Field Evaluation of Herbicide-resistant Transgenic Broccoli
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Abstract. This study examined the field performance and herbicide resistance of lines of broccoli (Brassica oleracea Italica Group) generated from plants transformed for resistance to the herbicide glufosinate by Agrobacterium-mediated gene transfer. Seedling vigor and vegetative growth characteristics of the first recombinant generation (R1) produced by selfing the transformed lines were comparable to those of the F1 parent (cv. Cruiser) and an equivalent nontransformed F1 line. In hand-weeded trials, marketable yields of the R1-transformed lines were comparable to the parental line or the corresponding nontransformed F1 line. A single application of the recommended rate of the nonselective herbicide glufosinate slowed the growth and reduced yields of nontransformed broccoli, but had little effect on head quality or yields of most transformed lines. Inheritance of herbicide resistance in the R1 progeny of the R0 transgenic plants followed standard Mendelian ratios for a completely dominant trait controlled by a single gene. The results confirm the potential for improvement of broccoli through the incorporation of herbicide resistance by gene transfer technology. Chemical name used: 2-amino-(4-hydroxymethylphosphinyl)butanoic acid (glufosinate, phosphinothricine).

The use of gene transfer technology to introduce resistance to broad-spectrum herbicides such as glufosinate (phosphinothricine) and glyphosate [N-(phosphonomethyl) glycine]] has revolutionized the breeding and production of oilseed brassicas such as canola (Brassica napus L.) in Canada. In 1998, the area planted to herbicide-resistant transgenic canola in Canada was almost equal to that planted to the standard types (National Research Council of Canada, 1998). The herbicide resistance traits have enhanced growers’ ability to economically control emerged weeds in an established crop. Weed control is also essential to efficient production of vegetable crop brassicas such as broccoli, but few herbicides are currently approved for use on these crops. Options for control of broadleaf weeds after crop emergence are particularly limited.

Lee (1996) produced broccoli plants, cv. Cruiser, transformed for resistance to the herbicide glufosinate by Agrobacterium-mediated gene transfer. Glufosinate is a nonselective herbicide that inhibits the enzyme glutamine synthetase, resulting in accumulation of ammonium and leading to the death of cells and ultimately the plant. Cotyledonary petiole explants of broccoli were transformed with a chimeric phosphinothricine acetyltransferase (PAT) gene from the bacterium Streptomyces viridochromogenes (Wohleben et al., 1988). The PAT gene product is an enzyme that acetylates phosphinothricine, thereby inactivating it (Murakami et al., 1986). Expression of the PAT gene was controlled by a cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter, with translational enhancer sequences obtained from the alfalfa mosaic virus (Datla et al., 1993). Transformed plants initially selected on kanamycin were assayed for the presence of the PAT gene using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) procedures and for PAT activity by enzyme assay (DeBlock et al., 1989).

This paper reports on the field performance and herbicide response of the recombinant generation (R1) progeny derived from broccoli plants transformed for resistance to glufosinate by Agrobacterium-mediated gene transfer.

Materials and Methods

Transgenic plants (R0 generation) of the F1 hybrid cultivar Cruiser were grown out in the greenhouse to the flowering stage. The plants were self-pollinated after the inflorescences were sprayed with 4% NaCl to overcome self-incompatibility (Lee, 1996). The segregation ratios for the B-glucuronidase (GUS) marker gene were determined by assaying for GUS activity (Jefferson, 1987) in cotedolons collected from the R0, seedling populations derived from the self-pollinated transgenic lines (Lee, 1996).

Field trials of the R1 seedling populations were conducted in 1995 and 1996. In 1995, six R1 lines were evaluated. In 1996, the line TCR-3, which had the best combined herbicide resistance and yield potential in the 1995 trial, was evaluated again. In both years, the parental line (cv. Cruiser) was used as a standard for evaluation of the field performance and herbicide resistance of the R1 transgenic lines. Direct comparisons between the R1 generation and the parental lines were complicated by the fact that the transformation was performed using tissue from an F0 hybrid. Consequently, the R1 generation would be genetically equivalent to an F0 and be segregating at a number of loci. To help determine whether the transformation process and the accompanying selfing significantly altered field performance, plants generated by self-pollination of ‘Cruiser’ were also included in the 1996 trial. Transplants of the various test lines were produced under standard greenhouse conditions. Emergence of the seedlings was evaluated 15 d after seeding. Seedlings were transplanted at the three to four true-leaf stage.

The field trials were conducted at the Horticulture Science Field Research Station in Saskatoon, Sask. Standard site preparation and crop management procedures for broccoli in western Canada were employed (Alberta Agriculture, 1989). Trifluralin [2,6-dinitro-N,N-dipropyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzenamine] was applied at 1.2 L·ha−1 a.i. prior to transplanting. The crop was transplanted into the field in mid-June. Plants were set 30 cm apart in each row with 0.75 m between rows in 10-m-long test strips. Each row of transgenic material (six lines in 1995 and one line in 1996) was flanked by a row of nontransformed parental material (cv. Cruiser) in 1995 and by ‘Cruiser’ and its self-pollinated progeny in 1996. The trial was conducted using a randomized complete-block design with four replications.

Half of each test plot was sprayed with glufosinate in mid-July, =1 month after transplanting. At this time, the flush of weeds that had escaped the standard preplant herbicide treatment were still small enough to be effectively controlled by the herbicide and had not begun to compete with the crop. Once the crop reached the eight-leaf stage, the glufosinate was applied at the rate recommended for weed control of herbicide-resistant transgenic field crops such as canola (600 g·ha−1 a.i. in 60 L·ha−1 of water at 279 kPa). The spray effects on the broccoli were evaluated 7 d posttreatment. The other half of each plot was not sprayed and weeds were controlled by hand.

Each treatment was subject to a single once-over harvest at vegetative maturity (florets fully expanded, but no yellowing). The heads were weighed and evaluated for conformation (head diameter and bead color).

Growth and yield data were analyzed using the GLM procedures for SAS (SAS Institute, 1990). All percentage data were arcsin transformed prior to analysis. Mean comparisons were conducted using Fisher’s LSD test at P ≤ 0.05. Chi-square tests (P ≤ 0.05) were used to analyze the inheritance pattern of the transferred gene traits.
Results and Discussion

Seedling performance. Segregation ratios for GUS activity for all of the R₁ seedling lines matched the 3:1 ratio expected for a single dominant Mendelian locus (Table 1). All vegetative growth characteristics (percent emergence, growth rate, and form) of the R₁ generation from the transgenic lines were comparable with the parental line ‘Cruiser’ (data not shown).

Spray reaction. Herbicide effects on the weeds were apparent within 48 h of application, with scorching of contacted areas followed by death of the apical meristems. Smaller weeds were killed by the spray, while growth of larger weeds was only checked. Because of their large size at the time of spraying, none of the nontransformed broccoli plants were killed outright by the herbicide application, but the herbicide scorched the leaves and damaged the apical tissues (Fig. 1), which often resulted in the formation of multiple small heads rather than the standard single, large head. There was localized spray damage on most of the transgenic lines (leaf scorch at spray contact points). A proportion of the plants subsequently developed more widespread symptoms typical of the nontransgenic lines (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The damaged transgenic plants eventually recovered and some produced marketable heads, although head size and quality were usually reduced. Chi-square tests of the ratio of damaged to nondamaged plants for the various lines also suggested a close match to a 3:1 ratio expected for a single dominant Mendelian locus (Table 1).

Yield. Application of glufosinate to the nontransformed control line (or its selfed progeny) substantially reduced marketable yields because of the combined negative effects of the herbicide on the number of plants yielding a marketable head and the average size of any marketable heads (Table 2). In 1995, marketable yields for the R₁ populations in the hand-weeded plots were variable and generally less than those of the ‘Cruiser’ control. This presumably reflects the combined result of inbreeding effects and any position effect associated with transgene insertions. The effects of inbreeding depression on yield were apparent in the 1996 trial, which included both herbicide-treated and hand-weeded plots of ‘Cruiser’ F₂ (Table 2). As a portion of each segregating population (25%) would be expected to be nontransgenic because of segregation of the transgene, application of glufosinate to the R₁ populations was expected to reduce yields. However, TCR-24 was the only transgenic line to show a significant yield difference between the sprayed and control treatments (Table 2). Although the assays indicated that TCR-24 was transgenic (Table 1), expression of the transgene may not have been as effective as in the other lines.

Plants in the various treatments (sprayed vs. control and transgenic vs. nontransgenic) were also evaluated for head quality parameters, such as head diameter, stem diameter, head color, and density. The R₁ progenies of

### Table 1. Segregation for B-glucuronidase (GUS) activity and resistance to foliar damage following application of glufosinate to R₁ progeny of transgenic lines of broccoli.

| Line      | No. of plants Examined | GUS (+) | χ² Value for 3:1 ratio | No. of plants Examined | Resistant | χ² Value for 3:1 ratio |
|-----------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| TCR-3     | 63                     | 53      | 2.884*                 | 120                    | 88        | 0.177                  |
| TCR-4     | 61                     | 48      | 0.441                  | 36                     | 24        | 1.333                  |
| TCR-6     | 182                    | 136     | 0.007                  | 36                     | 30        | 1.333                  |
| TCR-11    | 208                    | 153     | 0.221                  | 36                     | 32        | 3.703                  |
| TCR-12    | 198                    | 147     | 0.060                  | 36                     | 26        | 0.148                  |
| TCR-24    | 96                     | 74      | 0.222                  | 36                     | 24        | 1.333                  |

*Data from Lee (1996).

χ² values <3.843 indicate the data fit the expected ratio (P ≤ 0.05).

### Table 2. Yields and head characteristics of the R₁ progeny of transgenic broccoli and those of the nontransformed parental line (cv. Cruiser) or a selfed nontransformed F₂ line in trials with or without the nonselective herbicide glufosinate.

| Line | Herbicide: | Marketable heads (%) | Marketable yield (tha⁻¹) | Avg head wt (g) |
|------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
|      | +          | –                     | +                        | –               |
|      |            |                       | 1995                     |                 |
| Cruiser |          | 66                    | 88                       | 4               | 23.2  | 161  | 574 |
| TCR-3  |            | 97                    | 79                       | 19.1            | 18.5  | 471  | 511 |
| TCR-4  |            | 80                    | 92                       | 12.9            | 14.8  | 341  | 347 |
| TCR-6  |            | 100                   | 72                       | 15.1            | 13.5  | 326  | 404 |
| TCR-11 |            | 100                   | 72                       | 18.7            | 13.8  | 422  | 392 |
| TCR-12 |            | 76                    | 88                       | 14.2            | 15.5  | 416  | 395 |
| TCR-24 |            | 76                    | 91                       | 10.2            | 17.8  | 304  | 413 |

LSD₀.₀₅ 17 8.5 183

| Line | Herbicide: | Marketable heads (%) | Marketable yield (tha⁻¹) | Avg head wt (g) |
|------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
|      | +          | –                     | +                        | –               |
|      |            |                       | 1996                     |                 |
| Cruiser |          | 31                    | 82                       | 2.4             | 13.6  | 66   | 334 |
| Cruiser (selfed) |      | 12                    | 70                       | 0.8             | 10.5  | 78   | 303 |
| TCR-3  |            | 74                    | 78                       | 12.2            | 13.5  | 331  | 337 |

LSD₀.₀₅ 14 2.6 76

N = 24 for Cruiser, otherwise N = 4.
the transgenic lines were similar to one another in appearance and were comparable to the nonsprayed parental line ‘Cruiser’ in head size (Table 2) and appearance. Herbicide treatment had no discernible effect on head size, color, or quality in the transgenic lines (data not shown), but greatly reduced head size in the surviving nontransformed plants (Table 2).

Changes in appearance and field performance are a common side-effect of the transformation process (McHughen and Holm, 1995). If insertion of the T-DNA impairs the function of a critical gene, appearance or performance may be adversely affected (Lee, 1996; Radke et al., 1988). Alternately, somaclonal variation occurring during the tissue-culturing process may alter the phenotype (McHughen, 1994). In this study, the seedling vigor, rate of growth and head development, and crop uniformity of the R1 progeny from the various transformed lines were often comparable to those of the nonsprayed parental line or the equivalent nontransformed F1 line. Some of the transformed lines produced smaller than normal heads, and marketable yields of these lines were reduced correspondingly. This may reflect an adverse effect of the transformation process. However, the R1 lines being evaluated effectively represent an F2 generation, and some yield loss is expected in F2 populations. This is demonstrated by the 1996 data, where the F2 line obtained by selfing nontransformed ‘Cruiser’ plants yielded less than did the parental line (Table 2). Despite this expected yield depression, the yields and head size characteristics of some of the transformed lines (TCR-3 and TCR-24 in 1995, and TCR-3 in 1996) were comparable to those of the nonsprayed F1 parental line (Table 2). This suggests that Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of broccoli for resistance to the nonselective herbicide glufosinate can be achieved without necessarily sacrificing other aspects of field performance. Development of parental lines homozygous for this trait could form a useful addition to a hybrid seed program.

**Conclusions**

Gene transfer technology has been used to introduce herbicide resistance into a wide range of field and horticultural crops. This resistance is useful if it enhances the weed control options available to growers without compromising field performance. This study has demonstrated that Agrobacterium-mediated transformation can be used to produce broccoli lines capable of tolerating the nonselective herbicide glufosinate at a dosage adequate to provide effective control of most annual weeds. In the absence of the herbicide, seedling performance, vegetative growth characteristics, and yields of the transformed R1 lines were comparable to the nontransformed F1 parent or the corresponding nontransformed F2 lines. This suggests that transformation for herbicide resistance can be achieved without excessive detrimental effects on field performance. Inheritance of herbicide resistance in the R1 progeny of the transgenic lines followed standard Mendelian ratios for a completely dominant trait. There were no obvious differences in the appearance or level of herbicide resistance between the hemizygous and homozygous members of each R1 population. Under standard field conditions, multiple flushes of weeds would probably require multiple applications of contact herbicides like glufosinate. Tolerance of transformed lines to multiple applications or higher than normal concentrations of the herbicide should be evaluated.
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