Outcome of Surgical Repair of Complete Rupture of Distal Biceps Tendon: A Clinical Series
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Abstract

Complete distal biceps rupture is a rare injury as compared to proximal biceps tendon rupture. It is usually caused by an eccentric contraction of the muscle, often seen with a sudden unintentional pull or jerk. An epidemiological study showed an incidence of 1.2 ruptures per 100,000 patients per year with an average age of 47 years. It is important not to miss the diagnosis initially, since delay in surgery does affect the outcome. In low-demand patients with complete distal biceps tendon tears, non-operative treatment may be entertained provided the patient understands the potential for residual weakness, particularly for forearm supination. The surgical repair is the treatment of choice especially in high demand male patients. There are a variety of fixation methods including bone anchors, suspension techniques, bone tunnels with interference screws, and transosseous sutures. No one technique has emerged as the gold standard and the choice remains that of surgeon. In this case series we present couple of patients with distal biceps tendon rupture one with acute rupture and another with chronic rupture treated surgically. We conclude patients do benefit from surgical repair.
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Introduction

Nearly 90% of biceps tendon ruptures occur in the proximal biceps and involve the long head of biceps. The remaining ruptures occur in the distal biceps tendon representing only 3% of biceps muscle injuries. The mechanism of injury in distal rupture involves a strong eccentric contraction of the biceps tendon against unanticipated resistance. The injury is most common in the dominant arm of middle-aged men. Symptoms include weakness in elbow flexion (by 30%) and forearm supination (by 40%) [1].

Some individuals may maintain reasonable function after non-operative treatment of a ruptured distal biceps tendon, biomechanical and clinical studies suggest that most individuals benefit from surgical repair or reconstruction [2]. The surgical treatment of distal biceps tendon tears has been studied extensively. The techniques available for repair involve a three-level distinction: anatomic versus non-anatomic repair, single-incision versus double-incision exposure and fixation method (most commonly the use of cortical button, interference screws, transosseous sutures or suture anchors) [2,3].

The number of reported distal biceps tendon tears seems to have increased over the last few years, likely related to better understanding and improved diagnostic methods [4] However in a number of instances, the diagnosis is initially missed. In this case series we present couple of patients with complete distal biceps tendon rupture; one with acute rupture and another with chronic rupture treated surgically. We discuss the diagnosis, treatment, outcome and complications of surgical repair of the tendon using suture anchor.

Clinical Series

Case 1

63 year old left dominant handed male patient sustained injury to left elbow at work site one month before he presented to us, while he was trying to cut a banana tree it was about to fall on his feet, while he tried to catch the tree before it fell. He had a painful ‘pop’ at the time of injury. He noticed painful range of movement and was so unhappy about the initial treatment. On inspection there was flattening of the distal contour of the arm as compared to opposite arm (Figure 1), mild tenderness at antecubital fossa. He had weakness of flexion and supination.
On ‘hook test’ (Figure 2) we could not hook finger around any anterior structures with elbow in flexed and supinated position. Pre operative quick DASH score was 57. X-ray showed no bony abnormality and an MRI confirmed complete rupture (Figure 3) and marked retraction of distal biceps tendon from bicipital tuberosity of the radius. Since the duration of injury was just one month we planned for reinsertion of tendon to bicipital tuberosity using fibre wire and an anchor screw. After pre anaesthesia evaluation patient was taken up for surgery. We went through anterior approach using a curved single incision over antecubital fossa without a tourniquet, and retrieved the tendon from the superior part of the incision (Figure 4).

On the distal part of the incision further dissection was done and recurrent branch of radial artery was ligated (Figure 5) to avoid injury to it. Bicepital tuberosity on radius was identified and bed prepared (Figure 6). An anchor screw was passed into it and with the fibre wire, tendon was re attached (Figure 7), as we expected there was enormous tension on tendon and hence a long arm slab in 1100 of flexion and supination was given. Patient was discharged on second post op day and at one month follow up slab and sutures were removed, elbow mobilisation started (Figure 8). Wound was healed well and at 2 months post of he had near normal range of movement and without any pain and quick DASH score improved to 11 at 2 months post op and it is improving further.
Figure 6: Bicepital tuberosity on radius.

Figure 7: Reattachment of tendon using suture anchor and fibre wire.

Figure 8: At 1 month follow up POP slab was removed and sutures removed wound healed well.

tree while he was trying to cut a branch of tree and he lost control and fell down and tried to catch another branch of the tree to save himself and he heard a painful pop at that time and finally he fell on the ground. Fortunately he did not have any major fractures but noticed pain and swelling around the elbow. On the same day he presented to us. On examination swelling and ecchymosis in the distal arm and proximal forearm. Severe tenderness was noted. X ray of right elbow was normal and an MRI showed complete tear of distal biceps tendon. He was treated similarly as described in case one and biceps was reattached using fibre wire and suture anchor. Post operative protocol was also similar as case one and at 3 months follows up his quick DASH score improved to zero and he returned back to work.

Discussion

Distal biceps rupture is a rare injury as compared to proximal biceps tendon rupture usually caused by an eccentric contraction of the muscle, often seen with a sudden unintentional pull or jerk. An epidemiological study showed an incidence of 1.2 ruptures per 100,000 patients per year with an average age of 47 years [5]. Unlike proximal biceps tendon rupture which leave only a cosmetic deformity (with little or no functional disability), the distal biceps tendon injury affects functional outcome of elbow resulting in stiffness, chronic pain, weakness of flexion and supination. Unfortunately, the implications of this injury are high, in demanding male labourers. In a number of instances, the diagnosis is initially missed. In such situations patients are extremely unhappy. As seen in our patient (case one) his diagnosis was missed initially and when he presented to us at one month post injury he was very unhappy about initial treatment and outcome.

The diagnosis of complete distal biceps tendon tears can be established based on patient history and physical examination. X ray elbow may be normal; Ultra sonogram (USG) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provide more valuable information. Patients may report a painful ‘pop’ at the time of injury. A useful clinical test was described by O’Driscoll et al. [6,7], the so-called ‘hook test’. The patient is asked to look at the palm of his hand on the affected side with the shoulder elevated, the elbow flexed at 90° and the forearm in supination. An intact distal biceps tendon allows the examiner to hook his fingers around the cord-like structure. If the bicep is torn, since the distal brachial is is flat, the examiner will not be able to hook his finger around any anterior structures. This test was elicited in patient with chronic distal biceps tendon rupture (case 1) We did not try this test in other patient with acute tendon rupture because it was painful (Figure 2).

Nonoperative treatment in symptomatic patients has been shown to result in a 30–50% loss in supination strength and 20% loss in elbow flexion strength [8]. Thus the surgical repair is the treatment of choice especially in high demand male patients. So, the consensus of opinion is that acute ruptures should be repaired primarily if possible, and there are a variety of fixation methods including bone anchors, suspension techniques, bone tunnels with interference screws, and transosseous sutures [9]. No one technique has emerged as the gold standard and the choice remains that of surgeon.

Case 2

A 44 year old right hand dominant male farmer patient sustained distal bicipital tendon rupture following a fall from
The techniques available for repair involve a three-level distinction: anatomic versus non-anatomic repair, single-incision versus double-incision exposure and various fixation methods. Randomised controlled trial was conducted to compare acute DBT tears treated surgically with a single-incision technique (fixation with two suture anchors) or double-incision technique (fixation with transosseous bone tunnels) [10]. The authors found that both techniques provided similar results in terms of pain, American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) elbow scores, and functional sub-scores, DASH score, patient-rated elbow evaluation (PREE) score, and isometric extension, pronation or supination strength.

However, the double-incision technique resulted in significantly higher strength for elbow flexion when compared to the single-incision technique (104% Vs 94%, respectively). We have used single incision technique in both cases and used anchor screw for fixation. In acute cases this approach gives excellent exposure for retrieval, preparation and fixation at radial tuberosity. In chronic cases if there is associated lacertus fibrosus tear, tendon retracts so much and generates lot of tension when it is brought down and hence it requires immobilisation in flexion and supination. In situation where severe retraction of tendon requires reconstruction this is best done with auto graft. Outcome of our patients is excellent. Quick DASH score improved from 57 to 11 at 2 months post op and it is improving further in patient with chronic rupture and quick DASH score improved to 0 at 3 months post-op in patient with acute rupture (Figures 9 & 10).

Injuries to posterior interosseous nerve and the lateral antebrachial cutaneous (LABC) nerve have been reported in 5% to 40% of elbows respectively, more commonly with a single anterior incision [10,11]. Heterotrophic ossification may be seen on radiographs after distal biceps tendon repair using any exposure or fixation technique, but it seems to be more common and tends to interfere more with forearm rotation using a two-incision technique. Care should be taken not to expose the ulna to prevent cross union [3]. We don't have such complication in either case.

**Conclusion**

Distal biceps tendon rupture is a relatively rare injury usually caused by an eccentric contraction of the muscle in middle aged men. It is common on the dominant side. It is important not to miss the diagnosis initially, since delay in surgery does affect the outcome. In low-demand individuals acute complete tears are occasionally treated non-operatively, but most patients benefit from surgical repair.
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