Evidence for anisotropic spin-triplet Andreev reflection at the 2D van der Waals ferromagnet/superconductor interface
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Fundamental symmetry breaking and relativistic spin–orbit coupling give rise to fascinating phenomena in quantum materials. Of particular interest are the interfaces between ferromagnets and common s-wave superconductors, where the emergent spin-orbit fields support elusive spin-triplet superconductivity, crucial for superconducting spintronics and topologically-protected Majorana bound states. Here, we report the observation of large magnetoresistances at the interface between a quasi-two-dimensional van der Waals ferromagnet Fe₀.₂₉TaS₂ and a conventional s-wave superconductor NbN, which provides the possible experimental evidence for the spin-triplet Andreev reflection and induced spin-triplet superconductivity at ferromagnet/superconductor interface arising from Rashba spin-orbit coupling. The temperature, voltage, and interfacial barrier dependences of the magnetoresistance further support the induced spin-triplet superconductivity and spin-triplet Andreev reflection. This discovery, together with the impressive advances in two-dimensional van der Waals ferromagnets, opens an important opportunity to design and probe superconducting interfaces with exotic properties.
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Fundamental symmetry breaking and relativistic spin–orbit coupling give rise to interesting phenomena in quantum materials\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\). For over 60 years, the interplay between ferromagnetism and superconductivity, has offered a wealth of intriguing phenomena in ferromagnet (FM)/superconductor (SC) heterostructures\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^6\). However, to overcome a strong suppression of spin-singlet superconductivity by the FM’s exchange field the platforms supporting spin-triplet pairing are sought. They are desirable for dissipationless spin currents in superconducting spintronics\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^7\), and probing quantum materials\(^8\), as well as for realizing elusive Majorana bound states to implement topological quantum computing\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^10\). The common expectation that spin-triplet pairing in superconducting spintronics requires complex FM multilayers, typically relying on noncollinear/spiral magnetization or half metals\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^6\),\(^11\).

Here, we report the possible experimental evidence for the spin-triplet Andreev reflection and induced spin-triplet superconductivity at the interface of a quasi-2D van der Waals (vdW) FM and a conventional \(s\)-wave SC with Rashba spin–orbit coupling (SOC). Such vdW heterostructures offer a great versatility in exploring the interplay between ferromagnetism and superconductivity, beyond the lattice-matching constraints of all-epitaxial FM/SC heterostructures\(^12\). Our results pave the way for future studies on spin-triplet superconductivity\(^13\),\(^14\) and the formation on Majorana bound states\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^10\), as well as many normal-state spintronic applications\(^15\).

Results and discussion
Spin-triplet Andreev reflection and spin-triplet MR. In contrast to the conventional Andreev reflection at the FM/SC interface (Fig. 1a), an incident spin-up electron forms a spin-singlet Cooper pair in the ordinary SC with a reflected spin-down hole in the FM, spin-triplet Andreev reflection generates the spin-up hole with an injection of an equal-spin triplet Cooper pair in the spin-triplet SC (Fig. 1b). Due to Rashba SOC\(^16\),\(^17\), spin-rotation symmetry is broken for the superconducting pairing (Fig. 1c), which acts as a spin-mixing described in conventional FM/SC heterostructures\(^5\),\(^6\). The broken spin-rotation symmetry leads to the spin-singlet paring \((m = 0, S = 0)\) (\(S\) is the total spin quantum number).

Fig. 1 Schematic of the spin-triplet Andreev reflection at FM/SC interface. a Conventional Andreev reflection at the FM/spin-singlet SC interface. b The spin-triplet Andreev reflection at the FM/spin-triplet SC interface. c Schematic of the spin-triplet Andreev reflection resulting from Rashba SOC at the interface between a FM and a conventional \(s\)-wave SC. The arrows in Rashba SOC band indicate spin-momentum locking and the red arrows represent the spin-polarization direction of equal-spin-triplet pairs. d, e Anisotropic spin-triplet Andreev reflection at the FM/SC interface and the low/high interfacial resistance states that depend on the FM magnetization direction, \(M\) (green arrow). Red arrows at the interface denote the spin direction of equal-spin-triplet pairs. For \(M\) along the interface the spin-triplet Andreev reflection can be suppressed.
number, and \( m \) is magnetic quantum number) with an unpolarized spin-triplet component \((m = 0, S = 1)\)\(^{18}\). The spin-triplet component results in the interface spin-triplet Andreev reflection at the FM/SC interface which is highly anisotropic (Supplementary Note 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1), depending on the relative orientation between the magnetization (\( M \)) in the FM and the interfacial spin–orbit field\(^{14,19}\). \( M \) sets the spin-quantization axis, and unpolarized spin-triplet component \((m = 0, S = 1)\) is projected onto the spin-quantization axis to generate the equal-spin-triplet component \((m = 1, S = 1)\), which can be considered as a spin-rotation process\(^3\). For example, for FM magnetization along \( z \) axis (perpendicular to the interface), unpolarized spin-triplet Cooper pairs component \((S = 1, S_z = 0)\) and \( S = 1, S_z = 0)\) can be projected to the spin quantization axis as \( S = 1, S_z = 1)\) due to the spin rotation process \((S_x, S_y, S_z)\) are the spin quantum numbers along \( y, x \) and \( z \) direction, respectively. Thus, both the \( S = 1, S_z = 0)\) and \( S = 1, S_z = 0)\) components will contribute to the interface conductance when \( M \) is perpendicular to interface, as illustrated in Fig. 1d. On the other hand, when the \( M \) is parallel to the interface along \( y \) direction, the equal-spin triplet Cooper pairs \((S = 1, S_z = 1)\) can only be projected from unpolarized spin-triplet pairing component \((S = 1, S_z = 0)\), since \( S_x, S_y \neq 0\). Consequently, spin-triplet Andreev reflection conductance channel is suppressed when \( M \) is parallel to interface, as illustrated in Fig. 1e. As a result of the anisotropic spin-triplet Andreev reflection processes, there is a low-resistance (high-resistance) state for \( M \) out-of-plane (in-plane) (Fig. 1d, e). Hence, the spin-triplet Andreev reflection can lead to the tunneling anisotropic magnetoresistance (MR) at the FM/SC interface, a proposed hallmark of the interfacial SOC and spin-triplet superconductivity in FM/SC heterostructures\(^{14,19}\).

To experimentally probe the anisotropic spin-triplet Andreev reflection and spin-triplet MR, we fabricate the FM/SC devices (see “Methods” section for details), which consist of a quasi-2D vdW \( \text{Fe}_{0.29}\text{TaS}_2 \) flake, several \( s \)-wave superconducting NbN electrodes, and two normal metal Pt electrodes (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 2). At the interface between the quasi-2D vdW \( \text{Fe}_{0.29}\text{TaS}_2 \) flake and \( s \)-wave NbN electrode, the Cooper pairing consists of both spin-singlet \((m = 0, S = 0)\) and spin-triplet components \((m = 0, S = 1)\) due to the spin-rotation symmetry breaking by the interfacial Rashba SOC (right panel of Fig. 2a). The superconducting critical temperature of the NbN electrode is \( T_{SC} \approx 12.5 \) K (Supplementary Fig. 3a) characterized by standard four-probe electrical measurement. \( \text{Fe}_{0.29}\text{TaS}_2 \) flakes are typical quasi-2D vdW FM, with a Curie temperature, \( T_{Curie} \approx 90 \) K, characterized by anomalous Hall effect (Supplementary Fig. 4)\(^{30}\). The magnetic easy axis is perpendicular to the sample plane, and \( M \) of \( \text{Fe}_{0.29}\text{TaS}_2 \) can be controlled by a large external magnetic field (\( B \)) (Supplementary Note 2 and Supplementary Fig. 5). For an in-plane \( B = 9 \) T, \( M \) is almost in plane, \( 83^\circ \) from the \( z \) direction. Under \( B = 9 \) T, the current–voltage characteristics of the NbN electrode are measured, with critical currents of \( \sim 50 \) \( \mu \)A at \( T = 2 \) K (Supplementary Fig. 4b). Typical \( dI/dV \) curves of the \( \text{Fe}_{0.29}\text{TaS}_2/\text{SC} \) junctions as a function of \( T \) and \( B \) are shown in Supplementary Note 3 and Supplementary Fig. 6.

To characterize the expected MR arising from anisotropic spin-triplet Andreev reflection, the interfacial resistance between the quasi-2D vdW FM \( \text{Fe}_{0.29}\text{TaS}_2 \) and SC electrode is measured using the three-terminal geometry (Fig. 2a and see “Methods” section). Figure 2b shows the typical MR curve (blue) measured (device A; Supplementary Fig. 2) as a function of the magnetic field angle in the \( yz \) plane \((\Theta_{yz})\) at \( T = 2 \) K and \( B = 9 \) T. The observed MR shows a strong correlation with \( B \)-controlled \( M \) (Supplementary Fig. 7). In contrast to this large MR at \( T = 2 \) K, the normal-state interfacial resistance exhibits little variation at \( T = 20 \) K. A possible important contribution of vortices in type-II SC to the observed MR has been ruled out from our control measurements on normal metal/SC heterostructures at \( T = 2 \) K (orange curve in Fig. 2b and Supplementary Note 4 and Supplementary Fig. 8). We have also fabricated the control devices of \( \text{Fe}_{0.29}\text{TaS}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/ \) normal metal (Al), where no MR could be observed at \( T = 2 \) K (Supplementary Fig. 9), which further indicates the critical role of SC for the observed MR. Furthermore, the \( \pi \)-periodic oscillation further supports that the observed MR results from the anisotropic feature of spin-triplet Andreev reflection at the interface with Rashba SOC\(^{13}\). Figure 2c shows the MR results measured on the device B as a function of \( \Theta_{yz} \) at \( T = 2 \) K and \( B = 9 \) T. The MR ratio can be defined as:

\[
\text{MR}(\Theta_{yz}) = \frac{R(\Theta_{yz}) - R(\Theta_{yz} = 0)}{R(\Theta_{yz} = 0)} \times 100\%.
\]

The \( R(\Theta_{yz} = 0) \) and \( R(\Theta_{yz} = 90) \) are the interfacial resistances for magnetic field that is perpendicular and parallel (along \( z \) and \( y \) directions in Fig. 2a) to the FM/SC interface, respectively. Interestingly, the observed MR ratio is \( \sim 37 \pm 2\% \) for device A, and \( \sim 103 \pm 4\% \) for device B, which are much larger than previous reports on the tunneling anisotropic MR in FM/semiconductor heterostructures arising from the Rashba and Dresselhaus SOC\(^{16,17}\).

Temperature evolution of spin-triplet MR. Next, we investigate the temperature evolution of the MR to distinguish the contributions from the spin-triplet Andreev reflection and spin-dependent scattering by Bogolubov quasiparticles under large magnetic field. Figure 3a shows the MR \((\Theta_{yz})\) for device B at \( T = 2, 4, 8, \) and \( 9 \) K, respectively, under the magnetic field of \( B = 5 \) T. Figure 3b summarizes temperature dependence of the MR ratio for device B measured at \( B = 9, 7, \) and \( 5 \) T, respectively. The MR appears for \( T < T_{C0} \) and starts to saturate below the temperature of \( \sim 5 \) K. The MR is no longer observable for \( T > T_{C0} \) at \( B = 9, 7, \) and \( 5 \) T (Supplementary Fig. 10). Clearly, there is no enhancement or any anomaly of the MR observed at the temperature slightly below \( T_{C0} \) which further confirms that contribution from spin-dependent scattering by Bogolubov quasiparticles is negligible\(^{21,22}\).

Voltage dependence of spin-triplet MR. To further investigate the MR at the quasi-2D vdW FM \( \text{Fe}_{0.29}\text{TaS}_2/\text{SC} \) interface, we systematically vary the bias voltage \((V_{bias})\), which also affect the junction voltage \((V_{ST})\) across the interface. At the interface, the induced SC energy gap \((\Delta_{h}\)) by SC proximity effect with spin-triplet component is smaller compared to the SC gap \((\Delta_{N}\)) of bulk NbN electrode, as illustrated in Fig. 4a. When the potential \((eV_{ST})\) of the incoming electrons is considerably smaller than the interface spin-triplet superconducting energy gap \((\Delta_{h}\)) (Fig. 4a), the charge transport channel is dominated by the anisotropic spin-triplet Andreev reflection. Hence, the spin-triplet MR exhibits little variation with the \( eV_{ST} \) within the \( \Delta_{h} \). As the \( V_{ST} \) increases, other isotropic transport processes, such as electron-like and hole-like tunneling transmissions\(^{14}\), also contribute to the interface conductance. As these transport processes are \( M \)-independent, the spin-triplet MR ratio is expected to decrease significantly. Since the change of \( V_{ST} \) is much smaller than \( V_{bias} \) during the rotation of the external magnetic field, the junction voltage for \( \Theta_{yz} = 0 \) \((V_{ST,0})\) is used to qualitatively show the interface voltage dependence of the spin-triplet MR. Figure 4b, c summarize these results measured on devices B and C. For small \( V_{ST,0} \), the MR exhibit little variation as the voltage changes. However, when \( V_{ST,0} \) is higher than a critical value, MR strongly decreases as \( V_{ST} \)
increases. The critical junction voltage is obtained to be ~0.15 mV (~0.2 mV) for device B (C). We note that at 2 K the thermal energy is $k_B T \sim 0.17 \text{ meV}$, comparable to the critical electron potential from the bias-dependent results. Therefore, an accurate value of the proximity-induced superconducting gap is not able to be clearly resolved here, which will need future studies. Additionally, the bias dependence of the spin-triplet MR further confirms that the observed MR is correlated to the sub-gap properties, and is completely different from $B$-induced spin-splitting density of states at the gap edges of SC electrodes.

Interface barrier dependence of spin-triplet MR. As the spin-triplet Andreev reflection depends strongly on the FM and SC wave-function overlap, it is expected that the dimensionless interface barrier strength ($Z$) plays an important role in the spin-triplet MR$^{24,25}$. To explore the influence of interface barrier strength on the observed spin-triplet MR, we investigate more than dozen devices that are fabricated with Al$_2$O$_3$ layer of different thickness (~1–2.5 nm) between the quasi-2D vdW Fe$_{0.29}$TaS$_2$ and NbN SC electrodes. This process leads to a large range of interface resistance area product ($R_{JS}$) from ~10 to ~2000 $\Omega \mu$m$^2$, resulting in the FM/SC heterostructures with very different $Z$-values. Figure 5 shows the measured MR ratio as a function of the $R_{JS}$ at $T = 2$ K and $B = 9$ T (Note: the MR is not observable for very large $R_{JS}$ and not plotted in this figure). The largest MR is observed with $R_{JS} \sim 48.4$ $\Omega \mu$m$^2$. The strong correlation of the MR ratio and $R_{JS}$ reveals the important role of the $Z$-value in the spin-triplet MR.

This surprising nonmonotonic MR dependence on $R_{JS}$ agrees well with the theoretical expectations$^{14,25}$. The effective barrier...
strength is modified by SOC and depends on the helicity (outer/inner Rashba bands, Fig. 1b), \( Z_+ = Z \pm \gamma k_{ij} \), where \( \gamma \) is the SOC parameter\(^{25} \) and \( k_{ij} \) is the component of the wave vector along the interface (Fig. 5 inset). At zero \( k_{ij} \), the vanishing of Rashba SOC does not support spin-triplet component. At nonzero \( k_{ij} \), increasing \( Z \) can reduce \( |Z_+| \) or \( |Z_-| \) and thus enhance such a transmission for a given helicity. For much larger \( Z \), all of the conduction channels, including spin-triplet Andreev reflection, are suppressed due to the low interface transparency. As a result, the spin-triplet Andreev reflection and spin-triplet MR will also be nonmonotonic in \( Z \). Taken together, the observed nonmonotonic MR dependence with \( R_{JS} \) (Fig. 5) and MR decrease with \( T \) or an applied voltage (Figs. 2–4) are all experimental evidence for the spin-triplet Andreev reflection in our vdW heterostructures. We note that the spin-triplet MR theory is developed using an idealized model of ballistic systems\(^{14,25} \), the role of disorder, which could induce reflectionless tunneling, is expected to reduce the MR amplitude. To the best of our understanding, the spin-triplet Andreev reflection is the major cause for the observation of large MR up to \( \sim10^3 \pm 4\% \), and can qualitatively explain the bias.

---

**Fig. 3** The temperature dependence of MR at Fe\(_{0.29}\)TaS\(_2\)/SC interface. a The interfacial resistance as a function of \( \Theta_{yz} \) measured on device B at \( T = 2 \) K (blue), 4 K (yellow) 8 K (olive), and 9 K (black), respectively. These results were obtained under \( B = 5 \) T and \( V_{bias} = 1 \) mV, which correspond to \( V_{3T} \sim 0.40 \) mV for \( T = 2 \) and 4 K, and \( V_{3T} \sim 0.25 \) mV for \( T = 8 \) and 9 K. b The temperature dependence of MR ratio of device B at \( B = 9 \) T, 7 T, and 5 T, respectively. The error bars correspond to one standard deviation. The open circles represent the absence of obvious MR.
Fig. 4 The voltage dependence of MR at Fe_{0.29}TaS_2/SC interface. 

a Schematic of the incident spin-polarized electrons with chemical potentials inside and above the interface spin-triplet superconducting energy gap. \( \Delta_{in} \) and \( \Delta_{NbN} \) indicate the superconducting energy gaps of the interface SC and the bulk NbN.

b The voltage dependence \( (V_{3T,0}) \) of the MR ratio of device B measured at \( T = 2 \) K and \( B = 9 \) T. \( V_{3T,0} \) represents \( V_{3T} \) when an applied magnetic field is perpendicular to the FM/SC interface. The error bars correspond to one standard deviation. Inset: The typical MR curve at \( V_{3T,0} = 0.10 \) mV.

c The voltage dependence \( (V_{3T,0}) \) of the MR ratio of device C measured at \( T = 2 \) K and \( B = 9 \) T. The error bars correspond to one standard deviation. Inset: The typical MR curve at \( V_{3T,0} = 0.17 \) mV.
and temperature dependence of the MR. Given the growing interest in systems that could support spin-triplet superconductivity, in the future studies, it would be important to generalize our description and also include the effects of disorder and diffusive transport on spin-triplet MR.

Summary and outlook. Our experimental observation of a large tunneling anisotropic MR in quasi-2D vdW FM/s-wave SC heterostructures up to ~103 ± 4% is already promising for spintronic applications and much larger than for the normal-state transport. The quasi-2D vdW Fe0.29TaS2/SC heterostructures up to ~103 ± 4% is already promising for spintronic applications and much larger than for the normal-state transport.

Methods

Device fabrication. The quasi-2D vdW Fe0.29TaS2/SC spin-triplet MR devices were fabricated as follows. First, bulk single crystalline Fe0.29TaS2 were grown by the iodine vapor transport method. Then the quasi-2D vdW Fe0.29TaS2 flakes were mechanical exfoliated from the bulk single crystal onto the SiO2 (~300 nm)/Si substrates. Second, a first-step electron-beam lithography was used to define the SC electrodes on the quasi-2D vdW Fe0.29TaS2 flakes. The SC electrodes consist of ~5 nm thick Nb and ~60 nm thick NbN, which were grown in a DC magnetron sputtering system with a base pressure of ~1.2 × 10−4 Pa. Prior to the growth of SC electrodes, a thin Al2O3 layer (~1−2.5 nm) is deposited as the barrier to tune the interface coupling strength between the quasi-2D vdW Fe0.29TaS2 flakes and the SC electrodes. The Al2O3 layer was grown by reactive magnetron sputtering with Al target under the oxygen atmosphere. Then, a second-step electron-beam lithography was used to define the two normal Pt electrodes (~80 nm) on the quasi-2D vdW Fe0.29TaS2 flakes. The Pt electrodes were deposited by RF magnetron sputtering in a system with a base pressure lower than 6.5 × 10−4 Pa. The optical images of three typical devices (A, B, and C) are shown in Fig. S2.

Spin-triplet MR measurement. The MR measurement of the quasi-2D vdW Fe0.29TaS2/SC devices was performed in a Physical Properties Measurement System (PPMS; Quantum Design). A bias (Vbias) was applied between the SC electrode and one normal Pt electrode using a Keithley K2400, and the voltage (Vmr) between the SC electrode and the other Pt electrode was measured using a Keithley K2400. The interface resistance was obtained via dividing the three-terminal voltage by the source–drain current (Rint = Vmr/Ibias). During the measurement of each spin-triplet MR curve, the quasi-2D vdW Fe0.29TaS2/SC device was rotated from 0 to 360 degrees under the external static magnetic field in the PPMS.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.
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