Three-Dimensional Modeling and Analysis of Mechanized Excavation for Tunnel Boring Machines

Danial Mohammadzadeh S. 1,2,3,4, Nader Karballaezadeh 5, Amirhossein Sanaei Zahed 4,6, Amir Mosavi 7*, Felde Imre 7

1 Department of Civil Engineering, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, University street 1, P.O. BOX 9177948974, Iran
danial.mohammadzadehshadmehri@mail.um.ac.ir

2 Department of Civil Engineering, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, University street 1, P.O. BOX 9187147578, Iran

3 Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Montazeri, Khorasan Razavi Branch, Technical and Vocational University (TVU), Mashhad, University street 1, P.O. BOX 9176994594, Iran

4 Department of Elite Relations with Industries, Khorasan Construction Engineering Organization, Mashhad, University street 1, P.O. BOX 9185816744, Iran

5 Faculty of Civil Engineering, Shahrood University of Technology, Shahrood, University blvd. 1, P.O. BOX 361995161, Iran
N.karballaezadeh@shahroodut.ac.ir

6 Toos Institute of Higher Education, Khorasan Razavi, Mashhad, University street 1, P.O. BOX 918891111, Iran, Ah.sanaei@toos.ac.ir

7 John von Neumann Faculty of Informatics, Óbuda University, Bécsi út 96/b, H-1034 Budapest, Hungary, felde@uni-obuda.hu, amir.mosavi@nik.uni-obuda.hu

Abstract: Urban train infrastructures are very important for reliable urban mobility. This paper proposes a three-dimensional modeling of mechanized drilling corridors. Drilling in urban areas is always a risky and complex project. One of the most important issues during the construction of subway tunnels is the investigation of the impact of drilling steps on the ground subsidence and impact on existing structures. For this purpose, different types of mechanized drilling methods are often used, resulting in a considerable reduction in the displacements caused by tunnel drilling. In this study, part of the route of an urban train tunnel, that passes under a traffic interchange, is examined. The shear strength capacity of the slab pile was calculated, using the relevant equations, and then, the modeling of the soil mass was performed, using the PLAXIS 3D finite element program. The proposed depth of the tunnel construction, by the consulting company, is 18 meters. Due to drilling problems,
a depth of 14 meters has been suggested as an alternative. Analysis of both the depths of 14 and 18 meters, showed that the displacements at both depths, were approximately the same. However, the impact of the tunnel, on the capacity of the piles' tip, at a depth of 18 meters, is greater than at the depth of 14 meters. Thus, the suggested optimum depth is 14 meters, which is more suitable, than the initial suggested depth of 18 meters.
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1 Introduction

Urbanization and urban development have necessitated the need for effective public transportation systems [1-5]. The urban train network is one of the most important transportation systems in a city [6-9]. The construction of the train network above ground is less costly, but, because of land restriction and increasing surface congestion, the underground train network is more preferable [10]. For the construction of underground tunnels in urban areas, engineers often perform excavation operations near underground services, cultural heritage monuments and residential/commercial buildings. The prediction of the tunneling-induced settlement and the related impact on existing structures help engineers to estimate potential damage [11]. Due to the low depth of these underground tunnels, train stations are usually built on soft soils. The construction of tunnels in soft soils results in soil movement. This issue could lead to the instability of the integrity and damage to existing structures [12]. Thus, the optimal implementation of these underground spaces and ensuring their security during the long-term construction process, is a factor that has been taken into account, by designers of underground structures. To decrease these movements, engineers utilize Tunnel Boring Machines (TBM) for the creation of tunnels in urban areas. Because of temporary supports and face pressure, the TBM diminishes soil disturbance, due to tunneling, providing protection to existing structures [13-15].

Evaluation of tunnel construction using TBM and its impact on the soil movement requires 3D soil-structure interaction modeling. Due to limitations of the analytical approaches and also, the development of computer coding, the use, by engineers, is increasing. Muniz de Farias et al. and Negro and Queiroz summarized the finite element models used for tunneling studies before 2000 [16, 17]. They showed that the most popular approach is the finite element method (FEM). The numerical mechanized tunneling modeling aims to take into consideration of processes that take place during tunnel excavation. In order to take into account all considerations, a three-dimensional numerical model should be used. Nowadays, software packages such as PLAXIS 3D [18-20], Abaqus [21, 22], and FLAC 3D [23-28] are normally used for 3D analysis. As a main aspect of the tunnel excavation, the behavior of the ground must be taken into account.
Therefore, a realistic model of the ground is essential in determining the displacement and stresses of the ground. In this study, 3D modeling of TBM, crossing under Mianrood bridge, Shiraz metro line 2, in Iran, is performed using the PLAXIS software. The main goal is to determine the optimum depth for tunneling. Plaxis is a powerful software for tunnel analysis. The results are presented as displacement and stress contours, together with the curves of bending moment, shear force and axial force. This study is very important because drilling, in particular in urban areas, is sensitive and requires great precision. The effect of drilling operations on ground surface settling is one of the most critical concerns, during the construction of metro tunnels. This paper is organized into four sections: Section 1 introduces the work, Section 2 describes the case study and methodology, Section 3 shows the modeling results and discussion and finally, Section 4 provides the relevant conclusions.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Similar to many metropolitan cities in Iran, Shiraz is known as a tourist hub. Therefore, it needs a subway network to reduce urban congestion. Metro line 2 of Shiraz has a length of approximately 14 kilometers, comprising of 13 stations. Figure 1 shows this metro line. According to geotechnical studies, tunnel excavation from Ghahramanan Station to Azadi Station was designed by earth pressure balance (EPB) and TBM machines, with a diameter of 6.88 m, in two twin tunnels. TBM drilling in the soil is always associated with sedimentation. Therefore, controlling the possible displacements and settlements for an underground structure is a critical parameter in project management, especially the project in which both TBMs must pass under existing vital structures. One of these structures is Mianrood Bridge. This bridge has frictional piles. Mianrood Bridge is located on the Ring Road of Shiraz. The bridge piles are frictional. The slab of the middle bases has dimensions of 6.8 x 16.4 meters. This slab is constructed on 8 piles with a diameter of 1.2 meters and a length of 25 meters. The side slabs are 6.8 x 17.43 meters and are located on 10 piles with a diameter of 1.2 meters and a length of 27 meters. Reducing displacements of ground level and pile slabs should be considered in the tunnel route design under this bridge. Reducing impacts on the bearing capacity of the piles is another important issue that should be considered. Based on the designed tunnel profile by the project consultant, the depth of the tunnel under the Mianrood Bridge is 18.1 m. The distance between the tunnel wall and the side piles of the bridge is approximately 5 meters. The tunnel wall is far from the middle piles of the bridge about 3 meters. According to Geotechnical studies, the soil of this area, up to the depth of 29 meters, is made of lean clay. At the depth of 29 to 30 meters, there is a
middle layer of Silty Sand. Also, the depth of the groundwater level in this area, is about 4.75 meters. In this study, 3D modeling of the TBM crossing under the foundations of the bridge, was performed at two depths of 14 meters (authors' recommendation) and 18 meters (project consultant recommendation).

![Figure 1](image)

Shiraz metro line 2

2.2 Analysis of the Tunnel Settlement due to Drilling TBM and the Interaction Pile Base and Tunnel

The effective factors in the tunneling settlements are divided into three zones, as shown in Figure 2, which is adapted from [29].

![Figure 2](image)

Various settlement Areas Created in Tunneling by the Shield Method
Zone 1

The settlement was caused by displacements created at the tunnel work front. If the pressure of the tunnel work front is wrong, or the operator does not control correctly, and the volume of soil exited from the work front exceeds the desired soil volume then, displacements at the work front may continue until ground level. Occasionally, the low pressure of the work front will overcome the water and soil pressure and the work front falls to the shield. Thus, after the shield passes through the area, the soil will be stressed and displaced and will settle again. Of course, high pressure at the work front, will lead to a severe depreciation of the cutting tools and related devices [30].

Zone 2

This area is within the shield length range (Figure 2). Usually, for easier movement of the shield, the drilling diameter is 1 or 3 cm larger than the outer diameter of the shield ends. They also form a spindle metal cylinder that reduces the front and rear friction of shields. For this reason, this area of several meters around the shield and the movement of the shield and its impact on ground displacements, can cause settlement in this area. Bentonite slurry injected around the shield during drilling, can be used to prevent this subsidence and to prevent soil shield friction [30].

Zone 3

Due to the difference between the outer diameter of the concrete rings (6.6 m in this project) and the drilling diameter (about 6.88 m), there is a gap between these rings and the soil. This gap is filled by the grout slurry (Figure 2). The subsidence in this region depends on the geological, resistive and grouting properties. By summing this subsidence and subsidence of zones 1 and 2, the whole subsidence of EPB Shield Tunneling is calculated [30].

2.3 Interaction Analysis of Tunnel and Pile

In general, the interaction between piles and tunnels has been studied in various studies. The basis of these studies is the depth of the tunnel, the depth of the piles, the horizontal distance of the tunnel to the pile and the effect of tunnel drilling interaction on pile displacement. Accordingly, there are three areas [31] as follows. A) Deep tunneling: where the pile tip is located above and close to the tunnel, B) Shallow tunneling: where the pile tip is located above and some distance from the side of the tunnel, and C) Shallow tunneling: where the pile tip is located below the zone of ground movement. In modes A and B, the tunnel is below the tip of the pile. In the case of A, the tunnel excavation settlement and the displacement affect the wall friction and the bearing capacity of the tip of the pile. Still, in some cases, this effect can cause pile failure. In the case of B, the impact radius of the tunnel displacement has less effect on the pile, and the pile is not
located in the critical area. Thus, in these two cases, the greater the horizontal distance of the tunnel from this pile the less impact it has on the piles. In the case of C, the tunnel can affect the bearing capacity of the wall and tip of the pile. The closer the horizontal distance of the tunnel to the pile, the greater the impact, and the closer the tunnel to the pile tip, the greater the impact on the bearing capacity of the pile tip and the greater the bending moment on the pile. In most optimum case of C, the tunnel should have a more horizontal distance from the pile, and the depth of the tunnel should be chosen, so as, it has the least impact on the bearing of the capacity of the pile tip and also has the least settlement and displacement on the pile head and ground [31]. Figure 3 shows a schematic of the effects of the tunnel impact zone and the ratio of pile head displacement to ground displacement due to tunnel excavation and subsidence phenomena. In this figure, if the piles are in area A and above the tunnel impact surface, it is possible to move the pile head further than the ground surface displacement, above the tunnel (R>1). In area B, the displacement is equal (R=1). And in area C, pile head displacement is less than the ground level displacement above the tunnel (R<1) [32]. Further details available in Figure 3 which is adapted from [31].

![Figure 3: The Tunnel impact areas on the ground and piles settlement](image)

### 2.4 Floor Slabs Load

For 3D modeling and tunnel front pressure determination, load on floor slabs is calculated by the reverse method. In the reverse method, considering the diameter and length of each pile and geotechnical characteristics of the soil, it can be to estimate the ultimate and the permissible bearing capacity of piles. This bearing capacity helps calculate the computational distributed load on each slab. The ultimate bearing capacity of frictional piles is obtained from the sum of the final bearing capacity in the wall and tip of the pile [33].
2.4.1 Ultimate Bearing Capacity of Pile Tip

Generally, the ultimate bearing capacity of the pile tip is calculated according to Equation (1) [33].

\[ Q_{up} = A_p (N'_c C_{ub} + 50 N'_q \tan \phi) \]  

where \( Q_{up} \) is the ultimate bearing capacity of pile tip (Kg), \( A_p \) is the area of pile tip in squared meter (for Mianrood bridge, the diameter is 1.2 meters). \( C_{ub} \) is the undrained shear strength of soil in the pile tip which according to geotechnical studies of this area is 75 Kpa. \( N'_q \) and \( N'_c \) are bearing capacity Factors that depend on the internal friction angle of the soil. Considering Figure 4 adapted from [34] where the internal friction angle of the site which is approximately 26 degrees, \( N'_q \) and \( N'_c \) are 25 and 60, respectively. The bearing capacity of the pile tip, in this study, is equal to:

\[ Q_{up} = 1.13 \times (60 \times 75 + 50 \times 25 \tan 26) = 5774 \text{ KN} \]

\[ \text{Figure 4} \]

\[ \text{Variations of } N'_c \text{ and } N'_q \text{ values versus internal friction angle} \]

2.4.2 Ultimate Bearing Capacity of Pile Wall

Calculating the ultimate bearing capacity of the pile wall, in an undrained condition, is performed by the alpha (\( \alpha \)) method. This method assumes that the loading behavior of piles in low permeable clays, is similar to piles in undrained soils. The ultimate bearing capacity of the pile wall, in an undrained condition according to [33] is obtained from the Equation 2.

\[ Q_{uS} = A_s \alpha C_{u} \]  

where, \( Q_{uS} \) is the ultimate bearing capacity of the wall pile (Kg), \( A_s \) is the pile wall area in the squared meter (\( \pi DL \)). \( C_{ub} \) is the undrained shear strength of the soil in
the pile wall, which, according to geotechnical studies, is equal to 50 kPa. The $\alpha$ value is an experimental factor that decreases the adhesion of the shaft wall soil. This coefficient is less than one. Based on Figure 5, the value of this coefficient is 0.6 adapted from [34].

\[
\text{Due to the geometry of the bridge and the designed piles, the piles of the middle slab are 25 meters long and the side slabs are 27 meters long.}
\]

\[
Q_{us(25m)} = 25 \times 1.2 \times 3.14 \times 0.6 \times 75 = 4239KN
\]

\[
Q_{us(27m)} = 27 \times 1.2 \times 3.14 \times 0.6 \times 75 = 4578KN
\]

By determining the ultimate bearing capacity of the pile, load allowed per pile can be calculated as:

\[
Q_w = \frac{Q_{up} + Q_{us}}{F.S}
\]

where $Q_w$ is the permissible load on each pile (Kg). F.S is the safety factor for each pile (4 in this study). From Eq. 3, the permissible bearing capacity of the pile is:

\[
Q_w = \frac{(5774 + 4239)}{4} = 2503KN
\]

The bearing capacity of piles in the middle slab

\[
Q_w = \frac{(5774 + 4578)}{4} = 2588KN
\]

The bearing capacity of piles in the side slabs
The number of piles in the middle and side slabs is 8 and 10, respectively. Also, the middle slab area is 111 m$^2$, and the side slab area is 129 m$^2$. Considering the bearing capacity of each pile and the number of piles, the load on each slab is equal to:

$$q = \frac{8 \times 2503}{111} = 180 \text{ KN/m}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Middle slab}

$$q = \frac{10 \times 2588}{129} = 200 \text{ KN/m}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Side slabs}

### 2.5 Three-Dimensional Tunnel Modeling

For optimizing tunnel overburden (reducing TBM system depreciation and decreasing TBM drilling pressures), TBM crossing under the Mianrood bridge is modeled at two depths of 18 and 14 meters. This modeling is performed by Plaxis 3D software. Depths of 18 and 14 meters are suggested by the project consultant and the authors, respectively. The main reason for lowering the tunnels to a depth of 18 meters, by project consultant, is to lessen the impact of tunnel drilling, on the Mianrood Bridge. Figure 6 shows the geometry of the modeling of the tunnels and the Mianrood bridge in two overburden of 14 and 18 meters.

![Figure 6](image)

Two scenarios for modeling of tunnels under Mianrood bridge

Plaxis 3D is a powerful software that has many capabilities in simulating the drilling process, such as, applying a working pressure chest, considering the amount of shrinkage, applying injection pressure, and drilling and segmentation steps. Considering the tunneling steps in the EPB method and above capabilities, Plaxis 3D v1.2 software was used for calculating the settlement parameters. The program is based on the finite element equations used in the two-dimensional program. In addition to two-dimensional simulations, the program can simulate the three-dimensional behavior of underground structures in various soil environments. Materials construction was done by user-created areas in the model. Each member responds to forces or boundary constraints, according to stress-strain laws (linear or nonlinear). The speed of software computation depends on the number of model areas and the speed of the computer. The modeling steps are as follows:
**Geometry**: Due to the overburden and the distance of the tunnels at the cross-section, length of 60 meters and depth of 35 meters are considered for all models. Dimensions are chosen so as unrealistic boundary conditions do not affect the model. Two depths of 14 and 18 meters are provided for the tunnels.

**Boundary Conditions**: After defining two-dimensional and three-dimensional geometry, it is the turn of the boundary conditions. Hinged and roller conditions were considered for the bottom of the model and the sides, respectively. According to section 2.4.2, the slab load in the middle and side slabs are 180 KN/m² and 200 KN/m², respectively.

**Geotechnical Properties of Aggregates**: Tables (1) and (2) present the properties of material, shields, and segments. Triangular elements are used to mesh. The model is first trimmed in two-dimensional mode and then expanded to the third dimension. Figure 7 shows the whole model and its three-dimensional mesh.

### Table 1

Geotechnical properties of soil layers

| ID          | Type       | g_unsat | g_sat | Nu  | E_ref  | c_ref  | φ     |
|-------------|------------|---------|-------|-----|--------|--------|-------|
| BH 15-1 (clay) | Drained    | 15      | 17    | 0.28| 2.10e04| 15     | 25    |
| BH 15-2 (sand) | Drained    | 17      | 20    | 0.28| 1.3ee04| 1      | 31    |

### Table 2

Shield and segment specifications

| ID       | Type  | EA     | EI     | nu |
|----------|-------|--------|--------|----|
|          |       | KN/m   | KN.m²/m|    |
| Plate    | Elastic| 8200000| 83800  | 0  |
| Segment  | Elastic| 10570000| 107900| 0.15|

Figure 7

The three-dimensional model of tunnels crossing under the Mianrood bridge

---
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**Preliminary Conditions:** After completing the previous steps, the initial conditions (effective stresses) are based on the soil lateral pressure coefficient and the water pressure. The water level is considered based on the geological maps in the model.

**Computational Phases:** At this stage, the authors attempted to simulate the actual drilling conditions. Overall, there are three steps in simulating drilling cycles in EPB modeling. First, it is the shield and chamber of the machine that performs the drilling and pressure on the chest. Since the shield length is 9 meters, shield drilling is done in three steps of 3 meters. In this section, shield parameters are considered for the lining. For modeling piles, the lengths of the advances are also proportional to the intervals of the piles. The injection operation behind the segments is modeled. In general, there should be a relative balance between the working pressure and injection pressure. The injection pressure is 50 kPa higher than the working pressure. It should be noted that at this stage there is no lining. After injection of slurry into the vacuum between the segment and the soil around the tunnel, the segments are considered for the lining. Figure 8 shows a complete drilling cycle with applied front work pressure and grout injection pressure, and tunnel lining system. According to the analytical equations, the working chest pressure values of 140 and 170 kPa in the 14 and 18 meter overburden, are considered in the tunnel crest.
Results and Discussion

After modeling, the results show that the displacement of the ground and slabs at both 14 and 18 meters tunnel overburden, are close together. Figures 9 and 10 show the displacement and impact area at two depths of 18 and 14 meters. In order to study more precisely and compare the displacement of different points in two 14 and 18 meters overburden, the authors defined 10 points in geometry and calculated all displacements at these points. The location of the points is given in Figure 11. Also, Table 3 presents the displacement of these points.
Figure 10
Displacement and impact area at 14 meters overburden

Figure 11
The location of specified points by authors for comparing tunnel displacement
Another important issue that should be considered is the distance from the bottom of the tunnel to the tip of the pile. This distance affects the capacity of the pile tip. Given that the depth of the piles in the middle slab of the bridge is 25 meters, if the tunnel is located at a depth of 18 meters, the floor of the tunnel is approximately 1.5 meters from the tip of the pile. When the tunnel is located at a depth of 14 meters, the distance between the tunnel floor and the pile tip is greater. Therefore, it is suggested that the designer changes the depth of the tunnel overburden from 18 meters to 14 or 15 meters. Figures 12 and 13 show the effect of the location of the tunnel on the pile tip.

Table 3
The displacement of specified points in the model at two overheads of 14 and 18 meters

| Point | 14 m Overburden | 18 m Overburden |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
|       | Dis. (mm)       | Dis. (mm)       |
| A     | 17              | 17              |
| B     | 20              | 22              |
| C     | 14              | 15              |
| D     | 13              | 13              |
| E     | 15              | 16              |
| F     | 11              | 12              |
| G     | 11              | 12              |
| H     | 11              | 12              |
| I     | 15              | 15              |
| J     | 13              | 14              |

Figure 12
The effect of tunnel displacement on the capacity of pile tip (14 meters overburden)
In this study, the simulation of crossing Shiraz metro line 2 under the Mianrood bridge was done using the Plaxis-3D software. The suggested depth for the tunnel by the project consultant was 18 meters. Nevertheless, the authors attempted to optimize this depth. Therefore, they suggest that the depth of 14 meters is also examined. After simulating the crossing of the tunnel, it was found that both the depths of 14 and 18 meters, have a similar displacement. However, considering the effect of the tunnel on the capacity of the pile tip, the depth of 14 meters is more appropriate, because the distance of the tunnel bottom to the pile tip is less. Consequently, the authors' recommendation is to design the tunnel at a depth of 14 meters.

Conclusions

This study aimed to study, model and optimize a part of the route of the Shiraz metro line 2. The reason for choosing this part is that the tunnel in this part, passes under a traffic interchange (Mianrood bridge). In fact, the main goal was to find the optimum depth for the construction of the tunnel. The initial proposal for the tunnel depth was 18 meters and was suggested by the project consultant. Due to executive difficulties, as well as, the experiences of line 1, the authors have suggested a depth of 14 meters. The soil mass modeling around the tunnel was performed using the PLAXIS finite element program for the two depths of 14 and 18 meters. The modeling had two important consequences:

1) The displacements caused by tunneling at depths of 14 and 18 meters were similar.

2) In the middle slab of the bridge, the piles are 25 meters in depth. In the 18 meters overburden, the tunnel floor is less distant from the tip of the pile. Thus, the tunnel has a greater impact on the bearing capacity of the pile tip (compared to the 14 meters overburden).
As a result, the authors propose to change the tunnel depth, from 18 to 14 meters. For future studies, the authors intend to analyze other parts of the tunnels. Furthermore, modeling can be done using different software such as Abaqus and Flac, then comparing the results with Plaxis 3D.
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**Appendix**

| Term | Description |
|------|-------------|
| TBM  | Tunnel Boring Machines |
| FEM  | Finite Element Method |
| EPB  | Earth Pressure Balance |
| $Q_{\text{tip}}$ | Ultimate Bearing Capacity of Pile Tip |
| $Q_{\text{wall}}$ | Ultimate Bearing Capacity of Pile Wall |
| F.S  | Safety factor |
| Kg   | Kilogram |
| KN   | Kilonewton |