Engineering Bacteria to Produce Pure Phage-like Particles for Gene Delivery
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ABSTRACT: Natural and engineered phages have been used in many applications, but their use to deliver user-defined genetic cargoes has been hampered by contamination with replicative phage, restricting use of the technology beyond the laboratory. Here we present a method to produce transducing particles without contamination. In addition, we demonstrate the use of a helper phage-free transducing particle preparation as an antimicrobial agent. This will pave the way for the development of new phage-based technologies with greater scope than lytic phage therapy.
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Transduction is a process in which bacterial nucleic acids are transferred from one bacterial cell to another by bacteriophage structural proteins. The assembly comprising virion proteins and the nonbacteriophage nucleic acid cargo is called a transducing particle. In the preparation of transducing particles, structural proteins are supplied by a helper bacteriophage. Typically, cells containing the cargo to be transduced are infected with helper bacteriophage, which carries out its lytic reproductive cycle, during which, some nonbacteriophage nucleic acid is packaged into bacteriophage capsids, yielding transducing particles. Upon cell lysis, helper phage progeny and transducing particles are released, which can infect recipient cells, either continuing the helper bacteriophage lytic cycle or completing transduction, respectively.

Bacteriophage transduction has been proposed as an alternative to bacteriophage therapy in which lytic phages are used, for the following reasons: (1) Bacteriophage transduction is independent of the processes that occur within the recipient cell as there is no requirement for the interaction of host/parasite gene networks for replication, virion assembly, and cell exit, as with native bacteriophages. Thus, the specificity of a transducing particle could be reprogrammed to new hosts solely on the basis of receptor recognition and DNA injection, without the need to identify a cognate bacteriophage, as would be required for traditional bacteriophage therapy; (2) As transducing particles are nonreplicative, their route to market may be simpler than that of whole bacteriophages because, clearly, the release of nonreplicative transducing particles is more easily controllable than whole bacteriophages; (3) Transduction is more flexible than bacteriophage therapy using lytic phages. While lytic bacteriophage therapy is restricted to killing bacterial cells, transduction could be used to introduce a variety of user-defined genetic constructs to bacterial cells, including antibiotic sensitization cassettes, antigens for the in situ production of vaccines, lysins to selectively kill the targeted bacteria, or biofilm-degrading enzymes, among others. Because of the many attractive features of bacteriophage transduction, it is being actively researched to develop novel technologies and is being used as a research tool.

We are interested in P2 bacteriophage as a candidate for bacteriophage transduction for the following reason: Its nonspecific DNA injection mechanism—the step following the receptor-specific adsorption step—suggests it could be reprogrammed by mutagenesis to recognize and deliver DNA to alternative hosts—as was demonstrated with the related R-type pyocins—broadening its range of applications.

However, P2 bacteriophage, once established as a prophage, is noninducible, thus it cannot perform as a helper
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bacteriophage in transducing particle preparation, since the necessary structural proteins will not be expressed. Thus, the lytic variant P2vir1 must be used as a helper bacteriophage for transduction. However, this method yields preparations of transducing particles that are contaminated with helper bacteriophage (Figure 1A). Heat-inducible variants of the P2 lysogen can be used as helper bacteriophage to produce transducing particles. However, these retain the P2 packaging signal and thus retain the possibility of helper bacteriophage contamination in the transducing particle preparation.

Helper bacteriophage contamination renders transducing particle preparations unsuitable for clinical trials or pharmaceutical use, as it would be necessary to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the transducing particles and the helper phage separately and in combination. If they cannot be separated, they cannot be tested in isolation.

Contamination by helper bacteriophage is not unique to the preparation of P2-derived transducing particles. Bikard et al. used the Staphylococcus aureus bacteriophage ΦNM1 as a helper bacteriophage to package a ΦNM1-derived phagemid. In this system, 24% of the total bacteriophage particles contained the user-defined DNA and the remaining 76% were wild-type helper bacteriophage contaminants. Because of this contamination, experiments had to be performed using an immune bacterial strain (ΦNM1 lysogen) as the recipient strain, to prevent lysis by the contaminant.

In addition, the preparation of T7 bacteriophage-derived transducing particles yields contaminated preparations. Yosef et al. produced transducing particles with a tail fiber-deficient variant of T7 as the helper bacteriophage. However, despite this measure, when delivering the cargo, the T7-insensitive E. coli variant ΔtrxA had to be used to prevent cell lysis by the helper bacteriophage contamination. Chung and Hinkle reported a ~1:1 ratio of transducing particles to helper bacteriophage yielded by this method and removed the contamination by cesium chloride sedimentation. An ideal method would have no helper bacteriophage contamination in the first place.

To eliminate contamination, others have avoided helper bacteriophage altogether and opted to genetically modify a bacteriophage instead, using this to deliver genetic cargo. Edgar et al. developed a system for the sensitization of pathogenic bacteria to antibiotics, delivering genetic material to recipient cells with λ bacteriophage-derived virions. The genetic material delivered contained all the information required to produce infectious virions and the user-defined genetic cargo. Furthermore, Yosef et al. modified the genome of a λ prophage by homologous recombination. Upon induction of the modified prophage, bacteriophage particles were produced and later used to introduce the modified DNA into a recipient bacterial strain. Again, the particles contained all the information required to produce infectious virions and the user-defined genetic cargo. While these two approaches yield preparations of particles that contained no helper bacteriophage, as they contained a self-replicating, antibiotic resistance-encoding element, there would likely be regulatory issues during commercialization. It is thus important to separate the delivered cargo from the DNA that encodes the proteins involved in the bacteriophage reproductive cycle, which is achieved with a helper bacteriophage.

The helper bacteriophage contamination problem has been addressed for M13 bacteriophage by supplying bacteriophage proteins from a plasmid that has no packaging signal, then packaging a cosmid (plasmid with a packaging signal). In addition, a method to produce helper bacteriophage-free transducing particles that target Staphylococcus aureus was recently developed. This was achieved by the reengineering of staphylococcal pathogenicity islands to remove toxin genes, and the deletion of the small terminase subunit gene from the genome of the cognate helper bacteriophage. However, the issue has not been addressed for P2 bacteriophage-based transduction.

Presented here is a method to produce pure preparations of P2 bacteriophage-based transducing particles. This is achieved by replacing the packaging signal of a P2 prophage with the delta and epsilon genes from the satellite bacteriophage P4, both under the control of an L-rhamnose-inducible promoter (Figure 1B). The delta gene product is a transcriptional activator of the P2 late genes (lysins and structural proteins), and the epsilon gene product is a derepressor of the same genes. Thus, delta and epsilon trigger the P2 prophage to act as a helper bacteriophage (Figure 1B). This genetic manipulation simultaneously renders the late genes of the lysogen, and thus the production of transducing particles, chemically inducible and abolishes the ability of the P2

Figure 1. Comparison of methods to produce preparations of transducing particles. (A) Method using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage. (B) Method using Escherichia coli strain lysogenized with cos site deficient P2 prophage that encodes chemically inducible P4 bacteriophage proteins as the helper bacteriophage.
genome to be packaged in the resulting bacteriophage particles, since the lysogen has no packaging signal. This method yields transducing particle titers of up to $1.8 \times 10^7$ particles·mL$^{-1}$ with no contamination by helper bacteriophage.

The method developed here enables for the first time the chemically induced production of transducing particles without helper P2 contamination. This could be used to deliver user-defined genetic cargo to a programmable host tropism, for a range of biotechnological purposes. This will enable the production of pharmaceutically suitable preparations, thus making it possible now to deploy P2-based transducing particles beyond the laboratory bench.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Three modified prophages were created by recombineering.$^{26-28}$ The prophage to which all genetic modifications were made in order to generate the mutants here was P2del1 (encoded within *Escherichia coli* C-5545), which has the *del1* mutation to permit nonlethal expression of the lambda red recombinases required for recombineering (Figure 2A,B).
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Figure 2. Relevant genotypes of bacteriophage genetic constructs. (A) Region surrounding the cos site in wild type P2 prophage. (B) The same region in *del1* mutant P2, which is present in *Escherichia coli* C-5545. (C) The same region in P2\Δcos (encoded within *Escherichia coli* C-5545 Δcos). (D) The same region in P2\Δcosδ (encoded within *Escherichia coli* C-5545 Δcosδ). (E) The same region in P2\Δcosδε (encoded within *Escherichia coli* C-5545 Δcosδε).

P2\Δcos (encoded within *E. coli* C-5545 Δcos) (Figure 2C) is a P2 prophage with the cos site replaced by a trimethoprim resistance cassette; P2\Δcosδ (encoded within *E. coli* C-5545 Δcosδ) (Figure 2D) is the same as P2\Δcos but encodes P4 bacteriophage protein *delta* under the control of a rhamnose-inducible promoter; P2\Δcosδε (encoded within *E. coli* C-5545 Δcosδε) (Figure 2E) is the same as P2\Δcosδ, but the trimethoprim resistance cassette was replaced by P4 bacteriophage protein *epsilon* expressed in the same transcript as *delta*, and a hygromycin resistance cassette. The various combinations of *delta* and *epsilon* were necessary to allow their individual contributions to prophage late gene induction to be determined. Correct assembly of the strains was confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

To compare the method presented here to the method using P2vir1 as helper bacteriophage, a standard cosmid was constructed for use in both protocols, pACK57. The pACK57 cosmid was designed such that its size is an integer fraction of the size of the P2 genome, to facilitate packaging of whole multiples of pACK57 into transducing particles. (A limitation of P2 as a transduction vector is that transduction efficiency is optimal when the size of the transduced DNA is an integer fraction of the size of the P2 genome. This must thus be taken into consideration during design. A headful packaging bacteriophage would not have this limitation.) In addition, the high copy number pUC57 vector was chosen to maximize the number of cosmids available for packaging. A kanamycin resistance cassette was included to enable selection of transductants based on antibiotic resistance. Finally, the cos site from P4 bacteriophage was inserted by Gibson assembly.$^{29,30}$ Construction of the cosmid was confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

As the P2vir1-derived transducing particle preparations were anticipated to contain helper bacteriophage contamination, all transduction experiments were performed using *E. coli* Δrep as the recipient strain, since it is immune to P2vir1. Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3). Transduction of pACK57 to *E. coli* Δrep using P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage yielded $2.03 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$ ($s = 2.9 \times 10^5$ TFU·mL$^{-1}$; $n = 3$) (Figure 3).
using P2Δcosδ (P = 0.003), demonstrating the necessity of the introduction of the epsilon gene to the prophage.

To test whether the removal of the cos site from the prophage had had the intended effect of eliminating helper bacteriophage contamination, it was next necessary to assess the preparations by plaque assay (Figure 4).
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**Figure 4.** Plaque assays. Comparison of level of helper contamination in transducing particle preparations. The pACK57 cosmid was transduced and E. coli EMG2 was the indicator bacterial strain. **p ≤ 0.01. N.D., not detected (lower limit of detection is 1 PFU·mL⁻¹).**

To quantify the contamination by helper bacteriophage produced by the different methods, transducing particle preparations were subjected to plaque assay against the P2-sensitive E. coli EMG2. This revealed 5.06 × 10⁶ PFU·mL⁻¹ (s = 6.4 × 10⁵ PFU·mL⁻¹; n = 3) in the sample prepared with P2vir1 as the helper bacteriophage (Figure 4). The transduction efficiency (%) (= [TFU/(TFU + PFU)]·mL⁻¹ × 100) when using P2vir1 was thus 28.7% (s = 3.2%; n = 3) (Figure 5).

![Figure 5](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.0c00467)

**Figure 5.** Comparison of transduction efficiencies of different helper bacteriophages. Transduction efficiency (%) calculated as (TFU/(TFU+PFU))·mL⁻¹ × 100.

Plaque assays of samples prepared using P2Δcos as the helper bacteriophage revealed 3.6 × 10⁷ PFU·mL⁻¹ (s = 1.8 × 10⁶ PFU·mL⁻¹; n = 6) (Figures 4 and 5). The transduction efficiency when using P2Δcos was thus 65.1% (s = 30.6%; n = 6) (Figures 4 and 5). We hypothesized that this contamination was due to reconstitution of the wild type sequence of the packaging signal of the prophage by recombination between the pACK57 cosmid and the prophage during bacterial growth. To test this, the transducing particle preparation procedure was repeated in the absence of pACK57, thus removing the substrate for homologous recombination. Plaque assays using such lysates always revealed 0 PFU·mL⁻¹ (n = 6) (Figure 4 "P2Δcos (no cosmid)").

![Figure 6](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.0c00467)

**Figure 6.** PCR to detect encapsidated helper bacteriophage genomes. (M) Molecular-weight size marker. (A) P2vir1 positive control performed with primers targeting P2 sequence. (B) P2Δcos lysate. (C) P2Δcosδ lysate. (D) P2Δcosδ lysate (no cosmid). (E) PCR performed with primers targeting P2 sequence. (ii) PCR performed with primers targeting cosmid (pACK57) sequence.

We further hypothesize that the absence of reconstitution of the wild type sequence of the packaging signal of the prophage, and thus the absence of plaque-forming units, when using P2Δcosδ/δε might be explained by the large insertions of DNA in the former locus of the cos site. We hypothesize that the disparity in sizes of potential recombination substrates caused by the insertion of the delta/epsilon genes might reduce the efficiency of recombination to an extent that prophage reconstitution was never seen during the transducing particle production procedure.

To detect encapsidated, poorly replicative helper bacteriophage genomes, which would not be detectable by plaque assay, lysates were subjected to deoxyribonuclease (DNase) treatment, to digest DNA not contained within transducing particles, then PCR was performed to identify the packaged DNA (Figure 6). Two pairs of primers were designed: one to amplify a 2010 bp region within the P2 genome (P2_GpH_F/R, Table S5); and the other to amplify a 500 bp region within pACK57 (pk2_ins_F/R, Table S5), enabling distinction between the packaged DNA cargos. P2 sequence was detected in the lysate prepared using P2Δcosδ (no cosmid) (Figure 6B). The same PCR was performed...
using P2vir1 as the template and is included as a positive control (Figure 6A). In all instances where pACK57 was intended to be present, it was detected, as indicated by the presence of a 500 bp amplicon (Figure 6B–D). Lysates of all producer strains were positive for both P2 and pACK57 sequences prior to DNase treatment (data not shown), thus the absence of P2 sequence and presence of pACK57 sequence in the DNase-treated samples indicates that the pACK57 DNA was packaged within transducing particles. Furthermore, P2 sequence was not detected when the cosmid was excluded from the E. coli C-5545 Δcos producer strain (Figure 6E), aligned with the result of the plaque assay in Figure 4, which indicated no production of replicative bacteriophage when the cosmid was absent during production. To summarize, in lysates prepared using P2ΔcosO or P2ΔcosOΔε, packaged helper bacteriophage genomes were not detected by PCR, which clearly detected the cosmid, strongly suggesting the absence of encapsidated helper bacteriophage genomes.

The results presented in Figures 3–6 demonstrate that our newly developed method is capable of yielding preparations of transducing particles at titers higher than the established P2vir1-based method, while yielding no helper bacteriophage contamination.

To demonstrate the potential therapeutic utility of bacteriophage transduction, we sought to create an antibacterial preparation of transducing particles free of replicative helper bacteriophage. We thus designed and assembled a cosmid based upon bacteriophage P4. In this assembly, nucleotide positions 247–4065 of the genome of bacteriophage P4 (NCBI reference sequence: NC_001609.1) were replaced by a nucleotide sequence of equal size, which encodes the Escherichia phage MS2 gpL lysis protein, the Enterobacteria phage Phi X174 gpE lysis protein, and the Lambda LysS, LysR, and Rz lysis proteins under the control of the promoter PLtetO-1. To prevent the expression of the cosmid-encoded lysins during transducing particle production, we introduced the Marionette cluster, which encodes the Tet repressor, to the producer cell line by P1 transduction, repressing expression from the PLtetO-1 promoter. Since the P4-based cosmid encoded the _delta_ and _epsilon_ proteins of bacteriophage P4, we used the producer strain that does not encode these two genes, _Escherichia coli_ C-5545 Δcos. The cosmid was introduced to the strain by chemical transformation. The transformed cells were then capable of producing P4-based transducing particles, which in turn could infect untransformed cells of the same strain, amplying the population of transducing particles, with no bacteriophage P2 contamination. The resulting stock of transducing particles was then used to deliver the lysin-encoding cosmid to a culture of _lysin-repression-incompetent_ Escherichia coli EMG2, grown to optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) = 0.2 prior to transduction. The OD_{600} and thus growth and/or lysis of the transduced cells was monitored using a plate reader. A range of multiplicities of infection (MOI) was screened, from 0.2 to 1.0 in increments of 0.2 (Figure 7A), and from 2 to 10 in increments of 2 (Figure 7B). When the MOI was between 0.2 and 1.0 inclusive, the bacterial culture was noticeably lysed, with the extent of lysis correlating with the MOI, but bacterial growth promptly recovered (Figure 7A). At MOI 2, lysis was more substantial, with a less noticeable recovery (Figure 7B). When the MOI was between 4 and 10 inclusive, there was no substantial effect of MOI on the extent of lysis and no cellular recovery was observed, suggesting complete or near-complete bacterial clearance (Figure 7B). Untransduced cells (MOI 0) grew continually throughout the experiment (Figure 7). In addition, plaque assays of the transducing particle preparations, using _Escherichia coli_ EMG2 as the indicator strain revealed no plaques, confirming that they are nonreplicative (data not shown). It was thus concluded that the lysis observed following addition of the transducing particles to the bacterial cells was due to successful delivery of the nucleic acid cargo and expression of the lysins.

The results of the experiment shown in Figure 7 thus demonstrate the potential utility of a helper bacteriophage-free preparation of transducing particles as an antimicrobial agent.

### CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated here a method to produce helper bacteriophage-free preparations of transducing particles, a first for bacteriophage P2. The results presented here are the first step in the development of several technologies based on the P2 virion, without using bacteriophage therapy. These technologies may include—but are not restricted to—particles that sensitize bacteria to antibiotics, particles that deliver the genetic material for the expression of antigens for the _in situ_ production of vaccines, or particles that trigger bacteria to produce biofilm-degrading enzymes. Combined with directed evolution of the tropism-determining elements of the P2 virion, the technologies that could be produced could have very far-reaching impact indeed.
Materials and Methods

Strains (Bacteria and Bacteriophage). Escherichia coli C-5545 and E. coli C-1a were kindly provided by Gianni Dehò, University of Milan. E. coli Δrep was obtained from the Keio collection (Plate 29, well 12B; Keio designation: JW5604-1). E. coli EMG2 ATCC 23716 was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. P2vir1 was kindly provided by Goran Jovanovic, Imperial College London. P1vir1 was kindly provided by Baojun Wang, University of Edinburgh. All bacterial/bacteriophage strains used are summarized in Tables S1 and S2. All bacterial strains original to this study will be made available via the Addgene bacterial strains repository.

Plasmids/Cosmids. The plasmid pPORTMAGE-2 was a gift from Csaba Pál (Addgene plasmid #72677, http://n2t.net/addgene?72677; RRID, Addgene_72677) and was used to supply lambda red recombinases during the recombineering procedure. The pACK57 cosmid was constructed by Gibson assembly, deriving the cos site from bacteriophage P4 (Figure S1; Table S3). All plasmids and cosmids original to this study will be made available via the Addgene plasmid repository.

Recombineering. To edit the genome of the P2 lysogen, recombineering was performed as published. To eliminate lambda-P2 interference, a P2 lysogen variant was used as the strain from which all variants here were derived (Escherichia coli C-5545, which encodes the del1 mutation—the deletion of the old gene—preventing cell death upon induction of lambda proteins). Linear oligonucleotides (Table S4) were used as the recombineering substrate and were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies.

Preparation of Transducing Particles—Prophage Used as Helper Bacteriophage. When using modified/wild type P2 prophage as helper bacteriophage, overnight cultures of the lysogenic production strains containing the cosmid were grown at 30 °C with aeration in 1 mL of Luria−Bertani (LB) broth supplemented with 50 μg·mL−1 kanamycin (to maintain the cosmid), inoculated with glycerol stock stabs. The following day, the overnight cultures were diluted (2 μL of culture in 10 mL of media) in LB broth supplemented with 0.2% L-Rhamnose (to induce of λ) to prevent readsoption of transducing particles to cells or cell debris) and 50 μg·mL−1 kanamycin. Cultures were grown for 24 h at 30 °C with aeration. Chloroform was then added to 10% final concentration. Following brief (~5 s) mixing by vortex, cell debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 2490g for 8 min. The supernatant was aspirated with a serological pipet without disturbing the pellet and then passed through a 0.2 μm syringe filter to remove remaining cells. The pellet was then discarded, and the filtered supernatant was stored at 4 °C.

Preparation of Transducing Particles—P2vir1 Used as Helper Bacteriophage. When P2vir1 was used as the helper bacteriophage, published protocols were followed. The production strain was Escherichia coli C-1a containing the pACK57 cosmid, and LB broth was supplemented with 50 μg·mL−1 kanamycin.

Transducent-Forming Units (TFU) Assays. Recipient strain (Escherichia coli Δrep) was grown overnight at 37 °C with aeration in LB. Prior to addition of transducing particles, CaCl2 was added to the overnight culture, and the culture was incubated at 37 °C with aeration for 15 min. To 100 μL of overnight culture, 100 μL of transducing particle dilution were added. The mixture was mixed by inversion (~5 times), incubated for 10 min at 37 °C without aeration to allow binding of transducing particles to cells, then incubated at 37 °C for 30 min with aeration to allow for expression of antibiotic resistance proteins. The mixtures were then spread on LB agar supplemented with 50 μg·mL−1 kanamycin and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Transducent-forming units were enumerated the following day by counting colonies and expressed as TFU·mL−1.

Plaque-Forming Units (PFU) Assays. Plaque-forming units were enumerated by plaque assay, using Escherichia coli EMG2 as the indicator strain. To 100 μL of Escherichia coli EMG2 overnight culture in LB supplemented with 5 mM CaCl2 (to promote bacteriophage adsorption to the host), 100 μL of lysate dilution was added. Following brief mixing (approximately two seconds on a vortex mixer), the mixture was incubated stationary at 37 °C for 10 min. Three milliliters of soft agar (LB supplemented with 0.75% agar), melted and stored at 42 °C, was added to the mixture, which was briefly mixed by swirling by hand, then poured onto an LB agar (+50 μg·mL−1 kanamycin) plate, which had been preheated to 37 °C. The plate was swirled for even coverage by the soft agar mixture, left to solidify at room temperature for 5 min, then incubated stationary at 37 °C overnight. Plaques were counted the following day and expressed as PFU·mL−1.

Deoxyribonuclease Treatment of Lysates. Genomic DNA in the bacteriophage lysates was digested with Deoxyribonuclease 1 from divine pancreas (Sigma Life Science) as per the manufacturer’s instructions (1 μL of lysate; 1 μL of 10X Buffer; 1 μL of DNase; 7 μL of water). Digestion was allowed to proceed for 15 min at 25 °C. To stop the reaction, 1 μL of stop solution was added to the reaction mixtures. Finally, the DNase in the reaction mixtures was inactivated by incubating the samples at 70 °C for 10 min. Inactivated reaction mixtures were then stored on ice until further use.

Polymerase Chain Reaction. PCR was performed using primers P2_GphF_R (or pl2_ins_F/R (Table S5)), 1 μL of DNase-treated lysate as the template, and Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific), following manufacturer’s instructions.
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