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Because of limited activity of the standard quinolones such as ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin against some clinically important organisms including Streptococcus pneumoniae and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, new quinolones have been developed. In addition to their improved activity against S pneumoniae, some also demonstrate excellent anaerobic activity. None of the quinolones have a role to play in the treatment of paediatric infections. Quinolones (both older and newer agents) have demonstrated equivalent efficacy to standard antimicrobials in the treatment of acute sinusitis. Several groups have suggested that quinolones are excellent agents in the treatment of high risk patients with acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. These patients include the elderly, and those with frequent exacerbations, significant comorbid conditions, long duration of chronic bronchitis and major impairment of lung function. There is no evidence to suggest that the newer quinolones will differ from the currently available agents for this disease. The major advantage of the newer quinolones appears to be in the treatment of patients with community-acquired pneumonia where pneumococcal infection is a real concern. A new parenteral quinolone with pneumococcal activity may replace the standard macrolide/cephalosporin combination that is commonly prescribed. For patients with nosocomial pneumonia, the newer agents are alternative choices, especially among patients with early onset pneumonia (less than five days of hospitalization), but are unlikely to replace ciprofloxacin in the intensive care unit setting because of poor Pseudomonas aeruginosa coverage.
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Le nouveau rôle des quinolones dans les infections respiratoires

RÉSUMÉ : Compte tenu de l'activité limitée des quinolones classiques, comme la ciprofloxacine et l'ofloxacine, contre certains organismes pathogènes cliniquement importants, dont Streptococcus pneumoniae et les souches de Staphylococcus aureus méthicillin-résistantes, de nouvelles quinolones ont été mises au point. En plus d'une activité accrue contre S pneumoniae, certaines manifestent également une excellente activité contre les anérobies. Aucune des quinolones ne joue un rôle dans le traitement des infections pédiatriques. Les quinolones, tant anciennes que nouvelles, ont fait preuve d'une efficacité équivalente à celle des antimicrobiens ordinares dans le traitement de la sinusite aiguë. Selon plusieurs groupes, les quinolones sont d'excellents agents chez les patients à haut risque souffrant d'exacerbation aiguë de bronchite chronique. Ces patients sont les gens âgés, ceux dont les exacerbations sont fréquentes, ceux qui présentent des comorbidités significatives, ceux dont la bronchite chronique dure depuis longtemps et qui souffrent d'une atteinte importante de leur fonction pulmonaire. Rien ne suggère que les quinolones plus récentes ne différaient des agents actuellement employés contre cette maladie. Le principal avantage des nouvelles quinolones serait qu'elles conviennent aux patients atteints de pneumonie extra-hospitalière, lorsque l'infection pneumococcique est réellement inquiétante. Une nouvelle quinolone parentérale dotée d'une activité anti-pneumococcique pourrait remplacer les associations macrolides/céphalosporines standard couramment prescrites. Pour les patients qui souffrent de pneumonie nosocomiale, de nouveaux agents offrent des solutions de rechange, surtout pour les patients chez qui la pneumonie dure depuis peu (moins de cinq jours d'hospitalisation), mais ne seront pas appelés à remplacer la ciprofloxacine à l'unité des soins intensifs à cause de sa multitude efficacité contre Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Quinolones are an important class of antimicrobial agents used to treat a variety of bacterial infections. Their superior pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties, bactericidal activity, excellent clinical responses and few side effects explain their widespread acceptance in clinical practice. These agents typically have bactericidal activity against most species of bacteria, with minimal bactericidal concentrations equal to or twofold higher than the minimal inhibitory concentrations (1). The absolute bioavailability exceeds 50%, and long terminal half-lives allow once or twice daily dosing.
TABLE 1
Microbial causes of community-acquired acute bacterial sinusitis

| Organism                   | Adults (Mean percentage and range of cases) | Children (Mean percentage and range of cases) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Streptococcus pneumoniae   | 34 (23-54)                                 | 41 (36-47)                                  |
| Haemophilus influenzae     | 35 (19-60)                                 | 29 (27-32)                                  |
| Anaerobes                  | 6 (0-10)                                   | 0                                           |
| Staphylococcus aureus      | 4 (0-8)                                    | 0                                           |
| Streptococcus pyogenes     | 2 (1-3)                                    | 2 (2)                                       |
| Moraxella catharralis      | 2 (0-8)                                    | 26 (23-27)                                  |
| Gram-negative bacteria     | 4 (0-11)                                   | 2 (2)                                       |

(2). The currently used quinolones, such as ciprofloxacin or ofloxacin, exhibit limited activity against some clinically important organisms, such as Streptococcus pneumoniae and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (3). The unique advantage of the new fluoroquinolones as a class is their improved antipneumococcal activity, even in penicillin-resistant strains, while some also demonstrate excellent anaerobic activity. With the emerging global trend of S pneumoniae exhibiting reduced susceptibility to penicillin and, in the case of Gram-negative bacilli, the emergence of multiresistant strains of Enterobacteriaceae, increasing use of fluoroquinolones is predictable. This review examines the current role of fluoroquinolones and speculates on how the newer agents may change the current paradigm.

UPPER AIRWAY INFECTIONS

Quinolones do not play a role in the treatment of pharyngitis or simple otitis media. Because the new fluoroquinolones have safety profiles similar to the older quinolones, it is unlikely that they will be used in the treatment of these mainly paediatric disorders. Fluoroquinolones have been used in the treatment of acute and chronic sinusitis, chronic otitis media and malignant external otitis. Haemophilus influenzae, S pneumoniae and Moraxella catarrhalis are the principle pathogens in acute sinusitis (4,5) (Table 1). The role of antibiotics in the treatment of acute maxillary sinusitis has been questioned. In a recent placebo-controlled trial, antibiotic treatment did not improve the clinical course of patients with acute maxillary sinusitis presenting to physicians in a primary care setting (6). Others have demonstrated that patients suffering from the common cold, who also have respiratory pathogens (H influenzae, M catarrhalis, S pneumoniae) isolated from nasopharyngeal secretions, benefit clinically from the administration of antibiotics (7). Until recently, the available fluoroquinolones were not indicated for the treatment of acute purulent sinusitis because of their perceived inactivity against S pneumoniae (8). While not generally considered to be the drugs of first choice, older quinolones have comparable efficacy to cephalosporins and beta-lactams in randomized clinical trials (9). In the treatment of chronic bacterial sinusitis, ciprofloxacin has been reported to be as effective as amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, with clinical resolution and/or improvement reported in more than 80% of patients in both groups (10). The newer fluoroquinolones have been studied in patients with acute bacterial sinusitis because of the drugs' improved activity against all respiratory pathogens. In a double-blind, multicentre trial, 382 patients with acute purulent sinusitis were treated with either sparfloxacin or cefuroxime axetil (11). The success rates were 82.6% and 83.2%, respectively; the success rates in the subset of patients with S pneumoniae as the offending pathogen were equivalent (89.5% for sparfloxacin versus 92.3% for cefuroxime axetil). Clinical trials with grepafloxacin and trovafloxacin are in progress, but similar success rates can be expected.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, S aureus and Proteus mirabilis are the main pathogens identified in patients with chronic otitis media, a disease characterized by mucopurulent otorrhoea (12). Patients receiving ciprofloxacin for this indication had a significantly higher clinical response and bacteriological cure rate than patients receiving amoxycillin/clavulanic acid (13). It is difficult to imagine that the new quinolones will improve on this performance because their activity against P aeruginosa is less than that observed with ciprofloxacin. Malignant external otitis, a disease caused by P aeruginosa, occurs in elderly diabetic patients and can lead to osteomyelitis of the base of the skull (14). Treatment of this syndrome with ciprofloxacin has been associated with excellent clinical and bacteriological responses and shorter hospital stays, although controlled clinical trials have not been performed (15,16). Given these observations, it seems prudent to continue to recommend ciprofloxacin as the best agent for these disorders.

LOWER RESPIRATORY TRACT INFECTIONS

Acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is the fourth leading cause of death in the United States and continues to afflict 20% of the population despite public education about the risks of smoking (17,18). The mortality rate from this disease has increased, while mortality rates from coronary artery disease, stroke and all other causes of death have declined (19). Acute bronchitis and acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis (AECB) are common illnesses encountered by general and family physicians, and account for approximately 14 million physician visits per year in the United States (20,21). In Europe, more than 80% of all lower respiratory tract infections are treated with antibiotics (22). Most physicians do not differentiate acute bronchitis, AECB, community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) and viral respiratory tract infections. The pattern of antibiotic prescribing for these infections varies from country to country, but there is no clear rationale for antimicrobial choices (23). For example, penicillins are used most frequently in the United Kingdom, tetracyclines in Germany, but third-generation oral cephalosporins predominate in Italy. All countries use quinolones in roughly the same proportion.

An acute exacerbation of COPD is usually defined as an episodic respiratory decompensation without an objectively documented cause, such as pneumonia. It is characterized clinically by increased cough and sputum production, purulence, and dyspnea. Many of these patients are treated with antibiotics, but the efficacy of this treatment has been questioned (24). Patients with at least two of these symptoms have
a better outcome and improve more quickly if treated with an antibiotic than with placebo (25). A large study conducted in Italy confirmed the beneficial role of antibiotics, and a recent meta-analysis indicated a small but statistically significant overall benefit in antibiotic-treated patients (26,27). Bacterial pathogens are cultured from lower respiratory secretions in approximately 50% of patients (28). Other pathogens, such as viruses and bacterial pathogens (for example, chlamydia or mycoplasma), are responsible for a further 20% to 30% (29,30). In bacteriologically defined exacerbations, *H influenzae* is the most commonly isolated organism (31-36) (Table 2). More recent data indicate that *M catarrhalis* is the second most common pathogen while *S pneumoniae* is next in frequency (37). New evidence suggests that *Haemophilus parainfluenzae*, an organism usually assumed not to be a pathogen, may also be significant (58).

Beta-lactamase-mediated amoxicillin resistance is seen in 20% to 40% of *H influenzae* strains in North America and Europe, and in almost 100% of *M catarrhalis* strains (39-41). In a American survey conducted between 1992 and 1993, 30% of all *H influenzae* isolates were beta-lactamase producing, and only a few beta-lactamase negative ampicillin-resistant strains were isolated (42). Beta-lactamase production was found in 92% of 700 strains of *M catarrhalis*. For *S pneumoniae*, 15% of 800 isolates demonstrated intermediate susceptibility to penicillin, while 7% were penicillin resistant (MIC₉₀ < 2.0 μg/mL).

In a more recent survey conducted between 1994 and 1995, the percentage of *H influenzae* strains producing beta-lactamase increased to 36.4% (43). Another 2.5% of *H influenzae* isolates were beta-lactamase negative, but ampicillin-resistant. Beta-lactamase producing *M catarrhalis* increased to 95.3% of all isolated strains, while the overall frequency of penicillin-resistant *S pneumoniae* increased to 23.6% (44,45). Overall, 14.1% of *S pneumoniae* isolates demonstrated intermediate resistance, while 9.5% demonstrated high level resistance. Of particular concern was the observation that 9.1% of strains demonstrated multiple drug resistance.

In managing COPD, it may be advantageous to define a target population at risk based upon severity of disease, as has been done for patients with pneumonia (46). An aggressive approach to the treatment of exacerbations of COPD in this target population may lead to improved outcomes. Risk factors defined in clinical studies that predict either clinical failure, hospitalization, respiratory failure or death include advanced age, significant impairment of lung function, poor performance status, other comorbid conditions (especially chronic heart disease), frequent exacerbations and the requirement for oral corticosteroid medication (47-51). Several groups have recommended that, in patients at risk (ie, the elderly, patients with severe underlying lung disease, patients with frequent exacerbations, patients with comorbid illnesses such as cardiac disease), aggressive antimicrobial therapy directed at resistant organisms be selected (52-54). Quinolones have been used extensively in this group of patients, and clinical results have been excellent (31,55-59). These drugs have been compared with beta-lactams, beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitors, second- and third-generation cephalosporins with few differences being demonstrated. However, most studies have been performed for registration purposes and are designed to show equivalence.

To demonstrate the potential advantages of using quinolones in this group of patients, high risk patients with at least two new respiratory symptoms (increased cough, increased sputum volume, and purulence and dyspnea) should be included (60). In a recently completed study, patients with at least three treated exacerbations in the previous year were randomly assigned to receive either ciprofloxacin or any nonquinolone-based antimicrobial therapy for their next acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis (61). In this prospective, health economic study, clinical end-points (days of illness, hospitalizations, time to next exacerbation) were blended with quality of life measurements (Nottingham Health Profile, St George’s Hospital Respiratory Questionnaire, Health Utility Index) and total respiratory costs from a societal perspective. While the overall results indicated no preference for either treatment arm, the use of ciprofloxacin led to improved clinical outcome, higher quality of life and less costs in patients with risk factors (severe underlying lung disease, more than four exacerbations per year, duration of bronchitis greater than 10 years, elderly, significant comorbid illness). The results of this study suggest that aggressive antimicrobial therapy directed toward resistant organisms in high risk patients is a more effective strategy than no therapy or therapy with older antimicrobials, which would not be effective against beta-lactamase producing *H influenzae* or *M catarrhalis*.

There is considerable interest in examining the role of the newer quinolones because these have an excellent spectrum against the pathogens usually found in acute exacerbations of

| Study (reference) | Number of isolates | *Haemophilus influenzae* | *Moraxella catarrhalis* | *Streptococcus pneumoniae* |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Bastan et al (31) | 60                 | 43.3                     | 3.3                    | 25                       |
| Chodosh (32)      | 214                | 37.9                     | 22.4                   | 22.4                     |
| Aldos (33)        | 53                 | 70                       | 13                     | 15                       |
| Bachand (34)      | 84                 | 30                       | 10.7                   | 21.4                     |
| Lindsay et al (35)| 398                | 49.7                     | 19                     | 17                       |
| Neu and Chick (36)| 84                 | 46.4                     | 28.6                   | 25.0                     |

Table 2: Bacterial pathogens isolated from sputum in patients with acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis.
chronic bronchitis. In a randomized, multicentre, open label trial, levofloxacin 500 mg once daily for five days was compared with cefuroxime axetil 250 mg twice daily for 10 days (62). Clinical improvement (greater than 90%) and microbiological eradication rates (greater than 90%) were similar in both groups. In a randomized, prospective, double-blind trial, grepafloxacin in two doses, 400 mg once daily or 600 mg once daily for 10 days, was compared with ciprofloxacin 500 mg bid for 10 days. The findings indicated that a 10-day course of either dose of grepafloxacin was as effective, clinically and bacteriologically, as ciprofloxacin (63). Preliminary data suggest that the new fluoroquinolones will be as effective as the older quinolones in patients with AECB. Whether there will be any additional advantage over the older agents quinolones is yet to be determined.

**CAP:** Pneumonia is the sixth most common cause of death in the United States and accounts for approximately 10 million physician visits, 500,000 hospitalizations and 45,000 deaths in the United States (64–65). The most recent Canadian, American and British published guidelines do not recommend quinolones for the treatment of CAP mainly because of the concern about adequate coverage of *S pneumoniae* (46,66,67). Only the Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines for the management of CAP recommend the new respiratory quinolones as an alternative single agent in the treatment of these patients (68).

Older quinolones used as monotherapy for CAP have good clinical results. In a study of hospitalized patients with CAP, ofloxacin was as effective as a standard regimen consisting of a beta-lactam with or without a macrolide (69). In a recent randomized, double-blind, parallel group study of patients with mild to moderate CAP, patients receiving sparfloxacin had similar outcomes as those receiving either amoxycillin/clavulanic acid or erythromycin (70). In another study of patients with mild to moderate CAP, levofloxacin had a higher clinical success rate and microbiological eradication rate compared with ceftriaxone and/or cefuroxime axetil (71). In patients with life-threatening CAP, one suggested regimen includes a quinolone in combination with an aminoglycoside and macrolide as initial empirical therapy until the results of microbiological investigations are available (46). Oral fluoroquinolones have also been recommended as acceptable alternatives to macrolides for Legionnaires' disease and probably for *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* and *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infections (72).

In an open label study, grepafloxacin 600 mg once daily was highly effective in the management of patients with CAP, including patients with *S pneumoniae, H influenzae, M pneumoniae* and *Legionella pneumophila* as the causative pathogens (73). Registration studies have indicated that the eradication of pneumococci from sputum in patients with CAP is greater than 90% and comparable with amoxycillin, cefacor and clarithromycin (73). In these same studies, the eradication rate for *H influenzae* was greater than 90% and better than amoxycillin, cefacor and clarithromycin.

The current American Thoracic Society and Canadian Consensus Conference Group guidelines for the management of out-patients with CAP suggest a macrolide or tetracycline as first-line therapy, particularly in young patients without significant comorbidity (46,66). Given the spectrum of the new fluoroquinolones, their ability to penetrate extremely well into lung tissue and the limited supportive clinical data, these compounds should be considered as possible alternatives in the management of these patients. Both documents also recommend either a cephalosporin as a single agent or the combination of a macrolide and cephalosporin for hospitalized patients. Quinolones that can be administered parenterally (levofloxacin, trovafloxacin) may be alternative choices to combination therapy. More data are required to define the role in this setting adequately, but cost considerations will likely be very important in the final decision to use these agents.

**Nosocomial pneumonia:** Gram-negative organisms and *S aureus* are the most commonly isolated pathogens in patients with nosocomial pneumonia (75–77). In the recently published American Thoracic Society statement on the treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia (78), patients were stratified according to the time of onset, presence of specific risk factors and severity of pneumonia. Among patients with early onset pneumonia (less than five days hospitalization), the likely pathogens are enteric Gram-negative rods, *H influenzae*, methicillin-sensitive *S aureus* and *S pneumoniae*. A fluoroquinolone is recommended only for patients allergic to penicillin. Among patients with severe hospital-acquired pneumonia, *P aeruginosa* or *Acinetobacter* species become a serious consideration. Because of the emergence of multiply resistant aerobic Gram-negative bacilli, a fluoroquinolone is recommended in combination with a beta-lactam agent, especially a third-generation antipseudomonal cephalosporin, a beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor or an antipseudomonal penicillin. In the absence of *P aeruginosa*, monotherapy with a quinolone has been demonstrated to be as effective as treatment with third-generation cephalosporins or imipenem (79,80). Monotherapy with high dose ciprofloxacin was compared with monotherapy with high dose imipenem/cilastatin in patients with severe pneumonia. Ciprofloxacin was associated with a better clinical response and an enhanced eradication rate of *Enterobacter* species. If *P aeruginosa* was present, neither regimen performed particularly well and resistance developed frequently during therapy. It is possible to step down to oral fluoroquinolone therapy once an adequate clinical response has been demonstrated to initial intravenous therapy (81).

The new fluoroquinolones are active against the usual pathogens causing nosocomial pneumonia. With the exception of trovafloxacin, they demonstrate relatively poor activity against *P aeruginosa*. Because of the importance of this pathogen, particularly in an intensive care unit setting, ciprofloxacin will likely remain an important agent. These newer agents will be alternative choices for the management of early onset nosocomial pneumonia among patients without specific risk factors. Agents with anaerobic coverage (trovafloxacin, moxifloxacin) may be considered in patients suspected of having anaerobic lung infection (post-thoracoabdominal surgery, witnessed aspiration).
SUMMARY

Fluoroquinolones are important broad spectrum antimicrobials used in a wide variety of upper and lower respiratory tract infections. With the introduction of the new 'respiratory' quinolones with improved antiinflammatory activity, their role will undoubtedly expand into the treatment of CAP and nosocomial pneumonia. Whether they will provide additional benefits to our present armamentarium remains to be proven.
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