Prevalence of Cigarette Smoking and Associated Factors among Residents of Hossana Town, Southern Ethiopia
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Background. Tobacco is the only legal product that kills a large number of its consumers when used as intended by producers. Information on cigarette smoking and associated factors among adults at the household level is very limited. Objective. To assess prevalence of cigarette smoking and associated factors among residents of Hossana town, Hadiya zone, Southern Ethiopia, 2020. Methods and Materials. A cross-sectional study design was performed. A structured questionnaire was used to collect data. Bivariate and multivariable binary logistic regression was used to identify risk factors of cigarette smoking. Variables significant at a \( p \) value of less than 0.05 were considered as independent predictors. Hosmer and Lemeshow test statistics were done to test the model fitness for the final model. Similarly, multicollinearity was checked by using collinearity statistics (tolerance and \( VIF \)). Result. In total, 591 people responded to the survey, resulting in a 98.2% response rate. Among the study participants, cigarette smokers were 183 (31.0%). Educational status, alcohol use, and parental smoking were all found to have a significant relationship with cigarette smoking among research participants in Hossanna town. When compared to people with a college education or above, illiterates are approximately nine times more likely to consume cigarettes (95% CI = 3.52, 22.469). Alcoholics are about twice as likely as nondrinkers to smoke cigarettes (95% CI = 1.548, 3.383). Those who have cigarette-smoking parents are approximately twice as likely as their counterparts to smoke cigarettes (95% CI = 2.288, 3.383). Conclusion. According to this survey, the prevalence of cigarette smoking was high. Furthermore, cigarette smoking was linked to illiteracy, alcohol consumption, and parental smoking in this study.

1. Background

When used as intended by makers, tobacco is the only allowed product that kills a considerable number of its customers. Tobacco is available in both smoked and non-smoked forms. Tobacco is smoked in a variety of ways, including cigarettes (made or hand-rolled), cigars, pipes, and water pipes. Manufactured cigarettes are the most extensively used smoked tobacco product on the globe [1, 2].

Tobacco usage is linked to six of the world’s eight main causes of mortality. Tobacco use causes lung cancer, laryngeal cancer, kidney cancer, bladder cancer, stomach cancer, colon cancer, oral cancer, and esophageal cancer, as well as leukemia, chronic bronchitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, ischemic heart disease, stroke, miscarriage and premature birth, birth defects, and infertility [1, 3].

Tobacco continues to be a global health pandemic, killing around 6 million people each year and incurring hundreds of billions of dollars in annual financial losses [4].

Tobacco smoke contains about 7000 synthetic chemicals and substances. Hundreds are hazardous, and more than 70 cause diseases such as cancer. Exposure to smokers (friends,
Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of study participants in Hossana town, 2019/20.

| Variable          | Category        | Number | Percent (%) |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------|
| Age               | 18-27 years     | 261    | 44.2        |
|                   | 28-37 years     | 161    | 27.2        |
|                   | 38-47 years     | 163    | 27.6        |
|                   | ≥48             | 6      | 1.0         |
| Sex               | Male            | 504    | 85.3        |
|                   | Female          | 87     | 14.7        |
| Marital status    | Single          | 165    | 27.9        |
|                   | Married         | 408    | 69.0        |
|                   | Divorced        | 7      | 1.2         |
|                   | Widowed         | 11     | 1.9         |
|                   | 1-3             | 165    | 27.9        |
|                   | 4-6             | 347    | 58.7        |
|                   | ≥8              | 5.8    | 5.8         |
| Family size       | 7-8             | 7.6    | 7.6         |
|                   | ≥8              | 58.7   | 5.8         |
| Religion          | Protestant      | 269    | 45.5        |
|                   | Orthodox        | 245    | 41.5        |
|                   | Muslim          | 47     | 8.0         |
|                   | Catholic        | 30     | 5.1         |
|                   | Hadiya          | 251    | 42.5        |
|                   | Kembata         | 191    | 32.3        |
|                   | Gurage          | 70     | 11.8        |
|                   | Silte           | 44     | 7.4         |
|                   | Amhara          | 16     | 2.7         |
|                   | Wolaita         | 19     | 3.2         |
|                   | Daily laborer   | 217    | 36.7        |
|                   | Gov’t employed  | 208    | 35.2        |
|                   | Self-employed   | 72     | 12.5        |
| Occupation        | Student         | 6      | 1           |
|                   | Housewife       | 5      | 0.8         |
|                   | No job          | 3      | 0.5         |
|                   | Merchant        | 80     | 13.5        |
|                   | Illiterate      | 161    | 27.2        |
| Educational status| ≤12 grade       | 371    | 62.8        |
|                   | ≥College        | 59     | 10.0        |
|                   | 0-1500          | 83     | 14.0        |
|                   | 1501-3000       | 86     | 14.6        |
|                   | 3001-4500       | 155    | 26.2        |
|                   | 4501-6000       | 88     | 14.9        |
|                   | ≥6001           | 179    | 30.3        |

The majority of smokers (89%) start smoking before they reach the age of 19, when they are still living with their parents [5, 10–12]. The findings reveal that starting to smoke at a younger age is linked to smoking more cigarettes per day later in life than starting at an older age, implying that postponing the initiation of smoking may affect the chance of becoming addicted to cigarettes [13]. There are many potential environmental exposure sites for cigarette smoking: public places, retail shops, and smoking in cars and home. Among which home was identified as the potential environmental exposure site (second hand smoking) to children and adults as well as potential for youth’s initiation [14–17].

The Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (WHO FCTC) of the World Health Organization recognizes the significant impact of tobacco use and the urgent need to avoid it [4, 18]. In contrast to past drug control treaties, the WHO FCTC is an evidence-based treaty that aids in the development of a regulatory plan to handle addictive substances. It highlights the relevance of demand and supply reduction methods. [18]

But according to the investigators knowledge, information on prevalence and factors that influence it is extremely rare in low and middle income countries, particularly Ethiopia. As a result, the purpose of this study is to determine the prevalence of cigarette smoking in Hossana, Ethiopia, as well as the factors that influence it.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Design and Area. A community-based cross sectional study design was conducted among residents in Hadiya zone, Hossana town, from April 01 to 30, 2020. Hossana town is located at 230 km South of Addis Ababa. According to the 2007 national census, the projected total population of the town is 108,428 (53,129 males ad 55,299 females). The total number of HHs in Bobicho Kebele was
5790 and in Jelo Naremo Kebele was 5490 where actual data was collected.

2.2. Study Population and Sampling. The sample size was calculated using a one population proportion formula, considering 57% [19] proportion of individuals who smoke cigarette, 5% margin of error and correction formula, and 5% estimated nonresponse rate and 1.5 design effect. A multi-stage sampling technique was employed. From a total of 6 kebele in Hossana town (Lich-amba Kebele, Arada Kebele, Heto Kebele, J/Naremo Kebele, Bobicho Kebele, and Sech duna Kebele), 2 kebeles (Jelo/Naremo Kebele and Bobicho Kebele) were selected randomly using a lottery technique. The households were selected by systematic random sampling after determination of the $K$th interval for each kebeles ($K = 10$, Jelo/Naremo $K = 9$). To select the starting household, a pen was pinned then households in the direction of the tip of the pen were selected. Finally, the starting household was selected randomly from the first $K$th households in the direction of the tip of the pen after coding the first households 1 up to $K$ (1-10 for Bobicho and 1-9 for Jelo Naremo). The randomly selected household’s number was 3 and 7 for Bobicho and Jelo/Naremo Kebeles, respectively. Then, households were selected by jumping every $K$th interval. Eventually, the head of the selected household was interviewed, but in case when the household was headed by both husband and wife, female head was selected. In the absence of heads of household, the adults with age $\geq 18$ years were interviewed.

2.3. Data Collection Instrument, Data Collectors, and Data Quality Control. Data was collected using an interviewer-administered structured questionnaire. The instrument was first modified in English from earlier research conducted in the Amhara region [19] and Southern Ethiopia [20] before being translated into Hadiyisa and Amharic by language experts in Hadiyisa and Amharic and then returned to English by other language experts to guarantee consistency. The questionnaire is composed of socio demographic characteristics, behaviour-related characteristics, and environment related characteristics of respondent. Eight diploma nurses as data collectors and four BSc in health as supervisors were employed. Data collectors and supervisors received two days of training from the lead investigator to assure the quality of the field operation. The supervisors had overseen the data collection procedure on a daily basis and performed quality checks during the data collection. To ensure the tool’s dependability, it was pretested on 5% of the sample before the real data collection days in Gibe Woreda, which is 30 kilometers away from the study area. The questionnaire was not changed based on the findings and input gathered during the pretesting procedure. The main data analysis did not contain the pretested data. During the data collection process, participants were able to choose acceptable locations.

2.4. Data Processing and Analysis. Before entering the data into the software, all of the data was carefully validated. The data was then entered into the Epi Data version 3.1 software on a computer. The software was built with data types and sizes in mind, as well as categories, validating permissible values and ranges, and codes for missing values. For each of the variables, descriptive analysis was used to verify frequency, distribution, and missing values. To see if there was a direct link between cigarette smoking and the independent variables, bivariate analysis was used. The Chi-

| Variables                                                                 | Categories | Number | Percent (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------|-------------|
| Chat chewing                                                             | Yes        | 280    | 47.4        |
|                                                                          | No         | 311    | 52.6        |
| Alcohol consumption                                                      | Yes        | 339    | 57.4        |
|                                                                          | No         | 252    | 42.6        |
| Is your parents smoke cigarette                                         | Yes        | 317    | 53.6        |
|                                                                          | No         | 274    | 46.4        |
| Siblings smoke cigarette                                                | Yes        | 306    | 51.8        |
|                                                                          | No         | 285    | 48.2        |
| Peers smoke cigarette                                                   | Yes        | 366    | 61.9        |
|                                                                          | No         | 225    | 38.1        |
| Who is the role model for your cigarette smoking                        | Siblings   | 67     | 28.8        |
|                                                                          | Peers      | 95     | 40.8        |
| Having close attachment with your families                               | Yes        | 339    | 57.4        |
|                                                                          | No         | 252    | 42.6        |
| During the past 30 days, did someone smoke in closed areas in your working environment | Yes | 339 | 57.4 |
|                                                                          | No         | 252    | 42.6        |
| Cigarette accessibility                                                  | Yes        | 380    | 64.3        |
|                                                                          | No         | 211    | 35.7        |
square test was used to see if the variables met the assumptions. To find the factors that affect the prevalence of cigarette smoking, a variable with a p value of 0.25 on bivariate analysis was incorporated into multivariable logistic regression. The degrees of relationship between the independent variable and cigarette smoking status were quantified using the odds ratio and 95 percent confidence intervals. The results with a p value of less than 0.05 were declared statistically significant, while the remainder was disproved. The multicollinearity diagnostic test VIF in linear regression was used to assess for collinearity among independently related variables, and none were found to be collinear.

3. Result

3.1. Socio-Demographic-Related Characteristics. Overall 591 participants were participated in this study which makes a response rate of 93.8%. From study participants, 261 (44.2%) were 18-27 years old, 504 (85.3%) of the participants were males, 408 (69.0%) were married, 347 (58.7%) have 4-6 family size, 269 (45.5%) were protestant, 251 (42.5%) were Hadiya, 217 (36.7%) were daily laborer, 371 (62.8%) were ≤12 grade educational status, and 155 (26.2%) have 3001-4500 monthly income (Table 1).

3.2. Prevalence of Cigarette Smoking. From the study participants, 183 (31.0%) were cigarette smokers (see Figure 1).

3.3. Behaviour- and Environment-Related Characteristics. From study participants, 280 (47.4%) were Khat chewers, 339 (57.4%) were alcohol drinkers, 317 (53.6%) were having cigarette smoker parents, 306 (51.8%) were having cigarette smoking siblings, and 366 (61.9%) were having cigarette smoking peers (Table 2).

Table 3: Bivariable analysis of factors associated with cigarette smoking among residents in Hossana town, 2019/2020.

| Variable Category | Cigarette smoking | COR (95% CI) | p value |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| **Sex**           |                   |             |         |
| Male              | 168 (28.4%)       | 336 (56.9%) | 8.2 (0.030, 0.191) | <0.001 |
| Female            | 5 (0.8%)          | 82 (13.9%)  | 1       |
| 1-3               | 8 (1.4%)          | 157 (26.6%) | 0.082 (0.031, 0.222) | <0.001 |
| 4-6               | 139 (33.0%)       | 208 (35.7%) | 2.072 (1.005, 4.272) | 0.048 |
| 7-8               | 13 (2.2%)         | 32 (5.4%)   | 0.656 (0.255, 1.689) | 0.383 |
| ≥8                | 13 (2.2%)         | 21 (3.6%)   | 1       |
| **Family size**   |                   |             |         |
| Daily laborer     | 60 (10.2%)        | 157 (26.6%) | 3.299 (2.202, 4.943) | <0.001 |
| Govt employed     | 60 (10.2%)        | 148 (25.0%) | 1       |
| **Occupation**    |                   |             |         |
| Self-employed     | 19 (3.2%)         | 53 (9.0%)   | 0.938 (0.513, 1.714) | 0.835 |
| Merchant          | 31 (5.2%)         | 49 (8.3%)   | 1.655 (0.965, 2.839) | 0.067 |
| Other             | 3 (0.5%)          | 11 (1.9%)   | 0.714 (0.192, 2.647) | 0.614 |
| Illiterate        | 8 (1.4%)          | 153 (25.9%) | 0.071 (0.030, 0.171) | <0.001 |
| **Educational status** |       |             |         |
| ≤12 grade         | 140 (23.7%)       | 231 (39.1%) | 1.523 (0.874, 2.654) | 0.137 |
| ≥College          | 25 (4.2%)         | 34 (5.8%)   | 1       |
| 0-1500            | 55 (9.3%)         | 28 (4.7%)   | 1       |
| 1501-3000         | 6 (1.0%)          | 79 (13.4%)  | 0.039 (0.015, 0.100) | <0.001 |
| **Monthly income**|                   |             |         |
| 3001-4500         | 74 (12.5%)        | 82 (13.9%)  | 0.459 (0.264, 0.799) | 0.006 |
| 4501-6000         | 14 (2.4%)         | 74 (12.5%)  | 0.096 (0.046, 0.200) | <0.001 |
| ≥6001             | 24 (4.1%)         | 155 (26.2%) | 0.411 (0.239, 0.707) | 0.001 |
| Khat chewing      |                   |             |         |
| Yes               | 81 (13.7%)        | 258 (43.7%) | 0.221 (0.155, 0.314) | <0.001 |
| No                | 92 (15.6%)        | 160 (27.1%) | 1       |
| **Alcohol consumption** |     |             |         |
| Yes               | 81 (13.7%)        | 258 (43.7%) | 1       |
| No                | 92 (15.6%)        | 160 (27.1%) | 4.533 (3.182, 6.457) | <0.001 |
| **Is your parents smoke cigarette** |     |             |         |
| Yes               | 72 (12.2%)        | 245 (41.5%) | 1       |
| No                | 101 (17.1%)       | 173 (29.3%) | 4.565 (3.200, 6.515) | <0.001 |
| **Siblings smoke cigarette** |     |             |         |
| Yes               | 73 (12.4%)        | 233 (39.4%) | 1       |
| No                | 156 (26.4%)       | 129 (21.8%) | 3.860 (2.716, 5.485) | <0.001 |
| **Peers smoke cigarette** |     |             |         |
| Yes               | 95 (16.1%)        | 271 (45.9%) | 1       |
| No                | 78 (13.2%)        | 147 (24.9%) | 4.201 (2.948, 5.986) | <0.001 |
| **Cigarette accessibility** |     |             |         |
| Yes               | 102 (17.3%)       | 278 (47.0%) | 1       |
| No                | 71 (12.0%)        | 140 (23.7%) | 4.121 (2.883, 5.889) | <0.001 |
3.4. Bivariable Analysis of Factors Linked to Cigarette Smoking. Sex, family size, occupation, educational status, monthly income, khat chewing, alcohol use, parental cigarette smoking, siblings’ cigarette smoking, peers’ cigarette smoking, and cigarette accessibility were all eligible for multivariable analysis (Table 3).

3.5. Factors Associated with Cigarette Smoking in Multivariable Logistic Regression. Educational status, alcohol use, and parental smoking were all found to have a significant relationship with cigarette smoking among research participants in Hosanna town. This finding revealed that illiterates are nearly nine times AOR at 95% CI = 9.058 (3.652, 22.469) smoke cigarette when compared to individuals with college and above. Alcohol drinkers are nearly two times AOR at 95% CI = 2.320 (1.331, 8.440) smoke cigarette when compared to individuals who do not drink. Those individuals having cigarette smoking parents are nearly two times AOR at 95% CI = 9.058 (3.652, 22.469) smoke cigarette when compared to individuals whose parents do not smoke.

4. Discussion

The overall prevalence of cigarette smoking was 31.0 percent, according to the result of this research. This study’s prevalence is similar to that of studies conducted in China (31.8%) [21] and Tunisia (30.4%) [22]. This result was higher than those of studies conducted in Eastern Ethiopia (28%) [23], Madagascar (28.5%) [24], Bangladesh (23.19%) [25], and Misrak Badewacho (23.6%) [20]. This result was lower than those found in Jimma Town (35.5%) [26] and Amhara area (57.0%) studies [19]. This disparity could be attributable to socioeconomic and cultural inequalities, as well as variances in study settings. In this study, the prevalence of cigarette smoking is higher among males than females, among drinkers against nondrinkers, among those with smoking peers versus nonsmokers, and among those with cigarette access versus nonsmokers.

In this study, educational status, particularly illiteracy, was found to be substantially related with cigarette smoking. Various conclusions have been published in earlier studies.

Table 4: Multivariable analysis of factors associated with cigarette smoking among study participants in Hosanna town, 2019/20.

| Variable Category | Cigarette smoking | p value |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
|                  | Yes | No | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) |
| Family size | 1-3 | 8 (1.4%) | 157 (26.6%) | 0.082 (0.031, 0.222) | 0.873 (0.0912, 6.139) | 0.645 |
|                  | 4-6 | 139 (33.0%) | 208 (25.7%) | 2.072 (1.005, 4.272) | 0.944 (0.413, 2.161) | 0.892 |
|                  | 7-8 | 13 (2.2%) | 32 (5.4%) | 0.656 (0.255, 1.689) | 0.644 (0.233, 1.782) | 0.392 |
|                  | 8+ | 13 (2.2%) | 31 (5.4%) | 1 | 1 |
| Daily laborer | 60 (10.2%) | 157 (26.6%) | 1 | 1 |
| Gov’t employed | 60 (10.2%) | 148 (25.0%) | 3.299 (2.202, 4.943) | 0.017 (0.005, 8.153) | 0.567 |
| Occupation | Self-employed | 19 (3.2%) | 53 (9.0%) | 0.938 (0.513, 1.714) | 0.028 (0.009, 3.184) | 0.312 |
|                  | Merchant | 31 (5.2%) | 49 (8.3%) | 1.655 (0.965, 2.839) | 0.007 (0.001, 10.39) | 0.154 |
|                  | Other | 3 (0.5%) | 11 (1.9%) | 0.714 (0.192, 2.647) | 0.017 (0.006, 2.051) | 0.241 |
| Monthly income | 0-1500 | 55 (9.3%) | 28 (4.7%) | 1 | 1 |
|                  | 1501-3000 | 6 (1.0%) | 79 (13.4%) | 0.039 (0.015, 0.100) | 1.224 (0.881, 17.533) | 0.432 |
|                  | 3001-4500 | 74 (12.5%) | 82 (13.9%) | 0.459 (0.264, 0.799) | 0.331 (0.664, 1.311) | 0.231 |
|                  | 4501-6000 | 14 (2.4%) | 74 (12.5%) | 0.096 (0.046, 0.200) | 0.977 (0.564, 2.332) | 0.114 |
|                  | ≥ 6001 | 24 (4.1%) | 155 (26.2%) | 0.411 (0.239, 0.707) | 0.675 (0.977, 1.871) | 0.224 |
| Educational status | ≤12 grade | 140 (23.7%) | 231 (39.1%) | 1.523 (0.874, 2.654) | 0.646 (0.367, 1.139) | 0.131 |
|                  | ≥ College | 25 (4.2%) | 34 (5.8%) | 1 | 1 |
|                  | Yes | 81 (13.7%) | 258 (43.7%) | 0.221 (0.155, 0.314) | 0.664 (0.222, 1.986) | 0.464 |
|                  | No | 92 (15.6%) | 160 (27.1%) | 1 | 1 |
| Is your parents smoke cigarette | Yes | 72 (12.2%) | 245 (41.5%) | 1 | 1 |
|                  | No | 157 (26.6%) | 117 (19.8%) | 4.565 (3.200, 6.515) | 2.288 (1.548, 3.383) | <0.001** |
| Siblings smoke cigarette | Yes | 73 (12.4%) | 233 (39.4%) | 1 | 1 |
|                  | No | 156 (26.4%) | 129 (21.8%) | 3.860 (2.716, 5.485) | 0.847 (0.339, 2.118) | 0.722 |
| Peers smoke cigarette | Yes | 95 (16.1%) | 271 (45.9%) | 1 | 1 |
|                  | No | 134 (22.7%) | 91 (15.4%) | 4.201 (2.948, 5.986) | 1.472 (0.658, 3.297) | 0.347 |
| Cigarette accessibility | Yes | 102 (17.3%) | 278 (47.0%) | 1 | 1 |
|                  | No | 127 (60.2%) | 84 (14.2%) | 4.121 (2.883, 5.889) | 2.354 (0.117, 5.980) | 0.921 |
| Alcohol consumption | Yes | 81 (13.7%) | 258 (43.7%) | 4.533 (3.182, 6.457) | 2.320 (1.331, 8.440) | 0.004* |
regarding the relationship between educational status and cigarette smoking. This finding was supported with previously conducted in Uttar Pradesh [27], Jimma [28], Helaba [16], and low and middle income countries [21, 29, 30]. It is possible that the link between illiteracy and cigarette smoking is due to a lack of knowledge regarding tobacco’s harmful effects on health.

This study finding revealed that alcohol drinking has significant association with cigarette smoking. This finding was supported with previously conducted in Nigeria [31]. This observed association might be due to the consumption of alcohol that also triggers the probability of having cigarette smoking.

Also, this study finding revealed that those having parental cigarette smoking have significant association with cigarette smoking. This finding has been supported by study conducted in China [32–34] and in Sudan [35]. The possible reason for this observed association could be taking parents as their role model and passing extended time and intact contact with parents.

5. Conclusion and Recommendation

The prevalence of cigarette smoking among residents in the Hossana town is high. Furthermore, cigarette smoking was found to be substantially linked to illiteracy, alcohol consumption, and parental smoking in this study. The zonal health department, woreda health office, and health facilities should focus on regular surveys on prevalence and determinants of all forms of tobacco use in the general population and adjusting outreach session program for health professionals and health extension workers as much as possible. The researchers are recommended to conduct further research on cigarette smoking with strong epidemiological design to overcome the limitation of this study.

6. Strength and Limitations

This study looks into the prevalence of cigarette smoking and related factors in adult age groups that are rarely looked into. Because this study is based on self-report, the prevalence of cigarette smoking may be under- or overreported.
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