Solvability of fractional differential inclusions with nonlocal initial conditions via resolvent family of operators
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1 Introduction

A differential inclusion is a generalization of the notion of an ordinary differential equation, which is often used to deal with differential equations with a discontinuous right-hand side or an inaccurately known right-hand side [1, 2]. Differential inclusions are also from the control problem, for instance, for a control problem $x' = f(x, u), u \in U$, where $u$ denotes a control parameter. It is founded that the aforementioned control system has the same trajectories as the differential inclusion $x' \in f(x, U)$. If the set of controls is dependent upon the state $x$, i.e., $U = U(x)$, then the differential inclusion $x' \in F(x, U(x))$ is also achieved. This equivalence between control systems and differential inclusions plays a key role in proving existence theorems in optimal control theory. Differential inclusion has wide applications to models in economics, sociology and biology etc., and thus, it has been considerably investigated in last decades, see, for instance, [2–8] and references therein.

The concept of nonlocal initial condition has been introduced to extend the study of classical initial-valued problems. As indicated in [9], this notion can be more natural and more precise in describing nature phenomena than the classical notion since some additional information is taken into account. For nonlocal initial conditions of abstract differential inclusions, we can refer to [4, 6, 10, 11] and references therein.

The concept of fractional calculus can be seen a generalization of the ordinary differentiation and integration to arbitrary noninteger order and is regarded as one of the most powerful tools to describe long-memory processes in the last decades. Many phenomena from physics, chemistry, mechanics, electricity etc. can be modelled by ordinary and partial differential equations involving fractional derivatives, and for this, we refer to [12–20] and references therein for more details. We also note that fractional differential inclusions have also been increasingly concerned [21–34].

In a recent paper, some new properties on the compactness of resolvent family of operators related to fractional differential equations have been established [35]. This new characterization of compactness of resolvent family of operators provides a new way to consider mild solutions of abstract fractional differential equations.

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \| \cdot \|)$ be a real Banach space and $A$ be a closed and linear operator defined in Banach space $\mathbb{X}$. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}) = \{Y \subseteq \mathbb{X} : Y \neq \emptyset\}$. The notation $L^1(J, \mathbb{X}) = \{v : J \to \mathbb{X} | v \text{ is Bochner integrable} \}$ on a compact interval $J$ of $\mathbb{R}$. In this paper, we consider the following abstract fractional differential inclusions with nonlocal initial conditions:

$$D^\alpha_t x(t) \in Ax(t) + \int_t^b F(t, x(t)), \quad t \in J = [0, b]$$

$$x(0) = x_0 + p(x),$$
where $0 < a < 1$, $D^\alpha_t v(t) = \int_0^t G_p(t - s)v(s)ds$ for $v \in L^1(J, X)$, $G_p(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ for $\beta > 0, t > 0$ and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ stands for the Gamma function and
\[
D^n_t x(t) \in Ax(t) + F(t, x(t)), \quad t \in J
\]
(1.3)
\[x(0) = x_0 + p(x), \quad x'(0) = x_1 + q(x) \quad (1.4)
\]
where $1 < \alpha < 2$, $D^n_t$ is understood in Caputo sense, $x_0, x_1 \in X$, $F: J \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$, $p$, $q$ are suitable continuous functions specified later.

We shall establish existence results of mild solutions to the above problems (1.1)–(1.2) and (1.3)–(1.4) under different cases: (i) the multivalued term $F$ takes convex values with compactness of resolvent family of operators; (ii) the multivalued term $F$ takes nonconvex values with compactness of resolvent family of operators and (iii) the multivalued term $F$ has nonconvex values without compactness of resolvent family of operators.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is preliminaries. Section 3 is devoted to investigate mild solutions to problems (1.1)–(1.2) and (1.3)–(1.4). And Section 4 is conclusions.

2 Preliminaries

Let $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ be a Banach space. We denote $\mathcal{P}ct(X) = \{ Y \in \mathcal{P}(X) : Y$ closed $\}$, $\mathcal{P}b(X) = \{ Y \in \mathcal{P}(X) : Y$ bounded $\}$, $\mathcal{P}cp(X) = \{ Y \in \mathcal{P}(X) : Y$ compact $\}$ and $\mathcal{P}cv(X) = \{ Y \in \mathcal{P}(X) : Y$ convex $\}$.

We also denote by $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the space of bounded linear operators from $X$ into $X$.

A multivalued map $G: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ has convex (closed) values if $G(x)$ is closed (convex) for all $x \in X$. $G$ is bounded on bounded sets if $G(B) = \bigcup_{x \in B} G(x)$ is bounded in $X$ for all $B \in \mathcal{P}b(X)$, i.e., $\sup_{x \in B}[\sup y \in G(x)] < \infty$.

The multivalued map $G: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ is called upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.) on $X$ if for each $x_0 \in X$, the set $G(x_0)$ is a nonempty, closed subset of $X$, and if for each open set $N$ of $X$ containing $G(x_0)$, there exists an open neighbourhood $N_0$ of $x_0$ such that $G(N_0) \subseteq N$. $G$ is called lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) if the set $\{ x \in X : G(x) \cap \mathcal{A} \}$ is open for any open subset $\mathcal{A} \subseteq X$. Also, $G$ is said to be completely continuous if $G(B)$ is relatively compact for every $B \in \mathcal{P}b(X)$. $G$ has a fixed point if there exists $x \in X$ such that $x \in G(x)$.

If the multivalued map $G$ is completely continuous with nonempty compact values, then $G$ is u.s.c. if and only if $G$ has a closed graph, i.e.,
\[x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y, y_n \in G(x_n) \text{ imply } y \in G(x).\]

The u.s.c. multivalued map $G$ is said to be condensing if for any $B \in \mathcal{P}b(\mathbb{X})$ with $v(B) \neq 0$, we have $v(G(B)) < v(B)$, where $v$ denotes the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness.

Definition 2.1. The multivalued map $G: J \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ is said to be $L^1$-Carathéodory if
(i) $t \rightarrow G(t, x)$ is measurable for each $x \in X$;
(ii) $u \rightarrow G(t, x)$ is u.s.c. on $X$ for almost all $t \in J$;
(iii) For each $r > 0$, there exists $\varphi_r \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that
\[\|G(t, x)\|_{\mathcal{P}(X)} = \sup \|v\| : v \in G(t, x) \leq \varphi_r(t),\]
for all $\|x\| \leq r$ and for a.e. $t \in J$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $X$ be a Banach space. Let $G: J \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ be an $L^1$-Carathéodory multivalued map with
\[S_{0, x} = \{ f \in L^1(J, X) : f(t) \in G(t, x(t)), \text{ for a.e. } t \in J \} \neq \emptyset,
\]
and let $\Gamma$ be a linear continuous mapping from $L^1(J, X)$ to $C(J, X)$, then the operator
\[\Gamma \circ S_0 : C(J, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cv, cp}(C(J, X)), x \mapsto (\Gamma \circ S_0)(x) = \Gamma(S_{0, x})\]
is a closed graph operator in $C(J, X) \times C(J, X)$.

Let $A$ be a subset of $J \times X$. $A$ is $L^1 \times B$ measurable if $A$ belongs to the $\sigma$-algebra generated by all sets of the form $\mathcal{N} \times D$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is Lebesgue measurable in $J$ and $D$ is Borel measurable in $B$. A subset $A$ of $L^1(J, X)$ is decomposable if, for all $u, v \in A$ and all measurable subsets $\mathcal{N}$ of $J$ of the function $u \chi_{\mathcal{N}} + v \chi_{J \setminus \mathcal{N}} \in A$, where $\chi$ denotes the characteristic function.

Let $F: J \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$. Assign to $F$ the multivalued operator
\[\mathcal{F}: C(J, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L^1(J, X))\]
by letting
\[\mathcal{F}(x) = S_{F, x} = \{ v \in L^1(J, X) : v(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in J \}.
\]

The operator $\mathcal{F}$ is called the Niemytzki operator associated to $F$.

Definition 2.2. [4] Let $Y$ be a separable metric space and let $N : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L^1(J, X))$ be a multivalued operator. We say that $N$ has property (BC) if
(i) $N$ is l.s.c.;
(ii) $N$ has nonempty closed and decomposable values.

Definition 2.3. [4] Let $F: J \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$. $F$ is called to be of lower semicontinuous type (l.s.c. type) if its associated Niemytzki operator $\mathcal{F}$ is l.s.c. and has nonempty closed and decomposable values.
Lemma 2.2. [36] Let $X$ be a separable metric space and let $N : X \to \mathcal{P}(L^1(J, X))$ be a multivalued operator with property (BC). Then $N$ has a continuous selection, that is, there exists a continuous function (single-valued) $f : X \to L^1(J, X)$ such that $f(x) \in N(x)$ for every $x \in X$.

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space induced by the normed space $(X, \| \cdot \|)$. Let $H_d : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{U}(\infty)$ be defined as

$$H_d(C, D) = \max_{c \in C} \left( \sup_{d \in D} d(c, D), \sup_{d \in d} d(C, d) \right),$$

where $d(C, D) = \inf_{c \in C} d(c, D)$, $d(C, d) = \inf_{c \in C} d(c, D)$. Then $(\mathcal{P}_b(X), H_d)$ is a metric space and $(\mathcal{P}_c(X), H_d)$ is a generalized (complete) metric space.

Definition 2.4. [4] A multivalued operator $G : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ is called

(i) $\gamma$-Lipschitz if there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$H_d(G(x), G(y)) \leq \gamma d(x, y), \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X;$$

(ii) a contraction if it is $\gamma$-Lipschitz with $\gamma < 1$.

For more detailed results on multivalued maps and differential inclusions, we refer to [1, 2, 4, 7, 8]. We now give some important properties of resolvent family of operators.

Definition 2.5. [20] Let $\alpha > 0$. The $\alpha$-order Caputo fractional derivative of $v$ is defined as follows:

$$D^\alpha_t v(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} v^{(n)}(s) ds,$$

where $m = \lfloor \alpha \rfloor$.

Definition 2.6. [35] Let $A$ be a closed and linear operator with domain $D(A)$ defined on a Banach space $X$ and $\alpha > 0$. We call $A$ the generator of an $(\alpha, 1)$-resolvent family if there exists $\omega > 0$ and a strongly continuous function $S_\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \to L(X)$ such that $[\lambda^\alpha : \Re \lambda > \omega] \subseteq \rho(A)$ and

$$A^{-1}(\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1}x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_\alpha(t)x \, dt, \quad \Re \lambda > \omega, x \in X.$$

In this case, the family $\{S_\alpha(t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}\}$ is called an $(\alpha, 1)$-resolvent family generated by $A$.

Definition 2.7. [35] Let $A$ be a closed and linear operator with domain $D(A)$ defined on a Banach space $X$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. We say that $A$ is the generator of an $(\alpha, a)$-resolvent family if there exists $\omega > 0$ and a strongly continuous function $R_\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \to L(X)$ such that $[\lambda^a : \Re \lambda > \omega] \subseteq \rho(A)$ and

$$(\lambda^a - A)^{-1}x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} R_\alpha(t)x \, dt, \quad \Re \lambda > \omega, x \in X.$$

In this case, the family $\{R_\alpha(t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}\}$ is called an $(\alpha, a)$-resolvent family generated by $A$.

Recall that a strongly continuous family $\{T(t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\}$ is said to be of type $(M, \omega)$ if there exist constants $M > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.3. [35, Theorem 3.1] Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\{S_\alpha(t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}\}$ be an $(\alpha, 1)$-resolvent family of type $(M, \omega)$ generated by $A$. Suppose that $S_\alpha(t)$ is continuous in the uniform operator topology for all $t > 0$, then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) $S_\alpha(t)$ is a compact operator for all $t > 0$.

(ii) $(\mu - A)^{-1}$ is a compact operator for all $\mu > \omega^h$.

Next, we list some well-known fixed point theorems.

Lemma 2.5. [1] Let $X$ be a bounded, convex and closed subset of a Banach space $X$ and let $Y : X \to Y$ be a condensing map. Then, $Y$ has a fixed point in $X$.

Lemma 2.6. [1] Let $X$ be a bounded and convex set in Banach space $X$. $Y : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ is an u.s.c., condensing multivalued map. If for every $x \in X$, $Y(x)$ is a closed and convex set in $X$, then $Y$ has a fixed point in $X$.

Lemma 2.7. (see [4, Theorem 1.11]) Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. If $G : X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a contraction, then $Fix(G) \neq \emptyset$, where $Fix(G)$ denotes the fixed point set of $G$.

3 Existence results

In this section, we shall investigate some existence results for mild solutions to Eqs. (1.1)–(1.2) and Eqs. (1.3)–(1.4). We shall prove our main results under conditions that (i) the multivalued term takes convex values with compactness of resolvent family of operators; (ii) the multivalued term takes nonconvex values with compactness of resolvent family of operators and (iii) the multivalued term takes nonconvex values without compactness of resolvent family of operators.
For the problem (1.1)–(1.2), according to [35], we have the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let $A$ be the generator of an $(\alpha, 1)$-resolvent family $S_{a}(t)$; the mild solutions of the problem (1.1)–(1.2) are defined as follows:

$$x(t) = S_{a}(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \int_{0}^{t} S_{a}(t-s)v(s)ds, v \in S_{F,x}, t \in J.$$  

We list the following assumptions:

(A1) $A$ generates an $(\alpha, 1)$-resolvent family $(S_{a}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of type $(M, \omega)$. $(\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1}$ is compact for all $\lambda > \omega$, and $S_{a}(t)$ is continuous in the uniform operator topology for all $t > 0$.

(A2) $F : J \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{cp,cv}(X)$ satisfies the following conditions:

(a) For a.e. $t \in J$, $F(t, \cdot)$ is u.s.c. and for each $x \in X$, $F(t, x)$ is measurable. And for each $x \in C(J, X)$, $SF, x$ is nonempty;

(b) There exists a function $\phi \in L^{1}(J, \mathbb{R}_{+})$ such that $F(t, x)_{\infty} \leq \phi(t)x$, $\forall t \in J, x \in X$.

(A3) $p : C(J, X) \rightarrow C(J, X)$ is continuous and there exists $L_{p} > 0$ such that

$$\|p(x) - p(y)\| < L_{p}\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C(J, X).$$

Remark 3.1. (i) Of concern, for useful criteria for the continuity of $S_{a}(t)$ in the uniform operator topology, one can refer to the work [37]. For instance, this property holds true for the class of analytic resolvent.

(ii) According to Lemma 2.3, the condition (A1) implies $S_{a}(t)$ is compact for all $t > 0$.

Theorem 3.1. If conditions (A1)–(A3) hold, then the problem (1.1)–(1.2) admits at least one mild solution on $J$ provided that

$$\tilde{M}(L_{p} + \|\phi\|_{L_{\infty}}) < 1, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\tilde{M} = \max\{M, Me^{\omega b}\}$.

Proof. Consider the operator $N : C(J, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(C(J, X))$ defined by

$$N(x) = \left\{ h \in C(J, X) : h(t) = S_{a}(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \int_{0}^{t} S_{a}(t-s)v(s)ds, t \in J \right\},$$

where $v \in S_{F,x}$. Clearly, the fixed points of $N$ are mild solutions to (1.1)–(1.2). We shall show that $N$ satisfies all the hypothesis of Lemma 2.6. The proof will be given in several steps.

Step 1. There exists a positive number $r$ such that $N(B_{r}) \subseteq B_{r}$, where $B_{r} = \{ x \in C(J, X) : \|x\|_{\infty} \leq r \}$. If it is not true, then for each positive number $r$, there exists a function $x'$ such that $h' \in N(x')$ but $\|h'(t)\| > r$ for some $t \in J$.

$$h' (t) = S_{a}(t)[x_0 + p(x')] + \int_{0}^{t} S_{a}(t-s)v'(s)ds,$$

where $v' \in S_{F,x'}$. However, on the other hand, we have

$$r < \|S_{a}(t)[x_0 + p(x')] + \int_{0}^{t} S_{a}(t-s)v'(s)ds\|$$

$$\leq \tilde{M}(\|x_0\| + \|p(x')\|) + \tilde{M}\|\phi(s)\|\|x\|_{L_{\infty}}ds$$

$$\leq \tilde{M}\|x_0\| + \tilde{M}(L_{p}\|x'\| + 1) + \tilde{M}\|\phi\|_{L_{\infty}}r$$

Dividing both sides by $r$ and taking the lower limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the following equation:

$$1 \leq \tilde{M}(L_{p} + \|\phi\|_{L_{\infty}}),$$

which contradicts the relation (3.1).

Step 2. $N(x)$ is convex for each $x \in C(J, X)$.

Indeed, if $h_{1}, h_{2} \in N(x)$, then there exist $v_{1}, v_{2} \in S_{F,x}$ such that for each $t \in J$, we have

$$h_{i}(t) = S_{a}(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \int_{0}^{t} S_{a}(t-s)v_{i}(s)ds, i = 1, 2.$$  

Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Then for each $t \in J$, we have

$$(\theta h_{1} + (1 - \theta)h_{2})(t) = S_{a}(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \int_{0}^{t} S_{a}(t-s)[\theta v_{1}(s)$$

$$+ (1 - \theta)v_{2}(s)]ds.$$  

Because $S_{F,x}$ is convex (since $F$ has convex values), $\theta h_{1} + (1 - \theta)h_{2} \in N(x)$.

Step 3. $N(x)$ is closed for each $x \in C(J, X)$.

Let $\{h_{n}\}_{n \geq 0} \in N(x)$ such that $h_{n} \rightarrow h$ in $C(J, X)$. Then $h \in C(J, X)$ and there exist $v_{n} \in S_{F,x}$ such that for each $t \in J$

$$h_{n}(t) = S_{a}(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \int_{0}^{t} S_{a}(t-s)v_{n}(s)ds.$$  

Due to the fact that $F$ has compact values, we may pass to a subsequence if necessary to get that $v_{n}$ converges to $v$ in $L^{1}(J, X)$ and hence $v \in S_{F,x}$. Then for each $t \in J$,

$$h_{n}(t) \rightarrow h(t) = S_{a}(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \int_{0}^{t} S_{a}(t-s)v(s)ds.$$  

Thus, $h \in N(x)$. 

**Step 4.** $N$ is u.s.c. and condensing.

Now, we decompose $N$ as $N_1 + N_2$ as

$$(N_1x)(t) = S_a(t)[x_0 + p(x)]$$

$$(N_2x) = \left\{ m \in C(J, X) : m(t) = \int_0^t S_a(t-s)v(s)ds, \ t \in J \right\}.$$  

We only need to prove that $N_1$ is a contraction and $N_2$ is completely continuous.

To show that $N_1$ is a contraction, for arbitrary $x_1, x_2 \in B$, and each $t \in J$, we have from (A3)

$$\|N_1(x_1)(t) - N_1(x_2)(t)\| \leq \|S_a(t)[p(x_1) - p(x_2)]\| \leq MLM_p\|x_1 - x_2\|_{\infty}.$$  

Thus

$$\|N_1(x_1) - N_1(x_2)\|_{\infty} \leq MLM_p \|x_1 - x_2\|_{\infty}.$$  

From the relation (3.1), we conclude that $N_1$ is a contraction.

Next, we show that $N_2$ is u.s.c. and condensing.

(i) $N_2(B_1)$ is obviously bounded.

(ii) $N_2(B_1)$ is equicontinuous.

Indeed, let $x \in B_1$, $m \in N_2(x)$ and take $t_1, t_2 \in J$ with $t_2 < t_1$. Then there exists a selection $v \in S_{F,x}$ such that

$$\|m(t)\| = \int_0^t \|S_a(t-s)v(s)\| ds, \ t \in J.$$  

Then,

$$\|m(t_1) - m(t_2)\| \leq \int_0^{t_1} \|S_a(t_1-s)v(s)\| ds + \int_0^{t_2} \|S_a(t_2-s)v(s)\| ds$$

$$= I_1 + I_2.$$  

For the term $I_1$, as $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$, we have

$$I_1 \leq \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \tilde{M}\phi(s)\|x(s)\| ds \leq \tilde{M}r \int_{t_2}^{t_1} \phi(s) ds \rightarrow 0.$$  

Next for the term $I_2$, we have

$$I_2 \leq \int_0^{t_2} \|S_a(t_1-s) - S_a(t_2-s)\| \|v(s)\| ds$$

$$\leq \int_0^{t_2} \|S_a(t_1-s) - S_a(t_2-s)\| \phi(s) x(\sigma) (s) ds$$

$$\leq \int \|S_a(t_1-s) - S_a(t_2-s)\| \phi(s) ds.$$  

Now take into account that

$$\|S_a(t_1-s) - S_a(t_2-s)\| \phi(s) \leq 2\tilde{M}\phi(s) \in L^1\left(J, \mathbb{R}^n\right),$$  

and $S_a(t_1-s) - S_a(t_2-s) \rightarrow 0$ in $C(\mathbb{R})$, as $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ (see (A1)).

By the Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$.

(iii) $V(t) = \{m(t): m(t) \in N_2(B_1)\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathbb{X}$.

For $t = 0$, the conclusion obviously holds. Let $0 < t \leq b$ and $\varepsilon$ be a real number satisfying $0 < \varepsilon < t$. For $x \in B_1$ and $v \in S_{F,x}$ such that

$$m(t) = \int_0^t S_a(t-s)v(s)ds, \ t \in J.$$  

Define

$$m_\varepsilon(t) = \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t S_a(t-s)v(s)ds, \ t \in J.$$  

In view of (A1) and Lemma 2.3, we have $S_a(t)$ which is compact for $t > 0$. Therefore, the set $\mathcal{K} = \{S_a(t-s)v(s), 0 \leq s \leq t - \varepsilon\}$ is relatively compact. Then, $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ is compact. Considering $m_\varepsilon(t) \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $t \in J$, the set $V_\varepsilon(t) = \{m_\varepsilon(t): m_\varepsilon(t) \in N_2(B_1)\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathbb{X}$ for every $\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < t$. Moreover, for $m \in N(B_1)$,

$$\|m(t) - m_\varepsilon(t)\| \leq \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \|S_a(t-s)v(s)\| ds$$

$$\leq \tilde{M}r \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \phi(s) ds.$$  

Therefore, let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we see that there are relatively compact sets arbitrarily close to the set $V(t) = \{m(t): m(t) \in N_2(B_1)\}$. Hence, the set $V(t) = \{m(t): m(t) \in N_2(B_1)\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathbb{X}$.

As a consequence of the above steps and the Arzela–Ascoli theorem, we can deduce that $N_2$ is completely continuous.

(iv) $N_2$ has a closed graph.

Let $x_n \rightarrow x_*$, $m_n \in N_2(x_n)$ and $m_n \rightarrow m_*$. We shall show that $m_* \in N_2(x_*)$. Now $m_n \in N_2(x_n)$ implies that there exists $v_n \in S_{F,x_n}$ such that

$$m_n(t) = \int_0^t S_a(t-s)v_n(s)ds, \ t \in J.$$  

We must prove that there exists $v_* \in S_{F,x_*}$ such that

$$m_*(t) = \int_0^t S_a(t-s)v_*(s)ds, \ t \in J.$$  

Consider the linear continuous operator defined by

$$\Gamma : L^1\left(J, \mathbb{X}\right) \rightarrow C(J, \mathbb{X}) \quad \Gamma(v)(t) = \int_0^t R_a(t-s)v(s)ds.$$  

From Lemma 2.1, it follows that $\Gamma S_F$ is a closed graph operator. Moreover, we have $m_n \in \Gamma(S_F, x_n)$. 
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Thus, we have
generates an \((v, \lambda)\) solution. Hence, \(N_1\) is a contraction, and therefore \(N = N_1 + N_2\) is u.s.c. and condensing. By the fixed point theorem Lemma 2.6, there exists a fixed point \(x(t)\) for \(N\) on \(B_p\). Thus, the problem (1.1)–(1.2) admits a mild solution.

Replace the condition (A2)(b) by (b'). There exists a constant \(r \in (0, 1)\) and a function \(\phi \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}_+\) such that

\[
\|F(t, x(t))\|_p \leq \phi(t) (\|x(t)\|)^r, \quad \forall t \in J, x \in C(J, \mathbb{R}).
\]

From the above proof of Theorem 3.1, we can obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.1.** If conditions (A1)–(A2)(a) and (A2)(b')–(A3) hold, then the problem (1.1)–(1.2) admits at least one mild solution on \(J\) provided that

\[
ML_p < 1. \quad (3.2)
\]

For the problem (1.3)–(1.4), we first consider the following equation:

\[
D^\alpha_t x(t) = A x(t) + \nu(t), \quad t \in J,
\]

\[
x(0) = x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_1,
\]

where \(1 < \alpha < 2, \nu \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}_+\). By Laplace transform, we have

\[
\lambda^\alpha \tilde{x}(\lambda) - \lambda^{\alpha-1} x(0) - \lambda^{\alpha-2} x'(0) = A \tilde{x}(\lambda) + \nu(\lambda).
\]

that is

\[
\tilde{x}(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha-1} (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} x_0 + \lambda^{\alpha-2} (A^\alpha - A)^{-1} x_1 + (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} \nu(\lambda)
\]

Thus, we have

\[
x(t) = S_\alpha(t)x_0 + \int_0^t S_\alpha(t-s)\nu(s)ds.
\]

Now, we can give the following definition.

**Definition 3.2.** Let \(1 < \alpha < 2\) and \(A\) be the generator of an \((\alpha, 1)\)-resolvent family \(\{S_\alpha(t)\}_{t \geq 0}\) of type \((M, \omega)\). Then \(A\) generates an \((\alpha, \alpha)\)-resolvent family \(\{R_\alpha(t)\}_{t \geq 0}\) of type \((M^\alpha, \omega)\) and the mild solution of the problem (1.3)–(1.4) can be given as follows:

\[
x(t) = S_\alpha(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \int_0^t S_\alpha(\theta)[x_1 + q(x)]d\theta\\ + \int_0^t R_\alpha(t-s)\nu(s)ds, \quad \forall t \in J.
\]

Let us list the following basic assumptions:

(A4) Let \(1 < \alpha < 2\) and \(A\) generates an \((\alpha, 1)\)-resolvent family \(\{S_\alpha(t)\}_{t \geq 0}\) of type \((M, \omega)\). \((\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1}\) is compact for all \(\lambda > \omega\).

(A5) \(q : C(J, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{P}(C(J, \mathbb{R}))\) is continuous and there exists \(L_q > 0\) such that

\[
\|q(x) - q(y)\| < L_q \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C(J, \mathbb{R}).
\]

**Remark 3.2.** If (A4) holds, according to Lemma 2.4, \(A\) generates an \((\alpha, \alpha)\)-resolvent family \(\{S_\alpha(t)\}_{t \geq 0}\) of type \((M^\alpha, \omega)\) and \(R_\alpha(t)\) is a compact operator for all \(t > 0\). And from the proof of [35, Theorem 3.5], \(R_\alpha(t)\) is continuous in the uniform operator topology for all \(t > 0\).

**Theorem 3.2.** If conditions (A2)–(A5) hold, then the problem (1.3)–(1.4) admits at least one mild solution on \(J\) provided that

\[
\bar{M}(L_p + bL_q + \|\phi\|_{L_1}) < 1, \quad (3.3)
\]

where \(\bar{M} = \max\left\{\frac{L_p}{b}, \frac{bL_q}{\|\phi\|_{L_1}}\right\}\).

**Proof.** Consider the operator \(N : C(J, \mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{P}(C(J, \mathbb{R}))\) defined by

\[
N(x) = \left\{h \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) : h(t) = S_\alpha(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \int_0^t S_\alpha(\theta)[x_1 + q(x)]d\theta \right.\\ \left. + \int_0^t R_\alpha(t-s)\nu(s)ds, \quad t \in J\right\}
\]

where \(x \in S_{F, x}\). Clearly, the fixed points of \(N\) are mild solutions to (1.1)–(1.2). We shall show that \(N\) satisfies all the hypothesis of Lemma 2.6. The proof will be given in several steps.

**Step 1.** There exists a positive number \(r\) such that \(N(B_r) \subseteq B_{pr}\), where \(B_r = \{x \in C(J, \mathbb{R}) : \|x\|_{\infty} \leq r\}\). If it is not true, then for each positive number \(r\), there exists a function \(x'\) such that \(h' \in N(x')\) but \(\|h'(t)\| > r\) for some \(t \in J\),

\[
h'(t) = S_\alpha(t)[x_0 + p(x')] + \int_0^t S_\alpha(\theta)x_1 + q(x')]d\theta\\ + \int_0^t R_\alpha(t-s)\nu'(s)ds,
\]

where \(\nu' \in S_{F, x'}\). However, on the other hand, we have
\[ r < \left\| S_a(t)[x_0 + p(x')] + \frac{r}{0} S_a(\theta)[x_1 + q(x')]d\theta \right\| \\
+ \frac{r}{0} R_a(t-s)v(s)ds \\
\leq \hat{M}(\|x_0\| + \|p(x')\|) + b\hat{M}(\|x_1\| + \|q(x')\|) \\
+ \hat{M} \left( \frac{r}{0} \|\phi(s)\|ds \right) \\
\leq \hat{M}(\|x_0\| + \hat{M}(L_p\|x_1\| + \|p(0)\|) + b\hat{M}\|x_1\|, r \\
+ b\hat{M}(L_p\|x_1\| + \|q(0)\|)) + \hat{M}\|\phi\|_{L_p} \right) \\
\leq \hat{M}(x_0 + \|p(0)\| + b\|x_1\| + b\|q(0)\|) \\
+ M(L_p + bL_q + \|\phi\|_{L_p})r.
\]

Dividing both sides by \( r \) and taking the lower limit as \( r \to \infty \), we obtain

\[ 1 < \hat{M}(L_p + bL_q + \|\phi\|_{L_p}), \]

which contradicts the relation \((3.3)\).

**Step 2.** \( N(x) \) is convex for each \( x \in C(J, \mathcal{X}) \).

Indeed, if \( h_1, h_2 \in N(x) \), then there exist \( v_1, v_2 \in S_{F,x} \) such that for each \( t \in J \), we have

\[ h_i(t) = S_a(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \frac{r}{0} S_a(\theta)[x_1 + q(x)]d\theta \\
+ \frac{r}{0} R_a(t-s)v_i(s)ds, \quad i = 1, 2. \]

Let \( \delta \in (0, 1) \). Then for each \( t \in J \), we have

\[ (\delta h_1 + (1 - \delta)h_2)(t) = S_a(t)[x_0 + p(x)] \\
+ \frac{r}{0} S_a(\theta)[x_1 + q(x)]d\theta \\
+ \frac{r}{0} R_a(t-s)[\delta v_1(s) + (1 - \delta)v_2(s)]ds. \]

Because \( S_{F,x} \) is convex (since \( F \) has convex values), \( \delta h_1 + (1 - \delta)h_2 \in N(x) \).

**Step 3.** \( N(x) \) is closed for each \( x \in C(J, \mathcal{X}) \).

Let \( h_n_{\text{loc}} \in N(x) \) such that \( h_n \to h \) in \( C(J, \mathcal{X}) \).

Then \( h \in C(J, \mathcal{X}) \) and there exist \( v_n \in S_{F,x} \) such that for each \( t \in J \)

\[ h_n(t) = S_a(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \frac{r}{0} S_a(\theta)[x_1 + q(x)]d\theta \\
+ \frac{r}{0} R_a(t-s)v_n(s)ds. \]

Due to the fact that \( F \) has compact values, we may pass to a subsequence if necessary to get that \( v_n \) converges to \( v \) in \( L(J, \mathcal{X}) \) and hence \( v \in S_{F,x} \). Then for each \( t \in J \),

\[ h_n(t) \to h(t) = S_a(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \frac{r}{0} S_a(\theta)[x_1 + q(x)]d\theta \\
+ \frac{r}{0} R_a(t-s)v(s)ds. \]

Thus, \( h \in N(x) \).

**Step 4.** \( N(x) \) is u.s.c. and condensing.

Now, we decompose \( N \) as \( N_1 + N_2 \) as

\[ (N_1x)(t) = S_a(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \frac{r}{0} S_a(\theta)[x_1 + q(x)]d\theta \\
N_2(x) = \left\{ m \in C(J, \mathcal{X}) : m(t) = \frac{r}{0} R_a(t-s)v(s)ds, t \in J \right\}. \]

We only need to prove that \( N_1 \) is a contraction and \( N_2 \) is completely continuous.

To show that \( N_1 \) is a contraction, for arbitrary \( x_1, x_2 \in B_r \) and each \( t \in J \), we have from \((A3)\) and \((A5)\)

\[ ||N_1(x_1(t) - N_1(x_2(t))|| \leq ||S_a(t)[p(x_1) - p(x_2)]|| \\
+ \frac{r}{0} ||S_a(\theta)[q(x_1) - q(x_2)]d\theta|| \\
\leq ML_p \|x_1 - x_2\|_{\infty} + bML_q||x_1 - x_2||_{\infty}. \]

Thus

\[ ||N_1(x_1(t)) - N_1(x_2(t))||_{\infty} \leq \hat{M}(L_p + bL_q)||x_1 - x_2||_{\infty}. \]

From the relation \((3.3)\), we conclude that \( N_1 \) is a contraction.

Next, we show that \( N_2 \) is u.s.c. and condensing.

(i) \( N_2(B_r) \) is obviously bounded.

(ii) \( N_2(B_r) \) is equicontinuous.

Indeed, let \( x \in B_r, m \in N(x) \) and take \( t_1, t_2 \in J \) with \( t_2 < t_1 \).

Then, there exists a selection \( v \in S_{F,x} \) such that

\[ m(t) = \frac{r}{0} R_a(t-s)v(s)ds, \quad t \in J. \]

Then

\[ ||m(t_1) - m(t_2)|| \leq \frac{r}{t_1} ||R_a(t_1-s)v(s)||ds + \frac{r}{t_2} ||R_a(t_2-s)v(s)||ds \]

\[ = R_a(t_1-s)||v(s)||ds \\
= I_1 + I_2. \]

For the term \( I_1 \), as \( t_1 \to t_2 \), we have

\[ I_1 \leq \hat{M}\|\phi(s)\|_{L_1} ds \leq \hat{M}r \frac{t_1}{t_2} \phi(s)ds \to 0. \]

Next for the term \( I_2 \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
I_2 & \leq \int_0^{t_2} \left[ \| R_a(t_1-s) - R_a(t_2-s) \| \right. \left. \| \nu(s) \| ds \\
& \leq t \int_0^{t_2} \left[ \| R_a(t_1-s) - R_a(t_2-s) \| \phi(s) \| x(s) \| ds \\
& \leq t \int_0^{t_2} \left[ \| R_a(t_1-s) - R_a(t_2-s) \| \phi(s) \| ds. 
\right]
\end{align*}
\]

Now take into account that

\[
\| R_a(t_1-s) - R_a(t_2-s) \| \phi(s) \leq 2\hat{M}\phi(s) \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}),
\]

and \( R_a(t_1-s) - R_a(t_2-s) \to 0 \) in \( L'(\mathcal{X}), \) as \( t_1 \to t_2 \) (see (A4)). By the Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, \( I_2 \to 0 \) as \( t_1 \to t_2. \)

(iii) \( V(t) = \{ m(t): m(t) \in N_2(B_2) \} \) is relatively compact in \( \mathcal{X}. \)

For \( t = 0, \) the conclusion obviously holds. Let \( 0 < t \leq b \)

and \( \varepsilon \) be a real number satisfying \( 0 < \varepsilon < t. \) For \( x \in B_2 \), and \( \nu \in S_{F,X} \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
m(t) &= \int_0^t R_a(t-s)\nu(s)ds, \quad t \in J. \\
\end{align*}
\]

Define

\[
\begin{align*}
m_\varepsilon(t) &= \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} R_a(t-s)\nu(s)ds, \quad t \in J. \\
\end{align*}
\]

In view of (A6) and Lemma 2.4, we have \( R_a(t) \) which is compact for \( t > 0. \) Therefore, the set \( \mathcal{K} = \{ R_a(t-s)\nu(s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t - \varepsilon \} \) is relatively compact. Then, \( \overline{\text{conv}} \mathcal{K} \) is compact. Considering \( m_\varepsilon(t) \in \overline{\text{conv}} \mathcal{K} \) for all \( t \in J, \) the set \( V_\varepsilon(t) = \{ m_\varepsilon(t): m_\varepsilon(t) \in N_2(B_2) \} \) is relatively compact in \( \mathcal{X} \) for every \( \varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < t. \) Moreover, for \( m \in N(B_2), \)

\[
\begin{align*}
m(t) - m_\varepsilon(t) & \leq \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t R_a(t-s)\nu(s)ds \\
& \leq \hat{M}\varepsilon \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \phi(s)ds.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, let \( \varepsilon \to 0, \) we see that there are relatively compact sets arbitrarily close to the set \( V(t) = \{ m(t): m(t) \in N_2(B_2) \}. \) Hence, the set \( V(t) = \{ m(t): m(t) \in N_2(B_2) \} \) is relatively compact in \( \mathcal{X}. \)

As a consequence of the above steps and the Arzela–Ascoli theorem, we can deduce that \( N_2 \) is completely continuous.

(iv) \( N_2 \) has a closed graph.

Let \( x_n \to x_\ast, \) \( m_n \in N_2(x_n) \) and \( m_n \to m_\ast. \) We shall show that \( m_\ast \in N_2(x_\ast). \) Now \( m_n \in N_2(x_n) \) implies that there exists \( \nu_n \in S_{F,X_\ast} \) such that

\[
m_n(t) = \int_0^t R_a(t-s)\nu_n(s)ds, \quad t \in J.
\]

We must prove that there exists \( \nu_\ast \in S_{F,X_\ast} \) such that

\[
m_\ast(t) = \int_0^t R_a(t-s)\nu_\ast(s)ds, \quad t \in J.
\]

Consider the linear continuous operator defined by

\[
\Gamma: L^1(J, \mathcal{X}) \to C(J, \mathcal{X})
\]

From Lemma 2.1, it follows that \( \Gamma: S_F \) is a closed graph operator. Moreover, we have \( m_\ast(t) \in \Gamma(S_{F,X_\ast}). \)

Since \( x_n \to x_\ast \) and \( m_n \to m_\ast, \) it follows again from Lemma 2.1 that \( m_\ast(t) \in \Gamma(S_{F,X_\ast}). \) That is, there must exist \( \nu_\ast \in S_{F,X_\ast} \) such that

\[
m_\ast(t) = \int_0^t R_a(t-s)\nu_\ast(s)ds, \quad t \in J.
\]

Therefore, \( N_2 \) is u.s.c. On the other hand, \( N_1 \) is a contraction, hence \( N = N_1 + N_2 \) is u.s.c. and condensing. By the fixed point theorem Lemma 2.6, there exists a fixed point \( \nu_\ast(t) \) on \( B_\ast. \) Thus, the problem (1.1)–(1.2) admits a mild solution.

According to the above proof of Theorem 3.2, we can also have the following result.

**Corollary 3.2.** If conditions (A2)(a), (A2)(b’), and (A3)–(A5) hold, then the problem (1.1)–(1.2) admits at least one mild solution on \( J \) provided that

\[
\hat{M}(L_p + bL_q) < 1.
\]

Next we consider problems (1.1)–(1.2) and (1.3)–(1.4) when the multivalued map \( F \) takes nonconvex values with compactness of resolvent family of operators. Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a separable Banach space \( \mathcal{X}. \) We list the following condition:

(C1) \( F: J \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{P}_{cp}(\mathcal{X}) \) satisfies

(I) \( (t, x) \mapsto F(t, x) \) is \( C \times B \) measurable;

(II) \( x \mapsto F(t, x) \) is l.s.c. for a.e. \( t \in J. \)

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose hypotheses (A1), (A2)(b’), (C1) and (A3) are satisfied, then the problem (1.1)–(1.2) admits at least one mild solution on \( J \) if condition (3.1) holds.

**Proof.** Hypotheses (A2)(b) and (C1) imply that \( F \) is of l.s.c. type. In view of Lemma 2.2, there exists a continuous function \( f: C(J, \mathcal{X}) \to L^1(J, \mathcal{X}) \) such that \( f(x) \in F(x) \) for all \( x \in C(J, \mathcal{X}). \) Now consider the following equation:

\[
D_f^\alpha x(t) = Ax(t) + f(x(t)), t \in J
\]

(3.5)
\[ x(0) = x_0 + P(x), \quad (3.6) \]

Notice that if \( x \in C(J, \mathbb{X}) \) is a solution of the problem (3.5)–(3.6), then \( x \) is also a solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.2). Next, we transform the problem (3.5)–(3.6) into a fixed point problem by defining \( N : C(J, \mathbb{X}) \to C(J, \mathbb{X}) \) as

\[
N(x) = S_x(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \int_0^t S_x(t-s)f(x)(s)ds, \quad t \in J.
\]

We shall show that \( N \) satisfies all the hypothesis of Lemma 2.5. The proof will be given in several steps.

**Step 1.** There exists a positive number \( r \) such that \( N(B_r) \subset B_r \), where \( B_r = \{ x \in C(J, \mathbb{X}) : \| x \|_{\infty} \leq r \} \).

This can be conducted similarly as Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

We decompose \( N \) as \( N_1 + N_2 \) as

\[
N_1(x)(t) = S_x(t)[x_0 + p(x)]
\]

\[
N_2(x)(t) = \int_0^t S_x(t-s)f(x)(s)ds.
\]

**Step 2.** \( N_2 \) is continuous on \( B_r \).

Let \( \{x_n\} \) be a sequence such that \( x_n \to x \) in \( B_r \). Then

\[
N_2(x_n)(t) - N_2(x)(t) \leq \int_0^t \| S_x(t-s) \| \| f(x_n)(s) - f(x)(s) \| ds
\]

\[
\leq M \int_0^t \| f(x_n)(s) \| + \| x(s) \| ds
\]

\[
\leq 2M \int_0^t \| f(s) \| ds.
\]

Note that \( \phi \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}_+) \), \( \int_0^t \| f(x_n)(s) - f(x)(s) \| ds \to 0, n \to \infty \) by the Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem. Hence, \( N_2 \) is continuous.

**Step 3.** \( N \) is condensing.

Similarly conducted as the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can prove that \( N_1 \) is a contraction and \( N_2 \) is completely continuous.

From the above three steps, we can complete the proof via Lemma 2.5.

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose hypotheses (C1), (A2)(b) and (A3)–(A5) are satisfied, then the problem (1.3)–(1.4) admits at least one mild solution on \( J \) if condition (3.3) holds.

**Proof.** Deducing as the proof of Theorem 3.3, we can transform the problem (1.3)–(1.4) into a single-valued problem. We define \( N = N_1 + N_2 : C(J, \mathbb{X}) \to C(J, \mathbb{X}) \) as

\[
N_1(x)(t) = S_x(t)[x_0 + p(x)] + \int_0^t S_x(t-s)|x_1 + q(x)|d\theta
\]

\[
N_2(x)(t) = \int_0^t R_x(t-s)f(x)(s)ds.
\]

Similarly conducted as the proof of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we can prove that \( N_1 \) is a contraction and \( N_2 \) is completely continuous. Thus, Lemma 2.5 can be applied to complete the proof.

Similarly, from proofs of Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, we have the following results.

**Corollary 3.3.** Suppose hypotheses (A1), (A2)(b'), (C1) and (A3) are satisfied, then the problem (1.1)–(1.2) admits at least one mild solution on \( J \) if condition (3.2) holds.

**Corollary 3.4.** Suppose hypotheses (C1), (A2)(b') and (A3)–(A5) are satisfied, then the problem (1.3)–(1.4) admits at least one mild solution on \( J \) if condition (3.4) holds.

In the following, we give some results when the multivalued map \( F \) has nonconvex values without compactness of resolvent family of operators. Let us list the following assumptions:

(A6) \( F : J \times \mathbb{X} \to \mathcal{P}_{cp}(\mathbb{X}) \) satisfies the following conditions:

1. \( F : J \times \mathbb{X} \to \mathcal{P}_{cp}^{0}(\mathbb{X}) \) is measurable for each \( x \in \mathbb{X} \);

2. There exists a function \( l \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R}_+) \) such that

\[
H_d(F(t,x_1),F(t,x_2)) \leq l(t)||x_1 - x_2||, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in J, \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{X},
\]

\[
d(0,F(t,0)) \leq l(t), \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in J.
\]

**Remark 3.3.** [4] Owing to (A6)(1), for each \( x \in C(J, \mathbb{X}), F \) has a measurable selection, thus \( S_{F,x} \neq \emptyset \).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( A \) be the generator of an \((a,1)\)-resolvent family \( \{S_a(t)\} \) of type \((M, \omega)\). Assume that conditions (A3) and (A6) are satisfied, then the problem (1.1)–(1.2) admits at least one mild solution on \( J \) provided that

\[
\bar{M}(L_0 + \| l \|_{L^1}) < 1, \quad (3.7)
\]

where \( \bar{M} = \max(M, Me_{\omega,0}) \).

**Proof.** Transform the problem (1.1)–(1.2) into a fixed point problem. Let the multivalued operator \( N : C(J, \mathbb{X}) \to \mathcal{P}(C(J, \mathbb{X})) \) be defined as in Theorem 3.1. We shall prove that \( N \) admits at least one fixed point. We divide the proof into two steps.

**Step 1.** For each \( x \in C(J, \mathbb{X}), N(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{cl}(C(J, \mathbb{X})). \)
This can be proved just as Step 3 in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Step 2.** For each \( x, \tilde{x} \in C(J, X) \), there exists a constant \( 0 < y < 1 \) such that \( H_d(N(x), N(\tilde{x})) \leq y\|x - \tilde{x}\|_\infty \).

Let \( x, \tilde{x} \in C(J, X) \) and \( h \in N(x) \). Then there exists \( v \in S_{F,x} \) such that for each \( t \in J \)
\[
    h(t) = S_a(t)\{x_0 + p(x)\} + \int_0^t S_a(t-s)v(s)ds.
\]

From (A6)(2), we have
\[
    H_d(F(t, x(t)), F(t, \tilde{x}(t))) \leq I(t)\|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|.
\]

Thus there exists \( w \in S_{F,x} \) such that
\[
    \|v(t) - w(t)\| \leq I(t)\|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|, t \in J.
\]

Consider \( U : J \to \mathcal{P}(X) \) defined as
\[
    W(t) = \{w \in X : \|v(t) - w(t)\| \leq I(t)\|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|\}.
\]

Because \( U(t) = W(t) \cap F(t, \tilde{x}) \) is measurable (see [38, Proposition III.4]), there exists a function \( v(t) \), which is a measurable selection for \( U \). Hence, \( \tilde{v}(t) \in F(t, \tilde{x}(t)) \) and
\[
    \|v(t) - \tilde{v}(t)\| \leq I(t)\|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|, t \in J.
\]

For each \( t \in J \), we now define
\[
    \tilde{h}(t) = S_a(t)\{x_0 + p(\tilde{x})\} + \int_0^t S_a(t-s)v(s)ds.
\]

Then for each \( t \in J \), we have
\[
    h(t) - \tilde{h}(t) \leq \|S_a(t)[p(x(t)) - p(\tilde{x}(t))]\| + \int_0^t S_a(t-s)[v(s) - \tilde{v}(s)]ds \leq \tilde{M}[L_p]\|x - \tilde{x}\|_\infty + \tilde{M}I(t)\|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|.
\]

Thus,
\[
    \|h - \tilde{h}\|_\infty \leq \tilde{M}\{L_p + I(t)\|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|\}.
\]

By an analogous relation, obtained by interchanging the roles of \( \tilde{x} \) and \( x \), we can obtain
\[
    H_d(N(x), N(\tilde{x})) \leq \tilde{M}\{L_p + I(t)\|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|\}.
\]

Owing to relation (3.7), we conclude that \( N \) is a contraction. Thus, by Lemma 2.7, \( N \) admits a fixed point, which just is one mild solution to the problem (1.1)–(1.2).

Theorem 3.6. Let \( 1 < a < 2 \) and \( A \) generates an \( (a,1) \)-resolvent family \( \{S_a(t)\}_{t>0} \) of type \( (M, \alpha) \). Suppose that conditions (A3), (A5) and (A6) are satisfied, then the problem (1.3)–(1.4) has at least one mild solution on \( J \) provided that
\[
    \tilde{M}(L_p + bL_q + \|I\|_{L^1}) < 1,
\]
where \( \tilde{M} = \max\left\{ \frac{M}{x^*}, \frac{M}{\alpha}e^{\alpha b}\right\} \).

Proof. Transform the problem (1.3)–(1.4) into a fixed point problem. Let the multivalued operator \( N : C(J, X) \to \mathcal{P}(C(J, X)) \) be defined as in Theorem 3.2. We shall prove that \( N \) admits at least one fixed point. We divide the proof into two steps.

**Step 1.** For each \( x \in C(J, X), N(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{cl}(C(J, X)) \).

This can be proved just as Step 3 in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

**Step 2.** \( N \) is a contraction.

Let \( x, \tilde{x} \in C(J, X) \) and \( h \in N(x) \). Then, there exists \( v \in S_{F,x} \) such that for each \( t \in J \)
\[
    h(t) = S_a(t)\{x_0 + p(x)\} + \int_0^t S_a(t-s)v(s)ds.
\]

Then for each \( t \in J \), we have
\[
    h(t) - \tilde{h}(t) \leq \|S_a(t)[p(x(t)) - p(\tilde{x}(t))]\| + \int_0^t S_a(t-s)[v(s) - \tilde{v}(s)]ds \leq \tilde{M}[L_p]\|x - \tilde{x}\|_\infty + \tilde{M}I(t)\|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|.
\]

Thus,
\[
    \|h - \tilde{h}\|_\infty \leq \tilde{M}\{L_p + I(t)\|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|\}.
\]

By an analogous relation, obtained by interchanging the roles of \( \tilde{x} \) and \( x \), we can obtain
\[
    H_d(N(x), N(\tilde{x})) \leq \tilde{M}\{L_p + I(t)\|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|\}.
\]

Owing to relation (3.7), we conclude that \( N \) is a contraction. Thus, by Lemma 2.7, \( N \) admits a fixed point, which just is one mild solution to the problem (1.1)–(1.2).
Then for each $t \in J$, we have
\[
\|h(t) - \hat{h}(t)\| \leq \|S(t)\| \|p(x(t)) - p(\hat{x}(t))\| + \int_0^t S_{\theta}(\tau) [q(\tau) - q(\hat{\tau})] d\tau \\
+ \left\| \int_0^t R_{\theta}(\tau) [v(\tau) - \hat{v}(\tau)] d\tau \right\|
\]
\[
\leq M \|x - \hat{x}\|_\infty + bM \|x - \hat{x}\|_\infty \\
+ \hat{M} \left\| \int_0^t l(s) ds \right\| \|x - \hat{x}\|_\infty
\]
\[
\leq \hat{M} \|L_p + bL_q + ||l||_{L^1} \| \|x - \hat{x}\|_\infty.
\]
Thus,
\[
\|h - \hat{h}\|_\infty \leq \hat{M} \|L_p + bL_q + ||l||_{L^1} \| \|x - \hat{x}\|_\infty.
\]
By an analogous relation, obtained by interchanging the roles of $\hat{x}$ and $x$, we can obtain
\[
H_d (N(x), N(\hat{x})) \leq \hat{M} \|L_p + bL_q + ||l||_{L^1} \| \|x - \hat{x}\|_\infty.
\]
Owing to relation (3.8), we conclude that $N$ is a contraction. Thus, by Lemma 2.7, $N$ admits a fixed point, which just is one mild solution to the problem (1.3)–(1.4).

Example 3.1. As a simple application, we consider the following equations:
\[
D^\alpha_t u(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, x) + g(t, u(t, x)), (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [0, \pi],
\]
\[
u(t, 0) = 0, u_\pi(t, 0) = 0, u_\pi(t, \pi) = 0, t \in [0, 1],
\]
\[
(3.9)
\]
\[
u(0, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k u(t, x) + u_0(x), u_\pi(0, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k u_\pi(t, x) + u_\pi(x),
\]
\[
(3.10)
\]
\[
u(0, x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k u(t, x) + u_0(x), u_\pi(t, 0) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k u_\pi(t, x) + u_\pi(x),
\]
\[
(3.11)
\]
where $1 < \alpha < 2, a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $X = L^2([0, \pi])$ and consider the operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ defined by $D(A) = \{ u \in X : u \in H^2([0, \pi]), u(0) = u(\pi) \}$ and for $u \in D(A)$, $Au = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$. Define the functions $g_j : [0, 1] \times D(A) \rightarrow X$ and $p, q : D(A) \rightarrow X$ by
\[
g_j(t, u(t, x)) = \frac{e^{-t}u(t, x)}{(6j + t)(1 + u(t, x))}, j = 1, 2,
\]
\[
p(u)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k u(t, x), q(u)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k u_\pi(t, x).
\]
It is well known that $A$ generates a compact and analytic (and hence norm continuous for all $t > 0$) $C_0$-semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $X$ such that $\| T(t) \| \leq 1$. Now, we can extract an $(a, a)$-resolvent family $\{R_n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of type $(1, 1)$ (see [39]). Meanwhile, the compactness of $T(t)$ implies that $(A^a - A)^{-1}$ is compact.

Let $F = \{g_1, g_2\}, J = [0, 1]$. We note that the above problem (3.9)–(3.11) can be rewritten in the abstract form (1.3)–(1.4). Furthermore, we assume that $\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k| < 1/\beta, \sum_{k=1}^n |b_k| < 1/\beta$. We also observe that in this case
\[
\phi(t) = \frac{e^{-t}}{6 + t} b = \hat{M} = 1, \text{ and } L_p = \frac{1}{6}, L_q = \frac{1}{6} ||\phi||_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{6}.
\]
According to Theorem 3.2, the problem (3.9)–(3.11) has at least one mild solution on $J$.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we establish some sufficient conditions to guarantee the existence of mild solutions to abstract fractional differential inclusions with nonlocal initial conditions under conditions that (i) the multivalued term takes convex values with compactness of resolvent family of operators; (ii) the multivalued term takes nonconvex values with compactness of resolvent family of operators and (iii) the multivalued term takes nonconvex values without compactness of resolvent family of operators. The main results are based upon theories of resolvent family of operators, multivalued analysis and fixed point approach. It is noted that several partial differential equations arising in physics and applied sciences can be described by fractional differential equations of degenerate type (cf. [40, 41]); we propose to investigate the existence of solutions to fractional stochastic equations of degenerate type via the resolvent family in future works.
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