pelorolites* [44] and *Pinacosaurus* [45,46] (Fig. 5F). All of the preserved metacarpals are slender, as in *Pinacosaurus* [45]. Metacarpal III is the longest. Metacarpals I and II are sub-equal in length. Metacarpal IV is significantly shorter than other metacarpals. Metacarpal I is the most robust of the metacarpals, as in other ankylosaurs [1]. Metacarpals II and IV are more slender than metacarpals I and III. All of the metacarpals have expanded proximal and distal ends. The proximal articular surfaces are slightly concave, whereas the distal articular surfaces are strongly convex. There are no distinct ginglymi at the distal end. The phalanges are proximodistally short and transversely wide. The ungual phalanges are triangular in outline with sharp point in dorsal view. Their ventral surfaces are flattened and their proximal surfaces have a round outline and are slightly concave.

**Pelvic girdle and hind limb**

**Ilium.** Both ilia are well preserved and exposed in ventral view (Figs. 3, 8). As in other ankylosaurs, the preacetabular process rotated medially, making the ‘original’ lateral surface face dorsally, whereas the postacetabular process rotated in apposition and the original surface faces ventrally [47]. The preacetabular process is very long and transversely wide, and diverges laterally from the vertebral column at an angle of approximately 45°. The lateral margin of the preacetabular process is straight in ventral view, as in ankylosaurs, but unlike the condition in most nodosaurids, such as *Sauropetra* [25], *Struthiosaurus* [48], and *Zhejiangosaurus* [49], in which it is laterally curved. The postacetabular process is subtriangular in outline. It is very short, with a length less than that of the acetabulum, as in ankylosaurs [1]. The acetabulum is
imperforate with a concave articular surface for accepting the femoral head, as in all ankylosaurians except *Mymoorapelta* [18]. The pubic peduncle is well developed with a sub-rounded profile and is dorsoventrally compressed. The ischial peduncle is rudimentary.

**Ischium.** The ischium is long, slender, and mediolaterally compressed (Fig. 8B, C). The proximal end is expanded craniocaudally and contributes to half of the medial wall of the shallow acetabulum. There is no obturator process, which is absent in all ankylosaurians. The shaft of the ischium is slender and slightly curved ventrally, as in the ankylosaurid *Zhongyuanosaurus* [40], whereas it straight in most ankylosaurids [20] and significantly curved ventrally near the distal end in nodosaurids [1]. The shaft of the ischium is unique in being narrower in its mid-shaft region and widening towards the distal end, prior to tapering again further distally, whereas in other ankylosaurians the shaft either tapers distally along the whole shaft (e.g. *Ankylosaurus* [28], *Sauropelta, Edmontonia* [40]) or remains sub-equal in size along the whole shaft (e.g., *Eotachipus* [31]), or is just slightly expanded at the distal end (e.g., *Cedarpelta* [44]) (Fig. 9). The proximal end of the ischium is straight in lateral view. This is unlike the convex and fan-like ischium in the ankylosaurids *Ankylosaurus* [50] and *Eotachipus* [51], and also unlike the concave proximal ischia of the nodosaurids *Struthiosaurus* and

**Figure 5. Postcranial materials of Chuanqilong chaoyangensis.** A, right scapula in lateral view; B, left humerus in cranial view; C, right humerus in lateral view; D, left ulna in medial view; E, left radius in medial view; F, disarticulated left metacarpals and phalanges; G, right femur in caudal view; H, left femur in cranial view; I, articulated left tibia and fibula in cranial view; J, right metatarsals in cranial view; unguals in both cranial and caudal view. Note that due to compression of the right femur, the cranial trochanter is visible in posterior view whereas it would normally be obscured. **Abbreviations:** dc, deltopectoral crest; fh, femoral head; fi, fibula; fth, fourth trochanter; gt, greater trochanter; lc, lateral condyle; ct, cranial trochanter; mc, medial condyle; ti, tibia. (planned for page width). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104551.g005
Table 2. Measurements and comparisons in various ankylosaurians, in centimeter.

| Taxon                                      | Specimen number | Femur length | Tibia length | Humerus length | Scapula & coracoid | Ratio H/F | Ratio T/F | Reference |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Liaoningosaurus paradoxus                  | IVPP V12560     | 2.5          | 2.5          | 2.5            | unfused            | 1         | 1.00      | [7]       |
| nodosaurid scuteling from Paw Paw Formation| SMU 72444       | 7.3          | –            | 6.84           | unfused            | 0.94      | –         | [52]      |
| Anodontosaurus lambei                      | AMNH 5266       | 25.5         | 190          | –              | unknown            | –         | – 0.75    | [31]      |
| Crichtonsaurus benxiensis                  | BXGMV0012-1     | 32           | 29           | 23             | fused              | 0.72      | 0.91      | [9]       |
| Crichtonsaurus bohlini                     | LPM 101         | 34.3         | –            | 24             | unfused            | 0.70      | 0.00      | [8]       |
| cf. Pinacosaurus                           | MPC 100/1305    | 38           | 20.3         | 26.5           | –                  | 0.70      | 0.53      | [9]       |
| Chuangqilong chaoyangensis                 | CJPM 001        | 40           | 36           | 35             | unfused            | 0.88      | 0.90      | this study|
| Pinacosaurus granger                       | PIN 614         | 40           | 27           | 30             | –                  | 0.75      | 0.68      | [66]      |
| Animantarx ramalonesi                      | CEUM 6288R      | 41.5         | –            | 29.8           | fused              | 0.72      | –         | [20]      |
| Gargoylesaurus parkxiosteridus             | DMNH 27726      | 46.5         | –            | 29.2           | unknown            | 0.63      | –         | [19]      |
| Talarurus plicatospinus                    | PIN 557-3       | 47           | 24.8         | 33.5           | fused              | 0.71      | 0.53      | [33]      |
| Hungarosaurus plicatospinus               | MTM 2007.25     | 49           | –            | 45.5           | fused              | 0.93      | –         | [55]      |
| Euoplocephalus tucki                       | UALVP 31        | 51.5         | –            | 37.7           | probably unfused   | 0.73      | –         | [31]      |
| Euoplocephalus tucki                       | AMNH 5404       | 53.5         | 42.1         | 40.3           | fused              | 0.75      | 0.79      | [31]      |
| Polacanthus foxii                          | NHMUK R175      | 53           | 34.5         | –              | unknown            | –         | 0.65      | [53]      |
| Dyoplosaurus acutosquameus                 | ROM 784         | 56.2         | –            | –              | unknown            | –         | –         | [37]      |
| Scolosaurus cutleri                        | NHMUK nR5161    | 60           | 41.5         | 44             | fused              | 0.73      | 0.69      | [14]      |
| Ankylosaurus magniventris                  | AMNH 5214       | 67           | –            | 54.2           | fused              | 0.81      | –         | [28]      |
| Sauropelta edwardsi                        | AMNH 3036       | 70           | –            | 49.5           | fused              | 0.71      | –         | [67]      |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104551.t002
Edmontonia [48]. The proximal end of the ischium lacks the medial wall present in the basal ankylosaurid Cedarpelta [20,44].

**Femur.** The femur is robust and straight, as in other ankylosaurians (Figs. 3, 5G, H). The femoral head is robust and expanded forming a spherical articular surface. It forms an angle of about 145° with the long axis of the femur. Both the cranial and greater trochanters are present, and they are separated from the femoral head by a prominent constriction. The cranial trochanter is slender, finger-like, and separated from the greater trochanter by a vertical cleft. The cranial trochanter is present in juveniles, such as the Paw Paw nodosaur scutelings and Anoplosaurus, but fused with the greater trochanter in most adult ankylosaurs [52]. However, the cranial trochanter is also present in some large primitive nodosaurids, such as Polacanthus [53] and Texasetes [54]. So the presence of a cranial trochanter is likely to be a primitive character of ankylosaurids, as well as being under ontogenetic control in some taxa. The fourth trochanter is a prominent rugosity that is located distal to femoral mid-length, as in typical ankylosaurs [21].

Distally, a shallow cranial intercondylar fossa is present. A deep caudal intercondylar groove divides the medial (tibia) and lateral (fibula) condyles, and the former is slightly larger than the latter. The ratio of humerus to femur length is 0.83, similar to the condition in Ankylosaurus, but lower than the ratios in Liaoningosaurus, the indeterminate juvenile nodosaurid from Paw Paw Formation, and Hungarosaurus, and greater than those of other known ankylosaurs (Table 2; Fig. 10). Juveniles may have proportionally longer forelimbs than adults [52]. The juvenile Liaoningosaurus and indeterminate Paw Paw nodosaur have humerus to femur length ratios of 1.0 and 0.93, respectively, whereas the ratio is about 0.7 in most large ankylosaurs (Table 2). However, this ratio is also substantially higher in adult Hungarosaurus (0.92; [55]), Ankylosaurus (AMNH 5214, 0.81, [28]), and in the late juvenile Chuanqilong (0.88) (Fig. 10A). This suggests that the ratio of humerus to femur length may represent a valid taxonomic difference.

**Tibia.** The tibia is shorter than the femur (Figs. 3, 5I). The ratio of tibia to femur length is approximately 0.9. This is similar to the ratio in Crichtonsaurus bexiensis (0.91; [9]), Euoplocephalus carbonensis (0.91; [56]), Liaoningosaurus paradoxus (0.95; pers. observ.), and greater than in all other known ankylosaurs (Table 2; Fig. 10B). The tibia is straight, robust, and greatly expanded mediolaterally both proximally and distally. The transverse expansion of the proximal end is relatively weaker than that of the distal end in cranial view.

**Fibula.** The fibula is slender and slightly shorter than the tibia. The proximal end is expanded craniocaudally and compressed laterally. The whole shaft is relatively equal in size and oval in cross-section. The distal end is slightly expanded mediolaterally with a flattened cranial surface.
Proximal tarsals. The calcaneum and astragalus are not preserved, and they are inferred to have remained unfused to the distal end of the tibia. The calcaneum and astragalus are usually fused with the distal end of the tibia in most ankylosaurians [1], but they are unfused in juveniles of Anodontosaurus lambei (AMNH 5266; [31]), Liaoningosaurus [7], and Pinacosaurus [46], suggesting that this was under ontogenetic control in ankylosaurians. However, the astragalus is not fused to the distal end of the tibia in the early ankylosaurians Mymoorapelta (DMNH 15162: [57]), Peloroplites (CEUM 11319: [44]), and possibly Hylaeosaurus (NHMUK R2615: [53]), which indicates they may have been unfused primitively in adult ankylosaurians.

Pes. Metatarsals II, III, and IV are well preserved and in articulation in the right foot (Figs. 3, 5J). The possible presence of metatarsals I and V cannot not be excluded due to the preservation of the specimen. Metatarsal III is much longer (187.5% of the length) and more robust than metacarpal III. This ratio is similar to that seen in the primitive ankylosaurid Gargoyleosaurus (184.4%; [19]), greater than in most ankylosaurians, such as Pinacosaurus grangeri (113.5%; [45]) and Talarurus pliocostatus (132.8%; [45]), but smaller than that in Liaoningosaurus, which has even longer metatarsals (more than 200%: [7]). Metatarsals II and IV are sub-equal in length, and metatarsal III is longer and more robust than the other two metatarsals. They all have expanded proximal and distal ends. The proximal end of metatarsal II is dorsoventrally deeper than it is wide transversely and it has a concave and oval articular surface for the distal tarsals. Metatarsal III has a square cross-section proximally, and metatarsal IV is transversely wider than deep craniocaudally. The distal ends of all metatarsals are transversely expanded and bear no, or very weak, ginglymi.

The unguals are robust and sub-triangular in outline with subrounded distal ends in dorsal view. This is unlike the pedal unguals...
of *Liaoningosaurus*, which have much sharper distal ends [7]. Coombs [51] noted that in ankylosaurs the pedal unguals are widest at a point approximately one-third of the distance from their proximal ends in juveniles, whereas in adults they are widest proximally. However, in juveniles like *Liaoningosaurus* and *Chuanqilong*, the pedal unguals are widest proximally and taper distally, contrary to this observation. Ungual shape may, therefore, be a useful character for taxonomic or systematic purposes, and similar sub-triangular characters are also known in *Dryptosaurus* [37].

**Armor**

Craniocranial armor is not visible on the slab. The cervical armor usually comprises two cervical half rings in ankylosaurs and three cervical half rings in nodosaurids. These half rings consist of a superficial layer of osteoderms fused to an underlying band of bone. The osteoderms are usually pitted and rugose, similar to body osteoderms, whereas the connecting band is usually smooth and plate-like [58]. In *Chuanqilong*, only one cervical half ring is present in ventral view. The band appears to fuse into a single large plate, as in ankylosaurids [58]. However, it is compressed dorsoventrally, damaged and separated into four sections (Fig. 3). A variety of small irregular osteoderms and ossicles are preserved over the whole body in ventral view (Fig. 3), as in most ankylosaurians. Dermal armor is absent in the indeterminate Paw Paw nodosaurid ([52]: SMU 72444), the juvenile specimen of *Anoplosaurus* [42], and the hatchling dinosaur *Propanosaurus* [52,59]. However, dermal armor is present in the small specimen *Liaoningosaurus*, suggesting that the ability to produce dermal armor had already appeared by this early growth stage [7], although armor is absent in the even smaller specimen of *Propanosaurus* [59].

**Discussion**

Ankylosauria is traditionally divided into two families, Ankylosauridae and Nodosauridae, which are distinct in many features [21]. A third group, Polacanthinae [60] or Polacanthidae [5], has been proposed, and it is normally defined as all ankylosaurians more closely related to *Gastornis* than to either *Edmontonia* or *Euoplocephalus* [5]. However, some phylogenetic analyses, including ours (see below) do not recover this group as a separate clade [1,10,26], and here we follow traditional ankylosaurian taxonomy in our discussion.

*Chuanqilong chaoyangensis* possesses many ankylosaurid features, including cheek teeth with a strongly swollen tooth crown with a weak cingulum, a long deltopectoral crest that extends for more than half of humeral length, a straight lateral margin of the preacetabular process, a very short postacetabular process that is shorter than the length of the acetabulum, a slender ischial shaft that is curved slightly ventrally, and a distally located fourth trochanter. However, it lacks several features shared by derived ankylosaurids, such as the presence of a tail club. This character
combination suggests that *Chuanqilong chaoyangensis* represents a basal ankylosaurid.

In order to confirm our hypothesis regarding the systematic position of *Chuanqilong chaoyangensis*, we conducted a phylogenetic analysis by adding *Chuanqilong chaoyangensis* to a recently published dataset on ankylosaurian phylogenetic relationships [10]. Our analysis produced 15902 most parsimonious trees (MPTs), with tree lengths of 542 steps (Consistency Index = 0.34, Retention Index = 0.66). The strict consensus tree (not shown) of these 15902 MPTs lacks resolution, with the only clear result being recovery of ankylosaurid monophyly. A reduced consensus tree was calculated a posteriori which excluded seven wildcard taxa (Zhejiangosaurus, Niobrarasaurus, Hungarosaurus, Antarctopelta, Anoplosaurs, Polacanthus rodwickiensis, and Stegopelta) [61], and this shows considerably greater resolution (Fig. 11).

Our results indicate that *Chuanqilong chaoyangensis* is a basal ankylosaurid and that it is the sister taxon of the sympatric *Liaoningosaurus*. However, only two unambiguous synapomorphies support this relationship: presence of an antorbital fossa or fenestra ([10]: character 1) and scapula glenoid oriented ventrally ([10]: character 121).

It should be noted that both *Chuanqilong chaoyangensis* and *Liaoningosaurus paradoxus* are represented by specimens at a relatively early ontogenetic stage, although the much larger size, relatively smaller orbit, and higher tooth count of *Chuanqilong* suggest that the latter is at a more advanced ontogenetic stage. Although *Chuanqilong* and *Liaoningosaurus* are sister taxa, they can be distinguished on the basis of the following characters, which are probably not ontogenetically variable:

1. **Check tooth crown morphology.** In *Chuanqilong*, the cheek teeth are relatively small compared to the skull, and there are more than 20 maxillary teeth, whereas in *Liaoningosaurus*, the cheek teeth are significantly larger in comparison to the skull.
and there are only approximately 10 maxillary teeth. Although Xu et al. [7] noted that the low tooth number of Liaoningosaurus may be due to its juvenile status, the ontogenetic variation in teeth number among all known ankylosaurians is less than 10. For example, the variation in Euoplocephalus and Pinacosaurus check teeth number is five and three, respectively [29]. Additionally, the check tooth crowns of Chuanqilong bear small denticles and cusps, with approximately 12 denticles per tooth, whereas in Liaoningosaurus, the denticles are large cusps that are also relatively large with respect to the size of the tooth crown, and there are approximately seven denticles per tooth. The tooth crowns of Liaoningosaurus are similar to those of another ankylosaurid from Liaoning Province, Crichtonsaurus bohlini, but differ from those of Chuanqilong.

2. The proximal end of the humerus is strongly expanded in comparison to humeral length in Chuanqilong (the ratio of proximal width to whole length is 0.51), whereas it is only moderately expanded in Liaoningosaurus (the ratio of proximal width to whole length is 0.38). Additionally, the distal end of the deltopectoral crest extends for more than half of the length of the humerus in Chuanqilong, as in typical ankylosaurids, whereas in Liaoningosaurus, the deltopectoral crest is less developed and does not extend to the mid-length of the humerus, as in other nodosaurids.

3. The lateral edge of the ilium is straight or slightly convex in ventral view in Chuanqilong, whereas it is slightly concave above the acetabulum in Liaoningosaurus.

4. The ischial shaft of Chuanqilong has a constriction at mid-length and tapers distally, whereas the ischial shaft is relatively equal in width along the whole length and slightly expanded at the distal end in Liaoningosaurus.

5. The ratios of metatarsus to metacarpus length in Chuanqilong is substantially less than that of Liaoningosaurus (Fig. 5F, G). The metatarsus is more than twice the length of the metacarpus in Liaoningosaurus and this is probably an autapomorphy of this taxon [7]. It is unknown whether the ratio of metatarsus to metacarpus length changes during ontogeny. However, the metatarsus is less than twice the length of the metacarpus in the hatchling Propanoplosaurus [59]: Fig. 5).

6. The pedal unguals of Chuanqilong are widest at a point approximately one-third of the distance from the proximal end and are slightly constricted at the proximal end, whereas the pedal unguals are sub-triangular and widest at the proximal end in Liaoningosaurus [7] and Dyplosaurus [37].

Several juvenile ankylosaurians have been recognized and provide important ontogenetic information [7,26,42,51,52,62]. These studies indicate that some features used for species diagnosis are probably under ontogenetic control, such as some fusion characters, including fusion of the scapula and coracoid, fusion of the calcaneum and astragalus, and fusion of the cranial and greater trochanters.

Ontogenetic variation may affect phylogenetic reconstruction (e.g. [63]). Many derived features found in adult specimens are rudimentary or undeveloped in juvenile specimens, making the latter appear more basal than adult individuals in phylogenetic analyses. Therefore, ideally, ontogenetically variable characters should be excluded from phylogenetic analysis or such analyses should be based upon adult specimens only. However, many ankylosaurians are only partially preserved and it has been difficult to document their ontogenetic variation. Euoplocephalus and Pinacosaurus, which are known from multiple individuals, may provide more insights into this problem, but the taxonomy of Euoplocephalus has been controversial and many formerly referred specimens are now thought to represent other distinct taxa [31]. In order to retain as many taxa in our analysis as possible, we were unable to exclude ontogenetic characters from our phylogenetic analysis. Further ontogenetic precision could be gained from aging individuals using bone histology, which has not been widely applied to ankylosaurians. As Liaoningosaurus and Chuanqilong are represented by juvenile specimens only, more material, especially adult specimens, will help to further elucidate their phylogenetic relationships.

Chuanqilong was moderate in size compared with other known ankylosaurians (Table 2). However, it still larger than adult Jurassic ankylosaurians, including Myrohrapelta and Gargarosaurus [18,19]. The juvenile Chuanqilong is similar in size to most Cretaceous ankylosaurians, including adult Hungarosaurus [55] and Euoplophela [56], but is smaller than Cedarpelta (7.5–8.5 m: [20]) and Polacanthus (5–7 m: [53]). However, as the holotype of Chuanqilong is not fully-grown, based on the above-mentioned features, this suggests that the adults of this taxon may have been among the largest ankylosaurians. This suggests in turn that ankylosaurs has already evolved large size by the late Early Cretaceous. Bone histology should be used in future to gain a more accurate understanding of the ontogenetic age of this specimen.
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