Metabolic engineering of *Ustilago trichophora* TZ1 for improved malic acid production
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**A B S T R A C T**

*Ustilago trichophora* RK089 has been found recently as a good natural malic acid producer from glycerol. This strain has previously undergone adaptive laboratory evolution for enhanced substrate uptake rate resulting in the strain *U. trichophora* TZ1. Medium optimization and investigation of process parameters enabled titers and rates that are able to compete with those of organisms overexpressing major parts of the underlying metabolic pathways. Metabolic engineering can likely further increase the efficiency of malate production by this organism, provided that basic genetic tools and methods can be established for this rarely used and relatively obscure species.

Here we investigate and adapt existing molecular tools from *U. maydis* for use in *U. trichophora*. Selection markers from *U. maydis* that confer carboxylase, hygromycin, nourseothricin, and phosphine resistance are applicable in *U. trichophora*. A plasmid was constructed containing the ip-locus of *U. trichophora* RK089, resulting in site-specific integration into the genome. Using this plasmid, overexpression of pyruvate carboxylase, two malate dehydrogenases (*mdh1*, *mdh2*), and two malate transporters (*ssu1*, *ssu2*) was possible in *U. trichophora* TZ1 under control of the strong *Pstef* promoter. Overexpression of *mdh1*, *mdh2*, *ssu1*, and *ssu2* increased the product (malate) to substrate (glycerol) yield by up to 54% in shake flasks reaching a titer of up to 120 g L\(^{-1}\). In bioreactor cultivations of *U. trichophora* TZ1 *Pstef*-*ssu2* and *U. trichophora* TZ1 *Pstef*-*mdh2* a drastically lowered biomass formation and glycerol uptake rate resulted in 29% (*ssu1*) and 38% (*mdh2*) higher specific production rates and 38% (*ssu1*) and 46% (*mdh2*) increased yields compared to the reference strain *U. trichophora* TZ1. Investigation of the product spectrum resulted in an 87% closed carbon balance with 134 g L\(^{-1}\) malate and biomass (73 g L\(^{-1}\)), succinate (20 g L\(^{-1}\)), CO\(_2\) (7 g L\(^{-1}\)), and α-ketoglutarate (8 g L\(^{-1}\)) as main by-products.

These results open up a wide range of possibilities for further optimization, especially combinatorial metabolic engineering to increase the flux from pyruvate to malic acid and to reduce by-product formation.

1. Introduction

The biotechnological production of chemicals has gained great interest in the last decades. Strongly fluctuating oil prices, environmental pollution, and climate change, have driven the development of new sustainable microbial production processes (Goldberg et al., 2006). One promising group of chemicals are organic acids such as succinic, fumaric, citric, itaconic, and malic acid. As natural metabolites produced by many organisms, the production of these chemicals with a broad range of microbes has been investigated, including different Candida species (West, 2013), *Yarrowia lipolytica* (Liu et al., 2015), and *Aspergillus niger* (Xu et al., 1989) for citric acid, *A. terreus* (Klement and Büchs, 2013; Okabe et al., 2009; Steiger et al., 2013) and different Ustilago species (Geiser et al., 2016, 2014; Guevarra and Tabuchi, 1990a, 1990b; Klement et al., 2012) for itaconic acid, *Rhizopus oryzae* (Rhodes et al., 1962) and *Torulopsis glabrata* (Chen et al., 2013, 2015) for fumaric acid, *Y. lipolytica* (Yuzbashiev et al., 2011), *Lactobacillus* species (Kaneuchi et al., 1988) and *Actinobacillus succinogenes* (Guettler et al., 1999; Song and Lee, 2006) for succinic acid, and *Aspergillus* species (Knuf et al., 2013;...
Table 1

| Strain name                | Description                                                      | Reference          |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| RK089                      | Wildtype strain                                                  | (Kellner, 2011)    |
| TZI                        | RK089 adapted to glycerol by adaptive laboratory evolution       | (Zambanini et al., 2016c) |
| RK089 pSTMUT               | RK089 with genomic integration of pSTMUT; hygromycin resistant  | This study         |
| RK089 pNEBUC               | RK089 episomally expressing pNEBUC; carboxin resistant           | This study         |
| RK089 pNEBUN               | RK089 episomally expressing pNEBUN; nourseothricin resistant     | This study         |
| RK089 pNEBUP               | RK089 episomally expressing pNEBUP; phleomycin resistant         | This study         |
| TZI pUTr01                 | TZI with genomic integration of pUTr01; carboxin resistant       | This study         |
| TZI pETpmdh1               | TZI with genomic integration of pUTr01-Mdh1; carboxin resistant  | This study         |
| TZI pETpmdh2 (m)           | TZI with genomic integration of pUTr01-Mdh2 (m); carboxin resistant | This study         |
| TZI pETpmdh2 (c)           | TZI with genomic integration of pUTr01-Mdh2 (c); carboxin resistant | This study         |
| TZI pETpMDH               | TZI with genomic integration of pUTr01-Pyc; carboxin resistant   | This study         |
| TZI pETssu1               | TZI with genomic integration of pUTr01-Ssu1; carboxin resistant  | This study         |
| TZI pETssu2               | TZI with genomic integration of pUTr01-Ssu2; carboxin resistant  | This study         |

West, 2011) and Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Zelle et al., 2008) for malic acid. Many of these organisms underwent considerable metabolic engineering in order to establish efficient production of the desired chemical.

Metabolic engineering has great potential to improve microbial production processes (Choi et al., 2015). For malic acid production this has been demonstrated in different organisms. In S. cerevisiae combined overexpression of the native pyruvate carboxylase gene pyc2, an allele of the peroxisomal malate dehydrogenase gene mdh3, which had been targeted to the cytosol by deletion of the C-terminal targeting sequence, and expression of the Schizosaccharomyces pombe malate transporter gene mae1 resulted in a malic acid titer of 59 g L⁻¹ produced with a yield of 0.42 mol mol⁻¹ glycerol⁻¹ (Zelle et al., 2008). By overexpression of a native C4-dicarboxylate transporter, Brown et al. were able to improve the malic acid production rate of A. oryzae by more than two-fold (Brown et al., 2013). Combined overexpression of cytosolic pyruvate carboxylase and malate dehydrogenase increased the rate by an additional 27%. The final strain overexpressing all conversion and transport steps from pyruvate to extracellular malic acid reached a 2.6-fold increased titer of 154 g L⁻¹ produced at a rate of 0.94 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹ reaching a yield of 1.38 mol mol⁻¹ on glucose (Brown et al., 2013). This clearly demonstrates the importance of the reductive tricarboxylic acid (rTCA) pathway among the five identified possible microbial production pathways for malic acid (Zelle et al., 2008). This pathway starts with carboxylation of pyruvate to oxaloacetate by pyruvate carboxylase, which is followed by reduction to malate by malate dehydrogenase (Brown et al., 2013; Zelle et al., 2008). Also in other organisms, such as different Aspergillus species and R. oryzae, this pathway has been shown to be efficient in malic acid production (Bercovitz et al., 1990; Goldberg et al., 2006; Osmani and Scrutton, 1983; Peleg et al., 1988).

As can be seen from the examples above, the majority of microbial production processes still focus on glucose as substrate. However, different other substrates have gained increasing interest over the last decades. Recently, glycerol, as main low-value by-product of biodiesel production processes still focuses on glucose as substrate. However, attempts to develop alternative processes have been reported, such as the production of lipids (Saenge et al., 2011), polyols (Rzymowicz et al., 2009), and organic acids (Papanikolaou et al., 2003; Scholten et al., 2009). In 2016, we reported U. trichophora RK089 as promising production organism for malic acid from glycerol. Adaptive laboratory evolution resulted in strain U. trichophora TZI with a 6.6-fold increased production rate. After medium optimization a titer of nearly 200 g L⁻¹ was reached produced at a rate of 0.39 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Zambanini et al., 2016c). In bioreactors the production rate was further improved to a maximum of nearly 2 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹. However, the reached yield was only 31% of the theoretical maximum (Zambanini et al., 2016b), indicating considerable room for improvement. In this study we combine the already high production capability of this genetically unmodified strain with the possible positive effects of overexpressing rTCA pathway genes. However, molecular tools and methods, such as vectors, promoters and terminators for overexpression, applicable antibiotics with corresponding resistance cassettes, and transformation and screening protocols, were not available for this relatively obscure organism. Yet, these tools are known for the model Ustilaginaceae U. maydis (Geiser et al., 2013; Khrunyk et al., 2010; Schuster et al., 2016; Terfrüchte et al., 2014). Additionally, the genome of U. trichophora RK089 has recently been sequenced (Zambanini et al., 2016a), providing a key resource for genetic and metabolic engineering.

Here we report on the investigation, adaptation, and development of molecular tools and methods for U. trichophora and the use of these to overexpress native genes for a pyruvate carboxylase, two malate dehydrogenases (mitochondrial and cytoplasmic) and two malate transporters. With these modifications, we aimed to increase the flux from glycerol towards malate, to ultimately improve the product on substrate yield. The resulting strains were analyzed in shake flasks and the data were validated in bioreactors.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Strains and culture conditions

All strains used and generated in this study are listed in Table 1.

As standard medium, MTM was used as described previously (Zambanini et al., 2016c). As buffer, either 100 mM 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) or 100 g L⁻¹ CaCO₃ was used. Pharma grade glycerol was used for all experiments.

For drop tests, 5 µL of a YEP-grown overnight culture diluted to a starting OD600 of 1 were pipetted onto a YEP-plate containing different dilutions (1, 10⁻¹, 10⁻², 10⁻³) and incubated (7 days, 30 °C).

Screening experiments were performed in 24 deep well plates (Enzymescreen, System Duetz) with 1.5 mL MTM containing 100 g L⁻¹ CaCO₃ and 0.8g L⁻¹ glycerol incubated at 30 °C (relative air humidity =80%) shaking at 300 rpm (shaking diameter =50 mm).

Shake flask production experiments (10% filling volume) were conducted in MTM containing 200 g L⁻¹ glycerol and 0.8g L⁻¹ NH₄Cl shaking at 200 rpm as described previously (Zambanini et al., 2016c). Controlled batch cultivations were performed as described previously (Zambanini et al., 2016b). The pH was set to 6.5 and controlled automatically by 10 M NaOH. As medium, MTM containing 200 g L⁻¹ glycerol and either 3.2 g L⁻¹ NH₄Cl or 6.4 g L⁻¹ with doubled concentration of all other medium components was used.
2.2. Analytical methods

All shaken cultures were performed in triplicates. Bioreactor cultivations were performed in duplicates. Shown is the arithmetic mean of the replicates. Error bars and a values indicate deviation from the mean.

OD600 determination and HPLC analysis were performed as described previously (Zambanini et al., 2016c).

Fluorescence was measured in black FLUOTRACK 96-well microtiter plates (Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Frickenhausen, Germany) with a Synergy MXF Fluorescence Microplate Reader (BioTek Instruments Inc., Winooski, USA). An excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of 530 nm were used and the gain, set to 80.

Fluorescence microscopy was performed on a Leica DM6000 B fluorescence microscope (Wetzlar, Germany) using the fluo green filter at a magnification of 630 with an oil-immersion objective. An excitation wavelength of 499 nm and emission wavelength of 520 nm were used. Exposure time was set to 200 ms, gain to 10, and intensity to 4.3.

Extracellular lipids, such as mannosylerythritol lipid or usitlagic acid were analyzed by thin-layer chromatography as described previously (Geiser et al., 2014).

2.3. Cloning procedures

Standard cloning-related techniques were performed according to Sambrook et al. (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). The genome sequence of U. trichophora RK089 (accession number: LVYE01000000) was used as reference (Zambanini et al., 2016a). Genomic DNA from U. trichophora was isolated as described previously (Hoffman and Winston, 1987). All vectors used and generated in this study are listed in Table 2.

For overexpression all genes were cloned into pUTr01 by exchanging gfp. For this, the backbone pUTr01 was amplified via PCR using the primer pair pUMa43-octf-cbx-fw/pUMa43-octf-cbx-rv. The resulting fragment was digested using MluI and DpnI. All inserts were amplified via PCR using the primer pairs listed in Table 3.

The targeting sequences for mdh1 and mdh2 were analyzed using a combination of Signal-3 L and TargetP 1.1 (Shen and Chou, 2007).

All plasmids were assembled in Escherichia coli and correctness was confirmed by PCR, restriction digest and sequencing via Eurofins Scientific (Ebersberg, Germany).

For transformation of U. trichophora, protoplasts were prepared as described previously (Schulz et al., 1990; Tsukuda et al., 1988) or whole cell transformation was performed (Maassen, 2007).

The plasmid conferring site-specific integration and resistance to carboxin (cbx) in U. trichophora, pUTr01, was constructed by exchanging the cbx-resistant ip8-locus from U. maydis on the plasmid pUMa43 with the ip-locus from the genome of U. trichophora RK089. For this, the backbone pUMa43 was amplified via PCR with the primer pair pUMa43-dCBX-fw/pUMa43-dCBX-rev and the resulting fragment was self-circularized after digestion with Ascl to give plasmid pUMa43 Δip8. The U. trichophora specific ip-locus was identified based on comparison to the ip-locus from U. maydis 521. The sequence was point mutated to confer carboxin resistance (position 761–762: AC changed to TT) (Broomfield and Hargreaves, 1992; Keon et al., 1991) and ordered as ‘string’, linear synthetic DNA from Thermo Scientific (Waltham, USA). Additionally, an MfeI/Muni restriction site was added (position 437–438: TG changed to GT). Backbone and insert were assembled using the restriction enzymes Ascl and PacI giving plasmid pUTr01 (Fig. 1B).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Establishing tools and methods for genetic engineering of U. trichophora

Production rate and titer for the recently discovered natural malic acid producer U. trichophora RK089 have been improved drastically by adaptive laboratory evolution, medium optimization and process investigation, while the product yield was still low with only 31% of the theoretical maximum (Zambanini et al., 2016b, 2016c). In order to increase the yield of the resulting U. trichophora T71 by metabolic engineering, existing tools from the closely related U. maydis had to be investigated and adapted. Since antibiotics and the corresponding resistance cassettes are the basis of classic metabolic engineering, we performed a drop test on YEP plates containing different concentrations of carboxin (cbx), hygromycin (hyg), nourseothricin (nat), and phleomycin (phi).

Typical concentrations of these antibiotics applied to U. maydis are 1–4 mg L⁻¹ for cbx (Keon et al., 1991; Mahlert et al., 2006; Przybilla, 2014), 200–400 mg L⁻¹ for hyg (Brachmann et al., 2004; Keon et al., 1991; Mahlert et al., 2006; Przybilla, 2014; Tsukuda et al., 1988), 50–300 mg L⁻¹ for nat (Gold et al., 1994; Mahlert et al., 2006; Przybilla, 2014), and 50 mg L⁻¹ for phi (Gold et al., 1994). We tested concentrations in the range of 1–15 (cbx), 100–500 (hyg), 1–300 (nat), and 1–150 mg L⁻¹ (phi). Plates were assessed for growth every 24 h by visual inspection. The results for carboxin after 48 h of incubation are shown exemplarily in Fig. 1A. For U. trichophora no growth was observed after 48 h exceeding concentrations of 10 (cbx), 300 (hyg), 100 (nat), and 1 mg L⁻¹ (phi). In contrast to U. maydis, prolonged incubation (>72 h) resulted in growth of U. trichophora even at the highest tested concentrations for cbx, hyg, and phi. Only for nat no growth could be observed at concentrations exceeding 200 mg L⁻¹. Thus, after transformation, colonies should be picked after approximately 48 h of cultivation. To test whether transformation of U. trichophora is possible and the corresponding selection markers are functional, protoplasts were transformed with the episomally replicating plasmids pNEBUC (cbx resistance cassette), pNEBUN (nat resistance cassette), pNEBUP (phi resistance cassette), and genome-integrated pSMUT (hyg resistance cassette). Resulting colonies on selective medium plates with

| Plasmid name | Description | Reference |
|--------------|-------------|----------|
| pSMUT        | Ori CoE1; ampR; Psc; hph | (Böltler et al., 1995) |
| pNEBUC       | ip8-locus; ori CoE1; UARS; ampR | (Weinzierl, 2001) |
| pNEBUN       | natR; ori CoE1; UARS; ampR | (Weinzierl, 2001) |
| pNEBUP       | bleC; ori CoE1; UARS; ampR | (Weinzierl, 2001) |
| pUMa43       | P_strg gfp; Tcmarc; ori CoE1; ampR; U. maydis ip8-locus | (König, 2008) |
| pUTr01       | pUMa43 with the U. maydis ip8-locus exchanged for the ip8-locus from U. trichophora RK089; P_strg gfp | This study |
| pUTr01-Mdh1  | pUTr01 with gfp exchanged for Mdh1; P_strg mdh1 | This study |
| pUTr01-Mdh2 (m) | pUTr01 with gfp exchanged for Mdh2 (m); P_strg mdh2 (m) | This study |
| pUTr01-Mdh2 (c) | pUTr01 with gfp exchanged for Mdh2 (c); P_strg mdh2 (c) | This study |
| pUTr01-Pyc   | pUTr01 with gfp exchanged for Pyc; P_strg pyc | This study |
| pUTr01-Ssu1  | pUTr01 with gfp exchanged for Ssu1; P_strg ssu1 | This study |
| pUTr01-Ssu2  | pUTr01 with gfp exchanged for Ssu2; P_strg ssu2 | This study |
Table 3

| Primer                      | Sequence/description                                                                 |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| pUMa43-dCBX-fwd            | TTGGCGGCGCAATTAGGGCGGGCTTACCCTATTATGAAAAAGGAAGG                                    |
|                            | Amplification of ip-locus from *U. trichophora*                                       |
| pUMa43-dCBX-rev            | CTTGGCGGCGCAACTTAATTAAGGTGAAAAGGAAGG                                            |
|                            | Amplification of ip-locus from *U. trichophora*                                       |
| pUMa otef-chx-fw           | CGACCGGTCGATTTGCGGCCGTTTACCACGGCTCGACATGTC                                      |
|                            | Amplification of the backbone pUMa without gfp                                       |
| pUMa otef-chx-rv           | CGACCGGTCGATTTGCGGCCGTTTACCACGGCTCGACATGTC                                      |
|                            | Amplification of the backbone pUMa without gfp                                       |
| UT11161+sig_fwd            | GCAGGAATTCGACGATAGTAGTGACAGGCTACTGTGTATAC                                       |
|                            | Amplification of mdh1 from *U. trichophora*                                          |
| UT11161+sig_rev            | TGCAAGGCTTTAAAGGCTGATTTTGGAAGGCTACTGTGTATAC                                       |
|                            | Amplification of mdh1 from *U. trichophora*                                          |
| UT00403+sig_fwd            | GCAGGAATTCGACGATAGTAGTGACAGGCTACTGTGTATAC                                       |
|                            | Amplification of mdh2 (m) and mdh2 (c) from *U. trichophora*                       |
| UT00403+sig_rev            | TGCAAGGCTTTAAAGGCTGATTTTGGAAGGCTACTGTGTATAC                                       |
|                            | Amplification of mdh2 (m) from *U. trichophora*                                     |
| UT00403-sig_fwd            | GCAGGAATTCGACGATAGTAGTGACAGGCTACTGTGTATAC                                       |
|                            | Amplification of mdh2 (c) from *U. trichophora*                                     |
| UT_05271_fwd               | CGATCGACTAGTCGAGGCTGATTGCGTTTTGTATCATC                                            |
|                            | Amplification of ssu1 from *U. trichophora*                                          |
| UT_05271_rev               | GGGCGGAACTTTGCGGATTGCGTTTTGTATCATC                                               |
|                            | Amplification of ssu1 from *U. trichophora*                                          |
| UT_05764_fwd_II            | CGATCGACTAGTCGAGGCTGATTGCGTTTTGTATCATC                                            |
|                            | Amplification of ssu2 from *U. trichophora*                                          |
| UT_05764_rev_II            | GGGCGGAACTTTGCGGATTGCGTTTTGTATCATC                                               |
|                            | Amplification of ssu2 from *U. trichophora*                                          |
| UT01054_fwd                | TGCAGGAATTCGACCACGTGATTGCGTTTTGTATCATC                                            |
|                            | Amplification of pyc from *U. trichophora*                                           |
| UT01054_rev                | GATCGACTAGTCGAGGCTGATTGCGTTTTGTATCATC                                              |
|                            | Amplification of pyc from *U. trichophora*                                           |
| fwd-ampII                  | TGTGAGACTAGTCGAGGCTGATTGCGTTTTGTATCATC                                             |
|                            | Colony-PCR to test for integration into the *U. trichophora* genome                 |
| rev-ampII                  | TGTGAGACTAGTCGAGGCTGATTGCGTTTTGTATCATC                                             |
|                            | Colony-PCR to test for integration into the *U. trichophora* genome                 |

Fig. 1. Genetic tool development for *U. trichophora*. A: drop test of 5 µL *U. trichophora* RK089 culture with different dilutions (10⁰, 10⁻¹, 10⁻², 10⁻³) on YEP plates containing different concentrations of carboxin (1, 2, 3, 5, 7.5, 10, 15 mg L⁻¹). B: plasmid map for vector pUTr01. Petef: etef promoter; gfp: green fluorescent protein gene; Tnos: nos-terminator; ori ColE1: origin of replication in *E. coli*; bla: ampicillin resistance cassette; ip⁺ (UT): carboxin resistant ip-locus of *U. trichophora* C: fluorescence microscopic image of *U. trichophora* RK089 cells expressing pUTr01 after 24 h of cultivation in MTM medium.
the respective antibiotics were screened for different incubation times. Plasmid-isolation from protoplasts and re-transformation into *E. coli* followed by re-isolation resulted in the correct plasmid for pNEBUN, pNEBUP, and pSMUT. It should be noted that, for all three plasmid transformations the number of background colonies increased after 72 h of incubation, as it has already been observed earlier for *U. maydis* with nat (Gold et al., 1994). This also correlates with the observations from the drop test. In contrast to the other plasmids, for pNEBUC the background of colonies without the plasmid was already in low concentrations (Shockman and Lampen, 1962). Also antibiotics act as effectors for concentrations above 5 mg L\(^{-1}\), however, no colonies could be observed. Thus, instead of protoplasts transformation, whole cell transformation was performed, using 5, 10, and 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) cxr for selection. This transformation resulted in positive colonies for all concentrations. The discrepancy between transformation via protoplasts and whole cells is likely to result from sensitivity of protoplasts, which has been described previously for different organisms. Some antibiotics act as effective growth inhibitors on yeast protoplasts already in low concentrations (Shockman and Lampen, 1962). Also for *Corynebacterium glutamicum* it was shown that an increasing concentration of penicillin reduces the regeneration frequency of protoplasts after transformation (Katsumata et al., 1984).

The tested pNEBUC, pNEBUN, and pNEBUP are self-replicating plasmids. For industrial application, however, a plasmid that integrates into the genome is preferable, since no addition of antibiotics into the medium is needed for plasmid maintenance. The plasmid pSMUT randomly integrates into the genome. Yet, with site-specific integration, screening efforts can be reduced, since unspecific integration likely results in random disruption of unknown genes, or site-specific variation of the expression level. In *U. maydis*, the plasmid pUMa43 confers resistance to carboxin by site-specific integration into the ip\(^{\delta}\)-locus (König, 2008). The transformation of this plasmid into *U. trichophora* RK089 resulted in positive clones containing the plasmid. However, this construct was not integrated site-specifically.

Since the integration method relies on homologous recombination, it is likely that the 88% DNA sequence homology between *U. maydis* (sequence donor) and *U. trichophora*’s (sequence acceptor) ip\(^{\delta}\)-locus is too low to ensure site-specific integration. Thus, the ip\(^{\delta}\)-locus from *U. maydis* on the plasmid pUMa43 was exchanged with the ip\(^{\delta}\)-locus from *U. trichophora* RK089 resulting in plasmid pUTr01 (Fig. 1B). Site-specificity was confirmed by Southern Blot and PCR. Since this vector harbors *gfp* under control of P\(_{\text{eflf}}\), which is known to promote overexpression in *U. maydis* (Sarkari et al., 2014; Spellig et al., 1996), we monitored fluorescence of transformants in microtiter-plates using a microtiter-plate-reader and with fluorescence microscopy. All investigated transformants showed strong fluorescence (Fig. 1C), while the reference strain without plasmid did not, confirming the activity of the expression cassette with P\(_{\text{eflf}}\) and T\(_{\text{nosc}}\). Thus, the function of all relevant elements of pUTr01 was confirmed. This plasmid enables overexpression of target genes through site-specific genomic integration in *U. trichophora*.

### 3.2. Overexpression of mdh and ssa increases yield in shake flasks

With the established tools and methods, optimization of malic acid production by overexpression of expected bottleneck genes in *U. trichophora* TZ1 became possible. As targets we chose all putative enzymes in the reductive tricarboxylic acid (rTCA) cycle leading from pyruvate to malic acid (Fig. 2). Thus, we compared using the Blast analysis tools (Altschul et al., 1990) the sequences of pyruvate carboxylase UMAG_01054 (Pyc), the two malate dehydrogenases UMAG_11161 (Mdhl1) and UMAG_00403 (Mdhl2), and the two enzymes related to malic acid transport proteins UMAG_05271 (Ssu1) and UMAG_05764 (Ssu2) from *U. maydis* against the recently published genome of *U. trichophora* (Zambanini et al., 2016a). The search on protein level yielded one hit each for Pyc (97% homology), Mdhl1 (97% homology), Mdhl2 (94% homology), Ssu1 (89% homology), and Ssu2 (68% homology). For the malate dehydrogenases N-terminal targeting sequences were analyzed using a combination of Signal-3L and TargetP 1.1 (Shen and Chou, 2007). The putative localization for Mdhl1 was the cytosol and for Mdhl2 the mitochondrion. The N-terminal mitochondrial targeting sequence for mdhl2 was either retained or removed, resulting in the gene versions mdhl2 (m) and mdhl2 (c), which are likely targeted to the mitochondrion (m) and the cytosol (c), respectively. All genes were cloned under control of P\(_{\text{eflf}}\) into vector pUTr01, by replacing *gfp*. The resulting constructs were transformed into *U. trichophora* TZ1.

A first screening in MMT containing 200 g L\(^{-1}\) glycerol in 24-deep well plates revealed a broad variety among resulting mutants after 384 h of cultivation concerning growth, malic acid production, and glycerol uptake (data not shown). From this screening the two best transformants for each gene were chosen for more detailed shake flask investigation. Genomic integrations of the constructs were verified by PCR using the primer pair fwd-ampII/rev-ampII.

Both transformants overexpressing pyruvate carboxylase and both strains overexpressing malate dehydrogenase mdhl2 (c) showed lower or similar malic acid production compared to the reference strain (see supplemental data). This hints at naturally strong activity of *pyc* in *U. trichophora* TZ1 and a bottleneck in another step of the production pathway. Further, the fact that malic acid production did not improve upon overexpression of mdhl2 (c) likely indicates that the gene product of this shorter version of the gene either lacks activity or is no longer localized in the compartment, where it benefits malate production. In general, microbial malic acid production is possible via five different pathways: (1) cytosolic rTCA cycle, (2) mitochondrial rTCA cycle (3) TCA cycle, (4) glyoxylate route (cyclic), (5) glyoxylate route (non-cyclic), which have been discussed in literature (Brown et al., 2013; Zelle et al., 2008).

These pathways do not only differ in the enzymes involved, but also
in their subcellular localization. However, the cytosolic rTCA-cycle, comprising the reaction of pyruvate to malic acid via oxaloacetate catalyzed by pyruvate carboxylase and malate dehydrogenase has been reported to be the predominant pathway for extracellular malic acid accumulation in many different organisms (Bercovitz et al., 1990; Brown et al., 2013; Goldberg et al., 2006; Osmani and Scrutton, 1983; Peleg et al., 1988; Zelle et al., 2008), likely also being predominant in U. trichophora. Yet, this does not exclude the possibility of an activity of the mitochondrial alleles of malate dehydrogenase, especially since not only overexpression of the gene encoding the cytoplasmic iso-enzyme Mdh1 but also of the gene encoding the mitochondrial one, Mdh2 (m), resulted in an increased malic acid yield in U. trichophora. These steps have already been observed in A. oryzae and S. cerevisiae as being limiting. Single overexpression of malate dehydrogenase and malate permease resulted in a nearly 3-fold increased malic acid production in S. cerevisiae (Zelle et al., 2008). For A. oryzae overexpression of a C4-dicarboxylic acid transporter resulted in a 2-fold increase, while single overexpression of pyruvate carboxylase did not drastically improve malic acid production (Brown et al., 2013).

### 3.3. Improved yield and specific production rate in bioreactor cultivations with U. trichophora TZ1

Within shake flask cultivations with U. trichophora TZ1, the values are generally lower than previously published titers for U. trichophora TZ1 (Zambanini et al., 2016c). These differences result from longer oxygen-limitation during sampling, due to higher sampling efforts in many shake flasks. The strong, negative effect of oxygen limitation on organic acid production has been discussed in literature (Guevarra and Tabuchi, 1990b; Gyamerah, 1995). To overcome these issues (insufficient mixing, oxygen limitation, substrate depletion), and to test whether the observed improvements would hold up under industrially more relevant conditions, U. trichophora TZ1 P_etozmdh2 (m) and TZ1 P_etozssu2 were cultivated in bioreactors containing doubled MTM with 200 g L\(^{-1}\) initial glycerol and 6.4 g L\(^{-1}\) NH\(_4\)Cl (Fig. 4).

The average malic acid production rates in bioreactors (mdh2 (m): 0.69 ± 0.03 g L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\); ssu2: 0.63 ± 0.02 g L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) were comparable to the one reached with the reference strain U. trichophora TZ1 (0.72 ± 0.02 g L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) (Fig. 4B). Combined with drastically lowered optical
dense, the specific production rates ($g_{mal} \text{OD}_{600}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$) were improved by 1.4-fold. Strikingly, a higher product yield could only be observed until approximately 72 h of cultivation, possibly resulting from an earlier onset of malic acid production (Fig. 4C). The overall product yield for the mutant strains, however, was comparable to the one in the reference strain (Fig. 4B), even though in shake flask cultivations it was significantly increased (Fig. 3D). This observation might be explained by the higher biomass formation, due to an elevated nitrogen concentration compared to shake flasks. Already in previous studies with *U. trichophora* TZ1 in bioreactors, 6.4 g L$^{-1}$ NH$_4$Cl had a considerable negative impact on the malic acid yield and a relatively small positive impact on the production rate compared to cultivations containing 3.2 g L$^{-1}$ NH$_4$Cl (Zambanini et al., 2016b). In this context we elaborated on the trade-off between yield and production rate resulting from higher biomass concentration. This trade-off is of special importance, since malic acid production only occurs upon nitrogen limitation (Knut et al., 2013; Peleg et al., 1988), and a high biomass (nitrogen) concentration is needed for elevated production rates. Besides this trade-off, the high concentration of all medium components, combined with the high biomass formation, might trigger stress responses in the cells. This stress could result in a lowered malic acid titer, even though the specific production rate is still increased. To test this hypothesis, the bioreactor cultivation with *U. trichophora* TZ1 $petefmdh2$ and *U. trichophora* TZ1 $petefssu2$ was repeated with MTM containing 3.2 g L$^{-1}$ NH$_4$Cl and the normal concentration for all other components (Fig. 5).

As expected with less NH$_4$Cl, glycerol uptake in the mutant strains was slower than in the reference strain (Fig. 5C), correlating with our previous observations. Also in this cultivation, optical density was drastically lowered for the mutant strains (Fig. 5A) resulting in a 29% ($ssu2$) and 38% ($mdh2$) increased specific malic acid production (Fig. 5E). Yet, as previously discussed (Klement et al., 2012; Maassen et al., 2013; Zambanini et al., 2016c), a lower optical density for *Ustilaginaceae* does not necessarily imply a lower concentration of active biomass. However, the simultaneously reduced glycerol uptake strengthens the possibility of actually lowered active biomass. Combined with a slightly increased malic acid titer (Fig. 5B), the overall yield was improved by 1.4-fold ($ssu2$) and 1.5-fold ($mdh2$) to $0.40 \pm 0.00$ g$_{mal}$ g$_{gly}$ and $0.42 \pm 0.00$ g$_{mal}$ g$_{gly}$, respectively (Fig. 5D).

Even though the yield could be improved, these values represent just about 30% of the theoretical maximum (1.46 g$_{mal}$ g$_{gly}$). Previously we published a yield of 31% for *U. trichophora* TZ1, which however resulted from bioreactor cultivations with CaCO$_3$ as buffering agent (Zambanini et al., 2016b). As discussed in this context, the CaCO$_3$ serves as buffering agent, in-situ calcium malate precipitation alleviates product inhibition, and most importantly, it supplies additional CO$_2$ which is required for the operation of pyruvate carboxylase (Battat et al., 1991; Brown et al., 2013; Zambanini et al., 2016b). In the present bioreactor cultivations, NaOH was used for titration. The resulting limitation of CO$_2$ during the production phase can clearly be seen from the accumulated amount of CO$_2$ during the production process (Fig. 5F). While the CO$_2$ production rate during the growth phase is high, it drops to nearly zero during mid-production phase after biomass formation. In this phase, the CO$_2$ concentration in the off-gas is below 0.05%, indicating that a higher malic acid yield could be achieved if additional CO$_2$ was supplied. At the end of the acid production phase the release of CO$_2$ increases again, possibly due to
lower malic acid production resulting from product inhibition. These data indicate that CO2 co-metabolism is important for reaching a high yield by efficient operation of the rTCA-cycle. This is further supported by the fact that a switch from NaOH to CaCO3 as buffering agent increased the product yield by 1.5-fold in a previous study (Zambanini et al., 2016b). However, this beneficial effect of CaCO3 as buffering agent does not solely result from additional CO2 supply but is rather a combination of CO2 co-feed for an increased malic acid yield and decreased product inhibition due to calcium malate precipitation resulting in a higher malic acid production rate.

Thus, application of CaCO3 in bioreactor cultures of *U. trichophora* TZ1 would likely result in a further improved malic acid yield due to the additionally supplied CO2. Further, the production rate and titer would be improved due to decreased product inhibition. Assuming an improvement of 1.5-fold for the malic acid yield as result of switching to CaCO3, just as reported for *U. trichophora* TZ1 (Zambanini et al., 2016b), the yield would be improved to about 45% of the theoretical maximum. Compared to the yield achieved for malic acid production from glucose with *A. oryzae* (69% of the theoretical) (Brown et al., 2013), this value still indicates a considerable loss of carbon source.

### 3.4. Biomass, succinate, and CO2 as main by-products

In order to identify possible targets for further improvement, we determined all measurable by-products and quantified the amount of contained carbon source for the bioreactor cultivation with *U. trichophora* *P* *etefmdh2* (m). HPLC-analysis revealed 19.5 ± 0.4 g L⁻¹ succi-
... malic acid production from glycerol with TZ1. This would further contribute to establish an industrially feasible... 
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