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Abstract

Background: Diabetes mellitus (DM) causes serious deterioration in general quality of life (QoL) mainly affecting the health-related quality of life (HRQOL). Routine assessment of QoL improves communication with the patient, helps to predict treatment response, and supports clinical decision-making. QoL can predict an individual’s capacity to manage the disease and maintain long-term health and wellbeing. Aims: To find out the QoL and its socio-demographic, anthropometric, and clinical determinants among DM patients attending health institutions from sub-Himalayan region, catering rural population. Settings and Design: This cross-sectional study was conducted in two hospitals mostly catering rural population from 2014 to 2018. Purposive sampling technique was used. Materials and Methods: Socio-demographic, anthropometric, and clinical data of DM patients (N = 300) were collected. They were administered Hindi translation of QoL Instrument for Indian Diabetes Patients (QOLID) and Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9). All statistical analyses were carried out using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) (Version 17.0, USA). Results: About 10% had very poor, 13% poor, 11% average, 16% good, and 50% very good QoL on QOLID. General health (GH) and treatment satisfaction (TS) were the most affected domains. Fatigue was the most common symptom (79%) reported in QOLID. Age more than 55 years, rural background, and PHQ-9 score of more than 7 were predictors of poorer QoL. Conclusion: There is a need for a holistic and collaborative care of DM patients, to maintain a good HRQoL. Screening of depression, fatigue, and regular assessment of QoL should be emphasized.
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Background

Noncommunicable diseases, like diabetes mellitus (DM), are one of the most important public health problems worldwide.[1] In 2019, according to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), 463 million people had diabetes in the world[2] of which 77 million belong to India.[1]

Patients with DM have to undergo lifestyle adjustments such as timing and type of food, regular exercise, daily medications, blood glucose monitoring etc., this places unique demands on individual as well as on the family, which affects their QoL. The QoL has been recognized as an important health outcome, representing the ultimate goal of health for all interventions.[3]

The WHO defines QoL as “an individual’s perception of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live, and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards, and concerns.”[6] DM causes serious deterioration in general QoL mainly affecting the HRQOL,[7] especially in the presence of complications.[8] The recent guidelines from the American Diabetes Association emphasize the need for “patientcentered” approach to the management of type-2 DM patients in terms of QoL, prevention of diabetic complications, and achievement of glycemic targets.[9]
Routine assessment of QoL has the potential to improve communication with the patient and identify frequently overlooked problems.\(^7\) The studies on QoL can help a clinician’s ability to predict treatment response, comparing the impact of different treatment regimens on a patient’s wellbeing and satisfaction, taking both biomedical and psychosocial aspects into consideration.\(^8\) Also, QoL is a powerful tool to predict an individual’s capacity to manage the disease.\(^9\) Improved health-related quality of life (HRQOL) may lead to fewer hospital visits and hospitalizations and hence reduce health care costs.\(^10\)

There are various factors affecting QoL negatively or positively in DM management.\(^11\) Age, gender, marital status, rural lifestyle, family type, occupation, retirement, low socio-economic status, lower educational status; adherence to proper glycemic management and strictly advised diet, exercise routine; type of DM, use of insulin, microvascular and macrovascular complications, longer duration of illness, comorbidities, blood glucose level, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1C), and type of treatment\(^12\) have been found to be independent risk factors for poor QoL. Body mass index (BMI),\(^13\) presence of depression\(^14\) and other psychological factors, ethnicity, knowledge about the disease, type of assistance which they received from others may interfere in the QoL for the patients.\(^15\)

The ICMR- INDIAB study has shown that there is a maximum prevalence of type-2 DM in North Indian center among the studied four centers. Also, there is a steep urban-rural gradient as regards to awareness about DM in northern India as compared to the Southern part. Many myths and superstitions about DM hinder treatment-seeking and practicing a healthy lifestyle in rural north India. Also, because of the urban concentration of health facilities, people in rural areas seek treatment from quacks and unqualified personnel.\(^16\) All these factors may hinder QoL in these patients, thus making an assessment of QoL important in rural north Indian settings. However, such data is limited. So, this study was conducted to find out the QoL and its socio-demographic, anthropometric, and clinical determinants among DM patients attending health institutions from sub-Himalayan region, catering to the rural population, so that a holistic and individualized care can be provided to them.

**Material and Methods**

This was a cross-sectional study conducted from 2014 to 2018 after taking approval from Institute’s Ethics Committee. Patients were enrolled from the diabetic clinic \((n = 217)\) and medicine department \((n = 83)\) from two health centers of Northern India respectively, using a purposive sampling technique. The data collected during the study by the authors\(^2\) was further analyzed to find out the determinants of QoL in patients with DM. As a part of the aforesaid study, patients fulfilling the American diabetes association (ADA), 2014 criteria for diagnosis of DM and capable of independent communication were recruited after an informed consent \((n = 300)\). Those patients with any other diagnosed comorbid chronic severe physical illnesses (except hypertension and macro and microvascular complications of DM) or individuals under treatment for psychiatric illness and substance dependence (except tobacco) were excluded from the study. All information of the patients was recorded in an ethically approved predesigned proforma. The patient’s demographic profile and anthropometric variables \(\text{i.e. height, body weight, waist circumference, and body mass index (BMI) (kg/m}^2\)) were assessed. Latest laboratory investigation reports related to DM i.e. HbA1c (within last 1 month) or fasting blood sugar (FBS) within the past 1 week (if HbA1c not available or done) were reviewed, and brief clinical history as per variables included in the proforma was taken (duration of diabetes, family history, current diabetic treatment, number of pills or injections, complications/comorbidities, blood pressure, the monthly cost of treatment, whether taking medicine from the hospital, tobacco use, etc.)

A 34-item scale Quality of Life Instrument for Indian Diabetes Patients (QOLID) developed and validated by Nagpal et al.\(^29\) was used to assess QoL of the patients. It is a sensitive tool for the assessment of health-related and diabetes-specific QoL in patients with type-2 DM in India. It consists of eight domains covering all aspects of QoL, namely, role limitations due to physical health (RL) physical endurance (PE), general health (GH), treatment satisfaction (TS), symptom bothersome (SB), financial worries (FW), mental and emotional health (EMH), and diet advice tolerance (DAT). It uses a standard Likert scale across all questions. It was translated in Hindi to be used in the study.

For the assessment of depression, Hindi version of Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9)\(^30\) was used. A PHQ-9 score of more than 7 with one of the two cardinal symptoms (either depressed mood or anhedonia) was defined as clinical depression. Both these questionnaires were administered by the author.

Socio-demographic and clinical data were reported as the mean \(\pm\) SD/median or percentages. Differences in characteristics between variables were found using independent sample \(t\)-test for continuous variables and Chi-square test for categorical variables. Bivariate correlations with QoL total and domain-wise scores was analyzed using Pearson’s product-moment correlation. Binary logistic regression was used for multivariate analysis, where a median of the total score of QoL was used as cutoff for recoding as a dichotomous variable and entered as a dependent variable. Various socio-demographic and clinical variables were entered as independent variables after dichotomous coding. All statistical analyses were carried out using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SSPS) (Version 17.0, USA).
Results

A total of 216 (72%) patients were hailing from a rural area. The mean age of patients in years was 55.52 ± 9.94. Overall, the mean education of the patients was 9.09 ± 4.84 years (Median 10 years). Males were more educated (11.28 ± 4.05 years) than females (7.61 ± 4.77 years), (Q² = 68; P < 0.001). The mean duration of diabetes was 8.02 ± 6.72 years (median 6). The mean monthly cost of the treatment was 1266.12 ± 1000 INR (Median 1000 INR). Most patients i.e. 213 (71%), were on oral hypoglycemic agents (OHA), 59 (19.7%) were on only insulin, 5 (1.6%) on both insulin and OHA, and 13 (4.3%) were using lifestyle modification for diabetic control. Comorbid hypertension was found in 43% of the patients. A positive family history of DM was given by 37% of the patients. The mean PHQ-9 score was 5.22 ± 6.16 (Median 3). The scores were higher (t = 3.85, P < 0.001) in females (6.32 ± 6.31) than in males (3.60 ± 5.56)

More females (12.8% vs 6.6% males) were poorly compliant to the treatment (P < .001). Abdominal obesity (waist circumference >90 cm in males and >80 cm in females) was present in 74.7% of the females and 54.5% males, the difference was statistically significant at P < 0.001. Rates of depression (PHQ-9 > 7) were higher in females (32.9%, females 14.8% males; P < .05).

Table 1, shows a difference in domain-wise and total QOLID score, between males and females.

Table 2, show the frequency of responses to questions asked under individual domains of QOLID. An average of responses of all the domains was added to find out the average of total QoL on the Likert scale, which were reported as very poor, poor, average, good, and very good. About 10% had very poor, 13% had poor, 11% had average, 16% had good, and 50% had very good QoL on QOLID.

In the RL domain, the most affected was the work efficiency (more than 50%) In the PE domain, around 75% of the patients reported at least some decrease in endurance for vigorous activities; the least affected was endurance for activities of daily living. GH and TS were the most affected domains. In around 79%, fatigue was reported sometimes to always. Fatigue was the most common symptom reported on PHQ-9 (75%). In addition to all patients with PHQ-9 > 7, 66.4% of those without depression also reported fatigue.

Around ¾ th of the patients were having no or least SB, dry mouth, hunger, and frequent urination at the time of assessment. A total of 42% of the patients had very much, much, or some FW. On an average, more than 2/3rd of the patients were very satisfied with their emotional health. A total of 28% of the patients were discouraged by their health problems. On average, 50% of the patients tolerated diet advice very well.

Table 3 shows the relationship of QoL (total and domain wise) with socio-demographic, anthropometric, and clinical variables.
Table 2: Response to questions included in each domain of QOLID

### a. Role limitation due to physical health (Social life, work, traveling)

| S No. | Question                                                                 | Always | Frequently | Often | Sometimes | Never |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| 1     | How often miss the work because of diabetes/health                        | 21 (7%)| 18 (6%)    | 25 (8.3%) | 48 (16%) | 188 (62.7%) |
| 2     | How often does the requirement of regular medication and meals affect your work | 3 (1%) | 5 (1.7%)  | 10 (3.3%) | 15 (5%)  | 267 (89%) |
| 3     | How often does diabetes/health affect your efficiency at work             | 53 (17.7%) | 55 (18.3%) | 45 (15%) | 66 (22%) | 81 (27%) |
| 4     | How often do you feel that diabetes/health is limiting your social life   | 15 (5%) | 36 (12%)  | 22 (7.3%) | 29 (9.7%) | 198 (66%) |

### b. Physical endurance

| S No. | Question                                                                 | Always | Frequently | Often | Sometimes | Never |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| 1     | How often in the last 3 months your health problems limited vigorous activities you can do | 98 (32.7%) | 42 (14%)  | 32 (10.7) | 51 (17%) | 77 (25.7%) |
| 2     | How often in the last 3 months your health problems limited moderate activities you can do | 50 (16.7%) | 27 (9%)    | 20 (6.7%) | 49 (16.3%) | 154 (51.3%) |
| 3     | How often in the last 3 months your health problems limited your walking uphill/climbing 1-2 floors | 42 (14%) | 27 (9%)    | 39 (13%) | 42 (14%) | 150 (50%) |
| 4     | How often in the last 3 months your health problems limited you from walking 1-2 km at a stretch you can do | 10 (3.3%) | 9 (3%)     | 17 (5.7%) | 33 (11%) | 231 (77%) |
| 5     | How often in the last 3 months your health problems limited you from bending, squatting or turning | 15 (5%) | 15 (5%)    | 15 (5%)  | 26 (8.7%) | 229 (76.3%) |
| 6     | How often in the last 3 months your health problems limited you from eating, dressing, bathing or using the toilet | 2 (0.7%) | 2 (0.7%)   | 8 (2.7%) | 12 (4%) | 276 (92%) |

### c. General Health

| S No. | Question                                                                 | Poor | Fair | Good | Very good | Excellent |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|-----------|-----------|
| 1     | In general you say your health is                                        | 93 (31%) | 176 (58.7%) | 24 (8%)  | 4 (1.3%) | 3 (1%) |
|       | Not at all                                                               | Little | Moderate | Very much | An extreme amount |
| 2     | How well are you able to concentrate on everything like reading, working, driving etc | 13 (4.3%) | 33 (11%) | 39 (13.0%) | 59 (19.7%) | 156 (52%) |
|       | Always                                                                   | Frequently | Often | Sometimes | Never |
| 3     | How many times in the past 3 months have you felt fatigued or tired     | 67 (22.3%) | 42 (14%) | 39 (13%) | 89 (20.7%) | 63 (21%) |
|       | Average                                                                  | 58 (19%) | 84 (28%) | 34 (11%) | 51 (17%) | 74 (25%) |

### d. Treatment satisfaction

| S No. | Question                                                                 | Very dissatisfied | Moderately dissatisfied | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | Moderately satisfied | Very satisfied |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| 1     | Satisfaction with current diabetes treatment                        | 18 (6%)           | 29 (9.7%)              | 43 (14.3%)                        | 42 (14%)            | 168 (56%)     |

Contd...
Table 2: Contd...

| S No. | Question                                                                 | Very dissatisfied | Moderately dissatisfied | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | Moderately satisfied | Very satisfied     |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| 2     | Satisfaction with amount of time it takes to manage diabetes             | 4 (1.3%)          | 19 (6.3%)              | 42 (14%)                        | 33 (11%)            | 20.2 (67.3%)      |
| 3     | Satisfaction with the amount of time spent getting check-ups (e.g. once in three months) | 18 (6%)           | 46 (15.3%)             | 48 (16%)                        | 30 (10%)            | 158 (52.7%)       |
| 4     | Satisfaction with the time spent exercising                              | 37 (12.3%)        | 44 (14.7%)             | 28 (9.3%)                       | 42 (14%)            | 14.9 (49.7%)      |
|       | Average                                                                  | 19 (6%)           | 34 (12%)               | 40 (13%)                        | 37 (12%)            | 90 (30%)          |

### e. Symptom botherness

| S No. | Question                                                                 | Always          | Frequently        | Often             | Sometimes       | Never           |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1     | How many times in the past three months you felt excessive thirst/dry mouth | 17 (5.7%)       | 27 (9%)          | 34 (11.3%)       | 80 (47.3%)      | 142 (47.3%)     |
| 2     | How many times in the past three months you felt excessive hunger         | 4 (1.3%)        | 22 (7.3%)        | 20 (6.7%)        | 37 (12.3%)      | 217 (72.3%)     |
| 3     | How many times in the past three months you had frequent urination related to polydipsia or increased water intake | 22 (7.3%)       | 33 (11%)        | 63 (21%)        | 61 (20.3%)      | 121 (40.3%)     |
|       | Average                                                                  | 14 (5%)         | 27 (9%)          | 39 (13%)         | 59 (20%)        | 160 (53%)       |

### f. Financial Worries

| S No. | Question                                                                 | Very expensive | Little expensive | Reasonable | Not at all expensive |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------|----------------------|
| 1     | What do you think about the cost involved in the management of diabetes  | 49 (16.3%)     | 58 (19.3%)       | 116 (38.7%)| 77 (25.6%)           |
| 2     | To what extent has your priority of expenditure shifted towards diabetes management | 42 (14%)       | 45 (15%)        | 14 (4.7%)  | Very little          | 19 (6.3%)       |
| 3     | To what extent your family budget affected by expenses related to diabetes management | 32 (10.7%)     | 49 (16.3%)       | 16 (5.3%)  | 16 (5.3%)            | 187 (62.3%)     |
| 4     | To what extent you diabetes has limited your expenditure on other aspects of life (movies, outings, parties) | 24 (8%)        | 49 (16.3%)       | 15 (5%)    | 18 (6%)              | 194 (64.7%)     |
|       | Average                                                                  | 36 (12%)       | 50 (17%)         | 40 (13%)   | 32 (11%)             | 187 (47%)       |

### g. Emotional/Mental Health

| S No. | Question                                                                 | Very dissatisfied | Moderately dissatisfied | Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied | Moderately satisfied | Very satisfied     |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| 1     | Satisfaction with your self                                              | 22 (7.3%)         | 37 (12.3%)             | 20 (6.7%)                        | 24 (8%)              | 197 (65.7%)       |
| 2     | Satisfaction with personal relationships (family, friends, relatives)     | 9 (3%)            | 7 (2.3%)               | 7 (2.3%)                         | 10 (3.3%)            | 267 (89%)         |
| 3     | Satisfaction with the emotional support you get from friends and family   | 9 (3%)            | 7 (2.3%)               | 7 (2.3%)                         | 11 (3.7%)            | 266 (88.7%)       |
| 4     | How often are you discouraged by your health problems                    | 51 (17%)          | 33 (11%)               | 31 (10.7%)                       | 87 (29%)             | 98 (32.7%)        |
| 5     | To what extent do you feel that you are able to lead your life in a purposeful manner | 21 (7%)          | 15 (5%)                | 53 (17.7%)                       | 44 (14.7%)           | 167 (55.7%)       |
|       | Average                                                                  | 22 (7%)          | 20 (7%)                | 24 (8%)                          | 35 (12%)             | 199 (66%)         |

Contd...
negative correlation was seen with higher HbA1c levels with total QoL, GH, TS, and SB at \( P < 0.001 \) and DAT and EMH at \( P < 0.05 \). Diastolic BP correlated negatively with total QoL, TS, DAT (\( P < 0.05 \)) and more RL (0.001). Presence of diabetic complications (microvascular or macrovascular) other than hypertension correlated negatively with total QoL, RL, PE, and GH scores (\( P < 0.001 \)), as well as with TS, DAT, and FW domain scores (\( P < .05 \)). Abdominal obesity correlated negatively with TS (\( P < .05 \)).

All the domains of QoL as well as its total score showed a negative correlation with the presence of depression.

In multivariate analysis, [Table 4] age more than 55 years, rural background (\( P < .05 \)), and presence of depression had significant (\( P < .001 \)) negative association with total QoL score (>137; median value).

**DISCUSSION**

A variety of instruments have been used to study QoL in DM patients. Few studies have also used the Quality of Life Instrument for Indian Diabetes Patients (QOLID)\(^{16,23,31-33}\)

Among all the domains of QOLID, the GH domain was most affected by DM in our study similar to the study by John et al.\(^{16}\) Fatigue was the most frequent symptom reported in QOLID (subhead of GH domain). It has been reported to be prevalent in patients with DM, is multi-dimensional, and has been found to be negatively related to the quality of life and functional status.\(^{34}\) It often precipitates depression in type 2 DM patients and vice versa.\(^{35,36}\)

In a study by Mathew et al.,\(^{23}\) about 42% of the patients reported good and very good QoL on QOLID. This is in contrast to our study where 66% of the patients have good or very good QoL on QOLID. This may be because of the fact that our study had a lower percentage of people 60 years or more. Old age has been found to be associated with poor HRQoL in diabetics.\(^{10,20,25,26,37,38}\) especially in physical health.\(^{35,36}\) This explains our finding of age correlating negatively with the PE domain. Age more than 55 years has been found to be a risk factor for poor QoL in our study. This replicates the finding of another study using QOLID.\(^{35}\)

In another study by Parashar et al.,\(^{31}\) the average score of RL, FW, EMH, and SB score was reported as good or very good by the lesser percentage of patients than in the current study. This may be because in that study higher proportion of patients had SB, suggestive of poorly controlled DM. Total QoL scores and domain wise score, except for GH, were also higher in our study than another study using QOLID by Chaturvedi et al.\(^{31}\) This may be because of the reason that mean duration of DM since diagnosis was more (10.96 ± 5.99 years) than that in the current study (8.02 ± 6.72 years). Also, complications like vision problems (9.5%), neuropathic pain (9%) were lesser in our study, (4.3% and 4.7%, respectively).

Females had poorer QoL, similar to findings in the literature.\(^{10,16,23,25,37,40-42}\) This may be due to a social disfavor of females in rural areas of north India. Female patients are usually the victims of under-reporting, leaving treatment and follow up, and absence of family and social support. Besides, females observe religious fasts more frequently as compared to males and remain indoors most of the time, with little or no access to recreational physical activity. These factors hinder their diabetic control, thereby hampering QoL.\(^{27}\)

Being single,\(^{16}\) separated, divorced,\(^{25,37,38}\) or widow\(^{37,38}\) correlating with poorer QoL has also been found in previous studies. Poor QoL in rural areas\(^{43}\) may be due to poor awareness about illness and access to health care facilities or having financial constraints because of lesser job opportunities. A study from South India has also observed poor QoL in such patients.\(^{40}\) Employed\(^{24}\) diabetics had better scores in most of the domains except DAT which was better in retired, students, unemployed, and home-makers. Literature supports the findings that low socio-economic patients had poorer QoL\(^{4}\) and higher-income groups had better QoL.\(^{11,25}\)

An educated\(^{16,19,43}\) patient has better QoL is similar to the previous findings. Educated patients are able to understand the information and comply with the advice regarding self-management of the illness in a better way. Abdominal obesity correlated with poor TS in the current study. Weight gain or high body mass index (BMI) has also been found to be associated with poor TS in previous studies.\(^{24,37,38}\) Findings of correlation with increasing duration of diabetes with poor QoL have been replicated in the current study.\(^{10,19,23,25,37}\) Need for
Table 3: Relationship of QoL (total and domain wise) with sociodemographic, anthropometric and clinical variables

| Role limitation | Physical endurance | General health | Treatment satisfaction | Symptom bothersomeness | Financial worries | Emotional/mental health | Dietary satisfaction | QoL |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----|
| Age             | -0.110             | -0.202**      | 0.017                  | 0.074                 | -0.044           | 0.080                  | 0.018               | -0.019 | -0.049 |
| Education       | 0.257**            | 0.369**       | 0.394**                | 0.252**               | 0.251**          | 0.346**                | 0.263**             | 0.037  | 0.401** |
| Sex             | 0.093              | 0.255**       | 0.292**                | 0.244**               | 0.134*           | 0.141*                 | 0.269**             | 0.005  | 0.269** |
| Family type     | -0.032             | -0.099        | -0.077                 | 0.013                 | -0.084           | -0.081                 | 0.031               | -0.111 | -0.055 |
| Marital Status  | 0.142*             | 0.206**       | 0.155*                 | 0.127*                | 0.241**          | 0.282**                | 0.189*              | 0.106  | 0.254** |
| Locality        | -0.155*            | -0.133*       | -0.151*                | -0.065                | -0.154*          | -0.210**               | -0.150              | -0.128* | -0.197* |
| Compliance      | 0.091              | 0.111         | 0.115*                 | 0.139*                | 0.146*           | 0.094                  | 0.121*              | 0.084  | 0.150* |
| Occupation      | -0.127*            | -0.224**      | -0.143*                | -0.063                | -0.068           | -0.074                 | -0.122*             | 0.142*  | -0.161* |
| Monthly income  | 0.209**            | 0.181*        | 0.290**                | 0.239**               | 0.145*           | 0.431**                | 0.223**             | -0.025  | 0.323** |
| Monthly cost of treatment | -0.102       | -0.047        | 0.006                  | 0.093                 | 0.089            | -0.127*                | -0.082              | 0.086  | -0.032 |
| Duration of DM  | -0.262**           | -0.182**      | -0.109                 | 0.061                 | 0.052            | -0.109                 | -0.049              | -0.23   | -0.142* |
| Pill burden     | -0.198**           | -0.201**      | -0.113                 | 0.067                 | 0.051            | -0.098                 | -0.069              | -0.170** | -0.150** |
| Injection       | -0.246**           | -0.175**      | -0.152**               | -0.137*               | 0.003            | -0.236**               | -0.082              | -0.097  | -0.215** |
| Diabetic control| 0.156*             | 0.060         | 0.183*                 | 0.202**               | 0.195*           | -0.013                 | 0.126*              | -0.005  | 0.148* |
| FBS (N = 190)   | -0.283**           | -0.216**      | -0.350**               | -0.429**              | -0.313**         | -0.145*                | -0.240**            | -0.125  | -0.351** |
| HbA1c (N = 122) | -0.125             | 0.143         | -0.355**               | -0.390**              | -0.306**         | -0.136                 | -0.232*             | -0.222* | -0.285** |
| Treatment setting (N = 83) | -0.714 | -0.816        | -0.494                 | -1.273                | -1.126           | -2.387*                | 0.265               | -0.784  | -1.291 |
| Hypertension    | -0.048             | 0.060         | 0.020                  | -0.013                | -0.038           | 0.014                  | -0.013              | -0.084  | -0.024 |
| Other Complications | -0.307**    | -0.202**      | -0.204**               | -1.71*                | 0.033            | -0.195*                | -0.096              | -0.152** | -0.204** |
| Systolic BP     | -0.086             | -0.106        | -0.008                 | -0.060                | -0.023           | 0.035                  | 0.021               | -0.109  | -0.047 |
| Diastolic BP    | -0.154**           | -0.122*       | -0.080                 | -0.132*               | -0.079           | 0.038                  | -0.070              | -0.145* | -1.21* |
| Obesity         | -0.042             | -0.096        | -0.029                 | -0.105                | -0.074           | -0.034                 | 0.103               | -0.019  | -0.101 |
| Abdominal obesity | 0.047          | -0.019        | -0.047                 | -0.146*               | -0.076           | 0.003                  | -0.026              | 0.024   | -0.052 |
| PHQ-9 > 7       | -0.696**           | -0.655**      | -0.578**               | -0.481**              | -0.391**         | -0.356**               | -0.739**            | -0.260** | -0.779** |

* P < 0.05, ** P ≤ 0.001

The literature supports the finding of the study of various macrovascular and microvascular complications correlating with poorer QoL.[8,10,24,26,34,41,44] DM and hypertension coexist in approximately 40%–60% of the patients (43% in our study).[31] Diastolic blood pressure correlating with poor QoL scores as has also been found in the literature.[7,14] However, in the current study, no correlation was found with the presence of hypertension and systolic blood pressure, which was studied as a continuous variable.

Co-occurring depression in diabetes has been found to be associated with decreased QoL in various studies.[7,11,24,26,38,41,42,45] DM with depressive symptoms had significantly worse HRQOL in studies using SF-36.[25,46] (WHO-QOL-BREF).[11] Diabetes distress scale.[7] Depression severity was associated with poorer QoL in a study using QOLI.[50] Similarly, in a study, DM and its complications affected negatively all of the domains of the WHOQOL-BREF; with the strongest effects for the physical health and psychological domains.[7] The presence of depression is associated with less TS similar to previous studies.[7,24] A prospective cohort survey of elderly patients with type 2 DM found that impairments in daily activities and lower HRQOL were predictors of depressive symptomatology.[49] Thus, in the current study, the QOLID domains GH, RL, and EMH in DM can be explained with these findings from the various studies.

An educated and well-informed DM patient had better QoL is in keeping with findings of previous studies.[16,19,40,43] Educated patients are able to understand the information and comply with the advice given regarding the medicines, lifestyle, and dietary modifications in a better way. This may prevent...
Table 4: Binary logistic regression analysis showing risk factors associated with poor QoL in patient with DM

| Variable                                | Odds ratio (95% CI) | Sig. (P) |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| Age more than 55 years                   | 0.417 (.193-0.899)  | 0.014*   |
| Female Sex                               | 0.554 (.203-1.517)  | 0.251    |
| Locality (urban)                         | 2.400 (1.121-5.16)  | 0.024*   |
| Education more than 3 years              | 1.345 (.428-4.289)  | 0.606    |
| Employment (ever employed vs student/ unemployed/housewife) | 1.432 (.544-770) | 0.467 |
| Monthly income more than 10,000 INR      | 2.122 (.999-4.507)  | 0.050    |
| Staying with spouse                      | 1.139 (.417-3.113)  | 0.799    |
| Family (non-nuclear vs nuclear)          | 1.019 (.533-1.948)  | 0.954    |
| Duration of DM more than 6 years         | 0.940 (.478-1.847)  | 0.857    |
| Poor compliance to treatment             | 0.810 (.269-2.44)   | 0.709    |
| Poor glycaemic Control                   | 0.666 (.346-1.282)  | 0.223    |
| CAD                                      | 0.807 (.161-4.502)  | 0.794    |
| Nephropathy                              | 0.298 (.009-10.422) | 0.503    |
| Hypertension                             | 1.005 (.514-1.962)  | 0.989    |
| Complication other than hypertension     | 1.448 (.587-3.574)  | 0.422    |
| Neuropathy                               | 0.678 (.016-28.753) | 0.839    |
| Retinopathy                              | 0.111 (.006-1.895)  | 0.129    |
| Central Obesity (WC >90 cm in males and 80 cm in females) | 0.918 (.435-1.935) | 0.822 |
| BMI more than 24.9 kg/m²                  | 0.754 (.227-2.510)  | 0.646    |
| Pill Burden (> 4)                        | 1.180 (.587-2.372)  | 0.642    |
| Insulin use                              | 0.951 (.416-2.171)  | 0.904    |
| Monthly cost of treatment (more than 1000 INR) | 0.680 (.329-1.404) | 0.297 |
| PHQ-9 more than 7                        | 0.028 (.009-0.092)  | 0.000**  |

deterioration in their glycemic control, seeking early medical advice, and with early detection and management of psychiatric symptomatology and maintaining a better QoL.

Conclusion

There is a need for a holistic care of DM patients, and a collaborative approach for management between the internist/endocrinologist, a psychiatrist, and/or a psychologist. Education about the need for adequate glycemic control and treatment compliance as well as regular exercise to maintain desired body measurement parameters should be provided to the patient and his primary caregivers. Treatment should be individualized keeping in view the patient’s will, psychological, and social factors. Multiple and complex drug regimens should be avoided as far as possible. Patients in the 6th decade and above should be thoroughly assessed and educated keeping in view their state of physical endurance and any comorbidity. Primary care physicians should be sensitized regarding the role of screening for depression and fatigue; and a regular assessment of QoL in patients with DM. However, our study has few limitations of being a hospital-based cross-sectional study. The Hindi translation of the QOLID has not been validated.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patients have given their consent for their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship
Nil.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Association AD. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2010;33:S62-90.
2. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 9th Edition, 2019. Available from: https://www.diabetesatlas.org/upload/resources/2019/IDF_Atlas_9th_Edition_2019.pdf.
3. IDF SEA Region. International Diabetes Federation. Available from https://www.idf.org/our-network/regions-members/south-east-asia/...[Last assessed on 2021 March 15].
4. Rajashekarana D, Kulkarni V, Unnikrishnan B, Kumar N, Holla R, Thapar R. Self-care activities among patients with diabetes attending a tertiary care hospital in Manglore, Karnataka, India. Ann Med Health Sci Res 2015;5:59-64.
5. Somappa HK, Venkatesh M, Prasad R. Quality of life assessment among type 2 diabetic patients in rural tertiary center. Int J Med Sci Public Health 2014;34:415-7.
6. The World Health Organization Quality of Life Assessment (WHOQOL): Development and general psychometric properties. Soc Sci Med 1998;46:1569-85.
7. Trikkalinou A, Papazafiriopoulou AK, Melidonis A. Type 2 diabetes and quality of life. World J Diabetes 2017;8:120-9.
8. Kumar P, Agarwal N, Singh CM, Pandey S, Ranjan A, Kumar D. Diabetes and quality of life- A pilot study. Int J Med Sci Public Health 2016;5:1143-7.
9. Inzucchi SE, Bergenal RM, Buse JB, Diamant M, Ferrannini E, Nauck M. Management of hyperglycaemia in Type 2 diabetes: A patient centered approach. Position statement of the American Diabetic Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD), Diabetes Care 2013;5:1364-79.
10. Prajapati BV, Blake R, Acharya LD, Seshadri S. Assessment of quality of life in type II diabetic patients using the modified diabetes quality of life (MDQoL)-17 questionnaire. Braz J Pharm Sci 2017;53:e17144.
11. Kiadaliria AA, Najafi B, Mirmalek-Sani M. Quality of life in people with diabetes: A systematic review of studies in Iran. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2013;12:54.
12. Alifian SD, Sukandar H, Lestari K, Abdullah R. Medication adherence contributes to an improved quality of life in Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients: A cross-sectional Study. Diabetes Ther 2016;7:755-64.
13. Bahety P, Agarwal G, Khandelwal D, Dutta D, Kalra S, Taparia P, et al. Occurrence and predictors of depression and poor quality of life among patients with type-2 diabetes: A Northern India perspective. Indian J Endocr Metab 2017;21:564-9.
14. Timar R, Velea I, Timar B, Lungeanu D, Oancea C, Roman D, et al. Factors influencing the quality of life perception in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Patient Prefer Adherence 2016;10:24717.
15. Akincé F, Yıldırım A, Gözü H, Sargin H, Orbay E, Sargin M. Assessment of healthrelated quality of life (HRQoL) of patients with type 2 diabetes...
Gupta, et al.: Quality of life and determinants in diabetes mellitus

in Turkey. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2008;79:11723.

16. John R, Pise S, Chaudhari L, Deshpande PR. Evaluation of quality of life in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients using quality of life instrument for Indian diabetic patients: A cross-sectional study. J Midlife Health 2019;10:81-8.

17. Thommasen HV, Zhang W. Health-related quality of life and type 2 diabetes: A study of people living in the Bella Coola Valley. B C Med J 2006;48:272-8.

18. Moussavi S, Chatterji S, Verdes E, Tandon A, Patel V, Ustun B. Depression, chronic diseases, and decrements in health: Results from the World Health Surveys. Lancet 2007;370:851-8.

19. Eren I, Erdi O, Sahin M. The effect of depression on quality of life of patients with type II diabetes mellitus. Depress Anxiety 2008;25:98-106.

20. Schram MT, Baan CA, Pouwer F. Depression and quality of life in patients with diabetes: A systematic review from the European depression in diabetes (EDID) research consortium. Curr Diabetes Rev 2009;5:112-9.

21. Pouwer F, Geelhoed-Duijvestijn PH, Tack CJ, Bazelmans M, Beekman AJ, Heine RJ, et al. Prevalence of comorbid depression is high in out-patients with Type 1 or Type 2 diabetes mellitus. Results from three out-patient clinics in the Netherlands. Diabet Med 2010;27:217-24.

22. Ali S, Stone M, Skinner TC, Robertson N, Davies M, Khunti K. The association between depression and health-related quality of life in people with type 2 diabetes: A systematic literature review. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2010;26:75-89.

23. Mathew A, Anusree TK, Aparna M, Archania S, Athira M, Sachina BT, et al. Quality of life among type II diabetes mellitus patients in South India: A descriptive study. ADRHASS 2014;7:197-200.

24. Bradley C, Eschwege E, de Pablo-Velasco P, Parhofer KG, Simon D, Vandenbergh B, et al. Predictors of quality of life and other patient-reported outcomes in the PANORAMA multinational study of people with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2018;41:61-76.

25. Verma SK, Luo N, Subramaniam M, Sum CF, Stahl D. Impact of depression on health related quality of life in patients with diabetes. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2010;39:913-9.

26. Ravishankar SN, Madhuvan HS, Reddy VT, Prabha V, Dinesh SR. A study of depression in diabetes mellitus: Analysis from rural hospital, India. Arch Med Res 2014;6:1-4.

27. Gutch M, Mohd Razi S, Kumar S, Gupta KK. Diabetes mellitus: Trends in northern India. Indian J Endocrinol Metab 2014;8:731-4.

28. Gupta J, Kapoor D, Sood V, Singh S, Sharma N, Kanwar P. Depression prevalence, its psychosocial and clinical predictors, in diabetes mellitus patients attending two health institutions of north India catering rural population. Indian J Psychiatry 2020;62:566-71.

29. Nagpal J, Kumar A, Kakar S, Bhartia. The development of ‘Quality of Life Instrument for Indian Diabetes Patients (QOLID): A validation and reliability study in middle and higher income groups. J Assoc Physicians India 2010;58:295-304.

30. Kochhar PH, Rajadhyaksha SS, Suvarna VR. Translation and validation of brief patient health questionnaire against DSM IV as a tool to diagnose major depressive disorder in Indian patients. J Postgrad Med 2007;53:102-7.

31. Chaturvedi R, Desai C, Patel P, Shah A, Dikshit RK. An evaluation of the impact of antidiabetic medication on treatment satisfaction and quality of life in patients of diabetes mellitus. Perspect Clin Res 2018;9:15-22.

32. Parashar A, Jha KK, Kumar A, Tomer SS, Yadav DS, Imran H. Assessment of quality of life of type-2 diabetes mellitus patients in tertiary care teaching hospital in North India. Int J Basic Clin Pharmacol 2020;9:107-12.

33. Kumar P, Krishna M. Quality of life in diabetes mellitus. SIPP 2015;3:310-3.

34. Kalra S, Sahay R. Diabetes fatigue syndrome. Diabetes Ther 2018;9:1421-9.

35. Frisetti C, Quinn L. Fatigue in patients with diabetes: A review. J Psychosom Res 2010;69:33-41.

36. Jain A, Sharma R, Choudhary PK, Yadav N, Jain G, Maanju M. Study of fatigue, depression, and associated factors in type 2 diabetes mellitus in industrial workers. Ind Psychiatry J 2015;24:179-84.

37. Atilinok A, Marakoglu K, Kargin NC. Evaluation of quality of life and depression levels in individuals with type 2 diabetes. J Family Med Prim Care 2016;5:302-8.

38. Zurita-Cruz JN, Manuel-Apolinar L, Arrellano-Flores ML, Gutierrez-Gonzalez A, Najera-Ahumada AG, Cisneros-Gonzalez NC. Health and quality of life outcomes impairment of quality of life in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A cross-sectional study. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2018;16:94.

39. Pattankar TP, Patil SS. Assessment of quality of life among known type 2 diabetes – A community based cross sectional study in north Karnataka. Natl J Community Med 2019;10:429-34.

40. Jain V, Shivkumar S, Gupta OP. Health-related quality of life (HR-Qol) in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. N Am J Med Sci 2014;6:96-101.

41. Shohbana R, Rao RP, Lavanya A, Padma C, Vijay V, Ramachandran A. Quality of life and diabetes integration among subjects with type 2 diabetes. J Assoc Physicians India 2003;51:363-5.

42. Juarez-Rojop IE, Fortuny-Falconi CM, Gonzalez-Castro TB, Tovilla-Zarate CA, Villar-Soto M, Sanchez ER, et al. Association between reduced quality of life and depression in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A cohort study in a Mexican population. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 2018;14:2511-8.

43. Stojanovic M, Cvetanovic G, Andelkovic-Apostolovic M, Stojanovic D, Rancic N. Impact of socio-demographic characteristics and long-term complications on quality of life in patients with diabetes mellitus. Curr Eur J Public Health 2018;26:104-10.

44. Bajaj HS, Pereira MA, Anjana RM, Deepa R, Mohan V, Mueller NT, et al. Comparison of relative waist circumference between Asian Indian and US adults. J Obes 2014;2014:461956.

45. Das R, Singh OP, Thakurta RG, Khandakar MR, Ali SN, Mallick AK, et al. Prevalence of depression in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus and its impact on QoL. Indian J Psychol Med 2013;35:284-9.

46. Ozdemir I, Hocaoglu C, Kokcu M, Erosh OH. The effect on quality of life and psychiatric symptoms of other co-morbid chronic diseases on quality of life in patients with diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes Metab 2014;3:1-5.

47. Pascal IG, Nkwa AA. Diabetes treatment satisfaction, medication adherence, and glycaemic control among ambulatory type 2 diabetic Nigerians in a primary care clinic of a tertiary hospital situated in a resource-limited environment of Southeast Nigeria. Arch Med Health Sci 2016;4:169-74.

48. Patel B, Oza, B, Patel K, Malhotra S, Patel V. Health related quality of life in type 2 diabetic patients in Western India using World Health Organization Quality of Life–BREF and appraisal of diabetes scale. Intl J Diab Dev Ctries 2014;34:100-7.

49. Pawaskar MD, Anderson RT, Balkrishnan R. Self-reported predictors of depressive symptomatology in an elderly population with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A prospective cohort study. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2007;5:50.

50. Carper MM, Traeger L, Gonzalez JS, Wexler DJ, Psaros C, Saffren SA, et al. The differential associations of depression and diabetes distress with quality of life domains in type 2 diabetes. J Behav Med 2014;37:501-10.