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Quantum and classical chaos in kicked coupled Jaynes-Cummings cavities
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We consider two Jaynes-Cummings cavities coupled periodically with a photon hopping term. The semiclassical phase space is chaotic, with regions of stability over some ranges of the parameters. The quantum case exhibits dynamic localization and dynamic tunneling between classically forbidden regions. We explore the correspondence between the classical and quantum phase space and propose an implementation in a circuit QED system.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Jaynes-Cummings (JC) Hamiltonian is the canonical model for atom-light interactions, describing a confined bosonic mode interacting with a two-level system (qubit). This is sufficient to describe a wide range of phenomena in cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED). Systems of coupled JC cavities, the Jaynes-Cummings-Hubbard (JCH) systems, have been suggested for a diverse range of optical applications such as an optical analog for the Josephson junction [1] and Q-switching [2]. Networks of JC systems have also been predicted to exhibit phase transitions [3–5].

Improvements in the realization of photonic cavities in the laboratory have made possible exploration of Jaynes-Cummings systems [6–8] in the strong-coupling regime in a variety of platforms. A current implementation of interest is in circuit QED, where a superconducting optical resonator is capacitively coupled to a Cooper-pair box. This is equivalent to a single cavity mode of the electromagnetic field coupling to a two-level atom. The advantage of circuit QED is that coherence times and atom-field coupling much greater than that can be achieved with visible and near-infrared systems. This makes circuit QED a potential medium for quantum computing, particularly in time-varying systems [15].

We discuss a possible experimental implementation (Fig. 1) in a circuit QED system, compatible with the current state of the art and thus allowing an experimental investigation of quantum chaos effects in a fast developing field. Superconducting stripline cavities coupled to transmons provide a JC coupling well into the strong-coupling regime [6], and the architecture provides a simple means for producing the kicked coupling (κ) through an intermediate qubit [16].

II. MODEL

The JC Hamiltonian, in the rotating wave approximation, is

\[ H_{\text{JC}} = \Delta \sigma^z \sigma + \beta (\sigma^z a + a^\dagger \sigma) \tag{1} \]

with \( \sigma \) (\( a \)) the atomic (bosonic) annihilation operator, \( \Delta \) the atom-photon detuning, and coupling energy \( \beta \), and where we set \( \hbar = 1 \). \( H_{\text{JC}} \) commutes with the total excitation number operator, \( L = a^\dagger a + \sigma^z \sigma \) [17]. Therefore the total excitations in the cavity, \( l \), is a good quantum number.

In the bare basis, the eigenstates are

\[ |+\rangle = \sin \theta_1 |g, l\rangle + \cos \theta_1 |e, l - 1\rangle, \]
\[ |\mp\rangle = \cos \theta_1 |g, l\rangle - \sin \theta_1 |e, l - 1\rangle, \tag{2} \]

where

\[ \tan \theta_1 = 2 \beta \sqrt{l}/(\Delta + 2\chi), \tag{3} \]

\[ H_{\text{JC}} |\pm\rangle = [\pm \chi (l) - \Delta]/2 |\pm\rangle, \tag{4} \]

and

\[ \chi (l) = \sqrt{\beta^2 l + \Delta^2}/4 \]

is the generalized Rabi frequency. Note the \( \sqrt{l} \) dependence in interaction strength. The anharmonic energy spectrum is the source of much interesting behavior: In JC cavities it leads...
to photonic blockade [18, 19], providing an effective photon-photon nonlinearity. In the system under consideration, the incommensurate energies result in dynamic localization, as will be shown in the following.

The hopping term,
\[ K = \kappa (a_1 a_2^\dagger + a_2 a_1^\dagger), \]

(5)
describes an interaction between the two cavity modes which allows photons to move from one to the other with hopping rate \( \kappa \), for example, via evanescent coupling in photonic crystals, or, in the case of circuit QED, capacitive or inductive coupling [20]. In our model the coupling is turned on periodically at times \( t = n T \) for a short duration \( \tau \). Here, \( T \) is the period between kicks and \( n \) an integer. If \( \tau \) is sufficiently short (\( \tau \ll 1/\omega \)), then the interaction can be described by a delta function “kick”:
\[ H = H_1^{\text{JC}} + H_2^{\text{JC}} + \delta_T K', \]

(6)where \( H_1^{\text{JC}} \) and \( H_2^{\text{JC}} \) are the JC Hamiltonians for cavities 1 and 2, and \( \delta_T \) is a periodic delta function with period \( T \), and \( K' = K \tau \).

We also require that \( \tau \gg 1/\omega \), so that the rotating wave approximation is valid.\n
The three dimensionless parameters, \( \kappa \tau, T \beta \), and \( \Delta \beta \), are sufficient to specify the dynamics of \( H \). For simplicity we consider only the quasiresonant case, \( \Delta \sim 0 \), where the key features of the system are most easily elucidated. This makes \( \sin \theta_i = \cos \theta_i = 1/\sqrt{2} \) in Eq. (2).

The coupling term breaks the individual excitation conservation of each JC system, but it commutes with the total \( L = L_1 + L_2 \), and thus we can consider cases of total excitation number individually. For a single excitation, \( L > 1 \) we find rich behavior with signatures of quantum chaos. However, here we confine ourselves to \( L = 2 \) in the quantum case and the semiclassical equivalent. Although the dimension of Hilbert space is just eight, many of the features of quantum chaos are already present, and it is this case which will be most accessible experimentally.

A. Semiclassical dynamics

We derive the classical equations of motion by taking the expectation value of the Heisenberg equations of motion (see, for example, [21]). Between kicks each system evolves separately as
\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \dot{a} \rangle &= \dot{E} = -i \beta S, \\
\langle \dot{\sigma} \rangle &= \dot{S} = i \Delta S + i \beta E S_z, \\
\langle \dot{\sigma}_z \rangle &= \dot{S}_z = 2 \beta i (S^E - S^* E),
\end{align*}
\]

(7)
where \( E \), the electric field, and \( S \), vectors on the Bloch sphere, are now classical quantities. For no detuning the uncoupled equations of motion are equivalent to that of a pendulum with momentum \( E \) and \( S_z = \cos \theta \), the height of the bob. This motion has two constants of motion,
\[
N_i = |E_{1,2}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (S_{i,1} + 1),
\]

(8)
\[
S_z^2 + 4 S^* S = 1.
\]

While this has an analytical solution in terms of elliptical functions, in practice it is easier to numerically integrate.

The kick is given by the map
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
E_1 \\
E_2
\end{pmatrix}_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \kappa' & \sin \kappa' \\
-\sin \kappa' & \cos \kappa'
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
E_1 \\
E_2
\end{pmatrix}_n.
\]

(9)

The kicked hopping leads to nonintegrable dynamics, so that the only constant of motion is now \( N_1 + N_2 = N \). In general this results in a chaotic phase space; however, for some values of \( \kappa \) and \( T \) there will be regions in which the motion is semiregular. These regions are described by KAM (Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser) theory [22]. In an unperturbed system the path in the \( d \)-dimensional phase space in action-angle variables lies on the surface of a \( d \)-torus. If the periods in each dimension are sufficiently incommensurate then the system is confined near a deformed torus for small perturbations. The system becomes increasingly chaotic as the perturbation is turned up, leading to destruction of some tori. The phase space is then a chaotic sea with islands of stability which are topologically separate, from the chaos as well as each other. Eventually the perturbation destroys all these regions and the dynamics becomes fully chaotic.

The centers of stability that survive the longest are usually found around short periodic orbits. In this kicked system, however, there are in general no single-period orbits, making the motion difficult to determine the precise point at which the phase space becomes fully chaotic. However, numerical simulations for the \( N = 2 \) case indicate that for small \( \kappa T \) the most persistent KAM tori are around \( N_{1,2} = \sqrt{2} \sin (\kappa T)^2, N_{2,1} = \sqrt{2} \cos (\kappa T)^2 \) (Fig. 2b). That is, in these four regions of phase space the energy in the system remains localized to a single cavity. As each period \( \kappa T \) is increased these regions become leaky (cantori) and eventually disappear, after which the phase space is fully chaotic.

The value of \( \kappa T \) at which the system becomes chaotic is dependent on \( T \). The period for a small electric field in a cavity is \( 2 \pi T \) when \( \beta T \) is resonant with this the KAM tori are destroyed with much smaller \( \kappa T \). Unlike other kicked systems, this system is still regular for some \( \kappa T \) at the resonances due to the nonlinear nature of the perturbation that each cavity sees. The range of parameters in which this mode occurs is shown in Fig. 3(a), where the destabilizing effect of the resonances can be seen around \( \beta T = 2 \pi n \). We can also consider the
The dynamics of a kicked system can be studied though the eigenstates $\psi_j$ of $U$. On application of $U$ the Floquet states pick up eigenphase $e^{i\lambda_j}$. Thus the problem is equivalent to a time-invariant Hamiltonian. This allows the calculation of the long-term behavior of the system.

The quantum equivalent of KAM tori can be understood as dynamic localization [23]: States which are initially in the localized regions have exponentially suppressed diffusion into chaotic areas of phase space.

If some state $\psi$ is well represented by a small number of basis states, $\psi^I_j$, we may consider $\psi$ to be localized to some degree. This can be quantified with the participation number ($P$) [24]:

$$P(\psi) = \left(\sum_i^d |\langle \psi | \psi^I_i \rangle|^2\right)^{-1},$$

which we have normalized by the total dimension $d$ of the space. $P$ is $1/d$ when $|\langle \psi | \psi^I_i \rangle| = 1$ for some $i$ and 1 when $\psi$ projects evenly onto the $|\psi^I_i\rangle$. One can consider this to be an indication of quantum ergodicity [25].

While $P$ is dependent on the choice of basis (i.e., we can always choose some basis with $\psi$ as a base), comparing the eigenstates of the unperturbed Hamiltonian to the perturbed best represents the degree of mixing [26]. We therefore take the $\kappa = 0$ eigenstates as the basis, and increasing $\kappa$ leads to Floquet states with increasing $P$.

Figure 3(b) shows the average participation number of the Floquet states over a range of $\kappa \tau$ and $\beta T$ for a system with two excitations. We denote the subspace of states with two excitations in the one cavity as $|\psi_I\rangle$, and likewise the states with one excitation in each cavity as $|\psi^I_1\rangle$s. The regions where $P$ is small correspond to states with both excitations in the same cavity being dynamically separated from states with excitations in both cavities (i.e., an approximate symmetry of $U_f$).

The suppression is destroyed by resonances which occur at $T = \frac{\kappa \tau}{\beta} = 4\beta \pi^2, n(1 + \frac{\beta \pi}{2}), n(1 - \frac{\beta \pi}{2})$, which are solutions to

$$\sqrt{2}T = mT, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$  

At these values the phase accrued after each period is 0, and so there is no destructive interference. This implies that it is indeed dynamical localization suppressing dispersion in the system. For example, when $T = \frac{n \beta \pi}{2}$, the states in $|\psi^I_1\rangle$s pick up no relative phase to states with $E = 0$. This removes the interference suppressing transmission into these states and destroys the localization.

In Fig. 3(b) we can see, for the atomic limit, that the dependence of localization on the parameters correspond qualitatively to the semiclassical case, though with important differences. The frequency at which the classical cavities oscillate depends continuously on the energy in the cavity, and in general it is different from the Rabi frequency of the quantum case; these two only coincide in the limit $l \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the locations of resonances are different in the two regimes.

Note also that, in contrast to the classical case, the resonance removes the localization for arbitrarily small $\kappa \tau$. Resonances
in the classical case are not sharp, due to the energy-dependent frequencies.

For time-independent systems, chaos can be studied via the statistics of energy levels; however, in periodic systems, the eigenphases of the unitary operator are not observable. However, the observables of a chaotic system are ergodic. That is, the mean of some observable \( \hat{O} \) over an ensemble of random states is identical to the mean of \( \hat{O} \) over a sufficiently long time, which is experimentally accessible. For a chaotic system, the unitary map \( U_f \) has no symmetries, and so we expect the average state to be no different from a random one chosen with the appropriate measure. Figure 4 shows the long-time mean of some experimentally observable quantities and the mean over random states.

Classically, islands of stability are topologically separated, forbidding transitions between them. Quantum dynamics admits such flow of probability in phase space by a mechanism called dynamic tunneling and has been observed experimentally in a variety of systems [27]. Although this mechanism is distinct from the usual tunneling, as there is no potential barrier to overcome, the system nevertheless moves across classically forbidden regions in phase space.

In the \( \kappa = 0 \) limit there is a twofold degeneracy for all Floquet states due to the \( H^{\text{JC}} \) symmetry. A state with both excitations initially in one cavity is in a superposition of two Floquet states, \( \{ \pm f_2 \} \), which have equal projections onto both cavities and are both in the \( |\psi_i^2\rangle \) subspace:

\[
|\psi\rangle = |\psi_i^2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+f_2\rangle + | -f_2\rangle). \tag{12}
\]

The perturbation breaks the degeneracy, leading to an approximate separation in the eigenphases, \( \phi \). Each kick, the two Floquet states composing \( |\psi\rangle \), is separated by a phase-angle of \( \phi \). After \( \pi/2 \) kicks the phase separation is \( \pi \), and \( |\psi\rangle \) has evolved to the state \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+f_2\rangle - | -f_2\rangle) = |\psi_2^2\rangle \) (i.e., completely in the other cavity). Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the transmission between the two separated localized states for \( \kappa \tau = 0.1 \) and \( \kappa \tau = 0.2 \), respectively. The two excitations in the system oscillate between cavities, though they are strongly localized to the \( |\psi_i^2\rangle \) subspace. As \( \kappa \tau \) increases so does \( \phi \), and the localization to the \( |\psi_i^2\rangle \) subspace decreases.

III. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION

While the effects discussed apply to any implementation of JC systems, circuit QED (cQED) presents itself as one of the most viable platforms due to the large coupling coefficients and long coherence time, relative to other cavity QED systems.

Current experiments in cavity QED, where a transmon is coupled to a resonating microwave cavity, have characteristics which could allow a successful realization of this kicked system. A cQED setup with \( \omega/2\pi = 6.92 \) GHz, \( \beta/2\pi = 347 \) MHz, and coherence time of order 1 \( \mu s \) has been achieved recently [6,7].

The localization transition occurs around \( \kappa \tau \approx 0.1 \) and for the delta-function-kicked approximation to be valid we need the pulse time \( \tau \ll 1/\beta \). For the coupling strengths cited here, this requires a pulse time of \( \tau \approx 10^{-10} \) s and, therefore, \( \kappa \) of order 1 GHz. Between pulses \( \kappa \) must be of the same order as the decoherence rate (i.e., \( \sim 1 \) MHz) such that the dispersion due to the constant intercavity coupling is small over the time of the experiment. Thus a sequence of \( \sim 100 \) kicks could be applied within the coherence time. We have seen that this is long enough to observe dynamic tunneling and localization or delocalization by including the decoherence and dephasing explicitly in the simulation.

The tunable hopping term could be achieved using an intermediate qubit coupling such as in [16,20]. In such schemes the effective coupling is of order

\[
\kappa_{\text{eff}} \sim \beta_{13} \beta_{23} / \Delta_3,
\]

where \( \beta_{13}, \beta_{23}, \) and \( \Delta_3 \) are the coupling strengths of each resonator to the intermediate qubit and its detuning, respectively, and \( \Delta_3 \gg \beta \). This requires the coupling to the intermediate qubit to be significantly greater than the other couplings. The detuning can be controlled \textit{in situ}, allowing the coupling to be switched on and off.

Spectroscopic measurements can be used to determine the final state [8]. Although there will be significant interaction with the environment, the only final states of interest are those that still have two excitations. One can therefore largely remove the effects of atomic relaxation and photon dissipation with a postselection scheme, given a temperature smaller than the characteristic energies of the system. Dephasing terms will still be relevant; however, these are generally ignorable over the time frames considered [6].
The phenomena discussed have been observed in other systems, such as dynamic tunneling and localization in cold atoms [27,28]. Circuit QED allows direct control over many system parameters and direct measurement of the state of the system. This can be used, for example, to study the effect of noise by controlling the detuning parameter in situ.

As circuit QED is proving to be an important field, with a wide range of possible applications, understanding chaotic behavior in these systems will be crucial. An experimental realization of the system seems quite possible, although it is not without challenges, specifically in achieving a sufficiently large intercavity coupling. It would allow the study of the rich behavior that can be expected in coupled Jaynes-Cummings systems and open up new regimes for investigating quantum chaos.

We have presented a simple model which exhibits a transition from localization to ergodicity and dynamic tunneling. Importantly, we see this behavior even for small Hilbert space dimension, and, although interesting behavior can be seen for any number of excitations above two, the lowest case most clearly conveys the aspects we have emphasized. Furthermore, the two-excitation case will most likely be the easiest to implement experimentally. Constantly improving control in circuit QED systems means that it will be possible to study the higher dimensional cases. This could potentially allow a novel means for probing the transition between classical and quantum chaos.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank T. Duty and G. J. Milburn for helpful discussions. A.D.G. acknowledges the ARC for financial Support (Project No. DP0880466).