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Abstract

We present the results of a Suzaku X-ray follow-up observation of weak-lensing-detected halos in the field around galaxy cluster ZwCl 0823.2+0425. We clearly detected X-ray emission associated with most of these halos, and determined their detailed physical parameters, such as the X-ray luminosity, temperature, and metal abundance, for the first time. We found that the X-ray luminosity—temperature relation for these halos agrees with the former typical results. With the mass determined from the weak gravitational lensing data, the mass—temperature relation for them was also investigated, and was found to be consistent with the prediction from a simple self-similar model and the results of previous studies with both lensing and X-ray data. We would like to emphasize that the self-similar scaling relation of the mass and the temperature is shown here for the first time using a weak-lensing selected sample, whereas previous studies of the mass scaling relation used X-ray-selected samples of clusters. Therefore, our study demonstrates the importance of X-ray follow-up observations of shear-selected clusters, and shows that a joint X-ray and lensing analysis will be crucial for clusters discovered by the forthcoming weak-lensing surveys, such as the one planned with Subaru/Hyper-Suprime-Cam.
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1. Introduction

In the standard theory of structure formation in the cold dark matter (CDM) universe, larger structures, such as dark halos corresponding to rich clusters of galaxies form through the absorption of smaller dark halos and accretion of surrounding matter, which preferably occur along filaments associated with large-scale structures in the universe. Although baryonic components, such as diffuse hot gas and galaxies, are visible in the X-ray and optical bands, dark matter, which is a dominant component in mass, cannot be directly seen in any kind of radiation, and we recognized its presence only indirectly through the dynamical properties of galaxies and hot gas.

In recent years, however, this situation has been changed by the development of gravitational-lensing techniques, which enable us to reveal the matter distribution in the universe directly. We do not require any assumptions of the dynamical status and mass-luminosity ratio of the system to obtain the mass distribution (Schneider et al. 2006). Whereas the strong-lensing technique is essentially model dependent, weak gravitational lensing enables us to obtain the mass distribution in the plane of the sky without any geometrical assumptions, and directly measures the galaxy cluster mass distribution out to the virial radius (Kaiser & Squires 1993; Bartelmann & Schneider 2001). However, it is true that we cannot obtained detailed properties of baryonic components associated with dark-matter halos by gravitational lensing alone. Therefore, joint studies of X-ray, optical, and weak lensing datasets are very useful, and provide us with important information of the interplay between baryonic and dark matter (Okabe & Umetsu 2008; Kawaharada et al. 2010; Umetsu et al. 2010).

In the very near future, large surveys in various wavebands (e.g. Subaru/Hyper-Suprime-Cam, eROSITA, SPT, and ACT) will provide us with huge datasets of galaxy clusters. In such future surveys, the weak gravitational lensing technique is one of the most powerful methods to find galaxy clusters, irrespective of the physical status of the baryonic components (Wittman et al. 2001; Miyazaki et al. 2002, 2007). Its detection efficiency of clusters/groups is a function of the masses as well as the geometry of the universe. A typical procedure...
is as follows: the lensing convergence field is reconstructed from the galaxy’s ellipticities convolved with a suitable filter (Kaiser & Squires 1993; Seitz & Schneider 2001), and lensing signals above the noise of the intrinsic ellipticities are identified as mass structures (Wittman et al. 2001; Miyazaki et al. 2002, 2007). However, there are still some uncertainties in this method, such as contaminations of member galaxies in shear catalogs, spurious peaks induced by intrinsic ellipticities, and projection effects due to the superpositions of structures at different redshifts (Miyazaki et al. 2002; Hamana et al. 2009; Okabe et al. 2010a). Owing to not only these uncertainties characteristics of the weak lensing surveys, but also the systematic difference of the detection efficiency among weak lensing, X-ray, and Sunyaev–Zel’dovich effect (SZE) surveys, it is still unclear whether halo candidates detected by weak lensing always contain hot baryons. Thus, X-ray and/or SZE follow-up observations of halo candidates discovered by weak-lensing analysis are very important as a pilot study before forthcoming large surveys in order to understand systematic differences among different wavelength surveys and the correlations of the physical properties between hot baryons and the total mass. In particular, a study of the scaling relations between the lensing mass and the baryonic observables is of prime importance for the cluster cosmology as well as understanding cluster baryonic evolution, such as radiative cooling, energy feedback, and cluster mergers (Stanek et al. 2010; Vikhlinin et al. 2009a, 2009b; Okabe et al. 2010c).

We conducted a Suzaku X-ray follow-up observation of weak-lensing-detected halo candidates. The X-ray Imaging Spectrometer (XIS) aboard the Suzaku satellite (Mitsuda et al. 2007) is suitable for observing low surface brightness diffuse sources, thanks to its low and stable background (Koyama et al. 2007). Indeed, the physical properties of the intracluster medium (ICM) around the virial radius, where X-ray emission is too faint for Chandra and XMM-Newton to get meaningful results, have been investigated for several clusters (Fujita et al. 2008; Bautz et al. 2009; George et al. 2009; Reiprich et al. 2009; Kawaharada et al. 2010; Hoshino et al. 2010). This feature is also very useful to investigate diffuse X-ray emission associated with mass structures, even less massive objects, found by weak lensing surveys.

As a pilot study for the above-mentioned purpose, we selected a field around galaxy cluster, ZwCl 0823.2+0425. Several dark halos were found around the cluster by a weak-lensing study (Okabe et al. 2010b) as a collaboration of the Local Cluster Substructure Survey (LoCuSS; PI: Graham P. Smith). Figure 1 shows an optical image of the field overlaid with the mass contours derived from the weak-lensing analysis of Okabe et al. (2010b). Besides the central halo corresponding to ZwCl 0823.2+0425, four significant mass clumps are seen north, north-west, north-east, and south-east of it. We refer to them as ZwCl 0823.2+0425 (or C), N, NW, NE, and SE hereafter. The mass peak corresponding to Abell 664 is also found near the boundary. Two major red-sequence galaxy populations are found in this field, whose spatial distributions of both the optical luminosity and number density are pretty similar to that of the mass (Okabe et al. 2010b). The galaxies in the red-sequence at the lower redshift are apparently associated with the C and NW halos. On the other hand, those

in the higher redshift red-sequence seem to be related with the N and NE halos. The SDSS spectroscopic data are available for a few galaxies located in each halo center. We found that the mean redshift of galaxies associated with the C and NW halos is $z = 0.2248$, and that of the N and NE halos is $z = 0.472$. The SE halo is likely to be associated with a bright galaxy at $z = 0.103$. However, a few galaxies in the background possibly contribute to the lensing signal. This possible projection effect is discussed in section 5. Although ZwCl 0823.2+0425 is recognized as being an X-ray source with the flux of $1.8 \times 10^{-12} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ in Bright Source Catalog of ROSAT All Sky Survey (Ebeling et al. 1998, 2000; Böhringer et al. 2004), its detailed spectral properties were not known. Moreover, X-ray emission associated with the other halos were not clearly detected. Therefore, deeper X-ray observations for this field are highly desirable to explore the physical properties of the hot gas associated with the halos.

In this paper, we present a Suzaku X-ray follow-up observation of the field around ZwCl 0823.2+0425 to investigate the physical properties of the hot gas in the dark-matter halos found via a gravitational lensing analysis. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we describe the observation and data reduction. In section 3 we present spectral analysis results. In section 4 we describe an imaging simulation to check the contamination of each halo’s spectrum from the others. In section 5 we discuss the results and their implications. In section 6 we summarize the results. Canonical cosmological parameters of $H_0 = 70 \text{Mpc}^{-1} \text{km s}^{-1}$, $\Omega_0 = 0.27$, and $\Lambda_0 = 0.73$ are used in this paper. Unless otherwise stated, all uncertainties are given at the 90% confidence level.

2. Observation and Data Reduction

We observed the field around ZwCl 0823.2+0425 with
Suzaku Observation of ZwCl 0823.2+0425

3. Spectral Analysis

For a spectral analysis of the XIS data, redistribution matrix files (RMFs) were generated using the ftool “xisrmfgen”. In addition, ancillary response files (ARFs), which describe the response of X-ray telescope aboard Suzaku and the amount of the XIS optical blocking filters contamination, were generated with the ftool “xisimarfgen” (Ishisaki et al. 2007). XIS spectra for each sensor (XIS 0, XIS 1, XIS 3) were fitted simultaneously. For the spectral fitting with Galactic absorption, we assumed $N_H = 3.18 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Dickey & Lockman 1990). The CXB level was estimated in the same way as done by Nakazawa et al. (2009) from the Lockman hole observation (Suzaku observation ID, 101002010). The detailed procedure is described in appendix 1 of Nakazawa et al. (2009).

We defined the photon flux model as

$$N(E) = 9.19 \times 10^{4} \times E^{-1.4} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1} \text{ FOV}^{-1},$$

where $E$ is the photon energy in keV.

### 3.1. The Background Model

Before entering the spectral analysis of each halo, we need to construct a background model from astrophysical origin in addition to NXB. As shown in figure 3, we eliminated regions corresponding to each halo and the foreground bright star near the west edge of the XIS FOV (green circles and ellipses). We defined the photon flux model as

$$N(E) = 9.19 \times 10^{4} \times E^{-1.4} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1} \text{ FOV}^{-1},$$

where $E$ is the photon energy in keV.

$$N(E) = 9.19 \times 10^{4} \times E^{-1.4} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1} \text{ FOV}^{-1},$$

where $E$ is the photon energy in keV.
the LHB, GH, and CXB, respectively. The temperature of the LHB was fixed to be 0.08 keV. The metal abundance of both LHB and GH were also fixed to be solar.

The spectrum of the background region fitted with the above-mentioned model is shown in figure 4, where the black, red, and green crosses show the spectra of XIS 0, XIS 1, and XIS 3, respectively. The total and each component of the best-fit model spectra are also plotted as solid and dashed histograms, respectively. The detailed results of the fit are summarized in table 1. At first glance, the higher temperature component of GH may seem to be too high. We tried to fit the background region spectrum by a model with a single-temperature GH. However, the results were not so good, and we had some residuals at around ~2 keV in the data. This might be because our spectral modeling was too simple for the data. However, our main purpose here is to construct a plausible background spectrum model to investigate the halos’ hot gas. Thus, we do not pursue this issue in more detail.

### Table 1. Best-fit parameters for the XIS spectrum of the background region.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| $kT_{\text{LHB}}$ | 0.08 (fixed) |
| $N_{\text{LHB}}$ | $1.19_{-0.35}^{+0.35} \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $kT_{\text{GH,low}}$ | $0.34_{-0.0542}^{+0.24}$ |
| $N_{\text{GH,low}}$ | $5.76_{-2.24}^{+1.90} \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $kT_{\text{GH,high}}$ | $1.71_{-0.38}^{+0.34}$ |
| $N_{\text{GH,high}}$ | $5.18_{-2.11}^{+1.73} \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\Gamma_{\text{PL}}$ | 1.4 (fixed) |
| $N_{\text{PL}}$ | $9.19 \times 10^{-4}$ (fixed) |
| $\chi^2$/d.o.f. | 403.5/336 |

* Temperature of the each component in keV.
† Normalization in the apec code for each component.
‡ Photon index of the power-law component.
§ Normalization in the power-law component.

3.2. Hot Gas in Each Halo

We performed spectral analysis for each halo using the background model in the previous subsection. Figure 5 shows regions used in the analysis of each halo, where the center of each region was determined through each halo’s mass peak in the weak-lensing data of Okabe et al. (2010b). The radii of the regions are 2.5, 2.0, 1.8, 1.8, and 2.0 for C, N, NE, NW, and SE, respectively. It is true that these radii should be as large as the expected virial radii of the corresponding halo. However, because each halo partially overlaps with one another and the spatial resolution of Suzaku is moderate, the radii have to be small enough to reduce contamination from the others. No core removal was made in the spectral extraction. We adopted model images of the β-model profile with a core radius of 0.2 Mpc and $\beta = 0.66$ at each halo’s redshift as an input image to generate ARFs, considering that Suzaku does not have spatial resolution good enough to resolve the inner structures of each halo, and that there is no X-ray image of this field deep enough for our purpose by other instruments.

Systematic errors of both CXB and NXB were taken into account in the following analysis. It is well-known that the CXB fluctuations can be modeled as $\sigma_{\text{CXB}}/I_{\text{CXB}} \propto \Omega_{e}^{-0.5} S_{e}^{0.25}$, where $\Omega_{e}$ and $S_{e}$ are the effective solid angle and upper cutoff flux of a point source, respectively. From the HEAO-1 A2 results, $\sigma_{\text{CXB}}/I_{\text{CXB}} = 2.8\%$ with $\Omega_{e} = 15.8$ deg$^2$ and $S_{e} = 8 \times 10^{-12}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Shafer 1983). We adopted an upper cutoff flux of $S_{e} \sim 1.0 \times 10^{-14}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, taking into account the fact that point sources with, similar flux were clearly detected in the Lockman hole observation (Suzaku observation ID, 101002010). Thus, the CXB fluctuations for C, N, NE, and NW are expected to be 26, 33, 36, and 36% at the 90% confidence level. Tawa et al. (2008) reported that the reproducibility of NXB was 6.0% and 12.5% for XIS FI and BI at the 90% confidence level, respectively. We did not take account of systematic errors of LHB and GH,
considering that Yoshino et al. (2009) reported that prominent spatial fluctuations in a scale smaller than that of XIS FOV were hardly seen in LHB and GH. In the spectrum analysis, the NXB and CXB components were fluctuated at the 90% confidence level of the systematic uncertainty mentioned above, which caused changes of the best-fit parameters in the fits, and gave us the systematic errors.

Each halo’s spectrum was fitted by the photoabsorbed single-temperature apec model ($wabs \times apec$) with the background model described in the previous subsection. The redshift of each halo was fixed to be a value obtained from the SDSS data. We fixed the metal abundance for NE, NW, and SW to be 0.3 solar because we did not have enough photons for these regions to determine it. Figures 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 represent the XIS spectrum fitted with the above-mentioned model of C, N, NE, NW, and SE, respectively. In each figure, the black, red, and green crosses show the spectrum of XIS 0, XIS 1, and XIS 3, respectively. The best-fit model spectra are also plotted as solid histograms. In general, all of the data, except for SE, were fitted well by the adopted model. Unfortunately, however, the statics of the SE spectrum is not good enough to obtain meaningful fitting results. This is consistent with the fact that the SE lensing signal in the mass map is due to the projection effect, which is mainly associated with a galaxy at low redshift $z \sim 0.1$, as described in section 5. The detailed fitting results are presented in table 2. The luminosity in 0.5–10.0 keV of each halo was calculated from the fitting results, and are summarized in table 3.
Table 2. Best-fit parameters for the XIS spectrum of each halo region presented in figures 6, 7, 8, and 9.

| Region | kT (keV) | Z | N | NE | NW |
|--------|--------|---|---|---|---|
| C | 4.83 ±0.22 | 0.33 ±0.07 | 0.2248(fixed) | 598.0/642 | 210 48.9 |
| N | 0.35 ±0.07 | 0.30 ±0.15 | 0.472(fixed) | 204.1/210 | 40.8/52 |
| NE | 3.79 ±1.26 | 0.3(fixed) | 0.472(fixed) | 48.9/60.0 | 40.8/52 |
| NW | 2.04 ±0.75 | 0.3(fixed) | 0.2248(fixed) | 40.8/52 | 40.8/52 |

| Parameter | Calculation |
|-----------|-------------|
| kT | Temperature of the each halo in keV. Errors are 90% statistical and systematic, respectively. The latter is evaluated by shifting the NXB and CXB within their systematic errors. |
| Z | Metal abundance. |
| N | Redshift obtained from the SDSS data for each halo. |
| NE | Normalization in the apec code. |

Table 3. Luminosity in 0.5–10.0 keV of each halo derived from the fitting results listed in table 2 with statistical and systematic errors.

| Region | Luminosity (10^{44} erg s^{-1}) |
|--------|---------------------------------|
| C | 4.76 ±0.11 | 8.82 ±0.44 |
| N | 1.89 ±0.21 | 0.15 ±0.05 |
| NE | 8.82 ±0.44 | 1.89 ±0.21 |
| NW | 0.15 ±0.05 | 0.15 ±0.05 |

We used model images to generate ARFs because Suzaku has only moderate spatial resolution (≈2'), and because we do not have appropriate X-ray images with better spatial resolution by other instrument for this field. However, it should be noted that an effective area for diffuse sources depends on their spatial distribution. Therefore, the absolute values of the apec code’s normalizations in table 2 and fluxes listed in table 3 could change if the adopted model images change. To evaluate this, we generated ARFs with a point source and ß-model images with different core radii (r_c = 0.1 and 0.3 Mpc). We confirmed that this changed the fluxes by ≈50% at most. Thus, we believe that the uncertainty due to image modeling for ARF generation is at a few tens of percent level.

Some of the halos might have central cool cores that were not resolved in our observation. This means that the halos’ temperature and metal abundance are possibly underestimated and overestimated, respectively. Furthermore, unresolved point sources with harder spectra, such as AGNs, could be contaminated, which results in overestimations of both the ICM temperature and metal abundance. If these kinds of unresolved contamination sources are dominant, the observed metal abundance could be higher than that of a typical cluster without a cooling core. However, such a trend is not seen, at least in the central cluster and N halo. Thus, we safely conclude that the effects are quite limited at least for these halos.

4. Imaging Simulation

Because each halo might partially overlap with one another, we used regions with radii of only ≈2', as shown in figure 5. Still, each halo’s spectrum could be contaminated by the other halos and point source near the west edge of XIS FOV. We simulated the X-ray emission from them with the ftool “xissim” in order to estimate the contamination to each halo region from the others. We placed five ß-model X-ray halos with r_c = 0.2 Mpc at the position of C, N, NE, NW, and SE, and one point source at the position of the bright star. As a spectrum model, we used the best-fit models listed in table 2 for C, N, NE, and NW. We also fit the SE and point source in a similar way, and the best-fit results were used as a spectrum model for the image simulation. The resultant simulated image of XIS 0 is shown in figure 11.

We checked the contamination to each halo region from the other halos and point sources. We confirmed that only 4% of photons detected in the C region was from the others. For N, 16% of photons were from the others, most of which was originated from C. 32% of photons detected in NE regions were from the others, about two thirds and one third of which were from C and N, respectively. As for NW, 56% of photons detected in the region was from the others, about three quarters and one quarter of which were originated from C and N, respectively. Even in the case of the faintest NW halo, contamination from the others alone cannot account for the detected...
X-ray emission. It should be noted that relatively faint halos’
(NE and NW) spectra are significantly contaminated by those
of the brighter ones (C and N) with higher temperature, which
means that the temperatures of NE and NW are probably over-
estimated. This effect is not corrected in the spectrum analysis
because our imaging simulation was just aimed at confirming
that the fainter halo’s emission cannot be accounted for only by
the contamination from the brighter ones and that the hot gas
associated with these fainter halos is surely detected.

5. Discussion

First, we investigate the X-ray luminosity–temperature ($L_X$–$k_BT$) relation for the halos. Figure 12 shows the $L_X$–$k_BT$ relation obtained from our results, where the errors are converted into at the one-sigma level. The best-fit power-law function is
\[
\log L_X(10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1})E(z)^{-1} = -1.59^{+0.47}_{-0.38} + 3.21^{+0.52}_{-0.69}\log k_BT(\text{keV}),
\]
where
\[
E(z) = \Omega_0(1 + z)^3 + \Lambda_0.
\]
Although the errors are large because of the relatively poor
statistics, our results are consistent with the former typical
ones, which show $L_X(E(z)^{-1} \propto T^{2.5-3.0}$ (e.g., Ikebe et al.
2002; Branchesi et al. 2007; O’Hara et al. 2007).

Second, let us investigate the mass–temperature ($M$–$k_BT$)
relation. Here, we made a secure selection of background shear
catalog in a color magnitude plane in order to avoid contamina-
tions of member galaxies associated with each clump (Umetsu
& Broadhurst 2008; Okabe et al. 2010b). As described in section 1,
there are two red-sequences at $z \sim 0.22$ and $\sim 0.47$. Thus, we
conservatively cut the broad range of the color. The resultant number density of the background
galaxies decreased to one-fourth of the original shear catalog ($n_g \approx 7.6$ [arcmin$^{-2}$]). We calibrated the mean redshifts based
on the COSMOS photometric redshift catalog (Ilbert et al.
2002; Branchesi et al. 2007; O’Hara et al. 2007).

We considered all mass components of C, N, NE, NW, SE, and
Abell 664. We adopted an NFW mass profile (Navarro et al.
1996, 1997) for each halo model. The NFW profile can be described with two parameters: the virial mass, $M_{\text{vir}}$, and the concentration parameter, $c_{\text{vir}}$. According to cosmological $N$-body simulations based on the CDM universe, it is well-known that the concentration parameter is a weakly
decreasing function of the virial mass, $M_{\text{vir}}$, and the redshift, $z$,
e.g., $c_{\text{vir}} = 7.85(M_{\text{vir}}/2 \times 10^{12} M_\odot)^{-0.081} (1 + z)^{-0.71}$ (Duffy
et al. 2008). We here assumed this relation for halos of $z$
NE, NW, SE and Abell 664. This is because their angular
sizes are likely too small to constrain the concentration parameters well, taking into account the fact that they are at higher redshift ($z \sim 0.4$) or less massive objects. On the other hand, we treated the concentration parameter as a free parameter for the nearby main cluster, C. In addition, the positions of the halo’s center ($x_c$, $y_c$) for C, N, NE, and NW were also parameterized so as to avoid a mis-center in mass measurements. The center positions for the other components were fixed at those of the brightest galaxy that appeared in the optical image. As a result, we used 15 parameters to model the two-dimensional weak-lensing analysis data. The modeling shear distortion pattern, $g_\theta(\theta; p)_{\text{model}}$, is described by a summation of shear signals of each mass component. We adopted the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method with standard Metropolis–Hastings sampling. We restricted the sampling range to $M_{\text{vir}} \leq 5 \times 10^{15} h^{-1} M_\odot$, $c_{\text{vir}} \leq 50$, $|x_c - x_{\text{peak}}| < 1'$ and $|y_c - y_{\text{peak}}| < 1'$, where $x_{\text{peak}}$ and $y_{\text{peak}}$ are the peak coordinates appeared in weak-lensing mass map.

The obtained virial masses, $M_{\text{vir}}$ and $M_{500}$, the mass within a sphere of radius $r_{500}$, are given in table 4, where $r_{500}$ is the radius within which the mean density is 500 times of the critical density of the universe. The parameters of the NW and SE halos were not constrained well by this analysis, because their lensing signals are much smaller than those of the other massive objects. The mode of the posterior distribution for the SE halo’s virial mass is $M_{\text{vir}} \sim 6 \times 10^{13} h^{-1} M_\odot$. This is typical to galaxy groups rather than clusters, which implies that it would be mainly due to the lensing signal from the mass structure associated with the galaxy at $z = 0.103$ and possible background galaxies. To investigate this possible projection effect in the SE clump region, we calculated the number densities of galaxies in a given photometric redshift slice with SDSS photometric data in the same way as done by Kawaharada et al. (2010), taking account of an uncertainty of the photometric redshifts. We calculated the peak significance values based on the mean density in the Subaru’s field-of-view, in order to avoid redshift evolution of the number density of galaxies and physical scale difference. We found a $\sim 3.5 \sigma$ peak at $z = 0.1$ with a slice of $\delta z = (500 \text{ kms}^{-1})/(1 + z)/c \approx 0.002$. We also found another $\sim 3 \sigma$ peak in the redshift range of $|z - 0.4| \leq \delta z = 0.01$, which corresponds to a velocity dispersion of $\sim 2000 \text{ kms}^{-1}$. Therefore, the SE clump is likely to be a superposition of mass structures at these two different redshifts. Since a part of the NW halo overlaps with the main cluster C within $r_{500}$, the NW halo might be a tidally-truncated substructure. However, we cannot rule out a possibility that all mass halos are isolated objects, because we cannot distinguish structures along the line-of-sight in a few tens of Mpc scale observationally.

Figure 13 shows the $M - k_B T$ relation for the halos. Again, the errors are at the one-sigma level. It should be noted that

![Fig. 13. Mass–temperature relation for the halos. The errors are at the one-sigma level. The best-fit power-law functions [equations (8) and (9)] are also overlaid with solid and dashed lines, respectively. The dotted lines denote the result of Okabe et al. (2010c) for a sample of 12 clusters based on a joint weak-lensing and X-ray analysis, which almost overlap with the dashed lines.](https://academic.oup.com/pasj/article-abstract/63/2/357/1565317/fig13)

the mass used here is obtained from the weak-lensing data alone, which is perfectly independent of the X-ray results and free from the assumption about the dynamical status of the system. First, we fit the data into a power-law function setting both the index and normalization as a free parameters. The best-fit power-law function is

$$M_{500} E(z) = 2.63^{+0.81}_{-0.63} \left( \frac{k_B T}{5 \text{ keV}} \right)^{2.38^{+0.78}_{-0.95}} \times 10^{14} h^{-1} M_\odot, \quad (8)$$

which is plotted as solid lines in figure 13. Here, we do not take into account intrinsic scatter of the scaling relation (Okabe et al. 2010c). This result is consistent within large errors with what a self-similar model predicts, $M E(z) \propto T^{1.5}$ (Kaiser 1986). Next, we fit the data into a power-law function with the index fixed to that of the self-similar model. The resultant function is

$$M_{500} E(z) = 2.47^{+0.69}_{-0.57} \left( \frac{k_B T}{5 \text{ keV}} \right)^{3/2} \times 10^{14} h^{-1} M_\odot, \quad (9)$$

which is also plotted as dashed lines in figure 13. Interestingly, the obtained normalization is consistent with that of the previous study (2.45$^{+0.27}_{-0.24}$: Okabe et al. 2010c) for a sample of 12 clusters based on weak-lensing and X-ray datasets, which

Table 4. The best-fit masses ($M_{\text{vir}}$ and $M_{500}$) for clump candidates in the unit of $10^{14} h^{-1} M_\odot$.

| Halo name | C     | N     | NE    | NW    | SE    | Abell 664 |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| $M_{\text{vir}}$ | $3.38^{+0.90}_{-1.47}$ | $5.82^{+2.24}_{-3.07}$ | $2.31^{+1.19}_{-1.98}$ | $<0.43$ | $<1.05$ | $8.12^{+2.72}_{-3.29}$ |
| $M_{500}$    | $2.40^{+0.64}_{-1.04}$ | $3.21^{+1.19}_{-1.66}$ | $1.31^{+0.65}_{-1.11}$ | $<0.25$ | $<0.58$ | $4.32^{+1.36}_{-1.75}$ |
is plotted as dotted lines in figure 13, though they almost overlap with the dashed lines. Although we assume the mass–concentration relation for three halos, note that the masses at the intermediate scale, such as $r_{500}$, hardly depend on the assumed relation. As mentioned in subsection 3.2, the possible presence of cool cores and/or AGNs has very limited impacts on the temperature measurement. We would like to emphasize that this is the first confirmation of a self-similar scaling relation of mass and temperature for halos discovered by weak-lensing signals, whereas samples of X-ray selected clusters were used in previous studies of the mass scaling relation. The NW halo’s mass expected from its temperature via equation (9) is twice as large as its actual upper limit, which might indicate that the NW halo is tidally truncated by the primary halo, ZwCl 0823.2+0425. Another possibility is a probable overestimation of the temperature because of the contamination, as mentioned in section 4.

The halo detection criteria in weak lensing survey might be affected by the Gaussian smoothing scale adopted in mass reconstruction. We therefore investigated the dependence of the significance level of peaks on smoothing scales. Here, we do not make a selection of background galaxies, as a usual way. The significance levels changed by only $\lesssim 15\%$ as the smoothing scale changed by $\sim 30\%$, and thus we conclude that this effect is negligible for halo detections.

In order to compared the significant level of the peaks between the results with and without color selection, we made the projected mass–density map for the best-fit mass model with the same smoothing kernel as figure 1. This is because the number density of the secure background galaxies is too small to reconstruct the mass map with the smoothing scale being the same as that in figure 1. The peak $\kappa$ for C and NE halos in the model map are higher than by the 2.6 $\sigma$ and 1.5 $\sigma$ levels of the reconstruction errors ($\delta \kappa \approx 0.022$) of figure 1. This implies that the contamination of the member galaxies in the shear catalog without color selection (known as a dilution effect) is significant for the C and NE halos. The NW peak in the model map is about the 1 $\sigma$ level lower, while the SE and N halo peaks are comparable between two maps. Although we do not have a definite idea why the significance of the NW halo decreases, it is possible that the background selection in the color–magnitude plane did not work well for some reasons.

To make a more secular selection of background galaxies, we need more detailed multi-band imaging data, as demonstrated by Umetsu et al. (2010). We also computed the contribution for the lensing signal from each halo to investigate the projection effect. The lensing effect from the other halos on the C and N halo regions is only about 10%. In contrast, the contribution from the others accounts for $\sim 20\%$, $\sim 20\%$ and $\sim 40\%$ for SE, NE, and NW halo regions, respectively. As for the observed lensing signals of these three halo regions, therefore, the contribution from the others is not negligible. In particular, the projection effect and/or contribution from nearby halos are critical for halo detection with the weak-lensing technique, because they boost the peak strength in the mass map. For instance, the peak projected density of the NW halo alone is only at the $\sim 2 \sigma$ level of the reconstruction error of figure 1. This indicates that we need further careful lensing studies for halo candidates discovered by lensing maps.

Otherwise, we cannot rule out the possibility that the shear-selected catalog of clusters/groups is contaminated with some less-massive objects. As for cluster surveys with the weak-lensing technique alone, this difficulty should be kept in mind to achieve a complete sample.

6. Conclusions

We observed the field around the galaxy cluster ZwCl 0823.2+0425, where several dark-matter halos were found with the weak gravitational lensing technique. X-ray emission associated with most of these halos was clearly detected. From a spectral analysis of the XIS data, we determined the temperature for all of the halos detected in X-ray, and metal abundance for some of them, for the first time. With these results, we obtained the X-ray luminosity–temperature relation, which is consistent with the former typical results. The gravitational mass of each halo was obtained from the weak lensing data, which is absolutely independent from the X-ray data and free from the assumptions about the dynamical status of the systems. With the lensing and X-ray results, we investigated the mass–temperature relation for the halos, which is found to be consistent with the prediction of a simple self-similar model and previous studies. We first found the self-similar scaling relation of the temperature and mass for halos detected by weak-lensing signals. Therefore, our study demonstrates the importance of X-ray follow-up observations of shear-selected clusters, and shows that a joint X-ray and lensing analysis is crucial for clusters discovered by forthcoming weak-lensing surveys, such as the one planned with Subaru/Hyper-Suprime-Cam. It is expected that weak-lensing surveys will provide us with a uniform catalog of galaxy clusters irrespective of the cluster dynamical states, though there are still some difficulties, as discussed in section 5. This is because the lensing technique depends on the cluster masses alone, which is free from the dynamical state. In contrast, X-ray and SZE observables are obviously affected by cluster merger phenomena (Ricker & Sarazin 2001; Takizawa et al. 2010). A study of mass–scaling relations with statistically complete samples of galaxy clusters will enable us to understand cluster baryonic evolution, such as radiative cooling, pre-heating, and cluster mergers (Stanek et al. 2010), and to make a robust mass-proxy based on principal component analysis (Okabe et al. 2010c). This will be an important step to constrain the equation of state for the dark energy through the cluster mass function. The future X-ray projects, such as Astro-H and eROSITA, will be powerful in this regard.
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