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Abstract

In this paper, we study the necessary and sufficient time to explore constantly connected dynamics graphs by a mobile entity (agent). A dynamic graph is constantly connected if for each time units, there exists a stable connected spanning tree [10]. We focus on the case where the underlying graph is a cactus-path (graph reduced to a path of $k$ rings in which two neighbor rings have at most one vertex in common) and we assume that the agent knows the dynamics of the graph. We show that $5n − \Theta(1)$ time units are necessary and sufficient to explore any constantly connected dynamic graph based on the cactus-path $C_{2,n}^k$ (composed of two same size rings $n$). The upper bound is generalized on dynamic graphs based on cacti-paths with $k$ rings. We show that for any constantly connected dynamic graph of size $N$ based on a cactus-path, $4N − \max\{n_1, n_k\} − 3k − 3$ time units are sufficient to explore the graph, with $k$ the length of the path, $N = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i$ the size of the dynamic graph and $n_i$ the size of the ring which is at position $i$ starting from left to right.
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1. Introduction

A mobile entity named agent which moves in a dynamic network (modeled by a dynamic graph), of which it does not know the structure, must visit all of its vertices or edges. This classical problem in algorithms by mobile agents named exploration has been much studied in static networks since the seminal paper of Claude Shannon [11]. It is motivated by its applications in logic, complexity, and robotics. Concerning dynamic graphs, only the cases of periodic dynamic graphs [6, 8] and constantly connected dynamic rings [3, 7, 1] have been studied. This is partly due to the fact that communications networks have long been assumed to be static. Since a decade, researchers began to model dynamic networks using dynamic graphs. Many more or less equivalent models which take into account the extreme dynamics of certain communication networks have been developed. One of the first models developed, and also one of the most classic is the evolving graph model [5]. In all its generality, the evolving graphs allow to model a large set of synchronous dynamic networks (see Section 2 for definitions). Consequently, to obtain interesting results, it is almost always necessary to formulate hypotheses allowing to reduce the possibilities of dynamic graphs generated by the model. Let us cite for example the assumption of periodicity of edges (dynamic edge-periodic graphs), where we assume that each edge of the underlying graph appears and disappears periodically, and the hypothesis of constant connectivity, for which the graph must be connected at all times. This last hypothesis, very classic, was generalized by Kuhn, Lynch and Oshman [9] by the notion of $T$-interval-connectivity. Roughly speaking, given an integer $T \geq 1$, a dynamic graph is $T$-interval-connected if for any window of $T$ time units, there is a connected spanning subgraph that is stable throughout the period. (The notion of constant connectivity is equivalent to the notion of 1-interval connectivity.) This new notion, which captures connection stability over time, allows to derive interesting results: the $T$-interval-connectivity allows a saving of a factor of approximately $\Theta(T)$ on the number of messages necessary and sufficient to carry out an exchange of information between all the vertices of network [4, 9].
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In this paper, we assume that the agent knows the dynamics of the network and we give an upper bound on the exploration time of constantly connected dynamic graphs based on cacti-paths of size $n$ (cacti reduced to a path of $k$ rings). A cactus is a connected graph in which two cycles have at most one vertex in common (see Section 2).

Our results. We show that to explore the constantly connected dynamic graphs based on the cactus-path $C_{h2,n}$, $5n\Theta(1)$ time units are necessary and sufficient. The upper bound is generalized on all cacti-paths of size $n$. We show that for any constantly connected dynamic graph of size $N$ based on a cactus-path, $4N - \max\{n_1, n_k\} - 3k - 3$ time units are sufficient to explore the graph, with $k$ the length of the path, $N = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i - k + 1$ the size of the dynamic graph and $n_i$ the size of the ring which is at position $i$ starting from left to right.

2. Preliminaries

This section provides precise definitions of the concepts and models informally mentioned above. We also give some previous results from the literature on the problem studied. The proofs of the theorems mentioned in this section are given in [9].

2.1. Definition 1. (Dynamic graph)
A dynamic graph is a pair $G = (V, E)$, where $V$ is a set of $n$ static vertices, and $E$ is a function which maps every integer $i \geq 1$ to a set $E(i)$ of undirected edges on $V$.

2.2. Definition 2. (Underlying graph)
Given a dynamic graph $G = (V, E)$, the static graph $G = (V, \cup E(i))$ is called the underlying graph of $G$. Conversely, the dynamic graph $G$ is said to be based on the static graph $G$.

2.3. Definition 3. (Constant connectivity)
A dynamic graph $G$ is said to be constantly connected if, for any integer $i$, the static graph $G_i = (V, E(i))$ is connected.

2.4. Definition 4. (Cactus)
A cactus is a simple graph $G = (V, E)$ in which two connected cycles have at most one vertex in common [2].

2.5. Definition 5. (Cactus-path)
A cactus-path is a cactus such that, if we represent the cycles by vertices and the connections between cycles by edges, we obtain a path (see Figure 1).

The length of the path is the number of cycles of the cactus-path. In the following, we denote it by $k$. In the figure 1, we give an example of cactus-path of length 4

![Figure 1 Example of Cactus-path of length 4](image)

In this paper, we consider dynamic graphs based on a cactus-path of size $n$. A mobile entity, called agent, operates on these dynamic graphs. The agent can traverse at most one edge per time unit. We say that an agent explores a dynamic graph if and only if it visits all its vertices. We also assume that the agent knows the dynamics of the graph, that is to say, the times of appearance and disappearance of the edges of the dynamic graph.
In this article, we will use the following results from the literature.

**Theorem 1.** [7] For any integer \( n \geq 3 \) and for any constantly connected dynamic graph based on a ring with \( n \) vertices, there exists an agent (algorithm), \textit{Explore-ring}, exploring this dynamic graph in time at most \( 2n - 3 \) (assuming that the agent knows the dynamics of the graph).

**Theorem 2.** [10] For any constantly connected dynamic graph on \( n \) vertices, at most \( n - 1 \) time units are sufficient for an agent to go from any vertex to any other vertex in the graph, when the agent knows the dynamics of the graph.

### 3. Particular case

In this section, we consider the constantly connected dynamic graphs based on the cactus-path \( Ch_{2,n} \) (see figure 2) composed of two rings of the same size, and we give the time complexity of its exploration.

![Figure 2 The Cactus-path \( Ch_{2,n} \)](image)

#### 3.1. Upper bound

We start by giving an upper bound on the exploration time of constantly connected dynamic graphs based on \( Ch_{2,n} \). The algorithm we are using here is very simple. And we show in the following that it is optimal. Let’s describe it. If the agent begins his exploration on the vertex common to both cycles, then he explores the leftmost ring first and then moves on to the next. Otherwise he explores the starting ring, then he moves on to the next ring and explores it. The ring exploration algorithm we use is the \textit{Explore-ring} algorithm whose complexity is given by 

**Theorem 1.**

**Theorem 3.** For any integer \( n \geq 3 \) and for any constantly connected dynamic graph based on \( Ch_{2,n} \), there exists an agent (algorithm), exploring this dynamic graph in time at most \( 5n - 7 \) time units, with \( n \) the size of each ring (the agent knows the dynamics of the graph).

**Proof.** The complexity of the algorithm is \( 5n - 7 \) because, to explore the starting ring, the agent pays at most \( 2n - 3 \) time units (exploration time of a ring with \( n \) vertices cf. 

**Theorem 1**). Going to the vertex common to both cycles costs at most \( n - 1 \) time units cf. 

**Theorem 2**. Once on the vertex in common, all that remains is to explore the second ring, which costs at most \( 2n - 3 \) other time units. So in total, the agent will pay at most \( 5n - 7 \) time units to explore any constantly connected dynamic graph based on \( Ch_{2,n} \).

#### 3.2. Lower bound

In this section, we show that the simple algorithm described in the section 3.1 is almost optimal. We have the following theorem which proves it.

**Theorem 4.** For any integer \( n \geq 3 \), there exists a constantly connected dynamic graph based on \( Ch_{2,n} \), such that any agent must pay at least \( 5n - 8 \) time units to explore it, with \( n \) the size of each ring. This bound remains even if the agent knows the dynamics of the graph.

**Proof.** For any integer \( n \geq 3 \), we define the constantly connected dynamic graph \( G \) based on \( Ch_{2,n} \) as follows. Let \( A_n \) and \( A'_n \) be the two rings which compose \( Ch_{2,n} \). Let \( v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1} \), respectively \( u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} \), be the vertices of \( A_n \), respectively \( A'_n \), in the clockwise direction. Without loss of generality, suppose the exploration starts from the vertex...
\(v_0\) at time0. The two rings are connected as follows to form \(Ch_{2n}\). The vertex \(u_0\) is attached to the vertex \(v_0\). In the following, we assume that the two vertices form one, and if we are on the ring \(A_n\), it is called \(v_0\), otherwise its name is \(u_0\). The dynamic graph \(G\) is built as follows (see Figure 3):

- The edge \(\{v_0, v_1\}\) is absent during the time interval \([0, n - 2]\).
- The edge \(\{v_1, v_2\}\) is absent during the time interval \([n - 1, 2n - 2]\).
- The edge \(\{v_2, v_3\}\) is absent during the time interval \([2n - 2, 5n]\).
- The edge \(\{u_0, u_1\}\) is absent during the time interval \([0, 2n - 5]\) \(\cup\) \([3n - 6, 4n - 7]\).
- The edge \(\{u_1, u_2\}\) is absent during the time interval \([2n - 5, 3n - 6]\) \(\cup\) \([4n - 7, 5n]\).

![Figure 3: Constantly connected dynamic graph based on \(Ch_{2n}\) achieving the worst-case exploration time](image)

Note that \(G\) is connected at each unit of time, so it is constantly connected. Note also that \(v_0\) and \(u_0\) is the same vertex.

Consider any agent (algorithm). We now prove that the time the agent uses to explore \(G\) is at least \(5n - 8\) time units. To explore the dynamic graph, the agent must visit the set of vertices of \(G\) in particular the vertices \(v_1, v_2, v_3, u_1\) and \(u_2\). We consider the following cases.

3.3. Case 1 the vertex \(v_1\) is explored before \(v_2, u_1\) and \(u_2\).

According to the order of visit of the vertices \(v_2, u_1\) and \(u_2\), we have the following bounds.

- If the agent visits \(v_1, v_2, u_1\) then \(u_2\) in that order
- To visit \(v_1\) without going through the vertex \(v_2\), the agent must necessarily go through the edge \(\{v_0, v_1\}\). By construction, the edge \(\{v_0, v_1\}\) is only present after \(n - 2\) time units. So the agent will pay at least \(n - 1\) time units to visit \(v_1\) for the first time. In addition, the edge \(\{v_1, v_2\}\) is absent during the time interval \([n - 1, 2n - 2]\). So to visit \(v_2\) after visiting \(v_1\), the fastest way is to go around the ring \(A_n\) and pay \(n - 1\) other time units. So to visit \(v_2\) for the first time, the agent pay at least \(2n - 2\) time units. Once the vertices \(v_1\) and \(v_2\) have been visited, the agent will visit the vertices \(u_1\) and \(u_2\). Since the vertices \(u_2\) and \(u_2\) lie on the ring \(A'_n\), the agent must go to the attachment vertex \(\{v_3\}\) to switch to the other part of the dynamic graph. The edge \(\{v_2, v_3\}\) being disconnected during the time interval \([2n - 2, 5n]\), so the agent will pay at least \(3n - 3\) time units to arrive on \(v_3\) after visiting \(v_1\) and \(v_2\). Once on the vertex \(v_3\), to visit \(u_1\) without going through \(u_2\), the agent must go through the edge \(\{u_0, u_1\}\), which is absent during the time interval \([3n - 6, 4n - 7]\). So the agent will pay at least \(4n - 6\) time units to visit \(u_2\) for the first time. To visit \(u_2\) after visiting \(u_1\), the best way is to go around the ring \(A'_n\) paying \(n - 1\) other time units, because the edge \(\{u_1, u_2\}\) is absent during the time interval \([4n - 7, 5n]\). So the agent will visit the vertex \(v_2\) for the first time after \(5n - 7\) time units.
- If the agent visits \(v_1, v_2, u_2\) then \(u_1\) in that order
In the previous paragraph, we showed that the agent pays at least $3n - 3$ time units to arrive on $v_3$ after visiting $v_1$ and $v_2$ for the first time. Once on $v_3$, to visit $u_2$ before visiting $u_1$, the agent pays at least $n - 2$ other time units because the path $u_0, u_{n-1}, \ldots, u_2$ is of length $n - 2$. So to visit $u_2$ for the first time without going through $u_1$, the agent pays at least $4n - 5$ time units. To visit $u_1$ after visiting $u_2$, the fastest way is to go around the ring through $u_0$ and paying $n - 1$ other time units, because the edge $(u_1, u_2)$ is absent during the time interval $[4n - 7, 5n)$. So to visit $u_1$ for the first time the agent pays at least $5n - 6$ time units.

If the agent visits $v_1, u_1, v_2$ then $u_2$ in that order

In the previous paragraph, we showed that the agent pays at least $n - 1$ time units to visit $v_1$, for the first time without going through $v_2$. After visiting $v_1$, to visit $u_1$ before $v_2$, the agent must go to $v_1$ without going through $v_2$ which costs him $n - 2$ other time units. So the agent pays at least $2n - 3$ time units to arrive on $v_2$ after visiting $v_1$. Once on $u_0$, the edge $(u_0, u_1)$ is present so it pays one more time unit to visit $u_1$. So the agent pays $2n - 2$ time units to visit $u_1$ after visiting $v_1$. To visit $v_2$ after visiting $v_1$ and $u_1$ and before visiting $u_2$, the agent must go to $u_0$ without going through $u_2$ which costs him one time unit. So the agent will be on $u_0$ at sooner after $2n - 1$ time units. Once on $u_0$, the fastest way to visit $v_2$ is to pay $n - 1$ time units through $v_0$ because the edge $(v_2, v_3)$ is absent during the time interval $[2n - 2, 5n)$. So the agent pays at least $3n - 2$ time units to visit $v_2$ for the first time. Now he only has to visit $u_2$ to finish his exploration. To visit $u_2$, the agent must go to $v_3$, as the edge $(v_3, u_2)$ is absent during the time interval $[2n - 2, 5n)$, then the faster way is to pay $n - 1$ other time units by going through $v_0$. So the agent pays at least $4n - 3$ time units to arrive on $v_3$ after visiting $v_2$ for the first time. The edge $(u_2, u_3)$ is absent during the time interval $[4n - 7, 5n)$. So once on $u_0$, the fastest way to visit $u_2$ is to override $u_3$ and pay $n - 2$ other time units. So to visit $u_2$ for the first time, the agent pays at least $5n - 5$ time units.

If the agent visits $v_1, u_1, u_2$ then $v_2$ in that order

The previous paragraph shows that to visit $u_1$ for the first time after visiting $v_1$, the agent pays at least $2n - 2$ time units. To visit $u_2$ for the first time after visiting $u_1$, the agent pays at least $3n - 5$ time units. To visit $v_2$ after visiting $u_2$ for the first time, the agent must go to the vertex $u_0$. As the edge $(u_0, u_1)$ is absent during the time interval $[3n - 6, 4n - 7)$. Then the fastest is to go around the ring by paying $n - 2$ other time units. So the agent pays at least $4n - 7$ time units to arrive on $u_0$ after visiting $u_2$ for the first time. Once on $u_0$, to visit $v_2$, the fastest way is to pay $n - 1$ time units crossing the whole ring because the edge $(v_2, v_3)$ is absent during the time interval $[2n - 2, 5n)$. So the agent pays at least $5n - 8$ time units to visit $v_2$ for the first time.

If the agent visits $v_1, u_2, u_1$ then $v_2$ in that order

We have shown that to visit $v_1$ and go to the vertex $v_2$, the agent pays at least $2n - 3$ time units. Once on $v_3$, to visit $u_2$ without going through $u_0$, the agent pays at least $n - 2$ other time units. So to visit $u_2$ for the first time, the agent pays at least $3n - 5$ time units. To visit $u_1$ after visiting $u_2$, the agent pays one more time units since the edge $(u_1, u_2)$ is present. To visit $v_2$ after visiting $u_1$, the agent pays at least $4n - 5$ time units to visit $u_0$. Once on $u_0$, to visit $v_2$, the fastest way is to go around the ring via $v_0$ because the edge $(v_3, v_2)$ is absent during the time interval $[2n - 2, 5n)$. So the agent pays at least $5n - 6$ time units to visit $v_2$ for the first time.

If the agent visits $v_1, u_2, u_1$ then $u_1$ in that order

The previous paragraph shows that to visit $u_2$ after visiting $v_1$, the agent pays at least $3n - 5$ time units. To visit $v_2$ after visiting $u_2$ and before visiting $u_1$, the agent must go to the vertex $u_0$ without going through $u_1$. Which costs at least $n - 2$ time units. So to get to $u_0$ after visiting $u_2$ for the first time, the agent pays at least $4n - 7$ time units. Once on $u_0$, to visit $v_2$ for the first time the agent pays at least $5n - 8$ time units because the edge $(v_2, v_3)$ is absent during the time interval $[2n - 2, 5n)$. To visit $u_1$ after visiting $v_2$, the agent must go to $v_3$. The edge $(v_2, v_3)$ is absent during the time interval $[2n - 2, 5n)$, therefore the agent pays at least $5n + 1$ time units to arrive on $v_3$ after visiting $v_2$. Once at $v_3$, it takes one more time unit to visit $u_1$ for the first time. So the agent pays $5n + 2$ to visit $u_1$ for the first time.

We do similar analyzes to case 1 to prove the other cases, and we get the lower bound $5n - 8$ claimed in the theorem.

4. General Case

We show here that the algorithm describe in section 3.1 can be generalized by considering constantly connected dynamic graphs based on cacti-graphs of length $k \geq 1$ composed of $k$ rings of same or different sizes. Let’s describe the generalized algorithm before giving its complexity. The algorithm we denote Explore-Cactus-path is very simple. From the starting vertex, the agent goes to the leftmost ring before starting his exploration. Once on the leftmost cycle, he explores it then he passes over the connecting vertex of the next ring not yet explored, and so on until he explores the
whole graph. The ring exploration algorithm we use is the **Explore-ring** algorithm whose complexity is given by **Theorem 1**.

**Theorem 5.** For any integer $N \geq 3, k \geq 1$ and for any constantly connected dynamic graph based on a cactus-path composed of $k$ rings, the algorithm **Explore-Cactus-path** allows to explore this dynamic graph in at most $4N - \max(n_1, n_k) - 3k - 3$ time units, where $k$ is the length of the path, $N = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i - k + 1$ the size of the dynamic graph and $n_i$ the size of the ring which is at position $i$ from left to right.

**Proof**

Let $N \geq 3, k \geq 1, G$ a cactus-path composed of $k$ cycles, and $G$ a constantly connected dynamic graph based on $G$. Let $n_i$ be the size of the ring which is at position $i$ starting from left to right and $N = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i - k + 1$ the number of vertices of the dynamic graph. Let $A$ be an agent executing the **Explore-Cactus-path** algorithm. To go to the leftmost cycle, the agent pays at most $N - 1$ time units (cf. **Theorem 2**). To explore a cycle and move on to the next cycle, the agent executes the algorithm **Explore-ring** and pays at most three times the size of the current ring minus four time units (cf. **Theorem 1** and **Theorem 2**). These two procedures are executed $k - 1$ times. So according to the starting cycle, the agent will pay at most $\sum_{i=2}^{k}(3n_i - 4)$ or $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(3n_i - 4)$ time units to explore the first $k - 1$ cycles and go to the connecting vertex of the last cycle. To explore the last cycle, twice its size minus three time units are sufficient (cf. **Theorem 1**). So to explore the dynamic graph, the agent pays at most $\min(\sum_{i=2}^{k-1}(3n_i - 4) + 2n_k - 3 + N - 1, \sum_{i=2}^{k}(3n_i - 4) + 2n_1 - 3 + N - 1)$ time units. Which is at most $4N - \max(n_1, n_k) - 3k - 3$. This conclude the proof.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we studied the time complexity for exploring constantly connected dynamic graphs based on cacti-paths, under the assumption that the agent knows the dynamics of the graph. We first considered the particular case of underlying graph $C_{h_2,n}$, and we have shown that $5n - \Theta(1)$ time units are necessary and sufficient to explore it. And we then generalized the upper bound on all cacti-paths. This study opens several perspectives. An interesting question to investigate would be if $T$-interval connectivity (for $T \geq 1$) allows to save a significant factor in the exploration time. A further perspective is to consider the exploration problem of dynamic graphs using more than one agent, assuming standard models of communication between the agents. The objective would be to study whether dynamic graph exploration can be performed more efficiently by using more than one agent.

**Compliance with ethical standards**

**Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank David Ilcinkas for insightful and valuable discussions regarding the topic of this paper.

**References**

[1] Bourjault M, Dubois S, Petit F. Computability of Perpetual Exploration in Highly Dynamic Rings. In 37th IEEE International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems, IEEE Computer Society. 2017; 794–804.

[2] Burkard R, Krarup J. A Linear Algorithm for the Pos/Neg-Weighted 1- Median Problem on a Cactus. Computing. 1998; 60(3): 193–216.

[3] Di Luna GA, Dobrev S, Flocchini P, Santoro N. Distributed exploration of dynamic rings. In Distributed Computing. 2020; 33(1): 41–67.

[4] Dutta C, Pandurangan G, Rajaraman R, Sun Z. Information spreading in dynamic networks. CoRR, abs/1112.0384, 2011.

[5] Ferreira A. Building a Reference Combinatorial Model for Dynamic Networks: Initial Results in Evolving Graphs. INRIA, RR-5041. 2003.

[6] Flocchini P, Mans B, Santoro N. On the exploration of time-varying networks. Theoretical Computer science. 2013; 469: 53--68.

[7] Ilcinkas D, Wade AM. Exploration of the T-Interval-Connected Dynamic Graphs: the Case of the Ring. In Theory Comput. Syst. 2018; 62: 1144–1160.
[8] Ilcinkas D, Wade AM. Exploration of carrier-based time-varying networks: The power of waiting. In *Theoretical Computer Science*. 2020; 841: 50-61.

[9] Kuhn F, Lynch NA, Oshman R. Distributed computation in dynamic networks. In *42nd ACM symposium on Theory of computing (STOC)*. 2010; 513–522.

[10] O'Dell R, Wattenhofer R. Information dissemination in highly dynamic graphs. In *DIALM-POMC*. 2005; 104–110.

[11] Shannon CE. Presentation of a maze-solving machine. 8th Conf. of the Josiah Macy Jr. Found. (Cybernetics). 1951; 173–180.