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Background: Although the Nordic Hamstring Exercise (NHE) prevents hamstring injury in soccer players effectively, the annual incidence of these injuries still increases. This may be because of poor long-term compliance with the program. Furthermore, the timing and amplitude of gluteal and core muscle activation seem to play an important role in hamstring injury prevention, the NHE program was not designed to improve activation of these muscles. Therefore, we propose plyometric training as an alternative to reduce hamstring injuries in soccer players.

Purpose: To determine the preventive effect of the Bounding Exercise Program (BEP) on hamstring injury incidence and severity in adult male amateur soccer players.

Study design: A cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial.

Methods: Thirty-two soccer teams competing in the first-class amateur league were cluster-randomized into the intervention or control group. Both groups were instructed to perform their regular training program, and the intervention group additionally performed BEP. Information about player characteristics was gathered at baseline and exposure, hamstring injuries and BEP compliance were weekly registered during one season (2016-2017).
1 | INTRODUCTION

Hamstring injuries are the most common muscle injuries in amateur soccer and account for 15% of all injuries in adult male soccer players. The high incidence rate (0.7/1000 soccer hours), together with a high recurrence rate (12%-30%) and long rehabilitation (mean >28 days), makes this injury a major problem in soccer.1,3,4 Hamstring injuries can be classified as sprint-type injuries and stretching-type injuries, with sprint-type hamstring injuries being the most common in soccer.1,5 The sprint-type hamstring injury occurs mostly in the late swing phase, when the hamstring undergoes a stretch-shortening cycle.6,7 In this phase, the hamstring eccentrically contracts to decelerate hip flexion and knee extension. Subsequently, it keeps this position of the hip and knee isometrically and concentrically contracts to accelerate for the next foot step.6,7

To prevent this hamstring injury, the Nordic hamstring exercise program is developed. Several studies indicated the preventive effect of this exercise program.4,12 The Nordic hamstring exercise, by itself or incorporated in an injury prevention program, can reduce the hamstring injury rate when compliance is high.13 Although effective programs, like these, have been developed to prevent hamstring injuries, the incidence of hamstring injuries in professional soccer players competing in the UEFA is still increasing by 4% annually.14 As in professional soccer (UEFA), in amateur soccer poor long-term compliance probably limits the effectiveness of interventions such as the NHE.15,16 Reasons for not performing this effective program are poor knowledge of the (effectiveness of the) program and lack of motivation because the exercises are not specific to soccer (submitted data). Soccer coaches in particular do not consider the NHE as soccer-specific enough.17 This is a problem in compliance with injury prevention programs in team sports like soccer, since coaches are crucial implementation components.18

In addition to the low compliance with injury prevention programs, eccentric strength training might be less effective than plyometric training. Recent studies suggest that the timing of hamstring muscle activation and the timing and amplitude of gluteal and abdominal muscle activation are important for preventing hamstring injuries. Both can be improved by plyometric exercises.19,20 These exercises strengthen the elastic properties of connective tissue, increase motor unit activation, increase passive tension of the muscle-tendon complex, and improve cross-bridge mechanics.21,22 This improves eccentric strength, joint stiffness, and neuromuscular control, all variables associated with the occurrence of hamstring injuries.23,24

Therefore, a new functional, soccer-specific program was developed to reduce hamstring injuries, the bounding exercise program (BEP).26 The BEP aims to improve long-term compliance and increase both eccentric strength and neuromuscular control. The BEP consists of a gradual build-up from concentric, eccentric to plyometric exercises that can easily be incorporated in regular soccer training and which can be performed individually. The exercises are focused specifically on the late swing phase, during which most hamstring injuries occur, and accentuate the horizontal speed to cause optimal loading of the hamstring muscle.26 Plyometric exercises also increase functional performance in tasks common to soccer, such as sprinting and jumping. This might increase implementation of the program in regular training, thereby potentially increasing compliance.27,28 As little is known about the effectiveness of the BEP, the aim of this study was to assess the preventive effect of a functional, soccer-specific BEP on the incidence and severity of hamstring injuries in adult male amateur soccer players.

2 | METHODS

The design of this prospective cluster-randomized controlled trial has been described extensively in the research protocol.26

2.1 | Study setting

This study was carried out in close collaboration with the FIFA Medical Center, Royal Netherlands Football Association
(KNVB). In this prospective, cluster-randomized controlled trial, the BEP was investigated in a real-world context among male amateur soccer players in the first-class amateur league. On average, players have two training sessions and one match a week during the 39-week soccer season. This study design was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the University Medical Center Utrecht (16-332/C), registered in the Dutch Trial Registry (NTR6129) and was partly funded by The Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMw), and the KNVB.

2.2 | Eligibility criteria

Male amateur soccer players aged 18-45 years and playing in a first-class league soccer team were eligible to participate in this study. Players who were injured at the start of the study participated from the moment they returned to play. All players received a patient information letter and signed an informed consent before the start of the study. Players who joined the team after the start of the 2016-2017 season could not participate in the study.

2.3 | Randomization procedure

Randomization was done by a cluster-randomization procedure. All teams were considered as clusters to avoid a risk of contamination between the players within a team.30 Teams were randomized independently by an online randomizer (https://www.randomizer.org/), and an equal number of teams were assigned to the intervention and control groups.

2.4 | Intervention

The bounding exercise program (BEP) is a 12-week build-up program (concentric to eccentric to plyometric exercises) and a maintenance program that takes approximately 3-5 minutes to complete.26

The intervention group performed the BEP (Table 1 and Figure 1A,B,C) in addition to their regular soccer training. After randomization, all coaches and medical staff of the included soccer clubs attended a workshop in their area to practice how to instruct players to perform the exercises, in order to ensure high-quality performance of the BEP. The control group performed their usual soccer training. Two researchers visited all participating teams to answer questions and monitor the BEP in the intervention group.

2.5 | Data collection

Weekly, every player received four or five questions (control or intervention group, respectively) regarding the incidence of hamstring and other injuries, training and match exposure, and compliance with the program (intervention group only). All players could choose to receive the questions by email or short message service (SMS). If a time-loss hamstring injury31 occurred, the player and medical staff received an additional questionnaire by email regarding the type, location, timing, and occurrence of injury.

2.6 | Outcomes

The primary outcomes were the incidence of hamstring injuries per 1000 soccer hours and the severity of these injuries. The secondary outcome was compliance with the BEP, calculated as the meters performed divided by total number of meters they performed during regular competition times 100%. The compliance for BEP is measured per player.

2.7 | Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed with the statistical language and software program “R”.32 Because of a total average of around 50% of missing data points from the weekly self-reports, multilevel multivariate imputation by chained equations was performed to impute missing data for weekly match and training exposure, thereby accounting for the repeated measurement structure of the data, using the mice R-package.33 Multilevel logistic regression analysis (accounting for the cluster randomization) was performed on imputed data to evaluate and test differences in “any hamstring injury” occurring between the intervention and control groups during the soccer season. Compliance with

| TABLE 1 | Bounding exercise program |
|---------|---------------------------|
| Week   | Program                   |
| 1    | 2 × 30 m walking lunges (2 × 10) |
| 2    | 3 × 30 m walking lunges (3 × 10) |
| 3    | 3 × 30 m walking lunges + 1 × 30 m triplings + droplunges |
| 4    | 2 × 30 m triplings + droplunges (2 × 10) |
| 5    | 3 × 30 m triplings + droplunges (3 × 10) |
| 6    | 3 × 30 m triplings + droplunges + 1 × 30 m bounding |
| 7    | 2 × 20 m bounding (±7 jumps) |
| 8    | 3 × 20 m bounding (±7 jumps) |
| 9    | 4 × 20 m bounding (±7 jumps) |
| 10   | 3 × 30 m bounding (±10 jumps) |
| 11   | 4 × 30 m bounding (±10 jumps) |
| 12   | 4 × 30 m bounding (in the fewest possible jumps) |
| 13 until end of the soccer season | 3 × 30 m bounding (in the fewest possible jumps) |
the program was taken as a covariate in this model. In addition, differences in time-to-first injury between the intervention and control groups were evaluated using a Frailty Cox-regression model. Within the subgroup of players who had at least one hamstring injury during the soccer season, differences in days of absence of soccer between the control and intervention groups were tested using the Wilcoxon Rank test.
3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Population

A total of 80 teams were asked to participate in this RCT. Thirty-two teams, accounting for a total of 588 male soccer players, were included in the study. Sixteen teams (N = 305 players) were randomly assigned to the intervention group and 16 teams (N = 283 players) to the control group. During the study, 188 players were lost to follow-up (32%), because they did not complete the baseline questionnaire (N = 140), they did not answer the weekly questions (N = 27), they had a severe injury (N = 7), or they stopped playing soccer or transferred to another club (N = 14). Consequently, the data of 400 players were included in the final analysis, 229 in the intervention group and 171 in the control group (Figure 2 – Flowchart). Baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 2.

3.2 | Exposure

During the 39-week 2016-2017 season, the total time at risk was 139 hours per player (97 hours training and 42 hours playing matches) in the intervention group and 127 hours per player (90 hours training and 37 hours playing matches) in the control group.

3.3 | Hamstring injury

There were 65 hamstring injuries, of which 57 were primary hamstring injuries and 8 recurrent hamstring injuries. Thirty-one primary hamstring injuries occurred in the intervention group and 26 in the control group; 4 recurrent hamstring injuries occurred in each group (Table 3). Of the hamstring injuries, 35% were acute injuries and 54% were overuse injuries; the nature of the remaining 11% of the injuries was unknown. Hamstring muscle strains and partial ruptures were the most common types of injury and accounted for 59% of the injuries. Most hamstring injuries occurred during sprinting (39%), followed by jumping (10%) and cutting (6%). Twenty-nine hamstring injuries occurred during a match, 11 players reported the initial hamstring pain after match play, 2 occurred during the pre-match warming-up, and 15 occurred during training; the origin of 8 injuries was not known.

3.4 | Incidence and severity

The number of hamstring injuries divided by the exposure time resulted in an overall injury incidence of 1.12/1000 soccer hours for the intervention group and 1.39/1000 soccer hours for the control group. Intention-to-treat analysis showed no statistically significant difference between the intervention and control groups in any hamstring injury during the season (OR = 0.89, 95% CI 0.46-1.75), and no significant difference in time-to-first hamstring injury (HR = 0.90, 95% CI = 0.48-1.70).

The mean number of days off play was 33.0 ± 42.7 in the intervention group and 21.35 ± 12.7 days in the control group. Wilcoxon Rank Testing for differences in injury severity between the intervention and control groups showed no statistically significant difference in injury severity (W = 344, P = 0.48).
Overall compliance with the BEP was 71%. Figure 3 shows the average number of BEP meters per week. Total compliance was taken as a covariate in the multilevel logistic regression analysis, which showed no evidence of a preventive effect of the BEP on the incidence and severity of hamstring injuries.

In line with earlier studies, two out of three hamstring injuries occurred during matches and almost half of the hamstring injuries occurred during high-speed running or sprinting. The most common type of injury was hamstring muscle strains. In this study, the incidence of hamstring injuries was higher than in previous studies, namely 1.3 per 1000 player hours in comparison with 0.7 per 1000 player hours, and the severity of hamstring injuries was also different. In this study, 28% of the hamstring injuries resulted in fewer than 7 days off play compared with 17% in the NHE study; however, the incidence of severe injuries was in line with other studies. A possible explanation for this discrepancy is a difference in the injury registration system used. In previous studies, the coaches or medical staff registered the injuries and registration forms were sent by email. In our study, we used email and SMS services and players reported hamstring injuries and exposure, with the injuries being confirmed by the medical staff. However, this approach may have led to a higher number of hamstring injuries than reported in other injury prevention studies.

Despite the expected high compliance and effectiveness, the BEP did not reduce the risk or severity of injuries. Compliance is an important factor in reducing the incidence of injuries.
during, but more importantly after, medical trials.\textsuperscript{37} Even though the BEP was developed to be easily incorporated into soccer training, overall compliance was 71\% and lower than compliance with the NHE.\textsuperscript{4} This might be because the BEP intervention lasted longer than the NHE intervention, 39 weeks (one whole season) compared with 12 weeks, respectively.\textsuperscript{4} During this study, the BEP meters decreased almost linearly with time (Figure 2), which suggests that the lower compliance was in part due to the longer intervention period. A longer intervention period was chosen to prevent the detraining effects seen in previous studies, which reported a significant decrease in muscle power output and an increase in sprint time over 10 and 20 m after a 4- to 6-week detraining period.\textsuperscript{38,39}

Another possible reason for the lack of effect of the BEP might be in the technique during the exercises. Although the BEP was designed in accordance with the prescribed training parameters of a plyometric program,\textsuperscript{24,40} and met the specificity criteria for focusing on the late swing phase,\textsuperscript{41} the quality of execution of the bounding exercise might influence its effect on the actual dose reaching the hamstring muscle. Unlike NHE, which is a mono-articular exercise and therefore relatively easy to perform, the bounding exercise has multiple degrees of freedom, is a dynamic exercise, and needs better neuromuscular control for good performance. The bounding exercise focuses on reaching a horizontal speed as high as possible, which is in line with an increasing load by a higher sprint speed.\textsuperscript{8-10} If a player fails to reach a speed as high as possible, it might reduce the effect on the hamstring muscle during the late swing phase.\textsuperscript{8,42}

A final explanation is that the Bounding Exercise Program as we developed it does not sufficiently load the hamstring or the lumbo-pelvic region sufficiently to gain a preventive effect. In the first six weeks, we included triplings, lunges, and drop lunges in order to prepare players for bounding. However, it is questionable if this already resulted in improvement of hamstring strength.\textsuperscript{43,44} In the following six weeks, bounding was introduced. Although there is extensive evidence that plyometric training can increase eccentric strength, none of these studies specifically focus in the hamstrings. Therefore, it remains unclear if the current program does lead to the positive adaptations that we expected.

The BEP was based on recent knowledge of plyometric training\textsuperscript{21,22,24,27,28} and implemented in a nationwide trial. Hamstring injuries were prospectively recorded via an online registration method and were confirmed by medical staff. This method was highly accessible to the participants, but the burden of weekly registration for a full season may have resulted in missing data. This problem of missing data was resolved by using sophisticated statistical analyses, and the effectiveness of BEP was analyzed with advanced innovative statistical techniques, including multilevel multiple imputation for missing data and multilevel analysis models to account for clustering effects.

Although plyometric training was expected to contribute to hamstring injury prevention (eg, increased eccentric strength, improved timing and amplitude of hamstring, gluteal, and core muscle activation, and increased passive stretch in muscle tendon complex), to date, there is no evidence that the BEP reduces hamstring injuries. This, in combination with the lower compliance with the program, raises the question whether functional and sport-specific exercises are better than less functional and complex exercises. For now, the NHE seems to be the most effective hamstring injury prevention program in male amateur soccer players. Since functional exercises are usually harder to perform and technique is important for the good-quality performance of these exercises,\textsuperscript{45} a limitation of this large-scale intervention study is that we could not monitor how well individual players performed the BEP because of the large amount of participants. We used a top-down strategy to implement the program in the intervention group, with workshops being organized to teach staff members how to instruct, and if necessary correct,
players in how to perform the BEP. All teams in the intervention group received an instruction video and hard-copy instructions. During the soccer season, the researchers visited all teams at least twice to monitor the intervention in the real-life setting. Ideally, the researchers should have visited all teams on a weekly basis to monitor performance of the BEP, but this was not logistically possible.

Future research could assess the quality of performance of the BEP and determine the load during bounding exercises. This could also provide insight into which technique results in optimal adaptation after a 12-week program. Although the BEP did not result in primary prevention, we do not know whether it reduced the rate of injury recurrence. This could be investigated by studying only those players with previous injuries.

5 | CONCLUSION

This large cluster-randomized controlled trial found no evidence that a new functional injury prevention exercise program prevented hamstring injuries in adult male amateur soccer players.

6 | PERSPECTIVE

Effective hamstring injury prevention programs did not accomplish an annual decrease of hamstring injuries in male amateur soccer players. One of the main reasons is long-term compliance for these programs. Besides the compliance, not only eccentric hamstring strength but also gluteal and core muscle activation patterns seem to be important factors in hamstring injury prevention. This large cluster-randomized controlled trial is the first large trial investigating the preventive effects of plyometric training on hamstring injury incidence and severity in adult male amateur soccer players. This study did not find evidence for a preventive effect of BEP in its current form. Reasons for this result can be found in a lower compliance than expected and quality of performance of the BEP. A lower compliance and poor quality of performance could both lead to undertraining which could explain the absence of preventive effect. Finally, it could also be argued that the load of the hamstring or lumbo-pelvic region was insufficient to gain protective adaptations, regardless of the quantity and quality of BEP.
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