Proper chromosome alignment depends on BRCA2 phosphorylation by PLK1
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Summary

The BRCA2 tumor suppressor protein is involved in the maintenance of genome integrity through its role in homologous recombination in S/G2 phases of the cell cycle. A much less established function of BRCA2 takes place in mitosis where it interacts with the SAC component BUBR1 and is involved in cytokinesis by interaction with midbody components.

Also in mitosis, BRCA2 is phosphorylated by the cell cycle regulator Polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1), so we asked whether this phosphorylation would have a role in the control of mitosis. Here we combined biophysical, biochemical and cell biology approaches to characterize the phenotype of BRCA2 variants that alter PLK1 phosphorylation.

We identified T207 in BRCA2 as a *bona fide* docking site for PLK1. The 3D structure of the BRCA2 peptide bound to PLK1 Polo-box domain exhibits all the characteristics of an optimal and specific phosphopeptide-PLK1 interface. We show that this interaction is required for the phosphorylation of BUBR1 and pBUBR1 binding to the phosphatase PP2A-B56α, both critical for the establishment of proper kinetochore-microtubules attachments. Precluding T207 binding to PLK1 as observed in BRCA2 missense variants identified in breast cancer results in reduced phosphorylation of BUBR1 at PLK1-dependent sites and decreases the interaction of BUBR1 with the phosphatase PP2A-B56α. This leads to unaligned chromosomes, faulty chromosome segregation and aneuploidy. We thus reveal a direct mitotic role of BRCA2 in the alignment of chromosomes, distinct from its DNA repair function, with important consequences on chromosome stability. These findings may explain in part the aneuploidy observed in BRCA2-deficient tumors.
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Introduction

The BRCA2 tumor suppressor protein plays an important role in DNA repair by homologous recombination (HR)\(^1,2\) which takes place preferentially during S/G2 phases of the cell cycle\(^3\). BRCA2 has also emerging functions in mitosis, for example, it binds to the spindle assembly component BUBR1\(^4\), facilitating the acetylation/deacetylation of the latter\(^5,6\), the function of which is poorly understood. At the end of mitosis, BRCA2 localizes to the midbody and facilitates cell division by serving as a scaffold protein for the central spindle components\(^7-9\). BRCA2 is a target of phosphorylation by PLK1 both in its N-terminal region\(^10\) and in its central region\(^11\), although the functional role of these phosphorylation events remains unclear.

PLK1 is a master regulator of the cell cycle, especially in mitosis\(^12,13\). Among other functions, PLK1 phosphorylates BUBR1 at several residues including the tension-sensitive sites S676\(^14\) and T680\(^15\) in prometaphase allowing the formation of stable kinetochore-microtubule attachments. This activity needs to be tightly regulated to ensure proper alignment of the chromosomes at the metaphase plate\(^14,16,17\); in particular, Aurora B is an essential kinase that destabilizes erroneous kinetochore-microtubule interactions\(^18\). The phosphatase PP2A-B56\(\alpha\) protects initial kinetochore-microtubule interactions from excessive destabilization by Aurora B and this function is achieved through its interaction with BUBR1 phosphorylated at the Kinetochore Attachment and Regulatory Domain (KARD) motif (including residues S676 and T680)\(^15\). So, BUBR1, PLK1, Aurora B and PP2A-B56\(\alpha\) are necessary for the formation of stable kinetochore-microtubule attachments.
PLK1 is recruited to specific targets via its Polo-box domain (PBD)\(^{19}\). PBD interacts with phosphosites characterized by the consensus motif S-[pS/pT]-P/X\(^{20}\). These phosphosites are provided by a priming phosphorylation event, usually mediated by CDK1 or other proline-directed kinases\(^{13}\); however, there is also evidence that PLK1 itself might create docking sites (“self-priming”) during cytokinesis\(^{21,22}\).

Several BRCA2 sites corresponding to PLK1 consensus motifs have been identified as phosphorylated in mitosis, some of which belong to a cluster of predicted phosphosites located in BRCA2 N-terminus around residue S193\(^{10}\). We set out to investigate which of these sites are phosphorylated by PLK1, and to reveal whether these phosphorylation events play a role in the regulation of mitotic progression. Here, we demonstrate that phosphorylated T207 is a \textit{bona fide} docking site for PLK1. By investigating the phenotype of BRCA2 missense variants that limit the phosphorylation of T207, we reveal an unexpected role of BRCA2 in the alignment of chromosomes at the metaphase plate. We demonstrate that phosphorylation of BRCA2 T207 promotes further phosphorylation by PLK1 of the kinetochore tension-sensitive sites of BUBR1. This, in turn, facilitates the interaction of BUBR1 with the phosphatase PP2A-B56\(\alpha\) required to counter excessive Aurora B activity at the kinetochores. A defect in this function of BRCA2 leads to chromosome instability manifested in chromosome misalignment, chromosome segregation errors and aneuploidy.

**Results**

\textit{BRCA2} variants identified in breast cancer reduce the PLK1-dependent phosphorylation of BRCA2 N-terminal region
Several missense variants of uncertain significance (VUS) identified in BRCA2 in breast cancer patients are located in the N-terminal region predicted to be phosphorylated by PLK1 (around S193) (Breast information core (BIC)\textsuperscript{23} and BRCAshare\textsuperscript{24}), summarized in Table S1. To find out if any of these variants affect PLK1 phosphorylation in this region, we purified fragments comprising amino acids 1 to 250 of BRCA2 (hereafter BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250}) from human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293T) and used an \textit{in vitro} kinase assay to assess the phosphorylation by PLK1 of the fragments containing either the WT sequence, the different BRCA2 variants M192T, S196N, T200K, S206C and T207A, or the mutant S193A, previously reported to reduce the phosphorylation of BRCA2 by PLK1\textsuperscript{10} (Figure 1a, b). As expected, S193A reduced the phosphorylation of BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} by PLK1 (Figures 1a, 1b). Interestingly, variants T207A, S206C and T200K also led to a 2-fold decrease in PLK1 phosphorylation of BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} (Figure 1a, 1b). In contrast, M192T slightly increased the phosphorylation above WT levels whereas VUS S196N did not significantly modify the phosphorylation of BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} by PLK1 (Figure 1a, b). The phosphorylation observed in BRCA2 fragments is specific from the recombinant PLK1 kinase as adding a PLK1 inhibitor (BI3526) to the reaction or replacing the WT PLK1 by a kinase-dead (PLK1-KD) version of the protein (K82R), purified using the same protocol, abolishes the phosphorylation of BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} (Figure 1c, lanes 4 and 5 compared to lane 3, 1d).

Together, these results show that VUS T207A, S206C and T200K identified in breast cancer patients impair phosphorylation of BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} by PLK1 \textit{in vitro}.

**BRCA2\textsubscript{T207} is a target of phosphorylation by PLK1**

The reduction of BRCA2 phosphorylation in BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} containing T207A and S206C variants suggested that T207 could be a target of PLK1 phosphorylation. We
investigated this possibility by following the PLK1 phosphorylation kinetics of a truncated fragment of BRCA2 N-terminus comprising T207 (amino acids 190-283) (hereafter BRCA2$_{190-283}$) by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. NMR analysis allows residue-specific quantification of a peptide modification, provided it is $^{13}$C or $^{15}$N-labelled. Figure 2a shows superimposed $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra of BRCA2$_{190-283}$ at different time points of the phosphorylation reaction with recombinant PLK1. Analysis of these experiments revealed phosphorylation of S193 and of eight other phosphosites, among which three threonines including T207 and five serines (Figure 2a). Four residues (T226>T207>T219>S193, Figure 2b, S1a) were favoured phosphorylation sites, modified with markedly faster rates than the five other sites (S197, S217, S231, S239, S273). Interestingly, while T219 and T226 conservation is poor, T207 and S193 are conserved from mammals to fishes (Figures S1b and S1c) suggesting that both are important for BRCA2 function.

T207 phosphorylation together with the presence of a serine residue at position 206 creates a predicted docking site for PLK1$_{PBD}^{20}$. Hence, we conclude that T207 is phosphorylated in vitro by PLK1, this phosphorylation is efficient in the context of BRCA2$_{190-283}$, and it may be a primary event for further BRCA2 phosphorylation via the recruitment of PLK1 to BRCA2.

**BRCA2 variants T207A and T200K alter the phosphorylation kinetics by PLK1**

Having identified T207 as a target of phosphorylation of PLK1, we next compared the residue-specific phosphorylation kinetics in the polypeptide WT BRCA2$_{190-283}$ containing the variants T207A or T200K that displayed reduced overall phosphorylation (Figure 1a, 1b). (The production of a $^{15}$N recombinant fragment BRCA2$_{190-283}$ comprising S206C from bacteria yielded an insoluble protein precluding
NMR analysis). Time-resolved NMR experiments revealed that PLK1 phosphorylates significantly less BRCA2\textsubscript{190-283} containing the variant T207A than the WT peptide (Figure 2c, 2d). Initial phosphorylation rates were decreased by a factor 5 (S193), 8 (T226) and 13 (T219) (Figure 2c, 2d, S2a). Variant T200K reduced by half the phosphorylation rates of S193, T207, T219 and T226 (Figures 2e, 2f and S2b).

In agreement with the \textit{in vitro} kinase assay using the BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} fragment purified from human cells (Figure 1), these results show that in BRCA2\textsubscript{190-283}, variants T207A and T200K impair the phosphorylation of T207 and the cascade of associated phosphorylation events.

**VUS T207A, S206C and T200K reduce the interaction of BRCA2 and PLK1**

The finding that T207 is efficiently phosphorylated by PLK1 in BRCA2\textsubscript{190-283} polypeptide (Figure 2a) together with the observation that T207A mutation causes a global decrease in the phosphorylation of this region (Figure 2c) and the prediction that T207 is a docking site for PLK1\textsubscript{PBD} binding\textsuperscript{20} made us hypothesize that T207 might be a “self-priming” phosphorylation event required for the interaction of PLK1 with BRCA2 at this site. If so, the variants that reduce phosphorylation of T207 by PLK1 would be predicted to alter PLK1\textsubscript{PBD} binding. To test this hypothesis, we examined the interaction of PLK1 with the VUS-containing polypeptides. We overexpressed 2xMBP-BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} constructs carrying these variants in U2OS cells to detect the the endogenous PLK1 that co-purifies with 2xMBP-BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} using amylose pull-down. As expected, overexpressed BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} was able to interact with endogenous PLK1 from mitotic cells but not from asynchronous cells (predominantly in G1/S) where the levels of PLK1 are reduced (Figure 3a, lane 2 compared to lane 1). As previously described\textsuperscript{10}, mutation S193A reduced the binding to PLK1 (Figure 3a, lane 6 compared to lane 2 and Figure 3b). Interestingly, the variants reducing
PLK1 phosphorylation (T207A, S206C and T200K) showed a weaker interaction with PLK1 than the WT protein (Figure 3a, pull-down lane 4, 8 compared to lane 2 and lane 20 compared to lane 18, Figure 3b) despite the protein levels of PLK1 being unchanged (Figure 3a, compare PLK1 input lanes 4, 8 compared to lane 2 and lane 20 compared to lane 18). In contrast, the effect of M192T and S196N on the interaction was mild (Figure 3a, pull-down lane 12, 14 compared to lane 10, Figure 3b). These results are consistent with the idea of a self-priming phosphorylation by PLK1 on T207.

To provide further evidence that the PLK1-mediated phosphorylation of BRCA2 favors BRCA2 binding, we performed an in vitro kinase assay followed by an amylose pull-down with recombinant proteins, and eluted the proteins with maltose. PLK1 was found in the maltose elution with WT-BRCA21-250 demonstrating that PLK1-phosphorylated BRCA21-250 binds to PLK1 (Figure 3c lane 4, 3d). In contrast, the fraction of PLK1 in the eluate of T207A-BRCA21-250 was substantially reduced (Figure 3c, lane 8 compared to lane 4, 3d) indicating that the phosphorylation of T207 is required for the efficient binding to PLK1 and confirming our previous results (Figure 3a, b). Interestingly, the fraction of PLK1-KD found in the eluted fraction with BRCA21-250 was similar to the one with PLK1 WT protein (Figure 3c, lane 6 compared to lane 4, 3e) suggesting that the dynamic binding of PLK1 to BRCA21-250 gets stabilized by the conformation of the inactive PLK1. A similar dominant effect of PLK1-KD has been reported before27. Consistent with this argument, inhibition of PLK1 with two different inhibitors (Bi2536, BTO) did not inhibit the binding of BRCA21-250 to PLK1 (Figure S4a).

T207 is a bona fide docking site for PLK1
To directly demonstrate the recognition of pT207 by PLK1, we measured the affinity of recombinant PLK1<sub>PBD</sub> for a synthetic 17 aa peptide comprising phosphorylated T207. Using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), we found that recombinant PLK1<sub>PBD</sub> bound to the T207 phosphorylated peptide with an affinity of $K_d = 0.09 \pm 0.01 \, \mu M$ (Figure 3f), similar to the optimal affinity reported for an interaction between PLK1<sub>PBD</sub> and its phosphorylated target<sup>20</sup>. Consistently, PLK1<sub>PBD</sub> bound to the fragment BRCA2<sub>190-283</sub> with nanomolar affinity upon phosphorylation by PLK1 ($K_d = 0.14 \pm 0.02 \, \mu M$; Figure S3a) whereas it did not bind to the corresponding non-phosphorylated polypeptides (Figure 3g, Figure S3b). Mutation T207A also abolished the interaction (Figure 3h), in agreement with the pull-down experiments (Figure 3a-d). A peptide comprising pT207 and S206C mutation could not bind to PLK1<sub>PBD</sub> (Figure 3i), as predicted from the consensus sequence requirement for PLK1<sub>PBD</sub> interaction<sup>20</sup>. Last, a peptide containing phosphorylated S197, which is also a predicted docking site for PLK1, bound with much less affinity to PLK1<sub>PBD</sub> than pT207 ($K_d = 17 \pm 2 \, \mu M$; Figure S3c).

To better characterize this molecular interaction, we determined the crystal structure of PLK1<sub>PBD</sub> bound to the T207 phosphorylated peptide at 3.1Å resolution (Table S2). Analysis of this 3D structure showed that, as expected, the 17 aa BRCA2 phosphopeptide binds in the cleft formed between the two Polo boxes (Figure 3j). Twelve residues of the peptide (from A199 to I210) are well-structured upon binding, burying about 694 Å<sup>2</sup> in the interface with PLK1<sub>PBD</sub>. All BRCA2 residues from P202 to L209 are at least 25% buried in the complex. The interface is stabilized by 12 hydrogen bonds: the backbone of residues T200 to L209 as well as the side chain of S206 are bonded to residues from Polo Box 1, whereas the side chain of phosphorylated T207 is bonded to residues from Polo Box 2 (see the zoom view in
Figure 3j). Specifically, the backbone oxygen of T200 is bonded to the side chain of Tyr 417 of PLK1_{PBD}. Residues L204, S205 and S206 form a β-sheet with residues W414, V415 and D416, characterized by hydrogen bonds between the backbone atoms of BRCA2 L204, S206 and PLK1 D416, W414, respectively. The side chain of S206 participates in 2 hydrogen-bonding interactions with the backbone of W414, which explains the strict requirement for this amino acid at this position^{20}. Moreover, the phosphate group of pT207 participates in 3 hydrogen-bonding interactions with the side chains of residues H538, K540 and R557 in Polo Box 2 (see the zoom view in Figure 3j). This explains the critical dependence on phosphorylation for binding observed by ITC (Figure 3f-i). The presence of a buried leucine at the pT-3 position^{25}, as well as the electrostatic interactions of the serine at the pT-1 position with PLK1 W414^{20} and the phosphorylated threonine with PLK1 H538 and K540^{20,26}, have been described as essential for the high affinity and specificity of the interaction in other PLK1_{PBD}-phosphopeptide complexes.

Thus, our biochemical and structural analysis demonstrate that the BRCA2 T207 phosphopeptide interacts with PLK1_{PBD} as an optimal and specific PLK1_{PBD} ligand. It supports a mechanism in which phosphorylation of T207 by PLK1 promotes the interaction of PLK1 with BRCA2 through a bona fide docking site for PLK1 and favours a cascade of phosphorylation events. In variants T200K and T207A the decrease in T207 phosphorylation impairs PLK1 docking at T207 explaining the reduction of binding to PLK1 and the global loss of phosphorylation by PLK1. S206C eliminates the serine residue at -1 position required for PLK1_{PBD} interaction resulting as well in a reduction of BRCA2 binding.

**Impairing T207 phosphorylation prolongs mitosis**
PLK1 is a master regulator of mitosis. To find out whether the interaction between BRCA2 and PLK1 is involved in the control of mitotic progression we examined the functional impact of two of the variants that reduce PLK1 phosphorylation at T207 (S206C and T207A) in the context of the full-length BRCA2 protein in cells. For this purpose, we generated stable cell lines expressing the BRCA2 cDNA coding for either the GFPMBP-BRCA2 WT or the variants to complement DLD1 BRCA2 deficient human cells (hereafter BRCA2\textsuperscript{−/−}). In this cell line, both alleles of BRCA2 contain a deletion in exon 11 causing a premature stop codon after BRC5 and cytoplasmic localization of a truncated form of the protein. We selected two stable clones of each variant that show similar protein levels as the BRCA2 WT complemented cells (clone C1, hereafter BRCA2 WT) by western blot (Figure S4b). We then tested the interaction of full-length BRCA2 with PLK1 in these stable clones by GFP pull-down. PLK1 readily co-purified with BRCA2 WT from mitotic cells, and addition of PLK1 inhibitor (BTO) increased the binding of PLK1 (as with the BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} fragment) (Figure S4c). Importantly, in cells expressing the variants S206C and T207A the level of co-purified PLK1 was greatly reduced (Figure 4a, b) confirming the results obtained with the overexpressed BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} fragments in the context of the full-length BRCA2 protein stably expressed in BRCA2 deficient cells.

To examine the impact of BRCA2 variants on mitosis, we monitored the time individual cells spent in mitosis, from mitotic entry (defined as chromosome condensation) to complete daughter cell separation with decondensed chromosomes using time-lapse microscopy. To better monitor the condensation status of the chromosomes, we marked the DNA with SiR-DNA that allows visualizing the DNA in living cells. Cells expressing the endogenous BRCA2 (hereafter BRCA2\textsuperscript{+/−}) and the BRCA2 WT cells showed similar kinetics, the majority
of the cells (65% for BRCA2\(^{+/+}\) and 73% for BRCA2 WT) having completed mitosis within 80 min (Figure 4c, 4d). In contrast, cells expressing variants S206C and T207A augmented the time spent in mitosis as manifested by a significant decrease in the frequency of cells dividing within 80 min (~36-40%), similar to the BRCA2\(^{-/-}\) cells (Figures 4c and 4d). Interestingly, measuring the time the cells spent from chromosome condensation to anaphase onset we found that the cells expressing S206C and T207A variants required significantly more time to reach anaphase (between 131 and 139 min) compared to the BRCA2 WT complemented cells (80 min) or BRCA2\(^{+/+}\) cells (77 min) (Figure 4e). The BRCA2\(^{-/-}\) also required more time on average (175 min) although the distribution of time on this population was variable, probably due to the different functions affected in BRCA2 deficient cells. Representative videos of the still images shown in Figure 4c are included in Suppl. info. (Movie S1-3).

In addition to a slower mitotic progression, S206C and T207A variants increased the frequency of cells that failed to divide in the time recorded (Figure 4d, >160 min) and increased the frequency of cell death (Figure 4d, Dead).

Taken together, cells expressing S206C and T207A display slower mitotic progression specifically from chromosome condensation to anaphase onset and higher frequency of uncompleted division or cell death compared to BRCA2 WT expressing cells.

**Docking of PLK1 at T207 of BRCA2 is required for the phosphorylation of BUBR1 at T676 and S680 PLK1 for proper chromosome alignment**

BRCA2 interacts directly with BUBR1\(^{4,5}\). BUBR1 associates with and is phosphorylated by PLK1, which controls the stability of kinetochore-microtubule
interactions and enables the alignment of chromosomes at the metaphase plate\textsuperscript{14,15}. The delay in mitotic progression observed in the clones expressing S206C and T207A (Figure 4b-d) led us to investigate BUBR1 levels in these cell lines. As previously described\textsuperscript{14,29}, upon cell arrest in G2/M phase, BUBR1 displayed 2 bands, the non-modified BUBR1 and the up-shifted band corresponding to phosphorylated BUBR1 (Figure 5a). Interestingly, the up-shifted band of BUBR1 strongly decreased in the cells expressing variants S206C or T207A compared to the cells expressing BRCA2 WT (Figure 5a, lane 3-6 compared to lane 2) and the same trend was observed in BRCA2\textsuperscript{-/-} cells (Figure 5a, lane 8 compared to lane 10). To find out the species of BUBR1 altered in the stable cells expressing the variants, we probed the same membrane with an antibody specific for pT680, a known BUBR1 phosphosite target of PLK1\textsuperscript{15}. Interestingly, both clones of the cells expressing variants S206C and T207A displayed reduced pT680 levels of BUBR1 (Figure 5a). As previously described\textsuperscript{15}, the phosphorylation of T680 is mediated by PLK1 since PLK1 inhibitors strongly reduced this signal (Figure S5a) and phosphatase treatment resulted in a decrease of the intensity of the band (Figure S5b) confirming the specificity of the anti-pT680-BUBR1 antibody in our cells. Furthermore, we observed a reduction in the PLK1-dependent phosphorylation of S676, another known target of PLK1 in BUBR1\textsuperscript{14} (Figure 5b), indicating that BUBR1 phosphorylation by PLK1 is impaired in cells expressing BRCA2 variants S206C and T207A, at least at these two sites.

To find out whether other PLK1-mediated phosphorylations in mitosis was affected by a reduced interaction with BRCA2 we tested the phosphatase CDC25C, the phosphorylation of which by PLK1 is important for mitotic entry\textsuperscript{30}. As expected, CDC25C was phosphorylated in mitotic cells but not in asynchronous growing cells as observed by an upshifted band that is dependent on the activity of PLK1 as it is
inhibited by PLK1 inhibitor and phosphatase treatment (Figure S5c). Strikingly, the phosphorylation of CDC25C was substantially reduced in the cells expressing the variants S206C and T207A. These results suggest that the interaction of BRCA2 with PLK1 regulates different PLK1 mitotic activities.

BUBR1 facilitates kinetochore-microtubules attachment via its interaction with the phosphatase PP2A-B56α which requires the phosphorylation of BUBR1 at the KARD motif15. This domain comprises S676 and T680 phosphorylated by PLK1 so we next tested whether the BRCA2 and PLK1 formed a tetrameric complex with pT680-BUBR1 and PP2A-B56α. Using a GFP pull-down to capture GFP-MBP-BRCA2 from mitotic cells we observed that the immunoprecipitated BRCA2 of BRCA2 WT complemented cells pulled-down PLK1, pT680-BUBR1 and PP2A-B56α (detected by an antibody against the catalytic subunit of PP2A, PP2AC), confirming the formation of a tetrameric complex. Importantly, cells expressing the variants S206C or T207A showed a strong reduction in the interaction of BRCA2 with PLK1 and pT680-BUBR1 in the context of the tetrameric complex consistent with what we observed before (Figure 4a, 5a), and a strong reduction of PP2A-B56α interaction (Figure 5c, 5d).

This is not due to a reduced localization of PLK1 or PP2A-B56α to the kinetochores in these cells, as the levels of these proteins at the kinetochores remain unchanged (Figure S6a-d).

The phosphorylation of BUBR1 at S676 and T680 by PLK1 and its association with PP2A-B56α are required for the formation of stable kinetochore-microtubules attachments14,15, a defect in this interaction results in chromosome misalignment. Therefore, we next examined whether cells expressing the BRCA2 variants S206C and T207A that showed reduced levels of pT680 and pS676-BUBR1 altered
chromosome alignment. Following thymidine synchronization, the cells were blocked in prometaphase with Monastrol (Eg5 inhibitor) and then treated with proteasome inhibitor MG132 for 1h to avoid exit from mitosis. Chromosome alignment was subsequently analysed by immunofluorescence. Strikingly, analysis of cells expressing S206C and T207A variants showed high frequency of faulty chromosome congression compared to the BRCA2 WT clone (52% in S206C A9, 42% in T207 B1 versus 16% in the WT clone) (Figure 5e, f) as detected by a signal of the centromere marker (CREST) outside the metaphase plate (Figure 5f). Interestingly, most of the misaligned chromosomes were located close to the spindle pole as revealed by the colocalization of CREST with the microtubule marker α-tubulin (Figure 5e, 5f). Similar results were obtained when the cells were released from Monastrol treatment before adding the proteasome inhibitor MG132 to ensure they assemble a bipolar spindle (Figure S7a).

Together, our results show that the phosphorylation of BUBR1 by PLK1 is impaired in cells expressing the variants of BRCA2 that cannot recruit PLK1 at T207 suggesting that PLK1 needs to be bound to BRCA2 to efficiently phosphorylate BUBR1. Moreover, BRCA2 forms a tetrameric complex with pT680-BUBR1, PLK1 and PP2A-B56α, a complex that is strongly impaired in the cells expressing variants S206C and T207A. As a consequence, these cells display severe chromosome misalignments.

The BRCA2 variants that reduce PLK1 phosphorylation display a weaken SAC activity, strong defects in chromosome segregation and aneuploidy

In addition to allowing stable kinetochore-microtubules attachments, BUBR1 is an essential component of the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC)\textsuperscript{31}. Although closely related, these activities of BUBR1 can be uncoupled and both are required for faithful
chromosome segregation \textsuperscript{17}. In view of the interaction of BRCA2 with BUBR1\textsuperscript{4,5} and the impact of BRCA2 on BUBR1 phosphorylation (Figure 5a-c), we next assessed the SAC activity by measuring the percentage of cells arrested in mitosis after treatment with the spindle poison nocodazole (Figure 7a), measuring the abundance of cells expressing the mitotic marker\textsuperscript{32} histone 3 phosphorylated at S10 (pH3, S10) by flow cytometry (a representative example of the gating strategy in the flow cytometry analysis is shown in Figure S7b, c). As expected, upon nocodazole treatment, the percentage of pH3 positive BRCA2 WT cells increased compared to non-treated cells, this increase was similar to the one observed in cells expressing endogenous BRCA2 (BRCA2\textsuperscript{+/+}) whereas in BRCA2 deficient cells (BRCA2\textsuperscript{-/-}) the percentage was significantly lower (Figure 6a, Figure S7b, c). Interestingly, the stable cell lines expressing variants S206C and T207A showed a significant decrease in the percentage of mitotic cells after nocodazole treatment in comparison to the BRCA2 WT cells, indicating a weaken SAC activity under induced mitotic-arrest conditions (Figure 6a). Consistently, a smaller percentage of G2/M cells was observed in cells bearing BRCA2 S206C and T207A variants compared to the BRCA2 WT counterpart upon mitotic arrest induction (Figure S7d). The reduced number of cells in G2/M was not due to a defective cell cycle profile as the distribution of these cells in unchallenged conditions was equivalent to that of the WT cells (Figure S7e). Thus, in response to microtubule poison, VUS S206C and T207A compromise SAC activity, which results in a weaken ability to induce mitotic arrest.

Unresolved chromosome misalignment as observed in these cells together with a weaken SAC activity is expected to drive chromosome missegregation. To find out if this was the case in cells expressing BRCA2 variants S206C and T207A we next
examined chromosome segregation by immunofluorescence in cells synchronized by double-thymidine block at anaphase/telophase. In cells expressing S206C and T207A, 64.5% and 46.5%, respectively, displayed chromosome segregation errors compared to 27.8% of the cells expressing BRCA2 WT, these included late-segregated chromosomes, lagging chromosomes and chromosome bridges (Figure 6b, c).

Erroneous chromosome segregation generates aneuploid cells during cell division. Given the strong chromosome segregation defects observed in cells expressing S206C and T207A we next analysed the number of chromosomes in these cells. Total chromosome counts carried out on metaphase spreads revealed that 37.1% of BRCA2 WT cells exhibited aneuploidy with chromosome loss or gain. In the case of S206C A7 and T207A B1, this number was significantly elevated to 52.2% and 61.8% of the cells, respectively (Figure 7a, e). As the number of chromosomes was difficult to assess for cells with high content of chromosome gains we arbitrarily discarded cells that contained more than 65 chromosomes. Thus, tetraploid cells are not included in this measurement. Therefore, we independently determined the frequency of tetraploid cells by assessing the incorporation of BrdU of asynchronous population and measuring the frequency of S-phase cells with \(>4N\) DNA content (Figure 7c). The quantification of this data shows that similar to the BRCA2 WT complemented cells, the frequency of tetraploidy in cells bearing the variants is <1% of the total population (Figure 7d) while the frequency of BrdU positive cells was equivalent between the BRCA2 WT and the VUS expressing cells (Figure S8).

Together, these results indicate that, in addition to the severe chromosome misalignment, cells expressing S206C and T207A display a weaken SAC activity.
upon induced mitotic arrest leading to high frequency of chromosome missegregation. As a consequence, the incidence of aneuploidy, but not tetraploidy, is greatly exacerbated in these cells.

The BRCA2 variants altering PLK1 phosphorylation restore the hypersensitivity of BRCA2 deficient cells to DNA damage and PARP inhibition

Since BRCA2 has a major role in DNA repair by HR, the prolonged mitosis observed in the VUS-expressing cell lines (Figure 4) could result from checkpoint activation through unrepaired DNA. In addition, defects in chromosome segregation have been reported as a cause of DNA damage34. To test the DNA repair efficiency of the cell lines expressing VUS S206C and T207A, we performed a clonogenic survival assay in the stable clones after treatment with mitomycin C (MMC), an inter-strand crosslinking agent to which BRCA2 deficient cells are highly sensitive35. As expected, BRCA2 deficient cells (BRCA2−/−) showed hypersensitivity to MMC treatment whereas BRCA2 WT cells complemented this phenotype almost to the same survival levels as the cells expressing the endogenous BRCA2 (BRCA2+/+), validating our cell system. The stable clones expressing VUS S206C and T207A also complemented the hypersensitive phenotype of BRCA2 deficient cells (BRCA2−/−) reaching similar levels as the BRCA2 WT clone (BRCA2 WT) (Figure 8a) suggesting that the delay in mitosis is not a consequence of checkpoint activation via unrepaired DNA. In contrast, clones expressing VUS S206C and T207A did show a growth defect manifested in a reduced number of colonies observed in unchallenged cells (Figure S9a), which is consistent with the mitotic delay observed by video-microscopy (Figure 4). To avoid a possible bias arising from the different ability to form colonies of these cells we also tested the sensitivity to MMC of the cell population by MTT
assay. As shown in Figure 8b, cells expressing S206C and T207A (2 clones of each) showed similar relative viability compared to BRCA2 WT complemented cells or the cells expressing endogenous BRCA2 (BRCA2$^{+/+}$), confirming our results. To additionally address the DNA repair capacity of these cells, we tested their viability in the presence of the poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor Olaparib. PARP1 is an enzyme required for the sensing of DNA single strand breaks (SSBs) and double strand breaks (DSBs) that becomes essential in the absence of a functional HR pathway \(^{36}\) and therefore is used as a surrogate of HR proficiency. In fact, PARP1 inhibitors, in particular Olaparib, are currently used in the clinic to treat breast and ovarian cancer patients carrying germline mutations in BRCA1/2. In our settings, the relative viability of BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells was 45% upon 4-day treatment with the highest Olaparib concentration tested (5 µM); in contrast, 74% of BRCA2 WT complemented cells remained viable. Similarly, cells expressing S206C or T207A survived the treatment equally well as the cells expressing BRCA2 WT, the percentage of viable cells at 5 µM treatment ranging from 70 to 83 % depending on the clone (Figure 8c).

Finally, to determine the levels of spontaneous DNA damage in these cells and whether or not they can recruit RAD51 to sites of DNA damage we measured both the number of cells containing more than 10 nuclear foci of the DSB marker $\gamma$H2AX and the ratio of RAD51/$\gamma$H2AX foci per nucleus, in cells unchallenged or 2h upon induction of DNA damage by ionizing radiation (6 Gy), (+IR). Our results show that the number of $\gamma$H2AX foci, indicative of spontaneous DNA damage, is not increased in cells expressing S206C compared to the BRCA2 WT complemented cells and is increased to similar levels in cells expressing BRCA2 WT or S206C upon irradiation (Figure 8d). We noticed that the number of nuclear $\gamma$H2AX foci in BRCA2$^{-/-}$ was
remarkably similar to that of the BRCA2 WT cells; this is probably due to the adaptation of these cells to BRCA2 deficiency as opposed to a transient depletion. Importantly, cells expressing S206C showed equivalent ratio of RAD51/γH2AX foci per nucleus following irradiation than in the BRCA2 WT cells indicating that, in these cells, BRCA2 can recruit RAD51 to the DNA damage sites. This is in contrast to the BRCA2−/− cells where the ratio of RAD51/γH2AX foci per nucleus in irradiated conditions is nearly zero (Figure 8e and Figure S9b).

In summary, these results indicate that the role of BRCA2 in conjunction with PLK1 in mitosis is independent of the HR function of BRCA2 as the variants S206C and T207A affecting PLK1 phosphorylation of BRCA2 are not sensitive to DNA damage and are able to recruit RAD51 to DNA damage sites (as shown for S206C).

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that residues S193 and T207 of BRCA2 can be phosphorylated by PLK1 (Figure 2) and that pT207 constitutes a bona fide docking site for PLK1PBD (Figure 3c-g). Accordingly, BRCA2 missense variants of unknown clinical significance reducing the phosphorylation status of T207 (T207A, S206C, T200K), result in a decrease in the interaction of BRCA2-PLK1 (Figure 3a-h, 4a). The phenotype of cells expressing two of these breast cancer variants (S206C, T207A) in a BRCA2 deficient background, allowed us to investigate in detail the possible role of BRCA2 phosphorylation by PLK1 in the control of mitosis. Unexpectedly, we found that the cells expressing S206C and T207A display defective chromosome congression to the metaphase plate (Figure 5e, f) causing a delay in mitosis progression (Figure 4c, d), particularly from mitosis entry to anaphase onset (Figure 4e). Remarkably, variants S206C and T207A of BRCA2 lead to a frequency of
chromosome misalignment equivalent to the one reported for BUBR1 mutated in the three phosphorylation targets sites of PLK1, BUBR1 3A mutant\textsuperscript{15}.

Mechanistically, cells expressing T207A and S206C exhibit reduced PLK1-dependent BUBR1 phosphorylation at two known tension-sensitive phosphosites required for the establishment of kinetochore-microtubule attachments, S676 and T680\textsuperscript{14,15} (Figure 5a, b). These results strongly suggest that the recruitment of PLK1 at pT207 docking site in BRCA2 is required for the phosphorylation of BUBR1 by PLK1. Proper kinetochore-microtubule attachments also require the interaction of BUBR1 with the phosphatase PP2A-B56\textsubscript{α} to balance Aurora B kinase activity\textsuperscript{15,37}. This interaction is mediated through the phosphorylation of KARD motif of BUBR1 by PLK1. Accordingly, we found that BRCA2 forms a tetrameric complex with PLK1-pBUBR1-PP2A-B56\textsubscript{α} and that BRCA2 variants S206C and T207A exhibit a strongly decreased binding to PLK1, pBUBR1 and PP2A-B56\textsubscript{α} in this complex (Figure 5c, d). These results suggest that the phenotype of these cells is due to an improper balance of kinases-phosphatases that are in complex with BRCA2.

The increased mitotic delay resulting from chromosome alignment defects is indicative of a functional SAC. However, upon induced mitotic arrest, these cells display weaken SAC activity as manifested in a reduced frequency of mitotic cells (Figure 6a) implying that the mitotic checkpoint is not fully activated under these conditions. These two opposing phenotypes are intriguing; however, the fact that the two functions of BUBR1, the stability of kinetochore-microtubule interactions and SAC activity can be uncoupled\textsuperscript{16,17} leads us to speculate that the reduced phosphorylation of BUBR1 may unbalance the pool of BUBR1 that is required for full activation of SAC. As a consequence of the chromosome misalignment and the
weaken SAC activity, cells bearing T207A and S206C variants display chromosome segregation errors including lagging chromosomes and chromosome bridges (Figure 6b, c). Importantly, these accumulated errors ultimately lead to a broad spectrum of chromosome gains and losses (aneuploidy) compared to the wild type counterpart (Figure 7a, b), but not to tetraploid cells (Figure 7c), suggesting that cytokinesis per se, is not affected.

Interestingly, the role of BRCA2-PLK1 interaction in the control of mitotic progression may not be restricted to BUBR1 activity as the phosphorylation of the phosphatase CDC25C is also decreased in cells expressing BRCA2 variants S206C and T207A (Figure S5c).

Finally, the function of BRCA2-PLK1 interaction in mitosis is independent of the HR function of BRCA2 as cells expressing these variants display normal sensitivity to DNA damage (MMC) and PARP inhibitors (Figure 8a-c) and the recruitment of RAD51 to DNA damage is intact (Figure 8e, Figure S9b).

Putting our results together we propose the following model (Figure 8f): In cells expressing WT BRCA2, PLK1 phosphorylates BRCA2 on T207 leading to the docking of PLK1 at this site. This step promotes the phosphorylation of BUBR1 at the tension-sensitive phosphosites (T676 and S680) by PLK1 in prometaphase allowing the interaction of BUBR1 with the phosphatase PP2A-B56α to balance Aurora B activity (Figure 8f, panel 1). Once the kinetochore-microtubules attachments are established and proper tension is achieved, BUBR1 is no longer phosphorylated by PLK1\textsuperscript{14}. This leads to a full alignment of chromosomes at the metaphase plate (Figure 8f, panel 2) and the subsequent faithful chromosome segregation in anaphase (Figure 8f, panel 3).
In cells expressing the variants that impair T207 phosphorylation (T200K, S206C, T207A), PLK1 cannot be recruited to pT207-BRCA2, impairing the phosphorylation of BUBR1 by the same kinase (Figure 8f, panel 1'), reducing PP2A-B56α interaction, thus leading to chromosome misalignment defects that prolong mitosis (Figure 8f, panel 2'); as a consequence, these cells exhibit increased chromosome segregation errors (Figure 8f, panel 3') and aneuploidy.

Our results are consistent with the “processive phosphorylation” model (as opposed to the “distributive phosphorylation” model) proposed before on how PLK1\textsubscript{PBD} regulates the kinase activity of PLK1\textsuperscript{38}, with some modifications. In this model, PLK1\textsubscript{PBD} would first bind the site phosphorylated by another mitotic kinase allowing the kinase domain of PLK1 to phosphorylate the same protein at another site. In this work, we show that the mitotic kinase would be PLK1 itself (“self-priming\textsuperscript{22}, and the kinase domain of PLK1 would phosphorylate not the same protein but BUBR1 bound to BRCA2. Interestingly, the consensus sequence of PLK1 phosphorylation imposes an aspartic or glutamic acid at the -2 position instead of a serine (S205)\textsuperscript{39}. Thus, this work extends the consensus sequence of PLK1 phosphorylation.

In all, we reveal an unexpected chromosome stability control mechanism that depends on the phosphorylation of BRCA2 by PLK1 at T207. We show that BRCA2 pT207 is a docking platform for PLK1 that ensures the efficient phosphorylation of BUBR1 required for PP2A-B56α phosphatase interaction and the proper balance of kinases and phosphatases at the kinetochore. This mechanism is required for the faithful segregation of DNA content to daughter cells, a function that is separate from the HR activity of BRCA2.

BRCA2 harbours another docking site for PLK1 at T77, a site that is primed by CDK
phosphorylation. In this study we observed, in addition to T207, several phosphorylation events including S193 (Figure 2) which is required for the midbody localization of BRCA2. Furthermore, other PLK1-phosphorylation sites have been described in the central region of BRCA2. However, the chronology of phosphorylation events and how the activities they regulate are coordinated throughout the cell cycle deserve further study. The recent findings of a mitotic-specific and DNA-repair independent function of the DNA checkpoint kinase ATR together with previous and recent work implicating PLK1 in DNA repair checkpoint recovery and promoting alternative end-joining via phosphorylation of CtIP in mitosis suggest that the processes of DNA repair and cell division may involve common players acting on different protein networks exquisitely regulated via post-translational modifications.

The fact that BRCA2 missense variants identified in breast cancer patients affect BRCA2 phosphorylation by PLK1 with direct consequences in chromosome stability manifested by chromosome missegregation and aneuploidy strongly suggests that this function may be associated with the aneuploidy observed in BRCA2-deficient tumors. Furthermore, the lack of sensitivity to the PARP inhibitor Olaparib have important clinical implications, as breast cancer patients carrying these variants are not predicted to respond to this type of treatment unlike BRCA2-mutated tumors that are HR-deficient.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** BRCA2 VUS alter PLK1 phosphorylation of BRCA21-250
(a) PLK1 \textit{in vitro} kinase assay with BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250}. Top: The polypeptides encompassing 2x-MBP-BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} WT or S193A, M192T, S196N, T200K, S206C, T207A mutations were incubated with recombinant PLK1 in the presence of $\gamma^{32}$P-ATP. The samples were resolved on 7.5% SDS-PAGE and the $^{32}$P-labeled products were detected by autoradiography. Bottom: 7.5% SDS-PAGE showing the input of purified 2xMBP-BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} WT and mutated proteins (0.5 \(\mu\)g) used in the reaction as indicated. (b) Quantification of the relative phosphorylation in (a). Data in (b) are represented as mean $\pm$ SD from at least four independent experiments (WT (n=9), S193A (n=4), M192T (n=4), S196N (n=4), S206C (n=4), T200K (n=5), T207A (n=4)).

(c) PLK1 \textit{in vitro} kinase assay performed as in (a) with recombinant PLK1 or the PLK1 kinase dead K82R mutant (PLK1-KD) together with BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} WT as substrate, in the presence or absence of the PLK1 inhibitor Bi2536 (50 nM) in the kinase reaction buffer. (d) Quantification of the relative phosphorylation in (c). Data in (d) are represented as mean $\pm$ SD from two independent experiments. (b and c) One-way ANOVA test with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used to calculate statistical significance of differences (the p-values show differences compared to WT; ns (non-significant)).

\textbf{Figure 2. PLK1 phosphorylates T207 in BRCA2\textsubscript{190-283}}

Phosphorylation kinetics of BRCA2\textsubscript{190-283} as observed by NMR. (a) Superposition of the $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra recorded as a function of the phosphorylation time: from black (before phosphorylation) to red (after 24h with PLK1). PLK1 (1.1 \(\mu\)M) was incubated with $^{15}$N labelled BRCA2\textsubscript{190-283} (200 \(\mu\)M) at pH 7.8 and 303 K. For each time point, 140 \(\mu\)l were taken, the sample was heated during 10 min at 368 K, 10% D2O were added and the pH was adjusted to 7.0. Right panel: Zoom on the region
containing the NMR signals of the phosphorylated residues. NMR peaks are labelled to indicate the corresponding residue following the timing colour code indicated in the left arrow (dark blue label: peaks appearing before 4 h; green label: peaks appearing before 5 h; red label: peaks observed only at 24 h). pT219 and pT226 give rise to several peaks (marked as (a), (b), (c)) as a function of the phosphorylation state of the surrounding residues. (b) Phosphorylation kinetics of S193 (red), T207 (black), T219 (blue) and T226 (grey). In the case of T226, the phosphorylation percentage corresponds to the sum of the intensities of peaks pT226(a), pT226(b) and pT226(c), which reflects the existence of different environments for phosphorylated T226 due to further phosphorylation of neighbouring T219 and S231. The multiplicity of the peaks corresponding to phosphorylated T226 at 24h hindered a proper measurement of this time point. (c) Superposition of the $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra recorded on VUS T207A as a function of the phosphorylation time: from black (before phosphorylation) to red (after 24h incubation with PLK1). The conditions are the same as in Figure 2a. A zoom on the region containing the NMR signals of the phosphorylated residues is shown. (d) Phosphorylation kinetics of T207 (black), S193 (red), T226 (grey) and T219 (blue), in WT (filled circles) versus T207A mutated BRCA2$_{190-283}$ (empty circles). (e) Superposition of the $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra recorded on variant T200K as a function of the phosphorylation time. (f) Phosphorylation kinetics of T207 (black), S193 (red), T226 (grey) and T219 (blue), in WT (filled circles) versus T200K mutated BRCA2$_{190-283}$ (empty circles).

**Figure 3.** BRCA2 variants showing reduced phosphorylation by PLK1 impair PLK1 binding
(a) 2xMBP-BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} expressing the WT, the VUS (M192T, S196N, T200K, S206C and T207A) or the mutant S193A were expressed in U2OS cells by transient transfection for 30h before the cells were treated with nocodazole for 14h. Mitotic cells were lysed and immunoprecipitation was performed against the MBP tag using amylose beads. Complexes were resolved on 4-15% SDS-PAGE followed by western blotting using anti-PLK1 and anti-MBP antibodies. StainFree images of the gels before transfer was used as loading control (cropped image is shown). (b) Quantification of co-immunoprecipitated PLK1 with 2xMBP-BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} in (a), relative to the input levels of PLK1. Results are presented as the fold change compared to BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250}-WT. The data represents the mean ± SD of 2-4 independent experiments (WT (n=4), S193A (n=4), M192T (n=4), S196N (n=4), S206C (n=4), T200K (n=2), T207A (n=3)). Statistical significance of the difference in (b) was calculated with one-way ANOVA test with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. (c) PLK1 \textit{in vitro} kinase assay followed by \textit{in vitro} pull-down assay using amylose beads. The polypeptides 2x-MBP-BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250} WT and T207A were incubated with recombinant PLK1 or PLK1-KD (PLK1-K82R) in the presence of ATP followed by incubation with amylose beads. The bound complexes were eluted from the beads with maltose and resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE followed by western blotting using anti-PLK1 and anti-MBP antibodies. UB: unbound fraction, E: maltose-eluted fraction. (d, e) Quantification of the PLK1 pull-down in (c) relative to the PLK1 levels in the input. (d) Results are presented as the fold change compared to BRCA2\textsubscript{1-250}-WT. The data represents the mean ± SD of 2 independent experiments. (e) Results are presented as the fold change compared to PLK1 WT. The data represents the mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. Statistical significance of the difference in (d and e) was
calculated with two-tailed t-test (the p-values show significant differences; ns (non-significant)).

(f-i) Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) thermograms showing binding of PLK1\textsubscript{PBD} to a 17 aa BRCA2 peptide containing (f) pT207, (g) T207, (h) A207, (i) C206pT207. Residues S206 and pT207 are highlighted in pink (S206) and magenta (pT207) in the peptide sequences. (j) 3D cartoon representation of the crystal structure of the interface between PLK1\textsubscript{PBD} (Polo-box 1 in green and Polo-box 2 in blue) and the BRCA2 peptide containing pT207 (in red except for S206 and pT207 that are highlighted in pink and magenta, respectively, as in (Fig. 3f-i)). The left panel shows a global view of the structure, whereas the right panel focuses on the interface between PLK1\textsubscript{PBD} and the BRCA2 peptide (from A199 to I210, marked in italics). In the left panel, S206 and pT207 are represented in sticks, whereas in the right panel, all the BRCA2 residues observed in the crystal structure are displayed in sticks. The hydrogen bonds involving side chain atoms are displayed and depicted as dark grey dots. The amino acids of PLK1\textsubscript{PBD} involved in these interactions are highlighted in sticks representation.

Figure 4. Cells bearing BRCA2 variants S206C and T207A prolong mitosis

(a) Interaction of full-length BRCA2 and PLK1 in stable clones of BRCA2 deficient DLD1 cells (BRCA2\textsuperscript{−/−}) expressing EGFPMBP-BRCA2 WT (BRCA2 WT) or the variants S206C or T207A. EGFPMBP-BRCA2 was immunoprecipitated from whole cell lysates of nocodazole treated cells using GFP-trap beads. Immuno-complexes were resolved on 4-15% SDS-PAGE followed by western blotting using anti-BRCA2 and -PLK1 antibodies. Unsynchronized DLD1 cells with endogenous BRCA2 (BRCA2\textsuperscript{+/+}) were used as control for the immunoprecipitation and StainFree images
of the gels before transfer were used as loading control for the input (cropped image is shown). (b) Quantification of co-immunoprecipitated PLK1 with EGFP-MBP-BRCA2 relative to the PLK1 protein levels in the input. Results are presented as the fold change compared to the EGFPMBP-BRCA2 WT clone. The data represents the mean ± SD of 2 independent experiments. Statistical significance of the difference was calculated one-way ANOVA test with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.

(c) Top: Scheme of the double thymidine block procedure used to synchronize DLD1 cells expressing endogenous BRCA2 (BRCA2^{+/+}) or stable clones of DLD1 BRCA2 deficient cells (BRCA2^{-/-}) expressing EGFPMBP-BRCA2 WT or VUS, as indicated for live-cell imaging of mitotic progression. The DNA was labeled with a live cell DNA fluorophore probe (SiR-DNA, 50 nM) added to the cells 7 hours before the start of the filming. Bottom: Representative still images of the live-cell imaging at different time points. The numbers in each image indicate the time in minutes after chromosome condensation, the arrow indicates the onset of anaphase. Scale bar represents 10 μm. (d) Frequency distribution of the time cells spend in mitosis from chromosome condensation to complete daughter cell separation with decondensed chromosomes monitored by time-lapse microscopy. The error bars represent SD from at least three independent experiments with 50 to 80 cells counted per experiment (BRCA2^{+/+} (n=3), BRCA2^{-/-} (n=3), WT C1 (n=3), S206C A7 (n=4), T207A B1 (n=3)). (e) Quantification of the time the cells spend from chromosome condensation to anaphase onset. The red lines show the mean time ± SD of three or four independent experiments (as in d) with 50 to 80 cells counted per experiment. The n at the top of the graph indicates total number of cells counted from the independent experiments. Statistical significance of the difference in (d and e) was
calculated with two- and one-way ANOVA test respectively, with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. The p-values show significant differences; ns (non-significant).

**Figure 5. Cells expressing BRCA2 variants that alter PLK1 phosphorylation display reduced protein levels of phosphorylated BUBR1 and its interaction with PP2A-B56α resulting in chromosomes misalignment**

(a, b) Western blots showing the expression levels of endogenous BUBR1 and (a) pT680-BUBR1 or (b) pS676-BUBR1 in nocodazole treated or untreated DLD1 cells expressing endogenous BRCA2 (BRCA2\textsuperscript{+/+}) or stable clones of DLD1 BRCA2 deficient cells (BRCA2\textsuperscript{−/−}) expressing EGFPMBP-BRCA2 WT (BRCA2 WT) or VUS, as indicated. StainFree image of the gel before transfer is used as loading control (cropped image is shown). (c) Co-immunoprecipitation of the tetrameric-protein complex BRCA2-pBUBR1-(PP2A-B56α)-PLK1 from mitotic cell extracts of BRCA2 WT cells or cells expressing the variant S206C and T207A using GFP-trap beads. The immuno-complexes were resolved on 4-15% SDS-PAGE followed by western blotting, the interactions were revealed by anti-BRCA2, -pT680-BUBR1, -PLK1 and -PP2AC (PP2A catalytic subunit) antibodies. Mitotic DLD1 cells with endogenous BRCA2 (BRCA2\textsuperscript{+/+}) were used as control for the immunoprecipitation and StainFree images of the gels before transfer were used as loading control for the input (cropped image is shown). (d) Quantification of co-immunoprecipitated pBUBR1, PLK1 and PP2A with EGFPMBP-BRCA2 in (c), relative to the input levels of each protein. Results are presented as the fold change compared to the BRCA2 WT clone. The data represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Statistical significance of the difference was calculated two-way ANOVA test with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.
(e) Top: Scheme of the double thymidine block procedure used to synchronize the DLD1 cells for analysis of chromosome alignment. Bottom: Quantification of misaligned chromosomes outside the metaphase plate in DLD1 BRCA2 deficient cells expressing BRCA2 WT or S206C and T207A variants. (f) Representative images of the type of chromosome alignment observed in cells quantified in (e), scale bar represents 10 µm. Statistical significance of the difference in (e) was calculated with two-way ANOVA test with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test (the p-values show differences compared to WT; ns (non-significant)). n in (e) indicates the total number of cells counted in each cell clone from 2-3 independent experiments.

Figure 6. Cells expressing BRCA2 VUS S206C and T207A show weaken SAC activity and display aberrant chromosome segregation

(a) SAC activity was measured by flow cytometry analysis of p-histone 3 expression in DLD1 BRCA2^{+/+} stable clones after treatment with nocodazole for 14h (BRCA2^{+/+} (n=3), BRCA2^{−/−} (n=7), BRCA2 WT (n=7), S206C A7 (n=6) and A9 (n=4), T207A B1 (n=5) and E4 (n=5). (b) Top: Scheme of the double thymidine block procedure used to synchronize DLD1 BRCA2^{−/−} stable clones for analysis of aberrant chromosome segregation. Bottom: Quantification of cells with aberrant chromosomes segregation in the stable cells expressing BRCA2 WT, S206C and T207A, as indicated. Statistical significance of the difference in (a) and (b) was calculated with two-way ANOVA test with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test (the p-values show the significant differences compared to WT; ns (non-significant)). n in (b) indicates the number of cells counted in each cell clone from a total of 2-4 independent experiments. (c) Representative images of the type of aberrant chromosome segregation observed in the cells quantified in (b), CREST antibody is used as marker of centromere; nuclei are
revealed with DAPI counterstaining. Scale bars represents 10 µm.

Figure 7. Cells expressing BRCA2 VUS S206C and T207A exhibit aneuploidy
(a) Distribution of the number of chromosomes observed in metaphase spreads of stable clones expressing BRCA2 WT, S206C A7 or T207 B1 from two independent experiments (total number of cells counted; BRCA2 WT (n=105), S206C A7 (n=111) and T207A B1 (n=110)); modal number of chromosomes and percentage deviating from the mode are shown at the top; p-values were calculated by Mann-Whitney two-tailed test). The cell passage was between 5 and 9 (BRCA2 WT (p.6 and p.9), S206C A7 (p5 and p9) and T207A B1 (p.6 and p.9). (b) Representative image of metaphase spreads of the DLD1 BRCA2 deficient stable cells bearing the S206C BRCA2 variant stained with CREST and counterstained with DAPI. In this example, the cell contains 45 chromosomes. (c-d) Analysis of S-phase tetraploid cells in DLD1 BRCA2 deficient cells expressing BRCA2 WT or the VUS S206C and T207A measured by flow cytometry after 20 minutes of BrdU incorporation. (c) Representative flow cytometry plots of cells stained with anti-BrdU-APC antibodies and 7-AAD (DNA). (d). Frequency of S-phase tetraploid cells in stable clones expressing BRCA2 WT or the VUS S206C and T207A. The data represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments (cell passage: 6-10). Statistical significance of the difference was calculated with one-way ANOVA test with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test (the p-values show the difference compared to WT, ns: non-significant).

Figure 8. The DNA repair proficiency is not affected in cells bearing BRCA2 variants S206C and T207A
(a) Quantification of the surviving fraction of DLD1 cells expressing endogenous BRCA2 (BRCA2$^{+/+}$) or stable clones of BRCA2 deficient DLD1 cells (BRCA2$^{-/-}$) expressing BRCA2 WT or the variants S206C or T207A assessed by clonogenic survival upon exposure to MMC at concentrations: 0, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.5 µM. Data are represented as mean ± SD: BRCA2$^{+/+}$ (red) (n=3), BRCA2$^{-/-}$ (gray) (n=5), WT C1 (black) (n=5), S206C A7 (blue) (n=2), T207A B1 (green) (n=4).

(b-c) Quantification of the relative cell viability monitored by MTT assay upon treatment with increasing doses of MMC (b) or the PARP inhibitor Olaparib (c), as indicated. The data represents the mean ± SD of four (b) and three (c) independent experiments. Statistical significance of the difference in (a-c) was calculated with two-way ANOVA test with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test (the p-values show the significant differences compared to the BRCA2 WT clone).

(d) Quantification of the percentage of nuclei containing more than 10 $\gamma$H2AX foci in the indicated cell lines two hours after 6Gy of $\gamma$-irradiation (+IR) versus non-irradiated conditions. The data represents the mean ± SD of three to four independent experiments; the n at the top of the graph indicates total number of cells counted.

(e) Boxplot quantification of a representative experiment showing the ratio of RAD51/$\gamma$H2AX foci per nucleus in the same cells and conditions as quantified in (d). Boxes represent the 25-75% percentiles, the whiskers the 5-95% percentiles and the median is indicated with a horizontal line. The n at the top of the graph indicates number of cells counted in the experiment, in total two to three independent experiments were performed with at least 300 cells counted per cell clone and condition. Statistical significance of the difference in (d-e) was calculated with unpaired two-tailed t-test (the p-values show the significant difference, ns: non-significant).

(f) Model for the role of PLK1 phosphorylation of BRCA2 in mitosis (see
text for details). In panels 2, 2', 3 and 3' blue blobs represent chromosomes, red circles represent the kinetochores, red cylinders represent the centrioles and orange lanes represent the spindle microtubules.
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(a) Western blot analysis showing relative pull-down of PLK1 for BRCA2 WT, S206C, and T207A mutants. The graph shows the relative pull-down of PLK1, with p-values indicating statistical significance.

(b) Bar graph illustrating the relative pull-down of PLK1 for different BRCA2 mutants. The x-axis represents the BRCA2 WT and mutants, while the y-axis shows the relative pull-down. The p-values are marked for each comparison.

(c) Schematic diagram of the experimental timeline. The timeline includes stages such as seed cells, thymidine release, anaphase onset, and live-cell imaging. The images show cell morphology at different stages.

(d) Frequency distribution of events at different time points. The x-axis represents time from chromosome condensation to anaphase onset, and the y-axis represents frequency. The bars indicate the number of events for each group.

(e) Dot plot showing the time from chromosome condensation to anaphase onset for different BRCA2 mutants. The x-axis represents the time in minutes, and the y-axis represents the group. The box plots indicate the mean and standard deviation.
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(a) Graph showing the percentage of pHistone3 positive cells across different conditions. The x-axis represents various cell lines and conditions, and the y-axis shows the percentage. The graph indicates statistical significance with p-values provided for each condition.

(b) Time course experimental design with seed cells, thymidine release, and fixation steps. The y-axis represents chromosome segregation status, and the x-axis shows different time points (17h, 8h, 15h, 11h). The graph compares BRCA2 WT and BRCA2 -/- cells with S206C A7, S206C A9, T207A B1, and T207A E4, with statistical significance marked by p-values.

(c) Microscope images showing DAPI, CREST, and MERGE staining. The images compare normal segregation, late-segregation, and chromosome bridges across different conditions, with numeric values and statistical significance noted.

New data analysis: 2way ANOVA, Tukey's test.
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