Influence of super-ohmic dissipation on a disordered quantum critical point
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Abstract
We investigate the combined influence of quenched randomness and dissipation on a quantum critical point with O(N) order-parameter symmetry. Utilizing a strong-disorder renormalization group, we determine the critical behavior in one space dimension exactly. For super-ohmic dissipation, we find a Kosterlitz–Thouless type transition with conventional (power-law) dynamical scaling. The dynamical critical exponent depends on the spectral density of the dissipative baths. We also discuss the Griffiths singularities, and we determine observables. (Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

Real physical systems are seldom bereft of quenched disorder that occurs in the guise of impurities, defects or other lattice imperfections. This disorder can have a significant impact on the physics near phase transitions. These effects are particularly dramatic near zero-temperature quantum phase transitions (QPTs) where they give rise to a bevy of fascinating phenomena such as quantum Griffiths effects [1, 2], infinite-randomness critical points featuring activated dynamical scaling [3, 4], as well as smeared phase transitions [5] (for recent reviews see, e.g., [6, 7]).

It is by now well understood that the enhanced disorder effects at QPTs can be attributed to the disorder being perfectly correlated along the imaginary time axis, which becomes infinitely extended at zero temperature. A particularly important role is played by the rare regions; droplets that are caught in the wrong phase due to atypical disorder fluctuations (for instance, ferromagnetic droplets in a bulk paramagnet). Due to the perfect disorder correlations in imaginary time, these rare regions are infinitely extended in the time direction, which severely hampers their dynamics and thus leads to the exotic phenomena mentioned above.

A dissipative environment further enhances these disorder effects. An example is provided by the magnetic QPT in the random transverse-field Ising chain. In the absence of dissipation, this system features an infinite-randomness quantum critical point separating the ferromagnetic and paramagnetic ground state phases. It displays unconventional activated dynamical scaling and is accompanied by power-law quantum Griffiths singularities [3, 4]. Ohmic dissipation prevents sufficiently large ferromagnetic droplets from tunneling [8–10]. This destroys the sharp QPT by smearing, as was first predicted by phenomenological arguments [5] and later confirmed by strong-disorder renormalization group calculations [11–13].

Recently, some of us [14, 15] considered the influence of ohmic dissipation on the QPT of a disordered rotor model with continuous O(N) order-parameter symmetry. Such a model appears as the order-parameter field theory of the itinerant antiferromagnetic transition [16] or the superconductor–metal transition in nanowires [17]. By applying a strong-disorder renormalization group, it was shown that the critical behavior of this transition is controlled by an infinite-randomness critical point that falls into the same universality class as the random transverse-field Ising model. While this result may
appear surprising at first glance (because the two systems have different symmetries), it follows from the fact that the rare regions in both systems are exactly at the lower critical dimension of the problem, in agreement with the general classification scheme put forward in [18].

The case of ohmic dissipation and the resulting exotic infinite-randomness critical point should be contrasted with the dissipationless case, relevant, e.g., for dirty bosons [19], which features a Kosterlitz–Thouless phase transition with conventional (power-law) dynamical scaling. The qualitative difference between these two phase-transition scenarios immediately poses the question: what happens in the presence of dissipation that is weaker than ohmic and thus ‘interpolates’ between the two cases mentioned above?

In this paper we therefore study the QPT of a disordered O(N) rotor model in the presence of super-ohmic dissipation. We apply a strong-disorder renormalization group to the order-parameter field theory of the problem. In one space dimension, this theory can be solved analytically, giving the asymptotically exact critical behavior.

Our paper is organized on the following grounds. In section 2, we introduce the order-parameter field theory and discuss the phase diagram. Section 3 is dedicated to the derivation of the strong-disorder renormalization group flow equations, which are then analyzed and solved in section 4. In section 5, we compute the behavior of a few key observables. Finally, we conclude in section 6.

2. Order-parameter field theory

Following Landau, one of the general techniques for studying QPTs consists in deriving an effective field theory in terms of the order-parameter fluctuations from the appropriate microscopic Hamiltonian. For QPTs in Fermi liquids, this approach was pioneered by Hertz andMillis [16, 20]. Our starting point is the resulting quantum Landau–Ginzburg–Wilson free energy functional (or action),

\[ S = \int \frac{dy}{2} \varphi(x) \Gamma(x, y) \varphi(y) + \frac{u}{2N} \int dx \varphi(x) \varphi(x) \]  

(1)

Here, \( \varphi \) represents an \( N \)-component vector order parameter in \( d \) space dimensions. \( x \equiv (x, r) \) stands for both the position vector \( x \) and imaginary time \( r \) with the integration measure \( \int dx \equiv \int \frac{dx}{2} \int \frac{d^d x}{(2\pi)^d} \) \( dr \). \( u \) is the standard quartic coefficient. In the absence of disorder, the two-point vertex \( \Gamma(x, y) \) can be defined via its Fourier transform which reads

\[ \Gamma(q, \omega_n) = r + \xi_0^2 q^2 + \gamma_0 |\omega_n|^{2/\gamma_0} \]  

(2)

where \( r \) represents the bare distance to criticality, and \( \xi_0 \) is a microscopic length scale. The non-analytic dependence of \( \Gamma(q, \omega_n) \) on the Matsubara frequency \( \omega_n \) is caused by the coupling of the order-parameter field to a dissipative bath. We parameterize the dissipation by the mean-field dynamical exponent \( z_0 \). If the dissipation is caused by simple oscillator baths of spectral density \( \tilde{E}(\omega) \sim \omega^s \), one obtains \( s = 2/z_0 \). We are mostly interested in the case of super-ohmic dissipation corresponding to \( 1 < z_0 < 2 \). However, for comparison, we also consider the dissipationless case, \( z_0 = 1 \) and the ohmic case, \( z_0 = 2 \). (Even weaker damping, formally corresponding to \( z_0 < 1 \), is irrelevant because the resulting frequency term is subleading to the regular \( \omega_0^2 \) term arising in the Landau expansion.) The coefficient \( \gamma_0 \) derives from the coupling of the order parameter to the dissipative baths. We now consider quenched disorder which does not change the functional form of the Landau–Ginzburg–Wilson functional (1) qualitatively. Instead, the distance from criticality \( r \) becomes a random function of the position vector \( x \). Disorder also appears in the coefficients \( \xi_0, \gamma_0 \), and \( u \).

Landau–Ginzburg–Wilson theories of the type defined in (1) appear in several important physical systems. For instance, the case of an \( O(3) \) order parameter with ohmic damping in \( d = 3 \) dimensions represents a quantum antiferromagnetic transition in itinerant electron systems [16], while an \( O(2) \) order parameter in one space dimension is relevant for the superconductor–metal transition in nanowires [17].

In the following, we focus on the case of one space dimension and study the critical behavior of the model as a function of the dissipation exponent \( z_0 \). We start by analyzing the phase diagram. It is well known that in sufficiently low dimensions, the effects of fluctuations are so large that they destroy any long-range order, as was rigorously shown by Mermin and Wagner [21]. To determine for which values of \( z_0 \) our model can display long-range order, we calculate the strength of the transverse fluctuations in a putative ordered phase. Provided that the dissipation remains active in the ordered phase\(^4\), the strength of the transverse fluctuations can be estimated by

\[ \int_0^\Lambda d\omega \int dq \frac{1}{\xi_0^2 q^2 + \gamma_0 \omega^2} = \int_0^\Lambda d\omega \frac{\pi}{\xi_0 \gamma_0^{1/2} \omega_0^{1/2}} = \]  

(3)

where \( \Lambda \) is a frequency cutoff. In the undamped case, \( z_0 = 1 \), the integral is logarithmically divergent in the infrared limit. This implies that true long-range order is impossible but suggests a state with quasi-long-range order. In contrast, for \( z_0 > 1 \), the integral is infrared convergent, implying that a long-range ordered phase can exist.

Having established the phase diagram, we now turn to the critical behavior of the order-parameter field theory (1) in the presence of quenched disorder. We aim at applying a strong-disorder renormalization group scheme. As this is a real-space based method, we discretize the continuum action (1) in space while imaginary time remains continuous. Following [15], we define discrete spatial coordinates \( x_j \) and rotor variables \( \varphi_j(\tau) \) that represent the average order parameter in a large volume \( V \) compared to the microscopic scale \( \xi_0 \) but small compared to the true correlation length \( \xi \). In addition, we first consider the large-\( N \) limit of the action (1); we will later show that the results remain valid for all continuous-symmetry cases. The discretized version of the model in the large-\( N \) limit and in

\(^4\) This is a nontrivial point. In some cases, the action (1) is only valid in the disordered phase, while a gap opens in the spectrum of the bath modes in the ordered phase.
1 + 1 dimensions reads as
\[
S = T \sum \sum (r_i + \lambda_i + \gamma_1 |\omega_n|^{2/\gamma_0} |\phi_i(\omega_n)|^2
- T \sum \phi_i(-\omega_n)J_i \phi_{i+1}(\omega_n).
\]
(4)

Here, \( r_i, \gamma_1 \) are all random, and \( \phi_j(\omega_n) = \int_0^{1/T} \varphi_j(\tau) e^{i\omega_n \tau} d\tau \) is the Fourier transform of the rotor variable. The Lagrange multipliers \( \lambda_i \) enforce the constraint integral in the ohmic case. This power-law relation between \( \gamma \) and \( \lambda \) is determined self-consistently. The renormalized local distance from criticality at site \( i \) is given by \( \epsilon_i = r_i + \lambda_i. \) Note that in the disordered phase, all \( \epsilon_i > 0. \)

3. Strong-disorder renormalization group

We now implement a strong-disorder renormalization group for the discrete large-\( N \) action (4). This method is based on successively integrating out local high-energy degrees of freedom \([3, 4, 22-24]\). The initial steps (until the derivation of the recursion relations) closely follow \([15]\), we will therefore only outline the salient points of the derivation.

3.1. Single-cluster solution

A single independent rotor (or cluster) can be described by the action
\[
S_{cl} = T \sum (r + \lambda + |\omega_n|^{2/\gamma_0} |\phi(\omega_n)|^2).
\]
(5)

The Lagrange multiplier \( \lambda \) is determined self-consistently by the length constraint, which at zero temperature reads
\[
1 = \langle \phi^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty d\epsilon \frac{1}{\epsilon + |\omega_n|^{2/\gamma_0}},
\]
(6)

where \( \epsilon = r + \lambda \) is the renormalized distance from criticality. In the super-ohmic case, \( z_0 < 2 \), the integral can be carried out straightforwardly, and solving for the renormalized distance from criticality yields
\[
\epsilon \sim |\omega_n|^{(\gamma_0-2)/2}.
\]
(7)

This power-law relation between \( \epsilon \) and \( \gamma \) (which is proportional to the cluster size) shows that a single cluster is below the lower critical ‘dimension’ of the problem. In contrast, a high-frequency cutoff \( \Lambda \) is needed to carry out the constraint integral in the ohmic case \( z_0 = 2 \). The resulting dependence of the gap on the damping constant \( \gamma \) is exponential, \( \epsilon \sim |\omega_n|^\Lambda e^{-\pi\gamma} \), signifying that a single ohmic cluster is marginal, i.e. right at the lower critical dimension of the problem.

3.2. Recursion relations

In the action (4), the \( \epsilon_i \) and \( J_i \) are the competing local energy scales; they are independent random variables with distributions \( \pi(J) \) and \( \rho(\epsilon) \). In each renormalization step, we identify the largest of all of these energies, \( \Omega = \max(\epsilon_1, J_1) \), and then divide the corresponding high-energy mode perturbatively. This procedure relies on the disorder distributions being broad and becomes exact in the limit of infinitely broad distributions. For now, we assume that our distributions are sufficiently broad; we verify this condition \textit{a posteriori}.

Suppose the largest of all energies is a local distance from criticality (‘gap’), say \( \epsilon_2 \), then we integrate out the rotor \( \varphi_2 \) from criticality at site \( i \) yields \( \epsilon_i = r_i + \lambda_i \). Note that in the disordered phase, all \( \epsilon_i > 0. \)

This calculation is identical to that of the ohmic case \([15]\) because the leading terms do not depend on the value of \( z_0 \). It leads to the term \( S = -T \sum \omega \varphi_{2j}(\omega_n)\varphi_3(\omega_n) \) in the renormalized action coupling sites 1 and 3. The renormalized interaction strength \( J \) is given by
\[
\bar{J} = \frac{J_1 J_2}{\epsilon_2}.
\]
(8)

Note that this recursion relation is independent of the exponent \( z_0 \) which controls the strength of the dissipation. Its multiplicative structure is fixed by second-order perturbation theory.

Suppose now that the dominant energy in the system is an interaction, say \( J_2 \) coupling the sites 2 and 3. In this limit, the two rotors \( \varphi_2 \) and \( \varphi_3 \) are essentially locked together and can be replaced by a composite rotor variable (‘cluster’) \( \tilde{\varphi}_2 \). The resultant effective distance from criticality \( \tilde{\epsilon}_2 \) for this rotor can be obtained by solving the two-site problem involving the rotor variable \( \varphi_2 \) and \( \varphi_3 \),
\[
S_0 = T \sum (\epsilon_2 + \gamma_2 |\omega_n|^{2/\gamma_0} |\varphi_2(\omega_n)|^2,
\]
and the perturbation given by
\[
S_1 = -T \sum [J_1 \varphi_1(\omega_n)\varphi_2(\omega_n) + J_2 \varphi_2(\omega_n)\varphi_3(\omega_n)].
\]

This calculation is identical to that of the ohmic case \([15]\) because the leading terms do not depend on the value of \( z_0 \). It leads to the term \( S = -T \sum \omega \varphi_{2j}(\omega_n)\varphi_3(\omega_n) \) in the renormalized action coupling sites 1 and 3. The renormalized interaction strength \( J \) is given by
\[
\bar{J} = \frac{J_1 J_2}{\epsilon_2}.
\]
(9)

This calculation is identical to that of the ohmic case \([15]\) because the leading terms do not depend on the value of \( z_0 \). It leads to the term \( S = -T \sum \omega \varphi_{2j}(\omega_n)\varphi_3(\omega_n) \) in the renormalized action coupling sites 1 and 3. The renormalized interaction strength \( J \) is given by
\[
\bar{J} = \frac{J_1 J_2}{\epsilon_2}.
\]
(10)

Here, the quantity \( x = (2 - z_0)/z_0 \) vanishes in the ohmic limit and takes the value 1 in the dissipationless case. The extra constant approaches -1 in the ohmic limit. The new damping parameter is simply the sum of the two old ones:
\[
\tilde{\gamma}_2 = \gamma_2 + \gamma_3.
\]
(11)

The additive structure of the recursion (10) in the super-ohmic case needs to be contrasted with the multiplicative form \( \tilde{\epsilon} = 2\epsilon_2\epsilon_3/J_2 \) arising in the ohmic case \([14, 15]\). It is also worth mentioning that in the dissipationless case, \( z_0 = 1 \), the recursion (10) agrees with that found in the dirty boson problem \([19]\), as expected.
3.3. Renormalization group flow equations

The renormalization group steps outlined above are now repeated many times, thereby gradually reducing the maximum energy $\Omega$. As a result, the probability distributions $\pi(J)$ and $\rho(\epsilon)$ change with $\Omega$. The renormalization group flow equations for these distributions can be easily derived following Fisher’s treatment of the random transverse-field Ising model [4]. This leads to

$$-\frac{\partial \pi (J)}{\partial \Omega} = (\pi_\Omega - \rho_\Omega) \pi (J) + \rho_\Omega \int dJ_1 dJ_2 \pi (J_1) \pi (J_2) \delta \left( J - \frac{J_1 J_2}{\Omega} \right),$$

$$= \rho_\Omega \int d\epsilon_1 d\epsilon_2 \rho (\epsilon_1) \rho (\epsilon_2) \times \delta (\epsilon - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 - \text{const}).$$

Here, $\pi_\Omega$ and $\rho_\Omega$ denote the values of the distributions at their upper cutoff $\Omega$. The flow equation for $\rho(\epsilon)$ is identical to the ohmic case. However, the flow equation for $\rho(\epsilon)$ is modified to reflect the additive recursion relation (10).

We now perform a set of variable transformations for $\Omega$, $J$ and $\epsilon$:

$$\Gamma = \ln \frac{\Omega_0}{\Omega}, \quad \zeta = \ln \frac{\Omega}{\Gamma_0}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \left( \left( \frac{\Omega}{\Gamma} \right)^x - 1 \right),$$

such that the recursion relations in (8) and (10) become simple additions. Note that the transformation for $\beta$ turns into a logarithm in the ohmic limit $\epsilon \to 0$. Recast in the new variables, the flow equations for the distributions $P(\zeta)$ and $R(\beta)$ read

$$-\frac{\partial P(\zeta)}{\partial \Gamma} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \zeta} + (P_0 - R_0) P \Gamma$$

$$+ R_0 \int d\zeta_1 d\zeta_2 P(\zeta_1) P(\zeta_2) \delta (\zeta - \zeta_1 - \zeta_2),$$

$$-\frac{\partial R(\beta)}{\partial \Gamma} = (1 + x \beta) \frac{\partial R}{\partial \beta} - (P_0 - R_0 - x) R$$

$$+ P_0 \int d\beta_1 d\beta_2 R(\beta_1) R(\beta_2) \delta (\beta - \beta_1 - \beta_2 - g(x)),$$

where $g(x)$ is a constant of order one. $P_0$ and $R_0$ denote the values of the distributions at zero argument. In the ohmic limit, the flow equations (15) and (16) reduce to those derived in [14, 15] while in the dissipationless case ($x = 1$) they become identical to those of Altman et al [19] with $g(1) = 1$.

4. Solutions of the flow equations

The complete set of fixed points of the flow equations (15) and (16) can be found utilizing the methods developed in [4, 25]. We have performed such an analysis, details will be published elsewhere [26]. Here, we instead use the following ansatz [19] for the functional forms of the distributions $P(\zeta)$, and $R(\beta)$:

$$P(\zeta) = P_0 (\Gamma) e^{-\beta_0 (\Gamma) \zeta}, \quad R(\beta) = R_0 (\Gamma) e^{-\beta_0 (\Gamma) \beta}.$$  

This exponential ansatz fulfills the flow equations (15) and (16) for the distributions $P(\zeta)$ and $R(\beta)$. The problem is thus reduced to flow equations for the parameters $P_0(\Gamma)$ and $R_0(\Gamma)$ which read

$$\frac{dP_0}{dt} = -R_0 P_0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

$$\frac{dR_0}{dt} = R_0 (x - P_0).$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

It is interesting to note that these equations take the famous Kosterlitz–Thouless form [27] for all $x > 0$, i.e. for the entire range of super-ohmic dissipation strengths. (In fact, by a simple rescaling, the flow for any $x > 0$ can be mapped onto the $x = 1$ case.) A schematic picture of the resulting renormalization group flow in the $P_0-R_0$ plane is shown in figure 1.

There is a line of fixed points with $R_0 = 0$ and $P_0^*$ arbitrary. These fixed points are stable for $P_0^* > x$ and unstable for $P_0^* < x$. The renormalization group trajectories in the $P_0-R_0$ plane can be found by combining (18) and (19). This yields

$$R_0 = P_0 - x \ln P_0 + C.$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

The integration constant $C$ controls in what phase the system is. For values of $C$ that are larger than a critical value $C_c = -x + \ln x$ the flow is always towards $P_0 \to 0$, and $R_0 \to \infty$. This implies that we asymptotically flow into a regime with $J \ll \epsilon$, i.e. the disordered phase. In the opposite regime, i.e. when $C < C_c$, the flow is towards a line of stable fixed points with $P_0$ finite, and $R_0 = 0$. This corresponds to the ordered phase with $\epsilon \ll J$. The critical flow, corresponding to $C = C_c$, separates the two regimes. It terminates at the critical fixed point located at $P_0^* = x$, and $R_0^* = 0$.

We now linearize (18) and (19) around the critical fixed point, to study the renormalization group flow in more detail. The critical flow ($C = C_c$) then takes the form

$$R_0(\Gamma) = \frac{2}{\chi \Gamma_0}, \quad P_0(\Gamma) = x + \frac{2}{\Gamma}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

The density of surviving clusters at scale $\Gamma$ can be found by integrating the loss due to decimations along the
renormalization group flow. This yields
\[ N(\Gamma) = N_0 e^{-\int_{\Gamma_0}^{\Gamma} d\Gamma \left[ P_0(\Gamma) + R_0(\Gamma) \right]} \] (22)

By substituting the solutions (21) into this equation, one finds that the number of surviving cluster decreases as \( N(\Omega) \sim \Omega^{-\xi} \) with decreasing cutoff energy \( \Omega \). The typical distance \( \ell \) between these clusters thus increases as \( \ell(\Omega) \sim \Omega^{-\xi} \). This implies that the dynamical scaling at the critical point is of power-law type. The dynamical exponent \( z \) is determined by considering the linearized flow equations of \( \Gamma \) close to the critical point can be found by running the renormalization group to \( \Omega = T \) and treating the surviving clusters as independent, because their interactions \( J \) are small compared to \( T \). (Note that this constitutes an approximation in the super-ohmic case because the distribution \( \pi(J) \) does not become infinitely broad at criticality, but it becomes asymptotically exact for \( x \to 0 \).) The total susceptibility is a sum of independent Curie contributions from the surviving clusters, \( \chi(T) = n(T)\mu^2(T)/T \sim T^{-(1+\alpha)} \).

The dependence of the order-parameter susceptibility on temperature \( T \) can be found by running the renormalization group to \( \Omega = T \) and treating the surviving clusters as independent, because their interactions \( J \) are small compared to \( T \). (Note that this constitutes an approximation in the super-ohmic case because the distribution \( \pi(J) \) does not become infinitely broad at criticality, but it becomes asymptotically exact for \( x \to 0 \).) The total susceptibility is a sum of independent Curie contributions from the surviving clusters, \( \chi(T) = n(T)\mu^2(T)/T \sim T^{-(1+\alpha)} \).

To calculate the spin-wave stiffness \( \rho_s \), we apply boundary conditions at the two ends of the chain, \( x = 0 \) and \( x = L \), such that the rotors at the two ends are at a relative angle \( \Theta \). In the limit of small \( \Theta \) and large \( L \), the free energy density \( f \) depends on \( \Theta \) as \( f(\Theta) - f(0) = \frac{1}{2} \rho_s(\Theta/L)^2 \), which defines \( \rho_s \). By optimizing the local twists according to the local interaction strengths, one finds [29] \( \rho_s \sim (1/J)^{-1} \) where \( (\cdot\cdot\cdot) \) denotes the average over \( \pi(J) \). A full calculation would need to include the internal stiffnesses of the surviving clusters. However, we can obtain an upper bound for the stiffness by treating the surviving clusters as rigid. On the line of fixed points \( P_0 \geq x \), \( R_0 = 0 \) characterizing the critical point and the ordered phase, the distribution \( \pi(J) \) follows from transforming (17) back to the original variables. It reads \( \pi(J) = P_0^\alpha \Omega^{-P_0^\alpha} J^{P_0^\alpha-1} \). The integral \( \int_{P_0} dJ \pi(J)/J \) diverges at the lower bound for all \( P_0 \leq 1 \). We thus conclude that the spin-wave stiffness \( \rho_s \) vanishes not just at the critical point \( (P_0^* = x) \), but also in part of the ordered phase (for \( x < P_0^* \leq 1 \)).

5 We emphasize that the strong-disorder renormalization group approach does not contain spin-wave type excitations of the ordered phase. Whether or not an infinite surviving cluster indeed supports long-range order depends on the strength of the corresponding transverse fluctuations, as discussed in section 2.
6. Conclusions and discussions

In summary, we have studied the influence of super-ohmic dissipation on the quantum critical behavior of a disordered $O(N)$ $\phi^4$ order-parameter field theory. We have shown that the static critical behavior falls in the Kosterlitz–Thouless universality class independent of the exponent that controls the dissipation strength. The dynamical scaling is of conventional power-law type with the dynamical exponent $z$ varying from one in the dissipationless case to infinity in the limit of ohmic dissipation. In both limiting cases, our theory agrees with known results. For $z_0 = 1$ we reproduce the dirty boson results of [19], and in the limit $z_0 = 2$ our theory becomes identical to the ohmic case [14, 15]. In these final paragraphs, we discuss a number of remaining questions, and we put our results into a broader perspective.

First, while the exponential ansatz (17) provides a solution of the renormalization group flow equations, it is a priori not clear that the flow for a moderately disordered system actually follows this solution. We have therefore implemented the recursion relations (8) and (10) numerically and studied the renormalization group flow for a broad range of parameters. A characteristic result is shown in figure 2; more details will be published elsewhere [26]. The figure shows that the flow agrees very well with that predicted by our analytical solution. (Note that within the exponential ansatz (17), the average values of $\xi$ and $\beta$ are given by $R_0^{0.525}$ and $R_0^{0.53}$, respectively.)

Second, the recursion relations (8) and (10) are valid for broad disorder distributions. Close to the critical fixed point, the distribution $\rho(\epsilon)$ does become infinitely broad ($R_0 \rightarrow 0$). The recursion relation (10) for merging two clusters thus becomes asymptotically exact. Because essentially all renormalization steps close to the critical fixed point consist of merging two clusters, as discussed in section 4, we conclude that the critical fixed point is stable against subleading corrections to the recursion relations. To test the effects of these corrections during the early stages of the renormalization group, we have included them in the numerical solution. We found that they do not qualitatively change the flow towards the fixed points discussed in the present paper [26].

We also point out that our explicit calculations are for the large-$N$ limit of the order-parameter field theory (1). However, the critical behavior depends only on the structure of the recursion relations (8) and (10). The multiplicative structure of (8) simply reflects second-order perturbation theory and will certainly be the same for finite $N$. The structure of (10) reflects the nontrivial power-law dependence of $\epsilon$ on the cluster size for super-ohmic dissipation. It is the same for all continuous-symmetry cases, as also follows from a renormalization group analysis of appropriate nonlinear sigma model at its strong-coupling fixed point [28]. We thus conclude that the critical behavior found here will be valid for all $N > 1$.

The theory developed in this paper applies to one space dimension. In higher dimensions, a closed analytical solution of the strong-disorder renormalization group appears impossible because the topology of the lattice changes under the renormalization procedure. However, a few qualitative aspects of the behavior in higher dimensions can be read off the structure of the theory. In particular, the fact that we obtained power-law dynamical scaling rather than activated scaling arises from the power-law relation (7) between the ‘gap’ (distance from criticality) and the damping parameter of a single cluster. The damping parameter is proportional to the number of sites in the cluster and thus, in general, to some power of the cluster length scale, $y \sim L^D$ where $D$ is the (fractal) dimension of a surviving cluster at criticality. Together with (7), this suggests that the dynamical exponent is generally given by $z = D/(2-z_0)$. Interestingly, this is precisely the relation found at a percolation quantum phase transition [28], where $D$ is simply the geometric fractal dimension of the underlying percolating lattice. The power-law relation (7) between the gap and the damping parameter also implies that the Griffiths singularities are exponentially weak in all dimensions, because, when combined with the exponential size distribution of rare regions, it produces an exponentially small low-energy density of states [18]. Even though the dynamical critical behavior in higher dimensions will be similar to our one-dimensional results, we do not expect the Kosterlitz–Thouless character to survive in higher dimensions. This follows from the observation that in $d > 1$, even the dissipationless quantum rotor system has a conventional QPT.

Finally, we relate our results to the general classification of phase transitions in the presence of disorder based on the defect (and rare region) dimensionality [6, 18]. As can be seen from the calculations in section 3.1, a single cluster or rare region is below the lower critical dimension of the problem in the case of super-ohmic dissipation. This puts the transition into class A of the classification, which implies conventional power-law dynamical scaling and exponentially weak Griffiths singularities. The results of section 4 are in complete agreement with these predictions.
we diagonalize the quadratic form in its action (9). This yields
\[ S_0 = \sum_{\omega_n} \left[ \kappa_a |\psi_a(\omega_n)|^2 + \kappa_b |\psi_b(\omega_n)|^2 \right]. \]  
(A.1)

Here, \( \psi_a \) and \( \psi_b \) are the two eigenmodes, and the corresponding eigenvalues are given by
\[ \kappa_{a,b} = \frac{1}{2} (d_j + \lambda_j + \gamma_j |\omega_n|^{2/\gamma}) \]  
(A.2)

with \( d_j = r_j + \lambda_j + \gamma_j |\omega_n|^{2/\gamma} \). Expanding in \( 1/J_2 \) results in
\[ \kappa_{a,b} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ r_2 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \mp J_2 + (\gamma_2 + \gamma_3) |\omega_n|^{2/\gamma} \right] + O(1/J_2). \]  
(A.3)

As the higher eigenvalue \( \kappa_b \) is at least \( J_2 \) above the lower eigenvalue \( \kappa_a \), we integrate out the eigenmode \( \psi_b \) yielding the effective action \( \tilde{S}_0 = \sum_{\omega_n} \kappa_a |\psi_a(\omega_n)|^2 \). We now rescale the remaining eigenmode by defining \( \tilde{\psi}_2 = \psi_a / |\psi_a|^{1/2} \), because we wish the renormalized rotor to fulfill the same large-\( N \) constraint (\( |\tilde{\psi}_2| = 1 \) as the original variables. In the relevant limit \( J_2 \gg \tilde{\epsilon}_2, \tilde{\epsilon}_3 \), the rescaling factor \( |\tilde{\psi}_2| \) approaches 2. We thus arrive at the renormalized action
\[ \tilde{S}_0 = \sum_{\omega_n} \left[ r_2 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - J_2 + (\gamma_2 + \gamma_3) |\omega_n|^{2/\gamma} \right] |\tilde{\psi}_2(\omega_n)|^2. \]  
(A.4)

From the frequency term, we can immediately read off the recursion relation (11), \( \tilde{\gamma}_2 = \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 \), for the damping coefficients. If we combine this recursion with the single cluster relation (7) between \( \gamma \) and \( \epsilon \), we obtain the recursion relation (10) for the local distance from criticality.

Alternatively (and to check the consistency of the procedure), one can calculate the renormalized distance from criticality directly from the two-site cluster solution via \( \tilde{\epsilon}_2 = r_2 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - J_2 \). This requires finding the Lagrange multipliers \( \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \) from the large-\( N \) constraint equations
\[ 1 = \langle \phi_2^0 \rangle = T \sum_{\omega_n} \frac{d_3}{d_2d_3 - J^2/4}, \]  
(A.5)

We have performed this calculation in complete analogy to the ohmic case [15] and rederived the recursion relation (10) for the renormalized distance from criticality \( \tilde{\epsilon}_2 \). The result is identical to that obtained via the renormalized damping constant \( \tilde{\gamma}_2 \) above.
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