Abstract. This article is devoted to computing the lower and upper bounds of the Laplace eigenvalue problem. By using the special nonconforming finite elements, i.e., enriched Crouzeix-Raviart element and extension $Q_1^{rot}$, we get the lower bound of the eigenvalue. Additionally, we also use conforming finite elements to do the postprocessing to get the upper bound of the eigenvalue. The postprocessing method need only to solve the corresponding source problems and a small eigenvalue problem if higher order postprocessing method is implemented. Thus, we can obtain the lower and upper bounds of the eigenvalues simultaneously by solving eigenvalue problem only once. Some numerical results are also presented to validate our theoretical analysis.

1. Introduction

The eigenvalue problems are important, which appears in many fields, such as quantum mechanics, fluid mechanics, stochastic process and etc. Thus, a fundamental work is to find the eigenvalues of partial differential equations. From last century, abundant works are dedicated to this topic.

Feng in his famous paper [6] cites the pioneer work of Pólya in computing the upper bound of Laplace eigenvalue problem. And based on the minimum-maximal principle discovered by Rayleigh, Poincaré, Courant and Fischer etc., any conforming finite element method will give the upper bound (see Strang and Fix [18]). Nevertheless, to the lower bound aspect, until 1979, Rannacher [17] gives some numerical results for plate problem.

And then there is few work on analysis of the lower bound for a long time. Hu, Huang and Shen [7] get the lower bound of Laplace equation by conforming linear and bilinear elements together with the mass lumping method. Inspired by the minimum-maximal principle, people try to find the lower bound with the nonconforming element methods. Recently, a series of works make progress in this aspect, e.g. Lin and Lin [11] use the asymptotic expansion skill to compute the eigenvalues by nonconforming finite element method; also
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see the numerical reports of Liu and Liu [15], Liu and Yan [16], and the work of Lin, Huang and Li [10]. Another way by Armentano and Durán [1] is to use another kind of expansion method to get the lower bound, which is of less restriction to the smoothness of eigenfunctions compared with the asymptotic expansion skill. Also see the follow-up works by Li [9], Lin [13], Yang [21].

Inspired by these works, this article propose a method to obtain the lower and upper bounds of the eigenvalue simultaneously which only need to solve the eigenvalue problem once and additional auxiliary source problem. Our method can be described as follows: (1) solve the eigenvalue problem by some nonconforming finite element; (2) solve an additional auxiliary source problem in an conforming finite element space. Since we obtain not only lower bound but also upper bound of the eigenvalue, we can give the accurate error of the eigenvalue approximations. Compared with the existed literature, this note contributes on the following aspects:

- The assumption of the lower bound in [1] needs an critical assumption that: $|u - u_h|^1 \geq Ch^\gamma, \gamma < 1$, which promise itself be the dominant term in the expansion. By our recent result of lower bound of convergence rate by finite element method, we get rid of this constrain.
- A new application of the correction method of eigenvalue problem is proposed to obtain the lower and upper bounds of the eigenvalues by solving the eigenvalue problem once and an additional source problem.
- By using higher order conforming finite element to do the correction in a new way, we also prove the upper bound of the corrected eigenvalue approximations.
- After calculating upper and lower bounds simultaneously, we can find how much accuracy we have actually achieved (an accurate a posteriori error estimate).

For simplicity, we only discuss the problem in $\mathbb{R}^2$, but the methods and results here can be easily extended to the case $\mathbb{R}^3$. In this paper, we will use the standard notation of Sobolev spaces ([18]). The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries and notation are introduced. The weak form of the Laplace eigenvalue problem and its corresponding discrete form is stated. In Section 3, we will give the results about the lower bound with nonconforming finite elements. Section 4 is devoted to analyzing the upper bound of the eigenvalue by postprocessing with the lowest order conforming finite element methods. In section 5, another type of postprocessing method is proposed to obtain not only higher order accuracy but also upper bound approximation of the eigenvalues. Some numerical results are presented in section 6 to test our theoretical results and some concluding remarks are given in the last section.

2. The eigenvalue problem

In this paper we are concerned with the Laplace eigenvalue problem:
Find \((\lambda, u)\) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
-\Delta u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx dy = 1,
\end{cases}
\]

where \(\Omega\) is a bounded domain in \(\mathbb{R}^2\) with continuous Lipschitz boundary \(\partial \Omega\).

The variational problem associated with (2.1) is given by:

Find \(u \in V := H^1_0(\Omega)\) and \(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\) such that

\[
a(u, v) = \lambda b(u, v), \quad \forall v \in V,
\]

where

\[
a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx dy \quad \text{and} \quad b(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} uv dx dy.
\]

From [3], we know that the Laplace eigenvalue problem has a positive eigenvalue sequence \(\{\lambda_j\}\) with

\[
0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k \leq \cdots, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda_k = \infty,
\]

and the corresponding eigenfunction sequence \(\{u_j\}\)

\[
u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_k, \cdots,
\]

with the property \(b(u_i, u_j) = \delta_{ij}\).

From the result in [3], the Rayleigh quotient is defined by

\[
R(u) := \frac{a(u, u)}{b(u, u)} = \lambda.
\]

We define the discrete finite element method to solve the problem (2.3). \(\mathcal{T}_h\) is a quasi-uniform triangulation. Based on this partition, \(\mathcal{E}_h\) denotes the set of all edges in partition \(\mathcal{T}_h\). The finite element space \(V_h\) is the corresponding finite element space to the partition, i.e. \(V_h^{NC} \not\subset V\) as a nonconforming space and \(V_h^C \subset V\) a conforming space.

In the rest of this paper, the finite element space \(V_h\) can be \(V_h^C\) or \(V_h^{NC}\). The finite element approximation of (2.2) is defined as follows:

Find \((\lambda_h, u_h) \in \mathbb{R} \times V_h\) such that \(b(u_h, u_h) = 1\) and

\[
(2.3) \quad a_h(u_h, v_h) = \lambda_h b(u_h, v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h,
\]

where the bilinear form \(a_h(\cdot, \cdot)\) coincides with \(a(\cdot, \cdot)\) in conforming finite element, or a elementwise representation of \(a(\cdot, \cdot)\) in nonconforming situation, e.g.

\[
a_h(u_h, v_h) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla v_h dx dy.
\]
Obviously, the bilinear form for conforming situation can also be presented as this form. It is easy to see that for both situation, the bilinear are \( V_h \)-elliptic. Thus, we define the norm on \( V_h + V \) by

\[
\|v\|_{a,h}^2 = a_h(v,v), \quad \text{for } v \in V_h^{NC},
\]

and

\[
\|v\|_a^2 = a(v,v), \quad \text{for } v \in V + V_h^C.
\]

For both conforming and nonconforming situations, the Rayleigh quotient holds for the eigenvalue \( \lambda_h \)

\[
R(u_h) = \frac{a_h(u_h,u_h)}{b(u_h,u_h)} = \lambda_h.
\]

Similarly, the discrete eigenvalues problem (2.3) has also an eigenvalue sequence \( \{\lambda_{j,h}\} \) with

\[
0 < \lambda_{1,h} \leq \lambda_{2,h} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{N,h},
\]

and the corresponding discrete eigenfunction sequence \( \{u_{k,h}\} \)

\[
u_{1,h}, u_{2,h}, \cdots, u_{k,h}, \cdots, u_{N,h}
\]

with the property \( b(u_{i,h}, u_{j,h}) = \delta_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq N_h \) (\( N_h \) is the dimension of \( V_h \)).

We cite here the classical result about eigenvalue: minimum-maximum principle. Let \( \lambda_j \) be the \( j \)-th eigenvalue of (2.2) and \( \lambda_{j,h} \) be the \( j \)-th eigenvalue of (2.3), respectively. Arranging them by increasing order, then we have (3)

\[
(2.4) \quad \lambda_j = \min_{V_j \subset V, \dim V_j = j} \max_{v \in V_j} R(v), \quad \lambda_{j,h} = \min_{V_j \subset V_h, \dim V_j = j} \max_{v \in V_j} R(v).
\]

Since the convergence of the finite element approximation to the eigenvalue problem depends on the regularity of the original eigenvalue problem, here we assume that the regularity of the eigenfunction \( u \in H^{1+\gamma}(\Omega) \) with \( 0 < \gamma \leq 1 \) which is decided by the largest inner angle of the boundary \( \partial \Omega \).

For the eigenvalue problem, we have the following basic expansion from [1], and has been extensively used in [13, 20, 21].

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose \((\lambda, u)\) is the eigenpair of the original problem (2.1), \((\lambda_h, u_h) \in \mathcal{R} \times V_h\) is the eigenpair of the discrete problem (2.3), we have the following expansion

\[
\lambda - \lambda_h = \|u - u_h\|_{a,h}^2 - \lambda_h \|v_h - u_h\|_{b}^2 + \lambda_h \|v_h\|_{b}^2 - \|u\|_{b}^2 + 2a_h(u - v_h, u_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.
\]
3. Lower bound with nonconform finite element methods

In this paper, we are concerned with two types of nonconforming finite elements: Enriched Crouzeix-Raviart (ECR) ([8,13]) and Extension $Q_1^{\text{rot}}$ ($EQ_1^{\text{rot}}$) ([12]) for triangle and rectangle partitions, respectively.

- ECR element is defined on the triangle partition and
  \[ V_h^{NC} := \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : v|_K \in \text{span}\{1, x, y, x^2 + y^2\}, \quad \int_{\ell} v|_{K_1} ds = \int_{\ell} v|_{K_2} ds, \right\} \]
  (3.1)
  where $K, K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

- On the rectangle partition, $EQ_1^{\text{rot}}$ is employed defined by
  \[ V_h^{NC} := \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : v|_K \in \text{span}\{1, x, y, x^2, y^2\}, \quad \int_{\ell} v|_{K_1} ds = \int_{\ell} v|_{K_2} ds, \right\} \]
  (3.2)
  where $K, K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

From [8] and [13], the following basic error estimates for the two nonconforming finite elements hold

\[ |\lambda - \lambda_h| \leq Ch^{2\gamma}\|u\|_{1+\gamma}^2, \]
(3.3)
\[ \|u - u_h\|_{a,h} \leq Ch^{\gamma}\|u\|_{1+\gamma}, \]
(3.4)
\[ \|u - u_h\|_b \leq Ch^{\gamma}\|u - u_h\|_{a,h} \leq C h^{2\gamma}\|u\|_{1+\gamma}. \]
(3.5)

The interpolation operator corresponding to ECR and $EQ_1^{\text{rot}}$ can be defined in the same way:

\[ \int_{\ell} (u - \Pi_h u) ds = 0, \quad \forall \ell \in \mathcal{E}_h, \]
(3.6)
\[ \int_K (u - \Pi_h u) dK = 0, \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \]
(3.7)

**Lemma 3.1.** (See [13]) For the ECR and $EQ_1^{\text{rot}}$ elements, the interpolation operator satisfies:

\[ \|u - \Pi_h u\|_b + h\|u - \Pi_h u\|_{a,h} \leq C h^{1+\gamma}\|u\|_{1+\gamma}, \]

for any $u \in H^{1+\gamma}(\Omega)$.

**Lemma 3.2.** With the interpolation defined above, for any $u \in V$, we have the following results

\[ a_h(u - \Pi_h u, \Pi_h u) = 0, \]
(3.8)
\[ \|\Pi_h u\|_{a,h} \leq C \|u\|_a, \]
(3.9)
(3.10) \[ \| u - \Pi_h u \|_b \leq Ch \| u - \Pi_h u \|_{a,h}, \]

(3.11) \[ \| u - \Pi_h u \|_b \leq Ch \| u - u_h \|_{a,h}. \]

Proof. Here we only give the proof for the ECR element and the one for $EQ^1_{rot}$ is almost the same.

Integrating by parts, we have
\[
a_h(u - \Pi_h u, \Pi_h u) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left[ \int_K (u - \Pi_h u) \Delta \Pi_h u \, dx \, dy + \int_{\partial K} (u - \Pi_h u) (\nabla \Pi_h u) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds \right].
\]

Since $\Pi_h u \in V_{NC}^h$, \[ \Delta \Pi_h u = \text{const}. \]

From the definition of the face interpolation, the following equality holds
\[ \int_K (u - \Pi_h u) \Delta \Pi_h u \, dx \, dy = 0. \]

For any $\ell \in \partial K$ can denoted by the linear function $y = kx + m$, then the normal direction corresponding to this edge is $\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k^2}} (\pm k, -1)$. Thus suppose $\Pi_h u = a + bx + cy + d(x^2 + y^2)$, we have
\[
(\nabla \Pi_h u) \cdot \mathbf{n} = \pm (b + 2dx, c + 2dy) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k^2}} (k, -1)^T
\]
\[ = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k^2}} (bk - c - 2dm) = \text{const}. \]

From the definition of the interpolation, we have
\[ \int_{\ell} (u - \Pi_h u) (\nabla \Pi_h u) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds = 0. \]

So
\[ a_h(u - \Pi_h u, \Pi_h u) = 0. \]

From (3.8), we can obtain
\[ a_h(\Pi_h u, \Pi_h u) = a_h(u, \Pi_h u) \leq \| \Pi_h u \|_{a,h} \| u \|_{a,h}. \]

Canceling the term $\| \Pi_h u \|_{a,h}$ leads to (3.9).

Defining $\Pi_0$ be the piecewise constant interpolation, we have
\[
\| u - \Pi_h u \|_b^2 = b(u - \Pi_h u, u - \Pi_h u - \Pi_0(u - \Pi_h u)) \leq \| u - \Pi_h u \|_b \| u - \Pi_h u - \Pi_0(u - \Pi_h u) \|_b \leq C \| u - \Pi_h u \|_b \| u - \Pi_h u \|_{a,h}. \]

It means we arrive the result (3.10).

For the last inequality, from (3.9) and (3.10), we have following equality
\[ \| u - \Pi_h u \|_b \leq Ch \| u - \Pi_h u \|_{a,h} \leq Ch (\| u - u_h \|_{a,h} + \| u_h - \Pi_h u \|_{a,h}) \]
Thus, we get (3.11).

Lemma 3.3. ([14, Section 3]) If we solve the eigenvalue problem (2.2) by \( Q_1^{\text{rot}} \), ECR, bilinear or linear elements, the following lower bound for the convergence rate holds

\[
\| u - u_h \|_{a,h} \geq C h.
\]

(3.12)

Theorem 3.4. Let \( \lambda_j \) and \( \lambda_{j,h} \) be the \( j \)-th exact eigenvalue and its corresponding numerical approximation by ECR or \( EQ_1^{\text{rot}} \) element. Assume \( u_j \in H^{1+\gamma}(\Omega) \) with \( 0 < \gamma \leq 1 \). When \( h \) is small enough, we have

\[
0 \leq \lambda_j - \lambda_{j,h} \leq C h^{2\gamma} \| u \|^{2}_{1+\gamma}.
\]

(3.13)

Proof. The result for ECR and \( EQ_1^{\text{rot}} \) elements can be proved in the uniform way. We choose \( v_{j,h} = \Pi_h u_j \) in Lemma 2.1. For the second term in (2.5), from Lemma 3.2, we have

\[
\| u_{j,h} - u_j \|_{b}^2 \leq C h^2 \| u_j - u_{j,h} \|_{a,h}^2.
\]

For the third term in (2.5), from (3.11), we have

\[
\| v_{j,h} \|_{b}^2 - \| u_j \|_{b}^2 = (\Pi_h u_j - u_j, \Pi_h u_j + u_j)
\]

\[
= (\Pi_h u_j - u_j, (\Pi_h u_{j,h} + u_j) - \Pi_0 (\Pi_h u_{j,h} + u_j))
\]

\[
\leq C h \| u_j - u_{j,h} \|_{a,h}
\]

where \( \Pi_0 \) denotes the piecewise constant interpolation. Together with (3.8) and Lemma 3.3 the first term will be the dominant term in (2.5) and then (3.13) can be derived. \( \square \)

4. Upper bound with linear conforming element

4.1. Upper bound by direct use of linear conforming element. Due to the minimum-maximal principle (2.4), by using conforming finite element, we can get the upper bound naturally. Here we use the lowest order conforming element for both triangle and rectangle partitions, respectively

- On the triangle partition, we use the linear element defined as below:

\[
V_h^C := \left\{ v \in C^0(\Omega) : v|_K \in \text{span}\{1, x, y\} \right\} \cap H^1_0(\Omega).
\]

(4.1)

- On the rectangle partition, the bilinear element is employed here:

\[
V_h^C := \left\{ v \in C^0(\Omega) : v|_K \in \text{span}\{1, x, y, xy\} \right\} \cap H^1_0(\Omega).
\]

(4.2)

In the conforming finite element space \( V_h^C \), we can define the corresponding discrete eigenvalue problem:

Find \((\overline{\lambda}_h, \overline{u}_h) \in \mathcal{R} \times V_h^C\) such that \( b(\overline{u}_h, \overline{v}_h) = 1 \) and

\[
a(\overline{u}_h, \overline{v}_h) = \overline{\lambda}_h (\overline{u}_h, \overline{v}_h), \quad \forall \overline{v}_h \in V_h^C.
\]

(4.3)
From [3], the basic estimates about approximation errors exist

\[ 0 \leq \lambda_h - \lambda \leq Ch^2v\|u\|_{1+\gamma}^2, \]
(4.4)

\[ \|u - \pi_h\|_{a,h} \leq Ch^\gamma\|u\|_{1+\gamma}, \]
(4.5)

\[ \|u - \pi_h\|_b \leq Ch^2v\|u\|_{1+\gamma}. \]
(4.6)

So by (4.4), (3.3) and Theorem 3.4, we have the following estimate between conforming and nonconforming eigenvalue approximations:

\[ 0 \leq \lambda - \lambda_h \leq Ch^2v\|u\|_{1+\gamma}^2, \]
(4.7)

\[ 0 \leq \lambda_h - \lambda \leq Ch^2v\|u\|_{1+\gamma}^2, \]
(4.8)

\[ 0 \leq \lambda_h - \lambda_h \leq Ch^2v\|u\|_{1+\gamma}. \]
(4.9)

4.2. Upper bound by postprocess. The results (4.7)-(4.9) are very interesting and useful. Especially, (4.9) can give a guaranteed error for the current numerical approximations. Unfortunately, in order to get (4.9), we need to solve the eigenvalue problem twice, one with nonconforming and the other with conforming elements, which is always difficult since solving eigenvalue problem need much more computation than solving the corresponding source problems. So, in this subsection we give a postprocessing method to obtain the upper bound eigenvalue approximation without solving eigenvalue problem but only need to solve a source problem.

After obtaining the eigenpair approximation \((\lambda_h, u_h) \in \mathcal{R} \times V_h^{NC}\) by the nonconforming finite element, we put them as the right hand side of an auxiliary source Laplace problem. Then we utilize conforming finite element method to solve this problem which is defined as follows:

\[ a(\hat{u}_h, \hat{v}_h) = \lambda_h b(u_h, \hat{v}_h), \quad \forall \hat{v}_h \in V_h^C \]
(4.10)

After obtaining \(\hat{u}_h\), we calculate the following Rayleigh quotient as an approximation of \(\lambda\)

\[ \hat{\lambda}_h := R(\hat{u}_h). \]
(4.11)

In order to analyze the error estimate for the eigenpair approximation \((\hat{\lambda}_h, \hat{u}_h) \in \mathcal{R} \times V_h^C\), we define the projection operator associated with the space \(V_h^C\) as follows

\[ a(\hat{P}_h u, \hat{v}_h) = a(u, \hat{v}_h), \quad \forall \hat{v}_h \in V_h^C. \]
(4.12)

For this projection operator, we have the following error estimate

\[ \|\hat{P}_h u - u\|_h + h^\gamma\|\hat{P}_h u - u\|_a \leq Ch^2v\|u\|_{1+\gamma}. \]
(4.13)

An important lemma from Babuška and Osborn ([3] [19]), tells that
Lemma 4.1. ([3, Lemma 4.1]) For the self-adjoint problem \((2.3)\), suppose \((\lambda, u)\) be the exact eigenpair. Then for any \(w \in V\), \(\|w\|_b \neq 0\), the Rayleigh quotient \(R(w)\) satisfy
\[
R(w) - \lambda = \frac{\|w - u\|^2_a}{\|w\|_b^2} - \lambda \frac{\|w - u\|^2_b}{\|w\|^2_b}.
\]

Theorem 4.2. For the eigenpair approximation \((\hat{\lambda}_h, \hat{u}_h) \in R \times \hat{V}_h^C\), the following error estimates hold
\[
\|u - \hat{u}_h\|_a \leq Ch^{\gamma}\|u\|_{1+\gamma},
\]
\[
|\hat{\lambda}_h - \lambda| \leq Ch^{2\gamma}.
\]
Assume \(u \in H^{1+\gamma}(\Omega)\) with \(0 < \gamma \leq 1\). Then when \(h\) is small enough, we have the following upper bound for \(\hat{\lambda}_h\) defined by \((4.19)\),
\[
\hat{\lambda}_h \geq \lambda.
\]

Proof. First, we have the following estimate
\[
\|\hat{u}_h - \hat{P}_hu\|^2_a = a(\hat{u}_h - \hat{P}_hu, \hat{u}_h - \hat{P}_hu) = b(\lambda_hu_h - \lambda u, \hat{u}_h - \hat{P}_hu)
\]
\[
\leq \|\lambda_hu_h - \lambda u\|_a\|\hat{u}_h - \hat{P}_hu\|_a
\]
\[
\leq (|\lambda_h|\|u - u_h\|_b + |\lambda_h - \lambda|\|u\|_b)\|\hat{u}_h - \hat{P}_hu\|_a
\]
\[
\leq Ch^{2\gamma}\|\hat{u}_h - \hat{P}_hu\|_a\|u\|_{1+\gamma}.
\]
So the following estimate holds
\[
\|\hat{u}_h - \hat{P}_hu\|_a \leq Ch^{2\gamma}\|u\|_{1+\gamma}.
\]
Then we have the following error estimates for \(\hat{u}_h\)
\[
\|\hat{u}_h - u\|_a \leq \|\hat{u}_h - \hat{P}_hu\|_a + \|\hat{P}_hu - u\|_a
\]
\[
\leq Ch^{\gamma}\|u\|_{1+\gamma},
\]
\[
\|\hat{u}_h - u\|_b \leq \|\hat{u}_h - \hat{P}_hu\|_a + \|\hat{P}_hu - u\|_b
\]
\[
\leq Ch^{2\gamma}\|u\|_{1+\gamma}.
\]
Since \(V_h^C \subset V\), replacing \(w\) with \(\hat{u}_h\) in \((4.14)\), we have
\[
\hat{\lambda}_h - \lambda = \frac{\|\hat{u}_h - \hat{u}\|^2_a}{\|\hat{u}_h\|_b^2} - \lambda \frac{\|\hat{u}_h - \hat{u}\|^2_b}{\|\hat{u}_h\|^2_b}.
\]
Applying the estimates of \(\hat{u}_h\) to \((4.19)\), we can obtain \((4.16)\). Furthermore from Lemma 3.3, we have \(\|u - \hat{u}_h\|_a \geq Ch\). Thus we can see that the first term is dominate and the desired result \((4.17)\) is derived.

Theorem 4.3. Under the conditions in Theorem 3.4 and 4.2, for the eigenvalue approximation \(\lambda_h\) and \(\hat{\lambda}_h\), we have \(0 \leq \hat{\lambda}_h - \lambda_h \leq Ch^{2\gamma}\) and \(\max\{|\lambda - \lambda_h|, |\hat{\lambda}_h - \lambda|\} \leq \hat{\lambda}_h - \lambda_h \leq Ch^{2\gamma}\).
Proof. Based on the results in Theorems 3.4 and 4.2 and the error estimate (3.3), we can easily prove this theorem. □

5. Better upper bound approximation with finer finite element space

In the last section, the postprocessing method is applied to get the upper bound of the eigenvalue which has the same convergence order as the lower bound. But as we know from [19], we can employ the postprocessing method to obtain a new eigenpair approximation with better accuracy than the obtained nonconforming approximation \((\lambda_h, u_h)\). But because of the higher order convergence, the analysis of upper bound in last section can not be used in this case. Here, we propose a method to produce not only higher order accuracy but also upper bound approximation of the eigenvalue. This procedure contains solving some auxiliary source problems and a very small eigenvalue problem.

The aim of this section is to obtain the approximation of the first \(m\) eigenvalues \(\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_m\). Assume we have obtained the first eigenvalue approximations \(\lambda_{1,h} \leq \lambda_{2,h} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{m,h}\) and the corresponding eigenfunction approximations \(u_{1,h}, u_{2,h}, \cdots, u_{m,h}\) by the nonconforming finite element method with the lower bound property \(\lambda_{j,h} \leq \lambda_j\) \((j = 1, 2, \cdots, m)\).

Before introducing the postprocessing method, we need to define a finer conforming finite element space \(V_{HC}^h\) which can be constructed by using higher order finite element or refining current mesh \(T_h\) such that this space has the higher convergence order ([19])

\[
\inf_{\widehat{v}_h \in \widehat{V}_{HC}^h} \| u - \widehat{v}_h \|_a \leq Ch^{2\gamma}, \quad \forall u \in H^{1+\gamma}(\Omega).
\]

(5.1)

Now let us introduce a postprocessing method to get the upper bound approximations with the help of obtained approximations \((\lambda_{1,h}, u_{1,h}), \cdots, (\lambda_{m,h}, u_{m,h})\).

Algorithm 5.1. Higher order postprocessing method:

(1) For \(j = 1, 2, \cdots, m\)

Find \(\widehat{u}_{j,h} \in V_{HC}^h\) such that

\[
a(\widehat{u}_{j,h}, \widehat{v}_h) = \lambda_{j,h} b(u_{j,h}, \widehat{v}_h), \quad \forall \widehat{v}_h \in V_{HC}^h.
\]

(5.2)

(2) Construct the finite dimensional space \(\widehat{V}_h = \text{span}\{\widehat{u}_{1,h}, \cdots, \widehat{u}_{m,h}\}\) and solve the following eigenvalue problem in the space \(\widehat{V}_h\):

Find \((\widehat{\lambda}_h, \widehat{u}_h) \in R \times \widehat{V}_h\) such that

\[
a(\widehat{u}_h, \widehat{v}_h) = \widehat{\lambda}_h b(\widehat{u}_h, \widehat{v}_h), \quad \forall \widehat{v}_h \in \widehat{V}_h.
\]

(5.3)

Finally, we obtain the new eigenpair approximations \((\widehat{\lambda}_{1,h}, \widehat{u}_{1,h}), \cdots, (\widehat{\lambda}_{m,h}, \widehat{u}_{m,h})\).
Similarly to the last section, in order to analyze the error estimate for the eigenfunction approximations \( \tilde{\lambda}_{1,h}, \ldots, \tilde{\lambda}_{m,h} \), we define the projection operator corresponding to the space \( V_h^{HC} \) as follows
\[
(5.4) \quad a(P_h^{HC}u, \hat{v}_h) = a(u, \hat{v}_h), \quad \forall \hat{v}_h \in V_h^{HC}.
\]
For this projection operator, we have the following error estimate
\[
(5.5) \quad \|P_h^{HC}u - u\|_a \leq C \inf_{\hat{v}_h \in P_h^{HC}} \|u - \hat{v}_h\|_a \leq C h^{2\gamma}.
\]

**Theorem 5.2.** For the eigenpair approximations \((\tilde{\lambda}_{1,h}, \tilde{\lambda}_{1,h}), \ldots, (\tilde{\lambda}_{m,h}, \tilde{\lambda}_{m,h})\) obtained by Algorithm 5.1, we have following estimates
\[
(5.6) \quad 0 \leq \tilde{\lambda}_{j,h} - \lambda_j \leq C h^{4\gamma},
\]
\[
(5.7) \quad \|\tilde{u}_{j,h} - u\|_a \leq C h^{2\gamma}.
\]

**Proof.** First, we prove the error estimate of the eigenfunction approximations \((5.7)\). From \((2.2), (5.4)\) and the coercivity of \(a(\cdot, \cdot)\), we have
\[
\|\tilde{u}_{j,h} - P_h^{HC}u_j\|_a^2 = a(\tilde{u}_{j,h} - P_h^{HC}u_j, \tilde{u}_{j,h} - P_h^{HC}u_j) = b(\lambda_{j,h}u_{j,h} - \lambda_ju_j, \tilde{u}_{j,h} - P_h^{HC}u_j)
\leq \|\lambda_{j,h}u_{j,h} - \lambda_ju_j\|_0 \|\tilde{u}_{j,h} - P_h^{HC}u_j\|_a
\leq (|\lambda_{j,h}|\|u_j - u_{j,h}\|_b + |\lambda_{j,h} - \lambda_j|\|u_j\|_a) \|\tilde{u}_{j,h} - P_h^{HC}u_j\|_a
\leq C h^{2\gamma}\|\tilde{u}_{j,h} - P_h^{HC}u_j\|_a.
\]
Combined with \((5.5)\), the following estimate holds
\[
(5.8) \quad \|\tilde{u}_{j,h} - u_j\|_a \leq \|\tilde{u}_{j,h} - P_h^{HC}u_j\|_a + \|P_h^{HC}u - u\|_a \leq C h^{2\gamma}.
\]
Based on the theory in [3] for the error estimate of the eigenvalue problem by finite element method, we have the following inequality
\[
(5.9) \quad \|\tilde{u}_{j,h} - u_j\|_a \leq C \inf_{\tilde{v}_h \in V_h} \|u_j - \tilde{v}_h\|_a \leq C \|u_j - \tilde{u}_{j,h}\|_a \leq C h^{2\gamma}.
\]
This is the desired result \((5.7)\). Now, we come to prove the error estimate property \((5.6)\). With the help of \((5.14)\), we have
\[
(5.10) \quad |\tilde{\lambda}_{j,h} - \lambda_j| \leq C \|\tilde{u}_{j,h} - u_j\|_a^2 \leq C h^{4\gamma}.
\]
Thanks to the minimum-maximum principle \((2.4)\), we have
\[
(5.11) \quad \lambda_j = \min_{V_j \subset \mathcal{V}_j \dim V_j = j} \max_{v \in V_j} R(v),
\]
and the discrete version in the space \(\tilde{V}_h\)
\[
(5.12) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{j,h} = \min_{V_j \subset \tilde{V}_j \dim V_j = j} \max_{v \in V_j} R(v).
\]
Based on (5.11) and (5.12), we can easily obtain $\lambda_j \leq \tilde{\lambda}_{j,h}$ ($j = 1, 2, \cdots, m$) and complete the proof.

6. Numerical Results

In this section, two numerical examples are presented to validate our theoretical results stated in the above sections.

6.1. Eigenvalue problem on the unit square domain. In this subsection, we solve the eigenvalue problem (2.1) on the unit domain $\Omega = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. The aim here is to find the approximations of the first 6 eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_6$.

First, ECR element is applied to solve the eigenvalue problem and then the linear finite element to do the postprocessing on the series of meshes which are produced by Delaunay scheme. The quadratic element is applied to implement Algorithm 5.1. Table 1 shows the eigenvalue approximations of the first 6 eigenvalues. And the approximations by postprocessing method with linear element is presented in Table 2. Table 3 shows the numerical results of the postprocessing Algorithm 5.1 with quadratic element. From Table 1, we can find the numerical approximations of ECR element are lower bounds of the exact eigenvalues. Tables 2 and 3 show the upper bounds of the numerical approximations by the postprocessing method using linear and quadratic elements.

| $h$  | $\lambda_{1,h}$ | $\lambda_{2,h}$ | $\lambda_{3,h}$ | $\lambda_{4,h}$ | $\lambda_{5,h}$ | $\lambda_{6,h}$ |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.2  | 19.282902       | 46.704835       | 46.785588       | 72.047988       | 88.938276       | 89.192096       |
| 0.1  | 19.609902       | 48.619503       | 48.628462       | 77.120773       | 95.649531       | 95.991202       |
| 0.05 | 19.711840       | 49.180465       | 49.181471       | 78.517381       | 98.019716       | 98.037715       |
| 0.025| 19.732395       | 49.306198       | 49.306523       | 78.849396       | 98.532500       | 98.532943       |
| 0.0125| 19.737526       | 49.337705       | 49.337756       | 78.930339       | 98.655173       | 98.655315       |

Table 1. ECR element for eigenvalue problem on unit square

| $h$  | $\lambda_{1,h}$ | $\lambda_{2,h}$ | $\lambda_{3,h}$ | $\lambda_{4,h}$ | $\lambda_{5,h}$ | $\lambda_{6,h}$ |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.2  | 20.458912       | 53.558232       | 53.776851       | 90.030803       | 117.15806       | 116.15773       |
| 0.1  | 19.940425       | 50.568044       | 50.561690       | 82.204934       | 103.84878       | 103.61419       |
| 0.05 | 19.784700       | 49.634441       | 49.633984       | 79.701583       | 99.844154       | 99.842216       |
| 0.025| 19.750665       | 49.419822       | 49.419553       | 79.140201       | 98.978970       | 98.978102       |
| 0.0125| 19.742054       | 49.365577       | 49.365546       | 79.002394       | 98.765631       | 98.765878       |

Table 2. Linear element for postprocessing method on unit square
Table 3. Quadratic element for postprocessing method on unit square

| $h$      | $\lambda_{1,h}$ | $\lambda_{2,h}$ | $\lambda_{3,h}$ | $\lambda_{4,h}$ | $\lambda_{5,h}$ | $\lambda_{6,h}$ |
|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 0.2      | 19.744698        | 49.427336        | 49.434146        | 79.315293        | 99.263888        | 99.326679        |
| 0.1      | 19.739654        | 49.354899        | 49.354991        | 78.982884        | 98.747751        | 98.748129        |
| 0.05     | 19.739231        | 49.348360        | 49.348371        | 78.958190        | 98.698705        | 98.698827        |
| 0.025    | 19.739210        | 49.348043        | 49.348043        | 78.956922        | 98.696216        | 98.696219        |
| 0.0125   | 19.739209        | 49.348023        | 49.348023        | 78.956841        | 98.696054        | 98.696054        |

Trend $\downarrow$ $\uparrow$ $\downarrow$ $\uparrow$ $\downarrow$ $\downarrow$ $\uparrow$

Then, $EQ_1^{rot}$ element is applied to solve the eigenvalue problem and then the bilinear finite element to do the postprocessing on the series of uniform rectangle meshes. Biquadratic element is employed to implement Algorithm 5.1. Table 4 shows the eigenvalue approximations of the first 6 eigenvalues and the approximations by postprocessing method with bilinear element is presented in Table 5. Table 6 shows the numerical results of the postprocessing Algorithm 5.1 with biquadratic element. From Table 4, we can find the numerical approximations of $EQ_1^{rot}$ element are lower bounds of the exact eigenvalues. Tables 5 and 6 show the upper bounds of the numerical approximations by the postprocessing method using bilinear and biquadratic elements.

Table 4. $EQ_1^{rot}$ element for eigenvalue problem on unit square

| $h$      | $\lambda_{1,h}$ | $\lambda_{2,h}$ | $\lambda_{3,h}$ | $\lambda_{4,h}$ | $\lambda_{5,h}$ | $\lambda_{6,h}$ |
|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1/8 × 1/8 | 19.530807        | 47.509983        | 47.523306        | 75.754849        | 89.869065        | 89.943840        |
| 1/16 × 1/16| 19.686551       | 48.878512        | 48.879400        | 78.123216        | 96.394505        | 96.399928        |
| 1/32 × 1/32| 19.726009       | 49.229994        | 49.230051        | 78.746202        | 98.114256        | 98.114609        |
| 1/64 × 1/64| 19.735907       | 49.318474        | 49.318478        | 78.904035        | 98.550189        | 98.550211        |
| 1/128 × 1/128| 19.738383      | 49.340632        | 49.340633        | 78.943626        | 98.659555        | 98.659556        |

Trend $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$

Table 5. Bilinear element for postprocessing method on unit square

| $h$      | $\lambda_{1,h}$ | $\lambda_{2,h}$ | $\lambda_{3,h}$ | $\lambda_{4,h}$ | $\lambda_{5,h}$ | $\lambda_{6,h}$ |
|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1/8 × 1/8 | 20.506335        | 52.821611        | 54.413322        | 90.985945        | 114.01626        | 114.01577        |
| 1/16 × 1/16| 19.929848       | 50.211622        | 50.588305        | 82.000375        | 102.46652        | 102.46652        |
| 1/32 × 1/32| 19.786796       | 49.563576        | 49.656364        | 79.717934        | 99.633341        | 99.633341        |
| 1/64 × 1/64| 19.751101       | 49.401888        | 49.424998        | 79.147095        | 98.930015        | 98.930015        |
| 1/128 × 1/128| 19.742182      | 49.361487        | 49.367259        | 79.004399        | 98.754514        | 98.754514        |

Trend $\downarrow$ $\downarrow$ $\downarrow$ $\downarrow$ $\downarrow$ $\downarrow$ $\downarrow$
Table 6. Biquadratic element for postprocessing method on unit square

| $h$      | $\lambda_{1,h}$ | $\lambda_{2,h}$ | $\lambda_{3,h}$ | $\lambda_{4,h}$ | $\lambda_{5,h}$ | $\lambda_{6,h}$ |
|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1/8 × 1/8 | 19.743647        | 49.388394        | 49.422024        | 79.218966        | 99.081741        | 99.083312        |
| 1/16 × 1/16 | 19.739492        | 49.350652        | 49.352826        | 78.974583        | 98.721403        | 98.721409        |
| 1/32 × 1/32 | 19.739227        | 49.348188        | 49.348325        | 78.957968        | 98.697654        | 98.697654        |
| 1/64 × 1/64 | 19.739210        | 49.348032        | 49.348041        | 78.956906        | 98.696145        | 98.696145        |
| 1/128 × 1/128 | 19.739209        | 49.348023        | 49.348023        | 78.956840        | 98.696050        | 98.696050        |

Figure 1 shows the errors of the eigenvalue approximations by ECR and $EQ_1^{rot}$ elements, postprocessing methods with lowest order (linear and bilinear) and higher order (quadratic and biquadratic) elements on the unit square. Since we know the exact eigenvalues on the unit square, we can give the exact errors of $\lambda_{j,h}$, $\hat{\lambda}_{j,h}$, and $\tilde{\lambda}_{j,h}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$). Since the eigenfunctions are smooth, the postprocessing with higher order element can improve the convergence order. From Figure 1, we can find the eigenvalue approximations have the reasonable convergence order.

Figure 1. The errors for the eigenvalue approximations on unit square by ECR (left) and $EQ_1^{rot}$ (right), where

$$\text{Err}1 = \sum_{j=1}^{6}(\lambda_j - \lambda_{j,h}), \text{Err}2 = \sum_{j=1}^{6}(\hat{\lambda}_{j,h} - \lambda_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Err}3 = \sum_{j=1}^{6}(\tilde{\lambda}_{j,h} - \lambda_j)$$

6.2. Eigenvalue problem on the $L$ shape domain. In this subsection, we solve the eigenvalue problem (2.1) on the $L$ shape domain $\Omega = (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1) \setminus (-1, 0) \times (-1, 0)$. The aim here is also to find the approximations of the first 6 eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_6$.

First, ECR element is applied to solve the eigenvalue problem and then the linear finite element to do the postprocessing on the series of meshes which are produced by Delaunay
scheme. The quadratic element is applied to implement Algorithm 5.1. Table 7 shows the eigenvalue approximations of the first 6 eigenvalues and the approximations by postprocessing method with linear element is presented in Table 8. Table 9 shows the numerical results of the postprocessing Algorithm 5.1 with quadratic element. From Table 7 we can find the numerical approximations of ECR element are lower bounds of the exact eigenvalues. Tables 8 and 9 show the upper bounds of the numerical approximations by the postprocessing method using linear and quadratic elements.

**Table 7.** ECR element for eigenvalue problem on $L$ shape domain

| $h$ | $\lambda_{1,h}$ | $\lambda_{2,h}$ | $\lambda_{3,h}$ | $\lambda_{4,h}$ | $\lambda_{5,h}$ | $\lambda_{6,h}$ |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.2 | 8.9126839       | 14.379736       | 18.200634       | 26.360803       | 27.160361       | 34.333785       |
| 0.1 | 9.3655553       | 14.954062       | 19.323660       | 28.615430       | 30.449112       | 39.363462       |
| 0.05| 9.5439611       | 15.133230       | 19.625778       | 29.266600       | 31.451422       | 40.860929       |
| 0.025| 9.6066551     | 15.181407       | 19.711040       | 29.459256       | 31.775515       | 41.305926       |
| 0.0125| 9.6277308    | 15.193312       | 19.732422       | 29.506604       | 31.869752       | 41.426233       |
| Trend| ↑              | ↑               | ↑               | ↑               | ↑               | ↑               |

**Table 8.** Linear element for postprocessing method on $L$ shape domain

| $h$ | $\lambda_{1,h}$ | $\lambda_{2,h}$ | $\lambda_{3,h}$ | $\lambda_{4,h}$ | $\lambda_{5,h}$ | $\lambda_{6,h}$ |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.2 | 10.578106       | 16.642707       | 22.460586       | 36.034300       | 39.441562       | 52.785280       |
| 0.1 | 9.9724152       | 15.610430       | 20.469954       | 31.132236       | 34.109328       | 44.593560       |
| 0.05| 9.7438532       | 15.309456       | 19.934653       | 29.958076       | 32.522745       | 42.389559       |
| 0.025| 9.6728756      | 15.224811       | 19.786815       | 29.627051       | 32.086619       | 41.719036       |
| 0.0125| 9.6521963     | 15.203927       | 19.750613       | 29.546869       | 31.965675       | 41.541732       |
| Trend| ↓              | ↓               | ↓               | ↓               | ↓               | ↓               |

**Table 9.** Quadratic element for postprocessing method on $L$ shape domain

| $h$ | $\lambda_{1,h}$ | $\lambda_{2,h}$ | $\lambda_{3,h}$ | $\lambda_{4,h}$ | $\lambda_{5,h}$ | $\lambda_{6,h}$ |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.2 | 9.7067976       | 15.233894       | 19.813091       | 29.809049       | 32.402601       | 42.472832       |
| 0.1 | 9.6691745       | 15.201608       | 19.744920       | 29.541711       | 32.011248       | 41.586610       |
| 0.05| 9.6496097       | 15.197646       | 19.739617       | 29.522960       | 31.939132       | 41.497609       |
| 0.025| 9.6432648     | 15.197293       | 19.739232       | 29.521572       | 31.921467       | 41.481392       |
| 0.0125| 9.6414840    | 15.197258       | 19.739210       | 29.521488       | 31.916952       | 41.477779       |
| Trend| ↓              | ↓               | ↓               | ↓               | ↓               | ↓               |

Then, $EQ_{rot}^{1}$ element is applied to solve the eigenvalue problem and then the bilinear finite element to do the postprocessing on the series of uniform rectangle meshes. Biquadratic
element is employed to implement Algorithm 5.1. Table 10 shows the eigenvalue approximations of the first 6 eigenvalues and the approximations by postprocessing method with bilinear element presented in Table 11. Table 12 shows the numerical results of the postprocessing Algorithm 5.1 with biquadratic element. From Table 10, we can find the numerical approximations of \( EQ_1^{\text{rot}} \) element are lower bounds of the exact eigenvalues. Tables 11 and 12 show the upper bounds of the numerical approximations by the postprocessing method using bilinear and biquadratic elements.

**Table 10.** \( EQ_1^{\text{rot}} \) element for eigenvalue problem on \( L \) shape domain

| \( h \)       | \( \lambda_{1,h} \) | \( \lambda_{2,h} \) | \( \lambda_{3,h} \) | \( \lambda_{4,h} \) | \( \lambda_{5,h} \) | \( \lambda_{6,h} \) |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1/4 \times 1/4 | 9.2784846            | 15.049120            | 19.477978            | 28.869068            | 30.381898            | 39.579205            |
| 1/8 \times 1/8  | 9.5063501            | 15.154836            | 19.675337            | 29.348136            | 31.415853            | 40.855247            |
| 1/16 \times 1/16 | 9.5986364           | 15.185968            | 19.723326            | 29.477224            | 31.747501            | 41.282853            |
| 1/32 \times 1/32 | 9.6205870          | 15.194336            | 19.735243            | 29.510335            | 31.855244            | 41.413996            |
| 1/64 \times 1/64 | 9.6323169           | 15.196509            | 19.738218            | 29.518686            | 31.891900            | 41.454533            |
| Trend          | \uparrow            | \uparrow             | \uparrow             | \uparrow             | \uparrow             | \uparrow             |

**Table 11.** Bilinear element for postprocessing method on \( L \) shape domain

| \( h \)       | \( \lambda_{1,h} \) | \( \lambda_{2,h} \) | \( \lambda_{3,h} \) | \( \lambda_{4,h} \) | \( \lambda_{5,h} \) | \( \lambda_{6,h} \) |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1/4 \times 1/4 | 10.164089           | 15.980053            | 20.773284            | 32.476652            | 35.807091            | 48.127411            |
| 1/8 \times 1/8  | 9.7907347           | 15.392383            | 19.994161            | 30.245451            | 32.945969            | 43.311982            |
| 1/16 \times 1/16 | 9.6867567           | 15.246072            | 19.802707            | 29.701314            | 32.192812            | 41.959311            |
| 1/32 \times 1/32 | 9.6552886           | 15.209476            | 19.755068            | 29.566371            | 31.992010            | 41.603765            |
| 1/64 \times 1/64 | 9.6451377           | 15.200312            | 19.743173            | 29.532700            | 31.936225            | 41.509772            |
| Trend          | \downarrow           | \downarrow            | \downarrow            | \downarrow            | \downarrow            | \downarrow            |

**Table 12.** Biquadratic element for postprocessing method on \( L \) shape domain

| \( h \)       | \( \lambda_{1,h} \) | \( \lambda_{2,h} \) | \( \lambda_{3,h} \) | \( \lambda_{4,h} \) | \( \lambda_{5,h} \) | \( \lambda_{6,h} \) |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1/4 \times 1/4 | 9.6733499           | 15.208409            | 19.749420            | 29.581107            | 32.072149            | 41.784684            |
| 1/8 \times 1/8  | 9.6525125           | 15.198129            | 19.739857            | 29.525433            | 31.949976            | 41.516052            |
| 1/16 \times 1/16 | 9.6447652           | 15.197334            | 19.739249            | 29.521742            | 31.925488            | 41.485165            |
| 1/32 \times 1/32 | 9.6417221           | 15.197261            | 19.739211            | 29.521499            | 31.917575            | 41.478309            |
| 1/64 \times 1/64 | 9.6405166           | 15.197253            | 19.739209            | 29.521483            | 31.914580            | 41.475981            |
| Trend          | \downarrow           | \downarrow            | \downarrow            | \downarrow            | \downarrow            | \downarrow            |

Figure 2 shows the errors of the eigenvalue approximations by ECR and \( EQ_1^{\text{rot}} \) elements, postprocessing methods with lowest order (linear and bilinear) and higher order
(quadratic and biquadratic) elements on the $L$ shape domain. Since we don’t know the exact eigenvalues on the $L$ shape domain, we can only give the errors of $\hat{\lambda}_{j,h} - \lambda_{j,h}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{j,h} - \lambda_{j,h}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$). Since the eigenfunctions here are singular, the convergence order by postprocessing with higher order element can not be improved which is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** The errors for the eigenvalue approximations on $L$ shape domain by ECR (left) and $EQ_{rot}^1$ (right), where $\text{Err}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{6}(\hat{\lambda}_{j,h} - \lambda_{j,h})$ and $\text{Err}_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{6}(\tilde{\lambda}_{j,h} - \lambda_{j,h})$

### 7. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we analyzed the lower bound approximation of eigenvalue problem by nonconforming elements (ECR and $EQ_{rot}^1$) and also two postprocessing methods to obtain the upper bound of the eigenvalues. Especially, based on the lower bound approximations, a new postprocessing method which can produce not only higher order convergence but also upper bound approximation of the eigenvalues is proposed. This improves the efficiency of solving eigenvalue problems and obtain the accurate a posteriori error estimates by the lower and upper bounds of eigenvalues.

We should point out that all the methods and results here can be easily extended to the three dimension case. We listed some related space and results. The corresponding ECR element in $\mathcal{R}^3$ is defined as

$$V_h^{NC} := \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : v|_K \in \text{span}\{1, x, y, z, x^2 + y^2 + z^2\}, \int_{\ell} v|_{K_1} ds = \int_{\ell} v|_{K_2} ds, \text{ when } K_1 \cap K_2 = \ell, \text{ and } \int_\ell v|_K ds = 0, \text{ if } K \cap \partial \Omega = \ell \right\},$$

(7.1)
where $K, K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

The corresponding $EQ_1^{\text{rot}}$ element in $\mathcal{R}^3$ is defined as

$$V_{h}^{NC} := \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : v|_K \in \text{span}\{1, x, y, z, x^2, y^2, z^2\}, \int_{\ell} v|_{K_1} ds = \int_{\ell} v|_{K_2} ds, \right\}$$

(7.2)

if $K_1 \cap K_2 = \ell$, and $\int_{\ell} v|_K ds = 0$, if $K \cap \partial \Omega = \ell$,

where $K, K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{T}_h$.

These two nonconforming elements can be used in the three dimensional case to get the lower bounds of eigenvalues and the corresponding postprocessing methods can also be constructed to obtain upper bounds of the eigenvalues.
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