Diagnosing melanoma patients entering American Joint Committee on Cancer stage IV, C-reactive protein in serum is superior to lactate dehydrogenase
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Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in serum has recently been introduced into the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system for cutaneous melanoma because of its prognostic value. We hypothesised LDH to be of value in discriminating melanoma patients entering AJCC stage IV from patients staying in AJCC stages I, II or III. Lactate dehydrogenase was compared to the acute phase protein C-reactive protein (CRP), which we observed to reflect the course of melanoma metastasis in a previous report. In this prospective study, we measured LDH and CRP in the serum of 91 consecutive melanoma patients progressing into AJCC stage IV in comparison to 125 patients staying in AJCC stages I, II or III. Comparing distributions of the parameters by median values and quartiles by Mann–Whitney test, LDH was not significantly elevated in patients entering AJCC stage IV melanoma (P = 0.785), whereas CRP was (P < 0.001). Analysing the sensitivity and the specificity jointly by the areas under the receiver operating characteristics curves (ROC-AUC), LDH did not discriminate between the defined groups of patients (AUC = 0.491; 95% confidence interval, 0.410, 0.581), whereas CRP did (AUC = 0.933; 95% confidence interval, 0.900, 0.966; P < 0.001). Upon logistic regression analysis to calculate the ROC-AUC values upon the predictive probabilities, LDH provided no additional information to CRP. Choosing a cutoff point of 3.0 mg l⁻¹, CRP yielded a sensitivity of 0.769 together with a specificity of 0.904 in diagnosing AJCC stage IV entry. Altogether, for first diagnosing AJCC stage IV melanoma, CRP is the superior serum marker when compared to the conventional LDH.
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Melanomas are one of the most aggressive of the skin cancers and have shown a dramatic increase in incidence over the past decades (Rigel et al., 1996). Following excision of the primary tumour, patients are followed up in regular intervals in order to detect distant metastases early and thus to, if possible, get patients free of disease by surgery or to monitor the course of metastasis during chemotherapy. In these follow-up examinations, serum parameters, such as S100β or melanoma-inhibiting activity (MIA), have been evaluated for discriminating progressive from nonprogressive American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) stage IV disease; unfortunately, these markers did not provide additional significant information to the conventional lactate dehydrogenase (LDH; Deichmann et al., 1999), which is the most predictive independent factor of diminished survival upon multivariate analysis, even after accounting for site and number of metastases (Sirott et al., 1993; Eton et al., 1998; Franzke et al., 1998; Keilholz et al., 1998). Lactate dehydrogenase has not only prognostic value in melanoma but also in further tumours, for example, small-cell and non-small-cell lung cancer, Hodgkin’s and Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma or prostate cancer (Sirott et al., 1993). In view of the favourite data in melanoma, LDH has even been introduced into the new classification system of the AJCC (Balch et al., 2001).

Unexpectedly, prospective studies with statistically assessable cohorts of patients have not yet been conducted to evaluate the value of LDH in discriminating melanoma patients entering AJCC stage IV from patients staying in AJCC stages I, II or III during follow up. We therefore initiated the present study and measured LDH in a consecutive series of 91 patients with first diagnosis of AJCC stage IV melanoma in comparison to 125 patients staying in AJCC stages I, II or III. In parallel, we also measured the acute phase protein C-reactive protein (CRP), which we previously found to reflect the course of distant metastasis (Deichmann et al., 2000; Deichmann et al., 2001). Distributions of the parameters by median values and quartiles were compared by Fisher’s exact test and the Mann–Whitney test. The sensitivity and the specificity were jointly analysed by the areas under the receiver operating characteristics curves (ROC-AUC).
PATIENTS AND LABORATORY ANALYSES

Between April 1999 and April 2004, 91 consecutive patients with metastatic melanoma were entered onto the study. All patients had histologically confirmed melanoma (20 superficial spreading, 12 nodular, five acral lentiginous, 24 cutaneous melanomas not further classified, nine uveal, nine mucosal, 12 no primary tumour). The time point of entry into the study was the first diagnosis of clinical stage IV according to the AJCC criteria (Balch et al, 2001). Metastases were diagnosed by clinical examination, chest X-rays, ultrasound, computed axial tomography scans and nuclear magnetic resonance imaging. A total of 11 patients suffered from distant skin, subcutaneous or lymph node metastases, 19 patients had lung metastases, and other visceral metastases were found in 61 patients.

Blood samples were taken at the time of the first diagnosis of AJCC stage IV melanoma. Lactate dehydrogenase was tested on a Beckman LX20 instrument (Beckman Instruments, Gagny, France), and CRP was assayed by a rate immunonephelometric technique on a protein system analyser (BN2 Array; Dode-Behring, Munich, Germany).

In total, 125 consecutive melanoma patients, not being diagnosed as AJCC stage IV in follow-up examinations, entered onto the study as cohort for comparison. Again, these patients had histologically confirmed melanoma (53 superficial spreading, 26 nodular, 10 acral lentiginous, four lentiginous, 31 cutaneous melanomas not further classified, one no primary tumour). At the time point when blood was drawn, these patients were diagnosed as melanoma stage I (21 patients), stage II (49 patients) and stage III (55 patients) according the AJCC criteria (Balch et al, 2001).

Statistical analyses

All statistics and figures were computed with the statistical software SPSS (SPSS Inc., Release 10.0.7, Chicago, IL, USA). We report two-tailed statistics throughout, a P-value smaller than 0.05 (P<0.05) was considered significant. Two-sample comparisons were performed by Fisher’s exact test (sex) and the Mann–Whitney test (age, LDH, CRP). The receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis was used to evaluate the ability of the conventional serum marker LDH and the CRP serum values to discriminate between patients entering AJCC stage IV (IV +) from those staying in AJCC stages I, II or III (IV –). The primary result of the ROC analysis was the area under the curve (AUC), which is a measure for the effect size. The AUC corresponds to the probability that a randomly chosen pair from (IV +, IV –) is diagnosed correctly. An AUC of 0.5 or below indicates that a diagnostic tool does not discriminate better than chance between IV + and IV– patients, an AUC of 1 indicates a perfect discriminability. The ROC analysis can be conducted choosing the values of the markers themselves as cutoff points or, alternatively, the predictive probabilities that result from a logistic regression with the markers as independent variables. To compare the discriminability of the marker CRP alone to the discriminability of the marker CRP in combination with the conventionally used marker LDH, we conducted two logistic regressions: one on CRP alone and one on CRP in combination with LDH. The null hypothesis $H_0 : AUC_{CRP} = AUC_{CRP+LDH} = 0$ against $H_a : AUC_{CRP} > AUC_{CRP+LDH} 
eq 0$ was then tested using the test statistic derived for correlated AUCs by Hanley and McNeil (1983). For the diagnostic procedure proven to be superior, sensitivities and cutoff points are given for the specificities of 0.8, 0.85 and 0.9.

RESULTS

Comparing the melanoma patients entering AJCC stage IV with those staying in AJCC stages I, II and III, both groups did not differ significantly in sex and age (Table 1). Describing their distributions by median values and quartiles using the Mann–Whitney test, serum CRP was markedly elevated in patients entering AJCC stage IV melanoma ($P<0.001$), whereas LDH was not ($P=0.785$; Table 1).

Estimates for both sensitivity and specificity in separating patients entering AJCC stage IV melanoma from those staying in AJCC stages I, II or III were obtained using ROC analysis. The figure already indicates that the ability of discrimination of the marker CRP is clearly better than one of the marker LDH (Figure 1). The AUC analysis confirms that LDH (AUC = 0.491; 95% confidence interval: 0.458–0.524) is a less discriminative marker than CRP (AUC = 0.785; 95% confidence interval: 0.752–0.804) if the ability to discriminate between IV + and IV– patients is assessed using a cutoff point of 5.47 mg/l for CRP. In order to better discriminate the patients entering AJCC stage IV from the those staying in stages I, II or III, the area under the ROC curve (AUC) may be increased by combining the values of both markers.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1** Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for the serum parameters LDH (grey line) and CRP (black line). As the area under the curve (AUC) is larger for CRP, this marker is better in discriminating melanoma patients entering AJCC stage IV from patients staying in stages I, II or III in follow-up examinations.

| Table 1 | Median and lower upper quartiles and P-values according to two-sample comparisons |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Melanoma AJCC stage IV** | **Melanoma not AJCC stage IV** |
| No. of patients | Median | Lower and upper quartiles | Median | Lower and upper quartiles | $P^*$ |
| Male (%) | 91 | 59.3 | 125 | 55.2 | 0.580 |
| Age (years) | 59 | 51–69 | 58 | 46–69 | 0.397 |
| LDH (UI) | 197 | 150–328 | 208 | 185.5–235.5 | 0.785 |
| CRP (mg/l) | 14.8 | 3.6–44.2 | <2 | <2–<20 | <0.001 |

$^*$Fisher’s exact test (sex) and Mann–Whitney test. $^*$Maximum: 7.7, 95% quantile: 5.47. LDH = lactate dehydrogenase; CRP = C-reactive protein.
95% confidence interval (0.410, 0.581)) did not discriminate significantly ($P = 0.823$), whereas CRP did for the considered population ($AUC = 0.933$; 95% confidence interval (0.900, 0.966); $P < 0.001$).

Next, we compared CRP to the combination of CRP and LDH in the diagnosis of AJCC stage IV melanoma entry. We conducted logistic regressions on CRP alone and on CRP and LDH together. Denoting by $\pi$ the probability that a patient has entered AJCC stage IV, the logistic regression on CRP yielded $\pi = 1/(1 + \exp(-(-2.906 + 0.575 X_{crp})))$, where $X_{crp}$ denoted the amount of CRP measured. The logistic regression on CRP and LDH yielded $\pi = 1/(1 + \exp(-(-1.7147 + 0.626X_{crp} - 0.006X_{ldh})))$, where $X_{crp}$ and $X_{ldh}$ denoted the amount of CRP and LDH measured, respectively. Using the predicted probabilities for a patient being diagnosed to enter AJCC stage IV as cutoff points, the ROC curve of CRP alone discriminating entry AJCC stage IV was then compared with the ROC curve of CRP and LDH together (Figure 2). The figure already indicates that CRP alone is slightly better than the combination of CRP and LDH. We compared the corresponding AUCs of CRP alone which resulted to be 0.933 (standard deviation (s.d.), 0.017; 95% confidence interval, 0.900, 0.966) and CRP combined with LDH which was 0.913 (0.022; 95% confidence interval, 0.869, 0.957).

To test whether the AUC of CRP in combination with LDH is significantly larger than the AUC of CRP alone, we applied the Hanley–McNeil test statistic, calculating the correlation using Kendall’s $\tau$ of the predictive probabilities of CRP and CRP in combination with LDH for patients with and without AJCC stage IV diagnosis, respectively. This lead to correlations of 0.475 for patients with distant metastases and 0.917 for patients without distant metastases. Pooling these values gave an average correlation of 0.696. Together with the mean AUCs of 0.923, we derived the correlation coefficient $r$ between the two AUC from the tables given by Hanley and McNeil (1983), which was 0.60. The Hanley–McNeil test statistic $z = (\text{AUC}_{CRP} - \text{AUC}_{CRP + LDH})/\sqrt{\left(\text{AUC}_{CRP}^2 + \text{AUC}_{CRP + LDH}^2\right)/2 - \text{2} \times \text{AUC}_{CRP} \times \text{AUC}_{CRP + LDH}}$ with $\text{AUC}_{CRP}$ and $\text{AUC}_{CRP + LDH}$ being the areas under the curve for CRP and the combination of CRP and LDH and $\text{AUC}_{CRP}$ and $s(\text{AUC}_{CRP + LDH})$ their s.d.'s yielded a value of 1.11, which can be compared to the critical values of the normal distribution. Hence, the null hypothesis could not be rejected: the AUC of CRP in combination with LDH could not be proven to be significantly larger than the AUC of CRP alone.

The marker CRP thus was proven to discriminate better than LDH between patients entering AJCC stage IV from patients staying in AJCC stages I, II or III. To reach a specificity of about 0.8, one could either choose a cutoff point of 2.1 leading to a specificity of 0.792 or a cutoff point of 2.2 leading to a specificity of 0.816. Both cutoff points corresponded to sensitivities of 0.835. For a specificity of about 0.85, the cutoff points of 2.4 or 2.5 yielded sensitivities of 0.832 and 0.856, respectively. Both cutoff points corresponded to sensitivities of 0.813. With a cutoff point of 2.9, a specificity of 0.896 was reached together with a sensitivity of 0.791, while a cutoff point of 3.0 yielded a specificity of 0.904 together with a sensitivity of 0.769.

**DISCUSSION**

For discriminating melanoma patients entering AJCC stage IV from patients staying in AJCC stages I, II or III during follow up, LDH is not suitable, regardless of its proven prognostic value in AJCC stage IV (Sirott et al, 1993; Eton et al, 1998; Franze et al, 1998; Keilholz et al, 1998; Balch et al, 2001). Unexpectedly, CRP resulted as the more favourite serum marker: This acute phase protein was superior to LDH and contributed significantly in discriminating melanoma patients entering AJCC stage IV from patients staying in AJCC stages I, II or III.

C-reactive protein was introduced in this study as elevated levels in serum have been associated with shortened survival in metastatic melanoma patients and resistance to interleukin-2 therapy (Tartour et al, 1994; Mouawad et al, 1996; Tartour et al, 1996). In line with this report, we previously observed high CRP levels to indicate progression of distant metastases during therapy (Deichmann et al, 2000). As a nonspecific acute phase response to almost all forms of inflammation (Pepys, 1995), CRP is synthesised in hepatocytes in response to cytokines such as mainly interleukin-6 (II-6; Moshage et al, 1988; Castell et al, 1989; Kishimoto, 1989; Klein et al, 1991; Hirano, 1992). As melanoma cells are known to produce II-6 (Colombo et al, 1992; Lee et al, 1992; Lu and Kerbel 1993; Mattei et al, 1994), serum CRP might reflect II-6 production from the tumour. However, tumour-specific immune interaction with T cells, macrophages or monocytes might also be responsible for elevated II-6 levels, as these cell are known to produce this cytokine, too. Further major sources of II-6 may be surrounding fibroblasts or endothelial cells (Kishimoto, 1989). In vivo, CRP reflects II-6 secretion (Klein et al, 1991) supported by high correlation between serum CRP and II-6 concentrations in melanoma patients (Moshage et al, 1988; Castell et al, 1989; Kishimoto, 1989; Klein et al, 1991; Hirano, 1992; Tartour et al, 1996; Deichmann et al, 2000).

As II-6 is known to inhibit the activity of lipoprotein lipase, a key regulatory enzyme for triglyceride clearance from plasma, increased levels of its surrogate CRP were positively correlated with the paraneoplastic inflammatory syndrome, leading to weight loss cachexia (Mahmoud and Rivera, 2002). Besides melanoma (Tartour et al, 1994; Tartour et al, 1996), high CRP serum levels are known to indicate shorter median survival in patients suffering from other tumours such as renal cell carcinoma (Blay et al, 1992) or colorectal carcinoma (Gough et al, 1996; Nozoe et al, 1998; Mahmoud and Rivera, 2002). Thus, CRP is not exclusively increased in melanoma. This protein is produced as a nonspecific acute phase response to almost all forms of inflammation (Pepys, 1995).

Altogether, LDH did not discriminate melanoma patients entering AJCC stage IV from patients staying in AJCC stages I, II or III in follow-up examinations, whereas CRP did upon ROC-AUC analysis. Choosing a cutoff point of 3.0 mg/l, CRP yielded a
sensitivity of 77% together with a specificity of 90% in diagnosing AJCC stage IV entry. Following excision of the primary melanoma, CRP measurement may contribute to earlier detection of distant metastasis, possibly getting some patients free of disease by surgery or radiation. We therefore recommend the routine measurement of CRP in follow-up examinations of melanoma patients, which is a simple and cheap test and provides valuable information.

REFERENCES

Balch CM, Buzaid AC, Soong SJ, Atkins MB, Cassinelli N, Coit DG, Fleming ID, Gershenwald JE, Houghton Jr A, Kirkwood JM, McMasters KM, Mihm MF, Morton DL, Reintgen DS, Ross MI, Sober A, Thompson JA, Thompson JF (2001) Final version of the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system for cutaneous melanoma. J Clin Oncol 19: 3635 – 3648

Blay JY, Negrier S, Combarert V, Attali S, Goillot E, Merrouche Y, Mercatello A, Ravaud A, Tourani JM, Moskovtchenko JF (1992) Serum level of interleukin 6 as a prognostic factor in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Res 52: 3317 – 3322

Castell JV, Gomez-Lechon MJ, David M, Andus T, Geiger T, Trullenque R, Fabra R, Heinrich PC (1989) Interleukin-6 is the major regulator of acute phase protein synthesis in adult human hepatocytes. FEBS Lett 242: 237 – 239

Colombo MP, Maccalli C, Mattei S, Melani C, Radrizzani M, Parmiani G (1992) Expression of cytokine genes, including IL-6, in human malignant melanoma cell lines. Melanoma Res 2: 181 – 189

Deichmann M, Benner A, Bock M, Jäckel A, Uhl K, Waldmann V, Näher H (1999) S100, MIA and LDH discriminate progressive from non-progressive AJCC stage IV melanoma disease. J Clin Oncol 17: 1891 – 1896

Deichmann M, Benner A, Jäckel A, Bock M, Waldmann V, Näher H (2000) Elevated interleukin-6 serum levels indicate progressive disease in metastasized malignant melanoma. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 19: 301 – 307

Deichmann M, Benner A, Kuner N, Wacker J, Waldmann V, Näher H (2001) Are responses to therapy of metastasized malignant melanoma reflected by decreasing serum values of S100 or melanoma inhibitory activity (MIA)? Melanoma Res 11: 291 – 296

Eton O, Legha SS, Moon TE, Moon TE, Buzaid AC, Papadopoulos NE, Plager C, Burgess AM, Bedikian AY, Ring S, Dong Q, Glassman AB, Balch CM, Benjamin RS (1998) Prognostic factors for survival of patients treated systemically for disseminated melanoma. J Clin Oncol 16: 1103 – 1111

Francis GM, Krohn EG, Woods KV, Buzaid AC, Grimm EA (1996) Interleukin-6 production and secretion in human melanoma cell lines, regulation by interleukin-1. Melanoma Res 6: 191 – 201

Franzke A, Probst-Kepper M, Buer J, Duensing S, Hoffmann R, Wittek F, Volkenandt M, Ganser A, Atzpodien J (1998) Elevated pretreatment serum levels of soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 and lactate dehydrogenase as predictors of survival in cutaneous metastatic malignant melanoma. Br J Cancer 78: 40 – 45

Gough DB, Fearon KC, Carter DC (1996) Metabolic disturbance and sensitivity to endotoxin in patients with advanced cancer, relationship to lymphocyte reactivity, tumour necrosis factor production and survival. Clin Exp Immunol 105: 529 – 536

Hanley JA, McNeil BJ (1983) A method of comparing the areas under receiver operating characteristic curves derived from the same cases. Radiology 148: 839 – 843

Hirano T (1992) Interleukin-6 and its relation to inflammation and disease. Clin Immunol Immunopathol 62: 560 – 565

Keilholz U, Conradt C, Legha SS, Khayat D, Scheibenhagen C, Thatcher N, Goey SH, Gore M, Dorval T, Hancock B, Punt CJ, Dummer R, Avril MF, Brocker EB, Benhammoua A, Eggermont AM, Pritsch M (1998) Results of interleukin-2-based treatment in advanced melanoma, a case report-based analysis of 631 patients. J Clin Oncol 16: 2921 – 2929

Kishimoto T (1989) The biology of interleukin-6. Blood 74: 1 – 10

Klein B, Wijdens J, Zhang XG, Jourdan M, Boiron JM, Brochier J, Lautard J, Merlin M, Clement C, Morel-Fournier B, Lu ZY, Mannoni P, Sany J, Bataille B (1991) Murine anti-interleukin-6 monoclonal antibody therapy for a patient with plasma cell leukemia. Blood 78: 1198 – 1204

Lee JD, Sievers TM, Skotzko M, Chandler CF, Morton DL, McBride WH, Economou J (1992) Interleukin-6 production by human melanoma cell lines. Lymphokine Cytokine Res 11: 161 – 166

Lu C, Kerbel RS (1993) Interleukin-6 undergoes transition from paracrine growth inhibitor to autocrine stimulator during human melanoma progression. J Cell Biol 120: 1281 – 1288

Mahmoud FA, Rivera NI (2002) The role of C-reactive protein as a prognostic marker in advanced cancer. Curr Oncol Rep 4: 250 – 255

Mattei S, Colombo MP, Melani C, Silvani A, Parmigiani G, Herlyn M (1994) Expression of cytokine/growth factors and their receptors in human melanoma and melanocytes. Int J Cancer 56: 853 – 857

Moshage HJ, Roelofs HMJ, van Pelt JF, Hazenberg RPC, van Leeuwen MA, Limburg PC, Aarden LA, Yap SH (1988) The effect of interleukin-1, interleukin-6 and its interrelationship on the synthesis of serum amyloid A and C-reactive protein in primary cultures of adult human hepatocytes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 155: 112 – 117

Mouawad R, Benhammoua A, Rixe O, Antoine EC, Borel C, Weil M, Khayat D, Soubrane C (1996) Endogenous interleukin 6 levels in patients with metastatic malignant melanoma, correlation with tumor burden. Clin Cancer Res 2: 1405 – 1409

Nozoe T, Matsumata T, Kitamura M, Sugimachi K (1998) Significance of preoperative elevation of serum C-reactive protein as an indicator for prognosis in colorectal cancer. Am J Surg Path 22: 335 – 338

Pepys MB (1995) The acute phase response and C-reactive protein. In Oxford Textbook of Medicine, Weatherall DJ, Ledingham JGG, Warrell DA (eds) pp 1527 – 1533, Oxford: Oxford University Press

Rigel DS, Frieman RJ, Kopf AW (1996) The incidence of malignant melanoma in the United States, issues as we approach the 21st century. J Am Acad Dermatol 34: 839 – 847

Sirott M, Bajorin D, Wong G, Tao Y, Chapman PB, Templeton MA, Houghton AN (1993) Prognostic factors in patients with metastatic malignant melanoma, a multivariate analysis. Cancer 72: 3091 – 3098

Tartour E, Blay JY, Dorval T, Escudier B, Mosseri V, Douillard JY, Deneux M, Gorin I, Negrier S, Mathiot C, Pouillard P, Fridman WH (1996) Predictors of clinical response to interleukin-2-based immunotherapy in melanoma patients: a French multinstitutional study. J Clin Oncol 14: 1697 – 1703

Tartour E, Dorval T, Mosseri V, Deneux M, Mathiot C, Brailly H, Montero F, Joyeux I, Pouillard P, Fridman WH (1994) Serum interleukin 6 and C-reactive protein levels correlate with resistance to IL-2 therapy and poor survival in melanoma patients. Br J Cancer 69: 9111 – 9913