CLINICAL CASE

Efficacy and safety of rituximab in peripheral ulcerative keratitis associated with rheumatoid arthritis
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ABSTRACT

Objective Peripheral ulcerative keratitis (PUK) is a rare but severe ocular complication of rheumatoid arthritis (RA). It can be considered as an ocular manifestation of rheumatoid vasculitis (RV). Our case series aimed to evaluate the efficacy of rituximab (RTX) for PUK occurring in patients with RA.

Methods Study population were patients with RA-associated PUK treated with RTX 1000 mg on days 1 and 15 at least once after the diagnosis. We identified patients referred to the rheumatology and ophthalmology departments of our hospital between February 2014 and June 2020. We also included patients referred by their specialist after being contacted through the Club Rhumatismes et Inflammation. Demographic data and clinical and biological features were retrospectively collected.

Results We included seven patients (three men and four women, median age 58 years). All but one had a long-standing RA with a median disease duration of 13.9 years (IQR 0–30.2). RA was erosive in six out of seven patients. All patients had rheumatoid factors and anticitrullinated peptides antibodies were positive in six of them. PUK was complicated by corneal perforation in three patients and required surgery. After a median follow-up of 29.8 months (IQR 5–75), corneal inflammation was controlled in all patients. PUK recurred in one patient, 8 months after a single infusion of RTX. 71% of the patients presented a good articular response. No patient developed other manifestations of RV. No serious adverse event related to RTX was observed.

Conclusion RTX appears to be an efficient and safe therapeutic option in the treatment of RA-associated PUK.

INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) has long been considered as a pure rheumatic disease. However, it is now well established that RA is a systemic disease characterised by several extra-articular manifestations such as interstitial lung disease or scleritis. Peripheral ulcerative keratitis (PUK) is a rare but severe ocular complication of RA. This inflammation of the peripheral cornea may lead to rapid corneal ulceration, perforation and complete loss of vision. The majority of non-infectious PUK are related to autoimmune disease, among which RA is the most common, accounting for 34% of the cases. When occurring during the course of an autoimmune disease, it can be considered as a manifestation of systemic vasculitis and is associated with a decreased life expectancy.

The treatment of PUK relies on local and systemic treatment, including systemic immunosuppression. However, the choice of the immunosuppressive agent is not straightforward and is essentially based on case reports or retrospective studies. Cyclophosphamide (CYC) has long been used in this indication. However, CYC is poorly efficient in RA and is associated with poor safety profile compared with biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) available in RA. The rational for using rituximab (RTX) in RA-associated PUK is strong since on one hand PUK is considered as a vasculitis and RTX is efficient in several vasculitis including rheumatoid vasculitis (RV), and on the other hand, RTX is an efficient treatment of RA with good safety profile.
Here, we report seven patients with RA-associated PUK treated with RTX and analyse ophthalmological and rheumatological responses to treatment.

**METHODS**

**Case ascertainment**

We carried out a retrospective survey to identify cases of RA-associated PUK. Cases were patients with RA fulfilling the American College of Rheumatology–EULAR 2010 criteria, who developed a PUK and were treated with RTX concomitantly to the diagnosis of PUK. Two strategies were used to identify these patients. First, patients referred to the rheumatology and ophthalmology departments of Bicêtre Hospital, a tertiary centre for systemic autoimmune diseases, between February 2014 and June 2020 were included. Second, all French rheumatology and internal medicine practitioners registered to the Club Rhumatismes et Inflammation (CRI) were contacted by electronic newsletters to collect additional cases. The CRI is a subset of the French Society of Rheumatology, including a network of more than 2000 physicians from different medical specialties and involved in the treatment of inflammatory diseases. All patients were informed that their clinical data could be used anonymously for research and gave their consent for the use of their data unless they provided an opposition to it, which none of the patients provided.

**Data collection**

Demographic data and clinical and biological features were retrospectively collected. Concerning RA, the following items were collected: date of diagnosis, presence of rheumatoid factor (RF) and anticitrullinated peptide antibodies (CCP), presence of erosions, previous use of conventional synthetic DMARDs or bDMARDs, extra-articular manifestations, vasculitis manifestations, control of RA at the onset of PUK based on the Disease Activity Score using 28 joint counts (DAS-28) C reactive protein (CRP) score and control after change of systemic treatment for RTX. Concerning PUK, the following items were collected: date of diagnosis, location, perforation, unilateral or bilateral involvement, initial and final best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), surgical procedures, local treatments and recurrence. Lastly, we also collected data concerning reaction after infusion and hospitalised infection occurring under RTX.

**Descriptive analysis**

Categorical variables are reported as numbers (percentages). Quantitative variables are reported as median (IQR) unless otherwise specified.

**RESULTS**

We collected a total of seven patients, four from Bicêtre Hospital and three reported by the CRI. The baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients are summarised in Table 1. Median age at diagnosis of
PUK was 58 years (IQR 46–65 years). All but one had a long-standing RA with a median duration of 13.9 years (IQR 0–30.2 years). For one patient, PUK was inaugural and RA was diagnosed 2 months after the onset of PUK. RA was erosive in six patients. All but one were positive for anti-CCP with high titres (median 346 U/mL, IQR 164–>1000), and all of them were positive for RF. Six were positive for antinuclear antibody, among which one had anti-Sicca syndrome A (anti-SSA). Only one had Sjogren’s syndrome and none had another autoimmune disease associated with RA, but five underwent treatment for ocular dryness. One patient (case 5) had a previous history of nodular scleritis of the left eye 7 years before, which occurred while she was treated with adalimumab. In this patient, PUK was associated with a scleritis. No other patient had a history of previous extra-articular manifestations. Most of the patients had received at least one previous bDMARD and three had received at least three lines of bDMARDs before the onset of the PUK.

At the onset of the PUK, four patients were in remission of RA (DAS-28 score≤2.6); one had a moderately active disease (3.2< DAS-28 score≤5.1); one had a high active disease (DAS-28 score>5.1); and data were missing for one. The mean CRP level was 11.1 mg/L.

Corneal perforation occurred in three patients and required surgery that consisted in inlay amniotic membrane graft in two of them and lamellar corneal patch graft in the third one. No patients had received topical non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

All patients received a treatment with 1 g of RTX on days 1 and 15, associated with intravenous methylprednisolone 100 mg before the infusion. None of them were previously treated with CYC. Four patients also underwent a systemic treatment with oral prednisone at a dose of 1 mg/kg; two of them also received intravenous methylprednisolone in addition to the cycle of RTX. Five out of seven patients had at least another infusion of RTX 1000 mg on days 1 and 15 6 months after the first one.

The treatment and outcome measures of patients are summarised in table 2. After a median follow-up of 29.8 months (IQR 5–75), six out of the seven patients were reassessed and all of them had a good ocular response as assessed by the ophthalmologist. None presented a decline in BCVA. For the remaining patient (patient 7), it was too soon to assess her visual outcome since RTX was administrated 3 months prior to this report. Patient 5, who had a history of nodular scleritis and who presented a scleritis associated with PUK, presented a recurrence of PUK in the same eye 8 months after the first infusion of RTX. RTX was restarted and led to a good ocular response. Infusions of RTX every 6 months were then performed until now. Her BCVA remained at 20/20 after the recurrence, but she presented sequelae at ocular examination with peripheral corneal thinning and stromal opacities.

Concerning articular response, one patient discontinued RTX after two cycles because of a prolonged remission and was maintained on methotrexate alone.

### Table 2

| Case number | Initial perforation | Topical treatment | Systemic treatment other than RTX | Initial BCVA | Final BCVA | Loss of vision | Recurrence control | Ocular inflammation control | Ocular complication | Treatment of recurrence | Duration of FUP (m) | Side effect of RTX |
|-------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 1           | Yes               | DXM+CP+TS        | DXC                              | 20/32       | 20/20     | No            | No               | No                    | No                  | –                   | 27                | –                |
| 2           | No                | DXM+TS           | DMD                              | 20/20       | 20/20     | No            | No               | No                    | No                  | –                   | 75                | –                |
| 3           | No                | CP+rimexolone+TS | DXC                              | L:20/20     | R:20/20   | No            | No               | No                    | No                  | –                   | 40                | No                |
| 4           | No                | DXM+TS+CP        | RTX                              | 20/25       | 20/25     | No            | 8 after           | No                    | Ocular hypertension | No                  | 22                | No                |
| 5           | No                | NA               | NA                               | 20/20       | 20/20     | No            | 8 after           | No                    | None                | NA                  | 8                 | NA                |
| 6           | Yes               | DXM+TS+CP        | RTX                              | 20/32       | 20/32     | No            | No               | No                    | None                | NA                  | 32                | –                |
| 7           | Yes               | DXM+TS+atropine  | MTX                              | 20/63       | NA        | No            | No               | No                    | None                | NA                  | 8                 | –                |

BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; CP, ciclosporine; DMC, doxycycline; DXC, doxycycline; DXM, dexamethasone; FUP, follow-up; MTX, methotrexate; NA, not applicable; RTX, rituximab; TCZ, tocilizumab; TS, tear substitute.
Two patients were non-responders leading to a switch of therapeutics after two cycles of RTX, as indicated in table 2. Four patients presented a good response and are still receiving RTX, associated with methotrexate in one case. Infusions have been spaced out for one patient. No patient died during the follow-up and none developed other manifestations of RV.

No side effects of RTX were reported.

**DISCUSSION**

We report here the largest series of patients with RA-associated PUK treated with RTX as first-line therapy. Our results suggest that RTX may be effective and safe in PUK complicating RA as 100% and 71% of the patients presented good ocular and articular responses, respectively, and as no serious adverse event was observed.

The characteristics of our seven patients were concordant with the published literature. They were mostly positive for RF and anti-CCP antibodies. They had a long-standing and erosive disease. Of note, four of the seven patients, even if they had seropositive and erosive RA, were in remission at the time of PUK occurrence. This had already been observed in previous studies.

Before the systematic use of systemic immunosuppression or biological agent in this indication, the occurrence of a PUK was associated with a major mortality, reaching up to 50% at 1 year. Use of immunosuppressive therapy became systematic, and to up to now, treatment with CYC was historically used in this indication. It demonstrated its ocular efficacy in several case series. However, while CYC is efficient in treating PUK, it is poorly useful to control rheumatic symptoms of RA. RTX has been proven to be efficient in RA and is approved in patients with RA after failure of MTX and at least one anti-TNF. In our study, we report good ocular and articular responses in patients treated with RTX. In previous studies, the rate of perforation complicating a PUK was evaluated between 13% and 65%. Those perforations were associated with a poor ocular and general outcome.

In our study, we did not observe any serious adverse events associated with RTX. It is concordant with the literature since RTX has been shown to be relatively safe. This is an important point since CYC is associated with a poorer safety profile that limits its use.

Our study has several limitations. Four patients received steroids in addition to RTX, which may have overestimated the initial effect of RTX. The limited number of patients limits the extrapolation of the results. Besides, the flaws inherent to retrospective studies include a lack of completeness and of uniformity in record keeping and data acquisition. Given the low incidence of PUK, it would have been difficult to conduct a prospective study.

**CONCLUSION**

Our series confirm previously published reports and suggest that RTX could be a safe and efficient therapeutic option in the treatment of PUK complicating RA. Further studies with larger cohorts are needed to confirm these findings.
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