ultrahigh-quality crystalline silicon, grown at high trap densities ($10^5 < \mu_{\text{trap}} < 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2}$) (21, 22). The exceptionally low trap density found experimentally can be explained with the aid of density functional theory (DFT) calculations performed on MAPbBr$_3$ which predict a high formation energy for deep trap defects when MAPbBr$_3$ is synthesized under Br-rich conditions (e.g., from PbBr$_2$ and MABr), such as the case in this study (9).
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SOLAR CELLS

High-efficiency solution-processed perovskite solar cells with millimeter-scale grains
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State-of-the-art photovoltaics use high-purity, large-area, wafer-scale single-crystalline semiconductors grown by sophisticated, high-temperature crystal growth processes. We demonstrate a solution-based hot-casting technique to grow continuous, pinhole-free thin films of organometallic perovskites with millimeter-scale crystalline grains. We fabricated planar solar cells with efficiencies approaching 18%, with little cell-to-cell variability. The devices show hysteresis-free photovoltaic response, which had been a fundamental bottleneck for the stable operation of perovskite devices. Characterization and modeling attribute the improved performance to reduced bulk defects and improved charge carrier mobility in large-grain devices. We anticipate that this technique will lead the field toward synthesis of wafer-scale crystalline perovskites, necessary for the fabrication of high-efficiency solar cells, and will be applicable to several other material systems plagued by polydispersity, defects, and grain boundary recombination in solution-processed thin films.

The recent discovery of organic-inorganic perovskites offers promising routes for the development of low-cost, solar-based clean global energy solutions for the future (1–4). Solution-processed organic-inorganic hybrid perovskite planar solar cells, such as CH$_3$NH$_3$PbX$_3$ (X = Cl, Br, I), have achieved high average power conversion efficiency (PCE) values of ~16% using a tin-based planar structure (~17–20% to 13% in the phenyl-C$_6$H$_4$-butyric acid methyl ester (PCBM)-based structure (8–10). Such high PCE values have been attributed to strong light absorption and weakly bound excitons that easily dissociate into free carriers with large diffusion length (11–13). The average efficiency is typically lower by 4 to 10% relative to the reported most efficient device, indicating persistent challenges of stability and reproducibility. Moreover, hysteresis during device operation, possibly due to defect-assisted trapping, has been identified as a critical roadblock to the commercial viability of perovskite photovoltaic technology (14–17). Therefore, recent efforts in the field have focused on improving film surface coverage (18) by increasing the crystal size and improving the crystalline quality of the grains (19), which is expected to reduce the overall bulk defect density and mitigate hysteresis by suppressing charge trapping during solar cell operation. Although approaches such as thermal annealing (20, 21), varying of precursor concentrations and carrier solvents (22), and using mixed solvents (23) have been investigated, control over structure, grain size, and degree of crystallinity remain key scientific challenges for the realization of high-performance devices.

Here, we report a solution-based hot-casting technique to achieve ~18% solar cell efficiency based on millimeter-scale crystalline grains, with relatively small variability (~2%) in the overall PCE from one solar cell to another. Figure 1A schematically describes our hot-casting process for deposition of organometallic perovskite thin films. Our approach involves casting a hot (~70°C) mixture of lead iodide (PbI$_2$) and methylamine hydrochloride (MACI) solution onto a substrate maintained at a temperature of up to 180°C and subsequently spin-coated (15 s) to obtain a uniform film (Fig. 1A). In the conventional scheme, the mixture of PbI$_2$ and MACI is spin-coated at room temperature and then post-annealed for 20 min on a hot plate maintained at 100°C. Figure 1, B to D, illustrates the obtained crystal grain structures using this hot-casting technique for various substrate temperatures and processing solvents. We chose a PbI$_2$/MACI molar ratio of 1:1 in all experiments described in this Report to achieve the basic perovskite composition and the best morphology (see fig. S1 for images). We observed large, millimeter-scale crystalline grains with a unique leaf-like pattern radiating from the center of the grain [see scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of microstructure in fig. S2]. The x-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern shows sharp and strong perovskite (110) and (220) peaks for the hot-casted film, indicating a highly oriented crystal structure (fig. S3). The grain size increases markedly (Fig. 1, B and D) as the substrate temperature increases from room temperature up to 190°C or when using solvents with a higher boiling point, such
Fig. 1. Processing scheme for perovskite thin-film using hot-casting methods and observations for large-area millimeter-scale crystal grain formation for a perovskite (PbCH$_3$NH$_3$I$_{3-x}$Cl$_x$)-based thin film. (A) Hot-casting scheme for large-area crystal growth [ITO, indium tin oxide; FTO, fluorine-doped tin oxide; PEDOT, poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate]. (B) Optical micrographs illustrating grain formation as a function of substrate temperature with the casting solution maintained at 70°C. (C) Large-area grain formation using casting solvents with high boiling points. (D) Comparison of grain size as a function of processing temperature obtained for the hot-casting and conventional post-annealing methods.

Fig. 2. Solar cell device architecture and performance. (A) Planar device configuration used for this study. (B) J-V curves obtained under AM 1.5 illumination. (C) Average overall PCE (left) and $J_{SC}$ (right) as a function of crystalline grain size. The average values were obtained by averaging the PCE and $J_{SC}$ for 25 devices; error bars were calculated by subtracting the average value from max or min values. Gray box represents the range of PCE values obtained using the conventional post-annealing process. (D) Average J-V characteristics resulted by sweeping the voltage from forward to reverse and from reverse to forward bias demonstrates the absence of hysteresis. These curves were obtained by averaging 15 sweeps in each direction. (E) J-V curves at different voltage scan rates in voltage delay time (ms) or V/s again demonstrate negligible hysteresis. (F) Variation in PCE for 50 measured devices.
as N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) or N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) (Fig. IC).

Our method leads to grain sizes as large as 1 to 2 mm, in comparison with the grain sizes of ~1 to 2 μm typically obtained using the conventional post-annealing process. (See fig. S4 for details of the average grain size calculation procedure.) The major difference between hot-casting and conventional post-annealing is the presence of solvent when heating the substrate. For hot-casting, there is excess solvent present when the substrate is maintained above the crystallization temperature for the formation of the perovskite phase. This allows for the prolonged growth of the perovskite crystals, yielding large crystalline grains. Thus, the use of high-boiling point solvents (see Fig. IC) provides the ideal conditions for the growth of large crystalline grains, as the excess solvent allows more time for their growth (24) (fig. S5).

Optical images (Fig. 1, B and C) and SEM images (fig. S2) illustrate that the perovskite thin films produced with the hot-casting method (from 100°C up to 180°C) are uniform, pinhole-free, and cover the entire substrate. We also used high-resolution SEM imaging to evaluate the morphology of the large- and small-area grains and found that except for the size of the grain, there was no observable difference in micromorphology (fig. S2). Preliminary analysis using high-resolution cross-sectional SEM imaging coupled with optical polarization microscopy and photoluminescence spectral imaging (figs. S18 to S20) shows consistency of the bulk single-crystalline nature over a large area of the perovskite film. The hot-casting method is applicable for both pure and mixed-halide perovskite combinations (fig. S6) and may lead to the realization of industrially scalable large-area crystalline thin films made from other materials [such as copper zinc tin sulfide (CZTS), copper indium gallium selenide (CIGS), and cadmium telluride (CdTe)] by means of low-temperature, solution-processed, large-area crystal growth.

We fabricated the mixed-halide organometallic perovskite photovoltaic devices using the hot-casting technique in a planar device architecture (Fig. 2A). The current density–voltage (J-V) curves for devices fabricated at various temperatures measured under simulated air mass (AM) 1.5 irradiance of 100 mW/cm², calibrated using a NIST-certified monocrystalline Si solar cell (NewPort 532 ISO1599), are shown in Fig. 2B. During measurements, a mask was used to confine the illuminated active area to avoid edge effects (25). PCE and short-circuit current density (Jsc) are plotted as a function of perovskite grain size in Fig. 2C. An optimal perovskite film thickness of ~450 nm was fixed for all devices to exclude variations in optical absorption or interference effects due to changes in film thickness. (See fig. S7 and table S1 for details of film thickness optimization and calibration.) We observed a marked increase in Jsc (3.5 mA/cm² to 22.4 mA/cm²), open-circuit voltage (Voc) (0.4 V to 0.92 V; fig. S8A), and fill factor (FF) (0.45 to 0.82; fig. S8B) when the grain size increased from ~1 μm up to ~180 μm, which translated into an increase in the overall PCE from 1% to ~18%. The Jsc of the devices is in good agreement with the calculated Jsc obtained by integrating the external quantum efficiency (fig. S9). In addition to the high PCE, we found that the solar cell device performance was hysteresis-free, with negligible change in the photocurrent density with either the direction of voltage sweep or the scan rate (or voltage delay time) (Fig. 2, D and E, and fig. S10). The devices exhibited a high degree of reproducibility in the overall PCE (Fig. 2F). We also applied the hot-casting technique with the conventional mixed-halide precursor (PbCl₂ and MAI, 1:3 molar ratio) but were not able to obtain high-performing devices, most likely because of differences in film morphology and uniformity (fig. S21).

High-performance cells have previously been reported with micrometer-sized grains (1, 26–28). We believe that there are two primary benefits of growing crystals with large grain size: (i) The reduced interfacial area associated with large grains suppresses charge trapping and eliminates hysteresis (irrespective of the direction of voltage sweep or the scan rate), and (ii) larger grains have lower bulk defects and higher mobility, allowing for the photogenerated carriers to propagate through the device without frequent encounters with defects and impurities.

The J-V curves in Fig. 2B support the hypothesis. For example, the reduction in defect-assisted recombination in larger grains is reflected in the observed increase in Voc from 0.4 V to 0.94 V and in FF from 0.4 to 0.83 with increased grain size. The Jsc value, 22.4 mA/cm², is on par with the highest values reported in literature. Indeed, the measured Voc, FF, and FF values for the large-grain device compare favorably with the Shockley-Queisser theoretical limit (Jsc ~ 26.3 mA/cm², Voc = 1.02 V, and FF = 0.80) for the given device architecture and band alignment (24).

We performed a self-consistent optoelectronic simulation (involving solution of the Maxwell, Poisson, and drift-diffusion equations), which also supports the hypothesis of improved material quality for larger grains (Fig. 3, A to C). On the basis of material parameters from the literature (tables S2 to S5) and bulk defect density and mobility as fitting parameters, the model quantitatively reproduces all the salient features of the J-V characteristic (Fig. 3A) of both 17.7% (large grain) and 9.1% (small grain) cells. The key to the efficiency gain is the suppression of defect-assisted recombination in the bulk region: According to the normalized recombination distribution profile across the film thickness (fig. S11B), the majority of recombination for the small-grain device comes from the bulk defect (40% of the loss distributed in the bulk), which suggests that most of the loss comes from defect-assisted recombination. By contrast, for the large-grain device, recombination in the bulk is reduced to 5% of the overall recombination profile. Moreover, the energy band diagram of the large-grain 17.7% cell (Fig. 3B) shows that the absorber region is fully depleted, and the built-in electrical field and high mobility allow efficient charge collection. Consistent with the hypothesis, we find that the experimental results can be interpreted only if one assumes that mobility is correlated to grain size (Fig. 3C).

To understand recombination of photogenerated carriers during device operation, we measured

---

**Fig. 3.** Self-consistent device simulation attributes the process-dependent PCE gain to the improved mobility of films with larger grains. (A) The simulated J-V characteristics for large- and small-grain devices reproduce the salient features of the corresponding experimental data. (B) Typical energy band diagram at short circuit (SC) indicates the importance of a semi-intrinsic (reduced self-doping) fully depleted absorber in directing the charge carriers to their respective contacts; otherwise, the charge carrier would be lost to recombination in the field-free regions. (C) With all other parameters characterized and/or obtained from the literature, the PCE values appear to be correlated to the bulk mobility of the absorber (labels correspond to the average grain size). (D) Experimental data for Voc as a function of illumination light intensity for a large-grain device (180°C) and a small-grain device (100°C) along with the linear fit (red line).
$V_{OC}$ as a function of light intensity for large- and small-grain devices (Fig. 3D). By linearly fitting $V_{OC}$ versus log-scaled light intensity [$\ln(I)$], we obtained a slope of $-10 \, k_B T/q$ (where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is absolute temperature, and $q$ is elemental charge) for a large-grain device (hot-cast at 180°C), which suggests that a bimolecular recombination process dominates during device operation (29–32), similar to that observed in high-quality semiconductors such as silicon and GaAs.

In contrast, for the small-grain device (hot-cast at 180°C), which suggests that a bimolecular recombination process dominates during device operation (29–32), similar to that observed in high-quality semiconductors such as silicon and GaAs. The increase of emission line width at grain boundaries is an indicator of trap-assisted recombination (semi-transparent material crystalline quality is correlated to grain size. Specifically, we evaluated the overall crystalline quality of large-area grains (24) by performing microphotoluminescence, absorption spectroscopy, and time-resolved photoluminescence measurements on large and small grains. The band-edge emission and absorption for large grains ($>10^4 \, \mu m^2$) were observed at 1.627 eV and 1.653 eV, respectively (Fig. 4A). As the grain size decreased, two concomitant effects were observed: (i) a blue shift of the band-edge photoluminescence by $-25 \, meV$ (Fig. 4B), and (ii) linewidth broadening of $-20 \, meV$ (Fig. 4C). Such blue shifts were predicted by our density functional theory (DFT) simulations (24) (Fig. S12) and are possibly attributed to the composition change at the grain boundaries. The increase of emission line width at grain boundaries can be attributed to disorder and defects. We observed a bimolecular recombination process of free electrons and holes for the large-grain crystals by means of time-resolved photoluminescence spectroscopy (Fig. 4D), which is a strong indicator of good crystalline quality (24) (Fig. S13). This is in contrast to a monoexponential decay observed in previous reports for small-grain size or mesoporous structures (12, 32) and with our measurements on small grains, and is representative of nonradiative decay due to trap states. These results are also consistent with our earlier measurements of $V_{OC}$ as a function of light intensity, which suggest reduced trap-assisted recombination in large-area grains.

Beyond our results described above, further enhancements in efficiency can be expected by improving the interface between perovskite and PCBM, obtaining better band alignment, and using an inverted structure. From the perspective of the global photovoltaics community, these results are expected to lead the field toward the reproducible synthesis of wafer-scale crystalline perovskites, which are necessary for the fabrication of high-efficiency single-junction and hybrid (semiconductor and perovskite) planar cells.

**Fig. 4.** Spectrally, spatially, and temporally resolved microphotoluminescence spectroscopy. (A) Normalized absorbance (black) and microscopically resolved PL emission spectra (red) obtained for a large-grain sample. (B) Normalized, microscopically resolved emission spectra for different grain sizes. (C) Relative shift and linewidth broadening of the band-edge emission as a function of grain area (with respect to the largest grain). (D) Normalized, microscopically resolved time-correlated single-photon histograms of both a large and a small grain (black). The red and blue lines are fits to the intensity decay considering interband relaxation, radiative bimolecular recombination, and nonradiative decay into states below the gap (see figs. S13 and S14).
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