Transmission bottlenecks and RNAi collectively influence tick-borne flavivirus evolution

Nathan D. Grubaugh,1,†,‡ Claudia Rückert,1,‡ Philip M. Armstrong,2 Angela Bransfield,2 John F. Anderson,2 Gregory D. Ebel,1 and Doug E. Brackney2,*

1Department of Microbiology Immunology and Pathology, College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Fort Collins, CO, USA and 2The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, Center for Vector Biology and Zoonotic Diseases, New Haven, CT, USA

*Corresponding author: E-mail: doug.brackney@ct.gov
†Present address: Department of Immunology and Microbial Science, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA, USA.
‡These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Arthropod-borne RNA viruses exist within hosts as heterogeneous populations of viral variants and, as a result, possess great genetic plasticity. Understanding the micro-evolutionary forces shaping these viruses can provide insights into how they emerge, adapt, and persist in new and changing ecological niches. While considerable attention has been directed toward studying the population dynamics of mosquito-borne viruses, little is known about tick-borne virus populations. Therefore, using a mouse and Ixodes scapularis tick transmission model, we examined Powassan virus (POWV; Flaviviridae, Flavivirus) populations in and between both the vertebrate host and arthropod vector. We found that genetic bottlenecks, RNAi-mediated diversification, and selective constraints collectively influence POWV evolution. Together, our data provide a mechanistic explanation for the slow, long-term evolutionary trends of POWV, and suggest that all arthropod-borne viruses encounter similar selective pressures at the molecular level (i.e. RNAi), yet evolve much differently due to their unique rates and modes of transmission.
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1. Introduction

Arthropod-borne RNA viruses (arboviruses) pose a continuous threat to human health worldwide. This is highlighted by the rapid global emergence of Zika virus (Fauci and Morens 2016), West Nile virus (WNV) (Kramer et al. 2008), and chikungunya virus (Weaver and Lecuit 2015), and the local emergences of several tick-borne viruses (Mansfield et al. 2009; Ebel 2010; McMullan et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2014). While environmental disturbances and animal behavior present new ecological opportunities, arbovirus emergence is driven by their inherent genetic plasticity. RNA viruses replicate quickly using error-prone polymerases which produce large, genetically diverse intrahost populations that facilitate their adaptation to novel environments (Holmes 2009). The virus–host interactions that influence the genetic diversity of many single-host viruses are well studied, but arbovirus evolution is comparatively complex. For instance, influenza A virus and HIV-1 have substitution rates of $>10^{-3}$ substitutions per site per year (Buso et al. 2011; Alizon and Fraser 2013), while the rates of dengue virus and WNV evolution are ~tenfold slower (Jenkins et al. 2002; Snapinn et al.
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flaviviruses occasionally experience periods of rapid diversification. Together, these data provide an experimental explanation for the slow, long-term rates of POWV evolution, and may be indicative of the evolutionary trajectories of other tick-borne viruses.

2. Methods

2.1 Ethics statement

Experiments involving ticks and mice (protocol P25-15) were approved by the Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station (CAES) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The protocol adhered to the guidelines outlined in the Animal Welfare Act Regulations (CAES IACUC: OLAW Animal Welfare Assurance Number: A4050-01).

2.2 Mouse and tick infections

POWV lineage II deer tick virus (strain P0375; GenBank accession number KU886216) was originally recovered from Ix. scapularis ticks in 2010 from Bridgeport, CT. The virus was isolated after one passage on BHK-21 cells. Ix. scapularis ticks from the CAES colony were used for the experiments. Six-week-old, female BALB/C mice were ordered from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA) and housed in A-BSL3 for 1 week prior to being the experiments. Mice were intraperitoneally injected with ~1 × 10^4 plaque forming unit (PFU) POWV diluted to 0.1 ml in endotoxin-free PBS. Following infection, mice were allowed to recover for 1 h prior to tick infestation. Due to the difficulty in collecting sera from tick-infested mice, sera from additional un-infested mice were collected 3 days post inoculation (dpi) in order to characterize POWV populations from the vertebrate host. In nature, Ix. scapularis larvae and nymphs can become infected with POWV, therefore in order to model both scenarios mice were either infected with ~200 Ix. scapularis larvae (transmission pathway #1) or ~40 Ix. scapularis nymphs (transmission pathway #2). Ticks were allowed to feed to repletion, at which point they dropped off the mice and were collected. Larvae and nymphs were held at RT in desiccators
containing 10% potassium sulfate solution and subjected to a 16/8 h light/dark photoperiod. For transmission pathway #1, a subset of ten engorged ticks was collected 3 weeks post feed, placed in PBS-G [phosphate-buffered saline with 0.5% gelatin, 30% rabbit serum, and 1% 100× antibiotic–antimycotic (10,000 μg/ml of streptomycin and 25 μg/ml of amphotericin B)], and macerated with a mixer mill. The remaining larvae were allowed to molt and upon emergence, the nymphs from transmission pathway #1 (i.e. infected as larvae) were cold anesthetized and the third right leg from forty-one ticks was removed in order to determine the infection status for each individual by qRT-PCR. Subsequently, infected nymphs were individually placed on naïve mice. Because others have shown that members of the TBEV soro-complex can be transmitted by co-feeding (Randolph 2011), we used one mouse per infected nymph. After feeding to repletion, engorged nymphs were collected and housed as before and three were killed 3 weeks post bloodfeeding (pbf). The remaining ticks were allowed to molt and adults 1 week post molting were collected. Similarly, adults from transmission pathway #2 (i.e. infected as nymphs) were collected 1 week post molting and screened for POWV infection by qRT-PCR (Fig. 1).

2.3 RNA extractions and qRT-PCR

Throughout the experiment, ticks from all life stages were harvested in 300 μl PBS-G, macerated on a mixer mill and RNA extracted from 50 μl of the homogenate. Total RNA was recovered using the Mag-Bind Viral DNA/RNA 96 Kit (Omega Bio-tek Inc., Norcross, GA) on a Kingfisher Flex (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) automated nucleic acid extraction device. Carrier RNA was not used in the extractions and samples were eluted in 50 μl dH2O.

POVV from tick and mouse samples were quantified by qRT-PCR using a newly designed assay. In brief, an ~1,000 bp region of the POWV nonstructural protein 5 was amplified with a forward primer containing the T7 promoter and a nonmodified reverse primer (Supplementary Table S3). This amplicon was purified and used as template to produce RNA transcripts using the T7 Megascript Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). RNA quality and purity was assessed on a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) and quantified on a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Using POWV-specific primers and probe (Supplementary Table S3), the sensitivity of the assay was assessed on a CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using the following parameters; RT—50°C for 20 min, 95°C for 2 min, PCR—95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 30 s followed by a plate read. The PCR was repeated for 40 cycles.

20 μl reactions with either 5 μl of standard or sample RNA were used with the TaqMan Universal Master Mix II reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the data were analyzed using the Bio-Rad CFX Manager 3.1. We detected 10^5–10^9 POWV genome equivalents (GE) GE/reaction with a primer efficiency of 93% with an R² value of 0.999, a slope of –3.502, and y-intercept = 43.27.

2.4 Total RNA library preparation and data processing

Total RNA from tick, mouse, and input viral supernatant were used for library preparation. In brief, CDNA was generated and amplified using the Ovation RNA-Seq System V2 (NuGEN, San Carlos, CA). The majority of the samples total RNA concentrations were too low to quantify so the maximum allowable volume was used for input (15 μl). For those with quantifiable amounts of RNA (~75 ng of total RNA was used. Samples were quantified after the cDNA amplification and 1 ng of cDNA was used as template for library production using the Nextera XT Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Each of the 24 samples was uniquely barcoded. Finished libraries were analyzed for correct size distribution using Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent) and concentration using the Library Quantification qPCR kit for Illumina platforms (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA). 100 nt paired-end reads were generated using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform (GENEWIZ, South Plainfield, NJ).

Demultiplexed reads from the three input virus replicates were de novo assembled using Trinity (Grabherr et al. 2011) to build the consensus POWV genome, which was deposited in GenBank (accession number KU886216). All samples were then aligned to the POWV consensus sequence using MOSAIK (Lee et al. 2014) and duplicate reads were removed using the MarkDuplicates tool within Picard to limit the influence of PCR artifacts. iSNVs and iLVs (includes both single and double insertions and deletions) were called using VPhaser2 (Yang et al. 2013) and sites with significant strand bias were removed. All raw NGS data are available on SRA BioProject PRJNA342831.

2.5 Viral population genetics

Analysis was limited to the protein coding sequences. Due to low coverage of the mouse POWV populations (111–521 × coverage per nucleotide per site), only variants >0.02 frequency were used for population genetic analysis, but all called intra-tick variants were used for comparisons to the vsRNA profiles. Richness was calculated by the sum of the variant sites >0.02 frequency detected in each intrahost population. Genetic distance was calculated by the sum of the nucleotide and amino acid variant frequencies from each population and reported as the variants per coding sequence. Complexity, measured by the
Shannon entropy \((S)\) was calculated for each population \((i)\) using the variant frequency \((p)\) at each nucleotide position \((s)\):

\[
S_{is} = -p_i (ln p_i) + (1 - p_i) \times ln(1 - p_i)
\]  

(1)

The mean \(S\) from all sites \(s\) is used to estimate the mutant spectra complexity. \(F_{ST}\) (fixation index) was used to estimate genetic divergence between two viral populations as described (Fumagalli et al. 2013):

\[
a_s = \frac{4n_i(p_{is} - p_s)^2 + 4n_j(p_{is} - p_j)^2 - b_s}{2(2n_i/n_i + n_j/n_j)}
\]  

(2)

and

\[
b_s = \frac{n_i(2p_{is} - 1 - p_{is}) + n_j(2p_{js} - 1 - p_{js})}{n_i + n_j - 1}
\]  

(3)

where \(p_{is}\), \(p_{js}\), and \(p_s\) are the frequencies of the input POWV consensus nucleotide at site \(s\) from populations \(i, j\), and the consensus site (i.e. 1), respectively. Only v Phaser2-called variants >0.02 frequency were used, all other sites \(P=1\). The number of individuals sampled, \(n\), was set to the lowest coverage depth accepted (100 nt) to normalize for sequencing variations. The estimate of \(F_{ST}\) for the protein coding locus of \(m\) sites (10,245 nt) is

\[
F_{ST}^{(lucos)} = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{m} a_s}{\sum_{s=1}^{m} (a_s + b_s)}
\]  

(4)

The effective population size \((N_e)\) initiating infection in the mice or during horizontal transmission to larvae and nymphs (i.e. by blood feeding on mice) was determined by the genetic variance caused by drift within and between populations using \(F_{ST}\) (Mansion et al. 2008):

\[
N_e = \frac{1 - F_{ST}}{F_{ST} - F_{ST}^2}
\]  

(5)

where \(F_{ST}\) is the genetic variance between the founding populations (i.e. input virus) and \(F_{ST}\) is the genetic variance between the final populations (i.e. mice 3 days pi or ticks at all stages). For \(N_e, F_{ST}\) was calculated using only synonymous variants sites (to minimize the influence of selection) and from all replicate combinations per group (i.e. replicate A vs B, A vs C, and B vs C) using Equations (2)-(4).

Intrahost selection was estimated by the ratio of nonsynonymous \((d_N)\) to synonymous \((d_S)\) SNVs per site \((d_N/d_S)\) using the Jukes-Cantor formula (Jukes and Cantor 1969):

\[
d_N = \frac{-3 \times ln(1 - ((4p_s)/3))}{4}
\]  

(6)

and

\[
d_S = \frac{-3 \times ln(1 - ((4p_s)/3))}{4}
\]  

(7)

where \(p_s\) equals \(Nd\) (sum of the nonsynonymous variant frequencies >0.02, as compared with the intrahost consensus sequence) divided by the number of nonsynonymous sites and \(p_s\) equals \(S\) (sum of the synonymous variants >0.02) divided by the number of synonymous sites. DnaSP (Librado and Rozas 2009) was used to determine the number of nonsynonymous (7,784.33) and synonymous (2,460.67) sites from the intrahost consensus sequence using the Nei-Gojorori method (Nei and Gojorori 1986). \(d_N/d_S\) values >1 for divergent lineages are the hallmark of positive selection, but the power of \(d_N/d_S\) to detect positive selection within hosts is very low considering that the majority of the nonsynonymous mutations are under strong purifying selection (Kryazhimskiy and Plotkin 2008). Therefore, we only used the \(d_N/d_S\) ratio to cautiously estimate the overall strength of purifying selection.

UpA and CpG dinucleotide frequencies were calculated as described (Blitvich and Firth 2015). We substituted each variant nucleotide into the consensus sequence for each viral population analyzed. Then the numbers of UpA and CpG dinucleotides and A, C, G, and U mononucleotides were counted. Dinucleotide frequencies were expressed relative to their expected frequencies

\[
f_{xy} = \frac{f_x}{f_y} \times f_y
\]  

(8)

2.6 Small RNA library preparation and data analysis

Small RNA from the tick samples was isolated using a slightly modified protocol with the Mag-Bind Viral DNA/RNA 96 Kit (Omega Bio-tek Inc.). Specifically, 2 μl of linear acrylamide was added to the lysis solution and after lysing the samples in lysis buffer/isopropanol for 10 min an additional aliquot of isopropanol was added to each sample prior to performing the extractions. Libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) which generates strand-specific sRNA libraries. The libraries were first size selected using magnetic beads and then on a BluePippin (Sage Science, Beverly, MA) with a 3% gel without ethidium bromide using a range of 120-170 bp. The size-selected libraries were quantified on a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and analyzed on the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent). Four of the tick libraries did not have products within the desirable 145–155 bp size class and thus, were not pooled with the other samples; these included one post-molt nymph from transmission pathway #1, two 3 weeks pbf nymphs from transmission pathway #1, and one 3 weeks pbf nymph from transmission pathway #2. Pooled libraries were sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq500 platform at the Colorado State University IDRC Genomics Core using 75 cycles of single read high output sequencing.

The generated sRNA sequencing data (FASTQ files) were then analyzed using a pipeline established in our laboratory. Using the pipeline, FASTQ files were trimmed of the 3’ adapter using FASTX Toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/), size selected (initially all 19–32 nt reads; then 19–23 nt, and 24–32 nt) and aligned to the POWV consensus sequence of the respective individual samples using Bowtie 0.12.8 (Langmead et al. 2009) allowing for 1-mismatch. The –a –best –strata mode was used, which instructs Bowtie to report only those alignments in the best alignment stratum. SAM output files produced by Bowtie were used as the input for processing through SAMtools (Li et al. 2009). From the individual read output, histograms were generated to show overall size and polarity distribution of vsRNA reads. Nucleotide targeting of the viral genome (i.e. the number of vsRNA reads covering each nt position of the WNV genome) was determined using the mpileup function of SAMtools for 19–23 nt reads and 24–32 nt reads separately. GC
content, nucleotide bias of specific positions, and correlations of targeting intensity among experimental replicates and tick life stages using Microsoft Excel and Graphpad Prism 6.

3 Results
3.1 POWV population demographics during transmission

We initiated POWV transmission cycles similar to a previously described study (Costero and Grayson 1996) to determine how tick-borne viruses evolve within and between hosts. First, BALB/C mice were inoculated with POWV and immature Ix. scapularis ticks (larvae and nymphs) were allowed to feed on the mice starting on the day they were inoculated through 3 dpi. Engorged larvae and nymphs were used to start two transmission pathways (Fig. 1). In the first transmission pathway, engorged larvae molted into nymphs, which were allowed to feed on uninfected mice to molt into adults. In the second transmission pathway, engorged nymphs were allowed to molt into adults. Three biological replicate ticks were collected 3 weeks pf and within 1 week after molting in each pathway to be used for total RNA and small RNA (sRNA) NGS.

Intrahost POWV population sizes (POWV GE \( \geq \) per tick) continuously increased after molting and during the 3-week extrinsic incubation (EI) period (Fig. 2A), but to a lesser extent than what was previously demonstrated (Ebel and Kramer 2004). RNA from these samples was then used for NGS. On average, 1.9% of reads from ticks aligned to the POWV genome, resulting in \( >500 \times \) coverage per sample (Supplementary Fig. S1A and Table S1). However, only 0.2% of the reads from mouse serum aligned to the POWV genome and we only obtained 111–
521× coverage. To account for this, we compared our analysis of intrahost single nucleotide variants (iSNVs) and length variants (iLVs, including single and double insertions and deletions) using frequency cut-offs of 0.02, 0.05, and 0.10 (Supplementary Fig. S2). All major findings were consistent with regards to the frequency cut-off used and therefore, a frequency cut-off of 0.02 was used for all of the main text figures. Coverage was variable across the viral genome (likely due to differences in GC content—Dohm et al. 2008), but consistent between populations (Supplementary Fig. S1B). In addition, we obtained incomplete coverage of the 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions in most samples. Therefore, we limited analysis to the coding sequence.

Intrahost variants were used to analyze population structures and to assess population bottlenecks during transmission. Virus genetic diversity (i.e. the presence of variants >0.2 frequency) increased during mouse infection, and decreased during horizontal transmission to both larvae and nymphs (Fig. 2B). The initial point of tick infection during bloodfeeding also introduced fixed or nearly fixed POWV mutations into the populations. During transstadial transmission, however, the populations diversified very slowly and while there was an increase in the number of variants >0.2 frequency and fixed mutations, these increases were not significant (Fig. 2B). Genetic bottlenecks were first assessed by the number of POWV variants that carried-through from the input populations during transstadial transmission because the viral populations are not in direct ancestral descent (i.e. the adult tick POWV populations did not come directly from the nymphs that we sampled). We also examined selection for host codon usage bias by calculating the ratio of nonsynonymous (dn) to synonymous (ds) substitutions per coding sequence site (dn/ds) (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. S3G). As indicated by dn/ds ratios <1, purifying selection was very strong in mice but became quite weak in ticks (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. S3G). Therefore, genetic diversity then remained relatively stable during transstadial transmission. Intra-tick nucleotide diversity was 0.00007–0.00015 (95% CI) during horizontal transmission. We analyzed intrahost viral populations using several genetic diversity indices. Virus genetic complexity (i.e. the uncertainty associated with randomly sampling an allele, measured by Shannon entropy) was significantly higher in mice than ticks (Fig. 3A, Kolmogorov–Smirnov comparison of cumulative distributions). Though genetic distance (i.e. the accumulation of mutations compared with the input consensus sequence) was not significantly different between the hosts, distance was more variable in the ticks likely due to additional genetic drift during initial tick infection. Complexity and distance were not significantly different among tick life stages (Fig. 3A, Kruskal–Wallis–Dunn’s corrections), regardless if they were infected as larvae or nymphs (Supplementary Fig. S3). Therefore, genetic diversity then remained relatively stable during transstadial transmission. Intra-tick nucleotide diversity was 0.00007–0.00015 (95% CI of mean), similar to field-collected Ix. scapularis (0.00006–0.000012—Brackney et al. 2010; P = 0.4, unpaired t-test).

Selection was measured by the variant frequency compared with the intrahost consensus sequence, as opposed to the frequency compared with the input consensus sequence used for genetic diversity analysis. We first examined intrahost selection pressures by calculating the ratio of nonsynonymous (dn) to synonymous (ds) substitutions per coding sequence site (dn/ds) (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. S3G). As indicated by dn/ds ratios <1, purifying selection was very strong in mice but became quite variable (dn/ds = 0.1–1.5) during tick transstadial transmission. We also examined selection for host codon usage specifically based on dinucleotide bias for CpG and UpA (Kunec and Osterrieder 2016). Mice display a strong under-representation of CpG dinucleotide usage compared with Ix.

### Table 1. POWV consensus changes during horizontal and transstadial transmission.

| Host (replicate) | Genome position | Nucleotide change | Coding region | S/N* | Amino acid change | iSNV freq |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|------|------------------|----------|
| Mouse 3dpi (A)   | 4652            | C→A               | NS3           | S    |                  | 0.61     |
| Larva 3wpbf (A)  | 1961            | G→T               | E             | S    |                  | 1        |
| Larva 3wpbf (C)  | 564             | G→A               | prM           | N    | G→R              | 1        |
| Nymph pm (A)     | 5474            | T→C               | NS3           | S    |                  | 1        |
| Nymph pm (B)     | 1281            | G→T               | E             | N    | V→L              | 1        |
| Adult pm (C)     | 1376            | C→T               | E             | S    |                  | 1        |
| Nymph pm (B)     | 4844            | A→G               | NS3           | S    |                  | 1        |
| Adult pm (A)     | 5725            | A→G               | NS3           | N    | Q→R              | 0.84     |
| Adult pm (B)     | 4529            | A→G               | NS2B          | S    |                  | 0.89     |
| *Nymph 3wpbf (C) | 4843            | A→G               | NS2A          | S    |                  | 0.77     |

*Synonymous or nonsynonymous variant
*Ticks infected as nymphs
dpi, days post inoculation; wpbf, weeks post blood feeding; pm, post molt; iSNV freq, intrahost single nucleotide variant frequency; NS3, nonstructural protein 3; E, envelope protein; prM, premembrane protein; NS2B, nonstructural protein 2B; NS2A, nonstructural protein 2A
while UpA is about equally under-represented in both hosts (Simmen 2008; Kunec and Osterrieder 2016). Therefore, if POWV was adapting toward the host’s preferred codon usage, we would expect to see an increased CpG usage during replication in ticks and decreased usage in mice, as demonstrated for insect-specific and no known vector flaviviruses, respectively (Lobo et al. 2009; Blitvich and Firth 2015). The viral CpG usage did increase from adult ticks, but not significantly compared with intra-mouse populations (Supplementary Fig. S4). Therefore, adapting to host dinucleotide usage is unlikely a significant source of selection during this relatively short evolutionary time frame.

### 3.3 Tick small RNA response to POWV infection

Ixodes scapularis vsRNA responses were characterized in all tick life stages in order to determine whether vsRNA targeting influences POWV evolution. sRNA libraries from all but four of the previously described samples were sequenced (Supplementary Table S2) and 19–32 nt reads were aligned to the POWV genome (allowing for one mismatch). The percentage of POWV-derived sRNA reads varied between samples (Supplementary Table S2) and was highest in adult ticks that had been infected as nymphs (4.27 ± 0.76%). However, size distribution of vsRNAs was nearly identical between larvae, nymphs and adult ticks (Fig. 4A–C), all of which predominantly generated 22 nt vsRNAs with little strand bias (65% genome-derived, 35% antigenome-derived). Only a few 24–32 nt vsRNA reads, indicative of putative virus-derived piRNAs (vpiRNAs), were detected in all of the life stages. 19–23 nt vsRNAs were distributed along the POWV genome with the highest frequency reads derived from the structural region of the genome (Fig. 4D). This rather striking bias of vsRNA targeting for this part of the genome was seen on both the genome and antigenome across all samples and life stages. Increased vsRNA targeting of the structural region did not simply correlate with higher abundance of viral 5’ total RNA (Supplementary Fig. S1B). Interestingly, a single read at position 635 (TGGATTGTTTTTGCCGAGGTGT) was highly over-represented. To exclude the misleading detection of a tick-derived sRNA, the sequence was compared to the nonredundant nucleotide database on NCBI (i.e. BLASTn) and the only hit with 100% coverage and identity was POWV (no Ix. scapularis sequences matched more than 16 nt). One hypothesis to explain the strong 5’ bias was that high GC content could be partially responsible for an over-representation of specific reads, however, no correlation was observed between GC content and read abundance (Supplementary Fig. S5A–B). A comparison of base composition between the 22 nt vsRNAs derived from one hot spot region (genome position 337–1144 (Fig. 5C)) and two cold spot regions (genome position 6227–7031 (Fig. 5D) and 7500–8306 (Fig. 5E) of an adult tick (*Adult pm (A)) showed no striking nucleotide bias in vsRNAs aligning to the regions. In contrast, 24–32 nt vsRNAs were scattered evenly across the genome with a few hot and cold spots (Fig. 4E). These larger vsRNAs were mainly derived from the positive sense of the genome as would be consistent with piRNAs; however, no nucleotide bias as usually seen in ping-pong amplification cycling of piRNAs (U at position 1 in antisense; A at position 10 in sense) was found in Ix. scapularis 24–32 nt vsRNAs (Supplementary Fig. S6A–C). 5’ read distance plots also showed no pattern of ping-pong amplification (data not shown) comparable to those previously reported in insects (Vodovar et al. 2012). The GC content of 24–32 nt vsRNAs was high (64 ± 1.79%), possibly suggesting these were degradation products that were preferentially sequenced due to high GC content. A potential problem could
have been an accidental removal of most sRNAs larger than 24 nt during size selection, yet FASTQC analysis of our data showed that a considerable number of 24–32 nt reads were sequenced (Supplementary Fig. S6D–F).

We next aligned our sRNA data to the *Ix. scapularis* transcriptome (Wikel strain predicted transcript sequences, IscaW1.4 geneset) and four individual *Ix. scapularis* retrotransposons (AY682794, XM_002433619, XM_002415368, and GEFM01000081.1) in order to determine if any endogenous piRNAs can be detected in our samples. However, no bona fide piRNAs with the classic nucleotide bias associated with ping-pong amplification were found—the majority of the sRNAs aligning to the *Ix. scapularis* transcriptome were 22 nt in length (Supplementary Fig. S6G). 24–32 nt sRNAs found aligning to the *Ix. scapularis* transcriptome or retrotransposons had no nucleotide composition typical of piRNAs. However, some notable nucleotide position biases were observed—antisense 27 nt sRNA reads aligning to the *Ix. scapularis* transcriptome had a strong bias for an adenine at position 27 and a bias away from a thymine at position 1 (Supplementary Fig. S6I). Our data show that while 24–32 nt reads were sequenced, no vpiRNAs or endogenous piRNAs containing signatures of the prototypical ping-pong amplification were detected.

### 3.4 Virus-derived sRNA and intrahost POWV genetic diversity

It has been demonstrated, in mosquitoes, that RNAi can be a significant driver of arbovirus genetic diversification (Brackney et al. 2009, 2015). Therefore, we used the matched sRNA and total RNA data to determine how the *Ix. scapularis* RNAi response to POWV infection contributed to intra-tick viral genetic diversity (Fig. 5). First we combined the positive and negative strand 19–32 nt vsRNA data and plotted the mean normalized read count along the length of the *Powassan* virus genome (blue colors) and antigenome (red colors). Data are shown as the mean of the individual life stages. The structural region of the genome is shaded in green, the nonstructural region in pink.
distribution profiles along with the POWV variant frequencies from each tick (Fig. 5A). Here, we included viral variants with frequencies <0.02 (since the previous 0.02 cut-off was set by the lower coverage obtained from mouse serum), but excluded variants >0.02 frequency detected in the input virus so that the variants primarily represent changes that occurred during replication within the hosts. vsRNA targeting was concentrated in the protein coding regions, but variants occurred throughout the genome. Next, we sorted the vsRNA abundance data from the protein coding sequence into quartiles based on the percent
of normalized reads along the genome position (low, medium-low, medium-high, high targeting, Fig. 5B). Each quartile contained 2,562 sites. To look for associations between the level of vsRNA targeting and viral genetic diversification, we calculated richness and complexity for the intra-tick populations within each quartile and did the analysis separately for synonymous and nonsynonymous mutations (Fig. 5C and D). Intra-quartile synonymous and nonsynonymous mutational complexity was calculated using only the predicted synonymous and nonsynonymous sites, respectively, as determined by DnaSP (Librado and Rozas 2009). Synonymous sites significantly increased in genetic richness and complexity from low to high targeting (repeated measures one-way ANOVA post-test for linear trend, richness $P < 0.0001$, complexity $P < 0.001$), but nonsynonymous sites only significantly increased in richness ($P < 0.05$). Therefore, the level of vsRNA targeting was more associated with an increase in synonymous genetic diversity than nonsynonymous. However, the proportional difference in genetic diversity of either mutation type from low to high targeting was not equivalent to the difference in the vsRNA read counts per site (Fig. 5B).

The structural protein region, containing the capsid, pre-membrane, and envelope protein coding sequences, contained significantly more aligned vsRNA reads per site ($P < 0.0001$, paired t test, Fig. 5E) and greater genetic complexity ($P < 0.05$, paired t test, Fig. 5F) than the nonstructural protein region. Again, the abundance of vsRNA and amount of complexity were not directly proportional, likely because purifying selection was strong ($\delta_s/\delta_N < 1$, Fig. 5G). We also compared the ratios of structural to nonstructural complexity formed only by synonymous mutations from intra-mouse and intra-tick populations (Fig. 5H). In mice, the viral populations had significantly lower structural to nonstructural synonymous complexity compared with populations in ticks ($P < 0.05$, Kolmogorov–Smirnov). This suggests that the diversifying selection targeting the structural region of POWV is limited to ticks.

4 Discussion

4.1 Viral demographics during tick-borne transmission

We created a laboratory model to assess the micro-evolutionary processes shaping POWV populations. This in vivo model allowed us to compare the intransit population structure and dynamics during horizontal transmission from mice to ticks as well as during transstadial transmission between tick life stages. It should be noted that while this manuscript refers to POWV throughout, it is specific to POWV lineage 2 (DTV) and therefore, conclusions drawn from this study may not be directly transferrable to POWV lineage 1 which is maintained in an enzootic transmission cycle between Ix. scapularis and the white-footed mouse, Peromyscus leucopus. While both mice, BALB/C mice are more closely related to rats than they are to Peromyscus (Bedford and Hokstra 2015) and therefore it is unknown if BALB/C mice are an accurate model for P. leucopus. Interestingly, the demographics of WNV populations in young chickens do not significantly differ from wild-caught robins, crows or sparrows (Grubaugh et al. 2015). Therefore, if anything like WNV in birds, BALB/C mice may be a suitable surrogate for P. leucopus when assessing POWV population demographics in a mammalian host.

As opposed to mosquitoes that can take multiple blood-meals as adults, ixodid ticks only take one bloodmeal per life stage (Evans 1992). Consequently, virus infecting an immature tick (i.e. larvae or nymph) must persist through molting to be subsequently transmitted. Our data suggest that during transstadial transmission there are relaxed (i.e. wide) bottlenecks that allow most of the viral variants to persist between the tick life stages and thereby limiting the effects of genetic drift and founder’s effect during this process. The reasons for this observation are unknown, but may be related to the very poorly understood process of molting. During molting, it appears that many of the internal tissues are reorganized in the new life stage (Evans 1992). One hypothesis explaining the relaxed bottlenecks associated with transstadial transmission is that populations are able to freely circulate throughout this seemingly disordered process. As a result, POWV populations would not encounter the same anatomical barriers to transmission as WNV populations encounter during the extrinsic incubation period (EIP) of adult mosquitoes (Grubaugh et al. 2016). This is supported by two observations: (1) once ticks become infected, POWV is likely transstadially transmitted with 100% efficiency (data not shown) and (2) newly emerged life stages (i.e. nymphs or adults) are able to transmit POWV immediately upon refeeding (Costero and Grayson 1996; Ebel and Kramer 2004). Alternatively, our observations could be an artifact of sampling
whole ticks. Molting can take months to complete, this time-frame is considerably longer than the average EIP associated with arboviruses in mosquitoes (i.e. ~7–14 days). Consequently, POWV populations may similarly traverse anatomical barriers prior to the completion of molting, replicate and reach stasis prior to emergence of the next life stage. If POWV populations located in the midgut diverticulae (i.e. the initial site of infection) comprise a disproportionate majority of the POWV populations in ticks, our analysis may miss unique subpopulations in other tissue compartments (Grubaugh et al. 2016). Clearly additional research is warranted.

4.2 Tick RNAi response to viral infection

The rationale for characterizing the RNAi response to POWV infection was twofold, (1) to determine if POWV RNA is targeted by the RNAi response during a natural infection in vivo and (2) to determine if RNAi targeting promoted POWV diversification in ticks. The results of our vsRNA profiling have largely confirmed in vivo what other studies have previously observed in vitro (Schnettler et al. 2014). Notably, vsRNAs were predominantly 22 nt in length and originated from both the genome and antigenome with a strong targeting bias toward the 5'-end and to a lesser degree the 3'-end of the genome. In addition, there was a subpopulation of 24–32 nt vsRNAs, yet these lacked signatures of ping-pong amplification typically associated with piRNAs. This observation may be due to the fact that Ix. scapularis lacks an Ago3 homologue which is integral for ping-pong amplification (Schnettler et al. 2014). These characteristics are consistent with findings in cell culture (Schnettler et al. 2014), but they are quite different than those observed in other arbovirus–arthropod systems (Brackney et al. 2009; Schnettler et al. 2013) and further experimentation will be required to elucidate the significance of these observations.

Antiviral RNAi promotes diversification by selecting for rare variants that can avoid sequence complementarity, thus driving variants towards maximum complexity (i.e. 0.5 frequency). Similar to mosquitoes (Brackney et al. 2009; Brackney et al. 2015), we find an association between vsRNA targeting and genetic diversification in ticks that is not present in mice. We further demonstrate that RNAi is more likely to promote diversification of synonymous mutations rather than nonsynonymous. Resistance to nonsynonymous diversification, in all likelihood, is mediated by selective constraint against low fitness (or lethal) amino acid substitutions. Interestingly, despite an observed positive correlation between increased RNAi targeting and increased mutational diversity at synonymous sites, this relationship was not proportional. The degree of RNAi targeting far exceeds that of complexity at synonymous sites. This likely reflects a conflict between intra-tick RNAi-mediated diversification and selective constraint. Synonymous changes conserve the amino acid sequence, but can change the codon, and in the correct circumstances, can disrupt translation and protein function (Kimchi-Sarfaty et al. 2007). While we did not observe a codon usage shift towards the preference in ticks, purifying selection may be acting to remove some synonymous mutations through constraints in codon usage (Haas et al. 1996; Aragones et al. 2008). Furthermore, synonymous mutations could alter the genomic RNA tertiary structure and consequently impact the fitness of the mutated genome thereby imposing a selective constraint on the accumulation of synonymous changes (Pirakitikul et al. 2016). Resistance to genetic diversification is most clearly demonstrated when comparing the structural and nonstructural protein coding regions. Significantly more vsRNAs were produced from the structural regions than from the nonstructural; yet, the differences in genetic complexity were not proportional. It appears that the structural protein region of the genome is less likely to create RNAi-escape mutants due to other fitness trade-offs. For the tick, this may be an advantage. One hypothesis is that RNAi targeting of this conserved region may be more effective at controlling viral replication and virus-induced pathogenesis. Regardless, further examination on the role of the tick RNAi pathway on POWV diversification is needed.

4.3 Comparison to mosquito-borne viruses

Many different forces act to influence the evolutionary outcomes of arboviruses, including bottlenecks (genetic drift) (Ciota et al. 2012; Forrester et al. 2012; Gutierrez et al. 2015; Grubaugh et al. 2016; Lequime et al. 2016), control of viral replication (purifying selection) (Grubaugh et al. 2015), viral adaptation (positive selection) (Brault et al. 2007; Moudy et al. 2007; Tsetsarkin et al. 2007; 2014; 2017; Stapleford et al. 2014), and the arthropod RNAi response (diversifying selection) (Brackney et al. 2009, 2015). In the WNV system, evolution acts in a way to constrain genetic diversity in birds (Jerzak et al. 2008; Grubaugh et al. 2015) and promote diversity in mosquitoes (Jerzak et al. 2005; 2007; Grubaugh et al. 2016). These patterns are strikingly different in the POWV system where diversity is greater in mice than in ticks. The reason for the differences between the two systems is unknown. One factor contributing to this discrepancy could be that POWV populations were analyzed from a single mouse tissue (i.e. sera) and multiple tissues from the tick (i.e. whole body). However, if this were a contributing factor, we would expect that the combined diversity of the POWV subpopulations derived from multiple tick tissues would be greater than the diversity associated with populations derived from a single mouse tissue. Alternatively, biological and physiological differences between the vertebrate hosts (mammals vs. birds) and the invertebrate vectors (ticks vs. mosquitoes) may present unique environmental challenges during arboviral infection. It could be that purifying selection is inherently strong within birds and ticks while relaxed in mammals and mosquitoes. This is supported by the observation that dengue virus, another mosquito-borne virus, accumulates slightly more diversity in the mammalian host (i.e. humans) than in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes (Lin et al. 2004; Sim et al. 2015). Experiments evaluating arbovirus demographics within non-natural hosts and vectors are needed to gain insight into the mechanistic underpinnings of these observations.

4.4 Model for tick-borne virus evolution

We propose a model for tick-borne virus evolution based on the Ix. scapularis life cycle (Fig. 6). Following horizontal transmission, POWV rapidly diversifies within the mammalian host. Subsequently, POWV encounters a severe bottleneck during transmission to ticks thereby facilitating genetic drift and founder's effect. Combined with the diversification in the mammal, the majority of the genetic change (~one to two mutations per genome) occurs during these few days of horizontal transmission. Once in the tick, the viral populations remain proportionally stable during transstadial transmission as a result of relaxed bottlenecks. As opposed to mosquitoes, viral purifying selection remains strong in ticks. To that effect, POWV resists much of the pressure to diversify in response to RNAi. In mosquitoes, RNAi is a significant driving force for arbovirus
diversification (Brackney et al. 2009, 2015), but the strong purifying selection in ticks constrains this diversity, especially from nonsynonymous mutations.

In our system, we observed that the majority of POWV genetic divergence occurred during horizontal transmission. However, its slow rate of evolution in nature indicates that horizontal transmission is infrequent (Brackney et al. 2008; Brackney et al. 2010; Pesko et al. 2010). We previously predicted that the rates of POWV evolution were $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-4}$ substitutions per site per year (Pesko et al. 2010), or $0.5–2$ mutations per genome per year. In this study we observed $\sim$one to two mutations during horizontal transmission. Therefore, based on that estimate, we expect that transmission between hosts occurs on average about once a year. This is consistent with the expected frequency of horizontal transmission during a typical Ix. scapularis life cycle where it can take between 16 and 20 months from its first bloodmeal (as a larva) to its last (as an adult) (Ostfeld et al. 1996; Nonaka et al. 2010). In addition, viral population divergence may occur through alternate routes of inter-tick transmission not directly assessed here. For example, co-feeding and vertical transmission are predicted to be critical components of maintaining POWV persistence in nature (Nonaka et al. 2010). Ticks infected by co-feeding on a non-viremic host presumably impose similar bottlenecks on viral populations as horizontal transmission. Similarly, POWV infection of the germ-line would presumably impose severe genetic bottlenecks during vertical transmission. Both routes likely factor into the rates of tick-borne virus evolution and will require further experimentation.

The slow rates of tick-borne virus evolution (Pesko et al. 2010; Uzcategui et al. 2012) are likely due to a combination of genetic constraint in ticks and few opportunities for interhost transmission. Mosquito-borne viruses evolve two- to fivefold faster (Jenkins et al. 2002; Snapinn et al. 2007), certainly influenced by the genetic diversity produced within the vector (Jerzak et al. 2005, 2007; Grubaugh et al. 2016), but also by the number of horizontal transmission cycles completed per season (Fig. 6). Overall, it is clear that arbovirus ecology can directly influence evolution and continued experimental evolution studies such as these will be necessary to fully understand its contribution.

Supplementary data
Supplementary data are available at Virus Evolution online.
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