Liver is considered as the largest solid organ and gland in the human body. It is an essential organ with a lot of functions such as metabolism center producing nutrients and important vitamins, as well as playing an important role in the and excretion of waste metabolites. There are several pathologies that can affect the liver such as liver fibrosis, fatty liver, liver cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The loss of total liver function may lead to death within minute days, indicating the importance of the liver.

Currently, one of the fastest growing areas of medicine, both in development and research and in clinical settings, is medical imaging. Medical imaging plays a significant role in the care of the patient and is constantly used in the management of health and disease. For example, it is used in the diseases detection, provide an optimal treatments through surgical interventions, and monitoring of treatment effects. During surgical interventions, the imaging modalities must be easily obtainable, and it is preferable to provide real-time images for optimum orientation. Instead of using human tissues, phantoms that mimic human or animal tissues – tissue-mimicking materials (TMMs) – are required for giving more information’s of the guided image in therapeutic interventions and diagnostic imaging techniques.

Over the past decade, the attention to patient safety has increased. Furthermore, the efforts toward risk reduction have increased. Thus, the simulation steps are considered an important stride in clinical training. Especially in diagnostic and interventional procedures, suitable training is allowed for novices to promote and improve medical practice. For safety purposes, training is performed on interventions that are directed to biopsy or ablation on simulators. The use of simulators has brought many benefits such as improvements in the learning experience and increased patient safety. For this reason, phantoms have been developed.
A phantom made of tissue equivalent materials is considered an important factor for quality control of diagnostic equipment. It is essential to manufacture synthetic materials which are used in phantoms in a controlled way to be almost equivalent to human tissue.[5] Anatomical phantoms are greatly applied in molecular imaging for the quantitative and qualitative estimation of image quality (IQ); these phantoms are predominantly expensive and hardly specific to the cohort of interest or patient.[6]

Given this scenario, this study was undertaken to review the materials which are used to fabricate the liver phantoms. Also, it provides an information’s about the phantom characteristics for each medical imaging modality.

**Fabrication Materials**

Several materials have been used to develop an ideal liver phantom that could achieve success in liver procedures. A framework should be completely uniform in their components and can be formed in three-dimensional (3-D) frameworks. The material should mimic the morphology and structure of the real liver organ to obtain the 3-D. Thus, many substances have been checked to achieve the liver phantom realization that can be stable over time. The materials that can be used are completely harmless and do not need to control by their temperature. The most common substances used for phantom fabrication are polyacrylamide (PAA), carrageenan, polysaccharide, agar, agarose, polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), polyurethane, gelatin and silicone, commercial rigid plastics, and elastomeric (rubber-like) materials. These materials have many properties which can be summarized as follows.

**Polyacrylamide gel**

The main constituent of a tissue-simulating compound (TSC) was acrylamide C,H,NO; when water is added to it, the PAA gel is produced.[7] PAA gel is a solid, optically transparent, solid elastic and is easily formed into the desired shape. This provides the possibility of working with multilayered samples, where each layer has a different character due to water concentration. When water evaporation is stopped by phantom sealing, the electrical characteristics and properties of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are stable at the right time.[8]

The PAA phantoms can be used for 5 months without significant difference in its characteristics. The phantom must be stored in sealed glass tubes. PAA gels are appropriately moldable, inexpensive, and not affected by temperature fluctuations.

**Carrageenan gel**

Carrageenan is a polysaccharide that is taken from seaweed, with properties similar to those found in agar. It consists of saccharides with molecular weights of 100,000–500,000, most of which are galactose and 3,6-anhydro-D-galactose. However, it is considered more flexible and resistant to the crushing of the agar gel, allowing the production of large phantoms in a variety of different shapes. Carrageenan is safe and inexpensive. This material can be used as MRI phantoms; however, when carrageenan concentration reaches a level that can produce the hard phantom, the T2 relaxation time would be longer than that of human tissue.

**Polysaccharide gel**

These are high molecular weight substances consisting of simple sugar or sugar derivatives. The molecular structure of the gel forms part of the tissue cell walls, intercellular coating spaces, and connective tissue. It contains one to six C-OH groups per monomer unit, which provides wide sites for hydrogen to bond in hydrated gels. When other materials such as agar or animal gelatin (hydrated gels) are added,[9] polysaccharides can be applied for MRI tissue equivalents. Unfortunately, the polysaccharide gel is unstable with time and loses its properties in a few weeks.

**Agar gel**

The agar gel has some characteristics such as water–gel structure and is characterized by a restricted movement of the bonded molecule and free water; it allows normal biological functions of water necessary for cell growth. Moreover, some of the internal components of living organisms are like the gel. However, the agar is considered a hydrophilic, organic material and this type of materials has disadvantages because it is a good medium to grow microbes. Thus, the acoustic characteristic will be changed with time.[10] In addition, the agar gel can be easily formed and handled by changing the temperature; at the same time, it is easy to cool down at room temperature. Consequently, the gel preparation and reproducibility are fairly simple.[11]

**Agarose gel**

Agarose is a polysaccharide, generally extracted from certain red seaweed. It is a linear polymer made up of the repeating unit of agarobiose [Figure 1], which is a disaccharide made up of D-galactose and 3,6-anhydro-L-galactopyranose. Agarose is one of the two principal components of agar and is purified from agar by removing the other agar’s component, agarpectin.[9] This material has properties of independence in both temperature and magnetic flux density. In addition, it is characterized by easy manufacturing and configuration and does not change its characteristics over time.[12]

**Polyvinyl alcohol-based tissue**

The polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)-based tissue known as cryogels is nontoxic, widely used in the industrial compound, usually in glue and food packaging. This material is a sticky liquid consisting of 10% of PVA dissolved in water, so it can be
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**Figure 1:** Phantom appearance: agarose-candle gel anterior view[12]
used in a gel which has tissue-mimicking properties by the fashioning of crystallites through repeating freeze-thaw cycles [Figure 2]. The cryogel has many benefits such as longevity, low-cost, and structural stability over a long period and needs less components than agarose and gelatin-based tissue. The preparation of this material entails control of temperature, and diverse 12-h freezing thaw cycles. The cryogel is easily handling with gelatin agar which shows well adapted for intravascular elastography. This material can be used for blood-mimicking fluid (BMF) in MRI experiments besides using as anatomical specimens.\cite{12,13}

**Polyurethane gel**

The gel is produced by reacting a polyurethane, this material having liquid alkaline with oxide chain at room temperature. This material has a high elastic recovery without decreasing their strength, and it is also resistant to bacterial infection. However, this material is problematic in phantom production, due to the complex design of molecular of the polyurethane gel.\cite{15} Because this material has an excellent resistance with low viscosity, it can be used in various casting materials.\cite{13} A polyurethane model with anthropomorphic liver is shown in Figure 3.

**Gelatin-alginate**

Alginate seaweed is taken from brown algae. It is a polysaccharide with ionic properties, and alginate can combine with gelatin to form a more stable system. The producing solution is complex and can be cooled below 25°C to form opaque gel. This material has complexity in its structure; it can be stored beneath water without requiring elaborate protection gelatin and can be used in a short term because the water evaporates rapidly from solution at room temperature and the structure changes with refrigeration.\cite{14}

**Silicone polymer, room-temperature-vulcanizing silicone**

Silicones, also known as polysiloxanes, are polymers that do not have carbon as a part of its basic structure. This material has many properties such as robustness, good stability, and easy to fabricate. Thus, the phantom can be used in the vessel’s molds and also can be used to keep the moist of the phantom. Therefore, the phantom can easily be transported from one place to another without being damaged. In the same time, it conserves the phantom to be used in the long term.\cite{15} Room-temperature-vulcanizing (RTV) silicone is a type of silicone rubber made from a system consisting of two compounds (a crosslinker and a catalyst), available with hardness range from very soft to medium. RTV silicones can be handled with a catalyst made up of platinum or tin compound such as dibutyltin deliberate. In comparison to hydrogels, the silicon is not affected by various dehydration factors, so the temperature does not affect silicon significantly as compared to its effect on halogens that are clearly affected by the temperature. The problem of this material is mismatching with biological tissues for acoustical properties.\cite{4}

**Polyethylene glycol diacrylate-based hydrogel**

A dual-function molecule (average Mn 700) can be polymerized by free radicals such as water solution which is derived from suitable photo-initiators. This solution can be prepared by resolving the photo-initiator in polyethylene glycol diacrylate by softly stirring the solution (concentration 2% w/v polymer) at room temperature until it reaches to the homogeneous mixture. After that, the distilled water slowly to the solution until it reaches to 15% weight/volume of the polymer.\cite{16}

**Commercial rigid plastics**

Rigid plastics can be defined as any material used for polymers. This type of plastic has high density and molecular weight; moreover, it has a transition temperature more than the room temperature (>25°C). However, there have been many items used as three-dimensional (3-D)-printed phantoms to mimic human organs. Some examples are polylactic acid, acrylonitrile butadiene styrene, and thermoplastic filaments. The elastic properties of these items differ from other normal tissue due to the difference in the degree of stiffness and the structure. Furthermore, these plastic items have a stability in shape and composition and can be used over the long term [Figure 4].\cite{3}

**Elastomeric (rubber-like) materials**

Elastomeric materials can be used in a wide range of applications and depend on several processes: First – PolyJet process which used suitable material called Tango™ family (Stratasys); second – thermoplastic elastomer filaments which used suitable materials called NinjaFlex® (NinjaTek) and SemiFlex™ (NinjaTek); finally – FDM printing which used
PolyFlex™ (Poly-maker). Thus, these materials are very close to the actual organs.[13] Table 1 provides a summary of advantages and disadvantages of the most common materials used for phantoms fabrication as discussed in this article.

For 3-D printer, the most commonly used substances in the manufacture of liver phantom are Tango Black,[17,18] wax,[19] polymax,[20] and silicone gel.[21]

There are also some materials which were used for fabrication of liver phantoms to achieve specific purposes. The materials used to mimic the fatty liver tissues are called glucose solution combined with tertbutyl alcohol in water,[22] whereas the materials such as butanol, methanol, glycerin, KNO₃, and NaCl were used to fabricate liquid tissue surrogates.[23]

**Phantoms Properties-Related Modality**

TMM properties should exhibit the same for human tissue at room temperature.[24] In the same time, the organ model properties should be compatible with the real-organ properties, such as human densities, anatomy size, and weight. The phantoms should be constructed from nontoxic materials, and at the same time, nondegradable over time, it must also maintain its structure and reproducible, and it should be easy to handle. Finally, the materials used in manufacturing are cheaply priced. There is a different level of similarity between phantom components, and the biological tissues can be specified in order of medical modalities as the following summarized.

**Computed tomography**

The phantom materials (TMM) for use in computed tomography (CT) must exhibit properties of the same CT numbers.[25] For example, the Hounsfield units mean that the same linear attenuation coefficient (AC) of the human tissue, and an attenuation measurement is used to quantify the fraction of radiation removed in transmitting through an amount of a particular material of thickness x. Attenuation is given by:

$$I = I_0 e^{-\mu x}$$

(1)

where $I_0$ is the intensity of X-ray with the body after X-ray beam path, $I_0$ is the x-ray intensity before interact with the body, x is the object thickness, and $\mu$ is the linear AC of the object.[26] The linear AC depended on many factors such as the density of materials, the effective atomic number, and the energy of the radiation. The effective atomic number (Zeff) can calculated by:

$$Z_{eff} = \sqrt[\rho_m]{w_1 Z_1^\alpha + w_2 Z_2^\alpha + \ldots + w_n Z_n^\alpha}$$

(2)

where $w_i$ is the fraction of the total number of electrons associated with each element and $Z_n$ is the atomic number of each element.[27]

The electron density and mass density are the other factors need to calculate for CT material fabrication, the $\rho e$ of the two materials was computed from its mass density ($\rho m$), and its atomic composition according to the formula:

$$\rho e = \rho m \times NA \times \left( \frac{Z}{A} \right)$$

(3)

where NA is Avogadro’s number, Z is the atomic number, and A is the atomic weight obtained from chemical analysis test. The Hounsfield unit for most soft tissue except fat is between 20 and 90 at effective X-ray energy 120–140 kVp, whereas the fatty tissue reaches to ~100. The most commonly used substances in the manufacture of liver which are subject to CT are agar[28,29] agarose gel,[30] and plastic foam[31-33]

**Ultrasound**

The TMMs for use in ultrasound (US) ought to have the same acoustic properties, such as velocity of sound, the AC, acoustic impedance ($Z$), and backscatter coefficient.[27-30] Moreover, the most commonly used substances in the manufacture of liver which are subject to US are gelatin,[34-36] poly (vinyl alcohol) cryogel,[37] polyurethane gels,[50] and agar.[38]

**Acoustic velocity and speed of sound**

The velocity at which a small disturbance will propagate through the medium is called acoustic velocity or speed of sound. The acoustic velocity ($c_s$) is related to the change in pressure and density of the substance and can be expressed as:

$$c_s = \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho} \right)^{1/2} = \left( \frac{k_s}{\rho} \right)^{1/2} \left[ \frac{m}{s} \right]$$

(4)

where dp is the change in pressure in Pascal (Pa), dp is the change of density in Kg/m³, and $k_s$ is the coefficient of stiffness or the modulus of bulk elasticity.[39]

**Attenuation coefficient**

The AC can be calculated at the only frequency of 1 MHz using following formula, mentioning this formula only to provide magnitude for mimic materials:

$$\alpha_s = \alpha_w - \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left[ ln A_s - ln A_w - 2 ln(1 - R) \right]$$

(5)

where $A_s$ is the US pulse amplitude, $A_w$ is water amplitude, and R is the coefficient of acoustical reflection at the interface between material and water itself. The R magnitude can be calculated by this formula:
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\[ R = \frac{Z_2 - Z_1}{Z_2 + Z_1} \]  

(6)

where \( Z \) is the acoustic impedance that depends on the density of material and velocity of sound in this material.\(^{[35]}\) The sound speed has a value of 1540 ± 15 m/s, Acoustic impedance is (1.6±0.16) \( 10^6 \) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), and the AC in a real liver is approximately 0.5–0.7 ± 0.05 dB/cm for 1 MHz frequency.\(^{[40]}\)

**Backscatter coefficient**

The backscatter coefficients in US can be controlled by appending small concentrations of glass diameter scatters. Some of the physical properties are taken into consideration to be BMF such as the concentration of volume of acoustic backscattered, the size of the scatterer, the compressibility between the fluid scattering and surrounding fluid, the density of the fluid, the viscosity, and finally the acoustic properties.\(^{[33,41-43]}\) The backscatter coefficient can be estimated by using this equation:

\[ BS(f, z) = \frac{S_r(f, z)}{S_s(f, z)} BS_{rs}(f, z) A(f, z) \]  

(7)

where \( S_s \) and \( S_r \) are the sample spectra and reference phantom spectra, \( BS_{rs} \) is the reference phantom backscatter, \( A \) is a compensates function for attenuation along the propagation path, \( f \) is the frequency of ultrasonic wave, and \( z \) is the region depth of analysis.

If using different ultrasonic frequencies, the backscatter coefficient can be calculated by the integration as defined in equation (8):\(^{[44,45]}\)

\[ iBSC = \frac{1}{f_2 - f_1} \int_{f_1}^{f_2} BS(f) \, df \]  

(8)

where \( iBSC \) is the integrated backscatter coefficient, \( f_2 \) and \( f_1 \) are the higher and the lower frequency values in the employed range, respectively.

**Scintillation camera imaging**

For use the scintillation camera imaging, the TMMs should exhibit the same sensitivity, spatial resolution, count rate linearity, and contrast recovery of some radiopharmaceuticals such as \(^{99m}\)Tc, \(^{90}\)Y, and \(^{166}\)Ho, in the following these characteristics summarized.\(^{[46]}\) Therefore, the most commonly used substances in the manufacture of liver which are subject to scintillation camera are gelatin\(^{[14]}\) and acrylic plastic material.\(^{[18,47-49]}\)

### Table 1: Advantages and disadvantages of chemical materials for phantom fabrication

| Material          | Advantages                                           | Disadvantage                                               | Image modality used                      |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| PAA gel           | Elastic and easily formed                            | Time stability for 5 months                                | Suitable for MRI device                  |
|                   | Used for multi-layered samples                       | Requires storage in sealed glass tubes                    |                                          |
|                   | Inexpensive                                          |                                                            |                                          |
|                   | ↓ Temperature fluctuations                           |                                                            |                                          |
| Carrageenan gel   | Easily mold to different shapes                      | The relaxation time different. During hardness             | Suitable for MRI device                  |
|                   | Inexpensive                                          |                                                            |                                          |
| PAAG gel          | Provides wide sites for hydrogen                     | Properties affected by temperature                         | Suitable for MRI device                  |
| Agar gel          | Hydrophilic organic materials                        | Restricted movement in free water                          | Suitable for MRI, US, CT and scintillation camera imaging |
|                   | Easily formed by temperature                         | Good media to grow the bacterial organism                   |                                          |
| Agarose gel       | Independent of temperature                           | More complicated components than agar                      | Suitable for MRI and CT                  |
|                   | Used in different shape                              |                                                            |                                          |
|                   | Stable in long period                                |                                                            |                                          |
| PVA (cryogel)     | Low cost price                                       |                                                            | Suitable for MRI and US                  |
|                   | Stable in long time                                  |                                                            |                                          |
|                   | Easily handling                                      |                                                            |                                          |
| Polyurethane gel  | High elastic recovery                                | Complex in molecular design                                | Suitable for US                          |
|                   | Resistance to bacterial infection                     |                                                            |                                          |
|                   | Low viscosity                                        |                                                            |                                          |
| Gelatine-alginate | ↑ Stability                                           | Complex structure                                          | Suitable for US and scintillation camera  |
|                   | Store beneath water                                  | Lack of longevity                                          |                                          |
| Silicone polymer, RTV | ↑ Stability for long time                         | Mismatching with biological tissues                        | Suitable for CT                          |
|                   | Robust material                                      |                                                            |                                          |
|                   | Easily formed                                        |                                                            |                                          |
| PEGDA             | Easily formed                                        | Complex structure                                          | Suitable for US                          |
| Commercial rigid plastics | ↑ Stability in shape                   | Stiffness more than normal tissue                          | Suitable for US, CT and scintillation camera imaging |
|                   | ↑ Stability for long time                            | Complex structure                                          |                                          |
|                   | Easily formed                                        | Need specific device                                       |                                          |
| Elastomeric (rubber-like) materials | Good flexibility                     | Complex structure                                          | Suitable for MRI and US                  |
|                   | Good elasticity                                      | Need specific device                                       |                                          |

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging, CT: Computed tomography, US: Ultrasound, RTV: Room-temperature-vulcanizing silicone, PEGDA: Polyethylene glycol diacrylate, PVA: Polyvinyl alcohol, PAAG: Polysaccharide Gel, PAA: Polyacrylamide, ↓ Decreasing, ↑ Increasing
**Calibration factor**

Calibration factor (CF) is determined by the ratio between the average count rate of the counts number. The average counts per second (cps) are the activity of the source inside the phantom in Becquerel (Bq). The CF can be calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{CF}_{\text{cps/Bq}} = \frac{\text{cps}}{A} \tag{9}
\]

where cps is the net count rate (averaged cps of the phantom) and \( A \) (Bq) is the \(^{60}\text{Co} \) activity content of the liver phantom.\(^{[59]}\)

**Sensitivity**

The sensitivity can be defined as the smallest amounts of activity that can be detected (minimum detectable activity [MDA]), and it is related directly to the image noise. It can be measured by filling a thin cylinder layer with radiopharmaceutical and compared the activity of the cylinder with radiopharmaceutical activity that was previously calibrated to the cylinder. The sensitivity (S) (cps/Mbq) can be calculated as the total number of counts in the field of view, divided by acquisition time times activity.\(^{[59]}\) The MDA is based on calculation of the minimum detectable intake (MDI) and minimum detectable effective dose (MDED). The calculation was used under the realistic internal exposure scenario. Thus, the MDA is calculated as the follows:

\[
\text{MDA} = \frac{4.65\sqrt{N}}{\text{CF} \times T} + \frac{3}{\text{CF} \times T} \tag{10}
\]

where \( N \) is the total background counts of the region of interest and \( t \) is time of count.

The MDI is a part of MDA and depends on the exposure and the time of intake, and it can be calculated with the following formula:

\[
\text{MDI} = \frac{\text{MDA}}{m(t)_{\text{inh}}} \tag{11}
\]

where \( \text{MDI} \) in Bq, and \( m(t) \) is the retention fraction in the compartment of interest Bq/Bq.

While the MDED can be calculated by using the following equation:

\[
\text{MDED}_{\text{inh}} = \text{MDI}_{\text{inh}} \times e(g)_{\text{inh}} \tag{12}
\]

where \( \text{MDI} \) in Bq, and \( e(g) \) is the dose coefficient which have a unit mSv/Bq.\(^{[58]}\)

**Spatial resolution**

Spatial resolution can be defined as the ability of the system to detect the smallest distance between two adjacent objects as two separated points, and these points have a small activity accumulation. The detail and sharpness measurements of the scintillation camera image depend on the number of light photons statistically which collected from scintillation events and also depend on collimator efficiency. The typical values of spatial resolution are 2.5–3.5 mm. Spatial resolution can be quantitatively evaluated by of the point-spread function or line-spread function.\(^{[27]}\)

**Count rate linearity**

In high-rate counting, this phenomenon that the probability to record two events at the same time is higher is known as pulse pile-up. It depends on losses counting and image distortion, and it is determined by total-spectrum counting rates. With a dead time of 5 \( \mu \)s, the counting losses reach 20\% for a counting rate of \( 4 \times 10^4 \) cps.\(^{[9]}\)

**Contrast recovery**

Contrast recovery is considered as an important factor, and it can be performed using a set of objects with different sizes and contrasts. The objects consisted of solids with different diameters sunken in different thicknesses filled with radioactive material at uniform concentration. It is helpful to detect the large lesions with low contrast and at the same time to detect the small lesions with high contrast.\(^{[31]}\)

**Magnetic resonance imaging**

TMMs that use as MRI phantoms ought to have some special properties such as:

- Different proton density of a material with similar relaxation times (T1 and T2) which obtain in vivo (human tissue)\(^{[10]}\)
- Should support times of relaxation in a uniform way with the ability to change times T1 and T2 independently\(^{[11]}\)
- Must be strong enough with stable in chemical and physical properties and this property must not be changeable with heat\(^{[52]}\)
- The pH and electric conductivity (circuit properties, power transfer) are similar to the soft tissue; in the same time, equivalence for the internal electromagnetic power deposition\(^{[8]}\)
- The variation of magnetic flux density and the temperature must be changed with respect to T1 and T2.\(^{[33]}\)

For the electromagnetic equivalent, the materials are required that the real and imaginary parts of electrical permittivity (and magnetism) be equal to the specificity of the fabric to be simulated. The thermal equivalence is also required, and the materials should also include a heat capacity and conductivity equals that in the tissue. This needs constant of the thermal time of the material; materials with low temperature and low conductivity are the preferred materials for use in MRI.\(^{[7]}\) Therefore, the most commonly used substances in the manufacture of liver which are subject to MRI are poly (vinyl alcohol) cryogel,\(^{[54-56]}\) agar,\(^{[57,58]}\) agarose,\(^{[11]}\) polyurethane,\(^{[59,66]}\) and carrageenan\(^{[52,61]}\) Table 2 shows the main properties of the TMM in the different medical imaging modalities.

**Purposes of Phantoms**

**Diagnostic purposes**

The phantoms are used in research of medical imaging to replace real tissues and in studies where the in vivo models are inadequate. Phantoms models can be designed on anatomical features, such as liver organ lobes, vascular vessels, and tree...
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The purposes of phantoms are differently depends on the procedures which needed, in the following paragraphs will discuss these aims with some details.

The liver phantom is needed for novices to provide training in diagnostic and interventional procedures. However, the proper training of novices authorizes to improve and develop their practices. It starts with novices of the phantoms to develop the abilities to deal with perfect handling before applied these practices on the real patient such as percutaneous biopsies and liver resection. Thus, the patient safety increased, the risk of mistakes, and accidental injury of the liver vessels are reduced. Moreover, because of the complicated design of the liver anatomy (organs, bile ducts, hepatic arteries, portal veins), the targeting accuracy must be improved. Thus, the steerable needle insertion were developed to improve the effectiveness of needle by using the anthropomorphic liver phantom and different modalities such as CT and US. The specifically designed phantoms can help to increase the quality assurance of patient reporting and treatments and provide perfect trial data collection. Its importance relies where radiotherapy clinical trials especially on dosimetry inter-comparison procedures, treatments precision, and terms which required complexity. The phantom studies were used to assess the radiation dose and improved the IQ through using noise reduction technique specially for obese patients. In addition, the phantoms were used to achieve the maximum low-contrast detectability in CT modality.

Another aims of the phantom possesses is to build a new reconstruction methods by developing the suitable algorithms especially in CT for several reasons: to evaluate the lesions, to improve the pathology visualization and achieved by developing different reconstruction techniques, to evaluate the effect of the iterative reconstruction on the contrast noise ratio, to evaluate the accuracy of dual-energy CT in diseases detection, to develop the anatomical accuracy detection, and finally to assess the virtual mono-energetic images to detect the hyper-density lesions or the hypo-density lesions. In the same time, the algorithms can be used in positron emission tomography scan to compensate the motion artifact through patient breathing.

Otherwise, phantoms are fundamental to investigations of elastic imaging. For example, to visualize the strain image structures and tissues deformity that needs a precise knowledge of tissue changing when tissue undergoes to the strain and stress fields of a mechanical stimulus. In the acoustic strain estimates, the signal to noise ratio significantly reduces with scatters movement out of the image within the pulse volume or any vary distortion over time. Thus, the phantoms which mimic elastic and acoustic properties of the real human tissues are considered most effective methods to assess the data acquisition and task performance.

In addition, the phantoms have been utilized to examine and evaluate the liver elasticity (Son-elasticity), and the evaluation of the tissue elasticity was done by using transient elastography and real-time tissue elastography. The elasticity of the tissue has been made known to the commercial US phantom, which it provides elastic and structural measurements utilizing noninvasive medical US imaging scan. According to clinical (in-vivo) studies, the US elastography can improve the diagnostic rules and decisions for multiple diseases such as muscle problems, cardiovascular diseases, and tumors. The suitable method for measuring the tissue elasticity is to propagate impulse through the skin and to monitor gentle pressure using the US probe while imaging for a few seconds. Then, the strain and a 2-D elasticity image of a few centimeters depth is measured and obtained.

Moreover, the relationship between impulse mean velocity and the liver stiffness is directly proportional. Hence, the liver connective tissue can be evaluated, and by utilizing a specific algorithm known as extended combined autocorrelation method and the elasticity of liver tissue can be expressed in arbitrary units (a.u.). Further, the elastography process helps to detect the liver fibrosis.

**Therapeutic or interventional purposes**

The phantom is useful for the surgeon, in the surgical navigation by providing detailed information for the position of the instrument in the body patients. For this reason, the image-guided liver surgery has concerned and developed in the recent years. Therefore, it can help to estimate the correct measurements for the position of the instrument.

An additional use of the phantom, it can estimate the system of augmented reality (AR) guidance for laparoscopic liver surgery. The AR system guides the surgeon throughout the procedure utilizing AR glasses. The system first application is for spine surgeries. However, this system can be used to provide multi-other procedures such as liver surgery. The system can

| Modality          | Specific characteristic                                      |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| CT                | Linear attenuation coefficient, Effective atomic number, Electron density |
| US                | Velocity of sound, Attenuation coefficient, Acoustic impedance, Backscatter coefficient |
| Scintillation camera imaging | Sensitivity, Spatial resolution, Count rate linearity, Contrast recovery |
| MRI               | Relaxation time T1 and T2, Uniform relaxation times, Chemical and physical stability, pH and electric conductivity |

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging, CT: Computed tomography, US: Ultrasound
facilitate the minimal invasive surgeries and to reduce its complication rate, the AR glasses project the CT image on the real patient allowing the surgeon to see the liver components through the skin. In the most liver surgeries there is a need to resect the tumors inside the liver. Thus, the surgeon can plan in advance the needle site before surgery and then to project a functional guide on the patient for the tumor to guide the needle inside, so it inserts gently and safely into the liver to its correct position without touch the main vessels. The augment system is very helpful in the complicated cases especially when the anatomy is not very clear. The physiological motion of the patient through the breathing motion made a challenge for accurate placement of the needle. \cite{37,39}

Moreover, the phantoms are essentially in the therapeutic strategies for cancer tissues which depend on the target location. \cite{16} However, the therapeutic strategies have several methods, such as SIRT or radioembolization (RE), which is one considered as a micro-brachytherapy technique used to treat primary and metastasis malignant hepatic lesions by using the 90Ytrrium-labeled microspheres. \cite{71} SIRT is a targeted treatment for in-operable liver tumors that delivers millions of radioactive microspheres directly to liver tumors and most material used in achieving this technique is plastic material. \cite{18} The phantoms were applied to see the efficiency of intra-arterial liver RE in the liver lesion treatment especially with small-sized HCCs. \cite{89} An additional strategy is stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) that can be used as an alternative to the standard treatment modalities for treating liver tumors, \cite{72} and most material used in achieving this technique is Polyethylene. \cite{73-75}

The phantoms are also applied for tumor thermotherapy methods such as laser induced thermotherapy. The idea of this type of treatment is applied with the thermal energy for the tumor tissue for period of time (seconds to minutes). Therefore, the cancer tissue starts to coagulate then becomes necrotic tissue. \cite{40,76} The PAA gel is used in the application of this therapeutic technique. \cite{77,78}

The phantoms have been applied into the electromagnetic tracked laparoscopic US that can be used for laparoscopic ablation for liver tumors which needs the high positional accuracy. Thus, this can allow an optimal reduction for tumor tissues \cite{70} such as radiofrequency ablation technique, which is used to treat the primary malignancies and metastasis for the several small tumors in different parts of the liver that is not a good candidate for resection. \cite{80} RFA is a minimally invasive treatment for cancer, and it is guided digital imaging such as MRI, CT, and US. Thus cancer cells are eliminated using needle electrode which have been guided through the various modalities mentioned above.

Other application in this field is microwave coagulation therapy (MCT) \cite{81} which was applied using gelatin material. \cite{82} The tumor tissue can exist a several millimeters below the liver surface, and the mechanisms delivers a precise highly controlled energy dose that rapidly elevates tissue temperature and creates localized cell destruction. The MCT vastly reduces many of the hazarded associated with other energy-based treatments. As microwave energy travels into the tissue, the water molecules try to align with microwave field causing them to collide and create friction, the heat generated quickly destroyed all target tissues and creates a highly accurate zone of treatment within just few seconds.

There are other purposes of the phantoms, such as palpation to detect the pathological areas, \cite{80} and it is considered helpful in the surgical field such as the vascular surgery, \cite{83} timing reality simultaneous with US images, \cite{84} development of a computerized 4-D MRI, \cite{85} iron concentration level can be detected by biomagnetic liver susceptometry, \cite{14,46} and development of coaxial ultrasonic probe for fatty liver diagnostic. \cite{86}

**Conclusion**

In this review article, the materials that have been used in liver phantoms have been widely reviewed as alternatives to human tissues and were used for different targets. Furthermore, the considered factors for different modalities such as CT, US, gamma scintillation, and MRI were explained in detail. The article has also included the applications of liver phantoms in both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes.
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