Singularities of affine equidistants: extrinsic geometry of surfaces in 4-space
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Abstract. For a generic embedding of a smooth closed surface $M$ into $\mathbb{R}^4$, the subset of $\mathbb{R}^4$ which is the affine $\lambda$–equidistant of $M$ appears as the discriminant set of a stable mapping $M \times M \to \mathbb{R}^4$, hence their stable singularities are $A_k$, $k = 2, 3, 4$, and $C_{\pm 2}$. In this paper, we characterize these stable singularities of $\lambda$–equidistants in terms of the bi-local extrinsic geometry of the surface, leading to a geometrical study of the set of weakly parallel points on $M$.
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1 Introduction

When $M$ is a smooth closed curve on the affine plane $\mathbb{R}^2$, the set of all midpoints of chords connecting pairs of points on $M$ with parallel tangent vectors is called the Wigner caustic of $M$, or the area evolute of $M$, or still, the affine 1/2-equidistant of $M$. The 1/2-equidistant is generalized to any $\lambda$-equidistant, denoted $E_\lambda(M)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, by considering all chords connecting pairs of points of $M$ with parallel tangent vectors and the set of all points of these chords which stand in the $\lambda$–proportion to their corresponding pair of points on $M$.
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The definition of the affine $\lambda$-equidistant of $M$ is generalized to the cases when $M$ is an $n$-dimensional closed submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^q$, with $q \leq 2n$, by considering the set of all $\lambda$-points of chords connecting pairs of points on $M$ whose direct sum of tangent spaces do not coincide with $\mathbb{R}^q$, the so-called weakly parallel pairs on $M$. In the particular case of $M^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, a weakly parallel pair on the surface $M$ can be either 1-parallel (when the tangent spaces span a 3-space) or 2-parallel, which is the case of true parallelism, also called strong parallelism.

Affine equidistants of smooth submanifolds appear naturally in the definition of affine-invariant global centre symmetry sets of these submanifolds and, particularly the Wigner caustic, also appear naturally in some problems in mathematical physics. In every case, precise knowledge of their singularities is an important issue [2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 11]. Thus, stable singularities of affine equidistants of $M^n \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ have been extensively studied [1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10], culminating in its complete classification for all pairs $(2n, q)$ of nice dimensions [5].

On the other hand, not so much is known with respect to the interpretation for the realization of these stable singularities in terms of the extrinsic geometry of $M^n \subset \mathbb{R}^q$. The case of curves on the plane has long been well understood [1, 7], just as for hypersurfaces [8]. Another instance that has been completely worked out refers to a Lagrangian surface $M^2$ in symplectic $\mathbb{R}^4$, for its Wigner caustic on shell, that is, the part of its $1/2$-equidistant that is close to and contains $M$ [3]. A geometric study of the Wigner caustic on shell for general surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ has also been partly worked out in [10].

In this paper, we extend the extrinsic geometric study of the realization of affine equidistants to the case of general (off-shell) $\lambda$-equidistants of any smooth surface $M^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$. Our paper is organized as follows:

First, Section 2 reviews basic definitions and characterizations of affine equidistants. The presentation is based on [5]. Then, basic facts on extrinsic geometry of surfaces in 4-space are recalled in Section 3.

Our geometric study is presented in Sections 4 and 5. First, in Section 4 we describe the realization of singularities of affine equidistants in terms of the bi-local extrinsic geometry of the surface. The main result for the case of 1-parallel pairs is presented in Theorem 4.3, while Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 present the results for the 2-parallel case.

This is followed in Section 5 by a complementary study of the set of weakly parallel points on $M$. We start by using the Grassmannian of 2-planes in 4-space, cf. Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 and Theorem 5.3, leading to the final detailed description of the set of weakly parallel points presented in Corollary 5.4, Theorem 5.8 and Proposition 5.9.
2 Singularities of affine equidistants: overview

In this section, we summarize the material that is presented in [5] in greater detail, in order to describe, characterize and classify the singularities of affine $\lambda$-equidistants of smooth submanifolds.

2.1 Definition of affine equidistants

Let $M$ be a smooth closed $n$-dimensional submanifold of the affine space $\mathbb{R}^q$, with $q \leq 2n$. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be points of $M$ and denote by $\tau_{\alpha - \beta} : \mathbb{R}^q \ni x \mapsto x + (\alpha - \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ the translation by the vector $(\alpha - \beta)$.

**Definition 2.1.** A pair of points $\alpha, \beta \in M$ ($\alpha \neq \beta$) is called a weakly parallel pair if

$$T_\alpha M + \tau_{\alpha - \beta}(T_\beta M) \neq \mathbb{R}^q.$$

A weakly parallel pair $\alpha, \beta \in M$ is called $k$-parallel if

$$\dim(T_\alpha M \cap \tau_{\alpha - \beta}(T_\beta M)) = k.$$

If $k = n$ the pair $\alpha, \beta \in M$ is called strongly parallel, or just parallel. We also refer to $k$ as the degree of parallelism of the pair $(\alpha, \beta)$.

**Definition 2.2.** A chord passing through a pair $\alpha, \beta$, is the line

$$l(\alpha, \beta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^q | x = \lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

but we sometimes also refer to $l(\alpha, \beta)$ as a chord joining $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

**Definition 2.3.** For a given $\lambda$, an affine $\lambda$-equidistant of $M$, $E_\lambda(M)$, is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $x = \lambda \alpha + (1 - \lambda)\beta$, for all weakly parallel pairs $(\alpha, \beta)$ in $M$. $E_\lambda(M)$ is also called a momentary equidistant of $M$. Whenever $M$ is understood, we write $E_\lambda$ for $E_\lambda(M)$.

Note that, for any $\lambda$, $E_\lambda(M) = E_{1-\lambda}(M)$ and in particular $E_0(M) = E_1(M) = M$. Thus, the case $\lambda = 1/2$ is special:

**Definition 2.4.** $E_{1/2}(M)$ is called the Wigner caustic of $M$ [1, 15].

2.2 Characterization of affine equidistants by projection

Consider the product affine space: $\mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^q$ with coordinates $(x_+, x_-)$ and the tangent bundle to $\mathbb{R}^q$: $T\mathbb{R}^q = \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^q$ with coordinate system $(x, \dot{x})$ and standard projection $\pi : T\mathbb{R}^q \ni (x, \dot{x}) \mapsto x \in \mathbb{R}^q$. 
Definition 2.5. \( \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\} \), a \( \lambda \)-chord transformation

\[ \Gamma_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^q, \ (x^+, x^-) \mapsto (x, \dot{x}) \]

is a linear diffeomorphism defined by:

\[ x = \lambda x^+ + (1 - \lambda)x^- , \quad \dot{x} = x^+ - x^- . \]  

(2.1)

Remark 2.6. The choice of linear equation for \( \dot{x} \) in (2.1) is not unique, but this is the simplest one. Among other possibilities, the choice \( \dot{x} = \lambda x^+ - (1 - \lambda)x^- \) is particularly well suited for the study of affine equidistants of Lagrangian submanifolds in symplectic space [4].

Now, let \( M \) be a smooth closed \( n \)-dimensional submanifold of the affine space \( \mathbb{R}^q \) (\( 2n \geq q \)) and consider the product \( M \times M \subset \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^q \). Let \( \mathcal{M}_\lambda \) denote the image of \( M \times M \) by a \( \lambda \)-chord transformation,

\[ \mathcal{M}_\lambda = \Gamma_\lambda (M \times M) \]

which is a \( 2n \)-dimensional smooth submanifold of \( T\mathbb{R}^q \).

Then we have the following general characterization:

Theorem 2.7 ([4]). The set of critical values of the standard projection \( \pi : T\mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q \) restricted to \( \mathcal{M}_\lambda \) is \( E_\lambda (M) \).

Definition 2.8. \( \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\} \), the \( \lambda \)-point map is the projection

\[ \Psi_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q, \ (x^+, x^-) \mapsto x = \lambda x^+ + (1 - \lambda)x^- . \]

Remark 2.9. Because \( \Psi_\lambda = \pi \circ \Gamma_\lambda \) we can rephrase Theorem 2.7: the set of critical values of the projection \( \Psi_\lambda \) restricted to \( M \times M \) is \( E_\lambda (M) \).

2.3 Characterization of affine equidistants by contact

In the literature, if \( M \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) is a smooth curve, the Wigner caustic \( E_{1/2}(M) \) has been described in various ways, one of which says that, if \( R_a : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \) denotes reflection through \( a \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), then \( a \in E_{1/2}(M) \) when \( M \) and \( R_a(M) \) are not transversal [1, 15]. We generalize this description for every \( \lambda \)-equidistant of submanifolds of more arbitrary dimensions.

Definition 2.10. \( \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\} \), a \( \lambda \)-reflection through \( a \in \mathbb{R}^q \) is the map

\[ R_\lambda^a : \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q, \ x \mapsto R_\lambda^a(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda}a - \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda}x \]  

(2.2)
Remark 2.11. A λ-reflection through a is not a reflection in the strict sense because $R^\lambda_a \circ R^\lambda_a \neq id : \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$, instead,

$$R^{1-\lambda}_a \circ R^\lambda_a = id : \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q,$$

so that, if $a = a_\lambda = \lambda a^+ + (1 - \lambda) a^-$ is the λ-point of $(a^+, a^-) \in \mathbb{R}^{2q}$,

$$R^\lambda_{a_\lambda}(a^-) = a^+, \ R^{1-\lambda}_{a_\lambda}(a^+) = a^-.$$

Of course, for $\lambda = 1/2$, $R^{1/2}_a \equiv R_a$ is a reflection in the strict sense.

Now, let $M$ be a smooth $n$-dimensional submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^q$, with $2n \geq q$. Also, let $M^+$ be a germ of submanifold $M$ around $a^+$, let $M^-$ be a germ of submanifold $M$ around $a^-$ and let $a = a_\lambda = \lambda a^+ + (1 - \lambda) a^-$ be the λ-point of $(a^+, a^-) \in M \times M \subset \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^q$.

Then, the following characterization is immediate:

**Proposition 2.12.** The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $a \in E_\lambda(M)$

(ii) $M^+$ and $R^\lambda_a(M^-)$ are not transversal at $a^+$

(iii) $M^-$ and $R^{1-\lambda}_a(M^+)$ are not transversal at $a^-$.

Therefore, the study of the singularities of $E_\lambda(M) \ni 0$ can be proceeded via the study of the contact between $M^+$ and $R^\lambda_a(M^-)$ or, equivalently, the contact between $R^{1-\lambda}_a(M^+)$ and $M^-$.  

### 2.4 Singularities of contact

Let $N_1, N_2$ be germs at $x$ of smooth $n$-dimensional submanifolds of the space $\mathbb{R}^q$, with $2n \geq q$. We describe $N_1, N_2$ in the following way:

- $N_1 = f^{-1}(0)$, where $f : (\mathbb{R}^q, x) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{q-n}, 0)$ is a submersion-germ,
- $N_2 = g(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $g : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^q, x)$ is an embedding-germ.

**Definition 2.13.** A contact map between submanifold-germs $N_1, N_2$ is the following map-germ $\kappa_{N_1, N_2} : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{q-n}, 0)$, where $\kappa_{N_1, N_2} = f \circ g$.

Let $\tilde{N}_1, \tilde{N}_2$ be another pair of germs at $\tilde{x}$ of smooth $n$-dimensional submanifolds of the space $\mathbb{R}^q$, described in the same way as $N_1, N_2$. 
Definition 2.14. The contact of \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) at \( x \) is of the same contact-type as the contact of \( \tilde{N}_1 \) and \( \tilde{N}_2 \) at \( \tilde{x} \) if \( \exists \Phi : (\mathbb{R}^q, x) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^q, \tilde{x}) \) s.t. \( \Phi(N_1) = \tilde{N}_1 \) and \( \Phi(N_2) = \tilde{N}_2 \). We denote the contact-type of \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) at \( x \) by \( \mathcal{K}(N_1, N_2, x) \).

Theorem 2.15 ([14]). \( \mathcal{K}(N_1, N_2, x) = \mathcal{K}(\tilde{N}_1, \tilde{N}_2, \tilde{x}) \) if and only if the contact maps \( f \circ g \) and \( \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{g} \) are \( \mathcal{K} \)-equivalent.

Definition 2.16. We say that \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) are \( k \)-tangent at \( x = 0 \) if
\[
\dim(T_0N_1 \cap T_0N_2) = k.
\]
If \( k \) is maximal, that is, \( k = \dim(T_0N_1) = \dim(T_0N_2) \), we say that \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) are tangent at \( 0 \).

Remark 2.17. In the context of affine equidistants, \( E_\lambda(M) \), note that \( N_1 = M^+ \) and \( N_2 = R_0^\lambda(M^-) \) are \( k \)-tangent at \( 0 \) if and only if \( T_{a^+}M^+ \) and \( T_{a^-}M^- \) are \( k \)-parallel, where \( \lambda a^+ + (1 - \lambda)a^- = 0 \in E_\lambda(M) \).

Proposition 2.18 ([5]). If \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) are \( k \)-tangent at \( 0 \) then the corank of the contact map \( \kappa_{N_1N_2} \) is \( k \).

3 Extrinsic geometry of surfaces in 4-space: overview

In this section, we recall basic definitions and results on the extrinsic geometry of smooth surfaces in 4-space. See [12, 13] for details.

Let \( f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 \) be a local parametrisation of \( M \), where \( U \) is an open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). Let \( \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \) be a positively oriented orthonormal frame in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) such that at any \( y = (y_1, y_2) \in U \), \( \{e_1(y), e_2(y)\} \) is a basis for the tangent plane \( T_yM \) and \( \{e_3(y), e_4(y)\} \) is a basis for the normal plane \( N_yM \) at \( p = f(y) \).

Definition 3.1. The second fundamental form of \( M \) at \( p \) is the vector valued quadratic form \( II_p : T_pM \rightarrow N_pM \) associated to the normal component of the second derivative \( d^2f \) of \( f \) at \( p \), that is,
\[
II_p = \langle d^2f, e_3 \rangle e_3 + \langle d^2f, e_4 \rangle e_4.
\]

Let \( a = \{e_3, f_{y_1y_1}\}, b = \{e_3, f_{y_1y_2}\}, c = \{e_3, f_{y_2y_2}\}, e = \{e_4, f_{y_1y_1}\}, \) \( f = \{e_4, f_{y_1y_2}\}, g = \{e_4, f_{y_2y_2}\} \).

Then, with this notation, we can write
\[
II_p(u) = (au_1^2 + 2bu_1u_2 + cu_2^2)e_3 + (eu_1^2 + 2fu_1u_2 + gu_2^2)e_4.
\]
where \( u = u_1 e_1 + u_2 e_2 \in T_p M \).

The matrix \( \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ e & f & g \end{pmatrix} \) is called the matrix of the second fundamental form with respect to the orthonormal frame \( \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \).

**Definition 3.2.** The second fundamental form of \( M \) at \( p \), along a normal vector field \( v \) is the quadratic form \( II^v_p : T_p M \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by

\[
II^v_p(u) = \langle II_p(u), v \rangle, \quad u \in T_p M, \quad v = v(p) \in N_p M,
\]

where \( II_p(u) : T_p M \to N_p M \) is the second fundamental form at \( p \).

Let \( S^1 \) be the unit circle in \( T_p M \) parametrized by \( \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \). Denote by \( \gamma_\theta \) the curve obtained by intersecting \( M \) with the hyperplane at \( p \) composed by the direct sum of the normal plane \( N_p M \) and the straight line in the tangent direction represented by \( \theta \). Such curve is called normal section of \( M \) in the direction \( \theta \).

**Definition 3.3.** The curvature ellipse is the image of the mapping

\[
\eta : S^1 \to N_p M, \quad \theta \mapsto \eta(\theta)
\]

where \( \eta(\theta) \) is the curvature vector of \( \gamma_\theta \).

Scalar invariants of the extrinsic geometry of surfaces in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) can be defined using the coefficients of the second fundamental form. For instance the Gaussian curvature

\[
G_M = ac - b^2 + eg - f^2 \tag{3.1}
\]

and the \( \Delta \) function

\[
\Delta_M = \frac{1}{4} \det \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b & c & 0 \\ e & 2f & g & 0 \\ 0 & a & 2b & c \\ 0 & 0 & 2f & g \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.2}
\]

Although neither \( \Delta_M \) nor \( G_M \) is an affine invariant (a chosen metric was used to define them), the following proposition allows for an affine-invariant classification of a point \( p \in M \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \).

**Proposition 3.4 ([3], Proposition 4.18).** The sign of \( \Delta_M \) is an affine invariant. When \( \text{rank}\{II_p(u)\} = 1 \), the sign of \( G_M \) is also an affine invariant.

**Definition 3.5.** A point \( p \in M \) is called
(i) **parabolic** if \( \Delta_M(p) = 0 \).

(ii) **elliptic** if \( \Delta_M(p) > 0 \).

(iii) **hyperbolic** if \( \Delta_M(p) < 0 \).

**Definition 3.6.** A parabolic point \( p \in M \) is called

(i-i) **point of nondegenerate ellipse**, if \( \text{rank}\{II(p)\} = 2 \).

When \( \text{rank}\{II(p)\} = 1 \), \( p \) is an inflection point. In this case, it is

(i-ii) **inflection point of real type**, if \( G_M(p) < 0 \).

(i-iii) **inflection point of flat type**, if \( G_M(p) = 0 \).

(i-iv) **inflection point of imaginary type**, if \( G_M(p) > 0 \).

**Definition 3.7.** A direction \( v \in N_pM \) is a binormal direction at \( p \) if the second fundamental form \( II_v^p \) along the \( v \) direction is a degenerate quadratic form. In this case, a direction \( u \in T_pM \) in the kernel of \( II_v^p(u) \) is called an asymptotic direction.

**Definition 3.8.** For a surface \( M \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \), \( p \in M \) and \( u \in T_pM \), \( v \in N_pM \), we say that \( (u, v) \) is a contact pair of \( M \) at \( p \) if \( v \) is a binormal direction at \( p \) and \( u \) is an asymptotic direction associated to \( v \).

**Proposition 3.9** ([13], Lemma 3.2). Let \( M \) be a surface in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \),

1) For a hyperbolic point \( p \in M \), there are exactly 2 contact pairs at \( p \).

2) For an elliptic point \( p \in M \), there are no contact pairs at \( p \).

3) For a parabolic point \( p \in M \),

   i) if \( p \) is a point of nondegenerate ellipse, then there exists only one contact pair at \( p \).

   ii) if \( p \) is an inflection point, then there exists only one \( v \in N_pM \) such that, for all \( u \in T_pM \), \( (u, v) \) is a contact pair at \( p \).
4 Extrinsic geometry of surfaces in 4-space and singularities of their affine equidistants

We now present the geometric interpretation for the realizations of stable singularities of affine equidistants of surfaces in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \).

We first recall the following result from [5]:

**Theorem 4.1 ([5], Theorem 5.2).** There exists a residual set \( S \) of embeddings \( i : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \), such that the map \( \Psi_\lambda : M \times M \setminus \Delta \to \mathbb{R}^4 \) is locally stable, where \( \Psi_\lambda(x, y) = \lambda i(x) + (1 - \lambda) i(y) \) and \( \Delta \) is the diagonal in \( M \times M \).

**Definition 4.2.** We say that \( i : M^2 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \) is a generic embedding if \( i \in S \).

Because the codimension of each singularity of \( \Psi_\lambda \) is at most 4, the possible stable singularities of affine equidistants of surfaces in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) are:

- \( A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 \) for 1-parallelism,
- \( C^{\pm}_{2,2} \) for 2-parallelism.

For the reader’s convenience, we recall the normal forms of these stable singularities \( (\mathbb{R}^4, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^4, 0) \) in the table below:

| Notation | Normal form |
|----------|-------------|
| \( A_2 \) | \((u_1, u_2, u_3, y^2)\) |
| \( A_\mu \), \( 2 \leq \mu \leq 4 \) | \((u_1, u_2, u_3, y^{\mu+1} + \Sigma_{i=1}^{\mu-1} u_i y^i)\) |
| \( C^{+}_{2,2} \) | \((u_1, u_2, x^2 + u_1 y, y^2 + u_2 x)\) |
| \( C^{-}_{2,2} \) | \((u_1, u_2, x^2 - y^2, xy + u_1 x + u_2 y)\) |

We refer to [5], where all possible stable singularities of affine equidistants are classified for submanifolds \( M^n \subset \mathbb{R}^q \), with \( (2n, q) \) an arbitrary pair of nice dimensions, for all possible degrees of parallelism.

In this paper, we focus on investigating the conditions for realizing these equidistant singularities \( A_\mu \), \( 1 \leq \mu \leq 4 \) and \( C^{\pm}_{2,2} \) from the extrinsic geometry of a generic embedding of smooth surface \( M \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \).

In this specific case we substitute submanifold-germs \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) of Section 2 by \( N_1 = M^+ \) and \( N_2 = R_0^1(M^-) \), or equivalently by

\[
N_1 = M^- \quad \text{and} \quad N_2 = R_0^{1-2}(M^+),
\]

where \( M^+ \) is the surface-germ of \( M \) around \( a^+ \in M \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \) and \( M^- \) is the surface-germ of \( M \) around \( a^- \in M \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \), with \( \lambda a^+ + (1 - \lambda) a^- = 0 \).
4.1 Bi-local geometry of weakly parallel pairs and singularities of affine equidistants

We start by looking at the bi-local geometry of 1-parallel pairs. Suppose \((a^+, a^-)\) is a pair of 1-parallel points. Then, we can choose coordinates in a neighbourhood of \(a^+\) and \(a^-\) as follows:

\[
\Phi^+: (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4, a^+)
\]

\[
(y, z) \mapsto a^+ + (y, z, \phi(y, z), \psi(y, z)),
\]

(4.1)

\[j^1\phi(0, 0) = j^1\psi(0, 0) = 0.\]

\[
\Phi^- : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4, a^-)
\]

\[
(u, v) \mapsto a^- + (u, \xi(u, v), \zeta(u, v), v),
\]

(4.2)

\[j^1\xi(0, 0) = j^1\zeta(0, 0) = 0.\]

In these coordinates, the local expression of the map \(\Psi_{\lambda}|_{M \times M}\) is given by

\[
\Psi_{\lambda}|_{M \times M} : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \times (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^4, 0)
\]

\[
((y, z), (u, v)) \mapsto \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)u, \lambda z + (1 - \lambda)\xi(u, v),
\]

\[
\lambda \phi(y, z) + (1 - \lambda)\zeta(u, v), \lambda \psi(y, z) + (1 - \lambda)v,
\]

where, to simplify, we have assumed \(\lambda a^+ + (1 - \lambda)a^- = 0\), for fixed \(\lambda\).

In order to construct the contact map, we first reflect \((M^-, a^-)\) through the point \(0\) to get \(R_0^\lambda(M^-)\), parametrized as

\[
R_0^\lambda(\Phi^-)(u, v) = a^- - \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda}u, \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda}\xi(u, v), \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda}\zeta(u, v), \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda}v\right).
\]

The contact map \(K^\lambda : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, 0)\) is then given by

\[
K^\lambda(y, z) = (z + \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda}\xi \left(\frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda}y, \frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda}\psi(y, z)\right),
\]

\[
\phi(y, z) + \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda}z \left(\frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda}y, \frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda}\psi(y, z)\right).
\]

(4.3)

The following theorem distinguishes the \(A_\mu\), \(1 \leq \mu \leq 4\) singularities of equidistants, in terms of the bi-local geometry of \(M\).

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \(a^+ \in M^+, a^- \in M^-, \) so that \(\lambda a^+ + (1 - \lambda)a^- = 0\) is a singular point of \(\Psi_{\lambda}|_{M \times M}\). For a pair of vectors \((u, v)\) in \(\mathbb{R}^4\), such that \(u\) is
in the direction of 1-parallelism of \((a^+, a^-)\) and \(v \in N_{a^+} M^+ \cap N_{a^-} M^-\) is in the common normal direction, let \(\eta_+\) and \(\eta_-\) be the normal curvature of \(M^+\) and \(R_0^k(M^-)\) along \(v\) in the common direction \(u\). Then 0 is a singular point of \(\Psi_\lambda |_{M \times M}\) of type \(A_k\) if and only if

\[
\eta_+^{(j)}(0) = (-1)^{j+1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^{j+1} \eta_-^{(j)}(0), \quad j = 0, \ldots, k - 1, \quad (4.4)
\]

\[
\eta_+^{(k)}(0) \neq (-1)^{k+1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^{k+1} \eta_-^{(k)}(0), \quad (4.5)
\]

where \(\eta_+^{(j)}\) and \(\eta_-^{(j)}\) denote the \(j\)-order derivatives of \(\eta_+\) and \(\eta_-\) respectively.

**Proof.** We can solve the first equation \(\mathcal{K}_\lambda^1 = 0\) in (4.3), as \(z = z(y)\), so that the contact map \(\mathcal{K}_\lambda\) is \(\mathcal{K}\)-equivalent to the suspension of

\[
\theta_\lambda : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}
\]

\[
y \mapsto \phi(y, z(y)) + \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda} \zeta \left( \frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda} y, \frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \psi(y, z(y)) \right). \quad (4.6)
\]

The point 0 is a singularity of type \(A_k\) of \(\theta_\lambda\) if and only if

\[
\frac{\partial^j \phi}{\partial y^j}(0) = (-1)^{j-1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^{j-1} \frac{\partial^j \zeta}{\partial y^j}(0), \quad j = 1, \ldots, k, \quad (4.7)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^j \phi}{\partial y^j}(0) \neq (-1)^{j-1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \right)^{j-1} \frac{\partial^j \zeta}{\partial y^j}(0), \quad j = k + 1, \quad (4.8)
\]

noting that condition (4.7) for \(j = 1\) is the condition of 1-parallelism.

Letting \(\alpha_+\) and \(\alpha_-\) be curves in \(M^+\) and \(R_0^k(M^-)\) given by

\[
\alpha_+(y) = (y, z(y), \phi(y, z(y), \psi(y, z(y)))
\]

\[
\alpha_-(y) = (y, \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \zeta \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} y, \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} \psi(y, z(y)), \right), \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \zeta \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} y, \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} \psi(y, z(y)) \right), \psi(y, z(y)))
\]

and letting \(\eta_+(y)\) and \(\eta_-(y)\) be the projections of the normal curvatures of \(\alpha_+\) and \(\alpha_-\) in the common normal direction \(v\), then

\[
\eta_+(y) = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2}(y, z(y)) \text{ and } \eta_-(y) = \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial y^2}(y, z(y)).
\]

So, equations (4.7)-(4.8) reduce to equations (4.4)-(4.5). \(\square\)
We now look at the bi-local description of 2-parallel pairs.

Suppose \((a^+, a^-)\) is a pair of 2-parallel points. Then, we can choose coordinates in a neighbourhood of \(a^+\) and \(a^-\) as follows:

\[
\Phi^+ : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^4, a^+) \\
(y, z) \mapsto a^+ + (y, z, \phi(y, z), \psi(y, z)),
\]

\(j^1 \phi(0, 0) = j^1 \psi(0, 0) = 0.\)

\[
\Phi^- : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^4, a^-) \\
(u, v) \mapsto a^- + (u, v, \xi(u, v), \zeta(u, v)),
\]

\(j^1 \xi(0, 0) = j^1 \zeta(0, 0) = 0.\)

Again, for simplicity we assume that for \(\lambda\) fixed, \(\lambda a^+ + (1 - \lambda)a^- = 0.\) Now the contact map \(K^\lambda : (\mathbb{R}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^2, 0)\) is

\[
K^\lambda (y, z) = (\phi(y, z) + \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda} \xi \left( \frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda} y, \frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda} z \right), \\
\psi(y, z) + \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda} \zeta \left( \frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda} y, \frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda} z \right)
\]

(4.11)

Let the contact surface \(C^\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^4\) be the graph of the contact map \(K^\lambda.\)

If \(0 \in C^\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^4\) is a singular point of type \(C_{2,2}^+\) of the contact map \(K^\lambda,\) then \(\Delta_{C^\lambda}(0) < 0\) [13]. It follows that \(C^\lambda\) has two contact pairs at 0. For each of these, we have the following:

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \(a^+ \in M^+, a^- \in M^-\), so that \(\lambda a^+ + (1 - \lambda)a^- = 0 \in C^\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^4\) is a singular point of \(K^\lambda\) of type \(C_{2,2}^+\). The pair \((u, v)\) is a contact pair of \(C^\lambda\) at 0 if and only if one of the following holds.

(i) The pair \((u, v)\) is a contact pair of \(M^+\) and of \(\mathcal{R}_0^\lambda(M^-)\) at \(a^+\) (equivalently, \((u, v)\) is a contact pair of \(M^-\) and of \(\mathcal{R}_0^{1-\lambda}(M^+)\) at \(a^-\)).

(ii) The pair \((u, v)\) is not a contact pair of either \(M^+\) or \(\mathcal{R}_0^\lambda(M^-)\) at \(a^+\), but the normal curvatures of \(M^+\) and \(\mathcal{R}_0^\lambda(M^-)\) along \(u\) in the direction of \(v\) are in proportion \(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\) at \(a^+\) (equivalently, \((u, v)\) is not a contact pair of either \(M^-\) or \(\mathcal{R}_0^{1-\lambda}(M^+)\) at \(a^-\), but the normal curvatures of \(M^-\) and \(\mathcal{R}_0^{1-\lambda}(M^+)\) along \(u\) in the direction of \(v\) have the proportion \(\frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda}\) at \(a^-\)).
Proof. Let \((u, v)\) be a contact pair of the contact surface \(C^\lambda\). Without loss of generality we can take \(u = (1, 0, 0, 0)\) and \(v = (0, 0, 1, 0)\). Then, since \(v\) is a binormal direction, it follows that the hessian of the function germ

\[
K_\lambda^2(y, z) = \psi(y, z) + \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda} \left( \frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda} y, \frac{-\lambda}{1 - \lambda} z \right)
\]

is degenerate and \(u\) is its kernel. Then \(\partial^2 K_\lambda^2 / \partial y^2(0) = 0\), hence \(\partial^2 \psi / \partial y^2(0) = -\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \partial^2 \zeta / \partial y^2(0)\).

As in the proof of Theorem 4.3, either \(\partial^2 \psi / \partial y^2(0) = 0\) and \(\partial^2 \zeta / \partial y^2(0) = 0\) or they are not zero, but the normal curvatures of \(M^+\) and \(R_0^\lambda(M^-)\) along \(v\) in the direction of \(u\) are proportional. Similar statement holds for \(M^-\) and \(R_0^{1-\lambda}(M^+)\). □

If \(0 \in C^\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^4\) is a singular point of type \(C_{2,2}^-\), then \(\Delta_{C^\lambda}(0) > 0\) [13]. It follows that \(C^\lambda\) has no contact pairs at 0. We thus have:

Theorem 4.5. Let \(a^+ \in M^+, a^- \in M^-, \) so that \(\lambda a^+ + (1 - \lambda)a^- = 0 \in C^\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^4\) is a singular point of type \(C_{2,2}^-\). Although \(a^+ \in M^+\) and \(a^- \in M^-\) are strongly parallel points, both of the following holds true.

(i) \(M^+\) and \(R_0^\lambda(M^-)\) do not have any common contact pair at \(a^+\) (or equivalently, \(M^-\) and \(R_0^{1-\lambda}(M^+)\) do not have any common contact pair at \(a^-\)).

(ii) There is no pair \((u, v)\) \(\in \mathbb{R}^4\) with \(u \in T_{a^+}M^+\) and \(v \in N_{a^+}M^+\), such that the normal curvature along \(u\) in the \(v\) direction of \(M^+\) and of \(R_0^\lambda(M^-)\) are in proportion \(\frac{1}{\lambda}\) at \(a^+\) (or equivalently, the normal curvature along \(u\) in the \(v\) direction of \(M^-\) and of \(R_0^{1-\lambda}(M^+)\) are in proportion \(\frac{1}{1-\lambda}\) at \(a^-\)).

Remark 4.6. Generically, \(\Delta_{C^\lambda} \neq 0\) because singular points of \(C^\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^4\) of type \(C_{2,3}\) are not unfolded to a stable point of \(\Psi_\lambda\) ([5]).

5 Geometry of the set of weakly parallel points

We now extend our geometric investigations in order to describe the set of weakly parallel points of \(M\), as this set is naturally related to the set of affine equidistants of \(M\) and its singularities.
5.1 Grassmannian investigation of weakly parallel points

We start by using the Grassmannian $Gr(2,4)$, the space of 2-planes in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

First, we recall the Plücker coordinates for $Gr(2,4)$. Let $e_1$, $e_2$, $e_3$, $e_4$ be any basis for $\mathbb{R}^4$ (not necessarily orthonormal or orthogonal, no metric is needed or assumed here). Then, $e_1 \wedge e_2$, $e_1 \wedge e_3$, $e_1 \wedge e_4$, $e_2 \wedge e_3$, $e_2 \wedge e_4$, $e_3 \wedge e_4$ is a basis for $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4$ and we denote by $(p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{14}, p_{23}, p_{24}, p_{34})$ the coordinates of an element $\pi \in \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4$ in the above basis.

If the bi-vector $\pi \in \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4$ with coordinates $(p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{14}, p_{23}, p_{24}, p_{34})$ represents an element in $Gr(2,4)$, then the bi-vector $\pi' \in \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4$ with coordinates $(kp_{12}, kp_{13}, kp_{14}, kp_{23}, kp_{24}, kp_{34})$, $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{R}$, represents the same element in $Gr(2,4)$. Thus, defining the equivalence class $[\pi] = \{ \pi' \in \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4 | \pi' = k\pi, k \in \mathbb{R} \}$, it follows that $[\pi] \in \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4)$ has homogeneous coordinates $[p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{14}, p_{23}, p_{24}, p_{34}]$.

However, not every element $[\pi] \in \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4)$ lies in $Gr(2,4)$. $\pi$ is in $Gr(2,4)$ iff $\pi$ is an elementary bi-vector, i.e. $\pi = u \wedge v$, for some $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^4$. Thus $[\pi] \in Gr(2,4)$ iff $\pi \wedge \pi = 0$.

In terms of the above coordinates, this translates into the equation

$$p_{12}p_{34} + p_{23}p_{14} - p_{13}p_{24} = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

The homogeneous coordinates $[p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{14}, p_{23}, p_{24}, p_{34}]$ subject to constraint (5.1) are the Plücker coordinates of $[\pi] \in Gr(2,4)$ with respect to the basis $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$ of $\mathbb{R}^4$. It follows that $dim_\mathbb{R}(Gr(2,4)) = 4$.

Now, consider the Gauss map

$$G : M \rightarrow Gr(2,4), \mathbb{R}^4 \ni M \ni a \mapsto [T_a M] \in Gr(2,4).$$

The Gauss map fails to be injective precisely for (non-diagonal) strongly parallel pairs, i.e. $a_1 \neq a_2 \in M$, such that $G(a_1) = G(a_2)$. Thus, for a residual set of embeddings $M \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, $G : M \rightarrow Gr(2,4)$ is an immersion with transversal double points and such a $[\pi] \in G(M)$ whose neighborhood in $G(M)$ is not homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$ is the common tangent plane for a (non-diagonal) 2-parallel pair $(a_1, a_2) \in M \times M$.

Consider also the double Gauss map:

$$G \times G : M \times M \rightarrow Gr(2,4) \times Gr(2,4), \quad (a_1, a_2) \mapsto ([\pi_1], [\pi_2])$$

Then, $[\pi_1]$ and $[\pi_2]$ are weakly parallel, iff

$$\pi_1 \wedge \pi_2 = 0. \quad (5.2)$$
And we denote

\[ W = \{ ([\pi_1], [\pi_2]) \in Gr(2, 4) \times Gr(2, 4) | \pi_1 \land \pi_2 = 0 \}. \]

In terms of the Plücker coordinates for \( Gr(2, 4) \),

\[
[\pi_1] = [p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{14}, p_{23}, p_{24}, p_{34}], \quad p_{12}p_{34} + p_{23}p_{14} - p_{13}p_{24} = 0, \quad (5.3)
\]

\[
[\pi_2] = [q_{12}, q_{13}, q_{14}, q_{23}, q_{24}, q_{34}], \quad q_{12}q_{34} + q_{23}q_{14} - q_{13}q_{24} = 0, \quad (5.4)
\]

condition (5.2) translates into

\[ p_{12}q_{34} + p_{34}q_{12} + p_{14}q_{23} + p_{23}q_{14} - p_{13}q_{24} - p_{24}q_{13} = 0. \quad (5.5) \]

Thus, equations (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5) define coordinates for an element \(([\pi_1], [\pi_2])\) of the 7-dimensional subvariety \( W \subset (Gr(2, 4) \times Gr(2, 4)) \).

We denote by \( W_{reg} \) the set of smooth points of \( W \), and by \( \text{Sing}(W) \) the set of singular points of \( W \).

**Proposition 5.1.** *Away from the diagonal, \( W \) is a smooth hypersurface of \( Gr(2, 4) \times Gr(2, 4) \).*

**Proof.** First, note that each of the equations (5.3) and (5.4) define smooth submanifolds \( Gr(2, 4) \subset \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4) \) and, similarly, equation (5.5) defines a smooth submanifold of \( \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4) \times \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4) \). Thus, \( W \) is singular only where these three submanifolds of \( \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4) \times \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^4) \) do not intersect transversally. By straightforward computation, we see that the rank of the matrix of the derivatives of equations (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5) is not maximal if \( \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4, \ p_{ij}/q_{ij} = k \in \mathbb{R}^* \).

It follows that \( \text{Sing}(W) = \{ ([\pi_1], [\pi_2]) \in Gr(2, 4) \times Gr(2, 4) | [\pi_1] = [\pi_2] \}. \)

Now, as \( Gr(2, 4) \times Gr(2, 4) \) fibers (trivially) over \( Gr(2, 4) \), say, via the first projection \( P_{r_1} \), this induces a sub-bundle \( W \rightarrow Gr(2, 4), ([\pi_1], [\pi_2]) \mapsto [\pi_1] \), which may not be trivial. Its typical fiber \( W_{[\pi_1]} \) is a 3-variety, which can locally be described as follows.

Choose a basis \( e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \) for \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) such that \( [\pi_1] = [e_1 \land e_2] \). Then, \( [\pi_1] = [1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] \), and \( [\pi_2] = [q_{12}, q_{13}, q_{14}, q_{23}, q_{24}, q_{34}] \in W_{[\pi_1]} \) iff \( q_{12}q_{34} + q_{23}q_{14} - q_{13}q_{24} = 0 \) and \( q_{34} = 0 \), that is,

\[
[\pi_2] \in W_{[\pi_1]} \iff [\pi_2] = [q_{12}, q_{13}, q_{14}, q_{23}, q_{24}, 0], \quad q_{23}q_{14} - q_{13}q_{24} = 0,
\]

or equivalently,

\[
[\pi_2] \in W_{[\pi_1]} \iff [\pi_2] = [1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, 0], \quad \beta \gamma - \alpha \delta = 0, \quad (5.6)
\]
in other words, close to \( \alpha = \beta = \gamma = \delta = 0 \),

\[
W_{[\pi_1]} = \{ (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid \alpha \delta - \beta \gamma = 0 \}.
\] (5.7)

Thus, we have a refinement of Proposition 5.1, that is,

**Proposition 5.2.** In a neighborhood of \([\pi_2] = [\pi_1]\), the 3-variety \(W_{[\pi_1]}\) is a cone.

The following theorem, which follows from standard transversality arguments, describes how affine equidistants \(E_{\lambda}(M)\) are related to the intersection of \(W\) and \(G(M) \times G(M)\).

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \(M \subset \mathbb{R}^4\) be a generic embedding and \((a, b)\) be a weakly parallel pair on \(M\).

(i) Let \((a, b)\) be a 1-parallel pair, so that \((G(a), G(b)) \in W_{\text{reg}}\). If \(\Psi_\lambda|_{M \times M} : (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, (a, b)) \to (\mathbb{R}^4, \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b)\) has a stable singularity (of type \(A_k\), \(k = 1, 2, 3, 4\)), then \(G(M) \times G(M)\) is transverse to \(W_{\text{reg}}\) at \((G(a), G(b))\).

(ii) Let \((a, b)\) be a 2-parallel pair, so that \((G(a), G(b)) \in \text{Sing}(W)\). If \(\Psi_\lambda|_{M \times M} : (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, (a, b)) \to (\mathbb{R}^4, \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b)\) has a stable singularity (of type \(C_{2, 2}^\pm\)) then \((a, b)\) is a transversal double point of the Gauss map.

### 5.2 Geometric description of the set of weakly parallel points

We emphasize that, from Theorem 5.3, for generic embeddings of smooth closed surfaces in \(\mathbb{R}^4\) there are only double points of Gauss map. There are no triple, quadruple... points of the Gauss map, generically.

Therefore, we obtain the following corollary of Theorem 5.3:

**Corollary 5.4.** For generic embeddings of smooth closed surfaces in \(\mathbb{R}^4\), strongly parallel (nonidentical) points come only in pairs and there are only finite numbers of such pairs.

**Remark 5.5.** An interesting question, whose answer is unknown to us, is whether there exists any embedded compact surface \(M \subset \mathbb{R}^4\) without nonidentical 2-parallel points, in other words, such that the Gauss map \(G : M \to Gr(2, 4)\) is injective.
Notation 5.6. For \( p \in M \), let \( \mathcal{W}_p \subset M \) denote the set of weakly parallel points to \( p \) and let \( \mathcal{W}_p^q \) denote the germ of \( \mathcal{W}_p \) at \( q \in M \).

Remark 5.7. It is easy to see that \( G(\mathcal{W}_p) \subset W \) where the latter is described in Propositions 5.1 and 5.2.

Then, the following theorem describes \( \mathcal{W}_p^q \) in all possible situations.

Theorem 5.8. For a generic embedding of \( M \) into \( \mathbb{R}^4 \), cf. Definition 4.2 and Theorem 4.1, the following hold.

1. If \( q \) is 1-parallel to \( p \), then \( \mathcal{W}_p^q \) is a germ of smooth curve.

2. If \( q \) is 2-parallel to \( p \), then:
   
   \begin{enumerate}
   
   \item If \( q \) is an elliptic point of \( M \), then \( \mathcal{W}_p^q = \{ q \} \).
   
   \item If \( q \) is a parabolic point of \( M \), then \( \mathcal{W}_p^q \) is a singular curve with a cusp singularity at \( q \) which is tangent to the asymptotic direction at \( q \) (this is generic for \( q = p \), as a generic embedding has a parabolic point, or in a 1-parameter family of embeddings for \( q \neq p \), cf. Remark 4.6).
   
   \item If \( q \) is a hyperbolic point of \( M \), then \( \mathcal{W}_p^q \) is a singular curve with a transversal double point at \( q \) so that each branch of \( \mathcal{W}_p^q \) is a smooth curve tangent to an asymptotic direction at \( q \).
   
   \end{enumerate}

Proof. If the points \( p, q \in M \) are 1-parallel then the germs of \( M \) at \( p = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \) and at \( q = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) \) can be parametrized in the following way \( F(x, y) = (p_1 + x, p_2 + y, p_3 + f_3(x, y), p_4 + f_4(x, y)) \) and \( G(u, v) = (q_1 + u, q_2 + g_2(u, v), q_3 + g_3(u, v), q_4 + v) \) respectively, where \( f_3, f_4, g_2, g_4 \) are smooth function-germs vanishing at \( (0, 0) \) such that \( df_3|_{(0,0)} = df_4|_{(0,0)} = dg_2|_{(0,0)} = dg_3|_{(0,0)} = 0 \). The point \( G(u, v) \) is weakly parallel to \( p \) if the Jacobian of the map

\[
(x, y, u, v) \mapsto \lambda F(x, y) + (1 - \lambda)G(u, v)
\]

vanishes at the point \( (0, 0, u, v) \). The Jacobian of the map (5.8) at \( (0, 0, u, v) \) has the form \( \frac{d}{du}(u, v) \). Generically \( d\left(\frac{d}{du}\right)|_{(0,0)} \neq 0 \), therefore \( \mathcal{W}_p^q \) is a germ at \( q \) of a smooth curve.

If the points \( p, q \in M \) are 2-parallel then the germs of \( M \) at \( p = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \) and at \( q = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) \) can be parametrized in the following way \( F(x, y) = (p_1 + x, p_2 + y, p_3 + f_3(x, y), p_4 + f_4(x, y)) \) and \( G(u, v) = (q_1 + u, q_2 + v, q_3 + g_3(u, v), q_4 + g_4(u, v)) \) respectively, where \( f_3, f_4, g_3, g_4 \)
are smooth function-germs vanishing at \((0, 0)\) such that \(d f_3|_{(0,0)} = d f_4|_{(0,0)} =
\]

dg_3|_{(0,0)} = dg_4|_{(0,0)} = 0.

The point \(G(u, v)\) is weakly parallel to \(p\) if the Jacobian of the map (5.8) vanishes at \((0, 0, u, v)\). It is easy to see that the Jacobian of the map (5.8) at \((0, 0, u, v)\) is \(Jac(g_3, g_4)(u, v)\), i.e. the Jacobian of the map \((g_3, g_4)\) at \((u, v)\).

It is also easy to see \(d(Jac(g_3, g_4))|_{(0,0)}\) vanishes.

The Hessian of the function \((u, v) \mapsto Jac(g_3, g_4)(u, v)\) at \((0, 0)\) is equal to \(4\Delta_M(q)\). Therefore if \(q\) is an elliptic point, then \(W_p^q = \{q\}\), if \(q\) is a parabolic point, then \(W_p^q\) is a singular curve with a cusp singularity at \(q\) which is tangent to the asymptotic direction at \(q\), and finally if \(q\) is a hyperbolic point, then \(W_p^q\) consists of the crossing of two smooth curves at \(q\), each one tangent to an asymptotic direction at \(q\).

We can also interpret the above calculations in terms of singularities of projections into planes. In fact, let \(\rho_p : M \to N_pM\) be the projection of \(M\) into the 2-plane \(N_pM = \mathbb{R}^2\), which is fixed.

Then the singular set of the projection,

\[
\Sigma_{\rho_p} = \{q \in M \mid \text{there exists some } v \in T_qM, v \in \ker(\rho_p)\}
\]

coinsides with the set \(W_p\). Given \(q \in W_p\), we use the above local parametrizations to study \(W_p^q\).

If points \(p, q \in M\) are 1-parallel then the germs of \(M\) at \(p = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)\) and at \(q = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)\) can be parametrized respectively by \(F(x, y) = (p_1 + x, p_2 + y, p_3 + f_3(x, y), p_4 + f_4(x, y))\) and \(G(u, v) = (q_1 + u, q_2 + g_2(u, v), q_3 + g_3(u, v), q_4 + v)\). The normal plane of \(M\) at \(p\) is the plane \([\{0, 0, 1, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0, 1\}\}]. Hence, the germ at \(q\) of the projection \(\rho_p : M \to N_pM\) is given by

\[
\rho_p \circ G(u, v) = (q_3 + g_3(u, v), q_4 + v).
\]

Thus, as above, \(W_p^q\) is smooth in a neighbourhood of \(q\) if and only if \((g_{3uv}(0), g_{3uu}(0)) \neq (0, 0)\) and this condition is satisfied for generic embeddings of \(M\).

On the other hand, if points \(p, q \in M\) are 2-parallel, the germ at \(q\) of the projection is given by

\[
\rho_p \circ G(u, v) = (q_3 + g_3(u, v), q_4 + g_4(u, v)),
\]

and we proceed as above.

Because the case \((2 - ii)\) above for \(q \neq p\) is only generic in a 1-parameter family of embeddings, according to Definition 4.2 and Theorem 4.1, we now analyze its bifurcation set.
Proposition 5.9. Let $I$ be an open interval containing 0, with $M_t$ a generic smooth 1-parameter family of smooth surface embeddings in $\mathbb{R}^4$ such that the points $p_t$ and $q_t$ in $M_t$ are strongly parallel $\forall t \in I$ and $q_0$ is a parabolic point of $M_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$. Let $W^q_{p_t} \subset M_t$ denote the germ of weakly parallel points to $p_t$ at $q_t$. Then, $W^q_{p_t}$ is described by the Whitney umbrella 

$$2u^2 - 3v^3 - 2tv^2 = 0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (5.9)

such that a curve $C_{t=0}$ on this surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ has a smooth branch and an isolated point if $t_0 < 0$, or is a cusp if $t_0 = 0$, or is a looped curve with a transversal self-crossing if $t_0 > 0$. These three cases correspond to the point $q_0 = (u, v) = (0, 0)$ being an isolated point for $t_0 < 0$ (elliptic case), a cuspidal point for $t_0 = 0$ (parabolic case), or a transversal double point for $t_0 > 0$ (hyperbolic case).

Proof. Following the same notation of the proof of Theorem 5.8, with $t$ denoting the parameter of the family of embeddings and assuming $q_t$ is parabolic for $t = 0$, the germ of $M_t$ at $q_t$ can be put after translation to the form\footnote{4In general, the tangent plane to $M_t$ at $q_t$ will change with $t$, but we can adapt an orthonormal moving frame such that $T_{q_t} M_t = span < (1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0) >, \forall t.$} $g_t(u, v) = (u, v, g^3_t(u, v), g^4_t(u, v))$, where $g^3_t(u, v) = u^2 + v^3 + tv^2 + V_t(u, v)$ and $g^4_t(u, v) = uv + W_t(u, v)$, with $V_t$ and $W_t$ of third or higher order in $(u, v)$ for all $t$.

The point $p_t$, being 2-parallel to $q_t$, the germ of $M_t$ at $p_t$ is, after translation, of the general form $f_t(x, y) = (x, y, f^3_t(x, y), f^4_t(x, y))$, with $f^3_t$ and $f^4_t$ of second order in $(u, v)$ for all $t$.

Thus, as before, $g_t(u, v)$ is weakly parallel to $p_t$ if the Jacobian of the map (5.8) vanishes at $(0, 0, u, v)$. The Jacobian is the same as the Jacobian of the map $(g^3_t, g^4_t)$ at $(u, v)$, which is of the form $J(u, v, t) = 2u^2 - 3v^3 - 2tv^2 + R_t(u, v)$, where $R_t$ is of third or higher order in $(u, v)$ for all $t$. We now apply the following lemma:

Lemma 5.10. The Jacobian $J(u, v, t) = 2u^2 - 3v^3 - 2tv^2 + R_t(u, v)$, with $R_t$ of third or higher order in $(u, v)$, $\forall t$, can be put for small $t$, by a smooth near-identity change of coordinates of the form $(u, v, t) \mapsto (U(u, v, t), V(u, v, t), t)$, to the normal form $H(U, V, t) = 2U^2 - 3V^3 - 2tV^2(1 + \phi(U, V, t))$, with $\phi$ a smooth function satisfying $\phi(0, 0, t) = 0$, for small $t$.

Proof. Start by writing $R_t(u, v) = t(\psi_3(v, t) + u\psi_2(v, t) + 2u^2\psi_1(u, v, t))$, where $\psi_3$ is of order at least 3 in $v$, $\forall t$, $\psi_2$ is of order at least 2 in $v$, $\forall t$, and...
\[
\psi_1(0, 0, t) = 0. \text{ Then, }
\]
\[
J(u, v; t) = 2u^2(1 + t\psi_1(u, v, t)) - 3v^3(1 - t\tilde{\psi}_3(v, t)) - 2tv^2(1 - u\tilde{\psi}_2(v, t)),
\]
where \(\tilde{\psi}_3(v, t) = \psi_3(v, t)/3v^3\), \(\tilde{\psi}_2(v, t) = \psi_2(v, t)/2v^2\). Thus, setting
\[
V(u, v, t) = V(v, t) = v\sqrt{1 - t\tilde{\psi}_3(v, t)}
\]
and \(U(u, v, t) = u\sqrt{1 + t\psi_1(u, v, t)}\), we note that \((u, v, t) \mapsto (U, V, t)\) is a near-identity transformation for small \(t\), therefore invertible, so that we can write \(J(u, v, t) = H(U, V, t) = 2U^2 - 3V^3 - 2tV^2(1 + \phi(U, V, t))\), where \(\phi\) is a smooth function satisfying \(\phi(0, 0, t) = 0\), for small \(t\). \(\square\)

It follows that, for small \(t\) and in a neighborhood of \((U, V) = (0, 0)\), the curve \(C_{t=0}^\prime\), which is obtained as the section \([H(U, V, t = t_0) = 0]\), is a small deformation of the curve \(C_{t=0}\), which is obtained as the section \([h(u, v, t = t_0) = 0]\), where \(h(u, v, t) = 2u^2 - 3v^3 - 2tv^2\). In particular, for \(t_0 = 0\) the curve \(C_{t=0}^\prime\) is a cusp, just as \(C_{t=0}\), for \(t_0 < 0\) the curve \(C_{t=0}^\prime\) has a smooth branch and an isolated point at \((0, 0)\), just as \(C_{t=0}\), and for \(t_0 > 0\) the curve \(C_{t=0}^\prime\) is a looped curve with a transversal self-crossing at \((0, 0)\), just like \(C_{t=0}\). \(\square\)

**Remark 5.11.** In the same vein, when the embedding is fixed and we look at the cases \(q = p\), if a smooth curve \(I \ni s \mapsto p(s) \in M\) is transversal to the smooth curve of parabolic points on \(M\) at a parabolic point \(p(0)\), then by slightly adapting the above reasoning we can easily see that the family of germs \(W_{p(s)}^{p(s)}\) is described by the Whitney umbrella (5.9).

**Remark 5.12.** As a last remark, we note that two distinct points \(q, q' \in W_p\) need not be weakly parallel to each other. For instance, if \([\pi_1] = G(p) = [e_1 \wedge e_2]\), we may have that \(G(q) = [e_1 \wedge e_3]\) and \(G(q') = [e_2 \wedge e_4]\). We also note that, if \((p, q)\) is a strongly parallel pair \((p \neq q)\), the local geometry of \(p\) and \(q\) can be distinct (one elliptic, the other hyperbolic, etc), thus in general \(W_p^p\) and \(W_q^q\) can be of distinct types.

### 5.3 Illustrations

We now provide examples of Theorem 5.8 and Proposition 5.9, this latter in the form of Remark 5.11.
Example 5.13. Let us consider the following embedding of a torus into the affine space $\mathbb{R}^4([6])$, $F(x, y) = (f_1(x, y), f_2(x, y), f_3(x, y), f_4(x, y))$,

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_1(x, y) &= \cos(x) \left(1 - \frac{\cos(y)}{10}\right) + \frac{1}{10} \sin(x) \sin(y), \\
    f_2(x, y) &= \left(1 - \frac{\cos(y)}{10}\right) \sin(x) - \frac{1}{10} \cos(x) \sin(y), \\
    f_3(x, y) &= \cos(2x) \left(1 - \frac{2\cos(y)}{5}\right) + \frac{4}{5} \sin(2x) \sin(y), \\
    f_4(x, y) &= \left(1 - \frac{2\cos(y)}{5}\right) \sin(2x) - \frac{4}{5} \cos(2x) \sin(y).
\end{align*}
\]

The curves of parabolic points on this torus are given by

\[
y = \pm 2 \arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{5} \left(-4 + \sqrt{41}\right)}\right) .
\]

Figure 1 presents the curve of weakly parallel points on the $x, y$-plane to a hyperbolic point $(\pi, \pi)$ (or elliptic point $(0, 0)$). All points marked by black dots on Figure 1 are strongly parallel. Elliptic points $(0, 0)$ and $(\pi, 0)$ are isolated points of the curve. There are transversal self-intersections of the curve in hyperbolic points $(0, \pi)$ and $(\pi, \pi)$.

Figure 1: Set of weakly parallel points to an elliptic or hyperbolic point.
Example 5.14. Let us again consider the torus from Example 5.13. In Figures 2 to 4 we present the bifurcation of $W_p$ – the germ at a point $p$ of the curve of weakly parallel points to $p$ – when we change $p$ from a hyperbolic point to a parabolic point and then to an elliptic point. For $p$ we chose a point with the following coordinates on the $(x, y)$-plane:

$$(s, 2 \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (-4 + \sqrt{41}) \right) + s)$$

For $s = 0$ the point $p$ is parabolic and at this parabolic point (marked by a black dot) the curve has a cusp singularity, cf. Figure 3, which also shows the curve of weakly parallel points to this parabolic point.

![Figure 2: Set of weakly parallel points to a hyperbolic point ($s = 0.085$).](image)

For sufficiently small positive $s$ the point $p$ is hyperbolic (cf. Fig. 2) and for sufficiently small negative $s$ the point $p$ is elliptic (cf. Fig. 4). The dotted lines on Figures 2-4 are lines of parabolic points. From the figures we see that the bifurcation of the set $W_p$ when we change $s$ is diffeomorphic to the Whitney umbrella, which is presented on Figure 5.
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Figure 3: Set of weakly parallel points to a parabolic point \((s = 0)\).

Figure 4: Set of weakly parallel points to an elliptic point \((s = -0.085)\).
Figure 5: The bifurcation of the germ, at a parabolic point $p$, of the set of weakly parallel points to $p$.
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