Identification and analysis of ribonuclease P and MRP RNA in a broad range of eukaryotes

Paul Piccinelli¹, Magnus Alm Rosenblad¹,² and Tore Samuelsson¹,*

¹Department of Medical Biochemistry, Goteborg University, Box 440, SE-405 30 Göteborg, Sweden and ²SWEGENE Bioinformatics, Goteborg University, Box 413, SE-405 30 Goteborg, Sweden

Received April 20, 2005; Revised June 3, 2005; Accepted July 21, 2005

ABSTRACT
RNases P and MRP are ribonucleoprotein complexes involved in tRNA and rRNA processing, respectively. The RNA subunits of these two enzymes are structurally related to each other and play an essential role in the enzymatic reaction. Both of the RNAs have a highly conserved helical region, P4, which is important in the catalytic reaction. We have used a bioinformatics approach based on conserved elements to computationally analyze available genomic sequences of eukaryotic organisms and have identified a large number of novel nuclear RNase P and MRP RNA genes. For MRP RNA for instance, this investigation increases the number of known sequences by a factor of three. We present secondary structure models of many of the predicted RNAs. Although all sequences are able to fold into the consensus secondary structure of P and MRP RNAs, a striking variation in size is observed, ranging from a Nosema locustae MRP RNA of 160 nt to much larger RNAs, e.g. a Plasmodium knowlesi P RNA of 696 nt. The P and MRP RNA genes appear in tandem in some protists, further emphasizing the close evolutionary relationship of these RNAs.

INTRODUCTION
RNases P and MRP are ribonucleoprotein complexes that are involved in RNA processing (1). RNase P is found in all kingdoms of life and endonucleolytically cleaves a pre-tRNA to generate the mature 5' end of the tRNA. MRP is found only in eukaryotes. It has been shown to be important for rRNA processing at a specific site, A3, cleaving pre-rRNA to release 5.8S rRNA (2–5). MRP probably has additional functions. For example, it was recently shown that RNase MRP cleaves the CLB2 mRNA in yeast (6,7). MRP RNA is associated with the genetic disease cartilage hair hypoplasia (8). Some of the protein subunits found in the RNase P from yeast and man are also found in the MRP counterpart (1). Furthermore, the P and MRP RNA components may be folded into very similar secondary structures (9). For these reasons, it is likely that RNases P and MRP are evolutionary related and that the RNA subunits have a common ancestor.

The RNA subunit plays an essential role in the enzymatic reaction. In bacterial RNase P, the RNA component is catalytically active without any protein component (10). In eukaryotes and Archaea, one or more protein subunits are required for catalysis (11). Secondary structure models have been presented for the bacterial P RNA and they are similar to models for eukaryotic P RNA and MRP RNA (Figure 1) (9). The bacterial P RNA is organized into two different domains, one catalytic domain and one specificity (S) domain known to bind pre-tRNA substrates. Also, the eukaryotic RNAs seem to have a similar organization (domains 1 and 2 in Figure 1, where domain 1 is the catalytic domain). The structure of a bacterial P RNA S domain was recently solved (12,13) and a low-resolution model of the bacterial catalytic domain has been presented (14).

A number of secondary structure elements are shared between P and MRP RNAs (Figure 1). The P4 helix, formed by pairing of bases from the regions CR-I and CR-V (Figure 1), is essential for catalytic activity and is probably an important part of the enzyme active site. Very similar P4 helices may be formed in P and MRP RNAs (15) and the sequences of the regions CR-I and CR-V are conserved in evolution. Another conserved region in domain 1 is CR-IV (Figure 1) with the consensus sequence AGNNNNA for P RNA and AGNNA for MRP RNA.

There are also conserved elements in the domain 2 of P RNA, CR-II and CR-III (Figure 1). In many species, the CR-II sequence is AGARA. Mutational analysis of CR-II in yeast indicates that this region of the RNA is important in catalytic efficiency (16). However, in bacteria, the corresponding CR-II/CR-III domain is not essential for catalysis, rather it plays a role in substrate discrimination (17–21). In contrast to P RNA, MRP RNA does not appear to have any conserved sequence motifs in domain 2.
RNase P and MRP genes, as many other non-coding RNAs, are poorly annotated in genome projects. As a result, for many species we lack sequences of P and MRP RNAs. In part, this is because no effective methods have been developed for their identification from genomic sequences. In particular, MRP RNA has been poorly documented, and we only know sequences from yeasts, a few vertebrates and Arabidopsis. To remedy this situation, we have made an extensive inventory of both P and MRP RNAs. We present here a number of putative novel genes resulting from an analysis of available genome sequence data. To do this, we have made use of methods that we previously used to identify SRP RNA genes that are based on pattern searches and covariance models (22,23). We have also developed a method based on the conserved features of the CR-I and CR-V regions. The novel P and MRP RNA sequences should significantly improve our understanding of the structure and evolution of RNase P and MRP.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sources of genomic sequences**

Genomic sequences were obtained from NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez), ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/, EMBL (www.ebi.ac.uk) and ENSEMBL (www.ensembl.org). We also made use of TraceDB (ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/TraceDB) with shotgun reads from genome sequencing projects, including different strains of Drosophila. Plasmodium sequences were from PlasmoDB at www.plasmodb.org. We have received permission from TIGR to use genome data of Trypanosoma cruzi, Entamoeba histolytica, Theileria parva, Toxoplasma gondii and Trichomonas vaginalis.

Cryptosporidium hominis and Cryptosporidium parvum genome sequences were from http://cryptodb.org/. Leishmania species were from the Sanger centre (www.sanger.ac.uk). Chlamydomonas reinhardtii sequences were obtained at genome.jgi-psf.org/chlre2/chlre2.home.html and Giardia lambia at jhpc.mbl.edu/Giardia-HTML/index2.html. For Saccharomyces P and MRP identification, we made also use of the Saccharomyces database (http://www.yeastgenome.org/).

**Software**

Multiple alignments of RNA primary sequences were made using ClustalW (24) and in some cases formatted using BOXSHADE (http://www.isrec.isb-sib.ch/ftp-server/boxshade/3.3.1/). RNA secondary structure predictions were carried out by MFOLD (25) or RNAALIFOLD (26). Sequence logos were created using software from Weblogo (27).

---

**Figure 1.** Models of S. cerevisiae RNase P and MRP RNAs. Nucleotides that are conserved in all known P and MRP RNAs, respectively, are circled. P RNA is labeled as described previously by Frank et al. (44). CR-I through CR-V are conserved regions. CR-I and CR-V form the helix P4 as indicated. CR-II, CR-III and CR-IV have consensus sequences AGARA, UGNNA and AGNNNNAU, respectively. The nomenclature for the helices P1, P2, P3, P4, P7, P10/11 and P12 is based on homologous bacterial counterparts. In the case of the helices eP8, eP9, eP15 and eP19 the homology to the bacterial counterparts is tentative only. In addition to commonly accepted nomenclature, the helix 5' of eP8 is here labeled as eP5'. MRP RNA is labeled in a manner similar to P RNA using the nomenclature in Li et al. (15). The structure includes helices ymP5, ymP6 and ymP7 shown to be present in yeast MRP RNA. Conserved regions labeled mCR-I, mCR-IV and mCR-V are counterparts to the CRs in P RNA. mCR-IV has the consensus sequence AGNNNA. Figure is in part based on drawings in Walker and Avis (41), although the potential P7 interactions in MRP RNA are not shown here. For details as to CR-I, CR-V, mCR-I and mCR-V see also legend to Figure 2.
Prediction of P and MRP RNA genes using pattern matching and covariance models

RNA genes were predicted as described previously (22,23) by applying a combination of pattern searches using rnabob (www.genetics.wustl.edu/eddy/software/#rnabob) and cmsearch of the Infernal package (28,29). The rnabob searches made use of descriptors based on consensus features of the P and MRP RNAs. Descriptors included the CR-I, CR-IV and CR-V motifs as well as base-pairing rules consistent with the helix P2.

Examples of patterns used for P RNA are for CR-I GNAAN-NUCNGNG and for CR-V ACNNNAUNCNGANNA. For MRP RNA, GAAANUCNCCG was used for CR-I and ACNNNANGGNGCUNA was used for CR-V. When a P or MRP RNA gene was not found using these patterns new searches were carried out where mismatches were allowed.

Prediction of P and MRP RNA using hidden Markov models (HMMs) of P4 motifs

The CR-I and CR-V motifs from available P and MRP RNA sequences were extracted. Whenever a phylogenetic group was clearly overrepresented in the dataset we removed members from that family. The list of organisms and sequences remaining in the dataset is shown in the Web Supplement at http://bio.lundberg.gu.se/p_mrp/. The CR-I and CR-V sequences from P and MRP RNA were used to make a multiple alignment with ClustalW and that alignment was in turn used as input to hmmbuild (default parameters) of the hmmer package (http://hmmer.wustl.edu). After calibration with hmmcalibrate (default parameters), the two models were combined into one file and used by hmmpfam to search genomic sequences. The result of hmmpfam was analyzed with a script to identify regions in the genomic sequences that contained the CR-I and CR-V motifs and where the distance between the two motifs was <3000 bases. The resulting regions were sorted on the basis of the mean of the CR-I and CR-V expect values.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The RNA components of RNases P and MRP have a conserved structural design as shown in Figure 1. Domain 1 contains the ubiquitous P1, P2 and P3 helices as well as the CR-I, CR-IV and CR-V regions. The P4 helix is formed by pairing of elements from the CR-I and CR-V regions. Domain 2 in RNase P has conserved elements CR-II and CR-III, but such elements are apparently absent in MRP RNA.

To design a computational approach to identify P and MRP RNA genes in genomic sequences, the conserved elements may be used to make a pattern search as described previously for SRP RNA (22,23) and P RNA (30). Covariance models (22,31) of the two RNAs could also be used to search candidates identified in a pattern search or, as in Rfam, candidates resulting from a BLAST search to query all known members of the RNA family (29,32). We, however, found that pattern searches applied to P and MRP RNAs often produced a large number of false-positive hits. Furthermore, when matched against the covariance models in Rfam, true hits sometimes gave rise to very low score values. In particular, this was true for MRP RNA. This was a result of a bias in the sequences available to produce the Rfam covariance model, but also because this RNA is highly variable between species.

We, therefore, developed a complementary approach to identify P and MRP RNA genes. It is based on the observation that the CR-I and CR-V motifs are strongly conserved, both in P and MRP RNAs. The degree of conservation is shown in the sequence logos in Figure 2. We created an HMM model of available CR-I and CR-V sequences using the hmmer package (http://hmmer.wustl.edu) and used that model to search genomic sequences. The output from this search was analyzed to identify hits where CR-I and CR-V with low expect values occurred in close proximity to each other (see Materials and Methods). For all the RNAs presented in this work, the expect values were clearly below background values, typically two orders of magnitude. Advantages of this method are that large genomes may be searched quickly (100 Mb in a few minutes) and that the search in a highly specific manner identifies the P and MRP RNA genes.

The candidates identified in the search based on HMM profiles were further analyzed to check that other conserved features of the RNA were present. Thus, we required base pairing between the CR-I and CR-V motifs, and we required

Figure 2. Sequence logos of the CR-I and CR-V regions of P and MRP RNA. Logos were created using multiple alignments of available P and MRP RNA sequences and software from Weblogo (27). For multiple alignments see Web Supplement at http://bio.lundberg.gu.se/p_mrp/. P RNA CR-I and CR-V and MRP RNA CR-I and CR-V correspond to positions 1–12, 2–16, 4–13 and 4–22, respectively, in the sequence logos shown.
the presence of CR-IV as well as the helices P1, P2 and P3. In
the case of P RNA, the CR-II and CR-III motifs should also
be present. All candidates from the HMM profile search with
expect values significantly below background values did
indeed meet these criteria.

The folding of the molecule was predicted using MFOLD
(25). This algorithm does not take pseudoknots, such as the P4
helix into consideration. We, therefore, used MFOLD with
constraints that did not allow the CR-I and CR-V to basepair.
Furthermore, the CR-IV motif as well as the P RNA CR-II
and CR-III motifs were not allowed to pair. With these constraints,
MFOLD typically predicted P1, P2, P3 and eP19 helices
associated with previously known P and MRP structures
(Figure 1). However, because the domain 2 is highly variable,
particularly for MRP RNA, we cannot be confident that
MFOLD will predict the biologically relevant structure in
this part. In the case of P RNA, we made use of CR-II,
CR-III, P10/P11 and P12 for structural prediction of domain
2, and for this reason the prediction of P RNA folding in this
region is often more reliable than for MRP RNA. In cases
where a good match to a covariance model of Rfam (29,32)
was observed, MFOLD was used with constraints based on
this match. The prediction of secondary structure is more
reliable when several sequences are available from closely
related species. In such cases, we also used RNAALIFOLD
(26), using as input a multiple alignment produced by
ClustalW (24). It should be kept in mind that many of the
structures that we present at this stage are predicted by pro-
grams, such as MFOLD and RNAALIFOLD, and are not to
expected to be as exact as careful inspection of sequences and
alignments including covariance analysis.

To predict P and MRP RNA genes, we analyzed a range of
organisms where a substantial amount of genomic sequence
data is available (Table 1). In the vast majority of organisms,
we found a P or MRP candidate. All sequences as well as
secondary structure models for most sequences are shown in
the Web Supplement to this paper at http://bio.lundberg.gu.se/
p_mrp/. Mainly novel sequences are listed in Table 1. As
drives for sources previously known P and MRP RNA sequences,
we used the RNase P database (33), Rfam (29), NONCODE
(34) and Entrez queries in GenBank (35).

In organisms where we failed to identify a gene we cannot
exclude that the gene will be found once the genome is fully
sequenced. However, in the case of plants, none of the gen-
omes reveals a P RNA candidate. It, therefore, seems likely
that if there is a P RNA gene in that group encoded by the
nuclear genome it must be very different from previously
known genes of this kind. Similarly, in the case of the
Trypanosomatids Trypanosoma brucei, T. cruzi, Leishmania
major and Leishmania infantum, we have so far failed to
identify a P or MRP RNA. This would suggest that these
genes, if they exist, are different in this phylogenetic group.

As a rule, the P and MRP RNA genes of a particular organ-
ism appear in different locations in the genome. However,
in Theileria annulata, Babesia bovis, Eimeria tenella and
T. gondii the two genes appear in tandem with a spacer of
~50 nt. Interestingly, the P and MRP RNA sequences in
B. bovis and T. gondii are more similar as compared with
PMRP RNA pairs in other organisms (for details see Web
Supplement). These observations further emphasize the close
evolutionary relationship of P and MRP RNA.

| Table 1. Novel P and MRP RNAs |
|--------------------------------|
| **P RNA** | **MRP RNA** |
| Diplomonads | Giardia lambia | +* |
| Parabasalidida | Trichomonas vaginalis | +(2)* |
| Dictyosteliida | Dicyostelium discoideum | +* |
| Entamoebidae | Entamoeba histolytica | + |
| Alveolata | Theileria annulata | + |
| Babesia bovis | + |
| Plasmodium falciparum | + |
| Plasmodium berghei | + |
| Plasmodium chabaudi | + |
| Plasmodium knowlesi | + |
| Plasmodium yoelii | + |
| Plasmodium vivax | + |
| Plasmodium reichenowi | + |
| Plasmodium gallinaceum | + |
| Eimeria tenella | + |
| Toxoplasma gondii | + |
| Oxytricha trifallax | + |
| Tetrahymena thermophila | + |
| Cryptosporidium parvum | + |
| Cryptosporidium hominis | + |

Heterokonta

Phytophthora ramorum | +
| Phytophthora sojae | + |
| Thalassiosira pseudonana | + |

Red algae

Cyanidioschyzon merolae | +

Green algae

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii | +
| Volvox carteri | +

Plants

Arabidopsis thaliana | +(2)
| Oryza sativa | +

Insects

Drosophila melanogaster | +(P)*
| Drosophila mojavensis | + |
| Drosophila virilis | + |
| Drosophila ananassae | + |
| Drosophila erecta | + |
| Drosophila simulans | + |
| Drosophila yakuba | + |
| Drosophila willistoni | + |
| Drosophila pseudoobscura | + |
| Anopheles gambiae | + |
| Aeles aegypti | + |
| Aedes mellifera | + |
| Tribolium castaneum | + |
| Urochordata | + |
| Ciona savignyi | + |
| Cephalochordata | + |
| Branchiostoma floridae | +(2)

Echinodermata

Stronglylocentrotus purpuratus | +

Nematodes

Brugia malayi | + |
| Caenorhabditis briggsae | + |
| Caenorhabditis remanie | +

Vertebrates

Danio rerio | +(P)
| Fugu rubripes | + |
| Tetraodon nigroviridis | + |
| Loxodonta africana | + |
| Monodelphis domestica | + |
| Canis familiaris | + |
| Macaca mulatta | (P) |
The P RNAs from genomic DNA. These results make us more confident that our methods to predict P and MRP RNA genes are reliable.

**RNase P RNA**

An RNase P gene was found in all the organisms as indicated in Table 1. The P RNA sequences vary considerably in length, from *T.annulata* of 216 nt to much larger RNAs as in *Plasmodium knowlesi* (696 nt).

In a majority of cases, P RNA seems to be encoded by a single copy gene. However, in *T. vaginalis* we found two gene candidates, one of which was previously identified (GenBank accession no. AY627758) (36). The two RNAs differ in only four positions. Two of the changes involve a compensatory base change in P3. Also in *Neurospora crassa* we found two P RNA sequences (for these examples see below and http://bio.lundberg.gu.se/p_mrp).

The P RNAs found here can all be folded into the consensus structure of eukaryotic P RNA. Representative structures are shown in Figure 3. (For more sequences and secondary structures see http://bio.lundberg.gu.se/p_mrp/). Eukaryotic P RNA contains helices denoted eP8 and eP9 because they seem to be the counterparts of the bacterial P8 and P9 helices. The current model of eukaryotic P RNA has an extra helix 5′ of the eP8 helix. This helix will herein be referred to as eP8′. As the three helices eP8, eP8′ and eP9 are highly variable in sequence and length it is not always possible to predict them reliably. Whenever possible we have made use of comparative structure analysis as well as covariance models obtained from Rfam to make more reliable predictions of the structure in this region.

**Insects and nematodes**

Invertebrate P RNAs have not been described in any detail previously. Here we have identified a number of P RNAs from insects and worms and likely secondary structure models of these RNAs are presented at the Web Supplement site.

**Genome sequence data for a number of Drosophila species are available** (Drosophila melanogaster, Drosophila simulans, Drosophila pseudoobscura, Drosophila willistoni, Drosophila mojavensis, Drosophila erecta, Drosophila yakuba, Drosophila ananassae and Drosophila virilis) and we identified a P RNA gene in all of these. A secondary structure that is consistent with all these Drosophila sequences are shown at the Web Supplement site. This model is also consistent with P RNA sequences from *Apis mellifera* (honeybee), *Anopheles gambiae* (malaria mosquito) and *Aedes aegypti* (yellow fever mosquito). However, only the *Drosophila* RNAs have an eP8′ helix.

Previously, a P RNA sequence was identified in *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Rfam database). Here, we also identified homologs in *Caenorhabditis briggsae* and *Brugia malayi*.

**Fungi and microsporidia**

A number of yeast P RNAs from organisms closely related to *Saccharomyces* were identified. We also found a P RNA homolog in *Eremothecium gossypii*.

The P RNAs from *Neurospora* and *Aspergillus* all have a relatively long eP8′ helix. The proposed structure for one of

---

**Table 1.** Continued

| Fungi, Ascomycota and Saccharomycetes | P RNA | MRP RNA |
|--------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| Saccharomyces paradoxus              |       |         |
| Saccharomyces mikatae                |       |         |
| Saccharomyces kudriavci                   |       |         |
| Candida glabrata                      | + (P) (L) | +       |
| Kluyveromyces waltii                  | +       | +       |
| Eremothecium gossypii                 | +       | +       |
| Debaryomyces Hansenii                | (P) |         |
| Candida albicans                      | + (L) |         |
| Candida dubliniensis                 | +       | + (L)   |
| Candida tropicalis                    | +       | +       |
| Yarrowia lipolytica                  | +       | +       |
| Pichia guillermondii                 | (P) |         |
| Pichia angusta                       | +       |         |
| Fungi, Ascomycota and Pezizomycotina |       |         |
| Aspergillus nidulans                 | +       | +       |
| Aspergillus fumigatus                 | +       | +       |
| Coccioidioides immittis               | +       | +       |
| Histoplasma capsulatum                | +       | +       |
| Uncinocarpus reessi                  | +       | +       |
| Neurospora crassa                   | +(2) |         |
| Podospora anserina                    | +       | +       |
| Magnaporthe grisea                    | +       | +       |
| Fusarium graminearum (G.zeae)         | +       | +       |
| Trichoderma reesei                   | +       | +       |
| Botrytis cinerea                      | +       | +       |
| Fungi and Basidiomycota              |       |         |
| Coprinus cinereus                    | +       | +       |
| Phanerochaete chrysosporium          | +(L) |         |
| Laccaria bicolor                     | +       | +       |
| Microsporidia                        |       |         |
| Nostoc (Antonospora) locustae        | +       | +       |
| Encephalizoon cuniculi               | +       | +       |
the two *Neurospora* sequences that we found is shown at the Web Supplement site. In *Aspergillus*, the P3 helix has been extended as compared with other fungi. We also identified an RNA in *Trichoderma reesei* that has an extra helix in the eP8/eP8* region.

In the Basidiomycota group, we found a P RNA in *Coprinus cinereus*, *Phanerochaete* and *Laccaria*. Interestingly, the *Phanerochaete* RNA is 1143 nt long and seems to have a large insertion in the eP15 region. However, the secondary structure of the insertion is very difficult to predict, as there are no closely related sequences to make a comparative approach possible. It is also interesting to note that in *Candida glabrata* a very long P RNA sequence has been identified (37).

The microsporidia *Nosema* (*Antonospora*) *locustae* and *Encephalitozoon cuniculi* P RNAs identified here conform to the consensus structure of P RNAs but they are relatively small. In particular, the helix 3 is very small and is lacking the internal loop characteristic of that helix in most other P RNAs. The 'minimal' structure of microsporidia RNAs will be discussed further below in the context of MRP RNA.

**Figure 3.** Secondary structure models of novel eukaryotic P RNAs. P RNAs shown are from *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Plasmodium vivax*. The helix numbering is as described previously by Frank et al. (44) and the extra helix present in *P. vivax* is labeled as P8*. As in Figure 1 interactions of CR-I and CR-V of the P4 helix are shown.

**Other eukaryotic groups**

Among the protists we identified a P RNA gene from *E. histolytica* (265 nt, Figure 3), *T. gondii*, *E. tenella* and *Cryptosporidium*. We also identified P RNA of *G. lamblia* (248 nt), *T. vaginalis* (251 nt), *Dictyostelium* (421 nt), *T. annulata* (216 nt) and *B. bovis* (230 nt) that were recently reported (36). To our knowledge, the RNA from *T. annulata* is the smallest P RNA found so far.

RNase P genes were also identified in a range of *Plasmodium* species that have been sequenced: *Plasmodium gallinaceum*, *Plasmodium yoelii*, *Plasmodium berghei*, *Plasmodium falciparum*, *Plasmodium reichenowi*, *Plasmodium vivax* (Figure 3) and *Plasmodium knowlesi* (38). They are larger than the other protist P RNAs and show a large variation in size with 387, 510, 506, 618, 618, 690 and 696 nt, respectively. They are all closely related such that once we identified one sequence with the HMM profile method described above the other homologs could be identified using BLAST. A multiple alignment of the sequences is shown in Figure 4. They are all very AU-rich, reflecting the very low GC content of...
these organisms. All seven sequences could be folded into the structure shown in Figure 3. It is striking that in Plasmodium the helices P3, eP8, P12 and eP19 have grown considerably. This is particularly striking in P.vivax (Figure 3) and P.knowlesi. The P3 helix has U-rich regions matched by poly-purine stretches on the other strand, as though the evolution of this helix has occurred through a replication slippage mechanism. The large degree of variation in sequence of P RNA in

Figure 4. Multiple alignment of Plasmodium P RNAs. Organisms shown are P.berghei, P.yoelii, P.falciparum, P.reichenowi, P.gallinaceum, P.knowlesi and P.vivax. Alignment is based on a ClustalW alignment (45) using gap extension penalty = 0 and was manually edited in the P8' region to achieve a better structural alignment. Consensus elements are highlighted with BOXSHADE. Positions of helices and conserved regions referred to in Figure 1 are indicated. Angular, curved, square and curly brackets indicate base pairing. Not all paired regions in P3, P8', P12 and eP19 are shown.
the different *Plasmodium* species has no equivalent in the evolution of MRP RNA sequences (see below).

We have so far not been able to identify a P RNA in heterokonta, plants and red algae, phylogenetic groups where we found an MRP RNA (Table 1, see below).

**RNase MRP RNA**

In the majority of organisms analyzed we found an MRP RNA gene as indicated in Table 1. Secondary structure models of representative examples of novel MRP RNAs are shown in Figure 5. A large degree of variation in domain 2 is observed. The smallest MRP RNAs found so far are those of *N. locustae* (160 nt) and *E. cuniculi* (165 nt). Some of the fungi RNAs are very large in comparison, e.g. *Magnaporthe grisea* homolog (567 nt).

As with P RNA, we typically identified only one MRP RNA gene in each organism. One exception is *Arabidopsis* where we found two genes.

**Plants and red algae**

An MRP RNA homolog was previously found in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (39). As mentioned above, we found an additional homolog in the same species (http://bio.lundberg.gu.se/p_mrp/). We also identified an MRP RNA gene in *Oryza sativa*, in the multicellular green algae *Volvox carteri*, in the unicellular green algae *C. reinhardtii* (Figure 5) and in the red algae *Cyanidioschyzon merolae*. These findings indicate that MRP RNA is ubiquitous in the plant group. All these sequences are consistent with the secondary structure shown for *C. reinhardtii* (Figure 5). It is interesting to note that no P RNAs were identified in the plants and red algae.

**Heterokonta**

In the heterokonta group, we found MRP RNA genes in *Phytophthora* (*Phytophthora ramorum* and *Phytophthora sojae*) and *Thalassiosira pseudonana*. The proposed secondary structure of the *Phytophthora* sequence is very similar to the vertebrate and plant MRP RNAs.

**Vertebrates**

Previously, MRP sequences have been described for man, mouse, rat, cow and *Xenopus*. Here, we have also identified MRP in *Fugu rubripes, Danio rerio* and *Tetraodon nigroviridis*.

**Insects and nematodes**

As with P RNA, we found MRP RNA genes from different *Drosophila* species. We also identified homologs in *A. mellifera* and *A. gambiae*. We have not been able to detect an MRP RNA in *C. elegans* or in *C. briggsae*. However, an MRP RNA was identified in another nematode, *B. malayi* (http://bio.lundberg.gu.se/p_mrp/). The RNAs of *Brugia* as
well as those of the insects adopt a structure very similar to vertebrate MRP RNAs. However, the *Drosophila* structure is unusual in that it seems to have an extended helix eP9 (Figure 5).

A comparison of the MRP RNAs from plants, red algae, heterokonta, vertebrates, insects and nematodes shows that they all may be folded into very similar structures as exemplified by *C. reinhardtii* and *D. melanogaster* in Figure 5. As discussed below the fungi are different, particularly in domain 2.

**Fungi and microsporidia**

In the fungi group, MRP RNA was previously identified only in *Saccharomyces* and close relatives. We here identified MRP RNAs from several fungi groups. Interestingly, the predicted MRP RNA sequences from *Candida albicans* and *Candida dubliniensis* are extremely long (2226 and 1716 nt, respectively). Both of these RNAs are similar to other MRP RNAs in domain 1 but have a large insertion in domain 2.

We also identified MRP RNA in the Pezizomycotina group, e.g. in *Aspergillus*, *Neurospora*, *Podospora* and *Magnaporthe*. In these organisms, the domain 2 is very large, forming a long helical region. Furthermore, MRP RNA was identified in the Basidiomycota *Coprinus*, *Laccaria* and *Phanerochaete*. Here, the domain 2 seems to be built from three different helices but it is not clear whether they are related to the three helices of other MRP RNAs, or to the ymP5, ymP6 and ymP7 helices of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. All three Basidiomycota MRP RNAs can be folded as shown at the Web Supplement site but more sequences from this group are needed in order to reliably predict their secondary structure.

The microsporidia MRP RNAs are exceptionally small. As with P RNA, the P3 helix is very small as well as the entire domain 2. A secondary structure model for *E. cuniculi* is shown in Figure 5. By comparison, it is interesting to note that in the yeast MRP RNA all helices of domain 2 may be shortened significantly without effects on RNA processing (40). It would therefore seem that the microsporidia MRP RNAs represent ‘minimal’ forms of the RNA. This is consistent with the idea that microsporidia have been under a pressure to reduce their genome content, also reflected in a small average size of their proteins.

**Other eukaryotic groups**

A number of protist sequences were identified as indicated in Table 1 and they could all be folded into the structure characteristic of MRP RNA as shown for *C. reinhardtii* and *D. melanogaster* in Figure 5. The CR-IV consensus motif is AGNNA based on all other previously known sequences. We found here that *E. tenella*, *T. gondii* and certain *Plasmodium* species had a C or T in the fifth position of this motif. On the other hand, an A is found in these species one or two positions further downstream that might be functionally equivalent to the A found in the fifth position in most MRP RNAs. As with P RNA, we found a MRP candidate in many different *Plasmodium* species. In this way, we were able to more accurately predict the secondary structure of the *Plasmodium* RNAs. Compared with the P RNAs from the same *Plasmodium* species, the MRP sequences are much more conserved within the group.

**Conserved elements of MRP RNA**

As referred to above, the CR-I and CR-V motifs are the most strongly conserved in MRP RNA. As to the CR-IV motif, analysis of all available sequences shows that it has the consensus sequence ANAGNNA, where the three ‘A’s are the most strongly conserved.

Many of the MRP sequences found in this work may be folded according to a previously proposed model of mammalian MRP RNA (3), and the structures shown in Figure 5 include three helices in domain 2. We noted that the stem-loop structure in domain 2 that follows CR-I is conserved. In most eukaryotes, this loop has five bases with the consensus sequence ‘GARAR’, but in some phylogenetic groups it is a tetraloop with the consensus GARA (Web Supplement and Figure 5). Only in very few organisms, such as the Basidiomycota, we were not able to identify a corresponding motif. *S. cerevisiae* and *Saccharomyces pombe* also have a similar motif. However, a helix in this region conflicts with current models of yeast MRP, as there is evidence that this region of the RNA is either single-stranded (15) or involved in a P7-like stem (41).

**Sequence conservation in the P and MRP RNA P3 helices**

P and MRP RNA sequences from one organism typically share sequence elements in the P3 region, most often in the lower strand of the internal loop of P3. This was first observed for a number of yeast species and human (15). It has also been shown by experiments with the yeast RNAs that the P3 domains are functionally interchangeable (42). It is hypothesized that the P and MRP RNAs tend to coevolve in the P3 region because they share one or more protein subunits that interact with this region. The yeast Pop1p protein is one protein implicated in the binding to P3 (43).

Analysis of the novel RNAs identified in this work reveals notable cases of sequence conservation in P3 as observed for yeast and human. Examples for *Trichomonas*, *Drosophila*, *Dictyostelium* and *Plasmodium* are shown in Figure 6. As with yeast and human, conserved sequences are mainly observed in the lower strand of the interior loop. The only cases where we were not able to observe this kind of sequence similarity was for the microsporidia *N. locustae* and *E. cuniculi*. On the other hand, these RNAs have a shorter P3 helix and lack the interior loop. One may, therefore, speculate that these organisms are also different in terms of their RNase P and MRP protein subunits.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We used an efficient method to identify P and MRP RNAs, which is based on the conserved properties of the P4 helix of these RNAs. All candidates found also have other properties of these RNAs, such as the CR-IV motif and P1, P2 and P3 helices. It is therefore highly likely that the sequences that we present as a result of this work are bona fide P and MRP RNA genes.

We report for P and MRP RNA more than 100 novel sequences. By comparison, only 22 MRP RNA sequences are reported in Rfam and out of these 17 are of the Ascomycota branch. For P RNA, 56 are reported in Rfam, out of which 21 are from Ascomycota. Therefore, this investigation...
significantly increases the number of available P and MRP RNA sequences.

The RNA sequences now available will be helpful in understanding the structure and evolution of these RNAs. On the one hand, a comparison of all P and MRP sequences shows that all novel sequences may be folded into structures with the P1, P2, P3 and P4 helices characteristic of previously studied P and MRP RNAs. On the other hand, there is a large degree of variation in certain parts of the RNA. One striking example is the *Plasmodium* P RNA, where the helices P3, eP8', P10-12 and eP19 are very different within the *Plasmodium* group. MRP RNAs show a large variation in domain 2. For example, *Neurospora, Aspergillus* and *Magnaporthe* have an extensive helical structure in this part whereas the microsporidia RNAs are extremely simple. We do not know the biological significance of this variation. It might be related to the protein subunits of the ribonucleoprotein complexes and for this reason it will be interesting to inventory the RNase P and MRP protein subunits in all the different organisms where we have found an RNA component.

In a majority of organisms, we have been able to identify both P and MRP RNA genes. Exceptions are the Trypanosomatids where we have not been able to find a P or MRP RNA. Furthermore, we have failed to identify P RNA in the plant and red algae groups. If P RNAs exist in this latter group they must be very different from previously known P and MRP RNAs.

Finally, we have observed that certain protists have their P and MRP RNA genes located in tandem. The P and MRP RNA sequences seem to be more similar in these organisms as compared with organisms where the genes are located separately. These findings are interesting from an evolutionary perspective and suggest that the RNAs might be even more closely related than previously anticipated.
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