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Abstract

This study uncovers the morphosyntactic properties of *yabai* and its clipped forms. It aims to arrive at an understanding of how the forms and the meanings might be associated. A lexical-pragmatic-based analysis was carried out using Twitter and speech corpus data. The findings reveal the following picture of the lexeme: (a) when *yabai* undertakes an adjective role, modifying a noun, it is likely that a negative evaluation is invited. In this regard, *yabai* fulfils a lexical function. (b) The adverb use of *yabai* presents two variations: modifying a verb or modifying an i-adjective. At any rate, the adverb use describes the degree of an event or an object, leading to the assumption that *yabai* fulfils a grammatical function. (c) The predicate function presents the following diversities: the negative-denotation *yabai* and *yabee* are lexical items; the positive/neutral-denotation *yabai*, *yabee* and *yaba* are grammatical items. The clipped forms, i.e. *yaba; yabee* and *yabe* are limited to interjection use and adverb use, which are signs of complete grammaticalisation. A quantitative analysis via KH Coder suggests that *yabai*’s adverb function appears to be limited to women friendships, with parent-child relationship and vertical relationship being ruled out.
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1. Introduction

Language is constantly changing in meaning and in form (morphology). The extension of semantic meaning, and the derivation of parts of speech, are often tackled via: (a) a diachronic approach, treating the alternation as an outcome of grammaticalisation and lexicalisation (e.g. Traugott and Heine 1991, Givón 1971); or (b) a synchronic approach, addressing the shift from a cognitive semantic perspective. In this line of research, ‘metaphor’ and ‘metonymy’ are usually adopted to uncover the projection from the source domain to the targeted domain.
Japanese *wakamono kotoba* ‘youth language’ is a constantly altering linguistic phenomenon. It refers to phrases using words and expressions that are grammatically ill-formed but that are used and understood by young people. Extensive work has been devoted to this subject: see, for instance, the sociolinguistic approach, represented by Horiuchi (1989) and Hinoda (1998), or the psycholinguistic approach, represented by Ishihara et al. (2020). Theoretical accounts of *wakamono kotoba* do not appear to have been exhaustively set out, except for two case studies: (a) Seraku and Akiha (2019), who apply the notion ‘attenuation’ (Segerer and Treis, 2018) to address the innovations of Japanese *poi*; and (b) Seraku’s (2020) discussion of the properties of the Japanese nominalising suffix -*mi* in the framework of nominalisation proposed by Masayoshi Shibatani.

The present study is devoted to another case study: the Japanese i-adjective (Note 1) *yabai* (‘risky’). The study investigates its morphosyntactic properties and semantic meanings, based on a speech corpus and Twitter data. With this in place, it aims to arrive at an understanding of how forms and meanings are associated.

The point of departure for this study is the fact that *yabai* has changed a lot since earlier times. Originally, it was a noun, i.e. *厄場* *yaba* ‘prison’. During the Edo Period (1603–1868), the noun *厄場* morphed into a na-adjective and was written in kana ‘やば’. Since then, *yabana* has been registered as a na-adjective in all dictionaries. Below is an illustration from a Kokkeibon: *Tookaidooshu Hizakurige* (1802-1809).

(1) **Na-Adjective (yabana)**

```
Odore-ra *yabana* koto hatarakikusaruna
You.pl. risky things do-neg.imper.
‘You, do not do risky things!’
[Tookaidoosuu Hizakurige: 1802–1809]
```

It was in the early Showa Period that the na-adjective *yabana* shifted into being an i-adjective and morphologically altered, becoming the form *yabai*. An illustration is provided in (2).

(2) **I-adjective (yabai)**

```
Watashi to aruku no wa *yabai*
Me com walk.adnml formal noun top bad
kara oyoshinasai
cconj hon.stop.hon
‘It is bad to walk with me. Therefore, please stop.’
(Asakusa Kurenaidan (1929–1930))
```

It also ought to be noted that in the Early Showa Period, *yabai* conveyed only a negative reading. Until the Heisei Period (1989–2019) *yabai* was officially listed as an i-adjective in
dictionaries, conveying a positive sense and yielding new parts of speech (e.g. adverb, interjection). These syntactic and semantic variations are exemplified by (3)–(8).

(I) Adjective

The adjective *yabai* plays two roles: as a predicate (3) and modifying a noun (4).

(3) *Taroo no keekaku wa *yabai.* (Predicate)

Taroo GEN plan TOP awful/marvellous

‘Taroo’s plan is awful/marvellous.’

(NINJAL-LWP)

(4) *Yabai koto wa nai desu yo* (Modifies a noun)

awful ADNM thing TOP neg PRED EXCL

‘There are no awful things.’

(NINJAL-LWP)

Bear in mind that the predicate use of *yabai* may denote a positive meaning ‘marvellous’ or a negative meaning ‘bad’. The interpretation relies entirely on the context.

(II) Adverb-like

*yabai* also has an adverb function, modifying an i-adjective (5), a na-adjective (6), or a verb (7).

(5) Adverb *yabai* modifies an i-adjective

*Kono baggu wa *yabai takai.*

Dem bag TOP very expensive

‘This bag is extremely expensive.’

In fact, the combination of two i-adjectives in finite form is ruled out in standard Japanese, but it occurs quite often.

(6) Adverb *yabai* modifies a na-adjective

*Taroo wa suugaku ga *yabai joozuda.*

Taroo TOP math NOM very talented

‘Taroo is really very good at math.’

(7) *Yabai* modifies verb
Another noticeable example is (8), where *yabai* behaves like an interjection.

### (III). Interjection *yabai*

(8) **Yabai!** denwa no henji wa wasureta.

‘Uh-oh, I forgot to ring back’

The innovation further extends to a phonological change: the interjection *yabai* can be clipped into やばっ/ヤバっ *yaba*; やべー/ヤベー *yabee*; and やべっ/ヤべっ *yabe*.

A search in the NINJAL-LWP (National Institute of Japanese Language and Linguistics and Lago Language Institute) suggests that there are about 1,360 instances of *yabai*. The various parts of speech are distributed as follows:

| The combination of *yabai* + other parts of speech | Frequency (token) |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| adjective (predicate)                              | 674                |
| adverb (*yabai* + verb)                            | 5                  |
| adverb (*yabaku* + verb)                           | 14                 |
| adverb (*yabai* + adjective)                        | 17                 |
| adverb + *yabai*                                   | 150                |
| adjective (modifying a noun)                        | 464                |
| *yabai* + suffix (*yabame, yabasoo, yabasa*)        | 22                 |
| *yabaki* + noun                                    | 1                  |
| Interjection                                       | 13                 |

It was discovered that the most frequent role of *yabai* is the predicate function (674 tokens). The second largest number of tokens was attributed to *yabai* modifying a noun (464 tokens). The combination [adverb modifying *yabai*] also proved common, accounting for 150 tokens. 36 tokens are the adverb use and 13 tokens are the interjection use. Moreover, we noted the following additional results: [yabai + suffix (*yabame, yabasoo, yabasa*)] (22 tokens); [yabaki + noun] (one token).

At this stage, we can pause and draw a map of *yabai*’s shifts in morphosyntax and phonology.
Among the various functions that yabai conveys, the adjective use, which modifies a noun, is likely to render a negative reading. This inspires us to ponder whether perhaps the syntactic category is linked with semantic meaning: such as that the adjective function is likely to convey a negative sense whilst the adverb function invites a positive reading. To this end, we carry out a lexical-pragmatic approach, examining the morphosyntactic properties and the evaluations that yabai denotes.

The database for this research consists of corpus data and posts from Japanese Twitter: the Corpus of Everyday Japanese Conversation (CEJC, provided by the National Institute of Japanese Language and Linguistics). NINJAL-LWP (provided by the National Institute of Japanese Language and Linguistics and the Lago Language Institute) is employed for the frequency investigation. It should be noted that there were 148 instances of yabai in the CEJC, and the Twitter data was accessed on 14 December 2020. Since the central goal of this paper resides in the innovated use of yabai, it is thus the very data of the predicate, interjection and adverb use that will be delved into in depth.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 1 has set the stage for what follows by giving a brief introduction to the multiple functions and meanings of yabai. Section 2 provides an insight into the previous work that has tackled yabai in the past: a descriptive account and a theoretical account. Building on this, it proposes a generalisation to yabai’s various characteristics. Section 3 analyses the morphosyntactic derivation, as well as semantic extension based on corpus and Twitter data. Section 4 turns to the functions and meanings in the clipped forms: yaba; yabee and yabe. Section 5 highlights the results and addresses the theoretical implications of this study.
2. Previous Studies

This section draws on previous work that has tackled the word *yabai* in the past, investigating descriptive work and theoretical studies. Building on this, a hypothesis is put forward to generalise the diverse functions and meanings of *yabai* and its clipped forms.

(9) **Two streams of work on *yabai* in the past**

a. *Descriptive work:* Sano (2005); Horasawa and Iwata (2009); Sano (2012); Kumagai (2014); Imano (2015); Kudoo (2019).

b. *Theoretic studies (cognitive semantics):* Voßagen (1999); Sakaguchi (2013); Takeuchi (2007).

The descriptive work on *yabai* mostly departs from a sociolinguistic perspective. Sano (2012) and Horasawa and Iwata (2009) conduct an investigation of 3,000 people over 16 years old across the country and calculate the frequency of using ‘youth language’. Kumagai (2014) looks into the acceptance of *yabai* among different generations, ethnicities and genders. The descriptive work has well addressed the character of *yabai* and its use among different generations. Yet a theoretical account of the morphosyntactic properties of *yabai* remains unattempted.

Different studies have been undertaken at the theoretical level, tackling a variety of phenomena. Cognitive semanticists suggest that *yabai*’s semantic extensions are facilitated at a conceptual level. This comprises a metonymic approach to the relationship between the source and the target domain (i.e. cause/effect, part/whole, possessor/possessed), e.g. Voßagen (1999); Sakaguchi (2013). Another pathway is that taken by Takeuchi (2007), who applies a cognitive-pragmatic approach, adopting the framework of ‘relevance theory’ (Sperber and Wilson 1995) (Note 2) to address the double-interpretation conveyed by *yabai*’s adjective function.

Cognitive semantics is helpful in that it accounts for the semantic extension, which is grounded in metonymic operations. However, the following phenomena are not accounted: the interjection and adverb uses of *yabai*, and the clipping. Therefore, the cognitive approach might not help us to arrive at a unified understanding to the multiple faces of *yabai* as it pays too little attention to the syntactic functions, and to the link between form and meaning. This study, identifies the following classes:

(10) **A generalisation to utilise the diverse parts of speech and meanings in *yabai***

The various functions and semantic readings that *yabai* provides in Modern Japanese fall into two strands.

a. The adjective, predicate use of *yabai* fulfills a lexical function.

b. The adverb and interjection use of *yabai* fulfil a grammatical function (Note 3).
To further support this position, an analysis is carried out in the following section.

3. Yabai’s Morphosyntactic Functions and Semantic Meanings

Our starting point is yabai. This study randomly drew 60 tokens of yabai from CEJC and calculated the frequencies, the meanings that each category conveys in light of KH Coder (produced by Kooichi 2017).

![Figure 2. The distribution of yabai’s multiple parts of speech](image)

KH Coder analysis brought us to the point that the 1st lexeme on the right side of yabai attributes the most tokens, which in turn suggests that yabai is more likely to modify an adjective or a verb, fulfilling the duty of an adverb.

The following presents a closer picture: the most common option in terms of yabai is the interjection use (29 tokens). The predicate function is equally common, accounting for 27 tokens. Four tokens apply to the adverb use.

Table 2. yabai’s pragmatic function

| Parts of speech          | Neutral | Negative | Positive |
|--------------------------|---------|----------|----------|
| Predicate                |         | 22       | 5        |
| Interjection             |         | 13       | 3        |
| Adverb (yabai + i-adjective) |         | 2        |          |
| Adverb + yabai           |         | 2        |          |
Having examined this data, we are now in the position to analyse *yabai*’s morphosyntactic functions and semantic meanings.

### 3.1 Interjection *yabai*

As suggested by Table 2, a common option for *yabai* is to fulfil the interjection function. This is illustrated by the examples in (11).

(11) [The writer saw the dawn in Japan for the first time and writes:]  

```
Yabai, hajimete miru nihon no yoake.

INTER for the first time see.ADNM Japan GEN dawn
```

‘Oh, dear, I am looking at the dawn of Japan for the first time.’ [Twitter 4/Dec/2020]

Syntactically, *yabai* appears initially and individually; semantically, it renders no evaluations. This option is further detected in (12), where the nuance ‘oops’ is implied in *yabai*.

(12) *Yabai*, kono tsuito o mite omoidashimashita.

Oops DEM Twitter ACC see.gru recall-HON.PAST  

Nengajoo yatteinai.

New Year’s card make.PROG.NEG

‘Oops, Twitter reminds me that I have not made the New Year’s card yet.’  

[Twitter 2/Dec/2020]

In the following illustration of interjection use, a nuance of surprise is implied.

(13) *Yabai*, yabai, yaro ano ano soo yuu nantoka

```
INTER INTER PRED DEM DEM DEM say.CONCL somehow

Nanka ga aru wake janain.
```

Something like NOM have case PRED.NEG

‘What? What? That, that, there must be some way out.’ [CEJC_K001_013]

At this stage, it can be suggested that the interjection function appears to be a *kuchiguse* ‘habit of saying’ and, crucially, such a habit of saying can vary from individual to individual. This would suggest that the interjection function of *yabai* fulfills a grammatical function.

### 3.2 Predicate *yabai*

The second largest token number among *yabai* come from the adjective function, which may render a predicate or modify a noun. A search in NINJAL-LWP shows that among the 245 tokens (73 types) of *yabai*’s modifying a noun, all tokens appear to convey a negative reading. This having been said, the following Twitter data presents a different picture: *yabai* renders a positive reading.
(14) **adjective yabai renders a positive reading**

Moo onrii toshite wa **yabai** daikibo ni nattete.

Already ONLY as FOC very big scale DAT become.GER

‘As for the company ONLY, the scale of (this event) is very big.’

[Twitter 29/Nov/2020]

Yabai describes the size of the scale of the event. The noun that it modifies is a Sino-Japanese word: *daikiboo* ‘big scale’, where there is already a modifier, i.e. *dai* ‘big’. This inspires us to deduce that *yabai* is modifying a modifier and ought to be deemed as an adverb. One may argue that *dai* is not an independent word but a prefix, attached to the noun *kiboo* ‘scale’, and thus *daikiboo* ought to be deemed a noun. Pulling these strands together, we cannot but contend that *yabai* is fulfilling the adverb function and ought to be considered as a grammatical item.

Such data is only detected once. The other data of [*yabai* + noun] all convey a negative evaluation. It is thus to the predicate function that we will now turn.

As suggested by Table 2, the predicate *yabai* renders two evaluations: negative and positive. A typical example would be (15):

![Table 2](image)

(15) **Negative reading**

*Densha ippon noriokurete mo mata kuru kedo*

Train one miss.GER FOC till come CONC

*Hikooki ippon okuretara **yabai** shi.*

flight one miss.PROV bad EXCL

‘If one misses a train, he/she can still catch the next; if a flight is missed, that would be a disaster.’ [CEJC_T004_006]

A salient property of this *yabai* is that it has an original meaning: it describes the consequence of missing a flight, i.e. bad. With this in place, *yabai* here has a lexical function.

The following example is another illustration of the predicate use, presenting a different picture.

(16) **Positive reading**

[The writer wrote about how marvellous the animation *Kimetsu no Yaiba* (Demon Slayer) was and particularly how he is fond of the last chapter]

*Kimetsu saishuukan ga **yabai**.*

Kimetsu no yaiba last chapter NOM interesting

‘The last chapter of Demon Slayer is really interesting.’ [Twitter 4/Dec/2020]
Building on the context, we assume that \textit{yabai} here renders a positive reading. This piece of data leads us to assume that \textit{yabai} here fulfils a grammatical function.

3.3 Adverb \textit{yabai}

The grammatical function seems to be further tied to the adverb use of \textit{yabai}. Consider the following data.

(17) [A couple is talking about kendo ‘Japanese fencing’, one referred to his skill and the other praised him.]

\begin{verbatim}
     yabai sugoi yo ne, hitori dake sasareru tte.
\end{verbatim}

extremely marvellous excl excl along solely stab.pote part

‘That shot was indeed marvellous! It is said that he can stab on his own.’

[CEJC_K003_012a]

\textit{yabai sugoi} can be reformed as \textit{yabai gurai sugoi} ‘awful-nearly-marvellous’. In this regard, \textit{yabai} describes the degree of the next adjective, i.e. \textit{sugoi} ‘marvellous’. Another illustration is seen in (18).

(18) \textit{Shooga ni shoyu o kakeru to, yabai umai.}

\begin{verbatim}
     ginger dat soy sauce acc put on conj adv delicious
\end{verbatim}

‘If soy sauce is put on the ginger, the ginger will be very delicious.’

[CEJC_K003_012a]

The data from the CEJC suggests that, when \textit{yabai} modifies an adjective, it is likely that the adjective itself renders a positive reading. Thus, the whole expression is positive. The following data is drawn from Twitter, where \textit{yabai} is followed by an adjective that yields a neutral reading.

(19) \textit{Soto yabai samui.}

\begin{verbatim}
     Outside ADV cold
\end{verbatim}

‘It is extremely cold outside’ [Twitter 8/Dec/2020]

The above data indicates that when \textit{yabai} modifies an adjective, it does not carry any evaluation but merely describes the degree of the following adjective. Building on this, we contend that the adverb \textit{yabai} fulfils a grammatical function.

Additional intriguing data is noted, i.e. [\textit{yaba} + dative case particle \textit{ni}]:

(20) [The writer indicates the scale of the event for the company]

\begin{verbatim}
Moo onrii toshite wa yabai daikibo ni nattete.
\end{verbatim}

Already ONLY as FOC very big scale DAT become.GER

\textit{yabai} \textit{ni natteru}

big scale DAT become.PROG
‘As for the company ONLY, the scale of (this event) is very big. It turns out to be a very big scale.’ [Twitter 29/Nov/2020]

It is important to notice that the first appearance of *yabai* modifies a noun, cf. *yabai daikibo ni nattete*. It is the second *yabai* that we are concentrating on, which is followed by the dative case particle *ni*, cf. *yabai ni natteru*. This expression yields a positive reading, i.e. how big the event is. The construction [*yabai* + dative particle *ni*] suggests that *yabai* is either a noun or a na-adjective. Or, alternatively, perhaps an ellipsis is involved in this *yabai*. In the database, such an expression is only detected once. With this being the case, it is not appropriate to contend that *yabai* displays a noun or a na-adjective function.

4. The Clipped *yabai*

Having drawn a picture of *yabai* conveying an interjection, an adverb and a predicate, this section presents a further picture: three clipped forms of *yabai*, i.e. *yaba*, *yabee* and *yabe*. To provide an adequate account of the morphosyntactic properties and the semantic meanings of the clipped *yabai*, this study took 81 tokens from Twitter at random and calculated the distribution of their functions.

**Table 3. yaba, yabee and yabe’s syntactic functions and semantic meanings (Note 4)**

|              | yaba | yabee | yabe |
|--------------|------|-------|------|
| Interjection | 8    | 8     | 7    |
| Na-adjective | 0    | 3     | 0    |
| I-adjective (modifying a noun) | 18 negative; 5 positive; 2 neutral | 1 negative |
| Adverb use (modifying a verb/) | 1 | 4 |
| Adverb use (modifying an adjective) | 1 | 2 |
| Predicate | 3 positive; 1 negative | 15 negative; 8 neutral; 10 positive | 1 negative; 1 positive |
| Total tokens | 14 | 57 | 10 |

Most tokens of *yaba* and *yabe* come from the interjection use, which leads us to deduce that *yaba* and *yabe* may have lost their original function, i.e. as an adjective, modifying a noun. It was also discovered that *yabee* bears four parts of speech, with the adjective as the main function and the predicate use as the second.

4.1 *Yabee*

The multiple morphosyntactic properties of the clipped *yabai* are particularly pronounced in the form *yabee*. Apart from the basic function, i.e. an adjective, modifying a noun (1), four innovations are observed: na-adjective; adverb, predicate and interjection. Among them, the na-adjective function is particularly worth commenting on.
(21) **Adjective yabee**

[The writer praises his vacuum cleaner, which is not expensive but works very well.]

3000 en no soojiki wa yabee gurai suu wa.

3000 yen GEN vacuum cleaner TOP ADV PART suck up EXCL

‘The 3000 yen vacuum cleaner sucks everything up.’ [Twitter 2/Dec/2020]

4.1.1 Na-adjective yabee

The following examples presents an intriguing picture: yabee is followed by an adnominal lexicon ‘na’ and thus is alleged to be a na-adjective, modifying the noun ‘owa (ending)’.

(22) **yabee na owa toru.**

Bad ADML ending have

‘The ending is badly taken.’ [Twitter 1/Dec/2020]

The na-adjective use is worth commenting on. Recall that is was during the Edo Period (1603–1868), when the na-adjective function was derived from the noun 厄場 yaba ‘prison’.

The data in (1), however, differs from the one centuries ago: yabee, as a na-adjective nowadays, is an adverb, modifying a VP. To put it another way, although yabee appears to be a na-adjective, it actually modifies a VP. Here, it refers to ‘badly’. Thus, the nuance of yabee na owa toru turns out to be: ‘the ending is badly taken’.

4.1.2 Adverb yabee

The adverb use of yabee presents two variations: modifying a verb (23) or modifying an i-adjective (24).

(23) **Yabee modifies a verb**

a. **Yabee nokotta wa.**

Much left.PAST EXCL

‘A lot has been left.’ [Twitter 3/Dec/2020]

b. **Nokori no futari ga yabee bakudankakaete soo.**

Left GEN two persons nom very much carrying a bomb AUX

‘The left two persons appear very much likely to carry a bomb.’ [Twitter 2/Dec/2020]

(24) **Yabee modifies an i-adjective**

**Chotto mukoo gawa no a, soo, soo, ...**

A bit other side GEN EXCL oh yest

**yabee natsukashii.**

ADV longing
‘Go toward the other side a bit more, yes, that’s it, so longing.’ [CEJC_T006_008a]

4.1.3 Predicate yabee

Turning to yabee’s predicate function, a common function of yabee is to render a negative meaning. An illustration is provided in (25).

(25) Predicate yabee (negative reading)

[On a snowy night, the writer posts a photo of a car, which is fully covered by snow. The writer says: by tomorrow, the car would be a disaster!]

Koitsu wa, ashita no asa, yabee.
This guy TOP tomorrow GEN morning, INTER
‘By tomorrow morning, this car would turn out to be a mess.’ [Twitter 10/Dec/2020]

Another use of the predicate yabee is to render a positive meaning, as shown in (26).

(26) Predicate yabee (positive reading)

[On a snowy road, two cars are progressing with difficulty, when a BMW approaches with ease. The poster cannot help but narrate that the BMW is powerful]

X5 no iatsukan yabee na
X5 GEN over-power feeling strong EXCL
‘X5’s over-power feeling is strong.’ [Twitter 8/Dec/2020]

In the database, a neutral reading of the predicate yabee is also observed, cf. (27).

(27) Predicate yabee (neutral reading)

[The writer tries to understand which direction he can walk in to prevent pollen flying into his eyes. Then he follows a suggestion that is proposed by a friend, and it works.]

Koo yatte, aruku to, kafen ga me ni
DEM do.GER walk.CONCL CONJ pollen NOM eye DAT
Hairanaitte, hontooda, yabee!
 Come.NEG.COMP, true.PRED INTER
‘By walking in this direction, it really works, the pollen would not come into the eyes.’ [Twitter 11/Dec/2020]

This leads us to the observation that the predicate yabee, when yielding a neutral or a positive reading, is fulfilling a grammatical function.
4.1.4 Interjection *yabee*

The interjection use of *yabee* is represented by (28), where a light negative nuance is implied.

(28) *yabee, chikoku da. Isoge.*

   INTER   be late   PRED   hurry up. imp

‘Oh dear, we are late. We must hurry up.’ [Twitter 9/Dec/2020]

Having all this in mind, we can say

I. When *yabee* behaves as an adjective, its fundamental role is to modify a noun with a negative evaluation, and thus we deduce that the adjective *yabee* is a lexical item.

II. The na-adjective function of *yabee* is an innovation. It should be borne in mind that although *yabee* appears to be a na-adjective, it actually modifies a VP, and thus ought to be deemed as an adverb, fulfilling a grammatical function.

III. The adverb use of *yabee* presents two variations: modifying a verb or modifying an i-adjective. At any rate, the adverb use renders a degree of the event and is deemed to be a grammatical item.

IV. The predicate function presents the following diversities: the negative-denotation *yabee* is a lexical item; the positive/neutral-denotation *yabee* fulfills a grammatical function.

V. In addition, when *yabee* renders an interjection function, it is a grammatical item.

4.2 *Yaba*

It is the second clipped form, i.e. *yaba*, to which we now proceed. A typical example of the interjection use would be (29):

(29) Interjection *yaba*

   [The writer posts a picture of snow and writes:]

   *Yaba!!! yuki da.*

   ‘Look, it’s snowing!’ [Twitter 10/Dec/2020]

It also seems that *yaba* may act as an adverb, modifying an i-adjective, cf. (30).

(30) **Adverb *yaba***

   *Minna no henshin yabai kawaii.*

   All of you GEN transformation very cute

   ‘Your transformations are very cute’. [Twitter 11/Nov/2020]

The predicate use is also seen.
(31) **predicate *yaba***

[The poster posts a photo of Hankyū Densha #3324 and writes]

```
3324  kiree  sugite  yabakatta.
```

‘Hankyū Densha #3324 is very beautiful.’ [Twitter 10/Dec/2020]

*Yaba* in (31) denotes a positive reading. In our randomly taken database, there are 30 tokens of predicate use; among them, 37% (11 tokens) are applied to a positive reading. The following is another illustration of predicate *yaba*, which suggests a negative nuance.

(32) [The poster displays a photo of a heavily snow-covered road and says:]  

```
kono  michi  yabakatta.
```

Kaori  HON  ADJ.PAST

‘Miss Kaori is so cute’. [Twitter 10/Dec/2020]

Incorporating these observations, we deduce that the original meaning is retained in the function of a predicate, which in turns indicates it as a lexical item. The interjection, adverb, and the positive predicate use of *yaba,* contribute a grammatical function.

So far, the multifaceted functions and meanings of *yabee* and *yaba* have been analysed. There is one remaining issue, i.e. the third clipped form *yabe*. It is not as favoured as *yabee* or *yabai*. In the randomly collected database, only ten tokens are detected, seven out of which render an interjection use. One token applies to the basic function and meaning, one token is attributed to a negative reading, as a predicate, and one is attributed to a positive reading, as a predicate. These diversities indicate that *yabe* is also a two-faced lexeme, fulfilling a grammatical function, on the one hand, and functional a lexical function on the other.

4.3 **Summary**

Based on the morphological, syntactic and semantic evidence given above, that the diverse parts of speech and semantic meanings split into two categories: (a) a grammatical function is applied to the interjection, positive/neutral-denotation predicate, adverb and na-adjective functions; and (b) a lexical function is fulfilled by a negative-denotation predicate and adjective that modifies a noun.

A further look at the cooccurrence network of *yabai* via KH Coder (cf. Figure 3) reveals that,

a. *yabai* is mostly likely to cooccur with adjectives, where *yabai* fulfills an adverb duty.

b. *yabai’s* adverb function is mostly seen in conversations among women friends than official conversations in company or government.

c. *yabai’s* adverb function appears to be limited to friendships and is ruled out by parent-child and vertical relationships.
5. Concluding Remarks

Modern Japanese has a quite developed wakamono kotoba ‘youth lexicon’, e.g. the suffixes -mi, -poi, -sugiru, -kusai have established syntactic functions and semantic meanings that are different from standard Japanese. The study of yabai is of particular interest in the study of lexical pragmatics because, apart from its meaning being extended, it appears to have innovated an adverb use and an interjection function. The main goal of this study was to uncover the morphosyntactic properties of yabai and its clipped forms. It aimed to arrive at an understanding of how the forms and the meanings might be associated. A lexical-pragmatic-based analysis, based on Twitter and corpus data, was carried out. The finding yield the following picture of the lexeme.

I. When yabai and yabee undertake an adjective role, modifying a noun, it is likely that a negative evaluation is invited. In this regard, yabai and yabee fulfil a lexical function.

II. The adverb uses of yabai and yabee presents two variations: modifying a verb or modifying an i-adjective. At any rate, the adverb use describes the degree of an event or an object. Incorporating this, the adverbs yabai and yabee fulfil a grammatical function.

III. The predicate function presents the following diversities: the negative-denotation yabai and yabee are lexical items; the positive/neutral-denotation yabai, yabee and yaba are grammatical items.

IV. The interjection yabai, yaba, yabe and yabee fulfil a grammatical function.

Yabai awaits further research, i.e. driven by cognitive semantics delving into the extension process; a diachronic study, searching for the evolution path at different historical stages.
interjection, adverb use of yabai is probably down to grammaticalisation. The clipped forms, i.e. yaba; yabee and yabe, as Table 3 suggests, no longer render an adjective function. Their functions are limited to interjection use and adverb use. The completely lost original part of speech, and the morphophonological change (i.e. clipping), are signs of complete grammaticalisation. In terms of yabee, it does not seem to have reached the end of grammaticalisation, as there are still tokens indicating a negative evaluation as an i-adjective. The positive and neutral readings of the predicate yabai and yabee have to do with semantic extension.

It is clear that there is much work to do in this field, but nevertheless we hope this work has provided a sound base for further research.

**Abbreviations**

| Abbreviation | Meaning               |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| ACC          | accusative            |
| ADJ          | adjective              |
| ADML         | adnominal             |
| ADV          | adverb                 |
| AUX          | auxiliary              |
| COMP         | complement             |
| CONC         | concessive form        |
| CONJ         | conjunction            |
| COP          | copula                 |
| DAT          | dative                 |
| DEM          | demonstrative          |
| EXCL         | exclamatory            |
| FOC          | focus particle          |
| GEN          | genitive               |
| GER          | gerund                 |
| HON          | honorification         |
| NEG          | negative               |
| INTER        | interjection           |
| IMP          | imperative             |
| PART         | casual quoting particle |
| POTE         | potential form         |
| TOP          | topic                  |
References

Givón, T. (1971). Historical syntax and synchronic morphology. Chicago Linguistic Society Proceedings, 7, 394-415.

Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole, & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), Syntax and Semantics: Speech Acts (Vo. 3, pp. 41-58). New York: Academic Press.

Higuchi, K. (2017). Gengokenkyuu no bunya ni okeru KH Coder katsuyoo no kanoosee. Keiryookokugogaku [KH Coder in linguistics studies. Quantitative Japanese Linguistics, 31(1), 36-45.

Horiuchi, K. (1989). Wakamono no jittai. Seeshoonen Mondai [The reality of the youth]. Problems in the Youth, 36(6), 12-18.

Horosawa, S., & Iwata, N. (2009). Wakamono tachi no aida ni horogaru ‘yabai’ no shin yooohoo [The spreading new usage of yabai among young people]. Bulletin of Faculty of Reginal Studies, (25), 39-58.

Ishihara, I., Kanawa, T., & Tachi, I. (2020). Gendai no riaruna wakamono no wakamonokotoba no tsukaikata to sono shinri [The usage of youth language and the psychology]. Repository of sociolinguistics presentation at School of Economics, College of Human and Sciences, Kanazawa University, (15), 33-46.

Konno, H. (2015). Fukushiteki yabai no kooteki hyoogenshiikoosei to sono dookiduke [On the motivation of employing the adverb yabai]. In Fukada, C., Nishida, K., & Tamura, T. (Eds.), Gengokenkyuu no shiza (pp. 325-341). Kaitakusha.

Kudoo, S. (2019). Wakamono kotoba maji no gengoteki tokuchoo – yabai to no hikaku o chuushin ni [Linguistic Characteristics of Japanese Teen Slang Mazi-manzi -With Special Reference to Yabai-]. The Faculty journal of Komazaw Women’s University, (26), 63-74.

Kumagai, M. (2014). Yabai no genjoo to komyunikeeshon: Watashitachi wa yabai o doo tsukaubekinanoka [The present situation of the use of the expression "YABAI" in human communication: How should we use the expression "YABAI"]. Communication Culture, (8), 185-202. Atomi University.

Sakaguchi, K. (2013). Nihongo keeyooshi yabai no imikakuchoo to kyoochooshika ni kansuruiichikoosatsu: ninchigengogaku kara miru imi no koojoo no mekanizumu [Jaoanese adjective yabai’s semantic extension and its derivation into a focus particle: a cognitive linguistics perspective]. Bulletin of Language and Information Sciences, (11), 19-35. University of Tokyo.

Sano, S. (2005). On the Positive Meaning of the Adjective Yabai in Japanese. SOPHIA LINGUISTICA, 53, 109-130.

Sano, S. (2012). Yabai no henka o bunseki suru [An analysis to the shift of yabai]. In Hibiya, J. (Ed.), Jajime te manabu shakaigengogaku – kotoba no barieeshon o kangaeru 14 [An
introduction to sociolinguistics – the variation of languages 14] (pp. 209-226).

Minervashobo.

Seraku, T., & Akiha, T. (2019). Poi in Japanese Wakamono Kotoba ‘Youth Language’. 
Lingua, 224, 1-15.

Shibatani, M. (2013). What Can Japanese Dialects Tell Us about the Function and 
Development of the Nominalization Particle No?. In B. Frellesvig, & P. Sells (Eds.), 
Japanese/Korean Linguistics (Vol. 20, pp. 429-451). Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.

Shibatani, M. (2017). Nominalizations. In M. Shibatani, S. Miyagawa, & H. Noda (Eds.), The 
Handbook of Japanese Syntax, (pp. 271-331). Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton. 
https://doi.org/10.1515/9781614516613-009

Shibatani, M. (2018a). Nominalization. In Y. Hasegawa (Ed.), The Cambridge Handbook of 
Japanese Linguistics (pp. 431-462). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Shibatani, M. (2018b). Nominalization in Crosslinguistic Perspective. In P. Pardeshi, & T. 
Kageyama (Eds.), The Handbook of Japanese Contrastive Linguistics, (pp. 345-410. Berlin:
Walter de Gruyter. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781614514077-013

Shibatani, M. (2019). What is Nominalization?. In R. Zariquiey, M. Shibatani, & D. Fleck 
(Eds.), Nominalization in Languages of the Americas (pp. 15-167). Amsterdam/Philadelphia: 
John Benjamins. https://doi.org/10.1075/tsl.124.02shi

Shibatani, M., & Makhashen, K. A. bin. (2009). Nominalization in Soqotri, a South Arabian 
Language of Yemen. In L. Wetzels (Ed.), The Linguistics of Endangered Languages, (pp. 
9-31). Leiden: Brill.

Shibatani, M., & Shigeno, H. (2013). Amami Nominalizations. International Journal of 
Okinawan Studies, 4(1), 107-138.

Shinoda, S. (1998). Kotobadukai no midare o kangaeru: wakamonokotoba no naniga mondai 
nanoka [Language misusage: the problem with the youth language]. Boosei, 29(5), 54-61. 
Tokai education.

Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. (1986). Relevance: Communication and Cognition. Oxford: 
Wiley-Blackwell.

Takeuchi, M. (2007). Ninchiimiron e no kokoromi – yabai o megutte [Lexical pragmatics: in 
case of Yabai (risky/fearful)]. Bulletin of the Institute of Humanities, Kanagawa University, 
(40), 1-9.

Traugott, E. C., & Heine, B. (1991). Approaches to Grammaticalization. John Benjamins 
Publishing Company.

Voßhagen, C. (1999). Opposition as a Metonymic Principle. Metonymy in Language and 
Thought, 289-308.
Notes

Note 1. Japanese adjectives fall into two groups: \(i\)-adjectives (1) and \(na\)-adjectives (2).

(1) \(Hanako \ wa \ kabe \ o \ shiroku \ nutta.\) (\(i\)-adjective)

\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text{Hanako} & \text{TOP} & \text{wall} & \text{ACC} \quad \text{white paint.PAST} \\
\end{array}
\]

‘Hanako painted the wall white.’

(2) \(Hanako \ wa \ kabe \ o \ masshiro \ ni \ nutta.\) (\(na\)-adjective)

\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text{Hanako} & \text{TOP} & \text{wall} & \text{ACC} \quad \text{completely COP paint.PAST} \\
\end{array}
\]

‘Hanako painted the wall completely white.’

Note 2. In the study of discourse and conversation, two approaches are influential: (a) the cooperative principle (Grice 1975), which suggests that the communicator follows four principles: quantity, quality, manner and relevance; and (b) relevance theory (Sperber and Wilson 1995 [1986]), according to which ‘the greater the cognitive effects and the smaller the processing effort, the greater the relevance’.

Note 3. The terms ‘lexical’ and ‘grammatical’ were initially put forward by Shibatani, Masayoshi, in his work on nominalisation (cf. 2017, 2018a, 2018b, 2019) and were developed by Seraku Tohru’s (2020) work on Japanese nominalisation suffix \(mi\).

Note 4. The number in the table refers to the ‘token number’. Since only the data that fulfills a lexical function would carry a positive, a negative or a neutral evaluation, the data that undertake a grammatical function do not bear an evaluation for they merely convey a grammatical function, only the following data – (a) the adjective function that modifies a noun; (b) the predicate use; (c) the noun use; and (d) the \(na\)-adjective use – are marked with evaluations.
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