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Featured Application: This comprehensive overview of drug-resistant tuberculosis will be useful for researchers to expand their knowledge beyond mechanisms other than chromosomal mutations, and for the development of novel drugs/drug combinations, hoping to shorten the therapy of the disease.

Abstract: The control of tuberculosis (TB) is hampered by the emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb) strains, defined as resistant to at least isoniazid and rifampin, the two bactericidal drugs essential for the treatment of the disease. Due to the worldwide estimate of almost half a million incident cases of MDR/rifampin-resistant TB, it is important to continuously update the knowledge on the mechanisms involved in the development of this phenomenon. Clinical, biological and microbiological reasons account for the generation of resistance, including: (i) nonadherence of patients to their therapy, and/or errors of physicians in therapy management, (ii) complexity and poor vascularization of granulomatous lesions, which obstruct drug distribution to some sites, resulting in resistance development, (iii) intrinsic drug resistance of tubercle bacilli, (iv) formation of non-replicating, drug-tolerant bacilli inside the granulomas, (v) development of mutations in Mtb genes, which are the most important molecular mechanisms of resistance. This review provides a comprehensive overview of these issues, and releases up-dated information on the therapeutic strategies recently endorsed and recommended by the World Health Organization to facilitate the clinical and microbiological management of drug-resistant TB at the global level, with attention also to the most recent diagnostic methods.
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1. Introduction

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb) is the etiologic agent of tuberculosis (TB), the leading cause of death from a single infectious disease agent worldwide [1]. In 2018, the World Health Organization (WHO) estimates of the global burden of TB were 10 million cases and 1.45 million deaths. Furthermore, about 1.7 billion people are known to be latently infected with Mtb, with about 10% of them reactivating to active TB in their lifetime. The current antibiotic treatment of active, drug-susceptible TB, requires administration of a combination therapy for 6 months, including the first-line drugs rifampin (RIF), isoniazid (INH), pyrazinamide (PZA) and ethambutol (EMB) for 2 months, followed by RIF and INH for 4 months. To prevent reactivation of latent TB, a long treatment is also used, consisting of at least 6 months of INH, or 3 to 4 months of RIF plus INH [1,2].

Poor regimen selection, inadequate drug supply and poor adherence of patients to the 6-months therapy may lead to development of drug-resistant Mtb strains, including multidrug-resistant (MDR: resistant at least to INH and RIF) and extensively-drug-resistant (XDR) strains [MDR resistant to a
fluoroquinolone (FQ) and a second-line injectable drug [kanamycin (KM), amikacin (AM), capreomycin (CM)] [3]. Shortening the duration of therapy could increase adherence to treatment and reduce development of MDR and XDR TB.

The goal of this Review is to give a comprehensive overview of the interplay of clinical, biological and microbiological factors involved in the development of drug-resistant TB.

2. Epidemiology of Drug Resistant TB

WHO reported that in 2018 there were an estimated 484,000 incident cases of MDR/rifampin-resistant (RR) TB cases, including about 378,000 MDR-TB cases and 214,000 deaths [1]. The average proportion of MDR-TB cases with XDR-TB was 6.2%. The countries accounting for 50% of the global burden of MDR/RR-TB were India (27%), China (14%) and the Russian Federation (9%). Among 24 countries with a high TB or MDR-TB burden and representative data to second-line drugs, the proportion of MDR/RR TB cases with resistance to any FQ including ofloxacin (OFL), levofloxacin (LFX) and moxifloxacin (MFX) was 20.8%. At the global level, 3.4% of new cases (patients never treated with anti-TB medicines, or treated for < 1 month) and 18% of previously treated cases (patients treated for ≥ 1 month in the past) had MDR/RR-TB, with the highest proportion occurring in the former Soviet Union (FSU) countries. In the low incidence countries of the European Economic Area, the MDR-TB was more prevalent among migrants (particularly from the FSU) than the native population [4,5].

3. Recent WHO Recommendations for the Treatment of MDR/RR TB

In the last decades, WHO made great efforts to facilitate and improve the treatment of patients with MDR-TB in high burden countries using various actions including the Directly Observed Treatment Strategy (DOTS)-Plus, to stress the use of second-line drugs in low- and middle-income settings, but the cure rate was lower than the WHO 2015 target of at least 75% to 90% [6]. For instance, treatment success for MDR/RR-TB cases started on treatment in 2016 in India, China and Russian Federation was 48%, 52% and 54%, respectively [1].

In 2018, the results from an individual patient data meta-analysis involving 12,030 patients from 25 countries showed that treatment success and death of pulmonary MDR-TB were significantly reduced after the administration of the newer or repurposed drugs linezolid (LZD), later generation FQs, bedaquiline (BDQ), clofazimine (CFZ) and carbapenems [7]. On the basis of this and other studies, in March 2019, WHO released a new drug classification and new recommendations for the treatment of MDR-TB [8–10]. The second-line drugs were reorganized into three groups, including priority drugs [Group A: LFX or MFX, BDQ, LZD], preferentially used drugs [Group B: CFZ, cycloserine (CS) or terizidone (TRD)], and other drugs [Group C: EMB, delamanid (DLM), PZA, imipenem-cilastatin (IPM-CLN) or meropenem (MPM) (administered with clavulanic acid, CLV), AM or streptomycin (SM), ethionamide (ETO) or protonamide (PTO), para-aminosalicylic acid (PAS)].

In summary, WHO recommended an injection-free therapy (groups A and B drugs) at the initiation of MDR-TB treatment. Group C agents (oral and parenteral) should be administered when groups A and B drugs cannot be used. The commonly used second-line injectable drugs KM and CM were associated with worse outcomes [7], and were no longer recommended for the treatment of MDR-TB; AK and SM may be administered only if drug susceptibility testing (DST) confirms susceptibility.

To further reduce the burden of drug-resistant TB in the near future, in December 2019, WHO also released a Rapid Communication to inform countries and stakeholders that a regimen containing BDQ, pretomanid (PRT, formerly PA-824) and LNZ (BPaL regimen) may be used under operational research conditions conforming to WHO standards for the treatment of XDR-TB patients [11]. This communication was released after a previous announcement of the Global TB Alliance in the second half of 2019, following the decision of the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to administer BPaL (Nix-TB trial by the Global TB Alliance) to adults with pulmonary XDR-TB or intolerant/not responsive MDR-TB [12].
4. Drug Resistance Mechanisms in TB

If the 6-months combination therapy for the treatment of drug-susceptible TB is adequately taken, patients achieve cure rates of > 95%, and the development of resistance by simultaneous mutations to various drugs is very rare [13]. The resistance developed by Mtb to any antimicrobial agent is not due to a single mechanism, but to the interplay of biological, clinical and microbiological reasons, including:

1. Nonadherence of patients to their 6-months therapy and/or errors of physicians in the therapy management (human errors), that increases the risk of developing genetically drug resistant bacilli [14,15];
2. Complexity and poor vascularization of granulomatous lesions, which obstruct drug distribution to some sites, further leading to suboptimal drug concentration and the development of phenotypic and genetic resistance [16,17];
3. Naturally occurring high levels of antibiotic resistance in tubercle bacilli (intrinsic resistance) [18–20];
4. Formation of non-replicating (NR) drug-tolerant bacilli inside the granulomas (phenotypic resistance) [21,22];
5. Development of genetically resistant bacilli by chromosomal mutations (acquired resistance) [23–25].

4.1. Human Errors and Advances in MDR-TB Management

Human errors may contribute to the development of drug-resistance because of the improper use of anti-TB drugs. Two pathways lead to the development of genetic resistance: (i) primary resistance, when a person is infected with a drug-resistant strain, and (ii) acquired resistance, when a person infected with a drug susceptible strain is inadequately treated with drugs, allowing the selection of resistant mutants [13]. The first case mostly occurs in highly crowded communities (e.g., prisons), or in countries with high MDR-TB prevalence, where it is essential to rapidly diagnose and treat patients, so as to reduce transmission [15]. In the second case, it is essential to follow the WHO recommendations on how to adequately treat the TB patients whose disease is caused by a drug-susceptible strain. The clinicians need also to ensure that infection control measures are established, particularly when MDR-patients are hospitalized [26].

To implement the Stop TB Strategy (developed from the DOTS framework), WHO identified a number of factors contributing to poor treatment outcomes, including the acquisition of acquired drug-resistant TB [13]. They were: (i) Inappropriate treatment by health care providers (inappropriate or absent guidelines, poor training of physicians and nurses, sub-optimal education of patients, poor management of adverse drug reactions, no monitoring of treatment, poorly organized or funded TB control programs); (ii) Inadequate drug supply (poor quality medicines, stock-outs, poor storage conditions, wrong dose or combination); (iii) Inadequate drug intake or treatment response by patients (lack of information on treatment adherence, adverse effects, malabsorption).

Common clinical errors in MDR-TB management, particularly in developing countries, include the addition of a single drug to a failing regimen, failure to recognize existing drug resistance, failure to provide directly observed therapy and to manage nonadherence, suboptimal dosages of second-line drugs to decrease side effects, drug treatment based on clinical facts while waiting for DST results [13,14]. In any case, it is important to know that only drug combinations decrease the risk of selection of resistant strains.

Since the treatment of MDR/XDR-TB cases is difficult, WHO recommended that their management be performed by a multidisciplinary team (TB Consilium) at local, regional and/or national levels, including experts (e.g., clinicians, microbiologists, public health officers) with different professional backgrounds [13,15]. In medium and high incidence countries, TB Consilia are important for accessing second-line drugs and/or new drugs BDQ and DLM.
A comparative analysis of the TB Consilia for management of difficult-to-treat MDR/XDR TB cases in Europe and Latin America has been reported [27]. In October 2018, a Global TB Consilium was launched by the Global TB Network, with the goal to provide to a clinician a response on difficult TB cases within 48 h [15,28].

4.2. Complexity of TB Granulomas

Long lasting therapies are also attributable to the complex pathology of TB. In the lungs of patients with active and latent TB, a spectrum of heterogeneous granulomatous lesions coexist, ranging from well-vascularized cellular granulomas, in which a rim of lymphocytes surrounds macrophages and neutrophils, to avascular caseous granulomas, characterized by a necrotic center with a cheese-like aspect (caseum) formed by the lysis of host cells and bacteria [29,30]. In these lesions, tubercle bacilli range from actively replicating (AR) stages, particularly in cellular granulomas, to dormant, slowly-replicating or NR stages, typical of hypoxic caseous granulomas [31]. In Mtb-infected rabbits, the fraction unbound of a drug penetrates the caseum via passive diffusion, and caseum binding of a drug is proportional to hydrophobicity (cLogP) and aromatic ring count [32].

The current 4-drugs therapeutic regimen (RIF-INH-PZA-EMB) is effective against AR intracellular bacilli in cellular granulomas, while NR extracellular bacilli localized in pH-neutral, caseous granulomas are refractory to drug action [17,33–36]. The necrotic center of caseous granulomas contains NR bacilli phenotypically resistant to several drugs (drug-tolerant persisters), with the exception of rifamycins, which are known to sterilize caseum in ex-vivo assays [35,36]. Spatial and temporal differences in drug distribution and the kinetics of accumulation of drugs in specific lesion compartments may create local windows of monotherapy that increase the risk of the emergence of drug-resistance [17,37]. This is in keeping with the knowledge that genetically resistant mutants of Mtb may emerge from the persistence phase of some TB drugs, due to hydroxyl radical-mediated genome-wide random mutagenesis [38–40]. In this view, drug combinations should contain complementary drugs preferentially distributing in lesions in which their most vulnerable target population resides [17].

In the event of caseous granulomas expansion, the necrotic centers fuse with the airway structures of bronchi to form pulmonary cavities in which are found both extracellular bacilli from liquefied caseum and intracellular bacilli derived from the lysis of infected macrophages of the cavity walls. In contact with the atmospheric oxygen, these bacilli rapidly proliferate in the lumen of cavities, and later appear in the sputum of TB patients [17]. Due to high bacterial load in pulmonary cavities, genetically resistant bacilli with chromosomal mutations may be generated, playing an important role in the development of resistance [16]. Noticeably, in comparison with paired sputum isolates, additional resistances were found in Mtb isolates recovered from surgically resected cavities of the same patient [41]. A single founder Mtb strain underwent genetic mutations during treatment, leading to the acquisition of additional drug resistance in different sections of the lung of the same patient, preferentially in the cavity wall [42]. In keeping with this observation, drug-specific gradients in the walls of human pulmonary cavities were reported to be associated with the development of acquired resistance in patients with MDR-TB, due to the low level of some drugs in the cavities centers, where there is a high number of replicating bacilli [43]. In the latter study, spatial heterogeneity of drug concentrations across the pulmonary cavity resulted in the development of mutations in the Mtb genes gyrA (FQ resistance) and gyrB (aminoglycoside resistance), consistent with evolution from MDR- to XDR-TB after about five months of therapy [43]. Overall, these observations indicate that acquired Mtb resistance may be related to the formation of drug-penetration gradients in TB lesions generating suboptimal drug concentrations in non-vascularized caseous granulomas and in liquefied caseum in the cavity centers [16,17,43].

4.3. Intrinsic Drug-Resistance of Mtb

During the evolution, Mtb developed mechanisms of intrinsic resistance to antibiotics involving cell envelope, efflux systems and other mechanisms (drug degradation and modification, target
modification), which allowed the organism to reach high drug resistance levels. Some examples of these mechanisms are provided in the following sections.

4.3.1. Cell Envelope

The constituents of the mycobacterial cell envelope are: the cytoplasmic membrane, the periplasmic space (PS), a network of peptidoglycan (PG), the arabinogalactan (AG), the long-chain mycolic acids (MA) and the capsule, made of a loose matrix of glucans and secreted proteins [44]. As to the first-line TB drugs, the bactericidal agent INH inhibits MA synthesis, while the bacteriostatic EMB inhibits AG synthesis and may sensitize Mtb to other drugs [44].

It is assumed that the innermost hydrophilic layers of PG and AG hinder the penetration of hydrophobic molecules. Instead, in the external part of the envelope, the PG and AG layers are linked to the hydrophobic MA layer, formed by long-chain fatty acids that restrict the penetration of hydrophilic drugs [18,20]. In principle, more lipophilic drugs, such as rifamycins, macrolides, and some FQs, diffuse by passive transport into and through the lipid-rich cell wall [45,46]. In early studies, mutants defective in the biosynthesis of cell wall components were very useful to demonstrate the role of the cell wall in the intrinsic resistance to drugs. For instance, a mycolate defective Mycobacterium smegmatis mutant showed increased susceptibility to RIF, chloramphenicol (CF), novobiocin and erythromycin [47,48]. Also, insertions in genes involved in the mycolate biosynthesis of Mtb (mymA operon) showed enhanced chemical penetration and sensitivity to RIF, INH, PZA and ciprofloxacin [49].

Small hydrophilic drugs traverse the cell wall of bacteria via water-filled porins, without energy consumption. M. tuberculosis encodes at least two porin-like proteins (OmpA, encoded by Rv0899 and Rv1698), but the role of porins in Mtb drug uptake and susceptibility needs to be further investigated [18,20,50,51]. Penetration of hydrophilic β-lactam antibiotics through the mycobacterial cell was about 100 times lower than in the Escherichia coli cell wall [20]. The β-lactamases, probably in conjunction with slow drug penetration, were shown to be major determinants of Mtb resistance to β-lactams [52,53]. In Mtb, the PG is remodeled by nonclassical l,d-transpeptidases (LDT). The structural basis and the inactivation mechanism of LDT and the active role of carbapenems were investigated, providing a basis for their potential use in inhibiting Mtb [54]. Indeed, the carbapenems IPM-CLN and MPM (both to be used with CLV, available only in formulations combined with amoxicillin) have been listed as add-on drugs in the recent WHO treatment guidelines of MDR/XDR TB [8].

Overall, it is thought that anti-TB drugs have the peculiarity of being more lipophilic than many other antimicrobial agents, likely due to improved penetration through the waxy mycobacterial cell wall [45,46]. However, the issue is perhaps more complex, since some studies showed that lipophilicity is an important but not exclusive factor of compound permeability [50,55].

4.3.2. Drug Efflux

Efflux pumps (EPs) are transmembrane proteins that provide resistance by expelling the drugs from the interior to the exterior of the cell. Five EP families are known, organized on the basis of energetic and structural characteristics: the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) superfamily, the major facilitator superfamily (MFS), the multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) family, the small multidrug resistance (SMR) family and the resistance nodulation division (RND) superfamily [18,19,46,56,57]. The ATP-energized ABC members are primary transporters, while the others are secondary transporters energized by proton gradients (MFS, SMR, RND) or sodium gradients (MATE). The EP of Mtb belongs to the ABC (representing 2.5% of the entire Mtb genome), MFS and RND superfamilies, and to the SMR family.

Following exposure of Mtb to sub-inhibitory concentration of INH and EMB, EP genes are overexpressed resulting in the development of low-level resistance for a prolonged period of time. After several weeks, a high level of acquired resistance develops, caused by chromosomal mutations in the genes encoding the target proteins [58,59]. These observations indicate that inappropriate TB
treatment may generate pressure by sub-inhibitory drug concentrations that increase drug efflux, allowing a subsequent selection of mutants with high-level resistance [46,57]. Several EPs are known to be associated with resistance. For instance, Mtb exposure to INH induces the overexpression of \textit{MmpL7} and \textit{mmR} EP genes [60,61]. Furthermore, several EPs are involved in resistance to several drugs. Thus, the EP Tap mediates low-level resistance to tetracycline (TC) and aminoglycosides, whereas EPs encoded by the \textit{Rv0194} gene is associated with resistance to β-lactams, SM, TC, CF and vancomycin. Mutations in the \textit{Rv0678} gene caused an up-regulation of the transport protein, \textit{MmpL5}, which caused EP-mediated cross-resistance to both BDQ and CFZ [60,62,63]. This is a potentially dangerous evolution of Mtb against antibiotics particularly in recent times, since BDQ and CFZ have just been included in the new WHO treatment guidelines of MDR/XDR-TB [8,11].

A strategy used to inhibit efflux-mediated drug resistance is efflux inhibition by non-antibiotic molecules that block the EP or inhibit the EP energy sources [57,64]. The most studied inhibitors are verapamil (VP), thioridazine (TZ), reserpine, piperine, protonophores [57,64], to be used in combination with anti-TB drugs in order to decrease or abolish the drug resistance caused by EP activity. Verapamil, an FDA-approved calcium channel blocker, decreased the MICs of BDQ, CFZ and other drugs [57]. This synergism was confirmed in various studies, but it was found that the effect of VP was not due to intra-mycobacterial drug accumulation, but on the disruption of membrane functions [65]. In Mtb-infected mice, VP increased the bioavailability and efficacy of BQ but not CFZ [66]. EP inhibitors are not presently used for the treatment of human TB, with the exception of TZ, which was administered in compassionate therapy for some XDR-TB cases [67].

4.3.3. Other Mechanisms

The most important mechanisms of the intrinsic drug resistance of Mtb are considered to be the lipid-rich cell wall and the EP, but other systems are known to neutralize toxic chemicals and antibiotics, including drug inactivation or modification, and target modification.

Among drug inactivating enzymes, Mtb β-lactamases are less effective than those of other bacteria to hydrolyse β-lactams, but their activity, together with slow penetration across the cell wall and low affinity for penicillin-binding proteins, is good enough to render Mtb intrinsically resistant to most β-lactams [18,20]. The most important Mtb β-lactamase (BlaC) is thought to localize in the PS, and shows broad substrate specificity, including carbapenems, which are usually resistant to the β-lactamases of other bacteria. BlaC is inhibited by CLV that, as mentioned above, must be added to IPM- or MPM-containing prescriptions, as salvage WHO regimens for treatment of MDR/XDR-TB [8].

As to aminoglycosides (KM, AK) and cyclic peptides (CP), Mtb is able to inactivate them by acetylation performed by the enhanced intracellular survival protein encoded by \textit{eis}, whose expression is upregulated by the MDR transcription regulator WhiB7 [20]. Promoter mutations lead to an overexpression of \textit{eis}, resulting in low-level resistance to KM, but not AK [68].

\textit{M. tuberculosis} is naturally resistant to macrolides (e.g., clarithromycin and azithromycin) because of the inducible \textit{erm}(37), a ribosomal RNA methyltransferase which alters ribosomes by methylating the 23S rRNA [69,70]. Other \textit{erm} genes conferring inducible resistance to FQs were found in non-tuberculous mycobacteria [71]. Intrinsic resistance to FQ is also attributed to a pentapeptide repeat protein called MfpA, which mimic the size, shape and surface charge of duplex DNA by resembling the 3D structure of a DNA double helix [20,72].

As to resistance to the broad-spectrum agent fosfomycin, Mtb is intrinsically resistant to this agent, which inhibits the MurA enzyme, involved in the biosynthesis of PG, because a cysteine residue in the active site of Mtb MurA is changed into aspartic acid [73].

5. Phenotypic Drug-Resistance of Mtb

Caseous granulomas and the cavities of the lungs of TB patients harbor subpopulations of NR bacilli which are phenotypically drug-resistant but genetically susceptible, commonly referred to as persisters. Characterized by a transient, non-heritable drug tolerance, persisters are capable of
withstanding bactericidal drug concentrations, and once the antibiotic is removed, to resume growth with genetic features identical to the original strain.

The level of resistance to different antimicrobial agents varies with the in vitro stress model used [31–34,74–77], including hypoxia (Wayne dormancy model) [78,79], nutrient starvation [80], acids and/or nitric oxide [81,82], stationary phase [83], antibiotic-starved strains [84] and others, or their variants. In the Wayne model, in which dormant bacilli are obtained by a gradual adaptation to anaerobiosis through the self-generated formation of an oxygen gradient, nonreplicating persistence (NRP) stages 1 and 2 were observed [78]. NRP-2 cells developed a thickened outer layer that helped in restricting RIF entry [85]. Our group used the Wayne model at different pHs: pH 6.6, the pH or culture media [86], pH 5.8, to mimic the environment of cellular granulomas [87], pH 7.3, to mimic the environment of caseous granulomas [88]. We found that at pH 5.8, several drugs killed NR bacilli, with the best being the rifamycins RIF and rifapentine (RFP), while at pH 7.3, only RIF and RFP killed dormant bacilli out of 12 drugs tested [88]. Since the rifamycins were the only agents sterilizing caseum obtained from rabbits [35,36], our model could mimic caseum to measure drug activity against NR Mtb in this environment. In hypoxia at pH 7.3, we found that RIF plus nitazoxanide (a nitro-compound for anaerobic infections) killed NR Mtb cells, while the combination currently used for human TB therapy (RIF-INH-PZA-EMB) did not [89].

Two kinds of persisters are known [74]: (i) Class I, rare, generated in a replicating population, formed continuously and in a purely stochastic manner. They are bacilli phenotypically tolerant to different antibiotics by different mechanisms, and it is likely that the overall population can be killed by drug combinations; (ii) Class II, abundant, involving almost all of the cells in a population, e.g., in the stationary phase, hypoxic conditions, nutrient starvation. Growth arrest is associated with resistance to a large number of drugs, and it is likely that new kinds of antibiotics are necessary to overcome these cells [74].

Dormancy is not necessary or sufficient for Mtb persistence, indicating that persistence is a phenomenon more complex than dormancy, and that additional characteristics are needed to define the persister phenotypes, which depends on the NR model used [90]. A poor correlation was found between the transcriptomes of class I persisters enriched by cycloserine [91] and class II persisters obtained under hypoxia, the stationary phase or nutrient starvation [74]. On the other hand, persister diversity is expected also from the different host environments in which these specialized cells live, ranging from the intracellular location in the phagosomes to extracellular life in the caseum. In BDQ-treated guinea pigs, persisting bacilli where located in the acellular rim of necrotic lesions, morphologically similar to human TB lung lesions [92].

The state of non-replication is associated with phenotypic drug-tolerance, but different stresses may induce phenotypically different bacilli. Few compounds were dual active molecules with bactericidal activity against both replicating and NR Mtb. They included RIF, BDQ, PRT and MFX, which target RNA polymerase, ATP synthase, cell wall synthesis/cell respiration, and DNA gyrase, respectively [24,31,74]. In BALB/c mice, persisters were eradicated by regimens containing high-dose RIF and BDQ [93,94]. In BALB/c mice, C3HeB/FeJ caseum-forming mice and athymic nude mice, PRT contributed significantly to the efficacy of BDQ-containing regimens, with either LZN (BPaL regimen) or MFX and PZA (BPaMZ regimen) [95].

Interestingly, RIF-resistant or MXF resistant mutants carrying mutations in rpoB or gyrA genes emerged at high frequency from the persistent phase of Mtb cells exposed to RIF for prolonged periods. These cells carried elevated levels of the hydroxyl radical, which inflicted genome-wide mutations facilitating resistance to the same, or another, antibiotic [38,39]. In consideration of the long TB therapy, these observations may have clinical significance in the emergence of drug-resistant mutants if local monotherapy occurs in patients who do not correctly take multi-drug TB therapy.

In this view, it was postulated that persisters behave as an evolutionary reservoir from which drug-resistant mutants can emerge [22].
Thus, targeting NR persisters could reduce the duration of antibiotic treatment and rate of post-treatment relapse [74,96]. Researches aimed at better understanding the relationship between persistence and resistance, and at finding novel drug combinations for killing both AR and NR bacilli, will provide new strategies to shorten TB therapy.

6. Acquired Drug-Resistance of Mtb

A cocktail of different drugs is used to treat TB. Each molecule binds to one or more target, thus inhibiting their functions. The continuous drug exposure during lengthy treatments and the noncompliance of patients to drug regimens, pushes Mtb to select for mutations in genes encoding drug targets, responsible for development of the majority of resistances in clinical strains [20]. A list of the major target genes that, in the case of mutation, confer resistance to the drugs of the WHO groups A, B and C, is shown in Table 1 [97–125]. Many excellent reviews report the genetic mechanisms involved in this resistance to RIF, INH, KM, CP and other drugs [18,23,24,98,118,126].

| Group | Drug | Target Gene/s | Gene Product (Function Affected) | References |
|-------|------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| A     | LFX or MFX | gyra | DNA gyrase, subunit A (DNA replication) | [97,98] |
|       |       | gyrB | DNA gyrase, subunit B (DNA replication) | [98,99] |
| BDQ   | atpE | rv0678 | Transcriptional regulator (drug efflux) | [100,101] |
| LNZ   | rplC | 50S ribosomal protein L3 (protein synthesis) | [100,102] |
|       |       | rrl  | 23S RNA (protein synthesis) | [100,102] |
| B     | CFZ  | rv0678 | Transcriptional regulator (drug efflux) | [100,103] |
|       |      | rv1979c | (Possible permease involved in aminoacid transport) | [100,103] |
|       |      | rv2535c | (PepQ putative aminopeptidase) | [103,104] |
| CS or TRD | alr | Alanine racemase (peptidoglycan synthesis) | [105] |
| C     | EMB  | embCAB | Arabinofuranosyltransferases (arabinogalactan synthesis) | [106,107] |
|       |       | ubiA | Phosphoribosyltransferase (cell wall synthesis) | [108,109] |
| DLM   | ddn  | Deazaflavin (F420)-dependent nitroreductase (mycolic acid synthesis) | [110] |
|       | fgd-1 | Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (F420 synthesis) | [110] |
|       | fbiA | Protein FbiA (F420 synthesis) | [110] |
|       | fbiB | Protein FbiB (F420 synthesis) | [110] |
|       | fbiC | Protein FbiC (F420 synthesis) | [110] |
| PZA   | pncA | Pyrazinamidase (conversion of PZA into pyrazinoic acid, resulting in dysfunctions of membrane potential) | [98,111] |
Table 1. Cont.

| Group          | Drug    | Target Gene/s | Gene Product (Function Affected)                                      | References          |
|----------------|---------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
|                |         | rpsA          | 30S ribosomal protein S1 (m-RNA trans-translation)                   | [23,111]            |
|                |         | panD          | Aspartate decarboxylate (panthotenate synthesis)                     | [111,112]           |
|                |         | clpc1         | ATP-dependent ATP-ase (protein degradation)                          | [23,113]            |
| IPM-CLN or MPM | rv2518c  | LdtB, nonclassical, L,D-transpeptidase (peptidoglycan synthesis)    | [19,54,114,115]     |
|                | ro3682  | PonA2, penicillin-binding protein (peptidoglycan synthesis)         | [19,114]            |
|                | Rv2068c | blaC (β-lactamase)                                              | [116]               |
| AM            | rrs     | 16S ribosomal RNA (protein synthesis)                             | [98,117]            |
| SM            | rpsl    | ribosomal protein S12 (protein synthesis)                          | [98,118]            |
| SM            | rrs     | 16S ribosomal RNA (protein synthesis)                             | [98,117]            |
| SM            | gidB    | (putative 16S rRNA methyltransferase)                              | [119,120]           |
| ETO or PTO    | rv0565c | Monoxygenase (activation of pro-drugs ETO and PTO)                 | [121]               |
| ETO or PTO    | ethA    | Monoxygenase (activation of ETO and PTO)                           | [19,122]            |
| ETO or PTO    | mymA    | Monoxygenase (activation of ETO and PTO)                           | [121,123]           |
| ETO or PTO    | katG    | Catalase-peroxidase (activation of ETO, PTO, INH)                  | [122]               |
| ETO or PTO    | inhA    | Enoyl-ACP reductase (mycolic acid synthesis)                       | [98,122]            |
| PAS           | thyA    | Thymidylate synthase                                              | [23,124]            |
| PAS           | folC    | Dihydropholate synthase                                           | [23,125]            |
| PAS           | dfrA    | Dihydropholate reductase                                          | [23,125]            |

Phenotypic testing is still considered a gold standard for Mtb DST, which is accurate, but takes at least two weeks for results [98]. However, a pivotal role has been recently played by the more and more rapid molecular methods to diagnose drug-resistant TB by the identification of chromosomal mutations, including line probe assays, the Xpert MTB/RIF system (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), target gene sequencing, whole genome sequencing (WGS), point-of-care nucleic acid amplification devices [9,127,128]. The Treatment Action Group (TAG) recently released the pipeline report 2019 on TB diagnostics [129]. The TAG-stratified DST tests for decentralized and centralized laboratories. Useful information was provided on what it is currently in TB diagnostics, including tests already recommended by the WHO, and on which tests are expected to be available soon. As to the decentralized tests, the Xpert MTB/RIF assay (sensitivity and specificity for RIF resistance of 96% and 98%, respectively) was recommended by the WHO in 2010, and entered in the market in the same year. The sensitivity of this assay increased with the 2017 rollout of the Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra cartridge. In 2020, there is expected the WHO evaluation and market entry of Xpert XDR, which will detect resistance to INH,
MFX, OFL, AK and KM [129]. In 2013, another company (Molbio Diagnostics, Goa, India) released its systems Truenat MTB and Truenat MTB-RIF Dx onto the Indian market [129]. In January 2020, a rapid WHO Communication reported that the Truenat systems MTB, MTB Plus and MTB RIF Dx assays showed comparable accuracy with Xpert MTB/RIF and Xpert Ultra for Mt detection (Truenat MTB and Truenat MTB Plus), and for sequential RIF resistance detection (Truenat MTB RIF Dx) [130]. Furthermore, the data for Truenat MTB RIF Dx showed similar accuracy to the WHO approved commercial line probe assays indicated by the TAG for centralized DST [GenoType MTBDRplus Version 2.0 (Hain Lifescience, Nehren, Germany) and Nipro NTM+MDRTB detection kit2 (Nipro, Osaka, Japan)] [129,130]. Other systems marketed in 2015–2019 and on the pathway to the WHO evaluation for the centralized determination of molecular resistance to INH and RIF are: Cobas MTB-RIF/INH (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), BD MAX MDR-TB (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MA, USA), real-time MTB-RIF/INH Resistance assay (Abbott, Abbott Park, IL, USA) and FluoroType MTBDR version 2.0 (RIF, INH) (Hain Lifescience) [129,131–133].

Finally, the WGS technology is capable of identifying the complete drug-resistance profile of an Mtb strain, ideally enabling clinicians to obtain the best anti-TB treatment [98,128,130,134]. However, more data are still needed to correlate genetic mutations with phenotypic resistance, in order to definitely guide the clinical care.

In this view, the initiative of the Comprehensive Resistance Prediction for Tuberculosis: an International Consortium (CRyPTIC) project aims at understanding the relationship between genotypes and resistance by sequencing 100,000 whole TB genomes from various countries, in parallel with comprehensive DST assays. Overall, at this stage, the WGS still needs more studies, but it is commonly believed that this technology will be the future of rapid, centralized DST [129,135].

7. Conclusions

Drug-resistant TB is a significant challenge for the successful control of the disease worldwide. A comprehensive review of clinical, biological and microbiological issues favoring resistance development has been provided, helping in the development of new tools for the rapid diagnosis and treatment of drug-resistant TB. The review was based on the most recent updates on drug resistance mechanisms reported in the literature, and on the international recommendations of WHO to facilitate the clinical and microbiological management of MDR/XDR TB at global level.
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