Cytoskeleton integrity influences XRCC1 and PCNA dynamics at DNA damage
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ABSTRACT On induction of DNA damage with 405-nm laser light, proteins involved in base excision repair (BER) are recruited to DNA lesions. We find that the dynamics of factors typical of either short-patch (XRCC1) or long-patch (PCNA) BER are altered by chemicals that perturb actin or tubulin polymerization in human cells. Whereas the destabilization of actin filaments by latrunculin B, cytochalasin B, or Jasplakinolide decreases BER factor accumulation at laser-induced damage, inhibition of tubulin polymerization by nocodazole increases it. We detect no recruitment of actin to sites of laser-induced DNA damage, yet the depolymerization of cytoplasmic actin filaments elevates both actin and tubulin signals in the nucleus. While published evidence suggested a positive role for F-actin in double-strand break repair in mammals, the enrichment of actin in budding yeast nuclei interferes with BER, augmenting sensitivity to Zeocin. Our quantitative imaging results suggest that the depolymerization of cytoplasmic actin may compromise BER efficiency in mammals not only due to elevated levels of nuclear actin but also of tubulin, linking cytoskeletal integrity to BER.

INTRODUCTION

The cytoskeleton is an evolutionary conserved network containing three filament types, which enable cells to maintain their shape, move, divide, respond to mechanical stress, and perform intracellular transport (Pollard and Goldman, 2018). While intermediate filaments self-assemble, the polymerization of actin and tubulin (microtubule) filaments requires energy and is regulated on multiple levels.

Both globular (G) and filamentous (F) forms of actin have been implicated in nuclear processes, such as DNA repair (Belin et al., 2015; Caridi et al., 2019; Hurst et al., 2019) and transcription (Dopie et al., 2012; Serebryannyy et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2020). Whereas data from Drosophila and mammalian cells argue for a positive role of nuclear actin filaments in homology-directed repair and nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) (Andrin et al., 2012; Caridi et al., 2018; Schrank et al., 2018), other evidence suggests that the appearance of stable actin filaments in the nucleus is either
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BERRs act on damage arising from base oxidation, alkylation, and deamination, triggered from endogenous as well as exogenous conditions at the rate of 40,000 to 50,000 lesions per day (Lindahl, 1993; Krokan and Bjoräs, 2013). Repair is initiated by excising a damaged or oxidized base (for instance, 8-oxo-guanine) leaving a gap that is repaired by replacement with an undamaged nucleotide (Dianov and Hubersch, 2013). Depending on the number of nucleotides replaced, BER is divided into short-patch (usually one nucleotide) and long-patch BER (multiple nucleotides) (Beard et al., 2019). Each pathway involves multiple steps and a variety of enzymes that work in short-lived complexes (Howard and Wilson, 2018; Moor and Lavrik, 2018; Endutkin et al., 2019; Steinacher et al., 2019).

In brief, BER is initiated by the excision of the damaged base by a lesion-specific DNA glycosylase, generating an abasic site (Krokan and Bjoräs, 2013; Beard et al., 2019). The DNA strand containing the abasic site is then cleaved by AP-endonuclease 1 (APE1) generating a 3'-OH (hydroxyl) and a 5'-dRP (deoxyribose phosphate) and exposing a base on the nonlesion strand that serves as a template for DNA polymerase elongation (Beard et al., 2019). PARP-1 [poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase 1] and XRCC1 (x-ray repair cross-complementing 1), two accessory factors, bind the gap to promote repair by DNA polymerases β or λ, which remove the 5'-dRP. The filled gap is then sealed by ligase III (Beard et al., 2019). In long-patch BER, DNA polymerase β, δ, or ε work with PCNA (proliferating cell nuclear antigen) to synthesize a new DNA strand that displaces the lesion, generating a 5’-overhang that is excised by flap endonuclease 1, FEN1 (Beard et al., 2019). The remaining nick is sealed by DNA ligase I. Although PCNA is involved in long-patch BER, it is also integral to genomic replication and to other repair processes that involve DNA polymerases δ or ε, such as nucleotide excision pathways (Nichols and Sancar, 1992) and DNA mismatch repair (Umar et al., 1996).

Work from our lab in budding yeast has shown that depolymerization of the actin cytoskeleton inhibits the repair of Zeocin-induced damage by BER resulting in massive chromosome fragmentation (Shimada et al., 2013, 2020). Although we did not detect massive genome fragmentation in mammalian cells under the same conditions, we observed synergistic inhibition of cell proliferation when Zeocin and actin depolymerizing agents are combined, as well as a latrunculin dose-dependent increase in DNA damage checkpoint signaling in primary human fibroblasts (Hurst et al., unpublished data). Here we have examined the impact of cytoskeletal perturbation on BER factors in mammalian cells.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nuclear actin levels are not changed on Zeocin-induced damage

Zeocin is a DNA-nicking and base-modifying agent that triggers single- and double-strand breaks in a 9:1 ratio (Povirk, 1996); thus, Zeocin-induced lesions are primarily repaired by BER. Because of synergy detected between reagents that depolymerize actin filaments [e.g., latrunculin A (LatA) or latrunculin B (LatB)] and Zeocin in both yeast and mammalian cells (Shimada et al., 2013; Supplemental Figure S1a), we checked whether the actin cytoskeleton showed morphological changes, or if actin shifted toward the nucleus, in response to Zeocin in the absence and presence of LatA. We treated HeLa cells with either Zeocin alone or LatA + Zeocin (Figure 1a) and also monitored the effects of a Zeocin titration together with LatA in U2OS cells (Figure 1b). In U2OS cells F-actin is detected by staining with rhodamine-linked phalloidin, while in HeLa cells we used endogenously expressed nuclear probes that bind G- or F-actin.

We first confirmed that low levels of Zeocin elicit DNA damage in the absence and presence of LatA, as monitored by Histone H2A.X phosphorylation (Supplemental Figure S1, b and c) and the appearance of γH2AX foci (Supplemental Figure S1d). This is true in HeLa cells, in U2OS cells, and in primary human fibroblasts (HDFn). The treatment of cells with Zeocin alone did not visibly alter the actin cytoskeleton based on phalloidin staining (Figures 1a and 2; Hurst et al., unpublished data), nor was actin detected at laser-induced damage as monitored by a G- and F-actin-binding probe in living cells (Supplemental Video S1). LatA, on the other hand, clearly compromised cytoplasmic actin filaments, creating bright perinuclear aggregates of actin as well as elevated nuclear actin signal, particularly in U2OS cells (Figure 1b). By quantifying the ratio of nuclear over cytoplasmic F-actin on phalloidin-stained cells (Figure 1c), we see a significant increase in nuclear actin on LatA, which persists as Zeocin levels increase from 0 to 100 μg/ml (Figure 1c). In U2OS cells, 50 μg/ml Zeocin was sufficient to trigger a significant increase in foci containing XRCC1, a key player in short-patch BER, both in the presence and in the absence of LatB, a latrunculin closely related to LatA with a similar mode of action (Supplemental Figure S1e; Low et al., 1975; Spector et al., 1989; Wakatsuki et al., 2001).

Because phalloidin only recognizes filamentous actin, we also investigated actin following incubation with Zeo or Zeo + LatA using endogenously expressed nuclear G- and F-actin probes. These probes consist of eGFP-NLS fused with either the G-actin-binding domain of MAL/MLK1 (RPEL-1-EN), or the F-actin-binding domain of utrophin (Utr230), as previously described (Belin et al., 2015). For a control we use eGFP-NLS alone, which shows diffuse nuclear signals with bright nucleolar localization (Figure 1a). We did not observe any change for the nuclear G-actin probe RPEL-1-EN on Zeocin treatment ± LatA, and the nucleolar signal resembled that of GFP-NLS (Figure 1a). In cells expressing Utr230-EN, which is a high-affinity, nuclear-localized F-actin binder, we observed a strong diffuse nuclear signal after Zeocin exposure (an example is shown in Figure 1a). In contrast to another study (Belin et al., 2015), we found that the formation of damage-induced nuclear actin structures such as stable nuclear actin rods or filaments was extremely rare, even in the presence of Utr230-EN. We scored these in <10% of the cells treated with both Zeocin and LatA. Their low frequency and dependence on an actin polymerization inhibitor suggests that they are either transient or pathological phenomena, which may occur in rare “jackpot” cells that have high levels of both DNA damage and Utr230EN (see arrow, Figure 1a). Equally rare are cells that incur large numbers of XRCC1 foci following Zeocin treatment (Supplemental Figure S1e), supporting the idea that some cells are particularly vulnerable to Zeocin damage. We propose that damage-correlated nuclear actin filaments are nucleated by the F-actin probe (Utr230EN) itself, as discussed elsewhere (Du et al., 2015; Hurst et al., 2019). The strong affinity of Utr230EN for actin (Galkin et al., 2002; Moores and Kendrick-Jones, 2000) renders it able to concentrate actin sufficiently to favor polymerization, particularly when cytoplasmic filaments are destabilized. Indeed, the depolymerization of the actin cytoskeleton may lead to exceptionally high levels of nuclear actin. Overall, our most noteworthy finding is that latrunculin is responsible for the increase in nuclear actin and not damage arising from Zeocin alone (Figure 1).
Immunostaining visualizes cytoplasmic APE1 accumulation
When combined, Zeocin and latrunculin lead to increased cell death (Supplemental Figure S1a). Assuming that failure to repair DNA precedes cell death, we asked whether Zeocin or a combination of Zeocin and 300 nM LatA for 1 h prior to fixation and confocal image acquisition. Cells expressing those constructs were compared with native cells stained with Rh-phalloidin postfixation. F-actin (Utr230-EN) expressing cells were counterstained with phalloidin (see weak cytoskeletal background fluorescence). A jackpot cell is indicated with an arrow. The images show maximum projections of image Z-stacks. Scale bar = 10 μm for all images. (b) U2OS cells were treated with DMSO, 300 nM LatA, or a combination of 300 nM LatA plus 10, 50, or 100 μg/ml Zeocin for 45 min. Cells were fixed and stained with Hoechst (nucleus, blue) and Rh-phalloidin (F-actin, red) prior to confocal image acquisition. Images shown are the central plane of the acquired image Z-stack, and the central plane was used for quantitation. Scale bar = 10 μm for all images. (c) Quantitation of the image data set of which selected examples are shown in panel b, according to the scheme to the right, indicating the cytoring area around the nucleus. The cytoring width of 10 pixels was used to calculate the nucleus/ cytoring F-actin intensity ratio determined in the red channel (Rh-phalloidin). Each dot represents this ratio in one cell (N ≥ 52 per condition). Horizontal bars indicate median values. Conditions were compared with a Wilcoxon rank sum test in R. Relevant p values are indicated.
imaging of APE1-GFP cannot distinguish bound from soluble fractions of the enzyme, immunostaining allows the soluble fraction to be washed away after fixation, enabling one to quantify DNA-bound APE1. In HeLa cells exposed to DMSO only, immunostaining showed APE1 highly enriched in the nucleus with the cytosolic fraction barely detected (Figure 2a). However, actin depolymerization (LatB) led to an increase in cytoplasmic APE1, at least in the presence of Zeocin, as indicated by the median values of the average nuclear APE1 fluorescence (Figure 2b). Indeed, we see a significant LatB-induced decrease in nuclear APE1 following exposure to Zeocin (Figure 2b) and an increase in weak cytoplasmic APE1 puncta on Zeocin and LatB (Figure 2a). The latter likely reflects APE1 engagement in mitochondrial repair events (Chattopadhyay et al., 2006). Overall, this suggests that rather than affecting APE1 nuclear accumulation, LatB and Zeocin together trigger an increase in insoluble cytoplasmic APE1, consistent with an increase in mitochondrial DNA damage (Chattopadhyay et al., 2006).

Quantitation of XRCC1 and PCNA at laser-induced damage

To obtain subnuclear resolution of the nuclear BER response, we turned to an imaging regime that measures BER factor accumulation at laser-induced lesions. Using live microscopy, we investigated the recruitment of GFP-tagged XRCC1 or PCNA to BER lesions in U2OS cells (Figure 3a). The two tagged proteins are differentially involved in short-patch (XRCC1) and long-patch (PCNA) BER pathways, respectively (Figure 3b), and have been used previously to study repair kinetics (Schuermann et al., 2020). Instead of Zeocin, which yields variable numbers of XRCC1 foci (Supplemental Figure S1d), we monitored the dynamics of GFP-tagged PCNA or XRCC1 to laser-induced damage using a 405-nm laser to induce a line of DNA damage in living U2OS cells (Godon et al., 2008; Miura, 1999; Muster et al., 2017; Schuermann et al., 2020). The GFP dynamics following laser microirradiation are tracked over time and the intensity for each time point reflects repair factor density at the lesion (Figure 3c).

Quantitation of GFP intensities at the laser line for 2 min showed that the saturation of XRCC1 at damage is reached more rapidly than that of...
PCNA, consistent with the preference for rapid short-patch repair over long-patch repair in mammalian BER (Figure 3, c and d). We estimate that it took 40 s for XRCC1 to reach its plateau and nearly 100 s for PCNA (Figure 3, c and d). These values are similar to rates published for S-phase cells (50 s for XRCC1 and 80 s for PCNA) (Godon et al., 2008). Over a population of cells, PCNA levels are higher, perhaps due to the more rapid turnover of XRCC1 and/or the involvement of PCNA in other repair events (Figure 3, c and d). It was expected that the two factors would respond with different kinetics and show different normalized intensities, as there are differences in protein abundance, size, function, and binding properties, as well as their cofactors and roles in repair.

**Actin perturbation decreased the accumulation of XRCC1 at laser-induced damage**

Given the proposed impact of actin on repair, we next tested BER factor recruitment following the perturbation of actin using drugs that inhibit actin polymerization, namely, LatB or cytochalasin B (CytB) (Low et al., 1975; Spector et al., 1989; Wakatsuki et al., 2001), as well as jasplakinolide (Jasp), which stabilizes actin filaments (Holzinger, 2009; Visegrady et al., 2005). We find that the treatment with any of these actin-modulating drugs decreased the intensity of the XRCC1 signal at the laser line (Figure 4a), whereas PCNA accumulation was not altered (Figure 4b). While actin or actin-binding factors have been implicated in mammalian DSB repair (Belin et al., 2015; Caridi et al., 2018; Schrank et al., 2018), this is the first report indicating that the perturbation of actin filament formation might impact mammalian BER. Here perturbation of the actin cytoskeleton appears to decrease BER factor accumulation. Our observations parallel those of an earlier study that tracked the recruitment to the 405-nm laser-induced damage of KU80-GFP, a factor involved in NHEJ. The authors observed a persistent retention of KU80 at damage that was reduced by actin perturbation either by CytD or by expression of a polymerization-incompetent nuclear-targeted mutant form of actin (G13R) (Andrin et al., 2012). The authors proposed that F-actin actively stabilizes the KU heterodimer at the break site (Andrin et al., 2012), which could reflect either enhanced recruitment or delayed repair and release.

To see if the effect of actin-filament inhibition might be due to actin at the laser-induced damage, we induced a line of damage in U2OS cells expressing GFP-tagged XRCC1. Cells were then treated with LatB or CytB for 30 min prior to imaging. Thereafter DNA damage was induced with a 405-nm laser and factor recruitment was measured over time. The normalized intensity at the laser line is plotted. Note that absolute intensities are different for each factor studied. (b) Composition of BER complexes containing XRCC1 and PCNA (Steinacher et al., 2019). Two types of BER, namely, long-patch and short-patch, are carried out by partially overlapping subsets of proteins. Long-patch BER replaces a long stretch of nucleotides and uses PCNA and a replicative polymerase (DNA pol ɛ or pol δ). Short-patch BER uses uniquely XRCC1, DNA Pol β, and Ligase III. Upstream of both is the creation of an endonucleolytic cleavage by APE1, which precedes glycolytic removal of a damaged base. APE1 is not as tightly bound, whereas the other components come in stable complex regulated by sumoylation (Steinacher et al., 2019). (c) Recruitment kinetics of XRCC1 and PCNA are different. Examples of confocal images from a time series showing the recruitment of XRCC1-GFP or GFP-PCNA to sites of DNA damage along a laser line (dotted yellow line) in U2OS cell nuclei. Selected frames demonstrate the dynamics over 2 min. (d) Quantitation of the image time series for which examples are shown in c. The GFP intensity at the site of laser-induced DNA damage was normalized in each image of the series to the GFP intensity outside the laser line. The normalized intensity was calibrated by setting the pre laser intensity to 1. The quantitation averages data from 22 cells for XRCC1-GFP and 11 cells for GFP-PCNA.
cells expressing a nuclear F/G-actin probe (nuclear GFP-tagged Actin-Chromobody; Plessner et al., 2015). However, the probe was not recruited to the laser line (Supplemental Video S1; Supplemental Figure S1g). Thus, consistent with the lack of Zeocin-induced nuclear actin accumulation, our data do not support a direct action of actin at sites of oxidative damage or BER. Rather,
the reduced recruitment of XRCC1 on actin cytoskeleton perturbation may reflect reduced accessibility to the damage due to impaired activity of actin-containing nucleosome remodelers (Kapoor and Shen, 2014). Alternatively, the nuclear translocation of cofactors of BER may require actin filaments, although for APE1, this does not seem to be the case (Figure 2a).

**Perturbation of tubulin increases XRCC1 and PCNA accumulation**

Because microtubules are known to influence 53BP1 focus dynamics at uncapped telomeres (Lottersberger et al., 2015) and have been otherwise implicated in repair (Lesca et al., 2005; Poruchynsky et al., 2015), we also examined the effects of microtubule depolymerization on BER factor recruitment to laser-induced damage. Nocodazole (Noc) inhibits tubulin polymerization both in vitro and in vivo (Vasquez et al., 1997) and to our surprise triggered an increase in the rate of recruitment of XRCC1 and PCNA to the laser-induced damage (Figure 4b). XRCC1 accumulated more rapidly on Noc and then was released to a steady-state level by 120 s, while PCNA was both recruited more rapidly and reached a higher plateau on Noc, nearly twice that of the control at 160 s (Figure 4b). In addition, we found that on Noc PCNA spreads laterally and forms foci that persist for at least 30 min, consistent with long-patch BER or other mechanisms of repair that require longer strand synthesis by DNA polymerases (Supplemental Video S2; Figure 4b). The Noc effect on BER factors was unexpected, in particular because it triggered the opposite of actin perturbation (Figure 4, b and c), despite the potential linkage of actin and tubulin filament networks.

**LatA increases both nuclear actin and tubulin signals**

Given that actin and microtubule perturbation had opposite effects on BER factor accumulation, we examined the impact of both actin and microtubule depolymerization on the localization of the major filament subunits, actin and tubulin. These were monitored by staining with either Rh-phalloidin or anti-tubulin, or else by expressing GFP-tubulin (GFP-TUB1a; gift of J. Chao, FMI) as others have done previously (Rusan et al., 2001; He et al., 2005; Murray and Saint, 2007). First, we confirmed that F-actin nuclear staining increased in the presence of LatA, although this was not observed after treatment with CytB or Noc (Figure 5, a and c). CytB and LatA have different binding sites on actin and distinct modes of action (Low et al., 1975; MacLean-Fletcher and Pollard, 1980; Spector et al., 1989; Wakatsuki et al., 2001), even though both lead to reduced actin polymerization. The differential impact on nuclear actin accumulation suggests that latrunculin binding to G-actin dimers does in more than simply depolymerize actin filaments. We next monitored tubulin by antibody staining. Noc triggered depolymerization of microtubules and led to a small increase in the median nuclear:cytoplasmic tubulin ratio as determined by immunostaining (Figure 5, b and d). The treatment with LatA led to a stronger increase (nearly twofold over DMSO). Again, this was not observed with CytB. Whereas the effect was relatively weak as detected by anti-tubulin immunostaining, the tracking of GFP-tubulin in living U2OS cells showed a strong nuclear enrichment of the tagged protein after LatA treatment (5.2-fold increase in the nuclear:cytoplasmic tubulin ratio on LatA; Figure 5, e and f). Using confocal Z-stack imaging, we confirmed that nuclear-localized tubulin has a focal appearance that is present in all planes of the nucleus, ruling out that we are simply observing microtubules collapsed around the nucleus (Figure 5e; Supplemental Figure S2a).

Intrigued by the effect of microtubule depolymerization on BER factor dynamics, we asked whether adding Zeocin to the LatA treatment would enhance or diminish the effect. Scoring the nuclear:cytoplasmic ratio after treatment with LatA ± Zeocin, we see that the increased nuclear localization of tubulin triggered by LatA dropped slightly when Zeocin was added, although only the addition of 100 μg/ml Zeocin with LatA led to a significantly lower enrichment of tubulin in the nucleus (Figure 5, g and h). We conclude that LatA, rather than damage, is the trigger for tubulin relocation to the nucleus, yet tubulin may nonetheless promote BER factor recruitment to damage (Figure 4). We do not know why increased Zeocin reduces the nuclear tubulin signal (Figure 5h). We note that on Noc, tubulin increases while the phalloidin signal decreases in the nucleus (Figure 5, c and d). This correlates with more efficient recruitment of both XRCC1 and PCNA to laser-induced damage (Figure 4), and suggests that less nuclear actin may be favorable for repair. CytB acts differently from LatA (Figure 5, a–d), as it decreases the nuclear actin signal and has no significant effect on tubulin, suggesting that the specific mode of F- actin perturbation influences both actin and tubulin relocation.

In summary, we find that alteration of cytoplasmic actin and tubulin cytoskeletons alters the accumulation of BER factors at laser-induced DNA damage in opposite ways. Moreover, we find that on LatA treatment both actin and tubulin signals in the nucleus increase. The change in nuclear tubulin levels triggered by LatA treatment correlates with reduced retention or enhanced release of repair factors at the lesion. We do not know whether the role of actin and tubulin on repair factors is direct or indirect, but since we were unable to detect actin recruitment to laser-induced damage, we think that indirect effects on repair factor/cofactor import or recruitment are more likely.

Related evidence for a positive role of Noc in repair and cell proliferation comes from colorectal cancer cells (HCT-116). In an assay of cell proliferation, HCT116 cells showed a negative synergy between CytD and Zeocin, while Noc showed the opposite effect in combination with Zeocin, suppressing toxicity (Hurst et al., unpublished data). This reinforces the positive effect Noc had on XRCC1 recruitment. An earlier study (Akoumianaki et al., 2009) documented the trafficking of tubulin to the nucleus, its nuclear accumulation on Noc, and its ability to alter the interaction of histone H3 with other nuclear proteins. It was also reported that γ-tubulin interacts with Rad51 (Lesca et al., 2005), and that ATM, ATR, DNA-PK, MRN, p53, and 53BP1 all colocalize with cytoplasmic microtubules in the presence of vincristine, an inhibitor of microtubule turnover (Poruchynsky et al., 2015). Thus, the unexpected impact of LatA on tubulin may indirectly alter the abundance or accessibility of damage to repair factors. Noc, on the other hand, appears to have the opposite effect, arguing for a positive role of microtubule depolymerization in BER.

An alternative interpretation of the Noc effect comes from a study of telomeric chromatin mobility and uncapping in fibroblasts (MEFs). These authors found that intact microtubules were required for the mobility of uncapped telomeric ends, while actin filament integrity was not (Lottersberger et al., 2015). The inhibition of microtubule polymerization by Noc, as well as stabilization of microtubules with Taxol, led to decreased dynamics of dysfunctional telomeres. This suggested that microtubule dynamics drive uncapped telomeric chromatin movement, which helps reduce end-to-end fusion (Lottersberger et al., 2015). With respect to our findings, one might suggest that following laser irradiation, microtubule disruption reduces chromatin mobility, favoring the formation of repair foci or repair factor recruitment. Reduced movement of damage undergoing repair has been documented in yeast, whereas the initial response to double-strand breaks is increased movement (reviewed in Seeber et al., 2013).
**FIGURE 5:** LatA causes a cytoplasm to nucleus shift of both actin and tubulin. (a) U2OS cells were treated with 300 nM LatA, 6 μM CytB, or 330 nM Noc for 45 min. Cells were then fixed and stained with Hoechst (nucleus, blue) and Rh-phalloidin (F-actin, red) prior to confocal image acquisition. Images shown are the central plane of the acquired image Z-stack. Scale bar = 10 μm. (b) U2OS cells were treated as in panel a, fixed, and stained with Hoechst (nucleus, blue) and an anti-tubulin antibody (green) prior to confocal image acquisition. Images shown are the central plane of the acquired image Z-stack. Scale bar = 10 μm. (c) Quantitation of the image data set of which selected examples are shown in panel a. Each dot represents the nucleus/cytoring intensity ratio in one cell ($N \geq 57$ per condition) in the red channel (Rh-phalloidin). $D = \text{DMSO control}$. Horizontal bars indicate median values. Columns were compared with Wilcoxon rank sum test in R and relevant $p$ values are indicated. For CytB vs. DMSO, $p = 1.19 \times 10^{-15}$. (d) As in c but for the image data set for which samples are shown in b. Each dot represents the nucleus/cytoring intensity ratio per cell ($N \geq 57$ per condition) in the green channel (tubulin). $D = \text{DMSO}$. Horizontal bars indicate median values. Columns were compared with a Wilcoxon rank sum test in R and relevant $p$ values are indicated. For Noc vs. DMSO, $p = 3.58 \times 10^{-7}$. (e) U2OS cells expressing GFP-tubulin from a plasmid were treated with DMSO, 300 nM LatA, or 330 nM Noc at the onset of live cell microscopy. Images were acquired after 10–20 min. The figure shows MIPs of 1–3 middle planes of an image Z-stack. See Supplemental Figure S2 for a series of focal planes. Scale bar = 10 μm. (f) Quantitation of the image data set of which selected examples are shown in e. Each dot represents the nucleus/cytoplasm intensity ratio per cell.
The fact that LatA is detrimental to cell survival of Zeocin-induced damage could reflect a variety of events. First, we note that nuclear tubulin levels increase significantly in some cell types. The exact impact of this is still unknown. Second, nuclear actin levels increase on LatA, potentially inhibiting chromatin remodeler function, as discussed elsewhere (Seeber et al., 2013; Hurst et al., 2019, unpublished data). Third, there appears to be cross-talk between actin and tubulin that has not been highlighted in past studies of DNA repair. Our work sheds light on a potential side effect of latrunculin, which binds at the interface between two actin monomers to alter their homodimerization site (Morton et al., 2000). Latrunculin may not only alter actin polymerization but also affect microtubule stability. While we have no clear mechanism for this effect, the potential impact of actin depolymerizing drugs on microtubules should be taken into consideration when latrunculin is used in cell-based assays. This may be particularly important in the context of BER (Nichols and Sancar, 1992; Umar et al., 1996; Beard et al., 2019), because cell proliferation in colorectal cancer cells following Zeocin-induced damage is decreased by inhibiting actin polymerization and is increased by Noc (Hurst et al., unpublished data). Whereas assays of viability are based on a prolonged incubation with the drugs over several days, they are consistent with a scenario in which Noc favors rapid repair factor recruitment to sites of damage. Future studies will address the role of tubulin in BER as well as its interplay with actin in repair more generally.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Request a protocol through Bio-protocol.

Cell culture

Native HeLa and U2OS cells and U2OS/Cos7 cells stably expressing XRCC1-GFP/APE1-GFP (gifts from P. Schaer, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel) were cultured in DMEM containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) under standard conditions. HeLa or U2OS cells were transfected with expression plasmids with LipoFectamine (Lipofectamine 2000, Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. We obtained the GFP-PCNA plasmid from P. Schaer (Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel), the GFP-tubulin plasmid from Jeffrey Chao (FMI, Basel), and purchased the RPEL1-EN, #58466 Utr230-EN, #58468 EN) and Chromotek [#acg-tubulin plasmid from Jeffrey Chao (FMI, Basel), and purchased the GFP-PCNA plasmid from P. Schaer, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel), the GFP-PCNA plasmid from P. Schaer, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel, and PCNA plasmids from P. Schaer, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel]. Prior to the experiment, GFP expression levels were checked by microscopy.

For live cell microscopy, cells were seeded into imaging chambers (chambered μ-Slide 4 Well, ibidi). To avoid additional fluorescence, DMEM containing a dye for pH indication and 10% FCS was exchanged with color-free DMEM medium containing 1% FCS. Cells were treated with 10 μM Olaparib (SelleckChem, S1060), 20–60 nM LatB (Abcam, ab144291), 300 nM LatA (Focus Biomolecules, FB1150), 0.5 μM Jasp (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), 6 μM CytB (Sigma, C6762), 330 nM Noc (Sigma, M1404), or their solvent 30 min prior to imaging and were kept at 37°C in an incubation chamber on top of the microscope during the imaging procedure.

For postfixation staining with phalloidin and immunostaining with anti-tubulin, native U2OS cells were treated with DMSO, 300 nM LatA, 6 μM CytB, or 330 nM Noc for 45 min. For staining of primary human fibroblasts (HDfN, Life Technologies), cells were cultured in Medium 106 with Low Serum Growth Supplement Kit (Life Technologies) supplemented with SIR-actin (2 mM) to visualize actin, then treated with LatB (300 nM), Zeocin (15 μg/ml), or a combination of the two for 1 h.

APE1, tubulin, and F-actin staining

For APE1 immunostaining, HeLa cells were fixed with 4% freshly dissolved paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PFA/PBS) at room temperature (RT) for 30 min and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X100 at RT for 2 min. After 1 h blocking at RT in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS, cells were exposed to the primary antibody (APE1, Gentex GTX110558, 1:100) overnight at 4°C. Cells were washed with PBS (3 × 20 min, RT) and incubated with the secondary antibody (Alexa-488 Invitrogen, 1:200 in 1% BSA, RT in the dark for 1 h). Again, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with DAPI (Sigma, D9542) and Rh-phalloidin (Invitrogen, R415), according to the manufacturer’s instructions (1 h, RT), and were washed again prior to mounting with antifade (ProLong Gold, Invitrogen, P36934).

For the F-actin staining, U2OS cells were fixed with 4% PFA/PBS for 30 min at 25°C (RT). Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X100/PBS for 2 min at RT and incubated with Rh-phalloidin (Invitrogen, R415) overnight at 4°C according to the manufacturer’s recommendation. Then, cells were washed 3x with PBS for 20 min, and Hoechst (Invitrogen R37605) was added during the last wash.

For the tubulin immunostaining, U2OS cells were fixed with 4% PFA/PBS for 30 min at 25°C (RT). Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100/PBS for 2 min at RT and blocked with 1% BSA/PBS for 1 h at RT prior to overnight incubation with the tubulin antibody in 1% BSA/PBS at 4°C (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA1-80017, 1:500). Then, cells were washed 3x with PBS for 20 min and incubated with the secondary antibody in the dark for 1 h (Invitrogen #A32723 anti-mouse, 1:2000 in 1% BSA/PBS). Cells were washed 3x with PBS for 20 min and Hoechst (Invitrogen R37605) was added during the last wash.

Western blotting

HeLa and U2OS cells were cultured in multi-well dishes as described above and treated with Zeocin and/or LatB or LatA at the concentration and duration indicated in each figure legend. After removal of the cell culture medium, 100 μl 1.5x SDS sample buffer (N ≥ 26 per condition) in the green channel (tubulin). Per pixel intensity values for the nuclear/cytoplasmic GFP-tubulin ratio were obtained by manual quantitation middle plane MIPs with ImageJ. The average pixel intensity value within a defined nuclear area was divided by the average pixel value within a cytoplasmic area of the same size. Horizontal bars indicate median values and columns were compared by the Wilcoxon rank sum test in R and relevant p values are indicated. (g) U2OS cells were treated with DMSO, 300 nM LatA, or a combination of 300 nM LatA plus 10, 50, 100, or 200 μg/ml Zeocin for 45 min. Cells were fixed and stained with Hoechst (nucleus, blue) and an anti-tubulin antibody (green) prior to confocal image acquisition. Images shown are the central plane of the acquired image Z-stack. See Supplemental Figure S2 for through focal series. Scale bar = 10 μm. (h) Quantitation of the image data set of which selected examples are shown in g. Each dot represents the nucleus/cytoplasmic intensity ratio per cell (N ≥ 34 per condition) in the green channel (tubulin). Bars indicate median values and columns were compared by the Wilcoxon rank sum test in R and relevant p values are indicated.
was added, cells were scraped from the dish in the buffer, and the lysate was boiled for 10 min at 90°C and sonicated to decrease sample viscosity (2 × 3 s, low level). Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE (NuPAGE, Bis-Tris, 4–12% gradient gel, 1x MES running buffer) and transferred to a PVDF membrane in a semidry manner (Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System, Bio-Rad). After blocking [5% milk in TEN-T (10 mM Tris-Cl, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl and 0.05% Tween-20), 1 h at RT], the membrane was incubated with primary antibodies [Tubulin (ab4074, abcam), γH2AX (JBW301 Millipore, 1:2000), ERK2 (Cell Signaling)l] at 4°C overnight. After washing (TEN-T buffer 3 × 20 min at RT), horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-coupled secondary antibodies (1 h at RT, 3 × 20 min washing with TEN-T) were used to detect the primary antibodies with an HRP substrate (ECL, Amersham).

Image acquisition
DNA damage was induced with a VisiFRAP module (Vistron) mounted on the backport of the microscope and equipped with a 405-nm laser (Toptica, illumination power at the objective 12.8 mW, ≥1 ms/pixel). Spinning-disc confocal images were acquired with an Olympus IX81 microscope equipped with a UPlanSApo 20×/0.85 or a PlanApo 100×/1.45 TIRFM oil objective, a CSU-X1 scan-head (Yokogawa), an Evolve 512 EMCCD camera (Photometrics), and a blue LED-400-405 HC filter for DAPI, Semrock FF02-525/40–25 filter for GFP, Semrock FF01-617/73-25 filter for RFP). For single-cell microcopy, a time course of images was acquired for a period of 2–3 min with 100–500-ms intervals. For acquisition of fixed, stained cells, HeLa cell staining, Cos7 cells, and Z-stacks of confocal images were acquired with the same lasers and filter sets. Confocal images of fixed, and stained U2OS cells (Hoechst, anti-tubulin, Rh-phalloidin) were acquired with an Inverted DMi8 S microscope (Leica) at 63× magnification.

Image analysis
Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) time series were analyzed in ImageJ with a modified standard script (https://image.net/Analyse_FRAP_movies_with_a_Lython_script). For FRAP analysis, the intensity prior to bleaching, determined during 3–5 confocal image acquisitions before laser exposure, is set to 1. Instead of measuring the recovery to the initial intensity, the modified script allows intensity increases up to any value. After fitting the intensity prior to bleaching/laser exposure to 1, the script normalizes the nuclear intensity of a field on the laser line to the intensity of a field of equal area outside the laser line for every image, generating normalized intensity values on the laser line for each time point. Cells that changed their location during the acquisition were manually excluded from the analysis. Values were plotted with GraphPad Prism 8.4.3.

For quantification of nuclear APE1 intensity, a CellProfiler pipeline was created. Nuclei were identified with DAPII (blue). Average nuclear APE1 intensities were calculated from image Z-stack average projections in the green channel inside the DAPII mask (nucleus). The values in the graph are average nuclear pixel intensities. The minimum number of nuclei is indicated in the figure legends.

The nuclear cytoplasmic GFP-tubulin ratio in U2OS cells was calculated with ImageJ software as a fraction of the average pixel intensity within a manually drawn box inside the nucleus over the average pixel intensity within a box of the same size in the cytoplasm. The images used for quantitation are average intensity projections of the nuclear middle plane plus the planes below and above (3 planes in total).

The Nucleus/Cytosol intensity ratios for IF and Rh-phalloidin stained U2OS cell image stacks were calculated as follows. Image stacks covering 3–10 cells per field of view were acquired in the red (F-actin) or green (anti-tubulin) plus the blue (Hoechst) channel. Stacks in each channel were split into single-plane images with an ImageJ macro. Using CellProfiler, the total Hoechst area for each plane was calculated and the plane with the maximum Hoechst area (central nuclear plane) was selected for further analysis. With CellProfiler, the average intensity per pixel in either the red or the green channel was determined within the nucleus and within a 10-pixel cytoplasmic ring around the nucleus. The intensity fraction of nucleus/cytosol per cell was calculated and subjected to statistical analysis with GraphPad Prism.

XRCC1 spot counting
XRCC1 spots were counted manually with the ImageJ Cell Counter plugin. Statistical significance was determined as described below.

Statistical analysis
Statistical tests were performed with GraphPad Prism 8.4.3 and with R v4.1.0 (Team, 2021), with comparable results. Normality was assessed with a Shapiro–Wilks test. Then, a Wilcoxon Mann–Whitney test (hereafter called Wilcoxon rank sum test) without continuity correction was used to compare values between conditions. The sample size of the column containing least counts is indicated in the figure legend. A negative binomial regression with the condition as the sole predictor was used to compare the number of XCRRI foci between conditions using the glm.nb function from the MASS R package (v7.3-54) (Venables and Ripley, 2011).
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