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ABSTRACT

The P–V characteristic of a photovoltaic system (PVs) is non-linear and depends entirely on the extreme environmental condition, thus a large amount PV energy is lost in the environment. To enhance the operating efficiency of the PVs, a maximum power point tracking (MPPT) controller is normally equipped in the system. This paper proposes a new mutant particle swarm optimization (MPSO) algorithm for tracking the maximum power point (MPP) in the PVs. The MPSO-based MPPT algorithm not only surmounts the steady-state oscillation (SSO) around the MPP, but also tracks accurately the optimum power under different varying environmental conditions. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method, MATLAB simulations are implemented in three challenging scenarios to the PV system, including changing irradiation, load variation and partial shading condition (PSC). Furthermore, the obtained results are compared to some of the conventional MPPT algorithms, such as incremental conductance (INC) and class-sical particle swarm optimization (PSO) in order to show the superiority of the proposed approach in improving the efficiency of PVs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The PVs is one of the most popular renewable energy source because of low cost, free maintenance and good for environment [1]. To enhance the utilizing efficiency of PVs, a MPPT controller is normally integrated with a power converter in order to obtain the maximum power generated by the PVs. The P–V characteristic; however, is non-linear because of the complicate environmental conditions, so it is a great challenge for the MPP tracking algorithms.

Up to now, a variety of MPPT methods have been proposed to improve the operating efficiency of the PVs. The most common algorithms are the perturb and observe (P&O) and the incremental conductance (INC). The (P&O) method is on basic of the perturbed voltage obtained from a comparison between the present and previous operating power [2-4]. In spite of simple implement, the drawback of this method is the oscillation around the balance state, resulting in the power losses and the increase of the convergence time. Meanwhile, the (INC) method carries out comparing between the ratio of derivative of conductance and the instantaneous con-ductance in order to remove the loss of tracking direction [5-7]. Nevertheless, the steady-state oscillation usually appears around MPP because of the dynamic characteristic and the size of perturbation step, decreasing the operating efficiency of PVs [8]. In paper [9], the authors applied the fuzzy logic control (FLC) for MPPT by using three steps: fuzzification, fuzzy rule base table and defuzzification. The disadvantage of this method is the tracking accuracy depending on the number of the member functions.
To overcome this problem, the artificial neural network (ANN) is used; however, it takes a long time to track the MPP because the PV characteristic varies to its life [10].

For tracking the MPP, the hotspot problem should be concerned in case of PVs under PSC because it can damage PV cells. To overcome this situation, some of adaptive behaviour algorithms, including genetic algorithm (GA) [11], differential evolution (DE) [12], and particle swarm optimization (PSO) [13, 14] have been proposed for tracking the global point from PVs. Although this method is quite effective, it still suffers from getting trapped into the local optima and from the excessive time requirement.

In this paper, a novel MPSO algorithm has been investigated by removing the worst particle by a mutant particle generated randomly using personal best components of classical PSO in order to optimize the output power of PVs. The proposed MPSO-based MPPT has a similar structure to the classical PSO and hence it is also capable of avoiding the steady-state oscillation and tracking the MPP under the complex environmental conditions. Furthermore, the algorithm has faster tracking speed, simpler deployment using a lower cost controller as compared to other conventional MPPT methods. The superiority of the proposed method is capability of tracking the global peak among multi-local peaks in the PV characteristic provided.

2. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION
2.1. Modelling of the PV module and array

There are many equivalent circuit models proposed to describe the PV modules; however, the single diode model, as depicted in Figure 1, is the most popular [15].

\[ V = I R_s - \left( I_{PH} + \frac{V + I R_s}{R_{sh}} \right) \]  

where:

\[ I_{D1} = I_{RS} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{V + I R_s}{\alpha V_T} \right) - 1 \right] \]  

where IRS is the reverse saturation current of diode D1, \( \alpha \) is the diode ideality constant, and \( V_T \) is the thermal voltage of PV modules that is expressed by:

\[ V_T = k \frac{T}{q} \]  

where \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is the temperature of the p-n junction in Kelvin and \( q \) is the electron charge.

A PV array is composed of many modules, which are connected in a series-parallel matrix (NS x NP), as shown in Figure 2. Mathematically, a PV array can be described as follows:
\[
I = N_P \left[ I_{PH} - I_{RS} (I_P + 2) \right] - \left( \frac{V + IR_S \lambda}{R_{RS} \lambda} \right)
\]

(4)

\[
I_P = \exp \left( \frac{V + IR_S \lambda}{V_T N_S} \right) + \exp \left( \frac{V + IR_S \lambda}{(p-1)V_T N_S} \right)
\]

(5)

\[
\lambda = \frac{N_S}{N_P}
\]

(6)

It can be seen from (4) and (5) that I-V characteristic of the PVs is non-linear, in which the output current varies as a function of the irradiance and temperature, thus decreasing the operating efficiency of PVs.

2.2. PV arrays under PSC

When the PVs is set under a uniform solar insolation, a single MPP is obtained on the P−V characteristic curve of the PVs. However, at all time, each cell can receive a different amount of solar energy because some modules might be covered by nearby tree, chimney, or cloud, known as PSC [16, 17]. In this case, the sunlight energy received by the shaded cells is lower than that obtained by the non-shaded cells, leading to the hotspot problem. In other words, the hotspot phenomenon is occurred by absorbing the electric power generated by the non-shaded PV cells, giving rise to damage PV systems [18]. In case of PSC; therefore, each PV cell is normally connected in parallel with a bypass diode to produce other path for the current in order to remove the hotspot problem. However, the disadvantage of inserting a diode is the presence of multiple peaks on the P−V characteristics, as shown Figure 3, in which there is only one global optimal point (GP), giving the true MPP [19, 20].

![Figure 2. Modelling of a PV array](image)

![Figure 3. The P-V characteristic during PSC](image)
To track this GP during PSC, some of the conventional MPPT algorithms are proposed, but they are not effective because of high power loss. To overcome this problem; in this paper, an improved PSO is mentioned for finding the true MPP.

3. THE MPSO-MPPT ALGORITHM

PSO is a swarm intelligence, which is introduced in the first time by Kennedy and Eberhart in 1995 [21]. It is inspired by social and cooperative behavior of bird flocking or fish schooling. At first, each particle is initialized by a random solutions and then keeps searching for the optimum solution by updating generations. It can be noted that these particles fly in the search space by following the personal experience (Pbest) and the overall experience (Gbest). More details about the basic conceptualization of PSO can be found in [22-24]. The main disadvantage of PSO is to be suffered from getting trapped into local minima. In this section, a new version of PSO, namely MPSO is introduced in order to obtain a higher quality solution in the search space. Then, the proposed method is used for finding the true MPP among the multiple peaks on P-V characteristic of the tested PVs.

3.1. MPSO

In MPSO, the worst particle is replaced by the mutant- particle generated randomly by selecting one or more Pbest components of some or all particles of the classical PSO. The mutant-particle is a vector of the same size as each particle. It is denoted as Mbest. For a population of (N x D), where N is size of swarm and D is the dimension of each particle, Mbest can be generated as follows:

\[
\text{for } q = 1: D \\
\quad M_{best}^q = P_{best}(\text{randi}(N,1), q) \\
\text{End}
\]

where randi(N,1) is a function uniformly generating an integer between 0 and N. Thus, in the above for-loop, a total of D integers are generated and the corresponding component from each column is selected from matrix \(P_{best}\) to form a vector \(M_{best}\). This process can be understood more clearly through an example.

Suppose the size of population is 6 and the dimension of each particle is 5. Now, in the for-loop, randomly generated indices are \{3,5,1,5,4\}. Thus, the components of vector \(M_{best}\) will the components of matrix \(P_{best}\) whose entries are underlined.

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{best} &= \begin{bmatrix}
P_{best,1,1} & P_{best,1,2} & P_{best,1,3} & P_{best,1,4} & P_{best,1,5} \\
P_{best,2,1} & P_{best,2,2} & P_{best,2,3} & P_{best,2,4} & P_{best,2,5} \\
P_{best,3,1} & P_{best,3,2} & P_{best,3,3} & P_{best,3,4} & P_{best,3,5} \\
P_{best,4,1} & P_{best,4,2} & P_{best,4,3} & P_{best,4,4} & P_{best,4,5} \\
P_{best,5,1} & P_{best,5,2} & P_{best,5,3} & P_{best,5,4} & P_{best,5,5} \\
P_{best,6,1} & P_{best,6,2} & P_{best,6,3} & P_{best,6,4} & P_{best,6,5} \\
\end{bmatrix} \\
M_{best} &= \begin{bmatrix}
P_{best,3,1} & P_{best,5,2} & P_{best,1,3} & P_{best,5,4} & P_{best,4,5} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

Once \(M_{best}\) is generated, the corresponding objective function is also evaluated. Also, the objective function corresponding to the worst particle is evaluated. If the mutant particle has the better value of objective function, then the worst particle is replaced by \(M_{best}\). It can be expressed as follow:

\[
\text{If } f(M_{best}^{k+1}) < f(P_{best}^{k, worst}) \text{ then } \quad P_{best}^{k, worst} = M_{best}^{k+1}
\]

3.2. The MPSO-MPPT algorithm for improving the output power of PVs

The overall flowchart of the proposed MPSO-MPPT method is illustrated in Figure 4.

Firstly, the initial position of particle is chosen by the random value of duty cycle (d) of a boost converter while the fitness function is determined by the output power of PV system. It can be noted that the initial value of (d) should be subjected to upper and lower bounds, [Dmin, Dmax]. Next, a PWM command corresponding to the duty cycle is sent from a digital controller, the output voltage and current are measured, leading to the output power of PV system is calculated. After the fitness of each particle is evaluated, the best initial particle is obtained. Finally, the MPSO algorithm updates the position and velocity of particles based on the personal and overall experience until the optimum value of the duty cycle is obtained. It can be noted that the perturbation of velocity is performed once the tolerance limit has not met.
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed MPSO-MPPT algorithm is performed on a model, which consists of a PV solar panel connected to a load through a boost converter with MPPT controller. Figure 5 presents the MATLAB-Simulink simulation model of the PVs used in this paper. This model is designed and experimented at Control Engineering and Automatic Lab, Ho Chi Minh City University of Transport, as shown in Figure 6:

Figure 4. The flowchart of the proposed MPSO-MPPT method
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To implement the proposed MPSO variant, the following parameters have been considered. It is to be noted that these parameters have been selected after performing repeated run by varying them [25].

a) The size of swarm is set as 100
b) The inertia weight belongs the range of 0.4 and 0.9
c) Acceleration factors $c_1 = c_2 = 2$
d) Maximum iteration is set to 1000.

Noted that the programs have been developed in MATLAB environment and executed on an Intel Core i7 processor and 2.66GHz clock frequency with 8192MB RAM. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method, the PVs is tested under four different cases, namely 1) without MPPT controller; 2) large fluctuation of insolation; 3) varied load; and 4) PSC. Table 1 compares the maximum power, $P_{\text{max}}$ generated by the PV array under large step fluctuation of irradiation in case of with and without the MPPT controller.

| $G$ (W/m$^2$) | Without MPPT | MPSO-MPPT | The theoretical value of PV |
|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| 600          | 4567.0       | 5157.5    | 5157.7                     |
| 700          | 5913.0       | 6009.2    | 6009.7                     |
| 800          | 6820.0       | 6849.5    | 6850.0                     |
| 900          | 7360.0       | 7678.0    | 7678.3                     |

Table 1. The operating power results without/with the MPPT controller
It is observed from Table 1 that the operating efficiency of the PV panel when using the proposed MPSO-MPPT method is greater than 99% in all test conditions.

Figure 7 shows the dynamic characteristic of the output voltage, current, duty cycle and power during the varied solar insolation at a fixed temperature of 25°C after applying the proposed MPSO. At $t = 2s$, the insolation increases from 400 W/m² to 1000 W/m², the particles (duty cycles) continuously search the new MPP in exploration process, resulting in the large change in output voltage and current. Accordingly, the global power (GP) is tracked accurately at 240 W after the eighth iteration. Similarly, when the solar radiation is decreased from 240 W/m² to 90 W/m² at $t = 6s$, the exploration process starts until it tracks the true MPP at 90 W after the ninth iteration. Moreover, it can be seen from Figure 8 that the MPSO-MPPT algorithm is capability of diminishing the oscillation around the MPP, demonstrates the superiority of the proposed method.

Figure 8 shows the response time of output power in case of the varied load and PSC. The initial level of power is set at 240 W. When the load variation occurs at $t = 2s$, there is a significant dip in the output power; however, it can immediately track the true MPP again. At $t = 4s$, the PVs is imposed under PSC, the global maximum power is correctly tracked within the seventh iteration. In short, the MPSO based MPPT is an efficient method used in case of PSC. It not only removes the the steady-state oscillations around MPP, but also simpler implements with low cost.

Table 2 shows the MPP tracking results using some of different MPPT controllers at a fixed temperature of 25°C and the solar insolation of 900 W/m². It can be observed from Table 2 that the maximum operating power using the proposed MPSO algorithm reaches 7678 W, which is better than the obtained results by applying the conventional methods. Furthermore, it takes 0.52 s for the proposed method to track the MPP, whereas it consumes 0.87s for the classical PSO and 2.9s for the P&O and INC, respectively.

Table 2. The MPPT results of using some of different MPPT controllers

| MPPT controllers | Maximum power (W) | Tracking time (s) |
|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| P&O              | 7655.0            | 2.9              |
| INC              | 7656.0            | 2.9              |
| PSO              | 7677.2            | 0.87             |
| MPSO             | 7678.0            | 0.52             |

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a novel MPSO is investigated to improve the operating efficiency of a PVs by replacing the worst particle by a mutant- particle. Overall, the following conclusions can be shown as follows; a) Like the classical PSO, the proposed PPSO-based MPPT is also capability of eliminating the steady-state oscillations to zero value. Furthermore, it can find the MPP accurately under large fluctuations of
insolation as well as temperature. b) Under load variation and PSC, the proposed MPSO algorithm has the capability of tracking the global optimal peak (the true maximum among the multiple local minimal) that can not be implemented by the conventional methods. c) Tracking the true global peak using the proposed MPSO-based MPPT method is easier and simpler within a short time in low-cost microcontrollers.
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