Nuclear shape coexistence in Po isotopes: An interacting boson model study
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Background: The lead region, Po, Pb, Hg, and Pt, shows up the presence of coexisting structures having different deformation and corresponding to different particle-hole configurations in the Shell Model language.

Purpose: We intend to study the importance of configuration mixing in the understanding of the nuclear structure of even-even Po isotopes, where the shape coexistence phenomena are not clear enough.

Method: We study in detail a long chain of polonium isotopes, $^{190–208}$Po, using the interacting boson model with configuration mixing (IBM-CM). We fix the parameters of the Hamiltonians through a least-squares fit to the known energies and absolute $B(E2)$ transition rates of states up to 3 MeV.

Results: We obtained the IBM-CM Hamiltonians and we calculate excitation energies, $B(E2)$'s, electric quadrupole moments, nuclear radii and isotopic shifts, quadrupole shape invariants, wave functions, and deformations.

Conclusions: We obtain a good agreement with the experimental data for all the studied observables and we conclude that shape coexistence phenomenon is hidden in Po isotopes, very much as in the case of the Pt isotopes.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Shape coexistence has been observed by now all through the nuclear mass region, encompassing both light nuclei (\textsuperscript{16}O region) \cite{1}, proceeding up to the region of very heavy nuclei in the Pb region \cite{2,3}, and have been reviewed in a number of papers over a period spanning about 3 decades \cite{4,5,6}. Recent advances in experimental methods to explore nuclei, removed far from the region of $\beta$-stable nuclei, have opened up possibilities to explore the appearance and behavior of shape coexistence in series of isotopes and isotones \cite{5}. A wealth of new data, on both energy systematics, but, more important, on observables such as in-beam spectroscopy and lifetime data \cite{5,6,7}, Coulomb excitation using inverse kinematics \cite{8}, direct reactions on unstable nuclei \cite{9}, radioactive decay modes at the limits of nuclear stability \cite{10}, and break-up reactions \cite{11} have been at the basis of recognizing the rather universal appearance of shape coexisting phenomena \cite{5}. Moreover, measurement of the essential ground-state properties, such as masses \cite{12}, charge radii \cite{13} and nuclear moments \cite{14}, as well as the possibilities to study monopole E0 transitions in nuclei \cite{15,16}, helped completing the data basis in such a way as to confront theoretical modelling of nuclear structure properties in greater detail than before.

From a theoretical side, present-day methods starting from both the nuclear shell model, or approaching the atomic nucleus using mean-field methods have resulted in developments of both new algorithms as well as making use of the increased computing possibilities (see \cite{13,21} and references therein). The present status has evolved in a situation where the conditions for shape coexistence to occur are becoming understood. It looks like a balance between two opposing nuclear force components, i.e., on one side the stabilizing effect caused by the presence of closed shells (the monopole part), aiming at stabilizing the nucleus into a spherical shape, versus the low-multipole (mainly quadrupole) components redistributing protons and neutrons into a deformed shape is at the origin of the appearance of shape coexistence in a given mass region. Recent large-scale shell-model studies (LSSM), using diagonalization in a very large many-open shell basis in various mass regions \cite{22} or making use of an advanced Monte-Carlo shell-model approach (MCSM) \cite{21}, have been carried out. Besides, the concept to start from deformed average potentials and calculating the total energy curves as a function of deformation has been explored, in particular, for nuclei in the Pb region \cite{23,24}. However, recent studies \cite{25}, using a microscopic approach to determine the optimal mean fields, even going beyond when bringing in the nuclear dynamics, have given quantitative results - using both Skyrme forces \cite{26,27} and Gogny forces \cite{30,34}, as well as making use of a relativistic mean-field approach \cite{35,40} - that are indicative of the above mechanism. Moreover, attempts have been made and are still improved to extract a Bohr Hamiltonian \cite{31,32} starting from a microscopic basis \cite{33,45}.

From a microscopic shell-model point of view, the hope to treat on equal footing the large open neutron shell from N=126 down to and beyond the mid-shell N=104 region, jointly with the valence protons in the Pt, Hg, Po, and Ru nuclei, even including proton multi-particle multi-hole (mp-nh) excitations across the Z=82 shell closure, is beyond present computational possibilities. The truncation of the model space, however, by concentrating on nucleon pair modes (mainly 0\textsuperscript{+} and 2\textsuperscript{+} coupled pairs, to be treated as bosons within the interacting boson approximation (IBM) \cite{46}), has made calculations feasible, even including pair excitations across the Z=82 shell closure \cite{47,48}.
FIG. 1: (Color online) Experimental energy level systematics for the Po isotopes. Only levels up to $E_x \sim 3.0$ MeV are shown. The symbol $\uparrow$ indicates the beginning of the region where extra levels of low-spin, indicated with spin, parity assignments between brackets, in the Nuclear Data Sheet references, start appearing. The symbol $\ast$ for the $8^+$ level for mass $A=202$ indicates that the energy is within an interval $\leq 40$ keV above the $6^+$ level. Dashed lines connect states that are supposed to have a similar structure.

in the Pb region in a transparent way. More in particular, the Pb nuclei have been extensively studied giving rise to bands with varying collectivity depending on the nature of the excitations treated in the model space [49–54]. More recently, detailed studies of the Pt nuclei have been carried out [55–61] as well as for the Hg nuclei [62–64] in an attempt to describe the large amount of low-lying states and their E2 decay properties, explicitly including particle-hole excitations across the Z=82 shell closure. A novel mapping procedure to determine an algebraic IBM Hamiltonian has been proposed by Nomura et al. [65, 66]. In contrast to the standard shell-model to boson model mapping method [67, 68], it has been shown that an IBM Hamiltonian can be determined, mapping a self-consistent mean-field total energy surface $E(\beta, \gamma)$ (over the full $\beta - \gamma$ plane) onto the corresponding IBM mean-field energy. Very recently, this method was extended to include intruder mp-nh configurations, with a detailed coverage of the Pt, Pb and Hg isotopes [69–72].

Recently, a lot of new experimental results have become available in the Pb region, encompassing, besides the Pb isotopes, the nearby Hg, Pt and Po isotopes (see Section II for references on the Po nuclei). In both the Pb and Hg isotopes, there is overwhelming information (excitation energies, B(E2) values, isotopic shifts, $\alpha$-hindrance factors, etc.) by now that highlights the presence of shape coexistence, which is associated with the presence of prolate, oblate and spherical bands in the case of the Pb nuclei, and with the presence of a prolate deformed band together with a less deformed oblate structure, forming the yrast band in the case of Hg [8]. Whereas the intruder bands are easily singled out for the Pb and Hg nuclei in which the excitation energies display the characteristic parabolic pattern
with minimal excitation energy around the N=104 neutron mid-shell nucleus, this structure is not immediate in both the Pt and the Po nuclei. Therefore, in the present paper, we carry out an extensive study of the Po nuclei within the context of the interacting boson model, including 2p-2h excitations across the Z=82 proton closed shell, thereby extending the regular IBM model space, containing N bosons, with the intruder N+2 IBM model space, also taking into account the interaction between both subspaces, which is called the IBM-CM approach.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section II we describe the experimental situation in the Po isotopes whereas in Section III we present the various theoretical approaches that have been used in the literature to study the Po nuclei; in Section IV we succinctly present the IBM-CM formalism as well as the fitting methodology used; here, we also discuss the main outcome of the calculations on energy spectra, electric quadrupole properties (B(E2) values, quadrupole moments), and its comparison with the available experimental data; in Section V we discuss the results on α-hindrance factors as well as on the isotopic shifts; Section VI is devoted to the description of nuclear deformation properties of Po nuclei as derived from the IBM-CM mean-field energy, from the study of the quadrupole shape invariants and from the study of the kinematic moments of inertia, characterizing the yrast band structure of Po nuclei. Moreover, we compare the present results with the nearby Pb, Hg and Pt isotopes. Finally, in Section VII both the main conclusions as well as an outlook for further studies are presented.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DATA: SITUATION IN THE PO NUCLEI

The even-even Po nuclei span a large region of isotopes, starting with the lightest presently known 190Po nucleus (N=106), passing through the end of the shell, 210Po, all the way up to N=134 at 218Po.

Many experimental complementary methods have been used to disentangle the properties over such a large interval. These nuclei are extensively covered in the Nuclear Data Sheet reviews for A=190 [73], A=192 [74], A=194 [75], A=196 [76], A=198 [77], A=200 [78], A=202 [79], A=204 [80], A=206 [81], A=208 [82], and A=210 [83], and span the region we concentrate on in the present paper.

The experimental information (up to the end of the 90’s), down to A=192 (N=108), was obtained mainly using early fusion-evaporation reactions, followed by in-beam γ-ray spectroscopy, with, in particular, information on energy spectra systematics for A=192,194 [84–86], A=194 [87], A=196,198 [88], A=196,198 [89], A=198,200 [90], and A=198,200 [91]. This particular part of the mass region has also been analyzed using α and β decay studies of mass-separated Rn and At nuclei, resulting in information about excited 0+ states in the isotopes with A=196-202 [92] and in energy spectra of the isotopes A=200,202 [93], as well as making use of α-decay studies from the Rn nuclei for A=198 [94]. Alpha decay studies, moving from the Po nuclei into the Pb nuclei, have been a major fingerprint, in particular, in view of the shape coexisting structure in the daughter Pb nuclei. There exists an extensive set of results that has been accumulated during a period of about two decades [95–109].

It was observed that mass A=194 (see Fig. 1) indicated a break in the energy scale observed for the heavier masses, where the energy of the 2+1 level is typically of the order of ~ 600 – 650 keV, going down to an energy of about ~ 300 keV, therefore, dropping by a factor two. More recently, experimental studies spanning the 1999-2009 period have been performed at the JYFL at the University of Jyväskylä using the recoil-decay-tagging (RDT) technique, studying prompt and delayed γ-rays down to mass A=190 [110,111]. Moreover, lifetimes could be derived making use of the RDT technique in recoil distance Doppler-shift experiments for masses A=194 [112,113] and A=196 [114]. The experimental situation for the Po isotopes down to A=190 has been summarized by Julin et al. [8]. Very recently, Coulomb excitation experiments have been performed using post-accelerated 196,198,200,202Po beams at REX-ISOLDE, resulting in an important set of specific reduced E2 matrix elements, connecting the 0+ ground state with the excited 2+1,2 states (as well as for other combinations) [115]. Charge radii have been measured for both the odd-A A=191-211 Po nuclei [116,117] as well as for the even-even A=192-210, 216, and 218 Po nuclei [118], extending the ground-state information in a major way.

III. THEORETICAL APPROACHES: THE EVOLVING SITUATION IN THE PO NUCLEI

The experimental situation, as discussed before, hints for a particular change in the structure moving down in mass number A. The energy scale, which starts at the N=126 closed neutron shell, set by the seniority scheme [119,121], with a first excited 2+ state at 1181 keV, quickly sets in a rather constant value of the 2+ energy at ~ 650 keV. However, over the span of a few mass units one notices (i) a quick drop of the first excited 0+ from mass A=202 (1758 keV), down to mass A=196 (558 keV), and (ii) starting at mass A=196, the 2+ energy (at 463 keV) drops considerably setting into a new energy scale for the lower masses (known only down to A=190 at present), of ~ 250 keV.
In the early works of \cite{87, 89} a description using a particle-core coupling model approach was proposed, resulting from coupling the 2 proton particles with a vibrational core system. With the experimental information on masses \( A=196 \), and later \( A=194 \), a systematic study was carried out in \cite{122, 123} for the mass region \( A=210 \) down to \( A=194 \). Here, it was pointed out that the quick drop of, in particular, the first excited \( 0^+ \) state, arose because of the need of using an enhanced particle-core coupling strength. This point was later stressed by Oros et al. \cite{124} who showed that a consistent set of coupling strengths was unable to describe the observed properties, in particular, below mass \( A=200 \).

Calculations using a deformed average field \cite{122} indicated that in studying the energy surfaces, while at mass \( A=196 \), a soft spherical result was obtained, at mass \( A=192 \), an oblate minimum appeared, becoming the lowest minimum at a value of \( \epsilon =-0.2 \) and an almost degenerate situation at \( A=190 \) with both oblate and prolate minima with both \( \epsilon \) values of \( \sim 0.2 \). Energy surface calculations, covering the \( \beta - \gamma \) plane were carried out using a deformed Woods-Saxon potential, resulting in the presence of three minima in the mass region \( A=190 \) to \( A=186 \) \cite{124}. The above results were confirmed later by Smirnova et al. \cite{126}, this time using a self-consistent HFB approach using the SLy4 Skyrme force \cite{26}, indicating rather wide minima in \( A=196-194 \), a lowest oblate minimum at \( A=192 \) and \( A=190 \), turning into prolate at \( A=188 \). Triggered by the new data on masses \( A=196 \) and \( A=194 \), more detailed beyond mean-field studies were carried out \cite{112, 114} highlighting a detailed comparison on energy spectra and E2 properties, indicating the interplay of an oblate and a more spherical structure (vibrational). In their analysis, the authors point towards a rather pure intruder character of the whole yrast band, including the ground state. In our analysis (see Section 4.4), we come to the conclusion that the ground state exhibits a rather mixed character instead of being of pure intruder nature. More recently, a full study of even-even nuclei in the Pb region \cite{127}, showing specific results for the Po nuclei is presented. It is interesting to point out that high-spin isomers have been studied in the Po too, making use of a deformed Woods-Saxon potential, showing the effects of deformation on the specific excitation energy \cite{128, 129}.

IV. THE INTERACTING BOSON MODEL WITH CONFIGURATION MIXING FORMALISM

A. The formalism

The IBM-CM is an extension of the original IBM allowing to treat simultaneously several boson configurations which correspond to different particle–hole (p–h) shell-model excitations \cite{135}. In our case, the model space includes the regular proton \( 2p \) configurations and a number of valence neutrons outside of the \( Z=82, N=126 \) closed shells (corresponding to the standard IBM treatment for the Po even-even nuclei) as well as the proton \( 2h-4p \) configurations and the same number of valence neutrons corresponding to a \( \left[ N \right] \oplus \left[ N+2 \right] \) boson space \( (N \) being the number of active protons, counting both proton holes and particles, plus the number of valence neutrons outside the \( Z=82, N=126 \) closed shells, divided by 2 as the boson number). Consequently, the Hamiltonian for two configuration mixing can be written as

\[
\hat{H} = \hat{P}_N^\dagger \hat{H}_{\text{ecqf}}^N \hat{P}_N + \hat{P}_{N+2}^\dagger \left( \hat{H}_{\text{ecqf}}^{N+2} + \Delta_{N+2} \right) \hat{P}_{N+2} + V_{\text{mix}}^{N,N+2},
\]

where

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{ecqf}}^{N,N+2} = \hat{P}_N^\dagger \left( \hat{H}_{\text{ecqf}}^N + \Delta_N \Delta_{N+2} \right) \hat{P}_N + \hat{P}_{N+2}^\dagger \left( \hat{H}_{\text{ecqf}}^{N+2} + \Delta_{N+2} \Delta_N \right) \hat{P}_{N+2}.
\]
where $\hat{P}_N$ and $\hat{P}_{N+2}$ are projection operators onto the $[N]$ and the $[N+2]$ boson spaces, respectively, $\hat{V}_{\text{mix}}^{N,N+2}$ describes the mixing between the $[N]$ and the $[N+2]$ boson subspaces, and

$$\hat{H}_\text{ecqf} = \varepsilon_i \hat{n}_d + \kappa_i \hat{L} \cdot \hat{L} + \kappa_i \hat{Q}(\chi_i) \cdot \hat{Q}(\chi_i),$$

(2)
is a restricted IBM Hamiltonian called extended consistent-Q Hamiltonian (ECQF) [141, 142] with $i = N, N + 2$, $\hat{n}_d$ the $d$ boson number operator, $\hat{L}_\mu = [d^\dagger \times \tilde{d}]_{\mu}^{(1)}$, the angular momentum operator, and

$$\hat{Q}_\mu(\chi_i) = [s^\dagger \times \tilde{s} + d^\dagger \times \tilde{s}]_{\mu}^{(2)} + \chi_i [d^\dagger \times \tilde{d}]_{\mu}^{(2)},$$

(4)

the quadrupole operator. This approach has been proven to be a good approximation in several recent papers on Pt [57, 58] and Hg isotopes [64].

The parameter $\Delta^{N+2}$ can be associated with the energy needed to excite two proton particles across the $Z=82$ shell gap, giving rise to $2p-2h$ excitations, corrected for the pairing interaction gain and including monopole effects [49, 143].

The operator $\hat{V}_{\text{mix}}^{N,N+2}$ describes the mixing between the $N$ and the $N+2$ configurations and is defined as

$$\hat{V}_{\text{mix}}^{N,N+2} = w_0^{N,N+2} (s^\dagger \times s + s \times s) + w_2^{N,N+2} (d^\dagger \times d^\dagger + \tilde{d} \times \tilde{d})^{(0)}.$$  

(5)

The E2 transition operator for two-configuration mixing is subsequently defined as

$$\hat{T}^{(E2)}_\mu = \sum_{i=N,N+2} e_i \hat{P}_i \hat{Q}_\mu(\chi_i) \hat{P}_i,$$

(6)

where the $e_i$ ($i = N, N + 2$) are the effective boson charges and $\hat{Q}_\mu(\chi_i)$ the quadrupole operator defined in equation (4).

In section 4.B, we present the methods used in order to determine the parameters appearing in the IBM-CM Hamiltonian as well as in the $\hat{T}^{(E2)}$ operator.

The wave function, within the IBM-CM, can be described as

$$\Psi(k,JM) = \sum_i a_i^k(J;N)\psi((sd)_i^N;JM) + \sum_j b_j^k(J;N+2)\psi((sd)_j^{N+2};JM),$$

(7)

where $k$, $i$, and $j$ are rank numbers. The weight of the wave function contained within the $[N]$-boson subspace, can then be defined as the sum of the squared amplitudes $w^k(J,N) = \sum_i |a_i^k(J;N)|^2$. Likewise, one obtains the content in the $[N+2]$-boson subspace.

### B. The fitting procedure: energy spectra and absolute B(E2) reduced transition probabilities

Here, we present the way in which the parameters of the Hamiltonian [1], [2], [11], and [14] and the effective charges in the $\hat{T}^{(E2)}$ transition operator [13] have been determined. We study the range $^{190}$Po to $^{208}$Po, thereby, covering almost the whole second half of the neutron shell N=82-126.

#### TABLE I: Energy levels, characterized by $J^\pi_i$, included in the energy fit, if known, and the assigned $\sigma$ values in keV.

| Error (keV) | States            |
|------------|------------------|
| $\sigma = 0.1$ | $2^+_1$          |
| $\sigma = 1$   | $4^+_1, 0^+_2, 2^+_2$ |
| $\sigma = 10$  | $2^+_2, 3^+_2, 4^+_2, 6^+_2, 8^+_2$ |
| $\sigma = 100$ | $6^+_2$          |
In the fitting procedure carried out here, we try to obtain the best possible agreement with the experimental data including both the excitation energies and the B(E2) reduced transition probabilities. Using the expression of the IBM-CM Hamiltonian, as given in equation (1), and of the E2 operator, as given in equation (6), in the most general case 13 parameters show up. We impose as a constraint to obtain parameters that change smoothly in passing from isotope to isotope. Note also that we constrained \( \chi_N = 0 \) and \( \kappa_{N+2} = 0 \). We have explored in detail the validity of this assumption and we have found very little improvement in the value of \( \chi^2 \) (see Eq. (3)) when releasing those parameters. On the other hand, we have kept the value that describes the energy needed to create an extra particle-hole pair (2 extra bosons) constant, i.e., \( \Delta^{N+2}_{\pi} = 2400 \text{ keV} \), and have also put the constraint of keeping the mixing strengths constant too, i.e., \( \varepsilon_0^{N,N+2} = \varepsilon_2^{N,N+2} = 30 \text{ keV} \) for all the Po isotopes. We also have to determine for each isotope the effective charges of the E2 operator. This finally leads to 8 parameters to be varied in each nucleus.

To determine the value of \( \Delta^{N+2} \) we considered as a reference the already known values for Pt and Hg, which are 2800 keV and 3480 keV, respectively. On one hand, we expect to get a value closer to Pt than to Hg because the intruder states for Po are supposed to be very close to the regular ones and even become the ground state around 2800 keV and 3480 keV, respectively. On one hand, we expect to get a value closer to Pt than to Hg because the intruder states for Po are supposed to be very close to the regular ones and even become the ground state around the mid-shell. That constrains our value to be not much higher than 2800 keV. On the other hand, we know that the heavier isotopes, near the closed shell, should have an energy gap between the regular and the intruder states which is equal, at maximum, to \( \Delta^{N+2} \) (see Fig. 2 on correlation energy) and, moreover, experimentally the intruder states should appear above 2000 – 3000 keV.

To determine the value of the mixing strengths, we considered that the corresponding value for the Pt nuclei was fixed to 50 keV [22], while for the Pb, to a smaller strength of 18 keV [52, 53], and for Hg to 20 keV. We performed a set of exploratory calculations between 20 – 30 keV and found that the best overall agreement corresponds to \( \varepsilon^{N,N+2}_1 = \varepsilon^{N,N+2}_2 = 30 \text{ keV} \), although the agreement is quite similar over the whole range.

### Table II: Hamiltonian and \( \hat{T}(E2) \) parameters resulting from the present study.

All quantities have the dimension of energy (given in units of keV), except \( \chi^{N+2} \) which is dimensionless and \( \kappa_N \) and \( \kappa_{N+2} \) which are given in units \( \sqrt{\text{W.u.}} \). The remaining parameters of the Hamiltonian, i.e., \( \chi_N \), and \( \kappa_{N+2} \) are equal to zero, except for \( \Delta^{N+2} = 2400 \text{ keV} \) and \( \varepsilon_0^{N,N+2} = \varepsilon_2^{N,N+2} = 30 \text{ keV} \).

| Nucleus | \( \varepsilon_N \) | \( \kappa_N \) | \( \kappa'_N \) | \( \varepsilon_{N+2} \) | \( \kappa_{N+2} \) | \( \chi_{N+2} \) | \( \varepsilon_N \) | \( \varepsilon_{N+2} \) |
|---------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| \(^{190}\text{Po}\) | 712.6 | -29.41 | 6.34 | 285.43 | -28.56 | 0.22 | 2.88\(^a\) | 1.86\(^b\) |
| \(^{192}\text{Po}\) | 731.8 | -24.20 | 0.43 | 402.67 | -30.09 | 0.21 | 2.88\(^a\) | 1.86\(^b\) |
| \(^{194}\text{Po}\) | 800.3 | -15.12 | -16.00 | 518.23 | -28.92 | 0.41 | 2.88 | 1.86 |
| \(^{196}\text{Po}\) | 845.0 | -22.76 | -10.96 | 373.16 | -31.02 | 0.15 | 1.86 | 1.86 |
| \(^{198}\text{Po}\) | 982.3 | -28.00 | -25.74 | 854.82 | -34.51 | 1.33 | 2.05 | 1.10 |
| \(^{200}\text{Po}\) | 955.8 | -26.05 | -25.00 | 843.48 | -34.55 | 1.31 | 2.01 | 1.10\(^b\) |
| \(^{202}\text{Po}\) | 942.1 | -29.06 | -28.46 | 236.30 | -20.63 | 1.09 | 2.28 | 1.10\(^b\) |
| \(^{204}\text{Po}\) | 810.4 | -0.00 | -21.09 | 100.00 | -5.00 | 0.50 | 2.28\(^c\) | 1.10\(^b\) |
| \(^{206}\text{Po}\) | 717.1 | -0.00 | -4.30 | 100.00 | -5.00 | 0.50 | 2.28\(^c\) | 1.10\(^b\) |
| \(^{208}\text{Po}\) | 643.4 | -0.00 | 6.52 | 100.00 | -5.00 | 0.50 | 2.28\(^c\) | 1.10\(^b\) |

\(^a\)The effective charges have been taken the same as the corresponding values obtained for \(^{194}\text{Po}\).

\(^b\)\(\varepsilon_{N+2}\) corresponding to \(^{198}\text{Po}\).

\(^c\)\(\varepsilon_N\) corresponding to \(^{202}\text{Po}\).

The \( \chi^2 \) test is used in the fitting procedure in order to extract the optimal solution. The \( \chi^2 \) function is defined in the standard way as

\[
\chi^2 = \frac{1}{N_{data} - N_{par}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{data}} \frac{(X_i(data) - X_i(IBM))^2}{\sigma_i^2},
\]

where \( N_{data} \) is the number of experimental data, \( N_{par} \) is the number of parameters used in the IBM fit, \( X_i(data) \) describes the experimental excitation energy of a given excited state (or an experimental B(E2) value), \( X_i(IBM) \) denotes the corresponding calculated IBM-CM value, and \( \sigma_i \) is an error (theoretical) assigned to each \( X_i(data) \) point. We minimize the \( \chi^2 \) function for each isotope separately using the package MINUIT [144] which allows to minimize any multi-variable function.

In some of the lighter Po isotopes, due to the small number of experimental data, the values of some of the free parameters could not be fixed unambiguously using the above fitting procedure. Moreover, for the heavier isotopes...
(A>202), that part of the Hamiltonian corresponding to the intruder states is fixed such as to guarantee that those states appear well above the regular ones, that is, above 2 MeV. In some cases due to the lack of experimental data the effective charges could not be determined.

As input values, we have used the excitation energies of the levels presented in Table I. In this table we also give the corresponding σ values. We stress that the σ values do not correspond to experimental error bars, but they are related with the expected accuracy of the IBM-CM calculation to reproduce a particular experimental data point. Thus, they act as a guide so that a given calculated level converges towards the corresponding experimental level. The σ (0.1 keV) value for the 2^+_1 state guarantees the exact reproduction of this experimental most important excitation energy, *i.e.* the whole energy spectrum is normalized to this experimental energy. The states 4^+_1, 0^+_2 and 2^+_2 are considered as the most important ones to be reproduced (σ = 1 keV). The group of states 2^+_3, 3^+_1, 4^+_2, 6^+_1, and 8^+_1 (σ = 10 keV) and 6^+_2 (σ = 100 keV) should also be well reproduced by the calculation to guarantee a correct moment of inertia for the yrast band and the structure of the 0^+_2 band. Note that we only considered states in the fit with angular momentum and parity unambiguously determined.

In the case of the E2 transitions rates, we have used the available experimental data involving the states presented in Table I restricted to those E2 transitions for which absolute B(E2) values are known, except if serious hints that the states involved present non-collective degrees of freedom exist. Additionally, we have taken a value of σ that corresponds to 10% of the B(E2) values or to the experimental error bar if larger, except for the transition 2^+_1 → 0^+_1 where a smaller value of σ (0.1 W.u.) was taken, thereby normalizing, in most of cases, our calculated values to the experimental B(E2; 2^+_1 → 0^+_1) value.

This has resulted in the values of the parameters for the IBM-CM Hamiltonian, as given in Table II. In the case of ^{190−192}Po and ^{200−208}Po, the value of the effective charges, or part of them, cannot be determined because not a single absolute B(E2) value is known or χ^2 is insensitive to their values. However, for completeness we have taken the effective charges of ^{194}Po for ^{190−192}Po, ε_{N+2} of ^{198}Po for ^{200−208}Po, and ε_N of ^{202}Po for ^{204−208}Po.

![FIG. 2: (Color online) Absolute energy of the lowest unperturbed regular and intruder 0^+_1 states for ^{190−208}Po. The arrows correspond to the correlation energies in the N and N+2 subspaces (see also the text for a more detailed discussion).](image-url)
C. Correlation energy in the configuration mixing approach

Intruder states are expected to appear, in principle, at an excitation energy well above the corresponding regular ones with identical angular momentum. The reason is that these configurations are related to the creation of a 2p-2h excitation across the Z=82 closed shell. In the case of Po this energy would correspond to $\Delta N^{+2} = 2400$ keV. However, according to reference [49], the single-particle energy cost has to be corrected because of the strong pairing energy gain when forming two extra $0^+$ coupled (particle and hole) pairs, by the quadrupole energy gain when opening up the proton shell, as well as by the monopole correction caused by a change in the single-particle energy gap at $Z=82$ as a function of the neutron number. In particular, around the midshell point at $N=104$, where the number of active nucleons becomes maximal, the energy gain due to the strong correlation energy is such that the energy of the intruder configurations becomes close to the energy of the regular ones. It may even be that a crossing results making the intruder state forming a ground state. For instance, in the case of Pt isotopes, the nuclei around the midshell point at $N=104$ exhibit a ground state of intruder nature [57, 58].

FIG. 3: (Color online) Experimental excitation energies (up to $E_x \approx 3.0$ MeV) (panel (a)) and the theoretical results (panel (b)), obtained from the IBM-CM.

The experimental states can present a strong mixing because of the interaction between both families of configurations (regular and intruder). Therefore, it is not simple in many cases to find out which configurations are dominant in the ground-state wavefunction. In order to take advantage of the IBM-CM calculations, we calculate explicitly the “absolute” energy of the lowest $0^+$ state belonging to both the regular $[N]$ and $[N+2]$ intruder configuration spaces, turning off the interaction among the two families. We choose as the reference energy, the energy of the regular Hamiltonian describing the $[N]$ space for the $^{210}$Po nucleus. This nucleus only consists of a single boson, with the s-boson as the lowest energy state, resulting in the zero-energy line (horizontal dashed red line in Fig. 2). The energy of the lowest $0^+$ state in the regular configuration space $[N]$, $E(0^+_1,N)$, is lowered with respect to the reference energy because of the correlation energy and is described by the wave function $\Psi(0^+_1)^{reg}_N$ (see also expression (9)). The lowering depends on the number of bosons. On the other hand, the energy of the lowest $0^+$ state in the intruder configuration space $[N+2]$, $E(0^+_1,N+2)$, is described by the wave function $\Psi(0^+_1)^{int}_{N+2}$ (see also expression (10)) and appears at the energy corresponding to $\Delta N^{+2}$. This energy will subsequently be lowered by its specific correlation energy too. In most cases, the regular configuration with $N$ bosons corresponds to a spherical or slightly deformed shape, while the intruder ones, with $N+2$ bosons, to a more deformed shape. Therefore, the energy gain for the lowest intruder state, described by the wave function $\Psi(0^+_1)^{int}_{N+2}$, is always larger than for the lowest regular state, described by the wave function $\Psi(0^+_1)^{reg}_N$. The relative position of these lowest regular and intruder states is plotted in Fig. 2. Here, it can be clearly appreciated how the energies of both configurations can become very close, depending on the
balance between the off-set, $\Delta N^{+2}$, and the difference in the correlation energy $E(N+2)^{\text{corr}} - E(N)^{\text{corr}}$.

One notices how the energies become really close near midshell ($N=106$, $A=190$), where the number of active nucleons is maximal, showing that the lowest intruder state can determine the character of the lowest $0^+_1$ state. From $A=196$, and moving towards the heavier masses, the energy difference starts to increase and both states appear to be very well separated. Note that once the isotopes approach the end of the shell, at $N=126$, the number of active bosons is drastically reduced and, therefore, the correlation energy is reduced dramatically. In particular, the energy of the regular state reaches the reference energy, which means that it corresponds to essentially a spherical shape, while the energy of the intruder state approaches the energy $\Delta N^{+2}$ quite closely. Consequently, at the end (or at the beginning) of the shell, the maximum energy difference between both states will correspond to $\Delta N^{+2}$. The existence of a ceiling for the energy difference modulates the parabolic behavior of the energy systematics of the intruder states, transforming it into a flat shape and, therefore, leading to an energy for the intruder states lower than experimentally observed. Clearly, this is a deficiency of the IBM-CM calculations near the shell closure.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig4}
\caption{(Color online) Comparison of the absolute B(E2) reduced transition probabilities along the yrast band, given in W.u. Panel (a) corresponds to known experimental data and panel (b) to the theoretical IBM-CM results.}
\end{figure}

D. Detailed comparison between the experimental data and the IBM-CM results: energy spectra and electric quadrupole properties

In this subsection, we compare in detail the experimental energy spectra with the theoretical ones up to an excitation energy below $E_x \approx 3.0$ MeV. In Fig. 3(a) we plot the experimental data set, while in Fig. 3(b) the theoretical values are depicted. Note that the energy corresponding to the $2^+_1$ state perfectly agrees with the theoretical one because this level was used to normalize the energies, in other words, we used in the fitting procedure for this level a very small value for $\sigma (0.1 \text{ keV})$ in order to exactly reproduce the $2^+_1$ experimental energy. Comparing the energy spectra, one can distinguish two regions, $A < 200$ and $A \geq 200$, with an overall better agreement in the first region as compared to the second. A most probable reason for this is the fact that in the lightest isotopes the number of active bosons is substantially larger and the collectivity is enhanced. As we discuss later, in the heavier Po isotopes, non-collective broken-pair states (with a $v=2$ seniority character) do appear in the low-energy part of the energy spectrum. Those states are not well reproduced within the IBM space because of the restriction to a set of interacting s and d bosons.

One observes in Fig. 4 how the compression of the energy spectrum, with decreasing mass number, is well reproduced.
The same happens for the systematic dropping of the energy of the $0^+_2$ states, and the energy of the yrast band, more generally. Note that the agreement is better for those states with low and even angular momenta.

Next, we carry out a comparison for the $B(E2)$ values, which is a much more stringent test than the excitation energy, because these numbers are highly dependent on the detailed structure of the wave function. Although the existing experimental information on $B(E2)$ values is rather scarce, recent new results from Coulomb excitation experiments at REX-ISOLDE, in particular for the $^{196-198}$Po isotopes, have appeared [115], improving the experimental knowledge of this mass region.

In Figs. 4 and 5 we compare the $B(E2)$ reduced transition probabilities, while in Fig. 6 we compare the electric quadrupole moments. We also present a more detailed comparison on $B(E2)$ values in Table III.

In Fig. 4 we present the intraband $B(E2)$ values along the yrast band, for which the most complete experimental information exists. In a similar way as for the excitation energies, we used the $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ reduced transition probability to normalize the theoretical results. Note that for some nuclei, where experimental information is scarce, we have used the corresponding values of the neighboring isotopes to determine the effective charges (as explained in Sec. IV B). On the experimental side, the value of $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ is systematically dropping, which denotes a reduction of the collectivity of the states when approaching the end of the neutron shell. However, this is not the case for $B(E2; 4^+_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1)$ in $^{198}$Po, where an unexpected large value is observed. On the other hand, the theoretical results show a continuous drop of all the intraband transitions, resulting from the reduction of the collectivity of the states (in line with a decreasing number of active bosons).

In Fig. 5 we study the systematics of some interband transitions involving the states $0^+_2$, $2^+_1$, $2^+_2$. Because of the lack of experimental data and the large error bars, it is difficult to extract any trend. Regarding the theoretical results, once more, one notices an overall reduction of the $B(E2)$ values. We point out that for $A > 196$, the observed $B(E2)$ values are of the same order as the observed $B(E2)$ values in the yrast band. This represents a hint about the changing mixing character of the states as a function of mass number $A$ (see Fig. 12 as illustration of the changing structure of the wave function).

A different way to extract information on the changing character of the wave functions for the lowest two $2^+$ states is comparing the spectroscopic quadrupole moments, shown in Fig. 6. Here, we compare the theoretical and the experimental values of the quadrupole moment for the states $2^+_1$ and $2^+_2$. Moreover, we present the values corresponding to the unperturbed lowest-lying three $2^+$ states. One notices that the $2^+_1$ state corresponds to a well
TABLE III: Comparison of the experimental absolute B(E2) values (given in units of W.u.) with the IBM-CM Hamiltonian results. Data are taken from the Nuclear Data Sheets \[73–78\], complemented with references presented in section II.

| Isotope | Transition | Experiment | IBM-CM |
|---------|------------|------------|--------|
| $^{194}$Po | $2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ | 90(20) | 90 |
| $^{194}$Po | $2^+_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ | 120(40) | 156 |
| $^{196}$Po | $2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ | 47(6) | 47 |
| $^{196}$Po | $2^+_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ | 103(15) | 92 |
| $^{196}$Po | $2^+_1 \rightarrow 6^+_1$ | 130(60) | 125 |
| $^{196}$Po | $2^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ | 5.7(10)$^a$ | 2.1 |
| $^{196}$Po | $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ | 130(25)$^a$ | 36 |
| $^{198}$Po | $2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ | 39(9) | 39 |
| $^{198}$Po | $2^+_1 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ | 177(53) | 61 |
| $^{198}$Po | $0^+_2 \rightarrow 6^+_1$ | 2.0(1)$^{b, c}$ | 72 |
| $^{200}$Po | $2^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ | 285($^{+980}_{-285}$)$^a$ | 7 |
| $^{200}$Po | $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ | 1.8($^{+3.6}_{-1.6}$)$^a$ | 1.7 |
| $^{200}$Po | $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ | 229(147)$^a$ | 13 |
| $^{200}$Po | $2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1$ | 42(56)$^a$ | 33 |
| $^{202}$Po | $2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ | 31(2) | 31 |
| $^{202}$Po | $8^+_1 \rightarrow 6^+_1$ | 9.4(5)$^b$ | 52 |

|$^a$Data taken from Ref. \[112\].
|$^b$Experimental data not included in the fit.
|$^c$Data taken from Ref. \[91\].

Deformed and oblate shape for the lightest isotopes, which is smoothly changing into a rather spherical shape for $A=202$ and onwards. On the other hand, the $2^+_2$ state corresponds to a prolate shape for the lightest isotopes, changing into an oblate shape for $A=196-200$, and into a spherical shape for $A=202$ and the still heavier isotopes. When comparing with the unperturbed values, one can see how the $2^+_2$ state is changing into an oblate shape for $A=196-200$, and into a spherical shape for $A=202$ and the still heavier isotopes. However, in order to understand the second $2^+_2$ state, we have to resort to a mixture of the second and third unperturbed $2^+$ states mainly. This figure presents a clear proof of the changing character for the first two $2^+$ states as a function of mass number. This issue will be discussed in section IV E in a more quantitative way.

In Figs. 7 and 8 we present the experimental and theoretical energy spectra (up to $E_x \approx 2.5$ MeV) for masses $A=190-198$, which is the region where the coexistence should be more evident. We include in the comparison the known absolute B(E2) values. One observes a rather distinct rotational structure (along the yrast band) for $A=190-194$, followed by a structure that is changing into a more vibrational behavior for masses $A=196-198$.

The Po energy spectra show some other most interesting structural changes starting at the neutron $N=126$ shell closure, moving towards the neutron-deficient region (decreasing towards mid-shell at $A=188$) (see Figs. 1 and 3). One starts with the typical $\pi(1h_{9/2})^2$ two-particle spectrum governed by the seniority characteristics of the two-body proton-proton interaction. An energy gap of $\sim 0.8$ MeV is observed from the $8^+_1$ to the $8^+_2$ state energy difference (see Fig. 1), which most probably results from a $\pi(1h_{9/2}2f_{7/2})$ configuration, as a measure of the single-particle energy gap. Next, one observes the remaining characteristics of a seniority $v=2$ spectrum, albeit with an immediate drop of the $2^+_1$ state, when removing two neutrons from the closed $N=126$ shell, which then keeps a remarkably constant energy down to mass $A=200$ (N=116). The energy of the $4^+_1$ slightly drops but also remains at an approximate constant energy. The higher spin members $6^+_1$, $8^+_1$ are shifted upwards in a rather regular way down to mass $A=198$ (N=114), before first the $6^+_1$ starts dropping systematically down in excitation energy at $A=196$ (N=112), followed by the $8^+_1$ dropping too from $A=194$ (N=110) (see Figs. 1 and 3). Therefore, it looks like the region $208 \geq A \geq 198$ is reminiscent of a vibrational pattern where the specific two-nucleon properties of the high-spin proton pair remain rather well intact down to the lower value at $A=198$. An interesting test to gain deeper insight in this region, and the connection to the yet lower mass region $196 \geq A \geq 190$ where a dominant rotational-like and more collective pattern is showing up, can be derived from a study of, e.g., the B(E2;8$^+_1 \rightarrow 6^+_1$) value, as well as, of the g-factor and the quadrupole moment of the high-spin $8^+_1$ state (see Table II and Fig. 9). Experiments \[145, 146\] have shown that the g-factor stays...
FIG. 6: (Color online) IBM-CM values of the quadrupole moments for the $2^+_1$ and $2^+_2$ states in $^{190-208}$Po. The quadrupole moments are given in units $\text{e b}$. The dash-dotted and dotted lines indicate the quadrupole moments when the mixing Hamiltonian is switched off, corresponding to the unperturbed $2^+_1$, $2^+_2$, and $2^+_3$ states.

remarkably constant going down to $A=198$, at a value consistent with the $\pi(1h_{9/2})^28^+$ configuration (for a pure $v=2$ configuration, as a function of $n$, the number of holes in the $\pi(1h_{9/2})$ orbital, the g-factor remains constant), indicating a minor influence of collective admixtures into this state. Maj et al. [91] have analyzed the $B(E2;8^+_1 \rightarrow 6^+_1)$ value as well as the $8^+_1$ quadrupole moment in order to extract an effective charge (see e.g., Fig. 9 in [91]). The influence of particle-core coupling on the quadrupole moment of the $8^+_1$ state has been studied for the Po nuclei with $A=202$ and 204 indicating an increase in the quadrupole moment as low as $A=200$ [147]. The effective charge shows a steady increase of $\sim 2$ from $A=210$ down to mass $A=200$, from which a sharp drop is observed moving towards $A=198$. This seems to support the idea that, in particular for the high-spin members with a seniority $v=2$ character, those states only couple weakly with collective quadrupole excitations. Moreover, these results also corroborate the fact that from $A=196$ and onwards with decreasing $A$ value, large collective components should appear in the $6^+_1$ state, even though the $g$-factor of the $8^+_1$ remains constant between $A=200$ and $A=198$ [143, 144]. In view of the discrepancies between the IBM-CM calculations and the experimental data concerning the $g$-factor and the $B(E2;8^+_1 \rightarrow 6^+_1)$ value, those states should have a very weak coupling with the quadrupole collective excitations, and genuinely correspond to almost pure $v=2$ seniority configurations (see Fig. 6).

Finally, it is worth to note a recent beyond-mean-field calculation performed for the lead region by Yao et al. [127] and, in particular, with results for the even-even Po isotopes ($A=186-204$). They have calculated energy spectra (general systematics), as well as charge radii, $\rho^2(0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$, quadrupole moments for the lowest $2^+$ and $4^+$ states, and $B(E2;2^+_k \rightarrow 0^+_l)$ (with $k, l = 1, 2$). Comparisons with existing data have also been carried out for $^{190}$Po and $^{194}$Po in Ref. [113] and for $^{196}$Po in Ref. [114]. Because their formulation is within a mean-field context, invoking the concept of nuclear shapes (spherical, oblate, prolate), it is not always possible to compare with the present IBM-CM approach in which the model space is restricted to $0p$-$0h$ and $2p$-$2h$ excitations across the $Z=82$ closed shell. A few general remarks are in place. Regarding the energy spectra the main conclusion of the calculations are the overestimation of the $0^+_1$ energy (which is predicted to be oblate), though the slope of the variation with respect to $A$ is well reproduced and, moreover, that the calculation is not able to reproduce the almost constant value of the $2^+_1$ excitation energy,
giving rise to a steady increase of the energy as a function of $A$. Another very interesting outcome of the calculation is the spectroscopic quadrupole moment (note that in [127] an equivalent magnitude is provided, $\beta_2$) for the $2^+_1$ and $2^+_2$ states. The calculation predicts a prolate shape for the $2^+_1$ state while oblate for the $2^+_2$ state up to $N=106$ ($A=190$), interchanging at this point their character, becoming almost spherical (but slightly oblate) for $N=114$ ($A=198$) and onwards. In the case of $A=190$, the calculation exhibits the presence of a prolate and an oblate band structure, very much like the IBM-CM model results. The results for the charge radii give an overall correct trend. However, the upsloping trend in $\langle r^2 \rangle$ (relative to the value for $^{210}$Po), starting at $N=112$ ($A=196$) is not well described within the BMF description as compared with the IBM-CM.

### E. Wave function structure: from the unperturbed structure to configuration mixing

We start our analysis with the structure of the configuration-mixed wave functions along the yrast levels, expressed as a function of the $[N]$ and $[N+2]$ basis states, as given in eq. (7). In Fig. 10a and Fig. 10b, we present the weight of the wave functions contained within the $[N]$-boson subspace, defined as the sum of the squared amplitudes $w^k(J,N) \equiv \sum_{l} |a_l^k(J,N)|^2$, for both the yrast states, $k=1$, and the $k=2$ states (the latter are indicated with a dashed line) for spins $J^\pi = 0^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+$ in panel (a) and $J = 5^+, 6^+, 7^+, 8^+$ in panel (b). The results exhibit an interesting behavior: the yrast states show rather an intruder character for mass $A=190$ that quickly are changing into a regular one in the mass interval $A=194-200$. For the $0^+$ states, they are fully mixed for $A=190$ and subsequently, the $0^+_1$ state is changing into a pure regular one, while the character of the $0^+_2$ state is essentially purely intruder for $A=200$, later on changing into a pure regular character for $A=204$. The behavior for the $2^+$ and $4^+$ states is very similar. The first ($2^+$ or $4^+$) state starts as a dominant intruder state for the lighter isotopes, steadily changing with an increase of its regular component, becoming a fully regular configuration for $A=200$ and onwards to the heavier masses. The second $2^+$ and $4^+$ state start with a regular component of $\approx 50\%$ and $\approx 30\%$, respectively, reaching a maximal value for $A=194$ ($\approx 70\%$), then decreasing when moving to $A=200$, increasing up to reach a purely regular character by mass $A=202$. Indeed, the $0^+_2$, $2^+_2$, and $4^+_2$ states exhibit a similar trend. The other states exhibit a more
FIG. 8: Theoretical excitation energies and absolute B(E2) transition rates for selected states in $^{190-198}$Po.

erratic behavior, mainly because of the many crossings in the unperturbed energy spectra of the regular and intruder states, although appearing at very similar energies. Finally, note that the results for $A=208$ should all correspond to pure regular states because the intruder states appear at a much higher energy at $N=126$. Because of the constraint put on the value of $\Delta N+2$ (see the discussion in section IV C), the intruder states appear too low in the energy spectra. Moreover, because of the reduced number of bosons in $^{208}$Po ($Z=84$, $N=124$), only regular states up to spin $J=4$ can be constructed within the IBM. Consequently, it is not possible to describe the higher-spin $(J=8$ and beyond) states showing up in the mass region $204 \leq A \leq 208$ in a reasonable way within the IBM, in view of the specific high-spin broken-pair states appearing at $\approx 1.5-2$ MeV.

Regarding the energy systematics of the intruder states, one expects a parabolic shape centered around $N=104$, as is the case of Hg and Pb. However, this is not the case for Po, neither for Pt isotopes. The reason for not observing the parabolic shape is the rather strong interaction between regular and intruder configurations and the crossing of the intruder and regular states in the ground state. Therefore, it is very enlightening to calculate the energy spectra as a function of $A$ switching off the mixing part of the Hamiltonian, i.e. keeping $w_{N,N+2}^{N,N+2} = w_{N,N+2}^{N,N+2} = 0$. These spectra are depicted in Fig. 11 where we show the lowest two regular and the lowest two intruder states for different angular momenta. One observes a rather flat behavior of the energy for the regular states, still indicating a down-sloping tendency when moving towards the lighter Po isotopes. The energy of the intruder states is smoothly decreasing down to neutron mid-shell ($N=106$). In this region, the parabola become very flat (A=190-194). This results mainly from the smooth change of the Hamiltonian parameters when passing from isotope to isotope. A striking fact is the almost degeneracy of the unperturbed regular and intruder $0^+$ states for $A=190-194$, with the intruder configuration becoming the lowest one in the energy spectrum for $A=190-192$. The crossing of a regular and a intruder configuration with the same angular momentum has a strong influence on the regular component of the states resulting from the full IBM-CM calculation (see Fig. 11) inducing an interchange of character between the two states. In the situation of the $J^\pi = 2^+$ unperturbed energy spectrum, the closest approach happens at $A=196$ and in Fig. 11 one notices that the $2_{1,2}^+$ states interchange their character at this point. The same happens for the $4^+$ states at $A=196-198$.

A most interesting decomposition of the wavefunction is obtained by first calculating the wavefunctions within the
FIG. 9: (Color online) Panel (a): Experimental and theoretical quadrupole moment for the $8^+_1$ state (note that the theoretical values for $A=206$ and 208 are not shown due to the reduced number of valence bosons). Panel (b): Gyromagnetic factor for the $8^+_1$ state (experimental data and theoretical results).

N subspace as

$$\Psi(l, JM)_{N}^{reg} = \sum_i c_i^l(J; N)\psi((sd)_1^N; JM),$$

(9)

and likewise for the intruder (or N+2 subspace) as

$$\Psi(m, JM)_{N+2}^{int} = \sum_j c_j^m(J; N + 2)\psi((sd)_{j}^{N+2}; JM),$$

(10)

defining an “intermediate” basis [53, 54]. This generates a set of bands within the 0p-0h and 2p-2h subspaces, corresponding to the unperturbed bands that are extracted in schematic two-level phenomenological model calculations (as discussed in references [106, 137, 139, 148, 152]), and indeed correspond to the unperturbed energy levels depicted in Fig. [11].

The overlaps $N\langle l, JM | k, JM \rangle$ and $N+2\langle m, JM | k, JM \rangle$ can then be expressed as,

$$N\langle l, JM | k, JM \rangle = \sum_i a_i^k(J; N)c_i^l(J; N),$$

(11)

and

$$N+2\langle m, JM | k, JM \rangle = \sum_j b_j^k(J; N + 2)c_j^m(J; N + 2),$$

(12)

(see expressions [9] and [10]). In Fig. [12] we show these overlaps, but squared, where we restrict ourselves to the first and second state ($k = 1, 2$) with angular momentum $J^x=0^+, 2^+, 3^+, 4^+, 5^+, 6^+, 7^+, 8^+$, and give the overlaps with the lowest three bands within the regular ($N$) and intruder ($N+2$) spaces ($l = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 1, 2, 3$). Since these figures are given as a function of mass number, one obtains a graphical insight into the changing wave function content. In
FIG. 10: (Color online) Regular content of the two lowest-lying states for each $J$ value (full lines with closed symbols correspond with the first state while dashed lines with open symbols correspond with the second state) resulting from the IBM-CM calculation, as presented in figure 3.

panel (a), which corresponds to the first state, one observes for the $0^+$ state a strong mixing between the first regular and first intruder unmixed states (also called the "intermediate basis") for $A=190-194$, while for the heaviest isotopes the state is mainly of regular character. For the $2^+$ states the situation is very much the same though the mixing is much more reduced. For the higher spin states one observes a sharp border at $A=198$, in such a way that for $A \leq 196$ the states correspond to the first intruder configuration, while for $A \geq 198$ they correspond to the first regular configuration. Note that the intruder character observed for the heavier isotopes is somehow artificial because of the reduced number of bosons and also to the reduced excitation energy of the intruder configurations. In panel (b) the
FIG. 12: (Color online) Overlap of the wave functions of Eq. (7), with the wave functions describing the unperturbed basis Eq. (9) and Eq. (10). Panel (a): overlaps for first 0$^+$, 2$^+$, 3$^+$, 4$^+$, 5$^+$, 6$^+$, 7$^+$, 8$^+$ state, panel (b): overlaps for the corresponding second state (see also text).

situation becomes rather fuzzy especially for $J > 2$. For the 0$^+$ state one observes a mixture between the first regular and intruder configurations up to $A=196$, then the composition changes into a pure first intruder configuration and finally ends up as the second regular configuration. Concerning the 2$^+$ state, the lighter isotopes correspond to a mixture of the second intruder and the first and second regular configuration, the composition changing into the first intruder for $A=198-200$, finally ending as the second regular configuration. For the higher-spin states, the pattern is not so clear. In general, the states become rather pure and the changes in their composition is mainly due to the many crossings between the unperturbed regular and intruder configurations.
V. STUDY OF OTHER OBSERVABLES: ALPHA-DECAY HINDRANCE FACTORS AND ISOTOPIC SHIFTS

A. $\alpha$-decay hindrance factors

The $\alpha$-decay process can be used as an important tool to probe nuclear structure, in particular the overlap factor between the initial and final wave functions has the character of an $\alpha$-particle spectroscopic factor. Because calculations of the absolute decay rate are very difficult, most often one studies decay branches, characterized by a hindrance factor described by the expression

$$HF = \frac{\delta_{gs}^2}{\delta_{ex}^2} = \frac{I_{gs}P_{ex}}{I_{ex}P_{gs}},$$

(13)

where $\delta_{gs}$ is the reduced $\alpha$ width, $P_{ex}$ the penetration probability through the combined Coulomb and centrifugal barrier $^{[106]}$ and $I_i$ the $\alpha$-decay intensity (with $i = gs, ex$ for the ground state and excited state, respectively) $^{[106]}$.

The Pb-region has been studied intensively and hindrance factors have been used to obtain valuable information on shape coexistence, and mixing of various nuclear shapes. In particular, a two-level mixing model, suggested by Wauters et al. $^{[27]}$, has been used to analyze the experimental hindrance factors and extract complementary information on mixing between different nuclear configurations.

FIG. 13: Schematic view of $\alpha$ decay from the Rn nuclei into the Po nuclei, and from the Po nuclei into the Pb nuclei.

The structure information on the composition of the $0^+_1$ ground state in the $^{190-198}$Po nuclei is derived from the specific $\alpha$ decay hindrance factors into both the $0^+_1$ and $0^+_2$ states into the corresponding daughter $^{186-194}$Pb nuclei (see Fig. 13). Use is made of the fact that for the Pb nuclei, it was shown, through study of the Pb nuclear charge radii $^{[6]}$ and very weak E0 decay strength from the $0^+_2 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ transition, that the Pb nuclei retain a spherical ground-state structure. The experimental results on these Po nuclei $^{[93, 97, 99, 101, 106, 107]}$ have been analyzed in these papers resulting in hindrance factors of 2.8 ± 0.5, 2.5 ± 0.1, 1.1 ± 0.1, and 0.4 for A = 198, 196, 194, and 192, respectively. The major result is the decreasing trend in these hindrance factors, even favoring decay to the excited $0^+_2$ excited state at 591 keV in $^{188}$Pb starting from $^{192}$Po. In those studies, and using a two-state mixing model $^{[97]}$, an intruder character for the ground state $0^+_1$ state in $^{192}$Po turns out to be $\approx 63\%$ $^{[101]}$, or, even larger $\geq 65\%$ $^{[101]}$. More recently, $\alpha$-decay from $^{188,192}$Po has been observed into the final $0^+$ excited states in $^{184,188}$Pb $^{[104]}$. Their analyses results in hindrance factors into the first excited $0^+_2$ state of 0.08 ± 0.03 and 0.57 ± 0.12, respectively, which may well turn out as in indication of a very large overlap of the wavefunctions in initial and excited state in the final nucleus. In that latter paper, an analyses was carried out from inspecting the various energy minima in both the Po and Pb nuclei, obtaining qualitative information on overlaps of the corresponding wave functions, derived from the Nilsson-Strutinski approach $^{[153]}$. An analysis of hindrance factors was also carried out using a similar method, making use of deformed mean-field wave functions at the energy minima of the total energy surfaces, pointing out that this approach, albeit different from an approach explicitly including mp-nh excitations across the closed Z=82 shell closure, renders quite similar results $^{[154]}$.

The wavefunctions, obtained from the IBM-CM (see also similar results on the study of the Pt $^{[58]}$ and Hg $^{[64]}$ nuclei on $\alpha$-decay hindrance factors), give rise to the following theoretical values of 6%, 17%, 42%, 53%, corresponding with the weight factors of the intruder component (see Fig. 10) for the masses $A = 198, 196, 194,$ and 192, respectively. These numbers can be compared with the experimental relative hindrance factors as discussed before, with a rather good agreement.
On the other side, $\alpha$-decay starting from the even-even $^{A}$Rn nuclei [see Fig. 13], into the $^{A-4}$Po nuclei, gives very strong fingerprints for observing excited $0^+$ states, in particular, experiments studying the decay of $^{202}$Rn into $^{198}$Po [94] and of $^{198,200}$Rn [92] into the $^{194,196}$Po nuclei. The analysis of these data, using a two-state mixing model [148], resulted in detailed information on the more deformed intruder configuration in the $2^+_1$ state. Its weight factor is changing from 7%, passing by 31% and 72%, towards the value of 88%, for $A=200, 198, 196, 194$, respectively. It is interesting to compare these results with the present IBM-CM calculations resulting in a variation of the intruder weight factor of 5%, 15%, 47%, and 78% (see Fig. 10) for the same mass values. This again indicates a rather good description of the changing wave function structure for the $2^+_1$ state in the Po even-even nuclei.

Studies on $\alpha$-decay from the odd-mass Po nuclei have been carried out for the same mass region, with now an extra neutron coupled to the underlying Po even-even core [98, 102, 103, 105]. These experiments show the presence of rather weakly particle-core coupled states, associated with a spherical configuration for the even-even underlying core, as well the appearance of a more strongly coupled structure, associated with coupling of the odd particle with the more deformed configuration of the even-even core.

A lot of effort has been invested into going beyond the more simplified two-state mixing model that has been extensively studied analysing the $\alpha$-decay hindrance factors related to the decay into the excited $0^+$ states in the daughter nuclei. In particular, more detailed calculations have been carried out by Delion et al. [155, 156], and more recently in [108], emphasizing the need for a microscopic QRPA description that encompasses both neutron and proton pairing vibrations as well as including proton 2p-2h excitations across the $Z = 82$ closed shell. More in particular, calculations have mainly concentrated in order to better understand the observed hindrance factors for $\alpha$-decay leading into the neutron-deficient Po, Pb, Hg, and Pt nuclei. Karlgren et al. [154] use the information on the energy minima in the $\beta - \gamma$ plane of the total energy surface as the essential input to calculate the hindrance factors. They also discuss a possible connection between the present deformed mean-field approach with a picture in which specific particle-hole excitations are invoked within a shell-model approach. Moreover, Xu et al. [157] formulates the $\alpha$-decay hindrance factors within a density-dependent cluster model to describe the tunneling of a preformed cluster through the deformed Coulomb barrier.

![Diagram](image-url)
Experimental information about ground-state charge radii is also available for both the even-even \[118\] and odd-mass \[116, 117\] Po nuclei. Combined with similar data for the adjacent Po, Pb, and Pt nuclei, as well as for the odd-mass Bi, Tl and Au nuclei, the systematic variation of the charge radii supplies invaluable information on the ground-state wave function \[158–160\]. We illustrate the overall behavior of \(\langle r^2 \rangle_A\) relative to the radius at mass \(A=210\) in Fig. 14 and the relative changes defined as \(\Delta \langle r^2 \rangle_A \equiv \langle r^2 \rangle_{A+2} - \langle r^2 \rangle_A\) in Fig. 14b. The experimental data are taken from Cocolios \[118\].

To calculate the isotope shifts, we have used the standard IBM-CM expression for the nuclear radius

\[
r^2 = r_c^2 + \hat{P}_N(\gamma_N \hat{N} + \beta_N \hat{n}_d) \hat{P}_N + \hat{P}_{N+2}(\gamma_{N+2} \hat{N} + \beta_{N+2} \hat{n}_d) \hat{P}_{N+2}.
\]  

(14)

The four parameters appearing in this expression are fitted to the experimental data, corresponding to the radii of \(A=192-198\) even-even Po isotopes \[118\]. The resulting values are \(\gamma_N = -0.108\) fm\(^2\), \(\beta_N = 0.152\) fm\(^2\), \(\gamma_{N+2} = -0.022\) fm\(^2\), and \(\beta_{N+2} = 0.027\) fm\(^2\). Note that in determining these parameters we took as reference point \(^{204}\)Po instead of \(^{210}\)Po which is the reference for the experimental data.

Panel (a) of Fig. 14 shows the value of the radius relative to \(A=210\). The first important fact is the strong deviation from the linear trend (marked with the dotted line) at \(A=198\) and downwards which is showing the onset of deformation confirmed in several recent experimental papers \[116, 118\]. To see this fact more clearly, we also present the value of the isotopic shift which enhances the appearance of the deformation. We mention the very good quantitative agreement between the IBM-CM and the experimental data, which confirms that the interplay between the \([N]\) and \([N+2]\) contributions in the \(0^+\) ground state wave function along the whole chain of Po isotopes is well described by the model. Comparing with the data for the nearby Hg and Pt isotopes, one notices that the range of variation of the isotopic shift for Hg is only \(\approx 0.02\) fm\(^2\), while for Pt this amounts to \(\approx 0.1\) fm\(^2\) and, finally, for Po becomes \(\approx 0.2\) fm\(^2\). This fact is telling us how abrupt the onset of deformation in the Po is. Note the strong similarity of Fig. 14b with Pt case \[58\], where there is also an abrupt drop of the isotopic shift at \(A=184-186\).

VI. QUADRUPOLE INVARIANTS AND NUCLEAR DEFORMATION

The IBM can provide us with both the energy spectra and the corresponding wave functions, as well as all derived observables (B(E2)'s, quadrupole moments, radii, ...), working within the laboratory frame, as well as the correspond-
FIG. 16: (Color online) Matrix coherent-state calculation for $^{190-194}$Po, corresponding with the present IBM-CM Hamiltonian (table II). The energy spacing between adjacent contour lines equals 100 keV and the deepest energy minimum is set to zero, corresponding to the red color.

The calculation of the nuclear shape can be constructed using the intrinsic state formalism, as proposed in Refs. [161–165]. The extension of this formalism to describe simultaneously regular and intruder configurations was proposed by Frank et al., introducing a matrix coherent-state method [166–169]. In Refs. [59, 170] a detailed description of the method and its application to Pt and Hg isotopes, respectively, can be found. In Figs. 16a, 16b, and 16c the IBM-CM mean-field energy surfaces are depicted for $^{190}$Po, $^{192}$Po, and $^{194}$Po, respectively. In $^{190}$Po the energy surface presents an oblate minimum, that evolves into a very flat surface for $^{192}$Po and in a spherical shape for $^{194}$Po. Note that from Fig. 3 in Ref. [127], the $^{192}$Po and $^{194}$Po nuclei exhibit an oblate minimum, however, in reaching $^{190}$Po, the energy minimum switches over to become a prolate minimum, with a very similar deformation.

Even though the shape of the nucleus is not an experimental observable, it is still possible to extract from the data direct information about various moments characterizing the nuclear shape corresponding with a given eigenstate. Using Coulomb excitation, it is possible to extract the most important diagonal and non-diagonal quadrupole and octupole matrix elements, including their relative signs and, in a model independent way, extract information about nuclear deformation as shown by Kumar, Cline and co-workers [171–175].

The essential point is the introduction of an “equivalent ellipsoid” view of a given nucleus [171] corresponding to a uniformly charged ellipsoid with the same charge, $\langle r^2 \rangle$, and E2 moments as the nucleus characterized by a specific eigenstate [171, 176].

From the theoretical point of view the nuclear shape can be calculated using the quadrupole shape invariants. The quadrupole deformation corresponds to

$$ q_{2,i} = \sqrt{\langle 0^+_i \mid [\hat{Q} \times \hat{Q}]^{(0)} \mid 0^+_i \rangle}. $$

(15)

For the triaxial rigid rotor model [177] it is directly connected with the deformation parameter

$$ q_2 = q^2, $$

(16)

where $q$ denotes the nuclear intrinsic quadrupole moment.

To calculate analytically the quadrupole shape invariants characterizing the nucleus in its ground-state and low-lying excited states, it is necessary to resort to a closure relation, $1 = \sum_{J,i,M} |J_i,M \rangle \langle J_i,M|$, leading to the expression

$$ q_{2,i} = \sum_r \langle 0^+_i \mid [\hat{Q}]^{2^+_r} \mid 2^+_r \rangle \langle [\hat{Q}]^{2^+_r} \mid 0^+_i \rangle. $$

(17)

In Table IV we present the theoretical value of $q^2$ corresponding to the $0^+_1$ and $0^+_2$ states, for the whole chain of Po isotopes. In this case, only very few experimental values of the reduced E2 matrix elements $\langle 0^+_1 \mid [\hat{Q}]^{2^+_r} \mid 0^+_1 \rangle$ have been measured [113] besides the strongest and dominating $\langle 0^+_1 \mid [\hat{Q}]^{2^+_r} \mid 2^+_r \rangle$ matrix element. Because of the limited number of reduced E2 matrix elements, going into $r=2,3,\ldots$ final states, it is not possible to extract reliable experimental values. For the same reason it is not possible to extract the triaxial shape variable $\delta$, this is the reason for not including this variable in the discussion. The main conclusion of this table is that the deformation of both $0^+_1$ states is very similar and is dropping when moving from mid-shell, at $N=104$, to the end of the shell at $N=126$ [115].
Starting from the quadrupole invariant \[ \langle j_1m_1j_2m_2|jm \rangle \] (see, e.g., references [174, 175, 176]),

\[ \beta = \frac{4 \pi \sqrt{q^2}}{3 Z \varepsilon r_0^2 A^{2/3}}, \]  

(18)

where \( e \) is the proton charge and \( r_0 = 1.2 \, \text{fm} \). Thus, we can extract values for \( \beta \) corresponding to the ground-state \( 0^+_1 \) and the first excited \( 0^+_2 \) state.

The resulting \( \beta \) values, extracted from (18), are shown in Fig. 15(a), and one notices an overall decrease, albeit with a plateau in the mass region \( 194 \leq A \leq 202 \), which is the region corresponding with an overall change in the energy scale for the first excited \( 2^+_1 \) state.

We also provide a different measure of the deformation value and extract a value of \( \beta \) starting from a given \( B(E2) \) value through the expression:

\[ \beta = \frac{4 \pi \sqrt{\langle J \rightarrow J-2 \rangle}}{3 Z \varepsilon r_0^2 A^{2/3} \langle J020|J-20 \rangle}, \]  

(19)

where \( \langle j_1m_1j_2m_2|jm \rangle \) is a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient.

In particular, we extract the value of \( \beta \), derived from the \( B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1) \) value, but also for any other \( B(E2; J^+ \rightarrow (J-2)^+) \) value along the yrast band. The extracted \( \beta \) values then give interesting information about a possible variation along the band. Note that we extract the value for \( 0^+_1 \) from \( B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1) \) and for \( 0^+_2 \) from \( B(E2; 6^+_1 \rightarrow 4^+_1) \). The corresponding values are plotted in Fig. 15(b) and are fully consistent with the results, as shown in Fig. 15(a). They are slightly smaller because of using only a single but dominant \( B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1) \) reduced transition probability.

The results for the Po nuclei are contrasting with the nearby Hg and Pt isotopes. In a similar analysis as the one carried out here, but for the Hg isotopes (see Figs. 2 and 3 in reference [180]), the \( \beta \) values extracted from the IBM-CM results exhibit a strong difference in the interval \( 180 \leq A \leq 190 \). This is consistent with the \( \beta \) values extracted from the \( B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1) \) (showing the less deformed oblate structure) and from the \( B(E2; 6^+_1 \rightarrow 4^+_1) \) (associated with a more deformed prolate structure) and quite different from the overall smooth drop in the \( \beta \) values extracted in the Po isotopes. Comparing with the Pt nuclei, in which fewer data were available (see figure 3.27 in [5]), down to mass \( A=188 \), \( \beta \) values extracted from the \( B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1) \) and the \( B(E2; 6^+_1 \rightarrow 4^+_1) \) reduced \( E2 \) transition probabilities do not show the same large change in the case of the Hg isotopes. In the Pt case, a rather smooth transition (a bump) from the region with \( A \geq 188 \) moving towards the mid-shell region exists. This was shown to be a consequence of the rather strong mixing between regular and intruder configurations [57, 58]. The behavior of \( \beta \), within the context of the IBM-CM, indicated a similar bump as a function of mass number [59].

Interesting information about the changing collective structure along the yrast band for the Po can be extracted by studying the variation of the moment of inertia as one moves up the band structure. A quantitative measure, that is often used, is the kinematic moment of inertia \( J^{(1)} \), which is defined as \[ \frac{h^2}{2} \left( \frac{dE}{d(J+1)} \right)^{-1} \approx \frac{h^2(2J-1)}{2E_\gamma(J \rightarrow (J-2))}, \]  

(20)

Starting from the quadrupole invariant \[ \langle j_1m_1j_2m_2|jm \rangle \] (see, e.g., references [174, 175, 176])

![Table IV: Quadrupole shape invariants for the \(^{190-208}\text{Po}\) isotopes.](image)

| Isotope | State | \( q^2 \) (\( e^2 \, b^2 \)) | Isotope | State | \( q^2 \) (\( e^2 \, b^2 \)) |
|---------|-------|-----------------|---------|-------|-----------------|
| 190     | 0\(^+_1\) | 6.2\(^a\)       | 200     | 0\(^+_1\) | 1.1\(^a\)       |
|         | 0\(^+_2\) | 5.7\(^a\)       |         | 0\(^+_2\) | 0.9\(^a\)       |
| 192     | 0\(^+_1\) | 4.8\(^a\)       | 202     | 0\(^+_1\) | 1.1 \(^a\)      |
|         | 0\(^+_2\) | 4.5\(^a\)       |         | 0\(^+_2\) | 0.9\(^a\)       |
| 194     | 0\(^+_1\) | 3.6             | 204     | 0\(^+_1\) | 0.7\(^a\)       |
|         | 0\(^+_2\) | 3.5             |         | 0\(^+_2\) | 0.7\(^a\)       |
| 198     | 0\(^+_1\) | 1.7             | 206     | 0\(^+_1\) | 0.6 \(^a\)      |
|         | 0\(^+_2\) | 2.8             |         | 0\(^+_2\) | 0.4\(^a\)       |
| 208     | 0\(^+_1\) | 1.4             |         | 0\(^+_1\) | 0.4\(^a\)       |
|         | 0\(^+_2\) | 1.2             |         | 0\(^+_2\) | 0.1\(^a\)       |

\(^a\)The effective charges have been taken from neighboring isotopes (see Table II).
where \( E_\gamma(J \rightarrow (J-2)) \) is the energy difference \( E(J) - E(J-2) \). For an ideal rigid rotor, with a moment of inertia that is independent of the angular momentum \( J \), the kinematic moment of inertia reduces to a constant.

In constructing the experimental \( J^{(1)} \) values, we use the yrast band energies for the corresponding \( J^\pi \) values. However, approaching the high-spin levels with \( 8^+, 10^+, 12^+ \), it can happen that non-collective (mainly broken-pair configurations) do cross the collective structure, giving also rise to isomeric states as a fingerprint. Consequently, considering those states, the smooth variation of \( J^{(1)} \) will change into a backbending pattern. Experimental data on lifetimes, \( \gamma \)-ray intensities characterizing the decay within a band structure, as well the coincidence data with \( \gamma \)-rays from the low-energy transitions in the experimental yrast bands, have been used to construct the resulting \( J^{(1)} \) values. In particular, for the Po nuclei (see references \( 8, 85, 89, 90, 111, 114 \)), we cover the \( 106 \leq N \leq 114 \) (190 \( \leq A \leq 198 \)) region, with the results presented in Fig. 17. A similar analysis spanning a smaller set of Po nuclei, was carried out in references \( 8, 86, 110, 111 \). One observes a very smooth increase along the yrast band, moving up to rather high spin values in the \( A=190 \) (up to spin \( 14^+ \)), 192 and 194 (up to spin \( 10^+ \)) isotopes, consistent with the energy spectra as shown in Fig. 17. It is only for the higher-spin states, which are of a non-collective broken-pair nature, that very small energy differences appear, not at all consistent with collective excitations and giving rise to strong back-bending (not shown here). From \( A=198 \), and onwards, a different energy pattern for \( J^{(1)} \) is observed. For the lower part of the band, up to the \( 6^+ \) level, the values of \( E_\gamma \) are roughly constant, indicating the typical energy differences of a vibrational structure. The fairly correct reproduction of this trend by the IBM-CM results indicates that the major
physic content is rather well described. It is also interesting to compare - making use of the experimental data on band structure and shape coexistence in the Pb region - the Po nuclei, with the neighboring Pb, Hg and Pt isotopes in order to appreciate similarities and differences, covering the same neutron interval \(106 \leq N \leq 114\), as shown in Fig. \[18\]. The data used to construct the \(J^{(1)}\) band for the Po, Pb, Hg, and Pt isotopes have been taken from the references given in Section \[III\] and \[182\].

An obvious observation from Fig. \[18\] is the fact that at \(N=106\), i.e. the nuclei \(^{190}_{\text{Po}},^{188}_{\text{Pb}},^{186}_{\text{Hg}}\) and \(^{184}_{\text{Pt}}\), from \(J^* = 6^+\) onwards, a very similar variation in the value of \(J^{(1)}\) results. The starting point in these nuclei is \(\approx 30 h^2\) MeV\(^{-1}\), followed by a similar slope up to spins \(12^+\) and \(14^+\). In view of the well-established prolate character in the case of \(^{188}_{\text{Pb}}\) and \(^{186}_{\text{Hg}}\), it is a convincing argument that the ground-state band in both \(^{190}_{\text{Po}}\) and \(^{184}_{\text{Pt}}\), from spin \(4^+, 6^+\), and onwards, behave like a prolate band too. One also notices that since the ground state \(0^+\) and first excited \(2^+\) state in \(^{186}_{\text{Pb}}\) retain mainly a spherical character, the low \(E_2\) part exhibits a different sloping start, very much like it is the case in \(^{186}_{\text{Hg}}\). In the latter nucleus, there appears an off-set of the prolate band versus the ground-state less deformed band (oblate, anharmonic vibrational) causing almost constant values of \(J^{(1)}\) to result for the \(2^+, 4^+\) states. In \(^{190}_{\text{Po}}\) and \(^{184}_{\text{Pt}}\), a very smooth behavior results along the yrast band, extending up to rather high-spin states and this for the whole region \(106 \leq N \leq 114\). One also observes a considerable drop in the value of \(J^{(1)}\) in this interval, which is of the order of \(\approx 10 h^2\) MeV\(^{-1}\). In this respect, the Po and Pt nuclei exhibit a rather similar collective behavior, with the "intruder" states being not that obvious from the experimental data on excitation energies nor the corresponding B(E2) electromagnetic properties. Only radii and alpha-decay hindrance factors point towards the need to consider both configuration (regular \([N]\) and intruder \([N+2]\)]\) spaces.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

The Po region constitutes one of the clearer examples where shape coexistence plays a key role in explaining the major observed features such as the evolving energy spectra, with mass number decreasing from the neutron closed shell at \(N=126\), approaching the mid-shell region at \(N=104\), the electromagnetic properties, in particular the B(E2) systematics, nuclear mean-square charge radii, \(\alpha\)-decay hindrance factors, etc. These isotopes are situated in the region of the Pb, Hg and Pt isotopes where the proximity of the \(Z=82\) proton closed shell, and its stabilizing effect to keep nuclei mainly into a spherical shape, in particular for the Pb nuclei, is very evident. These series of isotopes can be divided in two groups: on the one hand, the Pb and Hg nuclei, in which two (or even three for the Pb nuclei) types of configurations coexist and are experimentally well documented, characterized by energy spectra that exhibit the characteristic parabolic behavior of the intruder band structure, minimizing its excitation energy at the mid-shell, \(N=104\) \[25\] \[84\]. On the other hand, the Pt and Po isotopes for which one cannot disentangle easily the presence of two distinct band structures. Still, in both the Pt and Po isotopes, approaching the mid-shell point, the first excited \(0^+\) state behaves in an unexpected way, dropping seriously in excitation energy which can be used as an indirect hint for the presence of shape coexistence, however in a concealed way \[55\].

In the present paper, we have carried out an extensive study of the chain of isotopes \(^{190-208}_{\text{Po}}\) using the Interacting Boson Model, including pair boson excitations across the \(Z=82\) proton closed shell (called the intruder configuration space), and their interaction with the regular configuration space, called the IBM-CM. We have determined the Hamiltonian and the \(E_2\) operator describing this interacting system of bosons, through a least-squares fit to the space), and their interaction with the regular configuration space, called the IBM-CM. We have determined the Hamiltonian and the \(E_2\) operator describing this interacting system of bosons, through a least-squares fit to the known experimental data. This then results in the energy spectra, and, moreover, the calculation of many different observables such as the B(E2) values, nuclear mean-square charge radii, gyromagnetic factors and \(\alpha\)-decay hindrance factors give the possibility to test the nuclear dynamics. In particular, the latter three observables are shown to serve as fingerprints to test the relative composition of the nuclear wave function into its "regular" and "intruder" components, and thus are strong indicators for the presence of shape coexisting structures.

A very important issue concerns deriving information on the nuclear deformation properties. In particular, recent Coulomb excitation experiments on nuclei far from stability made it possible to extract a set of reduced E2 matrix elements \(\langle 0_1^+ \| \hat{T}(E2) \| 2_1^+ \rangle\). These matrix elements can be used to construct the so-called "quadrupole shape invariants" for the \(0_1^+\) and \(0_2^+\) states. We have given particular attention to how \(\beta\)-values can be derived from these invariants, or alternatively, from known experimental B(E2) values, and compared both approaches in the case of the Po nuclei. Thereby, a clear picture of the shape coexistence phenomenon in Po arises. There are two families of configurations, one slightly deformed (rather \(\gamma\)-unstable) or spherical that corresponds to the regular configurations, while the other more deformed and corresponding to the intruder configurations. Because of the lack of experimental information it is not possible to determine unambiguously the shape connected to the two families of configurations and, indeed, through the use of mean-field results (see section \[III\]), we assume an oblate shape for the intruder states, although the moment of inertia seems to suggest a prolate shape for the ground state of \(^{190}_{\text{Po}}\). Both configurations have a rather large interplay and in the mid-shell region with the two \(0^+\) unperturbed bandheads being degenerate in energy implying important mixing between the two configuration spaces. We have found that, in contrast with
the Hg nuclei, the difference in the quadrupole deformation extracted for the $0^+_1$ and $0^+_2$ states is quite small and the mass dependence very similar, independent of the method used to extract the quadrupole deformation.

Concluding, it looks like the data available at present, based on our present study of the Po isotopes and a comparison with the Pt isotopes points towards rather similar structures. In both cases the ground-state at the mid-shell is composed mainly from the intruder configurations, although in the Po isotopes, only the second part of the neutron shell $N=82-126$ has been studied experimentally. For the Pt isotopes, almost the whole shell has been covered, but for the Po nuclei, because of the sparse character of the known data in and below $N=106$, $A=190$, a detailed comparison is very difficult. Moreover, the similar character of the Po and Pt isotopes is supported from a comparison of the kinematic moments of inertia for the Po and Pt isotopes: both exhibit a smooth variation as a function of neutron number in the interval $106 \leq N \leq 114$. Comparing with the corresponding moments of inertia in the Pb and Hg isotopes, the nuclei $^{190}$Po, $^{188}$Pb, $^{186}$Hg, and $^{184}$Pt (starting at spin $6^+$) are very similar, resulting in the suggestion that from that spin, and onwards, the $^{190}$Po ground band behaves like a prolate band. This is further corroborated by a comparison of the known experimental excitation energies for the prolate bands that have been observed in $^{186}$Hg and in $^{188}$Pb for states with spin from $6^+$ to $10^+$. They match very well the corresponding energies in the yrast band structure in $^{190}$Po. Possibilities to test this proposal might come partly from multiple Coulomb excitation with higher energy projectile ions (HIE-ISOLDE), and, possibly at a later stage, using one and two nucleon transfer reactions and studying E0 properties for the Po nuclei, which may lead to the direct observation of a low-lying excited $0^+$ state, as suggested by the present study.
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