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Pollution of natural bodies of water poses a fundamental threat to all forms of life on this planet. A specific form of pollution is by heavy-metal elements such as lead, copper, cadmium, mercury, and arsenic, the use of which is widespread in large-scale industries including mining and fertilizer manufacturing. Industrial accidents leading to spills of toxic heavy-metal contaminants continue to happen; therefore, the detection of such contaminants is critical for environmental protection. An ideal detection approach needs to be: (i) sensitive to parts-per-million-level (ppm) or lower concentrations; (ii) rapid, as water pollutants are mobile and spread rapidly after their introduction at a particular location, through diffusion and water flow; (iii) capable of simultaneous detection of multiple contaminants; (iv) remote.

No detection technique demonstrated to-date satisfies all of the abovementioned requirements. The established techniques and procedures based on the methods of analytical chemistry rely on the collection of water samples and their subsequent analysis in a laboratory, which is neither rapid nor remotely implementable. Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) has been previously applied to the detection of water contaminants at the ppm-level concentrations, which is the sensitivity sufficient for arranging a timely response to industrial spill events. The drawback of the conventional LIBS schemes is that they rely on the use of pulsed nanosecond or femtosecond laser beams that are tightly focused on the air–water interface, in order to reach the necessary laser fluence on the order of multiple Joules per cm². Therefore, setups involving tight beam focusing can only be implemented in a controlled laboratory environment with a stable air–water interface, whether nanosecond or femtosecond pulses are used.

Here we report the results of proof-of-principle experiments on the application of an alternative laser-based approach to the simultaneous detection of multiple heavy-metal contaminants in water, at the ppm-level concentrations. Instead of relying on tight focusing of the laser beam, we use intense femtosecond optical pulses that propagate in the air in the self-guiding regime commonly termed laser filamentation. The dynamic balance between self-focusing and plasma defocusing and nonlinear losses in laser filaments results in the generation of meters-long light strings with sustained optical intensity on the order of 10¹⁴ W cm⁻². Filaments can be produced at a desired standoff distance that can be in the multikilometer range, and in adverse propagation conditions. Using filaments for remote sensing of solid (100% concentration) copper or aluminum has been reported previously. However, remote LIBS detection of trace amounts of water contaminants, using femtosecond...
laser filaments, has not been yet reported. Here we combine the advantages of the LIBS scheme for trace element detection of water and the remote generation capability of high laser intensity in long femtosecond light filaments, and show simultaneous measurements of multiple water pollutants, with ppm-level accuracy. We further demonstrate robustness of this technique against simulated water waves for field measurements.

Our laboratory-scale demonstration is straightforwardly extendable to the kilometer-scale standoff distances, thanks to the abovementioned remarkable properties of femtosecond laser filaments. As we will show below, our femtosecond approach is insensitive to the variations of the air–water interface that may occur, under realistic outdoor conditions, due to the topography and water waves. Various heavy-metal pollutants can be detected rapidly and remotely, e.g., from an airplane, as conceptualized in Figure 1a. Our approach is ideal for prompt detection of emergency toxic spill-off situations that require immediate response.

With the experimental setup shown in Figure 1b (for details, see Experimental Section), in Figure 1c, we show the experimentally recorded spectra of emission resulting from the excitation of the water surface by a laser filament. Different spectra correspond to the samples of pure deionized water contaminated by 500 ppm concentrations of different salts: Al(NO$_3$)$_3$, Cr(NO$_3$)$_3$, Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$, and Pb(NO$_3$)$_2$. Plasma produced through strong-field ionization of water and contaminants is cold and short-lived. It emits clean spectral lines of the chemical species of interest (see Supporting Information).

Different spectral lines shown in Figure 1c are unambiguously assigned to the metal contaminant ions introduced into the water samples, e.g., Al at 396.2 nm ($3s^23p^2 \rightarrow 3s^23p$ transition), Cr at 425.4 nm ($3d_54s \rightarrow 3d_54p$), Cu at 324.8 nm ($3d_{10}4p \rightarrow 3d_{10}4s$), and Pb at 405.9 nm ($6s^26p_7s \rightarrow 6s^26p$) (see Supporting Information). In addition, evident in the spectra are the emissions by free radicals OH at 307 nm ($A^2Σ \rightarrow X^2Π$) and NH at 336 nm ($A^3Π \rightarrow X^3Σ^−$). These compounds are formed through complex photochemical processes involving water and air molecules.[23–25] Referencing the amplitudes of the detected spectral lines to that of the OH line, which corresponds to the known ≈1 000 000 ppm water concentration, can be used for the quantitative calibration of the pollutant concentrations.

In Figure 2, we show experimental data for the intensities of the representative spectral lines of four different heavy-metal contaminants dissolved in the water samples, as functions of their concentrations. We define the limits of detection (LODs) for these elements, according to the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), as $LOD = 3σ_{BG} S^{-1}$, where $σ_{BG}$ is the standard deviation of the signal and $S$ is the slope of the calibration curve for the signal versus concentration. Based on the data, the LODs for the four heavy-metal pollutants are found to be 1.69, 1.38, 9.91, and 15.31 ppm for Al, Cr, Cu, and Pb, respectively. These results show that the filament-based LIBS is capable of trace-level sensitivity, similar to the sensitivity attainable with conventional fs-LIBS that involves tight focusing of the laser beam.[9,10]

The data shown in Figure 2 are obtained with a single dissolved heavy-metal pollutant in each water sample. However, our approach is straightforwardly capable of detecting several pollutants simultaneously, as shown in Figure 3. Here, four representative heavy metals, all at 50 ppm concentrations, are detected simultaneously in a common water sample. The spectral lines corresponding to these elements are clearly identifiable in the
measured spectrum, with the relative amplitudes of the spectral peaks consistent with the data shown in Figure 2 for the cases when each sample had only a single variety of dissolved pollutant.

As pointed out above, the key advantage of the femtosecond filament–based detection approach is that it is extremely tolerant to the variations of the distance between the laser source and the water surface. This advantage is demonstrated by the data shown in Figure 4. Here, we use a water sample contaminated with 500 ppm concentration of Al(NO$_3$)$_3$, with the representative spectral line at 396.2 nm, and vary the distance between the focusing lens and the water surface. In these experiments, we use two different lenses. The first lens, with the focal length of 25 cm, produces tight focusing with high intensity confined to the Rayleigh range of the laser beam, which is representative of the conventional LIBS approach. In that case, the signals are highly sensitive to the distance between the focusing optic and the water surface, as follows from the data shown with circles in Figure 4. The second lens has a focal length of 100 cm and generates loose focusing of the laser beam. Beam propagation in this case is dominated by nonlinear self-channeling. As a result, the detected signal is insensitive to the variation of the distance between the focusing optic and the water surface, as long as that variation does not exceed the length of the laser filament, which is ≈6 cm in this demonstration. The corresponding data are shown with stars in Figure 4.

To further illustrate the utility of our approach under adverse conditions, we conducted our experiment in a water tank with stimulated surface waves. The disturbances of the water surface, with various amplitudes and frequencies, are induced by a water-immersed mechanical shaker. Videos of the experiment can be found in the Supporting Information. In this case, the water samples are contaminated with Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ at various concentrations ranging from 10 to 500 ppm. The signals recorded from the undulating water surface, for each concentration, are shown in Figure 5. They are weakly dependent on the parameters of the mechanical excitation imposed by the shaker, demonstrating robustness of this sensing approach against disturbances caused by water waves.

In the long-range implementation of the laser filament–based sensing of water pollutants, the filament will be produced, at a remote water surface, through the self-focusing collapse of a temporally prechirped and collimated, instead of a weakly focused, laser beam. The filamentation zone, with the sustained clamped laser intensity on the order of 50 TW cm$^{-2}$, will be several meters long, followed by the postfilamentation zone, where intensity is also substantial.$^{[26,27]}$ The span of the natural filaments is comparable to the amplitude of the natural wind–generated water waves, thus enabling rapid remote detection of the heavy-metal pollutants in natural bodies of water from a flying airplane (see Figure 1a), from a kilometer-scale distance.$^{[28]}$ Due to intensity clamping, the level of the optical signal generated at the point of emission on the water surface will be similar to that in our laboratory-scale demonstration. Note that although the particular value of clamped intensity in the long-range laser

---

**Figure 2.** Measurements of ppm-level metal concentrations. Concentrations of the Al, Cr, Cu, and Pb pollutants in water measured from a target 1.1 m away. The extrapolation of the data for each element yields the corresponding limit of detection (LOD), defined according to the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC).

**Figure 3.** Simultaneous detection of multiple trace metals in water. The detected spectrum of emission from water simultaneously contaminated by Al, Cr, Cu, and Pb, at the concentration of 50 ppm for each element.

**Figure 4.** Detected spectra in the case of tight and loose focusing of the laser beam. The amplitude of the Al spectral line versus distance between the focal plane and the water surface, for two different focusing lenses, with focal lengths of 25 cm (circles) and 100 cm (stars).
Second, by a commercial Ti:Sapphire laser system (Spectra Physics, wavelength of 800 nm were generated, at the rate of 1000 pulses per minute. Optical emission from the plasma, produced on the water surface through the interaction with the laser filament, was collected at a distance of 110 cm from the excitation point on the water surface, by an Aluminium mirror (Mirror 2) and a 5.08 cm diameter lens (Lens 2) with a focal length of 6 cm. The collecting optic focused the emission onto the 100 mm wide entrance slit of a spectrometer (Andor Shamrock SR-303i), which was equipped with a 1200 lines per millimeter grating and a gated, intensified CCD camera (Andor iStar ICCD). The spectral data were 100 000 laser shots (100 s integration time). The standard deviation was determined over the sample of three measurements. In all measurements reported here, the ICCD gate opened 200 ns after the arrival of the direct scattering of the excitation laser pulse to the detection system, and the temporal width of the ICCD gate was set to 1 µs. (See Supporting Information for the discussion of the gating parameter optimization.)
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