Skin Biopsy as a Novel Diagnostic Aid in Immune-Mediated Neuropathies
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Abstract

Immune-mediated neuropathies are a heterogenous group of inflammatory peripheral nerve disorders. They can be classified according to the domain where the autoimmune process begins: the internode, paranode, or node. However, conventional diagnostic tools, electrodiagnosis (EDX), and autoantibody testing do not fully address this issue. In this institutional cohort study, we investigated the value of dermal myelinated fiber analysis for target domain-based classification. Twenty-seven consecutive patients with immune-mediated neuropathies underwent skin biopsies. The sections were stained with antibodies representative of myelinated fiber domains and were scanned using a confocal microscope. Clinical and pathological features of each patient were reviewed comprehensively. Quantitative morphometric parameters were subjected to clustering analysis, which stratified patients into 3 groups. Cluster 1 (“internodopathy”) was characterized by prominent internodal disruption, intact nodes and paranodes, demyelinating EDX pattern, and absence of nodal-paranodal antibodies. Cluster 2 (“paranodopathy”) was characterized by paranodal disruption and corresponding antibodies. Morphological changes were restricted to the nodes in cluster 3; we designated this cluster as “nodopathy.” This report highlights the utility of skin biopsy as a diagnostic aid to gain pathogenic insight and classify patients with immune-mediated neuropathies.
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INTRODUCTION

Immune-mediated neuropathies are a heterogenous group of autoimmune disorders involving the peripheral nervous system (PNS) (1–7). Diagnosis is usually made by considering clinical phenotypes, time courses, and the presence of pathogenic autoantibodies. Representative examples are Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS), chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP), multifocal motor neuropathy (MMN), and antimyelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG) neuropathy (5–8). Traditionally, immune-mediated neuropathies are divided into 2 electrophysiological categories: axonal or demyelinating.

Recently, dysfunction or disruption of the nodal region has been recognized as the core pathogenesis in some immune-mediated neuropathies. Axonal GBSs are now understood as due to acute autoimmune attacks around the nodal region (9–12). Neuropathies with IgG4 antibodies to nodal/paranodal proteins are no longer classified as CIDP and are now considered to be a distinct entity called autoimmune nodopathies (5). Adding to the complexity, Oh et al (13) suggested the concept of chronic inflammatory axonal polyneuropathy (CIAP), an axonal counterpart of CIDP. In this regard, a new classification system based on the target domains of myelinated fibers has been proposed: the node, paranode, juxtaparanode, and internode (4).

Autoantibodies are useful biomarkers for this purpose (14–19). However, antibodies to nodal-paranodal proteins such as neurofascin-155 IgG4 are not widely available. Moreover, most target antigens remain unknown. The role of electrodiagnosis (EDX) is even more limited, as nodal-paranodopathy exhibits significant overlap with classical axonopathies or demyelinating neuropathies in terms of electrophysiological changes. Dysfunction of voltage-gated sodium channels at the node of Ranvier may delay the generation of action potentials leading to significant conduction slowing...
into the “demyelinating range” (10, 11, 19). Where axonal degeneration may follow nodal immune attack, EDX of nodo-paranodopathy can mimic that of classical axonopathy. Therefore, novel diagnostic aids that clarify individual pathogenesis are needed.

Compared to peripheral nerves, the skin is an easily accessible source of both unmyelinated and myelinated nerve fibers (20–24). Currently, small fiber neuropathy is the most common indication for skin biopsy, with intraepidermal nerve fiber density (IENFD) being the diagnostic standard (2). Over the last decade, research has progressed to allow for the evaluation of the morphology of dermal myelinated fibers in diabetic neuropathy, Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, GBS, and CIDP (25–28). Several morphometric parameters have been discovered: density of unmyelinated and myelinated fibers/bundles, abnormal elongation of nodes and paranodes, internodal shortening, and segmental demyelination (20, 22, 24–28). To our knowledge, few studies have employed skin biopsy to address the heterogeneity of immune-mediated neuropathies.

We hypothesized that the molecular pathology of dermal myelinated fibers may suggest which domain is primarily targeted by autoimmune responses in each patient. The sections were stained with antibodies to each myelinated fiber domain and then analyzed using a confocal microscope. Quantitative morphometric parameters for each domain were then subjected to clustering analysis, which stratified patients into 3 groups. Clinical and pathological features of each cluster were reviewed in detail. This report highlights the utility of skin biopsy as a diagnostic aid to gain pathogenic insight and classify immune-mediated neuropathies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

Ethical approval for this study was provided by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital (IRB no. 1906-160-1045). The study was conducted in compliance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent prior to participating in the study.

Between October 2020 and July 2021, 27 consecutive patients admitted to the Department of Neurology of Seoul National University Hospital for diagnosis or treatment of immune-mediated neuropathies were prospectively recruited. Patients were confirmed as having immune-mediated neuropathies post mortem when they met the following criteria: (i) immunologic or pathologic evidence of autoimmune origin; (ii) positive response to immunotherapy; and (iii) absence of alternative causes. Patients who met the corresponding criteria were labeled as GBS, CIDP, MMN, or anti-MAG neuropathy (5–8, 29).

**Skin Biopsy and Immunohistochemistry**

All participants underwent a 4-mm punch biopsy from the distal leg (10 cm proximal to the lateral malleolus) and the proximal thigh (20 cm distal to the iliac crest). The specimens were washed briefly with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed in Zamboni’s solution for up to 24 hours at 4°C. The specimens were then embedded in optimal cutting temperature medium and cut into 50-μm thick sections using a cryotome (CM1860; Leica, Germany). Free-floating sections were incubated with primary antibodies for 24 hours at room temperature. On the following day, the sections were washed twice in PBS and incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. The sections were then washed with PBS and mounted onto slides. The antibody sources and dilutions are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Triple immunostaining was performed using 2 combinations of antibodies: (i) protein gene product 9.5 (PGP9.5; GTX10410, GeneTex, Irvine, CA, 1:500, axon), myelin basic protein ([MBP]; GTX76114, GeneTex, 1:600, myelin), and voltage-gated sodium channel (Nav; S8809, Sigma, St Louis, MO, 1:100, node of Ranvier); or (ii) MBP, Nav, and contactin-associated protein (Caspr; ab34151, Abcam, Cambridge, UK, 1:100, paranode) (Supplementary Table S1). Three distal leg sections for each combination were analyzed per patient. In 9 patients whose myelinated fibers were insufficient for further analysis (less than 8 internodes, 30 nodes or paranodes), 3 proximal leg sections were additionally stained with the first antibody combination.

**Morphometric Analysis**

The sections were scanned using a confocal microscope (LSM900; Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). IENFD and dermal myelinated nerve bundle density were measured from 3 non-consecutive distal leg sections stained with PGP9.5 and MBP (in first combination) at 2.5× objective, as described elsewhere (2, 20–28). A dermal myelinated bundle was defined as a group of more than 5 nerve fibers that contains at least 1 myelinated fiber (24). Whether a patient’s IENFD was below the normal limit was determined according to age and sex-adjusted reference values (2). All nodes, paranodes, or internodes observed were imaged at 20× objective. Z-stack images were acquired at 1-μm increments.

The quantitative parameters of dermal myelinated nerve fiber morphology were measured as described elsewhere (20, 22–28). Briefly, internodal length is the length of the myelin segment (MBP). Nodal gap length refers to the MBP-flanked, PGP9.5-positive region surrounded by internodes at both ends. Paranodal length is the length of Caspr-stained bands located as a pair, with a node in between. In each patient, the results were presented in 2 approaches: the mean of measured values (μm) and the proportion of abnormal measured values (%). Reference values were defined as 2 standard deviations from the mean of intra-laboratory controls (296 nodes, 258 paranodes, and 72 internodes from 15 healthy controls). Accordingly, nodes > 4.81 μm and internodes < 42.9 μm were determined to be abnormal. Paranodes were considered abnormal if their length exceeded 7.16 μm or Caspr staining was totally lost (20, 27). Segmental demyelination was defined as the segmental absence of myelin sheath (MBP) with intact axonal continuity (PGP9.5) (27).

Representative confocal images were also presented to visualize morphological abnormalities of dermal myelinated fibers. Slice images acquired at 1-μm increment were pro-
ject into a single reconstructed 2D image. The fluorescence intensities of MBP, Caspr, and Nav (second combination) were measured to analyze the molecular organization of the nodal region. Along the node, a curvilinear-shaped region of interest was drawn by blinded examiners (Y.-E.H. and J.-J.B.). Fluorescence intensity was measured using ZEN 2.0 software (Oberkochen, Germany). Gain values were maintained constant throughout the study to minimize experimental errors.

Cluster Analysis
Cluster analysis is a statistical technique that groups objects into several clusters that share similar patterns of observations (30). In this study, we used agglomerative hierarchical clustering to identify subgroups that share similar dermal myelinated fiber abnormalities. Normalized data of the proportion of elongated nodes, abnormal paranodes, and short internodes were used. The Pearson correlation distance of the normalized data was used as the dissimilarity measure. The average linkage method was used to define the inter-cluster distances. The optimal number of clusters was determined using the NbClust R package, which provides a total of 30 indices evaluating how well the clustering results fit the data-set (31). The number of clusters identified by most indices was considered optimal. Patients with severe axonal loss, that yielded fewer than 10 nodes, 10 paranodes, or 5 internodes, were excluded from the analysis.

Electrodiagnosis
The nerve conduction study was conducted through conventional procedures using Nicolet EDX with Viking software, as described previously (32). Whether patients met the EDX criteria for demyelination was assessed according to the European Academy of Neurology/Peripheral Nerve Society (EAN/PNS) criteria 2021, or the Rajabally’s criteria, as appropriate (5, 33). As for patients with chronic-onset neuropathies, EDX data were classified as exhibiting demyelination, weakly supportive of demyelination, or axonal subtype. EDX data of GBS patients were classified as demyelination, axonal, or unclassifiable subtype.

Statistical Analysis
Variables are expressed as medians (interquartile range) or number of patients (percentage). Comparison between clusters was performed using the Fisher exact test for categorical variables and the Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables. We used the Pearson correlation coefficient to assess the correlation between quantitative parameters from skin biopsy. Statistical significance was set at a 2-tailed p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.1.1 for Windows.

RESULTS

Study Participants
Twenty-seven patients underwent skin biopsies without complications. Two patients were excluded because they had amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome, respectively. The clinical diagnoses of the remaining patients were as follows: CIDP (n = 10), GBS (n = 8), anti-MAG neuropathy (n = 3), MMN (n = 1), cauda equina syndrome (n = 1), chronic ataxic neuropathy with disialosyl antibodies (CANDA, n = 1), and CIAP (n = 1) (14, 34, 35). Based on the EAN/PNS 2021 guideline, every patient was under diagnostic certainty of “CIDP,” while none was under “possible CIDP” (5). One patient with cauda equina syndrome exhibited albumino-cytologic dissociations, no structural lesions, and improvement after immunotherapy; this patient was therefore considered to have disease of autoimmune origin.

Cluster Analysis
A total of 841 nodes, 841 paranodes, and 218 internodes from 25 patients were analyzed. Two subjects (1 GBS and 1 CIDP) with few measurable fibers were excluded from the cluster analysis; their myelinated bundle densities (/mm²) and sural nerve action potentials (μV) were both 0. Agglomerative hierarchical clustering was applied to the dataset of the remaining patients (n = 23). The optimal number of clusters was determined to be 3 (Supplementary Data Fig. S1). Figure 1 shows a heatmap displaying the morphological profiles of dermal myelinated fibers, the presence of autoantibodies, and the electrophysiologic categories for each cluster.

Baseline Demographic and Clinical Characteristics
The Table shows a comparison of the detailed clinical features, serum autoantibodies, EDX patterns, and skin biopsy-driven parameters of each cluster. The age and sex ratios were comparable between clusters (both p = 0.7). Autoantibodies against MAG or gangliosides were most common in cluster 2 (63%), followed by cluster 3 (14%) (p = 0.026). The distribution of EDX patterns did not differ statistically between the clusters (p = 0.2). However, the axonal type was most common in cluster 2 (50%), whereas it was absent in cluster 1 (0%). Patients in clusters 1 (75%) and 3 (71%) frequently showed an EDX pattern supportive of demyelination, as compared to those in cluster 2 (38%).

Comparison of Quantitative Skin Biopsy-Driven Parameters
IENFD values were comparable between clusters (p = 0.7). A significant proportion of patients had below normal IENFD: 50, 75, and 71% in cluster 1, 2, and 3, respectively. No significant difference was noted across clusters (p = 0.6). The density of dermal myelinated bundles was low in cluster 2 compared to the other clusters (p = 0.024). Analysis of nodal gaps, paranodes, and internodes revealed distinct patterns of morphological alterations in each cluster (Table). The proportion of elongated nodes was higher in cluster 2 (median 19%) and cluster 3 (median 19%) than in cluster 1 (median 11%) (p = 0.039). Abnormal paranodes were common in cluster 2 (median 17%) but not in the others (median 5–6%) (p = 0.005). Abnormally shortened internodes were
most common in cluster 1 (median 26%) (cluster 2: median 0%, cluster 3: median 11%) (p = 0.001). In contrast to the “proportion of abnormal values,” no statistical differences were seen when the “mean of every measured value” was compared (nodal gap length: p = 0.5, paranodal length: p = 0.15, internodal length: p = 0.2). The distribution of all measurements (not averaged by the patient) is shown in Supplementary Data Figure S2.

Morphological Alterations of Myelinated Nerve Fiber Domains

Figure 2 shows representative examples of internodal disruption, which is predominantly found in cluster 1. Figure 2A is the normal structure of a dermal myelinated bundle, which contains 1 myelinated fiber (MBP) and numerous unmyelinated fibers (PGP9.5). Internodes of sufficient length (arrows) are connected at narrow nodal gaps. Segmental absence of myelin sheath on an intact axon, referred to as segmental demyelination, is shown in Figure 2B (arrowheads). The abnormal shortening of internodes (<42.9 μm) is also seen frequently (Fig. 2B, arrows, also see the Table). Figure 2C shows selective fragmentation of myelin sheaths (arrowheads), and spared nodes (dashed circles) and axons, which were noted in GBS patients in cluster 1. Focal swelling of internodal myelin (tomaculous appearance) is observed in cluster 1, as well as in patients with anti-MAG neuropathy (cluster 2) (Fig. 2D, asterisk).

Figure 3 displays structural organization along the node of Ranvier, in terms of fluorescence intensity profiles of MBP (red), Caspr (green), and Nav (blue). Under normal conditions (Fig. 3A), sodium channels (asterisk) are highly concentrated within a short distance. Next to the sodium channel cluster is a pair of Caspr bands and the myelin sheath. Figure 3B, C shows patterns of paranodal disruption, which are exclusively found in cluster 2 patients: the extensive spreading of Caspr into the juxtaparanodes and internodes (Fig. 3B, dashed arrows; also see Supplementary Data Fig. S2) or loss of Caspr immunoreactivity (Fig. 3C, dashed arrow). Nodal disruption, such as loss of Nav immunoreactivity, (Fig. 3B, asterisk) is commonly found in clusters 2 and 3. In contrast, the structural integrity of the nodes and the paranodes was relatively preserved in cluster 1, although the fluorescence intensities of MBP were generally low (Fig. 3D, arrows).

DISCUSSION

Recent understanding of the pathogenesis of immune-mediated neuropathies has highlighted a need for more systematic classification (4, 10, 11). Nerve biopsy is not recom-
mended as a routine diagnostic procedure due to its infeasibility and morbidity (5, 35). We hypothesized that nerve fibers in the skin would be a useful alternative for studying the molecular pathogenesis of immune-mediated neuropathies. Through comprehensive confocal analysis and morphometric data clustering, we show that skin biopsy can identify the domains where immune responses begin.

In cluster 1, pathological alterations were mainly seen in the internodal domain. Segmental demyelination and abnormally shortened internodes were the pathologic hallmarks, which had been reported in the sural nerves and skin of CIDP (27, 36). None of the patients in this cluster had autoantibodies to nodes or paranodes. Collectively, we speculate that this group represents “demyelinating internodopathy.” Notably, morphological changes were observed even in 2 patients with unclassifiable EDX, highlighting the usefulness of skin biopsy. Another interesting finding is that abnormal IENFD was noted in a significant portion of patients, corroborating previous reports of various demyelinating neuropathies (26, 37, 38).

Cluster 2 was characterized by the disruption of both nodes and paranodes. While the former was also common in cluster 3, the latter was specific to this cluster. Dispersion of paranodes and complete loss of Caspr staining were observed. Interestingly, similar findings have been reported in patients with IgG4 antibodies to neurofascin-155, contactin-1, and Caspr (14). In our study, 2 patients had antibodies to disialosyl gangliosides, which are expressed on paranodal axolemma (12, 39, 40). Three patients with anti-MAG neuropathy were also grouped into cluster 2. MAGs are present on terminal myelin loops (paranode) and Schmidt-Lantermann incisures (internode). Therefore, IgM antibodies to MAG widen the myelin lamellae beginning from these regions, resulting in tomaculous myelin or segmental demyelination (22, 41, 42). In line with these reports, paranodal dispersion, focal swelling of myelin sheath, and segmental demyelination were all observed in our patients (41, 42). Apart from the patients with anti-MAG neuropathy, no patient in cluster 2 showed the overlapping features of internodopathy and paranodopathy. Collectively, we speculate that cluster 2 represents “paranodopathy” and could be further divided into “nodo-paranodopathy” and “paranodo-internodopathy.”

The node of Ranvier was the only domain involved in cluster 3. Nodal disruption has also been reported in the skin of patients with axonal neuropathies (20, 28). However, the magnitude of nodal disruption in these studies is much smaller than that observed in our patients; Doppler et al reported that only 0–10% of axonal neuropathy patients had any elongated nodes, accounting for 1.4% of the entire nodes. In contrast, prominent nodal elongation, ranging from 11.4% to 51.7% of

**Table.** Comparison of Baseline Characteristics Between 3 Clusters

| Characteristic | C1 (n = 8) | C2 (n = 8) | C3 (n = 7) | Overall p Value |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Demographic characteristics | | | | |
| Sex (F:M) | 2:6 | 2:6 | 3:4 | 0.7 |
| Age (years) | 62 (55, 74) | 62 (33, 73) | 60 (40, 62) | 0.7 |
| Autoantibody status | | | | 0.026* |
| Gangliosides | 0 (0%) | 2 (25%) | 1 (14%) | |
| MAG | 0 (0%) | 3 (38%) | 0 (0%) | |
| None | 8 (100%) | 3 (38%) | 6 (80%) | |
| Electrodiagnosis | | | | 0.2 |
| Axonal | 0 (0%) | 4 (50%) | 2 (29%) | |
| Demyelination | 4 (50%) | 3 (38%) | 4 (57%) | |
| Demyelination (weakly supportive of) | 2 (25%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (14%) | |
| Unclassifiable | 2 (25%) | 1 (12%) | 0 (0%) | |
| Skin biopsy parameters | | | | 0.7 |
| IENFD (/mm) | 3.92 (2.53, 4.93) | 2.97 (1.62, 4.34) | 3.70 (3.16, 6.62) | |
| Abnormal IENFD | 4 (50%) | 6 (75%) | 5 (71%) | 0.6 |
| Myelinated bundle density (/mm³) | 0.45 (0.38, 0.66) | 0.20 (0.00, 0.33) | 0.34 (0.14, 0.36) | 0.024* |
| Nodal gap length (µm) | 3.42 (3.04, 3.91) | 3.84 (3.15, 3.96) | 3.67 (3.50, 4.22) | 0.5 |
| Elongated nodes (%) | 11 (9, 12) | 19 (12, 22) | 19 (17, 26) | 0.039* |
| Paranodal length (µm) | 5.02 (4.39, 5.40) | 5.19 (4.88, 5.70) | 4.55 (4.37, 5.02) | 0.15 |
| Abnormal paranode (%) | 6 (2, 8) | 17 (14, 19) | 5 (3, 6) | 0.005* |
| Internodal length (µm) | 62 (57, 69) | 82 (64, 108) | 78 (71, 81) | 0.2 |
| Short internodes (%) | 26 (21, 32) | 0 (0, 13) | 11 (0, 12) | 0.001* |
| Segmental demyelination | 8 (100%) | 3 (38%) | 3 (43%) | 0.022* |

*The number of patients was 8 in each cluster.
†GQ1b IgM, GD1b, and GQ1b IgM in GBS (PCB) and CANDA patients, respectively.
‡GM1 IgM in a patient with CIDP diagnosis.
§Segments demyelination was determined as either present or absent.
¶Segmental demyelination was noted in a significant portion of patients, corroborating previous reports of various demyelinating neuropathies.
††IENFD, intra-epidermal nerve fiber density; MAG, membrane-associated glycoprotein.
all nodes, was found in every patient in cluster 3. Furthermore, our patients had 1 or more objective clues for autoimmune etiology, such as inflammatory infiltrates in a sural nerve, presence of GM1 IgM antibody, or clear treatment responses. Collectively, we speculate that “nodopathy” best explains this cluster. However, whether inflammatory insults to the nodes could selectively derange sodium channels while sparing paranodal structures needs to be confirmed by further studies.

The limitations in our study should be acknowledged. Although we recruited consecutive patients in a prospective manner, the sample size was small and did not include all immune-mediated neuropathies. We did not examine IgG4 autoantibodies to nodal/paranodal glycoproteins, which might have enriched the interpretation of our results. Additionally, conventional histologic assessments such as toluidine blue staining were not performed. Lastly, the present study does not elucidate disease mechanisms at the ultrastructural level, which requires electron microscope analysis.

In summary, we performed confocal analysis of dermal myelinated fibers and parameter-driven clustering in a small institutional cohort of immune-mediated neuropathies. Our data support the utility of skin biopsy as a diagnostic aid for determining the target domain in individual patients. In particular, skin biopsy may complement 2 major limitations of EDX: early detection of nodal pathology and reliable diagnosis of demyelination in sensory nerves. Our findings need to be confirmed in future studies with more patients. In addition, it would be of interest to correlate skin biopsy findings with clinical and EDX parameters and test whether skin biopsy improves management by allowing more patients receive appropriate immunotherapy.
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FIGURE 3. Fluorescence intensity profiles at the nodal region. (A) In control, sodium channels exist as a cluster (asterisk), surrounded by a pair of Caspr bands and myelin sheaths. (B) Loss of Nav staining (asterisk) and Caspr dispersion (dashed arrows) noted in a GBS patient in cluster 2. (C) Loss of Caspr staining, either unilaterally (dashed line, or bilaterally (not shown), is also found in this cluster). (D) Nodes and paranodes are relatively preserved in cluster 1, whereas the MBP fluorescence intensity of myelin sheaths is generally low. Scale bar = 5 μm.
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