A study on comparative feeding value of corn flakes according to temperature and retention time in the pressurized steam chamber
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Abstract
This study aimed to investigate the effects of temperature and retention time of the pressurized steam chamber on the ruminal fermentation characteristics and nutrient degradability of corn flakes in three Korean native Hanwoo cows and three Holstein cows implanted with a ruminal fistula. Corn kernels were categorized into 13 groups based on the chamber temperature (range, 100°C–116°C) and retention time (range, 700–950 s). The pH value was lowest in T1 regardless of breed. Propionate concentration was the highest in T2 (p < 0.05). Total-volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentration was slightly but not significantly greater in T2 than in other conditions. Dry matter (p < 0.05), starch, and crude protein (p < 0.05) degradability were the highest in T1. At different incubation times and with different breeds, dry matter, starch, and crude protein degradability of corn flakes were the highest in T1. Thus, the present results indicate that the optimal temperature and retention time of the pressurized steam chamber should be 100°C–105°C and 700–720 s.
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Background
Corn is the most commonly used raw material for energy (carbohydrates) supply in cattle feed in Korea [1]. Until recently, various processing methods including grinding, extrusion, and flaking have been applied to increase the availability of corn. In particular, corn flakes are widely used in cattle feed in Korea owing to increased starch digestibility [2] resulting from flaking and increased energy supply [3] for the generation of marbling adipose tissue [4].

Corn flaking destroys the hull, endodermis, and protein matrix surrounding starch granules in the endosperm via exposure to high temperature, moisture, and pressure, and it induces gelatinization of the normal semi-crystalline structure of starch granules to improve the starch digestibility [5,6].

Until recently, steam chambers are widely used in corn flake production, with general temperatures and retention times of 86°C–102°C [7–9] and 32–75 min [9,10], respectively. However, considering the retention time of corn in the chamber, the steam chamber not only has low production efficiency but also does not have a high rate of corn starch gelatinization. Consequently, pressurized steam chambers incorporating pressure systems have recently been used for corn flake production. The advantages of...
steam pressure include uniform diffusion of steam, effective heat transfer to starch granules [11], reduction of gelatinization enthalpy [12], and effective starch gelatinization [13].

The use of pressurized steam chambers can increase production costs (electricity, gas, etc.) by increasing the chamber temperature and retention time to increase corn starch gelatinization, similar to the steam chambers. Since 2010, numerous cattle feed companies in Korea have been using pressurized steam chambers [14]. Compared to the conventional steam chamber, the pressurized steam chamber decreases corn flake production times and improves gelatinization [14]. However, no study has investigated the effect of temperature and retention time of the pressurized chamber on the nutritive value of corn flakes.

Hence, this study aimed to investigate the effect of different temperatures and retention times in corn flake production in the pressurized steam chamber on ruminal fermentation characteristics and nutrient degradability. Furthermore, this study aimed to determine the optimal temperature and retention time for corn flake production using the pressurized steam chamber.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals and treatments**

This study was carried out for 7 months at an experimental farm at Kangwon National University. Three Hanwoo cows (mean body weight, 327 ± 41 kg) and three Holstein cows (mean body weight at Kangwon National University. Three Hanwoo cows (mean body weight 421 ± 37 kg), each implanted with a ruminal fistula, were used.

The corn used in this study was imported from South America, its chemical composition being (based on dry matter): 89.23% of dry matter, 7.98% of crude protein, 2.78% of ether extract, 1.48% of crude ash, 11.23% of neutral detergent fiber (NDF), and 1.95% of acid detergent fiber (ADF). The thickness of corn flakes was 3.5 mm, and the dimension of mill roller was φ 610 × 1,220 mm (24” × 48”).

Corn kernels were categorized into 13 groups for corn flake production using the pressurized steam chamber (1.5 atmospheric pressure), based on different temperatures and retention times: T1 (100°C; 720 s), T2 (105°C; 700 s), T3 (105°C; 850 s), T4 (105°C; 950 s), T5 (110°C; 400 s), T6 (112°C; 700 s), T7 (112°C; 850 s), T8 (112°C; 950 s), T9 (113°C; 600 s), T10 (115°C; 500 s), T11 (116°C; 700 s), T12 (116°C; 850 s), and T13 (116°C; 950 s). The temperature of the pressurized steam chamber, retention time, and the chemical composition of the corn flakes are summarized in Table 1.

**In vitro ruminal fermentable characteristics**

Ruminal fluid was collected from the ruminal fistula of Hanwoo and Holstein cows before feeding in the morning and filtered through 4 layers of cheese cloth, followed by storage at 39°C in a thermos flask. Thereafter, O₂-free CO₂ gas was infused for 30 s to eliminate air. The collected ruminal fluid was transferred to the laboratory and allowed to stand for 1 h in an incubator at 39°C to eliminate feed particles and used as an inoculum for *in vitro* incubation.

*In vitro* cultures were established by adding 400 mL of rumen inoculum to 1,596 mL of previously prepared artificial saliva (buffer solution A and B) in accordance with the method of MacDougall.

---

**Table 1. Chemical composition of corn flakes using the pressurized steam chamber (as-fed basis)**

| Treatment(1) | DM (%) | CP (%) | EE (%) | CF (%) | CA (%) | Star (%) | Gela (%) |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|---------|
| T1          | 90.40 ± 0.15 | 7.95 ± 0.14 | 3.13 ± 0.25 | 1.95 ± 0.35 | 1.25 ± 0.07 | 53.39 ± 0.75 | 33.05 ± 2.67 |
| T2          | 91.46 ± 0.31 | 7.11 ± 0.37 | 2.94 ± 0.00 | 2.05 ± 0.76 | 1.27 ± 0.13 | 56.51 ± 0.52 | 30.03 ± 3.06 |
| T3          | 90.14 ± 0.56 | 7.35 ± 0.07 | 3.13 ± 0.01 | 1.45 ± 0.66 | 1.19 ± 0.13 | 54.74 ± 0.57 | 31.34 ± 3.64 |
| T4          | 90.58 ± 0.31 | 7.47 ± 0.11 | 2.79 ± 0.34 | 2.80 ± 1.20 | 1.20 ± 0.01 | 55.46 ± 0.37 | 36.40 ± 2.87 |
| T5          | 90.41 ± 0.54 | 7.46 ± 0.18 | 3.60 ± 0.11 | 3.52 ± 0.02 | 1.20 ± 0.04 | 57.05 ± 0.56 | 34.68 ± 3.72 |
| T6          | 90.97 ± 0.28 | 7.24 ± 0.12 | 3.77 ± 0.19 | 2.26 ± 0.71 | 1.24 ± 0.10 | 54.83 ± 0.03 | 30.54 ± 2.48 |
| T7          | 90.39 ± 0.65 | 7.20 ± 0.07 | 4.02 ± 0.39 | 1.40 ± 0.02 | 1.20 ± 0.01 | 55.65 ± 0.91 | 28.12 ± 2.34 |
| T8          | 90.32 ± 0.36 | 7.33 ± 0.11 | 3.82 ± 0.08 | 3.50 ± 0.39 | 1.28 ± 0.09 | 55.88 ± 0.52 | 33.43 ± 4.13 |
| T9          | 90.81 ± 0.39 | 7.34 ± 0.28 | 2.97 ± 0.08 | 2.64 ± 0.20 | 1.20 ± 0.11 | 53.95 ± 0.07 | 32.43 ± 1.82 |
| T10         | 90.92 ± 0.25 | 7.00 ± 0.11 | 3.27 ± 0.08 | 2.62 ± 0.10 | 1.24 ± 0.07 | 53.69 ± 0.69 | 37.75 ± 0.86 |
| T11         | 89.57 ± 0.85 | 7.63 ± 0.14 | 3.58 ± 0.39 | 2.20 ± 1.22 | 1.13 ± 0.07 | 56.21 ± 0.32 | 35.45 ± 5.06 |
| T12         | 90.61 ± 0.68 | 7.50 ± 0.18 | 3.70 ± 0.06 | 2.85 ± 0.96 | 1.22 ± 0.04 | 56.37 ± 0.01 | 37.43 ± 1.31 |
| T13         | 90.98 ± 0.45 | 7.61 ± 0.03 | 3.45 ± 0.18 | 1.81 ± 0.44 | 1.21 ± 0.02 | 54.30 ± 0.60 | 31.14 ± 4.62 |

---

1) T1 (100°C; 720 s); T2 (105°C; 700 s); T3 (105°C; 850 s); T4 (105°C; 950 s); T5 (110°C; 400 s); T6 (112°C; 700 s); T7 (112°C; 850 s); T8 (112°C; 950 s); T9 (113°C; 600 s); T10 (115°C; 500 s); T11 (116°C; 700 s); T12 (116°C; 850 s); and T13 (116°C; 950 s).

2) DM, dry matter; CP, crude protein; EE, ether extract; CF, crude fiber; CA, crude ash; Star, starch; Gela, gelatinization.
[15]. Artificial saliva was prepared using buffer solutions A and B. Before the experiment, buffer solution A (1,330 mL) and B (266 mL) were simultaneously mixed and the pH was adjusted to 6.8. Buffer solution A included 10.0 g of KH₂PO₄, 0.5 g of MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.5 g of NaCl, 0.1 g of CaCl₂·2H₂O, and 0.5 g of urea per liter of distilled water. Buffer solution B included 15.0 g of Na₂CO₃ and 1.0 g of Na₂S·9H₂O per liter of distilled water.

Seventy milliliters of the prepared in vitro culture solution was placed in a 100 mL bottle (4 OZ glass bottle) containing 2 g of ground corn flakes, and O₂-free CO₂ gas was infused for 5 s to eliminate air from the culture bottle. The bottles were then incubated for 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, and 48 h in a shaking incubator (HB-201SLI, Hanbaek scientific co., Bucheon, Korea) at 39°C.

In situ ruminal nutrients degradabilities
For in situ analysis, 5 g of sample was placed in a nylon bag (ANKOM 5 × 10 concentrate bags), and a nylon bag was placed in a mesh for each incubation 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, and 48 h and inserted into the rumen via a fistula before feeding in the morning. The nylon bag was washed until clear water was obtained, and then dried in a 70°C forced dry oven for 72 h. The dried samples were weighed and used to calculate the degradability of dry matter. The samples were ground to a particle size of 1.0 mm and their chemical composition was analyzed to determine the degradability of starch and crude protein.

Measurements and analyses
The corn flakes used in this study were dried in a forced dry oven (at 60°C, 48 h), ground using a Wiley mill (Thomas scientific, Model 4, Swedesboro, NJ, USA) and analyzed for moisture, crude protein, ether extract, crude fiber, and crude ash in accordance with the procedures of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists [16]. NDF and ADF levels were determined using a filter bag (Ankom F57, Ankom Technology, New York, NY, USA) in accordance with the method of Van Soest et al. [17].

Starch contents were determined according to the standard feed analysis method of the Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs in Korea [18]. To determine the starch content of corn flakes, 0.2 g of the sample was placed in a 50 mL tube, and the sample was dampened with 200 μL of 80% ethanol, followed by the addition of 5 mL of distilled water and vortex mixing. Thereafter, 25 mL of 80% ethanol at 75°C was added to the tube, and the tube was allowed to stand for 5 min, followed by centrifugation at 1,250 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and 30 mL of 80% ethanol at 75°C was added, followed by centrifugation at 1,250 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was dried at 40°C for 1 h, followed by addition of 5 mL of distilled water and 6.5 mL of 52% perchloric acid. The mixture was stirred for 20 min, and 20 mL of distilled water was added, followed by centrifugation at 1,250 × g for 15 min at 4°C. Thereafter, 1 mL of the diluted solution and 5 mL of anthrone were mixed, heated at 100°C for 12 min, cooled to room temperature (approximately 20°C), and then analyzed using an absorbance analyzer (VERSA max, Molecular Devices, CA, USA) at 630 nm.

To analyze gelatinization of the corn flakes, 1 g (0.5 mm) of each sample was placed in five 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks (A1, A2, A3, A4, and B); thereafter, 50 mL of distilled water was added, and the flasks were sealed. A1 and A2 were heated in a water bath at 100°C for 15 min and rapidly cooled. Thereafter, 5% diastase was added to A1, A3, and B, and the five flasks were placed in a shaker water bath at 37°C for 90 min. Thereafter, 2 mL of 1 N HCl was added into the flasks, followed by addition of distilled water to 100 mL of the solution. The solution was filtered with a dry filter paper (No. 2), and 10 mL of the filtered solution and 10 mL of distilled water were taken in five revolving flasks (a1, a2, a3, a4, b, and BT), 10 mL of 0.1 N iodine solution and 18 mL of 0.1 N NaOH were added at the same intervals (5 min), the contents of the flask were shaken and mixed, and the flasks were allowed to stand for 15 min. Thereafter, (based on the first revolving flask), 2 mL of 10% H₂SO₄ was added at 5 min intervals, and the mixture was titrated against 0.1 N Na₂S₂O₃ until the solution became colorless.

The in vitro ruminal pH was measured using a pH meter (Corning 445, Corning, NY, USA) in a 100 mL bottle for each incubation time. Ammonia concentration was measured using automatic analyzer (Quik Chem 8500 series 2, Lachat, CO, USA) after collecting 5 mL of culture solution in a 100 mL bottle for each incubation time.

To analyze volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentration, 10 mL of the culture solution was collected in a 100 mL bottle for each incubation time, followed by addition of 1 mL of 20% HPO₄ and 0.5 mL of saturated HgCl₂ and centrifugation at 4°C at 1,250 × g for 15 min. The supernatant was discarded and the VFA concentration was measured via gas chromatography (Shimadzu-17A, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using the GLM Procedure of SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, US). Analysis of variance was performed using the square sum of TYPE III, suitable for the unbalanced data among the four squares resulting from the SAS/GLM analysis. For mean comparison, Duncan’s multiple range test was used to determine the effect of the treatment while the t-test was used to determine the effect of the breed.
**In vitro fermentable characteristics**

To investigate the effects of treatment on pH and ammonia, acetate, propionate, butyrate, and total-VFA concentration in *in vitro* incubation conditions, the following linear model was used for variance analysis.

\[
Y_{ijkl} = \mu + TT_i + TM_j + B_k + (TT \times TM)_i + (TT \times B)_i + (TM \times B)_j + e_{ijkl}
\]

Where, \(Y_{ijkl}\) = individual observations, \(\mu\) = overall mean, \(TT\) = effect of \(i\) th treatment (13 conditions), \(TM_j\) = effect of \(j\) th incubation time (\(j = 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24,\) and \(48\) h), \(B_k\) = effect of \(k\) th breed (\(k =\) Holstein, Hanwoo), \((TT \times TM)_i\) = the interaction effects of \(i\) th treatment and \(j\) th incubation time, \((TT \times B)_i\) = the interaction effect of the \(i\) th treatment and the \(k\) th breed, \((TM \times B)_j\) = the interaction effect of the \(j\) th incubation time and \(k\) th breed, \(e_{ijkl}\) = random error.

**In situ nutrient degradability**

Ruminal dry matter, starch, and crude protein degradability were analyzed using the following linear model.

\[
Y_{ijlm} = \mu + TT_i + TM_j + B_k + (TT \times TM)_i + (TT \times B)_i + (TM \times B)_j + A(B)_k + e_{ijlm}
\]

Where, \(Y_{ijlm}\) = individual observations, \(\mu\) = overall mean, \(TT\) = the effect of \(i\) th treatment (13 conditions), \(TM_j\) = the effect of \(j\) th incubation time (\(j = 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24,\) and \(48\) h), \(B_k\) = the effect of \(k\) th breed (\(k =\) Holstein, Hanwoo), \((TT \times TM)_i\) = the interaction effect of \(i\) th treatment and \(j\) th incubation time, \((TT \times B)_i\) = the interaction effect of \(i\) th treatment and \(k\) th breed, \((TM \times B)_j\) = the interaction effect of \(j\) th incubation time and \(k\) th breed, \(A(B)_k\) = the effect of \(k\) th animal (6 conditions) overlapped with breeds, \(e_{ijlm}\) = random error.

**Results**

**In vitro ruminal fermentable characteristics**

The results of variance analysis on the effect of the pressurized steam chamber conditions (chamber temperature and retention time), incubation time, and breed on the *in vitro* ruminal parameters are summarized in Table 2. The conditions (chamber temperature and retention time) of the pressurized steam chamber significantly influenced the *in vitro* ruminal pH values, and ammonia, acetate, propionate, butyrate, and total-VFA concentrations of corn flakes \((p < 0.01)\). Differences in incubation time and breed also exerted significant effects \((p < 0.01)\) on the *in vitro* ruminal parameters of corn flakes.

The least squares mean for the effects of the pressurized steam chamber conditions (chamber temperature and retention time), incubation time, and breed on the *in vitro* ruminal parameters are shown in Table 3.

The pH value was the highest in T3 \((p < 0.01)\) and lowest in T1. Ammonia concentration was the highest in T10 and lowest in T1. Acetate concentration was the highest in T10; propionate, T2 \((p < 0.05)\); butyrate, T9. Total-VFA concentration was higher in T2, T9, and T10 than in other treatments and lowest in T13 \((p < 0.05)\). In particular, corn flakes in the T2 group displayed higher propionate and total-VFA concentrations, despite a higher pH than that of compared to other treatments.

The least squares mean for the effect of the pressurized steam chamber conditions (chamber temperature and retention time) on the *in vitro* ruminal fermentable characteristics of corn flakes are

| Items | df | Mean square |
|-------|----|-------------|
| pH    |     |             |
| **Trt** | 12 | 0.11**      |
| **Time** | 6  | 59.28**     |
| **Breed** | 1 | 0.03**      |
| Trt × time | 72 | 0.12**      |
| Trt × breed | 12 | 0.03**      |
| Time × breed | 6  | 0.02**      |

**Table 2. Analysis of variance on the treatment conditions affecting the *in vitro* ruminal parameters of corn flakes using the pressurized steam chamber**

---

1Degree of freedom.
2Total-VFA, total volatile fatty acids.
3T1 (100°C, 720 s); T2 (105°C, 700 s); T3 (105°C, 850 s); T4 (105°C, 950 s); T5 (110°C, 400 s); T6 (112°C, 700 s); T7 (112°C, 850 s); T8 (112°C, 950 s); T9 (113°C, 600 s); T10 (115°C, 500 s); T11 (116°C, 700 s); T12 (116°C, 850 s); and T13 (116°C, 950 s).
4Time, in vitro ruminal incubation time.
5Breed, Holstein and Hanwoo.

\(p < 0.05\), \(p < 0.01\), NS not significant.
**Table 3. Least squares mean of the in vitro ruminal parameters by the treatment conditions of corn flakes using the pressurized steam chamber**

| Treatment | pH  | Ammonia  | Acetate  | Propionate | Butyrate | Total-VFA |
|-----------|-----|----------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|
| T1        | 5.72 | 17.83    | 175.38   | 103.40     | 72.60     | 351.38    |
| T2        | 5.85 | 21.67    | 203.88   | 132.78     | 66.83     | 403.48    |
| T3        | 5.91 | 21.17    | 194.73   | 121.94     | 69.09     | 385.76    |
| T4        | 5.82 | 20.15    | 200.70   | 111.03     | 71.31     | 383.04    |
| T5        | 5.77 | 20.52    | 202.30   | 121.55     | 66.55     | 390.40    |
| T6        | 5.81 | 18.65    | 197.00   | 109.55     | 76.41     | 382.96    |
| T7        | 5.83 | 19.31    | 186.91   | 96.50      | 75.20     | 358.60    |
| T8        | 5.84 | 18.80    | 179.98   | 95.57      | 71.95     | 347.51    |
| T9        | 5.78 | 20.98    | 200.37   | 127.31     | 78.55     | 406.23    |
| T10       | 5.77 | 22.53    | 206.26   | 125.51     | 71.33     | 403.10    |
| T11       | 5.78 | 18.21    | 187.35   | 96.78      | 71.03     | 355.16    |
| T12       | 5.80 | 18.11    | 187.39   | 108.29     | 65.76     | 361.43    |
| T13       | 5.73 | 18.89    | 173.04   | 97.67      | 57.85     | 328.56    |

Standard error: pH, 0.01; ammonia, 0.40; acetate, 2.10; propionate, 1.63; butyrate, 1.44; total-VFA, 2.85.

1T1 (100°C, 720 s); T2 (105°C, 700 s); T3 (105°C, 850 s); T4 (105°C, 950 s); T5 (110°C, 400 s); T6 (112°C, 700 s); T7 (112°C, 850 s); T8 (112°C, 950 s); T9 (113°C, 600 s); T10 (115°C, 500 s); T11 (116°C, 700 s); T12 (116°C, 850 s); and T13 (116°C, 950 s).

2Means without same superscripts within a column are significantly different (p < 0.05).

summarized in Tables 4 and 5. The pH value was the highest in T3 for Holstein cows (p < 0.05) and in T2 for Hanwoo cows. The pH value was lower in T1 than in other groups, regardless of breed. Ammonia concentration was higher in T10 than in other groups, regardless of breed.

**Table 4. Least squares mean of breed on pH and ammonia concentration by the treatment conditions of corn flakes using the pressurized steam chamber**

| Treatment | pH  | Ammonia  | Vd   | Holstein | Hanwoo | Holstein | Hanwoo |
|-----------|-----|----------|------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| T1        | 5.70 | 5.73     | −0.03 | 17.31     | 18.36  | −1.05    |
| T2        | 5.77 | 5.92     | −0.15 | 21.06     | 22.28  | −1.22    |
| T3        | 5.94 | 5.88     | 0.06  | 21.05     | 21.29  | −0.24    |
| T4        | 5.82 | 5.83     | 0.01  | 21.08     | 19.22  | 1.86     |
| T5        | 5.76 | 5.78     | −0.02 | 20.82     | 20.22  | 0.60     |
| T6        | 5.80 | 5.82     | 0.02  | 18.57     | 18.72  | −0.15    |
| T7        | 5.83 | 5.84     | 0.01  | 19.00     | 19.62  | −0.62    |
| T8        | 5.81 | 5.87     | 0.06  | 19.59     | 18.01  | 1.58     |
| T9        | 5.76 | 5.80     | 0.04  | 19.96     | 21.99  | 2.03     |
| T10       | 5.81 | 5.73     | 0.08  | 22.16     | 22.91  | −0.75    |
| T11       | 5.79 | 5.78     | 0.01  | 17.41     | 19.02  | −1.61    |
| T12       | 5.79 | 5.82     | 0.03  | 16.83     | 19.49  | −2.57    |
| T13       | 5.74 | 5.73     | 0.01  | 19.62     | 18.16  | 1.46     |

Standard error: pH, 0.02; ammonia, 0.57.

1T1 (100°C, 720 s); T2 (105°C, 700 s); T3 (105°C, 850 s); T4 (105°C, 950 s); T5 (110°C, 400 s); T6 (112°C, 700 s); T7 (112°C, 850 s); T8 (112°C, 950 s); T9 (113°C, 600 s); T10 (115°C, 500 s); T11 (116°C, 700 s); T12 (116°C, 850 s); and T13 (116°C, 950 s).

2Varietal difference: difference between Holstein and Hanwoo mean (Holstein-Hanwoo).

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, NS not significant.

Means without same superscripts within a column are significantly different (p < 0.05).
The effects of pressurized steam chamber conditions (chamber temperature and retention time) on ruminal dry matter, starch, and crude protein degradability of corn flakes are summarized in Table 6. The conditions of the pressurized steam chamber (chamber temperature and retention time) significantly influenced ruminal dry matter, starch, and crude protein degradability of corn flakes ($p < 0.01$) depending on the breed or incubation time.

The least squares mean of the effects of the pressurized steam chamber conditions (chamber temperature and retention time) on ruminal dry matter, starch, and crude protein degradability of corn flakes are summarized in Table 7. The dry matter ($p < 0.05$) and starch degradability were significantly higher in T1 than in other groups. Crude protein degradability was the highest in T1 ($p < 0.05$) and lowest in T7.

The results of least squares mean of the effects of the pressurized steam chamber conditions (chamber temperature and retention time) on ruminal dry matter, starch, and crude protein degradability of corn flakes based on breed are summarized in Table 8. In both Holstein and Hanwoo cows, dry matter and starch degradability were higher in T1 than in other groups. Among Holstein cows, crude protein degradability was significantly higher in T1 than in other groups ($p < 0.05$). Regardless of the breed, T1 displayed the highest dry matter and starch degradability, and crude protein degradability was slightly but not significantly greater in T1 than in other groups.

The effects of pressurized steam chamber conditions (chamber temperature and retention time) on the ruminal dry matter, starch,
and crude protein degradabilities of corn flakes are summarized in Fig. 2. Dry matter degradability was the highest in T1 throughout the incubation period; its degradability at 9 h similar to that in other groups at 12 h. Starch degradability was the highest in T1 from 9 to 48 h. Crude protein degradability was higher in T1 than in other groups from 3 to 48 h.

On increasing the retention time (700, 850, and 950 s) at 105°C and 112°C, dry matter degradability of corn flakes tended to increase; however, the opposite trend was observed at 116°C. In addition, notwithstanding the lack of statistical significance, higher chamber temperatures (105°C, 112°C, and 116°C) at 700, 850, and 950 s tended to improve the nutrients degradability of corn flakes; however, this tendency was inconsistent.
Standard error: dry matter, 0.60; starch, 0.58; protein, 0.90.

1)Degree of freedom.
2)T1 (100°C, 720 s); T2 (105°C, 700 s); T3 (105°C, 850 s); T4 (105°C, 950 s); T5 (110°C, 400 s); T6 (112°C, 700 s); T7 (112°C, 850 s); T8 (112°C, 950 s); T9 (113°C, 600 s); T10 (115°C, 500 s); T11 (116°C, 700 s); T12 (116°C, 850 s); and T13 (116°C, 950 s).
3)Time, in situ ruminal incubation time.
4)Breed, Holstein and Hanwoo.
* indicates p < 0.05, ** indicates p < 0.01, NS indicates not significant.

Discussion

**In vitro ruminal fermentable characteristics**

Flake treatment for corn improves the efficiency of ruminal fermentation [19,20] and the degree of efficiency may vary depending on conditions such as chamber temperature, retention time, etc. [10]. In the present study, the conditions of the pressurized chamber (chamber temperature and retention time) had a direct effect on the ruminal fermentable characteristics of corn flakes. Ratnayake and Jackson [21] reported that chamber temperature and retention time could affect moisture absorption, molecular

| Table 6. Analysis of variance on the treatment conditions affecting the ruminal dry matter, starch and crude protein degradabilities of corn flakes using the pressurized steam chamber |
|---|---|---|---|
| Items | df | Mean square |
| | | Dry matter | Starch | Protein |
| Treatment | 1 | 12 | 357.76* | 408.13* | 833.33* |
| Time | 6 | 31,456.52* | 87,195.32* | 3,719.82* |
| Breed | 1 | 1,211.99* | 6,641.62NS | 574.43* |
| Trt × time | 72 | 18.05NS | 45.31* | 15.83NS |
| Trt × breed | 12 | 179.54* | 158.35* | 457.94* |
| Time × breed | 6 | 116.33NS | 109.63* | 49.45* |

Table 7. Least squares mean of the ruminal dry matter, starch and crude protein degradabilities by the treatment condition of corn flakes using the pressurized steam chamber

| Treatment | Dry matter (%) | Starch (%) | Protein (%) |
|---|---|---|---|
| T1 | 43.41* | 43.51* | 37.16* |
| T2 | 36.46de | 38.79de | 26.77e |
| T3 | 37.92f | 37.51b | 30.62ce |
| T4 | 39.50f | 39.99f | 29.78ce |
| T5 | 36.81e | 39.25d | 27.28c |
| T6 | 36.09b | 37.38b | 27.47f |
| T7 | 36.30f | 38.42de | 26.75f |
| T8 | 36.96f | 38.10f | 28.39f |
| T9 | 37.62f | 36.67f | 29.32f |
| T10 | 37.19f | 36.56f | 29.14f |
| T11 | 39.69g | 41.30c | 33.55f |
| T12 | 39.51b | 42.43df | 33.87f |
| T13 | 36.81f | 37.52b | 31.09f |

Standard error: dry matter, 0.41; starch, 0.64; protein, 0.31.

Table 8. Least squares mean of breed on the ruminal dry matter, starch and protein degradabilities by the treatment conditions of corn flakes using the pressurized steam chamber

| Treatment | Holstein | Hanwoo | Vd | Holstein | Hanwoo | Vd | Holstein | Hanwoo | Vd |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| T1 | 45.96* | 40.85* | 5.11* | 46.11* | 40.91* | 5.20* | 40.43* | 33.90* | 6.53* |
| T2 | 36.45f | 36.46de | –0.01NS | 41.03ef | 36.55ce | 4.48* | 27.80ed | 25.74c | 2.06NS |
| T3 | 37.98de | 37.86e | 0.12NS | 38.36e | 36.65d | 1.71 | 30.56e | 30.48e | –0.08NS |
| T4 | 39.34fs | 39.67f | –0.33NS | 40.75fs | 39.22e | 1.53de | 29.49fs | 30.68e | –0.59f |
| T5 | 40.40g | 33.22c | 7.18 | 44.09f | 34.41ef | 9.68 | 31.18vd | 23.38e | 7.80f |
| T6 | 36.55d | 35.63de | 0.92NS | 39.56b | 35.20de | 4.36* | 27.66g | 27.28d | 0.38NS |
| T7 | 36.59b | 36.00f | 0.59NS | 41.49f | 35.34de | 6.15 | 26.30d | 27.20d | –0.90NS |
| T8 | 37.25f | 36.68fs | 0.57NS | 38.62e | 37.58e | 1.04ef | 26.37e | 30.40f | –4.03f |
| T9 | 40.00b | 34.90e | 5.10d | 40.21e| 33.21f | 7.00 | 32.82e| 25.83ce | 6.99f |
| T10 | 40.88e | 33.50f | 7.38 | 41.34e | 31.61f | 9.73 | 33.71d | 24.52e | 9.19f |
| T11 | 40.19f | 39.59f | 0.60NS | 43.19f | 39.42e | 3.77 | 32.73cd | 34.37f | –1.64NS |
| T12 | 39.47fs | 39.54e | –0.07NS | 44.86e | 40.00ef | 4.86 | 31.44c | 36.30g | –4.86f |
| T13 | 36.93e | 36.70fs | 0.23NS | 39.82ef | 35.23de | 4.59 | 30.09fs | 32.09fg | –2.00NS |

Standard error: dry matter, 0.60; starch, 0.58; protein, 0.90.

1)T1 (100°C, 720 s); T2 (105°C, 700 s); T3 (105°C, 850 s); T4 (105°C, 950 s); T5 (110°C, 400 s); T6 (112°C, 700 s); T7 (112°C, 850 s); T8 (112°C, 950 s); T9 (113°C, 600 s); T10 (115°C, 500 s); T11 (116°C, 700 s); T12 (116°C, 850 s); and T13 (116°C, 950 s).

2)Degree of freedom.
3)Means without same superscripts within a column are significantly different (p < 0.05).
4)Means without same superscripts within a column are significantly different (p < 0.05).
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Ruminal pH is influenced by starch content, starch digestibility, VFA and lactic acid [2]. In the present study, the lowest ruminal pH was obtained in corn flakes produced at 100°C–720 s; however, a direct association between the lowest pH and VFA concentration was less. Though we did not measure the lactate concentration in the present study, the above mentioned results could be due to the effects of lactic acid [22,23]. In the present study, the highest starch degradability was obtained at 100°C–720 s, which might explain the decrease in ruminal pH owing to increased lactic acid production. A similar finding was reported by Firkins et al. [24], who found that corn starch is rapidly degraded in the rumen, producing a large amount of lactic acid and causing a drop in ruminal pH.

Irregular concentrations of ammonia observed in this study could be due to changes in the efficiency of ammonia nitrogen utilization for microbial protein degradation and microbial protein synthesis [25]. The low ammonia concentration at 100°C–720 s, 116°C–750 s and 116°C–850 s seems to be due to the improved efficiency of microbial protein [26]. Ferraretto et al. [27] reported that an increase in ruminal starch degradation improved microbial protein synthesis. Bach et al. [28] noted that microbial protein synthesis was increased owing to carbohydrate fermentation in excess of protein degradation. Xin et al. [29] also reported that decrease in ammonia concentration was caused by increased microbial protein synthesis in vitro studies.

Starch, a major component of corn flakes, is converted to VFA, especially propionic acid, by the rumen microbes and lactic acid is produced as an intermediate degradable product [30]. In this study, the concentrations of propionic acid and total-VFA were higher at 105°C–700 s, 113°C–600 s, and 115°C–500 s; however, concentrations of propionic acid and total-VFA tended to decrease as the chamber temperature or retention time increased. This finding could be potentially attributed to lactic acid (not converted to VFA) and starch retrogradation. Starch retrogradation is a phenomenon in which the gelatinized starch changes into a crystalline or regular arrangement during the cooling process [31] and the digestibility is reduced due to the formation of resistant starch [32]. In addition, it has been reported that the production of resistant starch increases in accordance with the increase in heat effect. Dundar and Gocmen [33] found that resistant starch production was significantly higher at 145°C than at 140°C, and Lee et al. [34] reported that the formation of resistant starch in corn starch was higher at a high temperature (121°C) than at a lower temperature (100°C). In the present study, the lowest VFA production at 116°C–950 s, which is the highest chamber temperature and retention time, is also supported by previous observations.

motion, and physical changes in starch and gelatinization of corn. Therefore, conditions in the pressurized chamber (chamber temperature and retention time) may be important factors that have been suggested to improve the degradability of corn flakes in the rumen.
Factors affecting the quality of corn flakes include temperature, capacity, time, moisture, and pressure [35]. Among these factors, the chamber temperature and the retention time are important factors that determine the gelatinization temperatures (initial, maximum and final), degree of gelatinization, retrogradation of corn flake starch and the quality of corn flakes. In the present study, the condition of the pressurized steam chamber (chamber temperature, and retention time) had a significant effect on ruminal dry matter, starch and crude protein degradability; therefore, as mentioned in the previous research reports [3,36], temperature and retention time of the pressurized steam chamber are contributing factors that determine the ruminal utilization of the corn flakes.

During the production of corn flakes, the rise in chamber temperature has been known to increase the absorption and swelling of moisture [37], thereby affecting starch gelatinization and facilitating action by enzymes [38]. In addition, Schwandt [9] noted that the temperature of the chamber was a factor that significantly increased the processing of starch by the enzyme, and Lee et al. [39] reported that as the heating time increased from 5 to 30 minutes, the gelatinization was increased, affecting flake product characteristics. In the present study, the degradability of dry matter and starch were high at 100°C–720 s, which is the lowest chamber temperature, and these degradabilities were not consistent with the retention time at the same temperature condition. Taking these into consideration, it can be inferred that the increase of exposure time to heat (temperature) and the effect of pressure during the use of a pressurized steam chamber are influential factors in starch retrogradation or resistant starch production. Pressure treatment on starch has been shown to have effects such as effective heat transfer, enthalpy reduction for gelatinization, initial gelatinization temperature, and reaction promotion [11,13], thereby improving amylase-degrading ability [40] and increasing starch gelatinization [41]. On the other hand, the similar tendency of dry matter and starch degradability pertaining to the condition of the pressurized steam chamber (chamber temperature and retention time), is considered to be related to the high starch content (more than 70%, dry matter basis) of corn [42]. In the present study, the crude protein degradability in 100°C–700 s was higher than other high temperature conditions. This finding corroborates with previous studies [43,44], which reported that long-term heat treatment at high temperature for cereal grains caused not only protein denaturation but also decreased ruminal degradability.

Hungate [45] reported that the types and ratios of rumen microorganisms can vary depending on factors such as feed type, age, breed, and weight. In the present study, the effects of the pressurized steam chamber conditions on corn flakes varied according to the breed (Holstein and Hanwoo). These results can be attributed to the differences in body weight, rumen volume and rumen microbes (number, type, ratio, etc.) of Holstein and Hanwoo cows.

Collectively, the results of this study show that the corn flakes produced at 100°C–720 s and 105°C–700 s had higher propionic acid, total-VFA, and nutrients (dry matter and starch) degradability. Whereas, the conditions of the pressurized steam chamber (chamber temperature and retention time) were the main factors influencing the feed value of corn flakes in this study; however, the effect of pressure and resistant starch also influenced the feed value of corn flakes. Therefore, it is necessary to study these additional factors under the same conditions used in the present study.

Conclusion

In this study, we investigated the rumen fermentable characteristics and nutrients degradabilities of thirteen corn flakes produced by the different temperatures (100°C–116°C) and retention times (700–950 s) of the pressurized steam chamber; therefore, the temperature and retention time of the pressurized steam chamber are important factors affecting the quality of corn flakes. When we consider the input cost (electricity cost, the operation cost of equipment, etc.) and the production time, it is considered that the temperature of 105°C or higher and the retention time of 720 s or more are not effective to improve the quality of corn flakes. Thus, considering the ruminal VFA and starch degradability, the proper temperature of the pressurized steam chamber for enhancing the feed value of corn flakes is 100°C–105°C and the retention time is 700–720 s.
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