We report the discovery of a short-duration microlensing candidate in the northern field of the POINT-AGAPE pixel lensing survey toward M31. Almost certainly, the source star has been identified on Hubble Space Telescope archival images, allowing us to infer an Einstein crossing time of \( t_E = 10.4 \) days, a maximum magnification of \( A_{\text{max}} \sim 18 \), and a lens-source proper motion \( \mu_{\text{rel}} > 0.3 \text{ } \mu \text{as day}^{-1} \). The event has a projected separation of 8′ from the center of M31, beyond the bulk of the stellar lens population. There are three plausible identifications/locations for the lensing object: a massive compact halo object (MACHO) in either M31 or the Milky Way, or a star in the M31 disk. The most probable mass is 0.06 \( M_\odot \) for an M31 MACHO, 0.02 \( M_\odot \) for a Milky Way MACHO, and 0.2 \( M_\odot \) for an M31 stellar lens. While the stellar interpretation is possible, the MACHO interpretation is the most probable for halo fractions above 20%.

Subject headings: galaxies: halos — galaxies: individual (M31) — gravitational lensing — stars: variables: other

1. INTRODUCTION

Following the suggestions of Crotts (1992) and Baillon et al. (1993), the POINT-AGAPE collaboration has embarked on a pixel lensing survey of M31 using the Wide Field Camera (WFC) on the 2.5 m Isaac Newton Telescope (INT). The high inclination of M31’s disk causes an asymmetry in the observed rate of microlensing by lenses in a spheroidal halo (Crotts 1992). We are monitoring two fields of 0.3 deg\(^2\) each, located to the north and south of the center of M31. The survey has the potential to map the global distribution of microlensing events in M31 and to quantify any large-scale gradient. The main difficulties are that the M31 sources are resolved only while they are lensed (and then only if the magnification is substantial) and that seeing causes substantial variations in the point-spread function. The pixel lensing technique has been developed to cope with these problems (Crotts & Tomaney 1996; Ansari et al. 1997, 1999). POINT-AGAPE is a long-term program, as at least 3 yr of data will be required to make a convincing identification of any gradient (Kerins et al. 2001). Also, it is necessary to establish a long time baseline to distinguish microlensing events from variable stars. In this Letter, we report on a particularly interesting and convincing early candidate, PA-99-N1, so named because it is the first event to be announced by POINT-AGAPE that peaked in the northern field in 1999.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

Observations were obtained in two bands, Sloan \( r' \) and \( g' \), spanning 1999 August to December. We restrict analysis to a (11′ × 22′) field centered 3′ west and 12′ north of the center of M31. Exposure times were typically 10 minutes per field per night. The observations are strongly clustered because the WFC was not always mounted on the telescope. Data reduction is described in detail elsewhere (Ansari et al. 1997; Le Du 2000). Following standard preprocessing procedures, each image is geometrically and photometrically aligned relative to a reference image (taken on 1999 August 14), chosen because it has a long exposure time, typical seeing (1″), and little contribution to the sky background from the Moon. The light curves are computed by summing the flux in 7 pixel (2′.3) square “superpixels” and then removing the correlation due to seeing variations. The superpixel size is set by the poorest seeing, ~2′.1.

Event detection is performed using the \( r' \)-band frames, which have better temporal sampling and smaller variations in sky background. We use simple selection criteria designed to isolate high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) events. The pixel light curves are searched for the presence of bumps, defined by at least four consecutive data points rising above the background by a minimum of 4 \( \sigma \). The significance of a detection is quantified by the probability \( P \) of obtaining data points, each of which has at least the S/N of the measured points. Candidate microlensing events are defined to possess only one bump with \(-\ln P > 100\) and no other bump with \(-\ln P > 20\) (see Ansari et al. 1997). The background employed is the minimum of averages computed over any seven consecutive data points. Microlensing parameters are estimated from a simultaneous fit of a high-magnification degenerate microlensing curve to the data in both the \( r' \) and \( g' \) bands (Gould 1996). A candidate event is retained providing that the reduced \( \chi^2 \) < 3 and a flux excess of at least 1 ADU s\(^{-1}\) is...
observed in the $g'$ band. To reduce the number of false positives due to other forms of stellar variability, we further require that the parameters of the microlensing fit satisfy $t_{\text{obs}} < 8$ days with a peak $r'$-band flux greater than 10 ADU s$^{-1}$, corresponding to $R_{\text{peak}} \lesssim 21.5$. One candidate, with $t_{\text{obs}} \sim 1.8$ days, satisfies the criteria.

3. The Microlensing Candidate

Figure 1 shows the light curves in $r'$ and $g'$ of this candidate together with the nondegenerate fit derived below. The $g'$ data with large error bars were taken on nights with a significant amount of moonlight. Using the Aladin Sky Atlas, we find that PA-99-N1 has a J2000 position: $\alpha = 00^\circ 42^\prime 51.42, \delta = +41^\circ 23' 53.77$, placing it 7'52" from the center of M31, projected on the near disk. Using DAOPHOT (Stetson 1987) on the images taken nearest maximum magnification, we find $R = 20.80 \pm 0.13$ and $V = 22.00 \pm 0.17$, i.e., $V-R = 1.20 \pm 0.22$ and $M_V = -2.8 \pm 0.3$, assuming $(m-M)_V = 24.43$ and estimated total extinction $A_V = 0.4 \pm 0.2$. The transformation from instrumental ($r'$, $g'$) to Johnson ($V$, $R$) is based on 31 standard stars that lie in the same field as PA-99-N1 (Magnier et al. 1993; Haiman et al. 1994). Microlensing events are achromatic, so the ratio of flux change in different bands should be constant in time (e.g., Ansari et al. 1997). This requires

$$\frac{\Delta F_{r'}(t)}{\Delta F_{g'}(t)} = \frac{F_{r'}(t) - F_{\text{base}, r'}}{F_{g'}(t) - F_{\text{base}, g'}} = \text{constant},$$

which does indeed hold for PA-99-N1 (see Fig. 1c).

Hubble Space Telescope (HST) is capable of detecting giant branch stars in M31. Since the microlensing event is very red, $V-R \sim 1.2$, the source must lie either high up on the giant branch, where essentially all stars are resolved by HST, or on the main sequence and so magnified by $A_{\text{max}} \approx 10^4$ (see Fig. 2). The latter possibility is extremely unlikely a priori. Hence, a firm prediction of the microlensing interpretation is that a star with the same color should be visible in suitable HST images. The position of PA-99-N1 lies within a series of five (HST) Wide Field Planetary Camera 2 archival images taken in 1996 July, three with the F814W ($\sim I$) filter and two with the F606W ($\sim V$) filter. We use the relations of Zheng et al. (2001) to transform from these filters to Johnson-Cousins $V$ and $I$. The spatial transformation between the INT and HST frames is derived by comparing the positions of the eight stars that are both resolved in the INT image and unsaturated in the HST image. The uncertainty in this transformation is small, and the error in the position of the candidate is dominated by the 0.2 pixel (00'07) uncertainty in measuring the location of the candidate itself on the INT frames. On the HST frames, within the 1σ positional error circle centered on the event, there is a resolved star for which we find $V = 24.51 \pm 0.12$ and $I = 22.41 \pm 0.10$, implying $V-I = 2.10 \pm 0.16$. There are no other resolved stars visible within 0''21 (3σ). For typical stellar populations, this $V-I$ is compatible with the $V-R = 1.20 \pm 0.22$ measured for the event (Demarque et al. 1996). The prior probability to find such a star so close to the predicted position is only 3%. We conclude that the object in the HST frames is almost certainly the source star of the event.

4. Light-Curve Interpretation

4.1. PA-99-N1 as a Variable Star

Can the light curve be due to a variable star? The color and magnitude are compatible with an M0 Mira at maximum. However, such Mira variables have periods greater than 200 days, and their flux at maximum does not vary as rapidly as the event (Cox 2000). PA-99-N1 is unlikely to be a dwarf nova. It is too bright at maximum to be in M31. If it is a Galactic dwarf nova,
it must be either unusually faint or improbably far from us (>10 kpc). It is also unlikely to be a nova. The brightness decrease of PA-99-N1 just after maximum is very rapid, with a rate of decline of about 0.7 mag day\(^{-1}\). Capaccioli et al. (1989) studied the relation between the rate of decline and the magnitude at maximum for novae in M31 and found that the brighter the nova, the faster the decrease. The decline of PA-99-N1 would indicate a nova as bright as \(V = 16\), which would imply \(A_v = 6\), in stark contrast to the small extinction required by Figure 2. To conclude, there is no type of stellar variability known to us that could generate the light curve of PA-99-N1.

The candidate selection criteria (§ 2) ensured that only one significant “bump” was present in the observations taken during the 1999 observing season. Examination of additional frames, taken with the 1.3 m telescope at the MDM observatory, shows no evidence for variability during the 1998 and 1999 observing seasons. However, if the weak bump evident in 1999 December, near day 130 in Figure 1, were due to the event source, one would have to conclude that the source was probably a variable. Further investigation of the December images is encouraging: for each of the nine images in December, we identify a comparison image, from earlier in the season, with similar seeing and subtract the image pairs. Summing these difference images, we find a very clear stellar profile located south of \(1\) and subtract the image pairs. Summing these difference images, we find a very clear stellar profile located northeast of \(1\), implying \(A_v = 6\), in stark contrast to the small extinction required by Figure 2. To conclude, there is no type of stellar variability known to us that could generate the light curve of PA-99-N1.

The location of PA-99-N1, at nearly \(8^\circ\) from the center of M31, is interesting because the majority of stellar lenses are expected to reside within \(5^\circ\) of the M31 center (e.g., Kerins et al. 2001). The significance of the candidate is assessed by performing Monte Carlo simulations of events with the same source magnitude, Einstein timescale, and projected position as PA-99-N1, as well as taking account of the lower limit on \(\mu_{rel}\) in equation (2). We use the actual sampling and exposure times for the 1999 season. We model the halos of both galaxies with cored isothermal spheres, taking the mass of M31 as twice the mass of the Galaxy and assuming a core radius of 5 kpc for both galaxies. The M31 bulge follows the axisymmetric model of Kent (1989), while the disk has a sech-squared profile (see Kerins et al. 2001 for details). We base the disk stellar lens masses on the Galactic disk mass function of Gould, Bahcall, & Flynn (1997), corrected for binaries and extended down to \(0.01 M_\odot\), and the bulge stellar masses using the Zoccali et al. (2000) Galactic bulge mass function, similarly corrected and extended.

Figure 3a summarizes the MACHO interpretation of the event. Clearly, if the halos are full of MACHOs, the most probable interpretation is that the lens is a MACHO with mass \(\mu_{rel} \leq 0.03 M_\odot\). This is about 5 times more likely than PA-99-N1 being due to a stellar lens. The lens is equally likely to lie in the Milky Way or M31 halo, which is quite unexpected given that the line of sight passes only 2 kpc from the center of M31 but 8 kpc from the Galactic center. Normally this would cause the M31 probability to be much higher (as shown by the thin dashed line). However, the \(\mu_{rel}\) constraint (2) severely suppresses the M31 distribution at low masses while leaving the Milky Way distribution virtually unaffected. This is because the average Milky Way MACHO has a relative proper motion of \(\sim 10 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{kpc}^{-1}\), while for the typical M31 MACHO it is \(\sim 0.3 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{kpc}^{-1}\). The constraint also has the effect of displacing the peak of the M31 distribution toward higher mass. Assuming a logarithmic prior in the MACHO mass gives

### TABLE 1

| \(N\) | \(\chi^2\) | \(t_0\) (days) | \(u_0\) | \(t_e\) (days) | \(F_{\nu}^{\prime}\) (ADU s\(^{-1}\)) | \(F_{\nu}^{\prime}\) (ADU s\(^{-1}\)) | \(F_{\nu}^{\prime}\) (ADU s\(^{-1}\)) | \(F_{\nu}^{\prime}\) (ADU s\(^{-1}\)) |
|------|-----|--------|---|------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 97   | 154 | 13.87 ± 0.04 | 0.056 ± 0.009 | 10.4 ± 1.5 | 1.02 ± 0.17 | 0.28 ± 0.05 | 397.65 ± 0.18 | 209.40 ± 0.10 |

Note.—\(N\): number of points; \(t_0\): time of the peak; \(u_0\): impact parameter; \(t_e\): Einstein timescale; \(F_{\nu}\): source and background fluxes in two bands. The maximum magnification is \(A_{max} \sim u_0^{-1} \sim 18\).
is much better constrained if it lies in the M31 disk than the M31 halo. This is because for M31 lenses, \( M \propto (\mu \ell \Delta t)^2 \), where \( \Delta t \) is the distance from the lens to the source. For both populations, the product \( \mu \ell \Delta t \) is reasonably well constrained, but for halo lenses, \( \Delta t \) can take on a very broad range of values, while for disk lenses, the geometry implies \( \Delta t = 4.0 \pm 1.8 \) kpc. Since \( \ell \) is measured and \( \mu \ell \) is constrained by equation (2), \( M \) is also constrained. This means that we detect events at the location and timescale of PA-99-N1 only if the stellar mass \( M > 0.1 \, M_\odot \).

The relative rate of MACHOs to stars is subject to a number of modeling uncertainties, such as the relative mass of the Milky Way and M31 halos (Evans et al. 2000), the size of the halo core, and possibly barring of the M31 bulge. With regard to the latter, the twisting of the optical isophotes (e.g., Walterbos & Kennicutt 1987) is evidence for its presence. We note that the twisting is away from the location of PA-99-N1. We therefore expect a bar model with the same overall mass as our axisymmetric model to have a lower surface density at the position of the event and thus a lower stellar lensing rate.

### 6. CONCLUSIONS

We have reported the discovery of a high S/N, short-duration event that is consistent with the microlensing hypothesis. Almost certainly, the source star has been identified on archival HST frames, from which the Einstein crossing time of 10.4 days has been determined. We have argued—from the stability of the light curve, the achromaticity of the flux excess, the excellence of the fit, and the consistency of the color of the event at maximum with the color of the HST source—that by far the most natural explanation of this event is microlensing. The lens is most likely to be a MACHO if the halo fraction is above 20%. However, it is also plausible that the lens is a disk star with a mass of \( \sim 0.2 \, M_\odot \).
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