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ABSTRACT

The desire to provide global Internet coverage is driving traditional terrestrial networks to evolve towards an integrated space and terrestrial network wherein miniaturized satellites, a.k.a. CubeSats, remain at the core. Although both academia and industry are investigating the potential of CubeSats to provide global connectivity, they still fall short of the power generation capacity to enable high data rate communication in gigabits per second range. This article spotlights on alternate sources of energy that can provide the power demands needed for high data rate communication. We present the concept of power HotSpots wherein the bigger satellites in low earth orbits (LEO), having power generation capacity much larger than CubeSats, can transfer their excess energy to CubeSats in need, using optical wireless technology. This provides a business opportunity for larger enterprises having the capability of launching bigger satellites to sell their power to CubeSats. As a proof of concept, this article presents a basic simulation regarding optical wireless power transfer (OWPT) to CubeSats. In addition, we highlight future research challenges in this area to maximize OWPT.

INTRODUCTION

As the world is becoming more digital, the demand to connect the unconnected 3.9 billion people and increased demand of higher data-rates from the connected users [1] is becoming more critical. Although fifth generation (5G) mobile communication networks provide promising use-cases, such as massive connection and Internet-of-things (IoT) at low latency and higher data rates, it still has a coverage limitation similar to all traditional terrestrial networks [2]. In parallel to terrestrial networks, complementary satellite networks exist that provide coverage to remote rural areas, vehicles traveling in the deep sea, and in-air communication. However, current satellite networks fall short of supporting many valuable use-cases, which on the other hand, are inherently supported by contemporary terrestrial networks.

BACKGROUND

Combining the positives of both terrestrial and satellite networks, an integrated space and terrestrial network (ISTN) is proposed to connect anything in the globe anywhere and at anytime with a desired quality of service (QoS) requirement. An overview of an ISTN is presented in Fig. 1. The ISTN can be utilized to provide high data rate coverage to the areas where conventional cellular networks are not available, such as Antarctic glaciers, deep seas, remote forests (Amazon), deep deserts, and disaster scenarios where terrestrial networks have been completely or partially damaged. This new global coverage will include applications from various industries, including but not limited to, communication, agriculture, manufacturing, energy, mining, logistics, environmental monitoring, eHealth, public safety, and defense, covering a full span of low latency and high throughput applications to high latency and low throughput applications. With the aim of providing seamless connectivity anywhere and anytime across the globe, the integration of space and terrestrial networks may become a pivotal aim within 6G [1]. On the other hand, given the cost and complexity of launching a satellite in space, it may become a challenge for both academia and industry to build real-time test-beds for such integrated 6G networks. However, the introduction of nano and pico satellites, such as CubeSats, has opened up new opportunities for researchers and small enterprises in recent decades. CubeSats are smaller satellites with a modular structure of one unit (1U) wherein 1U corresponds to a cube of 10 cm $\times$ 10 cm $\times$ 10 cm. CubeSats are generally utilized for different missions in the low earth orbit (LEO), including environmental monitoring and communications.

MOTIVATION

More recently, due to their low deployment cost and cheap connectivity, CubeSats are being considered as a potential solution to provide global Internet coverage. For instance, KEPLER launched their KIPP CubeSats in 2018 to provide coverage at the north and south poles, offering 40 Mbits/s data rate in the Ku-band. It is important to note that CubeSats do not aim to replace the bigger LEO constellations but complement them towards the goal of global connectivity. Given the popularity of CubeSats as a potential enabler of providing global connectivity, some works in the literature propose CubeSat-based cloud radio access for future cellular networks [3]. Such works provide grounds and inspirations to research the design of high data rate connections between ground stations and CubeSats.

The power in CubeSats is mainly harvested via utilizing solar panels mounted on the sides of the CubeSat. However, given the size of a CubeSat, the on-board solar panels are potentially not enough to generate sufficient amount of energy for various power hungry applications including high data-rate communic-
tion. In fact, the power generation capacity of a CubeSat may become a critical bottleneck to achieve the aim of providing global coverage at higher data rates. A potential solution to this power bottleneck problem would be to harvest energy from bigger LEO satellites that have a far greater capacity of generating and storing energy. Therefore, transferring power from larger LEOs to CubeSats may become a viable solution in numerous scenarios. These scenarios may include the need of communicating with a specific target on earth for a specific duration of time at high data-rate, or fast charging of a CubeSat’s batteries.

Apart from higher data-rate communication requirements of CubeSats, another motivation of this in-space power transfer is the need of miniaturized thrusters in CubeSats to increase their service life. Orbiting in the lower orbits, CubeSats suffer from higher atmospherics drag, which results in deorbiting. This atmospheric drag requires miniaturized thrusters, which adds further burden on the already limited power supply in CubeSats. The power supplied from larger LEO satellites will not only enable high data rate communication but will also extend the life-time of CubeSat by supplying sufficient energy for orbit rising.

In this article, we analyze optical wireless power transfer (OWPT) options in space between larger LEO satellites and CubeSats. We first identify potential challenges and key technologies for OWPT. Subsequently, we propose the concept of power HotSpot in space between larger LEOs and CubeSats. The power HotSpot is the physical range of a bigger LEO, where it can perform OWPT to a CubeSat. This concept may also provide business opportunities to enterprises capable of launching power-HotSpot-enabled satellites for commercial purposes providing extra energy to CubeSats orbiting Earth. This article also provides a preliminary OWPT proof of concept by presenting basic simulations. In addition, we elaborate on the research challenges for OWPT in space and discuss future research directions.

**Power Related Challenges at a CubeSat**

The vision provided in Fig. 1 enables CubeSat to establish links not only with the ground stations but also with the other satellites in the space. All of these communication links require power to communicate at higher data rates. To further understand the power-related challenges in CubeSats, this section highlights the challenges faced by CubeSats in terms of their power requirements, generation, and storage.

**Power Generation Capacity of a CubeSat**

Given the size of a CubeSat, generating a large amount of energy to enable Gbps communication between the CubeSat and the ground station becomes a real challenge.

CubeSats generally utilize solar panels to convert light into electricity to perform their day-to-day operations. However, due to the compact size of a CubeSat, the power generated from solar panels will generally not be sufficient for powering hungry tasks. More recently, there have been some attempts to deploy high power solar panels over CubeSats. However, they still fall short of fulfilling the power requirements of high data-rate communication. For instance, HaWK (high Watts per kilogram) is a solar array recently designed for high power generation at CubeSat [5], whose two variants eHaWK and zHaWK provide peak powers of 84 W and 36 W, respectively. However, this peak power is not sufficient to establish high data rate links between CubeSats and ground station at any given carrier frequency.

Traditionally, CubeSats use batteries for power when they are in an eclipse. A CubeSat orbiting at a distance of 600 km from earth generally completes one cycle in 90 minutes, with approximately 35 minutes of the 90 minutes in an eclipse [6], during which the batteries are used. This frequent charging and discharging of the batteries limits their lifetime.

**Power Storage Limitations of a CubeSat**

Electrical power storage (EPS) performance can be listed as the most important factor that limits mission feasibility and life span of CubeSats. Furthermore, the batteries and the attached power control systems tend to become the heaviest and the biggest part of CubeSats, which increases the cost of deploying CubeSats exponentially. The ever increasing demand of high-speed communication in addition to various different sensors and equipment, such as magnetotorquers, magnetometers, reaction wheels, rate sensors, micro/mini thrusters, and cameras produce an increasing demand of power. Table 1 gives a comparison of the most widely used battery chemistries, where Li-ion is the common name for many types of different batteries which include lithium compounds on the anode. Superior specific energy and energy density has led Li-ion batteries to become the first choice for the energy storage for the most of the space satellite networks. In the challenge to fit all these subsystems including the EPS into the smallest possible cube, there is a demand for alternative power systems that would help CubeSats to supply the exponentially increasing demand of very high speed communication.

On the other hand, due to more space in larger LEO satellite systems, more power can be stored by utilizing appropriate rechargeable systems. The power to recharge EPS systems in larger satellites can be generated by large solar arrays or consistent active power generators like a radioisotope generator. With the new advancements in EPS technology, more energy can be stored in a unit mass and unit space. Some advantages can also be provided to mitigate problems against abusive space conditions such as extreme temperatures [7]. EPS systems for larger dimensions of larger LEOs can be designed to meet all the required extreme conditions and can store excessive amounts of energy, if designed smartly.

**Potential Solutions**

As a potential solution to aforementioned problems, we advocate the wireless power transfer from the bigger LEO satel-
The advantages of OWPT in space include, but are not limited to the following.

- The atmosphere between LEOs is so thin that the atmospheric effects, such as scattering, absorption, rain, fog and cloud do not exist.
- The laser beams have a very high directivity compared to microwave power transmission. Therefore, more power can be efficiently directed to the target receiver.
- Cubesats equipped with solar panels can directly take advantage of the proposed OWPT without the need to change any of its electrical / electronic systems. The solar panels can simply be used to receive the OWPT. If microwave power transmission was used instead, bulky, heavy, complex, and expensive electronic systems would have to be installed.
- Smaller and larger LEOs, which are interconnected with FSO communication links, are already aware of their directions. Therefore, no additional complex algorithms are required for finding directions of the transmitter and the receiver.
- With carefully-designed constellations, there can always be one or more larger LEOs in sight that is ready to provide power to Cubesats.

OPTICAL WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER

In this section, we study the possibility of transmitting excess energy generated by the solar arrays of a larger LEO to a CubeSat by employing OWPT, when required. First, we give an outline of existing state-of-art solar cell technologies and their efficiencies, since they are the most reasonable energy sources of satellites currently. Next, we discuss current and the estimated future conversion efficiencies of OWPT. Finally, we simulate the possibility of a typical OWPT between a larger LEO and a CubeSat.

IEEE Internet of Things Magazine • September 2022
ENERGY HARVESTING EFFICIENCY FROM LARGER LEOs TO CUBE Sats

The spectrum of the laser beam originating from larger LEOs to be harvested in CubeSats is completely different than the spectrum of the sun. Lasers produce coherent beams with the same wavelength and the phase. The solar cells at the CubeSat can be optimized to the wavelength of the laser emitted from the larger LEOs for a better conversion efficiency.

It has been discussed in [9] that the highest solar cell efficiency today is 66 percent and it will achieve 85 percent in the future, when the wavelength of the emitting laser is optimized for the best performance.

It has also been discussed in [9] that the most efficient laser reported has 74 percent efficiency and it is expected to achieve 85 percent in the near future. Furthermore, it has been shown in [9] that for the best overall conversion efficiency, the wavelength of the laser must be chosen between 500nm and 1µm. We can conclude that the conversion efficiency of a state-of-art OWPT is currently 49 percent, however it is estimated to achieve 72 percent in the near future [9].

OPTICAL WIRELESS POWER TRANSFER

Unlike earth-based atmospheric turbulence and varying weather conditions, such as fog, snow, and haze, among others, these do not exist while employing OWPT in space, thereby allowing a higher visibility range. Hence, the utility of FSO links for energy harvesting purpose becomes much easier, allowing for simpler analysis. In addition, OWPT gives an inherent benefit of receiving laser energy on the already installed solar panels on CubeSats. Moreover, based on Table 1 of [10], we can realize a desired spot diameter of around the length of CubeSats’ edge.

In order to obtain such a desired spot diameter for a given range / distance between the LEO satellites and the CubeSats, (2) in [11] can be used, which estimates that for a spot size of 10 cm, a divergence angle of 4 µrad is needed at the transmitter, if \( d_{los} \) between the satellites is 25 Km.

As a proof of concept, we conduct basic simulations to observe harvested power at CubeSat for its different locations in power HotSpot. Figure 4 presents the relation between transmitted and received powers from bigger LEO to CubeSat for different values of line-of-sight distances (\( d_{los} \)). We suppose that, \( d_{los} \) the distance between LEO orbits, is 25 Km. Hence, the distance between both the satellites cannot go less than this value. The values of \( d_{los} \) are chosen keeping in view the current and future constellations of LEO satellites given in Table 1 of [12]. It can be observed from the figure that the total received power at a distance of 25 Km is around 0.9 KW when the transmitted power is 1 KW, which is enough to charge the batteries given that the OWPT time between the satellites in fair enough. For this, we assume that the satellites are moving in the same direction. At a distance of 25 Km, their relative velocity changes with only 11 m/s. The CubeSat will move 11 m/s faster than bigger LEO (Fig. 3). At this distance, the CubeSat will remain in line-of-sight of the LEO for 17 hours and 18 minutes, approximately. This is sufficient amount of time for a CubeSat to get power from a bigger LEO satellite.

FUTURE RESEARCH CHALLENGES

LoS and Visibility Time: An important parameter in obtaining the maximum energy at CubeSats is the time for which both satellites interact with each other, i.e., the visibility time. This is the time a CubeSat will remain in the power HotSpot. To estimate the visibility time of a CubeSat from a potential LEO, we first need to understand the constellations of different bigger LEO satellites, the constellations of CubeSats, and the launch process of CubeSats. The visibility time between a LEO and a CubeSat mainly depends on the process when the CubeSat is launched, its relative distance from the LEO, and the relative direction of both. For a bigger LEO satellite orbiting at a distance of 725 Km and CubeSat at 700 km from earth, their visibility time is calculated...
as 17 hours and 18 minutes. From this data, the constellation of bigger LEO and the CubeSat can be planned, such that CubeSat will remain in power HotSpot of satellite.

Given the fact that there are LEO satellites that provide global coverage, there are chances that they will in most cases provide the visibility to many CubeSats lying under their orbit. For instance, Iridium satellites provide global coverage at a height of 781 Km. Similarly, Globalstar has 24 LEO satellites orbiting at a distance of 1417 Km from earth at an inclination angle of 52°. In order to get a maximum visibility time, the CubeSats may be launched near those orbiting satellites. In addition, some satellites in the LEOs weigh above 3000 Kg with a power generation capacity in kilo Watts. These satellites are a potential source of power to CubeSats.

Furthermore, a careful design of LEO satellites and CubeSats constellation will help to point the laser beam from a larger LEO satellite to a CubeSat. In fact, a motor can be installed on the LEO satellite whose rotation speed can be controlled using the known values of $d_{los}$ and the exact speed and trajectory of CubeSat. In particular, the angular speed of the motor will depend on the relative speed of CubeSat with respect to LEO satellite.

To summarize, an open research challenge is to carefully design and plan the constellations of CubeSats and bigger LEO satellites, such that the power transfer remains optimized and CubeSats always get power from at least one of the LEO satellites. This will require a sophisticated launch process for CubeSats. However, a careful design of power HotSpot such that the value of $r$ is maximized, and a careful choice of no. of bigger LEOs to cover the global coverage in terms of wireless power transfer, can ease the launch process of CubeSats not requiring them to be in a very precise orbit.

**Energy from Higher Orbits:** This work mainly focuses on OWPT from bigger LEO satellites to CubeSats only, however, this power transmission may also be possible from MEO and GEO satellites, especially when an optical communication link between a GEO satellite and a CubeSat is recently demonstrated [13]. The size of the satellites in higher orbits is generally large and they have more capacity for generating solar power. However, this requires a thorough investigation on the transmission of sufficient amount of power over a distance of 30,000 Kms, which will require a sophisticated equipment not only on CubeSats to efficiently receive this optical power, but also on GEO / MEO satellites to transmit a highly directive and very powerful laser beam.

**Physical Challenges:** There are many physical challenges for an optimized satellite mission. An obvious challenge is towards a more efficient solar cell technology by employing new multi-conjunction solar cell chemistry. Additionally, most of the state-of-art solar cells are optimized to operate on the surface of the earth. However, spectrum of the sunlight in space is different from the spectrum on the surface of the earth, mostly due to the atmospheric effect that absorbs or reflects some of the spectrum acting as an optical filter. Therefore, a challenge is to optimize the solar cell chemistry for the spectrum of the sunlight in the space, to achieve more efficient solar arrays in the satellites.

Furthermore, the employed solar cells in CubeSats must be very efficient when converting the transmitted laser power. Therefore, another challenge is that the employed solar cells must also be optimized with respect to the laser wavelength, or the laser’s wavelength must be selected very carefully such that the solar cells in the CubeSat operates most efficiently.

**End-of-Life of CubeSats and Space Debris:** An important challenge is to carefully plan the end-of-life of CubeSats so they do not contribute to space debris. A large number of CubeSats and other bigger satellites in LEO orbits increase the chance of collisions in space, hence accelerating the Kessler Syndrome, a chain reaction of orbital collisions which increases the chances of further collision such that LEO orbits become hostile for any spacecraft. Federal Communications Commission (FCC) requires all satellites launched in space to ensure a safe end of their operational life. While GEO satellites generally end in graveyard orbit at the end of their life, the LEO satellites, such as CubeSats would require further study for their end-of-life disposal.

**CONCLUSION**

CubeSats consume much more power when they transmit at higher data rates. However, they may fall short of power when communicating at a higher data rate, since they are small and the power generating capability within them is low. There are also bigger LEO satellites in the orbit, which can generate and store much more power.

In this article, we have introduced the idea of power Hotspots wherein bigger LEOs transfer optical wireless power to CubeSats in need. For this purpose, we have investigated the latest state-of-art technologies that can enable the OWPT in between larger LEOs and CubeSats. Moreover, basic simulations of OWPT between them are presented. We have also highlighted current and future research challenges in the direction of OWPT between larger LEOs and smaller LEOs.
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Footnotes

1 An important concept in this context is simultaneous wireless information and power transfer (SWIPT) [14]. However, the focus of this work is power transfer only, considering that communication in an ISTN is already established.