Review of plasmonic fiber optic biochemical sensors: improving the limit of detection
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Abstract This paper presents a brief overview of the technologies used to implement surface plasmon resonance (SPR) effects into fiber-optic sensors for chemical and biochemical applications and a survey of results reported over the last ten years. The performance indicators that are relevant for such systems, such as refractometric sensitivity, operating wavelength, and figure of merit (FOM), are discussed and listed in table form. A list of experimental results with reported limits of detection (LOD) for proteins, toxins, viruses, DNA, bacteria, glucose, and various chemicals is also provided for the same time period. Configurations discussed include fiber-optic analogues of the Kretschmann–Raether prism SPR platforms, made from geometry-modified multimode and single-mode optical fibers (unclad, side-polished, tapered, and U-shaped), long period fiber gratings (LPFG), tilted fiber Bragg gratings (TFBG), and specialty fibers (plastic or polymer, microstructured, and photonic crystal fibers). Configurations involving the excitation of surface plasmon polaritons (SPP) on continuous thin metal layers as well as those involving localized SPR (LSPR) phenomena in nanoparticle metal coatings of gold, silver, and other metals at visible and near-infrared wavelengths are described and compared quantitatively.
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Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to review advances in optical-fiber-based, label-free direct detection devices using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) effects. Throughout the paper, but apart from context-specific instances, the SPR acronym will be used both for devices involving surface plasmon polaritons (SPP) along metal surfaces and for localized SPR (or LSPR) which refers to three-dimensional plasmon resonances in metal particles. Furthermore, SPR is meant here in its broadest possible sense, i.e., for the measurement of the properties of light waves interacting with nanoscale metal particles or films [1–3]. Using such resonances in sensing has been the object of much research, dating back over 20 years, as reviewed elsewhere [4–8]. More specialized reviews dealing with fiber-based SPR sensors also appeared up to five years ago [9, 10]. Based on these pioneering investigations, research in the last few years has led to notable advances. These advances go beyond laboratory proof-of-principle experiments and report impressive limits of detection (LOD) in real-life applications, using both conventional configurations and new device geometries. It was therefore felt that a critical survey of recent developments would be useful at this time so that research groups and user communities could get a good understanding about the performance of current technologies and methods as well as about the potential of the newer ones.

The rapid and accurate detection of analytes in small concentration (proteins, ADN, pathological markers, toxins etc.) is crucial in numerous fields such as medical diagnosis, environmental monitoring, or quality control in the food industry.
In the context of these applications, detection systems can be divided into two general categories: laboratory-based and field-based systems, where “field” is taken to mean detecting in samples where they happen to be located in contrast to having to bring samples back to a laboratory. A further distinction can be made between direct detection and labeled method, whereby the latter requires some sort of tag added to the analyte in order to enable its detection. Direct detection methods are generally preferred over labeled approaches from the point of view of cost and ease of use (and for field use in particular), but direct detection is also generally less sensitive because labeling enables the use of additional selection and amplification methods that raise the signal level of very small concentrations over the background response of samples.

The use of optical-fiber devices as sensors presents many well-known desirable features (size, cost, light path control) for both labeled and label-free methods but those advantages are best expressed in label-free solutions as they contribute to the overall reduction in costs and ease of use factors [11, 12]. Fiber-optic sensors can be inserted into the media to be sensed (instead of having to bring samples inside an instrument) either as a hand-held probe or as a set of remotely operated devices along a fiber-optic cable (in environmental monitoring applications for instance). Of course, fiber-based sensing solutions are not competitive with bulk optic laboratory instrumentation (like microplate array systems) in applications such as pharmaceutical research where a large number of tests need to be performed simultaneously in parallel. However there appears to be interesting niche applications where fiber sensors’ potential low cost and ease of use could lead to widespread deployment, such as screening for viral or bacterial infections, checking for specific toxins in food processing plants, and monitoring the water quality in urban water supply systems or in the environment surrounding toxicogenetic industries and resource extraction operations.

The current review describes results obtained since the mid-2000s, and covers advances in sensor design, sensor interrogation techniques, surface functionalization, and the use of either custom designed or mass-produced conventional fibers in sensor fabrication. Among the many interesting developments that occurred, the most notable feature has been the demonstration of LODs that approach those achieved using much larger, costlier, laboratory tools. These achievements are the results of improvements in instrumentation, fibers, coatings, and coating characterization tools, and also in our theoretical understanding of plasmonic interactions between light waves, metals, and dielectrics at the nanoscale, which in turn allow for better data analysis techniques.

Following a brief introduction about the theoretical underpinnings of fiber SPR devices, the paper is organized around a classification according to device geometry (whole fiber, modified fiber, custom designed fiber), to the “kind” of plasmonic interaction (excitation of SPPs in continuous thin metal layers, excitation of LSPRs in nanoparticles), and to the interrogation technique (mainly spectral absorption and grating-assisted mode coupling, as well as multimode vs single-mode fibers). A general survey of the literature is presented in table form where the main characteristics and performance indicators of representative reported results are given. Those performance indicators include the bulk refractometric sensitivity, which indicates how the device responds to changes in its environment and is usually the first metric used to predict the performance of (bio)chemical sensors. However, refractometry is definitely not the main purpose of SPR sensors and the last section presents another group of publications that report on the performance of fiber SPR devices in actual applications, as measured by their experimentally determined analyte LODs. The most striking finding is that widely different approaches, from the “standard” cladding-removed, gold-coated multimode fiber with spectral interrogation, to very sophisticated, nano-patterned customized fiber design, with grating-assisted devices in between, are all able to achieve impressive LODs. This is likely because the most important factors in lowering the LOD and increasing the specificity in label-free detection lie in the noise properties of sources and detectors [13], as well as in the quality of the surface functionalization, where great advances have been made over the last few years [14, 15].

**SPR generation on optical fibers**

Surface plasmon polaritons (SPP)

The most common approach to excite surface plasmon waves on thin metal films is the Kretschmann–Raether configuration sketched in Fig. 1 [2]. In this approach, light is injected through a prism towards a plane face coated by a thin layer of noble metal. The incidence angle at the glass–metal
interface is chosen to be larger than the critical angle so that light is totally internally reflected. The evanescent wave associated with the total reflection propagates along the glass–metal interface and can transfer energy to an SPP of the opposite metal-surrounding medium interface when its propagation constant (parallel to the metallic surface) equals that of the SPP. In this configuration, such SPP can only occur at the external surface of the metal film because its propagation constant is too large to allow for radiation there. On the inner metal surface, a radiative wave exists and the tangential component of its propagation constant is necessarily too short to excite the SPP of that interface. The other condition necessary for the excitation of the SPP wave is that the polarization of the light must be perpendicular to the metal surface, i.e., TM-like. Since the SPP has a single well-defined propagation constant that depends only on the permittivities of the metal and of its surroundings, there are only certain combinations of wavelength and incidence angle that can excite the SPP. Such excitation corresponds to a transfer of power from the incident light beam and it is revealed by detecting a decrease in the reflected power. In sensing applications where the Kretschmann–Raether prism is used to measure the permittivity of the surrounding medium (or the effect on permittivity of material and molecules attached to the surface), three methods can be used to measure the SPP resonance condition and its changes. Angular interrogation consists of using monochromatic light and precisely scanning incidence angle values above the critical angle. In spectral interrogation the incidence angle is fixed and a broadband or tunable light source is used to detect resonances. Finally, the relative phase shift between TE and TM incident light as a function of wavelength or angle can also be used [16]. The surface plasmon resonance condition thus measured is strongly sensitive to surrounding refractive index (SRI) changes, and it is used in practice to measure density fluctuations, thickness changes, and molecular adsorption when bioreceptors are anchored on the metallic surface.

Localized surface plasmon resonances (LSPR)

In contrast to SPP that are lossy waves propagating along continuous metal surfaces, the LSPR is an optical phenomenon generated by light waves trapped within conductive nanoparticles (NPs) with dimensions smaller than the wavelength of light. It results from the interaction between the incident light and electrons in the conduction band of the metal [17]. This interaction produces coherent localized plasmon oscillations with a resonant frequency that strongly depends on the composition, size, geometry, dielectric environment, and particle-to-particle separation of the NPs. Commonly used materials for NPs are noble metals such as Ag and Au, which exhibit LSPR in the visible range of the spectrum. The detection of LSPR for sensing consists of measuring changes in the absorption spectra of broadband light waves moving through NP dispersed in liquids or deposited on solid substrates. Similar to SPP, the LSPR is revealed by an increase in absorption at a certain wavelength, and this wavelength changes when the immediate vicinity of the NPs is modified. One significant difference between SPR and LSPR is that the use of NPs can lead to much increased surface contact area compared to continuous thin films, thereby providing more opportunity for small concentrations of analytes to bind to the metals and modify the measured resonances.

Optical fiber implementations of surface plasmon sensors

Plasmonic optical fiber sensors constitute miniaturized counterparts to bulky prisms and microscope systems used to probe SPR and LSPR. They allow remote and real-time operation in microfluidic chambers and they have the potential for in vivo measurements. However optical fibers are designed to guide light with as little loss as possible and are therefore constructed in such a way that light gets totally internally reflected at an internal interface, i.e., at the boundary between a core and a layer of cladding that prevents light from reaching the surroundings. So in order to use fiber-guided light to interact with metal coatings or particles and excite plasmonic resonances, the light path must be interrupted or modified. The early fiber optic SPR sensors were based on structures where the cladding was removed to expose the core surface but many other configurations have emerged since then. In all cases, spectral interrogation is used (whether through conventional absorption or reflection spectrum measurement or by measuring changes in the transmission or reflection of a narrowband light beam with a wavelength located on the shoulder of an SPR resonance). Finally, some configurations use a “doubly resonant” system where a natural system resonance (that of a grating for instance) is perturbed by the presence of an SPR or LSPR.

On light polarization in plasmonic optical fiber sensors

It was mentioned in “Surface plasmon polaritons (SPP)” that the excitation of SPP required the light to be TM polarized, i.e., perpendicularly to the metal surface. This is obviously an issue for cylindrical fibers since fiber modes are generally hybrid with spatially varying three-dimensional electric fields. The consequence of this is that only part of the light internally reflected at the exposed core boundary is of the correct polarization state and therefore the maximum attenuation possible is of the order of 50 % (for unpolarized or randomly polarized light). This issue cannot be overcome by the use of polarization-maintaining fiber since linearly polarized light is only perpendicular to the metal surface along two diametrically opposed locations. However, mechanically polished fibers with a D-shape structure do have a flat metal surface and their response can be optimized with properly oriented
incident linearly polarized light. A notable exception to this issue will be mentioned in the context of tilted fiber Bragg grating (TFBG) sensors, where the selective excitation of cladding modes with almost 100% radially polarized light at the cladding surface is possible. Finally, polarization is normally not a concern for LSPR-based sensors because of the three-dimensional nature of the metal surfaces.

It is the main purpose of the present paper to review recent advances in fiber SPR sensors based on these geometries. The most relevant configurations can be classified into three categories. They are summarized in “Recent fiber optic SPR sensors” while their main performance indicators are presented in Table 1.

The main performance indicators for plasmonic biochemical sensors are their sensitivity, accuracy, repeatability, and LOD. The sensitivity is the ratio of the change in the sensor output (e.g., wavelength, amplitude, angle of incidence) versus change in the measurand (e.g., density, thickness, analyte concentration). The accuracy defines the degree to which the sensor readout value corresponds to the actual value of the measurand. The repeatability refers to the sensor ability to reproduce the same response under the same stimulus over many repetitions. Finally, the LOD corresponds to the lowest concentration of analyte that the sensor is able to detect (above the measurement noise). When comparing the sensor performances from one configuration to another, it is insufficient to compare only sensitivities (i.e., wavelength shifts), without considering the wavelength measurement accuracy. It is therefore more convenient to refer to the figure of merit (FOM) of the device. The FOM is proportional to the ratio between the wavelength shift sensitivity and the linewidth of the resonance, taking into account that it is easier to measure the exact location of a narrow resonance than a broad one [18].

**Recent fiber optic SPR sensors**

**Geometry-modified fibers**

This first category groups plasmonic optical fiber sensors based on a modification of the optical fiber that brings the core-guided light in direct contact with the surrounding medium, and where the SPR response is obtained from the transmission spectra. The most straightforward configurations consist in removing the cladding entirely or in part, via a chemical etching process or by side-polishing, as sketched in Fig. 2 [19–27]. Such unclad or D-shaped sensors are most often used at operating wavelengths between 500 and 800 nm and in recent years unclad sensors have been made from very large core fibers, in the range from 200 μm and 600 μm instead of small core single-mode fibers, such as those used in communication systems, because cladding removal alters the mechanical resistance of pristine fiber surfaces [19, 21]. Another variant on the same approach consists of making a narrow trench in the cladding with a femtosecond laser source, thereby exposing a narrow strip of the core, where NPs can be deposited to form an LSPR sensor [27]. It is worth mentioning that wavelength interrogation of SPR usually requires a fixed incidence angle to be effective, otherwise there are many combinations of wavelength and angle that satisfy the phase-matching condition for the excitation of the SPP. In these

| Sensor configuration | Wavelength range | Ultimate bulk refractometry | Figure of merit | Reference |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| I. Geometry-modified fibers | | | | |
| Unclad/etched fiber | 500–800 nm | ca. 4,000 nm/RIU | ca. 40 | [19–22] |
| Side-polished/D-shaped fiber | 500–800 nm | ca. 3,200 nm/RIU | ca. 64 | [23–27] |
| Tapered fiber | 500–800 nm | ca. 11,800 nm/RIU | ca. 118 | [28–34] |
| Hetero-core structure | 500–800 nm | ca. 5,000 nm/RIU | ca. 33 | [35, 36] |
| U-shaped fiber | 500–800 nm | ca. 30 Δλ/RIU | N/A | [37] |
| Arrayed fiber end face | 500–1,600 nm | ca. 120 nm/RIU, ca. 17 Δλ/RIU | ca. 1 | [38–41] |
| II. Grating-assisted fibers | | | | |
| LPFGs | 800–1,200 nm | ca. 10 ΔT/RIU | N/A | [44] |
| TFBGs | 1,500–1,600 nm | ca. 500 nm/RIU | ca. 2,500 | [46, 50–52] |
| III. Specialty fibers | | | | |
| Polarization maintaining | ca. 800 nm | ca. 3,200 nm/RIU | ca. 40 | [54] |
| Microstructured | 500–800 nm | ca. 6,430 nm/RIU | ca. 90 | [55–62]* |
| Polymer | 700–800 nm | ca. 1,300 nm/RIU | ca. 9 | [63–65] |

Δλ/RIU absorption change per refractive index unit, ΔT/RIU transmission change per refractive index unit, Δλ/RIU intensity change per refractive index unit, N/A not applicable

*Only theoretical values
conditions the measured resonance would be washed out. However, even in large core multimode fibers light propagates within a relatively narrow range of incidence angles at the core boundary which results in well-defined SPR spectra. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the typical resonances in the transmitted amplitude spectrum lie between 50 and 100 nm, while the ultimate refractometric sensitivity can reach 4,000 nm/RIU (RIU, refractive index unit).

Another group consists of tapered fibers that are produced by gently stretching along the propagation axis while heating over a flame or heated filament, such that the glass becomes soft. This procedure makes optical fibers thinner over some length, typically a few millimeters or centimeters. The fiber core also gets thinner by the same factor as the total fiber and eventually the evanescent wave from the core reaches the outer surface and is exposed to the surrounding medium. An SPR sensor results when a metallic layer is placed over the tapered region [28–34]. The ultimate refractometric sensitivity of such structures reaches 12,000 nm/RIU [29].

Other configurations, so-called hetero-core structures, are realized by splicing two different kinds of optical fibers (Fig. 2c). The most often encountered scheme consists in splicing a single-mode fiber (SMF) section between two multimode fibers (MMFs) [35, 36]. The core mismatch between the fibers used causes the core-guided light in the MMF to couple to cladding modes in the SMF section prior to again being recaptured by the exit core. This configuration would work with just about any combination of mismatched fibers but the one reported here (MMF–SMF–MMF) is quite likely the most efficient in terms of power budget and overall cost because the input and output fibers have the largest core and hence capture much of the cladding mode light from the middle section. As in previous cases, plasmonic interactions occur at the outer surface of the SMF (i.e., of the “middle” fiber) when it is surrounded by a metal layer. The refractometric sensitivity of hybrid SMF–MMF sensors can reach 5,000 nm/RIU.

All of the aforementioned configurations require measurements of transmitted light, but for practical reasons (the
on the realization of the probe, the interrogation is either nano-dot arrays directly on the end face. Also, depending on the realization of the probe, the interrogation is either based on the monitoring of a wavelength shift of the reflection band or intensity changes. The refractometric sensitivity can reach 196 nm/RIU.

Grating-assisted fibers

Instead of removing part of the cladding to access core-guided light, gratings photo-inscribed in the core can be used to diffract some of the light into the cladding. There are two advantages to this approach: the mechanical resistance of the fiber is minimally impacted and grating coupling is a resonant phenomenon that only occurs at specific wavelengths in guided configurations (i.e., different fiber modes couple at different wavelengths). This is equivalent to a coupled resonator system where the grating couples two fiber modes with each other and the metal film couples a fiber mode to an SPP. When the two resonances overlap, the grating resonances become sensitive to the changes in the SPR. Conventional short period (submicron) fiber Bragg gratings (FBGs) are narrowband, wavelength-selective filters that couple the forward-propagating core mode to a backward-propagating one. However, light remains confined in the fiber core and is therefore insensitive to changes in the surrounding medium. Etched FBGs with the cladding removed by immersion in an acid solution have thus been proposed for sensing purposes [42, 43]. More advantageously, “radiating” gratings couple light from the core towards the cladding while preserving the mechanical integrity of the optical fiber. Two well-known configurations have been demonstrated for this purpose. Long period fiber gratings (LPFGs) have refractive index modulation periods that are typically between 50 and 500 μm (or 1,000 times larger than those of FBGs). They couple the forward-going core mode into forward-going cladding modes (Fig. 2f) and present a transmitted amplitude spectrum featuring several broadband resonances (FWHM ca. 20–50 nm) in a spectral range of a few hundred nanometers. Plating the fiber cladding surface with a metal layer, as done by Schuster et al. [44], enables the coupling of the cladding mode to an SPP and the measurement of changes at the metal surface by monitoring the LPFG resonance. The other configuration uses TFBGs that have grating fringes slightly angled with respect to the perpendicular to the optical fiber propagation axis (Fig. 2g). Two kinds of coupling take place in these structures: the self-backward coupling of the core mode and the backward coupling of the core mode with tens to hundreds of cladding modes within the same spectral window of 100 nm or so. The TFBG resonances result in a dense comb-like transmitted amplitude spectrum featuring narrowband cladding mode resonances (FWHM ca. 100 pm) on the short wavelength side of the Bragg (core mode) resonance, as shown in Fig. 3 [45]. One of the most important features of the TFBG spectrum is the presence of the Bragg resonance that is immune (in wavelength and power) to the external medium and that can further...
be advantageously used to de-correlate unwanted temperature and power level fluctuation effects from the sensor response. Similar to LPFGs, plasmon generation is achieved when a metallic overlay is deposited on the cladding surface [46]; but unlike LPFGs, only a subset of the cladding mode resonances are phase matched to the SPP, i.e., those that have effective indices close to that of the SPP at the outer boundary of the metal coating. Differential measurements between SPP-matched cladding modes and those that are not matched can be used to improve measurement accuracy. A final important feature of TFBGs is that the tilt of the grating planes breaks the cylindrical symmetry of the fiber and that as a result the resonances corresponding to higher-order cladding modes (i.e., those which are phase matched to SPPs of a gold–water interface for instance) can be excited separately for modes that have radially polarized and azimuthally polarized electric fields [47]. Therefore, the use of radially polarized resonances allows for the excitation of SPPs while neighboring azimuthally polarized ones are actually shielded from the surroundings by the metal films [48, 49]. This effect has been used to develop fiber SPR refractometric sensors with SRI sensitivities of ca. 500 nm/RIU [46, 50–52], and biochemical sensors that will be described in “Applications”. Finally, the differential refractometric sensitivity of the polarized cladding modes of TFBGs has also been shown to increase with the deposition of sparse layers of high aspect ratio nanowires with a broad LSPR response in the near infrared [53].

Specialty fibers

This last category groups non-conventional optical fibers. Among those are polarization-maintaining fibers that were used in a side-polished configuration to expose the core-guided mode to the surrounding medium [54]. Polarization-maintaining optical fibers support two orthogonal nearly linearly polarized modes (“slow” and “fast” polarizations). When one of the birefringence axes of the fiber is precisely aligned with the gold film, the corresponding polarization excites the surface plasmon wave (Fig. 2h). This configuration intrinsically overcomes the potential problem of fluctuations in the polarization of light interacting with surface plasmons (e.g., due to optical fiber deformations) that can produce unwanted fluctuations in the sensor output. In SMFs, this issue is alleviated in practice by using depolarized light. Polarization-maintaining fibers present a similar refractometric sensitivity as SMFs, roughly 3,200 nm/RIU.

Microstructured optical fibers guide light using air tunnels or longitudinally invariant refractive index structures that surround a hollow or solid glass core. Different configurations (multi-hole, three-hole, “grapefruit”, etc.) were proposed for plasmonic generation by coating the core or the other holes with NPs, over the last millimeters or centimeters of the fiber, or by filling some of the holes with metal [55–62]. In all cases, the guiding geometry must be such that some part of the guided light (usually the evanescent tail of guided modes) interacts with a metal surface along part of the length only (otherwise there would be too much loss and no light would reach the detector). In this group, only three studies [57–59] report on fabricated devices with metal layers for exciting SPR but no sensing results. Wong et al. [60] do present sensing results but the microstructured fiber is only used to provide a mismatched core in a hetero-core approach. On the theoretical side, various performances were computed for microstructured fibers with metal inclusions or layers, yielding ultimate predicted refractometric sensitivities equal to 6,430 nm/RIU for a D-shaped microstructured fiber in which the central core is filled with liquid and its center located 2.6 μm from the flat surface where a 40-nm layer of gold is placed [55].

Finally, plastic optical fibers (POFs) based on poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) (step-index [63, 64] and microstructured [65]) have been used for plasmonic generation. The core-guided light has been exposed to the surrounding medium by an etching or a side-polishing process. The performances reported so far are slightly inferior to those obtained for silica optical fibers but plastic optical fibers bring additional assets such as improved biocompatibility.

The ultimate performances of all these configurations are reported in Table 1. In terms of experimentally demonstrated FOM, TFBG-based SPR sensors surpass all other configurations by more than one order of magnitude. As shown in Fig. 3, this results from the fact that they exhibit narrow resonance bands (FWHM ca. 0.1–0.2 nm) compared to even the best possible theoretical value (ca. 5 nm obtained by calculating the reflection from the base of a prism in the
Kretschmann–Raether configuration), also keeping in mind that the experimental SPR FWHM from other fiber configurations all exceed 20 nm and more as reported in “Geometry-modified fibers”.

Finally, a short comment about the nature of the metals used in fiber SPR and LSPR technologies. Thin noble metal sheaths can be successfully deposited on optical fibers using well-established technologies, such as electroless deposition, electroplating, or sputtering [66]. The last of these is used more routinely and provides very high quality metal surfaces. To promote adhesion, a 2- to 3-nm buffer layer of chromium or titanium is often sandwiched between the optical fiber surface and the gold coating. Another option consists in thermally annealing the gold coating, which modifies its morphology and ensures robustness [67]. What is important to realize is that it is quite difficult to obtain very uniform metal layers at the thicknesses required for optimum SPR excitation (around 50 nm), and as a result that there may be some rugosity, or particles forming instead of smooth layers. This will have an effect on the SPR properties because the effective complex permittivity of the metal layers will be different from that of the bulk values (which are often used in the design of the sensors) [68–70]. A major recent development on the materials side relates to the use of non-metal layers for plasmonic applications, such as certain types of semiconductors and oxides, as reviewed recently [71]. These materials have yet to be explored in fiber-based systems but represent interesting avenues of research to pursue further.

So the regime of very thin metals tends to morph into that of metal NPs, more suitable for LSPR operation. However, NPs are most efficiently prepared using specific techniques. It is possible to pattern metal NPs on fiber surfaces using lithographic or nanostamping tools, but in general metal NPs are synthesized from solution and attached chemically to fiber surfaces that have been prepared for this purpose to form strong covalent bonds. Such solution-based processes tend to be economical and scalable for mass production. Metal NPs are now available commercially in various shapes and sizes, thereby lowering the entry threshold for researchers in photonics wanting to develop LSPR-based sensors. As illustrated in Table 2, most LSPR sensors operate at visible wavelengths because metal NPs have strong resonances in this part of the spectrum. However, some results were obtained in the near infrared, with nanowires (in which a broad absorption associated with the long axis of the wires resonates at longer wavelengths), and in some of the application papers listed in “Applications”.

To conclude this section, some indications about how the best detection results can be achieved are now provided:

- Enhancing light-coupling mechanisms from the fiber core to the immediate surroundings of the cladding. This arises by increasing the operating wavelength, inducing a higher penetration depth of the electromagnetic field (evanescent wave) in the surrounding medium.
- Optimizing the spectral resolution (favoring narrow resonance bands over broad ones) also improves the overall FOM and hence the LOD. Among all plasmonic optical fiber configurations reported, TFBGs operating in the near-infrared probe the surrounding medium over a few hundred nanometers with narrow resonance bands whose evanescent field has the correct polarization.
- Amplifying the SPR response with smart labeling techniques using gold, silica, and/or magnetic nanoparticles. Nanoparticles improve the sensitivity not only because of an increased binding mass but also an increased perturbation of the evanescent electromagnetic field. Hence, with their different sizes and shapes, they can be functionalized with different bioreceptors (antibodies, aptamers, etc.) to realize “sandwich-like” bioassays. There is a strong confinement (that arises from the excitation of LSPRs) of the electromagnetic field, which is favorable for its interaction with analytes.
- Improving the SPR response by nano-patterning the gold sheath, yielding similar effects as those obtained from NPs. It must be pointed out here that the patterning or distribution of nanoparticles need not be periodic, or regular in any way, as most fiber optic probes measure the average perturbation of the light over macroscopic distances along the fiber (several millimeters at least).

Applications

This section begins with an overview of available techniques to bind biomolecules on gold surfaces (the most common substrate layer for SPR sensors). Then, it focuses on prominent examples of biochemical applications based on plasmonic optical fiber sensors.

Molecules commonly used as bioreceptors grafted on the gold surface are immunospecies (antibodies, antigens), enzymes, nucleic acids (DNA, RNA), and cells. Antibodies possess reaction sites capable of recognizing a very specific target analyte, i.e., the corresponding antigen. Plasmonic sensors relying on antibody–antigen affinity measure refractive index changes induced by the adsorption of antigens by grafted antibodies. Enzymes are biologic catalysts that can accelerate up to 10 million times the chemical reactions occurring within or around cells. When used in a plasmonic configuration,
the product of the chemical reaction catalyzed by enzymes changes the sensor response. DNA molecules consist of double-stranded helices that contain all the information required for the synthesis of proteins. The two strands making up a DNA molecule can be separated but they will only recombine with their exact matching sequence, a process called hybridization that is often used in biomedical sensors. After grafting a single strand of DNA corresponding to the desired sequence on the sensor surface, the operating principle is based on monitoring for the occurrence of an interaction between the DNA strands and their complement, as evidenced by a thickening of the grafted layer when it occurs. Finally, entire cells can also be attached to fiber SPR sensors. In this case, cell metabolism can be monitored via indirect means that have an impact on the density of the cells and on their distribution along the fiber surface (for instance healthy cells can grow and multiply, thereby increasing locally the refractive index, while dying cells can fall off the fiber surface).

The simplest method to use receptor biomolecules on fibers is by direct (physical) immobilization on gold substrates through adsorption (ions exchange, van der Waals interactions, hydrogen bonds). While the physical mechanisms involved in this case are not fully understood, it is thought that hydrophobic interactions dominate the immobilization process [77]. This process is rarely used as it suffers from considerable practical drawbacks such as lack of reproducibility of the receptor binding and non-specificity of the detection. These limitations are overcome by exploiting engineered strong covalent bonds between receptors and the sensor surface using functional groups. Two strategies are available for covalent immobilization:

- **One-step receptor immobilization.** Existing functional groups within the receptor biomolecules are exploited or modified to allow for the formation of a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) of amphiphilic molecules on the gold surface. SAM reagents are usually composed of long alkyl chains, yielding a stable, dense, and ordered assembly driven by intermolecular hydrophobic interactions. Covalent bonds between gold and sulfur, usually mediated by the sulhydryl (SH) radicals in thiols, are most often used in practice. Other configurations use carboxyl radicals (COOH), amine (NH₂), or hydroxyl radicals (OH).

- **Two-step receptor immobilization.** Here, the gold surface is first modified with a bifunctional SAM that further reacts with functional groups on receptor biomolecules. Bifunctional molecules have thiol groups at one end and other functional groups at the other end to make a surface reactive to specific targets. The intermediate organic layer helps to preserve the specific recognition properties of the receptors by removing the need to have the receptor also bound to gold. This intermediate layer can be a SAM or a composition of a SAM and a polymer film.

Indeed, the transducer surface can be modified by polymer grafting. For instance, the “grafting from” technique allows great control over the thickness of the polymer film. It consists of immobilizing an initiator on the surface and then growing the polymer chains from monomers present in solution around the surface. After the immobilization of the polymer film of a desired thickness is completed, this film can be used to graft biomolecules with help from reactive functional groups or coupling molecules.

Finally, the substrate functionalization can also be initiated by the deposition of a multilayer of polyelectrolytes, obtained by successive adsorptions of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes (layer-by-layer deposition).

Hence, as summarized in Fig. 4, a typical plasmonic optical biochemical sensor is obtained from four main complementary steps:

1. Fiber modification to bring the evanescent light wave from the guided light in contact with the surrounding medium
2. Deposition of a thin metal layer for SPR generation
3. Functionalization of the metal surface
4. Grafting of receptor molecules
Following step 4, as shown in Part III of Fig. 4, various techniques can be implemented to increase the sensors response to the binding event between the receptor and its target analyte, such as additional molecules, NPs, or fluorescent tags. However these fall outside of the scope of this special issue on direct detection methods as they involve tagging of the targets.

Table 3 presents some of the experimental results reported in the literature for plasmonic optical biochemical sensing. It provides details of the type of sensor and excitation used, the functional materials and analyte investigated, and the claimed sensor performances. While most of the results presented refer to detection in aqueous solutions, the last few entries deal with SPR-assisted gas-phase detection of chemicals [100–103]. A few of the references also report on LSPR implementations using nanodisks, cages, “dots”, and spheres on fibers: these use mostly the optical field enhancement near the NP surface associated with plasmonic resonances at visible wavelengths to become sensitive to small molecular binding events on the NPs. However it has also been demonstrated that it is possible to obtain much improved LODs at near-infrared wavelengths that are far from the LPSR of the particles [98]. In this case, the LOD improvement is attributed to the use of polarized cladding modes in a grating-assisted fiber device that are strongly perturbed by NPs. It is also notable that this improvement was achieved in spite of a significant decrease in the sensitivity slope.

Table 3 confirms that there is no universally accepted method to characterize sensor performances since some papers present only figures about sensitivity (S) and resolution while others provide the LOD for the analyte investigated. In spite of this, the numbers still indicate that the performances of the most recent fiber-based SPR biochemical sensors begin to be comparable to those obtained using standard, bulkier, and costlier prism-based readout systems. Again, there should be application niches where the LODs reported are sufficient, such as controlling epidemics and screening for specific pathologies in large populations, and where the relative low cost and instrument portability would be strong assets. So far, however, despite all efforts that have been conducted in developing plasmonic optical fiber sensors, the technology is still far from being mature and examples of its use in complex (bio)chemical applications remain limited, even if the technology clearly possesses the potential to be used in situ or even possibly in vivo. With these applications in mind, the most straightforward configuration remains undoubtedly based on unclad fiber. If this is implemented using telecommunication-grade optical fibers, the remaining fiber diameter is reduced to ca. 8 μm, which makes the device too fragile, especially outside of laboratory settings. For this reason, large core fibers (200–400 μm core) are privileged over standard ones. With their dimensions, they are quite easy to handle. However, they require customized connectors, splicers, and couplers. Also, increased volumes of analytes need to be used with such large fibers. These two limitations are overcome by TFBGs for instance but a constraint there remains the need for a tight control of the polarization state of the light, which is essential to ensure a proper SPR generation. So, for the moment, there is no unique solution and to reach the full potential of the technology, further developments need to be made. In order for these to materialize, a close integration of competences in various fields such as physics, photonics, biochemistry, and material science will be required.
| Sensor configuration | Type of excitation | Functional materials | Analyte and sensor performances | Ref. |
|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------|
| Side-polished SMF    | SPR                | Au layer+SAM+antigen LP | *Legionella pneumophilia* (LP) LOD 10^7 CFU (colony forming unit/ml) | [23] |
| Side-polished SMF    | SPR                | Au layer+SAM+antigen SEB | Staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) LOD 10 ng/ml | [24] |
| Unclad MMF           | SPR                | Ag layer+lipase enzyme | Triacylglycerides S 3.17 nm/mM in the range 0.5–7.0 mM | [78] |
| Unclad MMF           | SPR                | Ag, Si layers+enzyme gel | Urea S<0.2 nm/mM in the range 0–160 mM | [79] |
| Unclad MMF           | SPR                | Au layer+4-aminothiophenol+anti-apolipoprotein B | Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) S 0.18 nm/(mg/dl) in the range 0–190 mg/dl | [80] |
| Unclad MMF           | SPR                | Ag layer+tyrosinase gel | Phenolic compounds in aqueous samples LOD 38 μm for phenol to 100 μm for catechol | [81] |
| Unclad MMF           | SPR                | Au layer+nanobeads+polyclonal Ara h1 antibody | Ara h1 peanut allergens in complex food matrices LOD 0.09 μg/ml (with nanobead enhanced assay) | [82] |
| Unclad MMF           | SPR                | Au layer+SAM+streptavidin+biotinylated ssDNA aptamers | DNA hybridization assay Human immunoglobulin E (hIgE) LOD 2 nm | [83] |
| Unclad MMF           | SPR                | Au layer+ssDNA+AuNP modified ssDNA | Genetic mutations in PCR amplified DNA of bacterium *Legionella pneumophilia* LOD 1 nm | [84] |
| Unclad MMF           | SPR                | Au layer+SAM+streptavidin+biotinylated eGFP (enhanced green fluorescence protein) | p3 and p8 bacteriophages binding Kinetic analysis | [85] |
| TFBGs                | SPR                | Au layer+thiol-modified aptamers | Thrombin in buffer and serum solutions LOD 22 nm | [66, 86] |
| TFBGs                | SPR                | Au layer+SAM+anti-transferrins | Transferrin LOD 10^6 g/ml | [87] |
| TFBGs                | SPR                | Au layer+fibronectin | Analysis of cellular behavior under different stimuli | [88] |
| Specialty fiber      | SPR                | Ag layer+SAM+biotin+neutervidin+ biotinylated anti-CLU IgG and anti-apoE IgG | Gastric cancer biomarkers: apolipoprotein E (apoE) and clusterin (CLU) Two cascaded sensing regions | [89] |
| End face MMF         | LSPR               | Spherical Au NPs+anti-IFN-γ and anti-PSA | Interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and prostate-specific antigen (PSA) LOD 2 pg/ml for IFN-γ and 1 pg/ml for PSA | [90] |
| End face SMF         | LSPR               | Au nanodisks+SAM+mouse anti-human PSA | Free prostate specific antigen (f-PSA) LOD 100 fg/ml (ca. 3 fM) | [91] |
| U-shaped             | LSPR               | Spherical Au NPs+glucose oxidase | Blood glucose Intensity changes in the range 0–250 mg/dl | [92] |
| Unclad MMF           | LSPR               | Spherical Au NPs+SAM+anti-IL-1β | Interleukin-1β (IL-1β) in synovial fluids LOD 21 pg/ml (1.2 pM) | [93] |
| Unclad MMF           | LSPR               | Au nanorods/nanospheres+human IgG | Anti-human immunoglobulin G (IgG) LOD 1.6 nm | [94] |
| Unclad MMF           | LSPR               | Spherical Au NPs+anti-TNF-α and anti-MMP-3 | Tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and matrix metalloproteinases-3 (MMP-3) in synovial fluid LOD 8.2 pg/ml (0.48 pM) and 34 pg/ml (1.6 pM) | [95] |
| Unclad MMF           | LSPR               | Au nanorods+anti-CymMV and anti-ORSV | Cymbidium mosaic virus (CymMV) Odontoglossum ringspot virus (ORSV) LOD 48 pg/ml for CymMV and 42 pg/ml for ORSV | [96] |
| Unclad POF           | LSPR               | Au NPs+anti SARS-CoV N proteins | | [97] |
Conclusion

This review of recent developments in fiber-optic-based SPR biochemical sensors shows the wide variety of approaches still being pursued around the world but also an increasing level of maturity in the field. True multidisciplinary efforts between photonics and biochemistry groups have led to impressive, environmentally and clinically relevant LODs for many substances that require detection and quantification. Another benefit of increased collaboration between photonics and biochemistry groups is the development of more sophisticated experimental protocols and of more realistic error analyses. The main conclusion from all this is likely that many optical fiber SPR sensor platforms have passed the proof-of-principle level and are now ready for further development into commercial products. What is now needed is to find the proper application areas where the advantages of a fiber-based solution will warrant the investment of the significant effort required to build “whole solutions” that include the necessary hardware and software tools into systems that are user-friendly and available at a cost that is commensurate with the application. Also needed are more experiments in which sensors are tested in complex media that replicate the final application environment (blood serum and other physiological fluids, “real” mine tailing effluents, etc.). Of course, some of the more recent and exciting new developments are still very worthy of further research, in the areas of microstructured fibers and plastic optical fibers for instance, or the inclusion of graphene and other novel plasmonic materials, such as oxides and nitrides, in sensor fabrication [71, 104]. Recent publications indicate that in addition to having intrinsic tunable and adjustable plasmonic properties, combining graphene with noble metal particles and layers promises a wealth of new physics and sensing modes [105, 106]. It is hoped that this review will help in fostering further research in the field of fiber SPR sensors. This research should be carried out using the following guidelines:

- The optimization of the FOM and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) instead of sensitivity alone, because these are the most important parameters in lowering the LOD.
- Reliability and feasibility: this is the key point for real applications. It is obvious that configurations requiring fewer fiber modifications are superior to those involving sophisticated structural changes or highly specialized fiber designs.
- Cost and process availability: most of the application areas for fiber-optic-based biochemical sensing (usually single-point devices with limited multiplexing capabilities) require low-cost solutions. Therefore, mass production (or at least easy production of multiple devices) technologies using established processes are needed. Again, this means using commercially available fibers with no structural modifications, standard coating processes in which multiple devices can be prepared simultaneously, and, in the case of grating-based devices, the use of phase or amplitude masks (instead of interferometric methods), to ensure that the devices produced are identical.

Table 3 (continued)

| Sensor configuration | Type of excitation | Functional materials | Analyte and sensor performances | Ref. |
|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| TFBGs                | LSPR               | APTMS, glutaraldehyde and cysteamine thin films+Au nanocages/nanospheres | Biotin LOD 1 pg/ml | [98] |
| PCF+FBG              | LSPR               | Oligonucleotide-functionalized Au NPs | DNA target sequences | [99] |
| Unclad MMF           | SPR                | Ag or Au layer+silicon+bromocresol purple | Ammonia LOD 10 ppm | [100] |
| Unclad MMF           | SPR                | Au layer+SiO₂+Palladium | Hydrogen LOD 0.5 % | [101] |
| Unclad MMF           | SPR                | Pd layer for H₂, Ag layer for H₂S, Au+SiO₂ for moisture | H₂, H₂S, and H₂O | [102] |
| U-shaped             | LSPR               | Au NPs+4-mercaptobenzoic acid (4-MBA)+l-cysteine+cysteamine | Nitro-based explosive molecules LOD ppb for TNT vapors | [103] |
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