Effect of Organic and Inorganic Sources of Fertilizers on Growth, Yield and Quality of Bidi Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.) Variety GABT 11
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ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Bidi Tobacco Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand for four years from 2014-15 to 2017-18 with the objective to study the effect of organic and inorganic sources of fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of bidi tobacco variety GABT 11. Results revealed that different manures and fertilizers failed to exert their significant effect on yield of bidi tobacco variety GABT 11 during all four years and in its pooled. Green manuring every year with sunnhemp recorded significantly maximum leaf size viz. leaf length and width followed by application of FYM @ 12.5 t/ha every year. Besides, Application of 180 kg N/ha through ammonium sulphate gave significantly higher tobacco plant height over application of 180 kg N/ha through...
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design with three replications. Out of them four treatments of bulky manures [viz, No organic manure (N); Green manuring every year with sunnhemp (G); 12.5 tones FYM/ha every year (F1) and 12.5 tones FYM/ha every alternate year (F2) and five fertilizer combinations [viz, 180 kg N/ha through castor cake (C1); 180 kg N/ha through ammonium sulphate (A1); 90 kg N/ha through castor cake + 90 kg N/ha through ammonium sulphate (C6A1); 180 kg N/ha through AS + 90 kg P2O5/ha through SSP + 135 kg K2O/ha through SOP (A4P2K3); 135 kg N/ha through AS + 90 kg P2O5/ha through SSP + 135 kg K2O/ha through SOP (A6P2K3). The soil of the experimental field is loamy sand with low available nitrogen, medium available phosphorus and high available potassium [5]. As basal dose, 100% recommended dose of FYM was applied one month before tobacco transplanting. Green manure crop sunnhemp was sown @ 100 kg/ha in the first week of June and incorporated in situ before flowering during 1st week of August. Among different fertilizer combinations, N, P2O5 and K2O were applied from castor cake & ammonium sulphate, single super phosphate and sulphate of potash, respectively as per treatment as a basal dose before transplanting. The gross plot size was 13.5 x 4.5 m and net plot size was 11.7 x 2.7 m with spacing of 90 x 90 cm. Tobacco GABT 11 seedlings were planted in the first fortnight of September. The other recommended package of practices was followed in raising the crop. The leaf quality parameters (nicotine, reducing sugar, chloride, phosphorus and potash content) were analyzed as per standard analytical method. Nicotine, reducing sugar and chloride contents from tobacco cured leaves were determined by Harvey et al. (1969), Shaffer and Somogyi method modified by Heinze and Murneek (1940) and Murthy et al. (1962) methods, respectively. However, Phosphorus and potassium contents were determined by Vanadomolybdo phosphoric acid yellow color method, Jackson (1967) and Flame photometric method, Jackson (1967), respectively. Economics was computed based on the prevailing market prices of the inputs and tobacco cured leaf.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bidi tobacco is grown in the middle Gujarat as mono crop for the last many years. Thus, the soil became poor in organic carbon and essential plant nutrients [1]. Moreover, nitrogen plays a key role in bidi tobacco production due to its effect on crop growth and its remarkable influence on quality of the bidi tobacco. Whereas, cured leaf from potassium deficient plants do not burn properly which warrants optimum potassium levels throughout the crop growth. Total dependence on inorganic fertilizers could damage the physical properties of soil and impair soil health besides leading to nutrient imbalances in soil. Use of organic manures alone might not meet the plant requirement due to limited availability and presence of relatively low levels of nutrients. Perverse to detrimental effects of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures along with chemical fertilizers improve soil health resulting in enhanced crop yield [2]. Application of organic manure is essential for managing soil health, increasing yields (10-15%) and also imparts required flavor and taste to tobacco as per the consumer’s preference [3]. Green manure is a good source of nitrogen and it increases the availability of phosphorus, potassium, secondary nutrients and trace elements in the soil [4]. Until now, the research was oriented to increase the yield through integrated use of organic and inorganic sources. Very little information is available on total use of organic manures for tobacco production. Keeping these in view, the present investigation was conducted to assess the influence of organic and inorganic sources of fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of bidi tobacco variety GABT 11 in middle Gujarat conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at Bidi Tobacco Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand (22.57° N, 72.93° E, 30 m asl) during 2014-15 to 2017-18. The experiment included twenty treatments in combinations of bulky manures and NPK fertilizers in a Split Plot Design with three replications. Out of them four treatments of bulky manures [viz, No organic manure (N); Green manuring every year with sunnhemp (G); 12.5 tones FYM/ha every year (F1) and 12.5 tones FYM/ha every alternate year (F2) and five fertilizer combinations [viz, 180 kg N/ha through castor cake (C1); 180 kg N/ha through ammonium sulphate (A1); 90 kg N/ha through castor cake + 90 kg N/ha through ammonium sulphate (C6A1); 180 kg N/ha through AS + 90 kg P2O5/ha through SSP + 135 kg K2O/ha through SOP (A4P2K3); 135 kg N/ha through AS + 90 kg P2O5/ha through SSP + 135 kg K2O/ha through SOP (A6P2K3).
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yield Attributes

The pooled results showed in Table 1 indicated that yield attributes of tobacco variety GABT 11 significantly affected with different bulky manures except plant height. Significant high values of leaf length and width were noticed under treatment G (Green manuring) as compared to treatments N (No organic matter) and F (12.5 tones FYM/ha every alternate year). Similar results were also reported by Naik et al. [6] that the application of 120 kg N/ha as sunnhemp green manuring resulted in taller plants, large leaf area, higher biomass production, green leaf and cured leaf yield. Whereas, different fertilizer combinations failed to exert their significant effect on yield attributes except plant height. Plant height was recorded significantly higher in treatment A4 (180 kg N/ha through ammonium sulphate) followed by treatments C2A2 and A4P2K3 (180 kg N/ha through AS + 90 kg P2O5/ha through SSP + 135 kg K2O/ha through SOP). Significant low plant height was noticed under treatment A3P2K3 (135 kg N/ha through AS + 90 kg P2O5/ha through SSP + 135 kg K2O/ha through SOP) which was remained at par with treatment C4 (180 kg N/ha through castor cake). In case of value of root knot index (RKI), it was not significantly influenced either by bulky manures or fertilizer combinations.

Table 1. Yield attributes of bidi tobacco variety GABT 11 as influenced by manures and fertilizer (Pooled over four years)

| Treatments         | Leaf length (cm) | Leaf width (cm) | Plant height (cm) | √(x+1) | Original |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------|----------|
| **A. Bulky manures**|                  |                 |                   |        |          |
| N                   | 56.2             | 24.0            | 76.9              | 1.572  | 1.58     |
| G                   | 59.5             | 27.7            | 80.0              | 1.686  | 1.99     |
| F1                  | 59.2             | 26.9            | 78.5              | 1.440  | 1.17     |
| F2                  | 58.3             | 26.1            | 79.8              | 1.518  | 1.42     |
| S.Em. +             | 0.66             | 0.42            | 0.92              | 0.054  | ---      |
| C.D.0.05            | 2.10             | 1.36            | NS                | NS     | ---      |
| C.V. %              | 8.29             | 13.54           | 9.44              | 27.83  | ---      |
| **B. Fertilizer combinations** |                  |                 |                   |        |          |
| C4                  | 58.5             | 25.8            | 78.0              | 1.560  | 1.57     |
| A4                  | 58.1             | 26.3            | 80.4              | 1.569  | 1.58     |
| C2A2                | 58.1             | 26.1            | 78.7              | 1.544  | 1.50     |
| A4P2K3              | 58.7             | 28.8            | 80.1              | 1.562  | 1.56     |
| A4P2K3              | 58.8             | 25.8            | 76.6              | 1.536  | 1.50     |
| S.Em. +             | 0.37             | 0.33            | 0.67              | 0.027  | ---      |
| C.D.0.05            | NS               | NS              | 1.87              | NS     | ---      |
| C. V. %             | 4.35             | 8.67            | 5.87              | 12.12  | ---      |

*0=Free; 5=Maximum disease intensity

3.2 Yield

Cured leaf yield of variety GABT 11 (Table 2) was not significantly influenced either by bulky manures or fertilizer combinations during individual years as well as pooled basis. However, the maximum cured leaf yield was noticed under treatments G (green manuring) and A4 (180 kg N/ha through Ammonium sulphate) among different bulky manures and fertilizer combinations, respectively. Patil et al. [7] observed that incorporation of dhaincha as a green manuring crop in kharif season followed by growing potato in rabi season with 100% RDF found superior for recording maximum potato tuber yield. Patel et al. [8] who reported after Patil et al. [7] an increase in yield with ammonium sulphate over urea was 12.9%.

3.3 Quality Parameters

The quality parameters of cured leaf on pooled basis revealed that neither bulky manures nor fertilizer combinations significantly influenced nicotine, reducing sugar and chloride contents as well as phosphorus and potash contents of bidi tobacco cured leaf (Table 3). Similarly, chloride content of FCV tobacco was not significantly changed due to organic manures and nitrogen levels [9]. Patel et al. [10] reported that manures and different levels of nitrogen failed to exert
Table 2. Cured leaf yield of bidi tobacco variety GABT 11 as influenced by manures and fertilizers from 2014-15 to 2017-18 and pooled basis

| Treatments          | Yield (kg/ha) |
|---------------------|---------------|
|                     | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | Pooled |
| A. Bulky Manures    |          |         |         |         |        |
| N                   | 4922    | 5288    | 5584    | 5821    | 5404   |
| G                   | 5295    | 5548    | 6958    | 6053    | 5964   |
| F_1                 | 5137    | 5490    | 6510    | 6409    | 5886   |
| F_2                 | 4491    | 5261    | 6828    | 6082    | 5665   |
| S.Em. +             | 212.1   | 183.7   | 339.1   | 204.3   | 166.4  |
| C.D.0.05            | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS     |
| C. V. %             | 16.6    | 13.2    | 20.3    | 13.0    | 16.4   |
| B. Fertilizer       |          |         |         |         |        |
| combinations        |          |         |         |         |        |
| C_4                 | 5088    | 5306    | 6311    | 6102    | 5702   |
| A_4                 | 5208    | 5632    | 6303    | 5979    | 5781   |
| C_2A_2              | 4971    | 5255    | 6279    | 6152    | 5664   |
| A_2P_2K_3           | 4686    | 5350    | 6602    | 5906    | 5636   |
| A_2P_2K_3           | 4853    | 5441    | 6317    | 5967    |        |
| S.Em. +             | 212.4   | 130.6   | 251.6   | 168.8   | 98.1   |
| C.D.0.05            | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS      | NS     |
| C. V. %             | 14.8    | 8.4     | 13.5    | 9.6     | 11.9   |

Table 3. Chemical quality parameters as influenced by manures and fertilizers (Pooled over four years)

| Treatments          | Quality parameters (%) |
|---------------------|------------------------|
|                     | Nicotine | Reducing sugar | Chloride | Phosphorus | Potash |
| A. Bulky manures    |          |               |         |            |        |
| N                   | 5.1      | 3.9           | 0.92    | 1.14       | 0.78   |
| G                   | 5.5      | 3.7           | 0.92    | 1.24       | 0.78   |
| F_1                 | 5.5      | 3.7           | /0.90   | 0.97       | 0.78   |
| F_2                 | 5.4      | 3.7           | 0.94    | 1.06       | 0.78   |
| S.Em. +             | 0.21     | 0.08          | 0.05    | 0.12       | 0.00   |
| C.D.0.05            | NS       | NS            | NS      | NS         | NS     |
| C. V. %             | 6.47     | 3.73          | 9.82    | 15.63      | 2.45   |
| B. Fertilizer       |          |               |         |            |        |
| combinations        |          |               |         |            |        |
| C_4                 | 5.4      | 3.8           | 0.90    | 1.12       | 0.77   |
| A_4                 | 5.4      | 3.8           | 0.94    | 1.11       | 0.77   |
| C_2A_2              | 5.6      | 3.8           | 0.91    | 1.14       | 0.78   |
| A_2P_2K_3           | 5.2      | 3.7           | 0.91    | 1.11       | 0.80   |
| A_2P_2K_3           | 5.3      | 3.7           | 0.93    | 1.06       | 0.80   |
| S.Em. +             | 0.1      | 0.1           | 0.02    | 0.04       | 0.01   |
| C.D.0.05            | NS       | NS            | NS      | NS         | NS     |
| C. V. %             | 6.32     | 4.47          | 8.07    | 14.86      | 2.84   |

their significant effect on nicotine, reducing sugar and chloride contents.

3.4 Economics

The economic analysis indicated that, among different bulky manures, green manuring with sunnhemp every year gave maximum gross income Rs. 244215 and net income Rs. 143539 per hectare with BCR value 2.43. No application of organic manure treatment gave maximum value of BCR as 2.56. In case of different fertilizer combinations, treatment A_4 (180 kg N/ha through Ammonium sulphate) gave maximum benefits with higher net returns (Rs. 148476/ha) and higher BCR value 2.68. The higher net returns from sunnhemp in situ green manuring was mainly due to higher cured leaf yield [11].
Table 4. Economics as influenced by manures and fertilizers on bidi tobacco GABT 11 (Pooled over four years)

| Treatment | Yield (kg/ha) | Gross income (Rs./ha) | Common cost (Rs./ha) | Treatment cost (Rs./ha) | Total cost (Rs./ha) | Net income (Rs./ha) | BCR |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----|
| A. Bulky Manures |               |                       |                     |                        |                   |                   |     |
| N         | 5404          | 221274                | 63468               | 23056                  | 86524             | 134750            | 2.56|
| G         | 5964          | 244215                | 63468               | 37209                  | 100677            | 143539            | 2.43|
| F₁        | 5886          | 241049                | 63468               | 43902                  | 107370            | 133679            | 2.25|
| F₂        | 5665          | 232000                | 63468               | 33479                  | 96947             | 135053            | 2.39|
| S.Em. ±  | 166.4         | ---                   | ---                 | ---                    | ---               | ---               | --- |
| C.D.0.05 | NS            | ---                   | ---                 | ---                    | ---               | ---               | --- |
| C.V. %   | 16.4          | ---                   | ---                 | ---                    | ---               | ---               | --- |
| B. Fertilizer combinations |               |                       |                     |                        |                   |                   |     |
| C₂        | 5702          | 233481                | 63468               | 46707                  | 110174            | 123307            | 2.12|
| A₄        | 5781          | 236713                | 63468               | 24770                  | 88237             | 148476            | 2.68|
| C₆A₂      | 5664          | 231940                | 63468               | 35738                  | 99206             | 132734            | 2.34|
| A₄P₂K₃    | 5636          | 230003                | 63468               | 34099                  | 97566             | 133236            | 2.37|
| A₅P₂K₃    | 5867          | 240235                | 63468               | 30745                  | 94213             | 146022            | 2.55|
| S.Em. ±  | 98.1          | ---                   | ---                 | ---                    | ---               | ---               | --- |
| C.D.0.05 | NS            | ---                   | ---                 | ---                    | ---               | ---               | --- |
| C.V. %   | 11.9          | ---                   | ---                 | ---                    | ---               | ---               | --- |

Note: Selling price of Tobacco Rs./kg: \( Y₁ = 37.55 \), \( Y₂ = 40.51 \), \( Y₃ = 40.11 \), \( Y₃ = 40.95 \), average = 39.78.

4. CONCLUSION

The study concluded that Ammonium sulphate @ 180 kg N/ha as well as green manure as bulky manure gave maximum net returns with benefit: cost ratio of 2.68 and 2.43, respectively. In addition, different manures and fertilizers failed to exert their significant effect on tobacco cured leaf yield and quality parameters (viz, nicotine, reducing sugar and chloride contents as well as phosphorus and potash contents) of bidi tobacco variety GABT 11 in pooled.
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