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Abstract: The Altiplano-Puna Volcanic Complex (APVC) of the Central Andes is an arid region with extensive volcanism, possessing various geological features comparable to those of other solar system objects. The unique features of the APVC, e.g., hydrothermal fields and evaporite salars, have been used as planetary analogs before, but the complexity of the APVC presents a wealth of opportunities for more analog studies that have not been exploited previously. Motivated by the potential of using the APVC as an analog of the volcanic terrains of solar system objects, we mapped the mineralogy and silica content of the APVC up to ~100,000 km² in northern Chile based on a combination of remote sensing data resembling those of the Moon and Mars. The band ratio indices of Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager multispectral images and mineral classifications based on spectral hourglass approach using Earth Observing-1 Hyperion hyperspectral images (both in the visible to shortwave infrared wavelengths) were used to map iron-bearing and alteration minerals. We also used Hyperion imagery to detect feldspar spectral signatures and demonstrated that feldspar minerals can be detected on non-anorthosites, which may influence interpretations of feldspar spectral signatures on Mars. From the Terra Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer Global Emissivity Dataset, we derived the silica percentage of non-evaporite rocks within errors of approximately 2–3 wt.% SiO₂ for those in the 60–70 wt.% range (about 8 wt.% errors for the 50–60 wt.% range). Based on an integrated assessment of the three datasets, we highlighted three regions of particular interest worthy of further field investigation. We also evaluated the benefits and limitations of all three remote sensing methods for mapping key minerals and capturing rock diversity, based on available samples and existing geological maps.
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1. Introduction

Planetary analog studies are comparisons of properties of areas on Earth that have similar characteristics as extraterrestrial bodies in order to gain insights about solar system objects and beyond. Analogs on Earth are often the only opportunity for researchers to conduct rigorous investigations with a suite of advanced instruments, test models, and verify remote sensing observations with ground-truth experiments; thus, planetary analog studies have been one of the crucial methods for understanding extraterrestrial bodies. As new missions to various solar system objects by multiple countries progress this decade [1–4],...
new questions that cannot be answered by spacecraft alone are expected to arise, and to respond to them, planetary analog studies will continue to play an important role.

Many planetary analog studies with a variety of objectives have been conducted in various parts of the world since the beginning of the modern exploration of extraterrestrial bodies. One of the most often-used terrain types for analog studies are volcanic fields, as large proportions of lunar and Martian surfaces are volcanic in nature [5–7]. In recent years, multi-institutional programs, such as NASA Desert Research and Technology Studies (Desert RATS), Solar System Exploration Research Virtual Institute (SSERVI), and Planetary Science and Technology from Analog Research (PSTAR), have made considerable progress at volcanic analog sites including the Hawaiian Islands and lava fields in the western United States (e.g., Craters of the Moon National Monument, Idaho) [8–12]. These large programs used the analog sites for scientific investigations, technology developments, and operations testing. Other analog studies focused on the geochemical aspects of volcanic fields, mainly alterations in minerals and rocks. For example, at the Atacama Desert of northern Chile, Ruff et al. [13] investigated the silica deposits of the El Tatio hydrothermal field, which closely resembled observations made by the Mars Exploration Rover. Flahaut et al. [14] and Yant et al. [15] compared the Solfatara volcanic crater in central Italy and Kilauea’s December 1974 Flow in Hawaii, respectively, to fumarolic alterations on Mars. For astrobiology, alteration and other extreme environments are relevant for life-supporting conditions on extraterrestrial bodies, and altered and evaporite areas such as those in the Central Andes and Australia have been used for astrobiology analog studies [16,17]. The cold environments found in Antarctica are also studied often for gully geomorphology comparisons with their Martian counterparts [18].

The Altiplano-Puna Volcanic Complex (APVC) [19] of the Central Andes is one of the volcanic analog sites that contains a variety of evaporite deposits, alteration minerals, and a diverse range of mafic-to-silicic volcanic features suited for comparisons to the surfaces of the Moon and Mars. Comparisons of the APVC to the Moon and Mars in recent works (e.g., Ruff et al. [13], Aerts et al. [17], Flahaut et al. [20,21]) gave insights into major questions of these bodies (e.g., silica deposits and salars on Mars, dome formation on the Moon), and they have re-emphasized the strong potential of the APVC to better understand the Moon and Mars. There is an interest in the use of the variety of features available at the APVC for further analog studies; however, this region is still not fully characterized and has unexplored areas due to harsh conditions for field expeditions. For this reason, we used a set of orbital multispectral and hyperspectral images to map the minerals of the APVC to survey areas of interest for ongoing and future analog studies and field expeditions. We used Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI) visible near-infrared (VNIR; 0.5–0.9 \( \mu \)m) and shortwave infrared (SWIR; 1.2–2.5 \( \mu \)m) bands, Terra Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) thermal infrared (TIR; 8–15 \( \mu \)m) bands, and Earth Observing-1 (EO-1) Hyperion VNIR-SWIR bands to simulate a set of instruments available on lunar and Martian orbiters. This set of instruments covers wide ranges of wavelength, spectral resolution, spatial coverage, and spatial resolution, and the instruments complement each other’s strengths and weaknesses.

The Altiplano-Puna Volcanic Complex

The Atacama Desert of northern Chile, the driest non-polar desert on Earth, is a natural laboratory to study the diversity of volcanic geoforms. Given the relative scarcity of vegetation and clouds and the low atmospheric water content during the four seasons of the year, it is very plausible to obtain detailed insights from orbit. The Atacama Desert has thus been proposed as an excellent analog environment for some planetary bodies due to its comparable climate, volcanic structures, and lava flow morphologies (e.g., Flahaut et al. [20]). A wide range of volcanic edifices has been found, particularly in the modern volcanic arc of the Central Andes, including stratovolcanoes, calderas, monogenetic cones, and domes, which are frequently very well-preserved due to the hyperarid climate of the region [22,23]. Remarkable compositional heterogeneity (from ca. 48 to 80 wt.% SiO\(_2\)) defines Central
Andean volcanism, with andesites and dacites being the most common erupted materials at many volcanoes [24]. Basalts and basaltic andesites are rare and concentrated only in a few areas of the main arc and the Altiplano-Puna back-arc [25]. Rhyolite lavas, on the other hand, are unusual in stratovolcanoes, but ignimbrite deposits related to the APVC are commonly dacitic-to-rhyolitic in composition [26]. The APVC is one of the largest ignimbrite provinces in the world, covering a surface area greater than 70,000 km² [19]. Our study area covers the western boundary of the APVC between 21°30’S, 67°00’W and 24°30’S, 69°00’W (Figure A1a). Within this area, common volcanic centers include Miocene-to-Quaternary andesitic-to-dacitic stratovolcanoes (e.g., Carcanal, San Pedro, Lascar), low-silica monogenetic edifices (e.g., La Poruña scoria cone; El Negrillar), and small-volume silicic domes (e.g., Chanka) that overlie more voluminous Miocene rhyodacitic-to-rhyolitic ignimbrite sheets. This area also includes closed basins infilled by groundwater (e.g., Lake Tuyajto) and/or covered by non-consolidated-salts-to-hard-saline-crusts that may form extensive evaporite deposits (e.g., Salar de Atacama).

Hubbard and Crowley [27] demonstrated a mineral mapping methodology using a combination of EO-1 Advanced Land Imager (ALI), EO-1 Hyperion, and Terra ASTER imageries in the VNIR and SWIR wavelengths at the APVC. This study succeeded in qualitatively identifying and mapping the distribution of alteration and evaporite minerals at Azufre Volcano (21°47’S, 68°13’W) and Salar de Ascotan (21°34’S, 68°16’W), although it stopped shy of deriving quantitative abundances and analyzing outside of the two example areas.

Motivated by this work, we used similar instruments to map the abundance and distribution of minerals and bulk-rock properties of mainly volcanic surfaces useful for ongoing volcanology field campaigns [28–30], analog studies of the Moon and Mars [21,31,32], and future studies.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI)

Landsat 8 began operations in 2013 and is one of the latest satellites in the Landsat series [33]. Its OLI sensor possesses 9 spectral bands in the VNIR-SWIR (0.4–2.5 µm; Figure 1). The OLI operates in the push-broom imaging method and has a spatial resolution of 30 m/pixel. Landsat 8 satellite flies in sequence with EO-1 (prior to decommission) and Terra satellites, known as the morning constellation [34]. Further specifications of the OLI can be found in Irons et al. [33].

We downloaded three Landsat 8 OLI level 2 reflectance data from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) EarthExplorer (file names available in Table A1). Bands 1–7 are appropriate bands for mineralogical analysis; Bands 8 and 9 are panchromatic and cirrus (cloud) sensors, respectively, and not used in this study. Landsat 8 also possesses a thermal infrared sensor, but it was not used in this study because it only contains two bands, which were mainly intended to study surface temperatures. For analysis using TIR wavelengths, we use ASTER data.

The three selected images were combined using the mosaic tool of the ENVI 5.6 software from L3Harris Geospatial (https://www.l3harrisgeospatial.com/Software-Technology/ENVI, accessed on 24 January 2022). To remove shadows and other dark areas from analysis, pixels with reflectance less than 0.1 in all bands 1–7 were masked. Band ratio 1/6 was computed to capture the high spectral contrast of snow and water, and pixels with values greater than 1.0 were masked. The selected images were almost free of clouds; a small cloud and its shadow found at 23°67’S, 67°47’W was manually masked. Pixels with Band 2 reflectance greater than 0.19 were also masked as they corresponded to salars, which are not the focus of this study.

First, we applied the decorrelation stretch (DCS [35]) technique to obtain a general idea of the variabilities within the scene (Figure A1c). Next, band ratios based on Rockwell et al. [36] were computed to map iron-bearing and alteration mineral groups (Table 1). Ferric iron 1 index captures the stronger absorption of blue/green light with
respect to orange/red light that arises from charge transfer, and it is widely used to map ferric iron minerals [37]. Ferric iron 2 index also captures blue/green absorption but also crystal-field absorptions in the near-infrared. This index is more sensitive to minerals with greater abundance of ferric iron than the ferric iron 1 index [37]. In the ferrous/coarse-ferric iron index, the broad absorption characteristic of ferrous iron is captured. Additionally, oxidizing basalts leaving behind hematite in volcanic flows and cinder cones are captured [37].

The clay-sulfate-mica index captures the diagnostic absorption bands in the SWIR of these minerals. The band ratio 5/4 is an index of green vegetation, and this is subtracted from the 6/7 band ratio in order to reduce the effect of green vegetation (although green vegetation is sparse at the APVC). Landsat 8 OLI has only one band in the 2.0–2.5 µm range; thus, the distinction among clay, sulfate, and mica was not made; this was instead performed with the more appropriate hyperspectral EO-1 Hyperion. The iron-sulfate mineral index captured the strong absorption of light in Band 1 (0.43–0.45 µm) in comparison to Band 2 (0.45–0.51 µm), which is not a characteristic of other iron-bearing minerals (oxide and hydroxide minerals [36]). As with index 4, the green vegetation band ratio was subtracted from the 2/1 band ratio to further mitigate effects of vegetation.

Table 1. Landsat 8 OLI band ratios from Rockwell et al. [36] used to characterize iron-bearing and alteration minerals.

| Index Number | Index Name                                      | Formula ¹ |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1            | Ferric iron 1 “redness”                         | 4/2       |
| 2            | Ferric iron 2                                   | 4/2 × (4 + 6)/5 |
| 3            | Ferrous iron, coarse-grained ferric iron, fire ash ² | (3 + 6)/(4 + 5) |
| 4            | Clay, sulfate, mica, marble ²                   | 6/7 – 5/4  |
| 5            | Iron sulfate                                   | 2/1 – 5/4  |

¹ Numbers correspond to Landsat 8 OLI band numbers (see Figure 1). ² Fire ash and marble are rarely found at the field site and, thus, omitted from interpretation.

Figure 1. Overview of selected spectral bands of remote sensing instruments for the Earth, Moon, and Mars mentioned in this work in VNIR-SWIR and TIR ranges. Blue, orange, and gray colors correspond to instruments for the Earth, Mars, and the Moon, respectively. For the Earth component, the atmospheric transmittance computed with MODerate resolution atmospheric TRAnsmission (MODTRAN) for one-way US standard 1976 model [38] is also shown. Band numbers for Landsat 8 OLI and Terra ASTER TIR are indicated at the bottom.

2.2. Terra Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER)

ASTER, one of the five instruments of the satellite Terra, was built by Japan Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry in collaboration with NASA and launched in 1999, mainly for studying land surfaces [39]. ASTER has 15 spectral bands that span the 0.5–12 µm wavelength range, covering the VNIR, SWIR, and TIR. Bands 10–14 (8.1–11.7 µm; Figure 1)
are TIR and have a spatial resolution of 90 m/pixel [39]. We used the TIR bands to retrieve a bulk-rock property, i.e., silica percentage, as a complement to mineralogy derived from VNIR and SWIR data of Landsat 8 OLI and EO-1 Hyperion. The VNIR-SWIR bands of ASTER were not used in this work because the mineralogical information that can be derived from them is redundant to or, at least, less informative than the OLI+Hyperion combination in terms of spectral resolution, wavelength coverage, spatial resolution, and spatial coverage (one minor benefit is 15 m/pixel resolution, but only in bands 1–3; other bands have 30 m/pixel resolution).

From USGS EarthExplorer, we downloaded ASTER Global Emissivity Dataset (GED; files names available in Table A1). ASTER GED was released by NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory and is the most extensive and accurate emissivity product of the Earth’s land surface to date [40]. Emissivity is derived from the mean of cloud-free ASTER observations during the 2000–2008 period, and this dataset covers all land surfaces with spatial resolution of 100 m/pixel (coarser resolution data are also available [40]).

First, we applied the DCS technique to obtain a general idea of the variabilities. Surfaces of water and water-bearing minerals (e.g., gypsum) in salars appeared in green color with this DCS band combination (R:14 G:12 B:10; Figure A1d), and they were masked and removed from further analysis. Parts of salars that were not masked with this approach were later captured using the Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM) tool [41] in ENVI, and these pixels were also masked.

In the TIR, emissivity spectra of silicate minerals generally exhibit major absorption features in the 8–13 µm wavelength range because vibrational frequencies of Si–O bond in silicate mineral structures match the frequencies of electromagnetic energy in this wavelength range [42–44]. These absorption bands are known as reststrahlen bands, and the minima of reststrahlen bands occur at progressively longer wavelengths as the SiO$_2$ content of a rock decreases [42–48]. This relationship allows the derivation of silica content of rocks if the wavelength of the reststrahlen band minima can be retrieved from TIR emissivity spectra.

We used the technique of Hook et al. [45], who determined a quantitative relationship between silica percentage and minimum position of reststrahlen bands from the ASTER spectral library [49,50], to determine the silica percentage. Minima of reststrahlen bands were determined by fitting a four-term Gaussian function

\[
 f(\lambda) = A_0 \exp(-z^2/2) + A_3
\]

(1)
to emissivity spectra, where \(\lambda\) is the wavelength, \(A_0\) is the amplitude, and \(A_3\) is the offset. In the exponent, \(z\) is expressed as

\[
 z = (\lambda - A_1)/A_2
\]

(2)
where \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) are the wavelength position of the minimum value and the area enclosed by the function, respectively. For the ASTER dataset without ultramafics (which is appropriate for the APVC) the relationship between weight percent silica \((y)\) and wavelength of minimum of the Gaussian function \((A_1)\) is known as

\[
 y = -16.203 A_1 + 213.97
\]

(3)
with \(r^2\) of 0.7615 [45].

The computations involved in silica percentage derivation from ASTER GED were accomplished with a Python routine implemented as part of the CRPG spectral processing software, Mineral Recognizer [51]. Spectra with no distinguishable reststrahlen bands were not processed.
2.3. Earth Observing-1 (EO-1) Hyperion

Hyperion, which was decommissioned in 2017, contained 220 spectral bands in the 0.4–2.5 \(\mu m\) wavelength range (Figure 1), and it had a spatial resolution of 30 m/pixel [52]. Hyperion products are long, narrow strips of hyperspectral cubes with standard scene width and length of 7.7 km and 42 km, respectively (the length can extend up to 185 km) [52]. This makes Hyperion complementary to Landsat 8 OLI, which has lower spectral resolution but greater spatial coverage.

Six Hyperion radiance images available on USGS EarthExplorer were downloaded (Table A1) and converted to reflectance using the ENVI FLASH module [53–55]. The selection of Hyperion images was first guided by areas of mineralogical interest determined from the Landsat and ASTER maps and further constrained by the availability and quality of images. The derived reflectance spectra were noisy with occasional spikes in spectra. This was expected as Hyperion data have comparatively low signal-to-noise ratio (150:1 to 60:1 for Hyperion but above 200:1 for Landsat 8 OLI [33,52,56]). We used the Mineral Recognizer denoising algorithm to eliminate spikes using a combination of percentile and z-score techniques [51].

The selected Hyperion images were processed to retrieve mineral classes using the spectral hourglass approach [57]. Data were first transformed using the Minimum Noise Fraction (MNF) technique [58]. To separate useful signals from noise, the first 18 MNF bands were kept for Inverse MNF and further processing, which is a consistent number of bands with Hubbard and Crowley [27]. Next, Pixel Purity Index [59] was computed, and pixels with potential endmember spectra were identified. Upon manual inspection, obvious spectra of non-minerals (e.g., snow, image edges) were removed. For the remaining endmember spectra, Spectral Analyst Tool based on the USGS Spectral Library version 7 Beckman group [60] was used with SAM and Spectral Feature Fitting (SFF) techniques [41,61] to identify minerals or mineral groups. The Spectral Analyst tool is sensitive to the input wavelength range; thus, we searched for consistent matches after applying it to the 0.4–2.5 \(\mu m\), 0.4–1.2 \(\mu m\), and 2.0–2.5 \(\mu m\) ranges. Next, pixels in the scene matching the selected endmember spectra were identified using the SAM technique with the default threshold angle of 0.1. Similar or redundant SAM classes were merged based on geospatial and spectral similarities and irrelevant SAM classes (e.g., shadows) were omitted upon manual inspection.

Additionally, we specifically searched for feldspar minerals, as the geological interpretation of remote sensing detection of feldspar minerals in the VNIR-SWIR on Mars is a currently debated topic [31,32,62–65]; thus, a comparable detection of feldspars at the APVC is eminently beneficial. The VNIR-SWIR reflectance spectra of feldspar minerals are mostly featureless, however, for those containing minor amounts of iron, a broad absorption band center around 1.25 \(\mu m\) can be detected (e.g., Adams and Goulaud [66], Cheek and Pieters [67]). Capturing this broad absorption feature requires Hyperion’s spectral coverage and resolution. We computed the spectral index of feldspar minerals in a similar way as for the index BD1300 in Viviano-Beck et al. [68] as

\[ B = 1 - \frac{R_c}{(a \times R_s + b \times R_l)} \]  

where \(B\) is the feldspar band depth index, \(R_c, R_s,\) and \(R_l\) are the reflectance at the center, the short wavelength edge, and the long wavelength edge of the band, respectively. Wavelength parameters \(a\) and \(b\) are derived as

\[ b = \frac{\lambda_c - \lambda_s}{\lambda_l - \lambda_s} \]  
\[ a = 1 - b \]

where \(\lambda_c, \lambda_s,\) and \(\lambda_l\) are the wavelength of the center, the short edge, and the long edge of the band, respectively. For reflectances \(R_c, R_s,\) and \(R_l,\) means were taken from reflectances at several wavelengths for each of \(\lambda_c, \lambda_s,\) and \(\lambda_l\) to reduce noise (exact wavelengths are
indicated in Table A2). Useable Hyperion spectral bands were limited around the typical feldspar band center (around 1.25 µm); therefore, we actually computed two values of $B$ for each spectrum using two different $\lambda_c$ that together captured the feldspar band. We then computed the mean of the two preceding $B$ values to derive the final value for $B$. In order to reduce false positives, first and second derivatives of the reflectances were computed to eliminate spectra without distinguished concave shapes centered around 1.25 µm. Shadows and other dark materials with low spectral reflectance (<1.5) were also removed from analysis. The computations of spectral indices were performed using Mineral Recognizer.

3. Results

The main objective of this study was to conduct a mineralogical survey of the non-evaporite surfaces of the APVC, to identify potential areas of interest to use as planetary analogs, and to support geological field expeditions in subsequent works. We determined three regions of interest that showed potential and had at least some availability of Hyperion imagery. In this process, mineral and rock characterizations capitalize on the advantage of synthesizing multiple datasets and techniques that are different and complementary to one another (Section 2). The assessment of the utilization of multiple remote sensing datasets is itself noteworthy, and it is discussed in Section 4.2.

3.1. Cerro Carcanal and Vicinity

3.1.1. Alteration Minerals

The first area of interest is Cerro Carcanal and its vicinity (Figure 2; 21°57′36″S, 68°25′48″W to 22°7′48″S, 68°17′24″W), which is a stratovolcano from the Miocene age [69]. Landsat index 4 showed elevated levels of the clay-sulfate-mica mineral group, and especially high index values were found at the summit of Carcanal volcano (Figure 3d). The Hyperion hyperspectral analysis captured the various shapes of the spectral bands in the 2.0–2.5 µm region and indicated that the summit contains argillic alteration minerals, mainly montmorillonite, alunite, and kaolinite (Figure 4). Alunite and kaolinite minerals typically indicate advanced argillic alteration states more than montmorillonite [70]; thus, pixels with spectra closely matching alunite and kaolinite in the 2.0–2.5 µm region were grouped as “High Alteration” to distinguish them from the other pixels matching montmorillonite, which were classified as “Alteration.” The clay-sulfate-mica material at the summit seems to have flown down the volcano slope, and the “High Alteration” class became scarce further from the summit (Figure 3f). The flows continued beyond the coverage of the Hyperion imagery and made particularly large deposits to the south of the volcano (e.g., 68°31′12″S, 22°11′60″W; Figure 2d). Landsat index 5 showed that moderate amounts of iron-sulfate minerals were also found at the summit (Figure 3e), but the iron-sulfate index values were comparatively low in relation to those of the nearby volcanoes (Figure 2e), such as Paniri (22°3′34″S, 68°13′48″W) and Cerro del Leon (22°8′0″S, 68°6′36″W).

3.1.2. Iron-Bearing Minerals

Especially high values of the Landsat ferrous/coarse-ferric iron mineral group were found sparingly at the summit, slope, and base of Carcanal volcano (Figure 3c). The Hyperion analysis found that the spectra of these pixels highly matched hematite spectra (Figures 3f and 4), and these pixels were classified as “High-Iron-Bearing” class. One of these features, known as El Rojo III scoria cone (22°0′35″S, 68°18′46″W), has been geochemically analyzed before and was identified as a deeply weathered and oxidized basaltic-andesite deposit containing approximately 8.35 wt.% FeO [71]. This is consistent with Hyperion’s spectrum-based identification as hematite and high Landsat ferrous/coarse-ferric iron mineral index. Other pixels high in Landsat ferrous/coarse-ferric iron mineral group and Hyperion “High-Iron-Bearing” class are expected to be of similar materials. In particular, outside of the Hyperion imagery coverage, Landsat index 3 highlighted a large
deposit of this material to the south of Carcanal volcano (22°8′60″S, 68°24′36″W; Figure 2c). These features, however, were not highlighted by high values of ferric iron index 1 and 2 (Figure 3a,b).

Figure 2. (a) Landsat index 1 “ferric iron 1 redness”. (b) Landsat index 2 “ferric iron 2”. (c) Landsat index 3 “ferrous and coarse ferric iron”. (d) Landsat index 4 “clay, sulfate, and mica”. (e) Landsat
index 5 “iron sulfate”. (f) Estimated silica percentage from ASTER imagery. Three regions selected in this study are indicated with rectangles. For Landsat index maps (a–e), pixels with negative values were masked. For ASTER silica percentage map (f), pixels corresponding to salars were masked (Section 2.2).

Figure 3. Maps of Cerro Carcanal and vicinity (21°57′36″S, 68°25′48″W to 22°7′48″S, 68°17′24″W). (a) Landsat 8 OLI ferric iron 1 “redness” band ratio index. (b) Landsat 8 OLI ferric iron 2 band ratio index. (c) Landsat 8 OLI ferrous/coarse-ferric iron band ratio index. (d) Landsat 8 OLI clay-sulfate-mica band ratio index. (e) Landsat 8 OLI iron-sulfate band ratio index. (f) EO-1 Hyperion SAM classification result. “Alteration” and “High Alteration” groups are based on montmorillonite and alunite/kaolinite minerals, respectively. “High-Iron-Bearing” group is based on high hematite spectral signature. (g) Estimated silica percentage from ASTER imagery.

3.1.3. Silica Percentage

Overall, the ASTER silica percentage map (Figures 2f and 3g) indicated that Carcanal volcano is enriched in silica compared to the volcanoes in its immediate surroundings, San Pedro (21°53′17″/S, 68°23′32″W), San Pablo (21°52′41″/S, 68°21′49″W), and Paniri, which suggests that Carcanal volcano experienced greater alteration (silicic and argillic) than its neighbors. This is consistent with the fact that Carcanal is older (Miocene) than others (Quaternary) [69] and thus has had more time for alteration to advance.

3.2. ALMA/Toconao Village Region

3.2.1. Alteration Minerals

The second region of interest lies in the area near Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) and Toconao village (Figure 2; 22°53′0″/S, 68°0′0″W to 23°18′0″/S, 67°39′0″W). Cerro Toco (22°57′36″/S, 67°46′12″W) showed high Landsat clay-sulfate-mica and iron-
sulfate mineral index values (Figure 5d,e). Hyperion analysis also indicated the presence of the argillic alteration minerals, montmorillonite, kaolinite, and alunite (Figure 5f).

3.2.2. Iron-Bearing Minerals

This region was characterized by large extents of moderately-high-to-very-high iron-bearing minerals. The Landsat ferrous/coarse-ferric iron mineral index highlighted a few volcanic centers (23°5′24″S, 67°46′12″W; 23°10′12″S, 67°40′48″W; 23°14′24″S, 67°45′0″W), which were further found to possess hematite and hornblende with Hyperion hyperspectral analysis and classified as “High-Iron-Bearing.” Similar to the iron-rich basaltic-andesite features at the Cerro Carcanal area, these iron-bearing features are likely oxidized basaltic-andesite volcanic deposits as well. The Landsat ferric iron 1 “redness” index (Figure 5a) was not high. The geological map (Figure A1b) indicates that these features are Pliocene volcanic centers with andesite, dacite, basalt, and basaltic-andesite composition, indicating younger age compared to the similar features at Cerro Carcanal.

The Hyperion hyperspectral analysis also identified pixels with hematite spectra but to a lower degree of agreement than the “High-Iron-Bearing” class, which were labeled as “Iron-Bearing” (Figure 5f). The Iron-Bearing pixels corresponded to moderately high Landsat ferrous/coarse-ferric iron index values (Figure 5c), and on the geological map, these regions were indicated as ignimbrites and pyroclastic flow deposits (Figure A1b). Furthermore, Landsat ferric iron index 1 and 2 also showed moderate-to-very-high values. Not all parts of the ignimbrites and pyroclastic flow deposits exhibited overall high iron-bearing mineral spectral signatures, however. The ignimbrites in the northern part of this location (23°0′36″S, 67°48′36″W) showed low values of Landsat ferric iron 1 and 2 index and very low values of ferrous/coarse-ferric iron index, and hematite (and other iron-bearing mineral) spectra were not identified with the Hyperion analysis.

3.2.3. Silica Percentage and Feldspar Minerals

The parts of ignimbrites and pyroclastic flow deposits that have high iron-bearing mineral spectral signatures were mostly more consistent with higher silica percentages than the parts with low iron-bearing mineral spectral signatures (Figure 5h). However, “High-Iron-Bearing” basaltic-andesite volcanic deposits exhibited low silica percentages (Figure 5h).

High values of Hyperion feldspar mineral index were found at Dacite Dome D of the Purico Complex (22°56′60″S, 67°43′12″W; Figure 5g [71,72]). For this dome, the ASTER silica percentage map indicated mostly average values (~62 wt.% SiO₂) but sometimes
ranged up to nearly 70 wt.% SiO₂. Low feldspar index values were also found in the Purico ignimbrite located to the south of El Cerrillo dome.

Figure 5. Maps of the region surrounding ALMA and Toconao Village (22°53′0″S, 28°0′0″W to 23°18′0″S, 67°39′0″W). (a) Landsat 8 OLI ferric iron 1 “redness” band ratio index. (b) Landsat 8 OLI ferric iron 2 band ratio index. (c) Landsat 8 OLI ferrous/coarse-ferric iron band ratio index. (d) Landsat 8 OLI clay-sulfate-mica band ratio index. (e) Landsat 8 OLI iron-sulfate band ratio index. (f) EO-1 Hyperion SAM classification result. “Alteration” and “High Alteration” groups are based on montmorillonite and alunite/kaolinite minerals, respectively. “Iron-Bearing” and “High-Iron-Bearing” groups are based on moderate hematite and high hematite/hornblende spectral signatures, respectively. (g) EO-1 Hyperion feldspar band depth index. (h) Estimated silica percentage from ASTER imagery.

3.3. Lake Tuyajto/Salar de Talar Region

3.3.1. Alteration Minerals

The third area of interest covers the vicinity of Lake Tuyajto and Salar de Talar (Figure 2; 23°48′36″S, 67°47′60″W to 24°13′12″S, 67°26′24″W). A similar assemblage of mineral groups to that seen at the previous two sites was found here. The volcanoes Incahuasi Sur (24°1′12″S, 67°31′12″W), Tuyajto (23°51′0″S, 67°35′60″W), and Cordón Purichare (24°8′60″S, 67°49′48″W) were highlighted by very high Landsat clay-sulfate-mica mineral index values (Figure 6d). They also showed high iron-sulfate mineral index values (Figure 6e), which is similar to the ALMA/Toconao village area but different from the Cerro Carcanal area. The flow deposits on the slopes and base of the volcanoes also showed high clay-sulfate-mica and iron-sulfate index values. The Hyperion analysis indicated that these areas contain montmorillonite, kaolinite, and alunite minerals. The flow materials of the
Tuyajto volcano showed strong spectral signatures of alunite and kaolinite, suggesting advanced argillic alteration to greater extents (Figure 6d,f).

**Figure 6.** Maps of the region near Lake Tuyajto and Salar de Talar (67°47’60”S, 23°48’36”W to 67°26’24”S, 24°13’12”W). (a) Landsat 8 OLI ferric iron 1 “redness” band ratio index. (b) Landsat 8 OLI ferric iron 2 band ratio index. (c) Landsat 8 OLI ferrous/coarse-ferric iron band ratio index. (d) Landsat 8 OLI clay-sulfate-mica band ratio index. (e) EO-1 Hyperion SAM classification result. “Alteration” and “High Alteration” groups are based on montmorillonite and alunite/kaolinite minerals, respectively. “Iron-Bearing” and “High-Iron-Bearing” groups are based on moderate hematite and high hematite/hornblende spectral signatures, respectively. (g) Estimated silica percentage from ASTER imagery.

### 3.3.2. Iron-Bearing Minerals

Volcanic deposits with very high Landsat ferrous/coarse-ferric iron indices and strong Hyperion hematite spectral signatures interpreted as basaltic-andesite deposits at the previous two locations were also present (“High-Iron-Bearing” class, e.g., 23°58’48”S, 67°43’48”W). The Hyperion analysis also determined a class with a moderate hematite spectral signature (labeled “Iron-Bearing”). As observed in the ignimbrites and pyroclastic flow deposits of the ALMA/Toconao village region, the “Iron-Bearing” class showed moderate-to-very-high values of Landsat ferric iron 1 and 2 index values. On the geological map, “High-Iron-Bearing” deposits corresponded to ignimbrites, volcanic centers, and partially eroded volcanic centers Miocene to Pliocene in age (Figure A1b). The “Iron-Bearing” class corresponded largely to ignimbrites and volcanic centers but also to partially eroded volcanic centers and secondary sedimentary deposits (e.g., alluvial). As seen
previously, the Landsat ferrous/coarse-ferric iron index did not highlight the “Iron-Bearing” mineral class, but it was highlighted in the ferric iron 1 and 2 indices (Figure 6a–c).

4. Discussion
4.1. Evaluation of Mapping Results
4.1.1. Iron-Bearing and Alteration Minerals

The distribution of iron-bearing and alteration mineral groups highlighted by the Landsat band ratio indices corresponded to the mineral maps made from the ALI-ASTER fused images by Hubbard and Crowley [27] at the area around Azufre Volcano (21°47′0″S, 68°13′0″W). The argillic alteration minerals represented by Landsat index 4 (clay-sulfate-mica) showed high abundance values at Azufre Volcano and the flow deposits surrounding the volcano (Figure 2d). These areas also showed high abundance values of index 5, iron sulfates. The ferric and ferrous iron mineral indices (index 1, 2, and 3; Figure 2a–c) also highlighted areas indicated as hematite in Hubbard and Crowley [27]. A spectrum of a sample of red scoria at El Rojo III (22°0′5″S, 68°18′46″W) showed consistency in general shape and ferrous/coarse-ferric iron index with the Landsat spectrum from a pixel containing these coordinates (Figure 7a), and Spectral Analyst confirmed the presence of hematite. The geochemical analysis at CRPG of El Rojo III confirmed nearly 10 wt.% Fe₂O₃, which was the highest iron oxide content among 155 APVC silicate rocks compiled from published data and CRPG measurements (Supplementary Material).

![Figure 7](image-url)

**Figure 7.** (a) Comparison of remote sensing spectra of El Rojo III location to a spectrum acquired in the laboratory of El Rojo III red scoria sample. (b) Image of the sample used for spectral measurement. (c) The view of El Rojo III scoria cone in the field (22°0′5″S, 68°18′46″W). Minor amounts of white minerals in (c) are alteration minerals, which contributed to the discrepancy of spectra in (a), in which the sample lacks this white mineral.

The Hyperion SAM classification map at Cerro Carcanal for iron-bearing and alteration minerals also indicated consistency with the results of Hubbard and Crowley [27], who
identified hematite, aluminous clays, and alunite (mixed). Despite the low signal-to-noise ratio of Hyperion, the distinct absorption features of alunite, kaolinite, and montmorillonite in the 2.0–2.5 $\mu$m wavelength region were captured (Figure 4) and allowed further constraining of the mineral groups within the alteration mineral group, which was not possible with the Landsat clay-sulfate-mica index. Within the alteration mineral group, we distinguished between pixels dominated by montmorillonite and by alunite/kaolinite, indicating argillic alteration or advanced argillic alteration, respectively. The spatial correlation of the alteration minerals identified in the Hyperion analysis was in visually good agreement with the Landsat clay-sulfate-mica index (Figures 3d,f, 5d,f and 6d,f). Similarly, for iron-bearing minerals, the distinction between the strong and weak presence of iron was possible with Hyperion; pixels with a strong hematite spectral signature were classified as “High-Iron-Bearing” class (Figures 3f, 5f and 6f), and pixels with a weaker hematite spectral signature were classified as “Iron-Bearing” class (Figures 5f and 6f). Both of these iron-bearing mineral classes showed high spatial correlation with the Landsat ferrous/coarse-ferric iron index (Figures 3c,f, 5c,f and 6c,f).

4.1.2. Silica Percentage

Overall, the silica percentages derived from the ASTER GED highlighted roughly two main groups: secondary sedimentary deposits and primary volcanic deposits/centers high and low in silica, respectively. The absolute error in ASTER GED is reported as 1.24% [40,73], and using this, we estimated that uncertainties in the weight percentage of silica, calculated as in Section 2.3, were generally 0.5 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ or lower for over 90% of pixels in both categories (high and low silica content).

We gathered the published silica contents of samples of the APVC and also measured the silica content of the available APVC rock samples at the CRPG geochemistry laboratory for ground-truth comparison to the silica content derived from the ASTER GED (Appendix D and the Supplementary Material). In total, the locations of 251 samples corresponded to the ASTER silica content pixels, but four mafic samples with silica content of 50% $\text{SiO}_2$ or below were removed from further consideration (247 total). Assuming that the samples are representative of the surface seen from orbit, the ASTER GED silica content estimations were within a 6 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ difference for about two-thirds of the samples and within a 3 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ difference for 40% of the samples (Table 2). We found that there was a trend in the absolute differences as a function of silica content, according to which samples above approximately 60 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ had lower differences than those below 60 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ (Figure 8). Two groups, with high (group 1) and low (group 2) silica content, were distinguished using the k-means clustering method. Group 1 had a center point at 63 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ with a 2.5 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ difference and group 2 had a center point at 57 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ with an 8.1 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ difference (Figure 8). Approximately 90% of the measurements in group 1 and group 2 were below 5 and 12 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ difference, respectively. The silica content derived from ASTER GED was lower than that of the samples (i.e., underestimation) for 15% of the samples, and furthermore, these underestimations only occurred in group 1, which accounts for one-quarter of the group 1 samples.

Table 2. Summary of the differences in silica content derived from ASTER GED using Equation (3) and measured in geochemical laboratories. Bold numbers highlight the percentage of best estimations.

| $\text{SiO}_2$ Abs. Diff. | 0–3 | 3–6 | 6–9 | 9–12 | +12 |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|
| % of samples             | 40  | 28  | 18  | 10   | 3   |
| no. samples at CRPG      | 5   | 3   | 2   | 1    | 2   |

Based on the ground-truth comparison, we believe that silica content estimation with ASTER GED is reasonable for deriving the silica content of APVC rocks within roughly 2–3 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ of the true value if they are above 60 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ (group 1). For a study dealing with rocks below 60 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$ (group 2) where an average difference of 8.1 wt.%
SiO$_2$ is not sufficient, we suggest a simple qualitative approach, e.g., high vs. low silica content comparison, which can still be valuable for remote locations.

![Graph showing absolute differences in silica content between ASTER and sample measurements as a function of silica content of the samples. Group 1 and group 2 from k-means clustering are indicated in red and blue boundaries, respectively. Center points of each group are shown in red circle and blue triangle for group 1 and group 2, respectively.](image)

**Figure 8.** Absolute differences in silica content between ASTER and sample measurements as a function of silica content of the samples. Group 1 and group 2 from k-means clustering are indicated in red and blue boundaries, respectively. Center points of each group are shown in red circle and blue triangle for group 1 and group 2, respectively.

We suspected that the larger deviations of the ASTER-derived silica content from those of the samples could be attributed to Equation (3), where we assumed the exclusion of ultramafic rocks. Thus, we derived the silica content from the ASTER GED using the four-term Gaussian parameters of Hook et al. [45] that includes ultramafic rocks as

$$y = -10.548 A_1 + 160.25 \quad (7)$$

where $A_1$ is from Equation (2). The result did not yield a significant trend in ASTER vs. sample differences as a function of silica content, and the overall agreement was lower than Equation (3), which excluded ultramafics (Table A3). For this reason, estimations based on Equation (3) are preferred. Although beyond the scope of this study, measuring the thermal emissivity spectra of the APVC samples and deriving the APVC’s unique relationship between the wavelength of the minimum of the reststrahlen bands and the silica content of the rocks instead of using Equation (3) could lead to improvements. Additionally, the samples should ideally come from spatially representative locations rather than spatially biased locations (Figure A1a); however, the conditions of the APVC did not easily permit this (i.e., the purpose of using remote sensing techniques).

4.1.3. Feldspar Minerals

The feldspar spectra detected with Hyperion near the Chanka dome (21°45’0’’S, 68°18’58’’W) matched the spectra of a sample of feldspar-bearing rock collected at this location (Figure 9a). A visual inspection of the sample and optical microscope observations of a thin section indicated the presence of optically visible feldspar grains with sizes approximately 3 cm and smaller (Figure 9b–d). Additionally, the space between distinguishably large grains in the microscope image appeared to be composed of very small (roughly < 1 µm) feldspar and other mineral grains (Figure 9c,d). These observations give confidence to feldspar detections based on the 1.3 µm spectral feature.

For Dacite Dome D, which showed strong feldspar spectral signatures in the ALMA/Toconao village region (22°56’0’’S, 67°43’12’’W; Figure 5g), the computed feldspar index values (>0.4) substantially exceeded the typical values for the Chanka dome (0.03). Samples
of Dacite Dome D analyzed by Schmitt et al. [72] indicated that plagioclase feldspars accounted for 66–80% of the minerals (33–40% of the entire sample total when accounting for the presence of glass). According to Schmitt et al. [72], dacite pumice from the Purico ignimbrite surrounding Dacite Dome D closely resembles that of Dacite Dome D, with similar abundances of plagioclase feldspars, but curiously, we observed that only a small portion of the Purico ignimbrite to the South of El Cerrillo dome showed low feldspar index values.

Figure 9. (a) Comparison of an EO-1 Hyperion spectrum to a spectrum acquired in the laboratory of feldspar-bearing rock from the Chanka dome (21°45′0″S, 68°18′58″W). (b) Image of the sample used for the measurement. White grains are feldspar. (c) Microscope image of a thin section of the sample. Feldspar grains are labeled. (d) Microscope image of a thin section of the sample in cross-polarized light. Twinning typical of plagioclase feldspars can be observed on feldspar grains.

4.2. Assessment of Different Datasets and Mapping Techniques

First, the Landsat 8 OLI DCS map, which is not quantitative but is computationally fast and suited for the initial evaluation of a large area, captured the diversity of the volcanic features, namely, the relative differences between the ignimbrites, low-silica volcanic centers, silicic domes, alteration areas, and sedimentary deposits (Figure A1c). Compositional variations were also observed within volcanic centers (e.g., Carcanal volcano versus the neighboring San Pedro and San Pablo volcanoes) or within ignimbrite bodies, which may appear in tones of yellow, orange, green, or purple in the Landsat DCS image (Figure A1c). Although the geological map did not distinguish them, possible differences may have arisen from the iron content, iron oxidation level, weathering, and compactness of the sediments.

After grasping the general pattern of the study site with the DCS technique, we applied semi-quantitative techniques to measure the presence of selected minerals. The band ratio indices for six mineral groups (Table 1) were computed with Landsat 8 OLI,
which was fast and particularly effective at mapping a semi-quantitative distribution of key mineral groups, thereby capturing evidence of volcanic activities, such as iron-bearing and alteration minerals. A caveat of the band depth index approach, however, is that it targets a known mineral with a known absorption feature, which may in fact vary depending on its exact composition, structure, or environmental parameters. Therefore, the band depth index approach is not suitable for finding unexpected/unknown minerals. However, this limitation can be remedied to some extent by being aware of the variabilities shown with the DCS map and other sources of information, such as the geologic map. Another limitation is that the mineral groups were not precise enough, particularly for the clay-sulfate-mica group. The low spectral resolution of the Landsat 8 OLI does not allow the distinction among different minerals within each group. On the other hand, hyperspectral images are able to capture narrow spectral features and are better suited for more precise mineral characterizations; thus, we utilized EO-1 Hyperion hyperspectral imagery to obtain more definitive mineral characterizations. The spectral hourglass technique was used to derive mineral identification and distribution from the scene, and it distinguished alunite, kaolinite, and montmorillonite spectra within the Landsat clay-sulfate-mica group. This is a complementary approach to the spectral indices used with the Landsat dataset, and it is a means to achieve more precise mineral characterizations and cross-check the results from the Landsat analysis. In spite of that, precise distinctions were still not easy, since the minerals had overlapping or close spectral features, and spectral features were masked by other minerals. For this reason, we did not attempt to map alunite, kaolinite, and montmorillonite separately but rather distinguished only between alunite and a group of kaolinite and montmorillonite.

Band-depth indices can also be computed from Hyperion. This was necessary for the feldspar identification, where the diagnostic spectral feature is weak, and the spectral feature range is not adequately captured by Landsat 8 OLI (or other multispectral datasets). For weak spectral features such as those of feldspars, masking and inadequate absorption depth are especially problematic, even for hyperspectral datasets. We detected high feldspar index values from Dacite Dome D, but the Chanka dome had much lower index values and the felsic ignimbrites did not have significant values at all, even though they were expected to have feldspars. The spectral signature of feldspars is influenced by multiple parameters, including the mineral assemblage, the mineral’s composition, its grain size, and the rock texture [64,66,67]. Spectral unmixing methods could resolve at least some of these problems.

Hyperion and other hyperspectral imaging techniques are usually spatially limited, only covering a fraction of Landsat 8 OLI imagery, with considerable spatial gaps among available images. For this reason, Hyperion is better suited to the study of small regions of interest already identified with Landsat or other datasets.

The ASTER GED in the TIR was highly complementary to the mineral analysis performed with the Landsat and Hyperion dataset in the VNIR-SWIR. Initially, the ASTER DCS map (Figure A1d) qualitatively revealed the relative distribution of high silica content (red), low silica content (blue), and non-silicates (green). Next, the quantitative silica percentages derived from the wavelengths of the minimum of reststrahlen bands followed the general spatial pattern seen in the ASTER DCS map and showed reasonable, albeit variable, errors when compared to the ground-truth samples. The mapping of the silica percentage of the silicate rocks at the APVC in the order of 10,000 s to 100,000 km² spatial extents is beneficial for volcanological studies of the APVC, and for our future work, it could define the lithological contexts of minerals and mineral groups identified with VNIR-SWIR spectra, especially feldspars.

4.3. Re-Emphasis of the APVC for Planetary Analog Use

Previously, water-related features, such as salars and hydrothermal fields, have been popular targets for planetary analog studies at the APVC. However, the remote sensing survey conducted in this study revealed other geological features, to large spatial extents,
relevant for comparisons to those on other solar system bodies. Notably, the detection of the feldspar spectral signatures of non-anorthosite rocks with Hyperion could impact on the direction of research in Mars volcanism, in which recent works (e.g., Barthez et al. [31], Flahaut et al. [32]) have demonstrated alternative views to the hypothesis that feldspar spectral signature detections are likely anorthosites (which is the hypothesis of Carter and Poulet [62] and others). The detection of strong feldspar spectral signatures at Dacite Dome D in the ALMA/Toconao village region offers the potential for deeper insights into this problem, and it also is relevant for comparisons to silicic domes on the Moon (e.g., Flahaut et al. [21], Glotch et al. [74]). Questions remain over this dome as the ASTER silica percentage estimation yielded a range of 62–69 wt.% SiO$_2$ and the majority of nearby Purico ignimbrite did not show high feldspar spectral index values, despite it being mineralogically and chemically similar to Dacite Dome D. Often, the preferred approach for identifying feldspars or other silicate minerals is to detect the diagnostic reststrahlen bands in high-spectral-resolution TIR spectra (e.g., Lyon [42]); however, for both the Earth and Mars, orbital TIR hyperspectral imagery with sufficiently high spatial resolution (10′s of m/pixel) does not currently exist. The combination of feldspar detections with the 1.3 μm spectral feature in hyperspectral VNIR-SWIR imagery and the silica percentages derived from multispectral TIR imagery is currently viewed as a robust approach (e.g., Rogers and Nekvasil [64]). The outcome of the preferred interpretations of feldspar detections on Mars has a major impact on our understanding of Martian geological history; thus, follow-up investigations of the feldspar detections demonstrated in this work are crucial. We plan to send a geological field expedition to the Dacite Dome D area to gather further ground-truth data.

Ignimbrites in the ALMA/Toconao village region are another feature worthy of more detailed investigations. Parts of ignimbrites were highlighted by high silica percentages (~70%) according to ASTER, and the ignimbrite samples contained feldspar grains; however, although we did not find feldspar spectral signatures with Hyperion, we found moderate iron spectral signatures. In addition, the detection of high-iron-bearing mineral deposits at all three presented regions that were interpreted as basaltic-andesites is remarkable for research on mafic volcanism in the APVC [30,71,75].

Abundant alteration minerals were identified at the APVC, particularly in the Cerro Carcanal and Lake Tuyajto/Salar de Talar regions. Alteration analog studies have been important for understanding alterations on other planetary bodies (e.g., Mars; Carter et al. [76], Murchie et al. [77]), and they have also been conducted at other volcanic regions on Earth (e.g., Hawaii, Italy). Landsat clay-sulfate-mica index and Hyperion alteration mineral maps contribute to this large-scale effort and will be useful for continued analog studies of alterations; moreover, they could play a major role in mineral resource assessments at the APVC, as argillic alterations are often associated with economically valuable minerals.

The methodology adopted in this work is commonly used in Earth and planetary remote sensing studies. We first used Landsat and ASTER, which are multispectral instruments and have large spatial coverage but low spectral resolution, to identify smaller regions of interest. Next, the minerals within those smaller regions were further analyzed with Hyperion hyperspectral imagery. Although it is not new, we emphasize that the dataset and mapping approach adopted in this work resemble those available for the Moon and Mars, and thus, our work is transferable to the analysis of the analogous terrains of these planetary bodies. The three satellite imagery datasets of the Earth, Landsat 8 OLI, Terra ASTER, and EO-1 Hyperion, are comparable to the Mars Odyssey (MO) Thermal Emission Imaging System (THEMIS) VNIR bands [78], the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter Compact Reconnaissance Imaging Spectrometer for Mars (CRISM) [79], and MO THEMIS TIR bands [78]. For the Moon, they are comparable to Clementine multispectral imaging cameras (ultraviolet/visible camera, near-infrared camera) [80], the SELENE/Kaguya Multiband Imager [81], the Chandrayaan-1 Moon Mineralogy Mapper (M$^3$) [82], and the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter (LRO) Diviner [83]. Figure 1 shows the comparison of the
instruments. Future orbiter missions, such as the Lunar Trailblazer [84], will carry similar but enhanced spectral instruments operating in both the VNIR-SWIR and TIR ranges.

Some limitations to this methodology, which is mainly based on the Earth’s differences to other planetary bodies, must be understood. The atmosphere is a major factor in orbital remote sensing, and the Earth’s atmosphere has strong absorptions arising from water, which is a dominant molecule on Earth, but not in other solar system bodies. This substantially impacts the usable wavelengths on Earth in both VNIR-SWIR and TIR and limits the constraining of some minerals, such as the clay-sulfate-mica group. Although Hyperion captured important spectral features in the 2.0–2.5 µm region, other major bands in the 1.0–2.0 µm would have helped with further constraining. On the other hand, the lack of atmosphere on some planetary bodies leads to spectral effects from space weathering in VNIR-SWIR [85] and thermal gradient in the TIR [86,87], which lead to difficulties in the interpretation of spectra. Additionally, the designs of existing instruments were intended for accomplishing the specific scientific objectives of a mission; thus, different instruments have different caveats, even if equivalent geological information can be retrieved. For instance, the LRO Diviner can retrieve silica content, but this is achieved by using the Christiansen feature of TIR spectra rather than reststrahlen bands, which was the case in this work [74,88,89].

5. Conclusions

The remote sensing data from various instruments (ASTER, Hyperion, Landsat) were used to map the mineral groups of mainly volcanic and secondary volcanic surfaces of the Altiplano-Puna Volcanic Complex, which are sound analogs of these types of surface on the Moon and Mars. Although mineral maps covering 10,000 s to 100,000 km$^2$ scales of the APVC are some of the fundamental resources used to conduct geological field work and planetary analog studies, they have not been created before. Using a combination of Earth observation instruments with comparable characteristics to lunar and Martian orbital instruments, we characterized, with different techniques, the alteration minerals, iron-bearing minerals, feldspar minerals, and silica percentages of bulk rocks. Three areas of particular interest for planetary analog studies were highlighted in this work, although other areas not mentioned in detail were also noteworthy for further investigations. The results of our maps were consistent with existing geological maps and a previous study performed locally at the Azufre volcano, and they also showed consistency, to varying degrees, with the geochemical analysis of samples compiled from past studies and measurements performed at CRPG. The benefits and limitations of each dataset and method were evaluated, and we observed that synthetically analyzing remote sensing datasets with different characteristics (e.g., wavelength range, spatial extent, spectral resolution) leads to more confident qualitative and quantitative interpretations of minerals and rocks over large areas.

One immediate impact of this work on the current state of planetary analog studies is the detection of feldspar spectral signatures on non-anorthositic rocks. Our feldspar detections at Chanka dome and Dacite Dome D influenced on-going efforts to refine the spectral detection of feldspar minerals on rocks other than anorthosites through laboratory measurements [31] and Mars CRISM hyperspectral imagery [32]. A laboratory analysis, by Barthez et al. [31], of entire feldspathic rocks of varied nature indicated that volcanic, plutonic, and metamorphic feldspathic rocks all show the spectral signature of plagioclase feldspars. Our observation of feldspar spectral signatures at Chanka dome (dacitic volcanic rock) and its sample analysis is consistent with their study. This supports the detection of the feldspar spectral signatures of non-anorthositic rocks on Mars by Flahaut et al. [32].
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**Appendix A**

**Table A1.** Summary of remote sensing-data used in this work.

| Instrument       | Data Type | ID ¹                | Map                                      |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Landsat 8 OLI    | Level 1 Radiance | LC08_L1TP_001075_20200727_20200807_01_T1 | Background imagery                      |
|                  |           | LC08_L1TP_001076_20200727_20200807_01_T1 |                                          |
|                  |           | LC08_L1TP_001077_20200727_20200807_01_T1 |                                          |
|                  |           | LC08_L1TP_233075_20200618_20200625_01_T1 |                                          |
|                  |           | LC08_L1TP_232076_20200729_20200807_01_T1 |                                          |
|                  |           | LC08_L1TP_233076_20200805_20200821_01_T1 |                                          |
|                  |           | LC08_L1TP_232077_20200729_20200807_01_T1 |                                          |
|                  |           | LC08_L1TP_233077_20200805_20200821_01_T1 |                                          |
|                  | Level 2 Reflectance | LC08_L2SP_233075_20141125_20200910_02_T1 | Iron-bearing minerals, alteration minerals |
|                  |           | LC08_L2SP_233076_20141109_20200910_02_T1 |                                          |
|                  |           | LC08_L2SP_233077_20141109_20200910_02_T1 |                                          |
| EO-1 Hyperion    | Level 1 Radiance | EOIH2320772002361111PP | Iron-bearing minerals, alteration minerals, feldspar minerals |
|                  |           | EOIH2330752011245110KF |                                          |
|                  |           | EOIH233076200875110P2 |                                          |
|                  |           | EOIH2330762012034110KF |                                          |
|                  |           | EOIH2330762016250110PF |                                          |
|                  |           | EOIH2330762016269110PF |                                          |
| Terra ASTER      | GED AG 100 | AG100.v.003.-21.-068.0001.h5 | Silica percentage                       |
|                  |           | AG100.v.003.-21.-069.0001.h5 |                                          |
|                  |           | AG100.v.003.-22.-068.0001.h5 |                                          |
|                  |           | AG100.v.003.-22.-069.0001.h5 |                                          |
|                  |           | AG100.v.003.-23.-068.0001.h5 |                                          |
|                  |           | AG100.v.003.-23.-069.0001.h5 |                                          |
|                  |           | AG100.v.003.-24.-068.0001.h5 |                                          |
|                  |           | AG100.v.003.-24.-069.0001.h5 |                                          |

¹ “Landsat Product Identifier”, “Entity ID”, and “Local Granule ID” for Landsat 8 OLI, EO-1 Hyperion, and Terra ASTER data, respectively.
Appendix B

Table A2. Wavelengths used for computing the feldspar band-depth index with EO-1 Hyperion. The last row shows the mean.

| λs | λc | λl |
|----|----|----|
| 0.783 | 1.003 | 1.225 | 1.548 |
| 0.793 | 1.013 | 1.235 | 1.558 |
| 0.803 | 1.023 | 1.245 | 1.568 |
| 0.813 | 1.033 | 1.255 | 1.578 |
| 0.824 | 1.044 | 1.266 | 1.588 |
| 0.844 | 1.064 | 1.286 | 1.609 |
| 0.854 | 1.074 | 1.296 | 1.619 |
| 0.864 | 1.084 | | 1.629 |
| 0.875 | | | 1.639 |
| 0.884 | | | 1.649 |
| 0.894 | | | 1.659 |
| 0.904 | | | 1.669 |
| 0.914 | | | 1.679 |
| 0.924 | | | 1.689 |
| 0.934 | | | 1.699 |
| 0.944 | | | 1.709 |
| 0.834 | 1.043 | 1.261 | 1.629 |

Appendix C

Table A3. Summary of the differences in silica content derived from ASTER GED using Equation (7) and measured in geochemical laboratories.

| SiO₂ Abs. Diff. | 0–5 | 5–10 | 10–15 | 15–20 | +20 |
|-----------------|-----|------|-------|-------|-----|
| % of samples    | 38  | 25   | 22    | 5     | 10  |
| no. samples at CRPG | 0   | 4    | 1     | 0     | 2   |

Appendix D

Rock samples from previous field campaigns were ground in an agate mortar. Major element analyses were performed by ICP-OES at the Service d’Analyse des Roches et des Minéraux (SARM) facility in Nancy, France (https://sarm.cnrs.fr/index.html, (accessed on 24 January 2022)). Data can be found in the Supplementary Material.
Appendix E

Figure A1. (a) Landsat 8 OLI radiance true color imagery of the APVC. Sampling locations used for comparison to ASTER silica content map are shown and grouped by source reference. The group “Godoy references” includes Godoy [90] and Godoy et al. [28,71,91]. The group “Multiple references” includes Francis et al. [92], Freymuth et al. [93], Mamani et al. [94], and Rogers and Hawkesworth [95]. (b) Geological map of Chile from Servicio Nacional de Geología y Minería [69]. Some geological units and features mentioned in the main text are indicated. Red triangles indicate volcanoes: 1 Azufre and Chanka, 2 San Pedro-San Pablo and La Poruña, 3 Lascar, 4 El Negrillar. Full descriptions of the geological units are extensive, and the reader is referred to [69]. (c) Landsat 8 OLI reflectance DCS using bands 7, 6, and 4 as red, green, and blue, respectively. (d) ASTER DCS using bands 14, 12, and 10 as red, green, and blue, respectively. On all four maps, three regions selected in this study are indicated by rectangles, and the approximate APVC boundary is shown.
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