Spatiotemporally Controlled Ablation of Klf5 Results in Dysregulated Epithelial Homeostasis in Adult Mouse Corneas
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PURPOSE. Corneal epithelial (CE) homeostasis requires coordination between proliferation and differentiation. Here we examine the role of cell proliferation regulator Kruppel-like factor 5 (Klf5) in adult CE homeostasis.

METHODS. Klf5 was ablated in a spatiotemporally restricted manner by inducing Cre expression in 8-week-old ternary transgenic Klf5LoxP/LoxP/Krt12rtTA/rtTA mouse CE by administering doxycycline via chow. Normal chow-fed ternary transgenic siblings served as controls. The control and Klf5+/−/ACE corneal (1) histology, (2) cell proliferation, and (3) Klf5-target gene expression were examined using (1) periodic acid Schiff reagent-stained sections, (2) Ki67 expression, and (3) quantitative PCR and immunostaining, respectively. The effect of KLF4, KLF5, and OCT1 on gastrokine-1 (GKN1) promoter activity was determined by transient transfection in human skin keratinocyte NCTC-2544 cells.

RESULTS. Klf5 expression was decreased to 23% of the controls in Klf5+/−/ACE corneas, which displayed increased fluorescein uptake, downregulation of tight junction proteins Tjp1 and Gkn1, desmosomal Dsg1a, and basement membrane Lama3 and Lamb1, suggesting defective permeability barrier. In transient transfection assays, KLF5 and OCT1 synergistically stimulated GKN1 promoter activity. Klf5+/−/ACE CE displayed significantly fewer cell layers and Ki67+ proliferative cells coupled with significantly decreased cyclin-D1, and elevated phospho(Ser-10) p27/Kip1 expression. Expression of Krt12, E-cadherin, and β-catenin remained unaltered in Klf5+/−/ACE corneas.

CONCLUSIONS. Klf5 contributes to adult mouse CE homeostasis by promoting (1) permeability barrier function through upregulation of Tjp1, Gkn1, Dsg1a, Lama3, and Lamb1, and (2) basal cell proliferation through upregulation of cyclin-D1 and suppression of phospho(Ser-10) p27/Kip1, without significantly affecting the expression of epithelial markers Krt12, E-cadherin, and β-catenin.
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goid. 20–22 Despite its central role in ensuring corneal transparency and refractive properties, molecular mechanisms that coordinate the mature CE homeostasis are not completely understood.

Previously, we demonstrated that the Krüppel-like factors Klf4 and Klf5, abundantly expressed in the mouse cornea, 23 play critical nonredundant roles in the ocular surface and are important nodes in the network of transcription factors that regulate ocular surface maturation. 24,25 Klf4 and Klf5 possess similar DNA-binding domains, yet exert opposing influence on cell proliferation. 26,27 In addition, Klf4 and Klf5 regulate diverse functions, such as cell cycle progression, stem cell maintenance, epidermal and corneal barrier formation, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), and differentiation of diverse tissues. 27–29 In our earlier studies, 24,25 we employed Le-Cre transgene 30 for conditional ablation of Klf4 and Klf5. However, Le-Cre-driven ablation of Klf4 and Klf5 is not useful for studying their role in maintenance of the normally formed adult mouse CE. Moreover, hemizygous Le-Cre eyes are prone to develop abnormalities even in the absence of LoxP sites. 31 We overcame these concerns by ablating Klf4 in a spatiotemporally regulated manner within the CE using ternary transgenic Klf4LoxP/LoxP/Krt12rtTA/rtTA/Tet-O-Cre (Klf4LoxP/LoxP) mice and discovered that Klf4 promotes CE cell fate by suppressing EMT. 32,33 In this report, we employ a similar approach using ternary transgenic Klf5LoxP/LoxP/Krt12rtTA/rtTA/Tet-O-Cre (Klf5LoxP/LoxP) mice to test the hypothesis that the pro-proliferative activities of Klf5 are essential for maintaining adult CE cell homeostasis. The results obtained from this approach suggest that Klf5 contributes to adult CE homeostasis by promoting proliferation in basal cell layers and permeability barrier function in superficial cell layers.

**Materials and Methods**

**Spatiotemporal Ablation of Klf5 and Barrier Permeability Assessment**

Ternary transgenic Klf5LoxP/LoxP/Krt12rtTA/rtTA/Tet-O-Cre mice (Klf5LoxP/LoxP) were bred on a mixed background by mating Klf5LoxP/LoxP mice with Krt12rtTA/rtTA/Tet-O-Cre mice as before. 32–35 Cre expression was induced in adult Klf5LoxP/LoxP mice by doxycycline administered through chow and intraperitoneal injections (once every 2 weeks) for a duration of at least 1 month. Ternary transgenic littermates fed with normal chow were used as controls. CE permeability was assessed by staining with 2 μL 1% sodium fluorescein for 2 minutes, rinsing with PBS, and imaging under blue light on a slit-lamp biomicroscope equipped with a digital camera. All animal testing was performed in accordance with guidelines set forth by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Pittsburgh and the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. The data presented in this report represent at least three independent experiments.

**Histology**

Klf5LoxP/LoxP and control mice were euthanized via carbon dioxide asphyxiation and cervical dislocation, and their eyes were immediately fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) in PBS (pH 7.4) for 24 hours at room temperature (RT). Whole globes were embedded in paraffin, and central corneal 5-μm sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) or periodic acid Schiff (PAS) reagent following standard protocols. Sections were viewed with an Olympus BX60 microscope (Olympus America, Inc., Allentown, PA, USA) and captured using a Spot digital camera (Spot Diagnostics Instruments, Inc., Sterling Heights, CA, USA). All images were processed similarly using Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA).

**Isolation of Total RNA, cDNA Synthesis, and Real-Time Quantitative PCR (QPCR)**

Total RNA was isolated from dissected control and Klf5LoxP/LoxP corneas using EZ-10 Spin Columns (Bio Basic, Inc., Amherst, NY, USA). Approximately 1 μg RNA was used to synthesize cDNA using mouse moloney leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Transcript levels for target genes were quantified in triplicate using TaqMan or SYBR Green chemistries in an ABI StepOne Plus thermocycler with standardized gene-specific probes and primers. Pyruvate carboxylase (Pcx) and Gapdh served as endogenous controls, respectively. The sequence of oligonucleotide primers used for SYBR Green-based QPCR is provided in Supplementary Table S1.

**Antibodies**

All antibodies have been previously shown to be cross-reactive to mouse and specific to the desired antigen. A list of antibodies used can be found in Supplementary Table S2.

**Immunofluorescent Staining**

Eyes from Klf5LoxP/LoxP and control mice were embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) medium (Fisher HealthCare, Houston, TX, USA). Thin (8 μm) sections were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes, washed twice for 5 minutes in PBS, permeabilized in 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS for 20 minutes, washed twice for 5 minutes in PBS, treated with 0.1 M glycine in PBS for 30 minutes, washed thrice for 5 minutes with PBS, blocked samples in 10% goat or donkey serum, incubated in primary antibodies diluted in 10% serum overnight at 4°C, washed thrice for 5 minutes in PBS, incubated in appropriate secondary antibody, washed twice for 5 minutes in PBS + 0.1% Tween-20 (PBS-T), counterstained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 10 minutes, washed twice for 5 minutes in PBS-T, and mounted with AquaMount (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Confocal images were taken on an Olympus IX81 microscope (Olympus America, Inc.). Using Olympus Fluoview and Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator, background was identically removed. Proliferative index (PI) was calculated by dividing the number of Ki67+ cells by the total cell count, as well as by dividing the Ki67+ cells by the length of the base of the CE in one microscopic field. The relative fluorescence intensity was quantified using MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices, LLC, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) in multiple fields from different sections stained independently. Results presented are representative of at least three independent experiments.

**Whole-Mount Corneal Staining**

The control and Klf5LoxP/LoxP eyes were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 40 minutes at 4°C and washed twice for 20 minutes in PBS. Corneas were dissected and blocked in 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 3% goat serum for 1 hour, washed once for 10 minutes in PBS, incubated in primary antibodies diluted in 2% BSA in PBS overnight at 4°C, washed thrice for 10 minutes in PBS, incubated in secondary antibody diluted in 2% BSA in PBS for 2 hours, adding 1 μg/mL DAPI after the first hour, and washed twice for 20 minutes in PBS. Radial slits were cut, and corneas were mounted with AquaMount.
Images are of the anterior-most 30 μm of the cornea obtained and processed in a similar manner to sectioned immunofluorescent samples.

**Western Blotting and Gel Staining**

Dissected control and Klf5+/ACE corneas were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% sodium deoxycholic acid, 1% Triton X-100, and protease inhibitors) or urea (8.0 M urea, 0.8% Triton X-100, 0.2% SDS, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, and protease inhibitors) buffer. Lysates were centrifuged to remove debris, and equal volume of supernatant was separated on 4% to 12% gradient polyacrylamide gels using 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) buffer. For staining, gels were washed in water for 1 hour, stained in EZ-Run Protein Staining Solution (Fisher BioReagents, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA) for 2 hours, destained in water overnight, and imaged on an Epson 4490 Photo scanner (Epson America, Inc., Long Beach, CA, USA). For Western blotting, gels were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes, blocked in Odyssey Blocking Buffer (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) for 1 hour, washed thrice for 5 minutes in PBS-T, incubated in primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution and PBS-T overnight at 4°C, washed thrice for 5 minutes in PBS-T, incubated in fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies, washed thrice for 5 minutes in PBS-T, washed once for 5 minutes in PBS, and imaged on an Odyssey scanner (LI-COR Biosciences). Densitometric analyses were performed using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). β-actin served as loading control for normalization.

**Transient Cotransfection Assays**

Gastrokine-1 (GKN1)-Luc reporter plasmids, wherein −479/+16 bp, −185/+16 bp, or −113/+16 bp GKN1 promoter fragments drive luciferase gene expression, were generated by cloning the corresponding PCR-amplified promoter fragments in pGL3-Basic (Promega). Expression vectors pCI-κB, pCI-KLF5, and pCI-OCT1 were from Open Biosystems (Huntsville, AL, USA). Human skin NCTC-2544 epithelial cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum in humidified air containing 5% CO2 at 37°C. NCTC cells in midlog phase of growth were cotransfected with 1.83 μg plasmids (0.6 μg GKN1-Luc reporter + 0.4 μg pCI-KLF5, pCI-KLF5, and/or pCI-OCT1, along with 0–1.2 μg pCI as filler to keep the total amount of plasmid used constant, and 30 ng pRL-SV40 plasmid for normalizing the transfection efficiency) using 6 μl FuGENE-6 (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN, USA). After 2 days of transfection, the cells were washed with cold PBS, lysed with 500 μl passive lysis buffer (Promega), and 100 μg lyase was analyzed using a dual luciferase assay kit (Promega and Bioteck Synergy-II microplate reader (Biotek, Winooski, VT, USA), integrating the measurement over 10 seconds with a delay of 2 seconds.

**RESULTS**

**Klf5 Is Ablated in a Spatiotemporal Manner in Klf5+/ACE CE**

To determine the role of Klf5 in adult mouse CE homeostasis, Klf5 was ablated in 8-week-old Klf5+/ACE CE using a doxycycline-induced ternary transgenic Tet-On system. Klf5 transcript level within the Klf5+/ACE corneas was decreased to 23% of that in the control, indicating efficient ablation of Klf5 after 1 month of doxycycline administration (Fig. 1A). While the control and the Klf5+/ACE eyes appeared similar upon visual examination, Klf5+/ACE eyes displayed increased fluorescein staining, indicating decreased permeability barrier function (Fig. 1B). Histological examination of PAS-stained sections revealed fewer cell layers in Klf5+/ACE CE than in control CE, as well as a disrupted CE basement membrane (Fig. 1C). On average, the Klf5+/ACE CE possessed 4.25 cell layers compared with 6.0 in the age-matched controls (n = 8; P = 0.00013). Examination of the soluble protein profile from the control and the Klf5+/ACE corneas revealed no striking difference, with the expression of corneal crystallins Aldh3a1 and Tkt remaining unaltered (Fig. 1D). Together, these results suggest that though the Klf5+/ACE corneas display decreased number of cell layers, their epithelial properties may remain unperturbed.

**Cell Proliferation Rate Is Decreased in Adult Klf5+/ACE CE**

Considering that (1) the Klf5+/ACE CE harbored fewer cell layers (Fig. 1C) and (2) Klf5 is known to promote cell proliferation in multiple tissues,27–40 we tested if cell proliferation is perturbed within the Klf5+/ACE CE. Immunofluorescent stain with anti-Ki67 antibody revealed a significant decrease in the number of proliferating cells in the Klf5+/ACE CE compared with that in the control (Fig. 2A). The PI defined as the number of KI67+ cells per total number of cells in each microscopic field or unit length of the cornea, was significantly lower for Klf5+/ACE corneas than the control (Fig. 2B). This correlates well with the Klf5+/ACE CE histology, which shows decreased cell layers (Fig. 1C). As Klf5 regulates human bladder cancer cell proliferation through cyclin-D1,27,38 we next tested if cyclin-D1 expression is altered in the Klf5+/ACE CE. Immunofluorescent staining for cyclin-D1 indicated decreased expression in the absence of Klf5 (Fig. 3A). Consistent with these results, the expression of phospho(S-10)-p27/Kip1 was upregulated in the Klf5+/ACE CE (Fig. 3B). Together, these results suggest that the decreased Klf5+/ACE cell proliferation is a consequence of downregulation of cyclin-D1 and upregulation of phospho(S-10)-p27/Kip1.

**CE Basement Membrane Is Disrupted in Klf5+/ACE Conneas**

As histological examination of the PAS-stained sections suggested a disruption in the Klf5+/ACE CE basement membrane (Fig. 1C), we next examined the expression of basement membrane laminins. Immunofluorescent stain with a pan-laminin antibody (raised against laminins from sarcoma, and known to detect basement membrane laminins41) detected robust expression of laminins in the control basement membrane but not the Klf5+/ACE, demonstrating that the Klf5+/ACE CE basement membrane is disrupted (Fig. 4A). Consistent with these results, Lama3 and Lamb1 transcript levels were significantly decreased in the Klf5+/ACE compared with the control corneas (Fig. 4B), while the other laminin monomers tested were not altered.

**Dsg1a Expression Is Downregulated in the Klf5+/ACE CE**

To determine if inefficient cell–cell adhesion led to enhanced cell sloughing resulting in the observed Klf5+/ACE CE thinning (Fig. 1C), we next evaluated the expression of desmosomal components. Dsg1a transcripts were significantly decreased to 9% of the control levels, while the transcripts encoding several other desmosomal components were moderately affected in
the Klf5 transcriptions are downregulated to 23% of the control in Klf5/ΔACE after 1 month of doxycycline administration (n = 8). Error bars represent 1 SEM. (B) Fluorescein staining revealed green patches in Klf5/ΔACE but not control corneas, indicating a loss in Klf5/ΔACE barrier function (n = 5). (C) PAS-stained Klf5/ΔACE corneal sections show fewer CE layers as compared to control (n = 5). PAS stain also reveals intact basement membrane in the control (arrows) but not the Klf5/ΔACE (arrowheads) corneas. (D) Coomassie blue-stained SDS-PAGE profile of soluble protein from two control and Klf5/ΔACE corneas each revealed no appreciable change in corneal crystallins Aldh3a1 and Tkt.

**Klf5 Maintains Tight Junction in the Adult Mouse CE**

To further determine the molecular basis for disrupted barrier function in Klf5/ΔACE corneas, we evaluated the expression of tight junction-associated proteins. QPCR demonstrated a significant decrease in uroplakin (Upk)1b, Upk3b, Gkn1, and Tjp1 transcript levels in the Klf5/ΔACE (Fig. 6A). This decrease in Tjp1 and Gkn1 in Klf5/ΔACE corneas was also evident in whole-mount immunofluorescent staining (Fig. 6B). In addition, Tjp1 and Gkn1 colocalized to the control corneal tight junctions, suggesting potential interaction between these proteins.

To resolve if downregulation of Gkn1 in the Klf5/ΔACE CE is a direct consequence of the absence of Klf5, we performed in vitro transient transfection assays in NCTC epithelial cells wherein reporter plasmids with different GKN1 promoter fragments regulating the luciferase reporter gene were cotransfected with plasmids overexpressing KLF4, KLF5, and/or OCT1. The −479/+16 bp GKN1 promoter activity was stimulated 7.6-, 19- and 2.75-fold by overexpression of KLF4,
KLF5, and OCT1, respectively (Fig. 7). A synergistic effect was observed with cotransfection of OCT1 and KLF5, but not KLF4 and KLF5, or OCT1 and KLF4. Although the extent of stimulation by KLF5 was decreased to 5.5- and 8-fold with shorter /C0185/+16 bp and /C0113/+16 bp GKN1 promoter fragments, similar synergism was observed with cotransfection of KLF5 and OCT1, suggesting that the KLF5- and OCT1-responsive elements are present within the /C0113/+13-bp proximal promoter (Fig. 7). Inclusion of KLF4 erased the synergistic effect observed with KLF5 and OCT1, suggesting that KLF4 competes for the same binding site as KLF5, but does not cooperate with OCT1 (Fig. 7).

Ablation of Klf5 Does Not Significantly Alter the Expression of CE Markers

In view of our recent finding that the spatiotemporally regulated ablation of the related factor Klf4 in adult CE results in EMT, it was imperative that we evaluate the status of the Klf5D/D CE epithelial identity. Toward this, we examined the expression of keratin-12, E-cadherin, vimentin, and b-catenin. QPCR revealed insignificant change in Klf4, Krt12, E-cadherin, and vimentin transcript levels (Fig. 8A). Consistent with these results, immunoblots demonstrated that Klf4, Krt12, E-cadherin, and b-catenin protein levels were largely unaltered in the Klf5D/D CE corneas (Fig. 8B). Additionally, immunofluorescent staining with anti-Krt12, anti-E-cadherin, and anti-b-catenin antibodies revealed no significant change in their expression levels or subcellular localization in Klf5D/D CE compared with the control corneas (Fig. 8C). Taken together, these results suggest that the expression of keratin-12, E-cadherin, vimentin, and b-catenin is relatively unperturbed in Klf5D/D CE, despite KLF5 and OCT1, respectively (Fig. 7). A synergistic effect was observed with cotransfection of OCT1 and KLF5, but not KLF4 and KLF5, or OCT1 and KLF4. Although the extent of stimulation by KLF5 was decreased to 5.5- and 8-fold with shorter /C0185/+16 bp and /C0113/+16 bp GKN1 promoter fragments, similar synergism was observed with cotransfection of KLF5 and OCT1, suggesting that the KLF5- and OCT1-responsive elements are present within the /C0113/+13-bp proximal promoter (Fig. 7). Inclusion of KLF4 erased the synergistic effect observed with KLF5 and OCT1, suggesting that KLF4 competes for the same binding site as KLF5, but does not cooperate with OCT1 (Fig. 7).

Ablation of Klf5 Does Not Significantly Alter the Expression of CE Markers

In view of our recent finding that the spatiotemporally regulated ablation of the related factor Klf4 in adult CE results in EMT, it was imperative that we evaluate the status of the Klf5D/D CE epithelial identity. Toward this, we examined the expression of keratin-12, E-cadherin, vimentin, and b-catenin. QPCR revealed insignificant change in Klf4, Krt12, E-cadherin, and vimentin transcript levels (Fig. 8A). Consistent with these results, immunoblots demonstrated that Klf4, Krt12, E-cadherin, and b-catenin protein levels were largely unaltered in the Klf5D/D CE corneas (Fig. 8B). Additionally, immunofluorescent staining with anti-Krt12, anti-E-cadherin, and anti-b-catenin antibodies revealed no significant change in their expression levels or subcellular localization in Klf5D/D CE compared with the control corneas (Fig. 8C). Taken together, these results suggest that the expression of keratin-12, E-cadherin, vimentin, and b-catenin is relatively unperturbed in Klf5D/D CE, despite KLF5 and OCT1, respectively (Fig. 7). A synergistic effect was observed with cotransfection of OCT1 and KLF5, but not KLF4 and KLF5, or OCT1 and KLF4. Although the extent of stimulation by KLF5 was decreased to 5.5- and 8-fold with shorter /C0185/+16 bp and /C0113/+16 bp GKN1 promoter fragments, similar synergism was observed with cotransfection of KLF5 and OCT1, suggesting that the KLF5- and OCT1-responsive elements are present within the /C0113/+13-bp proximal promoter (Fig. 7). Inclusion of KLF4 erased the synergistic effect observed with KLF5 and OCT1, suggesting that KLF4 competes for the same binding site as KLF5, but does not cooperate with OCT1 (Fig. 7).
decreased rate of proliferation and striking morphological changes.

**DISCUSSION**

In this report, we provide evidence that Klf5 contributes to the adult CE homeostasis by maintaining optimal rate of CE cell proliferation and promoting cellular junctions based on the results obtained by spatiotemporally regulated ablation of Klf5. The Klf5<sup>−/−</sup> CE epithelium displayed defective permeability barrier, decreased proliferation coupled with fewer CE cell layers, and a disrupted basement membrane. Consistent with these results, the expression of tight junction components Tjp1 and Gkn1, desmosomal protein Dsg1, and cell proliferation regulators cyclin-D1 and phospho(Ser-10)p27/Kip1 was altered in the Klf5<sup>−/−</sup> CE. Despite significant changes in Klf5<sup>−/−</sup> CE proliferation and barrier function, the expression of Krt12, E-cadherin, and β-catenin remained relatively unaltered. Thus, unlike Klf4<sup>−/−</sup> CE, where CE cell proliferation rate was elevated and epithelial properties were lost in favor of mesenchymal features, the Klf5<sup>−/−</sup> CE displays diminished cell proliferation while retaining many of their epithelial characteristics. Together, these results are consistent with a functional dichotomy between the structurally related transcription factors Klf4 and Klf5 that work in concert to maintain CE homeostasis (Fig. 9).

Klf4 and Klf5 are among the most highly expressed transcription factors in the mouse CE, where they play essential nonredundant roles. Although Klf4 and Klf5 possess similar DNA-binding domains, they exert opposing influence on cell proliferation. Klf4, an inhibitor of cell proliferation, promotes corneal epithelial differentiation by suppressing EMT, while the data presented in this report reveal that Klf5 promotes basal CE cell proliferation. To understand the basis for the nonredundant functions of Klf4 and Klf5 despite their similar DNA-binding domains, it is necessary to determine the molecular constraints that establish distinct DNA-binding sequence specificities on their target gene promoters. Considering the divergent nature of the Klf4 and Klf5 N-terminal regulatory domains, it is conceivable that Klf4- and Klf5-target site selection is influenced by the specific cofactors that they interact with, and/or the sequences flanking the core recognition sequence.

This report demonstrates that Klf5 contributes to the CE permeability barrier function by regulating the expression of tight junction components in superficial cells, desmosomal components in wing cells, and laminins in the basement membrane. Previously, we have shown that Klf5 is relatively more abundant in the basal than the superficial CE cells. Disruption of tight junctions within the superficial Klf5<sup>−/−</sup> cells suggests a key role for residual Klf5 in the superficial cell layers. Alternatively, this may reflect an indirect outcome of their premature sloughing off—a possibility that is consistent with the weaker desmosomes in the spinous and wing cells. Defects in any of these components that contribute to the structural integrity of the CE are deleterious. A similar function was previously attributed to Klf5 in the mouse intestine, where the barrier function...
was compromised in the absence of Klf5. Our results also revealed downregulation of Gkn1, Upk-1b and -3b that serve important gastrointestinal and urothelial permeability barrier functions. Gkn1, also known as AMP-18, is an 18-kDa secreted protein that serves as a mitogen and helps maintain intestinal epithelial barrier function by stabilizing tight junction proteins occludin and Tjp1. In transient transfection assays, GKN1 promoter activity was regulated synergistically by KLF5 and OCT1 in NCTC cells, while KLF4 exerted little influence. OCT1 is a ubiquitously expressed transcription factor that has been implicated in regulating differentiation and preventing tumorigenesis.
The synergistic effect of KLF5 and OCT1 on GKN1 promoter activity demonstrates that in addition to its role in basal epithelial cell proliferation, KLF5 is also important for maintaining the properties of differentiated superficial cells. The absence of this synergistic effect when KLF4 and KLF5 were cotransfected suggests that they both compete for the same cis-elements within the KLF5 proximal promoter. Whether Gkn1 is downregulated in the Klf5-/- intestinal epithelium, and intestinal expression of Gkn1 also is regulated by Klf5 in a similar manner as in the CE, remains to be determined. Identification of KLF5- and OCT1-target sites within GKN1 proximal promoter by chromatin immunoprecipitation, and evaluation of their functional relevance by mutagenesis and transgenic approach, would be necessary to provide conclusive in vivo evidence for synergistic regulation of GKN1 by KLF5 and OCT1.

Our data suggest that KLF5 promotes cell proliferation, in part, by upregulating cyclin-D1 expression while suppressing that of phospho(Ser-10)-p27/Kip1, facilitating G1 to S phase transition in cell cycle. This inference, however, is purely correlative, and definitive evidence for direct involvement of KLF5 in regulating cyclin-D1 and p27 expression would require demonstration of binding of KLF5 to the genes encoding cyclin-D1 and p27 in CE, and the resultant change in their corresponding promoter activities. In previous studies, upon Le-Cre mediated pan-ocular surface ablation of Klf5 from embryonic day 10, the Klf5/-CE displayed increased cell proliferation,25 in contrast with the results presented here. Corneal neovascularization and the influx of immune cells evident within the Klf5/- corneas is absent in the present Klf5+/- corneas, suggesting that the increased cell proliferation in the Klf5+/ACE corneas is an indirect outcome of the inflammatory environment generated as a result of the pan-ocular surface ablation of Klf5 from an early embryonic stage.25,42 The decreased Klf5+/-ACE CE cell proliferation is consistent with basal epithelial cell-preferred expression of KLF5, and its well-established pro-proliferative activity in diverse cell types.38,47,60

In summary, we have ablated Klf5 in the adult mouse CE to better understand the role of this transcription factor in maintaining corneal homeostasis. Our findings suggest KLF5 is essential for proper barrier function and CE cell proliferation. Several studies report epithelial differentiation is reliant on a balance between Klf5 and Klf4 both spatially and temporally.29,61-63 In the intestinal epithelium, Klf5 localizes in crypts, where cells are actively dividing, and Klf4 is concentrated at the tops of intestinal villi, where cells are differentiated.17,64,65 Similarly, corneal epithelial KLF5 is most highly expressed in the basal cells, and KLF4 is relatively more abundant in the superficial cells.25,55 Given the decreased Klf5+/-ACE cell proliferation, it is reasonable to speculate that KLF4 and KLF5 have similar functions in the CE as they do in intestinal epithelium, regarding proliferation and differentiation. Our results presented in this report, coupled with previous data,32,55 reveal that the choice between CE cell proliferation and differentiation is determined by the delicate balance between the anti- and pro-proliferative activities of KLF4 and KLF5, respectively (Fig. 9). When this balance is perturbed, CE homeostasis is disrupted resulting in diseases, such as ocular surface squamous metaplasia and neoplasia.

FIGURE 8. Expression of CE-specific markers remains relatively unchanged in Klf5+/ACE corneas. (A) QPCR analyses show little change in Klf4, Krt12, E-cadherin, and vimentin transcripts between the control and Klf5+/ACE CE (n = 8). (B) Immunoblots and their densitometric measurements with β-actin as loading control show that Klf4, Krt12, E-cadherin, and β-catenin expression is relatively unchanged in Klf5+/ACE corneas, compared with the control. (C) Immunofluorescent staining and corresponding fluorescence intensity measurements reveal little change in expression of Krt12, E-cadherin, and β-catenin between Klf5+/ACE and control (n = 3). Error bars represent 1 SEM.
Klf5 Maintains Corneal Epithelial Homeostasis

A. Klf4 and Klf5 in corneal epithelial homeostasis

B. Mechanism by which Klf5 promotes cell proliferation

Basal Cells Klf5 > Klf4

Suprabasal Cells Klf4 > Klf5

Cyclin-D1↑
p27 Kip1

Klf5

G1

S

M

G2

Cyclin-D1↓
p27 Kip1

Klf4

G1

S

M

G2

FIGURE 9. Schematic representation of our findings and a model suggesting functional dichotomy between structurally related Klf4 and Klf5. (A) The results presented in this manuscript demonstrate that Klf5 contributes to the formation of permeability barrier at the apical surface of the cornea, desmosomal junctions at the cell–cell boundaries, and basement membrane (underlined), in addition to ensuring a pro-proliferative environment in the basal cell layers, without affecting the expression of critical CE-cell markers, such as Krt12, E-cadherin, and β-catenin. We propose that the choice between CE cell proliferation and differentiation is determined by the delicate balance between the anti- and pro-proliferative activities of Klf4 and Klf5, respectively. Basal epithelial cells enriched in Klf5 are in a pro-proliferative environment, while the wing cells and superficial cells are enriched in Klf4 and are in a postmitotic, prodifferentiation environment. This balance is perturbed in CE diseases, such as squamous metaplasia and ocular surface squamous neoplasia. (B) Mechanism by which Klf5 promotes cell proliferation. Our results show that Klf5 promotes cell proliferation, in part, by upregulating cyclin-D1 expression while suppressing that of phospho-p27(Ser-10)/Kip1, facilitating G1 to S phase transition in cell cycle. Previous studies from our lab and others suggest that Klf4 has opposite effects on cyclin, suppressing cell proliferation and creating a prodifferentiation environment in the suprabasal cell layers.
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