Peripapillary retinal nerve fibre thickness in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma
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Background The purpose of this study was to determine the difference in peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness in patients with preperimetric glaucoma and open angle glaucoma (POAG) in comparison to healthy population, as well as to determine the difference in thickness of peripapillary RNFL according to progression of the disease.

Methods In this study, 120 patients were included. On the basis of clinical finding four groups of patients were formed: group without glaucoma, early POAG group, moderate POAG group and group with preperimetric glaucoma. Complete ophthalmological examination, visual field and optic coherent tomography of peripapillary region of RNFL were performed. The collected data was entered into a specially created database on a personal account, and the statistical processing was done using the SPSS for Windows.

Results are displayed through charts and tables.

Results The results showed that the thickness of peripapillary RNFL in patients with mild POAG is lesser than in healthy subjects, and thickness in patients with moderate POAG is lesser than in patients with mild POAG, as well as in healthy subjects (59.69±10.63 μm vs 73.44±12.16 μm vs 105.57±11.34 μm). Thickness of peripapillary RNFL in patients with preperimetric glaucoma is significantly lesser than in healthy subjects (83.65±9.24 μm vs 105.57±11.34 μm). Parameter S together with mean value of peripapillary RNFL thickness (AvgThic) is the best predictors of appearance and progression of preperimetric glaucoma. There is positive correlation between progression of glaucoma (MD value) and AvgThic. The best predictors of appearance and progression of glaucomatous disease are: AvgThic, RNFL thickness in quadrants- S, I, N; and parameters RNFL- Smax, Savg, Iavg. ROC curve has shown that the following parameters are bad markers for progression of the disease: RNFL thickness in quadrant T and Imax.

Conclusions We concluded that the determination of thickness of peripapillary RNFL in
patients with glaucoma using optical coherent tomography represents the method which distinguishes between patients with POAG, preperimetric glaucoma and healthy population hence it can be used in glaucoma diagnostics and follow-up.

Background

Primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) represents a chronic, progressive and usually bilateral condition which, when left untreated, leads to blindness. It’s characterized by, in a majority of cases, an increased intraocular pressure (IOP), excavation of the optic disc, visual field defects and an open anterior chamber angle [1]. The loss of optic nerve fibres is the most important characteristic of POAG and it can be quantified by measuring the thickness of peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) [2]. During 1970s Hoyt et al. pointed out the importance of evaluation of RNFL thickness in diagnosis of glaucoma, at which time it was done strictly by ophthalmoscopy. This method had shown a positive correlation between the degree of damage to the RNFL on one side and the size of glaucomatous excavation and visual field defect on the other [3]. It was also shown that the thinning of RNFL can precede the defects in visual field [2,4] as the first sign of glaucoma pathology [5]. Despite the development of the semi-quantitative systems, evaluation of RNFL by ophthalmoscopy or photography is heavily influenced by examiner’s experience and patient’s cooperation, ultimately making them a subjective method [6]. Because of the need for objectification of changes in RNFL, optical coherence tomography (OCT) had been introduced in to the ophthalmology practice. It was developed at MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) by David Huang et al. in James Fujimoto’s laboratory in 1991. It is a non-invasive, non-contact, trans-pupillary method for scanning structures layer by layer, and like ultrasonography it is based on the principles of wave absorption and scattering, but uses light instead of ultrasound. Scanning of peripapillary RNFL is done with a fixed diameter (3.4 mm) ring centred on the optic disc. After
scanning, the software automatically calculates the thickness of the nerve fibre layer 360 degrees surrounding the optic disc, displaying the calculated values in graphs and tables. Results gathered in this way are highly reproducible and reliable [7–9]. The aim of this research was to: determine differences in RNFL thickness in patients with POAG and preperimetric glaucoma compared to the healthy population and to determine differences in RNFL thickness in patients with POAG relative to the progression of the condition.

Methods
This research was prospective, analytic and observational in character, a case-control type of study. Based on the inclusion criteria, patients were included in the study and they underwent a complete ophthalmologic examination, visual field analysis (Humphrey visual field analyser, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Treshold program C 24-2) and optical coherence tomography of the peripapillary RNFL and the optic disc (Stratus OCT 3000, Carl Zeiss Meditec).

All peripapillary RNFL thickness measurements were done on the Stratus OCT 3000, Carl Zeiss Meditec apparatus, honouring the fast-scanning protocol for optical disc and peripapillary RNFL. After that, automatic analysis was applied using the previously implemented software version (3.0 Stratus OCT analysis software). Maximum of two scans of the peripapillary zone were used (with 3 consecutive scans), provided that the quality of the scan was equal to or higher than 7. For data analysis, we picked the better one of the two scans.

120 patients over the age of 18 were included in this study. Pathology of only one eye of the patient was analysed and followed. In cases where both eyes of the patient met the inclusion criteria, the eye included in the study was randomly picked. Based on the clinical findings, four groups were formed:
First group (control-healthy): 30 patients without glaucoma or other eye conditions, with best corrected visual acuity ≥0.9, intraocular pressure (IOP) between 10mmHg and 21mmHg, normal cup-to-disc ratio (C/D) and normal visual field finding, regardless of gender, race and ethnic background.

Second group (early glaucoma): 30 patients with POAG, with characteristic defects of the optic disc and RNFL, with a mean deviation -2dB < (MD) < -6dB in standardized automated perimetry (Hodap classification), with characteristic glaucomatous visual field defects, without other eye conditions, without anamnestic data about previous laser or surgical intervention on the examined eye, with best corrected visual acuity ≥0.5, regardless of gender, race and ethnic background.

Third group (moderate glaucoma): 30 patients with POAG, with characteristic defects of the optic disc and RNFL, with a mean deviation (MD) lower than -6dB and higher than -12dB in standardized automated perimetry (Hodap classification), without other eye conditions, without anamnestic data about previous laser or surgical interventions on the examined eye, with best corrected visual acuity ≥ 0.5, regardless of gender, race and ethnic background.

The fourth group (pre-perimetric glaucoma): 30 patients with characteristic changes in the optic nerve head that represent glaucoma neuropathy, without functional outbreaks. The standard automated perimetry shows normal values of MD (from -2dB to +2.0dB), with the best corrected visual acuity ≥ 0.9, regardless of the IOP.

The planned trial was performed on a patient at the anterior segment and glaucoma department, University Eye Clinic, Medical Faculty in Novi Sad, Clinical Center of Vojvodina in Novi Sad. We got an approval of the Ethics Committee of Eye Clinic, Clinical centre of Vojvodina and Teaching-Scientific Council of the Medical Faculty in Novi Sad, a written plan with text of informed consent and patient information was approved by the
ethical commissions of the Medical Faculty in Novi Sad.

In our research descriptive statistics were used: arithmetic mean, standard deviation, median, quartiles, frequencies, and percentages. For the assessment of mean values of variables of two populations, the test for independent samples and the Man-Vitni test were used. An analysis of the variance and Kraskal-Volis test were used to compare the mean values of the variables of more populations. The correlation of categorical variables was examined using the $\chi^2$ test for the contour tables or by the Fischer test. The predictive quality of the variables on the outcome was evaluated using ROC curves. In all tests, the obtained level of statistical significance was expressed, statistically significant is considered to be $p < 0.05$. The collected data was entered into a specially created database on a personal account, and the statistical processing was done using the SPSS for Windows. Results are displayed through charts and tables with a text commentary.

Results

120 patients over the age of 18 were included in this study. Pathology of only one eye of the patient was analysed and followed. Based on the clinical findings and the inclusion criteria, four groups were formed. Each group encompassed an equal number of patients, thirty.

Gender distribution among patients is represented in table 1.

| Table 1. Gender structure of the examinees |
|--------------------------------------------|
| Gender | Female | Male | Total |
|        | n      | %    | n      | %    | n   | %     |
| Group  |        |      |        |      |     |       |
| 1      | 22     | 73.33| 8      | 26.67| 30  | 100.00|
| 2      | 17     | 56.67| 13     | 43.33| 30  | 100.00|
| 3      | 13     | 43.33| 17     | 56.67| 30  | 100.00|
| 4      | 21     | 70.00| 9      | 30.00| 30  | 100.00|
| Total  | 73     | 60.83| 47     | 39.17| 120 | 100.00|
In the total number of patients there were 73 (60.83%) women and 47 (39.17%) men. In the early POAG group there were 1.8 times more females, while the moderate POAG group had more male participants. In the total number of patients with POAG (groups 2 and 3) there was an equal number of males and females. Preperimetric group was characterised by 70% of female patients.

The number of patients within each age-group shown on graph 1.

Graph 1 (PPT PICTURE 1)

Majority of the patients belonged in the 50–59 years age-group (30%), followed by 60–69 years (26.6%), while the least belonged to the group of under 30 years of age (6.6%). In the eldest group (70+ years of age) there were 17 patients.

Minimal, maximal and average age value for each of the study groups is shown in table 2.

Table 2. Age of the patient

| Group | N  | Min | Max | AS  | SD  |
|-------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1     | 30 | 24  | 64  | 45.70 | 12.73 |
| 2     | 30 | 37  | 79  | 60.13 | 13.16 |
| 3     | 30 | 38  | 79  | 66.10 | 10.05 |
| 4     | 30 | 27  | 71  | 51.80 | 9.54  |
| Total | 120| 24  | 79  | 55.93 | 13.77 |

The average age of the patients in the whole sample was 55.93±13.77 years. The youngest group was healthy group, then preperimetric group, then early POAG, and eldest was moderate POAG group. ANOVA showed that there is a statistically significant difference (p<0.001) in average age of the patients between groups.

The average values for each group: age of the patients, visual field parameters (MD, PSD) and RNFL quadrant thickness are presented in table 3.

Table 3. Differences in mean values of age, MD, PSD and RNFL thickness by quadrants
between groups 1 and 2, 1 and 3, as well as 1 and 4.
p1-p-value between groups 1 and 2 (healthy and early POAG group)
p2-p-value between groups 1 and 3 (healthy and moderate POAG group)
p3-p-value between groups 1 and 4 (healthy and preperimetric glaucoma group)

| Variable | Healthy | POAG-early | POAG-moderate | Preperimetric glaucoma |
|----------|---------|------------|---------------|------------------------|
|          | Mean± SD | Mean± SD   | Mean± SD      | Mean± Std. Deviation   |
| Age      | 45.70   | 12.73      | 60.13         | 13.17                  | 66.10 | 10.06 | 51.80 | 9.55 |
| MD       | -0.29   | 0.70       | -4.18         | 1.27                   | -9.89 | 1.74  | -0.80 | 1.01 |
| PSD      | 1.40    | 0.53       | 4.34          | 1.72                   | 9.08  | 2.02  | 1.92  | 0.76 |
| S        | 124.37  | 17.89      | 84.63         | 18.46                  | 68.93 | 19.59 | 91.67 | 14.21 |
| N        | 90.53   | 22.53      | 62.70         | 15.47                  | 54.53 | 16.84 | 59.83 | 19.52 |
| I        | 133.47  | 15.38      | 87.27         | 22.48                  | 69.23 | 23.97 | 113.87| 19.11 |
| T        | 73.73   | 13.71      | 55.90         | 16.12                  | 46.50 | 10.85 | 70.63 | 20.52 |

The results of the RNFL thickness distribution by quadrants showed the highest values in the healthy group, followed by the preperimetric group, early POAG group, and the moderate POAG group. The highest average value of RNFL thickness was in the upper quadrant of the healthy group (124.37 ± 17.88μm), and the lowest average value of RNFL thickness was in the temporal quadrant of the moderate POAG group (46.50 ± 10.852μm).

Other RNFL thickness values per quadrants are distributed between these two endpoints.

Table 4. Differences in mean values of RNFL thickness parameters between groups 1 and 2; 1 and 3; and 1 and 4.

p1-p-value between groups 1 and 2 (healthy and early POAG group)
p2-p-value between groups 1 and 3 (healthy and moderate POAG group)
p3-p-value between groups 1 and 4 (healthy and preperimetric glaucoma group)
| Variable       | Healthy | POAG-early | POAG-moderate | Preperimetric glaucoma |
|---------------|---------|------------|---------------|------------------------|
|               | Mean± SD | Mean± SD   | Mean± SD      | Mean± Std. Deviation   |
| Imax/Sm\(\text{ax}\) | 1.07    | 0.13       | 1.01          | 0.32                   | 1.10          | 0.59       | 1.20         | 0.26         |
| Smax/Im\(\text{ax}\) | 0.95    | 0.11       | 1.11          | 0.40                   | 1.20          | 0.67       | 0.87         | 0.21         |
| Smax/Ta\(\text{avg}\) | 2.23    | 0.41       | 2.26          | 0.74                   | 2.11          | 0.77       | 1.99         | 0.58         |
| Imax/Ta\(\text{avg}\) | 2.37    | 0.38       | 2.14          | 0.62                   | 2.10          | 0.80       | 2.34         | 0.63         |
| Smax/Na\(\text{vg}\) | 1.87    | 0.47       | 1.93          | 0.62                   | 1.88          | 0.68       | 2.40         | 1.05         |
| Max-Min       | 128.33  | 15.06      | 96.60         | 25.01                  | 83.33         | 25.79      | 121.09       | 19.33        |
| Smax          | 160.13  | 17.21      | 117.20        | 25.04                  | 94.07         | 28.62      | 128.57       | 21.76        |
| Imax          | 169.83  | 14.56      | 113.00        | 25.72                  | 95.13         | 29.74      | 150.10       | 22.11        |
| Savg          | 124.30  | 17.88      | 84.17         | 17.72                  | 68.70         | 18.86      | 92.17        | 15.59        |
| Iavg          | 135.60  | 18.10      | 87.00         | 21.71                  | 69.57         | 23.50      | 113.00       | 17.79        |
| AvgThic       | 105.58  | 11.30      | 73.44         | 12.17                  | 59.69         | 10.63      | 83.65        | 9.24         |

The Max-Min, Smax, Imax, Savg, Iavg, and AvgThic parameters had the lowest values in the moderate POAG group, somewhat higher in the early POAG group, even higher in the preperimetric group and the highest in the group of healthy patients. Since AvgThic is the most commonly used parameter, its mean value for the healthy group was 105.57 ± 11.34μm, for the preperimetric glaucoma group was 83.65 ± 9.24μm, for the group of patients with early POAG was 73.44 ± 12.16μm, and for the group of patients with moderate POAG was 59.69 ± 10.63μm. The results of the analysis of the difference between the mean values of other RNFL parameters between the groups showed that for the parameters of Smax, Imax, Savg, Iavg and AvgThic there was statistically significant
Pearson's correlation was analyzed in terms of the relationship between changes in MD values and the change in the values of RNFL thickness parameters (groups 2 to 3 and 1 to 4).

Table 5. Testing the relationship between groups: 2 and 3, 1 and 4 in relations to the RNFL thickness parameters changes

|               | S   | N   | I   | T   | Ima x/Sm ax | Sma x/Ima x | Sma x/Tav g | Ima x/Tav g | Sm ax/Nav g | Ma x-Min n | Sm ax | Im ax | Sa vg | lav g | Avg Thic |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| Pearson correlati on (2-3) | 0.418 | 0.207 | 0.421 | 0.397 | -0.093 | -0.086 | 0.071 | 0.021 | 0.006 | 0.276 | 0.422 | 0.348 | 0.046 | 0.571 |
| p             | 0.001 | 0.012 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.048 | 0.015 | 0.091 | 0.173 | 0.031 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.005 |
| Pearson correlati on (1-4) | -0.0717 | -0.0595 | -0.0498 | -0.0090 | 0.0303 | -0.0223 | -0.0338 | -0.0020 | 0.314 | -0.0208 | -0.0633 | -0.0472 | -0.0698 | -0.539 | -0.734 |
| p             | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.0049 | 0.0019 | 0.0001 | 0.0087 | 0.0067 | 0.0085 | 0.0115 | 0.0111 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0005 |

By Pearson’s correlation the medium positive relationship was found between the MD values and the following parameters of the RNFL thickness: S, I, T, Smax, Imax, Savg, Iavg, AvgThic between groups 2 and 3. The highest statistical connection (p <0.0005) had AvgThic parameter, and high significant statistical connections had parameters: S, I, Smax, Savg, Iavg (p <0.001). By Pearson’s correlation it was found that there is a strong negative relationship between groups 1 and 4 and the AvgThic parameter. There was a medium negative relationship with the parameters Savg, Iavg, Imax, Smax.

The results of determining potential predictors for disease progression are shown in Graph 2. The surfaces determined by Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves, cut-off,
sensitivity and specificity and p-values for each individual parameter are displayed.

Graph 2 (PPT PICTURE 2)

ROC curves of the RNFL thickness in quadrants show that the parameters S and I are good predictors for disease progression. The parameter S have surface of the curve area of 0.736 (p = 0.002), the sensitivity is 63.3% and the specificity is 73.3%. For the parameter I, the surface of the curve is 0.733, (p = 0.002), the sensitivity is 70% and the specificity is 73.3%. ROC curve shows that variable T is a bad predictor for the onset of disease progression.

Table 6. Determination of POAG progression predictor

| Variable | Surface area | Cut-off | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | p    |
|----------|--------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|------|
| S        | 0.736        | 75.00   | 63.37           | 73.33           | 0.002|
| I        | 0.733        | 76.00   | 70.00           | 73.33           | 0.002|
| T        | 0.676        | 54.00   | 80.00           | 53.33           | 0.019|
| Max-Min  | 0.637        | /       | /               | /               | 0.069|
| Smax     | 0.727        | 102.50  | 60.00           | 76.7            | 0.002|
| Imax     | 0.678        | 96.00   | 63.33           | 80.00           | 0.018|
| Savg     | 0.728        | 75.00   | 60.00           | 73.33           | 0.002|
| Iavg     | 0.736        | 76.5    | 73.33           | 73.33           | 0.002|
| AvgThic  | 0.803        | 63.945  | 70.00           | 83.33           | < 0.0005|

The ROC curve showed that the parameters: S, I, Smax, Savg, Iavg, AvgThic are good predictors for disease progression in patients with POAG. Other analysed parameters are poor pre-indicators. AvgThic is the best predictor for disease progression (p < 0.0005).

Cut-off value for AvgThic is 63.945; Sensitivity is 67% and the specificity is 83.3%.

Discussion
Even though gender is not considered as a risk factor for developing POAG, Framingham, Barbados, Blue Mountains and other studies [10-12] have shown that a greater number of males than females suffer from POAG. Gender analysis of our 120 study participants shows that, in the whole sample, the majority of participants were females (60%). Only the moderate POAG group had more males (56.67%). However, if we look only at the patients with early and moderate POAG, there is an equal number of males and females. The average age in the whole sample was 55.93±13.77 years. The eldest group was the moderate POAG group with an average of 66.1±10.05 years, while the youngest one was the healthy group with an average of 45.7±12.73 years. In the Kanamori et al. [8] study, the mean age of the patients was 53.11±7.76 years with a similar distribution between groups.

Analysis of average values of the MD visual field parameter showed that a statistically significant decrease happens going from the healthy group to the moderate POAG group. Testing of differences between MD and PSD values among groups (ANOVA) and Post-Hoc (Tukey) analysis had shown that there is a statistically significant difference between the groups (p<0.001). This had shown that MD and PSD values completely separate groups 1, 2 and 3. The search of Sahli et al. [9] as well as some other’s researchers [2,13–16] have shown a high correlation between MD values and the degree of POAG progression.

The mean value of RNFL thickness for the healthy group in our study was 105.57±11.34µm, which was the highest value compared to other groups. The lowest value of RNFL thickness was in the moderate POAG group (59.6 9±10.63µm). RNFL thickness value decreases with the progression of POAG, which is confirmed by the statistical analysis of the RNFL thickness parameter (AvgThic) differences between groups (p<0.0005).

The results obtained by measuring RNFL thickness in quadrants (S,N,I,T) showed the same
distribution in all study groups. RNFL thickness value was found to be the highest in the inferior quadrant, second highest in the superior quadrant, third highest in the nasal quadrant, while it was the lowest in the temporal quadrant. Also, it was shown that the rule of distribution of average RNFL thickness between groups is also applicable to RNFL thickness by quadrants. In all of the quadrants, the greatest RNFL thickness was found in the healthy group and the lowest in the moderate POAG group. Histologic studies done on enucleated eyes [17], as well as studies done on patients [18,8] have practically shown that the distribution of RNFL thickness by quadrants is a routine occurrence which follows the ISNT rule. Research by Savini et al. [19] from 2005 has shown that the average RNFL thickness in healthy individuals is 101.52±11.09μm, with the quadrant RNFL thickness distribution: for temporal quadrant 69.94 ±13.17μm, for superior 124.29±16.59μm, for nasal 81.24±17.95μm and for inferior 129.8 ±19.26μm. Kanamori et al. [8] have shown that the thinning of RNFL by quadrants follows the progression of glaucomatous disease.

The high congruence between the results of our research and others, both in average values and quadrant distribution, confirms that the RNFL is the thickest in the inferior quadrant and the thinnest in the temporal quadrant.

Mwanza et al. [20] reported that in initial POAG focal loss of RNFL thickness is in the lower quadrant, while Nouri-Mahdavi et al. [21] analysing OCT finding among healthy group and 59 patients from the early POAG group came to the conclusion that the best discriminating RNFL thickness parameter is the upper quadrant.

The possibility of detecting glaucoma at an early preperimetric stage was confirmed by the study of Sihota et al. [16], and it has particularly emphasized AvgThic as parameter indicating the onset of the disease. Guedes et al. [22] studied the ability of early detection of glaucoma by the OCT apparatus. They compared the changes that occur in the thickness of the macular zone and the peripapillary RNFL zone and concluded that in
the competition of numerous parameters, the average thickness of RNFL is far the best in discriminating against patients with early glaucoma. As a cause of this, they hinted that there are almost 100% ganglia retinal cells in the peripapillary zone, and in the macular zone their number is about 50%, and the parameters of the thickness of RNFL are better for determining glaucoma than the parameters of the macular region. The area of ROC curve for AvgThic RNFL was 0.93 in this study, which was higher than the results obtained in our study (0.803).

Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves were designed to show which parameter could be a good predictor for disease progression, with which sensitivity and with which specificity. A study by Parikh et al. [23] showed that the best chance of discriminating against healthy glaucoma patients has a parameter RNFL thickness in inferior quadrant with sensitivity of 67% and specificity of 84%. The best parameter of the RNFL thickness group is AvgThic with a largest area of ROC curve (Area = 0.803), cut-off value 63.945, sensitivity 67%, and specificity 83.3% which are almost the same results as ours. Leite et al. [24] reported that the largest area below the ROC were at AvgThic, quadrant I and S. Analyzing the ROC curves of Savini et al. [19], it is seen that AvgThic is the best marker for the occurrence of glaucoma with the largest area under the curve (Area = 0.725), then the quadrant S (Area = 0.672), the quadrant I (Area = 0.655) and the worst N (Area = 0.564) which is confirmed by the results of our study. Sahli et al. [9] also confirmed that the surface of the ROC is the highest in AvgThic and quadrant I (Area = 0.824, Area = 0.822). A slightly smaller area was in the case of quadrant S, but therefore high values were 77% sensitivity and 87% specificity.

Conclusion

Based on the results obtained in this research it can be concluded that the thickness of RNFL in patients with POAG and preperimetric glaucoma are statistically significantly
lower compared to healthy population. There is a positive correlation between the stage of the disease and the average RNFL thickness. All parameters of the RNFL thickness monitor the change in MD values except Imax/Smax, Smax/Imax, Smax/Tavg, Imax/Tavg, Smax/Navg, quadrant N thickness and they cannot be used in monitoring glaucoma progression. Parameters: AvgThic, S, I, Smax, Savg, Iavg are excellent predictors of glaucoma occurrence and progression. ROC curve has shown that we can use these parameters, especially AvgThic with high specificity and sensitivity in glaucoma monitoring. Finally, optical coherence tomography represents a method which distinguishes between patients with POAG, preperimetric glaucoma and healthy population hence it can be used in glaucoma diagnostics and follow-up.
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Graphical presentation of ROC curves for the RNFL thickness parameters
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