Analysis of Haloferax mediterranei Lrp Transcriptional Regulator
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Abstract: Haloferax mediterranei is an extremely halophilic archaeon, able to live in hypersaline environments with versatile nutritional requirements, whose study represents an excellent basis in the field of biotechnology. The transcriptional machinery in Archaea combines the eukaryotic basal apparatus and the bacterial regulation mechanisms. However, little is known about molecular mechanisms of gene expression regulation compared with Bacteria, particularly in Haloarchaea. The genome of Hfx. mediterranei contains a gene, lrp (HFX_RS01210), which encodes a transcriptional factor belonging to Lrp/AsnC family. It is located downstream of the glutamine synthetase gene (HFX_RS01205), an enzyme involved in ammonium assimilation and amino acid metabolism. To study this transcriptional factor more deeply, the lrp gene has been homologously overexpressed and purified under native conditions by two chromatographic steps, namely nickel affinity and gel filtration chromatography, showing that Lrp behaves as tetrameric protein of approximately 67 kDa. Its promoter region has been characterized under different growth conditions using bgaH as a reporter gene. The amount of Lrp protein was also analyzed by Western blotting in different nitrogen sources and under various stress conditions. To sum up, regarding its involvement in the nitrogen cycle, it has been shown that its expression profile does not change in response to the nitrogen sources tested. Differences in its expression pattern have been observed under different stress conditions, such as in the presence of hydrogen peroxide or heavy metals. According to these results, the Lrp seems to be involved in a general response against stress factors, acting as a first-line transcriptional regulator.
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1. Introduction

Haloarchaea are microorganisms belonging to the Archaea domain characterized by high salt requirements, around 10–35% (w/v) for optimal growth [1,2]. In recent decades, these microorganisms attracted scientific attention due to the potential applications of their proteins, enzymes, and different secondary metabolites for biotechnological and industrial purposes [3]. Previous research has pointed out that Hfx. mediterranei is one of the most known Haloarchaea and is considered a model organism to study nitrogen metabolism due to its knowledge in terms of molecular biology and biochemistry [4]. Although enzymes from nitrogen metabolism pathways have been previously studied in this haloarchaeon, little is known about the genetic regulation of these pathways compared with Bacteria.
Therefore, more research is needed to elucidate the molecular mechanism of transcriptional regulator in *Hfx. mediterranei*.

There is little information about the molecular mechanisms of gene expression regulation in members of the *Archaea* domain. The genetic manipulation is still limited compared to the *Bacteria* domain, particularly in halophilic microorganisms. Few researchers have been focused on figuring out the function of transcriptional regulators. The transcriptional machinery in *Archaea* combines the eukaryotic basal apparatus and the bacterial regulation mechanisms. One group of archaeal transcriptional regulators is the leucine-responsive regulatory protein/asparagine synthase C family (*Lrp*/AsnC), also known as feast/famine regulatory proteins (FFRPs) [5]. Members of this family influence the metabolism globally (*Lrp*) or specifically (AsnC). The *Lrp*/AsnC family is the most abundant in archaeal genomes, being represented in almost sequenced genomes to date [6,7]. Apart from *Archaea*, these proteins have also been identified in members from *Bacteria* [8]. Members of the family *Lrp*/AsnC are small DNA-binding proteins containing two domains: the DNA-binding domain and the ligand-binding domain. The DNA-binding domain is also known as helix-turn-helix (HTH) domain. It is located in the N-terminal part of the protein, and it is responsible for the specific DNA interaction. The C-terminal region contains a ligand-binding domain known as the regulation of amino acid metabolism domain (RAM), facilitating the effector binding and/or its oligomerization [8,9]. The most extensively studied protein from this family is an *Lrp* from *E. coli* which acts as a global regulatory protein controlling a regulon encompassing more than 400 genes [10]. This family is considered one of the best-studied families. Indeed, there are previous investigations about proteins from archaeal model organisms such as *Sulfolobus*, *Pyrococcus*, *Methanocaldococcus*, and *Halobacterium* [11–15].

Although the information of *Lrp*/AsnC in Haloarchaea is limited in comparison with bacteria, there is a previous work focused on *Lrp*-like regulators, *LrpA1* and *Lrp*, in *Hbt. salinarum* R1. This work demonstrates that *Lrp* activates the gene expression of the *glnA* gene, influences the peptide and phosphate transport, and participates in the central intermediary metabolism acting as a global transcriptional factor [12]. *Lrp* acts as a global regulator affecting amino acid metabolism regulation, while *LrpA1* has a specific regulatory function targeting an aspartate transaminase gene [12]. Indeed, *Hfx. mediterranei* has several genes that encode *Lrp*/AsnC transcriptional factors, and one of them, *lrp* (HFX_RS01210), is homologous to that of *Hbt. salinarum*. This gene is also located next to the glutamine synthetase gene, *glnA* (HFX_RS01205), an enzyme involved in ammonium assimilation and amino acid metabolism [16,17]. Therefore, this study focuses on expanding the *Lrp*/AsnC family’s knowledge in *Archaea* and analyzing the *lrp* gene involvement in the nitrogen cycle and under stress conditions. The *Lrp* has been homologously overexpressed to reach these aims, and its quaternary structure has been determined. Its expression in the presence of different nitrogen sources and stress conditions has been studied using two different approaches: promoter region characterization using *bgaH* as a reporter gene and protein amount using Western blotting.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bioinformatic Analysis

Bioinformatic analysis was performed to study in-depth the *Lrp*/AsnC family of transcriptional regulators in Haloarchaea. The number of these proteins annotated as *Lrp*/AsnC, and their domain structures were analyzed using the UniProt database (https://www.uniprot.org/, accessed on 20 March 2021). Furthermore, 14 *Lrp* sequences from *Hfx. mediterranei* obtained from the protein database of NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/, accessed on 20 March 2021) were used to construct the phylogenetic tree. Alignments were performed using the software Clustal Omega (ClustalO) as a multiple sequence alignment program (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/, accessed on 20 March 2021) based on the HH algorithm described by Söding [18,19]. Then, a phylogenetic tree was built using
the neighbour-joining method from Clustal Omega. The display, manipulation and annotation of the phylogenetic tree were done using the online tool known as Interactive Tree Of Life (iTol) v4 (https://itol.embl.de/, accessed on 20 March 2021) [20]. Furthermore, another sequence alignment was performed using the previous software, the Clustal Omega, with HTH-domain sequences from Hfx. mediterranei Lrps. Then, the Mview tool (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/mview/, accessed on 20 March 2021) was used to find consensus sequences.

2.2. Strains, Plasmids and Culture Conditions

Escherichia coli strains DH5α for cloning and JM110 for preparing unmethylated DNA for efficient transformation of Hfx. mediterranei were grown overnight in Luria-Bertani medium with ampicillin (100 µg/mL) at 37 °C.

Hfx. mediterranei R4 (ATCC 33500T) and Hfx. mediterranei HM26 (R4-ΔpyrE2) [21] were grown at 42 °C in complex medium (Hm-CM) containing 20% (w/v) seawater (20% SW) [22] and 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract (pH 7.3).

The plasmid used for protein overexpression was pTA1992, kindly provided by Dr Thorsten Allers (University of Nottingham, UK). This vector contains pHV2 origin, pyrE2 and hdrB markers to allow the selection on media lacking uracil and thymidine, and strong p.syn synthetic promoter for constitutive overexpression of halophilic proteins with a N-terminal His-tag and/or a C-terminal StrepII-tag [23,24]. The plasmid used for characterizing the promoter region was pVA315 (12359 bp), kindly provided by Dr Mike Dyall-Smith (University of Melbourne, Australia). This vector contains E. coli pBR322 plasmid ori region, ampicillin resistance (AmpR) gene, the Haloferax phHK2 replica region and novobiocin-resistance (NovoR) gene, enabling maintenance and selection in both hosts. It also contains the β-galactosidase (bgaH) gene from Haloferax luctentense as a reporter gene [25,26].

Hfx. mediterranei minimal medium (Hm-MM) contained a concentration of 20% (w/v) seawater, 10 mM NH₄Cl and 0.25% (w/v) casamino acids (pH 7.3). After autoclaving and cooling, it was supplemented with 50 mM MOPS (3-(N-morpholino) propane sulfonic acid) pH 7.3, 0.03 mM FeCl₃, 1 mM KH₂PO₄ and 7.5 mM CaCl₂ per litre. For solid media, agar (Conda, Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, Spain) was added to a final concentration of 18 g per litter. Hfx. mediterranei defined medium (Hm-DM) was prepared as Hm-MM, but casamino acids were replaced by 20 mM NH₄Cl or KNO₃ as the nitrogen source. It was supplemented as Hm-MM, and 28 mM of glucose was added as the carbon source after autoclaving. To study the effect of nitrogen starvation, Hfx. mediterranei nitrogen starvation medium (Hm-NS) was performed by growing Hm-DM cultures with NH₄Cl as the nitrogen source until the mid-exponential growth phase. To induce the nitrogen starvation, cells were harvested by centrifugation during 20 min at 13,000 rpm, washed with 20% seawater, and then transferred to a medium without a nitrogen source. Hfx. mediterranei carbon starvation medium (Hm-CS) was performed following the same steps as in nitrogen starvation medium but transferring the cells to a medium without carbon source. Cells were subjected to nitrogen or carbon starvation for 96 h. All the culture media were incubated aerobically at 42 °C with shaking (220 rpm).

2.3. Homologous Overexpression of pTA1992.lrp in Hfx. mediterranei HM26

The lrp gene was amplified from Hfx. mediterranei R4 genomic DNA using the forward primer 5′-CACCACCCACCACATGACGTACGAAAAACCTCGATGCC-3′ and the reverse primer 5′-CGGGCTGCAGGAATTCATTCGTCGAGCGC-3′, including restriction sites for EcoRI and BamHI (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), respectively. The plasmid construction and the insert were generated under the manufacturer’s instructions described in the In-Fusion HD cloning kit (Clontech, Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, Spain), retaining the vector’s N-terminal His₆ tag. The resulting ligation was introduced into E. coli DH5α and then into E. coli JM110 using a standard transformation protocol [27]. Following this, Hfx. mediterranei HM26 cells were transformed with the construction pTA1992.lrp.
using a revised version of the protocol mediated by using polyethylene glycol 600 [28] and plated on Hm-MM agar plates. Plates were incubated at 42 °C for 5–7 days until pink colonies were visible. The transformant selection was based on the pyrE2 marker. Selected colonies with pTA1992 lrp were cultured in Hm-MM and grown until the stationary phase. The culture was harvested by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 30 min and resuspended in ice-cold binding buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 1.5 M NaCl, 50 mM imidazole, pH 7.4). Cells were lysed by sonication until the suspension was no longer turbid. The cell lysate was centrifuged, and the supernatant was collected to purify the protein.

2.4. Protein Purification and Determination of Molecular Mass

A two steps purification was performed on an ÄKTA chromatography system (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Cornella de Llobregat, Spain). First, the overexpressed protein was purified by nickel affinity chromatography using a prepacked HisTrap HP 5 mL column following the manufacturer’s indications. Bound protein was eluted in elution buffer containing 500 mM imidazole in the binding buffer. The elution fraction containing the Lrp protein was concentrated using a Vivaspin-20 with a cut off 5 kDa and loaded in Superose6 Increase 10/300 GL column previously equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 150 mM NaCl. Standard proteins for gel filtration chromatography ranging from 6.5 to 660 kDa were used as markers to estimate the protein molecular mass (Gel Filtration Calibration Kit LMW and HMW, Cytiva Europe GMBH) using the same buffer than for Lrp protein.

All fractions were analyzed on 14% SDS-PAGE using PageRuler Plus Prestained Protein Ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) as molecular weight markers. Proteins were detected using Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining.

2.5. Characterization of lrp Promoter Region Using bgaH as a Reporter Gene

The lrp promoter region (p.lrp) was amplified from Hfx. mediterranei R4 genomic DNA using the forward primer 5′-TTGTCTTCCGTCATTTTCCTGAACAT-3′ and the reverse primer 5′-CGCATCCATGGTTTCGTACGTCAT-3′ including restriction sites for HindIII and NcoI (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), respectively. This promoter region was cloned in pGEM-T Easy Vector Systems (Promega, Barcelona, Spain) and subsequently into the pVA513 expression vector. Hfx. mediterranei R4 cells were transformed with pVA513 p.lrp as was described previously, and the transformants were selected on agar plates containing 0.3 µg/mL of novobiocin. The promoter region of lrp was characterized in different culture media (Table 1) by measuring β-galactosidase activity at the mid-exponential phase (OD600 1.5). The β-galactosidase activity was determined by using o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) and the bgaH buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2, 2.5 M NaCl, 10 µM MnCl2, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol) [25]. Cells pellets were resuspended to 20% (w/v) in bgaH buffer and incubated for 3 min at 40 °C with ONPG. The increase in absorbance at 405 nm was recorded for 5 min to measure β-galactosidase activity. The activity measurements were performed in triplicates, and the protein concentration of extracts was determined by the Bradford assay [29]. The results of β-galactosidase activity at the mid-exponential phase were represented in graphs using GraphPad Prism (Version 8). All values in figures are expressed as the mean of three replicates ± the standard deviation.
Table 1. Culture media used in the β-galactosidase assay.

| Description                                    | Culture Media |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Complex medium                               | Hm-CM         |
| Nitrogen and carbon conditions               | Hm-DM in the presence of 5–40 mM (5, 20 and 40 mM) ammonium or nitrate as the nitrogen source and 0.5% (w/v) glucose as the carbon source. |
| Different nitrogen source and concentrations | Hm-DM in the presence of 20 mM ammonium or nitrate as the nitrogen source and 0.05–1% (w/v) (0.05, 0.5 and 1%) glucose as the carbon source. |
| Different carbon source and concentrations   | Hm-DM         |
| Starvation of nitrogen                       | Hm-NS         |
| Starvation of carbon                         | Hm-CS         |
| Stress conditions *                          |               |
| Oxidative stress                             | Hm-DM cultures were grown to OD₆₀₀ of 0.8 (mid-exponential phase) before adding H₂O₂ ranging from 2 to 14 mM (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 mM). |
| Metal stress                                  | Hm-DM cultures containing 0.4 and 1.6 mM nickel (Ni²⁺); 2 and 12 mM arsenic (As³⁺); 0.2 and 1.2 mM cobalt (Co²⁺); and 1.2 and 12 mM lithium (Li⁺). |

* The addition of hydrogen peroxide and heavy metals were performed as [30]. All the media were inoculated at OD₆₀₀ 0.02 with pre-adapted cells. Three independent biological replicates of each condition were performed, and all the cultures contained 0.3 µg/mL novobiocin.

2.6. Western Blot Analysis

Western blot assays were performed to analyze the abundance of Lrp in cell extracts of *Hfx. mediterranei* R4 strain in the same growing conditions described in Table 1. Pellets were resuspended to 30% (w/v) in 138 mM NaCl, 54 mM Na₂HPO₄·2H₂O, 1.5 mM NaH₂PO₄, 3 mM KCl (pH 7.5). Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assay. 20 µg of protein was separated in 14% SDS-PAGE, transferred onto PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Cornella de Llobregat, Spain) and probed with a primary polyclonal rabbit antibody anti-Lrp (0.3 µg/mL) (GenScript, NJ, USA). The protein of interest was detected with an anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated antibody (1:50,000) (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and visualized with Amersham ECL Prime Western blotting Detection Reagent (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Cornella de Llobregat, Spain). The overexpressed protein was used as the positive control.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Lrp/AsnC Transcriptional Factor in Haloarchaea

Genome analysis was performed to obtain information about the distribution and domain structure of *lrp/asnC* genes in halophilic archaea. The analysis of all halophilic archaeal families' genomes is summarized in Table 2. In general, halophilic microorganisms encode many Lrp/AsnC proteins in their genomes; consequently, it is reasonable to think that these transcriptional factors play crucial roles in cells. The analysis of amino acid sequences revealed that most of the halophilic archaeal Lrp/AsnC proteins contain the DNA-binding domain (HTH) as well as the ligand-binding domain (RAM). That is also the typical domain structure found in the Lrp/AsnC proteins in *E. coli*. The DNA-binding domain represents the most conserved part of the amino acid sequence. These results reveal that haloarchaeal transcriptional factors comprise a significant proportion of double
domain Lrp/AsnC proteins, although some proteins only contain a single domain, the HTH or RAM domain.

Table 2. Proteins annotated as Lrp/AsnC in halophilic archaeal families and their protein domain structures.

| Families         | Number of Lrp | HTH + Ligand-Binding | HTH + TRASH | HTH + TrkA_C |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Haloarculaceae   | 426           | 63.7%                 | 15%         | 19.7%        | 1.4%         | 0.2%         |
| Halobacteriaceae | 344           | 62.8%                 | 10.8%       | 19.8%        | 3.5%         | 3.1%         |
| Halococcaceae    | 48            | 91.9%                 | 5.4%        | 2.7%         | -            | -            |
| Halofaraceae     | 818           | 62.9%                 | 15.7%       | 17.2%        | 1.9%         | 2.3%         |
| Halorubraceae    | 594           | 88.3%                 | 4.5%        | 4.8%         | 0.7%         | 0.7%         |
| Natrialbaceae    | 731           | 60.2%                 | 16.2%       | 21.4%        | 1.4%         | 0.2%         |

* Genus studied in each family and number of Lrp/AsnC proteins are shown in Table S1.

Furthermore, some species of these families present another catalytic motif: the TrkA_C or the TRASH domain. Both domains were not previously identified in prokaryotic proteins, and they are present in all the Haloarchaea families except in the Halococcaceae family. This family has the highest percentage of Lrps with the HTH and the ligand-binding domain comparing to other halophilic archaeal families. The Halobacteriaceae family has the highest number of Lrps containing the TRASH and TrkA_C domain with 3.5% and 3.1%, respectively. Besides, comparing the percentages of the domain structure among families, it has been found that it is more frequent to find Lrps containing the ligand-binding domain than the DNA-binding domain.

Intriguingly, it seems that the number of lrp genes and nutritional requirements are directly related in Archaea. Organisms such as methanogens, mostly autotrophically living in habitats with specific nutritional requirements, exhibit a limited number of regulators belonging to the Lrp/AsnC family. Nevertheless, archaea with a high metabolic diversity usually contain many of these transcriptional regulators [9]. *Hfx. mediterranei* is metabolically very versatile, growing using a wide range of carbon and nitrogen sources and, even, in the presence of heavy metals [30,31]. The high number of Lrp/AsnC proteins, compared with other haloarchaeal species, may allow *Hfx. mediterranei* using a wide range of nutrients.

It is necessary to determine the function of Lrp protein in regulating gene expression and discover their level of involvement in physiological and biochemical cell processes to unlock new biotechnological and industrial applications of these microorganisms.

3.2. Phylogeny and Lrp/AsnC Proteins Domains in *Hfx. mediterranei* R4

*Hfx. mediterranei* has 14 homologs of Lrp/AsnC proteins whose phylogeny, length and domain identification of each one of them are shown in Figure 1. The average number of amino acid residues in this family is around 160. However, *Hfx. mediterranei* contains some Lrp/AsnC proteins that differ in the length expected, having longer chains (up to 247–253 amino acid residues) or shorter chains (up to 77–78 amino acid residues).

To explore the phylogenetic relationships among members of *Hfx. mediterranei* Lrp/AsnC family, specifically the Lrp protein of interest in this study (WP_004058341.1), a phylogenetic tree was constructed (Figure 1), and a total of 14 available sequences coding for Lrp/AsnC proteins were analyzed. This phylogenetic tree shows a clear and early divergence of the branches. This matches what is expected because of the low sequence conservation of this family of transcriptional regulators. The Lrp (WP_004058341.1) contains the DNA-binding domain and the ligand-binding domain.
Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree with 14 sequences of Lrps from *Hfx. mediterranei* and their Protein domain structure. In bold the Lrp protein of interest in this study; in parenthesis the number of amino acids residues; in purple the DNA-binding domain (HTH); in green the ligand-binding domain (RAM); in yellow the TrkA_C domain; and in pink the TRASH domain. Asterisks indicate lower similarity with the query RAM domain PF01037 from PFAM.

Furthermore, it has been reported that from Lrps identified in archaeal sequences, many of them have around 160 amino acids, which are known as full length. A full-length Lrp protein is composed of an N-terminal DNA-binding (HTH) domain and a C-terminal ligand (RAM) domain. However, other archaeal Lrps have only around 80 amino acid residues known as demi Lrps, which lack the HTH domain and cannot bind to DNA [32]. According to Figure 1, most of the Lrp proteins in *Hfx. mediterranei* have the DNA-binding (HTH) domain as well as the ligand-binding (RAM) domain, but there are some exceptions: (i) two proteins containing fewer amino acid residues presenting only the ligand-binding domain (WP_004059678.1 and WP_004059676.1); (ii) two Lrp contain the DNA-binding domain (WP_004060507.1 and WP_004060953.1); (iii) three proteins containing a higher number of amino acid residues present an additional domain in the C-terminal part of the protein. This additional domain can be a TrkA_C domain (WP_004056739.1 and WP_004056739.1) or a TRASH domain (WP_004059113.1). The exact function of the TrkA_C domain remains unknown. The exact function of this domain remains unknown. However, it is predicted to bind unidentified ligands and to regulate sulfate, sodium, and other transporters [33]. The presence of the TRASH domain in the Lrp (WP_004059113.1), apart from the other two domains, suggests that it may be involved in metal coordination [34].

The results from Figure 1 can be compared with previous research about the evolution of homologous transcriptional factors belonging to the Lrp/AsnC family in *Hbt. salinarum* NRC-1, where the eight Lrps homologs are full-length, and there is another additional Lrp that contains only the ligand-binding domain, missing the DNA-binding domain [35]. Both haloarchaea have a high number of these transcriptional factors in common compared with the average sequenced archaeal genomes with 5 ± 4 homologs suggesting that progenitors from many of the Lrps were present in a common ancestor. Due to that fact, it can be explained the functional and functional divergence between the homologs Lrps from *Hfx.*
mediatei. An example of this divergence is the appearance of new domains as the TrkA_C and TRASH domain.

To deeply understand how the fourteen members of this family have diverged, it would be helpful to know how these transcriptional regulators act and which function they play. All the Lrps from Hfx. mediterranei will have different roles in the microorganism as they have variations in the DNA-binding domain, in the ligand-binding domain, or the effector molecule.

The most conserved part of the Lrp/AsnC proteins is the HTH domain, although their sequence conservation is only 20–30%. The Lrps from Hfx. mediterranei containing this DNA-binding domain were used to perform a sequence alignment using ClustalO and Mview (Figure 2) to study the consensus sequences of the HTH domain. WP_004059678.1 and WP_004059676.1 were not included because they lack the HTH domain.

![Figure 2](clustal_alignment.png)

**Figure 2.** Clustal alignment with Mview of the HTH domain from Hfx. mediterranei. Screenshot of multiple sequences alignment generated by ClustalO and viewed using Mview option. h: hydrophobic; l: aliphatic; t: turnlike; s: small; p: polar.

### 3.3. Gene-Environment

As it has been described above, Hfx. mediterranei genome contains an lrp gene (object of this study) located next to the glnA gene. This gene arrangement is also conserved in Hbt. salinarum. Therefore, to find out if this arrangement has some influence on glnA gene expression in Hfx. mediterranei, both the lrp gene-environment and amino acid sequences were analyzed (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S1). In both species, the lrp gene is located downstream of the glnA gene in the opposite direction, having separated promoters. In other organisms, such as Haloarcula hispanica, Haloquadratum walsbyi or Haloarcula tiamatea, the lrp gene is located upstream and in the opposite direction of glnA (Figure 3). In these species, the Lrp also contains the HTH domain and the ligand-binding as the Lrp from Hfx. mediterranei. Therefore, it is typical to find the glnA near the Lrp transcriptional regulator in many halophiles species. Supplementary Figure S1 shows the alignment between the Lrp (HFX_RS01210) from Hfx. mediterranei with Lrp (OE_RS08085) from Hbt. salinarum. This sequence alignment has shown that the DNA-binding (HTH) domain is a conserved region, and both transcriptional regulators are very similar, with 73.4% identity and 85.1% similarity (Supplementary Figure S1). The Lrp/AsnC family is characterized by relatively low sequence conservation with a sequence identity between 20–30% [9]. However, these results considering the HTH domain show high sequence conservation. The highest identity is found in the N-terminal region, where the HTH-DNA-binding domain is located. Indeed, the degree of identity increases by analyzing only the N-terminal region of the proteins. Comparative sequence analysis of the known proteins belonging to the Lrp/AsnC family was performed using protein BLAST. The highest identity score (98.03%) among all Lrp/AsnC proteins from all organisms, and the Lrp as transcriptional regulator object of this study by comparing all the amino acid sequences, can be found in Haloferax mucosum (WP_008319674.1).
Figure 3. Gene-environment of lrp genes in halophilic organisms. In Hfx. mediterranei and Hbt. salinarum, lrp is located downstream of the glnA gene. In Har. hispanica, Hlt. litchfieldiae, Hwr. walsbyi or Hrd. tiamatea, the lrp is located upstream of the glnA. Both genes are orientated in opposite directions. In green lrp gene and purple glnA.

3.4. Overexpression, Purification and Determination of the Molecular Mass of Hfx. mediterranei Lrp

E. coli has been possibly the most used bacteria for heterologous gene expression in prokaryotes and eukaryotes [32-34]. However, using E. coli as the host for the expression of proteins from halophiles has several limitations due to the nature of these proteins, which have a high content of acidic amino acid residues aspartate and glutamate on the surface of the proteins, and high salt concentration requirements [35-37]. These low ionic strength limitations can cause difficulties since halophilic proteins fail to fold into their native state and aggregate into an insoluble fraction known as inclusion bodies. Therefore, few proteins from Hfx. mediterranei have been successfully overexpressed in E. coli [38-41]. The recombinant proteins are usually obtained as inclusion bodies, which are solubilized in the presence of buffers containing urea and refolded in hypsraline solutions to recover their native structure [42,43]. However, homologous overexpression using a halophilic host avoid these disadvantages. Previous works have shown a system for the homologous overexpression and purification of halophilic proteins under native conditions in the haloarchaeon Hfx. volcanii [44-46]. There is a previous attempt of homologous overexpression of Cu-NirK from Hfx. mediterranei using Hfx. volcanii as halophilic host and an expression vector with a constitutive and strong promoter [47].

Nevertheless, this is the first report of a homologous overexpression and purification of a Hfx. mediterranei protein in the same microorganism, using a native expression system with His-tagged, which improves purification yield and enrichment [48]. Methods for the production and purification of haloarchaeal proteins are essential for subsequent biotechnological applications.

As previously explained, a native expression system was used to obtain the Lrp protein [48]. The lrp gene was cloned into the pTA1992 plasmid containing an N-terminal hexahistidine (6xHis) tag. The Lrp protein was homologously overexpressed in Hfx. mediterranei HM26 and the purification procedure involved two chromatographic steps. The Lrp protein band appeared highly pure in 16 kDa (Figure 4). The purification scheme is summarized in Table 3.
Members of the Lrp/AsnC family are small proteins that typically have a subunit molecular mass between 15 and 17 kDa. In the case of the Lrp protein, the experimental molecular mass in the Superose6 Increase 10/300 GL gel filtration chromatography showed that the most biologically feasible structure of Lrp is a tetrameric protein of approximately 67 kDa. Standard proteins were used as markers (Supplementary Figures S2 and S3) to estimate this result. The theoretical molecular mass of the native Lrp by electrophoresis under denaturing conditions, for the tetramer of 16 kDa per subunit, is 64 kDa. The size of the overexpressed protein was a bit higher than expected due to the SDS-PAGE technique causes an overestimation of the molecular mass of halophilic proteins due to the negative charges [49]. This tetrameric structure is an expected result since Lrp/AsnC transcriptional regulators can form diverse multimers such as dimers, tetramers, octamers [15,50,51]. An Lrp from the archaeon Pyrococcus furiosus [52] has a tetrameric conformation as the Lrp from this study.

3.5. Characterization of lrp Promoter Region Using β-Galactosidase as a Reporter Gene

The characterization of the promoter region of the lrp gene (Figure 5) [53] was carried out using the bgaH gene from Hfx. lucentense as a reporter gene by measuring its specific activity using different culture media at the mid-exponential phase. The results are summarized in Figure 5. Remarkably, there are no studies reported comparing the activity of a lrp promoter in different media in Haloarchaea. In Hm-CM, the promoter activity was...
0.1 U/mg. The specific activity was measured in Hm-DM containing different ammonium or nitrate and glucose concentrations as the nitrogen and carbon sources, respectively (Figure 6A). The best values of specific activity were obtained when cells grew in 40 mM of ammonium or nitrate and 1% glucose. In contrast, the lowest activity was reached with cells grown with 20 mM of ammonium or nitrate and 0.05% of glucose. However, no significant differences in the promoter activity have been identified between cultures shifted to nitrogen or carbon starvation conditions (Figure 6B). Under carbon and nitrogen starvation, there is a low lrp expression (around 0.01 U/mg). Therefore, it seems that the lrp showed a basal expression at the transcriptional level.

Figure 5. Possible elements of the promoter region. In green the TATA-box; in pink, the BRE-element; in blue, the -11/-10 motif; in yellow, the transcriptional start site; and in bold, the ATG of the lrp gene.

Figure 6. β-galactosidase specific activity of p.lrp in cellular extracts from *Hfx. mediterranei* R4 from different culture media. (a) Hm-DM containing different concentrations of ammonium or nitrate as the nitrogen source and different concentrations of glucose as the carbon source; (b) Hm-NS and Hm-CS at different times of starvation (24, 48, 72 and 96 h); (c) Hm-DM with hydrogen peroxide from 0 to 14 mM; (d) Hm-DM with Ni$^{2+}$ (0.4 and 1.6 mM), Co$^{2+}$ (0.2 and 1.2 mM), As$^{3+}$ (2 and 12 mM) and Li$^+$ (1.2 and 12 mM). n.d. (non detected).

Lrp transcriptional regulators seem to play an essential role in the energy, central metabolism, and coordinating the metabolism in response to environmental alterations. Therefore, culture media adding different external stressors were tested to find a medium where this transcriptional regulator presents changes in its expression to elucidate its function.
On the one hand, there are some evidences about transcriptional factors involved in gene expression regulation under oxidative stress conditions; for example, the MsvR transcriptional factor from *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* regulates the expression of an oxidative stress operon [54]. The ArsR family of transcriptional regulators is usually linked to oxidative conditions because of the existence in their structure of a redox-sensing domain. Moreover, previous investigations in the haloarchaeon *Hbt. salinarum* showed that transcriptional factors Lrp/AsnC were down-regulated in response to oxidative stress conditions after adding 25 mM of hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) [55]. Therefore, the promoter activity was measured in cell cultures after adding different concentrations of hydrogen peroxide. However, *Hfx. mediterranei* tolerates concentrations lower than *Hbt. salinarum*, cells could not grow above 16 mM H$_2$O$_2$. For this reason, the tested concentrations were not higher [30]. At low concentrations, between 2 and 8 mM H$_2$O$_2$, the promoter activity is not detected, while the activity of β-galactosidase slightly increases at higher concentrations (14 mM) of hydrogen peroxide (Figure 6C).

On the other hand, as some transcriptional factors can interact with metal ions, four different heavy metals were added to the culture media to show how the promoter’s activity is affected (Figure 6D). At low concentrations of both, Ni$^{2+}$ and Li$^+$, the β-galactosidase specific activity reported was higher than at high concentrations. In contrast to these results, at low concentrations of Co$^{2+}$, the specific activity was detected. However, no activity was reported at higher concentrations. Curiously, in the case of As$^{5+}$, no specific activity was detected at any concentration. The promoter expression is inhibited. With all these results, it could be said that metals may have some effect on the molecular mechanism involved in the expression of *lrp* gene.

In bacteria, the Lrp/AsnC transcriptional regulators only recognize amino acids as ligand molecules, while archaeal Lrp/AsnC proteins may interact with other small molecules as ligands [9]. Although amino acids are the most typical ligands of most characterized Lrp/AsnC transcriptional factors, maybe heavy metals can also act as ligands for this Lrp, being recognized in the ligand-binding domain. This domain is responsible for sensing environmental changes, often interacting with small molecules such as metal ions. The four metals (Li$^+$, Co$^{2+}$, As$^{5+}$, and Ni$^{2+}$) tested in this assay are tolerated by *Hfx. mediterranei* and incorporated into its cellular interior [30]. There is a previous assay about a bacterial heavy-metal resistance system controlled by an Lrp-type transcriptional regulator in *Bacillus subtilis* [56]. For this reason, why not think that these metals may be acting as ligands binding to the Lrp, inducing conformational changes in the structure that may affect the DNA binding by changing the DNA binding affinity? Maybe, the presence of the metal controls the expression of the Lrp.

### 3.6. Western Blot

Western blot was performed to analyze in more detail the expression conditions of Lrp in *Hfx. mediterranei* R4, according to the culture media composition. The cell extracts were prepared as previously detailed in Materials and Methods. Results obtained show that Lrp protein is expressed in almost all conditions analyzed (Figure 7). On the one hand, to validate if the glucose concentration influences the expression of this regulator, the samples were collected maintaining the concentration of ammonium or nitrate at 20 mM, but changing the glucose concentration (1%, 0.5%, 0.25%, 0.1%, 0.05%, and 0.005%) (Figure 7A,B). The expression detected adding 20 mM of ammonium as the nitrogen source and different concentrations of glucose as the carbon source was almost identical, indicating that in the presence of ammonium as the nitrogen source, the glucose concentration does not induce any difference in the expression of this transcriptional regulator (Figure 7A). However, maintaining the nitrate concentration at 20 mM and changing the glucose concentration, a decrease in the protein expression was obtained according to the increase of glucose concentration (Figure 7B).
On the other hand, to validate if the amount of nitrogen source influences the expression of the Lrp, culture media with 0.5% glucose as the carbon source and different concentrations of nitrate or ammonium as the nitrogen source were tested (5, 10, 20, 30 and 40 mM). As shown in Figure 7C,D, Western blotting revealed that there is no different amount of Lrp protein in the different samples tested. Furthermore, under carbon or nitrogen deficiency conditions, the detected protein signal is lower (Figure 7E,F). However, these differences may be due to the increase in the number of dead cells. All these results may indicate that the expression of the Lrp is not significantly influenced by the carbon or nitrogen source.

Otherwise, the same stress conditions tested in the β-galactosidase assay were analyzed by Western blot. The amount of the Lrp protein was detected after adding different concentrations of hydrogen peroxide. When the cultures contained low concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ (2–8 mM), the Lrp protein was not detected, while above 10 mM the expression appeared (Figure 7G). The addition of heavy metals (Ni$^{2+}$, Li$^+$, As$^{5+}$, Co$^{2+}$) was also studied (Figure 7H). At low and high concentrations of both Ni$^{2+}$ and Li$^+$, the Lrp was detected. However, at high Co$^{2+}$ concentrations, the protein was not detected, while at low concentrations, the protein was detected. No protein was detected using As$^{5+}$. All these data obtained by Western blot agree with the characterization of its promoter in the previous section. Therefore, it can be considered that this transcriptional regulator...
has a basal expression due to its implication in different procedures, acting as a global regulator in the transcription. No difference has been found between the level of expression depending on the nitrogen source. Even though this transcriptional regulator recognizes the nasABC promoter, an enzyme involved in nitrogen metabolism [57], the Lrp expression may vary depending on the ligands changing their regulation mechanism and having different functions.

4. Conclusions

The *Hfx. mediterranei* Lrp transcriptional factor (HFX_RS01210) has been studied in-depth using different approaches to determine its biochemical characteristics and elucidate its expression under different conditions. First, the Lrp has been homologously overexpressed in *Hfx. mediterranei* HM26, employing an expression plasmid developed for halophilic archaea. It is the first overexpression followed by purification of a recombinant protein using *Hfx. mediterranei* as host. The Lrp protein has been purified in its native form by two chromatographic steps, appearing highly pure in SDS-PAGE (16 kDa) and showing that Lrp is a tetrameric protein of approximately 67 kDa, a characteristic structure for most Lrp/AsnC proteins.

It can be deduced that the *lrp* expression is not directly dependent on the nitrogen source, taking into account the data obtained on the characterization of the *lrp* promoter region and the protein expression profile. Although the level of expression does not change, maybe the binding of unknown ligands modulates the activity or the function of the Lrp. Therefore, future assays to elucidate its regulation mechanism will be needed. Interestingly, more relevant results have been obtained by stressing *Hfx. mediterranei*, showing differential expression of Lrp at the transcriptional and translational level. Lrp is expressed in the presence of high concentrations of hydrogen peroxide and the presence of some metals. There was no expression at a high concentration of cobalt and arsenic. Therefore, it can be hypothesized that the binding of ligands is modulating the function of Lrp under these conditions, stabilizing or destabilizing particular types of assemblies. On the basis of these results, it appears that the Lrp could be acting in vivo as a stress regulator of metabolism.
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