COMMUTATORS IN GROUPS OF PIECEWISE PROJECTIVE HOMEOMORPHISMS.
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Abstract. In [7] Monod introduced examples of groups of piecewise projective homeomorphisms which are not amenable and which do not contain free subgroups, and in [6] Lodha and Moore introduced examples of finitely presented groups with the same property. In this article we examine the normal subgroup structure of these groups. Two important cases of our results are the groups $H$ and $G_0$. We show that the group $H$ of piecewise projective homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$ has the property that $H''$ is simple and that every proper quotient of $H$ is metabelian. We establish simplicity of the commutator subgroup of the group $G_0$, which admits a presentation with 3 generators and 9 relations. Further, we show that every proper quotient of $G_0$ is abelian. It follows that the normal subgroups of these groups are in bijective correspondence with those of the abelian (or metabelian) quotient.

Introduction

In [7] Monod proved that the group $H$ of piecewise projective homeomorphisms of the real line is non-amenable and does not contain non-abelian free subgroups. This provides a new counterexample to the so called von Neumann–Day problem [8, 2]. In fact, Monod introduced a family of groups $H(A)$ for a subring $A$ of $\mathbb{R}$. In the case where $A$ is strictly larger than $\mathbb{Z}$, they were all demonstrated to be counterexamples. The group $H$ is the case in which $A = \mathbb{R}$.

The subgroups $G_0$ and $G$ of $H$ were introduced by Lodha and Moore in [6] as finitely presented counterexamples. The groups $G_0$ and $G$ share many features with Thompson’s group $F$. They can be viewed as groups of homeomorphisms of the Cantor set of infinite binary sequences, and as groups of homeomorphisms of the real line. They admit small finite presentations, and symmetric infinite presentations with a natural normal form [6, 7]. Further, they are of type $F_\infty$ [5]. Viewed as homeomorphisms of the Cantor set, the elements can be represented by tree diagrams.

Thompson’s group $F$ satisfies the property that $F'$ is simple, and every proper quotient of $F$ is abelian [1]. In this article we examine the normal subgroup structure, and in particular the commutator subgroup structure of $G$, $G_0$, and $H(A)$ for a subring $A$ of $\mathbb{R}$, and obtain properties similar to $F$. We prove the following.

Theorem 1. Let $A$ be a subring of $\mathbb{R}$. If $A$ has units other than $\pm 1$, then:

1. $H(A)' \neq H(A)''$.
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(2) $H(A)^\prime$ is simple.
(3) Every proper quotient of $H(A)$ is metabelian.

If the only units in $A$ are $\pm 1$, then:

(1) $H(A)$ is simple.
(2) Every proper quotient of $H(A)$ is abelian.
(3) All finite index subgroups of $H(A)$ are normal in $H(A)$.

We show the following for the finitely presented groups $G_0$ and $G$ (defined in Section 1).

**Theorem 2.** The group $G_0$ satisfies the following:

(1) $G'_0$ is simple.
(2) Every proper quotient of $G_0$ is abelian.
(3) All finite index subgroups of $G_0$ are normal in $G_0$.

The group $G$ satisfies the following:

(1) $G'' \neq G'$.
(2) $G''$ is simple and $G'' = G'_0$.
(3) Every proper quotient of $G$ is metabelian.

One interesting feature of this article is that although the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 both use a theorem of Higman, the proofs are different in the following sense. The arguments of the proof of Theorem 2 are intrinsic to the combinatorial model developed for $G_0, G$ in [6] using continued fractions. The arguments of the proof of Theorem 1 arise in the setting of the action of the groups $H(A)$ on the real line.

1. **Background**

All groups under study here are subgroups of $PPSL_2(\mathbb{R})$, the group of piecewise projective homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ preserving orientation. Namely, for each element in $PPSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ there are finitely many points $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ such that in each of the intervals $(-\infty, t_1], [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ and $[t_n, \infty)$ the map is of the form $t \mapsto (at + b)/(ct + d)$ for some matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{pmatrix}
$$

with determinant one. The group $H$ is the subgroup of $PPSL_2(\mathbb{R})$ formed of those maps that stabilize infinity, and that hence give homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$. Observe that elements of $H$ have affine germs at $\pm \infty$, that is, in the interval $[t_n, \infty)$ the map is $(at + b)/d$ with $ad = 1$, and similarly on the interval $(-\infty, t_1]$. Given a subring $A$ of $\mathbb{R}$, we denote by $P_A$ the set of fixed points of hyperbolic elements of $PSL_2(A)$. Then $H(A)$ is defined to be the subgroup of $H$ consisting of elements that are piecewise $PSL_2(A)$ with breakpoints in $P_A$. See [7] for details on these groups.

The two Lodha–Moore groups [6] are finitely presented subgroups of $H$ and will be denoted by $G$ and $G_0$. The group $G_0$ is the group of homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by the
following three maps:

\[ a(t) = t + 1 \quad b(t) = \begin{cases} 
  t & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\
  \frac{t}{1-t} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
  \frac{3t-1}{t} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \\
  t+1 & \text{if } 1 \leq t 
\end{cases} \quad c(t) = \begin{cases} 
  \frac{2t}{t+1} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\
  t & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]

As is done with Thompson’s group \( \mathcal{F} \), elements will be given an interpretation in terms of maps of binary sequences and tree diagrams. A binary sequence is a (finite or infinite) sequence of 0 and 1. The set of infinite binary sequences will be denoted by \( 2^\mathbb{N} \), and the set of finite ones by \( 2^<\mathbb{N} \). We will use \( s \) and \( t \) to denote finite binary sequences (with a distinctive font), and Greek letters \( \xi, \zeta \) or \( \eta \) for infinite ones. Two binary sequences can be concatenated as long as the first one is finite, such as \( 010\xi, s01 \) or \( s\zeta \).

We define the binary sequence map:

\[ x : 2^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2^\mathbb{N} \]
\[ x(00\xi) = 0\xi \]
\[ x(01\xi) = 10\xi \]
\[ x(1\xi) = 11\xi \]

and also, recursively, the pair of mutually inverse maps

\[ y : 2^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2^\mathbb{N} \]
\[ y^{-1} : 2^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow 2^\mathbb{N} \]
\[ y(00\xi) = 0y(\xi) \]
\[ y^{-1}(0\xi) = 00y^{-1}(\xi) \]
\[ y(01\xi) = 10y^{-1}(\xi) \]
\[ y^{-1}(10\xi) = 01y(\xi) \]
\[ y(1\xi) = 11y(\xi) \]
\[ y^{-1}(11\xi) = 1y^{-1}(\xi) \]

Each of these maps will give rise to a family of maps of binary sequences defined in the following way. Given a finite binary sequence \( s \), the map \( x_s \) is the identity except on the infinite sequences starting with \( s \), where it acts as \( x \) on the tail. That is,

\[ x_s(s\xi) = s x(\xi) \]

and as the identity if the sequence does not start with \( s \). The maps \( y_s \) or \( y_s^{-1} \) are defined in an analogous way.

The reason for these definitions is that they represent elements of \( G_0 \) under an identification given by the following maps:

\[ \varphi : 2^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty] \]
\[ \varphi(0\xi) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\varphi(\xi)}} \]
\[ \varphi(1\xi) = 1 + \varphi(\xi) \]
\[ \Phi : 2^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \]
\[ \Phi(0\xi) = -\varphi(\xi) \]
\[ \Phi(1\xi) = \varphi(\xi) \]

where \( \tilde{\xi} \) is the sequence obtained from \( \xi \) by replacing all symbols 0 by 1 and vice versa. Under these definitions, the maps \( a, b \) and \( c \) are represented by the binary sequence maps \( x, x_1 \) and \( y_{10} \), respectively. We have the following result (Proposition 3.1 in [6]):

**Proposition 1.1.** For all \( \xi \) in \( 2^\mathbb{N} \) we have

\[ a(\Phi(\xi)) = \Phi(x(\xi)) \quad b(\Phi(\xi)) = \Phi(x_1(\xi)) \quad c(\Phi(\xi)) = \Phi(y_{10}(\xi)) \]
For all details and proofs, see [6]. Hence, we can consider that the group $G_0$ is the group of maps of $2^N$ generated by $x,x_1,y_{10}$.

The group $G$ is defined to be the group generated by all $x_a$ and $y_b$. The group $G_0$ is generated by all $x_a$ and by all those $y_b$ where $s$ is not constant, that is, $s$ is not $0^n$ nor $1^n$. We have a series of relations which are satisfied by these generators:

1. $x^2_a = x_{a0}x_a x_{a1}$,
2. if $tx_a$ is defined, then $x_t x_a = x_a x_{tx_a}$,
3. if $tx_a$ is defined, then $y_t x_a = x_a y_{tx_a}$,
4. if $s$ and $t$ are incompatible, then $y_s y_t = y_t y_s$,
5. $y_s = x_s y_{s0} y_{s10} y_{s11}$.

The relations (1) and (2) are part of a known presentation for Thompson’s group $F$ given by Dehornoy in [3]. The key relation for the Lodha–Moore groups is the relation (5), which represents algebraically the recursive definition of $y$ given above.

Finally, the relations satisfied by these generators, and in particular the Thompson’s group relations, allow us to obtain finite presentations. The group $G_0$ is generated only by $x,x_1$ and $y_{10}$ with a set of 9 relations, whereas $G$ is generated by $x,x_1,y_0,y_1$ and $y_{10}$.

In [6] it is shown that every element of $G$ can be written in a standard form. Recall that $G$ is generated by the set $X \cup Y$ where $X = \{x_s: s \in 2^{<N}\}$ and $Y = \{y_s: s \in 2^{<N}, s \text{ is not a constant word}\}$. A word over $X \cup Y$ is said to be in standard form if it is of the form

$$hy_{a_1} \ldots y_{a_n}$$

where $h$ is a word over $X$, $s_j$ is a prefix of $s_i$ only if $j \geq i$, and the $a_i$ are arbitrary, non-zero integers. It is shown in [6] Lemma 5.4 that every element of $G$ can be written in standard form. The standard form is, however, not unique.

2. Commutators for $G_0$

We first study the abelianization of the group $G_0$. The partial action of $F$ on the set of all non-constant binary sequences is transitive. Therefore, from relation (3) it follows that for all non-constant $s$, $y_s$ is a conjugate of $y_{10}$.

**Lemma 2.1.** The map $\{x,x_1,y_{10}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ given by

$$x \mapsto (1,0,0) \quad x_1 \mapsto (0,1,0) \quad y_{10} \mapsto (0,0,1)$$

extends to a surjective homomorphism $\pi : G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$, with kernel being the commutator subgroup $G_0' = [G_0,G_0]$.

**Proof.** We use the infinite presentation of $G_0$ having generating set $S_0 = X \cup Y_0$ where $X = \{x_s: s \in 2^{<N}\}$ and $Y_0 = \{y_s: s \in 2^{<N}, s \text{ is not a constant word}\}$. The set of relations is given by those relations of the form (1) to (5) above that involve only elements of $S_0$. That this gives a presentation of $G_0$ is Theorem 3.3 in [6]. One could, of course, also use the finite presentation for $G_0$ on the generating set $\{x,x_1,y_{10}\}$ (see [6]), but we shall not do so.
Noting that each element $y_s \in Y_0$ is conjugate in $G_0$ to $y_{t0}$, that $x_{0m} \in X$ is conjugate to $x_0$, that $x_{1m} \in X$ is conjugate to $x_1$ and that $x_{s} \in X$ is conjugate to $x_{10}$ when $s$ is non-constant, we consider the map from $S_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ given by

$$y_s \mapsto (0, 0, 1) \quad x \mapsto (1, 0, 0) \quad x_s \mapsto \begin{cases} (1, -1, 0) & \text{if } s = 0^m \text{ for some } m \geq 1 \\ (0, 1, 0) & \text{if } s = 1^m \text{ for some } m \geq 1 \\ (0, 0, 0) & \text{if } s \text{ is non-constant} \end{cases}$$

That this map extends to a homomorphism $\pi : G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$ can be readily seen by considering the effect on each of the relations (1) to (5).

Since $\{x, x_1, y_{t0}\}$ projects to a generating set for the abelianization $G_0/G_0'$ and the image $\{\pi(x), \pi(x_1), \pi(y_{t0})\}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{Z}^3$ we conclude that $\pi$ induces an isomorphism from $G_0/G_0'$ to $\mathbb{Z}^3$.

The restriction of $\pi$ to the subgroup $F$ generated by $\{x, x_1\}$ gives (after a change of basis) the abelianization map for Thompson’s group $F$, where elements are evaluated by the two germs at $\pm \infty$. The third component of the map $\pi$ represents the total exponent for the $y$-generators in a word representing an element. Hence, we have the following result.

**Proposition 2.2.** The commutator $G_0'$ contains exactly those elements in $G_0$ which have compact support, and which have a total exponent in the $y$-generators equal to zero. □

The goal of this section is to prove the first part of Theorem 2, which concerns $G_0$. To proceed with the proof, we will use the following theorem, due to Higman. Let $\Gamma$ be a group of bijections of some set $E$. For $g \in \Gamma$ define its moved set $D(g)$ as the set of points $x \in E$ such that $g(x) \neq x$. This is analogous to the support, but since $a$ priori there is no topology on $E$, we do not take the closure.

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose that for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$, there is a $\rho \in \Gamma$ such that the following holds: $\gamma(\rho(S)) \cap \rho(S) = \emptyset$ where $S = D(\alpha) \cup D(\beta)$. Then $\Gamma'$ is simple.

The proof can be seen in [4].

**Corollary 2.4.** Let $\Gamma$ be a group of compactly supported homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$ that contains $F'$. Then $\Gamma'$ is simple.

This is the consequence of the high transitivity of $F'$ which ensures that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied. We shall apply this corollary to several groups in the paper.

This corollary cannot be applied directly to $G_0$, since this group contains elements (e.g., $x$) whose support is all of $\mathbb{R}$. So we will apply this corollary to the group $G_0'$, whose elements have compact support. We conclude that $G_0'$ is simple. The proof of Theorem 2 for $G_0$ will now be complete with the following result.

**Proposition 2.5.** $G_0' = G_0''$.

**Proof.** Consider an element $g \in G_0'$ and write the element in standard form as $g = h z$, where $h \in F$ and

$$z = y_{s_1}^{a_1} \cdots y_{s_n}^{a_n}.$$
for some binary sequences \( s \), and with \( a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 0 \). Since \( h \) has compact support, we have \( h \in F' = F'' \subset G''_0 \). So we only need to show that \( z \in G''_0 \). Note that for any generator \( x_s \) such that \( s \) is a non constant sequence, \( x_s \in G''_0 \). We will make use of this fact repeatedly in what follows.

The proof proceeds by induction on \( k = |a_1| + \cdots + |a_n| \) which is clearly an even number. For the element \( z \) there will be some \( a_i \) positive and some negative. Since \( G''_0 \) is normal, we can cyclically conjugate and assume that the word starts with a subword of the type \( y_s y_t^{-1} \). As the starting point of the induction, just take \( 1 \in G''_0 \). We just need to prove that \( y_s y_t^{-1} \in G''_0 \) and using the induction hypothesis for the rest of the word, the proof will be complete. We have three cases.

Case (1): \( s \) and \( t \) are consecutive. This just means that the corresponding intervals in \( \mathbb{R} \) are adjacent, or that if \( s \) and \( t \) are leaves in a tree, they are consecutive in the natural order of the leaves.

Take the word \( w = y_{100} y_{101}^{-1} \in G''_0 \). Construct an element \( f \in F' \) such that

\[
fwf^{-1} = y_{10011} y_{101}^{-1},
\]

which is possible because these two sequences are also consecutive and any of these can be \( F' \)-conjugated to any other. Now we have that \( [w, f] = wfw^{-1}f^{-1} \in G''_0 \), since it is the commutator of two elements in \( G''_0 \). But clearly

\[
[w, f] = y_{100} y_{10011}^{-1}.
\]

Now apply relation (5) to \( y_{100} \) to get

\[
[w, f] = x_{100} y_{1003} y_{10010} y_{10011} y_{10011}^{-1} = x_{100} y_{1000} y_{10010}^{-1}.
\]

As mentioned before, we know that \( x_{100} \in F' \subset F'' \subset G''_0 \), so this implies that \( y_{1000} y_{10010}^{-1} \in G''_0 \), and these are two consecutive binary sequences. Hence, by conjugation, any \( y_s y_t^{-1} \) with consecutive binary sequences is in \( G''_0 \).

Case (2): \( s \) and \( t \) are not consecutive and also not comparable. Assume \( s < t \), as the other case reduces to this by inverting the element. In that case, just write

\[
y_s y_t^{-1} = y_s y_{s_1}^{-1} y_{s_1} y_{s_2}^{-1} y_{s_2} \cdots y_{s_m}^{-1} y_{s_m} y_t^{-1}
\]

such that the pairs

\[
y_{s_i}^{-1} \quad y_{s_{i+1}}^{-1} \quad y_{s_m} y_t^{-1}
\]

lie in the previous case.

Case (3): \( s \) and \( t \) are comparable, so assume \( s = tu \). The case \( s = tu \) reduces to this by taking an inverse. We apply relation (5) to \( y_s \) again and find pairs which now correspond to cases (1) or (2). Cases \( y_{s_i}^{-1} y_{s_2}^{-1} \) are cyclically permuted to \( y_{s_2} y_{s_1}^{-1} \). We distinguish all four easy cases for clarity:

- **\( u = 0 \) or \( u = 11 \).** Since \( y_s y_{s_0}^{-1} = x_s y_{s_0} y_{s_10} y_{s_11} y_{s_a}^{-1} \), \( y_{s_0}^{-1} \) cancels with one of the results of relation (5) applied to \( y_s \). We are left with the product of an \( x \)-generator (in \( G''_0 \)) with a word that falls in case (1).
- **\( u = 1 \).** Applying relation (5) we obtain

\[
x_s y_{s_0} y_{s_10} y_{s_11} y_{s_1}^{-1}
\]
and we apply case (1) to the pairs 
\[ y_{s_0}y_{s_1}^{-1} \quad y_{s_{10}}^{-1}y_{s_{11}}. \]

• \( u = 10 \). Using relations (4), (5) we obtain:
\[ x_s y_{s_0}y_{s_{10}}^{-2}y_{s_{11}} \]
and we just need to apply case (1) to \( y_{s_0}y_{s_{10}}^{-1} \) and \( y_{s_{10}}^{-1}y_{s_{11}} \).

• \( u \neq 0, 1, 10, 11 \). Using relation (5) we obtain
\[ x_s y_{s_0}y_{s_{10}}^{-1}y_{s_{11}}y_{s_{u}}^{-1}. \]
It suffices to show that \( y_{s_0}y_{s_{10}}^{-1}y_{s_{11}}y_{s_{u}}^{-1} \in G'_0 \). If \( u \) begins with a 1, by cyclic conjugation we obtain \( (y_{s_0}^{-1}y_{s_0})(y_{s_{10}}^{-1}y_{s_{11}}) \). The word \( y_{s_0}^{-1}y_{s_0} \) falls in cases (1) or (2) and the word \( y_{s_{10}}^{-1}y_{s_{11}} \) falls in (1), so we are done. For the case where \( u \) begins with a 0 we express the word as a product of \( y_{s_0}y_{s_{10}}^{-1} \) and \( y_{s_{11}}^{-1}y_{s_{u}}^{-1} \), both words fall in previous case.

\[ \square \]

Our main theorem for \( G_0 \) has some important corollaries.

**Corollary 2.6.** The finite-index subgroups of \( G_0 \) are in bijection with the finite-index subgroups of \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \). Every subgroup \( H \) of finite index is normal, and \( H' = G'_0 \).

**Proof.** If \( H \) is not normal, then take the intersection \( K \) of all its conjugates, which is now normal. Consider \( K \cap G'_0 \). This is a finite-index normal subgroup of \( G'_0 \), and since this group is simple and infinite, it has to be that \( K \cap G'_0 = G'_0 \) and hence \( G'_0 \subset K \subset H \). Since every finite-index subgroup contains \( G'_0 \), now all of them correspond to those of the abelianization map, and hence they are all normal. The last assertion is true because \( H' \subset G'_0 \), and since \( H' \) is characteristic in \( H \) and hence normal in \( G_0 \), we have that \( G'_0 \subset H' \).

\[ \square \]

For our next corollary we will need the following fact.

**Proposition 2.7.** The center of \( G_0 \) is trivial.

**Proof.** Let \( g \in G_0 \) be in the center of \( G_0 \). In particular, \( g \) commutes with integer translations.

Let \( I \) be an interval on which the action of \( g \) is not affine. Now the action of any piecewise projective homeomorphism near infinity is affine. We conjugate \( g \) by \( x + n \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) to obtain a map \( g' \) which is not affine on the interval \( n + I \). By our hypothesis \( g = g' \), so it follows that \( g \) is in fact piecewise affine.

Moreover, by our hypothesis it follows that the set of breakpoints of our piecewise affine \( g \) is invariant under translation, hence empty. So \( g \) is in fact an affine map. The only affine maps that commute with integer translations are themselves translations, so \( g \) is of the form \( x + t \) for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). Now our lemma follows from the fact that \( b, c \) do not commute with \( x + t \) for \( t \neq 0 \).

\[ \square \]
We remark that the above argument is quite general. Given any group of piecewise projective homeomorphisms that contains both a translation and a non-translation, then the center of the group is trivial.

So we have now the following.

**Corollary 2.8.** Every proper quotient of $G_0$ is abelian.

**Proof.** Let $p : G_0 \longrightarrow Q$ be a proper quotient map, and let $K = \ker p$. Since the quotient is not $G_0$, there exists $x \in K$ with $x \neq 1$. Since the center is trivial, then there exists $y \in G_0$ such that $[x, y] \neq 1$. But then $[x, y] \in K \cap G'_0$, which is a normal subgroup of $G'_0$, so it follows that $G'_0 \subset K$ and $Q$ is abelian. \hfill \Box

3. Commutators for $G$

In this section we consider the commutator subgroups $G'$ and $G''$. Recall that $G$ is generated by the set \{x, x_1, y_10, y_0, y_1\}, that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_0^n$ is a conjugate of $y_0$ and $y_1^n$ is a conjugate of $y_1$ and that for all non-constant $s$, $y_s$ is a conjugate of $y_{10}$.

From the relations of the form $y_s = x_s y_{s0} y_{s10} y_{s11}$ we see that, unlike the situation for $G_0$, the elements $x$ and $x_1$ lie in the kernel of the abelianization map. In more detail, we have the following relation in $G$:

$$y_1 = x_1 y_10 y_{110} y_{111}$$

which, combined with

$$y_{111} = x^{-2} y_1 x^2$$  
$$y_{110} = x^{-1} y_{10} x$$

shows that $x_1$ is in the kernel of the abelianization. Similarly $x_0$ is in the abelianization because of the relations:

$$y_0 = x_0 y_{00} y_{110} y_{011}$$  
$$y_{00} = x y_0 x^{-1}$$  
$$y_{011} = x_0^{-1} x^2 y_{110} x^{-2} x_0.$$  

That $x$ is also in the kernel then follows from the relation

$$x_0 = x^2 x_1^{-1} x^{-1}$$

which is a consequence of relation (1). Since $x$ and $x_1$ together generate $F$, the whole of $F$ lies in $G'$.

We obtain the following.

**Lemma 3.1.** The map given by:

$$x \mapsto (0, 0, 0) \quad x_1 \mapsto (0, 0, 0) \quad y_{10} \mapsto (1, 0, 0) \quad y_0 \mapsto (0, 1, 0) \quad y_1 \mapsto (0, 0, 1).$$

extends to a surjective homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$, and its kernel is exactly the commutator subgroup $G'$.

**Proof.** As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we consider the infinite presentation and show that the given map extends to a homomorphism by first noting the extension to the infinite generating set. The infinite generating set for $G$ we consider is $S = X \cup Y$ where $X = \{x_s : s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}\}$ and $Y = \{y_s : s \in 2^{<\mathbb{N}}\}$. The set of relations is given by (1) to (5) above. That this gives a presentation of $G$ is Theorem 3.3 in [6].
Consider the map from \( S \to \mathbb{Z}^3 \) given by

\[
x_s \mapsto (0, 0, 0) \quad y \mapsto (-1, 1, 1) \quad y_s \mapsto \begin{cases} 
(0, 1, 0) & \text{if } s = 0^m \text{ for some } m \geq 1 \\
(0, 0, 1) & \text{if } s = 1^m \text{ for some } m \geq 1 \\
(1, 0, 0) & \text{if } s \text{ is non-constant}
\end{cases}
\]

That this map extends to a homomorphism \( \pi : G \to \mathbb{Z}^3 \) can be readily seen by considering the effect on each of the relations (1) to (5).

Since \( \{y_0, y_1, y_{10}\} \) projects to a generating set for the abelianization \( G/G' \) and the image \( \{\pi(y_0), \pi(y_1), \pi(y_{10})\} \) is a basis for \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \) we conclude that \( \pi \) induces an isomorphism from \( G/G' \) to \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \). \( \square \)

Given a word in standard form \( h y_1^{a_1} \ldots y_n^{a_n} \), define the **left y-exponent sum** to be the integer given by summing the elements of \( \{a_i : s_i = 0^n, n \geq 1\} \). Similarly, define the **right y-exponent sum** and **central y-exponent sum** as the sums of the sets \( \{a_i : s_i = 1^n, n \geq 1\} \) and \( \{a_i : s_i \text{ is non-constant}\} \) respectively. The above discussion established the following.

**Proposition 3.2.** The commutator subgroup \( G' \) consists precisely of those elements of \( G \) that have a standard form expression with left \( y \)-exponent sum, right \( y \)-exponent sum and central \( y \)-exponent sum all equal to zero. \( \square \)

Note that elements of \( G' \) need not be compactly supported (e.g., \( x \)). An element of \( G' \) is compactly supported precisely when \( h \) is compactly supported and all \( s_i \) are non-constant. Elements of \( G'' \) have compact support. In fact, we have the following.

**Proposition 3.3.** \( G'' = G' \)

**Proof.** One inclusion is clear since \( G' = G'' \subseteq G' \). For the reverse inclusion recall that the action of any piecewise projective homeomorphism is affine near infinity. The elements of \( G'' \) have compact support and therefore we claim they have a standard form that does not contain any elements of the form \( y_s \) with \( s \) constant. To see this, first observe that for any such element there is a word, not necessarily in standard form, and representing the element, that has this property. In Section 5 of \([6]\), a process is described that takes a given word in the generating set, and converts it into a word in standard form using the relations. In this process a word with no occurrences of \( y_s \) with \( s \) constant is converted into a standard form which also has this property. Moreover, the total \( y \)-exponent sum is zero since this is true for \( G' \). It then follows from Proposition 2.2 that \( G'' \subseteq G' \). \( \square \)

In particular \( G'' \) is simple. Note that \( G'' \) is strictly smaller than \( G' \) since elements of \( G'' \) have compact support.

Recall that \( x \) and \( x_1 \) (hence any element of \( F \)) are in \( G' \).

**Proposition 3.4.** The group \( G'/G'' \) is generated by the cosets of \( x \) and \( x_1 \). There is an isomorphism \( G'/G'' \to \mathbb{Z}^2 \) given by the images of the generators:

\[
x G'' \mapsto (1, 0) \quad x_1 G'' \mapsto (0, 1).
\]
Proof. Any element of \( G' \) has \( y_0 \) exponent sum equal to zero and \( y_1 \) exponent sum equal to zero. The relations \( y_0^{n+1} = x^n y_0 x^{-n} \) and \( y_1^{n+1} = x^{-n} y_1 x^n \) then show that any element of \( G'/G'' \) can be written as

\[
h y_{a_1}^{a_1} \ldots y_{a_n}^{a_n} G''
\]

with \( h \in F \), each \( s_i \) non-constant and the sum of the \( a_i \) equal to zero. Hence, \( y_{a_1}^{a_1} \ldots y_{a_n}^{a_n} \in G'_0 \) by Proposition 2.2. Therefore, as \( G'_0 = G'' \), any element of \( G'/G'' \) can be written in the form \( x^m x_1^n G'' \) with \( m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \).

That there is a homomorphism sending \( xG'' \) to \((1, 0)\) and \( x_1 G'' \) to \((0, 1)\) is clear from the above discussion. To see that this is surjective, note that there is a homomorphism \( G' \to \mathbb{Z}^2 \), given by the germs at infinity. This map must therefore be precisely \( G'/G'' \). \( \square \)

We have seen that the derived series for \( G \) is \( G'' \triangleleft G' \triangleleft G \) with \( G/G' \cong \mathbb{Z}^3 \), \( G'/G'' \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \) and \( G'' \) perfect.

4. Commutators for \( H(A) \)

In this section we consider the group \( H(A) \), where \( A \) is a subring of \( \mathbb{R} \). We observe a basic fact about \( P_A \).

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( A \) be a subring of \( \mathbb{R} \).

1. If \( A \) has a unit \( c \neq \pm 1 \), then \( \infty \in P_A \).
2. If the only units in \( A \) are \( \pm 1 \), then \( \infty \notin P_A \).

**Proof.** First we consider the case where \( A \) has a unit \( c \neq \pm 1 \). Consider an affine map of the form \( t \to c^2 t \). Then, this map fixes 0 and \( \infty \). The corresponding matrix in \( PSL_2(A) \)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
c & 0 \\
0 & c^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

is a hyperbolic matrix which fixes \( \infty \). So it follows that \( \infty \in P_A \).

Now we consider the case when the only units in \( A \) are \( \pm 1 \). Any hyperbolic matrix that fixes \( \infty \) must be of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
u & v \\
0 & u^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

so that \( u \) is a unit that does not equal \( \pm 1 \). Since there are no such units in \( A \), there are no such matrices in \( PSL_2(A) \), and so \( \infty \notin P_A \). \( \square \)

The fact that \( \infty \) is such an important point and that it belongs to \( P_A \) only in the case where there are nontrivial units is the reason why the proof of the theorem is split in these two cases.

So we consider first the case in which \( A \) has units other than \( \pm 1 \). We define \( H_c(A) \) as the group of compactly supported elements of \( H(A) \). Since the elements of \( H(A) \) are affine near infinity, it follows that \( H(A)'' \subseteq H_c(A) \), because two elements of the type \( y = ax + b \)
have a commutator of the type $y = x + k$, and two of these commute. Due to the high transitivity of the action of $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the real line, a variation of Corollary 2.4 applies to the groups $H_c(A)$ and $H(A)^n$ and therefore the groups $H_c(A)'$ and $H(A)^{''}$ are simple.

From our hypothesis on $A$ it is clear that $H(A)' \neq H(A)^{''}$, since all elements of $H(A)^{''}$ are compactly supported, whereas there are maps in $H(A)'$ that are not compactly supported. For instance, consider a commutator of an integer translation with a map of the form $t \mapsto pt$, where $p \neq \pm 1$ is a unit in $A$. Moreover, since $H(A)^{''} \subseteq H_c(A)$ it follows that $H_c(A)' = H(A)^{''}$. To establish simplicity of $H(A)^{''}$ it suffices to show that $H(A)^{''} = H_c(A)'$. Indeed it suffices to show that if $g, h \in H(A)'$, then $[g, h] \in H_c(A)'$.

Before we show this, we first build some generic elements of $H(A)$ which will be used in the proof.

**Definition 4.2.** Given any positive real number $r \in A$, there is an $x \in (0, 1)$ such that $\frac{x}{1-x} = x + r$, because the graphs of $t \mapsto \frac{t}{1-t}$ and $t \mapsto t + r$ must intersect in $(0, 1)$. We define a map:

$$\gamma_r(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ \frac{t}{1-t} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq x \\ t + r & \text{if } x \leq t \end{cases}$$

Now the matrices associated to the maps $t \mapsto t + r$, $\frac{t}{1-t}$ are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. It follows that $x$ is fixed by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

which is a hyperbolic matrix. Therefore $x \in P_A$, and hence $\gamma_r \in H(A)$.

Now given any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in A, r > 0$, we define the map:

$$\gamma_{n,r}(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t \leq n \\ \frac{t-n}{1-(t-n)} + n & \text{if } n \leq t \leq n + x \\ t + r & \text{if } n + x \leq t \end{cases}$$

This map is obtained by conjugating $\gamma_r$ by the map $t \mapsto t + n$. Clearly, $\gamma_{n,r} \in H(A)$.

**Definition 4.3.** Let $r \in A$ be a negative real number. The graph of the map $t \mapsto t + r$ meets the map $\frac{t}{1-t}$ at some number $x$ contained in the interval $[-r, -r + 1]$. We define the map
Figure 1. The maps $\gamma_r$ and $\lambda_r$.

$$\lambda_r(t) = \begin{cases} 
t & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\
\frac{t}{1+t} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq x \\
t + r & \text{if } x \leq t
\end{cases}$$

Just as in the previous definition, one checks that $x \in P_A$ and so $\lambda_r \in H(A)$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $\lambda_{n,r}$ is obtained by conjugating $\lambda_r$ by $t \to t + n$.

$$\lambda_{n,r}(t) = \begin{cases} 
t & \text{if } t \leq n \\
\frac{t-n}{1+(t-n)} + n & \text{if } n \leq t \leq n + x \\
t + r & \text{if } n + x \leq t
\end{cases}$$

It follows that $\lambda_{n,r} \in H(A)$.

The idea of the construction of these elements is to provide “bump” or “step” functions between the identity and $t + r$, always within $H(A)$. Our maps in $H(A)$ are translations $t + r$ near infinity, so these functions will be used to provide steps to the identity which will transform them into compactly supported maps. See Figure 1.

Stated in general, the generic elements constructed above allow us to observe the following.

Lemma 4.4. For each $r \in A$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a $f \in H(A)$ such that:

1. $f$ is supported on $[y, \infty)$ for some $y < p$.
2. The restriction of $f$ to $(p, \infty)$ equals addition by $r$.

In an analogous fashion, one establishes the following.

Lemma 4.5. For each $r \in A$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a $f \in H(A)$ such that:

1. $f$ is supported on $(-\infty, z]$ for some $z > p$.
2. The restriction of $f$ to $(-\infty, p)$ equals addition by $r$. 
We now provide an elementary gluing construction that allows one to build piecewise projective maps, by gluing pieces of piecewise projective maps provided they agree on a suitable interval. If two maps agree in an interval, we can take a hybrid of the two which consists of one on one side, and another in the other side. See Figure 2.

The proof of this lemma is straightforward.

**Lemma 4.6. (Gluing)** Let \(f, g\) be an ordered pair of elements in \(H(A)\) and let \(I = [x, y]\) be such that the restrictions of \(f, g\) on \([x, y]\) agree. Then there is an element \(h \in H(A)\) such that:

1. The restriction of \(f, h\) on \((-\infty, x]\) agree.
2. The restrictions of \(g, h\) on \([y, \infty)\) agree.
3. The restriction of \(h\) on \([x, y]\) agrees with the restrictions of both \(f, g\) on \([x, y]\).

Note that in the gluing construction the order of the pair \(f, g\) is essential in determining how the elements are glued, the resulting maps are different of we glue \(f, g\) or if we glue
Lemma 4.7. Let \( g, h \in H(A)' \). Then \([g, h] \in H_c(A)'
\).

Proof. The main idea of the proof is to find elements \( h_1, h_2, k_1, k_2 \in H(A) \) such that:

1. \([h_1, h_2], [k_1, k_2] \in H_c(A)'
\).
2. \([h_1, h_2][g, h][k_1, k_2] \in H_c(A)'
\).

This will finish the proof. The construction of these elements will be done in four steps.

Step 1: The maps \( f, g \in H(A)' \), they are translations near infinity. This allows us to choose a sufficiently large interval \([r, s]\) for which the restriction of each element of \(\{f, g, f^{-1}, g^{-1}\}\) to \((-\infty, r)\) and \((s, \infty)\) are translations. Outside of this interval, we will glue the step functions constructed above so our maps become compactly supported.

Step 2: Applying Lemma 4.4 we find elements \( h_1, h_2 \) such that:

1. \( h_1, h_2 \) are supported on an interval \([x, \infty)\) for \( x < r \).
2. There is a real \( x < x_1 < r \) such that the restrictions of \( h_1, f \) on \([x_1, r]\) agree.
3. There is a real \( x < x_2 < r \) such that the restrictions of \( h_2, g \) on \([x_2, r]\) agree.
4. Let \( j_1, j_2 \) be the elements obtained by gluing \( h_1, f \) and \( h_2, g \) along \([x_1, r], [x_2, r]\) respectively. Then \([j_1, j_2] = [h_1, h_2][f, g]\).

The final condition above is satisfied if the gluing intervals are sufficiently large. Since translations commute, as we apply the sequence of elements of the commutator

\[ j_1, j_2, j_1^{-1}, j_2^{-1} \]

in that order, one by one, we notice that if the gluing interval is large enough, it contains a subinterval on which each element acts like a translation, and hence the net result is the identity map. On the right side of this piece, the commutator acts like \([f, g]\), and on the left side it acts like \([h_1, h_2]\). See Figure 3.

Step 3: In this step we will do the same procedure as in step 2, but now on the right hand side of the maps. Applying Lemma 4.3 we find elements \( k_1, k_2 \) such that:

1. \( k_1, k_2 \) are supported on an interval \((-\infty, y)\).
2. There is a real \( s < x_1 < y \) such that the restrictions of \( k_1, f \) on \([s, x_1]\) agree.
3. There is a real \( s < x_2 < y \) such that the restrictions of \( k_2, g \) on \([s, x_2]\) agree.
4. Let \( l_1, l_2 \) be the elements obtained by gluing \( f, k_1 \) and \( g, k_2 \) along \([s, x_1], [s, x_2]\) respectively. Then \([l_1, l_2] = [f, g][k_1, k_2]\).

Step 4: We glue \( j_1, l_1 \) along \([r, s]\) to obtain \( f' \), and we glue \( j_2, l_2 \) along \([r, s]\) to obtain \( g' \). It follows that \([f', g'] = [h_1, h_2][f, g][k_1, k_2] \), and since \( f' \) and \( g' \) are constructed to be in \( H_c(A) \), we conclude that \([f', g'] \in H_c(A)'
\).

This finishes the proof of the fact that \([h_1, h_2][f, g][k_1, k_2] \in H_c(A)'
\). To prove our lemma it suffices to show that \([h_1, h_2], [k_1, k_2] \in H_c(A)'
\). We will show this for \([h_1, h_2] \). The other case is completely analogous. We assume that \( h_1, h_2 \) are supported on \([0, \infty)\) for
Figure 3. Step 2 in the proof that $[f, g] \in H_c(A)'$. The maps $f$ and $g$ do not have compact support, but they are glued to the maps $h_1$ and $h_2$ in such a way that the resulting maps $j_1$ and $j_2$ are the identity near $-\infty$ and their commutator $[j_1, j_2]$ agrees with $[f, g]$ except that $[h_1, h_2]$ has appeared. The next step is to perform this procedure also near $+\infty$, to produce maps $f', g'$ which have compact support, and whose commutator agrees with $[f, g]$ except that $[h_1, h_2]$ and $[k_1, k_2]$ appear, one above, and one below $[f, g]$. The proof ends when these two latter commutators are also shown to be in $H_c(A)'$. 
simplicity. (If this is not the case, we can conjugate the elements $h_1, h_2$ by a sufficiently large integer translation $p$, and then establish that $[h_1^p, h_2^p] = [h_1, h_2]^p \in H_c'$.)

The map $M(t) = \frac{t}{1 + t}$ fixes 0 and maps $(0, \infty)$ to $(0, 1)$. So the map

$$h_3 = [M^{-1}h_1 M, M^{-1}h_2 M] = M^{-1}[h_1, h_2]M$$

is clearly in $H_c(A)'$. In fact, the closure of the support of $h_3$ is contained in an interval $[0, t] \subset [0, 1)$.

Now we will construct an element $m \in H(A)$ such that $m$ agrees with $M^{-1}$ on the support of $h_3$. For a sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists x \in (t, 1)$ such that $x + k = M^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{1 - x}$. It follows that $x$ is fixed by

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{array} \right)^{-1}$$

which is a hyperbolic matrix. So we define $m$ as:

$$m(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ \frac{t}{1 - t} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq x \\ t + k & \text{if } x \leq t \end{cases}$$

The breakpoints of $m$ are $0, x, \infty$. This means that $m \in H(A)$. We remark that this is the part of the argument where the existence of units in $A$ other than $\pm 1$ is used.

However this means that $m^{-1}h_3m = [h_1, h_2]$. Since $H_c(A)'$ is characteristic in $H_c(A)$, it is invariant under conjugation by elements of $H(A)$. Since $h_3 \in H_c(A)'$ it follows that $[h_1, h_2] \in H_c(A)'$ as desired. \hfill \Box

We conclude the following.

**Corollary 4.8.** $H(A)^{''}$ is simple.

The proof of Proposition 2.7 applies to both $H(A)$ and $H(A)'$, so the center of these groups is trivial. So we obtain the following.

**Proposition 4.9.** Every proper quotient of $H(A)$ is metabelian.

*Proof.* Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $H(A)$. Let $N_1 = H(A)' \cap N$ and $N_2 = H(A)^{''} \cap N$. Since $H(A)^{''}$ is simple, either $N_2$ is trivial or $N_2 = H(A)^{''}$. In the former case it follows that $N_1$ is in the center of $H(A)'$, which means that $N_1$ is trivial. This means that $N$ is in the center of $H(A)$ which means that $N$ is trivial. So indeed it follows that either $N$ is trivial or $N$ contains $H(A)^{''}$. \hfill \Box

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1 for the case where $A$ contains units other than $\pm 1$. Now we turn our attention to the case where $A$ does not contain units other than $\pm 1$. This condition on the units forces the elements of $H(A)$ to be translations near infinity, since the only affine maps in $PSL_2(A)$ are translations. It follows that elements of $H(A)'$ are compactly supported. By applying Higman’s theorem to $H(A)'$ and $H_c(A)$ we obtain
that the groups $H(A)'$ and $H_c(A)'$ are simple, and as a consequence $H_c(A)' = H(A)'$. To prove our claim it suffices to show that $H_c(A)' = H(A)'$.

Recall that because of Lemma 4.1 we now have that $\infty$ is not in $P_A$. It follows that for any $f \in H(A)$, the translations on both germs at $\infty$ are the same.

**Lemma 4.10.** Let $A$ be a subring of $\mathbb{R}$ whose only units are $\pm 1$. Let $f, g \in H(A)$. Then $[f, g] \in H_c(A)'$.

*Proof.* The proof will follow analogous lines to the proof of Lemma 4.7 while watching carefully the fact that for each element the two translations near $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are equal.

We assume for the rest of the proof that this translation near infinity for $f$ is $t \to t + c_1$ and for $g$ is $t \to t + c_2$. The main idea of the proof is to find elements $h_1, h_2, k_1, k_2 \in H(A)$ such that:

1. $[h_1, h_2][k_1, k_2] \in H_c(A)'$.
2. $[f, g][h_1, h_2][k_1, k_2] \in H_c(A)'$.

This will finish the proof. We shall follow a five step procedure to construct the required elements.

**Step 1:** Choose a sufficiently large interval $[r, s]$ so that the restriction of each element of $\{f, g, f^{-1}, g^{-1}\}$ to $\mathbb{R} \setminus [r, s]$ is a translation.

**Step 2:** Applying Lemma 4.4 we find elements $h_1, h_2$ such that:

1. $h_1, h_2$ are supported on an interval $[x, \infty)$.
2. There is a real $x < x_1 < r$ such that the restrictions of $h_1, f$ on $[x_1, r]$ agree.
3. There is a real $x < x_2 < r$ such that the restrictions of $h_2, g$ on $[x_2, r]$ agree.
4. Let $j_1, j_2$ be the elements obtained by gluing $h_1, f$ and $h_2, g$ along $[x_1, r], [x_2, r]$ respectively, then $[j_1, j_2] = [h_1, h_2][f, g]$.

The last condition above is satisfied if the gluing intervals are sufficiently large, just as in the analogous case in the proof of Lemma 4.7.

**Step 3:** Applying Lemma 4.5 we find elements $k_1, k_2$ such that:

1. $k_1, k_2$ are supported on an interval $(-\infty, y)$.
2. There is a real $s < x_1 < y$ such that the restrictions of $k_1, f$ on $[s, x_1]$ agree.
3. There is a real $s < x_2 < y$ such that the restrictions of $k_2, g$ on $[s, x_2]$ agree.
4. Let $l_1, l_2$ be the elements obtained by gluing $f, k_1$ and $g, k_2$ along $[s, x_1], [s, x_2]$ respectively, then $[l_1, l_2] = [f, g][k_1, k_2]$.

At this point we remark that by construction, the restriction of the maps $h_1, k_1$ on $[r, s]$ equals translation by $c_1$, and the restriction of the maps $h_2, k_2$ on $[r, s]$ equals translation by $c_2$.

**Step 4:** We glue $l_1, j_1$ along $[r, s]$ to obtain $s_1$, and glue $l_2, j_2$ along $[r, s]$ to obtain $s_2$.

**Step 5:** We glue $h_1, k_1$ along $[r, s]$ to obtain $t_1$, and glue $h_2, k_2$ along $[r, s]$ to obtain $t_2$. 


By construction, it follows that \([s_1, s_2] = [h_1, h_2][f, g][k_1, k_2]\). It is clear by construction that the supports of \([h_1, h_2], [f, g]\) are disjoint, so
\[
[s_1, s_2] = [h_1, h_2][f, g][k_1, k_2] = [f, g][h_1, h_2][k_1, k_2] \in H_c(A)'
\]
Since
\[
[t_1, t_2] = [h_1, h_2][k_1, k_2] \in H_c(A)'
\]
we are done. \(\square\)

It follows from similar arguments, as in the case of \(A\) with units other than \(\pm 1\), that the center of \(H(A)\) is trivial, and every proper quotient of \(H(A)\) is abelian. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

The groups \(H(A)\) are not finitely presented, and a presentation for these groups would involve infinitely many maps similar to the map \(y\) and their interactions. Writing down these generators and relations would be quite complicated. Hence this makes it difficult to compute the quotients of \(H(A)\) by the commutators \(H(A)'\) or \(H(A)''\) to get its abelianization and metabelianization. We believe that, unlike the cases for \(G\) and \(G_0\), it is difficult to find easy expressions for these quotients.
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