A study of the mucoadhesive patches loaded with mangosteen peel extract in periodontitis
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Objective: The treatment of periodontitis with scaling and root planing has a good prognosis. However, periodontitis may also exacerbate. The mucoadhesive patch is one of the delivery systems of topical drugs, which is not irritation to the mucosa and eventually increases permeability. Based on phytochemical screening, mangosteen peel extract has an active ingredient with high anti-inflammatory and antibacterial properties. This study aims to understand the potential of a mucoadhesive patch loaded with mangosteen peel extract to inhibit alveolar bone damage in periodontitis.

Methods: This experimental laboratory research was conducted using 27 Wistar rats divided into three groups: the positive control group (0.7% tetracycline gel application), negative control group (mucoadhesive patch application), and experimental group (mucoadhesive patch loaded with mangosteen peel extract application). Rats were administered 1 x 10⁹ colony-forming unit as much as 200 µL of Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans bacteria three times at 2-day intervals. Tetracycline gel and mucoadhesive patch application were administered for 1 h/day for 3 days. Three rats from each group were sacrificed on days 3, 5, and 7 after the application. The lower jaw was dissected for histopathological examination.
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Abstract

Objective: The treatment of periodontitis with scaling and root planing has a good prognosis. However, periodontitis may also exacerbate. The mucoadhesive patch is one of the distribution systems of topical drugs, which is not irritable to the mucosa and eventually increases permeability. Based on phytochemical screening, mangosteen peel extract has an active ingredient with high anti-inflammatory and antibacterial properties. This study aims to understand the potential of a mucoadhesive patch loaded with mangosteen peel extract to inhibit alveolar bone damage in periodontitis.

Methods: This experimental laboratory research was conducted using 27 Wistar rats divided into three groups: the positive control group (0.7% tetracycline gel application), negative control group (mucoadhesive patch application), and experimental group (mucoadhesive patch loaded with mangosteen peel extract application). Rats were administered 1 x 10⁹ colony-forming unit as much as 200 µL of Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans bacteria three times at 2-day intervals. Tetracycline gel and mucoadhesive patch application were administered for 1 h/day for 3 days. Three rats from each group were sacrificed on days 3, 5, and 7 after the application. The lower jaw was dissected for histopathological examination.
using haematoxylin and eosin staining to determine the number of osteoclasts and osteoblasts.

Results: The mucoadhesive patches loaded with mangosteen peel extract significantly reduced the number of osteoclasts and increased the number of osteoblasts in all groups ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion: The mucoadhesive patches loaded with mangosteen peel extract can prevent alveolar bone damage in periodontitis by inhibiting the number of osteoclasts and increasing the number of osteoblasts.
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Introduction

Periodontitis is an inflammation of the periodontal tissues, characterised by alveolar bone resorption,¹ and is found in healthy individuals above the age of 30 years.² In periodontitis, there is an increase in the number of Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans by up to 90%. A. actinomycetemcomitans are gram-negative bacteria that can produce exotoxins or endotoxins that function to provide invasive bacteria to periodontal tissues.³ Bacterial invasion into periodontal tissues can activate an immune response that can lead to tissue damage including alveolar bone resorption.⁴ The alveolar bone resorption is caused by an increase in osteoclast activity and a decrease in osteoblast activity due to an activated immune response by bacterial exotoxins or endotoxins.⁵,⁶ Currently, treatment of periodontitis is performed to protect teeth. Scaling and root planing (SRP) is one of the treatment modalities for periodontitis to eliminate biofilm and subgingival calculus.⁷ SRP can increase clinical attachment loss (CAL) between 0.55 and 1.29. Based on research, patients with periodontitis respond well to SRP and show good disease progression; however, exacerbations may occur when patients do not maintain oral hygiene.⁸ Modifications to treatment using SRP continue to be performed to improve treatment results, one of which is by using mucoadhesive patches.⁹–¹¹

The mucoadhesive patch is a drug distribution system that has been widely used in the past few decades owing to its potential to optimise local drug distribution.¹² Some of the benefits of using a mucoadhesive patch are low enzymatic activity, painless application, and increased therapeutic bioavailability.¹³ Mangosteen (Garcinia mangostana) is native to Southeast Asia, including Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand. Mangosteen skins, fruits, and peel have been widely used as drugs owing to their high therapeutic effect.¹⁴ Based on phytochemical screening, mangosteen peel extract contains saponins, tannins, polyphenols, flavonoids, and xanthones, which can inhibit the process of tissue damage.¹⁴,¹⁵ This study aimed to determine the potential of mucoadhesive patches loaded with mangosteen peel extract administration to inhibit the damage of alveolar bone in periodontitis by counting the number of osteoclasts and osteoblasts.

Materials and Methods

Mangosteen peel extract preparation

Mangosteen peel was obtained from Garcinia mangostana. Mangosteen peel was washed thoroughly with running water, cut, and dried in a 50 °C oven for 24 h. After drying, the mangosteen peel was crushed, blended, and filtered using a 2/9 sized filter. The mangosteen peel powder was then macerated in 96% ethanol using a magnetic stirrer for 2 days with mangosteen peel and ethanol at a ratio of 1:2.⁷

Creation of the mucoadhesive patches loaded with mangosteen peel extract

The first mixture was obtained by developing carboxymethyl cellulose sodium, which was sprinkled in 30 mL of water (ratio 1:20) left overnight and poured into a gel mass. Approximately 60.3 mL of hot water was gradually added to the gel base. The second mixture was prepared by dissolving ethanol with 0.5 mL mangosteen peel extract, followed by the addition of propylene glycol (2.5 gm) and stirring until it dissolves. The second mixture was added to the first mixture and stirred until it became homogeneous. The prepared mixture weighed as much as 70 gm when placed in a petri dish, and then dried in a memmert oven at 40 °C.¹⁶

Animals

The research conducted was an experimental laboratory study with a research design using a post-test-only control group design. The sample used in this study was male Wistar rats (Rattus norvegicus), aged 5–6 months, weighing 250–300 gm, obtained from the Experimental Animal Laboratory of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Airlangga University. The number of animals used in this study was determined by Lemeshow,¹⁷ with a total of 27 animals divided into nine groups.

Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans induced periodontitis

Wistar rats were adapted for 7 days before inducing periodontitis. The Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans 1 × 10⁹ colony-forming unit (CFU) was prepared according to the previous method.¹⁸ As much as 200 µL of A. actinomycetemcomitans 1 × 10⁹ CFU was injected in the gingival sulcus of the mandibular anterior, three times a day for 2 days for the development of periodontitis.¹⁹

The treatment of periodontitis

Clinical periodontitis after the induction of A. actinomycetemcomitans was depicted as a swelling of the interdental incisive central mandibular area, and interdental resorption using histopathology examination.²⁰ ²¹ Wistar rats exhibiting signs of periodontitis were then anesthetised using...
an intraperitoneal injection of 0.1 mL/100 g of ketamine body weight. Anaesthesia was performed to facilitate the application of patches and to maintain mucoadhesive patches in the oral cavity of Wistar rats.

In the negative control group, mucoadhesive patches were applied; in the positive control group, 0.7% tetracycline gel was applied; and in the experimental group, the mucoadhesive patches loaded with mangosteen peel extract were applied. The mucoadhesive patch was maintained for 1 h in the periodontitis area and then removed. This procedure was performed once a day for 3 consecutive days.22,23

In the next 3, 5, and 7 days after treatment, each animal group was sacrificed using a lethal injection of ketamine (at least four times the anaesthetic dose or approximately 0.4 mL/100 gm body weight). Mandibular anterior biopsy was performed, and the animal was buried according to the ethics of experimental animals.24

Counting osteoblasts and osteoclasts

Haematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining was used to count the number of osteoblasts and osteoclasts. The single calibrated operator performed this procedure under a light microscope (Nikon H600L microscope; Nikon, Japan) at 400 × magnification in five fields of view.

Statistical analysis

The data obtained were then analysed using the Shapiro–Wilk normality test. The differences in osteoblasts and osteoclasts were analysed using Kruskal–Wallis and Mann–Whitney tests with p < 0.05 as a significant difference.

Results

The number of osteoblasts and osteoclasts was calculated based on the histopathological features. The average number of osteoblasts and osteoclasts showed that there was an increase in the number of osteoblasts and a decrease in the number of osteoclasts in all study groups at 3, 5, and 7 days after treatment (Figure 1).

Table 1 shows a significant difference in osteoblast numbers in the negative control and experimental groups after 3, 5, and 7 days (p = 0.046, p = 0.046, and p = 0.044, respectively); however, does not show a significant difference in the negative control group with the positive control, or the positive control group with treatment after 3, 5, and 7 days (p = 0.178; p = 0.068; p = 0.072, respectively).

Table 2 shows a significant difference in osteoclast numbers in the negative control group and the experimental group after 3 and 5 days (p = 0.043 and p = 0.043, respectively); however, does not show a significant difference in the negative control group with the positive control, or the positive control group with treatment after 3 and 5 days (p = 0.099; p = 0.068, respectively). The treatment after 7 days showed no differences in the negative control, positive control, or experimental groups (p > 0.05).

| Table 1: The number of osteoblasts. |
|-------------------------------------|
| positive control group (0.7% tetracycline gel application) | negative control group (mucoadhesive patch application) | experimental group (mucoadhesive patch loaded mangosteen peel extract application) |
| 3 days 4.00 ± 1.00a | 5.33 ± 1.154 | 7.33 ± 1.54a |
| 5 days 5.67 ± 1.15b | 7.67 ± 0.58 | 9.00 ± 1.00b |
| 7 days 7.33 ± 1.80c | 9.67 ± 0.58 | 11.67 ± 2.08c |

a,b,c showed significant different with Mann–Whitney test.

Figure 1: The histopathology of osteoclasts (A, B, and C) and osteoblasts (D, E, and F) using haematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining with magnification 400 ×.
Discussion

Based on the results of the study, the number of osteoblasts observed at 3, 5, and 7 days after treatment in all groups increased, while the number of osteoclasts observed in all groups decreased. This is consistent with the theory of the bone remodelling process, which involves the process of resorption by osteoclasts and the process of apposition by osteoblasts. In the resorption phase, osteoprotegerin (OPG), which is an inhibitor of receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa-β ligand (RANKL), binds to receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa-β (RANK). As it has a smaller amount of RANK than RANKL, it cannot inhibit RANKL from binding with RANK. When the remodelling process enters the reversal phase, which is a transition phase from the resorption to the formation phase, there is an increase in the amount of OPG; therefore, OPG can bind to RANKL and inhibit osteoclast differentiation and maturation thus, decreasing the number of osteoclasts and increasing the number of osteoblasts.\textsuperscript{20,25} The experimental group showed the highest number of osteoblasts and the lowest number of osteoclasts; therefore, it can be concluded that the mucoadhesive patch of mangosteen peel extract can inhibit alveolar bone damage in periodontitis-induced \textit{A. actinomycetemcomitans}. This finding confirms the results of Mizuno et al. (2015), who showed that there was a decrease in the number of osteoclasts in rats with periodontitis after treatment. The decrease in the number of osteoclasts is caused by the inhibition of bacteria to invade tissue, causing the suppression of inflammatory mediators such as interleukin 1 (IL-1), interleukin 6 (IL-6), and tumour necrosis factor \textit{α} (TNF-\textit{α}).\textsuperscript{26}

Mangosteen peel extract can inhibit alveolar bone resorption through xanthone, tannin, and flavonoids, which are antibacterial and anti-inflammatory. Xanthone destroys bacterial adhesion to inhibit the attachment of bacteria to the tissue;\textsuperscript{27} coagulating bacterial cells and eliminating bacterial virulence factors. Flavonoids interfere with bacterial metabolism by binding to proteins that can interfere with bacterial metabolism. This is supported by the use of mucoadhesive patches that can increase bioavailability and drug potential as by using mucoadhesive patches, the first-pass effect can be avoided so that the active ingredients contained in mangosteen peel extract can be well distributed to the target tissue.\textsuperscript{28} The negative control group showed the lowest number of osteoblasts and the highest number of osteoclasts. This is because, in the negative control group, the mucoadhesive patches of mangosteen peel extract or other antibacterial and anti-inflammatory ingredients were not applied; therefore, the inflammatory response continued. Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) from \textit{A. actinomycetemcomitans} can bind to the toll-like receptor which is an LPS receptor and can activate various immune responses in the body. Active macrophages, neutrophils, and lymphocytes can stimulate the production of inflammatory mediators, such as IL-1, IL-6, TNF-\textit{α}, and prostaglandin E\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{21,29} IL-1 is a proinflammatory cytokine that has a high potential to induce bone demineralisation and synergise with TNF-\textit{α} to stimulate bone resorption. IL-6, a proinflammatory cytokine can also stimulate alveolar bone resorption and can affect the expression of RANKL, RANK, and OPG.

There were significant differences between the negative control and experimental groups at 3 and 5 days after treatment. This is caused by the pharmacological effects of mangosteen peel extract and the drug distribution system using mucoadhesive patches so that it can inhibit bone damage in periodontitis induced by \textit{A. actinomycetemcomitans}. On the seventh day after treatment, there were no significant differences between the negative control and experimental groups. This is because the observation has undergone a process of regeneration, and osteoclast differentiation decreases; thus, bone density increases.

The limitations of this study lie in the limited observations on osteoblasts and osteoclasts, where clinical parameters of periodontitis such as tooth mobility, CAL, probing, or pocket depth were not measured. However, the results of this study confirm that mucoadhesive patches loaded with mangosteen peel extract play a role in periodontitis, where osteoblasts and osteoclasts are the main indicators of periodontitis. To strengthen the results of this study, more research is needed to determine the effect of mucoadhesive patches loaded with mangosteen peel extract on other markers of periodontitis, anti-bacterial power in mucoadhesive patches, and application of mucoadhesive patches on the oral mucosa.

Conclusion

Within the limitations of this study, mucoadhesive patches loaded with mangosteen peel extract can prevent alveolar bone damage in periodontitis by inhibiting the number of osteoclasts and increasing the number of osteoblasts.

| Table 2: The number of osteoclasts. | positive control group (0.7% tetracycline gel application) | negative control group (mucoadhesive patch application) | experimental group (mucoadhesive patch loaded mangosteen peel extract application) | p |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 3 days | 7.67 ± 0.58\textsuperscript{a} | 6.57 ± 0.58 | 5.33 ± 0.58\textsuperscript{a} | 0.043 |
| 5 days | 6.67 ± 0.58\textsuperscript{b} | 5.33 ± 0.58 | 4.33 ± 1.15\textsuperscript{b} | 0.043 |
| 7 days | 5.00 ± 1.00 | 4.33 ± 1.15 | 3.00 ± 1.00 | >0.05 |

\text{a,b,c} showed significant different with Mann–Whitney test.
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