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Tissue engineered fetal skin constructs for pediatric burns
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Abstract
The management of patients with partial thickness (second degree) burns is problematic due to the different treatments needed for varying depths of injury. A report recently published in The Lancet describes a novel treatment for deep second degree burns using a fetal skin construct (FSC). The authors included eight pediatric patients with small second degree burns. They showed that FSCs reduced the need for autografting of deep second degree burns, with little hypertrophy of new skin and no skin contraction. This technology is new and exciting, but in our opinion several issues must be addressed before FSCs can enter the clinical arena. All of the patients were included in the treatment group, and therefore no comparison with conventional skin substitutes was possible. There is no mention of the use of laser Doppler in any initial assessment of patients. The debridement carried out before application of the FSC is not elaborated upon, and the surface areas involved in the study were very small in most cases, which limits the relevance to patients with larger burns. The use of FSCs gives us an additional option in a range of possible treatments for this notoriously difficult-to-treat patient group.

Introduction
Partial thickness (second degree) burns involve all of the epidermis and some of the underlying dermis. Management and subsequent recovery depend on the amount of viable dermis remaining. A superficial partial thickness burn down to the papillary dermis will produce blistering, a painful pink wound bed with good capillary refill, and should heal with minimal amounts of hypertrophic scar formation in about 14–21 days. A deep partial thickness burn down to the reticular dermis will also produce blistering, but the wound bed may be paler and less painful, and there will be reduced or absent capillary refill. The wound will take longer than 21 days to heal, and the resulting scarring is likely to be poor, with significant wound contraction [1]. In the clinical setting the patient is unlikely to present with a wound that matches either of these descriptions, and so a thorough examination, together with use of laser Doppler, will help in the initial assessment of each wound. Considerable experience is needed to arrive at a clinical management decision.

Treatment of partial thickness burns
Established techniques
As outlined above, the initial management involves clean debridement of the blistered areas and thorough examination of the wound bed, along with laser Doppler imagery. Closing the wound quickly will help to reduce painful stimuli to the patient. Superficial partial thickness burns usually only require nonadherent dressings such as Mepitel™ (Mölnlycke Health Care, Newtown, PA, USA); mid-partial thickness burns require the use of dressings or occasionally skin substitutes such as Biobrane™ (Bertek Pharmaceuticals Inc, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA) or TransCyte™ (Advanced Tissue Sciences, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Mepitel™ is a porous, semitransparent, low-adherence wound contact layer that consists of a flexible polyamide net coated with soft silicone. The silicone coating is slightly tacky, which facilitates the application and retention of the dressing to the peri-wound area. The dressing is placed directly onto the wound and secured with a bandage. Follow up is then normally carried out in the clinic every 48–72 hours until the wound is healed, typically 14–21 days.

Biobrane™ is a biocomposite dressing made from an ultrathin, semipermeable silicone membrane mechanically bonded to a flexible knitted trifilament nylon fabric. Purified peptides derived from porcine dermal collagen are bonded to the dressing to give an adherent, hydrophilic dressing. It is applied under aseptic conditions immediately following debridement of the burn wound. The wound may be inspected through the dressing when the outer bandage is replaced. Biobrane™ is usually removed after 7–14 days once the underlying tissue is healed.
TransCyte™ is another type of skin substitute that is used in the treatment of mid-dermal to indeterminate depth burn wounds that typically require debridement and that may be expected to heal without autografting. It consists of a polymer membrane and newborn human fibroblast cells cultured under aseptic conditions in vitro on a nylon mesh. Like the dressings described above, it is applied under aseptic conditions to a freshly debrided wound bed. It can also be removed at a similar time after tissue healing. Kumar and coworkers [2] showed us that, when used in children, TransCyte™ promotes faster re-epithelialization than does Biobrane™, with less need for autografting. However, a drawback is the increased cost of the dressing.

Deep partial thickness burns usually require skin substitutes but they may need excision and autografting. An important initial aim in the treatment of partial thickness burns is to prevent bacterial infection, which can lead to conversion to a full thickness burn. The incidence of conversion increases with depth of burn. Apart from the use of FSCs, several other new technologies are emerging for the management of second degree burn wounds. Thus, we suggest that the ideal treatment has yet to be established and that several factors must be included in the equation, such as applicability, cost, efficacy and availability. Recent advances include use of glycerolized cadaver allograft skin for the treatment of deep partial thickness facial burns; Horch and coworkers [4] recently used this approach and found a significant reduction in hypertrophic scarring as compared with use of topical agents. Also, Rab and coworkers [5] promoted the ‘Viennese concept’ of the use of allogeneic cultivated keratinocytes in the treatment of tangentially excised deep partial thickness burns, the results of which are most promising. Finally, Branski and coworkers, from our unit, showed that amnion is of great benefit in the treatment of partial thickness burns to the face, resulting in significantly reduced rates of infection and hypertrophic scarring (L Branski and coworkers, unpublished data).

**Conclusion**

The use of FSCs gives us an additional option in a range of possible treatments for this notoriously difficult-to-treat patient group. In our opinion, Hohlfeld and coworkers [3] have reported a very interesting study that suggests significant benefit for patients. However, the value of the results is limited by the small number of patients included, the lack of comparisons or controls, and the small burn size. We feel that this treatment requires further investigation but that it must be proven to provide cost-effective benefit to patients before it may be considered for routine application in the clinical setting.

**Competing interests**

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

**References**

1. Monafo W: Wound care. In Total Burn Care, 2nd ed. 2002:109-119.
2. Kumar RJ, Kimber RM, Boots R, Pegg SP: Treatment of partial-thickness burns: a prospective, randomized trial using Transcyte. A NZ J Surg 2004, 74:622-626.
3. Hohlfeld J, de Buys Roessingh A, Hirt-Burri N, Chaubert P, Gerber S, Scaletta C, Hohlfeld P, Applegate LA: Tissue engineered fetal skin constructs for paediatric burns. Lancet 2005, 366:840-842.
4. Horch RE, Jeschke MG, Spilker G, Hemdon DN, Kapp J: Treatment of second degree facial burns with allografts: preliminary results. Burns 2005, 31:597-602.
5. Rab M, Koller R, Ruzicka M, Burda G, Kamolz LP, Bierochs B, Meissl G, Frey M: Should dermal scald burns in children be covered with autologous skin grafts or with allogeneic cultivated keratinocytes? – The Viennese concept. Burns 2005, 31:578-586.