This study reports the novel observation that canonical TGFβ family signaling through SMAD4 inhibits inflammatory signaling within colonic epithelium. Smad4 deletion in epithelium up-regulated proinflammatory gene expression, increased submucosal immune cell numbers, and promoted colitis-associated neoplasia. This correlated with SMAD4 loss in ulcerative colitis–associated colorectal cancers, relative to sporadic colorectal cancers, in patients.

**BACKGROUND & AIMS:** Chronic inflammation is a predisposing condition for colorectal cancer. Many studies to date have focused on proinflammatory signaling pathways in the colon. Understanding the mechanisms that suppress inflammation, particularly in epithelial cells, is critical for developing therapeutic interventions. Here, we explored the roles of transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) family signaling through SMAD4 in colonic epithelial cells.

**METHODS:** The Smad4 gene was deleted specifically in adult murine intestinal epithelium. Colitis was induced by 3 rounds of dextran sodium sulfate in drinking water, after which mice were observed for up to 3 months. Nontransformed mouse colonocyte cell lines and colonoid cultures and human colorectal cancer cell lines were analyzed for responses to TGFβ1 and bone morphogenetic protein 2.

**RESULTS:** Dextran sodium sulfate treatment was sufficient to drive carcinogenesis in mice lacking colonic Smad4 expression, with resulting tumors bearing striking resemblance to human colitis-associated carcinoma. Loss of SMAD4 protein was observed in 48% of human colitis–associated carcinoma samples as compared with 19% of sporadic colorectal carcinomas. Loss of Smad4 increased the expression of inflammatory mediators within nontransformed mouse colon epithelial cells in vivo. In vitro analysis of mouse and human colonic epithelial cell lines and organoids indicated that much of this regulation was cell autonomous. Furthermore, TGFβ signaling inhibited the epithelial inflammatory response to proinflammatory cytokines.
CONCLUSIONS: TGFβ suppresses the expression of proinflammatory genes in the colon epithelium, and loss of its downstream mediator, SMAD4, is sufficient to initiate inflammation-driven colon cancer. Transcript profiling: GSE100082. (Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;6:257-276; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2018.05.006)
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Chronic inflammation is a predisposing condition for many cancers.1 Ulcerative colitis is an inflammatory condition of the colon predisposing patients to colitis-associated carcinoma (CAC).2-4 CAC arises from a different sequence of mutation events than most sporadic colorectal cancers (CRCs). For example, sporadic CRC cases frequently have early mutation of adenosomatous polyposis coli (APC) and late mutation of p53. However, in CAC, mutation of p53 is thought to be an early event and loss of APC is found late or not at all.5,6 Although CAC clearly arises in an inflammatory microenvironment, mouse models have shown that multiple etiologies of CRC are either promoted or repressed by specific inflammatory responses.7-10 Furthermore, there is compelling evidence that CRC can be triggered by a combination of microbiota-dependent and host-dependent mechanisms.7,11 Multiple levels of regulation have evolved to precisely coordinate the extent of an inflammatory response, allowing for necessary antimicrobial and reparative responses while suppressing inappropriate and rampant responses that lead to disease. Many prior studies have focused on factors influencing the initiation and maintenance of gut inflammation. Given that minor mucosal injuries occur with regularity, it is remarkable that these rapid inflammatory responses are usually transient and extinguished promptly after the inciting cause is resolved without causing overt systemic and organism-wide inflammation with its attendant damaging effects. A better understanding of how this homeostatic balance is maintained may lead to more precise therapeutic interventions.

Transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) pathway signaling has important roles in regulating immune cell responses through its direct regulation of lymphoid and myeloid cell proliferation, differentiation, and survival,12 which in turn leads to suppression of inflammation. Homozygous germline loss of Tgfb111 resulted in a marked increase in inflammatory cell infiltration throughout alimentary tract mucosal tissues. In addition, Kim et al12 found that conditional loss of Smad4 in T cells with intact epithelial expression of Smad4 in mice caused increased T-cell expression of interleukin (IL)5, IL6, and IL13, phenocopied familial juvenile polyposis, and resulted in epithelial cancers throughout the gastrointestinal tract. In contrast, they did not observe spontaneous gastrointestinal tumors when epithelial Smad4 was disrupted using epithelial-specific promoters to drive expression of Cre recombinase (MMTV-Cre or Transtrhyetin-Cre). In that study, loss of epithelial Smad4 was not examined in the setting of chronic inflammation and the mice were not examined for gene expression changes in the colon epithelium.

TGFβ family members act via interaction with multimers of type I and type II receptors that then phosphorylate R-SMAD proteins in the cytoplasm.13 TGFβ1, 82, and β3 bind TGFβ receptors that, in turn, phosphorylate Receptor-SMADs (R-SMADs) SMAD2 and SMAD3 (SMAD2/3). Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are TGFβ family members that activate related receptors but lead to the phosphorylation of SMAD1/5/9. Once phosphorylated, R-SMADs bind SMAD4, translocate to the nucleus, and regulate transcription, acting as transcriptional activators of some genes and repressors of other genes. This canonical signaling activity downstream of all TGFβ family receptors is dependent on the common mediator SMAD4. These pathways have multiple levels of redundancy at the levels of ligands, receptors, and R-SMADs, but SMAD4 is uniquely required for transcriptional activity of this pathway. Thus, loss of SMAD4 abrogates all canonical signaling by TGFβ family members.

Previous studies have implicated TGFβ signaling to epithelial cells in inhibiting cell proliferation, modulating differentiation, and inducing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition.16,17 We previously found that tissue-specific inactivation of the Smad4 gene in adult intestinal epithelium in the context of Apc mutation led to increased Wingless-type Mouse Mammary Tumor Virus Integration Site (WNT) signaling and increased size and numbers of small intestinal and colonic adenomas as compared with Apc mutation alone.18 However, loss of Smad4 without Apc mutation did not result in increased β-catenin protein, likely owing to degradation by the β-catenin destruction complex. We now report a novel homeostatic role for TGFβ signaling in suppressing colonic epithelial cell inflammatory responses. SMAD4-mediated signaling in both human and mouse colonic epithelial cells suppresses inflammation-associated gene expression, including chemokine production, and blocks specific epithelial responses to inflammatory signals. Epithelial-specific loss of Smad4, without the introduction of any other targeted mutation, initiates inflammation-driven carcinogenesis in the colon. Furthermore, we observed a significantly
increased frequency of SMAD4 loss in ulcerative colitis–associated carcinomas compared with sporadic CRCs in human beings, linking this pathway to epithelial regulation of inflammatory responses.

Materials and Methods

Mouse Models

All animal work was performed with approval from the Vanderbilt University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee following Animal Research: Reporting of In Vivo Experiments standards. Mouse alleles CK19CreERT2 (CreERT2 inserted into the Krt19 gene), Lrig1CreERT2, and Smad4fl/fl all were genotyped as previously published19–21 and bred for at least 10 generations into the C57BL/6J background. Controls were sibling littersmates. Mice were given tamoxifen (2 mg in 0.1 mL intraperitoneally 3 times on alternating days for CK19CreERT2 or a single injection or 2 injections on alternating days for Lrig1CreERT2), or corn oil vehicle control only after 8 weeks of age to ensure that gene deletion occurred in adulthood and not during development. For dextran sodium sulfate (DSS; MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA) treatment, mice were given 2.0%–2.5% DSS depending on activity of individual lots in drinking water for 4 days, followed by 5 days of recovery in 3 consecutive cycles. Dosage was determined empirically for each lot, determining the DSS concentration that would cause loss of 5%–15% body weight. Azoxymethane (AOM)/DSS treatment was as described.22 After tamoxifen or vehicle treatment, bedding was mixed among all cages within each experiment once per week.

Human Tissues

De-identified tissue sections were obtained with permission from the Vanderbilt Institutional Review Board. Sporadic CRC tissues were analyzed as individual sections on slides. Tissue microarrays (TMAs) were made from de-identified inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)-associated tissues containing 27 ulcerative colitis (UC)-associated CACs, 29 UC-associated low-grade dysplasias, and 10 UC-associated high-grade dysplasias, as well as normal and inflamed colonic tissues. As a positive control, any samples that had no SMAD4 detection in stroma were excluded from the analysis.

Histology and Immunohistochemistry

Tissues were processed as described.23–25 Antibodies used were as follows: rabbit anti-SMAD4 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, for mouse tissue), mouse anti-SMAD4 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, for human tissue), rabbit anti-Ki67 (Abcam), anti–phospho-RELAP (Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX), anti–phospho–signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), and rat anti–F4/80/B0 (Invitrogen). Mucin was detected with Alcian blue (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), pH 2.5,26 and counterstained with eosin (Fisher Diagnostics, Middletown, VA). Brightfield images were captured on an Axioskop 40 microscope using Axiosvision software (Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Thornwood, NY). Fluorescent images were captured with the Aperio Versa 200 Scanner (Leica Biosystems, Inc, Buffalo Grove, IL) through the Digital Histology Shared Resource at Vanderbilt University. Fluorescently labeled cells were quantified using CellProfiler cell image analysis software (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA).27

Flow Cytometry

After euthanasia, the colon distal to the ascending colon was removed, measured, washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), cut longitudinally, then cut into 1-cm lengths. Tissue then was incubated in cold 30 mL Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) + 0.3 mmol/L dithiothreitol and rocked at 4°C for 20 minutes, washed with PBS, and moved to 30 mL HBSS + 1 mmol/L EDTA and again rocked at 4°C for 20 minutes. Tissue then was minced rapidly with scissors, added to prewarmed collagenase solution (1 mg/mL Collagenase I [Sigma Aldrich] + 1 mg/mL Dispase II [Sigma Aldrich] in 10 mL HBSS), and digested for 40 minutes at 37°C shaking at 350 rpm. After a brief vortex to ensure adequate dissociation of tissue, suspension was filtered through 500-μm mesh and then through 70-μm mesh. Thirty milliliters of DNase solution (30 mL HBSS + 5% fetal bovine serum [FBS; Atlanta Biologicals, Atlanta, GA] + 25 U DNase I [Sigma Aldrich]) was added and cells were incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes and then centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C. The pellet then was resuspended in fluorescence-activated cell sorter buffer and aliquoted for staining 2 different panels. Antibodies (all from Biolegend, San Diego, CA) for the lymphoid panel included fluorescein isothiocyanate–anti-CD45, AF700–anti-CD3, phycocerythrin (PE)–C7–anti-CD4, PE–anti-CD8, and APC–Cy7–CD19. Antibodies for the myeloid panel included fluorescein isothiocyanate–anti-CD45, AF700–anti-CD11b, PE–Cy7–anti-CD11c, PE–anti-CD103, APC–Gr1 (Ly6G/Ly6C), and PerCP5.5–anti-F4/80. 4′,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole was used to distinguish live from dead cells. Fluorescence minus one controls were performed in parallel on separate mice to compensate for spectral overlap.28 Data were collected on a 5-laser BD Biosciences LSRII Flow Cytometer (San Jose, CA) in the Vanderbilt University Medical Center Flow Cytometry Shared Resource Core. Fluorescence-activated cell sorter gating and analysis were performed using FlowJo 10 software (FlowJo, Ashland, OR).

Cell Lines and Treatments

YAMC (Young Adult Mouse Colon epithelial Cells) cells29 and FET-1 cells30 were described previously. IMC34/28 cells were derived from crossing Smad4fl/fl alleles into the Immortomouse background carrying an interferon-γ–inducible, temperature-sensitive SV40 Tag, and isolating colon epithelial cells by limiting dilution as described.29 Cells were screened by quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction for expression of Cdh1 (E-cadherin), Vim (vimentin), and Ptprc (CD45), and even after multiple passages remained Cdh1+/Vim–/Ptprc– (data not shown), indicating that the culture contained only epithelial cells. Colonocytes were isolated under limiting dilution conditions to be free of other cell types. IMCs34null cells were derived by treating IMC34/28 cells with adenoviral-Cre infection, isolating individual clones and screening for loss
of Smad4 expression. All IMC and YAMC lines were maintained in RPMI1640 (Gibco, Grand Island, NY) + 10% FBS (Atlanta Biologicals) + 1× penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco) + 1 U/mL interferon-γ (Sigma Aldrich) and maintained at 33°C. For experimental analyses, cells were washed at least twice and replated without interferon-γ and were maintained at 37°C to eliminate Tag.

Cells or colonoids were treated with the indicated concentrations of TGFB1 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), BMP2 (R&D Systems), tumor necrosis factor (TNF; R&D Systems), IL1β (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ), and lipopolysaccharide (LPS; Sigma Aldrich). Vehicle controls were as follows: 4 mmol/L HCl, 0.1% bovine serum albumin (TGFB1, BMP2), 0.1% bovine serum albumin in PBS (TNF), and water (IL1β, LPS).

**Human Tumoroid Models**

De-identified colorectal tumor tissue was collected from consented patients as approved by the Memorial Sloan Kettering Institutional Review Board. Mutations were determined using Memorial Sloan Kettering-Integrated Mutation Profiling of Actionable Cancer Targets. Tissue was minced and digested in advanced Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM)/F12 with 2% FBS (Atlanta Biologicals), penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco/ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA), 100 U/mL collagenase type XI (Sigma-Aldrich), and 125 µg/mL dispase type II (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA) at 37°C for 40 minutes and then digested further for 10 minutes by adding the same volume of TrypLE Express and 50 µL of DNase I (Qiagen, Germantown, MD). The tumor cells then were collected by filtering through a 70-µm cell strainer and spinning at 300×g for 5 minutes. Isolated tumor cells were embedded in Matrigel (Corning, Tewksbury, MA) and cultured as described. SMAD4 was deleted using Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats/CRISPR-associated protein 9 (CRISPR/Cas9)-mediated excision as follows. Tumoroids were harvested and dissociated in standard DMEM/F12 (Gibco) supplemented with 5% FBS and 5% CO2. Colonoids at a density of 100 per well were treated with vehicle, 100 ng/mL TNF, and 3 ng/mL TGFβ1, or combinations as described.

**Mouse Colonoid Cultures**

Intestinal crypts were isolated as follows: mouse colon was opened longitudinally, washed, cut into 2-mm segments, resuspended in Gentle Cell Dissociation Reagent (Stem Cell Technologies, Vancouver, Canada), and incubated on a rocking platform at 20 rpm for 30 minutes at room temperature. Crypts were isolated from tissue by multiple passages through a 10-µL serologic pipette, passed through a 70-µm filter, and centrifuged at 250×g for 5 minutes at 4°C. The supernatants were discarded and the colonic crypts were resuspended in DMEM+ high glucose (Gibco) and evaluated by inverse microscopy. Fractions containing crypts were combined and centrifuged at 250×g for 5 minutes at 4°C. Colonic crypts then were resuspended and plated in 50-µL beads of Growth Factor Reduced Matrigel (GFR; Corning, Tewksbury, MA). Colonoid medium (advanced DMEM/F12 [Gibco] supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin [Gibco], N2 [Gibco], B27 [Gibco], Glutamax [Gibco], HEPES [Sigma Aldrich], 50 ng/mL epidermal growth factor [R&D Systems]), 40% Wnt3a-conditioned medium, 20% R-Spondin-conditioned medium, 10% Noggin-conditioned medium, and 10 µmol/L Y-27632 [Sigma Aldrich]) was added to each well and colonoids were grown at 37°C in 5% CO2. Colonoids at a density of 100 per well were treated with vehicle, 100 ng/mL TNF, 3 ng/mL TGFβ1, or combinations as described.

**Small Interfering RNA Transfections**

YAMC cells were plated 24 hours before transfection in RPMI + 5% FBS + penicillin/streptomycin. The cells were
transfected with a mixture of Dharmafect 1 (Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO) and 50 nmol/L final concentration small interfering RNA according to the manufacturer’s protocol in antibiotic-free medium. After 24 hours the medium was replaced with fresh RPMI + 5% FBS + Pen-Strep for an additional 48 hours. After a total of 72 hours of transfection, the cells were processed for RNA isolation and Western blot. The mouse-specific small interfering RNA oligonucleotides were as follows: mouse Smad4 (ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool L-040687; Dharmacon) and the nontargeting control (ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool 1 D-001810; Dharmacon).

**Quantitative Reverse-Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction**

RNA was isolated and analyzed as described. Primer sequences are listed in Table 1.

**Protein assays**

**Western blots.** Western blot was performed as published. Antibodies used were as follows: SMAD4 (1:500; Santa Cruz) and β-actin (1:20,000; Sigma Aldrich).

**Lumixen.** Colonic crypts were isolated by incubation in 2 mmol/L EDTA (Sigma Aldrich), followed by shaking and filtering through a 70-μm filter, then lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mmol/L Tris pH 7.5, 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate). Lysates from mouse colons from CK19CreERT Smad4fl/fl mice that received tamoxifen (7 mice) or vehicle control (8 mice) were isolated and applied to the Lumixen as described, quantifying for Chemokine (C-C motif) 20 CCL20 according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Luminex Flexmap 3D workstation; Millipore, Billerica, MA).

**Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.** CCL20 in cell culture media was measured per the manufacturer’s instructions (R&D Systems).

**RNA Sequencing Experiments**

Colonic crypts were isolated by removing and flushing the colon, opening it longitudinally, rinsing, and incubating at 4°C in 1.5 mmol/L EDTA in PBS followed by shaking for 1 minute. Crypts then were filtered through 75-μm mesh, centrifuged, and the liquid was aspirated. From this pellet, RNA was prepared as described and then processed using a TruSeq Stranded messenger RNA (mRNA) sample preparation kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA). For mouse colon, 32–37 million 51-base pair, single-end reads were generated per sample. For IMC S4 colonocytes, 26–50 million 75–base pair, paired-end reads were generated per sample. For mouse colonoids, 50–72 million 75–base pair, paired-end reads were generated per sample. Reads were mapped to the mouse genome mm10 using TopHat-2.1.0, uniquely mapping 86%–95% single-end reads to the genome, depending on the study. The number of reads that fell into annotated genes were counted using samtools-1.3.1 and HTSeq-0.5.4p5. Count-based differential expression analysis was performed using edgeR 3.4.2.

Data files were uploaded to the MIAME (Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment) database, accession number GSE100082. Pathways and upstream regulators were analyzed using IPA software (Qiagen, Inc, https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/products/ingenuity-pathway-analysis).

**Statistical Analyses**

Results in cell lines were compared by 2-tailed t tests. Results in colonoids were compared by 2-way analysis of variance. Frequency of SMAD4 loss in human tumors was analyzed by the chi-square test with Yates correction. Flow cytometry results were analyzed by 2-tailed t tests with Holm–Sidak correction.

**Ethics Statements**

All animal work was performed with approval from the Vanderbilt Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. All human tissue was acquired de-identified with approval from the Vanderbilt Institutional Review Board or the Memorial Sloan Kettering Institutional Review Board.

### Table 1. Primers Used for Quantitative Reverse-Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction

|   | Primer 1 | Primer 2 |
|---|----------|----------|
| **Mouse genes** | Ccl20 | GCTGCTTTGATGTCAGTGCT | TGTCAGGAGGCAACAGCTCG |
|   | Cxcl5 | TGTCAGGAGGCAACAGCTCG | AGCTTTTGTGTGACTGCCC |
|   | Il18 | CAAACCTTCCAATCTCTTT | TCTCTGAGTGAGCGCAAGA |
|   | Il18bp | AGCTTTGCTGAGGTTTAGAG | TGGCAACAGCTGTTGCT |
|   | Il18 variant 1 | CACCGCTCTGAGGAATAT | TGCAACAGCTGTTGCT |
|   | Il18 variant 2 | GCAGGCGGAGGCTTGTGT | GAGCTCTTGTGTTGACATG |
|   | Il18 variant 3 | CTGCTCCAAGATGCTGAGA | CTGCTTTGTGTTGACATG |
|   | Smad4 | CATTCCACGCTGACATTTC | ATCAAGCTGACTCTCTGAGC |
|   | Pmm1 | GGTCTGGCTCTGACTCTGAA | CTCAAGCTGACTCTCTGAGC |

**Human genes**

|   | CCL20 | GGTCTGGCTCTGACTCTGAA | CTCAAGCTGACTCTCTGAGC |
|   | CXCL5 | GGTCTGGCTCTGACTCTGAA | CTCAAGCTGACTCTCTGAGC |
|   | PMM1 | GGTCTGGCTCTGACTCTGAA | CTCAAGCTGACTCTCTGAGC |
Figure 1. SMAD4 prevents tumorigenesis after DSS-induced colitis. Top: Diagram of treatment strategy. Smad4CreERT2, Smad4fl/fl, or SMAD4+ control mice were injected with tamoxifen (Tam) or vehicle (veh). One month later, mice were placed on 3 rounds of DSS as indicated. One, 2, or 3 months after the last DSS, mice were analyzed histologically for tumor development. Bottom: Number of tumors observed in Smad4CreERT2, Smad4fl/fl, or SMAD4+ control mice at indicated times after DSS.

Results

Smad4 Loss Predisposes to Colitis-Associated Carcinoma

We previously published that tamoxifen-treated Ck19CreERT2 Smad4fl/fl (Smad4CreERT2) mice had loss of the SMAD4 protein in approximately 20% of colon crypts by 1 month after tamoxifen treatment although not affecting Smad4 expression in stromal cells. In the current study, we induced chronic inflammation in the colon of either Smad4CreERT2 or SMAD4-positive mice (either vehicle-treated Ck19CreERT2 Smad4fl/fl or tamoxifen-treated Smad4fl/fl mice) by 3 short repeated cycles of treatment with DSS, after which the mice were followed up according to the protocol shown (Figure 1). After these 3 rounds of DSS, chronic inflammation was maintained for up to 3 months without further DSS treatment regardless of Smad4 expression (Figure 2A–D). In 78% of Smad4CreERT2 mice, macroscopic invasive adenocarcinomas of the distal colon and rectum developed by 3 months after completion of DSS treatment, but tumors were not observed in any identically treated SMAD4+ control mice (Figure 1). For a greater efficiency of recombination, we also examined tamoxifen-treated Lrig1CreERT2 Smad4fl/fl (Smad4Lrig1) mice that had greater than 90% recombination in mouse colon (Figure 3). One hundred percent of these mice developed similar cancers in the distal colon and rectum (Figure 1). Alcian blue staining showed extensive mucin secretion in invading glands (Figure 2E) and the tumor cells were uniformly negative for SMAD4 protein by immunohistochemistry (Figure 2F).

Colonic carcinomas were observed at 2 months after DSS in 78% of Smad4CreERT2 mice (Figure 1). Although too small to detect by gross observation, they were detected histologically and already were invading into the submucosa (Figure 2G and H). At 1 month after DSS, only 1 of 8 Smad4CreERT2 mice had an adenocarcinoma and its location adjacent to a large diverticulum suggested that it may have been exposed to inflammation for longer than 1 month.

SMAD4 Loss Is Highly Associated With Human Colitis–Associated Carcinoma

Because of the link in our mouse model between Smad4 mutation and inflammation-induced carcinoma, we investigated whether SMAD4 loss was associated with carcinoma arising in the setting of inflammatory bowel disease. We examined SMAD4 protein expression by immunohistochemistry in CRCs from 27 UC patients and 52 sporadic CRC patients and found that UC-associated carcinomas were more likely to lack detectable SMAD4 (P < .001). Thirteen of 27 (48%) UC-associated carcinomas were negative for SMAD4 in the tumor epithelium (Table 2 and Figure 4). This is in contrast to 19% (10 of 52) of spontaneous CRC cases that were negative for SMAD4 (P < .02). To understand the temporal relationship of loss of SMAD4 expression with tumor progression, we also examined SMAD4 immunostaining in both low-grade and high-grade dysplasia associated with UC. We found that SMAD4 loss was infrequent in low-grade dysplasia (1 of 29 cases; 3%) but was more common in high-grade dysplasia (3 of 10; 30%), suggesting that SMAD4 loss occurs late in tumor progression. This number of high-grade dysplasia cases is too low to draw firm conclusions, but warrants future studies on the timing and function of SMAD4 signaling in progression to CAC.

Loss of Smad4 Expression in Mouse Colonic Epithelium Activates an Inflammatory Gene Signature

To understand the role of SMAD4 in regulating colitis-associated events, we examined alterations in gene expression that are associated with Smad4 loss. Isolated colonic epithelium from Smad4Lrig1 and from SMAD4-positive control mice (either Lrig1CreERT2 Smad4fl/fl mice receiving vehicle or Smad4fl/fl mice treated with tamoxifen) was analyzed by RNA sequencing. By using cut-off limits of 1.5-fold change in expression and a false discovery rate...
(FDR) of 0.01 or less, 911 genes were up-regulated in colonic epithelium by loss of Smad4 and 1293 genes were down-regulated. The 244 genes that were up-regulated at least 2-fold (Supplementary Table 1) or the 428 genes that were down-regulated at least 2-fold (Supplementary Table 2) were analyzed by ingenuity pathway analysis. Genes up-regulated by Smad4 loss were associated significantly with multiple pathways, approximately half of which (19 of 40) are inflammation-related (Supplementary Figure 1). Notably, genes that were down-regulated by

Figure 2. Invasive mucinous carcinomas similar to human CAC arise in Smad4<sup>ΔCK19</sup> mice exposed to DSS-induced damage. (A–D) Three months after DSS, control mice show chronic inflammation but no tumorigenesis. Colon from (A) 1 age-matched mouse that received no DSS and from (B–D) 3 SMAD4<sup>+</sup> mice that were treated with 3 rounds of DSS and then followed up for 3 months before analysis. Note increased stroma between epithelial crypts of DSS-treated mice. (E–N) Smad4<sup>ΔCK19</sup> mice treated with three rounds DSS and analyzed three months later except as indicated. (E) At 3 months after DSS, Alcian blue shows mucinous cystic tumors invading through the muscularis propria. (F) Tumors are SMAD4-negative (arrowheads) and a nearby normal crypt (arrow) is SMAD4-positive (brown). Note that apical surfaces of mucinous cysts sometimes trap antibodies nonspecifically. (G and H) H&E shows tumors forming 2 months after DSS. Although too small to detect macroscopically, tumors already are invading through the muscularis mucosa (mm). (I) Labeling with antibodies to Ki67 (brown) indicate the proliferative nature of tumors. (J) Invading tumor cells are largely phospho-RelA positive (brown), indicating active nuclear factor-κB signaling. (K) Many tumor cells also are phospho-STAT3 positive, indicating active Janus kinase/STAT signaling. (L) β-catenin protein (brown) was not detected in nuclei. (M–P) DSS-induced tumors in Smad4<sup>ΔCK19</sup> mice are morphologically similar to human CAC. H&E of (M and N) mouse CAC tumors or (O and P) human tumors. Luminal surfaces (L) are marked for orientation. mm, muscularis mucosa; mp, muscularis propria. Scale bars: 100 μm.
Smad4 loss were associated with only 2 inflammation-associated pathways (of a total of 68), but were associated with many metabolic pathways (Supplementary Figure 2). Genes down-regulated by SMAD4 loss also included direct SMAD targets such as Id1, Id2, Id3, Smad7, Cdkn1a, Cdkn1d, and Thbs1, validating the functional loss of SMAD activity. Among genes regulated by Smad4 loss, there was no obvious correlation with WNT target genes. Of 33 WNT target genes detected, 6 were up-regulated significantly by Smad4 loss, 5 were down-regulated significantly, and 21 showed no significant difference. These data led to the hypothesis that SMAD4-mediated signaling is an epithelial suppressor of inflammatory responses in the colon.

Gene pathway analysis identified 84 inflammatory response genes that were up-regulated by Smad4 loss in colonic epithelial cells (Supplementary Table 3). Upstream master regulators of genes up-regulated by Smad4 loss include a number of chemokines and cytokines and their receptors (Supplementary Table 4), whereas down-regulated genes correlated with few chemokine/cytokine regulators and more metabolic regulators (Supplementary Table 5). Gene Set Enrichment Analysis confirmed this association of Smad4 loss with up-regulation of inflammation-associated genes (Figure 5). Genes involved in inflammation, IL6/Janus kinase/STAT3 signaling, TNF/nuclear factor-κB signaling, interferon α signaling, and interferon γ signaling were over-represented significantly in up-regulated genes with a FDR less than 0.05 (Figure 5A-E). Among the genes up-regulated after Smad4 loss were a number of chemokines and cytokines (Figure 5F), suggesting that SMAD4-mediated signaling limits expression of epithelial-derived inflammatory mediators under homeostatic conditions.

Regulation of Some Chemokines by the Smad4 Pathway Is Cell Autonomous and Counteracts Induction by Proinflammatory Cytokines

To investigate whether the chemokines up-regulated by Smad4 loss were regulated directly by this pathway or were downstream of other inflammatory responses that may have been activated in vivo, we isolated conditionally immortalized cell lines from mice bearing the Smad4fl/fl alleles in the immortomouse background (IMC546/11 cells). These cells then were treated with adenoviral vectors

Table 2. Frequency of SMAD4 Loss in Colorectal Cancers

| Tumor status | Sporadic CRC | CAC | UC dysplasia low grade | UC dysplasia high grade |
|--------------|--------------|-----|------------------------|------------------------|
| SMad4+       | 42           | 14  (9 F, 5 M) | 28 (17 F, 11 M) | 7 (3 F, 4 M) |
| SMad4-       | 10           | 13* (6 F, 7 M) | 1 (M) | 3 (1 F, 2 M) |

F, female; M male.
*P < .001.
expressing Cre recombinase to derive clones that were deleted for the Smad4 gene. As in mouse colon in vivo, mRNA expression of Ccl20 was up-regulated by loss of Smad4 in both IMC\textsuperscript{S4null} clonal lines, but mRNA levels of other chemokines/cytokines up-regulated in vivo did not change (Cxcl5, Il18, and Il1rn) (Figure 6A) or were not detected (Ccl8, Il18bp, and Il34).

Lack of response to Smad4 loss could be owing to little or no endogenous activation of SMAD4-mediated pathways. Therefore, we treated IMC\textsuperscript{S4fl/fl} cells (Smad4-expressing)

Figure 4. Examples of SMAD4 protein in (A–C) CAC and (D–F) sporadic CRC human tissues. In various tumors, SMAD4 protein was detected in (A and D) nuclei, (E) cytoplasm without nuclear detection, or (B, C, and F) was not detected in the tumor cells although SMAD4 routinely was detected in surrounding stromal cells. Scale bars: 100 μm.

Figure 5. SMAD4 represses inflammatory gene signatures. mRNA was isolated from Smad4\textsuperscript{ΔLrig1} or SMAD4+ control mice and sequenced. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis was performed based on a gene list prerranked using log(Pvalue) for genes up-regulated and -log(Pvalue) for genes down-regulated after Smad4 loss. Gene sets enriched with genes up-regulated by loss of Smad4 include (A) inflammatory response; (B) TNF signaling via nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB); (C) interferon-γ response; (D) interferon-α response; and (E) IL6/Janus kinase (JAK)/STAT signaling. (F) Chemokine and cytokine genes repressed by SMAD4. Fold induction indicates level in Smad4\textsuperscript{ΔLrig1} colon relative to control. For each group, n = 3 biological repeats (performed on 3 separate days). CPM, counts per million.
with TGFβ1 or vehicle for 24 hours and determined the effect on the chemokines/cytokines that were identified as up-regulated in vivo after Smad4 loss. We found that TGFβ1 treatment inhibited expression of Ccl20, Cxcl5, Il18, and Il1rn (Figure 6B), whereas Ccl8, Il18bp, and Il34 remained undetectable in the cultured colonocytes. Similar results were seen in the YAMC conditionally immortalized colonocyte line except that Il1rn also was not detected (Figure 6C).

We further confirmed that loss of SMAD4 expression correlated with increased CCL20 expression in human
colorectal cancer–derived tumors growing in 3-dimensional culture conditions. Two tumoroid lines were examined that had similar mutations in APC, TP53, and KRAS, but 1 retained SMAD4 expression while the other had a D536Y point mutation, eliminating SMAD4 production. The SMAD4 null line had increased CCL20 mRNA as compared with the SMAD4-positive line (Figure 6D). To ensure that this difference was not caused by unknown mutations that may have varied between the lines, a separate SMAD4-positive tumoroid line was depleted for SMAD4 using CRISPR/Cas-mediated excision of the SMAD4 gene. An 80% reduction of SMAD4 protein resulted in a significant increase in CCL20 mRNA (Figure 6D).

In mouse colon, we validated that CCL20 protein was increased significantly in colonic mucosa when Smad4 expression was lost in Smad4<sup>−/−</sup> mouse colon, consistent with the earlier-described increase in Ccl20 mRNA (Figure 6E). Although the increase in protein was relatively modest, it should be noted that these mice only lose expression of Smad4 in approximately 20% of colonic crypts.

Some chemokines such as CCL20 are up-regulated by inflammatory signaling pathways, raising the question of whether or not TGFβ1 or BMP2 could block induction by cytokines TNF and IL1β, or endotoxins such as LPS. IMC<sup>54R/1</sup> colonocytes were treated with TGFβ1 and/or BMP2 for 6 hours, followed by addition of TNF (Figure 7A). TNF alone induced Ccl20 up to 40-fold in a concentration-dependent manner, but BMP2 partially blocked and TGFβ1 almost completely blocked this induction. The induction of Ccl20 by TNF and its repression by TGFβ1 were reflected in increased and decreased levels of CCL20 protein, respectively (Figure 7B). TNF also induced expression of Cxcl5 and Il1rn, and this induction also was blocked by TGFβ1 pretreatment (Figure 7C). In human FET-1 CRC cells, TNF induced expression of both CCL20 and CXCL5 and co-treatment with TGFβ1 blocked that induction (Figure 7D). LPS induced Ccl20 and Cxcl5 by 20- to 30-fold, and pretreatment with combined TGFβ1 and BMP2 blocked that induction (Figure 7E and F). Similarly, IL1β induced Ccl20 expression in IMC<sup>54R/1</sup> cells as much as 50-fold in a concentration-dependent manner, and pretreatment with TGFβ1 and to some extent BMP2 inhibited that induction (Figure 7G). We also examined Ccl20 expression in mouse colonoids derived from crypts of wild-type mice and cultured in a 3-dimensional matrix. As in immortalized cell lines, TNF induced expression of Ccl20 9.68 ± 1.36-fold in colonoids and addition of TGFβ1 and BMP2 blocked that induction (Figure 7H). These combined data suggest that, in colonic epithelium, the SMAD4 pathway inhibits expression of some chemokines and cytokines in a cell-autonomous manner independently of effects from surrounding immune cells or from microbiota.

We next asked whether TGFβ1 and BMP2 treatment could extinguish Ccl20 induction after TNF treatment because this effect would have important clinical implications. YAMC cells were treated with TNF or vehicle for 24 hours followed by TGFβ1 or vehicle in addition to TNF for the indicated times. By 4 hours of TGFβ1 treatment, the Ccl20 level in the presence of TNF was reduced to the level seen with no TNF treatment (Figure 8A). In IMC<sup>54R/1</sup> cells, which have a higher induction of Ccl20 by TNF, TGFβ1 and BMP2 similarly were able to extinguish the TNF-mediated induction of Ccl20 (Figure 8B). Similar results were obtained in mouse colonoids (Figure 8C). Taken together, these data support that TGFβ and BMP inhibit specific chemokine and cytokine responses induced by proinflammatory cytokines and LPS in colon epithelial cells.

### Smad4 Loss Increases Macrophage Infiltration in Mouse Colon

Multiple chemokines were up-regulated by Smad4 loss in mouse colon epithelium (Figure 5). Therefore, we used flow cytometry of the lamina propria to determine if there was a concomitant alteration in immune cell infiltrate when Smad4 was lost. We found that loss of Smad4 specifically in the epithelium led to an overall increase in CD45<sup>+</sup> cells in the surrounding tissue (Figure 9A and B). This overall 4-fold increase in leukocytes was reflected in significant increases in CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells, Gr-1<sup>high</sup>/CD11b<sup>+</sup> neutrophils, Gr-1<sup>low</sup>/CD11b<sup>+</sup> monocytes, Gr-1<sup>−</sup>/CD11b<sup>+</sup>/F4/80<sup>−</sup> dendritic cells, and Gr-1<sup>−</sup>/CD11b<sup>+</sup>/F4/80<sup>+</sup> macrophages (Figure 9C). When these cell populations were normalized to the number of CD45<sup>+</sup> leukocytes, no specific alteration in any 1 cell type was observed (Figure 9D), suggesting that the array of chemokines regulated by SMAD4 act broadly on many components of the immune microenvironment.

Although flow cytometry indicated that loss of Smad4 resulted in an overall increase in CD45<sup>+</sup> leukocytes, we also determined if loss of Smad4 altered localization of specific leukocyte populations. The top 2 chemokine genes up-regulated by Smad4 loss were Cxcl5 and Ccl20. Ccl20 encodes a chemokine for lymphoid cells and dendritic cells, although a recent publication indicated that loss of Ccr6, the receptor for CCL20, decreased macrophage infiltration in association with decreased tumor burden in Apc mutant mice. Therefore, we examined macrophage infiltration in the colon of 6 Smad4<sup>Lrig1−/−</sup> mice with increased Ccl20 expression and 6 control mice. We found that Smad4<sup>Lrig1−/−</sup> mice had significantly increased macrophage infiltration compared with SMAD4<sup>+</sup> control mice (Figure 10A–C). CXCL5 is a chemokine for neutrophils. Therefore, we examined neutrophil infiltration in mice with or without epithelial SMAD4. Neutrophils were not abundant in control colons with an average of less than 1 myeloperoxidase<sup>+</sup> neutrophil per crypt. We quantified the total number of neutrophils surrounding the distal-most 100 crypts of each colon. Smad4<sup>Lrig1−/−</sup> mice had a 2.3-fold increase in the number of neutrophils compared with control mice (Figure 10D–F). We also examined neutrophil infiltration in colon tumors with and without Smad4 loss. For Smad4<sup>+</sup> tumors, we examined wild-type mice treated with AOM and DSS. We found that neutrophils were abundant in both AOM/DSS tumors and in Smad4<sup>−/−</sup>/DSS tumors. However, neutrophil localization varied between these different tumor types.
AOM/DSS tumors had large infiltrations of neutrophils around the tumor periphery but few neutrophils within the tumor mass, both within the mucosal layer and in regions of submucosal invasion. **Smad4***/DSS tumors contained numerous myeloperoxidase+ cells throughout the tumor mass rather than being restricted to the tumor periphery (Figure 10G–L). In summary, loss of SMAD4 in colon epithelium significantly increases inflammatory cell infiltration of neutrophils, macrophages, lymphocytes, and dendritic cells into the colonic submucosa. Our data are consistent with a role for SMAD4-mediated signaling as a homeostatic inhibitory regulator of inflammatory signaling in colonic epithelium and associated inflammatory cell infiltration into the submucosa of the colon.

**SMAD-Mediated Signaling Represses Only a Subset of TNF-Regulated Genes**

The ability of the SMAD4 pathway to block TNF-mediated induction of Ccl20, Cxcl5, and Il1rn suggests that this pathway may act as a gatekeeper for induction of other inflammation-associated genes. To determine if...
SMAD-mediated signaling blocks all or specific subsets of TNF-induced genes, we analyzed mouse colonoids treated with or without TNF and TGFβ1 by RNA sequencing using parameters of a FDR of 0.01 or less and fold-change of 1.5 or greater. Treatment of colonoids with TGFβ1 decreased the expression of 1827 genes compared with vehicle control (Figure 11A). TNF treatment alone increased the expression of 54 genes. Co-treatment with TGFβ1 reduced the expression of 19 (35%) of the genes that had been induced by TNF alone. Similar results were obtained with IMC54fl cells in which TGFβ1 and BMP2 together decreased expression of 452 genes (FDR, <0.01; fold-change, ≥1.5) compared with vehicle control, whereas treatment with TGFβ1 and BMP2 before and during TNF treatment prevented the induction of 48 of the 229 (21%) genes induced by TNF alone (Figure 11B). Thus, SMAD4-mediated signaling inhibits expression of a subset of the genes induced by TNF in colonocytes rather than blocking all TNF signaling.

**Discussion**

The colonic epithelium provides an important barrier to prevent the influx of microorganisms into underlying tissues and provides the first line of defense when that barrier is breached through damage or infection. Part of that function is the ability to up-regulate production of chemokines to induce a rapid immune response in the event of damage or infection. The epithelium also increases chemokine production in response to cytokines such as TNF and IL1β produced by immune cells to further amplify the inflammatory response. This epithelial mechanism of activation/amplification could result in inappropriate and uncontrolled inflammation if not tightly regulated. Therefore, it is critical that regulatory pathways exist to prevent inappropriate activation of innate signaling pathways and to suppress inflammatory reactions when infection is cleared and/or barrier function restored. TGFβ is a known mediator of immune suppression in T cells. Tumor-suppressive macrophages or myeloid-derived suppressor cells produce TGFβ, which then activates T-regulatory cells, inducing FoxP3 expression via SMAD binding sites on the FoxP3 promoter.64 FoxP3, another transcription factor, then can up-regulate expression of CTLA4 and other immune-suppressive proteins that inhibit T-effector cells. We have uncovered a novel target of TGFβ-mediated immune suppression. In addition to acting directly on T regulatory cells, TGFβ can signal directly to epithelial cells to suppress expression of chemokines and cytokines that otherwise could exacerbate inflammation.
We found that multiple chemokine/cytokine and other inflammation-associated genes are regulated by TGFβ/BMP signaling in colonic epithelial cells. In mouse colon, loss of Smad4 increased the expression of chemokines for T cells (Ccl20, Il18), neutrophils (Cxcl5), and monocytes (Ccl8), suggesting a broad range of inflammatory mediation. Within this group, Ccl20, is particularly intriguing with known functions in colon inflammation and association with both IBD and colon cancer. Future studies will examine the importance of SMAD4-mediated regulation of CCL20 and these other chemokines in progression to CAC. Similar to our previous work, Principe et al found that broad epithelial expression via a metalloproteinase promoter of a dominant-negative Tgfbri2 transgene resulted in increased adenomas in Apc mutant mice. These mice also had increased numbers of mast cells and macrophages in the tumor microenvironment as compared with Apc mutation alone, supporting a role for TGFβ/SMAD4 signaling in immune suppression.

We have shown a direct role of TGFβ canonical pathway signaling in the repression of CCL20 gene expression in colonic epithelial cells. Interestingly, our results are in contrast to those of Brand et al, who recently reported that TGFβ signaling increases the expression of CCL20 in lung fibroblasts. Our differing observations may be explained by the tissue context and differential responses to specific activating and inhibitory factors that may be found in these 2 distinct cell types. Further studies are ongoing to determine the mechanism by which TGFβ canonical signaling and SMAD4 binding to regulatory elements differ in fibroblasts compared with colonic epithelial cells.

There are many sources of TGFβ and BMP production in the colon that could support homeostasis by inhibiting inflammation in a microenvironment of abundant commensal bacteria and immune cells. All 3 isoforms of TGFβ are expressed in the epithelium of the small intestine and colon, as well as by immune cells as discussed earlier. Multiple BMPs are expressed in either the crypt epithelium or the adjacent stroma, whereas BMP inhibitors are produced largely by the colonic stroma. We found that nuclear SMAD4 (indicative of active TGFβ pathway signaling) is normally abundant throughout the murine colonic gland (Figure 3), indicating sufficient ligand and receptor interaction to activate signal transduction in the normal colon. Loss of Smad4 specifically in the colonic epithelial cells disrupts this homeostatic signaling and results in up-regulation of multiple proinflammatory genes. Thus, interactions among multiple cell and tissue types, including epithelial and immune cells, impinge on this pathway to fine tune the inflammatory response.

The regimen of DSS exposure that we used for C57BL/6J mice does not lead to tumorigenesis without loss of the Smad4 gene in the time course of our studies. However, there are reports that different regimens of DSS can lead to tumorigenesis in other strains of mice. In CBA/J mice, up to 9 rounds of DSS induced invasive carcinoma in 8% of mice after 6 months without any genetically engineered mutations. In Swiss Webster mice, 10% to 25% of mice developed cancer after 4 rounds of DSS and followed up for varying lengths of time. The different observations in those studies as compared with our study may be owing to differences in mouse strain and/or in DSS regimen.

The invasive mucinous tumors that arose in the colons of Smad4<sup>CK19</sup> mice after DSS treatment were reminiscent of the tumors observed in ulcerative colitis–associated colon carcinomas. This observation led us to examine SMAD4 expression in human UC-associated colon cancers in comparison with sporadic colon cancers. We found that 48% of the human UC-associated colon cancers were negative for SMAD4 immunostaining in the cancer epithelial cells, whereas only 19% of sporadic colon cancers were...
Figure 10. Loss of epithelial Smad4 alters the immune microenvironment. (A–C) Sections from colons of Smad4+/+ controls (Smad4fl/fl) or Smad4ΔLrig1 mice were immunolabeled for F4/80 as a marker of macrophages. (A and B) Representative images of F4/80 staining (green) and nuclear counterstain (blue) in (A) control or (B) Smad4ΔLrig1 colons. (C) Quantification of F4/80+ cells in each condition. (D–F) Sections from colons of Smad4+/+ controls (Smad4fl/fl) or Smad4ΔLrig1 mice were immunolabeled for myeloperoxidase (MPO) as a marker for neutrophils. (D and E) Representative images of MPO staining (brown) and nuclear counterstain (blue) in comparable regions of distal colon from (D) control or (E) Smad4ΔLrig1 mice. (F) Quantification of MPO+ cells surrounding distal-most 100 crypts in each condition. (G–L) MPO immunolabeling in Smad4+ tumors from AOM/DSS-treated mice and in Smad4- tumors from Smad4ΔLrig1 DSS-treated mice. Regions shown represent outer edges, central tumor regions, and regions of submucosal invasion, as indicated. Arrows indicate MPO+ cells at the tumor periphery. For each group, n = 5 or 6. *P < .05; ***P < .001 (2-tailed t tests). Scale bars: 100 μm. DAPI, 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole.
negative for SMAD4 immunostaining. Our finding of an increasing rate of SMAD4 loss in high-grade as compared with low-grade dysplasia suggests that this loss may be an important factor in progression from premalignant lesions to invasive malignancy. Our findings of the high frequency of SMAD4 protein loss are somewhat surprising in light of a recent report by Robles et al showing that only 13% of IBD-associated CRCs have SMAD4 gene mutations, compared with the 10% of SMAD4 mutations in the TCGA collection of mostly sporadic CRCs. Therefore, the rate of identified mutations in SMAD4 in either sporadic or IBD-associated cancers does not fully explain the loss of SMAD4 immunostaining that we have observed in 19% of sporadic CRCs and 48% of UC-associated cancers. The higher frequency of SMAD4 protein loss compared with gene mutation in both sporadic and UC-associated carcinomas suggests that there is some other mechanism for silencing SMAD4 protein levels in CRC. Future studies will identify the mechanisms underlying the observed SMAD4 protein loss in UC-associated CRC.

Figure 11. TGFβ1 signaling inhibits expression of a subset of TNF-induced genes. (A) Mouse colonoids were treated with vehicle, 100 ng/mL TNF, and/or 3 ng/mL TGFβ1, as indicated for 24 hours followed by RNA isolation and sequencing. The Venn diagram shows the overlap between genes up-regulated by TNF treatment alone compared with vehicle control (blue), down-regulated by TGFβ1 treatment alone compared with vehicle control (yellow), and down-regulated by co-treatment with TGFβ1 and TNF treatment compared with TNF treatment alone (green). (B) IMCαSMAD4−/− colonocytes were treated with vehicle, 100 ng/mL TNF, 3 ng/mL TGFβ1, or both TNF and TGFβ1 for 6 hours followed by RNA isolation and sequencing. The Venn diagram shows the overlap between genes up-regulated by TNF treatment compared with vehicle control (blue), down-regulated by TGFβ1/BMP treatment compared with vehicle control (yellow), and down-regulated by both TNF and TGFβ1/BMP treatment compared with TNF treatment alone. Numbers indicate the number of genes regulated with FDR of 0.01 or less, fold-change of 1.5 or greater. For each group, n = 3 biological repeats (isolated on 3 separate days).
Loss of a single tumor-suppressor gene rarely leads to carcinogenesis, however, we have found that loss of Smad4 alone is sufficient to initiate inflammation-driven carcinogenesis in the colon. Our observation that chronic inflammation combined with loss of SMAD4 in the adult colonic epithelium is sufficient to drive carcinogenesis seems, on the surface, to be inconsistent with the five-hit hypothesis of colorectal carcinogenesis proposed by Fearon and Vogelstein. However, we suspect that chronic inflammation in the presence of SMAD4 loss results in further genotoxic stress and mutations that drive the carcinogenic process. Future studies will determine whether there are consistent additional genetic lesions that account for the invasive mucinous adenocarcinomas that we have observed and their similarity to those identified in human CAC.
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