Multi-phase flow characteristics of heterogeneous rocks from CO₂ storage reservoirs in the United Kingdom
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Abstract We have studied the impact of heterogeneity on relative permeability and residual trapping for rock samples from the Bunter sandstone of the UK Southern North Sea, the Ormskirk sandstone of the East Irish Sea, and the Captain sandstone of the UK Northern North Sea. Reservoir condition CO₂-brine relative permeability measurements were made while systematically varying the ratio of viscous to capillary flow potential, across a range of flow rates, fractional flow, and during drainage and imbibition displacement. This variation resulted in observations obtained across a range of core-scale capillary number 0.2 < Nc = \frac{\mu_f}{\mu_w} < 200. Capillary pressure heterogeneity was quantitatively inferred from 3-D observations of the fluid saturation distribution in the rocks. For each of the rock samples, a threshold capillary number, 5 < Nc < 30, was found, below which centimeter-scale layering resulted in a heterogeneous distribution of the fluid phases and a commensurate impact on flow and trapping. The threshold was found to be dependent on the capillary number alone, irrespective of the displacement path (drainage or imbibition) and average fluid saturation in the rock. The impact of the heterogeneity on the relative permeability varied depending on the characteristics of the heterogeneity in the rock sample, whereas heterogeneity increased residual trapping in all samples above what would be expected from the pore-scale capillary trapping mechanism alone. Models of subsurface CO₂ injection should use properties that incorporate the impacts of heterogeneity at the flow regime of interest or risk significant errors in estimates of fluid flow and trapping.

1. Introduction

Predictions of the flow behavior and storage capacity of CO₂ in subsurface reservoirs are sensitive to the underlying multi-phase flow properties of the system (Mathias et al., 2013; Szulczewski et al., 2012; Yoshida et al., 2016). These are primarily the capillary pressure, relative permeability, and residual trapping characteristics. Site-specific core-flood measurements are a requirement for accurate estimates of the plume migration and storage capacity obtained through reservoir simulation.

A large number of measurements of reservoir condition CO₂-brine relative permeability and trapping have been reported in the literature (Benson et al., 2013; Burnside & Naylor, 2014). Many of the studies primarily used quarry rocks to establish general properties of the CO₂-brine multiphase flow system. These have shown that CO₂ acts as a nonwetting fluid similar to other nonaqueous fluids, and that there is significant capillary trapping (Akbarabadi & Piri, 2013; Krevor et al., 2012; Manceau et al., 2015; Reynolds & Krevor, 2015). Site-specific data, in contrast, are primarily limited to locations in the United States and Canada (Bennion & Bachu, 2008; Krevor et al., 2012). As a result, many modeling studies make use of generic relative permeability curves, or curves selected from the literature data set for a similar rock type.

In the UK, there have been many suggestions for potential storage sites (Akhurst et al., 2011; Brownsort et al., 2015; Haszeldine et al., 2013; Holloway et al., 2006; Holloway & Savage, 1993; Kirk, 2006; Scottish Carbon Capture and Storage, 2009, 2012). However, prior to this work, only one CO₂-brine relative permeability curve was publicly available, from a measurement on a Bunter sandstone sample from the Southern North Sea, evaluated as a part of the 2008 CASSEM (CO₂ Aquifer Storage Site Evaluation and Monitoring) project (Smith et al., 2012). A primary goal of this work was to provide data for modeling studies of CO₂ storage in UK reservoir systems. We have measured drainage and imbibition relative permeability, and residual trapping in rock samples obtained for three important potential CO₂ storage locations.

A number of studies have also shown that small-scale rock heterogeneities have a significant impact on CO₂ flow, which propagates to larger scales. In laboratory core floods of CO₂ and brine, the fluids are
commonly observed to distribute heterogeneously in the rock cores during two-phase flow (Hingerl et al., 2016; Reynolds & Krevor, 2015). The dominant control on steady state fluid distribution at this scale is variation in capillary pressure (Kuo & Benson, 2015; Zhou et al., 1997). It has been theorized that the impact of this heterogeneity on macroscopic flow will depend on the ratio of the viscous or buoyant force to gradients in the capillary pressure, expressed quantitatively using a continuum scale capillary number (Debbabi et al., 2017; Pickup & Stephen, 2000; Virnovsky et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 1997). This framework has been used extensively in numerical upscaling studies to describe flow regimes in which heterogeneity may be significant. Observations in this study were designed to evaluate the varying impact of rock heterogeneity experimentally, through a systematic variation of the capillary number, throughout both drainage and imbibition displacement processes.

A number of studies have shown that capillary heterogeneity can be characterized in cylindrical rock samples with a combination of observations and numerical simulations (Egermann & Lenormand, 2005; Huang et al., 1995; Krause et al., 2013; Kuo & Benson, 2015; Pini & Benson, 2013a). The effects of small-scale capillary heterogeneity is manifested at larger scales through both fluid flow and trapping (Debbabi et al., 2017; Gershenson et al., 2017; Meckel et al., 2015; Saadatpoor et al., 2009). If the underlying heterogeneity is characterized, these effects may be accounted for through the use of upcaled relative permeability and residual trapping models (Li & Benson, 2015; Rabinovich et al., 2015). The laboratory characterization, however, must be performed as a variation from the conventional special core analysis workflow (see McPhee et al., 2015 for a comprehensive overview of current practice in core analysis).

In characterizing the multiphase flow properties of the samples from UK sites, we have endeavored to replicate reservoir conditions of pressure, temperature, and brine salinity. Additionally, we used an extension of the conventional core analysis protocol, characterizing CO₂-brine flow behavior across a range of fluid flow velocities, fractional fluid flow, and during drainage and imbibition displacement. This allowed for a characterization of capillary heterogeneity within the framework of the capillary-viscous flow regimes and a direct link to the impacts on the macroscopic fluid mobility (relative permeability) and trapping.

2. Rock Samples

2.1. Sample Locations

Three samples were selected from reservoir formations identified as potential CO₂ storage sites in the UK (Figure 1 and Table 1). In the wake of the 2005 IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage (Metz et al., 2005) which identified CCS as a major technology for mitigating climate change, criteria were
established to aid the selection of suitable CO₂ storage sites around the UK (Chadwick et al., 2008). In the Southern North Sea and East Irish Sea, extensive Permo-Triassic sandstones were identified for saline aquifer storage (Brownsort et al., 2015; Haszeldine et al., 2013; Holloway et al., 2006; Holloway & Savage, 1993; Kirk, 2006; Scottish Carbon Capture and Storage, 2009, 2012). This included the Bunter sandstone formation (Triassic Sherwood Sandstone Group, Southern North Sea), Leman sandstone formation (Permian Rotliegend Sandstone Group, Southern North Sea), and Ormskirk sandstone formation (Triassic Sherwood Sandstone Group, East Irish Sea). In the Northern North Sea, the Captain sandstone formation (Akhurst et al., 2011; Brownsort et al., 2015; Scottish Carbon Capture and Storage, 2009) was identified. All four of these sandstone formations are important regional saline aquifers and have proven sealing and storage capacity in the form of major gas fields; for example, the South and North Morecambe fields in the Ormskirk formation, East Irish Sea (Bastin et al., 2003; Cowan & Boycott-Brown, 2003; Meadows & Beach, 1993; Stuart, 1993; Stuart & Cowan, 1991); Esmond, Forbes, Gordon, and Hewett fields in the Bunter formation, Southern North Sea (Cooke-Yarborough, 1991; Cooke-Yarborough & Smith, 2003; Ketter, 1991a); Ravenspurn, Leman, and Viking fields in the Leman formation, Southern North Sea (Hillier & Williams, 1991; Ketter, 1991b; Riches, 2003); and Goldeneye, Blake, Cromarty, and Captain fields in the Captain sandstone formation, Northern North Sea (Argent et al., 2005). The Leman formation also contains two natural CO₂ accumulations, the Fizzy and Oak gas fields both of which contain 50–90% CO₂ (Pearce et al., 1996; Underhill et al., 2009), providing an analogue to CO₂ storage. Closed structures without gas charge, which provide structural traps for saline aquifer storage, have been identified in the Ormskirk formation (Kirk, 2006) and Bunter formation (Holloway et al., 2006; Noy et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2014).

Estimates of the CO₂ storage capacity of these formations in both saline aquifers and depleted gas and oil fields in the Southern North Sea are 3.3 Gt CO₂ in the Leman formation and up to 14.6 Gt CO₂ in the Bunter formation (Holloway et al., 2006). Estimates of capacity in the Northern North Sea are up to 1.67 Gt CO₂ in the Captain sandstone (Akhurst et al., 2011, 2015; Jin et al., 2012; Scottish Carbon Capture and Storage, 2009).

In March 2013, two projects were awarded funding under the UK Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC) CCS Commercialisation Competition (Department of Energy and Climate Change, 2013). Both projects involved capturing CO₂ at a major point source and storing the CO₂ in a sandstone reservoir—Shell’s Peterhead Project (Shell UK Limited, 2013) in the depleted Goldeneye gas field and Captain sandstone saline aquifer and Capture Power’s White Rose Project (Capture Power, 2013) in the Bunter sandstone, Southern North Sea. These projects have now been cancelled after the removal of funding from the competition in November 2015 (Department of Energy and Climate Change, 2015; Shell UK Limited, 2015; White Rose Project, 2015). However, storage in the Captain sandstone saline aquifer and the depleted Goldeneye gas field are the subject of a number of modeling studies and provide an example site for many site selection methodologies (Akhurst et al., 2015; Delprat-Jannaud et al., 2015; Scottish Carbon Capture and Storage, 2011; ScottishPower CCS Consortium, 2011). Potential CO₂ storage sites in the Central and Northern North Sea are the best understood in the UK (Brownsort et al., 2016) but there are no published and peer-reviewed relative permeability or trapping curves. Consequently, three formations were selected for this study, the Bunter and Ormskirk sandstones of the Sherwood Sandstone Group, and the Captain sandstone.

### Table 1
**Sample Locations for the Rock Cores**

| Formation | Storage location | Well location | Well Sample depth (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Bunter    | S. North Sea    | Onshore geothermal borehole | Cleethorpes-1 | 1,312.7–1,316.1 |
| Ormskirk  | E. Irish Sea    | Depleted gas field | Block 110/2a | 1,247.9–1,248.1 |
| Captain   | N. North Sea    | Offshore hydrocarbon borehole | 14/29a-3 | 2,997.6–3,005.1 |

### Table 2
**Routine Petrophysical Properties of the Samples**

| Sample | Porosity, φ (D) | $K_{av}$ (D) | $L$ (m) | $P_{c,entry}$ (Pa) |
|--------|-----------------|--------------|---------|-------------------|
| Bunter | 0.260 | 2.2 ± 0.113 | 0.151 | 1,964 |
| Ormskirk | 0.271 | 12.1 ± 0.787 | 0.127 | 1,097 |
| Captain | 0.267 | 1.145 ± 0.098 | 0.235 | 1,862 |

2.2. Routine Petrophysical Properties

A routine rock characterization was performed on the samples with data provided in Table 2. Absolute permeability was
measured with experimental brine at experimental conditions using a standard method described in
previous work by the authors (Reynolds & Krevor, 2015). Mercury intrusion capillary pressure characteristic
curves were measured using a Micromeritics Autopore IV 9500 Porosimeter and converted for CO₂ using the
standard correction for interfacial tension (Figure 2). Thin section photos are shown in Figure 3 and brief
rock descriptions are provided in the following. All four samples were classified as quartz arenites using the
Folk classification scheme (Folk, 1957). Grain size was assessed following Wentworth (1922) and roundness
following Pettijohn et al. (2012).

The Bunter sandstone core had a porosity of 0.26 and a measured absolute permeability to brine of 2.2 D.
This is a higher permeability than the core plug average from the Gordon, Esmond and Forbes (K ≈ 400
mD, φ ≈ 0.2) fields but matches well with the porosity-permeability trend of measured core plugs (Noy
et al., 2012). The Bunter formation is a medium-grained sandstone composed mainly of subangular to
sub-rounded quartz grains with a minor component of detrital K-feldspar, clay, and carbonate clasts (Figure 3).
Some altered quartz and K-feldspar overgrowths are present, as well as an intergranular cement mainly
composed of dolomite (Hall et al., 2015).

The Ormskirk sandstone core had a porosity of 0.27, which was at the upper range of plug values typical of
the South and North Morecambe field (Meadows & Beach, 1993). The core sample had an extremely high
permeability of 12 D, well in excess of the measured range of 0.0001 to 1 D (Meadows & Beach, 1993). The
Ormskirk formation is a medium-grained, mature sandstone predominantly composed of subrounded to
rounded quartz grains. The presence or absence of illite as a pore lining cement is a major influence on the
permeability of this formation (Kirk, 2006; Stuart, 1993). However, no illite was observed in thin section (Fig-
ure 3) and the particular sample used for core floods contained only dolomite and quartz cements. Absolute
permeability was measured with a confining pressure of 5 MPa, which did not reflect the stress state pre-
sent in the reservoir. It is possible that the measured absolute permeability would have been closer to the
field average if performed with a higher confining pressure.

The Captain sandstone core had a permeability of 1.1 D and porosity of 0.27, similar to samples from
the Goldeneye field (Hangx et al., 2013; McDermott et al., 2016). Much of the Captain sandstone formation
is poorly consolidated and in the Captain field permeabilities over 7 D are not uncommon (Lach,
1997; Rose, 1999). However, a more consolidated and hence lower permeability core sample was
obtained due to the difficulties of drilling core from poorly consolidated sandstone. The core was domi-
nated by fine to medium-grained, angular to subangular quartz (>90%) with a minor feldspar compo-
nent and some authigenic clay and intergranular cements of quartz, kaolinite, and calcitep (Hangx
et al., 2013).

![Figure 2. Porosity and capillary pressure characteristics of the samples.](image1.png)
3. Experimental Methods

3.1. Relative Permeability and Capillary Trapping

Two sets of drainage and imbibition relative permeability core floods were performed on each core (Table 3). Tests were performed at high and low flow rates, following the approach of Reynolds and Krevor (2015), as observations at multiple rates allowed for an evaluation of the impacts of rock heterogeneity on flow, described in detail in section 3.2. Where significant, capillary end effects were corrected for using an automated 1-D numerical history match of the experiments, matching saturation and pressure observations. The flow rates were selected based on the heterogeneity and permeability of the rock cores. All the cores had observable heterogeneity in porosity and high absolute permeability (>1 D) and a flow rate of 20 mL min⁻¹ was used for the high flow rate observations. The lower flow rate experiments were performed with flow rates in the range of 0.2–4 mL min⁻¹. This range of flow rates was the practical range that could be achieved safely with our experimental setup. The low end of the range resulted in flow velocities overlapping with the upper range of rates expected to prevail in the reservoir system, while the upper end of the range far exceeded velocities anticipated anywhere greater than a meter from an injection point (Blunt, 2017).

Residual trapping was characterized in separate core-flood tests, following the procedure described in Niu et al. (2015). In these tests, drainage flow rates were chosen to establish the initial saturation. Higher flow rates generally lead to higher initial saturations. Imbibition was performed at low flow rates for consistency with the trapping process in the reservoir.
Pressure, temperature, and salinity conditions were chosen so as to be representative of likely injection sites in each formation, by using either site-specific conditions or regional averages for the formation (Table 3). Pressure and temperature for the Bunter sandstone were taken from the Hewett field and a regional average salinity for Bunter formation reservoir brines in the Southern North Sea was used (Downing & Gray, 1986; Noy et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2014). The conditions of the South and North Morecambe fields were used for the Ormskirk sandstone rock core (Cowan & Boycott-Brown, 2003; Stuart & Cowan, 1991). Pressure and temperature for the Goldeneye field and regional Captain sandstone brine salinities were used for the Captain sandstone sample (Hangx et al., 2013; Jin et al., 2012; Scottish Carbon Capture and Storage, 2011).

3.2. Characterizing Capillary Pressure Characteristic Heterogeneity
X-ray computed tomography scans were used to create three-dimensional images of saturation, which formed the observational basis for the characterization of capillary pressure characteristic heterogeneity (Egermann & Lenormand, 2005; Krause et al., 2013; Pini & Benson, 2013b; Pini et al., 2012). Capillary pressure characteristic curves obtained from mercury porosimetry observations (Figure 2) were assumed to represent an upscaled curve, representative of the whole core. The capillary pressure at the inlet face of the rock core was controlled by the boundary conditions of the core flood (Ramakrishnan & Cappiello, 1991). It was assumed that the capillary pressure was also constant for a given slice, or location along the principal axis, of the rock core (Krause et al., 2013). Variations in saturation within a slice were then assumed to be due to variations in the capillary pressure characteristic function (Egermann & Lenormand, 2005). Assuming that the form of the curve was the same throughout the rock core, e.g., that J-scaling applied, heterogeneity was described quantitatively by the degree of scaling required between the average capillary pressure function and the particular function of a given location (Pini & Benson, 2013b).

In this work, we followed the approach of Pini and Benson (2013b) in using a simple “vertical” scaling, relating local functions to the average through a linear shifting of the capillary entry pressure,

\[ P_e(x, y, z, S_w) = \kappa(x, y, z) P_{e,a}(S_w). \]  

(1)

Here \( P_e(x, y, z, S_w) \) was the capillary pressure characteristic function for a given location. It was related to the average function, \( P_{e,a}(S_w) \), through the location specific dimensionless scaling parameter \( \kappa \).

To obtain the value of \( \kappa \) for each location, first the slice averaged saturation was used to obtain the capillary pressure at each fractional flow of the experiment and thus generate local capillary pressure curves. The core representative capillary pressure curve (in this case obtained from mercury porosimetry) was then

| Sample | Temperature (°C) | Pressure (MPa) | Salinity (mol kg\(^{-1}\)) | Number | Type    | Total flow rate (mL min\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Bunter | 53               | 13.1           | 1                           | B1     | Drainage | 20                            |
|        |                  |                |                              | B2     | Imbibition | 20                            |
|        |                  |                |                              | B3     | Drainage | 20                            |
|        |                  |                |                              | B4     | Imbibition | 20                            |
|        |                  |                |                              | B5     | Drainage | 0.2                           |
|        |                  |                |                              | B6     | Imbibition | 0.2                           |
|        |                  |                |                              | B7     | Trapping | 20/0.5                        |
|        |                  |                |                              | B8     | Trapping | 0.5/0.5                       |
| Ormskirk | 33               | 12.7           | 4.32                         | O1     | Drainage | 20                            |
|        |                  |                |                              | O2     | Imbibition | 20                            |
|        |                  |                |                              | O3     | Drainage | 4                             |
|        |                  |                |                              | O4     | Imbibition | 4                             |
|        |                  |                |                              | O5     | Trapping | 20/2                          |
|        |                  |                |                              | O6     | Trapping | 1.5/1.5                       |
|        |                  |                |                              | C1     | Drainage | 20                            |
| Captain | 80               | 18             | 1                            | C2     | Imbibition | 20                            |
|        |                  |                |                              | C3     | Drainage | 2                          |
|        |                  |                |                              | C4     | Imbibition | 2                            |
|        |                  |                |                              | C5     | Trapping | 20/2                          |
scaled using equation (1) in a regression algorithm varying \( \kappa \) until a best fit was obtained with the data for a given location. 

The capillary pressure heterogeneity in the rock core was characterized using a best fit spatial map of the scaling parameter \( \kappa \). Although the assumption of constant capillary pressure within a slice is only valid at the inlet boundary of the rock core, these data can be used as a first guess in an iterative approach to generating an accurate heterogeneous model of the core, using the local variation in the capillary pressure function (Krause et al., 2013). This type of data has also been used in the generation of statistical realizations of rock core models (Kong et al., 2015).

The relative importance of capillary driven flow, i.e., the role of capillary heterogeneity relative to permeability heterogeneity, is characterized through a dimensionless capillary number describing the ratio of viscous or buoyantly driven flow to flow driven by gradients in capillary pressure (Jonoud & Jackson, 2008; Kuo & Benson, 2015; Virnovsky et al., 2004; Yokoyama & Lake, 1981; Zhou et al., 1997). We used the number defined by Virnovsky et al. (2004) due to its simplicity and correspondence with observables in our experiments.

The capillary number is defined as

\[
N_c = \frac{\Delta P}{L} \frac{H}{\Delta P_c},
\]

where \( \Delta P \) (kPa) is the pressure differential measured between the inlet and outlet face of the rock core during flooding, \( L \) (m) is the length of the rock core, \( H \) (m) is a characteristic distance between layers, and \( \Delta P_c \) (kPa) is a characteristic difference in capillary pressure between layers. The characteristic difference in capillary pressure, \( \Delta P_c \), was taken to be a single standard deviation in the distribution of entry pressure obtained through scaling the average capillary pressure function, equation (1). The characteristic length scale for heterogeneity, \( H \), was obtained by inspection of the three-dimensional map of the scaling parameter \( \kappa \).

At larger capillary numbers, the impact of the capillary pressure characteristic heterogeneity becomes less dominant. A number of studies have shown that a universal scaling number cannot be derived, in part due to the dependence of the impact on the spatial structure of the heterogeneity (Jonoud & Jackson, 2008; Pickup & Stephen, 2000). Virnovsky et al. (2004) suggested that a transition between capillary and viscous dominated flows might take place in the range of capillary number \( 1 < N_c < 100 \), which has been confirmed experimentally by Reynolds and Krevor (2015).

4. Results

4.1. Heterogeneity in the Rock Samples

Three-dimensional maps and individual slices of the capillary pressure characteristic scaling parameter, \( \kappa \) (equation (1)), are shown in Figure 4. Faults with the X-ray scanner affected three slices of the Captain sandstone sample, and those slices are absent in the figure. Millimeter-scale bedding was visible in all three of the rocks, but most prominently in the Bunter and Ormskirk sandstones, while the Captain sandstone had large homogeneous regions without bedding. Using individual slices to assess the bedding structure, we find the characteristic length scale of all of the rocks to be \( H \approx 1 \) cm (equation (2)).

The strength and degree of heterogeneity in the capillary pressure characteristic functions was apparent from the frequency distribution of the best fit entry pressures (Figure 5, with \( P_{c,entry} = \kappa P_{c,a,entry} \) from equation (1)). The Bunter sandstone had the greatest mean entry pressure of 1.8 kPa and the most widely distributed entry pressures, with a standard deviation of 0.4 kPa. The Captain sandstone had a mean entry pressure of 0.32 kPa and a standard deviation of 0.17 kPa. The Ormskirk sandstone had a narrow distribution with a mean entry pressure of 0.11 kPa and standard deviation of 0.027 kPa.

4.2. The Bunter Sandstone

Two sets of high flow rate and one set of low flow rate drainage and imbibition relative permeability tests were performed. At high flow rates (Experiments B1–4) the highest relative permeability to CO\(_2\), \( 0.1 \leq k_{r,CO_2} \leq 0.12 \), was obtained in the saturation range \( 0.27 \leq S_w \leq 0.32 \) (Figure 6; tabular values are provided in Table A1). Measurements of relative permeability here and elsewhere show that reported
observations of a low maxima in the observed relative permeability to CO₂ are not a result of a weakened wetting, but due to the limitations of the experimental apparatus used (Akbarabadi & Piri, 2013; Krevor et al., 2012; Manceau et al., 2015; Pini & Benson, 2013a). The water relative permeability decreased sharply with decreasing water saturation and the cross point was shifted to lower water saturations \((S_w < 0.5)\) compared with the low flow rate core floods. There was a slight hysteresis in the \(k_r; CO₂\) curves, with the imbibition permeability higher than the drainage permeability. This was a manifestation of the role that rock heterogeneity had in the measurement, even at the high flow rate and is discussed further below. As is typical for water wetting rocks, there was no discernible hysteresis in the water relative permeability curves.

For the low flow rate observations, B5 and B6, the highest \(k_r; CO₂\) was low, \(O(10^{-3})\). The \(k_r; CO₂\) was lower for imbibition than for drainage, the opposite of what was observed in the high flow rate observations. There was also significant hysteresis in the \(k_r; w\) curves. The permeability during imbibition was significantly higher than during drainage. These differences highlight how the impact of rock heterogeneity emerged at lower capillary numbers.

The varying impact of rock heterogeneity was evaluated by inspecting the distribution of fluid saturation at a location within the rock core at a range of average saturations and capillary numbers, Figure 7 (tabular values of the measured pressure differential used in the calculation of \(N_c\) are provided in Appendix A). In the high flow rate observations (B3 and B4 in Figure 7) the observations were made across a wide range of capillary number. Capillary numbers were initially high at the beginning of the drainage process with a high water fractional flow and the fluid was homogeneously distributed when \(N_c > 5\). As the CO₂ fractional flow was increased, the capillary number decreased, primarily due to the lower viscosity of CO₂, but also because the relative permeability to CO₂ was increasing with increasing CO₂ saturation. The impact of heterogeneity emerged at the low capillary numbers, and could be observed in the saturation maps at the end of drainage and the beginning of imbibition. At the end of imbibition, when the capillary number increased again beyond \(N_c > 5\), the homogenous fluid distribution was re-established. The capillary number was low throughout the low flow rate observations (B5 and B6) and the layering of the rock was evident in all cases with capillary numbers well below \(N_c < 5\).

For the two sets of drainage and imbibition tests, the main impact of the heterogeneity was to significantly decrease the observed relative permeability to CO₂.
permeability at low flow rate (B5 and B6 relative to B1–B4 in Figure 6). The other clear impact was in the varying nature of the hysteresis. The heterogeneity in the high flow rate observations resulted in a higher imbibition than drainage relative permeability, whereas the opposite was observed in the low flow rate tests. At low flow rate, however, the increased role of heterogeneity resulted in significant hysteresis in both wetting and nonwetting phase relative permeability curves.

Residual trapping experiments (Experiments B7 and B8) showed a range of residually trapped CO₂ saturations from $0.3 < S_{CO₂} < 0.6$ for initial CO₂ saturations of $S_{CO₂} > 0.8$. A lower bound on the trapping was characterized by a Land coefficient of $C = 1.6$, although a subset of the trapped fluid fell well above the saturation predicted by the Land model. This was due to the trapping of fluid behind local capillary

---

**Figure 6.** Relative permeability and residual trapping characteristic curves for the Bunter sandstone sample measured during drainage and imbibition at two capillary numbers. Drainage is shown with filled symbols and imbibition is shown with unfilled symbols. The high capillary number observations are represented with circles and the low capillary number observations are represented with squares. The curve on the residual trapping graph is the Land model with the labeled coefficient.

**Figure 7.** Capillary number versus water saturation and corresponding slice saturation maps for the Bunter sandstone. The slice shown was at the same location for all four sets of observations. The vertical grey bar shows the approximate location where the viscous limit was achieved.
heterogeneities and is distinct from the primarily pore-scale phenomenon of capillary trapping (Krevor et al., 2011, 2015; Saadatpoor et al., 2009).

4.3. The Ormskirk Sandstone

Both high and low flow rate drainage tests resulted in similarly shaped relative permeability curves (Figure 8) which showed a sharp increase in $k_r; CO_2$ and a sharp decrease in $k_r; w$ with decreasing $S_w$. The cross points for both curves were at $S_w > 0.5$ indicating that the Ormskirk sandstone was water wetting. Higher CO$_2$ saturation ($S_w = 0.27$) and a corresponding CO$_2$ relative permeability close to unity were achieved during the high flow rate observations. The low flow rate observations resulted in curves shifted to the right, with higher $k_r; CO_2$ for a given saturation but lower $k_r; w$. The maximum relative permeability to CO$_2$ was $k_r; CO_2 = 0.1$ at $S_w = 0.72$, with the low flow rate having limited the achievable capillary pressure.

The shift in the curves with flow rate contrasted with the results for the Bunter core, where the relative permeability to both fluids were higher in the high flow rate case. The difference was likely due to the orientation of the heterogeneity in the core with respect to the principal flow direction and radial boundaries. There was little hysteresis between drainage and imbibition for the viscous dominated experiments, and Experiment O1 had a very similar shape to Experiment O2. In the low flow rate experiments (O3 and O4), the imbibition $k_r; CO_2$ showed classic hysteresis behavior, where the relative permeability dropped rapidly with increasing water saturation and was orders of magnitude lower at a given saturation during imbibition compared to drainage. However, similar to the Bunter sandstone, the $k_r; w$ was higher for imbibition than for drainage.

The impact of heterogeneity on flow is shown in Figure 9. As with the Bunter sandstone, the impact of rock heterogeneity emerged at lower capillary number and was not apparently sensitive the average saturation (vertical axis in Figure 9). The threshold capillary number for this sample was $N_c \approx 30$, with layering apparent in all of the imagery from observations at lower capillary numbers.

There was a wide range in residual trapping behavior for the Ormskirk core (Figure 8)—the Land trapping model was not a good descriptor of trapping, and coefficients encompassing the data varied from $C = 0.05 (S_r \approx S_i)$ to $C = 3 (S_r < S_i/2)$. This was due to rock heterogeneity and suggests that the residual CO$_2$ saturation achievable in this formation was highly dependent on the contrast in capillarity between homogeneous layers.
4.4. The Captain Sandstone

The shape of the drainage relative permeability curves was similar for the high (C1) and low (C3) flow rate experiments, indicative of a water wetting system. The $k_r; w$ and $k_r; CO_2$ were shifted toward the right, with generally lower $k_r; w$ and higher $k_r; CO_2$ at a given saturation, although the $k_r; CO_2$ was lower at lower Sw in the high flow rate case (Figure 10). The highest relative permeability to CO2, $k_r; CO_2 = 0.46$ was achieved at $S_w = 0.34$ during the high flow rate experiment. At the low flow rate, $k_r; CO_2 = 0.02$ was obtained for $S_w = 0.60$.

Imbibition $k_r; CO_2$ showed classic hysteresis behavior at low flow rate but was higher than the drainage $k_r; CO_2$ during the high flow rate observations. Additionally, $k_r; w$ was higher for imbibition than drainage for both low and high flow rate experiments. This again suggested that rock heterogeneity had a significant impact on the observed permeability, even at the highest flow rates used in these observations.

Figure 9. Capillary number versus water saturation and corresponding slice saturation maps for the Ormskirk sandstone. The slice shown was at the same location for all four sets of observations, but the orientation of the core varied between the high and low flow rate experiments. The vertical grey bar shows the approximate location where the viscous limit was achieved.

Figure 10. Relative permeability and residual trapping characteristic curves for the Captain sandstone sample measured during drainage and imbibition at two separate capillary numbers. Drainage is shown with filled symbols and imbibition is shown with unfilled symbols. The high capillary number observations are represented with circles and the low capillary number observations are represented with squares. Curves on the residual trapping graph are the Land model with the labeled coefficients.
As with the Bunter and Ormskirk sandstones, the impact of heterogeneity on flow became apparent in observations of the fluid distribution at low capillary numbers (Figure 11). The layering in the rock was apparent in all of the imagery obtained when $N_c < 20$. This was observed in both low and high flow rate observations, during both drainage and imbibition, and across a saturation range $0.2 < S_w < 1$.

A single residual trapping experiment was performed (Experiment C5), for which trapping was scattered between Land models with coefficient $0.8 < C < 3$. The significant scatter was indicative of the role that capillary heterogeneity was playing in controlling the residually trapped CO$_2$.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

The fundamental attribute of the observations was that centimeter-scale heterogeneity had a strong impact on drainage and imbibition relative permeability, as well as residual trapping. At this scale, the continuum or Darcy theory of multiphase flow suggests that capillary pressure characteristic heterogeneity impacts flow far more than permeability or porosity heterogeneity (Zhou et al., 1997). This was supported by the utility of the continuum scale capillary number in identifying threshold conditions at which the heterogeneity became apparent. There was a range of threshold capillary number for the three rock samples, $5 < N_c < 30$. This has been anticipated in studies indicating that there cannot be a universal scaling group due to the dependence on the spatial organization of the heterogeneity and the sample-specific nature of the relative permeability and capillary pressure characteristic curves (Jonoud & Jackson, 2008; Pickup & Stephen, 2000). Numerical studies have suggested that a transition between capillary and viscous dominated flows might take place in the range of capillary number $1 < N_c < 100$, consistent with the observations reported here (Virnovsky et al., 2004).

The hysteresis between drainage and imbibition, and the residual trapping characteristics were controlled by the heterogeneity in the rock cores, in addition to the conventionally considered pore-scale trapping phenomena. At high flow rates, hysteresis was not always present in $k_{r,CO_2}$. In some experiments $k_{r,w}$ and $k_{r,CO_2}$ were higher for drainage than for imbibition. Hysteresis behavior is usually attributed to the particular pore space morphology, in particular the ratio between pore body diameter and pore throat diameter which promotes or restricts snap-off (Akbarabadi & Piri, 2013; Krevor et al., 2015; Ruprecht et al., 2014). In this case, changes in the behavior between flow rates reflect the varying impact of heterogeneity with the change in capillary-viscous force balance. Additionally, the residual trapping data for all three rocks diverged significantly from conventional trapping models, e.g., the Land trapping model. A significant fraction of the residually trapped CO$_2$ was immobilized behind local capillary heterogeneities. This has been observed experimentally (Krevor et al., 2011) and modeled (Debbabi et al., 2016; Meckel et al., 2015), and in some reservoirs may be a more significant source of fluid trapping than the pore-scale trapping.
More generally, the observations here show that small-scale rock heterogeneity has a large impact on the key flow attributes used in reservoir simulation, the relative permeability, hysteresis, and residual trapping. The effects are dependent on the strength and orientation of the heterogeneity in addition to the prevailing flow regime. It is unlikely that they would be predicted in advance of laboratory measurements, and using data obtained with conventional workflows runs the risk of significantly over or underprediction of flow and trapping in the reservoir system.

It is possible that these types of observations could be coupled with numerical simulation to overcome these challenges. The need to simulate flow in heterogeneous reservoir systems at larger scales has led to the development of numerical upscaling techniques which are widely used in industry (Corbett et al., 1992; Rabinovich et al., 2015; Ringrose & Bentley, 2015; Ringrose et al., 1993). Less well understood, however, is the best approach for characterizing small-scale heterogeneities in rock samples in the laboratory. The types of observations reported herein can be used in the construction of a core-scale digital rock model (Kong et al., 2015; Krause et al., 2013), which can form the basis for the initial stages in an upscaling workflow. Another benefit is that synthetic relative permeability curves could be generated quickly through simulation at many capillary numbers rather than attempting to measure multiple representative relative permeability curves covering the range of flow conditions relevant to the reservoir system. In principle, the orientation of the rock heterogeneity could also be restructured to evaluate flow independent of the impacts of the radial boundaries of the core flood.

Appendix A: Tabular Relative Permeability Data

Tables A1–A4 are the tabular data required to reproduce the graphs in Figures 6–11.

| Experiment | $f_{CO_2}$ | $S_w$ | $k_{CO_2}$ | $k_w$ | $\Delta P$ (kPa) |
|------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|------------------|
| B1         | 0.1329     | 0.6168| 0.0015     | 0.1213| 89.04            |
|            | 0.2762     | 0.6079| 0.0032     | 0.1069| 84.32            |
|            | 0.4637     | 0.5963| 0.0063     | 0.0919| 72.68            |
|            | 0.7245     | 0.5758| 0.0134     | 0.0648| 52.93            |
|            | 0.8797     | 0.5281| 0.0227     | 0.0394| 38.01            |
|            | 0.9333     | 0.5004| 0.0307     | 0.0278| 29.85            |
|            | 0.9851     | 0.436 | 0.0539     | 0.0103| 17.94            |
|            | 0.9993     | 0.3202| 0.1015     | 0.001 | 9.669            |
| B2         | 0.9883     | 0.453 | 0.0628     | 0.0095| 15.44            |
|            | 0.9768     | 0.4822| 0.0531     | 0.016 | 18.05            |
|            | 0.9262     | 0.5302| 0.0365     | 0.0369| 24.88            |
|            | 0.814      | 0.5761| 0.0247     | 0.0716| 32.34            |
|            | 0.6277     | 0.609 | 0.0133     | 0.1003| 46.23            |
|            | 0.3575     | 0.6283| 0.005      | 0.1148| 69.71            |
|            | 0.0762     | 0.6503| 0.0008     | 0.1285| 89.51            |
| B3         | 0.0926     | 0.5782| 0.0007     | 0.0861| 115.7            |
|            | 0.2662     | 0.5858| 0.0023     | 0.0789| 102.1            |
|            | 0.5176     | 0.5711| 0.0056     | 0.0667| 79.37            |
|            | 0.7738     | 0.5397| 0.0126     | 0.0466| 53.27            |
|            | 0.9262     | 0.4875| 0.0244     | 0.0246| 32.91            |
|            | 0.9768     | 0.4401| 0.04       | 0.012 | 21.15            |
|            | 0.9919     | 0.3942| 0.0584     | 0.0061| 14.69            |
|            | 0.9996     | 0.2731| 0.118      | 0.0006| 7.328            |
| B4         | 0.9987     | 0.295 | 0.1052     | 0.0018| 8.211            |
|            | 0.9956     | 0.4038| 0.0773     | 0.0053| 11.14            |
|            | 0.9834     | 0.4565| 0.0541     | 0.0116| 15.74            |
|            | 0.9435     | 0.5147| 0.0349     | 0.0266| 23.37            |
|            | 0.814      | 0.5703| 0.02       | 0.0579| 35.25            |
|            | 0.6277     | 0.6062| 0.0101     | 0.0763| 53.57            |
|            | 0.3575     | 0.6062| 0.0041     | 0.0931| 75.73            |
|            | 0.0625     | 0.6445| 0.0006     | 0.105 | 97.96            |

Note. See Table 3 for the corresponding experimental conditions.
### Table A2

**Tabular Relative Permeability Data for the Low Flow Rate Experiments With the Bunter Sandstone Sample**

| Experiment | \( f_{CO_2} \) | \( S_w \) | \( k_{CO_2} \) | \( k_{w} \) | \( \Delta P \) (kPa) |
|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|
| B5         | 0.083          | 0.003      | 0.0325         | 3.203       |
| B6         | 0.312          | 0.008      | 0.0235         | 3.394       |
|            | 0.597          | 0.0018     | 0.0134         | 3.203       |
|            | 0.085          | 0.0027     | 0.006          | 2.876       |
|            | 0.752          | 0.0029     | 0.001          | 3.027       |
|            | 0.833          | 0.0027     | 0.0002         | 3.318       |

Note. See Table 3 for the corresponding experimental conditions.

### Table A3

**Tabular Relative Permeability Data for the Ormskirk Sandstone Sample**

| Experiment | \( f_{CO_2} \) | \( S_w \) | \( k_{CO_2} \) | \( k_{w} \) | \( \Delta P \) (kPa) |
|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|
| O1         | 0.2572         | 0.6622     | 0.0011         | 0.0543      | 48.24            |
| O2         | 0.6231         | 0.0035     | 0.0265         | 40.75       |
|            | 0.592          | 0.0085     | 0.016          | 22.02       |
|            | 0.3637         | 0.0166     | 0.0119         | 11.97       |
|            | 0.5342         | 0.00281    | 0.0097         | 7.228       |
|            | 0.4966         | 0.00468    | 0.007          | 4.394       |
|            | 0.2669         | 0.00017    | 0.028          | 0.208       |

Note. See Table 3 for the corresponding experimental conditions.

### Table A4

**Tabular Relative Permeability Data for the Captain Sandstone Sample**

| Experiment | \( f_{CO_2} \) | \( S_w \) | \( k_{CO_2} \) | \( k_{w} \) | \( \Delta P \) (kPa) |
|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|
| C1         | 0.6828         | 0.0009     | 0.0879         | 419.3       |
|            | 0.6772         | 0.0033     | 0.0701         | 396.8       |
|            | 0.6604         | 0.0099     | 0.053          | 284.6       |
|            | 0.619          | 0.026      | 0.0286         | 143.6       |
|            | 0.6014         | 0.0388     | 0.0192         | 101.8       |
|            | 0.5776         | 0.057      | 0.0116         | 71.26       |
|            | 0.5672         | 0.081      | 0.006          | 50.81       |
|            | 0.5395         | 0.1284     | 0.0028         | 32.21       |
|            | 0.3388         | 0.4599     | 0.0011         | 9.016       |
Table A4. (continued)

| Experiment | $f_{CO_2}$ | $S_w$ | $k_{CO_2}$ | $k_w$ | $\Delta P$ (kPa) |
|------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|------------------|
| C2         | 0.9986     | 0.3314| 0.3822     | 0.0053| 10.83            |
|            | 0.9949     | 0.5319| 0.1918     | 0.0097| 21.51            |
|            | 0.9852     | 0.5727| 0.1554     | 0.0229| 26.29            |
|            | 0.9635     | 0.6107| 0.1199     | 0.0446| 33.31            |
|            | 0.8991     | 0.6552| 0.0701     | 0.0773| 53.19            |
|            | 0.68       | 0.6719| 0.0215     | 0.0995| 131.1            |
|            | 0.2731     | 0.7299| 0.0061     | 0.1597| 185.4            |
| C3         | 0.01       | 0.9846| 0.0002     | 0.2002| 20.15            |
|            | 0.0355     | 0.9478| 0.0005     | 0.1409| 27.94            |
|            | 0.0955     | 0.8848| 0.0014     | 0.1288| 28.61            |
|            | 0.2335     | 0.8378| 0.0031     | 0.101 | 30.92            |
|            | 0.5765     | 0.8152| 0.0075     | 0.0538| 32.05            |
|            | 0.899      | 0.782 | 0.0144     | 0.0159| 25.95            |
|            | 0.995      | 0.6028| 0.0215     | 0.0011| 19.15            |
| C4         | 0.952      | 0.6807| 0.0166     | 0.0082| 23.8             |
|            | 0.874      | 0.7048| 0.013      | 0.0184| 27.94            |
|            | 0.6295     | 0.7297| 0.0082     | 0.0471| 32.03            |
|            | 0.317      | 0.7632| 0.0034     | 0.0714| 38.96            |
|            | 0.042      | 0.777 | 0.0005     | 0.1097| 35.59            |

Note. See Table 3 for the corresponding experimental conditions.
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