The effects of salicylic acid, oxalic acid and chitosan on damping-off control and growth in Scots pine in a forest nursery
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Modern forestry in the European Union and in Poland is in constant search of environment-friendly technological solutions. These also relate to nursery production, in which attempts are made to apply non-chemical plant-protection products. The objective of this study was to assess the effects of salicylic acid, oxalic acid and chitosan (applied in the form of Beta-chikol®) in controlling damping-off and promoting the growth of Scots pine seedlings under nursery conditions. All the substances were used in seed treatment and in the form of foliar spray, 4 times during the growing season, in the following concentrations: salicylic acid 1% and 2%, oxalic acid 0.5% and 1%, and chitosan 2%. Seedlings were inventoried three times: 3 and 6 weeks after seed sowing, and at the end of the growing season. All seedlings were counted in 1-metre segments of individual rows of the seedbed. At the end of the growing season, parameters of seedling growth like shoot length, root-collar diameter, root length and the dry mass of above-ground parts were determined. The growth of pine seedlings was found to be stimulated by both chitosan and oxalic acid, while salicylic acid proved inhibitory to growth when present at 2% concentration, and showed no detectable influence on biometric parameters at 1% concentration. Numbers of seedlings germinating per 1-metre segment were significantly greater than in the (unprotected) control, where chitosan was applied. Likewise, oxalic acid applied at both concentrations was associated with greater numbers of germinating pine seedlings than in the control, albeit the statistical significance of this difference was achieved only 6 weeks after seed sowing, and only with the 0.5% concentration. Numbers of seedlings per metre-long segment were significantly lower in response to both concentrations of salicylic acid applied. Both chitosan (applied as Beta-chikol®) and 0.5% oxalic acid resulted in seedling protection against damping-off and enhanced growth, whereas the applied concentrations of salicylic acid were presumably excessive, hence the negative impact on both germination and growth.
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Introduction
Damping-off of seedlings is a fungal disease capable of generating major losses in forest nurseries. It is caused by pathogens belonging to a range of different systematic groups, including genera Rhizoctonia, Fusarium, Cylindrocarpon, and Phytophthora (Enbak et al. 1990, Beyer-Ericson et al. 1999). This heterogeneity of origin combines with the emergence of resistance to fungicides to make this disease very hard to combat (Goffeau 2008). Modern forestry in the European Union and in Poland is in constant search of environment-friendly technological solutions. This is also true for nursery production, in which alternatives to agrochemicals are being looked for. Induced resistance has emerged as a potential alternative or complementary strategy for the control of plant diseases (Jayaraj et al. 2010, Martín-García et al. 2019).

Chitosan (CH) is a naturally-occurring polysaccharide which is a deacetylated derivative of chitin. It serves as an exogenous elicitor of plant defence responses. It induces local and systemic acquired resistance, as reflected in the activation of reactive oxygen species, synthesis of salicylic acid, phytoalexins, polyphenolics, terpenes, flavonoids and pathogenesis-related proteins (chitinase, β-1,3-glucanase, peroxidase, polyphenoloxidase), the lignification of cell walls, and callose synthesis (Reglinski et al. 2004, El Hadrami et al. 2010, Sharp 2013). Chitosan affects plant defences in two ways: it does not only activate genes responsible for the initiation of resistance mechanisms in plants, but also has properties proved to be antiviral (Pospieszny et al. 1991), antibacterial (Raafat & Sahl 2009) and antifungal (Laflamme et al. 1999, Silva-Castro et al. 2018b). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that CH stimulates plant growth and development (Kumaraswamy et al. 2018).
Salicylic acid (SA) is a phenolic compound that is a derivative of benzoic acid commonly found in plants at low concentrations (below 1 mg kg⁻¹ fresh weight – Raskin et al. 1990). However, in infected plants its concentration can increase 20-fold, activating the genes responsible for synthesizing defence-related proteins (Malamy et al. 1990). Both endogenous and exogenous SA induce local resistance, given its role of signal molecule for the development of systemic acquired resistance (Raskin 1992). Moreover, SA is an endogenous regulator of plant growth and development (Hayat et al. 2009, Rivas-San Vicente & Plasencia 2011).

Oxalic acid (OA) is an organic acid widely distributed in plants, fungi, and animals, and plays different roles in different living organisms (Wang et al. 2009). It is a virulence factor in several phytopathogenic fungi, including the model species Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Marcianco et al. 1983). In plants, it can play two distinct roles, depending on the concentration. While a high concentration of OA induces programmed cell death and contributes to the progression of fungi, a low concentration gives rise to plant resistance to fungi (Lehner et al. 2008).

Research on CH, SA and OA as plant protection products and growth stimulants has so far concerned various herbaceous crop plants (Wang et al. 2009, El Hadrami et al. 2010, Rivas-San Vicente & Plasencia 2011), while only a few studies have focused on woody plants, including forest trees (Reglinski et al. 2004, Fitza et al. 2013, Aleksandrowicz-Trzcinska et al. 2015, Silva-Castro et al. 2018a). The aim of this work was thus to assess the effects of these three natural substances on the control of damping-off and growth among Scots pines at a bare-root forest nursery. Our null hypotheses were that: (1) all these substances limit seedling infection from damping-off; and (2) they have a favorable effect on pine growth.

**Materials and methods**

**Study site**

Field research was conducted in the bare-root forest nursery of Spychowo Forest District, located about 150 km north-east of Warsaw (53° 36' N, 21° 20' E – WGS 84), in Poland. The nursery was established on former agricultural land in 1976. The soil in the study area was classified as typical rusty. Earlier work on pine seedlings and the soil at this trial site revealed the presence of Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium oxysporum and Alternaria sp. The annual mean temperature in the study area is 7.6 °C. The warmest month is July (18.3 °C) and the coldest is January (3.1 °C). The vegetation period with an average daily temperature higher than 5 °C is 207 days (Bureau for Forest Management and Geodesy 2013).

**Field experiment**

The experiment compared: pine seedlings treated with either CH (2%), SA (1% and 2%) or OA (0.5% and 1%) and unprotected seedlings (control). The experiment was organized into a randomised-block design with four replicates. Within-block variants comprised 5 sown rows (seed tapes) over a length of 2 m. The soil was prepared by full ploughing. A nursery marker adapted to five-row tapes was used to prepare furrows where the seeds were sown. Pine seeds of local origin from commercial stands were sown at 6.5 g of seed per metre of tape (i.e., 5 sown rows); this denotes 1250 seeds (about 250 seeds / 1 m seedrow, 1250 seed rows × 4 blocks = 5000 seeds per treatment). Seeds were covered by hand, under about 0.8 cm of soil. The experiment lasted 148 days, from June 6 to October 31.

**Applications of chitosan, salicylic acid and oxalic acid**

Chitosan was applied in the form of the commercial product called Beta-chikol® (Poll-Farm, Lowicz, Poland) as an organic plant-growth stimulant. Beta-chikol® (2%) was used according to manufacturer instructions. OA and SA were purchased from Biomus sp. z o.o. (Lublin, Poland), and were used as aqueous solutions. All the substances were used in seed treatment. Seed were soaked in solutions for 6 hours (having not been prepared before treatment). Seeds from control variant were soaked in water. The substances were then further applied by foliar spraying 4 times during the growing season. The first application was made at the time of germination, and three subsequent ones at ten-day intervals. The concentrations were SA 1% and 2%, OA 0.5% and 1%, and Beta-chikol® 2%.

**Seeding inventory and growth measurement**

Seeds were inventoried three times during the growing season: 3 and 6 weeks after seed sowing, and at the season’s end. All seedlings were counted in 1-metre segments of individual rows of the seedbed. There were 5 such segments in each treatment in a block. In late October, 40 seedlings in each treatment were collected and shoot and root length, root-collar diameter and dry mass of above-ground parts were measured.

**Statistical analyses**

A one-factor experiment was carried out for each type of tested substance. Addi-

---

**Table 1 - Biometric parameters (with means and standard errors in parenthesis) for seedlings of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) protected with chitosan, salicylic acid, and oxalic acid, and for those in the unprotected control. Means marked with different letters differ significantly (p < 0.05, Tukey HSD test). Results of ANOVAs (F and p).**

| Treatment (n=40) | Length of shoot (cm) | Dry mass of above-ground parts (g) | Root-collar diameter (mm) | Total root length (cm) |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Chitosan        | 6.1 ± 0.117          | 0.0925 ± 0.0062                   | 0.85 ± 0.0228           | 12.5 ± 0.404          |
| Control         | 5.1 ± 0.113          | 0.0675 ± 0.0050                   | 0.74 ± 0.0215           | 12.1 ± 0.406          |
| F               | 33.17                | 9.58                              | 14.29                    | 0.54                  |
| p-value         | <0.0001              |                                   |                         |                       |
| Oxalic acid 0.5%| 6.1 ± 0.127          | 0.0599 ± 0.0027                   | 0.83 ± 0.0288           | 13.2 ± 0.278          |
| Oxalic acid 1%  | 6.2 ± 0.128          | 0.0711 ± 0.0046                   | 0.79 ± 0.0226           | 12.0 ± 0.321          |
| Control         | 5.2 ± 0.114          | 0.0680 ± 0.0051                   | 0.73 ± 0.0216           | 12.0 ± 0.400          |
| F               | 23.61                | 1.88                              | 3.53                     | 4.62                  |
| p-value         | <0.0001              | 0.1593                            | 0.0338                   | 0.0125                |
| Salicylic acid 1%| 5.2 ± 0.117          | 0.0652 ± 0.0043                   | 0.69 ± 0.0170           | 12.2 ± 0.164          |
| Salicylic acid 2%| 4.6 ± 0.113          | 0.0596 ± 0.0033                   | 0.77 ± 0.0105           | 11.7 ± 0.232          |
| Control         | 5.1 ± 0.114          | 0.0674 ± 0.0050                   | 0.72 ± 0.0205           | 21.1 ± 0.401          |
| F               | 9.05                 | 1.00                              | 6.74                     | 1.02                  |
| p-value         | 0.0003               | 0.3712                            | 0.0019                   | 0.3649                |
tionally, for CH two-factor levels was used (CH vs. control) whereas for OA and SA three-factor levels were used (OA: 0.5%, 1% and control; SA: 1%, 2% and control). We tested relationships among biometric parameters and numbers of seedlings germinating per 1-metre segment using a one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for complete randomised block design. The Tukey HSD test was used as post-hoc test in pairwise comparisons between different foliar sprays. Before analysis, the normality of the data distribution was verified using the Shapiro-Wilk test, while the equality of variances was assessed using the Levene test. All the studied factors presented a normal distribution and the variances were homogeneous. The statistical analysis was performed using R version 3.5.1.

Results

The growth of pine seedlings in the experiment was found to be stimulated by CH. All biometric parameters except root length, were significantly higher than in the unprotected control. Oxalic acid at 1% concentration stimulated the growth of shoot length only. Better results were obtained where OA was present at 0.5%, with stimulation of all growth parameters except dry mass of above-ground parts. Salicylic acid proved inhibitory to growth (only shoot length) where present at 2% concentration, though no significant influence on biometric parameters was observed where the applied solution was 1% (Tab. 1).

Numbers of seedlings germinating per 1-m segment of seed row after CH application were significantly greater than in the control variant (Fig. 1a). Likewise, OA applied at both concentrations was associated with higher numbers of germinating pine seedlings than in the control, albeit the statistical significance to these differences was achieved only 6 weeks after sowing the seeds, and only at a 0.5% concentration OA (Fig. 1b). Numbers of seedlings per metre-long segment were significantly lower in response to both concentrations of SA applied (Fig. 1c). Seedling emergence was affected most strongly by parasitic damping-off, as confirmed by specific symptoms (the narrowing into a root collar and blackening of stem bases causing seedling droop; and the blackening and death of roots) that were observed in all variants of the experiment.

Discussion

In some forest nurseries, the risk of parasitic damping-off (caused by different species of fungi or oomycetes) is high (Enebak et al. 1990, Beyer-Ericson et al. 1991), as confirmed by the results of our experiment, where major differences in the number of germinating pine seedlings among different experimental variants were observed. The main reason for the lack of seedling emergence or their death was damping-off, whose symptoms were clearly observed. The three substances applied in the experiment – CH, OA and SA – are known for their capacity to induce plants’ resistance reaction to unfavourable biotic and abiotic factors, as well as to stimulate growth (Malamy et al. 1990, Reglinski et al. 2004, Lehner et al. 2008). Nevertheless, as reported by many authors, the efficacy of these substances is dependent on various factors such as dose and concentration, the plant species involved and their stages of development, and the species of pathogen involved (Duda et al. 2003, Rivas-San Vicente & Plasencia 2011).

Our experiment revealed differentiated impacts of the analyzed substances on health state and growth of Scots pine seedlings. Both of our starting hypotheses were confirmed only in the case of CH. The properties of CH as both a fungicide and growth stimulator have been rather well-studied in many species, including woody plants (Reglinski et al. 2004, Fitza et al.
of OA in protecting pine seedlings from damping-off was relatively limited and at a far lower level compared to CH. However, a greater efficacy could be achieved at lower concentrations than those used in this study. Indeed, the levels of OA referred to as helpful in the literature vary greatly, from 3 mM in the case of Arabidopsis thaliana against Sclerotium rolfsii, to 20 mM in the case of tomatoes and Fusarium oxysporum (Attitalla & Brishammar 2002, Lehner et al. 2008).

Similar to SA, the protective effect to OA might only work on the species to protect and the plant pathogen. The protective mechanisms are also very little-known, though (unlike CH and SA) OA has no anti-fungal properties (Attitalla & Brishammar 2002). In contrast, Lehner et al. (2008) were able to demonstrate the induction of defence-related gene expression due to CH.

Thus far, research relating OA and plant growth has been confined to herbaceous plants, pointing out the lack of any negative effects as a result of its application (Lehner et al. 2008). In contrast, a stimulation of seedling growth was observed in our study, especially at 0.5% OA concentration. Wang et al. (2009) showed that exogenous OA could delay fruit senescence by reducing ethylene production. Ethylene is known to serve as a plant hormone that inter alia produces inhibition of stem and root elongation (Ecker 1995). Based on our results, we may hypothesize that the inhibition of ethylene synthesis in cells following treatment with OA could lead to a stimulatory effect on seedling growth.

When SA was applied at either 1% or 2%, it did not prove possible to sustain any of the research hypotheses put forward. Such treatments were associated with considerably smaller numbers of pine seedlings than in the control, suggesting either the lack of any protective effect of SA or even a toxic influence. This could be due to an excessive concentration of SA being applied in the experiment. However, as relevant research done hitherto was entirely confined to herbaceous plants, it is hard to suggest a concentration of exogenous SA that might be applied to ensure the effective safeguarding of woody plants against disease, and even the stimulation of their growth. This is all the more the case given that SA is known to have basal levels widely differing among species (up to 100-fold – Raskin et al. 1990). What is more, disparities of this kind have been reported in species belonging to the same plant family (Rivas-San Vicente & Plasencia 2011). The efficacy of SA in protecting seedlings from damping-off disease may depend on which species of fungal pathogen is causing the disease. Such a dependent relationship has been noted in the case of pathogens of genus Fusarium (Jankiewicz et al. 2004). Differential fungitoxicity due to SA may account for the limited effectiveness against damping-off, as this disease is caused by pathogens belonging to a wide range of systematic groups.

Several studies previously reported a debilitating or inhibitory effect on seed germination due to SA (Rivas-San Vicente & Plasencia 2011). This effect could explain the limited germination of pine seeds observed in this study, especially at higher concentrations.
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