Peering through a keyhole: Liquid biopsy in primary and metastatic central nervous system tumours
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Abstract

Tumour molecular profiling by liquid biopsy is being investigated for a wide range of research and clinical purposes. The possibility of repeatedly interrogating the tumour profile using minimally invasive procedures is helping to understand spatial and temporal tumour heterogeneity, and to shed a light on mechanisms of resistance to targeted therapies. Moreover, this approach has been already implemented in clinical practice to address specific decisions regarding patients’ follow up and therapeutic management. For central nervous system tumours (CNS), molecular profiling is particularly relevant for the proper characterization of primary neoplasms, while CNS metastases can significantly diverge from primary disease or extra-CNS metastases, thus compelling a dedicated assessment. Based on these considerations, effective liquid biopsy tools for CNS tumours are highly warranted and a significant amount of data has been accrued over the last few years. These results have shown that liquid biopsy can provide clinically-meaningful information about both primary and metastatic CNS tumours, but specific considerations must be taken into account, for example when choosing the source of liquid biopsy. Nevertheless, this approach is especially attractive for CNS tumours, since repeated tumour sampling is not feasible. The aim of our review is, therefore, to thoroughly report the state-of-the-art regarding the opportunities and challenges posed by liquid biopsy in both primary and secondary CNS tumours.
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Introduction

The possibility of detecting circulating tumour biomarkers in body fluids, including cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), has been exploited in routine clinical practice for tumour diagnosis, treatment and follow up for a long time.[1-3] Protein markers are commonly used, but this approach does not provide information regarding the tumour’s molecular profile.[3] Comprehensive molecular profiling of a neoplasm has now been made possible by the analysis of circulating tumour components, and specific applications of this approach, which is usually referred to as “liquid biopsy”, are exponentially growing for both research and patient care.[4]

Central nervous system (CNS) tumours are an unmet need: outcome is often dismal, and therapies offer limited efficacy. Tumour molecular profiling is especially relevant in this setting as the CNS is a peculiar anatomic and functional compartment; even biopsy can carry significant risks. Thus liquid biopsy could fulfil its potential in this setting. However, CNS specificities apply to liquid biopsy too. For example, the blood brain barrier (BBB) hinders the circulation of tumour components from the CSF to the blood, thus potentially impairing CNS tumour sampling through the commonly used blood-based approaches.

Over the last few years, a significant amount of data has been accrued regarding liquid biopsy in both primary and secondary CNS tumours. The aim of our review is to thoroughly report the state-of-the-art regarding the opportunities and challenges posed by liquid biopsy in this setting.
The role of blood brain barrier

Although blood is an ideal, easily accessible source for liquid biopsy sampling and is routinely used to identify many tumour types, data show that its sensitivity can be severely limited when dealing with CNS neoplasms. The main factor hampering the detection of CNS tumour components in blood is the BBB. The latter is a peculiar anatomic and functional structure aimed at regulating the traffic of molecules and cells into and outside of the CNS. Its functions rely on the synergic activity of a wide range of partners including endothelial cells, pericytes and astrocytes.[5] In particular, the widespread presence of tight junctions allows the passage of very small molecules only (less than a few nanometres) and this could explain the overall poor sensitivity observed by blood sampling when looking for components derived from CNS neoplasms.[6]

As will be discussed, however, in specific situations it seems to be possible to detect the molecular signatures of CNS tumours in blood. For example, larger tumour volumes and presence of contrast enhancement were correlated with an increased sensitivity for \textit{IDH1} mutations.[7] This result can be understood if we consider that contrast enhancement is a sign of BBB leakage, which is usually associated with higher grade tumours.

To overcome this limitation, CSF seems the best alternative source for CNS neoplasms considering its direct contact with CNS structures. Present data suggest a higher sensitivity of CSF compared with blood (Figure 1). Nevertheless, CSF sampling is not free of risks especially for patients with CNS neoplasms, although it is usually a feasible and safe approach.

A different approach would be to alter the BBB permeability to allow the transfer of tumour-derived markers into the blood. Until recently, this research topic was mostly aimed at increasing drug penetration into the CNS[8]. However, it is now being investigated as a tool to increase the sensitivity of liquid biopsy for CNS tumours, for example, using focused ultrasound enabled blood-based liquid biopsy in animal models of glioblastoma.[9] The specific mechanisms through which the BBB hampers the transfer of specific tumour components deserve further study.
Last, it should be remembered that the BBB plays an active role in facilitating or preventing brain metastases (BM) from systemic tumours. Primary tumour cells can impair the BBB by releasing specific molecules such as nucleic acids or proteins, thus increasing the risk of BM development. Improved understanding of these mechanisms could pave the way not only to early detection of BM, but could also allow risk prediction or prevention.[10]

Technical considerations: what to analyse and how

The number of potential technical approaches is both extremely high and rapidly evolving.[4] The first choice is about which tumour component or marker would be the most informative in the specific clinical setting (Figure 2). Analysis of circulating cell-free nucleic acids (cfNA), including cfDNA and cfRNA, is the most used approach for the time being, potentially allowing the detection of somatic mutations, insertions, deletions, copy number variations and also enabling assessment of methylation status and of regulatory nucleic acids, such as microRNAs (miRNA) or long non-coding RNAs (lncRNA). These data are what usually matters the most to the pathologist and the clinician to achieve a diagnosis and molecular profiling for clinical management. Conversely, cfNA analysis does not allow reliable assessment of RNA expression, but this limitation can be overcome by analysing circulating tumour cells (CTCs) or extracellular vesicles (EVs) which also allow proteomic studies.[11, 12]

Another important choice regarding genomic studies is whether to use a candidate gene strategy or larger scale approaches like whole exome sequencing. In the diagnostic clinical setting, the first is usually advised: i) it allows reliable assessment of a specific set of genes with known diagnostic and/or predictive value; ii) it enables very low limits of detection; iii) it provides a faster, clinically suitable, turnaround time with lower costs; iv) bioinformatic support is usually not required; v) germline DNA analysis and its ethical/legal implications can usually be avoided. Conversely, for exploratory/research studies, extensive approaches, also including deep sequencing, can be
considered. Among candidate gene analyses techniques, droplet digital PCR allows an extremely low limit of detection (0.001%) and provides precise DNA copy number quantification,[13] thus allowing monitoring of residual disease.

For CTCs and EVs analysis, different strategies have also to be used for primary and secondary CNS tumours since these tumour components have to be selectively captured and enriched; the proposed approaches will be discussed in the relevant paragraphs. A further informative component worthy of mention are circulating platelets. Analysis of tumour educated platelets by RNA sequencing has been proven to be highly informative in both primary and secondary CNS neoplasms.[14, 15]

Liquid biopsy in primary CNS tumours

Molecular profiling is of paramount importance for the characterization of primary CNS tumours. For this reason, the latest WHO classification of these tumours introduced the concept of “integrated diagnosis”: a diagnosis based upon the integration of both morphological and molecular findings. This approach is necessary to distinguish between entities with significant biological and clinical differences, but overlapping histological features.[16]

Even considering just the group of diffuse gliomas, a wide range of molecular alterations is relevant for their proper classification and treatment: *IDH1/2, ATRX, TP53, TERT* promoter and histone H3-coding genes mutations, 1p/19q chromosomal arms codeletion, *EGFR* alterations and *MGMT* promoter methylation.[16, 17] Overall, specific molecular hallmarks are relevant for diagnosis of most primary CNS tumours, while assessment of temporal molecular heterogeneity could help shed a light on mechanisms of resistance to treatments. Thus, liquid biopsy could be extremely useful for the diagnosis and follow up of primary CNS tumours.
Circulating cell-free nucleic acids analysis

Analysis of the circulating cfDNA shed by tumour cells allows detection of gene alterations, including mutations or fusions, changes in methylation profile, copy number variations, and to quantify tumour burden; moreover, overall cfDNA (i.e. tumour and non-tumour derived) concentration has been suggested to play a prognostic role in primary CNS tumours.[18]

Boisselier et al. (2012) evaluated the detection of IDH1 mutations in plasma cfDNA of patients with histologically-proven glioma with known tumour IDH-status:[7] sensitivity and specificity were 60% and 100%, respectively (Table 1). Sensitivity correlated with tumour volume and contrast enhancement: a higher contrast-enhancing tumour volume was associated with higher sensitivity. More recently, Bettegowda et al. (2014) investigated the possibility of detecting a wide range of alterations in a large series of tumour types by analysis of plasma cfDNA by digital PCR.[19] A cohort of 41 primary brain tumours (including gliomas and medulloblastomas) was also included and, unlike most extra-CNS tumours, the detection rates were limited (<50% for medulloblastoma and <10% for gliomas).

The possibility of using CSF as a source for cfDNA analysis in primary CNS tumours was suggested more than 20 years ago by Rhodes et al. who identified EGFR amplification and a TP53 mutation in glioblastoma patients (Table 1).[1, 2] De Mattos-Arruda et al. (2015) further showed that CSF-derived cfDNA analysis detects mutations of both primary and secondary brain tumours.[20] Regarding the primary neoplasms, 4 glioblastomas and 2 medulloblastomas were investigated; at least one tumour mutation was detected in all cases by CSF cfDNA analysis, while blood-derived liquid biopsies were negative. Tumour burden, assessed by cfDNA quantification, mirrored the neuroimaging findings, thus supporting liquid biopsy as an effective tool to track tumour evolution over time and possibly anticipate recurrence/progression, although specific prospective studies are needed for clinical validation. Pentsova et al. (2016) confirmed the usefulness of CSF liquid biopsy,
but observed a low sensitivity (6/9 patients, 66.7%) in a series of primary CNS tumours, mostly including grade III-IV (WHO) diffuse gliomas.[21]

Tumour variables, such as histological type, grade and site, could influence the efficacy of cfDNA analysis. Medulloblastoma, for example, a malignant cerebellar tumour with high cellularity could be expected to more easily shed cfDNA into CSF compared to hemispheric diffuse low-grade gliomas with an infiltrative growth pattern. This hypothesis is supported by Wang et al. (2015) who found that tumours closer to CSF spaces have a higher probability of being detected by CSF cfDNA analysis compared to tumours not in contact with the ventricles.[22] This finding was true for different tumour types, including high-grade gliomas, ependymomas and medulloblastomas. Interestingly, Connolly et al. (2017) analysed matched CSF and blood samples of three cases of grade II intramedullary ependymomas without identifying any alteration in blood or in CSF.[23] In this regard, other variables such as CSF flow alterations may affect cfDNA circulation and liquid biopsy sensitivity.

A very recent study specifically investigated a large series of diffuse gliomas (n=85), including 46/85 (54%) glioblastomas and 39/85 (46%) lower grade gliomas (grade II-III), using a targeted next generation sequencing-based assay.[24] CSF was collected by lumbar puncture in most cases (82/85, 96%). Overall sensitivity was of 49.4% (42/85): 59% (27/46) in glioblastomas and 38% (15/39) in lower grade gliomas, although a significant association between tumour grade and CSF ctDNA detection was not found. Conversely, the presence of ctDNA was associated with tumour progression, a higher tumour burden, tumour spread in the ventricular system/subarachnoid space and a shorter survival time since CSF collection. This latter finding was found to be independent of tumour burden. Regarding the diagnostic efficacy of this approach, in a subset of 10 lower grade gliomas with available tissue sample, the genetic alterations currently used to define the glioma subtype according to WHO criteria were always consistent between CSF and tissue. Plasma was analysed in 19 cases with positive CSF ctDNA and no mutations were detected in most of them.
(16/19, 84%), while in the remaining samples the mutant allele frequencies were very low. An important finding regarding tumour heterogeneity was that, as the time between tissue and CSF sampling increased, a greater genetic divergence was observed, in particular for genes involved in growth factor signalling pathways. These data highlight the importance of longitudinal molecular evaluation in diffuse gliomas and show that liquid biopsy may successfully fulfil this need.

**EGFR** alterations are another relevant molecular hallmark since **EGFR** amplification and/or **EGFRvIII** variant are present in many glioblastomas[25, 26] and have been targeted in many clinical trials employing a wide range of strategies (i.e. direct inhibition, vaccination, antibody-drug conjugate therapy). Unfortunately, the results have been unsatisfactory so far,[27] but new strategies are currently under investigation.[28, 29] Salkeni et al. (2013) were able to detect **EGFRvIII** in plasma-derived cfDNA of 3/3 patients with **EGFRvIII**-positive tumours (out of a cohort of 13 glioblastomas), making this marker potentially assessable through liquid biopsy.[30]

Regarding the diagnostic potential of CSF cfDNA analysis, evaluation of a selected panel of molecular alterations in CSF cfDNA allowed the identification of tumour molecular alterations in 17/20 (85%) cases of diffuse gliomas, allowing their classification according to the latest WHO criteria.[31] Also detection of mutations affecting histone H3 genes in a case series of paediatric brain tumours showed high sensitivity and specificity: 87.5% and 100%, respectively.[32] This finding is especially relevant as the H3 K27M mutation characterizes, although not exclusively, a subgroup of highly-malignant midline diffuse gliomas, usually occurring in children. Considering the location of these tumours, which often involve the brainstem, this approach could enable to achieve a specific diagnosis without the potential risks of a surgical biopsy.

Recent data further support the sensitivity of liquid biopsy for brainstem gliomas: Pan et al. (2018) evaluated a series of 57 patients and were able to detect at least one tumour-specific mutation in 82.5% (47/57) of cases.[33] Sensitivity reached 96.3% (36/37) when considering only patients with a detectable mutation in the tumour tissue sample, while in 83% (31/37), it was possible to achieve
the same results as tissue analysis by liquid biopsy. CSF and plasma cfDNA were compared in 8 patients producing sensitivities of 100% and 37.5%, respectively. Higher levels of cfDNA, tumour directly abutting the CSF spaces and higher tumour grade were associated with an effective liquid biopsy, while a trend was observed for higher tumour volumes. An important consideration is that in most cases (91.2%, 52/57), CSF was collected intraoperatively, thus results could differ from lumbar puncture sampling. Last, in 3 cases out of 10 with negative tissue analysis, mutations were detected in cfDNA. This result could support the capability of liquid biopsy to capture tumour heterogeneity, but it must be interpreted cautiously since sequencing depths differed significantly between the two assays. Another recent study by Panditharatna et al. (2018) focused on midline gliomas and evaluated H3 K27M mutations and typical partner alterations in cfDNA by droplet digital PCR.[34] CSF analysis sensitivity reached 87% (20/23) in H3 K27M-mutant cases (defined through tissue analysis), but, interestingly, plasma sensitivity was very high, ranging from 90% (18/20) in the original series to 80% (16/20) in a prospective cohort collected during a clinical trial. However, mutant allele fractions were lower compared to CSF. The relationship between sampling site and mutations detection was also evaluated: a higher mutant allele frequency was observed if CSF was collected adjacent to the tumour location, but mutant alleles could be potentially detected in all sample sites. Notably, longitudinal comparisons showed a correlation between clinical course, radiological findings and mutant allele frequencies.

A concluding remark regarding the use of liquid biopsy as a diagnostic tool for gliomas is that particular care should be applied when selecting the gene panel to be assessed, since dramatic differences exist between the molecular landscapes of adult and paediatric tumours. Moreover, reliance on single markers should be avoided. For instance, the H3 K27M mutation, which was initially considered as a potential pathognomonic alteration of malignant diffuse brainstem glioma, has been reported in a wider range of tumours.[35]
Liquid biopsy could be useful for diagnosis and follow up of non-glial primary brain tumours as well, although less data is available.[36] Hiemcke-Jiwa et al. (2018) demonstrated the possibility of detecting MYD88 mutations, a common alteration of primary central nervous system lymphomas (PCNSL) (Table 1).[37] This is especially intriguing considered that PCNSL are usually extremely chemo/radiosensitive, thus surgery could be avoided.

Epigenetic alterations are also relevant biomarkers in primary brain tumours. The possibility of assessing MGMT promoter methylation in blood-derived cfDNA was first reported by Weaver et al. (2006) in a small case series of high-grade diffuse gliomas, achieving a 50% sensitivity.[38] Lavon et al. (2010) and further studies confirmed this possibility and also explored the correlations with outcome and longitudinal evolution during disease course.[39-41] cfDNA methylation analysis has also been proposed for diagnostic purposes in gliomas using CDKN2A promoter methylation assessment.[42]

Analysis of circulating micro RNAs (miRNAs) is another potential strategy. miRNAs are non-coding short RNA regulatory molecules, which can widely affect transcription and translation. Most available data relate to diffuse glioma, in particular glioblastoma, and a broad range of miRNAs have been investigated, alone or in specific combinations, as possible diagnostic or prognostic biomarkers.[43-52] Both CSF[44, 45, 49, 51] and blood[43, 46-48, 50, 52] have been evaluated as sources of genetic material. The possibility of distinguishing between primary and secondary CNS tumours by miRNA profiling, and of estimating patients’ outcome based on their concentrations has been suggested.

Overall, the main limitation of this approach is the heterogeneity of the reported data. Although many different candidate miRNAs have been proposed, their validation in larger cohorts is lacking, hampering translation into clinical practice.
Last, the possibility of exploiting other nucleic acids such as long non-coding RNAs or mitochondrial DNA should also be taken into consideration.[53, 54]

Circulating tumour cells

Circulating tumour cells (CTCs) analysis is another potential approach for liquid biopsy and allows the assessment of nucleic acids, including mRNAs, which are usually quickly degraded in the circulation, and other tumour cell components such as cytoplasmic proteins. Detection and capture of CTCs is challenging due to their extremely low concentration in blood, thus many different techniques have been investigated.[55] Moreover, primary CNS tumour cells do not express a common membrane marker, thus hampering their detection and collection.

The presence of CTCs from blood in primary CNS tumours was debated due to the extremely low incidence of extra-CNS metastases [56]. However, blood CTCs were successfully detected in a series of 33 glioblastomas using a combination of markers (SOX2, Tubulin beta-3, EGFR, A2B5, and c-MET) with a sensitivity (defined as the rate of patients with at least one positive sample) of 13/33 (39%).[57] Specific probes for molecular hallmarks of glioblastoma, such as telomerase activity have been also investigated. MacArthur et al. (2014) observed a sensitivity of 8/11 (72%) in a series of grade III and IV gliomas sampled before radiotherapy.[58] Interestingly, sampling after radiotherapy was successful in only 1/8 (12.5%). Müller et al. (2014) were able to detect circulating neoplastic cells in 21% (29/141) of patients with glioblastoma by GFAP immunostaining of mononuclear circulating cells.[59] Moreover, an integrated positive and negative selection approach was also effective in grade II diffuse gliomas reaching a sensitivity of 82% (9/11) in this specific subset of tumours. Using this assay, CTCs count resulted associated with tumour status (i.e. progression versus radiation necrosis) in an additional series of 5 cases.[60] The significant variability in terms of
detection rates is likely due to the differences in technical approaches and to the overall low sample sizes. Further larger studies are warranted to confirm the real efficacy of the different analytical methods.

Last, an interesting observation regarding tumour heterogeneity was reported by Sullivan et al. (2014): CTCs can show a different gene expression profile compared to the previously resected tumour sample. Specifically, increased mesenchymal differentiation and a reduced neural-like profile was observed. These data suggest that a single approach or a single set of markers may be unable to fully sample tumour heterogeneity.[57]

Tumour educated platelets

Analysis of circulating platelets is another intriguing approach to achieve liquid biopsy of CNS tumours.[61, 62] Many biomolecules are transferred between tumours and platelets and this process has been termed platelet “education”. [63, 64]. As initially shown by Nilsson et al. (2011), platelets can sequester tumour-shed extracellular vesicles which allow detecting of tumour-derived biomarkers, including proteins and nucleic acids like the EGFRvIII mRNA, with high sensitivity and specificity.[14] Different patterns of mRNA expression were also observed in platelets of patients with glioblastoma compared with healthy controls. The shaping of platelets mRNA splicing by the external environment can thus be exploited for tumour diagnostics and profiling. Indeed, Best et al. (2015), showed that analysis of RNA splicing profiles of tumour educated platelets was able to identify patients with tumours and further distinguish those with localized or metastatic disease. In particular, this approach correctly classified 85% (33/39) of patients as patients with a neoplasm in a series of 39 glioblastomas. Regarding the specific diagnostic potential, 78% of glioblastoma patients were correctly classified when compared with controls and five other tumour types in a training series of 175 samples. Similar results were observed in a validation set of 108 cases. Furthermore, in a series of 114 samples (62 patients) with brain lesions, tumour educated platelet analysis allowed
correct identification of cancer patients in 91%, discrimination between primary and secondary tumours in 93% and discerned between the mutational subtypes in 82%.\[15\] It has also to be remembered that blood components can actively promote glioma growth and malignancy, thus further studies investigating strategies to antagonize this cross-talk are warranted.\[65\]

Extracellular vesicles and other approaches

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are a heterogeneous range of membrane-bound carriers secreted or shed by both normal and neoplastic cells. Many studies have investigated their physiological role in intercellular communication, which can occur between different tissues or compartments.\[66\]

Similar to CTC, liquid biopsy by EVs analysis offers some advantages over cfNAs, allowing mRNAs characterization and evaluation of cytoplasmic proteins.\[67\] In primary brain tumours, this approach has been mainly investigated in malignant gliomas, and both blood and CSF have been explored as potential sources of EVs. As initially shown by Skog \textit{et al.} (2008),\[11\] EVs shed by glioblastoma contain a wide range of molecules, including nucleic acids and proteins, and can significantly affect distant cells, for instance by increasing proliferation or angiogenesis. Moreover, specific molecular hallmarks of gliomas can be detected in EVs: for example, Skog \textit{et al.} (2008) were able to detect EGFRvIII in EVs of 7/25 (28%) patients with glioblastoma.\[11\]

More recently, Chen \textit{et al.} (2013) detected \textit{IDH1} mutations in CSF-derived EVs of patients with IDH-mutant gliomas using highly-sensitive techniques; conversely, serum-derived EVs of the same patients were negative.\[68\] Although based on significantly different approaches and techniques, these results somehow conflict with data reported by Boisselier \textit{et al.} (2012)\[7\] and indicate the importance of further research evaluating how the interplay between biological and technical variabilities can affect the results. \textit{EGFR} alterations can also be assessed in EVs.\[11, 69\]
Concerning immune system evasion, a recent study identified PD-L1 in glioblastoma-derived EVs and presence of this ligand was associated with impaired T cell activation.[70]

miRNAs are another cargo of EVs through which they can exert their regulatory functions on distant tissues.[71-73] As for circulating free miRNAs, many candidates have been investigated as potential diagnostic biomarkers and different subsets of EVs have been compared.[74, 75] A panel of three miRNAs (miR-21, miR-222 and miR-124-3p), detected in blood-derived exosomes, was recently shown to be differentially expressed in high grade gliomas compared with low grade gliomas or healthy controls.[76]

Last, circulating proteins and metabolites can be also exploited as biomarkers of neoplastic diseases, including brain tumours. The improved knowledge of tumour molecular profiles and their correlation with cellular metabolism could enable new avenues for diagnosis and monitoring of CNS neoplasms, as recently shown by Ballester et al. (2018).[77] This extensive topic, however, is outside the scope of the present review.

Liquid biopsy in secondary CNS tumours

Brain metastases are the most frequent intracranial tumours. They develop in 9-17% of patients with solid tumours (usually from lung and breast cancers and melanoma) and their incidence is increasing.[78, 79] Quality of life and outcome are severely affected by BMs[80], but encouraging results have been recently achieved thanks to targeted therapies and immunotherapeutic drugs.[81]

BMAs from solid tumours often show a divergent molecular profile compared to the primary lesion. Spatial and temporal heterogeneity is an intrinsic characteristic of malignant tumours and this hallmark is strictly intertwined with the continuous evolutionary pressure which selects the neoplastic cells that best fit in a specific anatomic compartment or timepoint during the course of disease, also because of external variables, such as ongoing treatments. Brastianos et al. (2015), showed that more than 50% of BMs harbour private molecular alterations (compared to the primary
tumour or extra-CNS lesions) which are potentially actionable.[82] These data suggest that, to offer the optimal treatment to these patients, BM sampling is necessary to fully recapitulate tumour heterogeneity; since surgical resection of BMs is not indicated in most cases, liquid biopsy could help overcome this limitation.

Neoplastic meningitis (NM) is a specific type of secondary CNS involvement which is characterized by the spread of neoplastic cells across the leptomeninges and their circulation through the CSF. Definitive diagnosis is based upon cytological demonstration of malignant cells in the CSF, but sensitivity is limited and thus diagnosis usually relies on supporting clinical and neuroimaging findings.[83] Liquid biopsy could be a valuable diagnostic/profiling tool also in this setting.

Circulating cell-free nucleic acids analysis

The possibility of detecting tumour-derived cfDNA in patients with secondary CNS involvement has long been reported,[1] but only during the last few years this approach has received specific consideration as a potential tool for the routine care of patients.[20, 21, 36, 84-96] Most data have been obtained in NM from non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), but studies on breast carcinoma[1], melanoma[89, 97] and secondary haematological malignancies[96] have also been reported (Table 2).

cfDNA analysis proved a quite high overall sensitivity as a diagnostic tool for BMs or NM from solid tumours, ranging from 40% to 100%, but sample sizes of the available studies are still limited. Nevertheless, if we compare this tool with traditional cytology (the gold-standard for NM diagnosis at present),[98] cfDNA appears to be superior (Table 2). Another intrinsic advantage of liquid biopsy compared with cytology is that it allows tumour molecular profiling, while cytology can only provide a diagnostic confirmation.
With respect to the variables potentially affecting liquid biopsy yield, the type of CNS involvement should be considered. BMs may have limited contact with CSF, especially when dealing with a single or few lesions in a supratentorial intraparenchymal location. Conversely, tumour cells circulation in the CSF is a hallmark of NM, and thus a higher sensitivity can be expected in the latter condition. The results of Yang et al. (2014)[87] and Pentsova et al. (2016)[21] support these considerations, although De Mattos-Arruda et al. (2015)[20] and Pan et al. (2015)[36] were able to identify tumour cfDNA in all BMs cases (Table 2).

As for primary CNS tumours, the most pressing question is choice of the source for cfNAs (or of other analytes). Blood or other easily accessible body fluids (like saliva or urine) would obviously be preferable, but they may not be representative of CNS-restricted lesions (Table 2). Some important considerations stem from the available data: i) a negative result may be obtained from blood-based liquid biopsy even when active disease is present within the CNS and this is an important concern when dealing with minimal residual disease monitoring; ii) even if we find alterations in blood-based liquid biopsy, these could be unrepresentative of the CNS disease.

Within the possible practical applications of liquid biopsy in this setting, CSF testing in patients with CNS involvement by non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) seems one of the most promising, mirroring the already widespread practice of blood-based testing to promptly detect emerging resistance-associated mutations in extra-CNS NSCLC. As recently shown by Nanjo et al., the specific detection of the EGFR T790M mutation in CSF cfDNA was associated with clinical efficacy of treatment with osimertinib, a third-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor developed to overcome T790M-induced resistance.[99] Conversely, in patients with positive CSF liquid biopsy, but without EGFR T790M detection, no response to treatment was observed.

Last, it is worth pointing out that the significant differences observed between studies may depend on the wide range of techniques that have been tested so far. This variability should be addressed in future studies.
Circulating tumour cells and other approaches

The presence of common membrane markers, like EpCAM, significantly helps CTCs collection in secondary CNS tumours, but alternative strategies are also possible.[100] As a diagnostic tool, CSF CTCs proved to be superior to traditional cytology[101]; moreover, the possibility of using this approach also for molecular profiling has been demonstrated. Magbanua et al. (2013 and 2014)[85, 86] and Jiang et al. (2017)[91] were able to assess copy number and mutational profile of CSF CTCs in metastatic breast and lung cancer, respectively. Similar to cfNAs analysis, CTCs collection by blood-derived liquid biopsy was not possible or showed a low yield in cases with CNS restricted disease.

Best et al. (2015) showed that analysis of tumour educated platelets in patients with BMs allows identification of primary tumour type in 70% of samples.[15] Moreover, blood components indices (like white blood cells or platelets values and ratios) may harbour prognostic significance in patients with BMs[102]: this finding can be expected considered the role played by the systemic immune system in BM development.[103]

Finally, EVs analysis in secondary CNS tumours is especially intriguing not only as a diagnostic/profiling tool, but also as a prognostic assay. Multiple studies suggested that tumour-derived EVs may play an active role in creating a pro-metastatic niche in distant tissues[104], including the CNS.[10, 105] Thus, EVs characterization could allow to estimate the metastatic potential of a tumour and, possibly, to devise therapeutic strategies capable of hampering this process even before BMs are established.

Future perspectives

The recent technological advancements paired with the growing importance of molecular profiling in CNS neoplasms can explain the far-reaching results achieved in just the last few years regarding liquid biopsy use in CNS tumours.[106, 107]
The ever-increasing reliance on molecular traits for proper classification of primary CNS tumours will favour the clinical adoption of liquid biopsy as a routine diagnostic tool in selected cases, for example when surgical resection is not possible. Conversely, resection and histological examination will probably remain the cornerstone diagnostic approach if feasible, considered its therapeutic relevance and the risk of diagnostic pitfalls due to overlapping molecular features even between significantly different tumour entities [for example, a pilocytic astrocytoma (grade I) can rarely harbour the H3 K27M mutation which is characteristic of diffuse midline gliomas (grade IV)]. Moreover, a tissue sample is needed for whole genome or proteomic studies which are now increasingly warranted in translational/clinical research protocols.

Liquid biopsy could instead represent a game-changing development for disease follow up considering that repeated surgical sampling is not feasible in CNS tumours. Its first implementation, in this setting, could probably be as a companion tool for disease monitoring and tumour burden quantification: liquid biopsy data could help resolve conflicting clinical and radiological findings, for instance when dealing with a suspected pseudo-progression. The following step could be the longitudinal assessment of tumour heterogeneity: for example, patients with IDH-mutant diffuse gliomas can have very long disease courses characterized by a progressive increase of tumour malignancy. Liquid biopsy could enable prompt detection and molecular characterization of disease progression, allowing optimisation of clinical management. Nevertheless, the relevance of liquid biopsy in this setting will ultimately depend on the identification of significant, actionable, prognostic and/or predictive markers.

The same considerations apply to secondary neoplasms, but special caution should be applied when dealing with synchronous intra- and extra-CNS disease progression, since blood-derived results could be uninformative of the CNS disease. Nevertheless, the outcome of patients with BMs has dramatically changed in the last few years thanks to the newly available targeted treatments,[108] thus liquid biopsy is expected to become a mandatory assessment in an increasing number of
tumour types and disease settings for optimizing treatment decisions and prompt detection of resistance-associated mutations.

Conclusion

In the coming years, liquid biopsy will probably become a common tool for the diagnosis and follow-up of both primary and secondary CNS tumours. For research purposes, liquid biopsy will be a cornerstone to determine longitudinal changes in the molecular profile of tumours, thus improving our knowledge of tumour resistance/progression mechanisms. Further studies, evaluating larger, prospective series, are needed to evaluate technical variabilities, validate its use in specific disease settings and, most importantly, to assess which is the ultimate clinical benefit for patients with CNS tumours.
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Figure legend

**Fig 1 CSF sampling for liquid biopsy.** CSF, usually sampled by lumbar puncture, allows gathering of multiple tumour components which can be submitted to a wide range of molecular tests.

**Fig 2 Liquid biopsy possible analytes and relevant assays.** Different tumour components can be collected in liquid biopsy sources (blood or CSF) allowing a wide range of analyses.
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Table 1. Studies investigating circulating cfDNA in primary CNS tumours.

|                                      | Tumour                                      | Positive CSF cytology | Positive CSF molecular profiling | Positive blood molecular profiling |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Rhodes CH et al., J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 1994 | Glioblastoma                                | ND/NR                 | 1/1 (100%)                       | ND/NR                             |
| Rhodes CH et al., Am J Clin Pathol 1995 | Glioblastoma                                | ND/NR                 | 1/1 (100%)                       | ND/NR                             |
| Boisselier B et al., Neurology 2012   | Glioma (low grade=8, high grade=17)         | ND/NR                 | ND/NR                            | Low grade: 3/8 (37.5%)             |
|                                      |                                             |                       |                                  | High grade: 12/17 (70.6%)          |
| Salkeni MA et al., J Neurooncol 2013  | Glioblastoma                                | ND/NR                 | ND/NR                            | 3/3 (100%)                        |
| Bettegowda et al., Sci Transl Med 2014| Glioma (n=27) Medulloblastoma (n=14)        | ND/NR                 | ND/NR                            | Glioma: <10% Medulloblastoma: <50% |
| Pan W et al., Clin Chem 2015          | Meningioma (n=1) Schwanoma (n=1)            | ND/NR                 | Meningioma: 1/1 (100%) Schwanoma: 0/1 (0%) | Meningioma: 0/1 (0%) Schwanoma: 0/1 (0%) |
| De Mattos-Arruda L et al., Nat Commun 2015 | Glioblastoma (n=4) Medulloblastoma (n=2)   | ND/NR                 | Glioblastoma: 4/4 (100%) Medulloblastoma: 2/2 (100%) | Glioblastoma: 0/4 (0%) Medulloblastoma: 0/2 (0%) |
| Wang Y et al., Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2015 | Low grade glioma (n=8) High grade glioma (n=13) Ependymoma (n=7) Medulloblastoma (n=6) Other low grade tumour (n=1) | ND/NR                 | Low grade glioma: 6/8 (75%) High grade glioma: 13/13 (100%) Ependymoma: 5/7 (71%) Medulloblastoma: 5/6 (83%) Other low grade tumour: 1/1 (100%) | ND/NR |
| Pentsova EI et al., J Clin            | Glioma (n=8) Ependymoma                     | Glioma: 0/8 Ependymoma: 0/1 | Glioma: 6/8 (75%) Ependymoma: 0/1 | ND/NR                             |
| **Oncol 2016** | (n=1) | (0%) |
|----------------|-------|------|
| Connolly ID et al., J Neurooncol 2017 | Ependymoma (n=3) | ND/NR | 0/3 (0%) | 0/3 (0%) |
| Huang TY et al., Acta Neuropathol Comm 2017 | Diffuse midline glioma (n=5) | ND/NR | 4/5 (80%) | ND/NR |
| Martinez-Ricarte F et al., Clin Cancer Res 2018 | High grade glioma (n=15) Low grade glioma (n=5) | ND/NR | High grade glioma: 15/15 (100%) Low grade glioma: 2/5 (40%) | ND/NR |
| Pan C et al., Acta Neuropathol 2018 | Brainstem glioma | ND/NR | 39/40 (98%) | 3/8 (38%) |
| Panditharatna E et al., Clin Cancer Res 2018 | Diffuse midline glioma | ND/NR | 24/27 (89%) | 34/40 (85%) |
| Hiemcke-Jiwa LS et al., Hematol Oncol 2018 | Lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma (n=6) PCNSL (n=1) | Lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma: 2/6 (33%) PCNSL: 1/1 (100%) | Lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma: 5/6 (83%) PCNSL: 1/1 (100%) | ND/NR |
| Miller AM et al., Nature 2019 | Diffuse glioma (grade II-III-IV) | 7/80 (9%) CSF cytology not available in 5 cases | 42/85 (49%) | 3/19 (16%) |

Notes: i) CSF molecular profiling was considered positive if at least one tumour mutation was detected in cfDNA, but in some cases only a subset of alterations was detected compared to tissue samples; ii) Cases with negative/not available tissue profiling and negative liquid profiling were excluded. Conversely, cases with positive CSF despite negative or untested primary were considered; iii) Atypical cells were considered positive in terms of CSF cytology evaluation. CNS: central nervous system; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; ND/NR: not done/not reported, PCNSL: primary central nervous system lymphoma.
Table 2. Studies investigating circulating cfDNA in secondary CNS tumours from solid neoplasms.

| Primary tumour | Type of CNS involvement | Positive CSF cytology | Positive CSF molecular profiling | Positive blood molecular profiling |
|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Rhodes CH *et al.*, *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 1994 | Breast | NM | ND/NR | 1/1 (100%) |
| Swinkels DW *et al.*, *Clin Chem* 2000 | NSCLC | NM | 0/2 (0%) | 2/2 (100%) |
| Shingyoji M *et al.*, *J Thorac Oncol* 2011 | NSCLC | NM | 10/21 (47.6%) | 13/21 (61.9%) |
| Yang H *et al.*, *J Mol Diagn* 2014 | NSCLC | BM and NM | ND/NR | BM: 2/5 (40%) |
| | | | | NM: 4/4 (100%) |
| De Mattos-Arruda L *et al.*, *Nat Commun* 2015 | Breast and lung | BM and NM | BM: 13/24 (54.2%) | BM: 2/5 (100%) |
| | | | BM: 17/24 (70.8%) | NM: 3/3 (100%) |
| | | | 0% in CNS restricted disease |
| Pan W *et al.*, *Clin Chem* 2015 | Mixed | BM and NM | BM: ND/NR | BM: 5/5 (100%) |
| | | | NM: 2/2 (100%) | NM: 1/1 (total DNA was analysed) |
| | | | BM: 17/24 (70.8%) | NM: 3/3 (100%) |
| | | | 3/5, 2/3 with also extra-CNS progression |
| | | | NM: ND/NR |
| Sasaki S *et al.*, *Respir Investig* 2016 | NSCLC | NM | 2/7 (28.6%) | 7/7 (100%) |
| | | | 0/3 (0%) |
| Li Y *et al.*, *J Neurooncol* 2016 | Melanoma | NM | 1/1 (100%) | 1/1 (100%) |
| | | | 0/1 (0%) |
| Pentsova EI *et al.*, *J Clin Oncol* 2016 | Mixed | BM and NM | BM: ND/NR | BM: 17/24 (70.8%) |
| | | | NM: 3/3 (100%) | NM: 3/3 (100%) |
| Zhao J *et al.*, *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2016 | NSCLC | NM | 7/7 (100%) | 2/7 (28.6%), 1/2 with also extra-CNS progression |
| Marchiò C *et al.*, *Neuro Oncol* 2017 | NSCLC | NM | 2/2 (100%) | 2/2 (100%) |
| | | | 0/2 (0%) |
| Author(s) | Title | Tissue Type | CSF Molecular Profiling | BM Molecular Profiling | Notes |
|----------|-------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| Siravegna G et al., ESMO Open 2017 | Breast NM ND/NR | 1/1 (100%) | 1/1 (100%), but showed decreasing mutant allele frequencies despite CNS disease progression |
| Huang W et al., Case Rep Oncol 2018 | CUP NM | 1/1 (100%) | ND/NR |
| Li et al., Ann Oncol 2018 | NSCLC NM | 18/28 (64%) | 28/28 (100%), 19/26 (73.1%) |
| Ballester LY et al., J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2018 | Melanoma NM | 3/3 (100%) | 2/3 (67%), ND/NR |
| Nanjo S et al., Br J Cancer 2018 | NSCLC NM | 5/13 (38%) | 5/13 (38%), ND/NR |

Notes: i) CSF molecular profiling was considered positive if at least one tumour mutation was detected in cfDNA, but in some cases only a subset of alterations was detected compared to tissue samples; ii) In many cases NM was present together with BM: these cases were considered together with NM only cases; iii) Cases with negative/not available tissue profiling and negative liquid profiling were excluded. Conversely, cases with positive CSF despite negative or untested primary were considered; iv) Atypical cells were considered positive in terms of CSF cytology evaluation. BM: brain metastasis; CNS: central nervous system; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; CUP: cancer of unknown primary; NM: neoplastic meningitis; NSCLC: non-small cell lung cancer; ND/NR: not done/not reported.
