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Abstract

This study aims to examine the impact of the perceived quality of the services provided by the Jordanian mobile telecom companies on customer loyalty, also this study aimed to determine the most important classifications of perceived quality measurement. A questionnaire has been used as a tool to collect data from the industrial companies. In addition, the study hypotheses were tested using a simple linear regression analysis. The study found out the existence of positive impact of the perceived services quality dimensions (Tangibility, Reliability, Responsiveness, Safety and Empathy) on customer loyalty, except responsiveness dimension, which did not show a statistically significant in this study. Hence, top management in these companies need to work on increase the interest of the tangible aspects of the service quality, and achieving the requirements responsiveness dimension, and reduce waiting times at the reception office and the telephone service centers.
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1. Introduction

As we review the literature of perceived quality, we find out that most researchers in this field have distinguished between the objective quality, that is based on the product itself and its productivity operations (good or service), and the perceived quality, which is based on the customer’s understanding and awareness of the quality of the product and its productivity process (Garvin, 1983; Parasuraman, Zeithaml, & Berry, 1985; Arnauld, Price, & Zinkhan, 2002).

In General, the researcher’s contributions varied in defining of the concept of perceived service quality. Varapha and Grönroos (1984), Parasuraman et al. (1985), Ivancerich, Lorenzi, Skinner and Gresby (1997) defined perceived service quality as: the difference between the customer expectations and the actual perception of the service received. Cronin and Taylor (1992), Oliver (1994, 1997), Aurier, Evrard and N’Goala (2004) defined perceived service quality as: the customer judgment based on the overall excellence of the product or service. Researches lead by Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (1988), Grönroos (1984), Oliver (1997), Zeithaml and Bitner (1996), as well as, researchers conducted by Cronin and Taylor (1992) are considered to be the most in-depth studies in this area. These researched caused the emergence of the most important models that helped in measure the perceived quality of service to the customer, As we shall see later (Ghotbabadi, Feiz, & Baharun, 2015, pp. 267-286; Dubey & Srivastava, 2016).
2. The Important Models of Measure the Perceived Quality

2.1 The Scale of (SERVQUAL)

This scale is the base model in measuring the perceived quality in the area of services. Parasuraman and his colleagues found out that the perceived quality of service measurement is done by identifying and measuring the gap between the customer’s expectations of customer’s level of service provided to them and the actual awareness of the level of this service. In addition, this gap is based on the measurement of five gaps, which are shown in the table below (Parasuraman, Zeithaml, & Berry, 1985, 1988, 1991):

| Gap 1 (the positioning gap) | managers’ perceptions of consumers’ expectations and the relative importance consumers attach to the quality dimensions |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gap 2 (the specification gap) | the difference between what management believes the consumer wants and what the consumers expect the business to provide |
| Gap 3 (the delivery gap) | the difference between the service provided by the employee of the business and the specifications set by management |
| Gap 4 (the communication gap) | the promises communicated by the business to the consumer do not match the consumers’ expectations of those external promises |
| Gap 5 (the perception gap) | the difference between the consumers internal perception and expectation of the services |

This model is designed to measure the quality of service from the customer’s perspective through five dimensions: reliability, responsiveness, safety, empathy and tangibility. This scale consists of two groups, each group comprising (22) statements. The first group is designed to measure customer expectations for facility’s services, while the second group aims to measure customer attitudes towards the actual performance of the service provided by the facility.

Since this scale got lots of criticisms, Parasuraman et al. (1991) developed this scale by adding the relative importance of the five dimensions of the customers in order to measure the quality of service for each dimension of the five dimensions of scale in order to achieve greater accuracy and more realistic and real measurement. Thus the modified SERVQUAL scale has become a reliable scale for measuring quality (Donnelly, Rimmer, Russell, & Shiu, 1995, pp. 92-105; Wisniewski, 2001, pp. 380-388; Ghotbabadi et al., 2015, pp. 272-275).

2.2 Grönroos Scale (Grönroos, 1982, 1984, 1990)

Grönroos efforts Considered to be one of the first efforts that looked at the field of the perceived quality of the services. Grönroos model developed (SERVQUAL) model (Kang, James, & Jeffrey, 2004, pp. 266-286), where he reclassified the scales and dimensions of the quality of the five services contained in (SERVQUAL) model in two dimensions: The Technical dimension which measures the quality benefit provided by the service, which is the objective aspect in judging the quality of service. The second one is the Functional Dimension, which measures the quality of how the service should be provided to the customer. This dimension is less objective than the previous, but it constitutes an important element in the client’s assessment of service (Kang & James, 2004, pp. 268-269; Monavvarian, 2016).

Later on, Oliver (1994) and rust added a third dimension to the Grönroos model which is less important than the technical and the functional dimensions and that’s the environmental dimension which measures the mental image of the former for the organization that provides the service. According to this model, the customer judge the service provided in reference to the former dimensions in addition to the image of
the organization that provided to the service. This means that the image of the service provider has an impact on the realization of performance, if it was good, then you can ignore minor problems, but if errors repeated, it will affect this image negatively (Alamanda, Brata, Sharif, Prasetio, & Dewi, 2015, pp. 1-7).

2.3 Scale of (SERVPERF) (Cronin & Taylor, 1992)
Cronin and Taylor (1992) introduced (SERVPERF) model in light of the Critic studies for (SERVQUAL) model. (SERVPERF) scale is based on the idea of measuring the actual performance of existing service, which is perceived by the customer in light of his experience and previous circumstances. This means that this model will considering the gap idea, which the scale of (SERVQUAL) is based on. However, this model adopted the five service quality dimensions that had been developed by this scale, which are (reliability, responsiveness, safety, empathy and tangibles) (Cronin & Taylor, 1994, pp. 55-68). The scale of (SERVPERF) is distinguished as being easy to apply and giving accurate results compared to the scale of (SERVQUAL). This led to the wide use of this scale in studies and research in this field (Ghotbabadi et al., 2015, p. 276; Lupo, 2015, pp. 249-251). Based on this, the researchers will use (SERVPERF) scale as a tool for measuring the perceived quality of the customer in this study.

2. Customer Loyalty
By reference to the most prominent scientific contributions that looked at the field of consumer loyalty, we find—in spite of the variability in defining the concept of loyalty contexts—that it all agreed that the concept of loyalty indicates the situational commitment and the heart sensation towards the product, as well as, the positive behavior in the repeated buying of the product. Jones and Sasser (1995) defined it as “a feeling of attachment to the heart for a company’s folks, products or services”. Oliver (1997) defined it as “a deeply held commitment to re-buy or re-patronize a preferred product/service consistently in the future, thereby causing repetitive same-brand purchasing, despite situational influences and marketing efforts having the potential to cause switching behavior”. Also, Griffin (2005) defined it as “a commitment to defend in depth to re purchase or re-subscribe the selected product or service consistently in the future, although the influence of the situation and marketing efforts have the potential to cause a change in behavior”.

It is importance for the organizations to win customers loyalty since it is the main road to retain the customer. Researches that looked into the relationship of organizations with their customers have shown compelling evidences that the cost of the process of retaining the customer is much less than the cost of acquiring a new customer (Berry, 1995). Many sources showed that the cost of gaining a new customer compared to the cost of retaining a current customer is (4) to (30) times more (Granger, 2015; Miller, 2010). Other studies also showed that maintaining a strong relationships with customers leads to increase in sales, reduction in operating costs, and support’s the organizations capacity of in forecasting the sales future profit (Reichheld, 1993). Some sources showed that the cost of retaining 5% of customers can achieve a 25% increase in profits (Bahri, Sabahi, Taheri, & Hatami, 2013; Zeithaml et al., 1996). There are variations among researchers in measuring the customer loyalty as a result of the difference of their scientific and technical background. Below is a table showing the most prominent of these classifications, in light of the scale of which was seen by the researchers:
Table 2. Customer Loyalty Dimensions

| Researcher         | Year | Dimensions                                                                 |
|--------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Paraswaman & Berry | 1996 | Intention of spoken words, Re dealing no sensitivity for price, Positive attitude towards complain |
| Oliver             | 1997 | suggests that different aspects of loyalty manifest not simultaneous but rather sequentially |
| Chaudhuri & Holbrook | 2001 | Situational loyalty, Behavioral loyalty                                      |
| Butcher et al.,    | 2001 | Resisting change, Positive verbal communications, Preference, Belonging       |
| Anderson et al.,   | 2003 | Customer Loyalty (Repeated purchase)                                        |
| Griffin            | 2005 | Re-purchasing, Purchasing companies other services, Informing other customers, Immunity from competitors |
| Jones & Taylor     | 2007 | Intention for purchasing, Intentions of changing, the power of preference, defending the trademark or the service provider, welling to pay high price |
| Yao Dan            | 2011 | behavior characteristics (such as repeat purchase) emotional characteristics (such as customer’s love of for products or service) |
| Auka et al.,       | 2013 | Customer Loyalty (commitment of buying the services)                        |
| Utami & Sorayanti  | 2015 | Customer Loyalty (Repeated purchase)                                        |

In general, we can classify customer’s loyalty as situational loyalty, which refers to the customer’s positive psychological tendency towards the products and services of the organization and behavioral loyalty, which refers to the customer frequently buying the products and services of the organization. Also to be taken into consideration that many of the studies considered the behavioral loyalty, which manifest in the obligation to repeatedly purchase the product or service to be the main criteria that reflects the loyalty of the customer, in the sense that, the customer loyalty get achieved in several consecutive stages, starting with cognitive loyalty, then the situational loyalty, then the behavioral loyalty. Oliver (1997); Auka et al. (2013); Utami (2015) suggests that different aspects of loyalty manifest not simultaneous but sequentially. Based on this, researchers will consider customer loyalty variable as one dimension which is his repeated buy of the services.

3. Significance of the Study
The current study is important because it shows the quality of services prominent role in the daily life of citizens, and the consequent importance in perceiving the loyalty of customers, which in turn may minimize mistakes that drain a lot of money. The practical significance of the study for the local decision maker in the telecom sector lies in identifying the benefit of the quality of services, and taking advantage of the many options for good performance that meets customer expectations and requirements.
4. The Study Hypothesis
This study adopted a set of hypotheses that aim essentially to examine the impact of the perceived quality of the services provided by the Jordanian mobile telecom companies on customer loyalty. Based on the framework, it can be hypothesized that:

**Major hypothesis:** There is no statistically significant impact at significance level ($\alpha \leq 0.05$) for the dimensions of perceived services quality on customer loyalty in Jordanian mobile telecom companies.

It can be subdivided into the following hypotheses:

**H1:** There is no statistically significant impact at significance level ($\alpha \leq 0.05$) for tangibility dimension of perceived services quality on customer loyalty in Jordanian mobile telecom companies.

**H2:** There is no statistically significant impact at significance level ($\alpha \leq 0.05$) for Reliability dimension of perceived services quality on customer loyalty in Jordanian mobile telecom companies.

**H3:** There is no statistically significant impact at significance level ($\alpha \leq 0.05$) for Responsiveness dimension of perceived services quality on customer loyalty in Jordanian mobile telecom companies.

**H4:** There is no statistically significant impact at significance level ($\alpha \leq 0.05$) for Safety dimension of perceived services quality on customer loyalty in Jordanian mobile telecom companies.

**H5:** There is no statistically significant impact at significance level ($\alpha \leq 0.05$) for Empathy dimension of perceived services quality on customer loyalty in Jordanian mobile telecom companies.

5. Study Model

| Independent variable | Dependent variable |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Tangibility          | Customer loyalty   |
| Reliability          |                    |
| Responsiveness       |                    |

**Figure 1. Conceptual Framework**

6. Methodology of the Study (Technique and Procedures)
This is a descriptive, analytic study aiming at knowing, analyzing and determining the impact of the perceived services quality on customer loyalty in the Jordanian mobile telecom companies.

**6.1 Study Type and Nature**
This is a field study in nature, explanatory in purpose as it looks for cause and effect between the study variables.

**6.2 Study Population**
The target population is composed of customers in Jordanian mobile telecom companies, which are (3) companies and that society cannot be restricted or limited.

**6.3 The Study Sample**
The sample of the study consists of the customers of the Jordanian mobile telecom companies operating in the capital Amman. In the absence of a framework for the study population and the difficulty of conducting a comprehensive survey of all the customers in Jordanian mobile telecom companies, and due to the inability of the researchers to know the number of customers for each company, given that
company administrations consider this by confidential information. Anderson and Gerbing maintain that the appropriate sample size is more than 150 samples, so (600) questionnaires were distributed (Anderson & Gerbing, 1988). Due to the similarity in sample size between this study and previous studies, and due to the large size of the study population and the difficulty of limiting it, and to overcome the lack of responsiveness from the sample members, the sample size was chosen to settle on (500) units. The questionnaires were retrieved, and the number of questionnaires received was (378) with a percentage of (75.6%). Questionnaires were sorted out and showed that (333) were valid for analysis, so the retrieved questionnaires suitable for analysis are (66.6%) of the total number of questionnaires distributed to the study sample.

### Table 3. Specification of the Study Sample

| gender     | Frequency | Percent |
|------------|-----------|---------|
| male       | 99        | 29.7    |
| female     | 234       | 70.3    |
| Age        |           |         |
| less than 30 years | 239 | 71.8 |
| 30 years-less than 40 years | 44 | 13.2 |
| 40 years-less than 50 years | 20 | 6.0 |
| 50 years and more | 30 | 9.0 |
| Degree     |           |         |
| Diploma    | 22        | 6.6     |
| B.A        | 255       | 76.6    |
| master     | 39        | 11.7    |
| Ph.D       | 17        | 5.1     |
| Dealing time with mobile telecom company |           |         |
| less than 2 years | 87 | 26.1 |
| 2 years-less than 5 years | 71 | 21.3 |
| 5 years-less than 10 years | 76 | 22.8 |
| 10 years and more | 99 | 29.7 |

Table 3 showed that the sample of the study consisted of 333 customers of the Jordanian mobile telecom companies operating in the capital Amman, of which 99 customers or (29.7%) are males and 234 customers or (70.3%) are females. 30 customers or (9.0%) are between 30 and 40 years old, 239 customers or (71.8%) are between 30 and 40 years old, 44 customers or (13.2) are between 40 and 50 years old, while 20 customers or (6.0%) are 50 years old and above. Out of the 333 customers, 22 or (6.6%) hold a diploma, 255 or (76.6%) hold a bachelor’s degree, 39 or (11.7%) hold a master’s degree, while 17 customers or (5.1%) have a Ph.D. In regards to dealing time with a mobile telecom company, 87 customers or (26.1%) have spent less than 2 years, 71 or (21.3%) spent between 2 and 5 years, 76 or (22.8) between 5 and 10 years, and 99 customers or (29.7%) have spent 10 or more years with the telecom company.

### 6.4 Study Tool

The researchers prepared, structured and developed the questionnaire bearing in mind foreign and Arabic previous studies, in addition to consulting specialists in this subject.
Table 4. Study Variables

| Independent variable | Tangibility | measured from item number (1) to item (4) | 4 |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------|---|
|                     | Reliability | measured from item number (5) to item (9) | 5 |
|                     | Responsiveness | measured from item number (10) to item (13) | 4 |
|                     | Safety | measured from item number (14) to item (17) | 4 |
|                     | Empathy | measured from item number (18) to item (22) | 5 |

| Dependent variable | Customers loyalty | measured from item number (23) to item (32) | 10 |

7. Study Tool Persistency Test

Internal consistency coefficient (reliability) was calculated using the Cronbach’s Alpha, and the result is statistically acceptable, if the value of the Cronbach Alpha is greater than (0.60). The more the value is the more it indicates a persistency that is higher for the study instrument. The results are as described in the following tables:

7.1 Dimensions of Perceived Services Quality

Table 5 showed that values of the internal consistency coefficient Cronbach Alpha for the independent study variables (dimensions of services quality) ranged from (0.709-0.836), in addition, the alpha value for all dimension paragraphs was (0.906). Accordingly, all values are greater than (0.60) which is an indication of consistency between items of the study instrument, and reliability of the study tool reliability and the possibility of relying on it to conduct a statistical analysis (Sekaran & Bougie, 2012).

Table 5. Values of the Internal Consistency Coefficient Cronbach Alpha for the Independent Study Variables (Dimensions of Services Quality)

| No. | The dimension | Number of items | Value of Alpha |
|-----|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 1   | Tangibility   | 4               | 0.709         |
| 2   | Reliability   | 5               | 0.833         |
| 3   | Responsiveness| 4               | 0.790         |
| 4   | Safety        | 4               | 0.836         |
| 5   | Empathy       | 5               | 0.784         |
| **Total** |                | **22**           | **0.906**     |

7.2 The Perspective of Customer Loyalty

Table 5 showed that the values of internal consistency coefficient Alpha Cronbach for the customer loyalty variable added up to (0.913). As a result, value is greater than (0.60) and this is an indication of consistency between paragraphs of the study, reliability of the study tool and the possibility of relying on it to conduct a statistical analysis (Sekaran & Bougie, 2012).

Table 6. Values of the Internal Consistency Coefficient Cronbach Alpha for the Dependent Study Variables (Customer Loyalty)

| No. | The variable      | Number of items | Value of Alpha |
|-----|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 1   | Customer loyalty | 10              | 0.913         |
7.2.1 Model Suitability Test

To test the suitability of the study data for linear regression analysis Multicollinearity was chosen.

First, Multicollinearity Tests: Pearson correlation was used to detect the problem of multicollinearity between the study independent variables. Table 7 shows link matrix for the study variables.

Table 7. Correlations Matrix

|            | Tangibility | Reliability | Responsiveness | Safety |
|------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------|
| Tangibility|             |             |                |        |
| Reliability| 0.341       |             |                |        |
| Responsiveness| 0.238 | 0.611       |                |        |
| Safety     | 0.383       | 0.507       | 0.567          |        |
| Empathy    | 0.223       | 0.491       | 0.580          | 0.615  |

Table 7 indicates that the values of the correlation coefficient between all study independent variables are values of statistical significance at the level of significance of 0.01 (* *). It turns out also that the highest correlation between independent variables is (0.615) between the two variables safety and empathy, while the values of the correlation between the other independent variables was less than that. This shows that there is a lack of the phenomenon of multicollinearity between independent variables, where the link that reaches more than (0.80) is an indication of the existence of this problem, so we say that the sample is free from the problem of high multicollinearity.

7.2.2 Data Analysis and Hypothesis Testing

Table 8. Descriptive Statistics

| Dimension                | mean | rank | Std. Deviation |
|--------------------------|------|------|----------------|
| Empathy                  | 2.23 | 1    | 0.73           |
| Responsiveness           | 2.13 | 2    | 0.81           |
| Reliability              | 2.08 | 3    | 0.70           |
| Tangibility              | 2.02 | 4    | 0.67           |
| Safety                   | 1.81 | 5    | 0.73           |
| Service quality dimensions| 2.05 |      | 0.55           |
| Customer loyalty         | 2.17 |      | 0.82           |

The study data will be presented and analyzed including description of the characteristics of the study sample, answers to the study questions, and testing and discussing the hypotheses.

The results of the Table 8 show that the level of services quality scale in terms of the relative importance is low for customers. Mean was (2.05) with a standard deviation (0.55). Empathy occupied first place, while safety ranked last. Based on data contained in Table 5, the means of customer loyalty from the perspective of the study sample can be compared. It suggests that the level measure of loyalty in terms of the relative importance is low. Mean was (2.17) with a standard deviation (0.82).

7.2.3 Testing the Hypotheses of the Study

Hypotheses were tested using multiple linear regression analysis and the gradual linear regression (Stepwise linear regression). The results were as follows:
The major hypothesis:
There is statistically significant impact at significance level (p ≤ 0.05) for the dimensions of perceived services quality on customer loyalty in Jordanian telecom companies.

Table 9. Test Results of the Effect of the Dimensions of the Perceived Services Quality Collectively on the Customers Loyalty

| Dependent variable | R    | R²   | F     | Sig. | Regression Coefficients |
|--------------------|------|------|-------|------|-------------------------|
|                    |      |      |       |      | Dimensions β S. error T Sig |
| Customer loyalty   | .563 | .317 | 30.323| 0.000| Tangibility .151 .062 2.984 .003 |
|                    |      |      |       |      | Reliability .203 .071 3.321 .001 |
|                    |      |      |       |      | Responsiveness .004 .066 0.060 .952 |
|                    |      |      |       |      | Safety .141 .073 2.183 .030 |
|                    |      |      |       |      | Empathy .240 .071 3.833 .000 |

* The effect is statistically significant at (α ≤ 0.05).

The results of Table 9 showed that the impact of the independent variables (the dimensions of the perceived services quality combined) on the dependent variable (customer loyalty) is a statistically significant effect. Computed F value is (30.323), the level of significance (Sig = 0.000), which is less than 0.05, and the value of the coefficient of determination was (R² = 0.317). This confirms a significant decline and shows that 31.7% of the variation in the (customer loyalty) can be explained by the variation in (the dimensions of the perceived services quality combined). The regression coefficients showed that the value of β for the tangibility reached (0.151) and the value of t was (2.984) with level of significance (Sig = 0.003). This indicates lack of morale after tangibility on customer loyalty. β reliability value reached (0.203) and t value was (3.321). The level of significance was (Sig = 0.001), and the value of responsiveness dimension β was (.004) and the value of t was (0.060). The level of significance was (Sig = 0.952), as the value of β assertion dimension (safety) was (0.14) and the value of t was (2.182) with level of significance (Sig = 0.030). The value of β, empathy dimension amounted to (0.240) and t value was (3.833) with the level of significance (Sig = 0.000). All of the findings emphasize the morale of the regression coefficients at the level of significance (α ≤ 0.05) except responsiveness dimension, and thus the effect of combined dimensions is significance.

Accordingly, the multiple linear regression models for customer loyalty, is in the following equation:

\[ Y = \text{constant} + 0.151X_1 + 0.203X_2 + 0.004X_3 + 0.141X_4 + 0.240X_5 \]

Accordingly, we reject the first nihilism hypothesis and accept the alternative hypothesis, which states that:

There is a statistically significant impact at significance level (α ≤ 0.05) for the dimensions of the perceived services quality on customer loyalty in Jordanian mobile telecom companies.

To determine which of the dimensions of perceived services quality had a prominent impact on customer loyalty, linear regression analysis was used and the results were as follows:

Table 10. Summary of the Model and Variance Analysis to Test the Main Hypothesis

| Model | Summary of the model | ANOVA Variance Analysis |
|-------|----------------------|-------------------------|
|       | R        | R²       | S. error | DF | F   | Sig    |
| First | .463     | .214     | 0.73     | 1  | 90.169 | 0.000  |
Browsing Table 10, we find that the first model resulting from the regression analysis indicates that the variable empathy interpreted (21.4%) of the total variation in customer loyalty. The proportion of the interpretation of the overall variation in (customer loyalty) reached (27.8%) when reliability was added to empathy. At the same time, the percentage of the interpretation of the overall variation (customer loyalty) reached (30.6%) when tangibility was added to. When safety was added to empathy, reliability and tangibility, the interpretation rate reached (31.7%). The responsiveness dimension was excluded from the model because it is not statistically significant.

A review of the results in Table 11 shows that \( \beta \) values in the four models at F different levels and at the significance level (Sig = 0.05) for all the variables within the four regression models were less than 0.05, which confirms significant regression coefficients. \( \beta \) values showed as well that the impact of the variables is positive and has a statistically significant effect.

| Model  | Customer loyalty | Beta | t    | Sig. |
|--------|------------------|------|------|------|
| First  | Empathy          | .463 | 9.50 | .000 |
| Second | Empathy          | .321 | 5.97 | .000 |
|        | Reliability      | .289 | 5.38 | .000 |
|        | Empathy          | .307 | 5.82 | .000 |
| Third  | Reliability      | .234 | 4.27 | .000 |
|        | Tangibility      | .180 | 3.68 | .000 |
|        | Empathy          | .241 | 4.01 | .000 |
| Fourth | Reliability      | .205 | 3.66 | .000 |
|        | Tangibility      | .151 | 2.99 | .003 |
|        | Safety           | .142 | 2.26 | .024 |

8. Discussing and Conclusion

Depending on the results of the statistical analysis and hypotheses tests, the final result showed that the general realization of quality of the services provided by the Jordanian Mobile Telecom Companies on individual samples reached in average account (2.05) showing a law relative importance. Also, the results of the study showed weak loyalty of customers in general, reached (2.17) regestrating a law relative importance, as well. We can justify the low perception of the members sample towards quality services dimensions and customers loyalty from two sides. The first side is that (227) out of (333) of the sample are new university graduates or carrying diploma degree, having little experience and low knowledge about the quality service dimension and customer loyalty dimensions. They are mostly general category busy in routine permanence. The second side is that (234) out of the origin sample (333) are Jordanian married ladies busy in housekeeping and paying no attention to update their information about quality services dimension or customer loyalty dimension. The result showed a positive impact of service quality on customer loyalty. The result coincides with Dubey and Srivastava (2016), Lupo (2015), Auka et al. (2013), Santouridis et al. (2010), while disagreed with it of not
showing a positive impact of the responsiveness dimension on customer loyalty. Also, the results of the study appeared that the sympathy dimension of quality service dimension came in the first class with a high relative importance reached (3.333) of the sample. This can be justified for the good treatment by the staff of the front office services in Jordan Telecom Companies, the fast service, the attractive smile and good uttered words that caused great effect in increasing customers loyalty dimension. Reliability Dimension came in the second rank with a little high importance reached (3.833) of the sample. This increase can be justified with services offered by the front office staff. They produce service to customers in a very short time, solve their problems, and answer on all their inquiry. Tangibility Dimension came in third rank with a little high importance nearer to the second rank reached (2.984) of the sample. The cause of this decrease due to the inability to access in achieving this dimension. The Access appears in customer reception places where beautiful designs, cleanliness and elegance of the front offices staff, but the defect appears in most of the company branches where they had lack seats for customers and car parks are not enough especially in peak time. The Safety Dimension came in the fourth rank with a very low results occurred because of the plenty complaint from the unconvincing sudden hikes in personal mobile bills and unconvincing justification of the front office staff for this increase. As for the final dimension (Responsiveness dimension) the results of the study showed that there were no significant effect on the weak loyalty of customers. This can be justified with the existence of the negative feeling of the majority of the sample as a reaction of the long waiting periods on customer service lines. Besides, the procrastination of some companies to confirm financial rights of customers when errors happen in phone monthly bills.

9. Recommendations
Depending on the previous results, the researchers suggest the following:
1) Jordan Mobile Telecom should pay much attention to direct services that include lack seats in reception offices, car parks especially in peak time.
2) Achieving Responsiveness Dimension through strengthen the values of transparency and full clarity in monthly phone bills and confirming the financial rights of customers when errors occur.
3) Jordan Telecom should do (continuous improvement) to reduce the waiting period in reception offices and on customer service call centers. In order to achieve the Responding Dimension in Quality Service
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