Relation of skinfold thickness and visceral fat with the endothelial function in Mexican postmenopausal women
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Abstract

Objective: To determine the relationship between skinfolds and visceral fat with vascular reactivity according to body weight, fat distribution and time since menopause in Mexican postmenopausal women.

Material and methods: In postmenopausal women, tricipital, suprailiac and subscapular skinfolds as well as blood pressure were measured, and body mass index (BMI) and waist-hip ratio (WHR) were calculated. Brachial artery Doppler ultrasound at baseline and after the hyperemic stimulus was done and Doppler parameters were assessed. For statistical analysis, Pearson and Spearman correlation analysis, as well as Student t were used.

Results: Sixty-six postmenopausal women were studied; age was 54.5 ± 7.4 years. Skinfold thickness was related with subcutaneous and visceral fat. In all groups, the arterial diameter increased after the hyperemic stimulus among 6.5% and 9% of women. The pulsatility index decreased in the whole group and in those with BMI ≤ 27, WHR ≤ 0.85 and time since menopause ≤ 10 years. Negative correlations were observed between the percentage of change in arterial dilatation and the subscapular skinfold and subcutaneous fat in the whole group and in the subgroups with BMI > 27 and WHR > 0.85.

Conclusions: Skinfolds are indirectly related with visceral fat, and skinfold thickness permit to conclude about impact in endothelial environment.
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Introduction

Cardiovascular disease is an important cause of mortality in postmenopausal women [1]. In Mexico, there is a high frequency of metabolic syndrome in postmenopausal women [2, 3] which has a narrow relationship with cardiovascular disease [4]. The increase in visceral fat (VF) is an important component of metabolic syndrome [5], which is associated with lipid and insulin sensitivity disorders [6-8]. Visceral fat has been measured using different technologies such as computed tomography or ultrasound [9].

Skinfold thickness (ST) has been correlated with disorders in lipids levels and blood pressure [10]. Skinfold thickness and waist-hip ratio (WHR) allow to determine the central location of corporal fat, whose increase is related to the cardiovascular risk [11], and ST positively correlates with the appearance of hypertension [12, 13].

Due to the loss of nitric oxide (NO) endothelial activity [14, 15] endothelial dysfunction can precede the cardiovascular illness for several years [16]. Brachial artery Doppler ultrasound is a non-invasive method for endothelial function evaluation [17]. Flow mediated dilatation (FMD) in peripheral arteries is related with that of coronary arteries [18, 19] and the resistive index (RI) can help to predict morbidity and mortality due to cardiovascular disease [20, 21].

In adolescents, a negative correlation of ST and body mass index (BMI) with the arterial dilatation after hyperemic stimulus has been found [22]. A study in postmenopausal women found that VF was inversely related with flux mediated vasoactivity [23]. However, this sort of studies does not exist in Mexican postmenopausal woman. Also it is not known how ST and body fat distribution are related in this group of women.

That is why the objectives of the present study were to determine the relationship of several skinfolds and visceral fat with the vascular reactivity and to detect the relation between ST and WHR in Mexican postmenopausal women according to body weight, fat distribution and time since menopause.

Material and methods

Postmenopausal women that attended the external consultation of the gynecologic endocrinology service were studied. They were questioned about age (years), age at menopause (years), time since menopause (years) and use of medications. Weight (kg), height (m),
waist and hip perimeter (cm), ST (tricipital, subscapular and suprailiac, in mm) and blood pressure (mmHg) were measured. The body mass index (weight/height$^2$) and WHR (waist perimeter/hip perimeter) were calculated.

For tricipital, subscapular and suprailiac ST measurement, a Lange type caliper and a technique already described [24] were used.

Afterwards, all women had a Doppler ultrasound of the brachial artery done with a Voluson 730 Pro equipment (General Electric Healthcare, Austria GmbH) with a 7.5 MHz probe. The arterial diameter (AD) was measured and the pulsatility index (PI) and RI were calculated. For this, the transducer was placed perpendicularly in the distal third of the brachial artery and the internal diameter was measured, when the double line pattern was clearly seen. Pulsatility index was calculated as follows: maximal systolic speed minus minimal diastolic speed divided by the average speed during the complete cycle, and RI: maximal systolic speed minus final diastolic speed divided by maximal systolic speed. The hyperemic stimulus was induced placing the sphygmomanometer cuff on the right arm and inflating it 50 mmHg beyond the maximal systolic pressure for five minutes. Later on, the cuff was deflated and withdrawn, 60 seconds later AD, PI and RI were measured again [25-27].

Finally, subcutaneous and visceral (intrabdominal) fat were measured with an abdominal ultrasound with a 3.5-MHz transducer, which was placed in the half line, 1 cm below the navel and subcutaneous fat (SF) was considered as the distance between the skin and the external face of the right abdominal (cm) muscle and VF as the distance between the internal face of the right abdominal muscle and the anterior aorta wall [28].

For statistical analysis, descriptive statistics were used; Pearson correlation analysis was carried out for BMI, WHR, tricipital, suprailiac and subscapular skinfolds, with baseline and post hyperemic stimulus AD, PI, and RI, SF and VF. For the correlation analysis of the percentages of change in AD, PI and RI, the Spearman’s Rho was used due to the sample distribution. For the comparison among the groups, among obese and not obese, between those with superior or inferior body fat distribution and among postmenopausal women with ≤10 years since menopause and with more than 10 years, Student’s t test for independent samples was used. For the comparison in each group of baseline and after hyperemic stimulus values, Student’s t test for related samples was used.

The protocol was approved by the Local Committee of Research and Ethics in Research with number R-2013-3606-23 and the patients signed the informed consent form.

## Results

Sixty-six postmenopausal women that attended to the Gynecologic Endocrinology consultation and did not take medications that can modify blood pressure were recruited.

In the whole group, the age was 54.5 ± 7.4 years, age at menopause 47.4 ± 3.9 years and time since menopause 7.1 ± 6.2 years. Systolic blood pressure was 109.1 ± 12.5 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure 71.4 ± 8.2 mmHg. Weight was 70.5 ± 66 kg, height 1.55 ± 0.06 m, BMI 29.5 ± 6.1, waist perimeter 91.8 ± 11.1 cm, hip perimeter 105.6 ± 10.3 cm, WHR 0.87 ± 0.05. Skinfold thickness measures were: tricipital 23.1 ± 10.3 mm, suprailiac 22.7 ± 10.8 mm and subscapular 22.5 ± 11.5 mm. Subcutaneous fat measured 2.92 ± 0.93 cm, VF 5.23 ± 1.86 cm and the SF/VF ratio 0.61 ± 0.21.

In the brachial artery measurements, those values prior to the hyperemic stimulus were AD 0.39 ± 0.12 cm, PI 5.27 ± 2.4, RI 1.04 ± 0.38; and those after the hyperemic stimulus, AD 0.46 ± 0.11 cm, PI 4.3 ± 2.18 and RI 0.95 ± 0.124, the percentage of change in AD was 7.0 (–52-29), the percentage of change in PI was 86.5 (–647.0-651.0) and the percentage of change in RI was 0 (–245.0-41.0).

In the correlation analysis, diastolic blood pressure correlated with WHR (0.306, p < 0.013), VF (0.275, p < 0.026) and between the SF/VF ratio (–0.26, p < 0.035) and among AD prior to the hyperemic stimulus and BMI (0.241, p < 0.05).

The age correlated with the percentage of change in PI (p = 0.312, p < 0.011) and the percentage of change in RI with the SF/VF ratio (p = 0.261, p < 0.034).

With regard to the skinfolds, the tricipital skinfold correlated with BMI, WHR, the subcutaneous fat and VF and AD prior to the hyperemic stimulus. A similar correlation was observed for the suprailiac skinfold, except for WHR. The subscapular skinfold correlated with the same parameters as the tricipital skinfold, but also with PI after the hyperemic stimulus and with the percentage of change in RI. Subcutaneous fat correlated with BMI, with VF and AD prior to the hyperemic stimulus, with the percentage of change in PI and the percentage of change in RI and negatively with the percentage of change in AD (Table I and II).

When dividing the group according to BMI, the measurements of the skinfolds, subcutaneous fat and VF were significantly greater in those with BMI > 27. In the other analyzed parameters, there were no statistically significant differences (Table III).

In the correlation analysis in the subgroup with BMI ≤27, it was positive among the tricipital skinfold and BMI, and subcutaneous fat and VF. The suprailiac skin-
### Tab. I. General data in the population divided according to body mass index (BMI), waist hip ratio (WHR) and time since menopause

| Factor                        | BMI ≤ 27 (n = 27) | BMI > 27 (n = 39) | WHR ≤ 0.85 (n = 22) | WHR > 0.85 (n = 44) | Time since menopause ≤ 10 years (n = 50) | Time since menopause > 10 years (n = 16) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Age (years)                   | 53.1 ± 8.3        | 55.5 ± 6.6        | 55.0 ± 7.2          | 54.2 ± 7.5          | 51.4 ± 4.8i                              | 64.0 ± 5.7i                              |
| Age at menopause (years)      | 45.8 ± 4.2a       | 48.4 ± 3.3a       | 47.1 ± 3.4          | 47.5 ± 4.1          | 47.3 ± 4.0                               | 47.7 ± 3.5                               |
| Time since menopause (years)  | 7.3 ± 7.0         | 7.0 ± 5.7         | 7.9 ± 6.8           | 6.7 ± 5.9           | 4.2 ± 2.8                                | 16.3 ± 4.7                               |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)| 109.5 ± 12.3      | 108.8 ± 12.8      | 107.5 ± 13.8        | 109.9 ± 11.9        | 110.0 ± 12.6                             | 106.2 ± 12.0                             |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)| 69.6 ± 8.1        | 72.6 ± 8.3        | 69.1 ± 8.8          | 72.5 ± 7.8          | 71.5 ± 8.2                               | 70.9 ± 8.6                               |
| Weight (kg)                   | 60.7 ± 4.1a       | 77.4 ± 10.7a      | 65.0 ± 7.8i         | 73.3 ± 12.7i        | 70.7 ± 12.4                              | 70.0 ± 10.5                              |
| Height (m)                    | 1.57 ± 0.05b      | 1.54 ± 0.06b      | 1.55 ± 0.05         | 1.55 ± 0.06         | 1.55 ± 0.05                              | 1.55 ± 0.06                              |
| BMI                           | 24.7 ± 1.53d      | 32.7 ± 4.0d       | 27.1 ± 4.3i         | 30.7 ± 5.1i         | 29.5 ± 5.1                               | 29.3 ± 5.2                               |
| Waist (cm)                    | 83.3 ± 5.8e       | 97.6 ± 10.1e      | 84.3 ± 6.7f         | 95.5 ± 11.0h        | 92.5 ± 11.4                              | 89.7 ± 10.2                              |
| Hip (cm)                      | 98.8 ± 6.2f       | 110.2 ± 10.0f     | 104.2 ± 8.8         | 106.3 ± 11.0        | 106.4 ± 10.2                             | 103.1 ± 10.4                             |
| WHR                           | 0.84 ± 0.05e      | 0.89 ± 0.05e      | 0.81 ± 0.03h        | 0.9 ± 0.04h         | 0.89 ± 0.05                              | 0.87 ± 0.07                              |

Results represent average ± standard deviation

BMI – body mass index, WHR – waist hip ratio

Comparison between columns

a = p < 0.001, b = p < 0.003, c = p < 0.001, d = p < 0.001, e = p < 0.003, f = p < 0.001, g = p < 0.006, h = p < 0.001

### Tab. II. Values of skinfolds, subcutaneous fat, visceral fat and Doppler parameters according to body mass index (BMI), waist hip ratio (WHR) and time since menopause

| Factor                        | BMI ≤ 27 (n = 27) | BMI > 27 (n = 39) | WHR ≤ 0.85 (n = 22) | WHR > 0.85 (n = 44) | Time since menopause ≤ 10 years (n = 50) | Time since menopause > 10 years (n = 16) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Tricipital (mm)               | 17.2 ± 6.8a       | 27.1 ± 10.4a      | 19.4 ± 10.4a        | 24.9 ± 9.9h         | 22.6 ± 10.2                             | 24.7 ± 10.8                              |
| Suprailiac (mm)               | 15.0 ± 6.1a       | 28.1 ± 10.1a      | 19.8 ± 10.6         | 24.2 ± 10.7         | 22.7 ± 10.3                             | 22.7 ± 12.5                              |
| Subscapular (mm)              | 15.6 ± 5.8a       | 27.2 ± 12.1a      | 17.1 ± 10.0a        | 25.2 ± 11.3c        | 22.0 ± 10.2                             | 24.1 ± 15.0                              |
| Subcutaneous fat (cm)         | 2.44 ± 0.84a      | 3.26 ± 0.85a      | 2.71 ± 4.3i         | 3.07 ± 5.1e         | 2.95 ± 5.1                              | 2.93 ± 5.2                               |
| Visceral fat (cm)             | 3.96 ± 1.0a       | 6.1 ± 1.8a        | 4.64 ± 2.0          | 5.5 ± 1.7           | 5.22 ± 1.9                              | 5.2 ± 1.8                                |
| Subcutaneous fat/Visceral fat ratio | 0.65 ± 0.24  | 0.58 ± 0.18       | 0.62 ± 0.20         | 0.6 ± 0.21          | 0.6 ± 0.2                               | 0.63 ± 0.2                               |
| PI Pre                        | 5.9 ± 2.0         | 4.8 ± 2.6         | 5.9 ± 2.2           | 5.0 ± 2.5           | 5.4 ± 2.3                               | 5.0 ± 2.6                                |
| RI Pre                        | 1.01 ± 0.82       | 1.1 ± 0.49        | 1.02 ± 0.82         | 1.05 ± 0.46         | 1.06 ± 0.43                             | 0.96 ± 0.07                              |
| Arterial diameter Pre (cm)    | 0.36 ± 0.09       | 0.41 ± 0.14       | 0.36 ± 0.09         | 0.40 ± 0.13         | 0.38 ± 0.11                             | 0.42 ± 0.14                              |
| PI Post                       | 4.2 ± 2.0         | 4.4 ± 2.3         | 4.3 ± 2.2           | 4.3 ± 2.2           | 4.3 ± 2.4                               | 4.4 ± 1.5                                |
| RI Post                       | 0.96 ± 0.14       | 0.95 ± 0.11       | 0.96 ± 0.12         | 0.95 ± 0.13         | 0.94 ± 0.13                             | 0.99 ± 0.09                              |
| Arterial diameter Post (cm)   | 0.44 ± 0.13       | 0.48 ± 0.1        | 0.45 ± 0.12         | 0.47 ± 0.11         | 0.45 ± 0.11                             | 0.5 ± 0.12                               |
| % Change in PI                | 0.0 (–245–72)     | 0.0 (–634–524)    | 0.0 (–647–451)      | 0.0 (–647–363)      | 0.0 (–634–286)                         | 0.0 (–634–286)                          |
| % Change in RI                | 0.0 (–7–22)       | 0.0 (–7–22)       | 0.0 (–7–22)         | 0.0 (–7–22)         | 0.0 (–7–22)                             | 0.0 (–7–22)                             |

Results represent average ± standard deviation, the percentage of change and are expressed in median (minimum and maximum)

PI – Pulsatility index, RI – resistive index, Pre – pre hyperemic stimulus, Post – post hyperemic stimulus, % – percentage

Comparison between columns

a = p < 0.001, b = p < 0.039, c = 0.006
The subscapular skinfold correlated with BMI and VF. The subscapular skinfold correlated with BMI and SF.

The percentage of change in PI correlated with the age ($\rho = 0.669$, $p < 0.001$) and with the time since menopause ($\rho = 0.463$, $p < 0.015$). The percentage of change in RI correlated with the SF/VF ratio ($\rho = 0.361$, $p = 0.064$).

In the subgroup with BMI $> 27$, systolic blood pressure correlated with the age ($-0.347$, $p < 0.03$) as well as each of skinfolds with BMI, SF and VF and AD prior to the hyperemic stimulus (Table IV). Subcutaneous fat correlated with BMI and AD prior to the hyperemic stimulus; also with the percentage of change in PI, the percentage of change in RI and negatively with the percentage of change in the arterial dilatation and VF with BMI and SF. The subscapular skinfold correlated with the percentage of change in AD (Table IV).

When dividing the group according to WHR, it was found that the tricipital skinfold, the subscapular skinfold and SF were significantly greater in the group with

### Tab. III. Significant correlations in the whole group

| Factor                        | Tricipital | Suprailliac | Subscapular | Subcutaneous fat | Visceral fat |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| BMI                           | 0.707, 0.001 | 0.795, 0.001 | 0.733, 0.001 | 0.534, 0.001     | 0.735, 0.001 |
| WHR                           | 0.323, 0.001 | 0.294, 0.017 |             | 0.313, 0.010     |              |
| Subcutaneous fat              | 0.518, 0.001 | 0.545, 0.001 | 0.645, 0.001 | 0.461, 0.001     |              |
| Visceral fat                  | 0.590, 0.001 | 0.679, 0.001 | 0.582, 0.001 | 0.461, 0.001     |              |
| Subcutaneous fat/Visceral fat ratio | 0.343, 0.005 |             |              | –0.586, 0.001    |              |
| Arterial diameter Pre         | 0.311, 0.011 | 0.303, 0.013 | 0.374, 0.002 | 0.319, 0.009     |              |
| PI Post                       |             |             | 0.256, 0.038 | 0.254, 0.039     | 0.274, 0.026 |
| % Change in the arterial diameter |             |             | –0.255, 0.039 |              |              |
| % Change in PI                |             |             |              | 0.268, 0.029     | 0.355, 0.003 |
| % Change in RI                |             |             |              |                  |              |

Results represent Pearson correlation coefficient and only % of change represents Spearman’s $\rho$ and $p$ value.

BMI – body mass index, WHR – waist hip ratio, PI – Pulsatility index, RI – resistive index, Pre – pre hyperemic stimulus, Post – post hyperemic stimulus, % – percentage

### Tab. IV. Significant correlations in the group with body mass index (BMI) ≤ 27 and BMI > 27

| Factor                        | Tricipital | Suprailliac | Subscapular | Subcutaneous fat | Visceral fat |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|
| BMI ≤ 27                      | 0.459 (0.016) | 0.648 (0.001) | 0.526 (0.005) | 0.440 (0.022)     | 0.657 (0.001) |
| BMI > 27                      | 0.526 (0.005) | 0.681 (0.001) | 0.440 (0.022) | 0.657 (0.001)     | 0.432 (0.006) |
| BMI ≤ 27                      | 0.415 (0.031) | 0.396 (0.013) | 0.434 (0.006) | 0.410 (0.034)     | 0.633 (0.001) |
| BMI > 27                      | 0.396 (0.013) | 0.434 (0.006) | 0.410 (0.034) | 0.633 (0.001)     | 0.374 (0.019) |
| BMI ≤ 27                      | 0.428 (0.026) | 0.446 (0.004) | 0.398 (0.040) | 0.512 (0.001)     | 0.374 (0.019) |
| BMI > 27                      | 0.398 (0.040) | 0.540 (0.001) | 0.512 (0.001) | 0.374 (0.019)     |              |
| Subcutaneous fat/Visceral fat ratio | 0.459 (0.016) | 0.722 (0.001) | –0.526 (0.005) | –0.721 (0.0019)   |              |
| Arterial diameter Pre         | 0.356 (0.026) | 0.393 (0.013) | 0.495 (0.001) | 0.494 (0.001)     |              |
| PI Post                       | 0.327 (0.042) |              |              |                  |              |
| % Change in the arterial diameter | –0.378 (0.018) |             | –0.426 (0.007) |              |              |
| % Change in PI                | 0.390 (0.014) |              |              |                  |              |
| % Change in RI                | 0.393 (0.0139) |              |              |                  |              |

Results represent Pearson correlation coefficient and only % of change represents Spearman’s $\rho$ and $p$ value in parenthesis.

BMI – body mass index, PI – Pulsatility index, RI – resistive index, Pre – pre hyperemic stimulus, Post – post hyperemic stimulus, % – percentage
WHR > 0.85 (Table III). In the correlation analysis in the subgroup with WHR ≤ 0.85, there was a correlation between diastolic blood pressure and WHR (−0.527, p < 0.012) as well as between each of the skinfolds and BMI, the subcutaneous fat and VF. The percentage of change in PI correlated negatively with the diastolic blood pressure (p = 0.498, p < 0.018) and negatively with diastolic blood pressure (p = −0.568, p < 0.006). The percentage of change in AD correlated negatively with the tricipital skinfold (p = 0.507, p < 0.007) and negatively with SF (p = 0.450, p < 0.036) and SF and VF (Table V).

In the subgroup with WHR > 0.85, the diastolic blood pressure correlated with WHR (0.293, p = 0.054), with VF (0.299, p = 0.049) and with the SF/VF ratio (−0.357, p = 0.017). Also, ST correlated with BMI, SF, VF and AD prior to the hyperemic stimulus. Subcutaneous fat correlated with BMI and WHR and the percentage of change in PI correlated with BMI (p = 0.316, p < 0.037), the suprailiac skinfold and the subcutaneous fat and VF. The percentage of change in PI correlated with the tricipital skinfold (p = 0.450, p < 0.036) and SF (p = 0.439, p < 0.041) (Table V).

When dividing the groups according to the time since menopause of ≤ 10 years or > 10 years, it was found in the former group that the diastolic blood pressure correlated with WHR (0.289, p < 0.042), VF (0.322, p < 0.022), the SF/VF ratio (−0.355, p < 0.011) and BMI (0.300, p < 0.034). Body mass index correlated with each of the skinfolds and the two types of fat. So did WHR with exception of the suprailiac skinfold. Subcutaneous fat and VF correlated with each of the three skinfolds.

The percentage of change in PI correlated with the suprailiac skinfold; the percentage of change in RI correlated with SF and this correlated negatively with the percentage of change in AD (Table VI).

In the group with more than 10 years since menopause, BMI correlated with each of the three skinfolds and with two types of fat. Also, each of the skinfolds correlated with two types of fat, and the subscapular skinfold correlated with AD prior to the hyperemic stimulus (Table VI). Body mass index correlated with the percentage change in RI (p = 0.507, p < 0.045) and with the tricipital and subscapular skinfolds and SF (Table VI). The percentage of change in AD correlated negatively with the age (p = −0.648, p < 0.007).

When comparing pre and post hyperemic stimulus measurements, in the whole group, a significant decrease in PI (5.3 ± 2.4 vs. 4.3 ± 2.2, p < 0.012) and a significant increase in AD (0.39 ± 0.12 cm vs. 0.46 ± 0.11 cm, p < 0.001) were found.

When dividing according to BMI, in those with BMI ≤ 27 there was a significant decrease in PI (5.9 ± 2.0 vs. 4.2 ± 2.0, p < 0.002) and a significant increase in AD (0.36 ± 0.09 cm vs. 0.44 ± 0.13 cm, p < 0.001). In those with BMI > 27, only a significant increase in AD (0.41 ± 0.14 cm vs. 0.48 ± 0.1 cm, p < 0.006) was found.

When dividing according to WKR, in those with WHR ≤ 0.85, there was a significant decrease in PI (5.9 ± 2.4 vs. 5.3 ± 2.4, p < 0.002) and a significant increase in AD (0.41 ± 0.12 cm vs. 0.44 ± 0.11 cm, p < 0.001) were found.

---

**Tab. V.** Significant correlations in the group with the waist hip ratio (WHR) ≤ 0.85 and > 0.85

| Factor                        | Tricipital | Suprailiac | Subscapular | Subcutaneous fat | Visceral fat |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| WHR ≤ 0.85                   | WHR > 0.85 | WHR > 0.85 | WHR > 0.85  | WHR > 0.85       | WHR > 0.85  |
| BMI                           | 0.675      | 0.692      | 0.877       | 0.758            | 0.695       |
|                               | (0.001)    | (0.001)    | (0.001)     | (0.001)          | (0.001)     |
| WHR                           |            |            |             |                  |             |
| Subcutaneous fat              | 0.726      | 0.416      | 0.663       | 0.489            | 0.688       |
|                               | (0.001)    | (0.005)    | (0.001)     | (0.001)          | (0.001)     |
| Visceral fat                  | 0.614      | 0.537      | 0.705       | 0.646            | 0.545       |
|                               | (0.001)    | (0.001)    | (0.001)     | (0.001)          | (0.001)     |
| Subcutaneous fat/ Visceral fat|            |            |             |                  | −0.676      |
| Arterial diameter Pre         | 0.448      | 0.503      | 0.570       | 0.459            | −0.545      |
|                               | (0.002)    | (0.001)    | (0.001)     | (0.001)          | (0.001)     |
| % Change in arterial diameter | −0.316     | −0.395     | 0.382       | −0.332           |            |
|                               | (0.037)    | (0.008)    | (0.011)     | (0.028)          |            |
| % Change in PI                | 0.391      | 0.394      | 0.297       |                  |            |
|                               | (0.009)    | (0.008)    | (0.05)      |                  |            |
| % Change in RI                | 0.450      | 0.439      | 0.308       |                  |            |
|                               | (0.036)    | (0.041)    | (0.042)     |                  |            |

Results represent Pearson correlation coefficient and only % of change represents Spearman’s ρ. p value in parenthesis.

BMI – body mass index, WHR – waist hip ratio, PI – pulsatility index, RI – resistive index, Pre – pre hyperemic stimulus, % – percentage.
Tab. VI. Significant correlations in the group with time since menopause ≤ 10 years and > 10 years

| Factor                   | Tricipital ≤ 10 years | Tricipital > 10 years | Suprailliac ≤ 10 years | Suprailliac > 10 years | Subscapular ≤ 10 years | Subscapular > 10 years | Subcutaneous fat ≤ 10 years | Subcutaneous fat > 10 years | Visceral fat ≤ 10 years | Visceral fat > 10 years |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| BMI                      | 0.694 (0.001)         | 0.769 (0.001)         | 0.783 (0.001)           | 0.835 (0.001)           | 0.717 (0.001)          | 0.815 (0.001)          | 0.464 (0.001)                | 0.771 (0.001)                | 0.734 (0.001)              | 0.738 (0.001)              |
| WHR                      | 0.317 (0.025)         |                       | 0.420 (0.002)           |                         | 0.301 (0.033)          |                       | 0.366 (0.009)               |                             |                         |                       |
| Subcutaneous fat          | 0.513 (0.001)         | 0.512 (0.043)         | 0.485 (0.001)           | 0.708 (0.002)           | 0.600 (0.001)          | 0.734 (0.001)          | 0.434 (0.002)                | 0.583 (0.018)                |                         |                       |
| Visceral fat              | 0.591 (0.001)         | 0.607 (0.013)         | 0.661 (0.001)           | 0.745 (0.001)           | 0.587 (0.001)          | 0.622 (0.010)          | 0.434 (0.002)                | 0.583 (0.018)                |                         |                       |
| Subcutaneous fat/ Visceral fat ratio |          |                       |                         |                         |                       |                       |                             |                             |                         |                       |
| Arterial diameter Pre     |                       |                       |                         |                         |                       | 0.512 (0.043)          |                             |                             |                         |                       |
| % Change in the arterial diameter |                       |                       |                         |                         |                       | -0.284 (0.046)         |                             |                             |                         |                       |
| % Change in PI            | 0.317 (0.025)         |                       |                         |                         |                       |                       |                             |                             |                         |                       |
| % Change in RI            | 0.544 (0.030)         | 0.555 (0.026)         | 0.274 (0.054)           | 0.516 (0.041)           |                       |                       |                             |                             |                         |                       |

Results represent Pearson correlation coefficient and only % of change represents Spearman’s ρ, and p value in parenthesis

BMI – body mass index, WHR – waist hip ratio, PI – pulsatility index, RI – resistive index, Pre – pre hyperemic stimulus, % – percentage

2.2 vs. 4.3 ± 2.2, p < 0.028) and a significant increase in AD (0.36 ± 0.09 cm vs. 0.45 ± 0.12 cm, p < 0.001). In the group with WHR > 0.85, AD had a significant increase (0.40 ± 0.13 cm vs. 0.47 ± 0.11 cm, p < 0.004).

When dividing according to the time since menopause in those with ≤ 10 years and more than 10 years there was a significant decrease in PI in the first group (5.4 ± 2.3 vs. 4.3 ± 2.4, p < 0.025) and also a significant increase in AD (0.4 ± 0.11 cm vs. 0.44 ± 0.11 cm, p < 0.001). In the group with more than 10 years there was an increase in AD (0.42 ± 0.14 cm vs. 0.5 ± 0.12 cm, p < 0.008).

Discussion

It is known that obesity is associated with a greater cardiovascular risk [4]. In this study, several parameters related to the cardiovascular risk were analyzed finding that WHR correlated with the diastolic blood pressure, which has already been reported [10, 13]. It was also found that the skinfolds had a relationship with SF and VF, which has already been described [11]. Another study has reported a positive correlation of BMI, tricipital and subscapular ST with systolic blood pressure and triglyceride level [29].

With regard to the Doppler parameters, either in the whole group or in the subgroups, there was an increase in AD after the hyperemic stimulus among 6.5% and 9%, which is greater than that reported by another group [16] and indicates a healthy endothelium. However, there was only a decrease in PI in the whole group and in those with BMI ≤ 27, WHR ≤ 0.85 and time since menopause ≤ 10 years, which represents that these low-risk groups have a better vascular reactivity. Low weight and lower body fat distribution have been related to a lower cardiovascular risk, and those with ≤ 10 years since menopause have been reported to have healthy endothelium [30]. Skinfold thickness showed a relationship with the percentage of change in PI and RI, and the subscapular skinfold is the most consistent in the whole group and in the subgroups, which makes it possible to detect the population at risk.

It is worth mentioning that the only negative correlations were observed between the percentage of change in PI and AD, and the subscapular ST and SF in the whole group, and in groups with BMI > 27, and WHR > 0.85, which means that the obesity and VF have an unfavorable effect in the endothelial environment [23].

With regard to the skinfolds, they had a negative correlation with AD in the group with BMI > 27 (subscapular skinfold) and WHR > 0.85 (tricipital and suprailliac skinfold), which allows to conclude that the greater ST the greater endothelial compromise.

In those with ≤ 10 years since menopause, there was a negative correlation between SF and the percentage of change in AD, while in the group with more than 10 years, the tricipital and subscapular skinfolds and SF correlated positively with the percentage of change in RI, which again indicates a greater cardiovascular risk.

In those with more than 10 years since menopause, no significant changes were found in PI and in AD, which makes it possible to suspect that at 10 years since menopause, the endothelium is already affected [31].
However, this lack of response can be related either to late postmenopausal status or to age [32].

So it can be concluded that the ST measurement is related to VF and is another way for its evaluation. It can be inferred that greater ST is associated with increased endothelial environment compromise.
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