K-means Based Automatic Pests Detection and Classification for Pesticides Spraying
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Abstract—Agriculture is the backbone to the living being that plays a vital role to country’s economy. Agriculture production is inversely affected by pest infestation and plant diseases. Plants vitality is directly affected by the pests as poor or abnormal. Automatic pest detection and classification is an essential research phenomenon, as early detection and classification of pests as they appear on the plants may lead to minimizing the loss of production. This study puts forth a comprehensive model that would facilitate the detection and classification of the pests by using Artificial Neural Network (ANN). In this approach, the image has been segmented from the fields by using enhanced K-Mean segmentation technique that identifies the pests or any object from the image. Subsequently, features will be extracted by using Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) and classified using ANN to classify pests. The proposed approach is verified for five pests that exhibited 94% effectiveness while classifying the pests.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Enhancements and improvements in the modern computer science has helped us in my unique ways. This is helping us in many ways making our daily tasks easy and convenient for us. It helps us to reduce the time consumption of different activities. Agriculture is also a fundamental part of any country. While technology is also taking part to improve it and help us to make it more easier and easy for the farmers. However it require the continues observation of expert, which was done manually, so it is time consuming process and not much efficient and expensive in large farms. As it requires experts having a precise knowledge of pest management through different techniques like black light traps and sticky traps, and framers spray the pesticides which may also harmful for the crops as well as for the environment. To reduce the effect as technology is playing role in cropping, vigilance now it is being used in the field of pest control.

The main purpose of this work is to establish such a system which may help us to detect pests as well as classify them. This will help us in future in recognizing that whether a pest is a friend one or enemy, so we can decide whether it required a spray or not. As the previous researches has shown that image processing may also help to detect plant diseases by the presences of pest. On the other hand, there are some plants with no pests, but in past they were used by some pests. During their stay many pests leave their larva over the leaves, which are more dangerous. The injuries effects of pest in plant affects the agriculture which shows the negative influence on economy of a country it. There are many pests, which badly effects the plants some are dangerous like snails, flies, caterpillar, fungal infections, while some are friendly for the crops like ladybirds, earwig and many more, so we need to identify them, because spraying blindly is very dangerous [1]. Prevention of plant from pest is more viable then to only detect and cure them after being effected by pests. For effective prevention precise knowledge about the pests management must be required before demonstration of maintaining pests. With a specific end goal to spare the work and ensure humans wellbeing, local and remote specialists have been inquired about on misusing the robot utilized for reaping products of the soil pesticides to plants in nursery diffusely [2]. If pest detection is not done, it will proceeds towards the reduction of crops, which results in increase of poverty. It is required to use a proper well organized pest detection methodology which may help us to reduce these pesticides and increase a healthy yields [3]. We have seen a typical thing that the vast majority of those models were proposed for some particular condition. Here and there these models are proposed for particularly for a solitary sort of pests in view of the colors or shape. While our condition incorporates creepy crawlies, mold, rodents, microscopic organisms and other such creatures. While a couple of these are valuable to individuals from numerous points of view, others are harmful in nature [4]. Automatic detection of pest and determine the pests on plant through image processing and classify them on different properties of image is the best technique for accruing pest detection. To have the feasible pest control by using automatic pest detection through k-mean clustering which help to determine the pest invasion on the regular basis.

II. RELATED WORK

Earlier in this field some work has already been done. [5] has proposed a model to detect 3D position of the detected object, they have discussed that this model was proposed only for red colored pest and tested on red colored models. In [6] has explained a proper way to identify an infected area on the leaves. This approach shows that if there are some effected leaves there must be some pests. It involves some color
There are several systems which are available among them organized, but was dependent over a specific dataset [13]. Further predicted using Bayesian. This methodology was well applied for ANN, while after this the results collected by the ANN were calculated. Earlier they have collected all the data and classify it using the data over the duration of 12 years, for different climates. As Yellow Stem Borer. They have used a dataset specifically for correctly identifying a rice fields pest named environments. In [12], author explained the uses of ANN and other related calculations. These images may not be suitable in real-time pest detections. An other vision based technique used for the pest detection purpose here it was used k-mean clustering. Authors has developed a cotton crop pest’s dataset. Further collected data were used to improve the accuracy and reduce the false positive detection of the said system will get damaged. In [10], authors proposed a novel technique to detect pests at some initial stages. This idea was an excellent approach towards the detection of pests, but with some limitations. It only detects the White flies a specific pest. It will not work for the others. Similarly it is a vision dependent, system which depends on the angle of vision. If this angle changes results of the said system will get damaged. In [10], author used the MATLAB neural network system toolbox to develop the forecast framework about natural product tree infections and bug bothers in light of the Backpropagation neural network as per the climate data and the event status of organic product tree illnesses and creepy crawly bugs in plantations. The history record information were used to prepare the information. Toward the end they think about both the anticipated esteemed and unique information in the preparation set for the bug, or plant infection. From the outcomes we can check climate framework is performing precisely or suddenly. The applications that predicts organic product tree infections and pests irritations can use the framework.

In [3], author presented a crop based detection technique. Authors have developed a cotton crop pest’s dataset. Further they have applied a thresholding function on this raw data. They have used multilayer ANN for the classification of pests. An other vision based technique used for the pest detection by [11], which was an SVM based technique used for the indoor green house. It was a yield dependent technique which is based upon the color variation. It uses very high definition images which results into more high processing and calculations. These images may not be suitable in real-time environments. In [12], author explained the uses of ANN and Bayesian, for correctly identifying a rice fields pest named as Yellow Stem Borer. They have used a dataset specifically designed for the rice field which was compiled by collecting the data over the duration of 12 years, for different climates. Earlier they have collected all the data and classify it using ANN, while after this the results collected by the ANN were further predicted using Bayesian. This methodology was well organized, but was dependent over a specific dataset [13]. There are several systems which are available among them some are color based or pest based which are presented by different researchers, among them some are [14], [15].

Reviewing the existing work it was observed that most of the models were color, yield or pest dependent. It was necessary to design a generic detection and classification technique which should not be dependent on colors or yields [11].

III. MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY

This methodology is based upon three different steps, on initial stage pest will be detected. Pest detection consist of pre-processing to reduce noise and to prepare the image for further processing. After pre-processing this image will be segmented using k-mean clustering. Second step is to extract the features of detected pest. Third step is classification of the pest on the behalf of extracted features. A brief flow and working of the system shown in Fig. 1. At first step system will acquire the image using different technique or devices. These earlier level images are not a suitable thing for the classification. It will be preprocessed. To enhance the appearance base intensity variations we have used the Gaussian filter described in (1).

A. Image Pre-processing

To prepare the image to for the system for main operation image was preprocessed. Pre-processing consist of the steps like: I) Smoothening filter II) Color transformation.

B. Smoothening Filter

Due to different factors during capturing the image it is possible that some sharp edges appears. These sharp edges and lightening effects may cause the local maxima during our segmentation process. This could affect our system’s performance. That’s why this was essential to remove these edge and smooth the image. For this purpose we will use Gaussian filter. It will consider a point on the image as a center and smooth the image as per given equation.

\[ G(x, y) = e^{-\left(\frac{x^2+y^2}{\alpha}\right)} \]  

(1)

Gaussian filter required a Gaussian center, which is taken at (0,0), while is showing the Standard deviation.

C. Color Transformation

After smoothening images will be transformed to from RGB to L*, a*, b* color space. This process was taken to take the maximum values of L*, a*, b*, which were further to be used as threshold. After pre-processing images will be as shown in

D. 2 K-mean clustering

For detection purpose here it was used k-mean clustering algorithm. It was earlier used by some of the researchers but existing techniques were facing some problems with green colored pests. In our proposed model the k-mean clustering is applied sequentially. Initially on the image was clustered using k-mean clustering. K-mean clustering technique decide on behalf of distance between two instances. We have used Euclidean distance to decide about any point on the picture.
To overcome the colored problem we have improved the working of this detection algorithm. Our algorithm makes the segments on the behalf of initially on the number of colors than remaining will be clustered in the behalf of distance. Due to this detection results were based upon the number of colors we used to classify. We selected 5 number of colors in which image has to be segmented. This threshold value was selected on the experimental results observed by us. K-means clustering is a technique from vector quantization. Basically, it is a Signal processing technique, which was utilized in Data Mining for the data clustering. K-mean Clustering normally measure ‘n’ Observations, then categorized in k Clusters. This decision will be made on the behalf of nearest matched clusters. It normally takes a set of observations X1, X2, X3, ... Xn, where each observation from this set is a p-dimensional real vector. The said techniques actually categorize the sample in k number of classes as S1, S2,S3, ... Sk where (k<=n). Actually the combinations are combined using Euclidean distance, the objects having the minimum distance will be clustered in one class. While the distance of the clusters will be calculated from the center which were selected earlier.

**E. Classification**

Segmented images will be cropped on the next step. At this step the area of interest will be cropped as per shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 3 shows Input Images and their cropped images. These are the results when number of colors are taken 3. After this cropping we have extracted the features using DCT. Feature set was too much large almost of 50x 50. As such high dimensions need a complex ANN. While on the behalf of results it was seen that some of the features were not effecting the results in both ways. So we had decided to reduce the dimensionality using PCA (Principal Component Analysis). PCA returned a set of 1x 32 set of features. On behalf of this a neural network of 32 input neurons was constructed. This ANN was consisting of one hidden layer. ANN was using a parallel classifier as we were working one out of 10 classes. To improve the results and
TABLE I. SEGMENTATION RESULTS OF DIFFERENT NUMBER OF CLUSTERS

| Color (n) | Correctly segmented | Incorrectly segmented |
|----------|---------------------|----------------------|
|          | Images % | Images %            |                      |
| 1        | 30      | 46.375              | 36                  |
| 2        | 23      | 46.375              | 36                  |
| 3        | 30      | 46.375              | 31                  |
| 4        | 23      | 46.375              | 21                  |
| 5        | 30      | 46.375              | 21                  |
| 6        | 23      | 46.375              | 21                  |
| 7        | 30      | 46.375              | 21                  |

TABLE II. CLASSIFICATION RESULTS

| Pest         | Accuracy % | Average Accuracy % |
|--------------|------------|--------------------|
|              | 1st Fold   | 2nd Fold           |
| Assassin Bug | 79         | 89.42              | 84 |
| T. Plant Bug | 66.7       | 75                 | 70.85 |
| Convergent Lady Beetle | 75 | 92 | 83.5 |
| White Flies  | 87.5       | 100                | 93.75 |

TABLE III. BRIEF COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES

| Reference                        | Method                  | ACC % |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| ALSMADI et al, 2005 [17]         | Grey Level Co-occurrence Matrix+ ANN | 84    |
| Larios et al, 2008 [12]          | Histogram + Appearance Base | 82    |
| Oplet et al, 2006[18]            | Scale-invariant feature transform | 60    |
| Kandalkar et al, 2014 [9]        | Discrete Wavelet transform(DWT) + ANN | 88    |
| Boissard et al, 2008[7]          | Knowledge Base Mapping  | 98    |
| Our System                       | DCT + ANN               | 94    |

Fig. 4. Comparison of different techniques.

validate them we have used a 10 cross fold validation method.

If we conclude the whole process in a broader way than
we can say that this whole methodology depends upon the
three major steps: 1) preprocessing; 2) detection; and 3)
classification which is shown in Fig. 1. While each Sub
category contain more discrete steps for a specific operation.
Like preprocessing include the loading of plant pest image
which will pass through the Gaussian filter and creating
the color transformation or color space changing structure
applying the cluster technique further which will passes to
the segmentation and create the corresponding 360° angular
filter using training image known as cropping (ROI) technique
to detection while classification contain Feature Extraction.
Set the tuning parameter to determine input images and
recognized the best matches. Normalized of images for different viewing
angles known as Feature Reduction, and Classification phase.

We have tested the crafted features with three different
Classifiers, KNN (K-Nearest Neighbor), SVM and ANN. Com-
plete results of the system along with comparison of different
techniques have been shown in Table IV. It has been compared
with the results of different features combinations along with
different classifiers. It also conclude that we have reached to
highest results with suitable technique, which was the core
objective of our work.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When we have observed the initial detection results it was
observed as seen in Table I. It was seen that the detection phase
has shown some good results when total number of clusters
have been taken as n=5.

For classification for testing the accuracy of system we
have selected four classes. Dataset for training and testing our
system was taken by [16]. They have applied some different
feature extraction and classification techniques and collected
the results shown in Table IV. He has discussed the working

of different feature extraction techniques with two classifiers.
The results for the classification are as given below. If we make
a comparison of different Feature and classifying techniques
with our methodology we can see that it has improved results.
Result has been collected very precisely, and shown in Table
II. We have shown a brief comparison of the Results with some
existing benchmark techniques in Table III.

Setup used by [16] has been extended to check the accuracy
of the said database with ANN (Artificial Neural Network).
It shows a remarkable results on each class of he given dataset,
which shows the robustness of the system. This extension
contains one more classifier and a features technique. Results
of each combination have been shown in Table IV, and
graphically illustrated in Fig. 4.

TABLE IV. COMPARISON OF RESULTS WITH DIFFERENT CLASSIFIERS
AND FEATURE TECHNIQUES [16]

| Features                                | Classifier | KNN | SVM | ANN |
|-----------------------------------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Scale-Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) | 46.5       | 63.5 | 70.1 |
| Speeded-Up Robust Features (SURF)       | 53.0       | 72.5 | 60.3 |
| Histogram Of Oriented Gradient (HOG)    | 73.5       | 81.0 | 82  |
| HOG + SIFT                              | 75.0       | 84.0 | 85.0 |
| HOG+ SURF                               | 76.5       | 89.5 | 90.2 |
| DCT + PCA Our                          | 92.3       | 90.5 | 94  |

V. CONCLUSION

The result shown above in this paper were impressive and
improved than previous techniques. The proposed technique is
based on image-processing and k-mean clustering methodology.
When a pest will be recognized by using four main phases
that which pest is this, after we can classify we can recognize
it as a friend or enemy pest. We were very much accurate
that we have achieved our results, but still this work could
be extended in future, which will be more direction oriented
to reduce the pesticide usage. Still by introducing the Deep
learning techniques we can improve our results. In future this
method can be used to develop an automated spraying system
which will spray only those pests which need a spray else it
will skip it.
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