Chickpea responses to application of plant growth regulators, organics and nutrients

Abstract

The practice of agriculture is several thousand years old and faces many challenges worldwide. Among the pulse crops, the production of chickpea is one of most important thrust research area needs to be addressed seriously. Plethora of research investigations has been made to cover the various aspect of combined effect of plant growth regulators, organics and nutrients in the growth, physiological, biochemical, quality and yield characteristics of pulse crops which include length, fresh and dry weight of root, leaf number, leaf area, leaf area index (LAI), net assimilation rate (NAR), chlorophyll content, photosynthesis, nitrate reductase activity (NR), carbonic anhydrase activity (CA), nutrient accumulation, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents, leg haemoglobin, protein and carbohydrate content and various other growth, yield and quality parameters. So the present review indicates that the process of growth and development, in addition to the yield and quality of plants is highly affected by the plant growth regulators, organics along with sulphur in stress and non-stressful circumstances critically to a greater extent.
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Introduction

The world food supplies are usually debated in terms of cereals, wheat, rice and maize being the dominant commodities, but there exists second group of crops, the pulse (legume grains), which make a major contribution to human diet (both in the peasant’s hut and in the international hotels) in developing countries in tropical and sub-tropical areas, where their nutritional contribution is of paramount importance as a large segment of the populations in these areas have limited access to food of animal origin.¹ In general, pulse crops are energy rich plants but are cultivated largely under energy starvation conditions and more than 92 per cent of the area under pulses is still under rainfed. The lower mean productivity of these crops is mainly due to cultivation in marginal lands and with little or no monetary inputs. Several attempts have been made to increase the yield potential of pulses, but they are primarily concerned with the use of fertilizers, pesticides and better management practices coupled with genetic improvement. On the pulse map of the world, India is largest producer, processor, consumers and importers of pulses with 25 percent share in global production (13.50 million tonnes). Organic farming is a production system which largely excludes the use of synthetically compounded fertilizers, pesticides, growth regulators and livestock additives to the maximum extent. The basic reason that demands organic agriculture is the pollution of air, water and soil in varying degrees in different parts of the world which affects the environment and ultimately human welfare. Organic agriculture is a crop production method respecting the rules of nature. The objective is to achieve a sustainable farming system that preserves the environment and soil fertility for our offspring too. Though, traditional agriculture is a repository of several indigenous practices in respect of nutrition management and plant protection measures, there is a gradual erosion of these principles and practices due to over dependency on fertilizers and chemicals in recent years.⁴ Therefore, the promotion of organic farming through the use of organic materials and adopting ecologically sound plant protection measures would go a long way in bringing back the soil health. Among pulses, Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is the most important pulse crop in the country grown in more than 6.93 million hectares area which contributes 62% of the global production (5.6 million tonnes) and about 37% of total pulse production in the India.² These have played a key role in the agricultural economy of India from time immemorial. Also, chickpea is used in various ways. It is one of the earliest cultivated pulses, having been grown for over seven millennia, and currently account for almost 40% of total pulse production. Chickpea is very nutritive and is used as a protein adjunct to starchy diets. Seeds are widely consumed as pulse and in many other preparations. With its high nutritional value, chickpea is primarily a major source of protein, essential nutrients such as calcium, zinc and iron for the vegetarians and non-vegetarian populations.⁶ They...
are also eaten after roasting. Flour from the seeds is extensively used. It is also used in preparation of traditional weaning food 'Satu' which is consumed by all age groups. Its seeds are largely fed to horses and leaves and stalks are dried and used as fodder for cattle. Tempah (an Indonesian fermented product) with high nutritional availability and meat like flavour is also prepared from chickpea. Flour from seeds is also used in textiles sizing and adhesives. Chickpea is officially included in the Indian pharmacopoeia. It is given as preventive diet to atherosclerosis patients because of its rich phosphorus content. It is an ingredient of a Unani-anti-hypersensitive drug 'Ajamloon'. Whole germinated seeds are used as a prophylactic against deficiency diseases, scurry in particular in famine affected areas. Because seeds has saccharine, glucose, fructose, polysaccharides including starch, γ-galactan, leulovole, P-galacto-araban, betaine, choline adenine, saponins, phytin, citric acid and malic acid etc. A preparation of 25% chickpea meal and 75% groundnut meal used as a corrective in malnourished people and as a cure for Kwaserkerh and other protein deficiency diseases.

Immature pods and fresh sporulated gram has phospho-enol-pyruvate carboxylase and has a biochianin-A, B and C and also is a cheap and easily available source of vitamins-C (ascorbic acid) used to accelerating the growth of the yeast cells and in prevention of scurry in famine affected areas. The seeds of gram have anti-nutritional factors which can be reduced or destroyed by heating fermentation or germination of seeds. According to an estimate for the year 2008-2009, the total production of pulse in India is 14.18 million tonnes, which is 3.9% lower than the production in 2007-08 and 8.5% lower than the targeted production for 2008-09. The gap was filled by imports. In addition, the world's total production of chickpea covers around 8.5 million metric tonnes annually and is grown over 10 million hectares of land approximately. In Indian context, the average annual area and production of chickpea are about 7-8 million hectares and about 4-5 million tonnes annually and is grown over 10 million hectares of land respectively. In India, the low productivity of chickpea is due to several factors. A few of these are described here;

a) More than 75% of Indian farmers has own small or marginal holdings of less than two hectares,

b) severe drought in several parts of India during 2001 to 2003 led to sudden decline in chickpea area during that triennium.

c) The production of chickpea is also affected in excessive cold conditions,

d) It is mainly grown as a cool-season crop under both rain fed (79%) and irrigated condition and often maturing in the driest and hottest part of the year,

e) Most farmers are ignorant of the techniques of cultivation of high yielding varieties, post-harvest technology and proper processing facilities,

f) About one third of flowers produced do not develop in to fruits,

g) Pests and diseases reduce the yields further as chickpea pods are more prone to these,

h) Sudden excessive rain soon after sowing or at flowering does great harm,

i) An early hot summer shortens the growing period, hastens maturity and reduces yield,

j) Hailstorms at ripening cause much damage,
is likely to remain a subsistent crop in developing nation. Hence, attention should be focused on improving chickpea yield and seed quality, and increasing resistance to factors, which reduce yield such as low temperature disease, insects and pests. This will help raise the productivity and profitability of chickpea, particularly in the India, largest chickpea producing country, where yields are low. Another measure to improve the importance and the value of chickpea would be the expression of the area under chickpea in several countries of United States America and where the present area is very small but yields are higher than in the traditional chickpea producing countries, especially India and Pakistan.

The high yield of chickpea through input use in European countries is yet another approach to increase world chickpea production. Finally, because of some recent improvements in chickpea market price, and the relative low costs of productions, chickpea has improved its position in rain fed or partially irrigated areas. This trend needs to be consolidated through the development and large-scale adaptation of high yielding varieties, which are resistant to biotic and abiotic factors that currently impair production. This may add further to the profitability of chickpea and go a long way towards changing status of chickpea from a subsistence crop to an internationally traded commercial crop. One such approach could be to make plants utilize fully the available resources leading to maximum harvesting of solar energy and subsequently increasing the number of active sinks. To achieve this, plant growth regulators (PGRs) could be used as they are known to affect many facets of plant life including growth, flowering, fruiting and ion transport. In spite of PGRs, also, separately or synergistically the application of macro-nutrient viz., S might prove helpful in providing mechanical support and improve nodulation activity to the plants by strengthening the middle lamellae of stem cells and improving S content of nitrogense and of ferredoxin.

**Plant growth regulators**

The PGRs are organic natural or synthetic compounds (other than nutrients). They are naturally produced within plants, though very similar chemicals are produced by fungi and bacteria that can also affect plant growth as well as human use them to control plant growth and development. On other hand, PGRs are chemicals produced by plant that alter growth pattern and/or maintenance of the plant. They can be found in many cells and tissues, although they seem to be concentrated in meristem and buds. They control cell activation by sending chemical signals or messenger to cells to do something or to not do something including activating the genes that code for specific enzymes. They inhibit as well as promote cellular activities. Most PGRs have multiple effects in plants and they work in very small concentrations. PGRs often work in conjunction with each other and have overlapping effects. There are several classes of PGRs, viz., gibberellins, auxins, cytokinins, abscissic acid and ethylene. Additionally, a number of recently “discovered” PGRs remotely related with the above PGRs has varied important roles in the regulation of plant activities. These include Brassinosteroids, salicylates, jasmonates and triacontanol. Interestingly, the synthetic growth regulators bring about the more or less same plant responses involved in controlling developmental events such as cell division, cell elongation and protein synthesis. Plants have the ability to store excessive amounts of exogenously supplied hormones in the form of reversible conjugates which release active hormone when and where plant needs them during the growth period. PGR are proved to improve effective partitioning and translocation of accumulates from source to sink in the field crops.

Auxins enhance a number of physiological processes in plants, including abscission of older mature leaves and fruits, apical dominance, cell division, cell-enlargement, distribution of growth between primary and lateral root and shoot meristem, flowering and parthenocarpy, loosening of cell-wall, mediation of toxic responses of shoot and roots to light and gravity, patterning of embryos, root initiation, synthesis of ribonucleic acid (RNA), deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and protein and vascular tissue differentiation. Cytokinins enhance a number of physiological processes in plants, including activation of cell growth in leaves, apical-dominance, breaking of bud dormancy, cell-cycling, chloroplast differentiation, floral-development, leaf-senescence, nutrient mobilization, N-dependent regulation of gene expression in photosynthesis, seed germination and source-sink balance. In addition, gibberellins inhibit the adventitious root formation but enhance a number of physiological processes including activity of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase (Ru BP case), bunching of grapes, breaking of seed and bud dormancy, cell-wall plasticity, cell elongation, flowering, growth and yield of sugarcane, parthenocarpy, protein-synthesis, phloem-loading, relative growth rate (RGR), stomatal aperture, senescence, seed-elongation, seed-germination, synthesis and secretion of hydrolyzing enzymes particularly α-amylase for promoting hydrolysis of storage-reserves, transpiration rate, transcription of messenger (m)-RNA and vernalization. SA reduces ethylene biosynthesis and enhances a number of physiological processes, including defence mechanism against abiotic and biotic stress, membrane permeability, photosynthesis, seed germination, specific changes in leaf anatomy and chloroplast structure, synthesis of auxin and cytokinins and transpiration rate (El-Tayeb, 2005).

PGRs significantly influence agronomical, morphological and physiological traits in crops and it was observed that at limited concentration they stimulate rapid cell division resulting faster vegetative and reproductive growth. They supplies either carbon and energy or essential mineral elements and active in very small quantity (e.g., <1mM, often 1µM) which found in certain parts of the plant and usually translocated to other sites, where they evokes specific biochemical, physiological and or morphological responses. Moreover, PGRs are important agents in the response of plants to the external physiological environment. The increase in yield with the application of various PGRs might be due to increased yield attributes, which in turn resulted from effective translocation of photosynthesis. The plant growth regulators also increases mobilization of reserve food materials to the developing sink through increase in hydrolyzing and oxidizing enzyme activities and leads to increase in yield observed that exogenously supplied PGRs may undergo several metabolic processes in the soil resulting in loss of their activity and reduced availability to plants and such type of behaviour was only seen with auxin (e.g., IAA) and GA. In addition, remarkable accomplishments of PGRs such as manipulating plant developments, enhancing yield and quality have been actualized in recent years using new emerging and efficient PGRs. It has long been ascertained that plant hormones (e.g., auxin, cytokinins gibberellins and ethylene) are involved in controlling developmental events such as cell division, cell elongation and protein synthesis. Plants have the ability to store large concentration of exogenous supplied hormones in the form of reversible conjugates which release active hormone when and where plant needs them during the growth period. PGR are proved to improve effective partitioning and translocation of accumulates from source to sink in the field crops.
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Inorganic plant mineral nutrition

Low availability of plant nutrients limits yield in many crops. Inorganic ions obtained from the soil having specific and essential functions in plants are called mineral nutrients and their absorption, translocation and metabolism refer to as mineral nutrition. The contribution of plant nutrition as a science bloomed in the 20th century. In due course of time, the essentiality of using seven other elements, viz., boron (B), chlorine (Cl), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), molybdenum (Mo), nickel (Ni) and zinc (Zn), has been established on the basis of sophisticated analytical techniques to purify salts and the water for hydrophonic cultures. Among these, S represents the 9th and least abundant essential macro-elements in plants, preceded by C, O, H, N, K, Ca, Mg, and P. The dry matter of S in plants is only about 1/15 of that of N. Moreover, S plays critical roles in the catalytic or electrochemical fractions of the bio molecules in cells and also as signalling molecules for fundamental cellular functions. S is one of the essential nutrients and it contributes to yield and quality of crops. S occurs in a wide variety of organic and inorganic combinations. S is present in nature in both inorganic and organic forms. S is cycled in ecosystem in nature where conversion of sulphate to organic S compounds is primarily dependent on sulphate uptake and reduction pathways in photosynthetic organisms and microorganisms. The transfer of S between the organic and inorganic pools is entirely caused by the activity of the soil biota, particularly the soil microbial biomass, which has the greatest potential for both mineralization and also for subsequent transformation of the oxidation state of S. S oxidation is the most important step of S cycle, which improves soil fertility. It results in the formation of sulphate, which can be used by the plants, while the acidity produced by oxidation helps to solubilise plant nutrients and improves alkali soils. In addition, S is required at 0.1 to 1.0 (on a dry weight basis) for growth and development. S is mainly taken up by lands via the roots from the soil and translocated simplicistically through endodermal cells to the stele for distribution to the various plant tissues. Plant canopies are a strong sink for deposition of atmospheric sulphur dioxide, which enters mainly through the stomatal apertures.

On other hand, S is a part of every living cell and is a constituent of two of the 21 amino acids which form proteins. The benefits from S fertilization of crops can be traced to its role in protein development, to improvement of N use, etc. However, in recent years, S deficiency has become an increasing problem for agriculture resulting in decreased crops quality parameters and yield. Although, the availability of S needed for profitable crop production continues to decline. Reasons for the decline include the emphasis on improved air quality, the increasing amount of S removed from the soil by higher yielding crops and use of modern fertilizers containing less S. Up to 90% of the total S is present in most plants as cysteine and methionine that, in turn, are predominantly bound in protein. Because methionine is one of the ten amino acids essential for animal nutrition, there has been sustained interest increasing the concentration of methionine in plant material used for feed and food. Most reported attempts to increase seed S amino acid concentration by adding S-rich sink protein have methionine with success, but some of these modified seeds have been reported to display alterations in endogenous protein composition similar to those triggered by S nutritional deficiency. Reduced S is required in the function of cofactors, such as acetyl coenzyme A, thiamine, biotin and lipic acid. The S containing tri peptide glutathione is involved in the regulation of protein synthesis, and in the compensation of various forms of stress. Both functions of glutathione are highly significant for the survival of plants in a stressful environment. Moreover, S containing plant secondary compounds, like allicin and isothiocyanate derivatives can be important for phytomedical applications. There are many S-containing compounds, which have been limited, directly or indirectly, with the defence of plants against microbial pathogens; these include thionins, defensins glucosinolates, crucifer phytoalexins, alliin and glutathione.

Appropriate applications of fertilizers can remedy deficiencies in many instances; however, they remain considerable uncertainties regarding timing and type of S-application which, in turn, influence the persistence of the S in the soil and the availability to the plant. A common situation is one in which there are a substantial seasonal variation in S available to the plant and ideally, crops will be engineered to maximize uptake when S is abundant and therefore be better able to tolerate periods of low-S availability. Modern agriculture requires adequate fertilization of S to achieve maximum crop yield and performances. Plants use sulphate, the oxidized form of S existing in the soil, as an S source. By contrast, animals including humans require S-containing amino acids and proteins as dietary S sources because of their inability to assimilate sulphate into Cys and Met. Significance of plant sulphate assimilatory pathway is manifested by its ability to fill this metabolic gap in the global S cycle in nature. In addition to its basic nutritional importance, S is present in numbers of plant metabolites representing important biological activities as redox controller, vitamins, co-enzymes, flavones and defence chemicals and other secondary metabolites. S is probably the best-described nutrient with respect to correlated behaviour of metabolites and transcripts during the deficiency responses. S is necessary for proper growth and development of living organisms; however, it is attributed rather catalytic and regulatory than structural functions because it is much less abundant than other macro elements. The plant biomass as consumed as food and feed serves as the main sources of organic S for animals and humans.

Interaction effect on growth and yield characteristics

Leaf area and other growth parameters were influenced by different growth regulators in pulse crops. The PGRs had greater influence on plants which showed comparable values of LAI (Leaf area index), LAD (Leaf area dry matter), CGR (Crop growth rate), NAR (Nitrate assimilation rate) and TDM over control plants. LAI, CGR, NAR and AGDM (Average ground dry matter) had a significant linear relationship with seed yield. Kumar et al. studied the effect of S and PGRs on yield and quality parameters of chickpea and reported improved grain, straw yield, and protein content. The application of PGRs to pollinated buds once and twice daily increased bud retention many folds. Percent bud retention was significantly higher when the growth regulators were applied twice. Moreover, tricontanol singly or with potassium nitrate enhances pod setting, 100-seed weight, biomass, harvest index and seed yield at harvest as well as reduces flower abortion in chickpea. Reported that all the yield contributing parameters including flower retention, pod setting percentage, pod number and seed weight, increased by application of GA, and cytok cel treatments. Foliar application of GA₃ (10ppm), NAA (20ppm) cyco cel (25ppm) recorded the significant increase in the plant height, number of primary and secondary branches per plant, chlorophyll a and b, total chlorophyll content, seed protein content, number of pods per plant, seed per plant, 100-grain weight, grain yield per plant per hectare and harvest index. Increase in number of flowers per plant,
percentage of pod set per plant, number of pods per plant, number of seed per shoot pods, 100 seed weight, seed-yield and productivity of chickpea cv. Avoradhi was reported by application of TIBA, ALAR (GA3) triacontanol, IAA, cytokinin and NAA. The combined application of 50 kg P2O5 plus 20 kg/ha with FYM and PGR particularly triacontanol raised grain productivity grain protein, yield.94

Moreover, kinetin was found to be most effective in increasing growth parameters (viz., root/shoot biomass, growth yield) and N-fixation (viz., specific nitrogenase activity of nodules, and total N-fixed per plant) of chickpea. The kinetin seed soaking with (10-5M) and ABA foliar spray (10-6M) were more effective in increasing the grain weight as compared to control. The foliar application of PGRs increased the seed yield components i.e., number of seed per plant, number of pods per plant, and 100- grain weight. Besides this, Tripathi et al.,95 studied the effect of PGRs on chick pea cv. Avorodhi and reported that dry matter production, protein and starch content were highest with the 20ppm TIBA, 5pppm cytokinin and 50ppm IAA treated respectively. Moreover, Raut & Sabale96 studied the effect of fertilizers and PGRs under irrigated conditions and revealed that they increased plant height, branch number, dry matter, grain weight per plant, harvest index and grain and stover yields increased with the increasing fertilizer rate. In this trend, other PGRs (CCC, paclobutrazol) and anti transparent (calcium carbonate, kaolin and china clay) were found to be effective to enhancing the growth of chickpea cv. Phule G-5 when this sprayed during the flowering and days after the initial flowering and among PGRs CCC gave the highest number of pods per plant and grain yields and were either as effective as or less effective than the control plants.97 Namdeo et al.,98 first reported that foliar application of 250ml triacontanol produced the highest growth and yield components with an increase in grain yield compared to the control plants of chickpea cv. Secondly, foliar spray of 0.5 mg dm–3 TRIA significantly promoted the plant height, fresh mass and also stimulated the onset of flowering, pod production and retention, but less number of pods and seeds per plant.99

As next evidence, Fatima et al.,100 compare the effect of three PGRs (kinetin, IAA and ABA) at single or integrated form on growth and yield parameters and N-fixation of Chickpea under natural conditions and reported that kinetin found to be most effective in increasing growth parameters (viz., root/shoot length biomass, grain yield) and N-fixation (viz., specific nitrogenase activity of nodules and total N-fixed per plant). Moreover, ABA significantly decreased nodule weight, nitrogenase activity of nodule, specific nitrogenase activity of nodules and total N-fixed per plant. LA, LAI, CGR, NAR and AGDM accumulation found to be enhanced with application of PGRs (potassium napthenate (Knap) and napthalene acetate acid (NAA) as foliar spray. The application of GA3 at vegetative stage of chickpea cv. C727 showed more reduction than at flower initiation stage while length and fresh weight of root remained unaffected.101,102

The cumulative effects of PGRs included reduction of soil salinity and improvement of soil fertility and increase in chickpea cv. Chaffa yield. Meena et al.,103 applied 40 kg S/ha and 5 kg Zn/ha and reported the significant increase in growth, yield, protein content, nutrient content and nutrient uptake. Patil et al.,104 studied the effect of organic manures and rock phosphate on growth and yield of chickpea and significantly higher grain yield was recorded with rock phosphate application of 200 kg/ha (2140 kg/ha) over 50 kg and 1000 kg of rock phosphate/ha except rock phosphate 150 kg/ha (2069 kg/ha). Increase in N uptake due to S application has been reported by Scherer et al.,105 and Tiwari & Gupta.106 S can readily alter primary and lateral root growth, modifying the overall root architecture.107 Increased N uptake may also be argued that increased S availability resulted in better root and shoot growth and ultimately increased N uptake due to increased dry matter production. Application of 50ppm meqigual chloride, 1.25ppm triacontanol, 0.2% borax and 1% potassium nitrate resulted in the height flowers setting, 100-seed weight, biomass at harvest, seed yield and harvest index and lowest number of aborted flowers.108 Furthermore, GA treatment was useful to increase shoot length, mobilization efficiency, emergence index, speed of germination and co-efficiency of germination while oxygenated peptone showed an upper hand in root length, shoot/root ratio, biomass and vigour index.109 Application of S with or without P recorded significantly higher seed yield showed significantly higher seed yield than saline in chickpea cv. BG-209 on application of P and S (0.40 and 80kg/ha) and P (40kg S+40 kg P2O5 and 80 kg S+40 kg P2O5/ha).103,109 reported that 40 kg S/ha resulted in better growth, yield attributes, consumptive use, water use efficiency and S uptake than no S and 20kg S/ha.

Synergistic effect of PGRs and sulphur on physiological characteristics

(a) Chlorophyll: Chlorophyll content of plants was observed to be invariably influenced with the application of growth substances and supplementation of minerals. Tripathi et al.,106 reported that chlorophyll content was highest in chickpea cv. Avoradhi, with 20ppm TIBA treatment at present and past-anthesis respectively among the treatment including ALAR (dominolzone), Miraculam, GA3, NAO and Plaofix, cytokinins, triacontanol and CCC (chloroethyl). The chlorophyll content of the leaf is influenced by optimum dose of S nutrition and it significantly increased in case of soybean leaves.110 but at higher rate applied S did not further increase the chlorophyll content of leaves and significantly reduced. Chlorosis is a common symptom of S deficiency in plant species.111 Circumstantial evidences indicate that S-deficiency greatly diminishes carbon-fixation of Medicago sativa, which is assumed to be caused by the reduction in the synthesis of key carbon metabolic enzymes as a result of reduction in the pods of the free S-containing amino acids. The Chl content is reduced because of a general reduction of PSI and PSII and the associated light-harvesting antenna. The Rubisco content is also significantly reduced in the S-deprived plants. The imbalances between PSI and PSII, and between photosynthesis and carbon fixation led to a general over-reduction of the photosynthetic electron carriers. Moreover, chromatographic analysis showed that the level of monosaccharides is lower and starch content higher in the S-deprived plants.112 While no change in metabolite levels are found in the TCA or Calvin cycle.113 Hajouj et al.,114 reported the CK delay the initiation of leaf senescence and bring about the promotion of photosynthetic activity mainly by increasing the chlorophyll content.115 Moreover, Chaloupkova & Smart116 reported the application of ABA significantly decreased chlorophyll content. ABA application enhances senescence more in old leaves as reported by117 whereas young leaves were less affected by ABA. ABA is synthesized from xanthophylls intermediate. The initial steps of ABA biosynthesis take place in chloroplasts and other plastids.118 Moreover, result of Karim & Fattah119 indicated that 1500ppm potassium napthenate spray on chickpea plants at first flowering stage (45days) could be positively effective for growth and development of plants with higher values of physiological characteristics.

(b) Photosynthesis: Under the condition of effect of S limitation, the pulse crops showed reduced growth and photosynthetic rates.120
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S- a major form of sulphate in aqueous phase of apoplastic, may reduce photosynthetic rate and thereby crop yield through inducing ROS, involved in S- induced stress. A decrease in the yield of some crops due to decreased atmospheric S input has been reported for northern Europe. General responses to S limitation are reduced growth and photosynthesis, and strong reduction in photosynthesis under S-limited growth correlates with a substantial decline of Rubisco and chlorophyll a/b binding protein.

According to Sexton et al., S deficiency at first influences the protein synthesis and later on photosynthesis. The photosynthetic apparatus is severely affected under S deficiency. However, as with N deficiency under S deficiency, shoot growth is more depressed than root growth. Moreover, a strong inhibition of shoot growth but continuation of root growth under nutrient deficiency might mainly reflect alteration in photosynthetic allocation.

Grewal et al., observed indirect evidence of improved photosynthetic activities in chickpea by enhancing chlorophyll content and retaining higher LAI with N (50 and 100kg/ha), spray of CCC (250 and 500ppm) and Ethrel (500ppm). The kinetin application maximizes the shoot/root weight which could be attributed to CK regulation of photosynthetic capacity due to kinetin treatment is related with increase in total biomass due to kinetin treatment is related with increase in photosynthetic activity. Cares and Vendrig reported that application of CKs promote photosynthetic activity mainly by means of increase in leaf chlorophyll content. Hardy & Havelík and Bethlenfalvay & Phillips reported the pivotal role of photosynthesis in N-fixation.

(c) Protein content: It is assumed that yield depression under S deficiency conditions is the result of a limiting protein synthesis. Protein content of chickpea seeds were observed to be invariably influenced with the application of growth substances and supplementation of nutrients. Gupta et al., reported the favourable effect of PGRs on the protein content in Chickpea also. Kumar et al., suggests the cumulative effects of PGRs plus S on increasing content of protein in chickpea also as reported by Yadav & Bharud through combined foliar application of GA₃, NAA and cycoel, benzyladenine, biorfe and biopower. In addition, foliar applications of SA and brassinolide also have enhancing effect on protein content of chickpea seeds cv. GG1. Increase in protein and sugars content of leaves related with the increase in nodule activity at the flowering and early pod filling stages, thereafter, degradation of chlorophyll and protein content become more pronounced in old leaves as compared to young leaves. Single super phosphate, gypsum and elemental S provide S nutrition during seed development in Chickpea cultivars (PBG1 and BG 1053), and Jyoti et al., also reported the significant increase in the biomass content, seed-S containing amino acids as well as several biochemical parameters responsible for enhancement seed protein quality. S is a constituent of Met (21%), the first amino acid incorporated during protein synthesis and it also linked to proper functioning of NR, the enzyme regulating the flow of NO₂⁻ in to the amino acid and subsequently in to protein. Moreover, arginine response to S deficiency used as an indicator in field conditions. With S deficiency, amino acids accumulate and protein cannot be synthesized which may inhibit N-fixation. S increased nitorgenase activity because of higher ferredoxins and ATP concentration in bacteroid of root nodules of legumes. However, under condition of reduced S supply, arginine contributed 23 and 36% of total free amino acids at 0.125 and 0.075 mM S respectively. The potential of using biochemical indicator such as arginine response to S deficiency prior to changes in growth for the prediction of S deficiency in a large number of crops needs further research. If arginine responds early enough, its use as an S deficiency indicator will be greatly enhanced.

Moreover, Wollaston suggested that proteins content in leaves can be rapidly degraded according to the need by other plant tissue. Kinetin may recover the decline of protein during senescence (Mancera et al., 1999). It is proposed that kinetin might have been implicated directly in the process of regulating protein transcription and translation. S application increased rate of potassium due to an increment in protein synthesis and maintenance of high chlorophyll content. Similarly, results of increased seed yield due to application of S were found by Srinivasarao et al., and Raina & Tanawade.

Besides this, application of S also improves the status of S-containing amino acids in the seed protein of chickpea because seeds have some capacity to increase their rate of S assimilation and S amino acids biosynthesis in response to an added demand. Furthermore, evaluation of amino acid profile under S-starvation conditions showed two to four fold enhancement in the contents of arginine, asparagines and OAS, whereas the contents of Cys and Met are reduced heavily. Exogenous supply of metabolites (arginine, asparagine, Cys, glutamine, OAS, and Met) also affected the uptake and assimilation of NO₂⁻, with a maximum for OAS. Therefore, this tight interconnection of S-nutrition with NO₂⁻ assimilation and that OAS plays a major role in this regulation and must be helpful in developing a nutrient-management technology for optimization of crop productivity in future. Moreover, S deficiency resulted in lower N concentration of nodules. Furthermore, the decrease in N concentration under S deficiency implies a parallel decrease in N fixation, which may be indicative of an S limitation on protein synthesis.

(d) Carbohydrate content: Carbohydrate content of chickpea seeds were observed to be invariably influenced with the application of growth substances and supplementation of S-fertilizer. GA₃, kinetin and IAA are found to be highly effective PGR for carbohydrate metabolism in chickpea. Kaur et al., reported that amylase activity in cotyledons decreased by drought stress but increased by GA₃ and kinetin after sowing while IAA reduced root amylase activity and neither GA₃ nor kinetin increased the amylase activity of roots. The higher level of reducing sugars in the shoot of GA₃ and kinetin-treated stressed seedlings could be due to the high activity of sugar phosphatase synthetases (SPS) in shoot tissue. Moreover, the reducing acid and alkaline invertease activity in shoots of stressed seedlings were enhanced by GA₃ and kinetin. It may be assumed that photosynthetic translocation to root and nodules may also become a limiting factor in N fixation of S-starved leguminous plants. N fixation is a quite sensitive process to supply of photosynthetic assimilates, and the decline in nodule activity is associated with the development of the pods as a competing assimilate sink, since the decline in nodule activity coincided with the tip when pod growth rate first exceeded total CGR. Modification of soil with glucose, arabinose, sucrose and xylose has increased the rhizobium population significantly compared to unmodified soil. The high demand of available carbohydrates for N fixation under optimum conditions is reflected in the amounts of glucose and sucrose in the nodules provided by the shoots. After the transport of carbohydrates in the nodules, they are metabolized to form organic acids to covert the energy demand of nitorgenase. Moreover, under S-deficient conditions, the amounts of glucose and fructose stayed on the same level, indicating that vegetative and reproductive growth of the plant has preference. Furthermore, the observations of Scherer et al., also observed that under S deficiency conditions, S and N concentration as well as the amounts of glucose...
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and sucrose in shoots and nodules are significantly reduced. Increased accumulation of carbohydrates observed under S deficiency stress. Kinetic treatment significantly increased the sugar content of leaves at all stages more so at late pod fully stage. The effect of kinetin was greater in old leaves as compared to young leaves. The decrease in sugar content in kinetic treatment at flowering stage was greater in the old leaves as compared to the young leaves. Furthermore, reported the Ck balances the disproportionate distribution of assimilates in favour of the Ck-enriched shoot.

**Nitrate reductase activity and NO\textsubscript{3} content**

Grain legumes can benefit cereal-production systems through enhanced soil N-fertility associated with N fixation. The origin of nitrate is contentious. suggested that the nitrate most likely originates from mineralized rhizodeposits, legume roots, and nodules. N fixing legumes use less soil nitrate than an adjacent non-N-fixing crop resulting in nitrate concentration or sparing. is the first enzyme of the S assimilation pathway and NR play a key regulatory role in the NO\textsubscript{3}\nobreakdash-\textsuperscript{2} assimilation, is to be exploited that the activities of these enzymes are related to root, growth and yield. Chlorophyll content, ATP sulfurylase activity and protein content are also higher in transgenic plants than untransformed plants under S-insufficient conditions. In general, high concentration of Cys and GSH repress S assimilation activities, while S starvation results in increased activities of key enzymes in the assimilatory pathway. Administration of high concentration of Cys and GSH to plant roots leads to lowered steady state levels of mRNAs for the ATP sulfurylase and APS reductase. Plants root subjected to exogenous OAS exhibit an increase in accumulation of mRNA encoding the APS reductase. Moreover, deficiency of S in plants results in a reduction of NR activity and an accumulation of amino acids or soluble protein in chickpea seedlings of non-nodulating genotypes than nodulating genotypes and these results hint to a genetic variability of NR activity. Earlier, it is reported that NR is genetically controlled. However, the reduction of NR activity and mRNA level seem to be a relatively late process in plant adaptation to S limiting conditions. Moreover, N-metallobiochemistry is strongly affected by the S-status of the plant. S deficiency decreases the concentration of N in the shoot of legumes. S deficiency may be correlated with a reduced synthesis of soluble protein due to the reduced availability of SO\textsubscript{2}\nobreakdash-\textsuperscript{2}.

**Carboxic anhydrase activity, leg haemoglobin content and nodule formation**

Since under S deficiency conditions, plant growth reduced the demand for N was lower too, and as a result in the decrease in nodule formation. may be viewed as an adjustment to a low demand for symbiotically fixed N. The lower nodule yield was not only the results of smaller and fewer nodules per unit length of roots but also of a reduced root growth. Independent of the S supply, observed the highest S concentrations in root nodules. According to , the high S concentrations in nodules as compared to roots and especially to shoots probably reflect the high S demand for the functioning of nodules. Nodules therefore provide a strong sink for S. On other hand, ferredoxins are acidic, low molecular weight, soluble Fe-S proteins. In most instances, the Fe is bound via SH-groups of cysteine residues and also to inorganic S in Fe-S clusters. The main role of the Fe cluster is to facilitate electron transfer. Root nodule bacteria require access to adequate concentrations of S in their symbiotic relationship with legumes and S deprivation may bias N fixation by affecting nodule development and function. While, it is well established that nitrogenase activity is reduced under S deficiency conditions while found no reports on the influence of S nutrition of legumes on the energy supply of nodules as well as their ferredoxin concentration. studied the effect of PGRs (IAA, GA\textsubscript{3} and Kinetin) on haemoglobin biosynthesis in chickpea nodules and observed that almost all growth regulators showed a general promotory effect on its biosynthesis of haemoglobin. Kinetin being a growth-promoting hormone increased the nitrogenase activity of root nodules of chickpea and by increasing in the volume of pink bacteroid tissue; it also increased in leg haemoglobin contents and nodule bacteroid regions over control. caused increase in leg haemoglobin content and nodule bacteroid region over the control.

**Interaction effect on N-fixation and nutrient use efficiency (NUE)**

The process of S acquisition and assimilation play an integral role in plant metabolism and response to S deficiency involves a large number of plant constituents. The results of , (2011) showed that an increase in S availability enhanced nitrate (NO\textsubscript{3}) uptake and assimilation, which, in turn, increased biomass production of leaves with lower NO\textsubscript{3} content. In particular, high S availability exerted a positive effect (gene expression and functionality) on the uptake and metabolism of N and Fe acquisition mechanisms and their data show close interactions between N, S and Fe, highlighting that relevant improvements in yield and quality from soilless culture might also be obtained through appropriate adjustments of nutrient availability. In this respect, concerning the role of S in the acquisition mechanisms of N and Fe metabolism, its level of availability should be taken into high consideration for equilibrated plant growth. S deficiency in legume crops affect yield, quality and nutritional value of seeds, because Met is usually the most limiting essential amino acid in pulse seeds. In chickpea, S application (80kg/ha) increase seed yield. Application of S containing fertilizers can result in soil acidification which may influence nutrient uptake. The yield response to optimum S application, however, differs among the various crop species, being lower in and Pisum sativum as compared to Trifolium pratense and Vicia faba, suggesting that legumes differ in their S-requirement. Moreover, adverse effect of S deficiency on inorganic nutrition and biochemical processes might lead to the observed decrease in growth and finally may result in a decrease yield of chickpea. Since, the yield and quality of legume seeds are limited by the amount of S partitioned to the seeds. Moreover, the amino acid S-methyl Met (SMM), a Met derivative and a long-distance transport form of reduced S and whether SMM phloem loading and source-sink translocation are important for the metabolism and growth of pea plants. The changes in SMM phloem loading affected plant growth and seed number, leading to an overall increase in seed S, N, and protein content. The phloem loading and source-sink partitioning of SMM are important for plant S and N
metabolism and transport as well as seed set. Symbiotic N fixation impaired under S deficiency conditions and calculated N fixation assuming that all N applied at planting was taken up completely under S deficiency conditions. S deficiency may affect N fixation of legumes by causing unfavourable conditions for N fixation in the host or because of the relatively high S content of nitrogenase, and of ferredoxins. The chief role of the Fe-S cluster of ferredoxin is to facilitate electron transfer and therefore ferredoxin plays a vital role in N fixation. A relationship between ferredoxin concentration and nitrogenase activity showed by Carter et al., Scherer et al., also investigated that the ferredoxin concentration of the bacteroid was significantly reduced under S deficiency conditions. Varin et al. examined whether the effect of SO$_4^{2-}$ addition on N fixation resulted from a stimulation of host plant growth, a specific effect of S on nodulation, or a specific effect of S on nodule metabolism. The application of SO$_4^{2-}$ increased whole plant dry mass, root length, and nodule biomass, expressed on a root-length basis.

Nevertheless, N uptake proved less sensitive than N fixation to the effects of S-deficiency, and decreased as a consequence of the lower root length observed in S-deficient plants. This effect is likely to be due to down-regulation by a N-feedback mechanism as under severe S-deficiency, the high concentration of whole plant N and the accumulation of N-rich amino acids indicated that the assimilation of N exceeded the amount required for plant growth. As compared to subterranean clover supplied with S nodulation is markedly decreased in S-deficient clover. This is attributed to the decline in the requirement for N with reduced S supply. However, the observed increase in the number of nodules by S-fertilisation of legumes was not the result of increased nodulation per unit length of roots, but rather due to enhanced root growth.

Thus the environment is created is found favourable for rhizobium activity. Hence the number of nodules and their activity increased following the application of S. Although the dry weight content of the nodules at higher level of applied S showed a tendency to increase, but it is not significant beyond 20 Kg S ha$^{-1}$. A response to applied S was observed to 20 Kg S ha$^{-1}$ with respect to dry matter production but upto 40 Kg S ha$^{-1}$ with respect to seed yield. Moreover, the dry weight content of the nodules at higher levels of applied S showed a tendency to increase. Pulse crop obtain N mainly from symbiotic N-fixation which may be affected by S deprivation, and it is quite sensitive to supply of photosynthetic assimilates which indicate that decline in nodule activity under S deprivation is associated with development of pods. Nitrogenase and ferredoxin which play vital roles in N$_2$-fixation are rich in S and contain Fe-S clusters. Furthermore, with S-deficiency, amino acids and other N forms accumulate due to the impaired protein synthesis.

This could be due to the feedback repression of N-fixation. Mean while, Lang suggested that S affect leguminous species through its influence on N-fixation by rhizobium species. If symbiotic N-fixation has a greater requirement for a nutrient than the growth of host plants, a negative interaction between the addition of that nutrient and inorganic N on plant growth is expected. Gupta et al., investigate the effect of growth regulators on the nutrient uptake and protein yield of chickpea cv. JG-74. Moreover, they also reported that application of cytokinins showed higher contents of N, P and K in seed compared to all the other treatments. The maximum N, P and K uptakes was observed in chickpea treated with CK, a multifaceted plant hormone. studied the effect of fertility levels and PGRs on nutrients contents and uptake in chickpea cv. JG-322 and reported that the increasing levels of fertilizers up to P50S20 with FYM increased the contents and uptake of N, P, K and S almost significantly. Triacantanol (vipal) brought about the maximum uptake of NPK, and S. Deo & Khaldelwal studied the S interaction with P and indicated that grain and straw yield, content of N, P and S, uptake of P and S increased with increase in the rate of application of P and S individually as well as in various combinations. Applied S increased the number of nodule per plant and protein content in grain of chickpea. Also, Meena et al. reported that application of all levels of S and Zn progressively increased in grain yield, protein content, nutrient content and uptake by chickpea. S has become a major limiting factor for plant production in industrial as well as in remote industrial rural areas. Limitation of S can reduce legume N fixation by affecting nodule development and function. The effects of S deficiency on N fixation are likely to be caused by the shortage of ferredoxins and ATP. There was little concern for S deficiency even though the ability of the soil to retain and release it to crops is small. However, since the last two decades, the declining use of high-analysis low-S containing fertilizers and the reduction of SO$_2$ emission from industrial sources are resulting in S deficiency of different crops.

**Interaction effect on quality parameters**

S-deficient plants generate a lower yield and have a reduced nutritional value. S is considered as important nutrient for its role in the production of amino acids Cys and Met as well as antioxidant GSH (glutathione). Reproductive growth and the proportion of the reproductive tissues in total dry matter are significantly increased by the application of S during pod development. Increase in dry weight with reduced S input can be described by the Piper-Steen-hjerg effect. Positive effect of S on seed yield and its quality is visible and significant when S content in soil is low. Analogically, S fertilisation does not influence the yield when S content in soil is quite high. S supply only increase the S-concentration of the plants without enhancing the yield. Mandavia et al. reported a yield enhancement of methionine and carbohydrate content in chickpea seed cv. GG1 as well as quality improvement in chickpea seeds in terms of increased content of metabolite studied compared with higher seed yield point out to the beneficial effect of salicylic acid at vegetative as well as reproductive stages. GA$_3$ led to comparatively more synthesis of nucleic acid while oxygenated peptone showed more increase in total carbohydrate and soluble protein contents in chickpea.

**Interaction effect on nodule characteristics**

Under S limiting conditions, in pot experiments with different legumes, a lower N accumulation and a yield reduction was found. S also affect leguminous plant species growth through its effect upon N fixation by rhizobium microorganisms because of the relatively high S content of nitrogenase. S is considered as important nutrient for its role in the production of amino acids Cys and Met as well as antioxidant GSH (glutathione). Reproductive growth and the proportion of the reproductive tissues in total dry matter are significantly increased by the application of S during pod development. Increase in dry weight with reduced S input can be described by the Piper-Steen-hjerg effect. Positive effect of S on seed yield and its quality is visible and significant when S content in soil is low. Analogically, S fertilisation does not influence the yield when S content in soil is quite high. S supply only increase the S-concentration of the plants without enhancing the yield. Mandavia et al. reported a yield enhancement of methionine and carbohydrate content in chickpea seed cv. GG1 as well as quality improvement in chickpea seeds in terms of increased content of metabolite studied compared with higher seed yield point out to the beneficial effect of salicylic acid at vegetative as well as reproductive stages. GA$_3$ led to comparatively more synthesis of nucleic acid while oxygenated peptone showed more increase in total carbohydrate and soluble protein contents in chickpea.
yield formation. Furthermore, Fatima et al.,103 reported that both the efficiency and the longevity of nodules seem to be favourably affected by kinetin application. Dayal & Bharti104 & Garg et al.,108 also observed kinetin induced increase in nodule dry weight as well as in the nitrogenase activity. Research work of Bano109 Fatima & Bano200 and Fatima et al.,100 demonstrated the positive role of Cks in nodulation. The nitrogenase activity of nodules was high at flowering stage as compared to pod filling stage.201 Singh109 observed that PGRs like IAA, NAA and 2, 4-D, GA and Kinetin used as foliar spray brought considerable variations in nodulation. Moreover, the ABA seed soaking as well as foliar spray treatments significantly decreased nodule weight, nitrogenase activity of nodules etc. Kinetin and ABA has profound enhancing effect on leaf and nodule senescence in chickpea cv. CM88. Kinetin at 10-8M was found more effective than kinetin at 10-6 M which responsible for delays the nodule senescence.202 Islam & Ali203 assess the effect of S (0,15 and 39kg/ha) and P (0, 40 and 80 kg/ha) on nodulation, N-fixation and nutrient uptake by chickpea and reported that application of P and S significantly increased all these parameters as well as yield. P and S uptake correlated positively with N-fixation.203–215

Conclusion and future guidelines

Chickpea is a protein rich crop and so proper maintenance of soil fertility is necessary to harvest its full potential. The benefits that chickpea can derive from PGR and S application may be related to the bioavailability of substrate carbon as well as release of other nutrients. A survey of the foregoing research literature reveals that traditional cultivars of chickpea responded variably to the applied PGRs and S separately under various agro-climatic conditions. Our review tells the pivotal role played by the PGRs along with S on the plant growth and development by affecting various growth parameters like: shoot length, leaf area, leaf area index, fresh weight, dry weight, physiological characters like chlorophyll content, photosynthesis, protein content and leg haemoglogin content; biochemical characteristics like nitrate reductase activity, nutrient accumulation, nutrient use efficiency in addition to yield and quality attributes including seed yield, harvest index and many other important aspects.
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