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Abstract: Pediatric ependymoma (EPN) is the third most common central nervous system (CNS) tumor, with 90% arising intracranially. Management typically involves maximal surgical resection and radiotherapy, but patients’ outcome is poor. Moreover, there are only a few therapeutical options available for recurrent or refractory disease. In this report, we present the case of a 7-year-old girl with relapsed refractory multifocal grade III EPN who failed conventional treatments and experienced a stable and durable response to the immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICPI) nivolumab in association with the mammalian target of rapamycin (m-TOR) inhibitor sirolimus. This experimental therapy was targeted on immune phenotypical analyses of the patient’s last relapse tumor sample, and this procedure should be routinely done to find new possible therapeutical approaches in recurrent solid tumors.
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1. Introduction

Ependymoma (EPN) is the third most common brain tumor in children, accounting for 6–10% of all intracranial tumors [1,2]. Standard treatment consists of surgery, followed by post-operative radiation therapy to the tumor bed [3]. The role of post-surgery chemotherapy in this entity is still debated and under investigation in international cooperative studies. Recently, large-scale genomic and epigenetic studies have revealed distinct molecular subgroups associated with different prognosis [4–19]. In particular, some data indicate that the RELA gene fusion subtype (ST-EPN-RELA) is associated with a worse outcome, whereas the YAP1 subtype (ST-EPN-YAP1) is very rare and correlates with better survival [20]. However, another important obstacle is the paucity of reports that have included a large single or multi-center EPN pediatric population with a long-term follow-up. More previous studies report a 3- or 5-year follow-up [21,22], and those that report longer-term outcomes include relatively small numbers of patients [13,23–28]. Complete resection, when possible, has been otherwise associated with a better outcome [29]. With these preliminary remarks, the survival rate is less than 20% in high-risk cases, so new therapeutic strategies are urgently needed [30,31]. Immunotherapy with ICPI acting through the programmed cell-death-1 (PD-1) pathway has been demonstrated to be effective in some chemotherapy-resistant adult cancers, also arising interest in the pediatric setting [32,33]. To date, it is standard practice in some centers to analyze tumor molecular and genetic characteristics to find suitable markers as the target of new drugs [34,35]. The
PD-1/PD-L1 pathway is, for example, well known in glioma [36,37] and glioblastoma [38] pathogenesis and ICPI therapy has been successfully applied in selected cases [32,39,40], while few data are available on EPN, even if some evidences indicate a role in tumor escape mechanisms [39].

We report the case of a child affected by relapsed refractory EPN who maintained stable disease for 12 months with a combination of nivolumab and sirolimus therapy.

2. Case Report

A 7-year-old female patient from Morocco came to our attention with a diagnosis of relapsed grade III EPN 4 years from the primary diagnosis, which was made in her origin country, done after seizure appearance. The tumor was localized in the left frontal lobe and was completely removed by surgery. From December 2016 to February 2017, the child received three courses of adjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin and etoposide. In March 2017, a relapse occurred in the primary tumor site. It was treated with surgery and three courses of chemotherapy with ifosfamide and etoposide. Complete remission was obtained. In October 2017, a second relapse occurred again in the left frontal lobe, which was treated with surgery and radiotherapy (59.4 Gy). Complete remission was achieved. In April 2019, a third relapse was diagnosed, and the patient was considered incurable in her origin country. For this reason, she was referred to our Center, where a full CNS magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) evaluation documented a multifocal disease both in the brain and in the spinal cord. CNS fluid analysis was negative for leptomeningeal dissemination. We decided to treat her with oral etoposide and dexamethasone. A follow-up MRI done in October 2019 showed a disease progression, but clinical conditions were still good, and we did not modify the current treatment. In December 2019, the patient was admitted to the hospital due to worsening general clinical conditions, which moved rapidly to a comatose state. We administered anti-edema treatment with high-dose dexamethasone and 20% mannitol, with an improvement of the clinical feature. The MRI showed an ulterior disease progression. For this reason, we decided to substitute etoposide with temozolomide. In January 2020, the patient was again admitted to the hospital because of neurological symptoms (headache, vomiting, and hypothenia of the right part of the body); anti-edema treatment was done and then radiotherapy on the left frontal lesion. We obtained histological material from the last surgery done in her origin country. Diagnoses of anaplastic ependymoma with RELA gene expression were confirmed. The immune phenotype analyses on paraffine tumor sample revealed: p65+, LICAM+, OLIG2-, p53+, GFAP+, EMA+, Synaptophysine+, ATRX+, m-TOR+, PD-L1+ (20%), and PD-1-.

Based on these findings, in February 2020, we decided to start targeted therapy with orally dispensed sirolimus 2 mg/Kg/day every day and IV nivolumab 3 mg/Kg every 2 weeks. Sirolimus dosage was modulated on patient plasmatic concentration. Local Ethics Committee approved the nivolumab off-label use, and written informed consent was signed by the parents, according to the Declaration of Helsinki. Treatment was continued for 1 year until disease progression. During this period, the patient experienced a varicella-zoster virus (VZV) infection, which required IV acyclovir, and dexamethasone was definitively withdrawn (July 2020). In April and August of 2020, control MRI showed substantially stable disease in both the brain and the spinal cord (Figure 1). At one-year follow-up, the patient had disease progression; however, her current clinical conditions are good and neurological assessment completely negative.
3. Discussion

Available therapies for EPN are to date insufficient to prevent relapse in almost half of the cases [31,41]. Recurrences occur prevalently after a median of 2 years from diagnosis, but sometimes they could happen many years later. In these cases, relapses could be multiple and cause important morbidity, such as in our case report. Moreover, recurrent/refractory EPN has very few therapeutic chances, especially in the pediatric population [31,40,41]. Recently, proton-beam radiation therapy has been proposed with the aim to reduce the long-term toxicity, but it did not improve outcomes [42]. CAR-T cell therapy is otherwise promising but still under investigation [43], so actual approaches are insufficient in these cases. Recent studies on genomic alterations and molecular biology underlying solid tumors expanded our understanding of their development and progression, offering great opportunities for large-scale therapeutical trials [32]. In particular, immunotherapy has demonstrated remarkable clinical efficacy in adult patients with refractory solid tumors such as melanoma, non-small-cell lung cancer or renal cell carcinoma, with optimal results also in advanced diseases [44–46]. Regarding pediatric cancers, PD-L1 expression was demonstrated in soft-tissue sarcomas, osteosarcoma, neuroblastoma, and brain tumors such as gliomas or glioblastomas [47–50], but to date, there have been only a few reports on pediatric cancer patients treated with agents targeting the PD-1 pathway, and most of them involving genetically unselected patients. Unfortunately, the majority of these studies report disappointing outcomes, despite preclinical data suggesting better results [47–50]. Very few data are otherwise available about EPN.
In a reported pediatric brain tumor series, one EPN case was treated with two courses of nivolumab and had disease progression in about 6 weeks, but retrospective analyses showed PD-L1 negativity [51].

In another reported series, one child with EPN was treated with nivolumab plus ipilimumab, obtaining durable stable disease for 18 months until disease progression. In this case, PD-L1 positivity was checked by immune histochemistry before treatment and was considered significant >1% [52].

On the other side, the hyper-activation of the downstream mammalian target of rapamycin (m-TOR) pathway is frequently observed in pediatric brain tumors, particularly low-grade gliomas, and sirolimus and its analogues temsirolimus or everolimus are currently used in this entity treatment [53–55].

In this report, we described for the first time the association therapy with nivolumab plus sirolimus in a child affected by refractory anaplastic EPN and obtained a stable disease. Up-regulation of immune checkpoints in brain tumor cells has resulted in high interest for ICPI therapy in these nosological entities. Our experience, as well as others additionally reported, showed that, among pediatric patients with recurrent/refractory brain tumors who failed standard treatment, nivolumab was well tolerated without any serious adverse event due to autoimmune side effects, including transaminitis, pancreatitis, colitis, vitiligo, and hepatitis [51,52]. In our report, the patient had no toxicity, developed only one infectious episode (VZV reactivation) and had a very good quality of life without hospitalization. Therapy was targeted on the immune phenotype evaluation of the last relapse sample and was safe and effective in a 12-month overall treatment. The effects of PD-L1 expression in pediatric brain tumors have been reported, but nivolumab was generally discontinued in median <6 weeks because of disease progression [51]. In our case, the response was maintained for 1 year, and the patient remained clinically stable. PD-L1 expression status is needed to demonstrate nivolumab’s efficacy in the management of recurrent/refractory brain tumors. Furthermore, this study confirms the not completely satisfying outcome of these cases, even if a good expression of PD-L1 (20%) and m-TOR (99%) in the tumor sample was observed. Some evidences indicate that the combination therapy of nivolumab with the CTLA-4 inhibitor ipilimumab or other drugs could increase the response rate [32,52]. PD-L1 is variably expressed in pediatric cancer and does not always correlate with drug efficacy and outcome. Despite these considerations, routine collection of tumor samples, in particular relapsed ones, could be very useful in identifying new therapeutic strategies and should be applied in larger pediatric studies.

In conclusion, nivolumab plus sirolimus was well-tolerated in our patient with recurrent/refractory EPN, and obtained stable disease. To obtain a better and more durable response, we suggest ICPI therapy be limited to those with elevated PD-L1 expression upon these findings.
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