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Abstract

Objective
To synthesize current evidence of the impact of Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs) on hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation and glycemic level in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Design
Systematic review and network meta-analysis.

Data Sources
Literature search (Medline, Embase, the Cochrane library), website of clinical trial, bibliographies of published systematic reviews.

Eligibility Criteria
Randomized controlled trials with available data comparing GLP-1 RAs with placebo or traditional anti-diabetic drugs in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Data Synthesis
Traditional pairwise meta-analyses within DerSimonian-Laird random effects model and network meta-analysis within a Bayesian framework were performed to calculate odds ratios for the incidence of hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation, HbA1c<7.0% and...
HbA1c<6.5%. Ranking probabilities for all treatments were estimated to obtain a treatment hierarchy using the surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA) and mean ranks.

Results

78 trials with 13 treatments were included. Overall, all GLP-1 RAs except for albiglutide increased the risk of hypoglycemia when compared to placebo. Reduction in the incidence of hypoglycemia was found for all GLP-1 RAs versus insulin (except for dulaglutide) and sulphonylureas. For the incidence of treatment discontinuation, increase was found for exenatide, liraglutide, lixisenatide and taspoglutide versus placebo, insulin and sitagliptin. For glycemic level, decrease was found for all GLP-1 RAs versus placebo. Dulaglutide, exenatide long-acting release (exe_lar), liraglutide and taspoglutide had significant lowering effect when compared with sitagliptin (HbA1c<7.0%) and insulin (HbA1c<6.5%). Finally, according to SUCRAs, placebo, thiazolidinediones and albiglutide had the best decrease effect on hypoglycemia; sulphonylureas, sitagliptin and insulin decrease the incidence of treatment discontinuation most; exe_lar and dulaglutide had the highest impact on glycemic level among 13 treatments.

Conclusions

Among 13 treatments, GLP-1 RAs had a significant reduction with glycemic level but a slight increase effect on hypoglycemia and treatment discontinuation. While albiglutide had the best decrease effect on hypoglycemia and treatment discontinuation among all GLP-1 RAs. However, further evidence is necessary for more conclusive inferences on mechanisms underlying the rise in hypoglycemia.

Introduction

An increasing number of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) are being treated with glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs), a new class of anti-diabetic agents based on incretin therapy[1, 2]. GLP-1 RAs are analogues of GLP-1, which could stimulate insulin secretion, improve insulin resistance and slow down gastrointestinal motility [3–5]. Exenatide (Byetta; Eli Lilly & Co.), liraglutide (Victoz; Novo Nordisk), the two earliest GLP-1 RAs, were approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2005 and 2010, respectively [6, 7]. Albiglutide (Tanzeum/Eperzan, GSK) and lixisenatide (Lyxumia, Sanofi) were approved by European Medical Agency (EMA) in 2013. Recently, Dulaglutide (Trulicity; Eli Lilly & Co.) was approved by FDA in 2014. Taspoglutide is currently in phase III clinical trials.

According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) in 2013, 387 million people are currently diagnosed with diabetes and there is a projected rise to 592 million people in the world living with diabetes by the year 2035[8]. It means that more and more people will need to be prescribed anti-diabetes medication to help achieve the recommended HbA1c target of <6.5% (National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), 2008) or HbA1c target of <7.0% (American Diabetes Association, (ADA))[9] to avoid the devastating complications of poor diabetes control. Patients with poorly controlled glycemic level would greatly increase the risk of hypoglycemia [10–12] and treatment discontinuation [13–15]. Therefore, an ideal anti-diabetic treatment would be one that can couple the achievement of glycemic control with a
low propensity for causing hypoglycemia and treatment discontinuation. Indeed, several clinical trials and meta-analyses\[16–21\] for GLP-1 RAs have demonstrated the lowering effect of glycemic levels as well as raised hypoglycemia and treatment discontinuation, although the mechanisms are not very clearly understood. However, since there are so much medicines to choose, which is better for clinical decision is still unknown. So there is a need to include all kinds of GLP-1 RAs simultaneously to assess the impact on hypoglycemia and treatment discontinuation between any two of them.

Therefore, we collected all randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of comparing GLP-1 RAs with placebo or traditional anti-diabetic drugs. A conventional pairwise meta-analysis was performed to summarize current evidence for the effect of GLP-1 RAs on hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation and glycemic level in patients with T2DM. Additional network meta-analysis was conducted to assess the robustness of the pairwise meta-analysis, supplement missing evidence of head-to-head comparisons by combining both direct and indirect evidence and rank treatments in the evidence network.

Method

Systematic review registration

PROSPERO register, CRD42014015328

Search strategy

In consultation with a medical librarian, a search strategy for MEDLINE, EMBASE and the Cochrane library (from inception to June 1st, 2014) was established. The following search strategy for Ovid-MEDLINE was adapted for other databases:

1. exp glucagon-like peptide-1 agonists/
2. (glucagon like peptide∗ or GLP-1).tw.
3. (exenatide or liraglutide or albiglutide or taspoglutide or lixisenatide or LY2189265).tw.
4. randomized controlled trial.pt.
5. (randomized or randomised).tw.
6. (1 or 2 or 3) and (4 or 5)

In addition, completed but unpublished trials were identified from www.clinicaltrials.gov website using the similar search strategy. The bibliographies of published systematic reviews were also searched. All relevant authors and principal manufacturers were contacted to supplement incomplete reports of the original papers or to provide new data for unpublished studies.

Study selection

All the studies included are in English and they are eligible for inclusion only if they were RCTs involving GLP-1 RAs, active anti-diabetic drugs or placebo with complete data on hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation or glycemic level. Trials are excluded if only they meet one of the following: (1) trials are not RCT (e.g., review, expert comment, editor opinion, new agent introduction, single case report, or case series); (2) if several studies included the same clinical trial, we only include the one which had the longest follow-up time and excluded the other early studies; (3) experimentation on animals or in vitro; (4) not conducted in T2DM; (5) pharmacokinetics research; (6) trials underway, unfinished, or suspended; (7) economical evaluation research; (8) other unrelated researches. These studies were approved by the local ethics
committees and written informed consent was obtained from all the patients. The eligibility of studies for inclusion criteria was assessed independently by four reviewers (ZXL, YZ, XCQ and ZRY) in duplicate.

**Data extraction and quality evaluation**

Data were extracted using ADDIS software[22] with respect to trial information (author, publication year, sample size, trial duration, types of intervention and control), population characteristics (background therapy, diabetes duration, age, baseline level of HbA1c), reported outcomes (Number of hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation, HbA1c<7.0% and HbA1c<6.5% events in each group) and information on methodology. Four investigators (ZXL, ZRY, XCQ and XTZ) extracted data independently, in duplicate. Any discrepancies were resolved by consensus between the two independent reviewers or by a senior investigator (FS).

Quality of studies was assessed according to JADAD scale[23], including adequate method for randomization, appropriate blinding procedures, and detailed report of withdrawals. The JADAD score was not used as a selection criterion, but only for descriptive purpose.

**Data analysis**

**Methods for direct treatment comparisons.** Traditional pairwise meta-analyses was performed using DerSimonian-Laird random effects model[24]. Odds ratio (OR) for hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation, HbA1c<7.0% and HbA1c<6.5% with 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated as effect measures. For studies that did not report intention-to-treat, we analyzed outcomes as all-patients randomized. The $I^2$-statistic was calculated as a measure of the proportion of the overall variation that is attributable to between-study heterogeneity[25].

**Methods for indirect and mixed comparisons.** A random-effects network meta-analysis within a Bayesian framework[26] was performed to evaluate the relative effectiveness of each kind of GLP-1 RAs on hypoglycemia, HbA1c<7.0%, HbA1c<6.5% and the relative acceptability on treatment discontinuation. Bayesian network meta-analysis is a generalization of traditional meta-analysis that allows all evidence to be taken into account simultaneously (both direct and indirect). It can be applied whenever a connected network of evidence is available[26]. ORs for hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation, HbA1c<7.0% and HbA1c<6.5% with 95% credible interval (CrI) were summarized. The posterior densities for all unknown parameters were estimated using MCMC (Markov chain Monte Carlo) for each model. Each chain used 40 000 iterations with a burn-in of 20 000.

Network meta-analyses enable estimation of the probability that each intervention is the best for each outcome. Probabilities for each treatment taking each possible rank were plotted in absolute rankograms or cumulative rankograms. Besides, the surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA)[27] were used to estimate the ranking probabilities for all treatments in order to obtain a treatment hierarchy. SUCRA is a percentage interpreted as the percentage of efficacy of a treatment on the outcome that would be ranked first without uncertainty, which is equal to 1 when the treatment is certain to be the best and 0 when it is certain to be the worst[27].

An absolute measure of fit $\hat{D}_{res}$, was considered to formally check the model’s overall fit. $\hat{D}_{res}$ is the posterior mean of the residual deviance (the deviance for the fitted model minus the deviance for the saturated model). Ideally, each data point should contribute about one to the posterior mean deviance so that it can be compared to the number of data points for the purpose of checking model fit[28].
Loop-specific approach was used to evaluate the presence of inconsistency locally in network meta-analysis models, that is, if the information of both sources of evidence is similar enough to be combined[29]. This method evaluates the consistency assumption in each closed loop of the network separately. Difference (inconsistency factor) with 95% CIs between direct and indirect estimations for a specific comparison was calculated to assess the presence of inconsistency in each loop. Inconsistency was defined as disagreement between direct and indirect evidence with a 95% CI excluding 0.

Analyses were conducted using STATA 11.0 (pairwise meta-analysis, \(I^2\) calculations and estimation of inconsistency), R 3.0.2 (SUCRA graphs) and WinBUGS 1.4.3 (network Meta-analysis and model fit).

Results

Study characteristics and evidence network

78 trials involving 13 treatments met the selection criteria. A total of 34685 patients contributed to the analysis. Flow chart of trials selection was shown in Fig 1.

**Study characteristics.** Table 1 summarized the characteristics of included 78 trials. The range of publication year was 2004–2014. Trial duration ranged from 26 to 234 weeks. The average age of included patients was 55.89 years (standard deviation (SD): 1.71), varied from 50.5 to 61.0 years. The median diabetes duration at baseline was 7.5 [interquartile range (IQR): 6.0–8.9] years. And the mean baseline glycemic level HbA1c was 8.2% (SD: 0.4%). Of the 78 trials included, albiglutide, dulaglutide, exenatide long-acting release (exe_lar), exenatide, liraglutide, lixisenatide and taspoglutide were studied in 3, 3, 7, 25, 13, 9 and 8 trials, respectively. And 3 trials involved both exenatide and exe_lar simultaneously. Besides, albiglutide and exenatide, albiglutide and liraglutide, dulaglutide and exenatide, exenatide and taspoglutide, exenatide and lixisenatide, liraglutide and exenatide, liraglutide and exe_lar were both involved simultaneously in 1 trial, respectively.

Reporting quality of included studies varied. According to JADAD scale, the number of dropout and the methods used for randomization, allocation concealment and blinding were appropriately described in most cases (89.7%, 85.9%, 60.3% and 93.6%, respectively), although 34.6% (27/78) of trials were open label. Additionally, 88.5% (69/78) of trials used intention-to-treat analysis. (S1 Table). Overall, risk of bias is respectively low.

**Evidence network.** 13 treatments were analyzed, including 7 GLP-1 RAs (Albiglutide, Dulaglutide, Exe_LAR, Exenatide, Liraglutide, Lixisenatide and Taspoglutide), 5 kinds of active anti-diabetic drugs (insulin, metformin (Met), sulphphonylureas (SU), sitagliptin and thiazolidinediones (TZD)), and placebo. 85.90% (67/78) of trials were two-arm studies and the rest 14.10% (11/78) were multiple-arm studies (see Table 1 and Fig 2). Overall, 32932, 24919, 31588 and 23427 patients contributed to the analysis of hypoglycemia (Fig 2A, including 71 studies and 13 treatments), treatment discontinuation (Fig 2B, including 48 studies and 13 treatments), HbA1c < 7.0% (Fig 2C, including 67 studies and 13 treatments) and HbA1c < 6.5% (Fig 2D, including 48 studies and 13 treatments), respectively. Every group of GLP-1 RAs existed head-to-head (direct) comparison with placebo.

Conventional meta-analysis of individual GLP-1 RAs. Fig 3A–3D showed the effect of individual GLP-1 RAs on hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation, HbA1c < 7.0% and HbA1c < 6.5% from direct pairwise meta-analysis.

Fig 3A displayed the effect on hypoglycemia. In comparison to placebo, dulaglutide, exenatide, liraglutide, lixisenatide and taspoglutide significantly increased the risk of hypoglycemia by 2.34 (95% CI: 1.39, 3.95), 2.26 (95%CI: 1.44, 3.56), 1.82 (95%CI: 1.31, 2.54), 1.70 (95%CI: 1.36, 2.13) and 3.13 (95%CI: 1.50, 6.53), respectively. No significant difference was found
between albiglutide or exe_lar versus placebo. Compared with insulin, exe_lar (OR 0.36, 95% CI: 0.21, 0.62) and exenatide (OR 0.63, 95% CI: 0.51, 0.79) were associated with less hypoglycemia. No statistically significant difference was found between GLP-1 RAs and other active comparators in their effects on hypoglycemia.

Regarding treatment discontinuation, lixisenatide and taspoglutide significantly increased the incidence in comparison to placebo by 1.78 (95% CI: 1.30, 2.45) and 5.43 (95% CI: 2.96, 2.96).
Table 1. Characteristics of the 78 studies with 34,685 patients included in the network Meta-analysis Duration ≥ 8w.

| No | Study ID | Investigational treatments | Outcome reported | Size (pts) | Background therapy | Duration of trial (w) | Baseline information | Efficacy and Acceptability of GLP-1 among T2DM |
|----|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Ahren B, 2013[31] | Placebo, Lixisenatide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 680 | Met | 24 | 54.8 | 3.6 | 8.1 |
| 2  | Ahren B, 2014[32] | Albiglutide, SU, placebo, Sitagliptin | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 1012 | Met | 104 | 54.5 | 6 | 8.11 |
| 3  | Apovian CM, 2010 [33] | Exenatide, Placebo | 1, 2 | 194 | Met/SU/SU+Met | 24 | 54.8 | 5.5 | 7.6 |
| 4  | Barnett AH, 2007 [54] | Exenatide, Insulin | 1, 3, 4 | 276 | Met/SU | 16 | 54.9 | 7.4 | 9 |
| 5  | Bergenstal R, 2009 [35] | Exenatide, Insulin | 1, 3, 4 | 372 | Met+SU | 24 | 52.2 | 8.5 | 10.2 |
| 6  | Bergenstal RM, 2010[36] | Sitagliptin, TZD, Exe_LAR | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 491 | Met | 26 | 52.5 | 6 | 8.6 |
| 7  | Bergenstal RM, 2012[37] | Placebo, Sitagliptin, Taspoglutide | 1, 2, 3 | 546 | Met | 24 | 56 | 5.9 | 8 |
| 8  | Blevins T, 2011[38] | Exenatide, Exe_LAR | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 252 | Met+/SU+/TZD | 24 | 55.5 | 7 | 8.45 |
| 9  | Bolli G, 2013[39] | Placebo, Lixisenatide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 479 | Met | 24 | 56.1 | 6 | 8 |
| 10 | Bunck MC, 2009 [40] | Exenatide, Insulin | 1, 3 | 69 | Met | 52 | 58.3 | 4.9 | 7.5 |
| 11 | Buse JB, 2004[41] | Exenatide, Placebo | 1, 3 | 377 | SU | 30 | 55 | 6.3 | 8.6 |
| 12 | Buse JB, 2009[42] | Liraglutide, Exenatide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 464 | Met+/SU | 26 | 56.7 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
| 13 | Buse JB, 2011[43] | Exenatide, Placebo | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 259 | Glar+/Met/TZD | 30 | 59 | 12 | 8.4 |
| 14 | Buse JB, 2013[44] | Liraglutide, Exe_LAR | 1, 2, 3 | 911 | Met+/SU+/Piog | 26 | 59 | 12 | 8.4 |
| 15 | Charbonnel B, 2013[45] | Liraglutide, Sitagliptin | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 650 | Met | 26 | 57.3 | 6 | 8.2 |
| 16 | Davies M, 2013[46] | Exe_LAR, Insulin | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 216 | Met/Met+SU | 26 | 58.5 | 6 | 8.4 |
| 17 | Davies MJ, 2009[47] | Exenatide, Insulin | 1, 3, 4 | 234 | Met+/SU/TZD | 26 | 56.5 | 8.7 | 8.6 |
| 18 | Davis SN, 2007[48] | Exenatide, Insulin | 1, 3 | 49 | SU/Met | 30 | 53 | 11 | 8.1 |
| 19 | DeFronzo RA, 2005[49] | Exenatide, Placebo | 1, 3 | 336 | Met | 30 | 53 | 5.8 | 8.2 |
| 20 | DeFronzo RA, 2010[50] | Exenatide, TZD | 1 | 90 | Met | 20 | 56 | 4.7 | 7.8 |
| 21 | Derosa G, 2012 [51] | Exenatide, Placebo | 1, 2 | 171 | Met | 48 | 57 | 7.7 | 8 |
| 22 | Diamant M, 2012 | Exe_LAR, Insulin | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 466 | Met/Met+SU | 26 | 58 | 7.9 | 8.3 |
| 23 | Drucker DJ, 2008 [52] | Exenatide, Exe_LAR | 1, 2, 3 | 295 | Met+/SU+/TZD | 30 | 55 | 6.5 | 8.3 |
| 24 | Fonseca VA, 2012 [53] | Placebo, Lixisenatide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 361 | no | 12 | 53.6 | 1.3 | 8 |
| 25 | Gallwitz B, 2011 [54] | Exenatide, Insulin | 1, 3, 4 | 354 | Met/SU | 26 | 57 | 5 | 7.9 |
| 26 | Gallwitz B, 2012 [55] | SU, Exenatide | 1, 2 | 1019 | Met | 234 | 56 | 5.6 | 7.45 |
| 27 | Gao Y, 2009[56] | Exenatide, Placebo | 1 | 466 | Met/Met+SU | 16 | 54.5 | 8 | 8.3 |
| 28 | Garder A, 2011[57] | SU, Liraglutide | 1, 3, 4 | 745 | Met/SU/BG/Met+TZD | 104 | 53 | 5.4 | 8.3 |
| 29 | Heine RJ, 2005[58] | Exenatide, Insulin | 1 | 549 | Met+SU | 26 | 58.9 | 9.6 | 8.2 |
| 30 | Henry RR, 2013 [59] | Placebo, Taspoglutide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 320 | Met+Piog | 24 | 54.1 | 7.7 | 8.1 |

(Continued)
| No | Study ID | Investigational treatments | Outcome reported | Size (pts) | Background therapy | Duration of trial (w) | Baseline information |
|----|----------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 31 | Hollander P, 2013 [61] | Placebo, Taspoglutide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 292 | Met | 24 | 53.5 5.08 7.55 |
| 32 | Inagaki N, 2012 [62] | Exe_LAR, Insulin | 1, 3, 4 | 427 | BG or BG + TZD | 26 | 56.76 9.03 8.5 |
| 33 | Iwamoto K, 2009 [63] | Placebo, Exe_LAR | 3, 4 | 19 | SU/BG/SU+BG/TZD | 10 | 58 6 7.4 |
| 34 | Ji LN, 2013 [64] | Exenatide, Exe_LAR | 2, 3, 4 | 678 | Met, SU, TZD | 26 | 55 8.2 8.7 |
| 35 | Kadowaki T, 2009 [65] | Exenatide, Placebo | 1, 3 | 114 | SU/BG/SU+TZD/BG | 12 | 60.3 11.8 8 |
| 36 | Kadowaki T, 2011 [66] | Placebo, Exenatide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 179 | SU+/BG+/TZD | 24 | 58.4 12 8.2 |
| 37 | Kendall DM, 2005 [67] | Exenatide, Placebo | 1, 3 | 743 | Met/Met+SU | 30 | 55.3 8.9 8.5 |
| 38 | Kim D, 2007 [68] | Placebo, Exe_LAR | 1, 2, 3 | 29 | Met | 15 | 54 5 8.5 |
| 39 | Li CJ, 2012 [69] | Liraglutide, Insulin | 1 | 84 | Insulin | 12 | 52 9 8.75 |
| 40 | Liukus J, 2010 [70] | Exenatide, Placebo | 1, 3, 4 | 165 | TZD/TZD+Met | 26 | 54.7 6.4 8.2 |
| 41 | Marre M, 2009 [71] | Liraglutide, Placebo, TZD | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 808 | Glimepiride | 26 | 56.1 6.5 8.4 |
| 42 | Mathieu C, 2014 [72] | Liraglutide, Insulin | 2, 3 | 177 | Insulin degludec (IDeg) OD + Met | 52 | 61 12 4 7.7 |
| 43 | Moretto TJ, 2008 [73] | Placebo, Exenatide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 232 | Diet+Exercise | 24 | 54 1.7 7.8 |
| 44 | Nauck M, 2014 [74] | Dulaglutide, placebo, Sitagliptin | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 921 | diet+exercise/monotherapy/Met +monotherapy | 26/52 | 54 7 8.1 |
| 45 | Nauck MA, 2007 [75] | Exenatide, Insulin | 1, 2, 3 | 501 | Met/SU | 52 | 58.5 9.9 8.6 |
| 46 | Nauck MA, 2009 [76] | Placebo, Taspoglutide | 2, 3 | 197 | Met | 8 | 54 5.5 7.9 |
| 47 | Nauck M, 2009 [77] | SU, Liraglutide, Placebo | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 845 | Met | 104 | 57 7.9 8.4 |
| 48 | Nauck M, 2013 [78] | Insulin, Taspoglutide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 1028 | Met/SU | 24 | 58 9.1 8.3 |
| 49 | NCT006220282, 2011 [79] | SU, Placebo, Liraglutide | 1 | 49 | Met | 12 | 58.5 6.8 7.2 |
| 50 | NCT00667732, 2013 [80] | Exenatide, Placebo | 1, 4 | 34 | Met+-Lantus Insulin | | |
| 51 | NCT00701935, 2013 [81] | Exenatide, Placebo | 3 | 71 | | 24 | 58 |
| 52 | Pinget M, 2013 [82] | Placebo, Lixisenatide | 1, 3, 4 | 484 | Piog+-Met | 24 | 55.5 1.75 8.1 |
| 53 | Pratley R, 2011 [83] | Liraglutide, Sitagliptin | 1, 3, 4 | 658 | Met | 52 | 55.3 6.2 8.4 |
| 54 | Pratley RE, 2013 [84] | Taspoglutide, TZD | 1, 3, 4 | 740 | Met/SU/Met+SU | 24 | 56.4 8.8 8.3 |
| 55 | Pratley RE, 2014 [85] | Albiglutide, Liraglutide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 805 | | 32 | 55.6 8.35 8.17 |
| 56 | Ratner R, 2010 [86] | Placebo, Taspoglutide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 129 | Met | 8 | 56.5 6.5 7.9 |
| 57 | Ratner RE, 2010 [87] | Placebo, Lixisenatide | 1, 3, 4 | 529 | Met | 13 | 56.5 7.1 7.5 |
| 58 | Raz I, 2012 [88] | Placebo, Taspoglutide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 354 | no | 24 | 54.8 2.4 7.6 |
| 59 | Riddle MC, 2013 [89] | Placebo, Lixisenatide | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 446 | Insulin Glar + Met | 24 | 56 9.2 7.6 |

(Continued)
 Significant increase was also found when exenatide versus insulin (OR 3.11 (95%CI: 1.43, 6.74)) and liraglutide versus SU (OR 3.48 (95%CI: 1.16, 10.48)). No statistically significant difference was found between other GLP-1 RAs versus placebo or active comparators in their effects on treatment discontinuation (Fig 3B).

Fig 3C displayed the effect on HbA1c < 7%. In comparison to placebo, albiglutide, exenatide, liraglutide, lixisenatide and taspoglutide significantly increased the incidence of HbA1c < 7% by 3.31 (95% CI: 2.06, 5.32), 49.81 (95% CI: 5.54, 447.76), 3.62 (95% CI: 2.32, 5.65), 4.81 (95% CI: 3.46, 6.68), 3.13 (95% CI: 2.49, 3.92) and 7.25 (95% CI: 5.15, 10.20), respectively. No significant difference was found between dulaglutide versus placebo.

Table 1. (Continued)

| NO  | Study ID | Investigational treatments | Outcome reported | Size (pts) | Background therapy | Duration of trial(w) | Baseline information |
|-----|----------|----------------------------|------------------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|     |          |                            |                  |            | Age (yrs) Years of T2DM HbA1c (%) |                  |                      |
| 60  | Riddle MC, 2013 [90] | Placebo,Lixisenatide | 1, 2, 3, 4       | 495        | Met+/SU+/TZD       | 24                  | 57  12.5  8.4       |
| 61  | Rosenstock J, 2013 [91] | Exenatide, Taspoglutide | 1, 2, 3         | 1149       | TZD+/Met           | 24                  | 56  6.6  8.1        |
| 62  | Rosenstock J, 2014 [92] | Placebo,Lixisenatide | 1, 2, 3         | 859        | Met+/SU            | 24                  | 57.2  9.4  8.3      |
| 63  | Rosenstock J, 2014 [93] | Albiglutide, Insulin | 1, 2, 3, 4      | 566        | Insulin Glar       | 26                  | 55.45  11  8.45     |
| 64  | Rosenstock J, 2009 [94] | Albiglutide, Exenatide, Placebo | 1, 3 | 214 | Met                   | 16                  | 54  4.9  8          |
| 65  | Rosenstock J, 2013 [95] | Exenatide,Lixisenatide | 1, 2, 3, 4      | 634        | Met                 | 24                  | 57.4  6.8  8        |
| 66  | Russell-Jones D, 2009 [96] | Placebo,Liraglutide, Insulin | 1, 2, 3       | 576        | Met+Glimepiride    | 26                  | 57.6  9.5  8.3      |
| 67  | Russell-Jones D, 2012 [97] | Sitagliptin,Metformin, TZD, Exe_LAR | 1, 3, 4       | 820        | diet + exercise    | 26                  | 53.8  2.7  8.5      |
| 68  | Seino Y, 2008 [98] | Liraglutide,Placebo | 1, 2, 3, 4      | 211        | oad                 | 14                  | 57  8  8.3          |
| 69  | Seino Y, 2010 [99] | SU,Liraglutide | 3, 4            | 400        | diet-exercise/monotherapy | 52                  | 58.3  8.3  8.9      |
| 70  | Seino Y, 2012 [100] | Placebo,Lixisenatide | 1, 2, 3, 4      | 311        | Insulin/SU         | 24                  | 58.4  13.9  8.53    |
| 71  | Seino Y, 2014 [101] | Albiglutide, placebo | 2            | 211        | diet-exercise/monotherapy | 16                  | 57  7  8.55         |
| 72  | Umpierrez G, 2014 [102] | Dulaglutide, Met | 1, 2, 3, 4      | 807        | diet + exercise    | 26/52                | 56  3  7.6          |
| 73  | Umpierrez GE, 2011 [103] | Placebo,LY | 1, 2            | 262        | oad                 | 16                  | 56.5  8.3  8.3      |
| 74  | Wysham C, 2014 [104] | Dulaglutide, placebo, Exenatide | 1, 2, 3, 4   | 976        | Met+Piog           | 26                  | 55.57  9  8.1       |
| 75  | Yang W, 2011 [105] | SU,Liraglutide | 1, 2, 3         | 928        | Met                 | 16                  | 53.3  7.5  8.6      |
| 76  | Yuan GH, 2012 [106] | Exenatide,Metformin | 1, 3, 4       | 59         | no                  | 26                  | 50.5  <1 month 8.2  |
| 77  | Zinman B, 2007 [107] | Exenatide,Placebo | 1, 3           | 233        | TZD+/Met           | 16                  | 56  8  7.9          |
| 78  | Zinman B, 2009 [108] | Liraglutide,Placebo | 1, 2, 3, 4     | 533        | Met+Rosig          | 26                  | 55  8.9  8.5        |

Note: LY: LY2189265, Dulaglutide. SU: sulphonylureas; TZD: thiazolidinedione; MET: metformin; Glar: glargine; Piog: pioglitazone; BG: biguanide; Rosig: rosiglitazone; oad: oral antidiabetic drug;—: unavailable information.

1: Hypoglycemia, 2: treatment discontinuation, 3:HbA1c<7.0%, 4: HbA1c<6.5%
Lines connect the interventions that have been studied in head-to-head (direct) comparisons in the eligible RCTs. The width of the lines represents the cumulative number of RCTs for each pairwise comparison and the size of every node is proportional to the number of randomized participants (sample size). EXE_LAR: exenatide long-acting release; SU: sulphonylureas; TZD: thiazolidinedione; MET: metformin.

Fig 2. Evidence structure of eligible comparisons for network meta-analysis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0154206.g002
insulin, exenatide (OR 1.92 (95%CI: 1.27, 2.92)) and liraglutide (OR 1.41 (95%CI: 1.03, 1.93)) were associated with higher incidence of HbA1c < 7%. The incidence of HbA1c < 7% was also increased when liraglutide versus sitagliptin (OR 1.91 (95%CI: 1.22, 3.00)). Besides, exenatide

![Figure 3A. Hypoglycemia](image)

**Figure 3B. Treatment discontinuation**

![Figure 3C. HbA1c <7%](image)

**Figure 3D. HbA1c <6.5%**

Fig 3. Impact of individual GLP-1 receptor agonists on hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation, HbA1c <7%, HbA1c <6.5% of direct pairwise meta-analysis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0154206.g003
decreased the incidence of HbA1c <7% in comparison with exe_lar by 0.51 (95%CI: 0.33, 0.77). No statistically significant difference was found between other GLP-1 RAs versus placebo or active comparators in their effects on HbA1c <7%.

Regarding HbA1c <6.5%, exenatide, liraglutide, lixisenatide and taspoglutide were significantly increased the incidence in comparison with placebo by 3.14 (95% CI: 1.97, 5.01), 5.57 (95%CI: 2.75, 11.25), 3.36 (95%CI: 2.51, 4.50) and 6.89 (95%CI: 4.93, 9.62), respectively. No significant difference was found between albiglutide, dulaglutide or exe_lar versus placebo.

Besides, compared with insulin, exe_lar (OR 3.55 (95%CI: 1.53, 8.23)) was associated with higher incidence of HbA1c<6.5%. Exenatide could also increase the incidence of HbA1c<6.5% when compared with exe_lar (OR 0.40 (95%CI: 0.22, 0.73)). No statistically significant difference was found between GLP-1 RAs and other active comparators in their effects on HbA1c <6.5%. ORs with 95%CIs were listed in Fig 3D.

Network meta-analysis of individual GLP-1 RAs

Results of network meta-analysis among GLP-1 RAs, placebo and active comparators were displayed in Fig 4. As shown in Fig 4A, all GLP-1 RAs except for albiglutide increased the risk of hypoglycemia with range from 1.83 (95%CrI: 1.14, 2.95) to 2.71 (95%CrI: 1.92, 3.85) when compared with placebo. Compared with insulin, all GLP-1 RAs except for dulaglutide reduced the risk of hypoglycemia with range from 0.38 (95%CrI: 0.18, 0.78) to 0.63 (95%CrI: 0.43, 0.93). Similar findings were observed between all GLP-1 RAs and SU with ORs varied from 0.15 (95%CrI: 0.06, 0.35) to 0.25 (95%CrI: 0.13, 0.49). No statistically significant difference was found between GLP-1 RAs versus Met, sitagliptin or TZD.

Regarding treatment discontinuation, exenatide, liraglutide, lixisenatide and taspoglutide significantly increase the incidence when compared with placebo (range of ORs: 2.08 (95%CrI: 1.28, 3.57) to 5.00 (95%CrI: 2.86, 10.00)) or insulin (range of ORs: 2.28 (95%CrI: 1.02, 5.32) to 5.51 (95%CrI: 2.71, 12.08)). Similar associations were found when exenatide, exe_lar, liraglutide, lixisenatide and taspoglutide were compared to SU (range of ORs: 2.33 (95%CrI: 1.04, 5.26) to 6.75 (95%CrI: 3.04, 15.60)). Exenatide, liraglutide, lixisenatide and taspoglutide were associated with higher incidence of treatment discontinuation with range from 2.33 (95%CrI: 1.01, 5.26) to 5.68 (95%CrI: 2.60, 12.59) when compared with sitagliptin. Increase was found between taspoglutide and TZD (OR 4.91 (95%CrI: 1.60, 16.02)) in their effects on the incidence of treatment discontinuation.

Fig 4B displayed the effect on HbA1c <7% and HbA1c <6.5%. For HbA1c <7%, all GLP-1 RAs significantly increased the incidence with range from 3.29 (95%CrI: 2.18, 4.97) to 8.39 (95%CrI: 3.67, 18.12) versus placebo. Dulaglutide, exe_lar, liraglutide and taspoglutide were associated with higher incidence with the range from 1.96 (95%CrI: 1.15, 3.29) to 2.47 (95%CrI: 1.08, 5.35) when compared with sitagliptin. No statistically significant difference was found between GLP-1 RAs versus insulin, Met, SU or TZD.

Regarding HbA1c <6.5%, all GLP-1 RAs significantly increased the incidence with range from 3.45 (95%CrI: 2.50, 5.00) to 9.09 (95%CrI: 5.88, 16.67) in comparison to placebo. Dulaglutide, exe_lar, liraglutide and taspoglutide were associated with higher incidence with the range from 2.11 (95%CrI: 1.23, 3.65) to 2.94 (95%CrI: 1.92, 4.55) when compared with insulin. Significant increase incidence of HbA1c <6.5% was found between exe_lar and Met (OR 2.38 (95%CrI: 1.25, 4.76). In comparison to SU, exe_lar and liraglutide significantly increase the incidence of HbA1c <6.5% by 2.44 (95%CrI: 1.25, 4.76) and 1.82 (95%CrI: 1.16, 2.94), respectively. Besides, significantly increase incidence was found between dulaglutide, exe_lar, liraglutide versus sitagliptin with range from 1.79 (95%CrI: 1.15, 2.78) to 2.33 (95%CrI: 1.43, 4.00). No statistically significant difference was found between GLP-1 RAs and TZD in their effects on HbA1c <6.5%.
Ranking of 13 treatments on hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation, HbA1c<7.0% and HbA1c<6.5%

Table 2 showed the mean values of SUCRA (S1 Fig) for providing the hierarchy of 13 treatments on hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation, HbA1c<7.0% and HbA1c<6.5% based on the absolute rank probabilities. According to SUCRAs, placebo, TZD and albiglutide had the best decrease effect on hypoglycemia, with probability of 4.08%, 24.95% and 35.19% respectively. SU, sitagliptin and insulin had the lowest probability of treatment discontinuation with rates of 13.44%, 23.01% and 23.63%, respectively. With respect to HbA1c<7.0% and HbA1c<6.5%, exe_lar and dulaglutide lowering glycemic level most among 13 treatments.
Model fit and inconsistence check

Statistical inconsistency between direct and indirect comparisons was generally low for four outcomes. Most loops (networks of three or four comparisons that arise when collating studies involving different selections of competing treatments) were consistent, since their 95% CIs included 0 according to the forest plots, which meant the direct estimation of the summary effect did not differentiate from the indirect estimation. The summary estimations of network meta-analysis are relatively robust.

The model fit was evaluated using the posterior mean of the residual deviance $D_{res}$. The values of the $D_{res}$ for hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation, HbA1c <7.0% and HbA1c <6.5% were 121.98, 83.34, 131.68 and 86.80 respectively, which were close to corresponding 152, 104, 145 and 104 of the number of data points for four outcomes, meaning that model’s overall fit is relatively satisfactory.

Discussion

Aside from adequate glycemic control, increasing attention is being paid to the hypoglycemia and treatment discontinuation effect of GLP-1 RAs recently [14, 15]. Our network meta-analysis suggested that all GLP-1 RAs significantly increase the risk of hypoglycemia compared with placebo (except for albiglutide), and reduce the risk of hypoglycemia compared with insulin (except for dulaglutide) and SU. In terms of the increasing incidence of treatment discontinuation, exenatide, liraglutide, lixisenatide and taspoglutide had significant effect when compared with either placebo, insulin, SU or sitagliptin, and exe_lar only increased the incidence of treatment discontinuation significantly when compared with SU. This was accompanied by taspoglutide in comparison to TZD. Besides, all GLP-1 RAs decreased glycemic level compared with placebo, and dulaglutide, exe_lar, liraglutide and taspoglutide had significant lowering effect

| CODE | Treatments | Hypoglycemia | Treatment discontinuation | HbA1c <7.0% | HbA1c <6.5% |
|------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|      |            | SUCRA Rank   | SUCRA Rank                | SUCRA Rank  | SUCRA Rank  |
| 1    | Albiglutide | 0.3519       | 3                         | 0.3644      | 6           | 0.4989      | 7           | 0.4805      | 6           |
| 2    | Dulaglutide | 0.5555       | 10                        | 0.4941      | 7           | **0.8460**  | 2           | **0.8186**  | 2           |
| 3    | Exe_LAR    | 0.5027       | 9                         | 0.6290      | 9           | **0.8718**  | 1           | **0.9531**  | 1           |
| 4    | Exenatide  | 0.7519       | 11                        | 0.7903      | 12          | 0.2802      | 10          | 0.3122      | 10          |
| 5    | Insulin    | 0.9090       | 12                        | 0.2363      | **3**       | 0.5381      | 6           | 0.2145      | 12          |
| 6    | Liraglutide| 0.4444       | 6                         | 0.7657      | 11          | 0.7421      | 4           | **0.8149**  | 3           |
| 7    | Lixisenatide| 0.4461      | 7                         | 0.7311      | 10          | 0.2239      | 12          | 0.2901      | 11          |
| 8    | Met        | 0.4224       | 5                         | 0.5147      | 8           | 0.4067      | 8           | 0.3779      | 8           |
| 9    | Sitagliptin| 0.3668       | 4                         | 0.2301      | **2**       | 0.2362      | 11          | 0.3905      | 7           |
| 10   | SU         | 0.9994       | 13                        | **0.1344**  | 1           | 0.3690      | 9           | 0.3652      | 9           |
| 11   | Taspoglutide| 0.4597      | 8                         | 0.9901      | 13          | **0.7859**  | 3           | 0.7792      | 4           |
| 12   | TZD        | **0.2495**   | **2**                     | 0.3226      | 5           | 0.7011      | 5           | 0.7033      | 5           |
| 13   | Placebo    | 0.0408       | **1**                     | 0.2972      | 4           | 0.0001      | 13          | 0.0000      | 13          |

Note: Rank: probability of being the best treatment, of being the second best, the third best and so on, among the 13 comparisons. SUCRA: surface under the cumulative ranking curve. As for hypoglycemia and treatment discontinuation first one means the best safety. As for HbA1c<7.0% and HbA1c<6.5% first one means has the best efficacy.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0154206.t002
when compared with sitagliptin (HbA1c < 7.0%) and insulin (HbA1c < 6.5%). Regarding to HbA1c < 6.5%, there was also a significant lowering effect for exe lar and liraglutide in comparison to SU and sitagliptin, dulaglutide in comparison to sitagliptin, exe lar in comparison to Met.

Effect on hypoglycemia

Hypoglycemia is a common complication of intensive diabetes therapy, which could cause fall, seizure, coma, and even death[109]. The UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) reported that maintenance of tight glycemic control in T2DM with insulin treated led to a significant increase in the incidence of hypoglycemia[110]. Our network meta-analysis showed that the significant increasing in the incidence of hypoglycemia was associated with all GLP-1 RAs except for albiglutide, which was consistent with Riddle’s study [90]. Riddle’s results showed that the incidence of symptomatic hypoglycemia was 28% for lixisenatide and 22% for placebo, and 1.2% subjects had severe hypoglycemia with lixisenatide vs. 0.0% with placebo. While, the beneficial hypoglycemia lowering effect of all GLP-1 RAs was observed when compared with insulin (except for dulaglutide) and SU, which was consistent with previous reviews[10, 111] and clinical trials[112]. Besides, studies also reported that the incidence of hypoglycemia was similar across GLP-1 RA treatment groups, and most of patients with hypoglycemia had the history of treating with concomitant SU therapy [111, 113].

To date, the mechanism of hypoglycemia for T2DM has not been clearly identified. It may involve complex regulation, but it has been shown that β-cell failure precede defects of α-cell response to lowering glucagon levels in T2DM, indicating that the counter-regulatory effect of glucagon to hypoglycemia is impaired in T2DM[114, 115]. Fukuda’s[116] study reported that the degree of α-cell dysfunction is related with the lack of β-cell function in diabetes. Commonly, insulin represses glucagon secretion as a pulsatile manner, but this coordination is disrupted in patients with T2DM and it could potentially contribute to glucagon dysregulation [117]. So finally, the defect of an increment in glucagon secretion during hypoglycemia is the result of β-cell failure in advanced T2DM[118].

Treatment discontinuation increasing effect

Our network meta-analysis showed that exenatide, liraglutide, lixisenatide and taspoglutide had significant increasing effect on the incidence of treatment discontinuation when compared with either placebo, insulin, SU or sitagliptin. Exe lar only increased the incidence of treatment discontinuation when compared with SU. This was accompanied by taspoglutide in comparison to TZD. Similar results were indicated in relevant clinical trials[113].

Several reasons may be account for this. Firstly, All GLP-1 RAs are injected subcutaneously, and cannot be administered orally. The incidence of treatment discontinuation among patients who had injection site adverse events was high[119]. Secondly, the adverse events of GLP-1 RAs like nausea, diarrhea, and vomiting, also account for the incidence of treatment discontinuation[120]. Especially for the most commonly occurred nausea, which usually lasts a long time, is a tough experience for T2DM to bear.

Glycemnic level lowering effect

The beneficial glycemic level lowering effect of all GLP-1 RAs in our analysis was consistent with previous studies [10, 121]. Scheen’s [122] study reported that the HbA1c lowering potential for GLP-1 RAs is approximately at 1%–1.5% on average. A review of 8 head-to-head phase III clinical programs showed that the primary efficacy endpoint in all of the GLP-1 RAs was change in HbA1c from baseline with a noninferiority margin of 0.4%[111]. Similar results were
indicated in relevant clinical trials. The significant glycemic level lowering effect of EXQW was observed in series of DURATION trials, with mean reductions of -0.9% to -1.63% [18, 44, 123–126]. Liraglutide was found to lower HbA1c by -0.9 to -1.1% [127].

Besides, our study also found that dulaglutide, exe_lar, liraglutide and taspoglutide had significant lowering effect when compared with sitagliptin (HbA1c<7.0%) and insulin (HbA1c<6.5%), which was consistent with Nauck’s results, which showed that dulaglutide 0.75 mg reducing HbA1c by 0.87%±0.06% versus sitagliptin reducing HbA1c by 0.39%±0.06% (P<0.001)[128]. Regarding to HbA1c<6.5%, our study also demonstrated that there was a significant lowering effect for exe_lar and liraglutide in comparison to SU and sitagliptin, dulaglutide in comparison to sitagliptin, exe_lar in comparison to Met.

Strengths

A major strength of our study is the inclusion of a substantially greater number of trials of GLP-1 RAs than earlier meta-analysis[16, 20, 21], thus it is the largest completed evaluation of GLP-1 RAs’ effect on hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation and glycemic level to date. Furthermore, the network meta-analysis based on Bayesian model makes indirect comparison among multiple treatments available, especially when there are few trials for direct comparison between different anti-diabetic drugs, such as comparisons between dulaglutide and insulin in our study. Network meta-analysis has been proved to be the most appropriate method for multiple treatments comparison to date [26, 129]. In addition, the network technique enables the estimation of the probability that one intervention is the best for one outcome. Thus it can provide an explicit ranking when many treatments are competing for one outcome. Our study provided the ranks of GLP-1 RAs and traditional anti-diabetic drugs on hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation and glycemic level for the first time.

Limitations

Several limitations are worthy to be mentioned. First, only trials only in English were included, and our literature search was from inception to June 1st, 2014, and didn’t include literatures published after June 1st, 2014, which may lead to potential publication bias and selection bias. Secondly, none of the trials included was specially designed to evaluate the effect of GLP-1 RAs on hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation and glycemic level. Thirdly, the different duration of years of T2DM in 78 trials may cause heterogeneous, which may influence the different response to therapy and increase the possibility of hypoglycemia. Thus the results of our study should be considered as hypothesis generation, and any conclusions should be drawn with caution.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our network meta-analysis presents the associations amongGLP-1 RAs, traditional anti-diabetic drugs and placebo on hypoglycemia, treatment discontinuation and glycemic level. GLP-1 RAs had the lowering effect on glycemic level, increasing effect on hypoglycemia and treatment discontinuation. While, GLP-1 RAs were associated with lower incidence of hypoglycemia when compared with active comparators. However, further evidence is necessary for more conclusive inferences on mechanisms underlying the increasing in hypoglycemia.
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