APPLICATION OF FUZZY SET THEORY FOR RISK ASSESSMENT

ZASTOSOWANIE TEORII ZBIORÓW/UKŁADÓW ROZMYTYCH DO OCENY RYZYKA

László Pokorádi
University of Debrecen, H–4028 Debrecen, Ótmető u. 2–4., Hungary
pokoradi@mk.unideb.hu

Abstract: In our age safety, reliability and security are essential issues in modern engineering. Modern technical systems should meet technical, safety and environmental protection requirements. The risk can appear as personal injury or death, mission degradation, property technical damage or destruction. The risk is a measure of harm or loss associated with the human activity. It is the combination of the probability and the consequence of a specified hazard being realized. For decision making the experts need the opinions of other sciences, sometimes they have to consider moral questions. To make reliable decision, the risk of the given system or process should be known correctly. Fuzzy set theory is a relatively new mathematical tool to depict and model inaccuracy and uncertainty of the real world and human thinking. The paper and presentation will give a short overview of risk assessment and illustrates the possibility of use of proposed fuzzy set theory based method by a case study.
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Streszczenie: Bezpieczeństwo i niezawodność są w nowoczesnym projektowaniu zagadnieniem zasadniczym. Nowoczesne systemy techniczne winny spełniać wymagania techniczne, bezpieczeństwa i ochrony środowiska. Rzyko może wystąpić jako obrażenia lub śmierć osób, niewykonanie zadania, zniszczenie techniczne lub własności. Rzyko jest miarą szkody lub utraty związanych z ludzką działalnością. Jest to uświadomienie sobie połączenia prawdopodobieństwa i konsekwencji określonego ryzyka. Decyzje jakie podejmują eksperti wymagają opinii z innych dziedzin nauki, a niekiedy także rozważenia kwestii moralnych. Do podejmowania niezawodnych decyzji wymagana jest dokładna znajomość ryzyka danego systemu lub procesu. Teoria zbiorów/układów rozmytych jest stosunkowo nowym narzędziem matematycznym do przedstawiania i modelowania niedokładności i niepewności realnego świata i ludzkiego myślenia. Artykuł przedstawia krótki przegląd oceny ryzyka i możliwości zastosowania teorii zbiorów/układów rozmytych na przykładzie analizy przypadku.
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1. Introduction

In our age safety, reliability and security are essential issues in the engineering. Modern technical systems should meet technical, safety, security and environmental protection requirements.

In the eye of Gerigk, the risk associated with the different hazards and scenario development was estimated by probability of occurrence and consequences of a given hazard (Gerigk, 2008). The paper of Gerigk regards modeling of hazards, consequences and risk for a method for assessment of safety of ships in damaged conditions. The shown method combined the performances-oriented and risk-based approaches.

Smalko & Szpytko described also major objectives of safety engineering, based on the system approach, understanding the structure of safety management system, including the human factor, and all interrelationships between the system components.

Safety engineering, from the systematic point of view, deals with artificial systems that exhibit the following features:

- they are integrated,
- the technical system usually is large,
- mutual interdependence between system components is significant,
- system inputs are subject to stochastic stimulation,
- the system is partly automated.

Safety engineering deals with preparation of decisions dedicated to technical and/or organizational matters that include (Smalko & Szpytko, 2008):

- analysis of the problem with consideration of all the essential internal and external interrelationships,
- definition of desired targets for the problem solution with respect to the required system safety level,
- disclosing of all the possible options for the possible final solution,
- comparison between specific solutions and rating them in terms of detrimental effect against the required expenditures,
- selection of the most advantageous (or less disadvantageous option).

The subject of Smalko’s paper deals with the relationship between safety and security of the man-machine system. A man can act in the man-machine system both as a decision-maker and operator. The critical events are the critical fault of the machine and/or erroneous decisions made by the operator, which can cause failure and accidents with undesirable effects in the form of fatalities, environment degradation, property loss and financial losses and also causes the different civil-legal consequences for the decision makers and operators (Smalko, 2007).
The risk assessment is an important step of the risk management. The goal of risk assessment or risk characterization is to determine risk context and acceptability, often by comparison to similar risk (Pokorádi, 2002). There are many qualitative and quantitative methods to assess risk. In the last case the risk is determined by multiplication of severity and probability measures. Fuzzy Logic is a form of logic used in some expert systems and other artificial-intelligence applications in which variables can have degrees of truthfulness or falsehood represented by a range of values between 1 (true) and 0 (false). With fuzzy logic, the outcome of an operation can be expressed as a probability rather than as a certainty. For example, in addition to being either true or false, an outcome might have such meanings as probably true, possibly true, possibly false, and probably false (Zadeh, 2009).

There are countless fields in the modern engineering and management sciences in which fuzzy used the fuzzy logic. Bowles and Peláez demonstrate two methods of the fuzzy logic-based assessments of criticality (Bowles & Peláez, 1995). During the last few years researchers have developed measurement techniques and mathematical models to predict the process risk safety of a plant or a processing unit (Markowsky & Mannan & Bigoszewska, 2009). Paper mentioned above gives some results on the application of the fuzzy logic in the classical Process Safety Analyses, such as fault and event tree which can be further used in the so called bow-tie approach for accident scenario risk assessment. They proved that the success of this method depends on quality of failure data collection of process components as well as on the cooperation with plant operation staff. In publication of Markowski and Mannan the semi quantitative approach is taken into account and risk matrix is used for the risk evaluation and assessment (Markowski & Mannan 2008). Risk matrix is a mechanism to characterize and rank process risks that are typically identified through one or more multifunctional reviews, for example process hazard analysis. Risk matrix is a very useful tool for semi-quantitative risk assessment as well as a selection of risk control measures. Hadjimichael has presented a methodology by which the safety knowledge inherent in an organization such as an airline can be elicited, represented, and used for operational risk analysis (Hadjimichael, 2009).

In their paper, Karimi and Hüllermeier presented a modular framework for fuzzy risk assessment and its components (Karimi I. & Hüllermeier, 2007). The fuzzy probability is characterized in terms of possibility–probability distributions, for which a new approach has been developed. This approach has been compared with an alternative approach. Moreover, uncertainties about the correlation of the parameters of hazard intensity, damage and loss, i.e. vulnerability relations, have been considered by means of fuzzy relations. The composition of fuzzy probability of hazard and fuzzy vulnerability relation yields the fuzzy probability of damage (or loss). The fuzzy risk graph model proposed by Nait-Said et al. is a fuzzy rule-based-risk graph (Nait-Said et al. 2008). Its main advantages include:
it preserves the four parameters used in the standard risk graph and can be adapted easily to improved risk graphs;
fuzzy scales with fuzzy linguistic values are used to assess risk parameters and calibration of the model may be made by varying risk parameters values.

The paper gives a short overview of risk management and assessment and illustrates the possibility of use of the fuzzy set theory to assess the risk.

The paper will be organized as follows: Section 1 shows the applied literatures. Section 2 words the risk and risk assessment. Section 3 presents fuzzy set theory based risk assessment method theoretically. Section 4 shows the possibility of use of proposed method by a case study. Section 5. encompasses the most important findings, conclusions and future works of the author.

2. The Risk and the Risk Assessment

All human activity involves any risk. In our age, experts have to decide on moral base, during decision-making. It is very important to use risk management methods to investigate and to minimize risks which concomitance of human activity. The risk is the combination of the likelihood and the consequence of a specified hazard being realized.

The risk management is the systematic application of policies, practices, and resources to the assessment and control of risk affecting human health and safety or the environment (Pokorádi, 2002). A critical role of the safety regulator is to identify activities involving significant risk and to establish an acceptable level of the risk. In most cases, the near zero risk should be not achievable can be very costly.

The goal of risk assessment is to determine risk context and acceptability, often by comparison to similar risks.

The first step of risk assessment is to assess hazard severity. It is determination of the severity of the hazard in terms of its potential impact on the people, equipment. Severity assessment should be based upon the worst possible outcome that can reasonably be expected.

Using quantitative risk assessment method, the risk is product of probabilities and calculated “crisp” severity of investigated hazard. This method can be used when the factors mentioned above can be determined unequivocally. For example insurance companies use it.

Oftentimes the probability and severity cannot be identified unequivocally. These factors can be determined only by knowledge of experts. In this case we have to use severity and probability categories. In this case the risk is logical combination of them, and we should use fuzzy logic to model inaccuracy and uncertainty human thinking.
But in this case the determination of membership functions raises a problem. Interrogation of users and maintainers of investigated system or executors of given task can solve this problem. It is important to mention that a man of small experience has notable knowledge, but its transformation to numerical data is a very difficult task. The aim mentioned above can be accomplished by so called expert reports and statistical inference of their data. These data have notable subjectivity because they accrue from interpretation of individual experiences. Therefore these data should be used as fuzzy membership functions.

3. Fuzzy Risk Assessment Method

The fuzzy set theory-based risk assessment processes use several rules simultaneously. The attribute of set of rules is that their solution by classical logic can be different or antinomic at the same time. Practically, this inconsistency can be (should be) resolved by the fuzzy logic. This process is a combination of four subprocesses: fuzzification, inference, composition, and defuzzification. The defuzzification subprocess is optional.

In the FUZZIFICATION subprocess, the membership functions defined on the severities and probabilities of investigated hazards are applied to their actual values, to determine the degree of truth for each rule premise.

In the INFERENCE subprocess, the truth-value for the premise of each rule is computed, and applied to the conclusion part of each rule. This results in one fuzzy subset to be assigned to each output variable for each rule.

In the COMPOSITION subprocess, all of the fuzzy subsets assigned to each output variable are combined together to form a single fuzzy subset for each output variable.

Sometimes it is useful to just examine the fuzzy subsets that are the result of the composition process, but more often, this fuzzy value needs to be converted to a single number — a crisp value. This is what the DEFUZZIFICATION subprocess does.

There are more defuzzification methods than you can shake a stick at. Two of the more common techniques are the centroid and maximum methods. In the centroid method, the crisp value of the output variable is computed by finding the variable value of the center of gravity of the membership function for the fuzzy value. In the maximum method, one of the variable values at which the fuzzy subset has its maximum truth value is chosen as the crisp value for the output variable. There are several variations of the maximum method that differ only in what they do when there is more than one variable value at which this maximum truth value occurs. One of these, the average of maxima method, returns the average of the variable values at which the maximum truth value occurs (Pokorádi, 2008).
Sometimes the composition and defuzzification processes are combined, taking advantage of mathematical relationships that simplify the process of computing the final output variable values.

The flow chart of fuzzy logic application is illustrated by Figure 1.

**Fig. 1 Fuzzy Risk Assessment Flow Chart (Pokorádi, 2001)**

4. Case Study

To demonstrate possibility of use of method submitted above in this chapter a case study will be shown shortly.

To manage a special helicopter mission, the air staff has assessed its risk using the fuzzy logic based risk assessment method. The severity and probability of possible air crashes have been determined by expert's (pilots') report, result of which are the followings:

- degree of severity is 4.813 in a numeric scale from 0 to 10;
- probability of occurrence is 0.00004,

as averages of the expert’s report.

Pending preparation of risk assessment, the experts defined categories of severity, probability (frequency) and risk. Then definitions, membership functions those categories were determined, which era shown by figures 2 – 4.
Lastly they depicted the Risk Assessment Matrix (see Table 1.)

In the assessment period experts identified all potential hazards of system operation. Next step was to determine probability of identified system operation hazards statistically. Then hazards’ severities were determined collectively. For risk assessment calculation the PLutorisK V.1.1D software was used which has been developed by the Author.

Figure 5. shows the Risk Surface which is determined by above assigned definitions and logical rule base.
Table 1. Risk Assessment Matrix

|                | Frequent | Likely | Occasional | Seldom | Unlikely |
|----------------|----------|--------|------------|--------|----------|
| Catastrophic   | EH       | H      | M          |        |          |
| Critical       |          |        |            |        |          |
| Moderate       | H        | M      |            |        |          |
| Negligible     | L        |        |            |        |          |

EH – Extra High; H – High; M – Medium; L – Low.

Result of risk assessment the degree of risk of investigated undesired event is:

3,069,

that is, the risk level of planned helicopter mission is medium. The air unit commander could use this result to accept the risk during the next step of risk management process. Balancing assessed risk and the probable benefit of the sortie, the leader permitted the mission and did not prescribe to investigate any risk control tools.
5. Summary, Future Work

This paper shown a fuzzy set theory-based risk-assessment method. During prospective scientific research related to this field of mathematics, the Author will complete following tasks:

- to investigate fuzzy logic's possibilities of use in the modern aircraft and other technical systems operation and its management;
- to popularize usage of fuzzy logic in the modern technical management decision-making;
- to investigate theory and methodology of working-up of diagnostic and trouble-shooting methods based upon fuzzy logic;
- to work up fuzzy set theory-based method to investigate parametrical mathematical model uncertainties
- to study methodology and possibilities of use fuzzy tools, during reliability investigation

in the modern technical management and military sciences.
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