Cell surface annexins regulate ADAM-mediated ectodomain shedding of proamphiregulin
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ARTICLE

ABSTRACT A disintegrin and metalloproteinase (ADAM) is a family of enzymes involved in ectodomain shedding of various membrane proteins. However, the molecular mechanism underlying substrate recognition by ADAMs remains unknown. In this study, we successfully captured and analyzed cell surface transient assemblies between the transmembrane amphiregulin precursor (proAREG) and ADAM17 during an early shedding phase, which enabled the identification of cell surface annexins as components of their shedding complex. Annexin family members annexin A2 (ANXA2), A8, and A9 interacted with proAREG and ADAM17 on the cell surface. Shedding of proAREG was increased when ANXA2 was knocked down but decreased with ANXA8 and A9 knockdown, because of enhanced and impaired association with ADAM17, respectively. Knockdown of ANXA2 and A8 in primary keratinocytes altered wound-induced cell migration and ultraviolet B–induced phosphorylation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), suggesting that annexins play an essential role in the ADAM-mediated ectodomain shedding of EGFR ligands. On the basis of these data, we propose that annexins on the cell surface function as “shedding platform” proteins to determine the subcellular localization of ADAM17, with possible therapeutic potential in ADAM-related diseases.

INTRODUCTION

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a potent mediator of diseases such as cancer, as well as of cell growth and development (Baselga and Swain, 2009). Transactivation of EGFRs has been shown to play a crucial role in signaling by G protein–coupled receptors, cytokine receptors, receptor tyrosine kinases, and integrins in multiple cellular responses (Hackel et al., 1999; Moghal and Sternberg, 1999). Transactivation of EGFRs is mainly mediated by ectodomain shedding of EGFR ligand precursors, which include EGF, amphiregulin (AREG), heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor (HB-EGF), transforming growth factor α (TGFα), epiregulin (EREG), betacellulin, epigen, and neuregulins and are synthesized as type I transmembrane protein (proforms; Higashiyama et al., 2008). In response to a wide variety of stimulations, EGFR ligand precursors are shed by membrane-type metalloproteinases of the disintegrin and metalloproteinase (ADAM) family, on the cell surface, and subsequently release their ectodomain to activate EGFR and intracellular signaling pathways.

A aberrations in ectodomain shedding of precursors of HB-EGF (proHB-EGF) in soluble HB-EGF or uncleavable proHB-EGF...
To investigate the process of proAREG shedding, we first focused on the behavior of proAREG during the early phase of proAREG shedding following treatment with the shedding stimulant, TPA. We performed a time-lapse analysis of HT1080 cells stably expressing wild-type (wt) proAREG, with yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) fused to the carboxy terminus. The proAREG-YFP and AREG-CTF-YFP translocated into and accumulated at the perinuclear region after TPA stimulation (Figure 1A; Isokane et al., 2008). We noticed the YFP signal assembled at the plasma membrane around 6 min after TPA treatment (Figure 1B). We also examined the subcellular localization of proAREG by immunofluorescence staining with antibodies recognizing the extracellular (anti-AREG-N) and intracellular (anti–AREG-CTF) domains of proAREG (Figure 1C). Five minutes after TPA treatment, both antibodies detected proAREG at the plasma membrane. Thirty minutes after TPA treatment, however, the assembly of proAREG at the plasma membrane was not observed. When cells were cultured in the presence of the metalloprotease inhibitor KB-R7785, proAREG assembly was maintained at the plasma membrane for more than 30 min after TPA stimulation. These data suggest that proAREG was temporarily assembled at the plasma membrane in response to TPA and was subsequently shed by metalloproteases.

A combination assay involving cell surface biotinylation and Western blotting showed that the cell surface proAREG-YFP and the whole proAREG-YFP disappeared after TPA stimulation (Figure 1D). However, disappearance of the cell surface proAREG-YFP was more rapid, because of the shedding. The proAREG-YFP still localized at the cell surface in the presence of KB-R7785, which indicated that the TPA-induced proAREG-YFP complex localized at the cell surface (Figure 1, C and D). Thus, under inhibitory conditions for shedding, intermediates of the proAREG shedding complex could be detected as a proAREG assembly at the plasma membrane and might contain regulatory molecules for shedding.

To investigate the intermediates of the TPA-induced proAREG shedding complex in greater detail, we attempted to produce an uncleavable proAREG mutant. Consistent with a previous study showing the involvement of ADAM17 in AREG shedding (Shahin et al., 2004), we observed a significant decrease in TPA-induced proAREG shedding in HT1080 cells transfected with a siRNA against ADAM17 (unpublished data). As shown in Figure 1E, proAREG contains two ADAM17-dependent cleavage sites (Hinkle et al., 2004; Shahin et al., 2004). We prepared three mutants that had alanine substitutions at these ADAM17 cleavage sites: E183A/K184A; M186A/K187A; and E183A/K184A/M186A/K187A (Supplementary Figure S1A). Western blot analysis revealed that these mutants were shed by TPA stimulation (Figure S1A). We made another proAREG mutant, in which the cleavage site was replaced with the Flag-tag sequence (ucf-proAREG). Our results indicated that ucf-proAREG was completely resistant to ectodomain shedding (Figures 1F and S1, A and B). We used this ucf-proAREG as an uncleavable mutant, then analyzed the subcellular localization of wt- and ucf-proAREG in HT1080 cells. The ucf-proAREG was diffusely localized at the plasma membrane in the steady state, while it assembled by TPA stimulation (Figures 1G and S1C). The Y- and Z-axis orthogonal-view images indicated that the TPA-induced ucf-proAREG-YFP complex localized at the plasma membrane, whereas wt-proAREG-YFP was not evident at the plasma membrane in response to TPA (Figure 1G). Time-lapse image analysis and cell surface biotinylation revealed that TPA-induced ucf-proAREG-YFP assembly was retained on the cell surface (Figure S1, D–F).

**ANXA8 regulates ectodomain shedding of proAREG**

To comprehensively identify components of the TPA-induced ucf-proAREG assembly complex, we performed mass spectrometry (MS)
FIGURE 1: ProAREG assembles during TPA-induced shedding. (A and B) HT1080 cells were treated with 10 μg/ml cycloheximide for 4 h to block de novo protein synthesis. The time-lapse images of wt-proAREG-YFP were obtained at the indicated time points after stimulation with 20 nM TPA. The fluorescence signal was visualized as color images using LuminaVision. Scale bar: 20 μm. (C) HT1080 cells stably expressing wt-proAREG were incubated with 20 nM TPA for 30 min in the absence or presence of the metalloprotease inhibitor, KB-R7785 (10 μM). Cells were immunostained with anti–AREG-N and anti–AREG-CTF antibodies. The arrowheads indicate the proAREG complex. Scale bar: 40 μm. (D) The wt-proAREG-YFP cells were incubated with 100 nM TPA for the indicated time periods in the absence or presence of KB-R7785, and biotinylated with a membrane-impermeable biotinylation reagent. The biotinylated proteins were immunoprecipitated with an anti-GFP antibody and analyzed by Western blotting using HRP-conjugated streptavidin. Aliquots of cell lysates used for immunoprecipitation were analyzed by the anti-GFP antibody, and the expression of proAREG-YFP was indicated as the input. (E) Schematic structure of proAREG. SP, signal peptide; EGF, EGF-like domain; Jx, juxtamembrane domain; TM, transmembrane domain. The arrowheads indicate the ADAM17-mediated proAREG cleavage sites. (F) HT1080 cells were transiently transfected with YFP-fused wt- or ucF-proAREG expression vectors. Cells were incubated with 100 nM TPA for 60 min. Western blotting was performed with an anti-GFP antibody. (G) Fluorescent images of wt- and ucF-proAREG-YFP in HT1080 cells. The photographs are three-dimensional reconstructions of the Z-stack captured with a confocal microscope. The right panels show the Y- and Z-axis orthogonal view of the sections made through the stack image, as indicated by white lines. Hoechst nuclear staining is shown in blue. Scale bar: 20 μm. The higher magnification images are indicated by the white box.
analysis using ucF-proAREG-YFP cells. By comparing these cells with the control, we identified molecules that coimmunoprecipitated with ucF-proAREG-YFP in the presence of TPA (Figure 2A and Supplemental Table S1). Of these molecules, we focused on a member of the annexin family, ANXA8. Immunoprecipitation experiments confirmed that ANXA8 interacted with proAREG (Figure 2B). To investigate the possible role of ANXA8 in the regulation of proAREG shedding, siRNA was used to reduce endogenous protein levels of ANXA8. Following transfection of ANXA8-specific siRNA (#1, #2), endogenous ANXA8 protein expression was reduced by ∼55% in HT1080 cells (Figure 2C). To determine the effect of ANXA8 siRNA on proAREG shedding, we conducted a quantitative alkaline phosphatase (AP) assay, which estimates the efficiency of proAREG shedding (Tokumaru et al., 2000). As shown in Figure 2D, AP activity was significantly reduced during 90-min incubation after TPA stimulation in ANXA8 siRNA-treated cells (#1, #2) compared with a control. Similarly, a majority of proAREG-YFP was still retained at the plasma membrane 20 min after TPA stimulation in ANXA8 knockdown cells, because proAREG shedding was prevented.
calcium ions. The similarity of its molecular structure at the three-dimensional level has been well established (Moss and Morgan, 2004; Gerke et al., 2005). We analyzed the interaction between all 12 members of the annexin family and proAREG, and found that ANXA2, A6, A8, and A9 interacted with proAREG (Figure 3A). We tested the effect of annexin knockdown on proAREG shedding using an AP assay. Basal shedding, which is observed in culture without addition of shedding inducers, such as TPA, accounted for ∼30% of total (basal plus TPA-induced) shedding, and there was no statistical significance of the extent of the basal shedding in control and ANXA2 and A8 knockdown cells (Figure 3B). On the other hand, TPA-induced proAREG shedding was significantly suppressed by knockdown of ANXA8 and A9, while treatment with ANXA2 siRNA significantly increased proAREG shedding (Figure 3, B and C). ANXA6 siRNA had no effect on shedding (Figure 3C). Although some annexin family members contribute to endocytic transport (Mayran et al., 2003; Goebeler et al., 2008; Grewal and Enrich, 2009), annexin knockdown did not affect the levels of cell surface proAREG (Figure S2A).

Because ADAM17 is required for proAREG shedding (Sahin et al., 2004), we investigated whether annexins would interact with ADAM17. As shown in Figure 3D, ANXA2, A6, and A9 efficiently interacted with ADAM17, whereas ANXA8 and A10 interacted to a moderate degree. It is intriguing to describe that the lower band of ADAM17 largely coprecipitated with these annexins. ANXA2, A6, A8, and A9 interacted with both proAREG and ADAM17, suggesting that these annexins can regulate the association between proAREG and ADAM17. As expected, knockdown of these annexins, except ANXA6, altered the extent of interaction between proAREG and ADAM17 (Figure 4A). Furthermore, in annexin knockdown cells, we found that the extent of TPA-induced ucF-proAREG assembly correlated with that of proAREG shedding (Figures 3, B and C, and 4B). With respect to ANXA9 knockdown, ucF-proAREG assembled to the same extent as in the controls, which could be explained by the compensation of other annexin levels and/or functions. To explore the coordinated regulation of proAREG shedding by multiple annexins, we performed the AP assay using cells cotransfected with combinations of annexin siRNAs. The inhibitory effect of ANXA8 and A9 siRNAs on proAREG shedding was dominant over ANXA2. This inhibitory effect was additive in ANXA8 and A9 knockdown cells (Figure 3B). On the other hand, knockdown of ANXA2, A6, A8, and A9 interacted with both proAREG and ADAM17, suggesting that these annexins interact with proAREG at the outer plasma membrane (Figures 5A and S3). Some members of the annexin family (Figure S2A).

FIGURE 3: Multiple members of the annexin family interact with proAREG and ADAM17. (A) HT1080 cells were transiently transfected with ANX-V5 and proAREG expression vectors. Cells were immunoprecipitated with an anti-V5 antibody. Western blotting was carried out using anti–AREG-N antibody. (B) AP activity was measured in the conditioned media from HT1080 cells stably expressing proAREG and ADAM17. As expected, knockdown of these annexins, except ANXA6, altered the extent of interaction between proAREG and ADAM17 (Figure 4A). Furthermore, in annexin knockdown cells, we found that the extent of TPA-induced ucF-proAREG assembly correlated with that of proAREG shedding (Figures 3, B and C, and 4B). With respect to ANXA9 knockdown, ucF-proAREG assembled to the same extent as in the controls, which could be explained by the compensation of other annexin levels and/or functions. To explore the coordinated regulation of proAREG shedding by multiple annexins, we performed the AP assay using cells cotransfected with combinations of annexin siRNAs. The inhibitory effect of ANXA8 and A9 siRNAs on proAREG shedding was dominant over ANXA2. This inhibitory effect was additive in ANXA8 and A9 knockdown cells (Figure 3B). On the other hand, knockdown of ANXA2, A6, A8, and A9 interacted with both proAREG and ADAM17, suggesting that these annexins interact with proAREG at the outer plasma membrane (Figures 5A and S3). Some members of the annexin family have been shown to localize and function inside and outside of the cell (Gerke et al., 2005). We confirmed that annexins were expressed in the formation of the proAREG assembly complex and is crucial for proAREG shedding.

Multiple members of the annexin family interact with proAREG and ADAM17 in the regulation of proAREG shedding

The annexin family contains a conserved structural element, an annexin repeat of some 70 amino acid residues required for binding to...
assay for EGFR with various stimulations in primary human keratinocytes. We examined the expression levels of annexins and confirmed that ANXA2 and A8 were normally expressed in keratinocytes, whereas the expression of A6 and A9 was low (Figure S4).

We confirmed the physical interaction between endogenous proAREG and ANXA2 or A8 in keratinocytes by communoprecipitation (Figure 6A). We also performed an in situ proximity assay (Fredriksson et al., 2002), demonstrating that both endogenous proAREG and ADAM17 interact with ANXA2 and A8 in keratinocytes (Figure 6B).

It has been reported that EGFR activation and signaling mediated by shedding of EGFR ligand precursors are essential for keratinocyte migration (Tokumaru et al., 2000; Shirakata et al., 2005). To examine the involvement of annexins in wound-induced cell migration, the motility of keratinocytes treated with ANXA2 and A8 siRNA was analyzed using wound assays (Figure 6, C and D). The ANXA2 knockdown cells migrated faster than controls. In contrast, cell motility was suppressed by knockdown of ANXA8, as well as by addition of KB-R7785. This indicated the role of annexins in the keratinocyte migration. The cell migration analysis showed that cell motility was markedly regulated 6–12 h after wounding. On the basis of these results, we speculate that the altered shedding of EGFR ligand precursors in annexin knockdown cells would gradually affect the transmission of EGFR activation to the cells surrounding the wound edge by up- or down-regulating the autocrine loop of AREG.

We investigated the role of annexins in ultraviolet B (UVB)-induced EGFR transactivation in keratinocytes, because skin cancer is a common human cancer, and UVB radiation in sunlight is a major etiological factor. Several reports have shown that shedding of proAREG and proHB-EGF is required for UV-induced EGFR transactivation in keratinocytes and have suggested the broad relevance of the UV-ADAM-proligand-EGFR pathway and its significance in skin cancer (Seo et al., 2007; Singh et al., 2009). Consistent with a previous report (Singh et al., 2009), treatment with KB-R7785 or AREG siRNAs inhibited the UVB-induced phosphorylation of EGFR and JNK (Figure S5), indicating that UVB-induced EGFR transactivation is dependent on shedding of EGFR ligand precursors in keratinocytes. Knockdown of ANXA2 increased UVB-induced phosphorylation of EGFR and JNK, while knockdown of ANXA8 inhibited UVB-induced phosphorylation of EGFR and JNK (Figure 7). These data correlated with the amount of soluble AREG in the conditioned medium (Figure 7, bottom panel). Our results indicate that annexins are indispensable for shedding of an adequate amount of EGFR ligand precursors, such as proAREG, and

---

**FIGURE 4:** Multiple annexin family members regulate proAREG shedding. (A) HT1080 cells stably expressing AP-AREG were incubated with siRNA and transiently transfected with the proAREG-Myc and ADAM17-V5 expression vectors. Cells were treated with the membrane-impermeable cross-linking agent DTSSP; this was followed by immunoprecipitation with an anti-Myc antibody. Western blotting was carried out using an anti-V5 antibody. The intensity of the ADAM17-V5 bands is represented as the fold-change relative to control siRNA-transfected cells. (B) The effect of siRNA on the subcellular localization of proAREG-YFP. The ucF-proAREG-YFP cells were transfected with siRNA and stimulated with 20 nM TPA for 30 min. Scale bar: 20 μm. (C) AP activity was measured in the conditioned medium of HT1080 cells stably expressing AP-AREG. Cells were cotransfected with combinations of siRNA and were stimulated with 20 nM TPA for 30 min. Data represent the mean ± SEM; **p < 0.01. (D) Prevention of the interaction between proAREG and ANXA2 by ANXA8. HT1080 cells were transfected with expression vectors encoding proAREG and ANXA2-V5 together with empty or ANXA8-Flag expression vectors. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with an anti-V5 antibody, and the coprecipitated proAREG was detected using an anti–AREG-N antibody.

These results, together with those from the GST pulldown assay, suggest that ANXA2, A8, and A9 interact with proAREG and ADAM17 at the cell surface. Therefore we can suggest that annexins are able to control proAREG shedding by regulating the association between proAREG and ADAM17 on the cell surface.

**Annexins are required for EGFR transactivation induced by various stimuli in keratinocytes**

To study the role of the annexin-regulated shedding machinery under physiological conditions, we used a functional transactivation assay for EGFR with various stimulations in primary human keratinocytes. We examined the expression levels of annexins and confirmed that ANXA2 and A8 were normally expressed in keratinocytes, whereas the expression of A6 and A9 was low (Figure S4).

We confirmed the physical interaction between endogenous proAREG and ANXA2 or A8 in keratinocytes by communoprecipitation (Figure 6A). We also performed an in situ proximity assay (Fredriksson et al., 2002), demonstrating that both endogenous proAREG and ADAM17 interact with ANXA2 and A8 in keratinocytes (Figure 6B).

It has been reported that EGFR activation and signaling mediated by shedding of EGFR ligand precursors are essential for keratinocyte migration (Tokumaru et al., 2000; Shirakata et al., 2005). To examine the involvement of annexins in wound-induced cell migration, the motility of keratinocytes treated with ANXA2 and A8 siRNA was analyzed using wound assays (Figure 6, C and D). The ANXA2 knockdown cells migrated faster than controls. In contrast, cell motility was suppressed by knockdown of ANXA8, as well as by addition of KB-R7785. This indicated the role of annexins in the keratinocyte migration. The cell migration analysis showed that cell motility was markedly regulated 6–12 h after wounding. On the basis of these results, we speculate that the altered shedding of EGFR ligand precursors in annexin knockdown cells would gradually affect the transmission of EGFR activation to the cells surrounding the wound edge by up- or down-regulating the autocrine loop of AREG.

We investigated the role of annexins in ultraviolet B (UVB)-induced EGFR transactivation in keratinocytes, because skin cancer is a common human cancer, and UVB radiation in sunlight is a major etiological factor. Several reports have shown that shedding of proAREG and proHB-EGF is required for UV-induced EGFR transactivation in keratinocytes and have suggested the broad relevance of the UV-ADAM-proligand-EGFR pathway and its significance in skin cancer (Seo et al., 2007; Singh et al., 2009). Consistent with a previous report (Singh et al., 2009), treatment with KB-R7785 or AREG siRNAs inhibited the UVB-induced phosphorylation of EGFR and JNK (Figure S5), indicating that UVB-induced EGFR transactivation is dependent on shedding of EGFR ligand precursors in keratinocytes. Knockdown of ANXA2 increased UVB-induced phosphorylation of EGFR and JNK, while knockdown of ANXA8 inhibited UVB-induced phosphorylation of EGFR and JNK (Figure 7). These data correlated with the amount of soluble AREG in the conditioned medium (Figure 7, bottom panel). Our results indicate that annexins are indispensable for shedding of an adequate amount of EGFR ligand precursors, such as proAREG, and
EGFR signal transduction in response to natural stimulation in keratinocytes.

DISCUSSION

It has been reported that impaired shedding of EGFR ligand precursors causes abnormal development (Yamazaki et al., 2003; Sternlicht et al., 2005) and weakness of cells against stressors (Uetani et al., 2003). We also showed that ectodomain shedding of EGFR ligand precursors is a key event in receptor cross-talk and in intercellular signaling by the CTF pathway to directly regulate gene expression (Bao et al., 2003; Nanba et al., 2003; Hieda et al., 2008; Higashiyama et al., 2008; Isokane et al., 2008; Stoeck et al., 2010). These findings indicate that regulatory mechanisms of ectodomain shedding are crucial for the maintenance of cellular functions and are possible therapeutic targets for certain diseases, such as cancers. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying substrate selectivity by ADAMS remains unknown. To resolve this issue, we needed to determine how EGFR ligand precursors associate with ADAMS and to identify the key molecule(s) controlling ADAMS on the cell surface. Because ADAM-mediated shedding is a rapid and transient event, precise analysis of the ADAM-substrate complex formation in the early phase has been difficult. In this study, we visualized the intermediates of the proAREG complex on the cell surface during shedding and found that annexins are key molecules for the regulation of proAREG shedding.

Annexins are calcium-dependent, phospholipid-binding proteins involved in several biological events (Moss and Morgan, 2004; Gerke et al., 2005). In our study, we found that ANXA2, A8, and A9 interacted with both the EGF-like domain of proAREG (Figure 5A) and mature ADAM17 (Figure 3D). TPA treatment had no effect on proAREG-annexins or ADAM17–annexins interaction (unpublished data), suggesting their constitutive interaction. However, knockdown of these annexins altered the extent of interaction between proAREG and ADAM17 (Figure 4A), and the administration of a cell surface cross-linking agent enhanced the association between proAREG and annexins (Figure 5B), and ADAM17 and annexins (Figure 5C). Both sets of evidence strongly suggest that the proAREG–annexins–ADAM17 complex needs to localize at the cell surface for shedding of proAREG to occur.

We clearly showed that these annexins interacted with proAREG and ADAM17 outside the cell, although annexins mainly localize at the cytosol and peripheral inner cell membrane. It is a characteristic of certain annexins that they have functionally distinct roles inside and outside cells. Extracellular ANXA1 can bind to chemotactic receptors of the formyl peptide receptor family in neutrophils and monocytes in response to glucocorticoids. ANXA1 is implicated in dexamethasone-induced L-selectin shedding, which may contribute to the anti-inflammatory system (Walther et al., 2000; de Coupade et al., 2003). ANXA2 on the cell surface acts as a coreceptor for tissue plasminogen activator, which is important for the degradation of fibrin, and maintenance of fibrinolytic homeostasis (Ling et al., 2004). Extracellular ANXA5 works as an antithrombotic shield and is involved in thrombosis and pregnancy loss in antiphospholipid syndrome (Rand, 2000). In contrast, whether ANXA8 and A9 localize and function at the outer cell membrane is poorly understood. We have detected cell surface ANXA8 and A9 using a biotinylation study (Figure S2B), and our data have provided new insight regarding the role of annexins and their regulation in proAREG shedding on the cell surface. Annexins have a crucial role in controlling EGFR signaling by the CTF pathway to directly regulate gene expression in keratinocytes. It is interesting to note that although annexin family members lack a signal peptide sequence, they are exported from the cell; therefore their export mechanism(s) requires further study.

Previous reports have documented a number of adaptors implicated in ectodomain shedding. Tetraspanin CD9 and N-arginine dibasic convertase bind to proHB-EGF and ADAMS in the regulation of proHB-EGF shedding (Yan et al., 2002; Nishi et al., 2006). It has also been previously reported that calmodulin regulates
proAREG shedding was positively or negatively regulated by multiple annexins. Considering that annexins organize membrane domains and platforms for protein interaction (Gerke et al., 2005), it is plausible that multiple annexins interact with proAREG and ADAM17-mediated cleavage of L-selectin by interactions with the L-selectin cytoplasmic domain (Kahn et al., 1998). However, it is still unclear how ADAMs recognize a large variety of substrates, and how their activity is controlled. We have demonstrated that

ADAM17-mediated cleavage of L-selectin by interactions with the L-selectin cytoplasmic domain (Kahn et al., 1998). However, it is still unclear how ADAMs recognize a large variety of substrates, and how their activity is controlled. We have demonstrated that

FIGURE 6: Annexins are indispensable to keratinocyte migration in response to wounding. (A) Keratinocytes were immunoprecipitated with normal goat IgG or anti–AREG-N antibodies, and samples were analyzed by Western blotting. Aliquots of whole-cell lysates used for immunoprecipitation were loaded in the left lanes as the input to confirm the molecular weight of immunoprecipitated proteins (0.1, 1.0, and 1.5% of lysates were loaded for the detection of ANXA2, ANXA8, and AREG, respectively). (B) Visualization of proAREG/ANXA2, proAREG/ANXA8, ADAM17/ANXA2, and ADAM17/ANXA8 interaction at endogenous levels in keratinocytes. Keratinocytes were fixed and incubated with primary antibodies as indicated. The next day, primary antibodies were detected by an in situ proximity ligation assay. Red fluorescence signals indicate PLA probes bound to the primary antibodies that are in close proximity. Nuclei were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. Note that the higher background signals in the four right panels were due to the lower specificity of anti-ANXA8 antibodies compared with anti-ANXA2 antibody. Scale bar: 50 μm. (C and D) A cell motility assay. Keratinocytes were transfected with siRNA. The day before the wound assay, cells were incubated with BHE-free medium. Cells were wounded by scraping with the tip of a micropipette, washed, and given fresh medium. The remaining cells were incubated for 24 h in the absence or presence of 10 μM KB-R7785 (C). Trajectories of five cells from 0–6 h and from 6–12 h after wound stimulation are shown in the top panels. The bottom panels represent the total distance and average motility of cells (D). Data represent the mean ± SEM; *p < 0.01; **p < 0.001.
ADAM17 directly or indirectly, thereby contributing to the substrate selectivity of ADAMS. Previous reports have shown that the hyper-variable region (HVR) of ADAMS may have a significant effect on substrate recognition and may adjust the spatial alignment of the catalytic and adhesion sites (Takeda et al., 2006; Igarashi et al., 2007). Furthermore, the HVR segment is an exosite for capturing substrates directly or via binding to an associated protein (Takeda, 2009). It is possible that annexins modulate the affinity of ADAM17 to substrates by binding to the HVR and regulating ectodomain shedding. Because none of the constructed ADAM17 deletion mutants interacted with annexins, the whole structure of ADAM17 is required to determine the presence of the relevant binding domains to annexins (unpublished data). Therefore x-ray crystallography analysis of the proAREG-annexin-ADAM17 complex should be conducted.

We propose a novel role for cell surface annexins as “shedding platform” proteins for proAREG to modulate the substrate selectivity of ADAM17. The composition of a shedding platform might determine the efficiency of proAREG shedding by changing the annexins that interact with proAREG and ADAM17 in response to various stimuli. We also tested whether annexins were involved in the shedding of other EGFR ligand precursors, including proHB-EGF, proTGFα, and proERE (Figure 5). Knockdown of ANXA2 increased the efficiency of proHB-EGF and proTGFα shedding, whereas ANXA8 knockdown reduced that efficiency. Shedding of proTGFα and proERE decreased in ANXA9 knockdown cells. We also detected interactions between these EGFR ligand precursors and annexins, with the unique binding partnership of annexins to EGFR ligand precursors (unpublished data). We speculate that annexins may affect the components of the shedding platform. Pharmacological compounds that directly inhibit metalloproteases have been evaluated for therapy of several diseases (Higashiyama et al., 2008); it is believed that cell surface annexins and components of the shedding platform could also have therapeutic potential for ADAM-related diseases, such as cancer, rheumatoid arthritis, and Alzheimer’s disease.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Antibodies**

The following antibodies were used in this study: affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal antibody against the cytoplasmic region of proAREG (anti-AREG-CTF; IBL, Gunma, Japan; Isokane et al., 2008); goat polyclonal antibody against the extracellular region of proAREG (anti-AREG-N, clone AF262; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN); mouse monoclonal anti-ANXA2 (clone 5/Annexin II; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA); mouse monoclonal anti-HS9 (H00001341-B01; Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO); rabbit polyclonal anti-ANXA6 (HPA006960; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO); rabbit polyclonal anti-ANXA9 (HM00008416-B01; Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO); rabbit polyclonal anti-ANXA2 (clone 5/Annexin II; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA); mouse monoclonal anti-ANXA9 (HM00008416-B01; Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO); rabbit polyclonal anti-ANXA6 (HPA009650; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO); rabbit polyclonal anti-ANXA9 (HM00008416-B01; Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO); rabbit polyclonal anti-ANXA2 (clone 5/Annexin II; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA); mouse monoclonal anti-phosphotyrosine antibody (4G10; Millipore, Bedford, MA). The rabbit monoclonal anti-EGFR (C74B9), anti-ADAM17 (C-15), normal mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG), and rabbit IgG were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA).

**Cell culture and plasmid transfection**

Human fibrosarcoma HT1080 cells and their transfectants were grown in Eagle’s minimal essential medium (EMEM) containing 10% FBS.
fetal bovine serum (FBS) and nonessential amino acids (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Human keratinocytes were cultured in optimized nutrient medium MCDB153 (Nissui, Tokyo, Japan) supplemented with 5 μg/ml insulin, 0.5 μM hydrocortisone, 0.1 mM ethanolamine, 0.1 mM phosphoethanolamine, and 150 μg/ml bovine thyro- 
defining extract (BHE), as described previously (Hashimoto et al., 1994). All cells were cultured in a humidified incubator at 37°C/5% CO2. 

RNA interference 
Control and ANXA8 (#1: Hs_ANXA8_8, #2: Hs_ANXA8_10) siRNA were purchased from Qiagen (Chatsworth, CA). ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool siRNA for ANXA2, A6, and A9 were purchased from Drharmacon (Lafayette, CO). The AREG siRNA used in this study was the same as in a previous report (Gschwind et al., 2003) and was obtained from B-Bridge International (San Jose, CA). The transfection of siRNA (20 nM) was performed with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Imaging of YFP fusion proteins and immunofluorescence staining 
For time-lapse analysis, wt-proAREG-YFP or ucF-proAREG-YFP cells were cultured in glass-bottom dishes (Matsunami, Osaka, Japan). Cells were treated with 10 μg/ml cycloheximide for 4 h and were given serum-free EMEM 30 min before TPA stimulation. Time-lapse observations were made with a BIBERO fluoroscope microscope (Keyence, Osaka, Japan). The fluorescence signals were visualized as color images using LuminaVision (Mitani, Tokyo, Japan). For immu-

Cell surface biotinylation 
Cell surface biotinylation was carried out as described in a previous report (Goishi et al., 1995). Briefly, cells were biotinylated with 0.01% sulfo-NHS-biotin (Pierce Rockford, IL) in 0.1 M HEPES and 0.15 M NaCl (pH 8.0) for 15 min at 4°C at the indicated each time point (Figures 1D and 2E). Excess reagent was quenched and removed with ice-cold EMEM/10% FBS. Cells were lysed and immunoprecipitated with appropriate antibodies.

Cross-linking 
For cross-linking of cell surface proteins, cells were incubated with a thiol-cleavable cross-linking agent, DTSSP (Pierce), on ice for 2 h, which was followed by quenching with 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4).

Immunoprecipitation 
Cell lysates were incubated with the indicated antibodies for 2 h at 4°C, which was followed by incubation with protein G-Sepharose 4 Fast Flow beads (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) for 1 h. The samples were dissolved in SDS sample buffer containing 5% β-

Western blotting 
Samples were separated by SDS–PAGE and transferred to a nitro-
cellulose membrane. The membranes were blocked with 4% skim milk in PBS-T (0.05% Tween-20 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)) for 30 min, which was followed by incubation with primary antibodies. After being washed with PBS-T, the membranes were incubated with the appropriate horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated sec-

MS 
The ucF-AREG-YFP cells were treated with eight volumes of hypo-
tonic buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4) and homogenized until more than 90% of the cells were broken. Then 0.25 volumes of compensa-
tion buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 0.95 M sucrose, 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.4) were added to restore isotonicity. Nucleus fractions were separated from the rest of the cell extract by centrifugation at 3900 rpm for 7 min. The supernatant was further centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C (Himac; Hitachi Koki, Tokyo, Japan). The pellet was dissolved in RIPA buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.1% SDS, and 1% sodium deoxycholate) containing Pro-
tease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablets (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), and used as a membrane fraction. ProAREG-YFP in the membrane fraction was immunoprecipitated with a mouse anti–green fluorescent protein (GFP) monoclonal antibody. The immunoprecipitates were sepa-

AP assay 
HT1080 cells stably expressing AP-tagged proAREG were incubated with serum-free EMEM 30 min before TPA stimulation. The condi-
tioned media were collected at indicated time points after TPA stimulation (20 nM) and heated for 15 min at 65°C to inactivate en-
dogenous APs. An equal volume of a 2× AP mixture (2 M dietha-
nolamine, pH 9.8, 1 mM MgCl2, 20 mM l-homoarginine, and 24 mM p-nitrophenylphosphate) was added. AP activity was determined by measuring the absorbance at 405 nm (Tokumaru et al., 2000).

Pulldown assay 
GST or GST-annexin were produced from Escherichia coli strain BL21, induced by treatment with 0.1 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-
d-galactopyranoside at 20°C for 20 h. Cells were suspended in PBS containing 1% NP40, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.2 mM p-

In situ proximity assay 
Keratinocytes were cultured in type I collagen-coated glass-bottom dishes (Matsunami). After fixation, cells were incubated with anti-

Annexins regulate ectodomain shedding | 1973

Volume 23 May 15, 2012

Annexins regulate ectodomain shedding I 1973

After being washed with PBS-T, the membranes were incubated with the appropriate horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated sec-

Secondary antibodies. For biotinylated protein detection, HRP-conju-
gated streptavidin was used. Immunoreactivity was detected by using enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagents.

After being washed with PBS-T, the membranes were incubated with the appropriate horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated sec-

Secondary antibodies. For biotinylated protein detection, HRP-conju-
gated streptavidin was used. Immunoreactivity was detected by using enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagents.
Wound and migration assays

For wound and migration experiments, keratinocytes were seeded on type I collagen-coated dishes or glass-bottom dishes. Cells were treated with siRNA, and on the day before the wound assay, cells were incubated with BHE-free medium. Cells were wounded by the tip of a micropipette, washed once with fresh medium to remove floating cells, and refed with fresh medium with or without KB-R778S (10 μM). Cell movement was observed after 24 h. For the migration assay, time-lapse observations were performed with a BioStation IM (Nikon) every 20 min for 12 h after wound stimulation. Distance and average motility speed of keratinocytes (at least 10 cells) were determined by tracking single cells using the Velocity software (Perkin Elmer-Cetus, Foster City, CA).

UVB treatment

Keratinocytes were exposed to UVB with FL20SE30 fluorescence sunlamps (Toshiba Medical Supply, Tokyo, Japan). A Kodacel filter was mounted in front of the tubes to filter any wavelength below 290 nm. Irradiation intensity was monitored using a photodetector. Cells were seeded on type I collagen-coated dishes. The day before the UVB experiment, cells were incubated with BHE-free medium. Thirty minutes before UVB exposure, the BHE-free medium was refreshed. Cells were irradiated with UV light (30 mJ/cm²) and incubated for 30 min. The UVB-irradiated keratinocyte-conditioned media were collected at the indicated time points. Cells were immunoprecipitated with an anti-EGFR antibody and Western blotting was carried out using an anti-phosphotyrosine antibody (4G10). Soluble peptides present in the conditioned medium were extracted with a 20% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) solution.

Statistical analysis

All assays were performed independently three times. The results are represented as the mean ± SEM. The two groups were compared using Student’s t test. Analysis of variance using Scheffe’s post hoc test was conducted for multiple comparisons. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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