Droplet Digital PCR Detects Low-Density Infection in a Significant Proportion of *Helicobacter Pylori*-Negative Gastric Biopsies of Dyspeptic Patients
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INTRODUCTION: *Helicobacter pylori*-infected individuals may present low-density infection, undetectable by conventional tests such as histology, rapid urease test, or urea breath test. Droplet digital polymerase chain reaction (ddPCR) is more sensitive than other polymerase chain reaction methods. We aimed to evaluate the ability of ddPCR to detect *H. pylori* infection in patients diagnosed as negative by conventional tests.

METHODS: Dyspeptic patients (n = 236) were tested for *H. pylori* by histology, urea breath test, and rapid urease test. Patients were classified as having 3 positive (n = 25, control group), 2 positive (n = 12), one positive (n = 41), or zero positive (n = 158) diagnostic tests. DNA was extracted from gastric biopsies. Triplicate ddPCR testing for each of the 16S rDNA, ureA, and vacA(s) genes was performed using a QX200 ddPCR system (Bio-Rad). A gene was considered positive when detected by at least 2 of 3 repeated ddPCRs. *H. pylori* positivity was defined as having 2 or more positive genes.

RESULTS: All the biopsies of the control patients were positive for all 3 16S rDNA, ureA, and vacA(s) genes. *H. pylori* infection was detected in 57 (36%), 22 (54%), and 9 (75%) patients with zero, 1, and 2 positive diagnostic tests, respectively. The density of infection was 5, 121, 599, and 3,133 copies of *H. pylori* genome equivalents for patients with zero, 1, and 2 of 3 positive test results and for the control group, respectively.

DISCUSSION: ddPCR detected low-density “occult” *H. pylori* infection in a significant proportion (36%) of patients diagnosed as negative by conventional methods. The number of conventional positive tests was related to the density of infection.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL accompanies this paper at http://links.lww.com/CTG/A290
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INTRODUCTION

*H. pylori* infection is associated with dyspeptic symptoms, peptic ulcer, and gastric cancer. Infection is present in more than half of the human population (1). Its mortality is high, mostly related to gastric cancer; in fact, it is responsible for 783,000 deaths each year and is the third cause of cancer death worldwide (2). Adequate diagnosis and treatment of the infection are curative for peptic ulcer and may prevent the development of gastric cancer (3).

Several conventional methods are available for the detection of active *H. pylori* infection. The main noninvasive tests are the urea breath test and the stool *H. pylori* antigen test (4). Invasive tests require endoscopy to obtain tissue biopsies and include rapid urease test, culture, and histological examination (5,6). Among all the available tests, urea breath test and histology are considered the most accurate. The efficacy of all these tests is limited by situations or treatments that decrease bacterial density, such as upper gastrointestinal bleeding or treatment with antibiotics or proton pump inhibitors. Invasive tests are also limited by the possibility of sampling error because of the irregular distribution of bacteria throughout the stomach (7,8).

Many previous studies suggest that subclinical infection with *H. pylori* (i.e., when infection density is below the detection threshold of conventional diagnostic tests [DT]) may be a frequent event. One of the first reports of “occult” infection dates from 2006 when, in a study aimed to describe the bacterial gastric diversity of 23 healthy individuals, Bik et al. found that *H. pylori* was detectable...
by molecular methods in 7 of 11 patients identified as negative by conventional tests (9). Currently, low-density infection has been described in patients on antisecretory or antibiotic treatment (10,11), in patients with extensive atrophy of the gastric mucosa and/or intestinal metaplasia (12), in gastric MALT lymphoma (13,14), and in peptic ulcer bleeding (15).

Having accurate DT is fundamental to ensuring quality of care by avoiding unnecessary treatments and/or the underuse of effective therapies. For infectious diseases, molecular DT may help improve the quality of results provided by monocular tests, microbiology culture, or histology. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR), for instance, has a sensitivity and specificity close to 100% for the detection of *H. pylori* in patients with chronic gastritis or nonpeptic ulcer bleeding (16,17). Moreover, previous studies suggest that PCR is capable of detecting low-density infection in a significant number of patients with dyspepsia compared with conventional techniques (18,19). More recently, a study of histologically *H. pylori* -negative gastritis showed that 49% of patients with chronic mucosal inflammation were positive by PCR (20). Finally, PCR was able to detect active infection even in a proportion of healthy individuals diagnosed as negative for *H. pylori* by conventional tests (21,22).

Furthermore, highly sensitive molecular techniques can help detecting *H. pylori* in clinical settings such as peptic ulcer bleeding, gastric cancer, or MALT lymphoma in which diagnosis of *H. pylori* is important but difficult to achieve. In this context, we demonstrated that real-time PCR improves the detection of *H. pylori* in paraffin samples obtained during an episode of upper gastrointestinal bleeding due to peptic ulcer (23). In addition, there seems to be an association between the density of infection and the virulence of the strain, with virulent strains having the lowest density (24). This latter finding also suggests that low-density infection may be related to more virulent strains and so may not be innocuous.

The latest commercially available refinement in PCR technology is digital PCR (dPCR) (25). dPCR is a quantitative technology that shows increased sensitivity when compared with conventional or real-time PCR methods while maintaining specificity (26,27). dPCR has proved to be useful for the detection of infectious agents in a variety of sample types and, specifically, in the detection and genotyping of resistance genes in *H. pylori* infection (28–30) and to determine the role of CYP219 polymorphisms in triple therapy efficacy (31).

Droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) is a method for performing dPCR that is based on the generation of water-oil emulsion droplets. In ddPCR, the PCR reaction of an individual sample is fractionated into thousands of droplets (20,000) and subjected to end point PCR. Amplification of the template molecules occurs in each individual droplet, and positive droplets are counted using a fluorescence detector.

In this study, our aim was to evaluate the presence of low-density *H. pylori* infection in dyspeptic patients diagnosed as negative by rapid urease test, urea breath test, and/or histology using highly sensitive ddPCR.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Study subjects**

Outpatients sent to the endoscopy unit of the Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí for dyspeptic symptoms from 2006 to 2014 were prospectively recruited for our study. Patients were contacted before the endoscopy. Those who agreed to participate in the study were instructed to avoid all antisecretory drugs including proton pump inhibitors in the 2 weeks before the endoscopy. Patients who were unable to stop antisecretory drugs, those who had received antibiotics in the 4 weeks before the endoscopy, and those with previous *H. pylori* treatment were excluded. Before the endoscopy, the patient provided signed informed consent, and urea breath test was performed. During endoscopy, 4 antral biopsies were obtained: 2 for histology, one for the urease test and culture, and one for molecular analysis. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethics committee of the Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí (Id. number 2015611).

**Conventional DT**

The DT (histology, rapid urease test, urea breath test, and ddPCR) were performed by technicians who were unaware of the results of the other assessments.

The urea breath test was performed with the UBiTest 100 mg (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Europe, Barcelona, Spain) in accordance with the manufacturer’s specifications. A basal breath sample was collected by blowing into a specially designed bag. After this, patients took a pill of 100 mg of ¹³C -labeled urea in 100 mL of water and filled a second breath bag 20 minutes later. The samples were immediately processed by nondispersive infrared spectrophotometry (POCo-nnTM Infrared Spectrophotometer, Otsuka Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan). In accordance with the manufacturer’s specifications, an increase in the proportion of ¹³CO₂/¹²CO₂ (%) of 2.5% or more after urea intake was considered as indicative of *H. pylori* infection.

Rapid urease test was performed after mucosal sampling using the Jatrox-HP test (CHR Heim Arzneimittel GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) and was read according to the manufacturer’s specifications.

For histology, biopsies were collected in formalin, stained with Giemsa, and then evaluated by 2 pathologists specialized in digestive disease. The pathologists were blinded to the results of the other DT.

**H. pylori detection by ddPCR**

DNA from the endoscopic biopsies was isolated with MasterPure DNA purification kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI). Isolated DNA was quantified with a Quantifluor-P Fluorometer Quantus NGS (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI), and the concentration was adjusted to 50 ng/µL with TE Buffer Low EDTA Ultrapure, USB (USB Corporation Cleveland, OH).

TaqMan hydrolysis probe assays were used to amplify the fragments of the 16S ribosomal ribonucleic acid (rRNA) and ureA genes of *H. pylori*. The intercalating EvaGreen assay was used to amplify the vacA gene (s segment) of *H. pylori*. Primers and probes used to amplify the target genes are listed in Table 1, Supplementary Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A290.

The ddPCR conditions performed using the QX200 Droplet Digital PCR System (Bio-Rad, Pleasanton, CA) are summarized in the supplementary material file, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A290.

The results of ddPCR were generated using QX200 Droplet Reader (Bio-Rad) and analyzed using QuantaSoft software version 1.7.4.0917 (Bio-Rad). Each of the 3 16S rRNA, ureA, and vacA genes were amplified in triplicate by ddPCR. A ddPCR reaction was considered positive if one or more droplets were positive for EvaGreen reactions and/or TaqMan reactions.

To minimize false positive results, we set the restrictive criteria. A biopsy was considered positive for a given gene when at least 2 of the 3 replicates were positive, and *H. pylori* infection was considered present only if 2 or all of the 3 genes tested were positive ddPCRs.

**Human β-actin amplification**

The quality of the DNA isolated from the biopsy specimens was confirmed by an initial PCR. We used PCR on each DNA extract.
to amplify a 83 bp fragment from the β-actin gene region (ACTB gene, Prime Time qPCR Assay, IDT, Coralville, IA).

Bacterial strains
To evaluate the specificity of the technique for vacA (s), ureA, and 16S rRNA, 11 bacterial species were used, obtained either from the clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Corynebacterium sp.*, *Proteus sp.*, *Candida albicans*, and *Neisseria meningitidis* or from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Rockville, MD) of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and *Haemophilus influenzae*. Strains J99 and 26695 of *H. pylori* were used as a positive control.

Data and statistical analysis
The mean number of *H. pylori* copies of genome equivalents were compared across all groups with a Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn post hoc tests. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 6 (La Jolla) and Microsoft Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corp). Significance was set at *P* < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Study subjects**
A total of 634 dyspeptic patients were initially recruited. Sixty-one patients with some DT not performed were excluded. Of the remaining 573 patients with 3 DT performed, 322 were negative for some or all tests. In this group, 111 patients lacking clinical data (n = 35) or insufficient biopsy sample (n = 34) were excluded as were patients diagnosed with intestinal metaplasia, lymphocytic gastri-tis, neuroendocrine tumor, or hyperplastic polyps (n = 42). Of the 251 patients with all positive DT, 25 were randomly selected as a positive control group.

Finally, 236 patients with dyspeptic symptoms who had valid results of 3 conventional DT were selected for the molecular analysis. Patients were classified as having 3 positive (n = 25, control group), 0 positive (n = 158), 1 positive (n = 41), or 2 positive results (n = 12) by conventional DT (Figure 1). Patient characteristics, including endoscopic and histological diagnoses, are shown in Table 1.

**Validation of PCR assays**
All the antral biopsy specimens from the 25 positive controls were positive on all 3 PCR assays. On the other hand, all samples were positive for human β-actin amplification, indicating that no PCR inhibitors were present.

**Species specificity of the PCR assays**
All non-*Helicobacter* species were negative for the 3 genes amplified (vacA, ureA, and 16S). The 2 strains of *H. pylori* were positive for all the 3 genes analyzed.

**H. pylori detection frequency and copies of genome equivalents quantified by ddPCR**
H. pylori infection was detected by ddPCR in 57 (36%) patients with zero positive DT, in 22 (54%) with one positive DT, and in 9 (75%) with two positive DT (Table 2). The distribution frequency of the amplified genes is shown in Table 2. Individual results of the triplicate ddPCR for each are shown in Figure 2 and Tables 2 and 3, Supplementary Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A290. All the biopsies of the three positive DT control patients were positive for 16S rDNA, ureA, and vacA(s) genes.

The number of conventional positive tests was related to the density of the infection (Figure 3). The density of the infection in the three positive DT control group was 1.88 × 10^4, 1.11 × 10^4, and 6.40 × 10^3 copies of *H. pylori* genome equivalents for vacA(s), ureA, and 16S rRNA, respectively. The infection density then decreased progressively by 3 orders of magnitude down to ~10 copies in the zero positive DT group (Figure 3 and Table 4, Supplementary Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/CTG/A290). These differences in infection density were significant for each of the groups when compared with the zero positive DT samples.

**Role of the histological findings for detecting “occult” H. pylori infection**
Chronic active gastritis (mucosal neutrophil infiltration) was found in 24 of 25 patients with three positive DT (96%) and in only 4 of 158 patients with zero positive DT. As expected, chronic active gastritis increased proportionally with the number of positive DT: 9 of 41 patients with one positive DT.
DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that ddPCR detects “occult” *H. pylori* in a significant proportion (36%) of dyspeptic patients diagnosed as negative by 3 conventional methods. The prevalence of infection in patients with 1 or 2 positive tests was even higher, at 49% and 75%, respectively. Thus, ddPCR seems to be more sensitive than conventional tests. In addition, because it is a quantitative method, we were able to quantify the density of the infection. Quantification indicated that *H. pylori* escapes conventional DT when the bacterial load is low; in fact, we found a clear linear correlation between the bacterial density and the number of positive conventional tests (Figure 2).

Several authors suggest that PCR-based diagnosis can be considered a gold standard, provided that specific *H. pylori* primers are used to target more than one conserved gene (32–34). In our case, to avoid false positive results, ddPCR positivity was determined on the amplification of 3 specific *H. pylori* genes, each of them in triplicate.

### Table 1. Patient characteristics

|                | 0 DT *Hp*(+)(n = 158) | 1 DT *Hp*(+)(n = 41) | 2 DT *Hp*(+)(n = 12) | 3 DT *Hp*(+)(n = 25) |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Gender (male/female) (n) | 55/103 | 17/24 | 3/9 | 14/11 |
| Age (mean ± SD) | 47 ± 14 | 49 ± 14 | 50 ± 18 | 47 ± 14 |
| Endoscopic diagnosis (n): | | | | |
| Normal | 128 | 39 | 8 | 15 |
| Duodenal ulcer/erosive duodenitis | 21 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Gastric ulcer | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Esophagitis (LA A or B/LA C or D) | 2/1 | 0 | 0 | 2/2 |
| Other | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Histological diagnosis (n): | | | | |
| Gastritis (no/yes/Nd) | 11/144/3 | 3/88/0 | 0/12/0 | 0/25/0 |
| Gastritis activity (no/yes/Nd) | 150/4/4 | 32/9/0 | 3/9/0 | 1/24/0 |
| Gastritis severity (0/1/2/Nd) | 12/141/2/3 | 3/53/0 | 2/7/3/0 | 0/15/10/0 |
| Lymphoid follicle (no/yes/Nd) | 120/29/9 | 24/1/7/0 | 4/6/2 | 8/15/2 |
| *Helicobacter pylori* | | | | |
| Metaplasia/atrophy | 0/1 | 0/3 | 0/0 | 0/0 |

DT, diagnostic tests; LA, Los Angeles esophagitis classification; Nd, no data; mild (A or B) or severe (C or D).

### Table 2. Distribution frequency of the amplified genes by ddPCR

| No. of *Hp*(+) genes | *Hp* gene | ddPCR positive for *Hp* (n(%)) |          |          |          |
|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
|                      |           | 0 DT *Hp*(+)(158 patients)   | 1 DT *Hp*(+)(41 patients)   | 2 DT *Hp*(+)(12 patients)   |
| 1                    | vacA (s)  | 54 (34%)                      | 30 (73%) | 9 (75%)  |
|                      | ureA      | 76 (48%)                      | 23 (56%) | 9 (75%)  |
|                      | 16S       | 59 (37%)                      | 22 (54%) | 11 (92%) |
| 2                    | vacA(s)-ureA | 38 (24%)                  | 20 (49%) | 9 (75%)  |
|                      | vacA(s)-16S | 38 (24%)                  | 20 (49%) | 9 (75%)  |
|                      | ureA-16S  | 47 (30%)                      | 20 (49%) | 9 (75%)  |
| 3                    | vacA-ureA-16S | 33 (21%)                  | 19 (46%) | 9 (75%)  |
| 2 or 3               | 2 or 3 ddPCR *Hp* (+) | 57 (36%)                  | 22 (49%) | 9 (75%)  |

ddPCR, droplet digital polymerase chain reaction; DT, diagnostic tests.
In addition, we selected primers that showed a very high specificity in previous publications (23,35). Therefore, our study suggests that ddPCR may be a useful alternative for detecting H. pylori infection, especially for patients with very low-degree, “occult” infection. In fact, the results suggest that persistent low-density infection may remain undetected in a proportion of patients submitted to endoscopy for dyspepsia. The potential causal role of the infection in these symptoms, however, remains to be determined.

Standard tests are suboptimal in certain clinical situations such as MALT lymphoma, peptic ulcer bleeding, or extensive atrophy. Several reports have indicated the presence of H. pylori at low levels in these clinical settings. For example, Raderer et al. (14) reported 6 patients with gastric MALT lymphoma with negative results for all H. pylori conventional tests who presented complete resolution of the disease after H. pylori treatment; the authors attributed this finding to “occult” infection. In addition, Guell et al. (15) reported that 79% of the patients with peptic ulcer bleeding who tested negative for H. pylori during the bleeding episode had active infection, which was only detected when the tests were repeated a few weeks after the episode. Our group previously reported that real-time PCR detects H. pylori in more than two-thirds of histology-negative samples from biopsies obtained during an episode of bleeding (23) and in 27% of histology-negative samples from dyspeptic patients with a “false positive” urea breath test (36). The prevalence and relevance of “occult” H. pylori infection in dyspeptic patients or in those with ulcer are currently unknown. It is possible, for example, that “occult” infection may account for a proportion of idiopathic peptic ulcers, an entity that seems to be increasingly frequent worldwide (37). It may be worth investigating the prevalence of “occult” infection in these cases. ddPCR examinations in the long-term studies after eradication treatment would also be of interest.

Apart from MALT lymphoma, peptic ulcer bleeding, and gastric atrophy, a growing body of evidence indicates that occult infection may pass undetected after one or more of the conventional tests in dyspeptic patients without these conditions, and even in healthy volunteers. In a study of the gastric microbiota of 23 healthy individuals, Bik et al. (21) analyzed H. pylori infection by rapid urease test, histology, culture, serology, and 16S rRNA sequencing and detected H. pylori infection in 12 individuals by conventional tests (52%) and in 19 of the 23 by molecular tests (83%). Kiss et al. (20) showed that approximately 50% of histological gastritis and H. pylori-negative are PCR positive. Some authors suggest that patients with chronic active gastritis in the absence of visible H. pylori should be considered as infected individuals in whom H. pylori has temporarily disappeared from the mucosa because of PPI or antibiotic use (38). This topic remains, however, unclear. Analyzing epidemiological data, the same authors also suggested that chronic active gastritis in the absence of H. pylori may be a distinct entity with an as yet unknown etiologic agent (39). As an alternative, occult H. pylori infection may help explain this situation. Conversely, even in the absence of active gastritis, H. pylori has been detected by immunohistochemistry (40) or histology (41). Our study reports similar findings using a far
more sensitive test such as ddPCR and adds support to the various reports in the literature suggesting that undetectable infection is not exceptional. For example, it is well-known that antisycretory drugs or antibiotics may reduce the density of the infection to levels undetectable by conventional tests; for this reason, it is recommended that these drugs should be stopped in the weeks before the DT are carried out (11). However, the use of PPIs does not seem to explain the occurrence of “occult” infection in this study. Patients were interviewed by telephone before inclusion in the study and instructed to avoid PPIs and antibiotics. On the day of endoscopy, patients were asked again about PPI and antibiotic use before the urea breath test and the endoscopy, and those who had not stopped the drugs were excluded.

A limitation of our study is that the molecular tests were performed on a single antral biopsy. It is well-known that H. pylori can show a patchy distribution in the stomach, and the more biopsies are performed, the higher the diagnostic accuracy (42). However, the current cost of ddPCR limited the number of biopsies that could be analyzed for each patient. Another limitation is that because the samples we analyzed were negative by conventional tests, we were unable to create a control group of patients who were unequivocally negative.

At present, we still do not know the relevance of the “occult” H. pylori infection. Conceivably, the low levels of infection may be an advantage for these patients, protecting them from disease by modulating the adjacent microbiome or the immune system. Furthermore, the absence of active gastritis may suggest that occult infection is associated with a lower risk of severe clinical manifestations. However, other data suggest that patients with occult H. pylori infection may present especially virulent or resistant strains different from those found in high-density infections (24). If so, it would be important to detect and treat this unnoticed occult infection to prevent transmission, peptic ulcer, and gastric cancer. Further studies are needed to characterize low-density infection and to determine the factors that modulate the bacterial load to prevent antibiotic resistances and new infections.

In conclusion, ddPCR detected low-density “occult” H. pylori infection in a significant proportion of dyspeptic patients diagnosed as negative by conventional methods. Digital PCR seems to be more sensitive than histology and may be a very useful tool for detecting Helicobacter in a subgroup of patients in whom the infection is not identified by conventional methods.
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Study Highlights

WHAT IS KNOWN

- Several conventional methods are available for the detection of active H. pylori infection; the efficacy of all these tests, however, is limited by specific situations or treatments that decrease bacterial density.
- Subclinical infection with H. pylori may be a frequent event in dyspeptic patients, and low Helicobacter density may be associated with virulent strains.
- Highly sensitive molecular techniques can help to detect H. pylori in clinical settings in which diagnosis of H. pylori is important but difficult to achieve.
- ddPCR is a quantitative technology that shows increased sensitivity compared with conventional or real-time PCR methods while maintaining the levels of specificity.

WHAT IS NEW HERE

- We found that ddPCR detected low-density “occult” H. pylori infection in a significant proportion of dyspeptic patients diagnosed as negative by three conventional methods.
- Quantification indicated that H. pylori escapes conventional diagnostic tests when the bacterial load is low. We found a clear linear correlation between the bacterial density and the number of positive conventional tests.

TRANSLATIONAL IMPACT

- ddPCR may be a useful alternative for detecting H. pylori infection, especially for patients with very low-degree, “occult” infection.
- It is important to detect and treat this occult infection to prevent transmission, peptic ulcer, and gastric cancer.

REFERENCES
1. Hooi JKY, Lai WY, Ng WK, et al. Global prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastroenterology 2017; 153:420–9.
2. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018;68:394–424.
3. O’Connor A, O’Morain CA, Ford AC. Population screening and treatment of Helicobacter pylori infection. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;14:230–40.
4. Best LM, Takwoingi Y, Siddique S, et al. Non-invasive diagnostic tests for Helicobacter pylori infection. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018;3:CD012080.
5. Calvet X. Diagnosis of Helicobacter pylori infection in the proton pump inhibitor era. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2015;44:507–18.
6. Lehours P. Actual diagnosis of Helicobacter pylori infection. Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol 2018;64:267–79.
7. Misra V, Misra S, Dwivedi M, et al. A topographic study of Helicobacter pylori density, distribution and associated gastritis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2000;15:737–43.
8. Genta RM, Graham DY. Comparison of biopsy sites for the histopathologic diagnosis of Helicobacter pylori: A topographic study of H. pylori density and distribution. Gastrointest Endosc 1994;40:342–5.
9. Satoh K, Kimura K, Taniguchi Y, et al. Biopsy sites suitable for the histopathologic diagnosis of Helicobacter pylori infection and the assessment of the extent of atrophic gastritis. Am J Gastroenterol 1998;93:569–73.
10. Laine L, Estrada R, Trujillo M, et al. Effect of proton-pump inhibitor therapy on diagnostic testing for Helicobacter pylori. Ann Intern Med 1998;129:547–50.
11. Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O’Morain CA, et al. Management of Helicobacter pylori infection—the maastricht V/Florence consensus report. Gut 2017;66:6–30.
12. Kang HY, Kim N, Park YS, et al. Progression of atrophic gastritis and intestinal metaplasia drives Helicobacter pylori out of the gastric mucosa. Dig Dis Sci 2006;51:2310–5.

13. Lahner E, Milione M, Delle Fave G, et al. H. pylori negative MALT lymphoma patients successfully treated with antibiotics: Doubts about their H. pylori negativity. Gut 2006;55:1669.

14. Raderer M, Streubel B, Wöhrer S, et al. Successful antibiotic treatment of Helicobacter pylori negative gastric mucosa associated lymphoid tissue lymphomas. Gut 2006;55:616–8.

15. Güell M, Artigau E, Esteve V, et al. Usefulness of a delayed test for the diagnosis of Helicobacter pylori infection in bleeding peptic ulcer. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006;23:53–9.

16. Lin HJ, Lo WC, Perng CL, et al. Mucosal polymerase chain reaction for diagnosing Helicobacter pylori infection in patients with bleeding peptic ulcers. World J Gastroenterol 2005;11:382–5.

17. He Q, Wang JP, Osato M, et al. Real-time quantitative PCR for detection of Helicobacter pylori. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:3720–8.

18. Weiss J, Tsang TK, Meng X, et al. Detection of Helicobacter pylori gastritis by PCR: Correlation with inflammation scores and immunohistochemical and CLO test findings. Am J Clin Pathol 2008;129:89–96.

19. Chen T, Meng X, Zhang H, et al. Comparing multiplex PCR and rapid urease test in the detection of H. pylori in patients on proton pump inhibitors. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2012;2012:898276.

20. Kiss S, Zaikla V, Frank A, et al. Helicobacter-negative gastritis: Polymerase chain reaction for Helicobacter DNA is a valuable tool to elucidate the diagnosis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2016;43:924–32.

21. Bik EM, Eckburg PB, Gill SR, et al. Molecular analysis of the bacterial microbiota in the human stomach. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006;103: 732–7.

22. Thorell K, Bengtsson-Palme J, Liu OHF, et al. In vivo analysis of the viable microbiota and Helicobacter pylori transcriptome in gastric infection and early stages of carcinogenesis. Infect Immun 2017;85(10):e00031–17.

23. Ramírez-Lázaro MJ, Lario S, Casalots A, et al. Real-time PCR improves Helicobacter pylori detection in patients with peptic ulcer bleeding. PLoS ONE 2011;6:e20009.

24. van Doorn LJ, Henskens Y, Nouhan N, et al. The efficacy of laboratory diagnosis of Helicobacter pylori infections in gastric biopsy specimens is related to bacterial density and vacA, cagA, and iceA genotypes. J Clin Microbiol 2000;38:13–7.

25. Quan PL, Sauzade M, Brouzes E. dPCR: A technology review. Sensors (Basel) 2018;18(4):1271.

26. Hindson CM, Chevillet JR, Briggs HA, et al. Absolute quantification by droplet digital PCR versus analog real-time PCR. Nat Methods 2013;10: 1003–5.

27. Cao L, Cui X, Hu J, et al. Advances in digital polymerase chain reaction (dPCR) and its emerging biomedical applications. Biosens Bioelectron 2017:90:459–74.

28. Talarico S, Safaeian M, Gonzalez P, et al. Quantitative detection and genotyping of Helicobacter pylori from stool using droplet digital PCR reveals variation in bacterial loads that correlates with cagA virulence gene carriage. Helicobacter 2016;21:325–33.

29. Sun L, Talarico S, Yao L, et al. Droplet digital PCR-based detection of clarithromycin resistance in Helicobacter pylori isolates reveals frequent heteroresistance. J Clin Microbiol 2018;56(9):e00019–18.

30. Talarico S, Korson AS, Leverich CK et al. High prevalence of H. pylori clarithromycin resistance mutations among Seattle patients measured by droplet digital PCR Helicobacter 2018;23(2):e12472.

31. Ram MS, Teh X, Rajakumar T, et al. Polymorphisms in the host CYP2C19 gene and antibiotic-resistance attributes of Helicobacter pylori isolates influence the outcome of triple therapy. J Antimicrob Chemother 2019; 74:11–6.

32. Rocha M, Avenaud P, Ménard A, et al. Association of Helicobacter species with hepatitis C cirrhosis with or without hepatocellular carcinoma. Gut 2005;54:396–401.

33. Cirak MY, Ozdek A, Yilmaz D, et al. Detection of Helicobacter pylori and its CagA gene in tonsil and adenoid tissues by PCR. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2003;129:1225–9.

34. Lehours P, Mégraud F. Helicobacter pylori molecular diagnosis. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 2011;11:351–5.

35. Companioni O, Bonet C, García N, et al. Genetic variation analysis in a follow-up study of gastric cancer precursor lesions confirms the association of MUC2 variants with the evolution of the lesions and identifies a significant association with NFKB1 and CD14. Int J Cancer 2018;143:2777–86.

36. Ramírez-Lázaro MJ, Lario S, Calvet X, et al. Occult H. pylori infection partially explains ‘false-positive’ results of 13C-urea breath test. United Eur Gastroenterol J 2015;3:437–42.

37. Gisbert JP, Calvet X. Review article: Helicobacter pylori-negative duodenal ulcer disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2009;30:791–815.

38. Genta RM, Sonnenberg A. Letter: Effect of proton pump inhibitor use on invasive detection of Helicobacter pylori gastritis–authors’ reply. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2015;41:600.

39. Genta RM, Sonnenberg A. Helicobacter-negative gastritis: A distinct entity unrelated to Helicobacter pylori infection. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2015;41:218–26.

40. Goldstein NS. Chronic inactive gastritis and coccoid Helicobacter pylori in patients treated for gastroesophageal reflux disease or with H pylori eradication therapy. Am J Clin Pathol 2002;118:719–26.

41. Shiota S, Thrift AP, Green L, et al. Clinical manifestations of Helicobacter pylori-negative gastritis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2002;15:1037–46.e3.

42. El-Zimaity H, Serra S, Szentgyorgyi E, et al. Gastric biopsies: The gap between evidence-based medicine and daily practice in the management of gastric Helicobacter pylori infection. Can J Gastroenterol 2013;27: e25–30.

Open Access This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.