Tumour detection and outcomes of surveillance screening in *SDHB* and *SDHD* pathogenic variant carriers
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Abstract

Objective

Succinate dehydrogenase subunit (SDHx) pathogenic variants predispose to Phaeochromocytoma and Paraganglioma (PPGL). Lifelong surveillance is recommended for all patients to enable prompt detection and treatment. There is currently limited evidence for optimal surveillance strategies in hereditary PPGL. We aim to detail the clinical presentation of PPGL in our cohort of non-index SDHB and SDHD pathogenic variant carriers.

Methods

Retrospective analysis of medical and genetic records from a single tertiary referral centre identified SDHB or SDHD pathogenic variants in 74 non-index cases (56 SDHB, 18 SDHD). Surveillance screening for asymptomatic relatives consisted of annual plasma metanephrine measurement and whole-body MRI with contrast at 3-5 yearly intervals.

Results

23 out of 74 non-index patients (10 SDHB, 13 SDHD) were diagnosed with PPGL, 17 patients through surveillance screening (24 tumours in total) and 6 diagnosed prior to commencement of cascade screening with symptomatic presentation. MRI with contrast identified PPGL in 22/24 screen-detected tumours and 5/24 tumours had elevated plasma metanephrine levels. Penetrance in non-index family members was 15.2% and 47.2% for SDHB carriers and 71.6% and 78.7% for SDHD carriers at age 50 and 70 years respectively.

Conclusion

Surveillance screening with combined biochemical testing and imaging enables early detection of PPGL in asymptomatic relatives with SDHx pathogenic variants. The presence of disease at first screen was significant in our cohort and hence further multi-centre long term data is needed to inform counselling of family members undergoing lifelong surveillance.
**Introduction**

Phaeochromocytomas and Paragangliomas (PPGL) are neuroendocrine tumours arising from neural crest tissue. Phaeochromocytoma (PCC) arise from the adrenal medulla and Paragangliomas (PGL) from autonomic ganglia, usually arising from the sympathetic chain within the thorax, abdomen and pelvis or the parasympathetic ganglia located within the head, neck and upper thorax\(^1\). Adrenal and sympathetic tumours are often catecholamine-releasing in nature, whereas parasympathetic tumours predominantly tend to be biochemically inert or occasionally noradrenaline or dopamine-dominant releasing\(^2\).

PPGLs are now recognised as the most heritable tumours, with current literature demonstrating up to 40% of cases are attributable to a genetic cause\(^3\). More than 19 genes have to date been identified to predispose susceptibility to both syndromic and isolated forms\(^4\). Amongst the identified genes, pathogenic variants in the Succinate Dehydrogenase (SDH) subunits genes (SDHx) account for almost half of these hereditary cases\(^2\). The penetrance and phenotype has been shown to vary greatly between different SDH subunit pathogenic variants. A recent large study cited penetrance at 22.5% for SDHB and 50.0% for SDHD carriers respectively at age 60 years\(^5\). In terms of location, SDHB-related tumours occur most commonly along the sympathetic chain, whereas SDHD-related disease predominantly affects the parasympathetic ganglia of the head and neck\(^6\). Risk of malignancy has been shown to be highest in SDHB-related disease, at a rate of ~30%\(^7\), compared to about 8% in SDHD carriers\(^8\). Multifocal disease however is more common in SDHD patients, occurring in 55-60% of cases, compared to 20-25% of SDHB patients\(^9\).
Current guidelines recommend genetic testing where a heritable cause is suspected, such as in bilateral adrenal disease, extra-adrenal PGLs, metastatic disease or positive family history, however there is a growing evidence base to advocate genetic screening in all PPGL cases\textsuperscript{10}. In solitary low risk PCC in the absence of family history, genetic testing is currently funded by NHS England when diagnosed in patients under 60 years of age or if immunohistochemical testing demonstrates loss of SDH staining\textsuperscript{11}. If a germline pathogenic variant in a PPGL-susceptibility gene is identified, cascade genetic testing of relatives is recommended to identify family members at risk of tumour development and thus allowing enrolment into surveillance screening programmes\textsuperscript{12}.

Since the discovery of $SDHx$-related PPGL, screening recommendations for asymptomatic pathogenic variant carriers have been debated. Consensus of the literature recommends annual clinical review with blood pressure measurement and metanephrine level assessment\textsuperscript{13}. In terms of imaging, early studies advocated the use of CT, $^{123}$I-MIBG or PET-CT due to enhanced specificity for PPGL\textsuperscript{14,15}. However, more recent suggestions from The Endocrine Society\textsuperscript{13} and Tufton et al\textsuperscript{6}, advocate minimising radiation by using MRI as the initial screening tool. The recommended frequency of imaging also varies, ranging from every 1-5 years\textsuperscript{6,14,16,17}. An international consensus statement published in 2021 aimed to better standardise the current screening protocols\textsuperscript{18}. For the initial screen, they recommended clinical review, plasma metanephrine measurement, whole body MRI consisting of MRI from the skull base to pelvis and PET-CT to detect undiagnosed disease in the mediastinum, where functional imaging has been demonstrated to perform better than anatomical imaging - sensitivity of somatostatin receptor scintigraphy is 61.5 vs. 46.2\% for anatomical imaging\textsuperscript{15}. Following an initial negative screen, annual clinical review with metanephrine level assessment and whole-body MRI every 2-3 years has been recommended for all $SDHx$
variants. For carriers who do not develop tumours during the course of follow-up, the consensus was to reduce the screening frequency to every 5 years after 70 years of age and cessation of screening at 80 years of age.

Given the limited evidence-base underlying screening recommendations, further penetrance and longitudinal analyses of tumour development are needed to ensure adequate tumour detection in asymptomatic \textit{SDHx} pathogenic variant carriers, whilst minimising radiation exposure and patient burden. Risk stratification using several components, including pathogenic variant (both germline and somatic), histology, biochemistry, imaging and various other metabolomics should be considered for a precise, cost-effective long-term follow-up and management. We therefore present our surveillance screening data from a single tertiary centre cohort of patients found to carry pathogenic variants in \textit{SDHB} and \textit{SDHD} genes. The main objective of this study is to inform the relatives regarding the likelihood of disease at cascade screening and also during subsequent follow up.

**Methods**

Patients presenting with PPGL to a single tertiary centre from January 2000 to December 2020 reported to carry an \textit{SDHx} pathogenic variant were identified retrospectively from genetic databases, medical records, and multi-disciplinary meeting (MDM) records. Cascade screening in the form of genetic testing followed by whole-body MRI with contrast and plasma metanephrines in mutation positive cases was offered to all first-degree relatives (youngest being 8 years in \textit{SDHB} and 13 years in \textit{SDHD}). Demographic information, tumour characteristics, screening history and results were extracted from medical records.

**Genetic testing**
Genetic testing was performed by our regional genetics services. Index cases underwent testing for 10 PPGL susceptibility genes (RET, VHL, SDHA, SDHB, SDHC, SDHD, SDHAF2, TMEM127, MAX, FH) with sequence analysis of coding regions performed, plus Sanger sequencing to confirm any identified variants. Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification analysis was also performed for all exons of VHL and SDHB/C/D to identify large deletions or duplications. For relatives, targeted genetic testing for the variant identified in the proband was performed. Variants were reported as pathogenic, benign or of uncertain significance (VUS). Variants were cross-referenced with the ClinVar database\textsuperscript{19} to confirm pathogenicity according to American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) guidelines\textsuperscript{20}. Patients with VUS were excluded from analysis to prevent underestimation of penetrance.

PPGL diagnosis was made from histological analysis of excised tumour specimen or from a combination of biochemistry and anatomical/functional imaging when the tumour remained in situ. Metastatic disease was defined as the presence of PPGL tissue in non-chromaffin organs. Multifocal disease was classified as more than one tumour affecting any chromaffin organ. Metastatic/multifocal disease was classified as synchronous (detected 0-6 months after primary tumour diagnosis) or metachronous (detected more than 6 months after primary tumour).

**Surveillance screening protocol**

Baseline surveillance screening for patients with no history of PPGL consisted of a full clinical review with blood pressure measurement, measurement of plasma metadrenaline (MA), normetadrenaline (NMA), and 3-methoxytyramine (3-MT) and cross-sectional imaging using whole-body MRI with contrast and diffusion weighted imaging sequences.
Plasma metanephrines were measured by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry based on a published method adapted to incorporate measurement of 3-methoxytyramine\textsuperscript{13}. Biochemical testing of metanephrines was considered positive if any one or more of metadrenaline, normetadrenaline or 3-methoxytyramine levels were elevated above the upper reference limit. MRI was considered positive if the radiologist identified a lesion anatomically suspicious of PPGL requiring investigation. Additional investigations to confirm diagnosis, such as functional imaging, were decided upon at the multi-disciplinary meeting (MDM), as were treatment strategies once diagnosis was established. If initial screening was negative, follow-up surveillance for relatives consisted of clinical review with measurement of plasma metanephrines on an annual basis, with contrast enhanced MRI of the neck, thorax, abdomen and pelvis every 3-5 years, based on MDM outcome and patient preference. Discussion at MDM involved assessment of disease presentation in the index case and other affected family members, considering high risk features for aggressive disease outlined in the literature including metastatic disease, multifocality, primary tumour size (>5cm for PCC and >3cm for PGL), pathogenic variant, extra-adrenal location and younger age at diagnosis (<40 years)\textsuperscript{22}.

**Statistical analysis** was performed using GraphPad Prison 8.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA). Kaplan-Meier curves were used to calculate penetrance. To avoid ascertainment bias, index cases were excluded from penetrance calculations, as is recommended by recent literature\textsuperscript{5}.

**Ethical approval** was sought from the NHS Health Research Authority in April 2018 (IRAS Reference Number: 205985). This study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.
Results

Sixty-one index patients with a diagnosis of phaeochromocytoma or paraganglioma were identified as carriers of a germline pathogenic *SDHB* or *SDHD* variant after undergoing genetic testing between January 2000 and December 2020. From these index cases, 141 relatives were reported to carry an *SDHB* or *SDHD* pathogenic variant. Data was available for 74 relatives (*56 SDHB, 18 SDHD*) who were followed up in our centre. The remaining 67 relatives were not followed up at our centre or declined follow-up.

Outcomes of surveillance screening

From 74 relatives identified through genetic records, 6 patients had prior diagnosis of PPGL when examining medical records (*1 SDHB, 5 SDHD*). The remaining 68 relatives had no prior history of PPGL and were entered into the surveillance screening programme, with patient characteristics shown in Table 1. Median age at start of screening in our cohort was higher for *SDHB* patients at 40 years compared to 25 years for *SDHD* patients. Median screening duration was 3 years for both groups. The cumulative total of screening data available for this cohort was 272 patient years.

PPGL were diagnosed in 17 of the 68 (25%) screened relatives. The median age at diagnosis was 43 years (49 years for *SDHB, 26.5 years for SDHD*), with the youngest patient found to have a tumour aged 15 years (*SDHD*) and the oldest aged 74 years (*SDHD*). Detailed clinical information for these patients is available in Table 2.

For patients carrying *SDHB* pathogenic variants, screening detected tumours in 9/55 patients (16.4%), all of which were diagnosed during first screen. MRI detected all *SDHB*-related
tumours except for 2 mediastinal PGLs. One patient (patient 3) was found to have a PCC on MRI, with a synchronous mediastinal PGL which was later detected upon further imaging with $^{123}$I-MIBG. Another patient (patient 4) was found to have elevated NMA levels and subsequently underwent $^{18}$F-FDG-PET imaging which identified the mediastinal PGL. Two other tumours visible on MRI, one abdominal PGL (patient 2) and one HNPGL (patient 9) demonstrated NMA excess.

For patients carrying $SDHD$ pathogenic variants, tumours were detected in 8/13 patients (61.5%) during screening. All $SDHD$-related lesions were visible on surveillance MRI and metanephrines (NMA and 3-MT) were elevated in only one patient with 2 synchronous abdominal PGLs (patient 13). Disease in 7 relatives was picked up on the first screen, with 1 further patient diagnosed with bilateral $SDHD$-related HNPGL (patient 11) 1 year after an initial negative MRI and non-elevated metanephrines. Four patients (patients 11, 13, 16 & 17) were found to have synchronous tumours at first screen. Patient 11 was also found to have two further biochemically inert vagal PGLs detected on follow-up surveillance MRI 9 years after the initial screen-detected carotid body PGLs. Review of previous imaging did not reveal evidence of these vagal PGLs, meaning they represent true late metachronous lesions.

Tumour size detected on MRI ranged from 7-40mm in maximal diameter. Five tumours remain in-situ (patients 6, 7, 9, 14, and 16) and are under active surveillance with a current duration of follow up over 2-9 years. All in-situ tumours remain biochemically inert and have shown absent or minimal (<2mm) growth on MRI. Metastatic disease, either at the time of diagnosis or during follow-up was not detected in any relatives during the course of the study. No other $SDHx$-related tumours (RCC, GIST, pituitary adenoma) were detected during surveillance screening. Two patients were found to have small (<2cm) incidental adrenal
adenomas on cross-sectional imaging and functionality was excluded. No other incidental findings were reported.

Penetrance
Penetrance calculations for 56 non-index patients with SDHB pathogenic variants and 18 relatives with SDHD pathogenic variants can be seen in Figure 1. Penetrance at age 50 was 15.2% in SDHB and 71.6% in SDHD respectively. Penetrance at age 70 was 47.2% in SDHB and 78.7% in SDHD respectively.

Discussion
We analysed the outcomes of our SDHx screening programme in a single tertiary referral centre and calculated the penetrance of SDHB and SDHD pathogenic variants in our cohort, aiming to improve understanding of disease development and progression. Through this, we aim to strengthen the current evidence base advocating for standardisation of lifelong surveillance screening protocols for carriers of SDHx pathogenic variants.

For relatives enrolled into our screening programme, PPGLs were detected in carriers of both SDHB and SDHD pathogenic variants. For SDHB, 16.4% (9/55) of relatives were diagnosed with PPGL at first screen. These findings are comparable with previously reported screening programmes. In a study from Greenberg et al, tumours were detected in 15% (29/188) of their cohort of asymptomatic relatives with SDHB pathogenic variants. Jochmanova et al cited a rather lower detection rate of 9.5% (23/241) in family members during the initial screen. Tufton et al found a slightly higher detection rate, with 25.0% (15/60) of their screened cohort developing tumours, with 10/15 identified on first screen and 5 further tumours picked up 2-6 years following the initial screen. The median follow-up period was
5.7 years, compared to 3 years in our study. The reduced screening duration in our study highlights the need for longer screening duration to fully evaluate the incidence of PPGLs following an initial negative screen.

For SDHD, surveillance screening detected more tumours than SDHB, with 61.5% (8/13) of screened relatives developing tumours, 7/8 of which were detected on first screen. Two further HNPGLs were also identified in one patient 9 years after the initial tumour detection. The detection rate in our cohort is similar to Heestermann and colleagues, who found tumours in 59.5% (28/47) of asymptomatic SDHD carriers at first screening26, but is higher than findings from Greenberg et al where 40% (14/35) of relatives with SDHD pathogenic variants developed tumours over a mean screening duration of 2 years24.

Our surveillance screening protocol included both cross-sectional imaging, using MRI with contrast, and biochemical testing of plasma metanephrines, as suggested by current guidelines13,18. International consensus from Amar et al18 recommends additional use of PET-CT at initial screening to increase sensitivity of detecting mediastinal PGLs, although this is not standard practice at our centre unless in the context of aggressive disease in other family members or elevated metanephrines with no PPGL identifiable on MRI. MRI with contrast and diffusion weighted imaging has proven to be an effective screening tool in our patient cohort, and avoids the use of ionising radiation. However, there were two small mediastinal SDHB-related PGL which were not visualised on initial MRI, but were detected upon follow-up functional imaging. Identification of small (<1cm) mediastinal PGLs on MRI can be challenging due to motion artefact and spatial resolution, emphasising the importance of biochemical assessment and consideration of alternative imaging modalities. The inclusion of functional imaging at baseline screening, as recently recommended, may have aided detection
in these cases and should be explored in future analyses of screening protocols. The clinical significance of identifying a sub-centimetre non-secretory mediastinal PGL should be carefully weighed against radiation exposure and cost effectiveness in these patients needing lifelong surveillance. HNPGLs were predominantly biochemically inert, consistent with previous data that suggests \textit{SDH}-related HNPGL are often better detected by imaging, especially in early stages\textsuperscript{25,27,28}. We observed a low proportion of catecholamine-releasing tumours in our cohort compared to data reported in the literature for hereditary disease\textsuperscript{30}. This suggests that surveillance imaging is effective in detecting tumours before they become biochemically active, allowing intervention before symptoms and clinical sequelae of catecholamine excess occur. Despite this, regular measurement of plasma metanephrines remains a valuable tool in assessing risk of metastatic disease, especially in sympathetic PGLs\textsuperscript{29}.

We acknowledge few limitations in our study including the sample size of 56 \textit{SDHB} and 18 \textit{SDHD} non-index carriers, which is relatively small for penetrance calculations to be performed when compared to recent larger studies, such as Andrews et al with 371 and 67 \textit{SDHB} and \textit{SDHD} pathogenic variant carriers respectively\textsuperscript{5}. Our cohort may also not be representative due to the single-centre study design and status as a regional referral centre for PPGL. Additionally, as a specialist centre for ENT, there is also a referral bias, where presentation of multifocal PPGL with predominant head and neck location and families with high penetrance may be overrepresented in our cohort. Therefore, larger multi-centre studies that include a collation of other specialist units throughout the UK are needed to ensure appropriate representation of the study cohort.
We conclude that an appropriate surveillance strategy is imperative following the diagnosis of germline \textit{SDHx} pathogenic variant with or without PPGL. The burden of disease is significant at first screen (16.4\% in \textit{SDHB} and 54\% in \textit{SDHD}) in our cohort. Appropriate imaging helps in early detection of the disease before plasma metanephrines become measurable in the circulation. Hence we also advocate plasma metanephrines over urine measurement in \textit{SDHx} disease. We also recommend whole-body MRI for anatomical imaging as it avoids the use of ionising radiation in a cohort already requiring lifelong surveillance. Such imaging should typically be contrast-enhanced, with the benefits of improved PGL identification (particularly at the skull base) outweighing the risks of gadolinium retention within the central nervous system\textsuperscript{31}. Whole-body MRI may also be supplemented by functional imaging modalities as required during the course of management. We believe that the metastatic potential, particularly in \textit{SDHB} patients, and potential for multifocality in both \textit{SDHB} and \textit{SDHD} patients warrants lifelong surveillance. Additionally, we emphasise periodic whole-body surveillance as opposed to selective imaging in these groups and advocate for management and follow-up in tertiary units under MDM with expertise in PPGLs. It is hoped that through continuing research and larger longitudinal studies, optimal cost-effective tailored surveillance and treatment strategies can be devised in order to improve patient outcomes.
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Figure 1: Penetrance of PPGL in non-index cases. Penetrance in 56 patients harbouring SDHB pathogenic variants was 15.2% and 47.2% at age 50 and 70 respectively. Penetrance in 18 patients harbouring SDHD pathogenic variants was 71.6.1% and 78.7% at age 50 and 70 years respectively.

Table 1. Characteristics of 68 non-index cases with no prior history of PPGL undergoing surveillance screening

Table 2. Detailed clinical information for 17 non-index patients diagnosed with PPGL through surveillance screening
Figure 1: Penetrance of PPGL in non-index cases. Penetration in 56 patients harbouring SDHB pathogenic variants was 15.2% and 47.2% at age 50 and 70 respectively. Penetration in 18 patients harbouring SDHD pathogenic variants was 71.6% and 78.7% at age 50 and 70 years respectively.
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|                          | SDHB (n=55) | SDHD (n=13) |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Male (n,%)               | 28 (50.9%)  | 8 (61.5%)   |
| Age at initial screening | 40 (8-71)   | 25 (13-74)  |
| Duration of screening    | 3 (1-15)    | 3 (1-14)    |
| Number of screens        | 2.5 (1-7)   | 2 (1-12)    |
| Patients diagnosed with  | 9 (16.4%)   | 8 (61.5%)   |
| PPGL (n,%)               |             |             |
| Number of tumours        | 10          | 14          |
| Phaeochromocytoma        | 1 (10%)     | 0           |
| Mediastinal PGL          | 3 (30%)     | 0           |
| Abdominal PGL            | 2 (20%)     | 4 (28.6%)   |
| Head and neck PGL        | 4 (40%)     | 10 (71.4%)  |
| Screening modality       |             |             |
| detected by (n,%)        |             |             |
| Cross-sectional imaging  | 8 (80%)     | 14 (100%)   |
| Metanephrine testing     | 3 (30%)     | 1 (7.1%)    |
| Patient number (kindred) | Pathogenic variant | Gender | Tumours | Age | Tumour size (mm) | MRI | Biochemistry | Treatment |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------|---------|-----|-----------------|-----|--------------|-----------|
| 1 (1) | SDHB c.587G>a | F | Mediastinal PGL | 20 | 15 | + | - | Excision |
| 2 (1) | SDHB c.587G>a | M | Abdominal PGL | 49 | 36 | + | + (NMA) | Excision |
| 3 (2) | SDHB Exon 1 deletion | M | PCC Mediastinal PGL (synchronous) | 59 | 11, 15 | + (PCC) - (thoracic) | - | Excision (both) |
| 4 (2) | SDHB Exon 1 deletion | M | Mediastinal PGL | 63 | 25 | - | + (NMA) | Excision |
| 5 (3) | SDHB c.689G>A | M | HNPGL (CBT) | 34 | 11 | + | - | Excision |
| 6 (4) | SDHB c.688C>T | M | HNPGL (CBT) | 55 | 12 | + | - | Monitoring |
| 7 (5) | SDHB c.689G>A | F | Abdominal PGL | 48 | 7 | + | - | Monitoring |
| 8 (6) | SDHB c.298T>C | M | HNPGL (vagal) | 43 | 40 | + | - | Excision |
| 9 (7) | SDHB c.600G>T | M | HNPGL (vagal) Incidental adrenal adenoma | 53 | 37 | + | + (NMA) | Monitoring |
| 10 (8) | SDHD c.296delT | M | Abdominal PGL | 20 | 26 | + | - | Excision |
| 11 (9) | SDHD c.242C>T | M | 2x synchronous HNPGL (CBTs) 2x synchronous HNPGLs (vagal) tumours 9 years later | 46 | 24, 12 (CBT) 7, 10 (vagal) | + | - (for all tumours) | Excision (all) |
| 12 (10) | SDHD c.14G>A | F | HNPGL (CBT) | 74 | 21 | + | - | Excision |
| 13 (10) | SDHD c.14G>A | M | 2x synchronous abdominal PGLs | 18 | 25, 13 | + | + (NMA & 3-MT) | Excision (both) |
| 14 (10) | SDHD c.14G>A | M | Abdominal PGL | 19 | 8 | + | - | Monitoring |
| 15 (11) | SDHD c.144_145dupC A | M | HNPGL (CBT) | 15 | 40 | + | - | Excision |
| 16 (12) | SDHD c.242C>T | F | HNPGLs (bilateral CBTs) | 36 | 17, 7 | + | - | Excision (17mm) |
|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17 (12) | *SDHD*  
c.242C>T | F | HNPGLs  
(left vagal, right CBT) | 33 | 25  
(vagal)  
14  
(CBT) | + | - | Excision  
(both) | 7mm remains in-situ |