Effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by radical surgery for FIGO stage IB2/IIA2 cervical cancer

A multi-center retrospective clinical study

Hui Zhao, MDa, Yue He, MDb, Li-Rong Zhu, PhDc, Jian-Liu Wang, PhDc, Hong-Yan Guo, Phdd, Ting Xu, MDb, Yi-Qin Wang, MDc, Ying Yao, MDb, Yu-Mei Wu, PhDa,*

Abstract

This study compared the efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) followed by radical surgery (RS) vs primary surgical treatment (PST) in patients diagnosed with International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) stage IB2/IIA2 cervical cancer.

Data of 303 cervical cancer patients who received primary therapy for stage IB2/IIA2 cervical cancer at 7 medical centers in Beijing, China between January 1, 2009 and December 31, 2016 and followed through December 31, 2017 were collected retrospectively. The response rates, surgical characteristics, and overall survival (OS) durations of patients who received NACT followed by RS were compared to those of patients who received PST.

An improved short-term complete response rate was observed among patients who received intra-arterial chemotherapy compared with patients who had intravenous chemotherapy (18.3% vs 4.1%, \(P_{\text{difference}} = 0.020\)). Patients who received NACT were more likely to undergo laparoscopic surgery and to have a lower blood loss volume (555.4±520.2 ml vs PST, 682.5±509.8 ml; \(P = .036\)) and increased estimated operative time (249.9±101.9 vs PST, 225.1±76.5 min; \(P = .022\)). No differences in high-risk factors (HRFs), the effects of supplemental treatment, or 5-year OS were observed between patients who received NACT and PST.

Our findings indicate that patients who received NACT for FIGO stage IB2/IIA2 cervical cancer were more likely to undergo laparoscopic surgery. These findings have important implications regarding treatment with curative intent for stage IB2/IIA2 cervical cancer and warrant a further analysis of treatment strategies to ensure adequate treatment and patient-centered care.

Abbreviations: CCRT = concurrent chemoradiotherapy, CR = complete response, EC = ethic committee, FIGO = international federation of gynecology and obstetrics, HRFs = high-risk factors, IAC = intra-arterial chemotherapy, IC = intravenous chemotherapy, LACC = locally advanced cervical cancer, NACT = neoadjuvant chemotherapy, OR = odds ratio, OS = overall survival, PD = progressive disease, PFS = progression-free survival, PR = partial remission, PST = primary surgical treatment, RS = radical surgery, SD = stable disease.
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1. Introduction

Cervical cancer is among the most lethal diseases affecting women. In 2012,[1] 528,000 new cases of cervical cancer were diagnosed, and 266,000 women died of disease-related causes worldwide. According to the staging system proposed by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO), cervical cancer can be classified into 3 groups[3]: early stage (FIGO stage IA–IB1), locally advanced cervical cancer (LACC, FIGO stage IB2–IIA), and advanced (FIGO stage IIIA–IVB).

Currently, the optimal management for patients with stage IB2/IIA2 cervical cancer remains controversial. Patients with tumors measuring >4 cm in the greatest dimension have a worse prognosis relative to those with smaller tumors, regardless of treatment.[3–5] Accordingly, specific treatment guidelines have not been set for IB2/IIA2 disease. Although concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRT) is the primary treatment in most Western countries,[6] neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) followed by radical surgery (RS) have been widely introduced into clinical practice in other regions.[7–8] Since the 1980s, NACT followed by RS or CCRT has been introduced as a therapeutic strategy for LACC.[9–13] Such regimens offer the potential advantages of disease downstaging, such as tumor shrinkage and reducing the surgical difficulty and postoperative risk factors for adjuvant radiotherapy, which may...
increase patients’ quality of life and other outcomes.\textsuperscript{[11,14–15]} However, studies have reported inconsistent findings regarding the outcomes of NACT. They found that NACT followed by RS did not improve overall survival (OS), and it can even lead to poor prognosis despite the reduction of pathological risk factors and the rate of adjuvant radiotherapy in LACC.\textsuperscript{[9,16–17]}

In this study, we retrospectively analyzed data obtained from 7 medical centers in Beijing, China to evaluate and compare the effects of NACT followed by RS vs PST among patients diagnosed with LACC. We also studied the response rates associated with different NACT regimens, cycles, and pathways; high-risk postoperative profiles; and the effects of NACT and PST on OS. The results of this study may provide new evidence and insights that could support the implementation of an improved standard of NACT treatment for LACC.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

We retrospectively retrieved the data of 303 cervical cancer patients who underwent primary treatment for LACC between January 1, 2009 and December 31, 2016 at 7 medical centers in Beijing, China (Peking University People’s Hospital; Peking University First Hospital; Peking University Third Hospital; Beijing Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital, Capital Medical University; Beijing Chao-Yang Hospital, Capital Medical University; Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University; and Beijing Shijitan Hospital, Capital Medical University). All patients had been diagnosed with histopathologically confirmed FIGO stage IB2 or IA2 cervical cancer (including squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adeno-squamous cell carcinoma) and did not present with renal, hepatic, respiratory, or cardiac failure. Computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging was performed before (at enrollment) and after chemotherapy to assess tumor extension and exclude distant metastasis or nodal involvement. We obtained an oral agreement to participate from every participant. This retrospective study was approved by the Ethic Committee (EC) from the Beijing Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital (2015-PHB050–01). This study has been registered in Clinicaltrials.com (No. NCT02471027) and is to be continued.

3. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT)

3.1. NACT regimens

Four NACT regimens were assessed in this study:

1. Cisplatin (60–70 mg/m\(^2\) on day 1) or carboplatin [4–6 times the area under the curve (AUC) on day 1] with paclitaxel (135–175 mg/m\(^2\) on day 1) every 3 weeks for 1 to 3 cycles;
2. Bleomycin (15 mg/m\(^2\) on day 1) with ifosfamide (1 g/m\(^2\) on days 1–5) and cisplatin (50 mg/m\(^2\) on day 2) every 3 weeks for 1 to 3 cycles;
3. Bleomycin (20 mg/m\(^2\) on day 1) with mitomycin (10 mg/m\(^2\) on day 1) and cisplatin (80 mg/m\(^2\) on day 1) via intra-arterial infusion for 1 cycle; and
4. Bleomycin (10 mg/m\(^2\) on day 1) with vincristine (1.4 mg/m\(^2\) on day 1) and carboplatin (4–6 times the AUC on day 1) via intra-arterial infusion every 2 weeks for 1 to 3 cycles.

3.2. Responses to NACT

The patients’ responses to NACT were evaluated according to the tumor size measured at the initial diagnosis and immediately before surgery, according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors.\textsuperscript{[19]} A complete response (CR) was defined as no visible lesion, while a partial response (PR) was defined as a ≥50% decrease in the greatest dimension. Progressive disease (PD) was defined as a ≥25% increase in the greatest dimension or the appearance of new lesions. Stable disease (SD) was defined as the status between PR and PD.

4. Observation standards

4.1. Clinical measurements

For each patient, the age, FIGO stage, gross tumor morphology, tumor size at diagnosis, pregnancy/childbirth status, menopause status, and details of the NACT regimen, course, and administration routes were obtained. The surgical approach, bleeding volume, and operative time were also recorded.

4.2. Pathological results

Pathological data, including histopathologic results, cell differentiation status, histologic type, cervical and vascular invasion statuses, lymph node involvement, parametrial infiltration, and margin status, were obtained.

4.3. Surgery

Type III radical hysterectomy plus bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy was performed within 1 to 2 weeks of diagnosis in the PST group and within 2 to 3 weeks after the last administration of chemotherapy in the NACT group. Postoperative supplemental chemoradiotherapy was administered based on the histopathologic results in accordance with the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guideline.

5. Follow-up

All patients were followed-up via phone interviews to determine the vital status until December 31, 2017.

5.1. Statistical analyses

Statistical comparisons of inter-group differences in the NACT characteristics and responses, as well as the post-operative adjuvant therapy rate, were performed using the chi-square test or Fisher exact test. The operation time and estimated blood loss volume between the groups of patients who underwent NACT or PST were compared using Student t test. Survival was analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test, while prognostic factors affecting survival were assessed using a multivariate Cox proportional hazards analysis. All data were analyzed using the SPSS 19.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). A \(P\) value <.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

6. Results

6.1. Patients’ characteristics

Of the 303 patients, 178 (58.7%) and 125 patients (41.3%) were classified into the NACT and PST groups, respectively. The
Patients in both groups were comparable with respect to the age at diagnosis, menopausal status, tumor size, FIGO stage, histology, and grade (Table 1).

### 6.2. Short-term responses to NACT

In the NACT group, 64.04% (114/178) of patients achieved an optimal clinical response; specifically, 12.35% (22/178) and 51.69% (92/178) achieved a CR and PR, respectively. Neither the NACT regimens nor the courses were found to significantly affect short-term responses. However, patients receiving intra-arterial chemotherapy (IAC) had an improved chemotherapy response rate, compared to those receiving intravenous chemotherapy (IVC) group (P = .020, Table 2).

### 6.3. Comparison of surgical profiles between the NACT and PST groups

The surgery-related profiles of patients in the NACT and PST groups are listed in Table 3. Notably, the NACT and PST groups differed significantly in terms of the estimated blood loss volume (535.4 ± 520.2 vs 682.5 ± 509.8 ml, P = .036) and operative time (249.9 ± 101.9 vs 225.1 ± 76.5 min; P = .022). Specifically, although the NACT group experienced an 18.6% reduction in blood loss during surgery relative to the PST group, the former had an 11.1% longer operative time. However, the transfusion rates were similar (P = .580) between the groups. Additionally, patients in the NACT group underwent laparoscopic surgery significantly more frequently than those in the PST group (P = .000) (Table 3).

Subsequently, a logistic regression analysis including NACT type, gross type, age, FIGO stage, and cervical size was conducted to determine the odds ratio (OR) for laparoscopic surgery. However, the analysis identified only NACT grouping as an independent factor associated with a laparoscopic procedure [OR = 3.352, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.07–13.18].
Table 4
Postoperative HRFs associated with pathology.

| Characteristics                  | NACT | PST | P value |
|----------------------------------|------|-----|---------|
| Differentiation                  |      |     |         |
| High differentiation             | 11 (6.2%) | 5 (4.0%) | .005* |
| Middle differentiation           | 108 (60.7%) | 79 (63.2%) |     |
| Low differentiation              | 44 (24.7%) | 41 (32.8%) |     |
| No tumor cell                    | 15 (8.4%) | 0 |     |
| Vaginal margin                   |      |     |         |
| Negative                         | 163 (91.6%) | 109 (87.2%) | .216 |
| Positive                         | 15 (8.4%) | 16 (12.6%) |     |
| Parametrial infiltration         |      |     |         |
| No                               | 175 (98.3%) | 120 (96.0%) | .219 |
| Yes                              | 3 (1.7%) | 5 (4.0%) |     |
| Lymph node metastasis            |      |     |         |
| Negative                         | 137 (77.0%) | 88 (70.4%) | .196 |
| Positive                         | 41 (23.0%) | 37 (29.6%) |     |
| Intravascular tumor embolus      |      |     |         |
| No                               | 122 (68.5%) | 80 (64.0%) | .409 |
| Yes                              | 56 (31.5%) | 45 (36.0%) |     |
| Deep stromal invasion            |      |     |         |
| No                               | 87 (49.9%) | 27 (21.6%) | .000 |
| Yes                              | 91 (51.1%) | 78 (68.4%) |     |
| Supplemental radiotherapy        |      |     |         |
| No                               | 108 (60.7%) | 82 (65.6%) | .383 |
| Yes                              | 70 (39.3%) | 43 (34.4%) |     |
| Total                            | 178 | 125 |         |

NACT=neoadjuvant chemotherapy, PST=primary surgical treatment, HRFs = high-risk factors. *P<.05, the difference is significant.

6.4. High-risk factors (HRFs) predictive of supplement treatment

A further analysis of HRFs identified tumor differentiation as the only significant factor (P=.005); no differences in the vaginal margin, parametrial infiltration, lymph node involvement, metastasis, intravascular tumor embolus, or cervical invasion were observed between the NACT and PST groups. There was no difference in the rate of postoperative radiotherapy (Table 4).

6.5. Survival analysis

Patients in the NACT and PST groups were followed for a median of 44 (6–104) and 64 (7–134) months, respectively. The 5-year cumulative survival rates were 83.3% in the NACT group and 87.2% in the PST group (P_{LogRank}=.418).

7. Discussion

In this clinical study of the effects of NACT on patients diagnosed with stage IB2/IIA2 cervical cancer, we observed the greatest response rate among patients with deep stromal invasion. Compared with patients who underwent PST, those who underwent NACT were more likely to undergo a laparoscopic procedure and had a significant reduction in blood loss during surgery. During a 5-year follow-up, however, we did not notice any marked differences in OS between the groups.

According to previous reports of clinical cases or studies, the effects of NACT on stage IB2/IIA2 cervical cancer have long been controversial and ambiguous. The results of some studies have supported the use of NACT for stage IB cervical cancer, as indicated by improvements in 5-year disease-free survival and OS,[18–19] whereas other studies found no evidence of an effect of NACT[5] or improvements in OS.[5,9] Some studies of NACT even reported a worse prognosis among patients with stage IIA disease, despite reductions in intermediate-risk factors and the need for adjuvant radiotherapy.[16] as well as a reduction in the number of patients receiving postoperative radiotherapy for stage IB2, IIA2, or IIB squamous cell carcinoma. Based on the results of 5 large randomized controlled trials, in 1999, the National Cancer Institute of the USA launched an alert recommending CCRT for the treatment of LACC; since then, this regimen has become the standard of management for stage IB2–IIA cervical cancer.[6] Still, similarly efficacious treatment modalities, including platinum-based NACT followed by radical hysterectomy[3,16] and chemoradiotherapy followed by supplemental chemotherapy[7,13] or RS,[16] were developed in other regions of the world. Given these discrepancies, NACT is not currently a first-line treatment option for stage IB2/IIA2 cervical cancer, and the standard of treatment for women with LACC remains far from certain.

In this retrospective multicenter study, the proportion of patients harboring exo-genetic tumors was clearly higher in the NACT group (85.9%) than in the PST group (69.6%). This may be attributable to the direct observability of the size of an exo-genetic tumor, which may have led to the suggestion of preoperative NACT for tumor shrinkage, which would broaden the indication for the surgical treatment of LACC. By contrast, physicians are likely more willing to choose PST for cervical canal and ulcerative-type tumors, as these may not be directly observable.

According to the literature,[20–22] uterine IAC is superior to IVC in terms of improving the local effect of para-uterine infiltration. Although our study found that the effects of NACT were not associated with the chemotherapy regimen or cycle number, the chemotherapy efficacy (CR + PR) rate was significantly higher in the IAC group than in the IVC group [66.3% (69/104) vs 60.8% (45/74), P = .002], consistent with the literature.[21–22] This may be attributable to the ability of IAC to directly target the tumor, leading to a higher local drug concentration compared to that achieved with IVC.

We further observed a substantial decrease in operative blood loss and an increase in the operation time among patients who underwent NACT, consistent with some previous studies[23] but not others.[24] Additionally, we noticed that the NACT and PST groups differed in terms of the surgical route, with the former favoring laparoscopic procedures. As laparoscopy is superior to laparotomy in terms of reduced bleeding and postoperative pain, early activity and post-operative feeding, and a more rapid recovery, NACT would largely benefit patients with early-stage cervical cancer by increasing their eligibility for laparoscopy. Consistent with this speculation, our results demonstrated a higher frequency of laparoscopic operation among the NACT group, compared to the PST group. Although the survival outcomes did not differ, the increased frequency of laparoscopic surgery yielded short-term recovery benefits for patients in the NACT group.

In previous reports, Wang et al.[31] and Li et al.[31] reported that for early-stage bulky cervical cancer, NACT led to decreases in the frequencies of lymphovascular space invasion, deep stromal invasion, and lymph node metastasis and a reduced need for supplemental radiotherapy. Ultimately, these benefits led to improvements in the 5-year progression-free survival (PFS) and OS rates, compared to those of patients who underwent primary surgery alone. In our study, although the NACT group was superior to the PST group in terms of deep interstitial infiltration and the tumor differentiation grade, the groups did not differ.
significantly with respect to other HRFs. Additionally, as deep interstitial infiltration was identified as a high-risk factor for postoperative radiotherapy, the rate of supplemental radiotherapy should be less in the NACT group. By contrast, we observed no inter-group differences in the rates of supplemental radiotherapy and chemotherapy. We might thus consider that the standards used to determine the need for postoperative supplemental radiotherapy may not have been uniform. Additionally, a local tumor with a largest diameter >4 cm is itself a risk factor for recurrence. In such cases, therefore, the gynecologic oncologist may still opt for supplemental therapy even in the absence of postoperative HRFs.

8. Conclusion
In summary, this multi-center study of patients with stage IB/IIA cervical cancer indicates that NACT was associated with a relative reduction in blood loss, increased operation time, and improved likelihood of laparoscopic surgery. We also observed better chemotherapeutic efficacy when NACT was administered intraarterially, compared with intravenous administration. Our findings warrant further follow-up involving more detailed assessments to confirm whether NACT can improve patients’ prognoses and quality of life. The findings could potentially improve the standard of care for patients diagnosed with LACC.
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