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Preface

These notes focus on the description of the phases of matter in two dimensions. Firstly, we present a brief discussion of the phase diagrams of bidimensional interacting passive systems, and their numerical and experimental measurements. The presentation will be short and schematic. We will complement these notes with a rather complete bibliography that should guide the students in their study of the development of this very rich subject over the last century. Secondly, we summarise very recent results on the phase diagrams of active Brownian disks and active dumbbell systems in two dimensions. The idea is to identify all the phases and to relate, when this is possible, the ones found in the passive limit with the ones observed at large values of the activity, at high and low densities, and for both types of constituents. Proposals for the mechanisms leading to these phases will be discussed. The physics of bidimensional active systems open many questions, some of which will be listed by the end of the Chapter.
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Phases of planar active matter

1.1 Introduction

These notes describe the content of a two-lecture course given by L. F. Cugliandolo at the summer school “Active Matter and Non Equilibrium Statistical Physics” held at the Les Houches School of Physics (August-September 2018). They will be published in a volume of the Les Houches collection dedicated to this school. A detailed introduction to active matter will appear in other Chapters of this book and we will not cover it here.

Our plan is to explain some of the peculiarities of passive and active matter in two dimensions. While under most natural conditions matter fills three dimensional volumes, systems can be restrained to occupy one or two dimensional spaces with convenient confining potentials. Low dimensional systems are interesting for practical and conceptual reasons. First of all, they are realised in Nature, and some classical and quantum examples are colloidal suspensions under confined conditions, liquid crystal, magnetic and superconducting films, and electrons trapped at liquid helium surfaces, to name just a few. Secondly, they can be easier to study numerically and experimentally than their higher dimensional extensions. But most importantly, they can pose specific and interesting questions of fundamental relevance. We will discuss some of these in these notes.

In the rest of the Introduction we briefly describe some salient features of equilibrium matter in 2\textit{d}, and the problems that the injection of energy poses on the analytic treatment of far from equilibrium systems. We will also state which are the concrete issues that we addressed in the lectures and that we will treat in the body of these notes.

1.1.1 Equilibrium: role of symmetries and space dimension

Let us start with an aperçu of passive matter in low dimensions. In equilibrium, the interactions are responsible for the richness and complexity of the phases in which matter can exist. Over the last century a rather good understanding of some of these phases, namely the solid, liquid and gas, and a partial understanding of more exotic cases, such as glasses or plasmas, have also been reached. However, the phase diagram of matter in two dimensions is still under debate. While it is well-established that crystals cannot exist in two dimensions, solids (with a non-vanishing shear modulus\textsuperscript{1})

\textsuperscript{1}The shear modulus quantifies the deformation caused under a force \( F \) parallel to one of the material’s surfaces while its opposite face experiences an opposing force such as friction. It is defined
Symmetries are one of the important factors that determine the collective behaviour of an equilibrium system. Two basic symmetries of the laws of nature are translational and rotational invariance. These are consequences of the fact that, typically, in systems with pairwise interactions the potential energy depends on $r_{ij} = |r_i - r_j|$, with $r_i$ and $r_j$ the positions of the two constituents, and not on $r_i$ and $r_j$ independently. While the state of a macroscopic system in equilibrium is expected to respect both at sufficiently high temperature and low density, in the opposite cold and/or dense limit one or both of these symmetries can be broken, and macroscopic observations may, for instance, depend on the orientation of the sample. A phase transition then reflects the failure of the system to respect the symmetry of its Hamiltonian.

In a crystalline phase, the constituents order in a perfect periodic and stable array that covers the sample. Translational invariance is broken since the lattice is periodic under translations by discrete vectors only. Moreover, the orientation of the local crystallographic axes, associated to each particle via its first neighbours, is always the same, and rotational invariance is also broken. In a gas or a liquid both translational and rotational symmetries are respected, the constituents are randomly placed in the samples, and these are completely isotropic. In between these two extremes, there are situations in which the systems can be partially ordered, for example, exhibiting long-range orientational order but only short-range positional one, a case that we will explore here.

In low dimensional systems with short-range interactions translational order is forbidden by the so-called Mermin-Wagner theorem but a solid phase with quasi long-range translational order is allowed. A proposal for the mechanism for the transition from solid to liquid led by the dissociation of dislocation pair was proposed by Kosterlitz & Thouless (KT) in their 1972 & 1973 seminal papers. However, knowing that long-range orientational order is possible in 2d (Mermin, 1968), Nelson and Halperin (1979) and Young (1979) modified the KT picture and claimed that the transition actually occurs in two steps, the second one being linked to the unbinding of disclinations. In this picture the intermediate phase keeps quasi long-range orientational order, allowing the system to increase its entropy over the solid at a moderate energy cost. The two transitions are of infinite order, à la KT, and the full description is commonly called the KTHNY scenario. Part of the latter picture has been recently contested in passive systems with hard potentials (Bernard & Krauth, 2011) and we will review the modifications proposed in the body of the notes.

### 1.1.2 Out of equilibrium: lack of generic guiding principles

In out of equilibrium active systems, the injection of energy adds complexity and richness to the variety of behaviours that a large system can have. In particular, one could...
revisit the no-go theorems for crystalline order in two dimensions, one could wonder whether the solid phases still exist and whether they are favoured or disfavoured under activity, or whether disorder phases are enhanced by the injection of energy. All these are questions that merit attention.

Much of the studies of active matter systems have focused on low density limits in which solid phases do not exist. Powerful analytical approaches, such as hydrodynamic theories, and numerical ones, such as Lattice Boltzmann methods, can be developed and successfully applied to describe the behaviour in dilute limits. These are treated in detail in other Chapters of this book. In contrast, less has been done for dense systems, cases in which the passive limit is harder to unveil.

Another difficulty, or richness, of out of equilibrium systems is that thermodynamic concepts have to be revisited as they are not necessarily defined. Effective temperatures and chemical potentials, intensive parameters in a thermodynamic approach, have been successfully used in the context of glassy physics, see e.g. the review article (Cugliandolo, 2011), but become dynamic concepts that need to be measured carefully, separating time-scales and taking into account possible strong spatial heterogeneities. The definition of pressure, that appears linked to density and temperature in the equilibrium equations of state, and allows one to estimate phase diagrams, also needs to be revisited out of equilibrium. Indeed, as it has been noticed in several occasions, the mechanical and thermodynamic definitions that are equivalent in equilibrium are not necessarily so out of equilibrium and the mere existence of an equation of state becomes an issue in itself.

Confronted with the difficulty of deriving analytic results for interacting many-body systems, numerical methods can come to our rescue and help us understanding at least some aspects of the collective behaviour of matter, especially under dense conditions and the effects of activity. In the numerical studies of the equilibrium properties of passive systems one has the freedom to choose between molecular dynamics simulations, in which Newton equations of motion are integrated over sufficiently long time scales or, Monte Carlo simulations in which non-physical transition probabilities are sometimes chosen to optimise the sampling of the equilibrium measure. In the context of active matter, the numerical integration of the actual dynamical equations is preferred [see, however, Levis and Berthier (2014) and Klamser et al. (2018) for kinetic Monte Carlo methods for active systems] putting a computational limit to the size and time scales that can be studied.

1.1.3 These lectures

Concretely, the aim of these two lectures has been: (1) to expose the students to a classical problem in statistical physics, the one of order and disorder in two dimensional systems (possible glassy aspects have been intentionally left aside); (2) to introduce them to some standard tools used in the study of molecular systems; (3) to discuss some aspects of dense, dry, interacting active matter confined to a plane. The latter system combines the difficulties of low-dimensional passive matter and the effects introduced by the constant injection of energy, partially dissipated and partially used by the system itself. It is a challenging kind of problem with many open routes for further study, some of which are discussed by the end of the notes.
1.2 Models and observables

Numerous models of active matter exist in the literature but we will not explain them all here. We will instead focus on some that are directly inspired by the microscopic modelling of atomic and molecular passive systems, and hence admit a clear and simple equilibrium limit. Such agent based active matter models make choices on (1) the form of the constituents, (2) the inter-particle interactions, (3) the coupling to the solvent or medium in which the agents are immersed, (4) the way in which the activity acts, and (5) the dimension and form of the confining space. In Sec. 1.2.1 we list and discuss some common choices made on these five properties. Besides, in Sec. 1.2.2 we define and discuss some observables that serve to characterise order (or the lack of it) in molecular systems, and that we will use to find the phases of the active problem.

1.2.1 Models

The form of the constituents. Playing with individual spheres one can switch from "atomic" to "molecular" models. More precisely, the constituents can be chosen to be simply spherically symmetric objects (disks in two dimensions), they can be linked together with a spring or a rod to form a dumbbell or, one can join many of them to build a polymer. As well-known in passive liquid equilibrium and out of equilibrium studies, the behaviour of an ensemble of such various objects can be rather different. Important shape effects have also been found in active matter and we will discuss some of them here.

Specifically, a disk is a spherically symmetric planar object with mass \( m_d \) and diameter \( \sigma_d \). A dumbbell is a diatomic molecule made of two such disks linked together with a massless spring or a rigid rod. There is a head-tail nature attached to each disk. Adding more such monomers one can also build polymers of any desired length with adequate bending properties.

Inter-particle interactions. In atomic systems the potential typically has an attractive tail due to Van der Waals dipole-dipole interactions that decays as a power law \(-1/r^6\) at large separations \( r \) while at short distances the overlap of the electron clouds makes the potential strongly repulsive. The minimum, located at a distance of a few Angstroms with a value of a hundred Kelvin, is responsible for the formation of crystals. This kind of potential is also used to describe the interactions between colloidal particles in a solvent although the length and energy scales involved can be very different from the atomic ones (say, length scales between a nanometer and a micrometer). In some cases, only the repulsive part that accounts for the excluded volume interaction between colloids is retained. Without entering into a detailed justification for the latter choice, it is commonly adopted in the context of active matter as well. The potential is then taken to be a generalised Lennard-Jones (LJ) of Mie type [Mie, 1903], truncated and shifted à la Week-Chandler-Andersen (WCA, [1971]). Calling \( r_i \) the \( d \)-dimensional position of the center of the \( i \)th particle and \( r = |r_i - r_j| \) the inter-particle distance, the short-ranged repulsive potential takes the form

\[
U(r) = \begin{cases} 
4\varepsilon \left[ (\sigma/r)^{2n} - (\sigma/r)^{n} \right] + \varepsilon & \text{if } r < \sigma_d = 2^{1/n} \sigma, \\
0 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]

(1.1)
with $n$ a parameter that tunes the softness of the potential ($n = 6$ is the usual LJ one and increasing $n$ the potential gets harder), $\epsilon$ an energy scale and $\sigma$ a length scale chosen to be of the order of the particle diameter. The hard wall, LJ, Mie and WCA potentials are compared in Fig. 1.1.

**Coupling to the environment.** The dissipation and fluctuations induced by the coupling to the environment are commonly mimicked à la Langevin, by adding friction and noise terms to the equations of motion. After inspection of the different time-scales involved in the motion of the active (Brownian) particles and the constituents of the environment, a Markovian (no memory) assumption is usually justified. The noises are then chosen to be Gaussian, with zero mean and delta correlations proportional to the friction coefficient $\gamma_d$ and the temperature of the bath $T$: $\langle \xi_a(t) \xi_b(t') \rangle = 2 \gamma_d k_B T \delta_{ij} \delta(t-t') \delta_{ab}$, with $a, b = 1, \ldots, d$ and $d$ the dimension of space. The Boltzmann constant is denoted $k_B$.

**Activity.** The active forces can be persistent or not. Persistency means that the constituents have a preferred direction along which the active force is permanently applied. For instance, in the dumbbell models, the molecules are elongated along a main axis, with each bead having a head or tail nature. The active force is always exerted along this axis from tail to head (Cugliandolo et al., 2017; Petrelli et al., 2018). An example with non-persistent activity is the one treated in Loi et al. (2011), a polymeric model in which the active force was applied on temporal intervals of a given duration only, on a preselected monomer, and with random direction. In the case of the active Brownian disks, these are assumed to have a direction attached to them, along which the active force is always applied (Henkes, Fily and Marchetti, 2011; Fily and Marchetti, 2012; Redner, Hagan and Baskaran, 2013; Fily, Henkes and Marchetti, 2014; Redner, Wagner, Hagan and Baskaran, 2016).

**The Péclét number.** The Péclet number is an adimensional parameter defined as $\text{Pe} = F_{\text{act}} \sigma_d / (k_B T)$ that quantifies the strength of the activity as compared to the thermal fluctuations. It has a twofold interpretation. One is as a ratio between the advective
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transport, say $\ell v = F_{\text{act}}/\gamma_d$ and the diffusive transport $D = k_B T/\gamma_d$. The other one is as the ratio between the work done by the active force when translating the disk by its typical dimension, $F_{\text{act}}/\gamma_d$, and the thermal energy, $k_B T$. The Péclet number can be tuned by changing $F_{\text{act}}$ at fixed $\gamma_d$ and $k_B T$, for example.

Reynolds number. The Reynolds number confronts the strength of the inertial and viscous forces $Re = \rho \ell v/\mu = (\sigma_d F_{\text{act}}/\gamma_d)/(\gamma_d \sigma_d^2/m) = m_d F_{\text{act}}/(\gamma_d^2 \sigma_d)$ and it is typically very small, say $10^{-2}$, for the values of the parameters used in the simulations.

The active Brownian disks equations of motion. The dynamics of active Brownian disks is usually studied in the over-damped limit. The particles self-propel under a constant modulus force $F_{\text{act}}$ along a (rotating) direction $n_i = (\cos \theta_i(t), \sin \theta_i(t))$ attached to the particle with $\theta_i$ the angle formed with a fixed axis. The disk center positions obey

$$\gamma_d \dot{r}_i = F_{\text{act}} n_i - \nabla_i \sum_{j \neq i} U(r_{ij}) + \xi_i,$$

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \eta_i. \tag{1.2}$$

The angular noise is taken to be Gaussian with zero mean and correlations $\langle \eta_i(t) \eta_j(t') \rangle = 2D_\theta \delta_{ij} \delta(t-t')$. The units of length, time and energy are given by $\sigma_d$, $\tau = D_\theta^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon$, respectively. The angular diffusion coefficient is commonly fixed to $D_\theta = 3k_B T/(\gamma_d \sigma_d^2)$ (apart from the unimportant numerical factor that depends on the form of the objects, this is also the angular diffusion coefficient of a single active dumbbell).

The active dumbbells equations of motion. We model the time evolution of each sphere in the dumbbell through a Langevin equation of motion that acts on the position of the centre of each bead, $r_i$, and is given by

$$m_d \ddot{r}_i = -\gamma_d \dot{r}_i - \nabla_i \sum_{j \neq i} U(r_{ij}) + F_{\text{act}} + \xi_i(t), \tag{1.3}$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, 2N$ is the sphere index and $\nabla_i = \partial_{r_i}$. Since the noises acting on the two beads that form a dumbbell are independent, the combined stochastic force can make the dumbbells rotate.

As usual in the Langevin description of the random motion of particles and molecules, in cases in which the inertial time-scale $m_d/\gamma_d$ is much shorter than all other interesting time-scales in the problem, one can take an over-damped limit and basically drop the term $m_d \ddot{r}_i$ from the equations of motion. In this limit, the equations of motion are first order in the time derivative, as in Eqn. (1.2), but the form of the molecules and in particular the (averaged) length between the colloidal centres will have a notable importance in the collective behaviour of the macroscopic system.

Space and packing fraction. The constituents are typically confined to displace in a $d$ dimensional box with linear length $L$ and periodic boundary conditions, a situation that minimizes finite size effects and evades the difficulty of modelling the interactions with the boundary walls. The packing fraction is then defined as $\phi = \pi \sigma_d^2 N/(4L^d)$ (with an extra factor of 2 for the dumbbells, for which the distance between the centres of the two coloids is fixed to $\sigma_d$) and can be tuned by changing $N$ or $L$, for example.
1.2.2 Observables

In this Section we define a number of observables and correlation functions that are used to characterise positional and orientational order in molecular systems. In all the definitions we keep the time-dependence to evaluate the possible evolution of these quantities and thus quantify the dynamics of the problem.

Voronoi tessellation. The first issue we want to resolve is to attribute a notion of neighbourhood to the configurations. This is done with the help of a Voronoi tessellation. In our problem, we start by assigning $N$ points on the plane to the centres of the disks, be them the individual particles or the beads building the diatomic molecules. We then partition space in areas such that each space point is closer to the centre of one disk than to any other one. The borders of the areas thus constructed are polygons and the number of neighbours of a point is given by the number of sides of the polygon, see the sketch in Fig. 1.2 (a). An example of a concrete dumbbell configuration with its Voronoi tessellation is shown in Fig. 1.3 (a).

Fluctuating local density. One can measure local densities $\phi_j$, with a discrete index dependence, in at least two ways, and construct with them histograms and probability distribution functions.

With the first method, for each bead, one first estimates the local density as the ratio between its surface and the area of its Voronoi region $A_{Vor}$. This value can then be coarse-grained by averaging the single-bead densities over a disk with radius $R$ around the concerned bead,

$$
\phi_j(t) = \frac{1}{n^{(j)}_R(t)} \sum_{i \in S^{(j)}_R} \frac{\pi \sigma_d^2}{4A_{Vor}^{(i)}(t)}.
$$

(1.4)

where $n^{(j)}_R$ and $S^{(j)}_R$ are the number of particles and the spherical disk with radius $R$ around the $j$th bead, respectively.

With the second method, one constructs a square grid on the simulation box, for each point in the grid one calculates a coarse grained local density $\phi_j$ over a circle of given radius $R$, and one finally assigns this density value to the grid point.
A visual inspection of the density fluctuations in real space is achieved by painting with the colour that corresponds to its coarse-grained local density each Voronoi region. Typically, a heat map with the usual convention, denser in red and looser in blue, is used. The dumbbell configuration in Fig. 1.3(a) is analysed along these lines and the local densities are painted according to such a colour code in panel (b).

\[ \rho(r, t) = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(r - \mathbf{r}_i(t)), \]  

(1.5)

where the sum runs over particle indices, \( \mathbf{r}_i(t) \) is the time-dependent position of the \( i \)th particle, and \( N \) is the total number of particles. The quantity is normalised to one.
\[ \int d^d r \rho(r, t) = 1. \] In a homogeneous system \( \rho(r, t) = \rho_0 = 1 / V \) with \( V = \int d^d r \) the volume of the box where the system is confined.

The density-density correlation function

\[ C(r_1, r_2, t) = \langle \rho(r_1, t) \rho(r_2, t) \rangle \] (1.6)

involves an average over different realisations of the system henceforth represented by the symbol \( \langle \ldots \rangle \). This quantity is, for a homogeneous system, space translational invariant meaning that it only depends on \( r_1 - r_2 = r \). If, moreover, the density is isotropic, \( C(r_1, r_2, t) = C(|r_1 - r_2|, t) \).

The Fourier transform of the density-density correlation yields the structure factor

\[ S(q, t) = \int d^d r_1 \int d^d r_2 \ N C(r_1, r_2, t) \ e^{-i q \cdot (r_1 - r_2)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} \langle e^{-i q \cdot (r_i(t) - r_j(t))} \rangle. \] (1.7)

A real order parameter related to possible translational order is the modulus of the Fourier transform of the density

\[ \psi_T(q, t) = \left| N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{i q \cdot r_i(t)} \right|^2. \] (1.8)

The positional order can be tested with correlations of the Fourier transform of the density

\[ C_{q_0}(r, t) = \langle e^{i q_0 \cdot (r_i(t) - r_j(t))} \rangle \] (1.9)

where \( r = |r_i(t) - r_j(t)| \) and \( q \) is taken to be \( q_0 \) the wave vector that corresponds to the maximum value of the first diffraction peak of the structure factor \( S(q, t) \). The quasi-long range positional order in the solid phase should be evidenced by an algebraic decay of \( C_{q_0}(r) \) with distance. Instead, in the hexatic and liquid phases the decay of \( C_{q_0}(r) \) should be exponential, see Fig. 1.4.

**Orientational order.** The order parameter for orientational order is the local time-dependent \( n \)-fold order parameter

\[ \psi_{n, i}(t) = \frac{1}{N^{i \rho}} \sum_{k(i)}^{N^{n \rho}} e^{i \theta_{ki}(t)}, \] (1.10)

where \( \theta_{ki}(t) \) is the instantaneous angle formed by the bond that links the \( i \)th bead with the \( k \)th one, the latter being a first neighbour on the Voronoi tessellation of space, and a chosen reference axis, see Fig. 1.2 (c).

The ground state of a system of spherically symmetry constituents interacting via Lennard-Jones-like potentials is expected to be a perfect hexagonal lattice; for a recent review on the search for ground state configurations, written from a mathematical perspective, see Blanc & Lewin (2015). For beads regularly placed on the vertices of such a lattice, see Fig. 1.2 (b), each site has six nearest-neighbours and all the angles are, \( \theta_{ki} = 2 \pi k / 6 \). The relevant value of \( n \) to use is 6, for which \( \psi_6 = 1 \) on a perfect hexagonal lattice. At finite temperature this parameter cannot be equal to one.
A colour code is useful to detect local orientational order. The plane is first divided in cells according to the Voronoi prescription, see Figs. 1.2 (a) and 1.3 (a). Next, an arrow representing the local (complex) order parameter is attributed to each cell, see Fig. 1.3 (c). The average over all cells is computed yielding a vector of given modulus and direction. The local arrows are then projected on the direction of the averaged vector. The cells with maximal projection are coloured in red, the cells with maximal projection in the opposite direction are coloured in blue, and the scale in between is colour coded as in the right bar in Fig. 1.3 (d).

Upon coarse-graining over a cell with radius $R$ around a point $r$ that possibly contains a large number of particles, say $n_R$, one can build histograms and then probability distribution functions of the coarse-grained local hexatic order parameter

$$\psi^{c,g}_n(r, t) = \frac{1}{n_R} \sum_{i \in S_r} \frac{1}{N_{nn}} \sum_{k(i)} e^{in\theta_{ki}(t)}.$$  (1.11)

With the complex order parameter (1.10), or with its coarse-grained version, one then constructs a real correlation function

$$C_n(r, t) = \frac{\langle \psi^{*}_{n_i}(t) \psi_{n_j}(t) \rangle_{r = |r_i - r_j|}}{\langle \psi^{*}_{n_i}(t) \psi_{n_i}(t) \rangle}$$  (1.12)

where homogeneity and isotropy have been assumed on the left-hand-side, with the simple dependence on $r = |r_i - r_j|$.

The hexatic order parameter and its correlations probe the bond-orientational order thus allowing the liquid, hexatic and solid phases to be distinguished: the decay should be exponential in the liquid, power law in the hexatic and approach a constant in the solid, see Fig. 1.4.

Defects. From the Voronoi tessellation of space one can count the number of neighbours that any particle has. Deviations from the value 6, the one that a perfect hexagonal lattice would have, as in Fig. 1.2 (b), are defects, typically corresponding to particles having 5 or 7 neighbours. The sketches in Fig. 1.4 show the behaviour of the defects, according to the KTHNY scenario: the defects are bound and can freely move without destroying the quasi long-range translational and long-range orientational order in the solid (A), they unbind in pairs in the hexatic (B) and they get free in the liquid (C). In actual fact, recent simulations (Qi, Gantapara and Dijkstra, 2014) show that defects get together in clusters, which tend to be small and compact in the hexatic phase, but become string-like (grain boundaries) in the coexistence region and the liquid phase. This is one of the issues that deserves a better analysis in the future, both for passive and active systems.

Time delayed correlation functions. The use of time correlation functions in experiments, instead of spatial ones, has the advantage that due to the limited field of view, the latter cannot be obtained over a large dynamic range, while the former can, in principle, cover arbitrarily many decades. Time-delayed correlation functions simply compare the value of an observable at a time $t_1$ with the value of the same observable at a later time $t_2$. The result is a function of $t_2 - t_1$ under stationary conditions and
Fig. 1.4 Sketch of configurations with defects of various kinds (first line) and the correlation functions (second line) in the solid, hexatic and liquid phases. Image taken from Gasser (2009). Red (green) points are particles with five (seven) neighbours. The sketch in A is in the solid phase, the one in B in the hexatic phase and the one in C in the liquid phase.

The exponential or algebraic decays of spatial correlations as a function of distance in the various phases is translated into exponential or algebraic decays as functions of time-delay in the temporal ones.

**Mean-square positional displacement.** The simplest time-delayed observable that characterises the translational properties of the elements in the samples is the averaged mean-square displacement of the position of a relevant point on the molecules, be it the centre of the disks or the centre of mass,

\[
\Delta_r(t - t_w) = \frac{1}{dN} \sum_i \langle |r_i(t) - r_i(t_w)|^2 \rangle .
\]

**Angular mean-square displacement.** Another characterisation of the global dynamics, that focuses on the rotational properties of the constituents, is given by the averaged mean-square displacement of the angles formed by a relevant direction of the molecule and a chosen axis of reference,

\[
\Delta_\vartheta(t - t_w) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle |\vartheta_i(t) - \vartheta_i(t_w)|^2 \rangle .
\]

**The Lindemann criterion.** The dynamic Lindemann parameter (Zahn, Lenke and Maret, 1999) is

\[
\gamma_L(t) = \frac{\langle (|r_i(t) - r_i(0)| - |r_j(t) - r_j(0)|)^2 \rangle}{(2a^2)}
\]

with \(i\) and \(j\) first neighbour particles at the initial time \(t = 0\), say, and \(a\) the crystalline lattice spacing. In a crystal \(\gamma_L(t)\) is bounded at long times while in the liquid the displacements of the two particles are uncorrelated and \(\gamma_L(t) = \langle |r_i(t) - r_i(0)|^2 \rangle/(2a^2) + \ldots\)
12 Phases of planar active matter

\[ \langle [r_j(t) - r_j(0)]^2 \rangle / (2a^2) \] is just the mean-square displacement of an individual particle, see Eqn. (1.13), and it is then proportional to \( t \) (simple diffusion). The change from bounded behaviour to time-increasing one can be used as evidence for the end of the solid phase.

1.3 A reminder on phase transitions

This Section contains a (very) rapid reminder of phase transitions with emphasis on properties and concepts that are useful for our purposes. Many textbooks give detailed descriptions of critical phenomena [Stanley, 1971; Amit, 1984; Parisi, 1988; Goldenfeld, 1992; Cardy, 1996; Simon, 1997; Herbut, 2006; Kardar, 2007].

1.3.1 First order

In a first-order phase transition a state that is stable on one side of the transition, becomes metastable on the other side of it. The order parameter jumps at the transition, for example, from zero in the disordered phase to a non-vanishing value in the ordered one. The correlation length, that is extracted from the correlations of the fluctuations of the order parameter with respect to its average, is always finite.

In common discussions of this kind of transition, the interplay between only two states is considered, each one being the preferred one on the two sides of the transition. But this is not necessarily the case and a competition between various equivalent stable states can also arise. The dynamics of first order phase transitions is driven by nucleation of the new stable phase within the metastable one in which the system is placed initially. During a long period of time the system attempts to nucleate one or more bubbles of the stable phase until some of them reach the critical size and then quickly grow. In the multi-nucleation problem, two possibilities then arise: either one of them rapidly conquers the full sample or many of them touch, get stuck, and a new coarsening process establishes. The latter case is the one that will be of interest in the hexatic-liquid transition, as we will argue below.

1.3.2 Second order

In a second-order phase transition a state that is stable on one side of the transition, becomes unstable on the other side of it and, typically, divides continuously into an even number of different stable points, related in pairs by symmetry. The order parameter is continuous at the transition and, for example, it grows from zero in the ordered phase. The correlation length, also extracted from the correlations of the fluctuations of the order parameter with respect to its average, diverges algebraically on both sides of the transition.

When the parameters are taken across the critical value, the system needs to accommodate to the new conditions and it does progressively, by locally ordering domains of each of the possible and equivalent new equilibrium states. The latter process is called coarsening or domain growth and, although it is a very general phenomenon, its details depend on some characteristics of the problem as the conservation laws and the dimension of the order parameter. The symmetry breaking process, whereby one of the equivalent equilibrium states conquers the full sample, is achieved late after the
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system is taken across the phase transition. Indeed, equilibration takes a time that scales with the system size and diverges in the thermodynamic limit.

1.3.3 Infinite order

Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) phase transitions [Berezinskii, 1971a, Berezinskii, 1971b, Kosterlitz and Thouless, 1972, Kosterlitz and Thouless, 1973, Kosterlitz, 1974, Kosterlitz, 2016] lack an order parameter taking a non-vanishing value on one side of the transition (in the thermodynamic limit) and are not related to spontaneous symmetry breaking. They are transitions of a different kind, driven by the unbinding of topological defects when a critical value of a control parameter (typically temperature over an energy scale) is reached. In the disordered phase the density of free topological defects is finite and the correlation function of the would-be order parameter decays exponentially, with a correlation length that is proportional to the distance between unbound defects. This length diverges exponentially at the transition and remains infinite in the full quasi-long-range ordered phase. Topological defects exist in the ordered phase but they bound in pairs and such localised in space. The divergence of the correlation length implies that the correlations of the would-be order parameter decay algebraically beyond the transition, that the system has quasi long range order and that this full phase behaves as a critical point. In terms of the associated susceptibility, it is finite in the disordered phase and it diverges in the full subcritical phase. Even more so, the transition is characterized by essential singularities in all thermodynamic functions. The reason for this behaviour are (spin or density) wave excitations with a linear dispersion relation at long wave-lengths.

The dynamics of such phase transitions is characterised by the growth of the quasi-long-range order and the annihilation of topological defects, see, e.g. Jelić & Cugliandolo (2011) for a numerical study of the 2dXY model or Comaron et al. (2018) for a similar analysis of a driven-dissipative bidimensional quantum system.

1.3.4 From infinite to first order

The picture described above has been developed based on the analysis of the 2d XY model in which planar spins are placed on the vertices of a regular lattice, with nearest neighbour pairwise interactions $-J \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_j = -J \cos \theta_{ij}$ with $\theta_{ij}$ the angle between the two spins. Interestingly enough, the nature of the transition can change dramatically if the interaction term takes other forms that still respect rotational invariance. The potential $2[1 - \cos^{2p^2}(\theta_{ij}/2)]$, that interpolates between the conventional one for $p = 1$ and a much steeper well for large $p^2$, was used by Domany, Schick and Swendsen (1984) to show that the transition crosses over from BKT to first order for large $p^2$, see also Jonsson, Minnhagen and Nylen (1993) and Zukovic and Kalagov (2017) for a more recent and complete analysis of the Langevin and Monte Carlo studies of the same model, respectively. In particular, for $p^2 = 50$ the transition is very sharp with a huge peak in the specific heat and many other elements of a first order phase transition. The reason for this behaviour is that the typical temperature for the unbinding of vortex-antivortex pairs is pushed to very high values, beyond the ones at which other kinds of excitations drive the discontinuous transition. Similarly, other examples of models expected to have BKT transitions, such as the 2d Coulomb gas, were shown
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to comply with the expectations only at low density and depart towards a first order phase transition at higher density (Minnhagen, 1987).

1.3.5 Two results against common wisdom

Breakdown of universality. The phenomena described by the end of the last Subsection seem to be in contradiction with the picture that emerges from the renormalization group theory according to which systems in the same universal class (having the same symmetry of the order parameter and same dimensionality) should exhibit the same type of phase transition with identical values of critical exponents. However, a rigorous proof that planar spin models of the XY kind with a sufficiently narrow potential undergo first order phase transitions was provided by van Enter & Shlosman (2002) and the fact that with a simple change of parameter one can change the order of the transition was thus confirmed.

Continuous symmetry breaking in two dimensions. It is commonly found in the literature that the content of the Mermin-Wagner theorem is that “two-dimensional systems with a continuous symmetry cannot have a broken symmetry at finite temperature”. This, however, is not true in general and it was not claimed by these authors either. The Mermin-Wagner result is that the expected order parameters vanish in a number of two dimensional cases, including superfluids, superconductors, magnets, and crystals, but it does not imply that there cannot be long-range order of another kind. The spontaneous breaking of orientational order in 2d, that was already anticipated by Landau himself (Landau, 1937a; Landau, 1937b), is a counter example of the statement between inverted commas. Indeed, broken translational symmetry implies broken rotational symmetry. However, the converse is not true and it is possible to break rotational invariance without breaking translational invariance. The most obvious way to do it is to use anisotropic molecules, as in liquid crystal systems. Another way is by achieving long-range bond orientational order with spherically symmetric constituents.

1.4 Equilibrium phases in two dimensions

In this Section we recall some properties of passive matter in equilibrium. We focus on two representative cases. We take a dense system at low temperatures and we evaluate the effect of thermal fluctuations. We consider a loose system and we derive the virial expansion that leads to the equation of state. These two well-known problems have intriguing extensions when activity is added, issues that we will cover in the next Section.

1.4.1 Effects of fluctuations on dense systems: melting in low dimensions

Consider a sufficiently dense system so that it should be a solid, possibly in a crystalline phase and evaluate the effect of thermal fluctuations. Does the solid melt? Which are the mechanisms leading to melting? Which is the order of the phase transition taking the solid into a liquid?
Positional vs. orientational order. In the 30s Peierls (1934) and Landau (1937a, 1937b) argued that it is not possible to find long-range positional order in low-dimensional systems with short-range interactions. Peierls used the simplest possible model for a solid, one of beads placed on a d-dimensional lattice, with Hookean couplings between nearest-neighbours, in canonical equilibrium. The question he asked was whether such a system could sustain periodic order over long distances under thermal fluctuations, and he concluded that this is not possible in $d \leq 2$, while it is in $d \geq 3$. Landau based his arguments instead on his theory of phase transitions and reached the same conclusion. In the 60s, the numerical simulations of Alder and Wainwright (1962) pointed towards a first order phase transition between solid and liquid. A more general proof of absence of crystalline order in $2d$, that does not rely on the harmonic approximation but uses a classical limit of Bogoliubov’s inequality (Bogoliubov, 1962), was given later by Mermin (1968).

An equilibrium amorphous state has a uniform averaged density $\langle \rho \rangle = \rho_0$, while a zero temperature crystalline state has a periodic one

$$\rho(r) = \sum_i \delta(r - R_i)$$

with $i$ a label that identifies the particles or lattice sites, and $R_i$ the position of the $i$th vertex of the lattice. At zero temperature a perfectly ordered state, with periodic density is allowed for all $d \geq 1$. However, thermal fluctuations make the atoms vibrate around their putative lattice sites, and the instantaneous position of the $i$th atom becomes

$$r_i = R_i + u_i = R_i + u(R_i)$$

with $u_i = u(R_i)$ its displacement from $R_i$. A simple way to see the lack of positional order in low dimensions (and the existence of it in higher dimensions) is to compute the mean-square displacement of the atoms assuming thermal equilibrium. Take a generic pair-wise potential

$$U_{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} U(r_i - r_j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} U(R_i - R_j + u_i - u_j) .$$

Indeed, the total harmonic potential energy is (Ashcroft and Mermin, 1976)

$$U_{\text{tot}} = U_{\text{gs}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \sum_{\mu\nu} (u_i^\mu - u_j^\nu) \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial r_i^\mu \partial r_j^\nu} (R_i - R_j) (u_i^\nu - u_j^\sigma)$$

$$= U_{\text{gs}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \sum_{\mu\nu} u_i^\mu D_{\mu\nu} (R_i - R_j) u_j^\nu$$

where $U_{\text{gs}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} U(R_i - R_j)$, and in the second term $\mu, \nu$ run from 1 to $d$, $D_{\mu\nu} = D_{\nu\mu} (R_i - R_j) = \delta_{ij} \sum_k \phi_{ik}^{\mu\nu} - \delta_{ij} \phi_{ij}^{\mu\nu}$ and $\phi_{ik}^{\mu\nu} = \partial^2 U(r)/\partial r_i^\mu \partial r_j^\nu$. Three symmetries of the couplings follow immediately $D_{ij}^{\mu\nu} = D_{ji}^{\mu\nu}$, $D_{ij}^{\mu\nu} = D_{ji}^{\nu\mu}$ (from the inversion symmetry
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of a Bravais lattice), and \( \sum_i D_{ij}^{\mu \nu} = 0 \) (from the uniform translation invariance of the full lattice). After a Fourier transform \( U_{\text{tot}} \) becomes

\[
U_{\text{tot}} = U_{gs} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \sum_{\mu \nu} \tilde{u}_\mu^*(k) \tilde{D}_{\mu \nu}(k) \tilde{u}_\nu(k),
\]

(1.20)

where \( \tilde{u}_\mu(k) = \sum_i e^{i k \cdot r_i} u_i \) and \( \tilde{u}_\mu^*(k) = \tilde{u}_\mu(-k) \) since \( u_i \) is real. Next one needs to estimate the \( k \)-dependence of \( \tilde{D}_{\mu \nu}(k) \). Using the symmetries of \( D_{ij}^{\mu \nu} \), its Fourier transform \( \tilde{D}_{\mu \nu}(k) \) can be recast as

\[
\tilde{D}_{\mu \nu}(k) = -2 \sum_R D_{\mu \nu}(R) \sin^2(k \cdot R/2) \approx -2 \sum_R D_{\mu \nu}(R) (k \cdot R/2)^2,
\]

(1.21)

after a small \( k \) approximation. It is now possible to further assume

\[
\tilde{D}_{\mu \nu}(k) \rightarrow k^2 A_{\mu \nu}
\]

(1.22)

where the important \( k^2 \) dependence has been extracted. \( U_{\text{tot}} \) thus becomes the energy of an ensemble of harmonic oscillators. The equipartition of quadratic degrees of freedom in canonical equilibrium yields

\[
\langle \tilde{u}_\mu^*(k) \tilde{u}_\nu(k) \rangle = \frac{k_B T}{k^2} A_{\mu \nu}^{-1}
\]

(1.23)

and a logarithmic divergence of the displacement mean-square displacement

\[
\Delta u^2 \equiv \langle |u(r) - u(r')|^2 \rangle \sim k_B T \ln |r - r'| \quad \text{in } d = 2
\]

(1.24)

follows as a consequence of the logarithmic divergence of the integral \( \int d^2k k^{-2} \).

An even simpler derivation of the same result goes as follows. Take the harmonic Hamiltonian \( H = \frac{c}{2} \int d^d r (\nabla u)^2 \) as a starting point. The excitation of a spin-wave with wavelength \( L \) (wave vector \( 2\pi/L \)) then requires an energy \( E \approx L^d(2\pi/L)^2 \propto L^{d-2} \) that diverges with \( L \) for \( d = 3 \), is independent of \( L \) for \( d = 2 \) (marginal case) and decreases as \( L^{-1} \) for \( d = 1 \).

The divergence of the mean-square displacement in Eqn. (1.24) implies that any atom displaces a long distance from each other and hence no long-range order is possible in \( d = 2 \). This weird effect is due to the dimensionality of space. In three dimensions, the mean square fluctuation is finite.

A more general proof of the lack of positional order in \( d \leq 2 \) that goes beyond the harmonic approximation was by Mermin [1968]. In this paper, he first proposed the following criterium for crystallinity:

\[
\hat{\rho}(k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \text{ not a reciprocal lattice vector},
\]

\[
\hat{\rho}(k) \neq 0 \quad \text{for at least one non-zero reciprocal lattice vector},
\]

(1.25)

with \( \hat{\rho}(k) \) the Fourier transform of \( \rho(r) \), in the thermodynamic limit, that is

\[
\hat{\rho}(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N e^{i k \cdot r_i}.
\]

(1.26)
Using Bogolyubov’s identity, Mermin showed that the condition \(1.25\) cannot be satisfied in \(d \leq 2\) since in thermal equilibrium at non-vanishing temperature, for all \(k\), \(\langle \tilde{\rho}(k) \rangle\) is bounded form above by a quantity that vanishes in the thermodynamic limit.

The possibility of a two-dimensional system with constant density (all Fourier modes vanish) being, however, anisotropic over long distances was left open by Peierls and Landau. The actual definition of the orientational order was also given by Mermin in his 1968 paper. Within the harmonic solid model he simply noticed that

\[
\langle [r(R + a_1) - r(R)] \cdot [r(R' + a_1) - r(R')\rangle
\]

(1.27)

approaches \(a_1^2\) at long distances \(|R - R'| \to \infty\), implying that the orientation of the local order is maintained all along the sample. The status of the studies of orientational order in two dimensional systems in the 90s is summarised in (Strandburg, 1992).

**Melting scenarios.** In \(d \geq 3\) melting is a first order phase transition between crystal and liquid (although the details of how this transition occurs are still not fully understood and may depend on the material). In \(d = 2\), instead, there is no full consensus yet as to which are the mechanisms for melting and how the passage from solid (with quasi-long-range positional and long-range orientational order) to liquid (with both short-range positional and orientational order) occurs. In the late 70s Halperin & Nelson (1979) and Young (1979) suggested that the transition can occur in two steps, with an intermediate anisotropic *hexatic* phase with short-range positional and quasi-long-range orientational order. Both transitions, between solid and hexatic on the one hand, and hexatic and liquid on the other, were proposed to be driven by the dissociation of topological defects, and therefore be of BKT type:

- In the first stage, at the melting transition \(T_m\), dislocation pairs unbind to form a bond orientationally ordered hexatic liquid.
- In the second stage, at \(T_i\), the disclination pairs which make up the dislocations unbind to form an isotropic liquid.

Moreover, within the KTHNY theory, the finite size scaling of the order parameters is expected to be as follows. In the solid phase the translational order parameter should decay with system size as \(N^{-\eta}\) with \(\eta \leq 1/3\). In the hexatic phase the hexatic order parameter should decay with system size as \(N^{-\eta_6}\) with \(\eta_6 \to 0\) at the transition with the solid and, according to Nelson & Halperin, \(\eta_6 \to 1/4\) at the transition with the liquid. All these conclusions were derived from an RG analysis of the continuous elastic model of a solid separated into the contribution of the smooth displacements and the one of the defects.

A large number of numerical and experimental attempts to confirm (or not) this picture followed. A summary of the situation at the beginning of the 90s can be found in Strandburg (1989, 1992) and close to ten years ago in Gasser (2009). Early numerics and experiments faced some difficulty in establishing the existence of the hexatic phase, and suggested instead coexistence between solid and liquid as expected in a single first order phase transition scenario. However, by the turn of the century the existence of the hexatic phase was settled and quite widely accepted (see the references by Maret et al. cited below) although evidence for both transitions being of BKT kind remained still elusive.
More recently, Krauth and collaborators (Bernard and Krauth 2011; Engel et al. 2013; Kapfer and Krauth 2015) came back to this problem with powerful numerical techniques and they suggested that, for sufficiently hard repulsive interactions between disks, the transition between the hexatic and liquid phases is of first order. A phenomenon similar to the one put forward by Domany, Schick and Swendsen (1984) with a numerical study, and later shown rigorously by van Enter and Shlosman (2002), would then be at work. Namely, that the BKT transition derived with renormalisation group techniques would be preempted by a first order one. This new scenario allows for co-existence of the liquid and hexatic phases in a finite region of the phase diagram. The mechanisms for the transitions would then be the following.

- In the first stage, at $T_m$, dislocation pairs unbind to form a bond orientationally ordered hexatic phase.
- In the second stage, at $T_i$, grain boundaries made of strings of alternating five and seven fold defects would percolate across the sample and liquify it.

While real time video microscopy on superparamagnetic colloids interacting via a soft $r^{-3}$ potential tend to confirm the KTHNY scenario (Zahn, Lenke and Maret, 1999; Zahn and Maret, 2000; Von Grünberg, Keim and Maret, 2007; Keim, Maret and Von Grünberg, 2007; Gasser, Eisenmann, Maret and Keim, 2010), experimental evidence for the new scenario in a colloidal hard disks system was recently given by Thorneywork et al. (2017). It seems plausible that the mechanism for melting in 2d be non-universal and depend on the interaction potential and other specificities of the systems. Indeed, the numerical simulations prove that for sufficiently soft potential the first order transition is replaced by the conventional BKT one (Kapfer and Krauth, 2015). Moreover, a choice between the two is also made by the form of the particles: the polygon case was carefully studied by Anderson et al. (2017) and a dependence of the order of the transition with the number of sides of the constituent polygons was claimed in this paper.

### 1.4.2 Effects of interactions on dilute systems: the equation of state

Since we may be dealing with first order phase transitions, it is useful to recall how these arise in the best known case of the liquid-gas transition and how they lead to co-existence. This is seen, for instance, from the equation of state derived under various approximations. For example, the virial expansion is a common technique used to study weakly interacting gases with perturbative methods. It is explained in many textbook, see e.g. (Kardar, 2007), and we will not reproduce much details here. In short, the virial expansion expresses the deviations from the ideal gas equation of state, $PV = Nk_BT = nRT$ with $n = N/N_A$ the number of atoms over Avogadro’s number and $R = k_BN_A$ the gas constant, as a power series in the density $\rho = N/V$ with temperature dependent coefficients. Truncated to order $\rho^2$, this expansion yields the Van der Waals equation $P_{eff}V_{eff} = (P + aN^2/V^2)(V - bN) = nRT$ (where the effective volume takes into account the reduction due to the space occupied by the particles themselves and the effective pressure is higher than the bare one due to the attraction between the particles). The latter breaks the positivity requirement on the isothermal compressibility $\kappa_T = -V^{-1}\partial V/\partial P|_T$ that is a consequence of its
fluctuation-dissipation relation (in the macrocanonical ensemble) with the variance of the particle number confined to the volume $V$,

$$\kappa_T = \frac{1}{k_B T} \frac{V}{\langle N \rangle^2} \langle (N - \langle N \rangle)^2 \rangle \geq 0.$$  

(1.28)

In physical terms, a system with negative compressibility is unstable and it would collapse. Indeed, the Van der Waals isotherms have a portion with negative compressibility that indicates an instability towards formation of domains of low and high density, in other words, phase separation between liquid and gas, both with positive compressibility. For volumes in this region, the isotherms of the real system are instead flat due to the coexistence of the two phases. The Maxwell construction, an equilibrium argument, indicates that the stability of the sample is obtained at a value of the pressure $P$ (that determines the volumes occupied by the two phases) such that the areas above and below the dip and peak of the $P(V)$ curve are the same.

In a real system with finite size, the isotherms also show a Mayer-Wood loop structure (Mayer and Wood, 1965). However, one has to be careful before concluding that such a loop is due to a first order phase transition. Actually, finite systems undergoing a second order transition may also show one (Alonso and Fernández, 1999). The scaling of the loop area with system size does, instead, provide unambiguous evidence for first order phase transitions. Indeed, if there is a coexistence region, the system should hold in it an interface between the two macroscopic phases. The surface occupied by this interface should scale as $L^{d-1}$ (ignoring possible fractal phenomena) and the free-energy cost of it should therefore be $\Delta F \propto L^{d-1}$ leading to a free-energy density cost of $\Delta f \propto L^{d-1} / L^d = L^{-1}$ that, in $d = 2$ corresponds to $N^{-1/2}$. The free-energy density can be derived from the equation of state via an integration since $P = -\partial F / \partial V |_T$ that implies that the area occupied by the loop should decrease with system size as $N^{-1/2}$.

### 1.5 Active systems

We now enter the field of active systems. We very briefly mention in this Section the results of numerical studies that led us to construct the phase diagrams [in the $(Pe, \phi)$ plane] of the active Brownian particle and active dumbbell systems. When constructing these phase diagrams we seriously took into account the knowledge of the passive limit behaviour that we have described so far.

#### 1.5.1 Numerical methods

We will not expose here the numerical methods used to integrate the dynamics of passive and active matter system as there exist excellent textbooks and review articles in the literature that explain in detail these techniques (Allen and Tildesley, 1989). In a few words, the integration of Eqns (1.2) is typically done with the velocity Verlet algorithm (Rahman, 1964; Verlet, 1967) that can be easily parallelised, and this is done using the LAMMPS method (Plimpton, 1995).

It is important to note, though, that while in equilibrium statistical averages are usually exchanged with time averages under the ergodic hypothesis, out of equilibrium
this hypothesis cannot be taken for granted and statistical averages may need to
develop by definition, that is, by averaging over many samples run under the same
conditions.

Numerical simulations suffer from severe limitations given by the typically small
size of the systems compared to the thermodynamic limit in which theoretical calcula-
tions are performed. Furthermore, the dynamic equations are integrated over relatively
short times. In the context of active matter, these two limitations can, however, repre-
sent the actual experimental situation as real systems do not count with constituents
as numerous as the Avogadro number and time-scales can be relatively short as well.
In finite size systems, special care has to be taken with the choice of boundary con-
ditions and how these may affect the behaviour of the system in the bulk. Periodic
boundary conditions are often chosen since they tend to minimise finite-size effects,
not having edges, but they also avoid the annoying decision to make concerning inter-
actions between particles and walls. Special care has to be taken not to inhibit periodic
or orientational order or symmetry with this choice.

Having said this, we are interested in determining phase diagrams that exist in the
thermodynamic limit. Finite size scaling should therefore be used to determine the
behaviour in the infinite size limit. Typical simulations ran with \( N = 256^2 \) particles,
scanning the parameter space \( \phi \in [0 : 0.9] \) and \( Pe \in [0 : 200] \). Notice that since the
disks are not completely hard, some overlap between them is possible and values of \( \phi \)
that are slightly larger than the close packing limit can be accessed in the simulation
(recall that the close packing fraction of disks in two dimensions is achieved by a
perfect triangular lattice and it amounts to \( \phi_{cp} \approx 0.91 \)).
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**Fig. 1.5** The phase diagrams of interacting active Brownian disks (a) and dumbbells (b)
as obtained by Digregorio et al. (2018) for the disks [see also Klamser et al. (2018)] and
Cugliandolo et al. (2017) and Petrelli et al. (2018) for the dumbbells. The insets are zooms
over the small Pe regions, close to \( \phi = 0.7 \).
1.5.2 Phase diagrams

Passive systems in two dimensions have liquid, orientationally ordered and solid phases. The fact that there is co-existence between liquid and hexatically ordered phases in systems of passive, purely repulsive, disks was evidenced by Bernard, Kampfer & Krauth, in a series of papers, if the potential is hard enough. The question naturally arises as to whether the passive phases, and the co-existence region, survive under activity, and whether they do both for spherically symmetric and elements with non-spherical form.

The various phases can be examined with the usual observables already defined and mentioned in the context of the passive limit: order parameters, their correlation functions, the distributions of their local values, their fluctuations, and the pressure loop (if accepted, see below).

We will not include here all the evidence for these claims, that can be found in the relevant references, and give rise to the two phase diagrams in the (Pe, \( \phi \)) plane shown in Fig. 1.5 (a) for the disks and (b) for the dumbbells. The colour code is such that white is liquid (or gas), grey is coexistence, blue is hexatic, and yellow is solid (in Sec. 1.5.4 we will explain why we have not distinguished hexatic from solid in the dumbbell system and why we have depicted all the region above the end of coexistence in blue). We will simply discuss in the following paragraphs some aspects of the structure of these systems.

In both cases there is a region with co-existence (grey) that penetrates the phase diagram along the Pe \( \sim 0 \) direction, close to \( \phi \sim 0.7 \). The dilute phase has no order and behaves as an active liquid or gas while the dense phase next to it has orientational order and it is therefore an active hexatic phase. However, we see a difference. While for disks this coexistence ends at a relatively small value of Pe and the so-called motility induced phase separation (MIPS) region appears for much larger values of Pe, for dumbbells the region with coexistence simply merges (or is the same as) what is usually called MIPS. We will not discuss here the transition between the active hexatic (blue) and the active solid (yellow) phases.

1.5.3 The equation of state

The mere definition of pressure needs attention in active matter systems. In mechanical terms, it is the force per unit surface exerted by the system on the walls of its container. In equilibrium in the thermodynamic limit, the mechanical pressure is also given by an equation of state that relates it to bulk properties of the system, namely, temperature and density, with no reference of the particular interaction potential between constituents and boundary walls. This relation is not at all obvious out of equilibrium, and it has been observed in some active matter systems that the pressure does depend on the potential details. This issue will be covered in other Chapters in this book.

Expressions for the mechanical pressure for spherically symmetric constituents governed by a Markov stochastic process of Langevin kind both under and over damped for confined and periodic boundary conditions, were recently derived. Moreover, formulæ for the cases of interest here, ensembles of active Brownian particles in interaction [Winkler, Wysocki and Gompper, 2015] and of active dumbbells also in interaction [Joyeux and Bertin, 2016] were also recently deduced and discussed.
cases in which the constituents are not symmetric there is some ambiguity related to the way in which the interactions with the walls should be considered; we will not discuss this issue further here.

Figure 1.6 displays four panels with the equation of state of (a) the van der Waals equation, (b) the numerical simulations of a rather hard disk passive system from Bernard & Krauth (2011), (c) the experimental measurements of another rather hard disk passive ensemble from Thorneywork et al. (2017), and (d) the numerical simulations of an ensemble of active Brownian disks at small Pe from Digregorio et al. (2018).

All plots are quite similar and were used to claim that the transition is of first order in the (b), (c) and (d) cases. In the case of active Brownian particles, these measurements were used to locate datapoints that yield the limits of the co-existence region.
1.5.4 Phase separation in the dumbbell system

We will end this presentation giving some details on the phase separation found in the dumbbell system, with molecules made of two joined disks with the same diameter $\sigma_d$ and distance between their centres very close to $2\sigma_d$ and almost constant over time. A much more exhaustive discussion of the structure and dynamics of this model can be found in (Petrelli et al., 2018).

Take the passive dumbbell system at a given global density with co-existence. As the activity is turned on, some spatial regions get denser, leaving away disordered holes. Under increasing activity, the high density peak in the bimodal distribution of local densities continuously moves towards higher values and its weight increases while the low density peak moves in the opposite direction and its weight decreases. As far as density is concerned we do not see any discontinuity when moving towards higher activities in the coexistence region. A similar behaviour is observed when following the local hexatic order parameter. Curves of constant repartition of dense and lose phases can be traced and the system’s behaviour can be compared on these. This is different in the active Brownian disk system, for which the region with coexistence at low Pe ends on curves at relatively low values of Pe, see the phase diagrams shown in Fig. 1.5.

Fig. 1.7 Dumbbells at a global packing fraction such that the system is in the coexistence region with 50-50 proportion of liquid and hexatic phases, $\text{Pe} = 200$. Panel (a) shows the dumbbells polarisation, panel (b) the local velocity and (c) the local hexatic order parameter. The figure is taken from Petrelli et al. (2018).

Depending on the strength of the activity, this process allows the dumbbells in the ordered regions to pack in a single domain with perfect hexatic order, or in polycrystalline arrangements concerning the orientational order, see panel (c) in Fig. 1.7.

Another interesting observable to characterise the structure and dynamics of the clusters is the coarse-grained polarisation or, basically, a vector constructed as the average over a coarse-graining volume of the sum of vectors pointing from head-to-tail and attached to each dumbbell, see panel (a) in Fig. 1.7. At Pe = 0 there is no polar order whatsoever (not even locally). At intermediate activity, say Pe = 40, the
clusters show an aster polar configuration with a defect at the centre (basically on the
dumbbell that triggered aggregation and the subsequent formation of the cluster). At
larger activity, say Pe = 200, the aster evolves to a spiralling pattern (see the map in
the figure) and the cluster consequently rotates, a motion that is not observed at lower
Pe, see Fig. 1.7. We therefore found that polar order differentiates between clusters at
small and high activity.

Interest can then be set on the motion of the dumbbells. In the phase separated
cases, the dumbbells in the dilute phase are basically free, since their kinetic energy is
very close to the one of independent dumbbells for all Pe values. The kinetic energy
of the dumbbells in the dense phase, instead, increases very weakly with activity, due
to the fact that the mobility is suppressed inside the clusters and these are massive
and move very slowly.

While the kinetic energy gives a measure of the strength of flow in the system,
the enstrophy is a measure of the presence of vortices in the velocity field and it can
be used to understand whether clusters rotate driven by activity. For high values of
the activity the dumbbells arrange in spirals, the clusters in the system undertake a
rotational motion and the probability distribution function of the enstrophy develops
a multi-peak structure associated to the rotating clusters. A comparison between the
velocity and the polarisation fields shows that the former exhibits a vortex pattern
while the polarisation one is a spiral.

For strong enough Pe, say Pe > 50, the spontaneously formed clusters turn around
their centre of mass with an angular velocity that is proportional to the inverse of
their radii. The poly-crystalline nature of the clusters, with respect to the hexatic
order, does not seem to play a major role in their rotational properties. Instead, the
orientation of the dumbbells inside the clusters is, indeed, important, as a certain
amount of disorder is needed to make them turn.

The exact nature of the transition between the hexatic and solid phases for the
dumbbell system and its location in the phase diagram are still open questions. Since
monomers are constrained to be attached in pairs, they cannot arrange on a triangular
lattice at any \( \phi < \phi_{cp} \) forcing the positional correlations of the monomers to decay
exponentially. It is therefore hard to identify the solid phase and this is the reason why
in Fig. 1.5 (b) we show all the region of the phase diagram lying above the end of
coeexistence in blue.

1.6 Concluding remarks

The motivation for the studies of active systems in two dimensions described here
was to determine their full phase diagram linking the strong activation limit (usually
studied in the active matter literature) to the passive case (already a very hard and
not yet settled problem).

Many open questions remain unanswered and pose important challenges. We com-
ment on a few of them below.

The first issue that calls for a careful analysis is how does the phase diagram
transform from the one for disks to the one for dumbbells when one smoothly varies
the form of the molecules to interpolate between these two limits.
A careful study of the dynamics of the topological defects and their influence upon the phase transitions is definitely needed. Qi et al. (2014) and Kapfer & Krauth (2015) suggested that there is a percolation of the defect string network at the liquid-hexatic transition of passive models with sufficiently hard potentials, and that these strings surround domains with hexatic order. Is it the case for the active model as well?

In equilibrium a duality transformation linking the interacting defect system relevant for two-dimensional melting to a Laplacian roughening model is often used to attack the former by simulating the latter. However, such a relation does not necessarily hold under active forces since it relies on a transformation of the partition function. Are there other transformations of similar kind that could be used in the active case?

The hexatic phase is only stable in a minute density regime in the case of hard disks, which can be missed very easily in both simulations and experiments. Additionally, the order of the transition is difficult to ascertain due to finite-size effects. Therefore, although the picture that we described here is consistent and very attractive, it still needs to be confirmed with more detailed numerically simulations and, hopefully, experimental measurements. A rigorous proof, as the one developed by van Enter and Shlosman (2002) for the planar spin model seems out of reach for the active model since it is specific to equilibrium conditions (Gibbs states, partition functions). Could any other kind of rigorous proof be worked out for the active case?

The dynamics across a first order phase transition occurs via nucleation of the stable phase into the unstable one. This problem is usually discussed with a single state that wins the competition against another one when the transition is crossed. A slightly more complex example is the one of the Potts model with $q > 4$, a model in which the stable states in the ordered phase are $q$ degenerate ones, and the relevant dynamic process is a multi-nucleation one. If many stable phases of different kind nucleate simultaneously these will grow quickly until they touch and block. The further evolution is a normal coarsening one. The time-scales for nucleation, growth of sufficiently large bubbles and coarsening are very different and can be numerically quantified exploiting data from Monte Carlo simulations (Corberi, Cugliandolo, Esposito and Picco, 2018). The dynamics across the first order liquid-hexatic transition should have similar features to the ones just described. This problem is under study in our group.
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