Exploring Safety Aspects in Dental School Clinics Including Droplet Infection Prevention
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Health-care safety focuses on improving patient’s and worker’s safety in a safe working environment and prevent infection transmission including droplet infections as seasonal influenza and novel coronavirus (COVID-19). Dental health-care personnel (DHCP) are the target of safety measures and are themselves responsible for elimination of preventable harm. Dental schools are expected to demonstrate the model for quality safe care.

AIM: This study aims to achieve high-quality safe dental care at dental clinics, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University.

METHODS: A cross-sectional survey study was conducted at two Dental Outpatient Clinics, Cairo University. Disk review of policies, observation checklists for practices and awareness questionnaires of DHCP were used.

RESULTS: DHCP showed good awareness for most of infection control (IC) and X-ray safety items. However, there are no policies or procedures to control droplet infections in the clinics. The clinics were closed in the current COVID-19 pandemic. There were poor patient safety practices, hand hygiene compliance, and personal protective equipment (PPE) use except for protecting clothes and disposable gloves. Students showed better compliance for patient safety guidelines. Other safety policies were poorly communicated.

CONCLUSION: There should be preparedness plan to deal with any droplet infection outbreak, epidemic or pandemic as COVID-19 in all dental settings. There is a need to initiate dental safety unit in dental schools to implement, communicate, train, and supervise all dental safety practices including infection control.

Introduction

The goal of medical and dental services is to deliver high-quality safe care. Health-care safety focuses on improving patient’s and worker’s safety in a safe working environment.

Dental health-care personnel (DHCP): Academic staff, students, interns, nurses, and workers are the target of safety measures and are themselves responsible for elimination of preventable harm. Everyone is involved in identifying risk and opportunities to make care safer [1].

DHCP are more susceptible to hepatitis b, hepatitis c, herpes simplex, influenza, and a variety of dermatological, bacterial, and mycotic diseases. This is due to repeated blood and saliva exposure and unprotected dental practices [2], [3]. Infection control (IC) guidelines for health care workers include wearing masks, protective eyewear and gloves, as well as instrument sterilization [4]. Training of DHCP on IC practices should be done on regular bases. Early detection and management of potentially infectious persons should be part of facility system [5], [6].

Measures should be done to protect from respiratory infections from diseased patients such as screening for patients with signs and symptoms at the point of entry to the clinics, rescheduling of severely ill patient, educational materials should be in the waiting areas including instruction to limit spread of infection: Cover mouth and nose while sneezing or coughing, use disposable tissues, and hand hygiene. This could help to decrease airborne infections including novel coronavirus (COVID-19) [5], [7].

X-rays are classified carcinogens [8], [9] and impose a potential hazard. Dental X-rays effect depends on film speed; high film speeds have less exposure effect; collimation of the X-ray cone adjusts the X-ray to the film and decreases unnecessary exposure. DHCP should be oriented about X-ray hazards on the patients and on themselves. They should weigh the benefit of an X-ray done to the hazards of X-ray use [8].

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations stated that each clinic should have at least one person trained on first aid kit use and Basic Life Support skills, especially in remote rural areas [9].
Standards for dental care practices [10] and for dental patient safety [11] are available. Improving the whole system in health-care organization will improve patient safety and quality of care delivered [12].

There are six international patient safety goals (IPSGs) that should be fulfilled in any health-care setting: Identify patient correctly, improve effective communication, improve safety of high alert medication, ensure safe surgery, reduce the risk of health care-associated infections, and reducing the risk of harm resulting from falls [12].

University dental clinics carry the responsibility of preparing future dentists who comply with safety measures and spread quality culture.

The goal of this study is to achieve high-quality dental care in a safe environment and eliminate preventable harm to achieve highly reliable dental clinics at Faculty of Dentistry – Cairo University.

Objectives

The objectives of the study were as follows:
1. To explore dental clinic safety regulations.
2. To study dental clinic safety awareness and practices of DHCP.
3. To assess DHCP patient safety awareness and practices.
4. To identify gaps for future safety interventions.

Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional survey was done at two dental clinics, fixed prosthesis clinic (clinic 1) and endodontic clinic (clinic 2) at Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University.

A random sample was obtained from junior dental staff (lecturers, assistant lecturers, and residents), interns and senior dental students (fourth and fifth grades). No sampling was done to nurses and workers, all the 13 of working in both clinics were included. The sample size was estimated to cover 50% of all junior staff, interns, and dental students attending both clinics at the 12 months data collection period. Thirty junior staff and 106 dental students and interns were included from both clinics.

Questionnaires were designed according to job responsibilities and scope of work. Items covering IPSG; IC; personal protective equipment (PPE) availability, training, and use; chemical and blood spill procedures; and X-ray safety.

Three questionnaires were prepared

One for the students and interns, the other was for the staff and the third was for the nurses and workers. Questionnaire items were revised with dental professors and health-care management specialists, modified accordingly, and piloted on a target group.

Checklists

Observation checklist to cover general clinic inspection, handwashing practices, waste and sharp disposal, and PPE use for IC and X-ray, administrative checklist is yes or no checklists that contain items related to policies, standards and protocols, guidelines and procedures, relevant curriculum monitoring and training for undergraduate, interns, and postgraduate dental students.

Data collection was done by the questionnaires and observation on different weekdays and on different times of the day, interrupted by faculty examination periods and vacations.

Questionnaires and checklists were prepared from validated tools [5], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18].

Ethical considerations

Ethical committee approval of Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University (Approval number: 17-1-41). Informed written consent was taken from participants. It included general idea of the study and its aim, the right to participate or withdraw. Confidentiality was maintained. There was no financial incentive.

Statistical analysis

Data were coded and entered using the statistical package SPSS version 21. Tests of significance were used: Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test for qualitative data and independent t-test for qualitative parametric data. Level of significance was considered at p ≤ 0.05.

Results

Male and female students were equally distributed with mean age 22.9 ± 1.47 years. Two-thirds of junior staff were residents. Mean age of staff was 25.54 ± 1.32 years. All the eight nurses and five workers were above 40 with a mean age of 42.5 ± 1.43 years.

Students, under supervision of junior staff, are responsible for direct patient care and instructions.

Safety governance structure is presented by three committees all managed by the Vice Dean of Environment Affairs and Public Services: Emergency and disaster management, IC, and public relations including patients’ complaints. Policies and procedures
for the faculty and its clinics are present since 2013. Accreditation standards were developed in 2015 and were revised in 2019. Copies are said to be available at the vice dean office, but not communicated to DHCP. However, each clinic has internal regulations for IC practices and work regulations inside the clinics, as stated by the head of each department.

No policies, procedures, or actions made to control air born and droplet infections in the clinics. No signs to demonstrate respiratory hygiene, no tissues available, and no masks are offered to coughing patients or basins for hand wash on the waiting areas for either clinic. However, screening is done for patient when entering the clinic and patients with respiratory infection are postoned to new appointment.

IC and X-ray safety are part of the 4th year curriculum. On the 1st week of clinical training, all students receive pre-service training on IC guidelines. Almost all the junior staffs in the two clinics reported receiving training on IC and dealing with sharps. About 90% reported training on using examination gloves, protective clothes, compressed gas safety, and basic life support; only 50% reported training on use of protecting eye wear. Six nurses (75%) and three workers (60%) reported receiving IC training at least once. Same was noticed with PPE training and how to report risk exposure.

By observation, eye goggles and face shields use were limited among both students and junior staff; however, almost all of them wear gloves and protective clothes (Figure 1). Students buy their own PPE, including gloves, face masks, protective clothes, and unit rap.

![Figure 1: Observation of personal protective equipment use among students and staff in each clinic (p = 0.365)](image)

Junior staff and nurses were trained on basic life support (90% and 37.5%, respectively). The poorest trainings reported among all were as follows: Hazardous material handling, emergency spill procedure, compressed gas safety, hazard detection and communication, fire evacuation plan, and managing workplace violence.

Handwashing facilities are available in each clinic: Washing basins and liquid soap. Handwashing steps poster is found near each basin. Handwashing practices take place at several settings; the most common is after examination and after touching patient surroundings (Table 1). On the average, there was no statistically significant difference between students and staff; both presented unsatisfactory practice. However, they differed in washing method; students used soap and water, while staff used alcohol-based hand rub (p = 0.003).

### Table 1: Handwashing practices reported by students and junior staff

| When to do hand wash | Total | Every time | Sometimes | Never | p-value |
|----------------------|-------|------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| **Before**           |       |            |           |       |         |
| Students             | 106   | 31         | 29.2      | 59.7  | 16.0    | 0.179 |
| examination          | Staff | 30         | 12        | 40.0  | 18.0    | 60.0  | 0.00   |
| Students             | 106   | 80         | 75.4      | 22.0  | 20.8    | 4.0    | 3.8    | 0.973 |
| examination          | Staff | 30         | 24        | 80.0  | 6.0     | 20.0   | 0.00   |
| After                | Students | 106  | 44        | 41.5   | 42.0    | 39.6   | 20        | 18.9 | 0.0711 |
| processing           | Staff  | 30        | 18        | 60.0   | 12.0    | 40.0   | 0.00   |
| Before               | Students | 106  | 23        | 21.7   | 55.1    | 28.8   | 26.4   | 0.124 |
| wearing new glove    | Staff  | 30        | 6         | 20.0   | 21.0    | 70.0   | 3.0     | 10.0  |
| After touching       | Students | 106  | 66        | 62.5   | 31.0    | 29.2   | 9.8     | 8.5   | 0.0002 |
| patient              | Staff  | 30        | 6         | 20.0   | 18.0    | 60.0   | 6.0     | 20.0  |
| surroundings         |       |            |           |       |         |
| After unit           | Students | 106  | 11        | 10.4   | 44.1    | 51.5   | 48.1  | 0.008 |
| wrap                 | Staff  | 30        | 6         | 20.0   | 21.0    | 70.0   | 3.0     | 10.0  |
| Mean                 | Students | 106  | 42.5      | 40.1   | 42.17   | 39.8   | 21.5   | 20.1  | 0.180 |
| hand wash            | Staff  | 30        | 12        | 40.0   | 16.0    | 53.2   | 3.8     | 6.7   |

Dental units are not cleaned or disinfected between patients in both clinics. Students are responsible for wrapping dental unit before and after each patient. Not all students are committed for the wrapping the unit before each use in clinic 1. However, they are strictly monitored in clinic 2.

At the beginning of the current COVID-19 epidemic, in January 2020, all students and interns were not allowed to work in the clinics to decrease their potential infection. Only academic staff operates the clinics. Patents were rescheduled to decrease load. For endodontics clinic, no new patients were admitted only follow-up cases were treated. All other clinics were closed except surgery and emergency clinics. This is followed by closure of all clinics after reporting COVID-19 infection in many staff members in May 2020.

All DHCP identified autoclave as the main method for sterilization. Half of the students knew correct minimum autoclave time and temperature. Interns and 5th grade students were better than 4th grade in knowing minimum autoclave temperature (p < 0.001); all junior staff and nurses knew them.

About 41% of students knew that red bags are used for biologically contaminated waste and only 30% knew that black bags are used for non-contaminated waste. How to segregate dental waste was known by 80% of staff. All nurses and workers reported that dental waste is harmful; however, neither was aware of proper waste segregation or chemical/blood spill procedures. The main barriers to waste management claimed by all workers were work overload and lack of monitoring; 60% of them added unavailability of supplies.

Disposal in the sharp box was reported by 97.2% of students, 85% of them use one hand technique in recapping needles (Table 2). Most of the
staff (70%) and all the students and nurses did not know the immediate HIV post-exposure prophylaxes.

Table 2: Practices related to sharps reported by students

| Variable                  | Students (n=106) | p value |
|---------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Post needlestick injury   |                  |         |
| Do nothing               | 15               | 0.001   |
| Make investigations on my own | 46              |         |
| Notify faculty infection control team | 39          |         |
| Notify and make investigations on my own | 6           |         |
| Needle recap              |                  |         |
| I use both hands for recap | 11              |         |
| I use one hand technique  | 91               |         |
| I never recap needles     | 4                |         |
| Sharp disposal            |                  |         |
| Dispose in trashpin       | 3                |         |
| Dispose in sharp boxes    | 103              |         |

Hepatitis B vaccine is given for free for nurses, workers, and junior staff. For students, it is available at low cost. Receiving full dose is highest with full doses among students (81.1%, p = 0.003). No post-vaccination screening is done for all DHCP. All nurses and workers received their vaccines through the faculty (p < 0.001) (Table 3).

Table 3: Hepatitis B vaccination among dental students, junior staff, nurses, and workers

| Variable                      | Students (n=106) | Junior staff (n=30) | Workers (n=5) | Nurses (n=8) | p value |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Received any dose of vaccine  |                  |                     |               |              |         |
| Not vaccinated                | 98               | 7.5                 | 100.0        | 100.0        | <0.001  |
| Doses of vaccine              |                  |                     |               |              |         |
| One dose                      | 8                | 7.5                 | 100.0        | 100.0        | <0.001  |
| Two doses                     | 6                | 5.7                 | 40.0         | 40.0         | 25.0    |
| Three (full doses)            | 6                | 5.7                 | 20.0         | 20.0         | 0.003   |
| Post-vaccination screening    |                  |                     |               |              |         |
| Source of vaccine             |                  |                     |               |              |         |
| Faculty of dentistry          | 8                | 8.2                 | 33.3         | 3            |         |
| National vaccination          | 85               | 86.7                | 18           | 18           |         |
| Private hospital              | 5                | 5.1                 | 0            | 0            |         |

Most of students and staff knew that dental X-ray is harmful; digital X-ray and high-speed film decrease exposure. Lead apron and shields were the main protective measures reported by students and staff with significant statistical difference (p < 0.001). Thyroid collar to protect patient was known only by staff with significant statistical difference (p < 0.001). The main protective measures reported by students and decrease exposure. Leaded apron and shields were known by staff (p < 0.001) (Table 4). Both students and junior staff seldom used name tags or ID. The junior staff says that they detect patient fall risk (73.3%) and report safety concerns (80%).

Fire detection and extinguishers were available; functioning and maintained each month in either clinic. However, none of the staff or the workers reported training on fire safety program or fire evacuation plan. None of the workers knew chemical spill procedure, hazard detection, and communication or compressed gas safety. About fifth of the staff and 15% of students knew location of fire extinguishers. Three quarters of nurses said that they knew fire extinguishers places and 87.5% of them reported training on proper hazardous material handling.

There is generally poor knowledge of the faculty role in dealing with health-related work hazards including managing workplace violence.

Discussion

The goal of medical and dental services is to deliver high-quality safe care. Although both share basic principles, yet dental care has its specific issues [18]. The present study tried to investigate the degree of knowledge of the rules that would avoid the risk of professional diseases and work accidents in a sample of outpatient clinics, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University.

The study covered senior students and interns, junior staff, nurses, and workers. The results revealed discrepancies in awareness and practice among the different categories. In general, compliance to safety measures is not satisfactory. Inconsistencies between DHCP reflect the absence of uniformity in communicating standards, inadequate training, and ineffective supervision and monitoring.

Hand hygiene is a basic IC measure. Compliance to protocols was not satisfactory among students and the staff supervising them with no statistically significant difference. Other studies show better compliance among staffs who are supposed to be the educators and supervisors for students [19], [20]. Other studies also show poor hand hygiene compliance, in Faculty of Dentistry Mansoura – Egypt, handwashing...
was 27% before gloving and 72% when hands are visibly soiled [21]. In Africa, 25% wash hands before gloving [22] and in Italy, the professors hand hygiene compliance was 78.4% while residents and graduated students did not reach 50% [23].

Despite the availability of free gloves for staff but not for students, more students wear gloves. By observation, 96.2% of students in clinic 1 and 98.1% in clinic 2 wear gloves compared to 80.0% and 93.3% of staff in clinic 1 and 2, respectively. Our results are similar to studies in Yemen and Brazil [24], [25]. The mean compliance for changing gloves was more among staff (staff 77.5% and students 68.2%). Changing gloves were more practiced before patient examination. These results are better than the previous studies in Iran (25%) [25].

Wearing protective clothes by almost all staffs and students are the main self-protection practice in either clinic. This high compliance rate is similar to the previous studies conducted in Saudi Arabia and UAE [26]. However, wearing face masks and goggles are very low. Similar rates are reported in Yemen [27]; higher rates were found in Zagazig University, Egypt (73.9%) [27], and King Saud University, Saudi Arabia (70%) [26]. This poor utilization of eyewear reflects low level of awareness about the probability of disease transmission through aerosols and blood splashes.

According to OSHA guidelines to protect workplace from COVID-19 transmission: Proper hand hygiene, wearing PPE including face shield and N95 mask, practicing cough etiquette, and providing tissues and trash pins in the workplace are mandatory to stop transmission. This should be done hand by hand with proper environmental disinfection, decrease number of workers, and facilitate sick leave for whoever ill or has an ill family member, using highly effective air filters and increase ventilation rate at workplace [7]. These regulations were deficient in the clinics. The staffs were infected and faculty of dentistry clinics was temporary closed in the current COVID-19 pandemic.

Self-protection from needle bricks is practiced by 85% of students who use one-hand technique for recapping. However, 97.2% only use sharp boxes for disposal. This percent is similar to that reported by Malaysian students (99.6%) [28]. Still 2.8% violate the rules by direct disposal in the trash pin and even the sharp boxes are sometimes thrown in the red bags resulting in workers complaint from being injured.

Self-protection is also achieved by hepatitis B vaccination. Most of the students (92.4%) and staff (90%) received hepatitis B vaccines. Even though the faculty provides vaccines for staff only, full doses were received by 81.1% of the students and 60% of staffs. These results are better than Sana’a University (71% vaccinated and 50% completed the doses) [27]. King Saud University reported 98.9% vaccinated and 79.8% completed the doses; while in Brazil, 90.8% were vaccinated and 80.3% completed the doses [26]. Only 20% of nurses and workers received three doses, though they may be highly exposed.

Most of students and staffs knew that dental X-ray is harmful; digital X-ray and high-speed film decrease exposure. X-ray safety and PPE are part of the 4th year radiology curriculum and are included in staff pre-service training. Almost all students avoid exposure by asking the patient to hold the film during radiography and using film hangers during processing. These results are better than those reported by Swapna et al. in India [15]. The need for self-protection during X-ray procedures is recognized by 85.8% of students, but only 60% of staffs; among those less than half of the students and one-third of staff use PPE. This is still better than the Indian study [15].

Patient safety is as important as DHCP safety. In this respect, students reported better responses regarding ensuring right patient, right diagnosis, and right site before starting patient treatment. On the other hand, staff acts better in detecting patient fall risk and reporting safety concerns. There were no policies, procedures, or training on IPSG for either students or staff.

Proper waste disposal is crucial for safety within the clinic and the external environment too. All workers and nurses agreed that dental waste is harmful if not disposed properly. This result is surprisingly higher than that found in Sudanese study (87.2%) [15]. Despite training, neither workers nor nurses knew the difference between red bag and black bags, although 75% and 100% of them, respectively, reported training on waste disposal. A study conducted in Khartoum found that waste segregation practice was poor among workers although they have the knowledge [16]. The main barriers reported in the present study are deficiency in supplies, work overload, and lack of monitoring.

There is poorly communicated policies and procedures for fire safety, hazardous material handling, or reporting risk exposure in both clinics. Nurses and workers are the main DHCP to be exposed to violence, but they are not trained to manage workplace violence. There is fragmentation of authority of safety measures in dental clinics. Each item is supervised by a committee without appropriate communication. There are gaps to compliance to JCI and OSHA standards for dental clinics [10], [13].

Limitations of the study

Although some of data collection techniques depended on observation, which is unbiased, yet most of data are obtained through questionnaire. If the respondents know the correct answer, they will give it, even though they are not really practicing.
Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, this study was the first to address the comprehensive term of dental clinic safety and to investigate its awareness and practices among dental doctors, students, workers, and nurses at dental school clinic in Egypt and the Middle East.

Dental clinic safety is not only infection control and X-ray safety. It is all aspects that provide safe environment and practices for all workers and patients in the dental clinics including infection prevention and control, X-ray safety, PPE, patient safety, and environmental safety aspects: Fire, compressed gas, chemical, electricity, air, and water safety.

DHCP showed poor knowledge in safety items except for most of IC and X-ray items. Poor airbone safety measures, hand hygiene compliance, PPE use, vaccination coverage, and patient safety practices were observed among the staff and the students.

Although junior staff is considered the trainers and supervisors for student, yet their knowledge and practice are not up to the standard.

There is a need to increase awareness of air quality, ventilation methods, and appropriate PPE use and infection control practices that prevent transmission of droplet infection among all dental health care workers. There should be a preparedness plan to deal with any droplet infection outbreak, epidemic or pandemic as COVID-19 in all dental settings.

There is a need to initiate dental safety unit for Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University. The unit will serve to overcome fragmentation of efforts in communication, application, and monitoring of safety issues.

The unit should set evidence-based standards that provide safety and quality governance framework for dental practices and services in dental clinics, train DHCP and students, and supervise and monitor for continuous improvement.
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