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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND Mode of delivery has become an important highlight in managing pregnancy with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) due to the possible risk of viral transmission from mother to baby, especially during the peripartum period. This study aimed to review the mode of delivery and the possible risk of vertical transmission related to delivery routes in pregnant women with COVID-19.

METHODS Literature research was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, Embase, and EBSCO database with the following keywords: SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, pregnancy, vertical transmission, and delivery. The extracted data were as follows: author, country, study design number of cases, maternal age, mode of delivery, and the SARS-CoV-2 status in neonates.

RESULTS Of 11 studies found, vaginal birth was reported in 218 cases (34.53%) and cesarean delivery was reported in 385 women (65.47%). The indication for cesarean delivery was majority due to COVID-19 related condition (53.61%). In total, 8 neonates who confirmed positive for COVID-19 by real-time polymerase chain reaction assay were reported. The pooled odds ratio (95% confidence interval) for SARS-CoV-2-positive neonates in cesarean delivery compared with vaginal birth was 0.622 (0.237–1.633) with p = 0.335.

CONCLUSIONS Cesarean delivery is more common than vaginal delivery in patient with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Any delivery route is not related to possible risk of intrapartum vertical transmission, and cesarean delivery should be carried out based on the obstetric indication.

KEYWORDS COVID-19, delivery, meta-analysis, pregnancy, vertical transmission
the delivery routes and possibility of intrapartum transmission of pregnancy with COVID-19.

**METHODS**

Literature research was conducted using several search engines, including PubMed, Scopus, Embase, and EBSCO from January 1, 2020, to September 30, 2020. The keywords used were SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, pregnancy, vertical transmission, and delivery. The search strategy was as follows; (“2019-nCoV infection”[all] OR “coronavirus disease 2019”[all] OR “COVID-19 pandemic”[all] OR “2019-nCoV disease”[all] OR “2019 novel coronavirus disease”[all] OR “COVID-19”[all] OR “2019 novel coronavirus infection”[all] OR “coronavirus disease-19”[all] OR “severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2”[all] OR “SARS-CoV-2”[all]) AND (pregnancy [all] OR “pregnant women”[all] OR maternal [all] OR “prenatal care”[all]) AND (vertical transmission [all] OR “mother to child transmission”[all]). We also reviewed the lists of references of obtained articles to search for other relevant studies. Due to time constraints, one author (RS) conducted the literature search, reviewed the paper and extracted data on study characteristic, and selected maternal data, mode of delivery, and maternal-neonatal outcome, especially vertical transmission. Other authors (UA, CL, and BA) reviewed the papers and independently selected the eligible articles. The selection criteria include: case-control, cohort study, and case reports with subjects of pregnant women with COVID-19 who did delivery. Non-English language publications were not included in this review.

**Data extraction**

A patient, intervention, comparator, outcome, study design structure was used to create the study questions and the inclusion/exclusion criteria. The population of this study was the women with COVID-19 who were documented delivering their baby in the hospital. The question was: “What is the safe delivery route and the risk of vertical transmission in pregnant women with COVID-19?”

The extracted data from each study were: first author’s last name, country, study design, number of pregnant women cases, maternal age, mode of delivery, and SARS-CoV-2 infection status in neonates. The meta-analysis was conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses recommendation.16

**Statistical analysis**

Forest plots were used to describe the pooled prevalence, point (95% confidence intervals [CI]) estimates, and in-between studies’ variability. The inconsistency indicator (I2) was chosen to show the relationship between true variability and overall variation, with low, medium, and high heterogeneity in case of the following values: <25%, ≥25–<50%, and ≥50%, respectively. Fixed and random-effects models were computed, keeping into consideration the expected between-study heterogeneity. Bias assessment plots and Egger weighted regression test methods were used to assess the publication bias. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The analyses were carried out with the statistical software of RevMan version 5.3 and MedCalc Software version 14 (MedCalc Software Ltd., Belgium).

**RESULTS**

**Study characteristics**

A flowchart of the literature search is presented in Figure 1. A total of 914 publications from PubMed (289), Scopus (297), Embase (252), EBSCO (76), and 11 appropriate studies (10 cohort studies and 1 case-control study) were selected. Studies reported pregnancy with confirmed COVID-19 was originated from China (5), United States (4), Italy (1), and United Kingdom (1).

A total number of 603 deliveries in pregnant women with confirmed COVID-19 were reported. Study characteristics and selected maternal characteristics were presented in Table 1 which comprised the maternal age, mode of delivery, and maternal-neonatal outcome, especially vertical transmission. The number of enrolled individuals ranged from 11 to 262. The mean (standard deviation) maternal age was 30.3 (1.5) years, ranging from 17 to 40 years. Delivery routes are presented in Table 1. The vaginal delivery was seen in 218 cases (36.2 %), and cesarean delivery was reported in 385 women (63.8 %).

**Indication for cesarean section**

The indication for cesarean delivery was varied from obstetrical considerations to maternal related to COVID-19 infection. We analyzed all of the studies and
calculated that more than half of the cesarean section was performed directly due to COVID-19 indication (53.61%, 95% CI = 35.794–70.977; \( p < 0.001 \)).

**Risk of transmission from mother to babies**

Overall, eight cases (1.62%) suggested the possible intrapartum vertical transmission despite the delivery modes. It is shown by the positive result of real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay of COVID-19 in neonates after birth (Figure 2). This analysis showed zero inconsistency level that refers to the low heterogeneity of the studies included. We also measured the possible bias that shows the relatively symmetrical plot between sides and a \( p \)-value of 0.7.

**Table 1. Study characteristics, maternal age, delivery routes, and neonates infection status**

| First author         | Sample size | Study design | Maternal age range/mean (years) | Cesarean section | Vaginal birth |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
|                      |             |              | COVID-19 cases                  | Positive swab on neonates | COVID-19 cases | Positive swab on neonates |
|                      |             |              | 18                              | 0                | 24           | 1                      |
| Ferrazzi,17          | 42          | Retrospective| 21–43                           |                  |              |                        |
| Knight,18            | 262         | Prospective  | 18–40                           | 156              | 4            | 106                    | 2                   |
| Li,19                | 16          | Case-control | 26–37                           | 14               | 0            | 2                      | 0                   |
| Liu,20               | 11          | Retrospective| 23–40                           | 10               | 0            | 1                      | 0                   |
| Nie,21               | 27          | Retrospective| 24–36                           | 22               | 1            | 5                      | 0                   |
| Pierce-Williams,22   | 32          | Retrospective| 33                              | 24               | 0            | 8                      | 0                   |
| Prabhu,23            | 70          | Retrospective| 26–36                           | 32               | 0            | 38                     | 0                   |
| Sahin,24             | 10          | Prospective  | 17–40                           | 5                | 0            | 5                      | 0                   |
| Yang,25              | 65          | Retrospective| 20–40                           | 52               | 0            | 13                     | 0                   |
| Breslin,26           | 18          | Retrospective| 20–39                           | 8                | 0            | 10                     | 0                   |
| Yan,27               | 50          | Retrospective| 24–41                           | 44               | 0            | 6                      | 0                   |
| **Total**            | **603**     |              | **385**                         | **5**            | **218**      | **3**                  |

**Figure 1.** The flow diagram in literature search for this review.
We further analyzed whether different delivery routes may lower the risk of COVID-19 positivity in neonates. We compared both delivery methods and found that cesarean delivery did not reduce the risk of vertical transmission in neonates (odds ratio [OR] = 0.622, 95% CI = 0.237–1.633; p = 0.335) (Figure 4). We also analyzed the risk of publication bias by presenting the funnel plot (Figure 5), which showed a relatively symmetrical plot between studies with a p-value of 0.03. The significant p-value might indicate the poor quality or methodology of the studies, hence increasing the risk of publication bias.

**DISCUSSION**

This systematic review and meta-analysis showed that mode of delivery did not associate with the risk of peripartum viral transmission in pregnant women with COVID-19. Mode of delivery has become one of the main focuses in managing pregnant women with COVID-19 due to the possibility of peripartum transmission of this highly infectious virus. Vertical transmission may occur in three different time points: during pregnancy via placenta, during delivery through direct contact with maternal blood or cervicovaginal secretion, and during the postpartum period via breastfeeding.¹⁴,²⁸–³⁰ A recent study on the placental pathology showed that the SARS-CoV-2 virus was found in maternal side of the placenta and influenced the placental vascular structure, hence interfered with its function.²⁹,³¹–³⁴ However, those studies also described that none of the newborns were confirmed positive for COVID-19.²⁸,³¹ Other studies showed that SARS-CoV-2 virus was not identified in amniotic fluid or cord.²⁸–³⁰,³⁴–³⁶

In total, we found 385 out of 603 deliveries were done using cesarean section and identified eight newborns had SARS-CoV-2 infection using RT-PCR. Although vaginal delivery has a substantially
higher risk of neonatal contact with cervicovaginal secretion,¹²,¹⁷,²⁸,³⁴ the result showed that vaginal delivery did not significantly increase the risk of neonates having SARS-CoV-2 infection. Moreover, the cesarean section has been the primary choice for the delivery mode in women with confirmed COVID-19.¹⁰,²²,²⁵,²⁷,³⁴,³⁷ The indication for these cesarean sections was COVID-19 (53.6%). A study reported that COVID-19 had been an indication for cesarean section in their institution.²⁴ This review reassures that both delivery modes do not increase the risk of intrapartum viral vertical transmission. It supports the latest recommendation that SARS-CoV-2 infection should not interfere in the birth mode decision unless there is worsening of the cardiopulmonary condition. The recommendation also stated that every delivery of pregnant women with confirmed COVID-19 should be performed according to suitable personal protective equipment.³¹

A planned vaginal delivery needs close contact and a long monitoring duration of the mother and the fetus. Although these can be normally done by obstetricians or midwives, it is difficult to be performed during the pandemic. It is also challenging to do this vaginal delivery while prioritizing the safety of the healthcare workers. Moreover, labor wards in Indonesia had not been prepared with negative-pressure ventilation. Trained personnel were also insufficiently available. Therefore, the cesarean delivery was often chosen to limit the exposure and anticipate the unexpected complication of COVID-19 during labor.

This study addresses a crucial research question in making clinical decisions. The rationalization to do a cesarean section is deemed to protect the healthcare workers’ safety but not to prevent the risk of vertical infection. However, COVID-19 should not be justified as the sole indication for performing a cesarean section. In minimizing potential bias, we restricted the meta-analysis to cohort studies and selected one case-control to provide a clearer methodology. We also reported

**Figure 4.** The odds ratio (OR) of vertical transmission possibility on the cesarean delivery in pregnant women with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Random effects, pooled OR (95% confidence interval [CI]) = 0.622 (0.237–1.633); p = 0.335; I² (95% CI) = 0.00% (0.00–0.00)

**Figure 5.** Funnel plot of odds ratio (OR) on the risk of vertical transmission according to mode of delivery. Egger bias (95% confidence interval [CI]) = 0.9819 (0.1680–1.7978); p = 0.0233
the bias analysis presented in the funnel plot in the ORs calculation, which showed zero inconsistency hence low heterogeneity.

This systematic review also has several limitations. The sample sizes of the studies were relatively small and highly varied between studies because the subject recruitment was performed conveniently due to this pandemic situation. Most of the selected studies were retrospective. A broad scope of studies included in this review might address slightly different research questions with a wide range of methodological precision.

In conclusion, this review showed that cesarean delivery is more common than vaginal delivery in patients with confirmed COVID-19. However, the vertical transmission in both delivery methods is similar. Therefore, it is reassured that decision of the delivery route should not be influenced merely by the presence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the mother. Obstetric indication is an important consideration to achieve favorable maternal and neonatal outcomes.
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