Levofloxacin-based sequential therapy versus classic triple therapy in *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: A randomized clinical trial
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Abstract

**Introduction:** During the past two decades, eradication rates with triple therapy for *Helicobacter (H.) pylori* eradication have decreased. The decline of effectiveness of the triple therapy have led to investigations to achieve more effective and safe therapeutic strategies. Sequential, concomitant and hybrid therapeutic regimens are new therapies that have been introduced over the past two decades. The purpose of this study was to compare levofloxacin-based sequential therapy versus classic triple therapy in *H. pylori* eradication in a randomized clinical trial. **Methods:** All eligible cases were divided into two groups using a randomized block method. The first group (classic group) was treated with triple therapy; patients received omeprazole, amoxicillin and clarithromycin for 14 days. The second group received the levofloxacin-based sequential (lev-seq) regimen; the patients were treated with omeprazole and amoxicillin for the first 7 days and then with omeprazole, levofloxacin and metronidazole for the latter 7 days. In this clinical trial, 200 patients with *H. pylori* infection were enrolled. Ultimately, 192 patients completed the study. Of these, 95 patients (46 males and 49 females) were treated with triple therapy (classic group) and 97 patients (46 males and 51 females) were treated with the lev-seq regimen. The average age in the classic group and lev-seq groups were 41.4±12.4 years and 40.2±11.8 years, respectively.
Results: The levofloxacin based sequential regimen was more effective than triple therapy regimen. It is recommended that the lev-seq regimen be used as the first-line therapy, especially in areas with high levels of resistance to clarithromycin (over 20%).

Conclusion: Further studies with large numbers of samples are required to be conducted in different parts of the country for further evaluation of efficacy of this regimen.
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Introduction
During the past two decades, triple therapy for Helicobacter (H.) pylori have yielded low eradication rates (Chey and Wong, 2007; Park et al., 2016). The decline of the effectiveness of triple therapy has heightened the need to investigate more ideal, effective and safe therapeutic regimens. Sequential, concomitant, and hybrid therapeutic regimens are a few of the new therapies that have been introduced over the past two decades (Malfertheiner et al., 2017; Park et al., 2016). A sequential regimen involves a 2-step drug regimen. For instance, over the first 5 days PPI and amoxicillin are administered, and in the latter 5 days PPI, clarithromycin, and metronidazole (imidazole) are administered (De Francesco et al., 2010; Zullo et al., 2000). Preliminary studies have demonstrated that the eradication rate of sequential regimen is very high (over 95%) (Vaira et al., 2007; Vakil and Vaira, 2008; Zullo et al., 2000). However, even recently, studies continue to suggest that eradication with classic sequential regimen is not entirely effective (Molina-Infante et al., 2010; Romano et al., 2010). Thus, in this study, we took a different approach and evaluated a levofloxacin-based sequential regimen [modified sequential]; in the second (latter) step of the sequential drug regimen, levofloxacin was administered instead of clarithromycin (Molina-Infante et al., 2010). The resistance of H. pylori strains to levofloxacin is very low (AzadBakht, 2003). Indeed, in Iran the level of resistance to levofloxacin has also been reported to be low (3.5%) (Khademi et al., 2015). It appears that the levofloxacin-based sequential regimen can be a viable alternative in the treatment of H. pylori infections, especially in areas with high levels of clarithromycin resistance. The aim of this study was to compare the eradication rate of levofloxacin-based sequential regimes and classic triple therapy.
**Materials-Methods**

This clinical trial was conducted from October 2015 to March 2016 at Shohadaye Ashayer Hospital in Khorramabad (West Iran). The study population includes dyspeptic patients referred to the gastrointestinal clinic.

Patients over 18 years with histologically proven *H. pylori* infections were included in the study. Patients who had been treated previously for *H. Pylori* infection and those with a history of sensitivity to antibiotics were excluded. Additionally, people with a history of gastrectomy and those with severe underlying diseases, such as renal failure and liver cirrhosis, were excluded, as were pregnant and lactating women. The written consents were received from all patients who were eligible to participate in the study. All eligible cases were divided into two groups using a randomized block method. The first group (classic) was treated with triple therapy; these patients received omeprazole, amoxicillin, and clarithromycin for 14 days. The second group (lev-seq) was treated with levofloxacin-based sequential regimen; these patients received omeprazole and amoxicillin during the first 7 days, and omeprazole, levofloxacin and metronidazole for the latter 7 days.

Patients in both groups received an educational fact sheet on the use of drugs and their possible side effects. The patients were advised to visit their doctor once during the treatment period and also at the end of the treatment period. All patients were visited by a doctor twice. After the history and physical examinations by the doctor, patients were monitored for side effects and tolerance. Besides information obtained from history and physical examinations, patient adherence to the study and side effects to the drugs were recorded. Drug side effects were reported by the patients in a self-reporting questionnaire. The adherence rate was evaluated with questions about the number of consumed pills. If the patient consumed more than 90% of the prescription drugs, then adherence was considered acceptable. For all patients, the urea breath test (Urea Breath Test) was performed 6 weeks after completion of treatment. The success of the therapeutic regimen indicates that the cases were negative; UBT. This study has been recorded in the IRCT number 2015082323736N1.

**Statistical analysis**

The results of this study were analyzed in an intention-to-treat population and a per-protocol population. SPSS version 21 (IBM Inc.; New York, USA) was used for the statistical analyses, in which the eradication rate was analyzed by chi-square test and Student's t-test, and the factors affecting eradication were analyzed by multivariable logistic regression. Any P-value of <0.05 was deemed as statistically significant.
Results

In this clinical trial, 200 patients with *H. pylori* infection were enrolled. Among these, 4 patients left the study due to severe side effects and 4 others did not return for follow-up. Ultimately, 192 patients completed the study. Of these, 95 patients (46 males and 49 females) were treated with triple therapy (classic group) while 97 patients (46 males and 51 females) were treated with the levofloxacin-based sequential regimen (lev-seq). The average age of the classic group and lev-seq groups were 41.4±12.4 years and 40.2±11.8 years, respectively. The demographic characteristics of the patients participating in the study are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients

| Variables      | Classic group (n=95); n (%) | Lev-seq group (n=97); n (%) |
|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Sex            |                             |                            |
| Male           | 46 (48.4%)                  | 46 (47.4%)                 |
| Female         | 49 (51.6%)                  | 51 (51.6%)                 |
| Age [year]     |                             |                            |
| (Mean±SD)      | 41.4±12.3                   | 40.2±11.8                  |
| 18-30          | (20.1%)19                   | (18.6%)18                  |
| 31-40          | (33.7%)32                   | (34.1%)33                  |
| 41-50          | (18.8%)18                   | (28.7%)28                  |
| ≥51            | (27.4%)26                   | (18.6%)18                  |

Primary outcome (*H. Pylori* eradication)

The effects of the two groups (classic and lev-seq) as *H. pylori* therapy are presented in Table 2. The eradication rate in the classic group was calculated as 68.4% (using per protocol analysis) and 65% (using the intention-to-treat analysis). In contrast, the eradication rate of the lev-seq regimen was 87.6% (using per protocol analysis) and 85% (using the intention-to-treat analysis). The eradication rate of *H. pylori* in the Lev-seq group was higher than that of the classic group; this difference was statistically significant (p=0.001). Moreover, the *H. pylori* eradication probability with the lev-seq regimen was 1.38-fold greater than that of the triple therapy regimen (OR=1.38 (1.1-4.6)).
Table 2. Eradication rates with triple therapy and levofloxacin-based sequential therapy

|                  | Helicobacter pylori status after treatment | Total | P-value | OR (CI-95%) |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------|---------|-------------|
|                  | not eradicated | eradicated |       |             |
| Lev-seq group    | Number | 12 | 85 | 97 | 0.001 | 1.38(1.1-4.6) |
|                  | Percent | 12.4 | 87.6 | 100 |
| Classic group    | Number | 30 | 65 | 95 |       |             |
|                  | Percent | 31.6 | 68.4 | 100 |

Adherence and adverse events

The frequency of complications occurring with either treatment regimens are presented in Table 3. Of note, 17 (17.8%) patients of the classic group and 19 (19.5%) patients of the lev-seq group experienced drug complications. There was no statistically significant difference between the groups (P =0.067).

Table 3. Adverse events in the classic triple therapy and levofloxacin-based sequential therapy

| Variables         | P-value | CI (95%)    | OR | Classic group N (%) | Levo-seq group N (%) |
|-------------------|---------|-------------|----|----------------------|----------------------|
| Nausea            | 0.082   | 0.841-4.32 | 1.22 | 6(6.3%)   | 13(13.40%)            |
| Vomiting          | 0.512   | 0.241-5.34 | 1.46 | 2(2.10%)  | 3(3.1%)               |
| Diarrhea          | 0.231   | 0.126-1.90 | 0.49 | 6(6.3%)   | 3(3.1%)               |
| Abdominal pain    | 0.453   | 0.207-1.16 | 1.02 | 14(14.7%) | 7(7.2%)                |
| Constipation      | 0.512   | 0.251-5.01 | 1.46 | 2(2.10%)  | 3(3.1%)               |
| Anorexia          | 0.380   | 0.323-1.90 | 0.784| 10(10.5%) | 8(8.2%)                |
| Rash              | 0.497   | -           | -   | 0(0%)     | 2(2.1%)               |
| Headache          | 0.259   | 0.504-5.12 | 1.28 | 3(3.2%)   | 6(6.2%)                |
| Bad taste         | 0.108   | 0.22-1.55  | 0.588| 10(10.5%) | 6(6.2%)                |
| Total Adverse event | 0.426  | 0.241-5.25 | 1.45 | 17(17.5%) | 19(19.3%)              |
| Patients who withdrew | 0.115 | 0.734-1.99 | 1.09 | 5(5.3%)   | 3(3.1%)                |
| Adherence         | 0.651   | 0.385-3.13 | 1.78 | 95(100%)  | 97(100%)               |
The incidence of adverse events were not significantly different between the classic and lev-seq groups. The most common drug complications reported in the classic group included abdominal pain, loss of appetite and a bad oral taste, while the most common complications of the lev-seq group included nausea, anorexia and abdominal pain.

The adherence rate to the classic regimen and Lev-seq regimen were 95% and 97%, respectively. The adherence rates in both groups were acceptable although the difference between the two groups was not significant (P>0.05%).

Factors affecting eradication rate

Using logistic regression to eliminate confounding factors, the factors that may have an impact on treatment success rates were examined. Based on the results, multivariant, age, sex, education, smoking, and alcohol had no effects on the eradication of *Helicobacter*.

Discussion

This clinical trial, conducted on 192 patients, aimed to compare the efficacy of levofloxacin-based sequential and triple therapy regimens. Based on the results of this study, the lev-seq regimen is more effective than triple therapy (p= 0.001). *H. pylori* eradication rates for the lev-seq regimen were 87.6% and 85%, respectively, by PP and ITT analysis. The eradication rates of triple therapy with PP and ITT analysis were 68.4% and 65%, respectively. Our study suggests that eradication rates with triple therapy are suboptimal (less than 80%). The results of our study are similar with the results of other studies (Gatta et al., 2013; Jafri et al., 2008; Moradniani et al., 2017b).

In recent years, the eradication rates using triple therapy has significantly decreased (Chey and Wong, 2007; Malfertheiner et al., 2017; Moradniani et al., 2017b). Most studies in Asia have also demonstrated the reduction of success rate with triple therapy (Fakheri et al., 2014; Moradniani et al., 2017a; Moradniani et al., 2017b). The main reason for reducing the effectiveness of triple therapy is the increasing resistance to antibiotics, particularly clarithromycin (De Francesco et al., 2010; Jafri et al., 2008; Park et al., 2016). In Iran, like other countries, the rate of resistance to clarithromycin is increasing. Resistance to clarithromycin has reached from 4.6% in 2000 to 22.4% in 2013. (Azadbakht S, 2017; Khademi et al., 2015). Our study shows that the lev-seq regimen is much more effective than the triple therapy regimen. The results of our study are quite consistent with other studies that have been done in other country (Kale-Pradhan et al., 2015; Molina-Infante et al., 2010; Oezdil et al., 2011; Romano et al., 2010).
A recent meta-analysis reported the eradication rate of lev-seq regimen as 87.8% and the eradication rate using triple therapy as 71% (Gatta et al., 2013). A clinical trial in Spain has reported the eradication rate using the Lev-seq regimen as 82.6% and eradication rate using triple therapy as 64% (Lee et al., 2015). As well, another study in Turkey has demonstrated the eradication rates using the lev-seq regimen and triple therapy as 72.5% and 92%, respectively (Polat et al., 2012). The main cause of the high rates of eradication using the lev-seq regime is the low rate of resistance to levofloxacin (De Francesco et al., 2010; Park et al., 2016). In a recent study in Iran, it was reported that resistance to levofloxacin was 3.5% (Khademi et al., 2015). Levofloxacin is a fluoroquinolone drug which influences H. pylori strains that are resistant to clarithromycin (Kale-Pradhan et al., 2015). Sequential regimens based on levofloxacin is a viable option and can be an ideal alternative for the treatment of H. pylori infection, especially in areas where resistance to clarithromycin is high (Kale-Pradhan et al., 2015; Malfertheiner et al., 2017).

The results of our study show that the rate of complications in levofloxacin-based sequential regimen and triple therapy wasn’t significantly different. The most common side effects were reported in triple therapy to include abdominal pain, loss of appetite and bad oral taste. The most common side effects of the lev-seq regimen include anorexia, nausea and abdominal pain. Our results are consistent with most studies (Moghadaszadeh, 2012; Molina-Infante et al., 2010; Moradniani et al., 2017b; Romano et al., 2010; Seyyedmajidi et al., 2011). In such studies, no significant difference was found between the two groups in terms of side effects (Moghadaszadeh, 2012; Molina-Infante et al., 2010; Moradniani et al., 2017b; Romano et al., 2010).

The high number of consumed drugs, particularly the use of different antibiotics, can cause various side effects. In a study that was conducted by Eisig and colleagues in Brazil, diarrhea, abdominal pain and nausea were expressed, along with other common side effects (Eisig et al., 2011). Roman and colleagues in Italy showed that there is no statistically significant difference between lev-seq and triple therapy regimens in terms of side effects (Romano et al., 2010).

Our results show that the eradication rate of H. pylori infection has no association with age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, education level and side effects. These factors have no impact on the eradication of H. pylori. Results of our study are consistent with the results of other studies (Kale-Pradhan et al., 2015; Moradniani et al., 2017a; Moradniani et al., 2017b; Polat et al., 2012; Romano et al., 2010). However some studies have shown that age and cigarette smoking may have an impact on the eradication rate of H. pylori infection (Eisig et al., 2011; Molina-Infante et al., 2010).

Levofloxacin-based sequential regimen is more effective than triple therapy regimen. It is recommended that lev-seq regimen be used as the first-line therapy, especially in areas with high levels of resistance to clarithromycin (over
20%). More studies with larger numbers of samples are needed to further evaluate the efficacy of this regimen in different parts of the country.

**Conclusion**

Levofloxacin-based sequential regimen is more effective than triple therapy regimen. It is recommended that lev-seq regimen be used as the first-line therapy, especially in areas with high levels of resistance to clarithromycin (over 20%). More studies with larger numbers of samples are needed to further evaluate the efficacy of this regimen in different parts of the country.
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