Interaction of HCO\(^+\) Cations With Interstellar Negative Grains. Quantum Chemical Investigation and Astrophysical Implications
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In cold galactic molecular clouds, dust grains are coated by icy mantles and are prevalently charged negatively, because of the capture of the electrons in the gas. The interaction of the charged grains with gaseous cations is known to neutralize them. In this work, we focus on the chemical consequences of the neutralization process of HCO\(^+\), often the most abundant cation in molecular clouds. More specifically, by means of electronic structure calculations, we have characterized the energy and the structure of all possible product species once the HCO\(^+\) ion adsorbs on water clusters holding an extra electron. Two processes are possible: (i) electron transfer from the negative water cluster to the HCO\(^+\) ion or (ii) a proton transfer from HCO\(^+\) to the negative water cluster. Energetic considerations favor electron transfer. Assuming this scenario, two limiting cases have been considered in astrochemical models: (a) all the neutralized HCO\(^+\) is retained as neutral HCO adsorbed on the ice and (b) all the neutralized HCO\(^+\) gets desorbed to the gas phase as HCO. None of the two limiting cases appreciably contribute to the HCO abundance on the grain surfaces or in the gas.
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INTRODUCTION

The identification of about 200 molecular species in the interstellar medium (ISM) through their rotational (millimeter), rovibrational (infrared), and/or electronic (ultraviolet or visible) transitions is the proof of the existence of a rich molecular universe in which a multitude of chemical processes take place (Caselli and Ceccarelli, 2012; Tielens, 2013; Van Dishoeck, 2014). Understanding the chemical and physical bases that drive the formation of these molecules in the harsh conditions of the ISM is a current challenge to the Astrochemistry community. Many of these species are formed in reaction chains taking place in the gas phase (for some recent examples, see, e.g., Shannon et al., 2013; Vasyunin and Herbst, 2013; Balucani et al., 2015; Skouteris et al., 2017, 2018, 2019; Vazart et al., 2020), but in some cases their production occurs in or on the icy mantle of interstellar dust grains. Interstellar grains, indeed, can act as a catalyst or simply as a concentrator of the reactants, by impeding them to fly apart after non-reactive encounters. Several models have been developed to account for the chemistry on interstellar ice...
(e.g., Garrod and Herbst, 2006; Taquet et al., 2012; Agúndez and Wakelam, 2013; Rimola et al., 2014; Penteado et al., 2017; Loison et al., 2019; Grassi et al., 2020). The role of interstellar grains is especially important for the synthesis of those molecules that cannot be formed in gas-phase reactions, such as the most important interstellar molecule H₂ (Vidali, 2013; Wakelam et al., 2017).

Remarkably, ice-assisted chemical synthesis can be activated by UV and high-energy radiation (e.g., X-rays and energetic particles like cosmic rays). The interaction of energetic particles with matter generates many non-thermal secondary low-energy (<20 eV) electrons (LEE), which may themselves induce chemical reactions (Arumainayagam et al., 2010; Alizadeh and Sanche, 2012). In an astrochemical context, LEE may result from the interaction of cosmic rays with gaseous H₂ in dense clouds (Oka, 2013) and inelastic collisions of cosmic rays when traversing through the ices (Pimblott and Laverne, 2007). The number density of free electrons in molecular clouds is estimated to be ca. nₑ = 10⁻⁴ cm⁻³ (Evans, 1993; Rae et al., 2004; Mason and Field, 2019). As previously argued, the probability that interstellar dust grains can capture free electrons is high. It has been long recognized, indeed, that negatively charged grains are present in the interstellar medium (Draine and Sutin, 1987) and that their recombination with positive ions dominates their neutralization (Walsmley et al., 2004; Ceccarelli and Dominik, 2005). Primary electron attachment can also induce chemical transformations because of the production of reactive species (Boamah et al., 2014; Mason et al., 2014).

According to the work by Hatano et al. (2011), LEE are thermalized within approximately 1 ps via inelastic collisions. When the ice is predominantly formed by water molecules, the captured electrons can be treated as metastable solvated electrons. The plausibility of this scenario is demonstrated by experiments where molecules embedded in water ice irradiated by electrons at 100–400 eV yield the desorption of the molecular species followed by the formation of solvated electrons (Thrower et al., 2011).

In the present work, we aim to characterize the role of fully equilibrated solvated electrons in the chemistry of interstellar icy grains by means of quantum chemical calculations complemented by astrochemical modeling. To this end, we have described, as a test case, the interaction of a negatively charged icy grain with the HCO⁺ ion, the most abundant molecular ion in molecular clouds (average abundance with respect to H₂ of about 10⁻⁸). Specifically, we have modeled the negative icy grain with a cluster of water molecules containing an extra electron (so-called solvated electron) and its possible interactions with the ion. We have also scrutinized the possible outcomes of such interactions, which are the following:

1) formation of the neutral HCO radical adsorbed on the water ice grain surfaces through an electron transfer from W⁻ (i.e., the water cluster with the extra electron) to HCO⁺:

\[ \text{W}⁻ + \text{HCO}⁺(\text{gas}) \rightarrow \text{W}···\text{HCO} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where W···HCO represents the radical HCO interacting with water ice W;

2) formation of CO adsorbed on the water ice grain surfaces through proton transfer from HCO⁺ to W⁻:

\[ \text{W}⁻ + \text{HCO}⁺(\text{gas}) \rightarrow \text{HW}···\text{CO} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where HW···CO represents CO with an extra, covalently bound H atom;

3) formation of CO and H, both adsorbed on the water ice grain surfaces, due to dissociative electron transfer from W⁻ to HCO⁺:

\[ \text{W}⁻ + \text{HCO}⁺(\text{gas}) \rightarrow \text{H}···\text{W}···\text{CO} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where H···W···CO represents CO and H simultaneously adsorbed on the water ice.

Finally, we have tried to address the possible astrophysical implications of the present quantum calculations. We remind the readers that HCO is an important intermediate species in the formation of H₂CO and, subsequently, CH₃OH by CO hydrogenation on ice. In addition, gaseous formyl radical has been widely detected in interstellar objects and its formation routes are poorly constrained (see below). New observational results on the gaseous HCO radical have recently been reported, including an analysis of its abundance to elucidate its origin (Bacmann and Faure, 2016). Since the electron–ion recombination liberates a large amount energy (as large as the ionization potential of the HCO radical, namely, 8.12 ± 0.04 eV), HCO or its moieties H and CO, once formed on ice, can chemically desorb into the gas phase. We will therefore tackle the possible effects of processes (1)–(3) either in the chemistry of ice or in the chemistry of the gas phase.

METHODS

Quantum Chemical Calculations

As mentioned in the Introduction, the negatively charged icy grains have been modeled in this work as water clusters of different sizes containing one extra electron. In other words, we consider that the interaction of the additional electron occurs only with the water molecules of the icy mantles of grains. The electronic nature of the solvated electron has been extensively studied in physical and quantum chemistry (Turi and Rossky, 2012; Young and Neumark, 2012). Quantum chemical calculations based on large water clusters converge to the same description, that is, the extra electron can be either localized within the cavity of the water clusters, on the surface of the clusters in a localized fashion, or delocalized along the cluster surface. The preference for those forms depends on the structure and size of the water cluster (Kim et al., 1996, 1997; Lee et al., 1997; Khan, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006; Herbert and Head-Gordon, 2005, 2006). To contemplate all the possible arrangements, in this work we have considered three well-described water clusters with one solvated electron (see Figure 1): (i) a 14 H₂O-molecule cluster (Khan, 2006), in which the extra electron is found inside the cavity or localized on the surface cluster (W¹⁴⁻cav and W¹⁴⁻loc, respectively); (ii) a 20 H₂O-molecule cluster (Khan, 2003, 2005; Herbert and Head-Gordon, 2005, 2006), in which the extra
FIGURE 1 | B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p)-optimized geometries of the water clusters with 14 (A), 20 (B), and 24 (C) H₂O molecules with the extra electron (W_−^{14}, W_−^{20}, and W_−^{24}, respectively) inside the cavity (cav), localized at the surface of the water clusters (loc) and delocalized at the surface of the clusters (deloc). The singly occupied molecular orbitals (SOMO), which represent the localization of the extra electron, are also shown.

electron is localized or delocalized on the cluster surfaces (W_−^{20}-loc and W_−^{20}-deloc, respectively); and (iii) a 24 H₂O-molecule cluster (Khan, 2004; Herbert and Head-Gordon, 2005, 2006), which can present the extra electron within the cavity, localized on the surface, or delocalized on the surface (W_−^{24}-cav, W_−^{24}-loc, and W_−^{24}-deloc, respectively). For the sake of clarity, along this work we will use the “W_−^{N}-xy” notation for the water cluster systems, in which W_− will refer to water clusters with the extra electron, N refers to the number of water molecules composing the water cluster, and the xy term indicates if the extra electron is inside the cavity (xy=cav), is localized on the cluster surface (xy=loc), or is delocalized on the cluster surface (xy=deloc).

Concerning the calculations including H/C/O, the initial guess structures were manually built: (i) for the W_−···HCO complexes, the initial guess features HCO⁺ interacting through its O atom end with one H atom of the H₂O cluster ices, (ii) for the HW_−···CO complexes, the CO molecule is interacting with one H₂O molecule of the cluster ices, while the H atom is incorporated into another water molecule of the cluster, thus forming an H₃O group, and (iii) for the H···W···CO
complexes both CO and H are interacting with different water molecules of the cluster ices. Following the work by Herbert and Head-Gordon (Herbert and Head-Gordon, 2005, 2006), geometry optimization has been carried out by using the BLYP hybrid density functional method with a 6-31(1+,3+)(d,p) basis set, which is the 6-31++G(d,p) standard one with two more sets of diffuse s functions on each H atom (with exponents $1.0843373500 \times 10^{-2}$ and $3.2660763500 \times 10^{-3}$, and coefficients 1.00). Single-point energy calculations on the optimized geometries at the MP2 post-Hartree–Fock method employing a 6-311(2+,3+)(d,p) basis set [namely, the 6-311++G(d,p) standard one including an additional set of diffuse sp functions on each O and C atom (for O, with exponent $2.5451807230 \times 10^{-2}$ and coefficient 1.00; for C, with exponent $1.3192771080 \times 10^{-2}$ and coefficient 1.00) and the two additional sets of diffuse s functions on each H atom] have been performed to calculate the reaction energies of processes (1), (2), and (3). It is worth mentioning that more sophisticated treatments on electron capture phenomena are possible, including quantum effects (Flower and Middleton, 2004). However, our systems are relatively large to be simulated by this methodology (with a significant increase of the computational cost) and, since the aim of the work is mainly on the energetics of the studied processes, we consider the used level of computation to be accurate enough.

**Astrochemical Modeling**

To assess the implications of our new computations on the chemical composition of the molecular cloud gas, we used the GRAINOBLE code (Taquet et al., 2012, 2013). Briefly, GRAINOBLE is a gas-grain time-dependent astrochemical model, which takes into account the grain-surface hydrogenation and oxidation plus the multilayered structure of the grain.
mantles. We assumed the standard elemental abundances (see, e.g., Agúndez and Wakelam, 2013), a cloud with a density of $10^4$ cm$^{-3}$, a temperature of 10 K, and a cosmic ray ionization rate of $3 \times 10^{-17}$ s$^{-1}$ and run the code up to 10$^8$ year.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figures 2–4 show the optimized geometries for the relevant species in the (1)–(3) processes. In the same Figures, the reaction energies (bare values) and the relative energies (values in brackets) are also shown. Different optimized structures were obtained, but only the most stable ones are presented here for the sake of clarity and brevity.

For all the W···HCO cases, the initial HCO$^+$ species (a linear molecule) becomes bent during the geometry optimization. Analysis of the spin density confirms that the unpaired electron is fully localized on the C atom, indicating that the extra electron is transferred to form the HCO radical (process 1). Moreover, in all the analyzed cases, the newly formed HCO group interacts with the water ice clusters via hydrogen bond (H-bond) interactions with both the H and O ends acting as donor and acceptor, respectively.

For the HW···CO complexes resulting after the proton transfer process (2), in all the considered cases, the incorporated H atom remains accommodated in the ice as a H$_3$O radical species (labeled by an asterisk in the Figures), indicating that it is stable under these conditions.

Finally, in the case of the dissociative electron–ion recombination (3), the resulting H···W···CO complexes feature the CO moiety interacting with the water ice clusters similarly to the HW···CO systems, but the coproduct H atom is
FIGURE 4 | B3LYP/6-31(1+3+1)G(d,p)-optimized structures for the interaction of HCO\(^+\) with the 24-water-molecule clusters with an extra electron inside the cavity (A), localized at the surface (B), and delocalized at the surface (C). Structures on the left correspond to the electron transfer from W\(^{-24}\) to HCO\(^+\); structures in the middle correspond to the H transfer from HCO\(^+\) to W\(^{-24}\) (the transferred H is labeled by an asterisk); and structures on the right correspond to the dissociation of HCO resulting in the adsorption of CO and H on the water ice clusters. Bare values are the calculated reaction energies taking as the zero energy reference the W\(^{-24}\)+HCO\(^+\) asymptote; values in brackets are the relative energies of the different complexes belonging to the same system. These energetics values are calculated at the MP2/6-311G(d,p)/B3LYP/6-31(1+3+1)G(d,p) theory level. Energy units are in eV, and bond distances are in Å.
not covalently bound to a water molecule but simply physisorbed on water ice clusters.

By comparing the energy content of the possible final complexes, it is clear that the formation of W−...HCO is more favorable than the formation of HW−...CO and H−...W−...CO by ca. 1.15–1.78 eV and 0.15–0.80 eV, respectively. Even though the system will have enough energy to overcome those differences because of the large amount of energy liberated in all the three families of processes (1)–(3), these results imply that HCO+ neutralization on a negative grain is a possible route of HCO formation directly on the ice and without the need to surmount a potential energy barrier. This is at variance with HCO formation via H addition to CO for which a sizable barrier is present (a potential energy barrier). 

Interestingly, all the three situations are below the “W− + HCO+(gas)” asymptote and accordingly the three products arising from process (1)–(3) can be populated. Nonetheless, the energy liberated in the formation of W−...HCO by (1) is very large (between −7.0 and −8.0 eV) and it is reasonable to consider that part of this large nascent energy can be used to break the H−C bond of the HCO radical yielding the formation of the H−...W−...CO complexes, which is process (3). We have calculated the energy barriers for the H−C fission in the W24-cav...HCO, W24-deloc...HCO, and W24-deloc...HCO cases. Their values (1.75, 1.35, and 2.01 eV, respectively) are still largely below the asymptote, thus supporting this idea. On the other hand, the energetics involved in the formation of W−...HCO, process (1), is dictated by the difference in the electron affinity of HCO+ and of neutral water ice, while in HW−...CO, process (2) is dictated by the proton affinity of CO and W−, respectively. The value of the electron affinity for HCO+ and the W24-cav water ice cluster (here W24-cav is used here as a test case of the water ice) is −7.67 and −0.52 eV, respectively, in our calculations (see reactions entitled “Electron affinity” of Table 1), while the calculated proton affinity of CO and W24-cav is, instead, −6.51 and −13.01 eV, respectively (see reactions entitled “Proton affinity” of Table 1). The larger electron affinity of HCO+ compared to W24-cav indicates that the solvated electron prefers to be transferred to HCO+ to form HCO, whereas the larger proton affinity of W24-cav compared to CO indicates that proton transfer to W24-cav to form HW24-cav is also energetically favorable. Thus, it seems there is a competition between processes (1) + (3) and (2), namely, between electron–ion recombination (dictated by electron transfer) and proton transfer. Additionally, for process (2), an energy barrier associated with the H transfer from HCO+ to form the H3O radical is expected, since the formed H3O radical is a metastable species in the clusters. Such a stability of the solvated H3O radical has already been reported by Chulkov et al. (2009).

By considering the involved energy, the competition between these processes seems to be favored by the electron–ion recombination (the calculated reaction energies for these processes are shown in Table 1 indicating that for the electron transfer is −7.15 eV whereas for the proton transfer is −6.50 eV). In addition to that, from a dynamical point of view, electron transfer is naturally favored by the much smaller mass of the electron compared to the proton. We can also expect that the interaction between HCO+ and the additional electron of the water clusters will start at a much longer distance, as the impact parameters and cross sections are usually much larger in the case of electron transfer rather than proton transfer (Prigogine and Rice, 1973). In other words, both energetics and the dynamical considerations favor processes (1) + (3) as dominant over process (2).

It is worth mentioning that these reaction energies are less negative by some amount than the calculated reaction energies for the formation of W24-cav...HCO and HW24-cav...CO from the interaction of HCO+ with W24-cav (−8.38 and −6.80 eV, respectively), because the W24-cav...HCO and HW24-cav...CO structures account for the stabilizing H-bond interaction between the two partners.

### ASTROPHYSICAL IMPLICATIONS

The present calculations only offer a qualitative picture of the title process, as they do not provide reaction rate coefficients to be introduced in astrochemical models. The generation of those rate coefficients would require a rigorous treatment of the energy dissipation between all the degrees of freedom of the cluster molecules (or better, of the icy mantle of interstellar grain) once the energy associated with the electron–ion recombination (or proton transfer) is liberated. Such a treatment is a daunting task adopting state-of-the-art theoretical treatments, even though the first studies are appearing in the literature (Fredon et al., 2017; Fredon and Cuppen, 2018; Pantaleone et al., 2020). This is probably one of the main challenges in the characterization of chemistry occurring on interstellar ice. However, based on the two cited works, a large fraction (≥70%) of the reaction energy is absorbed very quickly, in <1 ps, by the water molecules of the ice. Relevant to this study, Pantaleone et al. (2020) studied the fate of the energy released by the H + CO reaction on the icy surface and concluded that the 137 kJ mol⁻¹ released by the reaction is largely absorbed by the icy molecules and that the newly formed HCO+ only possesses <20 kJ mol⁻¹, a too low energy for it to break the bonds with the ice and be liberated into the gas.

### Table 1

| Process | Reaction | ΔE  |
|---------|----------|-----|
| Electron affinity | W24-cav + e⁻ → W24-cav⁺ | −0.52 |
| Proton affinity | W24-cav⁺ + H⁺ → HW24-cav⁺ | −7.67 |
| Electron transfer | W24-cav⁺ + HCO⁺ → W24-cav⁺ + HCO | −13.01 |
| Proton transfer | W24-cav⁺ + HCO⁺ → HW24-cav⁺ + CO | −6.50 |
However, missing specific simulations for the case studied here, namely, HCO$^+$ + e$^-$, we consider the two possible scenarios. If most of reaction energy is quickly redistributed along all the degrees of freedom of the ice-connected molecules, then HCO can remain adsorbed on the ice as such; in this same scenario, we might have the breaking up of the H–C bond, but with both moieties still adsorbed on the ice. Alternatively, the large amount of energy liberated by the electron–ion recombination will induce the chemical desorption of the whole HCO or even of its two moieties H and CO.

Trying to assess the possible effects of the electron–ion recombination process on interstellar ice without knowing the relative importance of the different scenarios, we will consider two limiting cases: case (a) HCO remains in the grain as such, thus becoming available to further hydrogenation up to H$_2$CO and, eventually, CH$_3$OH; case (b) HCO survives as such, but it is immediately released in the gas-phase by chemisorption.

**Case (a)**

By employing the GRAINOBLE code (Taquet et al., 2012, 2013), the present authors have demonstrated that, when considering the energy barriers determined by quantum calculations of the same kind as those presented here to characterize CO hydrogenation (Rimola et al., 2014), the amount of H$_2$CO that can easily be accounted for (in spite of the barriers and thanks to the tunnel effect) is $n$(H$_2$CO)/$n$(H) = $10^{-6}$-$10^{-5}$. Now, in the most favorable case, $n$(HCO$^+$/)$n$(H) can be as high as the HCO$^+$ abundance, namely, about $10^{-8}$ (see section Introduction). Therefore, even in the limiting case that all HCO$^+$ is systematically converted into HCO adsorbed on water ice, this process can only account up to about 1% of the total H$_2$CO produced on ice. In other words, the title process has a negligible effect on the amount of H$_2$CO produced on interstellar grains.

**Case (b)**

The formyl HCO radical is one of the first molecules detected in space (Snyder et al., 1976) and a rather ubiquitous molecule found relatively easily in molecular clouds (e.g., Snyder et al., 1985; Schenewerk et al., 1986, 1988; Caux et al., 2011; Fraue et al., 2012; Bacmann and Faure, 2016; Spezzano et al., 2017; Rivilla et al., 2019). Its abundance in cold objects is about $10^{-11}$ (with respect to H$_2$; e.g., Bacmann and Faure, 2016; Rivilla et al., 2019), where the gaseous HCO$^+$ abundance is lower than about $10^{-9}$.

The main formation routes of gaseous HCO are reported in the astrochemical databases KIDA (http://kida.astrophy.u-bordeaux.fr/) and UMIST (http://udfa.ajmarkwick.net/): neutral-neutral reactions, dominated by H$_2$CO + OH (Ocaná et al., 2017), and ionic routes, dominated by the recombination of protonated formaldehyde H$_2$CO$^+$ + e$^-$ (Hamberg et al., 2007). When comparing the theoretical predictions of the HCO abundance based on these gas-phase reactions with those measured in cold objects, both Bacmann and Faure (2016) and Rivilla et al. (2019) concluded that the ionic route of the formation of HCO can account for the measured values.

Yet, given the uncertainty in the H$_2$CO$^+$ + e$^-$ reaction branching ratio, we verified whether the injection of HCO from the recombination on the grain surfaces could have a non-negligible role. The results of our GRAINOBLE simulations confirm that, even assuming that all the HCO$^+$ recombined on the grain surfaces is released as HCO in the gas, this contribution is at most 30% with respect to that derived using the KIDA reaction rate coefficients. We, therefore, conclude that, in the specific case of HCO$^+$ the neutralization when they meet the charged grains does not substantially affect the abundance of gaseous HCO.

Finally, we would like to mention that this work exclusively focused on an all-water icy grain model, with the aim to be a first step toward studying the influence of a captured electron by an interstellar grain on its chemical properties. Thus, accounting for dirty ices (i.e., including other volatile species such as CO, CO$_2$, NH$_3$, and CH$_3$OH) and ice-processing effects (e.g., cosmic rays’ impact or/and UV photon adsorption) on the attached electron fate is beyond the scope of the work. However, we find interesting to mention a plausible scenario not considered in the (1)–(3) processes. It envisages a possible transformation of the negatively charged ice grain, in which OH radicals are also present in the grain, generated, for instance, by cosmic ray impacts. In this case, the OH radical can scavenge the attached electron to give OH$^-$, as OH$^-$ would be more stable than the solvated electron. In this situation, the adsorption of HCO$^+$ does not cause the electron transfer toward HCO$^+$, as the OH$^-$ stabilized by the surrounding water environment will attach to HCO$^+$ to give the neutral HCOOH. In the absence of direct neutralization between HCO$^+$ and OH$^-$, HCO$^+$ will interact with a neutral H$_2$O molecule, as investigated by Woon (2011), bringing to the barrierless formation of HCOOH and the transfer of the proton, formerly belonging to the reacted water, toward OH$^-$ via a proton-reliance mechanism within the grain water molecules. This scenario is obviously completely different to our (1)–(3) processes. Nevertheless, this alternative route has important consequences in the interstellar grain chemistry because it opens up the possibility of ion–molecule chemistry on grains, traditionally attributed to exclusively occur in the gas phase.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The present work provides reliable evidence based on quantum mechanical calculations that thermalized low-energy electrons can be easily transferred to cationic molecular species upon interaction to form the corresponding radical neutral ones. As a test case, this has been investigated for the interaction of the HCO$^+$ ion with water clusters containing an extra electron. Among the possible situations considered, results indicate that spontaneous formation of the HCO radical by electron transfer is the most favorable one from an energetic point of view. Accordingly, interstellar grain particles can act as reservoir of electrons, which in turn can trigger electron transfer processes, which can be of relevance in the inventory of the interstellar molecules and in the molecular evolution of the chemical diversity of the Universe.
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