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Abstract

A new nonthermal phenomenon caused by streaming cosmic rays (CRs) in the universe is proposed. The streaming CRs drive the return current of thermal electrons to compensate for the CR current. Then, electric fields are induced by the resistivity of the return current. It is shown that the resistive electric fields can accelerate secondary electrons generated by the streaming CRs. This is the self-discharge by streaming CRs. In this work, the self-discharge condition and the condition for runaway acceleration of secondary electrons are presented. The self-discharge creates high-energy secondary electrons, resulting in enhancements of ionization and nonthermal emission including the Kα emission line of neutral iron. After the self-discharge, the return current of thermal electrons is replaced by the electric current of secondary electrons. Since some generation and amplification of magnetic fields are driven by the return current of thermal electrons, the self-discharge can significantly influence them.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Cosmic rays (329); Secondary cosmic rays (1438); Plasma astrophysics (1261); Cosmic magnetic fields theory (321); Astrophysical magnetism (102); Ionization (2068); Molecular clouds (1072)

1. Introduction

Many studies of cosmic-ray (CR) interactions with neutral gases and plasmas have shown that CRs play various roles in different environments. Since the energy of CRs is much higher than the energy scale of any astrophysical objects, CRs can ionize and heat gas in the universe (Hayakawa et al. 1961; Fujita et al. 2007; Fujita & Ohira 2011). In addition, the CR interactions generate light nuclei (e.g., Li, Be, B) (Tatischeff & Gabici 2018), gamma rays (Hayakawa 1952), and X-rays (Tatischeff et al. 2012). Furthermore, the CR pressure is not negligible in some environments, so that CRs affect the dynamical evolution of astrophysical phenomena (Drury & Völk 1981; Jubelgas et al. 2008). Since CRs consist mainly of protons with a positive charge, streaming CRs create an electric current, so a return current of thermal electrons is driven to neutralize the CR current. The return current of thermal electrons in nonuniform systems is expected to generate seed magnetic fields in the early universe (Miniati & Bell 2011; Ohira 2020, 2021). In the present-day universe, it is known that the electron return current drives the nonresonant (Bell 2004), amplifying magnetic field fluctuations, which is expected to be important for CR acceleration (Bell 2004) and propagation (Blasi et al. 2015).

Interactions of CRs with Earth’s atmosphere provide high-energy particles in the inner Van Allen belt (Li et al. 2017). In addition, CRs generate high-energy secondary electrons in Earth’s atmosphere. There are electric fields in thunderclouds of Earth’s atmosphere, which are generated not by CRs, but by separation of charges due to friction (Takahashi 1978). Low-energy electrons cannot be accelerated by the electric fields because the friction with the atmosphere is large. On the other hand, high-energy secondary electrons can be accelerated in thunderclouds and generate further secondary electrons, resulting in electron avalanche (Dwyer et al. 2012). This discharge induced by CRs in thunderclouds is expected to be the origin of some types of gamma-ray flares from thunderclouds (Dwyer et al. 2012).

Streaming CRs in the universe induce resistive electric fields by the Coulomb interaction of the return current of thermal electrons (Miniati & Bell 2011; Silsbee & Ivlev 2020). In this work, we first investigate a condition whereby secondary electrons generated by CRs are accelerated by the resistive electric field induced by streaming CRs themselves, that is, the self-discharge condition. This is a new discharge mechanism and a new acceleration mechanism for secondary electrons in the universe. Furthermore, we show that the return current of thermal electrons is replaced by the electric current of high-energy secondary electrons after the self-discharge. Since the resistivity of high-energy secondary electrons is smaller than that of low-energy electrons, the resistive electric field becomes small after the self-discharge. Therefore, the self-discharge inhibits the generation and amplification of magnetic field by the return current of thermal electrons. Moreover, the self-discharge enhances ionization and nonthermal emission by secondary electrons.

2. Self-discharge Condition

We first consider thermal electrons, protons, hydrogen atoms, and streaming CRs to derive the discharge condition. In the proton rest frame, thermal electrons have a drift velocity, \( V_e = (n_{e\alpha}/n_e)V_{CR} \), to satisfy the current neutrality condition, where \( n_{CR} \), \( n_e \), and \( V_{CR} \) are the CR density, electron density, and the streaming velocity of CRs. The CR charge is assumed to be the positive elementary charge, \( e \). The return current of thermal electrons feels the resistivity due to the Coulomb interaction or interaction with neutral hydrogen. For gases with an electron temperature of \( T_e = 10 \) K, the Coulomb resistivity is dominant as long as the electron fraction is larger than \( \sim 5 \times 10^{-8} \). The timescale for the Coulomb scattering is

\[
\tau_C = 1.9 \times 10^{-1} s \left( \frac{n_e}{1 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{T_e}{10 \text{ K}} \right)^{3/2}.
\]
Then, the resistive electric field before discharge is given by Ohm’s law,

\[ E_0 = -\frac{m_e n_{CR} V_{CR}}{en_e I_c} = -9.5 \times 10^{-14} \text{ V cm}^{-1} \times \left( \frac{V_{CR}}{c} \right) \left( \frac{n_{CR}}{10^{-9} \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right) \left( \frac{T_e}{10 \text{ K}} \right)^{-3/2}, \]  

where \( m_e \) is the electron mass. The Coulomb resistive electric field induced by streaming CRs does not depend on the density of thermal particles but depends on the CR current and the electron temperature.

The typical energy of secondary electrons generated by the CR interaction with hydrogen atoms is \( \varepsilon \sim 10 \text{ eV} \) (Rudd et al. 1992). The secondary electrons lose their energy due to the excitation, ionization, and Coulomb losses. If the energy gain from the electric field, \( E \), is larger than the energy loss, the secondary electrons can be accelerated by the electric field, resulting in the discharge. Therefore, the discharge condition is given by

\[ \frac{d\varepsilon}{dt} = -eE\varepsilon + \varepsilon_{\text{loss}} > 0, \]  

where \( \nu \) is the velocity of secondary electrons and \( \varepsilon_{\text{loss}} \) is the energy loss rate. If the discharge condition is satisfied at \( \varepsilon \sim 10 \text{ eV} \), almost all secondary electrons are accelerated.

Figure 1 shows energy gain and loss rates as a function of the electron energy (Dalgarno et al. 1999). The dashed and dotted lines show loss rates, \( |\varepsilon_{\text{loss}}| \), for the excitation and ionization processes in \( n_{H} = 1 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) (Dalgarno et al. 1999), and for the Coulomb interaction in \( n_e = 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) (Swartz et al. 1971), respectively. The thin and bold solid lines show the energy gain by the resistive electric field, \( -eE\varepsilon \), for cases below and above the runaway acceleration condition, Equation (6), respectively.

\[ n_{CR} V_{CR} > 3.2 \times 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \left( \frac{T_e}{10 \text{ K}} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{n_{H}}{1 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right). \]  

(4)

For a larger electron fraction \( (n_e/n_H \gtrsim 8.3 \times 10^{-4}) \), the secondary electrons of \( \varepsilon \sim 10 \text{ eV} \) lose their energy through Coulomb losses. Then, the self-discharge condition is reduced to

\[ n_{CR} V_{CR} > 3.9 \times 10^{2} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \left( \frac{T_e}{10 \text{ K}} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{n_{e}}{1 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right). \]  

(5)

where \( \varepsilon_{\text{loss}} = -2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV s}^{-1} (n_e/1 \text{ cm}^{-3})^{(\varepsilon/1 \text{ eV})^{0.44}} \) is used for the Coulomb loss (Swartz et al. 1971). As one can see, the self-discharge condition is satisfied more easily in gases with lower temperatures and lower densities because a lower temperature makes the resistive electric field larger and a lower density makes the energy loss of the secondary electrons smaller.

### 3. Acceleration of Secondary Electrons

Once the self-discharge occurs, secondary electrons are accelerated by the electric field. If the electric field is not sufficiently large (see the thin solid line in Figure 1), the acceleration of secondary electrons stops at several tens of eV because the energy loss due to the ionization and excitation becomes larger than the energy gain by the electric field. However, for larger electric fields, that is, larger CR fluxes (see the bold solid line in Figure 1), secondary electrons continue to be accelerated until the electric field disappears. The runaway acceleration condition is

\[ n_{CR} V_{CR} > 2.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \left( \frac{T_e}{10 \text{ K}} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{n_{H}}{1 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right). \]  

(6)

If both the discharge and runaway acceleration conditions are satisfied, the secondary electrons could be accelerated to very high energies. However, if the return current of the secondary electrons compensates for the CR current, the return current of thermal electrons and the resistive electric field become small. To estimate the maximum energy of secondary electrons, we have to understand the evolution of the electric field. In this work, we consider a simple one-zone system as the first step. The time evolution of the number density of secondary electrons is given by

\[ n_{2nd} = n_{CR} \left( \frac{t}{t_{\text{ion}}} \right) + n_{2nd,0}, \]  

(7)

where \( n_{2nd,0} \) is the density of background secondary electrons that are generated by isotropic background Galactic CRs and \( n_{2nd,0} \sim 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) typically (Ivlev et al. 2021), and \( t_{\text{ion}} \) is the ionization timescale of CRs with an energy of 1 GeV. The equation of motion for the secondary electron fluid is

\[ m_e \frac{dV_{2nd}}{dt} = -eEn_{2nd}, \]  

(9)

where \( V_{2nd} \) is the mean velocity of secondary electrons and the resistive force is ignored here because the runaway acceleration condition is now satisfied. From the current neutrality
condition, \( n_{\text{CR}}V_{\text{CR}} = n_eV_e + n_{\text{2nd}}V_{\text{2nd}} \), the equation of motion for the thermal electron fluid is given by
\[
m_e \frac{d}{dt}(n_eV_e) = -m_e \frac{d}{dt}(n_{\text{2nd}}V_{\text{2nd}}).
\] (10)

From Equations (7), (9), (10), and Ohm’s law, \( E = -m_eV_e/eC \), we can obtain the following equation for the electric field,
\[
\frac{dE}{dt} = -\frac{n_{\text{CR}}t + n_{\text{2nd}}\phi_{\text{ion}}}{n_e\tau_{\text{ion}}E}.
\] (11)

The analytical solution is given by
\[
E = E_0 \exp \left\{ -\left( \frac{t}{\tau_{d,1}} \right) - \left( \frac{t}{\tau_{d,2}} \right)^2 \right\}.
\] (12)

where \( E_0 \) is the initial electric field given by Equation (2), and the characteristic decay times, \( \tau_{d,1} \) and \( \tau_{d,2} \), are defined by
\[
\tau_{d,1} = \frac{n_e}{n_{\text{2nd},0}} \tau_{C} = 1.9 \times 10^8 \text{s} \left( \frac{n_{\text{2nd},0}}{10^{-9} \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{T_e}{10 \text{ K}} \right)^{3/2},
\] (13)
\[
\tau_{d,2} = \frac{2n_e\tau_{\text{ion}}\tau_{C}}{n_{\text{CR}}} = 2.8 \times 10^8 \text{s} \left( \frac{n_{\text{CR}}}{10^{-9} \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{n_H}{1 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{T_e}{10 \text{ K}} \right)^{3/4}.
\] (14)

After the decay time (\( t < \min(\tau_{d,1}, \tau_{d,2}) \)), the electric current of secondary electrons becomes sufficiently large to compensate for the CR current. Then, to satisfy the current neutrality condition, the return current of thermal electrons becomes small, so that the resistive electric field becomes small.

The maximum energy of accelerated secondary electrons can be estimated by solving the equation of motion for the first secondary electron, \( dp/dt = -eE(t) \). From Equation (12), the maximum momentum is given by
\[
p_{\text{max}} = p_0 - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} eE_0\tau_2 \text{erfcx}(t_{d,2}/2\tau_{d,1}).
\] (15)

where \( p_0 \) is the initial momentum of secondary electrons, and \( \text{erfcx}(x) = (2/\sqrt{\pi})e^{x^2}\int_x^\infty e^{-t^2}dt \) is the scaled complementary error function.

In dense molecular clouds, many secondary electrons are generated by streaming CRs before background secondary electrons are accelerated by the resistive electric field. Then, the effect of the background secondary electrons is negligible, resulting in \( \tau_{d,1} > \tau_{d,2} \). In this case, the maximum momentum is given by
\[
p_{\text{max}} \approx p_0 - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} eE_0\tau_{d,2} \approx m_e V_{\text{CR}} \sqrt{\frac{n_{\text{CR}}\tau_{\text{ion}}}{2n_e\tau_C}} \approx 1.3 m_e c\left( \frac{V_{\text{CR}}}{c} \right) \left( \frac{n_{\text{CR}}/n_H}{10^{-9}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{T_e}{10 \text{ K}} \right)^{-3/4}.
\] (16)

If the background CR density is large, the number density of background secondary electrons becomes large. Moreover, the resistive electric field is stronger in lower-temperature regions. Then, the electric current of background secondary electrons quickly cancels the CR current before new secondary electrons are generated by streaming CRs, resulting in \( \tau_{d,1} < \tau_{d,2} \). In this case, the maximum momentum is given by
\[
p_{\text{max}} \approx p_0 - eE_0\tau_{d,1} \approx m_e c\left( \frac{V_{\text{CR}}}{c} \right) \left( \frac{n_{\text{CR}}}{n_{\text{2nd},0}} \right).
\] (17)

In the following, we consider two specific environments in the universe as examples.

### 4. Application to Molecular Clouds in the Vicinity of Supernova Remnants in the Current Universe

In the current universe, supernova remnants (SNRs) are believed to inject about \( U_{\text{CR}} = 10^{50} \text{erg} \) in CRs. Since the size of SNRs, \( R_{\text{SNR}} \), is typically from a few to ten parsecs, the number density of GeV CRs is expected to be \( n_{\text{CR}} \sim 4.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-3} (U_{\text{CR}}/10^{50} \text{ erg}) (R_{\text{SNR}}/5 \text{ pc})^{-3} \) around SNRs. Gamma-ray observations show that CRs interact with molecular clouds in the vicinity of SNRs (Ohira et al. 2011; Ackermann et al. 2013), supporting \( U_{\text{CR}} = 10^{50} \text{ erg} \). For a molecular cloud with \( T_e = 10 \text{ K}, n_H = 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}, n_e = 1 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), the discharge (Equation (5)) and runaway acceleration (Equation (6)) conditions are satisfied when the drift velocity of CRs, \( V_{\text{CR}} \), is larger than \( 3 \times 10^{-3} c \) and \( 1.8 \times 10^{-2} c \), respectively. The drift velocity of CRs is at least of the order of the shock velocity of SNRs, which is \( 10^{-3} c \) for middle-aged SNRs and \( 10^{-2} c \) for young SNRs.

Therefore, both conditions are expected to be satisfied marginally. Escaping CRs have a drift velocity faster than the shock velocity of SNRs, although the CR density becomes smaller than the above estimate. The dilution of CR density depends on the diffusion coefficient around SNRs and the shape of magnetic field lines, which are open problems and actively investigated. If any signatures of the self-discharge are observed, we can obtain information about the CR diffusion.

For the above parameter set, \( \tau_{d,1} \) is larger than \( \tau_{d,2} \). From Equation (16), the maximum momentum of runaway secondary electrons becomes
\[
p_{\text{max}} \approx 2.7 m_e c \left( V_{\text{CR}}/c \right).
\]

Therefore, the accelerated secondary electrons due to the self-discharge could enhance the production of MeV gamma rays and the 6.4 keV Fe line, and the ionization rate in molecular clouds.

### 5. Application to the First CRs in the Early Universe

According to our recent study, the first CRs are accelerated by SNRs of the first stars at a redshift of \( z \approx 20 \) (Ohira & Murase 2019). The mean number density of the first CRs is estimated to be \( n_{\text{CR}} = 3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) at \( z \approx 20 \). The drift velocity is almost the speed of light because there are no very weak magnetic fields in the early universe, so the mean CR flux is \( 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \). On the other hand, the hydrogen and electron number densities and temperature are \( n_H \sim 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}, n_e \sim 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-3}, \) and \( T_e \sim 1 \text{ K} \) at \( z \approx 20 \). Therefore, both discharge (Equation (4)) and runaway acceleration (Equation (6)) conditions are satisfied.

Since there are no background isotropic CRs in the early universe, from Equation (16) \( (\tau_{d,1} > \tau_{d,2}) \), the maximum momentum of accelerated secondary electrons becomes
\[
p_{\text{max}} \approx 1.7 m_e c \left( V_{\text{CR}}/c \right).
\] The accelerated secondary electrons...
could heat and ionize gases. In addition, the return current of thermal electrons quickly decays on the timescale of \( t_{d,2} \sim 6.7 \) kyr. Therefore, some mechanisms for generating magnetic fields by the return current of thermal electrons (Miniati & Bell 2011; Ohira 2020) can work not for the cosmological timescale but only for \( t_{d} \). However, inhomogeneity of the return current of secondary electrons can generate magnetic fields (Ohira 2020). Moreover, the Biermann battery driven by the streaming CRs (Ohira 2021) can work for the cosmological timescale by means of the gradient of the electron pressure, which is generated during the decay time, \( t_{d} \). Either way, the self-discharge significantly influences the generation of magnetic fields by streaming CRs.

### 6. Discussion

We have compared the energy loss and acceleration by the resistive electric field at the energy of 10 eV, which is the typical energy of secondary electrons, to understand whether the self-discharge occurs or not. However, secondary electrons with higher energies are sometimes generated by CRs, where the production rate is proportional to \( e^{-1} \) (Rudd et al. 1992). The energy loss rate becomes small for higher-energy secondary electrons. Hence, the self-discharge condition can be satisfied even though the CR flux is below the critical values given by Equations (4) and (5), but the event rate of the discharge becomes smaller. It should be noted that secondary electrons can be generated in fully ionized plasmas by Coulomb scattering and nuclear interactions. In particular, the production rate of secondary electrons by Coulomb scattering is almost the same as that by ionization (Abraham et al. 1966). Therefore, the self-discharge by streaming CRs can occur in various regions.

In Section 3, we have investigated the evolution of the resistive electric field and the return current of thermal electrons when the runaway acceleration condition is satisfied. Even if it is not satisfied, as long as the discharge condition is satisfied, secondary electrons with energies of several tens of eV continue to be generated until the electric current of secondary electrons compensates for the CR current. In such a case, the number of secondary electrons would be much larger than the number of CRs, so that a large ionization rate would be expected. On the energy scale of several tens of eV, we need Monte Carlo simulations because the approximation of continuous slowing-down is not applicable for electrons (Diniz et al. 2018). Furthermore, we have applied the one-zone system as the first step. In order to accurately evaluate the ionization rate and high-energy nonthermal emissions including the 6.4 keV Fe line from accelerated secondary electrons, we have to perform more rigorous calculations that consider the spectrum of secondary electrons, stochastic behaviors, and spatial structures, which will be addressed in future work.

Furthermore, in Section 3, we implicitly assumed that the CR current suddenly increases, but in reality it takes a finite injection time, \( t_{ij} \). If the injection time is shorter than the decay time of the electric field, our implicit assumption is valid. However, if the injection time becomes longer than the decay time \( t_{ij} > \min(t_{d,1}, t_{d,2}) \), before the CR flux reaches the critical value for runaway acceleration, the resistive electric field is reduced by acceleration of secondary electrons. Then, the resistive electric field cannot reach the critical value for runaway acceleration even though the CR flux reaches the critical flux given by Equation (6). In this case, runaway acceleration does not occur but many secondary electrons with energies of several tens of eV are generated. For molecular clouds in the vicinity of SNRs, the decay time of the electric field is \( t_{d,2} \sim 10^{3} \) s for the parameter set in Section 4. The minimum timescale of CR injection is the acceleration time of GeV CRs, which is \( t_{acc} \sim 10^{4} \) s \( (V_{sh}/3000 \text{ km s}^{-1})^{-2} (B/100 \text{ } \mu \text{G})^{-1} \) for acceleration at parallel shocks (Drury 1983), and \( t_{acc} \sim 10^{4} \) s \( (V_{sh}/3000 \text{ km s}^{-1})^{-1} (B/1 \text{ } \mu \text{G})^{-1} \) for acceleration at perpendicular shocks (Jokipii 1987; Kamijima et al. 2020). \( V_{sh} \) and \( B \) are the shock velocity and magnetic field strength, respectively. On the other hand, the longest timescale of the CR injection is the diffusion time, \( t_{diff} = L^{2}/D = 10^{10} \text{ s} (L/5 \text{ pc})^{2} (D/10^{28} \text{ cm}^{2} \text{ s}^{-1})^{-1} \), where \( L \) and \( D \) are the distance from the CR source and the diffusion coefficient of CRs. If the CR injection time is comparable to the acceleration time, \( t_{acc} \), runaway acceleration can occur in the molecular clouds, but cannot occur for \( t_{lag} \sim t_{diff} \). In the early universe, the decay time of the resistive electric field is \( t_{d,2} \sim 10^{11} \) s (see Section 5), which is longer than the acceleration and propagation times of CRs. Therefore, runaway acceleration can occur in the early universe. To understand more accurately whether runaway acceleration occurs or not, we need to study the self-discharge process more specifically by providing specific density structures. It should be noted that the above argument is based on the simple one-zone system that we considered in Section 3. In reality, the gas density is not uniform, especially near the edge of molecular clouds. We should investigate the self-discharge process in nonuniform systems in the future.

We have shown that the return current of thermal electrons is replaced by the current of secondary electrons once the self-discharge occurs. The return current of thermal electrons drives the nonresonant (Bell) instability in a weak magnetic field (Bell 2004), which amplifies magnetic field fluctuations. There are many studies about the Bell instability (Niemiec et al. 2008; Reville et al. 2008; Ohira et al. 2009; Riquelme & Spitkovsky 2009; Marret et al. 2015) because it is expected to have an important role in CR acceleration and propagation. In principle, the current of secondary electrons can drive the nonresonant instability, but the growth rate and the nonlinear evolution would be modified. Furthermore, secondary electrons would be scattered by the magnetic field fluctuations amplified by the Bell instability, which would enhance the anomalous resistivity of these electrons and the resistive electric field. Therefore, the self-discharge would also be influenced by the Bell instability.

### 7. Summary

We have shown that secondary electrons generated by streaming CRs can be accelerated by the resistive electric field that is induced by the streaming CRs themselves, that is, the self-discharge is driven by the streaming CRs. The self-discharge and runaway acceleration conditions have been derived. After the self-discharge, the return current of thermal electrons is replaced by the electric current of the secondary electrons. Then, the resistive electric field decays because the resistivity of the secondary electrons is much smaller than that of thermal electrons. Since some generation and amplification of magnetic fields are driven by the return current of thermal electrons, the self-discharge significantly influences them. If the runaway acceleration condition is satisfied, the secondary
electrons can be accelerated to mildly relativistic energies. As a result of the self-discharge, the ionization, nonthermal emissions, and 6.4 keV line of neutral Fe are enhanced by the accelerated secondary electrons.
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