1. Introduction

With the continuous depletion of fossil fuels, as well as the increasing global environmental pollution problems, the demand for renewable energy or new energy technology is becoming more and more urgent.\textsuperscript{1-4} Since the rapidly progressive development of human society urgently requires efficient, clean and sustainable energy, many researchers have tried to explore new techniques for energy conversion and storage. Nowadays, it is well known that capacitors and batteries are two of the most common energy storage devices, although the gap between traditional capacitors and rechargeable batteries relatively low energy density and the low power density of the most common energy storage devices, which have the potential to compensate for the relatively low energy density of capacitors and the low power density of batteries, frequently limit their practical applications.\textsuperscript{5-8} Supercapacitors are potential candidates for energy storage devices, which have the potential to compensate for the gap between traditional capacitors and rechargeable batteries by virtue of their unique advantages such as high power density and energy density, long cycle life, safety and environmental friendliness.\textsuperscript{9-11} As such, there is no doubt that the supercapacitor will become one of the most promising energy storage devices in the near future, and it is worth mentioning that the key to improving the electrochemical performance of the supercapacitor is to develop a high-powered electrode material.

In recent years, MoS\textsubscript{2} nanomaterials, especially MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets, have been considered among the most promising electrode materials for supercapacitors due to their great surface hydrophilicity and high electrical conductivity.\textsuperscript{12-14} However, MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets, with single- or few-layers, which have abundant active sites located at the exposed edges, are quite unstable and agglomerate easily. They are not conducive to electron transfer among different layers that are connected by van der Waals forces so that in pure multilayer MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets, the free electrons have to hop through each layer to reach to the active edge sites, which results in a much higher electron resistance, thus greatly weakening the electrochemical performance.\textsuperscript{14-17} Therefore, it is a necessary but challenging task to achieve stable prepared MoS\textsubscript{2} nanomaterials via a suitable synthetic method. As discussed in previous works,\textsuperscript{18-24} to obtain edge-exposed ultra-thin MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets, the growth of the MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets is limited to the surface of TiO\textsubscript{2} nanorods (TNr). As a substrate for the nucleation and growth of the MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets, TNr with abundant anatase nanoparticles generated on the surface effectively inhibited the agglomeration of the MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets. Since the one-dimensional (1D) nanorod structure is highly ordered, it is beneficial for the transmission of electrons and ions in the electrochemical system.

A piezoelectric catalyst of the MoS\textsubscript{2}@TNr composite (MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets composited with TiO\textsubscript{2} nanorods) was synthesized by a two-step hydrothermal method, and can be recycled and reused as an advanced anode material for supercapacitors. In the dark, the MoS\textsubscript{2}@TNr composite exhibited ultra-fast piezoelectric catalytic performance and good cycle stability on dye degradation; within 10 min, nearly all rhodamine B (50 mL, 20 ppm) was removed from the solution with the assistance of magnetic stirring. After the 5 cycle degradation reaction, the catalyst was reclaimed and applied to electrochemical testing, which showed better supercapacitor capacitance properties than the fresh catalyst due to the introduction of oxygen vacancies generated from the piezoelectric degradation process. The reclaimed catalyst demonstrated an excellent specific capacitance of 249 F g\textsuperscript{-1} at 1 A g\textsuperscript{-1}, and 92% capacitance retention after 10 000 cycles. Furthermore, as the current density increased to 30 A g\textsuperscript{-1}, the capacitance could maintain 58% of the initial value. Thus, it can be concluded that the abandoned catalysts may serve as a potential electrode material for energy storage; simultaneously, the reutilization could eliminate secondary pollution and decrease the energy consumption in efficiency.
of electrons because the electrons can selectively transfer along the one-dimensional nanorods due to the quantum confinement in the transverse direction, and simultaneously shorten the transport distance of the electrolyte ions.\textsuperscript{35} The TiO\textsubscript{2} nanostructure also exhibited good stability in electrochemical reactions other than the substrate function, which greatly improved the stability of the MoS\textsubscript{2}/TiO\textsubscript{2} composites and effectively promoted the sustainable utilization of electrode materials.\textsuperscript{26–28}

Considering that the MoS\textsubscript{2}@TNr composites exhibit excellent piezoelectric catalytic degradation performance, and fully extend the utilization of materials in practical application, the electrochemical properties of the recycled MoS\textsubscript{2}@TNr catalyst for supercapacitor testing were further explored in this study. On the one hand, the reuse of the abandoned catalysts collected after the piezoelectric catalytic degradation process for the typical energy storage devices could largely eliminate secondary pollution of the natural environment. On the other hand, the reclaimed catalyst serving as a potential electrode material for energy storage may beneficially promote the development of renewable energy technology, as well as decrease the energy consumption to some extent. Hence, the MoS\textsubscript{2}@TNr composite is expected to act as an excellent multifunctional material to solve both the environmental pollution and energy shortage problems.

2. Experimental details

2.1 Preparation of the catalysts

First, 0.8 g commercial P25 (anatase 80%; rutile 20%; \(\geq 98.0\%\), Aladdin) was put into 50 mL NaOH (\(\geq 98.0\%\), Aladdin) solution (10 M); after ultrasonically dispersing for 10 min, the mixture was hydrothermally reacted at 220 °C for 24 h. After the hydrothermal reaction, the resultant precipitate was cooled to room temperature and washed several times with deionized water until it became neutral. Subsequently, the white precipitate was transferred to HCl (\(\geq 37.0\%\), Aladdin) solution (1 M) and stirred continually for 2 h. After washing, the precipitate was dried at 70 °C for 12 h, and then after grinding, the samples were collected and designated as TNr.

The TNr prepared above was transferred into 50 mL H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} (98.0%, Aladdin) solution (0.2 M) and reacted at 100 °C for 12 h. The precipitate was then repeatedly washed with deionized water until it was neutral, and the product was denoted as TNr\textsubscript{aid}.

Here, 0.4 g Na\textsubscript{2}MoO\textsubscript{4}·2H\textsubscript{2}O (99.0%, Macklin) and 0.8 g CH\textsubscript{3}CSNH\textsubscript{2} (\(\geq 99.0\%\), Aladdin) were put into 50 mL deionized water and stirred until completely dissolved. Subsequently, 0.8 g TNr\textsubscript{aid} was weighed and added to the solution with continuous stirring until well mixed. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 6, then the mixed solution was hydrothermally reacted at 220 °C for 24 h, the obtained black precipitate was repeatedly washed with deionized water, followed by drying at 70 °C. Finally, the reaction product was labeled as MoS\textsubscript{2}@TNr. For reference, the bare TNr without adding any Mo and S sources was prepared using the same procedure mentioned above.

2.2 Piezoelectric catalytic degradation

Firstly, rhodamine B (RhB, \(\geq 97.0\%\), Aladdin) dye solution of 20 ppm was prepared. Then, 0.05 g catalyst was added to 50 mL of dye solution prepared above, and then the solution was rapidly stirred in the dark. The stirring rate of the magnetic stirrer was controlled at 600 rpm. After 10 min, the extracted mixed solution was separated by centrifugation and 0.8 mL supernatant was diluted with deionized water to 3.2 mL, then the absorbance of the supernatant dye was measured by the ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer. The piezoelectric catalytic degradation procedures of other dye solutions like methylene blue (MB, \(\geq 98.0\%\), Macklin) and crystal violet (CV, \(\geq 96.0\%\), Aladdin) were exactly the same.

2.2.1 Cyclic degradation tests. Once the catalytic degradation was finished, the mixture was centrifuged and separated, then the reclaimed catalyst was washed several times and dried, and was fully ground for the next cycle catalytic degradation experiments. The catalysts after 5 piezoelectric catalytic degradation tests on RhB solution were collected and reused as the electrode active materials for the supercapacitor. Aiming to prove the feasibility mentioned above, the electrochemical testing of the fresh catalysts was also carried out.

2.3 Supercapacitors

2.3.1 Preparation of active substance. After 5 cyclic degradation tests, the catalyst was reclaimed and washed several times with deionized water and absolute ethanol, then the reclaimed catalyst was dried at 60 °C for 12 h; finally, the catalyst was ground and collected for reuse. As a reference, the electrochemical experiments of the fresh catalyst were also carried out to determine the supercapacitor performance.

2.3.2 Preparation of the working electrode. The working electrode was made of nickel foam as the support. The nickel foam was washed, dried and weighed to obtain the mass \(m_1\). The reclaimed MoS\textsubscript{2}@TNr composite catalyst or the fresh MoS\textsubscript{2}@TNr composite material (respectively used as the active material), polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF, 99.0%, Macklin) and acetylene black (\(\geq 99.0\%\), Macklin) were weighed according to the mass ratio of 8 : 1 : 1. After grinding in the N-methyl pyrrolidone (NMP, \(\geq 99.5\%\), Macklin) solvent for at least half an hour, the mixture was uniformly spread onto a 1.0 cm × 1.5 cm clean nickel foam sheet, in which a 1 cm × 1 cm area was partially coated with the black mud above. The nickel foam sheet was dried in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 12 h and after drying, it was pressed for 30 s onto a thin sheet at a pressure of 10 MPa. The mass \(m_2\) was obtained and the deviation between \(m_2\) and \(m_1\) (\(m = m_2 – m_1\)) was the mass of the applied black mud, and the mass of the active material coated on the electrode can be determined as follows: \(m = (m_2 – m_1) \times 0.8 \times 100\%\).

2.3.3 Three-electrode test. All the electrochemical tests were performed in a three-electrode system, in which the platinum plate was used as the counter electrode and the saturated calomel electrode was regarded as the reference electrode. Linear cyclic voltammetry (CV), galvanostatic charge/discharge (GCD) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were
used to evaluate the electrochemical performance of the prepared working electrode. The Na$_2$SO$_4$ (99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich) solution (1 M) was used as the electrolyte. The scan speed was determined to be 5–100 mV s$^{-1}$, and the optimum voltage test range was determined by testing the CV curves of different voltage windows. For the EIS test, the amplitude was set to 5 mV and the frequency sweep interval was $10^5$ to $10^{-2}$ Hz.

The specific capacitance was calculated according to the discharge time by the following formula:

$$C = \frac{I \Delta t}{\Delta V m}$$

$C$, $I$, $\Delta t$, $\Delta V$, $m$ represent the specific capacitance, current density, discharge time, potential voltage change during charge–discharge measurements, and mass of the active materials, respectively.

### 2.4 Characterization

The morphology was probed by an S-4800 field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM, 5.0 kV, Hitachi, Japan). The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) with energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) were conducted using a JEM-2100F electron microscope (JEOL, 2000 kV, Japan). The crystal structure was analyzed by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Rigaku D/MAX 2500PC, Cu Kz, 40 kV, 250 mA, wavelength 1.5406 Å). The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted using a Kratos XSAM800 spectrometer, and the composition and valence state of the elements in the composites material were measured by Mg Kz irradiation. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) specific surface area analysis was conducted (77 K, JW–BK) and Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) adsorption pore size distribution curves were determined by N$_2$ adsorption analysis (Micromeritics ASAP 2020M). The electrochemical performance tests involved in this experiment were all conducted on the electrochemical workstation (CHI660, Shanghai Chen Hua Instrument Co. Ltd.), and all experiments used the three-electrode system. The positron annihilation measurements were carried out via fast–fast coincidence positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (PALS) with a time resolution of 0.230 ns for the full width at half maximum (FWHM), and 1 million counts were collected for each spectrum. The total organic carbon (TOC) was measured using a Shimadzu TOC-CPH analyzer (vario TOC, DKSH China) to determine the mineralization extent. The chemical oxygen demand (COD) was measured by a COD instrument (JJG 66, Jingjing, China). The absorbance of the dye solution was measured by an ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer (Hitachi U 3900, Hitachi, Japan).

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Morphology and phase structures

Fig. 1 reveals the structure and morphological transformation process from TNr to TNr$_{\text{sid}}$ and then to the MoS$_2$@TNr composite by SEM and TEM images. During a hydrothermal reaction at a high temperature of 220 °C, the commercial three-dimensional (3D) P25 nanoparticles (NPs) were completely transformed into a 1D nanorod-like structure with a smooth surface that was evenly distributed for the entire view; the length was dozens to hundreds of micrometers, while the diameter was about several hundred nanometers. The detailed synthesis process is shown in Fig. S1† and the crystal phase exhibited by the XRD pattern is shown in Fig. S2†. The characteristic diffraction peaks corresponding to the TiO$_2$ crystalline phases completely disappeared, whereas the characteristic peaks assigned to the monoclinic phases of H$_2$Ti$_3$O$_7$ at $2\theta$: 10.9°, 25.1°, and 48.8° appeared, which were respectively related to the crystal planes of T (200), T (110) and T (020) (JCPDS file 00-36-0654). A new peak, differing from the T (110) plane of H$_2$Ti$_3$O$_7$, appeared around $2\theta = 25.3°$ in the TNr$_{\text{sid}}$; since it matched perfectly with the A (101) lattice plane of TiO$_2$, it was inferred that part of H$_2$Ti$_3$O$_7$ dehydrated into the anatase phase TiO$_2$ through the surface modification procedure. As shown in Fig. 1(b) and (e), abundant bright diamond shaped particles appeared and were uniformly attached to the nanorod surface, although there was no significant change in the 1D structure. Combined with the XRD results above, the particulate matter on the TNr$_{\text{sid}}$ surface might belong to the anatase phase TiO$_2$, which was speculated to be formed by the dehydration of H$_2$Ti$_3$O$_7$ (3TiO$_2$·H$_2$O) during the surface modification process.23–25 The introduction of anatase overcame the lattice mismatch barriers between TiO$_2$ and MoS$_2$ and provided high binding energy sites for the nucleation and growth of MoS$_2$ nanosheets.46–48 Fig. 1(c) and (f) respectively show the SEM and TEM images of the MoS$_2$@TNr composites; the single- and few-layered MoS$_2$ nanosheets were firmly attached to the TNr surface due to high lattice matching and the effects of strong polar groups generated on the MoS$_2$ surface.41 The morphological differences highlight the important role of TNr as a support material in the formation process of the two-dimensional (2D) MoS$_2$ nanosheets, and the -Mo will probably bond with the broken Ti–O bond to form a new Mo–O–Ti bond; thus, the MoS$_2$ nanosheets in the MoS$_2$@TNr composites may share a stable structure during the electrochemical reaction.41,42

The HRTEM micrographs are shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b); the single- and few-layered MoS$_2$ nanosheets were tightly coated onto the TNr surface, with the active edges being fully exposed. As shown in Fig. 2(b), the thin MoS$_2$ nanosheets with the lattice spacing of 0.62 nm and 0.64 nm corresponding to the (002) plane of MoS$_2$ were dispersed along the TiO$_2$ nanorods.44 Since it was supposed to be the main crystal phase of the TNr, the interplanar spacing of $d = 0.35$ nm in accordance with the anatase phase A (101) of TiO$_2$ was observed to cover the whole view below the MoS$_2$ nanosheets, and no thick fringes belonging to H$_2$Ti$_3$O$_7$ were observed.45 Corresponding to the XPS results below, the EDS results (shown in the inset) revealed the coexistence of the Mo, S, Ti and O. The XRD patterns of the MoS$_2$@TNr composites are shown in Fig. 2(c); characteristic diffraction peaks at 13.8° and 34.5° related to the (002) and (100) crystal planes of MoS$_2$ were observed in the MoS$_2$@TNr composites, which confirmed the successful synthesis of the composite material. The surface chemical nature and bonding configuration were systematically characterized by XPS analysis, the C 1s peak at 284.6 eV assigned to impurities from the air was
used for calibration; as shown in Fig. 2(d), the fully scanned spectra further proved the co-existence of Mo and S other than the Ti, O and C.

3.2 Piezoelectric catalytic degradation

The piezoelectric catalytic performance of the MoS$_2$@TNr composite catalyst here was evaluated by the degradation of dye solutions like RhB, MB and CV, and the results are shown in Fig. 3 and S3.$^\dagger$ In the dark, the magnetic stirrer was used to provide external mechanical energy for the piezoelectric catalyst to become deformed and then induce a piezoelectric field, which may subsequently serve as an efficient driving force for the separation of free carriers.$^{39}$ Neither the bare TNr nor the pure MoS$_2$ nanosheets showed obvious piezoelectric catalytic ability for the RhB degradation; after 10 min, the degradation rate by the pure MoS$_2$ nanosheets was only 15.6%, while the bare TNr completely showed no piezoelectric degradation properties. Conversely, the prepared MoS$_2$@TNr composites exhibited excellent piezoelectric catalytic performance on the RhB dye degradation, and it is worth mentioning that the piezoelectric catalytic degradation behavior showed an ultra-fast charge transfer and reaction rate that were quite different from the photocatalytic degradation. In the first 30 s, nearly 80% of RhB dye in the system was degraded, and when the reaction proceeded to 100 s, the degradation rate reached close to 90%. Later, as the concentration of dye molecules in the solution decreased with time, the catalytic degradation gradually slowed down, and the RhB dye in the solution was nearly completely degraded within 10 min. Therefore, it can be inferred that the MoS$_2$@TNr composites exhibited a synergistic effect in the piezoelectric catalytic activity due to the formation of the composite structure at the interface. The high e$^-$/h$^+$ carrier separation efficiency of the MoS$_2$@TNr composites permitted a much better catalytic degradation performance than either the bare TNr or the pure MoS$_2$ nanosheets that were respectively used as the catalyst.$^{47,48}$ The piezoelectric catalytic degradation experiments for the MB and CV solutions were also carried out, and the absorbance spectra of the supernatant and the corresponding degradation rates are shown in Fig. S3.$^\dagger$ The catalyst showed ultra-fast degradation rates for various dyes solutions, especially for the MB and CV solution. As long as the piezoelectric catalytic degradation reaction took place, the absorbance range at 200–250 nm appeared suddenly and increased, positively correlated with the reaction time, which was probably attributed to the intermediate products generated in the degradation process. The results fully confirmed the authenticity of the piezoelectric catalytic reaction and eliminated the possibility of adsorption behavior.

The recycling stability of the composite catalyst was further investigated via repeatedly degrading RhB solutions, and the results are shown in Fig. 3(b). Although the piezoelectric catalytic degradation rate decreased slightly with the cycle time, the piezoelectric catalytic behavior remained highly active after 5 cycles, and in the 6th cycle, the degradation rate was over 90%, and the decrease in the reaction rate here may have been caused by the incomplete degradation due to the surface adhesion.$^{49}$ In order to verify the conclusions above and for further exploration, the chemical oxygen demand (COD) and total organic carbon (TOC) measurements were investigated to determine the mineralization extent of the dye molecules during the piezoelectric catalytic degradation reaction; the results are respectively shown in Fig. 3(c) and (d). Both the COD and TOC removal rates were continuously increased as the piezoelectric catalytic
reaction progressed, and the tendency was the same as the degradation rate above. It is worth noting that after 10 min of piezoelectric catalytic degradation reaction, there were almost no RhB molecules in the solution (as the absorbance at 650 nm was close to zero), but neither the removal rate of COD (92.9%) nor TOC (93.5%) reached 100%. Therefore, it was speculated that most of the dye molecules were degraded to CO2 and H2O, whereas a small amount of dye molecules were converted into intermediates and remained in the solution.50,51

3.3 Electrochemical tests
Experiments in this section investigated the effects of piezoelectric catalytic degradation on the electrochemical energy storage capability, which effectively improved the multi-effect utilization of materials. Here, the MoS2@TNr composite catalyst was reclaimed after the 5th cycle degradation reaction on RhB solution and was reused as the active material for the supercapacitor electrode.52 A three-electrode testing system was adopted in the simulation electrochemical experiment, and the Na2SO4 solution was selected as the electrolyte. In order to obtain the best voltage window, the maximum CV area was obtained by adjusting the starting and ending voltage values under the premise of ensuring that the CV shapes remained like rectangles.53 As shown in Fig. S4 † by calculation and comparison, the CV area enclosed by the MoS2@TNr electrode at the potential voltage window of −1.2 V to −0.55 V was the largest, and the corresponding maximum capacitance was calculated to be 225 F g⁻¹ at a current density of 1 A g⁻¹.

Fig. 4(a) and (b) respectively show the CV and GCD curves of the fresh and the reclaimed MoS2@TNr composites. The CV area enclosed by the reclaimed catalyst was larger than the fresh catalyst, and the GCD curves without an obvious iR drop showed that both the charge and discharge times of the reclaimed catalyst extended a bit longer, which reflected better conductivity and capacitance properties. The specific capacitance of the reclaimed MoS2@TNr composite material was calculated to be 249 F g⁻¹, about one-tenth higher than the fresh catalyst of 225 F g⁻¹; thus, it can be inferred that the reclaimed materials activated by the piezoelectric catalytic reaction may exhibit better electrochemical performance for energy storage. Incidentally, for the purpose of eliminating the interference caused by the nickel foam electrode in the reaction, the control
The experiment for the blank electrode was carried out at a scan rate of 100 mV s\(^{-1}\); the results are shown in Fig. S5.† The CV curve of the blank nickel electrode approximated to a straight line with respect to the composite electrode covered with MoS\(_2@\)TNr materials, which demonstrated the rationality of nickel foam as the electrode support in this potential voltage window. Fig. 4(c) and (d) show the electrochemical performance of the recycled composite catalyst, where the potential voltage window was set between −1.2 V and −0.55 V. Although the CV curve reflected a small redox current as the scan rate increased, there was no significant change in the CV shape throughout, which suggested that the regenerated material had good electrochemical rate capability. The CV curve showed perfect symmetry with the changes in forward and reverse scanning, indicating that the electrode material had excellent reversibility and higher discharge efficiency. Since the measured GCD curves were approximately symmetrical triangles, and the current values varied linearly with the charge/discharge time, it was concluded that the charges in the MoS\(_2@\)TNr composites were mainly attributed to the electric double layer accumulation, which further proved that the recycled materials had ideal capacitance performance.\(^{37,38}\)

As shown in Fig. 5(a), the specific capacitance values of the reclaimed MoS\(_2@\)TNr materials at 1 A g\(^{-1}\), 2 A g\(^{-1}\), 4 A g\(^{-1}\), 5 A g\(^{-1}\), 10 A g\(^{-1}\), 15 A g\(^{-1}\), and 20 A g\(^{-1}\) were, respectively, 249 F g\(^{-1}\), 241 F g\(^{-1}\), 237 F g\(^{-1}\), 228 F g\(^{-1}\), 222 F g\(^{-1}\), 208 F g\(^{-1}\), and 201 F g\(^{-1}\). The specific capacitance decreased as the current density increased, although the decline rate gradually slowed down on further improving the current density. This phenomenon can be explained as follows. At a high current density, the time taken for charging or discharging was greatly reduced; therefore, the ions in the electrolyte could not enter the electrode material in such a short time and as a result, the charge-discharge behavior could only occur on the surface while the internal electrode material had no chance to participate in the reaction.\(^{39-42}\) It can be speculated that the reclaimed MoS\(_2@\)TNr composite exhibited good electrochemical stability; as shown in Fig. 5(b), after 10 000 cycles of charge–discharge tests, the specific capacitance was maintained at 92%.

4. Mechanism and discussion

4.1 Structure and composition analysis

The internal resistance of the reclaimed MoS\(_2@\)TNr material was tested by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements in a frequency range of 10\(^6\) to 10\(^{-2}\), and the results are shown as the Nyquist plot in Fig. 6(a). The radius of the arc on the EIS spectra reflected the reaction rate occurring on the electrode surface, and the EIS Nyquist plot with smaller arc radius sufficiently proved a faster interfacial charge transfer and more effective e\(^−\)/h\(^+\) pair separation rate. After fitting, the equivalent series resistance (R\(_s\)) and charge transfer internal resistance (R\(_ct\)) of the fresh MoS\(_2@\)TNr materials were calculated to be 0.35 Ω and 0.45 Ω, respectively, whereas the R\(_s\) and
$R_{ce}$ of the recycled catalysts were respectively 0.34 $\Omega$ and 0.42 $\Omega$, slightly lower as compared to the fresh materials. The fitting line of the reclaimed materials in the low frequency part was closer to a vertical straight line, which proved that the reclaimed MoS$_2$@TNr composite material had a smaller diffusion resistance and better capacitance performance, in accordance with the capacitance characteristics above. To investigate the crystal structure of the MoS$_2$@TNr composites after the piezoelectric catalytic degradation process, the XRD patterns were obtained, and the results are shown in Fig. 6(b). By comparing the peak intensity and the peak position, it was observed that the crystal structure of the MoS$_2$@TNr composite had no obvious change during the progress of the piezoelectric catalytic reaction. XPS analysis (shown in Fig. 6) was also employed to explore the surface chemical composition and element valence of the composite catalyst, which was reclaimed after 5-cycle piezoelectric catalytic degradation tests; the elemental content was calculated and compared as shown in Table 1. It is worth noting that the content of oxygen element in the reclaimed catalyst increased by about 5% after the piezoelectric catalytic degradation. Since the lattice oxygen content did not increase during the reaction, it was inferred that the increase in the oxygen element was completely due to the adsorbed oxygen. Considering that the oxygen could only be adsorbed onto the

![Fig. 4](image-url) The comparison of CV (a) and GCD curves (b) of the fresh and reclaimed MoS$_2$@TNr composites; the CV curves of the reclaimed MoS$_2$@TNr composites under different scan speeds (c); and the GCD curves vary at different current densities (d).

![Fig. 5](image-url) Specific capacitance changing with current densities (a) and the cycle performance under 1 A g$^{-1}$ (b).
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oxygen vacancies in the vacuum environment, the adsorbed oxygen content was mainly determined by the amount of oxygen vacancies in the catalyst material. During the piezoelectric catalytic degradation process, the active hydrogen rapidly combines with an O atom on the catalyst surface to form an OH- species that would later become a H2O molecule, leading to the generation of an oxygen vacancy. Compared to the peak fitting results of the O 1s energy spectrum, the amount of lattice oxygen in the reclaimed catalyst obviously decreased, while the peak area at the binding energy of 532.5 eV corresponding to the adsorbed oxygen showed a great increase. This further confirmed the formation of oxygen vacancies due to the ion exchange reaction at the interface during the piezoelectric catalytic reactions. Since the diffusion velocity of O2− from inside to outside was faster than that of S2− from outside to inside, in the process of ion transfer and diffusion, the ion concentration could not diffuse and reach equilibrium completely, which resulted in oxygen vacancy and porous structure.

Positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (PALS) was considered to be an effective and sensitive technique to qualitatively study and analyze the oxygen vacancies in the catalyst samples at low concentrations. Once the positrons were injected into the samples, they preferentially diffused to the low electron density regions such as microvoids, mono-vacancies and vacancy clusters, and were then thermalized and annihilated by electrons, simultaneously emitting γ rays. Usually, in a disordered system, the small mono vacancies or oxygen vacancies can lower the electron density and then decrease the annihilation rate; thus, the lifetimes of positrons that contain the information about defects in the samples can be obtained by the positron annihilation tests. Hence, the PALS here was mainly used to characterize the oxygen vacancies in the reclaimed composite catalysts and then to verify the correlation between the oxygen vacancies and electrochemical properties of the catalysts.

Table 1: The element content (%), positron lifetime and intensity of the fresh MoS2@TNr and reclaimed MoS2@TNr after 5 cycle piezoelectric degradation

| Samples   | Mo 3d (%) | S 2p (%) | O 1s (%) | Ti 2p (%) | τ1 (ps) | τ2 (ps) | I1 (%) | I2 (%) | I1/I2 |
|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------|
| Fresh     | 6.59      | 17.61    | 62.38    | 13.42     | 197     | 371     | 28.91  | 71.09  | 2.45  |
| Reclaimed  | 5.82      | 15.07    | 67.15    | 11.97     | 292     | 470     | 11.87  | 88.13  | 7.42  |
As shown in Table 1, two lifetime components, $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$, along with the relative intensities, $I_1$ and $I_2$, both for the fresh and the reclaimed MoS$_2$@TNr were provided. As reported, the shorter lifetime component ($\tau_1$) was attributed to the free annihilation of positrons in the defect-free crystal, while the longer lifetime component ($\tau_2$) generally arose from larger sized defects of oxygen vacancy clusters due to the lower average electron density.\textsuperscript{56} Both of the lifetimes, $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$, of the reclaimed catalyst were significantly extended as compared with the fresh catalyst, indicating that there were more defects in the composite material after the piezoelectric catalytic reaction. The intensity $I_1$ of the reclaimed samples decreased a lot, while $I_2$ showed a great increase, and the ratio of $I_1$ to $I_2$ ($I_1/I_2$) for the reclaimed catalyst was 7.42, which was much higher as compared to the fresh catalyst of 2.45. This is because the increased defects during the piezoelectric catalytic degradation process were mainly attributed to the introduction of oxygen vacancies.\textsuperscript{56}

The N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherm equilibrium and Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) desorption pore distribution were investigated to reveal the specific surface area and porous structure, as shown in Fig. 7(a and b). The MoS$_2$@TNr composite was full of pores; in particular, the reclaimed MoS$_2$@TNr composite exhibited a broader pore size distribution ranging from 2 nm to 80 nm, though the specific surface area showed a slight decrease due to the intermediate products adsorbed on its surface. As demonstrated in Fig. S6,\textsuperscript{6} the pore structure played an important role in electrochemical properties such as ion exchange, electron transfer and charge diffusion. Moreover, the porous structure effectively increased the electrochemical active sites and simultaneously shortened the ion diffusion length,\textsuperscript{25} which was supposed to be the key point in achieving better electrochemical performance. Simultaneously, more oxygen vacancies and electrochemical active sites generated through the piezoelectric catalytic reaction may facilitate the separation of e$^-$/h$^+$ pairs by serving as trap sites for free electrons, and then considerably promoting the reversible transfer of the internal charges, thereby greatly increasing the capacitance properties.\textsuperscript{39,60,69} The synergistic effect of the TiO$_2$ and MoS$_2$ also favored the rapid transfer of free electrons inside the composites, so the MoS$_2$@TNr composites could exhibit excellent piezoelectric catalytic stability, as well as outstanding electrochemical energy storage capability.\textsuperscript{59}

### 4.2 Synergistic effect tests

The synergistic effect of the two factors (MoS$_2$ and TiO$_2$) was evaluated by comparing the electrochemical properties of the MoS$_2$@TNr composite and the pure MoS$_2$ nanoflowers instead of the MoS$_2$ nanosheets, both of which were full of exposed active edges and known for excellent electrochemical performance. Fig. 8(a) shows the CV curves at the scan rate of 100 mV s$^{-1}$ with a potential voltage window of $-1.2$ V to $-0.55$ V; the specific capacitance of the MoS$_2$@TNr composite was higher as compared to the MoS$_2$ nanoflowers since the CV area surrounded by MoS$_2$@TNr was obviously larger. Fig. 8(b) shows the GCD curves at 1 A g$^{-1}$, the specific capacitance of the pure MoS$_2$ nanoflowers was calculated to be 166 F g$^{-1}$, which was only two thirds that of the MoS$_2$@TNr composite of 249 F g$^{-1}$. As shown in the inset, the MoS$_2$ nanoflowers were formed by the inward folding of several layers nanosheets, of which the edges were exposed to multi-layered sheets with the diameter of about 200 nm. Since the edge portions of the less-layered MoS$_2$ nanosheets possessed more exposed S$^{2-}$ bonds,\textsuperscript{73} the superposition of multiple layers might cause an adverse effect so that the internal piezoelectric fields would cancel each other, which would, in turn, affect the piezoelectric performance.\textsuperscript{74} Therefore, the ultra-thin MoS$_2$ nanosheets existing in single or few layers were composited with the over-long TiO$_2$ nanorods as the MoS$_2$@TNr composite,\textsuperscript{21} in this case, the nanosheet stacking was badly restrained, and the carrier separation efficiency was greatly enhanced due to the synergistic effect. Fig. 8(c) shows that the specific capacitance varied with different current densities, since a high current density may seriously affect the utilization of active materials; thus, as the current density increased, the specific capacitance showed a gradual decrease. When the current density increased to 20 A g$^{-1}$, the specific capacitance of the MoS$_2$ nanoflowers sharply fell to 26%, whereas the MoS$_2$@TNr composite maintained more than 58% of the original value. Fig. 8(d) shows the stability testing of the MoS$_2$@TNr composite and MoS$_2$ nanoflowers, which were both excellent piezoelectric catalytic stability, as well as outstanding electrochemical energy storage capability.
cycled 10,000 times at 1 A g\(^{-1}\). The specific capacitance of the MoS\(_2\)@TNr composites was relatively stable under the electrochemical charge–discharge reaction, while the specific capacitance of the MoS\(_2\) nanoﬂowers dropped to 55% as a result. Considering that the MoS\(_2\) nanoﬂowers with a multi-layer overlapping structure agglomerated extremely easily, the charge–discharge behavior can only take place on the electrode material surface at higher current density, which may badly affect the electrochemical storage properties. Since the 1D TNr enabled the MoS\(_2\)@TNr composite to be evenly laid on the surface of the electrode plate, the agglomeration of the active materials was greatly reduced and the utilization rate at high current density was effectively improved.

To detect the charge transfer characteristics of the MoS\(_2\)@TNr composites and the pure MoS\(_2\) nanoﬂowers, EIS was carried out as shown in Fig. 9. Through testing, the \(R_s\) and \(R_{ct}\) of MoS\(_2\) nanoﬂowers were determined to be 0.9 \(\Omega\) and 1.9 \(\Omega\), respectively, which were much higher as compared to the MoS\(_2\)@TNr composites of 0.34 \(\Omega\) and 0.42 \(\Omega\); this proved that the composite material had a higher charge transfer efficiency and higher capacitance.\(^7\) On the one hand, the overlong TiO\(_2\) nanorods enabled the MoS\(_2\) nanosheets to expose as many active edges as possible, thus effectively suppressing the stacking and agglomeration of the MoS\(_2\) layers. On the other hand, the synergistic effect efficaciously reduced the electrical resistance and favored the transfer efficiency of the carriers inside the materials, which was then beneﬁcial for improving the electrochemical performance.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, a novel energy storage technology is proposed via the reuse of the ultra-fast piezoelectric catalyst of the MoS\(_2\)@TNr composite as a supercapacitor electrode material. The reclaimed catalyst showed exceptional electrochemical performance and great cycle stability due to the introduction of oxygen vacancies that were generated during the piezoelectric
catalytic degradation reaction, which has been proved by the results of XPS and PALS. Since the pore structure greatly shortened the ion diffusion channel length and provided more paths for ion transportation, which finally led to good rate capability of the supercapacitor, the electrochemical performance of the reclaimed MoS2@TNr composite was greatly improved. The recycled composite material delivered a specific capacitance of 249 F g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹, and a cyclic stability of 92% over 10 000 cycles of charge–discharge reaction, which make it a great candidate for dealing with the environmental governance issues and energy storage problems.
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