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Abstract
Laparoscopic right hemicolecotomy with extracorporeal anastomosis is a widely used procedure; several authors have published their approach to intracorporeal anastomosis. In this paper, we present an approach developed by us and compare short-term outcomes with those of extracorporeal anastomosis in colon cancer patients. Retrospective review of colon cancer patients treated with laparoscopic right hemicolecotomy either with intracorporeal anastomosis (TLG group) or extracorporeal anastomosis (LG group) at the Zhangjiagang Hospital Affiliated to Soochow University between January 2011 and October 2015. Operative and postoperative data are compared.

Around 85 patients underwent laparoscopic hemicolecotomy (56 TLG and 29 LG) during the reference period for this study. Age, gender, body mass index (BMI), stage of cancer, operation time, number of lymph nodes harvested, and length of hospital stay were comparable between the 2 groups. In the TLG group, the ileocolic anastomosis time was significantly shorter (9.9–15.5 minutes vs 13.5–18.2 minutes in LG; P < 0.001), the mean intraoperative blood loss was lower (83.2 mL [range, 56.5–100.5 mL] vs 93.3 mL [range, 75.8–110.3 mL]; P < 0.001), the recovery of bowel function was faster (P < 0.001), and the postoperative pain score was lower (P < 0.001) as compared to that in the LG group. Complications in the LG group included wound infection (4 patients), obstruction (1), and postoperative bleeding complications (1); however, only 1 patient developed complication (wound infection) in the TLG group.

Total laparoscopic right hemicolecotomy with 3-step stapled intracorporeal anastomosis for colon cancer is a safe and reliable procedure. Its advantages include short anastomosis time, less intraoperative blood loss, less postoperative pain, and early bowel function recovery.

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, CO2 = carbon dioxide, CT = computed tomography, LG = laparoscopic-assisted right hemicolecotomy with extracorporeal anastomosis group, PET-CT = positron emission tomography-computed tomography, SD = standard deviation, SEM = standard error of the mean, TLG = total laparoscopic right hemicolecotomy with isoperistaltic intracorporeal anastomosis group, TNM = tumor-node-metastasis.
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1. Introduction
Laparoscopic surgery has gained wide acceptance and has been increasingly performed over the years. The first case of laparoscopic right hemicolecotomy for colon cancer was reported in 1991.[1] Benefits of laparoscopic surgery over open surgery for colon cancer are well documented. These include less blood loss, lower perioperative morbidity, lower incidence of wound infection and incision hernia, less postoperative pain, early recovery of bowel function, shorter hospital stay, earlier return to work, and comparable survival.[2–4] Despite these advantages, laparoscopic colectomy is technically challenging and warrants intensive structured training to minimize conversion to open surgery and associated complications.[5]

Several variations of the procedure have been described.[6,7] Among these, ileocolic anastomosis is one of the most essential steps of the operation, which is performed using an extracorporeal or intracorporeal approach. The extracorporeal anastomotic technique is similar to that performed during open surgery and is utilized more frequently.[6,8] It requires greater mobilization and exteriorization of the bowel through the abdominal incision for further steps. Hence, the intracorporeal anastomosis is a suitable alternative that allows completion of the anastomosis without any externalization of the bowel. However, this technique limits the ability to choose an extraction site, which is usually a small midline incision. In addition, problems with intestinal alignment after extraction are known to occur. The first report of total laparoscopic right hemicolecotomy with intracorporeal anastomosis was published in 2003.[10] A recent review of laparoscopic right hemicolecotomies performed with either intracorporeal or...
extracorporeal anastomoses demonstrated comparable outcomes in terms of incidence of anastomotic leaks, overall postoperative morbidity, and 30-day postoperative mortality.\textsuperscript{11} Intracorporeal anastomosis has been shown to reduce wound-related complications, facilitate early restoration of bowel function, and improve cosmesis.\textsuperscript{12,13} However, definitive evidence on the effect of the type of anastomosis on short-term outcomes of right hemicolecction, especially with respect to anastomotic leaks and short-term morbidity, is still awaited.\textsuperscript{14–17}

Total laparoscopic right hemicolecction is being routinely performed at our center for treatment of both benign and neoplastic conditions since several years. We carried out hemicolecction with a 3-step stapled intracorporeal isoperistalic ileocolic anastomosis in 56 colon cancer cases with good short-term outcomes. Herein, we present our approach and compare the short-term outcomes with those of extracorporeal fashion in a Chinese population. We aim to provide valuable information to this field for better clinical practice.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of 85 patients who underwent total laparoscopic right hemicolecction with use of either 3-step isoperistalic intracorporeal anastomosis or laparoscopic assisted right hemicolecction with extracorporeal anastomosis performed at our department between January 2011 and October 2015. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. In addition to routine investigations, computed tomography (CT) of chest, abdomen and pelvis, positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT), and colonoscopy was performed in all patients as part of preoperative work-up.

Patients who had cancer of ileoecum, ascending colon, or hepatic flexure of colon in the absence of invasion of the serous layer or metastases were included in the analysis. Patients with prior history of abdominal surgery, previous malignancy or those who had psychiatric disorders or severe organ dysfunction such as severe cardiopulmonary disorders were excluded.

Patient demographics, preoperative and operative data, and outcomes were included in the analysis. Key variables of interest included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), preoperative diagnosis, duration of surgery, duration of ileocolic anastomosis, intraoperative blood loss, total length of hospital stay, postoperative duration of intestinal function recovery, postoperative pain, and incidence of postoperative in-hospital complications (infection, obstruction, and bleeding). Pathological data, including tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage and the number of nodes dissected, were also reviewed. All pathological data fell into the category of T1–3NxM0. The pain evaluation method was as described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{18}

2.2. Ethics

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee at the Zhangjiagang Hospital Affiliated to Soochow University. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

2.3. Surgical technique

Preoperative bowel preparation, intravenous antibiotics, and nutritional status monitoring was performed in all patients. Patients were administered general anesthesia and placed in supine position. The surgeon and the second assistant surgeon who held the camera stood on the left side of the patient, the first assistant surgeon stood on the right side of the patient. Pneumoperitoneum was set up by use of the Veress needle at the umbilicus and the abdomen insufflated with carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) gas to a pressure of 14 mm Hg. A 10 mm Trocar was placed 3 cm below the umbilicus for visualization, and a 12 mm Trocar inserted under the lower rib margin on the left middle axillary line to serve as the main working port. After adequate insufflation and trocar placement, the abdomen was inspected for any obvious metastatic lesions or any other abnormality. The ileocolic vessels were identified and elevated, and the peritoneum was incised laterally to the ileocolic vessels and superior mesenteric vein. Ileocolic vessels and the right colic vessels were dissected and ligated at the vascular pedicles. The retroperitoneal plane was further developed in Toldt’s space using a medial-to-lateral and inferior-to-superior approach, passing duodenum and pancreas anteriorly and ascending colon and transverse colon posteriorly. The colic branch of the gastrocolic vessels was also ligated during dissection. The right lateral peritoneum was then incised, and the terminal ileum and ascending colon were completely mobilized. Once the right colon was fully mobilized along the lateral attachments, the hepatic flexure was mobilized via dissection and division of hepatocolic ligament and greater omentum. The intra-mesenteric lymphatic tissue was simultaneously removed when mobilization of the right mesocolon was completed.

Next step was ileocolic anastomosis. For laparoscopic assisted right hemicolecction with extracorporeal anastomosis group (LG), a midline supraumbilical 4 to 8 cm incision was made for bowel extraction. The terminal ileum and right hemicolon were resected, and a side-to-side stapled anastomosis performed. After completion of the anastomosis, the fascia at the extraction site was closed and reinspection of the peritoneal cavity was carried out. The drainage tube was routinely placed in the right upper quadrant.

In patients undergoing total laparoscopic right hemicolecction with isoperistalic stapled intracorporeal anastomosis, ileal mesentery and mesocolon were fully liberated using an ultrasound knife to reach the target resection margin prior to anastomosis. The anastomosis was a 3-step procedure. First, a linear cutting stapler (PSE60A, Johnson & Johnson, Inc., New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA) was used to divide the transverse colon (Fig. 1A). In the second step, the ileum and transverse colon were aligned in parallel in an isoperistaltic pattern. An anterior wall colostomy was made 10 cm distal to the transected transverse colon and an enterotomy with 1 cm incision was performed on the ileum. Two jaws of the endoscopic stapler were then inserted into the bowels respectively. The stapler was fired and withdrawn, and a side-to-side anastomosis created (Fig. 1B). In the third step, the common enterotomy was closed with the stapler and the terminal ileum finally divided for completion of the 3-step anastomosis (Fig. 1C). The drainage tubes were positioned after specimen bagging and extraction, and total laparoscopic right hemicolecction with 3-step intracorporeal isoperistaltic ileocolic anastomosis was completed (Fig. 1D).

2.4. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using Graphpad software Prism6 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Quantitative variables are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) or mean ± standard deviation (SD); between-group differences were assessed using a 2-tailed, unpaired Student t test. Categorical variables are analyzed using the
Pearson χ² or Fisher exact test. Statistical significance was defined as a P value < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Demographics

A total of 85 (52 men and 33 women) patients had undergone laparoscopic right hemicolectomy between January 2011 and October 2015; 56 patients underwent total laparoscopic right hemicolectomy with 3-step isoperistaltic stapled intracorporeal anastomosis (referred to as TLG), whereas 29 patients underwent laparoscopic assisted right hemicolectomy with extracorporeal anastomosis (LG). All 85 patients had undergone preoperative colonoscopy, CT, and/or PET-CT and had definite pathology reports. No significant between-group difference was observed with respect to baseline characteristics such as mean age, gender, BMI, and TNM stage (Table 1).

3.2. Surgical parameters

There were no significant differences between the 2 groups with respect to the operation time, number of lymph node clearance, and total length of hospital stay (P = 0.42, P = 0.54, P = 0.29, respectively; Table 2). In the TLG group, the mean duration of ileocolic anastomosis was shorter (13.06 ± 0.182 minutes [range 9.9–15.5 minutes] vs 15.59 ± 0.238 minutes [range 13.5–18.2 minutes]; P < 0.001) and the mean intraoperative blood loss lower (83.21 mL [range 56.5–100.5 mL] vs 93.39 mL [range 75.8–110.3 mL]) than that in the LG group. Although the operation time was similar in the 2 groups, there was a statistically significant shorter time to intestinal function recovery with the 3-step intracorporeal anastomosis (P < 0.001). Moreover, patients in the TLG group had significantly decreased postoperative pain scores (P < 0.001 vs LG group) (Table 2 and Fig. 2).

3.3. Complications

Postoperative complications in LG were: wound infection (13.8%; N = 4), bowel obstruction (3.4%; N = 1), and postoperative bleeding (3.4%; N = 1). All these complications were treated promptly and resolved with supportive medication or secondary surgical interventions. Only 1 case developed wound infection in the TLG group. There was no obvious difference in each subtype of complications between the groups. (Table 3) However, the

---

Table 1

| Characteristics                  | TLG   | LG    | P     |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| No. of patients                  | 56    | 29    |       |
| Age, mean ± SD                   | 68.0 ± 8.3 | 69.0 ± 6.5 | 0.57  |
| Male:female                      | 32:24 | 20:9  | 0.29  |
| BMI, mean ± SD, kg/m²            | 20.3 ± 2.0 | 20.6 ± 1.7 | 0.46  |
| Colonoscopy yes:no               | 56:0  | 29:0  | >0.99 |
| Pathology yes:no                 | 56:0  | 29:0  | >0.99 |
| Preoperative CT yes:no           | 56:0  | 29:0  | >0.99 |
| TNM II:III                       | 20:36 | 12:17 | 0.61  |

BMI = body mass index, LG = laparoscopic assisted right hemicolectomy with extracorporeal anastomosis group, TLG = total laparoscopic right hemicolectomy with isoperistaltic intracorporeal anastomosis group, TNM = tumor node metastasis.

Table 2

| Measure                          | TLG (n = 56) | LG (n = 29) | P     |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------|
| Operation time, min              | 24.70 ± 3.30 | 27.40 ± 5.09 | 0.42  |
| Duration of ileocolic anastomosis, min | 13.06 ± 0.18 | 15.59 ± 0.24 | <0.001|
| Intraoperative blood loss        | 83.21 ± 1.28 | 93.39 ± 1.43 | <0.001|
| Time to intestinal function recovery, d | 2.57 ± 0.08 | 3.10 ± 0.11 | <0.001|
| No. of lymph nodes clearance     | 18.50 ± 3.5  | 19.20 ± 0.38 | 0.24  |
| Postoperative pain score         | 5.82 ± 0.14  | 7.03 ± 0.18  | <0.001|
| Total length of hospital stay, d  | 11.50 ± 0.28 | 12.20 ± 0.69 | 0.29  |

LG = laparoscopic-assisted right hemicolectomy with extracorporeal anastomosis group, TLG = total laparoscopic right hemicolectomy with isoperistaltic intracorporeal anastomosis group.
et al. described their operative technique for laparoscopic right hemicolectomy which involved intracorporeal mobilization of colon and vessel ligation, whereas bowel resection and anastomosis were performed extracorporeally. The technique required a larger abdominal incision and exteriorization of the bowel to create adequate tension-free environment for ileocolic anastomosis. However, the mesentery is liable to be heavily twisted in this process thereby increasing the risk for potential anastomotic leak and longer period for bowel function recovery.

The procedure was performed in a medial-to-lateral fashion. After adequate mobilization of terminal ileum and right colon, the ileum and transverse colon are aligned in parallel in an isoperistaltic pattern to create a side-to-side stapled anastomosis (Fig. 1B). Third, the common enterotomy was closed with the stapler and the terminal ileum was finally divided for completion of the 3-step anastomosis (Fig. 1C). Our approach is similar to that of Ho in general but is much simpler and convenient to perform. Since we aligned the terminal ileum and transverse colon in an isoperistaltic manner, the anastomosis was close to normal physiological conditions.

No significant differences were observed between the 2 groups with respect to operation time, number of lymph node clearance, and total length of hospital stay. However, with the help of stapler, the duration of the ileocolic anastomosis was significantly shorter in the TLG group. Many factors could affect the duration of the anastomotic procedure including the patient’s BMI, surgeon’s skills, and the procedure itself among others. In this study, there was no significant difference between the 2 groups with respect to BMI and surgeon’s skills. Therefore, it is likely that the proposed 3-step method itself was technically easier and more feasible. The time spent making the midline incision for bowel extraction, locating the resection sites, and immobilizing the bowels for performing anastomosis in the LG group was spared in the 3-step approach. In line with previous reports, the intraoperative blood loss was less, and bowel function recovery was faster. Postoperative recovery of bowel function recovery is associated with operation time, use of anesthetics, bowel exposure time, and surgical trauma. Obviously, bowel function recovery would be faster in TLG because of short exposure time during operation and less surgical trauma. Moreover, these patients complained less postoperative pain than patients in the LG group. No major complications such as anatomical bowel leakage, hernia, or severe organ dysfunction occurred in both groups. However, the 3-step technique was associated with a lower overall postoperative complication rate.

Table 3

| Measure            | TLG (n = 56) | LG (n = 29) | P      |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| Infection          | 1/56 (1.8%) | 4/29 (13.8%) | 0.08   |
| Obstruction        | 0/56 (0%)   | 1/29 (3.4%)  | 0.34   |
| Bleeding           | 0/56 (0%)   | 1/29 (3.4%)  | 0.34   |
| Total              | 1/56 (1.8%) | 6/29 (20.6%) | 0.006  |

LG = laparoscopic-assisted right hemicolectomy with extracorporeal anastomosis group, TLG = total laparoscopic right hemicolectomy with isoperistaltic intracorporeal anastomosis group.

4. Discussion

Numerous reports have demonstrated that laparoscopy outweighs open operation in terms of postoperative pain, recovery, morbidity, length of hospital stay, and cosmesis. Though seemingly challenging, laparoscopic right hemicolectomy has developed considerably in the past 20 years. In 2004, Senagore et al. described their operative technique for laparoscopic right hemicolectomy with intracorporeal anastomosis, in which all steps were performed intracorporeally, but the anastomosis was hand-sewn. Neutzling et al. demonstrated several inherent advantages of the stapler approach over handsewn techniques in colorectal anastomosis surgery, regardless of the level of anastomosis. In 2010, Ho reported his own experience of total laparoscopic right hemicolectomy with stapled intracorporeal ileocolic anastomosis. His approach still requires intracorporeal suturing as stay stitches for the sake of safe stapler application. Recently, robotic intracorporeal ileocolic anastomosis has been reported with high cost being the main disadvantage.

In this article, we present our approach to total laparoscopic right hemicolectomy with stapled intracorporeal anastomosis for colon cancer. A total of 56 patients (TLG group) underwent this procedure over a period of 4 years with optimal outcomes.

Overall complication rate was significantly lower in the TLG group as compared to that in the LG group (P = 0.006).

None of the patients in the study required conversion to open surgery during laparoscopic surgery. No anatomical bowel leakage, hernia, or severe organ dysfunction such as cardiopulmonary disorders occurred after surgery. There was no mortality in either group.
Our analysis suggests that the total laparoscopic right hemicolectomy with stapled 3-step anastomosis technique is safe to perform and better than hemicolectomy with extracorporeal anastomosis in several aspects. Although we only assessed the use of this technique in colon cancer patients, the technique should be suitable for inflammatory bowel disease, arteriovenous malformations, obstruction, Crohn's disease (and complications), ischemia, and any other condition that requires intestinal resection with appropriate modifications. 

We chose colon cancer patients as our study population; therefore, it is critical to review the preoperative planning, colonoscopy report and CT scans judiciously to localize the tumor precisely. Other than tattooing of the lesion with India ink prior to surgery, we routinely place 3 titanium clips to serve as landmarks adjacent to the tumor during colonoscopy examination and biopsy for better tumor localization during surgery. This not only helps avoid inadvertent mishandling of the tumor mass but also ensures that no tumor lesion is missed.

Intraoperative bleeding is a potential complication of total laparoscopic right hemicolectomy procedure. Therefore, unnecessary traction should be avoided and the middle colic vessels taken good care of. Prior to bowel resection, the terminal ileum and colon requires appropriate mobilization, which requires good anatomical orientation of the surgeon so as not to injure duodenum and other organs. When closing the common enterotomy, we recommend the stapler be bent to a 90-degree angle to prevent anastomotic stricture or anastomotic insufficiency. According to the most recent follow up data, there was no evidence of stricture formation at the site of the last stapler, though in some patients the follow up duration is less than 1 year.

In conclusion, we found total laparoscopic right hemicolectomy with 3-step stapled intracorporeal anastomosis for colon cancer to be a safe, reliable, and convenient procedure that offers benefits of short anastomosis time, less surgical trauma, flexibility in the selection of specimen extraction site, less postoperative pain, and earlier bowel recovery. We believe that this technique should be considered by every laparoscopic surgeon.
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