Crystal structure of the two-subunit tRNA $m^1A58$ methyltransferase TRM6-TRM61 from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
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The N$^1$ methylation of adenine at position 58 ($m^1A58$) of tRNA is an important post-transcriptional modification, which is vital for maintaining the stability of the initiator methionine tRNA$^{i}$Met. In eukaryotes, this modification is performed by the TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme. To understand the molecular mechanism that underlies the cooperation of TRM6 and TRM61 in the methyl transfer reaction, we determined the crystal structure of TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in the presence and absence of its methyl donor S-Adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM). In the structures, two TRM6-TRM61 heterodimers assemble as a heterotetramer. Both TRM6 and TRM61 subunits comprise an N-terminal $\beta$-barrel domain linked to a C-terminal Rossmann-fold domain. TRM61 functions as the catalytic subunit, containing a methyl donor (SAM) binding pocket. TRM6 diverges from TRM61, lacking the conserved motifs used for binding SAM. However, TRM6 cooperates with TRM61 forming an L-shaped tRNA binding regions. Collectively, our results provide a structural basis for better understanding the $m^1A58$ modification of tRNA occurred in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.

Transfer RNA (tRNA), typically 76 to 90 nucleotides in length, is a necessary component of the protein translation machinery.$^{1,2}$ It serves as a carrier delivering amino acids to the ribosome for protein synthesis. An indispensable role of tRNAs is that they could specify which sequence from the genetic code corresponds to which amino acid.$^{3}$ In eukaryotes, tRNAs are transcribed by RNA polymerase III as pre-tRNAs. During tRNAs maturation, pre-tRNAs undergo a series of post-transcriptional modifications, including splicing, end-trimming, and base or ribose modifications. These modifications are helpful to stabilize the 3D structure of tRNAs, and are crucial to ensure the translation efficiency and fidelity.$^{3-5}$

To date, 108 currently known chemical modifications of tRNA have been identified. Of these, the most common and prevalent tRNA modifications is methylation$^6$. Adenine at position 58 ($A58$) located in the T-loop is one of the most conserved nucleosides in tRNA, and the occurrence of 1-methyladenosine ($m^1A$) at position 58 has been reported from all three kingdoms$^7$. This modification also plays an important biological role in both eukaryotes and prokaryotes. For example, tRNA $m^1A58$ modification is required for *Thermus thermophilus* to survive at high temperatures$^8$. In yeast, inactivation of gene coding for $m^1A58$ tRNA methyltransferase causes the instability of initiator tRNA$^{i}$Met, and tRNA$^{i}$Met lacking $m^1A58$ modification is subsequently degraded by nuclear exosome$^9,10$. Particularly important is that methylated adenine at position 58 in human tRNA$^{i}$Met is closely associated with immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1). Studies have proved that $m^1A58$ modification of tRNA$^{i}$Met is required for both efficacy and fidelity of (+) strand DNA transfer during HIV replication$^{11,12}$. The RNA methyltransferases are responsible for this modification, which catalyze the transfer of methyl group from the methyl donor S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) to various positions of the nucleotide bases, yielding a methylated RNA and S-adenosyl-homocysteine (SAH)$^{13}$. All known RNA methyltransferases can be classified into four superfamilies, including Rossmann-fold, SPOUT, Radical-SAM and FAD/NAD(p)-dependent.
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methyltransferase\textsuperscript{14,15}. The m\textsuperscript{1}A58 tRNA methyltransferase is appropriate for the Rossmann-fold superfamily. Thus far, the crystal structures of four bacterial m\textsuperscript{1}A58 tRNA methyltransferases have been reported, comprising MtTrmI (m\textsuperscript{1}A58 tRNA methyltransferases from Mycobacterium tuberculosis)\textsuperscript{16,17}, TtTrmI (m\textsuperscript{1}A58 tRNA methyltransferases from Thermus thermophilus)\textsuperscript{18}, AaTrmI (m\textsuperscript{1}A58 tRNA methyltransferases from Aquifex aeolicus), and PaTrmI (m\textsuperscript{1}A58 tRNA methyltransferases from Pyrococcus abyssi)\textsuperscript{19,20}. These studies indicate that the m\textsuperscript{1}A58 tRNA methyltransferases from bacterial and archaeal consist of one subunit and function as homotetramers.

Distinctly different from bacterial and archaeal, the known eukaryotic m\textsuperscript{1}A58 tRNA methyltransferases are composed of two subunits and supposed to function as heterotetramers. In yeast, the two subunits complex TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} has been identified as the key factor of this methyl transfer reaction\textsuperscript{21,22}. Study discloses that S. cerevisiae TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} complex is vital for the m\textsuperscript{1}A58 modification in the processing and accumulation of tRNA\textsubscript{Met}, which is a key initiation step of translation\textsuperscript{23}. Detailed sequence analysis further demonstrates that TRM6 possesses a typical Rossmann-fold, and shares obvious sequence similarity to the bacterial and archaeal m\textsuperscript{1}A58 tRNA methyltransferase TrmI. In contrast, TRM6 homologs have been identified only in eukaryotes, and no evident sequence similarity with any proteins other than orthologs is found. This unique binary composition of eukaryotic m\textsuperscript{1}A58 tRNA methyltransferases hints a different mechanism for tRNA recognition and catalysis than its prokaryotic counterparts.

In this study, we report the crystal structures of S. cerevisiae TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} complex with SAM. TRM6 and TRM6\textsubscript{1} adopt a similar fold, with a high structural similarity to the prokaryotic counterpart TrmI. Differently, the S. cerevisiae TRM6 and TRM6\textsubscript{1} evolve more structural elements to better suit the 3D conformation of target tRNA. Further, the structural comparison of the wild-type TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} and TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1}-SAM disclose the fine rearrangements of the active site upon SAM binding. When we prepared this manuscript, the structure of S. cerevisiae TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} was reported by Stroud's group\textsuperscript{24}. Here, our results provide a tRNA-unbound state TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} holoenzyme, which assists in understanding the conformation change upon tRNA binding occurred in eukaryotes. Taken together, these two structures suggest a hand open-close strategy for eukaryotic tRNA m\textsuperscript{1}A58 methyltransferases to recognize target tRNAs.

Results and Discussion

Overall structure of TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} holoenzyme. To improve understanding the target tRNA recognition and catalytic mechanism of the two component m\textsuperscript{1}A58 tRNA methyltransferase in eukaryotes, we solved the structure of the TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} holoenzyme from S. cerevisiae by the SAD method using the Se anomalous signal. The final model has been refined to 2.2 Å resolution and the details of the data collection and refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1. As shown in Fig. 1A, one TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} heterodimer adopts a rectangular shape with two arms protruding from the core.

TRM6, the eukaryotic homologue of the bacterial and archaeal m\textsuperscript{1}A58 tRNA methyltransferase TrmI, consists of two distinct domains: a small N-terminal domain (residues 4–78) and a large C-terminal domain (residues 79–378). The small N-terminal domain consists of three hairpin \(\beta\)-motifs, which form a \(\beta\)-barrel (Fig. 1C). The large C-terminal domain adopts a typical Rossmann-fold, comprising a central seven-stranded \(\beta\)-sheet flanked by three \(\alpha\)-helices on each side (Fig. 1C). The first five strands of the \(\beta\)-sheet are parallel, while the other two strands at the C-terminal end are antiparallel. Between \(\alpha\)9 and \(\alpha\)14, there is an arm protruding from the globular Rossmann-fold, including \(\alpha\)10, antiparallel \(\beta\)12 and \(\beta\)13, and a highly flexible region spanning residues 286–331 (Fig. 1C). The N- and C-terminal domains are linked by \(\alpha\)2 and stabilize each other via extensive hydrophobic interactions.

TRM6 contains 478 amino acid residues, comprising an N-terminal \(\beta\)-barrel domain (residues 1–196) and a C-terminal Rossmann fold domain (residues 197–451). Due to the flexibility, residues 61–78, residues 88–188, residues 322–325 and residues 453–478 are not observed. The N-terminal \(\beta\)-barrel domain consists of seven antiparallel \(\beta\) strands and a highly flexible region (~120 amino acid residues) that is not visible in the electron density. Similar to most Class I SAM-dependent methyltransferases, the C-terminal Rossmann-fold of TRM6 is also composed of a central seven-stranded \(\beta\)-sheet flanked by \(\alpha\)-helices on both sides. With some changes, there is an arm insertion between \(\beta\)10 and \(\beta\)11, including \(\alpha\)8, \(\alpha\)9 and \(\alpha\)10 (residues 302–360) (Fig. 1B). TRM6 presented in our structure displays an overall similar 3D structure with TRM61. A Dali research has further confirmed that TRM6 is structurally homologous to the TrmI family proteins, such as MtTrmI (PDB code 1I9G), TtTrmI (PDB code 2PWY), PaTrmI (PDB code 3MB5) and AaTrmI (PDB code 2YVL), with a Dali Z-score of 21.5, 20.5, 19.1 respectively.

When we launched rigorous trials aimed at crystallizing TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} in complex with the target tRNA\textsubscript{Met}, the complex structure TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1}-tRNA\textsubscript{3194 Å2 of TRM6 and 3167 Å2 of TRM61 solvent-accessible area, which represents about 17% and 16% of TRM6 and TRM61's total surface area, respectively. As shown in Fig. 2, TRM6 mainly interacts with TRM6\textsubscript{1} through the 3D conformation of target tRNA. Further, the structural comparison of the wild-type TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} and TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1}-SAM disclose the fine rearrangements of the active site upon SAM binding. When we prepared this manuscript, the structure of S. cerevisiae TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} was reported by Stroud's group\textsuperscript{24}. Here, our results provide a tRNA-unbound state TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} holoenzyme, which assists in understanding the conformation change upon tRNA binding occurred in eukaryotes. Taken together, these two structures suggest a hand open-close strategy for eukaryotic tRNA m\textsuperscript{1}A58 methyltransferases to recognize target tRNAs.

The heterodimer interface of TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1}. TRM6 and TRM6\textsubscript{1} form a compact complex via numerous hydrogen bonding and extensive hydrophobic interactions. The heterodimer interface of TRM6-TRM6\textsubscript{1} buries 3194 Å\textsuperscript{2} of TRM6 and 3167 Å\textsuperscript{2} of TRM61 solvent-accessible area, which represents about 17% and 16% of TRM6 and TRM61's total surface area, respectively. As shown in Fig. 2, TRM6 mainly interacts with TRM6\textsubscript{1} through four major sites. For site A, the C-terminal helix \(\alpha\)11 of TRM6\textsubscript{1} inserts into a channel formed by the N-terminal loop and helix \(\alpha\) of TRM6. Residues Val\textsubscript{174} and Arg\textsubscript{469} of TRM6\textsubscript{1} make hydrophobic interactions with residues Met\textsubscript{1}, Phe\textsubscript{374} and Tyr\textsubscript{286} of TRM6 (Fig. 2A). In addition, the side-chain atom NH\textsubscript{1} of Arg\textsubscript{469} (TRM6\textsubscript{1})...
contributes two hydrogen bonds with the main-chain carbonyl of residues Asn44 and Ile47 (TRM6), respectively (Fig. 2A). For site B, the “n” shape loop following strands $\beta_{13}$ of TRM6 makes close contact with the concave surface enclosed by strand $\beta_{12}$ and $\alpha_{9}$ of TRM61. The interface involves a hydrophobic interaction, including residues Tyr422 (TRM6), Arg426 (TRM6), Leu429 (TRM6), Ile233 (TRM61), Val236 (TRM61), Leu240 (TRM61), Met253 (TRM61), and Tyr357 (TRM61) (Fig. 2B). Beyond that, the side-chain atom OE2 of Glu255 (TRM61) forms two hydrogen bonds with the side-chain atoms NH2 and NE of residue Arg420 (TRM6) (Fig. 2B). The side-chain atoms NE and NH2 of Arg426 (TRM6) contribute another two hydrogen bonds with the side-chain atoms OD1 and OD2 of Asp237 (TRM61), respectively (Fig. 2B).

For site C, the strand $\beta_{12}$ of TRM6 and the strand $\beta_{13}$ of TRM61 are antiparallel to each other, forming a $\beta$-sheet that involves eight hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2C). For site D, the N-terminal $\beta$-barrel domain and helix $\alpha_{1}$ of TRM61 form extensive hydrophobic interactions with the Rossmann fold domain of TRM6. Residues Tyr9, Trp22, Ile28, His74, Leu76, Leu82, and Leu85 of TRM61 form a hydrophobic core packing with residues Tyr237, Pro385, Leu410, Trp444, His446, and Val448 of TRM6 (Fig. 2D). Additionally, the side-chain atom NH2 of Arg426 (TRM6) forms hydrogen bond with the side-chain carbonyl of residue Lys10 of TRM61 (Fig. 2D). The side-chain atoms NH1 and NH2 of Arg384 (TRM6) contribute another two hydrogen bonds with the side-chain atoms OD1 and OD2 of Asp357 (TRM61), respectively (Fig. 2D).

Two TRM6-TRM61 heterodimers assemble as a heterotetramer. Previously, we have shown that a symmetric unit of the TRM6-TRM61 crystal contains one molecule of TRM6 and one molecule of TRM61, forming a 1:1 heterodimer. Further examination of symmetry-related molecules indicated that TRM6 and TRM61 form a 2:2 tetrameric heterocomplex, displaying a “Ω” shape. As shown in Fig. 3A, two symmetry-related TRM6-TRM61 heterodimers come together to form a central $\beta$-barrel structure that consists of $\beta_{13}$ (TRM6), loop $\beta_{13}/\beta_{14}$ (TRM6), $\beta_{12}$ (TRM61) and loop $\beta_{13}/\beta_{14}$ (TRM61). The top of the barrel contains a hydrophobic core, formed by residues Tyr422 (TRM6), Pro431 (TRM6), Met253 (TRM61), His354 (TRM61), and Tyr357 (TRM61).

| Data collection statistics | TRM6-TRM61-SeMet | TRM6-TRM61 | TRM6-TRM61-SAM |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------|----------------|
| Space Group               | $P_{3_2}2_1$     | $P_{3_2}2_1$| $P_{3_2}2_1$   |
| Unit Cell Parameters      |                  |            |                |
| $a$, $b$, $c$ (Å)         | 140.5, 140.5, 102.9 | 138.7, 138.7, 102.7 | 139.9, 139.9, 103.5 |
| $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ (°) | 90.0, 90.0, 120.0 | 90.0, 90.0, 120.0 | 90.0, 90.0, 120.0 |
| Wavelength (Å)            | 0.9785           | 0.9792     | 0.9785         |
| Resolution limits (Å)$^a$ | 50.00-2.70 (2.80-2.70) | 50.00-2.20 (2.28-2.20) | 50.00-2.20 (2.28-2.20) |
| Completeness (%)          | 100.0 (100.0)    | 99.8 (99.8) | 99.9 (99.8)    |
| Redundancy                | 11.1 (10.7)      | 8.3 (7.9)  | 7.7 (7.9)      |
| $R_{merge}$ (%)           | 12.8 (42.9)      | 8.6 (70.6) | 8.6 (77.0)     |
| $R_{exp}$ (%)             | 4.0 (13.7)       | 3.2 (26.1) | 3.3 (29.0)     |
| Mean I/σ(I)               | 27.8 (5.1)       | 23.5 (3.4) | 21.6 (2.4)     |

Refinement Statistics

| Resolution limits (Å) | 50.00-2.20 |
| No. of reflections    | 57895      |
| $R_{work}$ (%)        | 18.32/21.17 |
| $R_{free}$ (%)        | 18.42/21.36 |
| R.m.s.d for bonds (Å) | 0.008      |
| R.m.s.d for angles (°) | 1.129     |
| B factor (Å$^2$)      | 50.45      |
| Protein               | 49.99      |
| Water                 | 58.20      |
| SAM                   | 49.99      |
| No. of non-hydrogen protein atoms | 5297    |
| No. of water oxygen atoms | 199     |
| Ramachandran plot (%) | 92.3       |
| most favored regions  | 91.9       |
| additional allowed regions | 7.7     |
| PDB code              | 5EQJ       |

Table 1. Data collection and Refinement Statistics. *Values in parentheses are for the highest-resolution shell. $^b R_{merge} = \sum |I_i - <I>|/\sum |I|$, where $I_i$ is the intensity of an individual reflection and $<I>$ is the average intensity of that reflection. $^c R_{work} = \sum |F_o| - |F_c|/\sum |F_o|$, where $F_o$ and $F_c$ are the observed and calculated structure factors for reflections, respectively. $^d R_{free}$ was calculated as $R_{work}$ using the 5% of reflections that were selected randomly and omitted from refinement.

Two TRM6-TRM61 heterodimers assemble as a heterotetramer. Previously, we have shown that a symmetric unit of the TRM6-TRM61 crystal contains one molecule of TRM6 and one molecule of TRM61, forming a 1:1 heterodimer. Further examination of symmetry-related molecules indicated that TRM6 and TRM61 form a 2:2 tetrameric heterocomplex, displaying a “Ω” shape. As shown in Fig. 3A, two symmetry-related TRM6-TRM61 heterodimers come together to form a central $\beta$-barrel structure that consists of $\beta_{13}$ (TRM6), loop $\beta_{13}/\beta_{14}$ (TRM6), $\beta_{12}$ (TRM61) and loop $\beta_{13}/\beta_{14}$ (TRM61). The top of the barrel contains a hydrophobic core, formed by residues Tyr422 (TRM6), Pro431 (TRM6), Met253 (TRM61), His354 (TRM61), and Tyr357 (TRM61).
Figure 1. Overall structure of TRM6-TRM61 complex. (A) Two views of the complex structure of TRM6 with TRM61. TRM6 and TRM61 are colored in tint and cyan, respectively. (B) Cartoon show of the structure of TRM6. (C) Cartoon show of the structure of TRM61. α-helices and β-strands are labeled, respectively. (D) Superposition of S. cerevisiae TRM6-TRM61 with the H. sapiens homologue. S. cerevisiae TRM6 and TRM61 are colored the same as Fig. 1A. The H. sapiens TRM6-TRM61 complex is colored in magenta. (E,F) Structural superposition of S. cerevisiae TRM6 (E) and TRM61 (F) with its H. sapiens counterparts. The TRM6 and TRM61 are colored the same as Fig. 1D.

Figure 2. The heterodimer interface of TRM6-TRM61. The interface of TRM6 and TRM61 heterodimer can be divided into four sites. (A) Details show of the interaction between the C-terminal helix α11 of TRM61 and the N-terminal β-barrel domain of TRM6. (B) Cartoon show of the interface between the "n" shape loop following strands β13 of TRM6 and the concave surface enclosed by strand β12 and α9 of TRM61. (C) Cartoon show of the network of hydrogen bonds in the β-sheet formed by the strand β12 of TRM6 and the strand β13 of TRM61. (D) Details show of the interaction between the N-terminal β-barrel domain of TRM61 and the Rossmann fold domain of TRM6. The residues involved in the interaction are labeled and shown as sticks, hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines.

(Fig. 3B). The center of the barrel is filled with numerous hydrophilic side-chains, including residues Glu^{416} (TRM6), Arg^{418} (TRM6), Arg^{258} (TRM6), Glu^{255} (TRM61), Gln^{257} (TRM61) and Arg^{259} (TRM61) (Fig. 3C). The bottom of the barrel consists of a cage of four tyrosine residues (Fig. 3D). To investigate the oligomer state of
TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme in solution, we performed the size-exclusion chromatography assay. As shown in Fig. 3E, the TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme eluted with a molecular weight of approximately 219.5 kDa, which is very close to the theoretical value of 196.6 kDa for the TRM6-TRM61 heterotetramer. This result can confirm our structural observation and hints that *S. cerevisiae* TRM6-TRM61 may function as a heterotetramer.

A TRM6-TRM61 heterotetramer constitutes two L-shaped tRNA binding regions. In prokaryotes, four molecules of TrmI form a homotetramer, binding up to two molecules of target tRNA. Similar to the architecture of TrmI, two TRM6-TRM61 heterodimers assemble into a heterotetramer in *H. sapiens*, which constitute two identical substrate tRNA binding regions. Each one is enriched with positively charged residues that come together to form an L-shape. Combining the structural superimposition and sequence alignment results, we found that the *S. cerevisiae* TRM6-TRM61 heterotetramer displays a similar fold as the *H. sapiens* homologue, and the residues involved in interaction with the substrate tRNA are highly conserved (Figs 4A and S1–2). As shown in Fig. 4B, the N-terminal β-barrel domain of TRM61, C-terminal Rossmann-fold domain of TRM61, N-terminal β-barrel domain of TRM6 and the arm insertions of TRM6-TRM61 enclose a cleft with 65 Å in length and 20 Å in width. The dimension of this cleft seems large enough to accommodate a double-helical RNA, and is a good candidate for accommodating the anticodon arm of target tRNA (Fig. 4B). In addition, considering the substrate A58 located at the TψC-loop is required to insert into the catalytic center composed by the C-terminal Rossmann-fold domain of TRM61. We speculate that the acceptor arm of the substrate tRNA mostly protrudes toward the N-terminal β-barrel domain of TRM61 (Fig. 4B).

The complex structure of *H. sapiens* TRM6-TRM61-tRNA<sub>3</sub>Lys-SAM resolved by Stroud and his colleague could assist us docking a model for further understanding of the substrate tRNA recognition mechanism of *S. cerevisiae* TRM6-TRM61. In light of the structure of *H. sapiens* TRM6-TRM61-tRNA<sub>3</sub>Lys-SAM, we could realize that the substrate tRNA is refolded upon binding to TRM6-TRM61. The D-loop that tightly associates with the TψC-loop is driven away from its buried position, and makes direct interactions with the N-terminal β-barrel domain of TRM61. Thus, the adenine at position 58 located in the TψC-loop could be accessible to the active site. Given the structural similarity between *S. cerevisiae* and *H. sapiens* TRM6-TRM61, we speculate that this large conformational changes may also associate with the interaction between *S. cerevisiae* TRM6-TRM61 and its target tRNA.
The SAM binding site and a possible adenine-binding pocket. To decipher the methyl donor recognition model of the two two-subunit tRNA m^1^A^5^8 methyltransferase TRM6-TRM61, we determined the structure of *S. cerevisiae* TRM6-TRM61 in complex with SAM at 2.2 Å. The electron density map clearly shows the presence of a bound SAM molecule in a TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme. As shown in Fig. 5A, SAM is situated in a cleft on the surface of the C-terminal Rossmann-fold domain of TRM61. The interaction between TRM61 and SAM can be divided into three parts in accordance to the moieties of SAM. For the adenine moiety, the side-chain atom OD1 of Asp168 makes a hydrogen bond with the nitrogen N6 of the adenine (Fig. 5B). In addition to this hydrogen bond, hydrophobic residues Phe140, Val169, Cys170, Leu204, and Pro205 also make extensive van der Waals interactions with the adenine ring (Fig. 5B). For the ribosyl moiety, the side chain of Glu139 forms two hydrogen bonds with the O2’ and O3’ hydroxyl groups (Fig. 5B). The interaction between TRM61 and the homocysteine moiety of SAM is dominated by four hydrogen bonds. The side-chain atom OD2 of Asp203 contributes to the first hydrogen bond with the amino group of the homocysteine (Fig. 5B). The carboxyl group of the homocysteine makes another three hydrogen bonds with the main-chain amide of Phe124, main-chain amide of Ser123, and side-chain atom OG of Ser121, respectively (Fig. 5B). To confirm our structural observation, we performed the ITC experiments to investigate whether these residues are vital for interacting with SAM. We constructed eight mutants TRM6-TRM61F124A, TRM6-TRM61E139A, TRM6-TRM61F140A, TRM6-TRM61D168A, TRM6-TRM61V169A, TRM6-TRM61C170A, TRM6-TRM61D203A and TRM6-TRM61L204A. The ITC experiments show that the binding affinity of wild-type TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme to SAM is 44.33 ± 4.55 μM, whereas these mutants completely or largely abolish the binding ability (Fig. 5C, D and Table 2). These results could well support our structural observation.

Superimposition of the structures of TRM61 in the presence and absence of SAM gives an r.m.s.d of 0.154 Å for 571 comparable Cα atoms. The overall structure of TRM61 in complex with SAM closely resembles that of the apo form (Fig. 5E), and the largest variation mainly occurs in the loop β7/α4 and loop α2/α3. In the structure of apo TRM61, loop β7/α4 and loop α2/α3 lock the binding pocket for the homocysteine moiety of SAM. Upon SAM binding, loop β7/α4 and loop α2/α3 move away from the SAM binding pocket, which generates sufficient space to suit its entrance (Fig. 5E). These two structures provide a structural basis for understanding the conversion of the SAM binding pocket from a closed to an open state, and indicate that the binding of SAM to TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme occurs through an induced-fit mechanism.
Up until now, numerous structures of tRNA methyltransferases in complex with substrate tRNAs have been reported, including the *Escherichia coli* m5U54 tRNA methyltransferase TrmA and the *archaeal* m1G37 tRNA methyltransferases Trm525,26. Though they share a low sequence homology and distinct structural features, these enzymes utilize a similar strategy to accommodate the target base. As shown in TrmA, the U54 base inserts into a hydrophobic pocket formed by residues Phe188, Pro191, Pro301, and Phe351, which is adjacent to the methyl donor SAM25. Additional, residues Gln190, Asp299, and Gln358 make five hydrogen bonds to further stabilize the U54 base25. For Trm5, residues Val140, Arg145, Tyr177, Asn265, Leu266, Pro267, and Try320 constitute the G37 base binding center, situating it close to the methyl group of the SAM to facilitate the reaction 26. As expected, adjacent to the methyl donor binding site of TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme in *S. cerevisiae*, residues Gln92 (TRM61), Asp203 (TRM61), Pro205 (TRM61), Phe229 (TRM61), His321 (TRM61), and Met323 (TRM61) are also located close to the methyl donor binding site.

**Figure 5.** The SAM binding site and a possible adenine-binding pocket of TRM6-TRM61. (A) Two views of the *S. cerevisiae* TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme in complex with SAM. (B) SAM binding model of *S. cerevisiae* TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme. The Fo-Fc difference electron density map (contoured at 3.0σ) for SAM is shown as green. The residues involved in interacting with SAM of TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme are labeled and colored cyan. The dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds. (C) ITC profile of SAM titrated against wild-type TRM6-TRM61. (D) ITC fitting curves of SAM to TRM6-TRM61 and its mutants. (E) The conformational change in the active site of TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme upon SAM binding. The movements of the key structural elements are highlighted by arrows. The apo and SAM-bound structures of TRM61 are colored in yellow and cyan, respectively. (F) Structural superposition of the active site of *S. cerevisiae* TRM61 with its *H. sapiens* counterpart. The *S. cerevisiae* and *H. sapiens* TRM61 are colored the same to Fig. 4B. Adenine at position 58 is shown as stick and is colored in yellow. (G) Structural superposition of the *S. cerevisiae* TRM6 with TRM61. The TRM6 and TRM61 are colored the same to Fig. 1A. The segment that is invisible in the electron density map is shown as a dashed line.

**Table 2.** The thermodynamic parameters of the ITC experiments. Due to the low binding affinities of these interactions (C value <1), we fitted the titration curves with "N" value fixed to 1, which could give more reasonable $K_D$ values. Note that, in these fittings, $\Delta H$ might not be well determined.37.

| Proteins          | $\Delta H$ kcal/mol | $\Delta S$ cal/mol/°C | $K_D$ μM ± | N    |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|------|
| WT                | −7.83               | −6.31                  | 44.33 ± 4.55 | 1.03 |
| TRM6-TRM61F124A   | −8.47               | −12.4                  | 317.12 ± 34.71 | 1*   |
| TRM6-TRM61E139A   | ND                  | ND                     | ND         | ND   |
| TRM6-TRM61F140A   | −19.30              | −50.5                  | 786.53 ± 64.51 | 1*   |
| TRM6-TRM61D168A   | ND                  | ND                     | ND         | ND   |
| TRM6-TRM61L204A   | −10.01              | −18.0                  | 408.88 ± 74.66 | 1*   |
| TRM6-TRM61C170A   | ND                  | ND                     | ND         | ND   |
| TRM6-TRM61D203A   | ND                  | ND                     | ND         | ND   |
| TRM6-TRM61C134A   | ND                  | ND                     | ND         | ND   |

Up until now, numerous structures of tRNA methyltransferases in complex with substrate tRNAs have been reported, including the *Escherichia coli* m5′U54 tRNA methyltransferase TrmA and the *archaeal* m1G37 tRNA methyltransferases Trm525,26. Though they share a low sequence homology and distinct structural features, these enzymes utilize a similar strategy to accommodate the target base. As shown in TrmA, the U54 base inserts into a hydrophobic pocket formed by residues Phe86, Pro195, Pro198, and Phe255, which is adjacent to the methyl donor SAM25. Additional, residues Gln190, Asp259, and Gln261 make five hydrogen bonds to further stabilize the U54 base25. For Trm5, residues Val140, Arg145, Tyr177, Asn265, Leu266, Pro267, and Try320 constitute the G37 base binding center, situating it close to the methyl group of the SAM to facilitate the reaction26. As expected, adjacent to the methyl donor binding site of TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme in *S. cerevisiae*, residues Gln82 (TRM61), Asp203 (TRM61), Pro205 (TRM61), Phe229 (TRM61), Pro231 (TRM61), Gln255 (TRM61), His321 (TRM61), and Met323 (TRM61) are also located close to the methyl donor binding site.
(TRM6) form a pocket, which is optimal to accommodate the A58 of a target tRNA (Fig. 5F). In the structure of H. sapiens TRM6-TRM61-tRNA, SAM, the corresponding position is just in charge of accommodating the base A58 of tRNA (Fig. 5F). This feature proposes the evolutionary conservation of tRNA m1A58 methyltransferase between S. cerevisiae and H. sapiens.

Structural insights into the evolutionary relationship between TRM6 and TRM61. Studies of the mutants involved in GCN4 translational control in S. cerevisiae allowed to identify a newly characterized two-subunit tRNA m1A58 methyltransferase TRM6-TRM61, which was encode by two non-identical genes TRM6 and TRM61, respectively21,22. The interest in studying the TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme in eukaryotes is due to the fact that none of the prokaryotic genomes sequenced so far contains an ortholog of gene TRM6, which has been proved to be essential for the tRNA m1A58 methyltransferase activity both in vitro and in vivo. In this study, we solved the structure of TRM6-TRM61 in complex with methyl donor SAM from S. cerevisiae. TRM6 and TRM61 have a similar core structure, both adopting a Trm1-like fold. The r.m.s.d between TRM6 and TRM61 is 8.637 Å for 56 comparable Cα atoms. Structural comparison between TRM6 and TRM61 shows numerous marked variations. The first significant difference is the distinct composition of the N-terminal β-barrel domain. As shown in Fig. 5G, the β-barrel domain of TRM6 is composed of a short helix and three hairpin β-motifs. In TRM6, it consists of a short helix, seven antiparallel β strands and a highly flexible region enriched in positively charged residues. The second significant difference is found in the methyl donor binding region. Compared with TRM6, segments 9/13 and 10/108 of TRM6 move away from the SAM binding site (Fig. 5G). Instead, Segment ζ3/ζ4 moves toward the active center and makes clash with the homocysteine moiety of SAM (Fig. 5G). These conformation changes finally disrupt the SAM binding pocket and cause TRM6 lost the SAM binding ability. The third significant difference is that TRM61 has a sequence insertion between β12 and β13, which is enriched in positively charged residues and may be involved in the substrate tRNA recognition (Fig. 5G). In addition, there is an arm insertion between β10 and β11 in TRM6, which protrudes from the core (Fig. 5G). Taken together, our results support the note that eukaryotic TRM6 and TRM61 may evolve by gene duplication from prokaryotic Trm1. During the divergent evolution, TRM6 lost the catalytic residues and methyl donor binding ability. However, TRM6 and TRM61 added more structural elements to better fulfill the tRNA binding role in eukaryotes.

The difference between S. cerevisiae TRM6-TRM61 and its prokaryotic counterpart Trm1. The mainly difference between S. cerevisiae TRM6-TRM61 and its prokaryotic counterpart Trm1 is the distinct strategy for recognizing the target tRNA. In prokaryotes, Trm1 functions as a homotetramer, with two tRNA binding grooves and four identical catalytic centers. Distinctly different from the prokaryotic Trm1, the eukaryotic m1A58 tRNA methyltransferases consists of two subunits, TRM6 and TRM61. Though TRM6 and TRM61 adopt a similar Trm1-like fold, they evolve more structural elements for recognizing the target tRNA. Moreover, TRM6 loses the methyl donor binding ability, thus one TRM6-TRM61 heterotetramer only possesses two catalytic centers. These structural differences between TRM6-TRM61 and Trm1 hint that the substrate tRNA recognition mechanism of eukaryotic tRNA (m1A58) methyltransferase may be more complicated and the catalytic reaction may be more accurate than that of its prokaryotic counterpart.

Structural comparison with H. sapiens TRM6-TRM61 indicates a hand open-close substrate tRNA recognition strategy. The human homolog of the yeast tRNA m1A58 methyltransferase was identified through amino acid sequence identity and complementation of the yeast temperature-sensitive TRM6 and TRM61 mutant phenotypes27. When co-expressed in yeast, the H. sapiens TRM6-TRM61 could catalyze the in vitro methyl transfer reaction for both the yeast initiator tRNA, m1A58 and human tRNA, m1A58. Recently, the structure of H. sapiens TRM6-TRM61-tRNA, SAM was report by Stroud’s group24. This structure firstly discloses the substrate tRNA recognition model of tRNA m1A58 methyltransferase in eukaryotes. According to this complex structure, we could find that the substrate tRNA undergoes a large conformation change upon binding to TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme. However, due to a lack of the structure of apo TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme, what kind of conformation change would happen in TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme during the tRNA recognition process is still elusive. In the structure of apo S. cerevisiae TRM6-TRM61, the residues 88–188 located at the N-terminal β-barrel domain of TRM6 are not visible due to the flexibility. Interestingly, this region covers at the tRNA binding pocket, and plays a vital role for interacting with the Tw/C-loop of substrate tRNA in the structure of H. sapiens TRM6-TRM61-tRNA, SAM (Fig. 4C). In addition, the arm insertion between β10 and β11 of TRM6 in the apo S. cerevisiae TRM6-TRM61 protrudes away from the position that is suitable for recognizing the anticodon arm of the substrate tRNA. In the structure of H. sapiens TRM6-TRM61-tRNA, SAM complex, the corresponding region is enriched with positive charged residues, and these residues are also conserved between the S. cerevisiae and H. sapiens (Fig. 4D). Taken together, we propose a hand open-close mechanism for eukaryotic tRNA m1A58 methyltransferase to recognize the target tRNA. In the tRNA-unbound state, the TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme likes an open hand, which creates enough space for the entrance of target tRNA. Then, the open hand converts to a closed form to lock the substrate tRNA in a position suitable for catalysis.

Materials and Methods
Gene cloning, protein expression and purification. Gene cloning, protein expression and purification. Full-length TRM6 and TRM61 from S. cerevisiae was cloned as described previously28. We optimized the condition for expression and purification. Briefly, the bacteria expressing the recombinant proteins was cultured in LB medium at 37 °C to OD600 = 0.8. Then, the bacteria was cooled to 16 °C, and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG. After growing for approximately 16 h at 16 °C, the cells were harvested and disrupted by sonication in buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl PH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl and 5% glycerol). The supernatant lysate was loaded onto a
Ni^{2+}-NTA column (GE Healthcare, USA) pre-equilibrated with buffer A supplemented with 10 mM imidazole. The recombinant TRM6-TRM61 complex was eluted with 20 ml buffer A supplemented with 200 mM imidazole. Then, the protein was further purified using Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare, USA) gel-filtration chromatography equilibrated with buffer B (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl) supplemented with 5 mM dithiothreitol. The fractions corresponding to the peak were pooled and concentrated, and stored at −80 °C for further steps. TRM6-TRM61 mutants were generated by PCR with the MutanBEST Kit (TaKaRa) using the parent expression plasmid PETDuet-TRM6-TRM61 as template. The mutant plasmids were then confirmed by DNA sequencing (Invitrogen). The TRM6-TRM61 mutant proteins were overexpressed and purified as described for the wild-type TRM6-TRM61. A selenomethionine derivative of TRM6-TRM61 was overexpressed in the same competent cells as native TRM6-TRM61 but using M9 medium based on a methionine biosynthesis inhibition method. The purification of Se-TRM6-TRM61 followed the same protocol as used for native TRM6-TRM61.

Crystallization, Data Collection, and Structure Determination. The crystallization of apo TRM6-TRM61 has been described previously. Native and SeMet-derivative TRM6-TRM61 were concentrated to ~15 mg/ml in buffer B supplemented with 5 mM dithiothreitol before crystallization. The TRM6-TRM61-SAM complex was prepared by mixing the protein with a three-fold molecular excess of S-adenosyl-L-methionine. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were all grown at 293 K via the sitting-drop vapour-diffusion method with the mother liquor containing 0.1 M HEPES, pH 7.5, 2% v/v (−/−)-(−)-2-Methyl-2, 4-pentanediol, 10% w/v Polyethylene glycol 6000 for 3 days. Before data collection, the crystals were quick-soaked in a cryoprotectant solution consisting of respective reservoir solution supplemented with 30% (v/v) glycerol and then flash-cooled in a nitrogen stream at 100 K. X-ray diffraction data were collected on beamline 17U1 of Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF). The data were processed and scaled with HKL-2000 and programs from the CCP4 package. The structure of TRM6-TRM61 was determined through the single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) phasing technique with the selenium anomalous signal using the Autosol program implemented in PHENIX. The initial model was built automatically using the program AutoBuild in PHENIX. Using the TRM6-TRM61 structure as the search model, the structure of TRM6-TRM61–SAM was determined through the molecular replacement method using the program MOLREP implemented in CCP4. All of the initial models were refined using the maximum likelihood method implemented in REFMAC as part of the CCP4/I program suite and rebuilt interactively using the program COOT. The final models were evaluated with the programs MolProbity and PROCHECK. The crystallographic parameters are listed in Table 1. All of the structures in the figures were prepared with PyMOL (DeLano Scientific).

Size-exclusion chromatography assay. A Superdex 200 column (10/300 GL; GE Healthcare) was used to estimate the apparent molecular mass of the TRM6-TRM61 holoenzyme from S. cerevisiae. Briefly, the samples of target protein or molecular-mass standards were loaded onto the column at a flow rate of 0.5 ml min⁻¹ and eluted with 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT. The standard proteins (GE Healthcare) used in this study were â-amylase (200.0 kDa), alcohol dehydrogenase (150.0 kDa), albumin (66.0 kDa), carboxy anhydrase (29.0 kDa) and cytochrome c (12.4 kDa). The blue dextran (GE Healthcare) was used for void volume determination.

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry. ITC assays were carried out using a MicroCal iTC200 calorimeter (GE Healthcare) at room temperature with 40 ul of 1 mM SAM in the injector cell and 260 ul of 0.1 mM wild-type TRM6-TRM61 and its mutants in the sample cell, respectively. The buffer for proteins and SAM was 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP (Tris–(2-carboxyethyl)–phosphine hydrochloride). Twenty microliters injection volumes were used for all experiments. Two consecutive injections were separated by 2 min to reset the baseline. A reference measurement (SAM injected into the buffer) was carried out to compensate for the heat of dilution of SAM. ITC data was analyzed with a single-site fitting model using Origin 7.5 (OriginLab) provided by the manufacturer.
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