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ABSTRACT

The process of dog domestication likely involved at least two functional stages. The initial stage occurred when subpopulations of wolves became synanthropes, benefiting from life nearby or in human environments. The second phase was characterized by the evolution of novel forms of interspecific cooperation and social relationships between humans and dogs. Here, we discuss possible roles of the oxytocin system across these functional stages of domestication. We hypothesize that in early domestication, oxytocin played important roles in attenuating fear and stress associated with human contact. In later domestication, we hypothesize that oxytocin’s most critical functions were those associated with affiliative social behavior, social engagement, and cooperation with humans. We outline possible neurobiological changes associated with these processes and present a Siberian fox model of canid domestication in which these predictions can be tested. Lastly, we identify limitations of current studies on the neuroendocrinology of domestication and discuss challenges and opportunities for future research.

1. Introduction: Functional stages of domestication

The domestication of animals represents one of the largest cultural-evolutionary events in human history. Whereas modern humans have existed for at least two hundred thousand years [1], animal domestication began only in the last 15–40 thousand years, with most domestication events occurring much more recently [2]. Although there remain many open questions regarding how, when, and why various species have been domesticated, these evolutionary events transformed the biology of diverse species in ways that enabled novel forms of human-animal interactions.

The selective pressures involved in domestication have likely varied among different species, with some specifically adapted for domestication, such as dogs (Canis familiaris), and others remaining more wild, such as wolves (Canis lupus). Domestication likely involved at least two functional stages. The initial stage occurred when subpopulations of wolves became synanthropes, benefiting from life nearby or in human environments. The second phase was characterized by the evolution of novel forms of interspecific cooperation and social relationships between humans and dogs. Here, we discuss possible roles of the oxytocin system across these functional stages of domestication. We hypothesize that in early domestication, oxytocin played important roles in attenuating fear and stress associated with human contact. In later domestication, we hypothesize that oxytocin’s most critical functions were those associated with affiliative social behavior, social engagement, and cooperation with humans. We outline possible neurobiological changes associated with these processes and present a Siberian fox model of canid domestication in which these predictions can be tested. Lastly, we identify limitations of current studies on the neuroendocrinology of domestication and discuss challenges and opportunities for future research.
wolf populations were first attracted to refuse generated by humans, providing a novel feeding ecology that was most profitably exploited by wolves (*Canis lupus*) with the least fear of humans [11]. In contrast, other theories propose that human-wolf interactions began by humans capturing and rearing infant wolves [12,16] or via mutualism stemming from the complementary hunting strategies of Paleolithic humans and wolves [13]. Regardless of the specific circumstances that favored these early human-wolf associations, wolf populations initially occupied these niches as synanthropes (non-domesticated species benefiting from an anthropogenic environment). It is unlikely that humans at this time foresaw or actively planned for future stages of domestication, as would subsequent generations of dog breeders.

In a subsequent phase of domestication, human-dog interactions began to take new forms, and dogs began to be selected for particular behavioral characteristics [14]. During this period it is likely that social bonds between individual dogs and people became increasingly important, possibly favoring dogs who were most biologically prepared to develop such interspecific relationships. Although wolves can develop attachment relationships with humans when hand-fed from a young age [15,16], domestication appears to have relaxed the conditions required for such relationships in dogs [11,17,18]. Thus, whereas the initial stages of dog domestication likely targeted reductions in fear and anxiety that were required for life in anthropogenic environments, selection in later stages of domestication may have acted more specifically on socioemotional processes related to interspecific social bonding and cooperation.

Due to its historical nature, understanding the sequence of biological changes associated with dog domestication remains challenging. However, an experimental model of canid domestication – initiated with silver foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) more than 60 years ago in the former USSR – provides important clues about these processes. Below, we briefly describe this remarkable and long running domestication experiment and propose a model in which both the initial and later physiological changes associated with domestication may have depended critically on the neuropeptide oxytocin.

2. A canid model of domestication: Siberian foxes

In 1959, Russian geneticist Dmitri Belyaev initiated a study designed to mimic the process of animal domestication. In doing so, he aimed not only to create a selective regime reflecting the conditions he envisioned as critical for animal domestication, but also to answer fundamental questions about the phenotypic changes in domestication and their biological bases [3]. Although the genetic changes associated with dog domestication were unknown at the time, Belyaev proposed that, fundamentally, domestication was based on selection for tamability.

To test this hypothesis, Belyaev employed a species he had worked with extensively at the Institute for Fur Breeding, the silver fox (*Vulpes vulpes*). Year after year, he and his team bred and systematically tested hundreds of foxes for “friendliness” towards humans [19]. In the population selected for tamability, only the most friendly and least aggressive foxes (~10% of the population) were selected to be parents for the next generation (Fig. 1).

Across 60 years, Belyaev and his colleagues in Novosibirsk documented phenotypic changes in these foxes that closely resembled those in dog domestication (e.g., increased docility, alterations to developmental timing, and morphological changes including an increased prevalence of depigmentation, floppy ears, and curly tails). In addition to characterizing rapid and remarkable morphological and behavioral changes in these foxes, Belyaev’s team discovered a dramatic (two-fold) reduction of blood cortisol concentrations and decreased reactivity of the adrenal cortex in foxes selected for tamability, relative to wild-type controls [8,20]. These findings were the first to characterize neuroendocrine changes related to reduced stress reactivity in an experimental model of domestication [3,20]. Similar results have since been reported in domesticated rats [21,22] and guinea pigs [23]. Foxes selected for tamability also exhibited increased serotonin concentrations in diverse areas of the brain, which has been hypothesized as another important mechanism inhibiting aggressive behavior in this lineage [24].

3. Properties of oxytocin

Oxytocin is a neuropeptide synthesized in the mammalian hypothalamus, that is produced (with some minor molecular variations) in all vertebrates [25,26]. This molecule has important actions both in the central nervous system, where it functions as a neurotransmitter, and in the periphery, where it acts as a hormone. Peripherally, oxytocin is secreted into the bloodstream via the posterior pituitary where it contributes to diverse physiological processes including parturition, lactation, metabolism, cardiovascular function, skeletal homeostasis, muscle maintenance, and autonomic regulation [27–34]. Many of oxytocin’s actions in the periphery feed back to the central nervous system, for example, via pathways involving the vagus nerve [35–39]. It has also
been shown that oxytocin is actively transported from peripheral blood into the brain via the receptor for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE), a process that can influence neural activity related to social behavior [40,41]. In the central nervous system, oxytocin acts as a non-canonical neurotransmitter or neuromodulator, regulating emotional states, social cognition, and behaviors ranging from fear, anxiety, and aggression to pair bonding [42–45]. Oxytocin can be released from cell bodies and dendrites, diffusing to nearby receptors, but also by targeted long-range axonal projections [46].

In some species, oxytocin plays critical roles in the development of selective social bonds, for example, between monogamous dyads, or adults and infants [137,138]. Outside of reproductive contexts, oxytocin can sometimes increase social trust [47,48], modulate social attention and aspects of social engagement, such as eye gaze [49,50] and promote behavioral synchrony [51,52].

Importantly, oxytocin can also have potent anxiolytic effects. By binding to oxytocin receptors in the amygdala and vagal nuclei, oxytocin can modulate the autonomic nervous system [53–55]. Through actions on hypothalamic nuclei, oxytocin can influence activity in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis [56–58]. These actions of oxytocin on the HPA axis have been shown to robustly attenuate release of glucocorticoids, specifically by modulating synaptic transmission at corticotropin-releasing hormone neurons in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus [59].

Lastly, studies in primates and rodents suggest that oxytocin also influences serotonergic function by stimulating release of serotonin in limbic regions and increasing the availability of serotonin receptors [60–62]. Given the serotonergic changes observed in Belyaev’s foxes [24], and known roles for serotonin in inhibiting aggressive behavior [63,64], it is possible that oxytocin-serotonin interactions also contributed to reductions in aggressive behavior across domestication.

As described above, dog domestication likely involved a sequence of phenotypic changes, first involving selection against fear and aggression towards humans, and later involving selection for affiliative socioemotional processes that enabled dogs to (more) easily form enduring social bonds with humans. We hypothesize that each of these changes depended, in part, on neuroendocrine processes involving oxytocin. Below, we expand on the hypothesized roles of oxytocin across these phases of domestication.

4. Oxytocin in early domestication: Effects on fear, stress, and selective sociality

We hypothesize that in early domestication, the most important functions of oxytocin were those associated with dampening stress reactivity and inhibiting aggressive behavior. Indeed, in most experimental models of domestication, reductions in stress and aggressive responses are among the first phenotypic changes observed. For example, when Norway rats that were selected for reduced aggressiveness towards humans were exposed to an emotional stressor, their resting plasma corticosterone levels and overall HPA axis activity were drastically lower compared to rat lines selected for increased aggression [22,65]. Preliminary evidence reveals oxytocinergic correlates of these changes to fear and aggressive behavior. For example, comparisons between laboratory and wildtype strains of rats indicate that, relative to wildtypes, laboratory-domesticated strains exhibit higher densities of oxytocin-immunoreactive cells in several regions of the hypothalamus [66]. In feral rats strains – which exhibit higher levels of offensive aggression than laboratory strains – both acute, and chronic oxytocin administration yield dose-dependent reductions in aggressive behavior, whereas antagonizing the oxytocin receptor cause increases in aggression [67,68].

The studies reviewed above suggest several mechanisms through which increases in oxytocin signaling may have contributed to reductions in fear, anxiety, and aggression toward humans during the early stages of domestication. Further, this work illustrates pathways through which oxytocin may have altered other neurohormonal systems, which to date, have been principal foci in the neuroendocrinology of domestication (i.e., glucocorticoids and serotonin). Nonetheless, it is unlikely that a simple upregulation of the oxytocin system could account for the behavioral and emotional changes in early domestication.

Indeed, the effects of oxytocin are known to be highly context dependent and moderated by a wide range of biological and social factors. Recent research has confirmed these phenomena in studies of domestic dogs. For example, during calm and affiliative forms of human-animal interaction, both humans and dogs exhibit increases in circulating oxytocin [69–72], and exogenous administration of oxytocin can increase affiliative social behaviors, such as eye-gaze and contact seeking in these settings [73,74]. However, under other conditions, including threat, exogenous oxytocin administration has been shown to decrease dogs’ friendly behavior toward humans [75]. Similar “antisocial” effects of oxytocin have been observed in several other mammals, including both domesticated and non-domesticated species. For example, intranasal oxytocin administered to newborn piglets resulted in increased aggression to other piglets under stressful conditions [76]. Central oxytocin infusion promoted aggression in dominant male squirrel monkeys [77] and dominant male rats, which exhibited increased oxytocin receptor binding in socially relevant brain regions [78], and Gray rats became more aggressive toward conspecifics in the resident-intruder test after prolonged oxytocin administration [79].

The results of such studies can be challenging to interpret, especially because (at high concentrations) oxytocin can also bind to vasopressin receptors, sometimes yielding opposite effects to those when oxytocin acts at its own receptor [46,80–82]. Nonetheless, a substantial literature confirms that the effects of oxytocin are not always prosocial, particularly under conditions of threat or stress [83–85].

Several hypotheses, relevant to domestication, have been proposed to account for these varying effects of oxytocin. The social salience hypothesis [86] posits that via interactions with the dopaminergic system, oxytocin enhances the salience of social cues, regardless of their valence. Thus, in social contexts without stress or fear, oxytocin may promote prosocial behaviors by enhancing the salience of (positively-valanced) social cues among interacting partners. This effect could account for responses to oxytocin such as increased eye gaze, proximity seeking, and enhanced responses to human gestural communication in companion dogs interacting with familiar partners [73,74,87]. However, this hypothesis can also account for why dogs receiving exogenous oxytocin exhibit reduced friendly behavior toward their owners when approached in a threatening manner [75]. Related hypotheses emphasize differential roles of oxytocin in social interactions between in-group versus out-group members, such that oxytocin serves primarily prosocial functions when individuals interact with in-group members, but can decrease cooperative or affiliative behaviors toward out-group members [88,89]. Although the latter hypothesis was formulated largely based on studies of human behavior, and tested in contexts involving cultural identities, is bears relevance to domestication given that at some point, the core social group for early dogs shifted from being one consisting of conspecifics to one composed (predominantly) of humans.

It is unlikely that initial wolf-human interactions took place in the favorable, non-stressful situations where many prosocial effects of oxytocin have been demonstrated in dogs. Thus, it is important to consider the possible roles of oxytocin under the likely stressful conditions present in early stages of domestication.

Wolf packs occupy a home territory, that is delineated by scent marking and vocalizations, and which is defended from neighboring packs [89,91]. Although intergroup encounters are rare, when they do occur, they frequently involve aggression leading to serious injuries or fatalities [90]. Thus, wolf societies are characterized by highly selective sociality [45], with strong social bonds and high levels of affiliative behavior within a pack, but predominantly agonistic inter-group dynamics. Presumably, the initial stages of domestication required conditions in which some wolf and human populations maintained regular
physical proximity. During this period, which predates agriculture, humans lived highly mobile hunter-gatherer lifestyles, and it is likely that humans exploited many of the same territories as wolves. Although we know little about how human presence would have affected wolf populations at the time, many believe that wolves have long been fearful of humans [16]; but see Ref. [92]. Recent studies illustrate that wolf packs adjust activity within a territory to avoid human encroachment [93]. These conditions may have created chronic stressors for some wolf populations, who were required to either reduce their territorial usage, to find new territories, or to adopt behavioral strategies that allowed them to coexist in areas trafficked by humans.

Studies using animal models suggest that oxytocin can facilitate adaptive fear by promoting rapid detection of threats and strengthening memories associated with fear [94]. But at the same time, in stressogenic territories, oxytocin tends to reduce anxiety, allowing greater expression of exploratory behavior in novel areas of the environment [58]. Thus, it is possible that these seemingly paradoxical roles of oxytocin contributed to divergent strategies in wolf populations living near humans. In general, oxytocin may have contributed to sustained fear and avoidance learning in most wolves. However, among wolves who chose, or were forced to live nearby humans, oxytocin may have reduced (chronic) maladaptive anxiety, enabling these animals to approach and explore areas associated with human activity. Across generations such wolves may have been more likely to form new territories in proximity to humans [95], rearing offspring who exhibited further reductions in fear and anxiety due to developmental exposure to anthropogenic environments. Similar processes have recently been demonstrated in coyotes. Across reproductive bouts, parents become habituated to anthropogenic environments, and in turn, successive litters exhibit further reductions in fear of humans as a function of parental behavior [96].

Although speculative, the scenario described above outlines conditions in which a novel anthropogenic niche may have favored divergent adaptations among wolves, which depended in part on the roles of oxytocin in fear and anxiety. Once established, the novel anthropogenic niche would have continually favored animals with the least fear and refused to copulate with unfamiliar females, with only a few males from these conditions aligned closely with those observed in Belyaev socioemotional systems. We hypothesize that physiological responses to oxytocin in fear and anxiety. Once established, the novel anthropogenic adaptations among wolves, which depended in part on the roles of foxes, changes in social selectivity with reproductive partners presented breeding pair, and intergroup encounters are highly agonistic cooperatively, social units are based around a single monogamous highly selective sociality observed in wolves [73]; but see Refs. [116,117].

This distinction between general gregariousness and highly selective sociality is important here, as both sets of processes depend on an integrative system involving both oxytocin and the evolutionarily-related neuropeptide, arginine vasopressin [100,101]. Vasopressin has shown to modulate selective aggression and partner preference in monogamous species [102] and may be particularly important for more selective forms of sociality. The possibility that dogs became less selectively social during domestication is supported by recent work linking “hypersociality” in dogs to genetic variants associated with Williams-Beuren syndrome [103], a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by extreme gregariousness and attraction to strangers, and in which both oxytocin and vasopressin appear to be dysregulated [104]. Intriguingly, recent comparative genomic studies with wildtype and domesticated mammals suggest evolutionary changes in domestication involving all three subtypes of vasopressin’s receptor [105]. Relative to oxytocin, there has been comparatively little focus on the roles of vasopressin in domestication, but we hypothesize that functional changes involving vasopressin have also been important for changes to stress physiology, selective sociality, and aggression across the course of domestication. Notably, in initial studies on neuropeptides and aggression in dogs, aggressive behavior has been linked to circulating vasopressin, but not oxytocin concentrations [136].

5. Oxytocin in late domestication: Social affiliation with humans

As described above, we hypothesize that the central neuropeptide-dependent processes in early domestication were those associated with downregulation of stress responses, inhibition of fear, and relaxation to the social structure and highly selective sociality of wolves. Whereas these adaptations may have allowed canids to become synanthropic, the development of strong interspecific emotional bonds and cooperative behaviors likely depended on further adaptations involving prosocial functions of oxytocin. Relative to wolves, dogs easily form social bonds with humans, requiring minimal exposure to people during early development in order to be successfully socialized [17,106]. Social bonds between dogs and humans have been characterized using attachment theory (reviewed in Ref. [107], and assessed using modifications of Ainsworth’s Strange Situation Test [108], which was first developed to assess attachment between children and their caregivers. These and related studies reveal that social bonds between dogs and their caregivers exhibit many similarities to those between children and parents [109], including secure-base effects, and aspects of “social engagement” [110] such as sustained eye contact and immobility without fear [71,111]. Although it was initially reported that socialized wolves could not form such attachment relationships with humans [112], these findings have been challenged in recent years [15,113]. From a functional perspective, however, what matters is not a categorical distinction of whether such relationships are possible, but rather the relative ease with which they develop. In this regard, the evidence that dogs have evolved predispositions to seek and maintain social bonds with humans is abundant.

Importantly, many aspects of these social behaviors are known to involve oxytocin, and there is a rapidly growing literature demonstrating ways in which oxytocin facilitates and responds to these forms of interspecific social interaction. For example, several studies have shown that social touch, vocal cues, and mutual eye gaze increase peripheral oxytocin concentrations in both humans and dogs [69,72,114]. Similarly, under conditions of psychological safety [39] intranasal administration of oxytocin to dogs can increase affiliative behaviors toward humans, including proximity seeking and eye gaze [73,74]; but see Ref. [115] for breed differences in these effects), effects that have not been observed in wolves [73]; but see Refs. [116,117].

6. Predictions and future research opportunities

Although the number of scientific studies investigating possible roles of oxytocin in domestication is increasing rapidly, we still lack fundamental knowledge about core features of the oxytocinergic system in domesticated animals, and their wild counterparts. In the remainder of this article, we address possible neurobiological changes associated with the scenarios described above, as well as future data and experiments...
that will be required to powerfully test hypotheses about oxytocin’s roles in domestication.

In early domestication, we propose that oxytocin’s most important roles related to regulating stress and fear, effected by actions HPA axis, autonomic nervous system, and serotonergic systems. It is also possible that modifications to oxytocin signaling, in conjunction with arginine vasopressin, contributed to a relaxation of the social structure and reproductive monogamy of gray wolf ancestors. These aspects of oxytocin signaling may have relied primarily on evolutionarily ancient modes of oxytocin release (Fig. 2), involving diffusion from neuronal cell bodies and dendrites as well as limited axonal projections to structures in the midbrain and limbic regions [46,66]. Similarly, these target regions may have become enriched for oxytocin receptors, increasing sensitivity to oxytocin’s release.

In later domestication, we propose that oxytocin began to facilitate the interspecific forms of social engagement and attachment that characterize many modern-day relationships between humans and dogs. These processes may have required elaboration of the neural pathways described above, but perhaps also axonal projections to more distal sites, including those in the forebrain, which are evolutionarily more recent, and implicated in complex aspects of social behavior (Fig. 2; [25,119]. However, the extent to which behavioral and socioemotional changes in late domestication would have required elaboration to the neural targets of oxytocin signaling remains unknown. Given that prior to domestication, wolves (and silver foxes) already exhibited selective social bonds and highly coordinated forms of social behavior, it is possible that the neurobiological effects of late domestication consisted primarily of changes that allowed novel interspecific social partners to stimulate already existing oxytocinergic pathways involved in social bonding. On this model, existing oxytocin pathways that functioned in intraspecific sociality may have been evolutionarily co-opted for novel forms of interspecific sociality, without the need for substantial modifications to existing oxytocin pathways.

Testing hypotheses about the roles of oxytocin in canid domestication will require novel comparative studies. Current research has addressed these questions largely through genetic studies of the oxytocin receptor gene [120] or biomarkers from peripheral matrices such as urine [73,121–123]. Though assessments of peripheral oxytocin concentrations hold value, it remains unclear to what extent these measurements reflect central oxytocinergic activity [124] and this approach cannot address questions about the neural architecture of oxytocin signaling in the brain. Thus, an important priority for future research will involve the comparative study of fine organization of oxytocin neurons, their synaptic apparatus and central axonal projections as well as mapping of oxytocin receptor expressing regions in the brains of domesticated and wild-type species. Although beyond the scope of this article, similar work investigating arginine vasopressin and its receptors will be crucial for understanding the neuroendocrine pathways of domestication [125]. Many of the neurobiological consequences of domestication – especially those related to selective sociality and stress responses – may involve coordinated actions of both oxytocin and vasopressin, and thus their study as an integrative system will be critical [126]. In addition to this comparative neurobiological work, it will also be important to better understand the consequences of exogenous exposure to oxytocin (or vasopressin) in both domesticated and non-domesticated species, at different points in development, and across contexts [127,128].

The use of comparative studies, drawing on diverse species along a domestication continuum will be critical for our ability to understand and differentiate general processes involved in domestication from those

---

**Fig. 2.** Hypothesized changes to the central oxytocin system across domestication. A: In early domestication, oxytocin is predominantly released in the hypothalamus from somatodendritic compartments of OT neurons, locally suppressing activity of the HPA axis and reducing aggression. Medial surface of the dog brain is from Ref. [118]. B: Visual depiction of hypothesized changes in later domestication. There is an elaboration of neurons projecting beyond the hypothalamus (dashed lines) allowing targeted release of OT in diverse socially-relevant forebrain regions that orchestrate complex forms of affiliative behaviors with humans. C: Feral dogs in Ethiopia exploiting an anthropogenic niche, a process that was likely critical in early domestication D: Herding dogs as human assistants and companions, an example of novel forms of human-animal interaction associated with late domestication. The images in panels C and D are subject to copyright and were licensed for use by the authors.
which may be lineage specific. For example, although oxytocin’s roles in pair bonding were first demonstrated in studies with voles [129,130] specific signatures of oxytocinergic evolution in voles do not necessarily generalize to other pair-bonded taxa [131–133]. In this regard, it will be important to embrace a broadly comparative perspective for questions about the roles of oxytocin in domestication. Within the canid lineage, comparisons of dogs and wolves will be essential, but studies with other (partially) domesticated taxa such as dingoes [134], or urban coyotes [135] will also provide important comparative data.

Uniquely situated within this comparative landscape are the Siberian fox populations, from which so many other insights about domestication have emerged. These populations afford valuable opportunities for investigating the full range of questions delineated above, under the rigorous experimental conditions that have been sustained for more than 60 years. Thus, we expect that future studies with Siberian foxes will contribute significantly to the next phases of research on possible roles of oxytocin in animal domestication.
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