**cgDNAweb**: a web interface to the cgDNA sequence-dependent coarse-grain model of double-stranded DNA
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**ABSTRACT**

The sequence-dependent statistical mechanical properties of fragments of double-stranded DNA is believed to be pertinent to its biological function at length scales from a few base pairs (or bp) to a few hundreds of bp, e.g. indirect read-out protein binding sites, nucleosome positioning sequences, phased A-tracts, etc. In turn, the equilibrium statistical mechanical behaviour of DNA depends upon its ground state configuration, or minimum free energy shape, as well as on its fluctuations as governed by its stiffness (in an appropriate sense). We here present cgDNAweb, which provides browser-based interactive visualization of the sequence-dependent ground states of double-stranded DNA molecules, as predicted by the underlying cgDNA coarse-grain rigid-base model of fragments with arbitrary sequence. The cgDNAweb interface is specifically designed to facilitate comparison between ground state shapes of different sequences. The server is freely available at cgDNAweb.epfl.ch with no login requirement.

**INTRODUCTION**

Sequence-dependent shape and stiffness of double-stranded DNA (or dsDNA) are believed to be biologically important in contexts such as the positioning of nucleosomes (1–3), indirect readout by DNA-binding proteins (4,5) and other forms of gene regulation (6). The general assumption is that sequence variation significantly affects the equilibrium probability distribution of configurations of dsDNA that is interacting with an enveloping solvent or heat bath. Such statistical mechanical properties of dsDNA can be probed experimentally by a variety of experimental techniques, e.g. minicircle cyclization experiments such as (7–9), nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) data (10), X-ray crystal structure (11) and a variety of electron, or atomic force, microscopy techniques (12,13). Each experimental approach has its own advantages, disadvantages and limitations. For example the observed crystal structure configuration of the palindromic Dickerson dodecamer (11) is significantly far from satisfying the requisite symmetry conditions that should hold for the equilibrium distribution ground state for any palindromic fragment of dsDNA in solution (14).

The statistical mechanical equilibrium distribution for DNA can also be probed by appropriate averaging over fully atomistic molecular dynamics (or MD) simulations, such as those carried out by the ABC consortium for example (15). Such MD simulations of a few microsecond duration for several tens of different oligomers of 20 bp or so in length (in an appropriately sized explicit solvent box) are now becoming routine with contemporary, widely available, computational resources. But the vast size of sequence space, even for short fragments, means that only a tiny proportion of all sequences can possibly be simulated using MD codes.

For these and other reasons there is considerable interest in developing sequence-dependent coarse-grain dsDNA models of various types. The goal of such models is typically to lower the computational demands so that it is feasible to achieve longer (model) simulation times, or indeed to predict equilibrium (or infinite time) probability distributions directly, and to be able, for example, to scan entire genomes for physically exceptional sequence fragments. The biological importance of the sequence-dependent shape of DNA is attested to by the number of coarse-grain models that have been developed to address the problem, e.g. Olson *et al.* (16), Savelyev *et al.* (17), Sayar *et al.* (18), the 3SPN model (19), Maciejczyk *et al.* (20), the Martini coarse grain force field (21), Naomé *et al.* (22), Morriss-Andrews *et al.* (23) and the oxDNA model (24). There are also some as-
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The cnDNA model

The cnDNA model (29) is a sequence-dependent coarse-grain approximation to dsDNA in which each base is assumed to be a rigid body that interacts directly with each of its five nearest neighbour bases (two on the same backbone, and three on the opposite backbone). The inputs to the cnDNA model of a dsDNA oligomer with N base pairs is a sequence $S$ of $N$ letters drawn from the {A,T,C,G} alphabet (corresponding to the bases along the reading or Watson strand in the $5' \rightarrow 3'$ direction), along with a model parameter set $\mathcal{P}$. Various parameter sets are available corresponding either to different mathematical choices in the parameter estimation techniques (31) or to different MD training set data, which in turn can depend on both different MD simulation protocols, or on physically different solvent conditions, e.g. different ion species and concentration. Current parameter sets are all only for the standard AT and CG base pairings, which are assumed throughout, so that the Watson sequence completely specifies the Crick sequence.

The basic outputs of the cnDNA model are the mean and inverse covariance of a Gaussian, or multi-variate normal, probability density function (or PDF) of the form

$$
\rho(w; S, \mathcal{P}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (w - \hat{w}) \cdot K(w - \hat{w}) \right\},
$$

where the variable $w \in \mathbb{R}^{12N-6}$ is the Curves+ (30) internal helicoidal coordinates of the configuration, $Z(S, \mathcal{P})$ is the partition function (or normalizing constant), $\hat{w}(S, \mathcal{P})$ are the Curves+ coordinates of the ground state (which is the configuration that minimizes the shifted quadratic free energy in the argument of the exponential) and $K(S, \mathcal{P}) \in \mathbb{R}^{(12N-6)\times(12N-6)}$ is a symmetric, positive definite stiffness (or precision or inverse covariance) matrix, which governs the distribution of fluctuations of configurations about the ground state. The physical interpretation of the PDF (1) is as a predictive approximation of the equilibrium, or stationary, distribution of the configurations of a DNA oligomer of any prescribed sequence $S$ interacting stochastically with solvent composed of water and counter ions.

Curves+ coordinates decompose into alternating sextuplets $w = (y^1, z^1, y^2, z^2, \ldots, z^{N-1}, y^N)$ where each $y^i$ are intra-base pair coordinates, (buckle, propeller, opening, shear, stretch, stagger) whilst each $z^i$ are inter-base pair (or junction) coordinates (tilt, roll, twist, shift, rise). Each sextuplet has three rotational coordinates followed by three translational coordinates. Within cnDNA, translations are reported in Angstroms, whilst angular variables are treated internally in units of one-fifth radian (which gives good scaling between diagonal entries of the stiffness matrix $K$ corresponding to translational and angular coordinates). The cnDNAweb interface also allows the option of reporting angular variables in the more familiar unit of degrees. We note that Curves+ has its own web server (32), but the services and codes provided there are aimed at post-processing existing data, from either experiment or MD, whereas the cnDNAweb services are predictive based on the cnDNA model.

The nearest-neighbour interaction approximation in the cnDNA model is complemented by the further assumption that the energy of interaction between any two bases de-
pends only on the composition of those two bases (in the \{A,T,C,G\} alphabet). Taken together, these two assumptions imply that the stiffness matrix \( K \) is banded with an \( 18 \times 18 \) overlapping block structure, with each block depending only on the flanking dinucleotide sequence step context. The total free energy of an oligomer can then be viewed as a summation over such localized junction free energies. However it is a simple consequence of the linear algebra of carrying out such a summation that the ground state \( \hat{w}(S,P) \) has non-local sequence dependence. Physically the non-locality arises due to a frustration that arises because each individual rigid base participates in two base-pair junctions corresponding to its five nearest neighbours (14,29).

Reconstruction of base and base pair frames

The definition of the Curves+ internal helicoidal coordinates includes reconstruction rules for base \( (R^z_i, r^z_i) \) and base pair frames \( (R_i, r_i) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) that respect the Cambridge and Tsukuba conventions (33,34). Here, \( r_i \) are the absolute Cartesian coordinates of a reference point in the \( i \)th base pair, whilst \( R_i \) is the proper rotation matrix that encodes the absolute orientation of the \( i \)th base pair frame. Similarly \( (R^z_i, r^z_i) \) encode the absolute orientation and position of the \( i \)th base frames where \( \pm \) indicates Watson and (appropriately rotated) Crick strand base frames. In order to eliminate an overall translation and rotation of the ds-DNA oligomer, in the Curves+ reconstruction rule one base pair frame can be taken to have arbitrary values, and the \( cgDNAweb \) interface allows any base pair frame to be assigned the values \( (I, 0) \) (i.e. the identity matrix and the zero vector) after which all other frames are uniquely defined. \( cgDNAweb \) then provides a 3D visualization of ground state frames in the sense of \( (R^z_i, r^z_i)(\hat{w}(w)) \) and \( (R_i, r_i)(\hat{w}(w)) \), i.e. the base and base pair frames are reconstructed for the expected value \( \hat{w} = \langle w \rangle \) of the Curves+ coordinates under the \( cgDNA \) PDF (1). We also refer to these particular frames as a ground state configuration.

A Monte Carlo code \( cgDNAmc \) is available (35) to evaluate \( cgDNA \) ensemble averages of any given function of the coordinates, such as \( \langle (R^z_i, R^z_j, r^z_i - r^z_j)(\hat{w}(w)) \rangle \), which is the expected relative displacement between \( i \)th and \( j \)th base pair frames. Such expectations are related to persistence lengths in various senses, but are not simply expressible in terms of \( \langle w \rangle \). Currently, the \( cgDNAweb \) interface provides only 2D visualization of \( \langle w \rangle \) itself, along with 3D visualization of the frames \( (R_i, r_i)(\hat{w}(w)) \) and \( (R^z_i, r^z_i)(\hat{w}(w)) \) reconstructed for the ground state coordinates \( \langle w \rangle \).

Features of the web server

The header of the \( cgDNAweb \) page contains an input form for entering the desired sequence by either copy-paste or typing directly (with the syntax that is fully described in the online documentation pane, in particular upper or lower or mixed case, with or without spaces or carriage returns are all allowed, cf. examples below). Hard limits on sequence length are that inputs must be at least 2 bp long and \(<3K\) bp. The border of the input form turns red when the input is rejected, either because of length constraints or unrecognized input syntax. Sufficiently long sequences eventually become unwieldy to manipulate interactively in the 3D viewer particularly when visualizing individual atoms, with the practical maximum being hardware and server dependent. For contemporary laptops usually up to 1K bp or more is feasible, which is well beyond scales at which sequence-effects are believed to be biologically pertinent. End effects in ground state reconstructions effectively vanish (i.e. have effects of \(<1\%\) only 5 bp or so away from the ends. This is physically reasonable, but it suggests that a practical lower limit for sequence length is \( \sim 10 \) bp.

Once a sequence has been entered, a pull down menu selects the parameter set to be used, and then the Go button launches the \( cgDNA \) model reconstruction on the server. In fact, there are four input forms that can be used independently and in parallel, with visualizations of the corresponding ground state reconstructions all superimposed to facilitate comparison.

Below the header there are five tabs/panes. They provide credit and citation information, basic online documentation, a pane for downloading numerical data of reconstructions (detail of available formats is described in Supplementary Section S1) and most importantly 2D and 3D visualization panes.

The 2D pane provides 12 sub-panes, each with a plot of one of the Curves+ helicoidal coordinates along the ground state of each of the entered sequences. Different colours encode which sequence is being plotted. When the mouse is positioned over a data point in any graph, a pop-up window displays the precise numerical data and local sequence context for each of the ground states being displayed. These 2D plots of helicoidal coordinates provide the most detailed information about ground states for comparatively short sequences. However for longer sequences the plots become harder to read. And for any length sequence it can be difficult to interpret helicoidal coordinates as 3D configurations.

Accordingly the 3D pane provides a 3D scene of the base and base pair frames of the ground states, which can be interactively rotated and zoomed via the mouse. A drop down sub-menu provides various visualization options. For example each base or base pair frame can be visualized as a point, or origin, along with three orthonormal vectors, or as a rectangular box, colour-coded for sequence. In the vector visualization, the base frames follow the Tsukuba convention (33) and are quite close to the associated base pair frame, but the box representation of a base is offset to be a bounding box for the heavy atoms in the base. Similarly each base can instead be visualized using just spheres centred on its heavy atom locations. However there is no additional information in the atom locations; they are merely idealized coordinates with respect to the base frame as specified in the Tsukuba convention. Similarly there is an option to visualize backbones, but they are only a visual aid constructed from a simple interpolation from the base location data. Further technical detail of the visualization options is provided in Supplementary Section S2. When the mouse is clicked on a base, a pop-up window displays the oligomer and strand numbers along with the base composition. Unless your eye is well-trained, it is difficult to perceive significant differences in the 3D visualizations of short frag-
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ments, but large overall intrinsic bends in longer sequences are much clearer in the 3D view than in the corresponding 2D plots, cf. Figure 2 for the tandem repeat A-tract sequence described below.

Example sequences

The intention is that cgDNAweb should provide visualization of ground states of specific dsDNA sequences of interest to the user. But here we briefly describe some illustrative examples. Interactivity of visualization is we believe important, so that we encourage the reader to actually input the sequences below in the cgDNAweb page. Nevertheless for comparison purposes, static images of both the full 2D and 3D pane outputs of cgDNAweb for each of the two examples described below are provided in Supplementary Section S3, where the cgDNAweb outputs for further example sequences are also briefly discussed.

The Drew-Dickerson dodecamer and a localized palindromic mutation. Input sequences CGCG aA[T]t CGCG and a palindromic mutation CGCG tA[T]a CGCG. The [ ] in the sequence input sets the base pair frames that are aligned in the 3D visualization. Upper and lower case and spaces are all allowed in the input, which are here used to highlight the two, point mutations between the two sequences. For palindromes the propeller variable plotted in Figure 1 is an even function. The same plot shows that the ground state can exhibit rather delocalized differences consequent upon local sequence changes. Further snapshots of cgDNAweb data for this sequence are provided in Supplementary Section S3.1.

Tandem repeats. Repeating sequence sub-units can be input with a subscript, e.g. nine successive, identical A-tracts each with six successive Ts can be input as (T₆GCCCG)_9. However the alignment symbol [ ] can only appear once, so the same sequence can also be input as (T₆GCCCG)_4T₄TTT[T]TGCCCG(T₆GCCCG)_4 and aligned with a homogeneous poly(T) = T_N sequence of the same length using the syntax T₄₄₇[T]T₅₁. (If the alignment symbol is absent in any input the first base pair frame is taken by default.) We note that in cgDNAweb, sequences such as poly(T) or equivalently (under switch of Watson and Crick strands) poly(A), are homogeneous ds-DNA fragments. The 3D view (cf. Figure 2) emphasizes the global bend in the A-tract sequence in contrast to the very straight poly(T), whilst the 2D pane plots provided in Supplementary Section S3.2 reveal that for repeating A-tracts the largest changes in the Curves+ coordinates arise close to the boundary junctions with TG and GT dinucleotide steps. Moreover the ends of the ground state of the homogeneous sequence poly(T) behave differently from the interior, as they should. The poly(T) sequence is also highly exceptional with a very large (negative) value of propeller.

Other examples. The cgDNAweb output for ground states of various other sequences are briefly discussed in Supplementary Section S3.3 three poly-dimer sequences, Sections S3.4 and S3.5 further A-tract examples and comparison with poly(A), Section S3.6 the Widom 601 sequence, and Section S3.7 super-helical structures for tandem repeats.

DISCUSSION

The cgDNAweb page provides an easy-to-use visual interface to the cgDNA predictive model of sequence-dependent ground state configurations of dsDNA. The cgDNA model itself continues to be actively developed in various directions, and the cgDNAweb site will in due course be concomitantly updated. Certainly we intend that the range of available parameter sets will continue to be updated as more MD training library simulations are run. For example, a parameter set with an expanded sequence alphabet including epigenetically modified bases is currently being developed. The current version of the cgDNA model does encompass fluctuations of the dsDNA backbones, but only implicitly. Consequently cgDNAweb only explicitly predicts the ground state configurations of the dsDNA bases, although associated backbones can be approximated via interpolation. However a more refined version of the cgDNA model is currently under development that includes explicit predictions of the backbone ground state via additional frames embedded in the phosphate groups, and we in due course envision an accompanying enhanced version of cgDNAweb.

The cgDNA model predicts both a ground state configuration and a banded stiffness matrix for each input sequence. However cgDNAweb focuses on visualizing the ground state shape in various ways, and only provides the stiffness matrix via download of a file with its numerical entries. There are two reasons for this limitation. First it is not completely clear what are the useful objects to visualize to help in understanding sequence-dependent variations in the stiffness matrix. One, but only one, possibility is to make plots of the eigenvalues of the stiffness matrix, as indicated in Figure 3 (in the scaling with translation variables in Angströms and rotations in one-fifth radians). Such eigenvalue plots could straightforwardly be computed online and provided within cgDNAweb, but for a 1K bp fragment, for which the stiffness matrix is almost 12K by 12K (albeit banded), the intensity of the necessary numerics would substantially slow the response time of the web server. This is our second, and major reason, for not pro-
Figure 2. The 3D visualizations of the ground state configurations of the multiple A-tract, intrinsically bent, sequence (\textit{T_A}_5 \\textit{GCGG})_\text{i}, compared with the homogeneous, intrinsically straight, sequence \textit{T_A}_99. See Supplementary Section S3.2 for the 2D and other 3D visualizations of the same two sequence fragments.

Figure 3. The \textit{cgDNA} stiffness matrix for a sequence of length \textit{N} has $12N - 6$ positive eigenvalues, which can be sorted in ascending order and plotted against their index (scaled to always lie in [0,1]) to facilitate comparison of spectra for sequences of different lengths. Spectra are here compared for four sequences \textit{A}_5 \textit{G}_5 \textit{T}_5 \textit{C}_5 \textit{T}_i, the Widom 601 position sequence (see Supplementary Section S3.6, purple) and the A-tract sequence \textit{A}_5 \textit{G}_5 \textit{T}_5 \textit{C}_5 \textit{T}_i (red). The inset in the top left is a magnification of the lower part of the spectra.
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