Brief freezing steps lead to robust immunofluorescence in the Drosophila nervous system
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Drosophila melanogaster is a powerful model organism for understanding nervous system function. With low molecular redundancy and a moderate number of neurons commanding complex behaviors, the pace of discovery in Drosophila is relatively quick. Regulatory mechanisms discovered in Drosophila consistently provide a roadmap for deciphering conserved pathways in humans [1]. Additionally, a number of neurodegenerative diseases are recapitulated in Drosophila, allowing investigation into mechanisms contributing to these devastating diseases.

A routine method employed to investigate nervous system function is immunofluorescence (IF), because it allows visualization of specific proteins and the structures they comprise, from subcellular to entire networks of related cells. Various approaches to IF exist [2–4]; however, efficient permeation of antibodies through the brain can present a problem in producing consistent, clear images of target proteins and the structures within the brain that bear them. Permeation using proteolytic enzymes such as collagenase [5] can produce more robust staining, but brain morphology is collateral disrupted, hindering analysis of spatial organization of brain circuits. Additionally, proteases can be costly and other techniques can require day’s long antibody incubation [3].

In optimizing IF approaches to examine Drosophila brains, we found that freezing brains in blocking buffer led to more consistent staining of target proteins and increased observation of immunoreactive structures. Importantly, by using freezing methods, brain morphology was preserved in larvae. Additionally, freezing time was relatively short, and expensive proteases were not required. Therefore, freezing techniques are useful alternatives to established immunostaining procedures because they allow for clear, reproducible visualization of target proteins while avoiding major alterations of brain tissue that occur in harsher permeation methods. Time and money are also saved with simple freezing steps.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the freezing techniques in immunostaining Drosophila brains, results were compared with those of two other techniques: ‘basic’ and ‘collagenase’. In order to show effectiveness at detecting a deeply embedded neural circuit, the Per protein was selected as an antibody target. Per is a well-characterized neuronal pacemaker that is specifically produced in clock neurons [6]. F-actin (labeled with phalloidin) was selected to demonstrate staining of large neuronal structures, and nuclei (visualized with the DNA-binding agent 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole [DAPI]) were labeled to show maintenance of brain morphology.

Oregon-R (wild-type) third instar larval brains (BDSC Cat # 5, RRID:BDSC_5) were dissected in 1 × PBS, transferred to 1.5-mL centrifuge tubes containing 4% formaldehyde and incubated for 1 h at room temperature (RT). For the collagenase technique, brains were dissected into cold 1 × PBS, incubated in 1 × collagenase for 5 min at RT, and washed three times in 1 × PBS by inverting the tube prior to fixation. Whole adult flies (maximum 8 days old, minimum 5 days old) were placed in 4% formaldehyde, and incubated for 1 h and then dissected into cold 1 × PBS. After fixation, brains were washed three times in 1 × PBS by inverting the tube, then washed three times in 0.5% PBS Triton X-100 (PBT) by inverting the tube. Afterwards, brains were incubated in PBT for 20 min at RT with mutating. After removing the PBT from the tube, ...
blocking buffer (5% BSA and 0.3% Triton X-100 in 1× PBS) was added. The tube was kept at RT for the basic and collagenase techniques; placed at -20°C for 5 min for the slow freeze technique (time sufficient for the mixture to freeze solid); or frozen by placing tubes on dry ice and spraying the dry ice with 70% ethanol for 10 s for the fast freeze technique. Afterward, brains were thawedblocked or simply blocked in blocking buffer for 1 h at RT with nutating. Primary anti-Per antibody [7] was diluted in blocking buffer to a final antibody dilution of 1:10,000. Blocking buffer was removed from the brains and 500 μl of primary antibody solution was added. Brains were incubated in primary antibody at 4°C overnight with nutating. Afterward, brains were washed three times in PBT by inversion, followed by four 10-min washes with nutating using PBT. Secondary anti-
rabbit antibody conjugated to a 488 nm fluorophore (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A-11034, RRID:AB_2534095) and phalloidin labeled with a 568 nm fluorophore (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# A12380, RRID:AB_2315147) were diluted in blocking buffer at a 1:1000 and 1:20 dilution, respectively. PBT was removed from the tube and 200 μl of secondary/phalloidin solution was added. Brains were incubated in secondary/phalloidin solution for 4 h at RT with nutating. Afterward, brains were again washed three times in PBT by inversion and then washed four times for 10 min at RT with nutating using PBT. After removing the PBT, 50 μl of mounting solution containing DAPI (Vector Laboratories, Inc., CA, USA) was added to the brains. Brains were then mounted on microscope slides and allowed 4 h at RT to react with DAPI before imaging on a confocal microscope. Microscope settings were kept constant across the techniques. Images of three representative brains from each technique are shown.

In each technique, Per staining is visible in the larval clock neurons, indicating the correct antibody specificity. The quantity of staining for each lobe was analyzed by examining the volume elements/volumetric pixels (voxels) present in each image. A voxel is defined by the 3D coordinate, color and intensity (of our signal being quantified) at that coordinate. Voxels above a threshold of 3000 were measured for the entirety of Per staining using the Voxel Counter algorithm of ImageJ [8]. To determine if there was a significant difference between each staining technique and the basic staining protocol, a t-test was applied. The collagenase technique showed an insignificant increase in total Per signal compared to brains treated with the basic technique (Figure 1). The freezing methods also produced more detailed staining as the axons of the clock neurons are more broadly stained (Figure 2). These data suggest that the freezing techniques allow for more uniform permeation of multiple larval brains, while still allowing for robust staining of target proteins. Phalloidin staining reveals stereotypical actin structures for basic, slow freeze, and fast freeze with no increase (compared by t-test) in phalloidin voxel counts above a threshold of 15,000 (Figure 3). This suggests that staining of larger neuronal structures is not significantly affected by the freezing methods. Collagenase brains had elongated morphology, and this is apparent in the phalloidin staining (Figure 3). DAPI was used to visualize the morphology of the brain (Figure 4). The overall shape...
of the lobes is generally spherical in all but the collagenase technique. In the collagenase method, 65% of the lobes come to more of a point or a flat edge at their caudal end. The size of the lobes is enlarged as well, possibly due to disrupted cell junction interactions resulting from the proteolytic activity of collagenase (Figure 4). These results reveal that all but the collagenase technique are best suited for maintaining brain morphology during immunofluorescence. Taken together, these results suggest that the slow and fast freezing techniques are preferable alternatives to basic and proteolytic digestion techniques for immunostaining third instar larval brains. The clock neurons and actin cytoskeleton are robustly stained in the freezing techniques, the integrity of brain morphology is uncompromised, and the results can be more reproducible, depending on the target protein.

These staining methods were additionally performed in adult brains. The collagenase technique is not shown due to the inability to produce any intact brains. Unlike larvae, there is no increase in Per.
Figure 4. Visualizing DNA/nuclei reveals differences in maintenance of brain-region organization and overall shape between immunofluorescence techniques. Oregon-R third instar larval brains were dissected and stained using the indicated techniques. DAPI was used to stain DNA/nuclei. Brains were imaged as above. Maximum projections from three representative brains from each technique are shown. Scale bars: 20 μm.

Figure 5. In adult Drosophila brains, freezing leads to qualitative but not quantitative differences in clock neuron staining. Immunostaining of Oregon-R adult brains using an anti-Per antibody to reveal circadian clock neurons. Brains were imaged and analyzed as above. Maximum projections from three representative brains from each technique are shown. Scale bars: 20 μm. Diagrammed are the locations of per/tim-expressing cells and their projections in the adult brain, reproduced from Helfrich-Forster [9].

DN: Dorsal Neurons; H-B: Photoreceptor cells of the Hofbauer-Buchner eyelets; LN: Lateral neurons (l: large; s: small; d: dorsal); PLtim: Posterior lateral brain cells expressing tim; R: photoreceptor nuclei (there are two groups 1–6 and 7/8).
Benchmarks

Figure 6. In adult brains, detection of F-actin is increased by including a freezing step. Oregon-R adult brains were dissected and stained using Phalloidin to stain F-actin. Brains were imaged and analyzed as above. Maximum projections from three representative brains from each technique are shown. Scale bars: 20 μm.

Voxel counts above a threshold of 4500 for the freezing techniques (Figure 5). In adult brains, phalloidin signal above a threshold of 6800 is increased for the two freezing techniques (Figure 6). DAPI staining reveals gaps and holes in the tissue for the fast freeze technique (Figure 7). This suggests that the optic lobes of the adult brains are negatively affected by the fast freezing technique. The reason for this is unclear, especially since the larval brains did not show the same phenomena. Overall, the adult data suggest that the slow freezing method may be a useful alternative to the basic method for adult brains.

This Benchmark introduces freezing steps in immunofluorescence techniques as alternative methods for obtaining specific antibody staining in Drosophila brains without sacrificing larval sample integrity, time, or money. In our opinion, the simple addition of a slow freezing step to immunofluorescence workflow provides increased reproducibility and appearance of immunoreactive structures without disadvantage. One possible explanation for the more uniform permeability of multiple brains is that freezing the blocking solution leads to the formation of ice crystals that penetrate the brain tissue, creating small pores that allow antibodies.
to reach their target more easily. The reason for the intact morphology of larval brains is unclear. Evidently, one freeze/thaw cycle is enough to enhance permeability while leaving the morphology of the lobes unaltered. This may aid in studies of neurodegeneration in Drosophila that depend on understanding proper organization of neurons in both normal and disease states.
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Figure 7. Imaging of DNA/nuclei in adult brains reveals comparable maintenance of overall morphology after freezing. Oregon-R adult brains were dissected and stained using each of the three techniques detailed in the protocol. DAPI was used to stain DNA/nuclei. Brains were imaged as above. Maximum projections from three representative brains from each technique are shown. Scale bars: 20 μm.