Phytolith-Occluded Carbon Sequestration Potential of Oil Palm Plantation in Tamil Nadu
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ABSTRACT: Oil palm (Elaeis guineensis) has proven to be a phytolith-occluded carbon (PhytOC)-rich species that plays a vital role in acting as a carbon sink for reducing atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentration. The present research estimated the silicon, phytolith, and PhytOC contents in four (OP4), eight (OP8), and fifteen (OP15)-year-old oil palm plantations. Qualitative analysis using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) revealed the presence of abundant globular echinate phytoliths with varied diameter (8.484–10.18 μm) in fronds, empty fruit bunches, and roots. Furthermore, a wide band (400–490 cm⁻¹) underlined a higher relative abundance of Si–OH groups in empty fruit bunches, fronds, and roots, which emphasized the amorphous nature of silica. Quantitative analysis revealed that the phytolith (phytolith/dry biomass), PhytOC (PhytOC/phytolith), and PhytOC (PhytOC/dry biomass) contents in all oil palms differed significantly (p < 0.05) and increased with age. The PhytOC stock showed significant variation, with the trend of OP15 > OP8 > OP4. The belowground biomass of OP4 (16.43 g kg⁻¹) and OP8 (17.13 g kg⁻¹) had a maximum PhytOC concentration compared to the aboveground biomass, and the belowground proportion varied from 20.62 to 20.65%. The study demonstrated a positive correlation between the phytolith and PhytOC contents of oil palm; thereby, oil palm should be cultivated for enhanced long-term sequestration as a phytolith accumulator.

INTRODUCTION

The increasing atmospheric concentration of greenhouse gases is the leading cause of climate change and a major threat to the sustainability of the terrestrial ecosystem. A significant rise in the concentration of carbon dioxide (CO₂) of up to 31.5 Gt has occurred globally.¹ The increase in atmospheric temperature directly influences the soil respiration, thereby accelerating the release of a large amount of carbon from soil.²,³ Therefore, climate change mitigation strategies are needed either to cut the emissions from the sources or to reduce the atmospheric CO₂ concentration through carbon sinks. Although soil stores carbon in large quantities, due to modified land use, complicated carbon storage processes, and continuously changing environmental circumstances, most organic carbon in soil cannot persist for an extended period.⁴ Hence, finding a safe and effective long-term carbon sequestration mechanism is essential. Terrestrial biogeochemical carbon (C) sequestration is getting wider attention as the most promising approach for long-term storage.⁵ Biotic C sequestration through occlusion of C within phytoliths is one of the practical approaches to climate change mitigation. Recently, phytolith-occluded carbon (PhytOC) demonstrated a significant role in long-term capture and storage of carbon.⁶ The phytolith carbon sequestration potential via bamboo and/or other PhytOC-yielding agricultural crops was assessed to be ~1.5 billion tCO₂eq yr⁻¹ globally.

Phytoliths are complex silicon-coated carbon substances that are also called amorphous silica (Si), formed in cells through biosilicification. During biosilicification, Si is absorbed by the root system in the form of soluble silicic acid [Si(OH)₄] and carried to different plant parts through the vascular system. The Si gets precipitated at near neutral pH and deposits in the leaves, stem, roots, inflorescence, etc.⁷ Although Si is not considered a crucial element for plant growth, it has multiple beneficial effects, which include development, yield, mineral nutrition, health, and survival of plant species for decades in agricultural ecosystems. It provides rigidity, enhances resistance to plant abiotic–biotic stresses, and influences element cycling during litter decomposition.⁸ The accumulation of
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intercellular and extracellular phytoliths in the plant parts is aided by the system’s evaporation and water transportation. Generally, phytoliths are highly stable and possess distinctive shapes with varied dimensions (20–200 μm). They can survive in harsh environmental conditions due to their outer silicon layer that is resistant to weathering, and are preserved in the soil or sediments for millions of years after plant decomposition. Phytolith-occluded carbon (PhytOC) is the stable organic C fraction entrapped within the phytolith, accounting for 0.1–5.8%. They are also used in paleoecological, archaeological, and paleoenvironmental reconstructions to study vegetation dynamics. Areceae (palm) family species are prolific phytolith producers, and the Si concentration in the plants ranges from 0.1 to 16% dry weight. The Si deposition takes place on the plant cell wall with the Si concentration in the plants ranging from 0.1 to 16% dry weight. Generally, phytoliths are highly stable and possess distinctive shapes with varied dimensions (20–200 μm). Phytoliths are stable organic C fraction entrapped within the phytolith, decomposing at different rates depending on their different shapes and dimensions.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Soil Physicochemical Properties.

The soil properties were varied for OP4, OP8, and OP15, and significant differences (p < 0.05) were observed in pH, EC, bulk density, and soil organic carbon (Table 2). Soil bulk density was higher for OP8 (288 Mg m⁻³) than for OP4 (117 Mg m⁻³) and OP15 (175 Mg m⁻³). Further, the soil organic carbon increased (0.51–0.68%) when soil pH was decreased (7.15–6.81) from OP4 to OP8. The observations followed the study of estimating the soil properties (pH and bulk density) at three steppes with significant difference. The soil with low pH is reported to take up Si and accumulate more, thereby showing a higher PhytOC content.

When oil palm fronds, fibers, sheath, EFB, etc. are returned to the soil, phytoliths are released into the soil after in situ decomposition, and this would aid in the occlusion of CO₂ into phytoliths. Therefore, soil organic carbon status (0.51–0.71%) is improved from OP4 to OP15, and PhytOC could be considered as an important part of the soil stable organic C. The aboveground and belowground biomass of OP4, OP8, and OP15 plantations. The aboveground biomass (AGB) of OP4, OP8, and OP15, including fronds, sheath fiber, and empty fruit bunches, were 74.90, 97.90 and 158.80 t ha⁻¹ (Table 2). The belowground biomass (BGB), including roots, was smaller than the aboveground biomass, ranging between 19.50 and 41.30 t ha⁻¹ for OP4, OP8, and OP15. Although BGB was lower than AGB, both the contents were increased from OP4 to OP15. The BGB proportion of OP4, OP8, and OP15 varied from 20.62 to 20.65%. Furthermore, it was analyzed in the three steppes (dessert, steppe, wet typical).
The concentration of PhytOC in dry biomass varied between 7.44 and 17.13 g kg⁻¹, wherein the maximum PhytOC was estimated in the roots of OP4 (16.43 g kg⁻¹) and OP8 (17.13 g kg⁻¹), while minimum in the case of OP15 (9.53 g kg⁻¹). The phytolith and PhytOC content in the oil palm plantations follow the order OP4 < OP8 < OP15. The variation was found in the Si content of OP4, OP8, and OP15. Furthermore, they were higher than the monopodial bamboo's AGB (20.82 to 48.68 t ha⁻¹) and BGB (5.78 to 62.16 t ha⁻¹).³⁸

**Phytolith Content and Concentration of PhytOC in Belowground and Aboveground Biomass of OP4, OP8, and OP15 Plantations.** The phytolith content, C concentration in phytolith (PhytOC in phytolith), and PhytOC content in the oil palm were compared (Figure 1) between the three stages of plantations. The estimated amounts of phytolith and PhytOC in OP4, OP8, and OP15 were higher than the concentrations present in eight monopodial bamboo species (37–122 and 4.3 g kg⁻¹).³⁸

Furthermore, the results of this study were higher than the estimated phytolith and PhytOC content in the rhizome (11.20–34.93 kg ha⁻¹) and belowground trunk (5.88–14.95 kg ha⁻¹) of monopodial bamboo and steppe in northern China.³¹ In addition to that, a statistical analysis was also performed to determine the relationship of phytolith/dry biomass with PhytOC/phytolith and PhytOC/dry biomass in OP4, OP8, and OP15. It was found that there was a significant linear correlation of phytolith/dry biomass with PhytOC/phytolith (R² = 0.5522) and PhytOC/dry biomass (R² = 0.6279) (Figure 3). Similarly, the phytolith and PhytOC contents of Chinese grassland have a positive linear correlation.³⁷ The significant correlation in the vegetation among different forests indicated that increasing phytolith production could promote the phytolith C sequestration potential.³⁶ It has been suggested that appropriate management practices could increase the PhytOC flux and thereby phytolith carbon sink. It is reported that through scientific management practices, the silica content could be improved, including silica fertilization,³⁸ irrigation, and genetic engineering,³⁹ which would augment the total biomass production, boosting Si uptake and ultimately phytolith C sequestration.⁴⁰ For instance, global cropland has tripled phytolith C sequestration since 1961 due to the cropland expansion, fertilization, and irrigation.⁴¹

---

**Table 4. PhytOC Stock in Parts of Oil Palm**

| age group (yr) | aboveground PhytOC stock (kg ha⁻¹) | belowground PhytOC stock (kg ha⁻¹) | plant PhytOC stock (kg ha⁻¹) | total area (ha) | total belowground PhytOC stock (t) |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 4             | 732.69                             | 190.75                            | 923.45                      | 10.0           | 9.23                              |
| 8             | 1406.25                            | 365.57                            | 1771.82                     | 3.30           | 5.85                              |
| 15            | 1651.76                            | 429.31                            | 2081.08                     | 2.05           | 4.27                              |

---

*Figure 1. Comparison of phytolith/dry biomass (A) and PhytOC/dry biomass (B) in different-year-old oil palm plantations.*

---

steppe, and dry typical steppe). The estimated AGB and BGB were higher compared to the dessert steppe (562.27 and 8643.74 kg ha⁻¹), wet typical steppe (1471.99 and 8639.89 kg ha⁻¹), and dry typical steppe (1120.09 and 8639.89 kg ha⁻¹).³¹

Furthermore, they were higher than the monopodial bamboo's AGB (20.82 to 48.68 t ha⁻¹) and BGB (5.78 to 62.16 t ha⁻¹).³⁸

The C concentrations in the phytolith of OP4, OP8, and OP15 were higher compared to the dessert steppe (562.27 and 8643.74 kg ha⁻¹) and BGB (5.78 to 62.16 t ha⁻¹)³⁸
PhytOC Stock in Belowground and Aboveground Biomass of OP4, OP8, and OP15 Plantation. The available PhytOC stock in the AGB of OP4, OP8, and OP15 was 732.69, 1406.25, and 1651.76 kg ha\(^{-1}\), respectively. An increase in the PhytOC content was observed moving from OP4 to OP15 (Table 4) and compared under different biomasses (Figure 2). The AGB proportion of OP4, OP8, and OP15 from the total PhytOC stock varied between 79.34 to 79.37%. In addition, the BGB was maximum for OP15 (429.31 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) compared to OP4 (190.75 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and OP8 (365.57 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). According to the distribution area of OP4 (10 ha), OP8 (3.30 ha), and OP15 (2.05 ha), the total belowground PhytOC stock was estimated as 9.23, 5.85, and 4.27 t, respectively. The available PhytOC stock of OP4, OP8, and OP15 was higher than that in millet (5.45 kg ha\(^{-1}\)),\(^{42}\) grassland (1.64–10.36 kg ha\(^{-1}\)),\(^{37}\) wheat (1.64–10.36 kg ha\(^{-1}\)),\(^{27}\) rice (7.09–34.09 kg ha\(^{-1}\)),\(^{33}\) wetland (0.82–21 kg ha\(^{-1}\)),\(^{43}\) sugarcane (32.73–98.18 kg ha\(^{-1}\)),\(^{26}\) monopodial bamboo,\(^{28}\) major steppes,\(^{31}\) and Lei bamboo stand (AGB 13.0 and BGB 12.8 kg ha\(^{-1}\)).\(^{44}\)

The results suggested that though the AGB is higher than the BGB of OP4, OP8, and OP15, the PhytOC concentrations in the BGB of OP4 (16.43 g kg\(^{-1}\)) and OP8 (17.13 g kg\(^{-1}\)) are relatively higher than AGB concentrations. This is due to the perenniality of the oil palm plants.\(^{31}\) Thus, the BGB could significantly contribute to the PhytOC stock in the belowground, which could be explained by the higher phytolith accumulation capacity in the oil palm and higher biomass. In contrast, the PhytOC stock in BGB was remarkably lower than the AGB of OP4, OP8, and OP15. The focus should be given to the BGB phytolith and its PhytOC content, which is similar to the findings on the PhytOC concentration of bamboo plants.\(^{28}\) The findings of this study substantiate the hypothesis and suggest that oil palm is a potential phytolith accumulator with higher PhytOC concentration in BGB and AGB (Figure 3).

Surface Analysis of Si in Oil Palm Plantation. A detailed investigation of the dispersed phytolith represented different morphologies, wherein ellipsoid phytoliths were observed in the EFB (Figure 4a). Several silica craters are uniformly observed over the surface with perforated bottoms indicating that silica accretion on EFB is an ensuing biological process rather than a random event. Such a genetic design emphasizes the biological necessity for oil palm trees providing multifunctional abilities in addition to nutritional needs.\(^{45}\) The surface orientation of the phytolith showed an acute profile, possessing multiple peaks and a medium phytolith density of 15–30, which is similar to the Metroxylon vitiense flororecence.\(^{46}\) In addition, Si phytolith as a globular echinate with fusiform edges is identical to Acrocomia aculeata.\(^{47}\) The average diameter of the phytolith in EFB was 8.48–10.18 μm, which was analogous to Borassus aethiopum, P. canariensis, T. fortune, C. alba, and Texania campestris.\(^{48}\) The diameter was threefold higher than Billbergia sp.,\(^{47}\) 25% higher than Metroxylon sagu, and 10% higher than Cocos nucifera.\(^{49}\) The phytolith in the fronds is in association with sclerenchyma and epidermal cells.\(^{50}\)

Figure 2. Comparison of PhytOC stock in different-year-old oil palm plantations.

Figure 3. Correlation between parameters (A) phytolith/dry biomass and PhytOC/phytolith and (B) phytolith/dry biomass and PhytOC/dry biomass.
Figure 4. continued
Figure 4. continued
The oil palm fronds have an abundant globular echinate phytolith (Figure 4b) created in parenchyma tissues. The observations were comparable to palm leaf phytoliths of *Trachycarpus fortunei*, *Phoneix canariensis*, *Zingiber officinale*, *Hyphaene spp.*, *Billbergia sp.*, *P. notatum*, *Bromus auleticus*, *A. compressus*, *M. sagu*, *A. catechu*, *B. aethiopum*, *Calamus arunensis*, and *Pitcairnia feliciana.*\(^{48,49,51−54}\) Besides, there was
also a rectangular phytolith of 328–582 nm diameter, similar to *Hydrastele boumae* leaf.46

The Si phytolith in the roots (Figure 4c) is present at an irregular distance, with an average size between 5.437 and 6.459 μm. The compaction and the distance between the phytoliths were between 5 and 8 μm. Moreover, phytoliths were asymmetric in morphology with columnellate profiles. The phytolith density was more than 30, similar to the *Junaia australis* leaf.46 The spines tapering at the top of the phytoliths were conical and varied between 12 and 21 spines. The results were in parallel to the spines of *C. aruensis*, *C. nucifera*, *Buta capitata*, *Phoenix canariensis*, *T. fortune*, and *Trithrinax campestris*. The average spine length (2.68 μm) was two-fold higher than that of *T. fortune* and *B. capitata* and four-fold higher than that of *C. aruensis*.37,46

The EDX analysis reported a higher amount of three elements (Si, C, and O) in the Si phytoliths of the EFB, fronds, and roots (Figure 5). The higher amount of C was attributed to the surface coating of the sample upon analysis under SEM. The phytolith in the tissues of date palm, *Phenix dactilyfera*, showed a dominance of Si and O.55

**Surface Functionalities (FTIR Spectrum).** The spectroscopic study showed peaks in the FTIR spectrum (Figure 6). The broadband at 3428.81 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the O−H stretching of hydrogen-bonded hydroxyl groups of cellulose and absorbed water. The OH peak exhibited the hydrophobic properties present in the natural fibers of the fronds.56−58 The peaks at 1639.2 and 1074 cm⁻¹ were fingerprint regions corresponding to the different vibrations of the cellulose and hemicellulose groups.59 The band at 2925.48 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the C−H aliphatic group stretching that marked methylene groups’ existence in cellulose and the symmetric vibration of CH₂ groups.58 The ester of the group C−C aromatic group was found at 611.32 and 1639.2 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the C==O stretching of the amide group.60 The peak at 1428.99 cm⁻¹ represents the CH₂ bending present in the aromatic lignin groups. The peak at 1265.07 cm⁻¹ (C−O−C) indicates the ether band between the hydroxyl group’s lignin and carbohydrates. The peak at 1074.16 cm⁻¹ indicates the stretching of C−O−C.61 FT-IR studies of EFB, fronds, and roots of oil palm showed similarities to the spectrum of palm oil.62 Similar bands were observed in oil palm biomass, representing the O−H stretching at 3384−3421 cm⁻¹ of cellulose and lignin. The peak at 2919 cm⁻¹ represented the C==O stretching of the COOH group in hemicelluloses.63

Besides, the appearance of the wide band emphasized the amorphous nature of the silica in the 400–490 cm⁻¹ range, ascribed to the Si−O−Si bond-rocking vibration in all three spectra (EFB, fronds, and roots). The large and asymmetrical band around 779 cm⁻¹ visible in the root spectrum was ascribed to the Si−O−Si stretching from the heterogenic geometry of SiO₂ units, which is not observed in the fronds and EFB spectra. The enormous Si−OH groups and the existence of chemical impurities were reflected by the Si−O vibrations of non-bridging oxygen throughout the zone (950–1000 cm⁻¹) of the EFB spectra. Meanwhile, the spectrum of the fronds and root depicted lower Si−OH groups, representing the compact structure of phytolith present in the fronds and roots. The Brunauer−Emmet−Teller (BET) surface area analysis of the fronds (257.61 m² g⁻¹), roots (271.86 m² g⁻¹), and EFB (359.99 m² g⁻¹) were also in line with the observations of the FTIR spectrum. The higher relative abundance of Si−OH groups indicated a higher surface area of phytoliths in EFB compared to fronds and roots.55

**PhytOC Long-Term Sequestration Potential.** There is an opportunity to enhance the long-term and short-term carbon sequestration by growing high-PhytOC-yielding plant species primarily from Poaceae and Cyperaceae families. Some prolific producers of PhytOC are maize, rice, wheat, sorghum, sugarcane, wheat, and barley. Thus, the environmental
conditions can be optimized for higher PhytOC production in plants, and this opportunity can be maximized by controlling other related factors. Studies have shown that it is relatively accurate to measure the whole biomass like AGB and BGB to understand the PhytOC stockpile and PhytOC concentration in the plant species. Therefore, this study demonstrates the measurement of available PhytOC in both AGB and BGB of oil palm plantations. Specifically, the BGB assessment showed a maximum production rate and stock in OP4 (20.65%), OP8 (20.63%), and OP15 (20.62%), indicating the importance of studying it in much depth. As shown in this study for oil palm roots, fronds, and EFB, there is a strong positive correlation between phytolith production and PhytOC content (Figure 3). This is the first field study that examined the change in PhytOC storage using a chronosequence method. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first field evidence in India that increasing PhytOC storage with long-term stability can be achieved through management approaches, stressing the need to investigate soil PhytOC storage under the effect of diverse soil physical and chemical features. By adding litters, the accumulation of PhytOC in oil palm plantation soils may be further increased, and it is considered to be another effective way of increasing soil PhytOC storage. Thus, it will effectively increase the long-term storage of organic C in intensively managed systems, with significant implications in mitigating climate change and enhancing the ecological services of such ecosystems. This study also describes the relation of the PhytOC production with the age of the oil palm, which is directly proportional. It is consistent with the previous findings on the BGB and AGB of monopodial bamboo3 and major steppes31 in China. Hence, the study of PhytOC in oil palm plantation necessitates further investigations on the factors influencing long-term sequestration, focusing on BGB (Figure 7).

## CONCLUSIONS

The current study reveals that the phytolith-occluded carbon (PhytOC) concentration varied in different age groups such as OP4, OP8, and OP15, with their BGB (roots) showing the maximum concentration among other portions. A focused investigation is needed to quantify the PhytOC production flux and PhytOC sequestration capacity of oil palm from belowground biomass compared to the aboveground biomass. Both the phytolith content and PhytOC content in the oil palm are increased with increasing age (OP4 < OP8 < OP15). The accumulated PhytOC further boosts up the biomass content of the oil palm. Based on our results, nearly 162.7 (OP4), 237.8 (OP8), and 400.6 t (OP15) of CO₂ sequestration could be possible by the oil palm plantation in a hectare. The positive correlation between phytolith and PhytOC content indicates that oil palm is a potential phytolith accumulator. The study demonstrates that the available PhytOC constitutes a considerable portion of the passive carbon pool. Its accumulation in the soil will improve PhytOC collection, production, and subsequently terrestrial carbon sequestration. Thus, phytolith accumulators such as oil palm should be cultivated for enhanced long-term sequestration.
Study Area, Sample Collection, and Pretreatment.

The selected plantations in the Theni district of Tamil Nadu had four-, eight-, and fifteen-year-old oil palm trees with a plant density of 1520, 450, and 270 per hectare. Three replicate plots were randomly selected for the collection of soil samples and parts of Elaeis guineensis (fronds, empty fruit bunches (EFB), and roots) from 4 (OP4), 8 (OP8), and 15 (OP15) year-old oil palm plantations. The sampling site details are tabulated in Table 1. Further, the soil samples were collected at a regular depth of 0–20, 20–40, and 40–60 cm from each random plot. The soil samples were dried and ground for physicochemical analysis (Table 2). The collected parts were thoroughly washed with deionized water and kept in the hot air oven (48 h @ 60 °C) for attaining constant weight.8 The plant samples were dried at 70 °C for 48 h and ground (0.25 mm) for further analysis.

Phytolith and PhytOC Measurements.

Soil pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were measured using a pH meter (M/s Elico, India) and an EC meter (M/s Elico, India). The Si estimation was performed using ICP-OES and the extraction of phytolith was carried out through microwave digestion.8 In the extract was dried in an oven at 65 °C for 48 h and weighed. A 0.8 M Potassium dichromate (K2Cr2O7) solution was used to detect the organic content bound to the phytolith, and the alkali spectrophotometric method was used for estimating PhytOC.28 In a typical procedure, a small amount of phytolith (0.01 g) was mixed with 10 M NaOH (0.5 mL) and kept at room temperature (25 °C) for 12 h for getting a proper solution. Further, the obtained solution was treated with 0.8 M K2Cr2O7 (1.0 mL), concentrated H2SO4 (4.6 mL) was added to release the bound organic C, then kept in the water bath for 1 h at 98 °C, and PhytOC concentration was determined at 590 nm spectrophotometrically.64

The working standards (0, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 ppm) were prepared from the standard organic solution (1000 ppm 45 KHP) explained in the literature.66 In the glass tube, 1 mL of K2Cr2O7 (0.8 Mol L⁻¹) and 4.6 mL of H2SO4 were added and heated in a water bath (98 °C) for an hour. After cooling, 25 mL of distilled water was added, and the solution was transferred to 50 mL plastic tubes for centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 10 min. Finally, the solutions were taken to read at 590 nm in a UV–vis spectrophotometer.56

Phytolith Characterization and Calculations.

The morphological features and size of the phytolith in the EFB, fronds, and roots of oil palm were investigated using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, M/s Ettiyagounder Parameswari, Coimbatore, India) with an energy dispersive X-ray analyzer (EDX). Phytoliths of EFB, roots, and fronds were visualized under the SEM, wherein an electron beam strikes the surface and is backscattered with some energy signals carrying the information about the surface, which are amplified and translated into images. EFB, roots, and fronds biomass were dusted on the carbon stub kept under vacuum and mounted on the sample stage for the images at 8–10 KV and 3000–15 000x magnification.

Further, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) is used to study the surface functionalities of the EFB, fronds, and roots of oil palm under Model 8400 S of Shimadzu, Japan. The spectra were recorded for 0.5 mg of biomass entrenched with 0.1% potassium bromide (KBr) solution. A curve generated with wavenumbers 400–4000 cm⁻¹ along the x-axis and percent transmittance along the y-axis indicates the peaks of functional groups vibrating at a specific frequency.

Besides, the statistical analysis and data processing were performed using MS Excel and SPSS 18 software. The difference in phytolith and PhytOC concentration in the oil palm parts was examined through one-way ANOVA followed by an LSD test (p < 0.05), and correlations (PhytOC concentration and Phytolith) were studied using Pearson correlation coefficients with significant levels of p = 0.05.
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