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ABSTRACT

We present deep HST/ACS images and Keck spectroscopy of MC2 1635+119, a QSO hosted by a galaxy previously classified as an undisturbed elliptical galaxy. Our new images reveal dramatic shell structure indicative of a merger event in the relatively recent past. The brightest shells in the central regions of the host are distributed alternately in radius, with at least two distinct shells on one side of the nucleus and three on the other, out to a distance of ~13 kpc. The light within the five shells comprises ~6% of the total galaxy light. Lower surface brightness ripples or tails and other debris extend out to a distance of ~65 kpc. A simple N-body model for a merger reproduces the inner shell structure and gives an estimate for the age of the merger of between ~30 Myr and ~1.7 Gyr, depending on a range of reasonable assumptions. While the inner shell structure is suggestive of a minor merger, the total light contribution from the shells and extended structures is more indicative of a major merger. The spectrum of the host galaxy is dominated by a population of intermediate age (~1.4 Gyr), indicating a strong starburst episode that may have occurred at the time of the merger event. We speculate that the current QSO activity may have been triggered in the recent past by either a minor merger, or by debris from an older (~Gyr) major merger that is currently "raining" back into the central regions of the merger remnant.

Subject headings: galaxies: active — galaxies: evolution — galaxies: interactions — quasars: general — quasars: individual (MC2 1635+119)

1. INTRODUCTION

The nature of QSO host galaxies has been debated for over four decades. Although the terms of the debate have gradually evolved during this time, there has been some progress. We now know, for example, that the majority of luminous low-redshift QSOs, whether radio-loud or radio-quiet, reside in the centers of galaxies that have relaxed light distributions, such as elliptical galaxies (e.g., Disney et al. 1995; Bahcall et al. 1997; Dunlop et al. 2003; Floyd et al. 2004). This result ties in nicely with the strong correlation, determined from galaxies with inactive black holes, between supermassive black hole mass and spheroid velocity dispersion (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000): QSOs occur in the sorts of galaxies known to have the most massive central black holes.

At the present epoch, only a tiny fraction of galaxies with massive spheroids show luminous QSO activity. The very steep evolution of QSO activity with redshift indicates that this ingredient was necessary to produce QSO activity and that this ingredient was much more common in the early history of the universe. It has often been speculated that the mechanism underlying this evolution is the sudden inflow of gas to the center brought about by strong interactions or mergers. There has long been a fair amount of circumstantial evidence to support this idea (see, e.g., Stockton 1999 and references therein), yet such arguments are by no means conclusive.

The debate about the nature of QSO host galaxies presently centers on the question of how significant tidal interactions are for QSOs generally. Do most QSOs at the current epoch begin their lives as mergers, or do most QSOs simply occur in old elliptical galaxies to which nothing very interesting has happened recently?

We are conducting a coordinated study with Keck spectroscopy and Hubble Space Telescope (HST) imaging of classical QSO host galaxies to investigate whether such hosts are truly quiescent elliptical galaxies with ancient stellar populations, or whether they are the results of mergers in the more recent past and have assumed elliptical morphologies only as a result of violent relaxation due to the mergers.

Elliptical hosts formed through mergers would be expected to show fine structure indicative of past tidal interactions, such as shells and ripples. Studies of nearby merger remnants (e.g., Schweizer & Seitzer 1992; Schweizer et al. 1990) indicate that such structure can in general be detected even a few Gyr after the last major merger event.

To look for any potential fine structure, we recently obtained very deep HST Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) images in a pilot study of five classical QSO host galaxies. In this paper, we present results for the first object, MC2 1635+119. The remaining four objects will be discussed in a subsequent paper (N. Bennert et al. 2008, in preparation).

The host galaxy of MC2 1635+119 (z = 0.146; 1″ ~ 2520 pc for ΩΛ = 0.7, Ωm = 0.3, and H0 = 71 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹) was first described by Hutchings et al. (1988) as having "slightly elliptical amorphous structure" with a luminosity profile that does not follow a simple exponential or r¹/⁴ law. Several companions are seen in
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the optical images (Hutchings et al. 1988; Malkan 1984), as well as in the IR (Dunlop et al. 1993), without any clear signs of interaction (Hutchings et al. 1988). McLure et al. (1999) compare fits to the host galaxy using an exponential disk and a de Vaucouleurs spheroid model and conclude that the host more closely resembles an elliptical galaxy. Regarding the stellar contents, Nolan et al. (2001) estimate an age of 12 Gyr for the dominant stellar population in the host galaxy from off-nuclear spectra. (2001) estimate an age of 12 Gyr for the dominant stellar population in the host galaxy from off-nuclear spectra. The final spectrum corresponds roughly to a region 2 pixels on the Tektronix 2048 × 2048 CCD of LRIS-R. The slit position angle (P.A.) was 57°, placed roughly along the semimajor axis of the host galaxy and going through the QSO nucleus. The object was observed near transit, so the effects of differential atmospheric refraction were minimized.

The host galaxy spectrum was reduced using standard procedures. A scaled version of the QSO spectrum was subtracted from that of the host galaxy; the spectrum was scaled by measuring the amount of flux in broad lines in the spectrum of the host. The final spectrum corresponds roughly to a region 2 pixels from the nucleus on either side of the QSO and has a signal-to-noise ratio of ~20. The spectrum was then modeled by performing least-squares fits to the data using preliminary S. Charlot & A. G. Bruzual (2008, in preparation) and Maraston (2005) population synthesis models, as described in § 6. Both the models and the observed spectrum were rebinned to the same spectral resolution.

Imaging observations were obtained using the ACS Wide Field Channel (WFC) on board the HST with the broad V-band F606W filter (Δλ = 2342 Å; 1 pixel corresponds to 0.05′′). We obtained five sets of dithered images, each with four subsets of 550–586 s exposures, yielding a total integration time of 11,432 s.

We recalibrated the data manually, starting from the pipeline flat-fielded individual exposures to improve the bias subtraction; i.e., to correct the offset (of a few DNs) between the adjacent quadrants that is still present in the final product of CALACS (Pavlovsky et al. 2005). We then used MultiDrizzle (Koekemoer et al. 2002) to combine the individual images, using the default values, bits = 8578, as well as a delta shift file containing the offsets between the images as determined from stars within the field of view (FOV). The final distortion-corrected image is shown in Figure 1, where the host galaxy shows clear shell structure.

3. IMAGE PROCESSING

To enhance and analyze any fine structure that might be present, we applied various methods such as unsharp masking and creating a so-called structure map (Pogge & Martini 2002), as well as subtracting a central point-spread function (PSF) for the QSO and a host galaxy model making use of GALFIT (Peng et al. 2002). All of these different approaches confirm the existence of distinctive shells in the host galaxy (Fig. 2), and we discuss each of them in turn.

To create an unsharp-masked image, we divided the final image f by the value of f convolved with a Gaussian function of σ = 5 pixels (G):

\[ f_{\text{unsharp}} = \frac{f}{f \otimes G}. \]

The structure map was derived by dividing f by the PSF-smoothed image (f ⊗ P) and then convolving this ratio with the transpose of the PSF (P′):

\[ f_{\text{structure}} = \frac{f}{f \otimes P} \otimes P'. \]

This process enhances unresolved or slightly resolved structures on the scale of the PSF by removing the smooth light distribution on larger scales (Pogge & Martini 2002).

A PSF image is needed for both the structure map and modeling with GALFIT. Therefore, we created an artificial PSF from TinyTim9 (Version 6.3) at the same position as our object, as well as a “real” PSF using a star on an ACS WFC F606W image. This image was obtained by searching the HST archive for a suitable star at roughly the same chip position as the QSO and with a high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). We found a star with a S/N of 20,000

9 Available at http://www.stsci.edu/software/tinytim/tinytim.html.
at <30 pixels away from the position corresponding to the QSO that was observed on 20 dithered images with a total exposure time of ~8100 s (GO-9433, data sets j6mf19* and j6mf21*). We processed these images in the same manner as described above for our data.

In order to minimize introducing additional noise into the PSF subtraction and convolution operations, we first eliminated a few faint objects surrounding the PSF. Then, depending on the data values compared to the standard deviation $s$ of the surrounding sky, we modified the PSF image as follows: (1) for data values $>7s$, we retained the unmodified PSF; (2) for data values between $3s$ and $7s$, we smoothed the image with a Gaussian kernel with $\sigma = 0.5$ pixels; (3) for smaller data values, we smoothed with a Gaussian kernel with $\sigma = 2.0$ pixels; finally, (4) for data values that were $<1s$ after this last operation, we replaced the value with 0.

To probe the quality of the two different PSFs, we subtracted them from both saturated and unsaturated stars within our FOV using GALFIT: the real PSF star gave significantly better results
than the TinyTim PSF. From this exercise, we also determined that the central region with a radius of $\sim 1.7''$ is strongly affected by the PSF subtraction because the QSO nucleus was saturated; any structure seen within this region is likely an artifact.

The best enhancement of the shell structure was obtained using GALFIT (Fig. 2), a two-dimensional galaxy fitting program capable of fitting simultaneously one or more objects in an image with different model light distributions (such as Sérsic [1968], de Vaucouleurs [1948], or exponential; Peng et al. 2002). Briefly, our adopted procedure was as follows. First we created a mask to exclude the saturated pixels in the center, the diffraction spikes, any surrounding bright objects, and the shells themselves, in order to fit only the smooth underlying host galaxy light distribution. Then a (“real”) PSF, as well as several Sérsic functions, were fitted. In GALFIT, the Sérsic power law is defined as

$$\Sigma(r) = \Sigma_e \exp\left[-\frac{r}{r_e}\right],$$

where $\Sigma_e$ is the pixel surface brightness at the effective radius $r_e$ (Peng et al. 2002) and $n$ is the Sérsic index ($n = 4$ for a de Vaucouleurs profile; $n = 1$ for an exponential profile). In addition, we fitted the bright neighbor to the south of the QSO with a Sérsic function. In all steps, the background sky was fitted simultaneously. This least-squares fit was then subtracted from the original image to gain the residual image, enhancing all structure that lies on top of the smooth host galaxy light distribution.

When we used a single component for the host galaxy, the best fit was achieved with a Sérsic function of index $n = 5.5$. This fit was marginally better (only a few percent in $\chi^2$) than the fit achieved using a de Vaucouleurs profile. On the other hand, the fit resulting from an exponential profile was much worse (roughly 50% in $\chi^2$). This finding is in agreement with the results by McClure et al. (1999), who determined that the host galaxy of MC2 1635+119 is better fitted by a de Vaucouleurs profile than by an exponential profile.

The fit improved substantially, however, when two components were included instead of one. Using two Sérsic functions, the best result was achieved when one had an index of $n = 4$, which corresponds to a de Vaucouleurs profile, and the other had an index of $n = 0.91$, which corresponds nearly to an exponential disk; this fit is shown in Figure 2 and listed in Table 1. If, instead, the index of one of the Sérsic components was fixed to $n = 1$ (exponential), the best fit was achieved when the other component was close to a de Vaucouleurs profile (with index $n = 4.6$; Table 1). Therefore, we conclude that the host galaxy is well modeled by a de Vaucouleurs spheroid plus an exponential disk that makes up roughly one-fourth of the light in the surface brightness profile, as detailed in Table 1. In that table, we also list results for the fit using a de Vaucouleurs profile only in order to compare our results with those of Dunlop et al. (2003), and we find that our results are very similar to theirs. However, as Figure 2 shows, the resulting model-subtracted image using only a de Vaucouleurs profile has residuals that are significantly larger than those obtained when we use a two-component model.

4. SHELL STRUCTURE AND LUMINOSITY

In Figure 3 we show a residual image of MC2 1639+119 indicating the position of the different tidal features that we identify. The central circle with a radius of 1.7'' corresponds to the area most affected by the saturated PSF; any features within this area may be artifacts of the PSF subtraction. Unfortunately, this prevents us from reliably detecting any shells or other structure that may be present in that region.

The arcs labeled $a$–$e$ in Figure 3 are all segments of circles centered on the galaxy, emphasizing the regularity of the interleaved shells. The projected radii of these shells are roughly 6.6, 7.6, 8.3, 10.0, and 12.5 kpc, respectively. This set of bright shells is closely aligned with the semimajor axis of the host galaxy, at P.A. $\sim 54^\circ$. The shell system shows roughly a biconical structure, although the edges of this putative bicone do not intersect at the center of the host galaxy. Shell $e$ shows a discontinuity west of the QSO that may be due to obscuration by dust, or the shell may be made of two or more components. A set of lower surface brightness shells or ripples ($f$, $g$, and $h$) with seemingly different (greater) ellipticities are seen roughly perpendicular to the first set, both northwest and southeast of the nucleus.

Further out to the northeast, there is an arclike feature ($i$) extending out to a projected distance of $\sim 32$ kpc. Other faint tails or wisps are seen in the same region ($j$). Finally, a much larger, faint, and diffuse feature resembling either a shell or some tidal tail ($k$) is visible at $\sim 65$ kpc west of the nucleus. While this feature is very faint, we are confident that it is real, particularly as this feature is also visible in a WFPC2 archival image (GO-6776) when the image is median-filtered and Gaussian-smoothed.

To estimate the luminosity within the shells compared to the total luminosity of the galaxy, we created a mask that includes all the light within an annulus with an inner radius of 1.7'' and an outer radius of 6.8'', but that at the same time excludes the diffraction spikes, as well as several additional light sources from apparent companions. Note that the outer radius was chosen to be $3r_{\text{eff}}$, the effective radius.
where \( r_{\text{eff}} \) was determined from a single de Vaucouleurs fit (see Table 1). The image was multiplied by this mask (good = 1, bad = 0), and the total counts in the product were summed. This was done both for the GALFIT residual image\footnote{computed the ratio \( f_{\text{shells}}/f_{\text{galaxy}} \). This yields the fractional luminosity of the shells between 1.7" and 6.8" radius as ~6% of the host galaxy light (within the same annulus). This estimated percentage may be smaller or larger than the true percentage, depending on whether there are any shells within the radius affected by the PSF subtraction or not. Note that the percentage given refers to the total flux within the shells out to 13 kpc. However, the local contrast between the shells and the galaxy (as estimated by dividing the residual image by the GALFIT model) varies between 5% and 20% and reaches 50% in shell \( e \).

5. TIME CONSTRAINTS FROM TIDAL STRUCTURE

As described above, the host galaxy of MC2 1635+119 reveals spectacular structure of regular and aligned shells on projected radii of 5–13 kpc. Similar shells are observed in some local giant elliptical galaxies (e.g., Malin & Carter 1983; Schweizer 1980; Sikkema et al. 2007) and are interpreted as remnants of a merger event. It has been shown that the mergers that produce shell-like structure can be either minor (Quinn 1984, hereafter Q84) or major (e.g., Hernquist & Spergel 1992). In this section, we discuss both scenarios in the context of the morphology and physical size of the shells and structure we detect, with the aim of placing constraints on the age of the tidal interaction that formed them.

5.1. Minor Merger

We first consider the case of a minor merger, since it allows for the simplest physical interpretation of the data. In this scenario, the system of regular concentric shells, confined within a finite range in azimuth, can result from the merger of a smaller galaxy (either spiral or elliptical) with a large elliptical galaxy along a nearly radial orbit (Q84; Dupraz & Combes 1986; Hernquist & Quinn 1988, 1989).

The shell formation mechanism works as follows: during the merger, stars from the smaller galaxy are captured by the massive galaxy and start to oscillate in its potential well. Since stars spend most of the time near the apocenters of their orbits (where their radial velocities go to zero), a relative enhancement of the stellar density (a shell) forms there. The first shell is formed by captured stars that were initially in orbits with the smallest oscillation period; i.e., those with the smallest apocenter distance.

As time goes on, the shortest period stars move away from apocenter, while stars with slightly longer periods reach their apocenter at a slightly larger galactocentric distance. Due to a continuous range of oscillation periods, the first shell appears to propagate radially outward while its stellar content progressively changes: it is thus a radially propagating stellar density wave. A new traveling shell appears every time the shortest period stars complete another oscillation period. After several oscillations, the massive elliptical galaxy reveals a system of shells in which the outermost shell is the oldest, since this is the shell that formed first. This scenario gives a simple relation between the radius of this shell and the time of its formation.

We have constructed a simple N-body model that reproduces, at least qualitatively, the brightest shells observed in MC2 1635+119. The N-body model uses the same technique as that used by Q84 and Hernquist & Quinn 1988. In this model, the secondary (a smaller galaxy) moves on a radial orbit and is assumed to be disrupted instantaneously by the tidal forces of the primary (a massive elliptical galaxy) after the first passage through the center of the primary. This corresponds to abruptly lowering the secondary’s mass to zero, after which the test particles move in the potential of the primary alone. Thus, dynamical friction is assumed to be unimportant, and the model should only be considered as a zeroth-order description of the collision.

We assumed a radial orbit with an initial separation between galaxies arbitrarily chosen to be 90 kpc (5–18 times the scale length of the primary). The initial infall velocity of the secondary was set equal to the escape velocity for the potential of the primary.

We simulated the merger using (1) a de Vaucouleurs profile and (2) a Plummer sphere (corresponding to a Moffat’s \( n = 2 \) surface brightness profile). Since the goal of these simulations was to provide only a first-order estimate of the merger timescale, we did not attempt to use more realistic composite density profiles of luminous and dark matter. We used effective radii ranging from 5 to 20 kpc; this range spans values for \( r_{\text{eff}} \) found by Dunlop et al. (2003), Taylor et al. (1996), and our own work (all corrected to
the cosmology used in this paper). The mass of the giant elliptical galaxy was taken to be $3.2 \times 10^{11} \, M_\odot$ (Dunlop et al. 2003), although we allowed for a range of masses up to $3.2 \times 10^{12} \, M_\odot$ in order to account for a dark matter halo. The secondary-to-primary mass ratio and scale length ratio were both fixed to 0.1; we note that while the precise choice of these two ratios is arbitrary, they affect mainly the contrast of the shells, and not the timescales, as long as the primary dominates the potential.

Figure 4 shows our results for simulations using a Plummer surface brightness profile. The de Vaucouleurs model, which leads to lower contrast and more spherical shells, will be discussed in more detail in a subsequent paper (B. Jungwiert et al. 2008, in preparation) we will consider $N$-body simulations of this galaxy and of shell galaxies in general in more detail, focusing on different gravitational potentials, various mass ratios of colliding galaxies, dynamical friction, tidal stripping, and the fate of the gas.

5.2. Major Merger

While the numerical simulations described above can reproduce the morphology of the brightest shells in MC2 1635+119, they do not rule out the possibility that the shells might have been created by a major merger. Further, the model-subtracted images (Figs. 2 and 3) show features ($f$, $g$, and $h$) that are off-axis from the direction of the encounter implied by the inner shells. Additional tidal debris at different position angles is seen on much larger scales (features $i$, $j$, and $k$). It is difficult to explain how all this structure might have formed as a result of a minor merger, provided that a single interaction is responsible for all the features.

The fact that the inner shells appear to be closely aligned with the major axis of the host would also argue against a minor merger (see Hernquist & Spergel 1992 and references therein). Using numerical simulations, Hernquist & Spergel (1992) show that mergers between two disk galaxies of similar mass can form shells, loops, and ripples. In particular, their simulations are compared to NGC 3923, one of the best examples of a nearby elliptical galaxy with shells (Malin & Carter 1983). The system of shells of NGC 3923 ($z = 0.005801$) extends from distances close to the center (<2 kpc) out to $\approx 100$ kpc (Prieur 1988). The shells are distributed roughly in an hourglass shape with an opening angle of $\approx 60^\circ$. While most of the shells appear to be aligned with the major axis of the galaxy, the outermost shell does not, a feature that is nicely reproduced by the simulations by Hernquist & Spergel. These characteristics are similar to those observed in MC2 1635+119, although it should be noted that the structure of the inner shells in MC2 1635+119 is significantly more regular (nonintersecting and aligned) than that of the NGC 3923 shells or of the numerical simulations by Hernquist & Spergel (1992). However, the comparison does point out that a major merger could also have formed the shells seen in MC2 1635+119.

The amount of light observed in the shells may yield further clues to the nature of the merger. As mentioned in §4, the system of five bright shells comprises $\approx 6\%$ of the total luminosity of the galaxy. However, the shells contain only a fraction of the total number of stars that were originally part of the merging galaxy; i.e., those whose orbital velocities are near zero. Our numerical simulations and those of Hernquist & Spergel (1992) indicate that the stars in shells make up only one-fourth or less of the total mass of the companion. Therefore, if we assume that the mass-to-light ratio is similar in both galaxies, the intruder may make up about
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Our simple N-body model produces shells up to a mass ratio of
3:1 for the parent galaxies. We did not investigate smaller mass
ratios due to the increased complexity of such encounters. If we
assume that the “shell” at 65 kpc (shell k) formed through a similar
mechanism as that outlined in § 5.1, then the range of times-
scales of 100 Myr–1.7 Gyr would still hold for a major merger.
If, however, this feature was formed through the spatial wrapping
of, e.g., a tidal tail, then estimating a timescale becomes more com-
plex, since timescales become more heavily dependent on initial
conditions. As a reference, we note that simulations of the ma-
jor merger in The Mice (NGC 4676) by Barnes (2004) produce
a merger remnant somewhat similar to MC2 1635+119 at a time
close to 1 Gyr from the beginning of the merger event.

6. STELLAR POPULATIONS

Figure 5 shows the Keck LRIS spectrum of the host galaxy of
MC2 1635+119 in the rest frame, representing its integrated light
from 2" to 5" radius along the slit on either side of the nucleus
(see § 2). Since the slit was placed roughly in the direction of the
major axis of the host galaxy, the spectrum includes the brightest
shells in the host (Fig. 3, features a–e). The stellar component
has a redshift of $z_{\text{abs}} = 0.1474$ (measured from absorption lines),
which is equal to the redshift we measure from narrow emission
lines, but slightly higher than that of the broad emission lines
($z \sim 0.146$).

In order to model the spectrum, we used population synthe-
sis models by Maraston (2005) and the preliminary models by
S. Charlot & A. G. Bruzual (2008, in preparation). We chose these
two sets of models because they provide the best match to our
spectral resolution and they both include contributions from ther-
nally pulsating asymptotic giant branch stars, which are known
to be particularly important in intermediate-age (~1 Gyr) stellar
populations (Maraston 2005). Our original approach to analyz-
ing the spectrum was to assume a dominant old stellar population
(~12 Gyr) representing the population of the giant elliptical gal-
axy, with a smaller fraction of more recent star formation possi-
ibly triggered by the merger that formed the shells. Models that
include a very small fraction (~0.3%) of a young (~<50 Myr)
starburst and a dominant ancient population can produce a rough
fit to the continuum, but the fit to individual features such as Ca II
H and K and the CN band is rather poor. We tested spectral fits
using different metallicities ranging from 0.02 to 2 times solar
and found that solar metallicity models consistently yielded the
lowest $\chi^2$. The choice of the initial mass function (Chabrier 2003;
Kroupa 2001; Salpeter 1955) made little or no difference.

However, the best fit to the observed spectrum, including both
the continuum and stellar features, was achieved by adding a
large contribution from an intermediate-age starburst population
to the 12 Gyr model. A better fit was achieved with the S. Charlot
& A. G. Bruzual (2008, in preparation) models than with the
Maraston (2005) models, but both sets of models yielded similar
results. In the case of the S. Charlot & A. G. Bruzual (2008, in
preparation) models, the best fit (shown in Fig. 5) corresponds
to an intermediate-age population of 1.4 Gyr contributing 52%
of the total mass along the line of sight. The best fit using the
Maraston (2005) models is for an intermediate-age population of
1.0 Gyr contributing 45% of the total mass along the line of sight.
The real difference between the two models may be even smaller,
considering that the Maraston models provide a coarser age grid
(with steps in age at 1.0 and 1.5 Gyr) than the Charlot & Bruzual
models and the fact that the $\chi^2$ for the latter shows a shallow
minimum from ~1.2 to 1.9 Gyr (although the mass contribution
from the starburst increases steeply with age). In both cases, $\chi^2$
increases rapidly beyond 2.0 Gyr.

The determination of these intermediate-age components is
robust with respect to the choice of the model for the older popu-
lation: the same intermediate-age populations are obtained when
the older population is varied from 6 to 14 Gyr. If we use models
of metallicities lower than solar, a single population can be used
to fit the data, although the overall fit is significantly worse. In
this case, the oldest population that yields a reasonable fit is less
than 3 Gyr old. Single populations older than 4 Gyr yield poor
fits regardless of their metallicity or initial mass function. Although
it is possible that the spectrum may be somewhat reddened by dust,
it is unlikely that the age of the starburst component would be
significantly younger than 1 Gyr, given the absorption features
that we observe. Finally, an inaccurate subtraction of the QSO
contribution could affect the shape of the continuum. We tested
the effects of this by fitting spectra that were slightly oversubtracted
and undersubtracted. While the $\chi^2$ for these cases was some-
what larger, the age of the starburst for the best fit remained the
same.

Naturally, the number of possible combinations of populations
to model the spectrum of the host is large. We have kept our
analysis simple by testing only a limited number of possibilities
corresponding to physically plausible scenarios. Therefore, while
we cannot exclude more complex star formation histories, we are
clearly certain that (1) the dominant component of the stellar
population in the host of MC2 1635+119 is not ancient and (2) a
small percentage by mass of recent (less than a few hundred Myr)
star formation superposed on an old (~6 Gyr) population can be
ruled out, regardless of the age of the dominant population. In-
stead, the spectrum of the host of MC2 1635+119 is dominated
(at least in flux) by an intermediate-age population of 1–2 Gyr.

7. DISCUSSION

In agreement with previous observations, we have found that
the surface brightness profile of the galaxy hosting MC2 1635+
119 is closer to a de Vaucouleurs profile than an exponential
profile. However, our new ACS image reveals that a fainter exponential profile is also present, comprising up to one-fourth of the total luminosity. Moreover, our observations have uncovered a spectacular system of shells and other faint structure in the host galaxy at small and large scales, showing that the host is far from being undisturbed. We have also found that the stellar populations in the host galaxy seem to have a substantial contribution (~50% by mass) of an intermediate-age stellar population from a 1–2 Gyr old starburst.

While the large contribution of an intermediate-age population to the spectrum of the host galaxy of MC2 1635+119 is intriguing, it is by no means unusual. Recent studies of AGN host galaxies (e.g., Jahnke et al. 2004; Sanchez et al. 2004; Kauffmann et al. 2003; Canalizo et al. 2006) indicate that galaxies hosting the most luminous AGNs are often dominated by bulges whose colors are significantly bluer than those of inactive elliptical galaxies and are consistent with the presence of intermediate-age starbursts. On the basis of positions of the hosts in the D$_{4000}$/H$_{δ}$ plane, Kauffmann et al. (2003) suggest that these AGN hosts have had significant bursts of star formation in the past 1–2 Gyr.

Why do AGN host galaxies show these strong intermediate-age populations? And what is the physical connection, if any, between the putative ~Gyr old starburst and the nuclear activity? Understanding the nature of this relation is important because it could have implications for the triggering mechanisms and duty cycles of AGNs. Our study of MC2 1635+119 provides some clues that may be applicable to a larger population.

We now know that the host galaxy of MC2 1635+119 was unequivocally involved in a tidal encounter. Our rough estimates discussed in § 5 place the timescale for this encounter at less than ~1.7 Gyr, which could be compatible with the age of the major starburst. However, the large uncertainty in our estimate does not rule out the possibility of a substantially more recent event. We are also unable to discriminate between a major and a minor merger as the culprit for the shell structure that we observe. Our results give us enough information, however, to speculate on a couple of likely scenarios.

First, consider the case in which the inner shell structure was formed through the accretion of a low-mass companion (1/10th or less of the mass of the primary). The overall morphology that we observe would have to be caused by more than one event, and the fact that there was a dramatic episode of star formation more than 1 Gyr ago would argue for a past (major?) merger connected to the large-scale tidal debris. In that case, it is possible that the giant elliptical galaxy possessed a higher gas content as a result of the past merger event, and so the QSO activity was more readily triggered (or rejuvenated) in it by a minor merger than it would have been in a gas-poor elliptical galaxy. This may well be the case in Cygnus A, where an ongoing minor merger appears to be responsible for the shell structure that we observe. Our results give us enough information, however, to speculate on a couple of likely scenarios.

Consider now the alternative case, in which a major merger is responsible for both the starburst and all of the structure that we observe. This merger event would have occurred over 1 Gyr ago and would have likely (although not necessarily) triggered a first episode of accretion onto the black hole(s). Feedback from the QSO quenched any further star formation. If we assume that theoretical estimates for the duration of QSO activity are correct (e.g., 10$^7$–10$^8$ yr; Yu & Tremaine 2002), the QSO activity would have ceased as the merger continued its course and the morphology of the newly merged galaxies began to relax into the shape of an elliptical galaxy. Eventually, the extended tidal debris would "rain" back into the central regions of the galaxy, triggering a new episode of QSO activity. A time delay in the onset of QSO activity would be in agreement with predictions by hydrodynamic simulations of merging galaxies (see, e.g., Barnes 1998; Springel et al. 2005; Hopkins et al. 2007). These models frequently predict a second peak in star formation that also occurs much later in the merger. Since our spectroscopic observations exclude a radius of ~5 kpc around the nucleus, we would not have detected any recent star formation that may be present in the central regions of the host galaxy.

While these are interesting scenarios, they are, for the moment, no more than "guided" speculation. More complete N-body models, as well as high angular resolution spectroscopy to measure the kinematics of the stellar component, are needed to get a better handle on the kind of encounter that formed the observed structure. However, we will also need to study larger samples to attempt to answer more complex questions, such as the precise timing of the triggering of the QSO activity, which in turn should help answer questions regarding duty cycles and feedback.
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