Chemical composition, antioxidant and antimicrobial potential of essential oils from different parts of *Daphne mucronata* Royle
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**Abstract**

This research work was executed to determine chemical composition, anti-oxidant and anti-microbial potential of the essential oils extracted from the leaves and stem of *Daphne mucronata* Royle. From leaves and stem oils fifty-one different constituents were identified through GC/MS examination. The antioxidant potential evaluated through DPPH free radical scavenging activity and % inhibition of peroxidation in linoleic acid system. The stem’s essential oil showed the good antioxidant activity as compared to leaves essential oil. Results of Antimicrobial activity revealed that both stem and leaves oils showed strong activity against *Candida albicans* with large inhibition zone (22.2 ± 0.01, 18.9 ± 0.20 mm) and lowest MIC values (0.98 ± 0.005, 2.44 ± 0.002 mg/mL) respectively. Leaves essential was also active against *Escherichia coli* with inhibition zone of 8.88 ± 0.01 mm and MIC values of 11.2 ± 0.40 mg/mL. These results suggested that the plant’s essential oils would be a potential cradle for the natural product based antimicrobial as well as antioxidant agents.
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**Background**

Medicinal plants are well-known since beginning of human civilization for welfare of mankind and they dwell an imperative place in the socio-cultural as well as in the health-system of indigenous communities of Pakistan. Plant’s essential oils are worthwhile natural-products that are employed as raw materials in various fields, such as cosmetics, fragrances, phyto-therapy, nutrition and spices. *Daphne mucronata* Royle belongs to the family Thymelaeaceae. Common names of this plant include Kutialal, Nirko, Laighonai (laighuanay), Kheweshk. Leaves of this plant are poisonous and applied as insect repulsive abscesses for sore and glue is used for muscular and nerve troubles [1]. Plant poultice is applied for rheumatism and sweeping [2]. The plant has attractive flowers and can be used as decorative plant [3]. The roots and shoots of *D. mucronata* Royle are considered as anthelmintic and employed in treatment of gonorrhea [4]. Fruits are multipurpose so they are used for eating purposes and for treating eye problems, to cure skin, considered as remedy for face freckles, for killing lices, ticks and are also involved in coloring leather [4, 5]. Wood is used as firewood and used in preparation of gun powder charcoal [6]. The bark is used in turmoil of bone for washing hairs and in folk medicines. Previous study revealed the presence of several phytochemicals, in this specie [7]. To date, there are no previous reports related to Phytochemical composition as well as biological potential of plant *Daphne mucronata* Royle essential oils. As part of our efforts [8–12] this study is, therefore, reporting for the first time the aerial parts (stem and leaves) essential oil composition, and there biological potential.
Results and discussion

Percentage yield and chemical composition of essential oils

The yield of the essential oils (Dry plant samples) obtained from the hydrodistillation of the *D. mucronata* leaves and stem were 5.6% and 9.5% g/100 g respectively shown in Table 2. The components were identified in the essential oils with their percentage composition, relative retention time and retention indices (Table 1, Fig. 2). Twenty-seven (27) constituents were identified and quantified in the oil of *D. mucronata* leaves, representing 97.25% of the total oil. The major components were pentadecane (12.75%), 2-methyl hexadecane (8.90%), 7,9-dimethyl hexadecane (8.90%), tetradecane (7.32%), 5-Propyl decane (6.16%), 2,3,5,8 tetramethyl hexadecane (5.81%), 2-methyl-6-propyl dodecane (5.11%), 5-methyl tetradece (5.10%) (Table 1, Fig. 1). In the oil of *D. mucronata* stem twenty-seven constituents (91.2%) were identified. The major compounds were 11,14,17-eicosatrienoic acid, methyl ester (18.57%), methyl palmitate (16.0%), (Z,Z)-9,12-octadecadienoic acid methyl ester (13.99%), tetratriacontane (6.65%), caryophyllene oxide (5.94) (Table 1, Fig. 1). GC/MS spectra of both (stem and leaves) essential oils are presented in Fig. 2. The essential oils consisted of some straight chain alkanes, fatty acids, methyl esters and aromatics, which may be involved in antioxidant and antimicrobial activities.

Antioxidant and antimicrobial potential of essential oils

Free radicals are highly reactive species which are produced in human body due to various reactions taking place in human body, radiations exposure and environment pollution. These radicals are responsible for damaging human health and cause many diseases. Antioxidants are responsible for scavenging the radicals and convert them to less reactive species. Plants are best natural source of antioxidants. Antioxidant potential of plant *D. mucronata* essential oils was investigated by DPPH scavenging assay and by measuring % Inhibition of peroxidation in linoleic acid system. The plant oils showed moderate antioxidant activity (Table 2). Stem essential oil proved most active, with an IC$_{50}$ value of 45.46 ± 0.04 µg/mL, followed by leaves essential oil (IC$_{50}$= 85.15 ± 0.31 µg/mL). Maximum % inhibition of peroxidation in linoleic acid system was shown by the stem essential oil (64.16 ± 0.93) followed by leaves essential oil (37.57 ± 0.89). So stem essential oil showed maximum antioxidant potential as compared to leaves of plant. When the results of DPPH scavenging activity (IC$_{50}$) and the percent inhibition of peroxidation in linoleic acid system were compared with standard BHT.

| Retention indices | Compound name                              | % Area | Leaves | Stem |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------|--------|------|
| 716               | Cyclohexyl methane                         |        |        | 0.96 |
| 805               | trans-1,2-dimethylcyclohexane              | 0.86   |        |      |
| 820               | 2,2,3,4-Tetramethylpentane                 | 2.08   |        | 3.47 |
| 944               | 2,3,3-Trimethyl-octane                     | 1.24   |        |      |
| 970               | 5-(1-methyl(propyl))-nonane                | 3.13   |        |      |
| 1044              | Camphor                                    |        | 1.27   |      |
| 1099              | 2,2-dimethyl octanol                       | 1.26   |        |      |
| 1114              | 3-Thujanone                                |        | 0.6    |      |
| 1138              | trans-5,6-Epoxodecane                     | 0.84   |        |      |
| 1175              | 1-Terpinen-Aol                            |        | 0.31   |      |
| 1264              | 2-Methyl-6-propyl dodecane                | 5.11   |        |      |
| 1298              | 2,3,5,8-Tetramethyl decane                | 5.81   |        | 0.37 |
| 1322              | 7,9-dimethyl hexadecane                   | 8.90   |        |      |
| 1399              | Tetradecane                                | 7.32   |        |      |
| 1445              | 2-Bromo dodecane                           |        | 1.20   |      |
| 1454              | 5-Methyl tetradece                         | 5.10   |        |      |
| 1500              | Pentadecane                                | 12.75  |        |      |
| 1542              | 7-Methyl pentadecane                       | 1.63   |        |      |
| 1563              | Caryophyllene oxide                        |        | 5.94   |      |
| 1660              | 2,6,10,15-Tetramethyl heptadecane          | 2.71   |        |      |
| 1664              | Ar-tumerone                                |        | 3.94   |      |
| 1666              | 2-Methyl hexadecane                        | 8.90   |        |      |
| 1686              | (Z)-11-Pentadecenal                        | 2.88   |        |      |
| 1719              | 8-Hexyl pentadecane                        |        | 0.86   |      |
| 1745              | 8-Methyl heptadecane                       |        | 0.34   |      |
| 1800              | 5-Propyl decane                            |        | 6.16   |      |
| 1848              | Hexahydrofarnesy acetone                   |        | 2.35   |      |
| 1854              | 5-Methyl octadecane                        | 1.30   |        |      |
| 1878              | Methyl palmitate                           |        | 16.02  |      |
| 1897              | 7-Hexadecenoic acid, methyl ester, (Z)-    | 0.31   |        |      |
| 1922              | Dibutyl phthalate                          | 0.86   |        |      |
| 1974              | Methyl isohexadecanoate                    |        | 0.35   |      |
| 1984              | n-hexadecanoic acid                       | 1.74   |        |      |
| 1999              | 3- Mannitol, 1-decylsulfonyl-              | 2.89   |        |      |
| 2000              | Eicosane                                   |        | 2.66   |      |
| 2067              | (Z,Z)-9,12-octadecadienoic acid methyl ester | 13.99  |        |      |
| 2100              | Heneicosane                                |        | 1.50   |      |
| 2116              | 11,14,17-Eicosatrienoic acid, methyl ester | 18.57  |        |      |
| 2167              | Decane, 1,1'-oxybis-                       | 2.52   |        |      |
| 2190              | Octadecanoic acid, methyl ester            |        | 2.36   |      |
| 2327              | Eicosanoic acid, methyl ester              |        | 0.91   |      |
| 2400              | Tetracosane                                |        | 0.42   |      |
| 2413              | Octadecane,3-ethyl-5-(2-ethylbutyl)-       | 1.83   |        |      |
| 2525              | 1,2- disooctyl benzenedicarboxylic acid ester | 4.76   | 2.12   |      |
| 2527              | Behenic acid, methyl ester                 |        | 1.40   |      |
| 2714              | Tetracosanoic acid, methyl ester           |        | 1.44   |      |
| 2790              | trans-Squalene                             |        | 2.43   |      |
(Butylated hydroxytoluene), both essential oils showed significantly ($p < 0.05$) less activity.

The reducing potential of plant essential oil (stem, leaves) was investigated at different concentrations (2.5–10 mg/mL). The plant (stem, leaves) essential oils satisfied the test of reducing power by giving a linear increase to absorbance with concentration. Leaves essential oil showed maximum reducing power (Fig. 3).

Micro-organisms are responsible for causing damage to human health, spoilage of food and many other problems. Micro-organisms have become drug resistant, so there is need to discover new sources against disease causing micro-organisms. Essential oils and their constituents play key role in inhibiting growth of micro-organisms [13]. The antimicrobial potential of $D. mucronata$ essential oils was determined against various pathogens (Table 3). The results indicated that the stem essential oil sowed potent inhibitory activity against only $C. albicans$, with the highest inhibition zone (22.2 ± 0.01 mm) and the lowest MIC value (0.98 ± 0.005 mg/mL). Leaves essential oil was active only against $C. albicans$ and $E. coli$. Growth of $C. albicans$ was strongly inhibited with large inhibition zone (18.9 ± 0.20 mm) followed by MIC value (2.44 ± 0.002 mg/mL). Leaves essential oil showed moderate activity against $E. coli$ (zone of inhibition = 8.88 ± 0.01 mm; MIC = 11.2 ± 0.40). Both essential oils were inactive against $Staphylococcus aureus$, $Nitrosopira moscoviensis$, $Bacillus cereus$, $Staphylococcus epidermidis$, $Aspergillus flavus$ and $Aspergillus niger$ (Table 3). These strains were resistant to $D. mucronata$ Royle essential oils. The results of antimicrobial activity were compared to standard drugs Rifampicin and fungone for bacterial and fungal strains respectively. Antimicrobial activity of the some species of $Daphne$ has already been documented in literature [14, 15]. Mikaeili and co-workers [16] reported the anticandidal activity of 1,2-benzenedicarboxylic acid, diisooctyl ester as this compound was
present in both stem and leaves essential oil in good concentration, so essential oils showed potent antimicrobial activity against *candida albicans*. It has been suggested that the antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of essential oils is attributable to the presence of compounds such as alcohols, aldehydes, alkenes, esters and ethers [17], some of them found in the oils of *D. mucronata* (Table 1). For instance, the essential oils of *D. mucronata* contain substances as, 3-Thujanone, camphor, Caryophyllene oxide, trans-1,2-dimethylcyclohexane, tetradecane, hexahydrofarnesyl acetone, 5-methyl octadecane found in several vegetal species, which have demonstrated various

![Fig. 2 GC/MS spectra of *D. mucronata* stem (a) and leaves (b) essential oils](image-url)
pharmacological effects [18–21]. It is possible that the antimicrobial and antioxidant activities demonstrated by the essential oils extracted from *D. mucronata* could be attributed to these components. These results are very promising as the oils can be used as a good source of antioxidant and antimicrobial compounds.

### Materials and methods

#### Plant materials

The entire plant “*D. mucronata Royle*” was attained from Quetta, Pakistan. The plant was identified by Prof. Dr. Rasool Bakhsh Tareen, Botany Department, University of Balochistan, Quetta, Pakistan, where we deposited sample-specimen (Voucher # DM-RBT-09).

#### Essential oil extraction

For the essential oils extraction, 50 g of each part (stem and leaves) of powdered plant materials dried under the shady place, were hydro distillated by employing a Clevenger-type device for 5 h. Sodium sulphate (Na2SO4) was used for drying the extracted essential oils, then after filtration oils were stored in a vial at 4 °C till start of further analysis.

#### GC–MS analysis

The GC–MS examinations of the essential-oils were done by employing a GCMS-QP2010 (SHIMADZU, Japan). The conditions for GC–MS examinations of essential-oils were: the sample-solution (1 µL/mg) inserted in split-less mode via manually and the time for sampling was 1 min. Then the temperature 200 °C was established for the injection port. The gas chromatography was fitted out with the column of DB-5 capillary whose internal diameter, length and film thickness were 0.25 mm, 30 m and 0.25 µm respectively. A three step gradient temperature was accomplished for oven: accordingly, 45 °C for 5 min was set as an initial temperature. Then, initial

### Table 2 % Yield and antioxidant analysis of *D. mucronata* Royle essential oils

| Samples, standard compound | % Yield g/100 g | % Inhibition of peroxidation in linoleic acid | DPPH radical scavenging IC50 (µg/mL) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Leaves essential oil          | 5.6±0.005       | 37.57 ± 0.89                                  | 85.15 ± 0.31                             |
| Stem essential oil            | 9.5±0.008       | 64.16 ± 0.93                                  | 45.46 ± 0.04                             |
| BHT                            |                 | 89.1 ± 0.78                                   | 9.01 ± 0.10                              |

Values are mean ± SD of three separate experiments (P < 0.05) BHT (butylated hydroxytoluene)

### Table 3 Antimicrobial activity of *D. mucronata* Royle essential oils

| Tested microbes | Leaves essential oil | Stem essential oil | Standard drugs |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|
|                 | Zone of inhibition (mm) | MIC mg/mL | Zone of inhibition (mm) | MIC mg/mL | Zone of inhibition (mm) | MIC (mg/mL) |
| A. flavus       | –                    | –                  | –             | –         | 19.0 ± 0.60          | 0.86 ± 0.001 |
| A. niger        | –                    | –                  | –             | –         | 20.7 ± 0.55          | 0.48 ± 0.001 |
| B. cereus       | –                    | –                  | –             | –         | 21.7 ± 0.49          | 0.97 ± 0.0003 |
| C. albicans     | 18.9 ± 0.20          | 2.44 ± 0.002       | 22.2 ± 0.01   | 0.98 ± 0.005 | 23.8 ± 0.67          | 0.25 ± 0.0001 |
| E. coli         | 8.88 ± 0.01          | 11.2 ± 0.40        | –             | –         | 25.26 ± 0.3          | 0.46 ± 0.0002 |
| N. moscoviensis | –                    | –                  | –             | –         | 22.9 ± 0.43          | 0.39 ± 0.0007 |
| S. aureus       | –                    | –                  | –             | –         | 30.0 ± 0.32          | 0.25 ± 0.0001 |
| S. epidermidis  | –                    | –                  | –             | –         | 23.4 ± 0.50          | 0.33 ± 0.0003 |

Values are mean ± S.D of three separate experiments (P < 0.05)

Rifampicin and fungone were used as standards for bacterial and fungal strains respectively
temperature was upraised at a rate of 10 °C upsurge per min up to 150 °C, trailed by 5 °C per min upsurge up to 280 °C and finally, temperature touched to the 325 °C at 15 °C per min upsurge and keep it for five min. At that time, the Helium was employed at a flow-rate of 1.1 mL per min (liner velocity and pressure were 38.2 cm/sec and 60 kPa respectively). In a scanning mode, the fragments/ions were scrutinized over 40–550 m/z. The components were identified and recognized on the bases of their mass spectra comparison with the NIST mass spectral library [22, 23]. Retention indices was calculated by following given formula:

\[
RI = 100 \left( \frac{C_n}{T_R(n)} - \frac{C_{n+1}}{T_R(n+1)} + \frac{T_R(n)}{T_R(n+1)} - 1 \right)
\]

C\(_n\) and C\(_{n+1}\) represents carbon numbers of carbon standards eluting before and after compounds to be identified.

\[
T_R(n) = \text{retention time of compounds to be identified}
\]

\[
T_R(n+1) = \text{retention times of carbon (C\(_n\))}
\]

\[
T_R(n+1) = \text{retention times of carbon (C\(_{n+1}\))}
\]

Antioxidant activity

**DPPH radical scavenging assay**

The antioxidant propensity of plant essential oils was checked by measuring their ability to scavenge stable DPPH free radical following the standard protocol as reported earlier by Rizwan and co-workers [24] with slight modifications. The 1 mL of 90 μM DPPH solution was mixed with the samples (from 10 to 500 μg mL\(^{-1}\)) and 95% methanol was used to made the final volume up to 4 mL. The Butylated hydroxyl-toluene (BHT) was served as an external standard. Then the sample incubation was done for 1 h at the temperature of (25 °C). After that, the absorbance was examined at 515 nm. By the following formula Percent DPPH radical scavenging was calculated:

\[
\text{Radical scavenging (%) = } 100 \times \left( \frac{A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{blank}}} \right)
\]

where \(A_{\text{blank}}\) is the absorbance of the control (containing all reagents except the test samples), and \(A_{\text{sample}}\) is the absorbance of the test samples. IC\(_{50}\) values, which represented the concentration of samples that caused 50% scavenging, were calculated from the plot of inhibition percentage against concentration.

**Percentage-inhibition of linoleic peroxidation**

Antioxidant potential of \(D.\) mucronata essential oils was evaluated by measuring percent-inhibition of linoleic peroxidation [12]. The 5 mg of plant’s essential oil sample mingled with the 0.13 mL linoleic acid solution, 10 mL of 0.2 M sodium phosphate buffer of pH ~7, 10 mL of 99.8% ethanol, and diluted with distilled water (up to 25 mL). Then the resultant reaction mixture was hatched at 40 °C for 360 h (15 days) and extent of oxidation was examined [15]. After that, sample solution (0.2 mL), ferrous chloride solution (0.2 mL) (20 mM in 3.5% HCl w/v), 75% ethanol (10 mL), and 30% ammonium thiocyanate (0.2 mL) were mixed together consecutively. Finally, the absorbance of reaction mixture was noted at 500 nm after stirring for 3 min. Experiment was also performed on control, which consist only on linoleic acid without sample. As a positive control, the BHT was employed. By a following equation, Percent-inhibition of linoleic acid peroxidation was determined:

\[
\%\text{ Inhibition} = 100 - \left( \frac{\text{Abs. increase of sample at 360h}}{\text{Abs. increase of control at 360h}} \right) \times 100
\]

**Analysis of reducing power**

At different concentrations (2.5–10 mg), the plant oils were mingled with 1% potassium ferricyanide (5 mL) and 5 mL of sodium phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6) solution. For 20 min at 50 °C, the reaction mixture was heated and after that, 10% of trichloroacetic acid (5 mL) was mixed with heated reaction mixture. Then the resultant solution was subjected for centrifugation for 10 min at 5 °C at the rate of 980 rpm. At that time, the 5 mL of upper layer of reaction mixture was dissolved in 5 mL of distilled H\(_2\)O. As a final point, 1 mL of 0.1% freshly prepared FeCl\(_3\) solution was added in it. At 700 nm absorbance was noted and result were obtained in triplicates [12].

**Antimicrobial assay**

**Microbes**

Four different bacteriological strains (\(Bacillus\) cereus ATCC 14579, \(Escherichia\) coli ATCC 25922, Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC 12229 and Nitrospira moscowiensis locally isolated) and three different fungal strains (\(Aspergillus\) niger ATCC 10595, Candida albicans ATCC 10231, \(Aspergillus\) flavus ATCC 32612) were used to check the antimicrobial effects of essential oils. For this study, pure microbial organisms were provided by Department of Veterinary Microbiology (DVM) (University of Agriculture Faisalabad (UAF), Pakistan). The nutrient agar was employed to culture bacteriological strains overnight at 37 °C while potato dextrose agar (PDA) was cast off for the development and culturing of fungal strains at 28 °C.
Disper diffusion method
The antimicrobial potential of plant essential oils was determined by Disc Diffusion method [25]. For this, the 6 mm diameter discs were employed whose soaking was performed with 20 μg/mL essential oil (100 μL/disc). Moreover, soaked disk were placed on the inoculated agar. Discs without samples were used as negative control. The fungone (100 μL/disc) and Rifmapicin (100 μL/disc) were served as a positive control for fungal and bacteriological strains respectively. The incubation of petri dishes for bacteria were performed at 37 ± 0.1 °C for 24 h while for fungi at 28 ± 0.3 °C for 48 h. For the results, zones of inhibition (ZOIs) formation were measured on the agar media.

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)
The resazurin microtitre-plate assay was employed to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MICs) of the D. mucronata essential oils [26].

Statistical analysis
All samples were analyzed in triplicate. Data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Costat (Version 3.8) statistical software.

Conclusions
We have investigated essential oils from aerial parts of Daphne mucronata obtained by hydro-distillation process. Fifty-one different compounds were found in stem and leaves essential oils by GC–MS analysis. These compounds made the essential oils very effective in antimicrobial and antioxidant potential. Our study revealed that oils obtained from D. mucronata could be a promising source of effective antioxidant and antimicrobial compounds and may play vital role for discovery of new drugs against pathogenic diseases. Both of these essential oils may play an important role in flavoring and cosmetic industry.
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