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Abstract. We prove that we have an isomorphism of type $A_{\text{aut}}(C[G]) \simeq A_{\text{aut}}(C[\sigma[G]])^\sigma$, for any finite group $G$, and any 2-cocycle $\sigma$ on $G$. In the particular case $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, this leads to a Haar measure-preserving identification between the subalgebra of $A_0(n)$ generated by the variables $u_{ij}$ and the subalgebra of $A_2(n^2)$ generated by the variables $X_{ij} = \sum_{a,b=1}^n p_{a,b}$. Since $u_{ij}$ is “free hyperspherical” and $X_{ij}$ is “free hypergeometric”, we obtain in this way a new free probability formula, which at $n = \infty$ corresponds to the well-known relation between the semicircle law and the free Poisson law.

Introduction

The notion of quantum automorphism group was introduced about 10 years ago in Wang’s paper [18]. The idea is as follows:

1. First, a finite quantum space $X$ is by definition the “spectrum” of a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}^*$-algebra $A$. This space comes with the measure corresponding to the “canonical trace” $tr : A \to \mathbb{C}$, obtained via the left regular representation.

2. Wang proved that this space $X$ has a quantum automorphism group $G_{\text{aut}}(X)$. In algebraic terms, the result is that there is a universal Hopf algebra $A_{\text{aut}}(A)$, coacting on $A$ and leaving the canonical trace invariant.

As a basic, motivating example, for the space $X = \{n \text{ points}\}$ we have $A = \mathbb{C}^n$. Just by using some examples of dual coactions, one can prove then that for $n \geq 4$ the algebra $A_{\text{aut}}(A)$ is not commutative, and infinite dimensional. In other words, the space consisting of $n \geq 4$ points has an infinite number of “quantum permutations”. See Wang [18].

The quantum automorphism groups, and their quantum subgroups, were systematically investigated in recent years. See e.g. [1], [2], [8], [9], [10].

In this paper we establish a general isomorphism result, of the following type:

$A_{\text{aut}}(C[G]) \simeq A_{\text{aut}}(C[\sigma[G]])^\sigma$.

Here $G$ is a finite group, $C[G]$ is its group algebra, $\sigma$ is a 2-cocycle on $G$, and $C[\sigma[G]]$ is the corresponding twisted group algebra. As for the algebra on the right,
this is a 2-cocycle twist. In other words, our result is that “the quantum automorphism group of a twisted group algebra is the twist of the original quantum automorphism group”.

As an illustration for this result, we will work out in detail the case of the abelian group $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, coming with the cocycle $\sigma((i, j), (k, l)) = w^{jk}$, where $w = e^{2\pi i/n}$.

Here $A_{aut}(\mathbb{C}[G])$ is Wang’s quantum permutation algebra $A_s(n^2) = A_{aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, and $A_{aut}(\mathbb{C}_\sigma[G])$ is Wang’s projective quantum rotation algebra $PA_o(n)$. Thus, the above isomorphism reads

$$PA_o(n) \simeq A_s(n^2)^\sigma.$$ 

In other words, the above general algebraic result leads in this case to a non-trivial relation between quantum rotations and quantum permutations!

We will further investigate this phenomenon by using concepts from Voiculescu’s free probability theory [16]. The idea is that, probabilistically speaking, the above result tells us that the variables $u_{ij}^2 \in A_o(n)$ have the same law as the following variables:

$$X_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a,b=1}^n p_{ia,jb} \in A_s(n^2).$$

Here $u_{ij}$ and $p_{ia,jb}$ are respectively the standard generators of $A_o(n)$ and $A_s(n^2)$.

The point now is that the variables $u_{ij}$ can be regarded as being “free hyperspherical variables”, and the variables $X_{ij}$ can be regarded as being (rescaled) “free hypergeometric variables”. So, what we have here is a new free probability formula, which at $n = \infty$ corresponds to the well-known relation between the semicircle law and the free Poisson law. We will make several comments on this result, including a further investigation of the free hypergeometric laws, for more general values of the parameters.

The paper is organized as follows: in §1 we state and prove the main algebraic result, in §2 we work out the case $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, and in §3 we discuss the free probability aspects. The final section, §4, contains some remarks, comments, and open questions.

### 1. The twisting result

Let $A$ be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}^*$-algebra, i.e. a finite direct sum of matrix algebras. We recall from [11] that the canonical trace $tr : A \to \mathbb{C}$ is obtained by composing the left regular representation $A \to L(A)$ with the usual (normalized) trace $L(A) \to \mathbb{C}$.

Note that in the cases $A = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $A = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ we obtain the usual trace.

We let $A_{aut}(A)$ be the universal Hopf algebra coacting on the algebra $A$, such that the canonical trace $tr$ is invariant. This construction is the $\phi = tr$ particular case of Wang’s general construction $A_{aut}(A, \phi)$, from [13]. Regarding the choice $\phi = tr$, see [1].

In this paper we are interested in the case where $A = \mathbb{C}[G]$ is the convolution algebra of a finite group $G$. If $\{e_g\}$ denotes the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}[G]$, we have $tr(e_g) = \delta_{g1}$. 

Proposition 1.1. $A_{aut}(\mathbb{C}[G])$ is isomorphic to the abstract algebra presented by generators $x_{g,h}$ with $g,h \in G$, with the following relations:

$$x_{1,g} = x_{g,1} = \delta_{1g}, \quad x_{s,gh} = \sum_{t \in G} x_{s,t\cdot g} x_{t,h}, \quad x_{gh,s} = \sum_{t \in G} x_{g,t^{-1}h} x_{h,ts}.$$ 

The comultiplication, counit and antipode are given by the following formulae:

$$\Delta(x_{g,h}) = \sum_{s \in G} x_{g,s} \otimes x_{s,h}, \quad \varepsilon(x_{g,h}) = \delta_{gh}, \quad S(x_{g,h}) = x_{h^{-1},g^{-1}}.$$ 

Proof. This follows from a direct verification: in fact, for $A = \mathbb{C}[G]$, the algebra $A_{aut}(A)$ constructed by Wang in [18] is precisely the universal algebra in the statement. \hfill \Box

Now let $\sigma : G \times G \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a normalized 2-cocycle on $G$, i.e. a map satisfying $\sigma(gh,s)\sigma(g,h) = \sigma(g,hs)\sigma(h,s)$ and $\sigma(g,1) = \sigma(1,g) = 1$. The twisted group algebra $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}[G]$ is by definition the vector space $\mathbb{C}[G]$, with product $e_g e_h = \sigma(g,h)e_{gh}$.

It is routine to check that the canonical trace of $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}[G]$ is the same as that of $\mathbb{C}[G]$. We have the following generalization of Proposition 1.1.

Proposition 1.2. $A_{aut}(\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}[G])$ is isomorphic to the abstract algebra presented by the generators $x_{g,h}$ with $g,h \in G$, with the relations $x_{1,g} = x_{g,1} = \delta_{1g}$ and

$$\sigma(g,h) x_{s,gh} = \sum_{t \in G} \sigma(st^{-1},t)x_{s,t\cdot g} x_{t,h}, \quad \sigma(g,h)^{-1} x_{gh,s} = \sum_{t \in G} \sigma(t^{-1},ts)^{-1} x_{g,t^{-1}h} x_{h,ts}.$$ 

The comultiplication, counit and antipode are given by the following formulae:

$$\Delta(x_{g,h}) = \sum_{s \in G} x_{g,s} \otimes x_{s,h}, \quad \varepsilon(x_{g,h}) = \delta_{gh}, \quad S(x_{g,h}) = \sigma(h^{-1},h)\sigma(g^{-1},g)^{-1} x_{h^{-1},g^{-1}}.$$ 

Proof. Once again, this follows from a direct verification. Note that by using cocycle identities we obtain $\sigma(g,g^{-1}) = \sigma(g^{-1},g)$, needed in the proof. \hfill \Box

In what follows, we will prove that the Hopf algebras $A_{aut}(\mathbb{C}[G])$ and $A_{aut}(\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}[G])$ are related by a “cocycle twisting” operation. Let us begin with some preliminaries.

Let $H$ be a Hopf algebra. We use the Sweedler notation $\Delta(x) = \sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$. Recall (see e.g. [14]) that a left 2-cocycle is a convolution invertible linear map $\sigma : H \otimes H \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\sigma(x_{(1)},y_{(1)})\sigma(x_{(2)},y_{(2)},z) = \sigma(y_{(1)},z_{(1)})\sigma(x_{(2)},y_{(2)}z_{(2)})$ and $\sigma(x,1) = \sigma(1,x) = \varepsilon(x)$, for any $x, y, z \in H$. Note that $\sigma$ is a left 2-cocycle if and only if $\sigma^{-1}$, the convolution inverse of $\sigma$, is a right 2-cocycle in the sense that we have $\sigma^{-1}(x_{(1)}y_{(1)},z)\sigma^{-1}(x_{(2)},y_{(2)}) = \sigma^{-1}(x_{(1)},y_{(1)}z_{(1)})\sigma^{-1}(y_{(2)},z_{(2)})$ and $\sigma^{-1}(x,1) = \varepsilon(x) = \sigma^{-1}(1)$, for any $x, y, z \in H$.

Given a left 2-cocycle $\sigma$ on $H$, one can form the 2-cocycle twist $H^\sigma$ as follows. As a coalgebra, $H^\sigma = H$, and an element $x \in H$, when considered in $H^\sigma$, is denoted by $[x]$. The product in $H^\sigma$ is defined, in Sweedler notation, by

$$[x][y] = \sum \sigma(x_{(1)},y_{(1)})\sigma^{-1}(x_{(2)},y_{(2)})[x_{(3)}y_{(3)}].$$

Note that the cocycle condition ensures the fact that we have indeed a Hopf algebra. For the formula of the antipode, which we will not need here, see [14].

Note that the coalgebra isomorphism $H \to H^\sigma$ given by $x \to [x]$ commutes with the respective Haar integrals (as soon as $H$ has a Haar integral, of course).

We are now in a position to state and prove our main theorem.
Theorem 1.3. If $G$ is a finite group and $\sigma$ is a 2-cocycle on $G$, the Hopf algebras $A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}[G])$ and $A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}[G])$ are 2-cocycle twists of each other.

Proof. We use the Hopf algebra map $\pi : A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \to \mathbb{C}[G]$, given by $x_{g,h} \to \delta_{gh}e_g$.

Our 2-cocycle $\sigma : G \times G \to \mathbb{C}^*$ can be extended by linearity into a linear map $\sigma : \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] \to \mathbb{C}$, which, since $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a group algebra, is a left and right 2-cocycle in the above sense. Consider the following map:

$$\alpha = \sigma(\pi \otimes \pi) : A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \otimes A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}[G]) \to \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[G] \to \mathbb{C}.$$  

Then $\alpha$ is a left and right 2-cocycle, because it is induced by a cocycle on a group algebra, and so is its convolution inverse $\alpha^{-1}$. Thus we can construct the twisted algebra $A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}[G])^{\alpha^{-1}}$, and in this algebra we have

$$[x_{g,h},x_{r,s}] = \alpha^{-1}(x_{g,x_t},x_r)\sigma(x_h,x_s)[x_{g,h},x_{r,s}] = \sigma(g,r)^{-1}\sigma(h,s)[x_{g,h},x_{r,s}].$$

By using this, we see that

$$\sum_{t \in G} \sigma(st^{-1},t)[x_{st^{-1},g}][x_{t,h}] = \sum_{t \in G} \sigma(st^{-1},t)\sigma(st^{-1},t)^{-1}\sigma(g,h)[x_{st^{-1},g}x_{t,h}] = \sigma(g,h)[x_{s,g}].$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{t \in G} \sigma(t^{-1},ts)^{-1}[x_{g,ts^{-1}}][x_{h,ts}] = \sigma(g,h)^{-1}[x_{gh,s}].$$

Thus there exists a Hopf algebra map $F : A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}[G]) \to A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}[G])^{\alpha^{-1}}$, given by $x_{g,h} \to [x_{g,h}]$. This map is clearly surjective and is injective by a standard fusion semiring argument (both Hopf algebras have the same fusion semiring by $[\mathbb{I}]$).

Associated with any 2-cocycle are the following quantities:

$$\Omega(g_1,\ldots,g_m) = \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \sigma(g_1\ldots g_k,g_{k+1}).$$

With this notation, we have the following technical reformulation of Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 1.4. If $G$ is a finite group and $\sigma$ is a 2-cocycle on $G$, then

$$F(x_{g_1,h_1} \cdots x_{g_m,h_m}) = \Omega(g_1,\ldots,g_m)^{-1}\Omega(h_1,\ldots,h_m)x_{g_1,h_1} \cdots x_{g_m,h_m}$$

is a coalgebra isomorphism $A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}[G]) \simeq A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}[G])$, commuting with the Haar integrals.

Proof. This is indeed just a technical reformulation of Theorem 1.3.  

Theorem 1.5. Let $X \subset G$ be such that $\sigma(g,h) = 1$ for any $g,h \in X$, and consider the subalgebra $B_X \subset A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}[G])$ generated by the elements $x_{g,h}$, with $g,h \in X$. Then we have an injective algebra map $F_0 : B_X \to A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}[G])$, given by $x_{g,h} \to x_{g,h}$.

Proof. With the notation in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we have the following equality in $A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}[G])^{\alpha^{-1}}$, for any $g_i,h_i,r_i,s_i \in X$:

$$[x_{g_1,h_1} \cdots x_{g_p,h_p},[x_{r_1,s_1} \cdots x_{r_q,s_q}]] = [x_{g_1,h_1} \cdots x_{g_p,h_p},x_{r_1,s_1} \cdots x_{r_q,s_q}].$$

Now $F_0$ can be defined to be the composition of $F|_{B_X}$ with the linear isomorphism $A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}[G])^{\alpha^{-1}} \to A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}[G])$, $[x] \to x$, and is clearly an injective algebra map.  

2. Rotations and permutations

In this section we discuss some concrete consequences of the general results established in the previous section. These will concern Wang’s quantum permutation groups [18].

Consider the additive group \( G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n \). Let \( w \in \mathbb{C}^* \) be a primitive \( n \)-th root of unity and consider the map \( \sigma : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \times \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \) given by

\[
\sigma((i,j), (k,l)) = w^{jk}.
\]

It is easy to see that \( \sigma \) is a bicharacter and hence a 2-cocycle on \( \mathbb{Z}_2^n \).

We denote by \( E_{ij} \) with \( i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n \) the standard elementary matrices in \( M_n(\mathbb{C}) \).

**Lemma 2.1.** The linear map given by

\[
\psi(e_{(i,j)}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w^{ki}E_{k,k+j}
\]

defines an isomorphism of algebras \( \psi : \mathbb{C}_\sigma[\mathbb{Z}_2^n] \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C}) \).

**Proof.** Consider indeed the following linear map:

\[
\psi'(E_{ij}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w^{-ik}e_{(k,j-i)}.
\]

It is routine to check that both \( \psi, \psi' \) are morphisms of algebras and that these maps are inverse to each other. In particular, \( \psi \) is an isomorphism of algebras, as stated. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.2.** The algebra map given by

\[
\varphi(u_{ij}u_{kl}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a,b=0}^{n-1} w^{ai-bj}x_{(a,k-i),(b,l-j)}
\]

defines a Hopf algebra isomorphism \( \varphi : A_{aut}(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \simeq A_{aut}(\mathbb{C}_\sigma[\mathbb{Z}_2^n]) \).

**Proof.** Consider the universal coactions on the two algebras in the statement:

\[
\alpha : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A_{aut}(M_n(\mathbb{C})),
\]
\[
\beta : \mathbb{C}_\sigma[\mathbb{Z}_2^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\sigma[\mathbb{Z}_2^n] \otimes A_{aut}(\mathbb{C}_\sigma[\mathbb{Z}_2^n]).
\]

In terms of the standard bases, these coactions are given by

\[
\alpha(E_{ij}) = \sum_{kl} E_{kl} \otimes u_{ki}u_{lj},
\]
\[
\beta(e_{(i,j)}) = \sum_{kl} e_{(k,l)} \otimes x_{(k,l),(i,j)}.
\]

We now use the identification \( \mathbb{C}_\sigma[\mathbb{Z}_2^n] \simeq M_n(\mathbb{C}) \) given by Lemma 2.1. The resulting coaction \( \gamma : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A_{aut}(\mathbb{C}_\sigma[\mathbb{Z}_2^n]) \) is then given by the following formula:

\[
\gamma(E_{ij}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ab} E_{ab} \otimes \sum_{kr} w^{ar-ik}x_{(r,b-a),(k,j-i)}.
\]

By comparing with the formula of \( \alpha \), we obtain the isomorphism in the statement. \( \square \)
Lemma 2.3. The algebra map given by
\[ \rho(x_{(a,b),(i,j)}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{klrs} w^{k+i-j-ra-sb} p(r,s),(k,l) \]
defines a Hopf algebra isomorphism \( \rho : A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n^2]) \simeq A_{\text{aut}}(C(\mathbb{Z}_n^2)) \).

Proof. This is similar to the proof of the previous lemma by using the Fourier transform isomorphism \( \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_n^2] \simeq C(\mathbb{Z}_n^2) \).

Consider now the Wang algebras \( A_o(n) \) and \( A_s(n^2) \), with standard generators denoted by \((u_{ij})_{i,j=1,...,n}\) and \((p_{ia,jb})_{i,j,a,b=1,...,n}\). That is, \( u = (u_{ij}) \) is the universal \( n \times n \) orthogonal matrix, and \( p = (p_{ia,jb}) \) is the universal \( n^2 \times n^2 \) magic unitary matrix. See [13].

We recall that we have canonical identifications, as follows:
\[ A_s(n^2) = A_{\text{aut}}(\mathbb{C}^{n^2}), \]
\[ PA_o(n) = A_{\text{aut}}(M_n(\mathbb{C})). \]

Here the projective version of a pair \((A, u)\) is by definition the pair \((PA, v)\), where \( v = u \otimes \bar{u} \) and \( PA = (v_{ij}) \). For full details regarding the above equalities, see [1].

Theorem 2.4. Let \( n \geq 2 \) and \( w \in \mathbb{C}^* \) be a primitive \( n \)-th root of unity. Then
\[ \Theta(u_{ij} u_{kl}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ab=0}^{n-1} w^{-a(k-i)+b(l-j)} p_{ia,jb} \]
defines a coalgebra isomorphism \( PA_o(n) \rightarrow A_s(n^2) \), commuting with the Haar integrals.

Proof. This follows from the general isomorphism results in Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 1.4 by combining them with the various isomorphisms from the lemmas above.

Theorem 2.5. The following two algebras are isomorphic via \( u_{ij}^2 \rightarrow X_{ij} \):

1. The algebra generated by the variables \( u_{ij}^2 \in A_o(n) \).
2. The algebra generated by \( X_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} p_{ia,jb} \in A_s(n^2) \).

Proof. We have \( \Theta(u_{ij}^2) = X_{ij} \), so it remains to prove that if \( B \) is the subalgebra of \( A_{\text{aut}}(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \) generated by the variables \( u_{ij}^2 \), then \( \Theta|_B \) is an algebra morphism.

We let \( X = \{(i,0)|i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^2 \). Then \( X \) satisfies the assumption in Theorem 1.5, and \( \varphi(B) \subset B_X \). Thus by Theorem 1.5, \( \Theta|_B = \rho P_0 \varphi|_B \) is indeed an algebra morphism.

3. Free hypergeometric laws

Let \((A, \varphi)\) be a complex algebra, coming with a linear form \( \varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \). We recall that the law (or distribution) of an element \( a \in A \) is the linear form \( \mu_a : \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) given by \( P \rightarrow \varphi(P(a)) \). More generally, the joint law (or distribution) of a family \( a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \) is the linear form \( \mu_a : \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) given by \( P \rightarrow \varphi(P(a)) \).

Thus, saying that two families \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A \) and \( a'_1, \ldots, a'_n \in A' \) have the same law is the same as saying that we have the following equalities for any noncommutative polynomial \( P \):
\[ \varphi(P(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) = \varphi'(P(a'_1, \ldots, a'_n)). \]
With this notation, we know from Theorem 2.5 that the variables $u^2_{ij} \in A_o(n)$ have the same joint law as the variables $X_{ij} \in A_s(n^2)$. In this section we will put this result into a more general framework, related to Voiculescu’s free probability theory \[16\].

Let us begin by giving an independent proof for the above equality of distributions. We use the Weingarten formula \[3\]. We recall that the representation-theoretic sets of partitions for the algebras $A = A_o(n)$, $A_s(n^2)$ are respectively the set of noncrossing pairings $NC_2(2k)$, and the set of noncrossing partitions $NC(k)$.

Associated to each of these sets are the Gram matrix $G_{\pi,\sigma} = m|\pi\lor\sigma|$ and the Weingarten matrix $W_m = G_m^{-1}$, where $\lor$ is the join operation and $m = n, n^2$ respectively. The Haar functional of $A$ can be computed explicitly in terms of $W_m$.

For full details here, see \[5\].

**Lemma 3.1.** The Weingarten matrices of $A_o(n)$ and $A_s(n^2)$ are related by

$$W_{NC_2(2k),n}(\pi,\sigma) = n^{|\tilde{\pi}|+|\tilde{\sigma}|-k}W_{NC(k),n^2}(\tilde{\pi},\tilde{\sigma}),$$

where $\pi \to \tilde{\pi}$ is the “cabling” operation, obtained by collapsing neighbors.

*Proof.* We use the following general formula, due to Kodiyalam and Sunder \[15\]:

$$|\pi \lor \sigma| = k + 2|\tilde{\pi} \lor \tilde{\sigma}|-|\tilde{\pi}|-|\tilde{\sigma}|.$$

See also \[13\]. Now in terms of Gram matrices, we obtain

$$G_{NC_2(2k),n} = n^{k-|\tilde{\pi}|-|\tilde{\sigma}|}G_{NC(k),n^2}(\tilde{\pi},\tilde{\sigma}).$$

By taking the inverse, this gives the formula in the statement.

**Theorem 3.2.** The family of variables

$$\left\{ X_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} p_{ia,jb} \right\} \subset A_s(n^2)$$

has the same law as the family of variables $\{u^2_{ij}\} \subset A_o(n)$.

*Proof.* We use the Weingarten formula, which gives

$$\int X^k_{ij} = \sum_{\pi,\sigma \in NC_2(2k)} n^{|\tilde{\pi}|+|\tilde{\sigma}|-k}W_{NC(k),n^2}(\tilde{\pi},\tilde{\sigma}),$$

$$\int u^{2k}_{ij} = \sum_{\pi,\sigma \in NC_2(2k)} W_{NC_2(2k),n}(\pi,\sigma).$$

By Lemma 3.1 the terms on the right are equal, and this gives the equality of laws for the individual variables. For the general statement, the proof is similar.

The variables $X_{ij}$ appearing in Theorem 3.2 have the following generalization.

**Definition 3.3.** The noncommutative random variable

$$X(n, m, N) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{ij} \in A_s(N)$$

is called free hypergeometric of parameters $(n, m, N)$. 
The terminology here comes from the fact that the variable $X'(n, m, N)$, defined as above, but over the algebra $C(S_n)$, follows a hypergeometric law of parameters $(n, m, N)$.

In general, the free hypergeometric laws seem to be quite difficult to compute. A first result in this direction, heavily relying on a result recently obtained in [4], is as follows.

**Theorem 3.4.** The moments of $X(n, n, n^2)$ are given by

$$
\int X(n, n, n^2)^k dx = \frac{n^k}{(n+1)^k} \cdot \frac{q+1}{q-1} \cdot \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{r=-k-1}^{k+1} (-1)^r \left( \frac{2k+2}{k+r+1} \right) \frac{r}{1+q^r},
$$

where $q \in [-1, 0)$ is given by $q = \frac{1}{q} \left( \frac{1}{q} - 1 \right)$.

**Proof.** First, $X(n, n, n^2)/n$ is the variable $X_{ij}$ appearing in Theorem 3.2, having the same law as the variable $u_{ij}^2 \in A_o(n)$. Now it is known from [11] that $A_o(n)$ is monoidally equivalent to $C(SU_q(2))$, and, as explained in [4], one can use this fact for modelling $u_{ij} \in A_o(n)$ by a certain variable over $SU_q(2)$. This latter variable can be studied by using advanced calculus methods, and this leads to the above formula. See [4]. □

As a first observation, the above result, or rather a version of it, namely Theorem 5.3 in [4], shows that the variables $X(n, n, n^2)$ superconverge with $n \to \infty$.

For more about the superconvergence phenomenon in free probability, see Bercovici and Voiculescu [7].

The second result, which we would like to present now, is an exploration of the basic asymptotic properties of the free hypergeometric laws.

**Theorem 3.5.** The free hypergeometric laws have the following properties:

1. Let $n, m, N \to \infty$, with $\frac{nm}{N} \to \lambda \in (0, \infty)$. Then the law of $X(n, m, N)$ converges to the free Poisson law of parameter $\lambda$.
2. Let $n, m, N \to \infty$, with $\frac{n}{N} \to \nu \in (0, 1)$ and $\frac{m}{N} \to 0$. Then the law of $S(n, m, N) = (X(n, m, N) - m\nu)/\sqrt{m\nu(1-\nu)}$ converges to a $(0, 1)$-semicircle law.

**Proof.** (1) From the Weingarten formula, we have

$$
\int X(n, m, N)^p dx = \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in NC(p)} W_{NC(p), N}(\pi, \sigma)n^{||\pi||}m^{||\sigma||}.
$$

Now, as explained for instance in [12], we have

$$
W_{NC(p), N}(\pi, \sigma) = \begin{cases} 
N^{-||\pi||} + O(N^{-||\pi||-1}), & \pi = \sigma, \\
O(N^{||\pi\sigma|| - ||\pi|| - ||\sigma||}), & \pi \neq \sigma.
\end{cases}
$$

It follows that we have

$$
W_{NC(p), N}(\pi, \sigma)n^{||\pi||}m^{||\sigma||} \to \begin{cases} 
\lambda^{||\pi||}, & \pi = \sigma, \\
0, & \pi \neq \sigma.
\end{cases}
$$

Thus the $p$-th moment of $X(n, m, N)$ converges to $\sum_{\pi \in NC(p)} \lambda^{||\pi||}$, which is the $p$-th moment of the free Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda$, and we are done.
(2) We need to show that the free cumulants satisfy

$$\kappa^{(p)}[S(n, m, N), \ldots, S(n, m, N)] \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1, & p = 2, \\ 0, & p \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

The case $p = 1$ is trivial, so suppose $p \geq 2$. We have

$$\kappa^{(p)}[S(n, m, N), \ldots, S(n, m, N)] = (mu(1-\nu))^{-p/2} \kappa^{(p)}[X(n, m, N), \ldots, X(n, m, N)].$$

On the other hand, from the Weingarten formula, we have

$$\kappa^{(p)}[X(n, m, N), \ldots, X(n, m, N)] = \sum_{w \in NC(p)} \mu_p(w, 1_p) \prod_{V \in w} \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in NC(V)} W_{NC(V), N}(\pi_V, \sigma_V) n^{||\pi_V||} \sigma_V^{||\sigma_V||}$$

$$= \sum_{w \in NC(p)} \mu_p(w, 1_p) \prod_{V \in w} \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in NC(V)} (N^{-||\pi_V||}\mu_{|V|}(\pi_V, \sigma_V) + O(N^{-||\pi_V||-1})) n^{||\pi_V||} \sigma_V^{||\sigma_V||} \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in NC(p), \pi \leq \sigma} \mu_p(w, 1_p).$$

We now use the following standard identity:

$$\sum_{w \in NC(p), \pi \leq \sigma} \mu_p(w, 1_p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \sigma = 1_p, \\ 0, & \sigma \neq 1_p. \end{cases}$$

This gives the following formula for the cumulants:

$$\kappa^{(p)}[X(n, m, N), \ldots, X(n, m, N)] = m \sum_{\pi \in NC(p)} (N^{-||\pi||}\mu_p(\pi, 1_p) + O(N^{-||\pi||-1})) n^{||\pi||}.$$

It follows that for $p \geq 3$ we have, as desired:

$$\kappa^{(p)}[S(n, m, N), \ldots, S(n, m, N)] \rightarrow 0.$$

As for the remaining case $p = 2$, here we have

$$\kappa^{(2)}[S(n, m, N), S(n, m, N)] \rightarrow \frac{1}{\nu(1-\nu)} \sum_{\pi \in NC(2)} \nu^{||\pi||} \mu_2(\pi, 1_2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\nu(1-\nu)} (\nu - \nu^2)$$

$$= 1.$$

This gives the result. \qed

4. Concluding remarks

We have seen in this paper that Wang’s quantum automorphism groups in [18] are subject to some general twisting results, and that these results are of relevance in the general context of Voiculescu’s free probability theory [16]. Several questions arise:
What is the most general twisting result for quantum automorphism groups? An answer here is $A_{\text{aut}}(H_\sigma) \cong A_{\text{aut}}(H)^\sigma$, for any finite dimensional Hopf algebra $H$. This result, whose proof is much more technical, will be discussed somewhere else.

Besides the quantum rotation/permutation application, is there any other concrete, probabilistic application of our general twisting result? One interesting example here seems to be $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)^n$, leading to the algebra $M_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})$.

Can one include the monoidal equivalence used in [1] into the above considerations? The point is that the variable $u_{ij} \in A_n(n)$ has the same law as a certain variable over $SU_q(2)$, where $q + q^{-1} = -n$, so the result in [1] can probably be stated and proved by using $A_n(n^2)$ and $SU_q(2)$ only. However, it is not clear how to do so.

Are there any other free hypergeometric laws that can be explicitly computed by using $A_n(F)$? In principle the answer here is no, first because of the concluding remarks in [1], and second because of the “no-atoms” results of Voigt in [17].

Do we have superconvergence, in the sense of Bercovici and Voiculescu [7], to the limiting distributions in Theorem 3.5? Note that in the case $n = m = \sqrt{N}$ the superconvergence appears indeed, as explained after Theorem 3.4.

Finally, let us point out the fact that, as explained in [6], the free hyperspherical laws appear in connection with the study of a number of interesting “noncommutative spaces”, such as the free and half-liberated spheres, or projective spaces. We do not know yet if the relation with the free hypergeometric laws, which we found in this paper, can be of help here, but we intend to come back to this question in some future work.
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