Universality of nucleon-nucleon short-range correlations: the factorization property of the nuclear wave function, the relative and center-of-mass momentum distributions, and the nuclear contacts
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Background: the two-nucleon momentum distributions of nucleons \(N_1\) and \(N_2\) in a nucleus \(A\), \(n_{A}^{N_1 N_2}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.})\), is a relevant quantity that determines the probability to find the two nucleons with relative momentum \(k_{rel}\) and center-of-mass (c.m.) momentum \(K_{c.m.}\); at large values of the relative momentum and, at the same time, small values of the c.m. momentum, \(n_{A}^{N_1 N_2}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.})\) provides information on the short-range structure of nuclei.

Purpose: calculation of the momentum distributions of proton-neutron and proton-proton pairs in \(^3\)He, \(^4\)He, \(^{12}\)C, \(^{16}\)O and \(^{40}\)Ca, in correspondence of various values of \(k_{rel}\) and \(K_{c.m.}\).

Methods: the momentum distributions for \(A\) \(>\) 4 nuclei are calculated as a function of the relative, \(k_{rel}\), and center of mass, \(K_{c.m.}\), momenta and relative angle \(\Theta\), within a linked cluster many-body expansion approach, based upon realistic local two-nucleon interaction of the Argonne family and variational wave functions featuring central, tensor and spin-isospin correlations.

Results: independently of the mass number \(A\), at values of the relative momentum \(k_{rel} \gtrsim 1.5 \sim 2\) fm\(^{-1}\) the momentum distributions exhibit the property of factorization, \(n_{A}^{N_1 N_2}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}) \approx n_{rel}^{N_1 N_2}(k_{rel}) n_{c.m.}^{N_1 N_2}(K_{c.m.})\), in particular for \(pp\) back-to-back (BB) pairs one has \(n_{A}^{pp}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0) \approx C_{A}^{N_1 N_2} n_{K_{c.m.}}^{pp}(K_{c.m.} = 0)\) where \(n_{K_{c.m.}}^{pp}\) is the deuteron momentum distribution, \(n_{c.m.}^{pp}(K_{c.m.} = 0)\) the c.m. motion momentum distribution of the pair and \(C_{A}^{N_1 N_2}\) the \(pp\) nuclear contact measuring the number of \(BB\) pp pairs with deuteron-like momenta \((k_p \approx -k_n, K_{c.m.} = 0)\). The values of the \(pn\) nuclear contact are extracted from the general properties of the two-nucleon momentum distributions corresponding to \(K_{c.m.} = 0\). The \(K_{c.m.}\)-integrated \(pn\) momentum distributions exhibit the property \(n_{A}^{pn}(k_{rel}) \approx C_{A}^{N_1 N_2} n_{c.m.}^{pn}(k_{rel})\) but only at very high value of \(k_{rel} \gtrsim 3.5 \sim 4\) fm\(^{-1}\). The theoretical ratio of the \(pp/pn\) momentum distributions of \(^4\)He and \(^{12}\)C and the calculated c.m. motion momentum distributions are in agreement with recent experimental data.

PACS numbers: 21.30.Fe, 21.60.-n, 24.10.Cn, 25.30.-c

I. AIM AND INTRODUCTION

The investigation of short-range correlations (SRCs) in nuclei is ultimately aimed at unveiling the details of in-medium short-range nucleon-nucleon (NN) dynamics, a relevant physics issue that cannot be answered by scattering experiments of two free nucleons (see recent review papers on the subject \cite{1,2}). A reliable way to gather information on SRCs would be to detect significant deviations of proper experimental data (e.g. electron-disintegration processes off nuclei) from theoretical predictions based upon \textit{ab initio} solutions of the nuclear many-body problem, obtained from various NN interactions differing in the short-range part. In practice such an approach faces several problems because it implies the exact calculation of the ground- and continuum-state wave functions of the target nucleus under investigation; concerning the former, relevant progress has recently been made to obtain \textit{ab initio} solutions of the non relativistic Schrödinger equation, but, unfortunately, the treatment of the continuum spectrum of the target nucleus is still model-dependent, with the only exception of those processes involving the two- and three-nucleon systems; for complex nuclei approximations are unavoidable, with the simplest one being the plane wave impulse approximation (PWIA) which leads, in the case of, e.g., a process \(A(e,e'N)X\), to a factorized cross sections depending upon the elementary electron-nucleon cross section and the one-nucleon spectral function \(P_A(E,k)\) which describes the momentum \((k \equiv |k|)\) and removal energy \((E)\) distributions of a nucleon in nucleus \(A\) (in a process \(A(e,e'N_1 N_2)X\) the factorized cross section will depend upon the two-nucleon spectral function, etc.). Even if the PWIA requires corrections due to the final state interaction (FSI) and possible effects from non-nucleonic degrees of freedom, the detection of high momentum and high removal energy effects may represents evidence of ground-state SRCs. It is for this reason that during the last few years, the calculation of the nuclear momentum distrib-
butions and spectral function has attracted an increasing interest. The one-nucleon, \( n_\Lambda(k_1) \), and two-nucleon, \( n_\Lambda(k_1, k_2) \), momentum distributions of few-nucleon systems \( (A \leq 4) \) have been obtained \textit{ab initio} \cite{10} within different theoretical approaches and using realistic NN interactions, whereas for \( A \leq 12 \) exact variational Monte Carlo (VMC) calculations have recently been performed \cite{11}. For nuclei with \( A > 12 \), VMC calculations of the momentum distribution are not yet feasible, therefore, also in light of future experimental developments, alternative approaches, even if of lower quality than VMC ones, but still maintaining a realistic link to the underlying NN interactions, should be pursued. A serious candidate in this respect would be an advanced linked cluster expansion approach with correlated wave functions, including a large class of Yvon-Mayer diagrams \cite{12 13}, for they have been shown to produce realistic results of one-nucleon momentum distributions \cite{13 14} in reasonable agreement with the more advanced VMC calculations. All of these calculations, though being performed within different many-body approaches, produce similar results demonstrating a universal (A-independent) character of in-medium NN short-range dynamics, in that the mean-field (MF) approach breaks down when the relative distance \( r \equiv |r_1 - r_2| \) between two generic nucleons “1” and “2” is of the order of \( r \lesssim 1.3 - 1.5 \) fm, with the two-nucleon density distribution exhibiting the so called \textit{correlation hole} which, apart from trivial normalization factors, turns out to be independent on the mass \( A \) of the nucleus and similar to the deuteron one. SRCs give rise to high momentum components that are lacking in a mean-field approach and turned out to depend upon the relative orbital momentum \( (L) \) and the total spin \( (S) \) and isospin \( (T) \) of the \( NN \) pair, as well as upon the value of the pair center-of-mass (c.m.) momentum. SRCs give rise to peculiar configurations of the nuclear wave function in momentum space, e.g. the ones when a high momentum nucleon is mostly balanced by another nucleon with similar and opposite value of the momentum \( \textit{the back-to-back (BB) configuration} \) and not by the \( A - 1 \) nucleon, as in the case of a mean-field configuration \cite{18}. Thus, within a PWIA picture, if a correlated nucleon, with momentum \( k_1 \), acquiring a momentum \( q \) from an external probe, leaves the nucleus without any final-state interaction (FSI) and is detected with momentum \( p = k_1 + q \), the partner nucleon should be emitted with momentum \( k_2 \approx p_m = -k_1 \), where the measurable momentum \( p_m \) is the \textit{missing momentum} \( p_m = q - p \). Such a basic picture of \textit{back-to-back} short-range correlated (SRCd) nucleons has been recently improved to a large extent by taking into account the FSI of the struck nucleon by advanced methods (see \textit{e.g.} Refs. \cite{19 21} and \cite{22 22}) and by considering the effects due to the center-of-mass motion of the pair, which makes \( k_2 \neq -k_1 \), and the effects due to the \( (ST) \) dependence. The underlying dynamics of SRCs has been theoretically explained by advanced many-body theories, \textit{e.g.} by the Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone approach for nuclear matter \cite{22} and by exact few-nucleon approaches in case of \( ^3\text{He} \) and \( ^4\text{He} \) \cite{24}, with both approaches demonstrating that two-nucleon correlations arise from a general property of the many-body wave function, namely its factorized form in those configurations where a pair of nucleons has, at the same time, a large value of the two-nucleon relative momentum \( k_{rel} \) and a low value of the c.m. momentum \( K_{c.m.} \), in agreement with the phenomenological assumption of Ref. \cite{25}. The presence of SRCs in nuclei and their basic back-to-back nature have eventually been experimentally demonstrated \cite{26 31}, but a detailed theoretical and experimental information through the periodic Table of Elements of their isospin, angular and c.m. momentum dependencies remains to be obtained. To contribute to this challenge in the present paper the results of calculations of the following quantities, pertaining to nuclei \( ^3\text{He}, ^4\text{He}, ^{12}\text{C}, ^{16}\text{O} \) and \( ^{40}\text{Ca} \), will be presented: (i) the two-nucleon momentum distribution \( n_A^{N_1N_2} \) of the proton-neutron (\( pn \)) and proton-proton (\( pp \)) pairs in correspondence of different values of the c.m. and the relative momenta of the pair and the angle \( \Theta \) between them; (ii) the number of short-range correlated \( pp \) and \( pn \) pairs represented by the integral of the various types of momentum distributions in a finite momentum range; (iii) the ratio of the \( pn \) to \( pp \) correlated pairs \( vs \) the relative momentum \( k_{rel} \). Particular attention is devoted to the comparison of the two-nucleon momentum distributions of complex nuclei with the deuteron momentum distribution, in order to clarify whether and to which extent the short-range dynamics of a free bound \( pn \) system will differ from the short-range dynamics of a \( pn \) pair embedded in the medium. Calculations have been performed with realistic nuclear wave functions \cite{16 32 33} obtained from the solution of the Schrödinger equation with realistic NN interactions, namely the AV18 \cite{33} and AV8' \cite{34} interactions. Various properties of the momentum distributions and various relations between them are illustrated, which further demonstrate the relevant property of the nuclear wave function in the correlation region, \textit{i.e.} its factorized form. The quantity (the \textit{nuclear contact}) measuring the number of deuteron-like pairs in nuclei is extracted from the general properties of the \( pn \) momentum distributions. The structure of the paper is as follows: in Section \textbf{III} the general definitions of the two-nucleon momentum distributions and their SRCd parts are given; the calculation of the momentum distributions and the universal, A-independent behavior of their SRCd parts, are presented in Section \textbf{III} the general validity of the factorization property in the SRC region is proved in Section \textbf{IV} the number of SRCd \( pn \) and \( pp \) pairs in various regions of \( k_{rel} \) and \( K_{c.m.} \) are given in Section \textbf{V} the comparison between the available experimental data with theoretical predictions is presented in Section \textbf{VI} the Summary and Conclusions are illustrated in Section \textbf{VII}.
II. GENERAL DEFINITIONS

In this paper the number of protons and neutrons in nucleus A, will be denoted by Z and N, respectively, with

\[ n_{A}^{N_1N_2}(k_1, k_2) = \sum_{N_1N_2} n_{A}^{N_1N_2}(k_1, k_2) \]

where

\[ \rho^{(2)}_{N_1N_2}(r_1, r_2; r'_1, r'_2) = \int \psi_0^*(r_1, r_2, r_3, ..., r_A) \psi_0(r'_1, r'_2, r_3, ..., r_A) \delta \left( \sum_i r_i \right) \prod_i dr_i , \]

is the two-body non-diagonal density matrix of nucleus A. The normalization of the proton, neutron and total distributions, unless differently stated, is as follows

\[ \int \rho^{(2)}_{N_1N_2}(r_1, r_2) dr_1 dr_2 = \int n_{A}^{N_1N_2}(k_1, k_2) dk_1 dk_2 = \frac{Z(Z-1)}{2} \bigg|_{N_1=N_2=p} \]

\[ = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \bigg|_{N_1=N_2=n} \]

\[ = ZN \bigg|_{N_1=p, N_2=n} \] (3)

\[ \sum_{N_1N_2} \int n_{A}^{N_1N_2}(k_1, k_2) dk_1 dk_2 = A(A-1) / 2 . \] (4)

By introducing the relative and c.m. two-nucleon coordinates and momenta \( r = r_1 - r_2 \), \( k_{rel} = (k_1 - k_2) / 2 \); \( R = (r_1 + r_2) / 2 \), \( K_{c.m.} = k_1 + k_2 \), the two-nucleon

\[ A = Z + N. \]

The two-body momentum distributions of a pair of nucleons \( N_1N_2 \), summed over spin (S) and isospin (T) states, is given by

\[ n_{A}^{N_1N_2}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}) = n_{A}^{N_1N_2}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}, \Theta) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int dr dR dr' dR' e^{i K_{c.m.} \cdot (R - R')} \]

\[ \rho^{(2)}_{N_1N_2}(r, r'; r', r'') \]

(5)

\[ \int dr dR dr' dR' e^{i K_{c.m.} \cdot (R - R')} \rho^{(2)}_{N_1N_2}(r, r'; r', r'') \]

(6)

describing the spin and isospin summed relative momentum distributions of BB pairs, \( \rho^{(2)}_{pm}(r, r') \) being the c.m. integrated non-diagonal two-body density matrix. Relevant quantities are also the \( K_{c.m.-} \) and \( k_{rel-integrated} \) momentum distributions, namely

\[ n_{A}^{N_1N_2}(k_{rel}) = \int n_{A}^{N_1N_2}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}) d K_{c.m.} \] (7)

\[ n_{A}^{N_1N_2}(K_{c.m.}) = \int n_{A}^{N_1N_2}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}) d k_{rel} . \] (8)

Eqs. (6), (7) and (8) have been calculated in Refs. 10, 11 and 12 for \(^3\)He and \(^4\)He, using \( ab \) \( initio \) wave functions and in Ref. 11 for \(^6\)He, \(^8\)He, \(^6\)Li, \(^7\)Li, \(^9\)Li, \(^8\)Be, \(^9\)Be, \(^10\)Be, \(^10\)B and, preliminarily, \(^12\)C, within the VMC approach. In the this paper we describe new results for various momentum distributions in \(^3\)He, \(^4\)He.
FIG. 1: (Color online): (a): the two-nucleon momentum distributions of $pn$ pairs in $^3\text{He}$ vs. the relative momentum $k_{rel}$ for fixed values of the c.m. momentum $K_{c.m.}$ (expressed in fm$^{-1}$) and two values of the angle $\Theta$ between $k_{rel}$ and $K_{c.m.}$, namely $\Theta = 90^0$ (broken curves) and $\Theta = 0^0$ (symbols). In this Figure, and only in it, the continuous curves represent Eq. (10) with $C_{pn}^0 = 2.0$. $^3\text{He}$ wave function from Ref. [32, 33] and AV18 interaction [35]. (b): the same as in Fig.1(a) but for $pp$ pairs. (c): the $pn$ and $pp$ distributions corresponding to $K_{c.m.} = 0$ in (a) and (b) and their sum. (d): the relative two-body momentum distributions $n_{A}^{N_2}(k_{rel}) = \int n_{A}^{N_2}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}) \, dK_{c.m.}$. In Fig. 1(c) the open and solid dots represent the results from Argonne [11]. In this and the following Figures, unless differently stated, the $pn$ and $pp$ distributions are normalized to $ZN$ and $Z(Z - 1)/2$, respectively.

$^{12}\text{C}$, $^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{40}\text{Ca}$ obtained, in the case of few-nucleon systems ($A = 3, 4$), with ab initio wave functions, and, in the case of nuclei with $A > 4$, within a linked-cluster expansion up to the order of four-body cluster contributions [12]. Whenever possible the results of our calculations of the momentum distributions will be compared with the results of the VMC approach of Ref. [11].

III. RESULTS OF CALCULATIONS AND THE UNIVERSAL PROPERTIES OF THE CORRELATED TWO-NUCLEON MOMENTUM DISTRIBUTIONS

A. The two-nucleon momentum distribution in $^3\text{He}$ and isoscalar nuclei

In Figs. 1(a) we show: (i) the $pn$ and $pp$ momentum distributions in $^3\text{He}$, $^4\text{He}$, $^{12}\text{C}$, $^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{40}\text{Ca}$ nuclei, in particular, the full two-nucleon momentum distribution $n_{A}^{N_2}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}, \Theta)$ (Eq. (5)), (ii) the back-to-back momentum distributions (Eq. (6)), (iii) the relative momentum distributions, Eq. (7) and (iv) the c.m. momentum distributions.
distribution, (Eq. (8)). The results presented in these Figures have been obtained using microscopic wave functions corresponding to the AV18 interaction [35] for ${}^4$He and ${}^3$He [32, 33] and the AV8' interaction [36] for ${}^4$He [34] and complex nuclei [15]. In order to compare our results with the VMC results of Ref. [11], whose wave functions are calculated with 2N AV18 + 3N UX interaction, we present in Fig. 7 the one-nucleon momentum distributions of $A = 4$ and $A = 12$ obtained by the two approaches, even because both quantities will be used in what follows. Concerning our parameter-free results, let us first of all stress that they are in a general reasonable agreement with the results of the VMC calculation [11], although in some regions of momenta (e.g. at $2.5 \lesssim k_{\text{rel}} \lesssim 3.5 \text{ fm}^{-1}$) they can appreciably differ within a 10-20 %, particularly in the case of the $pp$ relative momentum distribution of $^4$He and $^{12}$C; the possible origin of such a disagreement, which does not appear to be given to the effects of the 3N force missing in our calculation [37], is under investigation. The obtained momentum distributions of both few-nucleon systems and complex nuclei exhibit several universal features that can be summarized as follows:

1. as firstly pointed out in Ref. [8] in the case of few-nucleon systems, when $K_{\text{c.m.}} = 0$, the $pn$ and $pp$ momentum distributions do not appreciably differ at small values of $k_{\text{rel}}$, with their ratio being closer to the ratio of the number of $pn$ to $pp$ pairs, whereas in the region $1.0 \lesssim k_{\text{rel}} \lesssim 4.0 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ the dominant role of tensor correlations makes the $pn$ distribution much larger than the $pp$ distribution, with the node exhibited by the latter filled up by the $D$ wave in the $pn$ two-body density;

2. Figs. 1(a), (b) and 2(a), (b) show that the momentum distribution $n_N^{AN}(k_{\text{rel}}, K_{\text{c.m.}}, \Theta)$, plotted vs. $k_{\text{rel}}$, decreases, at small and high values of $k_{\text{rel}}$, with increasing values of $K_{\text{c.m.}}$, whereas at intermediate values of $k_{\text{rel}}$ it increases with increasing values of $K_{\text{c.m.}}$; this effect is particularly relevant for the $pp$ case where the dip occurring in the $K_{\text{c.m.}} = 0$ distribution is totally washed out by the large $K_{\text{c.m.}}$ components, resulting in a $K_{\text{c.m.}}$-integrated distribution totally different from the one corresponding to $K_{\text{c.m.}} = 0$ (cf): this effect seems to hold in the case of complex nuclei as well, as illustrated by the differences exhibited by Figures (b) and (c) for $A=12, 16, \text{ and 40}$.
starting from a $K_{c.m.}$-dependent value of the relative momentum $k_{rel}$, to be denoted $k^{-}_{rel}(K_{c.m.})$, the $pn$ two-nucleon momentum distributions become to a large extent Θ independent, with the value of $k^{-}_{rel}(K_{c.m.})$ increasing with $K_{c.m.}$, according to the following relation

$$k^{-}_{rel}(K_{c.m.}) = a_1 + f(K_{c.m.}) \equiv k^{-}_{rel}; \quad (9)$$

that can be defined with $a_1 \simeq 1.5 \, fm^{-1}$ (cf Figs. 13 and $f(K_{c.m.}) = K_{c.m.;}$ Θ independence, firstly stressed in Ref. 17 and verified in a wide range of angles, implies that for $k_{rel} > k^{-}_{rel}$ the two-nucleon momentum distribution factorizes, i.e. $n_{A}^{N_1N_2}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}, \Theta) \propto n_{rel}^{N_1N_2}(k_{rel}) \tilde{n}_{c.m.}^{N_1N_2}(K_{c.m.})$.

In the region of factorization, defined by $k_{rel} \gtrsim k^{-}_{rel}$ and $K_{c.m.} \lesssim 1 \, fm^{-1}$, the momentum distribution for $pn$ pairs can be approximated as follows:

$$n_{pn}^{n_{fact}}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}) \sim \frac{n_{A}^{n_{fact}}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0)}{n_{c.m.}^{n_{fact}}(K_{c.m.} = 0)} n_{c.m.}^{n_{fact}}(K_{c.m.}) 
\sim C_{A}^{n_{fact}} n_{D}(k_{rel}) n_{c.m.}^{n_{fact}}(K_{c.m.}). \quad (10)$$

Here $n_{D}(k_{rel})$ is the deuteron momentum distribution, $n_{c.m.}^{n_{fact}}(K_{c.m.})$ the c.m. momentum distribution of the correlated pair in the region of factorization and $C_{A}^{n_{fact}}$ an $A$-dependent constant, whose value and physical meaning will be discussed in the next Subsection. As for the $pp$ momentum distribution, it appears that it also factorizes but starting at a value of the relative momentum higher than
with and AV8' interaction. 

by differ only by their magnitudes, which are governed to any value of \( A \), namely that at high values of \( \) in \( ^3\text{He} \), \(^4\text{He} \), \(^{12}\text{C} \) and \(^{40}\text{Ca} \) normalized to one, obtained in the present paper (this work), in Ref. [25] (CS) and in Ref. [11] (Argonne). Note that a Gaussian distribution related to the average value of the shell model kinetic energy agrees very well with the many-body realistic distribution up to \( K_{c.m.} \approx 1 \text{ fm}^{-1} \) except in the case of \(^3\text{He} \) for which a shell model description has no meaning. (b): the c.m momentum distributions of \(^3\text{He} \), \(^{12}\text{C} \) and \(^{40}\text{Ca} \) on a linear scale. 

4. at high values of the relative and c.m. momenta, more than two particles can be locally correlated, producing a strong dependence upon the angle \( \Theta \) and, correspondingly, the violation of factorization, as shown in Fig. 1 in the case of \( K_{c.m.} = 3 \text{ fm}^{-1} \); moreover, it can be seen (cf Fig. 1(b) and 2(b)) that the behavior of \( n_{c.m.}^{p} \) in the region around \( k_{rel} \approx 2 \text{ fm}^{-1} \) is strongly affected by the high \( K_{c.m.} \).
5. In Ref. [28], the low momentum part ($K_{c.m.} \lesssim 1.0$ fm$^{-1}$) of the c.m. momentum distribution has been described by a gaussian function normalized to one, namely, $n^{A}_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.}) = (\alpha_A/\pi)^{1/2} \exp(-\alpha_A K^2_{c.m.})$, with the values of $\alpha_A$ obtained from the average value of the shell model kinetic energy $<T>$, as follows $\alpha_A = \frac{3(A-1)}{4m_{A}(A-2)<T>_{SM}}$. It can be seen from Fig. 6 that, apart from the case of $^3$He, for which a shell-model description is meaningless, the Gaussian model of Ref. [28] nicely approximates the many-body result in the region of $K_{c.m.} \lesssim 1$ fm$^{-1}$. The values of $\alpha_A$ for $^4$He and $^{12}$C obtained in Ref. [28] also agree with the experimental data [27, 28, 30], to be discussed in Section V.

B. The meaning and the numerical values values of the quantity $C_A^{pn}$

In what follows we will discuss in detail the behavior of the $pn$ momentum distributions in the correlation region, in particular the meaning and the numerical value of the constant $C_A^{pn}$ appearing in Eq. (12). This is because we would like to compare the short-range behavior of a bound $pn$ pair, i.e. the deuteron, with the behavior of a $pn$ pair in the nuclear medium. The factorized form (Eq. (12)) describes 2N SRCd configurations when the relative momentum of the pair is much larger than the c.m. momentum. Since for isoscalar nuclei $n^{pn}_{c.m.} \approx n^{pp}_{c.m.}$, the $A$-dependence of $C_A^{pn(fact)}$ is given only by the $A$-dependence of both the constant $C_A^{pn}$ and by the c.m. momentum distribution $n^{pn}_{c.m.}$, with the former determining the amplitude of $n^{pn(fact)}_{c.m.}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0)$ and the latter its damping with increasing values of $K_{c.m.}$, as it clearly appears from Figs. 1, 2, where can indeed be seen that the decrease of $n^{pn}_{c.m.}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.})$ at $k_{rel} > k_{rel}^{c.m.}$ exactly follows the rate of decrease of $n^{pn}_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.})$ shown in Fig. 3 whose low $K_{c.m.}$ distribution coincides with $n^{pn}_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.})$. Therefore it can be concluded that $C_A^{pn}$: (i) is independent of $k_{rel}$ and $K_{c.m.}$, i.e. it is a quantity depending only upon the value of $A$, (ii) it is not a free and adjustable parameter, but a quantity resulting from $ab initio$ many-body calculations of the momentum distributions, since, (iii) it is defined in terms of the magnitude of $n^{pn}_{c.m.}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0)$ at $k_{rel} > k_{rel}^{c.m.}$, the deuteron momentum distribution, and, eventually, by the c.m. momentum distribution of the pair, i.e. by quantities resulting from many-body calculations and from the factorization property of the momentum distributions. To sum up, the value of $C_A^{pn}$ is given by the following relation

$$\lim_{k_{rel} > k_{rel}^{c.m.}} \frac{n^{pn}_{c.m.}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0)}{n^{pn}_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.} = 0)n_D(k_{rel})} = Const \equiv C_A^{pn}$$

(Eq. 12)
TABLE I: The values of the constant $C^A_{pn}$ (Eq. (12)) extracted from Fig. 8 with error determined according to the following expression: $C^A_{pn} = \frac{(C^A_{pn})_{max} + (C^A_{pn})_{min}}{2} \pm \frac{(C^A_{pn})_{max} - (C^A_{pn})_{min}}{2}$, where $(C^A_{pn})_{max}$ and $(C^A_{pn})_{min}$ are determined in the region of $k_{rel} \geq 3.0 \text{fm}^{-1}$. The values in brackets have been obtained using the VMC wave function of Ref. 11.

| A      | $^2\text{H}$ | $^3\text{He}$ | $^4\text{He}$ | $^6\text{Li}$ | $^8\text{Be}$ | $^{12}\text{C}$ | $^{16}\text{O}$ | $^{40}\text{Ca}$ |
|--------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1.0    | 2.0 ± 0.1  | 4.0 ± 0.1    | –           | –            | –       | 20 ± 1.6   | 24 ± 1.8   | 60 ± 4.0   |
| 1.0    | (2.0 ± 0.1) | (5.0 ± 0.1)  | (11.1 ± 1.3)| (16.5 ± 1.5) | (−)    | (−)        | (−)        | (−)        |

The validity of Eq. (12) and the determination of the value of $C^A_{pn}$ are illustrated in Fig. 8. It can be seen that at low values of the relative momentum ($k_{rel} \lesssim 1.5 \text{fm}^{-1}$) the ratio Eq. (12) exhibits a strong dependence upon $k_{rel}$, reflecting the A-dependent mean-field structure whereas, starting from $k_{rel} \simeq 2 - 2.5 \text{fm}^{-1}$, a constant behavior is observed for all values of $A$ that have been considered; in particular, in the case of $A = 3$ and $A = 4$, for which accurate wave functions have been used, the consistency with a constant value is very good, whereas for complex nuclei, which are more sensitive to the many-body approximations, the error on the determination of the value of $C^A_{pn}$ is higher. The obtained values of $C^A_{pn}$ are listed in Table 1 where the values obtained with the VMC results of Ref. 11 are also shown in brackets. The difference in the value of $C^A_{pn}$ between ours and the VMC approaches could be attributed to the different Hamiltonian (V8’ NN interaction in our case and AV18 in VMC method) and to the different variational wave functions, whereas in the case of heavier nuclei possible effects from the omitted terms of the cluster expansion should also be considered. All of these possibilities are under investigation. Nonetheless the results of both approaches exhibit the same A-dependency, i.e. an increase of the value of $C^A_{pn}$ with the value of $A$, which confirms the factorization property of the momentum distribution and that can be explained with the very physical meaning of $C^A_{pn}$. As a matter of fact Eq. (11) provides the physical meaning of the constant $C^A_{pn}$, namely in the factorization region one obtains

$$n^{^2\text{H},^3\text{He}}_{pn}(K_{c.m.} = 0) = \int_{k_{rel}=1.5}^{\infty} d k_{rel} \int_{0}^{\infty} n^0_A(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}) \delta(K_{c.m.}) d K_{c.m.}$$

$$\simeq C^A_{pn} n^0_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.} = 0) 4\pi \int_{k_{rel}=1.5}^{\infty} n_D(k_{rel}) k_{rel}^2 dk_{rel}$$

(13)

which represents the momentum distribution of back-to-back (BB) nucleons integrated in the region of relative momentum $k_{rel} \geq 1.5 \text{fm}^{-1}$. Thus $C^A_{pn}$ represent a measure of the number of SRCd $pn$ pairs with c.m. momentum distribution $n^0_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.} = 0)$, i.e. the number of deuteron-like pairs. At the same time the equation

$$N^S_{pn} = \int_{0}^{K_{c.m.}^{max}} d K_{c.m.} \int_{k_{rel}(K_{c.m.})}^{\infty} n^0_A(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}) d k_{rel}$$

$$\simeq C^A_{pn} (4\pi)^2 \int_{0}^{K_{c.m.}^{max}} n^0_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.}) K^2 d K_{c.m.} \int_{k_{rel}(K_{c.m.})}^{\infty} n_D(k_{rel}) k_{rel}^2 dk_{rel}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

represents the the number of SRCd $pn$ pairs in the entire two-nucleon SRC region, characterized by $K_{c.m.}^{max} \lesssim 1 \sim 1.5 \text{fm}^{-1}$ and $k_{rel} \gtrsim 1.5 \text{fm}^{-1}$.

IV. THE FACTORIZATION PROPERTY OF THE NUCLEAR WAVE FUNCTION AND THE HIGH MOMENTUM BEHAVIOR OF THE HIGH MOMENTUM DISTRIBUTIONS

A. SRCs as a result of wave function factorization

It has been demonstrated that the the momentum distributions of nuclei in the region of SRCs are governed by the factorization property of the nuclear wave function at short inter-nucleon distances, described by the following relation

$$\lim_{r_{ij} \to 0} \Psi_0(\{r\}_A) \simeq \hat{A} \left\{ \chi_0(R_{ij}) \sum_{n,fA} a_{o,n,fA-2} \Phi_n(x_{ij}, r_{ij}) \right\}$$

$$\oplus \Psi_{fA-2}(\{x\}_A-2; \{r\}_A-2),$$

(15)

where: i) $\{r\}_A$ and $\{r\}_A-2$ denote the set of radial coordinates of nuclei $A$ and $A - 2$, respectively; ii) $r_{ij}$ and $R_{ij}$ are the relative and c.m. coordinate of the nucleon pair $ij$, described by the relative wave function $\Phi_n$ and the c.m. wave function $\chi_0$ in $0s$ state; iii) $\{x\}_A-2$ and $x_{ij}$ denote the set of spin-isospin coordinates of the

4 Following the original suggestion of Ref. 18 we also adopt here the region $k_{rel} \gtrsim 1.5 \text{fm}^{-1}$ as the SRC region, although, more correctly, as it appears from the results of many-body calculations, the SRC region starts from $k_{rel} \gtrsim 2 \text{fm}^{-1}$. 
nucleus \((A - 2)\) and the pair \((ij)\). Eq. (15) has been introduced in [24] demonstrating that the SRCd nuclear two-nucleon momentum distribution factorizes into the vector-coupled product of the relative and c.m. momentum distribution of a NN pair. In particular, in Ref. [24] the factorization property of the nuclear wave function has been shown to hold in the case of \(ab\) initial wave functions of few-nucleon systems, showing that the momentum-space wave function of \(^3\)He and \(^4\)He factorize in the region of high \((k_{\text{rel}} > 2 \text{fm}^{-1})\) relative momenta coupled to low c.m. momenta, \((K_{\text{c.m.}} \lesssim 1.0 \text{fm}^{-1})\), whereas at higher values of \(K_{\text{c.m.}}\) factorization still occurs but starting at increasing values of \(k_{\text{rel}}\); such a behavior indeed appears in Figs. 9(a)-9(a), both in the case of few-nucleon systems and complex nuclei. Finally, in Ref. [25], the factorization property of the wave function and momentum distribution have also been shown to occur in case of nuclear matter treated within the Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone approach. In order to provide new evidence about the validity of the factorization property, we show in Figs. 10 and 11 the ratio of the factorized momentum distribution of a \(pn\) pair, Eq. (10) to the exact momentum distribution \(n_{A}^{pn}(k_{\text{rel}}, K_{\text{c.m.}}, \Theta)\), i.e the quantity

\[
R_{\text{fact/exact}}^{pn} = \frac{C_{A}^{pn}n_{D}(k_{\text{rel}})n_{A}(K_{\text{c.m.}})}{n_{A}(k_{\text{rel}}, K_{\text{c.m.}}, \Theta)}
\]  

(16)

FIG. 9: (Color online) The ratio (Eq. (16)) between the factorized distributions (Eq. (10)) and the exact ones (\(\Theta = 0^\circ\)) for \(^4\)He, \(^{12}\)C, \(^{16}\)O and \(^{40}\)Ca in correspondence of \(K_{\text{c.m.}} = 0, 0.5, 1 \text{ fm}^{-1}\). For \(^4\)He the results obtained with the Argonne momentum distributions [11] are shown by the full line.
plotted on a linear scale. It can be seen that, independently of the nuclear mass and the values of \( K_{c.m.} \), the ratio exhibits, at \( k_{rel} \gtrsim 2 \text{ fm}^{-1} \), a constant value equal to one. The scaling to one is perfect for \( A = 4, 6, 8 \) nuclei for which \textit{ab initio} VMC momentum distributions have been used, whereas it presents small oscillations for complex nuclei, a behavior that should be attributed to the approximations which have been used to solve the many-body problem.

B. Wave function factorization and the relation between the relative momentum distribution of \( pn \) pairs in nuclei and the deuteron momentum distributions

In Fig.\ref{fig:11} the two-nucleon momentum distributions of \( pn \) pairs in nuclei is compared with the deuteron momentum distribution. As already pointed out, the in-medium \( pn \) momentum distribution is a relevant quantity for the study of in-medium dynamics since it represents a unique opportunity to compare the properties of a free bound \( pn \) system with the properties of a \( pn \) system embedded in the medium. The ratio

\[
R_{pn/D}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0) = \frac{n_{pn}^{rel}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0)}{C_{A} n_{c.m.}^{rel}(K_{c.m.} = 0)} \tag{17}
\]

is presented in Fig.\ref{fig:11}(a), whereas the quantity

\[
R_{pn/D}(k_{rel}) = \frac{n_{pn}^{rel}(k_{rel})}{C_{A} n_{c.m.}^{rel}(k_{rel})} \tag{18}
\]

is shown in Figs.\ref{fig:11}(b), (c) and (d). The scaling of the Eq. \ref{eq:17} to the deuteron momentum distributions, starting from \( k_{rel} \sim 2 \text{ fm}^{-1} \) is clearly exhibited and it can also be seen that scaling of \( n_{pn}^{rel}(k_{rel}) \) also takes place (cf Eq \ref{eq:18}), but only at very large values of \( k_{rel} \gtrsim 4 \text{ fm}^{-1} \). These results are both obtained with our momentum distributions and with the VMC ones. By comparing Figs.\ref{fig:11}(a) and (b) it can be concluded that the \( pn \) momentum distribution in nuclei is governed, at high value of the relative momentum, only by the deuteron-like momentum components, \textit{i.e.} by the two-nucleon momentum distributions with \( K_{c.m.} = 0 \).

C. Wave function factorization and the relation between the one-nucleon and the two-nucleon momentum distributions. The one-nucleon momentum distribution \textit{vs} the deuteron momentum distribution

The results presented in Fig. \ref{fig:9} and Fig. \ref{fig:10} represents unquestionable evidence of the validity of the factorization property, which leads to the convolution model (CONV) of the one-nucleon spectral function and momentum distributions describing both quantities in terms of a convolution integral of the relative and c.m. momentum distributions of a correlated pair \cite{23}. Within the CONV the exact relation between the one- and two-nucleon momentum distributions, namely (\textit{e.g.} for protons)

\[
n_{A}^{p}(k_{1}) = \frac{1}{A-1} \left( \int n_{A}^{pn}(k_{1}, k_{2}) \, dk_{2} + 2 \int n_{A}^{pp}(k_{1}, k_{2}) \, dk_{2} \right) \tag{19}
\]

is represented in the correlation region at high momenta by the following convolution integrals \((k_{1} + k_{2} + k_{3} = 0, k_{3} = K_{A-2} = \pm K_{c.m.} = \pm (k_{1} + k_{2}))\)

\[
n_{A}^{p}(k_{1}) = \int n_{rel}^{pn}(k_{1} - K_{c.m.}/2) n_{c.m.}^{rel}(K_{c.m.}) \, dk_{c.m.} + 2 \int n_{rel}^{pp}(k_{1} - K_{c.m.}/2) n_{c.m.}^{rel}(K_{c.m.}) \, dk_{c.m.} \tag{20}
\]

Eq. \ref{eq:20} establishes a relation between the one-nucleon momentum distribution \( n_{A}^{p}(k_{1}) \) and the relative and c.m. momentum distributions of the \( N_{1} N_{2} \) pair \footnote{In actual calculations of Ref. \cite{22} the exact Eq. \ref{eq:20} has been approximated by using an effective two-nucleon momentum distribution.}. At large values of \( k_{1} \), such that \( k_{1} \gg K_{c.m.}/2 \), the convolution formula could in principle be approximated by

\[
n_{A}^{p}(k_{1}) \simeq n_{rel}^{pn}(k_{rel} = k_{1}) + 2 n_{rel}^{pp}(k_{rel} = k_{1}) \tag{21}
\]

which represents the contribution of back-to-back nucleons to the one-nucleon momentum distribution; Eq. \ref{eq:21} can also be expressed in the following equivalent form

\[
n_{A}^{p}(k_{1}) = \frac{n_{A}^{pn}(k_{rel} = k_{1}, K_{c.m.} = 0)}{n_{c.m.}^{rel}(K_{c.m.} = 0)} \tag{22}
\]

as well as in the form

\[
n_{A}^{p}(k_{1}) \simeq C_{A} n_{D}(k_{rel} = k_{1}) + 2 C_{A} \frac{n_{A}^{pp}(k_{rel} = k_{1}, K_{c.m.} = 0)}{n_{c.m.}^{rel}(K_{c.m.})} \tag{23}
\]

which establishes a clear-cut relation between the one-nucleon momentum distribution and the momentum distribution of the deuteron in case of pairs of nucleons with back-to-back \((K_{c.m.} = 0)\) momenta \footnote{Note that in the following, we use \( n_{c.m.}^{rel}(K_{c.m.}) = n_{c.m.}^{rel}(K_{c.m.}) \).}. Starting from a factorized wave function, a relation similar to Eq. \ref{eq:21} has been obtained in Ref. \cite{39}, where however, instead of the relative momentum distribution \( n_{rel}^{N_{1}N_{2}}(k_{rel}) = n_{rel}^{N_{1}N_{2}}(k_{rel} = k_{1}, K_{c.m.} = 0)/n_{c.m.}^{N_{1}N_{2}}(K_{c.m.} = 0) \), the \textit{K} \textit{c.m.} \textit{integrated} relative momentum distributions (Eq.\ref{eq:17}) has been used. We will
show that, as expected from Fig. 11(a) and (b), the relation between the one- and two-body momentum distribution will be numerically different. Let us first of all analyze the validity of the convolution model. In Fig. 12 a detailed analysis of the model is presented for the \(^4\)He nucleus. The following features in the region of factorization dominated by SRCs \((k \gtrsim 2 \text{ fm}^{-1})\), are worth being stressed: (i) the exact momentum distribution \(n_A^n(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.})/C_A^n\) is correctly approximated by the convolution formula (Eq. (20)) and, particularly, by its asymptotic behavior (Eq. (22)) including its deuteron-like character for the \(pn\) distribution \(i.e.\) for back-to-back SRCd nucleon pairs; (ii) the exact calculation, the calculation with the convolution formula, using there either \(C_A^n n_D(k_{rel})\) or \(n_A^n(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0)/n_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.} = 0)\) for the relative motion, yield very similar results starting from \(k_{rel} \gtrsim 2 \text{ fm}^{-1}\), whereas Eq. (22) with the \(K_{c.m.} - \text{integrated}\) relative momentum distribution reproduce \(n_A^n(k)\) only when \(k_{rel} \gtrsim 3.5 - 4 \text{ fm}^{-1}\). In order to further demonstrate the relationships of the one- and two-nucleon momentum distributions we show in Fig. 13 the ratios

\[
R_{N_1N_2/N_1}(k_1) = \frac{1}{n_A^n(k)} \left[ \frac{n_A^n(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0)}{n_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.} = 0)} \right. \\
+ \left. \frac{2n_A^n(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0)}{n_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.} = 0)} \right] 
\]  

(24)

and

\[
R_{N_1N_2/N_1}^{\text{int}}(k_1) = \frac{n_A^n(k_{rel}) + 2n_{pp}^p(k_{rel})}{n_A^n(k_1)}.
\]  

(25)
The approximation of convolution model (Eq. (21) and Eq. (22)) (Eq. (24)) as in (a).

\[ n_{A}^{p}(k) \text{ versus the convolution model (Conv) with } K_{c.m.}^{\text{max}}=2 \text{ fm}^{-1} \]

\[ n_{A}^{p}(k) = C_{A}^{p} n_{c.m.}(k) \]

\[ n_{A}^{p}(k) = n_{p}(k, K_{c.m.}=0)/n_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.}=0) \]

FIG. 12: (Color online) (a) The exact proton momentum distribution \( n_{A}^{p}(k) \) \((k_1 \equiv k)\) compared with the convolution model, Eq. (20) (Conv), calculated with two different expressions for \( n_{p}^{k} \). (b) The exact \( n_{A}^{p}(k) \) compared with: (i) the asymptotic approximation of convolution model (Eq. (21) and Eq. (22)) \((K_{c.m.} = 0)\); (ii) Eq. (21) with \( n_{p}^{k} n_{c.m.} (k_{1} = k_{rel}) \) replaced by the \( K_{c.m.} \)-integrated relative momentum distributions \( n_{A}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(k_{rel}) = \int n_{A}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}) \, dK_{c.m.} \); (iii) the convolution model (Eq. (24)) as in (a).

FIG. 13: (Color online) The ratio of the full two-nucleon momentum distribution \( n_{pn} + 2n_{pp} \) to the one-nucleon momentum distribution \( n_{p}^{A} \) for \(^4\text{He}\) (a) and \(^{12}\text{C}\) (b) calculated using in the numerator the relative two-nucleon distributions \( n_{A}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(k_{rel}) = k_{1}, K_{c.m.} = 0)/n_{c.m.} (K_{c.m.} = 0) \) (Eq. (24), open dots), and (ii) the \( K_{c.m.} \)-integrated two-nucleon momentum distributions \( n_{A}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(k_{rel}) \) (Eq. (24), full squares); in both cases the numerator is the one-nucleon momentum distribution. The solid line denotes the results obtained with the Argonne VMC wave function \( 11 \).

where in both quantities \( n_{p}^{A} \) is the exact proton momentum distribution and the numerators differ in that in Eq. (24) back-to-back nucleon distributions are considered, unlike the case of Eq. (25) where the \( K_{c.m.} \)-integrated relative momentum distributions are adopted. The regions of validity of the two cases, both corresponding to \( k_{1} \approx k_{rel}, \text{ i.e. } K_{c.m.} \approx 0 \) are determined by a constant value of the ratios. As expected from the results presented in Figs. 11 and 12, Eq. (24) is unity in a wider range of momenta. The results presented in Fig. 13 pro-
vide further evidence of the validity of both the factorization property and the convolution model, and tells us that when the ratios equals one, the one-nucleon momentum distribution is dominated by back-to-back configurations with \( k_1 = -k_2 = k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0 \). Concerning the relationship of the one-nucleon momentum distributions and the momentum distributions of the deuteron, as already illustrated, this is given by Eq. (23). However, by plotting the ratio of the one-nucleon momentum distribution to the momentum distributions of the deuteron \( R_{A/D}(k_1) = \frac{n_A^1(k_1)}{n_D(k_1)} \) the relationships between the two quantities can be exhibited in more detail, as quantitatively illustrated in Ref. [17]. There it has been shown that \( N_{A/D}(k_1) \) never becomes constant, which means that \( n_A^1(k_1) \) is not linearly proportional to \( n_D(k_1) \); this is mostly due to the contribution of the \( pp \) distribution, which increases with increasing momentum \( k_1 \), and to the c.m. motion of a \( pn \) pair in the nucleus and only if \( pp \) contributions are disregarded and only back-to-back \( pn \) pairs are considered, one indeed obtains that in the region \( k_1 \gtrsim 2 \text{fm}^{-1} \), \( n_A^0(k_1) \simeq C^p n_D(k_1) \). The relation between the nucleon momentum distribution of nucleus \( A \) and the deuteron momentum distribution, has been and is still being used in the treatment of SRCs. Still now the proportionality of the nuclear momentum distribution to the momentum distribution of the deuteron is sometimes assumed, which is equivalent to the statement that the high momentum content of the nucleus is fully determined by the two-nucleon state \( (ST) = (10) \). In the past realistic calculations of the nuclear momentum distributions at high momenta could not be performed with sufficient accuracy and the similarity of the deuteron and the nuclear momentum distribution has been simply assumed, e.g. in the early VMC calculations [12] or in the development of workable models of the spectral function for complex nuclei [25]. Recent advanced calculations of the one- and two-body momentum distributions [3, 10, 11, 14, 17], including the results of the present paper, show that also states different from the deuteron one, namely the states (01) and (11), do contribute to the high momentum part of the momentum distributions, demonstrating, in the case of the state (11), that a considerable number of two-nucleon states with odd value of the relative orbital momentum is present in the realistic ground-state wave function of nuclei.

### D. Wave function factorization and the nuclear contacts

The concept of contact, introduced by Tan in Ref. [38], to describe the short-range behavior of two unlike electrons in a two-component Fermi gas, has been recently discussed within the context of SRCs in nuclei (see e.g. Refs. [38, 40]). Although a detailed discussion of this topic is outside the aim of the present paper and will be discussed elsewhere, it is nevertheless useful to stress here that the contacts: (i) are quantities that measure the probability to find two particles at short relative distances \( [38, 39] \) and, (ii) they are obtained, both in atomic and nuclear systems, by postulating a factorized wave functions of the form of Eq. (15) [39]. For these reasons, the quantity \( C_A^m \) we have obtained, measuring the probability to have SRCd back-to-back \( pn \) pairs, represent nuclear \( pn \) contacts.\(^7\)

### V. On the number of high-momentum short-range correlated nucleon-nucleon pairs in nuclei

Having at disposal the two-nucleon momentum distributions, the absolute values of the number of SRCd pairs, \( i.e. \) the integral of the two-nucleon momentum distributions in a given relative and c.m. momentum region, can be calculated and, as in the case of the deuteron, a proper definition of the probability of SRCs in a nucleus can be given. However in a complex nucleus the two-nucleon momentum distributions depend upon three variables so that, as pointed out in Ref. [17], there is a certain degree of ambiguity in providing a clear-cut definition of the probability of SRCs in terms of an integral of the two-nucleon momentum distributions. In the case of the deuteron, which is described only in terms of a back-to-back (BB) configuration \( (k_{rel} \equiv k, k_{c.m.} = 0) \), a commonly adopted definition of the probability of SRCs is given by the integral of the momentum distribution \( n_D(k) \) in the interval \( 1.5 \leq k \leq \infty \text{fm}^{-1} \), which is the region dominated by the high momentum components generated by the repulsive core and by the deuteron D-wave produced by the tensor force. Therefore in the deuteron the total number of \( pn \) pairs is \( N_D = 1 \), and the number of back-to-back (BB) SRCd \( pn \) pairs is

\[
N_D^{BB} = 4\pi \int_{k_{rel} = 1.5}^{\infty} n_D(k) k^2 \, dk = N_D^{BB} \approx 0.036, \tag{26}
\]

\( i.e. \) only 4\% of the \( pn \) pair is SRCd (such a percentage corresponds to the AV18 interaction). The extent to which such a probability will differ in a complex nucleus is a relevant issue, for it can provide information on medium effects on short-range \( pn \) dynamics. For this reason, a similar definition, \( i.e. \) the integral of the relative momentum distribution in the range \( k_{rel} \gtrsim 1.5 \text{fm}^{-1} \), might also be introduced in the case of a complex nucleus, keeping however in mind that in a nucleus all possible values of \( K_{c.m.} \) and \( \Theta \), as well as all four spin-isospin

\(^7\) It should be stressed that in case of nuclei four contacts, depending upon the spin-isospin state of the pair, can be defined; moreover, the contacts may be defined to depend upon the center-of-mass of the correlated pair, namely for a fixed value of the c.m. momentum, for back-to-back nucleons, as well as for the \( K_{c.m.} \)-integrated momentum distributions.
TABLE II: The number of back-to-back (BB) proton-neutron (pn) and proton-proton (pp) pairs (Eq. (27)) and the integrated momentum distribution of BB SRCd pairs (Eq. (28)) and the percent probability $P_{N_{1N_2}^{SRC, BB}}^{BB} = 100 N_{N_{1N_2}^{SRC, BB}}^{BB} / N_{N_{1N_2}^{BB}}^{BB}$. Microscopic wave functions corresponding to the AV18 interaction [34] and complex nuclei [15]. In brackets the values obtained with the VMC momentum distributions of Ref. [11], which are calculated with AV18+UX interaction.

(continued)
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FIG. 14: (Color online) (a): The ratio $N_{pn}^{SRC, BB}/N_{pp}^{SRC, BB}$ using the wave functions of the present work (cf. Table I) and the VMC results of ref [11]. (b): the same as in (a) for the $K_{cm}$-integrated momentum distribution (cf. Table III).

(ST) values of the pair (mostly (10), (01), and (11)), contribute to the momentum distributions, as demonstrated in Refs. [7,8,17] and [11]. We will consider the following quantities: 1. The total number of back-to-back $N_{1N_2}^{BB}$ pairs, $N_{1N_2}^{BB}(K_{cm} = 0)$, resulting from the integration of the pair relative momentum and the total number of the short-range correlated back-to-back $N_{1N_2}^{SRC, BB}$ pairs, $N_{1N_2}^{SRC, BB}(K_{cm} = 0, k_{rel} \geq 1.5)$, that are given, respectively by

$$N_{1N_2}^{BB}(K_{cm} = 0) = 4 \pi \int_0^{K_{rel}} n_{A}^{N_{1N_2}}(k_{rel}, K_{cm} = 0) k_{rel}^2 dk_{rel}$$

$$N_{1N_2}^{SRC, BB}(K_{cm} = 0, k_{rel} \geq 1.5) = 4 \pi \int_{1.5}^{\infty} n_{A}^{N_{1N_2}}(k_{rel}, K_{cm} = 0) k_{rel}^2 dk_{rel}$$

In both Eqs. (27) and (28), whose values are shown in Table I, the quantity $n_{A}^{N_{1N_2}}(k_{rel}, K_{cm} = 0)$ is the one shown in Figs. I5. It can be seen from Table I

Note that Eqs. (27) and (28) have the dimension of $fm^3$ provided by the c.m. momentum distribution at $K_{cm} = 0$. We call them anyway number of particles for back-to-back pairs.
|       | \(^2\text{H}\) | \(^3\text{He}\) | \(^4\text{He}\) |
|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| \(N_{N_1N_2}^{\text{SRC}B}\) | \(N_{N_1N_2}^{\text{SRC}B}\) | \(N_{N_1N_2}^{\text{SRC}B}\) | \(N_{N_1N_2}^{\text{SRC}B}\) |
| \(P_{N_1N_2}^{\text{SRC}B}\) (\%) | \(P_{N_1N_2}^{\text{SRC}B}\) (\%) | \(P_{N_1N_2}^{\text{SRC}B}\) (\%) | \(P_{N_1N_2}^{\text{SRC}B}\) (\%) |
| \(\text{pn}\) | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| | 0.036 | 0.093 | 0.243 |
| | (3.6) | (4.7) | (8.3) |
| \(\text{pp}\) | - | 1 | 1 |
| | - | 0.025 | 1.052 |
| | - | - | - |

**TABLE III:** The total number of pairs \(N_{N_1N_2}\) (Eq. (26)), the total number of SRCd pairs \(N_{N_1N_2}^{\text{SRC}B}\), Eq. (29) (in case of deuteron Eq. (30)) and their percent probability \(P_{N_1N_2}^{\text{SRC}B}\). Microscopic wave functions corresponding to the AV18 interaction \(32\) for \(^2\text{H}\) and \(^3\text{He}\) \(32\) \(33\) and the AV8' interaction \(36\) for \(^4\text{He}\) \(34\) and complex nuclei \(12\). The values in brackets correspond to the VMC wave functions of Ref. \([11]\).

|       | \(^2\text{H}\) | \(^3\text{He}\) | \(^4\text{He}\) |
|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| \(R_{pp}\) (\%) | \(R_{pp}\) (\%) | \(R_{pp}\) (\%) | \(R_{pp}\) (\%) |
| THE | 100 | 0 | 89.3 |
| EXP | - | - | - |

|       | \(^4\text{He}\) | \(^{12}\text{C}\) |
|-------|----------------|----------------|
| \(R_{pp}\) (\%) | \(R_{pp}\) (\%) | \(R_{pp}\) (\%) |
| THE | 93.2 | 5.43 | 5.83 |
| EXP | 87.0±14.1 | 3.9±1.5 | 5.1±2.6 |

|       | \(^{16}\text{O}\) | \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) |
|-------|----------------|----------------|
| \(R_{pp}\) (\%) | \(R_{pp}\) (\%) | \(R_{pp}\) (\%) |
| THE | 97.9 | 5.05 | 5.15 |
| EXP | - | - | - |

**TABLE IV:** The percent ratio of the \(pn\) and \(pp\) short-range correlated BB pairs with respect to the total number of correlated pairs and the percent ratio of \(pp\) to \(pn\) pairs (Eq. (31)) at \(k_{rel} = 2.5\, fm^{-1}\), calculated using the back-to-back momentum distributions shown in Figs. \([11\) \] \([16\) \] \]. Experimental data for \(^{12}\text{C}\) from Refs. \([26\) \] \([29\) \] \] \] \] \] and for \(^4\text{He}\) from Ref. \([30\) \] \].

that for nuclei with \(A > 4\) an appreciable decrease of the percent probabilities \(P_{N_1N_2}^{\text{SRC}B}\) of back-to-back proton-neutron (\(pn\)) and proton-proton (\(pp\)) nucleons does occur with increasing values of \(A\), which can be explained by the similar values of \(n_{\text{pn}}^{\text{pp}}\) (\(K_{c.m.} = 0\)) for \(A \geq 12\) and, at the same time, the substantial increase of the value of the number of back-to-back proton-neutron and proton-proton nucleons \(N_{N_1N_2}^{\text{BM}}\) (Eq. (27));

2. The total number of SRCd pairs defined as the integral in the entire region of variation of \(K_{c.m}\), and in the region of the relative momentum with \(k_{rel} \gtrsim 1.5\, fm^{-1}\), i.e.,

\[
N_{N_1N_2}^{\text{SRC}}(k_{rel} = 1.5) = \int_{1.5}^{\infty} d^3 k_{rel} \int_0^{\infty} d^3 K_{c.m.} n_{N_1N_2}^{N_{N_1N_2}}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}) = 4\pi \int_{1.5}^{\infty} k_{rel}^2 d k_{rel} n_{N_1N_2}^{N_{N_1N_2}}(k_{rel}) \quad (29)
\]

This quantity is compared with the total number of pairs given by

\[
N_{N_1N_2} = 4\pi \int_0^{\infty} k_{rel}^2 d k_{rel} n_{N_1N_2}^{N_{N_1N_2}}(k_{rel}) \quad (30)
\]

16
The results are listed in Table II. The values of \(N_{\pi N}^{\pi N}(k_{rel} = 1.5)\) include both two-nucleon SRCs (2NSRCs), as well as many-nucleon SRCs generated by the hard high-momentum tail (\(K_{c.m.} \gtrsim 1\)) of the c.m. distributions. Note, moreover, that the number of SRCd pairs is the largest one in this case since the entire variation of \(K_{c.m.}\) is taken into account; also worth being stressed is the almost constant value of the probability for \(A \geq 12\) which is due to the same rate of increase of the number of correlated pairs and the total numbers of \(pN\) pairs \(N_{pN}\). It can be seen from Fig. (11b) that in the region \(1.5 \lesssim k_{rel} \lesssim 3.5 \text{fm}^{-1}\) the momentum components with \(K_{c.m.} \neq 0\) are important in \(n_A^{pp}(k_{rel})\). The main results of Table II and III are summarized in Fig. 14 whose main features should be stressed as follows:

1. because of the \(pn\) tensor dominance (see Figs. 11c, 11e) the number of SRCd \(pn\) pairs in few-nucleon systems and \(A < 12\) is larger than the number of \(pp\) pairs by about a factor twenty, whereas in medium-weight iso-scalar nuclei it is larger by about a factor ten, to be compared with a factor of two \((2Z/(Z-1))\), which is predicted by the naive pair-number ratio;

2. when the total, \(K_{c.m.-}\)integrated number of pairs is considered, the value of the \(pn/pp\) ratio strongly decreases to a factor of about two, due to the role played by the c.m. high momentum components, as it can easily be understood by comparing Figs. 11(c), 12(c) with Figs. 11(d), 12(d) and Figs. 11(b), 12(b) with Figs. 13(c), 13(c).

**VI. SHORT-RANGE CORRELATIONS: THEORETICAL PREDICTIONS VS EXPERIMENTAL DATA**

Experimental investigation of SRCs is a complicated task mainly due to the small value of the involved cross sections and the effects of FSI that makes it difficult to reconstruct the initial correlated state. Nonetheless, experimental progress has been recently achieved thanks to the use of intense lepton beams and the development of advanced detector techniques. Nowadays it became possible to investigate quasi-elastic \(A(e,e'N_1)X\) and \(A(e,e'N_1N_2)X\) processes at high value of \(Q^2\) and Bjorken scaling variable \(x_B > 1\), a region where: (i) the contribution from non-nucleonic degrees of freedom is suppressed, (ii) the effects from initial-state SRCs are emphasized (see Refs. 19, 22), and (iii) the theoretical treatment of FSI has reached high degree of sophistication 19, 22. Several SRC properties that have been experimentally investigated deserve a comparison with theoretical calculations which is presented here-below.

**A. The percent ratios of different kinds of \(N_1N_2\) pairs in \(^4\text{He}\) and \(^{12}\text{C}\) and their missing momentum dependence**

SRCs in \(^4\text{He}\) and \(^{12}\text{C}\) have been recently investigated 26-31 within the following kinematical region 9: the squared four-momentum transfer \(Q^2 \approx 2\text{(GeV/c)}^2\), the Bjorken scaling variable \(x_B = 1.2\) and the three-momentum transfer \(|q| \equiv q \approx 1.6\text{GeV/c}\). Information on the short-range momentum distribution of correlated pairs has been obtained by the following procedure: triple coincidence processes \(^{12}\text{C}(p,p'pN)X\) and \(^{12}\text{C}(e,e'pN)X\) have been performed by detecting, in coincidence with the struck, leading protons with high momentum \(p\), protons and neutrons moving with recoil momentum \(p_{rec} = q - p\) along a direction that, within the plane wave impulse approximation (PWIA), would coincide with the direction opposite to the momentum that the struck nucleon had before interaction with the projectile. Specifically, within the PWIA, if before interaction the struck proton had a momentum \(k_1\), the leading proton would have a momentum \(p = k_1 + q\) and the known missing momentum would be \(p_m = q - p = -k_1\). Therefore, if the struck proton "1" was partner of a correlated nucleon "2" with momentum \(k_2 \approx -k_1\), in coincidence with the leading proton a recoiling nucleon "3" with momentum \(p_{rec} = p_m = -k_1 \approx k_2\) should be observed along the direction opposite to \(p_m\). In Refs. 26-31 the processes \(A(p,p'p)X, A(e,e'p)X, A(p,p'pp)X, A(e,e'pn)X\) and \(A(e,e'pp)X\) have been investigated by detecting mainly back-to-back \(pp\) and \(pn\) nucleons in the range \(1.5 \lesssim p_m \lesssim 3\text{ fm}^{-1}\) in \(^{12}\text{C}\), and \(1.5 \lesssim p_m \lesssim 4\text{ fm}^{-1}\) in \(^4\text{He}\). Within such a kinematic set-up, the percent ratios of the cross sections pertaining to \(pp\) and \(pn\) pairs have been extracted. Using the two-nucleon relative momentum distributions shown in Figs. 14 corresponding to BB nucleons (\(K_{c.m.} = 0\)), we have calculated the following quantities:

\[
R_{pn}(k_{rel}) = \frac{n_A^{pn}}{n_A^{pp}} \equiv \frac{pn}{p};
\]

\[
R_{pp}(k_{rel}) = \frac{n_A^{pp}}{n_A^{pp}} \equiv \frac{pp}{p};
\]

\[
R_{pp;pn}(k_{rel}) = \frac{n_A^{pp}}{n_A^{pp}} \equiv \frac{pp}{pn};
\]

where \(n_A^{pn} \equiv n_A^{pn}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0)/n_A^{pp}(K_{c.m.} = 0)\) and \(n_A^{pp} \equiv n_A^{pp}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0)/n_A^{pp}(K_{c.m.} = 0)\). Here \(n_A^{N_1N_2}\) is related to the process \(A(e,e'N_1N_2)X\) and \(n_A^{pp}\) to the process \(A(e,e'pp)X\), which includes the contributions from \(pn\) and \(pp\) SRCs according to Eq. (19), therefore the ratios \(pn/p\) and \(pp/p\) represent essentially the percent ratios of the SRCd \(pp\) and \(pn\) pairs with respect to

\[9\] The same notations as in Ref. 3 are adopted here.
FIG. 15: (Color online) (a): the experimental percent of the \( pN \) BB pair fraction \( pp/pn \), vs the missing momentum \( p_m \), extracted from the processes \( {}^4\text{He}(e,e'pn)X \) \cite{30} and \( {}^{12}\text{C}(e,e'pp)X \) \cite{28,29} compared with the quantity \( R_{pp/pn}(k_{rel}, 0) = n_{pp}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0)/n_{pn}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0) \) calculated in the present paper (full line). The open squares show the results obtained with the Argonne momentum distributions \cite{11}. (b): the same ratio as in (a) calculated within two different approaches: (i) full line: \( n_{A=4}^{pp}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0)/n_{A=4}^{pn}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0) \); (ii) dashed line: \( \int_0^\infty n_{pp}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.})K_{c.m.}^2 dK_{c.m.} \) \[/\int_0^\infty n_{pn}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.})K_{c.m.}^2 dK_{c.m.} \].

FIG. 16: (Color online) The experimental percent of SRC fractions in \( {}^4\text{He} \) (a) and \( {}^{12}\text{C} \) (b) compared with theoretical ratios of momentum distributions within the assumption \( p_m \approx k_{rel} \) and \( K_{c.m.} = 0 \). Momentum distributions from the present work and from Argonne VMC calculation \cite{11}. All experimental data are from Ilab (\cite{27-31}), except the one represented by the magenta point for \( {}^{12}\text{C} \) that was obtained BNL \cite{24}. In (b) the three theoretical curves have been obtained in the present work and correspond to \( pp/p \) (full), \( pn/p \) (dashed) and \( pp/pn \) (dot-dashed), respectively.

the total number of SRCd pairs. The quantities in Eq. \cite{11} have been compared with the experimental data by assuming that \( p_m \approx k_{rel} \), a procedure that implies the validity of the PWIA, or, at least, the cancellation of the FSI in the ratios. The comparison is presented in Table IV and in Figs. 13 and 14. A general agreement between
Theoretical and experimental percent ratios appears to hold. Since the experiments have been performed in a momentum region where factorization of the wavefunctions is at work, the effects of the c.m. motion largely cancel out in the ratios. As for the effects of the FSI the experimental kinematics set-up is compatible with the assumption of FSI effects confined within the correlated pair, leading also in this case to some kind of cancelation in the ratio (see e.g. [21, 19, 22]). Concerning the results presented in these Figures the following comments are in order:

1. our results for $^4\text{He}$ do not practically differs from the ones obtained with the Argonne distributions;

2. in $^4\text{He}$ the increase with $p_m = |p_m|$ of the $pp/pn$ ratio can be explained with the increasing role of the repulsive NN interaction with respect to the tensor one (cf Fig. 2(c)); However, in spite of this satisfactory agreement, an advanced theoretical approach including FSI is desirable; preliminary results from Ref. [21, 22], quoted in [30] seem to correct the PWIA in the right direction;

3. the results presented in Fig. 15(b) show that the ratio calculated at $K_{c.m.} = 0$ or integrated by averaging over all direction of $K_{c.m.}$, practically do not differ, which is another manifestation of factorization since the $pp$ and $pn$ c.m. momentum distributions are essentially the same.

**B. The c.m. momentum distribution of correlated pairs in $^4\text{He}$ and $^{12}\text{C}$**

The c.m. momentum distributions of a correlated $pn$ pair relative to the spectator nucleus $A - 2$ in $^4\text{He}$ and $^{12}\text{C}$ has been determined in Refs. [28] and [30] by analyzing the distribution of events in the process $A(e, e'pN)X$ as a function of the cosine of the opening angle $\gamma$ between $p_m$ and $p_{rec}$ which, in PWIA, is the angle between $K_1$ and $K_2$. The results of the analysis of the experimental data, corrected for the detector acceptance, are shown in Fig. 17 where the theoretical momentum distributions are also shown. It turns out [11] that, once the theoretical curves are corrected taking into account the finite acceptance of the detectors they nicely agree with the experimental momentum distributions.

**VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper we have investigated in-medium short-range nucleon-nucleon dynamics by calculating various kinds of two-nucleon momentum distribution in few-nucleon system and selected isoscalar nuclei with $A \leq 40$. To this end calculations have been performed within a parameter-free many-body approach which, even if not fully ab initio, turned out to be capable to treat high momentum components in nuclei with $A \geq 12$, for which advanced VMC approaches with bare strongly repulsive local interactions, are unfortunately not yet feasible. The method, based upon a linked cluster expansion of one- and two-nucleon, diagonal and non-diagonal, density matrices, has been previously used to calculate the ground-state energy [15] and the momentum distributions [16, 17]. In this paper we have performed a detailed analysis of the two-nucleon momentum distributions $n_{A,N}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}, \Theta)$ at various values of $k_{rel}$, $K_{c.m.}$ and $\Theta$, as well as of the two-nucleon relative, $n_{A,N}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(k_{rel})$, and center-of-mass, $n_{A,N}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(k_{c.m.})$, momentum distributions of proton-neutron and proton-proton pairs. The results of our calculations show that a fundamental property of the nuclear wave function at short inter-nucleon separations turns out to be its factorization into the relative and the c.m. coordinates, a property which has been previously theoretically illustrated in the case of nuclear matter [23] and few-nucleon systems [24]. Such a property is a very relevant one, for it fully governs the high momentum behavior of two-nucleon momentum distributions generated by short-range correlations. In particular, the following properties of in-medium two-nucleon dynamics, resulting from wave-function factorization, are worth being stressed:

1. in the region of relative distances $r_{ij} \gtrsim 1 - 1.5 \text{ fm}^{-1}$, nucleons “i” and “j” move independently in a mean field, with average relative momentum $k_{rel} \lesssim 1.5 - 2.0 \text{ fm}^{-1}$, without any particular difference between $pp$ and $pn$ distributions, apart from those due to the coulomb interaction; however, as soon as the relative distance decreases down to a value of $r_{ij} \lesssim 1 - 1.5 \text{ fm}^{-1}$, the two nucleons start feeling the details of the NN interaction, in particular the tensor force which makes the $pn$ and $pp$ motions to appreciably differ, with the difference decreasing at shorter distances, where the strong NN repulsive part of the local NN interaction dominates. In the SRC regions, characterized by a large content of high momentum components, thanks to the decoupling of the c.m. and the relative motions, also the two-nucleon momentum distribution, independently of the mass of the nucleus, factorizes into a relative and a c.m. parts; in particular, in the case of $pn$ pairs one has $n_{A}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}, \Theta) \sim C_{A}^{pn} n_{D}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(k_{rel}) n_{c.m.}^{N_{1},N_{2}}(K_{c.m.})$, where $C_{A}^{pn}$ is an $A$-dependent constant, the nuclear contact, which counts the number of deuteron-like pairs in nucleus $A$, and $n_{D}(k_{rel})$ is the deuteron momentum distribution; we have shown that the deuteron-like factorized form is valid only at low values of the c.m. momentum, $K_{c.m.} \lesssim 1 - 1.5 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ and, at the same time, at high values of the relative pair momentum $k_{rel} > k_{rel} \sim 2 \text{ fm}^{-1}$, with the value of $k_{rel}$ increasing with the value of $K_{c.m.}$; thus, the dynamics of in-medium $pn$ pairs can, to a large extent, be described as the dynamics of the motion in the nu-
agreement with the three curves in Fig. 17(b). 

The experimental data can be explained by a Gaussian distribution \( n / a^{30} \) and \( n / a^{28} \) from the processes \( ^4He(e,e'pn)X \) and \( ^{12}C(e,e'pp)X \). \( \gamma \) is the angle between \( p_m \) and \( p_e \), which in PWIA is the angle between \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \). The values of \( K_{c.m.} \) have been obtained assuming \( k_2 = -k_1 \). The theoretical curves correspond to the momentum distributions of Ref. (Argonne) and (CS). The experimental data are given in arbitrary units and the theoretical calculations were normalized at the lowest available experimental point. Note that the discrepancy between the experimental data and the theoretical calculations in the case of \(^{12}C\) is not a real one, since the latter, unlike the former, do not take into account the finite acceptance and resolution of the detectors. Indeed, when these are taken into account, the data can be explained by a Gaussian distribution \( n_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.}) = (\alpha / \pi)^{1/2} \exp(-\alpha K_{c.m.}^2) \) with \( \alpha_{exp} = 0.97 \pm 0.19 \) \( fm^{-2} \) in agreement with the three curves in Fig. 17(b).

![Diagram](image)

**FIG. 17:** (Color online) The c.m. momentum distribution of a \( pn \) pair in \(^4He\) (a) and a \( pp \) pair in \(^{12}C\) (b) extracted in Refs. 30 and 28 from the processes \(^4He(e,e'pn)X\) and \(^{12}C(e,e'pp)X\). \( \gamma \) is the angle between \( p_m \) and \( p_e \), which in PWIA is the angle between \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \). The values of \( K_{c.m.} \) have been obtained assuming \( k_2 = -k_1 \). The theoretical curves correspond to the momentum distributions of Ref. (Argonne) and (CS). The experimental data are given in arbitrary units and the theoretical calculations were normalized at the lowest available experimental point. Note that the discrepancy between the experimental data and the theoretical calculations in the case of \(^{12}C\) is not a real one, since the latter, unlike the former, do not take into account the finite acceptance and resolution of the detectors. Indeed, when these are taken into account, the data can be explained by a Gaussian distribution \( n_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.}) = (\alpha / \pi)^{1/2} \exp(-\alpha K_{c.m.}^2) \) with \( \alpha_{exp} = 0.97 \pm 0.19 \) \( fm^{-2} \) in agreement with the three curves in Fig. 17(b).

2. within the above picture, arising from the factorization property of the momentum distributions, the ratio \( n_A^{pn}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0) / [n_D(k_{rel})n_{c.m.}^{pn}(K_{c.m.} = 0)] \) at high relative values of \( k_{rel} \) should become a constant equal to \( C_A^{pn} \), which is indeed the case; thus the theoretical values of the contacts \( C_A^{pn} \), which have been determined by plotting the ratio vs \( k_{rel} \), are completely free from any adjustable phenomenological parameter, for they are entirely defined in terms of many-body quantities that are fixed by the choice of the NN interaction and by the way the many-body problem is solved. This is true for all nuclei considered, both within our cluster expansion approach and the VMC \textit{ab initio} calculation. The values of \( C_A^{pn} \) range from about 2 in \(^3He\) to about 60 in \(^{40}Ca\); for \(^3He\) the value of \( C_A^{pn} \) is less by about 20% than the value obtained with the VMC momentum distribution; such a difference should be ascribed both to the different Hamiltonian (V8' NN interaction in our case and AV18 in Ref. [11]) and to the different variational wave functions; this point is under quantitative investigation;

3. for all nuclei that have been considered we found that when \( k_{rel} \geq 2 fm^{-1} \), the ratio \( n_A^{pn}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.} = 0) / [C_A^{pn} n_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.})] \) practically does not differ from the deuteron momentum distribution \( n_D(k_{rel}) \), which is a further clear evidence of factorization of \( n_A^{pn}(k_{rel}, K_{c.m.}, \Theta) \); factorization also occurs when the numerator of the ratio is replaced by the \( K_{c.m.}-\)integrated momentum distribution \( n_A^{pn}(k_{rel}) \), obtaining the ratio \( n_A^{pn}(k_{rel}) / [C_A^{pn} n_{c.m.}(K_{c.m.})] \); this however is only true at very high values of \( k_{rel} \geq 4 fm^{-1} \); this means that at \( k_{rel} \geq 4 fm^{-1} \) \( n_A^{pn}(k_{rel}) \) is dominated by the deuteron-like components with \( K_{c.m.} = 0 \), whereas at lower values of \( K_{c.m.} \) also the c.m. components with \( K_{c.m.} \neq 0 \) contribute;

4. we have considered the relationships between the one-nucleon and the two-nucleon momentum distribution, a topic recently discussed in Ref. [39]. To this end we have compared three different approaches, namely: (i) the one in which only back-to-back \( (K_{c.m.} = 0) \) correlated nucleons are considered; (ii) the convolution model developed in
shown to occur also in light nuclei [54]; (iii) the approach of Ref. 39, where the two-nucleon momentum distributions are considered in the asymptotic limit $k_1 \gg K_{c.m.}$; our results demonstrate that in all of the three approaches the one-nucleon momentum distribution can be expressed, to a large extent, in terms of a proper sum of the $pn$ and $pp$ distributions, starting from a value of the one-nucleon momentum $k_1 \geq 2 \, fm^{-1}$, within approaches (i) and (ii) and starting at $k_1 \geq 4 \, fm^{-1}$, within approach (iii);

5. the two-nucleon momentum distributions have been used to calculate the absolute values of the number of SRCd $pn$ and $pp$ pairs in the considered nuclei; in particular we have calculated the number of BB SRCd pairs, defined by the integral of the two-nucleon momentum distribution in correspondence of $K_{c.m.} = 0$ (and (similar to the deuteron case) in the relative momentum range $1.5 < k_{rel} < \infty \, fm^{-1}$, finding in complex nuclei a number of BB SRCd $pn$ pairs larger than the number of $pp$ pairs by about a factor of 10; concerning the numbers of SRCd nucleons it should be stressed that in our approach the one- and two-nucleon momentum distribution satisfy the exact relationship provided by Eq. (19), which is valid in the entire region of momentum $0 < k_1 < \infty \, fm^{-1}$, so that the obtained two-nucleon momentum distributions provide a percent ratio of SRCd nucleons to the total number of nucleons in the range of 16-20 %, if SRCs are defined with respect to a pure independent-particle shell-model description.

6. The dependence upon $k_{rel}$ and $K_{c.m.}$ of the two-nucleon momentum distributions of $^4$He and $^{12}$C in the region of SRCs is in good agreement with available experimental data [20][31], and so are the c.m. distributions.

Several aspects of the above picture, which we have shown to occur also in light nuclei ($A \leq 12$) treated within the VMC approach [11], have already been experimentally confirmed, whereas some others, concerning in particular the values of the nuclear contact in various spin-isospin states, deserve further theoretical and experimental investigations. Finally, we would like to stress that our approach provides momentum distributions that in some momentum regions are lower by 15-20 % than the ones calculated with the VMC momentum distributions; as already pointed out, this can be attributed partly to the different Hamiltonian used in the two approaches, and partly to the different variational wave functions; this point is under investigation. To conclude, our approach turned out to be accurate enough to describe the main features of SRCs in few-nucleon systems and iso-scalar nuclei with $A \leq 40$, so that it should deserve the extension to different types of NN interaction models differing, particularly, in the short range behavior, and should be applied to heavier neutron-rich nuclei, whose investigation presents several interesting aspects [31][13].
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