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Much of what we know about the electronic states of high-temperature superconductors is due to photoemission and scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) because it cleaves easily, thus allowing simple preparation of fresh surfaces. ARPES studies of the compound \( \text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta} \). The demonstration of well-defined quasiparticles in the superconducting state has encouraged many theorists to apply the conventional theory of metals, Fermi-liquid theory, to the cuprates. In particular, the spin excitations observed by neutron scattering at energies below twice the superconducting gap energy are commonly believed to correspond to an excitonic state involving itinerant electrons. Here, we present the first measurements of the magnetic spectral weight of optimally-doped \( \text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta} \) in absolute units. The lack of temperature dependence across the superconducting transition temperature, \( T_c \), is incompatible with the itinerant calculations. Alternatively, the magnetic excitations could be due to local moments, as the magnetic spectrum is similar to that in \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) where quasiparticles and local moments coexist.

\( \text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta} \) has been the cuprate system of choice for surface-sensitive techniques such as angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) and scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) because it cleaves easily, thus allowing simple preparation of fresh surfaces. ARPES and STS studies of \( \text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta} \) have convincingly demonstrated the existence of coherent electronic excitations (Bogoliubov quasiparticles) in the superconducting state. The excitation gap for quasiparticles has \( d \)-wave symmetry, going to zero at four nodal points along the nominal Fermi surface in the two-dimensional reciprocal space for a \( \text{Cu}_2\text{O}_2 \) plane. On warming into the normal state, coherent electronic states are observed, at most, only over finite arcs about the nodal points; in the “antinodal” regions, there is a so-called pseudogap and an absence of quasiparticles.

The ARPES results on \( \text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta} \) have been used as a basis for predicting the magnetic excitation spectrum in the superconducting state, measurable by inelastic neutron scattering. To detect the magnetic signal with neutrons, however, one needs crystals of large volume in order to compensate for limited neutron source strength and weak scattering cross section, and such crystals have been difficult to grow. The magnetic spectral weight is typically presented as the imaginary part of the dynamical spin susceptibility, \( \chi''(Q, \omega) \); here \( Q \) is the wave vector of a magnetic excitation and \( E = \hbar \omega \) is its energy, with \( \hbar \) being Planck’s constant divided by \( 2\pi \) and \( \omega \) the angular frequency. Previous neutron scattering studies of \( \text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta} \), working with crystals of limited size, focused on the change in \( \chi''(Q, \omega) \) on cooling through the superconducting transition temperature, \( T_c \).

A sustained effort has finally yielded crystals of sufficient size to allow a direct measurement of \( \chi''(Q, \omega) \) on an absolute scale. The as-grown crystals correspond to the condition of “optimal” doping (maximum \( T_c \)), with \( T_c = 91 \) K. To describe our results, we will follow previous practice and make use of a pseudo-tetragonal unit cell, with lattice parameters \( a = 3.82 \) Å (parallel to in-plane \( \text{Cu}_2\text{O} \) bonds) and \( c = 30.8 \) Å. Wave vectors \( Q \) are given in reciprocal lattice units of \( (2\pi/a, 2\pi/a, 2\pi/c) \). The antiferromagnetic wave vector within a \( \text{Cu}_2\text{O}_2 \) plane corresponds to \( Q_{\text{AF}} = (1/2, 1/2, 0) \). We note that the crystallographic unit cell is larger and orthorhombic, with a long-period incommensurate modulation in one in-plane direction. The substantial atomic displacements associated with the incommensurability can modulate electronic and magnetic interactions; however, we did not detect any anisotropy of the magnetic scattering associated with the incommensurate modulation direction.

The magnetic response was measured by inelastic neutron scattering using a time-of-flight (TOF) technique (see Methods). Figure presents constant-energy slices of the scattered signal as a function of momentum transfer about \( Q_{\text{AF}} \) for several energies and temperatures. The results are plotted in the form of \( \chi''(Q, \omega) \), as discussed in Methods. Besides the magnetic scattering, peaked at \( Q_{\text{AF}} \), the measurements also include contributions from phonons; the phonon contribution should be approximately independent of temperature, but should vary, on average, as \( Q^2 \). In this figure, we have subtracted a fitted background of the form \( c_0 + c_2 Q^2 \), where the coefficients \( c_0 \) and \( c_2 \) depend on energy, with \( c_2 \) independent of temperature. (The magnitude of the background can be seen in Fig. 2c, where plots of the measured intensity along specific directions in \( Q \) are plotted, with the fitted background indicated by a dashed line.) The magnetic signal is expected to be weaker and more diffuse at 310 K, and the signal found there is generally consistent with these predictions.
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with this expectation. The diagonal streak of scattering for \( \hbar \omega = 36 \text{ meV} \) at 310 K indicates a phonon contribution with intensity modulated in \( Q \).

For a more quantitative analysis of the magnetic sig-

al and its temperature dependence, we can take cuts through these images and fit gaussian peaks to the structures. Examples of such plots are shown in Fig. 2a-c and Fig. 3. From the fits, we obtain both the mag-

itude and \( Q \) dependence of the magnetic response. The effective dispersion of the magnetic excitations is plotted in Fig. 2. Within the uncertainties, it is efect-

ively isotropic about \( Q_{AF} \). At low temperature, the overall shape of the dispersion is qualitatively consistent with the hour-glass spectrum that appears to be universal among the cuprates with the excitations crossing \( Q_{AF} \) at \( \hbar \omega \sim 40 \text{ meV} \). The response falls off below a spin gap energy of ∼ 25 meV (see Fig. 3).

The method that we have used to identify the magnetic response and separate it from the large phonon back-

ground is dependent on assumptions. To get a direct measure of the magnetic response, we have performed a second experiment with spin polarized neutrons (see Methods and Supplementary Information). Because of intensity limitations associated with this technique, our useful results are limited to measurements at \( Q_{AF} \); these are presented in Fig. 4a. The lower panels compare results for \( \chi''(Q_{AF}, \omega) \) extracted from fits to the TOF data. The data in Fig. 4a and c are generally consistent, with a substantial temperature-dependent change in signal at \( \hbar \omega \sim 40 \text{ meV} \). More modest changes with temperature are seen in Fig. 4b, which corresponds to measurements with a different incident neutron energy, \( E_i \).

To understand the sensitivity to \( E_i \), we have to consider the fact that CuO\(_2\) planes in Bi\(_2\)Sr\(_2\)CaCu\(_2\)O\(_{8+\delta}\) come in correlated bilayers, just as in YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6+\delta}\). The magnetic response from a pair of layers can be separated into components with symmetry that is odd or even with respect to exchange of the layers. The relative cross sections for the odd and even responses depend on the momentum.
FIG. 3: Magnetic response at lower energies Cuts through $\chi''(q, \omega)$ along $q = [1, -1, 0]$ (path D in Fig. 2) for excitation energies of 24, 30, 36, and 42 meV (bottom to top) measured at $T = 10$ K with $E_i = 120$ meV. Data sets have been offset vertically for clarity. Dashed lines indicate through $\chi$.FIG. 4: Temperature and energy dependence of $\chi''$. a–c, $\chi''(Q, \omega)$ at $Q = Q_{\text{AF}}$, with obtained from: a, spin-polarized beam measurements at $Q = (0.5, 1.5, 4.5)$; b, TOF measurements with $E_i = 120$ meV; c, TOF data with $E_i = 200$ meV. Error bars indicate standard deviations. d–f, Local magnetic susceptibility, $\chi'(\omega)$, for: d, $E_i = 80$ meV; e, $E_i = 120$ meV; f, $E_i = 200$ meV. For all panels, black circles: $T = 10$ K; red diamonds: $T = 100$ K; vertical bars indicate energy range over which the signal was averaged. Inset in d shows a rough estimate of $\chi''(\omega, Q)$, while horizontal bars indicate energy range over which the signal was averaged. Inlet in d shows a rough estimate of $\chi''(\omega, Q)$, while horizontal bars indicate energy range over which the signal was averaged.

2∆ ≈ 80 meV for optimally-doped Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$. It has been established that the superconducting gap decreases to zero at $T = T_c$ for wave vectors on the nodal arc. A pseudogap remains at antinodal wave vectors for $T > T_c$, but this involves only a weak depression of the electronic spectral function at the Fermi energy. Thus, if the magnetic excitations were due to quasiparticles, we should have seen dramatic changes in $\chi''(\omega)$ between 10 K and 100 K over the entire measured energy range. Clearly, our results are inconsistent with such a picture. Similar inconsistencies for an electron-doped cuprate have been emphasized by Krüger et al. Furthermore, the strong temperature dependence of $\chi''(\omega)$ inferred from a conventional quasiparticle-based analysis of optical conductivity data is inconsistent with our direct measurement, raising a challenge to that analysis.

Our results cast considerable doubt on the common view of the ~40-meV “resonance” mode as a spin-1 excitation of a pair of quasiparticles in the superconducting state, where the excitation is presumed to be resonant or excitonic because it occurs at an energy below twice the maximum superconducting $d$-wave gap energy ($2\Delta$). From ARPES and STS studies, we know that $2\Delta \approx 80$ meV for optimally-doped Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$. It has been established that the superconducting gap decreases to zero at $T = T_c$ for wave vectors on the nodal arc. A pseudogap remains at antinodal wave vectors for $T > T_c$, but this involves only a weak depression of the electronic spectral function at the Fermi energy. Thus, if the magnetic excitations were due to quasiparticles, we should have seen dramatic changes in $\chi''(\omega)$ between 10 K and 100 K over the entire measured energy range. Clearly, our results are inconsistent with such a picture. Similar inconsistencies for an electron-doped cuprate have been emphasized by Krüger et al. Furthermore, the strong temperature dependence of $\chi''(\omega)$ inferred from a conventional quasiparticle-based analysis of optical conductivity data is inconsistent with our direct measurement, raising a challenge to that analysis.

The magnitude of $\chi''(\omega)$ in the energy range of 40–50 meV (Fig. 4d–f) is smaller than, but within a factor of two of, that for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.95}$ La$_{1.83}$Sr$_{0.16}$CuO$_4$ and La$_{1.875}$Ba$_{0.125}$CuO$_4$. In the case of La$_{2-x}$Ba$_x$CuO$_4$, it has been shown that the mag-
netism is dominated by local moments on Cu atoms.\textsuperscript{17} Given the comparable magnitude of the magnetic response, universal dispersion, and the limited sensitivity to the presence of a superconducting gap, it seems likely that the spin fluctuations in Bi\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{2}CaCu\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{8+δ} are due primarily to local-moment magnetism. A recent study of YBa\textsubscript{2}Cu\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{6.95} reached a similar conclusion.\textsuperscript{23}

La\textsubscript{1.875}Ba\textsubscript{0.125}CuO\textsubscript{4} may seem an unlikely case to compare with optimally-doped Bi\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{2}CaCu\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{8+δ}, as the former compound exhibits charge and spin stripe order. Nevertheless, a recent ARPES study\textsuperscript{28} has demonstrated the coexistence of nodal quasiparticles with the localized electronic moments of the spin stripes\textsuperscript{12} and there is evidence for two-dimensional superconducting correlations, as well\textsuperscript{25} Explaining such counterintuitive behavior remains a challenge for theorists.

**Methods**

The Bi\textsubscript{2}Sr\textsubscript{2}CaCu\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{8+δ} crystals were grown at Brookhaven using the traveling-solvent floating-zone method\textsuperscript{15}. Plate-like single crystals with sizes up to 50 x 7.2 x 7 mm\textsuperscript{3} were obtained. Magnetic susceptibility measurements indicate an onset of diamagnetism at \textit{T}_c = 91 K. Four crystals, with a total mass of 19 g, were mounted on aluminum supports and co-aligned for the experiment on the MAPS time-of-flight spectrometer at the ISIS spallation facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory. The sample was mounted in a closed-cycle He refrigerator for temperature control, with the c-axis oriented along the incident beam direction. The combinations of (incident neutron energy \textit{E}_i, Fermi chopper frequency, typical integrated beam current) used for the measurements were (80 meV, 250 Hz, 5000 μA h), (120 meV, 350 Hz, 9000 μA h), and (200 meV, 500 Hz, 7000 μA h). Measured differential cross sections, \textit{d}^2\textit{σ}/d\textit{Q}d\textit{E}, were converted to absolute units by normalization to measurements on standard vanadium foils. The dynamic susceptibility was extracted using the formula

\[
\frac{d^2\sigma}{dQdE} = \frac{k_f}{k_i} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\hbar \omega/k_B T}} f_{Cu}(Q)\chi''(Q, \omega),
\]

where \(f_{Cu}(Q)\) is the anisotropic magnetic form factor for Cu\textsuperscript{29}.

A second set of 7 crystals, with a mass of 18.75 g, was aligned with x-rays at Brookhaven (collective mosaic < 2°) for the experiment on IN22 at the ILL. The spectrometer is equipped with a vertically-focusing monochromator and horizontally-focusing analyzer, both made of Heusler alloy crystals. The sample, oriented with [100] and [039] directions in the horizontal scattering plane, was mounted in an ILL He-flow cryostat, inside of Cryopadum, a system providing spherical polarimetry capabilities\textsuperscript{29}. The neutron polarization flipping ratio measured on a strong Bragg peak was 16. To obtain the inelastic magnetic scattering cross section, we used an appropriate combination of spin-flip intensities measured for three orthogonal neutron polarizations (see Supplementary Information) with a fixed final energy of 30.5 meV. The results were normalized to the time-of-flight data through non-spin-flip measurements of phonons.
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SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Polarization analysis

Let \( Q \) (in the horizontal scattering plane) define the \( x \) direction, and let \( z \) be vertical; then \( y \) is the orthogonal direction in the scattering plane. Using Cryopad, it is possible to set the initial or final polarization direction to be along any of these directions. (Note that the sample sits in a zero-field, magnetically-screened environment.) For our problem, it is sufficient to consider only cases where the initial and final polarizations are parallel.

We can measure scattered intensities as a function of polarization orientation (\( xx \), \( yy \), or \( zz \)). If the flipper is off, then we will detect neutrons that have the same spin orientation as in the incident beam (designated \( ++ \) or \( −− \)); with the flipper on, we detect spins that have been flipped by scattering from the sample (\( +− \) or \( −+ \)). Thus, we can label the measured intensities as \( I_{αα}' \), where \( α = x, y, z \), and \( s = +, − \).

For the scattering cross section from the sample, we will use \( σ_{αα}' \) as an abbreviation for the differential cross section; note that it is labeled with the same polarization orientation and spin-direction indices. Let \( N \) represent the contribution due to nuclear scattering (including contributions from the sample holder, cryostat, etc.), and let \( M_α \) represent the magnetic contribution due to fluctuations along the \( α \) direction. (Note that \( M_x \) is zero because those fluctuations are parallel to \( Q \), and only the fluctuations perpendicular to \( Q \) have a finite cross section.) For Bi\(_2\)Sr\(_2\)CaCu\(_2\)O\(_{8+δ}\), there should be no significant nuclear spin incoherent scattering, so we will assume that the background \( B \) depends on counting time, but not on spin direction. With these definitions, all of the relevant cross sections can be written in a simple form:

\[
\begin{align*}
σ_{++} &= σ_{−−} = N + B, \\
σ_{+−} &= σ_{−+} = M_y + M_z + B, \\
σ_{++} &= σ_{−−} = N + M_y + B, \\
σ_{+−} &= σ_{−+} = M_z + B, \\
σ_{++} &= σ_{−−} = N + M_z + B, \\
σ_{+−} &= σ_{−+} = M_y + B.
\end{align*}
\]

From the spin-flip (SF) cross sections alone, we can uniquely extract the magnetic cross section with the combination

\[
M_y + M_z = \frac{R + 1}{R - 1} \left( 2I_{++} - I_{+−} - I_{−+} \right).
\]

This is the formula that we used to extract the magnetic cross section from measured SF intensities for the three polarization directions.

Of course, the measured intensities \( I_{αα}' \) are limited by the degree of polarization achieved and maintained by the monochromator, analyzer, and the guide fields. (We will assume that the spin flipper performs perfectly.) To collectively characterize the effective polarizing efficiency, one typically measures the flipping ratio \( R \) by sitting on a Bragg peak and measuring the ratio of the intensity with the flipper off to that with the flipper on (say, \( I_{++} / I_{+−} \)). By evaluating the measured intensities, taking account of the finite beam polarizations, one finds that

\[
M_y + M_z = \frac{R + 1}{R - 1} \left( 2I_{++} - I_{+−} - I_{−+} \right).
\]