Comparative Genomic Analysis of the 2016 *Vibrio cholerae* Outbreak in South Korea
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In August 2016, South Korea experienced a cholera outbreak that caused acute watery diarrhea in three patients. This outbreak was the first time in 15 years that an outbreak was not linked to an overseas source. To identify the cause and to study the epidemiological implications of this outbreak, we sequenced the whole genome of *Vibrio cholerae* isolates; three from each patient and one from a seawater sample. Herein we present comparative genomic data which reveals that the genome sequences of these four isolates are very similar. Interestingly, these isolates form a monophyletic clade with *V. cholerae* strains that caused an outbreak in the Philippines in 2011. The *V. cholerae* strains responsible for the Korean and Philippines outbreaks have almost identical genomes in which two unique genomic islands are shared, and they both lack SXT elements. Furthermore, we confirm that seawater is the likely source of this outbreak, which suggests the necessity for future routine surveillance of South Korea’s seashore.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Cholera is an infectious disease that causes severe and acute diarrhea. Due to its severity, patients often experience hypovolemic shock, acidosis, and even death (1). The disease is transmitted through the consumption of *Vibrio cholerae*, a bacterial species found in seafood and polluted water. Although much is known about cholera, it is one of many infectious diseases that has still not been eradicated and repeated outbreaks continue to occur around the globe, especially in developing countries. The outbreak in Haiti in 2010 was one of the worst cholera outbreaks in recent history and resulted in 665,000 cases and 8,183 deaths. Owing to its severity, multiple investigations have been conducted to elucidate the origin and the transmission of this outbreak (2–4).

*V. cholerae* strains are classified by according to the O antigen serotypes, and there are currently >200 serogroups (5). Among these serogroups, O1 was the only serogroup that had caused major...
cholera pandemics until the emergence of O139 (6). Interestingly, the O1 serogroup has two major biotypes; classical and El Tor. Although classical *V. cholerae* has caused major outbreaks in the sixth pandemic era, it has not caused an outbreak since 1961 (7). On the other hand, the El Tor biotype was discovered in a quarantine station in Sinai in 1905 and obtained its notoriety from a global outbreak started in Indonesia in 1961, where it quickly overtook the classical biotype which opened the door for the seventh pandemic (8). The classical biotype has since lost its dominance over El Tor, but in recent years, variant strains possessing genotypes of Classical biotype in the CTX prophage region with the El Tor genome backbone have been reported (9–12).

Since the latest outbreak in 2001 (13), no local cholera cases have been reported in South Korea, except for patients who had traveled overseas to cholera endemic areas. In August 2016, for the first time in 15 years, an outbreak of cholera occurred when three local citizens were infected with *V. cholerae*. These patients were immediately quarantined following diagnosis of *V. cholerae* infection. The Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDC) used pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) to find the epidemiological links and the source. The PFGE have shown that the strains isolated from these three patients, and an isolate from a seawater sample near the outbreak share the same PFGE patterns (14). In this study, we further investigated the molecular epidemiology of these isolates using whole genome sequencing, and we performed comprehensive comparative genomic (CG) analysis to elucidate the possible source, phylogenetic relationships, and their CTX prophage phenotype using molecular evidence. Through extensive CG analysis, we were able to confirm that the 2016 Korean isolates share a common ancestor with the *V. cholerae* strains that were responsible for the 2011 outbreak in the Philippines (12).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Genome Sequencing, Assembly, and Annotation

The KCDC provided genomic DNA of the four isolates (three from patients and one from a seawater sample). The detailed history of these strains was given in the previous study (14). DNA libraries were prepared using the TruSeq DNA library kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The whole genome sequencing was performed using the MiSeq 250 paired-end system, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sequencing reads were assembled using SPAdes 3.9.1 (15). Samples were tested for contamination by comparing different copy of 16S rRNA in the genome using ContEst16S tool v1.0 (16) and genome-based species identification was performed by the TrueBac ID system (v1.92, DB:20190603) [https://www.truebacid.com/; (17)] according to the algorithm proposed by Chun et al. (18). Each genome was matched against *V. cholerae* type strain genome to ensure that ANI is above the threshold value (≥ 95%).

Gene-finding was performed using Prodigal v2.6.3 (19) and gene annotation was conducted by homology search (USEARCH v8.1.1861) against the EggNOG v4.1 (20), SEED 2015-12-10 (21), Swiss-Prot [version 2015-12-10; (22)] and KEGG databases [version 2018-10-01; (23)] with following parameters; -accel 1.0 -evalue 1.0E-5 -maxaccepts 1. In addition, antibiotic resistance genes were identified using the Resistance Gene

| TABLE 1 | Patient information and genomic properties of *V. cholerae* isolates. |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | Patient #1                  | Patient #2                  | Patient #3                  | Sea water                  |
| Gender   | Male                        | Female                      | Male                        | –                          |
| Source   | Fecal                      | Fecal                      | Fecal                      | Jangmuk                    |
| Age      | 59                          | 73                          | 63                          | –                          |
| Onset of diarrhea | 2016-8-9                  | 2016-8-15                  | 2016-8-21                  | –                          |
| First admission day | 2016-8-11              | 2016-8-17                  | 2016-8-23                  | –                          |
| Duration of diarrhea | 8 days                  | 9 days                     | 9 days                     | –                          |
| Underlying symptoms | Angina Pectoris         | Hypertension stroke         | Hypertension               | –                          |
| Date of seafood consumption | 2016-8-7,2016-8-8    | 2016-8-14                  | 2016-8-18                  | –                          |
| Type of seafood consumed | Seabass, sea squirt, abalone, crab | Japanese Spanish mackerel | Assorted raw seafood* | –                          |
| Genome size(bp) | 3,958,379                  | 3,967,889                  | 3,974,533                  | 3,960,629                  |
| No. of contigs | 84                          | 84                          | 77                          | 61                          |
| N50(bp) | 377,211                    | 377,211                    | 636,791                     | 636,791                     |
| GC ratio (%) | 47.5                       | 47.5                       | 47.5                        | 47.5                        |
| No. of CDSs | 3,476                       | 3,476                       | 3,495                       | 3,489                       |
| 16S rRNA similarity to CECT 514T (%) (Accession X76337) | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.7 |
| Average nucleotide identity to ATCC 14035 (%) (Accession GCA_000621645.1) | 99.3 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 99.3 |

*Assorted raw seafood include horse mackerel, flatfish, rockfish, sea squirt, abalone, shrimp, sea cucumber, octopus, and squid.*
Searching for Phylogenetic Neighbors in the *Vibrio cholerae* Genome Database

To find the closest phylogenetic neighbors in the GenBank genome database, we developed a Single Nucleotide Variant (SNV)-based search algorithm. First, we generated a core gene set from 32 representative complete genomes (Table S1) belonging to *V. cholerae* using the Roary v3.12.0 pipeline (25). The resultant collection of core genes were concatenated to create a Species-specific Reference Genome (SRG), namely for *V. cholerae*. This artificially generated genome sequence was then used to detect the SNVs from 789 high-quality *V. cholerae* genome sequences in the EzBioCloud database (26), and the genome of the Korean *V. cholerae* isolates in this study (Table S2). Using SRG as a reference, a pairwise SNV analysis was performed using the nucmer and show-snps script in MUMmer v3.23 tool (27) against all 789 genomes and Korean isolates.

Genomes which are phylogenetically related to the Korean isolates were identified by analysis of pairwise genome similarity, which was calculated by comparing SNVs against the SRG. Alternatively, a genome-wide phylogenetic tree was generated from the SNV bases that were compiled from the calculated SNVs. The maximum likelihood method implemented in the FastTree tool v2.1 (28) was used for tree-making with the default parameters. The final dataset, containing the Korean isolates and their phylogenetic neighbors, was created using both similarity values and the topology of a phylogenomics tree based on SNVs.

Genome-Wide Phylogenetic Analysis

The SNVs of genome sequences in the final dataset were calculated using the MUMmer v3.23 program (27) with isolate KorC1, the strain obtained from the first patient, as the reference genome sequence. A multiple sequence alignment was performed using the MUMmer v3.23 tool (27) against all 789 genomes and Korean isolates.

To perform whole genome multilocus sequence typing (wgMLST), the set of core genes that were detected in the process of creating the SRG was used. We generated the hidden Markov models (HMMs) for each of the resultant core genes using MAFFT v7.3.10 (30) and nhmmer v3.1b2 tools. The core genes were identified using an HMM-based search, and then used to assign unique allele numbers for.
each gene. The in-house JavaScript was coded for Kruskal’s algorithm to infer a wgMLST-based minimum spanning tree (31).

**Comparative Genomics Based on Gene Contents**

The gene content-based comparative genomic analysis was performed as described by Chun et al. (32). The USEARCH v8.1.1861 (33) tool was used for bidirectional protein sequence searches. Only genomic regions with five or more consecutive genes in a contig and appearance of the same patterns in different strains were considered genomic islands (GIs), when they are thought to be laterally transferred.

**Variants in CTX**

The ctxB1 (the classical allele), ctxB2 (El Tor allele), rstR1 (classical allele), rstR2 (El Tor allele) were obtained from two genomes (GCA_000621645.1/ATCC 14035 O1 Classical and GCA_000006745.1/N16961 O1 El Tor; Table S3). BLAST v2.2.30+ was used to search for different alleles in Korean isolates. After the search, EzBioCloud’s genome browser was used to locate the CTXφ prophage with the structure proposed by Davis et al. [(34); Figure S1].

**RESULTS**

**Genomic Features of Korean V. cholerae Isolates**

The draft genome sequences of the four isolates in this study were assembled to give 61–99 contigs and have a total length of 3.96–3.99 Mbp, with the average G+C content of 47.5 mol% (Table 1). Subsequent genome annotation showed that they have an average of 3,483 coding sequences (CDSs). The ctxB and rstR genes, which belong to the CTXφ and RS1 region respectively, were selected as genetic markers to differentiate between the CTXφ genotypes (35, 36). A single CTXφ region, which include the core and RS2 regions, was found in all isolates. In the core region, ctxB1 (the classical allele) that contains histidine and threonine in position 39 and 68, respectively, was found along with ctxA, zot, ace, orfU, and cep (37). Due to the incompleteness of the genome assemblies, only partial fragments of RS1, which is absent in the classical biotype strain, and RS2 regions were recovered, and sufficient to confirm that Korean isolate contains a hybrid form of CTXφ prophage (34, 38). The presence of the rstC gene suggests the presence of an RS1 region, and both types of rstR (classical and El Tor) were found.

**Genome-Wide Phylogenetic Analysis**

Using an SNV-based search, a total of 17 genome sequences in the EzBioCloud database were selected for the final dataset along with the four Korean isolates. These genomes showed the highest sequence similarities and were placed closely in the SNV-based
phylogenetic tree. Notably, three strains that had been associated with the 2011 Philippines outbreak were also included.

A total of 976 variable positions were identified from the SNVs detected in the final dataset. Intriguingly, the maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree revealed that both of the strains from Korea and the Philippines form a monophyletic clade. This was supported by a 100% bootstrap value (Figure 1). The tree topology within this Korea/Philippines clade indicates that all *V. cholerae* outbreaks in Korean and in the Philippines share a common ancestor.

A total of 2,341 core genes were identified by the Roary v3.12.0 tool and used as house-keeping genes in wgMLST analysis. Among the genomes included in the final dataset, 424 genes showed different sequence types. The wgMLST-based minimum spanning tree is given in Figure 2 which also indicates that Korean isolates were descendants of the Philippines outbreak strains in 2011. All the Korean strains showed exactly same core gene types except that the KorC1 strain which showed a different gene type in one gene VC2032, which encodes for a hypothetical protein. In contrast, all of the strains from the Philippines were more distinct from one another.

**Comparative Genomics**

Gene-content based comparative genomic analysis revealed functional similarities and differences, as well as lateral gene transfer events among genomes in the final dataset (Figure 1). Two GIs were identified between the Korea/Philippines clade and the remaining *V. cholerae* strains from various countries. Figure 3 depicts the genetic organization of two GIs, named as genomic islands of Korea/Philippines 1 (GI-KP1) and genomic islands of Korea/Philippines 2 (GI-KP2), that were only present in the Korea/Philippines clade. The GI-KP1 island harbors the
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**FIGURE 3 | Continued**
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FIGURE 3 | Genomic islands that are uniquely present in both Korean and Philippines outbreak strains. Boxes in blue and red indicate the presence and absence, respectively, of the orthologous gene in the genome to genome comparison. HP, hypothetical protein. (A) Genomic island 1 is located between VC0424 and VC0425. (B) Genomic island 2 between VC0080 and VC0081. Both genomics islands contain Type I specific deoxyribonuclease and adenine-specific DNA-methyltransferase.

TABLE 2 | Pairwise nucleotide differences among strains belonging to the 2011 Philippines and 2016 Korea outbreaks.

|         | KorC1 | KorC2 | KorC3 | KorE1 | PhVC-326 | PhVE-5 | PhVC-311 | YN97083 | V060002 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|--------|----------|---------|---------|
| KorC1   | -     | 15    | 19    | 23    | 45       | 41     | 37       | 110     | 113     |
| KorC2   | 15    | -     | 25    | 21    | 40       | 43     | 42       | 115     | 112     |
| KorC3   | 21    | 27    | -     | 20    | 36       | 31     | 29       | 116     | 95      |
| KorE1   | 22    | 20    | 17    | -     | 26       | 31     | 27       | 113     | 98      |
| PhVC-326| 45    | 40    | 36    | 26    | -        | 26     | 18       | 83      | 79      |
| PhVE-5  | 45    | 43    | 35    | 35    | 26       | -      | 14       | 86      | 89      |
| PhVC-311| 37    | 42    | 30    | 28    | 18       | 12     | -        | 93      | 89      |
| YN97083 | 110   | 114   | 116   | 113   | 83       | 88     | 92       | -       | 65      |
| V060002 | 116   | 116   | 116   | 116   | 81       | 86     | 90       | 64      | -       |

MUMmer tool was used to calculate single nucleotide variants (SNVs).
The gene content-based comparative genomics and other results

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we investigated the epidemiology and genome-based characterization of the 2016 cholera outbreak in Korea using whole genome sequencing. Unlike the previous cholera outbreaks in South Korea over the last 15 years, the patients in this outbreak had no record of overseas travel. All three patients had consumed local seafood in the same county (within 20 km), and the toxin-positive *V. cholerae* strain was isolated from nearby seawater (14). In addition to their geographical association, both SNV-based phylogeny (Figure 1) and wgMLST (Figure 2) suggest that all Korean isolates from patients and seawater form a monophyletic clade with only 15–27 substitutions, thereby suggesting a strong epidemiological link. The fact that all Korean isolates from 2016 come from the same source was confirmed by wgMLST in which all genomes showed 0–1 allele differences out of 2,341 core genes.

In an earlier study by Kim et al. (14), PFGE was used for molecular epidemiology of this outbreak in which three patient isolates showed identical PFGE patterns and the seawater isolate differs only slightly with 97% identity. The authors concluded that the possible source of the outbreak was seawater given the result of PFGE typing, as well as the unusually favorable environmental conditions for *V. cholerae* that existed in 2016. Our genome-based study agrees with previous findings and also provides further epidemiological insights into the 2016 Korea outbreak, by comparing our large genome database, holding 789 strains of *V. cholerae* isolated from various countries and in different years.

In this study, we were able to find the epidemiological link between the Korea outbreak to strains that caused a *V. cholerae* outbreak in the Philippines in 2011 (12). This relationship is supported by both SNV-based phylogeny and wgMLST. Gene-content based comparative genomic analysis provides more supporting evidence for close relatedness of isolates from the two countries.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the utility of whole-genome sequencing for the epidemiological study of *V. cholerae* outbreaks. Using a combination of phylogenomic and comparative genomic methods, we show that the three Korean cases are related to the *V. cholera* lineage associated with the 2011 Philippines outbreak. The case presented here demonstrates the need for ever more comprehensive genome sequence databases to pave the way for improved global monitoring of important pathogens such as *V. cholerae*.
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**TABLE 3 | SNVs from Korea outbreak strains that are distinct from the Philippine strains.**

| SNV contig | Gene function | Gene name | SNV | Substitution |
|------------|---------------|-----------|-----|--------------|
| 34: 245316  | Cell division protein | FtsI | G -> A | A563V |
| 12: 51506   | Peptide chain release factor 3 | prfC | A -> G | D35G |
| 4: 129878   | Phosphogluconate dehydratase | edd | . -> G | Insertion |
| 67: 104132  | Uncharacterized protein | prfC | T -> C | D75G |
| 69: 111605  | Uncharacterized protein | prfC | C -> T | G142D |

Data shown here only include shared SNV bases in all Korean strains that cause non-synonymous mutations from the Philippines strains.

*SNV position is with reference to the PhVC-311 genome.

---

**Interactive Web Site for Comparative Genomics**

The gene content-based comparative genomics and other results can be accessed at https://www.ezbiocloud.net/cg/vcholerae-kor2016 with an interactive user interface.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Hyungseok Seo, Changsik Moon, Kyuwan Kim, Whanchul Yong, and Sinwoo Lee for their assistance in the web development. We acknowledge Robert Mervis for reviewing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Kaper JB, Morris JG Jr, Levine MM. Cholera. Clin Microbiol Rev. (1995) 8:48–86.
2. Chin CS, Sorenson J, Harris JB, Robins WP, Charles RC, Jean-Charles RR, et al. The origin of the Haitian cholera outbreak strain. N Engl J Med. (2011) 364:33–42. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1012928
3. Mutreja A, Kim DW, Thomson NR, Connor TR, Lee JH, Kariuki S, et al. Evidence for several waves of global transmission in the seventh cholera pandemic. Nature. (2011) 477:462–5. doi: 10.1038/nature10392
4. Hasan NA, Choi SY, Eppingher M, Clark PW, Chen A, Alam M, et al. Genomic diversity of 2010 Haitian cholera outbreak strains. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. (2012) 109:E2010–2017. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1207359110
5. Safa A, Nair GB, Kong KY. Evolution of new variants of Vibrio cholerae O1. Trends Microbiol. (2010) 18:46–54. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2009.10.003
6. Rabbani GH, Mahalanabis D. New strains of Vibrio cholerae O139 in India and Bangladesh: lessons from the recent epidemics. J Diarrheal Dis Res. (1993) 11:63–6.
7. Alam M, Islam MT, Rashed SM, Johura FT, Bhuiyan NA, Delgado G, et al. Vibriob cholerae classical biotype strains reveal distinct signatures in Mexico. J Clin Microbiol. (2012) 50:2212–6. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01189-12
8. Lam C, Octavia S, Reeves P, Wang L, Lan R. Evolution of seventh cholera pandemic and origin of 1991 epidemic, Latin America. Emerg Infect Dis. (2010) 16:1130–2. doi: 10.3202/eid1607.100131
9. Nair GB, Faruque SM, Bhuiyan NA, Kamruzzaman M, Siddique AK, Sack DA. New variants of Vibrio cholerae O1 biotype El Tor with attributes of the classical biotype from hospitalized patients with acute diarrhea in Bangladesh. J Clin Microbiol. (2002) 40:3296–9. doi: 10.1128/JCM.40.9.3296-3299.2002
10. Ansaruzzaman M, Bhuiyan NA, Nair BG, Sack DA, Lucas M, Deen JL, et al. Cholera in Mozambique, variant of Vibrio cholerae. Emerg Infect Dis. (2004) 10:2057–9. doi: 10.3202/eid1001.004682
11. Safa A, Sultana J, Dac Cam P, Mwansa JC, Kong RY. Vibriob cholerae O1 hybrid El Tor strains, Asia and Africa. Emerg Infect Dis. (2008) 14:987–8. doi: 10.3202/eid1406.080129
12. Klinzing DC, Choi SY, Hasan NA, Matias RR, Tayag E, Geronimo J, et al. Vibriob cholerae classical biotype strains reveal distinct signatures in Mexico. J Clin Microbiol. (2012) 50:2212–6.
13. Lee JH, Lim HS, Lee K, Kim JC, Lee SW, Go UY, et al. Epidemiologic investigation on an outbreak of cholera in gyeonggubuk-do, Korea. 2001. Korean Prev Med Bull. (2002) 35:295–304.
14. Kim JH, Lee J, Hong S, Lee S, Na HY, Jeong YI, et al. Cholera outbreak due to raw seafood consumption in South Korea, 2016. Am J Trop Med Hyg. (2018) 99:168–70. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.17-0646
15. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol. (2012) 19:455–77. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012.0021
16. Lee J, Chalita M, Ha SM, Na SI, Yoon SH, Chun J. ContEst16S: an algorithm that identifies contaminated prokaryotic genomes using 16S RNA gene sequences. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. (2017) 67:2053–7. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.018755
17. Ha SM, Kim CK, Roh J, Byun JH, Yang SJ, Choi SB, et al. Application of the whole genome-based bacterial identification system, truedbc, using clinical isolates that were not identified with three matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) Systems. Ann Lab Med. (2019) 39:530–6. doi: 10.3343/alm.2019.39.6.530
18. Chun J, Oren A, Ventosa A, Christensen H, Arahal DR, da Costa MS, et al. Proposed minimal standards for the use of genome data for the taxonomy of prokaryotes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. (2018) 68:461–6. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.02516
19. Hyatt D, Chen GL, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics. (2010) 11:119. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-119
20. Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Forslund K, Cook H, Heller D, Walter MC, et al. eggNOG 4.5: a hierarchical orthology framework with improved functional annotations for eukaryotic, prokaryotic and viral sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. (2016). 44:D286–293. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1248
21. Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Zhubuch J, Chen W, Laseter KD, et al. The DHRF database: a new never ending resource available for the placement of novel genomes on phylogenetic trees. Nucleic Acids Res. (2004) 32:43–43. doi: 10.1093/nar/gki866
22. Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S, Holden MT, et al. Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. Bioinformatics. (2015) 31:5691–7. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv421
23. Yoon SH, Ha SM, Kwon S, Lim J, Seo H, et al. Introducing EzBioCloud: a taxonomically unified database of 16S rRNA gene sequences and whole-genome assemblies. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. (2017) 67:1613–7. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.01755
24. Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, et al. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. Genome Biol. (2004) 5:R12. doi: 10.1186/gb-2004-5-2-r12
25. Price MN, Dehal PS, and Arkin AP. FastTree 2–approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. PLoS ONE. (2010) 5:e9490. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0009490
26. Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics. (2014) 30:1312–3. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
27. Yamada KD, Tomii K, Katoh K. Application of the MAFFT sequence alignment program to large data-reexamination of the usefulness of chained guide trees. Bioinformatics. (2016) 32:3246–51. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw012
28. Kruskal JB. On the shortest spanning subtree of a graph and the traveling salesman problem. Proc Am Math Soc. (1956) 7:48–50. doi: 10.2307/2032341
29. Chun J, Grims J, Hasan NA, Lee JH, Choi SY, Haley BJ, et al. Comparative genomics reveals mechanism for short-term and long-term clonal transitions in pandemic Vibrio cholerae. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2009) 106:15442–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0907781106
30. Edgar RC. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics. (2010) 26:2460–1. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp461
31. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol. (2012) 19:455–77. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012.0021
32. Lee J, Chalita M, Ha SM, Na SI, Yoon SH, Chun J. ContEst16S: an algorithm that identifies contaminated prokaryotic genomes using 16S RNA gene sequences. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. (2017) 67:2053–7. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.018755
33. Ansaruzzaman M, Bhuiyan NA, Safa A, Sultana M, McAmule A, Mondlane C, et al. Genetic diversity of El Tor strains of Vibrio cholerae O1 with hybrid SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00228/full#supplementary-material
traits isolated from Bangladesh and Mozambique. *Int J Med Microbiol.* (2007) 297:443–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2007.01.009

36. Son MS, Megli CJ, Kovacikova G, Qadri F, Taylor RK. Characterization of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 El Tor biotype variant clinical isolates from Bangladesh and Haiti, including a molecular genetic analysis of virulence genes. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2011) 49:3739–49. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01286-11

37. Hossain ZZ, Leekitcharoenphon P, Dalsgaard A, Sultana R, Begum A, Jensen PKM, et al. Comparative genomics of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 isolated from cholera patients in Bangladesh. *Lett Appl Microbiol.* (2018) 67:329–36. doi: 10.1111/lam.13046

38. Wang H, Pang B, Xiong L, Wang D, Wang X, Zhang L, et al. The hybrid pre-CTXΦ-RS1 prophage genome and its regulatory function in environmental *Vibrio cholerae* strains. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2015) 81:7171–7. doi: 10.1128/aem.01742-15

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** SHa, MC, S-JY, S-HY, KC, and JC are the employees of Chunlab, Inc., the developer of the EzBioCloud and TrueBac ID services.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

*Copyright © 2019 Ha, Chalita, Yang, Yoon, Cho, Seong, Hong, Kim, Kwak and Chun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.*