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Abstract: The study sought to evaluate the effects of sand preparation techniques on the performance of bio-sand filter. Four bio-sand filter prototypes were constructed and filled with sand prepared through various techniques. The preparation techniques of the sand were (a) washing with treated water, (b) drying in the sun for a day, (c) drying in oven at 105°C and (d) soaking in chlorine. River water was applied in this study. Turbidity, Total Suspended Solid (TSS) and the Coliform tests were performed on the river water before and after passing through the bio-sand filter prototypes. The tests were done to evaluate the impact of sand preparation techniques on the efficiency of bio-sand filter in reducing turbidity, total suspended solids and Coliforms content. The results showed that all the sand preparation techniques generally reduce turbidity, total suspended solids and Coliforms content appreciably. However the soaked with chlorine prototype was the most efficient, with the removal efficiencies of 97.97% for Coliforms, 92.86% for TSS and 89.17% for Turbidity. Next was the sand washed with treated water prototype achieving removal efficiencies of 96.99% for Coliforms, 92.86 % for TSS and 89.17% for Turbidity. The study showed that the bio-filter sand prepared by soaking in chlorine achieved the highest removal efficiency.
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1. Introduction

Safe drinking water has been linked to improved human health and human development [1], [2]. Inadequate and unsafe water, poor sanitation, unsafe hygiene practice have been linked with causing diarrheal diseases that are responsible of at least 1.9 million deaths of children aged under 5 annually [1]. Although there is significant improved access to safe drinking water which has led to 27% reduction of child mortality from 1190-2008 [3] about 1.1 billion people still lack access to water [1], majority living in rural areas in developing countries.

In the developing countries, implementation of municipal water treatment system can be impractical and costly due budgetary constraints. Therefore, the consideration of low cost household water treatment systems is a reasonable alternative [4]. Bio-sand filter is one of the low cost household water treatment methods which has grown significantly in use over recent years as way of improving water quality in rural areas [5].

Bio-sand filter reduces pathogens in primary water sources in varying proportion [6-7] by formation of schmutzdecke on the surface of the sand bed as the filtration progresses. The formation of schmutzdecke is an important process influencing purification in the bio-sand filter [8]. Further, protozoa, bacterial, algae, and other forms of life within the filter bed contribute to pollutant removal [9]-[11] including E. coli [12].

The efficiency of purification in a bio-sand filter vary depending on the type of filter, choice of filter depth, sand type, sand grain size and the filtration rate[13]. Biological activity is enhanced with increasing filter depth, as microorganism and other suspended particles have to travel a longer through the sand, thus, a higher removal efficiency is expected with large sand depth [14].

The main component involved in the filtration process of bio-sand filter is the sand media. Currently, the method used in sand preparation for the bio-sand filter is simply cleaning sand filter is the sand media. Currently, the method used in sand preparation for the bio-sand filter is simply cleaning sand preparation for the bio-sand filter is simply cleaning water [15]. This study sought to evaluate the effects of sand preparation techniques on the performance of bio-sand filter. The preparation techniques of the sand were (a) washing with treated water, (b) drying in the sun for a day, (c) drying in oven at 105°C and (d) soaking in chlorine. Materials and methods, results analysis and discussion, and conclusion have been dealt with in an elaborate manner in this paper.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Filter Media Preparation

This was done in accordance to Centre for Affordable Water and Sanitation Technology (CAWST) bio-sand filter manual [16]. The filter material used was fine river sand of minimum clay, loam and organic content. The sand was screened to remove some large size sand particles, washed with water before grading to remove any clay and dirt content.

Sieve analysis test for fine sand was conducted using vertically stacked sieves of sizes of 2mm, 1mm, 500 μm, 250 μm and 125 μm. Sieve analysis test for gravel was conducted in the same manner with sieves of the followings seize of 8mm, 4mm and 2 mm. The material retained on the 6 mm sieve was used as the drainage layer while the material retained on the 1 mm sieve was used as a separating layer while the material passing through 1 mm sieve was used as filtration sand.

Four separate samples of sand were prepared according to the following sand preparation techniques: (a) washing with treated water, (b) drying in the sun for a day, (c) drying in oven at 105°C and (d) soaking in chlorine.
2.2 Sources of Water

The water used in the experiment was untreated river water. This water was tested and analyzed for Turbidity, Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and Coliforms.

2.3 Filter Prototype

Filter apparatus, Fig. 1 were locally fabricated in this study. It consists of a plastic (PVC) column of length 250mm and diameter of 100 mm. The depth of sand media in the column was 100mm, separating layer of 50 mm, and an under drain layer of 50mm. The column was plugged with a rubber stopper at the bottom fitted with two glass tubes. Four bio-sand filter prototypes were set up each with sand media prepared were (a) washing with treated water, (b) drying in the sun for a day, (c) drying in oven at 105°C and (d) soaking in chlorine.

Treated water was passed through the bio-sand filters for twenty one days to ensure full maturity of the bio-layer (schmutzdecke) [11]. Thereafter, the river (raw) water was passed through the bio-sand filter and the filtrate was tested for turbidity, total suspended solids and coliforms for each filter prototype. The following annotations have been used throughout this paper to aid in the identification of these filtrates:

A-River (raw) water before filtration:
B. Filtrate from sand oven dried at high temperature (105°C.
C- Filtrate from sand dried in sunlight for a day.
D-Filterate from sand washed with treated water.
E. Filtrate from sand soaked in strong chlorine.

2.4 Tests on raw water samples and the filtered samples

The tests were done on raw water and the filtrates from each prototype. The following tests were done as recommended by Centre for Affordable Water and Sanitation Technology (CAWST) water quality testing training manual [17]:

1. Turbidity test- Turbidity test was done determine the efficiency of the sand samples in reducing turbidity.
2. Total suspended solids test-This test was done to determine the efficiency of the sand samples in reducing the total suspended solids.
3. Coliform test- This was done to determine the extent which sample sand filter can reduces coliforms contaminats in water.

3. Results, Analysis and Discussion

3.1 Sieve Analysis Test

Sieve analysis test was performed on the sand to be used as the bio-sand layer in the prototype. The aim of this test was to ensure that the effective size and uniformity coefficient was within the recommended values by CAWST [16]. The test was performed and the sieve analysis curve was plotted as shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen from Fig. 2 that the value of the effective size, (D10) and uniformity coefficient, Cu is 0.25 and 2.8 respectively. The uniformity coefficient was within the recommended value of Cu<4 for bio-sand filter [16].

3.2 Turbidity Tests

Turbidity test was performed on raw water and filtered water and the results were as shown in the Table 1. From Fig. 3, it can be seen that sample filtrate E (for sand cleaned with concentrated chlorine) had the least Turbidity of 2.42 NTU while the sun-dried and oven dried producing filtrate with highest Turbidity of 10.07 NTU and 8.28 NTU respectively. This could have been due to the fact that the sand sample was not cleaned using any form of liquid and hence leaving a lot of fine particles which could have made their way through the filtrate.

From Fig. 4, it can be concluded that the efficiency of bio-sand filter models in removing turbidity lies between 55-89% with sample E (sand cleaned with chlorine) producing the highest efficiency of 89% higher than the rest including D (sand cleaned with treated water). This could be due the fact that chlorine corroded or dissolved organic material do to it corrosive property thus reducing the content. World Health Organization (WHO) recommends a Turbidity of <5NTU for drinking water quality. This means that filtrates form sample D and E met the standards [18].
Figure 2: Sieve analysis curve for the sand

Table 1: Turbidity test results

| Samples | Turbidity (NTU) | Removal Efficiency (%) |
|---------|----------------|------------------------|
| A       | 22.35          |                        |
| B       | 8.48           | 62.06                  |
| C       | 10.07          | 54.94                  |
| D       | 4.29           | 80.81                  |
| E       | 2.42           | 89.17                  |

Figure 3: Turbidity present

Figure 4: Turbidity removal efficiency
3.3 Total Suspended Solids Test

The test involved finding the difference in weight of the oven dried filter papers before and after filtration. The results obtained were as shown in Table 2. From Fig. 5, it is evident that total suspended solids before filtration was extremely high which was 560 mg/l. Oven dried sand and sun-dried sand produced high Total Suspended Solids in the filtrate of 80 mg/l and 100 mg/l respectively. Sand cleaned with water and chlorine produced the least Total Suspended Solid in the filtrates of 20 mg/l and 40 mm/l respectively. Thus it can be concluded that sand cleaned using water or chlorine resulted in the removal of the very fine particles before installation in the prototype while sun-dried and oven-dried sand had a very fine particles in them and when installed in the filter some of the particles found their way into the filtrate. WHO guidelines [18] specifies that allowable value for Total Suspended Solids should be nil. Therefore, none of the samples met the guidelines.

As depicted in the Fig. 6, the Total Suspended Solids removal efficiency of the filters lied between 86%-96%. Sample D (sand cleaned with water) had the highest total suspended solids removal efficiency which was 96, 43%. This shows that the sand undergoing some form of cleaning increases the efficiency of bio-sand filter in removing suspended solid.

Table 2: Total suspended solid test results

| Sample | Weight of dry filter paper (gms) | Weight of filter paper with dried sample (gms) | Weight of TSS (gms) | TSS (mg/l) | Removal efficiency (%) |
|--------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------------|
| A      | 0.561                           | 0.589                                         | 0.028              | 560        |                        |
| B      | 0.556                           | 0.56                                          | 0.004              | 80         | 85.71                  |
| C      | 0.569                           | 0.574                                         | 0.005              | 100        | 82.14                  |
| D      | 0.56                            | 0.558                                         | 0.001              | 20         | 96.43                  |
| E      | 0.545                           | 0.547                                         | 0.002              | 40         | 92.86                  |

3.4 Coliform Tests

Multiple Tube Fermentation Technique (MPN) test was performed to determine the amount of Coliform counts in the raw water and filtrate. The results were as shown in Table 3. The microbial removal efficiency of the filter lied between 86%-96% (Fig. 7) with sun-dried having the least, 86.41% and chlorine cleaned having the highest efficiency, 97.97%.

From Fig. 8 it can be seen that raw water before filtration had the highest Coliforms count of 1626 TC/100ml which according to WHO [18] is categorized as very dangerous and should be rejected or must be treated thoroughly. The oven-dried sand produced a filtrate with 141TC/100ml while sun-dried sand produced a filtrate with 221 TC/100 ml. These samples fell within thin 101-1000TC/ml which WHO [18] defines as dangerous and must be treated. Sand cleaned with water produced a filtrate with 49 TC/ml. The sand cleaned with chlorine produced the filtrate with the least Coliforms count of 33 TC/ml. Coliforms count from D and E fell within the 11-100 TC/ 100ml which WHO [18] defines as polluted but can be consumed. Sand sample prepared using chlorine and treated water produced a tolerable amount of total Coliforms count compared to the rest of the sand preparation techniques.

3.5 Relationship between turbidity and total Coliforms

From Fig. 9, it can be seen that Turbidity and total Coliforms count have a direct relationship, the higher the Turbidity the higher the number of micro-organisms. This is due to the fact that highly turbid waters contain many colloidal particles on to which micro-organisms are attached.
4. Conclusion

The following conclusions can be drawn from the study:

(a) The optimal sand preparation technique was cleaning with chlorine. It produced the highest efficiencies in turbidity removal and microbial removal of 89.17% and 97.97%.

(b) The technique that involved cleaning with a liquid, water or chlorine, was more efficient in purifying the water as opposed to the techniques that relied on solar radiation or high oven temperatures only.

(c) There is need to investigate further on the removal of contaminants by sand prepared by washing with treated water and chlorine to ascertain the cause of the difference in the efficiency.
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