Use of photosensitising diuretics and risk of skin cancer: a population-based case-control study

AØ Jensen*,1,2, HF Thomsen1, MC Engebjerg1, AB Olesen2, HT Sørensen1 and MR Karagas3

1Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; 2Department of Dermatology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; 3Department of Community and Family Medicine, Section of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Dartmouth Medical School, Lebanon, NH, USA

Diuretics have photosensitising properties. However, little is known about how these diuretics affect the risk of skin cancers. In North Jutland County, Denmark, we investigated whether the use of photosensitising diuretics was associated with an increased risk for developing basal cell carcinoma (BCC), squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) and malignant melanoma (MM). From the cancer registry, we identified primary cases of BCC, SCC and MM during the period of 1989–2003. We selected four population controls for each case from the Danish Civil Registration System, matched on age and gender. Prescriptions for photosensitising diuretics before cancer diagnosis were ascertained in the county’s Prescription Database. We used conditional logistic regression to compute incidence rate ratio (IRR), controlling for the chronic medical conditions and for the previous use of oral glucocorticoids. We found an increased risk of SCC (IRR of 1.79 (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.45–2.21)) and MM (IRR of 1.43 (95% CI: 1.09–1.88)) among users of combined amiloride and hydrochlorothiazide therapy. An increased risk of MM (IRR of 3.30 (95% CI: 1.34–8.10)) was found among users of indapamide. We found little associations with risk of BCC. Our findings provide evidence that the use of some photosensitising diuretics is associated with an increased risk for SCC and MM.
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Most diuretics are photosensitising, including loop diuretics (bumetanide (Frishman et al, 2002) and furosemide (Moore, 2002)), sodium-saving diuretics (spironolactone (Schwarze et al, 1998) and amiloride (Thestrup-Pedersen, 1987)) and thiazides (hydrochlorothiazide (Thestrup-Pedersen, 1987), bendroflu-methiazide (Robinson et al, 1985; Diffey and Langtry, 1989; Johnston, 2002) and indapamide) with reports on adverse reactions of sun burns among users of these diuretics. In both Denmark (Thestrup-Pedersen, 1987) and England (Addo et al, 1987; Diffey and Langtry, 1989), similar reactions have been reported to occur specifically among those exposed to the combination of amiloride and hydrochlorothiazide treatment.

There is evidence that a photosensitising reaction followed by sun exposure may enhance the risk of sunburns and photo damage that increases risk of skin cancers (Stern, 1998). The mechanism of drug-induced photosensitivity causing cancer is well-known from psoralsen combined with ultraviolet light-A (PUVA) therapy, which also increases the risk of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (Stern et al, 1984, 1998; Lindelof et al, 1991; Stern and Laird, 1994) and malignant melanoma (MM) (Stern, 2001). Although sun burns as a side effect to these diuretics may arise through the same mechanism as PUVA treatment, data on the photocarcinogenic potential of these drugs are limited. To date, only one recent formal case-control study from the United States investigated whether the use of photosensitising medications (which included diuretics) was associated with risk of non-melanoma skin cancers (NMSCs). On the basis of self-reported drug history, they found an increased relative risk of basal cell carcinoma (BCC) of 1.5 (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.0–2.4) and of SCC of 1.8 (95% CI: 1.1–3.2) if exposed to any of these drugs (Karagas et al, 2007). However, recall bias (Rothman, 2002) could not be excluded as an explanation for their findings.

In light of the common use of photosensitising diuretics, we conducted a large population-based case-control study in Denmark, examining whether the use of photosensitising diuretics increases the risk of BCC, SCC and MM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted this population-based case-control study in North Jutland County, with a population of about 500,000 inhabitants (approximately 9% of the Danish population). A unique 10-digit civil registry number assigned to all Danish residents enabled us to link national data on cancer incidence, prescription drugs and hospital discharge diagnoses.

From the Danish Cancer Registry (DCR), we identified all the patients registered with a first primary diagnosis of BCC, SCC or MM in North Jutland County from 1989 to 2003. The DCR, founded in 1943, records primary cases of cancer on a nationwide basis since 1943 with a high degree of accuracy (Storm et al, 1997). Data in the DCR include cancer type, site, morphology and date of diagnosis. Tumours in the DCR are coded according to a modified Danish version of the seventh revision of the International Classification of Diseases. From the DCR, we identified all the patients registered with a first primary diagnosis of BCC, SCC or MM in North Jutland County from 1989 to 2003. The DCR, founded in 1943, records primary cases of cancer on a nationwide basis since 1943 with a high degree of accuracy (Storm et al, 1997). Data in the DCR include cancer type, site, morphology and date of diagnosis. Tumours in the DCR are coded according to a modified Danish version of the seventh revision of the International Classification of Diseases.
Classification of Diseases (ICD-7). Since 1978, tumours have been additionally classified according to the first version of the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD-O-1), which includes a four-digit code for tumour morphology.

We used ICD-7 codes 1910–1919 to identify all BCC and SCC cases registered in the County of North Jutland during the period 1989–2003. For MM, we used ICD-7 codes 1900–1909. For BCC, we included only patients with the following ICD-O-1 morphology codes: 80903, 80913, 80923, 80933 and 81233. For SCC, we included only patients with the ICD-O-1 codes 80513, 80703, 80713, 80743, 80763, 80943 and 80953. We identified a total of 5964 BCC cases, 1129 SCC cases and 1151 MM cases.

The Civil Registration System contains information about vital status, current residential address and the area of residence of all Danish residents, and is updated daily (Frank, 2000). Using the Civil Registration System, we selected approximately four population controls for each case. Cases and controls were individually matched by exact age, gender and area of residence based on risk set sampling (Wacholder et al, 1992) (i.e., the controls had to be alive, and at risk for a first skin cancer at the time, the corresponding case was diagnosed (the index date assigned to controls)). A total of 32 412 population controls were selected for the 8244 BCC, SCC and MM cases.

Data on diuretic prescriptions were obtained from the Prescription Database in North Jutland County (Nielsen et al, 1997). The database collects data on all prescriptions filled by ambulatory patients and forwards data on reimbursable medicines to the local regional Health Service section on a monthly basis. This Health Service, in turn, refunds 50–75% of costs. The Prescription Database was established in 1989 (with complete coverage since 1991) and includes the specific drug prescribed according to the Anatomical Therapeutical Chemical (ATC) classification system, the date the prescription was filled, the total amount of drug in each pill, the number of pills in each package and the amount of drug in each pill. The ATC codes for the photosensitising diuretics used in this study are presented in Appendix 1.

In an earlier work, we found that chronic medical conditions that could be treated with diuretics increased the risk of skin cancers, that is, chronic pulmonary diseases, connective tissue diseases, renal diseases, organ transplants, and solid and haematological cancers (Jensen et al, 2008). To control for the potential confounding effects of these chronic medical conditions, we retrieved all hospital diagnoses recorded in the Danish National Registry of Patients for our study population from 1 January 1977 (the date the Danish National Registry of Patients was established) to 31 December 2003. Diagnoses are coded according to the ICD-8 system through 1993 and according to the ICD-10 system thereafter. The Danish National Registry of Patients includes 99.4% of all discharge records from Danish hospitals (Andersen et al, 1999) and, since 1995, encompasses outpatient and emergency room visits also. For our analyses, we classified the diagnoses of chronic diseases into six general categories (described in Appendix 2).

Further, we retrieved prescriptions for oral glucocorticoids from the Prescription Database (ATC codes in Appendix 1), as the use of these drugs has been associated with an increased risk of skin cancers (Karagas et al, 2001; Sorensen et al, 2004) and could be a potential confounder in our analysis.

Statistical analyses

For each subject, we identified all prescriptions for photosensitising diuretics before the date of primary skin cancer diagnosis or index date in the matched control.

We initially examined any prescriptions for diuretics in a dichotomous model (i.e., any diuretic prescription vs no prescriptions before the index date) for each case group (BCC, SCC and MM vs controls). We then examined the individual diuretic drugs by demographic characteristics (age and sex), anatomic site of the tumour (head and neck vs other sites), prior diagnoses and a previous prescription for oral glucocorticoids. For the individual diuretic drugs, we fitted two conditional logistic regression models for matched pair analysis for each case group (BCC, SCC and MM vs controls) and prescription of diuretics. The first logistic regression model treated diuretics as a dichotomous variable. Amiloride and hydrochlorothiazide was most frequently given as combination therapy; therefore, we did not control for use of the other. We attempted to separate the effects of amiloride and hydrochlorothiazide by classifying prescriptions for ‘amiloride only’, ‘hydrochlorothiazide only’, ‘both amiloride and hydrochlorothiazide’ and ‘none of the drugs’ as reference category. To examine dose–response relations, we computed the total amount of prescribed drug before the index date by multiplying the package size, the number of pills in each package and the amount of drug in each pill. The total amount of drug dispensed was included in the model as a continuous (linear) variable with ‘never prescribed the drug prior to the index date’ as reference category. When this amount of drug could not be computed (for instance, due to missing information in the prescription database), the average amount dispensed for that particular drug was estimated. Average amounts were used for 0.1% of the prescriptions of furosemide, 7% of the prescriptions of bumetanide, 24% of the prescriptions of amiloride, 0.1% of prescriptions of spironolactone, 23% of the prescriptions of hydrochlorothiazide, 10% of the prescriptions of bendroflumethiazide and 0.2% of the prescriptions of indapamide. In all the models, we included the six chronic disease categories (as shown in Appendix 2), prior prescription of a glucocorticoid (yes, no) and prescriptions for the other photosensitising diuretics (yes, no) as confounding factors.

We also conducted analyses excluding prescriptions issued within 1 year and 5 years of the skin cancer diagnosis or index date to evaluate the possibility of heightened detection of skin cancers due to medical surveillance among those prescribed diuretics (i.e., surveillance bias (Rothman, 2002)). These analyses also might point to possible latency effects (i.e., the period of time from diuretic exposure to the development of skin cancer). In addition, we conducted analyses stratified on the anatomic site of the cancers (i.e., head and neck and other sites) to evaluate the effects of photosensitising diuretics by the level of sun exposure. The non-reporting of diagnosed NMSC to the DCR has been estimated to range from 12 to 40% (Frentz, 1996; Jensen et al, 2007). Therefore, we conducted a sensitivity analysis (Fox et al, 2005a; Greenland, 2005) to explore the magnitude of the effects of the non-reporting of NMSC cases on our results. We expected that the non-reporting of NMSC cases could be differential between users and non-users of photosensitising diuretics; as NMSC is rarely fatal, in severely diseased patients (such as users of diuretics), clinicians could potentially deem these cancers trivial and thereby omit registration. We used the SAS macro written by Fox et al (2005b), which was adapted to perform conditional logistic regression.

This study was approved by the Danish Protection Agency (record no. 2004-41-4693). The statistical software packages R, version 2.4.1, and SAS, version 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) were used for all statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Subject characteristics

The median age was 69 years among cases with BCC, 77 years among cases with SCC and 59 years among cases with MM. More SCC tumours developed on chronically sun-exposed sites (63% on the head and neck) than BCC or MM tumours (54 and 17% on the head and neck, respectively). In addition, more cases than controls...
had a history of chronic pulmonary diseases, connective tissue diseases, renal diseases, organ transplants, and solid and haematological cancers. Also, more cases had a prior prescription for oral glucocorticoids compared with the controls (data not shown).

Prescriptions for photosensitising diuretics as risk factors for developing skin cancer

A total of 1026 (32%) BCC cases had used diuretics compared with 7717 (32%) controls, yielding an incidence rate ratio (IRR) for BCC of 0.96 (95% CI: 0.90–1.03). Further, there were no clear associations with any of the individually examined drugs (Table 1). The risk estimates for BCC of the head and neck were comparable with those of the other anatomic sites (data not shown).

A total of 493 (44%) SCC cases had used diuretics compared with 1743 (39%) controls, yielding an IRR for SCC of 1.21 (95% CI: 1.04–1.40). Individually, the use of amiloride, hydrochlorothiazide and the combination of the two was elevated for SCC cases compared with controls (Table 2). Among those with a previous prescription for amiloride, the IRR was 1.80 (95% CI: 1.46–2.20) and for hydrochlorothiazide, the IRR was 1.58 (95% CI: 1.29–1.93). Owing to the small number of subjects who were exclusively prescribed either amiloride or hydrochlorothiazide (0.7 and 0.4%, respectively), it was difficult to compute their separate effects. On the basis of small numbers, an elevated IRR was observed for those prescribed amiloride only (IRR = 2.26; 95% CI: 0.94–5.43), but not for those prescribed hydrochlorothiazide only (IRR = 0.38; 95% CI: 0.15–0.97). The joint IRR was 1.79 (95% CI: 1.45–2.21) (Table 2). Among users of all the individual diuretics (except bumetanide and indapamide), we observed that the estimated risk of SCC increased linearly with increasing amounts of prescribed drug (Table 2). Further, we observed an increased risk of SCC among users of amiloride, hydrochlorothiazide and the two drugs combined as the period of time from drug exposure to development of cancer (i.e., latency) increased. The joint IRR increased to 1.89 (95% CI: 1.52–2.35) with 1-year latency and 1.97 (95% CI: 1.49–2.62) with 5-year latency. This trend was particularly pronounced among those prescribed amiloride alone (Table 2). Risk estimates for cases with head and neck SCC were similar to those with SCC tumours at other anatomic sites (data not shown).

A total of 312 (27%) MM cases had used diuretics compared with 1124 (24%) controls, yielding an IRR for MM of 1.19 (95% CI: 1.01–1.41). For MM, we also observed an increased risk among those individually prescribed amiloride and hydrochlorothiazide (IRR = 1.39; 95% CI: 1.06–1.81 and IRR = 1.32; 95% CI: 1.03–1.70, respectively). Again, there were few cases who were prescribed exclusively one of these drugs; but as with SCC, the IRR was increased for prescription of amiloride only (IRR = 1.21; 95% CI: 0.39–3.74), but not for hydrochlorothiazide only (IRR = 0.87; 95% CI: 0.45–1.68). For prescription of combined therapy, the IRR was 1.43 (95% CI: 1.09–1.88) overall and somewhat higher for head and neck tumours (IRR of 2.15; 95% CI: 1.19–3.88 (data not shown).

### Table 1 Use of photosensitising diuretics and risk of basal cell carcinoma

| Diuretic Type         | Any prescription before diagnosis date | Prescriptions > 1 year before diagnosis | Prescriptions > 5 year before diagnosis |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                       | Number of cases | Number of controls | IRR adjusted (95% CI) | Number of cases | Number of controls | IRR adjusted (95% CI) | Number of cases | Number of controls | IRR adjusted (95% CI) |
| Loop diuretics        |                           |                           |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |
| Furosemide            | 830 (14%)          | 3422 (14%)          | 0.91 (0.83–0.99)       | 0.90 (0.82–0.99)       | 0.95 (0.83–1.09)       |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg |                           |                           |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |
| Bumetanide            | 46 (0.8%)          | 211 (0.9%)          | 0.86 (0.62–1.19)       | 0.76 (0.53–1.09)       | 0.98 (0.59–1.63)       |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg |                           |                           |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |
| Potassium-saving diuretics |                           |                           |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |
| Amiloride             | 482 (8%)           | 1849 (7.8%)         | 1.04 (0.93–1.16)       | 1.03 (0.92–1.15)       | 1.11 (0.96–1.28)       |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg |                           |                           |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |
| Spironolactone        | 145 (2.4%)          | 539 (2.3%)          | 1.06 (0.87–1.28)       | 1.10 (0.89–1.37)       | 1.20 (0.86–1.68)       |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg |                           |                           |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |
| Thiazide diuretics    |                           |                           |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |
| Bendroflumethiazide   | 1069 (18%)         | 4291 (18%)         | 0.98 (0.90–1.06)       | 1.00 (0.91–1.08)       | 0.98 (0.87–1.10)       |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg |                           |                           |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |
| Indapamide            | 24 (0.4%)           | 94 (0.4%)           | 0.95 (0.80–1.13)       | 0.94 (0.75–1.14)       | 0.90 (0.43–1.87)       |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg |                           |                           |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |
| Hydrochlorothiazide   | 542 (9%)           | 2059 (8.6%)         | 1.05 (0.95–1.16)       | 1.05 (0.94–1.17)       | 1.10 (0.95–1.26)       |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg |                           |                           |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |

CI = confidence interval; IRR = incidence rate ratio. The reference group was different for each diuretic, as the reference group was ‘never users the particular diuretic under study’. Conditional logistic regression was used to estimate IRRs and 95% CI. Adjustments were made for a prior hospitalisation for selected chronic diseases and use of glucocorticoids. *Not possible because of low proportions of cases or because of combined therapy.
We also found evidence of a possible relation between diuretics and risk of skin cancer. In SCC, and an association, albeit weaker, between this therapy and MM. We found an increased risk of SCC and MM among the users of combined amiloride–hydrochlorothiazide therapy and the risk of combined therapy. We found an individual association between the use of photosensitising diuretics and risk of squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), and an association, albeit weaker, between this therapy and MM. We found an increased risk of SCC and MM among the users of combined therapy.

**DISCUSSION**

We found an increased risk of SCC and MM among the users of diuretics. We found an individual association between the use of combined amiloride–hydrochlorothiazide therapy and the risk of SCC, and an association, albeit weaker, between this therapy and MM. We also found evidence of a possible relation between indapamide use and risk of MM. We observed a trend of increasing risk with amount of drug and length of time between prescription and diagnosis (i.e., latency).

The mechanism of drug-induced photosensitivity causing cancer is well-known from observations of patients treated with PUVA therapy. These patients have increased risks of SCC and MM (Stern et al., 1984, 1998; Lindelof et al., 1991; Stern and Laird, 1994; Stern, 2001). It is of further interest to note that findings among those PUVA-treated patients show a clear dose–response relationship and latency effect on SCC risk (Stern et al., 1998). Similarly, we found an increased risk of SCC with increasing amount of prescribed amiloride and hydrochlorothiazide. This was particularly true for amiloride, which has a maximal absorbance in the UV-B spectrum (Davis et al., 1979). In contrast, we detected an effect of users of indapamide on MM risk, and this drug has a maximal absorbance in the UV-B spectrum (Davis et al., 1979). This may indicate a difference in wavelengths responsible for the distinct histologic types of skin cancer. Furthermore, only UV-B has been found to induce MM in transgenic mice (Larue et al., 1992).

In contrast, we did not find the same convincing association with use of photosensitising diuretics and risk of BCC. This is consistent with the studies relating sun exposure and BCC risk that indicate that the time between the carcinogenic UV exposure and the development of BCC is longer than that for SCC and that younger persons may be more susceptible to these effects than older persons (Gallagher et al., 1993).

**Table 2** Use of photosensitising diuretics and risk of squamous cell carcinoma

|                      | Any prescription before diagnosis date | Prescriptions > 1 year before diagnosis | Prescriptions > 5 year before diagnosis |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                      | Number of cases | Number of controls | IRR adjusted (95% CI) | IRR adjusted (95% CI) | IRR adjusted (95% CI) |
| Loop diuretics       | N = 1129        | N = 4516           |                             |                             |                             |
| Furosemide           | 235 (21%)       | 878 (19%)          | 0.94 (0.78–1.12)            | 0.91 (0.75–1.11)            | 0.92 (0.69–1.23)            |
| Linear increase per 10 000mg |                   |                        | 1.01 (0.99–1.02)            | 1.31 (1.00–1.71)            | 1.98 (1.50–2.61)            |
| Bumetanide           | 9 (0.8%)        | 48 (1.1%)          | 0.71 (0.35–1.47)            | 0.63 (0.28–1.43)            | 0.89 (0.29–2.72)            |
| Linear increase per 10 000mg |                   |                        | 4.78 (0.53–43)              |                             |                             |
| Potassium-saving diuretics |                    |                        |                             |                             |                             |
| Amiloride            | 162 (14%)       | 390 (9%)           | 1.80 (1.46–2.20)            | 1.90 (1.54–2.35)            | 1.98 (1.50–2.61)            |
| Linear increase per 10 000mg |                   |                        | 1.31 (1.00–1.71)            |                             |                             |
| Spironolactone       | 38 (3.4%)       | 121 (2.7%)         | 1.11 (0.75–1.65)            | 1.00 (0.64–1.57)            | 0.90 (0.44–1.84)            |
| Linear increase per 10 000mg |                   |                        | 1.00 (0.92–1.09)            |                             |                             |
| Thiazide diuretics   |                      |                        |                             |                             |                             |
| Bendroflumethiazide  | 241 (21%)       | 913 (20%)          | 1.03 (0.86–1.22)            | 0.91 (0.76–1.10)            | 1.03 (0.79–1.34)            |
| Linear increase per 10 000mg |                   |                        | 0.98 (0.71–1.34)            |                             |                             |
| Indapamide           | 10 (0.9%)       | 29 (0.6%)          | 1.20 (0.57–2.54)            | 1.10 (0.49–2.46)            | 1.02 (0.32–3.23)            |
| Linear increase per 10 000mg |                   |                        |                             |                             |                             |
| Hydrochlorothiazide  | 159 (14%)       | 427 (10%)          | 1.58 (1.29–1.93)            | 1.67 (1.36–2.07)            | 1.92 (1.46–2.54)            |
| Linear increase per 10 000mg |                   |                        | 1.03 (1.01–1.06)            |                             |                             |
| Combined therapy     |                      |                        |                             |                             |                             |
| Amiloride only       | 8 (0.7%)        | 18 (0.4%)          | 2.26 (0.94–5.43)            | 2.51 (1.03–6.13)            | 3.42 (0.75–15.6)            |
| Linear increase per 10 000mg |                   |                        |                             |                             |                             |
| Hydrochlorothiazide only | 5 (0.4%)       | 55 (1.2%)         | 0.38 (0.15–0.97)            | 0.29 (0.09–0.94)            | 1.33 (0.25–6.93)            |
| Linear increase per 10 000mg |                   |                        |                             |                             |                             |
| Amiloride and hydrochlorothiazide | 154 (14%)     | 372 (8%)          | 1.79 (1.45–2.21)            | 1.89 (1.52–2.35)            | 1.97 (1.49–2.62)            |
| Linear increase per 10 000mg |                   |                        |                             |                             |                             |

CI = confidence interval; IRR = incidence rate ratio. The reference group was different for each diuretic, as the reference group was ‘the never users the particular diuretic under study’. Conditional logistic regression was used to IRRs and 95% CI, adjustments were made for a prior hospitalisation for selected chronic diseases and use of glucocorticoids. The linear increase per 10 000 mg prescribed drug translates to the average percentage increase per 10 000 mg of prescribed drug. *Not possible because of low proportions of cases or because of combined therapy.
Table 3  Use of photosensitising diuretics and risk of malignant melanoma

|                  | Any prescription before diagnosis date | Malignant melanoma |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
|                  | Number of cases N = 1010 | Number of controls N = 4040 | IRR adjusted (95% CI) | Prescriptions > 1 year before diagnosis | IRR adjusted (95% CI) | Prescriptions > 5 year before diagnosis | IRR adjusted (95% CI) |
| **Loop diuretics** | 116 (10%) | 487 (11%) | 0.91 (0.72 – 1.16) | 0.90 (0.69 – 1.16) | 0.90 (0.63 – 1.29) |
| Furomide          | 6 (0.5%) | 29 (0.6%) | 0.75 (0.29 – 1.90) | 0.20 (0.00 – 202) | 0.79 (0.28 – 2.23) | 0.69 (0.18 – 2.61) |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg | 1.01 (0.99 – 1.03) | 1.3 (1.06 – 1.81) | 1.06 (0.86 – 1.32) | 1.01 (0.74 – 1.37) |
| Bumetanide        | 90 (8%) | 272 (6%) | 1.39 (1.06 – 1.81) | 1.3 (1.00 – 1.77) | 1.29 (0.89 – 1.86) |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg | 0.76 (0.50 – 1.17) | 0.84 (0.46 – 1.54) | 0.89 (0.46 – 1.79) | 0.71 (0.26 – 1.93) |
| **Photosensitising diuretics** | 14 (1.2%) | 67 (1.5%) | 1.01 (0.94 – 1.09) | 0.89 (0.46 – 1.79) | 0.71 (0.26 – 1.93) |
| Amiloride         | 5 (0.5%) | 15 (0.3%) | 1.21 (0.39 – 3.74) | 1.09 (0.31 – 3.95) | 2.56 (0.44 – 14.9) |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg | 1.01 (0.99 – 1.03) | 1.1 (1.00 – 1.70) | 1.01 (0.94 – 3.74) | 1.01 (0.64 – 1.87) |
| Hydrochlorothiazide | 98 (9%) | 303 (7%) | 1.32 (1.03 – 1.70) | 1.30 (0.99 – 1.71) | 1.24 (0.86 – 1.78) |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg | 1.01 (1.00 – 1.03) | 0.99 (0.95 – 1.03) | 1.01 (0.94 – 1.09) | 1.01 (0.99 – 1.03) |
| **Combined therapy** | 85 (7%) | 257 (6%) | 1.43 (1.09 – 1.88) | 1.37 (1.02 – 1.83) | 1.28 (0.87 – 1.86) |
| Amiloride only    | 5 (0.4%) | 15 (0.3%) | 1.21 (0.39 – 3.74) | 1.09 (0.31 – 3.95) | 2.56 (0.44 – 14.9) |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg | 1.01 (0.99 – 1.03) | 1.1 (1.00 – 1.70) | 1.01 (0.94 – 3.74) | 1.01 (0.64 – 1.87) |
| Hydrochlorothiazide only | 13 (1.1%) | 46 (1.0%) | 0.87 (0.45 – 1.68) | 0.91 (0.43 – 1.92) | 0.89 (0.26 – 3.03) |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg | 1.01 (1.00 – 1.03) | 0.99 (0.95 – 1.03) | 1.01 (0.94 – 1.09) | 1.01 (0.99 – 1.03) |
| Amiloride and hydrochlorothiazide | 98 (9%) | 303 (7%) | 1.32 (1.03 – 1.70) | 1.30 (0.99 – 1.71) | 1.24 (0.86 – 1.78) |
| Linear increase per 10 000 mg | 1.01 (1.00 – 1.03) | 0.99 (0.95 – 1.03) | 1.01 (0.94 – 1.09) | 1.01 (0.99 – 1.03) |

CI = confidence interval; IRR = incidence rate ratio. The reference group was different for each diuretic, as the reference group was ‘the never users the particular diuretic under study’. Conditional logistic regression was used to estimate IRRs and 95% CI, adjustments were made for a prior hospitalisation for selected chronic diseases and use of glucocorticoids. *Not possible because of low proportions of cases or because of combined therapy.

The validity of our estimates depends on several factors. First, the uniformly organised Danish health-care system allows a true population-based design. Data on photosensitising diuretics were collected prospectively and independent of the cancer data, limiting the potential for recall bias found in interview-based studies on the same topic (Karagas et al., 2007). Moreover, our approach included assessment of the potential effects of a surveillance bias by excluding the use within 1 year of the cancer diagnoses; we found this bias to be slight. Finally, we considered the possibility that other factors may be confounding the association observed with photosensitising diuretics, and we were able to control prescriptions of glucocorticoids and a prior history for selected chronic diseases.

Among the limitations of our study was the reliance on prescription data and, specifically, the use of filling of prescriptions as a surrogate for actual using of the drugs (non-adherence). This could lead to misclassification of some non-users as users, potentially biasing our results towards unity. Still, the users had redeemed and paid for the drug; therefore, this bias is likely to be small. Another source of bias is that the records of NMSC may be incomplete in the DCR (Frentz, 1996; Frentz and Olsen, 1999). As NMSC is rarely fatal, in severely diseased patients, such as diuretic users, clinicians potentially could deem the NMSC trivial and therefore omit registration (differential registration). As shown by the sensitivity analyses, this potential underascertainment of NMSC cases using diuretics in our case–control study could have led to a substantial underestimation of the overall effect of diuretics on the risk of SCC and BCC.

As a register linkage study, we did not have information about skin phenotypes (i.e., skin sensitivity to sunlight and sun exposure). It is conceivable that patients with a sun-sensitive phenotype take more precaution when warned about the sun-sensitising effects of diuretics. If so, this could potentially underestimate the risk association, we observed. Another limitation is that some of the drug effects, that is ‘amiloride only’, ‘hydrochlorothiazide only’ and ‘indapamide’, as well as the drug-amount and latency trends were based on low proportions of cases. Therefore, these risk estimates had very limited statistical precision and should be interpreted with caution.

In conclusion, our large case-control study indicates a potential relation between commonly prescribed diuretics and risks of SCC and MM in the Danish population.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study received financial support from the Karen Elise Jensen Foundation and the Western Danish Research Forum for Health Sciences (Vestdansk Forskningsforum) and the US National Cancer Institute Grant R01 CA57494. We also thank the PDV (Prophylactic Dermato-venereology) Foundation and The Collaboration of Specialists, Danish Regions (Foreningen AF Speciallæger – FAS – Danske Regioner) for funding the study.
References

Addo HA, Ferguson J, Frain-Bell W (1987) Thiazide-induced photosensitivity: a study of 33 subjects. Br J Dermatol 116: 749–760

Andersen TF, Madsen M, Jorgensen J, Mellemkjaer L, Olsen JH (1999) The Danish National Hospital Register. A reliable source of data for modern health sciences. Dan Med Bull 46: 263–268

Davis R, Wells CH, Taylor AR (1979) Photolytic decomposition of indapamide. J Pharm Sci 68: 1063–1064

Diffee BL, Langtry J (1989) Phototoxic potential of thiazide diuretics in normal subjects. Arch Dermatol 125: 1355–1358

Fox MP, Lash TL, Greenland S (2002a) A method to automate probabilistic sensitivity analyses of missclassified binary variables. Int J Epidemiol 34: 1370–1376

Fox MP, Lash TL, Greenland S (2002b) Boston University Sensitivity Analysis Tools. Available at: http://spb.bu/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=405&Itemid=508#epidemiologic

Frank L (2000) Epidemiology. When an entire country is a cohort. Science 287: 2398–2399

Frentz G (1996) General skin cancer. Quantity, treatment and quality. Ugskr Laeger 158: 7202

Frentz G, Olsen JH (1999) Malignant tumours and psoriasis: a follow-up study. Br J Dermatol 140: 237–242

Frishman WH, Brosnan BD, Grossman M, Dasgupta D, Sun DK (2002) Adverse dermatologic effects of cardiovascular drug therapy: part II. Cardiol Rev 10: 285–300

Gallagher RP, Hill GB, Bajdik CD, Fincham S, Coldman AJ, McLean DI, Threlfall WJ (1995) Sunlight exposure, pigmenatory factors, and risk of nonmelanocytic skin cancer. I. Basal cell carcinoma. Arch Dermatol 131: 157–163

Greenland S (2005) Multiple-bias modeling for analysis of observational data (with discussion). J Roy Stat Soc 168: 267–306

Jensen AO, Olesen AB, Dethlefsen C, Sorensen HT (2007) Do incident and new subsequent cases of non-melanoma skin cancer registered in a Danish prospective cohort study have different 10-year mortality? Cancer Detect Prev 31: 352–358

Jensen AO, Olesen AB, Dethlefsen C, Sorensen HT, Karagas MR (2008) Chronic diseases requiring hospitalization and risk of non-melanoma skin cancers—a population based study from Denmark. J Invest Dermatol 128: 926–931

Johnston GA (2002) Thiazide-induced lichenoid photosensitivity. Clin Exp Dermatol 27: 670–672

Karagas MR, Cushing Jr GL, Greenberg ER, Mott LA, Spencer SK, Nierenberg DW (2001) Non-melanoma skin cancers and glucocorticoid therapy. Br J Cancer 85: 683–686

Karagas MR, Stukel TA, Umland V, Tsoukas MM, Mott LA, Sorensen HT, Jensen AO, Nelson HH, Spencer SK, Perry AE, Stern RS (2007) Reported use of photosensitizing medications and basal cell and squamous cell carcinoma of the skin: results of a population-based case–control study. J Invest Dermatol 127: 2901–2903

Larue L, Dougherty N, Mintz B (1992) Genetic predisposition of transgenic mouse melanocytes to melanoma results in malignant melanoma after exposure to a low ultraviolet B intensity nontumorigenic for normal melanocytes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89: 9534–9538

Lindelof B, Sigurgeirsson B, Tegner E, Larko O, Johannesson A, Berne B, Christensen OB, Andersson T, Torngren M, Molin L, Nylander-Lundquist E, Emtestam L (1991) PUVA and cancer: a large-scale epidemiological study. Lancet 338: 91–93

Moore DE (2002) Drug-induced cutaneous photosensitivity: incidence, mechanism, prevention and management. Drug Saf 25: 345–372

Nielsen GL, Sorensen HT, Wejien Z, Steffensen FH, Olsen J (1997) The Pharmacoepidemiologic Prescription database of North Jutland—a valid tool in pharmacoepidemiological research. Int J Risk Safety Med 10: 203–205

Robinson HN, Morison WL, Hood AF (1985) Thiazide diuretic therapy and chronic photosensitivity. Arch Dermatol 121: 522–524

Rothman KJ (2002) ‘Epidemiology: an introduction.’, Oxford University Press: New York

Schwarze HP, Albes B, Marguerly MC, Loche F, Bazej J (1998) Evaluation of drug-induced photosensitivity by UVB photopatch testing. Contact Derm 39: 200

Sorensen HT, Mellemkjaer L, Nielsen GL, Baron JA, Olsen JH, Karagas MR (2004) Skin cancers and non-hodgkin lymphoma among users of systemic glucocorticoids: a population-based cohort study. J Natl Cancer Inst 96: 709–711

Stern RS (1998) Photocarcinogenicity of drugs. Toxicol Lett 102–103: 389–392

Stern RS (2001) The risk of melanoma in association with long-term exposure to PUVA. J Am Acad Dermatol 44: 755–761

Stern RS, Laird N (1994) The carcinogenic risk of treatments for severe psoriasis. Photochemotherapy Follow-up Study. Cancer 73: 2759–2764

Stern RS, Laird N, Melski J, Parrish JA, Fitzpatrick TB, Bleich HL (1984) Cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma in patients treated with PUVA. N Engl J Med 310: 1156–1161

Stern RS, Liebman EJ, Vakeva L (1998) Oral psoralen and ultraviolet-A light (PUVA) treatment of psoriasis and persistent risk of nonmelanoma skin cancer. PUVA Follow-up Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 90: 1278–1284

Storm HH, Michelsen EV, Clemmensen IH, Pihl J (1997) The Danish Cancer Registry—history, content, quality and use. Dan Med Bull 44: 353–359

Thestrup-Pedersen K (1987) Adverse reactions in the skin from anti-hypertensive drugs. Dan Med Bull 34(Suppl 1): 3–5

Wacholder S, McLaughlin JK, Silverman DT, Mandel JS (1992) Selection of controls in case–control studies. I. Principles. Am J Epidemiol 135: 1019–1028

Appendix 1

The anatomical therapeutic chemical (ATC) codes for the diuretics and the glucocorticoids

| Photosensitising diuretics/glucocorticoids | ATC codes |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Furosemide                                  | C03CA01, C03EB01 |
| Butametanide                                | C03CA02, C03EB02 |
| Amiloride                                   | C03EA01 |
| Spironolactone                              | C03DA01, C03EA01, C03EB02, C098A01, C098A02, C098A03, C098A05, C09DA06, C09DA04, C09DA01, C09DA07, C09DA02 and C09DA03 |
| Hydrochlorothiazide                         | C03DA01 |
| Indapamide                                  | C03BA11 |
| Budenoside                                  | A07EA06 |
| Hydrocortisone                              | A07EA02, H02AB09 |
| Prednisolone                                | A07EA01, H02AB06 |
| Prednisone                                  | H02AB07 |
| Betamethasone                               | H02AB01 |
| Methylprednisolone                          | H02AB04 |
| Triamcinolone                               | H02AB08 |
## Appendix 2

Translation of disease categories of chronic diseases into discharge diagnoses in ICD-8 and ICD-10

| Disease category                              | Diseases                                                                 | ICD-8     | ICD-10                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chronic pulmonary disease                    | Emphysema and chronic obstructive lung disease                          | 490–493; 515–518 | J40–J47; J60–J67; J68.4; J70.1; J70.3; J84; J92.0; J96.1; J98.2; J98.3 |
| Connective tissue disease                    | Diffuse connective tissue disease, rheumatoid arthritis and other inflammatory polyarthropathies and polymyalgia rheumatica | 712; 716; 734; 446; 135.99 | M05; M06; M08; M09; M30–M36; D86 |
| Moderate-to-severe renal disease             | Glomerulonephritis, nephropathies and end-stage renal disease            | 403; 404; 580–583; 584; 590.09; 593.19; 753.10–753.19; 792 | I12; I13; N00–N05; N07; N11; N14; N17–N19; Q61 |
| Organ transplants                            | Heart, kidney, liver and bone marrow transplantation                     | Y9509     | Z94                                                                    |
| Any solid cancer except skin cancer and metastasis | Any solid cancer except skin cancer and metastasis                       | 140–199, except 172 and 173 | C00–C80, except C43–C44 |
| Leukaemia and Lymphoma                       | Non-Hodgkin and Hodgkin lymphoma and multiple myeloma                   | 200–207; 275.59 | C81–C85; C88; C90–C96 |
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