Distribution and correlation between phylogeny and functional traits of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.)-nodulating microsymbionts from Ghana and South Africa

Mustapha Mohammed¹, Sanjay K. Jaiswal² & Felix D. Dakora²

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) is indigenous to Africa, and highly valued for its N₂-fixing trait and the nutritional attributes of its grain and leaves. The species’ ability to establish effective symbiosis with diverse rhizobial populations gives it survival and growth advantage in N-limited environments. To explore the functional diversity and phylogenetic positions of rhizobia nodulating cowpea in Africa, nodules were collected from various cowpea varieties grown in soils from the Guinea savanna and Sudano-sahelian agroecologies of Northern Ghana, and from the lowveld and middelveld areas of Mpumalanga Province in South Africa. Box-PCR profiling and multilocus sequence analysis revealed the presence of diverse microsymbionts responsible for cowpea nodulation across the study sites. BOX-PCR amplifications yielded variable band sizes, ranging from 618 bp to 5354 bp, which placed the isolates in six major clusters (Cluster A–F). Phylogenetic analysis based on 16S rRNA, *atpD*, *glnII*, *gyrB*, *rpoB*, *nifH* and *nodC* genes revealed the presence of diverse *Bradyrhizobium* sp. closely related to *Bradyrhizobium daqingense*, *Bradyrhizobium subterraneum*, *Bradyrhizobium yuanmingense*, *Bradyrhizobium embrapense*, *Bradyrhizobium pachyrhizi*, *Bradyrhizobium elkanii* and novel *Bradyrhizobium* species in the soils studied, a finding that could be attributed to the unique edapho-climatic conditions of the contrasting environments. The test isolates exhibited distinct symbiotic efficiencies, and also induced variable (p ≤ 0.001) photosynthetic rates, leaf transpiration, total chlorophyll and shoot biomass accumulation on cowpea (their homologous host). Canonical correspondence analysis showed that the distribution of these microsymbionts was influenced by the concentrations of macro- and micronutrients in soils. The pairwise genetic distances derived from phylogenies and nodule functioning showed significant (p < 0.05) correlation, which suggests that local environmental factors played a major role in the cowpea-*Bradyrhizobium* symbiosis.

Legumes constitute an important component of sustainable agriculture and ecosystem functioning as a result of their contribution to soil health from symbiosis with nodule microsymbionts¹². In Africa, grain legumes such as cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) are highly valued for their N₂-fixing ability and the nutritional attributes of their grains and leaves, both of which are important for sustainable farming systems and household food security⁵. The grain of cowpea can contain up to 25% protein which is rich in lysine, a limiting essential S-containing amino acid in cereals⁵⁵. Most cowpea genotypes tend to accumulate greater levels of micro- and macro-nutrients
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in their leaves when compared to the grain, a trait that is useful for combating micro-nutrient deficiency among rural households, where cowpea leaves are first harvested as food before the grain11.

Cowpea is indigenous to Africa, with both wild and cultivated forms found across the continent. Although the exact centre of origin or diversification is still uncertain24, a west African centre of cowpea domestication is supported by the oldest archaeological evidence in the Kintampo rock shelter in central Ghana25,26. A greater proportion (96.4%) of the world’s cowpea is produced in Africa, with Nigeria and Niger together accounting for 72% of the global production in 2016, while the Americas, Asia and Europe accounted for 1.2%, 2% and 0.4%, respectively, of the world’s production27. Despite the importance of cowpea to the livelihoods of rural communities, its average grain yield on farmers’ fields is low (typically < 1 t ha−1) due to a range of factors which include soil nutrient deficiencies, sub-optimal symbiosis, and insect pests diseases. However, yields of up to 2.5 t ha−1 are attainable depending on the genotype, location and cultivation practices used10-12.

Like most grain legumes, cowpea can establish effective symbiosis with diverse rhizobial populations belonging to the genera Bradyrhizobium and Rhizobium28,29, which gives this legume an ability to grow in diverse environments where other legumes may fail to survive. The symbiotic relationship between legumes and rhizobia commences via an intricate exchange of molecular signals between the two partners, and sustained by mutual exchange of photosynthate and nitrogenous solutes between the legume and the bacterial symbionts14. As a result, increased nitrogen fixation in root nodules generally drives plant growth through increased photosynthetic rates due to the greater C sink strength of nodulated roots10,14. The cowpea-rhizobia symbiosis can account for up to 96% of the plant’s N requirement, as well as contribute to the N needs of subsequent cereal crops in Sub-Saharan Africa, where the soils are nutrient-poor30.

There is new evidence that the distribution and biodiversity of legume microsymbionts are shaped by geographical location and the soil’s physico-chemical properties31,32. Despite the agronomic, ecological and environmental significance of the legume-rhizobia symbiosis, the process is influenced by several factors which include the nature of the legume host and the rhizobial symbiont14. The identification of novel root-nodule bacteria and their evaluation for symbiotic effectiveness therefore forms the basis for their use in inoculant formulation aimed at tapping the agronomic and ecological benefits of the legume-rhizobia symbiosis20.

Although earlier studies have identified Bradyrhizobium as the microsymbiont nodulating cowpea in Botswana, Ghana, South Africa21, Senegal10, Mozambique13, Ethiopia22, Greece23, Spain24 and Brazil25,26, much remains to be known about the diversity and phylogenetic position of cowpea microsymbionts in Africa, the centre of its diversification. Characterization of rhizobia native to different geographic locations in Africa is likely to also improve our understanding of their contribution to overall ecosystem function, and help to unravel the factors shaping microsymbiont diversity and distribution in soils.

Genomic fingerprinting techniques such as rep-PCR (BOX-PCR) have been used to assess genetic diversity among populations of rhizobia, including those that share close relatedness15. Although sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene is also useful in establishing the phylogenies of legume microsymbionts28,29, the multilocus sequence analysis is often used in combination with the 16S rRNA due to the lower resolving power of this gene15,29. Careful selection and sequencing of 3 to 5 housekeeping or protein-coding genes, in addition to the 16S rRNA gene, yielded reliable phylograms in earlier studies15,27. However, the diversity of microsymbionts based on either trait, or phylogenetic information, was unclear at the level of community analysis15. Therefore, use of the two approaches has been suggested for microsymbiont differentiation19. The aim of this study was to assess the phylogenetic, functional diversity and distribution of cowpea microsymbionts originating from different locations within the Guinea Savanna and the Sudano-sahelian Savanna of Ghana, and from Nelspruit and Klipplaardrift in the lowveld and middleveld areas of Mpumalanga Province in South Africa.

Results

Nodulation and colony characteristics. A total of 98 bacterial isolates were obtained from the root nodules collected from cowpea. Of these, only 54 isolates (53%) could form root nodules on cowpea (the homologous host) under glasshouse conditions. Except for TUTVUSA35, TUTVUGH5, TUTVUGH4 and TUTVUGH1, the colonies of all isolates were visible after 5 d of incubation on YMA plates. Colonies of isolate TUTVUSA49 were from nodules of a different cowpea genotype, and comprised only one isolate (TUTVUSA41) from Klipplaardrift.

Rep-PCR (BOX-PCR) fingerprints of cowpea isolates. Genomic fingerprinting using BOX-PCR yielded variable band sizes ranging from 618 bp to 5354 bp. The isolates were grouped into six major clusters (Cluster A–F) in the constructed dendrogram (Fig. 1). Out of the 54 test isolates, 38 possessed unique Box-PCR profiles if considered at a cut-off point of 70% similarity level. Cluster A contained four isolates, each obtained from nodules of a different cowpea genotype, and comprised only one isolate (TUTVUSA41) from Klipplaardrift in South Africa. The remaining three isolates (namely, TUTVUGH19, TUTVUGH20 and TUTVUGH25) originated from Damongo and Garu in Ghana (Fig. 1). Cluster B was the largest, and contained 18 isolates from Ghana and 12 from South Africa. Out of the 18 isolates from Ghana, 11 originated from Damongo, six from Garu and only one from Nyankpala. The isolates from South Africa within cluster B comprised four from the middlelevel (Klipplaardrift) and eight from the lowveld (Nelspruit) (Table 1; Fig. 1). Of the nine isolates in cluster C, only one isolate (TUTVUGH26 from IT90K-277-2 in Garu) was from Ghana, while the remaining eight were from Klipplaardrift, South Africa (Fig. 1). Isolates TUTVUGH27 and TUTVUSA28 from Ghana and South Africa, respectively, formed Cluster D, while isolate TUTVUSA45 stood alone between Clusters C and D (Fig. 1). However, isolates TUTVUGH2, TUTVUGH3 and TUTVUGH4 from Nyankpala, as well as TUTVUSA57 from South Africa, grouped together in Cluster E. Except for TUTVUGH1 which was isolated from root nodules of genotype Apagbaala from Nyankpala in Ghana, the other three isolates (TUTVUSA30, TUTVUSA31 and TUTVUSA32) in Cluster F were respectively obtained from genotypes IT90K-277-2, Omandaw and Padi-tuya,
| Isolates  | Country | location | Cluster (≥70%) | Major Cluster | Genotype     | Growth | Size (mm) | shape | colour | Appearance |
|-----------|---------|----------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|------------|
| TUTVUGH9  | Ghana   | Damongo  | 1              |               |              | 1      | 5         | round | watery | Translucent |
| TUTVUGH20 | Ghana   | Garu     | 2              |               |              | 1      | 5         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA44 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 3             |               |              | 1      | 9         | Round | Watery | Translucent |
| TUTVUGH25 | Ghana   | Garu     | 4              |               |              | 1      | 6         | Round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH16 | Ghana   | Damongo  | 5              |               |              | 1      | 5         | round | Watery | Translucent |
| TUTVUGH17 | Ghana   | Damongo  | 6              |               |              | 1      | 5         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH11 | Ghana   | Damongo  | 7              |               |              | 1      | 5         | round | Watery | Translucent |
| TUTVUGH15 | Ghana   | Damongo  | 7              |               |              | 1      | 5         | round | Watery | Transparent |
| TUTVUGH18 | Ghana   | Garu     | 5              |               |              | 1      | 5         | round | Watery | Translucent |
| TUTVUSA51 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 11             |               |              | 1      | 7         | Oval   | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA53 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 12             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA54 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 13             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA55 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 14             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH10 | Ghana   | Damongo  | 15             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH14 | Ghana   | Damongo  | 15             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH21 | Ghana   | Garu     | 17             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH22 | Ghana   | Garu     | 17             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA50 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 18             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA56 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 19             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA34 | South Africa | Nelspruit  | 20             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA36 | South Africa | Nelspruit  | 20             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA33 | South Africa | Nelspruit  | 21             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA35 | South Africa | Nelspruit  | 22             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA47 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 23             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA48 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 24             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH5  | Ghana   | Nyankpala| 25             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH19 | Ghana   | Garu     | 26             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH23 | Ghana   | Garu     | 27             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA37 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 28             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA43 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 29             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA46 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 30             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA40 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 31             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA42 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 31             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH26 | Ghana   | Garu     | 32             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA49 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 33             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA38 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 34             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA41 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 35             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA45 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 36             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH27 | Ghana   | Garu     | 37             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA28 | South Africa | Nelspruit  | 38             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH12 | Ghana   | Nyankpala| 39             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH13 | Ghana   | Nyankpala| 39             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH4  | Ghana   | Nyankpala| 40             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA57 | South Africa | Klipplaatdrift | 41             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA31 | South Africa | Nelspruit  | 42             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA32 | South Africa | Nelspruit  | 43             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUGH4  | Ghana   | Nyankpala| 44             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |
| TUTVUSA30 | South Africa | Nelspruit  | 45             |               |              | 1      | 6         | round | Cream  | Opaque     |

Table 1. Geographical origin (country and location), host genotype and morphological description (size, shape, colour and appearance/opacity) of cowpea isolates used in this study. Growth refers to the number of days taken for colonies to appear on yeast mannitol agar plates.
planted at Nelspruit, South Africa (Fig. 1; Table 1). There was a general tendency for isolates within each cluster to group in close proximity based on their locations of origin (Table 1). In this study, however, cowpea genotypes did not influence isolate groupings since most clusters contained isolates from different cowpea genotypes (Table 1).

Figure 1. Genomic fingerprints of 54 cowpea microsymbionts from Ghana and South Africa. Bold alphabets indicate major clusters. Isolates having distinct Box-PCR profiles at a cut-off point of 70% similarity are indicated by means of Arabic numerals. Where consecutive isolates exhibit distinct PCR profiles, the numbering is skipped and continued at the next group of isolates. The PCR-amplified products were electrophoresed in 1.2% agarose gel (20 × 15 cm gel size) for 6 h at 85 volt. The sizes of bands were determined using the Image Lab software (Bio-Rad version 4.1). All bands were used for cluster analysis with the UPGMA (Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic mean) algorithm using the software Bionumerics 7.6. Gel images are supplied in the supplementary file.
Phylogeny of cowpea isolates based on 16S rRNA analysis. The amplification of 16S rRNA region of bacterial genome yielded approximately 1500 bp length bands for each test isolate. Sequences of 16S rRNA gene obtained from isolates selected from each cluster of BOX-PCR showed 121 variables and 21 parsimony-informative regions (Table S1). The 16S rRNA gene-based phylogeny assigned all the selected isolates to the genus Bradyrhizobium (Fig. 2), and placed them in four groups (Group I - IV) within the phylogenetic tree. In Group I, isolates TUTVUGH2, TUTVUGH6, TUTVUGH9, TUTVUGH17, TUTVUGH22, and TUTVUGH23 obtained from Ghana and TUTVUSA31 and TUTVUSA33 from South Africa shared 99.8–100% sequence similarity and 55% bootstrap support with B. elkanii and B. pachyrhizi, while isolates TUTVUGH18 and TUTVUGH24 which shared 95.2% sequence similarity with each other, clustered together and formed an outgroup within Group I (Fig. 2). In contrast, isolate TUTVUSA28 clustered with B. daqingense and B. americanum in Group II with 100% sequence homology and 63% bootstrap support. In Group III, however, isolates TUTVUGH10 from Ghana and TUTVUSA41, TUTVUSA43, TUTVUSA45, TUTVUSA48 and TUTVUSA50 from South Africa grouped with B. kavangense, B. subterraneum, B. yuanmingense and B. centrolobii with 99.2–100% sequence identity. In Group IV, isolate TUTVUGH25 from Ghana formed a separate cluster with B. vignae and shared 99.4% sequence identity and 94% bootstrap support (Fig. 2).

Phylogeny based on protein-coding genes (atpD, glnII, gyrB and rpoB). PCR-amplification of atpD, glnII, gyrB and rpoB genes which are respectively responsible for coding ATP synthase subunit beta, glutamine synthetase II, DNA gyrase subunit B and RNA polymerase subunit B yielded 600 bp, 650 bp, 600 bp and 550 bp band lengths. The sequence analysis of these individual genes respectively revealed 153, 189, 350, and 148 variable and 57, 151, 173, and 91 parsimony-informative regions for atpD, glnII, gyrB, and rpoB (Table S1). For a few instances the phylogenies based on individual housekeeping genes were consistent with each other and congruent with the 16S rRNA analysis (Fig. 2; Figs S1–S4). For example, although isolate TUTVUGH2 clustered with B. elkanii in the 16S rRNA and glnII phylogenies with sequence identity of 100% and 97.6%, respectively, the same isolate stood alone in the gyrB gene phylogeny and clustered with B. viridifuturi in the rpoB phylogeny with 97.8% sequence identity (Fig. 2; Figs S1–S4).

Concatenated sequence and phylogenetic analysis. Due to inconsistencies in the number of gene sequences obtained for the test isolates, two concatenated sequences and phylogenetic analyses were done. The variations in number of sequences obtained were due to failure to amplify the gene in some isolates, as well as to poor quality of sequences obtained in some instances. Two phylogenetic trees were constructed based on concatenated sequences of atpD-glnII-gyrB-rpoB genes for 11 isolates, and atpD-glnII-rpoB for 14 isolates (Figs 3 and 4). The phylogenies obtained for concatenated sequences of four (Fig. 3) or three (Fig. 4) housekeeping genes were congruent to each other and to phylogenograms based on individual gene sequences. The phylogenies obtained from concatenated sequences of housekeeping genes placed cowpea isolates TUTVUSA41, TUTVUSA43, TUTVUSA45 and TUTVUSA48 together (Group I) in the atpD-glnII-gyrB-rpoB phylogram (100% bootstrap support and 98.3–99.8% sequence similarity), and also clustered them with isolate TUTVUSA50 in the atpD-glnII-rpoB phylogram (99% bootstrap support and 98.0–99.8% sequence identity; see Group II) but stood separately without any reference Bradyrhizobium strains (Figs 3 and 4). Although isolates TUTVUSA41, TUTVUSA43, TUTVUSA45, TUTVUSA48 and TUTVUSA50 showed closer relationship with B. kavangense, B. subterraneum, B. yuanmingense and B. centrolobii (99.2–100% sequence identity) in the 16S rRNA phylogeny (Fig. 2), these same isolates were distantly related to both type strains in the atpD, glnII, gyrB and rpoB phylogenies (Figs S1–S4). However, they shared sequence similarities of 95.1–95.2% with B. daqingense (the closest type strain) in the atpD-glnII-gyrB-rpoB phylogram (Figs 3), and 94.5–95.7% with B. daqingense and B. arachidis in the atpD-glnII-rpoB concatenated phylogenies (Figs S1–S4). Furthermore, cowpea isolates TUTVUGH6, TUTVUGH17, TUTVUGH18, TUTVUGH22, TUTVUGH24 from Ghana and TUTVUSA36 and TUTVUSA44 from South Africa formed Group III in the atpD-glnII-rpoB phylogram. Within this cluster (Group III), the isolates from Ghana stood alone and shared 96.0–96.1% sequence similarity with B. embраУnense SEMIA 6208T with 100% bootstrap support while those from South Africa (i.e. TUTVUGH6 and TUTVUGH44) shared 97.9% sequence similarity with B. pachyrhizi PAC 48T with 79% bootstrap support (Figs 3 and 4). Isolate TUTVUSA28 grouped with reference strain B. daqingense CCBAU 15774T in the atpD-glnII-gyrB-rpoB and atpD-glnII-rpoB phylogenies, with sequence similarities of 98.3% and 98.5%, and bootstrap supports of 100% and 99%, respectively (Figs 3 and 4). Although isolate TUTVUGH25 grouped with B. vignae in the 16S rRNA, glnII and rpoB phylogenies with 99.4%, 99.3% and 95.3% sequence identities, respectively, the isolate also grouped with B. subterraneum in the gyrB phylogram and in the concatenated atpD-glnII-gyrB-rpoB phylogeny with 95.8% and 95.7% sequence similarities, respectively, due to the absence of B. vignae in these two phylogenies.

Phylogeny based on symbiotic (nifH and nodC) genes. Phylogenetic analysis of nifH and nodC genes assigned all the test cowpea isolates to distinct clusters within the Bradyrhizobium genus (Figs 5 and 6). The phylogenies from analysis of both symbiotic genes (nifH and nodC) were congruent with individual housekeeping genes, and with phylogenies based on concatenated gene sequences. Isolates TUTVUSA41, TUTVUSA43, TUTVUSA45, TUTVUSA48 and TUTVUSA50 clustered together and shared 99.0% sequence homology with B. subterraneum 58-2-1T and 59% bootstrap support in Group I of the nifH phylogeny (Fig. 5). These same isolates (except for TUTVUSA48 which showed poor sequence analysis), again grouped together in the nodC phylogeny with 99.4–100% sequence similarity and 100% bootstrap support (Fig. 6). Within the same Group I in the nifH phylogram, isolates TUTVUGH4 and TUTVUGH25 were separately grouped, and showed close relationship with B. arachidis and B. vignae with 98.5% and 100% sequence similarities respectively with the type strains. Isolates TUTVUSA31, TUTVUSA33, TUTVUSA36 and TUTVUSA44 also clustered together and stood separately from the reference type strains in the nifH phylogram but shared 94.5–95.5% sequence similarity with B. pachyrhizi PAC 48T and B. shewense ERR111 in Group II (Fig. 5). Furthermore, isolates TUTVUGH17,
TUTVUGH18, TUTVUGH22 and TUTVUGH24 clustered together in Group III of the nifH phylogeny and shared 96.5% sequence similarity with B. tropiciagri with 98% bootstrap support (Fig. 5). But within the same Group III, isolate TUTVUGH2 showed a distinctly closer relationship with B. embrapense SEMIA 6208 and B. viridifuturi SEMIA 690 with 99% sequence similarity and 60% bootstrap support (Fig. 5). With the nodC

Figure 2. Maximum likelihood molecular phylogenetic analysis of cowpea nodulating rhizobia from Ghana and South Africa based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Kimura 2-parameter model. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The analysis involved 67 nucleotide sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7.
Figure 3. Maximum likelihood phylogeny of cowpea nodulating rhizobia from Ghana and South Africa based on concatenated sequences of atpD-glnII-gyrB-rpoB genes. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Kimura 2-parameter model\(^5\). The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The analysis involved 40 nucleotide sequences. We used only those strains which were present in all test gene phylogenies. Codon positions included were 1st + 2nd + 3rd + Noncoding. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 1523 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7\(^4\).

phylogeny, however, isolates TUTVUGH2, TUTVUGH4 as well as TUTVUGH6, TUTVUGH17, TUTVUGH18, TUTVUGH22 and TUTVUGH24 grouped together (Group I) and shared 96.8–97.9% sequence similarity with Bradyrhizobium embrapense SEMIA 6208\(^5\) belonging to the symbiovar tropici, the closest reference type strain
Figure 4. Maximum likelihood phylogeny of cowpea nodulating rhizobia from Ghana and South Africa based on concatenated sequences of atpD-glnII-rpoB genes. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Kimura 2-parameter model. Bootstrap values > 50% are given at the branching nodes. The percentage of 1000 replicates calculated under distance criteria in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches. A discrete Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The analysis involved 46 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st + 2nd + 3rd + Noncoding. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 1027 positions in the final dataset. Sequences were aligned using Clustal W and evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6.
(Fig. 6). On the other hand, isolates TUTVUGH25 and TUTVUSA44 formed outgroups of isolates in Group II in the nodC phylogeny, but respectively shared 80.7% and 79.6% sequence homology with B. embrapense SEMIA 6208\(^{T}\), the closest type strain (Fig. 6).
Gas-exchange parameters/symbiotic effectiveness of nodule isolates. Inoculating cowpea with the test isolates resulted in variable ($p \leq 0.001$) photosynthetic rates, leaf transpiration and total chlorophyll. The commercial *Bradyrhizobium* strain CB756 induced the highest photosynthetic rates (22.3 $\mu$mol ms$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$).

Figure 6. Maximum likelihood molecular phylogenetic analysis of cowpea nodulating rhizobia from Ghana and South Africa with type *Bradyrhizobium* strains based on nodC gene sequences. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Kimura 2-parameter model. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The analysis involved 51 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st + 2nd + 3rd + Noncoding. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7.
Table 2. Nodulation (nodule number and nodule dry matter), shoot dry matter, photosynthesis (A), stomatal conductance (gs), transpiration (E), leaf total chlorophyll (Total Chl.) and relative effectiveness (RE) induced by indigenous rhizobial isolates of cowpea relative to inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* strain CB756 or 5 mM KNO₃ feeding. Values (means ± SE; n = 4) with dissimilar letters in a column are significantly different at p < 0.001 (**). NA = Not applicable; RE was calculated as (x/y) * 100 for only the inoculated treatments. Where: x = shoot DM of inoculated plants and y = shoot DM of 5 mM KNO₃-fed plants.

| Treatment | Nodule No. | Nodule DM | Shoot DM | A | gs | E | Total Chl. | RE |
|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|---|----|---|-----------|----|
| TUTVUGH2  | 59 ± 5.4c-f | 65.1 ± 10.3h | 1.01 ± 0.09k | 16.7 ± 1.01gh | 0.31 ± 0.04b-f | 7.1 ± 0.48df | 1.30 ± 0.06gh | 45 ± 3.8k |
| TUTVUGH4  | 47 ± 4.9h  | 80.1 ± 10.5gh | 1.71 ± 0.27bhi | 19.7 ± 0.82-e | 0.43 ± 0.09a  | 9.1 ± 0.02a  | 1.34 ± 0.14gj | 76 ± 12.1hj |
| TUTVUGH17 | 65 ± 8.2b-e | 120.2 ± 8.1c-f | 2.21 ± 0.49gh | 18.6 ± 1.04dg | 0.30 ± 0.02c-f | 7.8 ± 0.17cde | 1.56 ± 0.15tg | 99 ± 21.7gh |
| TUTVUGH18 | 74 ± 4.3b-cd| 154.4 ± 10.5abc| 3.32 ± 0.05abc | 20.8 ± 0.92abc | 0.43 ± 0.09a  | 8.9 ± 0.00abc | 2.21 ± 0.08abc | 148 ± 2.4abc |
| TUTVUGH22 | 53 ± 7.0d-g | 108.7 ± 19.1d-g | 2.55 ± 0.11def | 16.3 ± 0.00h | 0.19 ± 0.00hi | 5.7 ± 0.00h | 1.89 ± 0.01cd | 114 ± 5.1def |
| TUTVUGH24 | 62 ± 8.7cde| 89.5 ± 16.06gh | 1.74 ± 0.31bhi | 19.9 ± 0.35bc | 0.39 ± 0.04ab | 8.4 ± 0.38-a | 1.47 ± 0.14gh | 78 ± 13.6hij |
| TUTVUGH25 | 66 ± 2.1b-e | 92.2 ± 17.0e | 2.35 ± 0.04efg | 18.2 ± 0.01h | 0.23 ± 0.03gh | 6.3 ± 0.59gh | 2.42 ± 0.16a | 105 ± 1.9efg |
| TUTVUSA28 | 34 ± 2.5fgh | 128.2 ± 2.3c-e | 2.37 ± 0.05efg | 21.5 ± 0.60ab | 0.30 ± 0.02c-f | 8.8 ± 0.30abc | 1.46 ± 0.05gj | 106 ± 2.2efg |
| TUTVUSA31 | 35 ± 6.3gh | 70.9 ± 7.4gh | 1.17 ± 0.07jk | 18.4 ± 0.79g | 0.33 ± 0.04bdc | 8.0 ± 0.81bdc | 1.11 ± 0.06gh | 52 ± 3.0jk |
| TUTVUSA34 | 83 ± 8.2b-c | 159.7 ± 9.0abc | 3.10 ± 0.29bc | 20.1 ± 0.01bced | 0.26 ± 0.00-e-h | 8.6 ± 0.01a | 2.06 ± 0.02bdc | 138 ± 13.0bced |
| TUTVUSA36 | 75 ± 5.0bcd | 105.3 ± 12.2d-h | 2.81 ± 0.30c-f | 19.0 ± 1.41c-f | 0.33 ± 0.02b-e | 9.0 ± 0.38ab | 2.27 ± 0.04ab | 125 ± 13.5c-f |
| TUTVUSA41 | 125 ± 12.1a | 143.3 ± 3.4a | 3.71 ± 0.04a | 16.2 ± 0.01h | 0.25 ± 0.03gh | 5.9 ± 0.47gh | 2.02 ± 0.01bdc | 166 ± 1.7a |
| TUTVUSA43 | 23 ± 4.9h  | 86.9 ± 25.2fgh | 2.44 ± 0.01ef | 12.4 ± 0.08 | 0.15 ± 0.00r | 4.3 ± 0.02r | 1.68 ± 0.10ef | 109 ± 0.5ef |
| TUTVUSA44 | 88 ± 0.8b  | 136.5 ± 3.3a-d | 2.89 ± 0.11cde | 19.6 ± 0.45b-e | 0.32 ± 0.02b-e | 6.4 ± 0.21gh | 2.29 ± 0.08ab | 129 ± 5.1cde |
| TUTVUSA45 | 33 ± 3.7gh | 74.9 ± 4.9gh | 1.81 ± 0.09ghj | 18.2 ± 0.47d-h | 0.35 ± 0.04bce | 6.8 ± 0.47efg | 2.01 ± 0.05bdc | 81 ± 4.2gh |
| TUTVUSA48 | 74 ± 16.3bcd | 74.6 ± 11.9gh | 1.49 ± 0.07ijk | 17.7 ± 0.10e-h | 0.34 ± 0.01bce | 6.6 ± 0.15gh | 2.08 ± 0.09bc | 66 ± 3.1ijk |
| TUTVUSA50 | 114 ± 14.0a| 170.1 ± 3.36a | 3.57 ± 0.20ab | 17.1 ± 0.19ghj | 0.26 ± 0.01d-g | 6.9 ± 0.05efg | 1.71 ± 0.01bde | 159 ± 9.0ab |

**Bradyrhizobium** CB756

59 ± 11.7c-g | 72.2 ± 8.1gh | 2.78 ± 0.17c-f | 22.3 ± 0.00a | 0.35 ± 0.08bce | 6.5 ± 0.08gh | 1.97 ± 0.19-e | 124 ± 7.8-c-f |

5 mM KNO₃

| F statistics | 11.2*** | 8.02*** | 16.2*** | 31.5*** | 16.2*** | 25.2*** | 22.0*** | 16.4*** |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| cv (%)       | 24.6    | 22.5    | 16      | 6.9     | 15.5    | 9.7     | 11.4    | 16.3    |

Soil influence on bradyrhizobial distribution. CCA analysis was used to correlate bradyrhizobial distribution with environmental variables such as the concentrations of micro- and macronutrients (namely, B, Fe, Mn, Ca, Mg, Na, N, P, K, and soil pH) in soils (Fig. 7). A CCA ordination graph was constructed with only those variables which showed significant influence on bradyrhizobial distribution (p < 0.001 at permutation 999). In the CCA plot, the total mean square contingency coefficient (inertia) was 13.16, of which 10% was constrained (explainable) and 90% was unconstrained (unexplainable). Only 6.2% of the variation was explained by both CCA1 and CCA2 axes. As shown in the CCA plot, the concentrations of Mn, Fe and N in soils showed stronger correlations with the first canonical axis (CCA1), although the effect of N was in the opposite direction (Fig. 7). Similarly, soil B and Na showed significant correlations with the second canonical axis (CCA2), although in opposite directions. Nevertheless, both B and Na also contributed to determine the first canonical axis but to a lower extent compared to Mn, N and Fe (Fig. 7). On the other hand, the concentrations of Mg and Ca in soils showed slight correlations with the CCA1 axis. However, soil Ca and Na contents were orthogonal to each other (Fig. 7). The CCA plot revealed that, the concentration of N, Mg, Ca, Mn and Fe exerted a stronger influence on the genomic variations among the bradyrhizobial isolates with the CCA1, followed by B, P and Na with the CCA2 axis. Among the test locations, the isolates from Nyankpala in Ghana were closely related to soil Mn. On the other hand, the isolates obtained from Kliplaadrit in South Africa were highly influenced by increasing soil N, Mg, Ca and P while those from Nelspruit were influenced by the concentration of Na. Furthermore, the concentration of B in soils showed a strong influence on the isolates obtained from Garu and Damongo both in Ghana (Fig. 7).
Phylogenetic and functional correlations. The correlations performed between genetic distances obtained from the phylogenies of concatenated housekeeping genes and nifH genes and soil chemical properties or symbiotic parameters were significant ($p < 0.05$). The results showed that concatenated housekeeping gene phylogeny and soil chemical properties were highly correlated ($r = 0.75, p = 0.01$) (Fig. 8a). The relationship between genetic distances of both concatenated housekeeping genes and nifH gene phylogenies versus the genetic distance of symbiotic parameters was assessed and found to be significant ($r = -0.36, p = 0.04; r = -0.54, p = 0.03$, respectively), though negatively (Fig. 8b,c).

Discussion

The isolation and subsequent symbiotic characterization of naturally adapted rhizobial symbionts of legumes constitute the first step to strain identification for inoculant production$^{30}$. This study assessed the genetic diversity and phylogeny of rhizobial symbionts of cowpea from different locations in Ghana and South Africa, using rep-PCR (Box-PCR) fingerprinting and multilocus sequence analysis. The Box-PCR profiles revealed high genetic diversity among the native bacterial symbionts nodulating cowpea in its region of origin in Africa (Fig. 1). Isolates from Klipplaardrift in South Africa had the most diverse population, and occupied five major clusters (Figs 1 and 9). These results support a previous report which showed high genetic variation among bacterial symbionts nodulating cowpea in Botswana, Ghana and South Africa, with South African soils harbouring more diverse rhizobial populations$^{31}$. Except for isolate TUTVUSA45 which stood alone in the dendrogram (Fig. 1), the remaining six major clusters identified in this study contained isolates from different locations in the two countries studied (Fig. 1; Table 1). There was however a general tendency for isolates within a cluster to group closely to each other based on their country of origin. The observed geographic distribution of the isolates in this study has been reported for cowpea-nodulating microsymbions in Senegal, Greece and Mozambique$^{10,13,23}$. Although the cowpea genotypes had no marked effect on the clustering of rhizobial isolates in this study (Table 1), they nevertheless influenced the diversity of nodule occupants. And this could be due to differences in the profile of nod gene-inducers present in seed and root exudates which differentially shaped the rhizobial microbiome in the rhizosphere and hence nodule occupancy$^{31}$.

To infer the phylogenetic positions of cowpea isolates, 16S rRNA, protein-coding housekeeping (atpD, glnII, gyrB and rpoB) and symbiotic (nifH and nodC) genes were sequenced and analysed. As found in other studies of microsymbions nodulating cowpea in Africa$^{10,13,28}$, the isolates in this study clustered with B. elkanii, B. daqingense, B. kavangense, B. subterraneum, B. yuanmingense and B. vignae from sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene (Fig. 2). Despite the success of Box-PCR fingerprinting in determining genetic differences among cowpea isolates in this study, the approach was incongruent with the 16S rRNA-based phylogeny, a finding reported by Menna et al.$^{32}$. However, the topologies of the phylogenoms constructed from the concatenated sequences of atpD-glnII-gyrB-rpoB and atpD-glnII-rpoB genes were consistent, and congruent with the 16S rRNA-based phylogram, thus allowing for a clearer delineation of the phylogenetic positions of the isolates used in this study. For example, isolates TUTVUSA41, TUTVUSA43, TUTVUSA45 and TUTVUSA48 grouped together in the single atpD-glnII, gyrB and rpoB gene phylogenies (Figs S1–S4). Isolates TUTVUGH17, TUTVUGH18, TUTVUGH24 from Ghana as well as TUTVUSA36 and TUTVUSA44 from South Africa similarly formed separate clusters
within a major cluster in all the single gene phylogenies, as well as in the concatenated phylograms (Figs 3 and 4; Figs S1–S4). Isolates TUTVUSA41, TUTVUSA43, TUTVUSA45 and TUTVUSA48 from South Africa were tightly grouped in the two concatenated trees (Figs 3 and 4), and away from any reference type strains, despite being closer to B. kavangense, B. subterraneum, B. centrolobii and B. yuanmingense with 99.2–100% sequence identity in the 16S rRNA phylogeny. Although this could suggest that they are novel strains, a recent report by Helene et al.33 showed that high 16S rRNA sequence identity per se does not confirm isolate delineation with reference type strains. But isolates TUTVUSA36 and TUTVUSA44 were closely related to B. pachyrhizi PAC48 \(^{\text{T}}\) (which was originally isolated from Pachyrhizus erosus in Costa Rica) with 97.9–98.3% sequence identity in the concatenated gene phylogenies\(^{34}\). These findings are consistent with previous reports which showed that B. pachyrhizi is associated with cowpea nodulation in the soils of Angola and Mozambique\(^{13,20}\). Isolates TUTVUGH17, TUTVUGH18, TUTVUGH24, TUTVUGH22 and TUTVUGH6 (all from Ghana) clustered with the B. elkanii group in the 16S rRNA phylogeny, but not closely with any known species in the other phylograms, suggesting that they could be novel species of Bradyrhizobium. Furthermore, our results also showed that isolate TUTVUSA28 consistently clustered in all phylogenies with B. daqingense, a strain originally isolated from soybean nodules in China and subsequently found to induce effective nodulation on cowpea\(^{35}\). The presence of B. daqingense in African soils could be caused by its introduction with soybean seeds from China, the country of origin of the crop\(^{36}\). But TUTVUGH25 was closely related to B. vignae which was initially isolated from cowpea in Namibia\(^{37}\). Interestingly, isolates TUTVUSA41, TUTVUSA43, TUTVUSA45, TUTVUSA48 in the concatenated atpD-glnII-gyrB-rpoB phylogeny, together with TUTVUSA50 in the atpD-glnII-rpoB phylogeny (see Group III) could be novel cowpea-nodulating symbionts since they shared low sequence homology (94.5–95.7%) with their closest related reference type strains in those phylograms (Figs 3 and 4).

Figure 8. Correlation and regression analysis between genetic distances (GD) of isolates based on (a) soil chemical properties and concatenated sequences of atpD + glnII + gyrB + rpoB, (b) symbiotic parameters and concatenated sequences of atpD + glnII + gyrB + rpoB and (c) symbiotic parameters and nifH gene sequences. The genetic distances based on gene sequences were generated using Kimura 2-parameter matrices in MEGA7 software\(^{49}\), while the genetic distances based on soil chemical properties and symbiotic parameters were generated using NTSYSpc software, version 2.21\(^{52}\).
The nifH and nodC phylogenies of cowpea isolates in this study were consistent with each other, and congruent with the 16S rRNA-based phylogeny which suggests coevolution of these symbiotic genes. Isolates TUTVUGH17, TUTVUGH18, TUTVUGH22 and TUTVUGH24 which shared 98.9–100% sequence identity in the symbiotic gene phylogenies grouped together and stood alone, but shared 96.5–97.5% sequence homology with B. tropici and B. emmepense, which is an indication that they might represent novel species of the symbiovar tropici. Nevertheless, cowpea is a promiscuous host, and was recently reported to nodulate with different symbiovars in Africa and Europe. Furthermore, the congruency of isolates TUTVUSA41, TUTVUSA43, TUTVUSA48 and TUTVUSA50 from South Africa and isolates TUTVUGH17, TUTVUGH18, TUTVUGH22 and TUTVUGH24 from Ghana in all the phylogenetic trees could suggest the maintenance of symbiotic genes through vertical gene transfer. Also, the close relationship of isolates TUTVUSA33 and TUTVUSA36 with B. pachyrhizi and the presence of many novel Bradyrhizobium species could be due to the unique edapho-climatic conditions of the study sites in Africa. Species of B. pachyrhizi bacteria had previously been isolated from cowpea in Mexico and Greece where the climates are warm and the soils acidic, as found at the study sites in Africa. A previous study demonstrated the effect of soil factors on the survival, persistence and diversity of rhizobia in soils, which in turn influenced plant nodulation and symbiotic N fixation. In this study, soil mineral nutrients were found to influence the diversity of bradyrhizobial populations in South African and Ghanaian soils. For example, the South African isolates were highly influenced by the concentrations of N, P and Na in the soil. In the phylogenetic tree, those isolates (i.e. TUTVUSA41, TUTVUSA43, TUTVUSA45, TUTVUSA48 and TUTVUSA50) from South Africa were also found to be closely related to B. subterraneum, B. kavangense, B. centrolobii and B. yuanmingense. However, in China, the distribution of B. yuanmingense was found to be affected by soil K, although other Bradyrhizobium species were also associated with soils with high P concentration. Han et al. also showed that rhizobial diversity was altered by soil phosphorus. In this study, the distribution of isolates from Ghana were strongly influenced by high soil B, Fe and Mn, suggesting the possible roles of these elements in the survival and functioning of microsymbionts in the Ghanaian environment.

Glasshouse evaluation of the cowpea isolates studied revealed marked differences in their symbiotic effectiveness as evidenced by the significantly large variations in plant nodulation, shoot biomass, leaf chlorophyll and photosynthetic rates induced by the bacterial isolates (Table 2). Cowpea nodulated by the test isolates showed markedly higher leaf chlorophyll which probably stimulated greater photosynthesis, stomatal conductance and transpiration rates when compared to the 5 mM KNO₃-fed plants. The C sink strength of the nodulated cowpea plants induced greater photosynthetic rates which in turn increased biomass accumulation and promoted plant growth relative to nitrate-feeding. The nitrate-fed plants recorded much lower chlorophyll content and leaf photosynthesis despite having similar shoot biomass as some inoculated plants, suggesting that N₂ fixation stimulated greater photosynthesis than nitrate-feeding. A recent report by Kaschuk et al. also found that inoculating...
soybean plants with effective Bradyrhizobium strains maintained leaf chlorophyll content, stimulated greater photosynthetic rates and delayed senescence over nitrate-fed plants. In this study, effective N₂ fixation in the nodulated cowpea plants therefore provided the needed N for chlorophyll and Rubisco biosynthesis, which resulted in enhanced photosynthetic rates⁴³.

Furthermore, a comparison of the phylogenetic and functional genetic distances between test isolates showed a clearly significant (p < 0.05) relationship. The positive correlation found between concatenated gene phylogeny and soil properties could be due to niche conservatism and mineral nutrition of the test isolates. The housekeeping genes used in this study are conserved in the isolates, which suggest that the phylogenetically-clustered isolates were similar in their environmental distribution. A significant but negative correlation was found when symbiotic parameters were plotted against nifH and concatenate genes phylogenies (Fig. 8b,c), indicating that functional similarity of isolates differed from their phylogenetic closeness.

Conclusion
Taken together, this study represents an important contribution to the literature about microsymbionts nodulating grain legumes in Africa, especially with the evidence provided here on the presence of high genetic diversity of cowpea microsymbionts in Ghanaian and South African soils. Phylogenetic studies of rhizobial isolates from cowpea planted in Ghana and South Africa revealed the presence of potentially novel groups of rhizobia in those environments that are still waiting to be properly identified. The significant correlation between phylogeny and functional genetic distances suggests possible impact of complex host-symbiont dynamics on the stability of the cowpea-Bradyrhizobium symbiosis.

Materials and Methods
Origin of cowpea root nodules. The nodules used in this study were collected from cowpea plants grown at four locations in the Northern Region (Guinea savanna agroecology) and one location in the Upper East Region (Sudano-sahelian agroecology) of Ghana, and others from two locations, Nelspruit and Klipplaatdrift, respectively, in the lowveld and middleveld areas of the Mpumalanga Province of South Africa (Table 3; Fig. 9). The soil chemical properties as well as geographic coordinates of the sampling sites are shown in Table 3. The nodules used in this study were harvested from either field-grown plants (F) or trapped in the glasshouse (G) using soils collected from the field (Table 3). To trap rhizobia directly in field soils, five cowpea genotypes (Apagbaala, Padi-tuya, Songotra, Omandaw and IT90K-277-2) were planted at Garu, and seven genotypes (Apagbaala, Bawutawuta, Nhyira, Padi-tuya, Songotra, Omandaw and IT90K-277-2) at Klipplaatdrift. Rhizobia in soils collected from Nyankpala, Damongo and Nelspruit were trapped in the glasshouse using three cowpea genotypes (Apagbaala, Songotra and IT90K-277-2).

Trapping rhizobia in the field. To trap soil rhizobia under field conditions, cowpea seeds were surface-sterilized in 95% ethanol (3–5 minutes), followed by immersion in NaOCl for 3 minutes, and rinsed 5 times with sterile distilled water. The sterilized seeds of each test cowpea genotype were sown in 3 × 2 m plots with three replications at Garu and Klipplaatdrift. After germination, weeds were controlled using hand hoes when necessary. At flowering (at 45 days after planting), 3 plants were dug out per plot and separated into shoots and nodulated roots, placed in pre-labelled brown paper bags and transported to the laboratory, where the roots were gently washed in running tap water to remove soil and adhering debris. Healthy nodules were detached with small root segments and dehydrated on silica gel prior to bacterial isolation.

Trapping rhizobia in the glasshouse. Where field-planting could not be carried out, soils were sampled from those locations (namely, Nyankpala, Damongo and Nelspruit) for trapping rhizobia under glasshouse conditions using three cowpea genotypes (Apagbaala, Songotra and IT90K-277-2) as host legumes. Two sterilized seeds of each genotype were planted in triplicate pots containing sterile (autoclaved) sand. Soil inocula were prepared by suspending 20 g of each soil sample in 1000 mL of sterile distilled water. Each pot was then inoculated with soil suspension from different locations. The plants were supplied with sterile (autoclaved) N-free nutrient

Table 3. Origin of cowpea isolates used in this study, and soil chemical properties of the sampling sites. Note: ⁺<sup>+</sup>Rhizobia trapped by direct planting of Kersting’s bean in the fields, ⁺<sup>+</sup>Rhizobia trapped in the glasshouse from sampled field soils.

| Country | Region/Province | Location | Latitude | Longitude | pH (H₂O) | B | C | Ca | Fe | K | Mg | Mn | Na | P | N |
|---------|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|---|---|----|----|---|----|---|---|---|---|
| Ghana   | Northern        | Nyankpala<sup>+</sup> | −2.06    | 5.9        | 0.27      | 0.40| 1.06| 59.15| 68  | 0.39| 79.22| 8  | 6  | 0.023 |
|         |                 | Damongo<sup>+</sup> | −1.814   | 4.3        | 0.23      | 0.31| 1.13| 53.73| 90  | 0.49| 54.96| 7  | 10 | 0.04  |
|         | Upper East      | Garu<sup>+</sup> | 0.125    | 5.3        | 0.25      | 0.55| 1.74| 40.32| 38  | 0.46| 39.09| 9  | 5  | 0.048 |

South Africa

| Mpumalanga | Klipplaatdrift<sup>+</sup> (Middleveld region) | −25.233 | 29.033 | 6.0 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 3.03 | 36.80 | 135 | 1.19 | 56.14 | 11 | 56 | 0.29 |
|            | Nelspruit<sup>+</sup> (Lowveld region) | −25.474 | 30.970 | 4.9 | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.74 | 38.16 | 43  | 0.38 | 39.90 | 12 | 32 | 0.029 |
solution, and when necessary, irrigated with sterile distilled water. At flowering, the plants were uprooted and nodules removed.

**Isolation of bacteria from nodules.** Bacterial isolation from cowpea root nodules was carried out, as described by Somasegaran and Hoben. Healthy and functional nodules were selected from each source for bacterial isolation. Briefly, the nodules were surface-sterilized by immersion in 75% ethanol for 1 minute, then washed in 3.5% NaOCl for 3 minutes, followed by rinsing five times with sterile distilled water. Each surface-sterilized nodule was then crushed in a loop of sterile distilled water in a sterile petri dish, and the nodule macerate streaked on yeast mannitol agar (YMA) plates, and incubated at 28°C. Colony appearance was observed from two to twelve days after incubation, and single colonies were selected and streaked onto YMA plates for further characterization.

**Bacterial authentication in the glasshouse.** In fulfilment of Koch's postulates, single-colony cultures were evaluated for their ability to form root nodules on their homologous host. Cowpea genotype Padi-tuya was used to test the nodulation ability of the bacterial isolates. Before planting, seeds were surface-sterilized and two seeds planted in sterile autoclaved sand contained in sterile pots in a naturally lit glasshouse. The seedlings were thinned to one plant per pot after germination and grown in average temperature of 28°C in the glasshouse. Four replicate pots were used for each isolate. Six-d-old seedlings were inoculated with 1 mL broth suspension of bacterial isolates. The inoculated seedlings were fed with sterile N-free nutrient solution and sterile distilled water in alternation. All the cowpea treated plants were harvested at 50 days after planting (DAP) and assessed for nodulation.

**Gas-exchange studies and symbiotic effectiveness.** To assess symbiotic effectiveness of the bacterial isolates, photosynthetic rates (A), stomatal conductance (gs) and leaf transpiration (E) were measured on young and fully expanded trifoliate leaves of each replicate plant at 50 DAP using portable infrared red gas analyzer, version 6.2 (LI 6400XT, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). The chamber conditions used included photosynthetic flux density of 1000 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, reference CO₂ concentration of 400 μmol mol⁻¹ and flow rate of 500 μmol s⁻¹. Gas-exchange measurements were carried out between 8:30 am and 12:30 pm. The same leaves used for gas-exchange measurements were plucked for chlorophyll determination. For each leaf sample, chlorophyll was extracted from 6 leaf discs (each with an area of 0.786 cm² and weighing ~19.3 mg) using preheated (65°C) dimethyl sulfoxide. The absorbance of leaf chlorophyll extracts was measured at 645 nm and 663 nm on a Jenway 7300 spectrophotometer and total chlorophyll calculated using the equations described by Richardson et al. The plants were then uprooted and assessed for nodule number (NN), nodule dry matter (NDM), and shoot dry matter (SDM) after oven-drying at 60°C for 72 h. The relative effectiveness of isolates (RE) was calculated as the shoot biomass of inoculated plants expressed as a percentage of the shoot biomass of the 5 mM KNO₃-fed cowpea plants.

**Extraction of bacterial genomic DNA and BOX-PCR fingerprinting.** Bacterial genomic DNA was extracted using Sigma's Bacterial Genomic DNA Kit following the manufacturer's instructions (GenEluteTM). DNA integrity was checked on 1% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide.

The bacterial genomic DNA was subjected to rep-PCR (BOX-PCR) using Box-A1R primer (Table S2). PCR amplification was performed in a Thermal cycler (T100 BIORAD, USA) using standard temperature profiles (Table S2). The PCR-amplified products were electrophoresed in 1.2% agarose gel for 6 h, visualized and photographed using gel documentation system (BIO-RAD Gel DocXR+). The sizes of bands were determined using the Image Lab software (Bio-Rad version 4.1). Cluster analysis was carried out with the UPGMA (Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic mean) algorithm using a trial version of the software Bionumerics 7.6 (with permission obtained from Bionumerics).

**PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA, housekeeping (atpD, glnII, gyrB, rpoB) and symbiotic (nifH and nodC) genes.** PCR amplification of 16S rRNA, protein-coding (atpD, glnII, gyrB, and rpoB) and symbiotic (nifH and nodC) genes were each done in 25 μL reaction volume. The reaction mixture contained 1 μL DNA (50–70 ng/μL), 3 μL of myTaq buffer (5x), 1 μL (10 μM) each of forward and reverse primers of the gene of interest, 0.1 μL Taq polymerase (5U) (Bioline, USA), and 18.9 μL double distilled ultrapure water. The PCR was carried out with Bio-Rad T100 thermal cycler using standard temperature profiles (Table S2). The amplified gene products were confirmed by gel electrophoresis in 1.2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide in TAE buffer at 85 V for 1 h.

**Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis.** For sequencing, amplified PCR products were purified using PCR Cleanup kit (NEB, USA) and following the manufacturer’s instruction. The purified amplified DNA was sent to Macrogen (Netherlands) for sequencing. Thereafter, the quality of sequences was verified using the software BioEdit 7.0.9.0. The BLASTn program was used to identify closely related species in the NCBI database. Pairwise and multiple sequence alignments were done with CLUSTALW, and phylogenetic trees constructed by means of the maximum likelihood statistical method using MEGA 7 software. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Kimura 2-parameter model. The robustness of branching was estimated using 1000 bootstrap replicates.
Phylogenetic and functional correlation. Quantitative data generated from pair-wise genetic distances obtained from gene phylogeny were compared with parameters of nodule functioning elicited by the cowpea isolates. The pair-wise genetic distances of isolates generated from concatenated genes (atpD-glnII+gyrB+rpoB) and nifH gene phylogenies were determined using Kimura 2-parameter matrices in MEGA7 software. The genetic distances of the same isolates based on soil chemical properties and symbiotic parameters (namely, nodule number, nodule dry matter, shoot dry matter, photosynthetic rate, leaf transpiration and total chlorophyll) were also determined using NTSSySc software, version 2.21. The relationship between genetic distances based on gene sequences, soil chemical properties and symbiotic parameters of isolates were explored using correlation and regression analysis by means of the software STATISTICA version 10.0.

Statistical analysis. The effect of soil factors on the distribution of cowpea-nodulating Bradyrhizobia was examined using canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) with vegan (version 2.4–2) of R software. Here, we determined which soil or environmental factor was frequently related to the distribution of the test cowpea-nodulating Bradyrhizobia. The graph analysis was done for only the soil factors that showed significant contribution. The general permutation test was used to assess the statistical significance of the ordination axes.

Quantitative data including nodule number, nodule DM, shoot DM, photosynthetic rate (A), leaf transpiration (E) and total chlorophyll were subjected to normality test by determining the skewness, kurtosis, mean and median values of each dataset (n = 72) using the Data Analysis component in Excel 2016. For each dataset, the mean ± median and the skewness and kurtosis values ranged between −1.51 to +0.75 and −0.9 to +0.44, respectively, which are within the range of values (±2) consistent with a normal distribution. The data were then subjected to a 1-way ANOVA using STATISTICA 10.0 program. Where there were significant treatment differences, the Duncan multiple range test was used to separate the means at p ≤ 0.05. Pair-wise genetic distances of concatenated and nifH gene sequences, as well as that generated from symbiotic and plant growth data of cowpea isolates were subjected to correlation analysis using STATISTICA 10.0 program.

Data Availability

Datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Nucleotide sequences have been deposited in NCBI GenBank (accession numbers MH339749 - MH339859).
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