Switching and defect dynamics in multistable liquid crystal devices
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We investigate the switching dynamics of multistable nematic liquid crystal devices. In particular we identify a remarkably simple 2-dimensional (2D) device which exploits hybrid alignment at the surfaces to yield a bistable response. We also consider a 3-dimensional (3D) bistable nematic device with patterned anchoring, recently implemented in practice, and discuss how the director and disclination patterns change during switching.

Liquid crystals (LCs) are interesting soft materials with widespread technological applications, for instance liquid crystal displays (LCDs). The archetype LCD device is the "twisted nematic display", commonly employed in flat panel monitors. This device is easy to build in practice, but its functioning is not optimal. Firstly, it requires the constant application of an electric field for the whole time the device is to be in the "on" state. Secondly, standard twisted nematic LCD devices suffer from the so-called "viewing angle problem", which refers to the limited range of angles from which the display is readable. Both these shortcomings can be avoided at least conceptually. On one hand, the viewing angle range can be dramatically enhanced by building a "multidomain" device, with the LC anchoring patterned on the device surface: this leads to the simultaneous presence of regions of left and right-handed twist, whose viewing angle characteristics differ and complement each other. Modelling plays an important role in optimising the delicate balance between the gain in viewing angle range and the loss in resolution due to the creation of defects between regions with different director orientation. On the other hand, bistable or multistable devices can retain memory of two or more distinct states, even after the electric field is switched off. These solved the problem of having to constantly use a large field to keep the device in the "on" state. Multistable devices are also interesting theoretically as they require the presence of a series of metastable states, with comparable free energy yet different defect topology and optical properties. How to realise this in practice is usually non-trivial. Two well known multidomain devices proposed in the physical literature are the zenithal-bistable device, and the tri-stable device experimentally demonstrated in. In both cases, defect dynamics is likely to be important.

Here we describe the physics behind the switching dynamics of two multidomain and multidomain nematic devices. Our main result is that it is possible to design a remarkably simple 2-domain hybridly aligned nematic (HAN) cell (Fig. 1a). For a wide range of parameters we find two metastable states with competing free energy: one in which the LC pattern is made up by stripes with alternating splay-bend direction with defects in between, and another one in which the device becomes effectively a single domain with either clockwise or anticlockwise deformation. The dynamical schedule with which the electric field is switched on and off is crucial to ensure bistability. We also investigate a 3D tristable device similar to the one built in (Fig. 1b), and our results suggest that the disclination dynamics involved in the switching is strikingly non-trivial. Finally, we quantify the effect of backflow in the switching of the first of these devices and we show that it can change the range of voltages for which multistability occurs.

The physics of the LC device may be described by a Landau-de Gennes free energy dependent on a tensor order parameter, Qαβ, whose largest eigenvalue (denoted by 2/3ϕ) gives the magnitude of the local order. The free energy density f is a sum of three terms. The first is a bulk contribution,

\[ \frac{A_0}{2}(1 - \frac{2}{3} \epsilon_0^2)Q_{αβ}^2 - \frac{A_0}{3}Q_{αβ}Q_{βγ}Q_{γα} + \frac{A_0}{4}(Q_{αβ})^2, \]

where A0 is a constant and γ controls the magnitude of the ordering (Greek indices denote Cartesian components and summation over repeated indices is implied). A second term K/2 (∂tQαβ)2 describes the free energy cost of distortions where K is an elastic constant. The last term, −εαβγδEαEβEγEδ, describes the interaction with the external electric field E where εα > 0 is the dielectric anisotropy of the material. The equation of motion for Q is DtQ = ΓH, where Γ is a collective rotational diffusion constant and D is the material derivative for rod-like molecules. The molecular field H ensures that Q evolves towards a minimum of the free energy. The velocity field obeys a Navier-Stokes equation with a stress tensor generalised to describe LC hydrodynamics. To solve the equations of motion, we used a hybrid lattice Boltzmann algorithm, see e.g. [12]. In what follows we express parameters in simulation units. To convert these to real units, one may assume to model a ~ µm thick device and a flow-aligning LC with rotational viscosity equal to 1 poise – a typical value for e.g. 5CB. In this way, one force, time, and space unit may be mapped to 62.5 pN, 0.7 µs, and 0.025 µm respectively. The electric field may be quantified via the dimensionless number ε2 = 27ε0E2/(32πA0γ). The simulation domains along the three coordinate axes are named Lx, Ly, and Lz respectively (there are periodic boundaries along z and y).

We begin by considering the two-domain device shown in Fig. 1a, with mixed anchoring, homeotropic — along z — at the top (z = L) and homogeneous — along y — at the bottom (z = 0) of the cell. A small pretilt is patterned to create a defect in the middle of the cell, where a region
of clockwise splay-bend director rotation meets a mirror image with anticlockwise deformation. We call this zero-field free energy minimum the “two-domain” state. The geometry of this device is 2D, as there is translational invariance along $\hat{x}$. This device is a simple variant of the commonly used HAN cell, and it may be built by either chemical or topographical patterning. Our device contains coexisting homeotropic and homogeneous domains, which might lead to a switching in either direction, through the growth of one or the other domain: hence bistability is possible. Fig. 2a-c shows the evolution of the director field in response to an electric field which is switched on and off first along $\hat{y}$, then along $\hat{z}$. After application of the field along $\hat{y}$, the disclinations separating neighbouring clockwise and anticlockwise domains annihilate and transform the two-domain device into a single domain one. This defect-free state is stable after the removal of the field, as the pretilt is not enough to bend the director field in the bulk. On the other hand, when a sufficiently strong field is applied along $\hat{z}$, defects reappear close to the surface, between regions with different pretilt. After switching off, elasticity alone is not enough to melt these defects away – rather they migrate back to the centre of the sample and reconstitute the initial two-domain structure. The time evolution of the free energy during this electric field cycle is shown in Fig. 2 (top panel, top set of curves) – this shows that both the single domain and the two-domain states are metastable and close in free energy, the main requirement for a bistable device.

Changing the device size and the elastic constant affects both the switching on and off times and the bistability. E.g., relaxation times after switching off of the horizontal electric field, (see caption Fig. 2), scale like $L_z^2/K\Gamma$ (data not shown), as for common nematic devices. The device is bistable only for small size (e.g. $L_z < 60$ for $K = 0.08$ and other parameters as in the caption of Fig. 2) – for larger devices the application of a field perpendicular to the boundary fails to turn the single domain state back into the two-domain one. Furthermore, bistability occurs for intermediate values of $K$ – between about 0.06 and 0.09 for $L_z = 50$, and other parameters as in Fig. 2 (Fig. 2, curves for $K = 0.04$ with only one stable state). This is because when $K$ is too small, there is not enough elastic driving force to lead to defect annihilation during the first half of the voltage cycle, whereas when $K$ is too large the single domain state becomes too low in free energy with respect to the two-domain one, and the dynamics always gets stuck there.

Backflow quantitatively affects the extent of the bistable regime. When it is neglected, the electric field range for which bistability is observed is overestimated. E.g., with no backflow, $K = 0.08$ and other parameters as in Fig. 2, bistability requires $e^2 > 0.03$, whereas with backflow $e^2 > 0.05$ (filled squares in Fig. 2, top). This is because hydrodynamics decreases the chance of getting stuck into the two competing metastable states with
FIG. 3. Evolution of the director field in the midplane (left column) and disclinations (right column) in the 3D tristable device. Snapshots correspond to time equal to (a) 100, (b) 3700, (c) 4600 and (d) 6600 simulation units. Parameters are: $L_x = 90$, $L_y = 52$, $L_z = 40$, $K = 0.08$, $e^2 = 0.0018$, and other parameters as in Fig. 2. The field is applied at $t = 0$ and at an angle of 60° with respect to $\hat{x}$.

marks the point at which six different domains meet, unzips into a loop of half-integer topological charge. This dynamics is linked to a complex reshaping of the director field in the bulk (see Figs. 3b and 3c). Later on, the disclinations loop merge and reconstruct into an array of disclinations, which are now parallel to the boundary planes and pinned to the surface (Fig. 3d). This electric-field induced state is stabilised by the bulk elastic term even after switching off. Two important control parameters determining the physics of our tristable device are the ratio between domain and sample size, $d/L$, and the “interface number” $d/\sqrt{A_0}/K$ proportional to the ratio between the domain and defect core size. Briefly, additional simulations show that, if the sample is too thin, boundaries always restore the same state after switching off the inplane field, and multistability is lost. On the other hand, increasing the “interface number” would stabilise a disclination network in the bulk of the device – however this would only become relevant for $d$ in the sub-$\mu$m range, difficult to reach in practice.

Thus, we studied the switching dynamics of multistable nematic LCs. These are important for applications, as they enable a device to function without the constant application of an electric field. We have shown that a 2D HAN cell, with homeotropic anchoring at one boundary and planar patterned surface anchoring at the other boundary provides a strikingly simple example of a bistable device. The simplicity of the geometry considered and of the electric field cycle should render this device easy to be built. We also elucidated the role of defect dynamics in the switching of a tristable nematic device akin to that of the spectacular defect restructuring in Fig. 3 should leave an observable signature in the optical patterns which can be recorded experimentally.
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