Dust-reddening and gravitational lensing of SDSS QSOs due to foreground damped Lyman-α systems
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ABSTRACT

We use Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 2 QSO spectra to constrain the dust-reddening caused by intervening damped Lyα systems (DLAs). Comparing the spectral index distribution of a 70 sight-line DLA sample with that of a large control sample reveals no evidence for dust-reddening at $z \sim 3$. Our limit on the shift in spectral index, $|\Delta \alpha | < 0.19 (3\sigma)$, corresponds to a limit on the colour excess due to SMC-like dust-reddening, $E(B-V) < 0.02$ mag ($3\sigma$). This is inconsistent with the early studies of Fall, Pei and collaborators who used the small QSO and DLA samples available at the time. Comparison of the DLA and control magnitude distributions also reveals $\gtrsim 2\sigma$ evidence for an excess of bright and/or a deficit of faint QSOs with foreground DLAs. Higher equivalent width DLAs give a stronger signal. We interpret this as the signature of gravitational magnification due to the intervening DLAs.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Dust, and its relationship with the gas phase, are key ingredients in any recipe for galaxy formation and evolution. Understanding the role of dust in the damped Lyman-α systems (DLAs) seen in QSO spectra is particularly important since DLAs are thought to comprise a significant fraction of the high redshift gas available for star-formation (e.g. Lanzetta et al. 1994). Information about dust in DLAs comes predominantly from the relative depletion of refractory (e.g. Fe) and non-refractory (e.g. Zn) elements onto dust grains (e.g. Pettini et al. 1997). This measures the amount of dust in DLAs but does little to constrain the dust’s composition or grain size.

DLA dust-reddening and extinction are potentially acute problems for flux-limited optical QSO surveys (e.g. Ostriker & Heisler 1984). Fall & Pei (1989) examined this observationally by comparing the spectral indices of QSO spectra with and without foreground DLAs. They later detected (Fall, Pei & McMahon 1989) and confirmed (Pei, Fall & Bechtold 1991, hereafter PFB91) a significant difference between the spectral index distributions for the DLA and control samples, concluding that up to 70 per cent of bright QSOs will be missed by flux-limited surveys (Fall & Pei 1993). A recent radio-selected QSO survey for DLAs (Ellison et al. 2001) finds that optical surveys underestimate the DLA number density per unit redshift by at most a factor of two.

The DLA and control samples of PFB91 are quite small, comprising 26 and 40 sight-lines respectively. The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Stoughton et al. 2002) includes a large, homogenous QSO sample with accurate spectrophotometric calibration and spectral resolution high enough ($R \approx 1800$) to reliably detect DLAs (e.g. Prochaska & Herbert-Fort 2004). The SDSS therefore provides a powerful probe of DLA dust-reddening.

Another intriguing result is the recent $\approx 4\sigma$ detection by Ménard & Péroux (2003) (hereafter MP03) of gravitational lensing (GL) caused by strong intervening Mg II absorbers in the 2dF QSO redshift survey. By comparing the magnitude distributions of the Mg II sample and a large control sample, they found an excess (deficit) of bright (faint) QSOs with absorbers and demonstrated that this was consistent with a GL interpretation. It is important to confirm and explore the GL produced by DLAs since, in principle, it provides a probe of the dark matter distribution in distant halos. Also, if the GL magnification of the DLA sample is large, DLA dust-reddened QSOs will be more detectable than those without, biasing any detection of dust-reddening.

In this paper, we select DLAs and, importantly, a large control sample of QSOs from the SDSS Data Release 2 (DR2; Abazajian et al. 2004) to constrain DLA dust-reddening (Section 2). We also tentatively confirm the GL effect and discuss the potential bias on reddening (Section 4). We present only the main observational results here, leaving most details to a later paper.

2 SELECTING DLAS FROM THE SDSS DR2

Spectra for all objects classified as QSOs in the SDSS DR2 with emission redshifts $z_{\text{em}} > 2.4$ were visually inspected and the small number ($< 1$ per cent) which were clearly not QSOs at the SDSS-
assigned emission redshift were rejected. We included those QSOs not listed as ‘primary’ targets in the SDSS, i.e. those which were not selected using the colour-space techniques of Richards et al. (2003). Other SDSS sources were selected from the first and ROSAT surveys or could have been initially identified as stars or galaxies before spectroscopic follow-up. Our sample is therefore largely, though not strictly, homogeneously selected. The results are robust against this small inhomogeneity (Sections 3.2 & 4.2).

We search for DLAs between the Lyα and Lyβ emission lines and, to avoid DLAs intrinsic to the QSOs and moderately broad absorption lines (BALs), we ignore the regions < 10000 km s⁻¹ below Lyα and above Lyβ. A continuum is formed by iteratively fitting a third-order polynomial to overlapping 20000-km s⁻¹ spectral chunks, rejecting pixels > 2σ below and > 5σ above the fit at each iteration until no more points are rejected (σ is the SDSS error array). The continuum chunks are combined by weighting each from zero at the edges to unity at the centre. Finally, the continuum is smoothed over 25 pixels (∼1700 km s⁻¹). This procedure yields reliable continua in most cases. However, 3 likely DLAs were not selected due to poor fits near the continuum edges.

Candidate DLAs are identified as absorption features with rest-frame equivalent width \( W_\lambda (\text{Ly}\alpha) \geq 10 \) Å over a rest-frame \( \Delta \lambda_r = 15 \) Å window. Visual inspection of each DLA candidate is used to reject cases where no clear DLA profile is observed. This was the case for ~50 per cent of candidates and was more prominent at \( z_{\text{em}} > 3 \) where the Lyα forest is thicker. Prochaska & Herbert-Fort (2004) advocate a DLA search strategy where no continuum is required and this may prove to be a more efficient future method. Nevertheless, our strategy will select the strongest DLAs which are arguably (see below) ideal for our study of dust-reddening and GL. Fig. 1 shows an example DLA.

We reject spectra where the median signal-to-noise per pixel – (continuum) is > (1σ error), for pixel \( i \) over the \( \Delta \lambda_r = 15 \) Å window – drops below a threshold value of \( S/N_{\text{min}} = 3 \) anywhere along the fitted continuum. Below this the algorithmic and visual assessment is unreliable. This is an important selection criteria for the GL study in Section 4 and we discuss it further there. We also reject QSOs with such severe BALs that DLA detection is unreliable, particularly those similar to LoBAL and FeLoBALs (e.g. Reichard et al. 2003). However, we kept QSOs with moderate BALs (e.g. Hi-BALs) since one can detect, and confidently not detect, DLAs in these cases. This is discussed further in Sections 3.2 & 4.2.

Fig. 2 shows the \( z_{\text{em}} \) and \( z_{\text{abs}} \) distributions for QSOs where a DLA is and is not detected. We find 72 DLAs along 70 distinct QSO sight-lines. Although the DLA and non-detection \( z_{\text{em}} \) distributions are similar for \( 2.4 < z_{\text{em}} < 4.0 \), there is a high-\( z_{\text{em}} \) tail where no DLAs are detected. This is primarily because our DLA search is insensitive at high-\( z_{\text{em}} \) where the Lyα forest is very thick. The total redshift path available for DLA detection is \( \Delta z \approx 572 \) so, using an incidence of DLAs per unit redshift of 0.25 for a mean \( z_{\text{abs}} = 2.8 \) (e.g. PHF04), we should have found \( \approx 143 \) DLAs. As expected, comparison with the DR1 DLA catalogue of Schneider et al. (2003) and whether it contains BALs.

Table 1. Catalogue of DR2 QSO and DLA properties. The J2000 name, emission redshift, Galactic extinction corrected i'-band PSF magnitude, spectral index and \( S/N_{\text{min}} \) are shown for each QSO and the absorption redshift and measured rest-frame Lyα equivalent width is given for each candidate DLA. Here we show only a small sample from the full table which is available in the electronic edition of this paper and from http://www.ast.cam.ac.uk/~mim/pub.html. In the electronic version we give full name designations, i'- and z'-band magnitudes, statistical uncertainties in \( \alpha \) and \( W_i \) and a series of flags indicating whether DLA candidates are considered genuine from visual inspection and whether the QSO is a ‘primary’ target, whether it is included in the DR1 catalogue of Schneider et al. (2003) and whether it contains BALs.

| SDSSJ  | \( z_{\text{em}} \) | \( i' \) | \( \alpha \) | \( S/N_{\text{min}} \) | \( z_{\text{abs}} \) | \( W_i \) |
|--------|------------------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|--------|
| 001240+135236 | 3.187 | 19.47 | −0.55 | 3.02 | − | − |
| 001255−091425 | 3.004 | 19.62 | −0.07 | 3.98 | − | − |
| 001328+135828 | 3.576 | 18.83 | −0.69 | 6.68 | 3.277 | 14.79 |
| 001502+001212 | 2.852 | 18.79 | −1.03 | 5.55 | − | − |

3 DLA DUST-REDDENING

3.1 Spectral index distributions

The spectral index, \( \alpha \), defined as \( J_f \propto \nu^\alpha \), was determined for each QSO by iteratively fitting a power-law to the flux (corrected for Galactic extinction) > 10000 km s⁻¹ red-wards of the Lyα emission line. Pixels > 4σ below or > 2σ above the fit were rejected at each iteration and iterations continued until no more points were rejected. Fig. 2 shows an example fit. This procedure effectively ignores intervening absorbers, intrinsic QSO emission/absorption
lines and the poorly sky-subtracted regions at $z \geq 7000$ A commonly seen in SDSS spectra. The statistical errors in $\alpha$ range from 0.01 to 0.35 with $\geq 80$ per cent of errors between 0.03 and 0.15. Abazajian et al. (2004) discussed the DR2 spectrophotometric accuracy. There is a 0.03 mag dispersion in the difference between the $r' - i'$ fibre colours and those derived by convolving the calibrated spectra with the filter transmission profiles. Thus, the error on the mean colour difference between a sample of 70 sight-lines and a large control sample is approximately 0.03/\sqrt{70} = 0.004 mag. Hence, uncertainty in the spectrophotometry induces a mean spectral index difference of just $|\Delta \alpha| \approx 0.01$ (Vanden Berk et al. 2003).

To form a representative control sample to which the DLA sample may be reliably compared, we drew 10000 bootstrap samples, each comprising 70 sight-lines, from the non-detection sample with the same redshift distribution as the DLA sample. In practice, this was achieved by dividing the DLA sample’s range in $\alpha$ into 12 bins and randomly selecting from the non-detection sample according to the relative populations in these 12 bins. There were 1160 non-detections over the $\alpha$ range of the DLA sample. Fig. 3 compares the $\alpha$ distributions of the DLA and combined bootstrap sample. Both are very similar to the ‘photometric spectral index’ distribution for SDSS QSOs derived by Richards et al. (2003). The error-bars represent the rms deviation in the number of non-detections in each bin over the 10000 bootstrap samples.

To assess any differential reddening between the DLA and control samples, the number of DLA sight-lines per bin is divided by the number in the combined control sample for that bin, normalized by the total sample sizes. The lower panel of Fig. 3 shows this fraction fitted by a straight line with slope $\gamma_{\alpha} = -0.25 \pm 0.34$ which varies by < 0.4 $\sigma$ with different binning. The expected dispersion in $\gamma_{\alpha}$ can be compared with the statistical error quoted here by treating each bootstrap sample in the same way as the DLA sample, deriving a slope $\gamma_{\alpha,\text{boot}}$ for each. The distribution of $\gamma_{\alpha,\text{boot}}$ has rms $\delta \gamma_{\alpha,\text{boot}} = 0.36$ centred on $\gamma_{\alpha,\text{boot}} = 0.0$ and is well-fitted by a Gaussian. That is, the significance of any reddening is $|\gamma_{\alpha}| / 0.36 \sigma = 0.69 \sigma$, which has a Gaussian probability of $P_{\text{G}} = 0.51$. This compares well with the probability that the DLA and combined control samples are drawn from the same parent distribution, $P_{\text{KS}} = 0.52$ and $P_{\text{MW}} = 0.49$, using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Mann-Whitney U-test respectively. All three of the above statistical tests are most sensitive to differential reddening in the bulk of the $\alpha$ distribution rather than at $\alpha \lesssim -1.3$ where the DLA and control samples contain fewer sight-lines.

Another method for detecting DLA dust reddening is to analyse the $r' - z'$ distributions with a similar technique to that above. Using the point spread function (PSF) magnitudes, we see no differential colour: $\Delta (r' - z') = 0.025 \pm 0.026$. Our results also seem inconsistent with the 2 $\sigma$ detection of dust reddening found from the colour distributions of 2dF QSOs with and without strong foreground Mg II absorbers (Outram et al. 2001). For 120 systems they find a mean colour excess $E(B - V) \approx 0.04$ in the observed frame. However, direct comparison with our results is difficult since the Mg II selection means the absorbers have lower redshift and may have significantly higher metallicities than our DLA sample.

The SDSS is a colour-selected QSO survey and so is biased against intrinsically very red and heavily dust-reddened spectra. Therefore, our results do not rule out a population of extremely dust-reddened QSOs (e.g., Greve et al. 2002). Applying a SMC extinction law with $E(B - V) = 0.1$ mag (i.e. $\Delta \alpha \approx -1.2$) to simulated QSO spectra, Richards et al. (2003) find the SDSS QSO survey completeness to be largely unchanged. That is, the $\alpha$ distributions in Fig. 3 are sensitive to $\Delta \alpha \approx -1.0$ without large biases due to colour-selection. Richards et al. also find that only 6 per cent of QSOs have spectra consistent with SMC-like dust-reddening with $E(B - V) > 0.04$ mag and they interpret the reddening to be internal to the QSO host-galaxy. This is consistent with our results.

3.2 Robustness, potential biases and selection effects

To test the robustness of the above null result to possible biases and/or selection effects, the data were subjected to the following tests, the numerical results of which are summarized in Table 2.

Test 1: $S/N_{\text{min}}$. The $S/N_{\text{min}}$ threshold is a free parameter in our DLA selection process. If dust-reddening is significant and some low-$S/N$ DLAs are missed, the DLA sample may be bi-
Table 2. Slopes and bootstrap rms (in parentheses) for different sub-samples and systematic error checks. \(N\) is the number of QSOs with foreground DLAs. Tests 1a, b & c use \(S/N_{\text{min}}\) thresholds of 2, 3 and 4 respectively. All other tests use our fiducial value, \(S/N_{\text{min}} = 3\). Tests 6a & b are for the low- and high-\(z\) samples and tests 7a & b are for the low- and high-\(W_r\) samples respectively. See text for descriptions of other tests.

| Test | \(N\) | \(\gamma_\alpha\)  | \(\gamma_r\)  | \(\gamma_i\)  | \(\gamma_\alpha\)  |
|------|------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1a   | 81   | \(-0.01(0.30)\) | \(-0.65(0.17)\) | \(-0.62(0.17)\) | \(-0.65(0.17)\) |
| 1b   | 70   | \(-0.25(0.36)\) | \(-0.48(0.20)\) | \(-0.44(0.20)\) | \(-0.51(0.19)\) |
| 1c   | 50   | \(-0.03(0.45)\) | \(-0.69(0.26)\) | \(-0.62(0.25)\) | \(-0.67(0.25)\) |
| 2    | 50   | 0.21(0.44)      | 0.04(0.24)      | 0.05(0.24)      | 0.00(0.23)      |
| 3    | 66   | \(-0.22(0.37)\) | \(-0.45(0.21)\) | \(-0.40(0.21)\) | \(-0.46(0.20)\) |
| 4    | 64   | \(-0.43(0.44)\) | \(-0.42(0.21)\) | \(-0.41(0.21)\) | \(-0.50(0.21)\) |
| 5    | 29   | 0.06(0.50)      | 0.51(0.31)      | 0.42(0.30)      | 0.43(0.29)      |
| 6a   | 37   | 0.34(0.50)      | 0.43(0.30)      | 0.34(0.28)      | 0.39(0.28)      |
| 6b   | 33   | \(-0.62(0.52)\) | \(-0.43(0.28)\) | \(-0.46(0.28)\) | \(-0.55(0.28)\) |
| 7a   | 41   | \(-0.48(0.49)\) | \(-0.31(0.26)\) | \(-0.28(0.25)\) | \(-0.36(0.25)\) |
| 7b   | 29   | 0.22(0.52)      | \(-0.76(0.31)\) | \(-0.72(0.31)\) | 0.37(0.31)      |

The SDSS spectra extend to 9200 Å so our fitting method will recover systematically redder spectral indices at \(z_{\text{em}} \lesssim 3.2\). Again, there should be no differential shift between DLA and control sample. Nevertheless, we split the samples at \(z_{\text{em}} = 3.2\) as a general consistency test. Table 2 shows the DLA sample to be somewhat bluer (redder) than the control sample for the low-\(z\) (high-\(z\)) portions. Though only marginally significant, we note this shift here and will test it with larger data-sets in future work.

Test 7: \(W_r\)-split. The rest equivalent width of the DLA line should be a measure of the \(\text{H}\) column density, \(N(\text{H})\). \(W_r(Ly\alpha)\) was determined over a rest-frame \(\Delta z_\alpha = 15\) Å window and so, for heavily damped systems, some absorption may be missed. Continuum errors and \(Ly\alpha\) forest blending also contribute significantly to errors in \(W_r(Ly\alpha)\). Nevertheless, if high-\(N(\text{H})\) DLAs cause more dust-reddening, splitting the sample into low- and high-\(W_r\) \((> 12.5\) Å) sub-samples may reveal this. Table 2 shows no significant difference between the \(\alpha\) distributions for these sub-samples.

To test the robustness of the result against the incompleteness at low-\(N(\text{H})\) mentioned in Section 2 we have also analysed the \(\alpha\) distributions for the DLA detections and non-detections found by PHFG. Just 35 out of the 64 detected DLA sight-lines pass our (more restrictive) selection criteria. The measured slope, \(\gamma_\alpha = -0.91 \pm 0.62\), corresponding to \(\Delta \alpha = -0.13 \pm 0.09\), may indicate some evidence for dust-reddening and, therefore, some evidence for high dust-to-gas ratios in low-\(N(\text{H})\) DLAs. This result is dominated by just two quite red \((\alpha \approx -1.5)\) DLA sight-lines: removing these two QSOs from the analysis gives \(\gamma_\alpha = -0.42 \pm 0.64\) \((\Delta \alpha = -0.06 \pm 0.09)\). Interestingly, these two DLAs have quite low \(N(\text{H})\) according to PHFG, log_{10} \(N(\text{H})\) \(\approx 20.4-20.5\). Thus, a potentially important caveat to the above non-detection of DLA dust-reddening is that, due to incompleteness of the DLA selection at low-\(N(\text{H})\), our method is somewhat insensitive to an anti-correlation between dust-to-gas ratio and \(N(\text{H})\) in DLAs.

4 DLA GRAVITATIONAL LENSING

4.1 Magnitude distributions

Following the analysis of MP03, Fig 5 compares the DLA and control PSF magnitude distributions, corrected for Galactic extinction. Fig 5 shows an excess of bright and/or a deficit of faint QSOs with DLAs relative to the control sample. The best-fitting slopes, \(\gamma_\alpha\), to the DLA/control ratio and the rms of \(\gamma_i\) for the bootstrap samples are given in Table 2 (test 1b) for each band \(j = r', i'\) and \(z'\). All slopes are significant at \(> 2\sigma\).

Is the effect in Fig 5 the signature expected from GL? MP03 note two main competing effects: (i) the flux density from the QSO is increased by the magnification factor \(\mu\), and (ii) the solid angle \(\Delta \alpha = \frac{\mu^2}{\gamma}\) in DLAs. Thus, 

\[
\gamma_\alpha \approx \frac{N(\text{H})}{\mu^2 N(\text{H})} \approx 20
\]

where \(N(\text{H})\) is the column density, \(\mu\) and \(\Delta \alpha = 20\) for quasar lensing. This is reduced accordingly for each DLA sight-line. Table 2 shows only a marginal increase in \(\gamma_\alpha\) for this test.

Test 3: Primary targets. All SDSS QSOs were included in our sample, rather than just those targeted by SDSS as QSOs based on their photometric colours. This represents a slight inhomogeneity in our sample selection. We have tested this by removing all 'secondary' QSO targets from the sample and repeating the analysis. The lack of DLA reddening is robust to this test (Table 2).

Test 4: BALs. Only the most severe BAL QSOs were removed from the sample since BALs could still be easily detected towards moderate BAL QSOs. However, it is possible (though improbable) that heavily saturated Fe II λ1122 BALs may mimic DLAs. It is also well known that BALs are somewhat redder than 'normal' QSOs (e.g. Reichard et al. 2003), though any different colour between DL and control samples should not be affected. To test these possibilities we removed those QSOs which, by visual inspection, have some BAL features, particularly near the C iv emission line. A similar proportion (\(\approx 10\) per cent) of such BALs was found in the DL sample and control samples. Once again, Table 2 shows robust results.

Test 5: DR1. Schneider et al. (2003) formed a homogeneous QSO catalogue from the SDSS DR1. Abazajian et al. (2003) used the 2dF data to this DR1 QSO sample using the 2dF spectra since Abazajian et al. (2004) note the spectrophotometry of the DR2 is much improved. Although the DLA sample is small, we find consistent results using the Schneider et al. selection (Table 2).

Test 6: \(z_{\text{em}}\)-split. The rest-frame composite SDSS QSO spectrum of Vanden Berk et al. (2001) shows an increased continuum level between 2200 Å and 4000 Å due to many Fe II emission lines.
appreciable change in Fig. 4. The results in Fig. 5 are therefore qualitatively consistent with a GL interpretation.

As illustrated in Fig. 3, a simple illustrative example demonstrates plausible quantitative agreement: consider a DLA at an impact parameter of 10 kpc from a lens with an isothermal matter distribution and velocity dispersion \( \sigma_v = 200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). For \( z_{\text{abs}} = 3.3 \), \( z_{\text{abs}} = 2.8 \), \( \Omega_m = 0.3 \), \( \Omega_L = 0.7 \) and \( H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \), the magnification factor is \( \mu \approx 1.06 \). Fig. 6 therefore implies expected gradients \( \gamma_j \approx -0.15 \) in Fig. 8. A more detailed comparison clearly requires precise knowledge of the DLA impact parameters and host-galaxy halo-masses.

4.2 Robustness and potential systematic effects

Despite the above result’s low statistical significance \((\geq 2 \sigma)\), Table B shows it is quite robust. Test 1 is particularly important since, by setting the \( S/N_{\text{min}} \) threshold too low, DLAs towards fainter QSOs may be preferentially missed and the effect observed in Fig. 5 may be artificially produced. Ellison et al. (2004) note that such an effect may have produced a GL-like signature in their sample of 47 strong Mg II absorbers at \( 0.6 < z_{\text{abs}} < 1.7 \). Indeed, with a \( S/N_{\text{min}} \) threshold of 2 per pixel, where we do find a deficit of low-\( S/N \) DLA-bearing sight-lines, Table 2 shows more negative slopes, \( \gamma_j \). However, we see similar results even with a more conservative threshold of 4 per pixel where DLA detection is much more reliable.

MP03 explored some alternative explanations for the putative GL effect. One important potential systematic error was DLA dust obscuration producing a relative excess of faint QSOs in the DLA sample. In Section B we derived a 1 \( \sigma \) limit on the colour excess induced by SMC-like dust in the DLAs, \( E(B-V) < 0.007 \text{ mag} \). This corresponds to a total extinction of just \( A_V \approx 0.02 \text{ mag} \) in the rest-frame V-band of the DLAs. Therefore, the GL magnification dominates the dust obscuration in the SDSS DLA sample.

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The SDSS provides a homogeneous QSO database which is ideal for studying DLA properties with respect to large, carefully selected control samples. We have utilised the SDSS DR2 to search for two important effects DLAs may have on background QSO light: dust-reddening and gravitational lensing (GL).

We find no evidence for dust-reddening of QSOs by foreground DLAs. The 70 sight-line DLA sample has a spectral index distribution consistent with that of our combined control sample (Fig. 5), ruling out overall shifts of \( |\Delta \alpha| > 0.19 \) at 3 \( \sigma \). This corresponds to a limit on the colour excess due to SMC-like dust of \( E(B-V) < 0.02 \text{ mag} \). Note that this is broadly consistent with the reddening expected from the level of Fe depletion with respect to Zn found in most DLAs. For a typical DLA with metallicity \( [Zn/H] = -1.5 \) and dust-depletion factor \( [Zn/Fe] = 0.3 \), the dust-to-gas ratio is \( \kappa \approx 0.02 \) times that found in the local ISM. For \( N(H_I) = 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), this implies a shift in the \( \alpha \) of just \( \Delta \alpha \approx -0.06 \) for \( E(B-V) \approx 0.005 \text{ mag} \) for a SMC extinction law. The concept of a ‘typical’ \( \kappa \) for DLAs is, however, a poor one since \( [Zn/H] \) and \( [Zn/Fe] \) vary from DLA to DLA by more than 1.5 and 0.8 respectively. For example, \( \kappa \approx 0.1 \) is found for DLAs containing H2 (Ledoux et al. 2003). Nevertheless, future comparison of dust-reddening and depletion in a large sample of DLAs may lead to constraints on DLA dust grain size and/or composition.

Our results are inconsistent with the those of PF91 who found a mean \( \Delta \alpha = -0.38 \pm 0.13 \). The metallicities and dust-depletion factors for PF91’s DLAs are not especially different to those of the overall DLA population. We suggest that small-number statistics may have affected their results. Two notable differences between the SDSS and PF91 QSO samples are that the SDSS contains much fainter QSOs and extends to slightly higher \( z_{\text{em}} \) and \( z_{\text{abs}} \). Though an increase in \( \kappa \) between the median \( z_{\text{abs}} \) of the two samples \( (z_{\text{abs}} \approx 2.8 \text{ and } \approx 2.2) \) may contribute to this difference, evolution strong enough to entirely explain the discrepancy is unlikely given the results of various abundance studies (e.g. Prochaska et al. 2003; Murphy et al. 2004; Curran et al. 2004). Since SDSS is a colour-selected survey, a population of DLAs with high \( N(H_I) \) and high \( \kappa \) cannot be ruled out, leaving open concerns about biases in current estimates of the cosmological neutral gas mass density, \( \Omega_m \) (e.g. Boisse et al. 1998). However, our results provide direct evidence that dust-extinction is quite low in the known DLA sample, consistent with indirect estimates...
from abundance studies which have been used to argue that any heavily reddened population of DLAs is small Prochaska & Wolfe 2003. Finally, we note that our DLA selection is incomplete at low-N(H I) and so our result is relatively insensitive to a possible (though seemingly unlikely) anti-correlation between κ and N(H I) in DLAs.

We have also identified a possible signature of gravitational magnification of QSOs by foreground DLAs in a similar vein as MP03. We expect an excess of bright QSOs with DLAs and a deficit of faint QSOs with DLAs relative to our control sample, where the dividing line should fall at ~19th magnitude in r′, i′ and z′. This is indeed what is observed (Fig. 5). The amplitude of gravitational magnification, measured from the slope of the DLA/control ratio versus magnitude, also broadly agrees with that expected in a simple model of the QSO-DLA lensing system. The putative lensing signal, though significant only at ≥2σ, is robust against a variety of systematic error and bias checks. Furthermore, a higher equivalent width sub-sample of DLAs gives a stronger signal, as would be expected if these DLAs had lower impact parameters. Refining the above results with future SDSS samples is clearly important for future constraints on the dark matter halos of DLA host-galaxies.
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