WHERE DO WET, DRY, AND MIXED GALAXY MERGERS OCCUR? A STUDY OF THE ENVIRONMENTS OF CLOSE GALAXY PAIRS IN THE DEEP2 GALAXY REDSHIFT SURVEY
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ABSTRACT

We study the environments of wet, dry, and mixed galaxy mergers at 0.75 < z < 1.2 using close pairs in the DEEP2 Galaxy Redshift Survey. We find that the typical environment of dry and mixed merger candidates is denser than that of wet mergers, mostly due to the color–density relation. While the galaxy companion rate (N_c) is observed to increase with overdensity, using N-body simulations, we find that the fraction of pairs that will eventually merge decreases with the local density, predominantly because interlopers are more common in dense environments. After taking into account the merger probability of pairs as a function of local density, we find only marginal environment dependence of the galaxy merger rate for wet mergers. On the other hand, the dry and mixed merger rates increase rapidly with local density due to the increased population of red galaxies in dense environments, implying that the dry and mixed mergers are most effective in overdense regions. We also find that the environment distribution of K+A galaxies is similar to that of wet mergers alone and of wet+mixed mergers, suggesting a possible connection between K+A galaxies and wet and/or wet+mixed mergers. Based on our results, we therefore expect that the properties, including structures and masses, of red-sequence galaxies should be different between those in underdense regions and those in overdense regions since the dry mergers are significantly more important in dense environments. We conclude that, as early as z ∼ 1, high-density regions are the preferred environment in which dry mergers occur, and that present-day red-sequence galaxies in overdense environments have, on average, undergone 1.2 ± 0.3 dry mergers since this time, accounting for (38 ± 10)% of their mass accretion in the last 8 billion years. The main uncertainty in this finding is the conversion from the pair fraction to the galaxy merger rate, which is possibly as large as a factor of 2. Our findings suggest that dry mergers are crucial in the mass assembly of massive red galaxies in dense environments, such as brightest cluster galaxies in galaxy groups and clusters.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Within the framework of hierarchical structure formation, dark matter halos grow through successive mergers with other halos and through accretion of the surrounding mass (Blumenthal et al. 1984; Davis et al. 1985; Stewart et al. 2009). Whether this bottom-up scenario also holds for galaxies which reside in dark matter halos remains a challenging question in the theories of galaxy formation and evolution. The keys to pin down the importance of mergers in the assembly history of galaxies are the study of galaxy merger rates as a function of cosmic time (Carlberg et al. 2000; Patton et al. 2002; Conselice et al. 2003, 2009; Lin et al. 2004, 2008; Lotz et al. 2008b; de Ravel et al. 2009; Bluck et al. 2009) and to understand the level of triggered star formation during galaxy interactions (Lambas et al. 2003; Nikolic et al. 2004; Woods et al. 2006; Lin et al. 2007; Barton et al. 2007; Ellison et al. 2008).
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In addition to assembling galaxy mass, galaxy mergers have also been suggested to be responsible for the change of galaxy properties (Hopkins et al. 2006). Galaxy populations have been shown to evolve differently since redshift 1.5: while the characteristic number densities of blue galaxies remain fairly constant, the number and stellar mass densities of red galaxies have at least doubled over this period (Bell et al. 2004; Willmer et al. 2006; Faber et al. 2007). The growth rate of the red galaxies is much faster than the predictions from purely passive evolution, suggesting that additional physical mechanisms are required to truncate the star formation in some of the blue galaxies and turn them into the red sequence (Bell et al. 2007). More recently, there have been studies examining the connection between galaxy mergers and the establishment of red-sequence galaxies (van Dokkum 2005; Bell et al. 2006; Scarlata et al. 2007; Lin et al. 2008; Skelton et al. 2009). Using close pairs found in the DEEP2 survey, Lin et al. (2008) found that the present red galaxies might have experienced on average 0.7, 0.2, and 0.4 wet, dry, and mixed mergers, respectively, since z ∼ 1, suggesting a key role for galaxy mergers in the evolution history
of red galaxies. In addition, the relative roles of different types of mergers also evolve with redshift, indicating that the effect of quenching star formation and mass buildup through mergers also evolves with time. Meanwhile, it becomes increasingly clear that dense regions such as galaxy groups and clusters might be the places where the transformation of blue galaxies into red galaxies occurs most effectively. If galaxy mergers are the dominant quenching mechanism, one should also expect a clear environment dependence of galaxy merger rates. However, there have been very few attempts to probe the connection between mergers and environment observationally (McIntosh et al. 2008; Darg et al. 2010).

Another way to probe the connection between mergers and the formation of red galaxies is to compare the environment distribution of mergers to the poststarburst galaxies, the so-called K+A or E+A galaxies (Dressler & Gunn 1983). Such galaxies are identified through their strong Balmer absorption and little Hα or [O ii] emissions, indicating that they had recent star formation with the last ∼1 Gyr or so, but no ongoing star formation. These K+A galaxies are suggested to be the transition phase between star forming galaxies and the dead red-sequence galaxies, and hence they could be the direct progenitors of early-type red galaxies. There have been many studies looking at the environment of poststarburst galaxies (Goto 2005; Hogg et al. 2006; Yan et al. 2009; Poggianti et al. 2008), with the aim of identifying the process that truncates the star formation activity (mergers, ram-pressure stripping, active galactic nucleus (AGN) feedback, etc.). By comparing the environment distribution of K+A galaxies in the literature to that of galaxy mergers, we can gain insight on the importance of galaxy mergers in the formation of K+A galaxies and hence the build-up of red-sequence galaxies.

Since galaxy interactions require more than one galaxy by definition, it is expected that galaxy mergers tend to reside in dense regions. Galaxy groups are thought to be preferred places for galaxy mergers because of their lower velocity dispersions as opposed to the galaxy clusters. By studying four X-ray luminous groups at intermediate redshifts (z ∼ 0.4), Tran et al. (2008) suggested that dry mergers are an important process to build up massive galaxies in the cores of galaxy groups/clusters; McIntosh et al. (2008), using group and cluster samples in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) also found that the frequency of mergers between luminous red galaxies (LRGs) is significantly higher in groups and clusters compared to the overall population of LRGs. While most previous studies examining the environment of mergers focused on dry mergers in dense environments, to date no quantitative measurement of wet, dry, and mixed merger rates as a function of environment has been obtained. This paper aims to address the issue of where galaxies build up their masses and where the transformation of galaxies happens by exploring the environment of various types of interacting galaxies. There are two methods that have been used in DEEP2 to classify environments: one is to use the projected nth-nearest neighbor surface density Σn (Cooper et al. 2006), which gives the estimates of local density of individual galaxies, and the other is to classify the galaxy environments into “field” and “groups/clusters” (Gerke et al. 2005). In this work, we adopted the former approach as a primary environment measurement to investigate (1) which environment hosts most wet/dry/mixed mergers and (2) the pair fraction and the galaxy merger rate as a function of environment at 0.75 < z < 1.2, using the blue–blue, red–red, and blue–red pairs selected from the DEEP2 sample (Lin et al. 2008). It is worth noting that there are potential caveats in our analysis using galaxy colors to classify wet/dry/mixed mergers. At z ∼ 1, it is found that about 20% of red galaxies appear to be either edge-on disks or dusty galaxies and hence are likely to be gas rich (Weiner et al. 2005) whereas there also exist blue spheroidals that could be gas poor, although these are relatively rare objects (Cassata et al. 2007). As Lin et al. (2008) noted that both cases of contamination make up only a minority of the red sequence and blue clouds, respectively, classifying different types of mergers based on their colors should be a fair approximation.

Major uncertainties in converting the pair fraction into the merger fraction and merger rates come from the handling of the fraction of pairs that will merge Cmg and merger timescale Tmg (Kitzbichler & White 2008; Lotz et al. 2008a). The most common way of assessing merger timescale is computed as the “dynamical friction time” (Binney & Tremaine 1987; Wetzel et al. 2009) or is estimated from the N-body/hydrodynamic simulations of galaxy mergers (Conselice 2006; Jiang et al. 2008; Lotz et al. 2008a). On the other hand, the fraction of pairs selected with projected separation with/without the line-of-sight velocities that are physically associated pairs and will merge within a short time is often obtained by correcting for chance projection (Patton & Atfield 2008; Bundy et al. 2009). Both Tmg and Cmg are usually assumed to be a constant at a given redshift and mass bin, independent of the environment. However, such approaches may not be adequate when comparing the merger rate across different environments because close pairs in dense environments are not isolated two-body systems, but are also influenced by nearby galaxies, surrounding material, and the gravitational potential from the group/cluster host halos. In order to make a fair comparison of merger frequencies across various environments, we adopt an improved estimate of Tmg and Cmg as a function of environment obtained from cosmological simulations when converting the pair fraction into the galaxy merger rate.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe our sample selection and the approach of measuring environment. In Section 3, we present our results on the pair fractions for blue and red galaxies, the computation of both Tmg and Cmg, and the derived galaxy merger rates for different merger categories. A discussion is given in Section 4, followed by our conclusions in Section 5. Throughout this paper, we adopt the following cosmology: H0 = 100 h km s−1 Mpc−1, Ωm = 0.3, and ΩΛ = 0.7. The Hubble constant h = 0.7 is adopted when calculating rest-frame magnitudes. Unless indicated otherwise, magnitudes are given in the AB system.

2. DATA, SAMPLE SELECTIONS, AND METHODS

2.1. The DEEP2 Redshift Survey

The DEEP2 Redshift Survey (DEEP2 for short) has measured redshifts for ∼50,000 galaxies at z ∼ 1 (Davis et al. 2003, 2007) using the DEIMOS spectrograph (Faber et al. 2003) on the 10 m Keck II telescope. The survey covers four fields with Field 1 (EGS: Extended Groth Strip) being a strip of 0.25 × 2 deg2 and Fields 2–4 each being 0.5 × 2 deg2. The photometry is based on BRI images taken with the 12K×8K camera on the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT; Coil et al. 2004). Galaxies are selected for spectroscopy using a limit of RAB = 24.1 mag. Except in Field 1, a two-color cut was also applied to exclude galaxies with redshifts z < 0.75. A 1200 line mm−1 grating (R ∼ 5000) is used with a spectral range of 6400–9000 Å, where the [O ii] 3727 Å doublet would be visible.
at $z \sim 0.7$–1.4. The data used here contains $\sim 20,000$ galaxies with reliable redshift measurements from Fields 1, 3, and 4. The rest-frame $B$-band magnitudes ($M_B$) and $U - B$ colors for DEEP2 galaxies at $0.75 < z < 0.9$ are derived in a way similar to Willmer et al. (2006). For galaxies with $0.9 < z < 1.2$, the rest-frame $U - B$ color is computed using the observed $R - z_{\text{mega}}$ color whenever it is available, where $z_{\text{mega}}$ is the $z$-band magnitude obtained from CFHT/Megacam observations for DEEP2 fields in 2004 and 2005 (L. Lin et al. 2010, in preparation).

2.2. Close Pair Sample in DEEP2

The DEEP2 close pairs used in this work are identical to those described in Lin et al. (2008). We begin with a sample of galaxies covering $-21 < M_B^* < -19$ (AB mag), where $M_B^*$ is the evolution-corrected absolute magnitude, defined as $M_B + Qz$. The value of $Q$ is chosen to be 1.3 in order to select galaxies with the same range relative to the environment, it does not carry specific information regarding $\text{DEEP2 (in AB magnitudes):}$

$$U - B = -0.032(M_B + 21.62) + 1.035. \ (1)$$

Blue–blue pairs, red–red pairs, and blue–red pairs are classified according to the rest-frame $U - B$ color combination of the galaxies comprising the pair, representing the candidates of “wet,” “dry,” and “mixed” mergers (Lin et al. 2008). In total, we have 101 blue–blue pairs, 26 red–red pairs, and 52 blue–red pairs over the redshift range $0.75 < z < 1.2$.

2.3. Local Environment Indicator

For each galaxy in the DEEP2 redshift sample, the local density environment is measured using the projected third-nearest-neighbor surface density ($\Sigma_3$). The detailed procedure is described in Cooper et al. (2005, 2006). Here, we briefly summarize the steps of computing the overdensity $\delta_3$ used in this work. $\Sigma_3$ is first calculated as $\Sigma_3 = 3/(\pi D_{p,3}^2)$, where $D_{p,3}$ corresponds to the projected distance of the third-nearest neighbor that is within the line-of-sight velocity interval of $\pm 1000$ km s$^{-1}$. For each galaxy, we then derive the overdensity $\delta_3$ as the local-sky completeness-corrected density $\Sigma_3/w_p$, denoted as $\Sigma_3'$, divided by the median density $\Sigma_3(z)$ at that redshift computed in bins of $\Delta z = 0.04$:

$$1 + \delta_3 = \Sigma_3'/\text{median}(\Sigma_3(z)), \ \ (2)$$

where $w_p$ is the local-sky completeness. $(1 + \delta_3)$ is thus a measure of the overdensity relative to the median density, which takes into account the variation in the redshift dependence of the sampling rate. As discussed in Cooper et al. (2005), $\delta_3$ is shown to be a robust environment measure for the DEEP2 sample.

2.4. Galaxy Group Catalogs

Although the overdensity $\delta_3$ is a good representation of local environment, it does not carry specific information regarding the kind of physical environment it corresponds to, such as field, groups, and clusters. A complementary way to classify the environment is to cross-reference the galaxy sample to the group/cluster catalogs. However, performing the group/cluster finding is not always possible, depending on the availability of redshifts, sampling rate, and other wavelength data (e.g., X-ray). DEEP2 targeted $\sim 65\%$ of all of the galaxies down to $R = 24.1$, and $\sim 70\%$ of these galaxies yield successful redshifts. Hence, the overall redshift sampling rate of DEEP2 is about $50\%$, which allows the identification of potential group candidates. The group catalog used in this paper is based on the version generated by Gerke et al. (2007), who applied the Voronoi-Delaunay Method (VDM) group finder (Marinoni et al. 2002) on the DEEP2 redshift sample. For detailed discussion of the DEEP2 group catalog, see Gerke et al. (2005, 2007). It was shown that this group catalog is most sensitive to groups with modest virial masses in the range $5 \times 10^{12} < M_{\text{vir}} < 5 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ ($200 < \sigma_r < 400$ km s$^{-1}$) (Coil et al. 2006). In Section 3.2, we present the distributions of various types of pairs against group properties. However, due to the incompleteness of group membership identifications in the DEEP2 sample, we therefore focus our final discussion on the results obtained using the local density measurements.

2.5. The Selection Function and the Spectroscopic Weight

As mentioned in Section 2.4, the overall redshift sampling rate of DEEP2 is about $50\%$, which has an impact on measuring the true pair fraction and hence the merger rate. In order to recover the intrinsic number of pairs, one must consider the completeness corrections accounting for the spectroscopic selection effects. Detailed calculations and results of the DEEP2 selection functions were presented in our previous work (Lin et al. 2008); here, we summarize main steps of these calculations. To measure the spectroscopic weight $w$ for each galaxy in the DEEP2 survey, we compared the sample with successful redshifts to all objects in the photometric catalog that satisfy the survey’s limiting magnitude and any photometric redshift cut. We parameterize the selection function to be (Lin et al. 2008; Yee et al. 1996; Patton et al. 2002):

$$S = S_m \Sigma_c \Sigma_{SB} \Sigma_y = S_m(R) \frac{S_y(B - R, R - I, R) S_{SB}(\mu_R, R)}{S_m(R)}, \ (3)$$

where $S_m$ is the magnitude selection function, $S_y$ is the apparent color selection function, $S_{SB}$ is the surface brightness selection function, and $\Sigma_c$, $\Sigma_{SB}$, and $\Sigma_y$ are all normalized to the magnitude selection function, $S_m$. The spectroscopic weight $w$ for each galaxy is thus $1/S$, which is derived from its apparent $R$ mag, $B - R$ and $R - I$ colors, $R$-band surface brightness, and local galaxy density.

The magnitude selection function $S_m(R)$ for each galaxy is computed as the ratio between the number of galaxies with good redshift qualities to the total number of galaxies in the target catalog in both cases, considering a magnitude bin of $\pm 0.25$ mag centered on the magnitude and colors of the galaxy. The color selection function $S_y(B - R, R - I, R)$ is computed by counting galaxies within $\pm 0.25$ R magnitude over a $B - R$ and $R - I$ color range of $\pm 0.25$ mag. Similarly, the surface brightness selection function is defined within $\pm 0.25$ mag in $\mu_R$ and $\pm 0.25$ mag in $R$. The geometric selection function $S_y(\mu_R, R)$ is similar to the magnitude selection function but computed on a
3. RESULTS

3.1. Environment Distribution of Blue–Blue/Red–Red/Mixed Pairs

Figure 1(a) shows the projected positions of wet, dry, and mixed pairs found in one of the DEEP2 fields (Field 4), overlaid with contours tracing the mean density along the line of sight. Visually it reveals that blue–blue pairs appear in all kinds of environments, from low- to high-density regions. On the other hand, red–red and blue–red pairs tend to lie in denser environments. Quantitative comparisons between the local environment of the three types of pairs, after correcting for the spectroscopic incompleteness, are shown in Figure 1(b). We first note that all blue–blue, red–red, and blue–red pairs have median local density greater than the average environment ($\log_{10}(1 + \delta_3) \sim 0$). This is expected: paired galaxies, by definition, have a close companion nearby and thus their separation from the third-nearest neighbor will be smaller (and hence denser) on average by construction. The most interesting result of Figure 1(b) lies in the difference in the density distribution among blue–blue, red–red, and blue–red pairs. While blue–blue pairs favor median-density environments, red–red and blue–red pairs are preferentially located in overdense regions.

Two upper panels plot the overdensity against velocity dispersion (left) and the number of group members (right) for paired galaxies. The black symbols and associated error bars indicate the median value with the root mean squared of the scatter in each bin. The two lower panels display the histograms of velocity dispersion of groups and the number of group members for groups that host paired galaxies. Blue, green, and red colors denote for blue–blue, red–red, and blue–red pairs, respectively.

To better understand whether such density distribution of pairs is related to the color–density relation, i.e., the change of the fraction of red galaxies across different local densities (Hogg et al. 2004; Cooper et al. 2006; Cucciati et al. 2006), we perform the following analysis: for a given local density, we compute the red-galaxy fraction corrected by the spectroscopic incompleteness, and then derive the predicted relative fraction among wet, dry, and mixed pairs assuming that the red and blue galaxies are randomly distributed. As illustrated in Figure 1(c), the increased fractions of dry and mixed pairs with respect to the local density follow a similar trend as expected from the color–density relation. However, we find an excess of dry and mixed pairs toward overdense regions at a $\sim 2\sigma$ level compared to the above expectation, indicating that the red and blue galaxies are not uniformly distributed and that there exists a clustering effect at very small scales in those overdense environments.

The difference in the density distribution of wet/dry/mixed mergers suggests that the physical environment where various types of mergers occur is essentially different. To interpret our results, we also investigate how different mergers are populated as a function of the velocity dispersion and the number of members of galaxy groups for a subset of DEEP2 samples that have group identifications as presented in Gerke et al. (2007). The two upper panels of Figure 2 plot the overdensity against velocity dispersion (left) and the number of group members (right) for paired galaxies. As expected, there is a clear trend that the local density of galaxies belonging to groups with greater velocity dispersion or group members is on average larger. This illustrates that the local environment measure $\delta_3$ used in this work in general correlates well with physical environments (field, groups, and clusters). As shown in the two bottom panels of Figure 2, the fraction of red–red and blue–red pairs in groups with greater velocity dispersion or more group members is significantly higher than that of blue–blue pairs. More specifically, the majority of blue–blue pairs are found in field-like environments while red–red and blue–red pairs tend to be found in group and/or cluster-like environments.

It is worth pointing out that there are $\sim 11\%$ of the pair sample whose two members do not belong to the same group. This can happen when a single group is split into two or more smaller groups by the group finder, or a group is not properly identified owing to the incompleteness of the spectroscopic sample. As a result, there are some pairs that are identified as “field galaxy” based on the group finder. These are the paired galaxies assigned to have one group member as shown in the lower right panel of Figure 2. Such an effect makes the group results rather hard to interpret compared to the local density results, which are relatively insensitive to the spectroscopic incompleteness. We therefore focus on the discussion of the environment effects based on the results using the local density in the rest of this paper.

### 3.2. The Environment Dependence of the Pair Fraction

The above analysis of the environment distributions of wet/dry/mixed merging galaxy pairs provides insight into which environments play host to most galaxy mergers. However, this is different from asking in which environments galaxy mergers are more likely to occur. In this section, we investigate the latter issue by studying the relative frequency of galaxy interactions across different environments, i.e., to count the paired galaxies relative to the parent sample as a function of environment. We note that the pair fraction does not necessarily correspond to the merger fraction because not every kinematic pair defined observationally will eventually merge into one system. Such phenomena are in particular more frequent in dense environments due to chance projection as well as many-body
interactions. We will address this issue in Sections 3.3 and 3.4. The method we adopt to compute the pair fraction, \( N_c \), is the same as described in our previous work (see Section 3.1 of Lin et al. 2008), except that we further bin the sample by the local densities. The pair fraction \( N_c \) is defined as the average number of companions per galaxy:

\[
N_c = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{tot}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{tot}} w_j w_i (\theta)_{ij}}{N_{tot}},
\]

(4)

where \( N_{tot} \) is the total number of galaxies within the chosen absolute magnitude range, \( w_j \) is the spectroscopic weight for the \( j \)th companion belonging to the \( j \)th galaxy, and \( w_i (\theta)_{ij} \) is the angular selection weight for each pair as described in Section 2.5. The averaged value of the overall spectroscopic weight \( w \) of our paired galaxies is about 2.1 and that of the angular selection weight is about 1.2 in the redshift range of \( 0.75 < z < 1.2 \). While the blue galaxy sample is volume limited for the adopted magnitude range \( -21 < M_B < -19 \), the red-galaxy sample is incomplete at the adopted magnitude limit beyond \( z \sim 1 \) due to the \( R = 24.1 \) cut in the DEEP2 sample. An additional correction factor of 2.4 besides the usual spectroscopic and angular separation corrections is therefore applied for each red companion at \( z > 1 \) to account for the missing faint red galaxies in the adopted magnitude bin (see Section 3.1 of Lin et al. 2008). Four types of pair fraction are measured here: (1) \( N_c \) from all pairs regardless of colors, (2) the average number of blue companions per blue galaxy \( N_c^b \), (3) the average number of red companions per red galaxy \( N_c^r \), and (4) the average number of companions of galaxies with opposite color to that of the primary galaxies \( N_c^m \). Note that (2) and (3) are equivalent to the pair fraction within the blue cloud and red sequence, respectively. Here, we divide the environment into three regimes: underdense environment, intermediate environment, and overdense environment. Naively, one would think that the pair fraction should increase with the local density. In fact, this is not necessarily true for the blue pair fraction \( N_c^b \), red pair fraction \( N_c^r \), or mixed pair fraction \( N_c^m \) individually. One must keep in mind that the overdensity is computed using all galaxies of all colors and not segregated by galaxy colors. Therefore, how the \( N_c^b \), \( N_c^r \), and \( N_c^m \) vary against environment depends on the relative red and blue fraction at a given environment, as discussed in Section 3.1.

Figure 3 displays the pair fraction as a function of overdensity \((1 + \delta)\) in log space for two redshift bins \(0.75 < z < 1.0\) and \(1.0 < z < 1.2\). The rapid rise of \( N_c \) with increasing density is similar for all four types of pairs for the two redshift bins considered. However, the relative companion rate among wet/dry/mixed pairs changes across different environments. In underdense regions, the blue companion rate for blue galaxies (blue points) is in general higher than the red companion rate for red galaxies (red points). On the other hand, the opposite holds in overdense environments. This suggests that while the overall companion rate is enhanced in dense environments, the level of enhancement depends on the types of galaxies. In order to visualize the enhancement of the companion rate as a function of environment, the global values of \( N_c \) at a given redshift obtained by Lin et al. (2008) are also shown as horizontal arrows in each panel of Figure 3. Quantitatively speaking, the companion rate is about 1.3–5 times greater in high-density regions compared to the global rate, depending on the type of pair.

3.3. Estimates of \( C_{mg} \) and \( T_{mg} \) as a Function of the Local Environment

As mentioned in Section 3.2, the pair fraction is not equal to the galaxy merger fraction unless all pairs are merging systems. It is possible that the pair sample is subject to contamination from interlopers owing to the difficulty of disentangling the Hubble expansion and the galaxy peculiar velocity. In order to take into account any possible environment effect on the merger timescale \( T_{mg} \) and the fraction of merger in pairs \( (C_{mg}) \), we construct a mock galaxy catalog based on the dark matter halos and subhalos taken from a cosmological \( N \)-body simulation, and trace merger histories of halo–halo pairs to examine the dependence of \( C_{mg} \) and \( T_{mg} \) on the local density. A full description will be presented in a forthcoming paper (H.-Y. Jia et al. 2010, in preparation). Here, we briefly describe the techniques that are used to study this problem and present the most relevant results. We make use of the cosmological \( N \)-body simulations and the dark matter halos as presented in Jia et al. (2008). The simulation used here has been evolved in the concordance flat \( \Lambda \)CDM model: \( \Omega_m = 0.3 \), \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.7 \), and \( \Omega_b = 0.05 \). It contains 512\(^3\) pure dark matter particles in a 100 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc box on a side. The resulting mass of a dark matter particle is \( m_{dm} = 6.188 \times 10^8 \ h^{-1} M_\odot \). The distinct halos and substructures (subhalos) are identified using a variant version of
measurements in the mock galaxy catalog. The different choices of
for the DEEP2 sample; that of the sixth-nearest neighbor is used for overdensity
distance from the third-nearest neighbor is adopted to compute the overdensity
\( z \)
curve) and of the DEEP2 sample (dashed curve) at

Hierarchical friends-of-friends algorithm (HFOF; Klypin et al. 1999), with the minimum particle number of 30. The close
halo–halo pairs are selected in a way that they satisfy the observational criteria in both projected separation and in line-of-
sight velocity difference to mimic the observed pairs. The halos
in pairs can be either distinct halos (no smaller substructures contained or not hosted by a larger halo) or subhalos. For each
halo, we compute its local density using the separation from
its nearest \( n \)th neighbor that is above certain mass cut \( M_{\text{min}} \).

Both \( n \) and \( M_{\text{min}} \) are determined so as to match the median
distance to the third-nearest neighbor in the DEEP2 sample.
Empirically, we found that \( n = 6 \) in the simulations traces the
same comoving scale as \( n = 3 \) does in the observed data set.
We note that the overall DEEP2 redshift completeness is \( \sim 50\% \),
which means that the observed third-nearest neighbor roughly corresponds to the “true” sixth- or seventh-nearest neighbor.
Therefore, our choice of \( n = 6 \) in the simulations should be
a reasonable approach. In the rest of this paper, we refer \( \delta_n \)
to the overdensity measured using the sixth-nearest neighbor
for halos. The resulting \((1 + \delta_n)\) distribution of halos is very similar to the \((1 + \delta_3)\) distribution of observed DEEP2 galaxies,
as demonstrated in Figure 4.

For each halo–halo pair identified with the criteria \( r_p \leq
50 \ h^{-1} \ \text{kpc} \) and \( |\Delta v| \leq 500 \ \text{km s}^{-1} \), we trace their most-bounded
10 particles identified at a given epoch in the next adjacent few
redshift frames. If 60% of these most-bounded 10 particles from
the two pair components can be found in a single halo in the
sequential frame, the pairs are then called to be merged. \( C_{\text{mg}} \)
is thus computed as the fraction of pairs that will merge into a
single halo. Among those merged halos, we record the timescale
\( T_{\text{mg}} \) over which the halo–halo pairs merge.

Figure 5 displays \( C_{\text{mg}} \) and \( T_{\text{mg}} \) as a function of local density.
It is interesting that while \( T_{\text{mg}} \) varies little with the local density,
\( C_{\text{mg}} \) is a strong function of \((1 + \delta_n)\), being smaller in higher
density regions. This suggests that different environments have a
strong impact on determining whether the close pairs will merge or not, but have little influence on the merger timescale if those
pairs are going to merge. When we analyze those halo–halo
pairs that do not merge, we find that the majority of these pairs
are actually widely separated in three-dimensional space and
have large differences in three-dimensional velocity, and such
projection effects are more pronounced for pairs in overdense
environments. In the remainder of the cases, one component of

Figure 4. Overdensity \((1 + \delta_n)\) distributions of the mock galaxy catalog (solid curve) and of the DEEP2 sample (dashed curve) at \( z \sim 1 \). The projected
distance from the third-nearest neighbor is adopted to compute the overdensity
for the DEEP2 sample; that of the sixth-nearest neighbor is used for overdensity
measurements in the mock galaxy catalog. The different choices of \( n \)-th nearest
neighbors between two samples are due to the spectroscopic incompleteness
of the DEEP2 survey. Empirically, we found that the adoption of
\( n = 6 \) in the simulated galaxy catalog best reproduces the overdensity \((1 + \delta_n)\) distributions of the mock galaxy catalog (solid
function of the observed DEEP2 galaxies.

Figure 5. (a) \( C_{\text{mg}} \) (fraction of kinematic pairs to be merged) as a function of overdensity \((1 + \delta_n)\), determined using the mock galaxy catalog constructed from the
\( N \)-body simulations. The dashed lines represent the best-fitting formula of the data points. Over the entire redshift range we have probed, \( C_{\text{mg}} \) is a strong function of
local environment. This is largely due to the stronger projection effects in overdense regions than that in underdense regions. The fitting formula of \( C_{\text{mg}} \) is given in Equation (5). (b) \( T_{\text{mg}} \) (the merging timescale) as a function of overdensity \((1 + \delta_n)\) for those pairs that will eventually merge. The error bars represent the root mean
squared of the scatter in each bin.
the halo pairs may be tidally stripped and fails to be identified as a halo if it does not satisfy the virial condition in the next snapshot (Jian et al. 2008). In such cases, if the most-bounded particles do not belong to any halo, we then stop tracing their histories and count them as non-merging cases. We caution that this might underestimate $C_{mg}$ in a way that the galaxy component may still survive temporarily until it merges with its companions, even though the dark matter of its hosting halos is stripped. However, if this is true, they will contribute to the tail of the $T_{mg}$ distribution and hence shift $T_{mg}$ toward a higher value. Because the merger rate is proportional to $C_{mg}$ and inversely proportional to $T_{mg}$, such an effect will be roughly canceled out.

To model the environment dependence of $C_{mg}$, we fit the curves in panel (a) of Figure 5 by two lines:

$$C_{mg} = \begin{cases} 
(0.01z - 0.08)x - (0.16z - 0.85), & \text{if } x < 0 \\
(0.22z - 0.45)x - (0.16z - 0.85), & \text{if } x \geq 0,
\end{cases}$$

(5)

where $x = \log_{10}(1 + \delta_z)$. In the simulations, the value of $T_{mg}$ for pairs with $r_p < 50 h^{-1}$ kpc is approximately 1 Gyr at $z \sim 1$, which is almost twice the typical value of $\sim 0.5$ Gyr adopted in previous studies (Lin et al. 2004, 2008) that used a more stringent criterion $r_p < 30 h^{-1}$ kpc. The longer timescale for such wider pairs has also been suggested in earlier works by Lotz et al. (2008a) who studied the merger timescale using N-body/hydrodynamical simulations. We notice that $T_{mg}$ increases slightly when going to lower redshifts. Because the simulations were stored at discrete epochs, the value of $T_{mg}$ can only be estimated by summing the time interval of several adjacent frames until the last frame in which the halos are identified as merged. In this way, $T_{mg}$ is likely to be overestimated. However, since the time interval is typically $\sim 200$ Myr at $z \sim 1$, which is much smaller than the typical timescales normally found for pairs with $r_p < 50 h^{-1}$ kpc (Lotz et al. 2008a), we believe such uncertainty is negligible. Such an effect, on the other hand, becomes more apparent at lower redshift as the time interval between two adjacent redshift frames of the simulations rises to 400 Myr at $z \sim 0.4$. This might explain the trend of increasing $T_{mg}$ with redshift. In this work, we adopt $T_{mg} = 1$ Gyr in all environment and $C_{mg}$ derived with Equation (5) when converting the pair fraction into the galaxy merger rate as presented in the next section.

Before proceeding to compute the merger rate inferred from the pair fraction, it is worth discussing how our derived $T_{mg}$ and $C_{mg}$ are compared to previous works by other groups, in order to assess possible systematic errors in our estimates in the galaxy merger rate. As we will see in Section 3.4, the galaxy merger rate is proportional to $C_{mg}/T_{mg}$; here we use the ratio $C_{mg}/T_{mg}$ as a comparison quantity. In our case, the typical value of $C_{mg}$ is approximately 0.7 and $T_{mg}$ is $\sim 1$ Gyr averaged in all kind of environments, leading to $C_{mg}/T_{mg} = 0.7$. A recent study by Kitzbichler & White (2008) used the Millennium Simulation (Springel et al. 2005) to determine the averaged merger timescale $T_{mg}$ as a function of stellar mass and redshift of close pairs selected with various selection criteria (see Equations (10) and (11) in Kitzbichler & White 2008). In their analysis, every pair will eventually merge; in other words, the effect of $C_{mg}$ is absorbed into the quantity of $T_{mg}$ (i.e., equivalent to set $C_{mg} = 1$). If adopting their Equation (10) with $h = 0.7$, $r_p < 50 h^{-1}$ kpc and the stellar mass $M_* \sim 3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, which is the typical stellar mass in our pair sample, we get $T_{mg} \sim 2.7$ Gyr. The ratio of $C_{mg}/T_{mg}$ is thus 0.37, which is about half of our value of 0.7. This leads to a potential uncertainty of as large as a factor of 2 in the estimates of the galaxy merger rate, depending on the adopted modeling of $C_{mg}$ and $T_{mg}$.

3.4. The Galaxy Merger Rate $f_{mg}$ as a Function of Environment

In this section, we present our results on the galaxy merger rate, defined as the number of mergers a galaxy in the range of $-21 < M^{*}_{z} < -19$ will undergo per unit time such that the luminosity ratio of the merging pair is between 4:1 and 1:4. This quantity can be derived from the pair fraction computed in Section 3.2 with the knowledge of $C_{mg}$ and $T_{mg}$ we have obtained in Section 3.3, but keeping in mind that the pair fraction in Section 3.2 is computed using pairs drawn from within a luminosity range of two magnitudes. Some true companions may fall outside the absolute magnitude range of our sample, while some selected companions have luminosity ratios outside the range of 4:1 to 1:4. To account for both of these effects, we use the following equation to convert the pair fraction into the galaxy merger rate $f_{mg}$:

$$f_{mg} = (1 + G) \times C_{mg} N_c(z) T^{-1}_{mg},$$

(6)

where $G$ is the correction factor that accounts for the selection effect of companions due to the restricted luminosity range (see Lin et al. 2008 for the detailed computation of $G$). It is worth noting that the factor $(1 + G)$ in Equation (6) is different from $(0.5 + G)$ that is shown in the Equation (5) of Lin et al. (2008) due to the slightly different definitions on the galaxy merger rate.

In Figure 6, we show the galaxy merger rate, $f_{mg}$, as a function of overdensity for wet (blue points), dry (red points), mixed (green points), and all (black points) mergers. Those values are also listed in Table 1. Owing to the decreasing $C_{mg}$ with overdensity, the increase of $f_{mg}$ with respect to the overdensity is not as steep as $N_c$. However, we still find that the galaxy merger rate in the overdense regions is, on average, 3–4 times greater than that in the underdense regions for all mergers regardless of their types, shown as black symbols in Figure 6 (also see Table 1). Such enhancement in dense environments is in broad agreement with recent theoretical work by Fakhouri & Ma (2009) who measured the merger rates of friends-of-friends (FOF) identified mock matter halos in the Millennium Simulation (Springel et al. 2005) as a function of local mass density.

When dividing the merger sample into subcategories (wet, dry, and mixed mergers), we find a significant enhancement of the frequency of dry and mixed mergers between under- and overdense environments. This implies that the group-like and cluster-like environments are preferred environments for dry and mixed mergers to take place. In contrast, there is only a weak environment dependence between density extremes for wet mergers (Figure 6). This finding suggests that while wet mergers transform galaxies from the blue cloud into the red sequence at a similar rate across different environments (assuming that the success rate of wet mergers to yield red galaxies does not depend on environment), the dry and mixed mergers are most effective in overdense regions.

At $z \sim 1$, the dry merger rate in high-density regions is found to be 16% ± 4% (Table 1), which is about three times larger than the global dry merger rate derived from earlier studies (Lin et al. 2008; Bundy et al. 2009) regardless of their environments. An enhancement of dry mergers in overdense environments is also observed in the local universe. Using data drawn from the SDSS, McIntosh et al. (2008) find that the frequency of mergers
between LRGs is higher in groups and clusters compared to that of overall population of LRGs by a factor of 2–9 at $z < 0.12$. Our work similarly suggests that a greater probability for dry mergers in high-density environments was already in place by at least $z \sim 1$. If we assume an average stellar mass ratio of 1:2 in our dry merger sample, a constant dry merger rate in dense environments at $0 < z < 1$, and that all stellar mass involved in each merger is deposited into the final merger remnant, then we estimate that on average every local massive red-sequence galaxy in a dense environment is assembled through $0.16 \pm 0.04$ (merger Gyr$^{-1}$) $\times 7.7$ (Gyr) $\sim 1.2 \pm 0.3$ major dry mergers, leading to $\sim (38 \pm 10)\% (= 1.2 \times 0.5/(1 + 1.2 \times 0.5))$ mass accretion since $z \sim 1$.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Comparison of the Environment Dependence of Merger Rates Between Observations and Simulations

In this subsection, we discuss how our results are compared to previous theoretical predictions on the environment dependence of the merger rate of dark matter halos. There have been several attempts to investigate the relation between halo merger rates and underlying environments either using N-body simulations (Fakhouri & Ma 2009; Hester & Tasitsiomi 2010) or based on the Monte Carlo merger trees that are constructed with the extended Press–Schechter (EPS) and excursion set models (Kauffmann & Haehnelt 2000). Using the Millennium Simulation (Springel et al. 2005), Fakhouri & Ma (2009) measured the merger rate of dark matter halos as a function of the local mass density within a sphere of several Mpc using a FOF algorithm. They found a strong dependence of specific halo merger rates on the environment, being greater in the densest regions than in voids by a factor of $\sim 2.5$. The level of enhancement of the specific halo merger rates in dense regions is in broad agreement with what we measure for observed galaxies. Very recent work by Hester & Tasitsiomi (2010) has also explored similar issues but for subhalos extracted from the Millennium Simulations. In contrast, they find that in group environments, the subhalos are often tidally stripped and hence the chance of subhalo–subhalo mergers is low. As a consequence, the specific halo merger rate in groups is normally suppressed, which seems to be in contradiction to our finding that the galaxy merger rate is enhanced in overdense environments.

However, we caution that direct comparisons between our results and simulations could be limited by several factors. For example, the studies by Fakhouri & Ma (2009) utilize the merger trees constructed from distinct FOF halos which do not correspond as well to observed galaxies as do the subhalos (substructures). Therefore, translating their simulation results of halo merger rates into the actual merger rate of galaxies is not straightforward. On the other hand, the halo environment adopted in Hester & Tasitsiomi (2010) is based on the size/mass of the groups, characterized by the maximum of their rotation velocity curve, $V_{\text{max}}$. As shown in Figure 2, there is spread in $(1 + \delta_1)$ for a given number of group members, and
vice versa, despite the fact that in general the local density increases with the global environment (velocity dispersion, the number of group members, etc.). This suggests that at a given high local density in our sample, there are contributions from both the “dense” field-like environments, as well as group-like and possibly even cluster-like environments. Therefore, more adequate comparisons shall await larger surveys which sample mergers in various scales of galaxy groups and clusters.

4.2. Are K+A Galaxies Formed Through Major Mergers?

There have been several mechanisms proposed to quench the star formation in galaxies and lead to the formation of K+A galaxies. These mechanisms include galaxy–galaxy mergers (Mihos & Hernquist 1994), ram-pressure stripping (Gunn & Gott 1972), high speed galaxy encounters (galaxy harassment; Moore et al. 1996), and “ strangulations” in which the warm and hot gas is removed (Larson et al. 1980; Balogh et al. 2000). Except for galaxy mergers, many of those are strongly associated with the cluster environment. Several environment studies of poststarbursts have found a higher fraction of K+A galaxies in clusters than in the field (e.g., Tran et al. 2003, 2004; Poggianti et al. 1999). In contrast, other studies using large low-redshift samples have suggested that poststarbursts are preferentially found in the low-density region (Balogh et al. 2005; Goto 2005; Hogg et al. 2006). Recently, Yan et al. (2009) studied the environment distribution of 74 K+A galaxies found at $z \sim 0.8$ in the DEEP2 Redshift Survey. They found that at this redshift range, there is little environment dependence of the K+A fraction. Using a mass-selected sample of K+A galaxies from the zCOSMOS survey, Vergani et al. (2010) concluded that environment is not the only factor that governs the formation of K+A galaxies. Putting all these results together, it is suggested that galaxy merger, which is not a cluster-specific mechanism, is potentially an important origin of K+A galaxies found in the field. One way to test this hypothesis is to compare the environment distributions of K+A samples to that of the merger samples.

As shown in Figure 6, the wet merger rate in the DEEP2 sample depends weakly on the local density, similarly to the trend seen in DEEP2 K+A galaxies (see Figure 6 of Yan et al. 2009). On the other hand, the mixed mergers show stronger dependence on the environment, unlike the K+A galaxies. In order to make more careful comparisons, we limit the K+A sample selected by Yan et al. (2009) with an additional rest-frame magnitude cut $-21.77 < M_B < -19.77$, which is 2× brighter than the pair sample, to reflect the assumption that the K+A galaxies are products of two merging galaxies. We also apply the redshift cut of $0.75 < z < 0.88$ to our pair samples so they span the same redshift range as the K+A galaxies. In Figure 7, we plot the $(1 + \delta_3)$ distribution of K+A galaxies against wet, dry, mixed, and wet+mixed pairs. Among the four types of pair samples, the density distributions of dry pairs and mixed pairs are distinct from that of the K+A sample, whereas wet pairs or wet+mixed pairs show a similar density distribution to the K+A galaxies. To quantify the significance of the environment difference or similarity between K+A samples and mergers, we perform three non-parametric statistical tests, the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (K-S test), the Anderson–Darling test (A-D test; Anderson & Darling 1954; Pettitt 1976; Sinclair & Spurr 1988), and the Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon test (MW test; Mann & Whitney 1947) as done in Yan et al. (2009). The results are presented in Table 2. We find that the $p$-values derived from the K-S, A-D, and MW tests for the K+A sample against dry and mixed mergers alone are all very close to the rejection threshold 0.05. On the other hand, the $p$-values for the wet
versus K+A set and the wet+mixed versus K+A set are well beyond the threshold 0.05. Based on these results, we conclude that the environment distributions of K+A galaxies and of wet or wet+mixed mergers are indistinguishable. Nevertheless, we caution that such analysis is possibly limited by the small numbers of K+A and pair samples.

The idea that K+A galaxies could be formed through gas-rich mergers has been tested using simulations by Bekki et al. (2005), who showed that the properties of K+A galaxies could be reproduced by merging two gas-rich systems, although the details depend strongly upon the orbital configuration. Observationally, based on the kinematic study of K+A with integral field unit (IFU) spectroscopy for 10 nearby K+A galaxies, Pracy et al. (2009) found that the majority of their K+A galaxies can be classified as “fast rotators,” which is consistent with a product of gas-rich mergers. A recent work by Wild et al. (2009) used the K+A samples from the VIMOS VLT DEEP Survey (VVDS) and simulations to study the connection between mergers and K+A galaxies. They found that those poststarburst galaxies are consistent with being the product of gas-rich mergers. Although limited by small number statistics, our results on the environment studies at \( z \sim 1 \) are consistent with the scenario where wet mergers could be associated with the formation of K+A galaxies, and that mixed mergers might also contribute to some fraction of K+As, as mixed mergers together with wet mergers share similar environment distributions as K+As. Whether mixed mergers are able to quench the star formation of the gas-rich component and results in K+A phases will be an interesting topic to investigate further in simulations.

4.3. The Role of Major Mergers in Forming Red-Sequence Galaxies

It has been known that galaxy properties such as their colors, morphologies, and star formation histories depend upon the environment where they reside (Dressler 1980; Blanton et al. 2006; Cooper et al. 2006, 2007; Tasca et al. 2009; see also Kauffmann et al. 2004 for their discussion on the influence of stellar mass in addition to the environment). For example, the fraction of red, old, and S0/E types of galaxies increases in higher density regions (Dressler 1980). One class of processes is the so-called internal process, in which scenario galaxies evolve passively without interactions with other galaxies or the surrounding material such as intergalactic medium (IGM) or intracluster medium (ICM). In this model, the average age of galaxies is older in dense regions simply because they have formed earlier than those in underdense regions. Other types of mechanisms are driven by the “external process,” including galaxy mergers, ram-pressure stripping, galaxy harassment, and strangulations, as discussed in Section 4.2. It has become clear that passive evolution alone cannot fully account for the change in the properties of galaxies across various environments, in particular the morphological transformations. Therefore, the question is no longer whether the galaxies are evolved through “nature” (internal) or “nurture” (external) processes, but rather to what level do the external processes contribute to the evolution of galaxies and which extrinsic process is the dominant factor.

How does this paper relate to this subject? The derived galaxy merger rate we derive suggests that galaxy mergers play a non-negligible role, in particular in dense environments. The higher frequency of dry mergers occurring in dense environments relative to underdense regions will lead to the following consequences: the structure parameters and the stellar mass function of red-sequence galaxies in dense environments should be different from those in underdense regions. This is because dry mergers tend to produce boxy, slowly rotating anisotropic systems (Khochfar & Burkert 2005; Naab et al. 2006), and also increase the stellar mass per galaxy. The picture sketched above is in agreement with recent studies of the stellar mass function showing that red galaxies in dense environments are typically more massive than their counterparts in underdense regions (Bundy et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2009; Bolzonella et al. 2009).

Living at the high-mass extreme of the galaxy population in overdense environments are brightest cluster galaxies (BCGs). In spite of the numerous studies of the properties of BCGs, their origin and evolution remain an unresolved issue. While there is evidence of ongoing dry mergers found at the centers of groups and clusters at low and intermediate redshifts (Mulchaey et al. 2006; Rines et al. 2007; McIntosh et al. 2008; Tran et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2009), analyses based on stellar populations of BCGs indicate that BCGs have assembled most of the stellar mass (~90%) by at least \( z \sim 1 \), leaving little room for hierarchical assembling through mergers (Whitley et al. 2008; Collins et al. 2009). Whether the \( (38 \pm 10)\% \) mass accretion rate through dry mergers we derive in high-density regions is compatible with the ~10% growth in the typical stellar mass of BCGs between \( z = 1 \) and \( z = 0 \) depends on the actual abundances of BCGs over this redshift range. The number density of massive halos at \( z \sim 1 \) is much lower than that at \( z \sim 0 \) in \( \Lambda \)CDM models. If the number of BCGs correlates with the number of massive halos in a similar manner between low and high redshifts, it is expected that a significant fraction of the progenitors of present-day BCGs has not appeared in the form of BCGs at \( z \sim 1 \) yet. In other words, the simplest explanation to alleviate the aforementioned potential discrepancy is that \( z \sim 1 \) BCGs only represent some portion of the present-day BCG population and the rest formed via successive dry mergers over this period. We remark, however, that our dry merger samples are likely to be sub-BCG systems as very massive clusters are rare given our survey volume. Surveys over larger areas are required to tackle this issue more robustly.

The picture uncovered by this work can be summarized as follows. At \( z \sim 1 \), wet mergers occur at a similar rate across

---

**Table 2**

| Subsamples                  | Kolmogorov–Smirnov | Anderson–Darling | Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon Test |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Wet versus K+A              | 0.169              | 0.354            | 0.398                      |
| Dry versus K+A              | 0.085              | 0.078            | 0.039                      |
| Mixed versus K+A            | 0.011              | 0.079            | 0.057                      |
| Wet+mixed versus K+A        | 0.172              | 0.290            | 0.243                      |

**Notes.** For most statistical tests, the values given above are the \( p \)-value, which gives the probability of the null hypothesis that the two samples are drawn from the same population.Conventionally, the threshold significance level of 0.05 is adopted to rule out the null hypothesis.
different environments ($\sim 0.06 \pm 0.01$ per Gyr at $0.75 < z < 1.0$ and $\sim 0.12 \pm 0.01$ per Gyr at $1.0 < z < 1.2$), while the frequency of dry and mixed mergers increases with the local galaxy density. The latter is primarily because of the increasing population of red galaxies with respect to local densities. As a consequence, while red-sequence galaxies in low-density environments are mainly built up through wet mergers, the dry and mixed mergers only become important at intermediate to overdense environments. Such events contribute to the assembly of massive red galaxies and could signal the precursors of BCGs in clusters seen in the local universe. However, we caution that measurements of local density may not always have a one-to-one correspondence with the physical global environment (i.e., field, groups, and clusters). More accurate mapping of the relation between merger rates and the growth of massive galaxies in groups, and clusters). More accurate mapping of the relation correspondence with the physical global environment (i.e., field, measurements of local density may not always have a one-to-one

5. CONCLUSION

Our results can be summarized as follows.

1. At $0.75 < z < 1.2$, the typical environment hosting mixed and dry merger candidates is denser than that of wet mergers, suggesting that the roles of wet, dry, and mixed mergers in the galaxy evolution vary with environment. The difference in the local density distribution of various types of mergers is in broad agreement with predictions based on the observed color–density relation. However, we noticed an excess of dry and mixed pairs compared to the above expectation toward overdense regions at $a \sim 2\sigma$ level, indicating that the red and blue galaxies are not uniformly populated and there exist clustering effects at very small scales in those overdense environments.

2. In the redshift range ($0.75 < z < 1.2$) we have probed, we find a strong dependence of observed galaxy companion rate ($N_c$) on environment, which holds for all types of pairs (blue–blue, red–red, and blue-red pairs). Although $N_c$ increases with overdensity, using $N$-body simulations we found that the fraction of pairs that will actually merge decreases with the local density. This is predominant because of a more pronounced projection effect in dense environments compared to low-density regions.

3. After correcting the environment dependence of the fraction of merger in pairs ($C_{mg}$) and the merger timescale ($T_{mg}$), we find a weak environment dependence of the galaxy merger rate for wet mergers over the redshift range $0.75 < z < 1.2$. The probability of a blue galaxy to merge with another blue galaxy is about $0.06 \pm 0.01$ per Gyr at $z \sim 0.85$ and $0.12 \pm 0.01$ per Gyr at $z \sim 1.1$. On the other hand, the dry and mixed merger rate increases rapidly with local density due to the increased population of red galaxies in denser environments. The fraction of dry merger per Gyr is estimated to be $(16 \pm 4)%$ in overdense regions. The dominant uncertainty in the galaxy merger rate is the conversion from the pair fraction to the galaxy merger rate, which is possibly as large as a factor of 2. Our finding suggests that while wet mergers transform galaxies from the blue cloud into the red sequence at a similar rate across different environments, the dry and mixed mergers are most effective in overdense regions.

4. We find that the environment distribution of wet mergers alone or wet+mixed mergers is indistinguishable from that of the K+A galaxies, suggesting a plausible link between K+A galaxies and wet and/or wet+mixed mergers.

5. We estimate that dry mergers contribute to $(38 \pm 10)%$ mass accretion of massive red-sequence galaxies in overdense environments, such as BCGs in massive groups and clusters since $z \sim 1$. Based on our results, we therefore expect that the properties including structures and masses of red-sequence galaxies should be different between those in underdense regions and in overdenser regions since dry mergers are only important in dense environments.
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