Numerical simulation of TEM images for In(Ga)As/GaAs quantum dots with various shapes
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Abstract
We present a mathematical model and a tool chain for the numerical simulation of TEM images of semiconductor quantum dots (QDs). This includes elasticity theory to obtain the strain profile coupled with the Darwin–Howie–Whelan equations, describing the propagation of the electron wave through the sample. We perform a simulation study on indium gallium arsenide QDs with different shapes and compare the resulting TEM images to experimental ones. This tool chain can be applied to generate a database of simulated TEM images, which is a key element of a novel concept for model-based geometry reconstruction of semiconductor QDs, involving machine learning techniques.
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1 Introduction

The fabrication of semiconductor quantum dots (QDs) with specific electronic properties would highly benefit from the assessment of QD geometry, distribution, and strain profile in a feedback loop between epitaxial growth and analysis of their properties. To assist the
optimization of QDs transmission electron microscopy (TEM) can be used. However, a
direct 3D geometry reconstruction from TEM of bulk-like samples (thickness 100–200 nm)
by solving the tomography problem is not feasible due to its limited resolution (0.5–1 nm),
the highly nonlinear behaviour of the dynamic electron scattering and strong stochastic
influences in the images.

Recently, we introduced a novel concept for 3D model-based geometry reconstruc-
tion (MBGR) of QDs from TEM imaging (Koprucki et al. 2018; Maltsi et al. 2018). The
approach is based on (a) an appropriate model for the QD configuration in real space
including a categorization of QD shapes (e.g. pyramidal or lens-shaped) and continuous
parameters (e.g. size, height), (b) a database of simulated TEM images covering a large
number of possible QD configurations and image acquisition parameters (e.g. bright field/
dark field, sample tilt), as well as (c) a statistical procedure for the estimation of QD prop-
erties and classification of QD types based on acquired TEM image data.

Here, we present as a first step towards MBGR: A mathematical model and a tool chain
for the numerical simulation of TEM images for semiconductor QDs. We perform a sim-
ulation study on lens-shaped and pyramidal indium gallium arsenide QDs embedded in
a gallium arsenide matrix and compare the resulting TEM simulations to experimental
derived images. It is known that TEM images are very sensitive to strain fields around QDs
and these fields are mostly responsible for the observed contrast. In order to link the con-
trasts in TEM images with shapes and concentration of these QDs it is crucial to combine
strain calculations with TEM image simulations. Previous examples of investigations with
combined finite element and image calculations include the explanation of surface relaxa-
tion contrasts along quantum wells by Jacob et al. (1998) and explanation of the typical
coffee-bean contrast around QDs by Benabbas et al. (1996). Previous observations of Liao
et al. (1998) showed that, for single excitation conditions, it is hard to distinguish between
effects of shape and crystal symmetries on the TEM images. By our systematic study of the
influence of the shape on the image contrast, we could identify excitation conditions that
allow to distinguish between lens-shaped and pyramidal QDs.

2 Imaging of quantum dots by transmission electron microscope

The principal ray path for conventional imaging of crystalline specimen within a TEM is
sketched in Fig. 1. A parallel electron beam illuminates a specimen of typical thicknesses
below few hundred nanometers.

The periodic structure of the specimen diffracts the beam in discrete directions, which
fulfill the Laue condition for a certain reciprocal lattice point $\mathbf{g}$. Due to the finite extent
of the specimen in the beam direction, the Laue condition must not be exactly fulfilled.
Figure 1c, d illustrates two possible cases, in the latter only two beams are exactly on the
Ewald sphere (two beam conditions).

The diffracted beams leaving the exit surface of the specimen are focussed again by the
objective into a magnified image of the specimen. The objective aperture allows to restrict
the set of transmitted beams forming this image. In general, the term bright field image is
used, when the undiffracted beam is included in the aperture (e.g. Fig. 1a). Here we use the
term dark field for images, where only one diffracted beam forms the image (Fig. 1b).

As further detailed in Sect. 3 the obtained images in two beam conditions are mostly
sensitive to the displacement field components along the direction of the diffraction vector
$\mathbf{g}$. Examples for TEM images of two QDs are shown in Fig. 2. These are dark field images
of the excited beam obtained for two beam conditions, in two perpendicular directions. Both images are showing a coffee-bean-like contrast along the direction of the respective diffraction vector.

This sensitivity for certain components of the displacement field is also used for instance in dislocation imaging. Depending on the dislocation type the displacement field has no
component in certain directions. These directions can be found by looking for diminishing contrast under two-beam conditions for different diffraction vectors \( \mathbf{g} \). Typically the contrast vanishes for directions \( \mathbf{g} \) perpendicular to the Burger’s vector of the dislocation, i.e. \( \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0 \) (De Graef 2003).

### 3 Modeling of TEM imaging of semiconductor nanostructures

The dynamical electron scattering in crystalline solids, e.g. semiconductor nanostructures, is influenced by spatial variations in the material composition and by local deformations of the lattice due to elastic strain. In order to model the TEM images we need to use elasticity theory to obtain the strain profile and couple this with the equations describing the electron propagation through the sample.

The indium gallium arsenide QDs under consideration and the surrounding GaAs matrix have different lattice constants. This induces mechanical stresses in the nanostructure. The strain tensor is defined as \( \varepsilon(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T) \), where the displacement \( \mathbf{u} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) and the stress \( \mathbf{\sigma} \) is linked to the strain by Hook’s law \( \mathbf{\sigma} = C : \varepsilon \). Here, \( C \) is the elastic stiffness tensor.

We model the elastic relaxation of the misfit-induced strain following the concept of Eshelby’s inclusion (Eshelby 1957). The approach was developed for the description of the elastic relaxation within and around inclusions of a solid surrounded by a matrix. The GaAs matrix provides a global reference for measuring displacements and strains. For simplicity we assume that both matrix and inclusion (QD) exhibit cubic symmetry. If we remove the inclusion from the matrix, it is stress-free, if the strain \( \varepsilon \) of the reference system equals the eigenstrain \( \varepsilon^* \).

Assuming a stress-strain relation according to Hooke’s law, we can mimic the stress-free condition for \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon^* \) in the reference system of the matrix by incorporating the eigenstress in the momentum balance:

\[
\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{\sigma} - \mathbf{\sigma}^*) = 0.
\]

The boundary condition on the Neumann part of the boundary (stress-free relaxation) has to be modified accordingly

\[
(\mathbf{\sigma} - \mathbf{\sigma}^*) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0.
\]

The dynamical electron scattering is described by the Schrödinger equation,

\[
\Delta \Psi + 4\pi^2 \mathbf{k}_0^2 \Psi = -4\pi^2 U(\mathbf{r})\Psi,
\]

where \( \mathbf{k}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) describes the incoming electron beam and \( U(\mathbf{r}) \) the reduced electrostatic lattice potential. We assume a propagation of the beam in \( x \)-direction. Writing the wave function as a superposition of plane waves, for the directions given by the Laue condition:

\[
\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{g}} \psi_g(\mathbf{r}) e^{2\pi i (\mathbf{k}_0 + \mathbf{g}) \cdot \mathbf{r}}, \quad \mathbf{r} = (x, y, z).
\]

we end up with the Darwin–Howie–Whelan equations (De Graef 2003)

\[
\frac{d\psi_g}{dx} = i\pi \left[ 2s_g \psi_g + \frac{1}{\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_0 + \mathbf{g})} \sum_{\mathbf{g}'} U_{g-g'} \psi_{g'} \right], \quad s_g = -\frac{\mathbf{g} \cdot (2\mathbf{k}_0 + \mathbf{g})}{2\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_0 + \mathbf{g})}.\]
The amplitudes $\psi_g$ depend only parametrically on the $(y, z)$-coordinates, which define a 2D pixel array constituting the TEM image. The Fourier coefficients $U_g$ of the reduced electrostatic potential $U(r)$ of the crystal introduce a coupling between the beams and the excitation error $s_g$ shows how well the Laue condition is satisfied. We consider only amplitudes $\psi_g$ with a small excitation error $s_g$. In our case the vector $n$ is the unit vector in $x$ direction.

The influence of variations in the indium content $c(r)$ and of the lattice deformations given by the displacement field $u(r)$ can be approximated by the modification of the Fourier coefficients according to

$$U_g \rightarrow U'_g(r) = [c(r)U_{g}^{\text{InAs}} + (1 - c(r))U_{g}^{\text{GaAs}}] \times \exp(-2\pi i u(r) \cdot g).$$

The projection of the displacement on the individual reciprocal lattice vector $g$ enters the coupling as phase factor.

4 Numerical simulation of TEM images for different geometries

A simulated TEM image is generated by propagating the beams through the specimen for every pixel $(y_i, z_j), i, j = 1, \ldots, N$. This is done numerically by solving the Darwin–Howie–Whelan equations (5) using displacements $u$ obtained from the elasticity problem (1) for a given geometry.

The excitation errors $s_g$ entering the Darwin–Howie–Whelan equations depend on the orientation of the crystallographic lattice with respect to the beam direction. The specimen can be oriented such that only a small number of beams $g$ with amplitudes $\psi_g$ are excited. For two-beam conditions, the Ewald sphere only cuts two reciprocal lattice points. Thereby, most of the intensity is contained within the undiffracted $\psi_0$ and a single diffracted beam $\psi_g$ while the other beams remain largely unexcited, see Fig. 1d.

In imaging conditions, where the objective aperture selects only a single beam, the image contrast is simply obtained by taking the square of the modulus of the beam’s amplitude at the exit plane $x = x_{\text{exit}}$

$$I_g(y, z) = |\psi_g(x_{\text{exit}}, y, z)|^2.$$  

For example for excitation of (040)-two beam conditions, the bright field is given by $I_0(y, z)$ while the dark field image is given by $I_g(y, z)$ with $g = (040)$.

4.1 Tool chain for simulation of TEM images

The simulation tool chain starts with a parametric geometry description of the QD shape, e.g. using base length and height of the pyramidal QD. A representation of the geometry by a triangulation is created using the 3D mesh generator TetGen (Si 2015), see Fig. 5a. The generated mesh enters a FEM-based elasticity solver of WIAS-pdelib (Fuhrmann et al. 2019) which computes the strain profile and the displacement field $u$ in the QD and in the sample. Finally, the multi-beam solution is obtained by the Darwin–Howie–Whelan solver pyTEM (Niermann 2019) depending on excitation conditions and the sample orientation and results in the simulated TEM image. For the examples shown in the following we assumed an acceleration voltage of 300 kV. The $y$ and $z$ range of the simulated TEM images is -50 nm to 50 nm around the center of the quantum dot, sampled with 101 points. The beams used are chosen from (020) systematic row for the (040) reflection and (002)
systematic row for the (004) reflection. From these, the beams with the smallest excitation error were used (6 beams in our case).

### 4.2 Spherical QD

As a first example, we consider a spherical InAs QD with radius 10 nm embedded in a GaAs matrix. Figure 3b shows the dark field TEM image under (040)-two beam conditions, revealing a coffee-bean like contrast which looks similar to the experimental results shown in Fig. 2. For comparison Fig. 3a shows a simulated TEM image using in Eq. (6) the effect of the material contrast only, neglecting strain effects. Even the qualitative behavior differs strongly from the results of the fully-coupled simulation (Fig. 3b) and from the experimental observations (Fig. 2). This demonstrates that the TEM contrast is dominated by the strain profile around the QD, shown in Fig. 3c, and the nonlocal behavior of the mapping QD geometry (sphere) to TEM image (coffee-bean).

![Simulated TEM images](image)

**Fig. 3** Simulated TEM images: **a** dark field for material contrast only, **b** dark field also including influence of strain. The image contrast in **a** is strongly increased for better visualization. Due to the excitation under (040)-two-beam conditions, the image contrast is sensitive to the [010]-component of the displacement field, shown in **c** along a cross-section through the center of a spherical InAs QD as obtained by FEM. ©2018 IEEE. Reprinted, with permission, from Koprucki et al. (2018)

![3D view of the geometry](image)

**Fig. 4** 3D view of the geometry of a lens-shaped and a pyramidal quantum dot. The shadow indicates the cutting plane for the cross sections shown in Fig. 5
Fig. 5  InGaAs (green) quantum dots (indium content 80%) embedded in a GaAs (red) matrix with different shapes: lens-shaped QDs with circular base (two left columns) and pyramidal QDs (two right columns) with different vertical aspect ratios, respectively. FEM simulation of the elastic relaxation of the misfit induced strain:  
(a) geometry and FEM mesh, where we made a cut through the mesh by cell removal, so the colour changes occur due to light reflections from the remaining tetrahedra.  
(b) \( u_y \) component and  
(d) \( u_z \) component of the displacement field along a \( yz \)-cross-section through the center of the QDs in Å. The strain fields are not fully symmetric because we used an unstructured and coarse mesh. Simulated TEM images for two different excitations:  
(c) dark field for (040) beam for excitation under (040) two beam conditions and  
(e) dark field for (004) beam for excitation under (004) two beam conditions. The sample thickness is 150 nm and the acceleration voltage is 300 kV. All images show the same 60 nm × 60 nm field of view. (Color figure online)
4.3 Pyramidal and lens-shaped QDs

For more realistic QD TEM images we study QDs with two different shapes: lens-shaped QDs with circular base (diameter of 15 nm) and (truncated) pyramidal QDs (baselength of 15 nm) with different vertical aspect ratios, respectively, and a thin wetting layer, see Figs. 4 and 5a. We assume an indium content of 80% for all cases.

For comparison with the experimental TEM images shown in Fig. 2, we simulated TEM images for the same excitation conditions, namely (040)- and (004)-two beam conditions, see Fig. 5c, e, respectively. Consequently, the image contrast is sensitive to the \( y \)- and \( z \)-component of the displacement field, shown in Fig. 5b, d along a \( yz \)-cross section through the center of the QDs, respectively.

For both, pyramidal and lens-shaped QDs, a coffee-bean like contrast can be found for (040)-two beam conditions as it is also observed in the experimental data, see Fig. 2. However, for (004)-two-beam conditions we observe a striking difference between the images: The pyramidal QDs produce more a crescent-like contrast, whereas the contrast for the lens-shaped QDs resembles the coffee-bean contrast again. This can be explained by the different behavior of the elastic relaxation of the misfit induced strain: for lens-shaped structures the relaxation takes place in the QD as well as below and above it, while for pyramidal ones it is mainly concentrated in the QD itself and above, see Fig. 5e.

Another observation we can make from the simulated images is a line of no contrast across the center of lens-shaped QDs only. This characteristic feature is also observed experimentally, see Fig. 2. Therefore, even on the basis of the four different QD shapes we studied, one can already conclude that a lens-shaped structure matches the experimental
observations much better than pyramidal structures (truncated or not), see comparison in Fig. 6.

4.4 Database of TEM images

In order to achieve an automatic classification of QD shapes from experimental TEM images our next step is to use the tool chain to generate a database of TEM images. This database will contain series of TEM images for QDs with various shapes, in addition to pyramidal and lens-shaped, see Schliwa et al. (2007), and will depend on the size and indium concentration as well as on the excitation conditions of the electron beam, e.g. excited beam, excitation error, acceleration voltage. As an example we show a series of

![Fig. 7 InGaAs lens-shaped quantum dots embedded in a GaAs matrix. Dark field images for (040) two beam conditions:](image-url)

- **a** thickness variation 120 nm, 130 nm, 140 nm, 150 nm, size of QD 15 nm, indium content 80%, position of QD at 75 nm,
- **b** size variation 10 nm, 15 nm, 20 nm, 25 nm, sample thickness 150 nm, indium content 80%, position of QD at 75 nm,
- **c** indium content variation 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, sample thickness 150 nm, QD size 15 nm, position of QD at 75 nm,
- **d** position variation along the beam direction 45 nm, 55 nm, 65 nm, 75 nm, size of QD 15 nm, indium content 80%, sample thickness 150 nm. All images have the same gray scaling. Black corresponds to no intensity while white corresponds to the intensity of the incoming beam. Acceleration voltage is 300 kV.
specimen parameter variations for a lens-shaped InGaAs quantum dot embedded in a GaAs matrix: different values of the sample thickness in Fig. 7a, the size of the QD in Fig. 7b, the indium content in Fig. 7c and the position of the QD in the matrix relative to the top surface in Fig. 7d.

5 Conclusion

We presented a tool chain for the simulation of TEM images for QDs with realistic parameterized 3D geometries. Our computational tool chain is not restricted to QDs but can be applied also to other epitaxial heterostructures or coherent precipitates. This includes the surface relaxation of thin TEM lamellas or structures with spatially varying material composition, e.g. the QDs with varying indium content in our case.

Our study on four different QD shapes showed a strong sensitivity of image contrast to the characteristic profiles of the strain field. FEM investigations showed that the strain field in growth direction allows to distinguish between pyramidal and lens-shaped QDs. Therefore TEM images of QDs under strong beam conditions in the growth direction are suitable for the classification of QDs shapes. From comparison with experimental data we can exclude a pyramidal structure (truncated or not) for InGaAs QDs, assuming a constant homogenous indium content.

This tool chain will be used to create a database of simulated TEM images of QDs, which will serve as a training set to apply deep learning techniques. Furthermore, statistical procedures will be used to estimate continuous parameters such as height or base length of the QD for the realization of our novel concept for model-based geometry reconstruction (MBGR) of semiconductor QDs from TEM imaging. In addition, we plan to use methods like elastic shape analysis, optimal transport, diffusion maps etc, in order to define a metric on the space of TEM images and get a better understanding of its structure.
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