Mathematical representation by students in building relational understanding on concepts of area and perimeter of rectangle
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Representation is an important aspect of learners in building a relational understanding of mathematical concepts. But the ability of a mathematical representation of students in building relational understanding is still very limited. The purpose of this research is to description of mathematical representation of students who appear in building relational understanding on concept of area and perimeter of a rectangle. This research is a case study. The research instrument is tests and interviews. The participants in this study were Grade seven students. Two students are selected based on the characterization of the mathematical representations that appear. The study results a visual and symbolic representation used by students in building relational understanding on the concept of area and perimeter of a rectangle. Visual and symbolic representation suggests students to build relational understanding.
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INTRODUCTION

National Council of Teachers Mathematics (NCTM, 2000) explains that the learning process of mathematics should emphasize student to enable them to learn with understanding, actively building new knowledge from experience and knowledge. Effective mathematics learning requires students' understanding of what they know and what they need to learn and then provide challenge and support them to learn more. The understanding can be done by reviewing the results of the representation of the students in understanding and solving a mathematical problem. Representation is an important aspect of the learners in developing mathematical understanding. Each student has a different way of building understanding so it allows students to form various types of representations in understanding the concept. In NCTM (2000) there are five standard processes, namely problem solving, reasoning and proof, connection, communication and representation. Representation as one of the standard process, it is because the representation of the mathematical knowledge construction process that is very important to develop and optimize the ability to think. Besides mathematical object more abstract and require representation to understand mathematical ideas.
Representation is the disclosure of mathematical ideas by using various means such as spoken language, written language, symbols, pictures, diagrams, models, charts, or using physical member (Goldin, 2002). NCTM (2000) explains that the use of a mathematical representation forms, such as charts, graphs, tables and symbols as well as transition between the representation of an important capital in expressing mathematical thinking. Use of representation reinforces students' understanding to construct concepts and solve problems in mathematics (Tripathi, 2008; Stylianou, 2010; and BAL, 2014). So in the learning process of mathematical representation is one aspect that must be emphasized. This is due to the ability of a good representation of the students then students can expand its capabilities in solving mathematical problems. Research related to the importance of representation in mathematics, among others, performed by Dündar (2015), BAL (2014), Villegas (2009), these studies found that the ability of the student representation is the key to success in understanding mathematical concepts and problem solving.

The process of building a relational understanding can be perceived by connecting the mathematical concepts to the representation of images, symbols or words. Skemp (1976) describes relational understanding “knowing both what to do and why”. It can be interpreted that relational understanding is the understanding of the students to find out the procedures to be used and has the reason and be able to associate between mathematical concepts. According to Woodruff (2005) that to understanding seen as a phenomenon arising from the interaction of a relational perspective. Forms of understanding as it is called relational understanding type. Besides, Van de Walle et al. (2014) describe the relational understanding means that any concept or a new procedure not only learned, but also connect with the ideas that have been held in order to obtain connections rich ideas. While in one of the goals of mathematics courses in Indonesia in BSNP (2006: 148) states that learners should possess the ability to understand a mathematical concept, explain the link between concepts and apply concepts or algorithms, are flexible, accurate, efficient, and precise, in the breakdown problem.

Learning mathematics towards achieving the relational understanding generating meaningful learning for students. The main purpose of teaching is to reach an understanding which help students to develop a relational understanding of mathematical ideas. Due to the development of relational understanding is infinite and more complex as someone making connections between ideas, understanding of this type requires a longer time and must have a purpose in every teaching (Van de Walle et al. 2014:5). While based on insights from developmental psychology, understanding of relational roles for children in learning mathematical next(Langhorst and Fritz, 2012; Krajewski and Schneider, 2009; Resnick, 1983) in Tubach and Nührenbörger (2014). Thus, relational understanding is one of the objects that are very important in learning mathematics. The studies related to relational understanding have been conducted by: Sahin et al. (2014), Keene et al. (2011), Beswick (2005) and Weber (2002). This article highlights the problems of mathematical representation which are done by students in building a relational understanding through mathematical problem solving. The study related to the measurement of the material is still very limited. The study of the measurement is important in mathematics curriculum from kindergarten through high school because of the usefulness and application of measurement covering aspects very much in our daily lives (NCTM, 2000). The ideas related to the concept of area and perimeter of a rectangle is presented in Figure 1.

METHOD

This research uses a case study design. According to Creswell (2009) "is a strategy case studies that explore in depth investigation of the program, activities, processes, one or more individuals". Cases in this study are related to the phenomenon of a mathematical representation of students in building a relational understanding in resolving issues raised in the matter of measurement. Subjects in this study were students in grade 7 who were selected on the basis of considerations have spoken and written communication skills are good. To obtain a mathematical representation, individual subjects were asked to solve the problem as outlined on the worksheet. The problem is solved by the subject is "known area of a rectangle is 32 cm². Pictures and specify rectangular perimeter equal to the perimeter of the rectangle". After completing the subject matter were interviewed to explore linkages between concepts based on the results of his work. The study involved two subjects were selected based on the characterization of mathematical representations that appear. Characterization of mathematical representations that appear is the visual representation embodied in the form of pictures and symbols embodied representation formula for the area and perimeter of a rectangle.

RESULTS

The results of this study report the results of the work of students in solving mathematical problems in measuring material. The results of the student's work are characterized in order to obtain two things: the visual representation embodied in the form of images and symbolic representations are realized formula for the area and perimeter of a rectangle.

The visual representation

The visual representation embodied subject 1 (S1) to draw a few rectangles. The rectangular image obtained after the subject to understand the meaning of the
The ideas related to the concept of area and perimeter of a rectangle.

Visual representation in problem solving.

The process of problem solving of the subject is figured out from the students’ drawing of a few rectangles, determine the square footage that corresponds to problem solving, determining perimeter (solution of problems), determine the size of the rectangular perimeter equal to the perimeter size of the rectangle on the problem solution. Visual representation of S1 in solving the problem is presented in Figure 2.

The symbolic representation

Symbolic representation embodied subject 2 (S2) by writing a formula for the area and perimeter of a rectangle. Formula area and perimeter of rectangles appear after the subject understand the significance of the problem. The process of resolving the problem of the subject is to write the formula area of a rectangle and determine the value of the length and width, based on the length and width of a predetermined subject entering into the formula around the rectangle to obtain the perimeter of a rectangle (solution of problems), then the subject determine the size rectangles perimeter the same length with rectangle size on the problem solution. S2 mathematical representations to solve problems are presented in Figures 3 and 4.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study associate with a framework of
relational understanding research done by Keene et al. (2011), in his research that there are six stages of relational understanding, namely: 1) Students can guess the results of using the procedure without having to actually do it and they can expect the relationship of the results expected on the results of other procedures. 2) Students can identify with the right to use a specific procedure. 3) Students can correctly use the whole procedure or the selected step in the procedure. Students understand the reason why all the procedures involved. 4) Students understand the reasons why the procedure works as a whole. 5) Students can symbolically or graphics verify the correctness of the result which is claimed as the procedure without repeating the procedure. 6) Students can make connections and is represented in the form of numerical, graphical and symbolic. Furthermore, Keene explained that if students have developed their understanding on the procedures they already have relational understanding. Description of these results are outlined in Table 1.

With reference framework developed by the relational understanding Keene et al. (2011), subjects with a visual representation (S1) do not have a relational understanding of the concept of area and perimeter of a rectangle. Besides it, there is something unique that is found in S1 in providing a visual representation. In resolving the problem S1 draw 10 rectangles are almost the same. Then S1 determines the length and width of the rectangle in order to obtain a rectangular circumference of 24 cm. In giving the size (length and width), S1 did not notice a rectangular shape in order to obtain a rectangular image that is almost the same with different sizes even rectangle size (6 cm long, 6 cm wide) picture is almost the same with the other rectangle shape (Figure 5). Further, the researcher uncovered it through the interview as follows:

Q: Does the size you give on rectangular images have been appropriate?
S1: It is.
Q: Let's see a rectangular image (8 and 4 cm) and (10 and 2 cm). Is the same length 8 cm by 10 cm? Is the same 4 cm long by 2 cm ?.
S1: Emmm different ...
Q: Why is the picture almost the same? Take a look at the rectangle (6cm and 6cm) is a different size?
S1: Earlier I was engrossed in drawing a rectangle, I think that figure does not matter.

The results of the interview above shows that the visual representation embodied by S1 or rectangular in shape
| No | Stages of Relational Understanding                                                                 | Mathematical Representation by Subject                                                                 |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|    | Students can guess the results of using the procedure without having to actually do it and they can expect the relationship of the results expected on the results of other procedures. | Subject can guess length (8 cm) and width (4 cm) from the area of a rectangle on the problems presented. From the results of these allegations, the subject relates to the concept of a rectangular perimeter. | Subject can guess rectangle size (length and width) by entering the size of the rectangular perimeter formula. |
| 1  | Students can identify with the right to use a specific procedure.                                   | Subject can identify procedures (perimeter rectangular) and use it appropriately.                          | Subject were able to identify problems, may determine and use the formula for the area and perimeter of a rectangle (area and perimeter formulas written). |
|    | Students can correctly use the whole procedure or the selected step in the procedure.               | Subject can use the procedure (rectangular perimeter formula) to resolve the problem.                     | The results of the identification of the problem, the subject can determine the size (length and width) of the area of the rectangle. Based on this subject can associate with a perimeter of a rectangle and use the rectangular perimeter formula to obtain the value of the perimeter of the rectangle. |
| 2  | Students understand the reasons why the procedure works as a whole.                                 | Subject to understand the problem solving process, involving linkage length and width of the rectangle. | Subjects may explain the link between the length and width of the rectangle to complete the area and perimeter of a rectangle. |
|    | Students can symbolically or graphics verify the correctness of the result which is claimed as the procedure without repeating the procedure. | When verifying the use of rectangular perimeter formula to solve the problem, the subject did not verify his work carefully and thoroughly. Because there is a rectangular figure that is not right between a rectangular figure and size. | When verifying the results of the work by using a rectangular perimeter formula to solve the problem, the subject does not verify symbolic or graphics, but only to check back with rereading. |
| 4  | Students can make connections and is represented in the form of numerical, graphical and symbolic.   | Subject can make connections between mathematical ideas obtained through the identification of problems (length and width) to determine the perimeter of the rectangle. The results of these connections are represented in visual form (picture). Visual representation of the subject shown a visual representation is inaccurate. Subject only using a visual representation as a tool to determine the perimeter of the rectangle, without regard to the correspondence between the figure and size. | Subject can make connections between mathematical ideas obtained through the identification of problems (length and width) to determine the circumference of the rectangle. The results of these connections is represented in the form of verbal (written) and visual (pictures). |
Figure 5. Representation inaccurate on the size of the rectangle.

Figure 6. Symbolic representation by S2.

and size, is inaccurate. S1 only using a visual representation as a tool to make it easier to determine the perimeter of the rectangle. These results supported the research Boonen, et al. (2014) found in the schematic representation that there are inaccurate visual mistakes in drawing or in which some parts are missing. The subject to the symbolic representation (S2) has a relational understanding to the concept of area and perimeter of a rectangle. The S2 is able to determine the procedure or formula for the area and perimeter of a rectangle that is obtained when identifying problems. Formula area and perimeter of a rectangle are represented symbolically (written). With a symbolic representation of S2, it determines the length and width of the rectangle to solve problems (Figure 6).

Additionally, the symbolic representation, in solving the problems S2 also has a visual representation. This is shown by drawing a rectangle with the same size but with different positions (Figure 7). Results of visual representation by S2 indicates that the S2 attention to the concept of measuring the length and width of the rectangle to the right. S2 uses a visual representation and the size of the rectangle to help determine the circumference of the rectangle. The visual representation shows that S2 is quite accurate.

Conclusion

The results obtained in this study indicate that the subject of representation used in building relational understanding on the concept of area and perimeter of a rectangle is a visual and symbolic representation. Subjects with visual and symbolic representation can build relational understanding. Although, the visual representation displayed by the subject on the concept of measurement is inaccurate. The visual representation is inaccurate by reason of any error committed in making the subject representations. If this is ignored indeed affects the problem-solving skills and relational understanding students’ mastery. This is a problem that needs to be studied by other researchers on the next studies, because the mathematical representation is the key to success in understanding mathematical concepts and
problem solving.
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