A Study of Ponticulus Posterior of Atlas: Incidence and Clinical Co-Relations

Navbir Pasricha1, Eti Sthapak2, Rajan Bhatnagar3

1 Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow, 2 Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow, 3 Professor and Head, Department of Anatomy, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow.

Abstract

Introduction: The posterior ponticulus or foramen arcuale is caused due to ossification of the connective tissue around the vertebral artery (VA). Formation of a complete foramen may disturb normal functioning of VA. Aims and Objectives: The study was done to investigate the incidence of ponticulus posterior in dry atlas vertebrae. Subjects and Methods: 50 dry human atlas vertebrae were examined from the bone collections in Dr RML Institute of Medical Sciences, Era’s Lucknow medical college and Saraswati Dental College, Lucknow. The presence of ponticulus posterior was looked for and documented with photographs. Results: The presence of this accessory foramen on the dorsal arch was the only anomaly found in these vertebrae. The study showed the presence of a unilateral foramen in two vertebrae and one vertebra showed the anomaly bilaterally out of these one was a completely occluded ponticulus with an incidence of 6%. Conclusion: The study provides evidence about the incidence of ponticulus posterior in the North Indian population. The clinicians should be aware of the possibility of this foramen in patients complaining of headache, vertigo and vertebrobasilar insufficiency.
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Introduction

In the atlas, there is a groove behind the superior articular process called as sulcus arteriae vertebralis for transmitting the vertebral artery and suboccipital nerve. Sometimes the groove is converted into a foramen by an osseous bridge, which is given many names. The other names given to this foramen are Pons posticus, retroarticular canal, foramen atlantoideumposterius, foramen sagitale, kimmerle anomaly and retrocondylar bony foramen.[1] The posterior arch of the atlas which is actually the lamina of other vertebrae is longer than the anterior arch. The posterior atlanto-occipital membrane is attached to the superior border of the posterior arch. This membrane is deficient at the lateral border through which the vertebral artery and first cervical nerve passes.[2] The posterior ponticulus or foramen arcuale is caused due to ossification of the connective tissue around the vertebral artery (VA) or by some theories due to late ossification of lower edge of antlanto-occipital membrane.[3] It has also been considered as a regressive primitive structure due to higher prevalence in lower primates.[1,4-6] Formation of a complete foramen may disturb normal functioning of VA. The VA is vulnerable to compression at the atlantoaxial joint during head rotation, and the additional compression caused by ponticulus posterior may predispose VA to injury leading to vertigo, vertebrobasilar insufficiency, neck pain and cervicogenic headache.[4] If the posterior ponticulus is mistaken for a broad posterior arch of the atlas during screw placement in the lateral mass of C1 one may injure the vertebral artery.[7] Another factor for pressure over the VA which may cause reduction of its cross-sectional area and compromised blood supply could be extreme rotational movement caused due to a therapeutic manipulation. In case of these manipulations or extreme flexed positions of the neck as in some operative procedures if there is no prior knowledge of an extra foramen which may be compromising the flow of the VA the patient may be at risk of going into a Posterior cerebral ischaemia,[8] so it is imperative that the incidence of ponticulus posterior in a given geographical population be studied.

Aims and Objectives

The study was done to investigate the incidence of ponticulus posterior in dry atlas vertebrae in Lucknow region.

Subjects and Methods

50 dry human atlas vertebrae were examined from the bone collections in Dr RML Institute of Medical Sciences, Era’s Lucknow Medical College and Saraswati Dental college Lucknow. The vertebrae were thoroughly examined to
ensure that they were intact and free from osteophytes or metastatic tumors. The presence or absence of a complete or partial ponticulus posterior was looked for on the superior surface of the posterior arch and documented with photographs.

**Results & Discussion**

50 Atlas vertebrae were examined for any abnormality. Two vertebrae showed extra foramen unilaterally (both on the left side). One vertebra had bilateral ponticulus posterior and out of these one (right side) was a completely occluded ponticulus. The presence of this accessory foramen on the dorsal arch was the only anomaly found in these vertebrae. None of our vertebrae showed partial ponticulus posterior. The incidence of presence of unilateral foramen was 4% and bilateral ponticulus posterior was only 2%. If we consider the total number of ponticulus posterior in the total sample of 50 vertebrae the incidence comes out to be 6%.

**Table 1: Comparison of incidence of ponticulus posterior in different populations and studies**

| Name of Author      | Region                  | Incidence | Cadaveric/Radiologic | Sample size |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|
| This study          | Lucknow, U.P.           | 6%        | Dried bone           | 50          |
| Patel et al         | Gujarat                 | 13%       | Dried bone           | 32          |
| Krishnamurthy A et al | Mangalore             | 8.33%     | Dried bone           | 1044        |
| Sibel Cirpan et al  | Turkey                  | 15.38%    | Dried bone           | 81          |
| Oosenfort et al     | White and black Americans | 12%      | Dried Bone           | 183         |
| Taitz et al         | Indian                  | 2.2%      | Dried Bone           | 139         |
| Md Jawad Akhter et al | Bihar                 | 21.17%    | Dried Bone           | 118         |
| Taitz C et al       | Middle eastern          | 7.4%      | Dried Bone           | 187         |
| Manjunath KY        | South Indian            | 11.7%     | Dried Bone           | 60          |
| Dhilli U et al      | Haryana                 | 37.83%    | Dried Bone           | 148         |
| Gupta C et al       | Manipal                 | 5.70%     | bone                 | 55          |
| Myoung Soo Kim      | Korea                   | 10.5%     | 3D CT                | 108         |
| Tubbs RS            | Unknown                 | 5%        | Cadaveric            | 60          |
| Ahmet Kavakh        | Anatolian               | 2.3%      | Dried Bone           | 86          |

**Discussion**

The atlas vertebra articulates above with the occipital condyles of the skull forming the atlanto-occipital joint and below with the axis forming the atlanto-axial joint. These joints provide for wide range of movements, including flexion, extension, lateral movements and rotation of the neck. Various radiologic and surgical manoeuvres require sufficient movement of the neck including extension. Dental procedures and operative procedures like cleft palate require full extension of the neck. In case of such manipulations an undetected ponticulus posterior can lead to compression of the vertebral artery passing through this compromised space. Brown and Verheyden have quoted a singular case of cleft palate operation on a one-year child who landed up with
posterior fossa infarction after the procedure.\(^9\) They advocated that neck extension should be minimised and also in light of the above case it is imperative that the surgeon be familiar with the possibility of a foramen arcuale or ponticulus posterior which can cause vertebrobasilar insufficiency.

Different populations show a varying prevalence rate (4.4-37.8\%) with high ranges among North Americans and Europeans (11.3-11.2\%) and in a study in Haryana region showing 37.8\%.\(^{10,11}\) Ponticulus posterior has otherwise been reported to have the lowest frequency in Indians and South Koreans and highest in Britishers.\(^{12-14}\) Our studies shows a very low incidence comparable to the study of Gupta et al done in the Manipal region.\(^8\) As can be seen in [Table 1] different studies done on dry bone, cadavers and CT Scan show much variation in the incidence in different populations, could be due to different study material, inherent variations in incidence based on populations or the number of sample size. The authors wished to have a greater sample size to give a better picture representative of the population. Also, remarkably, compared to study of Krishnamurthy et al,\(^{15}\) the authors did not observe any incomplete ponticulus posterior. Similar to our study, a study conducted by Patel did not observe an incomplete posterior ponticulus.\(^{16}\) A completely occluded ponticulus posterior which was observed could not be found in any literature search. Although this observation was seen in a dry bone but it can be concurred that it would have lead to disruption in blood flow in the vertebral artery leading to ischaemic episodes and vertebrobasilar insufficiency.

A meta-analysis conducted by Pekala et al in 2017,\(^{10}\) concluded that the ponticulus posterior could be a possible cause for headaches and migraines. They have said that ponticulus posterior can cause tension type headaches, migraines with or without aura, neuradigias, cervicogenic headaches, and may also be a cause of Barre-Lieou syndrome with symptoms of retro-orbital pain, headache, disturbances of vision, swallowing and phonation. These symptoms may be due to compression of sympathetic plexus around the vertebral artery.\(^{17}\) Ponticulus posterior may also cause vertigo, diplopia, lacrimation, neck pain, epilepsy, Meniere syndrome and acute hearing loss.

**Conclusion**

The study provides evidence about the incidence of ponticulus posterior in the North Indian population. The clinicians should be aware of the possibility of this foramen in patients complaining of headache, vertigo and vertebrobasilar insufficiency. Physicians should consider this possibility in cases of migraine, neurosensory type hearing loss, unexplained shoulder/arm pain. Cervical spine radiography is a simple diagnostic tool to indicate the presence of arcuate foramen/ponticulus posterior and should be routinely undertaken for patients requiring screw placements in the lateral mass of the atlas.
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