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Abstract

Typically, the Architecture, Engineering, and Construction (AEC) industry is considered one of the most effective contributor to the national developments worldwide. However, the AEC industry is facing myriad challenges due to the pressing calls for creativity and innovative solutions. Several issues are confronted such as failure to meet client satisfaction, delays in delivering projects on time, cost overruns, low quality, conflicts among parties, safety issues, increasing requests for change orders, tremendous increases in materials waste and project complexity. Building Information Modeling (BIM) is rapidly growing worldwide as a viable tool for improving the efficiency of the AEC industry to solve its salient issues. However, BIM is seldom adopted on the government level, especially in the developing countries. This study aims to explore the stakeholders’ perceptions on the benefits of BIM and the barriers that hindered its adoption. Furthermore, practical solutions to motivate BIM non-users to adopt BIM are proposed. A questionnaire was sent to BIM users and non-users in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) as a case study. The key findings that deterred the implementation of BIM were personal correlated issues such as resistance to change and lack of appropriate awareness of BIM. This study convinces the industry players concerning BIM benefits and reveals the barriers and their potential solutions to encourage them to reap the benefits from BIM adoption.

Keywords: BIM barriers, benefits, BIM implementation, AEC, KSA, top management.

1 Introduction:

The AEC industry is considered as the backbone of the economy for several countries worldwide (Elhendawi, et al., 2019). Consequently, the construction industry has substantial impacts on the growth of nations (Giang & Pheng, 2011). For decades, the AEC industry has been suffering from a plethora of problems and lags behind other industries. Client requirements tend not to be achieved, with projects delivered beyond schedule, over budget and with low quality (Ahmed, et al., 2018). AEC is suffering from low productivity, poor efficiency, ineffective performance, low support to sustainability (Azhar, et al., 2015), insufficient environment protection, poor working conditions and loss of control on safety (Latiffi, et al., 2013).

Recently, the construction industry has become more complex to manage (Omar & Dulaimi, 2015). This is due to technical complexity, various data to be managed, continuous changes in the supply chain management systems, contractual provision (Ahmed, et al., 2018), and the pressing demands for smart and green buildings (Marzouk, et al., 2014). As such, several researchers have considered BIM as a
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panacea to enhance communication and collaboration among the AEC industry key players (Gerges, M, et al. 2017; Matarneh & Hamed -2017).

The roots of BIM can be traced back to the 1970s. However, the AEC industry commenced use of BIM in the 2000s. Since then, several companies and governments have recognised the benefits of BIM and accordingly have adopted BIM (Eastman, et al., 2011).

This study aims to address the main advantages and barriers for BIM users and non-users and to suggest practical solutions that pave the way to overcome the barriers and enhance the chances to reap the utmost benefits of BIM. To that end, an extensive literature review was conducted to grasp the state-of-the-art pertaining to benefits and obstacles experienced by BIM users and non-users.

2 Literature Review:

Currently, BIM has shown its competency to improve AEC industry performance and enhance collaboration among various project parties. BIM is considered a revolutionary technology and process management proposed as a potential solution to the current salient issues in the AEC industry (Azhar, et al., 2015; Love, et al., 2014). BIM was suggested as a tool to support the pre-design phase (Ham, et al., 2008), visualisation interference and clash detection, construction sequencing, cost estimation, fabrication/shop drawings, automated fabrication, code reviews, and data analysis (Forbes & Ahmed, 2011). It also supports construction planning, constructability and analysis, cost and quantity take-off (Autodesk Design Academy, 2017), enterprise resource planning (Elbeltagi & Dawood, 2011), Virtual Reality (VR) (Omar, 2015), Facility Management (FM) (Elhendawi, et al., 2019), project management (Ahmed, et al., 2018) and Augmented Reality (AR) for interactive visualisation (Wang, et al., 2014). Furthermore, construction management education can benefit (Abbas, et al., 2016) as well as health and safety (Ganah & John, 2015), Integrated Project Delivery (IPD) (Glick & Guggemos, 2009), Geography Information System (GIS) (Baik, et al., 2015), Green Building (Marzouk, et al., 2014; Amor, et al., 214) and Lean construction (Zewein, 2017).

As per its perceived benefits, many developed countries such as Canada, UK, Finland, Singapore, Norway, Denmark, South Korea, Australia, Hong Kong and the Netherlands have mandated BIM in their public AEC industry projects, while others have adopted future plans for mandating BIM (Lee, et al., 2014). However, almost all developing countries have not mandated BIM yet (Elhendawi, et al., 2019). From the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) members in 2014, only Dubai municipality had mandated BIM in some of its selected large projects.

2.1 The benefits of BIM

The AEC industry, like other industries, benefits from Information and Communication Technology (ICT). Features of BIM could be predestined in different ways depending on how far users have experienced either beginners or experts (McGraw-Hill, 2009). Based on an extensive literature review, Table (1) summarises the most recognised benefits of BIM and the beneficiary party.
Table 1: Perceived benefits of BIM from literature

| No. | Benefits of BIM                                                                 | Stakeholders | Authors                                                                 |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Time saving (reduces the time spent on project documentation, communication,  | C* | (Chan, 2014; Doumboya, et al., 2016; Matarneh & Hamed, 2017)            |
|     | and comparing among different options in a very short time)                     | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 2   | Cost reduction (lowers projects whole cost, design and construction costs,     | C* | (Doumboya, et al., 2016; Matarneh & Hamed, 2017)                       |
|     | reduced communication cost)                                                     | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 3   | Improved budget and cost estimation                                             | C* | (Elhendawi, et al., 2019)                                             |
|     |                                                                                 | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 4   | Improving quality (reduced rework, and better design)                           | C* | (Gerges, M, et al., 2017)                                             |
|     |                                                                                 | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 5   | Quick and right decisions based on authenticated data                            | C* | (Harrison & Thurnell, 2014; Love, et al., 2014)                        |
|     |                                                                                 | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 6   | Clash detection (check design non-conformities during pre-construction stage,  | C* | (Matarneh & Hamed, 2017; Gerges, M, et al., 2017)                       |
|     | resolve conflicts for different disciplines ahead of construction)              | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 7   | Improve visualization (simulation, representation of the building in an         | C* | (Autodesk, 2015; Gerges, M, et al., 2017; Shaban & Elhendawi, 2018)   |
|     | integrated data environment, eliminating the risk of misinterpretation of      | A/E* |                                                        |
|     | design)                                                                         | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 8   | Enhance collaboration and communication between all parties (simultaneous work  | C* | (Autodesk, 2015; Matarneh & Hamed, 2017; Ahmed, et al., 2018)           |
|     | by multiple disciplines)                                                        | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 9   | Maintain control through the entire project life cycle                           | C* | (Matarneh & Hamed, 2017)                                             |
|     |                                                                                 | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 10  | Reduce risks                                                                     | C* | (Jernigan, 2014)                                                      |
|     |                                                                                 | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 11  | Support construction and project management (executive, communication, strategic| C* | (Latifffi, et al., 2013; Chan, 2014; Gerges, et al., 2016; Matarneh & |
|     | planning, site planning, risk management, change plans, improved safety,      | A/E* | Hamed, 2017)                                                          |
|     | added value, and better facility management)                                    | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 12  | Reduce accidents by promoting safety plans                                       | C* | (Moreno, et al., 2013)                                               |
|     |                                                                                 | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 13  | Error-free design                                                                | C* | (Almutiri, 2016)                                                     |
|     |                                                                                 | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 14  | Reduced requests for information (RFIs’) (promote project understanding and     | C* | (Achar, et al., 2011; Abbasnejad & Moud, 2013)                         |
|     | eradicate any ambiguity)                                                        | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 15  | Early involvement for client                                                     | C* | (Jernigan, 2014; Omar, 2015)                                         |
|     |                                                                                 | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 16  | Promote the client and customer satisfaction                                    | C* | (Karna, et al., 2009)                                               |
|     |                                                                                 | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 17  | Keep stakeholders informed and satisfied                                         | C* | (Jernigan, 2014)                                                     |
|     |                                                                                 | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
| 18  | Maximising productivity                                                          | C* | (Matarneh & Hamed, 2017)                                             |
|     |                                                                                 | A/E* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | C/SC* |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | S*   |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | OS*  |                                                        |
|     |                                                                                 | FM*  |                                                        |
|   | Availability of data throughout the project lifecycle | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Gerges, M. et al., 2017) |
|---|-------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------------------------|
| 20 | Reduced Document Errors and omissions           | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Autodesk, 2015)         |
| 21 | Minimising changes (significantly reduce change orders) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | ×   | (Matarneh & Hamed, 2017) |
| 22 | Enhance site logistic plans                     | Yes | ×    | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Saleh, 2015)            |
| 23 | Enhance lean construction principle and value engineering | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Zewein, 2017; Khalil, 2017) |
| 24 | Promote value for money                         | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Elmuailim & Gilder, 2014) |
| 25 | Increase efficiency (faster and more effective processes and methods) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Doumbouya, et al., 2016; Matarneh & Hamed, 2017) |
| 26 | Improve building sustainability analyses         | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Eadie, et al., 2013; Doumbouya, et al., 2016) |
| 27 | Creative and innovative solutions                | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Azhar, 2011; Chan, 2014) |
| 28 | Automated assembly                               | Yes | ×    | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Azhar, et al., 2015)    |
| 29 | Reduce waste (the elimination of waste and value generation) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Omar & Dulaimi, 2015; Autodesk, 2015) |
| 30 | Enhance competitiveness (promote the company’s competitive advantages) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (National Building Specification, 2014; Azhar, et al., 2015) |
| 31 | Facility management (during preconstruction and construction) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Sabol, 2008; Omar, 2015) |
| 32 | Facility maintenance (easy access to data for efficient O&M) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Elhendawi, et al., 2019) |
| 33 | Reduced claims and legal cases (reduced litigation and dispute claims) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Liu, et al., 2010; Construction, M.H, 2012) |
| 34 | Improved accuracy                               | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Liu, et al., 2010)      |
| 35 | Promote profits                                 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Construction, M.H, 2012) |
| 36 | Punctual procurement (reduce the inventory duration and order materials Just In Time) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Chan, 2014; Gerges, et al., 2016) |
| 37 | Promote prefabrication for better quality       | Yes | ×    | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Elbeltagi & Dawood, 2011; Bryde, et al., 2013) |
| 38 | Designers becoming more knowledgeable in the construction processes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (McCartney, 2010)       |
| 39 | Maintain repeat business                        | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Construction, M.H, 2012) |
| 40 | Market new business (offers new services such as reality capture) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Construction, M.H, 2012) |
| 41 | Better distribution for human resources          | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Construction, M.H, 2012; Chan, 2014) |
| 42 | Quick and easy integration of new team members  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Jernigan, 2014)        |
| 43 | Overcoming distance barriers                    | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | (Eastman, et al., 2011)  |
Eastman et al. (2008) claimed that the client is the only party reaping the full benefits of BIM. This claim aligns with the findings in Table (1), which explicitly demonstrated that the client is the party benefitting most from the implementation of BIM with the highest score of benefits i.e. 51 out of 51. However, each party acquires the benefits of BIM based on their business function.

Salla (2014) summarised the top fifteen benefits gained from using BIM in the following order; (1) Reduce errors and omissions in the design phase, (2) Improve collaboration with owner/design firms during the construction phase, (3) Enhance organisational image, (4) Reduce rework, (5) Lower construction cost, (6) Improve cost control and predictability, (7) Reduce the overall project duration, (8) Market new business, (9) Offer new services, (10) Increase profits, (11) Maintain business sustainability, (12) Reduce cycle time of workflows, (13) Faster client approval cycles, (14) Improve safety, (15) Faster regulatory approval cycles.

### 2.2 BIM Barriers

Azhar et al., (2015) reported that, despite the advantages of implementing BIM in construction projects and the rapid growth of BIM adoption, several organisations in developing countries are facing various challenges and obstacles hindering the implementation of BIM, which has made it a laborious task. Barriers of BIM are perceived differently from two points of view, those of BIM users and those of non-users (Eadie, et al., 2014).

Panuwatwanich, et al., (2013) and Omar (2015) highlighted the top barriers for implementing BIM, are “lack of management commitment to implement BIM” and “the remarkable lack of know-how to switch to BIM and to manage the challenges and obstacles”. Above all, “the resistance to change, and clinging to the old ways of working” was reported as the major reason for the cumbersome adoption of BIM in the AEC industry, specifically in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA).

According to McGraw-Hill (2012) the top seven barriers that hindered BIM implementation are interoperability, functionality misperception, unidentified BIM deliverables among parties, clients refraining to ask for BIM, shortage of BIM competencies and the need for a 3D building product manufacturer.
Banawi (2017) asserted that, BIM non-users summarised the salient issues precluded implementation of BIM as there is insufficient demand from clients, there has not been sufficient time to evaluate BIM. Moreover, software and hardware upgrades are too expensive. BIM non-users claimed that BIM functionality does not apply very well to what they do and there is insufficient BIM-compatible content available for industry needs. Table (2) portrays the classifications of the barriers and classifies them into: Personal Barriers, Process Barriers, Business Barriers, Technical Barriers, Organization Barriers and Market Barriers.

Table 2: BIM Recognized Barriers for the AEC industry

| No. | The barriers                                                                 | Authors                                                                 |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|     | **Personal Barriers**                                                       |                                                                        |
| 1   | Insufficient education and training                                         | (Banawi, 2017; Matarneh & Hamed, 2017)                                  |
| 2   | Lack of true understanding of what BIM is                                  | (Bryde, et al., 2013; Alhumayn, et al., 2017)                           |
| 3   | Cultural issues resulting in resistance to change                           | (Almutiri, 2016; Gerges, M, et al., 2017)                               |
| 4   | Lack of BIM knowledge pertaining to current and emerging technologies       | (Saleh, 2015)                                                           |
|     | **BIM Process Barriers**                                                    |                                                                        |
| 1   | The required collaboration, integration, and interoperability                | (Banawi, 2017)                                                          |
| 2   | Not all stakeholders are using BIM                                          | (Linderoth, 2010; Elmualim & Gilder, 2014)                              |
| 3   | Legal and contractual challenges (ownership of data, traditional procurement methodology) | (Chien, et al., 2014; Eadie, et al., 2014; Azhar, et al., 2015).         |
| 4   | Risks and challenges with the use of a single model (BIM)                   | (Saleh, 2015; Banawi, 2017)                                             |
| 5   | Changing work processes (Lack of effective collaboration among project participants) | (Saleh, 2015)                                                          |
|     | **Business Barriers**                                                       |                                                                        |
| 1   | Time and cost required to train new users                                   | (Gerges, et al., 2016)                                                  |
| 2   | Cost implications at the outset of BIM implementation pertaining to purchasing software licenses, hardware upgrade, training cost and time | (Gerges, et al., 2016; Gerges, M, et al., 2017; Matarneh & Hamed, 2017) |
| 3   | Unclear benefits                                                            | (Construction, M.H, 2012; Saleh, 2015)                                  |
| 4   | The complicated and time-consuming modeling process                        | (Alhumayn, et al., 2017; Gerges, M, et al., 2017)                      |
| 5   | Have not had sufficient time to Evaluate, most construction players adopt “watch and see” strategy | (Construction, M.H, 2012; Omar, 2015)                                  |
| 6   | Uncertainty for Return on Investment (ROI)                                  | (Azhar, 2011; Saleh, 2015)                                              |
| 7   | Lack of contractual arrangements                                            | (Harrison & Thurnell, 2014; Banawi, 2017)                               |
| 8   | Absence of imperative policy from the government to mandate BIM             | (Elhendawi, et al., 2019)                                               |
|     | **Technical barriers**                                                      |                                                                        |
| 1   | Lack of BIM specialists                                                     | (Bui, et al., 2016; Gerges, M, et al., 2017)                            |
| 2   | Absence of customised standards and clear guidelines                        | (Volk, et al., 2014; Matarneh & Hamed, 2017)                            |
| 3   | Difficulty of updating the information in BIM (time-consuming)             | (Chan, 2014; Volk, et al., 2014)                                        |
| 4   | BIM still utilized as a tool i.e. “object-based modeling” or “model-based collaboration”, whereas BIM users should seek to reach to “network-based integration” | (Succar, et al., 2013)                                                  |
| 5   | Insufficient infrastructure-based technology                                | (Chan, 2014; Bui, et al., 2016)                                         |
Inefficient Interoperability (Chan, 2014)

BIM file sizes are too large. Transporting, manipulating, storing or sharing these large files is difficult (Liu, et al., 2010)

Updating of information (Chan, 2014; Volk, et al., 2014)

Current technology is enough (Saleh, 2015; Gerges, M, et al., 2017)

| Organisation Barriers | (Bui, et al., 2016; Matarneh & Hamed, 2017) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1 Lack of government support |                                              |
| 2 Difficulties in managing the change to BIM | (Chien, et al., 2014; Azhar, et al., 2015) |
| 3 Absence of other competing initiatives | (Saleh, 2015; Omar, 2015) |
| 4 Resistance to change/unwillingness to change | (Jernigan, 2014; Omar, 2015) |
| 5 BIM compels drastic changes in the organizational chart and the workflow | (Memon, et al., 2014; Volk, et al., 2014; Gerges, M, et al., 2017) |
| 6 Lack of BIM experience (know-how) to switch from non-BIM to BIM users | (Elhendawi, et al., 2019) |
| 7 Cost correlated to hardware upgrades and software purchase | (Arayici, et al., 2009; Construction, M.H, 2012) |
| 8 Organisational financial stand | (Chien, et al., 2014; Azhar, et al., 2015) |

| Market Barriers | (Gerges, et al., 2016; Matarneh & Hamed, 2017) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1 Low level of adoption due to poor awareness about BIM |                                              |
| 2 The wrong grasp of BIM, as it tends to be introduced by software developers, accordingly the market is overdue at the embarking stage for switching to BIM | (Porwal & Hewage, 2013; Banawi, 2017) |
| 3 Lack of client/government demand | (Gerges, et al., 2016; Gerges, M, et al., 2017) |
| 4 Clients not lending appropriate support to the benefits of BIM | (Banawi, 2017) |

Based on the extensive literature survey, there is a duet of BIM benefits and there is a lack of consensus on the barriers. In order to bridge this gap in knowledge, this research investigated these benefits and barriers and paves the way for swift and smooth implementation of BIM.

3 Research Methodology and Data Collection:

The literature review developed a profound understanding of the perceived benefits and barriers that hindered the implementation of BIM. The research adopted the overarching method, which involved a quantitative approach via a structured questionnaire, followed by a qualitative approach via face-to-face interviews with carefully selected veterans from the KSA construction industry.

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) provides a good example to represent the developing countries in the Middle East that suffer from the barriers and obstacles overburdening the implementation of BIM. Moreover, KSA embraces several international organizations originating in developed countries, which bring good experience in the BIM realm.

The literature study assisted the development of a structured questionnaire survey. This survey was distributed via email to randomly selected professionals who work in the KSA, especially, those who are registered in Saudi Commerce Chambers which includes the entire KSA AEC industry players. In addition to organisations that are registered in the Ministry of Municipal and Rural Affairs, additionally, Saudi Council of Engineers published the questionnaire in its monthly magazine.
**Pilot sample:** Prior to finalising the questionnaire, the survey was distributed to a pilot sample of a randomly selected 12 professionals with average experience of 8 years in the KSA AEC industry. Half of them represented BIM users and the other half represented non-users. These veteran professionals were selected from local and multinational AEC organisations in the KSA market. The initial questionnaire was refined based on the feedback received from the pilot sample.

Afterwards, the final questionnaire was accessible via the online survey platform “Google forms”. This platform enabled easy and swift completion of the survey via the internet and then the responses were gathered automatically to save and store them via an online database.

Traditionally, the response rate for online surveys is suboptimal by 11% compared with other techniques (Saunders, et al., 2012). The link to an online questionnaire was sent by email to increase confidentiality and anonymity. The questionnaire was available from 20th September 2019 to 20th December 2019.

The questionnaire survey consisted of ten sections. Section one consisted of general information, respondents’ personal information and demographics such as profession, years of experience in KSA, academic qualifications. Section two consisted of respondents’ awareness of BIM, BIM user or non-user, BIM Software that their company use, BIM applications, beneficial integrating with BIM, BIM maturity levels, the future of BIM …. etc. In section 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 each respondent was asked to rate to what extent he/she agrees/disagrees with each of the perceived benefits of BIM, and barriers for BIM implementation on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5, where 5 represents ‘Strongly agree’, and 1 represents “Strongly disagree”.

The questionnaire was developed to collect the data from BIM users and BIM non-users who worked in the KSA AEC industry. The questionnaire survey was sent to 689 AEC medium to large organisations in the KSA. There were 275 responses (40%), but of those, incomplete responses were 27 (9.7%) of the returned responses. Therefore, the number of true responses was 248 (90%) of the returned responses.

4 **Results analysis:**

4.1 **Respondents’ general information**

The received responses are 248 while 63% of the respondents do not have enough knowledge about BIM. However, 37% have good BIM knowledge. This percentage unveiled that there is a lack of awareness about BIM in the KSA. In fact, this recent survey opposes the conclusion made by Farah (2014) who reported that there is a high level of awareness of BIM in the KSA AEC industry. Figure (1) demonstrates the reasons for which some respondents are reluctant to adopt BIM.
It is obvious, “the lack of awareness of what BIM is” is the main hindrance precluding the adoption of BIM in the KSA. Consequently, BIM non-users do not know how to leverage the benefits from BIM. This warrants crucial action from the government and its subsidiaries to raise the awareness for BIM non-users, and to recognise the utilities of BIM. It is worthy to mention that, the true completed responses represented 25.5% public organisations and 74.5% private organisations. This portrays that the public sector is less interested in BIM compared with the private sector who embraces various international organisations.

Figure 2 illustrates that, about 50% of respondents have less than 10 years of BIM experience, which reflects the low level of BIM awareness. Raising the awareness among the AEC industry plays pivotal role for overcoming the barriers and obstacles that hinder BIM implementation. Furthermore, raising awareness of BIM for undergraduate and post graduate students is seen as the cornerstone to develop a new generation with BIM knowledge.

4.2 Respondents perceptions about BIM

The respondents’ answers for different areas of BIM application are reported in Table (3). This result conforms with several claims in the literature studies.
Table 3: BIM Applications

| BIM Applications                        | Responses | N  | Percent |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|----|---------|
| Safety                                  |           | 23 | 4.1%    |
| Interaction with non-professionals      |           | 38 | 6.8%    |
| Site layout planning                    |           | 42 | 7.6%    |
| Support constructability and analysis   |           | 42 | 7.6%    |
| Collaboration                           |           | 47 | 8.5%    |
| Project scheduling and programming      |           | 52 | 9.4%    |
| Material take-off for tendering         |           | 53 | 9.5%    |
| Cost Estimating                         |           | 60 | 10.8%   |
| Design analysis                         |           | 62 | 11.2%   |
| Quantity Surveying                      |           | 66 | 11.9%   |
| Production of shop-drawings/as-built drawings |       | 71 | 12.8%   |

Table (4), presents the various areas that can be integrated with BIM as per the respondents’ answers. Project management came as the first area that is usually integrated with BIM. These results coincide with the literature studies.

Table 4: Integration with BIM

| Integration with BIM                      | Responses | N  | Percent |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|----|---------|
| Health and Safety                        |           | 37 | 6.4%    |
| Augmented reality                        |           | 38 | 6.6%    |
| Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)       |           | 39 | 6.8%    |
| Computer-aided facility management (CAFM)|           | 39 | 6.8%    |
| Geography information system (GIS)       |           | 41 | 7.1%    |
| Facility Maintenance                     |           | 45 | 7.8%    |
| Integrated Project Delivery (IPD)        |           | 48 | 8.4%    |
| Construction Management Education        |           | 49 | 8.5%    |
| Lean Construction                        |           | 50 | 8.7%    |
| Green Building                           |           | 54 | 9.4%    |
| Virtual Reality                          |           | 57 | 9.9%    |
| Project Management                       |           | 77 | 13.4%   |

Figure 3 measured the maturity levels of BIM amongst the BIM user participants, showing that BIM adoption is still within level 1, with a percentage of 35.51%. These results support the authors’ claim that “the developing countries are still struggling in the embarkation stage of BIM implementation”.

![Figure 3: BIM maturity levels](image)

As BIM is still in its embarking stage it is realistic to find that most BIM users are utilizing BIM as a 3D model. Figure (4) shows that more than 67% of BIM users utilize BIM only for 3D modelling.
4.3 Perceived benefits of BIM

There is a close understanding of the perceived benefits of BIM between the BIM users and non-users, chiefly the advantage of meeting client satisfaction. BIM users believe in the capability of BIM to consolidate the team works and provide a competitive advantage to the firm much higher than BIM non-users, it is realistic, because only BIM users can acquire these advantages.

Generally, the expectations of BIM non-users are much more than BIM users pursuant to the advantages of BIM. The biggest difference for the perceptions of the two groups is found in the ability of BIM to “save the time” which was considered the first by BIM non-users and at the end by BIM users. Similarly, for the benefit “BIM offers improved productivity”. These big discrepancies can be understood from the ideal state that BIM non-users are considering, which can be achieved only after the AEC market reaches the full maturity level of BIM.
4.3.1 Client perspective

Figure 6: Benefits of BIM from the Client’s perspective

Figure 6 portrays the client responses. Respondents claim that benefits of BIM from clients’ perspectives are as follows: BIM is distinctive to clients for time-saving, completing projects on time, minimising coordination problems, improving quality, assuring like for like comparison during the tender stage, earlier involvement of client in the design stage and reducing cost. Furthermore, some respondents emphasised the crucial need to start BIM at the outset of the project, not at a later stage as it disturbs the processes which may cause delays instead of progress.

4.3.2 Designer perspective

Table 5 shows the designers’ responses for their perceived benefits of BIM. They have considered BIM is distinctive for enhancing their experience through allowing various options in a short duration, quick review, and the time required to make changes on the model is very short compared with CAD conventional approaches. Moreover, BIM improves coordination, avoids clashes and tremendously reduces design errors. It also boosts information sharing and enables quick quantity take-off. Ahmed, et al. (2018) mentioned, the aforementioned benefits of BIM as generic benefits for all project parties.

Table 5: Benefits of BIM from the Designers’ perspective

| Benefits                                           | Weighted mean | Std. Deviation | Ranking | The general trend |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|------------------|
| Producing Various design options                   | 3.97          | 1.045          | 3       | Agree            |
| Facilitating visual evacuation plans               | 4.06          | .864           | 1       | Agree            |
| Enabling Sustainable analysis                      | 3.98          | .980           | 2       | Agree            |
| Extracting fast IFC drawings                       | 3.97          | 1.045          | 3       | Agree            |
| Weighted mean                                      | 3.995         |                |         | Agree            |

4.3.3 Contractor perspective

Figure 7 illustrates the contractors’ responses for their perceived benefits of BIM. They have considered BIM is distinctive for improving coordination and collaboration among different project stakeholders, it enables cost savings, allows visualisations that give a clear and full picture for effective planning, BIM tools assist the project team to reduce the cost and control the budget. Clash detection tool enables detection of clashes in the design prior to starting construction, which reduces conflicts and disputes. 4D BIM tool enables accurate inventory, improves facility management and increases productivity.
One respondent concluded that BIM provides excellent coordination, good presentation and predicts issues before their occurrence. Furthermore, another respondent pointed out that BIM enhances bid accuracy with model-based estimation and improved coordination with schedule visualisation. These results align with the conclusions of (Bui, et al., 2016).

4.3.4 Common benefits (to all participants)

According to the data analysis, the common benefits of BIM that all disciplines have experienced are ranked hereinafter in Table 6.

Table 6: Benefits of BIM to all participants (i.e. client, designer, and contractor)

| Benefits                                                   | Weighted mean | Std. Deviation | order | The general trend |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|
| Time savings                                               | 4.20          | 1.035          | 2     | Agree             |
| Cost saving                                                | 4.12          | 1.082          | 5     | Agree             |
| Quality improvement and Reduced Rework                     | 4.19          | 1.062          | 3     | Agree             |
| Clash detection                                            | 4.29          | 1.094          | 1     | Strongly agree    |
| Improves visualisation                                     | 4.06          | 1.096          | 7     | Agree             |
| Reduced number of requests for information                 | 4.06          | 1.096          | 7     | Agree             |
| Reduced change orders                                      | 4.06          | 1.096          | 7     | Agree             |
| Enhanced collaboration and communication                   | 4.16          | 1.035          | 4     | Agree             |
| Reduced document errors                                    | 4.10          | 1.052          | 6     | Agree             |
| Reduced disputes, claims and lawful issues                 | 3.90          | 1.052          | 9     | Agree             |
| Reduced waste and promotes value engineering               | 3.98          | 1.097          | 8     | Agree             |
| Increasing efficiency                                      | 4.19          | 1.050          | 3     | Agree             |
| Creation and sharing of information ability: Life cycle data| 4.12          | 1.100          | 5     | Agree             |
| **Weighted mean**                                          | **4.11**      |                |       | **Agree**         |
Figure (8) shows the benefits to all project parties. Clearly the client is the most benefited party from BIM followed by designers and contractors. This result is close to Eastman, et al., (2008) conclusions, wherein, they ordered the BIM beneficiaries as the client, then design bodies and the contractor.

**Figure 8: Perceived benefits of BIM**

4.4 Salient Barriers Detering BIM Implementation

Data analysis explicitly manifesting that, challenges are keyed to change management alongside lack of competencies are the main barriers hindering the wide adoption of BIM. Additionally, the absence of government imperative policy for mandating BIM resulted in poor adoption of BIM as it became an optional choice, for which most of SMEs averted utilizing BIM.

4.4.1 Personal Barriers

Figure 9 illustrates the personal correlated barriers that diminish implementation of BIM. Respondents reported that the personal barriers could be cultural issues, in that many people involved in a construction area are afraid to share their data for lack of mutual trust and other reasons, lack of advertisement in magazine and news on TV, insufficient fund, shared risk-reward, and lack of conduct long-term relationships.

Pursuant to the data analysis, "resistance to change" and the implicit feeling of being safe in the comfort zone, deters any advocate for change. Moreover, “lack of understanding of the benefits of BIM, and what BIM is” converted several decision makers to be hostile against the change towards BIM. They even considered BIM is useless and has no value to their business. Lack of sufficient BIM knowledge, training and education are of importance to change the current stagnant status.

A quote from one respondent portrayed lack of BIM knowledge, stating that “I am sure BIM designers do not have the enough experience to develop a cost effective and safe design. Furthermore, Not everything on a computer screen can be built in real life.”
4.4.2 Process Barriers

Table 7 illustrates the respondents’ perception of process barriers. They have considered “changing the work processes” alongside “lack of effective collaboration among project participants” are the most influential attributes that hindered BIM implementation. Moreover, the risks and challenges of using a single model was ranked the second factor that hindered the utilisation of BIM. However, legal issues pertaining to the model ownership ranked the last as several contract documents still overlook this issue and disputes loom after the project completion between the design bodies and the client.

Table 7: BIM Process Barriers

| Barriers                                           | Weighted mean | Std. Deviation | Ranking | The general trend |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|-------------------|
| Legal issues (ownership of the model)              | 3.51          | 1.033          | 3       | Agree             |
| Risks and challenges with the use of a single model (BIM) | 3.57          | 1.031          | 2       | Agree             |
| Changing work processes                           | 3.78          | 1.032          | 1       | Agree             |
| Lack of effective collaboration among project participants | 3.78          | 1.032          | 1       | Agree             |
| Weighted mean                                    | 3.66          |                |         | Agree             |

4.4.3 Business Barriers

Figure 10 demonstrates the business barriers that impacted BIM implementation. Data analysis revealed that, the time and cost to train the staff ranked as the most significant factor deter the implementation of BIM, followed by, the lack of contractual agreements that clarifies the responsibility of each party. Moreover, the long time required for developing BIM model and uncertainties for return on investment (ROI) due to high costs of implementation are raised as third, fourth and fifth factors respectively. These results are in agreement with Shaban and Elhendawi (2018) findings.

Figure 10: Business Barriers

4.4.4 Technical Barriers

Table 8 summarises the respondents’ perspectives about technical barriers. Respondents considered “lack of BIM technical experts” has the most devastating impacts on the implementation of BIM. Additionally, “Absence of national BIM standards and clear guidelines” converted the adoption of BIM as a cumbersome task. Changing or upgrading the technology infrastructure such as upgrading the hardware and purchasing software licenses, and the correlated costs and business disturbance, demotivate decision makers to change towards BIM.
Table 8: Technical Barriers

| Barriers                                      | Weighted Mean | Std. Deviation | Ranking | The General Trend |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|-------------------|
| Lack of BIM technical experts                 | 3.85          | 1.105          | 1       | Agree             |
| Interoperability                              | 3.66          | 1.027          | 4       | Agree             |
| Absence of standards and clear guidelines     | 3.78          | 1.076          | 2       | Agree             |
| Insufficient technology infrastructure        | 3.69          | 1.103          | 3       | Agree             |
| Current technology is enough                  | 3.33          | 1.240          | 5       | Neutral           |

Weighted mean: 3.662

4.4.5 Organization Barriers

The organisational barriers are as illustrated in Figure 11. Lack of management support and fear of changes are ranked the first and second most influential factors impeding the implementation of BIM in KSA. Noticeably, these findings are similar to the findings concluded by Shaban and Elhendawi (2018) in Syria. Furthermore, respondents detailed that the organisation barriers stemmed from company policy, futile coordination, top management experience, fear of change, unavailability of competencies, and absence of leadership.

![Figure 11: Organisation Barriers](image)

4.4.6 Market Barriers

Market barriers are shown in Figure 12. Clearly, the lack of client and/or government demand for BIM was ranked the first as the most important factor that hindered the implementation of BIM. In the same context Omar (2015) claimed that the market is not ready yet. There is a great deal of consensus among the respondents, as there is a significant role incumbent upon the government, to mandate BIM. As such, the market will be ready to adopt BIM.

According to data analysis, the market barriers are seen in the low realization of the benefits of BIM, understanding the importance of BIM, and the lack of competencies as well as stewardship.

![Figure 12: Market Barriers](image)

In order to recognise the hierarchy of the causes that resist the implementation of BIM, Figure (13) illustrates all the six categories under which the challenges were included. It is not a surprise to know that, personal barriers are ranked the first with a significant difference compared to all the other categories. Therefore, plans to propose incentives and catalysts should be put forward to motivate the
people to adopt BIM. Indeed, BIM has its three pillars which starts with People and then Process and Technology (Eastman et al., 2011).

![Figure 13: The barriers to implementing BIM](image)

### 4.5 Paving the way to facilitate the adoption of BIM

The respondents recommended several ways to overcome the barriers as follows:

The resistance to change represents the most barriers to hinder BIM implementation and need more effort to remove it. To solve this issue, local companies could seek partnerships with international construction companies that have accomplished projects using BIM-based technologies and processes.

The top management has an indispensable role in leading the organisational change to BIM, so they should be fully aware of the organisational benefits of BIM to improve the performance for adding competitive advantage and increasing the profits. Therefore, top management should be convinced to support this change to take the decision of utilizing BIM.

Furthermore, to expedite BIM implementation the mixed approaches should be adopted concurrently, Bottom-up and top-down. The effective change commences from the employees’ which must be supported by top management.

For the sake of providing the market with BIM skilled resources for long term basis, governments should guide and support the universities for the enclosure of BIM within the curriculums for undergraduate and postgraduate students. Moreover, universities together with BIM software vendors should collaborate to raise awareness of BIM throughout series of free training sessions.

Industry Foundation Classes (IFC) enable the opening or importing of BIM files to reuse the created data in other applications using different software; IFC schemes can overcome the conflicts that may appear of using different software of BIM models.

Assigning a model manager or so called BIM manager is essential to manage the BIM model-related issues.

Model correlated issues such as ownership can be easily settled by endorsing a clear clause in the contract documents to clarify this issue. However, New Zealand handbook (2014) have clarified this issue as follows, the designers will acquire a prior consent from the owner to use the model and vice versa, the owner will request the designer’s approval to reuse the model.

Integrated project delivery (IPD) was proposed to be the appropriate construction procurement strategy suitable for BIM, where IPD is defined as a “project delivery approach that integrates people, system, business structures, and practices into a process that collaboratively harnesses the talents and insights
of all participants to optimize project results, increase value of owner, reduce waste, and maximize efficiency through phases of design, fabrication, and construction”

5 Conclusions:

The focus of the construction industry now is to eliminate waste and inefficiency to improve quality and profitability. This research extensively investigated the benefits and the barriers that hinder the implementation of BIM within the KSA AEC industry as the cornerstone for proposing solutions to pave the way for KSA construction industry to implement BIM.

The key findings pertaining to the benefits of BIM are: (1) the richness of the information within the BIM Model enhanced the collaboration among stakeholders, (2) Reduced financial risk, (3) Improved project performance, (4) accurate BOQ and cost estimation, (5) promoted the off-site prefabrication, (6) increasing profitability and (7) reduced change orders and disputes.

Moreover, the top barriers deterring BIM implementation are: (1) There is low level of BIM awareness about BIM in the AEC industry, (2) lack of top management support, (3) lack of government demand for BIM, (4) resistance to change, (5) Lack of BIM technical experts and (6) time and cost required for switching to BIM. For appropriate implementation of BIM, lessons learned from earlier BIM users such as UK, USA, Australia, and New Zealand must be taken into consideration to learn from their pitfalls.

This research suggested developing strategic plans relying on collaboration among government, private and public sectors to overcome all barriers. For instance, to overcome insufficient education and training software providers should collaborate with government entities, and universities to educate and train employees and university students (as a long-term solution) to respond to the needs of BIM experts.
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