Prediction of hemodynamic tolerance of intermittent hemodialysis in critically ill patients: a cohort study
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The evaluation and management of fluid balance are key challenges when caring for critically ill patients requiring renal replacement therapy. The aim of this study was to assess the ability of clinical judgment and other variables to predict the occurrence of hypotension during intermittent hemodialysis (IHD) in critically ill patients. This was a prospective, observational, single-center study involving critically ill patients undergoing IHD. The clinical judgment of hypervolemia was determined by the managing nephrologists and critical care physicians in charge of the patients on the basis of the clinical data used to calculate the ultrafiltration volume and rate for each dialysis treatment. Seventy-nine (31.9%) patients presented with hypotension during IHD. Patients were perceived as being hypervolemic in 109 (43.9%) of the cases by nephrologists and in 107 (43.1%) by intensivists. The agreement between nephrologists and intensivists was weak (kappa = 0.561). Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis yielded an AUC of 0.81 (95% CI 0.75 to 0.84; P < 0.0001), and a cutoff value of 70 mm for the vascular pedicle width (VPW) had the highest accuracy for the prediction of the absence of hypotension. The clinical judgment of hypervolemia did not predict hypotension during IHD. The high predictive ability of the VPW may assist clinicians with critical thinking.

Abbreviations
RRT Renal replacement therapy
IHD Intermittent hemodialysis
VPW Vascular pedicle width
AKI Acute kidney injury
PAM Mean arterial pressure
CXR Chest X ray
CTR Cardiothoracic ratio
ROC Receiver operating characteristic

The evaluation and management of fluid balance are key challenges when caring for critically ill patients requiring renal replacement therapy (RRT)¹². Despite considerable advances in the assessment of dialysis adequacy with respect to solute removal and data suggesting that net ultrafiltration may be associated with the outcomes³⁻⁵, there is currently no specific measure of adequacy for fluid removal⁶⁻⁷. Intradialytic hypotension is a common complication associated with RRT; it may be associated with the ultrafiltration rate and can cause further ischemic injury to the recovering kidneys, thereby potentially reducing the probability of renal recovery⁴⁻⁸. Therefore, the selection of the optimal ultrafiltration rate that will not result in any adverse clinical consequences depends on an accurate estimation of the patient’s fluid status and hemodynamics, an adequate understanding of the principles of fluid overload treatment with ultrafiltration, and clear treatment goals⁹⁻¹⁰, although there are several methods
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to evaluated volume responsiveness in critically ill patients, these tools are usually used to evaluate patients in a life-threatening condition, as circulatory shock, not to predict hypotension during RRT.

The most popular approach adopted in the management of fluid overload is to use a clinician-set ultrafiltration target. There have been no well-conducted studies showing that any strategy for blood volume assessment is associated with a reduced risk of hypotension during intermittent hemodialysis (IHD)11. Usually, the nephrologist and critical care physician in charge of acute kidney injury (AKI) patients requiring hemodialysis have relied on their subjective clinical judgment, based on clinical data such as the physical examination, hemodynamic monitoring, perfusion parameters and radiological features, to calculate the ultrafiltration volume and rate for each dialysis treatment8,3,11,12. The aim of this study was to assess the ability of clinical judgment and other variables to predict the occurrence of hypotension during IHD in critically ill patients.

Methods
A prospective, observational, single-center study was performed between January 2015 and April 2018 in a 30-bed medical intensive care unit in a tertiary hospital in Brazil. After ethics approval (CAAE: 89428318.00005029), we included consenting critically ill patients if they fulfilled the following criteria: age > 18 years old with AKI defined by Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) 3 in need of IHD, patients with chronic kidney disease were excluded. Patients in which the components of the silhouette have been altered by vascular or other mediastinal disease that might influence VPW measurements and artfactually alter its predictive value were also excluded.

IHD sessions were managed by technical nurses, and the indication for and settings of IHD sessions were the responsibility of the nephrology team in charge of the patient. RRT was initiated on the basis of the standard clinical guidelines, including AKI with hemodynamic stability, ongoing hypercatabolism, hyperkalemia, severe metabolic acidosis, presumed volume overload, respiratory distress or some combination of these factors. The indication for IHD rather than continuous dialysis procedures in our unit is based on the absence of vasopressors or use of a low dose of vasopressors (norepinephrine dose ≤ 0.3 mg kg⁻¹ min⁻¹) for at least 6 h before the initiation of dialysis with the maintenance of a mean arterial pressure (MAP) ≥ 65 mmHg. For each patient, a combination of clinical, laboratory, and static and dynamic hemodynamic variables was used to assess the volume status and inform clinical decision making regarding the ultrafiltration rate. IHD was performed with Fresenius 4008 S hemodialysis generators and dialyze concentrate solutions with a 1.75 mmol/L calcium concentration. The IHD settings regarding the blood and dialysate flow rates, dialysate temperature and dialysate sodium concentration were prescribed by the physician in charge.

Hypotension was defined as the first occurrence of a MAP less than 65 mmHg during the session13,14.

All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Clinical judgment of hypervolemia. Hypervolemia was determined by the managing nephrologists and critical care physicians in charge of the cases using a systematic clinical approach including patient history, symptoms, physical examination including peripheral edema, laboratory parameters, ventilator settings for those on mechanical ventilation and routine diagnostic techniques, as available.

The measurement of the vascular pedicle width (VPW) was performed with chest X ray (CXR). The right border of the VPW was the point at which the superior vena cava crossed the right main bronchus. The left border was the point at which the subclavian artery exited the aorta. The VPW was defined as the horizontal distance between those two points. The cardiothoracic ratio (CTR) was evaluated by the Danzer method35. All CXRs were analyzed three times by two independent observers. The inter- and intraobserver coefficients of variation were 2.9 and 6.1%, respectively.

Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used, and histograms and normal quantile plots were examined to verify the normality of the distribution of continuous variables. Difference testing between groups was performed using two-tailed t tests, Mann–Whitney U tests, chi-square tests, and Fisher’s exact tests, as appropriate. To evaluate the influence of baseline characteristics on hypotension in AKI patients requiring IHD, we performed a multivariable logistic regression. All tests were two-tailed, and a P value less than 0.001 was considered significant in the identification of the associated independent variables. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed using different cutoffs of the VPW and CTR to identify the sensitivities and specificities for each value, and they were also calculated for the physician perception of fluid status and presence of peripheral edema. Agreement between nephrologists and intensivists was evaluated by the kappa coefficient.

Ethics approval and consent to participate. The study was approved and the need to obtain written informed consent was waived for this observational and noninterventional study by the Ethics Committee of Centro de Estudos Egaz Muniz (CAAE: 89428318.00005029).

Results
Two hundred forty-eight AKI patients requiring IHD were included in the study. Demographic data and patient characteristics at the onset of IHD are reported in Table 1. Seventy-nine (31.9%) patients presented with hypotension during an IHD session.

The IHD settings were different between hypotensive and nonhypotensive sessions. In general, the amount of ultrafiltration, blood flow rate and dialysate flow rate were lower in hypotensive sessions than in nonhypotensive sessions (Table 1). The diagnosis of sepsis, the use of norepinephrine, a lower MAP, a higher lactate level, the size of the VPW, the presence of peripheral edema and the need for mechanical ventilation were associated with the development of hypotension during IHD (Table 1). However, in multiple logistic regression, only the need
for norepinephrine [OR (95% CI) = 16 (4–65)] and the size of the VPW [(OR 95% CI) = 0.73 (0.65–0.82)] were independently associated with the development of hypotension during an IHD session (Table 2).

Patients were perceived as hypervolemic in 109 (43.9%) of the cases by nephrologists and in 107 (43.1%) by intensivists. Nevertheless, the specificity and sensitivity for the prediction of hypotension were 45.6% and 21.5% for nephrologists and 15.6% and 55.4% for intensivists, respectively. The agreement between nephrologists and intensivists was weak (kappa = 0.561). A VPW cutoff > 70 mm yielded a sensitivity of 86.1% (95% CI 70.3 to 92.3)
and a specificity of 55% (95% CI 43.2 to 73.2). However, the positive predictive value was only 47.2% (95% CI 33.2 to 59.3%), and the negative predictive value was 89.4% (95% CI 74.2 to 94.5%) (Table 3).

ROC curves were generated to demonstrate the ability of the VPW, clinician judgment, peripheral edema and CTR to predict the absence of hypotension during IHD. ROC curve analysis yielded an AUC of 0.81 (95% CI 0.75 to 0.84), \(P < 0.0001\), and the best accuracy was achieved with a VPW cutoff value of 70 mm (Fig. 1).

**Table 2. Multivariate logistic regression of the variables significant in univariate analysis in the patients with hypotension. VPW vascular pedicle width.**

| Variable                   | Parameter estimate | Standard error | Odds ratio | 95% CI    | \(P\)   |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Age                        | −0.001            | 0.014         | 0.999      | 0.971−1.027 | 0.925    |
| Blood flow rate            | −0.001            | 0.006         | 0.999      | 0.988−1.010 | 0.858    |
| Dialysate flow rate        | −0.007            | 0.004         | 0.993      | 0.985−1.000 | 0.043    |
| Ultrafiltration            | 0.001             | 0.001         | 1.111      | 0.951−1.001 | 0.086    |
| Mean blood pressure        | −0.025            | 0.013         | 0.976      | 0.934−0.991 | 0.061    |
| Lactate                    | −0.121            | 0.297         | 0.886      | 0.495−1.585 | 0.684    |
| Use of norepinephrine      | 2.796             | 0.706         | 16.381     | 4.109−65.304 | <0.001  |
| Peripheral edema           | −0.828            | 0.413         | 0.437      | 0.194−0.802 | 0.045    |
| VPW                        | −0.312            | 0.058         | 0.732      | 0.653−0.821 | <0.001  |
| Cardiothoracic index       | 0.105             | 0.061         | 0.437      | 0.194−0.982 | 0.086    |
| Mechanical ventilation     | −0.323            | 0.686         | 0.724      | 0.189−2.777 | 0.276    |
| Sepsis                     | 0.293             | 0.706         | 1.341      | 0.591−3.040 | 0.925    |
| Constant                   |                   |               |            |           |          |

**Table 3. Sensibility, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of the variables for the detection of hypotension. VPW vascular pedicle width, CTR cardiothoracic index, PPV positive predictive value, NPV negative predictive value.**

| Variable            | Specificity | Sensibility | PPV | NPV |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|
| VPW                 | 55%         | 86.1%       | 47.2% | 89.4% |
| Peripheral edema    | 59.8%       | 77.2%       | 47.3% | 84.9% |
| Nephrologist perception | 46.5%   | 23.1%       | 15.6% | 55.4% |
| Intensivist perception | 47.3%  | 22.8%       | 16.8% | 56.7% |
| CTR                 | 19.5%       | 67.1%       | 28.0% | 55.9% |

and a specificity of 55% (95% CI 43.2 to 73.2). However, the positive predictive value was only 47.2% (95% CI 33.2 to 59.3%), and the negative predictive value was 89.4% (95% CI 74.2 to 94.5%) (Table 3).

ROC curves were generated to demonstrate the ability of the VPW, clinician judgment, peripheral edema and CTR to predict the absence of hypotension during IHD. ROC curve analysis yielded an AUC of 0.81 (95% CI 0.75 to 0.84), \(P < 0.0001\), and the best accuracy was achieved with a VPW cutoff value of 70 mm (Fig. 1).

**Discussion**

In this study, we evaluated predictive variables related to hypotension in critically ill AKI patients submitted to intermittent dialysis. We found that clinical judgment of patient fluid status using a systematic clinical approach, including patient history, symptoms, and physical examination, was not predictive of intradialytic hypotension. However, the diagnosis of sepsis, the use of norepinephrine, a lower MAP, a higher lactate level, the size of the VPW, the presence of peripheral edema and need for mechanical ventilation were strongly associated with hemodynamic tolerance of the dialytic procedure.

Critically ill patients with AKI requiring RRT are in a high-acuity, fast-paced and high-stakes environment in which critical thinking is imperative. However, the physicians in charge of those critical decisions are not used to making the thinking process explicit (discussing cognitive biases, debiasing strategies and inductive reasoning). Most of the decisions are based on a more intuitive process of decision making. Moreover, we found that overall agreement regarding the diagnosis of hypervolemia is poor among nephrologists and intensivists. In our study, we showed that the clinical judgment of hypervolemia does not predict hemodynamic tolerance of dialysis and that agreement about the presence of hypervolemia in individual patients was weak. Furthermore, the hemodynamic variables, perfusion parameters and other clinical data immediately before dialysis therapy that are usually used in the decision-making process based on the pattern recognition of a patient likely to become hypotensive during dialysis were not associated with hypotension during IDH.

The VPW, a measurement obtained from a CXR, is thought to be an indicator of the circulating blood volume. There are clinical and statistical correlations between the VPW and volume overload in different critically ill patients, and the VPW can be used to evaluate the volume status of a patient regardless of the CXR technique used. It has been reported that the measurement of the VPW may be useful for the estimation of body fluid volume status and that the VPW decreases significantly during dialytic procedures in patients undergoing hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis. In our study, we found that the VPW was strongly associated with the occurrence of hypotension during IHD, outperforming clinical judgment. Several limitations have been reported regarding VPW, such as effects of the variations in patient posture, radiographic technique, and...
ventilator-patient interactions that confront physicians. However, it should not deter the use of the VPW, but rather should fuel the need for the standardization of the interpretation of this. Of considerable to note, that all described tools to evaluate volume status, in critical care setting, have shown limitations and weakness15.

On the other hand, CXRs are normally available to most physicians and it is easily measured and has been shown to correlate well with invasive and noninvasive hemodynamic measurements. Despite several limitations, the portable CXR offers some utility in appraising volume status, although it is not to be relied on exclusively to volume responsiveness, should be evaluated as intravascular volume15,20,21.

Our study has several limitations. It is an observational study and, as such, even though we have tried to adjust for potential confounders, intradialytic hypotension is multifactorial and it is possible that other, unmeasured confounders, may impact on our results. As such, this study should be read as hypothesis-generating. Moreover, we did not compare the VPW to a direct measure of intravascular volume27. Although all the data were collected prospectively, many of the CXRs and dialysis procedures did not occur simultaneously. To minimize any potential bias this might have introduced, we limited our analysis to “matched” sets of measurements and CXRs obtained within three hours before the start of dialysis28. Additionally, there are current recommendations to limit the indications for CXRs to specific clinical contexts, such as changes in clinical status or the need for additional procedures29, and to use nondeleterious technologies, such as bedside ultrasound, to assess the patients10,30. Nevertheless, our data suggest that CXRs could still be used in resource-limited intensive care units that may not have access to bedside ultrasound and may be a useful tool in patients requiring RRT.

Conclusions
In this observational study, clinical judgment of hypervolemia, as assessed by nephrologists and intensivists, did not predict hypotension during IHD. The predictive ability of the VPW can help physicians avoid inductive and deductive thinking and promote critical thinking.

Data availability
The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 19 May 2021; Accepted: 29 November 2021
Published online: 08 December 2021

References
1. Ronco, C. et al. Renal replacement therapy in acute kidney injury: Controversy and consensus. Crit. Care 19, 146 (2015).
2. Murugan, R., Bellomo, R., Palevsky, P. M. & Kellum, J. A. Ultrafiltration in critically ill patients treated with kidney replacement therapy. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 17, 262–276 (2021).
3. Murugan, R. et al. Net ultrafiltration intensity and mortality in critically ill patients with fluid overload. Crit. Care 22, 223 (2018).
4. Murugan, R. et al. Association of net ultrafiltration rate with mortality among critically ill adults with acute kidney injury receiving continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration: A secondary analysis of the randomized evaluation of normal vs augmented level (RENAL) of renal replacement therapy trial. JAMA Netw. Open 2, e195418 (2019).
5. Téranian, S., Shawwa, K. & Kashani, K. B. Net ultrafiltration rate and its impact on mortality in patients with acute kidney injury receiving continuous renal replacement therapy. Clin. Kidney J. 14, 564–569 (2021).
6. O’Connor, M. E., Jones, S. L., Glassford, N. J., Bellomo, R. & Prowle, J. R. Defining fluid removal in the intensive care unit: A national and international survey of critical care practice. J. Intensive Care Soc. 18, 282–288 (2017).
7. Rosner, M. H. et al. Indications and management of mechanical fluid removal in critical illness. Br. J. Anaesth. 113, 764–771 (2014).
8. Balakumar, V. & Murugan, R. Kidney replacement therapy for fluid management. Crit. Care Clin. 37, 433–452 (2021).
9. Murugan, R. et al. Precision fluid management in continuous renal replacement therapy. Blood Purif. 42, 266–278 (2016).
10. da Hora, P. R. et al. Ultrasound-based clinical profiles for predicting the risk of intradialytic hypotension in critically ill patients on intermittent dialysis: A prospective observational study. Crit. Care 23, 389 (2019).
11. Rocha, P. N., de Menezes, J. A. & Suassuna, J. H. Hemodynamic assessment in the critically ill patient. J. Bras. Nefrol. 32, 201–212 (2010).
12. Claure-Del Granado, R. & Mehta, R. L. Fluid overload in the ICU: Evaluation and management. BMC Nephrol. 17, 109 (2016).
13. Douvis, A. et al. Mechanisms for hemodynamic instability related to renal replacement therapy: A narrative review. Intensive Care Med. 45, 1333–1346 (2019).
14. Bithker, L. et al. Prevalence and risk factors of hypotension associated with preload dependence during intermittent hemodialysis in critically ill patients. Crit. Care. 20, 44 (2016).
15. Ely, E. W. & Haponik, E. F. Using the chest radiograph to determine intravascular volume status: The role of vascular pedicle width. Chest 121, 942–950 (2002).
16. Hayes, M. M., Chatterjee, S. & Schwartzstein, R. M. Critical thinking in critical care: Five strategies to improve teaching and learning in the intensive care unit. Ann. Am. Thorac. Soc. 14, 569–575 (2017).
17. Stegler, M. P. & Tung, A. Cognitive processes in anesthesia decision making. Anesthesiology 120, 204–217 (2014).
18. Lighthall, G. K. & Vazquez-Guillemet, C. Understanding decision making in critical care. Clin. Med. Res. 13, 156–168 (2015).
19. Passos, R. H., Ricardo, J. S., Pereira, A. J., Cal, R. G. R. & Akamine, N. Radiologic assessment of volemic status: Vascular pedicle width. Crit. Care 9, P110 (2005).
20. Salahuiddin, N. et al. Measurement of the vascular pedicle width predicts fluid repletion: A cross-sectional comparison with inferior vena cava ultrasound and lung comets. J. Intensive Care 3, 55 (2015).
21. Miller, R. R. & Ely, E. W. Radiographic measures of intravascular volume status: The role of vascular pedicle width. Curr. Opin. Crit. Care 12, 355–362 (2006).
22. Wang, H. et al. Vascular pedicle width on chest radiograph as a measure of volume overload: Meta-analysis. West J. Emerg. Med. 12, 426–432 (2011).
23. Ely, E. W. et al. Radiologic determination of intravascular volume status using portable, digital chest radiography: A prospective investigation in 100 patients. Crit. Care Med. 29, 1502–1512 (2001).
24. Martin, G. S., Ely, E. W., Carroll, F. E. & Bernard, G. R. Findings on the portable chest radiograph correlate with fluid balance in critically ill patients. Chest 122, 2087–2095 (2002).
25. Thomason, J. W. et al. Appraising pulmonary edema using supine chest roentgenograms in ventilated patients. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 157, 1600–1608 (1998).
26. Gao, N. et al. Measurements on the routine chest radiograph as prognostic markers in Chinese peritoneal dialysis patients. Clin. Nephrol. 76, 16–22 (2011).
27. Rice, T. W. et al. Vascular pedicle width in acute lung injury: Correlation with intravascular pressures and ability to discriminate fluid status. Crit. Care. 15, R86 (2011).
28. Milne, E. N., Pistolesi, M., Miniati, M. & Giuntini, C. The vascular pedicle of the heart and the vena cava. Part I: The normal width. Radiology 152, 1–8 (1984).
29. Ganapathy, A., Adhikari, N. K., Spiegelman, J. & Scales, D. C. Routine chest x-rays in intensive care units: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit. Care 16, R68 (2012).
30. Covic, A., Siripol, D. & Voroneanu, L. Use of lung ultrasound for the assessment of volume status in CKD. Am. J. Kidney Dis. 71, 412–422 (2018).

Author contributions
R.H.P.: Conception and design of the work, Acquisition and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript.
J.C.R.: Interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript.
J.G.R.R.: Interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript.
E.B.S.G.M.: Acquisition of data.
M.A.D.S.: Acquisition of data.
P.B.P.B.: Interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03110-4.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.H.P.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.
