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Summary

In the diverse world of bacterial pili, type IV pili (Tfp) are unique for two reasons: their multifunctionality and ubiquity. This latter feature offers an extraordinary possibility, that is, to perform comparative studies in evolutionarily distant species in order to improve our fragmentary understanding of Tfp biology. Regrettably, such potential has remained largely untapped, because, for 20 years, Tfp have only been characterised in diderm bacteria. However, recent studies of Tfp in monoderm bacteria have started closing the gap, revealing many interesting commonalities and a few significant differences, extending the frontiers of knowledge of Tfp biology. Here, I review the current state of the art of the Tfp field in monoderm bacteria and discuss resulting implications for our general understanding of the assembly and function of these widespread filamentous nanomachines.

Tfp at a glance

Pili are hair-like filamentous polymers composed of proteins generically named pilins, found at the surface of most prokaryotes (Bacteria and Archaea). Multiple unrelated pilus types have been defined, which are composed of different types of pilins and are assembled by distinct protein machineries (Hospenthal et al., 2017). As revealed by three decades of studies, Tfp display a set of unique and highly distinctive features (Berry and Pelicic, 2015).

First, Tfp share both morphological and molecular characteristics, which allow their easy identification. In brief, Tfp are (i) flexible filaments, 4-8 nm in diameter and several µm long (Fig. 1A), with often a propensity to form bundles, and (ii) polymers of predominantly one major type IV pilin, assembled by conserved multiprotein machineries. Type IV pilins (hereafter simply named pilins) share an N-terminal sequence motif known as class III signal peptide (Fig. 1B) and a typical ‘lollipop’ 3D structure (Giltner et al., 2012). The N-terminal motif (IPR012902 entry in the InterPro database) (Jones et al., 2014) consists of a hydrophilic leader peptide (6-51 residues long) ending with a Gly, followed by a tract of 21 mostly hydrophobic residues, except for a negatively charged Glu5 (Fig. 1B). This hydrophobic tract, which is part of an extended N-terminal α-helix (α1N) of about 50 residues, represents the ‘stick’ of the lollipop protruding from a globular head (Giltner et al., 2012). The globular head consists of the C-terminal half of α1 packed against a β-sheet made of several antiparallel β-strands (Fig. 1C). Besides pilins, many other proteins involved in Tfp biology are also very distinctive (Berry and Pelicic, 2015) including an aspartic acid protease named prepilin peptidase (IPR000045), a platform protein at the base of the assembly machinery (IPR003004), a hexameric filament assembly (extension) ATPase (IPR007831), etc.

The second defining feature of Tfp is that they are exquisitely multifunctional and have been associated with an array of seemingly unrelated functions, which is likely to expand even further with the characterisation of Tfp from seldom studied species of Bacteria and Archaea. Properties associated with Tfp include (i) adhesion to a wide variety of biotic and abiotic surfaces, (ii) the formation of cell aggregates, (iii) a form of surface-associated motility known as twitching motility, (iv) DNA uptake during natural transformation etc. It should be noted, however, that no Tfp-expressing species display all these properties, some of which are more common than others.

The third defining feature is that although Tfp have been studied only in a handful of diderm bacteria for 20 years, mostly Proteobacteria, genes encoding pilus assembly proteins are actually ubiquitous in bacterial and archaeal genomes (Imam et al., 2011; Berry and Pelicic, 2015). However, not all the corresponding proteins are involved in the assembly of bona fide Tfp. Rather, they
assemble a variety of filamentous nanomachines – type 2 secretion systems (T2SS), competence pseudopili, and archaella – which are evolutionarily related to Tfp, hence their grouping in a super-family named type IV filaments (Tff) (Berry and Pelicic, 2015). However, although there is often some overlap, these nanomachines can be readily distinguished from Tfp because they have different morphological characteristics and/or mediate different properties. For example, T2SS form short pseudopili mediating protein secretion in diderm bacteria (Korotkov and Sandkvist, 2019).

Monoderm bacteria produce Tfp

In this review, I will focus exclusively on bona fide Tfp in monoderm bacteria (hereafter simply referred to as monoderms), the first description of which was in Ruminococcus albus, a cellulolytic species of Firmicutes from the order of Clostridiales. The ability of R. albus to adhere to cellulose was found to be mediated by Tfp (Rakotoarivonina et al., 2002). High-resolution negative staining electron microscopy (EM) micrographs revealed thin, long, flexible and moderately bundling pili on the
surface of R. albus, which were shown by immuno-EM to be composed of GP25 – a pilin with a canonical class III signal peptide (Fig. 1B) (Rakotoarivonina et al., 2002).

Tfp were subsequently found to be widespread in other species of Clostridiales, including in important human pathogens Clostridium perfringens and Clostridoides difficile (formerly known as Clostridium difficile). C. perfringens was found to produce short filaments mediating twitching motility (although it was originally referred to as gliding motility), which were absent in a mutant in the platform protein (Varga et al., 2006). In C. difficile, high-resolution EM and immuno-EM micrographs confirmed that filaments in Clostridiaceae display classical Tfp morphology and are primarily composed of PilA1, a pilin with canonical class III signal peptide (Fig. 1B) and 3D structure (Fig. 1C) (Piepenbrink et al., 2014; 2015).

The last Firmicutes in which Tfp have been described is Streptococcus sanguinis, a common cause of infective endocarditis in humans. Tfp are not found in other Streptococcus species as they are encoded by a locus found only in S. sanguinis (Gurung et al., 2016), probably acquired by horizontal transfer from an unknown donor. S. sanguinis Tfp, which have a Tfp morphology (Fig. 1A), were purified to homogeneity and shown to be heteropolymers of two similar pilins (PilE1 and PilE2) in a 4:3 ratio (Berry et al., 2019). These pilins exhibit canonical class III signal peptide (Fig. 1B) and 3D structure (Fig. 1C) (Berry et al., 2019). It remains to be seen whether such a heteropolymeric structure might be a more general property of Tfp in monoderms, which awaits purification and biochemical characterisation of filaments in other species. However, it is now clear that the classical definition that Tfp are always homopolymers composed of one major pilin must be moderated.

Firmicutes are not the only phylum of monoderms in which Tfp have been studied. Bilidobacterium breve, a probiotic species of the phylum Actinobacteria, was found to express filaments composed of a canonical pilin (Fig. 1B), as shown by immuno-EM (O’Connell Motherway et al., 2011). In addition, pilation was abolished in a mutant in the extension of ATPase. Tfp have also been identified in another Actinobacteria, Micrococcus luteus, where they are involved in natural transformation as shown by a systematic genetic analysis (Angelov et al., 2015). Visualisation of M. luteus filaments was difficult, but they could be enriched after shearing off the bacterial surface and were shown to be composed primarily of the major pilin Flp (Fig. 1B).

Tfp biogenesis machineries in monoderm bacteria: the beauty of simplicity

Early studies have identified two different sub-types of Tfp, named Tfp a and Tfp b, based on sequence features of their major pilin and genetic organisation of the genes involved in filament assembly (Pellicic, 2008; Giltner et al., 2012). In brief, Tfp a were defined as consisting of smaller pilins with shorter leader peptides, with Tfp a assembly genes scattered around the genome. In contrast, Tfp b consist of larger pilins with longer leader peptides, with assembly genes clustering together. While it is now clear that these defining features are obsolete (see below), phylogenetic studies have confirmed the validity of this sub-division by showing that Tfp a and Tfp b are phylogenetically distinct systems (Kachlany et al., 2001; Denise et al., 2019). Moreover, this revealed that Tad pilis, which were previously thought to be a sub-family of Tfp a (Tomich et al., 2007), actually constitute a distinct clade and should therefore be renamed Tfp c. A global survey (Denise et al., 2019) revealed that Tfp a and Tfp c display a wider taxonomic distribution in Bacteria than Tfp b, which are found only in Proteobacteria. Interestingly, Tfp a and Tfp c are likely to be expressed by hundreds of bacterial species belonging to all major phyla of monoderms (e.g. Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Thermotogae, Chloroflexi, etc.) (Denise et al., 2019). Consistent with this, Tfp studied so far in monoderms belong to the Tfp a and Tfp c sub-types.

Tfp studied so far in Firmicutes are clearly Tfp a, although their major pilins have long leader peptides (Fig 1B) and their Tfp assembly genes cluster together (Fig. 2). Indeed, these Tfp a are (i) composed of pilins with a α1N highly similar to that of major pilins in diderm Tfp a models (Fig. 1B), (ii) assembled by machineries that contain the PilIM (IPR005883), PilN (IPR007813) and PilO (IPR007445) proteins (Fig. 2) specific of Tfp a (Denise et al., 2019) and (iii) retractile filaments whose retraction is powered by the retraction ATPase PilT (IPR006321). A detailed comparison of Tfp a biogenesis genes in monoderms and diderms reveals striking overall conservation (Fig. 2), but also a few interesting differences. In the diderm models, Neisseria meningitidis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Tfp a biogenesis machineries are virtually identical. Systematic mutagenesis studies have shown that filament biogenesis requires 15-17 proteins (Pellicic, 2008); a pilin, several minor (low abundance) pilins (four in N. meningitidis, five in P. aeruginosa), a prepilin peptidase, a platform protein, an extension ATPase, an assembly sub-complex (PilM, PilN and PilO), a secretin pore (PilQ) in the outer membrane (OM), a linker (PilP) between the assembly sub-complex and secretin, an OM lipoprotein stabilising PilQ (PilW in N. meningitidis) and an OM protein involved in the late stages of Tfp biogenesis (PilC1/PilC2 in N. meningitidis). Filament retraction is powered by PilT, which is dispensable for piliation (Craig et al., 2019). In S. sanguinis, the only monoderm in which a systematic genetic analysis of piliation has been performed, filament biogenesis relies on a very similar machinery, even more than we previously appreciated (Gurung et al., 2016).
Fig. 2. Genes involved in Tfp biogenesis in monoderms. All the genes are drawn to scale, with the scale bar representing 1 kb. Genes shaded in the same colour are likely to encode orthologous proteins based on sequence similarity, functional domain conservation and/or conserved synteny. Names of genes often mentioned in this review are listed at the bottom. No colour coding indicates genes with no clear homologs in other species. Genes highlighted with an * have been shown to encode proteins dispensable for Tfp biogenesis but playing key roles in Tfp biology. The former nomenclature for the pilO gene in S. sanguinis is indicated within parentheses. The major pilin in C. perfringens has not been experimentally determined and has been attributed to pilA1 based on findings in C. difficile. The NCBI entries that were used to generate this figure are: PRJEA34687 (N. meningitidis), PRJNA331 (P. aeruginosa), PRJEB7884 (S. sanguinis), PRJNA79 (C. perfringens), PRJNA78 (C. difficile), PRJNA15630 (E. coli), PRJNA36 (V. cholerae), PRJNA2027 (C. crescentus), PRJNA40107 (A. actinomycetemcomitans), PRJNA13487 (B. breve) and PRJNA238160 (M. luteus).
Indeed, the use of a sensitive protein homology detection tool (HHpred) capable of identifying remote homology (Zimmermann et al., 2018) reveals that pilH actually encodes a protein showing N-terminal similarity to PilO. I therefore propose to rename this gene pilO to reflect this important finding, and to be consistent with the general nomenclature. Filament biogenesis in S. sanguinis requires ‘only’ 10 proteins (Gurung et al., 2016): one major pilin (PilE1 or PilE2), three minor pilins (PilA, PilB and PilC), a prepilin peptidase, a platform protein, an extension ATPase and an assembly sub-complex (PilM, PilN and PilO). Strikingly, all the components involved in late stages of Tfpa biogenesis in diderms (such as crossing of the OM), that most often localise to the OM, are missing in monoderms which do not have a second membrane. As in diderms, filament retraction is powered by the retraction of ATPase PilT, which is indispensable for piliation (Gurung et al., 2016). It is important to underline that the term ‘minor pilin’ is just generic, only indicating that the corresponding proteins are cleaved by the prepilin peptidase to become low abundance components of the filaments (Berry et al., 2019). This does not imply that these proteins play identical or even similar functions in each system, which is supported by the fact that minor pilins in different systems share no sequence homology. For example, PilA, PilB and PilC in S. sanguinis are unrelated to PilH, PilI, PilJ and PilK in N. meningitidis. However, findings in S. sanguinis are expected to be broadly applicable to Tfpa in other monoderms, such as Clostridiaceae, where all the above genes are conserved (Melville and Craig, 2013; Maldarelli et al., 2014), with only minor differences (Fig. 2). Another important conclusion is that the molecular mechanisms of Tfpa assembly and retraction are likely to be the same in monoderms and diderms.

Tfp studied in Actinobacteria are clearly Tfpc. Indeed, they are composed of pilins (i) with the signature Flp motif (Kachlany et al., 2001) identified in the α1N of Tfpc major pilins (Fig. 1B), (ii) with a very small size (44 residues in B. breve, 68 residues in M. luteus) and (iii) that are assembled by machineries that contain two paralogs of the platform protein (Fig. 2) specific of Tfpc (Denise et al., 2019). A detailed comparison of Tfpc biogenesis genes in monoderms and diderms reveals some conservation (Fig. 2) and significant differences. In the diderm models, Caulobacter crescentus and Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Tfpc machineries are virtually identical (Tomich et al., 2007). Systematic mutagenesis studies have shown that filament biogenesis requires 13 proteins: a pilin, two minor pilins, a prepilin peptidase, two paralogous platform proteins, an extension ATPase, a secretin and several Tfpc-specific proteins of unknown function (RcpB, RcpC, TadD, TadG and TadZ) (the A. actinomycetemcomitans nomenclature is used here for the sake of clarity). In monoderms, the simplification trend discussed above for Tfpa is also obvious for Tfpc and is even more extreme. In B. breve, the Tfpc machinery apparently consists only of a pilin, two minor pilins, a prepilin peptidase (encoded in a different locus), two paralogous platform proteins, an extension ATPase and TadZ (O’Connell Motherway et al., 2011). Most of these genes are conserved in M. luteus, where there is extensive gene duplication, except for tadZ that is curiously ‘replaced’ by tadG (Fig. 2). A systematic genetic analysis of Tfpc biogenesis in M. luteus revealed that all the genes from both loci are essential for piliation suggesting that paralogs have non-redundant roles (Angelov et al., 2015). As in the case of Tfpa, the striking simplicity when compared to diderm models can be explained by the fact that all the components in diderms likely to be involved at late stages of Tfpc biogenesis (e.g. the secretin) and/or localising to the OM (RcpB, RcpC and TadD) are ‘missing’ in monoderms.

Taken together, the simplicity of Tfp and Tfpc biogenesis machineries in monoderms suggests that bacteria with one membrane could be advantageous to unravel how Tfp are assembled, which remains one of the most important open questions in the field.

**Tfp assembly: lessons from monoderm bacteria**

What have studies of Tfp in monoderms revealed about filament assembly? In the case of Tfpa, which have been extensively studied in diderm models, the lessons are many and potentially far-reaching.

First, since PilM, PilN and PilO proteins are found in monoderms and are essential for Tfpa biogenesis, they do not merely constitute an ‘alignment complex’ as they are often referred to in the literature (Craig et al., 2019; McCallum et al., 2019), whose role would be to connect the pilus assembly sub-complex to the secretin in the OM, simply because there is neither secretin, nor OM in monoderms. Instead, these three proteins are involved in Tfp assembly and are part of the assembly sub-complex together with the platform protein and extension ATPase.

Second, unlike in diderms where PilN and PilO are similar in size and structure (their globular domains exhibiting a ferredoxin-like fold) (McCallum et al., 2019), PilO in monoderms is actually much larger than PilN (56.6 vs. 22.3 kDa in S. sanguinis) and shows similarity to PilO only in its N-terminal portion. This suggests that it is a bifunctional protein with an unknown second role unrelated to filament assembly. A fascinating possibility, although entirely speculative at this stage, is that PilO in monoderms might be involved in the translocation of the filaments across the thick layer of peptidoglycan (PG), and/or anchoring of the pilus assembly machinery to the cell wall.

Third, the set of proteins essential for Tfp biogenesis in S. sanguinis strikingly overlaps with the set of N.
molecular mechanisms of Tfpa assembly in monoderms: a working model. The model involves three steps, from left to right. The S. sanguinis nomenclature has been used here for the sake of clarity, and the same colour code than in Fig. 2 has been used. (1) Prepilins, which are translocated across the CM via the SRP-Sec pathway across the cytoplasmic membrane (CM) (Arts et al., 2007; Francetic et al., 2007), where they remain initially as bitopic proteins with their α1N behaving as a transmembrane domain. Their leader peptide is then processed after the conserved Gly by the prepilin peptidase (Aly et al., 2013), which also methylates the first residue of mature pilins as confirmed in S. sanguinis (Berry et al., 2019). It is unclear at this stage, if the minor pilins are assembled first and cap the filaments as proposed for other Tff (Korotkov and Hol, 2008). Next, filament assembly involves extrusion of the pilins from the CM and their polymerisation at the base of a growing filament, which is performed by a sub-complex consisting of the platform protein, extension ATPase and PilIM-PilN-PilO sub-complex. Multiple findings, including cryo-electron tomography (ET) of the entire machinery (Chang et al., 2016), indicate that these components form a structure at the CM with several interconnected layers. The protein-protein interactions are likely to be the same in all Tfpa systems (Fig. 3). In brief, PilM interacts with the N-terminus of PilN (Georgiadou et al., 2012), forming a ring at cytoplasmic side of the CM (Chang et al., 2016). In monoderms, this interaction is further supported by the fact that PilM and PilN are fused in C. difficile (Fig. 2) (Melville and Craig, 2013), and it might involve a conserved C-terminal RD(MILF)N(FYW)FS motif in PilN, which is different from the motif involved in the PilIM-PilN interaction in diderms (Georgiadou et al., 2012). PilM then interacts with PilO (Georgiadou et al., 2012), forming a ring on the periplasmic side of the CM (Chang et al., 2016). As speculated above, PilO in monoderms might be a bifunctional protein involved in the translocation of the filaments across the PG and/or anchoring of the assembly...
machinery to the cell wall (Fig. 3). The two rings spanning the CM accommodate the platform protein (Chang et al., 2016), which interacts with the hexameric extension ATPase (Goosens et al., 2017). A recent structural study has suggested that cycles of ATP binding, hydrolysis and release drive a clockwise rotation of central sub-pores in the ATPase hexamer, which thrusts the interacting platform protein upwards and rotates it clockwise, before it falls back in the CM (McCallum et al., 2017). This rotational motion pushes pilins out of the CM for their subsequent polymerisation in a right-handed helical filament (Fig. 3). Recent cryo-EM reconstructions of several Tfp revealed that the filaments are right-handed helical polymers of pilins held together by extensive hydrophobic interactions between their α1 helices, which run approximately parallel to each other within the filament core (Kolappan et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). Extensive sequence conservation in α1N (Fig. 1B) suggests that Tfp in monoderms have a similar structure. Furthermore, conservation of the helix-breaking Pro22 suggests that α1N helices will be partially melted between Ala14/Gly14 and Pro22 as in diderm filaments, which is thought to make Tfp flexible and elastic (Kolappan et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017).

Since Tfpc assembly is less understood (Tomic et al., 2007), fewer lessons can be learned from monoderms but they are interesting nonetheless. It appears that Tfpc assembly in monoderms relies on a machinery much simpler than Tfpa. Actually, it might be one of the smallest, if not the smallest machinery capable of assembling canonical Tfp. Findings in monoderms suggest that the minimal machinery capable of assembling Tfpc consists of a major pilin and two minor pilins, which are processed by a prepilin peptidase, and polymerised by two paralogous platform proteins powered by an extension ATPase (O’Connell Motherway et al., 2011; Angelov et al., 2015). A CM protein is also involved, which is curiously different in B. breve (TadZ) and M. luteus (TadG). As a corollary, all the other Tfpc biogenesis proteins found in diderm models (Tomic et al., 2007) are likely to act after filament assembly, possibly at the step during which filaments cross the periplasm and OM in order to emerge on the cell surface. An important question is how the assembly sub-complex is held together in Tfpc systems, when compared to Tfpa. Can the Tfpc-specific CM component (TadZ/TadG) ‘substitute’ for the PilM-PilN-PilO complex? Or has the Tfpc platform extension ATPase complex evolved to be stable and functional on its own, and if so how?

Monoderm bacteria too display a wide array of Tfp-mediated functions

Our mechanistic understanding of the many Tfp-mediated functions remains incomplete, at best. Since many of these functions have also been reported for Tfp in monoderms, the study of these simpler filaments might illuminate some poorly understood mechanistic aspects.

Perhaps unsurprisingly, one of the first role attributed to Tfp in monoderms is adhesion to biotic/abiotic surfaces, a very common Tfp property and possibly the main reason why these filaments have been studied for decades (Berry and Pelicic, 2015). In contrast to other types of pili that usually harbour a minor pilin with intrinsic adhesive properties at their tip (Hospenthal et al., 2017), it is not well understood how Tfp mediate adhesion. This could be clarified by further studying Tfpa and Tfpc in monoderms as there is growing evidence that both are ‘sticky’ filaments. Tfpc were found to be essential for efficient in vivo colonisation of the murine gut by B. breve, since a non-piliated mutant was severely impaired in colonisation and persistence (O’Connell Motherway et al., 2011). Strikingly, a minor pilin (TadE) was found to promote epithelial cell proliferation in vivo, suggesting that B. breve Tfp contribute to the maturation of the human gut in early life by stimulating growth of the epithelial mucosa (O’Connell Motherway et al., 2019). For Tfpa, there is also ample evidence of their role in adhesion in a variety of monoderms. In R. albus, a cellulolytic species playing an important role in fibre breakdown in the rumen of herbivores, Tfp bind cellulose but it is not clear whether the major pilin GP25 has intrinsic binding activity or not (Pegden et al., 1998; Rakotoarivonina et al., 2002). In monoderms pathogenic for humans, Tfpa have been implicated in binding to host cells. Heterologous expression of pilA2 from C. perfringens in a pilE non-piliated mutant of N. gonorrhoeae was sufficient to restore pilation and confer attachment to mouse or rat muscle cell lines, to which the gonococcus does not normally adhere (Rodgers et al., 2011). These findings directly implicate C. perfringens Tfpa, more specifically PilA2, in the ability of this species to adhere to muscle fibres, which is key to its pathogenic potential. Similarly, Tfpa were found to promote (i) the adherence of C. difficile to human intestinal epithelial cell lines and persistence in the intestine (McKee et al., 2018), and (ii) the binding of S. sanguinis to human cells (Chen et al., 2019). It is not known which pilus component mediates binding, but the presence of very large (>50 kDa) minor pilins with a ‘modular’ architecture, that is, with bulky C-terminal functional domains, is certainly an intriguing possibility. In S. sanguinis, PilB and PilC contain C-terminal domains often involved in adhesion in proteins unrelated to Tfp, a von Willebrand factor type A domain (IPR002035) and a concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanase structural domain (SSF49899), respectively (McKee et al., 2018). This suggests that S. sanguinis Tfp harbour two minor pilins with intrinsic adhesive properties, maybe at their tip, allowing the bacterium to bind host protein and carbohydrate ligands. In addition, in the above
species, colonisation of host cells and surfaces is further enhanced by the ability of Tfpa to mediate the formation of cell aggregates (Bordeleau et al., 2015), which eventually results in the formation of biofilms (Varga et al., 2008; Maldarelli et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2019). It remains to be seen whether pilus-pilus contacts leading to aggregation involve major or minor pilins.

Twitching motility, a distinctive property of Tfpa (Berry and Pelicic, 2015), was observed and studied in several monoderm Tfpa-expressing species: C. perfringens, C. difficile and S. sanguinis (Varga et al., 2006; Mendez et al., 2008; Gurung et al., 2016; Purcell et al., 2016). Actually, studies in the 1970’s, showing that most S. sanguinis isolates were capable of surface-associated motility with the hallmarks of twitching motility, were the first evidence for the presence of Tfp in monoderms (Henriksen and Henrichsen, 1975). Twitching motility is a PilT-powered process during which bacteria use Tfp as ‘grappling hooks’ to attach to a surface and then pull themselves upon filament retraction towards the site where the pilus is attached. Tensile forces generated upon PilT-mediated pilus retraction were measured in S. sanguinis and found to be remarkably similar to those in Gram-negative Tfpa-expressing species (i.e. hundreds of pN), despite these bacteria having widely different surface architectures. A systematic genetic analysis identified four S. sanguinis proteins required for twitching motility but dispensable for pilus biogenesis (PilI, PilJ, PilK and PilT), the first three of which are not found in diderm Tfpa-expressing species (Gurung et al., 2016). This offers the unprecedented possibility to characterise twitching motility at an atomic level without interference of an OM.

The last property that was associated with Tfp in monoderms is DNA uptake during natural transformation. In most diderm competent species such as N. meningitidis, Tfpa bind-free extracellular DNA and promote its import (or uptake) across the OM, upon PilT-powered pilus retraction (Ellison et al., 2018). DNA is then transferred to a second (Com) machinery that further translocates it across the PG and CM. In competent monoderm species, this early step in natural transformation is not mediated by Tfp but by a closely related Tff known as competence pseudopilus. This filamentous structure, which can be long or not, has been shown to bind DNA (Laurenceau et al., 2013; Muschiol et al., 2017). However, an unexpected twist comes from the analysis of DNA uptake in M. luteus that was found to be mediated by Tfpc (Angelov et al., 2015), which were not previously known to be capable of mediating this property. This finding has important functional implications, especially since it suggests that Tfpc in M. luteus are retractile filaments capable of generating significant tensile force, which has recently been confirmed in diderms (Ellison et al., 2017). Owing to its simple pilus biogenesis machinery (Fig. 2), M. luteus is therefore a promising model for unravelling the molecular mechanisms of PilT-independent Tfpc retraction, which remain mysterious.

Concluding remarks and future directions

Although the study of Tfp in monoderms is still in its infancy, it is now obvious that these filaments are not mere curiosities distantly related to Tfp in diderm models but bona fide Tfp, which might represent the long sought new research avenue capable of filling important gaps in our understanding of Tfp biology. The key advantage of monoderms is the simplicity of their Tfp biogenesis machineries, which stems from their simpler surface architecture. These new Tfp models, especially those with developed genetics like S. sanguinis and M. luteus, could help us to understand how filaments are assembled and function by performing in-depth studies using a combination of genetics, two-hybrid assays, cryo-ET, structural biology, synthetic biology (the compact S. sanguinis system is a handy source of genes for reconstituting Tfpa into a non-native monoderm host) etc.

Important advances (although this is obviously subjective) concerning the molecular mechanisms of filament biogenesis would be to (i) precisely define the roles of PilM, PilN and PiO proteins in Tfpa assembly, (ii) determine whether the minor pilins sit at the filament tip and prime filament assembly (Cisneros et al., 2012; Nguyen et al., 2015), (iii) understand how Tfp cross the meshlike PG layer (could the non-canonical PiO protein be involved) and (iv) understand how the more rudimentary Tfpc machinery works. As for Tfp-mediated functions, monoderms could prove key for understanding how (i) Tfp mediate adhesion by studying PilB and PilC modular pilins (this would have implications reaching far beyond adhesion since modular pilins with hundreds of different domain architectures are widespread), (ii) Tfp retraction/extension dynamics orchestrate motility, possibly by using elegant labelling or label-free strategies that allow dynamic filament visualisation (Ellison et al., 2017; Tala et al., 2019) and (iii) Tfpc retraction occurs in the absence of PilT.

In conclusion, recent advances in Tfp biology in monoderms – including Archaea that have been covered elsewhere (Chaudhury et al., 2018) – outline a very exciting prospect for the years to come, that is, that monoderms might finally contribute to our mechanistic understanding of the assembly/function of Tfp and related filamentous nanomachines, which are ubiquitous in prokaryotes.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the present and former members of my group who have contributed to the S. sanguinis Tfp project, especially Ishwori Gurung who initiated it. Work in my
laboratory is currently supported by the Medical Research Council. I would like to thank Nicolas Biais (City University of New York), Angelika Gründling (Imperial College London) and Romé Youlhoux (CNRS Marseille) for critical reading of this manuscript.

References

Aly, K.A., Beebe, E.T., Chan, C.H., Goren, M.A., Sepulveda, C., Makino, S., et al. (2013) Cell-free production of integral membrane aspartic acid proteases reveals zinc-dependent methionyltransferase activity of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa prepilin peptidase PilD. MicrobiologyOpen, 2, 94–104.

Angelov, A., Bergen, P., Nadler, F., Hornburg, P., Lichev, A., Ubelacker, M., et al. (2015) Novel Flp pilus biogenesis-dependent natural transformation. Frontiers in Microbiology, 6, 84.

Arts, J., van Boxtel, R., Filloux, A., Tommassen, J. and Koster, M. (2007) Export of the pseudopilin XcpT of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa type II secretion system via the signal recognition particle-Sec pathway. Journal of Bacteriology, 189, 2069–2076.

Berry, J.L., Gurung, I., Anonsen, J.H., Spielman, I., Harper, E., Hall, A.M.J., et al. (2019) Global biochemical and structural analysis of the type IV pilus from the Gram-positive bacterium Streptococcus sanguinis. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 294, 6796–6808.

Berry, J.L. and Pelicic, V. (2015) Exceptionally widespread nano-machines composed of type IV pilins: the prokaryotic Swiss Army knives. FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 39, 134–154.

Bordeleau, E., Purcell, E.B., Lafontaine, D.A., Fortier, L.C., Tamayo, R. and Burrus, V. (2015) C-di-GMP riboswitch-regulated type IV pilus contribution to conjugation of Clostridium difficile. Journal of Bacteriology, 197, 819–832.

Carbonnèl, E., Helaine, S., Nassif, X. and Pelicic, V. (2006) A systematic genetic analysis in Neisseria meningitidis defines the Pil proteins required for assembly, functionality, stabilization and export of type IV pilus. Molecular Microbiology, 61, 1510–1522.

Chang, Y.W., Rettberg, L.A., Treuner-Lange, A., Iwasa, J., Sogaard-Andersen, L. and Jensen, G.J. (2016) Architecture of the type IVa pilus machine. Science, 351, aad2001.

Chaudhury, P., Quax, T.E.F. and Albers, S.V. (2018) Versatile cell surface structures of archaea. Molecular Microbiology, 107, 298–311.

Chen, Y.M., Chiang, Y.C., Tseng, T.Y., Wu, H.Y., Chen, Y.Y., Wu, C.H., et al. (2019) Molecular and functional analysis of the type IV pilus gene cluster in Streptococcus sanguinis SK36. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 85, e02788-18.

Cisneros, D.A., Bond, P.J., Pugsley, A.P., Campos, M. and Francetic, O. (2012) Minor pseudopilin self-assembly primes type II secretion pseudopilus elongation. The EMBO Journal, 31, 1041–1053.

Craig, L., Forest, K.T. and Maier, B. (2019) Type IV pilus: dynamics, biophysics and functional consequences. Nature Reviews in Microbiology, 17, 429–440.

Denise, R., Abby, S.S. and Rocha, E.P.C. (2019) Diversification of the type IV filament superfamily into machines for adhesion, protein secretion, DNA uptake, and motility. PLoS Biology, 17, e3000390.

Ellison, C.K., Dalia, T.N., Vidal Ceballos, A., Wang, J.C., Bias, N., Brun, Y.V., et al. (2018) Retraction of DNA-bound type IV competence pilis initiates DNA uptake during natural transformation in Vibrio cholerae. Nature Microbiology, 3, 773–780.

Ellison, C.K., Kan, J., Dillard, R.S., Kysela, D.T., Ducret, A., Berne, C., et al. (2017) Obstruction of pilus retraction stimulates bacterial surface sensing. Science, 358, 535–538.

Francetic, O., Buddelmeijer, N., Lewenza, S., Kumamoto, C.A. and Pugsley, A.P. (2007) Signal recognition particle-dependent inner membrane targeting of the PulG pseudopilin component of a type II secretion system. Journal of Bacteriology, 189. 1783–1793.

Georgiadou, M., Castagnini, M., Karimova, G., Ladant, D. and Pelicic, V. (2012) Large-scale study of the interactions between proteins involved in type IV pilus biology in Neisseria meningitidis: characterization of a subcomplex involved in pilus assembly. Molecular Microbiology, 84, 857–873.

Giltnner, C.L., Nguyen, Y. and Burrows, L.L. (2012) Type IV pilin proteins: versatile molecular modules. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews, 76, 740–772.

Goosens, V.J., Busch, A., Georgiadou, M., Castagnini, M., Forest, K.T., Waksman, G., et al. (2017) Reconstitution of a minimal machinery capable of assembling periplasmic type IV pilis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 114, E4978–E4986.

Gurung, I., Spielman, I., Davies, M.R., Lala, R., Gaustad, P., Biais, N., et al. (2016) Functional analysis of an unusual type IV pilus in the Gram-positive Streptococcus sanguinis. Molecular Microbiology, 99, 380–392.

Henriksen, S.D. and Henrichsen, J. (1975) Twitching motility and possession of polar fimbriae in spreading Streptococcus sanguis isolates from the human throat. Acta Pathologica et Microbiologica Scandinavica [B], 83, 133–140.

Hospenthal, M.K., Costa, T.R.D. and Waksman, G. (2017) A comprehensive guide to pilus biogenesis in Gram-negative bacteria. Nature Reviews in Microbiology, 15, 365–379.

Imam, S., Chen, Z., Roos, D.S. and Pohlschröder, M. (2011) Identification of surprisingly diverse type IV pilis, across a broad range of Gram-positive bacteria. PLoS ONE, 6, e28919.

Jones, P., Binns, D., Chang, H.Y., Fraser, M., Li, W., McAnulla, C., et al. (2014) InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics, 30, 1236–1240.

Kachlany, S.C., Planet, P.J., DeSalle, R., Fine, D.H., Figurski, D.H. and Kaplan, J.B. (2001) Construction of a subcomplex pilin component of a type II secretion system. Journal of Bacteriology, 183, 847–857.

Kolappan, S., Coureuil, M., Yu, X., Nassif, X., Eggman, E.H. and Craig, L. (2016) Structure of the Neisseria meningitidis type IV pilus. Nature Communications, 7, 13015.

Korotkov, K.V. and Hol, W.G. (2008) Structure of the GspK-GspL-GspJ complex from the enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli type II secretion system. Nature Structural and Molecular Biology, 15, 462–468.

© 2019 The Authors. Molecular Microbiology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Molecular Microbiology, 112, 1674–1683
Korotkov, K.V. and Sandkvist, M. (2019) Architecture, function, and substrates of the type II secretion system. *EcoSal Plus*, 8, https://doi.org/10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0034-2018.

Laurenceau, R., Pehau-Arnaudet, G., Bacconais, S., Gault, J., Malosse, C., Dujeancourt, A., et al. (2013) A type IV pilus mediates DNA binding during natural transformation in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *PLoS Path. Field*, 9, e1003473.

Maldarelli, G.A., De Masi, L., von Rosenvinge, E.C., Carter, M. and Donnenberg, M.S. (2014) Identification, immunogenicity, and cross-reactivity of type IV pilin and pilin-like proteins from *Clostridium difficile*. *Pathogens and Disease*, 71, 302–314.

Maldarelli, G.A., Piepenbrink, K.H., Scott, A.J., Freiberg, J.A., Song, Y., Achermann, Y., et al. (2016) Type IV pilus promote early biofilm formation by *Clostridium difficile*. *Pathogens and Disease*, 74, ftw061.

McCallum, M., Burrows, L.L. and Howell, P.L. (2019) The dynamic structures of the type IV pilus. In: Sandkvist, M., Cascales, E. and Christie, P.J. (Eds.) *Protein secretion in bacteria*. Washington DC: ASM Press, pp. 113–128.

McCallum, M., Tammm, S., Khan, A., Burrows, L.L. and Howell, P.L. (2017) The molecular mechanism of the type IV pilus motors. *Nature Communications*, 8, 15091.

McKee, R.W., Aleksanyan, N., Garrett, E.M. and Tamayo, R. (2018) Type IV pilus promote *Clostridium difficile* adherence and persistence in a mouse model of infection. *Infection and Immunity*, 86, e00943-17.

Melville, S. and Craig, L. (2013) Type IV pilus in Gram-positive bacteria. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 77, 323–341.

Mendez, M., Huang, I.H., Ohtani, K., Grau, R., Shimizu, T. and Sarker, M.R. (2008) Carbon catabolite repression of the competence pilus major pilin *ComGC* in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 292, 14134–14146.

Nguyen, Y., Sugiman-Marangos, S., Harvey, H., Bell, S.D., Charlton, C.L., Junop, M.S., et al. (2015) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* minor pilins pime type IVa plus assembly and promote surface display of the PilY1 adhesin. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 290, 601–611.

O’Connell Motherway, M., Houston, A., O’Callaghan, G., Reunanen, J., O’Brien, F., O’Driscoll, T., et al. (2019) A bifidobacterial pilus-associated protein promotes colonic epithelial proliferation. *Molecular Microbiology*, 111, 287–301.

O’Connell Motherway, M., Zomer, A., Leahy, S.C., Reunanen, J., Bottacin, F., Claesson, M.J., et al. (2011) Functional genome analysis of *Bifidobacterium breve* UCC2003 reveals type IVb tight adherence (Tad) pilus as an essential and conserved host-colonization factor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108, 11217–11222.

Pegden, R.S., Larson, M.A., Grant, R.J. and Morrison, M. (1998) Adherence of the Gram-positive bacterium *Ruminococcus albus* to cellulose involves a cellulose-binding protein which belongs to the Pil family of proteins. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 180, 5921–5927.

Pellicc, V. (2008) Type IV pilus: *e pluribus unum? Molecular Microbiology*, 68, 827–837.

Piepenbrink, K.H., Maldarelli, G.A., de la Pena, C.F., Mulvey, G.L., Snyder, G.A., De Masi, L., et al. (2014) Structure of *Clostridium difficile* PilJ exhibits unprecedented divergence from known type IV pilins. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 289, 4334–4345.

Piepenbrink, K.H., Maldarelli, G.A., Martinez de la Pena, C.F., Dingle, T.C., Mulvey, G.L., Lee, A., et al. (2015) Structural and evolutionary analyses show unique stabilization strategies in the type IV pilus of *Clostridium difficile*. *Structure*, 23, 385–396.

Purcell, E.B., McKee, R.W., Bordeleau, E., Burrus, V. and Tamayo, R. (2016) Regulation of type IV pilus contributes to surface behaviors of historical and epidemic strains of *Clostridium difficile*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 198, 565–577.

Rakotoarivonina, H., Jubelin, G., Hebraud, M., Gaillard-Martinie, B., Forano, E. and Mosoni, P. (2002) Adhesion to cellulose of the Gram-positive bacterium *Ruminococcus albus* involves type IV pilus. *Microbiology*, 148, 1871–1880.

Rodgers, K., Arvidson, C.G. and Melville, S. (2011) Expression of a *Clostridium perfringens* type IV pilin by *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* mediates adherence to muscle cells. *Infection and Immunity*, 79, 3096–3105.

Tala, L., Fineberg, A., Kukura, P. and Persat, A. (2019) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* orchestrates twitching motility by sequential control of type IV pilus movements. *Nature Microbiology*, 4, 774–780.

Tammm, S., Sampaleanu, L.M., Koo, J., Manoharan, K., Daubaras, M., Burrows, L.L., et al. (2013) PilMNOQP from the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type IV pilus system form a transenvelope protein interaction network that interacts with PilA. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 195, 2126–2135.

Tomić, M., Planet, P.J. and Figurski, D.H. (2007) The *tad* locus: postcards from the widespread colonization island. *Nature Reviews in Microbiology*, 5, 363–375.

Varga, J.J., Nguyen, V., O’Brien, D.K., Rodgers, K., Walker, R.A. and Melville, S.B. (2006) Type IV pilus-dependent gliding motility in the Gram-positive pathogen *Clostridium perfringens* and other *Clostridia*. *Molecular Microbiology*, 62, 680–694.

Varga, J.J., Therit, B. and Melville, S.B. (2008) Type IV pilus and the CcpA protein are needed for maximal biofilm formation by the Gram-positive anaerobic pathogen *Clostridium perfringens*. *Infection and Immunity*, 76, 4944–4951.

Wang, F., Coureuil, M., Osinski, T., Orlova, A., Altindal, T., Gesbert, G., et al. (2017) Cryoelectron microscopy reconstructions of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* type IV pilus at sub-nanometer resolution. *Structure*, 25, 1423–1435.

Winther-Larsen, H.C., Wolfgang, M., Dunham, S., van Putten, J.P., Dorward, D., Lovold, C., et al. (2005) A conserved set of pilin-like molecules controls type IV pilus dynamics and organelle-associated functions in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Molecular Microbiology*, 56, 903–917.

Zimmermann, L., Stephens, A., Nam, S.Z., Rau, D., Kubler, J., Lozajic, M., et al. (2018) A completely reimplemented MPI bioinformatics toolkit with a new HHpred server at its core. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 430, 2237–2243.