TYPE II In SUPERNOVA SN 2010jl: OPTICAL OBSERVATIONS FOR OVER 500 DAYS AFTER EXPLOSION
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ABSTRACT

We present extensive optical observations of a Type II In supernova (SN II In) 2010jl for the first 1.5 years after its discovery. The UBVRI light curves demonstrated an interesting two-stage evolution during the nebular phase, which almost flattened out after about 90 days from the optical maximum. SN 2010jl has one of the highest intrinsic Hα luminosities ever recorded for an SN II, especially at late phase, suggesting a strong interaction of SN ejecta with the dense circumstellar material (CSM) ejected by the progenitor. This is also indicated by the remarkably strong Balmer lines persisting in the optical spectra. One interesting spectral evolution about SN 2010jl is the appearance of asymmetry of the Balmer lines. These lines can be well decomposed into a narrow component and an intermediate-width component. The intermediate-width component showed a steady increase in both strength and blueshift with time until $t \sim 400$ days after maximum, but it became less blueshifted at $t \sim 500$ days, when the line profile appeared relatively symmetric again. Owing to the fact that a pure reddening effect will lead to a sudden decline of the light curves and a progressive blueshift of the spectral lines, we therefore propose that the asymmetric profiles of H lines seen in SN 2010jl are unlikely due to the extinction by newly formed dust inside the ejecta, contrary to the explanation by some early studies. Based on a simple CSM-interaction model, we speculate that the progenitor of SN 2010jl may suffer a gigantic mass loss ($\sim$10 M$_\odot$) a few decades before explosion. Considering a slow-moving stellar wind (e.g., $\sim$28 km s$^{-1}$) inferred for the preexisting, dense CSM shell and the extremely high mass-loss rate ($1-2$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$), we suggest that the progenitor of SN 2010jl might have experienced a red supergiant stage and may explode finally as a post-red supergiant star with an initial mass above 30–40 M$_\odot$.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Type II supernovae (SNe II) have long been thought to arise from the death of massive stars with $M > 8$ M$_\odot$ when the nuclear burning does not provide thermal pressure to support the star (e.g., Smartt 2009). Observationally, the presence of hydrogen in the spectra of SNe II is the distinguishing signature of this class (see Filippenko 1997 for a review of SN classification), and they are usually fainter than the Type Ia events. Type II supernovae (SNe II) represent a distinct subclass of SNe II that are characteristic of prominent narrow emission lines of hydrogen (Schlegel 1990; Filippenko 1991a, 1991b). They can also have intermediate-width and/or broader components in addition to the narrow component. The narrow component usually has an FWHM velocity less than a few hundred km s$^{-1}$ and is believed to arise from the pre-shocked, photoionized circumstellar material (CSM) shell around the exploding star (Chevalier & Fransson 1994), while the intermediate- or broad-width component has an FWHM velocity of a few thousand km s$^{-1}$, and is formed from the dense post-shocked CSM shell. The presence of high-density CSM shells around some SNe II is favored by the detections of strong X-ray and radio emission after their explosion.

SNe II are the brightest subgroup of all SNe II, but they are rare events, accounting for $<10\%$ of all SNe II (Smartt 2009; Li et al. 2011; Smith et al. 2011a). The well-observed, representative SN II samples are SNe 1988Z (Turatto et al. 1993), 1994W (Sollerman et al. 1998; Chugai et al. 2004), 1998S (Fassia et al. 2000; Liu et al. 2000; Pooley et al. 2002), 2006if (Smith et al. 2008), and SN 2006gy (Smith et al. 2007), which exhibit diverse observational properties. For example, the maximum-light absolute magnitude can vary from $\sim$19.0 mag (for SNe 1988Z and 1994W) to $\sim$22.0 mag (for SN 2006gy) in the broadband R. The strength and profile of the emission lines such as the H$\alpha$ lines also differ significantly among these SNe II (Smith et al. 2008). This indicates a large spread for the properties of the progenitor stars for this subgroup of core-collapse SNe (see a summary by Kiewe et al. 2012).

The dense CSM can be formed due to a high mass-loss rate of massive stars (Chugai et al. 2004; Gal-Yam & Leonard 2009). The luminous blue variable (LBV) stars are very bright, blue, hypergiant variable stars that experience frequent eruptions (Humphreys & Davidson 1994) and have been proposed to be the possible progenitors of some SNe II. One well-known case is the luminous Type II SN 2005gj. Pre-explosion Hubble Space Telescope (HST) images show that the bright point source at the position of SN 2005gj is likely an LBV-like star, and the speed of the pre-shocked progenitor wind is also consistent with an LBV star (Gal-Yam et al. 2007; Gal-Yam & Leonard 2009). Another case is SN 2006if, which was also suggested to have a pre-shocked wind speed of an LBV-like star, but not of red supergiants or Wolf–Rayet (W-R) stars (Smith et al. 2008). On
the other hand, it is also proposed that the W-R stars with fast winds lasting for a few thousand years might be the probable progenitors of some SNe IIn (Dwarkadas et al. 2010; Dwarkadas 2011). Note that not all of the SNe IIn show strong radio or X-ray emission, which suggests that not all of them are undergoing a strong interaction with a dense CSM. This suggests that SNe IIn may have more than one type of progenitor.

SN 2010jl was discovered on November 02.06 UT (Newton & Puckett 2010) in the irregular galaxy UGC 5189A, and is one of the brightest SN recorded in 2010 (13.5 mag on 2010 November 3). The early observation shows that it is a Type IIn in the relatively young phase, with distinguished signatures of multiple hydrogen emission lines in the spectrum (Benetti et al. 2010). At the optical position of the SN, an X-ray point source was also detected on November 5 with a 6.5σ significance of source detection (Immler et al. 2010). Using the archival WFPC2 images of HST taken about 10 years prior to explosion, Smith et al. (2011b) suggest that the progenitor of SN 2010jl is consistent with a massive star with an initial mass above 30 $M_\odot$, but the exact nature of the progenitor is not conclusive. The optical linear spectropolarimetry of SN 2010jl obtained at two weeks after the discovery shows a continuum polarization at a level of 1.7%–2.0%, indicating that the explosion has a substantial asphericity (Patat et al. 2011). Near-infrared observations were obtained at about 90 days after the explosion with the Wisconsin–Indiana–Yale–NAOA telescope for the $JHKs$ bands and the Spitzer/IRAC for two mid-infrared bands (with the wavelength centering at 3.6 and 4.5 $\mu$m, respectively; Andrews et al. 2011). These observations revealed a significant infrared (IR) excess for SN 2010jl, suggesting that a large amount of dust may exist around this SN before explosion.

In this paper, we present extensive observations of SN IIn 2010jl in optical bands, providing another well-observed example with which to compare other SNe IIn. Smith et al. (2012) and Stoll et al. (2011) have studied some of the optical properties of SN 2010jl, but our excellent and independent data set of both photometry and spectroscopy allows us to provide better constraints on the properties of SN 2010jl. Our observations and data reduction are described in Section 2, while Section 3 presents the light curves and spectra, and the spectral evolution (especially the hydrogen emission lines) is given in Section 4. In Section 5 we present the discussions about the progenitor of SN 2010jl. Our summaries and conclusions are given in Section 5.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Newton & Puckett (2010) discovered SN 2010jl with an unfiltered magnitude of ~13.0 mag on UT 2010 November 02.06 at $\alpha = 09^h42^m53.33^s$, $\delta = +09^\circ29'41''$ (J2000.0). It exploded at 2.4 east and 7.7 north of the center of the nearby irregular galaxy UGC 5189A (see Figure 1). The host galaxy appears very diffuse, consisting primarily of low-metallicity stellar populations (e.g., Stoll et al. 2011). An optical spectrum taken on November 5.08 showed that SN 2010jl is consistent with an SN IIn at the early phase, with prominent He emission lines and visible helium lines (Benetti et al. 2010). Owing to the brightness and rarity of SN IIn events, we requested frequent optical ($UBVRI$ bands) imaging as well as optical spectroscopy and collected a total of ~300 photometric data points and 12 optical spectra until 2012 May.

2.1. Photometry

The photometry of SN 2010jl was obtained by the 0.8 m Tsinghua-NAOC reflecting Telescope (TNT9) located at NAOC Xinglong Observatory. This telescope is equipped with a 1340 × 1300 pixel back-illuminated CCD, with a field of view (FOV) of $11.5 \times 11.2$ (pixel size ~ 0.52 pixel$^{-1}$). Our observation of SN 2010jl started on 2010 November 8, about 6 days after the discovery, and extended out to about 500 days after that.

As shown in Figure 1, SN 2010jl is located close to the center of UGC 5189A. Since this SN fades slowly and is still quite bright (~16.0 mag) in 2012, we did not apply an image-subtraction technique before performing photometry. The aperture photometry was performed to obtain the instrumental magnitudes of both the SN and the local standard stars with the pipeline for the TNT data reduction (based on the IRAF10 DAOPHOT package). To estimate the contamination of the background light from the host galaxy, we used the pre-explosion image taken by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; e.g., Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2008). The fiber magnitude measures the total flux contained within the aperture of a spectroscopic fiber (3$''$ in diameter), and is calculated in the $BVRI$ band at the position of SN 2010jl. We found that the background emission from the host galaxy is fainter than 18.0 mag in each band. It is therefore assumed that the light from the host galaxy barely affects the early-time photometry of SN 2010jl, but it could cause an uncertainty of up to about 5% in the photometry when the SN becomes fainter than 15.0 mag.

A series of standard stars (Landolt 1992) covering a wide range of colors and air masses were observed on photometric nights to transform the instrumental magnitudes to the standard Johnson $UBV$ (Johnson et al. 1966) and Kron–Cousins $RI$ (Cousins 1981) systems. A total of four photometric nights were used to calibrate the local standard stars in the field of SN 2010jl (see finding chart in Figure 1). The average values of the color terms obtained on different photometric nights are consistent with previous estimates for the TNT photometric system (e.g., Wang et al. 2008, 2009; Zhang et al. 2010; Huang et al. 2012), which are not listed here. The final calibrated $UBVRI$ magnitudes of eight standard stars are listed in Table 1. These stars are then used to transform the instrumental magnitudes of SN 2010jl to the standard $UBVRI$ magnitudes, with the final results of the photometry listed in Table 2. The error bars (in parenthesis) include both the uncertainty in the calibration of the local standard stars and the uncertainty of the instrumental magnitudes.

2.2. Spectroscopy

Low-resolution optical spectra of SN 2010jl were obtained with the Cassegrain spectrograph and BAO Faint Object Spectrograph & Camera (BFOSC) mounted on the 2.16 m telescope at NAOC Xinglong Observatory. Two late-time spectra taken at 86 days and 392 days after maximum light were, respectively, obtained with the Carelec spectrograph on the 1.93 m telescope at Haute-Provence Observatory (OHP) France and the Yunnan Astronomical Observatory Faint Object Spectrograph & Camera (YFOSC) on the 2.4 m telescope at Lijiang Observatory. A journal of spectroscopic observations is listed in Table 3.

---

9 This telescope is co-operated by Tsinghua University and the National Astronomical Observatories of China (NAOC).

10 IRAF, the Image Reduction and Analysis Facility, is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA), Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
Figure 1. SN 2010jl in UGC 5189A. This is an $R$-band image taken with the 0.8 m Tsinghua-NAOC telescope on 2010 November 16. The supernova and local reference stars are marked. North is up and east is to the left.

Table 1

| Star | R.A.$^b$ | Decl. | $U^c$  | $B$  | $V$  | $R$  | $I$  |
|------|---------|-------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| 1    | 09:43:01.29 | +09:33:08.7 | 16.24(05) | 16.23(02) | 15.58(02) | 15.27(01) | 14.94(01) |
| 2    | 09:42:52.33 | +09:32:39.9 | 16.75(07) | 16.39(02) | 15.57(02) | 15.11(01) | 14.64(01) |
| 3    | 09:43:09.21 | +09:30:11.8 | 16.26(05) | 15.76(02) | 14.91(01) | 14.43(01) | 13.91(01) |
| 4    | 09:43:01.00 | +09:29:49.7 | 14.95(02) | 14.67(01) | 13.94(01) | 13.55(01) | 13.13(01) |
| 5    | 09:42:38.44 | +09:29:35.0 | 15.80(04) | 15.59(02) | 14.82(01) | 14.40(01) | 14.00(01) |
| 6    | 09:43:05.38 | +09:26:15.9 | 15.72(04) | 15.71(02) | 15.09(01) | 14.76(01) | 14.38(01) |
| 7    | 09:42:48.16 | +09:27:17.2 | 16.94(08) | 16.32(02) | 15.39(01) | 14.89(01) | 14.43(01) |
| 8    | 09:42:40.93 | +09:26:15.0 | 16.32(05) | 16.22(02) | 15.52(02) | 15.13(01) | 14.79(01) |

Notes.

$^a$ See Figure 1 for a finding chart of SN 2010jl and the reference stars.

$^b$ JD 2000.

$^c$ Uncertainties, in units of 0.01 mag, are 1σ.

All spectra were reduced by IRAF routines. In order to avoid contamination from the host galaxy, each spectrum of the SN was extracted carefully, and was calibrated with the spectrophotometric standard stars observed on the same night at similar air masses as the SN. The spectra were corrected for the atmospheric extinction using mean extinction curves for the local observatories; moreover, telluric lines were also removed from the data.

3. LIGHT CURVES OF SN 2010jl

3.1. Optical Light Curves

The $UBVRI$ optical light curves of SN 2010jl are shown in Figure 2. Overplotted are the $V$- and $I$-band light curve data from the All-Sky Automated Survey (ASAS) North and Swope (Stoll et al. 2011), which provide early-time observations starting from about 25 days before the discovery. These complementary data
at early times allow us to yield $V_{\text{max}} = 13.8 \pm 0.1$ mag on JD 2,455,488.0. This indicates that our observations started from $t \sim 20$ days and extended to $t \sim 500$ days, with respect to the V-band maximum (hereafter the maximum is referred to the V-band maximum). The $I$-band light curve reached a peak magnitude of 13.0 ± 0.1 mag on JD 2,455,494, about one week after the $t_{V_{\text{max}}}$. Adopting a distance modulus $\mu = 33.43 \pm 0.15$ mag from NED\footnote{NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database: \url{http://nedwww.ipac.caltech.edu/}.} and a galactic reddening $E(B-V) = 0.027$ mag (Schlegel et al. 1998), the peak absolute magnitudes are derived as $M_V = -19.9$ mag, $M_I = -20.5$ mag, and $M_R < -20.0$ mag, respectively. It is clear that SN 2010jl is much brighter than a normal SN IIn, which has a typical peak magnitude in the range of $M_R = -17.0$ to $-18.5$ mag, according
In the dent, with faster declines in bluer bands. An exception occurs in the $UBVRI_t$ bands, showing an interesting two-stage, linear decline after the peak. Before $t \sim 90$ days from the maximum, the decay rates measured per 100 days in each band are $1.17 \pm 0.03$ mag in $U$, $0.96 \pm 0.02$ mag in $B$, $0.92 \pm 0.01$ mag in $V$, $0.74 \pm 0.01$ mag in $R$, and $0.88 \pm 0.01$ mag in $I$, respectively. This post-maximum decay rate seems to be wavelength dependent, with faster declines in bluer bands. An exception occurs in the $R$ band where the decay rate is unusually small (see Figure 4 for a comparison with other SNe IIn), which may be due to the strong interactions with the dense hydrogen-rich CSM began shortly after the explosion of SN 2010jl. This mechanism may also explain the late-time evolution of the light curves in other bands. One can see from Figure 2 that the $UBVRI$ light curves flatten out after $t \sim 90$ days since the maximum, with the decline rates measured per 100 days as $0.22 \pm 0.02$ mag in $U$, $0.22 \pm 0.03$ mag in $B$, $0.27 \pm 0.02$ mag in $V$, $0.13 \pm 0.01$ mag in $R$, and $0.32 \pm 0.01$ mag in $I$, respectively. These decay rates are much smaller than the radioactive decay rate of the $^{56}$Co $\rightarrow ^{56}$Fe (e.g., $0.98$ mag (100 days)$^{-1}$). This requires an input of energy from other sources such as the interaction of the SN ejecta with the CSM, which is in accord with the prominent hydrogen emission observed in the optical spectra (see Figure 6).

### 3.2. Color Curves

Figure 3 shows the corresponding color evolution of SN 2010jl. Overplotted are some well-observed, luminous SNe IIn samples, including SN 1997cy (Germany et al. 2000), SN 1998S (Fassia et al. 2000), SN 2006ft (Smith et al. 2008), and SN 2006gy (Smith et al. 2007). In $U-B$, SN 2010jl evolved redward very slowly, e.g., from $-0.5$ mag at $t \sim 20$ days to $-0.4$ mag at $t \sim 200$ days. The $U-B$ color evolution is not known for other comparison SNe IIn for the lack of the photometric data in the $B$ band. In $B-V$ and $V-I$, the color curves stayed nearly constant for SN 2010jl during the phase from $t \sim 20$ days to $t \sim 200$ days after the maximum. This suggests that the shape of the continuum spectra did not change significantly during this period, which is consistent with that the blackbody temperature inferred from the spectra stays at $\sim 7000$ K at similar phases (see Table 5). Inspecting Figure 3 reveals that SN 2006ft might have similar colors as SN 2010jl at early times but it gets gradually redder at a pace of $0.25 \pm 0.03$ mag (100 day)$^{-1}$ in $B-V$, while SN 1998S has much bluer $B-V$ and $V-I$ colors in the early phase but evolved rapidly toward red colors until $t \sim 70$ days after the maximum light. The $V-R$ color, which can be used to roughly measure the relative strength of the Hα, also exhibits remarkable differences for the four samples in our study. SN 2010jl and SN 1998S became progressively red after maximum light, with a slope of $0.16 \pm 0.01$ and $0.83 \pm 0.02$ (100 days)$^{-1}$, respectively, while SN 2006ft and SN 1997cy remained almost a constant $V-R$ color at similar phases. This discrepancy indicates that an intense interaction with the CSM may exist in the former two objects while it should be relatively weak for the latter two objects.

| UT Date | JD − 2,450,000 | Phase$^a$ | Range (Å) | Resolution$^b$ (Å) | Instrument |
|---------|----------------|-----------|------------|---------------------|------------|
| 2010 Nov 11 | 5512.4 | 24.4 | 3500–8500 | 4 | BAO 2.16 m Cassegrain |
| 2010 Nov 27 | 5528.4 | 40.4 | 3000–9000 | 3–5 | BAO 2.16 m BFOSC |
| 2010 Dec 2 | 5533.4 | 45.4 | 3500–7800 | 4 | BAO 2.16 m Cassegrain |
| 2011 Jan 10 | 5572.4 | 84.4 | 3500–8200 | 4 | BAO 2.16 m Cassegrain |
| 2011 Jan 12 | 5574.1 | 86.1 | 3600–7300 | 7 | OHP 1.93 m Carelec |
| 2011 Feb 11 | 5604.1 | 116.1 | 3600–8800 | 4 | BAO 2.16 m Cassegrain |
| 2011 Mar 25 | 5646.1 | 158.1 | 3600–8800 | 4 | BAO 2.16 m Cassegrain |
| 2011 Apr 04 | 5656.1 | 168.1 | 5500–8000 | 2 | BAO 2.16 m Cassegrain |
| 2011 May 13 | 5704.0 | 216.0 | 4200–8700 | 4 | BAO 2.16 m BFOSC |
| 2011 Nov 13 | 5880.4 | 392.4 | 3800–9000 | 3 | YNAO 2.4 m YFOSC |
| 2011 Dec 23 | 5919.2 | 431.2 | 3800–9000 | 4 | BAO 2.16 m Cassegrain |
| 2012 Mar 16 | 6003.0 | 515.0 | 4300–8700 | 4 | BAO 2.16 m Cassegrain |

Notes.

$^a$ Relative to the $V$-band maximum (JD = 2,455,488; Stoll et al. 2011).

$^b$ Approximate spectral resolution (FWHM intensity).
3.3. Absolute Magnitudes and Bolometric Light Curves

In Figure 4, we compare the absolute $R$-band light curves of SN 2010jl with other SN samples. The pre-maximum data points are extrapolated through the $V$-band light curves published by Stoll et al. (2011) and the linear $V - R$ color curve as presented in Figure 3. Overplotted are the light curves of a typical Type Ia SN 2005cf (Wang et al. 2009) and Type IIP SN 1999em (Leonard et al. 2002). The Hubble constant $H_0$ is taken to be 72 km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ (Freedman et al. 2001) in deriving the absolute magnitudes for these objects. Days of the light curves are given relative to the estimated phase of the maximum brightness. One notable feature of this plot is the heterogeneous light curves of the SNe IIn. Compared with Type Ia and IIP SNe, the SN IIn samples are very luminous and their high luminosity lasts for a long time after the peak. A recent study by Kiewe et al. (2012) suggests that the rise time for those luminous core-collapse can be very long (>20 days). As seen from the plot, SN 2006gy could have a rise time longer than 60 days, which is the most luminous SNe IIn ever recorded in recent years. Although less extreme relative to SN 2006gy, SN 2010jl clearly shows a high luminosity with a long duration. It is interesting to note that the light curve of SN 2010jl is similar to that of SN 2006tf and SN 1997cy at early times, but remarkable difference between them emerges at late times. By $t \sim 90$ days from the maximum light, the light curve of SN 2010jl becomes almost flat, unlike SN 1998S or SN 2006tf, which declined in $R$ at a faster pace at a similar phase. By $t \geq 200$ days, SN 2010jl becomes the most luminous SN IIn of our samples due to its remarkably slow evolution at late times. Such a long-duration emission at high luminosity demands a large amount of emitting materials.
Possible energy sources include $^{56}\text{Co}$ decay from a large amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$, diffusion of the shock-deposited kinetic energy, and CSM interactions (see the discussions of other possible energy sources and their difficulties in Smith et al. 2007).

To better understand the overall properties, and particularly the energy sources of SN 2010jl, we constructed its quasi-bolometric light curve using the $UBVRI$ photometry presented in this paper. In this calculation, we used the normalized passband transmission curves given by Bessell (1990). The integrated flux in each filter was approximated by the mean flux multiplied by the effective width of the passband, and was corrected for the reddening in the Milky Way. As we did not get any ultraviolet (UV) or near-infrared (NIR) data for SN 2010jl, we estimate their contribution in the following ways. From the spectral energy distribution of SN 2010jl constructed at $\tau \sim 90$ days after maximum by Andrews et al. (2011), we estimate the contribution from the infrared (IR) emission to be less than 15% of the total flux. Moreover, Andrews et al. (2011) suggested that the IR emission should come primarily from the radiation of the pre-existing dust heated by the SN photons. Thus, the actual contribution of the IR emission to the total flux of the SN could be much lower than the number quoted above. Assuming that the continuum of SN 2010jl can be well represented by a blackbody spectrum, e.g., with an effective temperature of about 7000 K, the UV contribution is estimated to be less than 10% of the total flux.

Figure 5 shows the bolometric light curve of SN 2010jl, derived from the $UBVRI$ light curves. The dashed line shows the $^{56}\text{Co}$ luminosity and the decay rate expected from an initial nickel mass of about $3.4 \, M_{\odot}$. This nickel mass is slightly larger than that estimated for SN 1997cy (e.g., $\sim 2.3 \, M_{\odot}$; Turatto et al. 2000) but smaller than that of SN 2006tf (e.g., $\sim 4.5 \, M_{\odot}$; Smith et al. 2008). One can see that the radioactive decay of the cobalt gives a better fit to the bolometric light curve before $\tau \sim 90$ days from the maximum, but it deviates from the observed light curve after that. The late-time luminosity of SN 2010jl is much higher than that predicted by the radioactive decay of $^{56}\text{Co}$, which requires an input of additional energy such as the CSM interaction. In the study of SN 2006tf, Smith et al. (2009) suggested that a model with photon diffusion from a massive shocked envelope may provide a better match to the main light curve peak than that proposed for SN 2006gy (Smith & McCray 2007). In such a model, the extreme luminosity came from the thermalization of the CSM envelope by the shock kinematic energy. The dash-dotted line indicates the luminosity evolution for a diffusion model with a diffusion timescale $t_{\text{diff}} = 160$ days (see the text for details).

Figure 6. Optical spectral evolution of SN 2010jl. The spectra have been corrected for the redshift of the host galaxy ($v_{\text{hel}} = 3207 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$) and a reddening of $E(B-V) = 0.027$ from the Milky Way, and they have been shifted vertically by arbitrary amounts for clarity. The spectra have been normalized to the portion of the red continuum. The dotted curves show representative blackbodies for comparison at the temperatures indicated in Table 5. The numbers on the right-hand side mark the epochs of the spectra in days after $V$-band maximum. The major emission lines are marked.
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Figure 7. Spectrum of SN 2010jl at four selected epochs ($t \sim 1$ month, $\sim 3$ months, $\sim 6$ months, and $\sim 15$ months after the V maximum), overplotted with the comparable-phase spectra of SNe 1997cy (Turatto et al. 2000), 1998S (Fassia et al. 2001; Pozzo et al. 2004), 2006gy (Smith et al. 2010), and 2006tf (Smith et al. 2008). All spectra shown here have been corrected for the reddening in the Milky Way and redshift of the host galaxy. The spectra were arbitrarily shifted in the vertical direction for a better display.

Figure 8. Left panel: evolution of the H$\alpha$ line profiles, compared with the double-Lorenz/double-Gaussian fit. Solid red lines show the velocity distribution of the intermediate-width component on the blue side and dotted green lines show the narrow component on the red side. The blue dashed lines represent the best-fit curve to the observed profile. Right panels: similar analysis applied to evolution of the H$\beta$ line profile.
t \sim 200\text{ days after the maximum light. The total energy radiated in the visual light during this period is estimated to be } 4.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{50}\text{ erg, which is comparable to SN 2006If (}6.2 \times 10^{50}\text{ erg) considering the uncertainties in the calculations.}

4. EVOLUTION OF OPTICAL SPECTRA

A total of 12 optical spectra of SN 2010jl were obtained at NAOC Xinglong Observatory, YNAO Lijiang Observatory, and Haute-Provence Observatory, spanning \( t = 24\text{ to } 515\text{ days relative to the V-band maximum date (JD }= 2,455,488; \text{ Stoll et al. 2011). Figure 6 presents the complete spectral evolution. Following Smith et al. (2008), we normalized our spectra to relative to the V-band maximum date (JD }= 2,455,488; \text{ Stoll et al. 2011). Figure 6 presents the complete spectral evolution. Following Smith et al. (2008), we normalized our spectra to}

\[ E(B-V) = 0.027\text{ mag.}
\]

The most remarkable feature of the spectral evolution of SN 2010jl is the astonishing reddening growth of the equivalent width (EW) of H\( \alpha \) and H\( \beta \) with time, and is to be discussed in detail in Section 4.2. Note that the emission features near 5000 Å are due to the forbidden lines [O iii] \( \lambda \lambda 4960, 5010 \) from the host galaxy near the SN position, as shown at the bottom of Figure 6. We discuss in detail the spectroscopic evolution of SN 2010jl in the following subsections.

4.1. Temporal Evolution of the Spectra

A spectroscopic comparison with some notable SNe IIn such as SNe 1997cy (Turatto et al. 2000), 1998S (Fassia et al. 2001; Pozzo et al. 2004), 2006gy (Smith et al. 2010), and 2006tf (Smith et al. 2008), at several epochs (\( t \approx 1\text{ month, 3 months, 6 months, and 15 months past the maximum} \)) is shown in Figure 7. All the spectra have been corrected for the Galactic reddening and redshift of the host galaxy.

The comparison of the spectra at \( t \approx 1\text{ month} \) is shown in Figure 7(a). Pronounced emission features of Balmer lines already exist in the earliest spectrum of SN 2010jl, which appear to consist of a narrow component and an intermediate-width component. Besides the Balmer lines, the He i emission lines at 5876 and 7065 Å are also visible in the spectra and a narrow component also exists in these lines. Of the four comparison SNe IIn, SN 2010jl shares most of the spectral features with SN 2006tf except that it has a more pronounced helium lines. SN 2006gy displayed a spectrum similar to SN 2010jl and SN 2006tf, but with relatively weak features of H and He. SN 1998S seems to have a different spectral characteristic at this phase, with a much stronger, bluer continuum and shallower absorption profiles, reminiscent of those of a normal type II (Fassia et al. 2000), while SN 1997cy is an extraordinary SN IIn with such a late-time spectrum, which also displayed a very strong feature of H\( \alpha \) emission with multiple components.

We note that a prominent absorption feature appears at \( \sim 5000\text{ Å} \) in the spectrum of SN 2010jl, which is likely due to Fe ii \( \lambda \lambda 5169 \). The appearance of noticeable iron lines in SN 2010jl is relatively late with respect to all of the four comparison SNe IIn. This indicates that SN 2010jl may have thicker outer shells and it takes a longer time to expose the innermost iron core to the observer. The presence of protruding forbidden lines [O iii] \( \lambda \lambda 4960, 5010 \) in the SN spectrum reminds us, however, that the late-time spectrum suffered considerable contamination from the host galaxy.

One can see from the above comparison that the most impressive spectroscopic characteristics for SN 2010jl are the tremendous growth of the strength of the H lines and the appearance of asymmetric line profiles at some stage. The quantitative analysis of the evolution of the strength and line profiles are given in the next two subsections. In contrast with the peculiar evolution of the line features, the overall shape of the continuum spectra stays roughly unchanged at different epochs. A fit to the observed spectra yields a blackbody temperature ranging from about 7300 K at \( t \sim 20\text{ to about } 6500\text{ K at } t \sim 400-500\text{ days since the maximum. This is consistent with a nearly constant } B-V \text{ and } V-I \text{ color evolution inferred.} \]
Figure 9. Upper panel: the equivalent widths (EWs) of Hα and Hβ with time, measured for SN 2010jl. The EWs presented here include the contribution of narrow, broad, and intermediate-width components. Overplotted are the evolution of the EW for SNe 1988Z, 1997cy, 1997fs (Fassia et al. 2000), and 2006tf (Smith et al. 2008). Note that SNe 1988Z and 1997cy do not have published Hα EWs, so borrowed the measurements from Figure 13 of Smith et al. (2008). Lower panel: evolution of luminosity of Hα emission for SN 2010jl. The flux of Hα emission is scaled in our spectra of SN 2010jl and SNe 1988Z, 1997cy, 1997fs (Fassia et al. 2000), and 2006tf (Smith et al. 2008).

from the photometry (see Figure 3). In general, the overall spectroscopic evolution of SN 2010jl closely resembles that of SN 2006tf (Smith et al. 2008) during the period from $t \sim 1$ to 3 months.

4.2. Evolution of Hα and Hβ Emission

Figure 8 shows the evolution of the EW and luminosity of Hα and Hβ emission for SN 2010jl. The flux of Hα emission is normalized to the nearby continuum, and that of Hβ is scaled for comparison. The observed line profiles of Hα emission can be well decomposed into a narrow component with the FWHM velocity $\lesssim 1000$ km s$^{-1}$, and a broader component with FWHM velocity $\sim 2000–3500$ km s$^{-1}$. This is achieved by using a double-Lorentzian function with separate central wavelengths. Such a two-component fit also applies to the Hβ profiles, as shown in the right panels of Figure 8.

The line profiles of Hα and Hβ were symmetric in day 24 and 45 spectra, but they became asymmetric in the spectra after that. The appearance of an enhanced, broader emission on the blue side of the narrow component makes the profiles appear asymmetric. The narrow component of Hα shows little changes in both the FWHM velocity and the central wavelength, and is still visible in $t \approx 392$ days spectrum. The narrow component of Hβ becomes nearly undetectable in the spectra after $t \approx 200$ days. It is generally believed that this unshifted narrow component was produced by circumstellar gas lost from the progenitor prior to explosion and then photoionized by the UV radiation emitted at the time of shock breakout. At late times, this circumstellar gas should be eventually engulfed by the expanding SN ejecta. In contrast with the narrow component, the intermediate-width component of Balmer lines exhibits a complex evolution. The center of Hα is systematically blueshifted, with the Doppler velocity ranging from $-300$ km s$^{-1}$ on days 24 to $-800$ km s$^{-1}$ on days 515. The Hβ line seems to experience similar evolution, with the corresponding velocity changing from $-100$ to $-700$ km s$^{-1}$. Moreover, the FWHM velocity of the intermediate-width components varied between 2000 and 3500 km s$^{-1}$. This component can be explained with a CSM shell swept by the forward shock (e.g., Smith et al. 2008).

However, the fast expanding SN ejecta can catch up the post-shocked CSM shell at some point, which may further heat and accelerate the CSM shell through an interaction. This configuration may account for the continual growth of the EW and the systematic blueshift of the Hα profile as shown in Figure 8.

Smith et al. (2012) proposed that such an asymmetry of the line profile was likely due to the post-shock dust formation, and they estimated that the new dust grains formed around $t \sim 90$ days from the maximum. In principle, the formation of new dust inside the ejecta would leave three observable signatures: (1) a remarkable decrease in the decline rate of the optical light curves, especially at shorter wavelengths; (2) systematic blueshift of emission-line profiles when the photons from the receding side are blocked by new dust; and (3) infrared excess due to thermal emission from warm or hot dust. Note, however, that infrared excess may not be a reliable tracer of new dust formation since the IR excess could also be caused by the pre-existing dust and radiation efficiency of the new dust is still uncertain. For example, all of the above three signatures were clearly seen in the peculiar Type Ib SN 2006jc, which was believed to suffer an early dust formation in the ejecta (e.g., Anupama et al. 2009). Although the asymmetric line profiles are seen in the spectra of SN 2010jl, its $UBVRI$ light curves did not show a sudden decline at similar phases. During the period from $t \sim 1$ month to $t \sim 6$ months, the $U - B$ and $V - I$ color also stays roughly constant (see Figure 3), with no sign of suffering from significant reddening from the new dust. Note that the Hα line profile showed a progressive blueshift until $t \sim 431$ days, but the blueshift seems to decrease at $t \sim 515$ days and the corresponding line profile became more symmetric at this time. These are at odds with the post-shock formation of new dust. Finally, Andrews et al. (2011) provided evidence that the significant IR excess they observed in SN 2010jl is due to an IR echo caused by the pre-existing dust around SN 2010jl, not due to the newly formed dust.

To elucidate these inconsistencies, we propose that such a line asymmetry may be attributed to interactions of post-shock CSM shells with the expanding ejecta. The pre-shocked CSM shell is located far from the SN, and is responsible for the unshifted narrow component of the line profile, while the inner or post-shock CSM shells, accounting for the intermediate-width component of the line profile, can be successively accelerated and heated during the interaction stage. The superposition of these two components can thus result in an asymmetric profile of the Balmer lines seen in the spectra. At late times, the acceleration for the CSM shells becomes less effective with the consuming of kinematic energy of the ejecta. The intermediate-width component gets less blueshifted and the line profiles become symmetric again, as seen in the $t \sim 515$ day spectrum of SN 2010jl. Interestingly, we note that the time when the H line starts to become asymmetric is roughly coincident with the time when the light curves become flat. This suggests that
the interaction should play an important role in producing the asymmetric blueshifted profiles. Smith et al. (2012) also propose an alternative explanation for such a line asymmetry in light of the high continuum optical depths. With the receding of the photosphere into the post-shock CSM shell, the H emission from the near side of the shock could still reach us but the emission from the far side of the post-shock shell may be blocked by the continuum photosphere. This could also result in the appearance of an asymmetric, blueshifted line profile. Such a hypothesis can be examined by the late-time photometry (e.g., \( t \gtrsim 500 \) days), as the transition of the optical depths usually affects the decline rate of the light curve at late times.

To perform a better comparison with the well-known SNe IIn, we calculated some spectroscopic parameters for H\( _\alpha \) and H\( _\beta \) and derived their evolution with time, including the EWs, luminosity, FWHM velocity, blueshift, and flux ratio of these two lines. These results are shown in Figure 9 and also listed in Table 4. As we mentioned before, the contamination of the host galaxy cannot be removed well, especially for the narrow component in the emission lines. Therefore, here we focus on the study of the intermediate-width component of the line profiles and intensity. As shown in the upper panel of Figure 9, the EWs of different SNe IIn roughly increase in a linear fashion with the explosion time. Note that a dramatic increase seen in SN 1998S at \( t \approx 90 \) days perhaps relates to the strong, broad component from the SN ejecta. Although the H\( _\alpha \) EW of SN 2010jl is at an intermediate place among the comparison sample at the beginning, it increases continuously at a faster pace with time. At \( t \approx 515 \) days, it superseded all the comparison SNe IIn and became the one with the largest EW. The EWs of H\( _\beta \) are also presented for SN 2010jl and SN 2006tf. SN 2010jl shows a progressive increase in H\( _\beta \) until \( t \approx 400 \) days after maximum, while SN 2006tf shows an increase before \( t \approx 90 \) days but stays almost constant after that. We compare the line intensity in the lower panel of Figure 9. SN 2010jl clearly displays a noticeably high H\( _\alpha \) luminosity relative to other comparisons, especially at late phase. At \( t \approx 400 \) days, its H\( _\alpha \) luminosity is about 1.0 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}, which is brighter than those of SNe 1988Z, 1997cy, and 2006tf by about an order of magnitude. The H\( _\alpha \) flux of SN 2010jl seems to suffer a sudden drop after \( t \approx 400 \) days, like that of SN 1997cy, perhaps indicating that the interactions of the SN ejecta with the CSM shells weakened significantly at this stage. This is fully consistent with the discovery of a reduced blueshift of the H\( _\alpha \) line profile in the \( t \approx 515 \) day spectrum as discussed above.

Table 4

| Phase (day) | H\( _\alpha \) EW (Å) | FHWM (km s\(^{-1}\)) | H\( _\beta \) EW (Å) | FHWM (km s\(^{-1}\)) | H\( _\alpha \)/H\( _\beta \) | H\( _\alpha \)/$\delta$H\( _\beta \) |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 24.4       | −152                | 2450 ± 100           | −215                 | 2400 ± 200           | −276 ± 25        | −69 ± 78         |
| 45.4       | −200                | 2400 ± 100           | −191                 | 2700 ± 100           | −191 ± 22        | −185 ± 20        |
| 84.4       | −332                | 3000 ± 100           | −448                 | 2650 ± 150           | −341 ± 49        | 4.3              |
| 116.1      | −529                | 3350 ± 100           | −520                 | 3100 ± 100           | −445 ± 22        | 3.9              |
| 158.1      | −683                | 3200 ± 100           | −554                 | 3100 ± 100           | −594 ± 17        | 4.1              |
| 216.0      | −1024               | 3400 ± 100           | −576                 | 3050 ± 100           | −395 ± 13        | 4.9              |
| 392.4      | −1375               | 2600 ± 100           | −844                 | 2250 ± 100           | −710 ± 16        | 2.7              |
| 431.2      | −1090               | 2300 ± 100           | −894                 | 2200 ± 100           | −694 ± 23        | 1.9              |
| 515.0      | −1034               | 2000 ± 100           | −753                 | 2150 ± 150           | −702 ± 31        | 2.8              |

Notes.

* Relative to the V-band maximum (JD = 2,455,488; Stoll et al. 2011).

b The parameters measured for the intermediate-width component.

We also estimated the flux ratio between H\( _\alpha \) and H\( _\beta \). As shown in Table 5, the H\( _\alpha \)/H\( _\beta \) flux ratio basically fluctuates around a value of \( \sim 4 \) at different epochs. This result is not so different from SN 2006tf at early times, but significant difference emerges after 400 days from the maximum. At \( t \approx 400\)–500 days, this ratio does not climb to a value \( > 10 \) as seen in SN 2006tf at similar phase. Smith et al. (2008) proposed that a large H\( _\alpha \)/H\( _\beta \) flux ratio might relate to a transition of the energy mechanism from the recombination emission (photo-ionization heating) to the purely collision excitation. It is thus interesting to explore the reason that SN 2010jl kept a relative strong H\( _\beta \) emission at such a late time. On the other hand, we must keep in mind that the measurement of H\( _\alpha \)/H\( _\beta \) ratio as listed in Table 5 may suffer a considerable large uncertainty due to host-galaxy contamination, especially when the emission from the SN fades significantly at late times.

4.3. He I Lines

Compared with the prominent Balmer lines, the He I emission lines of SN 2010jl are clearly detected at 5876 and 7065 Å in all of our spectra. The He I feature at 6678 Å is barely visible due to blends with the broad H\( _\alpha \) lines. With respect to the comparison SNe IIn as shown in Figure 7, SN 2010jl showed relatively stronger He I lines. For the emission feature at 5876 Å, an intermediate-width component with FWHM velocity \( \sim 2750 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) can be identified like that for the Balmer lines. As shown in the lower panel of Figure 9, the measured flux of He I 5876 stayed approximately a constant of about
6.0 × 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) until \( t \sim 200 \) days from maximum, and it then dropped dramatically after that. Such an evolution trend is similar to that observed for \( H_\alpha \) at similar phases. This means that He has a uniform distribution in the CSM shell, similar to that of H.

5. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES AND PROGENITOR OF SN 2010jl

In the above two sections, we have described the overall evolution of the \( UBVRI \) light curves and the optical spectra. The extensive photometric and spectroscopic data allow us to derive the bolometric luminosity, the blackbody temperature, as well as the intensity and shift for some characteristic lines such as \( H_\alpha \) and \( H_\beta \), which are listed in Table 5. To get more clues to the nature of the progenitor of SN 2010jl, we further derived the progenitor mass-loss rate and wind speed of the CSM shell in the following analysis. Based on a CSM-interaction model from Chevalier & Fransson (1994) and an assumption that the luminosity of the ejecta-CSM interaction is fed by the energy imparted at the shock front, the progenitor mass-loss rate \( \dot{M} \) can be calculated using the following expression (e.g., Wood-Vasey et al. 2004):

\[
\dot{M} = 2L \frac{v_w}{\alpha \times v_s^3},
\]

where \( L \) is the observed bolometric luminosity, and \( \alpha \) represents the conversion term from kinetic energy to optical luminosity. \( v_w \) is the velocity of the pre-explosion stellar wind, which is adopted as \(-28 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) inferred from an absorption minimum of the narrow component of \( H_\alpha \) (Smith et al. 2011b). \( v_s \) is the velocity of the post-shock shell, assuming as the FWHM velocity of the intermediate-width component of \( H_\alpha \) lines (see Table 5). To estimate the mass-loss rate, we need to know the parameter \( \alpha \). Recalling Section 3.3, we applied a fit to the bolometric luminosity with a time dependence luminosity relation \( L \propto t^{-3\alpha} \), which gives \( \alpha \approx 0.1 \). This implies a conversion coefficient from kinematic energy to luminosity of about 10%.

Inserting the relevant parameters into Equation (1), we can obtain the mass-loss rate \( \dot{M} \) as listed in Column 4 of Table 5. With the mass-loss rate, we can further estimate the timescale of the stellar wind flow, \( t_M = R_s/v_w \), which is the number of years prior to explosion when the progenitor had such a mass-loss rate. \( R_s \) is the radius of the CSM shell expanding approximately at an average speed of about 2750 km s\(^{-1}\).

One can see from Table 5 that the mass-loss rate derived for SN 2010jl is about 1–2 \( M_\odot \). As discussed by Smith et al. (2008), the mass-loss rate deduced according to Equation (1) may be overestimated because a “pile-up” effect of radiation from earlier CSM interactions would mimic a larger instantaneous luminosity. Taking into account this mimic effect on the bolometric luminosity, an average mass-loss rate of about 0.8 \( M_\odot \) may still be needed about 50 years before explosion, indicating that the progenitor of SN 2010jl suffered a substantial mass loss at its final stage. Such a mass-loss rate is about 10\(^4\) times stronger than the maximum rate that can be supplied by a line-driven wind of a massive star (Smith & Owocki 2006). According to the mass-loss rate and the duration listed in Table 6, the progenitor of SN 2010jl might have an initial mass above \( \geq 30–40 \ M_\odot \) before undergoing a core-collapse explosion. Meanwhile, Smith et al. (2011b) estimated the progenitor mass from the \( HST \) archival images taken roughly 10 years prior to explosion, and they obtained a lower limit of 30 \( M_\odot \). This indicates that SN 2010jl can be likely traced to a progenitor of an LBV star, the only star known to be capable of shedding a large amount of mass during some large outbursts like the 19th eruption of \( \eta \) Carinae (Smith et al. 2003).

We point out that the speed of the stellar wind velocity inferred for SN 2010jl (\( \sim -28 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)) is unusually slow compared to many other SNe IIn in the literature (e.g., Kiewe et al. 2012) and the LBV eruptions. For example, this wind speed was measured to be \( \sim 420 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) for SN 2005gl (Gal-Yam & Leonard 2009), \( \sim 190 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) for SN 2006ef (Smith et al. 2008), and \( \sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) for SN 2006gy (Smith et al. 2010), respectively. Such a slow wind speed is actually consistent with that of an extreme red supergiant. This suggests that the progenitor star of SN 2010jl underwent a red supergiant stage when the stellar wind materials gradually accumulated to form the dense, dusty CSM as inferred from a significant IR excess (Andrews et al. 2011). In theory, it has been shown that a group of LBV stars with relatively lower luminosity are post-red supergiant stars with masses of 30–60 \( M_\odot \) (Stothers et al. 1996). Thus we propose that SN 2010jl may explode at the post-red supergiant stage of a massive star, which rarely has been suggested for SNe IIn. It is apparent that more samples with accurate analysis of the CSM emission lines are needed to address the fraction of Type IIn explosions like SN 2010jl.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

This paper presents the photometric and spectroscopic observations of the luminous SN IIn 2010jl. Our data were mainly collected with the 0.8 m TNT and the 2.16 m telescope located at the Xinglong Observatory of NAOC, spanning a period of about 1.5 years after the explosion. The main results inferred from our data and analysis for SN 2010jl and its progenitors are summarized below.

1. The \( UBVRI \) light curves underwent a two-stage evolution, with a break at \( t \sim 90 \) days from the maximum. Before \( t \sim 90 \) days, the decay rates are in a range of about 0.7–1.2 mag (100 days\(^{-1}\)), with steeper slope in the bluer bands; while they become much smaller after \( t \sim 90 \) days from maximum due to that the light curves flatten out during this period, with values of 0.1–0.3 mag (100 days\(^{-1}\)). Such a notable change in the decay rates likely relates to a significant contribution from CSM interactions at the late phases, as also indicated by the strong emission of \( H_\alpha \) lines seen in the late-time spectra of SN 2010jl. We also constructed the pseudo-bolometric light curve with our multicolor photometry. The light curve at early phases \( (t < 90 \text{ days}) \) can be well fitted by a hypothetical \(^{56}\)Co decay of 3.4 \( M_\odot \), while the late-time evolution complies with an ejecta-CSM interaction model. This perhaps indicates that radioactive decay powers SN 2010jl at the early phase and CSM interaction provides the energy at the later phase.

2. We have also studied some of the important spectroscopic characteristics of SN 2010jl such as \( H_\alpha \), \( H_\beta \), and \( He_i \) lines. These lines, especially the H lines appear very strong in the spectra of SN 2010jl. For example, the \( H_\alpha \) emission is estimated to have a luminosity of about \( 0.6–1.0 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) before \( t \sim 400 \) days. This is apparently stronger than that of other Type IIn in the literature, especially at late phase. Another interesting feature about the line profiles of \( H\alpha \) and \( H\beta \) is that both can be well decomposed into a narrow component and intermediate-width component.
The narrow component is likely produced by the outer, pre-shock CSM shell, with an FWHM velocity of about 700 km s\(^{-1}\), the intermediate-width component is perhaps due to the post-shock CSM shells, with an FWHM velocity of \(\sim 2000–3400\) km s\(^{-1}\), depending on the phases. The intermediate-width component showed a progressively increased strength and blueshift with time until \(t \sim 400\) days. However, a decrease in the strength and the blueshift of the H\(\alpha\) and H\(\beta\) lines was also noticed in the \(t \sim 515\) day spectra. These changes, together with the flat evolution of the late-time light curves, suggest that the systematic blueshift of the intermediate-width component of the H lines may not be due to the newly formed dust in the post-shock region. Instead, the above changes of the line profiles could be a natural result of CSM interactions: the intermediate-width component of H lines will appear stronger and more blueshifted with the acceleration of the CSM shells via the successive collision from the ejecta at early times, while the strength and blueshift of this component would decrease when the CSM interactions became weak at late times. Nevertheless, a detailed quantitative analysis is needed for a test of such a hypothesis.

3. With our extensive light curves and spectral data, we further derived the physical parameters such as the mass-loss rate and stellar-wind flow timescale, which allow us to place better constraints on the nature of the progenitor of SN 2010jl. The high mass-loss rate (e.g., \(\sim 1 M_\odot\)) and a short flow timescale of the stellar wind (e.g., \(\sim 40\) years), obtained for the progenitor of SN 2010jl, indicate that the progenitor star must undergo a tremendous amount of mass loss within a few decades before explosion. This makes us believe that SN 2010jl may have a massive progenitor like LBVs. However, the fact that the pre-shock CSM has a slow expansion velocity (e.g., \(\sim 28\) km s\(^{-1}\)) comparable to that of some red supergiants suggests that SN 2010jl may explode at a post-red supergiant stage. The post-red supergiant can correspond to a group of LBVs with lower luminosity and a mass range of \(30–60 M_\odot\). Thus, a post-red supergiant progenitor might be possible for SN 2010jl.
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