Two Lineages of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Filamentous Phages: Structural Uniformity over Integration Preferences
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Abstract

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* filamentous (Pf) bacteriophages are important factors contributing to the pathogenicity of this opportunistic bacterium, including biofilm formation and suppression of bacterial phagocytosis by macrophages. In addition, the capacity of Pf phages to form liquid crystal structures and their high negative charge density makes them potent sequesters of cationic antibacterial agents, such as aminoglycoside antibiotics or host antimicrobial peptides. Therefore, Pf phages have been proposed as a potential biomarker for risk of antibiotic resistance development. The majority of studies describing biological functions of Pf viruses have been performed with only three of them: Pf1, Pf4, and Pf5. However, our analysis revealed that Pf phages exist as two evolutionary lineages (I and II), characterized by substantially different structural/morphogenesis properties, despite sharing the same integration sites in the host chromosomes. All aforementioned model Pf phages are members of the lineage I. Hence, it is reasonable to speculate that their interactions with *P. aeruginosa* and impact on its pathogenicity may be not completely extrapolated to the lineage II members. Furthermore, in order to organize the present numerical nomenclature of Pf phages, we propose a more informative approach based on the insertion sites, that is, Pf-tRNA-Gly, -Met, -Sec, -tmRNA, and -DR (direct repeats), which are fully compatible with one of five types of tyrosine integrases/recombinases XerC/D carried by these viruses. Finally, we discuss possible evolutionary mechanisms behind this division and consequences from the perspective of virus–virus, virus–bacterium, and virus–human interactions.
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Introduction

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* filamentous (Pf) bacteriophages are relatively long (~3,700 nm in length and 6 nm in diameter) with respect to the size of their circular ssDNA genomes (7.3–13 kb) (Mai-Prochnow et al. 2015; Hay and Lithgow 2019). Likewise, other filamentous phages present in Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, such as biotechnologically relevant *Escherichia coli* M13, Ff and Fd, or the cholera toxin-carrying phage CTXφ from *Vibrio cholerae*, are classified into the Inoviridae family and Inovirus genus. To date, 56 filamentous phages have been described, but a recent genomic study increased their number to 5,964 distinct species, with a suggestion to reclassify this family to an order provisionally divided into six candidate families and 212 candidate superfamilies (Roux et al. 2019).

So far, eight *P. aeruginosa* Pf phages, numbered from Pf1 to Pf7, as well as Pf-LESB58 (the Liverpool Epidemic Strain B58) have been identified (King et al. 2011; Mai-Prochnow et al. 2015). However, only Pf1 (the natural host is unknown, but PAK strain is susceptible), Pf2 (from P28 strain), and Pf3 (the natural host is undefined) have species status, whereas the remaining have not yet been approved as species (King et al. 2011). The Pf2 phage, characterized in 1968, is no longer described in the literature, and the Pf3 is genetically entirely distinct from the other Pf phages (Mai-Prochnow et al. 2015). Therefore, only the Pf1, Pf4 (from PAO1 strain), and Pf5 (from PA14 strain) are commonly used as model viruses in research studies, and the genome annotation nomenclature of Pf4 prophage (genes from PA0715 to PA0729) is widely accepted in the literature (Mai-Prochnow et al. 2015).
It is noteworthy that, in contrast to other bacteriophages, the filamentous phages generally do not kill their hosts during replication. Instead, they are characterized by the ability to establish persistent or chronic infections, being continuously released from the bacterial cell by extrusion without its lysis. Hence, their lifestyle resembles a rather symbiotic relationship than parasitism, and the well-known terms “lytic” and “lysogenic” are not fully suitable (King et al. 2011; Hay and Lithgow 2019). Furthermore, depending on a life cycle, filamentous phages can be divided into 1) nonintegrative, which exist and replicate only as extrachromosomal episomes (e.g., Pf1 or Pf3) and 2) integrative, that is, having an additional prophage state (“temperate,” “lysogenic,” or “lysogen”), for example, Pf4–Pf7. The latter process is mediated by endogenously encoded (e.g., Pf phages) or host encoded (e.g., CTXφ) integrases. Nevertheless, under special circumstances, for example, in mature biofilms, conversion to a so-called superinfective (SI) state may occur (e.g., in Pf4 and Pf5), that is, able to reinfect and subsequently kill the host in spite of carrying its prophage variant. In other words, a Pf-SI variant can bypass the phage immunity ensured by the Pf lysogen.

In the genetic organization of filamentous phages at least three modules can be distinguished, specifically 1) replication, 2) structural, and 3) assembly/secretion modules, based on E. coli Ff phage as the reference (Mai-Prochnow et al. 2015). The first module covers genes encoding proteins responsible for binding ssDNA (G5P and G10P) and its rolling-circle replication (G2P). In Pf phages G2P is absent, because the replication is performed by the host UvrD helicase (one of the enzymes involved in DNA repair) and its initiation is mediated by an additional protein–replication initiation protein (PA0727 protein in Pf4) as in CTXφ phage (Martinez and Campos-Gomez 2016). The genes encoding capsid proteins, such as major (G8P, 2,800 copies per capsid) and minor capsid proteins (G3P, G6P, G7P, and G9P; five copies of each per capsid) are localized in the structural module. It should be emphasized that G3P is responsible for recognition of the host receptors, for example, usually type IV pili. Furthermore, G8P subunits show α-helical structure overlapping each other forming Class I (e.g., Ff phage) or Class II symmetry (e.g., Pf phages; King et al. 2011). The last module involves proteins responsible for morphogenesis and secretion of viral particles (G1P, G4P, and G11P), where G4P (secretin) forms a channel in the outer membrane allowing the release of new virions, although some filamentous phages exploit host secretins (e.g., type II or IV secretion systems) to that end. The latter mechanism may also occur in Pf phages, as there is no homolog of G4P in Pf4, similarly not all counterparts of capsid proteins have been identified (Mai-Prochnow et al. 2015). Interestingly, G1P in CTXφ phage possesses the zonula occludens toxin-specific domain (Zot) that mediates binding to the tight gap junctions in the intestine. Hence, it is considered as a factor contributing to the virulence of V. cholerae strains, beside of the chola toxins genes located in the accessory part of the CTXφ genome (Fasano et al. 1995). Similarly, other filamentous phages may carry virulence genes associated with the pathogenesis of different diseases including cystic fibrosis (CF), acute gastroenteritis, neonatal meningitis, gonorrhea, and plague (Mai-Prochnow et al. 2015) and thus may be treated as factors improving bacterial adaptation capabilities.

For example, Sweere et al. have recently used a murine wound model to show that Pf phages inhibit antibacterial defense mechanisms, such as phagocytosis and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) production, but instead as the result of their endocytosis by leukocytes induce antiviral immunity and type I interferon secretion through stimulation of Toll-like receptor 3 (Sweere et al. 2019). Accordingly, Pf phages have been characterized as immunomodulatory agents, which may impact production of several cytokines and the polarization of macrophages in infection sites (Secor et al. 2020).

From a physicochemical point of view, Pf phages represent long negatively charged macromolecules that may directly contribute to biofilm formation via interaction with host and bacterial biopolymers (mucin, actin, DNA, and glycosaminoglycans) to assemble into highly structured liquid crystals that enhance biofilm adhesion and tolerance to desiccation and cationic antibiotics (Secor et al. 2015). We recently showed that Pf1, like other natural polyelectrolytes (DNA, F-actin, neurofilaments, and vimentin), significantly increases biofilm mass production not only in P. aeruginosa Xen5 but also in various bacteria and the fungus Candida albicans, as well as enhancing swarming motility in the former species (Bucki et al. 2015). Moreover, Pf phages may competitively affect growth of fungal pathogens, in particular Aspergillus and Candida, by iron sequestration (Penner et al. 2016; Nazik et al. 2017).

Furthermore, pathogenicity may be associated with activation of a Pf prophage state, followed by an extensive production of new virions, in particular in the context of a biofilm life cycle. For instance, Pf4 genes are strongly upregulated in P. aeruginosa biofilm to produce phages at a 100–1,000-fold greater rate than in planktonic culture (Whiteley et al. 2001). Pf4 upregulation is correlated with reduced oxygen levels and might be an important adaptive mechanism in lung colonization or infection in patients with CF (Platt et al. 2008), whose sputum samples contain ~10^7 Pf phage/ml (Secor et al. 2015). Indeed, in a murine pneumonia model, infection caused by P. aeruginosa PA01 mutants lacking Pf4 showed attenuated virulence resulting in a survival rate significantly longer compared with the wild PAO1 strain (Rice et al. 2009). Specifically, this phenomenon seems to be linked with Pf4 conversion into the SI form followed by bacterial lysis and subsequent dispersal in mature biofilms as well as formation of small colony variants (SCVs) (Webb et al. 2003, 2004; Sauer et al. 2004; Rice et al. 2009). The SCVs are characterized by a slow growth on agar plates, hyperpiliated and autoaggregative phenotypes along with resistance against a broad spectrum of antipseudomonal agents, which
altogether enhance biofilm formation capabilities of *P. aeruginosa* (Haussler 2004).

On the contrary, according to Secor et al. (2017), *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 producing Pf4 phage at levels comparable with those achieved in biofilms, that is, lower that those examined in vitro, is less invasive and less inflammatory as well as more resistant to phagocytosis by macrophages, suggesting its role as an immune evasion factor that helps *P. aeruginosa* in the establishment of chronic infections. Therefore, Pf phages may function as agents that prevent bacterial dissemination by sequestering bacteria within the lungs, possibly as a consequence of the loss or inactivation of type IV pili and in turn impaired twitching motility.

Pf phages appear to be important factors in various stages of the biofilm life cycle, by regulating its development and structural integrity, as was recently stressed by the study of Lee et al. (2018). The authors showed that a substrate-binding protein DppA1, involved in peptide utilization via the DppBCDF ABC transporter, increases *P. aeruginosa* PA14 biofilm formation 68-fold by direct or indirect repression of Pf5 prophage genes, which in turn prevents cell lysis mediated by the Pf5-Si state. Accordingly, the inactivation of the *dppA1* gene resulted in a 600-fold increase in Pf5 excision and a million-fold increase in phage production.

The relation between Pf phages and virulence of *P. aeruginosa* seems to be indirectly supported by their common prevalence in clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolates. For example, Knezevic et al. detected Pf prophages in 56% of 241 examined *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates (Knezevic et al. 2015). Likewise, Pf prophages were identified in 36.2% and 52.1% of *P. aeruginosa* strains isolated from two independent (Danish and Stanford) cohorts of patients with CF (Burgener et al. 2019) characterized also by higher Pf phage concentrations in sputum and resistance to antipseudomonal antibiotics (amikacin, meropenem, and aztreonam). Thus, Pf phages were proposed as a potential biomarker for risk of antibiotic resistance development and a potential therapeutic target for treatment of chronic *P. aeruginosa* infections in CF (Burgener et al. 2019).

However, several aspects of Pf phage biology and genetics, as well as mechanisms responsible for their interactions with bacterial hosts, in particular those linked with *P. aeruginosa* pathogenicity are largely unknown. To address these issues, we performed comprehensive analysis of Pf (pro)phages variation in the same *P. aeruginosa* strains (Klockgether et al. 2010) genomes from repeatable strains intentionally were not excluded. Phaster’s hits for the PHAGE_Pseudo_Pf1_NC_001331, regardless of their completeness category, that is, intact, incomplete, and questionable, according to the software nomenclature, were included for further analysis. In the next step, chromosomal locations with Pf prophage loci were manually inspected for the integration sites (attB and attP), including previously recognized sites such as 1) trNA-Gly (attB) and its 27-bp fragment (attP) and 2) two 10-bp direct repeats (DR) of -TTTGTGCGTA- sequence in Pf4 and Pf5 genomes, respectively (Seol-Hee et al. 2003), as well as trNA-Met (attB) and its 46-bp fragment (attP) in the Pf6 genome (Klockgether et al. 2010). Subsequently, the chromosomal loci containing Pf prophages, that is, delimited by attB and attP sites were annotated with Prokka v1.3.13 software (Seemann 2014) using protein sequences (and nomenclature) from Pf4 (AE004091.2) as the reference.

Phylogenetic analysis of the Pf (pro)phages was performed with the MAFFFT online service for multiple sequence alignment (Katoh et al. 2019), and differences between major types of Pf prophages were visualized using Easyfig v2.1 (Sullivan et al. 2011) and BRIG v0.95 tools (Alkhan et al. 2011). Furthermore, BLAST2GO software was used for functional annotation and analysis of accessory genes present in the Pf prophages (Conesa and Gotz 2008) that were also screened for the presence of virulence, antimicrobial, biocide, and metal resistance determinants based on VFDB (Chen et al. 2016), ResFinder (Zankari et al. 2012), ARG-ANNOT (Gupta et al. 2014), CARD (Jia et al. 2017), NCBI AMRFinderPlus (Feldgarden et al. 2019), and MEGARES (Doster et al. 2020) databases and Abricate script (https://github.com/tseemann/abricate; last accessed January 2020). Finally, CRISPR spacer sequences (*n* = 221,397) downloaded from CRISPR-Cas++ server (https://crisprcas.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr; last accessed March 2020) were used to analyze their prevalence in the Pf (pro)phages.

Structure prediction/3D modeling of proteins was determined with the SWISS-MODEL server (https://swissmodel.expasy.org; last accessed January 2020), and TM-score (https://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/TM-score/; last accessed January 2020) was applied afterward for their structural comparison.

Sequence types (STs) of the *P. aeruginosa* strains were ascribed using mlst script (https://github.com/tseemann/mlst; last accessed February 2020).

**Results**

**Pseudomonas aeruginosa** Strains and Pf Prophages

Overall, *P. aeruginosa* strains in this study (*n* = 190) were represented by 91 STs, and Pf prophages (*n* = 184) were identified in 126 (66.3%) of the strains belonging to 61 various STs.
In general, Pf prophage-negative strains pertained to distinct STs compared with the Pf-positive ones, except ST111, ST235, ST253, and ST316 (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). The number of Pf prophages per chromosome ranged from one ($n = 73$) through two ($n = 48$) and three ($n = 5$). Although, in single Pf prophages, some core genes (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online) were absent as the result of insertion mobile genetic elements, all but two (severely incomplete), that is, 182 prophages, were included in further analyses (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). According to the Phaster results, only 106 Pf prophages were intact, and 63 and 6 were classified as questionable or incomplete, respectively. In some cases, even for strains with the same ST and virtually identical Pf prophages, their classification was different, and for seven strains, detection of prophages was not possible (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online).

Integration Sites of Pf Prophages

Overall, in addition to the three known insertion sites, that is, within tRNA-Gly or tRNA-Met and 10-bp DR, two more were identified, where tRNA-Sec (PA4802.1 in PAO1) and tmRNA (PA0826.2 in PAO1) genes serve as attB, and their 27-bp (-GGGTTCGAATCCGCGTCGCA-) and 28-bp fragments as attP (-GGGGGTTCAAATCCCCCCGGCTCCACCA-) sites, respectively. Pf prophages inserted within tRNA-Gly ($n = 74$) and tRNA-Met ($n = 65$) predominated, followed by those utilizing DR ($n = 21$), tRNA-Sec ($n = 15$), and tmRNA ($n = 7$).

Accordingly, we observed a complete correlation between these five integration sites and the types of integrases (Int; PA0728 in Pf4) carried by the Pf prophages. In detail, integrases belong to the XerC superfamily (cl28330) and possess the same set of conserved domains (int—PHA02601, INT_Rci_Hp1_C—cd00796, recomb_XerC—TIGR02224, Phage_integrase—pfam00589, and XerD—COG4974) but share 40–62% identity of amino acid sequence (fig. 1). As the presence of a particular type of Int only partially overlaps with the current Pf phages nomenclature, that is, from Pf1 to Pf7, we introduced the following designations: 1) Pf-tRNA-Gly, 2) Pf-tRNA-Met, 3) Pf-tRNA-Sec, 4) Pf-tmRNA, and 5) Pf-DR, in order to underline this distinction between the Pf (pro)phages (fig. 2).

At least partially, this division is supported by the distribution of excisionase (Xis) variants among the Pf prophages. Excisionases have been recently recognized in Pf4 (Pf-tRNA-Gly) and Pf5 (Pf-tRNA-Met) phages and designated as XisF4 and XisF5, respectively (Li et al. 2019). Briefly, XisF4 is specific for the Pf-tRNA-Gly group (100% of prophages) as well as 71.4% (5/7) and 15.4% (2/13) of prophages from the Pf-tmRNA and Pf-tRNA-Sec group, respectively. XisF5 occurs in the Pf-DR group and the remaining Pf-tmRNA and Pf-tRNA-Sec prophages. Furthermore, we noted that a third variant of excisionase (denoted here as Xis-Met) is unique to the Pf-tRNA-Met prophages. In general, these three excisionase types show 19–34% identity at the amino acid sequence level and possess helix-turn-helix domains (HTH_17, HTH_MerR-SF superfamily;
pfam12728) (fig. 3). XisF4 is characterized by two additional conserved domains, namely COG2452 and excise (TIGR01764).

Remarkably, regardless of an integration site all Pf prophages can be divided into two lineages, hereafter referred to as lineage I and lineage II, based on comparison of their DNA sequences (fig. 4), which results mainly from diversity of genes encoding prophages’ structural/morphogenesis proteins (see below). As a result, Pf prophages that utilize the same integration site, for example, Pf-tRNA-Gly, belonging to different lineages, that is, Pf-tRNA-Gly-I and Pf-tRNA-Gly-II, share less overall nucleotide sequence identity to each other, than with the remaining prophages from the same lineage, for example, Pf-tRNA-Met (fig. 4). In addition, Pf prophages from one lineage, for example, Pf-tRNA-Gly-II and Pf-tRNA-Met-II, that show even 100% identity of the major capsid protein (G8P) can exist in one P. aeruginosa strain (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). On the other hand, we noted that prophages, which occupy one insertion site but represent different lineages, never occur in genomes of P. aeruginosa strains representing the same STs.

Pf Prophages Genomic Structure

The Pf prophage loci estimated by the Phaster tool ranged from 6.2 to 68.9 kb (mean 17.5 kb; median 13.2 kb) and contained 8–49 CDSs (mean 14). In contrast, the size of Pf
prophage loci delimited by attB and attP ranged from 7.9 to 17.9 kb (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). However, it should be noted that in certain chromosomes, the attP site is duplicated and/or separated from each other as well as from the attB site by regions up to ~140 kb (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online).

Using the Pf4 prophage gene nomenclature as the reference, we noted that a set of 12 genes (from PA0718 to PA0728), plus orf89 (repressor c gene, pfr) and the xis gene, as well as a small orf downstream of PA0726 encoding hypothetical proteins 33 and 36 amino acids (annotated here as PA0726) are present in virtually all prophages, and hereinafter denoted as “core genes” (fig. 2). However, it appears that the PA0718 gene in Pf4 is truncated by an insertion of the PA0717 gene (or pseudogene) (fig. 5), which is present in 64.3% (117/182) of Pf prophages in two unrelated variants.
encoding hypothetical proteins sharing ~19.4% amino acid identity (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). As a consequence, the hypothetical protein encoded by PA0718, equipped with a DUF5447 domain (pfam17525) appears to originate from a disrupted gene encoding Arc family DNA-binding protein, characterized by Arc (pfam03869) and mnt (PHA01513) domains.

Pf prophages without the PA0717 gene (n = 65) have in this position a various length orf encoding CDS possessing: 1) Arc and mnt domains (with length ranging from 145 to 225 amino acids, n = 30), 2) Arc, mnt, and DUF5447 domains (219 amino acids, n = 8), only a DUF5447 domain (115 amino acids, n = 5), or 3) without conserved domains (with length ranging from 112 to 174 amino acids, n = 22) (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). It is noteworthy that the first two variants, that is, with Arc/mnt domains, are present exclusively in the Pf-tRNA-Gly group. Furthermore, an insertion of another variant of orf encoding an Arc family DNA-binding protein results in deletion of orf89 (pf4r) in 6.6% (12/182) of Pf prophages (fig. 5). In addition, in 11.5% (21/182) of Pf-tRNA-Met (n = 11) and Pf-tRNA-Gly (n = 10) prophages from both lineages, the PA0718 gene is followed by the dcm gene (n = 15) or pseudogene (n = 6) encoding DNA cytosine methyltransferase regardless of the presence of PA0717 (fig. 5).

Moreover, among this set of 14–16 core proteins, an amino acid sequence variation of PA0722 (hypothetical protein), PA0723 (G8P, major capsid protein), PA0724 (G3P, minor capsid protein), PA0726 (G6P, minor capsid protein), PA0725 (G5P, major capsid protein), PA0726 (G5P, Zot), and PA0726 (hypothetical protein) separates the Pf prophages into the aforementioned lineages I and II. PA0720 (G5P, DNA-binding protein) PA0721 (hypothetical protein) and to some degree also PA0719 (hypothetical protein) and PA0727 (replication initiation protein) have a higher level of sequence conservation. In contrast, Xis4F, Xis5F, and Xis-Met as well as two variants of PA0717 and ORF89 are unevenly distributed across both lineages of Pf prophages (fig. 6).

**Accessory Genes of Pf Prophages**

In addition to the core genes, all Pf prophages carry from one to ten (mean 4.2, median 4) accessory orfs, that is, located
upstream/downstream of the boundary core genes (int and orf89) and delimited by attB and attP sites. Thus, the accessory DNA increases the length of Pf prophages’ genomes by 15% to even 100% (mean 45.2%, median 51.0%), for example, from 8 to 16 kb (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online).
In total, 773 accessory open reading frames (ORFs) were noted in 182 prophage genomes. Unfortunately, a putative function of half of them is unknown, as 55.5% (429/773) have no conserved domains and 47.5% (367/773) are classified by BLAST analysis just as hypothetical/uncharacterized proteins (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). Likewise, gene ontology category was assigned to 47.7% (369/773) of accessory proteins as follows: cellular component—210, biological function—95, and molecular function—168 proteins (supplementary figs. S5a–c, Supplementary Material online). No virulence or antimicrobial resistance traits were identified among the accessory orfs.

Overall, 17.2% (n = 133) of the accessory ORFs are unique for single Pf prophages, whereas the remaining 640 ORFs are common to 2–55 Pf prophages (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online). However, only 12 of them are shared by at least ten (≥5%) of the prophages (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online), including five being a part of two operons (supplementary figs. S6 and S7, Supplementary Material online).

**Distribution of CRISPR-Cas Spacer Sequences in Pf Prophages**

We noted a correlation between the Pf prophage lineages and occurrence in their genes of so-called “prospacer” sequences, which are incorporated as “spacers” into the bacterial CRISPR-Cas systems providing sequence-specific immunity (i.e., bacterial adaptive immune system) against viruses and plasmids (Westra et al. 2016) (supplementary fig. S8, Supplementary Material online). In detail, the same prospacers are equally distributed over conserved genes, for example, PA0719, PA0720, and PA0727; whereas in structural/morphogenesis genes, that is, showing sequence variation between the lineages I and II, for example, PA0723 (GBP, major capsid protein), PA0724 (G3P, minor capsid protein), PA0725, and PA0726, they are present only in the latter group.

**Discussion**

Our analysis revealed that all Pf prophages identified in this study carry integrase (int) genes, encoding tyrosine integrases/recombinases XerCD from the XerC superfamily that catalyze a site-specific cutting and rejoining of recombinating DNA. In general, in this process, the integrase-DNA recognition is sequence specific, that is, mediated by complementarity of attB and attP sites present in the host and the phage genome, respectively. As the result, several bacteriophages, including *E. coli* lambdoid phages, are inserted only at one site and do not recombine with the other (Campbell 2003). Indeed, we noted the evident correlation between integration sites (attB), that is, tRNA-Gly, tRNA-Met, tRNA-Sec, tmRNA genes, or 10-bp DRs, and one of five types of integrases. Moreover, integrases utilizing tRNA-Gly and tRNA-Met show specificity for tRNA-Gly with the CCC anticodon (but not with GCC or GCC) and one variant of tRNA-Met among four present in *P. aeruginosa*, respectively. Therefore, the proposed nomenclature of Pf prophages: Pf-tRNA-Gly, -Met, -Sec, -tmRNA, and -DR, with suffix -I and -II, that indicates an affiliation with the lineage I or II (see below) appears to be more informative compared with the current one. The latter is based on 1) numerical approach (i.e., Pf1–Pf7), reflecting the order of their discovery, or 2) naming related to strain specificity (e.g., Pf-LESB58), which is inconsistent and not complete in these aspects (fig. 2). An integrase typing has been recently proposed as a useful method in assessing prophages’ diversity in *Salmonella enterica* genomes (Colavecchio et al. 2017). In view of the above, the presence of truncated int gene, a variant specific for the Pf-tRNA-Met prophages, in Pf phage possibly explains its origin and nonintegrative character, that is, additional supported by its excisionase gene (xis-Met) common with this group (figs. 2, 4, and 6). Furthermore, in certain Pf prophages, attP sites are duplicated and/or separated from attB sites by relatively large fragments of the chromosome (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). Hence, the capacity of such Pf prophages for excision is questionable, and they may function as permanent lysogens. On the other hand, the replicated attP sites may function as “hot spots” that allow rearrangements of the *P. aeruginosa* chromosome.

It is noteworthy that the integration of the Pf phages seems to be unique among other groups of filamentous phages, where this process is mediated by 1) the host XerCD recombinases and the dif (attB) site (e.g., in *V. cholerae* phage CTXφ, *Ralstonia solanacearum* phage ϕRS51 or *Xanthomonas campestris* phage XacF1), 2) transposases and 20-bp inverted repeats serving as attB site (e.g., in *Neisseria* Nf phages), and 3) serine-type resolvases/invertases that utilize tRNA-Ser as attB site (e.g., *R. solanacearum* phage ϕRSM) (Askora et al. 2012). Thus, the presence of integrase genes in filamentous phages is not common, which may suggest their recent acquisition or loss in favor of exploiting the host recombinases (Mai-Prochnow et al. 2015).

Remarkably, regardless of the insertion site all Pf prophages are clearly separated into two lineages (I and II) mainly as the result of variation in genes encoding structural/morphogenesis proteins, that is, PA0723 (GBP), PA0724 (G3P), PA0725 (G6P), PA0726 (GIP), as well as PA0722 and PA0726', hence, one insertion site, for example, tRNA-Gly, in various *P. aeruginosa* strains can be occupied by the lineage I or II prophages, sharing less overall nucleotide sequence similarity to each other, than with prophages from other integration sites (fig. 4). On the contrary, the proteins responsible for Pf phages replication initiation (PA0727) and stabilization of ssDNA (PA0720, GSP), as well as PA0719 and PA0721 are generally common for both lineages. Likewise, two distinct evolutionary lines of filamentous phages were also recognized.
in the plant pathogen _R. solanacearum_ (Askora and Yamada 2015).

Enzymes, responsible for excision of Pf prophages from the chromosome, that is, excisionases (Xis) (Li et al. 2019), show notable correlation with the insertion sites, in particular for tRNA-Gly, tRNA-Met, and tRNA-Sec/DR phages. The excision process can be solely Xis-specific, such as excision of Pf5 (Pf-DR) by XisF5, or performed by both excisionase (XisF4) and integrase, as in the case of Pf4 (Pf-tRNA-Gly) phage. Therefore, both enzymes show clear functional distinctness, as excision mediated by XisF4 cannot be reproduced by XisF5 and vice versa (Li et al. 2019). In addition, excisionases may function as transcriptional regulators being a part of system controlling lysogeny and production of Pf phages. For instance, XisF4 directly regulates replication of Pf4 through autoactivation of its own expression and upregulation of the replication initiation gene (PA0727) or repression of the phage repressor c gene (pf4r, orf89) (Li et al. 2019). On the other hand, the expression of XisF4 is repressed by the Pf4r protein, and two _P. aeruginosa_ histone-like nucleoid-structuring (H-NS) proteins: MvaT and MvaU (Castang et al. 2008; Li et al. 2009). Therefore, an increased production of XisF4 and phages may result in a severe growth inhibition of _P. aeruginosa_ during late stages of biofilm formation (Li et al. 2019). Furthermore, such mature biofilm phenotype is correlated with the conversion of the Pf4 phage into an SI variant, which in turn may support formation of SCVs (Webb et al. 2003). However, it should be noted that the latter phenomenon was contested by Mooij et al. (2007). Nevertheless, considering the above, it will be interesting to study properties of outstanding from other excisionases the Xis-Met enzyme (fig. 3).

In contrast, the repressor C protein (Orf89, Pf4r) that acts as an inhibitor of phage production shows as low as ~33% inter- and intragroup sequence variation between the Pf prophages. In Pf phage, this protein (Pf4r) is not only required for the maintenance of its lysogenic state in PA1 strain but it also confers immunity to Pf4 reinfection (Li et al. 2019), which may be connected with development of an SI form of this phage (Webb et al. 2003). It has been suggested that this process in associated with mutation accumulation within the pf4r gene or its upstream region, which is shared with the _xisf4_ gene (McElroy et al. 2014; Li et al. 2019). Taking into consideration that Pf4r autoactivates its own expression that depends also on XisF4 level, the mutations may affect its production. In line with this, Hui et al. (2014) suggested that the nucleotide composition of the pf4r gene is more prone to acquire single nucleotide polymorphisms than the host genome, which may affect the immunity function of the repressor C protein, allowing the mutant phage to subsequently reinfect hosts with wild-type immunity functions. However, because we noted a high level of sequence variability in the repressor C protein gene and its intergenic region among the Pf prophages, it implicates uneven significance of this phenomenon or its limited occurrence. On the other hand, assuming that ~40% of the _P. aeruginosa_ genomes carry more than one Pf phage, this diversity may be perceived as an adaptation mechanism allowing the coexistence of various Pf phages in one host without interference between their regulatory processes.

Finally, according to Hui et al. (2014), formation of SI phages appears to be correlated with the DNA mismatch repair (MMR) system and the oxidative stress response mediated by OxyR, a positive regulator of the oxidative stress response gene expression (Wei et al. 2012). The relation between the SI and MMR systems seems to be general, as its inactivation results in a highly mutable state known as hypermutable (mutator) _P. aeruginosa_ strains, observed for instance in patients with CF (Oliver et al. 2000). The oxidized form of OxyR directly binds to a 15-bp sequence (-ATAGGAGCAAGACTAT-) within the pf4r gene and possibly represses its expression (Wei et al. 2012). Thus, it has been suggested that when pf4r acquires mutations, they may prevent binding of OxyR and in turn lead to overproduction of the phage particles (Hui et al. 2014). However, as we observed that the OxyR binding site in pf4r is perfectly matched with the Pf4-variant only in 12.7% of the Pf prophages, mostly the Pf-tRNA-Gly ones, it remains to be elucidated whether this is a universal mechanism or restricted to certain variants of _pf4r_.

Another observation, possibly linked with regulation of Pf prophages’ life cycle, is the sequence diversity of the PA0718 gene, which is derivative of the presence/lack of the PA0717 gene. In 38 (20.9%) of the Pf prophages, this gene encodes a possibly complete Arc family DNA-binding protein (~220 amino acids) characterized by Arc and Mnt domains (n = 30) or its variant with a fragment of additional domain of unknown function—DUF5447 (pfam17525) (n = 8) (fig. 5). Interestingly, in the _Salmonella_ phage, P22 Arc and Mnt are short proteins (53 and 82 amino acids, respectively), which share ~40% homology and act as repressors of the antirepressor protein _Ant_ for lytic (Arc) and lysogenic cycle of infection (Mnt) (Youdarian et al. 1982). During the lytic cycle, the Arc protein negatively regulates its own synthesis as well as production of the antirepressor. The Mnt repressor prevents synthesis of the Arc protein and antirepressor by superinfecting P22 phage (Youdarian et al. 1982). Moreover, these repressors and _Ant_ are part of the second regulatory region—_imml_, which together with _immlC_ is responsible for the establishment and maintenance of lysogeny, where the _Ant_ inactivates the P22 c2 repressor encoded by the latter region (Suskind and Botstein 1978).

Hence, it is possible that in Pf phages, Arc protein is implicated in regulation of lytic–lysogenic processes in concert with excisionase and repressor C proteins. However, as in 27 (14.8%) of the Pf prophages, it is shorter by the first 50 or more residues encompassing the Arc and Mnt domains, the functions of Arc, either regulatory or others, might have been
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lost or substantially limited during evolution of Pf phages. On the other hand, in 12 (6.6%) of the Pf prophages, the repressor C protein gene is absent as the result of another variant of Arc gene (fig. 5) insertion, which may implicate a distinct regulatory mechanism.

In the remaining Pf prophages, including Pf1, Pf4–Pf7, and Pf-LESB85, the PA0718 gene is truncated by an insertion of the PA0717 gene(s), and its orf is frameshifted by an additional ~19 nucleotides. Thus, the resulting PA0718 protein shares no similarity with the Arc family DNA-binding protein, possessing the entire DUF5447 (92.0%) or no conserved domains (8.0%). Hence, a functionality of these ORFs is a highly questionable or what is less likely, they may represent completely different proteins. Indeed, DUF5447 domain is unique to the Pseudomonas genus. Moreover, in the genome of Pf1 phage, two alternative orfs, ORF96 (DUF5447) and ORF103 (no conserved domains), were annotated in this region, however, the latter was indicated as a possible pseudogene based on codon usage analysis (Hill et al. 1991). Similarly, the function of the PA0717 gene has not be verified in Pf4 or other Pf phages. Interestingly, PA0717 occurs in two unrelated variants, unevenly distributed among the Pf prophages, which may implicate a common recombination mechanism behind its insertion.

Summarizing the above observations, it will be interesting to investigate the dynamics and possible synergism or antagonism, between life cycles of ≥2 prophages present in one host. In the first scenario, one phage plays a dominant role over a “recessive” one, assuming that mixing of their capsid proteins during virion assembly is undesirable, and this control may be released with cell population aging, as in the case of mature biofilms and production Pf-SI forms. Alternatively, formation of “hybrid” virions is acceptable and replication of both viruses may occur simultaneously (Askora and Yamada 2015).

Additionally, in 21 (11.5%) of Pf prophages, PA0718 is followed by the dcm gene (or its pseudogene) encoding DNA cytosine methyltransferase (Dcm). In general, methyltransferases (MTases) along with restriction endonucleases (REases) are part of restriction–modification systems (Vasu and Nagaraja 2013) that ensure protection of bacteria against invading bacteriophages and other mobile DNA elements. In this process, methylation of a specific DNA sequence of the host genome by MTases allow discrimination between self- and nonself-DNA by REases, which subsequently cleave unmethylated sequences on foreign DNA. The Dcm enzyme methylates cytosine residues in the sequence CC(A/T)GG, which, for example, occurs 31 times in the Pf1 genome. Interestingly, this motif is methylated also by a newly recognized antiphage module—DISARM (Defence Island System Associated with Restriction–Modification) (Ofir et al. 2018). MTases may occur alone, that is, without cognate REases, as so-called “orphan or solitary” MTases (Murphy et al. 2008). In bacterial cells such orphan MTases are connected with many regulatory activities, including replication, DNA repair, and population evolution of both the host and the bacteriophage genomes (Murphy et al. 2008; Marinus and Lobner-Olesen 2014). Similarly, many bacteriophages possess multi- and mono-specific orphan MTases that beside protecting them from restriction endonucleases of the bacterial host are linked with regulation of their life cycles (Murphy et al. 2008). For instance, the Dam enzyme in the E. coli temperate phage P1 is active only during its lytic stage, and the phage DNA encapsulation is dependent on methylation of seven Dam recognition motifs (-GATC-) localized in a 162-bp packaging region (Gladue et al. 2013). Furthermore, expression of the gene-encoding site-specific recombinase (cre), and possibly other Pf genes, is controlled by Dam methylation of its promoters (Lobocka et al. 2004). Accordingly, an orphan Dam enzyme of P. aeruginosa Mu-like phage B3 was suggested as a part of a regulatory mechanism to control the production of phage proteins (Braid et al. 2004). Likewise, Dam methylation of three GATC sites within a 43-bp region upstream of the E. coli bacteriophage Mu mom gene was found to participate in controlling its expression (Sun and Hattman 1996). This process prevents binding of the OxyR regulatory protein, which also was suggested to control the life cycle of Pf phages (see above) (Wei et al. 2012). However, this mechanism is unlikely for Pf phages, as we recognized Dcm methylation motifs (CC(A/T)GG) upstream of the orf89 gene only in 20 (none with dcm) of them. Dam-dependent methylation is necessary for the maintenance of lysogeny of the Shiga toxin carrying lambda-like prophage 933W, and inactivation of the dam gene is lethal for enterohemorrhagic E. coli strains (Murphy et al. 2008). Similarly, an orphan Dam was indicated as a key factor in controlling the switching between lysogenic and lytic states bacteriophage of the Vibrio Harvey myovirus like by methylation of the rha antirepressor gene (Bochow et al. 2012). Therefore, it remains to be elucidated whether the Dcm of the Pf phages acts by an antirestriction or regulatory mechanism. Nevertheless, carrying identical dcm genes by different Pf prophages present in one host likely indicates their ability to exchange (supplementary fig. 59, Supplementary Material online).

Considering the genetic structure of Pf prophages, the core genomic region, that is, from orf89 (pfr) to int, may involve 14–16 orfs, including 14 present in all prophages and two, that is, PA0717 and dcm, with limited occurrence. In addition, the orfs from the former group can be divided into: 1) conserved (e.g., PA0727), 2) group-specific (largely intragroup variable, int, and variable, orf89(pfr)), 3) lineage-specific (e.g., PA0723–PA0726). Generally, the most common difference in the gene content of this region is associated with the presence/lack of one of two types of PA0717, which results in disruption of the PA0718 gene. However, the presence of PA0717 is neither group nor lineage specific.

The intragroup diversity among structural proteins between lineages I and II of Pf prophages from the same group,
for example, Pf-tRNA-Gly-I and Pf-tRNA-Gly-II, is self-explanatory from the evolutionary perspective. For instance, it may be interpreted as a consequence of an “arms race” between the bacterium and its virus, which generates a new quality in their mutual interactions. In contrast, a striking intergroup structural similarity of the Pf prophages belonging to the same lineage, for example, Pf-tRNA-Met-II and Pf-tRNA-Gly-II, is somewhat unexpected and difficult to explain from an evolutionary perspective. It implies that the two lineages of Pf prophages have evolved 1) independently from two ancestors by acquisition the same types of integrases (and excisionases) or 2) from one ancestor, for example, lineage I, by intragroup alterations in the structural proteins ultimately leading to the lineage II. Although the former is more natural, it assumes an independent evolution of exactly the same types of integrases (and excisionases) in both lineages, whereas the latter raises the question about reasons or forces behind identical alterations in the five groups of Pf prophages.

Taking into account the second scenario, we noted a correlation between the Pf prophage lineages and uneven distribution of CRISPR-Cas prospacer sequences in their structural/morphogenesis genes (supplementary fig. S8, Supplementary Material online). Interestingly, when the resistance to phages is mediated via multiple spacers, most phages counteract with deletions in genes encoding structural proteins (Watson et al. 2019). In this context, a selective pressure mediated through CRISPR-Cas immunity may act as force that uniformly affects a particular population of phages, hence supporting the “one ancestor” hypothesis of Pf phages evolution (Briner and Barrangou 2016). In general, CRISPR-Cas systems are considered as rapidly evolving defense mechanism and spacers tend to match mostly rare phages from the same (sympatric) rather than other (allopatric) populations, for example, P. aeruginosa population in lungs (Westra et al. 2016; England et al. 2018). Accumulation of large number spacers may be deleterious for the bacterium, for example, by triggering of self-targeting and autoimmunity processes. Correspondingly, it is believed that bacteria living together in large populations or bacteriophage-rich environments are more likely to evolve constitutive antiphage defense strategy (e.g., modification/masking of surface receptors) (Westra et al. 2015). Thus, it may explain an accumulation of several spacers in the excisionase (ξ) genes of all prophages (except Pf-tRNA-Gly) as well as in the integrase gene of the Pf-tRNA-Met group. On the other hand, these phages may characterize a more “aggressive” life cycle, analogous to the preferentially targeted by CRISPR-Cas phages that actively transcribe prospacer-positive genes and tolerance of temperate ones, so-called “selective immunity” (Obeng et al. 2016). In this light, the Pf-tRNA-Gly phages may represent the oldest, and in turn, the most “domesticated” group of Pf phages (Braga et al. 2018). Additionally, the CRISPR-Cas-mediated pressure is an attractive theory explaining a loss of the functional integrase gene in the Pf1 phage, which shows a close genetic relatedness with the Pf-tRNA-Met group.

As a population, the Pf prophages are characterized by an intergroup redundancy of certain structural proteins, including the major capsid protein (G8P) as well as receptor binding ones—a minor capsid protein (G3P). As a result, one host can be infected by structurally very similar phages, that is, carrying even identical alleles of G8P and G3P proteins, that actually differ only in the inttxs genes and the regulatory region involving the orf89 (prf) gene (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). Because both proteins, in particular G3P, have several alleles, this implies the possibility of an emergence of virions with hybrid capsids, that is, composed of their various alleles, if their assembly is not temporally separated. Furthermore, if the present view on the filamentous phages as bacterial symbionts (rather than parasites) is correct (Hay and Lithgow 2019), it might be speculated that benefits related to alterations in regulation of certain bacterial genes, for example, resulting from the fact of phage insertion at specific site of the bacterial chromosome and/or presence of accessory phage genes (see below), are the most relevant for the host and exceed the burdens of carrying such similar prophages.

At the same time, the dissimilarity of G8P alleles, for example, ~15% of sequence identity between the dominant ones, in the lineages I and II, possibly may be translated into distinct physicochemical properties of the virions, which in turn are relevant from a perspective of P. aeruginosa pathogenicity, for example, biofilm formation (Secor et al. 2015; Burgener et al. 2019) or interactions with eukaryotic cells (Sweere et al. 2019; Secor et al. 2020). For instance, Secor et al. (2015) observed that Pf bacteriophages, as long and negatively charged filaments, spontaneously self-assemble into a liquid crystal structures through entropic interactions between polymers, for example, eDNA and mucin, present in P. aeruginosa biofilms, that in turn enhances biofilm adhesion and its stability, iron sequestration, as well as tolerance to desiccation and cationic antibacterial agents (antibiotics and antibacterial peptides) (Secor et al. 2020). The latter phenomenon was verified for cationic aminoglycoside antibiotics (tobramycin) and explained as the result of their enhanced attraction and binding by the liquid crystalline matrix characterized by a high negative charge density. Furthermore, Sweere et al. (2019) have showed that endocytosed Pf1 and Pf4 phages induce antiviral immunity in leukocytes and inhibit antibacterial mechanisms of defense, that is, phagocytosis and TNF production. Hence, Pf phages reduce the number of bacteria required to establish an infection, and anti-Pf antibodies play a protective role against infections caused by Pf-positive P. aeruginosa strains. Interestingly, these immune effects were not observed for another filamentous phage—Fd1 from E. coli, indicating that it is not universal property this group of viruses.

Although the same amino acids, that is, aspartic and glutamic acid, are responsible for the negative charge of the outside part of G8P (Zimmermann et al. 1986), in both
lineages of Pf prophages, their positions, and in some cases also the number, are different (fig. 7a). In addition, the presence in this region of cationic lysine in certain of G8P alleles contributes to its inter- as well as intralineage charge variation. Considering the above and the fact that one negative charge less per G8P subunit corresponds to \( \frac{1}{2} \) reduction in its surface charge density (Lyubartsev et al. 1998), it is reasonable to assume also interactions between Pf phages themselves and other molecules may vary. Similarly, also structural differences should be considered in this respect, because for \( \frac{1}{2} \) of G8P alleles in the lineage II phages, “photosystem II reaction center protein Z” (instead of the major capsid protein of Pf1 phage), is adopted as the closest model in prediction of their 3D structure (fig. 7b). Processing of G8P alleles by the host enzymes is also likely affected, for instance, their signal peptides have different cleavage motifs (fig. 7a). Hence, although in both cases, the cleavage is performed by the type I signal peptidase(s) (SPases), likely the primary \( P.\ aeruginosa \) SPase–LepB (PA0768) (Waite et al. 2012), the efficiency of this process may be different (Sakakibara et al. 1993). Additionally, recognition of possible antigenic differences between G8P alleles may be crucial from the perspective of the human immune system response and development of new therapies involving anti-G8P immunization (Secor et al. 2020).

Likewise, at least 12 and 7 signal motifs are present in G3P alleles among the lineage I and II prophages, respectively, but only two of them are shared by both (data not shown). Remarkably, despite substantial differences between G3P alleles, both lineages can infect the same host (supplementary fig. S10, Supplementary Material online). Thus, it may suggest 1) that the recognition of the primary host receptor, that is, type IV pili (PilY) (Martinez and Campos-Gomez 2016), by lineage II G3P alleles is not (or just marginally) affected and/or 2) an ability of interaction with a new receptor (Azam and Tanji 2019). As the \( pilY \) gene shows sequence variability among the \( P.\ aeruginosa \) strains, in contrast to uniformity of a possible coreceptor—TolA (Ford et al. 2012; Houot et al. 2017), the former case may be an adaptation toward efficient recognition of its specific alleles. On the other hand, the intralineage alterations in G3P affect mostly its first part, that is,
containing the N1 domain, responsible for interactions with TolA (data not shown), thus Pf phages may use other coreceptors than their counterparts from E. coli and V. cholerae TolA (Ford et al. 2012; Houot et al. 2017).

In addition to their core genes, all Pf phages are equipped with one to ten accessory orfs, where 17% of them are unique for single Pf phages and the remaining are present in ≥2–57 prophages, but only five (0.9%) are shared by at least 20% of the Pf prophages (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online).

In general, prophages’ accessory or additional genes are those dispensable for their life cycles, hence, acronymized as “morons”—derived simply from “more on” meaning or called “lysogenic conversion genes” (Brüssow et al. 2004; Tsao et al. 2018). However, from the host perspective, some of them are recognized as “fitness genes,” as they encode traits that (in)directly modify its phenotype or fitness. For example, virulence factors (Brüssow et al. 2004). Filamentous prophages are not an exception. For example, the cbxA8 operon encoding chola toxin by CTXφ phage of V. cholerae or the Orf15 carried by εRS3 phage modifies the virulence of R. solanacearum (Askora and Yamada 2015; Mai-Prochnow et al. 2015).

Unfortunately, a biological function of almost half of the accessory ORFs present in Pf phages is impossible to predict based on in silico characteristics, however, a substantial number of the remaining proteins is associated with nucleic acids processing.

A bicistronic parDE toxin–antitoxin (TA) operon is one of the most common morons present in the majority of Pf-tRNA-Gly, including Pf4 phage, as well as single Pf-tRNA-Sec and Pf-tmRNA prophages. Until recently, TAs have been considered as a rarity in filamentous phages, as the result of limited number of their genomic sequences (Mai-Prochnow et al. 2015). However, a recent comprehensive study focused on searching Pf phages in genomic databases revealed common prevalence of TAs in these viruses (Roux et al. 2019). Moreover, various combinations of TA systems’ genes, even from unrelated TAs, were observed and explained as an evidence of extensive recombination between them (Roux et al. 2019). TAs are small operons composed of a toxin gene and its cognate antitoxin common in bacteria (Fraikin et al. 2020), however, their distribution even across one species may be uneven (Fiedoruk et al. 2015). In general, the toxin is more stable than the antitoxin that prevents its activity through the formation of the antitoxin–toxin complex. TAs are divided into six classes (I–VI) based on the nature (protein or RNA) and mode of action of antitoxin. The TA from the Pf phages, according to the TA database—TADB2.0 (Shao et al. 2011), shows the highest nucleotide sequence similarity with parDE system from class II TAs, thus its toxin—ParE (RelE/ParE family toxin)—affects DNA gyrase, whereas its antitoxin belongs to the Phd/YefM antitoxin family. Originally, TAs were described as stabilizers of plasmids, so-called plasmid “addiction” systems, and subsequently, other mobile DNA, such as superintegrons, conjugative transposons (Fraikin et al. 2020), and likely prophages, for example, CP933P prophage of E. coli O157:H7 (Haliez et al. 2010). However, the further recognition of abundance and variety of chromosomal TAs was eventually connected with stress response and adaptation, as elements responsible for the increase of overall fitness through the induction of such processes as 1) biofilm, 2) persister cell formation, and 3) a part of passive immunity as antiphage modules. The last function is motivated as a variant of a wider antiphage strategy known as abortive infection (Abi) phenotype, that is, “altruistic suicide” of the infected cells mediated in this case through toxins of TAs (Labrie et al. 2010).

However, a mechanism involving slowing down the cell growth, rather than killing, has been recently suggested for this phenomenon (Song and Wood 2018). Certain phages can bypass TA-mediated Abi by encoding molecules that 1) mimic the bacterial antitoxin, for example, Dmd protein of E. coli T4 phage and pseudo-ToxI RNA of Pectobacterium atrosepticum phage φTE or 2) inhibit the bacterial proteins responsible for the antitoxin degradation, for example, Gp4.5, PinA, and RexB proteins of E. coli Y7, T4, and λ phages, respectively. On the other hand, carrying TAs by prophages may be perceived as an antiaddictive mechanism protecting the host from other mobile elements, such as plasmids possessing similar TAs. Furthermore, from the perspective of phages themselves, TAs may function as a competitive advantage, by analogy with TA-mediated plasmid displacement, where a plasmid equipped with TA can outcompete another plasmid belonging to the same incompatibility but without the TA (Fraikin et al. 2020). This may explain a limitation of the parDE operon mostly to Pf-tRNA-Gly phages.

On the other hand, Petrova et al. (2011) reported that cell lysis by the SI form of Pf4 phage is regulated by BfmR being a part of two-component signal transduction system via activation of expression of Phd (prevent-host-death, PA0691), that is, TA system antitoxin homolog, and its overexpression results in resistance to the SI infection. Therefore, BfmR and Phd were suggested as biofilm development regulators by reducing SI-mediated cell lysis and in turn releasing eDNA. Although amino acid sequence identity for the P. aeruginosa Phd and Pf Phd/YefM family antitoxin is low (13.3%), both proteins likely share some structural and possibly functional similarities (supplementary fig. S11, Supplementary Material online). Hence, the Pf TA may be perceived as an adaption mechanism to evade action of Phd by 1) blocking its promoter by the Pf Phd/YefM antitoxin or 2) its binding with ParE toxin. Finally, more recently Li et al. have suggested to name this TAs, PfiT/PfiA (Pf inhibition toxin and antitoxin), since it can control production of Pf4 phage as well as contribute to cell immunity to Pf4 phage infection in an autoregulatory manner (Li et al. 2020).

In detail, the PfiT by binding with PfiA acts as its corepressor and the PfiAT complex controls pfiAT operon via interaction with a palindromic sequence overlapping the -35
region of this operon. However, the deletion of pIIIT removes this autoregulatory control, which results in an activation of expression of the gene encoding Pf4 replication initiation protein and bypasses the immunity ensured by the phage repressor protein Pf4r (Li et al. 2020).

Nevertheless, regardless of the function, the accessory genes appear to significantly contribute to the diversity of Pf prophages, and their limitation to certain groups (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online) and/or variety seem to be natural consequence of the insertional specificity, amplified by occurrence of Pf prophages in other Pseudomonas species (supplementary fig. S6, Supplementary Material online) and/or variety among P. aeruginosa Pf viruses. Knowledge about their prevalence and distribution of 1) Pf prophages’ life cycle regulatory mechanisms and 2) their accessory genes, can be treated as somewhat defective of 1) Pf prophages’ life cycle regulatory mechanisms and 2) their accessory genes, can be treated as somewhat defective Pf viruses. Knowledge about their prevalence and distribution among P. aeruginosa strains is necessary to properly decipher all the mechanisms behind interactions and evolution of Pf (pro)phages.
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