The past decade has seen tremendous advances in both our understanding of cancer immunosuppressive microenvironments and colonic bacteria facilitated by immune checkpoint inhibitor antibodies and next generation sequencing, respectively. Because an important role of the host immune system is to communicate with and regulate the gut microbial community, it should not come as a surprise that the behavior of one is coupled to the other. In this review, we will attempt to dissect some of the studies demonstrating cancer immunotherapy modulation by specific gut microbes and discuss possible molecular mechanisms for this effect.

**Cancer Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Therapy (ICT)**

Until recently, cancer therapy has focused on cyto-ablative approaches, including surgery, ionizing radiation, and cytotoxic chemotherapies. The assumption was that each modality reduced host tumor burden by geometric amounts. Theoretically, if patient tumor burden can be reduced to a certain undefined level, the patient can maintain a durable remission. The hypothesis appeared to be confirmed by results with leukemia and lymphoma in mice and humans. However, this approach failed to explain spontaneous remissions in some cancer patients. Furthermore, the hypothesis fails to explain the aggressive natural history of cancer in immunosuppressed individuals. Further evidence for the role of the host immune system is the difference in efficacy of chemotherapy for immunocompetent versus immunocompromised rodent models. Finally, the difference in clinical durable remissions of allogeneic versus autologous stem cell transplants in leukemia patients points to a need for allogeneic T-lymphocytes for cure. The alternative theory is that host immune cells are critical in controlling cancers. For spontaneous malignancies, tumor cells evolve and alter their surface and release cytokines to create a microenvironment armed to withstand immune surveillance. Even melanoma and renal cell carcinoma (RCC) immunotherapy with interleukin (IL)-2 produces rare
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durable remissions.\textsuperscript{6} In most situations, therapeutic advances to reverse the immune barrier were unsuccessful. Two groundbreaking advances have dramatically changed our detailed understanding of tumor immune resistance and led to the development of effective treatment options. Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein 4 (CTLA4) is a protein receptor that acts as an immune checkpoint and is overexpressed on regulatory T-cells (Tregs).\textsuperscript{7} Antibodies to CTLA4 partially reverse tumor immunosuppression and yield durable remissions in tumor-bearing mice and melanoma patients.\textsuperscript{8,9} Programmed death receptor-1 (PD1) is expressed on cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (CTLs) and binds PD1 ligand (PD-L1) expressed by stromal and tumor cells; this protein pair also functions as an immune checkpoint.\textsuperscript{10} Antibodies to PD1 and PD-L1 block T-lymphocyte senescence and trigger CTL activity. Using these antibodies individually or in combination, ICT produces remissions in a variety of metastatic human neoplasms (Table 1).

Unfortunately, the majority of cancer patients fail to respond to ICT, and improving the response rate and response durability is the focus of our lab and others.

The steps mediating ICT have been partially elucidated and are associated with predictive markers. The preliminary step is acquisition of an inflammatory phenotype. Danger associated molecular patterns react with pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) on or in dendritic cells (DCs) and macrophages.\textsuperscript{11} They notify the body of the presence of either a pathogen or damage. The innate immune cells then release type I interferon (IFN) and chemokines, leading to further inflammation and infiltration of CTLs. Absence of DCs or tumor overexpression of molecules blocking DC recruitment (eg, β-catenin) is associated with ICT failure and a paucity of tumor CTLs.\textsuperscript{12} Both in vitro and in vivo studies show that the cytoplasmic DNA-cGAS-STING-IRF3-IFN pathway is critical for innate immune activity in tumors and ICT response.\textsuperscript{13} Recent efforts to combine cytolytic virotherapy with ICT have produced encouraging improvements in response rate consistent with the important role of this step.\textsuperscript{14}

A second step is presentation of tumor neo-antigens to CTLs by DCs. Patients with high tumor mutation burden and high tumor neo-antigen loads are more likely to respond to ICT.\textsuperscript{15} Interestingly, only a subset of tumor neo-antigens conveys ICT benefit, and many of these neo-antigens associated with clinical benefit match pathogen-associated peptide antigens.\textsuperscript{16} Furthermore, tumor evolution with heterogeneity of neo-antigen expression among metastases is associated with lack of ICT efficacy.\textsuperscript{17}

The third step is overcoming immune checkpoints. Patients with low levels of tumor CTLA4 and high levels of tumor PD-L1 have higher response rates.\textsuperscript{18,19} Interestingly, recent studies show that anti-CTLA4 works primarily through elimination of Tregs,\textsuperscript{7} and anti-PD1 and anti-PD-L1 work via enabling CTLs to produce IFN\gamma, which in turn triggers DCs to release IL12, which further stimulates CTLs.\textsuperscript{10}

The final effector step of immunotherapy is tumor cell execution by CTLs. Multiple mutations have been seen in tumors to escape execution, including loss of β2-microglobulin and IFN-JAK signaling via apelin receptor.\textsuperscript{20} Two recent predictive factors do not simply fit within the steps described above. High body mass index (BMI) patients have a higher ICT response rate than patients with normal or low BMI.\textsuperscript{21,22} Patients exposed to antibiotics before or during the first 60 days of ICT have much lower response rates.\textsuperscript{23-25} These unusual findings hinted at an earlier step in immunotherapy only recently discovered.

Table 1. Diseases and ICT.

| Cancer Type                        | Immunotherapy Agents | Response Rate (%) |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Hodgkin’s lymphoma                 | Nivolumab            | 65                |
| Merkel cell carcinoma              | Avelumab             | 62                |
| Melanoma                           | Nivolumab + Ipilimumab| 58                |
| MSI-H/MMR Def CRC                  | Nivolumab + Ipilimumab| 55                |
| SC skin carcinoma                  | Cemiplimab           | 47                |
| MSI-H/MMR Def non-CRC              | Pembrolizumab        | 46                |
| NSCLC High TMB or PDL1+ >50% de novo or all de novo or relapsed | Nivolumab + Ipilimumab or pembrolizumab or pembrolizumab + pemetrexed/carboplatin or nivolumab | 43 or 45 or 55 or 25 |
| RCC                                | Nivolumab + Ipilimumab| 40                |
| HCC                                | Nivolumab            | 20                |
| Urothelial carcinoma               | Nivolumab            | 20                |
| Head and neck SC carcinoma         | Pembrolizumab        | 16                |
| Gastric carcinoma                  | Pembrolizumab        | 13                |
| SCLC                               | Nivolumab            | 12                |

Abbreviations: ICT, immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy; MSI-H/MMR Def, microsatellite instability-high and mismatch repair deficient; CRC, colorectal carcinoma; SC, squamous cell; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung carcinoma; TMB, tumor mutation burden; PDL1, programmed death receptor-1 ligand; RCC, renal cell carcinoma; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; SCLC, small-cell lung carcinoma.
Human Intestinal Microbiota

The human colon is colonized at birth by Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria from the mother’s vaginal wall, but within a few years acquires several hundred bacterial species dominated by members of the phyla Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia along with an Archaeabacterium, Methanobrevibacter smithii. This microbial diversity is consistent with evolution by adaptive radiation. The commensals produce vitamin K and small carbohydrates from plant fiber polysaccharides and detoxify xenobiotics. Furthermore, they transmit vital signals to the nervous and immune systems. Each human has a slightly different population, totaling 100 trillion microbes, and a recent analysis showed a total human diversity of 4930 species.26 Most individuals show relative stability of their microbiome with transient effects of diet and longer duration effects of antibiotics.27-30

The host immune system interacts with gut bacteria at the intestinal epithelium (Figure 1). Colonocyte tight junctions and goblet cell mucus limit access of luminal organisms to the host. Paneth cell–derived defensins and RegIIIγ and submucosal plasma cell IgA destroy many invading bacteria. Special thin colonocyte M cells secrete CCL12 to attract DCs, and their smaller volume provides a window by which macrophages and DCs can sample luminal organisms and transport them to mesenteric lymph nodes.31 There, T-lymphocytes are educated via HLA-antigen-T-cell receptor signaling. The antigen-trained T-cells help B-cells return to the colon wall to yield IgA-producing plasma cells, provide appropriate Tregs, and participate in CTL control of pathogens. The genetic diversity of the multiple colonic commensals combined with the multilevel immune elements in the colon wall provide an excellent milieu for gut microbe–human immune interactions.
Table 2. Bacterial Species Associated With Enhancement ICT in Mice.

| Tumor Model   | ICT             | Bacterial Species                                      | Reference |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| MC38 colon    | Anti-IL10+CpG   | Alistipes shahii, Ruminococcus                        | 32        |
| MCA205 sarcoma| Cyclophosphamide| Enterococcus hirae, Barnesiella intestiniformis        | 33, 34    |
| B16 melanoma  | Anti-PD-L1      | Bifidobacterium                                       | 35        |
| MCA205 sarcoma| Anti-CTLA-4     | Bacteroides fragilis, Bacteroides thetaiotamicron, Burkhodleria | 36        |
| MC38 colon    | Anti-PD1 or anti-CTLA-4 | Ruthenibacterium lactatiformans, Eubacterium limosus, Fusobacterium ulcerans, Phascolarctobacterium succinatutens, Bacteroides uniformis, Bacteroides dorei, Paraprevotella xylaniphila, Parabacteroides johnsonii, Parabacteroides gordonii, and Alistipes senegalensis | 37        |
| BRAFV600E/PTEN+/− melanoma | Anti-PD-L1 | Responder patient FMT                                 | 41        |
| B16 SIY melanoma | Anti-PD-L1 | Responder patient FMT                                 | 42        |
| MCA205 sarcoma | Anti-PD1 | Responder patient FMT, Akkermansia muciniphila, Enterococcus hirae, Alistipes | 43        |
| RENCA RCC     | Anti-PD1+anti-CTLA-4 | Responder patient FMT                                 | 43        |
| RET melanoma  | Anti-PD1        | Akkermansia muciniphila, Alistipes, Enterococcus hirae | 43        |
| LLC lung carcinoma | Anti-PD1 | Akkermansia muciniphila, Alistipes, Enterococcus hirae | 43        |

Abbreviations: ICT, immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy; IL, interleukin; PD-L1, programmed death receptor-1 ligand; CTLA, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein 4; PD1, programmed death receptor-1; FMT, fecal microbiota transplant; RCC, renal cell carcinoma.

Mouse Tumor ICT Models Showing Effects of Gut Microbiota

Several reports in the past 5 years have shown that alterations in the gut microbiota influence immunotherapy efficacy in mice (Table 2). MC38 colon tumor-bearing mice responded to anti-IL10 receptor plus CpG-oligonucleotide immunotherapy with stimulation of tumor-associated myeloid monocytes, macrophages, and DCs and release of tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα), IL1, IL12, and CXCL10. Loss of gut bacteria by using germ-free mice or treating specific pathogen-free mice with antibiotics ablated the response, the myeloid cell proliferation, and cytokine production.32 Gavage of antibiotic-treated mice with Alistipes shahii or Ruminococcus reversed the inhibition. In contrast, Lactobacillus fermentum gavaged mice failed to show immunotherapy profit. No in vitro studies of these commensals with mouse myeloid cells were done.

MCA205 sarcoma-carrying mice treated with cyclophosphamide had IFNγ- and IL17-producing splenic and tumor T-cells associated with tumor growth arrest.33,34 The antitumor activity required MyD88 and ileal and mesenteric lymph node bacteria. Germ-free or antibiotic-treated mice lost cyclophosphamide tumor inhibition. Gavage of antibiotic-treated mice with Enterococcus hirae clone 13144 reinstated cyclophosphamide efficacy. However, other gavaged bacteria, including Parabacteroides distasonis, Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus reuteri, Lactobacillus johnsonii, other Enterococcus hirae isolates, and segmented filamentous bacteria were inactive.33,34 Potency was also seen for Enterococcus hirae clone 13144 in HPV16-E7-expressing TC1 tumor-grafted mice. Gavage with Barnesiella intestiniformis also enhanced cyclophosphamide efficacy and yielded tumor IFNγ T-cell infiltration.

B16 melanoma SQ JAX but not TAC mice treated with anti-PD-L1 antibody showed complete remissions, and the enhanced effect was transmissible by gavage with JAX feces or Bifidobacterium species.35 The gut microbial effect depended on live organisms, DC activation, and increased tumor IFNγ producing CD8+ T-cells. Interestingly, no evidence of mesenteric lymph node Bifidobacteria was observed.

Mice with established MCA205 sarcomas showed tumor shrinkage with anti-CTLA4, and this activity was lost in germ-free or antibiotic-treated animals.36 The immunotherapy responses depended on intratumoral CD11b+ DCs secreting IL12 and splenic ICOS+ Ki67+ IFNγ+ TNFα+ T-cells, and tumor infiltrating T-cells. Bacteroides fragilis and Bacteroides thetaiotamicron and Burkhodleria but not Parabacteroides distasonis or Escherichia coli nor Bacteroides uniformis effectively replaced mouse gut commensals and aided immunotherapy.

Tanoue et al37 isolated human gut bacteria that increased colonic IFNγ+ T-cells. These 11 bacteria were Ruthenibacterium lactatiformans, Eubacterium limosus, Fusobacterium ulcerans, Phascolarctobacterium succinatutens, Bacteroides uniformis, Bacteroides dorei, Paraprevotella xylaniphila, Parabacteroides distasonis, Parabacteroides johnsonii, Parabacteroides gordonii, and Alistipes senegalensis. These were rare, low-abundance human microbiota components. MC38 tumors in mice responded to anti-PD1 or anti-CTLA4 antibodies, but the response was reduced with antibiotic pretreatment or use of gnotobiotic mice. Gavage with the human 11-bacterium mix (11-mix) recovered ICT efficacy and infiltration of
follow-up. These factors may contribute to the diversity of because of short-term fluctuations and need for long-term forward, but ICT response measurements are challenging sequencing methods limit accuracy of comparisons. Clinical populations, different collection techniques, and distinctive microbial profiles and pathways with ICT (Table 3). Several human studies have been conducted associating gut bacteria influence ICT greatly. Furthermore, multiple different bacteria stimulate DCs and T-cells in mice, and the mechanism for the immune modulation remains uncertain. There are at least 3 hypotheses for the synergy: (a) Microbial pathogen-associated molecular pattern reaction with DC PRRs leads to innate immune activation with stimulation of cross-antigen presentation and release of cytokines and chemokines; (b) molecular mimicry of bacterial antigens with tumor neo-antigens, yielding an endogenous tumor vaccine; (c) the immune-stimulatory gut bacteria may produce small molecule modulators stimulating CTL function. The preliminary preclinical studies led directly to clinical experiments.

**Clinical Correlative Studies of the Gut Microbiota and ICT**

Several human studies have been conducted associating gut microbial profiles and pathways with ICT (Table 3). Unfortunately, small patient numbers, unique cancer patient populations, different collection techniques, and distinctive sequencing methods limit accuracy of comparisons. Clinical assessments of autoimmune toxicities are relatively straightforward, but ICT response measurements are challenging because of short-term fluctuations and need for long-term follow-up. These factors may contribute to the diversity of findings.

Dubin et al treated 34 melanoma patients with anti-CTLA4 ICT. Stool samples were collected prior to therapy; 10/34 patients developed autoimmune colitis. Fecal gDNAs were prepared and subjected to either 16S ribosomal RNA sequencing or metagenomics shotgun sequencing (MSS). Noncolitis patients were found to have higher levels of Bacteroidetes, including Bacteroidaceae, Rikenellaceae, and Barnesiellaceae. HUMAnN genetic pathway analysis with Kyoto Encyclopedia of Gene and Genomes (KEGG) assignments revealed decreased polyamine transport and B vitamin synthesis among colitis patients.

Chaput et al treated 26 melanoma patients with anti-CTLA4 ICT and collected multiple fecal samples; 9/26 had long-term clinical benefit and 7/26 developed autoimmune colitis. Fecal gDNAs were 16S rRNA sequenced; peripheral blood flow cytometry was done posttherapy. Patients enriched for Faecalibacterium prausnitzii L2-6, Gemmiger formicilis ATCC27749, butyrate-producing bacteria SS2-1, Ruminococcus, Lachnospiraceae, Clostridium XIVa, and Blautia had more durable remissions and more colitis. In contrast, patients with increased Bacteroides had fewer remissions or colitis events. Peripheral blood posttherapy of responders had more ICOS+ T-cells and sCD25 and fewer responders had increased gut bacterial enzymes associated with fatty acid synthesis and inositol phosphate metabolism. These findings were similar to those reported by Chaput et al.

Gopalakrishnan et al studied 43 melanoma patients treated with anti-PD1 antibody. There were 30 responders and 13 nonresponders. Fecal studies, including 16S rRNA sequencing and MSS and immune-phenotyping of blood tumors with IFNγ+ T-cells. Use of the 7 Bacteroides microbes and the 4 non-Bacteroides bacteria showed that the latter retained partial inductive effects. These diverse studies revealed that the gut bacteria influence ICT greatly. Furthermore, multiple different bacteria stimulate DCs and T-cells in mice, and the mechanism for the immune modulation remains uncertain. There are at least 3 hypotheses for the synergy: (a) Microbial pathogen–associated molecular pattern reaction with DC PRRs leads to innate immune activation with stimulation of cross-antigen presentation and release of cytokines and chemokines; (b) molecular mimicry of bacterial antigens with tumor neo-antigens, yielding an endogenous tumor vaccine; (c) the immune-stimulatory gut bacteria may produce small molecule modulators stimulating CTL function. The preliminary preclinical studies led directly to clinical experiments.

**Table 3. Bacterial Species Associated With Enhancement ICT in Humans.**

| Cancer Type | ICT | Bacterial Species | Reference |
|------------|-----|------------------|-----------|
| Melanoma   | Anti-CTLA-4 | Faecalibacterium prausnitzii 12-6, Gemmiger formicilis ATCC27749, Butyrate-producing bacteria SS2-1, Ruminococcus, Lachnospiraceae, Clostridium XIVa, Blautia | 39 |
| Melanoma   | Anti-PD1 + Anti-CTLA-4 | Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, Holdemania filiformis, Bacteroides caccae | 40 |
| Melanoma   | Anti-PD1 | Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Ruminococcus bromii, Porphyromonas pasteri, Clostridium hungatei, Phascolarctobacterium faecium | 41 |
| Melanoma   | Anti-PD1 | Enterococcus faecium, Collinsella aerofaciens, Bifidobacterium adolescentis, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Veillonella parvula, Parabacteroides merde, Lactobacillus sp, Bifidobacterium longum | 42 |
| NSCLC, RCC | Anti-PD1 | Akkermansia muciniphila, Lachnospiraceae, Erysipelotrichaceae lactia 5-2-64, Enterococcus faeivium, Alistipes indistinctus, Bacteroidaceae, Bacteroides xylanisolves, Bacteroides nordii | 43 |

Abbreviations: ICT, immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy; CTLA, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein 4; PD1, programmed death receptor-1; NSCLC, non–small-cell lung carcinoma; RCC, renal cell carcinoma.
and tumors, were done. Responders’ gut bacteria had increased α-diversity, and there was an abundance of Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Ruminococcus bromii, Porphyromonas paleni, Clostridium hungati, and Phascolarctobacterium faecium. KEGG analysis of responders’ bacteria showed increased amino acid biosynthesis. Tumor immunohistochemistry (IHC) and blood flow cytometry showed increased tumor CD8+ T-cells and CD68+HLA-Dr+CD163+ myeloid DCs and decreased blood Tregs and myeloid-derived suppressor cells. The immunomodulatory bacteria mirror those described above by Chaput et al.39 and Frankel et al.40 The mechanism of how Faecalibacterium prausnitzii and other Clostridial species promote ICT action remains undefined.

Matson et al42 treated 42 melanoma patients with anti-PD1 antibody (38 patients) or anti-CTLA4 antibody (4 patients). Pretreatment fecal samples were extracted, and 16S rRNA, MSS, and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) data obtained. Tumor samples were subjected to whole exome sequencing (WES), mRNA profiling, and IHC. QiME and BLAST analysis established Enterococcus faecium, Collinsella aerofaciens, Bifidobacterium adolescentis, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Veillonella parvula, Parabacteroides merdae, Lactobacillus sp, and Bifidobacterium longum as overrepresented in responders. Responder tumors had higher PD1 and PD-L1 mRNA by profiling and CD8+ T-cells by IHC. There was little correlation with previously observed stimulatory bacteria, although Veillonella and Lactobacillus are firmicutes.

Routy et al43 measured the fecal gDNA MSS with BlastN analyses on 60 non–small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) and 40 RCC patients treated with anti-PD1 antibody. Responders had overabundance of Akkermansia muciniphila, Lachnospiraceae, Enterococcus fauseum, Alistipes indistinctus, Bacteroides cacciae, Bacteroides xylanisolvens, and Bacteroides nordii. Stool-cultured responders demonstrated increased Enterococcus hirae. Furthermore, patients exposed to antibiotics up to 60 days before or 30 days into ICT had depletion of microbes and half of the survival of patients not exposed to antibiotics.

The distinct microbial profile of NSCLC and RCC responders versus melanoma responders may indicate cancer-specific immunity gut bacteria. A single-center or cooperative group study, including multiple cancer types with a single gDNA isolation, sequencing method, and bioinformatics approach, would help resolve the question.

Translational Hybrid Studies of Patient Gut Microbiota and Murine Models

Three of the above clinical trials collected stool specimens and tested them via fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) in ICT-treated rodent tumor models. In each case, responder FMT led to improved antitumor efficacy as well as increased tumor infiltration with CD8+ T-cells and myeloid DCs.

BRAF V600E+/PTEN−/− melanomas inoculated subcutaneously (SQ) in germ-free mice followed by re-sponder or nonresponder patient FMT and anti-PD-L1 systemic treatment was performed by Gopalakrishnan et al.41 At day 28 post–tumor inoculation, responder patient FMT treated mice had one-sixth the tumor volume of nonresponder patient FMT treated mice. Furthermore, responder FMT mice had more tumor CD8+ T-cells and tumor CD45+CD11b+Ly6G+ myeloid dendritic cells (mDCs) and fewer splenic CD11b+CD11c+ myeloid-derived suppressor cells and splenic Fox3P+CD4+ Tregs. Fecal Faecalibacterium prausnitzii was elevated in responder FMT gavaged mice by qPCR.

Germ-free mice gavaged with responder patient or nonresponder patient fecal material were inoculated with B16-SIY melanoma cells.42 Two-thirds of responder FMT mice and one-third of nonresponder FMT mice had slower tumor growth when combined with anti-PD-L1 antibody therapy.42 Splenic IFNγ+CD8+ T-cells and Batf3+ DCs were increased in responder FMT mice.

Antibiotic pretreatment followed by patient FMT and then SQ tumors and anti-PD1 or anti-PD1 + anti-CTLA4 IP yielded 50% and 40% reduced tumor growth when responder patient FMT was compared with nonresponder patient FMT for the MCA205 sarcoma and RENCA RCC models, respectively.43 When Akkermansia muciniphila, Enterococcus hirae, or Alistipes probiotics were substituted for FMT, 40% tumor growth inhibition was observed relative to ICT without probiotics for the MCA205 sarcoma, RET melanoma, and LLC Lewis lung carcinoma models. Akkermansia muciniphila gavaged mice also showed statistically significant increases in mesenteric lymph node and tumor CCR9+CD4+ T cells. Finally, anti-IL12 antibody ablated the MCA205 tumor growth inhibition and CCR9+CD4+ T-cell tumor infiltration.

These translational studies and the earlier normal human 11-mix probiotic work establish that human immunomodulatory bacteria can directly alter ICT efficacy in multiple rodent tumor models and provide preliminary evidence of a pathway by which bacteria stimulate mDCs to secrete IL12 and differentiate tumor CTLs. Subsequent clinical trials of either responder FMT or selected probiotics prior to ICT should confirm clinical benefit and immune mechanisms.

Discussion

The above studies document an association between particular gut bacteria and ICT response in mice and humans. A critical question is whether these associations are causative. The translational studies show that the clinically isolated microbes are able to enhance ICT across species. However, many questions remain.
The differences between mouse and human commensals that were connected to ICT response is not surprising because of significant differences between species for immunology, diet, and tumor biology. Only Sivan et al.\textsuperscript{35} and Matson et al.\textsuperscript{42} reported the same microorganisms—Bifidobacteria—in mouse and human feces of ICT responders. The similarity of commensals associated with patient ICT response and isolates from normal Japanese individuals that trigger lamina propria IFNγ CD8+ T-cells was striking. Both studies included groups of Clostridial firmicutes and Bacteroidetes. \textit{Ruthenibacterium lactatiformans}\textsuperscript{44} found in normal individuals is more than 99% identical to the keystone microbe \textit{Faecalibacterium prausnitzii} that was discovered in the ICT response association studies of Chaput et al.,\textsuperscript{39} Frankel et al.,\textsuperscript{40} and Gopalakrishnan et al.\textsuperscript{41} Ex vivo studies suggest that \textit{Bacteroidetes} digest insoluble fibers and mucins and provide acetate and other metabolites to \textit{Faecalibacterium} and other firmicutes.\textsuperscript{45}

Distinct immunity-promoting bacteria were found among the above studies. Matson et al.\textsuperscript{42} found \textit{Bifidobacteria}, whereas Gopalakrishnan et al.,\textsuperscript{41} Frankel et al.,\textsuperscript{40} and Chaput et al.\textsuperscript{39} did not identify \textit{Bifidobacteria} but instead \textit{Clostridiales} and \textit{Bacteroides} in ICT responders. In contrast, the work by Routy et al.\textsuperscript{43} uncovered the \textit{Verrucomicrobia Akkermansia muciniphila} as the sentinel organism in responders. ICT treatment of different cancers may have unique immunogenic bacteria. Alternatively, investigator-specific stool collection, gDNA extraction, sequencing, or computer analyses may lead to recognition of different “responder” bacteria.\textsuperscript{46-48}

How do these bacteria accelerate antitumor immunity? One hypothesis posits that microbial products react with PRRs and activate mDCs to both release cytokines, including CXCL9/10 and IL12, and perform cross-antigen presentation to CD8+ T-lymphocytes (Figure 2). There is evidence that the cytoplasmic DNA-cGAS-STING-IRF3 pathway is critical for gut immunity and ICT function.\textsuperscript{13,49} Thus,
phagocytosed microbes in lamina propria or mesenteric lymph node DCs may be digested to cytoplasmic DNA and initiate cGAS-STING signaling. Live *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* activate TLR2/TLR6 ex vivo.\(^5^9\) There is additional evidence for TLR-MyD88-TRIF pathways at least for cyclophosphamide immune modulation, with a dependence on gut microbe interaction in mesenteric lymph node DC via MyD88.\(^3^3,^3^4\) Three of the preclinical studies showed a requirement for gut microbial signaling through mDC IL12.\(^3^2,^3^6,^4^3\)

Another motivator for mDC differentiation can be gut microbial products such as the short-chain fatty acid butyrate. Butyrate producers such as *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* and *Ruthenibacterium lactatiformans* can not only reduce inflammation but also stimulate CTL function via epigenetic targets.\(^5^1,^5^2\) Future experiments should confirm the DC location whether it is the lamina propria, mesenteric lymph node, or tumor and molecular pathway—PRRs versus small-molecule epigenetic modifiers. The “tumor vaccine or molecular mimicry” hypothesis posits that the specificity of ICT-related bacteria is a result of cross-reactivity of microbial peptide antigens with tumor neo-antigens. There is mixed evidence that patients respond best to ICT if they have tumor neo-antigens that not only bind strongly to major histocompatibility complex (MHC), but also resemble immune epitopes of microbial pathogens.\(^5^3,^5^5\) Future work should correlate ICT response with presence of patient gut microbes that have peptides resembling the patient’s neo-antigen peptides.

If immunity-producing bacteria are confirmed for particular cancers, how do we use that knowledge to improve ICT response? Historically, probiotics have had minimal impact on the patient’s gut microbiome.\(^5^6\) However, evidence of high rates of cure with FMT for *Clostridium difficile* colitis suggest that clinical trials are warranted of either FMT or defined bacterial mixture probiotics.\(^5^7\) The optimal dose, schedule, pretreatment therapy with antibiotics or bowel preps will need to be established. Two clinical trials for gut microbiota enhancement of ICT are ongoing. In one, responder donor FMT is added to ICT (NCT03353402). In the second, a *Clostridium butyricum* probiotic CBM588 is added to ICT (NCT03353402). In the second, a *Clostridium butyricum* probiotic CBM588 is added to ICT (NCT03353402). A third clinical trial combines the prebiotic MegaPrebiotic containing galacto-oligosaccharides, fructo-oligosaccharides, and xylo-oligosaccharides and the probiotic MegaSpore Biotic composed of spores of *Bacillus clausii*, *Bacillus coagulans*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Bacillus indicis*, and *Bacillus licheniformis*. The probiotic mixture has been shown to increase *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* in ex vivo culture.\(^5^8\) The trial has started at the University of South Alabama. The next few years should see results of these studies in cancer patients receiving ICT. The results of these and other therapeutic clinical trials will hopefully complete Koch’s postulates for these gut microbes. Fecal sampling and qPCR can be used in some of these and in the future to confirm engraftment.\(^5^9\)

The next decade will see further advances in cancer immunotherapy. There is a strong likelihood that one of the new combinations will include applications targeting the patient gut microbiota.
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