THE EXFOLIATION PROCESS OF SAWAHLUNTO COAL INTO GRAPHENE THROUGH THE MODIFIED HUMMER METHOD
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ABSTRACT
Sawahlunto is one of the coal-producing regions in Indonesia. Coal as an abundance of carbon content material is significantly drawing attention to be developed in certain material research. To begin, this research was aimed to exfoliate Graphene from Coal which was obtained from Sawahlunto, Indonesia by performing the modified hummer method. The Sawahlunto coal was treated and converted into graphite (g-Bb900) through the carbonization process at an optimum temperature of 900°C. Then, the formation of graphene oxide (GO-Bb900) was performed using the modified hummer method and followed by the reduction process to finally result in the graphene (G-Bb900). Several characterizations have been performed to confirm the graphene produced. The results of XRD and FTIR analysis indicate a significant difference in chemical and structural changes between graphite, graphene oxide and graphene. Moreover, TEM analysis also displays a significant difference in morphology analysis result of graphite, graphene oxide, and graphene. To sum up, these results have been evaluated and analyzed to prove that the graphene was successfully obtained from Sawahlunto coal.
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INTRODUCTION
Graphene is identified as a molecular sheet derived from graphite and possessing various beneficial applications, particularly for material sciences such as the development of nanocomposites, sensors, supercapacitors, hydrogen storage and optoelectronic devices. As a current two-dimensional material, graphene interests many researchers for its extraordinary chemical and physical properties.¹⁻⁴ Graphene, which is a thin atomic sheet of carbon atoms with sp² hybridization and tightly arranged in a framework like a two-dimensional (2D) honeycomb, can be fabricated through a chemical reduction process of graphene oxide, followed by sonication process.⁵⁻⁶ From graphite, the graphene layer is benefitted by its strong cohesive force, making it difficult to get the graphene exfoliation sheets.⁷⁻⁸ Therefore, it is crucial to perform graphene functionalization to reduce its cohesive force.⁹⁻¹⁰

The graphite oxide (GO) produced from the graphite oxidation process is having a hydrophilic functional group (-OH, epoxy, -COOH) that encourages water pathway and graphene sheets to be easily separated through sonication process, which then results in a dispersed GO sheet in an aqueous medium. This GO exfoliation is commonly performed for various applications and/or with group functionality for particular applications. In terms of electrical conductivity, GO is an insulator. However, it will be a good conductor when it is reduced to be reduced graphene oxide (rGO) which commonly obtained by reduction process via Sodium Borohydride or hydrazine hydrate.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Experimentally, GO can be manufactured through Rasayan J. Chem., 13(1), 593-600(2020)
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graphite oxidation with several methods developed by Brodie, Hummers, and Staudenmeier. Moreover, it still maintains a layered structure, but much lighter compared to graphite due to electronic conjugation loss from the oxidation process.\(^{7,15}\) Also, (GO) is the most important precursor for producing graphene.\(^{16}\) To date, GO is generally synthesized by the graphite exfoliation method, which is Hummers modification method.\(^{16,17}\) Several carbon sources including hydrocarbons, graphite, natural biomaterials, and even plastic waste have been vastly utilized to produce graphene.\(^{18}\) However, the most abundant carbon source identified in the world has not been optimally used to manufacture graphene. It is coal, which has not been widely studied for making graphene recently.\(^{19,20}\)

Coal has an abundant polyaromatic structure and is identified as similar to the sp\(^2\) bond of graphene. Therefore, coal can be potentially utilized as a starting material for fabricating graphene.\(^{21,22}\) Basically, coal is a molecular solid that has an imperfect structure while graphite has a solid lattice without weak bonds. It is known that chemical exfoliation is categorized as an effective method to produce huge amounts of graphene.\(^{23,24}\) Ye, et al., (2013) produced graphene using the sonication process of bituminous coal with sulphuric and nitric acid followed by the heating process at a temperature of 100-120\(^\circ\)C for 24 hours.\(^{21}\) Furthermore, in Indonesia, an area called Sawahlunto is one of the coal-producing regions. Sawahlunto Coal with the classification of bituminous coal with a carbon content of 40-49% has a good potential to be a raw material for producing graphene.\(^{25}\)

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

Coal was obtained from Sawahlunto, West Sumatra, Indonesia. Sulphuric acid 98\%, potassium permanganate, sodium nitrate, hydrogen peroxide 30\%, hydrogen chloride 36\%, sodium hydroxide and sodium borohydride were originally purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Demineralized Water (DM) was separately purchased from Bratachem.

**Sawahlunto Coal Graphite Preparations**

Coal was ground into a powder with a Ballmill for 4 hours until fine coal powder was obtained. The size of the obtained coal powder was then uniformed using a 325 mesh sieve. Then, the coal powder was heated at a temperature of 125\(^\circ\)C for 24 hours. Carbonization process of coal samples was conducted at temperatures of 400\(^\circ\)C (Bb400), 600\(^\circ\)C (Bb600), 900\(^\circ\)C (Bb900) and 1200\(^\circ\)C (Bb1200) respectively [26,27]. After the carbonization treatment, the coal samples were analyzed using the X-ray Diffraction analysis method (XRD). It was found that the optimum carbonization temperature was 900\(^\circ\)C indicating the best crystallinity.

**Oxidation Process**

The exfoliation process was initiated with the oxidation process of Bb900 using the modified Hummers method. As many as 2 grams of Bb900 were inserted into a beaker glass which is placed inside an ice bath along with an addition of 4 grams of NaNO\(_3\) and 100 ml of KMnO\(_4\). It was then stirred for 1 hour. The beaker was then removed from the ice bath and the temperature was maintained at less than 20\(^\circ\)C. After 24 hours of stirring process, the reaction mixture was added with 200 ml of demineralized water. Then, the temperature was increased to 90-95\(^\circ\)C, and maintained from boiling for 2 hours. Then, an amount of 200 ml of DM water was added and the stirring was carried on until the room temperature was reached. After that, 20 ml of 30% H\(_2\)O\(_2\) was inserted to remove the remaining KMnO\(_4\). The reaction mixture was then washed with HCl 5\% and DM water respectively until the neutral pH was obtained by the centrifugation process at 7200 rpm for 15 minutes.\(^{4,11,20,28}\) The obtained deposits were collected and stirred with DM water then dialyzed for 7x24 hours to separate the graphite oxide suspension with un-oxidized Bb900 graphite. Graphite oxide suspension was then dried at 100\(^\circ\)C for 24 hours. Finally, graphite oxide powder (go-Bb900) was obtained.

**Exfoliation Process**

Graphene oxide was obtained through the exfoliation process. An amount of 5 mg of go-Bb900 was taken and 50 mL of DM water was added then stirred for one hour. After that, the mixture was sonicated using
After the optimum Sawahlunto coal was obtained (Bb900), a proximate test was conducted to determine the fixed carbon content in Bb900. Before carbonization was carried out, the carbon content of the Sawahlunto coal was in the range of 40.79 - 49.67%. But after the carbonization treatment, the carbon content in Bb900 was discovered to be increased up to 73.79%. This is due to the occurrence of the ultra-sonication process for one hour and the suspension of graphene oxide (GO-Bb900) was obtained.10,15

**Reduction Process**

The reduction process of the exfoliation results (GO-Bb900) was carried out using the 1% NaBH₄ in 0.2% NaOH. The mixture was stirred for 1 hour and 6N of HCl was added dropwise until the air bubbles were disappeared. After that, the precipitate was formed and then washed with DM to reach neutral pH. The precipitate was then dried at a temperature of 100°C for 12 hours. Finally, the graphene powder was successfully manufactured from Sawahlunto coal (G-Bb900).11

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Sawahlunto Coal Carbonization**

This research produces several findings and results that will lead to a conclusion that will be made. Based on Figure 1, it is seen that the 2θ peak of identified from the five samples (Bb), (Bb400), (Bb600), (Bb900) and (Bb1200) were recorded at almost the same angle, around 24°. The Bb900 has an intensity of 399, and it appears that a new 2θ angle is at 43.8° with an intensity of 128. Bb1200 also has a peak of 2θ which is similar to Bb900 following a new peak that appears at an angle of 43.7° with an intensity of 15. However, Bb1200 does not have FWHM (peak at half the maximum sample), while Bb900 at peak 24° with FWHM equal to 5.48. Moreover, in Fig.-1, it can be seen that coal which had been treated through a carbonization process at 900°C showed a higher crystallinity than the others. Also, the wider the peak of the XRD spectrum, the smaller the crystallinity of graphite recorded.

During the carbonization treatment, the volatile components and the other components including hydrogen, oxygen and unorganized hydrocarbons will evaporate due to the pyrolysis of coal. The accumulation of carbon formed a bonding between each other to form crystals that consisted of graphite crystal structures.29 When carbonization treatment was conducted, the removal of water and decomposition of coal occurred and resulting tar, methanol, phenol, and others. It leaves the carbon content with about 80%. The carbonization treatment was conducted, the removal of water and decomposition of coal occurred and resulting tar, methanol, phenol, and others. It leaves the carbon content with about 80%. The carbon element itself was obtained a...
dehydrogenation process, and as the impact, the bonds between the carbon forming crystals and the decomposition occurred.\(^{30}\)

**Graphite Exfoliation Process (g-Bb900) to Graphene (G-Bb900)**

The exfoliation process was begun with the oxidation process of g-Bb900 through the modified Hummers method and graphite oxide (go-Bb900) was fabricated. The change in the surface morphology of g-Bb900 along with GO-Bb900 was observed using Scanning Electron Microscopy as displayed in Fig.-2, and followed by contexture analysis using Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy, shown in Fig.-3.

**SEM EDX Analysis**

Figure-2 shows that g-Bb900 has a larger and irregular size of particles while GO-Bb900 has a finer and wrinkle particle size. Moreover, the G-Bb900 was indicated to have a smooth and regular morphology.

![Figure 2](image_url)

*Fig.-2: The Surface Structure of Coal Graphite (g-Bb900) with 1000x magnification*

Figure-2 shows that the surface structure of coal graphite (g-Bb900) with 1000x magnification has a particle diameter of about 19.25 μm. Graphene oxide (GO-Bb900) with the same magnification of 1000x shows two smaller particle diameter (± 2.0μm) and visible surface shrinking. Graphene (G-Bb900) with a magnification of 1000x also shows a finer particle diameter size (± 20nm) with a smooth surface neatly arranged. Figure-3 is the identification of the main carbon elements comprising coal graphite material (g-Bb900), graphene oxide (GO-Bb900), and graphene (G-Bb900) using EDX SEM. The results are in the Table-1.

The oxidation process of g-Bb900 becoming GO-Bb900 was proven through the element composition analysis using the EDX analysis as displayed by figure 3. Moreover, table 1 clearly describes the content
of carbon and oxygen possessed during the exfoliation process. The results indicate that the carbon composition decreases by 35% and an increase in the composition of the 19% oxygen atom are recorded. Furthermore, the reduction \( \text{GO-Bb900} - \text{G-Bb900} \) was proven by the decrease of oxygen and the increase in carbon content. 

**Fig.-3:** Identification of the Main Carbon Elements Comprising Coal Graphite Material \((\text{g-Bb900})\), Graphene Oxide \((\text{GO-Bb900})\), and Graphene \((\text{G-Bb900})\) using EDX SEM

**Tabel-1:** Carbon and Oxygen Elements \(\text{g-Bb900}, \text{GO-Bb900}, \text{and G-Bb900}\)

| Sample                     | Carbon Weight (%) | Oxygen Weight (%) |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Sawahlunto Coal \(\text{g-Bb900}\) | 77.46             | 16.49             |
| Graphene Oxide \(\text{GO-Bb900}\) | 42.01             | 34.64             |
| Graphene \(\text{G-Bb900}\)      | 87.47             | 11.62             |

**XRD Analysis**

Figure-4 shows that coal graphite \((\text{g-Bb900})\) with a peak of 2 thetas at 24° shifts left to graphene oxide \((\text{GO-Bb900})\) with a diffraction peak of 11° after the oxidation process. The diffraction peak shifts to the right (23.8°) after going through the reduction process \((\text{G-Bb900})\). Furthermore, the XRD spectra in Fig.-4 showed the different distinctive peak among graphite, graphite oxide and graphene from the Sawahlunto coal. \(\text{g-Bb900}\) is amorphous graphite with a peak at 24.3° with the inter-particle distance of 3.61 Å. The peak shifting occurred after the oxidation process that showed by the \(\text{GO-Bb900}\) spectrum with a peak at 11° and the inter-particle distance of 7.97 Å. This is a typical peak of graphene oxide and the increase in the distance between particles is caused by the oxygen functional groups and hydrogen bonds with water that formed between the layers of graphite structure. The reduction process on \(\text{GO-Bb900}\) changed the peak to be 23.8° and the distance between particles became 3.73 Å, the reduction process occurred along with the loss of oxygen functional groups and water.
hydrogen bonds between the carbon layers. This was confirmed by the insoluble of G-Bb900 in water. In the end, the peak produced by the G-Bb900 was no longer as sharp as the g-Bb900.

**FTIR Analysis**

The FTIR spectra in Fig.-5 (GO-Bb900) show a peak of 3354 cm\(^{-1}\) which confirms the presence of \(-\text{OH}\) group, the peak of 2086 cm\(^{-1}\) confirms the presence of carboxylic acid group (COOH), furthermore, a peak at 1621 cm\(^{-1}\) indicates vibration C = C of the aromatic ring, 1136 cm\(^{-1}\) is a vibration of C = O derived from carboxylic acid groups, aldehydes and ketones, 1040 cm\(^{-1}\) indicates the presence of C-OH. The peak at 2086 cm\(^{-1}\) confirms the presence of \(-\text{OH}\) group, measuring 1036 cm\(^{-1}\) is a bond of C = CH or Ar-H.

The formation of graphene oxide (GO-Bb900) was also confirmed from the FTIR spectra as shown in Fig.-5. GO-Bb900 Spectra resulted in a peak at 3354 cm\(^{-1}\) indicated the \(-\text{OH}\) vibration that assumed as confirmation of oxygen atoms from the functional groups of GO. The peak at 2086 cm\(^{-1}\) confirms the hydrogen bonds that occur from the carboxylic acid groups derived from GO. The C=C vibration that derived from an aromatic ring was recorded at a wavelength of 1621 cm\(^{-1}\). The peak of sharp absorption at 1163 cm\(^{-1}\) represents the C=O bond vibration from the functional group of carboxylic acids, aldehydes, and ketones. Finally, the vibration of C-OH was recorded at a wavelength of 1040 cm\(^{-1}\).

There were also several spectra recorded for G-Bb900. The peak of 3319 cm\(^{-1}\) indicated that the \(-\text{OH}\) group can still be found in this material which may be due to hydrogen bonding from the remaining water molecules in graphene (G-Bb900). Another peak recorded is at a wavelength of 1036 cm\(^{-1}\) which corresponds to a C=CH bond or Ar-H of graphene.

To sum up, the FTIR test results prove that graphite coal (g-Bb900) has been successfully oxidized into graphene oxide (GO-Bb900) and graphene oxide (GO-Bb900) has been completely reduced to form graphene (G-Bb900).
TEM Analysis

Figure-6(a) shows that coal graphite consists of many layers (overlapping), which indicates that graphite has a layered structure. Also, in Fig.-6(b), it can be seen that the structure of graphene oxide is still stacked and not separated to form a single layer. This is mainly caused by the oxygen functional groups attached to the plane of the graphene oxide layer. On the other hand, figure 6(c) reveals that graphene oxide has been reduced and these transparent layers are still existed as a bundle of layers and can be categorized as multilayer graphene. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis confirmed the graphene and graphene oxide thickness. The stable and transparent appearance of graphene sheets observed from TEM analysis is confirming the formation of single-layer graphene. To conclude, Fig.-6 indicates that graphene obtained from Sawahlunto coal was a multilayer graphene.

CONCLUSION

Graphene was successfully fabricated from Sawahlunto coal using the modified Hummers method. The graphene formed was confirmed chemically and structurally via XRD and FTIR analysis method. Moreover, the resulting graphene morphology changes were revealed by SEM and TEM analysis. XRD analysis showed a shift of 2θ diffraction peaks and changes in inter-particle distance after the oxidation and reduction processes. FTIR analysis result also proves that the reduction process has occurred, respected to the loss of oxygen functional groups on the graphene spectrum. Morphology analysis of SEM and TEM showed that graphite exfoliation was completely performed to produce graphene.
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