Experimental Investigations on Strength Characteristics of High Performance Concrete Using Silica Fume and Superplasticizer

Dr. K. Perumal¹, S. Senthilkumar², K. Sekar³

¹Head of Department, ²Lecturer (Senior Grade), ³Associate Professor,
¹²Dept of Civil Engineering, Sri Ramakrishna Mission Vidyalaya Polytechnic College, Tamil Nadu, India
³Dept of Civil Engineering, Ranganathan Engineering College, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT
For several decades, concrete has been the most widely used construction material. Though conventional concrete (CC) performs very well under normal conditions, in special situations a very high compressive strength of concrete is necessary together with sustainability to aggressive environments. Hence, higher compressive strength of range 60-140 MPa is essential. The concrete mixes so far were designed only for strength and workability requirements. For the performance of long satisfactory life, the designed mixes should be checked and proved for their durability properties such as low permeability, high corrosion resistance, freezing and thawing resistance, fire existence etc. This necessitates a detailed study on High performance concrete (HPC). This paper formulates a simplified mix design procedure for HPC by combining BIS and ACI code methods of mix design and available literatures on HPC. Based on the above procedure M80 and M100 mixes are arrived at. These HPC mixes are tested experimentally for compression, split tension, flexure and workability. The performance of the designed mixes is very good and the results are reported in this paper.
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I. INTRODUCTION
HPC is a construction material which is being used in increasing volumes in recent years due to its long-term performance and better rheological, mechanical and durability properties than CC. HPC possesses invariably high strength, reasonable workability and negligible permeability. Compared to CC, preparation of HPC requires lower water-binder (w/b) ratio and higher cement content. The durability properties of concrete are given importance, which makes High Strength Concrete (HSC) into HPC. HSC refers to concretes of grade above M60. High strength and better durability properties become reality for CC by reducing porosity, in homogeneity, micro cracks in concrete and the transition zone. This is how HPC is evolved.

Incorporation of mineral admixtures like Silica Fume (SF), Fly ash, Granulated ground blast furnace slag, Rice husk ash act as pozzolanic materials as well as micro fillers, thereby the microstructure of hardened concrete becomes denser and improves the strength and durability properties. Addition of chemical admixtures such as super plasticizer improves the properties of plastic concrete with regard to workability, segregation etc.

The HPC permits use of reduced sizes of structural members, increased building height in congested areas and early removal of formwork. The use of HPC in pre-stressed concrete construction makes greater span-depth ratio, early transfer of pre-stress and early application of service loads. Low permeability characteristic of HP C reduces risk of corrosion of steel and attack of aggressive chemicals. This permits the use of HPC in marine/offshore structures, nuclear power plants, bridges and places of extreme and adverse climatic conditions. Eventually, HPC reduces maintenance and repair costs.

II. MECHANISM OF HPC
According to Nevillie “HPC is a concrete to fulfill specified purpose and no special mystery about it, no unusual ingredients or special equipment’s have to be
used. But to understand the behavior of concrete and will, to produce a concrete mix within closely controlled tolerances”. Concrete is a three-phase composite material, the first two phases being aggregates and bulk hydrated cement paste (hcp) and the third being the “transition zone”. The transition zone is the interfacial region between the aggregate particles and the bulk “hcp”. It is the weakest link and if this is strengthened, then the strength and impermeability (durability characteristics) of concrete are improved to a greater extent. This is made possible by reducing w/b ratio and use of SF. SF improves the above properties by pozzolanic action and by reactive filler effect. SF contains a very high percentage of amorphous silicon dioxide which reacts with large quantity of Ca(OH)₂ produced during hydration of cement to form calcium-silicate-hydrate (C-S-H) gel. This gives strength as well as improves impermeability. This is known as pozzolanic action (chemical mechanism). Another action, a physical mechanism called “filler effect” in which the small spherical shaped SF particles disperse in the presence of a super plasticizer to fill the voids between cement particles and accelerates the hydration of C₃S, since SF is fine reactive filler. These results in well packed concrete mix. Due to pozzolanic action between SF and Ca (OH)₂, the larger size crystals of CA(OH)₂ converts to crystal of C-S-H gel which is dense, leading to reduction of pore size. This effect along with the improved particle distribution results in reduction of the thickness of transition zone and leads to densely packed stronger and less permeable concrete.

III. SIGNIFICANCE AND OBJECTIVES
The objectives of the present investigation are to develop a simplified mix design procedure, specially for HPC by varying the percentage replacement of cement by SF (0-15%) at a constant dosage of super plasticizer, based on BIS & ACI code methods of mix design procedure and available literatures on HPC. Investigations were carried out on the above procedure to produce HPC mixes for M80 and M100 grades using 12.5mm maximum size of aggregates to ascertain the workability and the mechanical properties of the designed mixes and to find an optimum cement replacement by SF.

Hence in the present investigation more emphasis is given to study the HPC using SF and super plasticizer so as to achieve better concrete composite and also to encourage the increased use of SF to maintain ecology.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAMME
Experimental investigations have been carried out on the HPC specimens to ascertain the workability and strength related properties such as compressive strength, split tensile strength, flexural strength and Elastic modulus of the designed trial mixes and also Non-Destructive Test (NDT) – Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) has been carried out to check the quality of concrete.

4.1 Materials used
1. Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC), 53 Grade conforming to BIS: 12269 – 1987.
2. Silica Fume as mineral admixture in dry densified form obtained from ELKEM INDIA (P) LTD., Mumbai conforming to ASTM C – 1240.
3. Super plasticizer (chemical admixture) based on Sulphonated Naphthalene Formaldehyde condensate – CONPLAST SP 430 conforming to BIS: 9103 – 1999 and ASTM C-494.
4. Locally available quarried and crushed granite stones confirming to graded aggregate of nominal size 12.5 mm as per table 2 of BIS: 383 – 1970 with specific gravity 2.82 and fineness modulus 6.73 as coarse aggregates (CA).
5. Locally available Karur river sand conforming to Grading zone II of table 4 of BIS: 383-1970 with specific gravity 2.60 and fineness modulus 2.96 as fine aggregates (FA).
6. Water: Drinking water supplied to Coimbatore city from Siruvani dam for concreting and curing.

4.2 Mix Design for HPC
Since there is no specific method of mix design found suitable for HPC, a simplified mix design procedure is formulated by combining the BIS method, ACI methods for concrete mix design and the available literatures on HPC using SF.

Formulation of mix design procedure
Target mean strength
The target mean strength (f̅ck) is calculated as follows:

\[ f_{\text{ck}} = f_{\text{ck}} + (t \times S) \]

with usual BIS notations. When adequate data are not available to establish ‘S’, the \( f_{\text{ck}} \) value can be determined from the following table 1 as given by ACI Report 318.
Table 1: Target mean strength when data are not available to establish a standard deviation

| Specified characteristic compressive strength, $f_{ck}$ (MPa) | Target mean compressive strength, $f_{ck}$ (MPa) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Less than 20.5                                               | $f_{ck}$ + 6.9                                   |
| 20.5 - 34.5                                                  | $f_{ck}$ + 8.3                                   |
| More than 34.5                                               | $f_{ck}$ + 9.7                                   |

Selection of maximum size of coarse aggregate (CA)
The maximum size of the coarse aggregate is selected from the following table 2 as given by ACI Report 211.4R.93.

Table 2: Maximum size of coarse aggregate

| Required Concrete Strength (MPa) | Maximum aggregate size (mm) |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Less than 62                     | 20 - 25                      |
| Greater than or equal to 62      | 10 – 12.5                    |

Estimation of free water content
The water content to obtain the desired workability depends upon the amount of water and amount of super plasticizer and its characteristics. However, the saturation point of the super plasticizer is known, and then the water dosage is obtained from the following table 3. If the saturation point is not known, it is suggested that a water content of 145 litres/m$^3$ shall be taken to start with.

Table 3: Determination of the minimum water dosage

| Saturation point(%) | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Water dosage (l/m$^3$) | 120 to125 | 125 to135 | 135 to145 | 145 to155 | 155 to165 |

Super plasticizer dosage
The super plasticizer dosage is obtained from the dosage at the saturation point. If the saturation point is not known, it is suggested that a trial dosage of 1.0% shall be taken to start with.

Estimation of air content
The air content (approximate amount of entrapped air) to be expected in HPC is obtained from the following table 4 as given by ACI Report 211.4R.93 for the maximum size of CA used. However, it is suggested that an initial estimate of entrapped air content shall be taken as 1.5% or less since it is HPC, and then adjusting it on the basis of the result obtained with the trial mix.

Table 4 Approximate entrapped air content

| Nominal maximum size of coarse aggregate (mm) | Entrapped air, as percent of volume of concrete |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 10                                           | 2.5                                          |
| 12.5                                         | 2.0                                          |
| 20                                           | 1.5                                          |
| 25                                           | 1.0                                          |

Selection of coarse aggregate (CA) content
The coarse aggregate content is obtained from the following table 5 as a function of the typical particle shape. If there is any doubt about the shape of the CA or if its shape is not known, it is suggested that a CA content of 1000 kg/m$^3$ of concrete shall be taken to start with. The CA so selected should satisfy the requirements of grading and other requirements of BIS: 383 – 1970.

Table 5 Coarse aggregate content

| Selection of water - binder (w/b) ratio
The water-binder ratio for the target mean compressive strength is chosen from figure 1, the proposed w/b ratio Vs compressive strength relationship. The w/b ratio so chosen is checked against the limiting w/c ratio for the requirements of durability as per table 5 of BIS: 456 – 2000, and the lower of the two values is adopted.

Fig.1 Proposed w/b ratio Vs compressive strength relationship Calculation of binder contents
The binder or cementitious contents per m³ of concrete is calculated from the w/b ratio and the quantity of water content per m³ of concrete. Assuming the percentage replacement of cement by SF (0-15%), the SF content is obtained from the total binder contents. The remaining binder content is composed of cement. The cement content so calculated is checked against the minimum cement content for the requirements of durability as per table 5 and 6 of BIS: 456 – 2000 and the greater of the two values is adopted.

**Super plasticizer content:**
The mass of solids in the super plasticizer (Mₘₙ) in kg, the volume of liquid super plasticizer (Vₙ), the volume of water in the liquid super plasticizer (Vₙₑₚₙ) and the volume of solids in the liquid super plasticizer (Vₙₘₙ) are calculated from the following equations:

\[ M_{\text{sol}} = \frac{C \times d}{100} \]
\[ V_{\text{liq}} = \frac{M_{\text{sol}} \times 100}{s \times S_s} \]
\[ V_w = V_{\text{liq}} \times S_s \left( \frac{100 - s}{100} \right) \]
\[ V_{\text{sol}} = V_{\text{liq}} - V_w \]

Where
- C = mass of the cementitious materials (kg)
- d = super plasticizer dosage expressed as % of its solid content
- s = total solid content of the super plasticizer in percent, and
- S_s = specific gravity of the liquid super plasticizer

**Estimation of fine aggregate (FA) content**
The absolute volume of FA is obtained from the following equation:

\[ V_{\text{fa}} = 1000 - \left( \frac{V_w}{S_C} + \frac{M_{\text{sf}}}{S_{\text{sf}}} + \frac{M_{\text{ca}}}{S_{\text{ca}}} + V_{\text{sol}} + V_{\text{ea}} \right) \]

Where
- \( V_{\text{fa}} \) = absolute volume of FA in litres per m³ of concrete
- \( V_w \) = volume of water (litres) per m³ of concrete
- \( M_C \) = mass of cement (kg) per m³ of concrete
- \( S_C \) = specific gravity of cement
- \( M_{\text{sf}}, M_{\text{ca}} \) = total masses of the SF and CA (kg) per m³ of concrete respectively

\( S_{\text{ca}}, S_{\text{sf}} \) = specific gravities of saturated surface dry coarse aggregate and silica fume respectively, and \( V_{\text{sol}}, V_{\text{ea}} \) = volume of solids in the super plasticizer and entrapped air (litres) per m³ of concrete respectively.

The fine aggregate content per unit volume of concrete is obtained by multiplying the absolute volume of fine aggregate and the specific gravity of the fine aggregate.

**Moisture adjustments**
The actual quantities of CA, FA and water content are calculated after allowing necessary corrections for water absorption and free (surface) moisture content of aggregates. The volume of water included in the liquid super plasticizer is calculated and subtracted from the initial mixing water.

**Unit mass of concrete**
The mass of concrete per unit volume is calculated by adding the masses of the concrete ingredients.

**Trail mix proportion**
Because of many assumptions underlying the foregoing theoretical calculations, the trial mix proportions must be checked. If necessary, the mix proportion should be modified to meet the desired workability and strength criteria, by adjusting the percentage replacement of cement by SF, percentage dosage of super plasticizer solid content of binder, air content and unit weight by means of laboratory trial batches to optimize the mix proportion. Fresh concrete should be tested for workability, unit weight and air content. Specimens of hardened concrete should be tested at the specified age.

**4.3 Mixture proportions and casting of specimens:**
Mix proportions are arrived for M80 and M100 grades of concrete based on the above formulated mix design procedure by replacing 0, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5 & 15 percent of the mass of cement by SF & the material requirements per m³ of concrete are given in table 6 and 7. The ingredients for various mixes are weighed and mixing was carried out using a drum type mixer and casting were done in steel moulds for concrete cubes 150 mm size, cylinders 150 mm x 300 mm and beams 100 mm x 100 mm x 500 mm. Curing was done under water for various desired periods.
Tests on M80 and M100 Concrete

For hardened concrete, the expression 5000 \( \times \) w/b was used to determine the cement content. The expression was used for the series of tests on hardened concrete presented in the tables 8 and 9. The percentage of SF content was increased, which was reflected in the workability of concrete decreased as the percentage of SF content was increased.

Tests on hardened concrete

The results of cube compression strength, cylinder compression strength, split tensile strength, flexural strength and Modulus of Elasticity are also listed in table 8 and 9. The optimum percentage of cement replacement by SF is 10% for the above tests for M80 and M100 grades of concrete. This may be due to the fact that the increase of strength characteristics in concrete is due to the pozzolanic reaction and filler effects of SF. The variations of average compressive strengths with respect to % of SF at different ages are shown in figures 5 & 6. The ratio of cylinder to cube compression strength, split tensile strength, flexural strengths obtained experimentally are higher than the value calculated by the expression 0.7 × \( f_{ck} \) as per BIS: 456-2000. The variation of Modulus of Elasticity values with respect to % of SF at 28 days for M80 & M100 grade of concrete is shown in figure 7. The stress – strain graph for HPC is obtained using compressor meter fitted to cylinders during cylinder compression strength test. UPV measurements were taken using NDT method on 150 mm size cubes for assessing the quality of concrete as per BIS: 13311 (Part 1) – 1992.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 6 Details of HPC trial mixes for M80 grade

| Mix designation | SF (%) | w/b ratio | Cement (Kg) | SF (Kg) | FA (Kg) | CA (Kg) | Super plasticizer (lit) | Water (lit) |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------|-------------|
| C1              | 0      | 0.285     | 509.00      | 0       | 764.04  | 1070    | 12.52                  | 140.84      |
| C2              | 2.5    | 0.285     | 496.28      | 12.73   | 759.50  | 1070    | 12.52                  | 140.84      |
| C3              | 5      | 0.285     | 483.55      | 25.45   | 755.00  | 1070    | 12.52                  | 140.84      |
| C4              | 7.5    | 0.285     | 470.83      | 38.18   | 750.44  | 1070    | 12.52                  | 140.84      |
| C5              | 10     | 0.285     | 458.10      | 50.90   | 745.88  | 1070    | 12.52                  | 140.84      |
| C6              | 12.5   | 0.285     | 445.38      | 63.63   | 741.34  | 1070    | 12.52                  | 140.84      |
| C7              | 15     | 0.285     | 432.65      | 76.35   | 736.82  | 1070    | 12.52                  | 140.84      |

Table 7 Details of HPC trial mixes for M100 grade

| Mix designation | SF (%) | w/b ratio | Cement (Kg) | SF (Kg) | FA (Kg) | CA (Kg) | Super plasticizer (lit) | Water (lit) |
|-----------------|--------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------|-------------|
| C11             | 0      | 0.25      | 580.0       | 0       | 705     | 1070    | 14.26                  | 139.56      |
| C12             | 2.5    | 0.25      | 565.5       | 14.5    | 700     | 1070    | 14.26                  | 139.56      |
| C13             | 5      | 0.25      | 551.0       | 29.0    | 694     | 1070    | 14.26                  | 139.56      |
| C14             | 7.5    | 0.25      | 536.5       | 43.5    | 689     | 1070    | 14.26                  | 139.56      |
| C15             | 10     | 0.25      | 522.0       | 58.0    | 684     | 1070    | 14.26                  | 139.56      |
| C16             | 12.5   | 0.25      | 507.5       | 72.5    | 679     | 1070    | 14.26                  | 139.56      |
| C17             | 15     | 0.25      | 493.0       | 87.0    | 674     | 1070    | 14.26                  | 139.56      |

V. TESTS ON FRESH AND HARDENED CONCRETE

Workability tests such as Slump test, Compaction Factor test and Vee-Bee consistometer test were carried out for fresh concrete as per BIS specifications, keeping the dosage of super plasticizer as constant at 3% by weight of binder. For hardened concrete, cube compression strength test on 150 mm size cubes at the age of 1 day, 3 days, 7 days, 14 days, 28 days & 56 days of curing were carried out using 3000 KN capacity compression testing machine as per BIS: 516-1959. Also compression strength and split tensile strength tests on 150 mm x 300 mm cylinders and flexure test on 100 mm x 100 mm x 500 mm beams were carried out on 28 days cured specimens as per BIS specifications. The stress – strain graph for HPC is obtained using compressor meter fitted to cylinders during cylinder compressive strength test. UPV measurements were taken using NDT method on 150 mm size cubes for assessing the quality of concrete as per BIS: 13311 (Part 1) – 1992.
BIS: 456-2000. The UPV results of NDT are shown in table 10. The velocities prove that the quality of concrete is excellent.

### Table 8 Properties of HPC mixes for M80 grade

| PROPERTIES | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 |
|------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Silica Fume (%) | 0  | 2.5 | 5  | 7.5| 10 | 12.5| 15 |
| Cube compressive strength (MPa), 1day | 24.00 | 29.78 | 32.44 | 33.33 | 35.56 | 34.99 | 34.10 |
| 3days | 39.11 | 44.44 | 44.89 | 50.22 | 55.11 | 49.48 | 48.89 |
| 7days | 52.44 | 59.23 | 65.33 | 66.22 | 69.48 | 65.34 | 62.37 |
| 14days | 59.26 | 65.63 | 67.56 | 72.00 | 78.67 | 74.37 | 73.33 |
| 28days | 67.11 | 75.56 | 76.44 | 83.11 | 90.22 | 85.04 | 82.22 |
| 56days | 75.32 | 84.65 | 86.30 | 94.22 | 101.33 | 95.44 | 92.11 |
| Cylinder compressive strength (MPa), 28days | 52.97 | 59.98 | 61.00 | 66.04 | 71.87 | 65.53 | 65.08 |
| Split tensile strength (MPa), 28 days | 4.95 | 5.16 | 5.31 | 5.66 | 5.94 | 5.38 | 5.31 |
| Flexural strength (MPa), 28 days | 7.40 | 7.70 | 8.20 | 8.80 | 9.50 | 9.10 | 8.90 |
| Elastic modulus (GPa), 28days | 30.9 | 35.8 | 36.5 | 37.9 | 39.7 | 37.7 | 36.7 |
| Slump (mm) | 52 | 46 | 45 | 41 | 37 | 22 | 15 |
| Compaction Factor | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.79 |
| Vee-Bee degrees (secs) | 13 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 27 | 35 |

### Table 9 Properties of HPC mixes for M100 grade

| Properties | C11 | C12 | C13 | C14 | C15 | C16 | C17 |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Silica Fume (%) | 0  | 2.5 | 5  | 7.5| 10 | 12.5| 15 |
| Cube compressive strength (MPa), 1day | 28.59 | 34.37 | 36.00 | 39.41 | 44.74 | 44.59 | 41.19 |
| 3days | 45.78 | 52.74 | 52.89 | 59.56 | 67.11 | 62.07 | 60.89 |
| 7days | 62.37 | 68.74 | 73.63 | 80.15 | 84.75 | 80.15 | 78.07 |
| 14days | 71.41 | 76.88 | 80.88 | 85.04 | 97.19 | 93.33 | 92.00 |
| 28days | 83.11 | 89.04 | 93.89 | 100.80 | 110.66 | 105.33 | 102.67 |
| 56days | 91.68 | 98.40 | 103.2 | 110.75 | 122.10 | 114.23 | 111.75 |
| Cylinder compressive strength (MPa), 28days | 65.64 | 69.43 | 74.92 | 80.70 | 89.64 | 83.75 | 82.62 |
| Split tensile strength (MPa), 28days | 5.81 | 6.41 | 6.89 | 7.16 | 7.53 | 7.41 | 7.31 |
| Flexural strength (MPa), 28days | 8.60 | 9.00 | 9.40 | 9.60 | 10.40 | 9.80 | 9.40 |
| Elastic modulus (GPa), 28days | 38.0 | 39.0 | 39.5 | 41.4 | 41.5 | 40.8 | 40.9 |
| Slump (mm) | 30 | 28 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 18 | 15 |
| Compaction Factor | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 0.75 |
| Vee-Bee degrees (secs) | 15 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 55 | 64 |
Table 10: Results of Ultrasonic pulse Velocity of cubes at 28days

| Mix designation | SF (%) | Distance traveled (m) | Time taken to travel (t) x10^-6 sec | Pulse velocity (m/sec) | Quality of concrete specimen |
|-----------------|--------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| C1              | 0      | 0.15                   | 27.53                               | 5448.60                | Excellent                     |
| C2              | 2.5    | 0.15                   | 27.02                               | 5551.44                | Excellent                     |
| C3              | 5.0    | 0.15                   | 26.34                               | 5694.76                | Excellent                     |
| C4              | 7.5    | 0.15                   | 25.65                               | 5847.95                | Excellent                     |
| C5              | 10.0   | 0.15                   | 25.01                               | 5997.60                | Excellent                     |
| C6              | 12.5   | 0.15                   | 25.42                               | 5900.87                | Excellent                     |
| C7              | 15.0   | 0.15                   | 26.20                               | 5725.19                | Excellent                     |
| C11             | 0      | 0.15                   | 26.36                               | 5689.11                | Excellent                     |
| C12             | 2.5    | 0.15                   | 25.85                               | 5802.34                | Excellent                     |
| C13             | 5.0    | 0.15                   | 25.46                               | 5890.05                | Excellent                     |
| C14             | 7.5    | 0.15                   | 24.90                               | 6023.97                | Excellent                     |
| C15             | 10.0   | 0.15                   | 24.05                               | 6237.58                | Excellent                     |
| C16             | 12.5   | 0.15                   | 24.33                               | 6164.00                | Excellent                     |
| C17             | 15.0   | 0.15                   | 25.33                               | 5921.26                | Excellent                     |

Figure 2: Workability through slump values
Figure 3: Workability through compaction factor values
Figure 4: Average compare strength of silica fume
Figure 5: Variation of average compressive strength with respect to % of SF at different ages (M80)
Figure 6: Variation of mpa and the sf
Figure 8: variation of stress and strain
VII. CONCLUSIONS
Based on the investigations carried out on HPC mixes, the following conclusions are drawn.
1. A simplified mix design procedure for HPC using SF and super plasticizer is formulated by combining BIS & ACI code methods of mix design and available literatures on HPC.
2. The optimum percentage of cement replacement by SF is 10% for achieving maximum compressive, split tensile and flexural strengths and Elastic modulus.
3. The 7 days to 28 days compressive strength ratio of HPC is 0.75 – 0.80.
4. The BIS: 456-2000 code underestimates the flexural strength and over estimates the Modulus of Elasticity for HPC.
5. Use of SF in concrete reduces the workability.
6. The compression failure pattern of concrete is due to crushing of coarse aggregate and not due to bond failure.
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