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Molecular Insights Reveal *Psy1*, *SGR*, and *SIMYB12* Genes are Associated with Diverse Fruit Color Pigments in Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.)
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Abstract: The color of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) fruit flesh is often used as an indicator of quality. Generally, fruit color is determined by the accumulation of carotenoids and flavonoids, along with concomitant degradation of chlorophylls during ripening. Several genes, such as *phytoenesynthetase1* (*Psy1*), *STAY-GREEN* (*SGR*), and *SIMYB12*, have been extensively studied to elucidate the genes controlling fruit coloration. In this study, we observed low carotenoid levels without degradation of chlorophylls in green-fruited tomato caused by mutations in three genes, *Psy1*, *SGR*, and *SIMYB12*. We crossed two inbred lines, BUC30 (green-fruited) and KNR3 (red-fruited), to confirm the causal effects of these mutations on fruit coloration. The F₂ population segregated for eight different fruit colors in the proportions expected for three pairs of gene, as confirmed by a chi-square test. Therefore, we developed a population of tomato with diverse fruit colors and used molecular markers to detect the genes responsible for the individual fruit colors. These newly-designed DNA-based markers can be used for selecting desired fruit color genotypes within adapted breeding materials and cultivars for breeding.
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1. Introduction

Pigments are mainly produced by plants in their reproductive parts (flowers and fruits) to attract pollinators and seed dispersers. Color is considered one of the most important quality attributes of tomato fruit for consumer acceptance [1]. The color of flowers and fruits of plants mainly result from the accumulation of carotenoids and flavonoid pigments [2]. The red color of ripe tomato fruit results from the accumulation of the carotenoid *trans*-lycopen during ripening. Apart from lycopene, tomato fruit also contains β-carotene, phytoene, violaxanthin, and lutein. Tomato fruit can be orange or yellow due to mutations of carotenoid pathway genes [3].

Tomato fruit peels also accumulate flavonoids, which are yellow-colored naringeninchalcones. Flavonoids are accumulated in the cuticle and are responsible for the yellow color in the peel at the breaker stage [4]. Flavonoids are a group of polyphenols, which can be grouped into different classes based on their core structures: chalcones, flavanones, aurores, flavonols, and anthocyanins. A wide range of flavonoids (>6000) have been identified in nature [2]. This diversity may be due to modification by different enzymes, such as glycosyl, malonyl, acyl, and methyl transferases. Apart from ascribing color to plant tissues, flavonoids are also involved in many other aspects of...
plant growth and development, such as resistance against pathogens and protection from ultraviolet light [5,6]. Flavonoids are also hydrophilic antioxidants and are recognized as health-promoting components [7–11]. Anthocyanins produce red, purple, and blue pigments in flowers and fruits, chalcones and aurones produce yellow pigments, and flavonols produce co-pigments [12,13]. In addition, the flavonols queretin-3-rutinoside (rutin) and kaempferol-3-rutinoside are found in the peel of ripened tomato fruit [14,15]. However, mutations of carotenoid and flavonoid biosynthesis pathways and transcriptional regulation of the genes can produce different types of fruit color.

Ripe tomatoes have a wide range of colors, like red, orange, pink, yellow, brown, green, purple, black, and even white. The color of tomato fruit during ripening is also associated with concomitant degradation of chlorophylls [12,13]. Carotenoid and flavonoid biosynthesis and chlorophyll degradation pathways are closely related to the color of tomato fruit. A lack of chlorophyll degradation with a high accumulation of lycopene leads to brown-colored fruits. Naringeninchalcone (NC) is an important flavonoid accumulated mainly in the fruit peel during ripening. The transparent fruit peel observed in pink tomatoes is caused by a lack of NC [16].

Genes and enzymes involved in carotenoid biosynthesis have been extensively studied to better understand the mechanisms of fruit coloration [17]. Molecular markers have been designed to tag the genes responsible for the different colors in tomato fruit, including Psy1, CRTISO, CYC-B, SGR, and SIMYB12 [18]. Psy1 encodes phytoene synthase, which is involved in the formation of 15-cis-phytoene by the condensation of two molecules of geranylgeranyl diphosphate, which is the first condensation step of the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway. The knock-out mutation of Psy1 results in lower accumulation of carotenoids, which confers yellow-colored flesh [19,20]. The fruit color of tangerine mutants, such as tangerinemic and tangerine3183, results from loss of function of CRTISO, which encodes a carotenoid isomerase necessary for the production of trans-lycopene. In the absence of the isomerase, prolycopene accumulates, resulting in an orange color instead of red [21–23]. CYC-B encodes lycopene β-cyclase, which converts lycopene to β-carotene. The amino acid sequence of lycopene β-cyclase is similar to that of capsanthin-capsorubin synthase, which is responsible for red xanthophylls in pepper fruit (Capsicum annum [24]). The old gold (og) and old-gold crimson (ogc) null mutations in CYC-B result in decreased β-carotene and increased lycopene biosynthesis, which confers a change in fruit color from yellow to deep red [24]. We previously reported four Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) in the promoter region of CYC-B that are associated with the orange fruit color [25] in tomato. A mutation in STAY-GREEN (SGR) inhibits chlorophyll degradation during ripening, and when accompanied by an accumulation of lycopene, this leads to brown-colored fruit [26,27]. SGR positively regulates ripening-inhibitor (RIN), which plays a critical role in the regulation of chlorophyll degradation in tomato leaves and fruit [28,29]. SIMYB12 is a transcriptional regulator of NC biosynthesis and is considered a candidate gene for the pink-fruit trait in tomato. Overall, tomato fruit color is determined by the accumulation of intermediate products of carotenoid and flavonoid biosynthesis and chlorophyll degradation.

In this study, we aimed to identify the mutations in Psy1, SGR, and SIMYB12 that are responsible for many of the different fruit color phenotypes in tomatoes and also to design a set of molecular markers associated with the genes corresponding to tomato fruit color.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials and Genomic DNA Extraction

Seeds of two tomato inbred lines, KNR3 (red color when ripe) and BUC30 (green color when ripe), were collected from the Kana Seed Co. Ltd. and Bunong Seed Co. Ltd. Seoul, Korea, respectively (Figure 1). The two inbred lines were crossed and the F2 population of 494 individuals was developed by self-pollinating the F1 plants. Genomic DNA of the parental lines and the F2 population was extracted from eight-week-old leaf tissue using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit from Qiagen (Germantown, MD, USA).
was performed with the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 45 s, and a final elongation at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were loaded into 1.5% agarose gels containing Tris-boric acid EDTA (TBE) buffer and separated by electrophoresis at 80 volts for 1 h before visualization under ultraviolet (UV) light.

2.4. Cloning and Sequencing

Three colonies were sequenced as biological replicates. The recombinant plasmids were purified using the Plasmid Mini kit (Qiagen, 12125, Germantown, MD, USA) and sequenced at Macrogen Corp (Rockville, MD, Korea). The gene sequence and coding sequence (CDS) were amplified from genomic DNA and cDNA, respectively, by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using pfuTurbo DNA polymerase (Stratagene, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The PCR was carried out using the primers (Supplementary Table S1) that were designed based on the sequence information from the Sol genomics network [30]. The PCR product was introduced into a blunt cloning vector using the Topcloner Blunt kit (Enzynomics, EZ012M, Daejeon, Korea). The ligation products were transformed into Escherichia coli DH5-alpha competent cells. The recombinant plasmids were purified using the Plasmid Mini kit (Qiagen, 12125, Germantown, MD, USA) and sequenced at Macrogen Corp (Rockville, MD, Korea). Three colonies were sequenced as biological replicates.
2.5. Detection of SNPs Using Hybprobe

High-resolution melting (HRM) analysis was conducted using 3′-blocked and unlabeled oligonucleotide probes (Hybprobe) to detect SNPs. PCR was performed using the saturating dye LC Green Plus (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) to generate melting curves corresponding to the genotypes. Melting curves were generated and analyzed using the LightScanner Instrument System (Supplementary Figure S1). PCR was performed as follows: pre-denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 20 s, annealing at 60 °C for 20 s, and extension at 72 °C for 30 s, and with a final extension at 72 °C for 40 s. The primer and probe sets for SNP detection are described in Supplementary Table S1.

2.6. HPLC Analysis

Three plants were randomly selected from each group of fruit color phenotypes (eight in total) in the 494 F₂ individuals and the parental lines. Five ripe fruit from each selected plant were used for biochemical analysis using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The phytoene, carotenoids, and chlorophylls were separated using reverse-phase columns (Kinetex 2.6 µm, C18 100A, 100 × 4.60 mm, Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). The whole-fruit extracts were filtered with a 0.2 µm PTFE filter prior to injection. The mobile phase consisted of two solvents, A = 78% methanol and B = 100% ethyl acetate. The carotenoid and chlorophyll analyses were performed using a 1260 Infinity series HPLC instrument (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and Chemstation software (Santa Clara, CA, USA). The carotenoids and chlorophylls were identified and quantified based on their retention times and the absorbance between 280 nm and 480 nm for carotenoids and 660 nm for chlorophylls following the protocol described by Yoo et al. [31]. The values represented in this study are the mean of three biological replicates.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

The biochemical contents in the different colored fruit phenotypes were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s pairwise comparison in MINITAB v.17 (State College, PA, USA) statistical package. The biochemical contents are presented as mean weight ± SD. Standardized data (i.e., the mean subtracted from the case and the result divided by the standard deviation) were used for principal component analysis (PCA). Pigment contents of the eight different fruit color phenotypes analyzed by HPLC at the ripening stage were set as variables using MINITAB v.17 statistical package (Minitab Inc., State College, PA, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Mutation of the RIN Gene and Its Effect on Tomato Color

To confirm the molecular inheritance of green-fruiting tomatoes, we collected commercial red- and green-fruiting tomatoes. Green tomatoes are green at maturity (Figure 1). The ripe green tomatoes of the inbred line BUC30 used in this study were sweet, similar to the ripe red tomatoes of the KNR3 line, and the ripening stages were similar among both lines. Normal tomato fruit turn red during ripening, which is regulated by the transcription factor encoded by RIN. Therefore, a mutation in RIN may be responsible for the green coloration in ripe fruit. The RIN mutation has pleiotropic effects on ripening, resulting in abnormal and extremely delayed ripening [32]. The RIN mutant fails to attain a normal level of pigmentation as a result of decreased accumulation of carotenoids, particularly lycopene, as well as reduced chlorophyll degradation [33]; therefore, the fruit of these tomatoes remain green compared to the wild-type red fruits. Fruit of the RIN mutant eventually turn lemon yellow in color after several weeks, but lack the normal flavor or aroma, possibly due to failure of true ripening [32–34]. We investigated the RIN gene in our green-colored tomatoes by designing primers at different positions of the gene covering the 3′-UTR to the 5′-UTR, but failed to detect any mutation (data not shown). Therefore, we concluded that our green tomatoes carried the wild-type RIN gene.
3.2. Mutation of the Psy1 Gene and Its Effect on Tomato Color

The mutation in Psy1 results in a low accumulation of carotenoids and a yellow-flesh phenotype in tomato fruit [19,20]. Therefore, this lack of carotenoid accumulation during the ripening process may be responsible for the green-fruited phenotype at the ripening stage. To further investigate the molecular mechanisms of the green peel coloration in ripe tomatoes, we analyzed the structure of Psy1. The Psy1 gene in the inbred lines KNR3 and BUC30 was amplified using the following primers: exon 1 forward (Psy1F7 and Psy1CDSF), exon 4 reverse (Psy1RTR1), 5'-UTR forward (Psy1F4), and exon 1 reverse (Psy1R5 and Psy1R6) (Figure 2a and Supplementary Table S1). However, only the primer sets Psy1F4 and Psy1R6, and Psy1F7 and Psy1RTR1 amplified the expected PCR product size in KNR3 (red-fruited line). No products were amplified in BUC30 (green-fruited line) with the primer sets Psy1F4 and Psy1R5, and Psy1CDSF and Psy1RTR1, indicating that there might be a large insertion in the first exon (Figure 2a,b). To confirm this result, we conducted PCR using a different primer set (Psy1CDSF and Psy1R5) designed as an insertion/deletion (InDel) marker, with which two fragments were amplified in KNR3 and one fragment in BUC30 (Figure 2c). Cloning, sequencing, and alignment of the PCR products confirmed that the upper band was the Psy2 fragment, while the lower band was the Psy1 fragment. Psy1 was not amplified in BUC30 (green-fruited line), strengthening our hypothesis of a long insertion in the first exon of Psy1 in BUC30 (Figure 2a,c). This mutation may cause a loss of function of Psy1 due to aberrant splicing of its transcripts, resulting in low carotenoid accumulation in tomato fruit.

\[\text{Figure 2.} \] Gene structures of the wild-type and mutated forms of Psy1 and their amplification products using different sets of primers designed at different positions of the gene to detect mutations. (a) Representation of the putative long insertion in Psy1, which causes the green fruit in BUC30 (lower panel) compared to normal Psy1 in the red-fruited line; (b) PCR amplification of Psy1 from the individual inbred lines with different primers sets. The primers sets include: 5'-UTR forward (Psy1F4), exon 1 reverse (Psy1R5 and Psy1R6), exon 1 forward (Psy1CDSF and Psy1F7), and exon 4 reverse (Psy1RTR1). Amplicons were seen in the red-fruited inbred line (KNR3) when the Psy1F4 + Psy1R6, Psy1F4 + Psy1R5, Psy1CDSF + Psy1RTR1, and Psy1F7 + Psy1RTR1 primer sets were used. However, in the green-fruited inbred line (BUC30), the fragments were not amplified when the Psy1F4 + Psy1R5 and Psy1CDSF + Psy1RTR1 primer sets were used, while PCR products of the expected size were amplified with the Psy1F4 + Psy1R6 and Psy1F7 + Psy1RTR1 primer sets; (c) PCR products amplified from the individual inbred lines with the primer set Psy1CDSF + Psy1R5. Two amplicons were produced in the KNR3 line where the upper band belongs to Psy2 and the lower band belongs to Psy1. The Psy1 band was not detected in the green-fruited BUC30 line. M: 100 bp size marker.
3.3. Mutation in the SGR Gene and Its Effect on Tomato Color

In general, a sharp decrease in the content of chlorophylls and a concomitant increase in the synthesis of carotenoids occur during tomato ripening. Therefore, green-colored fruit may occur due to low contents of carotenoids and less degradation of chlorophylls during ripening. Barry et al. [27] found that a mutation in SGR results in reduced chlorophyll degradation during ripening. We hypothesized that both the Psy1 and SGR mutations may be involved in the green fruit color in BUC30. To explore this, we cloned and sequenced the SGR cDNA from BUC30. A 1-bp substitution (T to C) at position 461 bp and a 31-bp insertion were found in the green-fruited line compared to the red-fruited lines KNR3 and the reference line Heinz1706 (the inbred cultivar used to develop the tomato genomic database [30]). We also cloned and sequenced SGR from the genomic DNA of BUC30 and found a non-synonymous SNP with a 1-bp substitution (T to C) at position 1679 bp. This mutation occurred at the last base pair of exon 3, which resulted in a 31-bp conversion of an intron to an exon in the CDS (Figure 3a,b). This conversion from an intron to an exon might cause alternate splicing of the mRNA, which was detected in the green-fruited tomato line via sequence alignment of SGR cDNA with that of KNR3 and the reference line Heinz1706 (Figure 3b). The non-synonymous SNP with a 1-bp substitution (T to C) in SGR of BUC30 caused alternate splicing in the SGR mRNA and an amino acid change from valine to alanine, which resulted in an early stop codon (depicted as a green star in Figure 3c and Supplementary Data 1). These two mutations (the SNP and the insertion) resulted in loss of function of SGR. The mutations in SGR from BUC30 were also confirmed by 3′-blocked and unlabeled oligonucleotide probing with BUC30 genomic DNA using the HybProbe method. Two different melting curves were distinguishable for the two different sequences in KNR3 and BUC30 (Supplementary Figure S1). Alternative splicing in genes related to carotenoid, chlorophyll, and flavonoid biosynthesis and metabolism was reported in kiwi fruit [35] and in wild barley (Hordeum chilense; [36]).
were absent in BUC30, indicating that (Supplementary Table S3). The HPLC analysis of the eight different phenotypes for carotenoid and (aF1/aR6 and aF1/aR5) were used as insertion/deletion (InDel) markers (Supplementary Table S1) for separate PCR reactions, then the PCR products were mixed and separated on a 1.5% agarose gel. The presence of two bands (950 bp and 614 bp) were observed in the KNR3 line, but both of the bands followed by a 31-bp insertion, which resulted in alternative splicing in the mRNA due to the conversion of the intron to an exon (the orange box). This insertion resulted in an early stop codon (green box inside the orange box); (c) Amino acid alignment showing one amino acid substitution (green star) due to the non-synonymous SNP and termination of translation (red star) of the protein in the green-fruit line BUC30; (d) Graphical representation of the SGR gene based on the genomic sequence, where the T to C substitution is positioned at the end of the third exon.

3.4. Mutation of the SlMYB12 Gene and Its Effect on Tomato Fruit Color

SlMYB12 is a transcription factor that regulates flavonoid biosynthesis in tomato fruit during ripening and also the color of the flesh and peel of the fruit. A strong correlation between the expression of SlMYB12 and the level of carotenoids in tomato fruit was reported by Veerappan et al. [37]. Three allelic variants of SlMYB12, a 603-bp deletion, a nucleotide substitution (C to T), and a 1-bp insertion (TG to TAG) have been reported as being responsible for the pink fruit color in tomato [19].

To elucidate the roles of these mutations on green fruit color in tomatoes, two independent primer sets (aF1/aR6 and aF1/aR5) were used as insertion/deletion (InDel) markers (Supplementary Table S1) for separate PCR reactions, then the PCR products were mixed and separated on a 1.5% agarose gel. The presence of two bands (950 bp and 614 bp) were observed in the KNR3 line, but both of the bands were absent in BUC30, indicating that SlMYB12 was present in KNR3 (red-fruit line), but had been deleted in BUC30 (green-fruit line). By contrast, a single band of 347 bp was detected in BUC30, suggesting that a 603-bp deletion of SlMYB12 (Supplementary Figure S2) may also be responsible for the green fruit color in BUC30. The deletion was hypothesized to cause transcriptional repression of SlMYB12 in tomato [38]. Based on the results of this study, we concluded that the mutations of Psy1, SGR, and SlMYB12 are present in the BUC30 line at ripening.

3.5. Characterization of the Psy1, SGR, and SlMYB12 Mutations in the F2 Segregants

To follow the segregation of the Psy1, SGR, and SlMYB12 mutations, a segregating F2 population of 494 individuals was developed by crossing two inbred lines, KNR3 (red-fruit line) and BUC30 (green-fruit line). The F2 generation exhibited eight different fruit color phenotypes: red, yellow, brown, pink, light yellow, pink brown, yellow green, and green, following the expected ratio of 27:9:9:9:3:3:3:1 for three segregating gene pairs (chi-square value = 5.96; p < 0.05) (Supplementary Figure S3 and Supplementary Table S2). The fruit color of the F1 population was the normal red color. The phenotypes in the F2 segregants were produced due to different levels of accumulation of carotenoids and chlorophylls, which are regulated by three genes, Psy1, SGR, and SlMYB12 (Supplementary Table S3). The HPLC analysis of the eight different phenotypes for carotenoid and chlorophyll content and peel color further illustrated the presence of the mutations (Supplementary Table S4).
chlorophyll content and peel color further illustrated the presence of the mutations (Supplementary Table S4).

In the green fruit phenotype, most of the carotenoids were absent except for β-carotene and lutein, but chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b were present (Figure 4). The presence of chlorophylls and the transparent peel in the green fruits confirmed the failure of chlorophyll degradation (Supplementary Table S4) and the absence of carotenoids. We detected the mutated Psy1, SGR, and SIMYB12 genes in the green-fruit F2 segregants (Table 1, Figure 2b,c and Supplementary Figures S1 and S2) using the combinations of primer pairs shown in Supplementary Table S1. In the red-fruit plants, a significantly higher amount of trans-lycopene was present followed by γ-carotene, β-carotene, and lutein, and a complete absence of chlorophylls compared to the green-fruit plants (Figure 4). This result indicated that a normal accumulation of carotenoids and simultaneous degradation of chlorophylls occurred in the red-fruit plants, suggesting that the wild-type Psy1, SGR, and SIMYB12 genes were present (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S4), which was in accordance with the findings of Davies [12] and Tanaka et al. [13]. The pink-fruit tomatoes contained a significant higher amount of trans-lycopene followed by β-carotene and γ-carotene, and lacked chlorophylls compared to green-fruit one (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table S4), which was also supported by previous reports [2,16,37].

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Biochemical profiles of the eight different fruit color phenotypes in the F2 population of the cross between the red-fruit line (KNR3) and the green-fruit line (BUC30). Values indicate the mean of the HPLC results of five fruit harvested from three randomly-selected plants for each fruit color 57 days after pollination. Error bars are the standard deviation (SD) values of the HPLC results of the respective fruit color. Different letters indicate significance differences among the fruit phenotypes for the particular biochemicals tested at the p < 0.05 level.

Higher amounts of trans-lycopene, β-carotene, γ-carotene, and chlorophylls were found in the brown-fruit tomatoes compared to the green-fruit plants due to the presence of wild-type Psy1 and SIMYB12 coupled with mutated SGR (Figure 4, Table 1 and Supplementary Table S4). The yellow fruit phenotype was produced due to the combination of mutated Psy1 with wild-type SGR and SIMYB12. Psy1 catalyzes the first step in carotenoid biosynthesis; therefore, the fruit failed to accumulate lycopene (Supplementary Table S4) as suggested by Fray and Grierson [39]. In the light-yellow fruit phenotype, the presence of mutated Psy1 and SIMYB12 was found. By contrast, mutated Psy1 and SGR were
confirmed in the yellow-green phenotype. In the pink-brown phenotype, mutated SGR and SIMYB12 were detected along with wild-type Psy1 (Table 1).

Our results indicated that variable levels of carotenoids and chlorophylls accumulated in the different fruit color phenotypes, which were directly associated with different combinations of mutated and wild-type Psy1, SGR, and SIMYB12 genes. The nucleotide diversity of genes influences the carotenoid levels in fruit during ripening by regulating biosynthesis or signaling pathways [17]. All of the 494 F2 individuals segregating for the eight different fruit color phenotypes were screened with the markers designed in this study, listed in Table 2, to correlate the mutations of Psy1, SGR, and SIMYB12 with a particular fruit color phenotype. All of our markers were 100% effective at predicting the phenotype (see an example in Figure 5a–c).

Table 1. Summary of the genetic makeup of the different fruit color phenotypes in the F2 population for Psy1, SGR, and SIMYB12 in tomato.

| Fruit Phenotypes | Genetic Make up |
|------------------|----------------|
|                  | Psy1 | SGR | SIMYB12 |
| Red              | N    | N   | N       |
| Yellow           | M    | N   | N       |
| Brown            | N    | M   | N       |
| Pink             | N    | N   | M       |
| Light yellow     | M    | N   | M       |
| Pink brown       | N    | M   | M       |
| Yellow green     | M    | M   | N       |
| Green            | M    | M   | M       |

N—normal, and M—mutated.

Figure 5. Validation of the molecular markers designed to follow the mutations of Psy1, SIMYB12, and SGR using randomly-selected plants from an F2 population segregating for eight fruit color phenotypes. (a) PCR amplicons of mutated Psy1 using Psy1CDSF+Psy1R5 primers; (b) PCR amplicons of mutated SIMYB12 using MYB12-603del-aF1+aR6 and MYB12-603del-aF1+aR5 primers; (c) High-resolution melting (HRM) curve of the 1-bp substitution in SGR using 3’-blocked and unlabeled oligonucleotide probes (Hybprobe-complementary sequence). #15, #16, and #18 (red-fruit plants); #1, #5, and #9 (brown-fruit plants); #3, #40, and #55 (yellow-fruit plants); #8, #11, and #25 (yellow-green-fruit plants); #17, #31, and #35 (pink-fruit plants); #23, #115, and #142 (pink-brown-fruit plants); #33, #43, and #99 (light-yellow-fruit plants); #24, #67, and #153 (green-fruit plants); and M is the 100-bp molecular ladder.
Table 2. List of markers designed to follow the mutations in *Psy1*, *SGR*, and *SIMYB12* responsible for the eight fruit color phenotypes in the segregating F$_2$ population with their respective PCR amplicon sizes and SNP positions in the sequences.

| Gene   | Primer Name | Sequence (5′-3′)                   | Red       | Yellow    | Brown     | Pink      | Light Yellow | Pink Brown | Yellow Green | Green     |
|--------|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------|
| *Psy1* |             | ATGTCCTGGCCTTTATGGGGTGTTTCTG       | 396       | 468       | 396       | 396       | 468          | 396        | 468          | 468       |
|        | Psy1CDSF    | TGCATACTCTCACCATTCCACCCACCA        |           |           |           |           |              |            |              |           |
|        | Psy1R5      |                                     |           |           |           |           |              |            |              |           |
| *SGR*  | SGR4-aR2    | AGGATCTGACACGGACCCAATAACA          | A at 1679 | A at 1679 | G at 1679 | A at 1679 | A at 1679    | G at 1679  | G at 1679    | G at 1679 |
|        | SGR4-aF2    | GAAAGATGCTACCTGATGTTCAATTG         |           |           |           |           |              |            |              |           |
| *SIMYB12* | aF1       | GTGACGAACACCCGCTGAA             | 950 (aF1 + aR6) | 950 (aF1 + aR6) | 950 (aF1 + aR6) | 347 (aF1 + aR6) | 347 (aF1 + aR6) | 347 (aF1 + aR6) | 950 (aF1 + aR6) | 950 (aF1 + aR6) |
3.6. Association among Biochemical Accumulation, Gene Mutation, and Different Fruit Colors

Principal component analysis of the contents of nine individual biochemicals (carotenoids and chlorophylls) and the mutated or wild-type form of Psy1, SGR, and SIMYB12 showed a major contrast between the accumulation of individual biochemicals and gene mutations towards diverse fruit color phenotypes in tomato. The first two principal components (PCs) explained 90.2% of the total variation in the data (Table 3). PC1 accounted for 66% of the total variation, which was largely influenced by higher positive coefficients for trans-lycopene, γ-carotene, cis-lycopene, phptoene, phytofluene, β-carotene, and lutein versus lower negative coefficients for chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b (Table 3).

| Variable          | PC1     | PC2     |
|-------------------|---------|---------|
| Phytoene          | 0.385   | 0.002   |
| Phytofluene       | 0.374   | 0.044   |
| cis-lycopene      | 0.396   | −0.002  |
| trans-lycopene    | 0.408   | 0.000   |
| γ-carotene        | 0.401   | −0.010  |
| β-carotene        | 0.353   | −0.172  |
| Lutein            | 0.267   | −0.418  |
| Chlorophyll a     | −0.140  | −0.622  |
| Chlorophyll b     | −0.115  | −0.638  |
| % variation explained | 0.660   | 0.242   |
| p-value           | <0.01   | <0.01   |

Table 3. Component loading biochemical (carotenoids and chlorophylls) concentrations and mean PC scores of eight different fruit color phenotypes of tomato in the segregating F2 population as determined by principal component analysis (PCA).

In PC1, trans-lycopene, γ-carotene, cis-lycopene, phptoene, and chlorophyll a, and in PC2, phytofluene, chlorophyll b, chlorophyll a, and lutein explained the majority of the variation of the entire dataset (Table 3). PC1 clearly separated the red and pink phenotypes from the green and yellow green phenotypes to the furthest opposite diagonal quadrant in the PCA biplot (Figure 6). This was also evident from the higher mean PC scores in opposite directions in these fruit color (red and pink +3.59 and +2.90, respectively, and green and yellow-green −2.20 and −2.04, respectively) phenotypes (Table 3). The red and pink fruit-color phenotypes were associated with complete degradation of the chlorophyll in the fruit. In contrast, green and yellow-green fruit-color phenotypes were associated with a lack of chlorophyll degradation coupled with no accumulation of carotenoids (Supplementary Table S4). PC2 explained 24.2% of the variation in the data, which was largely dominated by higher coefficients of phytofluene and phytoene and lower coefficients of chlorophyll b, chlorophyll a, lutein, and β-carotene (Table 3).

PC2 also clearly separated the yellow and light-yellow from the brown and pink-brown phenotypes to the furthest opposite diagonal quadrant in the PCA biplot (Figure 6) and by their contrasting PC scores (Table 3). This result further illustrated that the yellow and light-yellow phenotypes are associated with complete degradation of chlorophylls with a slight accumulation of β-carotenoid and lutein, whereas the brown and pink-brown phenotypes are associated with the accumulation of chlorophylls with different levels of carotenoids (Supplementary Table S4).
with tomato fruit color phenotypes based on catalyzing the breakdown or accumulation of different carotenoids and chlorophylls. In ripe tomato fruit of the KNR3 line (red-fruited line), lycopene accounted for approximately 76% of the pigment, with the remaining pigments mainly \( \gamma \)-carotene, \( \beta \)-carotene, and phytoene, and complete degradation of chlorophylls. This line contained the wild-type forms of \( \text{Psy1} \), \( \text{SGR} \), and \( \text{SIMYB12} \). By contrast, we found that the green-fruited line, BUC30, contained the mutated form of each of these genes. This plant contained no carotenoids and there was no degradation of chlorophylls. The mutant form of \( \text{SGR} \) and a lack degradation of chlorophylls were associated with the brown-fruited phenotype. The pink fruit-color phenotype was due to the mutated form of \( \text{SIMYB12} \), and the yellow phenotype resulted from a mutation in \( \text{Psy1} \). In addition, the light-yellow phenotype carried the mutated form of \( \text{Psy1} \) and \( \text{SIMYB12} \), the pink-brown phenotype contained the mutated form of \( \text{SGR} \) and \( \text{SIMYB12} \), and the yellow-green phenotype was associated with the \( \text{Psy1} \) and \( \text{SGR} \) mutations. Additionally, our study provided new molecular markers for identifying the eight different fruit color phenotypes observed in the F\(_2\) population. The molecular markers designed and identified in this study may be useful in breeding to develop and select desired fruit-color phenotypes in tomato.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we confirmed the association of \( \text{Psy1} \), \( \text{SGR} \), and \( \text{SIMYB12} \) genes and their mutations with tomato fruit color phenotypes based on catalyzing the breakdown or accumulation of different carotenoids and chlorophylls. In ripe tomato fruit of the KNR3 line (red-fruited line), lycopene accounted for approximately 76% of the pigment, with the remaining pigments mainly \( \gamma \)-carotene, \( \beta \)-carotene, and phytoene, and complete degradation of chlorophylls. This line contained the wild-type forms of \( \text{Psy1} \), \( \text{SGR} \), and \( \text{SIMYB12} \). By contrast, we found that the green-fruited line, BUC30, contained the mutated form of each of these genes. This plant contained no carotenoids and there was no degradation of chlorophylls. The mutant form of \( \text{SGR} \) and a lack degradation of chlorophylls were associated with the brown-fruited phenotype. The pink fruit-color phenotype was due to the mutated form of \( \text{SIMYB12} \), and the yellow phenotype resulted from a mutation in \( \text{Psy1} \). In addition, the light-yellow phenotype carried the mutated form of \( \text{Psy1} \) and \( \text{SIMYB12} \), the pink-brown phenotype contained the mutated form of \( \text{SGR} \) and \( \text{SIMYB12} \), and the yellow-green phenotype was associated with the \( \text{Psy1} \) and \( \text{SGR} \) mutations. Additionally, our study provided new molecular markers for identifying the eight different fruit color phenotypes observed in the F\(_2\) population. The molecular markers designed and identified in this study may be useful in breeding to develop and select desired fruit-color phenotypes in tomato.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials are available online. Figure S1: Confirmation of identified SNP of the \( \text{SGR} \) gene mutation using 3'-blocked and unlabeled oligonucleotide probe (Hybprobe). Figure S2: Schematic depiction of the \( \text{SIMYB12} \) gene illustrating the 603 bp deletion in the upstream region (a), Gel image showing 603 bp deletion in the upstream of \( \text{SIMYB12} \) gene in green fruited inbred line, BUC30 (b). Figure S3: Images of 8 different fruit colour tomato phenotypes in F\(_2\) population obtained from the cross between BUC30 (green fruit colour line) and KNR3 (red fruited line) and KNR3 (red fruit colour line). Table S1: Primers and probes used in this study Table S2: Phenotypes of F\(_2\) population segregate for eight fruit colour of a cross between KNR3 × BUC30 with chi-squared test for goodness of fit Table S3: Respective Phenotype and genotype of F\(_2\) population of a cross between KNR3 × BUC30 Table S4: HPLC analysis of carotenoid and chlorophyll content in F\(_2\) population fruits Supplementary Data 1: Genomic, cds, and protein sequences of \( \text{SGR} \) gene for the genotypes Heinz1706, KNR3 (red fruited line), and BUC30 (green fruited line).
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