Delays in antiretroviral therapy initiation among HIV-positive individuals: results of the positive living with HIV study
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Background: Lack of early initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) remains a major health concern due to increased risk of premature mortality and further HIV transmission. This study explored CD4+ cell count monitoring in relation to delays in ART initiation among HIV-positive individuals in the Kathmandu Valley, Nepal, where ART coverage was only 23.7% in 2011.

Design: We recruited a total of 87 ART-naïve, HIV-positive individuals aged 18 to 60 years through the networks of five non-government organizations working with HIV-positive individuals. We collected data on the history of ART initiation, CD4+ cell count monitoring, socio-demographic variables, perceived family support (measured with 10-item Nepali Family Support and Difficulty Scale), depression, and HIV symptom burden. Correlates of ART eligibility were examined using multivariable logistic regression analysis.

Results: A total of 72 of the 87 ART-naïve participants (82.8%) had monitored their CD4+ cell count in the past 6 months. Of these, 36 (50%) participants were eligible for ART initiation with CD4+ cell count ≤350 cells/mm3. A total of 12 participants had CD4+ cell count ≤200 cells/mm3. Lower level of perceived family support was associated with 6.05-fold higher odds (95% confidence interval 1.95 to 18.73) of being ART eligible with a CD4+ cell count ≤350 cells/mm3.

Conclusions: High rate of delays in ART initiation and the strong association of low perceived family support with ART eligibility in our study participants suggest that HIV service providers should consider the role and impact of family support in influencing individual decisions to initiate ART among eligible HIV-positive individuals.
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Introduction

Antiretroviral therapy (ART) has dramatically reduced HIV-related morbidity and mortality among HIV-positive individuals (1). Although the World Health Organization (WHO) has recently recommended initiation of ART in everyone living with HIV (2), ART coverage at the end of 2013 was only 38% for adults and 24% for children globally (3). The number of people receiving ART has been rapidly increasing in the recent years (9.7 million in 2012 to 15.8 million as of June 2015, for example) (4, 5). However, high rates of late ART initiation (6–8) remain a significant challenge in improving treatment outcomes due to increased risk of premature mortality and further HIV transmission (6–9).

After HIV diagnosis, bringing eligible individuals into treatment is an important step for timely ART initiation. Studies have reported the results of CD4+ cell count at ART initiation as well as factors associated with late ART initiation or early mortality after ART initiation (6–8). These studies show that many HIV-positive individuals fail to access regular care after being diagnosed and/or obtaining CD4+ cell count results (10). Few studies to date have examined CD4+ cell count monitoring and delays in ART initiation among HIV-positive individuals outside of the clinical settings.

This study explored CD4+ cell count monitoring, delays in ART initiation, and factors associated with ART eligibility among HIV-positive individuals recruited...
through a network of five non-government organizations (NGOs) working with HIV-positive individuals in the Kathmandu Valley, Nepal, a resource-limited country in South Asia, where ART coverage in 2011 was only 23.7% (11).

Methods
This study is based on data from a longitudinal study entitled ‘positive living with HIV’ (POLH) among HIV-positive individuals in the Kathmandu Valley (12–15). The baseline survey of the POLH study was conducted in February–March 2010 among 322 HIV-positive individuals aged 18–60 years, who self-reported their HIV-positive diagnosis, provided written informed consent to participate in the study voluntarily, and resided in the Kathmandu Valley, where 7 of the country’s 42 ART sites are located. In Nepal, free ART services were introduced in 2004. HIV-positive individuals with CD4+ cell count < 350 cells/mm³ (or in WHO Stage 3 or 4, irrespective of CD4+ cell count) were eligible for ART in the country during the study period (16). HIV-positive individuals who meet these criteria receive counseling on treatment initiation from their service providers. Before treatment initiation, they are entitled to the evaluation of several health outcomes, including hepatitis and tuberculosis. Those who do not return to the clinic for the evaluation of these health outcomes are likely to stay without treatment, as the monitoring of attrition in pre-ART care is generally underdeveloped in the country (17).

For the purposes of this study, we selected all of the 87 ART-naı¨ ve, HIV-positive individuals found in the POLH study baseline survey. We collected information on socio-demographic parameters, substance use, alcohol use, smoking, depression, internalized stigma, and HIV-related clinical and treatment factors through face-to-face interviews. A questionnaire was developed based on previous studies conducted in Nepal (18–20).

Perceived family support was measured with a 10-item Nepali Family Support and Difficulty Scale (x = 0.81) specifically developed for use in Nepal (21). Each item was measured on a 4-point scale (0 ‘Not at all’ to 3 ‘All the time’). The total score of perceived family support was obtained by summing all items (after reverse-scoring negatively formulated items). With a range of 0–30, lower scores indicated lower levels of perceived family support. We measured HIV symptom burden in the past month using a 16-item index (x = 0.92) (22). We assessed depressive symptoms over the past 2 weeks using the validated Nepali version of the 21-item Beck Depression Inventory-I (x = 0.88) (23).

Participants were asked if they had monitored their CD4+ cell count in the past 6 months. We asked those who had monitored their CD4+ cell count to provide us with a copy of their laboratory test results from the national public health laboratory.

For data analysis, first, we compared participants who monitored their CD4+ cell count with those who did not. Second, we examined bivariate associations of each independent variable with ART eligibility (having CD4+ cell count of < 350 cells/mm³ in the past 6 months). Finally, using multivariable logistic regression analysis, we explored the correlates of ART eligibility, including all the variables associated with the outcome variable at p < 0.20 in the bivariate analysis as suggested by Katz (24). We used SPSS Statistics 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) to perform all analyses.

Results
The mean age of the 87 ART-naı¨ ve participants was 31.8 (SD = 6.3) years; 71% were men, 62% were currently married, and 62% were employed (Table 1). Seventy-two (83%; all the females and 76% of the males) had monitored their CD4+ cell count in the past 6 months. Twelve participants had a CD4+ cell count < 200 cells/mm³ and 36 were ART eligible due to having a CD4+ cell count < 350 cells/mm³. Approximately one-fifth of the participants reported using illicit drugs in the past 6 months and one-fifth suffered from depressive symptoms. A significantly higher proportion of the participants who did not monitor their CD4+ cell count reported current smoking and a history of using illicit drugs. A significantly higher proportion of male participants reported current smoking (82.3% vs. 16.0%;: p < 0.001) and a history of using illicit drugs (32.3% vs. 0.0%;: p = 0.003) than female participants.

In the multivariable analysis, those with lower levels of perceived family support had 6.05-fold higher odds of being ART eligible than those with higher levels of perceived family support (95% confidence interval = 1.95–18.73; Table 2). A higher proportion of participants with secondary or higher levels of education (60%) reported significantly higher levels of perceived family support than those with lower educational levels (20.0%;: p = 0.003).

Discussion
This study found that over four-fifths of the ART-naı¨ ve, HIV-positive individuals (all women and over three-fourths of men) had monitored their CD4+ cell count within the past 6 months, yet half of these individuals had not initiated ART despite being eligible per national ART guidelines (16). This finding has important implications for bringing ART-naı¨ ve, HIV-positive individuals into treatment, thereby improving the ART coverage in Nepal, even after the country decides to treat all ART-naı¨ ve individuals to follow WHO’s new treatment guidelines (2). The failure to initiate ART among the ART-eligible participants in our study, for example, cannot be explained by a lack of knowledge about the participant’s serostatus or a lack of access to services as all of these participants had their CD4+ cell count tested by the government laboratory and all were affiliated with NGOs working with
HIV-positive individuals. Our results underscore the importance of strengthening the monitoring of attrition from pre-ART care to ART initiation, particularly among those who are ART eligible. Being ART eligible was strongly associated with lower levels of perceived family support. In families with low levels of attachment, positive family interactions are not expected (25) and familial relationships can even be stressful. In such families, affected members may not share personal issues (25), including HIV diagnosis and ART initiation. It is possible that HIV-positive individuals in such families may postpone ART initiation, which may potentially be due to efforts to conceal their HIV status from their family members (26). Our results have important implications for designing and implementing ART initiation interventions for family members of treatment-naïve individuals. Involving families of HIV-positive individuals with high levels of perceived support might improve ART initiation. However, involvement of families for those individuals reporting low levels of perceived support might be more appropriate only with their prior consent. As highlighted by Poudel et al. (27), the focus of the intervention should be on building on the strengths in the former group while mitigating the harms in families in the latter group.

This study has some limitations. First, caution is advised in generalizing the study findings to the larger population of HIV-positive individuals in the country, as we recruited our study participants through a network of NGOs serving HIV-positive individuals. Specifically, our study findings may be applicable to HIV-positive individuals in networks

### Table 1. Characteristics of participants according to the availability of their CD4+ cell count results (n = 87)

| Variable                          | Yes (n = 72) | No (n = 15) | p   |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----|
| Age (years)                      |              |             |     |
| 20–30                            | 33 (78.6)    | 9 (21.4)    |     |
| 31–47                            | 39 (86.7)    | 6 (13.3)    | 0.318|
| Sex                              |              |             |     |
| Female                           | 25 (100.0)   | 0 (0.0)     |     |
| Male                             | 47 (75.8)    | 15 (24.2)   | 0.007|
| Current marital status           |              |             |     |
| Single                           | 28 (84.8)    | 5 (15.2)    |     |
| Married                          | 44 (81.5)    | 10 (18.5)   | 0.687|
| Education                        |              |             |     |
| Up to primary                    | 20 (87.0)    | 3 (13.0)    |     |
| Secondary or higher              | 52 (81.3)    | 12 (18.8)   | 0.534|
| Employed                         |              |             |     |
| No                               | 23 (79.3)    | 6 (20.7)    |     |
| Yes                              | 49 (84.5)    | 9 (15.5)    | 0.547|
| Months since testing HIV positive (Median = 53.0) | | | |
| 1–53                             | 35 (79.5)    | 9 (20.5)    |     |
| 54+                              | 37 (86.0)    | 6 (14.0)    | 0.368|
| HIV disclosure to any family member<sup>a</sup> | | | |
| No                               | 11 (68.8)    | 5 (31.3)    |     |
| Yes                              | 60 (85.7)    | 10 (14.3)   | 0.107|
| Illicit drug use, past 6 months  |              |             |     |
| No                               | 60 (89.6)    | 7 (10.4)    |     |
| Yes                              | 12 (60.0)    | 8 (40.0)    | 0.002|
| Current smoker                   |              |             |     |
| No                               | 31 (96.9)    | 1 (3.1)     |     |
| Yes                              | 41 (74.5)    | 14 (25.5)   | 0.008|
| Alcohol use, past 30 days        |              |             |     |
| No                               | 57 (85.1)    | 10 (14.9)   |     |
| Yes                              | 15 (75.0)    | 5 (25.0)    | 0.295|
| HIV symptom burden<sup>b</sup> (Median = 30.5) | | | |
| Low (16–30)                      | 36 (83.7)    | 7 (16.3)    |     |
| High (31–74)                     | 36 (81.8)    | 8 (18.2)    | 0.814|
| Depressive symptom burden        |              |             |     |
| No (BDI-I < 20)                  | 58 (81.7)    | 13 (18.3)   |     |
| Yes (BDI-I ≥ 20)                 | 14 (87.5)    | 2 (12.5)    | 0.578|
| History of any disease, past 12 months | | | |
| No                               | 27 (79.4)    | 7 (20.6)    |     |
| Yes                              | 45 (84.9)    | 8 (15.1)    | 0.508|

<sup>a</sup>One participant did not respond to this question.

<sup>b</sup>Symptoms included fatigue, fever, dizziness, hand/foot pain, memory loss, nausea or vomiting, diarrhea, skin problems, cough, headache, appetite loss, bloating, muscle/joint pain, fat deposit or weight gain, weight loss, and hair loss.

<sup>c</sup>We measured internalized stigma using 7-item scale (x = 0.72) (e.g. ‘I am ashamed that I am HIV positive’). Responses to these items included either ‘agree’ (1) or ‘disagree’ (0). Total score was obtained by summing the scores of seven items, with higher scores signifying a greater burden of internalized stigma.

### Table 1 (Continued)

| Availability of CD4+ cell count results | Yes (n = 72) | No (n = 15) | p   |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----|
| Internalized stigma score<sup>c</sup> (Median:11) | | | |
| Low (7–10)                             | 33 (80.5)    | 8 (19.5)    |     |
| High (11–14)                           | 39 (84.8)    | 7 (15.2)    | 0.597|
Table 2. Factors associated with antiretroviral therapy eligibility among HIV-positive individuals (n = 72)

| Variable                                      | Antiretroviral therapy eligibility<sup>a</sup> |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                               | Yes (n = 36) | No (n = 36) | OR (95% CI) | AOR<sup>b</sup> (95% CI) |
|                                               | n (%)       | N (%)       |             |                   |
| Perceived family support<sup>c</sup> (Median = 24) |                           |             |             |                   |
| High (25–30)                                  | 11 (31.4)   | 24 (68.6)   |             |                   |
| Low (10–24)                                   | 25 (67.6)   | 12 (32.4)   | 4.54 (1.68–12.25) | 6.05 (1.95–18.73)<sup>*</sup> |
| Age (in years)                                |                           |             |             |                   |
| 20–30                                         | 14 (42.5)   | 19 (57.6)   |             |                   |
| 31–47                                         | 22 (56.4)   | 17 (43.6)   | 1.75 (0.68–4.48) |             |
| Sex                                           |                           |             |             |                   |
| Female                                        | 13 (52.0)   | 12 (48.0)   |             |                   |
| Male                                          | 23 (48.9)   | 24 (51.1)   | 0.88 (0.33–2.33) |             |
| Current marital status                        |                           |             |             |                   |
| Single                                        | 13 (46.4)   | 15 (53.6)   |             |                   |
| Married                                       | 23 (52.3)   | 21 (47.7)   | 1.26 (0.48–3.26) |             |
| Education                                     |                           |             |             |                   |
| Up to primary                                 | 11 (55.0)   | 9 (45.0)    |             |                   |
| Secondary or higher                           | 25 (48.1)   | 27 (51.9)   | 0.75 (0.26–2.13) |             |
| Employed                                      |                           |             |             |                   |
| No                                            | 9 (39.1)    | 14 (60.9)   |             |                   |
| Yes                                           | 27 (55.1)   | 22 (44.9)   | 1.90 (0.69–5.23) |             |
| Months since testing HIV positive             |                           |             |             |                   |
| 1–53                                          | 18 (51.4)   | 17 (48.6)   |             |                   |
| 54+                                           | 18 (48.6)   | 19 (51.4)   | 0.89 (0.35–2.25) |             |
| HIV disclosure to any family member<sup>d</sup> |                           |             |             |                   |
| No                                            | 7 (63.6)    | 4 (51.7)    |             |                   |
| Yes                                           | 29 (48.3)   | 31 (51.7)   | 0.53 (0.14–2.01) |             |
| Illicit drug use, past 6 months                |                           |             |             |                   |
| No                                            | 29 (48.3)   | 31 (51.7)   |             |                   |
| Yes                                           | 7 (58.3)    | 5 (41.7)    | 1.49 (0.42–5.24) |             |
| Current smoker                                |                           |             |             |                   |
| No                                            | 16 (51.6)   | 15 (48.4)   |             |                   |
| Yes                                           | 20 (48.8)   | 21 (51.2)   | 0.89 (0.35–2.27) |             |
| Alcohol use, past 30 days                     |                           |             |             |                   |
| No                                            | 28 (49.1)   | 29 (50.9)   |             |                   |
| Yes                                           | 8 (53.3)    | 7 (46.7)    | 1.18 (0.37–3.69) |             |
| Depressive symptoms                           |                           |             |             |                   |
| No (BDI-I < 20)                               | 27 (46.6)   | 31 (53.4)   |             |                   |
| Yes (BDI-I ≥ 20)                              | 9 (64.3)    | 5 (35.7)    | 2.06 (0.61–6.92) |             |
| HIV symptom burden                            |                           |             |             |                   |
| Low (16–30)                                   | 21 (58.3)   | 15 (41.7)   |             |                   |
| High (31–74)                                  | 15 (41.7)   | 21 (58.3)   | 0.51 (0.20–1.30) | 0.37 (0.12–1.13) |
| History of any disease, past 12 months        |                           |             |             |                   |
| No                                            | 17 (63.0)   | 10 (37.0)   |             |                   |
| Yes                                           | 19 (42.2)   | 26 (57.8)   | 0.43 (0.16–1.14) | 0.44 (0.14–1.36) |
of NGOs, as existing in other parts of the country as well as in other resource-limited countries in Asia. Second, as our measures of perceived family support and other potential confounders, but importantly not CD4 cell count, are based on self-report, it is possible that the responses of the participants may have been distorted by a social desirability bias, regardless of our efforts to minimize such bias by assuring the participants about the confidentiality of their information. Finally, our study was conducted with a relatively small number of ART-naïve, HIV-positive individuals. It is possible that delays in ART initiation might be even greater among the HIV-positive individuals who are not connected in the networks of NGOs and are located in areas with more limited access to ART. A nationally representative study, therefore, is necessary to estimate the actual rate of delays in ART initiation and explore strategies to improve the coverage of ART in the country.

Despite such limitations, high rate of delays in ART initiation and the strong association of perceived family support with ART eligibility among HIV-positive individuals highlight the importance of reassessing strategies for bringing more ART-eligible, HIV-positive individuals into treatment in the Kathmandu Valley.
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**Table 2 (Continued)**

| Variable               | Yes (n = 36) | No (n = 36) | OR (95% CI) | AORb (95% CI) |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| Internalized stigma score |             |             |             |               |
| Low (7–10)             | 13 (39.4)   | 20 (60.6)   |             |               |
| High (11–14)           | 23 (59.0)   | 16 (41.0)   | 2.21 (0.85–5.69) | 1.76 (0.61–5.07) |

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

aAs suggested by the national antiretroviral therapy guidelines (16), HIV-positive individuals in the country are eligible for ART when their CD4 cell count result is <350 cells/mm³. Delays in ART initiation were defined when participants did not initiate ART despite having CD4 cell count result <350 cells/mm³.

bIn the multivariable model, all variables that were associated with ART eligibility at p < 0.20 in the bivariate analysis (perceived family support, HIV symptom burden, history of any disease in past 12 months, and internalized stigma) were included.

cPerceived family support was measured using the 10-item scale; items included 1) feeling of being shown love and caring by family, 2) feeling of having an important role in family, 3) Feeling of being involved in family decision making, 4) feeling of being able to share feelings with family, 5) feeling of basic needs being met in family, 6) feeling of being supported by family when sick, 7) feeling of being disliked by family, 8) feeling (emotionally) of distance from family member(s), 9) having been physically hurt by family member(s), and 10) feeling of being exploited (for household and farming) by family.

dOne participant did not respond to this question.

*p = 0.002
Paper context
Studies have reported high rates of late antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation and its association with early mortality after ART initiation among HIV-positive individuals in the clinical settings. Our community-based study revealed that half of the ART-naïve HIV-positive individuals do not initiate ART despite being ART eligible. Our results underscore the importance of strengthening the monitoring of attrition from pre-ART care to ART initiation, particularly among those who are ART eligible.
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