From climate zone to microhabitat—environmental factors affecting the coastal distribution of tiger beetles (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae) in the south-eastern European biodiversity hotspot
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ABSTRACT

Background: Tiger beetles (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae) are predatory insects usually occurring in various sandy habitats. In south-eastern Europe, especially in lowland areas located close to the sea coast, the diversity of Cicindelidae is one of the highest in the Palaearctic realm. Although previous studies conducted in different areas of the world show that many species are habitat specialists, unfortunately little is known about environmental factors affecting the diversity and distribution of tiger beetles in this region.

Material and Methods: Habitat preferences for 12 tiger beetles taxa were analysed. Over 100 samples collected in eight countries located in coastal areas of the Black and Mediterranean Seas were studied, for which climate data, macrohabitat types, and soil parameters (soil humidity, salinity, pH, and structure) were investigated.

Results: Most studied Cicindelidae were characterised by narrow or very narrow habitat specialisation and did not co-occur with other ones, including 11 taxa found as habitat specialists occurring only in one or two types of macrohabitat. The most eurythopic species was Calomera littoralis nemoralis which occupied four macrohabitat types. The climatic zone, altitude, and humidity were found as the most important factors in the distribution of the studied tiger beetle species. Salt marshes and sandy sea beaches were noted as the most diverse macrohabitat types.

Discussion: Tiger beetle fauna of south-eastern Europe consists mainly of habitat specialists sensitive to environmental changes, which makes these beetles perfect bioindicators. Moreover, as a great number of studied Cicindelidae taxa occur in habitats which are under a significant human impact, we suggest that in the studied area the group can be successfully used as a flagship taxon for insect and nature conservation.
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INTRODUCTION

Tiger beetles (Cicindelidae Latreille, 1806) are an insect family (López-López & Vogler, 2017) with a worldwide distribution except for polar regions and some oceanic islands (Cassola & Pearson, 2000; Pearson & Vogler, 2001). The group includes approximately 2,800 species (Pearson & Cassola, 2005; Zettel & Wiesner, 2018) of both epigeic and arboreal, small to medium-sized beetles which are known as active predators hunting mainly for small arthropods (Pearson & Vogler, 2001; Rewicz & Jaskuła, 2018). Although the number of studies focused on habitat preferences of tiger beetles is rather limited, generally it is known that many typically terrestrial tiger beetles usually prefer various sandy habitats where both larvae and adult beetles live. Moreover, previous studies suggest that most Cicindelidae can be characterised by narrow or even very narrow habitat specialisation, and as a result they can be found only in one or at most in a few very similar types of macrohabitats (Freitag, 1979; Knisley & Pearson, 1984; Pearson, 1984; Ganeshaiah & Belavadi, 1986; Schultz & Hadley, 1987; Acciavatti & Pearson, 1989; Pearson, Barraclough & Vogler, 1997; Jaskuła, 2011, 2015). As a result, tiger beetles are regularly used as bioindicators for determining both regional and global patterns of biodiversity and have become a very important global flagship group for beetle and insect conservation (Schultz, 1989; Knisley & Hill, 1992; Pearson & Cassola, 1992, 2005; Kitching, 1996; Carroll & Pearson, 1998a, 1998b; Rodríguez, Pearson & Barrera, 1998; Andriamampianina et al., 2000; Pearson & Vogler, 2001; Zerm & Adis, 2001; Arndt, Aydin & Aydin, 2005; Brust, Hoback & Knisley, 2005; Jaskuła, 2011, 2015; Dangalle, 2013; Dangalle, Pallewatta & Vogler, 2013, 2014).

Many Cicindelidae species occupy the same areas as their larvae, which are ground-dwelling insects spending all the time from egg to pupae in burrows usually built in different types of sandy soil. Consequently, habitats, especially the parameters of soil including its structure and moisture as well as temperature and vegetation cover (often depending on climate), play an important role in the tiger beetle distribution (Pearson, 1988). As a result, significantly higher diversity and species richness of Cicindelidae are noted in tropical regions than in temperate zones (Pearson & Cassola, 1992; Cassola & Pearson, 2000) and in lowland areas (where a larger mosaic of sandy habitats can be found) than in the highlands and mountains (Pearson & Cassola, 1992; Jaskuła, 2011, 2015).

The south-eastern part of Europe, including the coastal zones of the Mediterranean, Black, and Azov Seas, is known as a very important terrestrial Pleistocene glacial refugium, both on the local (Kryštufek & Reed, 2004) and whole Western Palearctic scale (Hewitt, 1996, 1999; Thompson, 2005; Blondel et al., 2010; Habel et al., 2010). It is also perceived as part of one of the 25 most important world biodiversity hot spots (Myers et al., 2000; Cuttelod et al., 2008). In the case of Cicindelidae, the area can be characterised by high species richness (over 40% of European species) explained by a high level of heterogeneity of sandy habitats preferred both by larvae and adults of tiger beetles and located mainly in the coastal zones of the Mediterranean, Black and Azov Seas (Putchkov & Matalin, 2003; Jaskuła, 2011).
Taking into account present knowledge concerning habitat preferences of Cicindelidae from different regions of the world as well as previous studies by the first author focused on the diversity and distribution of tiger beetle species in south-eastern Europe, the paper aims to test the following hypotheses:

1. In the studied area, tiger beetles are characterised by more or less narrow macrohabitat/microhabitat specialisation;
2. Particular tiger beetle species prefer similar types of habitat in different regions of its distributional area;
3. Occurrence of different tiger beetle species in particular regions/habitats of the studied area is correlated with the parameters of soil, particularly its humidity, pH, salinity, and structure.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Field sampling

Adult tiger beetle species were collected by entomological hand nets during the TB-Quest Expeditions organised by the first author to the Balkan Peninsula and the Black Sea coast in 2009–2012. In total, 114 samples from Albania, Bulgaria, Greece, Romania, Macedonia FYR, Montenegro, Moldova, and Ukraine were collected for which location, GPS co-ordinates, and date were noted (Table 1; Dataset S1). A total of 12 tiger beetle taxa were collected: *Calomera aulica aulica* (Dejean, 1822), *C. fischeri fischeri* (Adams, 1817), *C. littoralis nemoralis* (Olivier, 1790), *Cephalota besseri besseri* (Dejean, 1822), *C. chiloleuca* (Fischer Von Waldheim, 1820), *C. circumdata circumdata* (Dejean, 1822), *Cicindela maritima kirgisica* Mandl, 1936, *C. monticola rumelica* Apfelbeck, 1909, *Cylindera germanica germanica* (Linnaeus, 1758), *C. trisignata hellenica* Cassola, 1973, *C. trisignata trisignata* (Dejean, 1822), and *Myriochila melancholica* (Fabricius, 1798). Adult beetles were collected during sunny hours when the activity of most tiger beetle species is the highest. Although we noted presence of tiger beetle larvae in almost all sampling sites, it was not possible to recognise if larvae of all noted Cicindelidae species were present in the particular habitat, as in case of some taxa studied by us, their juvenile stages are still unknown. As a consequence we decided to study only adult beetles.

Sampling sites were located in climatic zones accepted after Beck et al. (2018), while every tiger beetle habitat was classified to one of the macrohabitat types distinguished earlier by the first author (Jaskuła, 2011). Moreover, at every sampling site, soil pH and soil humidity (%) were measured in three places where tiger beetles were observed and average values of those measurements were noted. Additionally, three sub-samples of soil for further laboratory analysis were collected (in total 150 ml of volume) in the same places of the sampling site where pH and humidity of soil were measured.

Laboratory analysis

To check the soil structure, all samples were dried separately on Petri dishes in an electronic drier. Next, every sample was weighed, and after that it was sifted on electronic
| Sample code | GPS co-ordinates     | Sample code | GPS co-ordinates     |
|-------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| AL-02/T3B-2010 | N41.16325 E20.22933 | GR-29/T32-2011 | N36.80451 E22.69421 |
| AL-04A/T5A-2010 | N41.77051 E19.60032 | GR-30/T33-2011 | N40.82814 E25.97922 |
| AL-06/T7-2010   | N41.57539 E19.47552 | GR-31/T34-2011 | N41.00154 E25.16867 |
| AL-07/T8-2010   | N41.12435 E19.44858 | MD-01/T1-2012  | N46.91151 E28.39807 |
| AL-08A/T9A-2010 | N40.90978 E19.41322 | MK-01A/T3A-2010 | N40.94522 E20.90385 |
| AL-08B/T9B-2010 | N40.90978 E19.41322 | MNE-01/T1-2011 | N42.16319 E19.22248 |
| AL-08C/T9C-2010 | N40.90978 E19.41322 | MNE-02/T2-2011 | N41.87111 E19.33309 |
| AL-09/T10-2010  | N40.98621 E19.49688 | RO-03/T2-2012  | N45.02893 E29.16031 |
| AL-10/T11-2010  | N40.74978 E19.57787 | RO-04/T3-2012  | N44.90882 E28.83239 |
| AL-12/T13-2010  | N40.62849 E19.34299 | RO-05/T4-2012  | N44.88047 E28.80822 |
| AL-13/T3-2011   | N41.86185 E19.44742 | RO-06/T5-2012  | N44.78225 E28.90602 |
| AL-14A/T4A-2011 | N41.71029 E19.60026 | RO-07A/T6A-2012 | N44.62350 E28.79436 |
| AL-14B/T4B-2011 | N41.71029 E19.60026 | RO-07B/T6B-2012 | N44.61967 E28.30752 |
| AL-15/T5-2011   | N41.74930 E19.57265 | RO-08/T7-2012  | N44.67431 E28.89582 |
| AL-16/T6-2011   | N41.75259 E19.59838 | RO-09/T8-2012  | N44.53820 E28.72625 |
| AL-17/T7-2011   | N41.68125 E19.67219 | RO-10/T9-2012  | N44.37732 E28.71192 |
| AL-18/T8-2011   | N41.59049 E19.58026 | RO-11/T10-2012 | N44.44574 E28.73505 |
| AL-19/T9-2011   | N40.98786 E19.48419 | RO-12/T11-2012 | N44.43155 E28.77055 |
| AL-20/T10-2010  | N40.98268 E19.49548 | RO-13/T12-2012 | N44.44422 E28.74371 |
| AL-21/T11-2011  | N40.67619 E19.33409 | RO-14/T21-2012 | N45.34997 E26.69501 |
| AL-22/T12-2011  | N40.67309 E19.35832 | RO-15/T22-2012 | N46.60329 E23.79886 |
| AL-23/T13-2011  | N39.74292 E20.00576 | UA-01/T1-2011  | N47.09562 E38.18540 |
| AL-24/T14-2011  | N39.69515 E20.11696 | UA-02A/T2A-2011 | N47.07738 E38.12819 |
| BG-02/T13-2012  | N42.02339 E28.00734 | UA-02B/T2B-2011 | N47.07738 E38.12819 |
| BG-03/T14-2012  | N42.06318 E27.97311 | UA-03A/T3A-2011 | N47.09569 E38.01092 |
| BG-04/T15-2012  | N42.10304 E27.92366 | UA-03B/T3B-2011 | N47.09569 E38.01092 |
| BG-05/T16-2012  | N42.14655 E27.87794 | UA-04/T4-2011  | N47.08143 E37.69160 |
| BG-06/T17-2012  | N42.34988 E27.72104 | UA-05/T5-2011  | N46.94367 E37.38399 |
| BG-07/T18-2012  | N42.55187 E27.48438 | UA-06/T6-2011  | N46.87361 E37.30523 |
| BG-08/T19-2012  | N43.19124 E27.73240 | UA-07/T7-2011  | N46.70605 E36.83310 |
| BG-09/T20-2012  | N43.57218 E28.58338 | UA-08/T8-2011  | N46.66028 E36.29574 |
| GR-01/T14-2010  | N39.18940 E20.53221 | UA-09/T9-2011  | N46.65631 E35.34950 |
| GR-03/T16-2010  | N39.95805 E22.69696 | UA-10/T10-2011 | N46.74554 E35.35399 |
| GR-04/T17-2010  | N40.15725 E22.54858 | UA-11/T11-2011 | N46.53403 E35.10083 |
| GR-05/T18-2010  | N40.29430 E22.61182 | UA-12/T12-2011 | N46.50789 E35.11976 |
| GR-06/T19-2010  | N40.65620 E23.16222 | UA-13A/T13A-2011 | N46.16808 E34.78357 |
| GR-07/T20-2010  | N40.78218 E23.82907 | UA-13B/T13B-2011 | N46.16808 E34.78357 |
| GR-08/T21-2010  | N40.89414 E24.85901 | UA-14/T14-2011 | N46.15247 E34.60260 |
| GR-11/T24-2010  | N40.82156 E25.98921 | UA-15/T15-2011 | N45.20258 E35.64101 |
| GR-12/T15-2011  | N39.03415 E20.76072 | UA-16/T16-2011 | N45.40428 E35.88406 |
| GR-13/T16-2011  | N38.67767 E20.93182 | UA-17/T17-2011 | N45.97222 E33.72799 |
| GR-14/T17-2011  | N38.41763 E21.36271 | UA-18A/T18A-2011 | N46.60185 E32.11789 |
sieves. All received parts of soil particles gravel (>2 mm), sand (0.063–0.002 mm), silt (0.063–0.002 mm), and clay (<0.002 mm) were weighed. To estimate the proportion of particular soil particles in each sample, the values of their weight were compared with the total weight of the entire soil sample.

To check soil salinity, in the case of every sample, a volume of two ml of soil was dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water. Then, using the WTW Multi 350i probe, electrical conductivity of soil-water solution was measured (three measurements were done to note the average value used in further analysis).

Statistical methods
Statistical analysis concerns 112 samples of 11 Cicindelidae taxa (presence/absence data): Calomera aulica aulica (Caa), C. fischeri fischeri (Cf), C. littoralis nemoralis (Cln), Cephalota besseri besseri (Cbb), C. chiloleuca (Cch), C. circumdata circumdata (Ccc), Cicindela monticola rumelica (Cmr), Cylindera germanica germanica (Cgg), C. trisignata hellenica (Cth), C. trisignata trisignata (Ctt), and Myriochila melancholica (Mm). Species Cicindela maritima kirgisica (Cmk) and samples AL-02 and UA-03 were excluded from macrohabitat analysis due to the fact that they were outliers in the analysed communities. This material was supplemented by data on: 1/five microhabitat environmental parameters—altitude, soil pH, soil humidity, soil salinity, and soil sediment granulometry (percentage share of gravel, sand, silt, and clay), 2/five macrohabitats types—saltmarshes, banks of rivers, banks of lakes, sandy sea beaches, sandy-stony sea beaches, and 3/four climatic zones: arid-steppe-cold (Bsk), temperate with dry, hot summer (Csa), temperate with no dry season and hot summer (Cfb), and cold without any dry season and with warm summer (Dfb).

### Table 1 (continued).

| Sample code | GPS co-ordinates | Sample code | GPS co-ordinates |
|-------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| GR-15/T18-2011 N38.37430 E21.55359 | UA-18B/T18B-2011 N46.60185 E32.11789 |
| GR-16/T19-2011 N38.18333 E21.39320 | UA-19A/T19A-2011 N46.83873 E31.58393 |
| GR-17/T20-2011 N38.15959 E21.38517 | UA-19B/T19B-2011 N46.83873 E31.58393 |
| GR-18/T21-2011 N38.15549 E21.36802 | UA-20/T20-2011 N46.63146 E31.37901 |
| GR-19A/T22A-2011 N37.99217 E21.27229 | UA-21/T21-2011 N46.57421 E30.75625 |
| GR-19B/T22B-2011 N37.99217 E21.27229 | UA-22A/T22A-2011 N45.48260 E29.14931 |
| GR-20/T23-2011 N37.64011 E21.47691 | UA-22B/T22B-2011 N45.48260 E29.14931 |
| GR-21/T24-2011 N37.61262 E21.45359 | UA-23/T23-2011 N45.44802 E29.44001 |
| GR-22/T25-2011 N37.51818 E21.59096 | UA-24A/T24A-2011 N45.53664 E29.65798 |
| GR-23/T26-2011 N36.95367 E21.69121 | UA-24B/T24B-2011 N45.53664 E29.65798 |
| GR-24/T27-2011 N36.95252 E21.66309 | UA-25A/T25A-2011 N45.74124 E29.78605 |
| GR-25/T28-2011 N37.05459 E22.45243 | UA-25B/T25B-2011 N45.74124 E29.78605 |
| GR-26/T29-2011 N36.80451 E22.69421 | UA-26A/T26A-2011 N45.90452 E30.11342 |
| GR-27/T30-2011 N36.66068 E23.02514 | UA-26B/T26B-2011 N45.90452 E30.11342 |
| GR-28/T31-2011 N36.78868 E23.07484 |

Note: AL, Albania; BG, Bulgaria; GR, Greece; MD, Moldova; MK, Macedonia FYR; MNE, Montenegro; RO, Romania; UA, Ukraine. Numbers at the end of sample code indicate year of collecting.
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Multivariate statistics were calculated for biotic and environmental data. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on normalised data was conducted for the environmental ordination of sites investigated, divided into two geographical regions—A (Black Sea Basin) and B (Mediterranean Sea Basin). According to Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (calculated using presence/absence transformed data, the Bray–Curtis similarity index, and 50 restarts, excluding outlier samples UA-03 and AL-02), tiger beetle samples were divided into four climatic zones: Bsk, Csa, Cfb, and Dfb. Taxa characteristic of each of four zones and dissimilarity between those community types were obtained using the SIMPER analysis with Bray–Curtis similarity and cut-off for low contributions 100%. Detrended Canonical Correspondence Analysis (DCCA) was implemented to recognise data distribution (linear or unimodal) with detrending by segments. As the length of DCCA gradient was 1.5 SD units for the first axis and 1.58 for the second DCCA axis, redundancy analysis (RDA) with scaling focused on inter-species correlation and species scores divided by standard deviation was conducted to recognise the main environmental factors determining species occurrence. To test the significance of environment–species relation, the unrestricted Monte Carlo Permutation Test was applied with automatic selection under the full model for all environmental variables. Statistical analyses were performed using PRIMER 6 and Canoco 4.5 software (Clark & Gorley, 2001; Ter Braak & Šmilauer, 2002).

RESULTS

Macrohabitat preferences
In the study, 12 Cicindelidae taxa were recorded in five different macrohabitat types. Eight tiger beetle species, *Calomera aulica aulica*, *Cephalota besseri besseri*, *C. chiloleuca*, *C. circumdata circumdata*, *Cicindela maritima kirgisica*, *C. monticola rumelica*, *Cylindera germanica germanica*, and *Myriochila melancholica*, were noted only in one macrohabitat type. *Calomera fischeri fischeri*, *Cylindera trisignata hellenica*, and *C. t. trisignata* occurred in two macrohabitats, while the most opportunistic species was *Calomera littoralis nemoralis*, which was noted in four different habitats. On the other hand, the highest diversity of Cicindelidae was recorded in saltmarshes (eight taxa or 67% of studied fauna) and on sandy sea beaches (five species or 42% of studied fauna). River banks, lake shores, and sandy-rocky sea beaches were characterised only by one to three tiger beetle species (respectively 25%, 17%, and 8% of noted fauna; Fig. 1A).

Community structure and environment
The distribution of the sites in the PCA (Fig. 2) indicates that sites from group A are positively or weakly negatively correlated with PC Axis 1 and show moderate, indirect variation according to Axis 2. Sites from group B are negatively correlated or not correlated to PC Axis 1 and Axis 2. The correlation of the factors with the ordination axis shows that study sites in the Black Sea basin are often located on sandy soils with higher pH values and gravel content and reveal weaker altitudinal and humidity patterns, whereas those in the Mediterranean Sea basin are often located on silty soils and reveal
Figure 1. Distribution of recorded Cicindelidae species along investigated macrohabitats (A) and gradients of investigated physico-chemical parameters (B). (A) Colours indicate macrohabitats where one or another species were recorded, n-number of sites where species was noted; (B) results of RDA analysis; thick arrows—factors significantly explaining species distribution, dashed arrows—insignificant factors; red arrows—factors correlated with Axis 1, light blue one—factors correlated with Axis 2, black—factors not correlated with Axes 1 and 2; percent value below factor name—variance explained; taxa marked by orange colour—correlated mostly with Axis 1, taxa marked by navy blue colour—correlated mostly with Axis 2, taxa marked by green colour—correlated parallel with Axis 1 and Axis 2.
higher altitudinal and humidity patterns. The silt and sand content and the pH value are the most important factors differentiating sites from groups A and B.

However, the RDA indicates that sediment composition does not have a significant influence on the studied beetle communities. Redundancy analysis Axis 1 represents 6.1% of species variance and as much as 49.4% of species-environment relation variance. Redundancy analysis Axis 2 represents 3% of species variance and 24.3% of species-environment relation variance. Among environmental variables, altitude ($P = 0.002$) and soil salinity ($P = 0.002$) are significant factors and explain 4% and 3% of total variance of species distribution among the samples respectively. The gravel content can be regarded as almost a significant factor ($P = 0.064$) explaining 2% of total variance of species distribution among the samples. The altitude and gravel content are positively correlated with Axis 1, the share of sand fraction is negatively correlated with Axis 1, whereas soil salinity and humidity are positively correlated with Axis 2. The silt and clay share in the sediment and pH are not correlated with canonical Axis 1 or Axis 2. Results of RDA indicate (Fig. 1B) that *Cephalota circumdata circumdata* prefers higher soil salinity and humidity. *Calomera littoralis nemoralis* prefers sandy soil and low amounts of gravel and clay, as well as low pH and also sites of low altitude (characteristics of sites near sea beaches), whereas *C. chiloleuca* and *M. melancholica* appear at sites of higher altitude (sites more distant from the sea shore). Other tiger beetle taxa (*Calomera aulica aulica, Cephalota besseri besseri, Calomera fischeri fischeri*, etc.)...
Cylindera germanica germanica, Cicindela monticola rumelica, C. maritima kirgisica, C. trisignata hellenica, and C. t. trisignata) are weakly correlated with factors of Axis 1 and Axis 2, correlated parallel with Axis 1 and Axis 2 or not correlated with the factors measured.

The distribution of the recorded species on a large geographical scale follows two main climatic zones: Bsk (arid-steppe-cold), Cfb (temperature with no dry season and hot summer), Csa (temperate with dry, hot summer), Dfb (cold without any dry season and with warm summer)

**DISCUSSION**

**Geographical and climatic gradients vs. Cicindelidae diversity and distribution**

As in the case of many insect groups, the distribution and diversity of Cicindelidae are strictly connected with a geographical region of the world, climate and weather conditions, as well as a habitat type (Pearson & Cassola, 1992; Pearson, 1988; Pearson & Vogler, 2001).
Generally it is known that the number of tiger beetle species occurring in warm tropical regions is significantly higher than in temperate zones and that lowland areas are characterised by higher species richness than the highlands and mountains (Pearson & Cassola, 1992; Acciavatti & Pearson, 1989; Andriamampianina et al., 2000; Pearson & Vogler, 2001; Jaskula & Rewicz, 2015; Jaskula, Rewicz & Kwiatkowski, 2015). This is due to the fact that such regions are characterised by high average annual temperatures, usually higher air humidity and a significantly larger mosaic of sandy habitats which are attractive for many Cicindelidae species (Pearson & Vogler, 2001). In the case of our study area, the diversity and species richness of tiger beetles occurring in the lowlands, especially in the Mediterranean and Black Seas coastal zones, clearly confirm this general worldwide tendency as about 40% of European Cicindelidae fauna is known from this area (Putchkov & Matalin, 2003; Jaskula, 2011). Although it was not possible to visit all places potentially attractive for tiger beetles in the area, and as a result we were not able to study all species occurring in this region, and moreover, for some of the analysed taxa it was possible to use only single samples, we can note that the composition of Cicindelidae fauna within the study area is significantly changing along the geographical (Fig. 2) and also climatic gradients (Fig. 3). That was observed even if the material had been collected over a few years but only during summer months, which simply excluded the possibility of noting tiger beetle species with a different type of phenological activity (Willis, 1967; Schultz, 1989; Knisley, Schultz & Hasewinkel, 1990). The warmest climate zone included in our study (temperate with dry and hot summer) known from the south-eastern Balkan Peninsula (occurring mainly along the sea coast of Montenegro, Albania, and Greece) was characterised by the highest species richness compared to the part of the Bulgarian Black Sea coast (temperate with no dry season and a hot summer climatic zone) and the Ukrainian Black Sea coast (arid-steppe-cold climate zone). Such distribution of species richness is known from the literature as the latitudinal diversity gradient and was recorded for many plant and animal taxa all around the world (Gaston, 2000; Willig, Kaufmann & Stevens, 2003; Pimm & Brown, 2004; Cardillo, Orme & Owens, 2005). Although there is still a lack of such studies upon tiger beetle faunas in the case of some continents, such a diversity gradient can be observed also in this insect group (Pearson & Cassola, 1992).

The differences in tiger beetle faunas between particular regions distinguished within the studied area (Fig. 2) can be probably explained also by geological history of south-eastern Europe as it was shown on the basis of molecular data for Calomera littoralis occurring both on the Mediterranean and Black Seas coasts (Jaskula et al., 2016).

In the paper, it was demonstrated that Pleistocene glaciations and associated sea level changes in the Mediterranean/Pontic region (including contemporary isolation of waters of the present day Black and Mediterranean Seas) had a profound effect on the genetic diversity and distribution of this widely distributed coastal tiger beetle species, generating a significant level of diversity within this taxon. A disconnection of the Mediterranean and Pontic basins which was present from ca. 2 to ca. 1.5 Ma as a result of such sea level fluctuations, turned the Meothic Sea, one of several predecessors of the Black Sea, into the predominantly freshwater Pontos Sea/Lake (Grinevetsky et al., 2015). Significant changes in salinity, and as a result also in the parameters of soils located...
on the sea coasts between both water reservoirs, were possible mainly because of different river systems located in the Black and Mediterranean Seas basins. In the case of the first one, large rivers were flowing waters to the isolated Pontos Sea (and are still flowing them to the Black Sea), which resulted in decline in salinity of both sea waters and soils on the sea coast. Moreover, large rivers bring large volumes of sediments that are often deposited close to the sea coast, which can possibly influence the soil structure in sandy habitats attractive for tiger beetles. On the other hand, the Mediterranean Sea basin of the present-day Balkan Peninsula can be characterised by a lack of such large rivers. There are mainly small streams as well as small and medium-sized rivers often flowing waters with small volumes of sediments collected directly from the mountain areas (Allen, 2000; Yanko-Hombach et al., 2006; Blondel et al., 2010).

Macro- and microhabitat preferences

As in the case of many epigeic Cicindelidae species, adult beetles occupy the same areas as their larvae, except the climate conditions, the structure of microhabitat, especially soil components, play an important role in their general distribution patterns (Pearson & Vogler, 2001) as well as in microhabitat segregation by particular taxa (Ganeshaiah & Belavadi, 1986; Schultz & Hadley, 1987; Knisley & Hill, 1992; Satoh & Hori, 2005). In tiger beetles, the soil parameters, including soil composition, moisture, chemistry, and temperature, are tested at least by females after copulation and before laying eggs in the soil. Such behaviour allows them to choose the optimal microhabitat type which can increase their reproductive success (Pearson & Vogler, 2001; Brust, Hoback & Knisley, 2005).

Our results show that almost all of the studied Cicindelidae taxa (92% of investigated fauna) are habitat specialists occurring in only one to two macrohabitat types, with Calomera littoralis nemoralis as the only exception due to the fact that the species was noted from almost all studied macrohabitat types (Fig. 1). Wide habitat preferences of C. littoralis nemoralis found in the presented study confirm earlier literature data as it was noted as the most eurythopic tiger beetle species according to the habitat type in the Balkan Peninsula (Jaskula, 2011). Moreover, it is also known as the only Cicindelidae species in the studied area with opportunistic vegetarian behaviour, which can also promote a wide habitat distribution observed in this insect (Jaskula, 2013). On the other hand, in the case of at least four species (Calomera aulica aulica, Cephalota besseri besseri, Cicindela maritima kirgisica, and C. monticola rumelica), for which only single samples were available, we can only speculate if they are really habitat specialists or can be found in different (e.g. not checked in this study) types of macrohabitats. Definitely more data are necessary to confirm macrohabitat preferences of these taxa, especially since all of them are known as rare or even very rare in the studied area (often with a very restricted distributional area) (Putchkov & Matalin, 2003, Franzen, 2006; Matalin, 1999; Jaskula, 2011). Although additional data are needed to clarify habitat preferences of some of the Cicindelidae species studied by us, our results clearly confirm narrow or very narrow habitat specialisation observed as typical of tiger beetles in different regions of the world (Freitag, 1979; Knisley, 1984; Knisley & Pearson, 1984; Pearson, 1984;
For example, on the basis of data summarised by Pearson, Barraclough & Vogler (1997) and available for all North American Cicindelidae, it was found that only *Cicindela tranquebarica* occurred in as many as six habitat categories, even if 17 different habitat types were recognised. Moreover, in the USA, in Sulphur Springs Valley (Arizona) only *Cicindelidia nigrocoerulea*, one of 20 species recorded during the studies, was noted in more than one habitat type (Knisley & Pearson, 1984), while in the Colfax County (New Mexico) only four of 19 species (*Cicindela fulgida*, *C. tranquebarica*, *Cicindelidia punctulata*, and *C. nigrocoerulea*) were found as habitat generalists occurring in seven different macrohabitat types (Knisley, 1984). Similar results were provided also from Asia, both by Acciavatti & Pearson (1989), from the Indian subcontinent, where among Cicindelidae taxa only *Calochroa flavomaculata* was recorded from several different habitat types, as well as by Satoh et al. (2006) from Japan, where only *Cicindela transbaicalica* was distributed widely along the river in the Tedori River System (two other studied species were habitat specialists). Narrow habitat specialisation was found also in tiger beetles occurring in Australia (Freitag, 1979), where among 29 studied species only *Myriochila mastersi* and *M. semicincta* were found as habitat generalists, South America (Pearson, 1984), where *Odontocheila annulicornis* was the only one cicindelid taxon (of 29 species) recorded in more than one forest habitat type in the Tambopata Reserve Zone, Peru, as well as in North Africa, where among four studied tiger beetle species only *Lophyra flexuosa* was noted as eurytopic and occurred in four macrohabitat types (Jaskuła, 2015).

Numerous literature data from many regions of the world show that different soil parameters play a very important role for epigeic Cicindelidae (for review see Pearson & Vogler, 2001). Although in our study we were not able to provide a large number of samples for all studied tiger beetle taxa (Fig. 1), and as a consequence, it was not possible to estimate any key factor in the case of microhabitat parameters for such beetles, we still can find that the occurrence of a few of them is connected with some of the measured microhabitat parameters. For example, *Calomera littoralis nemoralis*, recognised in the study as the most eurythopic species according to the macrohabitat type, is also a taxon which strongly prefers lower elevations. On the other hand, *Cephalota circumdata circumdata* was found as a species strongly preferring soils with higher salinity values, which of course is very characteristic of salt marshes.

It is important to note that among all studied macrohabitat types salt marshes and sandy sea beaches were characterised by the highest species richness (respectively seven species or 58% of fauna and five species or 42% of fauna) (Fig. 1). High importance of such habitats for Cicindelidae was earlier noted also in many other areas in the Mediterranean region (Şekeroglu & Aydön, 2002; Arndt, Aydin & Aydin, 2005; Aydön, 2011; Jaskuła, 2015; Rodriguez-Flores et al., 2016; Assmann et al., 2018). On the other hand, such types of habitat are known as threatened in great parts of Europe and all the Mediterranean region, mainly as a result of human activity (Davy, Bakker & Figueroa, 2009), including tourist activity and rapid development of tourist infrastructure (Arndt, Aydin & Aydin, 2005). As a consequence, based on such data, at least 75%
of species noted by us in the study already are or can be potentially threatened in the near future, even if actually some of them are still common and/or abundant in the region.

CONCLUSIONS

Narrow or even very narrow habitat specialisation noted by us in the studied Cicindelidae taxa clearly confirms the high value of this beetle group as important bioindicators and a flagship taxon for nature conservation. Although we were not able to provide large data for all studied taxa (and the study was done only on the basis of adult beetles), we believe that high sensitivity of tiger beetles to potential environmental changes, including climatic and habitat ones, makes them model organisms for biologists, ecologists, and nature conservationists who are focused not only on beetles and/or insects but also on habitat types occupied by Cicindelidae.
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