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Abstract. The software application of the discrete logarithms on the "large" finite field is studied. The most effective algorithm for the problem is the general number field sieve (GNFS). Focusing on the theory of GNFS of solving software Cado-NFS and lattice sieves, we find the selection of lattice sieve which improve efficiency of CADO-NFS. This is the first parameter modification suggest. The efficiency of our selection is better than the current by experiment.

1. Introduction

The discrete logarithms problem over $\mathbb{Z}_N$ means: let $N$ be a odd prime and $g \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$, for $y \in \mathbb{Z}_N^*$, finding the solution $x$ which satisfies the congruence equation $y \equiv g^x \pmod{N}$. For prudently selected large prime number $N$, the discrete logarithm problem over $\mathbb{Z}_N$ is often computationally difficult to solve.

The famous Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol \cite{1} (DH key exchange protocol for short), elgamal encryption system, elgamal digital signature system, DSA encryption system and DSA signature system, etc, have been effectively applied to the Internet, include electronic mail, the family banking business and the safety of the web browser, financial services, such as electronic cash, credit card transactions, Immediate withdrawal services and wireless communication. The security of these encryption systems and protocols is based on the difficulty of solving discrete logarithm over $\mathbb{Z}_N$.

The algorithm for solving discrete logarithm problem can be divided into exponential algorithm and sub-exponential algorithm according to the time complexity. Exponential algorithms mainly include baby-step giant-step algorithm, Pollard rho algorithm \cite{1} and Pollard lambda, etc. Sub-exponential algorithm originated from Gaussian integer method (COS) presented by Coppersmith \cite{2} in 1986. The complexity of solving the discrete logarithm problem over the finite domain $\mathbb{Z}/NZ$ is reduced to $L_N(1/2,1)$, where

$$L_N(a, c) = \exp \left( (c + o(1))(\log N)^a(\log \log N)^{1-a} \right).$$

In 1993, Gordon presented the general number field sieve method (GNFS) for the solution of discrete logarithms problem over prime fields. Further reducing the solution complexity from $L_N(1/2, 1)$ to $L_N(1/3, 3^{2/3})$, which is a breakthrough in solving the discrete logarithm problem over number fields; Subsequently, Schirokauer improved Gordon's GNFS, Further reduce the complexity to $L_N(1/3, (64/9)^{1/3}) \approx L_N(1/3, 1.923)$. When the modulus has some special properties, the problem can also be solved by the special number field sieve method (SNFS). Whose complexity is $L_N(1/3, (32/9)^{1/3}) \approx L_N(1/3, 1.526)$. In 2016, Joshua \cite{4} gives an example of the discrete logarithm problem solution using SNFS on a thousand-bit prime modulus. Table 1 summarizes the time complexity and memory complexity of the above various algorithms.
GNFS is the most known and well-known algorithm for factoring "large" integers and solving discrete logarithms problem over a "large" finite field. The number field sieve method (NFS) is divided into two phases: offline phase and online phase. The main task of offline phase is to solve the discrete logarithm of a given factor base; the main task of online phase is to represent the required discrete logarithm as a linear combination with the discrete logarithm of the factor base, and then obtain the corresponding discrete logarithm.

Cado-NFS is a tool written by C / C++ for implementing NFS, can be used to factor integers and calculate discrete logarithms over finite fields. It contains open source programs and common scripts that can be run in parallel over a computer network. There are four groups of parameter files in the program: p30, p60, p100 and p155, corresponding to 30-bit, 60-bit, 100-bit and 155-bit modules respectively. For actual discrete logarithm problems, Cado-NFS will match the actual module N to the corresponding modulus field automatically, then call the corresponding parameter files for compute. When the given large prime number is larger than 100-bit, the time to solve the discrete logarithm with the original program parameters is usually much longer than the time estimated according to the time complexity. Therefore, for public key cryptoanalysis. It is of profound significance to find the corresponding parameter allocation of Cado-NFS when solving the real discrete logarithm problem.

Table 1. Algorithms’ complexity

| Algorithms            | Time Complexity       | Memory Complexity |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Baby-step Giant-step  | $O\left(\sqrt{N}\right)$ | $O\left(\sqrt{N}\right)$ |
| Pollard rho           | $O\left(\sqrt{N}\right)$ | $O(1)$            |
| Pohlig-hellman        | $O\left(\sum e_i \left(\log N + \sqrt{p_i}\right)\right)^1$ | $O(1)$            |
| Cos                   | $L_N(1/2, 1)$          | –                 |
| GNFS                  | $L_N(1/3; \ (64/9)^{1/3})$ | –                 |
| SNFS                  | $L_N(1/3; \ (32/9)^{2/3})$ | –                 |

Cado-NFS is a C/C++ implementation of the Number Field Sieve (NFS) tool that can be used to decompose integers and compute discrete logarithms over a finite field.

At present, Cado-NFS program contains six algorithms: kleinjung polynomial selection, screening relationship pairs by lattice method, Cavallar relationship pair filtering, schirokauer mapping for construct sparse linear equations, wiedemann algorithm for solving large sparse linear equations, and single discrete logarithm solving algorithm, which correspond to the six most efficient theoretical algorithms of the GNFS.

On the one hand, there is no literature to match the corresponding parameters of the number field sieve method with the existing software; on the other hand, there is no achievement to set the boundary for the relevant parameters of the practical problems of the number field sieve method. Based on the existing work, this paper further explores the parameter setting of Cado-NFS about discrete logarithm solution.

In terms of theory: summarize the six algorithms of GNFS. Find a better selection of lattice sieve field of CADO-NFS. In the combination of theory and practice: Compare the solution time of this papers chosen parameters with solution time of current parameters. The outline of this paper is as follows. The basic concept in algebraic theory is given in Section 2, in Section 3 we introduce the theory number field sieve method and the Six theories implanted in Cado-NFS, including Kleinjung Polynomial selection, Screening relationship pairs by lattice method, Cavallar relationship pair filtering, Schirokauer mapping for Construct sparse linear equations, Wiedemann algorithm for solving large sparse linear equations and single discrete logarithm solving algorithm. In Section 4 we introduce the selection of the lattice sieve field, experiment and analysis is given in Section 5. In Section 6 we conclude this paper.

2. Algebraic number theory
The basic definitions of algebraic number field, algebraic domain and smooth can be find in [6].

---

1 Let $N = \prod p_i^{e_i}$
Definition 1 (Norm): $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is a field, the minimum polynomial of $\alpha$ is $f(x) = a_nx^n + \cdots + a_1x + a_0$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, then norm of $a - b\alpha$ is $N(a - b\alpha) = b^n f(a/b)$, which means the product of all the roots in $f$.

Definition 2 (Norm of Ideal): let $A$ and $B$ be ideal of $O_K$, $A = p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$, where $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r$ are different prime ideal in $O_K$, $e_i \geq 1$, then

- $N(A) = N(p_1)^{e_1}N(p_2)^{e_2} \cdots N(p_r)^{e_r}$
- $N(AB) = N(A)N(B)$
- If $A = (\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in O_K$) is main ideal, then $N(A) = |N_K/\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)|$.

3. GNFS of Cado-NFS

The GNFS is the most effective algorithm known for solving discrete logarithms on "large" finite field. GNFS solves discrete logarithms into off-line and on-line phase. The task of off-line phase is to solve the discrete logarithm of given factor base, and the task of online phase is to represent discrete logarithm as a linear combination of factor base. The off-line phase can be calculated only once. The principle of the GNFS can be described by figure 1:

Step 1: Let $f(x), g(x)$ be two irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$, and have a common root $m$ over $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are a root of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ over complex field $\mathbb{C}$ respectively. $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ is the corresponding number field. $O_\alpha$ and $O_\beta$ are algebraic domain of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ respectively.

Step2: For a given sieve area $\mathcal{A} = [-A,A] \times [1,B]$ and given smooth bounds $B_\alpha$ and $B_\beta$, the smooth relation pairs $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A}$ were selected by the lattice sieve technique, so that the following three conditions hold simultaneously:

- $\gcd(a, b) = 1$;
- Norm $N(a - b\alpha)$ is $B_\alpha$-smooth;
- Norm $N(a - b\beta)$ is $B_\beta$-smooth;

Step 3: Choosing an appropriate factor basis (the size of factor basis is usually related to modulus $N$ and computing resources. The larger the size of factor basis, the higher the computational complexity in the discrete stage. If the factor basis scale is too small, it is very likely that a single discrete logarithm cannot be solved). Then, based on the algebraic number theory, the factorization of $a - b\alpha$ and $a - b\beta$ on the factor basis is given.

Step 4: Using Schirokauer homomorphic mapping

$$\varphi_\alpha: \{O_\alpha \to \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, \alpha \mapsto m\}$$
$$\varphi_\beta: \{O_\beta \to \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, \beta \mapsto m\}$$

build the equation $\varphi_\alpha(a - b\alpha) = (a - bm) = \varphi_\beta(a - b\beta) \mod N$. Pick up enough smooth relations $(a, b)$, using the above equations about factor matrix of the discrete logarithm sparse linear equations system.

Step 5: Solving the sparse linear equations to obtain the discrete logarithm of the corresponding factor basis.

Step 6: Expressing the desired discrete logarithm to a linear combination of the discrete logarithms about the factor basis, from which the corresponding discrete logarithm is obtained.
Cado-NFS follows the above process for solving the discrete logarithm, which is mainly divided into six steps:

- Kleinjung polynomial selection [4,8-13];
- sieving relationship pairs with lattice method [14];
- Cavallar relational pair filtering [13,15,16];
- Schirokauer mapping constructs sparse linear equations [10,17,18];
- Wiedemann algorithm solves large sparse linear equations [19-21];
- Single discrete logarithm computation [18].

4. **The lattice sieve filed selection**

The lattice sieve expend the most time of GNFS for solving problems, so we find the lattice sieve field to improve efficiency.

4.1. **Kleinjung polynomial selection**

The first step in number field sieve method (NFS) is to select two irreducible polynomials over \( \mathbb{Z} \), so that they have a common root \( m \) over \( \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \).

We choose the degree of \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \), and \( \deg(f) = d \geq 1, \deg(g) = 1 \). The degree \( d \) of optimal polynomial \( f(x) \) is given by Literature [4]:

\[
d = \left(3^{1/3} + o(1)\right)\left(\frac{\log N}{\log \log N}\right)^{1/3}.
\]

In 2006, Kleinjung [4] presented an improved algorithm for generating candidate polynomials in the first stage, the main idea is to look for polynomial pairs with the form \( f = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i x^i \), \( g = lx - h \), s.t. \( f \) and \( g \) have a common root \( m \) under module \( N \), and the first three coefficients of \( f \) are controlled in a small range.

4.2. **Sieving relationship pairs by lattice method**

Suppose \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) are relationship pairs selected by Kleinjung algorithm, \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are roots of \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) over the complex domain \( \mathbb{C} \) respectively, \( \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \) and \( \mathbb{Q}(\beta) \) are the corresponding number fields, \( \mathbb{O}_{\alpha} \) and \( \mathbb{O}_{\beta} \) are algebraic domains of \( \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \) and \( \mathbb{Q}(\beta) \) respectively. Given the prime smooth bounds of \( f \)-side and \( g \)-side: \( B_0 \) and \( B_1 \), the factor bases can established as follows:

\[
S_{\alpha} = \{(p, \alpha - r) \mid f(r) \equiv 0 \mod p, p \leq B_0, p \text{ is prime}\},
\]

\[
S_{\beta} = \{(\beta, \beta - r) \mid g(r) \equiv 0 \mod p, p \leq B_1, p \text{ is prime}\}.
\]

Let sieve field as \( \mathcal{A} = [-A, A] \times [1, B] \), \( A, B \) are given positive integers. the task of sieving is to sift through enough pairs of relationships \( (a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \), so that:
\[ \gcd(a, b) = 1; \]
\[ N(a - ba) \text{ is } B_0 - \text{smooth}; \]
\[ N(a - b\beta) \text{ is } B_1 - \text{smooth}. \]

We call relation pairs satisfying the above three conditions smooth relation pairs.

Sieving method is the core of the number field sieve method and also the most time-consuming part of the number field sieve method. The computational complexity of sieve method is closely related to the size of sieve interval, factor basis and the selection of polynomial. The sieve method used in Cado-NFS is the lattice sieve method, which was proposed by J.M. Pollard in 1993. In order to understand the basic principles of the lattice sieve method, it is necessary to introduce the classical sieve method before.

### 4.2.1. Lattice sieve

Although the classical sieve method can effectively screen out smooth relation pairs, it still has some shortcomings. We take the \( f \)-side as an example to illustrate. The classical sieve method needs to judge whether the norm \( N(a - ba) \) is smooth or not. When the norm value is large, it is time-consuming to judge the smoothness. Therefore, in 1993, J.M. Pollard put forward the lattice sieve algorithm 1, whose core idea was to introduce the large prime number \( q \) in the smooth boundary: on the one hand, divide the sieve field into small sub-grids.

Each relation pairs \( (a, b) \) in small sub-grids satisfies \( N(a - ba) \equiv 0 \mod q \), so that the smoothness judgment problem of norm \( N(a - ba) \) converts to smoothness judgment problem of a smaller integer \( N(a - ba)/q \), and improves the efficiency of smoothness judgment; on the other hand, In view of the relatively sparse distribution of sieve points in the sub-grid, to improve the density of mesh points in the mesh area and the efficiency of the mesh method, the planar transformation of mesh points was carried out by using the lattice reduction technique. Although lattice sieving will lose the relations whose norm are not divisible by \( q \), the effect on the improvement of efficiency is negligible.

The lattice sieve method is further subdivided into row sieve and vector sieve. Row sieve is the classical sieve method after transferring flat \( (a, b) \) to flat \( (c, d) \). Vector sieve is the classical sieve method that first transfers flat \( (a, b) \) to flat \( (c, d) \) and then to flat \( (e, f) \). The row sieve is suitable for the sub-lattice with a small prime \( p \), while the vector sieve is suitable for the sub-lattice with a large prime \( p \) due to its large computational cost because the lattice reduction operation involved in the transfer process of sieve points.

Let's take the \( f \)-side as an example to introduce the basic principle of the lattice sieve. We always assume that \( \gcd(a, b) = 1 \).

As what mentioned before, \( B_0 \) is smooth boundary of \( f \)-side, \( q \) is a big prime number less than but close to \( B_0 \), let \( S_\alpha = \{(q, \alpha - s) \mid f(s) \equiv 0 \mod q \} \). We can know \( S_q \) is a subset of \( f \)-side factor base \( S_\alpha \), so \( S_q \subseteq S_\alpha \). For any ideal \( q = (q, \alpha - s) \in S_q \), we definition that \( L(q) = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid a - bs \equiv 0 \mod q \} \). For any \( (a, b) \in L(q) \), has \( q \mid (a - ba) \), therefore, \( q = N(q) \mid N((a - ba)) = N(a - ba) \).

#### 4.2.2. Divide lattice \( L(q) \) into sub-lattice \( L(pq) \)

Similarly, for any ideal \( p = (p, \alpha - r) \in S_\alpha \), \( p < q \), we definition that \( L(p) = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid a - br \equiv 0 \mod p \} \).

For any \( (a, b) \in L(p) \), has \( p \mid (a - ba) \), therefore, \( p = N(p) \mid N((a - ba)) = N(a - ba) \). Define sublattice \( L(pq) = L(p) \cap L(q) \), It can be known from formula (1) and (2), for any \( (a, b) \in L(pq) \), has \( pq \mid N((a - ba)) \).

In fact, the intersection of \( L(pq) \) and sieve field \( \mathcal{A} = \left[-A, A\right] \times [1, B] \) is the point we need to sieve. This moment, the distribution of sieve points in sieve area \( \mathcal{A} \) is very sparse. In order to improve the efficiency of sieve method, Pollard proposed to use lattice reduction technology to carry out flat conversion of sieve points.

#### 4.2.3. From flat \( (a, b) \) to flat \( (c, d) \)

Find basis \( V_1 = (a_1, b_1) \). \( V_2 = (a_2, b_2) \) in \( L(q) \). Lattice point \( (a, b) \) in \( L(q) \) can be expressed as \( (a, b) = c \cdot V_1 + d \cdot V_2 = (ca_1 + da_2, cb_1 + db_2) \). According to the definition of \( L(pq) \), \( \forall (a, b) \in L(pq) \),
\[c(a_1 - rb_1) + d(a_2 - rb_2) \equiv 0 \mod p.\]

Let \(u_1 = a_1 - rb_1, u_2 = a_2 - rb_2\), then the above equation can be simplified as \(cu_1 + du_2 \equiv 0 \mod p\). Therefore, we convert the lattice point \((a, b)\) on the "\((a, b)\) flat" into the lattice point \((c, d)\) on the "\((c, d)\) flat". Since the lattice point on the "\((c, d)\) flat" is often denser than that on the "\((a, b)\) flat", the flat transformation will help improve the efficiency of the sieve method.

The lattice point \((a, b)\) on the flat \((a, b)\) corresponds to the lattice point \((c, d)\) on the flat \((c, d)\). Hence, on the "flat\((c, d)\)" for lattice point \((c, d)\), the substitution (3) can get "\((a, b)\) flat" on the sieve \((a, b)\). Therefore, we will only discuss how to obtain the sieve point \((c, d)\) on the "\((c, d)\) flat".

- If \(\gcd(u_1, p) = p, \gcd(u_1, p) = 1\), then \((c, d) = (c, kp), \forall c;\)
- If \(\gcd(u_1, p) = 1, \gcd(u_1, p) = p, \text{then } (c, d) = (kp, d), \forall d;\)
- If \(\gcd(u_1, p) = \gcd(u_1, p) = 1, \text{then } (c, d) = (kp + c_0, d), \text{where } c_0 = -du_1^{-1}u_2 \mod p;\)
- If \(\gcd(u_1, p) = \gcd(u_1, p) = p, \text{means } a_1 - rb_1 \equiv a_2 - rb_2 \equiv 0 \mod p, \text{then from the definition, } V_1 = (a_1, b_1) \in L(p), V_2 = (a_2, b_2) \in L(p).\)

Notice that \(V_1, V_2\) is a reduced basis of \(L(q)\). Thus to know \(L(p) = L(q)\) and \(p = q\), it’s contradiction. Therefore, this will not happen.

### 4.2.4. Lattice sieve

Since the principle of \(f\)-side and \(g\)-side sieving method is completely similar, we will only take \(f\)-side as an example to introduce it.

As mentioned above, the lattice sieve method is further divided into row sieve and vector sieve, among which row sieve is suitable for sub- lattice with small prime \(p\), while vector sieve is suitable for sub- lattice with large prime \(p\). Before sieving, selecting an auxiliary prime number bound \(B_0 < B_\alpha\), dividing factor basis \(S_\alpha\) into two non-intersecting subsets \(S_\alpha'\) and \(M_\alpha\), where \(S_\alpha' = \{(p, \alpha - r) \in S_\alpha \mid p < B_0\}, M_\alpha = S_\alpha - S_\alpha'\). Row sieve is used for the prime ideal of \(S_\alpha'\), and vector sieve is used for the prime ideal of \(M_\alpha\).

Specifically, if \(q = (q, \alpha - s) \in S_\alpha\) is a given prime ideal, empty array \(\text{Array}_{f(CD)}\), for each prime ideal \((p, \alpha - r)\) in \(S_\alpha'\), calculate the sieve point \((c, d)\) according to (III), and add log \(p\) to the value of \(\text{Array}_{f(CD)}\). For each prime ideal \((p, \alpha - r)\) of \(M_\alpha\), on account of that prime number \(p\) is large, lattice points are still relatively sparse, so at first we calculate a reduced basis \(v_1 = (c_1, d_1), v_2 = (c_2, d_2)\) of sub-lattice \(L(pq)\), then lattice point of "\((c, d)\) flat" can be expressed to lattice point of "\((e, f)\) flat". Acquire lattice point \((e, f)\) by classical sieve method, calculate the sieve point \((c, d)\) corresponding to sieve point \((e, f)\), and add log \(p\) to the vaule of \(\text{Array}_{f(CD)}\), finally, transform the lattice points \((c, d)\) which exceed the threshold value \(l_\alpha\) in \(\text{Array}_{f(CD)}\) to \(e \cdot v_1 + f \cdot v_2 = (e_1 + f_2, e_2 + f_2)\), thus transform "\((c, d)\) flat" to lattice point \((a, b)\) of "\((a, b)\) flat". These sieve points are what we want. \(f\) in "\((e, f)\) flat" is a integer, not the polynomial \(f(x)\) mentioned before.

The pseudo code of lattice sieve as follows:
Algorithm 1: Lattice sieve

**Input:** Sieve bound \( \mathcal{A} = [-A, A] \times [1, B] \), smooth bound \( B_{\alpha}, B_{\beta} \), where \( B_0 < B_{\alpha}, B_1 < B_{\beta} \), factor base \( S_\alpha, S_\beta \), big prime \( q \) ranged \( [q_{\min}, q_{\max}] \), the threshold value \( l_{\alpha}, l_{\beta} \), the number of smooth relationships required: \( \text{Num} \)

**Output:** set of smooth relation pairs: \( \text{Set}_t \), the norm of every smooth relation pair \((a, b)\) are \( \text{N}(a - ba)\) and \( \text{n}(a - b\beta)\), output the standard factorization of it into cache files

1. \( q \leftarrow q_{\min} \)
2. \( \text{Set} \leftarrow \emptyset \)
3. While \( |\text{Set}| \leq \text{Num} \) do
4. \( S_q \leftarrow \{(q, \alpha - s) \in S_\alpha \mid f(s) \equiv 0 \mod q\} \)
5. \( S \leftarrow \emptyset \)
6. \( S'_\alpha \leftarrow \{(p, \alpha - r) \in S_\alpha \mid p < B_0\}, M_\alpha \leftarrow S_\alpha - S'_\alpha \)
7. \( S'_\beta \leftarrow \{(p, \beta - r) \in S_\beta \mid p < B_1\}, M_\beta \leftarrow S_\beta - S'_\beta \)
8. While \((q, \alpha - s) \in S_q\) do
9. \( V_1 \leftarrow (a_1, b_1), V_2 \leftarrow (a_2, b_2) */*\text{calculate } (q, \alpha - s) \text{'s reduced basis}V_0, V_1 */\)
10. \( \text{Array}_f(c, d) \leftarrow 0, \text{Array}_g(c, d) \leftarrow 0 */*\text{array}*/\)
11. for each \((p, \alpha - r) \in S_\alpha\) and \(cV_1 + dV_2 \in \mathcal{A}\) do
12. \( \text{do row sieve to } S'_\alpha, \text{do vector sieve to } M_\alpha \)
13. \( \text{for every sieve point } (c, d), \text{let } \text{Array}_f(c, d) \leftarrow \text{Log } p \)
14. end for
15. if \( \text{Array}_f(c, d) \geq l_\alpha \)
16. \( S \leftarrow S \cup \{(c, d)\} \)
17. end if
18. for each \((p, \beta - r) \in S_\beta\) do
19. \( \text{do row sieve to } S'_\beta, \text{do vector sieve to } M_\beta \)
20. \( \text{for every sieve point } (c, d), \text{let } \text{Array}_g(c, d) \leftarrow \text{Log } p \)
21. end for
22. for \((c, d) \in S\) do
23. \( \text{if } \text{Array}_g(c, d) \geq l_\beta \)
24. \( (a, b) \leftarrow cV_1 + dV_2 \)
25. \( \text{if } \text{N}(a - ba) \text{ and } \text{N}(a - b\beta) \text{ are } B_{\alpha} - \text{smooth and } B_{\beta} - \text{smooth respectively} \)
26. \( \text{Set} \leftarrow \text{Set} \cup (a, b) \)
27. \( \text{output standard factorization of } \text{N}(a - ba) \text{ and } \text{N}(a - b\beta) \text{into cache files} \)
28. end if
29. end for
30. end while
31. \( q \leftarrow \text{nextprime in } [q_{\min}, q_{\max}] \)
32. End while

5. Experiment and analysis

The lattice sieve filed in CADO-NFS is parameters---task.i and task.polyselect.p, which control lattice sieve filed and polynomial number. \( z \) is denoted as the digits of \( N \). We get folium of task.i and task.polyselect.p by curve fitting.

**Task.i**: \( 7.564365459102716 \times 10^{-7}x^3 - 0.0003818181818181724x^2 + 0.09626475279106744x + 6.435269993164756 \)

**Task.polyselect.p**: \( 0.7032631943495017x^3 - 130.26905454545297x^2 + 7298.590118022242x - 120431.66069719614 \)
We selected \( N, g, y \) for solving \( x_a \), where \( g^{x_a} = y \mod N \). First factor \( N - 1 \) and solve the discrete logarithm of the square power factor of the modular prime number, respectively. Then, the Chinese Residual Theorem (CRT) is applied to obtain the discrete logarithm of modular \( N - 1 \).

5.1. Computing platform and performance
The computer platforms and performance we used are shown in Table 2.

| Order | Property | Model               |
|-------|----------|---------------------|
|       | Noteook  | Hasee p7xxdm2-g     |
|       | Server   | Poweredge-r930      |
|       | CPU      | Intel® core™ i7-7700 @ 3.60ghz \( \times 8 \) |
|       | Memory   | 16gb                |
|       |          | 2.0 tb              |

5.2. Experiment and comparison
According to (IV.C), task.i \( \approx 180000 \) and task.polyselect.p \( \approx 180000 \) for the solution of the discrete logarithm problem over 130-digit strong primes.

Take data 1 (see appendix) as an example, where \( N \) is 133-digit, the standard factorization of \( N - 1 \) is as follows:

\[
N - 1 = 2 \times q_0 \times q_1.
\]

Table 3 shows the application platform, software tools and solution time of two logarithmic solutions of modular factor.

| \( Q \) | 0 | 1 |
|-------|----|----|
| Software | C-ntl: Pollard rho | Cado-nfs |
| Platform | 1 | 2 |
| Time    | 7.483h | 196.25h |

The total solution time is 196.25h. With current parameters, we cannot have a result with the same computer on limit time.

6. Summary
We have sorted out the most efficient algorithm for solving discrete logarithm problems over "large" finite fields—general number field sieve method (GNFS). The lattice sieve expend the most time of GNFS for solving problems, so we find the lattice sieve field to improve efficiency.

For parameter configuration, we only consider parameter selection of task.i and polynomial selection number task.polyselect.p of the lattice. In the next step, parameter configuration of other values can be considered to increase the influence of single logarithm's prime factor bound and large prime number bound on the solving efficiency of discrete logarithm.

APPENDIX
Data 1(133-digit)

\[
P = 459929334020757453306687843289196289578595200564547673966673353066131262616881425410647964608403622465014070925416838731976602237827
\]

\[
g = 2
\]

\[
y = 246466084343401500963288344714037711516162334628838712894890540165740188222356543219173282875968789415957976238848438053485607063493
\]
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