Cryptosporidiosis modulates gut microbiome metabolism and the immune response in an infected host
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Abstract

Background

Cryptosporidiosis is a major global human health concern. Despite well-established methods, misdiagnosis remain common. Resulting incorrect clinical prescription often causes anti-microbial resistance development in the patients. Our understanding of the Cryptosporidium infection mechanism remains limited, compounding the difficulty of clinical diagnosis. Multi-omics approach has shown significant potential for addressing this limitation and, biomarker discovery towards rapid and accurate diagnostics. This study investigated the underlying biochemistry of host-microbiome-parasite relationships during infection.

Methods

C57BL/6J mice were infected with $1 \times 10^5$ Cryptosporidium parvum oocysts via oral gavage. Faecal samples were collected daily, while blood, liver tissues and luminal contents of the small and large intestines were collected 10 days post infection. High-resolution liquid chromatography and low-resolution gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry were used to analyse the proteomes and metabolomes of faeces, serum, liver, and luminal contents. Faecal samples were additionally subjected to 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Univariate and multivariate statistical analysis were applied to all datasets.

Results

Host and microbial energy pathways altered during infection. Glycolysis/citrate cycle metabolites, such as malate and lactate, were elevated in the large intestine. Short-chain fatty acids, formate and acetate, increased in the small intestine, while butanoate increased in the caecum-colon. This correlated with an increased abundance of bacteria associated with a stressed host environment, including Lactobacillus (small intestine) and Coriobacteriaceae (throughout the intestine, but more prominently in colon). The expression of host electron transfer flavoprotein, phosphoglycerate kinase and acetyl CoA binding proteins, yeast glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, and Lactobacillus glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase significantly increased in the infected gut. Liver oxalate increase was also seen during infection.

Conclusions

The microbiome-parasite relationship is more influential than the previously thought host-parasite relationship, in mediating major biochemical changes in the mouse gut during cryptosporidiosis. Defining this parasite-microbiome interaction is the first step towards building a comprehensive cryptosporidiosis model.
Background

Enteric protozoal infections are a major human health concern globally, causing malnutrition through the loss of appetite, decreased nutrient absorption, and increased catabolism of nutrient reserves due to inflammation and diarrhoea. Cryptosporidiosis is a globally endemic infection with about 4.7 million annual reported cases [1] with high impact on children aged 4 years or below and immunocompromised individuals [2]. The infection is caused by Cryptosporidium parvum (henceforth indicated as Cryptosporidium), a major waterborne enteric pathogen [1]. Cryptosporidium is a highly specialised obligate apicomplexan parasite that is transmitted via the faecal-oral route from sources such as drinking water, or recreational waters contaminated with sewage and/or animal faeces [3]. Due to its ability to infect humans and other mammals, it is considered a ubiquitous zoonotic parasite [2, 3].

Cryptosporidium infections are limited to the epithelial lining of the gastrointestinal tract, causing minimal invasion and penetration through mucosal layers, and are known to be autophagic [4]. Due to its highly specialised lifecycle, Cryptosporidium lacks numerous metabolic systems and must interact with its host to compensate for these deficiencies [5–7]. This significant intertwined relationship between a host and Cryptosporidium is, therefore, highly complex but is only partially understood. It has been shown that cryptosporidiosis causes long-term pan-body effects such as weight loss, abdominal, eye, and joint pain and, very likely, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) [8]. However, the molecular mechanisms and host responses that result in these broad disease effects are not well understood.

In this context, a multi-omics approach will provide broader systems biology information relating to cryptosporidiosis. Omics platforms such as genomics, proteomics, and metabolomics, alone or in combination, have provided new insights that have been valuable in preventative health [9, 10], toxicology, and medicine [11, 12]. The high sensitivities and specificities of multi-omics platforms provide excellent discrimination between samples and treatment types and have been applied to study environmental, clinical, and natural medicine systems [13–15]. Metabolomics and genomics have been independently applied to understand the Cryptosporidium life cycle in aquatic systems [16, 17], and in-vitro studies [4, 6, 7]. Metabolomics or gut microbial community genomics studies have been performed separately on the infected host [18, 19]. Furthermore, a multi-omics approach will provide broader systems biology information relating to cryptosporidiosis effects and host responses.

In this study, we investigated interactions between C. parvum and a murine host during cryptosporidiosis using multi-omic platforms (metabolomics and proteomics). To define the host-specific interactions of C. parvum, infection with the bacterium uropathogenic Escherichia coli (UPEC) [20], and the eukaryotic pathogen Giardia lamblia was used for comparative purposes. Gut infection with UPEC does not appear to cause disease symptoms in humans or mice [21], while Giardiasis results in similar symptoms to those seen in cryptosporidiosis [2]. Untargeted metabolomics, proteomics, and microbiome 16S rRNA gene sequencing were applied to numerous body tissues and gut washes after infection with C. parvum or abovementioned infectious agents. We examined how cryptosporidiosis modulates the enteric microbial community profile and, affects host protein levels and metabolic processes throughout the mouse
intestinal tract and in extra-intestinal tissues. This work also provided new insights into previously unreported dynamics of microbiome, parasite and host relationships in different regions of the gastrointestinal tract during cryptosporidiosis.

**Methods**

**Animal Ethics and husbandry**

All experiments were approved by the Monash University Animal Ethics Committee (Monash University AEC no. MARP/2018/055) following the guidelines of Victorian State Government and The National Health and Medical Research Council, Australian Government. Mice were housed in Optimice cages containing sterile sawdust at 18-24°C, 40-70% humidity, and 12:12 hour light/dark cycle. Mice were provided with sterile water and feed (Ridely AgriProducts Pty. Ltd., Melbourne, VIC, Australia) *ad libitum*.

**Mouse infection model**

For the study, groups of five, three-week-old C57BL/6J female mice were acclimatised for one week before infection with either $1 \times 10^5$ *C. parvum* or *G. lamblia* oocysts (*C. parvum*, Cat. Number: C10E7; *G. lamblia*, cat. Number: G10E6; BTF Pty Ltd., North Ryde, NSW, Australia) or $1 \times 10^8$ CFU of UPEC (ST131 lineage strain EC958) *via* oral gavage. An additional group of uninfected mice (*n* = 5) was also included for comparison against the infected groups. *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* infection was monitored for 10 days via daily faecal collection and detection of oocysts by fluorescent microscopy using the EasyStain kit™ (Biopoint Pty Ltd., Sydney, Australia). UPEC infection was quantified using a previously described method [20]. Mice were euthanised at 10 days post-infection by CO$_2$ exposure and liver tissue, serum, and faeces collected. The luminal contents of the duodenum, jejunum, ileum, caecum, and colon were sampled by flushing 1.0 mL of sterile phosphate buffer saline through each section of the gut and collecting the contents (Figure 1). Serum (collected by cardiac bleed) and liver tissue samples were used as representatives for indirect and cross-organ effects of *C. parvum* infection. The luminal contents represented the direct effects of infection. All samples were immediately stored on dry ice and then at -80°C until further analysis.

**Untargeted metabolomics by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)**

For untargeted metabolomics analysis, samples were prepared as previously described [22], with minor modifications. Briefly, frozen samples of faecal pellets (10 – 25 mg, wet weight), serum (10 – 15 mg, wet weight), liver (40 – 50 mg, wet weight) and, duodenum, jejunum, ileum, caecum, and colon washes (200 – 250 mg, wet weight) were transferred to 1.5 mL homogenisation tubes (Navy RINO lysis kit, BioTools Pty. Ltd., Keperra, QLD, Australia). A 1 mL aliquot of chilled extraction solution (-20°C) comprising acetonitrile, isopropanol, and water (3:3:2, *v*/*v*/*v*) spiked with ‘Internal Standard 1’ (Valine$^{13}$C$_2$ and Stearic acid$^{13}$C, both 10 µg; Novachem Pty. Ltd., Heidelberg West, VIC, Australia) was then added to each of the sample tubes. The samples were then homogenised at 6,800 rpm for 2 × 20 sec cycles, with 10 sec rest, at room
temperature (Percelleys Evolution, Bertin Instruments, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France). The homogenised samples were centrifuged at 14,000 g for 2 min at 4°C. A 100 µL aliquot of the supernatant was transferred to a glass vial with fused inserts. The samples were dried in a vacuum centrifuge at 37°C. Upon drying, 50 µL Myristic acid-d_{27} (Sigma Aldrich; 0.2 mg/mL in methanol) was added as ‘Internal Standard 2’. The samples were re-dried in a vacuum centrifuge. The samples were derivatised ‘in-time’, followed by a 1-hour holding time, before injection into a GC-MS as previously reported [23, 24].

**Metaproteome extraction and proteome analysis**

Proteomics samples comprised serum and liver tissues (wet weight = 50 ± 2.5 mg), 250 mg of luminal contents (duodenum, jejunum, ileum, caecum, and colon), and faeces (20 mg). Samples were weighed and transferred to 1.5 mL bead mill homogeniser tubes. Urea (8M, in 20 mM Tris, pH 8, 50 µL) was added, except for luminal content samples. Samples were homogenised at a frequency of 28 s⁻¹ for 3×10 min in a Qiagen TissueLyzerII system (Qiagen Pty Ltd., Chadstone, VIC, Australia). Samples were centrifuged (16,000 g, 5 min, 4°C), and the supernatant transferred to new tubes. Room temperature MilliQ water (50 µL) was added, followed by cold acetone (-20°C, 400 µL). The samples were incubated at -20°C for 1 h and centrifuged (16,000 g, 5 min, 21°C). The supernatant was decanted, and the pellet was re-washed with 200 µL acetone, followed by re-centrifuging and air-drying. Samples were incubated in 8M urea for 1 h, followed by water bath sonication (room temperature, 10 min) and centrifugation (16,000 g, 5 min, 21°C). The supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube.

The protein concentration of the cleaned extract was determined by Bradford assay and a volume equivalent to 5 µg of protein was taken. The volume was adjusted to 10 µL by adding urea (8 M). Dithiothreitol (1µL, 15% v/v) and acrylamide (1 µL, 40% v/v) were added, each followed by a 30-min incubation (25°C). After trypsin (0.1 µg in 20 mM ammonium bicarbonate, 47 µL) was added, the mixture was incubated for 3 h at 37°C. Formic acid (1µL, 10% v/v) was added to terminate trypsin activity.

Tryptic peptides (100 ng) were desalted and concentrated with a trap column (PepMap100 C18 5 mm × 300 µm, 5 µm) and separated on a nano column (PepMap100 C18 150 mm × 75 µm, 2 µm) using an Ultimate™ 3000 RSLC nano-LC system, with mobile phases (A: water + 0.1% (v/v) formic acid; B: acetonitrile (80% v/v) + 0.08% (v/v) formic acid). The peptides were eluted using Solvent B at gradient s of 5 - 40% (0 - 60 min) and 40 - 99% (60 - 70 min). The eluted peptides were ionized with a Nanospray Flex Ion Source (Note: All instruments and parts of Liquid chromatography-High resolution mass spectrometry (LC-HR-MS) were sourced from Thermo Scientific Australia Pty Ltd, Scoresby, VIC, Australia). Protein Discoverer 2.2 (Thermo Scientific) and Sequest HT search engine were used to identify peptides/proteins and quantify the relative abundance of proteins (Further details are provided in the supplementary section).

**Genomic extraction, analysis, and processing**

Mouse faeces and luminal contents (n = 5 each) were homogenised and DNA was extracted using the manufacturer’s instructions (ZymoBiomics DNA miniprep kit, Zymo Research Corp., Irvin, CA, USA),
followed by DNA amplification, sequencing, and analysis (Supplementary Materials). Sequence analysis was performed using QIIME 2 (Release no. 2019.7) pipeline [25], as previously described [15]. Multivariate statistics using METAGENassist analysis [26] were performed to investigate the metabolic nature of the microbial community detected in each sample group.

**Multi-omics integration and statistical analysis**

The metabolomics and proteomics data were adjusted for batch-effect, log transformed and multivariate data analysis conducted with the software SIMCA (version 16, Sartorius Stedim Biotech, Umeå, Sweden) and MetaboAnalyst 4.0 [27]. The cut-off level for significant metabolites was a signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio of 10, while for proteins, it was a relative abundance of $1 \times 10^5$. For statistical analysis of both metabolome and proteome, a fold change of $\leq 0.5$ (downregulation) or $\geq 2.0$ (upregulation), and a Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted p-value of $\leq 0.05$. Metabolic and proteomic outputs were integrated using the ‘Joint-pathway analysis tool’ of Metaboanalyst 4.0 and Paintomics 3[28].

The metabolic pathway networks obtained after statistical analyses were manually curated in Omix visualization software (Version 1.9.34; Omix Visualisation GmbH and Co. KG, Lennestadt, Germany).

**Results**

**Microbiome distribution and, protein and metabolic expression in the gut**

Interaction dynamics in the infected host's gastrointestinal tract during cryptosporidiosis were assessed by (i) the response of the host's system and gut microbiome, and (ii) the effects on non-gut organs, as detailed below.

The genomic analysis of luminal contents and faeces performed via rarefaction analysis and Good's coverage index (Table S1) indicated good sequencing depth (Figure S2A) towards representational operational taxonomic units (OTUs). The sequencing analysis indicated 847 features detected in all samples, represented by 65.39% retained sequences. The average feature count of the uninfected group was 24,188 and 24,290 for samples infected with *Cryptosporidium*. The sequencing efficiency was determined by comparing the percentage of different OTUs identified in the microbial community standard II sample (Log distribution) (ZymoBiomics D6310, Zymoresearch Corp., Irvine, CA, USA) with the manufacturer's data. Of the 8 species in the standard sample, 7 were detected, representing the expected proportion. The remaining species in the standard, *Staphylococcus aureus*, was not detected. This was most likely due to an extremely low percentage of genomic DNA composition (0.000089%) within the standard sample. Proteomic analysis of luminal contents and faeces of *Cryptosporidium*-infected mice indicated 4,239 proteins expressed by the host, with a good fit ($R^2X = 0.88$, $R^2Y = 0.799$) and predictability ($Q^2 = 0.41$) (Figures S3B and S3C). Searches against 43 microbial UniProt databases showed that the number of expressed microbiome proteins increased from the duodenum (30 proteins) through to colon (815 proteins) and faeces (956) (Figure S3A). The metabolome output showed the presence of 162 metabolites across all the analysed samples (Figure S4, Table S2Table S3).
Gut microbiome response during cryptosporidiosis

The 16S rRNA gene analysis by Greengenes database assigned OTUs to 71 bacterial genera. Of these, 22 genera were represented in all luminal contents and faeces and were indicated as the core microbial community (Figure 2A). Whilst *Faecalibaculum, Barnesiella,* and *Lactobacillus* were abundant in the small intestine, the *Ruminococcaceae* population increased in the caecum and colon (Figure 2B). During cryptosporidiosis, in the small intestine, beneficial bacteria such as *Faecalibaculum* and *Lachnospiraceae* showed considerable depletion, while *Lactobacillus, Lachnospiraceae, Desulfovibrio,* and *Coriobacteria* populations increased (Figure 2B).

The role of the microbiome in the production of short-chain fatty acid (SCFAs) in the gut [29] is known, especially during induced gut disorder stress [15]. We examined whether cryptosporidiosis-induced changes in the microbiota composition affected SCFA production in the gut of infected mice. We observed that among SCFAs, formate had a higher metabolic expression in the small intestine (duodenum > jejunum > ileum), while acetate, propanoate, and butanoate accumulation increased in the caecum and colon during cryptosporidiosis (Figure 3A). In addition to SCFAs, the accumulation of D-amino acids, such as D-alanine and D-proline, in the small intestine (Figure 3B) reflected an increased abundance of *Lactobacillus* (Figures 2B), supporting the observations of Sasabe et al [30].

An increase in protective/stress response (microbiome) proteins with the increase in *Lactobacillus* (or similar bacterial) population was also indicated by the increased levels of proteins responsible for glycolysis and fatty acid metabolism (Figure 4A). The upregulated proteins (*Note: all Uniprot IDs. Individual database IDs are provided in Supplementary materials section*) in the duodenum consisted of *Enterococcus* dicarboxylate transporter (Q820V1, FC = 1.41) and histone H4 proteins of *Candida* (C5M3N6, FC = 1.15), *Saccharomyces* (P02309, FC = 1.15) and *Cryptosporidium* (Q5CV68, FC = 1.15). To analyse protein expression across the intestine, data from different sections of the small intestine were combined in the Biomarker meta-analysis tool of Metaboanalyst 4.0. The output was obtained as combined LogFold change (cFC) with the minimum cut-off of cFC = 1 and p-value (FDR adjusted) ≤ 0.05. During cryptosporidiosis, compared to other regions of intestine, proteins significantly upregulated in the jejunum-ileum included glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenases from yeasts (P00360, cFC = 11.02) and *Lactobacillus* (A0A062X383, cFC = 1.41) (Figure 4A, Supporting dataset 1).

The caecum and colon showed the expression of different prokaryotic proteins. The expressed proteins mainly related to the glycolysis pathway, leading to fatty acid synthesis and oxidative stress protection proteins such as rubrerythrins (Figure 4B).

Host-response in the gut during cryptosporidiosis

The activation of host defence systems showed a considerable increase in the jejunum where numerous immune-type proteins were expressed during infection. Host response proteins associated with protective and inflammatory responses (actins, myosins, keratins, heat shock proteins, apoptosis-associated
proteins), oxidative stress (glutathione S-transferase, GTPases, selenium binding proteins), and glycolysis/gluconeogenesis-associated enzymes were observed (Table S9, Supporting dataset 2). Immunity-related heat shock proteins, namely actins and tubulins, were expressed along with glycolysis-related enzymes such as glyceraldehyde-3-P dehydrogenase and dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase. *Cryptosporidium* proteins such as actin (FC = 3065.1), tubulin (FC = 1040.6), and heat shock proteins (FC of HSP90 = 2483; HSP 70 = 197.2) showed considerable upregulation in the ileum, indicative of increased expression during infection. Additionally, 3064 host response proteins were expressed in the ileum, the highest among all the intestinal regions (Figure S3).

During cryptosporidiosis, most metabolites depleted in the small intestine. Primary decreased metabolites in the duodenum (Figure 5A, Table S3) included succinate, 6-hydroxy caproate, adenosine and inosine monophosphates, and glucose. In the jejunum, maleate, non-hexose sugars, and sugar acids (glucoheptonate and sedoheptulose) were decreased (Figure 5A, Table S4). In the ileum, depleted metabolites included sorbose, erythrose phosphate, ribitol, glycerol, and gluconate. Metabolites such as shikimate, phenaceturate, and urea were elevated (Figure 5A, Table S5). Overall, during cryptosporidiosis, more metabolites, especially sugars, sugar acids, and sugar alcohols, were decreased in the small intestine than upregulated.

On the contrary, the number of elevated metabolites increased in the caecum and colon during infection. In the caecum, amino acids such as glycine, methionine, creatinine, tyrosine, alanine, lysine, and cysteine were increased (Figure 5A, Table S6). Other increased metabolites in the colon included fatty and organic acids, such as malate, 3-aminoisobutyrate, fumarate, 3,4-dihydroxymandelate, and citrate (Figure 5A, Table S7). The metabolic composition of the faeces was similar to that of the colon, with addition of increased abundances of organic acids and non-digestible sugars, such as cellobiose (Figure 5A, Table S8). Overall, metabolic activity, combined with protein expression, indicated that *Cryptosporidium* metabolic activity was most prominent in the small intestine, followed by a decline in the caecum and a spike in the colon and faeces.

The integrated joint-pathway analysis of metabolic-proteomic datasets showed 69 key metabolic pathways being expressed, of which 10 were statistically significant with respect to uninfected mice (Holm adjusted p-value $\leq 0.05$) (Table 1).

Table 1. Most significant metabolic pathways in the gut modulated during cryptosporidiosis with respect to the uninfected mice, based on integration of the metabolomics-proteomics data using a joint pathway analysis tool.
| Metabolic pathway                                | Match status | Impact | P-value (Holm adj.) | FDR     |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|---------|
| Arginine biosynthesis                           | 13/27        | 1.12   | 2.37e-07            | 2.37e-07|
| Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)                       | 15/42        | 1.95   | 2.02e-06            | 1.02e-06|
| Glycolysis or Gluconeogenesis                   | 16/61        | 1.28   | 8.69e-05            | 2.97e-05|
| Pyruvate metabolism                             | 13/45        | 0.93   | 0.0003              | 7.47e-05|
| Nitrogen metabolism                             | 6/10         | 1.00   | 0.0011              | 0.0002  |
| Glutathione metabolism                          | 13/56        | 0.69   | 0.0038              | 0.0006  |
| Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism     | 13/61        | 0.83   | 0.0097              | 0.0015  |
| Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism         | 12/56        | 0.53   | 0.0167              | 0.0023  |
| Galactose metabolism                            | 11/51        | 0.66   | 0.0287              | 0.0035  |
| Arginine and proline metabolism                 | 14/78        | 0.52   | 0.0349              | 0.0039  |

**Note:** Match status = number of (significant metabolites and proteins/total metabolites and proteins) in a pathway; FDR = false discovery rate

**Proxy-citrate cycle and fatty acid metabolism**

It appeared that during infection, citrate, succinate, oxalate, malate, glycolate, and orthophosphate were catabolised more in the small intestine than the large intestine (Figure 5A, 6, and S7). Proteins related to the citrate cycle and oxidative phosphorylation were expressed across the mouse intestine during cryptosporidiosis (Figure 5B). The regression analysis indicated increased catabolism of orthophosphate throughout the intestinal tract (Figure 5A), except in faeces. Among proteins, the highest expressions (cFC > 2) were related to oxidative phosphorylation and glycolysis (Table S9). Other energy generation pathways such as glutamate metabolism possibly assisted *Cryptosporidium* to create a proxy-citrate cycle. These involved host mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenases [ubiquinone] (Uniprot IDs: D3YUK4, Q99LY9, Q9Z1P6, and Q9D6J6; cFC = 1.35). These results indicate that considerable oxidative phosphorylation is necessary to maintain highly upregulated citrate cycle activities (Figure 6) during cryptosporidiosis [5].

In the ileum, *Saccharomyces* mitochondrial dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase (P09624; FC = 83.08) and *Candida* malate dehydrogenases (C5M2D7, FC = 817.11 and Q5AMP4, FC = 547.99) were highly upregulated. Here, localised glutamine synthetase upregulation (P26443, FC = 2.33) and overall glutamate dehydrogenase downregulation (F7CFA5, cFC = -0.94) indicated reduced glutamate utilisation by the host, and its likely salvaging by *Cryptosporidium* (Figure 6).
Changes in fatty acid metabolism, especially medium-chain and long-chain fatty acids (MCFAs and LCFAs), were observed in the intestine upon *Cryptosporidium* infection. Although fatty acid oxidation and glycerolipid metabolism was observed in the duodenum, the latter was more prominent throughout the small intestine, as indicated by a significant decrease of glycerol in the jejunum (FC = 0.07) and the ileum (FC = 0.04), and palmitate (FC = 0.26) and palmitoleate (FC = 0.22) in the ileum.

**Proxy-citrate cycle protein expression during cryptosporidiosis compared to G. lamblia and UPEC infection**

To ascertain if the protein profile observed in the gut was specific to cryptosporidiosis, we compared the proteomic output during cryptosporidiosis to that obtained from a UPEC gut infection or *Giardia* infection. Immune precursor proteins such as actins showed similar expression across the intestine (cFC 1 – 6.2) across all three infections. However, proteins related to oxidative phosphorylation and glycolysis had higher expression during cryptosporidiosis when compared to UPEC infection and *Giardia*is (Table S9). Additionally, some proteins with high expression during cryptosporidiosis such as ADP/ATP translocase (cFC = 3.59), electron transfer flavoproteins (cFC = 2.5 – 2.63) and acyl CoA binding proteins (cFC = 2.08) were either non-significantly (p-value ≥ 0.05) different or were downregulated (p-value ≤ 0.05) during *Giardia* or UPEC infection. Also, related proteins such as glutathione peroxidase (cFC = 2.38) and phosphoglycerate kinase (cFC = 2.07) had significantly higher expression during cryptosporidiosis (Table S9). The analysis indicated that the proxy-citrate cycle was specifically upregulated during cryptosporidiosis concerning other gut infections.

**Ubiquinone biosynthesis (coenzyme Q) pathway salvaging**

During cryptosporidiosis, metabolites from the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) were downregulated in the small intestine, particularly in the ileum. These included sedoheptulose (FC = 4.74e^{-05}), erythrose-4-phosphate (E4P) (FC = 0.0004), ribitol (FC = 0.02), sorbose, tagatose and glucoheptonate (all, FC = 0.0001). On the other hand, shikimate was considerably upregulated in the ileum (FC = 32.65). This activity indicated aromatic amino acid biosynthesis via the E4P " shikimate " chorismate route. In the current study, this pathway appeared to be expressed through salvaging of host transketolase (P40142), primarily in the jejunum (FC = 2.08) and ileum (FC = 1.70) and yeast transaldolase (FC = 2.1), followed by yeast polyubiquitin proteins in the ileum (FC = 1107). Additionally, the joint-pathway analysis also indicated that the role of host ubiquinone protein expression towards ubiquinone biosynthesis in the gut was limited (Impact = 0.06, p-value = 0.6918). On the other hand, the yeasts played a major role in ubiquinone biosynthesis (Figure 6), as indicated by statistically significant tyrosine metabolism (Impact = 0.57, p-value = 0.0258), phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis (Impact = 1.4, p-value = 0.0323), and ubiquinone and other terpenoid-quinone biosynthesis (Impact = 0.5, p-value = 0.1) in the ileum.

**Extra-intestinal effects of cryptosporidiosis**
Few studies have focused on the effects of enteric infection on non-gut organs and, to our knowledge, no studies have addressed this for cryptosporidiosis. For this study, serum and liver were used as representative samples for measuring extra-intestinal effects, such as nutrient absorption, detoxification, and immune response.

During cryptosporidiosis, we observed downregulation of fatty acid metabolism in the serum; the major fatty acids affected were palmitoleate (FC = 0.07), oleate (0.05), and myristate (0.02) when compared to the uninfected mice (Table S10). In the liver, we observed a similar decrease, specifically of 6-hydroxy caproic acid and succinic acid (Table S11).

During cryptosporidiosis, of 1320 and 3016 expressed proteins in serum and the liver, respectively, 327 were significantly upregulated across both (Supporting Data 2). These included immune response proteins, such as myosins and selenium binding proteins. Complement factors H (cFC = 3.97) and B (cFC = 3.2 -3.55), immunoglobulins (cFC = 2.04 – 2.76) and apolipoproteins (cFC = 1.87 – 3.03) showed statistically significant expression when compared to the uninfected mice. Additionally, the metabolism-related proteins important for gluconeogenesis, Krebs cycle, and phosphorylation, such as mitochondrial pyruvate carboxylase and creatine kinase (M-type), were highly expressed during infection (Table S12, Supporting dataset 3).

**Discussion**

**Cryptosporidiosis dynamics in the gut**

The microbiome generally forms the first line of defence upon the onset of parasite infection. Numerous mechanisms play a role in the *Cryptosporidium*-microbiome relationship during cryptosporidiosis that can be used to further elaborate on the dynamics of this zoonotic infection in humans [31]. Considered among the core microbiome population, *Faecalibaculum* promotes higher SCFA metabolism, maintaining ionic balance and controlling virulence factors secreted by bacterial pathogens [32]. Synthesis and metabolism of SCFAs by the gut microbiome modulate inflammatory cytokine activity [33], especially by increased butanoate and propionate production in the caecum and colon [29]. Among the gut microbial community, *Faecalibaculum* and members of the *Erysipelotrichaceae* are known to produce high levels of lactate and formate [34, 35]. In the current study, formate showed considerable contribution towards both pyruvate and glycolysis metabolism (Figures 2A and S5, and S7). A depletion of *Faecalibaculum* (Figure 2) due to *Cryptosporidium* infection in this study would, therefore, explain the microbiome dysbiosis and decreased pyruvate and glycolysis metabolism in the small intestine.

An increased *Desulfovibrio* population, on the other hand, has been shown to perturb microbiota during protozoal gut infections. *Desulfovibrio* is known to cause enteritis through mucosal or epithelial damage by releasing toxic compounds such as hydrogen sulphide in the gut environment [36]. We observed that bacteria such as *Coriobacteriaceae* and *Lactobacillus*, increased in abundance during *Cryptosporidium* infection, especially in the small intestine. *Coriobacteriaceae* have been demonstrated to modulate glucose metabolism [37]. Similarly, the increased level of *Lactobacillus* may represent a microbial
response for countering the mucosal/epithelial damage caused by Cryptosporidium infection. Reportedly, lactate metabolising bacteria are highly active in the production of D-amino acids [38] and, provide an elevated microbial response to balance the mucosal/epithelial damage caused by Cryptosporidium infection [36].

Microbial carboxylase transporters are part of tripartite ATP-independent periplasmic (TRAP) and Tripartite Tricarboxylate Transporters (TTT) protein families. These proteins induce pathogenicity and colonisation of bacteria such as Haemophilus influenzae and Salmonella Typhimurium. This activity uses energy sources, such as glutamate, and causes increased levels of dicarboxylic acids, for instance, acetate or hexanoate (Figure 3A) [39]. Cryptosporidium excystation in the duodenum has been documented [3] and may be responsible for the observed upregulation of proteins associated with glycolysis, glutaminolysis, and citrate cycle in the small intestine. We found that the citrate cycle was more active across the intestine during cryptosporidiosis (Figure 5A, Figure 3A, 4A, 6, and S7). C. parvum reportedly lacks the machinery for the citrate cycle pathway and salvages it from the host [40]. However, the current study shows, for the first time, a greater role of yeasts in driving this proxy-citrate pathway. Additionally, the pathway may have also contributed to fatty acid metabolism. In particular, malonate catabolism (FC = 0.35) and fatty acid synthase activity (A0A0U1RNJ1, P19096; cFC = 1.69) indicate the presence of glycolysis and proxy-citrate cycle derived fatty acid synthase I (FAS I) system in the jejunum and the ileum, as reported previously [41].

Compared to the small intestine, glutamine/glutamate metabolism was upregulated in the infected caecum. Glucose depletion in the caecum and the colon is known to trigger glutamate utilisation as the primary carbon source, by both Cryptosporidium and host defence cells [6, 42]. In the context of cryptosporidiosis, we observed glutamate utilisation typical of parasitic activity for generating α-ketoglutarate, catalysed by glutamine synthetase, glutamate kinase, and glutamate-5-semialdehyde dehydrogenase, as previously documented [6].

We observed upregulated host and yeast transketolases, followed by yeast polyubiquitin proteins, indicative of these proteins/enzymes catalysing ubiquinone biosynthesis in the jejunum-ileum tract. The preliminary step of the ubiquinone biosynthesis pathway begins with E4P metabolism and is catalysed by glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH) in trypanosomatid [43] and Plasmodium [44] metabolism, and during C. parvum infection in rats [45]. The utilisation of enzyme systems from the host, yeasts, and the parasite indicates a host-parasite-microbiome association in the small intestine. This association may have compensated the deficient Cryptosporidium metabolic machinery for synthesising ubiquinone (coenzyme Q), which is a critical element of the electron transport chain. Such associations and their benefits to Cryptosporidium multiplication have been reported in aquatic systems [17] and neonatal mice gut dysbiosis [18].

High yeast ubiquitin-related activity was observed across the small intestine, especially in the ileum. While 11 mouse ubiquitin transfer or conjugating enzymes were observed to be upregulated throughout the host response (FC = 1.1 – 10.4), yeast ubiquitin/polyubiquitin proteins were also upregulated (FC =
These proteins are required for synthesis, transfer, and metabolism of ubiquinone. While it has been reported that *Cryptosporidium* salvages the host ubiquinone system [6], our study indicated significant salvaging of this system from the yeast population of the microbiome. To our knowledge, the current study is the first to suggest that enhanced *Cryptosporidium* colonisation may depend more on the parasite-microbiome relationship than the host-parasite relationship.

**Extra-intestinal effects of cryptosporidiosis**

In non-gut organs such as the liver, oxalic acid upregulation is indicative of likely hyperoxaluria or a hyperoxaluria-like condition. In this condition, glyoxylate metabolism is negatively affected due to the deficiency of hepatic alanine glyoxylate aminotransferase (AGT) and cytosolic glyoxylate reductase (GR) [46, 47]. However, the relationship to hyperoxaluria as an indirect effect of *Cryptosporidium* infection in the gut remains to be determined.

Mitochondrial pyruvate carboxylase was possibly one of the most interesting of the expressed proteins in the liver. This zinc-containing protein, in the presence of allosteric activators such as acetyl-CoA, catalyses the pyruvate → oxaloacetate reaction towards both Krebs cycle replenishment and gluconeogenesis [48]. However, an excessive accumulation of oxalate (caused by oxaloacetate accumulation) in the liver of *Cryptosporidium*-infected mice may be attributed to the high expression of L-lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). The role of hepatic LDH in converting glyoxylate to oxalate has recently been reported for primary hyperoxaluria mouse models [49] and blood-based protozoal infections such as that with *Plasmodium* [50]. However, its indirect hepatic activity, especially as a follow-up pyruvate carboxylase activity, due to gut infection has not been reported and its dynamics require further study.

**Conclusions**

We utilised a mouse model to study the direct (gut) and indirect (serum and liver) effects of cryptosporidiosis using a multi-omics approach. Energy pathways such as glycolysis and glutaminolysis were significantly impacted in the jejunum and ileum during cryptosporidiosis. The proteomic and metabolic outputs indicated an underdeveloped proxy-citrate cycle in *Cryptosporidium*, partially salvaged from the host, with additional input of yeast citrate cycle enzymes. Instead of the commonly reported G6PDH-catalysed route, the ubiquinone (CoQ) biosynthesis system in the ileum began with host transketolase activity, followed by the salvation of the yeast ubiquinone biosynthetic system. The gut microbiome response to cryptosporidiosis was detected via increased metabolism of D-amino acids and SCFAs. Similarly, high oxalate accumulation in the liver indicated enteric hyperoxaluria as a likely indirect effect of cryptosporidiosis. Our study shows the ability of multi-omics to contribute a robust understanding of gut infections and demonstrate the previously unreported microbiome interaction dynamics during cryptosporidiosis. The study highlights the comprehensive dynamics of gut infection and may serve as a model that can be used for the in-depth study of other enteric infections. These results provide a platform from which new avenues of precision medicine and improved treatment methods for cryptosporidiosis may be devised.
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Figures
Figure 1

Overview of mouse cryptosporidiosis interaction study design showing various mouse samples that were collected. Samples were subjected to GC-MS and LC-HR-MS and resulting data were analysed by multivariate statistics. Samples are annotated as (1) liver tissue, washes of (2) duodenum, (3) jejunum, (4) ileum, (5) caecum, (6) colon, (7) faeces, and (8) serum.

Figure 2

(A) Relative abundance of gut sections

(B) Untransformed mean intake ratio
The relative abundance of (A) major/core genera across regions of the intestinal system of uninfected and Cryptosporidium-infected mice and, (B) ratio of major genera showing prominent changes during cryptosporidiosis infection compared to the uninfected mice, in mouse intestine regions.

**Figure 3**

**Figure 3**

Distribution of (A) Short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) and (B) D-amino acids across various regions of the intestinal tract (µg/g FW of samples) of uninfected and Cryptosporidium-infected mice. Note: Individual charts are presented in Figures S5 and S6.

**Figure 4**

**Figure 4**
Major proteins expressed by microbial community in response to cryptosporidiosis in (A) the jejunum-ileum of the small intestine and (B) the caecum-colon and faeces.

Figure 5

(A) List of top 25 metabolites (in descending order) with significantly high variable importance in projection (VIP) scores in the mouse gut during Cryptosporidium infection (Refer to Table S13 for data). (B) Most prominent host proteins expressed across the mouse intestine upon Cryptosporidium infection, the citrate cycle and oxidative phosphorylation.

Figure 6
Most prominent metabolic activities in the mouse gut upon Cryptosporidium infection. The pie charts indicate the relative impact of pathways in the duodenum (D), jejunum (J), ileum (I), caecum (C), colon (Co), and faeces (F). The bar graphs show perturbed metabolites in uninfected and Cryptosporidium infected mice. Note: Please refer to Figure S8 for a more descriptive pathway chart.
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