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PURPOSE
It remains controversial whether primary tumor resection (PTR) before chemotherapy improves survival in patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) with asymptomatic primary tumor and synchronous unresectable metastases.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
This randomized phase III study investigated the superiority of PTR followed by chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone in relation to overall survival (OS) in patients with unresectable stage IV asymptomatic CRC and three or fewer unresectable metastatic diseases confined to the liver, lungs, distant lymph nodes, or peritoneum. Chemotherapy regimens of either mFOLFOX6 plus bevacizumab or CapeOX plus bevacizumab were decided before study entry. The primary end point was OS, which was analyzed by intention-to-treat.

RESULTS
Between June 2012 and September 2019, a total of 165 patients were randomly assigned to either chemotherapy alone (84 patients) or PTR plus chemotherapy (81 patients). When the first interim analysis was performed in September 2019 with 50% (114/227) of the expected events observed among 160 patients at the data cutoff date of June 5, 2019, the Data and Safety Monitoring Committee recommended early termination of the trial because of futility. With a median follow-up of 22.0 months, median OS was 25.9 months (95% CI, 19.9 to 31.5) in the PTR plus chemotherapy arm and 26.7 (95% CI, 21.9 to 32.5) in the chemotherapy-alone arm (hazard ratio, 1.10; 95% CI, 0.76 to 1.59; one-sided \( P = .69 \)). Three postoperative deaths occurred in the PTR plus chemotherapy arm.

CONCLUSION
Given that PTR followed by chemotherapy showed no survival benefit over chemotherapy alone, PTR should no longer be considered a standard of care for patients with CRC with asymptomatic primary tumors and synchronous unresectable metastases.
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INTRODUCTION
Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the leading causes of death from cancer worldwide. At diagnosis, most patients with stage IV disease have unresectable tumors and can undergo palliative treatment only. For those with both an asymptomatic primary tumor and unresectable metastatic disease, the initial treatment strategy is controversial. Some researchers have reported that the benefits of primary tumor resection (PTR) on overall survival (OS) are unclear and that the morbidity and mortality associated with tumor resection should be avoided because of the delay in initiating chemotherapy, which can negatively impact survival. If opting for resection in patients with both an asymptomatic primary tumor and unresectable metastatic disease, then upfront PTR is preferable to avoid tumor-related complications that can otherwise develop during chemotherapy. Moreover, recent studies have reported significantly better OS in patients undergoing PTR than in those who do not undergo this treatment. However, these studies were all retrospective in design. To the best of our knowledge, no randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have demonstrated...
a survival benefit of upfront PTR over chemotherapy alone in patients with incurable advanced CRC.\textsuperscript{11-15}

We conducted this randomized phase III study (JCOG1007, iPACS study; UMIN identifier: UMIN000008147)\textsuperscript{16} to evaluate the survival benefit of adding upfront PTR to standard chemotherapy for patients with CRC with an asymptomatic primary tumor and synchronous unresectable metastases.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Systemic Design and Patients**

iPACS was an open-label, randomized, phase III trial conducted by the Japan Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG; JCOG1007).\textsuperscript{16} The study Protocol (online only) was approved by the institutional review boards of all participating hospitals before the study commenced. Patients provided written informed consent before enrollment.

Eligible patients were of age 20-74 years with histologically proven primary colon cancer, rectosigmoid cancer, or upper rectal adenocarcinoma and with between one and three unresectable metastatic diseases confined to the liver, lungs, distant lymph nodes, or peritoneum evident on computed tomography (CT) or chest X-ray photograph. Tumors were staged according to the Japanese Classification of Colon and Rectal Carcinoma (7th edition)\textsuperscript{17} and the TNM classification (7th edition).\textsuperscript{18}

**Procedures**

In the chemotherapy-alone arm, chemotherapy was started within 14 days of enrollment. In the PTR plus chemotherapy arm, resection of the primary tumor was performed within 21 days of enrollment. Surgery was performed under laparoscopy or laparotomy. The level of lymph node dissection was kept in the range of D1-D3, which was needed for resection of the primary tumor. Between 8 and 56 days after surgery, chemotherapy with either mFOLFOX6 plus bevacizumab or CapeOX plus bevacizumab was initiated. The mFOLFOX6 or CapeOX regimen was selected by each investigator before random assignment. Detailed chemotherapy methods can be found in the Protocol.

Treatment was discontinued if disease progression was diagnosed clinically or by imaging, if a serious adverse event (AE) occurred, if a treatment course was delayed for more than 28 days owing to an AE, if an AE meant a subsequent dose reduction was needed after the second reduction, if the patient declined treatment, or if judged necessary by the attending physician for other reasons.

For patients assigned to chemotherapy alone, palliative surgery was performed if deemed necessary because of intestinal obstruction, perforation, fistulation, or hemorrhage associated with the primary tumor. Additionally, for patients in either arm, surgery to achieve R0 was performed if the tumors were deemed resectable because all nonresectable factors identified upon registration responded well to chemotherapy.

**Outcomes**

The primary end point was OS. Secondary end points were progression-free survival (PFS), AEs, proportion of patients who underwent R0 resection, and proportion of patients who underwent palliative surgery.

AEs were assessed according to the CTCAE version 4.0. Patients were assessed twice a month from baseline for AEs via verbal interview, physical examination, and blood tests, including a complete blood cell count and assessments of liver and renal function, until disease progression. Chest and abdominal CT and measurements of carcinoembryonic antigen and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 were performed every 8 weeks.

**Random Assignment**

Patients were randomly assigned (1:1; centrally by the JCOG Data Center) to PTR plus chemotherapy or chemotherapy alone.

**CONTEXT**

**Key Objective**

The initial treatment strategy remains controversial in patients with incurable advanced colorectal cancer (CRC). This phase III randomized controlled study evaluated the survival benefit of adding upfront primary tumor resection (PTR) to standard chemotherapy for unresectable stage IV CRC patients with both an asymptomatic primary tumor and up to three unresectable metastases.

**Knowledge Generated**

Additional upfront PTR showed no superiority over chemotherapy alone, with median overall survival (OS) at slightly more than 2 years in both arms. The study was terminated early, at the interim analysis, because patients assigned to upfront PTR plus chemotherapy were unlikely to have improved OS over standard chemotherapy alone.

**Relevance**

Despite recent retrospective studies reporting significantly better OS in patients with CRC who underwent PTR compared with those who did not, additional upfront PTR should not be considered as standard initial treatment for patients with asymptomatic primary CRC and synchronous unresectable metastatic disease.
chemotherapy alone by a minimization method with a random component to balance the arms based on institution, tumor location (colon, rectosigmoid colon v upper rectum), sex (male v female), and performance status (0 v 1).

**Statistical Analysis**

This study was designed to confirm the superiority of PTR plus chemotherapy compared with chemotherapy alone in relation to OS. At the start of the study, we hypothesized that the median survival time (MST) in the PTR plus chemotherapy arm would be greater by 4 months than an MST of 20 months in the chemotherapy-alone arm (hazard ratio [HR], 0.83). The total targeted sample size was 770 patients, with a one-sided alpha of 5% and a power of 75%, with 647 events expected to occur during the 5 years of accrual and 3 years of follow-up.

However, as of October 2017, 5 years and 4 months after initiating enrollment, only 18.6% (143 of 770) of the required patients had been enrolled. Therefore, we concluded that the following amendments could be made: (1) change the add-on effect of the test treatment on the standard treatment from 4 months to 8 months taking the high mortality of PTR into account and (2) change the MST of the standard treatment arm from 20 months to 24 months based on longer OS reported by recent large-scale studies on unresectable advanced or recurrent CRC (SOFT and WJOG4407)\(^{19,20}\) and expected minimal treatment effect in guidelines.\(^{21,22}\) Furthermore, the power was reduced from 75% to 70% considering the feasibility of the study. Based on these amendments, the planned sample size was recalculated to a total of 280 patients (227 deaths) in both arms, with MST of 24 months versus 32 months.

![CONSORT diagram](image-url)

**FIG 1.** CONSORT diagram. AE, adverse event; TRD, treatment-resistant depression.
(HR, 0.75), an accrual period of 8.5 years, a follow-up period of 3 years, one-sided $\alpha = 5\%$ (which is higher than that of 2.5% recommended by the ICH E9 guideline), and a power of 70%. A one-sided test was used because it was not important to determine whether adding PTR is significantly inferior to the standard treatment.

Two interim analyses were planned, with adjustments for repeated comparisons taken into account with the Lan and DeMets method and the O'Brien-Fleming type $\alpha$ spending function. The first interim analysis was planned for the date at which half of the planned sample size had been enrolled, and the second interim analysis was planned 1 year after the completion of enrollment. The prespecified stopping criteria for futility in the study Protocol were as follows: if the survival curve for PTR plus chemotherapy was below that for chemotherapy alone (ie, HR, > 1.0), study termination owing to futility would be considered, taking into account various factors such as the toxicity profile in both arms and precision of the estimated HR. Predictive probability was calculated as reference. Data from all randomly assigned patients were analyzed for OS and PFS on an intention-to-treat basis. Preplanned subgroup analyses were performed. Post hoc supplementary analysis was done in per-protocol set. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.4.

**RESULTS**

Between June 2012 and September 2019, a total of 165 patients were enrolled and randomly assigned to chemotherapy alone (84 patients) or PTR plus chemotherapy (81 patients; Fig 1) at 38 cancer centers in Japan. Two patients in the chemotherapy-alone arm were ineligible (one with a second primary tumor and one because of lack of inclusion laboratory findings) as were three patients in the PTR plus chemotherapy arm (one with a second primary tumor and two because of deviation from the specified surgical methods). Four patients assigned to PTR plus chemotherapy did not undergo PTR (Fig 1). Defined chemotherapy was not delivered in 15 patients (in the chemotherapy-alone arm, three patients declined unrelated to AEs and two had rapid progression of the disease; in the PTR plus chemotherapy arm, five had rapid

| TABLE 1. Demographics and Baseline Characteristics | Chemotherapy Alone (n = 84) | PTR Plus Chemotherapy (n = 81) |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| **Age (years)**                                    | 65 (59-71)                  | 65 (59-69)                     |
| **Sex**                                            |                             |                                |
| Male                                               | 45 (54%)                    | 45 (56%)                       |
| Female                                             | 39 (56%)                    | 36 (44%)                       |
| **Unresectable sites of metastatic disease**       |                             |                                |
| Liver                                              | 60 (71%)                    | 60 (74%)                       |
| Lung                                               | 18 (21%)                    | 22 (27%)                       |
| Distant lymph node                                 | 23 (27%)                    | 16 (20%)                       |
| Peritoneum                                         | 4 (5%)                      | 6 (7%)                         |
| **Location of primary tumor**                      |                             |                                |
| Colon                                              | 78 (93%)                    | 75 (93%)                       |
| Upper rectum                                       | 6 (7%)                      | 6 (7%)                         |
| **Clinical tumor stage**                           |                             |                                |
| T2                                                 | 3 (4%)                      | 2 (2%)                         |
| T3                                                 | 43 (51%)                    | 45 (56%)                       |
| T4a                                                | 38 (45%)                    | 34 (42%)                       |
| **Clinical nodal stage**                           |                             |                                |
| N0-1                                               | 48 (57%)                    | 42 (52%)                       |
| N2-3                                               | 36 (43%)                    | 39 (48%)                       |
| **Tumor differentiation**                          |                             |                                |
| Well or moderate                                   | 80 (95%)                    | 73 (90%)                       |
| Poor or mucinous                                   | 3 (4%)                      | 7 (9%)                         |
| Other                                              | 1 (1%)                      | 1 (1%)                         |

NOTE. Data are n (%) or median (IQR).
Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; PTR, primary tumor resection.
*Multiple choices.
progression of the disease, three died, one had an AE, and one declined unrelated to AEs; Fig 1). Of the 165 randomly assigned patients, the 19 who did not receive the study treatment after random assignment were excluded from the safety population (five in the chemotherapy-alone arm and 14 in the PTR plus chemotherapy arm). The median time from enrollment to starting the study treatment was 9 days (IQR, 8-12) in the chemotherapy arm and 13 days (IQR, 10-16) in the PTR plus chemotherapy arm.

Patients were well balanced between the two arms, including tumor location (colon and rectosigmoid: 78 [93%] in the chemotherapy-alone arm and 75 [93%] in the PTR plus chemotherapy arm). The most frequent unresectable metastatic disease was liver metastasis in 120 of 165 (73%) patients. The distribution of unresectable metastatic diseases was similar in both arms (Table 1).

The first interim analysis was conducted in September 2019 for the 160 enrolled patients based on data as of April 2019 (median follow-up, 22.0 months). The Data and Safety Monitoring Committee recommended early termination of the study according to the prespecified stopping criteria on the basis of futility, with 114 (50%) of the expected 227 events reported, because the predictive probability of OS being significantly higher in the PTR plus chemotherapy arm than in the chemotherapy-alone arm would be 12.3% at the final analysis, even if accrual continued to the planned number. Median OS was 26.7 months (95% CI, 21.9 to 32.5) for patients assigned to chemotherapy alone and 25.9 months (19.9 to 31.5) for those assigned to PTR plus chemotherapy (HR, 1.10; 95% CI, 0.76 to 1.59; one-sided P = .69 by the stratified log-rank test; Fig 2A). Supplementary analysis in a per-protocol analysis that excluded five patients deemed ineligible and 17 patients who did not receive planned chemotherapy out of 160 registrations by April 4, 2019, yielded similar findings (HR, 0.99, 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.47).

The updated analysis was conducted for the 165 patients based on data as of November 2019 (median follow-up, 22.1 months). OS at 3 years for all randomly assigned patients was 33.0% (22.5 to 43.9) for patients assigned to chemotherapy alone compared with 32.9% (22.2 to 44.0) for those assigned to PTR plus chemotherapy. Median OS was 26.4 months (21.9 to 32.1) for patients assigned to chemotherapy alone and 25.9 months (19.9 to 31.7) for chemotherapy alone and 25.9 months (19.9 to 31.7) for those assigned to PTR plus chemotherapy.

FIG 2. Kaplan-Meier curves in the intention-to-treat population. (A) OS at the first interim analysis (data cutoff date June 5, 2019). (B) OS at the updated analysis (data cutoff date November 26, 2019). (C) PFS at the updated analysis (data cutoff date November 26, 2019). HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; PTR, primary tumor resection.
those assigned to PTR plus chemotherapy (HR, 1.11; 95% CI, 0.78 to 1.58; one-sided P = .72 by the stratified log-rank test; Fig 2B). Seventy-three of 84 patients (87%) assigned to chemotherapy alone and 75 of 81 (93%) assigned to PTR plus chemotherapy experienced disease progression. Median PFS was 12.1 months (9.5 to 13.2) with chemotherapy alone and 10.4 months (8.3 to 13.4) with PTR plus chemotherapy (HR, 1.12; 95% CI, 0.81 to 1.55; two-sided P = .48; Fig 2C). In the prespecified subgroup analysis of OS, there was a prominent difference depending on performance status, PS0 and PS1, but the number of patients with PS1 was very small. Other than the abovementioned factors, there were no other notable differences between the arms (Fig 3).

Grade 2 or worse AEs occurred in 29 of 77 (38%) patients who underwent PTR. The incidence of major surgery-related complications of grade 3 or worse was as follows: fever (one patient; 1%), intra-abdominal abscess (one patient; 1%), postoperative bleeding (one patient; 1%), bilirubinemia (one patient; 1%), anastomotic leakage (three patients; 4%), abnormal alanine aminotransferase concentration (seven patients; 9%), and increased AST (13 patients; 17%). One patient underwent reoperation because of anastomotic leakage. Hospital death, defined as death during the hospital stay for PTR or death from any cause within 30 days after surgery, occurred in three patients (4%) because of aggressive progression of the unresectable hepatic tumor (hepatorrhagia) or postoperative complications (two treatment-related deaths) (Table 2). Five of 82 (6%) eligible patients assigned to chemotherapy alone and two of 78 (3%) eligible patients assigned to PTR plus chemotherapy underwent R0 resection because of the partial or near-complete response of metastatic lesions to chemotherapy. Additionally, PTR and metastasectomy with curative intent were safely performed without any postoperative complications (data not shown). In the chemotherapy-alone arm, 11 of 84 (13%) patients underwent palliative surgery for symptoms linked to the primary tumor.
Table 2. Mortality and Morbidity Post-PTR

| Characteristic                  | PTR Plus Chemotherapy (n = 77)* |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| PTR performed                  | 74 (96%)                         |
| Operative time (minutes)       | 189 (146-248)                    |
| Surgical approach              |                                  |
| Open surgery                   | 42 (55%)                         |
| Laparoscopic surgery           | 35 (45%)                         |
| Surgical procedure             |                                  |
| Partial resection of colon     | 21 (27%)                         |
| Sigmoidectomy                  | 27 (35%)                         |
| High anterior resection        | 14 (18%)                         |
| Low anterior resection         | 11 (14%)                         |
| Stoma                          | 2 (3%)                           |
| Laparotomy                     | 1 (1%)                           |
| Other                          | 1 (1%)                           |
| Multivisceral resection        |                                  |
| No                             | 74 (96%)                         |
| Yes                            | 3 (4%)                           |
| Postoperative mortality        |                                  |
| Grade 2/3/4                    | 29 (38%)                         |
| Grade 3/4                      | 16 (21%)                         |
| Grade 4                        | 2 (3%)                           |

Abbreviation: PTR, primary tumor resection.

*Four patients who did not undergo surgery were excluded. Some percentages do not add up to 100 because of rounding.

Table 3 shows AEs associated with chemotherapy. Median treatment cycles were 13 in both arms. Grade 2 or higher nonhematological AEs were more frequent in the PTR plus chemotherapy arm than in the chemotherapy-alone arm (58/67 [87%] patients v 61/79 [77%] patients). Grade 3 or higher nonhematological AEs were more frequent in the PTR plus chemotherapy arm than in the chemotherapy-alone arm (32/67 [48%] patients v 27/79 [34%] patients). One treatment-related death 31 days after initiation of protocol treatment was reported in a patient assigned to PTR plus chemotherapy (sudden duodenal perforation of unknown cause during the fifth cycle of chemotherapy). The median time to commencing chemotherapy after PTR was 34 days (IQR, 30-39). Chemotherapy was discontinued in all patients who received defined chemotherapy in both arms. There was no significant difference in chemotherapy regimen or the number of subsequent therapy lines between the two groups (Appendix Table A1, online only).

**DISCUSSION**

The iPACS is the first RCT to address the role of PTR in patients with metastatic CRC cancer. The results did not show survival benefit of additional upfront PTR over chemotherapy alone in asymptomatic patients with incurable advanced CRC. Median OS was slightly more than 2 years regardless of whether patients with three or fewer unresectable metastatic diseases immediately received chemotherapy or underwent PTR before receiving chemotherapy. The study was terminated early at the interim analysis because patients assigned to PTR plus chemotherapy were unlikely to have improved OS compared with those assigned to chemotherapy alone. Chemotherapy-related morbidity was higher and more severe, 4% of the patients died of complications after surgery in the PTR plus chemotherapy arm, and 87% of patients in the chemotherapy-alone arm were able to avoid surgery entirely.

Although numerous recent papers have suggested a survival benefit of PTR compared with not undergoing it, retrospective comparative survival analysis without random assignment or clear explanation of the indications for resection is at great risk for bias and misleading results.4,24 In an observational cohort study using an appropriate epidemiologic and statistical methodology, Alawadi et al4 found no survival benefit of PTR among patients with unresectable metastatic CRC. RCTs should remain the gold standard for determining the efficacy of new cancer therapies.25 Around the time we began this trial or slightly after, there was much anticipation regarding the results of several ongoing RCTs.11-15 Elucidation of the true clinical significance of PTR for this patient population is evidently an important unmet need worldwide.

In this trial, 11 of the 84 (13%) patients initially assigned to chemotherapy alone underwent palliative surgery for symptoms linked to primary tumors. We found that 87% of patients in the chemotherapy-alone arm did not develop symptoms from the primary tumor that required surgery or problems related to the primary tumor resulting in death. This result is very similar to that of NSABP C-10, a phase II study26 that demonstrated acceptable toxicity profiles and efficacy of upfront chemotherapy with mFOLFOX6 and bevacizumab for asymptomatic unresectable metastatic CRC. On the other hand, in the present study, grade 2 or worse AEs after PTR occurred in 38% of patients, whereas grade 3 or worse AEs occurred in 21% of patients who underwent PTR. Hospital death after PTR occurred in 4% because of postoperative complications. PTR was associated with more frequent and more severe chemotherapy-related nonhematological AEs. Subgroup analysis also found no populations that benefited from PTR. The median time to commencing chemotherapy after PTR was 34 days. Thus, PTR not only delayed the start of effective systemic therapy but also increased the risk of severe complications and mortality.

This study has some limitations. First, the planned power of 70% was not sufficient and the planned sample size was not achieved because the study was terminated early.
according to the recommendation by the Data and Safety Monitoring Committee because of overall futility and ethical reasons, which then limited the statistical power supporting our conclusions. Our study had many intrinsic difficulties in patient accrual because of its strict eligibility criteria, patient preferences, and biases of individual clinicians, which led to poor acceptance of random assignment. With this reduced power, we opted to terminate the study, even though there is a lack of consensus over whether PTR is beneficial for asymptomatic patients with unresectable stage IV. The CAIRO411 and SYNCHRONOUS12 trials are ongoing in the West, with patient recruitment now completed in the SYNCHRONOUS trial12 (personal communication), and it is hoped that the comprehensive results of these trials will clearly demonstrate the role of PTR for these patients. Second, the quality of the study was partially impaired because five (3%) patients were deemed ineligible and 19 (12%) did not receive planned PTR and chemotherapy. This could have affected the outcomes, but the HR for death was essentially unchanged in patients assigned to PTR plus chemotherapy when calculated in a per-protocol analysis. Third, assessment of quality of life was not done, which is a crucial consideration for patients with a limited lifespan and their caregivers when choosing the optimum treatment strategy. Fourth, patients with lower rectal cancer were not included in this study. Surgery becomes more challenging in lower rectal cancer, and although laparoscopic surgery is rapidly becoming more widespread, only some facilities are conducting clinical trials of it for lower rectal cancer. Therefore, because the heterogeneity of risks could not be ruled out if we were to include lower rectal cancer in the study, we decided not to include it. Finally, asymptomatic patients with either tumors that could not be endoscopically traversed or circumferential lesions were enrolled in this study as long as there was no evidence of obstruction on X-ray. However, 13% of patients underwent palliative surgery for symptoms linked to the

| TABLE 3. Hematological and Nonhematological Adverse Events Associated With Chemotherapy |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| AE                                      | chemotherapy alone (n = 79) |                  |                  | PTR plus chemotherapy (n = 67) |                  |                  |
|                                         | Grade 1-2, n (%) | Grade 3, n (%) | Grade 4, n (%) | Grade 1-2, n (%) | Grade 3, n (%) | Grade 4, n (%) |
| Leukopenia*                             | 34 (44)         | 7 (9)          | 0               | 30 (45)         | 6 (9)          | 0               |
| Neutropenia*                            | 32 (41)         | 22 (28)        | 2 (3)           | 24 (36)         | 16 (24)        | 4 (6)           |
| Anemia*                                 | 69 (88)         | 2 (3)          | 0               | 58 (87)         | 1 (1)          | 1 (1)           |
| Thrombocytopenia*                       | 48 (62)         | 0              | 0               | 39 (58)         | 0              | 0               |
| Febrile neutropenia                     | —               | 2 (3)          | 0               | —               | 0              | 0               |
| Hyperbilirubinemia*                     | 4 (5)           | 0              | 0               | 4 (6)           | 0              | 0               |
| Increased AST*                          | 45 (58)         | 4 (5)          | 0               | 42 (63)         | 3 (4)          | 0               |
| Increased ALT*                          | 36 (46)         | 3 (4)          | 0               | 37 (55)         | 3 (4)          | 0               |
| Increased creatinine*                   | 8 (10)          | 0              | 0               | 10 (15)         | 0              | 0               |
| Anorexia                                 | 38 (48)         | 5 (6)          | 0               | 36 (54)         | 2 (3)          | 0               |
| Nausea                                  | 35 (44)         | 2 (3)          | —               | 21 (31)         | 1 (1)          | —               |
| Vomiting                                | 14 (18)         | 1 (1)          | 0               | 5 (7)           | 0              | 0               |
| Diarrhea                                | 21 (27)         | 1 (1)          | 0               | 21 (31)         | 4 (6)          | 0               |
| Alopecia                                | 11 (14)         | —              | —               | 17 (25)         | —              | —               |
| Allergic reaction                       | 7 (9)           | 1 (1)          | 0               | 8 (12)          | 1 (1)          | 0               |
| Hand-foot syndrome                      | 19 (24)         | 1 (1)          | —               | 19 (28)         | 3 (4)          | —               |
| Maculopapular rash                      | 6 (8)           | 0              | —               | 4 (6)           | 0              | —               |
| Oral mucositis                          | 28 (35)         | 4 (5)          | 0               | 27 (40)         | 2 (3)          | 0               |
| Optic nerve neuropathy                  | 4 (5)           | 0              | 0               | 0               | 0              | 0               |
| Dysgeusia                               | 22 (28)         | —              | —               | 24 (36)         | —              | —               |
| Olfactory nerve disorder                | 1 (1)           | 0              | —               | 2 (3)           | 0              | —               |
| Sensory neuropathy                      | 61 (77)         | 4 (5)          | 0               | 48 (72)         | 6 (9)          | 0               |
| Motor neuropathy                        | 3 (4)           | 1 (1)          | 0               | 8 (12)          | 1 (1)          | 0               |
| Hypertension                            | 23 (29)         | 6 (8)          | 0               | 18 (27)         | 11 (16)        | 0               |
| Maximum grade of any nonhematological AE| 52 (66)         | 26 (33)        | 1 (1)           | 35 (52)         | 30 (45)        | 2 (3)           |

Abbreviations: AE, adverse event; PTR, primary tumor resection.

*One patient missing in chemotherapy group because of no laboratory test at baseline.
primary tumor in the chemotherapy-alone arm. Therefore, our results might be applied cautiously to patients with colono-scopic findings of nontraversable lesions at diagnosis that can cause luminal obstruction attributable to progression or fibrosis from response to treatment.27

In conclusion, PTR followed by chemotherapy had no survival benefit over chemotherapy alone in the present study. Therefore, PTR should no longer be considered standard of care among patients with CRC with an asymptomatic primary tumor and synchronous unresectable metastases.
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## APPENDIX

**TABLE A1.** Subsequent Therapy Lines Treatment Regimens

| Drug                        | Chemotherapy Alone | PTR Plus Chemotherapy |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| **Second-line treatment**  | n = 76             | n = 69                |
| Bevacizumab                 | 44 (58)            | 48 (70)               |
| EGFR antibodies             | 4 (5)              | 7 (10)                |
| Irinotecan                  | 38 (50)            | 36 (52)               |
| Oxaliplatin                 | 27 (36)            | 20 (29)               |
| 5-FU or capecitabine or S-1 | 67 (88)            | 55 (80)               |
| TAS-102                     | 0                  | 1 (1)                 |
| Other                       | 1 (1)              | 0                     |
| **Third-line treatment**    | n = 54             | n = 50                |
| Bevacizumab                 | 24 (44)            | 19 (38)               |
| EGFR antibodies             | 13 (24)            | 11 (22)               |
| Irinotecan                  | 31 (57)            | 30 (60)               |
| Oxaliplatin                 | 8 (15)             | 4 (8)                 |
| 5-FU or capecitabine or S-1 | 26 (48)            | 25 (50)               |
| TAS-102                     | 7 (13)             | 6 (12)                |
| Regorafenib                 | 5 (9)              | 4 (8)                 |

**NOTE.** Data are n (%).

**Abbreviations:** 5-FU, fluorouracil; EGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; PTR, primary tumor resection.