Plant species as influenced by soil relations in a mangrove ecosystem of lower IMO river estuary, Niger Delta, Nigeria

Abstract

This study investigated the soil variables influencing plant species in a mangrove ecosystem of Lower Imo River Estuary, Niger Delta, Nigeria. A twenty (20) 10m×10m quadrat spaced at 25 m intervals along established transect was used in sampling the vegetation and soils. The quantified vegetation parameters were density, frequency, height, basal area and crown cover. Soil samples were obtained at two rooting depths (0–1.5cm and 15–30cm) and variables determined were pH, electrical conductivity, exchange acidity, total nitrogen, organic carbon, available phosphorus, cations (Ca, Mg, Na and K), ECEC, base saturation and particle sizes (sand, silt and clay). An aggregate of 11 species belonging to 9 families were found. The dominant species was Rhizophora mangle with density of 120.41±29.30 stems/ha. Rhizophora mangle and Nypa fruticans were the most frequent species (100%). Rhizophora mangle was the tallest (11.50±1.52 m) as well as the species with the widest crown cover (10.68±1.06m2/ha) while Elaeis guineensis had the largest basal area (1.79±0.09 m2/ha). Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) showed that the abundance and distribution of plant species were swayed by the interplay of various soil factors in the mangrove. This delineated the first tier (Na, pH, Mg, K, base saturation, sand, silt, clay and ECEC) and the second tier (Ca, exchangeable acidity, total nitrogen, electrical conductivity and organic carbon) soil variables controlling plants’ distributional patterns. Rhizophora mangle and Acrostichum aureum were influenced by Na while Nypa fruticans and Avicennia africana were influenced by K and pH, respectively. On the other hand, Fimbristylis ferruginea, Uapaca staudtii and Paspalum vaginatum were influenced by Mg, sand and clay correspondingly while M. ciliata, C. nucifera, E. guineensis and Dracaena arborea showed affinities to base saturation and ECEC. Hence, this study lends credence to species adaptation and diversity, habitat quality as well as providing baseline information on conservation and future management of this ecosystem.
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Introduction

Mangrove is a rugged or complex terrain found along tropical coastal and tidal influenced environments. It is highly characterized by varying levels of salinity depending on its proximity to the ocean as well as the frequency of tidal flushing or inundations. Mangrove forests are beneficial both economically and ecologically to humans and to the society at large. They are sources of forestry and fishery derived products like charcoal, timber, honey, fishes, prawns, crabs and mollusks (Siddiqi, 1997), most species are used as medicines, they help in screening solar UV-B radiation, they mitigate greenhouse effects, control flooding and prevent coastal erosion. A mangrove dominated habitat may range from single to multi-species communities and these species are strongly influenced by several environmental variables such as salinity, soil type, temperature, freshwater supply as well as wave action. Of these factors, tidal flushing and exposure to varying salinity levels make the intertidal zone to be very complex and challenging for most plant species for their survival. However, for the survival of plants in this environment to be enhanced, mangrove species must be well adapted to both pedological and hydrological conditions. According to Ball, mangrove species possess quite distinct morphological, physiological, anatomical and reproductive features that promote their survival under high and variable salinity levels. The different levels of species adaptation to prevailing environmental factors at both spatial and temporal scales explain the structure or physiognomy of evidenced in many mangrove forests.

Since mangrove is quite dynamic in nature, several landforms which support the growth and proliferations of diverse species have emerged due to the dominating influences of environmental factors like tidal inundations, salinity, anoxia and temperature in this ecosystem. To this end, this study set out to investigate the primary environmental variables (soil) influencing plant community in this mangrove in order to understand the intricate relationships that exist between these components.

Materials and methods

Study site

This study was carried out in a mangrove ecosystem at Okombo by Eastern Obolo Local Government Area of Akwa Ibom State. Eastern Obolo Local Government is located in the Niger Delta fringe between Imo and Qua Iboe Rivers estuaries and lies between latitudes 4°28’ and 4°53’ N and longitudes 7°50’ and 7°55’ E with an altitude of about 650m above sea level (Figure 1). It is bounded in the North by Mkpat Enin Local Government Area, North...
East by Onna, West by Ikot Abasi, South East by Ibeno Local Government Areas and in the South by the Atlantic Ocean (Akwa Ibom State Government, AKSG, 2008). The climate of the area is that of humid tropic. Temperatures are usually high, ranging between 26°C and 28°C. Rainfall is usually heavy with mean annual rainfall lying between 2,000–4,000mm. The area is characterized by two seasons; the rainy season and the dry season. Rainy season starts from April to November with high relative humidity and heavy cloud covers. The dry season begins in November and ends in March. Harmattan is experienced in December and January. The harsh hot tropical climatic conditions are moderated by the coastal location of the area.

---

**Vegetation/soil sampling**

Vegetation and soil were sampled systematically using a twenty (20) 10m×10m quadrat set apart at 25m intervals along established transect. In each quadrat, frequency, density, basal area, height and crown cover of species were measured. In each quadrat, soil samples were obtained at two rooting depths (0-15cm and 15–30cm) bulked and preserved in well labeled ziploc bags. The collected soil samples were then taken to the laboratory for their physical and chemical analyses.

**Determination of vegetation parameters**

**Density:** Density of plant species was determined using the method of Cochran.9

**Frequency:** The frequency of species was calculated using the formula below:

\[
\text{Frequency} = \frac{\text{Number of occupied quadrat for a species}}{\text{Total number of quadrats thrown}} \times 100
\]

**Height:** The height of woody species was measured using a Haga altimeter (43913 model). The reading was taken 15m away from the base of the woody plant from where the crown was sighted through the eye piece of the altimeter and the upper reading taken. The base of the woody plant was similarly sited and the lower altimeter readings taken. The height of each species was calculated using the relation:

\[
\text{Height (m)} = \text{Algebraic sum of the reading of the top and bottom of each plant} \times \text{horizontal distance from observer to each species divided by scale factor used on the altimeter.}
\]

**Basal Area:** This was calculated using the formula:

\[
\text{Basal Area} = \frac{C^2}{4\pi}
\]

Where \(4\pi=4 \times 3.142=12.568\)

\(C=\text{girth size of the species at breast height}\)
Crown cover: The crown cover of woody plant species was determined by the crown cover diameter method as outlined by Muller-Dombois and Ellenberg.10

Physical and chemical analysis of soil samples

The pH, electrical conductivity and exchange acidity were determined using Beckman’s glass electrode pH meter,11 conductivity meter (Janway Pcm 128723 model) and titration with 1N KCL.12 Organic carbon, total nitrogen and available phosphorus were determined using the Walkley Black wet oxidation method, Micro-Kjeldahl method and Bray No 1 method.11 Ca and Mg were determined using EDTA titration method while sodium and potassium were determined by photometry method. The Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (ECEC) was calculated by the summation method (that is summing up of the Exchangeable Bases and Exchange Acidity (EA)). Base Saturation was calculated by dividing total Exchangeable Bases by ECEC multiplied by 100. Sand, silt and clay were determined using Hydrometer method.

Statistical data analyses

This study hypothesized that the distribution of mangrove species is influenced by complex soil variables. In order to test this hypothesis, ordination technique through Canonical Correlation Analyses (CCA) was used. Canonical ordination is a technique for relating the composition of plant communities directly to their environment.14 CCA ordination technique assumes a unimodal distribution of species in relation to environmental variables.15,16 Species cover abundance data for each plot together with the corresponding plot versus environmental variables data matrix were subjected to Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) using PAST 3.0 to reveal the relations between the species composition and environmental variables.

Results

Floristic inventory of the mangrove

The floristic components of the mangrove showed a total of 11 species belonging to 9 families (Table 1). The family Arecaceae had the highest species representation (3) in the mangrove. Species density ranged between 13.41±4.00st/ha in Myragnya ciliata and 120.41±29.30st/ha in Rhizophora mangle. Nypa fruticans and Rhizophora mangle, respectively had the highest frequency of occurrence (100 %) while the species with the least frequency of occurrence of 20% were Fimbristylis ferruginea, Myragnya ciliata and Uapaca staudtii. Rhizophora mangle was the tallest species (11.50±1.52m) as well as the species with the widest coverage (10.68±1.82m²/ha). Myragnya ciliata was the shortest species (3.08±0.31m) while Avicennia africana had the least crown cover (0.48±0.005m²/ha). Elaeis guineensis and Myragnya ciliata had the largest (1.79±0.09m²/ha) and least basal area (0.13±0.01m²/ha) values, respectively. Most species were ephemerals with negligible girth sizes and coverage.

Physical and chemical properties of the mangrove soil

The physical and chemical properties of the soil are presented spatially in Table 2. The pH of the soil ranged from 7.10 in station 1 to 7.30 in station 2. Electrical conductivity ranged from 10.30 to 15.12 ds/m with the highest and least values in stations 3 and 1. Organic carbon (7.30±0.25 %), available phosphorus (8.36±0.07mg/kg), magnesium (4.76±0.08cmol/kg) sodium (3.47±0.01cmol/kg) and sand (66.46±3.10 %) had the highest values in station 3 while station 2 recorded the highest values for total nitrogen (0.21±0.03 %), calcium (10.20±0.45cmol/kg), potassium (1.76±0.01cmol/kg), ECEC (20.42±0.78cmol/kg), base saturation (84.82±3.00 %) and clay (33.24±0.86 %). Station 1 had high values for exchangeable acidity (3.22±0.05) and silt (16.30±0.87 %).

Table 1 Floristic inventory of the mangrove

| Plant Species          | Family            | Frequency (%) | Density (St/ha) | Height (m) | Basal area m²/ha | Crown Cover m²/ha |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|------------------|------------------|
| Acrasticum aureum L.   | Pteridaceae       | 60            | 55.00±8.60     | 4.12±0.98  | -                | -                |
| Avicennia africana P. Beau | Avicenniaceae     | 80            | 45.00±7.50     | 5.02±0.95  | 0.18±0.04        | 0.48±0.005       |
| Cocca nucifera L.      | Areciaceae        | 60            | 17.00±4.53     | 6.58±0.23  | 1.31±0.08        | 6.09±1.08        |
| Elaeis guineensis Jacq.| Areciaceae        | 60            | 30.00±5.11     | 8.50±0.85  | 1.79±0.09        | 9.72±1.06        |
| Fimbristylis ferruginea (L) Vahl | Cyperaceae | 20            | 32.10±5.67     | -          | -                | -                |
| Myragnya ciliata (Aubrev and Pellegr) | Rubiaceae | 20            | 13.41±4.00     | 3.08±0.31  | 0.13±0.01        | 2.10±0.68        |
| Nypa fruticans Wurmb.  | Areciaceae        | 100           | 109.32±22.01   | 4.41±0.39  | 0.21±0.00        | 4.23±0.81        |
| Postaplum vaginatum Sw.| Poaceae           | 40            | 40.12±7.21     | -          | -                | -                |
| Rhizophora mangle L.   | Rhizophoraceae    | 100           | 120.41±29.30   | 11.50±1.52 | 0.92±0.05        | 10.68±1.82       |
| Uapaca staudtii Pax     | Euphorbiaceae     | 20            | 15.36±4.03     | 7.20±1.00  | 0.80±0.04        | 3.24±0.67        |
| Dracaena arborea (Willd) Link | Liliaceae | 40            | 25.00±4.91     | 6.12±0.98  | 0.51±0.03        | 1.10±0.42        |

±Standard error
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Table 2 Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soil

| Parameters                  | Station 1        | Station 2        | Station 3        |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| pH                          | 7.10±1.10        | 7.30±1.15        | 7.21±1.04        |
| EC (ds/m)                   | 10.30±2.30       | 12.34±2.00       | 15.12±2.86       |
| Organic carbon (%)          | 6.30±0.14        | 7.14±0.21        | 7.30±0.25        |
| Total nitrogen (%)          | 0.16±0.04        | 0.21±0.03        | 0.19±0.03        |
| Available Phosphorus (mg/kg)| 5.12±0.03        | 6.41±0.05        | 8.36±0.07        |
| Calcium (cmol/kg)           | 8.00±0.25        | 10.20±0.45       | 9.40±0.35        |
| Magnesium (cmol/kg)         | 4.00±0.05        | 4.68±0.06        | 4.76±0.08        |
| Sodium (cmol/kg)            | 1.93±0.003       | 2.68±0.005       | 3.47±0.02        |
| Potassium (cmol/kg)         | 1.40±0.00        | 1.76±0.01        | 0.45±0.01        |
| Exchange acidity            | 3.22±0.05        | 3.10±0.04        | 2.80±0.01        |
| ECEC (cmol/kg)              | 17.55±1.45       | 20.42±2.78       | 17.88±1.75       |
| Base saturation (%)         | 81.65±2.14       | 84.82±3.00       | 84.34±3.05       |
| Sand (%)                    | 60.36±2.63       | 60.46±2.50       | 66.46±3.10       |
| Silt (%)                    | 16.30±0.87       | 6.30±0.41        | 11.30±0.67       |
| Clay (%)                    | 23.34±0.95       | 33.24±0.86       | 22.24±0.92       |

± SE: Standard Error

Canonical correspondence analysis

The CCA ordination of plant communities in relation to nutrient gradient is presented in Figure 2 while the eigen values and percentage variances of the principal axis is presented in Table 3. This was performed using the numerical scores of species (density) across the sampled plots. From the result, CCA ordination of the first three (3) out of ten (10) canonical axes accounted for 100 % of the total variance in the data set. These axes bear vital information regarding the variations in plant distribution along environmental gradients. The cumulative percentage of the first two axes explained 96.77 % of the total variance while the third axis accounted for the remaining 3.23 % (Table 3). In the triplot, (Figure 2), small thick circles represent the plant species communities while the arrow lines represent the soil variables (vectors) for which the species had affinity for. The length of the arrows is proportional to the magnitude or intensity of change owing to environmental variables while the direction of the arrow shows their correlation with the axes. Longer arrows reflect stronger effects on plant community establishment and vice versa. Also, the distance of a named species from the vector lines connotes its preference or affinity to the vector.

Table 3 Eigenvalues, species–environment correlation coefficients, and cumulative percentage for the first three axes

| Axis | Eigenvalue | % variance explained | Cumulative variance |
|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 1    | 0.14382    | 68.45                | 68.45               |
| 2    | 0.059497   | 28.32                | 96.77               |
| 3    | 0.006803   | 3.23                 | 100                 |

From the triplot, the relationships between the plant communities, their site preferences and soil nutrient gradient were established (Figure 1). The primary and most influential soil attributes on species distribution in the mangal were; Na, base saturation, pH, silt, clay, K, Mg, available phosphorus and sand. R. mangle and A. aureum exhibited high affinity for Na, A. africana and N. fruticans showed higher preferences for pH and K while the distribution of F. ferruginea was greatly influenced by available phosphorus. U. staudtii showed higher affinity for sandy substrates, Elaeis guineensis, Mytragyna ciliata, Dracaena arborea and C. nucifera showed higher affinity for base saturation while the distribution of P. vaginatum was influenced by clay substrates. Other soil variables such as organic carbon and electrical conductivity had intermediary influences on distribution of plant communities.
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Figure 2 Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) for soil-species variables.

Abbreviations for vegetation components are as follows: P.vaginatum (Paspalum vaginatum), A.africana (Avicennia africana), R.mangle (Rhizophora mangle), A.aureum (Acrostichum aureum), N.fruticans (Nypa fruticans), F.ferruginea (Fimbrystilis ferruginea), U.staudtii (Uapaca staudtii), M.ciliata (Mytragyna ciliata), E.guineensis (Elaeis guineensis), C.nucifera (Cocus nucifera), D.arborea (Dracaena arborea) Abbreviations for environmental variables are as follows: B. Sat (Base Saturation), ECEC (Effective Cation exchange Capacity), EA (Exchangeable Acidity), Ca (Calcium), T.N (Total Nitrogen), Na (Sodium), K (Potassium), Mg (Magnesium), Av.Phos. (Available Phosphorus), Org. carbon (Organic Carbon), Ec (Electrical Conductivity).

Discussion

The floristic catalogue of this mangrove showed relatively low species diversity when compared to the values reported by other scholars in various mangrove ecosystems. For instance, Ukpong,17 reported 34 species in Creek Town mangrove swamp, Nigeria. The distribution of plants in the mangal varied among species owing to their responses to complex environmental (soil) conditions. This highlights that the soil variables exerted greater influences on the distributional patterns of the flora in the mangal. While species such as R. mangle and N. fruticans were widely distributed with higher frequencies of occurrence and numerical scores (density), others most especially the mangrove associates were narrowly distributed or restricted to certain sites. This may reflect an overlap in environmental and soil conditions or overlapping tolerance of stress factors such as salinity variations and tidal flushing.17 On the whole, true mangrove species such as N. fruticans, R. mangle, A. africana and A. aureum had higher densities and frequencies when compared to the associate species. This is not unrelated to the fact that the former had better adaptation to saline conditions than the latter. Despite this, the occurrence of the associate species in this mangrove which are basically found in fresh water zones may entail their adaptation to brackish habitats. The dominance of Rhizophora mangle in this mangrove aligns with the findings of Strauch et al.18 and Zamprogno et al.19 while working in mangroves of Bahamas Island and mangrove forest in southeastern Brazil. Elsewhere, Savory20 and Abera & Ekeke21 had reported Rhizophora racemosa to be the pioneer mangrove species on the shorelines of Nigeria. This however contrasts with the findings of this study and may accentuate the transition and succession going on in many Nigerian mangroves over time. The effective reproductive strategies of R. mangle and N. fruticans may further explain their massive proliferations in this mangrove. The former exhibits vivipary (the condition where mangrove embryo germinates while still attached to the parent plant) while the latter exhibit hydrochory (the means by which mangrove seeds, fruits or propagules are dispersed by water). Vivipary increases the chances of successful establishment in an unpredictable environment where germination of seeds would typically be inhibited.22 This may further justify the dominance of R. mangle in terms of density. In addition, the infiltrations of N. fruticans in various plots may signify several anthropogenic perturbations in the ecosystem. Ukpong23 opined that N. fruticans is a manifestation and a secondary successor to any destroyed or degraded mangrove stand.
Secondary succession abounds when the true mangrove species have been destroyed by human activity like harvesting of timber species for construction or fuel wood. This makes the saplings on reaching a substrate that is rid of vegetation to be more vigorous in growth than most mangrove propagules and eventually dominate and overtake the former mangrove habitat.

The pH of the soil, the high Na content as well as the high electrical conductivity are not unprecedented but rather typify mangrove ecosystems and convey a salic character to the soil. This may have arose on the basis of frequent flooding and tidal flushing with saline waters from the ocean into the area. Nutrients such as organic carbon and nitrogen were relatively low. This is may be attributed to lower litter decomposition and mineralization rates. The high sand contents in the soil might have also contributed to the low retention of these nutrients due to its high porosity and drainage. According to Li et al. and Reef et al., many mangrove sediments are typically low in nutrients where the flooded soils greatly restrain nitrification and the microbial formation of NO3. This results in a low nutrient bioavailability in the intertidal sediments. The values obtained for ECEC spatially showed that the soil had a good sink for cations. The textural class showed a sandy clay loam soil with a high percentage of sandy particles recorded over silt and clay. This is in consonance with the findings of Moreno & Calderon and Ferreira et al.

The use of Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) in this study discerned patterns in the species composition relating to the measured environmental variables (Ter Braak, 1987, 1988). The distribution of the species in the mangrove was greatly influenced by Na (salinity), base saturation, textual class (sand, clay and silt), ECEC, pH, Mg and K. The affinities of R. mangle, A. aureum, N. fruticans, N. fruticans, and A. africana to sites influenced by salinity (Na and pH>7) accentuate a soil salinity gradient thereby justifying them as core halophyte species. In addition, their affinities to Na and pH may highlight their abilities to thrive and adapt under saline environments. Soil pH according to Dong et al. is an important determinant of the productivity of a site and in the segregation of plant groups. This view goes a long way to confirm the spatial segregation and niche preference of species where the true mangrove species thrived better under saline conditions with higher densities and frequency values than the mangrove associates or asssociates. These mangrove associates were predominant in niches less influenced by salinity and tidal inundations. The segregation and binding of the mangrove associates (M. ciliata, C. nucifera, E. guineensis and D. arborea) to base saturation and ECEC may confirm the views of Verma & Verma that they act as nutrient reserves where plants utilize soil nutrients majorly cations for their growth and productivity. The intrinsic role the textural class in plant species distribution cannot be overlooked and underestimated. According to Ubom, silt and clay particles enhance the growth of species by improving soil water holding capacity, nutrient retention, cation exchange capacity, porosity and play a cementing role between mineral particles. Sand particles on the other hand, help in loosening mud soils by allowing permeability of water and root penetration by plants because of its large structural pores. This justifies the affinity of a U. staudtii and P. vaginatum to sandy and clayey substrates, respectively. The bonding of N. fruticans to potassium influenced niches underlines the importance and involvement of potassium in various physiological processes in plants such as improving enzyme activation, photosynthesis, assimilates, water relations, transportation, as well as plant growth and development. The supply of K to plant is low or poor, synthesis of protein will be stalled making the forerunners of protein (amides, amino acids and nitrates) to accumulate. F. ferruginea showed an affiliation with magnesium in the mangal and its function in plant species distribution is very pivotal. Magnesium, being a central atom of chlorophyll plays an important role in photosynthesis and healthy growth of plants when supplied in sufficient quantity. Hence, its insufficiency vitiates the chlorophyll content resulting in chlorosis.

Conclusion

This study highlighted the variations in species abundance due to adaptability to environmental conditions as well as the interrelationships between the soil and vegetation components. It also revealed that the soil variables exerted greater influences on the distributional patterns of the species in the ecosystem. The affinities of the species and adaptation to soil factors were visible using Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA). This revealed the net ranking of soil variables influencing the vegetation components into the first tier (Na, pH, Mg, K, base saturation, sand, silt, clay and ECEC) and the second tier (Ca, exchangeable acidity, total nitrogen, electrical conductivity and organic carbon) soil variables.

Conclusively, vegetation and soil are the most conspicuous resources of wetlands and understanding the current vegetation and the distribution patterns of species assemblage can enhance the succession of vegetation restoration. In order to effectively understand wetland ecosystems, vegetation communities must be incorporated in view of all of the interrelated biotic and abiotic influences. This will aid stakeholder’s particularly environmental agencies and conservationists in understanding the soil-species relationships while also assisting in the management, reclamation and protection of wetland ecosystems.
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