SHELS: THE HECTOSPEC LENSING SURVEY

MARGARET J. GELLER,1 IAN P. DELL’ANTonio,2 MICHAEL J. KURZT,3 MASSIMO RAMELLA,1 DANIEL G. FABRICANT,1 NELSON CALDWELL,1 J. ANTHONY TYSON,4 AND DAVID WITTMAN4

Received 2005 September 27; accepted 2005 November 7; published 2005 December 5

ABSTRACT

The Smithsonian Hectospec Lensing Survey (SHELS) combines a 10,000 galaxy deep complete redshift survey with a weak-lensing map from the Deep Lens Survey (Wittman et al.). We use maps of the velocity dispersion based on systems identified in the redshift survey to compare the three-dimensional matter distribution with the two-dimensional projection mapped by weak lensing. We demonstrate directly that the lensing map images the three-dimensional matter distribution obtained from the kinematic data.

Subject headings: galaxies: clusters: individual (CXOU J092026+302938, CXOU J092053+302800, CXOU J092110+302751) — galaxies: distances and redshifts — gravitational lensing — large-scale structure of universe

Online material: color figure

1 INTRODUCTION

Weak gravitational lensing maps are a powerful modern tool for probing the distribution of matter in the universe. Demonstrations of the power of weak lensing include measurements of the masses of galaxies and galaxy groups (Dell’Antonio & Tyson 1996; Hudson et al. 1998; Fischer et al. 2000; Hoekstra et al. 2003; Sheldon et al. 2004; Parker et al. 2005), maps of the mass distribution in rich clusters of galaxies (Tyson et al. 1990; Squires et al. 1996; Luppino & Kaiser 1997; Hoekstra et al. 1998; Clowe & Schneider 2001; Sheldon et al. 2001; Irgens et al. 2002; Gray et al. 2002; Wittman et al. 2003; Lee et al. 2005; Marginier et al. 2005; Cypriano et al. 2004), and statistical detection of the lensing signal of the large-scale structure of the universe (Bacon et al. 2000; Wittman et al. 2000; Wilson et al. 2001; Bernard et al. 2002; Hoekstra et al. 2002; Jarvis et al. 2003; Refregier 2003; Heymans et al. 2004; van Waerbeke et al. 2005). Recently, wide-field imagers on large telescopes have enabled lensing surveys of substantial objectively chosen regions where broader investigations of mass distributions in the universe can be accomplished (Kaiser 1998; Wilson et al. 2001; Brown et al. 2003). Schneider (2005) reviews the impressive recent progress in this area.

The Deep Lens Survey (DLS; Wittman et al. 2002, 2005) covers 20 deg2 in five separate 4 deg2 regions. A weak-lensing (convergence) map of one of the fields at (R.A. = 09h19m32s, decl. = +30°00′00″, J2000.0) is complete (Wittman et al. 2005). In the DLS field, distortions of resolved objects with 21 < R < 24.2 reveal the matter distribution generally marked by galaxies with R < 21, the range accessible with Hectospec (Fabricant et al. 2005), a 300 fiber moderate-resolution spectrograph mounted at the f/5 focus of the 6.5 m MMT.

We report initial results of combining the DLS with SHELS (Smithsonian Hectospec Lensing Survey). We explore the power of coupling a foreground redshift survey with a weak-lensing map in uncovering the three-dimensional matter distribution in the universe from the scale of individual galaxies to the large-scale structure itself. In this first Letter, we examine the relationship between a weak-lensing (convergence) map of the complete 9 hr DLS field with a “velocity dispersion” map of the same field derived from a ~10,000 galaxy redshift survey to a limiting R < 20.3. There is a striking correspondence between the lensing map and the large-scale structure revealed by the redshift survey in the redshift range 0.07 < z < 0.47. The match between these assays of the matter distribution shows the broad potential power of combining these two modern tools of astrophysics.

We begin by describing the observational approaches to the lensing map (§ 2) and the Hectospec redshift survey (§ 3). In § 4 we review the construction of the “velocity dispersion map” from the redshift survey. We cross correlate the two maps and demonstrate that the lensing map provides a view of the matter distribution associated with large-scale structure in the range covered by the Hectospec redshift survey. We summarize in § 5.

2 THE DLS: THE DEEP LENS SURVEY WEAK-LENSING MAP

The DLS (Wittman et al. 2002, 2005) is an NOAO Survey Project using the Mosaic I and II cameras on the 4 m telescopes on Kitt Peak and Cerro Tololo. The effective exposure time of the survey is about 14,500 s in R, and the 1σ surface brightness limit in R is 28.7 mag arcsec−2, yielding about 45 resolved sources per square arcminute.

The DLS observing procedures described in Wittman et al. (2002, 2005) produce 40′ × 40′ subfields of uniform image quality. To optimize the number of sources usable for construction of the weak-lensing map, the R-band observations are all made in seeing better than 0′′9.

The convergence map we construct for the DLS field centered at R.A. = 09h19m32s/2, decl. = +30°00′00″ (Fig. 1, left) is somewhat shallower than the map of this field discussed by Wittman et al. (2005). Our convergence map is based on all resolved objects (size at least 1.3 times the local point-spread function) with R-band aperture magnitude (within a 5″ diameter aperture) between 21 and 24.2, smaller than 8′′ (to exclude nearby low surface brightness galaxies), and well-measured (cf. Bernstein & Jarvis 2002). Approximately 2 × 105 galaxies contribute to the map. We used a Hectospec deep-field covering the range
21 < R < 22.5 (Fabricant et al. 2005) to estimate the weighted mean redshift of the sources that lie between 0.60 and 0.85.

The convergence map is a direct reconstruction (Kaiser & Squires 1993) following the procedure of Fischer & Tyson (1997). We convert the measured shear into a measurement of the convergence at position $x$ with a weight function introduced (1997). We convert the measured shear into a measurement of the mean redshift of the sources that lie between 0.60 and 0.85.

Our goal is to understand the relationship between the convergence map (Fig. 1, left) and the distribution of matter marked by foreground galaxies. As a first step toward that goal, we used the Hectospec (Fabricant et al. 1998, 2005), a 300 fiber moderate-resolution spectrograph mounted at the f/5 focus of the MMT to carry out a redshift survey of the region. We constructed a galaxy catalog from the R-band source list for the field. We separated galaxies from stars by central surface brightness and then selected galaxies with $R < 20.3$. The Hectospec positioning software (Roll et al. 1998) enables optimization of the target list to obtain an essentially complete magnitude-limited survey. Our 46 Hectospec pointings in the DLS field yield reliable redshifts for 9792 galaxies, with a median redshift $z = 0.297.$

The Hectospec spectra cover the wavelength range 3500–10000 Å, with a resolution of ~6 Å. The Hectospec exposure times are 0.75–1.0 hr. We reduce the data using the standard Hectospec pipeline (Mink et al. 2005). We derive redshifts by application of RVSAO (Kurtz & Mink 1998) with templates constructed for this purpose (Fabricant et al. 2005). Repeat observations of 343 galaxies imply a mean external error in the redshifts of 45 km s$^{-1}$ for absorption-line galaxies, and 30 km s$^{-1}$ for emission-line galaxies.

The redshift survey is 95% complete for $R < 19.7$. The differential completeness is 65% in the range $R = 19.7–20.3.$ Throughout the apparent magnitude range, the redshift survey completeness is uniform over the entire DLS field.

## 3. SHELS: THE HECTOSPEC REDSHIFT SURVEY

Here we examine the correspondence between the large-scale structure revealed by the redshift survey and the structure in the lensing map. The lensing map shows a complex of three overlapping peaks, DLSCL J0920.1+3029, corresponding to three extended cluster X-ray sources (Wittman et al. 2005). The Hectospec redshift survey identifies three clusters coincident with the X-ray clusters and with the convergence map peaks. CXOU J092026+302938 (Abell 781, hereafter A) has a mean redshift of 0.302 and a rest frame line-of-sight velocity dispersion of $\sigma_v = 674_{-45}^{+52}$ km s$^{-1}$ (163 members). CXOU J092053+302800 (hereafter B) has a mean redshift of 0.291 and $\sigma_v = 741_{-40}^{+46}$ km s$^{-1}$ (123 members). Figure 2 shows the complex structure in the redshift survey in the range of these two systems; they are separate clusters, not subclumps of a single system. CXOU J092110+302751, although very close to the other two clusters on the sky, has a mean redshift of 0.427 and a velocity dispersion of $735_{-125}^{+37}$ km s$^{-1}$ (33 members).

Clusters A and B are the two highest amplitude peaks in the convergence map. The ratio of convergence peak amplitudes, $p_A/p_B = 1.02 \pm 0.10$, is consistent with the ratio of $\sigma_v^2/\sigma_\theta^2 = 0.83 \pm 0.07$. In this comparison, we do not attempt to account for the superposition of the outer regions of the cluster mass distributions.

In addition to these clusters, the lensing map shows structure...
at lower amplitude throughout the field. We might expect to see corresponding foreground large-scale structure marked by groups of galaxies (Vale & White 2003). To identify these potentially corresponding foreground condensations, we apply a friends-of-friends groups finding algorithm to the redshift survey. The algorithm we use scales the linking length to account for the variation in the observed density of a magnitude-limited survey as a function of redshift (Ramella et al. 1997). The maps we construct are stable for density contrasts in the range 300–1200; we use maps from two catalogs of condensations with number density contrast of 400 and 600 in the redshift range 0.07–0.47. The fiducial line-of-sight linking length is 180 km s$^{-1}$. At these substantial contrasts, the results are robust, and they are insensitive to incompleteness in the survey, in the sense that we may miss real condensations, but the ones we identify provide a stable estimate of the local velocity dispersion. The condensations lying closest to peaks in the lensing map all have at least five members.

The groups we identify in the Hectospec redshift survey range in velocity dispersion from $\sim$100 to $\sim$800 km s$^{-1}$. These systems contain $\sim$40% of the galaxies in the redshift survey. We use the ensemble of members of these systems to construct an approximate projected mass density map to compare with the lensing map. We divide the DLS field into a uniform 100 $\times$ 100 1‘2 grid. At each density contrast, we run through the list of group members and assign the square of the velocity dispersion, $\sigma^2$, of its parent group to the nearest node of the grid. If members of different groups are assigned to the same node, we assign the maximum group $\sigma^2$ to the node. We then have two lists (planes) of nodes and tags, one for each of the two number density contrasts. We sum the two planes and smooth the resulting map with a 2 pixel (2‘4) Gaussian.

The right panel of Figure 1 shows the $\sigma^2$ map covering the redshift range 0.13–0.47. The appearance of the right panel of Figure 1 is insensitive to reasonable variations in the linking parameters, the group-finding algorithm, or details of the construction method. The similarity between the right panel in Figure 1 and the lensing map in the left panel is striking, even discounting the A781 complex. There are some differences in both directions. There are significant lensing peaks that have no counterpart in the redshift survey. Within the sensitive range of the lensing survey, there are also dense, well-populated systems in the redshift survey that have no counterpart in the lensing map. M. Ramella et al. (2006, in preparation) report on the detailed match of individual systems and convergence peaks.

Figure 3 shows the azimuthally averaged cross correlation of the convergence and $\sigma^2$ maps in the left and right panels of Figure 1, respectively. We perform the image correlations by wrapping the reference image, top to bottom and left to right, equivalent to the surface of a torus; this approach preserves the proper off-peak noise behavior. The cross correlation is significant at the 6.7 $\sigma_{xc}$ level, where $\sigma_{xc}$ is the noise in the cross correlation at zero lag. We evaluate $\sigma_{xc}$ by cross correlating the $\sigma^2$ map with 170 noise maps. We construct noise maps by randomly assigning the $j$th galaxy’s shape and orientation to the $i$th galaxy coordinate. This procedure preserves the spatial sampling of the lensing map but wipes out the expected tangential ellipticity signal, and should result in a map with the same noise properties as the original map, but none of the signal. The mean cross correlation between any $\sigma^2$ map and the noise maps is consistent with zero; the variance in the noise map cross correlation at zero lag, essentially the same for all of the $\sigma^2$ maps, is our estimate of $\sigma_{xc}$.

Figure 3 also shows the azimuthally averaged cross correlation between the convergence and $\sigma^2$ maps of six slices, each covering the redshift range $\Delta z = 0.067$. Taken together, the six slices cover $z = 0.06–0.47$ (they are numbered sequentially 1–6 from low to high $z$ in Fig. 3). We construct these redshift slice maps with the same method used for the map in the right panel of Figure 1. Two rich clusters (Fig. 2) lie in slice 4, which returns the largest amplitude cross correlation among the six slices. The clusters and several rich groups associated with subsidiary peaks in the lensing map lie in an extensive sheetlike

---

**Fig. 2.—**Section of the redshift survey including A781. Open circles denote absorption-line galaxies; filled circles denote emission-line galaxies. There are 1590 galaxies in the plot. The left panel is a projection of the full declination range in right ascension; the right is a projection in declination. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

**Fig. 3.—**Cross correlation of $\sigma^2$ maps with the convergence map (Fig. 1a). The figure indicates the $cz$ range for each $\sigma^2$ map.
structure that runs diagonally across the region and contributes much of the high-amplitude structure in the right panel of Figure 1.

The cluster CXOU J092110+302751 at \( z = 0.427 \) lies within slice 6, which has a cross-correlation amplitude of 4.9 \( \sigma _{cc} \). The cross-correlation signal may be enhanced by superposition; the outer regions of clusters in slice 4 overlap CXOU J092110+302751, and groups surrounding the cluster are superposed on systems in the lower redshift slice 4.

Slices 2 and 5 contain no systems with \( \sigma > 500 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), and yet the cross correlation is significant. The signal comes from the large-scale structure marked by groups of galaxies; in both slices the several systems that contribute the most signal have velocity dispersions in the range 400–500 \( \text{ km s}^{-1} \). For slice 2, the structure in both the convergence and \( \sigma \) maps is in the area \( 9^\circ 15^\prime 10^\prime \prime < \alpha _{2000} < 9^\circ 17^\prime 04^\prime \prime \) and \( 29^\circ 00' 53'' < \delta _{2000} < 30^\circ 01' 26'' \); for slice 5, it is in the range \( 9^\circ 14' 50'':5 < \alpha _{2000} < 9^\circ 18' 22'':7 \) and \( 29^\circ 44' 25'':7 < \delta _{2000} < 30^\circ 43' 09'':9 \). These slices demonstrate that the convergence map images the large-scale structure marked by groups.

Slice 3 provides further insight into the relationship between the foreground large-scale structure and the convergence map. The redshift range of slice 3 contains several large voids. Most of the groups in this redshift range have low-velocity dispersions; the slice contains only one system with a velocity dispersion of \( \sim 400 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), along with several in the 250–300 \( \text{ km s}^{-1} \) range. The cross-correlation amplitude is not significant, as might be expected from the nature of the large-scale structure in the slice.

Slice 1, with a median \( \alpha \sim 0.1 \), provides an interesting test of the impact of lensing efficiency on the cross-correlation signal. The slice contains a cluster at \( z \sim 0.12 \), with a velocity dispersion of \( \sim 740 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), very similar to our X-ray clusters at \( z \sim 0.3–0.4 \). The amplitude of the cross correlation is only 2.6 \( \sigma _{cc} \). The ratio of lensing efficiencies for similar systems at \( z = 0.1 \) and 0.3 is 0.65 \pm 0.06 for the DLS sources, probably accounting for the low cross-correlation amplitude.

Figure 3 is a direct demonstration that weak lensing images the foreground large-scale structure throughout the redshift range 0.13–0.47. The lensing signal is strongly modulated by the details of the three-dimensional matter distribution. At redshifts \( < 0.13 \), we observe a decline in lensing effectiveness.

5. CONCLUSIONS

SHELS combines the power of a deep complete 10,000 galaxy redshift survey with weak-lensing maps from the DLS to examine the three-dimensional matter distribution in the universe over the redshift range 0.07–0.47. We use \( \sigma \) maps based on the objective identification of groups and clusters in the redshift survey as a proxy for the matter distribution in narrow redshift slices. Cross correlation of these \( \sigma \) maps with the DLS weak-lensing map demonstrates that weak lensing images the foreground large-scale structure marked by groups with velocity dispersions as low as 400 \( \text{ km s}^{-1} \). The lensing signal is modulated by the large-scale structure and by the expected lensing efficiency.

We are extending SHELS to greater redshift in the region discussed here. We are also carrying out a similarly dense and deep redshift survey of a second DLS field. We soon plan to report in detail on further insights gained by combining deep dense redshift surveys with weak-lensing convergence maps.
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