Analysis of epidemiological factors for pelvic floor defects in Indian women
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ABSTRACT

Background: Pelvic floor defects is one of the commonest conditions requiring gynecological reference. This study was aimed at evaluation of epidemiology which would help us in having a better understanding regarding the prevalence, risk factors, the natural course and associated complications of the disease in Indian women where the number of women presenting with pelvic floor defects is on a rise but there is a considerable lack of research in literature as compared to the western population.

Methods: It was an observational, non-interventional, prospective type of study including 88 patients over a period of 3 years at the department of obstetrics and gynecology at a tertiary hospital in Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

Results: The mean age of the patients was 52.38±11.35 years, 54 (61.36%) of the patients were of postmenopausal age group. The mean duration for which the complaints were present were 48±6.73 months. Seventy-six patients (86.83%) presented with complaints of mass per vagina, 22 patients (25%) had history of prolonged labor and 9 patients (10.2%) had history of instrumental delivery. Eighty-six women (97.72%) had history of all vaginal deliveries and only 4 (4.54%) patients had history of a caesarean section. Forty-four patients (55.69%) of patients had grade 3 utero-vaginal descent, 74 patients (84.09%) presented with cystocele and 79 (89.77%) of the patients presented with rectocele.

Conclusions: This paper provides an insight into the factors affecting the prevalence of this disease in Indian women and the unique differences from other populations.
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INTRODUCTION

Pelvic floor is a complex arrangement of different muscles and ligaments and fascia. The defects in them or the nerves supplying the muscles leads to prolapse of different organs like uterus, vagina, bladder, intestines - together termed as ‘pelvic organ prolapse’. Large number of combinations and variations are observed in pelvic floor defects, leading to a wide range of symptoms such as pelvic pain, chronic discharge, bleeding from ulceration, associated urinary and defecation symptoms, sexual dysfunction; but the most specific of them all being protrusion in vagina. Estimated prevalence of pelvic organ prolapse is almost 50% women of which 10-20% seek treatment for the same. Pelvic floor defects result from a wide number of etiological factors: predisposing factors such as a consequence of pregnancy due to hormonal or mechanical effects, as a consequence of labour and childbirth due to trauma to pelvic muscles or injury to nerves supplying these muscles. Advancing age is an important contributing factor due to weakening of pelvic floor muscles and decreased estrogen levels with age leading to muscle atrophy. Diabetic neuropathy, chronic corticosteroid therapy for different medical conditions causing weakening of connective tissue, smoking as it exerts an anti-estrogenic effect as
well as leads to chronic coughing, and connective tissue disorders are the other important predisposing factors.\(^{4,7,8}\) Precipitating factors which play a role in pelvic organ prolapse are increased abdominal pressure due to obesity, chronic cough, strenuous work, long standing pelvic tumours, and long standing ascites. Damage to proximal (apical) suspension by cardinal-uterosacral ligament complex leads to uterovaginal prolapse, vaginal vault prolapses in post hysterectomy status and enterocoele. Damage to the mid-vaginal support i.e. lateral attachment of pubocervical and rectovaginal fascia to arcus tendinous fascia pelvis and arcus tendinous fascia rectovaginalis respectively, leads to paravaginal and pararectal defects. Damage to fusion of deep endopelvic fascia to urogenital diaphragm and perineal body may lead to urinary incontinence and defecatory dysfunction.\(^{2,9}\) Although this is a widespread problem affecting millions of women, with significant morbidity and deterioration of lifestyle, however exact prevalence is not known as many cases do not present with symptoms. This study was aimed at studying all the epidemiological factors related to pelvic organ prolapse as it would help in identification of modifiable risk factors which would help us in counselling the patients and formulating some measures for prevention, it would help in early identification of pelvic organ prolapse in high risk patients which would prevent complications, it would also contribute in selecting different treatment modalities for such patients and also to scientific literature.

**METHODS**

It was an observational, non-interventional, prospective study conducted in the department of obstetrics and gynecology at a tertiary hospital in India from August 2016 - August 2018.

**Inclusion criteria**

- The study population included 88 women with defects of pelvic floor and resulting pelvic organ prolapse of age above 18 years admitted in the hospital for treatment after taking their informed consent.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Pregnant women or women in puerperium or post abortal state were excluded from the study.

Data for case record form was taken as follows.

- Age, address, marital status
- Presenting complaints
- Menstrual history (present, past)
- Sexual history
- Obstetric history with details of each pregnancy (mode of delivery, complications during childbirth, location of delivery)
- Significant medical/surgical history including any genital or urinary tract surgery
- Personal history to look for addictions like tobacco chewing, smoking, ‘Mishri’ application for cleaning teeth which contains tobacco.
- Family history
- Bowel-bladder disturbances
- General examination of the patient was done including height, weight, body mass index (BMI) in kilogram per metre squared, vitals of the patient were taken.
- Systemic examination including respiratory, cardiovascular, abdominal and detailed pelvic examination for prolapse was performed. With the help of a Sim’s speculum and anterior vaginal wall retractor, patient was asked to perform Valsalva manoeuvre or to strain and points of maximum protrusion were noted in each compartment (central/anterior/posterior) and grading was done as per Baden-walker classification.

Investigations (haematological or radiological) were not required for collection of epidemiological data and clinical diagnosis. Pap smear was done in all cases, endometrial aspiration in patients with abnormal uterine bleeding and ultrasound of pelvis and kidney-ureter-bladder (KUB) and urine examination were done in patients if any urinary symptoms or complications were suspected.

**Statistical analysis**

The data in our study has been analysed using the computer program Microsoft excel 2017 (Microsoft Corporation, NY, USA). Continuous variables were described as mean and variation of each observation from the mean value (Standard Deviation) represented as Mean±SD. Categorical variables were described by taking percentages.

**RESULTS**

**Demographic details**

A total of 88 patients were enrolled in the study. The mean age of the patients was 52.38±11.35 years. The maximum number of patients who presented with pelvic floor defects in our study were in the age group between 60-64 years (17.04%), youngest being of 30 years of age and oldest of 74 years. Demographic details are illustrated in Table 1.

**Presenting complaints**

A total of 76 patients presented with mass per vagina as the primary complaint (86.83%). Of all the 88 patients, 16 had menorrhagia (18.18%), 13 patients (14.77%) complained of constipation or straining at stools needing digital pressure for evacuation, 13 patients (14.77%) with...
dyspareunia, 11 (12.5%) patients with voiding difficulties and required digitation, 11 patients (12.5%) with complaints of burning micturition, increased frequency and urgency. Two out of 88 (2.27%) patients had presented with stress urinary incontinence while 3 patients (3.40%) had presented with acute urinary retention which required urgent urinary catheterization and uroflowmetry studies preoperatively as illustrated in Figure 1.

Table 1: Mean age at presentation.

| Age in years | Number of patients | Percentage |
|--------------|--------------------|------------|
| 30-34        | 5                  | 5.68%      |
| 35-39        | 6                  | 6.81%      |
| 40-44        | 12                 | 13.63%     |
| 45-49        | 11                 | 12.5%      |
| 50-54        | 13                 | 14.77%     |
| 55-59        | 8                  | 9.09%      |
| 60-64        | 15                 | 17.04%     |
| 65-69        | 11                 | 12.5%      |
| 70 and above | 7                  | 7.95%      |

Medical and surgical history

A total of 26 out of 88 patients (29.54%) had history of hypertension, while 18 (20.4%) had history of diabetes mellitus. Twelve patients (13.6%) had anemia severe enough to need blood transfusions. Eight patients (9.09%) had history of pelvic inflammatory disease. Nine patients (10.22%) who presented with urinary complaints were diagnosed with urinary tract infections and were treated with antibiotics as per culture and sensitivity.

Two patients (2.27%) with chronic kidney disease and 1 patient (1.13%) with nephrotic syndrome were on chronic oral corticosteroid therapy, whereas 3 patients (3.40%) with bronchial asthma were on chronic inhalational steroid therapy. One patient (1.13%) was diagnosed with acute kidney disease. Four (4.54%) had history of pulmonary tuberculosis. Eighteen patients (20.45%) had incidental history of tubal ligation and 3 patients (3.40%) had history of prior abdominal hysterectomy and 1 patient had history of vaginal hysterectomy. Six patients (6.81%) had some cardiovascular ailments while 4 (4.54%) had hypothyroidism.

One patient (1.13%) had ANA positive status (Young nulliparous prolapse) who would need further evaluation over time to rule out connective tissue disorder. Details are illustrated in Table 2.

Table 2: Medical/surgical history.

| Type of history                              | Frequency (out of 88) | Percentage |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Hypertension                                | 26                    | 29.54%     |
| Diabetes mellitus                           | 18                    | 20.45%     |
| Tubal ligation                              | 18                    | 20.45%     |
| Pelvic inflammatory disease                 | 8                     | 9.09%      |
| Hypothyroidism                              | 4                     | 4.54%      |
| Tuberculosis                                | 4                     | 4.54%      |
| Bronchial asthma                            | 3                     | 3.40%      |
| Ectopic pregnancy                           | 2                     | 2.72%      |
| Cardiovascular ailments                     | 6                     | 6.81%      |
| Urinary tract infection                     | 9                     | 10.22%     |
| Total abdominal hysterectomy                | 3                     | 3.40%      |
| Acute kidney disease                        | 1                     | 1.13%      |
| Chronic kidney disease                      | 2                     | 2.27%      |
| ANA positive                                | 1                     | 1.13%      |
| Anemia                                      | 12                    | 13.6%      |
| Cystitis                                    | 1                     | 1.13%      |

Table 3: Predisposing and precipitating factors based on history.

| Complaints                          | Frequency (out of 88) | Percentage |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Obesity                             | 7                     | 7.9%       |
| Tobacco chewing                     | 7                     | 7.9%       |
| Steroid Therapy                     | 6                     | 6.81%      |
| Prolonged labor                     | 22                    | 25%        |
| Instrumental delivery               | 9                     | 10.2%      |
| Chronic cough                       | 5                     | 5.6%       |
| Chronic constipation                 | 13                    | 14.7%      |
| Long standing ascites, pelvic tumors | 0                     | 0%         |

Predisposing and precipitating factors

Of all the patients, 22 (25 %) gave a history of prolonged labour while 13 of them (14.7%) had history of chronic constipation. Seven out of 88 patients (7.9%) had increased BMI (>24.9).

The other factors are illustrated in the following Table 3.
Menstrual history
Fifty four out of 88 patients (61.36%) were postmenopausal. 18 (20.45 %) patients had history of abnormal uterine bleeding whereas 12 (13.63%) patients had normal menstrual cycles. Four patients (4.54 %) who were post hysterectomy had surgical menopause.

Obstetric history
On calculation of median parity and living issues, authors found that to be 3 (range 0-8) for both the parameters. There was only one nulligravida amongst 88 patients in this study. Maximum parity was 8 with 8 living issues. 20 patients (23%) had history of IUFD/stillbirths while 5 patients had reported medical termination of pregnancy.

Mode of delivery
Eighty-seven of the total 88 patients (98.86%) in the study had delivered a fetus at least once. Eighty-six of these 87 patients had at least one normal vaginal delivery. Nine out of these 86 patients had undergone instrumental delivery. Four out of 87 patients also had at least one lower segment caesarean section delivery. Twenty-two out of 86 patients gave a positive history of prolonged and difficult labor. Distribution illustrated in Table 4.

| Feature                        | Frequency (out of 88) | Percentage |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Total number of patients with at least one delivery | 87                    | 98.86%     |
| Vaginal delivery               | 86                    | 97.72%     |
| Instrumental delivery          | 9                     | 10.22%     |
| LSCS                           | 4                     | 4.54%      |
| Prolonged labor history        | 22                    | 25%        |

Location of delivery
Forty-nine patients (55.68%) out of total patients had all their deliveries conducted at a hospital, 31 patients (35.22%) had all their deliveries conducted at home whereas 7 women (7.95%) had their deliveries conducted both at home and a hospital in their obstetrical history. In twenty (64.51%) of these homes delivered patients the deliveries were conducted by midwives/trained dais and 11 (35.48%) of the deliveries were conducted by untrained personnel.

Grades of prolapse
On per speculum examination, the utero-vaginal descent grading was done. Nine patients (10.22%) had no uterovaginal descent. Seventy-nine patients (89.77%) had different grades of uterine descent. Ten patients (12.65%) had grade 1 prolapse, 21 (26.58%) had grade 2 prolapse and it was found that majority of the descents (55.69%) belonged to grade 3. A total of 74 (84.09%) patients presented with cystocele. Three patients (4.05%) had grade 1 cystocele, 36 (48.64%) had grade 2 and 35 (47.29%) had grade 3 cystocele. Seventy-nine (89.77%) of the total patients presented with rectocele on per speculum examination. Fourteen of them (17.72%) had grade 1 rectocele, 50 patients (63.29%) had grade 2 rectocele and 15 patients (18.98%) had grade 3.

DISCUSSION
The healthcare utilization for pelvic floor defects is predicted to grow as the population ages. The total number of women who will undergo surgery for pelvic organ prolapse is estimated to increase by 48.2% over the four decades (from 2010 to 2050) as per the study conducted by Wu and colleagues.10 Hence in order to have a better understanding of this problem in its entirety, this epidemiological study of different pelvic floor defects was conducted at our institute. As per the NHANES study conducted in USA in 2005-2006, the prevalence of pelvic organ prolapse was 2.9%. 15.7% women suffered from urinary incontinence and 9% from faecal symptoms.11

A study conducted by Rorveit et al, 5.7% women had symptomatic pelvic organ prolapse. But both of these studies were symptom-based studies where questionnaire-based survey was done, hence details about types of pelvic organ prolapse i.e. prevalence of cystocele, rectocele and grades of prolapse could not be obtained.12 An examination based study conducted by Swift et al, showed prevalence of uterine prolapse as follows: grade 1-43.3%, grade 2-47.7%, grade 3-2.6%.13 The difference between the prevalence of different pelvic floor defects in symptom based and examination based studies is because many women with pelvic organ prolapse are asymptomatic and severity of symptoms does not correlate well with the severity of prolapse, there has always been a discrepancy between physical examination and symptoms.14 Comparisons between mean age and different precipitating/predisposing factors between our study and other studies is illustrated in Table 5.

In all the above studies, increasing age and increasing parity showed significant associations with pelvic floor defects and our study showed similar results. The number of women with history of smoking/tobacco chewing in our study was less as compared to other studies discussed in table no, probably because the prevalence of smoking/tobacco chewing in Indian women is less as compared to western countries due to cultural inhibitions. Although we still found 7.9% association between raised BMI and prolapse, others do not, needs further research to label it as a causative factor. It is an important risk factor that should be evaluated as it could be one of the modifiable factors.
Amongst the non-modifiable risk factors, important association was found with old age and postmenopausal status. 61.36% patients in the current study were postmenopausal. After menopause there is estrogen deficiency that sets in which leads to atrophy of muscles of pelvic floor, also kyphotic changed secondary to osteoporosis contribute to the more horizontal shift of the pelvic brim leading to increased chances of prolapse.\(^{15}\)

### Table 5: Comparison of mean age and different predisposing/precipitating factors between our study and other studies in literature.

| Predisposing/precipitating factors for pelvic floor dysfunction | Durnea CM et al\(^{16}\) | R-risk cohort (Kaiser)\(^{18}\) | Miedel A et al\(^{19}\) | Wu JM et al\(^{18}\) | Present study |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Mean age in years                                              | 31.2 years               | 55.7±8.6 years                | 53.3 years              | 52.7% in 80 years or older | 52.38±11.35 years |
| Race/ethnicity                                                 | Caucasians-99.5%         | White population-55%          | African American-19%    | White population 70% | Asian (Indian) |
| BMI (>25)                                                      | 47.1%                    | 52%                           | 49.45%                  | 57.6%          | 7.9%           |
| Prior hysterectomy                                             | Not studied              | 27%                           | Not studied             | 5.4            | 4.54%          |
| Parity (mean)                                                  | Not studied              | 2.5±1.4                       | 2.1                     | 33.6% 4 or more parity | 3              |
| Smoking /tobacco chewing                                       | 26.8%                    | 22%                           | 55.31%                  | Not studied    | 7.9%           |
| Chronic cough/COPD/asthma                                       | Not studied              | 5%                            | 15.38%                  | Not studied    | 5.6%           |
| Chronic constipation/straining at stools                       | Not studied              | 80%                           | 79%                     | Not studied    | 14.7%          |
| Diabetes                                                       | Not studied              | 7%                            | Not studied             | Not studied    | 20.45%         |

### Table 6: Comparison between presenting complaints in patients with pelvic organ prolapse.

| Presenting complaints (%) | Study by Barber MD et al\(^{17}\) | Current study |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Prolapse or bulge          | 100                               | 86.83%        |
| Urinary stress incontinence| 2                                 | 1.13%         |
| Voiding dysfunction and other urinary complaints                | 59                                | 28.4%         |
| Splinting to void          | 17                                | 12.5%         |
| Abnormal uterine bleeding  | 0                                 | 18.18%        |
| Dyspareunia                 | Not studied                       | 14.77%        |
| Straining during defecation/splinting during defecation         | 26/20                             | 14.77%        |

Vaginal deliveries (normal as well as instrumental) were associated with maximum number of pelvic floor defects, in our study 98.86% women had vaginal deliveries out of which 10.22% had history of at least one instrumental delivery and the findings were consistent with older studies like the one conducted by Durnea CM et al which showed that 63% cases of cystocele had normal vaginal deliveries, 25.82% had instrumental deliveries whereas 83.80% of cases with rectoceles had normal vaginal deliveries and 13.38% had instrumental deliveries.\(^{16}\) Only 4.54% patients had history of at least one caesarean section in this study group. Hence the relation between histories of vaginal deliveries, prolonged labour and associated injuries to levator ani group of muscles or the nerves supplying them and pelvic organ prolapse was very well documented in our study. 25% cases in our study had history of prolonged labour, but this was based on the history given by the patient as there was no documentation of data in most cases, hence there could be a recall bias. The commonest presenting complaint in our study was of vaginal bulge/mass (86.83%), as well as in a study conducted by Barber MD et al.\(^{17}\) Comparison between other presenting complaints is illustrated in Table 6. Thus, the presenting trend of symptoms in our study matched the existing literature.\(^{18,19}\) The data collection regarding etiology of defects in pelvic floor was comprehensive and satisfactory, we had comparable results with the studies already established in literature. The highest prevalence was found in the age group 60-64 years, the most common symptom was found to be mass per vagina, and grade 3 prolapse was the commonest amongst uterine prolapse and grade 2 in both cystocele
and rectocele. Association of different predisposing and precipitating factors was found especially the modifiable ones such as smoking/tobacco use, constipation, chronic cough/asthma, diabetes, multiparity and prolonged labour. Maximum association was found with prolonged labour.

CONCLUSION

This study was aimed at assessing the prevalence and risk factors associated with pelvic organ prolapse in Indian women so that it could help in building up the literature and formulate better prevention and management plans to cater to the increasing aging population. It would also help in counselling and creating awareness amongst the general population regarding a widespread disease like pelvic organ prolapse, as the patients we see in our clinics are just the tip of the iceberg. Large epidemiological studies reflecting the overall situation of the country are the need of the hour.
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