Partial replacement of wheat flour and corn meal with olive pomace in diet of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): effects on growth performance, body composition, hematological parameters and sensory evaluation
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**Abstract**

This study was aimed to assess the possibility of partial replacement of wheat flour and corn meal with Olive pomace (OP) in the rainbow trout diet through evaluating the growth, immunological, and hematological indices as well as the flesh quality. To this end, a total of 3600 rainbow trout (weighting 184±0.7 g) were fed with different levels of OP (2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 wt %) for 63 days, besides a control group without OP treatment. The findings exhibited no significant change in the growth indices of the experimental fish groups when compared to the control group. Among the experimental groups, in general, the fish received 10% OP demonstrated the highest alterations. whereas the activity of superoxide dismutase, lysozyme, monocyte and neutrophil considerably increased when compared to the control treatment.

Generally, OP inclusion decreased and increased, respectively, the saturated and unsaturated fatty acid contents of both liver and carcass tissues, especially at the higher levels. Taken together, OP could improve both health state and nutritional values of fish and the findings suggested the feasibility of partial OP replacement in the diet of rainbow trout.
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**Introduction**

Todays, fish and shellfish cultivation is an ever-developing industry with considerable proportion in annual human food production, globally over one hundred million tons per year (Pahlow *et al.*, 2015). In the near future, the development of aquaculture will be depend on the indentification and utilization of easy available and sustainable food resources for aquatics (Kamalam *et al.*, 2017).
Wheat comprises about 17-20% of the annual worldwide cereal production and is highly included in farmed animals diets (http://www.wheatinitiative.org). It has been estimated that wheat production with the current rate will fall seriously below the human and animal demands by 2050. Therefore, to reduce the dependency of livestock producing sectors on cereal grains such as wheat and corn, agricultural by-products are strongly suggested to be used as substitutional feed component in animal diets (Zangeneh and Torki, 2011).

Olive pomace (OP) is the major by-product of the olive oil extraction industry. This by-product possesses several valuable compounds, including polysaccharides, fatty acids, proteins, and polyphenols (Karantonis et al., 2007). Its phenolic compounds have exhibited antimicrobial, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory features and, thus, attracted the attention of nutritionists and microbiologists (Pahlow et al., 2015). For example, OP was included in the diet of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and its immunological and biological effects were assessed (Khoshkholgh et al., 2013; Sicuro et al., 2010). Further studies demonstrated that replacement of OP with wheat flour in the diet of yearling Siberian sturgeon (Acipenser baerii) increased the polyunsaturated fatty acid contents (especially C22:6n-3) in the muscle of the fish received 75 g/kg and 100 g/kg OP (Banavreh et al., 2019a) and improved the immunological status of the fish (Banavreh et al., 2019c). Furthermore, OP was utilized as a substitute ingredient for wheat bran in the diet of tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) and findings suggested the feasibility of up to 25% substitution without compromising the growth performance and feed utilization efficiency (Al-asgah et al., 2011).

Given that OP possesses low protein contents (5-10%), like wheat flour and corn meal, it could be used in animal diets to reduce the dependency on wheat flour and corn meal as well as to make cost-effective meat production (Sansoucy, 1985). Hence, the current study was aimed to assess the feasibility of OP replacement at different levels with wheat flour and corn meal in a formulated diet for rainbow trout, and the purpose was pursued through analyzing the growth indices, chemical body composition, fatty acid profile, and hematological indices.

**Materials and methods**

**Experimental diets**

Commercial olive pomace (OP-2000) was purchased from the Hekmatdan Zeyton Morsalin and the utilized fish meal and fish oil were prepared from the Gil Powder Co. (Guilan, Iran). An isonitrogenous (400.94 g/kg) and isolipidic (170.59 g/kg crude lipid) diet was formulated with different partial substitution of OP (0, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 g/kg) with wheat flour and corn meal as follows (Table 1). The diets were prepared in the Gilan Vahdat Co. (Rasht, Iran). The feed ingredients were ground and mixed with fish oil before water being added to produce stiff dough (Gatlin et al., 2017). The wet mixture was passed through a grinder and sieved (4 mm in diameter) and dried with an oven at 40°C for 48 hr. The dried pellets were kept in sealed bags at −10°C until
utilization. Table 2 and 3 illustrate the chemical composition of the experimental OP and fatty acid profile of the trial diets, respectively.

Table 1. Formulation and proximate composition of the experimental diets

| Components (%) | Diets        |
|----------------|-------------|
|                | 0% OP | 2% OP | 4% OP | 6% OP | 8% OP | 10% OP |
| Kilka meal     | 37    | 37    | 37    | 37    | 37    | 37     |
| Soybean meal   | 12    | 12    | 12    | 12    | 12    | 12     |
| Wheat flour    | 10    | 9     | 8     | 7     | 6     | 5      |
| Olive pomace   | 0     | 2     | 4     | 6     | 8     | 10     |
| Corn meal      | 10    | 9     | 8     | 7     | 6     | 5      |
| Meat bone meal | 15    | 15    | 15    | 15    | 15    | 15     |
| Kilka oil      | 6.75  | 6.55  | 6.35  | 6.15  | 5.95  | 5.75   |
| Molasses       | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2      |
| Vitamin premix | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2     | 2      |
| Mineral mix    | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1      |
| Vitamin C (coated) | 0.3  | 0.3   | 0.3   | 0.3   | 0.3   | 0.3    |
| L-methionine   | 0.8   | 0.8   | 0.8   | 0.8   | 0.8   | 0.8    |
| lysine         | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1      |
| Sand (filler)  | 2.15  | 2.35  | 2.55  | 2.75  | 2.95  | 3.15   |

Proximate composition (% as fed)

| Components       | 0% OP | 2% OP | 4% OP | 6% OP | 8% OP | 10% OP |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Moisture         | 9.66  | 10.58 | 10.91 | 10.42 | 10.60 | 10.52  |
| Crude protein    | 40.94 | 42.24 | 41.81 | 41.75 | 41.78 | 41.75  |
| Crude lipid      | 17.59 | 17.27 | 18.00 | 17.96 | 18.03 | 17.79  |
| Ash              | 9.44  | 9.03  | 8.69  | 8.72  | 9.50  | 9.01   |

Table 2. Chemical composition of the commercial Olive Pomace (OP-2000) included in the experimental diets

| Components       | 93.57 |
|------------------|-------|
| Dry Matter       |       |
| Crude protein    | 11.7  |
| Crude Fat        | 11.50 |
| Crude Fiber      | 35.00 |
| Crude Ash        | 6.20  |

Table 3. Fatty acid composition of the experimental diets containing different percentage of OP

| Fatty acids (%) | Diets |
|----------------|-------|
|                | 0% OP | 2% OP | 4% OP | 6% OP | 8% OP | 10% OP |
| C14:0          | 3.33  | 2.06  | 2.24  | 2.42  | 2.28  | 2.28   |
| C14:1          | 0.348 | 0.217 | 0.200 | 0.234 | 0.175 | 0.221  |
| C15:0          | 0.901 | 0.478 | 0.503 | 0.551 | 0.500 | 0.551  |
| C16:0          | 23.750| 22.150| 23.108| 22.695| 23.089| 22.977 |
| C16:1          | 6.311 | 4.108 | 4.438 | 4.624 | 4.438 | 4.572  |
| C17:0          | 0.825 | 0.717 | 0.644 | 0.657 | 0.639 | 0.755  |
| C17:1          | 0.372 | 0.248 | 0.303 | 0.296 | 0.269 | 0.509  |
| C18:0          | 5.628 | 5.640 | 5.725 | 5.532 | 5.738 | 5.812  |
| C18:1(n-9)Cis  | 36.751| 40.283| 37.955| 37.843| 37.539| 38.624 |
| C18:1(n-9)Trans| 0.052 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.000  |
| C18:2(n-6)Cis  | 13.424| 10.305| 9.509 | 7.942 | 8.342 | 7.488  |
| C18:2(n-6)Trans| 0.144 | 0.057 | 0.055 | 0.094 | 0.000 | 0.092  |
| C18:3(n-3)ALA  | 1.926 | 1.159 | 1.134 | 1.204 | 1.082 | 1.054  |
| C20:0          | 0.590 | 0.334 | 0.382 | 0.335 | 0.310 | 0.344  |
| C20:1          | 1.198 | 0.657 | 0.742 | 0.895 | 0.691 | 0.766  |
| C20:2          | 0.468 | 0.288 | 0.257 | 0.282 | 0.250 | 0.286  |
Experimental fish and feeding management

The study was conducted at a local fish farm (Dornab Fish Farm, Rudkhan Castle, Iran). A total of 3600 rainbow trout (184±0.7 g) were assigned into six treatments with three replicates, according to the prepared experimental diets. The ponds were supplied with a flow-through natural freshwater with a temperature and a flow rate of 10 ± 3°C and 10 L/s, respectively. Before starting the main experiment, the fish were fed with the control diet (free of OP) for 14 days and then fasted for two days. For the main experiment, the fish were individually anesthetized with clove powder (300 mg/L; Barij Essence, Iran), weighed, and assigned into eighteen rectangle concrete ponds (n=200 per each one and with a stocking density of 1.1 kg/m³). Three ponds were used as replicates for each treatment. The experimental groups were fed three times a day to an ad libitum for 63 days. The trial was carried out according to a guideline accepted by the institutional review board of Guilan University on the care and use of fish in research and testing.

Evaluation of growth performance and somatic indices

At the termination of the feeding trial, twenty fish were collected randomly from each replicate, and the following growth indices were determined:

- **Weight gain (WG, %):** \(100 \times \frac{\text{final weight (g)} - \text{initial weight (g)}}{\text{initial weight (g)}}\)
- **Food conversion ratio (FCR):** \(\frac{\text{dry feed intake (g)}}{\text{wet weight gain (g)}}\)
- **Specific growth rate (SGR %/day):** \(\frac{\ln (\text{final body weight, g}) - \ln (\text{initial body weight, g})}{63} \times 100\)
- **Survival rate (SR, %):** \(100 \times \frac{\text{final fish number}}{\text{initial fish number}}\)
- **Condition factor (CF):** \(\frac{\text{final weight (g)}}{\text{final body length (cm)}}\)
- **Body weight index (BWI, %):** \(100 \times \frac{\text{final body weight (g)} - \text{initial body weight (g)}}{\text{initial body weight (g)}}\)
- **Hepatosomatic index (HSI, %):** \(100 \times \frac{\text{liver weight (g)}}{\text{body weight (g)}}\)
- **Viscera somatic index (VSI, %):** \(100 \times \frac{\text{viscera weight (g)}}{\text{body weight (g)}}\)

---

| Compound | C18:1n-9 | C20:3 n-9 | C20:3 n-3 | C21:0 | C20:4(n-6) ARA | C22:0 | C22:1 | C20:5(n-3) EPA | C22:4 (n-6) DTA | C22:6(n-3) DHA | Cholesterol (mg/100 g lipid) |
|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|---------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
|          | 0.258    | 0.159     | 0.137     | 0.182 | 0.109         | 0.143 |
|          | 0.309    | 0.188     | 0.129     | 0.225 | 0.185         | 0.238 |
|          | 0.098    | 0.047     | 0.000     | 0.075 | 0.000         | 0.077 |
|          | 0.642    | 0.393     | 0.434     | 0.454 | 0.437         | 0.453 |
|          | 0.419    | 0.219     | 0.217     | 0.251 | 0.195         | 0.252 |
|          | 0.138    | 0.115     | 0.065     | 0.127 | 0.107         | 0.134 |
|          | 4.595    | 2.512     | 2.808     | 3.194 | 2.830         | 2.989 |
|          | 0.000    | 0.000     | 0.000     | 0.000 | 0.000         | 0.000 |
|          | 0.496    | 0.222     | 0.281     | 0.339 | 0.247         | 0.312 |
|          | 0.846    | 0.450     | 0.498     | 0.518 | 0.445         | 0.568 |
|          | 0.416    | 0.181     | 0.224     | 0.262 | 0.149         | 0.246 |
|          | 12.763   | 8.812     | 8.002     | 8.767 | 7.486         | 8.254 |
|          | 0.67     | 1.02      | 1.03      | 1.01  | 1.0           | 0.92  |

C18:1n-9, Oleic acid; ALA, alpha-linolenic acid; ARA, arachidonic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid. (n = 3).
Hematological assays
To assess hematological indices, the feeding was stopped for 24 h and then the blood was collected from nine fish per treatment (three fish per replicate). Briefly, the fish were anesthetized with clove powder and the blood was collected from the caudal vein with heparinized and unheparinized syringes and centrifuged (3000g, 5 min) at room temperature. The separated serum was kept at -20 °C until the following analyses. The number of white blood cells (WBCs) and red blood cells (RBCs) were determined according to a previous described method (Blaxhall and Daisley, 1973). Differential leukocyte counts such as lymphocyte, monocyte, and neutrophil were counted using the Giemsa staining method and blood smears with a light microscope. Hematocrit (Hct) value was calculated using the standard microhematocrit method and described in percentage. Hemoglobin (Hb) was determined using a spectrophotometer (Unico 2100-UV, USA) at 540 nm on (Stoskoph 1993). Moreover, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH) were determined using the following equations:

\[
\text{MCHC (g/L)} = \frac{\text{Hb (g/L)}}{\text{Hct (\%)}},
\]

\[
\text{MCV (fl)} = \text{Hct (\%)} \times 10 / \text{RBC (10}^{12} / \text{L)}
\]

\[
\text{MCH (pg)} = \frac{\text{Hb (g/L)}}{\text{RBC (10}^{12} / \text{L))}}
\]

Blood biochemistry analysis
The blood biochemical indices including glucose, cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), triglyceride, total protein (TP), aspartate transaminase (AST), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and lysozyme were measured using diagnostic commercial kits (Pars Azmoon, Iran) following the manufacturer’s protocols.

Proximate and sensory analyses
After blood sampling, nine fish per treatment (three fish per replicate) were randomly captured and anesthetized with clove powder (1000 mg/L). To proximate analysis, the fish were deheaded and eviscerated, and the crude protein, crude lipid, moisture, and ash were analyzed according to the standard procedures of AOAC (1990). Briefly, the carcass was minced and oven dried at 105 °C until to reach a constant weight, and the moisture was measured. Ash content was calculated by combusting dry samples in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 6 hr. Crude protein and lipid were determined using Kjeldahl (Bakhshi, Iran) and Soxhlet (Bakhshi, Iran), respectively. Crude fibre was measured by Fiber Analyzer (Gerhardt, Germany). In addition, the eviscerated and deheaded fish was cooked in an oven for 15 min at 200 °C and immediately presented to a ten panelists. Sensory evaluation was conducted in the same controlled conditions of temperature, light, and humidity. The panelists were asked to score odor, taste, and texture of the fish using a 4-pint hedonic score (Score 4= like extremely, 3= like, 2= dislike, 1= dislike extremely) (Martinsdóttir, 2010; O’Mahony, 1986).

Fatty acid composition
The fatty acid profile of the experimental diets, homogenized carcass, and liver tissue were determined according to the Folch method.
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(Folch et al., 1957). Briefly, chloroform: methanol (2:1, v/v) as well as butylated hydroxyl (0.01%) were added to the samples to extract the lipids. The fatty acids were transmethylated using methanolic boron trifluoride (Merck, Germany). The FA methyl esters (FAME) were analyzed with a Phillips GC-PU4400 (Cambridge, UK) which was equipped with a capillary column (0.25-μm film thickness, BPX70, 60 m × 0.32 mm ID, SGM, Australia), and a flame ionization detector was adjusted to identify fatty acids. Different FAs was recognized and quantified through comparing the retention time and absorbance area of each FA in FAME standards. Data Apex software was employed to analyze the peak position.

Statistical analysis
The results were analyzed using the SPSS software version no 16.0 (SPSS, Chicago IL, USA), and the differences were analyzed significant at $p < 0.05$. Variance normality and heterogeneity were tested, and then one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied. Significant differences between the experimental groups were determined by Tukey, and also Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare the sensory data. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD).

Results

Growth performance
The growth performance and feed utilization of rainbow trout fed with diets containing various levels of OP are presented in Table 4. No significant difference was observed between the fish fed with the treated diets when compared to the control group. No mortality was recorded during the feeding trial.

| Somatic and growth indices | Dietary treatment |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
|                            | Control | 2%OP | 4%OP | 6%OP | 8%OP | 10%OP |
| Wi (g)                     | 181.20±3.18 | 186.20±3.39 | 187.00±3.64 | 182.40±3.63 | 185.00±4.67 | 186.40±5.91 |
| Wf (g)                     | 397.95±8.30 | 395.05±9.42 | 403.62±9.73 | 397.62±8.83 | 393.87±7.58 | 403.00±8.98 |
| BG (g)                     | 216.75±5.74 | 208.85±6.40 | 216.62±6.68 | 215.22±6.23 | 208.87±6.12 | 216.60±7.44 |
| SR (%)                     | 100     | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   | 100   |
| CF                         | 1.23±0.28 | 1.30±0.22 | 1.28±0.18 | 1.26±0.25 | 1.31±0.37 | 1.27±0.23 |
| SGR (%)                    | 1.269±0.11 | 1.213±0.15 | 1.241±0.13 | 1.257±0.19 | 1.219±0.17 | 1.243±0.16 |
| BWI                        | 119.62±7.20 | 112.16±6.40 | 115.84±5.73 | 117.99±9.42 | 112.90±8.37 | 116.20±6.57 |
| FCR                        | 1.476±0.05 | 1.532±0.09 | 1.477±0.07 | 1.487±0.07 | 1.532±0.07 | 1.492±0.07 |
| HSI (%)                    | 1.26±0.03 | 1.49±0.04 | 1.48±0.08 | 1.31±0.04 | 1.51±0.28 | 1.37±0.16 |
| VSI (%)                    | 11.44±0.20 | 10.47±0.16 | 11.08±0.72 | 11.06±0.31 | 11.93±0.23 | 12.18±0.99 |

Hematological and biochemical indices
TP, MCV, MCH, and MCHC were uninfluenced by dietary OP ($p > 0.05$; Table 5). However, the levels of Het, Hb, RBC, LDL, and lymphocyte significantly decreased in fish groups received higer levels of OP ($p < 0.05$), whereas lysozyme, SOD, WBC, monocyte and neutrophil significantly increased ($p < 0.05$). The number of thrombocytes and activity of AST showed fluctuations in response to different levels of OP (i.e., decreased and then increased). However, the amount of triglyceride
significantly decreased in all of the fish groups received OP. The other parameters showed no change, except for HDL which increased in the group received 8% OP.

Table 5. Hematological characteristics and levels of some blood constituents for rainbow trout fed the diets containing different OP levels

| Dietary treatment | 0%OP | 2%OP | 4%OP | 6%OP | 8%OP | 10%OP |
|-------------------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Hematocrit (%)    | 55.33±1.53<sup>a</sup> 53.00±2.00<sup>b</sup> 53.67±2.52<sup>b</sup> 52.67±2.52<sup>b</sup> 51.33±2.08<sup>b</sup> 47.33±2.08<sup>b</sup> |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 9.01±0.41<sup>a</sup> 8.50±0.50<sup>b</sup> 8.47±0.31<sup>b</sup> 8.67±0.25<sup>b</sup> 8.67±0.32<sup>b</sup> 8.01±0.25<sup>b</sup> |
| Red blood cell (×10<sup>6</sup>/mm<sup>3</sup>) | 159.33±3.5<sup>a</sup> 152.17±3.55<sup>b</sup> 153.67±8.50<sup>b</sup> 150.77±6.49<sup>b</sup> 150.83±7.29<sup>b</sup> 141.20±3.0<sup>c</sup> |
| MCV (fl)          | 347.00±6.24 344.33±5.69 349.67±3.21 345.00±4.58 344.67±3.06 347.00±7.81 |
| MCH (pg)          | 56.67±0.58 57.00±0.00 57.00±1.73 57.33±1.15 57.33±0.58 57.67±0.58 |
| MCHC (g/dL)       | 16.00±0.00 16.67±0.58 16.33±0.58 16.00±0.00 16.67±0.58 16.33±0.58 |
| Cholesterol (mg/dL) | 412.33±12.86 379.00±14.42 353.67±26.08 356.00±36.72 349.00±9.00 332.67±10.79 |
| Triglyceride (mg/dL) | 304.33±27<sup>a</sup> 262.33±10.50<sup>b</sup> 263.00±25.24<sup>b</sup> 272.00±18.5<sup>b</sup> 264.67±8.74<sup>b</sup> 265.00±3.0<sup>b</sup> |
| LDL (mg/dL)       | 69.33±3.21<sup>a</sup> 62.00±2.00<sup>b</sup> 59.00±2.00<sup>b</sup> 57.67±3.79<sup>b</sup> 58.33±1.53<sup>b</sup> 54.67±0.58<sup>c</sup> |
| HDL (mg/dL)       | 73.67±1.53<sup>a</sup> 79.00±6.00<sup>b</sup> 75.67±2.08<sup>b</sup> 77.67±2.52<sup>b</sup> 82.33±1.53<sup>b</sup> 79.33±1.53<sup>a</sup> |
| TP (g/dL)         | 3.6±0.10 3.93±0.15 3.80±0.10 4.00±0.10 3.97±0.15 4.03±0.15 |
| Glucose (mg/dL)   | 77.67±2.08 74.00±3.61 73.33±3.79 70.33±4.04 69.67±1.53 71.33±3.21 |
| SOD (u/mL)        | 37.83±0.76<sup>a</sup> 41.43±2.00<sup>b</sup> 44.90±1.49<sup>b</sup> 49.03±3.91<sup>b</sup> 46.80±2.81<sup>b</sup> 49.10±2.00<sup>b</sup> |
| AST (u/L)         | 375.33±35<sup>a</sup> 327.33±8.74<sup>b</sup> 289.33±6.03<sup>b</sup> 309.00±11.5<sup>b</sup> 327.33±41.3<sup>b</sup> 232.33±10.0<sup>b</sup> |
| Lysozyme (u/mL/min) | 40.00±7.00<sup>a</sup> 48.67±10.34<sup>a</sup> 53.00±9.17<sup>b</sup> 59.00±8.54<sup>b</sup> 56.00±9.17<sup>b</sup> 72.67±4.04<sup>b</sup> |
| White blood cell (×10<sup>3</sup>/mm<sup>3</sup>) | 60.67±6.11<sup>a</sup> 62.67±6.51<sup>b</sup> 69.00±4.36<sup>b</sup> 70.33±1.53<sup>b</sup> 70.67±1.08<sup>b</sup> 78.67±4.51<sup>b</sup> |
| Lymphocytes (%)   | 75.67±1.53<sup>a</sup> 71.33±1.15<sup>b</sup> 69.67±0.58<sup>b</sup> 71.67±1.53<sup>b</sup> 69.00±1.73<sup>b</sup> 67.67±1.53<sup>b</sup> |
| Monocytes (%)     | 2.33±0.58<sup>a</sup> 3.33±0.58<sup>b</sup> 4.00±1.00<sup>b</sup> 3.67±0.58<sup>b</sup> 4.67±0.58<sup>b</sup> 5.00±1.00<sup>b</sup> |
| Neutrophil (%)    | 21.67±1.53<sup>a</sup> 24.00±1.00<sup>b</sup> 25.67±0.58<sup>b</sup> 23.67±0.58<sup>b</sup> 25.67±0.58<sup>b</sup> 26.67±0.58<sup>b</sup> |
| Thrombocytes (×10<sup>3</sup>/mm<sup>3</sup>) | 49.67±8.50<sup>a</sup> 37.00±4.36<sup>b</sup> 34.67±5.51<sup>b</sup> 29.33±3.79<sup>a</sup> 31.33±4.16<sup>a</sup> 34.00±5.57<sup>b</sup> |

MCV, mean corpuscular volume; MCH, mean corpuscular hemoglobin; MCHC, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; TP, total protein; SOD, superoxide dismutase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase. Data are means of triplicate determinations. Values are expressed as means ± S.D. Values in the same row with different superscript letters are significantly different (p < 0.05). Absence of letters indicates no significant difference between treatments (p > 0.05).

Proximate composition and sensory evaluation

The proximate composition of the fish carcass is presented in Table 6. No difference was observed between the fish fed the diets containing OP and the control group. Table 7 shows the analyzed scores obtained for the color, odor, and taste of the cooked meat. As the substitutional level of OP increase in the formulated fish food, so does the overall acceptability of taste and odor by panelists, with the highest scores for the meat of the experimental group received the highest level of OP (10%; p < 0.05). However, the apparent color of the cooked meat of all OP-received groups exhibited no considerable change when compared to the control group.
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Table 6. Proximate composition of eviscerated, and deheaded (% of wet weight) of rainbow trout fed the diets containing different levels of OP

| Proximate composition | Dietary treatment |
|-----------------------|------------------|
|                       | 0%OP | 2%OP | 4%OP | 6%OP | 8%OP | 10%OP |
| Moisture              | 72.44±3.2 | 72.38±4.1 | 72.40±2.9 | 73.49±4.1 | 72.08±3.2 | 72.48±3.7 |
| Crude protein         | 16.24±2.2 | 17.23±2.2 | 17.27±3.1 | 16.98±3.5 | 17.20±1.9 | 16.47±3.3 |
| Crude lipid           | 9.44±1.5 | 8.65±2.2 | 9.26±2.0 | 8.99±2.9 | 9.64±2.5 | 9.36±2.4 |
| Ash                   | 2.69±2.7 | 3.07±1.2 | 3.22±1.6 | 3.08±2.1 | 3.62±2.1 | 3.48±1.9 |

Data are means of triplicate determinations. Values are expressed as means ± S.D. Absence of letters indicates no significant difference between treatments (p > 0.05).

Table 7. Sensory analysis scores for taste, odor, and color of the muscle cooked rainbow trout fed the diets containing different levels of OP (%)

| Diets | taste | odor | color |
|-------|-------|------|-------|
| 0% OP | 22.0  | 23.0 | 29.50 |
| 2% OP | 25.0  | 26.0 | 29.50 |
| 4% OP | 28.0  | 29.0 | 29.50 |
| 6% OP | 34.0  | 32.0 | 29.50 |
| 8% OP | 37.0  | 35.0 | 29.50 |
| 10% OP| 37.0  | 38.0 | 29.50 |

Fatty acid composition

Fatty acid (FA) composition of the carcass and liver samples are presented in Table 8 and 9, respectively. Among the measured saturated fatty acids (SFA), C18:0 showed a decreased in the liver as well as in the carcass. As to monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), the cis-form of oleic acid (18:1n-9) no regular change in the flesh samples. C18:2(n-6) Trans tended to decrease in the carcasses of the fish received more than 4% OP, whereas arachidonic acid (C20:4n-6) remained unchanged in the muscle (p > 0.05). The amount of eicosapentaenoic acid (C20:5n-3) no difference between the treatments. Likewise, the percentage of EPA+DHA in liver of the fish received higher OP significantly increased as compared to the control treatment.

Table 8. Carcasses (eviscerated and deheaded) fatty acid composition of the fishes fed on the experimental diets containing different levels of OP (%)

| Fatty acids (%) | 0% OP | 2% OP | 4% OP | 6% OP | 8% OP | 10% OP |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| C14:0           | 1.75±0.04 | 1.51±0.09 | 1.56±0.04 | 1.47±0.04 | 1.47±0.12 | 1.57±0.09 |
| C14:1           | 0.065±0.05 | 0.103±0.04 | 0.123±0.03 | 0.108±0.08 | 0.102±0.04 | 0.113±0.05 |
| C15:0           | 0.380±0.09 | 0.337±0.05 | 0.342±0.06 | 0.326±0.04 | 0.313±0.06 | 0.329±0.07 |
| C16:1           | 18.578±0.09 | 17.767±0.05 | 17.690±0.04 | 17.631±0.07 | 18.020±0.06 | 17.381±0.05 |
| C16:1           | 3.838±0.07 | 3.689±0.03 | 3.958±0.11 | 3.574±0.06 | 3.963±0.89 | 3.873±0.10 |
| C17:0           | 0.566±0.10 | 0.402±0.06 | 0.444±0.08 | 0.443±0.06 | 0.428±0.10 | 0.331±0.09 |
| C17:1           | 0.256±0.05 | 0.235±0.09 | 0.325±0.11 | 0.372±0.04 | 0.270±0.13 | 0.235±0.11 |
| C18:0           | 4.929±0.08 | 4.249±0.06 | 4.871±0.07 | 5.041±0.08 | 3.395±0.07 | 4.851±0.10 |
| C18:1(n-9)Cis   | 34.222±0.05b | 34.926±0.07a | 35.982±0.06a | 35.652±0.09a | 36.303±0.05a | 36.381±0.06a |
| C18:1(n-9)Trans | 0.755±0.04a | 0.052±0.03a | 0.046±0.04a | 0.036±0.04a | 0.044±0.05a | 0.039±0.03a |
| C18:2(n-6)Cis   | 15.643±0.33 | 17.152±0.08 | 16.869±0.08 | 17.138±0.06 | 15.817±0.11 | 16.970±0.06 |
| C18:2(n-6)Trans | 0.453±0.02 | 0.467±0.03 | 0.398±0.09 | 0.521±0.08 | 0.399±0.11 | 0.484±0.09 |
| C18:3 n-3       | 1.614±0.21 | 1.811±0.21 | 1.686±0.13 | 1.808±0.20 | 1.763±0.19 | 1.775±0.22 |
| Fatty acids | 0% OP | 2% OP | 4% OP | 6% OP | 8% OP | 10% OP |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| C14:0      | 1.124±0.06 | 1.042±0.08 | 1.041±0.07 | 0.979±0.09 | 0.999±0.05 | 1.03±0.04 |
| C14:1      | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     |
| C15:0      | 0.254±0.08 | 0.239±0.07 | 0.219±0.05 | 0.255±0.06 | 0.225±0.06 | 0.179±0.06 |
| C16:0      | 18.716±0.19a | 18.333±0.19a | 18.664±0.14a | 16.376±0.15c | 16.678±0.12c | 16.093±0.13c |
| C16:1      | 2.599±0.10a | 2.439±0.11a | 2.674±0.08a | 2.650±0.11a | 1.984±0.12b | 2.080±0.12b |
| C17:0      | 0.320±0.08b | 0.372±0.07b | 0.372±0.05b | 0.470±0.06b | 0.374±0.06a | 0.560±0.05c |
| C17:1      | 0.220±0.05b | 0.250±0.05b | 0.179±0.19b | 0.202±0.09b | 0.212±0.06b | 0.213±0.05 |
| C18:0      | 6.875±0.10b | 7.208±0.08b | 7.236±0.09b | 8.890±0.06b | 7.961±0.07b | 8.216±0.07b |
| C18:1(n-9)Cis | 17.832±0.06a | 18.096±0.05a | 19.442±0.09b | 19.868±0.06b | 19.469±0.12b | 20.248±0.14c |
| C18:1(n-9)Trans | 2.503±0.10a | 2.477±0.08b | 2.238±0.11b | 2.218±0.18b | 2.474±0.09b | 2.372±0.13 |
| C18:2(n-6)Cis | 6.012±0.10a | 5.184±0.14a | 5.383±0.12a | 5.886±0.15a | 4.862±0.18b | 3.898±0.07b |
| C18:2(n-6)Trans | 0.070±0.06b | 0.076±0.06b | 0.080±0.06b | 0.110±0.07b | 0.074±0.06b | 0.120±0.09 |
| C18:3(n-3) ALA | 0.215±0.07b | 0.255±0.07b | 0.227±0.06b | 0.322±0.08b | 0.253±0.07b | 0.251±0.07 |
| C20:0      | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     |
| C20:1      | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     | ND     |
| C20:2      | 1.784±0.10b | 1.858±0.10b | 1.620±0.13c | 1.890±0.12b | 2.111±0.16a | 2.312±0.15a |
| C20:3 n-9  | 0.719±0.20a | 0.173±0.10b | 0.136±0.11b | 0.162±0.10b | 0.200±0.12b | 0.177±0.11b |
| C20:3 n-3  | 1.558±0.08b | 1.510±0.08b | 1.441±0.09b | 1.403±0.09b | 1.539±0.09b | 1.464±0.09 |
| C21:0      | 0.690±0.07b | 0.613±0.07b | 0.654±0.06b | 0.681±0.07b | 0.747±0.06b | 0.556±0.09 |
| C20:4(n-6) ARA | 4.756±0.02b | 3.977±0.04b | 3.534±0.04b | 3.605±0.05b | 3.991±0.03b | 3.692±0.07b |
| C22:0      | 0.272±0.05b | 0.210±0.09b | 0.316±0.09b | 0.308±0.09b | 0.260±0.09b | 0.272±0.08 |
| C22:1      | 0.181±0.07b | 0.192±0.07b | 0.203±0.08b | 0.208±0.07b | 0.248±0.08b | 0.179±0.09 |
| C22:5(n-3) EPA | 2.752±0.07b | 3.271±0.09b | 2.977±0.07b | 2.930±0.07b | 2.726±0.07b | 2.784±0.09 |
| C22:4 n-6  | 1.156±0.07b | 1.062±0.08b | 1.042±0.09b | 1.045±0.07b | 1.132±0.08b | 0.957±0.08 |
| C22:4 n-6  | 0.824±0.05b | 0.767±0.06b | 0.751±0.06b | 0.832±0.07b | 0.828±0.07b | 0.929±0.09 |
| C22:5 n-6  | 0.953±0.06b | 1.210±0.09b | 1.035±0.09b | 1.298±0.13b | 1.362±0.09b | 1.053±0.09b |
| C22:6(n-3) DHA | 27.106±0.07b | 28.600±0.07b | 28.428±0.07b | 28.480±0.07b | 28.796±0.07b | 29.016±0.06 |
| SFA        | 29.125±0.13 | 28.784±0.13 | 29.253±0.15 | 28.791±0.13 | 28.072±0.15 | 27.835±0.16 |
| MUFA       | 23.154±0.10 | 23.262±0.14 | 24.533±0.16 | 24.938±0.14 | 24.139±0.15 | 24.913±0.12 |
| PUFA       | 47.646±0.12 | 47.842±0.15 | 46.519±0.11 | 47.699±0.13 | 47.646±0.14 | 47.475±0.12 |
| EPA+DHA    | 29.858±0.07b | 31.871±0.08b | 31.405±0.07b | 31.441±0.07b | 31.522±0.07b | 31.910±0.07b |

ALA, alpha-linolenic acid; ARA, arachidonic acid. ND, not detected. Data are means of triplicate determinations. Values are expressed as means ± S.D. Values in the same row with different superscript letters are significantly different (p < 0.05). Absence of letters indicates no significant difference between treatments (p > 0.05).
Discussion

The present study was aimed to valorize OP as a partial substitution of wheat flour and corn meal in the diet of rainbow trout and evaluated its effects on some biological parameters, including growth performance, hematological and biochemical indices, as well as sensory evaluation. The results illustrated no significant changes in the growth performance and feed utilization in the experimental fish fed the diets containing OP compared to the control group. Moreover, similar feed conversion ratio and specific growth rate was observed between the control diet and those containing OP. Harmantepe et al. (2015) showed that up to 12% olive cake (OC) induced no addvers effects on the growth performance and FCR in juvenile hybrid tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus × Oreochromis aereus). Another supportive research by Banavreh et al. (2019a) demonstrated that wheat flour could be replaced with OP up to 100 g/kg diet without no considerable difference in the final weight, FCR, FI, SGR, and CF between the treated fish and the control group.

Blood biochemistry indices reveal the health and nutritional status of fish (Chen et al., 2018; Dadras et al., 2016). Harmantepe et al. (2016) stated that olive cake induce no negative effect on hematological factors such as RBC, WBC, Hct and biochemical factors such as glucose, total protein, HDL and LDL. However, high proportion of olive cake significantly increased the cholesterol and triglyceride levels in hybrid tilapia (Oreochromis niliticus × Oreochromis aereus).

In the present study, hematocrit and hemoglobin showed an OP-depenent decrease, with being the lowest levels in the fish recieved the highest OP levels, and these findings could be attributed to higher amount of tannin in the diet containing 100 g/kg OP which, in turn, reduces the iron absorbtion. This findings are in consistent with those reported by Francis et al. (2001) who showed that tannin interfere the binding of minerals. This polyphenolic compound functions as an immunostimulant and antioxidant and thereby improving the immune system (Banavreh et al., 2019b; Cioffi et al., 2010; Dadras et al., 2016; Dal Bosco et al., 2012). However, WBCs increased following increasing the concentration of OP, and the data is differ from that reported by Harmantepe et al. (2016) and Banavreh et al. (2019b) who repoed no conciderable change in WBC counts following increasing the concentration of dietry OP. The variation in obtained results might be due to the different immune systems between fish species. Nevertheless, Notash et al (2013) suggested that polyphenols in green tea induce a direct influence on antioxidant defense system of rainbow trout. Support for this suggestion have come from the observed increase in SOD activity at higher OP concentrations, especially in the OP10 group.

Previous studies demonstrated that diets containing plant-derived ingredients reduce the plasma LDL in rainbow trout, because of reducing LDL receptor gene expression in the liver (Richard et al., 2006; Richard et al., 2006).
In the present study, serum LDL decreased with the increasing dietary OP, but HDL increased and the findings indirectly indicate that the increase of cellular lipid and metabolism as an energy source improved the growth performance through saving protein. However, Harmantepe et al. (2015) observed no significant difference between serum LDL and HDL values in juvenile hybrid tilapia.

Lysozyme is an important defensive molecule of the fish innate immune system and prevents biofilm formation as well as adherence and colonization of exogenous gram-positive and gram-negative pathogens (Chen et al., 2017; Dadras et al., 2016). The current research revealed that the diet containing the highest level of OP enhanced the serum lysozyme activity, suggesting different effect of polyphenols on the immune system of the treated fish.

The lipid composition of fish tissues is mirror the diet composition (Hosseini et al., 2010; Lin and Cheng, 2017; Nasopoulou, Stamatakis, Demopoulos, and Zabetakis, 2011). The present study demonstrated that liver DHA content increased in the fish treated with OP. This finding is supported by desaturation and elongation of C18:3n-3 to C18:4n-3, EPA to DHA, and linoleic acid to arachidonic acid, a well-established phenomenon in freshwater fish species (Almada-Pagán et al., 2007; Li et al., 2016; Palmegiano et al., 2005; Sargent et al., 1992). Our results corroborate the finding of a previous research which was conducted on Siberian sturgeon (Banavreh et al., 2019a). Some FAs such as ALA (18:3n-3) and 20:4n-6 increased in the fish received OP, although the diets containing OP exhibited lower levels of ALA compared to the control diet. This difference could be attributed to different responses between organs to dietary lipid composition (Castell et al., 1972).

Sensory evaluation of the fish fed the diets containing OP illustrated an increasing taste and odor acceptability by increasing OP percentage; hence, the observed acceptability could be related to the high presence of phenolic compounds, especially oleuropein which inhibit muscle lipid oxidation and the strong off-smell in trout fillet (Balasundram et al., 2006; Khoshkholgh et al., 2013; Pazos et al., 2006; Sicuro et al., 2010) and, in turn, increasing the taste and odor of the fish with higher intake of OP. The present study showed that it is possible to partially replace wheat flour and corn meal with OP without compromising growth or nutrient utilization in rainbow trout, and OP significantly enhances non-specific immune parameters in fish. Moreover, this plant-originated by-product increases some of the nutritionally valuable unsaturated fatty acids in flesh fish and thereby could enhance the overall acceptability of its odor and taste.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Ministry of Science, Research and Technology, Iran (No. 1648-15). The authors would like to thank Guilan University for laboratory services. Our appreciation is extended to “Hamid Salari Joo” for his Academic English Language Editing Service during the preparation of the manuscript.
Conflicts of interest

None of the authors has any conflicts of interest to declare.

References

Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 1990. Official methods of official analytical chemist international (15th ed). Arlington, VA, USA.

Al-asgah, N., Younis, E. ., Abdel-Warith, A. A., El-Khaldy, A. A. and Amanat, A., 2011. Effect of Feeding Olive Waste on Growth Performance and Muscle Composition of Nile Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 13(2), 239–244.

Almaida-Pagán, P. F., Hernández, M. D., García García, B., Madrid, J. A., De Costa, J. and Mendiola, P., 2007. Effects of total replacement of fish oil by vegetable oils on n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid desaturation and elongation in sharpsnout seabream (Diplodus puntazzo) hepatocytes and enterocytes. Aquaculture, 272(1–4), 589–598.

Balasundram, N., Sundram, K., and Samman, S. 2006. Phenolic compounds in plants and agri-industrial by-products: Antioxidant activity, occurrence, and potential uses. Food Chemistry, 99(1), 191–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.07.042

Banavreh, A., Soltani, M., Kamali, A., Yazdani-Sadati, M. A., and Shamsae, M. 2019a. Effects of olive pomace on growth performance, digestibility, body composition and fatty acid profile in yearling Siberian sturgeon, Acipenser baerii (Brandt 1896). Aquaculture Nutrition, 25(2), 333–342. https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12858

Banavreh, A., Soltani, M., Kamali, A., Yazdani-Sadati, M. A. and Shamsae, M., 2019b. Effects of olive pomace on growth performance, digestibility, body composition and fatty acid profile in yearling Siberian sturgeon, Acipenser baerii (Brandt 1896). Aquaculture Nutrition, 25(2), 333–342. https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12858

Banavreh, A., Soltani, M., Kamali, A., Yazdani-Sadati, M. A. and Shamsae, M. 2019c. Immuno-physiological and antioxidant responses of Siberian sturgeon (Acipenser baerii) fed with different levels of olive pomace. Fish Physiology and Biochemistry, 45(4), 1419–1429. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-019-00649-y

Blaxhall, P. C., and Daisley, K. W., 1973. Routine haematological methods for use with fish blood. Journal of Fish Biology, 5(6), 771–781. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1973.tb04510.x

Castell, J. D., Lee, D. J., and Sinnhuber, R. O., 1972. Essential Fatty Acids in the Diet of Rainbow Trout (Salmo gairdneri): Lipid Metabolism and Fatty Acid Composition. The Journal of Nutrition, 102(1), 93–99. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/102.1.93
Chen, S.J., Guo, Y.C., Espe, M., Yang, F., Fang, W.P., Wan, M.G., Niu, J., Liu, Y.J. and Tian, L.X., 2018. Growth performance, haematological parameters, antioxidant status and salinity stress tolerance of juvenile Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) fed different levels of dietary myo-inositol. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 24(5), 1527-1539. https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12690

Chen, Y., Li, M., Yuan, L., Xie, Y., Li, B., Xu, W., Meng, F. and Wang, R., 2017. Growth, blood health, antioxidant status and immune response in juvenile yellow catfish *Pelteobagrus fulvidraco* exposed to α-ethinylestradiol (EE2). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 69, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2017.08.003

Cioffi, G., Pesca, M. S., De Caprariis, P., Braca, A., Severino, L., and De Tommasi, N., 2010. Phenolic compounds in olive oil and olive pomace from Cilento (Campania, Italy) and their antioxidant activity. *Food Chemistry*, 121(1), 105–111.

Dadras, H., Hayatbakhsh, M. R., Shelton, W. L., and Golpour, A., 2016. Effects of dietary administration of Rose hip and Safflower on growth performance, haematological, biochemical parameters and innate immune response of Beluga, *Huso huso* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 59(November), 109–114.

Dal Bosco, A., Mourvaki, E., Cardinali, R., Servili, M., Sebastiani, B., Ruggeri, S., Mattioli, S., Taticchi, A., Esposto, S. and Castellini, C., 2012. Effect of dietary supplementation with olive pomaces on the performance and meat quality of growing rabbits. *Meat Science*, 92(4), 783–788. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2012.07.001

Folch, J., Lees, M., and Stanley, G. H. S., 1957. A Simple Method for the Isolation and Purification of Total Lipids from Animal Tissues. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 497–509. Retrieved from http://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/10005446045/

Gatlin D., Barrows F. T., Brown P., Dabrowski K., Gaylord T. G., Hardy R.W. and Wurtele E., 2007. Expanding the utilization of sustainable plant products in aquafeeds: a review. *Aquaculture research*, 38(6):551–579. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2007.01704.x

Hosseini, S. V., Kenari, A. A., Regenstein, J. M., Rezaei, M., Nazari, R. M., Moghaddasi, M., Kaboli, S. A. and Grant, A. A., 2010. Effects of Alternative Dietary Lipid Sources on Growth Performance and Fatty Acid Composition of Beluga Sturgeon, *Huso huso*, Juveniles. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 41(4), 471–489.

Kamalam, B.S., Medale, F. and Panserat, S., 2017. Utilisation of dietary carbohydrates in farmed fishes: New insights on influencing factors, biological limitations and future strategies. *Aquaculture*, 467, 3-27.

Karantonis, H. C., Tsantila, N., Stamatakis, G., Samiotaki, M., Panayotou, G., Antonopoulou,
Khoshkholgh, M., Noverian, H., Mosapour Shajani, M., Mohammadi, M., and Azizi, M. S., 2013. The effect of olive oil cake on growth, body composition and sensory evaluation of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). *Journal of Fisheries (Iranian Journal of Natural Resources)*, 66(2), 133–144. Retrieved from https://jfisheries.ut.ac.ir/article_35691_en.html (In Persian)

Li, Q., Zhu, H.Y., Wei, J.J., Zhang, F., Li, E.C., Du, Z.Y., Qin, J.G. and Chen, L.Q., 2017. Effects of dietary lipid sources on growth performance, lipid metabolism and antioxidant status of juvenile Russian sturgeon Acipenser gueldenstaedtii. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, 23(3), 500-510. https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12418

Lin, Y. H., and Cheng, M. Y., 2017. Effects of dietary organic acid supplementation on the growth, nutrient digestibility and intestinal histology of the giant grouper Epinephelus lanceolatus fed a diet with soybeanmeal. *Aquaculture*, 469, 106–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.11.032

Martinsdóttir, E., 2010. Sensory quality management of fish. In *Sensory Analysis for Food and Beverage Quality Control* (pp. 293–315). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1533/9781845699512.3.293

Nasopoulou, C., Stamatakis, G., Demopoulos, C. a, and Zabetakis, I., 2011. Effects of olive pomace and olive pomace oil on growth performance, fatty acid composition and cardio protective properties of gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) and sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax). *Food Chemistry*, 129(3), 1108–1113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.05.086

O’Mahony, M., 1986. *Sensory Evaluation of Food; Statistical Methods and Procedures*. Taylor and Francis Group (1st Editio). New York, NY: Routledge. https://doi.org/10.1088/17518113/44/8/085201

Pahlow, M., Van Oel, P. R., Mekonnen, M. M., and Hoekstra, A. Y., 2015. Increasing pressure on freshwater resources due to terrestrial feed ingredients for aquaculture production. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 536, 847–857. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.07.124

Palmegiano, G.B., Agradi, E., Forneris, G., Gai, F., Gasco, L., Rigamonti, E., Sicuro, B. and Zoccarato, I., 2005. Spirulina as a nutrient source in diets for growing sturgeon (Acipenser baeri). *Aquaculture Research*, 36(2), 188–195.

Pazos, M., Alonso, A., Fernández-Bolaños, J., Torres, J. L., and Medina, I., 2006. Physicochemical properties of natural phenolics from grapes and olive oil byproducts and their antioxidant activity in frozen horse mackerel fillets. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 54(2), 366–373. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0518296
Richard, N., Kaushik, S., Larroquet, L., Panserat, S., and Corraze, G., 2006. Replacing dietary fish oil by vegetable oils has little effect on lipogenesis, lipid transport and tissue lipid uptake in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *British Journal of Nutrition*, 96(02), 299. https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN20061821

Richard, N., Mourente, G., Kaushik, S., and Corraze, G., 2006. Replacement of a large portion of fish oil by vegetable oils does not affect lipogenesis, lipid transport and tissue lipid uptake in European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). *Aquaculture*, 261(3), 1077–1087. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.07.021

Sansoucy, R., 1985. *Olive by-products for animal feed.* FAO. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/X6545E/X6545E00.HTM

Sargent, J. R., Bell, J. G., Bell, M. V, Henderson, R. J., and Tocher, D. R., 1992. The Metabolism of Phospholipids and Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids in Fish. *Aquaculture: Fundamental and Applied Research*, 43, 103–124.

Sicuro, B., Barbera, S., Daprà, F., Gai, F., Gasco, L., Paglia longa, G., Palmegiano, G.B. and Vilella, S., 2010. The olive oil by-product in ‘rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum)’ farming: productive results and quality of the product. *Aquaculture Research*, 41(10), e475-e486. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2010.02514.x

Zangeneh, S., and Torki, M., 2011. Effects of b-mannanase supplementing of olive pulp-included diet on performance of laying hens, egg quality characteristics, humoral and cellular immune response and blood parameters. *Global Veterinaria*, 7(September 2015), 391–398.