Generalized su(1,1) coherent states for pseudo harmonic oscillator and their nonclassical properties

B. Mojaveri1,a and A. Dehghani2

1 Department of Physics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, P.O. Box 51745-406, Tabriz, Iran
2 Department of Physics, Payame Noor University, P.O. Box 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran

Received 23 April 2013 / Received in final form 28 May 2013
Published online 19 August 2013 – © EDP Sciences, Societ`a Italiana di Fisica, Springer-Verlag 2013

Abstract. In this paper we define a non-unitary displacement operator, which by acting on the vacuum state of the pseudo harmonic oscillator (PHO), generates new class of generalized coherent states (GCSs). An interesting feature of this approach is that, contrary to the Klauder-Perelomov and Barut-Girardello approaches, it does not require the existence of dynamical symmetries associated with the system under consideration. These states admit a resolution of the identity through positive definite measures on the complex plane. We have shown that the realization of these states for different values of the deformation parameters leads to the well-known Klauder-Perelomov and Barut-Girardello CSs associated with the su(1,1) Lie algebra. This is why we call them the generalized su(1,1) CSs for the PHO. Finally, study of some statistical characters such as squeezing, anti-bunching effect and sub-Poissonian statistics reveals that the constructed GCSs have indeed nonclassical features.

1 Introduction

Coherent states (CSs), were first established by Schrödinger [1] as the eigenvectors of the boson annihilation operator, $\hat{a}$, corresponding to the Heisenberg-Weyl Lie algebra. They play an important role in quantum optics and provide us with a link between quantum and classical oscillators. Moreover, these states can be produced by acting of the Glauber displacement operator, $D(z) = e^{z\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger z}$, on the vacuum states, where $z$ is a complex variable. These states were later applied successfully to some other models based on their Lie algebra symmetries by Glauber [2,3], Klauder [4,5], Sudarshan [6], Barut and Girardello [7] and Perelomov [8]. Additionally, for the models with one degree of freedom either discrete or continuous spectra – with no remark on the existence of a Lie algebra symmetry – Gazeau and Klauder, and Antoine et al. proposed new CSs, which were parametrized by two real parameters [9,10]. Moreover, there exist some considerations in connection with CSs corresponding to the shape invariance symmetries [11,12]. To construct CSs, four main different approaches the so-called Schrödinger, Klauder-Perelomov, Barut-Girardello, and Gazeau-Klauder methods have been found, so that the second and the third approaches rely directly on the Lie algebra symmetries and their corresponding generators. Here, it is necessary to emphasize that quantum coherence of states nowadays pervade many branches of physics such as quantum electrodynamics, solid-state physics, and nuclear and atomic physics, from both theoretical and experimental viewpoints.

In addition to CSs, squeezed states (SSs) are becoming increasingly important. These are the non-classical states of the electromagnetic field in which certain observables exhibit fluctuations less than in the vacuum state [13]. These states are important because they can achieve lower quantum noise than the zero-point fluctuations of the vacuum or coherent states. Over the last four decades there have been several experimental demonstrations of nonclassical effects, such as the photon anti-bunching [14], sub-Poissonian statistics [15,16], and squeezing [17,18]. On the other hand, considerable attention has been paid to the deformation of the harmonic oscillator algebra of creation and annihilation operators [19]. Some important physical concepts such as the CSs, the even- and odd-CSs for ordinary harmonic oscillator have been extended to deformation case. Moreover, there exist interesting quantum effects, and related quantum states that are namely superposition states exhibiting quantum interference effects [20,21]. Besides, superpositions of CSs can be prepared in the motion of a trapped ion [22,23]. With respect to the nonclassical effects, the coherent states turn out to define the limit between the classical and nonclassical behavior.

Another type of generalization of CSs is the nonlinear coherent states (NLCSs), or f-CSs. The NLCSs, $|z,f\rangle$, are right-hand eigenstates of the product of nonlinear function $f(N)$ of the number operator $N$ and the boson annihilation operator $\hat{a}$, i.e. they satisfy $f(N)\hat{a}|z,f\rangle = z|z,f\rangle$. The nature of the nonlinearity depends on the
choice of the function $f(\lambda)$ [24]. These states may appear as stationary states of the center-of-mass motion of a trapped ion [25,26]. NLCSs exhibit nonclassical features such as quadrature squeezing, sub-Poissonian statistics, anti-bunching, self-splitting effects and so on [27–34].

The su(1, 1) Lie algebra is of great interest in quantum optics because it can characterize many kinds of quantum optical systems [7,8,35,36]. It has recently been used by many researchers to investigate the nonclassical properties of light in quantum optical systems [37]. In particular, the bosonic realization of su(1, 1) describes the degenerate and non-degenerate parametric amplifiers [38]. The squeezed states and nonlinear SSs of photons have been considered in terms of su(1,1) Lie algebra and the CSs associated with this algebra [39,40].

In the present paper, we want to construct new type NLCSs for pseudo harmonic oscillator (PHO). This exactly solvable quantum model is the sum of the harmonic oscillator and the inversely quadratic potential was proposed by Goldman and Krivchenkov [41], i.e.

$$V(x) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mu \omega^2 x^2 + \frac{\hbar}{2\mu} \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{x^2} \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\mu$, $\omega$ and $\lambda$ respectively represent the mass of the particle, the frequency and the strength of the external field. Sometimes this system has been called isotonic potential [42–44]. PHO may be more suitable model for the description of vibrating molecules. For this reason, the study of CSs for PHO is of great importance which has recently been studied Klauder-Perelomov and Barut-Girardello type CSs in the framework of su(1,1) Lie algebra symmetry [45–47].

The aim of this work is introducing a new approach to construct GCs for PHO. This approach based on the generalization of the displaced operator associated with su(1,1) Lie algebra which will be acting on the vacuum states of PHO. This approach is extension of the our previous work in connection to new type NLCSs for harmonic oscillator associated with the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra [48]. An interesting feature of this approach is that, Contrary to the Klauder-Perelomov and Barut-Girardello approach, this does not require for existence of dynamical symmetries associated with the considered system. To construction of such states, we need only to the raising operator associated with the considered system in the framework of supersymmetric quantum mechanics. These states admit a resolution of the identity through positive definite and non-oscillating measures on the complex plane. We have shown that these states are NLCSs and for different values of the deformation parameter lead to the well-known Klauder-Perelomov and Barut-Girardello CSs for PHO. Some interesting features are found. For instance, we have shown that they evolve in time as like as the canonical CSs, in other words the constructed GCs possess the temporal stability property. Furthermore, it has been discussed in detail that they have indeed nonclassical features such as squeezing, anti-bunching effect and sub-Poissonian statistics, too.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we briefly review on a su(1,1) Lie algebra symmetry of PHO and construct the new CSs $|\lambda\rangle$, via generalized analogue of the displacement operators acting on the vacuum state. In order to realize the resolution of the identity, we have found the positive definite measures on the complex plane. With a review on these states, the relation between su(1,1) Klauder-Perelomov and Barut-Girardello CSs of PHO with constructed CSs will be obvious. It has been shown that these states can be considered as eigenstates of a certain annihilation operator, then they can be interpreted as NLCSs with a special nonlinearity function. Furthermore, in Section 3 by evaluating some physical quantities, we discuss their non-classical properties. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section 4.

### 2 New GCs for PHO

In references [46,49,50], it has been shown that the second-order differential operators

$$J^\lambda_+ := \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( x + \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 - \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{x^2} \right],$$

$$J^\lambda_- := \frac{H^\lambda}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 + \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{x^2} \right],$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

satisfy the standard commutation relations of su(1,1) Lie algebra as follows

$$[J^\lambda_+, J^\lambda_-] = -2J^\lambda_3, \quad [J^\lambda_3, J^\lambda_-] = \pm J^\lambda_+.$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

Here, $H^\lambda$ is the PHO or Calegiero-Sutherland Hamiltonian on the half-line $x$, while $\mu = h = w = 1$. In terms of the Fock states, defined by the associated Laguerre polynomials $L^\alpha_n(x)$, $x^\alpha e^{x^2} (\frac{d}{dx})^\alpha (x^{n+\alpha} e^{-x})$ with $\Re(\alpha) > -1$,

$$\langle x|n,\lambda \rangle = (-1)^n \sqrt{\frac{2^\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda+\frac{1}{2})}} \times x^\lambda e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} L^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}_n(x^2), \quad \lambda > -\frac{1}{2},$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

one can realizes that the infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := \text{span}\{|n,\lambda\rangle\}_{n=0}^\infty$ products the unitary and positive-integer irreps of su(1,1) Lie algebra as

$$J^\lambda_+|n-1,\lambda\rangle = \sqrt{n} \left( n + \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right) |n,\lambda\rangle,$$

$$J^\lambda_-|n,\lambda\rangle = \sqrt{n} \left( n + \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right) |n-1,\lambda\rangle,$$

$$J^\lambda_3|n,\lambda\rangle = \left( n + \lambda + \frac{1}{4} \right) |n,\lambda\rangle.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5a\text{–}c)

It is straightforward that the orthogonality condition of the associated Laguerre polynomials lead to the following orthogonality condition of the basis of the Hilbert
we show that the well known \(\text{su}(1,1)\) Casimir operators, respectively. Now, via generalized analogue of the displacement operators acting on the vacuum state of PHO, \(|0, \lambda\rangle\)

\[
\langle z| \lambda \rangle := \frac{2n!}{\Gamma(n + \lambda + 1/2)} \int_0^\infty x^n e^{-x^2} L_n^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}(x^2) d\mu_n(x),
\]

where \(d\mu_n(x)\) is the generalized hypergeometric function and \(\delta_{nm}\) are respectively the coherence and the deformation parameters, respectively. Now, we show that the well known \(\text{su}(1,1)\) Klauder-Perelomov and Barut-Girardello CSs can be considered as a special case of introduced CSs. Clearly, \(|z| \rangle \lambda\) becomes the \(\text{su}(1,1)\) Klauder-Perelomov CSs for the PHO, \(|z| \rangle \lambda_{KP}[46,47]\), when \(r\) tends to unity and \(z\) be replaced with \(\frac{1}{2} \tanh(|z|)\). Using the series form of the hypergeometric functions and applying the ladderings relations, equations (5), \(|z| \rangle \lambda\) can be expanded into the basis \(|n, k\rangle\) as

\[
|z| \rangle \lambda = M_{\lambda}^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}(|z|) F_r \times \left( \left[ \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right], \left[ \lambda - \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + 1, \ldots, \lambda - \frac{3}{2} + r \right], zJ_{\lambda+} \right) \times |0, \lambda\rangle, \quad r \geq 1,
\]

where \(M_{\lambda}^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}(|z|)\) is chosen so that \(|z| \rangle \lambda\) is normalized, i.e. \(\langle z| \lambda \rangle \lambda = 1\), then

\[
M_{\lambda}^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}(|z|) = F_{2r-2} \left( \left[ \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right], \left[ \lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \lambda - \frac{3}{2} + r, \lambda - \frac{3}{2} + r \right], |z|^2 \right).
\]

Now, one can check that for the case \(r = 2\), we have

\[
|z| \rangle \lambda^2 = M_{\lambda}^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}(|z|) \times 1 F_2 \left( \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right], \left[ \lambda - \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right], zJ_{\lambda+} \right) |0, \lambda\rangle
\]

\[
= M_{\lambda}^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}(|z|) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(n + \lambda + \frac{1}{2})}} \langle n, \lambda\rangle,
\]

meanwhile it satisfies following eigenvalue equation

\[
J_{\lambda}^+ |z| \rangle \lambda = z |z| \rangle \lambda.
\]

Then, \(|z| \rangle \lambda^r = 2(r-2)\text{d}z \langle z| \lambda \rangle \lambda^r \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |z|^{2n+1} K_{\lambda}^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}(|z|) d|z|.
\]

It is found that using by the integral relation for the Meijers G-functions (see 7.8.14 in [51]), these states resolve the unity operator for any \(r\) and \(\lambda\) through a positive
Based on a new expression of the Laguerre polynomials as

\[ K_n^\lambda (|z|) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})}{\pi \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(\lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})^2} \times G_{2,2}^{2,1} \left( \begin{array}{c} 0, \lambda, \lambda - \frac{3}{2}, ..., \lambda - r, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, 0 \\ 0, \lambda, \alpha \end{array} |z|^2 \right) \]  

(13)

definite and non-oscillating measure

see equation (13) above.

For \((\lambda, r) = (\frac{3}{2}, 1)\) as well as \((\lambda, r) = (\frac{3}{2}, 2, 3)\) and 4) we have plotted the changes of the non-oscillating and positive definite measures \(K_n^\lambda (|z|)\) in terms of \(|z|^2\) in Figures 1a and 1b, respectively.

\[ \langle x|z\rangle^\lambda = \left( \frac{2}{M_n^\lambda(|z|)\Gamma(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})} \right)^\frac{1}{2} \times \prod_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n + \lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})} \times e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{y^2}{x^2}} \times \frac{1}{n!} \times \prod_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n + \lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})} \times e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{y^2}{x^2}} |_{y=x^2} \]  

(15)

2.1 Coordinate representation of \(|z\rangle^\lambda_r\)

Based on a new expression of the Laguerre polynomials as an operator-valued function given in reference [53]

\[ L_n^\alpha (y) = \frac{1}{n!} y^{-\alpha} \left( \frac{d}{dy} - 1 \right)^n y^{n+\alpha}, \]  

(14)

also, according to equations (4) and (8) we have

\[ \langle x|z\rangle^\lambda = \left( \frac{2}{M_n^\lambda(|z|)\Gamma(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})} \right)^\frac{1}{2} \times \prod_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n + \lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})} \times e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{y^2}{x^2}} \times \frac{1}{n!} \times \prod_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n + \lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})} \times e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{y^2}{x^2}} \]  

(15)

Along with substitution

\[ \left( \frac{d}{dy} - 1 \right)^n y^n = \left( \frac{d}{dy} + n - y \right) \cdots \left( \frac{d}{dy} + 1 - y \right) = \left( \frac{d}{dy} - y + 1 \right)^n \]  

(16)

it becomes

\[ \langle x|z\rangle^\lambda = \left[ \frac{2e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{y^2}{x^2}} y^{1-\lambda}}{M_n^\lambda(|z|)\Gamma(\lambda + \frac{1}{2})} \right]^\frac{1}{2} \times \prod_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n + \lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})} \times e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{y^2}{x^2}} |_{y=x^2} \times \left( \frac{d}{dy} - y + 1 \right)^n \times \left( \lambda + \frac{1}{2}, ..., \lambda + \frac{3}{2} + r \right) \]  

(17)

and confirm that \(|z\rangle^\lambda_r\) are temporally stable.

\[ \langle x|z\rangle^\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F_4 \left( \left[ \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \right], \left[ \lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{3}{2} \right], \left[ \lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{3}{2} \right], \frac{x}{\alpha} \right) \right)} \times \sqrt{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{y^2}} \times \left( \frac{y^2}{x^2} - y + 1 \right) \times \left( \lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{3}{2} \right), -z \]  

(18b)

in which \(I_{\lambda-\frac{3}{2}}(x)\) is the modified Bessel function of first type and \(J_{\lambda-\frac{3}{2}}(x)\) is the ordinary Bessel function defined as \(J_{\lambda-\frac{3}{2}}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (\frac{\lambda}{2})^{2m+n}}{m! \Gamma(\lambda+n+\frac{1}{2})} \) [51].

2.2 Time evolution of generalized su(1,1) CSs

Due to the relations (2) and (5c), we have

\[ H^\lambda|n, \lambda\rangle = (2n + \lambda + \frac{1}{2})|n, \lambda\rangle. \]  

(19)

Then the CSs (8) evolve in time as

\[ e^{-itH} \langle z|\rangle^\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_n^\lambda(|z|)}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (ze^{-i\alpha t})^n \]  

\[ \times \prod_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n + \lambda + k - \frac{1}{2})} \times \left( \frac{d}{dy} - y + 1 \right)^n \times \left( \lambda + \frac{1}{2}, ..., \lambda + \frac{3}{2} + r \right) \]  

(20)

and confirm that \(|z\rangle^\lambda_r\) are temporally stable.
3 Non-classical properties of $|z\rangle_r^\lambda$

In this section, we will set up detailed studies on statistical properties of constructed GNCS. For this reason, proportional nonlinear function associated to them are introduced. Moreover, to analyze their statistical behavior, some of the characters including the second-order correlations, Mandel’s parameter and quadrature squeezing are evaluated. It should be mentioned that squeezing or antibunching (negativity of Mandel parameter) are sufficient (unnecessary) for a state to belong to nonclassical states [54].

3.1 Nonlinearity function

The question we pose now is whether the $\text{su}(1,1)$ CSs constructed above can be defined as the eigenstates of the non-Hermitian and deformed annihilation operator $f(\hat{N})\hat{J}_r^\lambda$, i.e.

$$f(\hat{N})\hat{J}_r^\lambda |z\rangle_r^\lambda = z |z\rangle_r^\lambda,$$

where $f(\hat{N})$, is determined in terms of the number operator $\hat{N}(= J_{-}^\lambda - \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{1}{2})$, plays an important role as a nonlinearity function [25]. Combining definition of the generalized $\text{su}(1,1)$ CSs (8) and laddering relations (5), we get

$$\frac{\Gamma(\hat{N} + \lambda + r + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\hat{N} + \lambda + \frac{1}{2})} J_r^\lambda |z\rangle_r^\lambda = z |z\rangle_r^\lambda. \quad (22)$$

So $|z\rangle_r^\lambda$ can be identified as new classes of $\text{su}(1,1)$ NCs [55], with characterized nonlinearity functions, $\frac{\Gamma(\hat{N} + \lambda + r + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\hat{N} + \lambda + \frac{1}{2})}$. Obviously $f(\hat{N}) \longrightarrow 1$ when $r \longrightarrow 2$.

3.2 $\text{su}(1,1)$ squeezing

We introduce two generalized Hermitian quadrature operators $X_1$ and $X_2$

$$X_1^\lambda = \frac{J_+^\lambda + J_-^\lambda}{2}, \quad X_2^\lambda = \frac{J_+^\lambda - J_-^\lambda}{2i}, \quad (23)$$

with the commutation relation $[X_1^\lambda, X_2^\lambda] = i J_3^\lambda$. From this commutation relation the uncertainty relation for the variances of the quadrature operators $X_i$ follows

$$\langle (\Delta X_i^\lambda)^2 \rangle \geq \frac{|J_i^\lambda|^2}{4}, \quad (24)$$

where $\langle (\Delta X_i^\lambda)^2 \rangle = \langle X_i^\lambda \rangle^2 - \langle X_i^\lambda \rangle^2$ and the angular brackets denote averaging over an arbitrary normalizable state for which the mean values are well defined, $\langle X_i \rangle = \langle z|X_i|z\rangle^\lambda$. Following Walls (1983) as well as Wodkiewicz and Eberly [38,56] we will say that the state is $\text{su}(1,1)$ squeezed if the condition

$$\langle (\Delta X_i^\lambda)^2 \rangle < \frac{|J_i^\lambda|^2}{2}, \quad \text{for } i = 1 \text{ or } 2, \quad (25)$$

is fulfilled. In other words, a set of quantum states are called SSs if they have less uncertainty in one quadrature ($X_1$ or $X_2$) than CSs. To measure the degree of the $\text{su}(1,1)$ squeezing we introduce the squeezing factor $S_i^\lambda$ [57]

$$S_i^\lambda = \frac{\langle (\Delta X_i^\lambda)^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2}(J_i^\lambda)^2}{\frac{1}{2}(J_i^\lambda)^2}, \quad (26)$$

it leads that the $\text{su}(1,1)$ squeezing condition takes on the simple form $S_i^\lambda < 0$, however maximally squeezing is obtained for $S_i^\lambda = -1$. By using of the mean values of the generators of the $\text{su}(1,1)$ Lie algebra, one can derive that uncertainty in the quadrature operators $X_i$ can be expressed as the following forms

$$\langle (\Delta X_{(2i)}^\lambda)^2 \rangle = \frac{2 \langle J_+^\lambda J_-^\lambda \rangle + 2 \langle J_3^\lambda \rangle \pm \langle J_+^\lambda J_-^\lambda \rangle - (J_+^\lambda \pm J_-^\lambda)^2}{4}. \quad (27)$$
\[ \langle j^2 \rangle = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\lambda + r - \frac{1}{2})} \times \frac{2F_{2r-1}((\lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{3}{2}), \lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2})}{1 \times F_{2r-2}((\lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2}), |z|^2)}. \]

\[ \langle j^2 \rangle = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\lambda + r - \frac{1}{2})} \times \frac{2F_{2r-1}((\lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{3}{2}), \lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2})}{1 \times F_{2r-2}((\lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2}), |z|^2)}. \]

\[ \langle j^2 \rangle = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\lambda + r - \frac{1}{2})} \times \frac{2F_{2r-1}((\lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{3}{2}), \lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2})}{1 \times F_{2r-2}((\lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2}), |z|^2)}. \]

\[ \langle j^2 \rangle = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\lambda + r - \frac{1}{2})} \times \frac{2F_{2r-1}((\lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{3}{2}), \lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2})}{1 \times F_{2r-2}((\lambda + \frac{1}{2}, \lambda + \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{3}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2}, \lambda + r - \frac{5}{2}), |z|^2)}. \]

Fig. 2. (a) and (b) illustrate squeezing in the \( X_1^\lambda \) and \( X_2^\lambda \) quadratures, respectively, against \(|z|^2\) for \( r = 1 \) and different values of \( \phi \).

where we have the relations:

\textit{see equation (28) above.}

They result that, \((\Delta X_{1(2)}^\lambda)^2\) as well as \( S_{1(2)}^\lambda \), for any value of \( \lambda \), are efficiently dependent on the complex variable \( z(=|z|e^{i\phi}) \) and the deformation parameter \( r \).

- Case \( r = 1 \): Our calculations show that the squeezing factor \( S_{1}^\lambda \) is really independent of \( \lambda \). It illustrates that squeezing properties in the \( X_1 \) quadrature arise when \( \phi \) is increased and culminates where \( \phi \) reaches \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) as well as \( |z| \rightarrow 1 \) (see Fig. 2a). However, Figure 2b implies that squeezing properties in the \( X_2 \) quadrature is considerable where \( \phi \) is decreased. It becomes maximal, \( S_{2}^\lambda \rightarrow -1 \), if \( \phi \) tends to zero [47,57].

- Case \( r = 2 \): Clearly, for the case \( r = 2 \) we would not expect to take squeezing neither in \( X_1 \) nor in \( X_2 \) quadratures [47,57].

- Case \( r \geq 3 \): In Figure 3 we plot the squeezing parameter \( S_{1}^\lambda \) and \( S_{2}^\lambda \) as a function of \(|z|^2\) for different values of \( r(=3, 4 \text{ and } 5) \) as well as \( \lambda(=-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1) \), here we choose the phase \( \phi = 0 \). According to Figures 3a, 3c, 3e, it is visible, the squeezing parameter \( S_{1}^\lambda \) is less than zero for the all regions of \(|z|^2\). This indicate that for \( \phi = 0 \), the quadrature squeezing occur only in the \( X_1 \) component for the all regions of \(|z|^2\). Also, we show in Figure 4 the squeezing parameter \( S_{1}^\lambda \) and \( S_{2}^\lambda \) for different values of \( \phi(=0, \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \text{and } \frac{\pi}{2}) \) for fixed \( r = 4 \). From Figures 3a, 3c, 3e, and Figures 3b, 3d, 3f we find that while quadrature squeezing in the \( X_1 \) component occur for \( \phi = 0 \) and
Fig. 3. Graphs of uncertainty in the field quadratures $X_\lambda^1$ ((a), (c), (e)) and $X_\lambda^2$ ((b), (d), (f)), respectively versus $|z|^2$ for different values of $r$ as well as different $\lambda$ while we choose the phase $\varphi = 0$.

$\phi = \frac{\pi}{6}$, there is quadrature squeezing effect in the $X_2$ states component for $\phi = \frac{\pi}{6}$ and $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

### 3.3 Anti-bunching effect and sub-Poissonian statistics

Now we are in a position to study the anti-bunching effect as well as the statistics of $|z\rangle_\lambda^\lambda_r$ given by equation (8). We introduce the second-order correlation function for these states:

$$
\left( g^{(2)} \right)_r^\lambda (|z|^2) = \frac{\langle \hat{N}^2 \rangle^\lambda_r^\lambda - \langle \hat{N} \rangle^\lambda_r^\lambda \langle \hat{N} \rangle^\lambda_r^\lambda}{\langle \hat{N} \rangle^\lambda_r^\lambda},
$$

(29)
Fig. 4. Squeezing in the $X_{\lambda}^1$ and $X_{\lambda}^2$ quadratures have been shown in ((a), (c), (e)) and ((b), (d), (f)) respectively, against for $r = 4$ and different values of $\varphi$.

A state for which

$$Q_r^\lambda(|z|^2)^2 = Q_r^\lambda(|z|^2) = (\hat{N})_r^\lambda \left[ \left( g^{(2)} \right)_r^\lambda (|z|^2) - 1 \right].$$

In order to find the function $(g^{(2)})_r^\lambda (|z|^2)$, also Mandel parameter $Q_r^\lambda(|z|^2)$, let us begin with the expectation values of the number operator $\hat{N}$ and of the square of the number operator $\hat{N}^2$ in the basis of the Fock space states $|n, \lambda \rangle$ see equation next page.

For the case $r = 1$, the second-order correlation function can be calculated to be taken as $(g^{(2)})_{r=1}^\lambda (|z|^2) = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}} > 1$. This guarantees that $|z|^\lambda_1$ exhibits a fully

---

\footnote{A state for which $Q_r^\lambda(|z|^2) > 0$ (or $(g^{(2)})_r^\lambda(|z|^2) > 1$) is called super-Poissonian (bunching effect), if $Q = 0$ (or $g^{(2)} = 1$) the state is called Poissonian, while a state for which $Q < 0$ (or $g^{(2)} < 1$) is, also, called sub-Poissonian (antibunching effect).}
bunching effect, or super-Poissonian statistics [57]. But this situation is changed when \( r \) and \( \lambda \) are enhanced. In Figure 5, \( (g^{(2)})_r^\lambda (|z|^2) \) has been plotted in terms of \( |z|^2 \) for several values of \( r (= 2, 3, 4 \) and 5). From this figure, it is observed that this parameter is less than one, for all regions and so the statistics of the \( |z|^2 \) is fully sub-Poissonian. Recalling that each of the nonclassicality indicators is sufficient (not necessary) for a state to be nonclassical, we observed that the generalized su(1, 1) CSs are indeed nonclassical states.

4 Conclusions

Based on a new approach, broad range of generalized su(1, 1) CSs for PHO are constructed. These states resolve a resolution of the identity with positive measures on the complex plane. Non-classical properties of such states have been reviewed in detail. It has been shown that they have squeezing properties and follow the sub-Poissonian statistics. For these reasons the constructed generalized su(1, 1) CSs can be termed as nonclassical states. Generally, the approach presented here provides a unified method to construct all relevant CSs introduced in different ways (the Klauder-Perelomov and Barut-Girardello CSs). The advantage of this approach is that, one need only the raising operators associated with the systems under consideration without addressing the dynamical symmetry of system. Also, this approach can be used to construct new type CSs for exactly solvable models in the framework of the quantum mechanics in which the ladder operators are dependent to the quantum modes, such as the Hydrogen atom and the Morse model.
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