Protein complex nanoparticles reinforced with industrial hemp essential oil: Characterization and application for shelf-life extension of Rainbow trout fillets
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Essential oil of industrial hemp (\textit{Cannabis sativa} L.) (IHEO) was reinforced in complexation of whey protein nanofibrils and mung bean protein nanoparticles (WPNF-MBNPs) as a novel nanocarrier. A desirable retention rate range of 50.9–90.4\% was confirmed for IHEO reinforced in WPNF-MBNPs. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy revealed that IHEO was successfully loaded within WPNF-MBNPs without specific chemical interaction with the carrier matrix. The results indicated that incorporation of IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBNPs into active material coatings having acceptable inhibition activity against total viable and psychrotrophic bacteria. The coated fishes also retarded the increase of PV (peroxide value), TBA (thiobarbituric acid) and TVB-N (total volatile basic nitrogen) values during storage. The IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBNPs coating led to an extension in the shelf life of Rainbow trout fillets within 8–14 days of storage. Accordingly, IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBNPs can be suggested as a natural preservative for coating fishes.

\textbf{1. Introduction}

There is a growing interest in natural bioactive compounds both by producers and consumers in the food and pharmaceutical industries. Particularly, consumers are looking for foods without artificial and harmful preservatives that can promote their health (Garavand et al., 2021). Essential oils (EOs) as natural food preservatives are, generally, extracted from medicinal plants and herbs for their noticeable biological activities. EOs are complex combination of secondary metabolites, including terpenoid hydrocarbons, phenol derivatives and oxygenated terpenoids (Yousuf et al., 2021); however, due to having volatile components, their usage is limited; the volatile compounds can be degraded easily by adverse external conditions such as light, oxygen, temperature, pressure, and pH. In addition, controlled release of EOs is needed when used for specific purposes like food additives (Hadidi, Motamedzadegan, et al., 2021).

In recent years, the isolation and utilization of EOs from agro-industrial wastes and by-products have gained much research interest. Industrial hemp’s (\textit{Cannabis sativa} L.) inflorescences are usually discharged during the conventional hemp processing, resulting in an underused biomass for future uses (Ascrizzi et al., 2019). They are a rich source of EOs and contain mainly monoterpenes and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons like (E)-caryophyllene, \textalpha-pinene, myrcene and \textalpha-humulene, which exhibit important biological activities (Fiorini et al., 2019). Valorization of hemp by-products is a matter of interest for producers, allowing them to increase the market value of hemp cultivation (Ascrizzi et al., 2019). Some studies have recently been carried out on the functional and pharmacological applications/properties of industrial hemp essential oil due to their antioxidant, antimicrobial, and anti-inflammatory activities (Benelli et al., 2018; Tabari et al., 2020).

There is much interest in developing biodegradable nanoparticles (NPs) as an effective delivery system for delivering lipophilic food bio-actives (Garavand et al., 2021). Proteins are attractive options for designing polymeric NPs as suitable wall materials thanks to their amphiphilic nature compatible with many active substances, as well as excellent functional properties (Tarhini et al., 2017). Whey protein, as a typical cheese processing by-product, is commonly used to foods due to its great nutritional value and techno-functional properties such as gelling, foaming and emulsifying (Hu et al., 2020). Protein fibrillation is used nowadays for fabrication of protein fibrils having novel functional-aities and improved structure stability. Heating the protein above 80 °C for 5–24 h at low ionic strength and acidic conditions can result in...
production of WPI fibrils (Zhang et al., 2021), which are more suitable as delivery carriers comparing to native proteins, due to having multiple functional groups, and thus, promoting different interactions with numerous and drugs and nutrients (Hu et al., 2020). Protein complexation has recently been proposed as a promising and efficient way for improving the bioavailability, chemical stability and dispersion of bioactive compounds in an aqueous environment. Mung bean (Vigna radiate L.) protein (MBP) is a significant plant protein that demonstrates high potential as sustainable protein source for its availability, nutritional value, hypoallergenic, and desirable foaming, emulsifying, gel-ling, and film-forming capabilities (Hadidi, Jafarzadeh, et al., 2021).

The rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) as an extremely perishable food belongs to the Salmonidae family. Recently, the demand for rainbow trout has increased remarkably, and this could be due to its bioactive compounds in an aqueous environment. Mung bean (Vigna radiate L.) protein (MBP) is a significant plant protein that demonstrates high potential as sustainable protein source for its availability, nutritional value, hypoallergenic, and desirable foaming, emulsifying, gel-ling, and film-forming capabilities (Hadidi, Jafarzadeh, et al., 2021).

2.1. Materials

Hemp (Cannabis sativa cv Felina 32) essential oil from aerial parts was purchased from Hempture (Dublin, Ireland) (Tetrahydrocannabinol cv Felina 32) essential oil from aerial parts (Hu et al., 2020). Food grade whey protein (protein content 91.4%) and mung bean protein (protein content 88.2%) isolates were obtained from Hilmar Corp. (California, USA) and ET Protein (Suzhou, China). All other reagents and chemicals were of analytical grade from Panreac Química S.A. (Barcelona, Spain) and Sigma Chemicals Co. (Missouri, USA).

2.2. GC–MS analysis of IHEO

The IHEO analysis was carried out using GC–MS (Packard Hewlett (HP) 6890) with a fused capillary column DB-1 (60 m × 0.25 mm id, 0.25 μm film thickness). The oven temperature was kept at 50 °C for 3 min, increased to 260 °C by a ramp-up of 3 °C/min and then held for 5 min. The temperature of detector and injector s was set at 270 and 250 °C, respectively. Helium with a flow rate of 0.1 mL/min was employed as a carrier gas. The ionization voltage, mass range, solvent delay, and scan time were 70 eV, 30–600 m/z, 2 min, and 0.4 s, respectively. Analytical standards were compared together with the correspondence of retention indices and mass spectra with respect to those of Adams (2007).

2.3. Preparation of WPNF

The method of Hu et al. (2020) with minor modifications was used for preparation of WPNF. Whey protein isolate powder was dissolved in deionized water at a concentration of 4% (w/v) and adjusted to pH 2.0 with 6 M HCl; then the suspension was stored for 6 h at 4 °C to hydrate the protein. After filtration by a Hydrophilic FES 0.45 μm (Millipore Milllex-HF), the solution was heated in a silicone oil bath at 80 °C for 18 h, and then freeze-dried.

2.4. Preparation of IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs

The synthesis of IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs was carried out using a nano-precipitation technique according to the method of Hadidi, Motamedzadehgan, et al. (2021) with some modifications. To prepare WPNF-MBP stock solution, WPNF (2 g) and MBP (2 g) were dispersed in distilled water (100 mL) and solubilized for 60 min sonication (Sky- men JP-008, China) at 40 °C. Undissolved WPNF and MBP were separated from the suspension using 1 μm pore size filter followed by adding Tween 80 (160 mg) into the suspension. Afterwards, different amounts of IHEO were added into the suspension and mixed at 25 °C for 30 min by a shaker (600 rpm) to achieve several WPNF-MBP/IHEO ratios (1:0, 1:0.2, 1:0.4, 1:0.6, 1:0.8, and 1:1). In order to promote the precipitation of particles, the absolute ethanol was added into the solution. The ratio of ethanol/solution was 5:1 (v/v). The solution was then mixed using a homogenizer (AD2000-H, Meditry Instrument Co., Ltd, Jiangyin, China) through ultra-dispersion for 10 min at 12,000 rpm. Next, it was centrifuged by a Cenhbn Centrifuge (600ML-4, Labtest Instruments, Maharashtra, India) at 600 × g for 10 min at 4 °C to separate the precipitate. The achieved precipitate was then dried for 32 h at −55 °C using a freeze dryer (Telstar LyoQuest™, Terrassa, Spain).

2.5. Determination of retained IHEO in WPNF-MBP NPs

UV–Vis spectroscopy was used to measure the content of IHEO reinforced into WPNF-MBP NPs (Hesami et al., 2021). The obtained suspension (in 400 μL water) was added to 2 M aqueous hydrochloric acid solution (10 mL) and refluxed for 30 min at 95 °C. Then it was added to 96% v/v ethanol (2 mL) and centrifuged at room temperature at 10,000 rpm for 5 min. A Cary 60 UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Santa Clara, CA, United States) at 252 nm was employed to measure the amount of IHEO in the supernatant. Using the same procedure, WPNF-MBP NPs without IHEO were prepared as blanks. The calibration curve of free IHEO in ethanol (R² = 0.992) was used to determine the amount of IHEO. The retention of IHEO in WPNF-MBP NPs was measured as follows:

\[
\text{Retention of IHEO(%) } = \frac{\text{Total amount of loaded IHEO}}{\text{Initial amount of IHEO}} \times 100
\]

2.6. Instrumental analysis of IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs

The dried samples (1 mg) were diluted using distilled water (50 mL) and sonicated (200 W, 24 kHz with ultrasonic probe 3 mm) at 25 °C for 4 min. Next, 2 mL of the solution was poured separately into special cells and the poly-dispersion index (PDI), average particle size, and zeta potential were obtained by a ZEN 3600 Nano Zetasizer equipped with a laser operation of He–Ne at 4.0 mW and 633 nm (Malvern Ltd, UK). FTIR was performed for WPNF-MBP, IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs (with a WPNF-MBP/IHEO ratio of 1:0.8) and pure IHEO at the wavelength range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹ using 16-scan, 4 cm⁻¹ resolution with an FTIR spectrometer (Equinox55, Bruker, Germany). The dried samples were crushed by KBr and prepared in the form of disks by pressing.

A CM12 transmission electron microscope (Philips, Eindhoven, Netherlands) was used for TEM analysis. Images of the IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs with IHEO/WPNF-MBP ratio of 1:0.8 were then obtained at two magnifications.

2.7. Preparation of coating solutions and application to Rainbow trout fillets

To prepare WPNF-MBP stock solution incorporating IHEO-reinforced NPs, 0.1% v/v of Tween 80 and 0.5 g of glycerol/g WPNF-MBP were added into the solution via constant agitation for 60 min. Next, IHEO-reinforced NPs (1% v/v) were added to the stock solution and stirred for 1 h at 900 rpm at room temperature. The obtained solution was used to form the edible coating on the surface of Rainbow trout fillets. The Rainbow trout fillets were placed in the related coating solutions (WPNF-MBP or IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs) for 30 s. After dripping excess solution, the Rainbow trout fillets were dried on stainless steel racks for 15 min at 25 °C. We repeated the coating process twice.
Both the coated and uncoated (control) samples were placed in sterile plastic trays, enclosed with low-density polyethylene plastic films, and refrigerated for 14 days at 4 ± 1 °C. Three Rainbow trout fillets from each group were analyzed at days 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 of storage.

2.8. Bacteriological analyses of Rainbow trout fillets

Twenty-five grams of samples were taken aseptically and homogenized with a stomacher (STOMACHER®, UK) along with 225 mL of 0.1% peptone water for 1 min. From this purpose, serial dilutions (1:10) were prepared in 0.1% peptone water solution and then 0.1 mL of each of them was spread on appropriate media. Plate count agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used for determining total viable counts (48 h incubation at 37 °C). Psychrotrophic counts were carried out using King Agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) by incubating the cultured plates at 21 °C for 48 h. The results were expressed as log CFU/g (Shokri et al., 2020).

2.9. Physicochemical analysis of Rainbow trout fillets

The method of Shadman et al. (2017) was used to analyze peroxide value (PV) in the total fat extracts, and the result was expressed as milliequivalents (meq) of peroxide oxygen per kilogram of fat. The thiobarbituric acid (TBA) value was evaluated colorimetrically based on the method of Natseba et al. (2005) and the outcome was expressed as mg of malondialdehyde (MDA) per kg of sample. The method of Shokri et al. (2020) was employed to calculate the amount of total volatile basic nitrogen (TVB-N) (mg N/100 g) following the micro-titration methodology (i.e. distillation step and titration with HCl).

2.10. Sensory analysis of Rainbow trout fillets

The attributes of sensory evaluation included appearance, texture, odor and color. A five-point descriptive hedonic scale (1 = dislike extremely and 5 = like extremely) was employed. Sensory evaluation of the coated and control fillets was performed by a 30 semi-trained panellists (18 females and 12 males) consisting of food hygiene students (21–32 years old).

2.11. Statistical analysis

Experimental data were analysed using the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The significant difference among the mean values was examined by Duncan’s test (P ≤ 0.05) using the SPSS software (ver. 23.0).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Chemical composition of IHEO

The chemical composition of IHEO analysed by GC-Mass is illustrated in Table 1. A total of 30 components identified in IHEO. As can be seen in Table 1, the composition of IHEO was dominated by monoterpene and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons as the major components, orderly. Overall, (E)-caryophyllene (27.1%) was the predominant compound of the EO, followed by myrcene (13.2%) and α-pinene (9.2%). Other compounds were α-humulene (8.2%), terpinolene (6.2%), and β-pinene (3.9%). Similar chemical composition was observed by previous studies for IHEO but in diverse quantities (Fiorini et al., 2019; Naify et al., 2019; Tabari et al., 2020). The discrepancies in the chemical composition of terpenes in IHEO may be relevant to the source, harvesting, storage, and type of cultivation/extraction of the specimens (Ascrizioni et al., 2019).

| Table 1 |
| Quantitative composition of industrial hemp essential oil (IHEO). |
| Peak number | Compound | RI exp. | RI lit. | Area % |
| 1 | α-pinene | 937 | 933 | 9.2 |
| 2 | camphene | 952 | 953 | 0.6 |
| 3 | sabine | 961 | 966 | 0.2 |
| 4 | β-pinene | 980 | 1789 | 3.9 |
| 5 | myrcene | 995 | 991 | 13.2 |
| 6 | α-β-heliodrene | 1009 | 1007 | 0.1 |
| 7 | β-3-carene | 1010 | 1009 | 0.4 |
| 8 | tert-pinene | 1020 | 1018 | 0.4 |
| 9 | para-γ-cymene | 1028 | 1025 | 0.6 |
| 10 | limonene | 1030 | 1030 | 1.8 |
| 11 | eucalyptol | 1034 | 1032 | 0.1 |
| 12 | E-β-ocimene | 1045 | 1046 | 3.6 |
| 13 | γ-terpinene | 1065 | 1058 | 0.4 |
| 14 | terpinolene | 1096 | 1086 | 6.2 |
| 15 | n-nonanal | 1108 | 1100 | 0.2 |
| 16 | myrenol | 1190 | 1194 | 0.2 |
| 17 | Z-caryophyllene | 1410 | 1413 | 0.4 |
| 18 | α-cis-bergamotene | 1418 | 1416 | 0.3 |
| 19 | E-caryophyllene | 1425 | 1424 | 27.1 |
| 20 | α-trans-bergamotene | 1433 | 1432 | 1.3 |
| 21 | n-humulene | 1465 | 1454 | 8.2 |
| 22 | 9-epi-caryophyllene | 1468 | 1464 | 0.6 |
| 23 | β-selinene | 1491 | 1492 | 2.0 |
| 24 | α-selinene | 1500 | 1501 | 0.6 |
| 25 | selina-4(15),7(11)-diene | 1543 | 1540 | 0.8 |
| 26 | selina-3(7),11(15)-diene | 1555 | 1546 | 0.6 |
| 27 | caryophyllene oxide | 1583 | 1587 | 4.5 |
| 28 | humulene epoxide | 1610 | 1613 | 2.1 |
| 29 | allo-aromadendrene epoxide | 1649 | 1644 | 0.6 |
| 30 | tetracosane | 2093 | 2090 | 2.7 |

RI exp.: Experimentally determined retention index; RI lit: Retention index reported in the literature (Adams, 2007).

3.2. IHEO retention rate and physicochemical characterization of NPs

Free IHEO could undergo huge loss due to its volatility upon drying processes and subsequent storage (Ascrizioni et al., 2019). Table 2 shows the retention of IHEO within NPs at different IHEO/WPNF-MBP mass ratios. The results confirmed that with enhancement of IHEO ratio from 1:0.2 to 1:0.8, much higher retention of the oil in WPNF-MBP NPs was attained. No significant change was observed in the IHEO retention rate as the ratio of EO/WPNF-MBP further increased, probably because of the saturated EO level in the wall materials (Hadidy, Motamedzadek et al., 2021). This reflects that the engineered NPs helped promoting the uniform distribution and proper entrapment of EO within the carrier matrix (Arabpoor et al., 2021; Hernández-Nava et al., 2020).

| Table 2 |
| Physicochemical characterization of IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs. |
| IHEO/WPNF-MBP ratio | Retention of IHEO (%) | Mean size (nm) | Zeta potential (mV) | Polydispersity index (PDI) |
| 1:0 | – | 218.2 ± 0.2 | –37.26 ± 0.2 | 0.355 ± 0.068b |
| 1:0.2 | 50.9 ± 3.14 | 254.3 ± 1.83 | –32.11 ± 2.5 | 0.278 ± 0.019b |
| 1:0.4 | 63.4 ± 2.8 | 300.8 ± 2.5 | –31.85 ± 2.54 | 0.263 ± 0.020b |
| 1:0.6 | 80.3 ± 5.8 | 340.7 ± 23.7 | –29.23 ± 3.7 | 0.260 ± 0.038b |
| 1:0.8 | 90.4 ± 4.4 | 342.2 ± 30.5 | –28.36 ± 2.1 | 0.232 ± 0.046c |
| 1:1 | 88.7 ± 6.8 | 378.4 ± 13.7 | –25.10 ± 1.1 | 0.228 ± 0.031c |

*IHEO: Industrial hemp essential oil; WPNF-MBP: Whey protein nanofibrils-mung bean protein.

**Results (means ± SD, n = 3) within each column with the same letters are not significantly different (P > 0.05).
Average particle size was measured for all samples (Table 2) and a symmetric distribution was found for the IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs, indicating a relatively uniform particle size distribution of the oil-containing nano-carriers. From Table 2, some conclusions can be drawn as follows. Firstly, mean diameter particle of the IHEO-free blank NPs was 218.2 nm, significantly different from the diameter particle of IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs at the wall material to EO ratio of 1:0.2 (254.3 nm). Secondly, the average particle size of IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs increased significantly (from 254.3 to 378.4 nm) as a function of EO addition at constant WPNF-MBP level, exhibiting that the inclusion of EO into WPNF-MBP NPs could remarkably influence the particle size of the nano-carriers. The most likely reason for this phenomenon could be insufficient level of protein molecules to properly cover the EO droplets at higher levels of IHEO; this can weaken the IHEO-wall material interactions, induce the formation of aggregate droplets, and thus, cause a notable increase in the particle diameter (Hadidi, Motamedzadegan, et al., 2021). Thirdly, the average particle size of all WPNF-MBP NPs, both blank and EO loading, was much smaller than 350 nm, reflecting the uniformity and efficiency of the encapsulation method (Table 2). These findings are in agreement with results of Hesami et al. (2021) and Rostamabadi et al. (2019).

Nevertheless, with further increasing of the IHEO ratio to 1:0.8, the loss of EO from WPNF-MBP NPs enhanced considerably (Table 2). As we proposed, by applying high levels of IHEO within WPNF-MBP NPs, the protein–protein and protein-oil interactions could be weakened and the majority of IHEO droplets could not be properly covered by the WPNF and MBP molecules, leading to a lower IHEO entrapment and aggregation of EO droplets. At smaller particle sizes, a greater space exists between the nano-capsules, hindering their agglomeration, increasing their stability, and thus, promoting their retention (Hernández-Nava et al., 2020). Another possible reason for this reduction might be the minimum zeta potential value of this formulation that reflects the lowest stability of NPs’ structure at 1:0.8 IHEO /WPNF-MBP ratio, in accordance with Chen et al. (2021). The same trend was also found by Prata & Grosso, (2015), who demonstrated that when lower concentrations of bioactive oil were loaded in the system, a higher oil retention was obtained due to the lower amount of payload within the core in the presence of an excess carrier agent. All NPs presented negative zeta potential and all PDI indexes were <0.3 (Table 2). It is worth to mention that the less the PDI values, the more uniform the particle diameter distribution and the smaller the range of particle size (Rostamabadi et al., 2020). The zeta potential of WPNF-MBP NPs not reinforced with IHEO was about −37.26 mV, significantly different from that of WPNF-MBP NPs reinforced with IHEO. Generally, the zeta potential value of WPNF-MBP NPs quickly increased to −32.11 mV when the IHEO level was gradually elevated in system. As depicted in Table 2, an adequate repulsive force (−37.26 to −25.1 mV) was detected for the IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP at 1:0 to 1:1 IHEO/WPNF-MBP ratios, implying the proper physical colloidal stability of the prepared nano-carriers. It is to be noted that small zeta potentials can induce particle aggregation/flocculation as a result of Van der Waals attractive forces (Bamidele et al., 2019). However, non-zero zeta potentials can stabilize the colloidal system by sufficient imparting of electrostatic stability between particles (Fan et al., 2021). Accordingly, higher zeta potential of IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP than that of pure protein NPs can be due to the neutralization of the electric charge resulted from an interaction between the negatively charged WPNF-MBP and IHEO. Recent studies by Hesami et al. (2021), and Arabpoor et al. (2021) showed a significant reduction in zeta potential when a non-polar EO was loaded into biopolymer NPs. Concise, IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBPs designed in this study exhibited negative zeta potential, small PDI value, and thus, proper stability, implying their potential as practical nano-carriers in encapsulation of IHEO.
to the high content of \( \alpha \)-humulene, caryophyllene oxide and (E)-caryophyllene in IHEO that can collapse the microbial cells interacting with the proteins existing in the cytoplasmic membrane, as well as the cell content leakage (Nafis et al., 2019). In addition, the very small size of IHEOs increases the surface area of unit volume, and thus, interact with the structural and biochemical attributes of microbes more effectively and cause cell death (Hadidi et al., 2020). Furthermore, encapsulated materials are able to enhance EOs’ dispersability and stability and transporting them to specific sites. Moreover, they work with nanoparticles synergistically, and increase the encapsulated EOs’ antimicrobial activity (Zhang et al., 2019). It has reported that nano-encapsulation may enhance the EOs’ antimicrobial activity through protecting them from degradation, and thus, increasing their solubility and stability (Hesami et al., 2021).

3.6. Chemical analysis of Rainbow trout fillets

The chemical changes of Rainbow trout fillets were monitored through the measurement of TVB-N, TBA and PV values of the fish oil during storage. Hydroperoxides are the main products of lipid oxidation; thus, measurement of peroxide values is helpful for indicating oxidative rancidity (Zhang et al., 2019). As depicted in Fig. 3a, PV in the control samples increases from 1.33 to 8 meq O\(_2\)/kg at day 10 and declines after this day, maybe due to the reaction of hydroperoxide with protein as well as the collapse of primary oxidation products into secondary oxidation products (Domínguez et al., 2019). PV level tends to increase toward the end of the storage period. A similar pattern of hydroperoxide content has also been reported in Rainbow trout fillets during storage (Ozogul et al., 2017; Rezaei et al., 2007). The samples coated with WPNF-MBP and IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs showed significantly \((p < 0.05)\) lower PV levels than the control during the storage period. The literature considers a PV value of 20 meq O\(_2\)/kg is as the maximum limit for fish (Mexis et al., 2009). Our results indicated that the IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs coating is significantly reduced the amount of primary lipid oxidation in fish during storage; this is in agreement with the results of other similar investigations (Farsanipour et al., 2020; Ozogul et al., 2017).

TBA index is a common indicator for evaluating the lipid oxidation level by measuring such oxidation products as aldehydes like malondialdehyde (MDA). The recommended perceivable level of TBA in food as objectionable odour is about 1–2 mg MDA/kg (Dehghani et al., 2018); however, Raeisi et al. (2015) proposed the maximal acceptable level 5 mg MDA eq/kg in Rainbow trout with no negative effects on its safety and quality. As indicated in Fig. 3b, the TBA level remained below the maximal acceptable level during the 14-day storage. The TBA value of all treatments increased gradually up to the end of the storage time. However, in the uncoated samples, the TBA value was much higher than in the coated samples \((p < 0.05)\); however, the initial value of TBA was in the range of 0.09–0.13 mg MDA/kg, consistent with reports of other researchers for fresh Rainbow trout (Dehghani et al., 2018; Shokri et al., 2015).
The slightly lower oxidation rate in the IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs coatings can be because of the oxygen barrier and antioxidant activity features of IHEO and WPNF. The antioxidant mechanism of IHEO can be due to its polyphenols, which show scavenging activity against free radicals through providing hydrogen atoms to free radicals, preventing radical chain initiation, and thus, preventing the formation of metal catalyzed free radicals (Fiorini et al., 2019). On the other hand, the WP coatings provided a great protection against oxidation. IHEO addition improved the WPNF-MBP NPs coatings’ antioxidant properties. WPs exhibit antioxidant activity through different ways: 1) formation of a coating, which is a good barrier for O$_2$ permeability coated samples during storage, 2) enjoying a free radical scavenging capacity by some amino acids (tryptophan, cysteine and tyrosine) and metal chelation by bovine serum albumin and lactoferrin, 3) having sulfhydryl groups partially responsible for their antioxidant properties, and 4) containing β-lactoglobulins and α-lactalbumin with good antioxidant activity for having amino acid residues (Farshinpour et al., 2020). Furthermore, particle size reduction of IHEO and WPNF-MBP coatings after nano-encapsulation can increase these ingredients’ specific surface; thereby achieving an efficient amount of free radical absorption would be achieved.

TVB-N is produced from degradation of proteins and non-protein nitrogenous compounds, mainly as a result of microbial and enzymatic activities, as an indicator of meat and fish spoilage. The TVB-N level in the control samples was initially 6.68 mg N/100 g, and there was no significant difference (p < 0.05) among the different samples at the first day of storage (Fig. 3c). By increasing the bacterial counts, the TVB-N value increased gradually in all groups; however, in the samples coated with WPNF-MBP and IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs, the TVB-N value was significantly lower than in the controls. Considering the maximal acceptable level of 25 mg N/100 g in fish flesh (Bamidele et al., 2019), the TVB-N limit was achieved at day 8 of storage in the controls, while in the WPNF-MBP coated samples, this limit was reached by the 10th days, and in the IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs coated samples, it was lower than this limit during the whole period of storage. At the last day of storage, the TVB-N value for the IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs coated samples was 12.1 and 9 mg N/100 g lower than the control
and WPNF-MBP coated samples, respectively. We previously mentioned that TVB-N is produced mainly because of the bacterial degradation of the nitrogenous compounds of proteins and non-protein products, its low value in the coated samples can be due to the microbial inhibitory effects of treatments that decrease the formation of TVB-N. Similarly, Shokri et al. (2020) found that coating of Rainbow trout fillets with chitosan-Ferulago angulate EO nano-emulsion retarded the increasing rate of TVB-N index during storage at 4 °C. Ozgul et al. (2017) found that nano-emulsions based on plant EOs significantly inhibited the TVB-N formation in Rainbow trout fillets during ice storage. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies on the effect of IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs coating on the formation of TVB-N in Rainbow trout fillets; however, the lower TVB-N in the samples coated with IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs in the present work could result from the antibacterial efficiency of WPNF-MBP coating facilitated by nano-encapsulation of IHEO.

3.7. Sensory analysis of Rainbow trout fillets

Fig. 4 illustrates the overall acceptable scores of the control and coated Rainbow trout fillets with WPNF-MBP and IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs. In the present study, appearance, color, odor, and texture were taken into consideration in the overall acceptance scoring. The overall acceptance scores were in the range of 1-5. High preference levels represent high element scores. All samples exhibited high sensory and quality scores at the first day of analysis. An overall acceptance below 3 of fish is considered to be unacceptable for human consumption (Farsanipour et al., 2020). The overall acceptance scores of the coated and control fish samples showed a decreasing trend up to the end of storage time. The samples coated with IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs exhibited a higher score comparing to the other samples during the refrigerated storage (14 days). The control samples’ sensory properties were ‘unacceptable’ by the 10th day. Also, at the day 12, the samples coated with WPNF-MBP did not achieve acceptable scores (2.69) due to their unpleasant appearance and sticky surface; however, the incorporation of IHEO reduced these defects. Hence, the samples coated with IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs had better sensory scores than the others for control the lipid oxidation and bacterial population. These results are consistent with the findings of Özguzhan Yiędz and Yangılar (2016) and Farsanipour et al. (2020) for Rainbow trout WP-based coated samples incorporated with EOs stored at refrigerator condition.

4. Conclusion

As the application of EOs as food preservatives is limited due to having volatile components and their low solubility in water, encapsulation has been introduced over recent years as efficient techniques to boost their utilization in food system. Proteins are attractive options for designing polymeric NPs as suitable wall materials. The present research results confirmed clear discrepancies between the physicochemical properties of NPs prepared at different EO concentrations. Furthermore, the FTIR and TEM results corroborated that IHEO was successfully encapsulated within WPNF-MBP NPs in an amorphous form without specific chemical interaction with the carrier matrix. The present research results can be utilised as reference data for future encapsulation and formulation investigations on different health-promoting bioactive agents, in particular for liposoluble bioactives like EOs that are sensitive to in vitro/in vivo stresses and must be shielded into a proper vehicle matrix. Application of HEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs retarded the bacterial growth of Rainbow trout fillets successfully at refrigeration storage. In addition, the increase of lipid oxidation and TVB-N were controlled notably in Rainbow trout fillets through this method. All these suggest that coating with HEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs can be a natural active packaging for preserving fish fillets.

Fig. 4. Effect of IHEO-reinforced WPNF-MBP NPs with IHEO/WPNF-MBP ratio of 1:0.6 coating on the overall acceptance score of Rainbow trout fillets at refrigerated storage * Mean values with different letters in each day represent significant differences (p < 0.05). (IHEO: Industrial hemp essential oil; WPNF: Whey protein nanofibril; MBP: mung bean protein; NPs: nanoparticles).
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