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Abstract In this paper, we prove some common fixed point results for two mappings satisfying contraction conditions in complete $b$-metric spaces. Meanwhile, two examples are presented to support our results.
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1. Introduction

In 1922, Banach [1] proved the Banach contraction principle. Since then, several works have been done about fixed point theory regarding different classes of contractive conditions in some spaces such as: quasi-metric spaces [2,3], cone metric spaces [4,5], partially order metric spaces [6,7,8], G-metric spaces [9]. The concept of $b$-metric space was introduced by Czerwik in [10]. After that, several papers have been published on the fixed point theory of various classes of single-valued and multi-valued operators in $b$-metric spaces (see [2,11,12]). Aydi et al. in [13] proved common fixed point results for single-valued and multi-valued mappings satisfying a weak $\phi$-contraction in $b$-metric spaces. Starting from the results of Berinde [14], Pacurar [15] proved the existence and uniqueness of fixed point of $\phi$-contractions on $b$-metric spaces. Using a contraction condition defined by means of a comparison function, [16] established results regarding the common fixed points of two mappings. Hussain and Shah in [17] introduced the notion of a cone $b$-metric spaces, generalizing both the notions of $b$-metric spaces and cone metric spaces, they considered topological properties of cone $b$-metric spaces and results on KKM mappings in the setting of cone $b$-metric spaces.

The aim of this paper is to consider and establish some common fixed point results for two mappings satisfying contraction conditions in complete $b$-metric spaces. Meanwhile, two examples are presented to support our results.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^+$ denote the sets of all real numbers and nonnegative numbers respectively. $N$ denotes the set of positive integers and $N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$. Suppose $\Phi = \{ \phi \mid \phi : (\mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \}$ is upper semicontinuous and nondecreasing in each coordinate variable satisfying condition $\phi(t,t,t,t,t,t) = \phi(t) < t$ and $\Psi = \{ \psi \mid \psi : (\mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \}$ is upper semicontinuous and nondecreasing in each coordinate variable satisfying condition $\psi(t,t,t,t,t,t) = \psi(t) < t$.

In order to obtain our main results, we need to introduce some definitions and lemmas.

Definition. Let $X$ be a nonempty set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. A function $d$ is called a $b$-metric with constant $s \geq 1$ if

1. $d(x,y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
2. $d(x,y) = d(y,x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
3. $d(x,y) \leq s(d(x,z) + d(y,z))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

The pair $(X,d)$ is called a $b$-metric space.

It is obvious a $b$-metric space with $s = 1$ is a metric space. There are examples of $b$-metric spaces which are not metric spaces. (see [18])

Definition. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a $b$-metric space $(X,d)$.

1. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called convergent if and only if there is $x \in X$ such that $d(x_n,x) \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow +\infty$;
2. $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $d(x_n,x_m) \rightarrow 0$ when $n,m \rightarrow +\infty$.

As usual, a $b$-metric space is said to be complete if and only if each Cauchy sequence in this space is convergent.

Lemma 2.1. [19] Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be nondecreasing and upper semicontinuous. Then for each $t > 0$, $\psi(t) < t$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(t) = 0$. 
3. Main Results

Now we are ready to prove our main results.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \((X,d)\) be a complete \(b\)-metric space with constant \(s \geq 1\). Suppose \(A, B : X \to X\) are two mappings and one of them is continuous. If there exists \(\phi \in \Phi\) such that

\[
s^4 d(Ax, By) \leq \phi \left( \frac{d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(x, y),}{2} \right)
\]

for all \(x, y \in X\), then \(A\) and \(B\) have a unique common fixed point \(x \in X\).

**Proof.** Let \(x_0 \in X\) be arbitrary. We define a sequence \(\{x_n\}\) as follows:

\[x_{n+1} = Ax_n, x_{n+2} = Bx_{n+1}, n \in N.\]

We now suppose that \(d(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0\) for every \(n\). If not, there exists some \(n \in N\) such that \(x_n = x_{n+1}\). If \(n = 2k\), then \(x_{2k} = x_{2k+1}\) and from the contraction condition (1) with \(x = x_{2k}\) and \(y = x_{2k+1}\), we have

\[
s^4 d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) = s^4 d(Ax_{2k}, Bx_{2k+1})
\]

\[
\leq \phi \left( \frac{d(Ax_{2k}, x_{2k}), d(By_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}),}{2} \right)
\]

\[
= \phi
\]

Suppose that \(d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) > d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) = 0\). It follows from the definition of \(\phi\) that

\[
s^4 d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \leq \phi
\]

which is a contradiction. Therefore, \(d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) = 0\). By the definition of the sequence \(\{x_n\}\), it means that \(x_{2k} = Ax_{2k} = Bx_{2k}\). That is, \(x_{2k}\) is a common fixed point of \(A\) and \(B\).

If \(n = 2k+1\), then using the same arguments in the case \(x_{2k} = x_{2k+1}\), it can be shown that \(x_{2k+1}\) is a common fixed point of \(A\) and \(B\).

From now on, we suppose that \(x_n \neq x_{n+1}\) for all \(n \in N\). Now we shall prove that

\[
s^4 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \phi(s^4 d(x_n, x_{n+1})), \text{ for each } n \in N. \quad (2)
\]

We consider two cases:

**Case 1:** \(n = 2k, k \in N\). From the contraction condition (1) with \(x = x_{2k}\) and \(y = x_{2k-1}\), we get

\[
s^4 d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k}) = s^4 d(Ax_{2k}, Bx_{2k-1})
\]

\[
\leq \phi
\]

\[
= \phi
\]

**Case 2:** \(n = 2k+1, k \in N\). From the contraction condition (1) with \(x = x_{2k+1}\) and \(y = x_{2k-1}\), we get

\[
s^4 d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) = s^4 d(Ax_{2k+1}, Bx_{2k-1})
\]

\[
\leq \phi
\]

\[
= \phi
\]

If \(d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k}) > d(x_{2k}, x_{2k-1})\), by virtue of the definition of \(\phi\), one can obtain
is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists so

\[
sd(x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k-1}) + sd(x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2n_k}),
\]

hence,

\[
\frac{\varepsilon}{s} \leq \limsup_{k \to +\infty} d(x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2n_k}).
\]

On the other hand, we get
\[
d(x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2n_k}) \leq sd(x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2m_k}) + sd(x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k}).
\]

It follows from (5) and (8) that
\[
\limsup_{k \to +\infty} d(x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2n_k}) \leq s \limsup_{k \to +\infty} d(x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k}) \leq s^2 \varepsilon.
\]

Consequently,

\[
\frac{s}{s^2} \leq \limsup_{k \to +\infty} d(x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2n_k}) \leq s^2 \varepsilon.
\]

Similarly, we deduce that

\[
\frac{s}{s^2} \leq \limsup_{k \to +\infty} d(x_{2n_k-1}, x_{2n_k+1}) \leq s^3 \varepsilon.
\]

Using the triangle inequality in b-metric space and contraction condition (1), we have
\[
d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2n_k+1})
\leq sd(x_{2n_k}, x_{2n_k+1}) + sd(x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+2})
\leq d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2n_k+1}) + d(x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+2})
\]

Similarly, we deduce that

\[
\frac{s}{s^2} \leq \limsup_{k \to +\infty} d(x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2n_k+1}) \leq s^3 \varepsilon.
\]

Using the triangle inequality in b-metric space and contraction condition (1), we have
\[
d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2n_k+1})
\]

and
\[
d(x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k}) \leq \varepsilon.
\]

Using the triangle inequality in b-metric space and (6), we have
\[
\varepsilon \leq d(x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k})
\]

Taking the upper limit as \( k \to +\infty \), one can obtain
\[
\varepsilon \leq \limsup_{k \to +\infty} d(x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k}) \leq \varepsilon s.
\]
In view of above inequality and (5), (9), (10), one can obtain that
\[ 
\varepsilon \leq \lim \sup_{k \to +\infty} d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k}) \\
\leq 0 + \frac{1}{s}\left(0, 0, \varepsilon s, 0, \varepsilon s\right) + \frac{\varepsilon s^2 + \varepsilon s}{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{s}\left(0, 0, \varepsilon s, 0, \varepsilon s\right) + \frac{\varepsilon s^3}{2} \\
= \frac{1}{s}\varepsilon s^3 \\
< \varepsilon.
\]

It is a contradiction and it follows that \{x_{2n}\} is a Cauchy sequence in \(X\). Since \(X\) is complete, there exists \(x^*\) such that
\[ 
\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} Ax_{2n} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} Bx_{2n+1} = x^*.
\]

Without loss of generality, we suppose \(A\) is continuous. It follows that
\[ 
x^* = \lim_{n \to +\infty} x_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} Ax_{2n} = A \lim_{n \to +\infty} x_{2n} = Ax^*.
\]

This implies that \(x^*\) is a fixed point of \(A\).

Next, we show that \(x^*\) is a fixed point of \(B\). In view of the contraction condition (1), we get that
\[
2^4 d(Bx^*, x^*) = 2^4 d(Ax^*, Ax^*) \\
\leq \phi(0, 0, d(x^*, x^*), d(x^*, x^*), d(x^*, x^*)) \\
= \phi(0, 0, 0, 0, 0).
\]

If suppose that \(d(Bx^*, x^*) > 0\), then we have
\[
2^4 d(Bx^*, x^*) = \phi(0, 0, 0, 0, 0) < d(Bx^*, x^*),
\]
a contradiction. It follows that \(d(Bx^*, x^*) = 0\). That is, \(x^*\) is also a fixed point of \(B\).

Assume that \(y^*\) is another common fixed point of \(A\) and \(B\), that is, \(d(x^*, y^*) > 0\). Then
\[
2^4 d(x^*, y^*) = 2^4 d(Ax^*, By^*) \\
\leq \phi(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\
= \phi(d(x^*, x^*)) < d(x^*, y^*),
\]

which is a contradiction. It follows that \(x^*\) is a unique common fixed point in \(X\). This completes the proof.

If \(A = B\) in Theorem 1, then we get that:

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \((X, d)\) be a complete \(b\)-metric space with constant \(s \geq 1\) and \(A : X \to X\) be a continuous mapping. If there exists \(\phi \in \Phi\) such that
\[
2^4 d(Ax, Ay) \\
\leq \phi\left(\phi(0, 0, d(x, y), d(x, y), d(x, y), d(x, y), d(x, y), d(x, y), d(x, y))\right) \\
\leq \phi(0, 0, d(x, y), d(x, y), d(x, y), d(x, y), d(x, y), d(x, y)) < d(x, y),
\]
for all \(x, y \in X\), then \(A\) has a unique fixed point \(x^* \in X\).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \((X, d)\) be a complete \(b\)-metric space with constant \(s \geq 1\). Suppose \(A\) and \(B : X \to X\) are two mappings and one of them is continuous. If there exists \(\psi \in \Psi\) such that
\[
2^6 d^2(Ax, By) \\
\leq \psi\left(\phi(d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(Ax, x), d(By, y))\right) \\
\leq \psi(d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d^2(Ax, x), d^2(By, y), d^2(x, y)) \\
\leq \psi(d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(Ax, x), d(By, y), d(Ax, x), d(By, y)) < d(Ax, x),
\]
for all \(x, y \in X\), then \(A\) and \(B\) have a unique common fixed point \(x^* \in X\).
Proof. Let \( x_0 \in X \) be arbitrary. We define a sequence \( \{x_n\} \) as follows:

\[
x_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} + x_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1}, \quad n \in N_0.
\]

We now suppose that \( d(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0 \) for every \( n \). Otherwise, there exists some \( n \) such that \( x_n = x_{n+1} \). If \( n = 2k \), from the contraction condition (11) with \( x = x_{2k} \) and \( y = x_{2k+1} \), one can obtain

\[
s^6 d^2(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) = s^6 d^2(Ax_{2k}, Bx_{2k+1})
\]

from the definition of the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) and the triangle inequality in \( b \)-metric space and contraction condition (11) ensure that

\[
d^2(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) = \psi d^2(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})
\]

We suppose that \( d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) > d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) = 0 \). By the definition of \( \psi \), we have

\[
s^6 d^2(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \leq \psi s^6 d^2(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) = \psi d^2(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})
\]

a contradiction. Hence, \( d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) = 0 \). It follows from the definition of the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) that

\[
x_{2k} = Ax_{2k} = Bx_{2k}.
\]

That is, \( x_{2k} \) is a common fixed point of \( A \) and \( B \).

Similarly, if \( n = 2k + 1 \), we can prove that \( x_{2k+1} \) is a common fixed point of \( A \) and \( B \).

From now on, we suppose that \( x_n \neq x_{n+1} \) for all \( n \in N_0 \). Using the similar argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1, one can deduce that

\[
d^2(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \psi d^2(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \quad \text{for each } n \in N \quad (12)
\]

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} \psi^t(t) = 0 \) for all \( t > 0 \), which implies that

\[
S \lim_{n \to +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (13)
\]

Next we prove that \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence. Obviously, it is sufficient to show that the subsequence \( \{x_{2n}\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( X \). As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain that inequalities (9),(10) hold, and

\[
\frac{e}{s} \leq \limsup_{k \to +\infty} d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1}) \leq s^2 e. \quad (14)
\]

The triangle inequality in \( b \)-metric space and contraction condition (11) ensure that

\[
d^2(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1}) \leq (sd(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1}))^2
\]

\[
= s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1}) + 2s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})d(x_{2nk+1}, x_{2nk})
\]

\[
+ s^2 d(Ax_{2nk}, Bx_{2nk-1})
\]

\[
\leq s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
+ 2s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{s^4} \psi d^2(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
\leq s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
+ 2s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})d(x_{2nk+1}, x_{2nk})
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{s^4} \psi d^2(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
\leq s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
+ 2s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})d(x_{2nk+1}, x_{2nk})
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{s^4} \psi d^2(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
\leq s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
+ 2s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})d(x_{2nk+1}, x_{2nk})
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{s^4} \psi d^2(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
\leq s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
+ 2s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})d(x_{2nk+1}, x_{2nk})
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{s^4} \psi d^2(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
\leq s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
+ 2s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})d(x_{2nk+1}, x_{2nk})
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{s^4} \psi d^2(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
\leq s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]

\[
+ 2s^2 d(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})d(x_{2nk+1}, x_{2nk})
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{s^4} \psi d^2(x_{2nk}, x_{2nk+1})
\]
In light of above inequality and (9), (10), (13) and (14), we have
\[
\varepsilon^2 \leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} d^2(x_{2m_k}, x_{2n_k}) \\
\leq 0 + \frac{1}{4} \psi(0,0,0,\varepsilon^2,0,\varepsilon^2,0,0,0,0,\varepsilon^2) \\
\leq \frac{1}{s^4} \psi\left(\varepsilon^2,\varepsilon^2,\varepsilon^2,\varepsilon^2,\varepsilon^2,\varepsilon^2,\varepsilon^2,\varepsilon^2,\varepsilon^2,\varepsilon^2\right) \\
= \frac{1}{s^4} \psi(\varepsilon^2) < \varepsilon^2.
\]

It is a contradiction. Hence, \(\{x_{2n}\}\) is a Cauchy sequence in \(X\). The completeness of \(X\) ensures that there exists \(x^*\) such that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Bx_{2n+1} = x^*.
\]
Without loss of generality, we suppose \(A\) is continuous. It follows that
\[
x^* = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Ax_{2n} = A \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{2n} = Ax^*.
\]
That is, \(x^*\) is a fixed point of \(A\).
Next, we shall prove that \(x^*\) is a fixed point of \(B\). By the contraction condition (11), we obtain that
\[
s^2 d^2(x^*, Bx^*) = s^2 d^2(Ax^*, Bx^*) \\
\leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{s^4} \psi(\varepsilon^2)\right)
\]
which is a contradiction. Hence, we deduce that \(x^*\) is also a fixed point of \(B\).
Suppose that \(x^*\) and \(y^*\) are different common fixed points of \(A\) and \(B\), then we obtain that
\[
s^2 d^2(x^*, y^*) = s^2 d^2(Ax^*, By^*) \\
\leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{s^4} \psi(\varepsilon^2)\right)
\]
a contradiction. Consequently, \(x^*\) is a unique common fixed point in \(X\). This completes the proof.

If \(A = B\) in Theorem 3, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \((X, d)\) be a complete \(b\)-metric space with constant \(s \geq 1\). Suppose \(A : X \to X\) be a continuous mapping. If there exists \(\psi \in \Psi\) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
\psi &\leq \frac{s^6 d^2(Ax, Ay)}{d(Ax, x) + d(Ay, y) + d(Ax, Ay)} \\
&\leq \psi\left(\frac{d(Ax, x) + d(Ay, y)}{2} + \frac{d(Ax, Ay)}{2}\right)
\end{align*}
\]
for all \(x, y \in X\), then \(A\) has a unique common fixed point \(x^* \in X\).

### 4. Examples

**Example 4.1.** Let \(X = [0, 1]\) endowed with the \(b\)-metric:
\[
d : X \times X \to [0, +\infty), d(x, y) = |x - y|^2
\]
with constant \(s = 2\). Consider mappings \(A, B : X \times X\) by \(Ax = \frac{x}{16}\) and \(Bx = \frac{x}{32}\). Define the mapping \(\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+) \to \mathbb{R}^+\) by
\[
\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) = \frac{7}{1} \sum_{i=1}^{7} \frac{x_i}{1 + x_i}.
\]
Clearly, \((X, d)\) is a complete \(b\)-metric space and \(A\) is continuous with respect to \(d\). So we verify the contraction condition (1).

By calculus, we have
\[
s^4 d(Ax, By) = 16 \left(\frac{x}{16} - \frac{y}{32}\right)^2 = \frac{x^2}{16} + \frac{y^2}{64} < \frac{225 x^2}{7} + \frac{961 y^2}{7} + \frac{2048}{7},
\]
which is a contradiction. Hence, we deduce that \(x^*\) is also a fixed point of \(B\).
Suppose that \(x^*\) and \(y^*\) are different common fixed points of \(A\) and \(B\), then we obtain that
\[
s^2 d^2(x^*, y^*) = s^2 d^2(Ax^*, By^*) \\
\leq \psi\left(\frac{1}{s^4} \psi(\varepsilon^2)\right)
\]
which is a contradiction. Therefore, we show that the contraction condition (1) is satisfied. It follows that we can apply Theorem 3.1 and \(A\) and \(B\) have a unique common fixed point \(x^* = 0\).

**Example 4.2.** Let \(X = [0, 1]\) endowed with the \(b\)-metric:
\[
d : X \times X \to [0, +\infty), d(x, y) = |x - y|^2
\]
with constant \(s = 2\). Define mappings \(A, B : X \times X\) by
Consider the mapping $\psi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^9 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9) = \frac{1}{9} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{9} \frac{x_i}{1 + x_i} \right).$$

It is easy to verify that $(X, d)$ is a complete $b$-metric space and $A$ is continuous with respect to $d$. By calculus, we obtain that

$$s^6 d^2(A, B) = 64 \left( \frac{1}{16} - \frac{9}{8} \right)^2 \leq 64 \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{256} \right)^2 \leq \frac{7x^4}{1356} + \frac{7y^4}{256} \leq \frac{1}{9} \frac{d^2(A, x) + d^2(B, y)}{1 + d^2(A, x) + d^2(B, y)} \left( d(A, x) d(B, y), d(A, x) d(x, y), d(B, y) d(x, y), d(B, y) d(A, y) d(x, y), d(B, y) d(A, x) d(x, y), d(B, y) d(A, y), d(A, x) d^2(B, y), d^2(A, x), d^2(B, y), d^2(x, y) \right).$$

That is, the contraction condition (11) holds. Theorem 3.3 ensures that $A$ and $B$ have a unique common fixed point $x^* = 0$.
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