Antimicrobial resistance profiles of microorganisms isolated from hospitalized patients in Dominican Republic
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ABSTRACT

Objective. To define the antimicrobial resistance profiles of the microorganisms most commonly isolated from hospitalized adult patients in Dominican Republic (DR).

Methods. A retrospective, cross-sectional study of phenotypic antimicrobial susceptibility patterns was conducted using data from 3 802 clinical microbiology reports specifying positive bacterial cultures in samples collected from patients admitted to the clinical, surgery, and intensive care units (ICU) at three tertiary-level care hospitals in the city of Santiago de los Caballeros from 1 January 2016 – 31 December 2017. Descriptive statistics and chi-square test (P ≤ 0.05) were used to analyze the qualitative variables.

Results. At the three hospitals, there were 932, 1 090, and 1 780 microbiology reports analyzed. Of the total, 1274 were from the ICU, 1 042 from the surgery unit, and 1 486 from the clinical unit. Methicillin resistance was found in 57.3% of the Staphylococcus aureus isolates and 75.3% of the coagulase-negative staphylococci. Third-generation cephalosporin resistance was detected in 54.4% of isolates identified as members of the Enterobacteriaceae family, 67.3% of the Acinetobacter spp., and 91.7% of the Pseudomonas, while carbapenem resistance was shown by 8.0%, 23.8%, and 51.0% of these, respectively. Most of the resistant Acinetobacter spp. isolates were found in just one hospital and the prevalence of Enterobacteriaceae resistant to carbapenems was highest in the ICU.

Conclusion. Antimicrobial resistance levels are high among hospitalized patients in Dominican Republic and may cause enhanced risk factors that impact clinical outcomes. Urgent measures are needed to address antimicrobial resistance in DR.
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Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) poses a major threat to public health around the world. It has clear, negative effects on people’s lives and makes a substantial economic impact. Currently, at least 50 000 lives per year are lost prematurely to AMR in Europe and the United States of America, alone (1). At this rate, by 2050 AMR would reduce global economic output by an estimated US$ 100 trillion and cause at least 300 million deaths (1 – 3).

The World Health Organization (WHO) developed The Global Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance (4) based on...
five main strategies to deal with AMR. These include improving alert systems and increased understanding of bacterial resistance, as well as strengthening surveillance systems, strategies echoed by other international authorities (3 – 6). In Latin America, since 1996, the Latin American Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network (ReLAVRA), with the support of WHO/ Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) has been collecting information on selected resistant pathogens from reference laboratories in 19 Member States. Only a few of these countries have active national surveillance plans. However, local susceptibility patterns and surveillance programs are essential to facilitating diagnoses, infection control, and selection of the best treatment options (1, 3, 7, 8).

In addition, WHO has published a global list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria (9) to prioritize research and development of new antibiotic treatments. Among those top human pathogens, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), now endemic in the community, presents critical therapeutic difficulties due to its high adaptability (10, 11). In Latin America, 45% of the *S. aureus* isolates from nine countries collected in 2011 – 2014 showed methicillin resistance, but with important regional variations. Those same isolates exhibited high rates of resistance to other commonly-used antibiotics (12).

Other important pathogens on the WHO list are the *Enterobacteriaceae* family and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. In a study published in 2017, members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family showed a high prevalence of production of extended spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) enzymes in Latin America and the Caribbean, especially *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. (13). Additionally, 26% of the *P. aeruginosa* isolates exhibited a multidrug-resistant (MDR) phenotype (13). None of the studies included isolates from Dominican Republic (DR), where reliable and comprehensive AMR information is scarce despite the country being a ReLAVRA member. A short report published recently analyzed resistance patterns of microorganisms isolated from pediatric patients in DR (14). It reported that 50% of the gram-negative bacteria were resistant to at least one third-generation cephalosporin; 17% to one or more carbapenem drugs; and for *S. aureus* isolates, 58% were resistant to methicillin (14). Given these elevated rates, it seems imperative to collect comprehensive information on the extent of the problem.

In essence, the significant rise in the prevalence of infections caused by resistant microorganisms is one of the greatest threats to the public health system in DR, as well as globally. These infections are associated with increased cost in medical care, longer hospital stays, and higher morbidity and mortality rates (3, 15). Although AMR incidence is higher in developing countries than developed ones (16, 17), there is much less information on AMR dynamics in developing countries (18, 19), including DR. Thus, to provide additional, accurate information on AMR in DR, this study sought to establish the resistance profiles of the most common pathogenic bacteria isolated from patients in three of the country’s tertiary-level hospitals over 2 years.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective, cross-sectional study of phenotypic antimicrobial susceptibility patterns was carried out with clinical samples from adult patients (17 years of age or older), hospitalized in the clinical, surgery, and Intensive Care (ICU) units of three hospitals in DR. The three hospitals are tertiary referral centers in Santiago de los Caballeros, the country’s second largest city. Hospital size ranged from 170 – 400 beds. All culture-positive reports of patients hospitalized from 1 January 2016 – 31 December 2017 were collected for the study. Sample sources comprised various clinical specimens: abscess/secretions (30%), urethral secretions (28%), blood (12%), urine (12%), sputum or bronchial secretion (6%), catheters (6%), stool (2%), and others (3%). Each of the three hospitals performed sample collection according to its approved and standardized protocols. Bacterial cultures were performed following the manufacturer’s instructions for automated bacterial identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing. Two of the hospitals’ microbiology laboratories used VTEK 2® (BioMérieux, Durham, NC, United States) and the other used MicroScan® (Beckman Coulter, Atlanta, GA, United States). Bacterial culture reports with more than one isolate were excluded from the study. *Streptococcus* spp. samples were not included since only one of the three centers could perform the technically-complex tests for these isolates. The antimicrobial susceptibilities were interpreted based on the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing M100 criteria (20). The data were collected from the hospitals’ databases and the qualitative variables were analyzed using descriptive statistics performed with IBM SPSS® Statistics software, version 24 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States). Chi-square was used to test for statistical significance (*P* ≤ 0.05).

### Ethics

This research was approved by the Faculty of Health Sciences Bioethics Committee (COBEFACS: MEd-019-1-17-18). To avoid any putative harm, a letter code (A, B, or C) was assigned to identify each of the three hospitals.

### RESULTS

Of the 5 481 culture reports collected, 1 679 were excluded due to either missing data, more than one growth in the sample, or having a microorganism species that represented less than 0.5% of the total. In all, 3 802 reports were included in the analysis. Of these 932 (24.3%) were from Health Institution A (HI-A): 347 (37.2%) from the surgery unit, 315 (33.8%) from the clinical unit, and 270 (29%) from the ICU. From Health Institution B (HI-B), 1 090 (28.7%) reports were analyzed: 276 (25.3%) from the surgery unit, 550 (50.5%) from the clinical unit, and 264 (24.2%) from the ICU. The remaining 1 780 (46.8%) reports were from Health Institution C (HI-C): 419 (23.5%) from the surgery unit, 621 (34.9%) from the clinical unit, and 740 (41.6%) from the ICU.

Table 1 represents the most commonly identified microorganisms, some grouped by genus. As expected, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *Acinetobacter baumannii* were the most representative species of their respective genus (data not shown). Gram-negative microorganisms were identified in 79.2% of the 3 802 reports analyzed. The Gram-positive bacteria were represented only by *S. aureus* (SA) and coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS).

Members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family were the most frequently reported (57.9% – 81.3%), but with some differences among the three hospitals (Figure 1). Interestingly, in HI-B, *Staphylococcus* spp. represented 39.7% of the total isolates (15.7% for SA and 24% for CoNS), while *Acinetobacter* spp. was...
completely absent. HI-C had the highest percentage (10.7%) of *Pseudomonas* (Figure 1).

The patterns of resistance to specific antibiotics were evaluated (Figure 2a): CoNS showed higher resistance to methicillin (75%) than did SA (57%). Among the *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Acinetobacter*, the frequency of resistance to one third-generation cephalosporin (3GC), ceftriaxone, was found to be elevated for both groups (54.5% and 67.3%, respectively, Figure 2b). The patterns of resistance to 3GC among the different genus of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family did not differ much: 51.1% of *Escherichia* spp., 55.6% of *Klebsiella* spp., and 57.3% of *Enterobacter* spp. On the other hand, as ceftriaxone is not effectively active against *Pseudomonas*, resistance to ceftazidime was analyzed among those isolates. Most (91.7%) exhibited the resistant phenotype.

### TABLE 1. Reported microorganisms isolated from patients hospitalized at three tertiary care hospitals in Dominican Republic, by medical unit, 1 January 2016 – 31 December 2017

| Microorganism       | Intensive care (%) | Surgery (%) | Clinical (%) | Total (%) |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| *Escherichia*       | 225 (17.70)        | 306 (29.40) | 779 (52.40)  | 1 310 (34.50) |
| *Klebsiella*        | 241 (18.90)        | 186 (17.90) | 239 (16.1)   | 666 (17.50)  |
| Coagulate-negative staphylococci | 198 (15.50) | 136 (13.10) | 100 (6.70)  | 434 (11.40)  |
| *Staphylococcus aureus* | 127 (10.00) | 143 (13.70) | 85 (5.70)   | 355 (9.30)   |
| *Enterobacter*      | 66 (5.20)          | 46 (4.40)   | 38 (2.60)    | 150 (3.90)   |
| *Pseudomonas*       | 160 (12.60)        | 47 (4.50)   | 45 (3.00)    | 252 (6.60)   |
| *Acinetobacter*     | 102 (8.00)         | 35 (3.40)   | 31 (2.10)    | 168 (4.40)   |
| *Shigella*          | 38 (3.00)          | 36 (3.50)   | 30 (2.00)    | 104 (2.70)   |
| *Klyvera*           | 16 (1.30)          | 22 (2.10)   | 29 (2.00)    | 67 (1.80)    |
| *Serratia*          | 25 (2.00)          | 22 (2.10)   | 17 (1.10)    | 64 (1.70)    |
| *Cedecea*           | 17 (1.30)          | 18 (1.70)   | 17 (1.10)    | 52 (1.40)    |
| Proteus mirabilis   | 20 (1.60)          | 10 (1.00)   | 22 (1.50)    | 52 (1.40)    |
| *Citrobacter*       | 10 (0.80)          | 9 (0.90)    | 17 (1.10)    | 36 (0.90)    |
| *Raoultella ornithinolitica* | 8 (0.60) | 11 (1.10)   | 14 (0.90)    | 33 (0.90)    |
| Morganella morganii | 7 (0.50)           | 6 (0.60)    | 10 (0.70)    | 23 (0.60)    |
| *Providencia*       | 6 (0.50)           | 4 (0.40)    | 10 (0.70)    | 20 (0.50)    |
| *Salmonella*        | 5 (0.40)           | 4 (0.40)    | 1 (0.10)     | 10 (0.30)    |
| *Burkholderia cepacia* | 3 (0.20) | 1 (0.10)    | 2 (0.10)     | 6 (0.20)     |
| TOTAL               | 1274 (100)         | 1 042 (100) | 1 486 (100)  | 3 802 (100)  |

Source: Prepared by the authors from the study results.

### FIGURE 1. Microorganisms most frequently isolated from patients hospitalized in three tertiary care hospitals (A, B, C) in Dominican Republic, 1 January 2016 – 31 December 2017
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Then, resistance to at least one carbapenem antibiotic (CarbaR; imipenem and/or meropenem) was studied. Overall, CarbaR was relatively low for the Enterobacteriaceae family (8%; Figure 2b), but with high variability among the members of the family, ranging from 1.6% of Escherichia spp. isolates to 23.3% of Enterobacter spp. Among the non-lactose fermenter bacteria, a striking 51% of P. aeruginosa and 23.8% of A. baumannii isolates analyzed were reported as CarbaR.

Figure 3 compares resistance patterns by health institution and shows significant differences among the three hospitals. CoNS isolates exhibited around 75% – 80% of methicillin resistance in the three hospital settings, while MRSA ranged from 49% in HI-C to 79% in HI-A (P = 0.002). Resistant Enterobacteriaceae were also more frequently reported in HI-A (P ≤ 0.001). On the other hand, 3GC resistant Acinetobacter, CarbaR Acinetobacter, and CarbaR Pseudomonas isolates were predominant in HI-C versus the other hospitals: 96.1% versus 0% – 21.5% for 3GC resistant Acinetobacter (P ≤ 0.001); 35.9% versus 0% – 4.6% for CarbaR Acinetobacter (P ≤ 0.001); and 58.2% versus 23.1% – 33.3% for CarbaR Pseudomonas (P ≤ 0.001). HI-C also reported that more than 95% of its Pseudomonas were resistant to third-generation cephalosporins.
Furthermore, *Escherichia* spp. and *Klebsiella* spp. were the most commonly isolated microorganisms in the three units (Table 1): *Escherichia* spp. represented 17.7% of the total isolated microorganisms from the ICUs, 29.4% from surgery units, and 52.4% from clinical units; while *Klebsiella* spp. was present less frequently in the three units (16% – 19%). *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Acinetobacter* spp. were more frequently isolated from the ICU, with 12.6% and 8.0% of the total, respectively, while in the other units it ranged from 2.1% – 4.5% (Table 1). The distribution of *S. aureus* among the three units varied: 5.7% in clinical, 10% in the ICU, and 13.7% in surgery (Table 1).

Finally, the resistance patterns of the various microorganisms were organized by unit (Figure 4). MRSA was less-commonly isolated from the ICU, with 42% versus 61% – 69% (*P* < 0.001). By contrast, CarbaR *Enterobacteriaceae* were more frequently reported in the ICU, with 20.4% versus 2% – 6% (*P* < 0.001). However, CarbaR *Pseudomonas*, 3GC-resistant *Pseudomonas*, and 3GC-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* were less frequently found in the clinical units (16%, 82%, and 49%, respectively) than in the ICUs (63%, 94%, and 62%, respectively), or even the surgery units (43%, 92%, and 57%, respectively). The remaining phenotypes analyzed showed similar patterns of resistance in the three medical units (Figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first descriptive report of common pathogens and their antibiotic resistance patterns, isolated from adult patients hospitalized in three medical units within three different hospitals in DR. This study found that the frequency of the microorganisms (Figure 1) was similar to what has been observed throughout Latin America (13), except for *Streptococcus* spp. which could not be analyzed due to a lack of testing by clinical microbiology labs in DR.

The slight discrepancies observed in the pattern of microorganisms reported by the three hospitals (Figure 1) could be explained by multiple factors, e.g., different sample collection protocols, different patient populations, and/or the sample’s source. For example, HI-C had a higher number of samples isolated from the ICU than did the other two hospitals (41.6% vs. 29% in HI-A and 24% in HI-B), and both *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* are more commonly isolated from the ICU (Table 1), which would explain why they are more frequent in HI-C (Figure 1). However, HI-B showed the highest prevalence of staphylococci isolates (39.7% vs. 7.8% in HI-A and 15.9% in HI-C, Figure 1) and the highest proportion of samples collected from its clinical unit (50% vs. 34% in HI-A and 35% in HI-C), although it was the unit with the lowest frequency of staphylococci isolates (12.4% vs. 25.5% in the ICU and 26.8% in the surgery unit, Table 1). In addition, several other factors known to affect health care facilities in developing countries should be considered: resource limitations, lack of trained personnel, and infection control measures, among others (21). These could lead to an institutional bias on the tested microorganisms, or even the possibility of contaminating samples with colonizing species, which could cause disparities among health institutions.

To define resistance to 3GC, susceptibility to ceftriaxone (or cefazidime for *Pseudomonas* isolates) was analyzed following the CLSI specifications (20). Resistance to 3GC was quite elevated in all the microorganisms analyzed (54.5% – 91.7%, Figure 2). When microorganisms, such as *E. coli* or *K. pneumoniae*, show resistance to one or more of the extended-spectrum cephalosporins (ceftazidime and ceftriaxone included), the medical community suspects production of ESBL, according to CLSI criteria (20). This would indicate that the three study hospitals have a considerably high prevalence of ESBL, although ESBL-specific tests would be necessary for confirmation. Nevertheless, Latin American countries usually have the highest

---

**FIGURE 3. Antibiotic resistance patterns of microorganisms isolated from patients hospitalized in three tertiary care hospitals in Dominican Republic, 1 January 2016 – 31 December 2017**
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rates of ESBL producers, globally (22 – 24). These data suggest that patients in DR may have an enhanced risk factor impacting their clinical outcome, which could include inappropriate empirical antibiotic therapy, a longer hospital stay, hospitalization in the ICU, and death (1, 3, 23).

Regarding Pseudomonas, the study found phenotypes with notably elevated resistance to both ceftazidime and meropenem (91.7% and 51%, respectively, Figure 2). In other Latin American countries, 70.0% and 64.2% susceptibility rates to those drugs were reported for P. aeruginosa isolates (25), while only 9.1% of carbapenem-resistant P. aeruginosa isolates were found in a surveillance study of five cities in the United States in 2015 (26). Furthermore, a high prevalence of health care-associated infections caused by A. baumannii resistant to imipenem was associated with overuse of fluoroquinolones and carbapenems (27). Additionally, the presence of MDR bacteria can lead to the overuse of broad-spectrum antibiotics (27). Consequently, the reported rate of carbapenem-resistance among our non-lactose fermenter bacteria could be causing an over-prescribing of broad-spectrum antibiotics (i.e., cephalexins, fluoroquinolones, carbapenems) in health care institutions, exacerbating the AMR threat in DR. Therefore, it is imperative to perform a complete analysis of the underlying molecular mechanisms and the extent of their spread to raise awareness among the public, health authorities, and decision-makers.

Methicillin resistance was also found to be high in our study (57.3%, Figure 2). MRSA prevalence in the Latin America is usually elevated (40% – 45%), but with an important regional variation. In a 2009 study, the rate of detected MRSA ranged from 20% in Nicaragua to 80% in Peru and Chile among nosocomial infections (28). In a 2017 study (12), the highest prevalence of MRSA was found in hospitals in Brazil (62%), Venezuela (57%), and Mexico (57%) (12). Thus, DR would be among the countries with the highest reported MRSA prevalence according to the most recent reports. When the different institutions were compared, HI-A was the hospital with least S. aureus isolates reported (42), but the highest MRSA prevalence (79%), while HI-B and HI-C had more S. aureus isolates (171 and 142), but a lower percentage of MRSA detected (59% and 49%, respectively). Further analysis of the underlying phenomenon causing these discrepancies is needed to understand if, for example, a local anomaly or differences in standard operating procedures are responsible.

As shown by Figure 3, resistance patterns differ at the three hospitals, despite all being tertiary-level and located in the same city. Surveillance systems are essential for providing the information needed to develop local therapy guidelines and antibiotic control policies (29 – 31). Moreover, adequate surveillance would enable individual health care centers to take action against various risk factors and weaknesses to reduce the spread of MDR bacteria and prevent outbreaks (31).

### Limitations

This study had three main limitations. First, because the three hospitals were the only centers in the region with databases of
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**FIGURE 4. Antibiotic resistance patterns of microorganisms isolated patients hospitalized in three tertiary care hospitals in Dominican Republic, by medical unit, 1 January 2016 – 31 December 2017**

Note: The chi-square test analyzed the differences for each resistant phenotype among the three medical units. *P* = < 0.05, **P** = < 0.001. Other P-values: P = 0.515 for methicillin-resistant CoNS; P = 0.578 for 3GCR Acinetobacter; P = 0.216 for CarbaR Acinetobacter.

**Abbreviations:** ICU = Intensive Care Unit; MRSA = methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus; CoNS = coagulase-negative staphylococci; 3GCR = third-generation cephalosporin resistance; CarbaR = carbapenem resistance.

**Source:** Prepared by the authors from the study results.
microbiological reports, the study scope was limited to patients hospitalized at tertiary-level facilities. Second, study samples at the three hospitals were not tested for resistance to the same antibiotics; thus, only data from routinely-tested resistance could be analyzed. Third, demographic data and clinical presentation were not assessed; therefore, further analysis is necessary to determine the most accurate treatment of choice.

Conclusions

This multicenter study analyzed a large number of microbiological reports over a relatively long timeframe. It is the first published study of antibiotic resistance patterns of microorganisms isolated from hospitalized adults in DR. Factors considered to exacerbate AMR are over and misuse of antibiotics due to over-prescription, self-medication, and over-the-counter sales; the absence of standardized guidelines for antibiotic usage; and poor sanitation and hygiene (3, 18, 32 – 34). Since these factors are widespread in DR, it was not surprising to find high levels of antibiotic resistance.

These findings provide relevant information to the medical and scientific community working on AMR locally, regionally, and even globally. Health authorities and decision-makers in DR must undertake broad and urgent measures to tackle AMR, and hopefully this report will instigate the first steps toward joining The Global Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance.
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Resumen

Objetivo. Determinar cuáles son los perfiles de resistencia a los antimicrobianos de los microorganismos aislados con mayor frecuencia en los pacientes adultos hospitalizados en República Dominicana.

Métodos. Se llevó a cabo un estudio retrospectivo y transversal de los patrones de fenotipos de sensibilidad a los antimicrobianos mediante el uso de los datos obtenidos en 3802 antibiogramas. Este estudio detalla cultivos de bacteria positivos en las muestras de pacientes ingresados en las unidades clínicas, quirúrgicas y de cuidados intensivos (UCI) de cada uno de los tres hospitales de atención especializada de la ciudad de Santiago de los Caballeros. El estudio se llevó a cabo del 1 de enero del 2016 al 31 de diciembre del 2017. Se recurró a la estadística descriptiva y la prueba de la $\chi^2$ ($P \leq 0,05$) para analizar las variables cualitativas.

Resultados. Se analizaron 932 antibiogramas del hospital A, 1090 del hospital B y 1780 del hospital C. Del total, 1274 resultados corresponden a las UCI, 1042 a las unidades quirúrgicas y 1486 a las unidades clínicas. El 57,3% de las cepas aisladas de *Staphylococcus aureus* y el 75,3% de los estafilococos coagulasa-negativos resultó resistente a la meticilina. Se detectó que el 54,5% de las cepas aisladas de la familia *Enterobacteriaceae* resultó resistente a la cefalosporina de tercera generación; en este caso, el 67,3% del género *Acinetobacter* y el 91,7% del género *Pseudomonas* resultaron resistentes a la cefalosporina. Asimismo, se detectó que son resistentes a los fármacos carbapenémicos y se obtuvieron los resultados siguientes: 8,0%, 23,8% y 51,0%, respectivamente. La mayoría de las cepas del género Cursiva resistentes fueron identificadas en un solo hospital, mientras que en las UCI se evidenció mayor predominio de las cepas de Cursiva resistentes a los fármacos carbapenémicos.

Conclusiones. Los niveles de resistencia a los antimicrobianos demuestran ser más elevados en los pacientes hospitalizados de República Dominicana. Esto puede causar factores de riesgo intensificados que se traduzcan en consecuencias clínicas. Asimismo, es necesario establecer medidas inmediatas para abordar los casos de resistencia a los antimicrobianos en República Dominicana.

Palabras clave

Farmacorresistencia microbiana; *Staphylococcus aureus* resistente a meticilina; *Enterobacteriaceae*; *Pseudomonas*; *Acinetobacter*; República Dominicana.
Perfis de resistência antimicrobiana de microrganismos isolados de pacientes hospitalizados na República Dominicana

RESUMO

Objetivo. Definir os perfis de resistência antimicrobiana dos microrganismos isolados com mais frequência em pacientes adultos hospitalizados na República Dominicana.

Métodos. Realizamos um estudo transversal retrospectivo dos padrões fenotípicos de suscetibilidade antimicrobiana utilizando dados de 3802 laudos de microbiologia clínica que especificavam culturas bacterianas positivas em amostras coletadas de pacientes internados em unidades clínicas, cirúrgicas e de terapia intensiva (UTIs) de três hospitais terciários da cidade de Santiago de los Caballeros, de 1 de janeiro de 2016 a 31 de dezembro de 2017. Para a análise das variáveis qualitativas, utilizamos estatísticas descritivas e o teste do qui-quadrado (p≤0,05).

Resultados. Nos três hospitais, foram analisados 932, 1090 e 1780 laudos de microbiologia. Do total, 1274 foram de UTIs, 1042 de unidades cirúrgicas e 1486 de unidades clínicas. A resistência à meticilina foi encontrada em 57,3% dos isolados de *Staphylococcus aureus* e 75,3% dos estafilococos coagulase negativos. A resistência a cefalosporinas de terceira geração foi detectada em 54,4% dos isolados identificados como membros da família *Enterobacteriaceae*, 67,3% de *Acinetobacter* spp. e 91,7% de *Pseudomonas*, enquanto a resistência ao carbapenem foi observada em 8,0%, 23,8% e 51,0% destes isolados, respectivamente. A maioria dos isolados resistentes de *Acinetobacter* spp. foi encontrada em apenas um hospital, e a prevalência de *Enterobacteriaceae* resistentes a carbapenem foi maior nas UTIs.

Conclusão. Os níveis de resistência antimicrobiana são elevados em pacientes hospitalizados na República Dominicana e podem aumentar os fatores de risco que afetam os resultados clínicos. São necessárias medidas urgentes para abordar a resistência antimicrobiana na República Dominicana.

Palavras-chave Resistência microbiana a medicamentos; *Staphylococcus aureus* resistente à meticilina; *Enterobacteriaceae*; *Pseudomonas*; *Acinetobacter*; República Dominicana.