Photovoltaic effect of light carrying orbital angular momentum on a semiconducting stripe
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Abstract: We investigate the influence of a light beam carrying an orbital angular momentum on the current density of an electron wave packet in a semiconductor stripe. It is shown that due to the photo-induced torque the electron density can be deflected to one of the stripe sides. The direction of the deflection is controlled by the direction of the light orbital momentum. In addition the net current density can be enhanced. This is a photovoltaic effect that can be registered by measuring the generated voltage drop across the stripe and/or the current increase.
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1. Introduction

The possibility to produce light carrying an orbital angular momentum opened new opportunities in photonics [1, 2]. Such light, so-called twisted light (TL), can be used to trap, rotate and manipulate microscopic particles (optical tweezers) [3–5], atoms and molecules [6–8], as well as Bose-Einstein condensates [9]. TL can be used to generate electric currents in quantum rings and hence a corresponding local light-controlled magnetic fields [10]. The absorption of TL by bulk semiconductors and the generation of local currents was also demonstrated theoretically [11]. Further investigations of the influence of TL on the transport properties of charge carriers in semiconductors might be interesting, e.g., in view of potential photovoltaic applications. Currently, intensive research are devoted to the improvement of the efficiency of the photovoltaic elements by adjusting their material and structure properties; Refs. [12–17] are just but few examples. An alternative approach might be based on the adjustment of the structural properties of light before it is used to create currents in the cells, e.g. employing TL which is the idea followed in this work. TL is created routinely from usual light sources [18–22], e.g. via traversing a spiral wave plate that can be deposited onto the solar cell. One may think of enhancing the TL light intensity via self-focusing effect, an issue addressed recently [23]. Strongly-focussed TL beams deliver additional opportunities to manipulate optical properties of nanostructures [24]. In this context new horizons have just opened up due to the development of novel optical lenses [25, 26] based on metamaterials with a negative refractive index [27].

To explore the potential of TL for photovoltaics we investigate how the focussed TL beam influences an electron wave packet in a two-dimensional semiconductor stripe. We show that the application of a TL beam results effectively in a voltage drop across the stripe with its sign being determined by the sign of the topological charge of TL. Our numerical calculations demonstrate this photovoltaic effect in the case of a GaAs-based semiconductor stripe irradiated...
Fig. 1. Illustration of the system setup. In the left part the normalized probability density corresponding to the initial wave function is depicted. In the right part the normalized absolute value of the vector potential \( A(x,y,z=0,t=0) \) of the applied field is presented. The electron has an initial momentum \( k_x \) and will propagate in the \( x \)-direction during the course of time.

by focussed TL beam that is generated from the incoherent sunlight.

2. Theoretical formulation

We consider an electron with an effective mass \( m^* \) confined to a two-dimensional stripe located in the plane \( z = 0 \) (see Fig. 1). The width of the stripe in the \( y \)-direction is denoted as \( L_0 \) while the length in the \( x \)-direction is much larger. Nowadays such systems are routinely fabricated. The electron motion is driven by the incident electromagnetic field propagating perpendicular to the stripe plane and is determined by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation:

\[
\frac{i\hbar}{\partial t} \psi = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{ie\hbar}{m^*} (A_x \partial_y + A_y \partial_x) + \frac{e^2}{2m^* A^2} \right] \psi, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \psi(x,y,t) \) is the wave function of the electron and \( \vec{A} = \vec{A}(x,y,z=0,t) \) is the vector potential of the incident light in the plane of the stripe. Here we used \( \nabla \vec{A} = 0 \). We note however that in general the TL vector potential is not completely transversal. The longitudinal component is of the order of the wave vector of the light along the \( z \) direction and hence, for the frequencies considered here, can be neglected leading to the form of Eq. (1). We assume that the initial state of the electron, before it enters the area where it is influenced by the TL, can be described by

\[
\psi(t=0) = N \sin \left( \frac{\pi y}{L_0} \right) \exp \left[ -\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \exp(ik_x x) \tag{2}
\]

that corresponds to a freely propagating electronic wave packet in the \( x \)-direction and the ground state for the motion in the \( y \)-direction. Here \( N \) is the normalization constant, wave vector \( k_x \) reflects the momentum of the propagating electron, \( (L_0/2,x_0) \) is the average electron position at \( t = 0 \), and \( \sigma \) determines the width of the wave packet in the \( x \)-direction.

In the course of time the electron reaches the region where it is exposed to the influence of the TL beam. It is important to note that we consider here the light beam focussed to a very small spot beyond the diffraction limit. Such a focussing is feasible thanks to the recent achievements in the development of novel lenses based on metamaterials [25, 26]. The profile of the electric field in such a spot is modified by the lens arrangement with respect to the incident beam but its topological structure is conserved. In the plane \( z = 0 \), we model the field of the TL beam carrying an orbital angular momentum \( l \) using the Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) modes [21] with an on-axis phase singularity of strength \( l \). This type of intensity distribution is also called optical
vortices \cite{5,28,30}. Furthermore, the LG modes are characterized by the radial index \( p \) and the waist size \( w_0 \). In our case we use LG modes with \( p = 0 \) and \( l \neq 0 \). In this case the field intensity profile in the plane of the stripe consists of a ring with a vanishing intensity at the ring center (see Fig. 1). The corresponding vector potential of the circular polarized TL is convenient to write in polar coordinates \((r, \phi)\) with the zero of this coordinate system located at the spot center \((L_0/2, x_s)\). It is given then by \cite{21}

\[
\vec{A}(r, \phi, t) = \text{Re} \left\{ \vec{e} A_0 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}r}{w_0} \right)^l \exp \left( -\frac{r^2}{w_0^2} \right) \exp \left[ i \left( l \phi - \omega t + \theta \right) \right] \right\},
\]

where \( \omega \) is the light frequency, \( l \) is called the winding number, \( A_0 \) characterizes the amplitude of the vector potential, \( \vec{e} \) is the polarization vector and \( \theta \) is the phase. We consider here the case of a circular polarization, i.e. \( \vec{e} = \vec{e}_+ = \sqrt{1/2}(\vec{e}_x + i \vec{e}_y) \). The structure of the LG beams is such that they can transfer an orbital momentum and as a consequence a torque to an electron \cite{5,28,30}. The amount of the transferred torque is governed by \( l \). A practical advantage in this aspect is that the light-matter interaction is much faster that the decay of the optical vortices.

Even though this condition can be relaxed in principle, here we assume that during the electron motion across the considered area with the TL spot impurity scattering is neglected. This can be assured by selecting a correspondingly pure semiconductor material.

An important aspect in our model is the degree of coherence of the incident TL. The degree of first-order temporal coherence of a stationary light source is determined via the normalized first-order correlation function \cite{31}

\[
g^{(1)}(\tau) = \frac{\langle E^\ast(t)E(t+\tau) \rangle}{\langle E^\ast(t)E(t) \rangle},
\]

where the electric field is given by \( \vec{E} = -\partial_t \vec{A} \), which defines the coherence time of light \( \tau_c \). In general, for \( \tau \ll \tau_c \) the absolute value of \( g^{(1)} \) approaches 1 that means full coherence at this time scale. For \( \tau \gg \tau_c \), the absolute value of \( g^{(1)} \) is vanishing and the light is incoherent. We assume that the propagation time \( \tau \) of the electron through the TL spot is much shorter than the coherence time of the light source \( \tau_c \). Thus modelling the single electron motion we can assume the light to be fully coherent on this scale and the phase \( \theta \) to be fixed in the corresponding single event. In order to describe an average effect of the incoherent light beam on many propagating electrons in a time period much larger than \( \tau_c \) we have to assume the phase \( \theta \) to be random within the interval \([0, 2\pi]\). Any calculated physical property has then to be averaged over the random phase distribution. In practice, we have to simulate a large enough number \( N \) of realizations using the random number generator for the phase and then average the calculated physical quantity over these realizations \cite{31}.

### 3. Numerical results

In our numerical simulations we consider a stripe made of GaAs with a width of \( L_0 = 60 \) nm. The simulated length of the stripe in the \( x \)-direction is taken to be 400 nm to avoid any reflections of the wave function in this direction by the barriers. Figure 1 shows 180 nm from the whole length in the \( x \)-direction. The electron has an effective mass \( m^* = 0.067 m_e \), where \( m_e \) is the free electron mass. The parameter \( \sigma \), which characterizes the width of the density of the electron in the \( x \)-direction, is 15 nm, whereas the width in the \( y \)-direction is determined by the properties of the ground state. The initial velocity of the electron is \( 7.25 \times 10^6 \) m/s, which is achieved by accelerating the electron with a voltage of 10 V. The waist of the beam \( w_0 \) is taken to be 12.5 nm, that means the TL spot is focussed within the stripe. The center of the
Fig. 2. Calculated time-dependent expectation value $\langle y \rangle(t)$ in the case of (a) a TL spot with the fixed phase $\theta = 0$ and (b) the averaged effect of 10000 electrons with random phases $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Once the electron wave reaches the TL spot, it begins to drift in the $y$-direction. This drift depends on the sign of the orbital momentum $l$.

spot is localized at (150 nm, 30 nm), that means the center-center distance between the initial density of the electron and the vector potential is 120 nm. Consequently, the electron needs a time of $\tau = 24.83$ fs to propagate completely from the initial position through the TL spot. The spectral width of the natural sun light is $\Delta \nu \approx 5 \times 10^{14}$ Hz, i.e. the coherence time is given by $\tau_c = 1/\Delta \nu \approx 2$ fs. This small value shows that unfiltered sun light is not appropriate for our simulations because the propagation time $\tau$ of the electron is much larger than the coherence time of light, i.e. the TL is incoherent at this time scale. To overcome this limitation one can restrict the spectrum of the natural light to one color, with the help of an appropriate spectral filter. In case of yellow the spectral width is $18 \times 10^{12}$ Hz, which leads to a coherence time $\tau_c = 55.55$ fs. Consequently, $\tau < \tau_c$ and therewith we can assume that the TL spot remains coherent during the electron propagation through the TL spot. Our intention is that the TL spot should be produced from the incident sunlight. The intensity of the solar electromagnetic radiation at the top of the atmosphere is $I = 1.361$ kW/m$^2$ \footnote{\[\text{32}\]]. This value is weakened due to the propagation through the atmosphere, spectral filtering and focussing. In our simulations we use therefore the value $I = 500$ W/m$^2$ for the peak intensity. This corresponds to the amplitude of the electric field $E_0 = \sqrt{2I/\epsilon_0} = 613.4$ V/m.

Let us consider the effect of the TL on the moving electron under such conditions. In Fig. 2 the time-dependent expectation value of the electron position in the $y$-direction $\langle y \rangle(t)$ is plotted for two situations. Panel (a) shows $\langle y \rangle(t)$ in case of a simulation of the motion of the electron through a TL spot with a fixed phase $\theta = 0$. Panel (b) shows the averaged position $\langle y \rangle(t)$ in case of 10000 simulations, whereas every TL spot has a random phase $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. In both cases we consider two different directions of the angular momentum of the TL $l = 1$ and $l = -1$, respectively. We infer that depending on the sign of the orbital angular momentum $l$, the deviation from the initial position at $y = L_0/2$ is directed to the left or to the right side of the stripe. In the case of the averaged effect of 10000 realizations with random phases $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ of the TL spot we also obtain a deviation which is on the same order of magnitude as in the case of a single realization with a fixed phase $\theta$. This is a remarkable result because it shows that the TL spot can deflect the moving electron stream to one or another side of the stripe in dependence on the selected angular momentum sign. That is not possible for a conventional light spot without angular momentum (e.g., a Gaussian beam). The time dependence of the position deviation be-
Fig. 3. Time-dependent difference between the side currents $I_i$ (i=L,R) and the corresponding currents $I_0$ generated by a freely moving electron (i.e. $A=0$) in case of $l=-1$ is shown, whereas the situation with (a) a fixed phase $\theta=0$ and (b) the averaged effect of 10000 realization with random phases $\theta$ can be seen. Furthermore the averaged effect in case of light without angular momentum (i.e. $l=0$) is shown.

comes almost linear for $t>25$ fs, after the full wave packet passed the TL spot. In the case of a single realization with a fixed phase $\theta=0$, the deviation is directed to the left (right) side of the stripe for $l=+1$ ($l=-1$), while in the case of 10000 realizations with random phases it is directed to the right (left) side of the stripe. Notice also that the expectation values corresponding to $l=\pm 1$ do not behave symmetrically with respect to the middle of the stripe. Particularly, in the case of the electron stream this asymmetry is pronounced. These apparently contradictory observations can be explained by recalling that the applied light is circularly polarized. During the electron propagation through the TL spot the direction of the light polarization, and therefore the direction of the force acting on the electron, changes leading to the oscillations in the time dependence of the averaged position observed in Fig. 2(a). There are only several oscillation cycles performed by the field before the electron leaves the interaction area. Therefore, the final result depends strongly on the phase of the electromagnetic field and this effect overlaps the effect arising because of the orbital momentum of the TL. Deflections to the right side and to the left side can be observed for both values of $l$ depending on the phase of the field. However, for an electron stream they average to zero in the case of a conventional light spot and lead to the pure effect of the orbital momentum in the case of a TL spot, shown Fig. 2(b). In order to observe the symmetry between the cases of $l=+1$ and $l=-1$, one must also change the direction of the circular polarization ($\vec{e}_+ \rightarrow \vec{e}_-$). This means that e.g. for $l=+1$ and the left-circularly polarized TL ($\vec{e}_-=\vec{e}_{\perp}$) one obtains a deflection being mirror-symmetric to the case of $l=-1$ and the right-circularly polarized light ($\vec{e}_+=\vec{e}_{\perp}$).

Another interesting aspect is that the structure of the time-dependent current density. Due to the symmetry of the problem it is reasonable to introduce the current flowing through the left side of the stripe that is given by

$$I_L(t) = \int_{0}^{L_0/2} dy \, j_x(x_d,y,t),$$

(5)

where $j_x(x,y,t) = \frac{i\hbar}{2m^*} |\psi \partial_x \psi^* - \psi^* \partial_x \psi|$ is the time-dependent probability current density in the $x$-direction. The current flowing through the right side is given by an analogous equation with the range of integration from $L_0/2$ to $L_0$. These currents in the $x$-direction would be detected along a line in the $y$-direction at a position $x = x_d$ behind the TL spot.
In Fig. 3 the difference between the generated side currents $I_i (i = L, R)$ and the side currents $I_0$ corresponding to a freely moving electron (i.e. $A = 0$), which are equal for both sides, are illustrated. We show the results in case of the quantum number $l = -1$ of the TL spot. The hypothetical detector is positioned at $x_d = 180$ nm. The situation with one fixed phase $\theta = 0$ and the averaged effect for random phases, corresponding to the case of an electron stream, are shown. It is the averaged effect that is of interest for a practical realization. One can see that the difference $I_L - I_0$ is positive for nearly the whole propagation time through the detector, while in case of the right side current the difference is mostly negative. Thus we have found an increase of the current on the left side relative to the case of a freely moving electron, i.e. without any external field. Simultaneously the current on the right side of the stripe decreases. These current changes can be explained by the deflections of the electronic density illustrated in Fig. 2. For example, we see from Fig. 2(b) that in case of $l = -1$ the electron stream is deflected to the left side. It means that there is more electron density flowing on the left side, i.e. the probability current is larger than on the right side, that is observed in Fig. 3(b).

Averaged over the time profile we obtain an increase of the left side current $\overline{I}_L$ of the electron stream, influenced by the TL spot with $l = -1$, by 4.2% relative to $\overline{I}_R$. Correspondingly, the right side current $\overline{I}_R$ decreases by the same amount. The physical reason for this effect is the torque transferred to the electron from the TL. In case of $l = +1$, we obtain an increase (decrease) of the right (left) side current by 2.6%. We did not obtain the same values as in case of $l = -1$, because only the sign of the orbital angular momentum $l$ was changed. The polarization direction was not changed and consequently $\overline{I}_{L/R}(l = +1) \neq \overline{I}_{R/L}(l = -1)$. As it is mentioned above, the equality is achieved only by a simultaneous change of the sign of $l$ and the direction of the circular polarization. In case of light without orbital angular momentum (i.e. $l = 0$) the averaging over 10000 realizations shows that one can not expect different side currents. In fact our numerical results reveal that the difference $I_{L/R,l=0} - I_0$ approaches zero for a large number of realizations, which is observed in Fig. 5(b).

The question arises how the effect of current increase or decrease can be enhanced. To do this we placed additional light spots on the stripe. As a consequence the electron travels through several light spots which have the same properties and the same distance between each other. In Fig. 4 one can see that the side current changes depending on the number of TL spots. With every additional TL spot the increase/decrease of the corresponding side currents enhances. Just four TL spots are sufficient to reach an increase of 15% in case of $l = -1$. In case of $l = +1$ we obtain an increase of 9%.
4. Conclusion

We have shown that light carrying the orbital angular momentum can be utilized to generated and manipulated charge currents flowing along the right and the left sides of a two-dimensional semiconductor stripe. These changes have an opposite sign and can be controlled by selecting the sign of the winding number of the beam. The effect can be magnified by increasing the number of twisted light spots in the stripe. With four twisted light spots we are able to demonstrate a change of the corresponding side currents by 15%.
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