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ABSTRACT. We study the automorphisms of compact Kähler manifolds having slow dynamics. By adapting Gromov’s classical argument, we give an upper bound on the polynomial entropy and study its possible values in dimensions 2 and 3. We prove that every automorphism with sublinear derivative growth is an isometry; a counter-example is given in the $C^\infty$ context, answering negatively a question of Artigue, Carrasco-Olivera and Monteverde on polynomial entropy. Finally, we classify minimal automorphisms in dimension 2 and prove they exist only on tori. We conjecture that this is true for any dimension.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Automorphisms. Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension $k$. By definition, a holomorphic diffeomorphism $f : X \to X$ is an automorphism of $X$; the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ of all automorphisms is a (finite dimensional) complex Lie group, with possibly infinitely many connected components. Its neutral component will be denoted $\text{Aut}(X)^0$; its Lie algebra is the algebra of holomorphic vector fields on $X$. Our goal is to study automorphisms whose dynamical behavior has “low complexity”. The main topics will be:
   - polynomial entropy;
   - growth rate of the derivative $\| Df^n \|$;
   - equicontinuity of $(f^n)$ on large open subsets;
   - automorphisms acting minimally, or with no periodic orbit.

We focus on low dimensional manifolds $X$, and state a few conjectures in higher dimension.

1.2. Polynomial entropy. Let $(X, d)$ be a compact metric space and $f : X \to X$ a continuous map. The Bowen metric, at time $n$ for the map $f$, is the distance
defined by the formula
\[ d^n(x,y) = \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} d(f^j(x), f^j(y)). \]  
(1.1)

The \((n, \varepsilon)\)-covering number \( \text{Cov}_\varepsilon(n) \) is the minimal number of balls of radius \( \varepsilon \) in the metric \( d^n \) that cover \( X \). The topological entropy \( h_{\text{top}}(f) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\} \) is the double limit
\[ h_{\text{top}}(f) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left( \text{Cov}_\varepsilon(n) \right). \]  
(1.2)
It measures the exponential growth rate of the number of orbits that can be distinguished at a given precision \( \varepsilon \) during a period of observation equal to \( n \).

We are interested in the understanding of "simple" maps, with a topological entropy equal to zero. In this setting, we consider the polynomial entropy
\[ h_{\text{pol}}(f) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \log \left( \text{Cov}_\varepsilon(n) \right). \]  
(1.3)
This quantity must be taken in \( \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\} \), but it will be finite for most of the systems we shall consider. The polynomial entropy has already been studied in several contexts: for integrable Hamiltonian systems by Marco [38, 39], for Brouwer homeomorphisms by Hauseux and Le Roux [19], and in various geometric situations by Bernard, Labrousse and Marco [3, 27, 28, 29, 30]. A similar notion was defined by Katok and Thouvenot, see [25] and [22, 24].

Our first result gives an upper bound on the polynomial entropy of any automorphism \( f : X \to X \) in terms of the action of \( f \) on the cohomology \( H^*(X; \mathbb{C}) \); a version of this result is also given for birational transformations. We refer to Sections 2 and 3 for precise statements, and in particular to Theorems 2.1 and 3.2. Section 4 gives a refined bound when \( \dim(X) \) is small (see Theorem 4.1). In Section 5 we are interested in finding all possible values of the polynomial entropy for automorphisms of surfaces: we obtain a partial result in Theorem 5.1, but we still do not know if there are automorphisms of compact Kähler surfaces with polynomial entropy in \( \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0, 1, 2\} \) (see Question 5.1).

1.3. Growth of derivatives. In the setting of \( C^\infty \) diffeomorphisms \( g : M \to M \) of compact manifolds, the growth of the derivatives, i.e. the growth of the sequence
\[ \| Dg^n \| = \max_{x \in M} \| D(g^n)_x \|, \]  
(1.4)
where the norm is computed with respect to some fixed riemannian metric on \( M \), can be slow, for instance less than \( n^\alpha \) as \( n \) goes to \( +\infty \) for every \( \alpha > 0 \) (see Remark 7.8). In Section 7, we describe such an example: it is a variation on
classical ideas due to Furstenberg (see Theorem 7.5). As an application, we
give a counter-example to a question by Artigue, Carrasco-Olivera and Monteverde [1]: we construct a map with zero polynomial entropy which is not
equicontinuous. In contrast, Section 8 shows that automorphisms of compact
Kähler manifolds do not exhibit such behaviors: if the growth of \( \| Df^n \| \) is
sublinear, then \( f \) preserves a Kähler metric (Theorem 8.1). This section also
studies automorphisms with a dense, Zariski open domain of equicontinuity.

1.4. Minimal actions. Another kind of low complexity is when “all orbits
look the same”; one (naive) way to phrase it is to assume that the action is
minimal: every orbit is dense for the euclidean topology. In the smooth setting,
there are diffeomorphisms of compact manifolds (and homeomorphisms of sur-
faces) with positive topological entropy acting minimally. We don’t know any
such example among automorphisms of compact Kähler manifolds. In Section
6, we obtain a classification of automorphisms of surfaces satisfying one of the
following density properties: \( f \) has no finite orbit; all orbits of \( f \) are Zarisky
dense; all orbits of \( f \) are dense in the euclidian topology (\( f \) is minimal). For
instance, minimal automorphisms of surfaces exist only on tori. We conjecture
that this is true in any dimension, the first non-trivial case being Calabi-Yau
manifolds of dimension 3.
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sam Fayad, Sébastien Gouëzel, Jean-Pierre Marco, and Frédéric Le Roux for
interesting discussions on this topic. This work is related to our joint paper
with Junyi Xie in which we study free actions of non-abelian free groups [10]:
we are really grateful to Junyi Xie for sharing his ideas with us.

Part I.– Polynomial entropy : upper bound for automorphisms

2. Upper bound on the polynomial entropy

Let \( X \) be a compact Kähler manifold of (complex) dimension \( k \). Let \( f \) be an
automorphism of \( X \). Its action on the cohomology of \( X \) provides a linear map
\( f^*: H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}) \) that preserves the Dolbeault cohomology groups
\( H^{p,q}(X;\mathbb{C}) \subset H^*(X;\mathbb{C}) \); we denote by \( f_j^* \) the action of \( f \) on \( H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{C}) \) or
\( H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{R}) \). By definition, the polynomial growth rate \( s_j(f) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\} \) is
the number

\[
s_j(f) := \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\log \| (f^n)_j^* \|}{\log(n)}.
\] (2.1)
We shall see that $s_j(f)$ is a non-negative integer when the topological entropy of $f$ is equal to 0, and is infinite if $h_{\text{top}}(f) > 0$. Then, we set

$$s(f) = \sum_{j=0}^{\dim C(X)} s_j(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim C(X)-1} s_j(f).$$  \hfill (2.2)

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold. If $f$ is an automorphism of $X$ with $h_{\text{top}}(f) = 0$, then $h_{\text{pol}}(f)$ is finite and is bounded from above by the following integers

$$\dim C(X) + s(f), \quad \dim C(X)(s_1(f) + 1), \quad \dim C(X) \times b_2(X).$$

This theorem is the main goal of this section. Its proof follows Gromov’s original argument providing an upper bound for the topological entropy of a holomorphic endomorphism.

**Remark 2.2.** In [35], Lo Bianco proved that the sequence $j \mapsto s_j(f)$ is concave. Since $s_0(f) = 0$, and $s_{k-j}(f) = s_j(f)$, this implies

$$0 \leq s_j(f) \leq \min\{j, k-j\} \times s_1(f).$$  \hfill (2.3)

We shall see that $s_j(f) \leq b_2(X) - 1$ if $h_{\text{top}}(f) = 0$.

### 2.1. Gromov’s upper bound.

**Theorem 2.3 ([17], [46, 47]).** Let $f : X \to X$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of a compact Kähler manifold $X$. Then $h_{\text{top}}(f) = \log \lambda(f)$, where $\lambda(f)$ is the spectral radius of the action of $f^*$ on the cohomology $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$.

In fact, Yomdin proved the lower bound $\log \lambda(f) \leq h_{\text{top}}(f)$ for $C^\infty$ maps of compact manifolds, and Gromov obtained the upper bound $h_{\text{top}}(f) \leq \log \lambda(f)$ for holomorphic transformations of compact Kähler manifolds. Gromov’s proof first relates the topological entropy to the volumes of iterated graphs $\Gamma(n)$, and then bounds them by a cohomological computation; both steps make use of the Kähler assumption. Here, $\Gamma(n)$ is the image of $X$ under the map $x \mapsto (x, f(x), \ldots, f^{n-1}(x))$. That is,

$$\Gamma(n) := \left\{ x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in X^{n+1} \mid x_j = f(x_{j-1}) \right\},$$  \hfill (2.4)

with $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\times$. The iterated graphs $\Gamma(n)$ are subsets of $X^{n+1}$, and $X^{n+1}$ is endowed with the distance

$$d^X_n(x, x') := \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} d(x_j, x'_j)$$  \hfill (2.5)
for every pair of points $x$ and $x'$ in $X^{n+1}$ (as in Section 1.2, here $d$ is some fixed distance on $X$). Let $\varepsilon$ be a positive real number. By definition, the $(n, \varepsilon)$-capacity $\text{Cap}_\varepsilon(n)$ is the minimal number of balls of radius $\varepsilon$ in the metric $d_n^X$ that cover $\Gamma(n) \subset X^{n+1}$. A set $S$ is $(n, \varepsilon)$-separated if $d_n^X(x, y) > \varepsilon$ for every pair of elements $x \neq y$ in $S$, and the $(n, \varepsilon)$-separation constant $\text{Sep}_\varepsilon(n)$ is the maximal number of elements in such a set.

**Lemma 2.4.** For all $n \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\text{Cap}_\varepsilon(n) = \text{Cov}_\varepsilon(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sep}_{2\varepsilon}(n) \leq \text{Cap}_\varepsilon(n) \leq \text{Sep}_\varepsilon(n).$$

In particular, one can replace $\text{Cov}_\varepsilon(n)$ by $\text{Cap}_\varepsilon(n)$ or by $\text{Sep}_\varepsilon(n)$ in the definition of the topological and polynomial entropies without changing their values.

**Proof.** For $x$ and $x'$ in $\Gamma(n)$, one has $d_n^X(x, x') = d_2^d(x_0, x'_0)$, and the first equality follows. The comparison between Sep and Cap holds for every metric space, and in particular for $(X, d_2^d)$. Indeed, if $y_1, \ldots, y_\ell$ are $2\varepsilon$-separated, two of them can not be in the same ball of radius $\varepsilon$; this proves $\text{Sep}_{2\varepsilon}(n) \leq \text{Cap}_\varepsilon(n)$. And if $\{y_1, \ldots, y_\ell\}$ is a maximal set of $\varepsilon$-separated points, then every point $x$ is at a distance $\leq \varepsilon$ from one of the $y_j$, proving $\text{Cap}_\varepsilon(n) \leq \text{Sep}_\varepsilon(n)$. \qed

Denote by $\pi_j : X^{n+1} \to X$ the projection on the $j$-th factor, for $j = 0, \ldots, n$. Now, fix a Kähler metric on $X$, defined by some Kähler form $\kappa$, and put the metric on $X^n$ which is defined by the Kähler form $\kappa_n = \sum_j \pi_j^* \kappa$. This metric differs from $d_n^X$ (as $\ell^2$ norm differs from $\ell^\infty$ norm). Let $\text{Vol}(\Gamma(n))$ be the $2k$-dimensional volume of $\Gamma(n)$ with respect to the metric $\kappa_n$.

In order to relate $\text{Vol}(\Gamma(n))$ to the $(n, \varepsilon)$-capacity, consider the following definition. Let $W$ be a submanifold of $X^n$ of dimension $\text{dim}_C(W) = d$. The $\varepsilon$-density $\text{Dens}_\varepsilon(W, z)$ at a point $z \in W$ is the volume of the intersection of $W$ with a $\kappa_n$-ball $B_\varepsilon(z)$ of radius $\varepsilon$ centered at $z$:

$$\text{Dens}_\varepsilon(W, z) := \text{Vol}_{2d}(W \cap B_\varepsilon(z)). \quad (2.6)$$

The $(\varepsilon, n)$-density $\text{Dens}_\varepsilon(W)$ is defined as the infimum

$$\text{Dens}_\varepsilon(W) := \inf_{z \in W} \text{Dens}_\varepsilon(W, z). \quad (2.7)$$

Set $\text{Dens}_\varepsilon(n) := \text{Dens}_\varepsilon(\Gamma(n))$. Then, $\text{Sep}_\varepsilon(n)\text{Dens}_\varepsilon(n) \leq \text{Vol}(\Gamma(n))$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and Lemma 2.4 gives

$$\log \text{Cap}_{2\varepsilon}(n) \leq \log \text{Vol}(\Gamma(n)) - \log \text{Dens}_\varepsilon(n). \quad (2.8)$$
Then, Gromov makes two crucial observations. Firstly, complex submanifolds of compact Kähler manifolds are locally minimal for any Kähler metric (Federer’s theorem), and this forces a lower bound for the density:

**Theorem 2.5.** Fix a Kähler metric $\kappa$ on $X$, and a real number $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a positive constant $C = C(\varepsilon, \kappa)$ that does not depend on $n$ such that $\text{Dens}_\varepsilon(n) \geq C > 0$.

We refer to [16], pages 389 to 392, for a proof of this result (see also [17] and [43]).

Thus, if we divide Equation (2.8) by $n$ the term $n^{-1}\log \text{Dens}_\varepsilon(n)$ becomes negligible; as a consequence, $h_{\text{top}}(f) \leq \limsup_n n^{-1}\log \text{Vol}(\Gamma(n))$. For polynomial entropy, we divide by $\log(n)$ and obtain

$$h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \text{Vol}(\Gamma(n))}{\log n}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

Note that both sides of this inequality are infinite when $h_{\text{top}}(f) > 0$.

Secondly, Gromov remarks that this volume growth may be estimated by looking at the action of $f$ on the cohomology of $X$. This comes from the definition of $\Gamma(n)$, and from the fact that the volume of a complex submanifold of a Kähler manifold can be computed homologically: $\text{Vol}(\Gamma(n))$ is equal to the pairing of its homology class with the cohomology class of $\kappa_n$. We reproduce this argument below to obtain Theorem 2.1.

2.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Fix an automorphism $f$ of a compact Kähler manifold $X$, and assume that $h_{\text{top}}(f) = 0$.

2.2.1. **Action on cohomology.**

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $M$ be a compact manifold. There is an integer $m > 0$, depending only on $\text{dim}(H^\ast(M; \mathbb{R}))$, with the following property. If $g$ is a $C^\infty$-diffeomorphism of $M$ and $h_{\text{top}}(g) = 0$, then all eigenvalues of $(g^m)^\ast : H^\ast(X; \mathbb{R}) \to H^\ast(X; \mathbb{R})$ are equal to 1. In particular, $g^\ast$ is virtually unipotent.

**Proof.** Since $g^\ast$ preserves the integral cohomology $H^\ast(M; \mathbb{Z})$ its characteristic polynomial $\chi_{g^\ast}(t)$ is an element of $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ with leading coefficient equal to 1. Hence, the eigenvalues of $g^\ast$ are algebraic integers. Yomdin’s lower bound $\log \lambda(g) \leq h_{\text{top}}(g) = 0$ shows that all roots of $\chi_{g^\ast}(t)$ have modulus $\leq 1$, and by Kronecker lemma they are roots of 1 (see [26]). Since the degree of $\chi_{g^\ast}(t)$ is equal to $\text{dim}H^\ast(X; \mathbb{R})$, the order of these roots of unity divides some fixed
integer $m$ that depends only on $\dim(H^*(X; \mathbb{R}))$. This $m$ satisfies the property stated in the lemma.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 2.7.** Denote by $b(M)$ the dimension of $H^*(M; \mathbb{R})$, and by $m_0(M)$ the optimal value of the integer $m$. In the proof of Lemma 2.6, one could add the argument given by Levitt and Nicolas in [31] (proof of Proposition 1.1) to conclude that the supremum limit of $\log(m_0(M)) / \sqrt{b(M) \log(b(M))}$ as $b(M)$ goes to $+\infty$ is $\leq 1$.

Lemma 2.6 shows that $f^*$ is virtually unipotent. Since $h_{\text{pol}}(f^n) = h_{\text{pol}}(f)$ for all $n \neq 0$, we can replace $f$ by $f^{m_0(X)}$ to assume that $f^*$ is unipotent. Fix a norm $\| \cdot \|$ on the cohomology groups of $X$. Since $f^*$ is unipotent, there is a basis of $H^{j-1}(X; \mathbb{C})$ in which $f_j^*$ is a diagonal of Jordan blocks. The number $s_j(f)$ is the polynomial growth rate of $\| (f^n)^*_j \|$ and $s_j(f) + 1$ is therefore equal to the size of the largest Jordan block of $f_j^*$. As a consequence,

\[ s_j(f) \leq h^{j-1}(X) - 1 \leq b_2(X) - 1. \quad (2.10) \]

**2.2.2. Volumes of iterated graphs.** Now, we use one more time that $X$ is a Kähler manifold of dimension $k$.

**Theorem 2.8** (Wirtinger). Let $Y$ be a compact Kähler manifold with a fixed Kähler form $\kappa_Y$. If $W$ is a complex analytic subset of $Y$ of dimension $d$, its volume with respect to $\kappa_Y$ is equal to $\text{Vol}(W) = \int_Y (\kappa_Y)^d = [W] \cdot [\kappa_Y]^d$.

With $W = \Gamma(n) \subset X^{n+1}$, we obtain

\[ \text{Vol}(\Gamma(n)) = \int_{\Gamma(n)} \kappa_n^k = \int_X \left( \sum_j \pi_j^* \kappa \right)^k = \int_X \left( \sum_{j=0}^n (f^j)^* \kappa \right)^k. \quad (2.11) \]

From the previous paragraph, we know that $\| (f^n)^*_1 \kappa \| \leq C_1 \| \kappa \| n^{s_1(f)}$ for some uniform constant $C_1 > 0$. As a consequence, the norm of the class $\sum_{j=0}^n (f^j)^* \kappa$ is no more than $C' \| \kappa \| n^{s_1(f)+1}$, for some $C' > 0$, and since the cup product is a continuous multi-linear map, we get $\text{Vol}(\Gamma(n)) \leq C'' n^{k(s_1(f)+1)}$ for some $C'' > 0$. This proves the second and third upper bounds of Theorem 2.1.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let $\ell$ be an integer with $0 \leq \ell \leq k$. Then

\[ \| (f^n)^* \kappa \wedge \ldots \wedge (f^n)^* \kappa \| \leq C \| \kappa \|^\ell n_{\ell}^{s_1(f)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1} (n_j - n_{j+1})^{s_1(f)} \]

for some constant $C > 0$ and every sequence of integers $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_k \geq 0$. 


Proof. First, for every class \( \omega \) in \( H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{C}) \), \( \| (f^n)^* [\omega] \| \leq C j n^{s_j(f)} \| [\omega] \| \) because the norm of the operators \((f^n)^*_j\) on \( H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{C})\) is bounded by \( C j n^{s_j(f)} \) for some positive constant \( C_j \), \( j = 1, \ldots, k \). Then, to estimate \( \| (f^{n_1})^*[\kappa] \wedge (f^{n_2})^*[\kappa] \| \) we write

\[
\| (f^{n_1})^*[\kappa] \wedge (f^{n_2})^*[\kappa] \| = \| (f^{n_1})^* ((f^{n_1 - n_2})^*[\kappa] \wedge [\kappa]) \| \leq C(n_2)^{s_2(f)}(n_1 - n_2)^{s_1(f)} \| [\kappa] \|^2. \tag{2.12}
\]

Here the constant \( C \) is the product of \( C_1, C_2 \), and a constant \( D \) such that \( \| \omega \wedge \kappa \| \leq D \| \kappa \| \| \omega \| \) for all classes \( \omega \) in \( H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{C}) \). This proves the lemma for \( \ell = 2 \), and this argument extends to other values of \( \ell \leq k \). \( \square \)

Now, by recursion on \( \ell \), there is a positive constant \( B_\ell \) such that

\[
\sum \| (f^{n_1})^*[\kappa] \wedge \ldots \wedge (f^{n_\ell})^*[\kappa] \| \leq B_\ell \| [\kappa] \|^{\ell} n^{s_1(f) + \ldots + s_\ell(f)}, \tag{2.14}
\]

where the sum is over all \( \ell \)-tuples \( (n_i) \) such that \( n \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_\ell \geq 0 \). With \( \ell = k \) we obtain \( \text{Vol}(\Gamma(n)) \leq B' n^{s_1(f)} \) for some \( B' > 0 \). This gives the first upper bound and concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

2.3. A topological lower bound. In Yomdin’s proof of \( h_{\text{top}}(f) \geq \log \lambda(f) \) (see Theorem 2.3), error terms appear in the estimates of \( \text{Cov}_\ell(n) \), and Yomdin shows they grow at most as \( \exp(\eta n) \) for every \( \eta > 0 \), so that these errors become negligible when compared to the exponential growth rate of \( \text{Cov}_\ell(n) \) when \( h_{\text{top}}(f) > 0 \) (resp. \( \lambda(f) > 1 \)). But these error terms seem too large to extract a lower bound on \( h_{\text{pol}}(f) \) from the growth \( n^{s(f)} \) of \( (f^n)^* \) on the cohomology (see Equation 2.2). So, we do not know whether a \( C^\infty \)-diffeomorphism of a compact manifold \( M \) (resp. an automorphism of a compact Kähler manifold \( X \)) such that \( \| (f^n)^* \| \) is unbounded (equivalently grows at least like \( n \)) has automatically a positive polynomial entropy.

On the other hand, one can adapt arguments of Bowen and Manning to prove the following proposition. Let \( G \) be a group with a finite symmetric set of generators \( S \). If \( \varphi \) is an endomorphism of \( G \), set

\[
\rho_S(\varphi; G) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \text{diam}((\varphi)^n(S))}{\log n}, \tag{2.15}
\]

where the diameter \( \text{diam}(\cdot) \) is computed with respect to the word length \( |\cdot|_S \) defined by \( S \) on \( G \). For instance, if \( h \) is an element of \( G \) and \( \varphi(g) = hgh^{-1} \) is the conjugacy determined by \( h \), then \( \text{diam}(\varphi)^n(S) \leq 2|h^n|_S + 1 \), with equality when \( G \) is a non-abelian free group; thus \( \rho_S(\varphi; G) = 1 \) when \( G \) is a free group and \( h \neq 1_G \).
Proposition 2.10. Let $M$ be a compact manifold; let $S$ be a finite symmetric set of generators of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$. If $g: M \to M$ is a homeomorphism then $h_{\text{pol}}(g) \geq \rho_S(g_\ast; \pi_1(M)) - 1$.

Here, $g_\ast$ is the endomorphism of $\pi_1(M)$ determined by $g$: it is only defined up to composition by a conjugacy (one has to choose a path that connects the base point $x \in M$ to $g(x)$ and, up to homotopy, two distinct paths differ by an element of $\pi_1(M; x)$). We skip the proof because it is exactly the same as in Manning’s article [37] and because we shall not use this proposition.

3. Generalization for meromorphic transformations

In this section, we explain how to extend Theorem 2.1 to the case of meromorphic transformations. To do it, we just have to replace Gromov’s argument by a result of Dinh and Sibony, the drawback being the difficulty to estimate the growth of the volumes of the iterated graphs for meromorphic transformations.

3.1. Growth on cohomology, graphs and entropy. Let $g$ be a meromorphic transformation of a compact Kähler manifold $X$ of dimension $k$; let $\text{Ind}(g)$ be its indeterminacy locus. Denote by $(g)^j$ the linear action of $g$ on the cohomology group $H^{j-j}(X, \mathbb{C}) \subset H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ (see [12, 18] for a definition), and fix a norm on $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$. For every $n \geq 0$, denote by $\| (g^n)^j \|$ the norm of the linear transformation $(g^n)^j$, define $s_j(g) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ by

$$s_j(g) = \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\log \| (g^n)_j \|}{\log(n)}$$

and set $s(g) := s_1(g) + \ldots + s_k(g)$ (note that $s_0(g) = 0$). Since $(g^{n+m})^j$ does not coincide with $(g^m)^j \circ (g^n)^j$ in general, it is not clear whether this supremum limit is actually a limit. The $j$-th dynamical degree is

$$\lambda_j(g) = \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \| (g^n)^j \|^{1/n},$$

and this supremum limit is actually a limit (see [12]). In these definitions of $s_j(g)$ and $\lambda_j(g)$, we could replace $\| (g^n)^j \|$ by $\int_X (g^n)^j(\kappa^j) \wedge \kappa^{k-j}$ for any fixed Kähler form on $X$; this would not change the result (see [12, 11]).

Remark 3.1. Except in dimension $\leq 2$, we don’t know whether $s_j(g)$ is an integer when $\lambda_j(g) = 1$; it could a priori be the case that $\| (g^n)^j \|$ grows like $\exp(\sqrt{n})$ or $n^{\sqrt{3}}$ as $n$ goes to $+\infty$. We refer to [45] for this type of questions, and to [12, 11] for the main properties of $\| (g^n)^j \|$.
By definition, the iterated graph $\Gamma(n)$ of $g$ is the closure of the set of points
\[(x, g(x), \ldots, g^n(x)) \in X^{n+1} \tag{3.3}\]
such that $x \notin \text{Ind}(g), g(x) \notin \text{Ind}(g), \ldots, g^{n-1}(x) \notin \text{Ind}(g)$. To define the notions of entropy, we need to take care of the indeterminacy locus $\text{Ind}(g)$. As in [12, 18], we simply use $(n, \varepsilon)$-separated sets (as in § 2.1 and Lemma 2.4) for orbits avoiding $\text{Ind}(g)$; in this way, we can talk of topological or polynomial entropy.

### 3.2. A bound on the polynomial entropy.

The results of Theorem 2.1 can be extended to meromorphic transformations, as follows. Note that $\lambda_k(g)$ is the topological degree of $g$ when $k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$; thus, if the topological degree of $g$ is $\geq 2$, then $s_k(g) = +\infty$. This is the reason why $g$ is assumed to be bimeromorphic in the following statement.

**Theorem 3.2.** If $g$ is a bimeromorphic transformation of a compact Kähler manifold $X$, then $h_{\text{pol}}(g) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) + s(g)$.

**Sketch of Proof.** The first steps of Gromov’s argument remain valid: if one defines the polynomial growth of the iterated graphs by
\[\text{povol}(g) := \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\log \text{Vol}(\Gamma(n))}{\log(n)}, \tag{3.4}\]
then $h_{\text{pol}}(g) \leq \text{povol}(g)$. Our goal is to show that $\text{povol}(g)$ is bounded from above by $k + s_1(g) + \ldots + s_k(g)$, where $k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$. For this, we simply copy the argument of [13]. More precisely, replacing Lemma 2 of [13] by Corollary 1.2 of [12], the results of [13] remain valid on compact Kähler manifolds. Thus, there is a positive constant $C$, which depends only on the geometry of $X$, such that
\[\| f^* T \| \leq C \| f_j^* \| \| T \| \tag{3.5}\]
for every meromorphic map $f : X \to X$ and every closed positive current $T$ of bi-degree $(j, j)$ on $X$. Here, $\| T \|$ is the mass of $T$, computed with respect to a fixed Kähler form $\kappa$: $\| T \| = \langle T | \kappa^{k-j} \rangle$. And $f^* T$ is the positive current which is defined on $X \setminus \text{Ind}(f)$ by pull-back; this upper bound on the mass of $f^* T$ implies that the extension of $f^* T$ by 0 on $\text{Ind}(f)$ is a closed positive current, with the same mass: we shall also denote by $f^* T$ this current.

Then, as in the proof of Lemma 5 of [13], or Lemma 2.9 above, we obtain the following estimate: for every integer $0 \leq \ell \leq k$, and every decreasing sequence
of integers \( n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots \geq n_k \),

\[
\| (g^{n_1})^*[\kappa] \wedge \ldots \wedge (g^{n_k})^*[\kappa] \| \leq C' \| [\kappa] \|^\ell \prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \| (g^{n_j-n_{j+1}})^*[\kappa] \| \tag{3.6}
\]

for some constant \( C' > 0 \). By definition, for every \( \eta > 0 \) and for \( m \) larger than some integer \( m(\eta; j) \) we have \( \| (g^m)^*[\kappa] \| \leq m^{s_j(g)+\eta} \). By recursion on \( \ell \), we get

\[
\| (g^{n_1})^*[\kappa] \wedge \ldots \wedge (g^{n_\ell})^*[\kappa] \| \leq C'' n^{\ell(1+\eta)+s_1(g)+\ldots s_\ell(g)} \| [\kappa] \| \tag{3.7}
\]

for some constant \( C'' \). To deduce the result, take \( \ell = k \) and let \( \eta \) go to 0. \( \square \)

**Part II.** Dimension 2: polynomial entropy, minimal actions, and Zariski dense orbits

4. POLYNOMIAL ENTROPY IN SMALL DIMENSIONS

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem. We also study the case of tori.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( X \) be a compact Kähler manifold with \( \dim_C(X) \leq 3 \). If \( f \in \text{Aut}(X) \) satisfies \( \h_{\text{top}}(f) = 0 \) then \( \h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq \dim_C(X)^2 \).

4.1. **Curves and surfaces.** If \( X \) is a curve, and \( f \) is an automorphism of \( X \), the action of \( f \) on \( H^2(X; C) \) is the identity, and Theorem 2.1 provides the upper bound \( \h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq \dim_C(X) = 1 \). If the genus of \( X \) is positive, then \( f \) is an isometry (for the euclidean or hyperbolic metric), and \( \h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 0 \). If the genus of \( X \) is 0, then \( f \) is given by a Möbius transformation, and either \( f \) is conjugate to an element of \( \text{PU}_2(C) \subset \text{PGL}_2(C) \) and then \( \h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 0 \), or \( f \) has a wandering orbit, and then \( \h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 1 \) (see Example 5.2 below). In particular, if \( X \) is a curve and \( \h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 0 \), then \( f \) is an isometry for some Kähler metric.

Suppose now that \( f \) is a bimeromorphic transformation of a compact Kähler surface \( X \). We shall see in Section 5 that either \( \lambda_1(f) > 1 \), or \( \lambda_1(f) = 1 \) and \( s_1(f) \in \{0,1,2\} \). Thus, if \( f \) is a bimeromorphic transformation of a compact Kähler surface, either \( \lambda_1(f) > 1 \), or \( \h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq 4 \). Theorem 4.1 follows from this statement and Yomdin’s theorem when \( \dim_C(X) = 2 \).

4.2. **Threefolds.** Here we use the results of Lo Bianco (Section 6.2 in [34] as well as [33] and Theorem A in [35]):

**Theorem 4.2** (Lo Bianco). Let \( f : X \to X \) be an automorphism of a compact Kähler manifold \( X \) of dimension 3. Assume that the action of \( f^* \) on \( H^2(X, C) \) is unipotent; then, it has a unique Jordan block of maximal size, this block is
localized in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$, and its size $\ell_1$ satisfies $\ell_1 \leq 5$. The other Jordan blocks in $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ have size $\leq \frac{\ell_1 + 1}{2} \leq 3$.

Since, by duality, the action of $f^*$ on $H^{2,2}(X; \mathbb{C})$ has Jordan blocks of the same size, we obtain $s_1(f) = s_2(f) \leq 4$, and Theorem 2.1 gives

$$h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq 3 + 2 \times 4 = 11.$$  

(4.1)

We want to improve this inequality to $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq 9$, and for that we use one extra ingredient from the proof of Lo Bianco’s result. Namely, he found a basis $(u_1, \ldots, u_{\ell_1})$ for the maximal Jordan block of $f_1^*$ that satisfies

1. $f_1^* u_1 = u_1$ and $f_1^* u_m = u_m + u_{m - 1}$ for any $m = 2, \ldots, \ell_1$ (normal form of the Jordan block);

2. $u_1 \wedge u_1 = u_1 \wedge u_2 = 0$ in $H^{2,2}(X; \mathbb{C})$.

From this result, we can now estimate the volume of $\Gamma(n)$:

$$\text{Vol}(\Gamma(n)) = \int_X \left( \sum_{j=0}^n (f^j)^* \kappa \right)^3 \leq 6 \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k \int_X (f^i)^* \kappa \wedge (f^j)^* \kappa \wedge (f^k)^* \kappa;$$

(4.2)

since the topological degree of $f$ is 1, we can set $j = i + t_1$ and $k = i + t_2$ to obtain

$$\text{Vol}(\Gamma(n)) \leq 6 \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{i+t_1=0}^n \sum_{i+t_2=0}^n \int_X \kappa \wedge (f^{t_1})^* \kappa \wedge (f^{t_2})^* \kappa.$$  

(4.3)

Denote by $\ell_1 > \ell_2 > \ldots$ the sizes of the Jordan blocks of $f^*$ on $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{C})$. Then, represent the Kähler form $\kappa$ as a linear combination of vectors $\kappa = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_1} \alpha_i u_i + \sum_{m=1}^{M_2} \sum_{j=1}^{s_2} B_i^m \gamma_j^m + \ldots$. Here $M_2$ is the number of Jordan blocks of size $\ell_2$ and the vectors $(\gamma_j^m)_{i=1}^{s_2}$ form a basis of the corresponding invariant subspaces in $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$. Then write out the wedge product $(f^{t_1})^* \kappa \wedge (f^{t_2})^* \kappa$: since $u_1 \wedge u_1 = u_1 \wedge u_2 = 0$ and $\ell_2 \leq 3$, we see that the form $(f^{t_1})^* \kappa \wedge (f^{t_2})^* \kappa$ is a polynomial $P(t_1, t_2)$ in $t_1$ and $t_2$ with values in $H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{C})$ and of degree at most 6. From this, we get an upper bound

$$\text{Vol}(\Gamma(n)) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{t_1=0}^{n-i} \sum_{t_2=0}^{n-i} \int_X \kappa \wedge P_0(t_1, t_2) \leq C' n^9$$

(4.4)

for some positive constant $C'$. This shows that an automorphism of a compact Kähler manifold of dimension 3 has polynomial entropy $\leq 9$ if its topological entropy vanishes. This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.1.
4.3. Uniform bound on polynomial entropy.

**Question 4.1.** Let $f$ be an automorphism of a compact Kähler manifold $X$ of dimension $k$. If $h_{\text{top}}(f) = 0$ does it follow that $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq k^2$? Is such an upper bound optimal, in every dimension $k$?

In dimension 2, all the examples for which we are able to calculate the entropy have $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq 2$: see the discussion in Section 5. The following proposition provides the $k^2$ upper bound when $X$ is a torus. We provide a cohomological proof, and then give a second, more precise statement in Proposition 4.5.

**Proposition 4.3.** If $X$ is a complex torus of dimension $k$, and the automorphism $f: X \to X$ satisfies $h_{\text{top}}(f) = 0$, then $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq k^2$.

**Warning and complements**

1.- The following proof is an update of the published one, in which we forgot (a) to write several constants that depend only on $k$ and (b) to say that we could replace $\ell_1$ by $k$ before writing the Inequalities (4.8) and (4.9). We thank Hsueh-Yung Lin, Keiji Oguiso and De-Qi Zhang for spotting this little mistakes. They studied this proof in details and succeeded in replacing the upper bound by the sum of the squares of the sizes of the Jordan block of $f^*$ on $H^{1,0}(X; \mathbb{C})$. They also remarked that estimates for $\text{Vol}(\Gamma(n))$ where also studied by Dennis Keeler in connection with the study of Gelfand-Kirillov dimensions of certain algebras (attached to the automorphism). As a consequence, there are now better upper bounds on $\text{Vol}(\Gamma(n))$ when $f$ is unipotent, both for tori or general compact Kähler manifolds.

**Proof.** We fix a Kähler form $\kappa$, and we want to bound:

\[
\text{Vol}(\Gamma(n)) = \int_X \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n} (f^j)^* \kappa \right)^k 
\]

\[
\leq C_k \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{t_1=0}^{n-i} \ldots \sum_{t_{k-1}=0}^{n-i} [\kappa] \wedge (f^{t_1})^* [\kappa] \wedge \ldots \wedge (f^{t_{k-1}})^* [\kappa] \tag{4.6}
\]

for some $C_k > 0$. The automorphism $f$ is acting linearly on the complex torus $X$ by a matrix $A$, its action on $H^{1,0}(X; \mathbb{C})$ is given by the transposed matrix $A^t$, and on $H^{0,1}(X; \mathbb{C})$ by the matrix $\bar{A}^t$. If $h_{\text{top}}(f) = 0$ then $f^*$ is virtually unipotent.
unipotent, and we can assume that $f^*$ is unipotent. Fix a basis $(u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ of $H^{1,0}(X, \mathbb{C})$ in which $A'$ has a canonical Jordan form, and its biggest Jordan block corresponds to the subspace generated by $(u_1, \ldots, u_{\ell_1})$; in particular $\ell_1 \leq k$. Writing $[\alpha] = \sum_{m,n} \alpha_{m,n} u_m \wedge \bar{u}_n$, we obtain

$$
(f^j)^*[\alpha] = \sum_{m,n=0}^k \alpha_{m,n} p_{m,n}(j) u_m \wedge \bar{u}_n,
$$

(4.7)

where the $\alpha_{m,n}$ are complex numbers and the $p_{m,n}(j)$ are polynomial functions of degree $\delta(m,n)$ in the variable $j$. The maximal degree in the right-hand side of (4.7) is $2(\ell_1 - 1)$. If we plug this formula in the Equation (4.6) and estimate each term from above, the worst case is when $\ell_1 = k$; so, we assume $\ell_1 = k$. Since $u_j \wedge u_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$, we get the upper bound

$$
\text{Vol}(\Gamma(n)) \leq C_k^l \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{t_1=0}^{n-i} \cdots \sum_{t_{k-1}=0}^{n-i} t_1^{2(k-1)} t_2^{2(k-2)} \cdots t_{k-1}^{2-1}
$$

(4.8)

$$
\leq C_k'' n^{1+k-1+\frac{2(k-1)}{2}} = C_k'' n^k
$$

(4.9)

for some constants $C_k^l > 0$ and $C_k''$ that depend only on $k$, not on $n$. □

Let $F$ be the element of $\text{SL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$ given by a Jordan block of size $k$, which means that $F(u_1) = u_1$ and $F(u_m) = u_m + u_{m-1}$ for every $2 \leq m \leq k$ in the canonical basis $(u_j)$ of $\mathbb{Z}^k$. This transformation induces a diffeomorphism of the torus $\mathbb{R}^k/\mathbb{Z}^k$ (resp. of the torus $(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)^k$ for every elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$).

**Lemma 4.4.** The polynomial entropy of the diffeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R}^k/\mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k/\mathbb{Z}^k$ (resp. of $(\mathbb{C}/\Lambda)^k$) is equal to $k(k-1)/2$ (resp. to $k(k-1)$).

**Proof of Lemma 4.4.** First note that in the canonical basis $(u_j)$ we have

$$
F^n = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & Q_1(n) & Q_2(n) & \cdots & Q_{k-1}(n) \\
0 & 1 & Q_1(n) & \cdots & Q_{k-2}(n) \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & Q_{k-3}(n) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(4.10)

where each $Q_j(n)$ is a polynomial function in the variable $n$ such that $Q_j(n) \approx \frac{n^j}{j!}$ up to lower degree terms. For simplicity, we set $X := (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^k$ and choose the $\ell_{\infty}$-metric on $X$. Consider the following set of points $S_n \subset X$:

$$
S_n := \left\{ \mathbf{e} \left( i_1, \frac{i_2}{Q_1(n)}, \ldots, \frac{i_k}{Q_{k-1}(n)} \right) \in X \mid i_j \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq i_j \leq \lfloor Q_{j-1}(n) \mathbf{e}^{-1} \rfloor \right\}
$$
with \( Q_0(n) = 1 \) for all \( n \) by convention. Then,

\[
|S_n| = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left( \left\lfloor Q_{j-1}(n) \varepsilon^{-1} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \approx \varepsilon^{-k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} j! \right)^{-1} n^{1+2+\ldots+(k-1)}, \tag{4.11}
\]

where the last equivalence holds true up to terms of lower order in \( n \). The Bowen balls (for \( d_n^f \)) of radius \( \varepsilon \) centered at the points of \( S_n \) cover \( X \) and, at the same time, all the points in the set \( S \) belong to different Bowen balls of radius \( \varepsilon/2 \). Thus \( \text{Cov}_\varepsilon(n) \approx |S_n| \) and from the definition of \( h_{\text{pol}} \) (see Equation (1.3)) we get \( h_{\text{pol}}F = 1 + 2 + \ldots + (k-1) = k(k-1)/2 \).

**Proposition 4.5.** If \( X \) is a complex torus of dimension \( k \), and \( f \in \text{Aut}(X) \) satisfies \( h_{\text{top}}f = 0 \), then \( h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq k(k-1) \).

**Proof.** Write \( X = \mathbb{C}^k / \Lambda \) for some co-compact lattice \( \Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^k \). There is a matrix \( A \in \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{C}) \) and a vector \( B \in \mathbb{C}^k \) such that \( f(z) = A(z) + B \mod \Lambda \). The Bowen distance \( d_n^f \) does not depend on \( B \), so we assume \( B = 0 \) for simplicity. Since \( h_{\text{top}}(f) = 0 \), we can replace \( f \) by a positive iterate to assume that the action of \( f \) on \( H^1(X;\mathbb{R}) \) is unipotent (see Lemma 2.6).

Consider \( \mathbb{C}^k \) as a real vector space \( V_{\mathbb{R}} \) of dimension \( 2k \); fixing a basis of \( \Lambda \), we identify it to the lattice \( \mathbb{Z}^{2k} \subset V_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2k} \) and denote by \( V_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}^{2k} \) the rational subspace \( \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \). Since \( A \) is a unipotent endomorphism of \( V_{\mathbb{Q}} \), there is basis of \( V_{\mathbb{Q}} \) in which the matrix of \( A \) is a diagonal of Jordan blocks. Since the endomorphism is induced by a \( \mathbb{C} \)-linear transformation, the blocks come in pairs of the same sizes, so that the list of sizes can be written \( k_1 \geq k_2 \geq k_3 \ldots \) with \( k_{2i+1} = k_{2i+2} \) for every \( i \geq 0 \). Now, the proof of Lemma 4.4 and the additivity \( h_{\text{pol}}(g \times h) = h_{\text{pol}}(g) + h_{\text{pol}}(h) \) give

\[
h_{\text{pol}}(f) = \sum_{i \geq 0} k_{2i+1} (k_{2i+1} - 1). \tag{4.12}
\]

Since \( \sum jk_j = 2k \) and \( a(a-1) + b(b-1) \leq (a+b)(a+b-1) \) for all pairs of positive integers, we obtain \( h_{\text{pol}}(f) = k(k-1) \).

5. AUTOMORPHISMS OF SURFACES: CLASSIFICATION AND LOWER BOUNDS

Consider a homeomorphism \( f \) of a compact metric space \((X,d)\). If \( K \) is a non-empty compact subset of \( X \), compute the covering number \( \text{Cov}_\varepsilon(n;K) \) of \( K \) by balls of radius \( \leq \varepsilon \) in the metric \( d_n^f \) (see Equation (1.1)); then, define the
polynomial entropy for orbits starting in $K$ by the formula
\[
h_{\text{pol}}(f; K) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log(\text{Cov}_\varepsilon(n; K))}{\log(n)}. \tag{5.1}
\]
When $K$ is equal to $X$, we recover the polynomial entropy $h_{\text{pol}}(f)$. Now, if $U$ is an open subset of $X$, we define the polynomial entropy $h_{\text{pol}}(f; U)$ of $f$ in $U$ to be the supremum of the polynomial entropies $h_{\text{pol}}(f; K)$ over all (non-empty) compact subsets $K$ of $U$. Note that $U$ (resp. $K$) is not assumed to be invariant under the action of $f$. This entropy is increasing: if $U$ is contained in $V$ then $h_{\text{pol}}(f; U) \leq h_{\text{pol}}(f; V)$; in particular, $h_{\text{pol}}(f; U) \leq h_{\text{pol}}(f; X)$.

Our goal in this section is to prove the following result.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $f$ be an automorphism of a compact Kähler surface $X$ such that $h_{\text{top}}(f) = 0$. There is
- a compact Kähler surface $X_0$,
- a regular, bimeromorphic map $\eta: X \to X_0$,
- an automorphism $f_0$ of $X_0$ such that $\eta \circ f = f_0 \circ \eta$,
- and a dense, $f_0$-invariant, Zariski open subset $U$ of $X$,

such that $h_{\text{pol}}(f_0; U) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Moreover, $h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 0$ if and only if $f$ preserves a Kähler metric on $X$.

The first reason to focus on this restricted entropy $h_{\text{pol}}(f_0; U)$ is because blowing-up fixed points may change the polynomial entropy (see § 5.3.1). There is also a second reason: we were not able to compute $h_{\text{pol}}(f)$ for all automorphisms, for instance for most parabolic automorphisms (see § 5.1.2).

**Question 5.1.** Let $f: X \to X$ be an automorphism a compact Kähler surface such that $h_{\text{top}}(f) = 0$. Is $h_{\text{pol}}(f)$ an element of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ ?

**Example 5.2** (Entropy versus restricted entropy). Let $g$ be a homeomorphism of a compact metric space $M$, with at least one wandering point $x \in M$. Then, $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \geq 1$ \footnote{Fix a wandering point $x$ and a real number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the orbit of the ball $B(x; \varepsilon)$ form a family of pairwise disjoint subsets. Set $E(n) = \{ f^{-m}(x) : 0 \leq m \leq n - 1 \}$. Then, $E(n)$ is $(n, \varepsilon)$-separated: for every pair of distinct points in $E(n)$, say $y = f^i(x)$ and $z = f^j(x)$ with $i < j$, there is a time $m \leq n$, namely $m = j$, such that the distance between $f^m(y)$ and $f^m(z)$ is at least $\varepsilon$. Since $E(n)$ has $n$ elements, one gets $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \geq 1$.}. Now, consider a linear projective transformation $A$ of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ with a north-south dynamics; up to conjugacy, $A[y_0 : y_1] = [ay_0 : y_1]$ for some complex number $a$ of modulus $|a| > 1$. Then
- $h_{\text{pol}}(A) = 1$, 
- $h_{\text{pol}}(A) = 1$, 
- $h_{\text{pol}}(A) = 1$, 
- $h_{\text{pol}}(A) = 1$, 
- $h_{\text{pol}}(A) = 1$. 


• $h_{\text{pol}}(A;U) = 0$ if $U = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{[0:1], [1:0]\};$
• $h_{\text{pol}}(A;V) \in \{0, 1\}$ for every open subset $V$ of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$.

If we start with the parabolic homography $B[y_0 : y_1] = [y_0 + y_1 : y_1]$, then $h_{\text{pol}}(B) = 1$ and $h_{\text{pol}}(B;U) = 0$ is $U$ an open subset that does not contain the unique fixed point of $B$.

### 5.1. Entropy and invariant fibrations.

#### 5.1.1. Hodge decomposition.

Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold. Fix a Kähler form $\kappa$ on $X$, and denote by $[\kappa] \in H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$ its cohomology class. First, recall the Hodge decomposition

$$H^n(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C})$$

where $H^{p,q}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is the subspace of cohomology classes of type $(p, q)$. This decomposition is invariant under the action of $\text{Aut}(X)$. Moreover, $\kappa$ determines an hermitian form $Q_{\kappa} : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \times H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$Q_{\kappa}([\alpha], [\beta]) = [\alpha] \cup [\beta] \cup [\kappa]^{k-2} = \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \kappa^{k-2}.$$  

According to the Hodge index theorem, the restriction of $Q_{\kappa}$ to $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ has signature $(1, h^{1,1}(X) - 1)$ where $h^{1,1}(X) = \dim H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$. Its restriction to $H^{2,0}(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{0,2}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is positive definite.

#### 5.1.2. Surfaces.

Assume that $X$ is a surface, then $k = 2$, and we denote $Q_{\kappa}$ by $Q$ because it does not depend on $\kappa$. The signature of $Q$ on $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$ being equal to $(1, h^{1,1}(X) - 1)$, it determines a structure of Minkowski space on $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$. The classification of isometries of Minkowski spaces and the geometry of surfaces lead to the following three cases (see [8]):

1. $f^*$ is an elliptic isometry of $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$, and then there exists a positive iterate $f^m$ of $f$ such that $f^m \in \text{Aut}(X)^0$. In particular, there is a holomorphic vector field $\theta$ on $X$ such that $f^m$ is the flow, at time 1, obtained by integrating $\theta$.

2. $f^*$ is a parabolic isometry of $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$. In that case, there exists a fibration $\pi : X \to B$ onto a Riemann surface $B$ whose generic fibers are connected and of genus 1, which is invariant under the action of $f$: there is an automorphism $f_B$ of $B$ such that $\pi \circ f = f_B \circ \pi$. Moreover, the growth of $(f^m)^*$ on $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$ is quadratic: $\| (f^m)^* \| \approx Cn^2$ for some positive constant $C$.

3. $f^*$ is a loxodromic isometry of $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$, $f^*$ has a unique eigenvalue of modulus $> 1$ on $H^*(X; \mathbb{C})$, this eigenvalue coincides with $\lambda(f)$, and it is
realized on $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$. According to Theorem 2.3, the topological entropy of $f$ is positive, and equal to $\log(\lambda(f))$.

Moreover, in the loxodromic case, $f: X \to X$ has infinitely many saddle periodic points, and these periodic points equidistribute towards the unique $f$-invariant probability measure of maximal entropy (see [6, 8, 14]).

We shall say that the automorphism $f$ is elliptic, parabolic, or loxodromic if its action $f^*$ on $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$ is respectively elliptic, parabolic, or loxodromic.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let $f$ be an automorphism of a compact Kähler surface. If $f$ is loxodromic, then $h_{\text{pol}}(f) = +\infty$. If $f$ is parabolic, then $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \in [2, 4]$, and there is an $f$-invariant effective divisor $D \subset X$ such that $h_{\text{pol}}(f; X \setminus D)$ is equal to 2. If $f$ is elliptic, then $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \in [0, 2]$.

**Example 5.4.** Let $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ be an elliptic curve. Consider the automorphism of $E^2$ defined by $h(x, y) = (x + y, y \mod \Lambda^2)$. Using real coordinates, $h$ is conjugate, by some real analytic diffeomorphism, to the linear diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ given by $H(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = (x_1 + y_1, y_1, x_2 + y_2, y_2)$, i.e. to the diagonal diffeomorphism $h_1 \times h_2$ where $h_i(x_i, y_i) = (x_i + y_1, y_1)$. From Lemma 4.4 we know that $h_{\text{pol}}(h_i) = 1$; hence, $h_{\text{pol}}(h) = 2$.

**Proof.** If $f$ is loxodromic, $h_{\text{top}}(f)$ is positive, and then $h_{\text{pol}}(f)$ is finite. If $f$ is elliptic, then some positive iterate of $f$ is in $\text{Aut}(X)^0$; in that case $s_1(f) = s_2(f) = 0$ and Theorem 2.1 gives $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq 2$.

If $f$ is parabolic, $\| (f^n)^* \|$ is quadratic, hence $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq 4$ by Theorem 2.1. Consider the $f$-invariant genus 1 fibration $\pi: X \to B$, and the action $f_B$ induced by $f$ on the base $B$.

If $f_B$ has finite order, we replace $f$ by some positive iterate $f^m$ to assume $f_B = \text{ld}_B$; then $f$ acts by automorphism on each fiber of $\pi$, and $f^{12}$ acts by translation on each regular fiber of $\pi$. Then, we define $D$ to be the union of all singular fibers of $\pi$. The open set $U = X \setminus D$ is $f$-invariant, and if $K$ is a compact subset of $U$, its projection $\pi(K)$ can be covered by a finite number of compact disks $K_i$ such that $\pi$ is equivalent to the trivial fibration $\pi_i: K_i \times \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 \to K_i$.
above $K_i$: there is a real analytic diffeomorphism $\psi_i: \pi^{-1}(K_i) \to K_i \times \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$
such that (1) $\psi_i$ is an affine map on each fiber and (2) $\pi = \pi_i \circ \psi_i$ on $\pi^{-1}(U_i)$. Conjugating $f: \pi^{-1}(U_i) \to \pi^{-1}(U_i)$ by $\psi_i$, we obtain a diffeomorphism $f_i$ of $K_i \times \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ that acts by translations:

$$f_i(b, z) = (b, z + t(b)), \quad \forall (b, z) \in K_i \times \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

where $b \in K_i \mapsto t(b) \in \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ is a smooth map. It is shown in [7] that $t(b)$ is a real analytic mapping of rank 2: the image $t(K_i)$ contains an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. This implies that $h_{\text{pol}}(f_i)$ is equal to 2. Indeed, $h_{\text{pol}}(f_i) \leq 2$ because $\|Df_i^n\|$ grows linearly and the base has dimension 2; and $h_{\text{pol}}(f_i) \geq 2$ because the polynomial entropy of $(x, y) \mapsto (x, x + y)$ on $[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is equal to 1 for every interval $[a, b]$ with $a < b$. This argument shows that $h_{\text{pol}}(f; K) = 2$, so that $h_{\text{pol}}(f; U) = 2$, as desired.

Now, assume that $f_B$ has infinite order. Let us prove that $X$ is a (compact complex) torus. From Proposition 3.6 of [9], the minimal model $X_0$ of $X$ is a torus. More precisely, the Kodaira dimension of $X$ vanishes, $X$ has a unique minimal model $X_0$, given by a (canonical) birational morphism $\eta: X \to X_0$, and this minimal model is a torus $X_0 = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$. The morphism $\eta$ contracts a divisor $D \subset X$ onto a finite subset of $X_0$. The uniqueness of the minimal model implies that $\eta$ is $f$-equivariant: there is an automorphism $f_0$ of $X_0$ such that $\eta \circ f = f_0 \circ \eta$. On the other hand, $f$ and $f_0$ are two parabolic automorphisms, preserving a unique fibration of genus 1; so this fibration must be $\eta$-invariant, meaning that $\pi: X \to B$ factors as $\pi = \pi_0 \circ \eta$ for some fibration $\pi_0: X_0 \to B$.

The action of $f$ and $f_0$ on $B$ coincide (they are both given by $f_B$); so, the finite set $\eta(D)$ is a finite $f_0$-invariant subset of $X_0$, and $\pi_0(\eta(D))$ is a finite $f_B$-invariant subset of $B$. Since all orbits of $f_B$ are infinite, we deduce that $D$ is empty: this means that $\eta$ is an isomorphism, and $X$ is equal to the torus $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$.

Since $X$ is a torus, $f$ is induced by an affine automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2$: $f(x, y) = A(x, y) + (s, t)$ modulo $\Lambda$, for some virtually unipotent linear map $A \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then, $h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 2$, as shown in Section 4.3. \hfill $\square$

### 5.2. Preliminaries for elliptic automorphisms.

In order to prove Theorem 5.1, we now need to study elliptic automorphisms, and since $h_{\text{pol}}(f) = h_{\text{pol}}(f^m)$ for every $m \neq 0$, we may and do assume that $f$ is in $\text{Aut}(X)^0$. We shall use three basic facts, which we collect in this section.

#### 5.2.1. Wandering saddle configuration.

Let $f$ be an automorphism of a compact Kähler surface $X$. We say that $f$ has a wandering saddle configuration...
if $f$ has a saddle fixed point $x$, together with two open subsets $U_1$ and $U_2$ in $X$ such that

(a) $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$;
(b) $f^n(U_i) \cap U_i = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$ and all $n \neq 0$;
(c) $U_1 \cap W^s(x) \neq \emptyset$ and $U_2 \cap W^u(x) \neq \emptyset$,

where $W^s(x)$ and $W^u(x)$ denote the stable and unstable manifolds of $x$. See Figure 1 for illustration. The following lemma is essentially due to Hauseux and Le Roux, [19].

**Lemma 5.6.** Let $f$ be an automorphism of a complex surface with a wandering saddle configuration. Then $h_{pol}(f) \geq 2$, and $h_{pol}(f) = 2$ if $f$ is an element of $\text{Aut}(X)^0$.

**Sketch of proof.** Take a point $x_1$ in $U_1 \cap W^s(x)$ and a point $x_2$ in $W^u(x)$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the ball of radius $\varepsilon$ centered at $x_i$ is contained in $U_i$ and is at distance $> \varepsilon$ from the complement of $U_i$. If $\varepsilon$ is small enough, these balls are wandering. If $\ell$ is large enough, one can find a point $z_\ell$ in $B(x_1, \varepsilon)$ whose orbit $f^n(z_\ell)$ stays in the complement of the two balls except for $f^0(z_\ell) \in B(x_1, \varepsilon)$ and $f^{\ell}(z_\ell) \in B(x_2, \varepsilon)$. Then, the points $f^{-j}(z_\ell)$ for $j \leq n$ and $\ell \leq n/2$ are $(\varepsilon/2, n)$-separated (see [19] Exemple 2); the size of this set grows quadratically with $n$, hence $h_{pol}(f) \geq 2$. The equality follows from $h_{pol}(f) \leq 2$ when $f \in \text{Aut}(X)^0$ (see Proposition 5.3). \hfill \Box

5.2.2. **Compact groups and Kodaira dimension.** Fix a Kähler metric on $X$, given by a Kähler form $\kappa_0$. If $f$ is contained in a compact subgroup $K$ of $\text{Aut}(X)$, and $\mu$ is a Haar measure on $K$ (with $\mu(K) = 1$), the Kähler form $\kappa = \ldots$
\[ \int_K g^* \kappa_0 \, d\mu(g) \] is \( f \)-invariant; in particular, \( f \) preserves a Kähler metric if \( f \) has finite order.

**Lemma 5.7.** Let \( X \) be a compact Kähler manifold. If \( \text{Aut}(X)^0 \) is not compact, then \( X \) is ruled. If the Kodaira-dimension \( \text{kod}(X) \) of \( X \) is \( \geq 0 \), then \( \text{Aut}(X)^0 \) is a compact Lie group.

**Proof.** This lemma follows from Theorem 4.9 of [32] and its proof; we only sketch the argument. From Theorems 3.3 and 3.12 of [32], we know that \( \text{Aut}(X)^0 \) is an extension of a compact torus \( T_X \) by a linear algebraic group \( L_X \):

\[ 1 \to L_X \to \text{Aut}(X)^0 \to T_X \to 0. \] (5.4)

If \( \text{Aut}(X)^0 \) is not compact, then \( L_X \) is non-trivial and we can fix a one-dimensional algebraic subgroup \( H \subset L_X \). Lieberman proves that the action of \( H \) on \( X \) is compactifiable (see [32], Chapter 3): this shows that the closures of the orbits of \( H \) are rational curves and that \( X \) is ruled. Since the Kodaira dimension of a ruled manifold is negative, this concludes the proof of the lemma. \( \square \)

We shall say that the iterates of \( f \) – or that \( f^Z \) – form an **equicontinuous (or normal) family** on some open subset \( U \) of \( X \) if, given any subsequence \( f^{n_i} \), and any relatively compact open set \( V \subset U \), one can extract a further subsequence \( f^{n_j} \) that converges uniformly on \( V \) towards a continuous map \( g : V \to X \) (the limit \( g \) is automatically holomorphic). The equicontinuity is equivalent to a uniform bound on the norm of the differentials \( D f^n \) on any relatively compact subset \( V \) of \( U \). If the iterates of \( f \) form an equicontinuous family on \( X \), then \( f^Z \) is contained in a compact subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(X) \) and, by Lemma 5.7, \( f \) preserves a Kähler form.

**Lemma 5.8.** Let \( b : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}^* \) be a holomorphic function that does not vanish. Let \( g \) be the transformation of \( \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \) defined by

\[ g(x, [y_0 : y_1]) = (x, [y_0 + b(x)y_1 : y_1]). \]
Then, $h_{\text{pol}}(g) = 1$.

Proof. Since $b$ does not vanish, $\varphi(x, [y_0 : y_1]) = (x, [b(x)y_0 : y_1])$ is a holomorphic diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ that conjugates $g$ to $(x, [y_0 : y_1]) \mapsto (x, [y_0 + y_1 : y_1])$. Thus, $h_{\text{pol}}(g) = 1$ (see Example 5.2). □

The following lemma is much more interesting, but will not be used in this article. We postpone its proof to the appendix.

Lemma 5.9. Let $a: \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a holomorphic function such that $|a| - 1$ does not vanish. Let $f$ be the transformation of $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$f(x, [y_0 : y_1]) = (x, [a(x)y_0 : y_1]).$$

Then, $h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 1$.

5.3. Irrational, ruled surfaces. In this section, $X$ is a ruled surface, but $X$ is not rational. This means that there is a fibration $\pi: X \to B$ onto a base $B$ of genus $\geq 1$ with generic fiber $\mathbb{P}^1$. This fibration is equivariant with respect to $f \in \text{Aut}(X)^0$ and an automorphism $f_B: B \to B$.

5.3.1. Assume, first, that $f_B$ is periodic. Since the polynomial entropy does not change if one replace $f$ by some positive iterate, we may as well suppose that $f_B = \text{Id}_B$. The following lemma does not require the genus of $B$ be positive.

Lemma 5.10. Let $\pi: X \to B$ be a ruled surface, and let $f$ be an automorphism of $X$ preserving each fiber of $\pi$. Denote by $f_b$ the restriction of $f$ to the fiber $X_b := \pi^{-1}(b)$, for $b \in B$. Then

- either $f$ preserves a Kähler metric and $h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 0$;
- or $f_b$ is a loxodromic homography on at least one fiber, and then $h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 1$ or $2$;
- or $f_b$ is a parabolic homography for all fibers except finitely many fibers $F_i$ on which $f_b$ is the identity, and then $h_{\text{pol}}(f; V) = 1$, where $V = X \setminus \bigcup_i F_i$.

If $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \geq 1$ there is a Zariski closed, $f$-invariant subset $F \subset X$ such that $h_{\text{pol}}(f; X \setminus F) = 1$.

Proof of Lemma 5.10 when $\pi$ is a submersion. In this first part of the proof, we assume that $\pi$ is a submersion.

Lemma 5.11. Let $\pi: X \to B$ be a ruled surface. Assume that $\pi$ is a submersion. Let $f$ be an automorphism of $X$ that preserves every fiber of $\pi$. Then,
• either the automorphisms $f_b$ of the fibers $\pi^{-1}(b) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1(C)$ are pairwise conjugate;
• or, for every $b$, $f_b$ is either parabolic or the identity.

Proof. For every $b \in B$, the automorphism $f_b$ of the fiber $X_b := \pi^{-1}(b)$. If we fix an isomorphism $X_b \simeq \mathbb{P}^1(C)$, $f_b$ becomes a Möbius transformation of $\mathbb{P}^1(C)$, induced by some matrix $A(b)$ in $\text{GL}_2(C)$. This matrix is not uniquely determined, but the function $b \mapsto C_f(b) = \text{tr}(A(b))^2 / \det(A(b))$ is well-defined because it is invariant under scalar multiplication and under conjugacy. If $U \subset B$ is a small disk, then on $\pi^{-1}(U)$ the fibration $(X, \pi)$ is biholomorphically equivalent to $(U \times \mathbb{P}^1(C), \pi_1)$, where $\pi_1$ denotes the first projection. Using coordinates $(x, [y_0 : y_1])$ on $U \times \mathbb{P}^1(C)$, one can write $f(x, [y_0 : y_1]) = (x, [A(x)(y_0, y_1)])$ where now $x \mapsto A(x) \in \text{GL}_2(C)$ is holomorphic. So, the function $C_f$ is holomorphic. Since $B$ is compact, $C_f$ is constant.

If the constant $C_b$ is not equal to 4, the automorphisms $f_b$ are pairwise conjugate: to a rotation of $\mathbb{P}^1(C)$ if $C_f \in [0, 4[$, to a loxodromic homography otherwise. If $C_b = 4$, two cases may occur: $f_b$ can be parabolic, conjugate to $[y_0 : y_1] \mapsto [y_0 + y_1 : y_1]$, or it can be the identity. □

Keeping the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 5.11, there are three cases to be distinguished, depending on the value of $C_f$.

Step 1.– Rotations. Let us assume that $C_f = (2\cos(2\pi \theta_0))^2$ for some $\theta_0 \neq 0 \mod (1)$. Then $f$ is locally conjugate to $(x, [y_0 : y_1]) \mapsto (x, [\exp(2i\pi \theta_0)y_0 : y_1])$ on $U \times \mathbb{P}^1(C)$. In particular, the iterates of $f$ form an equicontinuous family on each open subset $\pi^{-1}(U)$, with $U$ as above. From Section 5.2.2, we know that $f$ preserves a Kähler form.

Step 2.– North south dynamics. We assume now that $C_f \notin [0, 4]$. Then $f$ is locally conjugate to $(x, [y_0 : y_1]) \mapsto (x, [\lambda y_0 : y_1])$ is not constant, so that $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \geq 1$ for some $\lambda$ of modulus $\neq 1$. In that case, one gets $h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 1$ (and $h_{\text{pol}}(f; V) = 0$ if $V$ is the Zariski open subset obtained by taking of the two sections given by the fixed points of $f$).

Step 3.– Parabolic homographies. The last case is $C_f = 4$. If $f$ is not the identity, then we define $U_B$ to be the open subset of $B$ obtained by removing the points for which $f_b = \text{Id}_{X_b}$. Lemma 5.8 shows that $h_{\text{pol}}(f; \pi^{-1}(U_B)) = 1$. □

The following argument is not fully necessary to prove Theorem 5.1, but it illustrates what may happen when we do a blow-up.
Proof of Lemma 5.10 in the general case. We do not assume that $\pi$ is a submersion anymore; we keep the notation used in the case of a submersion. What may happen is that $\pi$ has a finite number of reducible fibers, made of trees of rational curves. Changing $f$ to a positive iterate, we assume that $f$ preserves each irreducible component of each fiber. Contracting some of those irreducible components, we obtain a birational morphism $\eta : X \to X_0$ and a submersion $\pi_0 : X_0 \to B$ such that (i) $\pi = \pi_0 \circ \eta$ and (ii) $f$ induces an automorphism $f_0$ of $X_0$ with $\eta \circ f = f_0 \circ \eta$. There are three cases, according to the value of $C_{f_0}$. First, assume that $f_0$ acts by rotations on the fibers of $\pi_0$. If $z \in B$ is a critical value of $\pi$ and $U$ is a small disk around $z$, then on $\pi_0^{-1}(U)$, $f_0$ is conjugate to

\[ (x, [y_0 : y_1]) \mapsto (x, [\lambda y_0 : y_1]), \quad (5.5) \]

with $|\lambda| = 1$. To recover $f$, we have to blow-up some fixed points of $f_0$ in the fiber $\pi_0^{-1}(z)$. Doing so, we see that, above $U$, the iterates of $f$ also form an equicontinuous family. This implies that $f^Z$ form an equicontinuous family on $X$, and then that $f$ preserves a Kähler form (see Section 5.2.2). The second case is when $C_{f_0} \notin [0, 4]$, then $f_0$ is also locally conjugate to the map given by Equation 5.5, but with $|\lambda| \neq 1$. At its two fixed points on $\pi_0^{-1}(z)$, the differential $Df_0$ is a diagonal map of type $(x, y) \mapsto (x, \alpha y)$ with $\alpha = \lambda^{\pm 1}$. If we blow-up such a fixed point, we create a wandering saddle configuration, with a fixed point at which the linear part is $(x, y) \mapsto (x/\alpha, \alpha y)$. Any further blow-up conserves at least one such wandering saddle configuration. So, $h_{pol}(f) = 2$.

The remaining case is when each $(f_0)_b$ is either parabolic or the identity. In that case, one can just shrink $U_B$ by taking away the critical values of $\pi$; one gets $h_{pol}(f; \pi^{-1}(U_B)) = 1$. \[ \square \]

5.3.2. Assume that $f_B$ has infinite order. Then, because $g(B) \geq 1$ ($X$ is not rational), we know that $B$ is an elliptic curve and $f$ is a translation on $B$. All orbits of $f_B$ are infinite, and in particular $\pi$ is a submersion. In that case, $f$ is the flow, at time $t = 1$, of some holomorphic vector field on $X$ that is transverse to the fibration $\pi$; this vector field determines a Riccati foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$ that is transverse to $\pi$. Let $A$ denote the Zariski closure of $f^Z$ in the group $\text{Aut}(X)^0$; then $A$ sits in an extension $1 \to K_A \to A \to \text{Aut}(B)^0 \to 0$, where $\text{Aut}(B)^0$ can be identified to $B$ (acting on itself by translation) and $K_A$ is the subgroup of $A$ preserving each fiber of $\pi$. If $\dim(A) = 1$, then $K_A$ is finite, $A$ is a compact group, $f$ preserves a Kähler form and $h_{pol}(f) = 0$. 
Now, assume \( \dim(A) \geq 2 \), so that the connected component \( K_A^0 \) of \( K_A \) has dimension \( \geq 1 \). Consider an element \( g \) of \( K_A^0 \setminus \{Id\} \), and apply Lemma 5.11 to it. If \( C_g = 4 \), then \( A_g(b) \) is conjugate to a parabolic homography \( [y_0 : y_1] \mapsto [y_0 + a_g(b)y_1 : y_1] \) for every \( b \); since \( g \) commutes to \( f \), the set of fixed points of \( g \) is \( f \)-invariant, so it contains no fiber since otherwise \( g \) would fix a Zariski dense set of fibers; as a consequence, \( a_g(b) \) does not vanish, and the fixed point set of \( g \) coincides with a section \( \sigma : B \to X \) of \( \pi \). If \( C_g \) is not equal to 4, then the fixed point set of \( g \) intersects every fiber in 2 points. If the order of \( g \) is infinite, which we can assume, the derivative of \( g|_{X_b} \) at each of these fixed points are distinct (and inverse of each other), so that those two fixed points can be distinguished, one from the other, and define two sections of \( X \).

Now, we can apply the arguments of [36, Proposition 3.1] and of [41, Section 3] (namely the constructions on pages 251–253) to prove that \( X \) and \( f \) are given by one of the following three examples.

**Example 5.12.** Up to a finite base change, \( X \) is just the product \( B \times \mathbb{P}^1(C) \) and \( f(x,y) = (x + \tau, A(y)) \) for some translation \( \tau \) and some homography \( A \). Then \( h_{pol}(f) \in \{0, 1\} \) and \( h_{pol}(f) = 0 \) if and only if \( f \) preserves a Kähler metric (if and only if \( A \) is conjugate to a rotation).

**Example 5.13.** There are two sections \( \sigma_0 \) and \( \sigma_\infty \) of the fibration and, if one takes them of, the complement is isomorphic to the quotient of \( C^* \times C^* \) by the action of a cyclic subgroup, generated by the transformation \( \gamma(x,y) \mapsto (\lambda x, \mu y) \), with \( |\lambda| < 1 \) and \( B = C^*/\langle \lambda \rangle \), and some \( \mu \) in \( C^* \). The action of \( f \) on \( X \) lifts to a diagonal transformation \( F(x,y) = (\alpha x, \beta y) \) on \( C^* \times C^* \). Consider the real number \( \eta \) such that \( |\lambda|^{-\eta} = |\mu| \); the function \( H(x,y) = |x|^{-\eta}/|y| \) is \( \gamma \)-invariant: it determines a continuous function \( H_X \) on \( X \) with zeroes and poles along the two sections. Moreover, \( H \circ f = \tau H \) with \( \tau := |\alpha|/|\beta| \). If \( \tau = 1 \), then \( f \) is contained in a compact subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(X)^0 \), \( h_{pol}(f) = 0 \) and \( f \) preserves a Kähler metric. If \( \tau \neq 1 \), then every orbit of \( f \) visits the tube \( 1 \leq H_X \leq \tau \) exactly once, and \( h_{pol}(f) = 1 \) (because this tube is compact).

**Example 5.14.** There is a unique section, when one removes it the complement is isomorphic to the quotient of \( C^* \times C \) by \( \gamma : (x,y) \mapsto (\lambda x, y + 1) \) and \( f \) lifts to \( F(x,y) = (\alpha x, y + \beta) \). Here, \( f \) is contained in a compact subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(X)^0 \) if and only if \( \beta = \log |\alpha|/\log |\lambda| \); otherwise, \( h_{pol}(f) = 1 \), since one can argue as in the previous example, with the \( \gamma \)-invariant function \( H(x,y) = y - \log |x| \).

Thus, we obtain the following result.
Lemma 5.15. Let $\pi: X \to B$ be a ruled surface over an elliptic curve $B$. Let $f$ be an automorphism of $X$ such that $\pi \circ f = f_B \circ \pi$ for some automorphism of $B$ of infinite order. Either $f$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)\text{^0}$, or the $\alpha$ and $\omega$-limit set of every orbit are contained in sections of $\pi$, and in that case, $h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 1$.

5.3.3. Conclusion for irrational surfaces. Lemma 5.10 and 5.15 prove Theorem 5.1 when $X$ is a ruled, irrational surface. In the following paragraphs, we deal with rational surfaces.

5.4. Linear projective case. Before studying rational surfaces in full generality, we focus on automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 5.16. Let $g$ be an element of $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C}))$. Then $h_{\text{pol}}(g) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. More precisely, the following classification holds:

1. $h_{\text{pol}}(g) = 0$ if and only if $g$ is an isometry;
2. $h_{\text{pol}}(g) = 2$ if and only if $g$ has a wandering saddle configuration, if and only if $g$ is conjugate to
   \[
   \begin{pmatrix}
   \lambda & 0 & 0 \\
   0 & \mu & 0 \\
   0 & 0 & 1
   \end{pmatrix}
   \quad \text{or} \quad
   \begin{pmatrix}
   1 & 1 & 0 \\
   0 & 1 & 0 \\
   0 & 0 & 1
   \end{pmatrix},
   \tag{5.6}
   \]
   with $|\lambda| > 1 > |\mu|$ and $|\nu| \neq 1$.
3. $h_{\text{pol}}(g) = 1$ if and only if $g$ is conjugate
   \[
   \begin{pmatrix}
   1 & 0 & 0 \\
   0 & \alpha & 0 \\
   0 & 0 & \nu
   \end{pmatrix},
   \quad \text{or} \quad
   \begin{pmatrix}
   \beta & 1 & 0 \\
   0 & \beta & 0 \\
   0 & 0 & 1
   \end{pmatrix},
   \quad \text{or} \quad
   \begin{pmatrix}
   1 & 1 & 0 \\
   0 & 1 & 1 \\
   0 & 0 & 1
   \end{pmatrix},
   \tag{5.7}
   \]
   with $|\nu| \neq 1$ and $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 1$.

In proving this proposition, we also introduce two technics: the blow up of fixed points and the symbolic coding of Hauseux and Le Roux.

Proof. Fix a system of homogeneous coordinates $[x : y : z]$ of $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$.

The first step is to show that every linear projective transformation $g \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C}))$ is contained in one of the mentioned conjugacy classes. This is classical.

In case (1), $g$ preserves a Kähler metric and its polynomial entropy vanishes.

In case (2), $g$ has a wandering saddle configuration: in the diagonal case, one can take the fixed point $q = [0 : 0 : 1]$ and the stable and unstable varieties...
\{y = 0\} and \{x = 0\}; in the second case one can take \(q = [0 : 1 : 0]\) and the stable and unstable varieties \(\{z = 0\}\) and \(\{x = 0\}\) (assuming \(|\nu| < 1\).

Let us now look at case (3). First, assume that \(g\) is (conjugate to) a diagonal transformation with eigenvalues \(1, \alpha\) and \(\nu\) with \(|\alpha| = 1 < \nu\), i.e. \(g(x, y) = (\frac{1}{\nu}x, \frac{\nu}{\alpha}y)\) in affine coordinates \((x, y)\). Blow up the fixed point \([0 : 0 : 1]\) to get a new surface \(X\) on which \(g\) lifts to an automorphism \(g_X\): the surface \(X\) fibers on \(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})\): each fiber is the strict transform of a line through \([0 : 0 : 1]\), the action of \(g_X\) preserves this ruling, it acts by a rotation \(w \mapsto \alpha w\) on the base, and as a loxodromic isometry in the fibers. Let \(\Delta\) be a disk in the base of this fibration, centered at one of the fixed point (0 or \(\infty\)) of the rotation \(w \mapsto \alpha w\). Above \(\Delta\), the fibration is holomorphically equivalent to a product \(\Delta \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})\) on which \(g_X\) acts as \((w, [y_0 : y_1]) \mapsto (\alpha w, [\nu y_0 : y_1])\) with \(|\nu| \neq 1\); since we can cover the base by two such disks, one sees from Example 5.4 that \(h_{\text{pol}}(g_X) = 1\). Since \(g\) has a wandering point we obtain \(1 \leq h_{\text{pol}}(g) \leq h_{\text{pol}}(g_X) = 1\), hence \(h_{\text{pol}}(g) = 1\).

Assume that, after conjugacy, \(g\) is given by the second matrix of the list (5.7). Blow up the fixed point \([1 : 0 : 0]\), to get a new surface \(X\), together with a fibration \(X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})\) corresponding to the lines \(y = c^m z\) of \(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})\). Then \(g\) lifts to an automorphism \(g_X\) of \(X\) preserving the ruling, acting as \(w \mapsto \beta w\) on the base, and as \([y_0 : y_1] \mapsto [y_0 + y_1 : y_1]\) in the fibers. As in the previous case, we obtain \(h_{\text{pol}}(g) = 1\).

Assume now that, after conjugacy, \(g\) is given by the third matrix of the list (5.7). Then \(g\) has a unique fixed point \(q = [1 : 0 : 0]\) and all other points are wandering (their \(\alpha\) and \(\omega\) limit sets coincide with \(\{q\}\)). This setting has been studied by Hauseux and Le Roux in [19] and we can directly apply their result.

Let \(X_0\) be the complement of the fixed point \(q\).

Let \(\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_k\}\) be a finite family of non-empty subsets of \(X_0\). Let \(F_\infty\) be the complement of \(\cup_{F_i \in \mathcal{F}} F_i\). To each orbit \((g^n(x))\), one associates its possible codings, i.e. the sequences of indices \(i(n) \in \{1, \ldots, k, \infty\}\) such that \(g^n(x) \in F_{i(n)}\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\) (the coding is not unique since the \(F_i\) may overlap). Let \(\text{Cod}(N)\) be the number of codes of length \(N\) which are realized by orbits of \(g\); the polynomial degree growth of \(\text{Cod}(N)\) is denoted \(\text{Pol}_{\text{cod}}(f; \mathcal{F})\) (this is denoted by \(h_{\text{pol}}(f; \mathcal{F})\) in [19], § 2.2). Then, one can define the local polynomial entropy of \(g\) at a finite subset \(S \subset X_0\) as the limit of \(\text{Pol}_{\text{cod}}(f; U_n(S))\) where \(U_n(S)\) is any decreasing sequence of open subsets of \(X_0\) such that \(\cap U_n(S) = S\) (see [19]). This number is denoted by \(h_{\text{pol}}^{\text{loc}}(f; S)\). One says that subsets \(U_1, \ldots, U_L \subset X_0\) are mutually singular if for every \(M \geq 1\) there exists a point \(x \in X\) and times \(n_1, \ldots, n_L\) such that
(i) \( g^n(x) \in U_i \) for every \( i \)
(ii) \( |n_i - n_j| > M \) for every \( i \neq j \).

A finite set \( \{s_1, \ldots, s_n\} \subseteq X_0 \) is singular if all small enough neighborhoods \( U_1, \ldots, U_L \) of \( s_1, \ldots, s_L \) respectively are mutually singular. Any singleton is a singular set. Hauseux and Le Roux obtain the equality
\[
\hpol(f) = \sup \left\{ \hlocpol(f; S) \mid S \text{ is a singular set} \right\}.
\]

Now, coming back to the example of the Jordan block of size 3, one sees that every singular set of \( g \) is reduced to a singleton. Indeed, any singular set contains \( q \) because \( q \) is the unique \( \omega \)-limit point; and, for any point \( p \neq q \), the number \( N \) of iterations for which a neighbourhood \( U_1 \) of \( p \) reaches a fixed neighbourhood \( U_2 \) of \( q \) (with \( g^N(U_1) \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset \)), is finite. This shows that \( \hpol(g) = 1 \).

Remark 5.17. Note that Proposition 5.16, and its proof can be repeated word by word for \( \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \).

5.5. Rational surfaces. To prove Theorem 5.1 it remains to study rational surfaces which are not isomorphic to the projective plane. First, we study minimal, rational surfaces.

5.5.1. Minimal rational surfaces. Let \( X \) be a minimal rational surface, and assume that \( X \) is not the projective plane. When \( X \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \), we know from Example 5.4 that the conclusion of Theorem 5.1 is satisfied. Thus, we assume that \( X \) is not isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^1(C) \times \mathbb{P}^1(C) \) or \( \mathbb{P}^2(C) \). Then, there is a unique ruling \( \pi: X \to \mathbb{P}^1(C) \), invariant under the action of \( \text{Aut}(X) \), with a unique section \( C \subset X \), of negative self intersection \( C^2 = -d \) for some \( d \geq 1 \) (see [2], § V.4).

Denote by \( B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1(C) \) the base of the fibration, fix any homogeneous coordinate \( [x_0 : x_1] \) on \( B \), and set \( x = x_0/x_1 \), the corresponding affine coordinate. Above \( x \neq 0 \) and \( x \neq \infty \), the fibration \( \pi \) is equivalent to the trivial fibration \( \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1(C) \to \mathbb{C} \), with the section \( C \) corresponding to the point at infinity in \( \mathbb{P}^1(C) \), i.e. to \( y = \infty \) in the affine coordinate \( y = y_0/y_1 \) of \( \mathbb{P}^1(C) \). These two charts are glued together by the map \( (x, y) \mapsto (1/x, x^d y) \). Every automorphism \( f \) of \( X \) can be written in the first (resp. second) chart as
\[
f(x, [y_0 : y_1]) = (f_B(x), [ay_0 + q(x)y_1 : y_1])
\]
for some linear projective transformation \( f_B \in \text{Aut}(B) \), some complex number \( a \neq 0 \), and some polynomial function \( q \in \mathbb{C}[x] \) of degree at most \( d \); going to the
second chart replaces $\alpha$ by its inverse, and $q(x)$ by $x^d q(1/x)$. (More precisely, $q$ should be seen as a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ in $(x_0, x_1)$.)

**A.** First, we assume that $f_B(x) = \alpha x$ for some $\alpha \neq 0$ and some appropriate choice of coordinate on $B$.

**A1.** Assume $|\alpha| \neq 1$. The fiber $x = 0$ is $f$-invariant, and $f$ has one or two fixed points along this fiber. If $y \mapsto ay + q(0)$ is conjugate to a $y \mapsto y + 1$ or to $y \mapsto ay$ with $|a| \neq 1$, then $f$ has a wandering saddle configuration at one of these fixed points and $h_{pol}(f) = 2$ by Lemma 5.6. So, when $|\alpha| \neq 1$ we may assume $|a| = 1$; in that case $\alpha^m \neq a$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**A2.** Now, assume that $\alpha^m \neq a$ for all $m \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$, write $q(x) = \sum_k q_k x^k$ and consider the polynomial function

$$p(x) = \sum_k q_k (a - \alpha^k)^{-1} x^k. \quad (5.10)$$

Then, $h(x, y) = (x, y + p(x))$ defines an automorphism of $X$ (because the degree of $p$ is $\leq d$) that conjugates $f$ to the automorphism $g(x, y) = (\alpha x, ay)$. We obtain $h_{pol}(f; U) = 1$ if $|\alpha| \neq 1$ and $|a| = 1$. If $|\alpha| = |a| = 1$, then we see that the iterates $(g^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are contained in the compact group of transformations $(x, y) \mapsto (ax, vy)$ with $|a| = |v| = 1$. So, $f$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}^0(X)$ and preserves a Kähler metric.

**A3.** The last case is when $|\alpha| = 1$ and $\alpha^m = a$ for some $m \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$. If we set $p(x) = \sum_{k \neq m} q_k (a - \alpha^k)^{-1} x^k$, we conjugate $f$ to $g_1(x, y) = (\alpha x, \alpha^m y + q_m x^m)$. Then, if we carry out a second conjugacy, over the open set $V := \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{P}^1(C)$, by the map $h'(x, y) = (x, (q_m x^m)^{-1} y)$ we see that $f$ is conjugate to $g_2(x, y) = (\alpha x, y + 1)$ on $V$, with $|\alpha| = 1$. This proves that $h_{pol}(f; V) = 1$.

**B.** Now, assume that $f_B(x) = x + 1$ in appropriate coordinates. We start by a lemma, which concerns the surface $X$ and its automorphism given by $(x, y) \mapsto (x + 1, ay)$ in the chart $U := \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

**Lemma 5.18.** Let $X$ be, as above, a Hirzebruch surface of index $d$. Let $a$ be a complex number of modulus $1$, and let $f$ be the automorphism of $X$ defined by $f(x, y) = (x + 1, ay)$ in $U = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $h_{pol}(f; U) = 0$.

**Proof.** If $d = 0$, $X$ is $\mathbb{P}^1(C)^2$, and $h_{pol}(f) = 0$ because the polynomial entropies of the linear projective transformations $x \mapsto x + 1$ and $y \mapsto ay$ are equal to $0$ on $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{P}^1(C)$ respectively (but $h_{pol}(f; X) = 1$). There is a subtlety in the case of Hirzebruch surfaces. Fix a point $x$ in $\mathbb{C}$, and consider the sequence of points $(x + m, y)$ of $X$; in the second chart, we obtain the sequence $((x + m)^{-1}, x + m)$...
m)^d y); so, if we start with two points \((x, y)\) and \((x, y')\) which are \(\varepsilon\)-close in the euclidean metric of \(\mathbb{C}^2\), then after \(m\) iterations of \(f\), their images may be \(\varepsilon\)-distinguished by looking at the second coordinate in the second chart.

To control the polynomial entropy, we start by an example that concerns the case \(d = 1\). Fix \(\varepsilon > 0\), and \(R = R(\varepsilon) \geq 2\) such that every point \(y\) of \(\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})\) with \(|y| > R\) (resp. \(< 1/R\)) is at distance \(< \varepsilon/2\) from \(\infty\) (resp. \(0\)). Every integer \(m\) between 0 and \(n\) can be written \(m = 2^k + b\) for some unique pair of non-negative integers \(0 \leq b < 2^k\) and \(0 \leq k \leq \log_2(n)\). For each \(k \leq \log_2(n)\), pick \(N\) points \(y_i\) such that the points \(2^k y_i\) are \((\varepsilon/2)\)-dense in the annulus \(1/R \leq |z| R\). For a fixed \(k\) we need \(N \leq 4\pi/R(\varepsilon)^2\) points, so that altogether we need at most \(C(\varepsilon) \log_2(n)\) points \(y_i\). Set \(x_0 = 0\). Then, the points \((x_0, y_i)\) satisfy the following property: given any point \((x, y)\) with \(|x - x_0| < \varepsilon\) there is a point \(y_i\) such that the points \(((x + m)^{-1}, (x + m)y)\) and \(((x_0 + m)^{-1}, (x_0 + m)y_i)\) are \((\varepsilon)\)-close for every \(0 \leq m \leq n\) in the metric of \(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})\), and therefore also in the metric of \(X\). Thus, the \(n\)-orbit of \((x, y)\) by \(f\) is \(\varepsilon\)-close to the \(n\)-orbit of \((0, y_i)\) in the Bowen metric.

A similar result holds if we start with any point \(x_j\) in place of the origin \(x_0 = 0\) or if we change \(d = 1\) into any \(d \geq 2\).

Now, pick any compact subset \(K\) of the open set \(U = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1\), and fix \(\varepsilon > 0\) and \(n \geq 2\). The projection of \(K\) on \(\mathbb{C}\) is contained in a compact disk \(|x| \leq r\), for some \(r > 1\); let \(\{x_j : 1 \leq j \leq J(r, \varepsilon)\}\) be a finite \(\varepsilon\)-dense set of points in that disk, with respect to the euclidean metric of \(\mathbb{C}\) (we can take \(J(r, \varepsilon) \leq 2\pi/r(\varepsilon)^2\)). For each \(j\), fix a subset \(y_{j,i}\) of at most \(C(\varepsilon) \log(n)\) points as above. Altogether, we have \(J(\varepsilon, r)C(\varepsilon) \log(n)\) points \((x_j, y_{j,i})\) and every point \((x, y)\) of \(K\) is at distance \(\leq 2\varepsilon\) form one of these points in the Bowen metric \(d_h\). So, \(h_{pol}(f; U) = 0\).

Let us now come back to the automorphism \(f(x, y) = (x + 1, ay + q(x))\) of \(X\). Consider the difference equation \(ap(x) - p(x + 1) = q(x)\); if \(a \neq 1\), one sees by recursion on \(d\) (starting with the highest degree terms) that there is a unique solution \(p \in \mathbb{C}[x]\), of degree \(\deg(p) = \deg(q) \leq d\). Then, the automorphism \(h(x, y) = (x, y + p(x))\) of \(X\) conjugates \(f\) to \(g(x, y) = (x + 1, ay)\).

If \(a = 1\), the difference equation becomes \(p(x) - p(x + 1) = q(x)\). One can find \(p\) of degree \(d\) such that \(p(x + 1) - p(x) = q(x) - q_d x^d\), so that \(f\) is conjugate by an automorphism of \(X\) to the transformation \(g(x, y) = (x + 1, y + q_d x^d)\). If \(q_d \neq 0\), the unique fixed point of \(g\) is the point \((\infty, \infty)\), and the dynamics in the complement of this fixed point is wandering: as in the proof of Proposition 5.16, the technics of Hauseux and Le Roux give \(h_{pol}(g) = 1\). If \(q_d = 0\),
then \( g(x, y) = (x + 1, ay) \) with \( a = 1 \). Thus, from the previous lemma we deduce that, in all cases, \( h_{pol}(f) = 1 \) or \( h_{pol}(f; U) = 0 \) (these two possibilities are not exclusive).

5.5.2. *Non minimal rational surfaces.* Now, assume that \( X \) is not a minimal rational surface, and consider an element \( f \) of \( \text{Aut}(X)^0 \). Fix a birational morphism \( \eta: X \to X_0 \) onto one of the minimal rational models of \( X \). Since \( \text{Aut}(X)^0 \) is connected, the lemma of Blanchard implies that there is an automorphism \( f_0 \) of \( X_0 \) such that \( f_0 \circ \eta = \eta \circ f \). Since \( X_0 \) is a minimal rational surface, it is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \), or one of the Hirzebruch surfaces studied in Section 5.5.1. So, going from \((X, f)\) to \((X_0, f_0)\), and applying Section 5.5.1 or Proposition 5.16 or Example 5.4 we get a proof of Theorem 5.1 for all rational surfaces. With Section 5.3.3, this concludes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

6. **AUTOMORPHISMS WITH DENSE ORBITS**

In this section, we classify automorphisms of surfaces satisfying one of the following properties

- all orbits of \( f \) are infinite, i.e. \( f: X \to X \) has no periodic orbit;
- all orbits of \( f \) are Zariski dense;
- all orbits of \( f \) are dense for the euclidean topology.

Those properties are listed from the weakest to the strongest: euclidean density implies Zariski density, which in turn excludes the existence of periodic orbit. The last property is exactly the notion of minimality with respect to the euclidean topology. Our goal is to describe precisely, in dimension 2, how the reverse implications fail. The results and proofs of this section are extremely close to [42] (a reference we discovered after writing this paper), the only difference being that we focus on the euclidean topology.

6.1. **The Lefschetz formula and the Albanese morphism.**

**Lemma 6.1.** *If \( f \) is an automorphism of a compact Kähler surface without periodic orbits, its action on the cohomology of \( X \) is virtually unipotent.*

**Proof.** If there is an eigenvalue \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) of \( f^* \) with \( |\lambda| > 1 \), we know from Section 5.1.2 that \( f \) has periodic orbits (see [8] for a simple proof based on Lefschetz fixed point formula). We deduce that all eigenvalues of \( f^* \) have modulus \( \leq 1 \). Since \( f^* \) preserves the integral cohomology \( H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}) \), its eigenvalues are algebraic integers, and Kronecker lemma shows that they are roots of unity. We deduce that some positive iterate \((f^n)^* \) is unipotent. \( \square \)
Now, choose \( n > 0 \) such that \( (f^n)^* \) is unipotent; since \( f^n \) has no fixed point, the holomorphic Lefschetz formula gives \( h^{2,0}(X) - h^{1,0} + 1 = 0 \) (see [8]):

**Lemma 6.2.** If there is an automorphism of \( X \) without periodic orbit, then \( X \) satisfies \( h^{1,0}(X) = h^{2,0}(X) + 1 \). In particular, there are non-trivial holomorphic 1-forms on the surface \( X \), and \( X \) is not a rational surface.

Since \( h^{1,0}(X) \) is positive, the Albanese map determines a non-trivial morphism \( \alpha_X : X \to A_X \), where \( A_X = H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)^*/H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \) is the Albanese torus. This map is equivariant with respect \( f \) and the automorphism \( f_{alb} : A_X \to A_X \) induced by \( f \): this means that \( f_{alb} \circ \alpha_X = \alpha_X \circ f \).

### 6.2. Invariant genus 1 pencil.

Suppose that \( f^* \) is unipotent and not equal to the identity. Then \( f \) is parabolic and preserves a unique genus 1 fibration \( \pi : X \to B \) onto some Riemann surface \( B \): there is an automorphism \( f_B \) of \( B \) such that \( f_B \circ \pi = \pi \circ f \) (see Section 5.1.2). Moreover, either \( f_B \) is periodic, or the surface \( X \) is a torus (see the proof of Proposition 5.3). In particular, if all orbits of \( f \) are Zariski dense, then \( X \) must be a torus.

Assume that \( f_B \) is periodic, and replace \( f \) by \( f^n \) where \( n \) is the order of \( f \) on the base. Given \( b \in B \), \( f \) preserves the fiber \( X_b = \pi^{-1}(b) \). If \( X_b \) is not a smooth curve of genus 1, then there is a periodic orbit of \( f \) in \( X_b \). Thus, if all orbits of \( f \) are infinite, then all fibers of \( \pi : X \to B \) are smooth curves of genus 1 (some of them may a priori be multiple fibers).

**Lemma 6.3.** Let \( X \) be a compact Kähler surface, and let \( f \) be an automorphism of \( X \) with no finite orbit. Assume that \( f^* \) is unipotent and not equal to the identity. Then \( \text{kod}(X) \geq 0 \), \( f \) preserves a unique genus 1 fibration, this fibration has no singular fiber (but may a priori have multiple fibers). Moreover, \( X \) is a minimal surface.

**Proof.** We already proved that \( f \) preserves a genus 1 fibration with no singular fiber. If \( X \) were a ruled surface, then this ruling would be given by the Albanese map, \( f \) would preserve two distinct fibration, and this would contradict the fact that \( f \) is parabolic. So, \( \text{kod}(X) \geq 0 \). Let us prove the last assertion.

Let \( \pi : X \to X_0 \) be the birational morphism onto the minimal model \( X_0 \) of \( X \). The exceptional divisor of \( \pi \) coincides with the vanishing locus of all holomorphic 2-forms of \( X \). This implies that \( f \) preserves this divisor, and some iterate \( f^n \) fixes each of its irreducible components. Those components being rational curves, \( f^n \) has a fixed point on each of them, contradicting the absence of periodic orbits. Thus, \( X \) coincides with \( X_0 \). \( \square \)
6.3. **Tori and bi-elliptic surfaces.** We consider two special cases, namely

1. the minimal model of $X$ is a torus;
2. the minimal model of $X$ is a bi-elliptic surface.

If $X$ is a bi-elliptic surface, it is the quotient of an abelian surface $A = B \times C$, where $B$ and $C$ are two elliptic curves, by a finite group $G$ acting diagonally on $A$: the action on $B$ is by translation $x \mapsto x + \varepsilon$, and the action on $C$ is of the form $y \mapsto \omega y + \eta$, (6.1)

where $\omega$ is a root of 1 of order 2, 4, 3 or 6. The automorphism $f$ of $X$ lifts to an automorphism $\tilde{f}$ of the universal cover $\mathbb{C}^2$; here $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, with co-ordinates $(x,y)$, and the elliptic curves $B$ and $C$ are the quotients of the $x$-axis and the $y$-axis by lattices $\Lambda_B$ and $\Lambda_C$. Write $\tilde{f}(x,y) = L(x,y) + (a,b)$ for some linear transformation $L \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Since $\tilde{f}$ covers $f$, the linear map normalizes the linear part $(x,y) \mapsto (x,\omega y)$ of $G$. Thus, $L$ is a diagonal matrix. But from Lemma 6.1, it is also virtually unipotent. We deduce that $L^n = \text{Id}$ for some $n > 0$. Changing $f$ in $f^k$ for some $k > 0$, we may assume that $f$ is covered by a translation that commutes to the linear part of $G$. Thus, *some positive iterate $f^m$ of $f$ is covered by a translation of type* $(x,y) \mapsto (x + a,y)$. This proves the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.4.** Let $f$ be an automorphism of a complex projective surface $X$ with no finite orbit. If the minimal model of $X$ is bi-elliptic, then $X$ coincides with its minimal model, and a positive iterate of $f$ is covered by a translation $(x,y) \mapsto (x+ a, y)$ of a product $B \times C$ of two elliptic curves. In particular, the Zariski closure of each orbit is a curve of genus 1.

Let us now study the case of tori.

**Example 6.5.** Let $f$ be a translation on a 2-dimensional compact torus $X = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$, and let $M$ be the closure of the orbit of the neutral element $(0,0) \in X$. Then $M$ is a real Lie subgroup of $X$; its connected component of the identity is a real torus $M^0 \subset X$. The orbit $\{f^n(x); n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of any point $x \in X$ is dense in $x + M$. Thus, on every compact torus, there are examples of translations whose orbits are Zariski dense but not dense for the euclidean topology.

**Example 6.6** (Furstenberg [15]). Consider a 2-dimensional torus $X$ which is the product of two copies of the same elliptic curve $E$; write $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ and $X = \mathbb{C}^2/(\Lambda \times \Lambda)$ for some lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then, consider the automorphism

$$f(x,y) = (x + a, y + x + b)$$ (6.2)
for some pair of elements \((a, b) \in E \times E\). Assume that \(a\) is totally irrational with respect to \(C\), i.e. \(x \mapsto x + a\) has dense orbits in \(E\) for the euclidean topology. Then, all orbits of \(f\) are dense for the euclidean topology.

**Lemma 6.7.** There are examples of automorphisms of complex abelian surfaces such that

- \(f\) is elliptic, all orbits of \(f\) are Zariski dense, but no orbit is dense for the euclidean topology;
- \(f\) is elliptic and all orbits of \(f\) are dense for the euclidean topology;
- \(f\) is parabolic, and all orbits of \(f\) are dense for the euclidean topology.

If \(f\) is an automorphism of an abelian surface with no finite orbit, then the following are equivalent: (i) one orbit of \(f\) is dense for the Zariski topology (resp. for the euclidean topology); (ii) every orbit of \(f\) is dense for the Zariski topology (resp. for the euclidean topology).

**Proof.** Thanks to the previous examples, we only have to prove the second assertion. So, assume that there is an orbit of \(f\) that is dense for the euclidean topology; we want to prove that all orbits are dense. If some positive iterate of \(f\) is a translation, this is easy. If not, \(f\) is parabolic; up to isogony, we may assume that \(X = E \times E\), with

\[
f(x, y) = (x + a, y + kx)
\]

for some \(k \geq 1\). Then, \(x \mapsto x + a\) has dense orbits. If there is one orbit which is not dense, then there is a non-trivial minimal invariant subset \(M \subset E \times E\). But this is impossible by Furstenberg’s results.

Now, assume that there is an orbit of \(f\) that is dense for the Zariski topology, and that \(f\) is parabolic. Again, \(X = E \times E\), and we can assume that \(f\) is as in Equation (6.3), with \(x \mapsto x + a\) a translation of infinite order. If the orbit of \((x, y)\) is not Zariski dense, then its Zariski closure is an \(f\)-invariant curve \(C \subset E \times E\), on which \(f\) induces an automorphism of infinite order. Thus, \(C\) is a curve of genus 1, embedded in an \(f\)-invariant way into \(E \times E\). But then, the translates of \(C\) form an \(f\)-invariant pencil, and since \(f\) is parabolic, this pencil must coincide with the unique \(f\)-invariant fibration \((x, y) \mapsto x\). We get a contradiction because \(a\) is not a torsion point of \(E\). \(\square\)

### 6.4. Ruled surfaces (first step)

Let us now assume that the Kodaira dimension of \(X\) is \(-\infty\). The Albanese map provides a fibration \(\alpha_X : X \to A_X\), where \(A_X = C/\Lambda\) is a curve of genus 1. The automorphism \(f\) induces an automorphism \(f_{alb}\) of \(A_X\). If \(f_{alb}^m(x) = x\) for some \(m > 0\), the fiber \(\alpha_X^{-1}(x)\) is a curve
of genus 0 (it may be singular), and $f^m$ must fix a point in this fiber. Thus, the absence of finite orbit for $f$ implies that all orbits of $f_{alb}$ are infinite, and $f_{alb}$ is a translation of $A_X$ with Zariski dense orbits. As a consequence, $\alpha_X$ is a submersion and $X$ is a fiber bundle over $A_X$ with rational fibers. The action of $f^* \in H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$ can not be parabolic, because in that case $f$ preserves a unique fibration and this fibration is by curves of genus 1. Thus, some positive iterate $f^m$ of $f$ is an element of $\text{Aut}(X)^0$: there is a holomorphic vector field $\theta$ on $X$ and $f^m$ is the flow of $\theta$ at time $t = 1$. This flow must permute the fibers of $\alpha_X$ and it is transverse to the fibration, exactly as in Section 5.3.2.

**Lemma 6.8.** Let $f$ be an automorphism of a complex projective surface without finite orbits. If $\text{Kod}(X)$ is negative the albanese map $\alpha_X : X \to A_X$ is a submersion onto an elliptic curve whose fibers are rational curves. Some positive iterate $f^m$ of $f$ is the flow, at time 1, of a vector field which is everywhere transverse to the fibration.

**Corollary 6.9.** Under the same assumption on $f$ and $\text{Kod}(X)$, at least one orbit of $f^m$ is contained, and Zariski dense, in an elliptic curve.

**Proof.** Consider the monodromy of the foliation induced by this vector field: it gives a representation of $\pi_1(A_X; x)$ in $\text{Aut}(\alpha_X^{-1}(x))$, i.e. in the group $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Since $\pi(A_X; x) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, the monodromy group has a fixed point $p$. The orbit of $p$ under the flow of $\theta$ is a section of the fibration and is invariant under the action of $f^m$. Thus at least one orbit of $f^m$ is contained, and Zariski dense, in an elliptic curve. \hfill $\square$

6.5. **Zariski dense orbits.**

**Theorem 6.10.** Let $f$ be an automorphism of a compact Kähler surface $X$. If all orbits of $f$ are Zariski dense, then $X$ is a torus. On every torus there are translations whose orbits are dense for the euclidean and Zariski topologies.

**Question 6.1.** Let $f$ be an automorphism of a complex projective manifold $X$ of dimension 3 (or more) acting minimally on $X$. Is $X$ automatically a torus?

**Proof.** If $\text{Kod}(X) = -\infty$, Corollary 6.9 shows that $f$ has an orbit which is contained in a finite union of curves of genus 1, contradicting our hypothesis. Thus $\text{Kod}(X) \geq 0$: some positive multiple $mK_X$ of the canonical bundle has non-trivial sections. Fix such a multiple, and consider the action of $f$ on the space of sections $H^0(X; mK_X)$. The existence of an eigenvector provides a section $\omega$ of $mK_X$ such that $f^* \omega = \xi \omega$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The vanishing locus of
is either empty, or an $f$-invariant curve. Since all orbits of $f$ are Zariski
dense, $\omega$ does not vanish, $mK_X$ is the trivial bundle, and $\kod(X) = 0$. Now,
since $\kod(X) = 0$ and $h^{1,0}(X) > 0$ (see Lemma 6.2), the minimal model of $X$
is a torus or a bi-elliptic surface: we conclude with Lemma 6.4. □

6.6. Ruled surfaces (second step). Let us come back to the study of ruled
surfaces $\alpha_X : X \to A_X$ with an automorphism $f$ whose orbits are all infinite.
According to Section 6.4, we can assume that $f \in \Aut(X)^0$ and $f$ is the flow of
a vector field $\theta$ that is transverse to the fibration $\alpha_X$.

To simplify the notation, denote by $G$ the group $\Aut(X)^0$. By the universal
property of the Albanese morphism, there is an $\alpha_X$-equivariant action of
$G$ on $A_X$; and this action factors through the Abanese torus, via a homomorphism
$A_G \to A_X$. Since the flow $\Phi_t \theta$ provides a non-trivial flow on
$A_X$, we deduce that $G$ acts transitively on $A_X$. If $\dim(G) \geq 2$ the dimension of the kernel $H$
of the homomorphism $G \to A_X$ is positive, $H$ has positive dimensional orbits in the
fibers of $\alpha_X$, and $X$ is almost homogeneous: the group $G$ has an open orbit (for
the Zariski topology). We shall study this case below.

If $\dim(G) = 1$, we have seen in Section 5.3.2 that $G$ is an elliptic curve,
isogeneous to $A_X$, and that no orbit of $f$ is Zariski dense (each orbit is contained
in a $G$-orbit, hence in some elliptic curve).

To complete our study, we now rely on Section 3 of [41] (namely the con-
structions on pages 251–253); see also Section 5.3.2 above. Since $X$ is a ruled,
almost homogeneous surface, $X$ is a topologically trivial $\mathbb{P}^1_C$-bundle over the
elliptic curve $A_X$, and there are two types of such bundles:

(a) $X$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{P}^1_C$ by the automorphism $(z, [x : y]) \mapsto (\lambda z, [\mu x : y])$ for some pair $(\lambda, \mu)$ of complex numbers with $\lambda \mu \neq 0$ and $|\lambda| < 1$.

(b) $X$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{P}^1_C$ by the automorphism $(z, [x : y]) \mapsto (\lambda z, [x + y : y])$ for some complex number $\lambda$ with $|\lambda| < 1$.

- Case (a) corresponds in fact to two subcases. If $\mu$ is equal to 1, then $X$
is just the product $A_X \times \mathbb{P}^1_C$, and then every automorphism is of the form
$f : (z, [x : y]) \mapsto (u(z), v[x : y])$ where $u$ is an automorphism of $A_X$ and $v$
is an element of $\PGL_2(C)$. Thus, one gets: No orbit of $f$ is dense for the euclidean
topology; the general orbit of $f$ is Zariski dense if and only if $u$ and $v$ are two
automorphisms of infinite order. If $\mu$ is a root of unity, the same result holds.

Then, assume that $\mu$ is not a root of unity. Using affine coordinates $x = [x : 1]$ for
$\mathbb{P}^1_C$, one sees that every automorphism of $X$ comes from an automorphism of
$C^* \times C^*$ of type $(z,x) \mapsto (\alpha z, \beta x)$ or $(\alpha z^{-1}, \beta x)$ for some pair of complex numbers $(\alpha, \beta)$ with $\alpha \beta \neq 0$. Changing $f$ in $f^2$ we assume that $f(z,x) = (\alpha z, \beta x)$. Then, the general orbit of $f$ is Zariski dense; indeed, the action of $f$ on $A_X$ has infinite order because otherwise $f$ has a periodic orbit, if the Zariski closure of a general orbit is not $X$, then it is a multi-section of the fibration $\alpha_X$, but there are only two such multi-sections. A point $(z_0, x_0)$ has a dense orbit for the euclidean topology if and only if for every point $(z_1, x_1)$ and every $\epsilon > 0$, there are integers $m$ and $n$ such that $(\alpha^m z_0, \beta^n x_0)$ is $\epsilon$-close to $(\lambda^m z_1, \mu^n x_1)$ in $C^* \times C^*$. Taking logarithms, this means that the vectors $(\ln(\alpha), \ln(\beta))$, $(\log(\lambda), \log(\mu))$, $(2i\pi, 0)$, and $(0, 2i\pi)$ generate a dense subgroup of $C \times C$, which of course is impossible since the rank of this group is at most 4. This argument shows that in case (a), there is no automorphism with a dense orbit for the euclidean topology.

- In case (b), every automorphism of $X$ can be written $(z,x) \mapsto (\alpha z, x + \beta)$ and, again, the general orbit of $f$ is Zariski dense, but no orbit is dense for the euclidean topology.

6.7. Conclusion.

**Theorem 6.11.** Let $f$ be an automorphism of a compact Kähler surface $X$, all of whose orbits are infinite. Replacing $f$ by some positive iterate, there are only three possibilities:

1.– $\text{kod}(X) = 1$, $X$ is a fibration over a curve $B$ with smooth fibers of genus 1 (some of them can be multiple fibers), and every orbit of $f$ is Zariski dense in such a fiber.

2.– $\text{kod}(X) = 0$, and $X$ is a torus or a bi-elliptic surface. If $X$ is bi-elliptic, then the Zariski closure of every orbit is a curve of genus 1. If $X$ is a torus, an orbit is dense for the Zariski (resp. for the euclidean) topology if and only if all orbits are dense for this topology.

3.– $\text{kod}(X) = -\infty$, then $X$ is a ruled surface over an elliptic curve, at least one orbit is contained, and dense, in an elliptic curve (a section of the ruling), but no orbit is dense for the euclidean topology. If the general orbit of $f$ is not Zariski dense, then

(i) $X$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $C^* \times \mathbb{P}^1_C$ by

$$(z, [x:y]) \mapsto (\lambda z, [\xi x:y])$$

with $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ and $\xi$ a root of unity,
(ii) some positive iterate of \( f \) is of the form \((z, [x:y]) \mapsto (\alpha z, [\beta x:y])\) with \( \beta \) a root of unity,

(iii) the general orbits are dense along multi-sections of the fibration \( \alpha X \).

**Proof.** All we have to do, is put together the previous results of this section together with Enriques-Kodaira classification of surfaces. First, if the Kodaira dimension of a projective variety is maximal, its group of automorphisms is finite. Thus, \( \text{kod}(X) \leq 1 \). Assume that \( \text{kod}(X) = 1 \). The action of \( f \) on the base of the Kodaira-Iitaka fibration is periodic (see [44]). Since the orbits of \( f \) are infinite, every fiber of this fibration is a smooth curve of genus 1, and every orbit is dense in such a fiber. When \( \text{kod}(X) = 0 \), we know that \( X \) must be a minimal surface, and that \( h^{1,0}(X) \geq 1 \). Thus, \( X \) is a torus or a bi-elliptic surface. Then, we refer to Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 6.7. When \( \text{kod}(X) = -\infty \), we know from Section 6.4 that \( X \) is a ruled surface over an elliptic curve, and the conclusion follows from Section 6.6. \(\square\)

**Part III.– Small entropy and degree growth**

7. Small entropy: general facts

In this section we gather a few remarks and examples concerning homeomorphisms of compact spaces with small polynomial entropy. This section illustrates behaviors that may happen in the real analytic setting but do not occur for automorphisms. For example, Theorem 7.5 provides an example of a diffeomorphism of a 2-torus that has slow derivative growth and is not equicontinuous. This behavior never occurs for the automorphisms of smooth complex projective varieties by Theorem 8.1.

7.1. Recurrence properties.

**Lemma 7.1.** Let \( f \) be a homeomorphism of a compact metric space \( X \).

1. If \( h_{\text{pol}}(f) < 1 \), then for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( k > 0 \) such that for every \( x \in X \) there is a time \( j \leq k \) with \( \text{dist}(x, f^j(x)) \leq \varepsilon \).

2. If the polynomial entropy of \( f \) is \( < 1 \), all points of \( X \) are recurrent, none of them is wandering.

3. If the polynomial entropy of \( f \) is \( < 1/2 \), then \( \limsup \text{dist}(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \geq \text{dist}(x,y)/2 \) for every pair of points \((x,y)\) in \( X \times X \).

**Proof.** The first part, due to [1, Prop. 2.1], is obtained as follows. Suppose there is \( \varepsilon > 0 \), such that for all \( k > 0 \) one can find a point \( y \) with \( \text{dist}(y, f^j(y)) > \)
Fix \( \varepsilon \) for all \( 1 \leq j \leq k \). Set \( x_j = f^j(y) \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq k \). Then, for the dynamics of \( f^{-1} \), the points \( x_j \) are \((\varepsilon,k)\)-separated, because if \( j < j' \) the distance between \( f^{−1}(x_j) = y \) and \( f^{−1}(x_{j'}) = x_{j'−j} \) is greater than \( \varepsilon \). Thus, \( h_{pol}(f) \geq 1 \).

The second assertion is a consequence of the first.

The third follows from the second one, applied to \( f \times f \). \( \square \)

**Remark 7.2.** A point \( x \in X \) is uniformly recurrent for a homeomorphism \( f : X \to X \) of a compact metric space if for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( N(\varepsilon) \) such that for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) among any successive iterates \( f^{n+k}(x), k = 0, \ldots, N−1 \), there exists at least one such that \( d(x, f^{n+k}(x)) < \varepsilon \). Lemma 7.1 does not say that all points are uniformly recurrent.

### 7.2. Growth of derivatives.

**Lemma 7.3.** Let \( f \) be a homeomorphism of a compact manifold \( X \). Denote by \( \text{Lip}_f(n) \) the maximum of the Lipschitz constants of \( \text{Id}, f, \ldots, f^{n−1} \) (\( \text{Lip}_f(n) \) is infinite if \( f \) is not lipschitz). Then, \( X \) is covered by \( O_\varepsilon(\text{Lip}_f(n)^\dim(X)) \) balls of radius \( \leq \varepsilon \) for the iterated metric \( \text{dist}_n \). If \( \text{Lip}(n) \leq n^\alpha \) for some \( \alpha > 0 \), then \( h_{pol}(f) \leq \alpha \dim(X) \); if \( \text{Lip}(n) = o(n^\alpha) \) for all \( \alpha > 0 \), then \( h_{pol}(f) = 0 \).

**Proof.** If \( x \) and \( y \) satisfy \( \text{dist}(x,y) \leq \frac{1}{2}e\text{Lip}_f(n)^{-1} \), then the distance between \( f^k(x) \) and \( f^k(y) \) is less than \( \varepsilon \) for every natural integer \( k \leq n − 1 \). And one can cover \( X \) by roughly \( (2e)^{-1}\text{Lip}_f(n)^{\dim(X)} \) balls of radius \( \frac{1}{2}e\text{Lip}_f(n)^{-1} \). \( \square \)

**Theorem 7.4.** Let \( f \) be a diffeomorphism of class \( C^2 \) of a closed manifold \( M \). Assume that the growth of the derivative of \( f^n \) is exponential: there is \( \eta > 0 \) such that \( \|Df^n\| \geq \exp(\eta n) \) as \( n \) goes to \( +\infty \). Then, \( h_{pol}(f) \geq 1/2 \).

For example, a diffeomorphism of the sphere with a north-south dynamics has polynomial entropy equal to 1. It would be good to replace the inequality \( h_{pol}(f) \geq 1/2 \) by \( h_{pol}(f) \geq 1 \) in this theorem.

**Sketch of the Proof.** There exists an \( f \)-invariant ergodic probability measure \( \mu \) on \( M \) with a positive Lyapunov exponent (See [5, § 7.2] for instance). Pesin’s theory implies that a \( \mu \)-generic point \( x \) has a non-trivial unstable manifold. Let \( x \) and \( y \) be points of such an unstable manifold. Then the distance between \( f^n(x) \) and \( f^n(y) \) goes to 0 as \( n \) goes to \( -\infty \); by Lemma 7.1, this shows that \( h_{pol}(f) \geq 1/2 \). \( \square \)

### 7.3. Skew products.

The following theorem answers a question of Artigue, Carrasco-Olivera, and Monteverde (see Problem 1 in [1]). Let \( \mathbb{T} \) denote the circle \( \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \), so that \( \mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d \) is the torus of dimension \( d \).
Theorem 7.5. There exists a real analytic and area preserving diffeomorphism $f$ of the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$ satisfying the following four properties

1. $f$ is minimal;
2. its iterates $f^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, do not form an equicontinuous family;
3. for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the norm of the derivative satisfies $\|Df^n\| = o(n^\varepsilon)$;
4. the polynomial entropy of $f$ vanishes.

Remark 7.6. Such examples exist on all tori $\mathbb{T}^k$, $k \geq 2$, but a homeomorphism $f$ of the circle with $h_{pol}(f) = 0$ is conjugate to a rotation (see [29]).

Remark 7.7 (see [1]). Let $\sigma$ be the shift on $\Lambda^\mathbb{Z}$ for some finite alphabet $\Lambda$. The polynomial entropy of a subshift $\sigma_K: K \rightarrow K$ is $\geq 1$ for every $\sigma$-invariant infinite compact subset $K \subset \Lambda^\mathbb{Z}$. More generally, every expansive homeomorphism of an infinite compact metric space has polynomial entropy $\geq 1$.

Remark 7.8. Fix a function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\varphi$ is increasing, $\varphi$ is unbounded, $\varphi$ does not vanish, and $\varphi(x) = o(x)$ as $x$ goes to $+\infty$. By a result of Borichev (see [4], and also [40]), there is an analytic diffeomorphism $f$ of $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ that preserves the Lebesgue measure and satisfies

$$\text{Lip}_f(n) \leq \varphi(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\text{Lip}_f(n)}{\varphi(n)} > 0. \tag{7.1}$$

One can construct such an $f$ as a skew product $f(x,y) = (x + \alpha, y + g(x))$ for some well chosen periodic function $g$ and angle $\alpha$. The proof of Theorem 7.5 follows a similar strategy (and is simpler).

7.3.1. Skew product. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be an irrational number, and let $g: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that $\int_0^1 g(x)dx = 0$. Consider the homeomorphism $f: \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ defined by

$$f(x,y) = (x + \alpha, y + g(x)). \tag{7.2}$$

The $n$-th iterate of $f$ is $f^n(x,y) = (x + n\alpha, y + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(x + j\alpha))$; if $g$ is smooth, $f$ is a diffeomorphism, and the differential of $f^n$ is

$$Df^n_{(x,y)} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g'(x + j\alpha) & 1 \end{array} \right). \tag{7.3}$$

7.3.2. Minimality and equicontinuity.

Proposition 7.9 (Furstenberg). The homeomorphism $f$ is not minimal if and only if it is conjugate to $(x,y) \mapsto (x + \alpha, y)$ by a homeomorphism $(x,y) \mapsto (x,y + h(x))$ with $h: \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that solves the equation $h(x + \alpha) - h(x) = g(x)$. 


This follows from [15]. Indeed, Furstenberg proves that a proper minimal subset of the torus is the graph of such a homeomorphism \( h \).

**Proposition 7.10.** If \( (f^k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) is an equicontinuous family, then

1. \( |\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(x + j\alpha)| \leq B \) for some \( B > 0 \) and all \( n \geq 0 \);
2. \( g \) is a coboundary: there is a continuous function \( h: \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( h(x + \alpha) - h(x) = g(x) \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \);
3. \( f \) is conjugate to \( (x,y) \mapsto (x, y + h(x)) \);
4. \( f \) is not minimal.

This is well known to specialists, but we sketch the proof for completeness. The family \( f^\mathbb{Z} \) is equicontinuous; thus for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) one can find \( \eta > 0 \) such that \( \text{dist}(f(x,y), f(x',y')) \leq \eta \) as soon as \( \text{dist}((x,y), (x',y')) \leq \varepsilon \), where \( \text{dist} \) is the euclidean distance on \( \mathbb{T}^2 \). Taking \( \varepsilon \) small, and covering \( \mathbb{T} \times \{0\} \) by \( \eta^{-1} \) segments of length \( \leq \eta \), one sees that the image of \( I \times \{0\} \) by any iterate \( f^m \) of \( f \) is a curve of length at most \( \varepsilon / \eta \). Now, take \( B > \varepsilon / \eta \). Assume that there exists \( n \) and \( x \) with \( |\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(x + j\alpha)| > B \). Since the mean of \( g \) is 0, the Birkhoff sum \( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(x + j\alpha) \) vanish, and one can find an interval \( I = [a,b] \subset \mathbb{T} \) such that \( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(a + j\alpha) = 0 \), \( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(x + j\alpha) > 0 \) (or \( < 0 \)) on \( [a,b] \) and \( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(b + j\alpha) = B \) (or \( -B \)). This implies that the segment \( I \times \{0\} \) is mapped to a curve of length \( \geq B \) by \( f^n \), contradicting the choice of \( B \). This proves Assertion (1).

Because the sums \( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(x + j\alpha) \) are uniformly bounded, and \( x \mapsto x + \alpha \) is a minimal homeomorphism of \( \mathbb{T} \), the lemma of Gottschalk and Hedlund (see [23] page 100) shows that there exists a continuous function \( h: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfying \( h(x + \alpha) - h(x) = g(x) \). This proves the second assertion, and the other two follow from it.

7.3.3. *Estimate of the derivatives.* Now, we choose \( \alpha \) and \( g \) explicitly. We will write \( g \) as a Fourier series

\[
g(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{2\pi i k x}. \tag{7.4}
\]

Fix a real number \( r > 1 \). If \( a_k \leq r^{-k} \) then \( g \) is an analytic function on the circle \( \mathbb{T} \). To solve the equation \( h(x + \alpha) - h(x) = g(x) \), we also expand \( h \) as a Fourier series \( \sum_k b_k e^{2\pi i k x} \); then, the \( b_k \) must verify \( b_k = (e^{2\pi i k \alpha} - 1)^{-1} a_k \) for all \( k \neq 0 \). Choose

\[
\alpha = \sum_{i \geq 1} 10^{-qi} = 0.1000100000000001000... \tag{7.5}
\]
where \( q_1 = 1 \), and the gaps \( q_{n+1} - q_n \) between two consecutive 1s increase quickly; more precisely, we shall assume that

\[
q_{n+1} - q_n > (\log(r)/\log(10))10^{q_n}.
\] (7.6)

Then, \( 10^{q_n} \alpha \simeq 10^{-(q_{n+1} - q_n)} \mod 1 \). This done, we choose \( a_k = 0 \) for all indices except the one of the form \( k = 10^{q_n} \), in which case we choose \( a_k = 10^{-(q_{n+1} - q_n)} \). From Equation (7.6) we get \( |a_k| \leq r^{-k} \) for all \( k \), so that \( g \) is analytic; but the solutions of the cohomological equation satisfy \( b_k = 1 \) for \( k \neq 1 \), and we deduce that there is no \( L^2 \) solution \( h \) to the cohomological equation. This proves the first assertions of the following proposition.

**Proposition 7.11.** There is a pair \((\alpha, g)\) such that \( \alpha \) is a Liouville number, \( g \) is an analytic function, the cohomological equation \( h(x + \alpha) - h(x) = g(x) \) (\( \forall x \in \mathbb{T} \)) has no continuous solution (resp. no \( L^2 \) solution), and the diffeomorphism \( f \) satisfies \( \|Df^n\|_{L^2} = o(n^\varepsilon) \) for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \).

Now, we want to find such a pair \((\alpha, g)\) satisfying

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g'(x + j\alpha) = o(n^\varepsilon)
\] (7.7)

for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \). To study this property, we expand \( g \) in a Fourier series as in Equation (7.4). Fixing \( n \), we set

\[
D_n := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g'(x + j\alpha) = 2i\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( e^{2i\pi k \alpha} \right)^j k a_k e^{2i\pi k x}
\] (7.8)

and observe that

\[
\left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( e^{2i\pi k \alpha} \right)^j \right| \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( e^{2i\pi k \alpha} \right)^j = \frac{e^{2i\pi nk \alpha} - 1}{e^{2i\pi k \alpha} - 1}
\] (7.9)

for all \( n \geq 1 \). Once \( \varepsilon \) has been fixed, we set \( \tau = \varepsilon/4 \) and split the sum \( D_n \) in two parts:

\[
|D_n| \leq 2\pi \left| \sum_{|k| \leq n^\tau} \left( e^{2i\pi nk \alpha} - 1 \right) \frac{k a_k}{e^{2i\pi k \alpha} - 1} \right| + 2\pi \left| \sum_{|k| \geq n^\tau} n k a_k \right|.
\] (7.10)

Since \( g \) is analytic, its derivative is also analytic, and \( k a_k \leq C R^{-k} \) for some constants \( C, R > 1 \). We shall assume that

\[
\left| \frac{a_k}{e^{2i\pi k \alpha} - 1} \right| \leq 1,
\] (7.11)
an inequality which is satisfied in the above construction of the pair \((\alpha, g)\).

Altogether we get

\[
|D_n| \leq 2\pi \times (2n^2 \times 2n^\tau) + 2\pi \times 2 \times \sum_{k \geq n^\tau} nCR^{-k} \quad (7.12)
\]

\[
\leq 16\pi \times n^{2\tau} + 4\pi \times n \times C \frac{R}{R-1} R^{-n\tau} \quad (7.13)
\]

\[
\leq C' n^{2\tau} \quad (7.14)
\]

because \(nR^{-n\tau} \leq n^{2\tau}\) for \(n\) large enough. Thus, \(D_n \leq C' n^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}} = o(n^\epsilon)\), as required.

7.3.4. Conclusion. The proof of Theorem 7.5 is now a direct consequence of Propositions 7.9, 7.10 and 7.11, and Lemma 7.3.

Question 7.1. Consider the family of skew products defined in Equation (7.2): what is the value of \(h_{\text{pol}}(f)\), as a function of \(\alpha\) and of \(g\)?

8. Slow Growth Automorphisms

In this section, we study automorphisms such that \(\|Df^n\|\) grows slowly, or such that \(f^Z\) form an equicontinuous family on some large open subset of \(X\), i.e. \(f\) has a large Fatou component.

8.1. Grows of the derivative. The following result exhibits a growth gap phenomenon for the norm of the derivative of the iterates of any automorphism; from Remark 7.8, we know that such a gap does not exist for real analytic diffeomorphisms of surfaces.

Theorem 8.1. Let \(f\) be an automorphism of a smooth complex projective variety \(X\) of dimension \(d\). If \(\|Df^n\| = o(n)\) then \(f\) is an isometry of \(X\) for some Kähler metric, and in particular the sequence \(\|Df^n\|\) is bounded.

Lemma 8.2. Let \(f\) be an automorphism of a compact Kähler manifold \(X\). Assume that the linear transformation \(f^* : H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})\) satisfies \(\|(f^*)^n\| = o(n^2)\). Then some positive iterate \(f^m\) of \(f\) is in \(\text{Aut}(X)^0\).

Proof. Let us show that \((f^*)^n\) is bounded on \(H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})\). By contradiction, writing \(f^*\) in Jordan normal form, the only possibility would be that \((f^*)^n\) grows like \(n\): all Jordan blocks of \(f^*\) on \(H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})\) have size at most 2, with at least one of size 2. Pick a vector \(v\) in the interior of the Kähler cone. Then \(\frac{1}{n}(f^*)^n(v)\) converges to a non-zero vector \(w\) of the boundary of the Kähler cone as \(n\) goes to \(+\infty\), and at the same time \(\frac{1}{n}(f^*)^{-n}(v)\) converges to the opposite
Proposition 1.3.9 in [35] shows that the sequence of distances from an element of finite order, the same argument applies.

$\kappa_{F}$ be the complex dimension of $\Aut G$ is an irreducible algebraic subgroup of the algebraic group $\Aut(X)^0$. Thus, by the theorem of Lieberman ([32], Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 3.12), some positive iterate of $f$ is contained in $\Aut(X)^0$.

In view of Lemma 8.2, Theorem 8.1 is now a direct consequence of the following proposition.

**Proposition 8.3.** Let $X$ be a complex projective manifold and $f$ be an element of $\Aut(X)^0$. If $\|DF^n\| = o(n)$ then $f$ is in a compact subgroup of $\Aut(X)^0$.

We thank Junyi Xie for the second step of the following proof, which is much simpler than our initial strategy.

*Proof.* Let $\alpha: X \to A_X$ be the Albanese map of $X$. There is a homomorphism $\rho: \Aut(X)^0 \to \Aut(A_X)^0$ such that $\alpha \circ g = \rho(g) \circ \alpha$ for every $g \in \Aut(X)^0$.

**Step 1.** Assume, first, that $\rho(f) = \Id$. Fix a very ample line bundle $L$ on $X$, and denote by $\psi_L: X \to \mathbb{P}^N(C)$ the embedding given by the space of global sections of $L$, with $N + 1 = \dim(H^0(X;L))$. Since $\rho(f) = \Id$, $f$ acts trivially on $\Pic^0(X)$ and $f^*L = L$. In particular, $f$ acts linearly on $H^0(X;L)$: this defines a linear projective transformation $F: \mathbb{P}^N(C) \to \mathbb{P}^N(C)$ such that $\psi_L \circ f = F \circ \psi_L$. The manifold $\psi_L(X)$ is not contained in a hyperplane; as a consequence, we can find a projective basis $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{N+2})$ of $\mathbb{P}^N(C)$ whose elements $x_i$ are in $\psi_L(X)$. Since $\max_{j \leq n} \|DF^n\| = o(n)$, we deduce that the distances $\text{dist}(F^n(x_i), F^n(x_j))$ are bounded from below by $\nu(n)/n$ for some sequence $\nu(n)$ that goes to $+\infty$ with $n$. This implies that $F$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $\Aut(\mathbb{P}^N(C)) \simeq \text{PGL}_{N+1}(C)$. Thus, $F$ preserves some Fubini-Study form $\kappa$ on $\mathbb{P}^N(C)$, and $f$ preserves $\kappa_X := \psi_L^*(\kappa_{\psi_L(X)})$: thus, $f$ is contained in the compact subgroup of $\Aut(X)^0$ preserving $\kappa_X$. If $\rho(f)$ is an element of finite order, the same argument applies.

**Step 2.** Let us now assume that $\rho(f)$ has infinite order. Consider the Zariski closure $G$ of $f^Z$ in $\Aut(X)^0$; changing $f$ in a positive iterate, we suppose that $G$ is an irreducible algebraic subgroup of the algebraic group $\Aut(X)^0$. Let $r$ be the complex dimension of $G$. As a real Lie group, $G$ is isomorphic to...
\[ \mathbb{R}^p / \mathbb{Z}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q \] for some pair of integers \((p, q)\) with \(p + q = 2r\). We endow \(G\) with the riemannian metric given by the quotient of an euclidean metric on \(\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q\) for which \(\mathbb{R}^p \perp \mathbb{R}^q\); if \(g\) is an element of \(G\), then the sequence \(g^n\) is bounded for the distance given by this metric if and only if \(g\) is contained in the compact subgroup \(\mathbb{R}^p / \mathbb{Z}^p \times \{0\}\). Consider the following subsets of \(G\):

- \(K = \{ g \in G : (g^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ is bounded in } G \} \); this is the maximal compact subgroup of \(G\);
- \(J = \{ g \in G : \parallel Dg^n || = o(n) \}, \) where \(\parallel Dg ||\) is the maximum of the norm of \(Dg_x : T_x X \rightarrow T_{g(x)}X\) for \(x\) in \(X\).

It suffices to prove that \(J = K\). While \(K\) is a subgroup, it is not clear that \(J\) is a subgroup of \(G\), but at least we have \(K \cdot J \subset J\) (and in particular \(K \subset J\)) because \(K\) is compact. From the first step, we deduce that \(J \cap \text{Ker}(\rho) = K \cap \text{Ker}(\rho)\).

So, we only need to prove that \(\rho|_K : K \rightarrow \rho(G)\) is onto.

Firstly, \(\rho(G)\) is a closed subgroup of \(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}_X)^0 \simeq \mathbb{A}_X\) (acting by translations on \(\mathbb{A}_X\)), so it is a compact complex torus, isomorphic to \(\mathbb{R}^{2g} / \mathbb{Z}^{2g}\) for some \(g \geq 1\). Secondly, if \(\rho|_K\) is not surjective, then \(\rho\) induces a surjective homomorphism \(\overline{\rho} : G/K \simeq \mathbb{R}^g \rightarrow \rho(G)/\rho(K)\) with \(\rho(G)/\rho(K)\) a real compact torus of dimension \(\geq 1\); but then the kernel of \(\overline{\rho}\) and of \(\rho\) would contain infinitely many connected components, in contradiction with the fact that \(\text{Ker}(\rho)\) is an algebraic subgroup of the algebraic group \(G\). So \(\rho(K) = \rho(G)\).

**Theorem 8.4.** Let \(f\) be an automorphism of a compact Kähler manifold \(X\). Assume that \(\parallel Df^n_x || \geq cn^0\) for all points \(x \in X\), some infinite sequence of integers \(n_i\) and some constant \(c > 0\). Then \(h_{\text{top}}(f) > 0\) and \(\parallel Df^n || \geq C\lambda^n\) for some constant \(C > 0\) and all \(n \geq 1\).

Note that the assumption concerns \(\parallel Df^n_x ||\) for every \(x\), while the conclusion is on the supremum \(\parallel Df^n ||\). In the real analytic category, Herman constructs a diffeomorphism \(f\) of a compact manifold \(M\), of dimension 14, such that \(\parallel Df^n_x || \geq \exp(\sqrt{n})\) for all \(n \geq 1\) and all \(x \in M\) but \(h_{\text{top}}(f) = 0\) because \(\parallel Df^n ||\) grows sub-exponentially. See [20], “On a problem of Katok”.

**Proof.** Fix a Kähler form \(\kappa\) on \(X\), and denote by \(d\) the dimension of \(X\). Then
\[ f_X^n(\kappa)^n \land \kappa^{d-1} \geq c_1n^{2p} \] for some constant \(c_1 > 0\). So, if \(2p > h^{1,1}(X) - 1\), we see that the linear transformation \(f^n\) is not virtually unipotent on \(H^{1,1}(X; \mathcal{C})\): its spectrum contains an eigenvalue \(\lambda \in \mathcal{C}\) of modulus \(> 1\); by Yomdin’s theorem, the topological entropy of \(f\) is \(> 0\); and \(\parallel Df^n ||\) grows at least like \(\sqrt{\lambda^n} \). \(\square\)

8.2. A question.
Question 8.1. Let $f$ be an automorphism of a compact Kähler manifold. If $h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 0$ (resp. $h_{\text{pol}}(f) < 1$), does it follow that $f$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$?

We already gave a positive answer to this question when $\dim(X) \leq 2$ and when $X$ is a torus; the following proposition treats the case $f \in \text{Aut}(X)^0$.

**Proposition 8.5.** Let $X$ be a complex projective manifold. If $f$ is an element of $\text{Aut}(X)^0$ and $h_{\text{pol}}(f) < 1$, then $f$ is in a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)^0$.

**Proof.** Denote by $G$ the Zariski closure of $f^\mathbb{Z}$ in $\text{Aut}(X)^0$; changing $f$ in a positive iterate, we assume that $G$ is irreducible. For each $x \in X$, let $S_x \subset G$ be the stabilizer of $x$ in $G$. Then $G$ and $S_x$ are complex commutative algebraic groups; the connected component $S^0_x$ has finite index in $S_x$. Below, we endow $G$ and $G/S_x$ with its (euclidean) topology of real Lie group.

**Step 1.** – Let $V(x)$ denote the Zariski closure of the orbit $G(x) \subset X$. The algebraic group $G/S_x$ embeds as an open subset of $V(x)$. We say that a sequence $(g_n)$ of elements of $G$ goes to infinity in $G/S_x$ if, given any compact subset $B$ of $G/S_x$ (for the euclidean topology), there is an integer $n_0$ such that $g_nS_x \notin B$ for $n \geq n_0$. If $(g_n)$ is such a sequence, then $g_n(x)$ goes to the boundary $V(x) \setminus G(x)$ of the orbit $G(x)$ when $n$ goes to infinity. Thus, if $(f^n)_{n \geq 0}$ goes to infinity in $G/S_x$, then $f^n(x)$ is a wandering orbit, and $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \geq 1$ by Lemma 7.1.

Now remark that the following properties are equivalent in $G/S_x$: $(f^n)_{n \geq 0}$ is unbounded, $(f^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is unbounded, and $(f^n)$ goes to $1$. We deduce that if $h_{\text{pol}}(f) = 0$, then the class of $f$ modulo $S_x$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $G/S_x$ for every $x \in X$.

**Step 2.** – Write $G = (\mathbb{R}^p/\mathbb{Z}^p) \times \mathbb{R}^q = \mathbb{R}^{p+q}/\mathbb{Z}^p$ as the quotient of the vector space $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$ by the lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}^p$, and then $f = (\bar{u}, v)$ as the projection of a vector $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$. A sequence $(g_n)$ goes to infinity in $G/S_x$ if and only if it goes to infinity in $G/S^0_x$, so we shall replace $S_x$ by $S^0_x$ in what follows. Then denote by $\Sigma_x^0$ the linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$ whose projection in $G$ coincides with $S^0_x$.

From Step 1, $(f^n)$ is bounded in each of the quotients $A/S_x^0$: for every $x \in X$, there is a point $w(x) \in \Sigma_x^0$ such that $(u, v) + w(x) \in \mathbb{R}^p$; this is equivalent to the inclusion $f \in (\mathbb{R}^p/\mathbb{Z}^p)$ modulo $S^0_x$. Now, consider an open subset $V$ of $X$ such that (1) $V$ is $C^\infty$-diffeomorphic to $U \times \mathbb{R}^p/\mathbb{Z}^p$ for some open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ with $k + p = 2\dim_C(X)$ and (2) the action of $\mathbb{R}^p/\mathbb{Z}^p$ on $V$ is conjugate to the action by translation on the second factor of $U \times \mathbb{R}^p/\mathbb{Z}^p$. Such an open set exists, with $U$ a small transversal of an orbit of $\mathbb{R}^p/\mathbb{Z}^p$ with minimal possible
Our assumption on $f$ implies that $V$ is $f$-invariant and the action of $f$ on $V$ is conjugate to

\[(u, z) \in U \times \mathbb{R}^p / \mathbb{Z}^p \mapsto (u, z + \varphi(u)) \in U \times \mathbb{R}^p / \mathbb{Z}^p\]  

(8.1)

for some smooth map $\varphi: U \to \mathbb{R}^p / \mathbb{Z}^p$. If $\varphi$ is not constant, then $h_{pol}(f) \geq 1$, and this contradicts our assumption. Thus, $\varphi$ is constant and, on $V$, $f$ coincides with an element $g$ of $\mathbb{R}^p / \mathbb{Z}^p$. Since the action of $f$ and $g$ are (real) analytic on $X$, we get $f = g$. Thus, $f$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$.

8.3. Large Fatou components. The assumption in Theorem 8.1 is global. In some situation, it is sufficient to study the iterates $f^n$ on some large open subset.

Let $X$ be a compact projective manifold of dimension $d$, with an automorphism $f$. Embed $X$ in some projective space $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Denote by $H$ a hyperplane section of $X$, and by $\kappa$ the Fubini-Study (form restricted to $X$); the cohomology class $[\kappa]$ is an element of the Kähler cone in $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 8.6. Let $U$ be an open set of $X$ such that

(i) $f^n$ form a normal family on $U$ (see Section 5.2.2);
(ii) $U$ contains a curve $C$ obtained by intersecting $d - 1$ hyperplane sections: $C = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-1}$.

Then, some positive iterate of $f$ is contained in $\text{Aut}(X)^0$.

Proof. Since $C$ is a compact subset of $U$, the first hypothesis implies that the area of the curves $f^n(C)$ stays uniformly bounded. Equivalently, the norm of the cohomology classes $(f^n)^*[\kappa^{d-1}]$ stays bounded. On the other hand, the function $f \mapsto \| f^*_{|H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{R})} \|$ and $f \mapsto \int_X (f^* \kappa) \wedge \kappa^{d-1}$ are comparable, because the Kähler cone is salient (with a relatively compact base) and its interior is non empty. So, we deduce that $\| (f^n)^*_{|H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{R})} \|$ is bounded; as a consequence, $f^*$ is contained in a compact subgroup $K$ of $\text{GL}(H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R}))$ and $f^*$ preserves the Kähler class $\int_K g^*[\kappa] d\mu_K(g)$, where $\mu_K$ is the Haar measure of $K$ (normalized by $\mu_K(K) = 1$). The conclusion follows from Lieberman’s theorems.

Consider the set $\text{Reg}(f) \subset X$ of regular points of $X$: $x \in \text{Reg}(f)$ if and only if one of the following equivalent properties is satisfied:

(a) there is a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ on which the iterates $f^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, form a normal family;
(b) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ such that $\text{dist}(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n$ and all $y \in U$. 

So, $f^Z$ is equicontinuous on $V$ if and only if $V$ is contained in $\text{Reg}(f)$. Let $\text{Irr}(f) \subset X$ be the complement of $\text{Reg}(f)$, i.e. the set of irregular points; so $\text{Irr}(f) \subset F$. Note that we use the notion of regular points to match the vocabulary of [21]; equivalently, $\text{Reg}(f)$ is the Fatou set of $f$ (using both forward and backward iterates to define the Fatou set).

**Corollary 8.7.** Let $X$ be a complex projective manifold. Let $f$ be an automorphism of $X$ such that $\text{Reg}(f)$ is connected and contains a curve $C$ and $\text{Irr}(f)$ does not contain any algebraic curve. Then $f$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$. 

**Proof.** We can split $\text{Reg}(f)$ into two subsets: $\text{Reg}^{\text{rec}}(f)$ is the subset of points $x \in \text{Reg}(f)$ such that $f^n(x)$ converges towards $x$ along a subsequence $n_i$ that goes to $\infty$; $\text{Reg}^{\text{wan}}(f)$ is the complement of $\text{Reg}^{\text{rec}}(f)$ in $\text{Reg}(f)$.

Apply Theorem 1 and 2 of [21] to $X$ and $f$, to get the following: either $\text{Reg}^{\text{rec}}(f)$ or $\text{Reg}^{\text{wan}}(f)$ is empty; if $\text{Reg}^{\text{rec}}(f)$ is empty then the limit set of $(f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is contained in $\text{Irr}(f)$ for all points in $\text{Reg}(X)$.

Now, if $\text{Reg}^{\text{rec}}(f)$ is empty, we see that the sequence of curves $f^n(C)$ has bounded area and converges towards a subset of $\text{Irr}(f)$; by Bishop theorem and the second assumption, we get a contradiction.

So, $\text{Reg}(f) = \text{Reg}^{\text{rec}}(f)$ and $f$ is in $\text{Aut}(X)^0$. As in the proof of Theorem 8.1, denote by $A$ the Zariski closure of $f^Z$ in $\text{Aut}(X)^0$; changing $f$ into an iterate, we may assume $A$ to be connected. For $x$ in $X$, let $S_x$ be the stabilizer of $x$ in $A$. Denote by $U$ be the open subset of $X$ on which the stabilizer is minimal. The $f$-orbit of every $x$ in $U \cap \text{Reg}(f)$ is recurrent, so $f$ is contained in a compact subgroup of $A/S_x$. Since this holds for a general point of $X$, we conclude as in the proof of Theorem 8.1 that $f$ is in a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)^0$. \[\square\]

**Corollary 8.8.** Let $X$ be a connected complex projective manifold with an automorphism $f$ for which $\text{Irr}(f)$ is finite and non empty. Then $X$ is $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$.

**Proof.** If $\dim(X) > 1$ there is a curve in $\text{Reg}(f)$ and Corollary 8.7 provides a contradiction. So, $\dim(X) = 1$ and $X$ is $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ because $\text{Irr}(f)$ is not empty. \[\square\]

9. APPENDIX: PROOF OF LEMMA 5.9

Changing $f$ into its inverse we may assume that $|a| > a_0$ for some real number $a_0 > 1$. Since every orbit is wandering, the polynomial entropy of $f$ is at least 1 (see Example 5.2). In the following we prove $h_{\text{pol}}(f) \leq 1$. 


Step 1.– Preliminary remarks. and $g(t) > t$ for every $t \notin \{0, 1\}$, then there is an increasing homeomorphism $\varphi$ of $I$ that conjugates $h$ to $g$. Indeed, one can fix any increasing homeomorphism $\varphi_0$ from $[1/2, h(1/2)]$ to $[1/2, g(1/2)]$ and define $\varphi$ to be equal to $g^m \circ \varphi_0 \circ h^{-m}$ on each of the intervals $h^m([1/2, h(1/2)]), m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Moreover, if $H$ and $G$ are two homeomorphisms of $I^2$ of type

$$H(s, t) = (s, h_s(t)), \quad G(s, t) = (s, g_s(t)) \quad (9.1)$$

where the homeomorphisms $h_s$ and $g_s$ satisfy $h_s(t) > t$ and $g_s(t) > t$ for all $s \in I$ and all $t \notin \{0, 1\}$, then the previous construction applied for every $s$ provides a homeomorphism $\Phi(s, t) = (s, \varphi_s(t))$ of $I^2$ that conjugates $H$ to $G$.

Now, consider the euclidian space with coordinates $(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the sphere defined by the equation

$$u^2 + v^2 + (w - 1/2)^2 = 1/4. \quad (9.2)$$

Its center is $(0, 0, 1/2)$ and its radius is $1/2$. The points of $S$ can be parametrized by their height $w \in [0, 1]$ and longitudinal angle $\theta \in [0, 1]$, with $(u, v) = (w - w^2)^{1/2}(\cos(2\pi \theta), \sin(2\pi \theta))$. By stereographic projection, the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ is homeomorphic to $S$, with $[0 : 1]$ corresponding to the south pole $(0, 0, 0)$ and $[1 : 0]$ corresponding to the north pole $(0, 0, 1)$.

Let $x = u + iv$ be a complex number of modulus $\rho$ and argument $2\pi \alpha(x)$. The preimage of the circle of radius $\rho$ centered at $(0, 0)$ in the plane {$w = 0$} (containing $x$) under stereographic projection is the horizontal circle of $S$ at height $w = \rho^2/((\rho^2 + 1)$. Then the homography $[y_0 : y_1] \mapsto [xy_0 : y_1]$ is conjugate to the Möbius transformation of $S$ given by $\theta \mapsto \theta + \alpha(x)$ and

$$w \mapsto h_\rho(w) = \frac{\rho^2 w}{(\rho^2 - 1)w + 1}. \quad (9.3)$$

If $\rho > 1$, then $h_\rho(w) > w$ for all $w \notin \{0, 1\}$.

Step 2.– A conjugacy. We first assume that $a$ is a holomorphic diffeomorphism onto its image. Then, one can use $a$ as a change of coordinate: doing so, we replace $\mathbb{D}$ by a compact disk $\bar{\Delta} \subset \mathbb{C}$ contained in the open set {$|x| > 1$}. We now assume that $a(x) = x$ for every $x \in \Delta$. Using the first step, we conjugate the map $f : \Delta \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \to \Delta \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$,

$$f(x, [y_0 : y_1]) = (x, [xy_0 : y_1]), \quad (9.4)$$

to the homeomorphism $G_\rho : \Delta \times S \to \Delta \times S$ given by $G_\rho(x, w, \theta) := (x, g(w), \theta + \alpha(x))$; here $g$ is any homeomorphism of $[0, 1]$ such that $g(w) > w$ if $w \notin \{0, 1\}$,
for instance one can take \( g = h_\rho \) with \( \rho = 2 \). In polar coordinates \( x = (\rho, \alpha) \), this map \( G_s \) becomes

\[
G_s(\rho, \alpha, w, \theta) = (\rho, \alpha, g(w), \theta + \alpha). \tag{9.5}
\]

Since \( x \) belongs to the compact disk \( \overline{D} \subset \{|x| > 1\} \), \( \rho \) and \( \alpha \) stay in compact intervals \( J_1 \) and \( J_2 \subset I \). Denote their lengths by \( \ell_1 \) and \( \ell_2 \) respectively.

**Step 3.**—*Polynomial entropy of \( G_s \).* Fix \( \varepsilon > 0 \), and let \( N = N(\varepsilon) \) be the smallest positive integer such that \( g^N(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) > 1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3 \). Then, there exists \( \eta = \eta(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) such that for all \( t, t' \in I \) with \( t - t' \in (0, \eta) \), we have

\[
\max_{0 \leq k \leq N} g^k(t) - g^k(t') \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3. \tag{9.6}
\]

Cover the interval \([\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3, 1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3]\) by \( M \) intervals of length \( < \eta \). The set of preimages of \( g^{-\ell} \) for all \( \ell \leq n \) of these intervals gives a cover of the interval \([g^{-n}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3), 1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3]\) into \( m_0 \) intervals with \( m_0 \leq M(n + 1) \). Then, choose a point \( w_k \) in each of these intervals, thus defining the set \( \{w_k\}_{k=1}^{m_0} \). Now choose a set \( \{r_j\}_{j=1}^{m_1} \) with \( r_j \in J_1 \) that \( \varepsilon \)-covers \( J_1 \), and a set \( \{\theta_l\}_{l=1}^{m_2} \) with \( \theta_l \in I \) that \( (\varepsilon/2) \)-covers \( I \). We may impose \( m_1 \leq \ell_1/\varepsilon + 1 \) and \( m_3 \leq 2/\varepsilon + 1 \).

Given any integer \( m \geq 4N/\varepsilon \), we now construct a set \( A(m) = \{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{m_2} \) with \( \alpha_j \in J_2 \), of size \( m_2 \leq (4\ell_2N)/\varepsilon + 1 \), that satisfies the following property: for every point \((\alpha, \theta)\), there is a point \((\alpha_j, \theta_l)\) such that for any \( p = 0, \ldots, N \) holds

\[
|\theta + (m + p)\alpha - (\theta_l + (m + p)\alpha_j)| \leq \varepsilon. \tag{9.7}
\]

Indeed, the left hand side of Equation (9.7) is bounded from above by

\[
|\theta + m\alpha - \theta_l| + |p(\alpha - \alpha_j) - m\alpha_j|. \tag{9.8}
\]

There exists \( l \) such that \( \theta_l \in I \) is \( \varepsilon/2 \)-close to \( \theta + m\alpha \in I \). Now we need to construct a point \( \alpha_j \in J_2 \) such that

\[
|p(\alpha - \alpha_j) - m\alpha_j| \leq \varepsilon/2. \tag{9.9}
\]

For this, we start with a set \( \{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{m_2} \subset J_2 \) that \( (\varepsilon/4N) \)-covers \( J_2 \). Then, we perturb this choice into \( \alpha_j = \alpha_j' + v_j \) with \( v_j = \frac{m\alpha_j'}{m} \); here \( v_j < \frac{\varepsilon}{4N} \) since \( m \geq 4N/\varepsilon \). Now the left part of the Equation (9.9) satisfies

\[
|p(\alpha - \alpha_j') - pv_j - (m\alpha_j') + (m\alpha_j') + mv_j)| \leq |p(\alpha - \alpha_j')| + |pv_j| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{9.10}
\]

Once this is done, define \( m(w) \) to be the smallest integer for which \( g^m(w) \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3 \). There is a finite set \( S_0 \) such that every point \((\rho, \alpha, w, \theta)\) with \( m(w) \leq 4N/\varepsilon \) is \( \varepsilon \)-close to an element of \( S_0 \) in the Bowen metric \( d^{G_s}_n \) for every \( n \geq \)
1. Now, by using the point sets we defined above, we define a final subset 
\( S(n; \varepsilon) \subset \Delta \times S \) as follows:

\[
S(n; \varepsilon) = S_0 \cup \{ (r_i, \alpha_j, w_k, \Theta_l) | m(w_k) > 4N/\varepsilon, \alpha_j \in A(m(w_k)) \}. \tag{9.11}
\]

This set is finite and its size is linear in \( n \) (since \( m_1, m_2 \) and \( m_3 \) do not depend on \( n \) and \( m_0 \) is linear in \( n \)). By construction, every point \( (\rho, \alpha, w, \Theta) \in \Delta \times S \) is at distance less than \( \varepsilon \) from \( S(n; \varepsilon) \) with respect to the Bowen metric \( d^n_{G_S} \).

Indeed, for any \( w \in I \), when \( g^k(w) < \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3 \) or \( g^k(w) > 1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3 \), the distances on the sphere \( S \) are bounded by \( \varepsilon \). Moreover, for any orbit, there are at most \( N \) iterates \( g^s \) such that \( \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3 < g^s(w) < 1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3 \) and these iterates correspond exactly to the values \( s = m(w) + p \) with \( p \leq N \). This shows that \( h_{pol}(G_S) = 1 \) and hence \( h_{pol}(f) = 1 \).

**Step 4.** Conclusion. Steps 2 and 3 show that the polynomial entropy of \( f \) is equal to 1 when \( a \) is a holomorphic diffeomorphism onto its image. If the derivative of \( a \) does not vanish, one covers \( \mathbb{D} \) by smaller disks to reduce the proof to this latter case. If the derivative of \( a \) vanishes, say at the origin, one can write \( a(x) = a(0) + x^d \) for some \( d \) after a local change of the complex coordinate \( x \). Then, the only change in the previous argument is that \( \alpha \) is everywhere multiplied by \( d \). This concludes the proof.

**Remark 9.1.** If we allow \( |a(x)| = 1 \) for some values of \( x \in \mathbb{D} \) the proof fails at the first and third steps. Indeed, if \( h_s = ld_{[0,1]} = g_s \) for some \( s \), then the conjugacy \( \Phi \) given by the \( \phi_s \) is not (at least not always) a homeomorphism of \( I^2 \). Moreover, in the definition of \( G_S \), one needs to take a family of homeomorphisms \( g_{\rho(x)} \) depending on \( \rho(x) \) such that \( g_{\rho(x)} = ld_{[0,1]} \) for some \( x \); when \( \rho(x) \) approaches 1, the time \( N(x) \) for which \( g_{\rho(x)}^N(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) > 1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3 \) goes to \( +\infty \), and the construction of the \( \alpha_j \) breaks down.
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