Continuous advances in microelectronics and micro/nanoelectromechanical systems enable the use of microsized energy storage devices, namely solid-state thin-film $\mu$-batteries. Different from the current button batteries, the $\mu$-battery can directly be integrated on microchips forming a very compact “system on chip” since no liquid electrolyte is used in the $\mu$-battery. The all-solid-state battery (ASSB) that uses solid-state electrolyte has become a research trend because of its high safety and increased capacity. The solid-state thin-film $\mu$-battery belongs to the family of ASSB but in a small format. However, a lot of scientific and technical issues and challenges are to be resolved before its real application, including the ionic conductivity of the solid-state electrolyte, the electrical conductivity of the electrode, integration technologies, electrochemical-induced strain, etc. To achieve this goal, understanding the processing of thin films and fundamentals of ion transfer in the solid-state electrolytes and hence in the $\mu$-batteries becomes utmost important. This review therefore focuses on solid-state ionics and provides inside of ion transportation in the solid state and effects of chemistry on electrochemical behaviors and proposes key technology for processing of the $\mu$-battery.

1. Introduction

The concept of thin-film batteries or $\mu$-batteries have been proposed for a few decades.[1] However it is a long and difficult match since the fabrication of the all-solid-state thin-film $\mu$-batteries (ATFBs) relies on the development of solid electrolytes with reasonably high ionic conductivity and chemical and electrochemical stability. That is why it was also called thin-film solid-electrolyte batteries in the early days.[2,3] One of the early examples is Li/AgI thin-film cell using simple but effective Li as the electrolyte forming a Li/LiI/AgI all-solid-state thin-film $\mu$-battery (ATFB) providing 2 V with a current density of over 100 $\mu$A cm$^{-2}$.[4] Following Liang’s works,[3,4] many AFB were slowly developed using the different solid-state electrolyte. For example, the cells were fabricated using borate glass $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{Li}_2\text{O}$-$\text{SiO}_2$,[5] $\text{Li}_2\text{O}$-$\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$-$\text{SiO}_2$,[6] $\text{Li}_9\text{SiAlO}_8$,[7] $\text{Li}_6\text{Si}_{5}\text{P}_6\text{O}_{22}$,[8] $\text{Li}_3\text{SiAlO}_4$[9] etc. Figure 1a reveals an example of a really small AFB of dimension of 3.1 mm $\times$ 1.7 mm $\times$ 95 $\mu$m.[10] The cells can be connected in parallel and in series based on needs of different applications. Figure 1b shows scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of an integrated AFB consisting of five microcells connected in serial giving a voltage of about 19.5 V.[11]

Due mainly to their low capacity, the ATFBs have been unable to find their practical applications until recently. With extraordinary advances in metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) and micro/nano electromechanical systems (MEMS/NEMS), the consumption of energy of the electronic and micro/nanoelectromechanical devices becomes extremely low down to few mW.[12] The importance of the ATFBs has been hence realized in ultralow power communications, wireless sensors, and detectors.[13] MEMS/NEMS, medical devices, biosensors,[15] and wearable devices.[16] Due to micron size in thickness of the AFB, it has been considered to be the potential candidates for microwearable devices. A smart lens (contact lens) as shown in Figure 1c is an innovative example where the AFM is fabricated on a polyimide substrate-based contact lens.[17] Another example is on-chip $\mu$-battery to provide energy for biosensing device such as an immunoreaction-based disposable complementary CMOS biosensing chip (Figure 1d).[18,19] The $\mu$-battery can be deposited or printed on the CMOS chip. The biosensing chip consists of biosensors, wireless optical data transmission, and a chloride Mg–AgCl incomplete $\mu$-battery without the electrolyte. Since biocharacterization is usually carried out in an aqueous solution such as saline that contains 0.9% (w/v) NaCl or with additives of NaCl or NH$_4$Cl, the
aqueous solution for sensing can be used as the electrolyte. Figure 1e shows a typical example of an ATFB where an ATFB is directly fabricated on the Si wafer, which can be further integrated with microchips.\textsuperscript{[20]} Since the ATFBs are fabricated through thin-film technologies, they can be directly integrated into microchips forming very compact self-powered system-on-chip and/or laboratory-on-chip.\textsuperscript{[15]} When the self-powered system-on-chip is further integrated with an energy harvesting system, this final system will be self-retained.\textsuperscript{[21]} One of the immediate designs is to integrate a photovoltaic (PV) cell with the ATFB as schematically illustrated in Figure 1f.\textsuperscript{[22]} The electric energy that is harvested via the PV cell is stored in the ATFB through electrochemical conversion.\textsuperscript{[23]} Another example is the stand-alone microsystems where an ATFB is integrated with a thermoelectric device Figure 1g.\textsuperscript{[24]} A small temperature difference over 3 °C is essential. In addition to the planar type of ATFBs, the fiber type of ATFBs opens another opportunity for wearable devices. Different from the planar type, the fiber type of ATFBs is made of polymers due to the need of flexibility.\textsuperscript{[16,25]} Since a fiber of a few tenth micrometers in diameter is very flexible, it can easily be woven into different forms for different usages. For the planar type of ATFBs, metals are used as the current collectors, while for the fiber type of ATFBs, flexible carbon fibers are used as the current collector and at the same time it plays the role as the negative electrode as well (Figure 1h).\textsuperscript{[25]}

This review will provide fundamentals of the ATFBs, state-of-the-art of ATFBs, challenges, and their potential applications in the microelectronic era. The review will only focus on the ATFBs from inorganic materials.

2. Fundamentals of All-Solid-State Thin-Film μ-Batteries

A battery is an electrochemical galvanotactic cell that physically consists of a positive electrode, a negative electrode, and an electrolyte. The role of the two electrodes is to generate and consume electrons whereas the electrolyte only allows flow of ions, namely an electron insulator.\textsuperscript{[26]} ATFBs have no exception. As illustrated in Figure 2, an ATFB is also made of three essential components but in a thin film format. Because of the same physical configuration of both types of batteries, the same thermodynamic formulation results in the same theoretical open-circuit voltage (OCV) that is a measure of the difference in free energy, $\Delta F^0$, between the two electrodes as shown in Equation (1).\textsuperscript{[27]}

$$E^0 = \frac{-\Delta F^0}{nF}$$

(1)

where $n$ is the number of electrons and $F$ is the Faraday constant.
The migration of metal ions, such as Li\(^+\), is through interstitial hopping mechanisms that can be represented by Frenkel defect reaction

\[
M_M^* + V_i^* \rightarrow M_M^0 + V_i^0
\]  

(2)

where \(M_M^0\) represents a metal ion staying its position interstitially with an interstitial vacant site, \(V_i^0\). When the metal ion moves from its original site to a new interstitial site, its original site is hence negatively charged, \(V_i^0\), whereas the newly occupied interstitial site is positively charged, \(M_M^0\). It is therefore clear that to have good migration of Li\(^+\) ions, the electrolytes should have sufficient charge carriers since the ionic conductivity, \(\sigma\), is proportional to the density of the charge carrier, \(c_i\), through

\[
\sigma = q_i \mu_i c_i
\]  

(3)

where \(q_i\) is the charge of the ions and \(\mu_i\) is the mobility of the ions which can be calculated by Nernst-Einstein equation, and

\[
\mu_i = D_i q_i / k T
\]  

where \(k\) is the Boltzmann constant and \(T\) is the absolute temperature. At the same time, there should be enough vacant interstitial sites for Li\(^+\) ions to hop. Since ion hopping is driven by thermal activation, from Fick’s second law, and Nernst-Einstein equation, the metal ion, in this case Li\(^+\) conductivity, \(\sigma\) can therefore be obtained

\[
\sigma T = \sigma_0 \exp \left( -E_i / k T \right)
\]  

(5)

where \(\sigma_0\) is the preexponential constant and \(E_i\) is the activation energy.

Accompanied by ion migration through the electrolyte, the charge transfer takes place at the interface between the electrolyte and the electrode. As such the electrode not only needs to have good ionic conductivity but also good electronic conductivity to transfer electrons. Unfortunately, the bare electrode materials have very poor electronic conductivity. To increase the electronic conductivity in the electrode of a bulk battery, a certain amount of conductive materials such as carbon and carbon derivers should be incorporated with the electrode materials, and sometimes the electrode particles are even coated with carbon layers in order to provide reasonably good electronic conductivity.

However, since the process of a thin-film fabrication is entirely different from the fabrication of the bulk batteries, in addition to the prerequisite of high ion storage (such as Li ion storage for Li-ion batteries), both positive and negative electrodes should have reasonably good ionic as well as electronic conductivity in order to reduce cell resistance. Figure 3 compares two different configurations. For the bulk Li-ion battery, the electrode is made of the active material and the conductive carbon-black (Figure 3a) where the Li ions migrate through the liquid electrolyte that penetrates through the binder and conductive carbon-black. Therefore, the charge transfer can be realized at the interface between the carbon and the electrolyte resulting in very low cell resistance and good kinetics. On the other hand, the ATFB is fabricated mostly through thin film deposition technologies in which it is hard and almost impossible to incorporate electronic conductive materials in the electrode. Furthermore, since the Li ions migrate through diffusion from the interface between the electrolyte and the electrode, the charge transfer in the ATFBs is entirely dependent on the electronic conductivity of the electrode itself as illustrated in Figure 3b, whereas most electrode materials such as LiFePO\(_4\), LiCoO\(_2\), LiNi\(_{1/3}\)Mn\(_{1/3}\)Co\(_{1/3}\)O\(_2\) etc. have extremely poor electronic conductivity. Although both types of the battery share the same thermodynamic description as described in Equation (1) at zero current, there is a large voltage polarization during charge and discharge in the ATFB when the current is not equal to zero since the cell resistance of the ATFB is few tenth order of magnitude of that of the bulk ones. To reduce the cell resistance, the thickness of the electrodes has to be only about a few micrometers.

Furthermore, almost all available solid-state electrolytes have lower ionic conductivities between \(10^{-7}\) S cm\(^{-1}\) and \(10^{-3}\) cm\(^{-1}\) in comparison with the liquid organic electrolyte of \(10^{-2}\) S cm\(^{-1}\). To reduce ion migration resistance, the electrolyte should be as thin as possible usually in the range of about a micron. This is why it is called “\(\mu\)-battery” in the sense of thickness.

### 3. Solid-State Electrolytes

One of the key challenges in developing the ATFBs is searching for suitable solid-state electrolytes. The suitable materials for electrolyte must fulfill the following three essential requirements in the material level: a) high ionic conductivity preferably higher than \(10^{-5}\) S cm\(^{-1}\) to provide easy migration of charge carriers, b) low electronic conductivity at least less than \(10^{-9}\) S cm\(^{-1}\) to ensure neglectable current leakage and hence long shelf-life, and c) high rigidity to have mechanical integrity. As the thin-film solid-state electrolyte, further to the essential requirements from the material level, the electrolyte materials must also be suitable for thin film deposition processes, the electrolytes should be preferably deposited at relatively low temperature to avoid inter-diffusion between the electrolyte and the electrode, the thin film solid-state electrolytes should be absolutely pinholes-free with smooth surfaces, and finally less grain boundaries and tight grain sizes are also essential. Because of so many constraints, developing and searching the suitable solid-state ionic conductors for thin-film electrolyte are slow. In a broad spectrum, the solid-state electrolytes can be categorized into two categories, namely amorphous-based solid-state electrolytes and crystalline-based ones. The amorphous-based solid-state electrolytes typically have lower ionic conductivities in comparison with their count part of the crystalline ones, but the amorphous-based electrolytes may provide uniform ion migration pathway and much dense microstructure. Due to crystalline in nature, the crystalline...
electrolyte behaves some differences in ionic and electronic conductivities between grains and grain boundaries. Very often, microvoids can be found between grains.

3.1. Amorphous Electrolytes

3.1.1. Lithium Phosphate and Its Derives

Lithium phosphorus oxynitride that is also called as LiPON (LiₓPOᵧNₓ, where 2.6b < x < 3.5, 1.9 < y < 3.8 and 0.1 < z < 1.3), is an old but very successful amorphous type of solid electrolyte. The origin of LiPON is lithium phosphate (LiPO) in the form Li₄P₂O₇, Li₃PO₄, and LiPO₃. The typical example is Li₃PO₄, where P⁵⁺ and O⁻² form isolated (PO₄)³⁻ tetrahedra in which a P⁵⁺ stays inside (Figure 4a). The molecules of lithium orthophosphate are coordinated through doubly-coordinated oxygen (Figure 4b).

LiPO in the bulk form can be synthesized easily through solid-state reaction, melt-quench, and wet chemical method. There is a large difference in congruent melting temperature for different compositions, which brings some choices of selection of different composition. The melting temperatures of lithium orthophosphate, lithium pyrophosphate, and lithium metaphosphate are 1225 °C, 885 °C, and 688 °C, respectively. By assuming direct hopping of Li⁺ from one equilibrium site to another in the lithium orthophosphate, Nudged-elastic-band (NEB) calculation reveals Li⁺ ions migration path if the distance of the migration to the neighbor vacancy site is shorter than 3.5 Å after overcoming a barrier energy of 0.58 eV calculated by density function theory (DFT).

Since LiPO has a low ionic conductivity, solid solution has therefore been introduced. Introduction of Li₄SiO₄ into LiPO to form (1-x)Li₄SiO₄ x Li₃PO₄ showed an increase in Li⁺ conductivity about one to two order of magnitude higher than that of the pristine LiPO. Figure 4c-1 reveals clearly a sharp increase in Li⁺ conductivity with a slight increase in Li/(P+Si) ratio followed...
by a gentle increase with a further increase in Li/(P+Si). Since a change in Li concentration does not drastically alter the pre-exponential constant (Equation 5), the sharp increase is hence ascribed by the activation energy as also clearly presented in Figure 4c-2.

Further detailed study with the incorporation of more SiO2, namely lowering Li concentration discovered the increase in the ionic conductivity by a factor of three, leading to a very important conclusion that the structure of the amorphous LiPO consisting of monomers and linear chain polymers changed to a crosslinked structure due to presence of SiO2. This finding led to further development in LiPON thin films. The composition of LiPON varies from its theoretical value Li3PO3N2/3 because of the difficulty of incorporation of N at high temperature. Figure 5a reveals the ternary phase diagram of LiO0.5–PON–PO2.5 in which the compositional changes from LiPO to LiPON, and its unit cell is schematically shown in Figure 5b. Similar to the incorporation of SiO2, introducing N in the LiPO causes the formation of the N triply bonds that crosslinks monomers together facilitating Li+ hopping. Based on Munoz, the crosslinked LiPON possesses three kinds of bonds, namely doubly- and triply-coordinated nitrogen, and bridging oxygen. The illustration of N triply coordinated bond is shown in Figure 5c. The most important discovery of LiPON is not only on its high ionic conductivity of about $10^{-6}$ S cm$^{-1}$ but also extremely low electronic conductivity of $10^{-14}$ S cm$^{-1}$. Its chemical stability is much better than LiPO against metallic Li because (1-x)Li$_x$SiO$_{y}$ $\times$ Li$_2$PO$_2$ is unstable when it contacts with metallic Li from the direct observation.

For a certain period of time, LiPON has been commonly considered to be stable when in contact with metallic Li. However, recent experimental and theoretical investigations show otherwise. When it contacts with metallic Li, LiPON would be reduced, which is a thermodynamically favorable process. Experimental study discovered that the triply nitrogen-coordinated LiPON, in specific Li$_6$P$_3$O$_9$N, broken up into Li$_2$PO$_4$ and Li$_2$P$_2$O$_7$ small units and other species such as Li$_3$N and Li$_2$O.

The techniques of deposition of the LiPON thin film are limited because it is difficult to incorporate N atoms into the deposited thin film. The most popular technique is in situ rf-sputtering growth, in which the in situ rf-sputtering is carried out using Li$_3$PO$_4$ as the target in a N$_2$ atmosphere. During the deposition, N$_2$ gas is ionized and the ionized N ions then react with Li—P—O species forming in situ LiPON on the substrate. Deposition of LiPON is difficult since it should be deposited at low temperature in order to achieve an amorphous structure. At a low deposition power density and low temperature, the surface with an amorphous structure reveals featureless. The growth rate of LiPON deposition is extremely low, typically in about a 100 nm range per hour depending on the deposition temperature. For example, the growth rate of the LiPON film was as lower as 175 nm h$^{-1}$ at 130 °C. Deposition power density is usually low about 0.8–2 W cm$^{-2}$ because high...
deposition power may cause granular fracture of the LiPO targets and droplets of the target on the substrate. It was also noted that ionic conductivity was independent of the power of rf-deposition at low power density range. However, as shown in Figure 5d-1, when the power density of the rf-sputtering is higher than the certain regime, the ionic conductivity quickly drops with an increase in power density of deposition.[48] More detailed study by Jouybari et al.[48] showed that substrate floating potential increased from a negative value at a low rf-sputtering power to a positive value at a higher deposition power. The change in substrate floating potential in turn results in the redistribution of the electric field between the anode and cathode, and hence composition of the LiPON thin film. To compensate the increase in the substrate floating potential, an effective way is to introduce a bias. As demonstrated in Figure 5d-2, at the same rf-sputtering power the ionic conductivity can be increased with a bias as low as +1.5 V to compensate the increase in the substrate floating potential.

The partial pressure of the N₂ gas plays an important role since it determines the amount N that can be incorporated in the LiPON, leading to variation of the impedance.[49,50] Figure 5e shows the change in impedance with the partial pressure of N₂ gas.[49] The impedance value of the LiPON thin film decreases with an increase in N₂ partial pressure. The changes in the impedance can be ascribed to the nature of the floating potential again. The change in the floating potential during a deposition will alter the voltage of the target leading to the compositional change on the surface of the target. It was noted that when the N₂ partial pressure was increased from 0.001 to 0.05 mbar, it caused a drop of about 10 V in substrate potential.[48] However, there is a slight increase in impedance at higher pressures. One note worth mentioning is that the Li loss during preparation of the LiPON target and deposition is critical. Direct sintering of the LiPO target will cause evaporation of Li causing lower Li content. Therefore, compositional compensation must be considered. One of the examples is to compensate Li loss through incorporation a substantial amount of LiO in Li₃PO₄ in an 1:1 mole ratio before sintering.[51]

Ion beam sputtering (IBS) is another sophisticated deposition method that can be used to deposit ultrathin high-quality LiPON.[52] The IBS provides significant advantages in low-temperature deposition by separation of substrate from the sputter plasma, resulting in negligible thermal impact on the substrate and hence low thermal stresses and interfacial reactions between the deposited LiPON and its sublayer. Figure 5f reveals the cross section of a LiPON thin film of about 205 nm on Pt sublayer. The LiPON thin film has a smooth surface and defects free.[52] It has been claimed that IBS can provide a smooth surface with a roughness less than 1 nm.[53]

3.1.2. Li–V–Si–O Solid Electrolyte

LiₓVₓSiₓOₓ (LVSO) is another kind of solid-state electrolyte often used in the amorphous state. The composition of LVSO can generally be presented as LiₓVₓSiₓOₓ.[54] Figure 6a shows LiₓSiOₓ–LiₓVOₓ phase diagram in which there are two single phase zones, LiₓSiOₓ solid solution (SS) and a zone with a γ-LiₓPO structure.[55] LiₓSiOₓ has a monoclinic structure with a space group P2₁/m containing [SiO₄]-tetrahedra that is connected by [LiO₄]-polyhedra.[56] In between, there is a two-phase zone, namely LiₓSiOₓ SS and γ-phase SS. The γ-phase SS possesses a structure similar to LiₓSiOₓ but with an orthorhombic symmetry with a space group Pnma.[57] LiₓVOₓ can largely solid-solute into LiₓSiOₓ up to 37 mol%. Between 37 and 46 mol%, LiₓSiOₓ SS and γ-phase SS coexist. The single γ-phase SS ranges from 46 to 82 mol%. A typical composition of LVSO is LiₓVₓSiₓOₓ.[58]

Its ionic conductivity typically ranges from 10⁻⁷ to 10⁻⁶ S cm⁻¹ with an activation energy of about 0.5 eV depending type of deposition technique used. The electronic conductivity of LVSO prepared by PLD is as low as about 10⁻¹¹ S cm⁻¹ at 25 °C with Li⁺ transport number almost unity whereas that prepared by rf-sputtering is about 10⁻¹⁰ S cm⁻¹. The LVSO film prepared by rf-sputtering has higher conductivity than those prepared by other methods such as by the pulsed laser deposition (PLD).[58–60] It was noted that the film with an amorphous structure is more sensitive to ambient condition and easy to reaction of H₂O and CO₂ leading to the formation of LiOH and Li₂CO₃. As a consequence, ionic conductivity is lowered.[58] Deposition at room temperature forms an amorphous structure that can be partially crystallized at an elevated temperature. The experimental observation reveals start of crystallization at as low as 200 °C (Figure 6b).[61] However, very high conductivity of the bulk 40LiₓSiO₄-60LiₓVO₄ of about 10⁻⁷ S cm⁻¹ at 20 °C was also reported.[53] The high ionic conductivity might be associated with different valence state of vanadium.

The LVSO was also synthesized by solvothermal process showing a crystalline structure having a relative higher ionic conductivity of 8.92 × 10⁻⁷ S cm⁻¹ with an activation energy of 0.49 eV at 25 °C.[62,63] Figure 6c reveals the ionic conductivities of the LSVO thin films prepared by PLD, rf-sputtering (SP), hydrothermal (HT). The ionic conductivity of the bulk LSVO shows slightly higher. The thin films prepared by rf-sputtering demonstrate an ionic conductivity of about one order of magnitude higher than that prepared by PLD.

Although LVSO has many advantages with reasonably high ionic conductivity, high ion transference number, and easy processing, its main drawback is its electrochemical instability due to change of the valance of V⁺. Figure 6d shows cyclic voltammogram (CV) of LVSO with a nominal composition LiₓVₓSiₓOₓ. The Li₇VO₄ film that was deposited at 300 °C by PLD was still shown amorphous in nature but with only small trace of humpers. The stable potential window is only 3.5 V much narrow than that of LiPON.[65] Even though, LVSO is still considered to be attractive since it has no reaction with metallic Li.[65]

3.2. Crystalline-Structured Electrolytes

Compared with amorphous type of electrolytes, there are many choices in crystalline-structured electrolytes, such as garnet-,[67–69] LISICON-,[70] NASICON-,[74,140] perovskite-type etc.

3.2.1. Garnet-Type of Electrolyte

Garnet-type of solid electrolyte can be written in the general formula AₓBₓXₓO₁₂, where A, B, and X sites are eightfold coordinated, sixfold, and fourfold coordinated, respectively. Li₇ (7 Li
ions per formula) garnet solid electrolyte with the typical chemical composition \( \text{Li}_7\text{La}_3\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_{12} \) (LLZO) was developed in 2007.\[67\]

**Figure 7a-1** presents the structure of LLZO that is composed of dodecahedral \( \text{LaO}_8 \) and octahedral \( \text{ZrO}_6 \) where \( \text{La}, \text{Zr} \), and \( \text{O} \) ions occupy 24c, 16a, and 96h sites, respectively.\[80\] The \( \text{Li} \) ions locate at two sites, namely 24d (Li1) and 96h (Li2). For Li2, there are two equivalent sites in the distorted octahedral (lower section of Figure 7a-1).\[80\]

For a bare LLZO, it has a tetragonal crystal structure at the room temperature and transforms to a cubic structure at about 650 °C. This transformation is reversible, and the high-temperature cubic phase will transform back to a tetragonal structure when it is cooled to about 600 °C as shown in Figure 7b.\[82\]

If only \( \text{Li} \) ions are concerned, for the cubic LLZO, a complete loop structure forms by Li1 and Li2 in which Li1 site is shared by two loops (Figure 7a-2), whereas for the tetragonal structure one tetragonal site and two octahedral sites are fully occupied by Li1, Li2, and Li3, respectively (Figure 7a-3).\[80\] The \( \text{Li} \) ions in the cubic LLZO are ordered in three different sites, whereas the diffusion pathways in the tetragonal LLZO are anisotropic.\[87\]

Since cubic-structured LLZO possesses a short migration distance and sufficient \( \text{Li}^+ \) vacancies, the ionic conductivity of the cubic-structured LLZO is two order of magnitude higher than that of the tetragonal-structured one.\[80-82\] However, unfortunately, the cubic-structured LLZO is unstable at room temperature. To stabilize the high-temperature cubic phase at room temperature, various doping technologies have been used. One of the
typical examples is Al doping to stabilize the high-temperature cubic phase to room temperature through formation of vacancies at Li sites\textsuperscript{[67,83–86]}.

$$\text{Al}^{3+}_{\text{Li}} = \text{Al}^{3+}_{\text{Li}} + 2\text{V}^\prime_{\text{Li}}$$  (6)

Al magic-angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance (MAS NMR) spectroscopy provided information of octahedral Al at LaAlO\textsubscript{3} which is indicated by the MAS NMR peaks at 11.8, 81, and 68 ppm (Figure 8a).\textsuperscript{[87]} The substitution of Li\textsuperscript{+} by heterovalent Al\textsuperscript{3+} decreases the Li site occupancy and hence increases Li vacancies for Li ions easy migration. Another substitution of Li\textsuperscript{+} that was often used is Ga\textsuperscript{3+}. Since the ionic size of Ga\textsuperscript{3+} is bigger than that of Al\textsuperscript{3+}, it is believed that Ga\textsuperscript{3+} occupies Li\textsubscript{1} tetrahedral site lowering occupancy fraction.\textsuperscript{[88]} Although substitution of Li by Al\textsuperscript{3+} and/or Ga\textsuperscript{3+} for example can stabilize its cubic structure, the substituted ions will most likely block Li ions’ migration. Therefore, substitutions on other sites instead of Li ion sites have also been studied. For example, tetravalent Zr\textsuperscript{4+} at 16\(a\) site is substituted by pentavalent, tetravalent and trivalent Ta\textsuperscript{5+}, Nb\textsuperscript{5+}, Ce\textsuperscript{4+}, Cr\textsuperscript{3+}\textsuperscript{[89–92]} leading to formation of Li\textsuperscript{+} vacancies as

$$\text{M}^{5+}_{\text{M}} = \text{M}^{5+}_{\text{Zr}} + \text{V}^\prime_{\text{Li}}$$  (7)
The same expression is also held for substitution of trivalent La$^{3+}$ at 24c site by divalent Ca$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Sr$^{2+}$ and tetravalent Ce$^{4+}$.[93–95] Furthermore, LLZO also demonstrates high electrochemical stability within a wide range of potential.[67,87,96] Figure 8b shows the chronopotentiogram of Li/LLZO/Li cell and no reduction was discovered when it was directly contacted to metallic Li.[97]

Compared to LiPON, there are vast choices for the preparation of the garnet-structured thin-film electrolytes since the composition of the as-deposited film can be directly correlated to the chemical composition of the targets and chemicals used, including sputtering,[98–102] PLD,[103–105] chemical vapor deposition (CVD),[106] and chemical solution method.[107–110] Although there are many methodologies to grow crystalline garnet thin film, high-temperature processing is essential to ensure better crystallinity and hence high ionic conductivity.

Room temperature rf-sputtering of LLZO can only obtain an amorphous structure that has the ionic conductivity as low as $10^{-7}$ S cm$^{-1}$.[98] To have a crystalline LLZO, the rf-sputtering is usually carried out at least above 600 °C, and often postannealing is also needed to achieve high crystallinity and density. Structural evolution of LLZO of target composition Li$_{6.6}$La$_{3}$Zr$_{1.6}$Ta$_{0.4}$O$_{12}$ which was deposited at different substrate temperatures is shown in Figure 8c.[102] At 600 °C, no LLZO was formed but only La$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$. LLZO only appeared at 650 °C and the intensity of LLZO increased with the deposition temperature. Complete garnet phase formed at 700 °C. Depth profile of the chemical composition measured by time-of-flight-secondary ion mass spectrometry (ToF-SIMS) revealed a side-effect, e.g. interfacial diffusion. A clear interface between deposited LLZO and the substrate can clearly be seen (deposited at 150 °C in Figure 8d), while a diffusion interface was observed when the electrolyte film was deposited at 700 °C. Very severe diffusion of Li, Al, and La to substrate and CsFe to the film side were detected when deposited at 800 °C.

Li loss during sputtering is a common phenomenon. To compensate the Li loss, excess amount of Li should be added in the target sintering processing. As a consequence, the target with nonstoichiometry is produced. Another more flexible method is to use rf-co-sputtering where multitargets are used. One of the
examples is shown in Figure 9 in which an additional target of Li₂O is used together with the LLZO target. The advantage of using the multitarget is easy to control of Li content by simply adjusting the rf-sputtering power of Li₂O target. This idea can also be extended to doping of LLZO with more targets such as Ga doping using Ga₂O₃ individual target instead of preincorporation of a fixed amount of Ga or other elements in LLZO. In this particular example, the dopant was deposited as a separate layer and incorporation of doping elements was achieved by subsequent postannealing process.

3.2.2. NASICON Type of Electrolyte

The name of NASICON is originated from words “Na superionic conductor” back to about 1976. A typical example of the “real” NASICON is Na₃Zr₂Si₂PO₁₂. Later, the same type of structure was also discovered in Li ion-based electrolytes, and therefore the name of NASICON is no longer limited to Na superionic conductor but is meant a type of crystal structure. The general formula of NASICON-type of Li ion electrolyte has the general formula of LiM₂(PO₄)₃ where the tetravalent M = Ti⁴⁺, Ge⁴⁺, Sn⁴⁺, Hf⁴⁺, and Zr⁴⁺ among which Ti⁴⁺, Ge⁴⁺, and Zr⁴⁺ has been received great attention due to their high ionic conductivity and easy synthesis. The NASICON framework with a rhombohedral R̄₃c symmetry is built up of MO₆ octahedra and PO₄ tetrahedra with corner shared. Li ions reside in two sites, Li(1) (6b) that is in an elongated octahedral oxygen environment at the intersection of three migration channels, and Li(2) (36f) that is in an 8–10 oxygen environment at each bend of the migration channels. When a Li⁺ migrates from Li(1) to Li(2) site, it must pass through the bottleneck of the triangles shown in Figure 10.

The lattice parameters change with different ion sizes at MO₆ octahedra. The ion radii of Ge⁴⁺, Ti⁴⁺, Sn⁴⁺, Hf⁴⁺, and Zr⁴⁺ are 53, 61, 69, 71, and 72 pm, respectively. Taking Ti⁴⁺ as a reference, the substitution of Ti⁴⁺ with different amount of Ge⁴⁺, Sn⁴⁺, Hf⁴⁺ and Zr⁴⁺ in LiTi₂ₓMₓ(PO₄)₃ clearly shows change of the lattice parameters are associated with the radii as shown in Figure 11a. Among the five different tetravalent ions, LiTi₂(PO₄)₃ (LTP) demonstrates the highest ionic conductivity. However, there is no direct correlation between ionic conductivity and the lattice parameters. For example, Zr⁴⁺ radius is about 15% larger than that of Ti⁴⁺, but the ionic conductivity of LiZr₂(PO₄)₃ (LZP) is about three order of magnitude lower than (Figure 11b).

Since there is only one Li⁺ per formula, the ionic conductivity of LiM₂(PO₄)₃ is about 10⁻⁷–10⁻⁹ S cm⁻¹ depending on kind of tetravalent ions used. Figure 12 summarizes changes of ionic conductivity measured by dc polarization method when tetravalent elements were used to dope in MO₆ octahedra, among which Ge⁴⁺ shows the least contribution to Li⁺ conductivity whereas Hf demonstrates the best effect which is somewhat different from those obtained from impedance method (Figure 11b). It should be noted that the conductivity measured is a total conductivity including bulk, grain boundary, and interface contributions since it was measured by a dc polarization method which is unable to rule out different contributions.
Figure 11. Variation of lattice parameters and ionic conductivity of LLTO at different amount of dopants: a) changes of lattice parameter with ion radii and b) ionic conductivity of LiTi$_2$-$_x$M$_x$(PO$_4$)$_3$. Reproduced with permission.[115] Copyright 1993, IOP Publishing.

Figure 12. Conductivities measured by dc method of LiM$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$ where □ Ge$_2$ = LiGe$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$, △ GeTi = LiGeTi(PO$_4$)$_3$, ○ Ge$_{0.5}$ = LiGe$_{0.5}$Ti$_{1.5}$(PO$_4$)$_3$, ▽ Ti$_2$ = LiTi$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$, ◇ TiHf = LiTiHf(PO$_4$)$_3$, and ○ Hf$_2$ = LiHf$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$. Reproduced with permission.[116] Copyright 1998, American Chemical Society.

It is well known that the ionic conductivity is dependent on the mobility of the mobile ions while the mobility is associated with concentration of Li$^+$ as well as vacancy sites of Li ions. To increase Li$^+$ concentration, the tetravalent M$^{4+}$ can be considered to be a substitute by lower valency ions such as trivalent and / or bivalent ions. The defect reaction of this kind of doping can be therefore written as:

$$S^{4+}_{\text{M}} = S^{3+}_{\text{M}} + \text{Li}^+$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

and

$$S^{2+}_{\text{M}} = S^{2+}_{\text{M}} + 2\text{Li}^+$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

leading to Li$_{1-x}$S$_x$M$_{2-x}$(PO$_4$)$_3$ and Li$_{1.5}$S$_x$M$_{2.5}$(PO$_4$)$_3$ for trivalent and bivalent substitution, respectively.

Many trivalent ions such as Al$^{3+}$,[123–127] Fe$^{3+}$,[128,129] Cr$^{3+}$,[128,130] La$^{3+}$,[126,131] B$^{3+}$,[132] Sc$^{3+}$,[132] and Y$^{3+}$[133] and bivalent ions such as Ca$^{2+}$,[121] Sr$^{2+}$,[134] etc are used. One of often used substitutions is Al$^{3+}$. The typical compositions include Li$_{1-x}$Al$_x$Ge$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$, and Li$_{1-x}$Al$_x$Ti$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$, and Li$_{1-x}$Al$_x$Zr$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$. Substitution of the tetravalent ion by the trivalent Al lead to increase in Li concentration and hence ionic conductivity and the ionic conductivity is peaked at about $X = 0.4–0.5$ as shown in Figure 13a.

Based on various compositions of NASICON, the thin film electrolytes with crystalline and amorphous have been investigated. The NASICON electrolyte in an amorphous state can be easily fabricated by rf-sputtering, PLD, and CVD at different processing conditions. However, different deposition parameters may cause the differences in crystallinity, morphology, and hence conductivity. Taking Li$_{1.3}$Al$_{0.3}$Ti$_{1.7}$(PO$_4$)$_3$, as an example, the films deposited at the substrate temperature below 400 °C all show amorphous structures judged from XRD measurement as depicted from Figure 13b.[141] The one deposited at 400 °C reveals some crystalline peaks while they are unable to be identified to be from LATP but from the bottom electrode, demonstrating that a crystalline LATP would only be obtained at the substrate temperature above 400 °C. The EIS measurement of the film deposited at 400 °C showed two semicircles, probably implying the formation of crystalline interfaces. It should be carefully noted that although all electrolytes prepared below 400 °C possess the amorphous structure, morphologically they are entirely different. Deposition at room temperatures resulted in nanowire-like 1D growth (Figure 13c-1), which was associated with deposition of seeds at the very beginning of deposition.[141] This phenomenon is like the growth of crystalline where the normal surfaces of the seeds have high surface energy. To minimize the energy increase, the new atoms will land on the surfaces with the highest surface energy. At the relatively high temperature of 100 °C, the film not only grew normally but also literally (Figure 13c-2). Continuous expansion literally led to a flat surface when the substrate reached 200 °C and above (Figure 13c-3, 4). It should mention that a glance of the surface morphologies of the film deposited at 200 °C and above looks like crystalline since there are “grains” and “grain boundaries.” However, it is not. The grain-like structure is caused by the merging of amorphous clusters where the middle of the clusters is higher than their boundaries. The “grain boundaries” are just the valleys of the amorphous clusters.

The ionic conductivity which is about two orders of magnitude lower than that of its bulk counterpart is deposition temperature dependent, namely crystallinity dependent. Figure 13d reveals ionic conductivities of amorphous LATP and LSTP deposited at various temperatures. A conclusion that can be drawn based on
Figure 13. a) Influence of Li concentration of Li$_{1+x}$Al$_x$Ti$_{2-x}$(PO$_4$)$_3$ showing the trend of increase in ionic conductivity with increase in Li concentration (Jimenez,[135] Hupfer,[136] Takahashi,[137] Pogosova,[138] Li,[134] Illebigi,[139] Kotobuki[140]), b) structural evolution of Li$_{1.3}$Al$_{0.3}$Ti$_{1.7}$(PO$_4$)$_3$ films which were deposited using an rf-PVD at different substrate temperatures, c) morphologies of the LATP thin films deposited at different temperatures: c-1) 25 °C, c-2) 100 °C, c-3) 200 °C and c-4) 300 °C. b,c) Reproduced with permission.[141] Copyright 2011, Elsevier. d) Ionic conductivities of NASICON-structured amorphous thin film electrolytes deposited at different temperatures (Chen (Li$_{1.3}$Al$_{0.3}$Ti$_{1.7}$(PO$_4$)$_3$; rf-sputtering),[141] Wu (Li-Ti-Si-P-O-N; rf-sputtering),[142] Ling (Li$_{1.3}$Al$_{0.3}$Ti$_{1.7}$(PO$_4$)$_3$; rf-sputtering),[143] Zhang (Li$_{1.4}$Si$_{0.4}$Ti$_{1.6}$(PO$_4$)$_3$; rf-sputtering),[144] Peng (Li$_{1.3}$Al$_{0.3}$Ti$_{1.7}$(PO$_4$)$_3$; hydrothermal),[145] Liang (Li$_{1-x}$Ti$_x$Ga$_x$(PO$_4$)$_3$; hydrothermal),[146] Inada (Li$_{1.5}$Al$_{0.5}$Ge$_{1.5}$(PO$_4$)$_3$; aerosol)).

this observation is that the higher the deposition temperature, the higher the conductivity will be. In general, the ionic conductivity of the amorphous thin films is about $10^{-6}$–$10^{-5}$ S cm$^{-1}$ even if it was deposited at 773 K. In order to achieve a high ionic conductivity similar to the bulk type of electrolyte, high temperature postannealing is necessary, but it will cause an interfacial reaction.

3.2.3. Perovskite Type of Electrolyte

Perovskite type of electrolyte is another Li ion conductor that is widely investigated. The perovskite structure has a general formula of ABO$_3$ where A site is occupied by bivalent ions that is surrounded by 12 oxygen atoms and B site by tetravalent ones surrounded by 6 oxygen atoms. The advantage of the perovskite structure is its ion radii tolerance at A- and B-sites and hence the bivalent and tetravalent ions can be substituted by different valent ions.[148,149]

Figure 14 shows the perovskite structure where both Ln and Li shear the same A-sites but with different concentration in their alternate layers.[148] When A-sites are occupied by trivalent ions, vacancies at A-sites will form according to

$$M^{3+}\_M + b \text{ Li}^{+}\_Li = aM^{3+}\_A + b\text{ Li}^{+}\_A' + \frac{a-b}{2}V'^{-}_A$$  (12)

The density of A-site vacancies, or cation deficiency at the A-sites can be adjusted by inserting different amount of Li$^+$ according to

$$aM^{3+}\_M + b \text{ Li}^{+}\_Li = aM^{3+}\_A + b\text{ Li}^{+}\_A' + \frac{a-b}{2}V'^{-}_A$$  (12)

Therefore, the composition of the perovskite-type electrolyte with space group P4/mmm can be written as

$$\text{Ln}_{(2/3-x)}\text{Li}_{x}\square_{(1/3-x)}\text{TiO}_3$$  (13)

where Ln = La, Pr, Nd, Sm, and $\square$ represents vacancies at A sites.[148,150] Ti atoms occupy B-sites forming TiO$_6$ octahedra. Li$^+$ migrates through four adjacent BO$_6$ octahedra bottleneck (four oxygen atoms) to an adjacent vacancy.[151,152] The bottleneck dimensions differ from layer to layer due to slightly BO$_6$ tilting. It has been noted that the use of smaller radii of ions in Ln sites will lead to a structural transformation from a cubic lattice to an orthorhombic one, resulting in a decreased ionic conductivity.[151]

Through using the nudged elastic band (NEB), the highest Li ionic conductivity can be obtained when $x = 0.067$,[153] while experimental studies revealed that the maximum ionic conductivity can be obtained between $x = 0.10$ and 0.13.[154,155] The best ionic conductivity of the bulk electrolyte at the room temperature is...
about $10^{-4}$–$10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$. The bivalent Sr$^{2+}$ has also been used to dope at A site.[156,157] Substitution of La with low valency ions such as Sr$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$, and Ca$^{2+}$ can cause the change in the Li ion concentration and at the same time a structural change from a tetragonal to a cubic structure. Figure 15a shows changes of lattice parameters and ionic conductivity of Li$_{0.36}$La$_{0.53}$Sr$_{0.03}$TiO$_3$ with different amount of Sr$^{2+}$.[156] With substitution as little as 0.05 mol, incorporation of Sr$^{2+}$ caused structural transformation from its tetragonal to a cubic structure. The lattice parameter of the cubic Li$_{0.36}$La$_{0.53}$Sr$_{0.03}$TiO$_3$ is larger than lattice parameter, a, of the tetragonal structure, and further increases with the amount of Sr$^{2+}$. While the ionic conductivity increases at a small dopant level and decreases due to reduction of vacancies although the lattice parameter increases monocrinally.

Li$_{0.43}$La$_{0.457}$Sr$_{0.1}$TiO$_3$ thin film fabricated by rf-sputtering followed by postannealing at different temperatures also showed a strong positive effect from Sr$^{2+}$ dopant. The ionic conductivity of the as-deposited Li$_{0.43}$La$_{0.457}$Sr$_{0.1}$TiO$_3$ thin film at 50 °C was only $1.263 \times 10^{-5}$ S cm$^{-1}$ but increased to with the postannealing temperature and reached a maximum value of $4.631 \times 10^{-5}$ S cm$^{-1}$ after annealing at 300 °C although it still displayed an amorphous structure from XRD characterization, and the conductivity sharply dropped at a higher annealing temperature of 400 °C (Figure 15b).[158]

To improve stability and conductivity, B-site substitution has also been widely practiced, including use of trivalent ions Al$^{3+}$, Co$^{3+}$, In$^{3+}$, pentavalent ions Zr$^{4+}$, Nb$^{5+}$, and pentavalent ions Ta$^{5+}$ and Nb$^{5+}$. Partial substitution of Ti$^{4+}$ by trivalent ions such as Al$^{3+}$ causes increased charge carriers, hence resulting in an enhanced ionic conductivity. Because of the increase in the concentration of Li$^+$, the concentration of vacancies reduced. When the concentration of vacancy sites is below a certain critical value, the ionic conductivity is noted to decrease.[165] Figure 15c shows the changes of bulk ionic and grain boundary conductivity of La$_{2/3}$Li$_{1/3}$Ti$_{1-x}$Al$_x$O$_3$ at different amounts of Al$^{3+}$, which clearly demonstrates the influence of Li$^+$ and Li vacancies concentration on the ionic conductivities.[165]

The substitution of Ti$^{4+}$ by pentavalent ions will cause changes neither in Li$^+$ nor vacancy concentration, namely

$$M^{4+}_{\text{M}} = M^{4+}_{\text{Ti}}$$

but will induce the change of the bottleneck size for Li ions migration. If the pentavalent ion is used, it will result in the increase in vacancies via

$$M^{5+}_{\text{M}} = M^{5+}_{\text{Ti}} + V^\prime_{\text{Li}}$$

Substitution of Ti$^{4+}$, respectively by Sn$^{4+}$ and Ta$^{5+}$ displays an increase in the ionic conductivity as displayed in Figure 15d.[162] The increase in the conductivity of LLTO doped by Sn can be attributed to increase in bottleneck while at a high dopant level there was a decrease in the conductivity even though the lattice parameter continuously increased. It seems that the vacancy level of Li$_{0.24}$La$_{0.56}$TiO$_3$ is not optimized, ionic conductivity can be further increased with the increase in Li vacancies with substitution of Ti$^{4+}$ by Ta$^{5+}$.

Figure 15e reveals the variation of ionic conductivity at different Li concentration of pristine Li$_{x}$La$_{(2/3) - x}$Sr$_{0.3}$TiO$_3$. Three phenomena can clearly be observed: a) the ionic conductivity increases with Li$^+$ concentration and is peaked at about 0.4, b) the higher the postannealing temperature, the higher the ionic conductivity will be, and c) the amorphous structured LLTO has higher ionic conductivity in comparison with their crystalline.[166,167,168] The LLTO thin-film electrolyte prepared by rf-sputtering at room temperature has a very low ionic conductivity of $0.71 \times 10^{-5}$ (not shown in Figure 15e). Annealing at different temperature led to increase in the conductivity. Whereas the amorphous-structured thin films prepared by PLD by Furusawa et al.[166] showed very high conductivity. However, after high-temperature crystallization at 1350 °C, the thin film electrolytes in a crystal form surprisingly had lower ionic conductivity compared with their amorphous counterparts. TEM study revealed that the nanocrystals were embedded in the amorphous structure even though XRD showed an amorphous state, which could be a reason why PLD deposited LLTO had higher ionic conductivity since it is possible to have tiny clusters to land together with atoms on the substrate. The interfaces between the amorphous and the nanocrystal domains may act as mobile carriers’ sites enabling the hoping of Li ions.

Like garnet- and NASICON-structured thin films, deposition of LLTO thin-film electrolyte at high temperature is essential in order to achieve a crystal structure. Although the temperature of deposition is composition and operation parameters (such as oxygen partial pressure, rf-sputtering power density etc) dependent, the minimum temperature for pristine LLTO must be about 700 °C.[169] Well-crystallized perovskite Li$_{0.33}$La$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ could only be obtained by further post-annealing at 1350 °C.[166] Deposition using PLD shows that the crystalline phase had yet been achieved at a deposition temperature of 700 °C.[168,170] The systematic investigations of Li$_{0.33}$La$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ films that were deposited at various temperatures have demonstrated that the high ionic conductivity comparable to bulk ceramic one can be achieved in an amorphous state. Wide deposition temperature ranges were
studied by Lee[171] and Ahn,[172] showing that with proper control of deposition, the ionic conductivity of the thin film electrolyte deposited at room temperature was about $10^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$ and increased with deposition temperature and was maximized at the deposition temperature between 300 and 400 °C as shown in Figure 15f[171] while data from Ahn et al. showed a continuous decrease, which is consistent with Lee’s finding. The highest ionic conductivity is from Furusawa’s work in which the LLTO thin film was prepared by PLD at room temperature followed by a postannealing at 300 °C. The higher conductivity is probably attributed to compositional change since the composition is off stoichiometry of Li$_{0.5}$La$_{0.5}$TiO$_4$, resulting in formation of Li vacancies and hence a high conductivity.[166] Two kinds of blocking electrode were used, one of which is Ag and another Au in this study. As a comparison, the ionic conductivity of bulk glass-ceramic containing about 60% crystalline is included in Figure 15f from Salami et al. The bulk glass-ceramic electrolyte was fabricated by melt-quench method using a rolling machine and its ionic conductivity is $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$, higher than $4.733 \times 10^{-6}$ S cm$^{-1}$ of the crystalline counterpart.[173]

4. Thin Film Electrodes for AFBs

As the Li ion reservoirs, namely cathode and anode, the thin film electrodes have been intensively explored. There are vast choices for the positive electrode while there are much less possible selections for the negative electrode although many of materials for anode thin electrode were studied. There are a number of challenges in order to really realize success of AFBs: a) how to successfully achieve the charge transfer between the electrolytes and the electrodes, and the migration of ions from one Li ion reservoir to another since there is no electron-conducting materials in the electrodes such as carbon and also no ion-conducting electrolyte, b) how to achieve low substrate temperature deposition but still possessing a good crystallinity, and c) how to minimize interfacial strains between the electrode and the electrolyte.

Table 1 tabulates different types of electrode materials that have been studied as the anodes and cathodes in the AFBs as well as in the half-cells. The anode studied can be categorized into three types: intercalation, conversion, and alloying, whereas typical cathode materials are all intercalation type.

4.1. Anode Thin Film Electrodes

Table 1 tabulates various electrode materials for anode, among which from potential point of view, the ideal anode would be Li metal since it has a potential -3.04 versus H$^+$ with a theoretical capacity 3861 mAh g$^{-1}$), leading to the highest achievable voltage. Since the melting temperature of Li is as low as 180.5 °C, one of the most suitable deposition methods is thermal evaporation.[180] The thickness can be in the range of sub-micrometer since the revior of Li ions is from the cathode. Deposition of Li metal is always a challenge due to poor wettability of Li with almost all ceramics.[181] To increase wettability, Wu et al. innovatively introduced carbon particles into molten Li, resulting in a large decrease in interfacial energy between the molten Li and coated counterpart. This facial method has been very successfully used in garnet-type solid electrolyte and Cu foil.[182]
### Table 1. Electrode materials for anode.

| No | Name                        | Composition of electrode<sup>a</sup> | Potential (V vs Li<sup>+</sup>/Li) | Specific capacity [μAh cm<sup>−2</sup>μm<sup>−1</sup>] | Deposition method/temperature [°C] | Refs. |
|----|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| 1  | Lithium metal               | Li                                   | 0                                 | 3686 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                          | Vacuum evaporation               | [174, 175] |
| 2  | Multiwall carbon nanotubes  | MWCNT (LE)                           | 0.7–0                             | 78 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                            | Chemical vapor deposition        | [176]  |
| 3  | Lithium nickel vanadate     | LiNiVO<sub>4</sub> (LE)              | 1.8–0                             | 400                                              | rf-sputtering/T<sub>r</sub>       | [177]  |
| 4  | Nickel vanadate             | Ni<sub>2</sub>V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (LE) | 0.8                              | 750 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | Electron beam/ postannealed 863 K | [178]  |
| 5  | Silicon                     | Si                                   | 0.3                               | 3500 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                          | rf-sputtering/T<sub>r</sub>       | [179]  |
| 6  | Silicon and germanium alloy | Si<sub>x</sub>Ce<sub>y</sub> (LE)     | 0.75–0                            | 1217–2121 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                     | Glancing angle deposition/T<sub>r</sub> | [183]  |
| 7  | Silicon and copper alloy    | Si–Cu (LE)                           | 0.3                               | 1100–1400 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                     | dc-sputtering and rf-sputtering/T<sub>r</sub>, postannealed 200 | [184, 185, 186] |
| 8  | Germanium–tin–iron alloy    | Ge–TiN (LE)                          | 1.5–0                             | 1180–1550 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                      | Dual sputtering/T<sub>r</sub>     | [187]  |
| 9  | Sn–Cu alloy                 | Sn–Cu (LE)                           | 0.4                               | 940 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | Electrodeposition/T<sub>r</sub>, postannealed 200 | [188]  |
| 10 | Sn–Co–Ni alloy              | Sn–Co–Ni (LE)                        | 0.4                               | 717 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | Electroplating/T<sub>r</sub>     | [189]  |
| 11 | Cu–Sb alloy                 | Cu<sub>0.5</sub>Sb (LE)              | 0.8                               | 707 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | Electrodeposition/T<sub>r</sub> | [190]  |
| 12 | FeF<sub>2</sub> (LE)        |                                      | 1.5                               | 49 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                            | PLD/T<sub>r</sub>                | [191]  |
| 13 | Tin oxide                   | SnO<sub>2</sub> (LE)                 | 0.5–0                             | 600 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | Photochemical vapor deposition/ 473–573 K | [192]  |
| 14 | SnO<sub>2</sub>–Se (LE)     |                                      | 1.5–0                             | 958 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | PLD/T<sub>r</sub>                | [193]  |
| 15 | Bismuth iron oxide          | BiFeO<sub>4</sub> (LE)               | 1.5–0                             | 770 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | PLD/600                          | [194]  |
| 16 | Iron oxide                  | Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (LE)     | 0.9                               | 905 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | PLD/T<sub>r</sub>                | [195]  |
| 17 | Iron phosphides             | Fe<sub>3</sub>P<sub>4</sub> (LE)     | 2.0                               | 275 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | Electrodeposition/T<sub>r</sub> | [196]  |
| 18 | Gallium selenide            | Ga<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> (LE)    | 1.25                              | 700 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | Coevaporation/400               | [197]  |
| 19 | Tantalum oxide              | Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (LE)     | 0.8                               | 100 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | PLD/T<sub>r</sub>                | [198]  |
| 20 | Lithium titanate            | Li<sub>4</sub>Ti<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> (LE) | 1.55                       | 60                                               | Sol-gel, annealed 600–800        | [199]  |
| 21 | Silicon dioxide             | TiO<sub>2</sub>                      | 1.8                               | 148 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | Polyvinylpyrrolidone sol-gel/annealed 600 | [200]  |
| 22 | Titanium oxysulfide          | TiOS<sub>2</sub>                     | 2.25                              | 25                                               | Sol-gel, annealed 600            | [201]  |
| 23 | Titanium oxysulfide          | TiSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>        | 2.5                               | 152 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | PLD/T<sub>r</sub>, postannealed 700 | [202]  |
| 24 | Titanium oxysulfide          | TiSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>        | 2.5                               | 152 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>                           | PLD/T<sub>r</sub>, postannealed 700 | [203]  |
| 25 | Silver iodide               | AgI                                 | 2.1                               | 60                                               | Polyvinylpyrrolidone sol-gel/annealed 600 | [204]  |

<sup>a</sup> ATFBs were tested based on full cells unless otherwise stated as “LE” indicating half-cell using the liquid electrolyte.

The typical examples of the alloying type of anode are Si–Ge and Si–Cu alloys<sup>[183–186]</sup> and the typical intercalation types of anodes are TiO<sub>2</sub> and Li<sub>4</sub>Ti<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>.<sup>[199–205]</sup> Both alloy and conversion types of anode possess the highest capacity but with the largest volumetric change during galvanotactic cycles. Among all alloying type of electrode materials, Si demonstrates the highest specific capacity through the alloying process

\[
\text{Si} + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- = \text{Li}_x\text{Si}
\] (16)

A maximum specific capacity of about 4200 mAh g<sup>−1</sup> can be achieved corresponding to the formation of Li<sub>4.4</sub>Si that leads to an extraordinary volume expansion of about 420%.<sup>[264]</sup> This large volume change will obviously cause pulverization of the Si due to inhomogeneity of intercalation of Li. To minimize compositional difference during charge and discharge, nanothin film electrode was proposed. The cyclabilities of the two Si thin films with a thickness of 250 nm and 1000 nm respectively are compared in Figure 16a-1.<sup>[179]</sup>
Figure 16. a) Electrochemical performance and morphologies of Si thin film: a-1) galvanostatic cyclability of the Si thin films with thickness 250 nm and 1 μm, a-2) morphology as deposited, and a-3) the morphology after 30 galvanostatic cycles. Reproduced with permission.[179] Copyright 2003, IOP Publishing. b) Electrochemical performance of Si_{1-x}Ge_x: b-1) specific capacity and b-2) capacity retention at different Ge concentrations. Reproduced with permission.[183] Copyright 2013, American Chemical Society. c) Cyclability of SnO_2 thin film deposited by photochemical vapor deposition at a current density of 50 μA cm^{-2}. Reproduced with permission.[192] Copyright 2001, Elsevier.

Although the Si thin film of 250 nm shows a good electrochemical cyclability, large cracks were observed just after 30 cycles. The as-deposited Si film shows a dense morphology (Figure 16a-2), while a large number of cracks can be seen from the thin film just after 30 charge/discharge cycles, indicating large internal stress (Figure 16a-3).

Ge is also an alloying type of anode material with a specific capacity of 1600 mAh g^{-1} but less volume change during the galvanostatic cycle. Therefore, alloy between Si and Ge may prevent the formation of microcracks. Figure 16b shows the specific capacity at different rates and the rate capability of Si_{1-x}Ge_x thin film electrode at different amount of Ge prepared by co-sputtering using a Si and a Ge targets. Pure Si when x = 0 shows the highest specific capacity, but Si–Ge alloys show much better rate capability (Figure 16b-1). Most importantly the pure Si film suffers from a poor capacity retention due mainly to pulverization (Figure 16b-2).[183]

SnO_2 thin film is an example of conversion type of materials. The conversion is through two steps. In the first step, the SnO_2 is reduced to Sn by

\[ \text{SnO}_2 + 4\text{Li}^+ + 4\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Sn} + 2\text{Li}_2\text{O}, \]  

(17)

Sn will be further alloyed with Li through

\[ \text{Sn} + 4.4\text{Li}^+ + 4.4\text{e}^- = \text{Li}_4\text{Sn}, \]  

(18)

with a theoretical capacity of 994 mAh g^{-1} and a volume change of 260% if the reduction is not considered.[264]

Figure 16c shows the cyclability of SnO_2 thin films that were prepared by photochemical vapor deposition at three different temperatures, namely 473, 523, and 573 K. Except for the film that was deposited at 573 K showing a poor crystallinity, the rest two all showed the amorphous structures. The specific capacity of the first cycle of the SnO_2 thin film which was tested using an organic electrolyte is about 1450 mAh g^{-1} due to both reduction and alloying processes. Since the reduction is an irreversible, the specific capacity dropped to about 560 mAh g^{-1} starting from the second charge. All three thin-film anodes display very stable electrochemical performance. Although the difference is small, it is noted that the specific capacities of amorphous structured SnO_2 films were slightly higher than that deposited at 573 K.[192]

The advantage of the conversion type of materials over the alloying type of materials is the fact that the formation of irreversible nano Li_2O grains during the first discharge cycle homogeneously distributes in the anode material, and it will act as buffers that are able to partly accommodate volume change in the further charge and discharge. Although both alloying and conversion types of anode possess the advantage of the high energy storage capacity, large volume, and morphological changes during charge and discharge may cause ATFBs to fade quickly. Therefore, intercalation type of anode thin films is the
alternative choice although the specific capacity of the intercalation type of anode materials is much lower.

The spinel-structured lithium titanate, Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_12$, is a typical intercalation material with a potential 1.55 V versus Li$^+$/Li. Three Li ions can be inserted into Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_12$, 

$$\text{Li}_4\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12} + 3\text{Li}^+ + 3\text{e}^- = \text{Li}_7\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

having a capacity 175 mAh g$^{-1}$ (60.8 μAh cm$^{-2}$ μm$^{-1}$).\cite{201,202,203} Since it is an intercalation material, a good crystallinity is essential to ensure the successful insertion of Li ions. To obtain high crystalline, either the Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_12$ thin film electrode should be grown at a high deposition temperature or the deposited thin film electrode should be postannealed after thin film growth. Poor crystallinity will result in low specific capacity due to limited Li vacancies. Figure 17 reveals the relationship between the discharge profiles and specific capacity at three deposition temperatures. The specific capacity caused by insertion of Li ions (judged from the discharge plateaus) is as low as about 10 μAh cm$^{-2}$ μm$^{-1}$ when the film was deposited at 600 °C and reaches to 53 μAh cm$^{-2}$ μm$^{-1}$ when the film was deposited at 700 °C, which clearly indicates strong correlation between crystallinity and specific capacity.

The crystallinity of the films is not only affected by deposition temperature but also strongly affected by the oxygen partial pressure of deposition. Figure 18 summarizes changes of crystallinity with the change of deposition temperature (Figure 18a) and with the deposition oxygen partial pressure (Figure 18b). Figure 18a reveals that the crystallinity of the LTO thin film increases with increase in the deposition temperature at a fixed oxygen partial pressure of 3 × 10$^{-4}$ mbar, and the highest crystallinity is achieved when the deposition temperature is as high as at 600 °C. However, even at the same deposition temperature of 600 °C, its crystallinity was largely reduced when oxygen partial pressure was decreased.

Titania, TiO$_2$ that has many phases such as anatase, rutile etc. is another intercalation type of anode with a flat discharge potential plateau. Anatase phase demonstrates the best Li$^+$ storage capability with a flat potential plateau of 1.7 V versus Li$^+$/Li owing to biphasic reaction. Since the anatase TiO$_2$ contains one vacancy in its octahedral site per formula, if one Li$^+$ can intercalate into the vacancy site through

$$\text{TiO}_2 + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- = \text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

gives rise to a specific capacity of 336 mA h g$^{-1}$\cite{265}. However, due to n-butyllithium chemical lithiation, a maximum stoichiometric capacity with intercalation of 0.7 Li per formula, Li$_{0.7}$TiO$_2$ may only be achieved.\cite{266}

Rutile TiO$_2$ is another consideration but rutile TiO$_2$ has much lower electronic conductivity, so the insertion of Li ions is much less leading to a low specific capacity. To increase Li ion storage, nanostructured rutile has been developed.\cite{267} Similar to Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_12$, another characteristics of anatase TiO$_2$ is the fact of its low volume change of only about 4% during electrochemical cycles, which will be able to minimize the interfacial strain of the thin film batteries.

4.2. Cathode Thin Film Electrodes

There are many candidates for the selection of the cathodes, which mainly include layer-, spine-, and olivine-structured materials as listed in Table 2. Different cathode materials possess
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Figure 17. Specific capacity of Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_12$ thin film electrode that was deposited using magnetronsputtering at three different substrate temperatures. Reproduced with permission.\cite{201} Copyright 2005, IOP Publishing.

![Figure 18](image2.png)

Figure 18. a) Influence of deposition temperature at a constant 3 × 10$^{-4}$ mbar, and b) effect of oxygen partial pressure at a constant temperature of 600 °C on crystallinity of lithium titanate. Reproduced with permission.\cite{203} Copyright 2012, Elsevier.
Table 2. Electrode materials for cathode.

| No | Name                          | Composition of electrode<sup>a</sup> | Potential (V vs Li<sup>+</sup>/Li) | Specific capacity [μAh cm<sup>-2</sup>μm<sup>-1</sup>] | Deposition method/temperature [°C] | Refs. |
|----|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| 1  | Titanium oxysulfide           | TiOS                                 | 2.25                              | -                                                       | dc-reactive sputtering/T<sub>r</sub> | [206] |
| 2  | Titanium oxysulfide           | TiSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>         | 2.5                               | -                                                       | rf-sputtering/T<sub>r</sub>       | [174] |
| 3  | Titanium sulfide              | TiS<sub>2</sub>                      | 2.5                               | -                                                       | rf-sputtering/T<sub>r</sub>       | [175] |
| 4  | Silver iodide                 | AgI                                  | 2.1                               | -                                                       |                                 | [1]   |
| 5  | Lithium cobalt oxide          | LiCoO<sub>2</sub>                    | 3.5–3.9                           | -                                                       | PLD/T<sub>r</sub>, postannealed 400–850 | [208] |
| 6  | LiCoO<sub>2</sub>             | 3.5                                  | 50                                | -                                                       | PLD/T<sub>r</sub>, postannealed 800 | [208] |
| 7  | LiCoO<sub>2</sub>             | 3.8                                  | -                                 | -                                                       | PLD / 300–700                    | [209] |
| 8  | LiCoO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> | LiCoO<sub>2</sub> (LE)                | 200 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>          | -                                                      | PLD/100–300                     | [210] |
| 9  | LiCoO<sub>2</sub>             | LiCoO<sub>2</sub> (LE)                | 89                                | -                                                      | PLD/700PLD/600                   | [211] |
| 10 | LiCoO<sub>2</sub>             | LiCoO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (LE) | 89/1 mAh g<sup>−1</sup>       | -                                                      |                                 |       |
| 11 | LiCoO<sub>2</sub> (LE)        | LiCoO<sub>2</sub> (LE)                | 3.7                               | -                                                      | PLD/500–900, postannealed 1000   | [212] |
| 12 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | PLD / 750–800                    | [213] |
| 13 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [214] |
| 14 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [215] |
| 15 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [216] |
| 16 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [217] |
| 17 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [218] |
| 18 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [219] |
| 19 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [220] |
| 20 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [221] |
| 21 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [222] |
| 22 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [223] |
| 23 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [224] |
| 24 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [225] |
| 25 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [226] |
| 26 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [227] |
| 27 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [228] |
| 28 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [229] |
| 29 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [230] |
| 30 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [231] |
| 31 | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> | Li(Ni<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Co<sub>z</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> (LE) | 4.2–3.9                           | -                                                      | Pla-sputtering / postannealed 700 | [232] |

(Continued)
Table 2. (Continued).

| No | Name                              | Composition of electrode<sup>a</sup> | Potential (V vs Li<sup>+</sup>/Li) | Specific capacity [μAh cm<sup>−2</sup> μm<sup>−1</sup>] | Deposition method/temperature [°C] | Refs. |
|----|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| 34 | Sol-gel combined with oxygen-plasma irradiation/723, 973 K | 1.2 Ah cm<sup>−1</sup> | 68 | | [233] |
| 35 | Chemical solution deposition/postannealed 500–750 | | | | [234] |
| 36 | LiSn<sub>0.0125</sub>Mn<sub>1.975</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (LE) | 4.0, 3.0 | 125 mAh g<sup>−1</sup> | | | [235] |
| 37 | LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/SrRuO<sub>3</sub> (LE) | 4.2 | 68 | | | [236] |
| 38 | Lithium nickel manganese oxide | | | | | [237] |
| 39 | LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (LE) | 4.1 | 155 mAh g<sup>−1</sup> | | | [238] |
| 40 | | 35 | 4.7 | 65 | Sol-gel/post annealed 500–700 | | [239] |
| 41 | | 38 | 4.8 | 120–155 mAh g<sup>−1</sup> | | | | [240–246] |
| 42 | | 39 | 4.2 | 50 | rf-sputtering/T<sub>r</sub>, postannealed 700 or 750 | | | | [247] |
| 43 | | 40 | 4.7 | 104 mAh g<sup>−1</sup> | | | | [248] |
| 44 | | 41 | 4.7 | 50 | rf-sputtering/T<sub>r</sub>, postannealed 700 or 750 | | | | [249] |
| 45 | | 42 | 4.8 | PLD/500, 650 | | | | [250] |
| 46 | | 43 | 4.8 | PLD/500, 700 | | | | [251] |
| 47 | | 44 | 4.8 | PLD/T<sub>r</sub>, postannealed 773 K | | | | [252] |
| 48 | | 45 | 4.7 | 60 | rf-sputtering/T<sub>r</sub>, postannealed 300–700 | | | | [253] |
| 49 | | 46 | 4.8 | PLD/600 | | | | [254] |
| 50 | | 47 | 4.7 | PLD/500 | | | | [255] |
| 51 | | 48 | 4.8 | rf-sputtering/T<sub>r</sub>, 500 | | | | [256] |
| 52 | | 49 | 4.8 | PLD/500 | | | | [257] |
| 53 | | 50 | 4.8 | rf-sputtering/T<sub>r</sub>, up to 500 | | | | [258] |
| 54 | | 51 | 4.8 | PLD/T<sub>r</sub>, 700 | | | | [259] |
| 55 | | 52 | 3.7 | PLD/T<sub>r</sub>, 100 | | | | [260] |
| 56 | | 53 | 3.7 | MO<sub>3</sub> | | | | [261] |
| 57 | | 54 | 3.7 | MnO | | | | [262] |
| 58 | | 55 | 3.7 | Mo<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> | | | | [263] |
| 59 | | 56 | 3.7 | LiFePO<sub>4</sub> (LE) | | | | [264] |

<sup>a</sup>ATFBs were tested based on full cells unless otherwise stated as “LE” indicating half-cell using the liquid electrolyte.

**4.2.1. Layer-Structured of Thin Film Electrode**

LiCoO<sub>2</sub> that has layered rhombohedral structure with a space group R3<sup>m</sup> at high temperature (Figure 19a-1) and slightly distorted cubic structure with a space group Fd3<sup>m</sup> at lower temperature (Figure 19a-2) is one of the intensively studied thin film electrodes. The lattice structure of LiCoO<sub>2</sub> consists of CoO<sub>2</sub> and Li layers, which are stacked along its c-axis giving the lattice parameters a and c to be 2.8138 Å and 14.0516Å, respectively. Due to the stacking along the c-axis, the Li ions in the layered LiCoO<sub>2</sub> only exhibit 2D migration pathways along a- and b-axis. Since high crystallinity is one of the prerequisites for successful intercalation and deintercalation, to ensure a good crystallinity, deposition at high substrate temperature usually higher than 500 °C is essential.

Figure 19b reveals the XRD of the LiCoO<sub>2</sub> thin film electrode deposited at a substrate temperature of 600 °C showing a sharp (003) diffraction, indicating a good crystallinity. In addition to deposition temperature and/or postannealing temperature, the electrochemical performance of the LiCoO<sub>2</sub> electrode is also very
sensitive to deposition working pressure. At a low deposition working pressure, for example, the sputtered electrode possesses mixed rhombohedral and cubic structures accompanied with a fairly high amount of impurity of Co$_3$O$_4$, while at high working pressure, the low-temperature cubic phase is largely reduced together with the reduction of impurity of Co$_3$O$_4$, monitored by Raman spectra. Figure 19c summarizes the effect of the working pressure at a fixed deposition temperature where the working pressure varied from 0.55 up to 3 Pa. The specific capacity of the electrode deposited at 0.55 Pa only shows about 10 mAh cm$^{-2}$ μm$^{-1}$. With an increase in working pressure, the specific capacity increased and reached to the maximum at 3 Pa. Preferred orientation of the thin film electrode is another concern for ATFBs. Figure 19d schematically illustrates three typical orientations, which were very often observed in the LiCoO$_2$ thin films. Among many different orientations, it is easy to obtain (003) orientation. However, since Li ion migration is along the Li layers, (003) orientation will obviously largely block Li migration pathway. The Li ions can only diffuse through grain boundaries, whereas (101) and (104) orientations expose the Li layers to the electrolyte, hence enhancing Li kinetics. Several parameters may control and affect the orientation of the cathode, including the orientation of the current collector below the cathode film, oxygen partial pressure, and substrate temperature. Figure 19e-1,2 reveals high resolution TEM of LiCoO$_2$ electrodes showing [110] and [112] orientations. The former was deposited onto [1100] substrate and later onto [1120] one. To control the film orientation, better understanding of the surface energy and the volume strain energy of the deposited layer is important in order to balance the two energies, namely the surface energy and strain energy of the deposited layer. The surface energy of (003) orientation is the lowest one of about 0.4–1 J m$^{-2}$, whereas the surface energy slightly increases to 1.05 J m$^{-2}$ for (014) oriented film and largely raises to 2.24 J m$^{-2}$ for the film with (110) orientation. Therefore, (003) orientation can be obtained naturally. On the other hand, the volume strain energies of the films with orientation (003), (014), and (110) are 126.2, 78.4, and 76.1 J m$^{-3}$, respectively, due mainly to lattice misfit.

Since the films that are grown at room temperature behave poor crystallinity, postannealing of the films at high temperature is necessary. During the annealing process, atoms of the thin film are rearranged to their lowest energy state through diffusion. Three parameters, namely annealing temperature, duration of annealing and annealing atmosphere, control the crystallinity as well as the orientation of the films. The temperature and duration of annealing mainly govern crystallinity whereas annealing atmosphere not only affects the crystallinity but also strongly influences orientation of the annealed thin films. To achieve a reasonable good crystallinity, the postannealing temperature should be about 600–800 °C which is much higher than substrate temperature at deposition. The reason is that mobility of atoms during deposition is higher since diffusion of atoms is mostly through surface migration leading to lower activation energy. However, crystallization from an amorphous state after low
temperature deposition to a crystalline state is through lattice diffusion, which has much high activation energy. As such, higher temperature is required to overcome the high bulk activation energy. Environmental atmosphere during annealing is another important parameter, which also controls orientation of the annealing film since it influences surface energy. Figure 20a-1 reveals changes of out-of-plan orientation after annealing in vacuum, air, and oxygen environments. A strong (003) orientation appears in the film annealed in air, but it disappears when the film was annealed in oxygen and vacuum condition and forms a columnar structure (Figure 20a-2). Balance/competition between strain energy and surface energy is reflected by the change of film thickness. For a thin electrode, the strain energy is a dominant factor over the surface energy controlling crystallization orientation. It becomes less dominant when thickness of the film is thick. Figure 20b shows a (104) orientation when the film is as thin as 4 μm. With increase in the thickness of the film to 6 μm, the intensity of (104) orientation is lowered accompanied with formation of (003) diffraction, implying that surface energy becomes dominant. For a 10 μm thick film, (104) orientation almost completely disappears instead of formation of strong (003) diffraction.

A ternary compound such as LiNi\(_{x}\)Mn\(_{y}\)Co\(_{z}\)O\(_2\), typically with the composition of LiNi\(_{1/3}\)Mn\(_{1/3}\)Co\(_{1/3}\)O\(_2\), is another type of layer-structured cathodes, which has the same structure as LiCoO\(_2\) (α-NaFeO\(_2\)) but behaves better safety and cyclability due to its electronic structure and little cations mixing.[218] The film growth temperature or postannealing temperature is about the same as that for LiCoO\(_2\). The lowest annealing temperature is only 450 °C.[219]

4.2.2. Spinel-Structured of Thin Film Electrode

The chemical composition of a spinel-structured cathode is LiM\(_2\)O\(_4\) where M is the transition metal such as V, Ti, Mn, Zn, Ni, Zn. When M = Mn, a classical LiMn\(_2\)O\(_4\) spinel forms with a basic building block of an octahedron of oxygen atoms with an atom of manganese at its center.[277] The octahedral MnO\(_6\) building blocks are linked by edge-sharing forming 3D Li\(^+\) migration tunnel structure as shown in Figure 21a.[278] LiMn\(_2\)O\(_4\) spinel is able to provide one electron from the lowest \(t_{2g}\) level with three electrons in the lowest \(e_g\) level.[279] The intercalation/deintercalation is through migration of Li\(^+\) into/from the spinel structure yielding an open circuit voltage of 4.1 V versus Li\(^+\)/Li without change of Mn\(_2\)O\(_4\) framework.
There are two-step deintercalation and intercalation of Li⁺:

\[
\text{LiMn}_2\text{O}_4 \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_4 + 0.5\text{Li}^+ + 0.5\text{e}^- \quad (21)
\]

and

\[
\text{Li}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_4 \leftrightarrow \lambda\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_4 + 0.5\text{Li}^+ + 0.5\text{e}^- \quad (22)
\]

To avoid Jahn-Teller distortion at deep discharge when the valance state of Mn is low and improve cyclability, Mn is usually partly substituted by transition metals forming LiM\text{Mn}_x\text{O}_4 where M = Ni, Fe, Co, Cr, Ti, Zn etc. Doping Ni forms LiNi\text{M}_x\text{Ni}_8\text{O}_4 that has a new potential plateau at 4.8 V\text{vs. Li/Li}^+.

Substitution of x mol Mn with Ni forms LiNi\text{M}_x\text{O}_4 that features a number of advantages over LiM\text{M}_x\text{O}_4, such as minimized Jahn-Teller distortion and high redox potential. Since the lowest Ni \text{e}_l level can hold two electrons, 2x electrons can be provided by Ni \text{e}_l level. If 2x is less than one electron per formula, Mn \text{e}_l electron will provide remained ones. Therefore, there are in general three voltage plateaus from Ni\text{e}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{3+} + 2\text{e}^− and \text{Ni}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Ni}^{3+} + \text{e}^−. The former two redox reactions from Ni are at about 4.7 V while the latter at about 4.1 V. Since LiNi\text{M}_x\text{M}_x\text{O}_4 has a voltage potential of 4.7 versus Li/Li while the oxidation limit of the current liquid organic electrolytes is only about 4.5 V, LiNi\text{M}_x\text{M}_x\text{O}_4 has never been used in the bulk batteries. Since most inorganic electrolytes are stable up to at least 6 V, simply replacement of the relatively low voltage potential LiCoO\text{}_2 by the high voltage potential LiNi\text{M}_x\text{M}_x\text{O}_4, for example, the energy density can be increased by 20%. It is particularly important for ASTBs since the thickness of the electrode used in ASTBs is thin, limiting the capacity of the batteries.

Substrate and postannealing temperature that is suitable for deposition of Ni substitute LiM\text{M}_x\text{O}_4 is between 600 and 700 °C although crystalline structure appears at the temperature as low as 400 °C. At 600 °C, the PLD deposited thin film has a good crystallinity. The crystallinity also changes with oxygen partial pressure at the deposition. The insert in Figure 23a-2 reveals sharpening of (311) and (222) diffractions with increase in the oxygen partial pressure. The morphologies of the electrodes clearly show the changes from smooth-edged grains at low oxygen partial pressure of 50 mTorr to sharp-edged grains at high oxygen partial pressure (Figure 23a-3). It is noteworthy that the oxygen partial pressure not only affects crystallinity but also causes change in composition (Figure 23d) at a low oxygen partial pressure, oxygen-deficient structure, LiNi\text{M}_x\text{M}_x\text{O}_4, forms resulting in expansion of the unit cell. The specific capacity increases with deposition substrate temperature as well as oxygen partial pressure reaching an optimized oxygen partial pressure 200 mTorr (Figure 23e). The electrode with space group P4_3_2_1 through crystallization at 600 °C also demonstrates the highest capacity, and on the other hand if annealing temperature was too high, only Mn redox reaction could be observed. Furthermore, it has also been noted that negative bias employed in deposition would control the size of grains. At no bias, the deposited film shows big grain and the grain size reduces with bias. Due to reduction in grain size, contact area between the electrode and the electrolyte increases as well leading to better ion migration. As a consequence, the specific capacity is enhanced (Figure 23f). However, too high bias causes severe ion bombardment damaging crystallinity.
4.2.3. Olivine-Structured of Thin Film Electrode

The compounds LiMPO₄ where M refers the transition metals including Fe, Mn, and Co have an olivine structure with space group *Pnma*. Its application in energy storage started from 1997. In the olivine structure, the MO₆ octahedra are corner-shared, and PO₄ tetrahedron is edge shared with the MO₆ octahedron. The cations, M, reside in the M2 sites whereas the cations, Li⁺, occupy M1 sites forming edge-sharing chains of octahedra in the [100] direction as shown in Figure 24.[289]

The discharge voltages for M = Fe, Mn, Co, Ni are 3.4, 4, 4.8, and 5V, respectively. LiFePO₄ is the most well-studied cathode. Its deintercalation will lead to a theoretical specific capacity of 170 mAh g⁻¹. However, its electronic conductivity is as low as 10⁻¹⁰ to 10⁻⁹ S cm⁻¹ due to its polyanion nature.[289,290]

During deintercalation, Li⁺ is extracted from the olivine LiFePO₄ by formation of MPO₄, and via versa as showing in Equation (22)

\[
\text{LiFePO}_4 \leftrightarrow \{1-x\} \text{LiFePO}_4 + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- + \text{FePO}_4
\]  

Deposition temperature for LiFePO₄ ranges from as low as 400 °C to 600 °C whereas the postannealing temperature is carried out between 500 and 750 °C, typically at about 500 °C in a protective or reducing gas environment such as Ar, N₂, Ar+H₂, N₂+H₂.[255,291,292] Figure 25a-1 shows the XRD spectra of the LiFePO₄ thin film electrodes that were rf-sputtered from the room temperature to 500 ºC.[256] The olivine structure is clearly observed when the deposition was conducted at the substrate temperature of 400 ºC. Their corresponding morphologies are given in Figure 25a-2.[256] The grain size increases with increase in deposition temperature. There are a lot of small particles of about a few nanometers which is most likely Li₃Fe₂(PO₄)₃ impurity when the film was deposited at 500 ºC. Significant difference in the specific capacity is depicted in Figure 25a-3.[256] The film deposited at room temperature shows almost no capacity due to its amorphous structure and the specific discharge capacity increases with deposition temperature and peaks at 400 ºC (line 3 in Figure 25a-3). The specific capacity reduced to 45 μAh cm⁻² μm⁻¹ when the film was deposited at 500 ºC. The reduction of the discharge capacity can be attributed to formation of the impurity phase of Li₃Fe₂(PO₄)₃. Compared with oxide cathodes such as layer-structured and spinel-structured thin films, the deposition temperature for olivine-structured LiFePO₄ seems lower. The LiFePO₄ film electrode through postannealing shows the same trend. As shown in Figure 25b-1, the highest specific capacity and best cyclability could be achieved for the film which was postannealed at 650 ºC. Further increase in the postannealing temperature led to reduction in specific capacity.[291] Figure 25b-2 shows Nyquist plots of the thin film electrodes after 50 charge/discharge cycles. Very high impedance of 750 Ω cm⁻² was noted for the film that was annealed at 550 ºC due to poor crystallinity and the impedance was sharply reduced to about 65 Ω cm⁻² for the film that was annealed at 650 ºC but was increased if annealing temperature was too high. The film annealed at 760 ºC was increased to almost 300 Ω cm⁻² suggesting structural and compositional change leading to reduced Li⁺ migration.

Figure 22. a) Morphology and electrochemical performance of LiMn₂O₄: a-1) Morphologies of thin film electrodes growth at different conditions, a-2) galvanostatic charge/discharge of the electrodes deposited in the different conditions and a-3) cyclic performance of different electrodes grown by PLD. Reproduced with permission.[282] Copyright 2006, Elsevier. b) Cyclic voltammetry showing two redox reactions. Reproduced with permission.[283] Copyright 2006, Elsevier. c) Discharge capacity as a function of substrate temperature, and d) cyclic performance of as a function of deposition temperature and partial pressure. c,d) Reproduced with permission.[240] Copyright 2007, Elsevier.

Figure 24.
Like LiFePO₄, LiMnPO₄ is also polyanion olivine-structured cathode that possesses higher voltage plateau of 4 V versus Li⁺/Li. During deintercalation, LiMnPO₄ transforms to MnPO₄, and the two phases have large lattice mismatch. As such, Li⁺ migration needs to overcome a high energy barrier for Li⁺ to cross the phase boundary. In addition, strong localization of electrons and holes around the centers of Mn also causes polaron hopping between adjacent metal centers to be extremely difficult. Therefore bare LiMnPO₄ has very low electronic conductivity. Due to poor electronic conductivity, the LiMnPO₄ film shows very low specific capacity (Figure 25c).

Different from LiFePO₄ and LiMePO₄, deintercalation of Li⁺ from LiCoPO₄ takes place through two stages, with an intermediate phase of Li₁₀/₇CoPO₄ together with fully lithiated LiCoPO₄. There are limited articles on LiCoPO₄ thin film electrode since most of the thin film electrode studies are still based on a liquid organic electrolyte that only possesses a narrow stable potential window up to 4.5 V. Although the LiCoPO₄ thin film electrode showed a quick fade due to electrolyte oxidation, it still demonstrated a high capacity of about 120 mAh g⁻¹ and little polarization. Figure 26a reveals the charge/discharge capacity of LiCoPO₄ that was sputtered and postannealed at 400 °C in a: LiPF₆ and b: LiBF₄ electrolytes, and c: Al₂O₃-modified LiCoPO₄ in LiPF₆ electrolyte. Comparing the discharge capacity a and b in Figure 26a, the Al₂O₃-modified LiCoPO₄ demonstrated better stability in LiPF₆ electrolyte. Annealing in protective environment for polyanion electrode is necessary. As an example, the effect of excess of Li in LiCoPO₄ is given Figure 26b in which the excess Li ranges from 0.2 to 1.0 per formula. For the stoichiometric composition, the discharge capacity (line A) is the lowest one. After introducing 0.4 excess Li salt, the highest capacity of about 118 mAh g⁻² with the lowest impedance could be obtained.
5. Technologies for Thin-Film μ-Batteries

There are many available deposition technologies for thin film growth, including sol-gel method, inkjet printing, rf-sputtering, plasma spray PVD (PS-PVD), PLD, chemical vapor deposition (CVD), aerosol deposition, ion-beam assisted deposition, and e-beam evaporation. The review article by Lobe et al. well documented various deposition technologies. Among many different types of deposition technology, rf-sputtering is the most...
mature one that has been widely used from the laboratory scale to industrial scale. The rf-sputtering is able to deposit well-controllable composition and thickness through adjusting sputtering power, working pressure, duration of sputtering, and even bias. The sputtering machine with single target and multiple targets cosputtering is available. Another advantage of the use of rf-sputtering is in situ nitriding such as the growth of LiPON.\cite{317–320}

PLD is a versatile technique for laboratory application of thin-film growth. PLD uses a pulsed laser beam to irradiate a target in Figure 27a. The laser irradiation causes formation of a plum from the target. Usually the plum contains atoms, ions, and even droplets depending on the composition and quality of the targets, and wavelength and energy density of the laser etc. Use of two targets was also proposed where the two targets have different compositions and the two plums generated from the two targets eject species with different compositions to the substrate (Figure 27b). Since the substrates are stationary, on one edge of the substrate the composition will be very different from another edge. By using this method, continuous compositional changes can be achieved just from one deposition. Similar to the rf-sputtering, to obtain a crystalline, the substrate must be heated to a high temperature. Compared to rf-sputtering, uniformity of chemical composition of PLD-ablated thin film is poor due to presence of atoms and droplets during ablation. The article of pulsed laser deposition as a tool for the development of all solid-state microbatteries well summarized various contributions towards qualities of thin-film batteries.\cite{312}

One of the technologies named as aerosol deposition (AD) and also called powder aerosol deposition (PAD) is worth mentioning. Figure 28a schematically illustrates the deposition system.\cite{321,322} The whole system consists of a deposition chamber, an aerosol chamber (container), a nozzle, and a number of other attachments. Submicron-sized powder particles in the
aerosol form are subjected to a high pressure, flowing out of the nozzle with an ultrasonic speed impacting onto the substrate (solid electrolytes etc). The powder with high kinetics results in heavy deformation, crushing, and cold-welding. As a consequence, a film forms. Figure 28b compares different deposition technologies. The AD with much thick films and much fast deposition rate can be achieved at room temperature.[323] Figure 28c-1 reveals one of the examples of fabrication of ASTBs where an LAGP solid electrolyte was deposited onto a substrate. The aerosol deposited (ADed) film has a low impedance (Figure 28c-2).[324] It should be noted that crystallinity will somewhat be reduced after AD because of heavy deformation and crushing of the powder particles.

One of the obvious advantages of the AD is its capability to deposition of crystalline films at room temperature. Since the inter-diffusion between different components can be avoided when it is operated at the room temperature, the powder with multicompositions can be codeposited. For example, the electrode powder can be premixed with a certain amount of solid electrolyte and electron conductive material and then they are codeposited creating electrode layer with both ions and electrons pathways.

6. Challenges and Prospects

Although the ASTBs find their practice applications in microelectronics and miniature devices, they are still facing a number of challenges.

6.1. Enhancement of Capacity and Energy

The ASTBs in the planer format have been successfully investigated. Usually, the thickness of electrodes is as thin as a few micrometers or less, because poor electronic and ionic conductivities limit the thickness of the electrode thin film. Figure 29a shows the example of an ASTB in a planar format, and Figure 29b is the cross-sectional view of another example where the thickness of the cathode electrode is less than 2 μm.[325,326] Because of constraining in the thickness of the cathode electrodes, the capacity of the planar ASTBs is dependent on the deposition area of the ASTBs since it is not possible to adopt thick electrode. Therefore, increase in the deposition area becomes the key to increase capacity, leading to some excellent designs.[20]

Since surface area of a substrate is limited, 3D structure becomes the most important design concept because it can increase the deposition area within the limited constrain surface.[20,327,328] Figure 30a schematically illustrates the concept of a 3D μ-battery which consists of micropillar arrays.[329] The insert in Figure 30a shows an SEM image of the micropillar arrays. The enlarged micropillar arrays with 2 μm in diameter and 50 μm in height are depicted in Figure 30b. The interspacing of 2 μm between different pillars is for deposition of the electrode materials and the electrolyte.[330,331] Cross-sectional TEM image depicted in Figure 30c-1 reveals proof-concept of the 3D μ-battery pillar with its illustration in Figure 30c-2.[332] The pillar acts as a support of “one” μ-battery cell that was deposited with the current collector, Pt, as the first layer and the current collector is connected with...
Figure 29. Planar thin film: a) image of 2D thin-film battery. Reproduced with permission.\cite{325} Copyright 2016, Elsevier. b) Cross sectional image. Reproduced with permission.\cite{326} Copyright 2016, Elsevier.

Figure 30. 3D ASTBs: a) concept of 3D \( \mu \)-battery. Reproduced with permission.\cite{329} Copyright 2011, Elsevier. b) Enlarged 3D pillar arranges. Reproduced with permission.\cite{330} Copyright 2017, American Chemical Society. c) Microstructure and illustration of 3D \( \mu \)-battery. Reproduced with permission.\cite{332} Copyright 2020, AIP Publishing.
the rest current collectors on the other pillars forming numerous μ-battery connected in parallel. On top of the current collector, a cathode layer, LiCoO₂, in this example, was deposited followed by LiPON as the electrolyte and Si as the anode. Finally, negative current collector, Cu, was deposited forming a completed single μ-battery cell. Depending on the length of the pillar, the capacity of the ASTB can be enhanced over 10 times.

Use of the cathode with high voltage potential, such as LiCoPO₄, LiNiPO₄, and LiNi₀.₅Mn₁.₅O₄ is another way to increase the energy. These cathodes that are unable to be used with liquid organic electrolytes can be utilized with the solid electrolytes.

6.2. Low Temperature Deposition

ASTBs have been fabricated through various deposition technologies, including rf-sputtering,¹²⁷,²⁰⁶,²¹⁰,₂²⁹,₂₃₀,₂₅₈ PLD,²₅₁,₃₃₃ and chemical solution methods,²₃₄,₃₃₄ etc. among which rf-sputtering is widely used since it is a very mature process and capable to fabricate the thin film electrolytes and electrodes with various composition and can be used for large-scale fabrication as well. Regardless of which type of deposition technologies mentioned above, except for AD, deposition of the cathodes or postannealing at high substrate temperature is necessary in order to achieve good crystallinity. Therefore, the cathode layers are usually deposited as the first layer immediately after current collector if a suitable current collector is selected.

Figure 31 shows the influence of current collector on the compositional depth profile and electrochemical performance of the LiNi₀.₅Mn₁.₅O₄ electrode film. Two different current collectors, namely stainless steel and Au were used. Although deposition of the LiNi₀.₅Mn₁.₅O₄ electrode film on Au was at 750, the interlayer diffusion is as small as a half of the one on the stainless steel (Figure 31a, 2) since Au is much stable in comparison to stainless steels. Due to compositional change, the electrode prepared on the stainless steel has low voltage potential and capacity.³³₅ Deposition of following layers, such as electrolyte and anode, should be carried out at low temperatures to avoid interdiffusion. Although rf-sputtering, PLD, CVD, sol-gel deposition technologies can be used for ASTB processing, the films with a single composition can only be produced. As discussion in the section of deposition, most electrode materials have poor ionic as well as poor electronic conductivity, which is not an issue for bulk batteries since conductivity carbon and liquid electrolyte used in the bulk batteries are used to facilitate the electrons' and ions' migration. Although doping can engineer electronic as well as ionic conductivity of electrode materials, the limited improvement is still far from satisfactory. Therefore, the incorporation of electronic and ionic conductive materials into electrode becomes a possible solution. As discussed in the Section 5, the AD method may be a good consideration of choices since multi-compositions can be deposited at the sometimes without interdiffusion.

6.3. Reduction of Internal Strains

Generation of internal strain and hence stress is another challenge but has been less studied. Only in recent years, it has been gradually received more attentions.¹³₆–₁₃₈ The internal strain in each component of the ASTBs can be caused by at least two parameters, namely change in electrochemical state and temperature. Compared to electrochemical state, thermally induced strain is negligible. Figure 32a depicts the change in lattice parameter of a positive electrode from the observation of the XRD spectra. Diffraction spectrum 1) is the diffraction of the as-deposited cathode with an open-circuit voltage (OCV) 3 V. There
was almost no change in diffraction angle after 100 galvanostatic cycles but the peak was broadened indicating a decrease in crystallinity (spectrum (2)). Spectrum (3) and (4) represent the diffractions when the film was delithiated to 4.05 V and 4.5 V, respectively. A slight reduction in the lattice parameter was observed after delithiation to 4.05 V and large change at 4.5 V. Since theoretically there should be no volume change of the electrolyte during galvanostatic cycling, the volume shrinkage during delithiation causes in plane tensile stress as illustrated in Figure 32b. Stress measurement shows an increase in the nominal in plane stress of the cathode (Figure 32c) with the degree of delithiation followed by a reduction during lithiation.\textsuperscript{[339]} The change in stress of Si anode given in Figure 32d shows the same trend.\textsuperscript{[340]} Due to the restriction of the in plane strain by the electrolyte, shear stresses between the electrodes and the electrolytes are therefore generated. The repeated in plane normal stresses and shear stresses will result in cracks and delamination if the stress level exceeds their mechanical properties. Figure 32e shows changes of elastic modulus and hardness of LiMn$_2$O$_4$ as a function of charge/discharge cycles.\textsuperscript{[341]} The modulus as well as hardness of the as-deposited cathode quickly dropped even after ten cycles, indicating a weakening of adhesion between the cathode and the electrolyte, and of bonding between different grains. Figure 32f reveals the same measurement but on conversion type of electrode material, RuO$_2$, in which elastic modulus and hardness continuously reduced. The hardness is almost zero after 100 cycles.\textsuperscript{[342]} It is also noted from the inserts of the two figures, relatively small change in roughness of the cathode surface but extraordinarily large change for conversion type of material. These observations indicate importance of selection of different types of electrode materials. To reduce the in plane strain, the materials, such as polyanion type cathodes and spinel Li$_4$Ti$_5$O$_{12}$ etc. with little volume deformation during galvanostatic cycling are preferred.

6.4. Interfaces

Interface is another big challenge. Since it is associated with physicochemical and electrochemical stability of different components, monitoring changes along the interface between two different components is extremely difficult. Many studies in which some of them were based on impedance measurement have shown good physical stability of the interface between LiPON and Li metal while others noted formation of Li$_2$PO$_4$, LiP, Li$_3$N, and Li$_2$O at the anode electrolyte interface.\textsuperscript{[39,380]} X-ray photoemission measurement showed the decrease of the O$_b$ component respective to O$_{ab}$, indicating that the Li metal reacted with the bridging oxygen.\textsuperscript{[40]} In situ TEM directly observed immediate expansion of LiPON toward Li metal side when it was in contact with a Li metal source.\textsuperscript{[343]} Although there is interfacial reaction between Li and LiPON, Schwobel et al.\textsuperscript{[40]} concluded “the interface reactions are not continuous, but quickly vanish due to the formation of a suitable passivation layer, meaning that
the chemical reactions are finished after a certain period of time and not all the LiPON is destroyed." The first principle calculation by Zhu et al. also revealed instability of Li metal against LiPON due to a narrow electrochemical window with a reduction potential of 0.69V versus Li/Li+. Nerveless the formation of the interface will cause increase in impedance and hence voltage polarization. In order to overcome the formation of a thick interfacial layer, a ultrathin artificial interfacial layer between the polarization. In order to overcome the formation of a thick interfacial layer, a ultrathin artificial interfacial layer between the Li metal and the electrolyte is created. Xiao et al. deposited Al2O3 layer of about a nanometer in thickness to prevent interaction between the anode and the electrolyte. Since Al2O3 is stable, this battery demonstrated a long-term cycling and ultrafast rate capability. Cathode electrolyte interface formed between the cathode and the solid electrolyte seems stable at room temperature, however, at relatively high temperature the thickness of the cathode electrolyte interface quickly grows. One of the examples is the observation of the cathode electrolyte interface between LiPON and lithium cobalt oxide (LCO). The thickness of the cathode electrolyte interface remained unchanged if the battery was electrochemically cycled at 25 °C while the thickness of the cathode electrolyte interface gradually increased to about 3–4 μm after 250 galvanostatic cycles at only 80 °C. More importantly, the thickness change rate of the cathode electrolyte interface is state of charge dependent even in the static state. These issues must be properly addressed in the design the format of the ASTBs.

Although there are limited applications of the ASTBs at this stage, with the discovery of new materials and processing which is compatible with CMOS processing, the ASTBs are expected to have wide applications for further miniature of microelectronic devices and nano-electromechanical systems.
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