Does D3 surgery offer a better survival outcome compared to D1 surgery for gastric cancer? A result based on a hospital population of two decades as taking D2 surgery for reference
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Abstract

Background: We conducted a retrospective study in our hospital in which we compared D1 with D3 through D2 lymphadenectomy for gastric cancer in terms of morbidity, postoperative mortality, long-term survival after surgery.

Methods: 567 patients who were performed curative intent between 1980 and 2003 were enrolled. 187 in the D1 group, 189 in the D2 group and 191 in the D3 group. Every procedure was verified by pathological analyses. The primary endpoints were 5-year overall survival.

Results: Median follow-up periods were 36 months and 60 months for D1 group and D3 group. Overall 5-year survival rate was significantly higher in patients underwent D3 surgery than in those performed D1 surgery (37.4% vs 48.7%; log-rank, p = 0.027). For the cases followed up to 120 months, the 10-year overall survival rate was 29% (95% CI, 22.1% to 35.9%) for the D1 group and 33.7% (95% CI, 26.6% to 40.8%) for the D3 group (log-rank, p = 0.005).

Conclusions: D1 surgery should be operated only for patients with Borrmann I disease. As D3 gastrectomy is associated with low mortality and adequate survival times when performed in selected institutions that have had sufficient experience with the operation and with postoperative management, we recommend D3 lymphadenectomy for patients with curable gastric cancer.
We conducted a single-institutional study and reported the long-term survival data for these two surgical groups of D1 and D3 taking D2 group as reference. Finally, we demonstrated that D3 surgery has overall survival benefit without significant operative complications and mortality.

**Methods**

**Patients**

We selected 567 patients who were histologically confirmed gastric cancer and underwent a radical operation at the First Affiliated Hospital of the China Medical University between 1980 and 2005. All of them, 187 were performed D1 dissection (D1 group), 189 received D2 surgery (D2 group) and 191 were treated with D3 dissection (D3 group). The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1), histologically proven, potentially curable gastric adenocarcinoma, and had physical fitness suitable for elective operation of either type of lymphadenectomy; 2), diagnosed based on the 5th UICC TNM classification system; 3), curative D1, D2 or D3 operations were performed; 4), a complete medical record was available; 5), patients of every period of diagnosis and every surgeon are roughly equal; and 6), never received neoadjuvant therapies and any kind of adjunctive therapy. Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1), older than 75 years; 2), previous or concomitant other cancer; 3), previous or concomitant gastrectomy for benign disease; 4), previous chemotherapy or radiotherapy; 5), clinical evidence of early gastric cancer on laparotomy; 6), oesophageal involvement; 7), macroscopically enlarged lymph nodes around the hepatoduodenal ligament or para-aortic regions; and 8), distant metastatic disease.

All patients were followed up by posting letters or telephone interviews. The last follow-up was December, 2008. Clinical findings, surgical findings, pathological findings and every follow-up were collected and recorded in the database. All the subjects gave written informed consent to study protocol, which was approved by the Ethics Committee of China Medical University.

**Surgical procedures and classifications of gastric cancer**

Surgical procedures and pathological assessment referred to the Japanese classification of gastric cancer[1]. All patients in the study underwent standard total or distal subtotal gastrectomy, depending on the location and macroscopic appearance of the primary tumor. The definition of lymphadenectomy was based on the Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma[5]. D1–dissection of all the group 1 nodes; D2–dissection of all the group 1 and group 2 nodes; D3–dissection of all the group 1, group 2 and group 3 nodes. Group 1 consists of the perigastric lymph nodes, and group 2 consists of the lymph nodes along the left gastric artery, the common hepatic artery, and the splenic artery and around the celiac axis. However, when the tumor is located in the lower third stomach, the lymph nodes along the splenic artery are classified as group 3. Group 3 also consists of lymph nodes in the hepatoduodenal ligament at the posterior aspect of the head of the pancreas and at the root of the mesentery.

Surgeons routinely removed lymph nodes from the excised specimens as more as possible after operation, based on the Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma and their experience. The specimens and retrieved lymph nodes were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and pathologically examined in the Gastric Laboratory of the First Affiliated Hospital of the China Medical University.

**Endpoints and follow-up**

The primary endpoints were 5-year overall survival. Overall survival was calculated from the day of surgery until death or the last follow-up contact. Data for a patient were censored at last follow-up when they were alive. Follow-up assessments were done every 6 months for the first 5 years after surgery, and then every 12 months until death.

**Statistical analyses**

Data from all eligible patients were analyzed for overall survival. Survival curves were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method and treatment comparisons were made by the log-rank test.

Potential prognostic factors were entered into a Cox’s regression model including age, sex, tumor size, site of tumour in stomach, gross appearance, tumour stage, clinical node status, nodal stage, joint organ removal, gastrectomy, blood transfusion and blood loss. In multivariate analysis, the prognostic factor detected in univariate analysis and treatment group were as covariates included in the Cox regression model.

Two-sided P values were calculated for all tests and are reported here. P values less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. Analyses were performed with the use of SPSS software, version 16.0.

**Results**

D1 group was with median age of 55 years old, D2 group was with median age of 55 years old and D3 group was with median age of 54 (table 1). All patients were followed up for at least 5 years (until December 19, 2008).

The characteristics of the three groups, which were showed in table 1, were well balanced. 125 patients had early cancer (confined to submucosa or mucosa). 536 patients had curative resection; 31 patients had palliative
| Characteristics                          | D1 surgery (n = 187) | D2 surgery (n = 189) | D3 surgery (n = 191) | p Value |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                             |                      |                      |                      | 0.899   |
| Median                                  | 55                   | 55                   | 54                   |         |
| Sex (%)                                 |                      |                      |                      | 0.758   |
| Men                                     | 136(73)              | 137(73)              | 133(70)              |         |
| Women                                   | 51(27)               | 52(27)               | 58(30)               |         |
| Number of lymph nodes removed           |                      |                      |                      | 0.189   |
| Mean                                    | 21                   | 23                   | 26                   |         |
| Number of involved lymph nodes          |                      |                      |                      | 0.232   |
| Mean                                    | 3                    | 4                    | 6                    |         |
| Tumor size (%)                          |                      |                      |                      | 0.323   |
| ≤5 cm                                   | 125(67)              | 121(64)              | 113(59)              |         |
| 5-7 cm                                  | 32(17)               | 40(21)               | 50(26)               |         |
| >7 cm                                   | 30(16)               | 28(15)               | 28(15)               |         |
| Site of tumour (%)                      |                      |                      |                      | 0.984   |
| Upper stomach                           | 44(24)               | 39(21)               | 42(22)               |         |
| Middle stomach                          | 43(23)               | 50(27)               | 45(24)               |         |
| Lower stomach                           | 88(47)               | 87(46)               | 90(47)               |         |
| Whole stomach                           | 12(6)                | 13(6)                | 14(7)                |         |
| Pathological tumour stage (%)           |                      |                      |                      | 0.979   |
| T1                                      | 41(22)               | 40(21)               | 44(23)               |         |
| T2                                      | 44(24)               | 45(24)               | 42(22)               |         |
| T3                                      | 89(48)               | 87(46)               | 92(48)               |         |
| T4                                      | 13(6)                | 17(9)                | 13(7)                |         |
| Clinical node status (%)                |                      |                      |                      | 0.729   |
| Positive                                | 182(97)              | 186(98)              | 186(97)              |         |
| Negative                                | 5(3)                 | 3(2)                 | 5(3)                 |         |
| Pathological nodal stage (%)*           |                      |                      |                      |         |
| N0                                      | 69(37)               | 60(32)               | 69(36)               | 0.500   |
| N1                                      | 75(40)               | 93(49)               | 81(42)               |         |
| N2                                      | 30(16)               | 26(14)               | 24(13)               |         |
| N3                                      | 13(7)                | 10(5)                | 17(9)                |         |
| Gross type (%)                          |                      |                      |                      | 0.998   |
| Borrmann I                              | 58(31)               | 56(30)               | 54(28)               |         |
| Borrmann II                             | 41(22)               | 44(23)               | 43(23)               |         |
| Borrmann III                            | 73(39)               | 74(39)               | 77(40)               |         |
| Borrmann IV                             | 15(8)                | 15(8)                | 17(9)                |         |
| Histological type (%)                   |                      |                      |                      | 0.867   |
| Differentiated                          | 99(53)               | 88(47)               | 82(43)               |         |
| Undifferentiated                        | 88(47)               | 101(53)              | 109(57)              |         |
| Curative resection (%)                  |                      |                      |                      | 0.503   |
| Total                                   | 174(95)              | 174(95)              | 174(95)              |         |
| Type of gastrectomy (%)                 |                      |                      |                      | 0.440   |
| Total                                   | 22(12)               | 21(11)               | 29(15)               |         |
| Subtotal                                | 165(88)              | 168(89)              | 162(85)              |         |
| Combined organ resection                |                      |                      |                      | 0.283   |
| Pancreas or spleen                      | 7(4)                 | 16(9)                | 14(7)                |         |
| Liver or gall                           | 11(6)                | 8(4)                 | 9(5)                 |         |
| Transverse colon                        | 9(5)                 | 17(9)                | 11(6)                |         |
| Blood transfusion (%)                   |                      |                      |                      | 0.652   |
| Total                                   | 100(54)              | 104(55)              | 111(58)              |         |

*N1 = 1-6 involved nodes; N2 = 7-15 involved nodes; N3, >15 involved nodes.
resection. 22 (12%) patients in D1 group was performed total gastrectomy, 21 patients assigned to D2 group (11%) and in 29 patients assigned to D3 surgery (15%). The incidence rates of the four major surgery-related complications in the D1 group, D2 group and D3 group were 2% (4/187), 2% (4/189) and 2% (3/191), respectively, for anastomotic leakage; 4% (8/187), 4% (7/189) and 5% (9/191) for pancreatic fistula; 4% (8/187), 5% (10/189) and 5% (10/191) for abdominal abscess, and 4% (7/187), 2% (3/189) and 1% (2/191) for pneumonia. None of these differences were statistically significant (all \( P > 0.05 \)). The hospital death rate was 2% (three deaths in D1 group, one death in D2 group and six deaths in D3 group).

After median follow-up periods of 36 months, 36 months and 60 months for D1 group, D2 group and D3 group respectively, 150 patients in D1 group, 157 patients in D2 group and 137 in D3 group died. Neither the skill of an individual surgeon nor the period of diagnosis affected survival (\( P > 0.05 \), log-rank test). Figure 1 and figure 2 show the overall rates for all enrolled patients. There were significant differences between D1 and D3 group (\( P = 0.004 \)), and between D2 and D3 group (\( P = 0.002 \)). However, there was no significant difference between D1 and D2 group. The 5-year overall survival was 37.4% (95% CI, 30.5% to 44.3%) for the D1 group and 48.7% (95% CI, 41.6% to 55.8%) for the D3 group (log-rank \( P = 0.027 \)).

The hazard ratio for death was 0.708 (95% CI, 0.560-0.894; \( P = 0.004 \)) in the D3 group (table 2, univariable analyses). After adjustment of thirteen baseline variables (age, sex, tumor size, tumor location, Borrmann type, T stage, clinical node status, lymph-node stage, histological type, joint organ removal, gastrectomy, blood transfusion and blood loss) with the use of Cox regression analysis, the hazard ratio was hardly unchanged (hazard ratio, 0.771 (95% CI, 0.599-0.992); \( P = 0.043 \) (table 2, multivariable analyses). Expectedly, the multivariate analyses showed that >7 cm in tumor size, the upper third tumor and the whole stomach tumor, Borrmann III type, N3 disease, D1 and D2 dissection were significantly associated with poor survival (table 2).

As shown in table 3 and 4, D1 group got significantly more benefit than D2 or D3 group only for Borrmann I and N3 disease, the hazard ratios for death in the D1 group were 0.618 (95% CI, 0.399-0.958; \( P = 0.031 \)) and 0.369 (95% CI, 0.162-0.841; \( P = 0.018 \)), respectively. D3 group has significantly more benefit than D1 and D2 surgery in the subgroups of cases with ≤5 cm and >7 cm tumors, the lower third tumor, Borrmann II and III types, T3 stage, positive clinical node, N0 and N1 disease, no joint organ removal, the subtotal gastrectomy, blood transfusion and 200-400 ml blood loss. There was no evidence indicating that D2 surgery has any significant benefit for these subgroups.

**Discussion**

In the study, we found significant improvement in overall survival with D3 surgery compared to D1 surgery.
Table 2 HR for death in intention-to-treat population (n = 567)—univariable and multivariable analyses

|                        | Univariable analyses |          | Multivariable analyses |          |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
|                        | HR (95% CI)          | p        | HR (95% CI)            | p        |
| **Age (years)**        |                      |          |                        |          |
| ≤55                    | 1 (Ref)              | 0.033    | 1 (Ref)                | 0.335    |
| >55                    | 1.231 (1.017-1.490)  | 0.033    | 1.224 (0.982-1.524)    | 0.335    |
| **Sex**                |                      |          |                        |          |
| Women                  | 1 (Ref)              | 0.816    | 1 (Ref)                | 0.960    |
| Men                    | 1.025 (0.833-1.261)  | 0.816    | 0.943 (0.748-1.188)    | 0.960    |
| **Tumor size**         |                      | 0.000    |                        | 0.111    |
| ≤5 cm                  | 1 (Ref)              | 1.527 (1.217-1.917) | 0.000 | 1.266 (0.991-1.617) | 0.059 |
| 5-7 cm                 | 1.728 (1.338-2.233)  | 0.000    | 1.397 (1.005-1.943)    | 0.047    |
| >7 cm                  |                      |          |                        |          |
| **Tumour site**        | 0.010                | 0.010    | 0.010                  | 0.010    |
| Upper stomach          | 1 (Ref)              | 0.624 (0.477-0.816) | 0.001 | 0.585 (0.436-0.786) | 0.000 |
| Middle stomach         | 0.626 (0.495-0.792)  | 0.000    | 0.634 (0.482-0.833)    | 0.001    |
| Lower stomach          | 1.303 (0.889-1.910)  | 0.174    | 0.698 (0.422-1.154)    | 0.161    |
| Whole stomach          |                      |          |                        |          |
| **Gross appearance**   | 0.000                | 0.000    | 0.000                  | 0.000    |
| Borrmann types I       | 1 (Ref)              | 1.080 (0.824-1.416) | 0.576 | 0.979 (0.740-1.294) | 0.879 |
| Borrmann types II      | 1.723 (1.364-2.175)  | 0.000    | 1.601 (1.253-2.406)    | 0.000    |
| Borrmann types III     | 2.141 (1.507-3.044)  | 0.000    | 1.282 (0.841-1.952)    | 0.248    |
| Borrmann types IV      |                      |          |                        |          |
| **Tumour stage**       | 0.000                | 0.651    | 0.000                  | 0.651    |
| T1                     | 1 (Ref)              | 0.855 (0.638-1.146) | 0.295 | 0.799 (0.590-1.082) | 0.146 |
| T2                     | 1.262 (0.956-1.615)  | 0.064    | 0.917 (0.698-1.205)    | 0.535    |
| T4                     | 1.867 (1.284-2.716)  | 0.010    | 1.005 (0.657-1.537)    | 0.983    |
| **Clinical node status**|                     | 0.372    | 0.372                  | 0.372    |
| Negative               | 1 (Ref)              | 1.376 (0.683-2.770) | 0.372 | 1.652 (0.794-3.436) | 0.438 |
| Positive               |                      |          |                        |          |
| **Lymph-node stage**   | 0.000                | 0.000    | 0.000                  | 0.000    |
| N0                     | 1 (Ref)              | 1.342 (1.082-1.666) | 0.008 | 1.119 (0.863-1.450) | 0.397 |
| N1                     | 1.480 (1.099-1.994)  | 0.010    | 1.238 (0.889-1.725)    | 0.206    |
| N2                     | 3.603 (2.497-5.199)  | 0.000    | 2.653 (1.684-4.179)    | 0.000    |
| N3                     |                      |          |                        |          |
| **Histological type (%)**|                     | 0.221    | 0.221                  | 0.221    |
| Differentiated         | 1 (Ref)              | 1.177 (0.591-1.529) | 0.221 | 0.897 (0.624-1.289) | 0.556 |
| Undifferentated        |                      |          |                        |          |
| **Joint organ removal**| 0.000                | 0.000    | 0.000                  | 0.000    |
| None                   | 1 (Ref)              | 1.964 (1.374-2.808) | 0.000 | 1.364 (0.902-2.062) | 0.141 |
| Pancreas or spleen     | 1.380 (0.896-2.125)  | 0.144    | 1.291 (0.823-2.023)    | 0.266    |
| Liver or gall          | 1.882 (1.321-2.681)  | 0.000    | 1.446 (0.984-2.125)    | 0.060    |
| **Gastrectomy**        | 0.000                | 0.001    | 0.000                  | 0.001    |
| Total                  | 1 (Ref)              | 0.549 (0.422-0.714) | 0.000 | 0.833 (0.563-1.233) | 0.001 |
| Subtotal               |                      |          |                        |          |
| **Blood transfusion**  | 0.356                | 0.580    | 0.580                  | 0.580    |
| No                     | 1 (Ref)              | 1.094 (0.904-1.324) | 0.356 | 1.003 (0.815-1.234) | 0.580 |
| Yes                    |                      |          |                        |          |
| **Blood loss**         | 0.789                | 0.199    | 0.199                  | 0.199    |
| ≤200 ml                | 1 (Ref)              | 0.901 (0.723-1.122) | 0.350 | 0.900 (0.715-1.134) | 0.373 |
| 200-400 ml             |                      |          |                        |          |
| >400 ml                | 1.049 (0.816-1.347)  | 0.710    | 0.736 (0.552-0.980)    | 0.036    |
Table 2: HR for death in intention-to-treat population (n = 567)—univariable and multivariable analyses (Continued)

| Lymph node dissection | D1 surgery | D3 surgery | HR (95% CI) | P     |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------|
| 0.004                 | 0.045      |            |             |       |
| D1                    | 1 (Ref)    | 1 (Ref)    | 1.407 (1.113-1.779) | 0.004 |
| D2                    | 1.019 (0.815-1.275) | 0.869 | 1.024 (0.801-1.308) | 0.851 |
| D3                    | 0.708 (0.560-0.894) | 0.004 | 0.771 (0.599-0.992) | 0.043 |

Ref = reference category.

*Derived from tests of HR for prognostic factors in univariate model adjusted for treatment group in Cox proportional-hazards model.
†Cox-regression analysis, controlling for prognostic factors listed in table.

Table 3 Tests for Heterogeneity of Treatment Effect According to the Clinicopathological Characteristics of the D1 and D3 Patients.

| Subgroup                   | D1 surgery | D3 surgery | HR (95% CI) | P     |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------|
| No. of deaths/no. of patients |            |            |             |       |
| Total                     | 150/187    | 137/191    | 1.407 (1.113-1.779) | 0.004 |
| Age (years)               |            |            |             |       |
| ≤55                       | 49/66      | 70/107     | 1.384 (0.955-2.004) | 0.086 |
| >55                       | 101/121    | 67/84      | 1.242 (0.911-1.693) | 0.170 |
| Sex                       |            |            |             |       |
| Women                     | 41/51      | 44/58      | 1.248 (0.815-1.912) | 0.309 |
| Men                       | 109/136    | 93/133     | 1.463 (1.103-1.939) | 0.008* |
| Tumor size                |            |            |             |       |
| ≤5                        | 91/125     | 74/113     | 1.401 (1.028-1.910) | 0.033* |
| 5-7                       | 30/32      | 42/50      | 1.412 (0.875-2.279) | 0.158 |
| >7                        | 29/30      | 21/28      | 1.917 (1.075-3.419) | 0.027* |
| Tumour site               |            |            |             |       |
| Upper stomach             | 40/44      | 37/42      | 1.116 (0.707-1.762) | 0.638 |
| Middle stomach            | 35/43      | 29/45      | 1.641 (0.984-2.735) | 0.058 |
| Lower stomach             | 64/88      | 59/90      | 1.482 (1.038-2.117) | 0.030* |
| Whole stomach             | 11/12      | 12/14      | 1.574 (0.685-3.618) | 0.286 |
| Gross appearance          |            |            |             |       |
| Borrmann types I          | 37/58      | 35/54      | 1.100 (0.688-1.759) | 0.691 |
| Borrmann types II         | 33/41      | 32/43      | 1.781 (1.069-2.967) | 0.027* |
| Borrmann types III        | 66/73      | 56/77      | 1.685 (1.175-2.416) | 0.005* |
| Borrmann types IV         | 14/15      | 14/17      | 1.708 (0.810-3.600) | 0.160 |
| Tumour stage              |            |            |             |       |
| T1                        | 29/41      | 24/44      | 1.723 (0.992-2.992) | 0.054 |
| T2                        | 30/44      | 29/42      | 1.282 (0.754-2.178) | 0.359 |
| T3                        | 79/89      | 71/92      | 1.431 (1.037-1.977) | 0.029* |
| T4                        | 12/13      | 13/13      | 1.071 (0.485-2.365) | 0.866 |
| Clinical node status      |            |            |             |       |
| Negative                  | 4/5        | 2/5        | 3.070 (0.533-17.703) | 0.209 |
| Positive                  | 146/182    | 135/186    | 1.382 (1.091-1.752) | 0.007* |
| Lymph-node stage          |            |            |             |       |
| N0                        | 50/69      | 42/69      | 1.781 (1.168-2.718) | 0.007* |
| N1                        | 64/75      | 60/81      | 1.504 (1.055-2.145) | 0.024* |
| N2                        | 24/30      | 19/24      | 1.116 (0.609-2.044) | 0.723 |
| N3                        | 12/13      | 16/17      | 0.369 (0.162-0.841)† | 0.018* |
| Histological type         |            |            |             |       |
| Differentiated            | 62/99      | 49/82      | 1.143 (0.761-1.563) | 0.231 |
| Undifferentiated          | 62/99      | 50/88      | 1.047 (0.773-1.732) | 0.334 |
Table 3: Tests for Heterogeneity of Treatment Effect According to the Clinicopathological Characteristics of the D1 and D3 Patients. (Continued)

| Joint organ removal | D1 surgery | D2 surgery | HR (95% CI) | p    |
|---------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------|
| None                | 127/160    | 104/157    | 1.703(1.309-2.217) | 0.000* |
| Pancreas or spleen  | 6/7        | 14/14      | 0.754(0.285-1.996)  | 0.569 |
| Liver or gall       | 9/11       | 9/9        | 0.532(0.200-1.415)  | 0.206 |
| Transverse colon    | 8/9        | 10/11      | 0.403(0.144-1.133)  | 0.085 |

**Gastrectomy**

| Subgroup           | D1 surgery | D2 surgery | HR (95% CI) | p    |
|--------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------|
| Total              | 20/22      | 26/29      | 1.259(0.697-2.274) | 0.444 |
| Subtotal           | 130/165    | 111/162    | 1.495(1.156-1.932) | 0.002*|

**Blood transfusion**

| Subgroup           | D1 surgery | D2 surgery | HR (95% CI) | p    |
|--------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------|
| No                 | 63/87      | 52/80      | 1.316(0.909-1.906) | 0.146 |
| Yes                | 87/100     | 85/111     | 1.485(1.097-2.011) | 0.011*|

**Blood loss**

| Subgroup | D1 surgery | D2 surgery | HR (95% CI) | p    |
|----------|------------|------------|-------------|------|
| ≤200     | 41/54      | 41/49      | 0.858(0.555-1.328) | 0.493 |
| 200-400  | 68/88      | 56/83      | 1.623(1.130-2.332) | 0.009*|
| >400     | 41/45      | 40/59      | 2.060(1.327-3.198) | 0.001*|

The P values are for hazard ratios for death in the group assigned to D1 lymphadenectomy and the group assigned to D3 lymphadenectomy, with 95% confidence intervals.

The surgery is better for D1 lymphadenectomy when the HR is <1; and is better for D3 lymphadenectomy when the HR is >1.

†Significantly better for D1 lymphadenectomy.

*Considered significant.

Table 4 Tests for Heterogeneity of Treatment Effect According to the Clinicopathological Characteristics of the D1 and D2 Patients.

| Subgroup          | D1 surgery | D2 surgery | HR (95% CI) | p    |
|-------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------|
| No. of deaths/no. of patients |            |            |             |      |
| Total             | 150/187    | 157/189    | 0.982(0.785-1.229) |      |
| Age (years)       |            |            |             |      |
| ≤55               | 49/66      | 88/107     | 0.877(0.618-1.244) | 0.462 |
| >55               | 101/121    | 69/82      | 1.016(0.748-1.380) | 0.919 |
| Sex               |            |            |             |      |
| Women             | 41/51      | 40/52      | 1.308(0.843-2.029) | 0.231 |
| Men               | 109/136    | 117/137    | 0.878(0.676-1.140) | 0.329 |
| Tumor size        |            |            |             |      |
| ≤5                | 91/125     | 97/121     | 0.921(0.692-1.227) | 0.575 |
| 5-7               | 30/32      | 34/40      | 1.178(0.714-1.941) | 0.522 |
| >7                | 29/30      | 26/28      | 1.143(0.672-1.945) | 0.622 |
| Tumour site       |            |            |             |      |
| Upper stomach     | 40/44      | 32/39      | 1.205(0.752-1.930) | 0.438 |
| Middle stomach    | 35/43      | 41/50      | 1.086(0.691-1.706) | 0.721 |
| Lower stomach     | 64/88      | 72/87      | 0.806(0.575-1.130) | 0.212 |
| Whole stomach     | 11/12      | 12/13      | 1.062(0.464-2.429) | 0.887 |
| Gross appearance  |            |            |             |      |
| Borrmann types I  | 37/58      | 45/55      | 0.618(0.399-0.958) † | 0.031*|
| Borrmann types II | 33/41      | 31/44      | 1.189(0.727-1.945) | 0.490 |
| Borrmann types III| 66/73      | 66/74      | 1.327(0.938-1.878) | 0.110 |
| Borrmann types IV | 14/15      | 15/16      | 1.105(0.528-2.312) | 0.791 |
| Tumour stage      |            |            |             |      |
| T1                | 29/41      | 35/40      | 0.755(0.458-1.243) | 0.269 |
| T2                | 30/44      | 32/45      | 1.093(0.661-1.808) | 0.729 |
| T3                | 79/89      | 75/87      | 1.073(0.782-1.473) | 0.662 |
| T4                | 12/13      | 15/17      | 0.854(0.383-1.906) | 0.700 |
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Furthermore, no significant difference was found in the incident rates of major surgery-related complications between the two groups, which was similar to the results in the trials done in Hong Kong,[14] the UK,[5] and Dutch.[15]

We conducted a post hoc subgroup analysis including thirteen variables. To be interest, the results indicated that D1 surgery got significantly more benefit than D3 surgery for N3 disease, while D3 surgery has significantly more benefit than D1 surgery in the subgroups of N0 and N1 disease. Since this result was from a post hoc subgroup, it might be a false positive owing to multiple testing,[16] the possible survival benefit of D3 lymphadenectomy in node-negative patients will need to be clarified in further studies.

In a review article, Sun Hu Yang et al reported that there was no difference in the 3- or 5-year survival between D1 and D2[17]. In the study, the 5-year overall survival was 37.4% for D1 group and 48.7% for D3 group, and the period of diagnosis didn’t affect survival (p = 0.084, log-rank test).[18] It can be seen that long-term survival is lower, when comparing our results with historical report, in which the observed 5-year survival rates were 53.6% and 59.5%, respectively[13]. This result indicated that the time of diagnosis of malignant tumours as well as gastric cancer is much later in China than in other countries, especially western countries.

In this study, we found that more than 60% of both the D1 and D3 patients had lymph node metastases which was higher than the report of Bunt et al[19]. In the D3 group, which includes lymph node dissection of the N1, N2 and N3 level, there were 42% being classified as N1, 13% as N2, and 9% as N3. The extended surgery is considered to be related to the risk of operative morbidity and mortality[6]. The mortality for gastrectomy in Western countries was usually 5% and even approaches 16% in some trials[7-9].

Robert C G et al reported that the overall 5-year survival rate for the 286 patients undergoing gastrectomy with additional organ resection was 32%, which was

| Table 4: Tests for Heterogeneity of Treatment Effect According to the Clinicopathological Characteristics of the D1 and D2 Patients. (Continued) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Clinical node status**                                      |
| Negative                                                     | 4/5  | 2/3  | 2.654(0.458-15.362) | 0.276 |
| Positive                                                    | 146/182 | 155/186 | 0.965(0.770-1.210) | 0.759 |
| **Lymph-node stage**                                         |
| N0                                                           | 50/69 | 46/60 | 1.004(0.672-1.500) | 0.984 |
| N1                                                           | 64/75 | 80/93 | 1.040(0.748-1.446) | 0.814 |
| N2                                                           | 24/30 | 21/26 | 0.820(0.455-1.477) | 0.508 |
| N3                                                           | 12/13 | 10/10 | 0.376(0.153-0.922)† | 0.033* |
| **Histological type**                                        |
| Differentiated                                               | 62/99 | 55/88 | 1.033(0.921-1.783) | 0.136 |
| Undifferentiated                                             | 50/88 | 56/101 | 1.157(0.833-1.947) | 0.390 |
| **Joint organ removal**                                      |
| None                                                        | 127/160 | 124/148 | 0.960(0.749-1.229) | 0.745 |
| Pancreas or spleen                                           | 6/7  | 13/16 | 1.340(0.502-3.575) | 0.559 |
| Liver or gall                                                | 9/11 | 4/8  | 2.959(0.796-10.992) | 0.105 |
| Transverse colon                                             | 8/9  | 16/17 | 0.659(0.277-1.566) | 0.345 |
| **Gastrectomy**                                              |
| Total                                                        | 20/22 | 20/21 | 1.089(0.583-2.036) | 0.788 |
| Subtotal                                                    | 130/165 | 137/168 | 0.970(0.783-1.233) | 0.802 |
| **Blood transfusion**                                       |
| No                                                           | 63/87 | 70/85 | 0.900(0.640-1.265) | 0.543 |
| Yes                                                         | 87/100 | 87/104 | 1.056(0.785-1.422) | 0.718 |
| **Blood loss**                                               |
| ≤200                                                        | 41/54 | 57/70 | 0.920(0.616-1.375) | 0.685 |
| 200-400                                                     | 68/88 | 67/73 | 0.703(0.501-0.986)† | 0.041* |
| >400                                                        | 41/45 | 33/46 | 1.870(1.175-2.977) | 0.008* |

The P values are for hazard ratios for death in the group assigned to D1 lymphadenectomy and the group assigned to D2 lymphadenectomy, with 95% confidence intervals.

The surgery is better for D1 lymphadenectomy when the HR is <1; and is better for D2 lymphadenectomy when the HR is >1.

†Significantly better for D1 lymphadenectomy.

*Considered significant.
significantly less than the gastrectomy-alone group[20]. Besides the surgery extent, the participating surgeons' operative skill and experience, and the workload cases are also important factors for survival rates[21,22]. There are many studies having reported a relationship between the number of cases treated in a hospital and the outcomes of cancer treatment[22-27]. Moreover, the uniformity of treatment is also important. Our study was carried out in a hospital that performs a high volume of nodal dissections for gastric cancer with low morbidity and mortality rates. In our study, all participating surgeons were of the same department, which minimizes the variation in individual operating skill and management, and did an equal number of D1 and D3 resections during the trial, which balances the comparisons between the two groups without bias to individual surgeons’ skill. Therefore, the experience as a result of caseload, surgical skill, and the case selection are very important[5,28,29].

Conclusions
As D3 gastrectomy is associated with low mortality and adequate survival time when performed in selected institutions that have had sufficient experience with the operation and with post-operative management, we recommend D3 lymphadenectomy for patients with curable gastric cancer except for patients with Borrmann I disease who are more suitable for D1 surgery.
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