Twisted acceleration-enlarged Newton-Hooke space-times and breaking classical symmetry phenomena
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Abstract

We find the subgroup of classical acceleration-enlarged Newton-Hooke Hopf algebra which acts covariantly on the twisted acceleration-enlarged Newton-Hooke space-times. The case of classical acceleration-enlarged Galilei quantum group is considered as well.
1 Introduction

Presently, physicists expect that there exist aberrations from relativistic kinematics in high energy (transplanckian) regime. Such a suggestion follows from many theoretical [1], [2] as well as experimental (see e.g. [3]) investigations performed in the last time.

Generally, there exist two approaches to describe the particle kinematics in ultra-high energy regime. First of them assumes that relativistic symmetry becomes broken at Planck’s scale to the proper subgroup of Poincare algebra [4], [5]. The second approach is more sophisticated, i.e. it assumes that relativistic symmetry is still present in high energy regime, but it becomes deformed [6].

The first treatment has been proposed in [4], [5] where authors assumed that the whole Lorentz algebra is broken to the four subgroups: T(2), E(2), HOM(2) and SIM(2) identified with four versions of so-called Very Special Relativity. The second treatment arises from Quantum Group Theory [7], [8] which, in accordance with Hopf-algebraic classification of all relativistic and nonrelativistic deformations [9], [10], provides three types of quantum spaces. First of them corresponds to the well-known canonical type of noncommutativity

\[
[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu},
\]

with antisymmetric constant tensor \(\theta_{\mu\nu}\). Its relativistic and nonrelativistic Hopf-algebraic counterparts have been proposed in [11] and [12] respectively.

The second kind of mentioned deformations introduces the Lie-algebraic type of space-time noncommutativity

\[
[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} \hat{x}_\rho,
\]

with particularly chosen coefficients \(\theta_{\mu\nu}^{\rho}\) being constants. The corresponding Poincare quantum groups have been introduced in [13]-[15], while the suitable Galilei algebras - in [16] and [12].

The last kind of quantum space, so-called quadratic type of noncommutativity

\[
[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i\theta_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\tau} \hat{x}_\rho \hat{x}_\tau; \quad \theta_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\tau} = \text{const.},
\]

has been proposed in [17], [18] and [15] at relativistic and in [19] at nonrelativistic level.

The links between both (mentioned above) approaches have been investigated recently in articles [20] and [21]. Preciously, it has been demonstrated that the very particular realizations of canonical, Lie-algebraic and quadratic space-time noncommutativity are covariant with respect the action of undeformed T(2), E(2) and HOM(2) subgroups respectively. Such a result seems to be quite interesting because it connects two different approaches to the same problem - to the form of Poincare algebra at Planck’s scale. It also confirms expectation that relativistic symmetry in high energy regime should be modified, while the realizations of such an idea by breaking or deforming of Poincare algebra plays only the secondary role.

In this article we extend described above investigations to the case of classical acceleration-enlarged Newton-Hooke Hopf algebras \(U_0(\tilde{N}\tilde{H}_\pm)\) [22], [23]. Particulary, we find their
subgroups which act covariantly on the following (provided in [24] and [25]) twist-deformed
acceleration-enlarged Newton-Hooke space-times

\[ [t, x_i] = 0, \ [x_i, x_j] = i f_\pm \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right), \tag{4} \]

with

\[ f_+ \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) = f \left( \sinh \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right), \cosh \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) \right), \quad f_- \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) = f \left( \sin \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right), \cos \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) \right). \]

Further, by contraction limit of obtained results (\( \tau \to \infty \)), we analyze the case of so-called
classical acceleration-enlarged Galilei Hopf algebra \( U_0(\hat{G}) \) proposed in [26].

The paper is organized as follows. In second section we describe the general algorithm
used in present article. Sections three and four are devoted respectively to the subgroups
of classical acceleration-enlarged Newton-Hooke as well as classical acceleration-enlarged
Galilei Hopf symmetries acting covariantly on the proper (acceleration-enlarged) twist-deformed space-times [11]. Final remarks are presented in the last section.

2 General prescription

In this section we describe the general algorithm which can be applied to the arbitrary
twist deformation of space-time symmetries algebra \( \mathcal{A} \).

First of all, we recall basic facts related with the twist-deformed quantum group \( U_F(\mathcal{A}) \)
and with the corresponding quantum space-time. In accordance with general twist procedure [27],
the algebraic sector of Hopf structure \( U_F(\mathcal{A}) \) remains undeformed, while the
coproducts and antipodes transform as follows

\[ \Delta_0(a) \rightarrow \Delta_F(a) = F \circ \Delta_0(a) \circ F^{-1}, \quad S_F(a) = u_F S_0(a) u_F^{-1}, \tag{5} \]

with \( \Delta_0(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a \), \( S_0(a) = -a \) and \( u_F = \sum f_{(1)} S_0(f_{(2)}) \) (we use Sweedler’s notation \( F = \sum f_{(1)} \otimes f_{(2)} \)). Present in the above formula twist element \( F \in U_F(\mathcal{A}) \otimes U_F(\mathcal{A}) \)
satisfies the classical cocycle condition

\[ F_{12} \cdot (\Delta_0 \otimes 1) \ F = F_{23} \cdot (1 \otimes \Delta_0) \ F, \tag{6} \]

and the normalization condition

\[ (\epsilon \otimes 1) \ F = (1 \otimes \epsilon) \ F = 1, \tag{7} \]

with \( F_{12} = F \otimes 1, \ F_{23} = 1 \otimes F \) and

\[ \Delta_0(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a. \tag{8} \]
The corresponding to the above Hopf structure space-time is defined as quantum representation space (Hopf module) with action of the symmetry generators satisfying suitably deformed Leibnitz rules [28], [11]

\[ h \triangleright \omega_F (f(x) \otimes g(x)) = \omega_F (\Delta_F (h) \triangleright f(x) \otimes g(x)) , \]

for \( h \in U_F(A) \) or \( U_F(A) \) and

\[ \omega_F (f(x) \otimes g(x)) = \omega (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright f(x) \otimes g(x)) \quad ; \quad \omega (a \otimes b) = a \cdot b . \]

The action of \( U_F(A) \) algebra on its Hopf module of functions depending on space-time coordinates \( x_\mu \) is given by

\[ h \triangleright f(x) = h (x_\mu, \partial_\mu) f(x) , \]

while the \( \star_F \)-multiplication of arbitrary two functions is defined as follows

\[ f(x) \star_F g(x) := \omega (\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright f(x) \otimes g(x)) . \]

It should be also noted that the commutation relations

\[ [x_\mu, x_\nu]_{\star_F} = x_\mu \star_F x_\nu - x_\nu \star_F x_\mu , \]

are covariant (by definition) with respect the action of Hopf algebra generators (see deformed Leibnitz rules [22]).

In this article we consider the action of undeformed acceleration-enlarged Newton-Hooke as well as classical acceleration-enlarged Galilei Hopf algebras on the commutation relations [13] \((A = \hat{N}H_{\pm} \text{ or } \hat{G})\). It is given by the particular realizations of differential representation [16] and new classical Leibnitz rules

\[ h \triangleright \omega_F (f(x) \otimes g(x)) = \omega_F (\Delta_0 (h) \triangleright f(x) \otimes g(x)) , \]

associated with coproduct (8). Further, we demonstrate that in such a case the relations [13] are not invariant with respect the action of the whole algebras \( U_0(\hat{N}H_{\pm}) \) and \( U_0(\hat{G}) \), but only with respect their proper subgroups. Such an effect can be identified with the breaking classical symmetry phenomena associated with twist-deformed space-times [13].

### 3 Breaking of classical acceleration-enlarged Newton-Hooke symmetry

In this section we turn to the case of undeformed acceleration-enlarged Newton-Hooke Hopf algebra \( U_0(\hat{N}H_{\pm}) \) defined by the following algebraic sector [3]

\[ [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = i (\delta_{il} M_{jk} - \delta_{jl} M_{ik} + \delta_{jk} M_{il} - \delta_{ik} M_{jl}) \quad ; \quad [H, P_i] = \pm \frac{i}{\tau^2} K_i , \]

\[ ^3\text{The both Hopf structures } U_0(\hat{N}H_{\pm}) \text{ contain, apart from rotation } (M_{ij}), \text{ boost } (K_i) \text{ and space-time translation } (P_i, H) \text{ generators, the additional ones denoted by } F_i, \text{ responsible for constant acceleration.} \]
\[ [M_{ij}, K_k] = i(\delta_{jk} K_i - \delta_{ik} K_j), \quad [M_{ij}, P_k] = i(\delta_{jk} P_i - \delta_{ik} P_j), \]

\[ [M_{ij}, H] = [K_i, K_j] = [K_i, P_j] = 0, \quad [K_i, H] = -iP_i, \quad [P_i, P_j] = 0, \quad (15) \]

\[ [F_i, F_j] = [F_i, P_j] = [F_i, K_j] = 0, \quad [M_{ij}, F_k] = i(\delta_{jk} F_i - \delta_{ik} F_j), \]

\[ [H, F_i] = 2iK_i, \]

and classical coproduct \( (8) \). One can check that the above structure is represented on Hopf module of functions as follows (see formula (11))

\[ H \triangleright f(t, \varphi) = i\partial_t f(t, \varphi), \quad P_i \triangleright f(t, \varphi) = iC_{\pm}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\partial_i f(t, \varphi), \quad (16) \]

\[ M_{ij} \triangleright f(t, \varphi) = i(x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i) f(t, \varphi), \quad K_i \triangleright f(t, \varphi) = i\tau S_{\pm}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)\partial_i f(t, \varphi), \quad (17) \]

and

\[ F_i \triangleright f(t, \varphi) = \pm 2i\tau^2 \left(C_{\pm}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) - 1\right)\partial_i f(t, \varphi), \quad (18) \]

with

\[ C_{+/-}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) = \cosh / \cos \left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{+/-}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) = \sinh / \sin \left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right). \]

As it was already mentioned in Introduction the twist deformations of quantum group \( \mathcal{U}_0(NH_{\pm}) \) have been provided in \( [24] \). Here, we take under consideration the twisted acceleration-enlarged Newton-Hooke space-times defined by the following twist factors

\[ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_1} = \exp\left[\frac{i}{4}\sum_{k,l=1}^{2} \alpha_{1}^{kl} P_k \wedge P_l \right] \quad [\alpha_{1}^{kl} = -\alpha_{1}^{lk} = \alpha_1], \quad (19) \]

\[ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_2} = \exp\left[\frac{i}{4}\sum_{k,l=1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{kl} K_k \wedge P_l \right] \quad [\alpha_{2}^{kl} = -\alpha_{2}^{lk} = \alpha_2], \quad (20) \]

\[ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_3} = \exp\left[\frac{i}{4}\sum_{k,l=1}^{2} \alpha_{3}^{kl} K_k \wedge K_l \right] \quad [\alpha_{3}^{kl} = -\alpha_{3}^{lk} = \alpha_3], \quad (21) \]

\[ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_4} = \exp\left[\frac{i}{4}\sum_{k,l=1}^{2} \alpha_{4}^{kl} F_k \wedge F_l \right] \quad [\alpha_{4}^{kl} = -\alpha_{4}^{lk} = \alpha_4], \quad (22) \]

\[ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_5} = \exp\left[\frac{i}{4}\sum_{k,l=1}^{2} \alpha_{5}^{kl} F_k \wedge P_l \right] \quad [\alpha_{5}^{kl} = -\alpha_{5}^{lk} = \alpha_5], \quad (23) \]

\[ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_6} = \exp\left[\frac{i}{4}\sum_{k,l=1}^{2} \alpha_{6}^{kl} K_k \wedge F_l \right] \quad [\alpha_{6}^{kl} = -\alpha_{6}^{lk} = \alpha_6]. \quad (24) \]
In other words, we consider spaces of the form
\[ [ t, x_i ]_{\ast F} = [ x_1, x_3 ]_{\ast F} = [ x_2, x_3 ]_{\ast F} = 0 \; , \; [ x_1, x_2 ]_{\ast F} = i f(t) ; \; i = 1, 2, 3 \; , \] (25)
with function \( f(t) \) given by

\[
\begin{align*}
f(t) & = f_{\kappa_1}(t) = f_{\pm,\kappa_1}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) = \kappa_1 C_\pm^2\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right), \quad (26) \\
f(t) & = f_{\kappa_2}(t) = f_{\pm,\kappa_2}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) = \kappa_2^2 C_\pm S_\pm\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right), \quad (27) \\
f(t) & = f_{\kappa_3}(t) = f_{\pm,\kappa_3}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) = \kappa_3^2 S_\pm\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right), \quad (28) \\
f(t) & = f_{\kappa_4}(t) = f_{\pm,\kappa_4}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) = 4\kappa_4^4\left(C_\pm\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) - 1\right)^2, \quad (29) \\
f(t) & = f_{\kappa_5}(t) = f_{\pm,\kappa_5}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) = \pm\kappa_5^2\left(C_\pm\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) - 1\right) C_\pm\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right), \quad (30) \\
f(t) & = f_{\kappa_6}(t) = f_{\pm,\kappa_6}\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) = \pm\kappa_6^3\left(C_\pm\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right) - 1\right) S_\pm\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right). \quad (31)
\end{align*}
\]

Of course, for all parameters \( \kappa_a \) running to zero the above space-times become commutative.

Let us now turn to the covariance of relations (26)-(31) with respect the action of undeformed Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{U}_0(\widehat{NH}_\pm) \). Using differential representation (16)-(18), classical Leibnitz rules (8) and twist factors (19)-(24), one finds (see prescription (14))

\[
G_k \triangleright [t, x_i]_{\ast F} = 0, \quad (32)
\]
\[
G_k \triangleright [x_i, x_j]_{\ast F} - i f(t)(\delta_{i1}\delta_{2j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{2i}) = 0; \; G_k = P_k, \; K_k, \; F_k, \quad (33)
\]
\[
M_{km} \triangleright [t, x_i]_{\ast F} = 0, \; M_{12} \triangleright [x_i, x_j]_{\ast F} - i f(t)(\delta_{i1}\delta_{2j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{2i}) = 0, \quad (34)
\]
\[
M_{13} \triangleright [x_i, x_j]_{\ast F} - i f(t)(\delta_{i1}\delta_{2j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{2i}) = f(t)(\delta_{2i}\delta_{3j} - \delta_{2j}\delta_{3i}), \quad (35)
\]
\[
M_{23} \triangleright [x_i, x_j]_{\ast F} - i f(t)(\delta_{i1}\delta_{2j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{2i}) = -f(t)(\delta_{1i}\delta_{3j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{3i}), \quad (36)
\]
\[
H \triangleright [x_i, x_j]_{\ast F} - i f(t)(\delta_{i1}\delta_{2j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{2i}) = h(t)(\delta_{1i}\delta_{2j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{2i}), \quad (37)
\]
\[
H \triangleright [t, x_i]_{\ast F} = 0, \quad (38)
\]
with \( h(t) = \frac{df(t)}{dt} \), i.e.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{h(t)}{\tau} &= h_{\kappa_1}(t) = h_{\pm,\kappa_1} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) = \pm \frac{\kappa_1}{\tau} S_{\pm} \left( \frac{2t}{\tau} \right), \\
\frac{h(t)}{\tau} &= h_{\kappa_2}(t) = h_{\pm,\kappa_2} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) = \kappa_2 C_{\pm} \left( \frac{2t}{\tau} \right), \\
\frac{h(t)}{\tau} &= h_{\kappa_3}(t) = h_{\pm,\kappa_3} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) = \kappa_3 \tau S_{\pm} \left( \frac{2t}{\tau} \right), \\
\frac{h(t)}{\tau} &= h_{\kappa_4}(t) = h_{\pm,\kappa_4} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) = 8\kappa_4 \tau^3 S_{\pm} \left( \frac{2t}{\tau} \right) \left( C_{\pm} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) - 1 \right), \\
\frac{h(t)}{\tau} &= h_{\kappa_5}(t) = h_{\pm,\kappa_5} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) = \kappa_5 \tau \left( S_{\pm} \left( \frac{2t}{\tau} \right) - S_{\pm} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) \right), \\
\frac{h(t)}{\tau} &= h_{\kappa_6}(t) = h_{\pm,\kappa_6} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) = 2\kappa_6 \tau^2 \left( 2C_{\pm} \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) + 1 \right) S_{\pm}^2 \left( \frac{t}{2\tau} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

The above result means that the commutation relations (26)-(31) remain invariant with respect the action of \( P_i, K_i, F_i \) and \( M_{12} \) generators. Hence, the "isometry" condition for considered (twisted) spaces breaks the whole \( U_0(\hat{NH}_{\pm}) \) quantum group into its subalgebra generated by spatial translations, boosts, constant acceleration generators and rotation in \((x_1, x_2)\)-plane.

Finally, it should be noted that one can easily extend the above algorithm to the case of usual Newton-Hooke Hopf structure \( U_0(NH_{\pm}) \) by putting acceleration generators \( F_i \) equal zero.

4 The case of acceleration-enlarged Galilei Hopf algebra analyzed in the contraction limit \( (\tau \to \infty) \) of \( \hat{U}_0(NH_{\pm}) \) Hopf structure

Let us now turn to the classical acceleration-enlarged Galilei Hopf algebra \( \hat{U}_0(G) \) given by the following algebraic sector

\[
\begin{align*}
[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] &= i(\delta_{il} M_{jk} - \delta_{jl} M_{ik} + \delta_{jk} M_{il} - \delta_{ik} M_{jl}), \\
[H, P_i] &= 0, \\
[M_{ij}, K_k] &= i(\delta_{jk} K_i - \delta_{ik} K_j), \\
[M_{ij}, P_k] &= i(\delta_{jk} P_i - \delta_{ik} P_j), \\
[M_{ij}, H] &= [K_i, K_j] = [K_i, P_j] = 0, \\
[K_i, H] &= -iP_i, \\
[P_i, P_j] &= 0, \\
[F_i, F_j] &= [F_i, P_j] = [F_i, K_j] = 0, \\
[M_{ij}, F_k] &= i(\delta_{jk} F_i - \delta_{ik} F_j), \\
[H, F_i] &= 2iK_i.
\end{align*}
\]
and trivial coproduct \( \mathcal{N} \). It is well-known that the above Hopf structure can be get by
the contraction limit \( (\tau \to \infty) \) of discussed in pervious section quantum group \( \mathcal{U}_0(\hat{NH}_\pm) \).

The noncommutative space-times associated with twist deformations of Hopf algebra
\( \mathcal{U}_0(\hat{G}) \) can be provided by the contraction procedure of spaces \( [26]-[31] \); they take the
form

\[
[ t, x_i ]_{*F} = [ x_1, x_3 ]_{*F} = [ x_2, x_3 ]_{*F} = 0 \quad , \quad [ x_1, x_2 ]_{*F} = iw(t) \quad ; \quad i = 1, 2, 3 ,
\]

with \( (w_{\kappa_i}(t) = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} f_{\kappa_i}(t)) \)

\[
w(t) = w_{\kappa_1}(t) = \kappa_1 ,
\]

\[
w(t) = w_{\kappa_2}(t) = \kappa_2 t ,
\]

\[
w(t) = w_{\kappa_3}(t) = \kappa_3 t^2 ,
\]

\[
w(t) = w_{\kappa_4}(t) = \kappa_4 t^4 ,
\]

\[
w(t) = w_{\kappa_5}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\kappa_5 t^2 ,
\]

\[
w(t) = w_{\kappa_6}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\kappa_6 t^3 .
\]

It should be also noted, that the Galileian counterpart of covariance conditions \( [32]-[38] \)
in \( \tau \to \infty \) limit looks as follows

\[
G_k \triangleright [ t, x_i ]_{*F} = 0 ,
\]

\[
G_k \triangleright \left[ [ x_i, x_j ]_{*F} - iw(t)(\delta_{i1}\delta_{2j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{2i}) \right] = 0 \quad ; \quad G_k = P_k , K_k , F_k ,
\]

\[
M_{kl} \triangleright [ t, x_i ]_{*F} = 0 \quad , \quad M_{12} \triangleright \left[ [ x_i, x_j ]_{*F} - iw(t)(\delta_{i1}\delta_{2j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{2i}) \right] = 0 ,
\]

\[
M_{13} \triangleright \left[ [ x_i, x_j ]_{*F} - iw(t)(\delta_{i1}\delta_{2j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{2i}) \right] = w(t)(\delta_{i2}\delta_{3j} - \delta_{2j}\delta_{3i}) ,
\]

\[
M_{23} \triangleright \left[ [ x_i, x_j ]_{*F} - iw(t)(\delta_{i1}\delta_{2j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{2i}) \right] = -w(t)(\delta_{i1}\delta_{3j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{3i}) ,
\]

\[
H \triangleright \left[ [ x_i, x_j ]_{*F} - iw(t)(\delta_{i1}\delta_{2j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{2i}) \right] = g(t)(\delta_{i1}\delta_{2j} - \delta_{1j}\delta_{2i}) ,
\]

\[
H \triangleright [ t, x_i ]_{*F} = 0 ,
\]

where \( (g_{\kappa_i}(t) = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} h_{\kappa_i}(t)) \)

\[
g(t) = g_{\kappa_1}(t) = 0 ,
\]

\[
g(t) = g_{\kappa_2}(t) = \kappa_2 ,
\]

\[
g(t) = g_{\kappa_3}(t) = 2\kappa_3 t ,
\]

\[
g(t) = g_{\kappa_4}(t) = 4\kappa_4 t^3 ,
\]

\[
g(t) = g_{\kappa_5}(t) = \kappa_5 t ,
\]

\[
g(t) = g_{\kappa_6}(t) = \frac{3}{2}\kappa_6 t^2 .
\]
The above result means that the commutations relations (46) remain invariant with respect the action of $P_i$, $K_i$, $F_i$, $M_{12}$ and $H$ generators in the case of deformation (47) as well as $P_i$, $K_i$, $F_i$ and $M_{12}$ for space-times (18)-(52).

Finally, let us observe that the above considerations can be applied to the case of classical Galilei quantum group $\mathcal{U}_0(G)$ by neglecting operators $F_i$.

5 Final remarks

In this article we provide the subgroups of classical acceleration-enlarged Newton-Hooke $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{NH}_\pm)$ as well as classical acceleration-enlarged Galilei $\mathcal{U}_0(\hat{G})$ Hopf structures, which play the role of "isometry" groups for twist-deformed space-times (25) and (46). In such a way, by analogy to the investigations performed in [20], [21], we get the link between twisted quantum spaces and the proper undeformed Hopf subalgebras. Consequently, the obtained results admit to analyze the twist-deformed dynamical models [29]-[32] in terms of the corresponding classical quantum subgroups of the whole nonrelativistic symmetries. The works in this direction already started and are in progress.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank J. Lukierski for valuable discussions.

References

[1] A. Kempf and G. Mangano, Phys. Rev. D 55, 7909 (1997); hep-th/9612084
[2] A. Connes, M.R. Douglas, A. Schwarz, JHEP 9802, 003 (1998); hep-th/9711162
[3] R.J. Protheore, H. Meyer, Phys. Lett. B 493, 1 (2000)
[4] A.G. Cohen, S.L. Glashow, "A Lorentz-Violating Origin of Neutrino Mass?"; hep-ph/0605036
[5] A.G. Cohen, S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 021601 (2006); hep-ph/0601236
[6] J. Kowalski-Glikman, G. Amelino-Camelia (Eds.), "Planck Scale Effects in Astrophysics and Cosmology", Lect. Notes Phys. 669, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2005
[7] V. Chari, A. Pressley, "A Guide to Quantum Groups", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994
[8] L.A. Takhtajan, "Introduction to Quantum Groups"; in Clausthal Proceedings, Quantum groups 3-28 (see High Energy Physics Index 29 (1991) No. 12256)
[9] S. Zakrzewski, "Poisson Structures on the Poincare group"; q-alg/9602001
[10] Y. Brihaye, E. Kowalczyk, P. Maslanka, "Poisson-Lie structure on Galilei group"; math/0006167
[11] M. Chaichian, P.P. Kulish, K. Nashijima, A. Tureanu, Phys. Lett. B 604, 98 (2004); hep-th/0408069
[12] M. Daszkiewicz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 23, 505 (2008); arXiv: 0801.1206 [hep-th]
[13] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V.N. Tolstoy, Phys. Lett. B 264, 331 (1991)
[14] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V.N. Tolstoy, J. Phys. A 27, 2389 (1994); hep-th/9312068
[15] J. Lukierski and M. Woronowicz, Phys. Lett. B 633, 116 (2006); hep-th/0508083
[16] S. Giller, P. Kosinski, M. Majewski, P. Maslanka and J. Kunz, Phys. Lett. B 286, 57 (1992)
[17] O. Ogievetsky, W.B. Schmidke, J. Wess, B. Zumino, Comm. Math. Phys. 150, 495 (1992)
[18] P. Aschieri, L. Castellani, A.M. Scarfone, Eur. Phys. J. C 7, 159 (1999); q-alg/9709032
[19] M. Daszkiewicz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 23, 1757 (2008), IFT-UWR-LV-724; arXiv: 0807.0133 [hep-th]
[20] M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, A. Tureanu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 261601 (2008); arXiv: 0806.3699 [hep-th]
[21] M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, A. Tureanu, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 73, 230 (2010); arXiv: 0811.3670 [hep-ph]
[22] J. Lukierski, P.C. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzewski, Eur. Phys. J. C 55, 119 (2008); arXiv: 0710.3093 [hep-th]
[23] J. Gomis, J. Lukierski, Phys. Lett. B 664, 107 (2008); arXiv: 0803.3965 [hep-th]
[24] M. Daszkiewicz, Acta Phys. Polon. B 41, 1889 (2010); arXiv: 1007.4654 [math-ph]
[25] M. Daszkiewicz, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 24, 1325 (2009); arXiv: 0904.0432 [hep-th]
[26] J. Lukierski, P.C. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzewski, Phys. Lett. B 650, 203 (2007); hep-th/0702179
[27] V.G. Drinfeld, Soviet Math. Dokl. 32, 254 (1985); Algebra i Analiz (in Russian), 1, Fasc. 6, p. 114 (1989)
[28] C. Blohmann, J. Math. Phys. 44, 4736 (2003); q-alg/0209180
[29] J.M. Romero and J.D. Vergara, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 18, 1673 (2003); hep-th/0303064
[30] Y.G. Miao, X.D. Wang, S.J. Yu, Annals Phys. 326, 2091 (2011); arXiv: 0911.5227 [math-ph]

[31] M. Daszkiewicz, C.J. Walczyk, Phys. Rev. D 77, 105008 (2008); arXiv: 0802.3575 [mat-ph], [hep-th]

[32] M. Daszkiewicz, C.J. Walczyk, Acta Phys. Pol. B 40, 293 (2009); arXiv: 0812.1264 [hep-th]