Porcine Epidemic Diarrhoea Virus in Italy: Disease spread and the role of transportation
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1 INTRODUCTION

Porcine Epidemic Diarrhoea (PED) is a viral disease that causes acute diarrhoea, dehydration, and a high mortality rate in suckling piglets. The virus responsible for PED (PEDV) belongs to the genus Alpha-coronavirus, family Coronaviridae, order Nidovirales (Carstens, 2010). Porcine Epidemic Diarrhoea was first described in Europe (UK) in 1971 (Wood, 1977) and subsequently spread to other European countries (Pensaert & de Bouck, 1978). In the 80’s and 90’s, PED epidemics became infrequent in Europe (Nagy, Nagy, Meder, & Mocsari, 1996; Pijpers, van Nieuwstadt, Terpstra, & Verheijden, 1993; Pritchard, Paton, Wibberley, & Ibata, 1999), while severe epidemics were reported in some Asian countries, such as Japan and Korea (Kweon et al., 1993; Takahashi, Okada, & Ohshima, 1983). In
Thailand in 2007–2008 and in China in 2010–2012, severe PED outbreaks were reported (Li et al., 2012; Puranaveja et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013). Since May 2013, PED has become an epidemic in the USA (Stevenson et al., 2013), causing huge economic losses in swine production. Distinct viral strains have been identified in the USA. The highly virulent PEDV strain associated with severe outbreaks (Stevenson et al., 2013) is called “US original” or “non-S-INDEL”, in reference to the S-INDEL, which shows minimal to no clinical signs (Wang, Byrum, & Zhang, 2014b) and is characterized by insertions and deletions in the spike (S) gene. Additionally, another coronavirus, genetically distinct from PEDV and similar to that detected in Hong Kong in 2011 (Woo et al., 2012), has been identified. This virus, called Porcine Delta Coronavirus (PDCoV), (Marthaler et al., 2014) has been found with a relatively high viral load, often in association with PEDV in farms experiencing acute diarrhoea in sows and piglets (Wang, Byrum, & Zhang, 2014a).

In Italy, PED has been present since the early 90s, and its spread has been increasing as infections caused by the coronavirus transmissible gastroenteritis of pigs (Transmissible Gastroenteritis Virus, TGEV) decreases. After the severe epidemic of 2005–2006 (Martelli et al., 2008), sporadic outbreaks, characterized by mild symptoms and mortality rates slightly above the average, have occurred from 2007 to 2014 (Boniotti et al., 2016). A high level of genetic variability was observed among the strains circulating in Italy during that period (Boniotti et al., 2016). In particular, a novel coronavirus generated by recombination between PEDV and TGEV, called Swine Enteric Coronavirus (SeCoV), was identified. SeCoV was circulating in Italy from 2009 to 2012, was found in Germany (Akimkin et al., 2016) in 2012, and has recently been detected in Central Eastern Europe (Belscham et al., 2016). In July 2014, two new cases of PED, with mild clinical signs, were reported (Boniotti et al., 2016). Based on the S1 gene sequence, these new strains have a high identity with the American strain S-INDEL OH851, which is defined as being less virulent. Since the end of 2014, PED has also been reported in Belgium (Theuns et al., 2015), Germany (Hanke et al., 2015), the Netherlands (Van Wolf et al., 2015), France (Grasland et al., 2015), Spain (EFSA, 2016), Slovenia (Toplak et al., 2016), Austria (Steinrigl et al., 2015), and Portugal (Mesquita et al., 2015), and strains genetically related to the American S-INDEL strain have been identified in all of these countries. However, the virulent American prototype strain has only been identified in the Ukraine (Dastjerdi, Carr, Ellis, Steinbach, & Williamson, 2015).

In the USA, the S-INDEL variant appears less virulent than the non-S-INDEL strain (Wang et al., 2014b), and experimental infections appear to confirm these observations (Chen et al., 2016; Leidenberger et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2015). However, occasional description of outbreaks, with high mortality rates in suckling piglets, has been reported in Europe (Bertasio et al., 2016; Mesquita et al., 2015; Stadler et al., 2015).

Many factors can contribute to the rapid spread of an infectious agent, even in a vast geographical area (Jung & Saif, 2015; Lee, 2015), and only strict control measures can contain the economic losses sustained by pig producers. Pig transportation is often the main suspected source of PEDV infection, and thus, it can play a role in the transmission of PEDV to different herds (EFSA, 2016; Lowe et al., 2014). For the control and prevention of the disease, management, biosecurity and/or cleaning and disinfection measures should be adopted. Indeed, particular attention should be paid to the cleaning of transportation trucks, and the efficacy of decontamination procedures needs to be periodically monitored and verified.

The aim of this study is to describe the PED epidemic wave that hit Italy in 2015–2017, to provide data about mortality levels registered during outbreaks caused by the S-INDEL strains, and to evaluate the role of transportation in the spread of PEDV, with particular attention to the efficacy of cleaning and disinfection procedures.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Samples

Between January 2015 and June 2017, 3,005 stool and 777 pig tissue samples were voluntarily submitted by veterinarians or farmers to determine the causative agent(s) of enteritis cases from 840 farms. Additionally, 2,182 environmental swabs were collected at seven slaughterhouses from the low-floors of trucks after animals were unloaded. The samples represented 1,091 swabs taken before and after, respectively, cleaning using high-pressure washing systems and disinfecting by glutaraldehyde, quaternary ammonium salts, chloramine T, and Virkon®-based products. We also collected 126 environmental swabs from the floors of 81 trucks upon arrival at 23 farms, prior to animal loading. In 36 cases, the samples were only taken from the tractor, while in 45 cases they were taken from both the tractor and trailer.

Each environmental sample was collected by swabbing the four corners and the perimeter of the low-floors of the trucks, using a home-made multistratified pad formed by an absorbent sterile bandage. After the sampling, pads were placed in sterile bags until taken to the laboratory. There, swab samples were washed with 50 ml of saline solution, and then transferred into sterile tubes.

2.2 | Clinical data

The mortality and clinical data that were collected from 105 farms confirmed PEDV-positive by PCR (Supporting Information Table S1). In particular, data were obtained from the following farm production types: 46 finishers, 40 farrow-to-wean, five farrow-to-finish and 14 wean-to-finish. Clinical evaluations were determined by recording the percentages of diarrhoeic animals in each age group (suckling, weaned, fattener, and breeder), and these values were divided into four classes, low (0%–5%), medium (6%–20%), high (21%–50%), and very high (>50%). The mortality rate was calculated for the duration of the outbreak as the percentage of dead animals over the total animals of each category. Because the different age categories were not present at all 105 farms, percentages of diarrhoeic animals and mortality rates were recorded from 40, 39, 46, and 79 farms for breeders, suckling, weaned, and finishers, respectively. For breeders,
data were recorded from the different areas within the herd in which they were present: insemination area, farrowing unit, gestation box, and gestation cage. For fattener, data were recorded both from growing-finishing (30–60 kg) and fattener (60–170 kg).

2.3 PEDV detection and identification

Stool and tissue samples from the herds and swabs collected before truck cleaning and disinfection processes were diluted 1:10 (w/v) in minimum essential media. Suspensions were clarified by centrifugation for 10 min at 4,000 g to eliminate debris. Swabs collected after the cleaning and disinfection operations were submitted directly for extraction, without any preprocessing. In total, 200 μl of the supernatant was subjected to RNA extraction and analysed by real-time PCR in which a fragment of the S1 gene from PEDV was amplified using a method described by Bertasio et al., 2016. The samples with Cq values >40 were considered as negative. Cq values were considered to compare PEDV titres.

Additionally, between January and June 2015, 1,112 samples (878 stools and 234 tissues) from 200 farms were analysed using a commercial kit (EZ-PED/TGE/PDCoV: Tetracore, Rockville, MD, USA) for the detection of TGEV, PDCoV, and PEDV.

To confirm the presence of the S-INDEL variant, the sequence of a portion (564 nt) of the S1 gene, 20,570–21,134 nt, which is referred to as the CV777 sequence (Accession number AF353511) was obtained from each new PEDV-positive herd and from herds with a PEDV reinfection if more than 5 months had elapsed from when PEDV was first detected. RT-PCR was performed by adding 5 μl of extracted RNA to 20 μl of the OneStep RT-PCR kit (QUIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) reaction mixture containing 0.4 μM of each primer (PEDV_S_1F: GGTAAAGTTGCTAGTGCGTAA and PEDV_S_1R: TCCCATGTTATGCGCAAA). The amplification was carried out at 50°C for 30 min for the RT reaction, followed by the activation of the Hotstart DNA polymerase at 95°C for 15 min and by 45 cycles in three steps: 94°C for 30 s, 51°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min. An additional extension for 10 min at 72°C was added at the end of the run. The PCR products were purified using NucleoSpin® Gel and PCR Clean-up reagents (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) and were subjected to nucleotide sequence analyses. Cycle sequencing reactions were performed using the BigDye® Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit, version 1.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reactions were purified using a BigDye XTerminator™ purification kit (Applied Biosystems) and sequenced on a 3500xL genetic analyser (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Nucleotide sequences were assembled using the SeqMan module of the DNASTAR software package (Lasergene, Madison, WI, USA). Sequences were deposited in GenBank under accession nos. MH028493–MH028607. The nucleotide sequences were aligned to 32 selected PEDV sequences available from the GenBank database using ClustalW software implemented in BioEdit, version 7.2.5 (Hall, 1999). A phylogenetic analysis was performed using the neighbour-joining method and the maximum composite-likelihood model, with a 1,000 bootstrap replicates in MEGA6 (Tamura, Dudley, Nei, & Kumar, 2007).

3 RESULTS

3.1 PEDV outbreaks

After two initial sporadic outbreaks in mid-2014, PED started rapidly spread beginning in January 2015 in a high-density pig production area of North Italy. Porcine Epidemic Diarrhoea Virus was detected by real-time PCR in 438 farms located mainly in the Po Valley with only a few in the rest of Italy (Figure 1). Porcine Epidemic Diarrhoea outbreaks occurred mainly during the winter months and three epidemic peaks were observed based on the samples received: February–April 2015, December 2015–March 2016, and December 2016–March 2017 (Figure 2). Between January and June 2015, 200 farms were also tested for the presence TGEV, PDCoV, but no samples tested positive for these viruses.

Porcine Epidemic Diarrhoea Virus reinfection cases, which were registered even in fattening farms where the all-in-all-out system is applied, occurred in 24.31% and 22.96% of the previously infected herds during the second and third epidemics, respectively. Of the 531 positive holdings, 268 were finisher, 23 farrow-to-finish, 142 farrow-to-wean, 87 wean-to-finish, two family holdings, and nine unknowns. Clinical symptoms were observed in animals of all ages, but the most severe signs and the highest percentages of diarrhoecal animals (more than 50%) were observed in the fattening and piglet groups (Figure 3). The mortality rate was higher in piglets, with peaks of up to 50% (Figure 4).

To detect the PEDV variants circulating in Italy, the N-terminal region of the S1 gene, containing insertions and deletions that distinguish S-INDEL from non-S-INDEL strains, was analysed in each new positive herd and from herds with PEDV reinfection (if more than 5 months had elapsed since PEDV was first detected). The PEDV strain type was identified in 491 samples (434 stools, 15 rectal swabs, and 42 intestines/intestinal contents) out of 531 positive PEDV samples, which came from 403 of the 438 farms. Because of technical problems, such as low titre or degraded sample, the S1 gene could not be sequenced in 40 samples. A phylogenetic tree was constructed based on 115 strains (excluding identical and/or partial nucleotide sequences) found in Italy and 32 sequences available in the NCBI database (Supporting Information Figure S1). The strains from Italy were divided into two clusters. In total, 360 of 491 (73.3%) showed a high degree of similarity (97.6%–100%) with the European S-INDEL strains circulating in 2014–2015 (Figure 2). The other 131 sequences (26.7%) exhibited a high degree of identity (98.6%–99.8%) with the PEDV SLOreBas-1 strain identified in Slovenia in 2015 (KO191623) and in Hungary in 2016 (Valko et al., 2017). This strain harbours a recombinant S1 gene containing a fragment of ~400 nt that has a high identity with SeCoV, an already recombinant strain. In this study, the recombinant PEDV/SeCoV strain was first detected in May 2016 (three out of 131), but was mostly detected in samples procured after December 2016.
The proportions of PED cases caused by the recombinant PEDV/SeCoV variant that occurred in January, February, March, and April 2017 were 73.4%, 74.5%, 80%, and 93.75%, respectively, which made it the most widespread PEDV strain in Italy.

### 3.2 Truck contamination

In total, 154 out of 1,091 (14.1%) environmental swabs collected at slaughterhouses from the low-floors of trucks after animals were unloaded tested positive for PEDV before the cleaning and...
disinfection operations were performed. In addition, 81 out of 1,091 (7.4%) environmental swabs of the same trucks, collected after routine cleaning and disinfection operations, tested positive for the virus. Of the 154 trucks that had originally tested positive, 71 (46%) remained so even after the cleaning and disinfection operations. In addition, swabs corresponding to 12 of the 937 (1.3%) PEDV-negative swabs taken before cleaning, were detected as PEDV-positive after the cleaning and disinfection procedures, probably owing to different areas being selected in the two samplings. Thus, the cleaning and disinfection procedures succeeded in eliminating the virus in only 54% of the trucks that initially tested PEDV-positive.

Even though the collection of environmental swabs did not occur equally during the study period, the percentage of positive swabs from trucks increased in the winter months, as expected (Supporting Information Figure S2).

We also evaluated the PEDV titres, in terms of the C<sub>t</sub> values obtained from real-time PCR analyses, in truck samples taken before and after the cleaning procedures (Table 1 and Supporting Information Figure S3a,b). While 77.5% of the positive precleaning swabs showed high C<sub>t</sub> values (C<sub>t</sub> ≥ 30), 12.7% had high viral titres, with C<sub>t</sub> values <25. In the postcleaning swabs, all of the samples showed C<sub>t</sub> values ≥25 (Table 1).

The analysis performed on the environmental swabs collected from empty trucks arriving at the farms to load animals, revealed that 17 out of 126 samples, corresponding to 14 out of 81 trucks (17.3%), tested PEDV-positive. In particular, PEDV was
detected in 13 out of 81 tractors (16%), and four out of 45 trailers (8.9%).

4 | DISCUSSION

Unlike other European countries, PED has remained present in Italy since its first appearance in the 1980s. After the 1990s, when PED disappeared from the other European countries, endemic waves, like the one that occurred in 2006 (Martelli et al., 2008), were followed by periods of sporadic outbreaks (2007–2014) (Boniotti et al., 2016). We cannot exclude that single cases could have occurred in Europe before the current US outbreak but were, most likely, neither investigated nor diagnosed as PED. In addition, seroprevalence, at both the animal and herd level, was high in farms from Northern Italy, even when only sporadic PED cases were detected (Alborali, Boniotti, & Lavazza, 2014). Unfortunately, very few serosurveys have been carried out in other European countries, but the limited data available showed an absent or very low seroprevalence (EFSA, 2016).

Following the recent epidemic in the USA, PEDV was detected in Europe in 2014, and a few cases were observed in Belgium, Holland, France, Germany, and Portugal. In Italy, PEDV was first reported in July 2014 (Boniotti et al., 2016) and, since the beginning of 2015, a large number of PED outbreaks have occurred (531 out of 1,210 cases of enteritis), with peaks in the winter months. Outbreaks were observed in all of the farm production types but mainly in the fattening and farrow-to-wean farms. The typical clinical signs of PED were observed mainly in suckling and fattening animals, but patterns and severity levels differed. Watery diarrhoea with the presence of mucus and blood was observed in piglets, as well as in gestating and farrowing sows (Bertasio et al., 2016). In this study, 31% of herds with suckling pigs and 54% of herds with fattening pigs showed outbreaks in which more than 50% of the animals were diarrhoeic. The durations of disease’s clinical signs were variable, ranging from a few days to up to 9 weeks (Bertasio et al., 2016). The mortality rates were higher in piglets, varying from 0% to 50%. These figures are similar to those reported in other European countries in the same period (Mesquita et al., 2015; Stadler et al., 2015; Steinrigli et al., 2015) and are in agreement with the EFSA Report of 2016, which indicated that the mortality was higher in the suckling piglet age group, with a mean of 18%, ranging from 0% to 84%.

The impacts of infection and the severity of the clinical signs may have resulted from the concurrent effects of coinfections with other pathogens (Bertasio et al., 2016; Jung, Kang, Lee, & Song, 2008; Zhao et al., 2016) and strain pathogenicity (Chen et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2015), or from other factors, such as biosecurity, herd size, farm management, sanitary status, and herd immune status (EFSA, 2016).

The strains that arrived in Italy and in the other European countries in 2014 showed a 98.5%–99.5% identity with the OH851 strain isolated in the USA in January 2014 (Boniotti et al., 2016; Grasland et al., 2015; Hanke et al., 2015; Mesquita et al., 2015; Steinrigli et al., 2015; Theuns et al., 2015; Toplak et al., 2016; Van Wolf et al., 2015). However, after January 2017, a recombinant variant, containing a portion of the SeCoV S1 gene, began to spread, resulting in 93.7% of the outbreaks in April 2017, almost completely outcompeting the nonrecombinant variant. This strain was also observed in Slovenia in 2015 (KY019623) and in Hungary in 2016 (Valko et al., 2017).

The emergence of new PEDV variants with potentially different pathogenic features is of great concern for swine health. In conjunction with a systematic laboratory confirmation of the presence of PEDV during outbreaks, continuous molecular surveillance is necessary to understand strain circulation and infection sources, and to allow the implementation of efficient control measures.

In this study, we also investigated whether animal transportation could be a risk factor in the spread of PEDV. As a consequence of the swine vesicular disease outbreaks, which occurred in Italy in 2010, strict biosecurity measures, in particular the cleaning and disinfection of vehicles at slaughterhouse after animal unloading, were adopted. However, this study indicates that these measures are not effective enough to completely eliminate PED contamination from trucks. Porcine Epidemic Diarrhoea Virus can still be detected by RT-PCR even after disinfection with several commercially available disinfectants (Bowman et al., 2015). In particular, during the winter months, when the virus titres in the faeces from infected animals are high (Ct < 25), these procedures reduced but did not completely eliminate the virus in 46% of the examined trucks that had tested positive prior to cleaning. The presence of the PEDV genome may not necessarily indicate the presence of infectious viral particles. However, Tun, Cai, & Khafipour (2016) demonstrated that PEDV has high rate of survival outside its swine host, being infective in manure for up to 9 months after the initial outbreaks on farms.

On the basis of this study, two main measures need to be implemented in Italy: (a) education programs for farmers and drivers to stress the importance of truck cleaning and disinfection after each transport, as well as of using disposable material and defining the separation truck loading areas and farm/slaughter premises; (b) control of the correct application of the cleaning and disinfection procedure based on the volumes of water and disinfectant used by each driver at the truck-wash stations of the slaughterhouses.

| C<sub>t</sub> values of real-time PCR, % | 20–24.99 | 25–29.99 | 30–34.99 | 35–39.99 | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Before cleaning | 4.0 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 37.6 | 39.9 | 173 |
| After cleaning | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 25.9 | 67.9 | 81 |
To avoid the spread of infection, constant and scrupulous attention needs to be paid to the cleaning and disinfection procedures and to all of the other biosecurity measures, including restricting human traffic between fattening and farrowing units and limiting contact between trailers or drivers and the farm interior during the loading process at the pig farms or between drivers and the slaughter facilities during the unloading process (Lee, 2015; Lowe et al., 2014). Otherwise, their application becomes useless, especially for a high-morbidity viral disease such as PEDV.
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