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Abstract

Background: Acute diarrheal illness (ADI) is an important public health problem worldwide. We estimated the morbidity, distribution, and burden of self-reported ADI in China over the last three decades.

Methods: We used the keywords “diarrhea and morbidity” to identify studies published in Chinese by searching CNKI, WANFANG, Chongqing VIP, and SinoMed. Studies published in English were identified using the keywords “diarrhea, morbidity, and China” to search Pubmed/Medline, Embase, and Cochrane Library Data. All articles published before Dec 31, 2014 were included in the search. Data were extracted and the pooled 2-week incidence rate of ADI was calculated using the fixed-effects or random-effects model according to statistical testing for homogeneity. The incidences of each subgroup (organized by age, location, study period) were also calculated. Publication bias was examined using Begg’s test. Data manipulation and statistical analyses were undertaken using R-2.15.1 software.

Results: We estimated that the pooled 2-week prevalence of ADI in China was 2.04% (95% CI: 1.48–2.79) and that the corresponding incidence rate was 0.53 (95% CI: 0.38–0.73) episodes per person-year. The ADI rate was highest among children aged <5 years (1.43 episodes per person-year), and it was slightly higher in males than in females (0.58 vs 0.52 episodes per person-year). From 1980 to 2012, there was a significant decrease in the incidence of ADI, from 0.82 to 0.48 episodes per person-year, but the ADI incidence was consistent over the last two decades. Additionally, the incidence of ADI was higher in rural areas and in west China and peaked in the summer months.

Conclusions: The current study indicates that ADI caused a substantial disease burden in China in the last 30 years, especially in rural areas and west China, where sanitation conditions were relatively poor. These findings highlight the importance of further investigation of the specific causes of and effective preventive measures for ADI.
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Background

Acute diarrheal illness (ADI) is a common and important public health problem worldwide [1, 2]. It is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in children younger than 5 years of age (YOA) and is responsible for the deaths of about 577,508 children worldwide every year, predominantly in developing countries [3]. Diarrhea incidence among children under 5 YOA has declined from 3.4 episodes/child year in 1990 to 2.9 episodes/child year in 2010 worldwide [4]. Diarrhea morbidity rates range from 29.9 episodes/100 person-years for adults in the South East Asian region to 88.4 episodes/100 person-years in older children in the Eastern Mediterranean region and have remained unchanged in the last 30 years [5]. China remains one of the countries with the highest mortality rates due to diarrhea, with nearly 9072 deaths among children younger than 5 YOA every year [3]. In developed countries, ADI is rarely fatal and is usually self-limiting, but it causes considerable morbidity and high costs due to consultations, medications, hospitalizations, and absence from work [6–8].

Given the prevalence of ADI in the community, China has established several national diarrhea surveillance systems based in healthcare facilities or laboratories [9, 10], including the National Notifiable Disease Reporting System, the National Foodborne Diseases Surveillance...
Network, the National Viral Diarrhea Surveillance System, and so on. However, not all ADI cases present to healthcare facilities, and a high proportion of those who do report are not reported to the national surveillance systems [11, 12]. Thus, many episodes of ADI cannot be tracked by routine surveillance systems, leading to underestimates of the ADI burden. Cross-sectional surveys in the community are a better way to estimate the burden of ADI [8, 13, 14].

In China, several national or regional population-based studies on the ADI burden have been conducted as a part of National ADI surveillance systems [15, 16], but these studies reported a 10-fold difference in reported ADI incidences, with figures ranging from 0.13 to 1.16 episodes per person-year [17, 18]. Diarrhea specific incidence rates for older children, adolescents, and adults have not been systematically calculated in China. Therefore, to better understand the ADI incidence in China, we conducted the meta-analysis described herein.

Methods
Search strategy
Using the terms “diarrhea” and “morbidity,” as well as “China” if published in English, studies were identified from the following electronic databases: Pubmed/Medline, Embase, Cochrane Library, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, Wanfang Data, Chongqing VIP database for Chinese Technical Periodicals, and China BioMedical Literature Services System (SinoMed). No attempt was made to retrieve unpublished studies. All articles published before Dec 31, 2014 were included in the search.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
The inclusion criteria were: (i) cross-sectional community-based surveys, (ii) a definition of diarrhea of three or more loose stools in 24 h, (iii) a clear 2-week recall period, and (iv) published in Chinese or English. We excluded studies without the denominator (i.e., the total population of the catchment area), studies of specific diarrheal diseases (e.g., dysentery, rotavirus diarrhea), those of community intervention programs. We also excluded studies from the National Notifiable Disease Reported System of China because there is a high proportion of missing reports in the passive surveillance system, which leads to an underestimation of the ADI incidence [11].

Data extraction
We removed the duplicates using EndNoteX7 software. Two researchers independently reviewed all abstracts to identify articles that assessed the incidence of ADI in sporadic diarrhea cases in the community. We reviewed each article and applied exclusion and inclusion criteria. If the same authors presented the data again in another study, only one study was included.

Data were independently extracted by two researchers and input to a predefined Microsoft Office Excel database, including name of the first author, year of publication, year(s) the study was conducted, case definition, location of the survey, sampling method, total sample size, total number of cases, and data for different subgroups. Disagreements were resolved by consulting the third researcher.

Risk of bias
The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) recommends 11 items for assessing the quality of a cross-sectional/prevalence study [19, 20]. To date, this is the only accepted quality assessment tool for a cross-sectional study [21]. Additional file 1: Table S3 presents the AHRQ Cross-Sectional/Prevalence Study Quality Checklist. We did not conduct any sensitivity analysis of the quality assessments of the included studies, because there was no way to score the quality of the included studies based on the above assessment instrument. Two reviewers evaluated the quality of the enrolled studies independently.

Data analysis
The data were entered into a database and analyzed by R-2.15.1 statistical software. The rate was logit transformed to generate pooled estimates [22]. The Q statistic (variation in effect size attributable to heterogeneity) was calculated to assess heterogeneity across studies. Studies were considered homogeneous when p > 0.10, in which case a fixed-effect model was used to generate pooled estimates; otherwise, the random-effect model was used [23]. When there was heterogeneity, subgroup analysis was performed to explore the source of heterogeneity. The Begg rank correlation test was used to assess publication bias. The 2-week prevalence of ADI was calculated by dividing the number of respondents reporting ADI in the 2 weeks prior to the interview by the total number of respondents. The incidence per person-year was calculated by multiplying the 2-week prevalence rate by 26, as the number of 2-week periods in a year is 26.07 (365/14).

Results
A total of 9460 unduplicated studies were identified (Fig. 1). After screening titles and abstracts, 172 reports were selected for full-text assessment. Finally, 35 studies were used for the meta-analysis (Additional file 2: Table S2).

Characteristics of included studies
The included 35 studies were all performed in China and covered 16 provinces; 19 studies were conducted in east China, 10 in west China, three in central China, and two-thirds in south China. Furthermore, 17 studies were conducted in rural areas, four in urban areas, and 14 included both rural and urban regions. The surveys were conducted from 1984 to 2012, and more than half were...
conducted after 2000. The most frequent method used was face-to-face interviews, and only one telephone survey study was conducted. Table 1 and Additional file 3: Table S1 summarize the main and detailed characteristics, respectively, of the 35 studies included in this study.

Incidence of ADI in the community in China

The pooled estimate of 2-week prevalence of ADI is presented in Table 2 and Fig. 2. The 2-week prevalence of ADI in the pooled sample of 911,240 individuals was 2.04% (95% CI: 1.48–2.79), and the corresponding annual incidence of ADI was estimated as (365/14)×(2.04/100) = 0.53 (95% CI: 0.38–0.73) episodes per person-year.

Demographic distribution

Sixteen studies included 196,522 males and 202,362 females. There was a male:female ratio of 51.5:48.5 in cases of ADI, and we found a statistically significant difference in the 2-week prevalence rates of ADI between males and females (2.22% vs 2.00%; OR = 1.11, 95% CI: 1.05–1.16).

Age was also significantly associated with ADI. The 2-week prevalence of ADI was highest in the <5 YOA group, and the pooled estimate was 5.51%. The 2-week prevalence of ADI in those 5–14 years and ≥15 years were quite similar (2.10 and 2.01%, respectively). The annual incidence of ADI among children <5 YOA was 2.6- and 2.8-fold greater than those among 5–14 YOA and ≥15 YOA, respectively.

Geographical distribution

Study sites were considered to be rural or urban according to the lifestyle of the residents. The pooled 2-week prevalence of ADI in rural areas was 1.5-fold (1.94, 95% CI: 1.38–2.72) higher than that in urban areas (1.28, 95% CI: 0.8–2.06).

We also classified studies by location according to the defined climate difference line of China: north of the Qinling Mountains and the Huaihe River were considered
north China, and others were considered south China. The pooled estimate of the 2-week prevalence of ADI in north China (2.52, 95% CI: 1.37–4.58) was higher than that in south China (1.61, 95% CI: 1.18–2.21).

Studies were divided into different sub-groups according to economic level (from high to low): east China, central China, and west China [24]. The 2-week prevalence of ADI in east, central, and west China were 1.48% (95% CI: 1.11–1.97), 1.85% (95% CI: 0.75–4.46), and 2.64% (95% CI: 1.32–5.22), respectively. It was apparent that ADI was most prevalent in the western region.

Trend by year
To determine the prevalence of ADI over time, we divided these studies into three time frames according to survey date. The random-effect model pooled estimate of the 2-week prevalence of ADI was 3.17% (95% CI: 2.23–4.49) before 1990, 1.89% (95% CI: 0.86–4.13) during 1990–1999, and 1.84% (95% CI: 1.34–2.53) after 2000. Apparently, the prevalence of ADI decreased slowly over the last three decades, although the rates of ADI were remarkably consistent for the 20 years after 1990.

Seasonal distribution
The studies were also divided according whether they were conducted in the spring, summer, autumn, and winter to examine ADI epidemiology by season. The prevalence of ADI was the highest during summer (2.33, 95% CI: 1.71–3.16), followed by autumn (1.02, 95% CI: 0.70–1.49), winter (0.94, 95% CI: 0.77–1.15), and spring (0.82, 95% CI: 0.41–1.63).

Risk of bias
All 35 studies were cross-sectional in design. Thus, the AHRQ Cross-Sectional/ Prevalence Study Quality Checklist was applied to assess the risk of bias (Additional file 1: Table S3 and Additional file 4: Table S4). It should be noted that many studies were found to have a high risk of bias in items 7, 9, and 10, which indicated that the research did not adequately report the methods used by the primary studies for handling the missing data and calculating the response rates, which may influence confidence in the results. Furthermore, the Begg rank correlation test indicated no evidence of publication bias for reports of the 2-week prevalence of ADI (Z = 0.838, p = 0.402).

Discussion
The current meta-analysis demonstrated that ADI remains an important public health problem causing a relatively large burden of illness in communities in China. Based on the current estimate of 0.53 ADI episodes per person-year, 725 million episodes of ADI occurred each year throughout China in 2014 [25]. The pooled estimate of the rate of ADI (0.53) is comparable to that of a similar retrospective study with a 28-day recall period conducted in China. Although the case definitions and recall period were different, that study reported 0.56 episodes of acute gastrointestinal illness (AGI) each year [15]. In Ireland, the United States, Indonesia, Australia, and Canada, retrospective studies using similar case definitions reported 0.44, 0.6, 0.65, 0.83, and 0.99 episodes of ADI per person per year, respectively [8, 14, 26]. Our estimate of ADI incidence in China was higher than that in Ireland but lower than that in the majority of developed countries. The observed differences between countries could be related to different exposure levels based on lifestyle differences, such as food consumption habits [15]. Although these studies were conducted a few years ago, a study conducted in the United States found no apparent decline in ADI

Table 1 Main characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis (N = 35)

| Variable                  | No. of studies (n) | Proportion (% = n/N) |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Time period               |                    |                      |
| 1980–1989                 | 11                 | 31                   |
| 1990–1999                 | 3                  | 8                    |
| 2000–2012                 | 19                 | 53                   |
| Unknown                   | 3                  | 8                    |
| Study area                |                    |                      |
| Rural                     | 17                 | 49                   |
| Urban                     | 4                  | 11                   |
| Rural+urban (combined)    | 14                 | 40                   |
| East                      | 19                 | 54                   |
| Central                   | 3                  | 9                    |
| West                      | 10                 | 29                   |
| Multi-province            | 2                  | 6                    |
| Unknown                   | 1                  | 3                    |
| North                     | 8                  | 23                   |
| South                     | 24                 | 69                   |
| Multi-province            | 2                  | 6                    |
| Unknown                   | 1                  | 3                    |
| Survey mode               |                    |                      |
| Face-to-face survey       | 34                 | 97                   |
| Telephone survey          | 1                  | 3                    |
| Season                    |                    |                      |
| Spring                    | 1                  | 3                    |
| Summer                    | 16                 | 46                   |
| Autumn                    | 1                  | 3                    |
| Winter                    | 2                  | 6                    |
| Multi-season              | 14                 | 40                   |
| Unknown                   | 1                  | 3                    |

*one study was conducted from 1986 to 1996, and we divided it into 1980–1989 and 1990–1999. Spring: March, April, and May; summer: June, July, and August; autumn: September, October, and November; winter: December, January, and February
over the last decade [8]. The burden of ADI remains significant worldwide [1].

Our results show that the risk for ADI is higher for children < 5 YOA compared with that for older individuals, which is consistent with other population-based studies [8, 13–15, 26]. This is not unexpected, as ADI comprises one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality for those < 5 YOA worldwide [3]. This may be due to the relatively underdeveloped immune systems, the small infectious dose required, the close contact with other children in nurseries, and the contact with pets among this population [13]. Contrary to most other studies [8, 14, 27], but similar to two studies in Malta and Indonesia [13, 26], this study found a slightly higher rate of ADI in males than in females. This may be because males have a greater chance of wandering into unsanitary surroundings and being exposed to more enteric pathogens in the outdoors compared with females [28]. Alternatively, another study reported that the different incidence rates of ADI between males and females may also be related to the recall period and the season in which the study was conducted [29]. More studies are needed to clarify this issue.

In the current study, the rate of ADI was higher in rural regions than in urban regions, and east China showed the lowest rate of ADI compared with central China and west China. In fact, diarrheal epidemiology varied greatly by geographic regions, which may be related primarily to sanitation, drinking water quality, personal hygiene, education level, and economic development [30]. In general, the public health conditions, living environments, and sewage systems in urban and/or upper-class economic areas (east China) are relatively better than those in most rural and/or poor areas (central and west China); thus, the people living in the latter are more likely to be affected by enteric pathogens or be influenced by other risk factors [30]. This indicates that the improvement of environmental sanitation is the most important issue involved in the

### Table 2

| Variable       | Cases/Total (No. of studies) | Pooled prevalence prior to 2-week period (%) | 95%CI of prevalence (%) | Annual incidence per person-year* | 95%CI of incidence per person-year | Q-value (p-value) |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Overall        | 20,134/911240 (n = 35)      | 2.04                                          | 1.48–2.79                | 0.53                              | 0.38–0.73                           | 15,062.69 (0.000) |
| Gender         |                             |                                               |                          |                                   |                                    |                   |
| Male           | 3428/196522 (n = 15)        | 2.22                                          | 1.30–3.77                | 0.58                              | 0.34–0.98                           | 3382.42 (0.000)   |
| Female         | 3234/202362 (n = 15)        | 2.00                                          | 1.18–3.37                | 0.52                              | 0.31–0.88                           | 3074.44 (0.000)   |
| Age group (year) |                           |                                               |                          |                                   |                                    |                   |
| 0–4            | 3832/43463 (16)            | 5.51                                          | 3.76–8.01                | 1.43                              | 0.98–2.08                           | 1562.38 (<0.0001) |
| 5–14           | 3095/103720 (16)          | 2.10                                          | 1.38–3.18                | 0.55                              | 0.36–0.83                           | 1570.99 (<0.0001) |
| ≥15            | 10,325/496007 (16)         | 2.01                                          | 1.28–3.14                | 0.52                              | 0.33–0.82                           | 1808.43 (<0.0001) |
| Time period    |                             |                                               |                          |                                   |                                    |                   |
| 1980–1989      | 12,142/243664 (n = 11)     | 3.17                                          | 2.23–4.49                | 0.82                              | 0.60–1.27                           | 2378.81 (0.000)   |
| 1990–1999      | 1524/94874 (n = 3)         | 1.89                                          | 0.86–4.13                | 0.49                              | 0.22–1.07                           | 476.52 (0.000)    |
| 2000–2012      | 6066/506591 (n = 19)       | 1.84                                          | 1.34–2.53                | 0.48                              | 0.35–0.66                           | 2596.51 (0.000)   |
| Study area     |                             |                                               |                          |                                   |                                    |                   |
| Urban          | 2510/267115 (n = 14)       | 1.28                                          | 0.80–2.06                | 0.33                              | 0.21–0.54                           | 1740.58 (0.000)   |
| Rural          | 9445/486495 (n = 27)       | 1.94                                          | 1.38–2.72                | 0.50                              | 0.36–0.71                           | 6937.73 (0.000)   |
| East           | 4800/415033 (n = 20)       | 1.48                                          | 1.11–1.97                | 0.38                              | 0.29–0.51                           | 1761.12 (0.000)   |
| Central        | 2704/109382 (n = 4)        | 1.85                                          | 0.75–4.46                | 0.48                              | 0.20–1.16                           | 1054.45 (0.000)   |
| West           | 4616/248613 (n = 11)       | 2.64                                          | 1.32–5.22                | 0.69                              | 0.34–1.36                           | 5396.89 (0.000)   |
| South          | 7107/580306 (n = 25)       | 1.61                                          | 1.18–2.21                | 0.42                              | 0.47–0.55                           | 4179.49 (0.000)   |
| North          | 5013/192722 (n = 10)       | 2.52                                          | 1.37–4.58                | 0.66                              | 0.36–1.19                           | 3737.22 (0.000)   |
| Season         |                             |                                               |                          |                                   |                                    |                   |
| Spring         | 1885/135064 (n = 9)        | 0.82                                          | 0.41–1.63                | 0.20                              | 0.11–0.42                           | 1275.56 (0.000)   |
| Summer         | 15,131/440994 (n = 26)     | 2.33                                          | 1.71–3.16                | 0.61                              | 0.44–0.82                           | 6823.59 (0.000)   |
| Autumn         | 1214/113349 (n = 9)        | 1.02                                          | 0.70–1.49                | 0.27                              | 0.18–0.39                           | 329.64 (0.000)    |
| Winter         | 1080/132643 (n = 12)       | 0.94                                          | 0.77–1.15                | 0.24                              | 0.20–0.30                           | 95.50 (0.000)     

All studies in the tables used the random-effect model (p < 0.1)

CI confidence interval, Q-value the value of the test for subgroup differences

*the incidence per person-year was calculated by multiplying the 2-week prevalence rate by 26, as there are 2607(365/14) 2-week periods in a year
prevention and control of ADI in the rural and/or poor areas.

We found a significant decrease in the reported prevalence of ADI over time, from 0.82 to 0.48 per person per year, which was attributed to the widespread adoption of public health measures during those years. To reduce the burden of ADI, the Chinese government took many measures, such as reconstructing water supplies and lavatories in rural and poor areas, health education, greater encouragement of breast-feeding, and so on [31, 32]. However, we also observed that the rate of ADI declined slightly over the last two decades after 1990, even though the aforementioned measures were initiated prior to that time. This finding is consistent with a previous report in the United States that showed remarkably consistent rates of ADI over time [8]. The stable rates of ADI over the last two decades in China indicates the importance of improving our understanding of the specific causes of and effective preventive methods for ADI through additional research.

In term of the causes of ADI, foodborne illnesses have a primary role according to the WHO report [33]. In the United States, 25–30% of ADI cases are thought to be caused by foodborne illnesses [34], and the corresponding proportion was 32% in Australia [35]. In China, 36.5–55.5% of ADI cases reported that consumption of contaminated food was the cause of their illness, although people seldom actually know the cause of their ADI [15, 18]. Additionally, the observed peak of incidence during the summer months, which is consistent with previous surveys of AGI [15, 18], has been associated with bacterial foodborne illnesses [36, 37]. Indeed, as it is almost impossible for a retrospective cross-sectional study to collect stool samples and suspected food in cases of ADI, it is very difficult to identify the actual cause and etiology of this condition. Moreover, antibiotic abuse and the fact that not all cases of ADI present to healthcare facilities greatly affect the results of hospital-based or laboratory-based surveillance [15]. When conditions permit, a prospective cohort study may be a better way to determine the actual incidence rates, causes, and pathogen-specific burden of ADI. Identifying the etiologies of ADI and the proportion of ADI cases attributable to foodborne and other sources will be crucial to the implementation of effective prevention measures.

Our study has several limitations. The recall period and case definition are two key factors that may affect the final results in a retrospective cross-sectional study of ADI [29, 38, 39]. A recall bias may arise because there are likely to be differences in the ability to remember...
experiences according to context [13]. One form of recall bias, called ‘telescoping’ (i.e., the tendency for people to displace events in time), is especially important in this type of study; this bias would tend to yield an overestimate of the frequency of ADI [40]. To reduce this bias, only cross-sectional studies with a 2-week recall period were included in the current analysis. Furthermore, consistent with WHO guidelines [41], diarrhea was defined as three or more loose stools in 24 h. Only studies meeting the above definition were included in our analysis. Nonetheless, the primary limitation of this meta-analysis relates to the heterogeneity in ADI cross-sectional surveys.

Conclusions
To the best of our knowledge, the current study provides the first population-based estimate of the magnitude and distribution of ADI in the general Chinese population using a meta-analytic method. ADI remains a significant health burden in the community, especially among those < 5 YOA and in rural/poor regions in China. Overall, the temporal distribution peaked in summer months. However, the rates of ADI were remarkably consistent throughout the two decades since 1990, which placed a substantial burden on the healthcare system. Further research, including a prospective cohort study of the pathogen-specific burden of ADI, is necessary to better estimate the burden of ADI and develop effective prevention measures.
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