Effect of Thermal Treatment of Chamomile (Marticaria chamomella) Extract on the Growth Inhibition of Some Pathogenic Fungi
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Abstract

This Study shows the effect of thermal treatment of chamomile (Marticaria chamomella) extract with different concentrations (5,10,15,20,25,30) mg / ml, on inhibition of some pathogenic fungi such as Trichophyton rubrum and Aspergillus fumigatus under the different temperature degrees (25,50,75,100)°C. The aqueous extract of chamomile gave complete inhibition against the fungus Trichophyton rubrum at (20,25,30) mg/ml under temperatures of (25,50,75,100)°C While, the aqueous extract of chamomile gave complete inhibition against the fungus Aspergillus fumigatus at concentrations (25,30) mg/ml under the temperature degree of (75,100) °C.
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INTRODUCTION

The term “mycoses” is usually used to describe a range of fungal infections, including superficial mycoses (involving the skin) and systemic mycoses (involving the tissues and internal organs) (Edmonds, 1978). Dermatophytes are interconnected fungi that can invade keratinized tissues in the hair, skin, and nails of human beings and animals. The infection caused by these fungi is called dermatophytoses or ringworms. These fungi include three genera: *Trichophyton* spp., *Microsporum* spp., and *Epidermophyton* spp. (Matsumato, 1996). The development of human skin fungal infections is related to the host's immune status, as well as environmental factors, such as temperature and humidity (Evans, 1997).

Dermatophytes are classified into three main groups according to the natural host

1. Anthropophilic- when humans are the natural host; transmitted via contact with an infected individual
2. Zoophilic- when animals are the natural host; transmitted from animals to human beings
3. Geophilic- species that live in the soil and depend on saprotrophic nutrition from the keratinized materials (hair and feather); transmitted from the soil to human beings and animals.

In general, the zoophilic group causes severe inflammation more than that by the anthropophilic group (Hunter et al., 2002).

Molds are filamentous fungi that have fast-growing hyphae. These molds spread rapidly in the air and soil, causing contamination and infection. *Aspergillus* is one of the molds' genera, which includes tens of species, characterized by its divided hyphae that branch at 45°C, producing canidae (non-sexual spores). The three essential species that cause diseases in humans are *A. flavus*, *A. niger*, and *A. fumigatus*. *A. fumigatus* is one of the most essential fungal species found commonly in the environment and whose airborne spores can cause respiratory allergies (Georgopapadajou, 2002). Aspergillosis affects immune-compromised patients (Bodey, 1988). The inflorescence of this plant is known to possess great medical value; some compounds, such as volatile oils, are extracted from dry flowers, and these oils contain several acids, which are used for therapeutic purposes. The inflorescences also contain flavones (hydroxyl coumarins), 10% gelatinous substances, vitamin C as well as other free elements, including anthemic acid (Newall and phillpson, 1996).

The active ingredient of the chamomile plant extract is the volatile oil, which is estimated to constitute 7% of the total extract content, and is composed of monofunctional esters, aliphatic acids, and alcohols (Bruneton, 1999). The other active ingredients of chamomile are bisabolol oxides, chamazulene, apigenin (E)-beta-farnesene, bisablon, and oxides A, B (Arak, 1981; Revenchon and Senatore, 1994). The flower heads of chamomile contain volatile blue...
oil, that constitutes the compound azulene, and azulene is also known as chamazulene, matricin, palustrine, herniarin, dihydroxycinnamic acid, quercetin, apigenine, and flavonoids (Gardiner, 2000; Moustafa,2004). Azulene also contains the derivative, angelic acid esters, such as methyl, methylallangelate, isomylangelates, isboutylangelate, and butylangelate (Mimica-Dukic et al., 2008; Newall et al.,1996). The main oil contains monoterpenes, azulene, and chamazulene. The other active components of the flower are carboxylic and phenolic acids (caffeic, ferulic, coumarins, Tanik, and anthemic acids), flavonoids (apigenin and leuteolin), and resin derivatives. Chamomile is considered to relieve stomach discomfort, stomach pain, aperitif, as well as spasms, activate circulation, especially among children, cure eye infections, and relax the nerves. Chamomile oil is used in compressors to remove chest pain in pneumonia (Mann and stab,1986). The flowers are also widely used in Europe as an alternative to morning tea, after being sweetened, to prevent cold flu. It is used in the aromatherapy industry and has external use in the treatment of summer wounds. Inflorescences have yellow plant pigments, namely, apigenin. They are used to manufacture cosmetics, especially hair products, including those used in hair dye, as well as facial skin cosmetics, such as creams and face soaps (Liang et al.,1999).

Given the importance and ease of obtaining chamomile, and as studies on its effect on some pathological fungi are limited, we aimed to test the following:

1. The disincentive effect of chamomile extract on some pathogenic fungi;
2. The effect of thermal treatments on the chamomile plant extract’s disincentive effect on some pathogenic fungi.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Pathological samples were collected from patients with pathogenic fungal infections. After sterilizing the affected area with 70% ethyl alcohol, samples were collected from skin scrapings, nails, and sputum.

**Isolation of Fungi**

**Direct Microscopy and Implantation of Samples**

Few skin scrapings or the soft keratinized remains of the nails were collected using either a sterile scalpel or surgical blade or sterile forceps, respectively, and the samples were placed on clean slides. A drop of potassium hydroxide solution was added, and the slide was covered and heated by moving it over a Bunsen burner flame twice or thrice, while avoiding boiling as it leads to potassium hydroxide crystalization. Then, the slide was left for 20 min and squeezed gently with the loop base. All the slides were prepared under the microscope; the slides were examined first at ×10 and then at ×40 magnification to observe the hyphae and arthrospores (Szepeietoeski and Schwart, 2005). Positive samples were then plated on Petri dishes containing Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA); the dishes were incubated at 25°C for 7-14 d and checked every 2-3 d (Eilabib and khalifa,2001).

To isolate the molds, ear swabs were collected from the infected outer ear using a sterile cotton swab. Additionally, the oral cavity was sterilized by gargling with saline in the morning and the sputum samples were then collected. These samples were examined under a microscope to investigate the presence of molds, plated on a sterile medium, and then incubated at 25°C for 1 week (Beneke and Rogers1980; Jawetz et al., 1987). The fungi were isolated and purified later.

**Identification of the Isolated Fungi**

A part of the fungal growth was scraped using a sterile needle, under a microscope, and placed on a glass slide with a drop of methylene blue. The sample was spread and covered by the slide cover, and then examined under a microscope to observe the fungal yarn and spores. Following observation, the samples were classified according to the approved classification levels (Pitt and Hocking, 1997; Forbes et al., 2002; Koneman et al., 1979).

**Extract Preparation: This process includes the following steps**

**Aqueous Extract Preparation**

The aqueous extract was prepared from the used parts of the plant (inflorescence) according to a previously reported method (Rios et al., 1987). For this, 40 g of the plant powder was blended with 160 mL of distilled water (1:4, mass:volume). The plant was ground using a ceramic bowl and mashed using an electric blender (Ultra-Tarax blender Germany), and the mixture was incubated in the refrigerator for 24
h for soaking. The mixture was first filtered using several gauze layers and filtered again using the Buchner Bass funnel with filter papers. Finally, the filter was centrifuged to ensure the disposal of all impurities. Thus, the raw aqueous extract for each plant was obtained, and the extract was filled in 25 mL plastic bottles, frozen in a freezer, and placed in a lyophilizer (Edward High Vacuum K Company) under rarefied pressure, at -50°C; the samples were freeze-stored in sealed plastic containers.

**Water Extract Sterilization**

One gram of the raw dried plant extract was dissolved in 5 mL of distilled water to sterilize the aqueous extract of chamomile; therefore, an extract with a concentration of 200 mg/mL was obtained. This 200 mg/mL extract was sterilized using a 0.22-µm diameter Seitz filter. This concentration is considered the source for preparing the diluted solutions used in a previously reported study (Al-Noaman, 1998).

**Testing the Disincentive Effect of Chamomile Extract on some Pathogenic Fungi**

After preparing and sterilization the aqueous extract of the chamomile plant, it was placed in a water bath at different temperatures, 25, 50, 75, and 100°C, and for the time points, 5, 7, 10, and 15 minutes, respectively. Then, the inhibitory effect on the isolated fungi was tested by adding specific volumes of the aqueous extract (exposed to the aforementioned varying factors) to specific sizes of the unsolidified, sterilized SDA in 120-mL glass bottles. The bottles were shaken well, and the extract concentrations (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 mg/mL, obtained according to the N1V1=N2V2 equation), were then poured into three 9-cm Petri dishes. After medium solidification, a 5-mm diameter disc, from the edge of the *A. fumigatus* fungal colony (1 week old) and that of the *T. rubrum* colony (10 d–2 weeks old) was cut using a cork borer. The disc was placed at the center of the dish under sterile conditions. The dishes were then incubated in a Memert (Germany) incubator at 25°C for 1 week for *A. fumigatus*, and 10 d–2 weeks for *T. rubrum* fungus. The growth of the colonies were observed and the average length of two orthogonal diameters for each fungal colony was measured. This extract treatment was performed in triplicate. As for the control, the extract was not added to the medium (Pitt and Hocking, 1997).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The morphological features, including the shape, color, texture, and pigment secretions, of the fungal colonies were observed (Fig. (1) and (2)). These results indicated that the aqueous extract of chamomile flowers had different effects on the fungi used in this study. The disincentive effect was clear for *T. rubrum* in 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 mg/mL concentrations, under different temperatures and time points. *T. rubrum* was inhibited entirely at 25, 50, 75, and 100°C. Additionally, at other concentrations, 5, 10, 15 mg/mL, the average fungal colony diameter was 0, 3. 5, 2, and 10 cm, respectively, at 25°C, while the average fungal colony diameters at the same concentrations and at 50, 75, and 100°C were 5, 0 cm, respectively; thus, a complete disincentive effect was observed. The average fungus colony diameter at other concentrations, 20, 25, 30 mg/mL was 5 and 0 cm, respectively; thus, a complete disincentive effect was observed under all the used temperatures, as mentioned in Table (1). This was because the temperature and the active substances have a varying role in inhibiting fungal growth. The high temperatures used in the study as well as the active substances present in the aqueous extract
of chamomile have a significant impact in the inhibition of fungal growth. This difference in inhibition is due to the substances dissolved in water, such as glycosides and chlorides (Harborne, 1973).

This is consistent with Alanzi (2001), who found that the aqueous extract of chamomile inhibited the growth of *T. mentagrophyte* fungus by 50% at a concentration of 25 mg/ml, while Kharoufa (1999) found that the aqueous extract of chamomile has a high disincentive effect on *T. vaginalis*. Additionally, Mohammed *et al* (2001) also found that the aqueous extract of chamomile has 100% disincentive effect on *T. Mentgraphytest, A. niger, A. fumigatus*, and *Candida albicans*.

Upon studying the aqueous chamomile extract, it was revealed that the extract has a different inhibitory effect against *A. fumigatus* at concentrations, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 mg/ml, and under the aforementioned temperatures and time points. At concentrations 25 and 30 mg/ml, the fungal growth was totally inhibited under 25, 50, 75, 100°C, while the fungal growth was not completely inhibited at 20 mg/ml under 25 and 50°C, as the average diameter of the colony was 1.0 and 0.9 cm, respectively. This is inconsistent with the results reported by Al-Anezi (2001), as the aqueous chamomile extract strongly inhibited the growth of *A. fumigatus* (100% inhibition) at a concentration of 20 mg/ml. Similarly, our results

Table 1. The effect of thermal treatments of aqueous chamomile extract on the effectiveness of inhibition growth of *Trichophyton rubrum*

| Concentration (mg/ml) | Temp. °C | The Average of Fungus Colony Diameters (cm) |
|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------|
| 5                     | 25       | 3.0 d                                       |
| 50                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 75                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 100                   |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 10                    | 25       | 2.5 c                                       |
| 50                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 75                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 100                   |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 15                    | 25       | 1.0 b                                       |
| 50                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 75                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 100                   |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 20                    | 25       | 0.5 a                                       |
| 50                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 75                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 100                   |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 25                    | 25       | 0.5 a                                       |
| 50                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 75                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 100                   |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 30                    | 25       | 0.5 a                                       |
| 50                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 75                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 100                   |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| Control               |          | 4.0 e                                       |

1-Every treatment is the average of three repeats (each repeat is one plate).
2-Values with the same or more letter have no significant difference according to Duncan's new multiple range test at probability level= 0.05.

Table 2. The effect of thermal treatments of aqueous chamomile extract on the effectiveness of inhibition growth of *Aspergillus fumigatus*

| Concentration (mg/ml) | Temp. °C | The Average of Fungus Colony Diameters (cm) |
|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------|
| 5                     | 25       | 3.1 d                                       |
| 50                    |          | 3.0 d                                       |
| 75                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 100                   |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 10                    | 25       | 2.7 c                                       |
| 50                    |          | 2.9 d                                       |
| 75                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 100                   |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 15                    | 25       | 2.0 c                                       |
| 50                    |          | 1.5 b                                       |
| 75                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 100                   |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 20                    | 25       | 1.0 b                                       |
| 50                    |          | 0.9 b                                       |
| 75                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 100                   |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 25                    | 25       | 0.5 a                                       |
| 50                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 75                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 100                   |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 30                    | 25       | 0.5 a                                       |
| 50                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 75                    |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| 100                   |          | 0.5 a                                       |
| Control               |          | 4.0 e                                       |

1-Every treatment is the average of three repeats (each repeat is one plate).
2-Values with the same or more letter have no significant difference according to Duncan's new multiple range test at probability level= 0.05.
are not in agreement with those of Muhammad et al. (2001), which revealed that the aqueous chamomile extract had a 100% inhibitory effect on A. fumigatus, and at 15 mg/ml and 50.25°C, the average diameter of the colony was 2.0 and 1.5 cm, respectively. As for the rest of the temperatures under the same concentration, there was no complete inhibition. At 10 mg/ml 50.25°C, no complete inhibition was observed, where the average diameter of inhibition was 2.7 and 2.9 cm, respectively. At 100 and 75°C, the inhibition was inactivated entirely, as the average diameter of the fungus colony was 0.5 cm under the same concentration. In contrast, at 5 mg/mL, there was no complete inhibition of the fungus at 25 and 50°C, as average diameter was 3.1 and 3.0 cm, respectively; however, at 75 and 100°C, the inhibition was completely inactivated. At 25 and 30 mg/ml, the average colony diameter was 0 and 5 cm, respectively, and complete inhibition was also observed at all temperatures used in the study (Table 2). Significant differences were found between the inhibitory effects of the extract and the control. The reason is that the temperature and active substances have a role in inhibiting the fungus’ growth to varying degrees, as the high temperatures are well affected with well-dissolved active substances in the aqueous chamomile extract. This disparity in inhibition is attributed to water-soluble substances, such as glycosides and chlorides (Harborne, 1973) and because chamomile flowers contain plant oil that has an anti-effect against various types of fungi (Ahmed et al., 1994).
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