Clinical significance of variant hepatic artery in pancreatic resection: A comprehensive review
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Abstract
The anatomical structure of the pancreaticoduodenal region is complex and closely related to the surrounding vessels. A variant of the hepatic artery, which is not a rare finding during pancreatic surgery, is prone to intraoperative injury. Inadvertent injury to the hepatic artery may affect liver perfusion, resulting in necrosis, liver abscess, and even liver failure. The preoperative identification of hepatic artery variations, detailed planning of the surgical approach, careful intraoperative dissection, and proper management of the damaged artery are important for preventing hepatic hypoperfusion. Nevertheless, despite the potential risks, planned artery resection has become acceptable in carefully selected patients. Arterial reconstruction is sometimes essential to prevent postoperative ischemic complications and can be performed using various methods. The complexity of procedures such as pancreatectomy with en bloc celiac axis resection may be mitigated by the presence of an aberrant right hepatic artery or a common hepatic artery originating from the superior mesenteric artery. Here, we comprehensively reviewed the anatomical basis of hepatic artery variation, its incidence, and its effect on the surgical and oncological outcomes after pancreatic resection. In addition, we provide recommendations for the prevention and management of hepatic artery injury and liver hypoperfusion. Overall, the hepatic artery variant may not worsen surgical and oncological outcomes if it is accurately identified pre-operatively and appropriately managed intraoperatively.
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INTRODUCTION

The mortality rate of pancreatectomy has decreased in recent years; however, several complex pancreatic resections such as the pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD), total pancreatectomy (TP), and modified Appleby procedure have high complication rates [1,2]. The anatomy of the pancreaticoduodenal region is complex and closely related to the surrounding blood vessels. Therefore, this region is vulnerable to injury during surgery. Moreover, the presence of vascular anatomical variants makes PD more challenging. Aberrant hepatic artery (AHA) is not rare in patients undergoing PD, with an incidence ranging from 15.1% to 24.8% [3-6]. This variant increases the risk of iatrogenic vascular injury and the incidence of postoperative hepatobiliary ischemic events, inducing complications such as biloenteric anastomotic leakage and liver abscess [5,7,8]. Therefore, a thorough understanding of the anatomy of the hepatic artery is essential for safer pancreatic resection [9]. Herein, we provide a comprehensive review of the clinical significance of hepatic artery variants in pancreatic surgery.

LITERATURE SEARCH

A thorough search was performed in the PubMed database for relevant studies on hepatic artery variants and pancreatic resection. The search terms used were “aberrant” or “variant” or “anomaly” AND “hepatic artery” AND “pancreaticoduodenectomy” or “pancreatectomy”. All available studies published in English or Chinese between January 2000 and November 2021 (131 articles) were screened.

EMBRYOLOGY

It is important to understand the embryology of the hepatic artery to determine the origin of these variants. During embryogenesis, four visceral roots, known as ventral segmental arteries, originate from the dorsal aorta [10]. These ventral vessels are interconnected by longitudinal anastomoses [11,12]. The second and third roots and their connections, usually disappear during embryonic development. Thereafter, the celiac artery (CA) develops from the junction of the first three vessels and forms the left gastric artery (LGA), splenic artery, and common hepatic artery (CHA). The fourth trunk becomes the superior mesenteric artery (SMA). As the embryo grows, the channel between the roots of the CA and SMA fades away, resulting in anatomic division of the CA from the SMA [13]. This process can lead to several abnormalities in the CA and SMA. The associated changes are thought to depend on the degree of degeneration and longitudinal connectivity of primitive splanchnic vessels [10,11,13,14].

In addition, the hepatobiliary-pancreaticoduodenal region has the largest number of organs and the densest vascular branches. It is located at the junction of the foregut and midgut and is bound by the duodenal papilla. The vessels in this region frequently intersect between the CA and SMA, resulting in vascular variations. The three branches of the CA, from anterior to posterior are the LGA, splenic artery, and CHA. The CHA and its branches, which primarily maintain the blood supply between the foregut and midgut, are the most prone to variation.

ANATOMY

The normal anatomy of the hepatic artery refers to the CHA originating from the CA and crossing from...
the retroperitoneum toward the liver (Figure 1). This gives rise to a branch at the superior margin of the pancreatic head called the gastroduodenal artery (GDA). In this process, the CHA passes in front of the portal vein (PV), which is also known as the preportal route. Subsequently, it gives rise to the right gastric artery (RGA) and becomes the proper hepatic artery (PHA). Thereafter, it bifurcates into the left and right hepatic arteries (LHA/RHA). However, this so-called normal anatomy of the hepatic vessels is observed in only 55%-75.5% of individuals[15].

A series of terms are used to describe hepatic artery anomalies: “Aberrant”, “anomalous”, “accessory”, and “replaced”[16], and the definitions of these terms vary between studies. An aberrant or anomalous hepatic artery originates outside the typical route. It includes “accessory” and “replaced” hepatic arteries[17]. The term “replaced” RHA (rRHA) or LHA (rLHA) is used when the normal artery is absent, and a replaced artery arises from another artery and supplies blood to the corresponding hepatic lobe. The term “accessory” RHA (aRHA) or LHA (aLHA) is used when an additional artery originating from another source and a standard artery is present[16-18].

**TYPES AND INCIDENCE**

In terms of the anatomical classification of hepatic arteries, the Michels and Hiatt systems are well-established (Figure 2)[19,20]. The Michels system[20] is more extensive, classifying hepatic arteries into 10 types based on their origins. Hiatt et al[19] simplified the Michels system into six types. In addition, Garg et al[21] advocated a new classification that highlighted the distal branches of the hepatic arteries. However, despite these classifications of the hepatic arteries, several infrequent and unclassified hepatic artery variations have been detected in clinical practice. For example, an aberrant RHA may arise directly from the CA (Figure 3), which is an important anomaly to take note of during hepatopancreato-biliary surgery because of the high risk of inadvertent injury[22]. More rarely, the origins of the CHA, splenic artery, and SMA mix seamlessly to form a hepato-spleno-mesenteric trunk (Figure 4), with a prevalence ranging from 0.67% to 0.90%[23,24]. The presence of a hepato-spleno-mesenteric trunk implies that only one trunk is responsible for the majority of the blood supply to abdominal organs, complicating operations around it[12].

AHAs can be categorized into three types based on their origin: Type I, an AHA originating from the SMA; type II, an AHA originating from the LGA; and type III, an AHA originating from other arteries such as the GDA, abdominal aorta, and right renal artery. Numerous studies[3,5,7,25] have reported that the most frequently encountered AHA type during PD is type I, with an aberrant RHA from the SMA accounting for most of the cases. This anomalous hepatic artery is of great concern because it can be close to or cross the pancreatic head, and lie posterior to the common bile duct[26]. Most frequently, the aberrant RHA runs from behind the pancreatic head to the posterior and lateral sides of the main PV, before reaching the liver. However, despite the extremely low frequency of intrapancreatic routes, PD becomes complicated when an aberrant RHA or CHA is found traversing the pancreatic parenchyma[27]. Therefore, variants involving the RHA or CHA should be assessed carefully when performing PD[3,5,7,9,17,25,26,28,29], although the incidence may not be high (Table 1)[30-34]. Unfortunately, the actual incidence of AHA may be underestimated because of the limited accuracy of the current preoperative diagnostic methods. Although a preoperative diagnosis of AHA may be negative, its presence should be suspected intraoperatively.
Table 1 Incidences of aberrant right and common hepatic artery during pancreaticoduodenectomy in the English literature (>50 cases)

| Ref.        | Year | Total, n | Male, n | PDAC, n | a/RHA, n (%) | αRHA, n (%) | rRHA, n (%) | rCHA, n (%) | Detecting methods |
|-------------|------|----------|---------|---------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Wang et al[7] | 2021 | 576      | 317     | 149     | 72 (12.5)   | 27 (4.7)   | 28 (4.9)    | 20 (3.5)    | CTA               |
| Mansour et al[5] | 2021 | 202      | NA      | NA      | 37 (18.3)   | 3 (1.5)    | 29 (14.4)   | 0           | Surgical finding  |
| Giani et al[25] | 2021 | 270      | NA      | NA      | 66 (24.4)   | 3 (1.1)    | 39 (14.4)   | 10 (3.7)    | CT                |
| Zhang et al[3]  | 2020 | 218      | 122     | 61      | 36 (16.5)   | 13 (6.0)   | 11 (5.1)    | 7 (3.2)     | CTA               |
| Crocetti et al[34] | 2019 | 297      | 178     | 297     | 44 (14.8)   | NA         | NA          | NA          | CTA, surgical finding |
| Alexakis et al[1] | 2019 | 232      | NA      | NA      | 32 (13.8)   | 19 (8.2)   | 13 (5.6)    | 1 (0.4)     | Surgical finding  |
| Balzan et al[9]  | 2019 | 200      | 93      | 35      | 26 (13.0)   | 2 (1.0)    | 17 (8.5)    | 7 (3.5)     | CT                |
| Kim et al[33]   | 2016 | 73       | 35      | 24      | 15 (20.5)   | 4 (5.5)    | 11 (15.1)   | NA          | CT, surgical finding |
| Nguyen et al[31] | 2015 | 142      | 76      | 57      | 16 (11.3)   | 0          | 14 (9.9)    | 9 (6.3)     | CT, surgical finding |
| Yang et al[32]  | 2015 | 458      | 242     | 291     | 54 (11.8)   | 15 (3.3)   | 29 (6.3)    | 10 (2.2)    | CT, DSA, surgical finding |
| Rammohan et al[17] | 2014 | 225      | 167     | 31      | 42 (18.7)   | 10 (4.4)   | 31 (13.8)   | 1 (0.4)     | CT, surgical finding |
| Kim et al[26]   | 2014 | 289      | 158     | 289     | 38 (13.1)   | 3 (1.0)    | 31 (10.7)   | 2 (0.7)     | Surgical finding  |
| Sulzpe et al[30] | 2013 | 213      | NA      | 29      | 13 (13.6)   | NA         | NA          | NA          | CT, surgical finding |
| Turrini et al[29] | 2010 | 471      | NA      | 471     | 47 (10.0)   | 2 (0.4)    | 44 (9.3)    | NA          | CT, surgical finding |
| Lee et al[28]   | 2009 | 103      | 73      | 0       | 15 (14.6)   | 0          | 12 (11.7)   | 0           | MRA, surgical finding |
| **Total**       |      | 3969     | 1461    | 1670    | 540 (14.4)  | 101 (2.9)  | 309 (8.9)   | 67 (2.3)    |                   |

1These columns contain only variants of the right hepatic artery originating from the superior mesenteric artery.

a/RHA: Accessory/replaced right hepatic artery; αRHA: Accessory right hepatic artery; CT: Computed tomography; CTA: Computed tomography angiography; CHA: Common hepatic artery; DSA: Digital subtraction angiography; NA: Not available; MRA: Magnetic resonance angiography; PDAC: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; rCHA: Replaced common hepatic artery; RHA: Right hepatic artery; rRHA: Replaced right hepatic artery.

**COLLATERAL PATHWAYS**

In general, the blood flow in the hepatic artery is predominantly from the CA. However, when the hepatic artery is involved in the tumor, retrograde flow from the SMA may develop (Figure 5). Similarly, celiac artery stenosis (CAS), which is not rare in patients undergoing PD (2%-11%)[35-37], may alter the hemodynamic profile of the hepatic artery. Retrograde blood flow in the CHA is a strong predictor of CAS[38,39]. In patients with CAS, the arterial blood supply to the upper abdominal organs is generally maintained by a steadily growing system of collaterals. Compensatory circulation between the CA and SMA is important in patients with hepatic artery stenosis or CAS because PD may disrupt these collaterals. Four possible collaterals have been reported: The pancreaticoduodenal arcade (key collateral supplying the liver and stomach), the dorsal pancreatic artery, the arc of Bühler, and the connection of the RHA and SMA[35,40-44], as shown in Figure 6. It is noteworthy that the pancreaticoduodenal and right gastroepiploic arcades are vital to the gastric and hepatic blood supply following pancreatectomy with en bloc celiac axis resection[45,46].

However, few studies have focused on collateral circulation in patients diagnosed with both CAS and hepatic artery variants. In patients with an aberrant RHA arising from the SMA, intrahepatic collaterals may develop between RHA and LHA. In cases of PHA or CHA originating from the SMA, the peribiliary vascular plexus in the hepatoduodenal ligament, which connects the central hepatic artery and GDA, is subsequently enlarged. These patients have a compensatory circulation that interconnects the LHA and LGA, and the RGA and LGA[40,43]. During PD, most of these collaterals are sacrificed, inducing an ischemic threat to the midgut viscera, especially to the liver and common bile duct. Thus, the presence of CAS is an important finding during PD, regardless of external compression, which may require preoperative endovascular stenting or intraoperative revascularization[35,36].
Figure 2 The Michels and Hiatt classifications of hepatic artery. *Michels type VIII includes replaced right hepatic artery (rRHA) + accessory left hepatic artery (aLHA) or replaced left hepatic artery (rLHA) + accessory right hepatic artery (aRHA). The common hepatic artery (CHA) from aorta is classified as Hiatt type VI. LGA: Left gastric artery; SMA: Superior mesenteric artery; rRHA: Replaced right hepatic artery; aLHA: Accessory left hepatic artery; rLHA: Replaced left hepatic artery; CHA: Common hepatic artery; aRHA: Accessory right hepatic artery.

PREOPERATIVE DIAGNOSIS

The importance of preoperative identification of AHA cannot be overemphasized. Although digital subtraction angiography (DSA) is considered the gold standard for the assessment of visceral anatomy and variation, its use is limited because of its invasive nature, minor but non-negligible risk of complications, and high cost[47]. Multidetector computed tomography angiography (MDCTA) is one of the most widely used modalities for diagnosis and identification of AHA and has been shown to reduce the incidence of iatrogenic injuries and postoperative complications[48-51]. Furthermore, magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) provides diagnostic image quality in 72% of the hepatic and visceral arteries and enables the delineation of hepatic arterial anatomical features with high accuracy[47]. Significant advances in computed tomography angiography (CTA) and MRA have led to the marginalization of DSA[52]. However, considering the relatively high human and financial resources required to visualize the vasculature, it is impossible to subject every patient to CTA or MRA. Yang et al[32] showed that multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) without arterial reconstruction aided in the evaluation of aberrant RHA for preoperative planning of pancreatic surgery. Therefore, conventional MDCT combined with meticulous analysis may be helpful for preoperative detection of AHA.

SURGICAL AND ONCOLOGICAL OUTCOMES

Studies examining the effect of AHA on the perioperative outcomes of either open PD (OPD) or
Figure 3 A peculiar replaced right hepatic artery arising directly from the celiac artery. A: Axial contrast enhanced computed tomography scan; B-D: Axial maximum intensity projection (MIP, B), coronal MIP (C) and 3D-volume rendering (D) images showed that the replaced right hepatic artery (white arrow) originated directly from the celiac artery, and the common hepatic artery divided into the left hepatic artery (black arrow) and gastroduodenal artery (arrowhead).

Figure 4 The hepato-spleno-mesenteric trunk. 3D-volume rendering computed tomography images showed that the hepato-spleno-mesenteric trunk was formed by the common hepatic artery, splenic artery and superior mesenteric artery, and the left gastric artery (LGA) originated from the aorta. A: Coronal view; B: Sagittal view. HSMT: Hepato-spleno-mesenteric trunk; CHA: Common hepatic artery; SA: Splenic artery; SMA: Superior mesenteric artery; LGA: Left gastric artery.

minimally invasive PD (MIPD) have reported negative outcomes (Table 2)[3,5,7,17,25,26,30,31,33,34,53]. Although duration of surgery can be prolonged[3,17,26], the AHA does not jeopardize surgical outcomes of PD[5,7,31,33]. Nevertheless, the presence of AHA may predispose patients to intraoperative injury, increasing the risk of hepatic hypoperfusion and ultimately leading to necrosis, liver abscess, and even liver failure. Nearly 10% of patients undergoing PD have a vascular injury, with the incidence of hepatic artery injury ranging from 0.5% to 1.7%[54-56]. Although the PV supply, extrahepatic
collaterals, and interlobular communicating arteries may compensate to some extent for hepatic hypoperfusion, the incidence of catastrophic complications in the postoperative period is approximately 20%.[34,57,58]. Liver ischemia after pancreatic resection is classified into four types: Hypoperfusion without major hepatic vessel occlusion, arterial occlusion, PV occlusion, and PV plus arterial occlusion [59]. This classification helps surgeons identify the degree of liver ischemia and escalate treatment appropriately. In addition to the liver, the biliary tree may be involved in an AHA injury. The extrahepatic biliary system receives its blood supply from the retroduodenal artery, RHA, and GDA[26, 60]. After ligation of the GDA in PD, the remaining bile duct relies mainly on the RHA for its blood

### Table 2 Surgical outcomes of pancreaticoduodenectomy in patients with and without aberrant hepatic artery (> 50 cases)

| Ref. | Total, n | AHA, n | Morbidity, n (%) | POPF, n (%) | PPH, n (%) | DGE, n (%) | Reoperation, n (%) | Mortality, n (%) |
|------|----------|--------|------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|
|      |          |        | AHA | n-AHA | AHA | n-AHA | AHA | n-AHA | AHA | n-AHA | AHA | n-AHA | AHA | n-AHA | AHA | n-AHA | AHA | n-AHA | AHA | n-AHA |
| OPD  |          |        |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |
| Rammohan et al[17] | 225 | 43 | 26 (60.5) | 111 (61.0) | 2 (4.7) | 9 (4.9) | 1 (2.3) | 4 (2.1) | 23 (53.4) | 98 (53.8) | NA | NA | 1 (2.3) | 3 (1.7) |
| Kim et al[26] | 249 | 37 | 9 (24.3) | 88 (41.5) | 1 (2.7) | 12 (5.6) | 1 (2.7) | 16 (7.6) | 4 (10.8) | 16 (7.6) | NA | NA | 0 | 1 (0.5) |
| Sulipce et al[30] | 84 | 29 | 14 (48.3) | 32 (58.2) | 3 (10.3) | 9 (16.4) | 4 (13.8) | 9 (16.4) | 10 (34.5) | 24 (43.6) | NA | NA | 3 (10.3) | 3 (5.5) |
| Eshuis et al[53] | 758 | 143 | 80 (55.9) | 303 (49.3) | 18 (12.6) | 87 (14.1) | 11 (7.7) | 44 (7.2) | 48 (33.6) | 193 (31.4) | 10 (7.0) | 68 (11.0) | 2 (1.4) | 13 (2.1) |
| Mansour et al[5] | 82 | 41 | 22 (53.7) | 27 (65.9) | 8 (19.5) | 12 (29.3) | 4 (9.8) | 3 (7.3) | 6 (14.6) | 7 (17.1) | 0 | 0 | 1 (2.4) | 0 |
| Crocetti et al[34] | 297 | 44 | 32 (72.7) | 185 (73.1) | 5 (11.3) | 27 (10.7) | 2 (4.5) | 12 (4.7) | 5 (11.4) | 37 (14.6) | 3 (6.8) | 28 (11.7) | 7 (15.9) | 33 (13.0) |
| Alexakis et al[4] | 105 | 35 | 4 (11.4) | 19 (27.1) | 2 (5.7) | 9 (12.9) | 0 | 2 (2.9) | 1 (2.9) | 1 (1.4) | 1 (2.9) | 5 (7.1) | 2 (5.7) | 4 (5.7) |
| LPD    |          |        |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |
| Wang et al[7] | 576 | 127 | NA | NA | 21 (16.5) | 68 (15.1) | 11 (9.0) | 37 (8.2) | 3 (2.5) | 9 (2.0) | 4 (3.1) | 24 (5.3) | 4 (3.1) | 12 (2.7) |
| Giani et al[25] | 72 | 14 | 5 (35.7) | 34 (58.6) | 2 (14.3) | 10 (17.2) | 2 (14.3) | 10 (17.2) | 1 (1.4) | 14 (24.1) | NA | NA | 0 | 4 (6.9) |
| Zhang et al[1] | 218 | 54 | 22 (40.7) | 70 (42.7) | 5 (2.3) | 21 (9.6) | 5 (2.3) | 15 (6.9) | NA | NA | NA | NA | 3 (3.7) | 7 (4.3) |
| RPD    |          |        |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |
| Nguyen et al[31] | 142 | 30 | 19 (63.3) | 74 (66.0) | 4 (13.3) | 9 (8.1) | NA | NA | 3 (10.0) | 26 (23.2) | NA | NA | 2 (6.7) | 3 (2.7) |
| Kim et al[33] | 73 | 15 | 5 (33.3) | 29 (50.0) | 2 (13.3) | 5 (8.6) | 1 (6.7) | 5 (8.6) | NA | NA | 2 (13.3) | 4 (6.9) | 0 | 2 (3.4) |

1 AHA in these studies included only right hepatic artery variants.
2 The P value for this comparison was 0.04, while all others were > 0.05.
3 These studies provided matched analysis.

AHA: Aberrant hepatic artery; DGE: Delayed gastric emptying; LPD: Laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy; n-AHA: Non-aberrant hepatic artery; NA: Not available; OPD: Open pancreaticoduodenectomy; POPF: Postoperative pancreatic fistula; PPH: Post-pancreatectomy hemorrhage; RPD: Robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy.
supply. Therefore, bile leakage may occur because of possible ischemia of the bilioenteric anastomosis [6].

Ischemic complications of PD can occur in patients with hepatic artery injury or CAS. Even in the absence of arterial stenosis or intraoperative arterial trauma, calcified plaques or atherosclerosis in the CHA can cause fatal complications[37]. Compression by a CHA pseudoaneurysm due to postoperative pancreatic fistula may cause ischemia of the abdominal organs, sepsis, and multiorgan dysfunction syndrome, which can be life threatening[61].

Most studies reported no significant differences in R0 resection rates between patients with and without AHA. Furthermore, the median overall survival and number of lymph nodes harvested did not differ significantly between the two groups. Based on these results, the presence of AHA does not seem to affect the radical outcome of pancreatic cancer surgery. The effects of AHA on oncological outcomes in pancreatic cancer, such as R0 resection, median overall survival, and number of lymph nodes harvested, are listed in Table 3[3,4,7,17,25,26,28-31,34,62].

PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OF INTRAOPERATIVE AHA INJURY

Among the types of AHA, aberrant RHA or CHA from the SMA may increase the difficulty of PD; therefore, these should be of greater concern during surgery (Figure 7). Even when using radiological techniques with high sensitivity, an AHA may still be missed preoperatively. Hence, the anatomy of the hepatic artery should be determined by performing extended Kocherization before dissection. There are various approaches to manage anomalous vessels. Of these, traction away from the ongoing surgical site and revascularization are of paramount importance[17]. Artery-first approaches, such as the posterior and inferior infracolic “SMA first” approach, can be used to identify AHAs[63]. The direct tactile feedback of arterial pulsation is a unique advantage of OPD. For example, normal PHA pulsation can be felt intraoperatively at the left margin of the hepatoduodenal ligament. If an anomaly in this normal condition is detected, surgeons should assess whether an AHA is present. Arterial pulsation behind the pancreatic head or PV may be an aberrant RHA or CHA[64]. The AHA originating from the LGA is vulnerable to injury during partial gastrectomy and may be palpated at the gastrohepatic ligament[18].
Therefore, during distal gastrectomy in PD, the LGA branch should be ligated away from the origin of the aberrant artery or close to the gastric wall\cite{65}.

In addition, some specific AHAs may have embarrassing pitfalls. One such AHA is the aberrant RHA arising from the GDA, which has been reported in 3.5% of patients undergoing PD\cite{66}. This variant sets an obstacle to achieving R0 resection because its origin is close to the pancreatic head. It can be accidentally ligated if the GDA is divided close to the CHA. In this case, a clamping test should be performed before transection of the GDA, followed by palpation of the hepatic artery\cite{18}. Another pitfall is the aberrant retropancreatic RHA originating from the SMA. To avoid intraoperative injury to this artery, dissection of the hepatoduodenal ligament above the pancreas is essential after detecting the aberrant RHA by palpation or intraoperative ultrasonography. Skeletonization of the common bile duct may prevent it from being mistakenly ligated\cite{67}. Rarely, an rRHA from the SMA can give rise to GDA after coursing to the right in front of the superior mesenteric vein (Figure 8). This type of aberrant RHA is of real concern because it is vulnerable to pancreatic neck dissection. It can be protected during OPD by accurate preoperative identification, intraoperative tactile feedback of arterial pulsation, and Doppler ultrasonography.

In recent years, with the rapid development of surgical techniques, MIPD has been shown to be as safe as OPD\cite{68}. However, the absence of tactile feedback from arterial pulsation during MIPD complicates the entire procedure, particularly in response to AHA\cite{31}. Can et al\cite{69} provided a series of tips to avoid AHA injury during laparoscopic PD (LPD), including the use of the hepatoduodenal ligament as a reliable marker to detect AHA during the dissection of the uncinate process, moderate retraction, and skillful differentiation of the AHA from arterial-like lymphatics and elongated lymph nodes. In terms of the surgical approach, the posterior approach is most often used for LPD\cite{3,65}. This approach can be applied to all patients, regardless of the presence of AHA, because it adheres to the principle of "artery first"\cite{3,65}.

Although the feasibility and safety of robotic PD (RPD) have been confirmed\cite{70}, a major limitation is the lack of tactile feedback. It has been suggested that aberrant RHA should be suspected when the tissue thickness on the right side of the PV increases or the CHA becomes thin\cite{35}. In such cases, careful dissection is required to prevent vascular injury. During RPD, artery injury can be avoided by strict adherence to the no-touch technique\cite{31}. An additional robotic arm provides sufficient tension to the surrounding tissue to ensure safe dissection. Combining a low-energy robotic hook with a bipolar

---

### Table 3 Oncological outcomes of pancreaticoduodenectomy in patients with and without aberrant hepatic artery (> 50 cases)

| Author         | Total, n | AHA, n | R0 resection, n (%) | Median OS (mo) | Median HLN, n |
|----------------|----------|--------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|
|                |          |        | AHA                 | n-AHA          | AHA           | n-AHA |
| OPD            |          |        |                     |                |               |       |
| Crocetti et al\cite{34} | 297      | 44     | 42 (95.5)           | 220 (87.0)     | 30            | 25    | NA    | NA    |
| Alexakis et al\cite{4}   | 105      | 35     | 29 (82.9)           | 56 (80.0)      | NA            | NA    | NA    | NA    |
| Rubio-Manzanares-Dorado et al\cite{62} | 151      | 11     | 11 (100.0)          | 116 (82.9)     | NA            | NA    | 10    | 12    |
| Rammmohan et al\cite{17} | 225      | 43     | 40 (93.1)           | 169 (92.9)     | NA            | NA    | 12    | 12    |
| Kim et al\cite{26}      | 249      | 37     | 33 (89.2)           | 178 (84.0)     | 17            | 23    | 17    | 17    |
| Sulprico et al\cite{30} | 84       | 29     | 25 (86.2)           | 49 (89.1)      | 21.8          | 23.6  | 16    | 26    |
| Turrini et al\cite{29}  | 62       | 31     | 25 (80.7)           | 26 (83.9)      | 23            | 17    | 11    | 13    |
| Lee et al\cite{28}      | 103      | 15     | 12 (80.0)           | 66 (70.5)      | 29.8          | 28.7  | NA    | NA    |
| LPD             |          |        |                     |                |               |       |       |       |
| Wang et al\cite{7}      | 576      | 127    | NA                  | NA             | 28            | 32    | 15    | 14    |
| Giani et al\cite{25}    | 72       | 14     | 11 (84.6)           | 50 (86.2)      | NA            | NA    | 22    | 17    |
| Zhang et al\cite{3}     | 218      | 54     | 54 (100.0)          | 163 (99.4)     | NA            | NA    | 18.59 | 18.26 |
| RPD             |          |        |                     |                |               |       |       |       |
| Nguyen et al\cite{31}   | 115      | 27     | 25 (92.6)           | 77 (87.5)      | NA            | NA    | 22.3  | 17.5  |

\*AHA in these studies included only right hepatic artery variants.
\*These studies provided matched analysis.
\*The P value for this comparison was 0.032, while all others were > 0.05.

AHA: Aberrant hepatic artery; HLN: Number of harvested lymph nodes; LPD: Laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy; n-AHA: Non-aberrant hepatic artery; NA: Not available; OPD: Open pancreaticoduodenectomy; OS: Overall survival; RPD: Robotic pancreaticoduodenectomy.
Figure 6 Collateral pathways due to celiac axis stenosis. A: Computed tomography scan showed a mass in the pancreatic head with dilated biliary-pancreatic duct, and celiac axis stenosis (CAS, arrow); B: Sagittal maximum intensity projection image demonstrated CAS (arrow); C: Selective celiac angiographic examination revealed the left gastric artery and splenic artery, with no hepatic arteries depicted, which is compatible with occlusion of the common hepatic artery; D: Superior mesenteric arteriogram demonstrated retrograde filling of the celiac branches via the pancreaticoduodenal arcades (arrow) by way of the gastroduodenal artery and anomalous blood vessels traversing the pancreas (arrowhead).

Although many studies have analyzed the clinical outcomes of AHA in pancreatic resection, few studies have reported the management of unexpected intraoperative AHA injuries. The early detection of accidental artery injuries is crucial. Remedial reconstruction of a damaged hepatic artery is considered a reliable option[54]. No significant difference in surgical outcomes has been reported between intentional and unintentional revascularization[55]. Ligation of inadvertently injured hepatic arteries may be attempted in view of the presence of collaterals, such as the subcostal arteries and the right inferior phrenic artery. This is like a planned resection of tumor-involved AHA without revascularization[55]. In brief, timely identification and proper management of an inadvertently damaged AHA are important to prevent hepatic hypoperfusion.

MANAGEMENT OF TUMOR INVOLVEMENT OF THE AHA

Intraoperative preservation of the AHA is an ideal surgical option, provided that radical surgical treatment is guaranteed[18]. Vascular invasion is common in patients with pancreatic cancer. In cases where tumor involvement of the AHA is suspected preoperatively, neoadjuvant therapy is recommended to allow translational surgery[71-73]. Unfortunately, even with neoadjuvant chemoradiation, it is sometimes still difficult to preserve the AHA involved in R0 resection[74,75]. However, despite the potential risks, planned artery resection has become acceptable in carefully selected patients [76,77]. If the cancer is located less than 1 cm from the origin of the AHA or if the AHA penetrates through the tumor, it should be resected to achieve R0 resection[7]. After clamping the AHA, intraoperative Doppler ultrasound can be used to assess the hepatic blood supply and determine the requirement for arterial reconstruction[7,78]. Revascularization may not be mandatory in some cases; for example, when an accessory hepatic artery is present, the diameter of the involved branch is thin, and adequate hepatic blood supply is confirmed after dissection[26,55,77].

However, hepatic artery reconstruction is sometimes necessary to prevent postoperative liver ischemia[79]. If the two stumps of the artery are less than 1-2 cm apart, most of them are amenable to
Figure 7 Significant hepatic artery variants during open pancreaticoduodenectomy. A: Normal anatomy of hepatic artery; B: Accessory right hepatic artery from the superior mesenteric artery (SMA); C: Replaced right hepatic artery from the SMA; D: Common hepatic artery from the SMA. CBD: Common bile duct; PV: Portal vein; SA: Splenic artery; SV: Splenic vein; SMV: Superior mesenteric vein.

Figure 8 A rare replaced right hepatic artery from the superior mesenteric artery susceptible to injury during pancreaticoduodenectomy. A: Coronal maximum intensity projection image showed Michels type IV hepatic artery variant; B: Intraoperative image showed that replaced right hepatic artery (rRHA) originated from the superior mesenteric artery and traveled to the right behind the inferior margin of the pancreatic neck via the superior mesenteric vein anteriorly and gave rise to the gastroduodenal artery and proper hepatic artery. LGA: Left gastric artery; rLHA: Replaced left hepatic artery; SMA: Superior mesenteric artery; GDA: Gastroduodenal artery; SMV: Superior mesenteric vein; PHA: Proper hepatic artery; rRHA: Replaced right hepatic artery.

Tension-free anastomosis is necessary because of the potential risk of pseudoaneurysms[18]. If the distance between the stumps is too long to achieve primary anastomosis, transposition or graft interposition may be an option[18,81]. When compared, transposition may be more effective (Figure 9). In addition, the middle colonic, splenic, and dorsal pancreatic arteries are alternative options for anastomosis[81-83]. However, graft interposition is sometimes unavoidable and can be achieved using a saphenous vein or prosthetic graft[80]. In summary, various methods of hepatic artery reconstruction have been reliably performed, as illustrated by Figure 10[71,72,80-83].
Figure 9 The replaced right hepatic artery reconstruction with gastroduodenal artery remnant during pancreaticoduodenectomy. A and B: Coronal and axial maximum intensity projection images showed the replaced right hepatic artery (rRHA, arrow) originated from the superior mesenteric artery and penetrated through the pancreatic head with tumor invasion resulting in significant stenosis (arrowhead); C: Intraoperative image showed a long length of the gastroduodenal artery (GDA) remnant (black arrow) was available for direct end-to-end anastomosis with the rRHA (white arrow); D: The rRHA-GDA anastomosis is complete.

Preoperative arterial embolization is an alternative approach to the prevention of liver ischemia after AHA resection[18,84,85]. Theoretically, preoperative coil embolization may lead to insufficient perfusion of the CHA, causing hepatic artery thrombosis. Migration of embolic material leading to liver ischemia, necrosis, and the risk of bleeding are other possible complications[86]. However, preoperative embolization can improve blood supply to the hepatobiliary system and stomach while providing valuable information to decide whether a partial hepatectomy is required[87]. In short, despite the invasiveness of this approach, it can lead to the prevention of postoperative liver ischemia without causing major complications[18,85].

AHA IN PANCREATECTOMY WITH CELIAC AXIS RESECTION

With the increased use of neoadjuvant chemoradiation, pancreatectomy with en bloc celiac axis resection (CAR) has become more commonly used to treat locally advanced pancreatic cancer[46,88]. Distal pancreatectomy with CAR (DP-CAR), also known as the modified Appleby procedure, is widely used due to its acceptable surgical and oncological outcomes[89-91]. Nevertheless, maintenance of visceral blood supply following DP-CAR, especially in the liver and stomach, remains a hot topic. Preoperative embolization has been recommended because it may reduce the incidence of ischemic complications by promoting associated collaterals[87,91,92]. Nevertheless, this may be avoided when the rRHA or CHA originates from the SMA. However, its application has several limitations, including failure to reduce the incidence of postoperative ischemic complications[84,93], coil migration and secondary ischemia[46,90,94], and complicating revascularization[95].

Hepatic artery reconstruction is an option to prevent postoperative ischemic complications and can be performed by anastomosis with the CA stump[71,86,96], splenic artery interposition[88], abdominal aorta[94,97], middle colonic artery[45], and iliac artery[79,98], as shown in Figures 11 and 12. However, the need for hepatic artery reconstruction remains a controversial issue. Liver perfusion can be intraoperatively assessed by palpation, Doppler ultrasonography, and indocyanine green fluorescence angiography[46,84,90,91,94,99]. Mittal et al[86] proposed a novel method to objectively assess liver blood supply by measuring CHA blood pressure. If CHA blood pressure decreases by more than 25% or 18
mmHg, hepatic artery reconstruction should be performed. Although current opinions are not unanimous[79, 97], arterial reconstruction may not be required in most cases when liver perfusion is confirmed to be adequate[87, 92, 94, 100].

Unfortunately, despite the increasing detection rate of AHAs, few studies have described the effect of AHA on DP-CAR. In contrast to PD, which requires attention to aberrant RHA or CHA from the SMA, DP-CAR requires caution for aberrant LHA originating from the LGA[18]. This variant may result in left hepatic ischemia when the LGA is transected[101]. Therefore, to prevent aberrant LHA damage and preserve the intact hepatic blood supply, surgeons may preserve the tumor-free LGA or perform anastomosis between the LGA and CHA[84, 90, 96]. The complexity of the procedure may be mitigated by the presence of an aberrant RHA or CHA from the SMA. For example, the presence of Michels type IV may help avoid arterial reconstruction in TP with en bloc CAR (TP-CAR)[102]. Nevertheless, in the absence of this variant (Michels type IV), TP-CAR should be revascularized[88].
CONCLUSION

In conclusion, AHA is not rare in patients undergoing pancreatic resection, and its clinical significance sometimes resembles a double-edged sword. The presence of AHA does not worsen surgical and oncological outcomes, if it is accurately identified preoperatively and appropriately managed intraoperatively. Given the potential risk of hepatic hypoperfusion caused by AHA injury or resection, hepatic artery reconstruction is sometimes necessary.
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