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Abstract The objective of the study was to analyse and present the surgical management strategy for major skin flap complications (MSFC) after cochlear implantations. Patients fitted with a titanium-silicone-coated implant of the same kind, operated on between 1994 and 2013 with a standardised procedure (1076 medical charts) were analysed. Analysis aimed to identify and study individuals with skin problems related to the cochlear implant treatment, i.e. requiring surgical treatment in hospital defined as MSFC and focused on incidence, risk factors and treatment of MSFC. MSFC were diagnosed in 1.76 % of patients: 2.06 % of children and 1.35 % of adults, 2.43 % after implantation with a long “C”-shaped incision and 1.28 % after short retroauricular incision. Registered risk factors included head trauma, acute otitis media, poor hygiene in children, and general comorbidities in adults. The primary intervention was dependent on skin complication severity and included revision surgery with wound closure over an implant (52.6 %) and revision surgery with explantation (47.4 %). Revision surgery without explantation was successful in 40 % and the most effective approach was debridement with a two-layer rotational flap. Explantation led to ultimate wound healing in all cases. Major skin flap complications after cochlear implantation are rare, but their treatment is complex and difficult. Revision surgery with resection of infected tissue, formation of a rotational two-layer flap preceded and supplemented by intensive targeted antibiotic therapy can be effective and should be the first treatment option. Spontaneous implant explantation, abscess formation or unsuccessful primary treatment necessitate implant removal as the ultimate solution.
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Introduction

Cochlear implantation is a generally admitted surgical procedure for individuals with severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss who cannot benefit from conventional hearing aids. This solution has been routinely used since the 1970s and thousands of people (children and adults) have been successfully implanted. The benefits of this solution are without any doubts. However, cochlear implantation, like every surgical procedure, can cause some complications. They are usually related to the patients and only sometimes to surgery or to the implant [1].

Skin flap complications after cochlear implantation are associated with infection and inflammation of soft tissue covering the cochlear implant body. They can be divided into minor, which usually resolve spontaneously or can be managed by local/topical ambulatory treatment, and major, which require treatment in hospital involving surgical procedures and, often, explantation. The frequency of major complications is reported in 1.08–8.2 % of implanted patients [2–5] and cannot be reduced over the years of experience.
The aim of this study is to analyse the incidence and causative factors of major skin flap complications (MSFC) after cochlear implantations in our group of patients and to present our experience in different treatment options and its effectiveness.

Materials and methods

The study was based on the retrospective analysis of medical charts of 1076 patients operated on with cochlear implants in our department between 1994 and 2013: 632 children (302 female and 330 male, aged 1–18 years with the mean of 5.39 and median of 3.5) and 444 adults (227 female and 217 male, aged 18.5–81.5 years with the mean of 46.54 and median of 47.5). All patients were fitted with the same type of cochlear implant (silicone-coated implant body—Cochlear Ltd., Australia), with the same surgical protocol and postsurgical follow-up (Table 1). The only modification over the years was the type of skin incision. A long “C”-shaped incision was performed in 452 implantations; since 2007 it was changed into a short linear retroauricular incision (624 implantations).

Analysis aimed to identify individuals with MSFC defined as skin flap complications requiring in-hospital treatment with surgical intervention. Out of 1076 medical charts, 19 were selected due to reported MSFC. Patients underwent the defined protocol of complication treatment (Table 2).

A project database was created with a list of data to be collected: (a) incidence (frequency, onset and duration of complaints), (b) risk factors (age, sex, general medical condition, episodes of head trauma, hygiene, variation in surgical protocol, bacteriology) and (c) treatment (options and outcomes).

Obtained data were stored and statistically analysed in a MS Excel database. To compare the frequency of MSFC in the children versus adults group, in female versus male and in the long versus short incision group, a test of proportion was used. The investigation was approved by the local Ethics Committee.

Results

Incidence

MSFC were detected and treated in 1.76 % (n = 19) out of a total 1076 implantations. In two cases, skin complications were detected after a second implantation (Table 3, patients 8 and 19). The onset of skin complication ranged from 1 month after primary implantation up to 10 years and 7 months afterwards, with a mean time of 33.2 months. The symptoms of MSFC comprised gradually developing redness, ulceration and soft tissue defect as well as the acute painful abscess of the operated side. Patients with MSFC are presented in Table 3 and examples of MSFC are presented in Figs. 1 and 2.

Risk factors

The frequency of MSFC in the paediatric population was 2.06 % (n = 13) and in adults 1.35 % (n = 6). The difference between those two groups (0.71 %) is not statistically

| Table 1 | Cochlear implantation surgical protocol and follow-up in our department |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Surgery |                                                                           |
| Skin incision | Long “C”-shaped (until 2007)                      |
| Bone bed formation | Yes (always)                         |
| Fixation of an implant body | Yes (always)                     |
| Suturing | 3 Layers (muscle, subcutaneous tissue, skin) |
| Final dressing | Ointment with antibiotic               |
| Sterile dressing material | Bandage                          |
| Postsurgical follow-up | First at second day after surgery     |
| Change of dressing | Then every day                      |
| Antibiotic | 4 days (first dose just before surgery)   |
| Discharge from hospital | 3 days after surgery              |
| Suture removal | 10 days after surgery in adults |
| Processor activation | Not necessary in children—absorbable suture |
|                  | 30 (±5) days after surgery            |
The analysis of sex showed MSFC in 2.32% of female and 1.82% of male in paediatric group [difference 0.4997% Chi²(1) = 0.20, p = 0.6584, 95% CI -2.0112; 3.1596, result statistically insignificant] and 2.2% of female and 0.46% of male in adults group [difference 1.7418% Chi²(1) = 2.52, p = 0.1121, 95% CI -0.8130; 1.7418, result statistically insignificant].

Additional patient-related risk factors were detected in 73.6% (n = 14) out of 19 patients with MSFC. Within the paediatric group, the most common were acute otitis media (3 months to 10 years after implantation): 23.1% (3 of 13), trauma of the head: 23.1% (3 of 13) and poor hygiene: 23.1% (3 of 13). In adults, general medical conditions, e.g. a history of cardiac infarction, diabetes or renal failure were observed in 50% (3 of 6) cases.

The frequency of MSFC after cochlear implantation with long “C”-shaped incision was 2.43% (11 of 452) and with short retroauricular incision was 1.28% (8 of 624) [difference 1.15% Chi²(1) = 2.00, p = 0.1573, 95% CI -0.5749; 3.1636, result statistically insignificant].

Bacteriological culturing of MSFC showed Staphylococcus aureus to be the most frequent pathogen (78.6%) followed by Staphylococci and Gram-negative spp.

### Treatment

Intensive targeted therapy with antibiotics was the first step of treatment in all patients (Table 1). Revision surgery without explantation was done in 52.6% (n = 10) of cases, and more than one operation was performed in 21.0% (n = 4). Debridement and primary suturing of the wound was not effective. Rotational skin flap, performed in four cases, was not effective either except in one case supplemented by free skin flap reconstruction which gave a good and permanent positive result. Rotational two-layer flaps were done four times and were successful in three cases. The technique used since 2011 is based on preparation of two flaps: skin with subcutaneous tissue (external layer) and muscle with fascia (internal layer). The muscle was mobilised in the temporal region (superiorly to the pinna) and repositioned posteriorly and inferiorly, and skin was mobilised in the occipital region and rotated anteriorly to cover the targeted local complication. The idea of rotational two-layer flap is...
| Patient | Cochlear implantation | Skin flap complication | Aetiology | Treatment | Revision surgery | Revision surgery successful | Second cochlear implantation |
|---------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 PM (M) | Nov 2000 20 | Cochlear CI 24 R(ST) | Apr 2003 | S. aureus | Acute otitis media (Feb and Jul 2001) | Debridement and suture—Jun 2003 | Feb 2005 L Dec 2006 |
| 2 MM (M) | Nov 1999 43.0 | Cochlear CI 24 M | Jan 2003 | P. aeruginosa | Chronic otitis media—open cavity After cardiac infarct | Debridement and suture—Oct 2003 | No Jan 2006 L Nov 2011 |
| 3 SF (M) | Jul 2005 11.5 | Cochlear CI 24 R(ST) | Sept 2005 | No data | No | Debridement and suture—Jun 2005 | No – Jan 2006 No – data |
| 4 DD (F) | May 2002 47.5 | Cochlear CI 24 R(CS) | Oct 2005 | No data | No | Clindamycin, metronidazole | Debridement and suture—Aug 2006 R Jun 2007 |
| 5 LMP (M) | Aug 2005 2.5 | Cochlear CI 24 R(CA) | Jan 2006 | No data | No | Cefuroxime | Debridement and suture—Jul 2006 | No No No – |
| 6 ZN (F) | Jul 2007 1.5 | Cochlear CI 24 R(E/CA) | Jul 2008 | S. aureus | Poor hygiene | Clindamycin | No (extensive soft tissue necrosis and poor hygiene) | – Nov 2008 R Jun 2009 |
| 7 JN (F) | Sept 2008 2.0 | Cochlear CI 24 R(E/CA) | Jan 2009 | No data | Smallpox infection | Clindamycin | No (abscess around implant body) | – Mar 2009 L Sept 2009 |
| No | Initials | Cochlear implantation | Skin flap complication | Treatment | Second cochlear implantation |
|----|----------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
|    |          | Date                  | Age (years)            | Aetiology | Revision surgery successful |
|    |           | Implant type          | Ear Incision           | Bacteriology |                     | Ear | Date |
| 8  | ZN (F)   | Jan 2009              | 3.5                    | R RA Sept 2009 | Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus dys. equisimilis | No (extensive soft tissue necrosis and poor hygiene) | – | Sept 2009 | L Oct 2014 |
| 9  | IK (M)   | Nov 2003              | 4.0                    | L C Jan 2010 | Staphylococcus aureus | No (extensive soft tissue necrosis and poor hygiene) | – | Jun 2010 | R Mar 2010 |
| 10 | MB (F)   | May 2005              | 20.5                   | L C May 2010 | Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis | Cefuroxime | No | Jan 2011 | R Oct 2010 |
| 11 | LW (F)   | Sept 2005             | 14.5                   | R C Jun 2010 | Klebsiella oxytoca, Pseudomonas stutzeri, Enterobacter cloacae, Enterococcus gallinarum, Staphylococcus aureus | Gentamicin, amoxicillin with clavulanic acid | No | Jun 2011 | L Jun 2011 |
| 12 | WM (F)   | Oct 2006              | 1.5                    | R C Jun 2010 | Entrobacter cloacae, Staphylococcus aureus | Amoxicillin with clavulanic acid, sulfamethoxazole with trimethoprim, ceftriaxone, gentamicin | Debridement and suture—Oct 2010 | No | Feb 2011 | L May 2010 |
| 13 | ZW (F)   | Dec 2006              | 2.0                    | R C Feb 2011 | No bacteria identified | Amoxicillin with clavulanic acid, clarithromycin, metronidazole, clindamycin, sulfamethoxazole with trimethoprim | Rotational two-layer flap—Sept 2011 | Yes | No | L Apr 2011 |
| 14 | SW (F)   | Jan 2001              | 4.5                    | L C Sept 2011 | Staphylococcus epidermidis, Staphylococcus haemolyticus | Acute otitis media (Apr 2011) | Clindamycin, vancomycin, gentamicin | Debridement and suture—Sept 2011 | No | No | No | – |
| 15 | PR (F)   | Sept 2008             | 46.0                   | L RA Sept 2011 | Staphylococcus aureus | Trauma of the head | Cefuroxime | No (partial spontaneous evacuation of implant body) | – | Nov 2011 | R Nov 2011 |
| No | Initials (sex) | Date   | Age (years) | Implant type | Ear Incision | Skin flap complication | Date | Aetiology | Additional risk factors | Treatment | Revision surgery | Revision surgery successful | Second cochlear implantation |
|----|----------------|--------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|------|------------|------------------------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 16 | CI (M)         | Oct 2011 | 1.5         | Cochlear CI 24 | R RA        | Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus | Dec 2011 | Sepsis in newborn Born as premature | Linezolid, erythromycin | Rotational two-layer flap—Jan 2012 | Yes | No | – |
| 17 | DT (F)         | Jul 2012 | 53.0        | Cochlear CI 24 | R RA        | Staphylococcus warneri, Staphylococcus cohnii, Staphylococcus haemolyticus | Nov 2012 | | Vancomycin, amoxicillin with clavulanic acid, sulfamethoxazole with trimethoprim | Rotational two-layer flap—Dec 2012 | No | Mar 2013 | R | Nov 2014 |
| 18 | WJ (M)         | Nov 2012 | 1.0         | Cochlear CI 24 | R RA        | Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus | Jan 2013 | Exudative otitis media—ventilation tube and antromastoidectomy Contact with patient with meningitis (Jun 2012) | Amoxicillin with clavulanic acid, metromidazole, cefuroxime, sulfamethoxazole with trimethoprim | | No (extensive soft tissue necrosis) | – | Jun 2013 | L | Sept 2013 |
| 19 | PR (F)         | Nov 2011 | 49.5        | Cochlear CI 24 | R RA        | Staphylococcus aureus | Feb 2013 | Renal failure (dialysis) Diabetes After cardiac infarct | Cefuroxime, amoxicillin with clavulanic acid | | No (partial spontaneous evacuation of implant body) | – | Apr 2013 | No | – |
presented in Fig. 3. Patients after successful revision of MSFC by two-layer flap are presented in Fig. 4.

Explantation was performed in 78.9% ($n = 15$) of cases: without previous revision in 47.4% ($n = 9$) and after unsuccessful revision in 31.6% ($n = 6$). Explantation led to complete wound healing in all patients.

Second cochlear implantation proceeded in 73.6% ($n = 14$) of cases. Implantation of the contralateral ear before explantation of the affected one was performed in four cases and prior to revision surgery in one (patient 13).

Discussion

The frequency of MSFC after cochlear implantation reported in the literature ranges from 1.08% [2] to 8.2% [3]. The current study revealed skin complications in 1.76% of the population, placing our patients within the same range. Such differences can be explained by the number of variables including factors related to patient, surgery or device.
The most frequent patient-related factors discussed in the literature are age and additional comorbidities. The influence of age on soft tissue infection is still an unsettled question. Younger age can be considered as a negative factor due to the higher risk of head trauma and immaturity of the immune system. Garcia-Valdecasas et al. [6] found no important differences between the frequency of MSFC in adults (5.7 %) and in children (6.2 %); however, the frequency in both groups was altogether high. Also, Low et al. [5] observed a similar frequency of MSFC in children (1.83 %) and adults (1.62 %). In our study, the frequency of MSFC in children was higher than in adults (2.06 versus 1.35 %) but these results are statistically insignificant. The influence of comorbidities is also not very clear. Hopfen-spirger at al. [3] observed MSFC in a paediatric group more frequently in patients with specific chronic conditions, e.g. tracheotomy (23 %) in comparison to healthy implanted children (6.6 %). On the other hand, Garcia-Valdecasas et al. [6] observed no difference in the frequency of skin flap complications between patients with and without comorbidities. Allergic reactions to the device and radiotherapy of the temporal bone before cochlear implant surgery should also be taken into account [1, 5]. In our group, we have also noted additional local factors. In children, these were trauma of the head, which was noted in three cases (23.1 %), episodes of acute otitis media of the implanted ear (3 months to 10 years after implantation) in three cases (23.1 %) and poor hygiene in three cases (23.1 %). In the adult population, general comorbidities like history of cardiac infarction, diabetes and renal failure predominated. Additionally in adults group we have observed higher frequency of MSFC in female than in male (results statistically insignificant) which can be explain by the difference in soft tissue thickness covering the implant.

Ray et al. [7] showed that the rate of MSFC after cochlear implantation in patients operated on with a small incision (vertical post-aural incision) is significantly lower than in patients with large incision (retroauricular “C”-shaped incision or post-auricular incision with a horizontal posterior limb)—1.1 versus 2.3 %. Also, Davids et al. [2] emphasized that a small incision could be the reason for the small number of MSFC encountered in his paediatric cochlear implant group (1.08 %). Our study also showed that the change to a short incision could reduce the frequency of MSFC from 2.43 to 1.28 %.

Bacteriological examination is essential to ensure the use of proper and successful therapy with antibiotics supporting revision surgery. The literature reports the two most common pathogens as Staphylococcus aureus [3, 5, 8] and Pseudomonas aeruginosa [3, 6, 9]. In our material, swabs from the infected skin over the implant identified Staphylococcus aureus as the most frequent pathogen (78.6 %). Pseudomonas aeruginosa was found only in one patient.

The first surgical treatment option for MSFC is usually wound debridement, excision of infected tissue, decontamination of the device and skin closure. Rotational skin flap or dislocation of the transducer under healthy soft tissue can be performed. Such treatment should be supported by intensive targeted antibiotic therapy [10]. This management is aimed at eliminating inflammation and complete wound healing with the preserved device, but the success rate is variable and not warranted. The main reason for the treatment failure is the bacterial biofilm which covers the surface of an implant [11]. Low et al. [5] performed salvage surgery in six out of eight patients with MSFC with very good results. He used rotational skin flap in five cases, which was successful in two, and transposition of the device body in one case which was successful. Three out of five patients from the failed skin flap reconstruction group underwent subsequent transposition surgery with success. On the other hand, Garcia-Valdecasas et al. [6] tried conservative treatment and surgical cleaning in a group of nine cases with surgical-side infection, but it was not effective in all of them, so finally all these patients were explanted. Also, Hopfenspirger et al. [3] described 22
cases with MSFC after cochlear implantation of which 21 required explantation. In our department, revision surgery without explantation was always considered as a first treatment option but it was finally done in 52.6 %. Our surgical technique changed from debridement and suture of the wound, which failed in all cases, to wound debridement and covering the implant body by a rotational two-layer flap with a success rate of 75 %. This flap was composed of two layers: skin with subcutaneous tissue (external layer) and muscle with fascia (internal layer). Created flaps from healthy regions were subsequently superimposed onto each other over the exposed implant. The resultant closure with good peripheral blood supply was effective in 3 out of 4 cases with no further complication observed on follow-up visits.

The ultimate treatment of MSFC is implant explantation. It is usually indicated if primary revision surgery with device preservation has failed or it is advocated as an alternative to primary revision surgery if there is: (a) a high risk of intra-cranial complication; (b) a severe wound breakdown with complete extrusion of the cochlear implant body or (c) an allergic reaction to the device or foreign body reaction with device failure [1, 5]. In our patients with MSFC, explantation was performed in 78.9 % of cases (in 47.4 % without previous revision surgery and in 31.6 % after unsuccessful revision). Explantation always led to complete wound healing. Similar to others [2, 5, 6, 9], the electrode was cut and left inside the cochlea to prevent cochlea obliteration and to facilitate future reimplantation.

Second cochlear implantation is a very important issue for patients after explantation or qualified to explantation. Depending on the audiological conditions in the contralateral ear and soft tissue status after explantation, the contralateral or previously explanted ear can be implanted. The contralateral ear can be also implanted even before explantation to avoid a period without any device. In our study, second cochlear implantation was done in 73.6 % of cases (n = 14). In all but two cases requiring second explantation it was well tolerated.

Conclusions

MSFC after cochlear implantations are rare, but their treatment is complex and difficult. Revision surgery with resection of infected tissue and formation of a rotational two-layer flap preceded and supplemented by intensive targeted antibiotic therapy can be effective and should be the first treatment option. Spontaneous implant explantation, abscess or unsuccessful primary treatment are indications for implant removal as the ultimate solution. Explantation, if possible, should be preceded or followed by cochlear implantation on the contralateral ear, or followed by reimplantation of the same ear after wound healing.
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