Root and Shoot Traits of Spring Wheat Cultivars with Wild-Type and Semi-Dwarf Rht Alleles at Early and Late Growth Stages
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A B S T R A C T

Roots play an important role in improving crop yield by affecting the amount of water uptake and nutrient acquisition. The objective of this study was to characterize variability in root and above-ground characteristics among three diverse semi-dwarf spring wheat cultivars, ‘Vida’, ‘Oneal’ and ‘Duclair’ and a wild-type cultivar, ‘Scholar’ at early and late growth stages in a greenhouse. Plants were grown in 45-cm long tree pots in a greenhouse under optimal growth conditions. As soil-less media, a mixture of peat (70%) and perlite (30%) was used. Plants were harvested at tillering (GS25-26) with 5-6 tillers, booting (GS43-45), and maturity (GS92). Root and shoot traits indicated significant variability among wild-type and semi-dwarf spring wheat cultivars at those growth stages. The study results showed that root mass per plant at tillering, booting, and maturity ranged from 0.10 to 0.14 g, 0.47 to 0.9 g, and 0.55 to 0.85 g, respectively, while shoot mass per plant varied from 1.7 to 2.5 g, 6.5 to 10.7 g, and 21.2 to 24.5 g, respectively. From booting to maturity, root mass was relatively constant, however, shoot mass increased considerably. Moreover, the average root mass of semi-dwarf spring wheat cultivars was 37% lower at booting and 30% lower at maturity compared to the wild-type cultivar, even though there was no significant variation among the cultivars at the early growth stage. Based on the results of the variability identified in this research, wild-type cultivar, Scholar can be evaluated for the improvement of genotypes with superior root system in breeding programs.

Introduction

Wheat (Triticum spp.) has been one of the most important staple crops in the world in terms of the global annual production of 734.1 million tons in 2018 (FAOSTAT, 2017). Wheat is currently grown in a wide range of environments from tropical to temperate.

The reduced height provides grain yield, contributing to allocate more assimilates into grain than straw (Evans, 2003; Griffiths et al., 2012), and conversely, plants having tall stem are sensitive to lodging. Rht-B1b and Rht-D1b (formerly known as Rht1 and Rht2) genes have been widely considered to a reduction of the height and yield increase in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) (Flintham et al., 1997; Pearce et al., 2011). However, these dwarfing genes may not be always beneficial for wheat improvement in drylands since they lead to a reduction in both coleoptile length and plant height (Na et al., 2009).

Roots provide nutrients and water to shoot and further anchor the plant to the soil. In limited input agricultural systems, root characteristics in relation to element uptake are often associated with yield (Atta et al., 2013; White et al., 2013; Hefmanská et al., 2015). However, root studies have been given less attention than easily accessible above-ground traits in breeding programs (Atta et al., 2013) since they are time-consuming, laborious, tedious, and efficient methods are unavailable (Robin et al., 2014). Despite the limitations of root studies, Lynch (2007) emphasized the root system as key in a potential second green revolution, therefore placing particular importance on selecting genotypes with superior root and grain yield combinations in breeding programs. Waines and Ehdaie (2007) showed genetic variation for root mass in semi-dwarf wheat. Furthermore, root mass has been considered to be one of the significant indicators of root system size by Ehdaie et al. (2010).

Exploring genetic variability of root and shoot traits contributing to grain yield could help the improvement of new cultivars with the desired root and shoot traits in wheat breeding programs. The objective of the study was to investigate root and shoot components of spring wheat cultivars in different backgrounds with variable semi-dwarfing alleles at early and late growth stages.
Results and Discussion

The comparative experimental analysis revealed significant variations among the cultivars for root and shoot characteristics at tillering, booting, and maturity stages. Mean values for some important traits are shown in Figure 1 and 2.

There were no significant variations among wheat cultivars at the tillering stage in terms of the root mass, however, cultivars varied significantly at the booting and maturity stages (Figure 1a). The root mass of cultivars varied from 0.1 to 0.14 g at the tillering stage, 0.47 to 0.90 g at the booting stage, and 0.55 to 0.85 g at maturity (Figure 1a). The average root mass of semi-dwarf spring wheat cultivars was 37% lower at booting and 30% lower at maturity compared to wild-type cultivar, Scholar (Figure 1a). Previous studies on root mass concerning wheat genotypes with different Rht alleles were consistent with the results of our study. This finding supports the conclusions of Siddique et al. (1990) who found that semi-dwarf cultivars allocated less dry mass to their root than tall cultivars, leading to a lower root/shoot mass ratio. Subira et al. (2016) similarly reported that dwarffing alleles reduced root mass by 28% at anthesis. However, it was reported little or no effects on the wheat root system of Rht alleles in field experiments (Cholick et al., 1977) and tube studies (Richards and Passioura, 1981). Moreover, a recent study indicated an increase in root mass in cultivars with Rht alleles (McCaig and Morgan, 1993).

In this study, root mass between seedling and booting increased substantially, then changed very little between booting and maturity. However, Gregory et al. (1978) and Barralough and Leigh (1984) found that root was grown during tillering and stem elongation stages and reached maximum level at the flowering stage.

The mean nodal root number of these cultivars did not vary at tillering stage but showed cultivar variation at the late growth stages, booting, and maturity (Figure 1b). Nodal root number per plant varied from 12.3 to 18.7 at the tillering stage, 25.3 to 37.3 at booting then did not change much between booting and maturity except for the Oneal cultivar. Nonetheless, new nodal root formation was initiated after booting in some cultivars. Nodal roots are found in the topsoil (Ehdaie et al., 2010) and significant for increasing water and nutrient uptake in seasonal rainfall conditions (Wasson et al., 2012).

Significant increase in shoot mass occurred between the booting and maturity stages. The shoot mass of spring wheat cultivars was in a range of 1.7 to 2.5 g at the tillering stage, 6.5 to 10.7 g at booting, and 21.2 to 25.3 g at maturity. In the study, Scholar, the wild-type cultivar had significantly greater shoot mass than the semi-dwarf cultivars at the booting and maturity stages. The shoot mass did not vary among semi-dwarf cultivars. Results here are consistent with the findings of Subira et al. (2016) showing that RhtB1b semi-dwarf allele reduced shoot mass up to 7.6% at anthesis.

The increase in root mass may have contributed to the increase in shoot mass due to captured resources, similarly, the increase in shoot mass may lead to allocate assimilates to root growth (Narayanan et al., 2014). In the present research, wild-type cultivar, Scholar had the greatest root and shoot mass compared to semi-dwarf cultivars as a result of possibly combined effect in root and shoot growth, supporting the growth of each other.

The tiller number of spring wheat was 4.7 to 6 at the tillering stage and 9.7 to 14 at the maturity, though there were no significant variations among the spring wheat cultivars (Figure 1d). The fertile tiller number was lower than the total tiller number with 8 to 11.7 at maturity (Figure 1d). Fertile tiller number as a proportion of the total tiller number was the lowest for Scholar and the highest for Duclair which had the same total tiller and fertile tiller counts. Tiller number/total root number ratios were 2.9 at the tillering stage and 3.5 at maturity. This result supported a previous study indicating that especially secondary tillers dying prematurely had an adverse effect on nodal roots (Anderson-Taylor and Marshall, 1983). Also, Narayanan et
al. (2014) indicated highly significant and positive relationships in 297 spring wheat genotypes between tiller number with rooting depth, root mass and shoot mass.

In the study, the plant height of cultivars ranged from 65.4 to 78.1 cm at maturity (Figure 2). The wild-type cultivar, Scholar was taller than the three semi-dwarf spring wheat cultivars (Figure 2). Rht-B1b and Rht-D1b alleles affecting reduction in plant height are included in 95% of modern wheat cultivars in the world (Hedden, 2003). Rht-B1b and Rht-D1b reduced plant height up to 20.5% and 22.4% and increased yield up to 6.1% and 14.1%, respectively (Robbins, 2009). Results of this investigation were similar to the findings of Ellis et al. (2002), Na et al. (2009), Liatukas and Ruzgas (2011) who reported that cultivars containing Rht-B1b and RhtD1b were shorter than cultivars without Rhr alleles.

As shown in Figure 2, agronomic characteristics including spike length, spikelets, kernel weight and kernels for main spike and yield per plant measured in the greenhouse under optimized conditions were variable among cultivars. The study showed that Vida had lower results per the main spike, while it possessed the highest grain yield per plant. Besides, semi-dwarf cultivar, Oneal had the lowest yield per plant, but wild-type cultivar, Scholar involved in the same group with semi-dwarf cultivars about yield per plant.
Figure 2. Plant height (PH), spike length (SL) spikelet number (SN), kernel number (KN) and kernel weight (KW) in main spike as well as grain yield (GY) per plant of spring wheat cultivars at maturity. LSD indicates least significant difference and CV for coefficient of variation.

Roots have great importance for achieving potential yield in wheat cultivars, particularly under rain-fed conditions. The experiments performed here clearly demonstrated that there were no significant variations between semi-dwarf cultivars and the wild-type cultivar at the tillering stage in terms of root mass, shoot mass, and nodal roots per plant. However, significant variations between semi-dwarf cultivars and the wild-type cultivar for root and shoot mass were observed at the booting and maturity. Compared with wild type cultivar, semi-dwarf spring wheat cultivars had the lower root and shoot mass. Our comparative experimental approach suggested that the wild-type cultivar, Scholar can be used to improve new cultivars with higher root mass in wheat improvement programs.
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