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Horizontal fissuring at the osteochondral interface: a novel and unique pathological feature in patients with obesity-related osteoarthritis
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Obesity is a well-recognised risk factor for osteoarthritis (OA). Our aim is to characterise body mass index (BMI)-associated pathological changes in the osteochondral unit and determine if obesity is the major causal antecedent of early joint replacement in patients with OA.

Methods We analysed the correlation between BMI and the age at which patients undergo total knee replacement (TKR) in 41,023 patients from the Australian Orthopaedic Association National Joint Replacement Registry. We then investigated the effect of BMI on pathological changes of the tibia plateau of knee joint in a representative subset of the registry.

Results 57.58% of patients in Australia who had TKR were obese. Patients with overweight, obese class I & II or obese class III received a TKR 1.89, 4.48 and 8.08 years earlier than patients with normal weight, respectively. Microscopic examination revealed that horizontal fissuring at the osteochondral interface was the major pathological feature of obesity-related OA. The frequency of horizontal fissure was strongly associated with increased BMI in the predominant compartment. An increase in one unit of BMI (1 kg/m²) increased the odds of horizontal fissures by 14.7%. 84.4% of the horizontal fissures were attributable to obesity. Reduced cartilage degradation and alteration of subchondral bone microstructure were also associated with increased BMI.

Conclusions The key pathological feature in OA patients with obesity is horizontal fissuring at the osteochondral unit interface. Obesity is strongly associated with a younger age of first TKR, which may be a result of horizontal fissures.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity affects more than a quarter of the global population. Despite numerous public health initiatives aimed at tackling this issue, the incidence of obesity continues to rise.1 Obesity is a well-recognised risk factor for osteoarthritis (OA) and contributes to 45% of the OA burden in Australia.2–5 Obese patients with total knee replacement (TKR) have a high risk of perioperative complications, which may lead to surgical revision, and pose a high direct medical cost.6–9

The underlying mechanism of obesity-related OA is complex and controversial.10 Abnormal mechanical loading and meta-inflammation are factors considered to contribute to the pathogenesis of OA.11 Studies on the aetiology of obesity-related OA among obese animal models generally suggested metainflammation as the main cause of obesity-related OA.12–14 On the other hand, epidemiological studies on human OA suggested that excessive abnormal mechanical loading on articular joints is the main risk factor on OA progression.15 A recent population-based cohort study in the Netherlands also supported the concept that

Key messages

What is already known about this subject?

► Obesity is a risk factor for osteoarthritis (OA) but the mechanism of obesity-related OA is complex and controversial. It is still not clear if obesity heralds an early total knee replacement (TKR) in patients with OA or are the underlying pathological features of obesity-related OA well documented.

What does this study add?

► Based on assessment of the registry data of over 40,000 cases, more than 57% of patients in Australia who had a TKR were obese.

► Patients classified as overweight, obese class I & II and obese class III received a TKR 2, 4 and 8 years earlier than patients with normal weight.

► Horizontal fissuring at the osteochondral interface caused by mechanical overload is a novel pathological feature in OA patients with obesity.

How might this impact on clinical practice or future development?

► Obesity heralds an early TKR in patients with OA. Horizontal fissuring on the osteochondral interface is the major pathological feature of OA patients with obesity. Appreciation of such a pathogenetic mechanism may help in developing new interventions in the management of obesity-related OA.
obesity-related mechanical stress is the most important risk factor for knee OA.15

While current understanding on the impact of abnormal mechanical loading in patients with obesity-related OA is mostly limited to epidemiological data, we proposed that the pathological changes in the osteochondral units in the joints of these patients could provide insights into the mechanism underlying OA in obesity. A functional osteochondral unit plays a central role on biomechanical function as it distributes the loading on the joint surface.16 The interface also prevents movement of large molecules between these structural components.17

During OA development, the integrity of the osteochondral unit is breached by neurovascular invasion, increasing the cross-talk between cartilage and subchondral bone.18 19 At present, however, the pathological changes at the osteochondral interface in OA patients with obesity remain unclear.

To determine the impact of obesity on OA, we analysed the correlation between body mass index (BMI) and the age at which patients undergo TKR in 41023 cases of patients from the Australian Orthopaedic Association National Joint Replacement Registry (AOANJRR). We then investigated the effect of BMI on histopathological changes of the knee joint in a representative subset of the registry, to search for a link between BMI, histopathological changes of the osteochondral unit and its interface, and the subsequent age at which first TKR was performed.

METHODS
Study population
We obtained deidentified data on all primary TKR procedures performed for primary OA from 1 September 1999 to 31 December 2015 from the AOANJRR. Summary data, including age, BMI and gender, for 41023 cases were collected. Data were categorised by BMI using the following WHO definition: ‘Underweight and Normal weight’ (<24.9 kg/m²), ‘Overweight’ (25–29.9 kg/m²), ‘Obese class I & II’ (30–39.9 kg/m²) and ‘Obese class III’ (≥40 kg/m²).

We also collected specimens from 88 patients with primary OA who had a TKR as a representative subset from this registry. We selected patients from each of the four categories of BMI without the knowledge of the registry cohort data. Samples size in each group was determined by availability and patient consent. Comparison between the cases and the registry cohort showed that the average age at the time of TKR in each category was similar, but the percentages of cases in each BMI category between the groups were different. Patient clinical data including BMI, age, gender and radiographic information were recorded. The diagnosis of OA was made according to the classification of the American College of Rheumatology.20 All radiographs were assessed according to the Kellgren and Lawrence criteria by an experienced assessor, who was blinded to patients’ BMI.21

The most severe OA compartment, either medial or lateral, was identified as the predominant compartment.22 Informed written consent was obtained from all patients.

Micro-CT
A cylindrical specimen of osteochondral tissue was extracted from both the centre one-third of the medial and lateral tibial plateaus by a precision bone trephine under continuous water irrigation.23 After fixation, all tissue blocks were examined using a micro-CT scanner (Skyscan 1174, Bruker, Kontich, Belgium) according to previous publication.24 25

The following parameters were calculated: bone volume fraction (BV/TV, %), trabecular thickness (Tb.Th, μm), trabecular separation (Tb.Sp, μm), trabecular number (Tb.N, 1/mm), structure model index (SMI), degree of anisotropy (DA) and connectively density (Conn.Dn, 1/mm³). Bone mineralised density (BMD, mg/cm³) of subchondral bone (SCB) was calibrated by using the attenuation coefficient of two defined BMD phantoms of 0.25 and 0.75 g/cm³.

Histological specimen processing
All tissue blocks were processed for histological evaluation. Each was infiltrated and embedded in methyl-methacrylate; 5 μm thickness sections were obtained and stained with Goldner’s Trichrome for the morphological and histomorphometric examination of SCB and the osteochondral interface and Safranin-O/ Fast Green for evaluation of cartilage degradation.24 25

Histomorphometric measurements of SCB
Histomorphometry was performed using Bioquant Osteo Histomorphometry software (Bioquant Osteo, Nashville, Tennessee, USA). The following parameters were measured: thickness of osteoid (O.Th, μm), per cent osteoid volume (OV/TV, %), per cent osteoid surface (OS/BS, %), specific osteoid surface (OS/TV, mm²/mm³), per cent eroded surface (ES/BS, %), specific eroded surface (ES/TV, mm²/mm³), eroded surface in bone tissue volume (ES/TV, mm²/mm³) and the ratio of osteoid surface to eroded surface (OS/ES).24

Histological evaluation of articular cartilage and osteochondral interface
The assessment was performed by histopathologists (MZ and JP), blinded to the BMI of each patient, using the Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) grading system.26 A fissure at the osteochondral interface was defined as a gap in the cartilage–bone interface containing at least two of the following characteristics: (1) cartilage erosion; (2) free cartilage/bone debris; (3) fibrogranulation tissue infiltration; (4) rupture of microcapillaries and the presence of red blood cells and leucocytes within the fissures. The definition and location of the fissures were mutually agreed by qualified investigators (MZ, LC and JP). The length is characterised by the surface of the calcified cartilage immediately beneath the separation. The area of fissures is characterised by an area of the splitting at the osteochondral interface. These two parameters were measured by Bioquant Osteo Histomorphometry software.

Statistical analysis
Registry cohort data were expressed as mean±SD. Data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc comparison was applied to analyse differences in age at TKR between the four weight categories.

Among the 88 cases collected for microstructural and pathological analyses, an independent sample t-test was applied to compare the mean age at TKR between each category and its represented category. Fisher’s exact test and one-way ANOVA were used to, respectively, compare the categorical and continuous variables of the demographic characteristics among four categories based on BMI in the case series subset.

Univariable and multivariable general linear regression models were used to investigate the association between BMI and the parameters of bone microstructure, remodelling and cartilage OARSI grading (all as continuous variables). Univariable and multivariable logistic regression models were applied to explore the effect of BMI on the frequency of the fissures in osteochondral
Impact of increased BMI on SCB microstructure

We next examined if increased BMI had an impact on SCB microstructure. In the predominant compartment, linear regression adjusted for sex and age showed that BV/TV and BMD were negatively associated with BMI, while SMI was positively associated with BMI. Interestingly, without justification of the predominant compartment, there was no linear correlation between BMI and the microarchitectural parameters by both univariable and multivariable linear regression in both medial and lateral compartments (online supplementary table 1).

Histomorphometric assessment revealed that BMI was significantly and positively associated with parameters representing the interface. In logistic regression models, we defined dummy variables using 0 to indicate cases without horizontal fissures and 1 to indicate cases with horizontal fissures. Multivariable linear regression and logistic regression were adjusted for sex and age. OR and 95% CIs are reported. The relationship between horizontal fissures (including area and length) and BMI was assessed by Spearman’s correlation.

To estimate the proportion of horizontal fissures attributable to obesity, we calculated the attributable fraction among the exposure (AFex) by using the formula AFex = (OR−1)/OR. The OR value was obtained by multivariable logistic regression to estimate the ORs for the association between the horizontal fissures and normal weight, overweight, and obesity.

Variables were expressed as mean±SD, median (25th, 75th percentiles) and frequencies (percentage), respectively. All statistical methods were applied by Prism 7 software and IBM SPSS Statistics (V.25). All hypotheses were two-tailed tested, and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

OA Patients with obesity received TKR at younger age than those of normal weight

We analysed the characteristics of 41 023 cases of patients with primary OA receiving TKR and grouped them into 4 categories according to their BMI. As shown in table 1, 57.58% of patients who had a TKR were obese. The age at which OA patients had a TKR differed significantly within their BMI groups. A negative correlation between age at TKR and BMI category was observed (p<0.0001) with post hoc testing showing the age at TKR significantly decreased with each increase in BMI category. Patients in the overweight, obese class I&II and class III categories received a TKR 1.89, 4.48 and 8.08 years earlier than patients in the normal weight category, respectively. The association was independent of sex. Comparison of age at TKR between the case series and AOANJJRR cohort showed no significant age differences in each category (online supplementary figure 1). Table 2 summarises the demographic characteristics of the case series subset.

### Table 2: Demographic and clinical characteristics of the subset of patients undergoing total knee replacement

|                  | Normal weight | Overweight | Obese class I & II | Obese class III |
|------------------|---------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| N (%)            | (n=26)        | (n=19)     | (n=31)             | (n=12)          |
| Women (N/%)      | 8 (30.8)      | 12 (63.2)  | 15 (48.4)          | 8 (66.7)        |
| Age/ year *      | 72.46±8.31    | 69.00±8.03 | 66.84±9.28         | 61.42±6.49      |
| X-ray  K-L       | 2.5 (2 to 3)  | 2 (1 to 3) | 2 (2 to 4)         | 2 (2 to 3.75)   |
| Predominant compartment | 0.093        |            |                    |                 |
| Medial (N/%)     | 17 (65.4)     | 18 (94.7)  | 24 (77.4)          | 8 (66.7)        |
| Lateral (N/%)    | 9 (34.6)      | 1 (5.3)    | 7 (22.6)           | 4 (33.3)        |

*Values presented as median. Values presented as mean±SD.
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formation of osteoid, including OV/BV, OS/BS and O.Th in both univariable and multivariable linear regression models in the predominant, lateral and medial compartments (table 3). BMI was not associated with bone surface erosion, as demonstrated by bone resorption parameters, including ES/BS, ES/BV, ES/TV and OS/ES, in neither predominant, medial nor lateral compartments. These results showed that while obesity increased osteoid formation and SMI in SCB, total bone volume and BMD were decreased.

Obesity causes horizontal fissuring and cartilage erosion at the osteochondral interface

We next examined the integrity of the osteochondral interface between cartilage and SCB.10 We observed that patients with obesity displayed horizontal fissures with cartilage erosion at the osteochondral interface (figure 1), characterised by irregular cartilage erosion, fibrogranulation tissue infiltration, the presence of cartilage/bone debris and rupture of microcapillaries within the fissures at the osteochondral interface (figure 2). The presence of red blood cells and leukocytes within the fissures situated between the non-mineralised and calcified cartilage regions indicated that the fissures were not artefacts or damage during sample preparation. While not all patients with obesity had these pathological changes, patients with obesity showed higher frequency of horizontal fissuring, compared with those with normal weight. Horizontal fissures were a unique feature of OA pathology in patients with obesity. In the predominant compartment, 75% of patients in the obese class III category displayed horizontal fissures with cartilage erosion at the osteochondral interface.

Table 3  Body mass index-associated changes of cartilage degradation and subchondral bone (SCB) remodelling

|                      | Unadjusted          | P value | Age and sex adjusted | P value |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
|                      | β (95% CI)          |         | β (95% CI)           |         |
| Predominant          |                     |         |                      |         |
| Cartilage evaluation | OARSI               | −0.046  | 0.003                | −0.048  |
|                      |                     | (−0.076 to −0.016) |         | (−0.081 to −0.016) |
|                      |                     |         |                      | 0.004   |
| Bone formation       | OV/BV (%)           | 0.001   | 0.019                | 0.001   |
|                      | (0.000 to 0.001)    |         | (0.000 to 0.001)    |         |
|                      | OS/BS (%)           | 0.004   | 0.022                | 0.004   |
|                      | (0.001 to 0.007)    |         | (0.004 to 0.008)    |         |
|                      | O.Th (µm)           | 0.327   | 0.000                | 0.293   |
|                      | (0.161 to 0.492)    |         | (0.112 to 0.454)    |         |
|                      |                      |         |                      | 0.002   |
| Bone resorption      | ES/BS (%)           | 0.000   | 0.349                | 0.000   |
|                      | (−0.001 to 0.000)   |         | (−0.001 to 0.000)   |         |
|                      | ES/BB (mm²/mm³)     | −0.003  | 0.228                | −0.004  |
|                      | (−0.009 to 0.002)   |         | (−0.010 to 0.002)   |         |
|                      | ES/TV (mm²/mm³)     | −0.001  | 0.190                | −0.001  |
|                      | (−0.003 to 0.001)   |         | (−0.003 to 0.001)   |         |
|                      | OS/BS (mm²/mm³)     | −0.148  | 0.199                | 0.032   |
|                      | (−0.015 to 0.069)   |         | (−0.015 to 0.079)   |         |
|                      | OS/ES               | 0.776   | 0.075                | 0.788   |
|                      | (−0.080 to 1.632)   |         | (−0.171 to 1.747)   |         |
|                      |                      |         |                      | 0.106   |
| Medial               |                     |         |                      |         |
| Cartilage evaluation | OARSI               | −0.035  | 0.011                | −0.037  |
|                      | (−0.062 to −0.008)  |         | (−0.066 to −0.007)  |         |
|                      |                      |         |                      | 0.016   |
| Bone formation       | OV/BV (%)           | 0.001   | 0.014                | −0.001  |
|                      | (0.000 to 0.001)    |         | (0.000 to 0.001)    |         |
|                      | OS/BS (%)           | 0.004   | 0.014                | 0.004   |
|                      | (0.001 to 0.007)    |         | (0.001 to 0.008)    |         |
|                      | O.Th (µm)           | 0.345   | 0.000                | 0.311   |
|                      | (0.181 to 0.510)    |         | (0.133 to 0.490)    |         |
|                      |                      |         |                      | 0.001   |
| Bone resorption      | ES/BS (%)           | 0.000   | 0.579                | 0.000   |
|                      | (0.000 to 0.000)    |         | (0.000 to 0.000)    |         |
|                      | ES/BB (mm²/mm³)     | −0.002  | 0.237                | −0.002  |
|                      | (−0.007 to 0.002)   |         | (−0.007 to 0.002)   |         |
|                      | ES/TV (mm²/mm³)     | −0.001  | 0.396                | −0.001  |
|                      | (−0.002 to 0.001)   |         | (−0.002 to 0.001)   |         |
|                      | OS/BS (mm²/mm³)     | 0.030   | 0.163                | 0.036   |
|                      | (−0.012 to 0.071)   |         | (−0.011 to 0.082)   |         |
|                      | OS/ES               | 0.772   | 0.077                | 0.764   |
|                      | (−0.084 to 1.629)   |         | (−0.197 to 1.724)   |         |
|                      |                      |         |                      | 0.118   |
| Lateral              |                     |         |                      |         |
| Cartilage evaluation | OARSI               | −0.033  | 0.030                | −0.033  |
|                      | (−0.062 to −0.003)  |         | (−0.064 to −0.001)  |         |
|                      |                      |         |                      | 0.044   |
| Bone formation       | OV/BV (%)           | 0.001   | 0.020                | 0.001   |
|                      | (0.915E−5 to 0.001) |         | (0.000 to 0.001)    |         |
|                      | OS/BS (%)           | 0.004   | 0.024                | 0.004   |
|                      | (0.001 to 0.007)    |         | (0.001 to 0.008)    |         |
|                      | O.Th (µm)           | 0.320   | 0.000                | 0.319   |
|                      | (0.161 to 0.479)    |         | (0.143 to 0.495)    |         |
|                      |                      |         |                      | 0.001   |
| Bone resorption      | ES/BS (%)           | 0.000   | 0.226                | 0.000   |
|                      | (−0.001 to 0.000)   |         | (−0.001 to 0.000)   |         |
|                      | ES/BB (mm²/mm³)     | −0.004  | 0.137                | −0.004  |
|                      | (−0.010 to 0.001)   |         | (−0.011 to 0.002)   |         |
|                      | ES/TV (mm²/mm³)     | −0.001  | 0.153                | −0.001  |
|                      | (−0.003 to 0.001)   |         | (−0.003 to 0.001)   |         |
|                      | OS/BS (mm²/mm³)     | 0.027   | 0.218                | 0.034   |
|                      | (−0.016 to 0.069)   |         | (0.013 to 0.081)    |         |
|                      | OS/ES               | 0.768   | 0.078                | 0.823   |
|                      | (−0.087 to 1.632)   |         | (−0.119 to 1.766)   |         |
|                      |                      |         |                      | 0.086   |

Dependent variable: histomorphometric parameters (continuous variable). Independent variable: BMI (kg/m², continuous variable). Method: linear regression. ES/BS, per cent eroded surface; ES/BV, specific eroded surface; ES/TV, eroded surface in bone tissue volume; OARSI, Osteoarthritis Research Society International Grading; OS/BS, per cent osteoid surface; OS/BV, specific osteoid surface; OS/ES, the ratio of osteoid surface to eroded surface; O.Th, thickness of osteoid; OV/BV, per cent osteoid volume.
interface of the predominant compartment, compared with only 7.7% of patients in the normal weight group. Over 58% of patients in the obese class III category displayed fissuring only 7.7% of patients in the normal weight group. Over 58% of patients with obesity strongly contribute to horizontal fissures at the osteochondral interface.

**DISCUSSION**

We showed that 57.58% of patients in Australia who had a TKR were obese. Patients with overweight, obese class I & II or obese class III received a TKR 1.89, 4.48 and 8.08 years earlier than patients with normal weight, respectively. While the altered microstructure in SCB and the reduced cartilage degradation were observed in patients with higher BMI, horizontal fissuring at the osteochondral interface was the key pathological feature of obesity-induced OA. The frequency of horizontal fissures at the osteochondral interface was positively associated with increased BMI. Subanalysis of attributable fraction showed that 84.4% of the horizontal fissures in the predominant compartment were attributable to obesity.

Previous studies have shown that more than two-thirds of obese individuals have OA and eventually undergo TKR. Changulani et al showed that the mean age at which morbidly obese (BMI ≥40 kg/m²) patients underwent TKR was 13 years younger than patients with normal BMI, based on data from 344 patients. The data are similar with our large cohort study that age at TKR in patients with class III obesity was nearly 8 years compared with none in the medial compartment and 19.2% in the lateral compartment of patients with normal weight (table 4). Measurement of the length and area of fissures showed that there were significant correlations between fissures and BMI in the predominant compartment or medial and lateral compartments without justification of the predominant compartment (table 5). The association between BMI and the presence of horizontal fissuring was significant after adjusting for sex and age (table 5). An increase in one unit of BMI (1 kg/m²) increased the odds of horizontal fissures by 14.7% (OR (95% CI) 1.147 (1.055 to 1.246)) in the predominant compartment. Subanalysis of attributable fraction showed that obesity increases the attributable fraction of horizontal fissures. The AF of obesity was 84.4%, estimating that over 80% of the horizontal fissures in the predominant compartment were attributable to obesity. Together, these results suggested that abnormal overload in patients with obesity strongly contribute to horizontal fissures at the osteochondral interface.

**Table 4** Pathological changes of horizontal fissuring in osteochondral interface among body mass index categories

| Predominant | Cartilage erosion (%) | Fibrogranulation tissue infiltration (%) | RBC/leucocyte infiltration (%) | Bone/cartilage debris (%) | Total (%) | Length of fissures (μm) | Area of fissures (mm²) |
|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Normal weight | 2 (7.7%) | 2 (7.7%) | 0 (0) | 1 (3.8%) | 2 (7.7%) | 27.11±9.7 | 483.38±493.48 | 0.02±0.03 | 0.025 (0 to 0.047) |
| Overweight | 3 (15.8%) | 3 (15.8%) | 0 (0) | 3 (15.8%) | 3 (15.8%) | 58.70±17.08 | 423.30 (0 to 693.60) | 0.01±0.03 | 0.002 (0 to 0.007) |
| Obese class I & II | 6 (19.4%) | 7 (22.6%) | 0 (0) | 7 (22.6%) | 7 (22.6%) | 72.75±143.29 | 0 (0 to 30.25) | 0.01±0.03 | 0.002 (0 to 0.002) |
| Obese class III | 9 (75.0%) | 6 (50.0%) | 1 (8.3%) | 8 (66.7%) | 9 (75.0%) | 483.38±493.48 | 0.02±0.03 | 0.02 (0 to 0.04) |
| Medial | Normal weight | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0 to 0) | 0 (0 to 0) |
| Overweight | 2 (10.5%) | 2 (10.5%) | 0 (0) | 2 (10.5%) | 2 (10.5%) | 55.62±166.81 | 0 (0 to 0) | 0.006±0.02 | 0 (0 to 0) |
| Obese class I & II | 8 (25.8%) | 8 (25.8%) | 1 (3.2%) | 8 (25.8%) | 9 (29.0%) | 87.28±163.30 | 0 (0 to 133.31) | 0.01±0.03 | 0.004 (0 to 0.004) |
| Obese class III | 7 (58.3%) | 6 (50.0%) | 1 (8.3%) | 5 (41.7%) | 7 (58.3%) | 434.65±470.20 | 411.31 (0 to 693.60) | 0.03±0.03 | 0.014 (0.096) |
| Lateral | Normal weight | 4 (15.4%) | 5 (19.2%) | 0 (0) | 3 (11.5%) | 5 (19.2%) | 66.85±146.38 | 0 (0 to 0) | 0.003±0.01 | 0 (0 to 0) |
| Overweight | 4 (21.1%) | 5 (26.3%) | 0 (0) | 4 (21.1%) | 5 (26.3%) | 118.98±243.15 | 221.51 (0 to 789.39) | 0.03±0.03 | 0.025 (0 to 0.047) |
| Obese class I & II | 9 (29.0%) | 10 (32.3%) | 0 (0) | 7 (22.6%) | 10 (32.3%) | 147.52±348.71 | 0 (0 to 253.65) | 0.02±0.04 | 0 (0 to 0.016) |
| Obese class III | 7 (58.3%) | 4 (33.3%) | 0 (0) | 7 (58.3%) | 7 (58.3%) | 366.74±431.92 | 221.51 (0 to 789.39) | 0.03±0.03 | 0.025 (0 to 0.047) |

*Data were presented as number (N) and percentage (%) of cases with the described pathology.†Total number of cases with horizontal fissures. RBC, red blood cells.
Osteoarthritis

**Table 5** Body mass index (BMI)-associated horizontal fissuring in osteochondral interface

| Predominant       | Unadjusted | P value     | Age and sex adjusted | P value |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Incidence of fissures (OR (95% CI)) | 1.144 (1.061 to 1.233) | <0.001 | 1.147 (1.055 to 1.246) | 0.001 |
| Length of fissures (µm) (R)† | 0.403 | <0.001 | 0.417 | <0.001 |
| Area of fissures (mm²) (R)† | 0.400 | <0.001 | 0.391 | <0.001 |

| Medial            | Unadjusted | P value     | Age and sex adjusted | P value |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Incidence of fissures (OR (95% CI)) | 1.173 (1.081 to 1.273) | <0.001 | 1.186 (1.081 to 1.302) | 0.001 |
| Length of fissures (µm) (R)† | 0.463 | <0.001 | 0.507 | <0.001 |
| Area of fissures (mm²) (R)† | 0.467 | <0.001 | 0.518 | <0.001 |

| Lateral           | Unadjusted | P value     | Age and sex adjusted | P value |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Incidence of fissures (OR (95% CI)) | 1.086 (1.017 to 1.159) | 0.013 | 1.078 (1.004 to 1.157) | 0.038 |
| Length of fissures (µm) (R)† | 0.264 | 0.013 | 0.240 | 0.027 |
| Area of fissures (mm²) (R)† | 0.286 | 0.007 | 0.224 | 0.040 |

*Logistic regression between BMI (kg/m², continuous variable) and incidence of fissures.
†Spearman’s rank correlation analysis between BMI (kg/m²) and the length of fissures (µm).
‡Spearman’s rank correlation analysis between BMI (kg/m²) and the area of fissures (mm²).

younger than in those of normal weight. Due to the life span of knee prostheses, our study suggested that a weight-loss strategy prior to TKR in patients with obesity is warranted.

Abnormal mechanical loading is an important mechanism in accelerating the progression of obesity-related OA. The present study has shown that patients with obesity have more mechanically related pathological changes at the osteochondral interface. The osteochondral unit is a functional complex with cartilage having a higher Poisson’s ratio than the underlying mineralised bone. Under high compression loading, cartilage displays a larger lateral deformation; however, the deformation is restrained by its underlying calcified bed and the SCB. This results in the generation of secondary shear force to the cartilage–bone interface, creating fissures and exacerbating OA progression. Interestingly, the pathogenesis of horizontal splitting of osteochondral interface in human OA was initially reported in 1915 and later confirmed by Meachim and Bentley in 1978. Animal studies in the 1980s of the overload-induced OA also showed induction of horizontal splitting of osteochondral interface. However, little attention has been paid to this observation over the last two decades. It is also noteworthy that horizontal fissures differ from cartilage delamination. The latter is caused by acute trauma in younger adults due to the sudden introduction of shear forces to the subchondral interface. Delamination is an isolated chondral lesion, normally causing complete separation of cartilage from the subchondral bone and results in the formation of a cartilage flap in the joint. On the other hand, horizontal fissures are a condition of chronic inflammatory reactions, attracting the ingrowth of vessels and nerves into the subchondral bone. The structural deterioration enhances the metainflammatory reactions, attracts the ingrowth of vessels and nerves into the subchondral bone. 37 38 Under high compression loading, horizontal fissures are characterised by horizontal cartilage erosion, inflammation and rupture of microcapillaries at the osteochondral interface.

The effect of obesity on the microstructure of SCB seems somewhat contradictory. Previously, it has been shown that lower subchondral thickness in the SCB of femoral heads from patients with overweight/obesity compared with normal-weight patients. On the other hand, Reina et al showed that increased bone volume and more plate-like trabeculae was associated with increased BMI. In our study, we observed that patients with obesity have relatively higher osteoid formation and lower BV/TV in predominant compartment as compared with those in non-obese patients, suggesting that obesity alters the SCB microstructure in OA patients.

There are, however, limitations in this study. First, the sample size collected for histopathological evaluation was relatively small as compared with the registry cohort and selection of cases was intentional, according to the category of BMI. Nevertheless, the mean age of the case series in all four categories was similar to those from the registry data, suggesting insignificant impact bias on sample size and collection. Second, there is lack of control subjects. The main source of control subjects in this setting can only be cadaveric specimens or those obtained as results of amputation, which are often unsatisfactory specimens, and cannot be reliably controlled. Third, this is a cross-sectional observational study.

We hypothesise that obesity induces shear forces on the osteochondral interface causing horizontal fissures in patients with OA (figure 3). As hyaline cartilage, calcified cartilage and SCB have different mechanical properties; high compression loading generates excessive shear forces and thus induces fissuring at the interface. The structural deterioration enhances the metainflammatory reactions, attracts the ingrowth of vessels and nerves into the subchondral bone.
the cartilage and further aggravates the symptoms of patients with obesity during joint motion.

Our study has shown that the key pathological feature in OA patients with obesity is horizontal fissuring at the osteochondral interface. Obesity is strongly associated with a younger age of first TKR, and may be due to horizontal fissures. While the causal relationship between this pathological change and earlier TKR seen in patients with obesity remains unclear, our observation provides a possible explanation between BMI and age of OA patients undergoing TKR.
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