Hygiene and welfare evaluation of pigs slaughtered in agritourisms
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Abstract

The slaughtering procedures at agritourism farms must be carried out in accordance with the general and hygiene requirements of Regulations (EC) No 852 and 853/2004. In addition, regional laws define minimum requirements allowing some flexibility. Piglets and finishing pigs are the most frequently slaughtered animal in Sardinian agritourism farms. The aim of the present survey was to evaluate: the general and hygiene requirements of outbuilding slaughterhouses in agri-tourisms; the animal welfare indicators; the microbial contamination of piglets and finishing pigs carcasses. Six agritourisms outbuilding slaughterhouses – EU-approved – were investigated. General and hygiene requirements of outbuilding slaughterhouses and animal welfare indicators of 68 piglets and 5 finishing pigs were evaluated by mean of a checklist. The following parameters were determined on 45 piglets and 5 finishing pigs carcasses: i) pH 1 and 24 h after slaughter, and ii) carcass surface microbial contamination by non destructive method (spoon) on the following sampling sites: ham; back (adults); belly; jowl (adults). Aerobic colony count (ACC; ISO 4833:2003), Enterobacteriaceae (EB; ISO 21528-2:2004), Salmonella spp. (ISO 6579:2002), Listeria monocytogenes (ISO 11290-1:1996 and 11290-2:1998) were also tested. All the plants except one have two separate rooms, for clean and dirty zones, stunning and bleeding operations being frequently carried out on open air. The piglet scalding was carried out in hot water bowls, and hair removal by singeing. Animal welfare signs revealed the following aspects: handling: hoisting prior to stunning, vocalizations (41%); stunning: not individual access to box, repeated shocks (4%), mean voltage 135.6 V, mean current for head-only electrical stunning 0.78 A; indicators of not effective stunning: palpebral reflex (24.2%), corneal reflex (12.8%), vocalizations (15.4%); bleeding: conscious and sensitive animal shacking (53.8%). Results of carcass evaluation showed, for piglets and adult pig respectively: i) pH: pH1 = 6.21±0.25 and 6.18±0.22; pH24 = 5.66±0.17 and 5.49±0.11; ii) ACC: 4.11±0.64 and 4.63±0.42 (log10 CFU/cm², mean±standard deviation); iii) Enterobacteriaceae prevalence of 81.6% (2.55±0.80) in piglets and 100% (3.22±0.90) in adults. Salmonella spp. and Listeria monocytogenes were not detected in any of the samples. General requirements of outbuilding slaughterhouses in agritourisms are suitable to produce meat in compliance with hygienic rules, considering the low risk level. Results of Enterobacteriaceae levels of finishing pig carcasses were not in compliance with the EC Regulation No 2073/2005. Training of personnel is compulsory and can improve the stunning and bleeding procedures.

Introduction

The agritourism can be considered as a form of sustainable rural exploitation. Even though there is no specific legislation on agritourisms in Europe, regulations that give to Member States the authority to assign economic resources to rural exploitation are in force. The most recent is EC Regulation 1305/2013 (European Commission, 2013) that lays down general rules governing Union support for rural development, financed by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFR). In Italy, the agri-touristic activity is regulated by the framework law n.96/2006 (Italian Republic, 2006) that indicates to Regions the general principles and criteria to practice this kind of activity. The regulatory framework in the different Italian regions is highly different, both as regard the aspects that are regulated and the time of issuing. In Italy, agritourisms are largely widespread (over 20,000), especially in the north-west and center regions. The most part produce meat for human consumption in small local slaughterhouses, in accordance with the general and hygiene requirements set by Regulations (EC) No 852 and 853/2004 (European Commission, 2004a, 2004b), amended according to the flexibility concept and regional laws. The flexibility concept is intended to guarantee the food safety to the consumers, without compromising the general aims of food hygiene. The flexibility concept is interpreted in different ways, and therefore not homogeneously applied, in relation to the different animals slaughtered, depending on the specie reared at the farms. In Sardinia, the regional law n.18/1998 (Sardinia Region, 1998) defines criteria for agri-touristic activity. Comma 7 of the regional law n.18 establishes that slaughtering of animals at agritourisms is allowed, by derogation of the existing laws, next to polyfunctional premises. These regional rules state the annual capacity of agritourism and the simplified requirements for slaughter. In Sardinia, mainly swines (both finishing pigs and piglets), sheep and goats are slaughtered at agritourisms. As regard to animal welfare, slaughtering at agritourisms must be carried out in accordance with EC Regulation 1099/2009 (European Commission, 2009).

Few studies on meat production and slaughtering at agritourism level are available. However, a comparison can be made with studies carried out at slaughterhouse both on hygiene (Zweifel et al., 2008; McDowell et al., 2007) and on welfare (Sejian et al., 2011) indicators.

The aim of the present survey was to evaluate the general and hygiene requirements of outbuilding slaughterhouses in agritourisms in Sardinia. The animal welfare signs and the microbial contamination of piglets and finishing pig carcasses were also evaluated.

Materials and Methods

The survey was carried out at outbuilding slaughterhouses in 6 agritourisms (A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, A6), EC approved according to EC Reg. n. 832/2004 (European Commission, 2004b), Annex II, Section I, to slaughtering finishing pigs and piglets. Each slaughterhouse was visited two times. During the visits, piglets (about 30-45 days old) and finishing pigs (7-8 months old) were slaughtered. The following activities were carried out: i) evaluation of structural and hygienic requirements of premises and...
slashing operations; ii) animal welfare evaluation; iii) carcass evaluation.

As for the evaluation of structural and hygienic requirements of premises and slaughtering operations, the following information was collected by mean of a check-list: general information about the agritourism (number of operators; slaughtered species; slaughtered heads/year; slaughtering days/week) and [structural characteristics of premises and equipment: lairage; separation of the clean and the dirty zone; characteristics of the surfaces (walls and floor); installations that prevent contact between the meat and the floors, walls and fixtures; slaughtering operations; facilities for disinfecting tools; refrigeration facilities, by-products storage system].

As for the animal welfare evaluation, 73 pigs (68 piglets, and 5 finishing pigs) were evaluated by mean of a check-list built according to the EC Regulation 1099/2009 (European Commission, 2009), on the protection of animals at the time of killing, EFSA opinion on monitoring procedures at slaughterhouses for bovines (2013) and the Recommended Animal Handling Guidelines & Audit Guide (Grandin, 2013). By mean of the checklist the following aspects were evaluated: unloading time; ramp characteristics, pigs behaviour during unloading (e.g. slipping/falling); handling procedures; restraint procedures before stunning; stunning procedure [entrance of the pigs in the stunning area; stunning method (electrical or penetrative captive bolt device); state of maintenance of stunning equipment]; in case of electrical stunning: current (A) and voltage (V) measured at the electrodes; checks of effectiveness of stunning to ensure that the animals do not present any signs of consciousness or sensitivity in the period between the end of the stunning process and death (righting reflex, head circle movements, eye movements, corneal reflex, eye vibration, vocalizations, uncoordinated kicking of hind legs, absence of breathing); time between stunning and bleeding.

As for the carcass evaluation, analyses were performed on 45 piglets (about 30-45 days old, 10-15 kg live weight) and 5 finishing pigs (7-8 months old, 100-120 kg live weight). Piglets carcasses were evaluated at slaughterhouses A1, A2, A3, A4 and A5. Finishing pigs carcasses were evaluated at A3 and A6. Carcass pH 1 and 24 h after slaughtering was made by using a portable pH-meter (Crison GLP21, Crison Instruments) in M. longissimus dorsi. During the 24 hours after slaughtering, carcasses were stored in cold stores with controlled temperature (+2±2°C). Carcasses were sampled after evisceration and before chilling by sponge, according to ISO 17604 and Reg. EC 2073/2005 (ISO, 2003b; European Commission, 2005) at ham, back (adults), belly and jowl (adults) site. Sponges were analysed for the following micromorganisms: aerobic colony count (ACC; ISO 4833:2003; ISO, 2003a); Enterobacteriaceae (EB; ISO 21528-2:2004; ISO, 2004); Salmonella spp. (ISO 6579:2002; ISO, 2002); Listeria monocytogenes (ISO 11290-1:1996 and 11290-2:1998; ISO, 1996, 1998).

Results
Evaluation of structural and hygienic requirements of premises and slaughtering operations

Farms of origin of the animals are at a maximum distance of 0.5 km from the agritourisms and their outbuilding slaughterhouses. Therefore, animals were moved just few minutes before slaughtering and there were no lairages at slaughterhouses. Piglets were moved inside wagons pulled by one operator with a farm tractor. The wagons were not covered and the distance was very short. A maximum of 10 piglets, belonging to the same pen, was transported inside each wagon. Finishing pigs were moved individually by walking and induced by mean of feed by the operator. All the plants (except for A4) have two separate rooms, for clean and dirty zones. The dirty zone of A1 and A5 are outside, covered and closed by walls. A4 has got only one room and stunning and bleeding operations are carried out in the open air. All the plants are provided with surfaces (floors and walls) easy to clean and disinfect, facilities for disinfecting tools with hot water, installations (hooks) that prevent contact between the meat and the floors, walls and fixtures, refrigeration facilities, bins for by-products storage, Stomachs and intestines are not submitted to further handling but are stored in a dedicated fridge with by-products storage, Stomachs and intestines are not submitted to further handling but are stored in a dedicated fridge with by-products storage, Stomachs and intestines are not submitted to further handling but are stored in a dedicated fridge with by-products storage, Stomachs and intestines are not submitted to further handling but are stored in a dedicated fridge with by-products storage, Stomachs and intestines are not submitted to further handling but are stored in a dedicated fridge with by-products storage, Stomachs and intestines are not submitted to further handling but are stored in a dedicated fridge.

Animal welfare evaluation

The animals were not inspected by animal welfare officers. Before unloading, piglets were constraint inside wagons for a period usually lower than 15° (48%), up to a maximum of 30°. The monitoring of handling/restraint showed: hoisting by legs prior to stunning, in particular in piglets (100%); a high prevalence (41% in adults, and 95.9% in suckling pigs) of vocalizing animals. During unloading, no animal slipped or fell, no animal was injured or died. Most of the piglets (53%) were manually hanged to stunning. Stunning procedures were not carried by trained operators. The monitoring of stunning showed: not individual access for the 22% of the animals and no measures to prevent the vision of stunning operations for 14.7% of the piglets. The equipments (electrical longs and penetrative captive stunner) presented appropriate size, good hygiene and maintenance conditions. There were no devices to be used in case of non-operating equipments. The electrodes were applied incorrectly for the 23.5% of piglets, on the cheeks or on the lateral part of the neck; as a result, in some cases (4%) repeated shocks had to be applied. In all slaughterhouses the display for monitoring the stunning parameters was present, but a recording system was not in place. The mean current registered for electrical stunning was 0.78±0.51A (range 0.30-1.9), and the mean voltage was 135.5±17.31V (range 121-185); the exposure time ranged from 2° to 8°. The checks of effectiveness of stunning showed: one piglet had the righting reflex; head circle movements were detected in 20.5% of the animals; eye movements were observed in 32.4% of the piglets and in 1 finishing pig; 14.7% of the piglets showed the corneal reflex. The eye vibration (nystagmus) was observed in two pigs and uncoordinated kicking of hind legs was present in all the animals. Vocalizations were detected in 17.6% of piglets and rhythmic breathing observed in 33.3%, especially in piglets. The interval between stunning and bleeding was always below 15°, but ten piglets were fully conscious during bleeding. Moreover, for 61.8% of the uncorrected practices were carried out while hanging live animals by the hough.

Pig carcass evaluation

Carcass pH 1 (pH1) and 24 (pH24) hours after slaughtering – pH1 and pH24 mean values of piglets and finishing pigs are showed in Table 1. The pH1 mean value of piglet carcasses was 6.21±0.25. pH24 mean values of piglets carcasses was 5.66±0.17. It is important to highlight that the mean pH value of piglet carcasses slaughtered at agritourism
A3 (pH 6.51±0.27; pH24 6.06±0.22) were not in accordance with those considered normal for swine (Hui et al., 2001). In this case, a long waiting time inside the wagons (30') and some difficulties to restraint and stunning the piglets could be caused an irregular dynamic of pH. Finishing pigs' carcasses pH, evaluated at A3 and A6, showed a regular dynamic.

Mean ACC and EB levels (log10 CFU/cm²) of piglets and finishing pigs carcasses are showed in Table 2. As regard piglets’ carcasses, ACC prevalence was 100% with mean levels of 4.11±0.64. Mean ACC levels of finishing pigs carcasses were 4.63±0.42. Unhomogeneous piglets could be caused an irregular dynamic of pH. Finishing pigs’ carcasses pH, evaluated at some difficulties to restraint and stunning the carcasses were 4.63±0.42. Unhomogeneous surfaces ranged from 4.6 and 5.7, and from 4.6 to 5.9 for finishing pigs (data not showed). In finishing pig carcasses a prevalence of 100% and a mean level of 3.22±0.90 were observed. Salmonella spp. and L.monocytogenes were not detected in any of the samples.

Discussion

Structural requirements of outbuilding slaughterhouses in agritourisms are suitable to produce meat in compliance with hygienic rules, considering the low risk level associated to these productions (low capacity, small amount of meat and very local distribution).

As said, operators were not trained as regard the rules laid down in Reg. CE 1009/2009 (European Commission, 2009). As a consequence, in many cases, handling and stunning procedures were not in compliance with animal welfare requirements set out in the above-mentioned Regulation. As said, 22% of the animals had not individual access to stunning and therefore the vision of the operations was not prevented. Electrodes were not applied in the correct position in 23.5% of the animals and repeated shocks had to be applied. Moreover, in a variable percentage of animals, stunning was not effective as demonstrated by the detection of consciousness signs as corneal reflex, head circle movements and breathing.

As regard results on carcass contamination, EB levels of finishing pigs carcasses were not in compliance with performance criteria of Reg. (EC) 2073/2005 (European Commission, 2005) and ACC showed high mean levels, thus highlighting lacking in slaughter hygienic conditions. AAC levels are higher than those detected by Bolton et al. (2002) that, in a small-scale slaughterhouse in finishing pigs carcasses, registered level accounting between 4.5 and 4.7 log. In Switzerland, Zweifel et al. (2008) detected mean counts of 3.3 log. In a study conducted at pig slaughterhouses in Sardinia, AAC mean counts of piglets carcass surfaces ranged from 4.6 and 5.7, and from 4.6 and 5.9 for finishing pigs (data not showed). The AAC levels indicate that a detailed analysis of the slaughtering process, including microbiological data, is necessary, considering that carcasses may be contaminated despite the absence of visible contamination (Arguello et al., 2013).

Conclusions

Appropriate measures must be adopted to improve animal welfare, such as correct handling of animals before stunning, correct application of electrodes, monitoring of effectiveness of stunning. Therefore, training of personnel on animal welfare is essential, other than compulsory as set out by Reg. 1099/2009, to improve handling and stunning procedures in agritourism slaughter.
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