Diversity and Bioactive Potential of Culturable Fungal Endophytes of Medicinal Shrub *Berberis aristata* DC.: A First Report
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**ABSTRACT**

Bioactive natural compounds, isolated from fungal endophytes, play a promising role in the search for novel drugs. They are an inspiring source for researchers due to their enormous structural diversity and complexity. During the present study fungal endophytes were isolated from a well-known medicinal shrub, *Berberis aristata* DC. and were explored for their antagonistic and antioxidant potential. *B. aristata*, an important medicinal shrub with remarkable pharmacological properties, is native to Northern Himalayan region. A total of 131 endophytic fungal isolates belonging to eighteen species and nine genera were obtained from three hundred and thirty surface sterilized segments of different tissues of *B. aristata*. The isolated fungi were classified on the basis of morphological and molecular analysis. Diversity and species richness was found to be higher in leaf tissues as compared to root and stem. Antibacterial activity demonstrated that the crude ethyl acetate extract of 80% isolates exhibited significant results against one or more bacterial pathogens. Ethyl acetate extract of *Alternaria macrospora* was found to have potential antibacterial activity. Significant antioxidant activity was also found in crude ethyl acetate extracts of *Alternaria alternata* and *Aspergillus flavus*. Similarly, antagonistic activity of the fungal endophytes revealed that all antagonists possessed inhibition potential against more than one fungal pathogen. This study is an important step towards tapping endophytic fungal diversity for bioactive metabolites which could be a step forward towards development of novel therapeutic agents.

1. Introduction

Endophytes constitute a remarkably multifarious group of microorganisms ubiquitous in plants and maintain an imperceptible association with their host for at least a part of their life cycle. Their enormous biological diversity coupled with their capability to biosynthesize bioactive secondary metabolites has provided the impetus for a number of investigations. Among the microbial group the most frequently isolated endophytes are the fungi. First endophytic fungi was identified and isolated from *Lolium pericum* (Persian darnel) [1]. Endophytic fungi are considered as an outstanding source of bioactive natural products because there are so many of them occupying millions of unique biological niches growing in different types of environment. Plants harbouring fungal endophytes are often healthier than endophyte free ones. Bioactive natural compounds isolated from endophytes have been playing a promising role in the search for novel drugs and have become an inspiring source for researchers due to their enormous structural diversity and complexity [2]. The number of secondary metabolites produced by fungal endophytes is larger than that of any other endophytic microorganism class. *Berberis aristata* DC. is one of the most important medicinal shrub native to Northern Himalayan region. It is also known as Indian barberry or tree turmeric. The plant is widely distributed from Himalayas to Srilanka (altitude 6–10,000 ft), Bhutan (altitude 6–10,000 ft) and hilly areas of Nepal in Himalayan regions. It is also found in Nilgiri hills (altitude 6–7000 ft) in South India. It is known locally as Chitra, Daruhradra in Sanskrit, Dar-hald in Hindi, Dar - e - hald in Urdu [3]. *B. aristata* has remarkable pharmacological properties [4].

Almost every part of *B. aristata* has medicinal value for various ailments. *B. aristata* extracts and formulations are useful in the treatment of gynecological disorders, HIV-AIDS, mitochondrial dysfunction, pharyngitis and various other infections [5,6]. Decoctions of *B. aristata* have also been demonstrated to have antimicrobial activity against a variety of organisms including bacteria, viruses, fungi, protozoans, helminths and Chlamydia [7]. Root and stem of *B. aristata* is used in Indian medical...
preparations, as a hepatoprotector [8,9]. Stem, bark and root extracts have been reported to possess antioxidant, antidiabetic and anti-inflammatory activity [10]. Pharmacological studies on the plant show other activities including, anticancer, analgesic and anti-pyretic [11].

Based on the scientific investigations on B. aristata, we postulate that these properties may be due to the production of various metabolites by endophytes residing inside the plant. Endophytes have a significant potential as a source of novel bioactive metabolites. Keeping in view the medicinal importance of the host, the present study was carried out to isolate fungal endophytes from various tissues of B. aristata and assess their bioactive potential. The present work, to the best of our knowledge is the first report on the isolation of fungal endophytes from B. aristata and assessment of their bioactive potential.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material

The plant material was collected from symptomless plants growing in the fields located at Sial Sui, District Rajouri, J&K, India; Geographical position 33.23 latitude and 74.35 longitude; altitude 2275 feet above sea level. Various plant parts like stem, leaves and roots were collected from healthy and symptomless plants and kept in zip lock bags at 4 °C until further use.

2.2. Isolation of endophytic fungi from the different plant parts of B. aristata

The isolation of endophytes from B. aristata was done by using surface sterilization technique [12]. Sterilization involved washing of selected plant parts in running water for 10 min followed by washing twice in distilled water for 1 min and then giving treatment with 70% ethanol for 1 min. Leaves were then treated with 0.5% sodium hypochlorite for 30 s while stem and roots were treated with 0.5% sodium hypochlorite for 1 min. The samples were again treated with 70% ethanol for 1 min. Each step was followed by washing with autoclaved distilled water for 2 min. Surface sterilized plant parts were then dried by pressing them in between the folds of sterile filter paper. After proper sterilization, the samples were cut into small segments (0.5–1 cm). The segments were placed on potato dextrose agar plates at 28 ± 2 °C for isolation of the fungal endophytes. Last washing of the surface sterilized segments spread on potato dextrose agar plates served as control. Effectiveness of the surface sterilization method was also validated by Schultz imprinting method [13].

2.3. Identification of endophytic fungi

The endophytic fungi were identified on the basis of morphological and molecular analysis. Molecular identification was done using ITS primers. DNA was isolated using CTAB method [14]. The isolated DNA was air dried, dissolved in TE buffer and stored at −20 °C for further use.

PCR was performed using the primers ITS1 (5'TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG3') and ITS-4 (5'TCTCCGCTTATGATATGC3'). One micro liter of each purified DNA sample (50 ng/μl) was used as a template and added to the PCR master mixture which consisted of 1X PCR buffer, 2 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM dNTPs, 1 U/μl Taq polymerase, and 0.1 pM of each primer. The PCR thermal cycle parameters used were 94°C for 5 min, 30 cycles of 1 min at 94°C annealing at 55°C, 1 min at 72°C, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCR reaction was carried out in Mastercycler gradient machine (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The amplified products were checked on 2% agarose gel, eluted and sequenced. The obtained sequences were compared with NCBI databases using the BLAST algorithm. Sequences were submitted to GenBank and accession numbers were obtained. Phylogenetic analysis were conducted using MEGA version 6.

2.4. Fermentation and extraction of secondary metabolites from fungal endophytes

Submerged fermentation of the endophytic fungi was done for the production of secondary metabolites [15]. Four agar plugs (8 mm diameter) of actively growing fungal culture were inoculated into 200 ml of autoclaved potato dextrose broth in 1000 ml of Erlenmeyer flasks. The flasks were then incubated at 28 °C under continuous shaking for 14 days at 120 rpm. Flasks were taken out on the 15th day from the incubator shaker. The fungal biomass was filtered using autoclaved glass funnel, filter paper and muslin cloth. Fungal metabolites were extracted by solvent fractionation and Soxhlet extraction methods using ethyl acetate and 80% ethanol respectively.

2.5. Antibacterial activity

Crude ethyl acetate and ethanolic extracts of the fungal isolates were evaluated for their antibacterial potential against some common human pathogenic microorganisms. The bacterial cultures were obtained from MTCC: Pseudomonas aeruginosa (MTCC-1934), Bacillus subtilis (MTCC-441), Klebsiella pneumonia (MTCC-109), Pseudomonas alkaligenes (MTCC-493), Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis (MTCC-439), Bacillus cereus
(MTCC-430), and Escherichia coli (MTCC-40).

Antibacterial potential of the extracts was assessed using well diffusion method [16]. Specific number of wells were punched with a sterile cork borer (6 mm diameter) in each plate. 100 μl of various concentrations of the test samples and control (positive and negative) were added separately into wells. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was used as a negative control and standard antibiotic chloramphenicol (10 μg/ml) was used as the positive control. The plates were allowed to stand for 1 h for diffusion of the extracts followed by incubation for 24 h at 37 ± 2°C. The antagonistic potential of different extracts of endophytic isolates was determined in terms of diameter of clear bactericidal zone around the well. The crude extracts which showed clear zone around the wells were considered as positive whereas those not showing clear zone around the well were considered as negative. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the selected crude extracts of the fungal endophytes showing more than 10 mm of the clear zone was determined using concentrations ranging from 50 to 1000 μg/ml, against specific pathogenic bacteria.

2.6. Antifungal activity

Antagonistic potential of the endophytic fungal isolates was assessed against common fungal pathogens obtained from MTCC: Microsorum canis (MTCC-3270), Candida albicans (MTCC-7253), Alternaria alternata (MTCC-2724), Penicillium chrysogenum (MTCC-6891), Aspergillus fumigatus (MTCC-3926), Colletotrichum capsici (MTCC-3414), and Candida glabrata (MTCC-3019) using the dual culture method [17] with slight modifications. In dual culture technique both the test pathogen and the anticipatory antagonist were made to grow on a same plate separated by some distance. The antagonistic potential of the latter was determined in terms of reduction in the radial growth of test pathogen in presence of antagonist. Actively growing cultures of endophytic fungal isolates, were inoculated on one side of a PDA plate. The culture was allowed to grow for three days and the culture agar plug from actively growing pathogen culture was placed at a distance of 6 cm from the preplaced growing antagonist. Agar plugs of endophytic fungal isolates, inoculated on one side of a PDA plate served as control. The plates were then incubated at 28 ± 2°C. After 10 d, the growth diameter of pathogenic culture in presence of antagonist as well as in absence of antagonist was measured. The percentage growth inhibition (PGI) of the fungal pathogens by different antagonists (fungal endophytes) was determined by using the formula [18]

\[
\text{PGI} = \left( \frac{(R_1 - R_2)}{R_1} \right) \times 100
\]

where “\(R_1\)” is the radial growth of fungal pathogen in the absence of antagonist; “\(R_2\)” is the radial growth of fungal pathogen in the presence of antagonist. The PGI was categorized on growth inhibition category (GIC) scale from 0 to 4 where 0 = no growth inhibition; 1 = 1–25% growth inhibition; 2 = 26–50% growth inhibition; 3 = 51–75% growth inhibition; 4 = 76–100% growth inhibition. Based on PGI endophytes were considered as antagonists. Antagonist showing PGI value greater than 50% were considered as potential biocontrol agents against the fungal test pathogens.

2.7. Antioxidant activity

The crude extracts of the endophytic fungal isolates were screened for antioxidant activity using DPPH radical scavenging assay [19]. Different concentrations of the extracts dissolved in methanol (0.5 ml) were mixed with 1 ml of a methanolic solution of 0.2 mM DPPH (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). After mixing thoroughly, the mixture was allowed to stand in the dark for 30 min and the absorbance was measured at 523 nm using methanol for the baseline correction. The results were then compared with those of the control prepared as above but without any sample. Radical scavenging activity was expressed as a percentage and was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\% \text{ Scavenging} = \left( \frac{A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

where A: Absorbance

2.8. Statistical analysis

The colonization frequency of endophytic fungi was calculated using the formula:

\[
\text{CF(\%)} = \left( \frac{N}{N_t} \right) \times 100
\]

where \(N\): Number of isolates obtained; \(N_t\): Total number of segments inoculated.

Similarity indices were used to compare similarity of endophytic fungal assemblage among various tissues. Sorensen’s index of similarity, \(\frac{2a}{2a + b + c + d}\), where \(a\) is the number of common species of endophytic fungi in leaf, stem, and root tissues and \(b, c, d\) correspond to the species specified to leaf, stem and root tissues respectively. Jaccard’s index of similarity was calculated using the formula, \(\frac{a}{a + b + c + d}\), where “\(a\)” is the number of fungal endophytes common in leaf, stem and root tissues whereas \(b, c, d\) correspond to the species specific to leaf, stem and root tissues respectively.
The fungal diversity among mycological populations was estimated using various diversity indices. Simpson’s index of dominance (D) was calculated using the formula, \( D = \sum \frac{n(n-1)}{N^2} \), where \( n \): Total number of isolates of particular species; \( N \): Total number of isolates of all species. Simpson’s diversiy index \( = \frac{1}{1-D} \). Species richness \( = S/(N^{1/2}) \), where \( S \): Total number of species. The Shannon–Wiener index \( (H') \) was calculated as \( (p_i \ln p_i) \), where \( p_i = \frac{n}{N} \). Species evenness \( (E) \) was evaluated by \( H'/\ln S \). The range of antibacterial activity of endophytic isolates was calculated as the number of bacteria inhibited by each fungal endophyte divided by the total number of bacteria tested and multiplied by 100.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Isolation and identification of fungal endophytes

Endophytes have been found virtually in every plant studied, where they colonize the internal tissues of their host plant and can form a range of relationships including symbiotic, mutualistic and commensalistic. Endophytes residing within medicinal plants are exploited more as compared to other plants as they are considered as the rich source of bioactivemtabolites [20]. A few reports are available on exploration of fungal endophytes, which may be due to their slow growth rate and strenuous handling [21]. Biological activities of the plants can be attributed to the endophytes inhabiting within them [22]. Literature survey has shown that many medicinal plants have been explored for their fungal endophytes. However, to the best of our knowledge there is no report available for \( B. aristata \). Therefore, the present study is the first report on the isolation and identification of fungal endophytes from \( B. aristata \).

A total of 131 endophytic fungal isolates belonging to 18 species and 10 genera were obtained from 330 surface sterilized segments of different tissues of \( B. aristata \). In terms of total isolates under endophytic taxa, 10 were isolated from leaves, three from...
stem and seven from root segments. Leaf segments were found to inhabit more endophytes than segments of other tissues (Figure 1). These fungal endophytes were identified on the molecular basis using internal transcribed spacer regions and 5.8S rRNA gene sequencing and NCBI-BLAST algorithm. BLAST search yielded high homology with the respective species in the GenBank database from NCBI. Molecular identification of the selected fungal endophytes on the basis of sequence analysis revealed that endophytic fungi belonged to 10 genera and 18 different species (Table 1).

In leaf tissues Alternaria macrospora was found to have highest colonization rate (13.6%), in roots Fusarium solani with highest colonization rate (13.6%) whereas F. lateritium from stem was ranked first in terms of its colonization rate (9%). Species of Alternaria and Aspergillus were restricted only to leaf tissues, whereas Fusarium spp. were restricted to stem and root tissues. One of the possible reasons for the difference in the colonization rate between plant parts is the structure and substrate which influences the colonization and distribution of endophytic fungi [23].

During the present study Fusarium spp. was the dominant taxa. It preferentially colonized the host stem and root tissues. Previous studies have also indicated the host-species specificity of the endophytes [24]. However, there are other factors like soil conditions, climate and the dynamics of soil microflora that may also influence the colonization of endophytes in the plant tissues [23,25]. The most frequently isolated fungal endophyte was F. solani. It has also been reported as an endophyte in many other medicinal plants [26,27]. Although, a number of fungal species can be recovered from the plant, only a few are found frequently and become dominating genera of the host species [28].

In the current study A. macrospora was isolated as an endophyte from the leaves of B. aristata. Previously, it has been isolated as a pathogen that infects mostly Brassica spp. including some important crops such as broccoli, cabbage, and oil seed rape [29]. There are no reports available on its isolation as an endophyte from any medicinal plants. To the best of our knowledge, there is no report on isolation of A. macrospora as an endophyte. The difference in endophytic assemblage in different tissue types might be a capacity for utilizing the substrate along with factors like tissue physiology and chemistry [24]. The larger surface area of the leaves and the presence of stomata may provide passage to the entry of fungal mycelia, harboring different endophytic fungi [30,31]. Many species of Alternaria are common epiphytes, but can also occur as endophytes as supported by the work of many workers [32]. Some of the isolates obtained in the current study like Myrothecium inundatum, A. alternata, A. solani, F. oxysporum, F. solani, Phomopsis tersa, Colletotrichum coccoides, C. gleosporioides, Phyllosticta capitalensis, Clonostachys rosea, and Aspergillus flavus are common fungal endophytes. Earlier reports have also mentioned the isolation of these endophytes from other medicinal plants [33–35].

| Accession No. | Fungal isolates         | Plant tissue | Total number of segments incubated | No. of isolates obtained | Colonisation frequency | GenBank accession no. |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| S1           | Alternaria macrospora   | Leaf         | 110                               | 15                       | 13.6                   | KX099951              |
| S2           | Alternaria solani       | Leaf         | 110                               | 11                       | 10                     | KX099952              |
| S3           | Fusarium falciforme     | Root         | 110                               | 3                        | 2.7                    | KX138450              |
| S4           | Fusarium oxysporum      | Stem         | 110                               | 1                        | 0.9                    | KX138451              |
| S5           | Fusarium solani         | Root         | 110                               | 4                        | 3.6                    | KX138452              |
| S6           | Fusarium lateritium     | Stem         | 110                               | 10                       | 9                      | KX138453              |
| S7           | Cercospora citrullina   | Stem         | 110                               | 7                        | 6.3                    | KX138454              |
| S8           | Colletotrichum gleosporioides | Leaf      | 110                               | 4                        | 3.6                    | KX138455              |
| S9           | Myrothecium inundatum   | Leaf         | 110                               | 8                        | 7.2                    | KX138456              |
| S10          | Fusarium solani         | Root         | 110                               | 15                       | 13.6                   | KX138457              |
| S11          | Fusarium oxysporum      | Root         | 110                               | 5                        | 4.5                    | KX138458              |
| S12          | Fusarium nematophilum   | Root         | 110                               | 12                       | 10.9                   | KX138459              |
| S13          | Clonostachys rosea      | Root         | 110                               | 3                        | 2.7                    | KX138460              |
| S14          | Fusarium solani         | Root         | 110                               | 6                        | 5.4                    | KX138461              |
| S15          | Colletotrichum coffeum  | Leaf         | 110                               | 5                        | 4.5                    | KX138462              |
| S16          | Colletotrichum kahawae  | Leaf         | 110                               | 7                        | 6.3                    | KX138463              |
| S17          | Phyllosticta capitansis | Leaf         | 110                               | 2                        | 1.8                    | KX138464              |
| S18          | Phomopsis tersa         | Leaf         | 110                               | 4                        | 3.6                    | KX138465              |
| S19          | Aspergillus flavus      | Leaf         | 110                               | 2                        | 1.8                    | KY569252              |
| S20          | Alternaria alternate    | Leaf         | 110                               | 7                        | 6.3                    | KX138466              |
The phylogenetic tree was constructed using Neighbourhood joining method (Figure 2). It depicted evolutionary relationship among taxa of endophytic fungal isolates with the reference taxa obtained from GenBank. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (500 replicates) were shown above the branches and the clades formed were supported by bootstrap value >50%. All of the 20 fungal endophytes in the phylogenetic tree belonged to the phylum Ascomycota, which is in consensus with the statistical results showing that Basidiomycota endophytes were seldom found within plants [36]. These isolates were further divided into eight groups on the basis of genera attained by the fungal isolates and these eight groups belonged to three different classes namely Sordariomycetes, Dothiomyctes, and Eurotiomycetes. The isolates A1, A5, and S5 shared a clade with F. solani while the isolate S3 with F. falciforme showing 100% similarity. Similarly, the isolates S6, S4, and A3 were grouped with F. lateritium, F. oxysporum, and F. nematophylum respectively with bootstrap value of 100%. The isolates A1, A5, S5, S3, S6, S4, and A3 belonged to the group I. The isolates A2 and A4 belonged to group II, and showed similarity with C. cocoides and C. rosea, respectively. The isolates A6 and A7 formed a common clade with C. gleosporioides and S8 with C. kahawae of group IV showing 58–100% similarity. A9 and S9 of group III and VII showed 100% bootstrap value with P. tersa and M. inundatum, respectively. The isolates of group I, II, III, IV, and VII belonged to the class Sordariomycetes.

The group VI isolates S1, S2, and A11 shared a clade with A. macrospora, A. solani, and A. alternata, respectively with bootstrap value ranging from 90–100%. Similarly, the isolates S7 and A8 formed a clade with Cercospora citrullina and Phylosticta capitatalesis respectively belonging to the group V. These isolates belonged to the class Dothideomycetes. The isolate A10 of group VIII formed a clade with A. flavus and belonged to a separate class Eurotiomycetes. The phylogenetic analysis therefore, depicted diverse taxonomic affinities among the isolated fungal endophytes of B. aristata.

The diversity of endophytic community isolated from various tissues was compared using indices of x-diversity: Shannon–Wiener index and Simpson’s diversity index and their components like richness and evenness. Simpson’s dominance of endophytic fungi was higher in stem tissues. Similarly, Simpson’s diversity and Shannon–Wiener indices were higher in fungal endophytes of leaf tissues. There was a slight difference between the species evenness of the fungal endophytes of leaf and root tissues (Table 2). Since, there was no similarity among the fungal endophytes of various tissues of the B. aristata, the values of Jaccard’s and Sorenson’s similarity indices for endophytic fungi of different tissues were found to be zero.

### Table 2. Diversity indices of endophytic fungi colonizing leaf, root and stem tissues of Berberis aristata DC.

| Indices          | Leaf     | Stem     | Roots    |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Simpson’s dominance | 0.149    | 0.457    | 0.192    |
| Simpson’s diversity  | 0.851    | 0.549    | 0.807    |
| Species richness    | 1.240    | 0.700    | 0.722    |
| Shannon–Weiner      | 2.130    | 0.839    | 1.517    |
| Evenness            | 0.920    | 0.770    | 0.940    |

#### 3.2. Antibacterial activity

Different degree of antibacterial activity was recorded in crude ethyl acetate and ethanolic extracts of the twenty endophytic fungal isolates at concentration of 1 mg/ml against both Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria (Table 3). The extracts showing potent antibacterial activity (diameter of clear zone above 10 mm) were further selected for the determination of MIC. MIC of the ethyl acetate extract in case of Gram negative bacteria ranged between 0.05–1 mg/ml and Gram positive bacteria ranged between 0.05–0.8 mg/ml. The results revealed that ethyl acetate extracts were more effective than ethanolic extracts. The possible reason for this might be the superior extraction values of ethyl acetate as compared to ethanolic extracts. Ethyl acetate extracts of the fungal endophytes exhibited broad spectrum activity as indicated by their bacterial inhibition potential (Figure 2). The inhibition of the pathogens by crude extracts might be due to the production of biologically active compounds in media [37].

The ethyl acetate extracts of A. macrospora and F. solani were effective against all test pathogens.
Table 3. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of selected endophytic fungal extracts against specific pathogenic bacteria.

| Fungal isolates       | Bacterial pathogens            | Extract | Conc. of extracts (in µg/ml) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|
|                       |                                |         | 1000 | 800  | 500  | 300  | 200  | 100  | 50   | +ve C | -ve C |
| Alternaria macrospora | Pseudomonas alcigenes          | EA      | 21   | 19.5 | 18.5 | 18   | 17   | 16   | 15   | 12  | 26   |
| Alternaria macrospora | Pseudomonas aeruginosa         | EA      | 18.5 | 17.5 | 17   | 16.5 | 15   | 14.5 | 13   | 19  | 19   |
| Alternaria macrospora | Bacillus subtilis              | EA      | 11   | 6.5  | 6    | 6    | 6    | 6    | 6    | 6   | 23   |
| Alternaria macrospora | Bacillus cereus                | EA      | 11   | 10.5 | 10   | 9.5  | 9    | 8.5  | 8    | 8   | 23   |
| Alternaria macrospora | Klebsiella pneumonia           | EA      | 20   | 19.5 | 18   | 17.5 | 17   | 16   | 15   | 14  | 24   |
| Alternaria macrospora | Enterococcus faecalis          | EA      | 12.5 | 11.5 | 10   | 9.5  | 9    | 8.5  | 8.5  | 8   | 19   |
| Clonostachys rosea    | Bacillus subtilis              | E       | 21   | 19.5 | 19   | 18.5 | 17.5 | 16.5 | 14   | 14  | 23   |
| Clonostachys rosea    | Pseudomonas aeruginosa         | E       | 10.5 | 9    | 8.5  | 8    | +    | +    | +    | 19  | 19   |
| Myrothecium inundatum | Pseudomonas alcigenes          | EA      | 10   | 8.5  | 8    | 8    | 7    | 6.5  | 6.5  | 6   | 26   |
| Fusarium solani       | Bacillus subtilis              | EA      | 9.5  | 9    | 8.5  | 7    | +    | +    | +    | 23  | 23   |
| Fusarium solani       | Escherichia coli               | EA      | 13.5 | 13   | 11   | +    | +    | +    | 15   | 15  | 20   |
| Fusarium solani       | Staphylococcus aureus          | EA      | 12   | 11   | 10   | 9.5  | 9    | +    | 15   | 15  | 20   |
| Colletotrichum coffeae| Bacillus cereus                | EA      | 10   | 9.5  | 9    | 8.5  | 8    | 8    | 6.5  | 18  | 18   |
| Colletotrichum kahawae| Escherichia coli               | EA      | 9    | +    | +    | +    | +    | 15   | 15   | 15  | 24   |
| Aspergillus flavus    | Klebsiella pneumonia           | E       | 10.5 | 9.5  | 9    | 8.5  | +    | +    | +    | 24  | 24   |

+ve C: Chloramphenicol; −ve C: DMSO; E: Ethanol; EA: Ethyl acetate.

except *S. aureus* and *B. cereus*, respectively (Figure 3). The activity of ethyl acetate extract of *A. macrospora* against *P. aeruginosa* was almost as effective as chloramphenicol and the activity of ethyl acetate extract of *F. solani* against *P. aeruginosa* was in close proximation with chloramphenicol. Antimicrobial activity of various *Alternaria* spp. has been reported [38] but to the best of our knowledge this study forms the first report on antimicrobial activity of *A. macrospora*.

In the present study ethyl acetate extracts of *F. solani* were found to be more effective against Gram-positive bacteria. The resistance of the Gram-negative bacteria could be attributed to its cell wall structure. It has been found that Gram-negative bacteria have an effective permeability barrier, comprised of a thin lipopolysaccharide exterior membrane, which could restrict the penetration of the crude extracts whereas, Gram-positive bacteria have a mesh-like peptidoglycan layer which is more accessible to permeation by the crude extracts [39,40]. Similarly, antimicrobial activity of *F. solani* against Gram-positive bacteria has been reported [41]. Highest inhibitory activity of endophytic *Fusarium* spp. against Gram-positive bacteria (*B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*) than Gram-negative bacteria (*E.coli*) has been reported [42]. In contrast to our study, the previous studies have reported that *F. solani* does not show antibacterial activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria [43].

The activity of ethanolic extract of *C. rosea* against *B. subtilis* was in close proximation with the activity of chloramphenicol. Similarly, antimicrobial activity of *C. rosea* against Gram-positive bacteria has been reported [44]. *C. rosea* is known to produce a wide range of secondary metabolites that possess strong antibacterial potential [45]. Recently, three new bisorbicillinoids have been isolated from *C. rosea* YRS-06 that exhibit strong antibacterial activity against pathogenic bacteria [46].

### 3.3. Antifungal activity

Antifungal potential of 20 fungal endophytes against more than one pathogens was reported (Table 4). *In vitro* dual culture method was followed to assess the antagonistic potential of the fungal endophytes. The most promising activity was observed in *F. solani* and *F. falciforme* against *A. fumigatus* with highest PG1 value (60%). Similar results were observed wherein antifungal activity of *F. solani* and other *Fusarium* spp. against *A. fumigatus* using well diffusion technique was determined [47]. Similarly, antifungal activity of *F. solani* against *A. alternata* and *Penicillium* spp. has been reported [48]. There are various reports in literature where antagonistic potential of the fungal endophytes has been reported against *Fusarium* spp. but only a few reports are available where *Fusarium* spp. have been used as an antagonist against common fungal pathogens viz, *A. fumigatus, M. canis, P. chrysogenum, C. albicans* using dual culture technique.

Twelve antagonists exhibited inhibition potential against almost all the test pathogens, thus belonging to different GICs. Most susceptible pathogens were *A. fumigatus* and *C. kahawae* whose growth was inhibited upto 51% by *C. kahawae*, 52% by *P. tersa* and *C. geosporioidei*, 60% by *F. solani* and *F. falciforme*, and 53% by *C. kahawae* respectively. *C. coffeum, C. kahawae* and *C. geosporioidei* were earlier reported only as pathogens of coffee as it cause anthracnose, the main limiting factor of coffee. However, during the present study we found the antagonistic potential of endophytic *C. coffeum* and *C. kahawae* against fungal pathogens. Antagonistic potential of *Colletotrichum* spp. have
also been reported against various fungal pathogens [49,50].

3.4. Antioxidant activity

The crude extracts exhibited a concentration dependent increase in free radical scavenging activity. Even though the DPPH scavenging aptitude of the extracts was found to be lower than that of the commercial antioxidant ascorbic acid, it still reached at a percentage inhibition above 80% at 1 mg/ml concentration in some of the crude extracts. The crude ethyl acetate extract of *A. flavus* exhibited 81.4% DPPH radical scavenging activity at a concentration of 100 μg/ml with IC₅₀ value of 39 μg/ml as compared to 17.66 μg/ml, the IC₅₀ value of ascorbic acid, followed by, ethyl acetate extract of *A. flavus* and *A. alternata* which exhibited IC₅₀ value of 40 μg/ml suggesting the potentiality of endophytic fungal extracts as antioxidants (Table 5).

Oxidative stress produced in the body due to various factors has been known to have a significant effect in the causation of Alzheimer’s disease, cancer, cardiovascular disorders, diabetes, and diabetes related complications in human beings. In our study promising antioxidant potential was observed in crude extracts of *A. flavus* and *A. alternata* showing that these endophytic extracts have the proton donating ability and could serve as free radical inhibitors or scavengers, acting possibly as primary antioxidants. The antioxidant properties of their crude extracts may be attributed to the presence of total phenolics and flavonoids therein [50]. Different compounds with antioxidant potential have been isolated from fungal endophytes [51]. The observed lower IC₅₀ values of these extracts support the significance of fungal endophytic
### Table 4. Percentage growth inhibition of the pathogenic fungal cultures by endophytic fungal isolates of *Berberis aristata*.

| Fungal pathogens | Fusarium solani | Colletotrichum coccodes | Fusarium nematophilum | Colletotrichum rosea | Fusarium coffeaeum | Clonostachys rosea | Fusarium kahawae | Phyllosticta capitisensis | Alternaria flavus | Aspergillus alternata | Alternaria macrospora | Alternaria solani | Fusarium oxysporum | Fusarium solani | Fusarium lateritium | Myrothecium roridum | Cercospora colletotrichum | Myrothecium roridum | Myrothecium roridum |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Microsporum canis | 37 47 23 33 29 38 18 40 33 22 12 13 27 34 19 26 42 40 33 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alternaria alternata | 50 40 23 29 29 46 37 41 37 44 21 19 46 37 48 12 50 12 16 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Candida albicans | 35 35 29 23 23 23 18 23 19 22 – – – – – – 26 35 – | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Penicillium chrysogenum | 29 12 18 18 18 47 35 20 29 41 23 12 32 23 35 26 12 35 29 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Colletotrichum capsici | 40 30 3 39 44 50 53 28 42 33 29 14 22 44 8 11 36 28 58 31 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aspergillus fumigatus | 60 46 33 48 57 52 51 44 52 48 36 43 36 60 34 27 38 25 52 44 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

### Table 5. DPPH radical scavenging activity of the selected endophytic fungal extracts of *Berberis aristata* and their IC$_{50}$ value.

| Extract | Control | Fusarium solani | Colletotrichum coccodes | Fusarium nematophilum | Colletotrichum rosea | Fusarium coffeaeum | Clonostachys rosea | Fusarium kahawae | Phyllosticta capitisensis | Fusarium oxysporum | Fusarium solani | Fusarium lateritium | Myrothecium roridum | Cercospora colletotrichum | Myrothecium roridum | Myrothecium roridum | |
|---------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------| |
| Conc. (µg/ml) | 50 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 | 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| %DPPH radical scavenging | 93.4 82 88 83 86.5 85 60 83 81.4 85.2 82 34 34 410 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IC$_{50}$ (µg/ml) | 17.6 472 245 374 180 426 87 406 87 40 442 78 457 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

EA: Ethyl acetate; E: Ethanol; IC$_{50}$: Half maximal inhibitory concentration; Control: Ascorbic acid.
extracts as promising natural source of antioxidants. Therefore, they can be used in nutritional or pharmaceutical areas for the prevention of free-radical-mediated diseases [52,53].

4. Conclusion

The present study determines that selecting endophytic fungi for various bioactivities represents a new source for obtaining novel bioactive metabolites with different potentialities. The statistical analysis of data is helpful in determining the endophytic biodiversity in a given plant species. Most of the isolates presented significant activity against pathogenic microorganisms. In particular, A. macrospora, C. rosea and Fusarium spp. showed promising antagonistic activity in vitro towards growth of the tested pathogens. These biocontrol profiles suggest that the above-mentioned endophytes indicate their potential as biotechnologically important cultures. Further studies are needed to improve our understanding of the complex plant-microbe interaction in this system. Potential endophytes from the present repository can be further used for studying plant growth promotion and extracellular enzymatic potential. Such studies would facilitate the selection of promising cultures possessing desirable properties and their exploitation in various biotechnological fields viz agriculture, industry and medicine.
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