Antibiotic prescribing in inpatient and outpatient settings in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis study
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Abstract

Background: Antibiotic prescribing is common worldwide. There are several original studies about antibiotic prescribing in the healthcare setting of Iran reporting different levels of prescribing. The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to determine the prevalence of antibiotic prescribing in both inpatient and outpatient settings in Iran, an example of a developing country.

Methods: To identify published studies on antibiotic prescribing, databases such as ISI, Scopus, PubMed, Google Scholar, and Electronic Persian were searched in Iran till January 2020. Eligible studies were those analyzing original data on the prescription and use of antibiotics in outpatient or inpatient settings in Iran. Moreover, all studies that used an intervention to improve antibiotic prescribing were included. The quality of the included studies was assessed using self-administered quality assessment criteria. The meta-analysis of prevalence of antibiotic prescribing was conducted based on the meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology guidelines. To calculate pooled rates, the random-effects model was used.

Results: A total of 54 studies (39 outpatients and 15 inpatients) were included in this study. The median of antibiotic prescribing in the outpatient and inpatient settings accounted for 45.25% and 68.2% of patients, respectively. The results of meta-analysis also showed that the antibiotic prescribing accounted for 45% of prescriptions in outpatient settings and 39.5%, 66%, and 75.3% of patients in all wards, pediatrics wards, and ICU wards of inpatient settings, respectively. The most commonly prescribed antibiotic classes in outpatient settings were penicillins, cephalosporins, and macrolides, while in inpatient settings, these were cephalosporins, penicillins, and carbapenems. There were seven studies using interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing pattern. It should be mentioned that intervention in a study had a statistically significant effect on improving antibiotic prescribing ($p < .05$).

Conclusion: Prevalence of antibiotic prescribing in Iran is high. Our findings highlight the need for urgent action to improve prescription practices. It seems that developing a national plan to improve antibiotic prescribing is necessary.
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Background

Prescribing and use of antibiotics have spread worldwide. These medications are among the most frequently used and expensive drugs for patients as well as health care organizations [1]. Although the use of antibiotics is helpful in the treatment of patients, their irrational, excessive use has become a major concern; therefore, it has led to the spread of antibiotic resistance, one of the greatest
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threats to human health. Antibiotic resistance may lead to delay in providing effective care, increased costs, and even death [2–5]. One of the most important reasons for antibiotic resistance is inappropriate, excessive use of antibiotics [6, 7].

Monitoring the patterns and rates of antibiotic prescribing are among the recommended strategies to prevent their overuse [8]. In addition, determining the prevalence of antibiotic prescribing is one of the main criteria in evaluating the antibiotics status [9]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the ideal prevalence for antibiotic prescribing is 20–26.8% of prescriptions [1, 11]. The antibiotic prescribing rate is increasing and often exceeds the WHO recommendation threshold in various developed and developing countries such as USA, many European countries (such as France, Spain, Portugal, Cyprus, Iceland, Greece, and Czech Republic), Asian, and African countries (such as China, Thailand, Saudi Arabia, Jordan, and Egypt) [11–18].

Many studies estimated the rate of antibiotic prescribing in inpatient and outpatient settings in different countries and reported different estimates [19–25]. However, there are few studies that have systematically reviewed the rate of antibiotic prescribing worldwide [18, 26, 27]. The results of two systematic review and meta-analysis studies in China showed that the overall rate of antibiotic prescribing in healthcare settings and in patients with upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) was high [18, 26]. The results of another one revealed that the rate of antibiotic prescribing in pediatrics hospitals in countries with poor resources was high [27]. Antibiotic usage is high in Iran which is a developing country. Some studies reported antibiotic prescribing prevalence of 45–72% in inpatients and outpatients in this country [28–30]. Several studies have been conducted to evaluate the rate of antibiotic prescribing in different geographical areas, healthcare settings, and even in a number of patients [30, 33–36] and each of which reported different rates. Therefore, it is important to perform a systematic review studies appear to be helpful in planning and controlling the use and prescription of these medications by providing a summary of the evidence and an overview of antibiotic prescribing in healthcare settings. This supports decision making pertaining to health about antibiotic prescribing. Thus, this systematic review and meta-analysis study aimed at determining the prevalence of antibiotic prescribing in different settings in Iran.

Methods

Search strategy
A comprehensive search strategy was developed using terms and MeSH terms related to antibiotic (e.g. antibiotic, anti-infective agents, antimicrobial, and antibacterial), prescribing (e.g. prescription, prescribe, administer, dispense, consumption, therapy, and Treat), and Iran (e.g. Iran, Iranian, Farsi, Persian).

Electronic databases (i.e. ISI, Scopus, and MEDLINE/ PubMed) were searched using customized search strategies on Jan 2020. Persian electronic databases, including MagIran and SID (Scientific Information Database), were searched using Persian terms which are similar to the above-mentioned ones. Google Scholar was also searched using Persian search terms, to avoid missing relevant papers. Finally, the list of references in all retrieved papers was reviewed to identify extra relevant studies.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
The original studies included were those investigating antibiotic prescribing rate in patients’ prescriptions or hospital patients’ records, those using an intervention to improve antibiotic prescribing pattern and the ones conducted in either inpatient or outpatient settings in Iran and published in Persian or English. Due to the necessity of prescribing antibiotics in surgery, dentistry, and burn patients, the studies on these populations were not included. In addition, conference papers, letters, opinions, and dissertations were also excluded.

Review procedure and data extraction
One of the reviewers searched the databases (R.A). Screening the title and abstract of potentially relevant papers were carried out by two independent reviewers (E.N, R.A). Any potential conflict about the inclusion of papers was discussed by them and after reaching a consensus, they made an appropriate decision. Subsequently, the full text of the included papers was retrieved to fulfil the aims of this review, and if not available, the full-texts were requested from the authors via email.

After reviewing the full-text for each included paper, the following information was extracted: authors, year of study, region, setting, sample size, unit of analysis, percentage of antibiotic prescribing (per prescription or medical record), the number of antibiotics in each prescription (one, two, and more than two antibiotics), and antibiotic classes and names. In the case of interventional studies, in addition to the above mentioned information, the type of study, the type of intervention used, and its effects were also extracted. Since patients’ age and gender, type of disease, type of insurance, and type of cost payment have not been investigated in most of the included studies, we could not consider this type of data in our analysis.

Quality assessment of the included studies
The methodological quality of the included studies was evaluated by a self-administered checklist based on
related studies [18, 37] and approved by three specialists (Pharmacology, medical informatics, and health information management) (Table 1). Total quality scores ranged from 0 to 10 (0–4 points = poor, 5–7 points = moderate, 8–10 points = high). Two independent reviewers scored the quality of each study according to the mentioned criteria and the third reviewer resolved potential discrepancies.

**Statistical analysis**

The median and interquartile range (IQR) of antibiotic prescribing rates were calculated. Subgroup analyses were conducted based on the healthcare setting type (inpatient and outpatient). To standardize the meta-analysis methodology, the rates of antibiotic prescribing were obtained. Data were analyzed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) software (Version 2.0). The meta-analysis was conducted based on the meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology guidelines [38]. Intervenional studies were excluded for meta-analysis. Pooled rates were calculated with a 95% confidence interval (CI) using a random-effects model. For publication bias, Egger’s weighted regression method was used.

**Results**

**Literature search results**

Figure 1 shows the flow diagram of the literature search. The electronic literature search led to the identification of 5868 published papers. After excluding duplicates, 4699 unique papers remained. After reviewing the titles of the papers as well as their abstracts and also considering the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 80 papers were selected for full-text review. Furthermore, by hand-searching in Google Scholar and the reference lists of the included papers, 7 additional related papers were identified. After a detailed full-text review of 87 papers, 33 papers were excluded because they reported antibiotic prescribing based on the defined daily dose (DDD), defined daily dose per 100 Inhabitants per day (DID), or defined daily dose per bed day (DBD) scales [33, 35, 39–42], or only assessed prescriptions containing antibiotics and did not report the ratio of antibiotic-containing prescriptions to all prescriptions [43, 44]. Finally, a total of 54 papers were selected to be included in this study.

**General characteristics of the included studies**

The included studies were conducted from 1995 to 2016. In total, 39 (72%) studies were conducted in outpatient settings and 15 (28%) in inpatient settings. Out of 39 studies in outpatient settings, 7 (18%) studies evaluated the effects of interventions on antibiotic prescription [45–51].

**Quality of the included studies**

The quality assessment of the included studies showed that none of them fulfilled all the quality criteria. Twelve (22%) studies were of high quality, 35 (65%) were of moderate quality, and 7 (13%) were of poor quality. Only 18 studies (33%) listing their limitations.

**Findings obtained from the interventional studies**

All of the interventional studies were conducted in outpatient settings during 1995–2012. Since there was difference in the percentage of antibiotic prescribing in before and after of interventions, the results of interventional studies were reported separately from other outpatient studies (Table 2). All the interventions were educational [45–49], and in two studies [50, 51], both feedback and educational materials were used. The interventions used in these studies resulted in a relative improvement in antibiotic prescribing pattern; however, in one study, the
The prevalence of antibiotic prescription in inpatient healthcare settings

Among the reviewed studies, 15 (28%) ones were done in inpatient settings during 1997–2014. In two studies (13%) [52, 53], the unit of analysis was the prescriptions. In the other 13 studies (87%), the unit of analysis was patients or hospital patients’ records, which were considered to be equal. Also, 3, 4, and 4 studies were done in all wards, pediatrics wards, and ICU wards, respectively. The minimum and maximum sample sizes were 104 and 17,668 patients, respectively. Most of the studies (80%) did not report the most commonly prescribed antibiotic classes. The median of antibiotic prescribing in inpatient setting accounted for 68.2% of patients. Cephalosporins, carbapenems, and penicillins were the most commonly prescribed antibiotic classes. Ceftriaxone, cefazolin, vancomycin, and clindamycin were the most commonly
Table 2 General characteristics of the interventional studies on antibiotic prescription

| References               | Region       | Setting     | Sample size/unit of analysis | Study type/duration | Intervention type                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Percentage of the prescribed antibiotics | Effects of the intervention                                                                                         | The most commonly prescribed antibiotic classes (from most to least) | The most commonly prescribed antibiotics (from most to least) |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mohagheghi, et al. (1995–2001) [45] | Tehran       | Outpatient  | 1,096,861 prescriptions      | RCT/6 months        | Short educational course for GPs                                                                                                                                                                                  | Before: in IG, 66.8% and in CG, 71.4% After: in IG, 66.1% and in CG, 74.8% | Antibiotic prescribing was less in IG than in CG ($p < 0.05$)                                                       | Penicillin, Sulfonamides, Cephalosporin, Macrolides, Metronidazole, Aminoglycosides | NM                                                             |
| Najaf Zare et al. [46]    | Shiraz       | Outpatient  | 119 GPs                      | Quasi experimental (before and after)/one year | Rational prescribing workshop (one day)                                                                                                                                                                             | Before: 47.3% After: 46.4%                                                            | Relative improvement ($p > 0.05$)                                                                                             | NM                                                            | NM                                                             |
| Garjani et al. [47]       | Tabriz       | Outpatient  | 1135 prescriptions           | RCT/One month       | Educational intervention reviewing examples of prescriptions, principles of prescription writing, necessity of rational prescribing and use of drugs, impact of irrational use of drugs, common errors in prescribing, and rational use of injections, antibiotics, and glucocorticoids (why, where, how, and how long) | Before in both groups 4.08% After: in IG, 38.9% and in CG, 37.2% | Relative improvement in prescribing ($p > 0.05$)                                                                      | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides                       | NM                                                             |
| Ataei et al. [48]          | Kermanshah   | Outpatient  | 2040 prescriptions           | Quasi experimental (Before and After)/6 months | Rational prescribing workshop                                                                                                                                                                                   | Before: 52.2% After: 47.6%                                                            | Less prescribing antibiotics ($p > 0.05$)                                                                              | NM                                                            | NM                                                             |
| References     | Region             | Setting    | Sample size/unit of analysis | Study type/duration | Intervention type                                                                 | Percentage of the prescribed antibiotics | Effects of the intervention                                                                 | The most commonly prescribed antibiotic classes (from most to least) |
|---------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Esmaily [49]  | East Azarbayjan   | Outpatient | 159 GPs                       | CRCT/3 day          | Educational programs: (1) principles of prescription writing, (2) adverse reactions to drugs, (3) drug interactions, (4) injections, (5) antibiotic therapy, and (6) therapy with anti-inflammatory agents | Pretest (IG: 61%, CG: 59%) Posttest (IG: 63%, CG: 60%) | No significant improvement (p-value: IG: .41 and CG: .39) | NM                                                                                     |
| Sadeghi et al. [50] | Cheharmahal Bakhtiyari | Outpatient | 50 physicians                 | Quasi experimental with an external control group | Feedback for patients with mean of the country, a book about rational prescribing medications, content of Iran’s drugs | Before: in IG1, 59.4% and in IG2, 58.5% After: in IG1, 50.3% and in IG2, 59.38% | Relative improvement (p > 0.05) | NM                                                                                     |
| Soleymani et al. [51] | Tehran            | Outpatient | 800 physicians                | RCT/3 months        | Four-armed randomized controlled trial: routinely conducting audit and feedback (RA&F), newly-designed audit and feedback (NA&F), printed educational materials (PEM) as well as a control arm | RA&F: 50.14% NA&F: 47.79% PEM: 48.19% Control: 47.05% | None of the interventions were effective in reducing overall antibiotic use | NM                                                                                     |

CG control group, CRCT cluster randomized control trial, GP general practitioner, IG intervention group, NM not mentioned, RCT randomized control trial
prescribed antibiotics (Table 3). Due to difference in the various inpatient settings (i.e. all wards, pediatrics, ICU, and emergency), the total meta-analysis was not performed but just conducted separately on similar settings. The meta-analysis results showed that in the studies pertaining to all wards, pediatrics wards, and ICU wards, antibiotics were prescribed for 39.5, 66, and 75.3% of patients, respectively (Additional file 1: Attachments).

The prevalence of antibiotic prescription in outpatient healthcare settings
Among the reviewed studies, 32 (59%) ones were conducted in outpatient settings during 1995–2019. In these studies, the unit of analysis was either prescriptions or patients, and the minimum and maximum sample sizes were 441 and 200,000,000, respectively. Most of the studies (75%) did not report the most commonly prescribed antibiotic classes. Penicillin, cephalosporin, macrolides, as well as aminoglycosides were the most commonly prescribed antibiotic classes. Amoxicillin, penicillin, co-amoxiclav, and cefixime were the most frequently prescribed antibiotics (Table 4). Figure 2 shows the meta-analysis results and the percentages of prescribed antibiotics extracted from 27 studies conducted in outpatient settings. The total mean of antibiotic-containing prescriptions was 45% and their median in outpatient settings was 45.25%.

NM: Not Mentioned.

Discussion
This study aimed at providing an overview of the antibiotic prescribing pattern in Iran, as an example of developing countries. The results of this study showed that the rate of antibiotic prescribing in inpatient and outpatient settings in Iran was 68.2% for patients and 45.25% for prescriptions, respectively. Cephalosporins and carbapenems were the most commonly prescribed antibiotic classes in inpatient settings, while in outpatient settings, they were penicillins, cephalosporins, and macrolides.

Due to overprescribing of antibiotics in Iran, there are few studies using interventions to improve the pattern of antibiotic prescribing. Although there are different potential interventions pertaining to rational antibiotic prescribing, almost all the studies conducted in Iran have used educational interventions for physicians. Many of these interventions had no statistically significant effect on improving antibiotic prescribing pattern. It seems, nowadays, these traditional interventions have little effectiveness rather than electronic interventions. It is predicted that IT-based interventions with the provision of some capabilities such as regularly and automated registration of medications, performance feedback, and a reminder to physicians, and easy access to information at the point of care can help to more rational prescribing medications. Some of the studies [93–96] have shown that IT-based interventions (such as clinical decision support systems (CDSSs), electronic health record (EHR), electronic prescribing, and electronic based feedback on physician’s performance) could improve antibiotic prescribing pattern. Studying IT-based interventions and their effects merits further research.

We found that more than two-thirds of patients received antibiotics in the inpatient settings in Iran (median = 68.2%). The results of a global study showed that antibiotic consumption increased by 65% in 76 countries from 2000 to 2015 (from 21.1 to 34.8 billion DDDS) [97]. The experts from the center for disease control and prevention found that the total rate of antibiotic use in the United States hospitals did not change from 2006–2012, and that more than half of the patients received at least one antibiotic during their hospital stay [98]. The rate of antibiotic prescribing in Iran (68.2%) is similar to that reported in an original study in Nigeria (69.7%) and surpassed the WHO recommended range of 20–26.8% and in some developed countries such as Italy. Moreover, the rate of antibiotic prescribing in Iran is less than that in some developing countries such as Turkey, India, China, Tunisia, and Greece [97, 99]. Thus, since the antibiotic prescribing rate is high in the inpatient settings in Iran, applying interventions to improve that is necessary.

The results of this study showed that nearly half of the outpatients received antibiotics in Iran (median = 45.25% and meta-analysis = 45%). The results of a study by Yin et al. [18] showed that antibiotic prescribing in outpatient centers in China was 50.3%, and almost more than half of the outpatient visits in China resulted in prescribing antibiotics. In addition, Li et al. [26] reported the rate of antibiotic prescribing at URTI outpatient centers in China as 83.7%. The antibiotic prescribing rate is high in the United States as well, and almost 269 million antibiotic prescriptions were dispensed from outpatient pharmacies in 2015. Moreover, 5 out of 6 Americans received an antibiotic prescription in that year [75]. Another study in the United States showed that the mean of antibiotic prescribing per 1,000 patients was 826 cases in 2013 and 2015 in outpatients [100]. The overall rate of antibiotic prescribing in Iranian outpatient settings was higher than in many other undeveloped countries such as Maldives (24%), Bangladesh (31%), DPR Korea (35%), Cameroon (36.71%), Bhutan (41%), and East Timor (43%), but similar to Nepal (44%) and Indonesia (45%), and less than in Myanmar (47%), Sri Lanka (56%), India (62%), and Jordan (78%) in Africa, the Middle East, and East Asia [15, 16, 101]. Since the antibiotic prescribing rate is high in outpatients in Iran, interventions to reduce antibiotic prescribing rate is necessary. While educational...
Table 3 General characteristics of the studies conducted in inpatient settings

| References | Region          | Setting                        | Sample size          | Percentage of antibiotics prescribed | The most commonly prescribed antibiotic classes (from most to least) | The most commonly prescribed antibiotics (from most to least) | Unit of analysis |
|------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Cheragh Ali et al. [52] | Tehran | Inpatient (all wards) | 3117 prescriptions | 39 | NM | NM | Prescriptions |
| Shayan et al. [53] | Jahrom | Inpatient (all wards) | 4969 prescriptions | 56.63 | NM | NM | Patients or hospital records |
| Hajebi et al. [54] | Tehran | Inpatient (all wards) | 2137 hospital records | 57 | Cephalosporin, Penicillin, Carbapenems, Aminoglycosides | NM | Patients or hospital records |
| Tavallaee et al. [55] | Tehran | Inpatient (ICU) | 119 patients | 95.5 | NM | Cefuroxime, Ceftriaxone, Clindamycin, Cefazolin, Vancomycin | Prescriptions |
| Khodabakhshi et al. [56] | Golestan | Inpatient (all wards) | 318 hospital records | 69 | NM | Ceftriaxone, Clindamycin, Cefazolin, Metronidazole, Gentamycin | Patients or hospital records |
| Kakhshour et al. [57] | Bojnurd | Inpatient (pediatrics ward) | 292 patients | 78 | NM | Ceftriaxone, Cefazolin, Metronidazole, Gentamycin | Patients or hospital records |
| Mostafavi et al. [32] | Iran (All provinces) | Inpatient (pediatrics ward) | 1506 patients | 62.6 | NM | NM | Patients or hospital records |
| Taghi Zadeh et al. [36] | Tabriz | Inpatient (ICU ward) | 234 hospital records | 82 | Ceftriaxone, Carbapenems, Penicillin, ß-lactam, Aminoglycosides | NM | Patients or hospital records |
| Alavi et al. [58] | Ahvaz | Inpatient (all wards) | 9082 patients | 34.34 | NM | Ceftriaxone, Cloxacillin, Cefazolin, Gentamycin, Amikacin | Patients or hospital records |
| Rafati et al. [59] | Sari | Inpatient (ICU ward) | 148 patients | 68.2 | NM | Ceftriaxone, Cefazolin, Metronidazole, Gentamycin, Amikacin | Patients or hospital records |
| Abbasi et al. [60] | Urmia | Inpatient (pediatrics ward) | 104 patients | 85.6 | NM | Ceftriaxone, Cefepime, Cefotaxime, Cefazolin, Metronidazole, Gentamycin, Amikacin | Patients or hospital records |
| Reihani et al. [61] | Mashhad | Inpatient (emergency ward) | 540 patients | 70.2 | Ceftriaxone, Clindamycin, Carbapenems, Penicillin, Aminoglycosides | NM | Patients or hospital records |
| Fahimzad et al. [30] | Iran (17 hospitals from 15 cities) | Inpatient (neonatal and NICU wards) | 366 patients | 72 | NM | Ampicillin, Vancomycin, Amikacin, Cefotaxime, Gentamycin, Ceftazidine | Patients or hospital records |
| Fahimzad et al. [31] | Iran (16 Iranian pediatric hospitals) | Inpatient (pediatrics ward) | 858 patients | 67 | NM | Ceftriaxone, Vancomycin, Cefotaxime, Cefazolin, Metronidazole, Gentamycin, Amikacin | Patients or hospital records |
| Sabour et al. [62] | Tehran | Nursing homes | 170 hospital records | 13.5 | NM | NM | Patients or hospital records |
Table 3 (continued)

| References | Region | Setting | Sample size | Percentage of antibiotics prescribed | The most commonly prescribed antibiotic classes (from most to least) | The most commonly prescribed antibiotics (from most to least) | Unit of analysis |
|------------|--------|---------|-------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
|            |        |         | Median: 366 | Median: 68.2                           | Most prescribed: Cephalosporin, Carbapenems, and Pencillins   | Most prescribed: Ceftriaxone, Cefazolin, Vancomycin, and Clindamycin |                 |
|            |        |         | IQR1: 170   | IQR1: 56.63                             |                                                                |                                                                |                 |
|            |        |         | IQR3: 2137  | IQR3: 78                                |                                                                |                                                                |                 |

NM not mentioned
Table 4: General characteristics of the studies conducted in outpatient healthcare settings

| References                        | Region                        | Sample size/unit of analysis | Percentage of antibiotics prescribed | The most commonly prescribed antibiotic classes (from most to least) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Khaksari et al. (1995 and 2000)   | Rafsanjan                     | 6895 prescriptions           | 55.5                                 | Penicillin, Sulfonamides, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Macrolides |
| Mousavi et al. [64]               | Iran (all provinces)          | NM (prescription data from all around the country) | 45                                   | Penicillin, Amoxicillin, Ampicillin, Cefixime, Co-amoxiclav, Cloxacillin |
| Makouei et al. [65]               | Urmia                         | 1090 prescriptions           | 53                                   | Penicillin, Amoxicillin, Ampicillin, Cefixime, Co-amoxiclav, Cloxacillin |
| Dinarvand et al. [66]             | Tehran                        | NM (prescriptions in 55 pharmacy) | 43                                   | Penicillin, Amoxicillin, Ampicillin, Cefixime, Co-amoxiclav, Cloxacillin |
| Soleymani et al. (1998–2009) [67] | Iran (all provinces)          | 200 million prescriptions    | 53.1                                 | Penicillin, Amoxicillin, Ampicillin, Cefixime, Co-amoxiclav, Cloxacillin |
| Moghaddam Nia et al. [68]         | Babol                         | 4000 prescriptions           | 61.9                                 | Penicillin, Amoxicillin, Ampicillin, Cefixime, Co-amoxiclav, Cloxacillin |
| Arab et al. [69]                  | Khuzestan                      | 986 prescriptions            | 17.1                                 | Penicillin, Amoxicillin, Ampicillin, Cefixime, Co-amoxiclav, Cloxacillin |
| Cheragh Ali et al. [29]           | Iran (100 primary care settings from 5 provinces of Tehran, Fars, Khorasan, Khuzestan, Kermanshah) | NM (prescriptions in 100 primary care settings) | 58                                   | Penicillin, Amoxicillin, Procaine Penicillin |
| Karimi et al. [70]                | Savojbolagh                   | 1068 prescriptions           | 56.8                                 | Penicillin, Azithromycin, Penicillin 6.3.3, Cefixime, Co-amoxiclav |
| Sepehri et al. [71]               | Kerman                        | 45,384 prescriptions         | 33.95                                | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides                           |
| Alikhani et al. [72]              | Yasuj                         | 441 prescriptions            | 64.6                                 | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Aminoglycosides, Erythromycin, Amoxicillin, Co-amoxiclav, Cefixime, Cloxacillin |
| Sepehri et al. [73]               | Bam                           | 3000 prescriptions           | 11.2                                 | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Macrolides, Tetracycline, Aminoglycosides |
| Bastani et al. (2003–2013) [74]   | Iran (all provinces)          | 59 million prescriptions in each year | 53.33                                | Penicillin, Azithromycin, Penicillin 6.3.3, Cefixime, Co-amoxiclav |
| Sadeghi et al. [75]               | Khorasan Jonubi               | 1,423,642 prescriptions      | 42                                   | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Gentamicin, Aminoglycosides, Erythromycin, Amoxicillin, Co-amoxiclav, Cefixime, Cloxacillin |
| Ghadimi et al. [76]               | East Azarbayjan              | 2041 prescriptions           | 39.2                                 | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Gentamicin, Aminoglycosides, Erythromycin, Amoxicillin, Co-amoxiclav, Cefixime, Cloxacillin |
| Sasan et al. [77]                 | Mashhad                       | 1000 infants and children    | 32.7                                 | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Gentamicin, Aminoglycosides, Erythromycin, Amoxicillin, Co-amoxiclav, Cefixime, Cloxacillin |
| Masoud et al. (2005–2015) [78]    | Kerman                        | 15,784,313 prescriptions     | 41.42                                | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Gentamicin, Aminoglycosides, Erythromycin, Amoxicillin, Co-amoxiclav, Cefixime, Cloxacillin |
| Dolat Abadi et al. [79]           | Sabzevar                      | 167,305 prescriptions        | 45                                   | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Gentamicin, Aminoglycosides, Erythromycin, Amoxicillin, Co-amoxiclav, Cefixime, Cloxacillin |
| Ahmadi et al. [80]                | Ahvaz                         | 9524 prescriptions           | 17.7                                 | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Gentamicin, Aminoglycosides, Erythromycin, Amoxicillin, Co-amoxiclav, Cefixime, Cloxacillin |
| Sepehri et al. [81]               | Bam                           | 297,104 prescriptions        | 45.5                                 | Ciprofloxacin, Metronidazole, Amoxicillin |
| Zare Shahi et al. [82]            | Kerman                        | 410,218 prescriptions        | 40                                   | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Gentamicin, Aminoglycosides, Erythromycin, Amoxicillin, Co-amoxiclav, Cefixime, Cloxacillin |
| References          | Region          | Sample size/unit of analysis | Percentage of antibiotics prescribed | The most commonly prescribed antibiotic classes (from most to least) | The most commonly prescribed antibiotics (from most to least) |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mosleh et al. [83]  | Tehran          | 3420 prescriptions          | 56.49                                | NM                                                                | NM                                                            |
| Sadeghian et al. [84]| Isfahan         | 7,999,530 prescriptions     | 25.31                                | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Macrolides, Quinolones, Sulfonamides    | NM                                                            |
| Safaeian et al. [85]| Isfahan         | 7,439,709 prescriptions     | 51                                   | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Macrolides, Quinolones, Sulfonamides,   | Cefixime, Amoxicillin, Co-amoxiclav, Penicillin 6.3.3, Azithromycin, |
| Karimi et al. [28]  | Iran (all provinces) | 85 million prescriptions   | 45                                   | NM                                                                | Amoxicillin                                                   |
| Sadigh Rad et al. [86]| Urmia          | 269,660 prescriptions       | 39.29                                | NM                                                                | NM                                                            |
| Amani [87]          | Ardabil         | 2000 prescriptions          | 52.8                                 | Penicillin 6.3.3, Ceftriaxone, Penicillin 800,000                   | Penicillin 6.3.3, Ceftriaxone, Penicillin 800,000             |
| Hossein Zadeh et al. [88]| Ardabil      | 2000 prescriptions          | 54.9                                 | Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Macrolides                            | Cefixime, Azithromycin, Co-amoxiclav, Penicillin 6.3.3, Amoxicillin, |
| Eftekhari Gol et al. [89]| Khorasan Razavi | 14,189 prescriptions        | 50.8                                 | Nm                                                                | Nm                                                            |
| Ahmadi et al. [90]  | Kermanshah     | 352,399 prescriptions       | 52.1                                 | Nm                                                                | Nm                                                            |
| Rezaeezadeh et al. [91]| Tehran        | 1035 prescriptions          | 49.71                                | Nm                                                                | Nm                                                            |
| Soleymani et al. [92]| Tehran        | 455,549 prescriptions       | 30.1                                 | Nm                                                                | Nm                                                            |
| Median: 14,189      | Median: 45.25   | Median: 45.25               | Median: 45.25                        | Most prescribed: Penicillin, Cephalosporin, Macrolides, and Aminoglycosides | Most prescribed: Amoxicillin, Penicillin, Co-amoxiclav, and Cefixime |
| IQR1: 2000          | IQR1: 39.2      | IQR1: 39.2                  | IQR1: 53.33                         |                                                                   |                                                               |
interventions had no significant effect on reducing antibiotic prescribing rate in this country, the use of new interventional methods is suggested. The most common antibiotic classes prescribed in inpatient settings were cephalosporins, penicillins, and carbapenems, while in outpatient settings, they were penicillins, cephalosporins, and macrolides. Furthermore, the most frequently prescribed antibiotics were ceftriaxone and cefazolin in inpatient settings and amoxicillin, penicillin, co-amoxiclav, and cefixime in outpatient settings. Antibiotics classes such as penicillins, cephalosporins, quinolones, and macrolides were the most common antibiotic classes consumed in 76 countries during 2000–2015 [97]. Although consumption of broad-spectrum penicillins, carbapenems, and polymyxins has increased in high, middle, and low-income countries, there are some differences in the consumption of antibiotic classes. For example, cephalosporins consumption has increased in low and middle income countries, while it has declined in high income countries [97]. Also, in outpatient settings in the USA, the most commonly prescribed antibiotics in 2018 were azithromycin, amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, and cephalaxin [100]. Some of the most prescribed antibiotics in this study such as amoxicillin and cefazolin were placed in the access group and cefexime, ceftriaxone and vancomycin were placed in the watch group based on Access, Watch, Reserve (AWaRe) classification of antibiotics by WHO [102]. Despite the high use of some antibiotic classes such as carbapenems, quinolones, and cephalosporins, particularly the third generation of broad-spectrum antibiotics, they should be used with caution. These antibiotic classes have a high potential to cause antimicrobial resistance or side effects; however, their consumption has increased rapidly in low and middle income countries, while it has decreased in high income countries. [97, 103]. Thus, based on the obtained results, it seems necessary to change the antibiotic consumption patterns in Iran.

| Study name | Event rate | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-Value | p-Value |
|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Arab (2001) | 0.111 | 0.092 | 0.132 | -20.531 | 0.000 |
| Sepehri (2003) | 0.112 | 0.101 | 0.124 | -35.764 | 0.000 |
| Ahmad (2008) | 0.177 | 0.169 | 0.185 | -57.239 | 0.000 |
| Sadeqian (2010) | 0.253 | 0.253 | 0.253 | -130.748 | 0.000 |
| Soleymani (2019) | 0.301 | 0.300 | 0.302 | -260.844 | 0.000 |
| Sasan (2005) | 0.327 | 0.299 | 0.357 | -10.708 | 0.000 |
| Sepehri (2003) | 0.340 | 0.335 | 0.344 | -67.137 | 0.000 |
| Ghadir (2005) | 0.392 | 0.371 | 0.413 | -9.684 | 0.000 |
| Sadeigh Rad (2012) | 0.393 | 0.391 | 0.395 | -110.360 | 0.000 |
| Zare Shahi (2008) | 0.400 | 0.399 | 0.401 | -127.224 | 0.000 |
| Masoud (2015) | 0.414 | 0.414 | 0.414 | -678.357 | 0.000 |
| Sadeghi (2004) | 0.420 | 0.419 | 0.421 | -190.080 | 0.000 |
| Dolat Abadi (2008) | 0.450 | 0.448 | 0.452 | -40.836 | 0.000 |
| Karimi (2011) | 0.450 | 0.450 | 0.450 | -920.409 | 0.000 |
| Sperhi (2008) | 0.455 | 0.453 | 0.457 | -48.991 | 0.000 |
| Rezaezadeh (2017) | 0.497 | 0.466 | 0.527 | -0.219 | 0.828 |
| Eftekhar Gol (2014) | 0.508 | 0.500 | 0.516 | 1.906 | 0.057 |
| Safaeian (2011) | 0.510 | 0.510 | 0.510 | 54.548 | 0.000 |
| Ahmadi (2016) | 0.521 | 0.519 | 0.523 | 24.926 | 0.000 |
| Amani (2012) | 0.528 | 0.506 | 0.549 | 2.458 | 0.014 |
| Makouei (1998) | 0.529 | 0.500 | 0.559 | 1.937 | 0.053 |
| Soleymani (2009) | 0.531 | 0.531 | 0.531 | 277.094 | 0.000 |
| Hosseinzadeh (2013) | 0.549 | 0.527 | 0.571 | 4.376 | 0.000 |
| Khaksari (2000) | 0.555 | 0.543 | 0.567 | 9.122 | 0.000 |
| Mosleh (2008) | 0.565 | 0.548 | 0.581 | 7.571 | 0.000 |
| Karimi (2002) | 0.568 | 0.538 | 0.598 | 4.453 | 0.000 |
| Moghaddam Nia (1999) | 0.619 | 0.604 | 0.634 | 14.906 | 0.000 |
| Alikhani (2003) | 0.646 | 0.600 | 0.690 | 6.051 | 0.000 |
| | 0.450 | 0.450 | 0.450 | -1161.273 | 0.000 |

**Meta Analysis**

Fig. 2 Percentage of antibiotic prescribing in the outpatient settings in Iran.
Implications
Our results may help increase the awareness and knowledge about the antimicrobials use and identifying areas of overuse in this country. Moreover, Iranian health policymakers could develop a national plan to improve the clinical application of antibiotics and consider use of recommended IT-based interventions.

Strength and limitations
This study is the first to describe the prevalence of antibiotic prescriptions in Iran. It also provides an overview of interventions taken to improve antibiotic prescriptions in this country. However, the Persian search engine is limited but we conducted several search strategies such as searching Google Scholar, hand-searching, and searching reference lists of the included studies. We did exclude different kind of studies: studies describing antibiotic prescribing in surgery, dentistry, and burn patients (because of the necessity of antibiotics); studies that reported antibiotic prescription without the frequencies that we sought (because they reported DDD, DID, DBD scales); and studies that assessed only special classes of antibiotics such as vancomycin, imipenem, aminoglycosides, meropenem, ciprofloxacin (because they do not provide a comprehensive picture of antibiotic prescribing).

Conclusion
This study showed that antibiotic prescribing rate in both inpatient and outpatient settings in Iran surpasses the WHO recommendations and exceeds that in many other countries. Moreover, this study revealed that traditional educational interventions showed no significant effect on reducing antibiotic prescribing rate. In order to decrease antibiotic prescribing by physicians, IT-based interventions such as electronic feedback on physicians’ performance, electronic prescribing, and clinical decision support systems may hold promise.
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