Targeting cell death signalling in cancer: minimising ‘Collateral damage’
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Targeting apoptosis for the treatment of cancer has become an increasingly attractive strategy, with agents in development to trigger extrinsic apoptosis via TRAIL signalling, or to prevent the anti-apoptotic activity of BCL-2 proteins or inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) proteins. Although the evasion of apoptosis is one of the hallmarks of cancer, many cancers have intact apoptotic signalling pathways, which if unblocked could efficiently kill cancerous cells. However, it is becoming increasingly clear that without a detailed understanding of both apoptotic and non-apoptotic signalling, and the key proteins that regulate these pathways, there can be dose-limiting toxicity and adverse effects associated with their modulation. Here we review the main apoptotic pathways directly targeted for anti-cancer therapy and the unforeseen consequences of their modulation. Furthermore, we highlight the importance of an in-depth mechanistic understanding of both the apoptotic and non-apoptotic functions of those proteins under investigation as anti-cancer drug targets and outline some novel approaches to sensitise cancer cells to apoptosis, thereby improving the efficacy of existing therapies when used in combination with novel targeted agents.

Clinicians and scientists are continually striving to improve survival rates for cancer patients by searching for novel targets as well as trying to improve existing therapies. Despite the huge array of proteins and biological processes that both targeted and ‘cytotoxic’ chemotherapeutic agents perturb, for efficacy, the majority of these agents depend upon the induction of a form of cell death known as apoptosis. Evasion of apoptosis is, however, one of the hallmark traits of cancer (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011), resulting in high levels of inherent as well as acquired resistance to many chemotherapeutic agents. Targeting apoptotic proteins has therefore become an attractive anti-cancer strategy with numerous points within apoptotic signalling pathways currently under investigation for the treatment of cancer. However, due to the inherent resistance to apoptosis associated with many cancers, much higher doses of drugs are required to achieve efficacy thus increasing the risk of off-target adverse effects.

Apoptosis predominantly proceeds via two distinct routes; the extrinsic and intrinsic apoptosis pathways. The extrinsic pathway is initiated by extracellular pro-apoptotic stimuli including cell death ligands of the TNF cytokine family that act via death receptors located on the cell surface (reviewed in Dickens et al, 2012b). Binding of ligands to their cognate receptors results in the formation of the death-inducing signalling complex (DISC), which activates the initiator caspases 8 and 10. Activated caspase 8 can then cleave the effector caspases 3 and 7 to amplify the death signal. The intrinsic pathway, however, is initiated by intracellular pro-apoptotic signals such as DNA damage or exposure to cytotoxic agents. The BCL-2 family of proteins that regulate intrinsic apoptosis senses these death signals resulting in the initiation of mitochondrial (intrinsic) apoptosis. The BCL-2 family consists of anti-apoptotic (including BCL-2, myeloid cell leukaemia-1 (MCL-1) and BCL-xL) and pro-apoptotic (including BIM, BID, PUMA, NOXA and BAD) proteins as well as effector proteins (BAK and BAX). The balance of these different family members determines whether BAK and/or BAX are activated causing mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilisation (MOMP) and release of pro-apoptotic proteins such as cytochrome c, facilitating apoptosome formation and activation of caspases 9 and 3. Importantly, there is also crosstalk between the two apoptotic pathways, via caspase 8 cleavage of BID resulting in amplification of the death signal. Downstream of caspase activation there is a further level of regulation by inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) proteins. X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis (XIAP) is able to inhibit apoptosis by directly binding to and inhibiting caspases 9 and 3, whereas the cellular IAP (cIAP) proteins inhibit caspase activity indirectly via their ubiquitin ligase activity promoting pro-survival signalling.
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Apoptosis is a complex process with numerous points of regulation, manipulation of which could provide therapeutic benefit in cancer treatment. A greater understanding of these regulatory pathways and how they are altered in cancer, leading to apoptosis resistance, has been key in the development of pro-apoptotic agents. Interestingly, mutation rates in apoptotic proteins such as death receptors (Lee et al., 2001) or BCL-2 proteins (Kim et al., 2012) are low indicating that many cancer cells have intact apoptotic machinery which if unblocked could efficiently kill tumour cells. Figure 1 illustrates some of the points in apoptotic signalling pathways that have been targeted to overcome the block in apoptosis. These include; triggering extrinsic apoptosis via death receptors by the addition of exogenous ligands such as recombinant human TRAIL (rhTRAIL) or agonistic TRAIL receptor (TRAIL-R1/R2) antibodies. Recombinant human TRAIL binds to both TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2 causing cancer-specific cell killing by initiating DISC formation and apoptosis. Agonistic antibodies, which have a much longer bioavailability than rhTRAIL, specifically target the individual receptors and were found to be more effective at increasing caspase activity and apoptosis (reviewed in Lim et al., 2015).

Efforts to initiate intrinsic apoptosis have focused on targeting anti-apoptotic BCL-2 family members. BCL-2 has four BCL-2 homology or BH domains; BH1, 2 and 3 form binding interfaces for protein–protein interactions with other BCL-2 family members required for the initiation of apoptosis. BH4 is the pro-survival domain of the protein as removal of this domain converts BCL-2 from anti- to pro-apoptotic (Han et al., 2015). Modulation of the activity of these proteins has been achieved using pro-apoptotic BH3-only protein mimetics, which upon binding to the anti-apoptotic proteins neutralises their activity by sequestering them, enabling apoptosis to proceed. Numerous inhibitors are being developed; however, they have been found to have limited efficacy as single agents in clinical trials (reviewed in Vela and Marzo, 2015); one notable exception is in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL), where promising results have been reported for ABT-199 as a single agent (Roberts et al., 2016). In addition, agonistic mimetic compounds targeting the ‘pro-survival’ BH4 domain of BCL-2 have been developed, which are showing promising pre-clinical results (Han et al., 2015), but to date no clinical data are available on these compounds.

A third approach has been to focus on regulatory pathways such as increasing caspase activity by inhibiting IAPs using compounds that mimic the endogenous IAP antagonist SMAC. These agents release the inhibitory action of XIAP on caspases, as well as causing ubiquitin-dependent degradation of the cIAP proteins and thus altering NFκB signalling from pro-survival to pro-death. As SMAC is known to form homodimers when binding to IAPs, both monovalent (e.g., LCL161) and bivalent (e.g., BV6 and Birinapant) SMAC mimetics have been developed, with the bivalent compounds showing higher binding affinity and correspondingly more effective at increasing caspase activity and apoptosis (reviewed in Lim et al., 2015).
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higher potency and anti-cancer activity. As single agents these compounds were found to be dependent on the presence of a functional autocrine/paracrine signalling loop involving death receptor ligands and their corresponding receptors for induction of cell death (Petersen et al, 2007; Varfolomeev et al, 2007; Vince et al, 2007). This therefore limits the range of malignancies where these agents would be effective as single agents.

DOUBLE-EDGED SWORD: LIMITATIONS OF TARGETING CELL DEATH PROTEINS

Although many of these approaches showed promise in the pre-clinical setting, this has not always been translated to the clinic. Understanding the mechanisms of action of these proteins both in apoptotic and non-apoptotic signalling pathways is therefore essential in order to maximise their clinical usefulness. In this respect, it is often disruption of the non-apoptotic functions of these proteins that result in either on-target adverse effects and/or off-target toxicity.

Efforts to induce extrinsic apoptosis have focused on TRAIL signalling as targeting the death receptors, TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2, resulted in much lower toxicity compared with that observed when either TNF or FAS signalling were targeted. This was in part due to the observation that there were lower expression levels of TRAIL receptors on the surface on normal cells compared with cancer cells, giving rhTRAIL and TRAIL-R1/R2 agonistic antibodies a therapeutic window. Cancers signal predominantly via either TRAIL-R1 or TRAIL-R2, interestingly though the extent of relative surface expression of the two receptors does not always act as an indicator of the preferred receptor for signalling (MacFarlane et al, 2005; reviewed in Dyer et al, 2007). Although there are generally higher levels of expression of these receptors on cancer cells, there are reports of high levels of expression of TRAIL receptors on hepatocytes, brain tissue and keratinocytes (Jo et al, 2000; Leverkus et al, 2000). This raised concerns about possible hepatotoxicity when TRAIL was used in the clinical setting, especially as one study reported significant apoptotic cell death in fresh isolated primary hepatocytes (Jo et al, 2000), though significantly this effect was not observed under conditions of optimal hepatocyte in vitro function (Ganten et al, 2006). Moreover, in organotypic culture of fresh healthy liver explants, which more closely mimic the liver in the clinical setting, no toxicity was observed with TRAIL treatment alone (Volkmann et al, 2007). Importantly, treatment of diseased liver tissue, however, was much more sensitive to TRAIL treatment resulting in significant cell death upon exposure to TRAIL (Volkmann et al, 2007). Consequently, when HDAC inhibitors were combined with TRAIL (a combination that had proved very effective in sensitising CLL cells isolated from patient samples resistant to rhTRAIL (MacFarlane et al, 2005)), in the same organotypic culture conditions used for TRAIL treatment alone, significant hepatotoxicity was observed for the combination (Volkmann et al, 2007). Notably, a recent clinical trial of a second-generation TRAIL-R2 agonist, TAS266, which enhances TRAIL-R2 signalling in fact promoted cancer progression, invasion and metastasis. There was a direct correlation between the levels of receptor expression and the extent of metastasis observed in patients (von Karstedt et al, 2015). Studies in pancreatic cancer looking at the nuclear role of TRAIL-R2 signalling also revealed that nuclear TRAIL-R2 interacts with the core miRNA processing complex causing an inhibition of maturation of the miRNA, let-7 (Haselmann et al, 2014). The consequence of this interaction is an increase in the levels of let-7 target genes that result in tumour progression. Moreover, continuous exposure to sublethal concentrations of TRAIL induces an NFkB-dependent increase in miR-21, miR-30c and miR-100, which downregulates expression of caspase 8, caspase 3, TRAF7 and Foxo3a, resulting in acquired resistance to TRAIL and the development of more aggressive tumours (Jeon et al, 2015). Subtoxic doses of TRAIL also induce caspase-8-dependent activation of apoptotic nucleases giving rise to increased mutations caused by misrepair of DNA damage in surviving cells (Lovric and Hawkins, 2010). These studies highlight the unintended consequences that could occur when patients are treated with agents that activate TRAIL signalling.

BH3 mimetics have been the main class of agents targeting the intrinsic pathway. However, once in clinical trials, the orally bioavailable BCL-2/BCL-xL antagonist ABT-263 (Navitoclax) was found to have dose-limiting toxicity of thrombocytopenia, as a result of the induction of platelet death. Further investigation of the mechanism of cell death in platelets treated with pan-BCL-2 inhibitors revealed that in these cells BCL-xL is the critical anti-apoptotic protein required to prevent activation of the effector proteins BAK and BAX. This effect was also demonstrated in numerous mouse knockout models (reviewed in Sochalska et al, 2015), in which BCL-xL knockout mice were found to have decreased numbers of circulating platelets, confirming that BCL-xL has a critical role in the development and survival of platelets; the consequence of which, is that ABT-263 can only be used at low doses. Interestingly, Tait et al have since reported that the use of these BH3 mimetics at doses used in the clinic may in fact be oncogenic. They demonstrated that incomplete initiation of MOMP by these agents, known as minority MOMP, can result in caspase-dependent transformation and tumourigenesis, which in turn can promote more aggressive, invasive tumours (Ichim et al, 2015). In addition, in vitro studies to investigate the mechanisms of acquired resistance to BH3 mimetics observed mutations in BCL-2 family proteins following extended exposure to these agents (Fresquet et al, 2014). BCL-2 family members are also reported to negatively regulate autophagy; thus, BH3 mimetics have been found to modulate both apoptosis and autophagy induction. Due to the dual role of autophagy in regulating cell death and survival, the induction of autophagy by these agents has been found to be both cytotoxic and protective depending on the model investigated (reviewed in Yu and Liu, 2013).

Due to structural differences in the BCL-2 family members the first BH3 mimetics developed did not target MCL-1, and resistance to these early agents was initially attributed to the fact that MCL-1 may be compensating for the inhibited BCL-2 family members. This was confirmed in the Richter syndrome model investigated (reviewed in Yu and Liu, 2013). Nevertheless, one question remains as these compounds are investigated, what will be the response of normal tissues to MCL-1 inhibition by these inhibitors? Mouse knockout studies to date report numerous toxic side effects resulting from MCL-1 ablation (Sochalska et al, 2015). For example, MCL-1 has been shown to have an essential role in basal myocyte homeostasis and ablation of MCL-1 in adult myocytes results in mitochondrial dysfunction, impaired autophagy and consequently rapid development of heart failure (Thomas et al, 2013). However, it will be important to evaluate the differences in the phenotypes observed between complete gene ablation in mouse models compared with pharmacological inhibition with small molecules. MCL-1 is also
IAPs can result in increased inflammation. Alterations to normal tissue homeostasis. Inhibition of BCL-2 proteins may have unforeseen and potentially deleterious consequences.

Downstream of the death receptors and BCL-2 family are the IAP proteins, which are highly expressed in cancers and have been linked to tumour progression as well as poor prognosis and the development of resistance to therapy. However, cIAPs have a second role in the cell regulating the noncanonical NFkB pathway via the NFkB-inducing kinase (NIK). In the absence of cIAPs, there is an upregulation of inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF which is pro-death and so would amplify anti-IAP therapy. However, other cytokines are also increased such as IL-8, IL-6, IL-10 and MCP-1, all of which contribute to a systemic increase in inflammatory cytokines and have also been reported to be involved in tumour progression via cancer-associated inflammation causing the recruitment of immune cells to the cancer site where they support tumour growth. In fact, cytokine release syndrome was determined to be the dose-limiting toxicity in clinical trials of the SMAC mimetic LCL161 (Infante et al, 2014) and was also observed, but was not determined to be the rate-limiting toxicity, in the phase 1 dose-escalation study for Birinapant (Amaravadi et al, 2015). Interestingly, in the later trial, the pharmacoanalytic analysis of patient samples revealed that modulation of the target was achieved and maintained well below the determined maximum tolerated dose. Therefore, one needs to ask whether it is possible to find a balance between effectively modulating the target protein without causing a detrimental inflammatory phenotype. One further caveat to this however is that some studies with yet another SMAC mimetic BV6 observed that, at lower doses, treatment of cells with the compound actually increased migration and invasion of the cancer cells being studied (Tchoghandjian et al, 2013). Furthermore, at the same low doses, there was also an increase in the differentiation of cancer stem-like cells (Tchoghandjian et al, 2014).

More detailed understanding of the regulation and activation processes of apoptotic pathways is proving to be key in determining new strategies to make existing therapies more effective. By targeting specific blockades in apoptosis signalling, it may be possible to make chemoresistant tumours more sensitive to treatment with existing therapies. Dynamic BH3 profiling to assess how close a cell is to the apoptotic threshold represents one method that enables chemosensitive vs chemoresistant tumours within the same histology to be identified (Montero et al, 2015).

The level of priming of a cell before therapy has been found to be an excellent predictor of chemotherapeutic response in vivo (Ni Chonghaile et al, 2011; Vo et al, 2012). However, for those ‘unprimed’ tumours that are resistant to conventional chemotherapeutic agents, alternative approaches are required to increase their level of ‘priming’ and make them more sensitive to treatment.

Extensive mechanistic studies have been undertaken to understand the precise mechanism of the conversion of pro-caspase 8 to the mature molecule, which can be activated and initiate apoptosis. In particular, it has been shown that stable pro-caspase 8 DED chains must form before cleavage into mature caspase 8 (Hughes et al, 2009; Dickens et al, 2012a), a process that is critically regulated by the catalytically inactive caspase 8 homologue, c-FLIP (Hughes et al, 2016). The delineation of this process has now been exploited to develop compounds that are able to bind and activate caspase 8 by stabilising the caspase 8 homodimer, thus enabling faster initiation upon triggering by addition of agonistic TRAIL-R1/R2 antibodies or rhTRAIL (Bucur et al, 2015). Importantly, these compounds alone do not trigger apoptosis—it is the combination of the two agents which is effective.

Similarly mechanistic studies into BAK activation in the intrinsic pathway revealed that BAK requires an additional dephosphorylation step in the activation process (Fox et al, 2010), before the well-characterised interactions with the pro-apoptotic BH3-only proteins and subsequent conformational change that triggers BAK-dependent apoptosis. Further studies revealed that the kinase responsible for maintaining BAK in this inactive conformation was a tyrosine kinase called BMX (Fox and Storey, 2015), which has been reported to be upregulated in numerous cancer types including breast cancer, prostate cancer, bladder cancer as well as others. Knockdown of BMX in vitro was shown to lower the apoptotic threshold of cells by converting BAK into a phospho-dependent ‘activation competent’ state, which is more prone to activation. These findings provide a strong mechanism-based rationale for the combination of BMX inhibitors, of which many are currently in development, with other chemotherapeutic agents. As single agents, BMX inhibitors may only have a limited effect on apoptosis as dephosphorylation of BAK alone is not sufficient to trigger BAK activation, but in combination with existing cytotoxic agents would be able to significantly sensitize cancer cells to BAK-dependent apoptosis.

The other BCL-2 effector protein BAX is also regulated by inhibitory phosphorylations that are maintained by different pro-survival pathways including AKT and ERK, which keep BAX auto-inhibited in the cytoplasm and unable to shuttle to the mitochondria. Therefore, in an analogous way as was suggested for BAK-dependent apoptosis, treatment of cells with a compound

Figure 2. Limitations of targeting cell death proteins for cancer therapy. Schematic representation of the additional processes targeted when cell death proteins and pathways are modulated. For example, modulation of TRAIL signalling can cause genome instability and alterations to normal tissue homeostasis. Inhibition of BCL-2 proteins can alter the mitochondrial structure and function, and inhibition of IAPs can result in increased inflammation.
able to modulate BAX phosphorylation converting BAX into a form that facilitates earlier activation, used in combination with another apoptosis inducing agent, would sensitise cells to apoptosis. This was demonstrated in the combination of BMS-345541, which was developed as an IKK inhibitor but is reported to result in decreased BAX pSer184 and pThr167, correspondingly lowering the apoptotic threshold of cells and sensitising previously resistant melanoma cells to TRAIL (Berger et al, 2013).

Not only understanding what regulates these proteins, that is specific interactions or phosphorylations, but also the timing of these events can be crucial. Phosphorylation of pro-apoptotic BH3-only protein BID by ATM/ATR has recently been reported to be a determining factor as to whether a cell undergoes apoptosis following mitotic arrest (Wang et al, 2014). Once in the phosphorylated form in mitosis, BID is more pro-apoptotic making it more dependent on interaction, with BCL-2 family proteins to inhibit it and prevent apoptosis occurring. The phosphorylation of BID therefore ‘primes’ the cells for apoptosis. If mitosis is successfully completed, dephosphorylation occurs and sensitivity to apoptosis is reduced. On the basis of this mechanism, cells arrested in mitosis by the addition of microtubule inhibitors, such as Paclitaxel, combined with BH3 mimetics such as ABT-737 or Navitoclax became significantly sensitised to apoptosis (Shi et al, 2011; Colin et al, 2015).

An alternative approach to targeting anti-apoptotic proteins directly is to modulate their expression or activity indirectly. Inhibiting the chaperone protein HSP90 has been of interest for many years due to the huge number of client proteins that it is involved in stabilising thereby facilitating their function. Cancer cells have been found to have a higher dependence on HSP90 than normal cells, thus providing the therapeutic window that has been the basis of the development of HSP90 inhibitors. Inhibition of HSP90 with any of the agents that are currently in clinical trials results in the degradation of key client proteins involved in the progression of the tumour. Of relevance to this review, there are several apoptotic proteins that require HSP90s chaperone activity; these include MCL-1, FLIP, BID and cIAP1. Treatment of colorectal cancer cells and mesothelioma cells with HSP90 inhibitors resulted in rapid degradation of MCL-1 protein specifically in the cancer cells, causing a sensitisation to TRAIL (Lee et al, 2015) and ABT-737 (Busacca et al, 2015), respectively. Therefore, the combination of HSP90 inhibitors with different pro-apoptotic agents needs to be further investigated, both to overcome acquired resistance and to decrease the apoptotic threshold of cells to sensitise cells to existing chemotherapeutic agents.

Modulation of cancer cell metabolism is another indirect method of sensitising cells to apoptosis. Tumour cells are known to be much more dependent on aerobic glycolysis for metabolism, a phenomenon known as the ‘Warburg effect’ (Warburg, 1956). Metabolic switching can therefore be exploited to target cancer cells using agents such as 2-deoxyglucose (2-DG), which interestingly as well as inhibiting glycolysis induces downregulation of MCL-1 levels with no change to BAK expression, suggesting that BAK activation would be increased in the absence of a key anti-apoptotic protein that binds and restrains its activation. In agreement with this, exposure of mantle cell lymphoma cells to 2-DG enhanced the sensitivity of these cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis (Robinson et al, 2012). Moreover, in non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL), the effects of 2-DG alone could be further potentiated by the addition of the BH3 mimetic ABT-737 that targets the remaining BCL-2 family members, thereby sensitising NHL cells to both BAK- and BAX-dependent apoptosis (Meynet et al, 2012). Although the effects of 2-DG treatment are likely to be tumour cell specific, there are documented changes to protein translation pathways (reviewed in MacFarlane et al, 2012) that require further investigation in order to understand the detailed mechanism involved in the observed phenotypes and to understand the complex interactions that occur between metabolism and protein translation to enable any combination studies to be mechanistically driven.

**FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

As more and more detailed studies into apoptotic signalling pathways and the proteins that regulate these pathways are published, the complexity of the cellular balance between life and death is revealed. It is clear that mechanistic insight must guide the way in which drug combinations are trialled. Furthermore, with numerous examples of off-target toxicity (and more recently ‘on-target’ adverse effects) halting drug development, perhaps when drugs are being used to alter the balance of signalling pathways, target modulation should be more often used as a guide in clinical trials rather than the current belt and braces approach using maximum tolerated dose. By utilising strategies to lower the apoptotic signalling threshold of the tumour cell, lower doses of existing cytotoxic agents could be used resulting in better tolerance of often harsh chemotherapeutic regimes. In order to achieve this, however, it is essential that accurate diagnostic analysis of primary tumours is available so that the correct combinations of agents can be used to achieve a positive patient outcome. Furthermore, appropriate pre-clinical models need to be used to test these novel drug combinations, including the use of primary tissue in organotypic explant models as well as models that are able to better predict potentially limiting toxicity. However, utilising mechanistic insight into both the regulation and initiation of apoptotic as well as the non-apoptotic functions of those proteins under investigation as anti-cancer drug targets must be the foundation on which combination therapies are developed in order to reduce both on-target adverse effects and off-target toxicities.
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