How many type specimens can be stored in old lesser-known herbaria with turbulent histories? – A Juncus case study reveals their importance in taxonomy and biodiversity research
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Abstract

Many herbarium sets in Europe are still being catalogued and it is likely that many old-type collections are yet to be discovered. This research has the potential to facilitate the study of the biodiversity of many regions, especially regions for which collections are extremely scarce. This has been confirmed by a case study using Juncus (Juncaceae) examining the turbulent history of botanical collections at the WRSL herbarium and the evaluation of its importance to the study of taxonomy and biodiversity since 1821. The analysis revealed that the WRSL collection is rich in types (ca. 3.6%) and we identified 76 (of 78) new, historically and nomenclaturally important specimens (types, original material and so-called “topotypes”). Some of these type specimens represent duplicates of these that were stored in Berlin and destroyed during World War II. Many of the type specimens are from the United States of America, South Africa, India, and Canada. The largest number of Juncus type specimens stored at WRSL originate from South Africa (42.3% of all type specimens), even though Juncus is rare in Africa. Our study highlights that uncatalogued old collections that are under-explored and under-exploited have the potential to facilitate the discovery of specimens important for the study of biodiversity, conservation, taxonomy and nomenclature.
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Introduction

The Natural History Museum of Wrocław University (Muzeum Przyrodnicze Uniwersytetu Wrocławskiego) is the oldest natural history museum in Poland and its history dates back to 1814, when it was founded by Prof. Johann Ludwig Christian Gravenhorst as the Zoological Museum. Currently, it houses both the botanical and zoological collections. The beginning of the herbarium in its present form was the Herbarium Horti Botanici Universitatis Wratislaviensis, which was established by Prof. Ludolph Christian Treviranus in 1821 (Wiktor 2002; Wanat 2013). The Herbarium Silesiacum was independently founded by the Silesian Association of Native Culture (Schlesische Gesellschaft für Vaterländische Cultur) and, until 1945, it was housed on Tamka Island, Wrocław. It developed independently from the other botanical collections, but following the Second World War, it was merged with the main part of the herbarium.

Many distinguished botanists have worked in the WRSL herbarium (Museum of Natural History, University of Wrocław, Poland, in Polish: Zielnik WRSL), including the directors or curators of Wrocław’s botany collections, for example, Ludolf Christian Treviranus (1821–1830), Christian Gottfried Nees von Esenbeck (1830–1852), Heinrich Robert Goeppert (1852–1884), Heinrich Gustav Adolf Engler (1884–1889), Ferdinand Cohn (1884–1893) and Ferdinand Pax sen. (1893–1927). The Herbarium Silesiacum was curated by Julius Milde (1865–1870), Gustav Wilhelm Körber (1871–1885), Rudolf von Uechtritz (1871–1885), Rudolf von Uechtritz (1886), Theodor Schube (1890–1929) and Emil Schalow (1930–1944) (Wiktor 2002).

Professor H.R. Goeppert expanded the botany collections and established the Botanical Museum (Botanisches Museum) in 1853 (Wanat 2013). The first known catalogue of the Museum (Goeppert 1884) included 26 different collections, including the Herbarium of the World, the Herbarium Silesiacum, the Herbarium Mycologicum, a wood collection and several fruit and seed sets. Goeppert also opened another museum in 1878 – The Museum of the Botanical Garden (Mularczyk 1998). In 1888, all these several botanical collections belonging to the University were moved to a building that is today located at 6/8 Kanonia Street. However, they still consisted of two separate collections (the Botanical Museum in charge of Prof. Cohn and collections of the Herbarium and the Museum of the Botanical Garden in charge of Prof. Engler). Due to the efforts of Prof. Engler, a private Silesian plant collection assembled by Rudolf von Uechtritz was purchased at this time and M. Winkler donated his herbarium to the Museum, which he had compiled for 30 years (Wiktor 2002).

At the end of nineteenth century, Ferdinand Pax (the elder) merged all the University botanical collections under the name of the Botanical Museum. His own collections were also included in the Museum at this time. Before merging, von Uechtritz’s herbarium of Silesian plants was handed over to the Herbarium Silesiacum (then still independent) on his initiative. In exchange for Uechtritz’s herbarium, the Botanical Museum later received the Herbarium Henschelianum (part of the Herbarium Silesiacum) with ca. 100,000 sheets.

As a result of these mergers and gifts, the Wrocław herbarium had acquired an extensive and significant collection of specimens from Europe (especially the Mediter-
ranean) and the rest of the world. These were collected by botanists such as Hubert Winkler (a student of F. Pax the elder) in East Africa, Cameroon, Java, Sumatra and Borneo. In 1938, a collection of ca. 50,000 herbarium sheets (including numerous types) was donated to the Museum by Carl Adolf Georg Lauterbach, who travelled extensively in New Guinea and Melanesia. By 1914, the Herbarium had 540,000 sheets which, by 1939, had grown to ca. 600,000 sheets (Wiktor 2002; Wanat 2013). The oldest and most valuable collections of the Herbarium Silesiacum were those made by H.G. Mattuschka (1776 and 1779), A.J. Krocker (1787, 1790, 1814, 1823), A. Henschel (1830), a herbarium of fungi assembled by W.G. Schneider and an old herbarium of Paolo (Silvio) Boccone, a Cistercian monk, who moved to Wroclaw in 1694 and donated his herbarium that consisted mainly of Mediterranean plants (Treviranus 1831; Rostański 1963). This herbarium is not mentioned by Stafleu et al. (1976), but is the oldest plant collection of a scientific nature in Poland. In 1935, the Herbarium Silesiacum housed over 80,000 sheets (Wanat 2013).

In autumn 1944, during the Second World War, German authorities evacuated all university botanical collections from Wroclaw. The Herbarium Generale (combination of the various merged herbaria) was then located in Piotrowice castle near Kąty Wroclawskie (ca. 43 km S.W. of Wroclaw), the Herbarium Lauterbach in Siedlęcin near Jelenia Góra (ca. 95 km W. of Wroclaw) and the other botanical sets in the garrison church in Oleśnica near Wroclaw (ca. 27 km N.E. of Wroclaw), which were unfortunately lost in a fire. The Herbarium Silesiacum was lodged, in turn, in the attic of one of the primary schools in south Wroclaw (in the Tarnogaj district); however, it was not protected from destruction and the dusty and damp collection was rediscovered after the war unbound, mixed together with litter and broken glass (Wiktor 2002; Wanat 2013).

Shortly after the war, Polish authorities failed to discover traces of herbarium sets in the dilapidated buildings – these were found in the Piotrowice castle, Siedlęcin and south Wroclaw only in 1946–1947, but only the Herbarium Lauterbach was salvaged undamaged. The recovered collections were entrusted to Prof. Józef Mądryński, who was invited to Wroclaw from Lviv (former Poland, now in the Ukraine). The war had damaged many of the specimens and repairs were successfully undertaken by Polish botanists. Rostański (1963) assessed the war damage in both herbaria (i.e. Herbarium Generale and Herbarium Silesiacum) as, after the war, only 200,000 herbarium sheets were discovered out of 600,000 that belonged to the University in 1939, together with 30,000 herbarium sheets from the former Herbarium Silesiacum which, in 1939, housed 80,000 sheets (it was confirmed then that the oldest Silesian flora sets of H.G. Mattuschka and A.J. Krocker had been destroyed).

Currently, the collections are estimated to contain over 515,000 sheets, including ca. 410,000 vascular plants, 27,000 bryophytes, 38,400 fungi and myxomycetes, 27,000 lichens and 12,600 algae (Mirek et al. 1997; K. Świerkosz, pers. comm., 2019). The herbarium WRSL has had a turbulent history and has enormous importance in the botanical history of Poland.

The aim of this investigation was to assess the value of the WRSL botanical collection using the genus *Juncus* as a case study. Type and other nomenclaturally and
historically important specimens “hiding” in such under-appreciated collections are improtant for taxonomy, nomenclature and biodiversity studies. Using the WRSL herbarium, we address the importance of collections like WRSL as reservoirs of valuable data that are relevant to experts who are involved in taxonomic revision.

**Methods**

**Assessing the significance of the WRSL collection**

The WRSL herbarium is currently divided into three parts: the Herbarium Generale, the Herbarium Lauterbachi and the Herbarium Silesiacum. The Herbarium Generale (about 375,000 specimens including about 75,000 spore-bearing organisms) holds the plant and fungal material from around the world, excluding Lower Silesia, Poland, the Herbarium Lauterbachi (about 50,000 sheets) contains plants from New Guinea and Melanesia and the Herbarium Silesiacum (about 90,000 specimens) (K. Świerkosz, pers. comm., 2019) houses plants from Lower Silesia, Poland.

Generally, the importance of particular natural collections depends not only on their size, but also can be measured on the percentage or the absolute share of type specimen types (Sutory 1997). In 2017, digitalisation of the WRSL collection was initiated and was subsequently able to be accessed via GBIF.org (Świerkosz 2017); this work is on-going but only 25,000 specimens (4.9%) are currently listed in a database (K. Świerkosz, pers. comm., 2019). Therefore, we decided to assess the importance of using specimens of the genus *Juncus* (Juncaceae) stored in the Herbarium Generale (to date, no *Juncus* specimens from WRSL are included in GBIF.org database to facilitate this task). The reasons for this choice were: 1) type specimens of *Juncus* have never previously been assessed in the WRSL Herbarium; 2) the genus *Juncus* is rich in species from regions where the herbarium has geographical strengths, 311 are listed by Kirschner et al. (2002a, b) and 3) the first author of this paper is a specialist in *Juncus* taxonomy, which considerably aided the analysis of specimen status.

We evaluated the following factors (Sutory 1997): 1) the originality of the collection, including the number of types and other historically-important specimens; 2) the size of the collection, i.e. the total number of specimens; 3) the geographical scope of the collection; 4) the length of the period represented by the collection; 5) the number of duplicates and 6) the physical condition of the collection (well-prepared, well-preserved and undamaged and well-stored material with appropriate labels). Herbarium sheets with plants representing a single taxon that were gathered in the same locality and on the same date by the same collector, were regarded as duplicates. Additionally, we analysed the specimens with respect to: 1) the person who collected the material in the field; 2) the collection from which they came (i.e. to whom they belonged before accession in WRSL) and 3) the floras/exsiccate from which they came.

We catalogued all *Juncus* specimens ourselves, paying particular attention to all types and other historical material, which we identified, based on the latest mono-
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Graph (Kirschner et al. 2002a, b), from which we took the current nomenclature of the genus. The localities and dates of sets for historical collections, especially those of C.F. Ecklon & C.L.P. Zeyher and J.F. Drège, were deciphered from literature (Meyer 1832; Drège 1847, 1848; Buchenau 1875, 1890, 1906), which enabled us to recognise many Juncus types.

The Juncus sets are stored in seven herbarium boxes indexed as separate fascicles, numbered 151–157 and an extra 43 herbarium sheets were kept in a separate folder. We analysed 2,192 herbarium sheets in total. We treated a separate collection with its own label as a separate herbarium sheet, as specimens from three different localities could have been mounted on one herbarium sheet (we treated these as three separate herbarium sheets). We identified 2,222 taxonomic records, since part of the material represents mixed sets. We conducted our research from scratch, since only two Juncus types identified in the Herbarium Generale had been previously labelled using a red label. Thus, no other Juncus types stood out from other herbarium sheets. Our results were also compared with those within the Global Plants Database (plants.jstor.org, accessed on 16 Apr 2020) and additional herbaria, not mentioned by Kirschner et al. (2002a, 2002b) that store other type specimens/duplicates of names we assessed, are added to the last column of Table 1 and marked with an asterisk (*). Duplicates of selected type specimens stored at WRSL were also compared with those stored in other herbaria (present in the Global Plants Database). When comparisons were made, we considered the physical condition of specimens, quantity of materials, different annotations, kinds of labels and plant parts.

Results

Type and other historically-important material

We found 78 specimens that are historically or nomenclaturally important (Table 1): two holotypes, 20 isolectotypes, 14 isotypes, 29 syntypes (including one probable syntype of Juncus exsertus Buchenau (1875: 435)), three paratypes, one isoneotype, five sheets of historically-relevant material (for names not validly published) or additional material from type localities collected by the author of the name (so-called “topotypes”) and four sheets of probable original material to be analysed in the future (Fig. 1). Holotypes, isotypes and isolectotypes constitute 46.2% of all types (and other historically- and nomenclaturally-important specimens) of Juncus specimens recognised at the WRSL. The most significant discovery was the identification of the three following Juncus types in the WRSL Herbarium (see also remarks for them in Table 1, last column of rows 46, 56, 20):

1) ISOTYPE of Juncus lomatophyllus Spreng. (1821: 108) [sine dato, Bergius s.n. (*J. lomatophyllus* Spreng., Bergius'sches Exemplar, bestimmt von K. Sprengel, 11 Jan 1875, det. Fr. Buchenau)]. – Holotype in B, destroyed. Isotype (the only duplicate known) rediscovered at WRSL.
**Table 1.** A list of historically- and nomenclaturally-important *Juncus* specimens identified in the Herbarium Generale at WRSL. A sequence of species alphabetically according to the basionym *Juncus* names. No. – Successive Number; N.f. – Number of fascicle (= herbarium box) at WRSL; underlined text – new findings after examination of the protologues; grey rows – indicate types that were stored in Berlin and were destroyed during the WWII; * – asterisk indicates additional herbaria where Global Plants (plants.jstor.org) records duplicates.

| No. | N.f. | Kind of type and type of (basionym) | Current name | Herbarium label data (original spelling) | Flora of / Herbarium | T: Type citation from protologue, including herbaria acronyms (according to Kirschner et al. (2002a, b)) and additional remarks (Rem.) |
|-----|------|------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | 151  | Authentic/original material of *Juncus antonianus* Steud. in W. Lechler, *Berberid. Amer. Austral.* (1857: 56), nom. inval. | *Juncus balticus* subsp. *andicola* (Hook. 1848: 8, pl. 714) Snogerup in Snogerup, *Zika & Kirschner, Prediæ 74* (2002: 251) | PERU. S. Antonio | Jun 1854 | W. Lechler 1808 (as *Juncus andicola*, 04 Dec 1887, Fr. Buchenau) W. Lechler, Pl. Peruvianæ ed. R. F. Hochcänner / Herbarium Henschelianum | Authentich/original material: Peru, San Antonio, Jun 1954, W. Lechler 1808, G, GOET, K, KWP*.
Rem.: After Kirschner et al. (2002b: 74) erroneous collection date of Jun 1854 to be corrected to Jun 1854. |
| 2   | 151  | Isoneotype of *Juncus atratus* Krock., *Fl. Siles. 1* (1787: 562) | *Juncus atratus* Krock., *Fl. Siles. 1* (1787: 562) | POLAND. Breslau, [Wrocław], Oderdämme bei Carlowitz [Karłowice, now a settlement within Wrocław city] | 10 Jul 1892 | A. Callier Flora Silesiaca exsiccata / Herbarium Wagnerranum | Silesia, A. Krock; sync. not extant; Breslau, Oderdämme bei Carlowitz [Karlowice between Wrocław and Opole, Poland], 10 Jul 1892, A. Callier [Fl. Siles. Exs. / 721; neo: S, designated by Kirschner et al. (2002a: 178); isoneo: L, PRC, W, WU. 
Rem.: After Kirschner et al. (2002a: 178) erroneous locality translated as 'Karlowice [village] between Wrocław and Opole, Poland' which is on the Stobrawa river [not Odra] and is ca. 55 km SE from the Karłowice [settlement] in Wrocław on the Odra river. The status of the type was corrected (iso to isoneo) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code. |
| 3   | 154  | Syntype of *Juncus brunneus* Buchenau, *Junc. S. Amer. (1879: 405)* | *Juncus ebracteatus* E. Mey., *Syn. Junc.* (1822: 28) | PERU. I. Maladosis prope Azangaro | Jun 1854 | W. Lechler 1749, det. Fr. Buchenau, 22 Jan 1879 | Bolivia, La Paz, Laracaja, 2700–3800 m, G. Mandon 1436; syn: BM, G, K, MO, NY, P, Peru, Azangaro, W. Lechler 1749; neo: BRA, G, GOET, K, O, P. |
| 4   | 152  | Isotype of *Juncus buchenaui* Sved., *Junc. Reg. Exp. (Bih. Kongl. Svenska Vetensk.-Akad. Handl.) 23(3), no 6 (1897: 9)* | *Juncus marginatus* Rostk., *De Junco* (1801: 38) | BRASILIA. Brasiliæ civit. Rio Grande do Sul, Quinta | 07 Dec 1892 | C.A.M. Lindman 857 | Brazil, Rio Grande do Sul, Quinta prope opp. Rio Grande, 7 Dec 1892, C.A.M. Lindman 857; holot: S; isoe: GH, W [cf. *Juncus buchenaui* Dörfl. 1897. an prius?]. 
Rem.: After Kirschner et al. (2002a: 48) collection No. A875 (probably to be corrected). |
| No. | N.O. | Kind of type and type of (basionym) | Current name | Locality (label data) | Date | Leg. et det. | Flora of / Herbarium | Herbarium label data (original spelling) | T: | Remarks |
|-----|------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|---------|
| 5   | 152  | Probably original material of **Juncus bufonius** var. **halophilus** Buchenau & Fernald, * Rhodora* 6 (1904: 39) | **Juncus bufonius** | CANADA. Rivière du Loup | Aug 1902 | W.W. Eggleston 3036 | Plants of the Lower St. Lawrence | T: Canada, Quebec, Rivière du Loup, 2 Aug 1902. **E.F. Williams & M.L. Fernald**, holo: GH; see CM*. K, L, P, A, BM, BR, BIL, CAL, CAN, CHA, CMB, C, DBNP, DSE, DRE, E, GOET, HAL, HBG, KRA, L, LAU, LG, LISU, M, MA, MSB, P, PBMA, POZ, S, TUB, WA, WSR, ZBL. | | After Kirschner et al. (2002b: 15) collection should be of **E.F. Williams & M.L. Fernald** but the herbarium label is marked as ‘Type’. According to the protologue the paratype should be collected by **J.R. Churchill**, **E.F. Williams & M.L. Fernald** (instead of **W. W. Eggleston** only) and with the exact collection date (8 Aug 1902). |
| 6   | 152  | Holotype of **Juncus bulbosus** f. **submucronatus** Proćków, *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* 47 (2010: 412) | **Juncus bulbosus** f. **submucronatus** Proćków, *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* 47 (2010: 412) | POLAND. Wrocław Leśnica, ad ripam et in aqua piscinae eutrophicae, situ meridiano-occidentali lacus | 31 May 1999 | J. Proćków 990531/2 | Herbarium J. Proćków | T: Poland, Dolny Śląsk, Wrocław Leśnica, ad ripam et in aqua piscinae eutrophicae, situ meridiano-occidentali lacus, 31 May 1999, J. Proćków; holo: WRSL; iso: WRSL; para: B, BIL, BM, BR, C, DBN, DRAPN, E, GOET, HAL, HBG, KRA, L, LAU, LG, LISU, M, MA, MA, MSB, P, PBMA, POZ, S, TUB, WA, WRSL, WSRP, ZBI. | | After Proćków (2010: 420–423). |
| 7–11 | 152  | Isotype of **Juncus bulbosus** f. **submucronatus** Proćków, *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* 47 (2010: 412) | **Juncus bulbosus** f. **submucronatus** Proćków, *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* 47 (2010: 412) | POLAND. Wrocław Leśnica, ad ripam et in aqua piscinae eutrophicae, situ meridiano-occidentali lacus | 31 May 1999 | to 6 | Herbarium J. Proćków | T: Poland, Dolny Śląsk, Wrocław Leśnica, ad ripam et in aqua piscinae eutrophicae, situ meridiano-occidentali lacus, 31 May 1999, J. Proćków; holo: WRSL; iso: WRSL; para: B, BIL, BM, BR, C, DBN, DRAPN, E, GOET, HAL, HBG, KRA, L, LAU, LG, LISU, M, MA, MSB, P, PBMA, POZ, S, TUB, WA, WRSL, WSRP, ZBI. | | |
| 12  | 152  | Paratype of **Juncus bulbosus** f. **submucronatus** Proćków, *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* 47 (2010: 412) | **Juncus bulbosus** f. **submucronatus** Proćków, *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* 47 (2010: 412) | GERMANY. Leipzig, Dahlen, 2. Teich in Richtung Schmannewitz, Teichschlamm. | 03 Aug 1984 | Peter Gutte 34378 (WRSL 69420) | Herbar. Univ. Lipsiensis, Pflanzen der DDR. Flora des Bezirkes Leipzig. | T: Poland, Dolny Śląsk, Wrocław Leśnica, ad ripam et in aqua piscinae eutrophicae, situ meridiano-occidentali lacus, 31 May 1999, J. Proćków; holo: WRSL; iso: WRSL; para: B, BIL, BM, BR, C, DBN, DRAPN, E, GOET, HAL, HBG, KRA, L, LAU, LG, LISU, M, MA, MSB, P, PBMA, POZ, S, TUB, WA, WRSL, WSRP, ZBI. | | Post Charles P. Billot (1999: 420–423). |
| No. | N.f. | Kind of type and type of (baionym) | Current name | Herbarium label data (original spelling) | T: Type citation from protologue, including herbaria acronyms (according to Kirschner et al. (2002a, b) and additional remarks (Rem.)) |
|-----|------|----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 13  | 152  | Paratype of Juncus bulbosus f. submucronatus Proćków, Ann. Bot. Fenn. 47 (2010: 412) | Juncus bulbosus f. submucronatus Proćków, Ann. Bot. Fenn. 47 (2010: 412) | CZECH REPUBLIC. Bohemia meridionalis, dist. České Budějovice; ad margines turfosas stagnorum prope rivulam Borovníky ponok hnojiv pro více Borovnice, copitose, ca. 450 m s.m. 24 Aug 1962 J. Kučera 154 (WRSL 26580) Plantae Čechoslovacae Exsiccatae. Cura Sectionis Botanicae Musei Nationalis Pragae Editae. Centuria II | T: Ueland, Dolny Śląsk, Wroclaw Leśnica, ad ripam et in aqua piscinae eutrophe, sinu meridiano-occidentali lacus, 31 May 1999. J. Proćków; holo: WRSL; iso: WRSL; para: B, BIL, BM, CR, DBN, DRAPN, E, GOET, H, HAL, HBG, KRA, LAU, LG, LISU, M, MA, MSB, P, PMMA, POZ, S, TRN, TUB, WA, WRSL, WSRP, ZBI. Rem.: After Proćków (2010: 420–423). |
| 14  | 152  | Paratype of Juncus bulbosus f. submucronatus Proćków, Ann. Bot. Fenn. 47 (2010: 412) | Juncus bulbosus f. submucronatus Proćków, Ann. Bot. Fenn. 47 (2010: 412) | POLAND. dist. Siedlcach, Krzymosze, na obnażonej ziemi w borze bagiennym obok toru [on bare soil in marshy forest next to a railway track]. 27 Jul 1974 Z. Głowiński i n. (WRSL 35948) Zbieg Zakładu Biologii Wyższej Szkoły Nauczycielskiej w Siedlcach | T: Ueland, Dolny Śląsk, Wroclaw Leśnica, ad ripam et in aqua piscinae eutrophe, sinu meridiano-occidentali lacus, 31 May 1999. J. Proćków; holo: WRSL; iso: WRSL; para: B, BIL, BM, CR, DBN, DRAPN, E, GOET, H, HAL, HBG, KRA, LAU, LG, LISU, M, MA, MSB, P, PMMA, POZ, S, TRN, TUB, WA, WRSL, WSRP, ZBI. Rem.: After Proćków (2010: 420–423). |
| 15  | 152  | Isolectotype of Juncus caespiticiosus E. Mey. in J.G.C. Lehmann, Pl. Preiss. 2 (1846: 47) | Juncus caespiticiosus E. Mey. in J.G.C. Lehmann, Pl. Preiss. 2 (1846: 47) | AUSTRALIA. ad fluvium Canning, Perth, novae Hollandiae. 02 Nov 1839 Preiss. (L. Preiss) 1733 | T: [Western Australia, Perth, Canning R.] ad fluvium Canning (Perth) novae Hollandiae, 2 Nov 1839. Preiss. [Pl. Austral. Occid.] 1733; lecto: W, designated by Kirschner et al. (2002a: 38); isoleclo: BM, BREM, G*, K, L, LD*, MEL, NSW, P, W. Rem.: The status of the type corrected (iso to isoleclo) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code. |
| 16  | 155  | Isotype of Juncus caffo Bertol., Mem. Reale Acad. Sci. Ist. Bologna 3 (1851: 253, Pl. 19, fig. 3). | Juncus kraussii Hochst. in C. Krauss Flora 28 (1845: 342) | MOZAMBIQUE. ’Inhambane Mozambique’ 06 Dec 1848 Fornasionio i.n. | T: Mozambique. ’Inhambane Mozambique’, 6 Dec 1848, Fornasionio, holo: BOLO. |
| 17  | 152  | Syntype of Juncus capensis subsp. angustifolius var. ecklonii Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap (1875: 485) | Juncus capensis subsp. angustifolius var. ecklonii Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap (1875: 485) [Abb. Naturw. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875:485)] | SOUTH AFRICA. Paludosa ad pedem montis duboli 19 & 28 Nov 1827 C.F. Ecklon 359 (as Juncus capensis Thbg. subsp. angustifolius var. ecklonii Buchn. det. Fr. Buchenau, 11 Jan 1875) | T: Cape, Teufelsberg, C.F. Ecklon 897, Unio itis., no 35 [annotated by E. Meyer under no 18]; syn: BOL, JE*, S. W. Rem.: Additional remark by Buchenau (1875: 485): ’Un. it. No. 35’. |
| 18  | 152  | Syntype of Juncus capensis subsp. angustifolius var. ecklonii Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap (1875: 485) | Juncus capensis subsp. angustifolius var. ecklonii Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap (1875: 485) [Abb. Naturw. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 485)] | SOUTH AFRICA. Paludosa planitiei capensis Dec 1827 C.F. Ecklon 899 (as Juncus capensis Thbg. subsp. angustifolius var. ecklonii Buchn. det. Fr. Buchenau, 11 Jan 1875) | T: Cape, Teufelsberg, C.F. Ecklon 897, Unio itis., no 35 [annotated by E. Meyer under no 18]; syn: BOL, S. W. Rem.: Kirschner et al. (2002a: 36) did not mention this type (C.F. Ecklon 899) but it is listed by Buchenau (1875: 485) in the protologue of the new taxon; additionally: the specimen really seen by Buchenau (with his own handwritten label); Kirschner et al. (2002a: 36) listed var. ecklonii as homotypic with Juncus capensis var. angustifolius E. Mey.; syn: JE*, W*. |
| No. | N.f.  | Kind of type and type of basionym | Current name | Herbarium label data (original spelling) | Flora of / Herbarium | T: Type citation from protologue, including herbaria acronyms (according to Kirschner et al. (2002a, b)) and additional remarks (Rem.) |
|-----|-------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 19  | 152   | Syntype of Juncus capensis subsp. angustifolius var. sphagnetorum f. frondescens Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap. (1875: 490) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875:490)] | Juncus capensis Thoub., Prodr. Pl. Cap. 1 (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA. Cape, Tafelberg | sine dato | Herbarium Henschelianum | T: Cape, Tafelberg, J.F. Drège aa; syn: K*, P.S. W; Gipfel des Tafelberges, C.L.P. Zepher 47; syn: B, destroyed. |
| 20  | 152   | Isolectotype of Juncus capensis subsp. longifolius var. gracilior Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap. (1875: 483) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875:483)] | Juncus capensis Thoub., Prodr. Pl. Cap. 1 (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA. Cap. B. Spei. | 05 Mar 1816 | Herbarium Henschelianum | T: Caput bonae spei, 5 Mar 1816, Bergius; lecto (as holo): B, destroyed, fide A.A. Obermeyer, in A.A. Obermeyer, J. Lewis & R.B. Faden, Fl. S. Afr. 4/2 (1985: 83); syn: W. Rem.: There are more specimens mentioned in the protologue of a new taxon (Buchenau, 1875: 484) thus the lectotype was designated. Isolectotype (the only duplicate known) rediscovered at WRSL (the specimen includes the collection date (i.e. 5 Mar 1816), as in the the protologue). The syntype (Bergius specimen at W) does not have the collection date. The status of the type corrected (iso to isolecto) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code. |
| 21  | 152   | Syntype of Juncus capensis subsp. longifolius var. gracilior Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap. (1875: 483) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875:483)] | Juncus capensis Thoub., Prodr. Pl. Cap. 1 (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA. [Cape] zwischen Paarl und Franschhoek | sine dato | Herbarium Henschelianum | T: Caput bonae spei, 5 Mar 1816, Bergius; lecto (as holo): B, destroyed, fide A.A. Obermeyer, in A.A. Obermeyer, J. Lewis & R.B. Faden, Fl. S. Afr. 4/2 (1985: 83); isolecto: W. Rem.: A specimen not mentioned by Kirschner et al. (2002a: 37), but listed by Buchenau (1875: 484), thus it is a syntype because there are more specimens within the protologue of a new taxon; syn: S*. The status of the type corrected (iso to isolecto) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code. |
22 152 Juncus capensis subsp. parviflorus, =Juncus capensis subsp. parviflorus Buchenau, "Monogr. Junc. Cap."
(1875: 491) [Abh. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 491)]
Juncus capensis Thunb., "Prodr. Pl. Cap."
(1794: 66)
SOUTH AFRICA. ad ripas fl. Zonder-Einde, Zwellendam
Nov 1836
C. Krauss s.n.
(det. as Juncus cephalotes Thunb.)
Herbarium Henschelianum
T: Cape, Swellendam, Rivier Zondereinde, Nov 1838,
C. Krauss s.n.; holo: WRSL; iso: W.
Rem.: Buchenau (1875: 491) listed only one specimen stored at 'Herbarium der schlesischen Gesellschaft für vaterländische
Kultur und der naturhistorischen Gesellschaft der preussischen Rheinlande und Westfalen', i.e. in Wrocław. Thus, this
specimen was considered by Buchenau to be the holotype of the
name. However, the type specimen was not readily accessible at
Wrocław. Moreover, this specimen was incorrectly cited in the
protologue (1875: 491) as 'Nov 1838', however, identical sheets (from Herbarium R. v. Uechtritz & Herbarium Schumann, both at WRSL) read 'Nov 1836'. Compare also with A.A. Obermeyer,
in A.A. Obermeyer, J. Lewis & R.B. Faden, "Fl. S. Afr."
4/2 (1985: 83).
The status of the type corrected (iso to holo (for WRSL), and
lecto to iso (for W)) in accordance with the
Shenzhen Code.

23 152 Juncus capensis subsp. parviflorus, =Juncus capensis subsp. parviflorus Buchenau, "Monogr. Junc. Cap."
(1875: 491) [Abh. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 491)]
Juncus capensis Thunb., "Prodr. Pl. Cap."
(1794: 66)
SOUTH AFRICA. ad ripas fl. Zonder-Einde, Zwellendam (Cap. B.
spei.)
Nov 1836
C. Krauss s.n.
(det. as Juncus cephalotes Thunb.)
Herbarium R. v. Uechtritz
Rem.: see above

24 152 Juncus capitatus var. physcomitrioides, =Juncus capitatus var. physcomitrioides Baen., "Prosp. Herb. Eur."
(1873: 4); Schriften Königl. Phys.-Ökon. Ges. Königsberg
14 (1873: 16).
Juncus capitatus Weigel, "Observ. Bot."
(1772: 28)
POLAND. Danzig [Gdańsk], Strand bei Zoppot [Sopot]
08 Jul 1872
C. Baenitz s.n.
Herbarium Europaeum
T: Danzig, Strand bei Zoppot [Poland, Gdańsk, Sopot], 8
Jul 1872, K.G. Baenitz [Herb. Eur.]; syn: L; additional authentic material
from the same site: 5 Jul 1876, K.G. Baenitz [Herb. Eur.]

25 152 Additional material from type locality) [collected by the author
of the name] of Juncus capitatus var. physcomitrioides Baen., "Prosp. Herb. Eur."
(1873: 4); Schriften Königl. Phys.-Ökon. Ges. Königsberg
14 (1873: 16).
Juncus capitatus Weigel, "Observ. Bot."
(1772: 28)
POLAND. Danzig [Gdańsk], Ad mare balticum (Zoppot
[Sopot])
05 Jul 1876
C. Baenitz 1506
Dr. C. Baenitz, Herbarium Europaeum
T: Danzig, Strand bei Zoppot [Poland, Gdańsk, Sopot], 8
Jul 1872, K.G. Baenitz [Herb. Eur.]; syn: L; additional authentic material
from the same site, collected by the author of the name: 5 Jul 1876,
K.G. Baenitz [Herb. Eur.].
| No. | N.f. | Kind of type and type of (basionym) | Current name | Herbarium label data (original spelling) | T: Type citation from protologue, including herbaria acronyms (according to Kirschner et al. (2002a, b)) and additional remarks (Rem.) |
|-----|-----|------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 27  | 152 | Additional material from type locality) [collected by the author of the name] of *Juncus capitatus* var. *physcomitrioides* Baen., *Prosp. Herb. Eur.* (1873: 4); *Schriften Königl. Phys.-Ökon. Ges. Königsberg* 14 (1873: 16). | *Juncus capitatus* Weigel, *Obser. Bot.* (1772: 16) | POLAND. Danzig, [Gdańsk], Ad mare balticum (Zoppot [Sopot]) 05 Jul 1876, C. Baenitz 1506 | Dr. C. Baenitz, Herbarium Europaeum T: Danzig, Strand bei Zoppot [Poland, Gdańsk, Sopot], 8 Jul 1872, K. G. Baenitz; syn: L; additional material from the same site, collected by the author of the name; 5 Jul 1876, K.G. Baenitz [*Herb. Eur.*] 1506 (LD, W). |
| 28  | 156 | Syntype of *Juncus cephalotes* var. *minimus* Hochst., *Flora* 28 (1845: 342), p.p. | *Juncus cephalotes* Thunb., *Prod. Pl. Cap.* (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA. in arenos. plan. Cap. Nov [18]38, C. Krauss s.n. | Herbarium R. v. Uechtritz T: [South Africa, Cape] ‘in arenosis planitiei capensis’, Nov 1828, C. Krauss; syn: W, K [both mixed collections]. Rem.: The material needs to be revised because W & K contain mixed collections; after Kirschner et al. (2002a: 73), the collection date was Nov 1828 (to be corrected to Nov 1838). |
| 29  | 156 | Syntype of *Juncus cephalotes* var. *minimus* Hochst., *Flora* 28 (1845: 342), p.p. | *Juncus cephalotes* Thunb., *Prod. Pl. Cap.* (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA. in arenos. plan. Cap. Nov [18]38, C. Krauss s.n. | Herbarium Schumann T: [South Africa, Cape] ‘in arenosis planitiei capensis’, Nov 1828, C. Krauss; syn: W, K [both mixed collections]. Rem.: Original material was from Krauss because the identical label is on a sheet from Herbarium R. v. Uechtritz where ‘Dr. Krauss’ was added; the material needs to be revised because W & K contain mixed collections; after Kirschner et al. (2002a: 73), the collection date is Nov 1828 (to be corrected to Nov 1838). |
| 30  | 152 | Syntype of *Juncus cephalotes* var. *minimus* Hochst., *Flora* 28 (1845: 342), p.p. | *Juncus cephalotes* Thunb., *Prod. Pl. Cap.* (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA. in arenosis plan. Cap. Nov [18]38, C. Krauss s.n. (det. as *Juncus cephalotes* Thbg. var. *varius* Bchn., *Fl. Buchenau* 23 Oct 1874) | Herbarium Henschelianum T: [South Africa, Cape] ‘in arenosis planitiei capensis’, Nov 1828, C. Krauss; syn: W, K [both mixed collections]. Rem.: Original material was from Krauss because the identical label is on a sheet from Herbarium R. v. Uechtritz where ‘Dr. Krauss’ was added; the material need to be revised because W & K contain mixed collections; after Kirschner et al. (2002a: 73), the collection date is Nov 1828 (to be corrected). |
| 31  | 152 | Isolectotype of *Juncus cephalotes* var. *ustulatus* Buchenau, *Monogr. Junc. Cap.* 1875: 451) [Abh. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 451)] | *Juncus cephalotes* Thunb., *Prod. Pl. Cap.* (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA. Cape, Tafelberg Oct 1827, C.F. Ecklon 13., 2.12 (as *Juncus capensis* var. *angustifolius* E. M., det. C.F. Ecklon) | Herbarium Schumann T: South Africa, Cape, Tafelberg, Oct 1827, C.F. Ecklon 13. lecto: BOL, fide R.S. Adamson, *J. Linn. Soc.* Bot. 50 (1935: 32); isoleceto: W*. |
| No. | N.f. | Kind of type and type of (basionym) | Current name | Herbarium label data (original spelling) | T: Type citation from protologue, including herbaria acronyms (according to Kirschner et al. (2002a, b) and additional remarks (Rem.)) |
|-----|------|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 32  | 152  | Syntype of *Juncus ephelates* var. ustulatus Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap (1875: 451) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 451)] or (and) var. varius Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap (1875: 451) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 451)]. | *Juncus ephelates* Thunb., Prodr. Pl. Cap. (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA, Paludosa montis tabularis septentr. Nov 1826 C.F. Ecklon 901 Herbarium Schumann | T: [South Africa, Cape] Camps Bay, C.F. Ecklon s.n. (BOL); syn: PRC*, S*. Rem.: Mixed material containing var. *ustulatus* Buchenau & var. *varius* Buchenau, mentioned in both protologues, to be analysed. |
| 33  | 152  | Syntype of *Juncus ephelates* var. *varius* Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap (1875: 451) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 451)]. | *Juncus ephelates* Thunb., Prodr. Pl. Cap. (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA, Worcester beim Waterfall sine dato C.F. Ecklon & C.L.P. Zeyher 8. 1. 11 (as *juncus capenis* var. minimum La Harpe, det. Ecklon & Zeyher) Herbarium Schumann | T: [South Africa, Cape] Camps Bay, C.F. Ecklon s.n. (BOL). Rem.: Kirschner et al. (2002a: 73) did not mention this type, but it is listed by Buchenau (1875: 452) within the protologue of the new taxon; however Buchenau (1875: 452) indicates stunted stamens in this material. |
| 34  | 153  | Syntype of *Juncus clausonis* Trak in J.A. Battandier & L.C. Trabut, Pl. Algérie, ed. 2 (1895: 84). | *Juncus striatus* Schousb. ex E. Mey., Syn. Junc. (1822: 27) | ALGERIA. Ain Taya (Alger) Jul 1889 J.A. Battandier & L.C. Trabut 586 Battandier et Trabut, Pl. d’Algérie | T: [Algeria] Ain Taya près Alger, Jun 1888, L.C. Trabut, syn: G; Jul 1889, J.A. Battandier & L.C. Trabut 586, syn: G, L, MPU*. |
| 35  | 157  | Isootype of *Juncus delicatus* Steud., Syn. Pl. Glumac. 2 (1855: 304). | *Juncus aequis* Thunb., Prodr. Pl. Cap. 1 (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA, Africa australis [Cape, Grahamstown Valley] sine dato J.F. Drège 1604c Herbarium Henschelium | T: Africa australis [Cape, Grahamstown Valley], J.F. Drège 1604c, holotype: P; iso: G, S, W. |
| 36  | 152  | Syntype of *Juncus dregeanus* var. conglomeratus Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap (1875: 463) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 463)]. | *Juncus dregeanus* Kunth, Enum. Pl. 3 (1841: 344) *subsp.* dregeanus | SOUTH AFRICA, Cap. Bon. Spei (Hassagaibosch [Assegaibos]) sine dato C.L.P. Zeyher (C.F. Ecklon & C.L.P. Zeyher) Jun 10, 1867 (as *juncus ephelates* L’Harpe var. conglomeratus Nees, det. Zeyher) Herbarium Schumann | T: Hassagaibosch [Assegaibos], C.F. Ecklon & C.L.P. Zeyher 10; syn: BOL, W; Albany, C.F. Ecklon, syn. n. v. |
| 37  | 156  | Probable syntype of *Juncus exsertus* Buchenau, Monogr. Juncac. Cap (1873: 435) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1873: 435)]. | *Juncus exsertus* Buchenau, Monogr. Juncac. Cap (1875: 435) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 435)]. | SOUTH AFRICA, Worcester, Waterfall sine dato C.F. Ecklon & C.L.P. Zeyher 1. 11 (det. as *juncus punctatorius* Thbg) Herbarium Schumann | T: [Cape Provinces, Swartkops River] Zwartkops River, C.L.P. Zeyher 103; syn: B [destroyed after having been selected as type by R.S.Adamson, J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 50 (1935: 15)]; BOL: Worcester, Waterfall, C.F. Ecklon & C.L.P. Zeyher [as *juncus punctatorius* 1. 11] p.p.; syn: B [destroyed], PRE: Zondagsrivier bei Graaff-Reinet [Sundays River at Graaff-Reinet], H. Bolus 1888; syn: BOL, K*; ‘Camdeboosberg, 4–5000 Fuss’, J.E.Drège [as *juncus oxypus* ’c’]; syn: W [only]. Rem.: C.F. Ecklon & C.L.P. Zeyher [as *juncus punctatorius* 1. 11] pro parte as a syntype of the name (Kirschner et al. 2002a: 239). |
| 38  | 153  | Syntype of *Juncus glaucus* var. acutusimus Buchenau, Monogr. Juncac. Cap (1875: 417). | *Juncus inflexus* L., Sp. Pl. (1753: 326) | SOUTH AFRICA, Cape, Wodehouse, Klein Buffels Vallei near Gaatjie sine dato J.F. Drège 8796c Herbarium Henschelium | T: Cape, Wodehouse, Klein Buffels Vallei near Gaatjie, J.F. Drège 8796c; syn: E*, LE*, LD, S, W. |
| No. | N.f. | Kind of type and type of (basionym) | Current name | Herbarium label data (original spelling) | Type citation from protologue, including herbaria acronyms (according to Kirschner et al. (2002a, b)) and additional remarks (Rem.) |
|-----|------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 39  | 152  | **Synotype** of Juncus inaequalis var. viridescens Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap. (1875: 455) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 455)] | Juncus ophalotes Thunb., Prodr. Pl. Cap. (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA, Worcester beim Waterfall sine dato C.F. Ecklon Junc. 14., 1:11 Herbarium Schumann | T: South Africa, Cape, Swellendam, C.L.P Zeyher 4519; syn: BOL, K*, W [p.p. ut *juncus inaequalis* Nees, nom. inval.]; Hortentotts-Holland, C.L.P Zeyher 46; syn: BOL, W, S; C.F. Ecklon 14; syn: n.v. |
| 40  | 152  | **Probable original material of Juncus inundatus Drejer, Naturhist. Tidsskr. 2 (1838: 181)** | Juncus baeticus Willd., Gen. Natwef. Freund Berlin Mag Neuweten Endteck. Grammen Natw. 3 (1809: 298) subsp. baeticus × filiformis L., Sp. Pl. (1753: 326) | DENMARK. Thy, Jylland sine dato Drejer s.n. ex herb. Joh. Lange / Herbarium R. v. Uechtritz | T: n.v. = BM*, C*, W*. Rem.: The protologue of *Juncus inundatus* Drejer provided the following sites: Rons Klin in Thy district and at Balbyng (both found by Drejer) and Kolkerup Klin in Vesterhanherred (found by Poulsen). However, they are cited only as geographic localities and not as specimens. Moreover, the date of collection in the protologue is July 1837. The specimen at WRSL was collected in Thy district, but no exact locality or collection date was provided; after Kirschner et al. (2002b: 141): type – n.v. [non vidt]. After Kirschner et al. (2002b: 141) place of publication is *Bot. Tidskr.*, to be corrected to *Naturhistorisk Tidsskrift* (Copenhagen), i.e. *Naturwiss. Tidrak*.

| 41  | 154  | **Isolotype** of Juncus involucratus Steud. ex Buchenau, Abb. Naturwiss. Vereine Bremen 4 (1875: 121) | Juncus microcephalus Humb., Bonpl. & Kunth., Gen. Sp. 1 (1816: 237) [Quarto], 190 [Folio)] | PERU. Tabina Jul 1854 W. Lechler 2078 | T: Peru, Tabina, 1854, W.Lechler 2078; lecto: GOET, fide H.Baklev, Fl. Neotrop. Monogr. 68 (1996: 106); isolecto: G*, K, KW*, LE*, MO, O, S. Rem.: The status of the type corrected (iso to isolecto) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code. |
| 42  | 154  | **Isolotype** of Juncus kotschyi Boiss. in C.G.T. Kotschy, Pl. Persiae Austr. [exsiccat series edited by R.F. Hohenacker, printed label description], no. 446 (1845) & Boissier, Diagre. Pl. Orient., set. 1, 7 (1846: 101) | Juncus fontanesii subsp. kotschyi (Boiss.) Snogerup in K.H. Rechinger, Fl. Iranica 75 (1971: 25) | IRAN. In paludosi ad rad. M. Sabst-Buschom, pr. U. Schiras 31 May 1842 C.G.T. Kochy 446 | T: [Iran] m. Sabst-Buschon pr.[ope] u.[rem] Schiras, 31 May 1842, C.G.T. Kotschy 446; lecto: G-BOISS, fide S. Snogerup, in K.H. Rechinger, Fl. Iranica 75 (1971: 25); isolecto: B*, BM, CA*, CGE, CORD*, E*, FP, G, GOET*, HAL*, K, KW*, MO*, P, PR, S*, UPS. Rem.: The status of the type corrected (iso to isolecto) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code. |
| 43  | 154  | **Isolotype** of Juncus kotschyi Boiss. in C.G.T. Kotschy, Pl. Persiae Austr. [exsiccat series edited by R.F. Hohenacker, printed label description], no. 446 (1845) & Boissier, Diagre. Pl. Orient., set. 1, 7 (1846: 101) | Juncus fontanesii subsp. kotschyi (Boiss.) Snogerup in K.H. Rechinger, Fl. Iranica 75 (1971: 25) | IRAN. In paludosi ad rad. M. Sabst-Buschom, pr. U. Schiras 31 May 1842 C.G.T. Kochy 446 (det. Fr. Buchenau, 31 Jan 1875, as J. kotschyi) | T: [Iran] m. Sabst-Buschon pr.[ope] u.[rem] Schiras, 31 May 1842, C.G.T. Kotschy 446; lecto: G-BOISS, fide S. Snogerup, in K.H. Rechinger, Fl. Iranica 75 (1971: 25); isolecto: B*, BM, CA*, CGE, CORD*, E*, FP, G, GOET*, HAL*, K, KW*, MO*, P, PR, S*, UPS. Rem.: The status of the type corrected (iso to isolecto) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code. |
| No. | N.F. | Kind of type and type of (basionyms) | Current name | Herbarium label data (original spelling) | Locality (label data) | Date | Leg. et det. | Flora of / Herbarium | T: Type citation from protologue, including herbaria acronyms (according to Kirschner et al. (2002a, b) and additional remarks (Rem.:)

---

| 44 | 154 | Isolateotype of *Juncus kraussii* Hochst. in *C. Krauss Flora* 28 (1845: 342) | *Juncus kraussii* Hochst. in *C. Krauss Flora* 28 (1845: 342) | SOUTH AFRICA. ad ripas Notsinakama R., distr. George | Jan 1839 | C. Krauss s.n. (C. Krauss Specimen authentricum, Fr. Buchenau, 11 Jan 1875) | Herbarium Schumann | T: South Africa, George Distr., Notsinakama R., Jan 1839, C. Krauss; lecto: G-BOIS, fide S. Snogerup, *Willdenowia* 23 (1993: 57); isolecto: M, TUB*; Rem.: The status of the type corrected (iso to isolecto) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code.

| 45 | 154 | Isolateotype of *Juncus kraussii* Hochst. in *C. Krauss Flora* 28 (1845: 342) | *Juncus kraussii* Hochst. in *C. Krauss Flora* 28 (1845: 342) | SOUTH AFRICA. ad ripas Notsinakama R., distr. George | Jan 1839 | C. Krauss s.n. | Herbarium Schumann | T: South Africa, George Distr., Notsinakama R., Jan 1839, C. Krauss; lecto: G-BOIS, fide S. Snogerup, *Willdenowia* 23 (1993: 57); isolecto: M, TUB*; Rem.: The status of the type corrected (iso to isolecto) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code.

| 46 | 154 | Isotype of *Juncus lomatophyllus* Spreng., *New Entdeck Pflanzenk.* 2 (1821: 108) | *Juncus lomatophyllus* Spreng., *New Entdeck Pflanzenk.* 2 (1821: 108) | SOUTH AFRICA. Cap. B. Spec, sine dato | Herbarium Schumann | T: in promontorio bonae spei [Cape Peninsula], Bergius; holo: B, destroyed. Rem.: After Kirschner et al. (2002a: 31); holotype – B, destroyed. Isotype (the only duplicate known) rediscovered at WRS.

| 47 | 156 | Synotype of *Juncus mauritanicus* Trab., *Bull. Soc. Bot. France* 3/4 (1887: 396) | *Juncus punctatus* L. f., *Suppl. Pl.* (1781: 208) | ALGERIA. Ain el Hadjar [Oran] | 20 Jul 1887 | J.A. Battandier & L.C. Trabut 294 | Battandier et Trabut, *Pl. Algér.* (1887: 396); syn: G, L, MPU*, PR, WU; [Algeria] Batna, B.Balansa [Pl. Algér.] 739 syn. n.v. | T: [Algeria, Oran] Ain el Hadjar, 1100 m, 20 Jul 1887; J.A. Battandier & L.C. Trabut (*Pl. Alger.*, 294); syn: G, L, MPU*, PR, WU; [Algeria] Batna, B.Balansa (*Pl. Alger.*, 739); syn. n.v.

| 48 | 156 | Authentic/original material of *Juncus minae* Strobl ex Nyman, *Comp. Fl. Eur.* (1882: 749), nom. inval. | *Juncus pygmaeus* Rich. ex Thueyll., *Fl. Env. Paris*, ed. 2 (1800: 178) | ITALY. Ad oram maris Tyrrhini prope Finale | 11 Apr 1874 | P Gabriel Strobl s.n. | Flora nebrodensis / Herbarium M. Winkler | Authentic/original material: [Italy, Sicily] Flora Nebrodensis, prope Finale, G. Strobl (K, PR)

| 49 | 156 | Authentic/original material of *Juncus minae* Strobl ex Nyman, *Comp. Fl. Eur.* (1882: 749), nom. inval. | *Juncus pygmaeus* Rich. ex Thueyll., *Fl. Env. Paris*, ed. 2 (1800: 178) | ITALY. Ad oram maris Tyrrhini prope Finale | 11 Apr 1874 | P Gabriel Strobl s.n. (det. Uechtritz, as *J. pygmaeus* Th.) | Flora nebrodensis / Herbarium R. v. Uechtritz | Authentic/original material: [Italy, Sicily] Flora Nebrodensis, prope Finale, G. Strobl (K, PR)

| 50 | 155 | Isolateotype of *Juncus monticola* Steud., *Syn. Pl. Glumac.* 2 (1855: 301) | *Juncus wallichianus* J. Gay ex Laharpe, *Env. Monogr. Junc.* (1825: 51) | INDIA. In montibus Nilagiri | sine dato | R.F Hohenacker 952 | Pl. Indiae or. (M. Nilagiri) Ed. R.F Hohenacker. 1851 / Herbarium Henschelium | T: [India] in montibus Nilagiri, R.F Hohenacker (*Pl. Ind. Orient.*, 951); lecto: P; fide K.L. Wilson & L.A.S. Johnson, *Telopea* 9 (2001: 364); isolecto: E, G*, JE*, K, L, MPU*, P, PR, S*, W. Rem.: The status of the type corrected (iso to isolecto) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code.

| 51 | 155 | Isolateotype of *Juncus monticola* Steud., *Syn. Pl. Glumac.* 2 (1855: 301) | *Juncus wallichianus* J. Gay ex Laharpe, *Env. Monogr. Junc.* (1825: 51) | INDIA. In montibus Nilagiri | sine dato | R.F Hohenacker 952 | Pl. Indiae or. (M. Nilagiri) Ed. R.F Hohenacker. 1851 / Herbarium Henschelium | T: [India] in montibus Nilagiri, R.F Hohenacker (*Pl. Ind. Orient.*, 951); lecto: P; fide K.L. Wilson & L.A.S. Johnson, *Telopea* 9 (2001: 364); isolecto: E, G*, JE*, K, L, MPU*, P, PR, S*, W. Rem.: The status of the type corrected (iso to isolecto) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code.
| No. | N.f. | Kind of type and type of (baionym) | Current name | Herbarium label data (original spelling) | T: Type citation from protologue, including herbaria acronyms (according to Kirschner et al. (2002a, b)) and additional remarks (Rem.) |
|-----|------|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 52  | 155  | Isolcotype of Juncus monticola Steud., Syn. Pl. Glumac. 2 (1855: 301) | Juncus wallichianus J. Gay ex Lahrer, Exau Monogr. Junc. (1825: 51) | INDIA. In montibus Nilagiri s.n. | T: [India] in montibus Nilagiri, R.F. Hohenacker [Pl. Ind. Orient. / Herbarium R. v. Uechtritz]; Rem.: The status of the type corrected (iso to isolecto) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code. |
| 53  | 151  | Syntype of Juncus multibracteatus Tineo in G. Gussone, Fl. Sicul. Prodr. Suppl. (1832: 105) | Juncus acutus L., Sp. Pl. (1753: 325) | ITALY. In humenitibus Castronuovo s.n. | Tadaro 556; Dodaro Scuola exicata / Herbarium M. Winkler; Rem.: [Italy] In humenitibus Castronuovo, A. Tadaro 556; syn: BM, BR, FI, K, W. |
| 54  | 153  | Probable original material of Juncus substriatum Rothm., Wiss. Zeitschr. Univ. Greifwald 14 (1965: 79) | Juncus balticus Willd. subsp. balticus × J. effusus L. subsp. effusus | GERMANY. Prov. Mecklenburg, Dünenmoor zwischen Wustrow und Dierhagen/ Fischland-Darss 15 Sep 1961, W. Rothmaler & U. Schneider s.n. | T: [Germany, Mecklenburg] inter Wustrow et Dierhagen prope Ribnitz Megalopolitanae, 16 Sep 1961, W. Rothmaler & U. Schneider; isolecto: P, fide K.L. Wilson & L.A.S. Johnson, Telopea 9 (2001: 364); isolecto: E, G, JE, K, L, MPU, P, PR, S, W. |
| 55  | 155  | Probable original material of Juncus obtusatus Engelm., Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis 2 (1868: 495) | Juncus ovillii var. obtusatus [Engelmann] C.L. Hindc. in C.L. Hindccock & al., Vasc. Pl. Pacif. Northw. 1 (1969: 193) | USA. California s.n. | H.N. Bolander s.n., det. Fr. Buchenau; Herbarium Henschelianum; Rem.: A handwritten label by Fr. Buchenau. |
| 56  | 155  | Syntype of Juncus acicularis E. Mey. ex Kunth, Enum. Pl. 3 (1841: 336) | Juncus acicularis E. Mey. ex Kunth, Enum. Pl. 3 (1841: 336) | SOUTH AFRICA. Cap. b. spl. (Cape Provinces) Liebeck R s.n. | C.H. Bergius s.n. (det. Fr. Buchenau); Herbarium Henschelianum; Rem.: A syntype at WRSL is shown according to an original publication of Kunth (1841: 337). This is a new syntype (and its only known duplicate) discovered at WRSL. |
| 57  | 156  | Syntype of Juncus parvulus E. Mey. ex Buchenau, Monogr. Junc. Cap. (1875: 447) | Juncus cephalotes Thunb., Prodr. Pl. Cap. (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA. Cape, Namaqualand, Modderfontein 05 Nov 1830 J.F. Drège 2472b | J.F. Drège 2472b; Herbarium Henschelianum; T: [Cape Provinces] Liesbeek R., C.H. Bergius; syn: B (destroyed); Paarl, Berg Rivier, J.F. Drège; J.F. Drège s.n. syn: K P; Rem.: A syntype at WRSL is shown according to an original publication of Kunth (1841: 337). This is a new syntype (and its only known duplicate) discovered at WRSL. |
| No. | N.F. | Kind of type and type of (basionym) | Current name | Herbarium label data (original spelling) | Herbarium | Flora of / Herbarium |
|-----|------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------|----------|---------------------|
|     |      |                                  |             |                                        |          | T: Type citation from protologue, including herbaria acronymes (according to Kirschner et al. (2002a, b) and additional remarks (Rem.)) |
| 58  | 156  | **Syntype** of *Juncus persicus* Boiss., *Diagn. Pl. Orient.*, set. 1, 7 (1846: 101) | *Juncus persicus* Boiss., *Diagn. Pl. Orient.*, set. 1, 7 (1846: 101) | IRAN. In planatie edita Kakan m. Kuh-Daıñıa 17 Jul 1842 | *C.G. T. Kochy* 683 | T: [Iran] Kakan M Kuh-e Dinar, *C.G. T. Kochy* 683: syn: BM, CGE, E, FI*, G, KW*, LE*, MO*, PR, WAG*. |
| 59  | 156  | **Syntype** of *Juncus persicus* Boiss., *Diagn. Pl. Orient.*, set. 1, 7 (1846: 101) | *Juncus persicus* Boiss., *Diagn. Pl. Orient.*, set. 1, 7 (1846: 101) | IRAN. In planatie edita Kakan m. Kuh-Daıñıa | *C.G. T. Kochy* 683 (det. Fr. Buchenau, 01 Feb 1879) | Pers. Austr. Inl. M. / Herbarium Henschelium |
| 60  | 156  | **Syntype** of *Juncus pictus* Steud., *Syn. Pl. Glumae*, 2 (1855: 305) | *Juncus pictus* Steud., *Syn. Pl. Glumae*, 2 (1855: 305) | SOUTH AFRICA. Cape, Namaqualand, Leifeltein | *J.F. Drège* 2472a | Herbarium Henschelium |
| 61  | 156  | **Syntype** of *Juncus sikkimensis* var. *pseudoactanu* Lingelsh., *in W.Limpricht, Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Vag. Beib.* 12: 316 (1922) | *Juncus sikkimensis* var. *pseudoactanu* Lingelsh., *in W.Limpricht, Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Vag. Beib.* 12: 316 (1922) | CHINA/INDIA. [Tatsienlu] 02 Jul 1914 | *W. Limpricht* 1869 (det. L. Lingelsh., as *Juncus sikkimensis* var. *pseudoactanu* Lingelsh., (on the additional label) | Flora von Ost-Tibet |
| 62  | 152  | **Isotectype** of *Juncus ranarius* Songeon & E.P. Perrier in PC, Billot, *Annat. Fl. France Allemagne* (1859: 192) | *Juncus ranarius* Songeon & E.P. Perrier in PC, Billot, *Annat. Fl. France Allemagne* (1859: 192) | FRANCE. Moutiers 31 Jun & 24 Aug 1858 | *Perrier 1787* (det. J. Stasiak, 29 Jan 1975, as *Juncus ambiguus* Guss. = *J. ranarius* Song. et Per.) | Reliquiae Milmannae / Herbarium M. Winkler |
| 63  | 156  | **Syntype** of *Juncus rupestris* f. *robusta* Buchenau, *Monogr. Junc. Cap* (1875: 442) [*Abb. Natura*. *Ver. Bromae* 4 (1875: 442)] | *Juncus rupestris* f. *robusta* Buchenau, *Monogr. Junc. Cap* (1875: 442) [*Abb. Natura*. *Ver. Bromae* 4 (1875: 442)] | SOUTH AFRICA. Cape, Kamiesberg, Eselesfontein | *J.F. Drège* 2471a | Herbarium Henschelium |
| 64  | 156  | **Isotectype** of *Juncus schimperi* Hochst. ex A. Rich., *Trat. Fl. Abyssin.* 2 (1851: 338) | *Juncus schimperi* Hochst. ex A. Rich., *Trat. Fl. Abyssin.* 2 (1851: 338) | ETHIOPIA. In ripis uliginosis Adoam 01 Dec 1837 | *W. Schimper* 56 (det. Fr. Buchenau, 11 Jan 1875 as *Juncus punctarius* Thbg.) | Schimperi iter Abyssinicum, Sectio prima: plantae Adoënses / Herbarium Henschelium |

Rem.: The status of the type corrected (iso to isolecto) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code.
| No. | N.f. | Kind of type and type of (baionym) | Current name | Herbarium label data (original spelling) | T: Type citation from protologue, including herbaria acronyms (according to Kirschner et al. (2002a, b)) and additional remarks (Rem.) |
|-----|------|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 65  | 154  | Synotype of *Juncus schlagintweitii* Buchenau, *Nachr. Königl. Ges. Wis. Göttingen Geschäftl. Mit.* 13 (1869: 255) | *Juncus hinauleius* Klotzsch ex J.F. Klotzsch & C.A.F. Garcke, *Bot. Ergebn. Reise Waldemar* (1862: 60, tab. 97) | INDIA. Western Himalaya, prov. Gāhrvāl, Nāngōng wēi Mūkā across the Dāmdār or Hat ka Yāṣāra Pās tu Ussāl in the Tōnś Valley 26 Sep to 06 Oct 1855 | A. & H. Schlagintweit 9708, det. Fr. Buchenau Herbarium Schlagintweit from India and High Asia T: [Kashmir] Tibet, Dras, 'Mātāi up to the Tōože Pās', 14 Oct 1868, A. & H. Schlagintweit 6668; syn: W, US; India, Gāhrvāl, 'Nēkōng vēi Mūkā across the Dāmdār', 6 Oct 1855; A. & H. Schlagintweit 9708; syn: n.v. |
| 66  | 156  | Synotype of *Juncus schlesiheri* Buchenau, *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.* 24 (1898: 459) | *Juncus aphalalos* Thumb., *Prod. Pl. Cap.* (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA. Terra Capensis, Regio occidentalis, Bain's Kloof Nov 1896 | F.R. Schlechter 9154 Plantae Schlechterianae Austro-Africanæ T: South Africa, Cape, Bain's Kloof, F.R. Schlechter 9154; syn: BM*, BOL, BR*, E*, G*, L, LD, LE*, PR, PRE, S, WAG* Rem.: Mentioned by Kirschner et al. (2002a: 57) as a doubtful taxon. |
| 67  | 157  | Isootype of *Juncus singularis* Steud., *Sy. Pl. Glumac.* 2 (1855: 302) | *Juncus singularis* Steud., *Sy. Pl. Glumac.* 2 (1855: 302) | SOUTH AFRICA. Cape, between Vanstadenberg and Bethelsdorp 1830 | J.F. Drège 1604b Herbarium Henschelianum T: Cape, between Vanstadenberg and Bethelsdorp 1830, J.F. Drège 1604b; p.p. [some gatherings with *Juncus dorygaster*]; holotype: P; iso: B [destroyed, but picture deposited at W], G, S, W Rem.: Mentioned by Kirschner et al. (2002a: 57) as a doubtful taxon. |
| 68  | 156  | Synotype of *Juncus sonderianus* Buchenau, *Monogr. Junc.* 4 (1875: 476) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 476)] | *Juncus sonderianus* Buchenau, *Monogr. Junc.* 4 (1875: 476) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 476)] | SOUTH AFRICA. [Cape] Port Elizabeth, sine dato | J.F. Drège (det. E Buchenau as *Juncus sonderianus* Buchenau, 11 Jan 1875; det. J.E. Drège as *Junc. cap. ʒ. angustifol. E.M.*) Herbarium Henschelianum T: [Cape] Port Elizabeth, J.F. Drège 1604b; syn: E*, G, HBG*, K, LD, LE*, R*, S*, W [J.E. Drège eʼ was generally proposed as a type by Adamson, *J. Linn. Soc.* Bot. 50 (1935: 26)]; [Capel bei Cap Recief und Port Elizabeth, C.F. Ecklön & C.L.P. Zeyher 9; syn: BOL, LD*, W*, S; C.F. Ecklön & C.L.P. Zeyher 9; syn: n.v. – W*]. |
| 69  | 156  | Isolectotype of *Juncus sparganiifolius* Boiss. & Kotschy ex Buchenau, *Krit. Verz. Junc.* 17 (1879: 88) | *Juncus sparganiifolius* Boiss. & Kotschy ex Buchenau, *Krit. Verz. Junc.* 17 (1879: 88) | TURKEY. In alvei glorii dispersa et rara supra Ursusa pagum (Hatay, Arsus) 02 Jul 1862 | C.G. T. Korchy 102 Th. Kotschy, Pl. Syriæ 101 ex Amnō occidentali supra Arsus 1862 | T: Plantae Syriæ borealis ex Amno occidentali supra Arsus, supra Ursusa pagum [Turkey, Haray, Arsus], 2 Jul 1862, C.G.T. Korchy 102; lecto: Z, fide S. Sngocrup, in PH. Davis, Fl. Turkey 9 (1986: 19); isolecto: BM, G*, JE*, K, L, LE*, P, W [One of four isotype specimens from W bears a note in Buchenau’s hand: 'An excellent new species' [translated], and should be given preference. Rem.: The status of the type corrected (iso to isolecto) in accordance with the Shenzhen Code. |
| 70  | 156  | Isolectotype of *Juncus sprengelii* Nees ex Buchenau var. gracilior Buchenau, *Monogr. Junc.* 4 (1875: 449) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 449)] | *Juncus sprengelii* Nees ex Buchenau var. gracilior Buchenau, *Monogr. Junc.* 4 (1875: 449) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 449)] | SOUTH AFRICA. Worcester, Waterfall, sine dato | C.F. Ecklön & C.L.P. Zeyher 11, 1.12 (det. E Buchenau, as *J. sprengelii* N, ab. Ex., 11 Jan 1875) Herbarium Henschelianum T: South Africa, Cape, Tulbagh Waterfall, C.F. Ecklön & C.L.P. Zeyher 11; vector: BOL, fide A.A. Obermeyer, in A.A. Obermeyer, J. Lewis & R.B. Faden, *Fl. S. Afr.* 4/2 (1985: 88); isolecto: LD, S, W. |
| 71  | 156  | Isolectotype of *Juncus sprengelii* Nees ex Buchenau var. gracilior Buchenau, *Monogr. Junc.* 4 (1875: 449) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 449)] | *Juncus sprengelii* Nees ex Buchenau var. gracilior Buchenau, *Monogr. Junc.* 4 (1875: 449) [Abb. Naturwiss. Ver. Bremen 4 (1875: 449)] | SOUTH AFRICA. Worcester, Waterfall, sine dato | C.F. Ecklön & C.L.P. Zeyher 11, 1.12 Herbarium Henschelianum T: South Africa, Cape, Tulbagh Waterfall, C.F. Ecklön & C.L.P. Zeyher 11; vector: BOL, fide A.A. Obermeyer, in A.A. Obermeyer, J. Lewis & R.B. Faden, *Fl. S. Afr.* 4/2 (1985: 88); isolecto: LD, S, W. |
| No. | N.F. | Kind of type and type of (baionym) | Current name | Herbarium label data (original spelling) | Flora of / Herbarium | T: Type citation from protologue, including herbaria acronyms (according to Kirschner et al. (2002a, b)) and additional remarks (Rem.) |
|-----|------|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 72  | 152  | Isolectotype of *Juncus vulgatus* Hochst. in C. Krauss, *Flora* 28 (1845: 342) | *Juncus capensis* Thunb., *Prodr. Pl. Cap.* 1 (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA. Ad rivulos in Zitzikama, Uitenhage | Mar 1839 | C. Krauss s.n. (det. Fr. Buchenau, as *J. capensis* Thbg. subsp. angustifolius var. flaccidus Bchn., t. depau., 11 Jan 1875) | Herbarium Henschelianum T: Cape, Uitenhage, Zitzikamma, Mar 1839, C. Krauss s.n.; lecto: W, fide Kirschner et al. (2002a: 36); isolecto: FI* |
| 73  | 152  | Isolectotype of *Juncus vulgatus* Hochst. in C. Krauss, *Flora* 28 (1845: 342) | *Juncus capensis* Thunb., *Prodr. Pl. Cap.* 1 (1794: 66) | SOUTH AFRICA. Ad rivulos in Zitzikama, Uitenhage | Mar 1839 | C. Krauss s.n. | Herbarium Schumann T: Cape, Uitenhage, Zitzikamma, Mar 1839, C. Krauss s.n.; lecto: W, designated by Kirschner et al. (2002a: 36); isolecto: FI* |
| 74  | 157  | Syntype of *Juncus thyacicus* var. multisflorus Rochel, *Pl. Banat.* Rar. (1828: 31, tab. 1) & *Juncus rochelianus* Schult. & Schult. f., *Syst. Veg.* 7(2) (1830: 1658) | *Juncus thomasii* Ten., *App. Ind. Sem.* (1827: sine pag.) | SERBIA. Banatu (1815) | 1815 | A. Rochel s.n. | Herbarium R. v. Uechtritz T: [Romania] Valle Kornia-Reva & ad pedes Kraku-Sanozy Banatus, A. Rochel; syn: n.e. – BM*, W*; Banatus, 1815, A. Rochel; syn: W* |
| 75  | 151  | Isolectotype of *Juncus commutatissim Pat.*, *Pl. Ital.* 2 (1852: 315) | *Juncus littoralis* C.A. Mey., *Vez. Pfl. Cup. Mar.* (1831: 34) | ITALY. […] bog, Montalicone Grado sine dato | M. Tommasini s.n. | Ex herbario Florae Illyrico-litoralis / Herbarium R. v. Uechtritz 27 | T: [Italy] *Nei paludi presso Monfalcone, Grado*, M.G.S. Tommasinii, lecto: FI, fide S. Snogerup, Willdenowia 23 (1993: 40). |
| 76  | 157  | Isotype of *Juncus triformis* var. brachystylus Engelm., *Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis* 2 (1868: 492) | *Juncus kelloggii* Engelm., *Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis* 2 (1868: 494) | USA. Calif[ornia], Mendocino Co., Ukiah | May 1866 | H.N. Bolander & Kellogg 4666, det. Fr. Buchenau | Herbarium Henschelianum T: USA, California, Mendocino Co., Ukiah, May 1866, H.N. Bolander 4666 [G. Engelmann, Herb. Junc. Bor.-Amer. Norm.]; holo: MO; iso: 2: BM*, CAS, F*, G*, GH*, K*, MIN*, NY, PH*, PR, RM*, RSA*, US, USCH*, YU*. |
| 77  | 157  | Isotype of *Juncus triformis* var. stylosus Engelm., *Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis* 2 (1868: 492) | *Juncus triformis* Engelm., *Trans. Acad. Sci. St. Louis* 2 (1868: 492) | USA. Calif[ornia], Yosemite Valley, De Long’s ranch | 10 Jun 1866 | H.N. Bolander & Kellogg 4864, det. Fr. Buchenau | Herbarium Henschelianum T: California, Yosemite Valley, De Long’s Ranch, 4000 ft. [ca. 1280 m], 10 Jun 1866, H.N. Bolander 4864 [G. Engelmann, Herb. Junc. Bor.-Amer. Norm. 30]; lecto: MO, fide EJ. Hermann, Looff. W. Bot. 5 (1948: 114); isolecto: CAS, DAO*, G*, ISC*, K*, LE*, MICH, NEB*, NY, PH*, RM*, RSA*, US, USCH*, YU*. |
| 78  | 156  | Isotype of *Juncus vailediviae* Steud., *Syn. Pl. Glumac.* 2 (1855: 296) | *Juncus procerus* E. Mey., *Linn. 3* (1828: 367) | CHILE. ad ripam fluvii Valdivia | Jan 1852 | R.A. Philippi 43 (det. Fr. Buchenau, as *Juncus procerus* E. M., 3 Dec 1878) | R.A. Philippi, Pl. chilenes, WR.F. Hohenacker / Herbarium Henschelianum T: Chile, Valdivia, R.A. Philippi 43 holo: P; iso: FI*, G, GOET, K, KW*, MO, O, P, S. |
2) SYNTYPE of *Juncus oxyccarpus* E. Mey. ex Kunth (1841: 336) [sine dato, *C.H. Bergius s.n.* (det. Fr. Buchenau 11 Jan 1875 & remark by Buchenau: Bergiusches Exemplar mit der (falschen) Bestimmung v. K. Sprengel); det. by K. Sprengel as *Juncus punc- torius*]. – A syntype at WRSL shown, according to the original publication of Kunth (1841: 337). This is a new syntype (and its only duplicate known) discovered at WRSL.

3) ISOLECTOTYPE of *Juncus capensis* var. *gracilior* Buchenau (1875: 483) [05 Mar 1816, *Bergius s.n.*, det. K. Sprengel (gesamm. von Bergius, det. Fr. Buchenau 11 Jan 1875), current name: *Juncus capensis* Thunb. (1794: 66)]. – Additional specimens were mentioned in the protologue of the new taxon (Buchenau, 1875: 484). Thus, the lectotype was designated (in B, destroyed). Isolectotype (the only duplicate known) was rediscovered at WRSL (the specimen includes collection date (i.e. 5 Mar 1816), which corresponds to the date included in the protologue). The syntype (Bergius specimen at W) does not include a collection date.

The origin of *Juncus* type specimens at WRSL according to country is presented in Fig. 2.

Most of the types and other historically- and nomenclaturally-important specimens come from the following collections: Herb. Henschelianum (30 sheets, i.e. 16.2% of the *Juncus* set at WRSL – see below “A Herbarium/Collection name”), Herb. Schumann (13 sheets, 16.9%), Herb. R. v. Uechtritz (7 sheets, 1.7%), Herb. J. Procków (6 sheets), Herb. M. Winkler (3 sheets) and others (19 sheets). Additionally, eight para-types of *J. bulbosus* f. *submucronatus* Procków (2010: 412) are stored in the Herbarium Silesiacum at WRSL (Procków 2010) and, thus, are not included in the statistics in this study that covers Herbarium Generale only (as a separate set of two ones at WRSL).

---

*Figure 1.* Percentage of different categories of *Juncus* specimens. Types, original material and specimens collected from the original type localities, by the author of the name (“topotypes”) at WRSL.
Species

Approximately 70 *Juncus* species are represented in the collection, most of them from Europe. Species from the rest of the world are less numerous, but still relatively frequent: *J. capensis* Thunb., *J. subulatus* Forssk. (incl. *J. multiflorus* Desf.), *J. nodosus* L., *J. cephalotes* Thunb., *J. dichotomus* Elliott, *J. prismaticarpus* R. Br., *J. acuminatus* Michx., *J. xiphioides* E. Mey., *J. concinnus* D. Don, *J. wallichianus* J. Gay ex Laharpe (incl. *J. monticola* Steud.), *J. pelocarpus* E. Mey., *J. marginatus* Rostk., *J. microcephalus* Humb., Bonpl. & Kunth. and *J. puncutorius* L.f., *J. littoralis* C.A. Mey. (as *J. tommasinii* Parl.).

Date of collection

We found 2,193 herbarium labels with dates of collection recorded: 1,967 of these were collected before 1946, comprising ca. 89.7% of the *Juncus* set. The remaining 226 specimens were collected after 1945; 10.3% of the *Juncus* specimens.

Collector and herbarium collection name

In the *Juncus* set at WRSL, the sets of some individuals stand out in numbers of specimens (Fig. 3). The most outstanding collections of *Juncus* from particular included herbaria are (number of herbarium sheets are in parentheses): Herb. R. v. Uechtritz (415), Herb. M. Winkler (394), Herb. Henschelianum (185), Botanischer Tauschverein in Wien (80), Herb. Schumann (77), Herb. Wagnerianum (41), Herb. Dr. C. Baenitz (34), Herb. Emil Fiek (32), Herb. J.A. Allen (24), Reliquiae Mailleanae (24), Herb. F.
Type specimens in old lesser known herbaria

Pax (21), Herbier P. Louis-Marie (20), Herb. A. Engler (18), Reliquiae Hildebrandianaee (18), Herb. Felsmann (15), Herb. J. Duval-Jouve (14), Herb. Schlagintweit from India and High Asia (12), Herb. Hort. Bot. Calcuttensis (11) and Herbier Henri van Heurck (10). Almost half of the *Juncus* sp. sheets come from four individual collections. All were bought for, donated to or exchanged by the Museum. The number of duplicates in the collection is not large (4.6%, i.e. 103 out of 2,222 all taxonomic records).

**Figure 3.** Collectors’ names. Y-axis: number of herbarium labels analysed.

**Figure 4.** Country representation of *Juncus* specimens in WRSL. Y-axis: number of herbarium labels analysed. The African collection deserves particular attention (98 sheets (4.5%)), including sets from South Africa (64 sheets). The Asian collection (96 sheets) is dominated by plants from India (59). The percentage of plants from North America is as high as 10%.

Herbarium sheets from eastern Poland and Germany (defined according to their post-war borders) dominate and are shown in Fig. 4. For 336 *Juncus* sheets (15.3%), we were unable to establish the country of origin, because no or illegible information on the locality was present on herbarium labels.

Country of collection
Exsiccatata series

In the WRSL \textit{Juncus} set, the following exsiccatae are particularly well-represented (the number of herbarium sheets is shown in parentheses): Rchb. Fl. germ. excurs. (incl. Rchb. Fl. Germ. n.) (37), Cyperaceae, Juncaceae, Typhaceae et Sparganiaceae Hungaricae exsiccatae (24), Reise durch das südliche Spanien 1873 (mainly of M. Winkler) (22), Flora of Sikkim (15), Pl. Indiae or[ientalis] (M. Nilagiri), ed. R.F. Hohenacker (13), Todaro Flora Sicula exiccata (11), Flora des NW. Himalaya (10).

Discussion

The Herbarium Generale of the mid-sized WRSL herbarium is rich in specimens relevant to the nomenclature of \textit{Juncus} and contains 78 specimens (3.6\% of all \textit{Juncus} specimens examined, see Table 1), with an average of 11 sheets per fascicle (i.e. herbarium box). Seventy-six (of 78) of these historically-important specimens (types, original material and specimens collected at the type locality by the author of the name) were not identified as such before our study. This significant number of types highlights the significance of the analysed set and of WRSL more broadly, for the study of taxonomy and nomenclature (Sutory 1997). To put this into context, the approximate percentages of types stored in other historically-important herbaria are as follows: K (5\%), W (3.6\%), BM (2.6\%) [cited from herbaria websites, which include the total number specimens stored]. Our study revealed that the \textit{Juncus} set at WRSL is a valuable collection globally with respect to the number of historically- and nomenclaturally-relevant specimens. Often, specimens included are associated with research conducted involving a given group of plants in the academic centre housing collections. The majority of \textit{Juncus} specimens (ca. 89.7\%) date from before the Second World War and specialists studying the genus \textit{Juncus} did not work at WRSL during that time. This suggests that the rest of the WRSL collection might also contain similarly high percentages of such historically- and nomenclaturally-relevant specimens.

As the genus \textit{Juncus} is rich in species (311 species, Kirschner et al. (2002a, b)), we consider that extrapolation of our results to other genera is appropriate. We assume that descriptions of taxa new to science before 1946 were equally common within most taxonomic groups and specimens belonging to different plant genera/families were sent to the WRSL herbarium equally often.

Only a small fraction of global herbarium specimens had been computerised by the end of last decade (Lughadha and Miller 2009). Despite the recent acceleration of the digitisation of herbarium collections (as of early 2015, the number of scanned specimens within the world’s largest virtual herbaria was 18.4 million), we are far from fully digitising all collections (Seregin 2016). Even a small percentage (1–2\%) of computerised specimens can drastically reduce research costs and help scientists focus on collections that are likely to contain the most information-rich specimens (O’Connell
et al. 2004). In herbarium management, it is cheaper to produce and distribute scans than facilitate botanist visits (Seregin 2016). Digitisation is also important because young people who do not live near a natural history museum or herbarium can access natural history data and learn to use it and this early involvement in science may cultivate a love for the study of biology (Watanabe 2019). The continued digitisation of the WRSL herbarium (currently only 4.9% digitally available) will certainly reveal new material for botanists’ use.

Our results reveal the usefulness of lesser-known herbaria not only from a national or local point of view (Lavoie 2013), but also as a source of important collections and type specimens that are not duplicated in larger facilities (Snow 2005). For *Juncus*, only two of 78 nomenclaturally-relevant specimens identified here were cited by Kirschner et al. (2002a, 2002b), so 76 of the specimens in Table 1 were unknown before this study. Holotypes, isotypes and isolecctotypes constitute 46.2% of all types (and other nomenclaturally important specimens) of *Juncus* recognised at the WRSL, highlighting the nomenclature relevance of the collection. Three specimens are particularly worth highlighting here: the holotypes of *Juncus lomatophyllus* Spreng. and *Juncus capensis* var. *gracilior* Buchenau and a syntype of *J. oxycarpus* E. Mey. ex Kunth were originally stored in Berlin (the herbarium of the Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin). These were destroyed during the Second World War (Hiepko 1987; Kirschner et al. 2002a) and our discovery of duplicates in WRSL will help with the correct application of these names.

Duplicates of nomenclaturally relevant specimens are often considered to be less important than holotypes, lectotypes and neotypes. Duplicates, however, may differ in physical condition, material quantity, different annotations, labelling, specimen content (plant parts, for example, young fruit vs. only a flowering twig, male vs. female flowers in diclinous plants, with roots vs. without roots) or may even represent mixed gatherings (different taxa). An isotype of *Juncus singularis* Steud. (J.F Drège 1604b) at WRSL, for example, is a much larger, leafy specimen with five inflorescences, as compared with other specimens at G, P, S and W, listed and pictured at plants.jstor.org (accessed on 16 Apr 2020). Annotations by specialists can be very useful in understanding taxonomic concepts: 23 WRSL *Juncus* type specimens were annotated by Franz G.Ph. Buchenau (1831–1906), a *Juncus* specialist whose work remains unsurpassed to this day (annotations included new determinations and/or ‘specimen authenticum’ indications and were made by him throughout 1874–1875, 1878–1879 and 1887; see the ‘Leg. et det.’ column in Table 1). Thus, some ‘ordinary duplicates’ at WRSL are helpful for understanding taxonomists’ thinking.

We also found that many of the historically- and nomenclaturally-important *Juncus* specimens stored at WRSL originate from South Africa (42.3%). This over-representation might be explained by the origin of the collection. German botanists (together with the British and the Dutch) were a dominant force in the floristic exploration of Africa from the 17th to the early 20th century. The WRSL herbarium is, thus, an important resource for international researchers working on the flora of that hugely biodiverse, but still under-explored, part of the world.
Conclusions

The history of German-Polish herbaria, including WRSL, is very turbulent. A detailed examination of *Juncus*, as a case study, confirms the value of the WRSL collection in historical terms. That a significant number of historically- and nomenclaturally-important specimens at WRSL was acquired passively (*Juncus* was of no special interest to German or Polish scientists at the time) suggests that more such specimens may be found within the collection for other genera. Digitisation and taxonomic revision of material will facilitate the confirmation of the richness of the collection.

Other large type collections contain well-preserved specimens, well-prepared catalogues (often available on-line) and are well-known to scientists. However, the WRSL collection is not only unique, as confirmed here, but not well-known to date.

Some *Juncus* type specimens, listed here, can be found easily in a large number of other collections. However, some are preserved only at WRSL because many types, previously stored in Berlin, were destroyed during the Second World War. Although we researched only a few parts of the WRSL collection, we are convinced that duplicates of many type specimens destroyed in Berlin can be found in Wroclaw. Uncatalogued herbaria like WRSL with turbulent histories can be a source of collections important for the study of biodiversity.

We selected *Juncus* as a case study since the collection at WRSL covers the entire distribution range of the genus. Therefore, it likely reflects the general situation in other groups of plants in the herbarium.

Currently, many herbarium sets in Europe are still being catalogued (and many remain undigitised). However, many old collections are indeed valuable and their type and other historical collections have the potential to facilitate taxonomy and nomenclature and, in addition, enhance our knowledge of biodiversity through application of correct names.
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