Abstract

This paper empirically examines the short-run and long-run causal relationship between stock market prices and exchange rates in Chinese stock markets using monthly data from January 2002 to December 2012 retrieved from the National Bureau of Statistics of the People’s Republic of China. Unit root, cointegration tests, vector error correction estimates, block exogeneity Wald tests, impulse responses, variance decomposition techniques and structural break tests are employed. This study found 1) long-run causality from exchange rates to stock prices in Chinese stock markets and 2) short-run causality from Japanese yen and Korean won exchange rates to stock prices in the Shanghai Stock Exchange strongly prevails while in the Shenzhen Stock Exchange weakly prevails. The impact of the global financial crisis from 2007 to 2009 on Chinese stock markets was insignificant.
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1. Introduction

The relationship between exchange rates and stock prices has significant implications, especially from the viewpoint of recent large cross-border movement of funds and investments. Two theories about the dynamic relationship between exchange rates and stock prices are the traditional approach and portfolio approach. These approaches have long been discussed but have not yet resulted in consensus. The traditional approach claims that depreciation of the domestic currency makes local firms more competitive, leading to an increase in their exports and consequently higher stock prices. This implies a positive correlation between exchange rates and stock prices. The traditional approach suggests that the fluctuation of exchange rates lead to the changes in stock prices. On the contrary, the portfolio approach argues that an increase in stock prices induces investors to demand more domestic assets and thereby causes an appreciation in the domestic currency. Thus, changes in stock prices lead to fluctuation of exchange rates and that they are negatively related.

Stock prices, generally interpreted as the present values of future cash flows of firms, react to exchange rate changes and form the link among future income, interest rate innovations, current investment and consumption decisions (Zhao, 2010). In the floating exchange rate system, the exchange rate is essentially determined by a country’s current account balance or trade balance. As a result, the exchange rate fluctuation affects international competitiveness and trade balance to some extent. Consequently, it affects real income and stock prices, especially the stock price of export oriented enterprises. Flow-oriented model (Dornbusch & Fisher, 1980) claims a positive linkage between exchange rates and stock prices. Local currency depreciation would lead to a greater competitiveness of domestic firms given that their exports will be cheaper in international trade. Higher exports will increase the domestic income and hence the firms’ stock prices will go up since they are evaluated as the present value of the firms’ future cash flows.

The stock-oriented model (Branson, Halttunen, & Masson, 1977) of exchange rates specifies the exchange rate as serving to equate the supply and demand for assets such as stocks and bonds. This model determines the exchange rate dynamics by giving the capital account a pivotal role. Since the values of financial assets are determined by the present values of their future cash flows, expectations of relative currency values play a considerable role in price movements of the financial assets. Therefore, stock price innovations may affect, or be affected by, exchange rate dynamics (Zhao, 2010). As a result, if the common factors influence the two variables, stock price innovations may be reciprocally associated with the exchange rate dynamics or be influenced by the exchange rate behavior.

The research goals of this study are to fill the gap in the lit-
erature and to provide additional evidence on both the short-run and long-run causal relationship between exchange rates and stock prices in Chinese stock markets. The study begins by investigating how information is transmitted between these economic variables through long-run and short-run price interactions.

2. Conceptual Background and Hypotheses

Previous studies have addressed different findings regarding the causal relations between stock prices and exchange rates in various countries. For example, Nieh and Lee (2001) found no long-run relationship between stock prices and exchange rates in the Group-7 countries. Yau and Nieh (2006) suggested no clear long-run relation between the new Taiwan dollar and the Japanese yen exchange rates and the stock prices of Taiwan and Japan. Zhao (2010) did not find stable long-run relationship between Chinese yuan real effective exchange rates and stock prices. Ramasamy and Yeung (2002) indicated inconsistent results for bidirectional causality between stock prices and exchange rates for six Asian countries over the period of 1995 and 2001. Kutty (2010) found that stock prices Granger caused exchange rates in the short run but there was no long-run significant relationship in Mexico between January 1989 and December 2006. Other studies from Griffin and Stulz (2001), Fernandez (2006), Fernandez (2009), Hartmann and Pierdzioch (2007), and Zhao (2010) suggest no relationship between exchange rates and stock prices. On the other hand, the long-run relationship has been confirmed in some studies. Nieh (2009) found a long-run and asymmetric causal relationship between the exchange rates of new Taiwan dollar and Japanese yen and their stock prices in Japan and Taiwan. Whether empirically or theoretically, the above studies have suggested a significant relationship between exchange rates and stock prices, but the results have been mixed for the sign and causal direction between exchange rates and stock prices. Thus, the following hypothesis is advanced:

Hypothesis 1: Exchange rates lead stock price dynamics in the long-run in Chinese stock markets.

In addition, Phylaktis and Ravazzolo (2005) employed co-integration and multivariate Granger causality tests that resulted in positive long-run and short-run causality between stock prices and exchange rates in some Pacific Basin countries. Aloui (2007) indicated that movements of stock prices affect the exchange rate dynamics for the two periods pre- and post-Euro in the United States and Western European markets. Pan, Fok and Liu (2007) reported a causal relation from exchange rates to stock prices for East Asian countries. Yang and Doong (2004) suggested exchange rate changes directly impacted future changes of stock prices for the Group-7 countries from 1979 to 1999. Nandha and Hammoudeh (2007) argued that stock prices were affected by changes in the exchange rate for nine Asia-Pacific countries while Wu (2001) showed Singapore dollar exchange rates Granger caused stock prices. Further research emphasizing positive relationship between exchange rates and stock prices can be found in Chiang and Yang (2003), Ratanapakorn and Sharma (2007), Kolari, Moorman, and Sorescu (2008), Aydemir and Demirhan (2009), Ning (2010), Chu and You (2011), and Eichler (2011). Mun (2007) specified that higher exchange rate variability mostly increases local stock market volatility, but decreases volatility for the United States stock markets. Exchange rate exposure has negative and significant impact on emerging market stock returns in a study by Chue and Cook (2008) while the S&P 500 stock price is negatively related to the real exchange rates in Kim (2003)’s research. Thus far, the relationship between stock prices and exchange rates is still inconclusive. Therefore, further study is needed to elucidate the causality between stock prices and exchange rates. Hence:

Hypothesis 2: The nominal exchange rates of Chinese yuan per U.S. dollar, Euro, Japanese yen and South Korean won, respectively, affect stock price dynamics in the short-run.

The linkage between exchange rates and stock prices vary across economies with respect to exchange rate regimes, the trade size, the degree of capital control and the size of equity market (Pan, Fok & Liu, 2007). Mercereau (2006) suggested that the financial structure of an equity market influenced its real exchange rate, as well as the volatility of this exchange rate, whereas Walid, Chaker, Masood and Fry (2011) asserted that the stock price volatility responded asymmetrically to events in the foreign exchange market. Diamandis and Drakos (2011) found that there is a significant long-run relationship between the local stock market and the exchange rate market, but that the stability of the relationship is affected by financial and currency shocks such as the Mexican currency crisis of 1994 and the global financial crisis of 2007 to 2009. In addition, during the creation of the Mercosur between Argentina, Brazil, Paraguay and Uruguay in Latin American countries, this process led to the local currency devaluation. These exchange rate movements have substantial negative impact on the respective stock prices (Allegret & Sand-Zantman, 2009; Alvarez-Plata & Schrooten, 2004; Camarero, Flores Jr. & Tamarit, 2006). Hatemi-J and Roca (2005) reported that the two variables are significantly linked in the non-crisis period, but not at all during the crisis period for ASEAN countries. Exchange rates and stock prices are correlated in a complicated manner (Kim, Yoon & Kim, 2004: Tastan, 2006). As such, market interactions may destabilize stock markets, but may also play a stabilizing effect on the exchange rate market (Dieci & Westerhoff, 2010). Thus, the following hypothesis is developed:

Hypothesis 3: The global financial crisis of 2007-2009 has impacted the stock price dynamics in Chinese stock markets.
3. Empirical Specification

Engle and Granger (1987) and Granger (1988) specify that if two or more variables are cointegrated, there always exists a corresponding error correction term in which the short-run dynamic of the variables in the system are influenced by the deviation from equilibrium. In this case, a vector error correction (VEC) model is formulated to reintroduce the information lost in the differencing process, thereby capturing long-run dynamics as well as short-run dynamics. The VEC model implies that changes in one variable are a function of the level of disequilibrium in the cointegrating relationship (captured by the error correction term), as well as changes in other explanatory variables. Thus, the VEC model is useful for capturing both the long-run and the short-run dynamics when the variables are cointegrated.

Equations 1 and 2 illustrate multivariate vector autoregressive models with the error correction term. In this study, equations 1 and 2 will be used to test long-run and short-run Granger causation from exchange rates to stock prices in Chinese stock markets.

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \ln \text{SSE}_t &= \alpha_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{1j} \Delta \ln \text{SSE}_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{2j} \Delta \ln \text{USD}_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{3j} \Delta \ln \text{EUR}_{t-j} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{4j} \Delta \ln \text{JPY}_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{5j} \Delta \ln \text{KRW}_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{6j} \Delta \ln \text{INR}_{t-j} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{7j} \Delta \ln \text{INT}_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{8j} \Delta \ln \text{M2}_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{9j} \Delta \ln \text{POL}_{t-j} \\
&+ \xi_t \text{ECT}_t + \epsilon_t
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \ln \text{SZSE}_t &= \alpha_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{1j} \Delta \ln \text{SZSE}_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{2j} \Delta \ln \text{USD}_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{3j} \Delta \ln \text{EUR}_{t-j} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{4j} \Delta \ln \text{JPY}_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{5j} \Delta \ln \text{KRW}_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{6j} \Delta \ln \text{INR}_{t-j} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{7j} \Delta \ln \text{INT}_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{8j} \Delta \ln \text{M2}_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{9j} \Delta \ln \text{POL}_{t-j} \\
&+ \xi_t \text{ECT}_t + \epsilon_t
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

where:
- \(\Delta\) indicates the difference operator;
- \(\alpha\) indicates the logarithm;
- \(\beta\), \(\theta\), and \(\xi\) denote the parameters to be estimated;
- \(\epsilon_t\) follows stationary random errors with mean zero, that is, white noise;
- \(j\) is the lag length;
- \(t\) represents 1, 2, 3, ..., \(n\) observation;
- \(\text{ECT}_t\) is the error correction term obtained from the cointegrating vectors deriving from the long-run cointegrating relationship via the Johansen maximum likelihood procedure.

SSE denotes the index of overall market value of listed stocks in the Shanghai Stock Exchange.

SZSE denotes the index of overall market value of listed stocks in the Shenzhen Stock Exchange.

\(\text{INF}\) refers to the inflation rate.
\(\text{INT}\) represents the interest rate.
\(\text{M2}\) is the broad definition of money supply.
\(\text{POL}\) refers to the policy shock dummy. It is used as a proxy for monetary policy changes, for example, implementing the managed floating exchange rate system in July 2005 in China.

The long-run causality is indicated by the significance of t-statistics of the lagged error correction term (i.e. by testing null hypothesis: \(\zeta = 0\)). The asymptotic variance of the estimator is estimated so that the t-statistics have asymptotic standard normal distribution. Asymptotic t-statistics can be interpreted the same way as t-statistics. They are used to interpret the statistical significance of coefficients of the lagged error correction term.

4. Data and Methodology

This section describes the data and outlines the methodology used in the selection of indicators and the normalization of data. The sample is restricted to the period in which monthly data are available from January 2002 to December 2012 (132 observations). All of monthly time series data below are collected and retrieved from the National Bureau of Statistics of the People’s Republic of China (2013), the Shanghai Stock Exchange (2013), and the Shenzhen Stock Exchange (2013).

4.1. Endogenous Variables

**Shanghai Stock Exchange.** The Shanghai Stock Exchange Composite Index (symbol: SSE) is used as a proxy of Chinese stock market prices. The index is the most commonly used indicator to reflect the Shanghai Stock Exchange’s market performance and is a capitalization weighted index. The index tracks the daily price performance of all A-shares and B-shares listed on the Shanghai Stock Exchange (2013). The index was developed on December 19, 1990 as the base day and the total market capitalization of all the listed stocks on the same base period, with a base of 100 points. It was published from July 15, 1991 and since then it becomes the most widely used index in China’s securities markets. The index data is collected and retrieved from the Shanghai Stock Exchange Monthly Statistics publication, sponsored by the Shanghai Stock Exchange (2013). Monthly average of this index is used in this study.

**Shenzhen Stock Exchange.** The Shenzhen Composite Index (symbol: SZSE) is used as another proxy of Chinese stock market prices. This index is the most commonly used indicator to reflect the Shenzhen Stock Exchange’s market performance and is an actual market capitalization weighted index, with no free float factor, that tracks the stock performance of all the A-share and B-share lists on the Shenzhen Stock Exchange (2013). The index was developed on April 3, 1991 with a base price of 100. The index was scaled down by a factor of 1000 as the base day of July 20, 1994 and was introduced on January 23, 1995.
The index data is collected and retrieved from the Shenzhen Stock Exchange Monthly Statistics publication, sponsored by the Shenzhen Stock Exchange (2013). Monthly average of this index is used in this study.

**Foreign Exchange Rates.** Based on the volume of international trade with China, four major exchange rates are selected: Chinese yuan per U.S. dollar (USD), Euro (EUR), Japanese yen (JPY) and South Korean won (KRW), respectively. The time series data is a monthly adjusted average. In effect, USD, EUR, JPY and KRW are the logarithm of the nominal exchange rate of Chinese yuan per U.S. dollar, Euro, Japanese yen and South Korean won, respectively.

**Macroeconomic Variables.** Money supply (M2), inflation rate, and short-run interest rate are included in the model to address the omitted variable bias. In the empirical model, M2 is the logarithm of changes in the broad definition of money supply. Inflation is the logarithm of the first difference of the consumer price index, an inflation rate. Interest is the logarithm of the short-term (1 year) interest rate.

**Monetary Policy Shock.** A monetary policy shock refers to the sudden release of price and currency controls, withdrawal of state subsidies, and immediate trade liberalization within a country, usually also including large scale privatization of previously public owned assets. In July 2005, the Chinese central bank, People’s Bank of China, initiated an exchange rate system reform, which terminated the fixed floating exchange rate system and implemented the managed floating exchange rate system. Since that time, the Chinese yuan exchange rate has become more flexible to some extent and keeps a major trend of appreciation against U.S. dollar. It is noteworthy that Chinese stock markets performed remarkably well in the year of 2005 and started to experience greater volatility than ever before in the managed floating exchange rate system. It brings some doubt whether there is an interrelationship between stock prices and exchange rates in China. A dummy variable with a value of 0 will cause the variable’s coefficient to disappear, and a dummy with a value 1 will cause the coefficient to act as a supplemental intercept in the regression model. Hence, the policy shock variable equals 1 if the period falls on after July 2005 and 0 otherwise.

### 4.2. Exogenous Variable

**The Global Financial Crisis (2007-2009) Shock.** The global financial crisis of 2007-2009 may cause a structural break in the trend of stock prices in Chinese stock markets, see Figure 1. Because the global financial crisis would introduce some changes in the implementation of monetary policy, for example, from money targeting to interest rate targeting, this would introduce substantial instability in the system. A dummy is used to examine the impact of the global financial crisis of 2007-2009 on Chinese stock market. However, the dummy here is used as an exogenous variable because it is assumed not to be systematically affected by changes in the endogenous variables of the model. A dummy variable with a value of 0 will cause the variable’s coefficient to disappear, and a dummy with a value 1 will cause the coefficient to act as a supplemental intercept in the regression model. Hence, the global financial crisis shock variable equals 1 if the period falls on from August 2007 to December 2009 and 0 otherwise.

![Figure 1](image-url) Trends of Chinese Stock Market Prices (2007/08 – 2009/12)

**Normalization and Transformation.** The normalization of the data is necessary to transform the values to the same unit of measurement since stock market indexes are presented as the base value of 100, 1000, or 2000 respectively, while exchange rates are presented in Chinese yuan. Therefore, transformation into a natural log mitigates possible distortions of dynamic properties of the series. Log transformation is a preferred method since each resulting coefficient in regression equation represents elasticity that is the ratio of the incremental change of the logarithm of a function with respect to an incremental change of the logarithm of the argument.

Selected tests on time series data as prescribed in the references in each section below are necessary for statistical accuracy and to avoid spurious results. To ascertain the order of integration of the variables, this study applied the augmented Dickey-Fuller (Dickey & Fuller, 1981) unit root test and the Phillips–Perron (Phillips & Perron, 1988) test. The augmented Dickey-Fuller test assumes the errors to be independent and have constant variance while the Phillips–Perron test allows for fairly mild assumptions about the distribution of errors. The two unit root tests are carried to test the null hypothesis of the unit root in the level and the first difference of the variables. All test equations were tested by the method of least squares, including an intercept but no time trend in the model. In the unit root tests, an optimal lag in the tests is automatically selected based on Schwarz information criterion and the lag length in the tests is automatically selected based on the Newey-West estimator using the Bartlett Kernel function.

Table 1 reports the results of the two unit root tests. Table 1 indicates the null hypothesis of a unit root cannot be rejected in the level of SSE, SZSE, USD, EUR, JPY, and KRW, but after first differencing, all null hypothesis of a unit root is rejected at the 1% significance level for these variables. The results in Table 1 unanimously confirm that all variables are integrated of order one I(1).
Table 1: Results of Unit Root Test

| Variable | AugmentedDickey-Fuller Test | Phillips-Perron Test |
|----------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
|          | Level | 1'th difference | Level | 1'th difference |
| SSE      | -1.669 | -7.144*** | -2.169 | -12.203*** |
| SZSE     | -2.055 | -7.076*** | -2.075 | -11.971*** |
| USD      | 0.808  | -3.827*** | 1.383  | -11.163*** |
| EUR      | -1.524 | -12.633*** | -1.524 | -12.635*** |
| JPY      | -1.257 | -10.748*** | -1.573 | -10.553*** |
| KRW      | -1.563 | -5.897*** | -1.453 | -8.178*** |
| Inflation| -4.016***| -5.082*** | -10.193***| -67.917*** |
| Interest | -2.037 | -8.189*** | -1.895 | -8.384*** |
| M2       | -0.019 | -5.049*** | -0.001 | -12.566*** |

Probability values for rejection of the null hypothesis of a unit root are employed at the 0.05 level (**, p < 0.05 and ***, p < 0.01).

Cheung and Ng (1998) reported that the Johansen approach is more efficient than the Engle-Granger single equation test method because the maximum likelihood procedure has large and finite sample properties. The Johansen(1988)’s approach derives maximum likelihood estimators of the cointegrating vectors for an autoregressive process with independent errors. The Johansen cointegration test represents each variable as a function of all the lagged endogenous variables in the system. It uses two ratio tests, a trace test and a maximum eigenvalue test, to examine the number of cointegration relationships. Both tests could be used to determine the number of cointegrating vectors present, although they do not always indicate the same number of cointegrating vectors. If trace statistics and maximum eigenvalue statistics yield different results, the result of the maximum eigenvalue test is preferred because of the benefit of carrying out separate tests on each eigenvalue.

Table 2 reports the results of the Johansen cointegration test. The regression model allows for a linear deterministic trend in data and includes the intercept but no trend in the vector autoregressive model. Trace test indicates that at least five cointegrating equations exist at the 0.05 level while maximum eigenvalue test indicates that at least three cointegrating equations at the 0.05 level. Thus, they are statistically significant. Therefore, there exists cointegration between stock prices and foreign exchange rates in Chinese stock markets. In this case, an unrestricted vector autoregressive model would not be an effective option for testing the long-run and short-run causality.

Table 2: Results of Johansen Cointegration Test

| Regression Model | 1: SSE = f(USD, EUR, JPY, KRW, Inflation, Interest, M2) | 2: SZSE = f(USD, EUR, JPY, KRW, Inflation, Interest, M2) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Cointegration (r) | Trace Statistic | Maximum Eigen Statistic | Trace Statistic | Maximum Eigen Statistic |
| Model 1          | Model 2        | Model 1       | Model 2       |
| r = 0            | 228.128***     | 224.288***   | 59.843***     | 58.917***            |
| r ≤ 1            | 168.285***     | 165.371***   | 47.538**      | 47.979**             |
| r ≤ 2            | 120.748***     | 117.392***   | 41.278**      | 40.474**             |
| r ≤ 3            | 79.470***      | 76.918**     | 28.366        | 27.849               |
| r ≤ 4            | 51.104**       | 49.070**     | 23.079        | 21.853               |
| r ≤ 5            | 28.025         | 27.217       | 17.973        | 17.633               |
| r ≤ 6            | 10.052         | 9.584        | 9.731         | 9.337                |
| r ≤ 7            | 0.321          | 0.247        | 0.321         | 0.247                |

Probability values for rejection of the null hypothesis of no cointegration are employed at the 0.05 level (**, p < 0.05 and ***, p < 0.01).
explanatory variables to the dependent variable. This contains the long-run dynamic information because it is derived from the cointegrating relationship. Recall hypothesis 1 that exchange rates lead stock price dynamics in the long-run. For the long-run dynamics, the result of VEC estimates and Wald tests support hypothesis 1. The null of hypothesis 1 is rejected at the 0.05 level for both the Shanghai Stock Exchange and the Shenzhen Stock Exchange markets. Hence, we found good evidence of the long-run causation from exchange rates to stock prices in the Chinese stock markets.

The short-run Granger causality in the VEC model can be tested by the block exogeneity Wald test. The block exogeneity Wald test in the VEC system provides Chi-square statistics of coefficients on the lagged endogenous variables. These are used to interpret the statistical significance of coefficients of the endogenous variables. Hypothesis 2 states that the nominal exchange rates of Chinese yuan per U.S. dollar, Euro, Japanese yen and South Korea won, respectively, lead stock price dynamics in the short-run. For the short-run dynamics, the results support hypothesis 2 for Japanese yen and Korean won. The null of hypothesis can be rejected at the 0.05 level, which means the nominal exchange rates of Chinese yuan per Japanese yen and Korean won strongly lead stock price dynamics in the short-run in the Shanghai Stock Exchange market while weakly lead stock price dynamics in the Shanghai Stock Exchange market.

The investigation of hypothesis 3 that the global financial crisis of 2007-2009 has impacted the stock price dynamics in the Chinese stock markets results in no rejection of its null hypothesis. In other words, it is statistically insignificant. There is no evidence of the structural break from the global financial crisis shock to stock price dynamics in the Chinese stock markets. An external shock neither affects changes in the endogenous variables nor cause instability in the cointegrating vector in the VEC system. Thus, the endogenous variables are determined by their own dynamics in the model. The VEC model excluding the structural shock exogenous (dummy) variable is stable and valid for testing the model.

In addition to the findings corresponding to the above hypotheses, the impulse responses and variance decomposition may be noteworthy in their impact. A shock to the j-th variable not only directly affects the j-th variable but is also transmitted to all of the other endogenous variables through the dynamic (lag) structure of the vector autoregressive. The effects of the shocks on the endogenous variables can be assessed by estimating im-

### Table 3: Results of Vector Error Correction (VEC) Estimates and Wald Tests

| Endogenous variables: Optimal lag order in ( ) | VEC Equation 1 | Wald Tests | VEC Equation 2 | Wald Tests |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| Coefficient | Coefficient | Chi-square statistics | Coefficient | Coefficient | Chi-square statistics |
| SSE(t-2) | 0.253[2.698]*** | 14.888*** | 0.266[2.897]*** | 10.086** |
| SZSE(t-2) | 1.207[0.719] | 3.629 | 1.573[0.857] | 0.475 |
| USD(t-1) | -0.317[-1.202] | 1.815 | -0.374[-1.292] | 0.975 |
| EUR(t-1) | -0.752[-2.206]** | 9.688** | -0.650[-1.726]** | 5.192 |
| JPY(t-1) | -0.893[-3.016]** | 9.642** | -1.051[-3.179]** | 8.095* |
| KRW(t-4) | -0.893[-3.016]** | 9.642** | -1.051[-3.179]** | 8.095* |
| Inflation(t-1) | -1.721[-1.604] | 8.832* | -1.913[-1.646] | 7.012 |
| Interest(t-1) | 0.298[0.826] | 2.269 | 0.228[0.571] | 2.013 |
| M2(t-1) | 1.290[1.774]* | 2.898 | 1.168[1.447] | 1.842 |
| Policy(t-1) | 0.083[1.036] | 3.903 | 0.032[0.354] | 1.959 |
| α: determinant | -0.016[-1.220] | -0.009[-0.624] |  
| Dummy | 0.054[1.618] |  

| Model diagnostic tests | SSE(t) | SZSE(t) |
|------------------------|--------|--------|
| R-squared | 0.220 | 0.210 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.154 | 0.143 |
| F-statistic | 3.335*** | 3.145*** |
| Histogram normality test | Jarque-Bera test statistic | 4.017 | Jarque-Bera test statistic | 0.159 |
| Residual diagnostic tests | Breusch-Godfrey serial correlation LM test | F-statistic | F-statistic |
| (2, 116) = 0.516 | (2, 116) = 0.339 |
| Heteroskedasticity test: ARCH effect | F-statistic |  
| (1, 126) = 0.201 | (1, 126) = 0.513 |

The probability value for rejection of the null hypothesis is employed at the 0.05 level (*, p < 0.1; ** p < 0.05; and ***, p < 0.01).
pulse responses and variance decomposition functions. An impulse response function traces the effect of a one-time shock to one of the innovations on current and future values of the endogenous variables. Since innovations are usually correlated, it may be viewed as having a common component that cannot be associated with a specific variable. In order to interpret the impulses, it is common to apply a transformation to the innovations so that they become uncorrelated. The Cholesky transforming method uses the inverse of the Cholesky factor of the residual covariance matrix to orthogonalize the impulses. This method imposes an ordering of the variables in the vector autoregressive and attributes all of the effect of any common component to the variable that comes first in the vector autoregressive system. For stationary vector autoregressive models, the impulse responses should die out to zero and the accumulated responses should be asymptote to some constant.

Figure 3 presents the results of the impulse responses of stock prices to Cholesky one standard deviation innovations of three significant endogenous variables: stock price, exchange rate against Japanese yen, and exchange rate against Korean won. The response of stock prices itself to each shock show a positive impact in the first few months, then decline and level off after 12 months. Hence, the impulse response of stock prices is largely determined by its own shock. However, the responses of stock prices to Japanese yen and Korean won show a negative impact in the short-run while widening but leveling off after 12 months. One might expect that the nominal exchange rate of Chinese yuan to Japanese yen and Korean won has an adverse impact on the Chinese stock market prices as the inflow in portfolio investment from Japan and South Korea plunges when Chinese yuan appreciates against the Japanese yen and the Korean won.

While impulse response functions trace the effects of a shock to one endogenous variable onto the other variable in the vector autoregressive, variance decomposition separates the variation in an endogenous variable into the component shocks to the vector autoregressive. This breaks down the variance of the forecast error for each variable into the components that can be contributed to each of the endogenous variables. Thus, the variance decomposition provides information about the relative importance of each random innovation in affecting the variables in the vector autoregressive.

Table 4 presents the results of variance decomposition. The reported numbers indicate the percentage of the forecast error in each variable that can be attributed to innovations in other endogenous variables at 24 different horizons from 1 to 24 months ahead or from short-run to long-run. Under SSE and SZSE columns, 100 percent of the variability in SSE and SZSE changes is explained by their own innovations in the first month. Approximately 66 and 62 percent of the variability in SSE (62 and 60 percent in SZSE) is explained by its own innovations at 12 and 24 months, respectively. With respect to the response of Chinese stock prices to Japanese yen and Korean won exchange rates, zero percent of the variability in SSE and SZSE changes is explained by Japanese yen and Korean won exchange rates, respectively. The variance decomposition shows that the variability in SSE and approximately 4 and 7 percent of the variability in SZSE, respectively, is explained by Japanese yen exchange rate while they are approximately 5 percent in SSE and 12 percent in SZSE explained by Korean won exchange rate.
6. Recommendations and Conclusion

This research contributes to the existing literature in three main aspects. First, this research uncovers the fact that there exists long-run causality from exchange rates to stock prices in Chinese stock markets. Second, the paper empirically explores the short-term dynamic relationships between exchange rates and stock prices. The short-run causality from Japanese yen and Korean won exchange rates to Chinese stock prices exists. Finally, the global financial crisis of 2007-2009 has no impact on the stock price dynamics in the Chinese stock markets. In light of these findings, financial managers can enhance their understanding of the long-term and the short-term movements of exchange rates and stock price dynamics. A better understanding of these long-term and short-term movements enables financial managers to make well-informed investment and financial decisions.

Recommendations can be drawn from the findings presented here. For a long-term perspective, policymakers may consider the exchange rates as a policy tool aiming at the Chinese stock markets since the results of Granger causality tests show good evidence of the long-run causation from exchange rates such as Chinese yuan per U.S. dollar, Euro, Japanese yen and Korean won to stock prices in the Chinese stock markets. The error correction term of cointegrating equations is statistically significant, which is negatively associated with stock price dynamics in the long-run. One might expect that the appreciation of the nominal exchange rate of Chinese yuan against foreign currencies has an adverse impact on the Chinese stock market prices as the inflow in portfolio investment from foreign investors plunges.

In addition, from a short-term perspective, policymakers may also consider the exchange rates as a policy tool to manage and control the Chinese stock markets since the exchange rate of Chinese yuan per Japanese yen and Korean won, to some extent, would cause an adverse effect on stock prices in China. This policy would be effective in the short-term and should be evaluated soundly. On the contrary, the results acknowledge that currency volatility of the U.S. dollar and Euro has no Granger causality on stock prices in China. It would appear that this independent behavior of the stock market could be a state of the market liberalization and globalization process for its economy to heal and propel itself toward long-run stability and a better future of the Chinese stock markets.

It is noteworthy that the openness level of Chinese yuan exchange rates is still low and the Chinese yuan exchange market is under significant influence of the government-controlled economy. For example, Chinese yuan can only be exchanged under strict supervision by the state regulator and all the exchange transactions need to pass the examination and approval before executing. Such regulatory process largely reduces the liquidity and volatility of Chinese yuan exchange markets. Although the Chinese government has been gradually relaxing the restrictions since the Chinese yuan exchange rate reform (Hu, 2007), there is no tendency that the Chinese yuan exchange market’s mobility will be improved in the near future. The Chinese government tends to remain the strict regulations and suppress the Chinese yuan exchange market to some extent through its managed floating exchange rate system. It brings some doubt whether the linkage between stock prices and exchange rates in China can be partially weakened by the government regulations.

It is also noteworthy that Chinese capital markets have been under significant influence of the government-controlled economy. The government interferes stock markets not only using diverse monetary policies, but also issuing different industry standards (e.g., China Securities Regulatory Commission, 2013). For example, the Chinese government highly controls the inflow of foreign capital investments (Davies, 2013). The barriers that restrict the capital inflow from foreign capital markets directly into the Chinese capital markets are set up to maintain the Chinese yuan exchange rate between upper and lower bounds set by the central bank. This policy deters foreign capital invest-
ments into the Chinese capital markets, especially A-share markets of the Chinese stock markets. Since foreign investors are not allowed to directly invest in the Chinese stock markets, indirect investments by purchasing financial instruments such as index funds or qualified foreign institutional investor funds are alternative options.

That being said, when Chinese yuan exchange markets and Chinese capital markets become more mature and much relaxed government intervention occurs it is possible that the similar relationship found from the previous studies (e.g., Kim, 2003; Wu, 2001; Yau & Nieh, 2009) exists in China. Therefore, policymakers and investors should devote more time and effort acquiring not only the information on changes in monetary policy and temporary external shocks in the short-run but also the knowledge and information about the market itself.

Further research may be directed at some of the above issues. The empirical model may be estimated with alternative economic and financial factors or markets. Studies encompassing different economies should be conducted where data are available. Such research should contribute toward improving our understanding of the market mechanism and responses of each market to the frequently occurring phenomena of economic and financial crisis, whether regional or global.
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