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Abstract
Introduction: Global climate change (global warming) has been identified as the primary factor responsible for the observed increase in frequency and severity of wildfires (also known as bushfires in some countries) throughout the majority of the world’s vegetated environments. This trend is predicted to continue, causing significant adverse health effects to nearby residential populations and placing a potential strain on local emergency departments (EDs).

Study Objective: The aim of this literature review was to identify papers relating to wildfires and their impact on EDs, specifically patient presentation characteristics, resource utilization, and patient outcomes.

Method: This integrative literature review was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines for data collection, and Whittemore and Knaff’s framework for data analysis. Data were collected from OvidSP, MEDLINE, DARE, CINAHL, PubMed, and Scopus databases. Various Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and keywords identified papers relevant to wildfires/bushfires and EDs.

Results: Literature regarding the relationship between ED presentations and wildfire events, however, is primarily limited to studies from the United States and Australia and indicates particulate matter (PM) is principally linked to adverse respiratory and cardiovascular outcomes. Observable trends in the literature principally included a significant increase in respiratory presentations, primarily with a lag of one to two days from the initial event. Respiratory and cardiovascular studies that stratified results by age indicated individuals under five, over 65, or those with pre-existing conditions formed the majority of ED presentations.

Conclusion: Key learnings from this review included the need for effective and targeted community advisory programs/procedures, prior to and during wildfire events, as well as pre-event planning, development, and robust resilience strategies for EDs.
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Introduction
A wildfire is an uncontrolled event occurring in an area of combustible vegetation, categorized dependent on the fuel consumed such as a forest or grass fire, collectively referred to as bushfires in some parts of the world. Such vegetation provides a carbon rich source of fuel, and when combined with seasonally dry conditions, can produce devastating results to local ecosystems and human habitation.1 Wildfires are not a new occurrence and have many natural and anthropogenic causes including lightning strikes, volcanic activity, as well as arson, and as an unintended result of agricultural land clearance.2 For example, it was estimated that the majority of the 2019–2020 summer fires that devastated the south-eastern parts of the mainland of Australia were caused by lightning.3 However, in that same period, other Australian fires which destroyed approximately 21,000 hectares of land were attributed to arson,4 believed in part to be due to seeded ideas from extensive media coverage of these events and perceived anonymity of the arsonists gained from other large fires burning in the surrounding areas.5

Climate variability, including heat waves, droughts, seasonal weather patterns, and periodic variation in winds and sea surface temperatures, known as El Niño in its warming phase, can all increase the likelihood of wildfire prevalence. In addition, the effects of climate change are predicted to lead to fire seasons starting earlier, finishing later, and inducing more extreme fire weather conditions.6 These predicted hot, dry, and windy situations, secondary to climate change, will likely lead to fast moving fires which are difficult to control and thus respond to, causing widespread fire effects and associated health impacts.7 Smoke produced by wildfires typically contains a number of air pollutants, including carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, particulate matter (PM), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and volatile organic compounds that can all impact public health.8 The primary health effects of air pollutants include respiratory and cardiovascular disorders,9 however ophthalmic and psychiatric problems can also result, as well as severe burns requiring treatment in special burn units, commonly resulting in multi-organ failure, a complication of complex trauma.10

The health effects and hospital admissions related to heat exposure have been well-documented,11 though studies focusing on heat exposure presentations related to wildfires are less common. The literature, however, has outlined a number of common themes related to these events, including associations between atmospheric PM of less than 10 microns in diameter (PM10) and respiratory-related hospital admissions,12,13 and between PM10 and asthma emergency department (ED) presentations.14

Demographic data from Australia also indicate indigenous people experienced approximately three-fold higher associations between same-day estimated ambient PM10 and total respiratory admissions when compared to non-indigenous people following exposure to bushfire (wildfire) smoke.15 This study noted that these effects may also be linked to underlying health status, access to medical services, or other social/societal characteristics such as poorly managed symptoms or a reluctance to access health care facilities. Other factors such as population size, distance to a hospital or response time of emergency services, and the residents’ ability to be less reliant on primary health care providers to manage respiratory problems all appear to influence the number of presentations to a health care facility associated with wildfire smoke/atmospheric PM.16,17

Climate predictions indicate wildfires will likely increase in frequency and severity and it is therefore imperative that EDs are adequately prepared for, briefed, and resourced to facilitate rapid mobilization of intervention teams following a disaster to minimize potential associated morbidity and mortality.18 This literature review will therefore examine these challenges, together with the strategies for planning that have been implemented to best manage their effects.19 Without such knowledge, significant future adverse health effects associated with wildfires will likely continue and be exacerbated following the predicted trends in global climate change.20 The aim of this literature review is to identify papers relating to wildfires and their impact on EDs. The objective is to analyze these identified papers for patient presentation characteristics, resource utilization, and patient outcomes to enhance the preparedness of EDs through an accessible format that clearly illustrates the strategies and procedures suggested.

Methods
Design
This integrative literature review was guided by the Preferred Reporting Items of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines for data collection, as promoted by the Enhancing the QUAlity and Transparency of Health Research (EQUATOR) network,21 and Whittemore and Knaff’s framework for data analysis.22

Data Collection
Literature was collated from various databases and search engines as artefacts of evidence to be included in this review. Databases and search engines that were included in this review include: OvidSP (Ovid Technologies; New York, New York USA); MEDLINE (US National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health; Bethesda, Maryland USA); DARE (Rutgers University Libraries; New Brunswick, New Jersey USA); CINAHL (EBSCO Information Services; Ipswich, Massachusetts USA); PubMed (National Center for Biotechnology Information; Bethesda, Maryland USA); and Scopus (Elsevier; Amsterdam, Netherlands).

The search strategy included different combinations of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and keywords that were identified as relevant to wildfires/bushfires and EDs. All proposed MeSH terms and keywords are outlined in Table 1. Terms and keywords in the columns were combined using the OR search strategy, while terms and keywords in the rows were combined using AND combinations. All original publications were included, however editorials, conference abstracts, and systematic reviews were omitted. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for this literature review are listed in Table 2.

Data Analysis
An analysis of the resultant data was undertaken using the approach from Whittemore and Knaff’s framework.22 Information extracted from each paper was entered into a Microsoft Word 2018 table (Microsoft Corporation; Redmond, Washington USA). Where available, this information/data included: publication characteristics (author(s), year); wildfire description (country and year of wildfire, location, duration, fire type, and smoke PM measurement); ED patient presentation characteristics (respiratory and cardiovascular presentations); patient outcomes (length-of-stay, discharge disposition); and key themes of findings.

Results
In total, 21 papers met the criteria for inclusion (Figure 1).

The impact of wildfires on EDs has primarily been documented in the literature from a relatively narrow number of geographical
locations. These have included several southern and western states of America (n = 16; 76%) and the eastern states of Australia (n = 3; 14%). They principally included effects from wildfires, although some other international studies have used data obtained from peat fires (n = 2; 9%) in examining their relationship to ED presentations have primarily been documented with a lower overall increase of between one percent and five percent. It was also noted that PM$_{2.5}$ from wildfires displayed greater toxicity to the lungs when compared to equivalent concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ from common urban pollution sources, possibly due to its higher carcinogenic content and greater oxidative potential. A greater prevalence of hospital admissions was observed in children and women in some studies.

This literature review has examined a diverse range of studies covering numerous demographics, however one notable feature present in several of the papers reviewed identified that ED presentations were primarily from individuals with minor or no previous relevant medical history. As previously noted, this has been attributed to individuals with recognized ailments being able to address the symptoms, self-medicate, and take appropriate preventative measures, potentially minimizing the health impacts of their chronic conditions. Those without this prior knowledge would include the demographic most likely to present to an ED should they become ill secondary to the poor air quality observed in such situations, a phenomenon that can similarly be observed with thunderstorm asthma. Whilst most papers focused on what primary presenting complaints increased or decreased during the wildfires, most papers did not explore patient outcomes relating to EDs. For example, only one paper reported on patient length-of-stay.

Beyond providing analysis of the wildfire characteristics, patient presentation characteristics, and patient outcomes, only nine of the identified papers included recommendations and findings to enhance an EDs preparedness for future wildfire events. The recommendations and findings can be grouped broadly into three themes: communication, personnel, and plans.

### Discussion

Previous studies have included a mix of regional, metro, and rural locations, primarily focusing on the short-term effects during and immediately after a specific wildfire event. Wildfire events and their relationship to ED presentations have primarily been documented in literature from two geographic regions: Australia and North America. Whilst these locations have seen a number of large-scale wildfires in recent times, other areas prone to such events, such as South Africa, Canada, and parts of Europe, have been less well-reported. Wildfires are episodic and can vary in duration, therefore, for those fires of shorter duration, exposure to PM$_{10}$/PM$_{2.5}$ may not be enough to detect all but the most sensitive health outcomes. Literature indicates, however, that PM$_{2.5}$ from wildfires is particularly toxic to the lungs, especially to alveolar macrophages, compared with the more common PM$_{2.5}$ exposure from urban pollution. This may occur because of a variation in the duration and intensity of exposure, differences in the composition of wildfire and non-wildfire PM, interactions between PM

| Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Focus on patient presentations to EDs resulting from wildfires | Discussion papers |
|                    | Editorials |
|                    | Abstracts/conference proceedings |
|                    | Systematic reviews |
|                    | Structural fires (eg, house/building fire) |

### Table 1. MeSH Terms and Keywords

Abbreviation: MeSH, Medical Subject Headings.

| Wildfires | Emergency Departments |
|-----------|-----------------------|
| MeSH Terms | Emergency Medical Services (D004632) |
|           | Emergency Service, Hospital (D004636) |

| Keywords | |
|----------|-----------------------|
| Brush Fire | Accident and Emergency Department |
| Bushfire | Emergency Department |
| Forest Fire | Emergency Room |
| Wildland Fire | Emergency Units |
| Wild Fire | Emergency Ward |

### Table 2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Abbreviation: ED, emergency department.
and temperature, and patient behavioral changes. In addition, studies examining fires in peat indicate that they smolder at lower temperatures, produce dense ground-level plumes with high concentrations of volatile organics, which can be more harmful to health than smoke from hardwood forest fires (common wildfires).

Other studies have focused on demonstrating that adult women were at an increased risk for asthma-related ED attendance during wildfire events. This may be attributable to the inherent structural differences between the genders (lung size and growth), differences in deposition of fine particles, and differences in airway hyper-responsiveness. Other work has shown that children appear to be at the highest risk of respiratory-related illness during a wildfire event, with one particular study illustrating a 136% increase in ED visits for asthma while very young children aged zero to one years old experienced a 243% increase. This study demonstrated a clear need to implement better early warning systems and community coordination with schools and childcare facilities to minimize such observed health impacts. Other research has indicated that improving communication through public health messaging may assist in reducing the health effects observed.

A lag time was often observed in the reviewed literature regarding presentation to ED following a significant increase in PM$_{10/2.5}$ levels. This lag varies from one to three days. As noted, a possible explanation for this lag could be attributed to the patients attempting home treatment and self-medication prior to seeking emergency health care. Factors that could influence such presentations may include the logistics of an individual’s outdoor movement in securing their personal safety and time spent in structural dwellings (minimizing exposure to heat and PM), or the cumulative worsening of the fires from the onset until containment or reduction of PM exposure secondary to dispersion through winds and weather. Emergency departments also need to be prepared for a potential same-day increase in patient presentations relating to the trauma of wildfires, such as burns, as well as for the lag in respiratory and cardiovascular patient presentations relating from wildfire smoke and subsequent increase in airborne fine PM. Such preparedness should include adequate health care supplies such as supplemental oxygen and respiratory-related medications, in addition to the need for surge capacity in in-patient and ED beds for respiratory-related presentations. Presentations, however, were not differentiated between those who already had underlying chronic conditions that worsened during the fire episode and those who were otherwise healthy prior to the event. In addition, there was no information regarding follow-up of patients who developed a chronic condition during the bushfire event and subsequently returned to ED some months later.

### Study Limitations

This literature review identified that documented wildfire studies have only been conducted in a relatively small number of locations globally. Geographical surveys, however, have indicated that wildfires are a common global occurrence and thus data on subsequent health impacts in these other areas appear to have not been adequately captured in peer-reviewed literature. Furthermore, a number of studies included in this literature review have also relied on fixed air quality monitors typically located in more densely populated areas, which can be far from the point of origin of the fire, potentially representing a population with baseline characteristics different from the study population. Another limitation of this study is its focus on the published literature in English language. Consequently, relevant information in other languages may be missing. These limitations should be further addressed as a part of future research.

---

**Figure 1. Modified PRISMA Flow Diagram.**

Abbreviation: PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis.
Conclusions

Despite the increasing prevalence of wildfires globally, their documented impacts on EDs are still relatively limited to a small number of countries or regions and have great variability in their findings. Of the data extracted from the included papers, the findings include variation in ED presentation characteristics between locations such as rural versus metropolitan, demographics such as males versus females, and pollution type such as wildfire versus equivalent pollution loading from urban pollution. A greater prevalence of women and children were observed in EDs following a wildfire event and a lag time was sometimes observed between the start of the event and significant increases in ED presentations. The primary presenting complaint secondary to wildfires at EDs across all studies was respiratory, and often this was from individuals with little or no previous history of the disease. Conversely, those more aware of their medical condition (predominantly chronic respiratory conditions) were able to self-medicate and adequately prepare for the event, assuming key messaging was promulgated in a timely manner. Overall, there is limited information regarding wildfire duration versus health.

Table 3. Wildfire Details

| Publication | Country, Year | Location (Rural/Metro) | Duration | Fire Type | Smoke PM (2.5/10) |
|-------------|---------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|
| Alman, et al 2016[23] | Colorado, USA 2012 | Metro | 32 days | Wildfire | 2.5 |
| Deflorio-Barker, et al 2019[24] | USA 2008-2010 | Rural | Various over 3 years | Wildfire | 2.5 |
| Dellino, et al 2009[25] | California, USA 2003 | Metro/Rural | 45 days (1st Oct - 15th Nov 2003) | Wildfire | 2.5 |
| Dohrenwend, et al 2013[26] | California, USA 2007 | Metro | 36 days (1st Oct - 6th Nov 2017) | Wildfire | 10 |
| Gan, et al 2017[27] | Washington, USA 2012 | Metro | 122 days (1st Jul - 31st Oct, 2012) | Wildfire | 2.5 |
| Haikerwal, et al 2015[28] | Victoria, Australia 2006-2007 | State-Wide | 62 days (1st Dec 2006 - 31st Jan 2007) | Wildfire | 2.5 |
| Haikerwal, et al 2016[29] | Victoria, Australia 2006-2007 | State-Wide | 62 days (1st Dec 2006 - 31st Jan 2007) | Wildfire | 2.5 |
| Hutchinson, et al 2018[30] | San Diego, California, USA 2007 | Metro | 152 days (1st Aug - 31st Dec 2007) | Wildfire | 2.5 |
| Kiser, et al 2020[31] | Reno, Nevada, USA 2013-2018 | Rural | Various over 5 years | Wildfire | 2.5 and 10 |
| Kochi, et al 2018[32] | California, USA 2007 | Metro | Various over 3 years (2005-2007) | Wildfire | 2.5 and 10 |
| Leibel, et al 2020[33] | San Diego, USA 2007 | Metro | Various 2011-2017, 7-16 Dec 2017 | Wildfire | 2.5 |
| Morgan, et al 2010[34] | Sydney, Australia 1994-2002 | Metro | Various over 8 years (1994-2002) | Bushfire/Biomass Burning Vegetation | 10 |
| Rappold, et al 2011[35] | North Carolina USA 2008 | Rural | 43 days (1st Jun - 14th Jul, 2008) | Peat Bog | NR |
| Reid, et al 2016[36] | North Carolina, USA 2008 | Metro/Rural | 132 days (6th May - 16th September) | Wildfire | 2.5 |
| Reid, et al 2019[37] | North Carolina, USA 2008 | Metro/Rural | 143 days (6th May - 26th September) | Wildfire | 2.5 |
| Resnick, et al 2015[38] | Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA 2011 | Urban | 68 days 1st May – 8th Jul, 2011 | Wildfire | 2.5 |
| Schrutzman, et al 2010[39] | San Diego, USA 2007 | Urban | 14-19 Oct 2007 and after 21-26 Oct 2007 (9 days total) | Wildfire | 2.5 |
| Shusterman, et al 1993[40] | Alameda County, California, USA 1991 | Metro/Rural | 20th-26th October 1991 (6 days) | Grassfire | NR |
| Tinling, et al 2016[41] | North Carolina, USA 2008 | Rural | 45 days | Peat fire | 2.5 |
| Viswanathan, et al 2006[42] | San Diego California, USA 2003 | Metro/Rural | 21 days | Wildfire | 10 |
| Wettstein, et al 2018[43] | California, USA 2015 | Metro/Rural | 152 days (May 1st - Sept 30th) | Wildfire | 2.5 |
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Impacts on specific demographics, particularly those persons directly involved in combating, suppressing, and preventing such wildfires. The focus of future research should therefore center on examining ED presentations in other regions of the world outside of Australia and America. With a predicted increase in duration and severity of future wildfires, studies should also focus on examining ED preparedness for longer duration and more frequent wildfire events.

### Table 4. Changes to Patient Characteristics and Outcomes in the ED during Wildfires

| Author, Year | Respiratory | Cardiovascular | Hospital Admissions |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Alman, et al 2016[23] | ↑ Asthma; ↑ Bronchitis; ↑ COPD | ↑ AMI; ↓ CHF; ↓ PVD | NR |
| Delfioro-Barker, et al 2019[44] | ↑ Respiratory | ↑ Cardiovascular | ↑ Asthma; ↑ Cardiovascular |
| Delfino, et al 2009[25] | NR | NR | Respiratory; ↑ Acute bronchitis; ↑ Asthma; ↑ COPD; ↑ Pneumonia |
| Dohrenwend, et al 2013[26] | ↑ Asthma; ↑ Dyspnea | NR | CHF; ↑ Ischemic heart disease; ↑ Stroke |
| Gan, et al 2017[27] | ↑ Asthma | NR | ↑ All respiratory outcomes; ↑ Asthma; ↑ Cerebrovascular disease |
| Haikerwal, et al 2015[28] | NR | ↑ Ischemic heart disease; ↑ Out-of-hospital cardiac arrests | ↑ Ischemic heart disease |
| Haikerwal, et al 2016[29] | ↑ Asthma | NR | NR |
| Hutchinson, et al 2018[30] | ↑ Asthma; ↑ Bronchitis; ↑ Upper respiratory infections | NR | ↑ COPD |
| Kiser, et al 2020[31] | ↑ Asthma | NR | NR |
| Kochi, et al 2016[32] | ↑ All-cause respiratory; Nearly 760 excess respiratory-related visits | ↑ Acute cardiovascular; 38 excess cardiovascular-related visits | ↑ All-cause respiratory; ↑ Acute cardiovascular |
| Leibel, et al 2020[33] | ↑ All-cause respiratory | NR | NR |
| Morgan, et al 2010[34] | NR | ↑ All-cause respiratory; ↑ Asthma; ↑ COPD |
| Rappold, et al 2011[35] | ↑ Asthma; ↑ COPD; ↑ pneumonia | ↑ All-cause cardiovascular; ↑ Heart failure | NR |
| Reid, et al 2016[36] | ↑ All-cause respiratory; ↑ Asthma; ↑ COPD | NR | ↑ All-cause respiratory; ↑ Asthma |
| Reid, et al 2019[37] | ↑ Asthma; ↑ COPD | NR | ↑ Asthma; ↓ Pneumonia |
| Resnick, et al 2015[38] | ↑ All-cause respiratory; ↑ Asthma | ↑ All-cause cardiovascular; ↑ Cerebral vascular disease; ↑ Hypertension; ↑ Ischemic heart disease | NR |
| Schranz, et al 2010[39] | ↓ Overall daily presentation rates; ↑ Shortness of breath | NR | ↑ Overall admission rates |
| Shusterman, et al 1993[40] | Descriptive only: Bronchospastic (31%), Respiratory irritation (20%) | NR | NR |
| Tinling, et al 2016[41] | ↑ All-cause respiratory; ↑ Hypertension; ↑ Respiratory/other chest symptoms; ↑ Upper respiratory infections | ↑ All-cause cardiac | NR |
| Viswanathan, et al 2006[42] | ↑ Overall daily presentation rates; ↑ All-cause respiratory; ↑ Asthma; ↑ Bronchitis; ↑ Respiratory without fever | NR | NR |
| Wettstein, et al 2018[43] | ↑ All-cause respiratory; ↑ Asthma; ↑ COPD; ↑ Pneumonia | ↑ All-cause cardiovascular; ↑ AMI; ↑ Dysrhythmia; ↑ Heart failure; ↑ Ischemic heart disease; ↑ Ischemic stroke | NR |

Note: ↑ = increase in presentations; ↓ = decrease in presentations.

Abbreviations: AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CHF, congestive heart failure; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ED, emergency department; NR, not reported; LOS, length of stay; PVD, peripheral vascular disease.
Communication

- The need for community advisories and early warning systems
- Improved communication for those who are susceptible/vulnerable
- Need for effective health messages
- Health advisories should be targeted toward persons with asthma or chronic lung disease
- Community coordination with schools and health care facilities
- Atmospheric real-time monitoring should be used to inform community warnings

Personnel

- An increase in demand on staffing resources
- Significant wildfires only marginally affect ED operations and may not require significant changes to normal staffing levels

Plans

- For the ED, engaging in pre-event planning was important for an adequate response
- For individuals, those who know they are susceptible may decide to stay inside or relocate from an area expected to be impacted by the wildfires

Table 5. Recommendations and Lessons

| Abbreviation: ED, emergency department. |
|----------------------------------------|
| **Communication**                       |
| - The need for community advisories and early warning systems |
| - Improved communication for those who are susceptible/vulnerable |
| - Need for effective health messages |
| - Health advisories should be targeted toward persons with asthma or chronic lung disease |
| - Community coordination with schools and health care facilities |
| - Atmospheric real-time monitoring should be used to inform community warnings |
| **Personnel**                           |
| - An increase in demand on staffing resources |
| - Significant wildfires only marginally affect ED operations and may not require significant changes to normal staffing levels |
| **Plans**                               |
| - For the ED, engaging in pre-event planning was important for an adequate response |
| - For individuals, those who know they are susceptible may decide to stay inside or relocate from an area expected to be impacted by the wildfires |
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