Functional Loop Dynamics of the Streptavidin-Biotin Complex
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Accelerated molecular dynamics (aMD) simulation is employed to study the functional dynamics of the flexible loop around the streptavidin-biotin complex system. Conventional molecular (cMD) simulation is also performed for comparison. The present study reveals the following important properties of the loop dynamics: (1) The transition of loop3-4 from open to closed state is observed in 200 ns aMD simulation. (2) In the absence of biotin binding, the open-state streptavidin is more stable, which is consistent with experimental evidences. The free energy (ΔG) difference is about 5 kcal/mol between two states. But with biotin binding, the closed state is more stable due to electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions between the loop3-4 and biotin. (3) The closure of loop3-4 is concerted to the stable binding of biotin to streptavidin. When the loop3-4 is in its open-state, biotin moves out of the binding pocket, indicating that the interactions between the loop3-4 and biotin are essential in trapping biotin in the binding pocket. (4) In the tetrameric streptavidin system, the conformational change of the loop3-4 in each monomer is independent of each other. That is, there is no cooperative binding for biotin bound to the four subunits of the tetramer.

As we know, the loop element is a basic structure of folded protein, connecting the secondary structures such as helix or sheet units. Far from just being “connectors”, loops often form parts of the functionally important binding or enzymatic sites. For example, the activity of the protein kinases is regulated by a DFG loop flip mechanism. In another example, the extracellular loops of GPCR are involved in the activation of GPCR. The loops are often located on the solvent-exposed surface of proteins and often have highly flexible conformations. The flexibility of the loops allows them to have more than one local conformation, often corresponding to “open” and “closed” positions that act as a gate to control the protein’s function. Thus, it is quite important to study the dynamics of these dynamical loops in order to understand the gating mechanism. A recent study of our work on an ECF-transporter showed that the flexible Loop5 is found to be a gate controlling the binding of vitamin B2 to the S-component of ECF-transporter.

Wild-type streptavidin is a tetramer, with each subunit binding to one biotin. There are complex interactions that dominate the biotin binding process. Among these interactions, the one that involves the flexible loop3-4 between β-strand 3 and β-strand 4 is quite important. Previous crystal structure studies found that the loop3-4 remains open in the Apo-state but closes after biotin binding, like a “lid” over the binding pocket (shown in Figure 1). Thus, it is important to study the conformational dynamics of the loop3-4 with or without biotin binding.

In our current work, we perform MD simulations to study the conformational dynamics of this flexible loop. Because the time scale for conformational change of loop3-4 is beyond the scope of current standard MD simulation, accelerated Molecular Dynamics (aMD) simulation is adopted to study the conformational dynamics of this loop. Accelerated molecular dynamics is an enhanced sampling MD simulation approach proposed by Voter and J. A. McCammon. The philosophy of aMD simulations is to add an external potential to the dihedrals or the potential of proteins. Compared to other enhanced sampling methods, aMD approach enlarges sampling without any advance knowledge of end states or a pre-defined “reaction coordinates.” Thus, it allows for greater freedom in sampling diverse reaction pathways. Recently, aMD simulation has been successfully applied to study the conformational changes of soluble protein in a shorter time, or to the bilayer lipids in 2011 and membrane protein system in 2013.

In this work, we apply aMD simulation to study the dynamics of the flexible loop3-4 in streptavidin. Firstly, an external potential is added to the dihedrals of monomer streptavidin in its open-state. Then, aMD simulation is...
also applied to the monomer of streptavidin in its closed state with or without biotin binding. Thirdly, the conformation of open loop 3-4 is artificially replaced by its open state conformations of the closed state loop 3-4 is also applied to the monomer of streptavidin in its closed state with or without biotin binding.

Methods

System Setup. The crystal structure of open-state tetramer streptavidin (PDB 1D15W3) is used for the open-to-close transition simulation. Chain C is chosen for the monomer simulation. The Chain A in the crystal structure of closed-state tetramer streptavidin (PDB ID 1MK51) is used for the monomer close-to-open transition simulation. The parameter for biotin is built from antechamber as follows. Firstly, the structure of biotin is optimized at HF/6-31G* level, and then its atomic charges are fitted through RESP at MP2/6-31G* level. The quantum chemical calculations are performed by Gaussian. Finally, GAFF force field is used to generate the parameters for biotin simulation. Tleap in AMBER12-37–39 suit is used to build all the simulation systems. 12 Å TIP3P40 water is added to the simulation system in an octahedron box. Counter-ions are added to neutralize the entire simulation systems. The average size of monomer simulations is around 28000 atoms. The size of tetramer simulations, respectively. These five simulations are chosen to be as follows.

Conventional and Accelerated MD simulation. All MD simulations are performed using grommd.cuda in AMBER12 with amber12 force field for protein, and GAFF force field for biotin. The particle mesh Ewald (PME) is used to treat the long-range electrostatic interactions. A cutoff of 12 Å is applied to treat van der Waals interactions. Periodic boundary condition (PBC) is imposed on all directions. Time-step is 2 fs with SHAKE used to constrain all the hydrogen atoms. Langevin dynamics is applied to control the temperature, with a collision frequency of 1.0 ps\(^{-1}\). Berendsen 'weak coupling' is used to control the pressure. Firstly, the system is equilibrated at constant temperature (300 K).

Five separate simulations are carried out and they can be grouped into monomer and tetramer simulations, respectively. These five simulations are chosen to be as follows. The first one starts with the open-state streptavidin (Apo-state) as the initial structure. The second one starts with the closed-state streptavidin but with the biotin removed from the crystal structure of the complex. The third one uses the closed streptavidin-biotin complex structure as the initial structure. In the fourth simulation, the conformation of the closed state loop 3-4 is artificially replaced by its open state conformation in the streptavidin-biotin complex structure (see Figure S3). In the last simulation study, the tetramer streptavidin in its open-state is used as the initial structure and subjected to an 800 ns simulation study. Details of all the simulations are listed in Table 1.

The aMD protocol modifies the initial potential energy surface of biomolecules, by adding a non-negative bias potential, and allows the system sample the conformational space more efficiently. By adding an external dihedral potential \(\Delta V(r)\) to the original dihedral potential \(V(r)\), the modified \(V^*(r)\) could be expressed as the following equation -

\[
\Delta V(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & V(r) \geq E \\ \frac{(E - V(r))^2}{2(E - V(r))} & V(r) < E \end{cases}
\]

where \(E\) is the "boost energy" specified by the user, which determines the level of the potential surface affected by the bias potential. \(\alpha\) is the "boost factor" tuning the shape of the modified potential.

Thus, it is quite important to choose an appropriate \(E\) and \(\alpha\) for the transition from one state to another state. In this work, the value \(E\) and \(\alpha\) come from the following equation suggested by J. A. McCammon in the membrane protein simulation job:

\[ E = V_{av} + V_{av}^\alpha \text{c} \]

where \(V_{av}\) is the average dihedral energy from 10 ns cMD simulation and \(\alpha\) and \(c\) is a constant and should be specified by the user. We test four constants (0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5) and find that the constant 0.4 produces the open-to-close conformational changes, while retains the protein fold and reasonable secondary structure. Thus \(E_{av} = V_{av} + V_{av}^{0.4}\) and \(\alpha = E_{av}/5\) is chosen to conduct all the monomer simulation with random velocities. For tetramer, the constant 0.5 is more reasonable and is used to perform the tetramer simulation of open-state and closed-state streptavidin.

Result and Discussion

Open-to-close transition in Apo-streptavidin captured by aMD simulation. The high affinity of streptavidin-biotin complex provides the basis for many biomedical applications. This tight binding has motivated a variety of biophysical experiments, and has been the model system for binding free energy studies. It is known that the major conformational change of the protein associated with biotin binding is the closure of the loop 3-4, and the open-close transition process is beyond the time frame of standard MD simulation. In this work, aMD simulation protocol is used, hoping to capture dynamic characteristics of this open-close transition. The monomer of the open-state streptavidin is subjected to a 200 ns aMD simulation and a 300 ns cMD simulation, respectively. To compare the conformational sampling of the flexible loop 3-4 in cMD and aMD simulations, we analyzed the distribution of torsional angles of the eight residues in the loop (shown in Figure S1). The analysis shows that the sampled distributions of the torsions in cMD simulations are concentrated near open-state conformations of streptavidin (red balls in Figure S1). However, the distributions in aMD simulations have concentrated near open-state conformations of streptavidin (red balls in Figure S1). Therefore, the distributions in aMD simulations have extended to the closed-state conformations of streptavidin (green balls in Figure S1).

PCA analysis. In addition, the principal component analysis (PCA) is performed to both cMD and aMD trajectories. The ptraj in AMBERTools suit and the R-based package Bio3d are used to...
obtain the PCs for further analysis. Firstly both trajectories are combined to be one single trajectory, named meta-trajectory. This procedure is to ensure that all the comparisons of data are in the same subspace. Then the alpha carbons of the rigid part (beta-sheets and alpha-helices only) of the protein in each frame in the meta-trajectory are superposed. After the superposition, the PCA of the alpha carbon of loop3-4 is performed. Once the eigenvectors are obtained, the meta-trajectory is projected onto the two largest PCs (principal components). Then the projected meta-trajectory is split back to two sets. The two-dimensional distributions of trajectories projected to the first and second principal components (PCs) are shown in Figure 2. In our 300 ns cMD simulation, the open-loop conformation (structure a in left plot of Figure 2) as well as another open-loop conformation (structure b) is observed, but no other conformations are found. The situation is quite different for PCA based on aMD trajectory. There are three major distributions, namely, structure c, structure d, and structure e, in the right plot of Figure 2. Specifically, structure c stands for the closed-state of loop3-4, and structure d represents the open-state of loop3-4, while an intermediate state (structure e) is observed under an external potential. Although structure a sees lower distributions than the other states, it clearly shows that open-to-close transition is captured in our aMD simulation. The PC1 and PC2 account for 72.2% of the variance in the original distribution, as shown in Figure S2. The first PC mode is just the loop open/closing motion, shown in Figure S3.

Pocket and structure analysis. To further examine the transition, pocket analysis has been performed based on the aMD trajectory using the software Fpocket52. Figure 3a shows the change of pocket volume as a function of time. The initial volume is around 400 Å³ and is reduced to 200 Å³ at the end of simulation. Clearly, the loop3-4 closes during the aMD simulation. Figure 3b displays a snapshot at 170th ns from aMD simulation. The loop (red color in Figure 3b) now almost covered the binding pocket and became a closed-state of streptavidin. For comparison, this closed-structure is superimposed onto the open or closed-state crystal structures of streptavidin. Figure 4a shows that the conformation of loop3-4 in aMD simulation (red color) almost completely overlaps the closed-state XRD structure, consistent with the analysis of the torsions. Furthermore, the final conformation from aMD simulation is also superimposed onto the open and closed-state XRD structures of streptavidin (Figure 4b). It is observed that the conformation of loop3-4 is largely the same with the open-state XRD structure of the loop. Thus, the closed-loop opens within a short time of simulation, which concludes that the loop3-4 is not stable in the closed-state streptavidin without biotin binding, consistent with PCA analysis of the lower distributions of closed-state loop3-4.

Figure 2 | Projection of the loop3-4 conformational space simulated by cMD (left panel) and aMD (right panel) onto the two principal components (PC1 and PC2) produced from the PCA analysis of cMD and aMD trajectories. The distributions of components are depicted with density-colored points, redder points denote higher density, while bluer points denote lower density. Matplotlib is used to generate these density-colored points. Structure a in the left panel indicated the open-loop conformation in cMD simulation, and structure b for an intermediate state. Structure c in the right panel represents the closed-loop conformation in aMD simulation, structure d for the loop-open state and structure e for an intermediate state sampled by aMD simulation.
Free energy landscape analysis. We reweighted the biased distributions derived from aMD simulation to recover the unperturbed free energy landscape of open-to-close transition. The results are shown in Figure 5. The free energy of the open-state is set to zero as a reference energy (PC1: 5~10, PC2: ~4.2~2.2) and the closed-state (PC1: −15~−10, PC2: 2.2~8.6) is found to be 5 kcal/mol higher. Thus the open-state is more stable than the closed-state conformation of loop3-4, in the absence of biotin binding.

In summary, PCA and pocket analyses show that aMD captures the open-to-close transition. Furthermore, our detailed structure and energetic analysis indicates that the closed-state streptavidin is not stable in the absence of biotin binding. Our result is consistent with experimental observations in which no closed-state of loop3-4 in Apo-protein complex is observed. The free energy analysis shows that the open state is 5 kcal/mol more stable than the closed state. Although an earlier crystallographic study observed an tetramer with three open conformations of loop3-4 and a closed-state loop3-4, but it is believed that this apo-closed-state subunit of loop3-4 is due to the effect of crystal-packing interactions in “freezing” out various conformational states of proteins.

Closed-streptavidin simulation without biotin binding. To further examine the stability of the loop3-4, we carried out cMD/aMD simulations for the closed-state monomer of streptavidin by removing biotin from the binding pocket directly. That is, closed-state streptavidin without biotin binding is used as the initial simulation structure and subjected to a 120 ns aMD simulation and a 500 ns cMD simulation, respectively.

Two different root mean square deviations (RMSD) of loop3-4 analyses are performed to study the conformational changes of loop3-4. The closed-state crystal structure of streptavidin is as the reference conformation. First, RMSD of loop3-4 is calculated based on the alignment of the entire protein backbone (protein-alignment). Secondly, alignment is only applied to the loop3-4 to remove the effect of translation and rotation on the conformation changes of the loop (loop-alignment). Figure 6a shows the results of aMD simulation. For protein-alignment (black color in Figure 6a), the initial RMSD is around 8 Å and is reduced to 3 Å at the end of 120 ns simulation. The dramatic decrease in RMSD shows the structure of the loop3-4 is quite different from the initial closed-state. The situation remains the same when loop-alignment method is used. The RMSD of loop3-4 is reduced from 2.5 Å to 1 Å, showing the conformational changes of this surface loop.

For comparison, the above RMSD analyses are also applied to the 500 ns cMD trajectory. Figure 6b shows that the RMSD of loop3-4
decreases to 3 Å from the initial value of 8 Å using protein-alignment analysis. The dramatic decrease in RMSD again shows that the structure of loop₃₋₄ is quite different from the initial closed-state in cMD simulation. When using loop-alignment method, the RMSD of loop₃₋₄ is stabilized at 2.5 Å, which is close to the RMSD value of 2.2 Å between the open and closed loop in XRD structure.

The RMSD analysis shows that the conformation of loop₃₋₄ underwent large changes. In order to examine detailed structural differences of the flexible loop₃₋₄ before and after MD simulation, the simulated final aMD and cMD snapshots are superimposed onto the open/closed state crystal structures. Figure 7 shows that the initial closed loop₃₋₄ is opened in aMD simulation. In cMD simulation, this loop is not fully opened due to a short simulation time.

We reweighted the biased distributions to recover the unperturbed free energy landscape of close-to-open transition and the results are shown in Figure 8. In this close-to-open simulation, the free energy (ΔG) difference between these two states is 7 kcal/mol, about 2 kcal/mol higher than that from the open-to-close simulation. The 2 kcal/mol difference from two stimulations is within the numerical error bar. Thus, these two calculations are consistent with each other.

In summary, the initial closed loop₃₋₄ is opened in aMD simulation and is almost opened in cMD simulation as well. Thus, in the absence of biotin binding, the open-state streptavidin is more stable than closed streptavidin, consistent with the mentioned PCA analysis. However, aMD simulation is quite useful in speeding up the transition, based on the fact that the transition happens during 120 ns aMD simulation, while more than four time (500 ns) is needed in cMD simulation to observe this close-to-open transition.

Closed-streptavidin simulation with biotin binding. For comparison, streptavidin with biotin binding is also subjected to MD simulation to study the dynamics of the loop₃₋₄ in this tight binding complex. Specifically, we have carried out a 300 ns cMD simulation and a 300 ns aMD simulation. Figure 9a shows the RMSD from cMD simulation. The closed-state crystal structure of streptavidin is as the reference conformation. Using protein-alignment analysis, RMSD of loop₃₋₄ only sees very small changes (from 1 Å to 2 Å), and the situation is similar using loop-alignment analysis with the value of RMSD stabilized at 0.25 Å. Further, the distance between the loop₃₋₄ and biotin is calculated and is shown in Figure 9b. It is observed that the distance remains stable at 7 Å. In another word, RMSD and distance analysis show that the conformation of loop₃₋₄ remains unchanged during the entire 300 ns cMD simulation. The final cMD simulated structure is superimposed onto the initial closed-state streptavidin-biotin complex for detailed structure analysis. Figure 10 shows that biotin and the loop₃₋₄ both overlap well with their initial locations in the XRD structure, indicating stable binding between loop₃₋₄ and biotin.

The situation is only slightly different in aMD simulation of streptavidin-biotin complex. Figure 11 plots the change of the distance between loop₃₋₄ and biotin as a function of time. It is observed that the distance is increased from 7 Å to 13 Å. It seems that the loop experienced conformation changes. To further examine this case, the final aMD simulated structure is superimposed onto the initial closed-state streptavidin-biotin complex as shown in Figure 12. The Figure shows that although some conformational changes occurred in loop₃₋₄ and both biotin and loop₃₋₄ drift away from their initial crystal structure, the loop₃₋₄ is still in a closed-state. This result shows that loop₃₋₄ did not change to the open-state even under an...
external potential. Thus, both cMD and aMD simulations conclude that the loop 3-4 of streptavidin is quite stable with biotin binding.

**Cooperative binding of Biotin and the loop 3-4.** The loop 3-4 is stable in Apo-state, but its closed-state becomes stable upon biotin binding. To investigate the dynamics of the open loop 3-4 with biotin binding, the initial structure of the closed state loop 3-4 is artificially replaced by its open state conformation (Figure S6) in MD simulation. And from this modified initial structure, a 100 ns cMD simulation is performed to study the dynamics of biotin and loop 3-4. The RMSD of loop 3-4 is plotted as a function of time and shown in Figure 13, with the artificially modified initial structure mentioned above as the reference conformation. Using protein-alignment, the RMSD is increased to 6.5 Å and then decreased to 3 Å at the end of 100 ns simulation. This shows that the conformation of the loop fluctuates a lot. The RMSD is increased to 2 Å using loop-alignment. The RMSD analysis reveals the changes of the conformation of the loop 3-4 during our simulation. The distance of biotin and loop 3-4 is again calculated as a function of time and shown in Figure 14. It is observed that this distance keeps increasing to 20 Å from 10 Å. This indicates that biotin is not stable during our simulation. Additionally, the final cMD simulated structure is superimposed onto the initial simulation structure to see the conformational differences in the...
details. Figure 15 shows that the loop3-4 partially closes in comparison with the open-conformation. Biotin moves out of its binding pocket and moves away from the loop3-4, shifting to the location of the loop7-8. This kind of cMD simulation is repeated three times. All of them show that biotin moves away from the binding pocket.

As has been stated, S45 53 forms a hydrogen bond with the nitrogen atom in biotin while N49 forms another hydrogen bond with the carbonyl oxygen in the aliphatic tail of biotin. The other three residues (V47, G48, A50) interact with biotin through hydrophobic interactions with the aliphatic part of the tail. When the conformation of the loop in the closed-state is artificially changed to that in the open-state, the strong hydrogen bonds interactions and hydrophobic interactions between biotin and the loop3-4 are all interrupted, and this results in the shift of biotin from its binding pocket. This result shows that the interaction between the loop3-4 and biotin is essential in trapping biotin in the binding pocket and the closure of loop3-4 is necessary for stable binding of biotin to streptavidin. The binding process closely correlates with the closure of the loop3-4. That is, as biotin binds to the pocket step by step, the loop3-4 closes simultaneously.

The closure of loop3-4 in each subunit is independent of each other. The above simulations have provided useful information regarding the dynamics of loop3-4 in its monomer state. In order to study any difference in the dynamical behavior of loop3-4 in individual subunits within the tetramer structure, we performed another 800 ns aMD simulation in which the open-state streptavidin (PDB ID 1SWC) in its tetramer conformation is used as the initial simulation structure.

Figure 16 shows the final aMD simulated structure of each subunit. One can see that the conformational change of loop3-4 in the four subunits is not synchronized. Specifically, the loop3-4 in subunit B has already closed at the end of the 800 ns simulation, while the one in subunit A just started to close. The loop3-4 is semi-closed in subunit C, while the one in subunit D is about 75% closed. This analysis
concludes that the closure of the loop 3-4 in each subunit is independent of each other, and may not happen at the same time.

To further investigate the correlation among the motion of loop 3-4 in the tetramer, the mutual information (MI) between each pair of loops is calculated using their principal components (PC). And to avoid the convergence problem of histogram, the kernel density estimation (KDE) is used for binning. The results are shown in Table 2. From the table, all MI values are small enough (with maximum 0.07 for $I_{AD}$ and minimum 0.03 for $I_{BC}$), so it is clear that there is little correlation among the motions of the loops, thus the transition of the loop 3-4 in each subunit of the tetramer is independent of each other. That is, there is no cooperative binding of biotin to the four subunits of the tetramer.

Additionally, we have performed a 200 ns aMD and a 200 ns cMD simulation with the closed streptavidin-biotin complex as the initial simulation structure. The distance between loop 3-4 and biotin is measured and the results are shown in Figure S9. The distances in cMD simulations are all stabilized at 7 Å. The conformational changes of loop 3-4 are somewhat different in the four subunits in aMD simulation. This distance fluctuates in subunit A between 7 Å and 12 Å. In subunit D, the distance in subunit D first increases to 10 Å and then drops to about 7 Å. In contrast, this distance is quite stable near 7 Å in subunits B and C. The loop 3-4 (green color in structure a in Figure S9) displays the conformation at a distance of 12 Å, and it is clearly seen that the loop 3-4 is partially open at this conformation, which is quite different from the closed-state conformation (structure b in Figure S9). These observations also indicate that the motions of the four loops in the subunits are independent of each other, consistent with that observed in the 800 ns open-state simulation discussed previously in the paper.

### Conclusion

The high binding affinity makes it difficult to study the open-to-close transition process of the loop 3-4 in streptavidin-biotin system using conventional molecular dynamics (cMD) simulation. In the present work, accelerated molecular dynamics (aMD) simulation is adopted to study the dynamics of flexible loop 3-4 of streptavidin in both Apo- and bound states. Five separate simulations have been carried out including both monomer and the tetramer systems. Additionally, cMD simulation is also performed for comparison. The followings are major findings from the present work.

1. **The transition of loop 3-4 from open to closed states is observed in our 200 ns aMD simulation. Furthermore, the detailed structure analysis indicates that the closed-state monomer streptavidin is not quite stable in the absence of biotin binding. The relative free energy ($\Delta G$) difference between the open and closed states of apo-streptavidin is found to be about 5–7 kcal/mol.**
2. **The closed-to-open transition of loop 3-4 is observed in aMD simulations and the loop 3-4 is almost open in cMD simulation.**
3. **Our simulation study shows that in the absence of biotin binding, the open-state streptavidin is more stable, which is consistent with experimental evidences. But with biotin binding, the closed state is more stable due to electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions between the loop 3-4 and biotin.**
4. **The closure of loop 3-4 is necessary for stable binding of biotin to streptavidin. When the loop 3-4 is in its open-state, biotin moves**

### Table 2 | The mutual information (MI) for the first principal component (PC) of loop 3-4 in each subunit of the tetramer

| Loop pair | MI   |
|-----------|------|
| $I_{AB}$  | 0.06 |
| $I_{AC}$  | 0.04 |
| $I_{AD}$  | 0.07 |
| $I_{BC}$  | 0.03 |
| $I_{BD}$  | 0.06 |
| $I_{CD}$  | 0.05 |
out of the binding pocket. This shows that the interaction between the loops 3-4 and biotin is essential in trapping biotin in the binding pocket. The binding process correlates with the closure of the loop 3-4.

5. In the tetramer system of streptavidin, the conformational change of the loop 3-4 in each monomer is independent of each other. That is, there is no cooperative binding of biotin to the four subunits of the tetramer.

The present simulation study helps elucidate the functional dynamics of the loops 3-4. In our current study, we have quantified relative stability of the open and closed conformations of the active site loop of streptavidin system. Our study may shed light on uncovering important roles of flexible loops in protein-ligand binding and developing practical methods for accounting protein flexible effects in drug design.
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