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Abstract

COVID-19 induced pandemic situations have put the bio-medical waste (BMW) management system, of the world, to test. Sudden influx, of COVID-infected patients, in health-care facilities, has increased the generation of yellow category BMW (Y-BMW) and put substantial burden on the BMW-incineration units of India. This study presents the compromising situation of the BMW-incineration units of India, in the wake of COVID-19 pandemic, from 21st March 2020 to 31st August 2020. This analysis revealed that on an average each COVID-infected patient in India generates approximately 3.41 kg/d of BMW and average proportion of Y-BMW in it is 50.44%. Further, it was observed that on 13th July 2020, the total Y-BMW, generated by both the normal and COVID-infected patients, fully utilized the BMW-incineration capacity of India. Also, it was made evident that, during the study period, BMW-incineration emitted several pollutants and their concentration was in the order: NOx > CO > SOx > PM > HCl > Cd > Pb > Hg > PCBs > Ni > Cr > Be > As. Subsequently, life time cancer risk assessment depicted that with hazard quotient > 10^-6, Cd may induce carcinogenic health impacts on both the adults and children of India. Therefore, to mitigate the environmental-health impacts associated with the incineration of BMW, evaluation of various options, viz., alternative technologies, substitution of raw materials and separate treatment of specific wastes, was also done. It is expected that the findings of this study may encourage the global auditory comprising scientific community and authorities to adopt alternate BMW-management strategies during the pandemic.

© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

On 11th March 2020, World Health Organization (WHO) declared the outbreak of COVID-19, as a pandemic and since then there was a substantial global-burden on management of health care system. This pandemic has inflated the health care facilities with a large number of infected patients. Consequently, global health-care system demanded special provisions such as, setting up of quarantine camps, isolation buildings, research facilities, increased production of personal protective equipment (PPE) kits, chemicals, reagents and medicines etc. Moreover, perception of hygienic-superiority of PPE kits, among the domestic population, has also added to their demand. Same can also be inferred from the fact that, from 2016 to 2020, the production of PPE kits has
increased only by 6.5%, however, during pandemic, WHO has indicated a monthly increase of 40% in the production of PPE kits (Market Reports, 2019; WHO, 2020).

An unprecedented demand, of the PPE kits and other single-use medical-care equipment, to counter the highly infectious nature of COVID-19, has led to an enormous generation of yellow category of biomedical waste (Y-BMW) (Ogunseitan, 2020). It is anticipated that, during pandemic period, per capita daily disposal of a single-use face mask, may generate 66 kT of Y-BMW within a year (Allison et al., 2020). Such a sudden increase in the amount of Y-BMW, during the pandemic outbreak of COVID-19, has disturbed even the most reputed waste management systems of the world (European Commission, 2020). In Wuhan, China, during peak of the pandemic, the generation of Y-BMW increased by 6 folds i.e., 240 tons per day. Consequently, regional authorities of China decided to implement other waste management strategies (SCMP 2020). It has also been estimated that, due to COVID-19 infections, the waste generation in USA will increase from 5 Mt/y to 300 Mt/y. Therefore, authorities of US may also have to take substantial efforts to manage such a huge amount of medical waste (Reuters, 2020).

In developing countries, even before outbreak of COVID-19, management of Y-BMW was under stress. Situation induced by pandemic has further deteriorated their waste management system. It is reported that from 20th January 2020 to 30th May 2020, approximately, 2000 T of Y-BMW was generated in Republic of South Korea (ESCAP (United Nation Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific), 2020). Although, India’s health care sector is expanding at 15% CAGR, yet only 28% of its hospitals segregate the BMW properly and 40% of its Y-BMW is not being treated as per the regional guidelines (MoEFCC, 2016; WHO, 2019; Ilyas et al., 2020). The increase in generation of Y-BMW also calls for an equivalent increase in environmental risks, especially, in the developing nations where incineration is the most preferable way of treating the Y-BMW (Datta et al., 2020). During incineration several health-deteriorating pollutants are emitted, which have the potential to transport to long distances (Mallapur, 2020). Recently, studies have also derived the relationship between air pollution and increased susceptibility to COVID-19 infection (Zhu et al., 2020; Gupta et al., 2020; Comunian et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). Therefore, it is advised that while addressing the infected patients and stressing on medical research, the issue of waste management should not be neglected. Otherwise, all the efforts, taken by the global community, to sustain the environmental conditions, may nullify (Shaha et al., 2019; Cutler, 2020). Moreover, with the announcement of the pandemic situation the number of scientific publications on reduced air pollution has increased dramatically, around the world. However, studies reporting the increased emissions of the toxic pollutants from incineration of Y-BMW are scanty. Therefore, during the current pandemic-crisis, studies, highlighting an association between the enhanced emissions, due to incineration of Y-BMW, and the human health, are required.

This study intends to bring forward the compromising situation of India’s BMW-incineration capacity, during pandemic outbreak of COVID-19 with specific reference to associated emissions and health impacts. Efforts were also made to compare the generation of Y-BMW in India, during the pandemic situation, with the installed capacity of its Common Biomedical Waste Treatment Facilities (CBWTFs). In this way, estimation of the time, during which the present incineration-capacity of India will be compromised, is done. This study also highlights the carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic health impacts associated with the emission of harmful substances during incineration of Y-BMW. Further, emphasis is also given on recommending the strategies which should be adopted in order to handle the deteriorating situation of current BMW management system. The studies, which could comprehensively address the issue of BMW management in India and associated environmental-health impacts, are scanty. Hence, this study may prove beneficial in building an appropriate framework for efficient management of the BMW during the pandemic outbreak of COVID-19.

2. Study area

Starting from 22nd March 2020, in order to control the spread of COVID-19 infections, the authorities of India initially imposed nation-wide lockdown for 21 days. Since after, several consecutive relaxations were given in the lockdown and consequently, the number of COVID-19 infected patients was also reported to increase (Somani et al., 2020). BMW generated, during this period, inflated the CBWTFs of India. In India, BMW is managed as per BMW legislation, 2016 and according to this legislation, there are four categories of the BMW i.e., red, yellow, white and blue (MoEFCC, 2016). Among these categories of BMW, yellow BMW (Y-BMW) is treated using incinerators. As per latest report of Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB), India, there are 198 CBWTFs with installed incineration capacity of 782 T/d, with additional capacity of 72 T/d from captive incineration (CPCB, 2020). Till 31st May 2020 these CBWTFs were managing 710 T/d of the BMW out of which 101 T/d is generated from COVID-infected patients. Therefore, it was presumed that, with the increasing number of patients, incineration-capacity of the CBWTFs of India may soon get compromised. The number of CBWTFs in each state of India and their respective incineration-capacity is described in Supplementary Table S1.

3. Materials and methods

A brief methodology adopted for conducting the present study is described in Fig. 1.

The step-wise explanation of the methodology, depicted in Fig. 1, is as following:

3.1. Estimating the generation of total BMW (T-BMW)

Methodology, adopted in the present study, used the following information from the two datasets i.e., the CPCB report (CPCB, 2020) and https://www.worldometer.com.

a. Incineration capacity of the CBWTFs in India (as shown in Supplementary Table S1)

b. Amount of COVID-BMW (C-BMW) generated in India till 31st May

c. Amount of normal-BMW (N-BMW) generated in India till 31st May

d. Day-to-day data on the number of COVID-infected patients

To estimate the amount of generation of C-BMW per patient per day, data on C-BMW generation and the number of COVID-infected patients from March 21, 2020 to May 31, 2020, was used (as shown in Supplementary Table S1). Further, it was assumed that till 31st August 2020 the amount of generation of C-BMW (kg/patient/d) would remain constant. Subsequently, the amount of C-BMW generated till 31st August 2020 was calculated. Assuming that the generation of N-BMW will remain constant after 31st May 2020, N-BMW was added with C-BMW to calculate the total-BMW (T-BMW). The findings of this estimation are in line with the predictions made in a joint report of Associated Chambers of Commerce and Industry of India (ASSOCHAM) and Velocity (ASSOCHAM India, 2018).
3.2. Estimating the proportion of Y-BMW in T-BMW

To calculate the proportion of Y-BMW in T-BMW for India, CPCB annual reports of seven states of India were accessed and analyzed. In this way, the proportion Y-BMW in each of these states was estimated and its average was used as a representative for whole nation. The findings, of this analysis, were further used to calculate the daily generation of total Y-BMW (T−Y-BMW), including both the COVID Y-BMW (C−Y-BMW) and normal Y-BMW (N−Y-BMW), from 21st March 2020 to 31st August 2020 in India. Further, to estimate the compromising situation of the incineration capacity of India, the amount of generation of T-Y-BMW was compared with the net incineration capacity of the CBWTFs in India.

3.3. Estimating the emissions from incineration of T-Y-BMW

Incineration of T-Y-BMW emitted several pollutants into the atmosphere of India, during the study period. The amount of these pollutants was estimated using their specific emission factors which were adopted from EPA (1995). The net amount of pollutants emitted during this time duration was assumed to stay over India for the given study period. Further, considering the mixing depth of pollutants to be 1000 m (Devara et al., 2002), the concentration of these pollutants (in mg/m$^3$) was calculated.

3.4. Assessing the health risks associated with emissions from BMW-incineration

Incineration of Y-BMW may emit several toxic pollutants such as, heavy metals, gases and other xenobiotic compounds (Gupta et al., 2020; Comunian et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). On inhalation, these pollutants may pose carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic health impacts on human body. Therefore, in present study, efforts were also made to assess the non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic health impacts associated with exposure of humans to the pollutants emitted during incineration of T-Y-BMW. Life time cancer risk, associated with inhalation of such pollutants, was calculated using Eq. (1) and Eq. (2). Further, Eq. (3) was used to calculate the Hazard Index (HI) as a representing parameter for non-carcinogenic health risks assessment.

\[
\text{Intake}_{(\text{inhalation})} = \frac{C \times IR_i \times EF_i \times ED_i}{BW \times AT} \quad (1)
\]

Life time cancer risk = \( \text{Intake}_{(\text{inhalation})} \times CSF \) \quad (2)

\[
HI = \frac{\text{Intake}_{(\text{inhalation})}}{RfD} \quad (3)
\]

Where, \( \text{Intake}_{(\text{inhalation})} \) defines the average amount of toxic pollutants being inhaled daily per unit weight (mg/kg/d); \( C \) is the concentration of the pollutants emitted by the incinerators (mg/m$^3$); \( IR \) represents the amount of air inhaled by adults and children, of India, in a day. \( EF \) and \( ED \) stands for exposure frequency and exposure duration, respectively. \( BW \) and \( AT \) represent the average body weights and time required for carcinogenic impacts, respectively. A brief description of all these parameters is also given in Table 1.

After calculating the \( \text{Intake}_{(\text{inhalation})} \), the life time cancer risk was calculated by multiplying the \( \text{Intake}_{(\text{inhalation})} \) with the respective cancer slope factors (CSFs) of different pollutants. Similarly, \( HI \) was calculated by dividing the \( \text{Intake}_{(\text{inhalation})} \) with the reference dose (RfD) of the different pollutants. The pollutants selected for this analysis were poly-chlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), Arsenic (Ar), Beryllium (Bl), Cadmium (Cd), Chromium (Cr), lead (Pb) and mercury (Hg) and Nickel (Ni). The values of CSFs ((mg/kg/d)$^{-1}$) and RfDs (mg/kg/d) for these pollutants were acquired from USEPA (2004) and are mentioned in Table 2.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Proportion of Y-BMW in T-BMW of India

The seven states of India chosen for estimating the proportion of Y-BMW in T-BMW of India were Delhi, Haryana, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Mizoram and Uttrakhand. These states were chosen on the basis of the availability of annual reports. The amount of Y-BMW generated in each of these states was evaluated from their respective annual reports. These annual reports are submitted annually by their respective state pollution control boards, to the CPCB, India and are available online. The details of the
4.2. Generation of different types of BMW in India during pandemic

On analyzing the number of COVID-infected patients from March 21, 2020 to May 31, 2020, it was observed that ~2.1 million citizens of India were infected with COVID-19. It was also estimated that each COVID-infected patient in India generates about 3.41 kg/d of COVID-BMW (C-BMW), which is almost double than the BMW generated during normal days (Manasi, 2017; Ranjan et al., 2016). This information was further used to estimate the generation of different types of BMW during the pandemic outbreak of COVID-19 and its findings are shown in Fig. 2.

In Fig. 2, two horizontal dotted-lines depicted the incineration capacity of India’s CBWTFs including captive incineration (854 T/d) and excluding captive incineration (782 T/d). It can be seen that after May 31, 2020, the number of COVID-infected patients rose exponentially which can be attributed to the substantial relaxations in the nation-wide lockdown. Under such circumstances, the generation of T-Y-BMW also increased and by 13th July 2020 and 10th July 2020, it was observed that the incineration capacity, of India’s CBWTFs, while including captive incineration and excluding captive incineration, respectively, was fully utilized. Moreover, with further spread in COVID-infection, more and more Indian citizens got infected. Subsequently, the amount of C–Y–BMW alone was able to cross India’s Y-BMW incineration capacity on 27th July 2020. It can also be observed that, under pandemic conditions, the rate of generation of C–Y–BMW remained constant till 31st August 2020. On 31st August 2020 it can be seen that generation of T-Y-BMW and C–Y–BMW was 1657 T/d and 1350 T/d, respectively. Under such circumstances, inefficient management of BMW and over-use of incineration units can also be speculated. In this way, uncontrolled spread of COVID-19 infection and other associated environmental-health impacts were also expected. Hence, in this study, efforts were also made to estimate the contribution of the incineration units of India in regional air pollution. This analysis may assist in comprehensively understanding the need of efficient BMW-management during the pandemic situation.

### Table 1

| Denominations | Exposure Parameter (units) | Standard values | References |
|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| C | Concentration (inhaling: µg/m³) | – | – |
| BW | Body Weight (kg) | Adult – 70; Children – 15 | Miguel et al. (2007) |
| EF | Exposure Frequency (d/y) | 350 (for both adults and children) | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and U.S. EPA (1998) |
| ED | Exposure Duration (y) | Adult – 30; Children – 6 | United Nations Environment Protection (UNEP) (2005) |
| LT | Life Time (y) | 70 (for both adults and children) | U.S. Department of Energy (USDoE) (2011) |
| AT | Average exposure Time (y) | For Non-carcinogenic: ED * 365; For carcinogenic: LT * 365 | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and U.S. EPA (1998) |
| IR | Rate of inhalation (m³/d) | 20 for adults and 10 for children | MohFW (2010); Van den Berg (1995); Shihabudeen et al. (2004) |

### Table 2

| S.No. | Pollutants | Reference Dose (mg/kg/d) | Slope Factor (mg/kg/d) | References |
|-------|------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| 1     | PCBs       | –                       | 2                      | –          |
| 2     | As         | 3.00E-04                | 15.1                   | –          |
| 3     | Be         | –                       | 8.4                    | –          |
| 4     | Cd         | 5.70E-05                | 6.3                    | –          |
| 5     | Cr⁺⁶⁺      | 3.00E-05                | 41                     | –          |
| 6     | Ni         | 2.50E-02                | 0.84                   | –          |
| 7     | Pb         | 3.50E-03                | 0.042                  | –          |
| 8     | Hg0        | 8.60E-05                | –                      | –          |

* Acquired from US EPA region III (2007); http://www.epa.gov/reg3hwmd/risk/human/index.htm.

### Table 3

| S.No. | State name | Proportion of Y-BMW (%) |
|-------|------------|-------------------------|
| 1     | Mizoram    | 34.5                    |
| 2     | Delhi      | 47                      |
| 3     | Maharashtra| 44                      |
| 4     | Uttrakhand | 44.97                   |
| 5     | Haryana    | 69.5                    |
| 6     | Madhya Pradesh | 68.05                |
| 7     | Rajasthan  | 44.09                   |
| 8     | Average    | 50.44                   |
4.3. Emissions from CBWTFs of India during pandemic

The incineration of T-Y-BMW during 21st March 2020 to 31st August 2020, emitted several pollutants into the atmosphere of India. The net amount of pollutants generated during this treatment of T-Y-BMW, is mentioned in Table 4.

From Table 4, it is evident that incineration of T-Y-BMW during pandemic has added a significant amount of gases, heavy metals and PCBs into India’s atmosphere. The order in which pollutants were emitted during the incineration of T-Y-BMW was observed to be NO$_x$ > CO > SO$_2$ > PM > HCl > Cd > Pb > Hg > PCBs > Ni > Cr > Be > As. Several studies have estimated the environmental-health impacts associated with these pollutants and their brief description is given in Table 5.

As mentioned in Table 5, PM, CO, SO$_2$, and NO$_2$ can significantly impact the respiratory organ system of the humans. Also, respiratory organs are major target of COVID-19 and hence, exposure of humans to such pollutants may make them prone to have COVID-induced respiratory damage. Several studies, around the world, have proven a significant correlation between the increased ambient concentrations of the air pollutants such as, PM, CO, SO$_2$, and NO$_2$, and surging rate of COVID-19-induced mortality (Zhu et al., 2020; Gupta et al., 2020; Comunian et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). Hence, with upcoming events of stubble burning in North-India i.e., during the months of September and October, and exponentially growing emissions from incineration of Y-BMW, the possibility of reduced tolerance of Indian citizens, to COVID-19, is speculated. Tables 4 and 5 also showed that several heavy metals such as, Pb, Zn, Cd, Cu, Ni and As, are emitted during incineration of Y-BMW, which can cause various carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic health impacts. Therefore, in order to discourage the over-dependence of India on BMW-incineration, it is imperative to assess the associated carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic health risks.

4.4. Health risk assessment

The carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic health risks, associated with the exposure of adults and children of India to different pollutants, emitted during incineration of T-Y-BMW, are described in Table 6.

It is evident from Table 6 that heavy metals and PCBs emitted during incineration of the T-Y-BMW do not pose any non-carcinogenic health impacts. However, inhalation of Cd was observed to cause carcinogenic health risks among adults and children of India, as its Risk$_{carcinogenic}$ was observed to be $> 10^{-6}$. Moreover, increasing trend of emissions from BMW-incinerators and collaborative impacts of the emissions from other sources such as, stubble burning and vehicular emissions, may further deteriorate the health of Indian citizens. Therefore, to minimize the health risks associated with management of Y-BMW, it is recommended to incorporate and encourage less-polluting technologies in the BMW management system of India.

5. Alternative strategies for mitigation

5.1. Alternative technologies

It is evident from the results present in Section 4, of this study, that the pandemic outbreak of COVID-19 has increased the burden on BMW-incineration units of India, which may further give rise to several environmental-health issues. Therefore, it is imperative for the authorities to take review of the current situation, identify the alternative options, frame new policies and efficiently implement them. The various alternative technologies, that can bring a comprehensive improvement in the environmental-health aspects of the current system of BMW management include, autoclaving, pyrolysis, plasma, microwave and chemical disinfection. The brief comparison, of the various alternative technologies with the conventional incineration-based BMW disposal method, is described

| S.No. | Environmental impacts | Causative pollutant(s) | Reference(s) |
|-------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| 1     | Global warming         | CO$_2$                | Sharma et al. (2013) |
| 2     | Acidification and damage to monuments | H$_2$SO$_4$ and HNO$_3$ | Sharma et al. (2013) |
| 3     | Water and soil pollution | Cl, Pb, Cu and Zn | Sharma et al. (2013) |
| 4     | Smog formation         | SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ | Sharma et al. (2013) |
| 5     | Different types of malignancy viz., skin cancer, lung cancer, brain cancer, breast cancer, kidney cancer, prostate cancer, soft-tissue sarcoma, Non-Hodgkin lymphoma | Cd, PCBs | McGregor et al. (1998); Elliot et al. (2000); Viel et al. (2000); Biggeri et al. (1996); Diggle (1990); Knox (2000) |
| 6     | Decreased proportion of male births | PCBs | Mocarelli et al. (2000) |
| 7     | Respiratory issues     | PM, CO, SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ | Ayres (1998); Wang et al. (1992); Zmirou et al. (1984) |
| 8     | Hormonal imbalance     | PM and PCB           | Osius and Karmaus (1998); Osius et al. (1999) |
| 9     | Congenital abnormality | PM and heavy metals  | Ten et al. (2000); Lloyd et al. (1988) |

Table 5: Environmental and health impacts associated with emissions from BMW-incineration.

| S.No. | Environmental impacts | Causative pollutant(s) | Reference(s) |
|-------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| 1     | NO$_x$                 | 1.78                  |             |
| 2     | CO                     | 1.48                  |             |
| 3     | SO$_2$                 | 1.04                  |             |
| 4     | PM                     | 0.74                  |             |
| 5     | HCl                    | 0.07                  |             |
| 6     | PCB                    | 0.02                  |             |
| 7     | Be                     | 0.003                 |             |
| 8     | Cr                     | 0.0005                |             |
| 9     | Ni                     | 0.001                 |             |
| 10    | As                     | 0.0002                |             |
| 11    | Cd                     | 0.03                  |             |
| 12    | Pb                     | 0.04                  |             |
| 13    | Hg                     | 0.09                  |             |

Table 4: Emission of pollutants during incineration of T-Y-BMW in India.

| S.No. | Pollutants | Emission factors (kg/T) | Emissions on incineration (mg/m$^3$/d) |
|-------|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1     | NO$_x$    | 1.78                    | 3.63E-05                               |
| 2     | CO        | 1.48                    | 3.02E-05                               |
| 3     | SO$_2$    | 1.04                    | 2.12E-05                               |
| 4     | PM        | 0.74                    | 1.51E-05                               |
| 5     | HCl       | 0.07                    | 1.42E-06                               |
| 6     | PCB       | 0.02                    | 4.75E-08                               |
| 7     | Be        | 0.003                   | 6.36E-09                               |
| 8     | Cr        | 0.0005                  | 1.05E-08                               |
| 9     | Ni        | 0.001                   | 2.39E-08                               |
| 10    | As        | 0.0002                  | 3.34E-10                               |
| 11    | Cd        | 0.03                    | 7.58E-07                               |
| 12    | Pb        | 0.04                    | 7.12E-07                               |
| 13    | Hg        | 0.09                    | 1.76E-07                               |
in Table 7.

Efficacy, of all the BMW-disposal technologies mentioned in Table 7, has been assessed under the State and Territorial Association of Alternate Treatment Technologies (STAATT) criteria (WHO, 2014). As per this assessment, all the technologies, except chemical disinfection, fail under the level 4 technologies and are efficient in sterilizing the C-BMW. However, as applicability of chemical disinfection is limited only to disinfection of BMW, it falls in the category of level 3 technologies.

Findings of present investigation have illustrated that during the pandemic outbreak of COVID-19, the amount of T-BMW has increased significantly. Hence, during these unprecedented times, it is imperative to identify an alternative treatment technology which could efficiently treat large quantity of infectious waste, within a short span of time. From Table 7, it can be seen that other than conventional method of incineration, autoclave technology is another technology that can handle large amount of BMW. In autoclave-based treatment, 5-3000 kg of BMW waste can be treated within 1 h (WHO, 2019). Also, in this technique, the environmental emissions and operational costs are comparatively low. This technology is considered suitable for the treatment of disposables, microbiological waste and sharps (MoEFCC, 2016). However, it is not considered efficient in treatment of anatomical wastes, radioactive waste, chemicals and chemotherapy waste. The only disadvantage reported in the autoclave process is that the volume reduction of BMW is lower than incineration and this, which can increase the influx of waste on landfill disposal sites.

Similar to autoclave process, microwave-based treatment also emit very less amount of pollutants. In microwave treatment of BMW, electromagnetic waves of wavelength: 1 to 1000 mm, and frequency: 900 MHz—2500 MHz, are used to disinfect the waste (Neto et al., 1999). Considering the utility of microwave and autoclave-based treatment of BMW, there are now convincing evidences that, in combination with mechanical shredding, equipment can be designed to disinfect the COVID-infected waste (MEE, 2006). In China, a mobile facility, based on microwave treatment, has shown promising results while treating 80 kg/h of the BMW (Resilient Environmental Solutions, 2020; BMW, 2020). Rapid action, high energy efficiency, low emission rate and efficient disinfection may prove autoclave and microwave treatments as effective alternative treatment technology (Ohtsu et al., 2011). However, high operational and installation cost discourages their use in low-income group of countries (Datta et al., 2020).

Just like conventional incineration treatment, pyrolysis is also a thermal process that sterilizes the BMW at high temperature. However, in pyrolysis, treatment takes place in the absence of oxygen. In this way, combustion of the waste is limited and emissions are also low (Zhu et al., 2008). However, volume reduction of waste is compromised. Similarly, in plasma-pyrolysis, BMW is treated in the absence of oxygen and at high temperature. Although its capacity is also high and emissions are low, yet, because of its high operational and installation cost, its applicability in pandemic situation is not favored (Messerle et al., 2018).

During pandemic outbreak of COVID-19, chemicals have played significant role in disinfecting the surfaces and certain body parts. Therefore, applicability of chemical disinfection also needs to be evaluated for treatment of COVID-infectious waste. Chemical treatment involves moderate operating cost as compared to other treatment options. As efficiency of chemical treatment is only limited to disinfection and it could not reduce the volume of the waste, therefore, it has been recognized only as a preventive or preliminary measure. However, recently, their applications in reprocessing and re-using a specific COVID waste viz., PPEs, has been reported (Barcelo, 2020). Compatibility of vapoorous H2O2 with the polymer-based materials and reduced time has brought its disinfecting properties forward for treating the PPE kits (Barcelo, 2020). Its utilization in disinfecting the used N95 masks under controlled conditions has also shown promising results (Price et al., 2020; Chin et al., 2020).

Therefore, it can be concluded that although, alternative technologies have certain advantages over incineration, yet they cannot completely substitute it. It has also been suggested by literature that incineration may remain the most favorable treatment technique for infectious waste (Ilyas et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2004). It is recommended that the discussed alternative technologies can be made to work, side-by-side, to share the increased amount of BMW during pandemic period. However, for this purpose segregation needs to be done efficiently.
5.2. Segregation and treatment of specific waste

During pandemic outbreak of COVID-19, it has been reported that the amount of only a certain type of waste viz., single-use plastic, masks, chemicals, PPEs etc., has increased. Therefore, these types of waste should be segregated from the rest of the BMW. In this way, they could be treated by other environmental-friendly techniques. A brief description of the waste materials that could be segregated for specific type of treatment, is mentioned in Table 8.

5.3. Substitution

Avoiding the use of certain types of raw material while manufacturing the health-care equipment may significantly reduce toxic emissions from incinerators (Lee et al., 2004). For an instance, medical equipment/products, comprising PVC, are the major source of chlorine emissions from the incineration units. Moreover, incineration of the PVC-containing medical products also leads to emissions of dioxins and furans (Thornton et al., 1996). Therefore, while emphasizing on efficient segregation of the BMW, it is also advised to encourage the substitution of PVC material in medical products, with bio-based plastics (Silva et al., 2020). Legislations, for assisting the faster transition from general plastic to bio-based plastic, need to be implemented at a larger scale. In this way, all the progress made to achieve the United Nation’s Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) viz., SDG 3, SDG 8, SDG 12, SDG 13 etc., could be sustained (PlasticsEurope, 2019; HCWH, 2020).

Materials, used for making masks, also comprise of polypropylene and polyethylene. These masks could increase the content of microplastics in the fresh water bodies, further leading to several health-related consequences (NGO Oceans Asia, 2020; Prata et al., 2020; Silva and Nanny, 2020). Therefore, un-controlled use and disposal of masks have also become a controversial issue during recent pandemic situations (WHO 2020). In this context, substitution of the masks, consisting of polypropylene and polyethylene, with textile-made masks has been highlighted by Somani et al. (2020).

Similarly, there are several products, such as, thermometers and sphygmomanometers, in current health-care system, which rely on use of mercury. In the end of their life-cycle these products get incinerated and become a cause of mercury emissions. Several countries have already banned the use of mercury containing products from their health-care system (O’Brien 2000). Also, increased use of contact-less infra-red radiations-based thermometers has given India also an opportunity to remove the mercury based thermometers from its health-care system.

6. Conclusions

The findings of this study revealed that, in India, a COVID-infected patient generates approximately 3.41 kg/d of BMW. Under pandemic conditions, 609 T/d BMW and 101 T/d BMW are generated by normal and COVID-infected patients, respectively. It is also estimated that Y-BMW constitutes 50.4% of the BMW generated. Exponential increase, in the number of COVID-infected patients since 21st March 2020, has inflated the incineration units of India with Y-BMW and this study revealed that by 14th July 2020, the incineration capacity of all the CBWTFs of India would have been fully utilized. Subsequently, assessment of environmental-health impacts, associated with emissions from incineration of BMW, revealed that emissions of Cd may prove fatal for adults and children of India. Therefore, it is recommended to side-by-side use available alternative technologies for the treatment of BMW. In this way, burden on BMW-incineration units of India could be minimized. Also, to reduce the emissions of the harmful chemicals from incineration units, segregation should be encouraged and different treatment options for specific wastes should be adopted. Substitution of harmful raw materials with other harmless substances may also assist in reducing the toxic emissions from incineration units.
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