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Abstract
Background: Today, it is considered that infants can feel pain, and it can have several adverse effects on their development. Although different interventions, such as skin-to-skin contact (kangaroo mother care method), glucose solutions, breastfeeding, and local anesthetic agents, have been evaluated to reduce infants’ pain, there is no clinical trial available to identify the best method.

Objective: The aim of this study was to compare the efficacy of four methods of relieving infants’ pain, i.e., breastfeeding, oral 25% dextrose, kangaroo mother care method (KMCM), and EMLA cream based on a pain score level following heal-prick sampling in term newborns.

Methods: In this double-blind, controlled, randomized clinical trial, full term, and healthy infants in Shahid Motahhari Hospital, Marvdasht, Shiraz Province, Iran were studied. Infants were randomly allocated to four groups of interventions, i.e., A: breast milk feeding (n=42), B: oral 25% dextrose (n=40), C: KMCM (n=38), and D: KMCM ointment (n=40). All interventions were applied 15 minutes before heel-prick sampling. To evaluate the pain level in infants, the Neonatal Infant Pain Scale (NIPS) questionnaire was used. The data were analyzed with SPSS version 16 by ANOVA and the chi-squared tests.

Results: One hundred and sixty-one infants (93 males, 68 females) with an age range of 3 to 5 days were studied. There was no significant difference between the groups in terms of gender (p=0.113), weight (p=0.059), and baseline pain score level (p=0.904). The breastfeeding method showed the lowest pain score in comparison to the other interventions (A=5.52±2.22, B=6.45±1.88, C=6.84±1.96, D=7.37±1.95; p=0.001) after the heel-prick sampling.

Conclusion: It seems that, among the four methods of interventions in this study, the most effective method of lowering perceived pain in infants undergoing painful procedures was proven to be breastfeeding.

Trial Registration: This study is registered in the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials with the registration number of IRCT20151201253256N1.

Funding: This research was supported financially by the Research Council of the International Campus of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.
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1. Introduction
Pain is an undesirable sensation usually accompanied by tissue damage, which is now perceived to be felt even by infants (1, 2). Prolonged, repetitive pain endured during infancy can have semi-permanent effects on the way the autonomic nervous system performs, and, also, it can cause disturbances in nervous system development, attention level, learning abilities, and behavioral patterns (3, 4). Pain expression in infants is different from older children and adults, and it may present with certain behavioral and physiological reactions, including facial changes, such as eyebrows rising, eyes squeezing, nasolabial folds creasing, and physiological reactions, such as crying and tachycardia. Using these features, many techniques and tools have been utilized to quantify pain in infants (5-7).

Many studies have been performed to find the best way to reduce pain in infants, which mainly include skin-to-skin contact (kangaroo mother care method (KMCM)); glucose solutions, such as dextrose; breastfeeding; and local anesthetic agents, such as EMLA; however, no study is available to compare these four methods in one setting (5, 8). The main disadvantage of KMCM is that it is utilized mainly for premature and low-birthweight infants, and just a limited number of studies have been performed on full-term and healthy infants (9-12). Sugary solutions, such as dextrose, glucose, or sucrose solutions bind to the sweet taste receptors and cause a surge of endorphins, which leads to lowering the sensation of pain and elevating the pain threshold level; however, its efficacy for analgesia has been reported differently in recent studies (13-16). It assumes that breast milk feeding, as another method for analgesia, reduces the pain sensation through three different mechanisms, i.e., endorphin release due to sweet taste, skin contact and cradling during breastfeeding, and the sucking reflex (17-22). Also, the administration of local anesthetic agents, such as EMLA cream (lidocaine 2.5% and prilocaine 2.5%), has been proven to be effective in lowering the pain sensation (17, 23), but, in some studies, its safety and power of effectiveness has not been approved yet (24-27). To date, there is no clinical trial available to clarify the best method of analgesia in newborns with a comparison of these four interventions in one setting. So, it can be concluded that a study is required to compare different methods and reach a conclusion concerning the best method to reduce the pain sensation in full-term, healthy newborns. The aim of this study was to compare the efficacy of four methods, i.e., breastfeeding, oral 25% dextrose, KMCM, and EMLA cream on pain score levels following heel prick sampling in full-term newborns.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study Design
This was a double-blinded, randomized, clinical trial conducted in full-term, healthy newborns at Shahid Motahhari Hospital, Marvdasht, Shiraz Province, Iran, from March to December 2015.

2.2. Study Population
The inclusion criteria were infants with gestational age of 37 to 42 weeks, who had an Apgar score of +9 at birth, birth weight of 2500-4000 grams, and ages between 3 and 5 days who were candidates for heel-prick sampling. The exclusion criteria were infants who had mothers with a history of gestational hypertension or diabetes, those being fed with infant formula, or those who had a nil per os (NPO) diet condition, and those who were found to have a remarkable, unusual finding in their physical examinations.

2.3. Sample Determination
According to previous studies that showed a mean pain score of 6 in the dextrose intervention group, and 8 scores in skin-to-skin contact, breast milk, and EMLA intervention groups, with a standard deviation of 3, we estimated a sample size of 40 newborns in each group using a level of significance at 0.05 with a power of 80% and difference score of 2.

2.4. Blinding and allocation
The infants were allocated randomly using envelopes that contained a pain-management method among four groups, i.e., A, B, C, and D. The double-blinded method was set up for this study. The nurse in charge of the heel-prick sampling was not aware of the pre-sampling pain management method. Also, pain score levels were mentioned by one certain medical student who was not aware of the pain-management method in each infant according to the Neonatal Infant Pain Scale parameters.

2.5. Interventions
Infants were allocated randomly to four groups of interventions, i.e., A: breast milk feeding (n=42), B: oral 25% dextrose (n=40), C: KMCM (n=38), and D: KMCM ointment (n=40). All interventions (A: breast milk feeding; B: 2 milliliters of oral 25% dextrose; C: KMCM; and D: EMLA ointment) were applied 15 minutes before heel-prick
sampling (Figure 1). Then, all infants were subjected to heel-prick sampling, done by one nurse in the pediatric ward in a single work shift using 23 Gauge Scalp needle (Supa Company, Iran).

**Figure1.** Consort 2010 Flow Diagram of the study

### 2.6. Studied Variables
Before the interventions, the subjects’ gender, weight, and baseline pain level score before the interventions were documented, and, immediately after applying the various intervention methods and sampling, the pain level score and NIPS questionnaire parameters were documented.

### 2.7. Neonatal Infant Pain Scale
To evaluate the perceived pain level in infants, the Neonatal Infant Pain Scale (NIPS) (7) questionnaire was used. This method is used in premature and full-term infants for up to six weeks after birth and includes parameters, such as crying, limb movement, facial expressions, respiratory pattern, heart rate, O2 saturation, and state of arousal. The details of this system are as follows: Crying (0: no cry, 1: whimper, 2: vigorous), facial expression (0: relaxed, 1: grimace), breathing pattern (0: relaxed, 1: change in breathing pattern), arms and legs each (0: relaxed, 1: flexed/extended), and state of arousal (0: sleeping/awake, 1: fussy), and the total pain score ranges between 0-10. Validity and reliability of this questionnaire have been approved by Suraseranivongse et al. (28), and its Farsi-language version had been approved earlier (29).

### 2.8. Research Ethics
This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (Code: IR.SUMS.REC.1394.156), and all of the subjects’ parents were informed regarding the details of the study and signed a consent form.

### 2.9. Statistical Analysis
The statistical analysis was done using SPSS software, version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Baseline demographics and NIPS questionnaire parameters were compared among study groups using ANOVA and the chi-
squared test and the Fisher exact test, as deemed appropriate. The confidence interval was set at 95%, and statistical significance was set at $p=0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline Characteristics
This study was conducted on 161 subjects (93 males, 68 females) with an age range of 3 to 5 days. The subjects’ mean weight was $3.07 \pm 0.63$ kilograms. Each of the four groups were divided as follows: A: breast milk feeding ($n=42$), B: oral 25% dextrose ($n=40$), C: KMCM ($n=38$), and D: KMCM ointment ($n=40$). The groups had no statistically significant difference regarding weight, gender, and the baseline total pain score (Table 1).

3.2. Total Pain Score
After the interventions, 14 of the subjects (8.7%) experienced mild pain (NIPS score: 0-3), 53 of the subjects (32.9%) experienced moderate pain (NIPS score: 4-6), and 94 of the subjects (58.4%) experienced severe pain (NIPS score: 7-10). The average pain score was $6.53 \pm 2.11$ (moderate pain). The average pain score in group A (breast milk feeding) was $5.52 \pm 2.22$ and was significantly lower than the rest of the groups ($p=0.00$) (Table 2). Group B (25% dextrose) was in second place with an average pain score of $6.45 \pm 1.88$ (Table 2). The posthoc test showed that there were statistically significant differences between groups A and D ($p=0.00$) and between groups A and C ($p=0.021$), and they resulted in a significant difference between all four groups. Moreover, through the chi-squared analysis, it was found that there was a statistically significant correlation between the type of intervention and the total pain score categories ($p=0.005$); group A had the least frequency of severe pain and the largest number of mild pain scores (Table 3).

3.3. NIPS Questionnaire Parameters
There was no significant difference among the four groups regarding oxygen (O2) saturation levels, heart rate, and state of arousal (Table 2). However, there was a statistically significant difference between the groups regarding breathing pattern, crying, arm and leg flexion/extension, and facial expression (Table 2). The least amount of pain scores according to crying, arm and leg flexion/extension, and facial expression were attributed to group A, while, according to breathing pattern, it was attributed to group B (Table 2). Moreover, according to the chi-squared analysis results, by converting quantitative data to qualitative data, there was a statistically significant correlation between the type of intervention and the total pain score regarding crying, arm and leg flexion/extension, facial expression, breathing pattern, and heart rate (Table 3).

### Table 1. Baseline information of the subjects divided into groups

| Variables   | Breast Milk ($n=42$) | EMLA Ointment ($n=41$) | Skin-contact ($n=38$) | 25% Dextrose ($n=40$) | $p$-value |
|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Gender      | Male, n              | 18                     | 24                    | 26                    | 25        | 0.113     |
|             | Female, n            | 24                     | 17                    | 12                    | 15        |           |
| Weight      | kg, Mean ± SD        | 2.88±0.95              | 3.04±0.45             | 3.26±0.42             | 3.11±0.46 | 0.059     |
| Pain Score  | Mean ± SD            | 0.19±0.02              | 0.19±0.01             | 0.20±0.06             | 0.18±0.08 | 0.904     |

| Table 2. Comparison of the NIPS variables and the total pain score for four intervention methods (Quantitative) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables, Mean ± SD                                          | Breast Milk ($n=42$)                                          | EMLA Ointment ($n=41$)                                      | Skin-contact ($n=38$)                                      | 25% Dextrose ($n=40$)                  | $p$-value |
| Facial expression                                            | 0.67±0.47                                                     | 0.93±0.26                                                    | 0.76±0.43                                                    | 0.70±0.46                           | 0.02*     |
| Crying                                                       | 1.14±0.60                                                     | 1.59±0.59                                                    | 1.26±0.64                                                    | 1.20±0.60                           | 0.00*     |
| Breathing pattern                                            | 0.69±0.46                                                     | 0.90±0.30                                                    | 0.84±0.37                                                    | 0.65±0.48                           | 0.01*     |
| Movements of Arms                                            | 0.64±0.48                                                     | 0.90±0.30                                                    | 0.87±0.34                                                    | 0.82±0.38                           | 0.01*     |
| Movements of Legs                                            | 0.69±0.46                                                     | 0.88±0.33                                                    | 0.84±0.37                                                    | 0.95±0.22                           | 0.01*     |
| State of arousal                                              | 0.69±0.46                                                     | 0.85±0.35                                                    | 0.89±0.31                                                    | 0.87±0.33                           | 0.57      |
| Heart rate                                                    | 1.05±0.49                                                     | 1.34±0.57                                                    | 1.24±0.82                                                    | 1.20±0.68                           | 0.23      |
| Oxygen saturation                                             | 0.0±0.0                                                      | 0.0±0.0                                                      | 0.0±0.0                                                      | 0.0±0.0                             | -         |
| Pain Score level                                              | 5.52±2.22                                                    | 7.37±1.95                                                    | 6.84±1.96                                                    | 6.45±1.88                           | 0.00*     |
Table 3. Comparison of NIPS variables and total pain score among four intervention methods (Qualitative)

| Variable                        | Breast Milk (n=42) | Ointment (n=41) | Skin-contact (n=38) | Dextrose (n=40) | p-value |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Pain score category             |                    |                 |                     |                 |         |
| Mild (0-3)                      | 7                  | 3               | 2                   | 2               | 0.00*   |
| Moderate (4-6)                  | 18                 | 5               | 13                  | 17              |         |
| Severe (7-10)                   | 17                 | 33              | 23                  | 21              |         |
| Facial Expression               |                    |                 |                     |                 |         |
| Relaxed                         | 14                 | 3               | 9                   | 12              | 0.02*   |
| Grimace                         | 28                 | 38              | 29                  | 28              |         |
| Crying                          |                    |                 |                     |                 |         |
| No cry                          | 5                  | 2               | 4                   | 4               | 0.021*  |
| Whimper                         | 26                 | 13              | 20                  | 24              |         |
| Vigorous                        | 11                 | 26              | 14                  | 12              |         |
| Breathing pattern               |                    |                 |                     |                 |         |
| Relaxed                         | 13                 | 4               | 6                   | 14              | 0.019*  |
| Change in breathing pattern     | 29                 | 37              | 32                  | 26              |         |
| Movement of Arms                |                    |                 |                     |                 |         |
| Relaxed                         | 15                 | 4               | 5                   | 7               | 0.013*  |
| Flexed/extended                 | 27                 | 37              | 33                  | 33              |         |
| Movement of Legs                |                    |                 |                     |                 |         |
| Relaxed                         | 13                 | 5               | 6                   | 2               | 0.011*  |
| Flexed/extended                 | 29                 | 36              | 32                  | 38              |         |
| State of arousal                |                    |                 |                     |                 |         |
| Sleeping/awake                  | 13                 | 6               | 4                   | 5               | 0.057   |
| Fussy                           | 29                 | 35              | 34                  | 35              |         |
| Heart rate                      |                    |                 |                     |                 |         |
| Within 10% of baseline          | 4                  | 2               | 9                   | 6               | 0.001*  |
| 11-20% of baseline              | 32                 | 23              | 11                  | 20              |         |
| > 20% of baseline               | 6                  | 16              | 18                  | 14              |         |
| Oxygen saturation               |                    |                 |                     |                 |         |
| No additional need to maintain oxygen | 42            | 41              | 38                  | 40              | -       |
| Additional need to maintain oxygen | 0              | 0               | 0                   | 0               |         |

4. Discussion
This study aimed to compare the efficacy of breastfeeding, oral 25% dextrose, KMCM, and EMLA cream in pain management following heel-prick sampling in term newborns, and the results showed that breastfeeding was the best method for pain management. After breastfeeding, dextrose, KMCM, and EMLA cream showed the least amount of pain, respectively. Blood sampling is the most leading cause of pain in hospitalized newborns. Premature infants or the ones being worked up for certain illnesses will be subjected to heel-prick or venous blood sampling between one to twenty-one times during their hospitalization (30). Considering the negative outcomes of pain sensation in infancy, it is mandatory to study, research, and palliate the pain experienced during these procedures (3). As our results showed, breastfeeding seems to be the optimal method for pain management in infants (31). This method contains pleasant factors, such as a bond with the mother (32), skin contact, sucking, and the sweet taste of milk (33), which, by itself, induces endorphins (34, 35). However, in addition, it is important to identify the actual factors involved in decreasing the perceived pain by the infant through breastfeeding. It was obvious that it was more than just the sweet taste of milk (36); it is likely that the fats and proteins of breast milk have a role in lowering pain perception by stimulating opioid receptors and nerve blocking (34). Moreover, it is said that breast milk and its fat content stimulate the release of the gut hormone cholecystokinin, which may lower the sensitivity to pain (37). Skin-to-skin contact between mother and infant, known as kangaroo mother care, is yet another method that has been found to be effective in reducing the perception of pain, but the mechanism of this action is unknown (38, 39). In this method, the infant is completely undressed (except for a diaper and a head-cover) and is leaned on the mother’s breast and abdomen (9). Theoretically, sugar solutions increase the effectiveness of endorphins and act by stimulating the opioid receptors, which leads to the reduction of pain (13, 40). Emine et al. found that there was no significant difference between sucrose solution and breast milk, with both having significantly lower levels of perceived pain when compared to the control group (41). This study’s findings were similar. Eghbalian et al. conducted a study comparing breast milk, glucose, and acetaminophen, and the results indicated that breast milk was the most efficacious (42). Although the glucose solution specimen in their study had a 50% concentration, we used a 25% concentration solution, which might be the reason for the lack of significant difference between the breast milk and the sugar water groups. Bilgen et al. studied the differences among 25% concentration solution of sucrose, breastfeeding and breast milk, which indicated that a 25% concentration solution of sucrose had the most palliative
effect (22). Eriksson et al. conducted their study by comparing 25% concentration solution of glucose with 10% concentration of the same solution, which showed the higher efficiency of 25% concentration solution of glucose compared to the same solution of 10% concentration in lowering experienced pain and also crying time of the infant (43, 44). However, administering higher concentrations of sugar solutions to achieve pain palliation should be evaluated for possible side effects. With the aim of investigating the best type of sugar solutions in pain management, Blass et al. conducted a study that showed that sucrose was the best type of pain management, followed by fructose and glucose; however, lactose generated no pain relief at all (40). Therefore, it can be stated that pain-reducing properties of breast milk rely on factors other than lactose.

In a study conducted by Gradin et al., it was reported that the effect of a sugar solution (sucrose) in lowering pain perception was superlative to EMLA cream (45). A similar finding was reported in the study conducted by Abad et al. (46) & Mucigant et al. (47). Also, Noori et al. reported the superiority of 30% concentration glucose solution in lowering pain perception in comparison with EMLA cream (48). Besides, the results of our study showed that sugar solution (25% dextrose) provided better effectiveness than EMLA cream, as well. Although, according to the available studies and our study, it can be stated that breastfeeding and KMCM are the best ways for pain management in the infants who are undergoing painful procedures. However, more detailed studies should be conducted to achieve the best time for the intervention. The optimal concentration of sugar solution (while avoiding any possible side effects) and the optimal time between the intervention and the painful procedure are important to determine. It is also suggested that more trials be done regarding the pain-relieving properties of breast milk and breastfeeding. One of the limitations of this study was the absence of a control group. Even though each of the interventions used in this study was compared to a control group in previous studies, having a control group would have resulted in a more specific outcome in our study. Other limitations were the way the data were measured with the NIPS questioner, which was based on visual assessments, and, despite its use in other several studies, it is still a qualitative system and may cause distortion in the scoring system.

5. Conclusions
It seems that among the four methods of intervention in this study, the most effective method of lowering perceived pain in infants undergoing painful procedures was proven to be breastfeeding. This method resulted in a statistically significant lower average pain score when compared to groups being intervened with EMLA local anesthetic cream and skin contact (Kangaroo Mother Care). Further studies should be conducted to determine the differences between breastfeeding and the use of sugar solutions, such as dextrose, in pain management.
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