Artisanal and Aboriginal Subsistence Whaling in Saint Vincent and the Grenadines (Eastern Caribbean): History, Catch Characteristics, and Needs for Research and Management
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Whaling has been a contentious international environmental issue for decades and carries complex ecological and socioeconomic implications. In Saint Vincent and the Grenadines (SVG), a small archipelagic nation located in the Eastern Caribbean, present-day whaling traces its origin to local interaction with American-based whalers during the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. When American whaling in the region ceased, local shore-based whaling arose to fill the niche and to exploit the remaining, though diminished, stocks of large whales, as well as stocks of small cetaceans that the American whalers had not targeted as heavily. After a period of expansion throughout the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, which saw shore-whaling operations established on at least 11 islands in the region, Eastern Caribbean whaling experienced a period of attrition, during which most local whaling operations ceased. Two operations, both based in SVG, continue regularly today. This paper reviews the past and present status of whaling activities in SVG from the literature and using recent data collected from 2007 to 2017 through logbook data, interview surveys, and ethnographic observations. Small cetacean captures have been documented since 1949, and at least 15 species of odontocetes have been captured (primarily delphinids). From 1949 to 2017, a total of 13,856 small cetacean captures has been recorded, including 5,896 short-finned pilot whales (Globicephala macrorhynchus), 109 killer whales (Orcinus orca), and 7,851 other small cetaceans. Small cetacean catch records are largely incomplete and total catch estimates could not be attempted. Reliable abundance estimates do not exist. Consistent records for the take of large whales are only available for the period 1986–2020, during which 45 humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) and 2 Bryde’s whales (Balaenoptera edeni) were taken. Additionally, 8 sperm whale (Physeter macrocephalus) captures were reported from 1967 and 1974. We also review
The archipelagic, Eastern Caribbean nation of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines (SVG) maintains two related, yet distinct whaling operations. The first, based in the village of Barrouallie on the leeward (west) side of the main island, St. Vincent (Figure 1), targets small cetaceans such as short-finned pilot whales (Globicephala macrorhynchus), killer whales (Orcinus orca) and other small and medium-sized odontocetes (Delphinidae, Kogiidae; Caldwell and Caldwell, 1975; Fielding, 2018). The second whaling operation, based on the island of Bequia, targets humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) during their annual migration from their summer feeding grounds in the North Atlantic (Swartz et al., 2003; Stevick et al., 2018). The main product of both operations is food for human consumption, specifically meat, blubber, and some internal organs (Fielding, 2018; Fielding et al., 2021). Oil is also produced in both operations and is used locally as an oral and topical medicine, for cooking, and for other household purposes. Both whaling operations are legal and regulated by Vincentian law (SVG, 2003).

Although cetaceans (particularly small odontocetes) have been hunted for many decades off St. Vincent, little is known about the sustainability of artisanal whaling in this region, and how whaling might impact species and populations in the Eastern Caribbean. Overall, knowledge of cetacean occurrence, habitat preferences, and abundance in this region is very limited (but see Gero et al., 2007; Gero and Whitehead, 2016; Barragán-Barrera et al., 2019). There is also a critical need to understand the movements and population structure of cetaceans in the region, particularly to assess the regional impact of the Vincentian whaling on small cetaceans in the Eastern Caribbean and beyond (IWC, 2019). This is particularly important in light of the development of multiple local and international research and conservation initiatives focused on cetaceans in the Caribbean, and the need to identify the conservation issues and management needs for cetaceans in this region.

Very limited research has been carried out to describe whaling activities in Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, including its history, whaling practices and methods, and the magnitude of catches (species composition and numbers, but see Caldwell and Caldwell, 1975; Price, 1985; Fielding, 2018). Recent research, however, suggests that high levels of mercury in small cetacean tissues may represent a risk to the health of cetacean-product consumers in SVG (McCormack et al., 2020; Fielding et al., 2021). Here we review all available information documented since 1949 on whaling practices in SVG, with a special focus on small cetacean hunting using the available literature (1881–2020) and field data collected from 2007 and 2017, where whaling activities have been the focus of a dedicated multi-year research program.

**INTRODUCTION**

Marine mammal consumption is widespread around the globe, with at least 114 countries involved since 1990 (Robards and Reeves, 2011). Despite a decline of marine mammal consumption and killing globally, there is evidence that small cetacean hunting has increased, particularly in the production of “marine bushmeat” in a number of developing nations (Clapham and Van Waerebeek, 2007; Cosentino and Fisher, 2016). The sustainability of small cetacean consumption and killing is unknown for most locations around the globe, since takes tend to occur in relatively remote locations or where research capacity is limited.

In 1946, the International Whaling Commission (IWC) was established to regulate commercial whaling. From its inception, the IWC recognized the different character of aboriginal subsistence whaling, which it set out to manage differently (Reeves, 2002). In 1981, the IWC defined “aboriginal subsistence whaling” as whaling “for purposes of local aboriginal consumption carried out by or on behalf of aboriginal, indigenous or native people who share strong community, familial, social and cultural ties related to a continuing traditional dependence on whaling and on the use of whales” (Donovan, 1982). Currently four countries have permission from the IWC to practice aboriginal subsistence whaling: Denmark, Russia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, and the United States. Small cetacean (Delphinidae and Phocoenidae) hunting is not regulated by the IWC, and the authority of the IWC to regulate takes of small cetaceans is not generally accepted (Gillespie, 2001). “Artisanal whaling” is not a term used by the IWC but, following Reeves (2002), we can adapt the term based on the definition of “artisanal fisheries” used by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Artisanal whaling thus involves individuals and small groups (as opposed to commercial companies) using small amounts of capital and energy, relatively small vessels, making short trips close to shore to take cetaceans for local consumption. Both aboriginal subsistence whaling and artisanal whaling occur today and have occurred in recent years throughout the world, along with other forms of whaling (Robards and Reeves, 2011).

The archipelagic, Eastern Caribbean nation of Saint Vincent and the Grenadines (SVG) maintains two related, yet distinct whaling operations. The first, based in the village of Barrouallie on the leeward (west) side of the main island, St. Vincent (Figure 1), targets small cetaceans such as short-finned pilot whales (Globicephala macrorhynchus), killer whales (Orcinus orca) and other small and medium-sized odontocetes (Delphinidae, Kogiidae; Caldwell and Caldwell, 1975; Fielding, 2018). The second whaling operation, based on the island of Bequia, targets humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) during their annual migration from their summer feeding grounds in the North Atlantic (Swartz et al., 2003; Stevick et al., 2018). The main product of both operations is food for human consumption, specifically meat, blubber, and some internal organs (Fielding, 2018; Fielding et al., 2021). Oil is also produced in both operations and is used locally as an oral and topical medicine, for cooking, and for other household purposes. Both whaling operations are legal and regulated by Vincentian law (SVG, 2003).

**METHODS**

**Review of the Literature and Published Catch Data**

We surveyed the peer-reviewed and gray literature on whaling in the Caribbean generally, and in SVG in particular, published...
between 1881 and 2020. Catch data are available in the literature starting in 1949 (Hickling, 1950), but were mostly available from 1962 onward (e.g., Caldwell and Caldwell, 1975). A few anecdotal accounts of captures of killer whales in 1994, 1996, and 2000 were also compiled and included in the review of catch records (Bolaños-Jiménez et al., 2014). Some sources were available as citations on literature search engines, but the published documents were unavailable. Hard copies were collected in all cases. In addition, reports of the IWC were searched between 1971 and 2020\(^1\). Large whale catch records were obtained from the IWC’s aboriginal subsistence whaling records from 1986 to 2020\(^2\).

\(^1\)[https://iwc.int/reports](https://iwc.int/reports)

\(^2\)[https://iwc.int/table_aboriginal](https://iwc.int/table_aboriginal)

**Data Collection (2007–2017)**

In 2007, one of the coauthors (RF) initiated a field project aimed at collecting information on whaling practices and small cetacean catch composition from the main whaling village on St. Vincent, Barrouallie (Figure 1). From 2008 to 2017 (except in 2013 and 2014), at least annual site visits to St. Vincent were carried out, ranging in duration from a few days to 3 months. A total of 10 separate trips were made during this period. Throughout most of this period, 3–5 whaling boats operated from Barrouallie, each with a rotating crew of approximately 12 whalers, meaning that the total number of whalers in Barrouallie was between 36 and 60 during this study. Each boat is owned by one individual; some boat owners work aboard their own boats, usually as captain and harpooner, but some owners do not participate in whaling activities directly. Four whalers were interviewed...
repeatedly during this 10-year period and whaling activities were observed primarily during a 3-month period of participant observation working aboard the whaling boats during the fall of 2009, and augmented by several shorter periods of observation afterward. In addition, fifteen formal interviews were conducted with stakeholders including whalers (n = 7), a vendor (n = 1), fisheries cooperative employees (n = 3), government fisheries officers (n = 2), international anti-whaling activists (n = 3), and local researchers (n = 2). Longer, more frequent, informal interviews with whalers, consisting of lengthy, casual conversations, occurred during periods of downtime while whaling, on days when the weather precluded going to sea, or with whalers who stayed ashore on days when their colleagues were at sea. Regardless of the formal or informal nature of the interviews, meticulous field notes were taken. When researchers were absent from the field site, communication with whalers and other local experts was maintained via email and online messaging. Field methods also included dietary surveys among potential whale-based food consumers in the general public (n = 921, see Fielding et al., 2021); archival research; and tissue sample collection for ecotoxicological analysis (see McCormack et al., 2020). Whaling methods were investigated through interview surveys with whalers, archival research, and field observations.

Small cetacean catch data were also collected from 2007 to 2017 (except in 2013 and 2014). At the commencement of fieldwork, catch records only went back 1 year. Observations of all boats’ catches during the first years of the project indicated that one particular boat regularly took twice as many small cetaceans as the rest of the fleet combined. The owner of this more prolific boat was also the only whaling boat owner in Barrouallie who keeps reliable catch records in a logbook. Data from this whaler were collected each year and compiled. In the logbook, each line represents 1 day and entries are made for the number of “blackfish” (usually *G. macrorhynchus*, the main target species) taken, the number of “porpoise” (various Delphinidae species) taken, and the number of “killer whales” (*O. orca*) taken. Financial data are also recorded, including daily expenses and wholesale prices obtained for cetaceans on shore. During field seasons, we would photograph the monthly pages of the boat owner’s record books back to where our records had left off the previous time. Hand-written data were transcribed into a spreadsheet, in which monthly and annual totals were calculated. Species identifications have only been possible opportunistically, and therefore the species composition of cetaceans taken is not available.

**CARIBBEAN WHALING HISTORY**

Indigenous communities throughout the Caribbean basin relied heavily upon marine resources during pre-Columbian times (Sauer, 1966; Wing and Reitz, 1982; Fitzpatrick and Keegan, 2007). In addition to the fish, shellfish, and sea turtles taken by indigenous islanders, marine mammals such as manatees and seals were also hunted for food (McKillop, 1985; McClenachan and Cooper, 2008). The continued exploitation of these vulnerable species into the colonial era drove the Antillean manatee (*Trichechus manatus manatus*) to local extinction in the waters surrounding most Caribbean islands, and the Caribbean monk seal (*Monachus tropicalis*) to total extinction during the twentieth century (Le Boeuf et al., 1986; Gómez et al., 2011).

Little is known about the use of cetaceans by pre-Columbian insular Caribbean communities, with most of the literature suggesting that the direct exploitation of cetaceans did not occur prior to the arrival of Europeans in the region, except perhaps through the use of stranded animals (Reeves, 2002; Fitzpatrick et al., 2008). The presence of cetacean bones at archeological sites (e.g., Wing and Reitz, 1982; Romero and Cresswell, 2005) indicates only that the animals were utilized by humans and cannot readily evince whether they originated from naturally stranded animals that were scavenged for food and other resources or if the animals were actively hunted. Most Caribbean archeologists tend to accept the former explanation for the presence of cetacean bones in Caribbean middens (Fitzpatrick et al., 2008), while others (Wing and Reitz, 1982) point to the presence of tools with at least the potential for use in whaling as evidence of some kind of directed take. The single, dubious, historical claim of a European observation of indigenous whaling in practice from the wider Caribbean region traces to Acosta (2002 [1590]), who bases his account on what “some expert men have told me” (p. 149), and involves a method of whaling unknown elsewhere and uncorroborated locally in which whales were taken off the coast of Florida by the plugging of their blowholes with stakes. Acosta’s story was popularized by True (1904), whose book is often cited for this likely untrue account.

Acknowledging the unlikelihood, though not the complete elimination, of the possibility that some direct, pre-Columbian exploitation of living, free-swimming cetaceans occurred in the Eastern Caribbean, we place the beginning of Caribbean whaling in the mid-eighteenth century, when so-called Yankee Whalers began visiting the area. Reeves (2005) and Romero (2012) cite primary and secondary sources of ships’ logs related to whaling voyages in the Bahamas, the French West Indies, Barbados, and other islands of the Eastern Caribbean between 1750 and 1775. An earlier source, cited by Starbuck (1878) and Romero (2012), indicates an interest in Caribbean whaling among “twelve whalmen” led by one Timotheus Vandernen, who sought permission in August 1688 from Sir Edmund Andros, then governor of New York, to pursue, in the brigantine *Happy Return*, “a fishing Design about the Bohames [Bahamas] Islands and Cap florida for sperma Coeti [sperm] whales” (*Physeter macrocephalus*). Starbuck could not determine whether or not this “fishing design” ever took place, but it revealed to him the existence of whaling knowledge in the American colonies prior to the eighteenth century. This source also reveals a desire to put that whaling knowledge into practice, specifically in the Caribbean region. The year 1762 is the earliest year cited by a reputable source (Starbuck, 1878) for whaling taking place actually within the Caribbean basin, as opposed to nearby areas such as the Bahamas and Florida. Reeves (2005) cites the end of Yankee whaling in the area as occurring sometime during the 1920s and Romero (2012) identifies the 1921 departure of the
### TABLE 1 | Small cetacean species diversity, relative occurrence (common, regular, rare) in captures in Saint Vincent and the Grenadines (1949–2017), and their IUCN Red List Conservation Status.

| Species                             | Occurrence in catches | IUCN red list status (2018–2020) | References                                                       |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Globicephala macrorhynchus          | Common                 | Least Concern                      | Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971; Caldwell et al., 1973; this study |
| *Stenella longirostris* Spinner dolphin | Common               | Least Concern                      | Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971; Caldwell et al., 1973; this study |
| Lagenodelphis hosei Fraser’s dolphin | Common               | Least Concern                      | This study                                                       |
| *Stenella attenuata*                | Common                 | Least Concern                      | This study                                                       |
| Pantropical spotted dolphin         |                        |                                   |                                                                  |
| *Orcinus Orca*                      | Regular                | Data Deficient                    | Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971; Caldwell et al., 1973; this study |
| *Stenella frontalis*                | Regular                | Least Concern                      | Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971; Caldwell et al., 1973; this study |
| Atlantic spotted dolphin            | Regular                | Least Concern                      | Caldwell and Caldwell, 1975                                    |
| *Phocoena crassidens* Rough-toothed dolphin | Regular             | Near Threatened                   | Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971; Caldwell et al., 1973; this study |
| *Tursiops truncatus* False killer whale | Rare                  | Data Deficient                    | Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971; Caldwell et al., 1973             |
| *Feresa attenuata* Common bottlenose dolphin | Rare                | Least Concern                      | Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971; Caldwell et al., 1973             |
| Pygmy killer whale                  | Rare                   | Least Concern                      | This study                                                       |
| *Stenella clymene* Clymene dolphin  | Rare                   | Least Concern                      | Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971; Caldwell et al., 1973; this study |
| *Grampus griseus* Risso’s dolphin   | Rare                   | Least Concern                      | Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971; Caldwell et al., 1973; this study |
| *Pepinocephala electra* Melon-headed whale | Rare              | Least Concern                      | This study                                                       |
| *Kogia sima* Dwarf sperm whale      | Rare                   | Least Concern                      | Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971; Caldwell et al., 1973; this study |
| *Ziphius cavirostris* Cuvier’s beaked whale | Rare                | Least Concern                      | Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971; Caldwell et al., 1973             |

New Bedford-based whaling schooner *Athlete* from St. Thomas in the US Virgin Islands as the last of the era.

Beginning, then, at least by 1762, and continuing until 1921, American vessels took whales within the Caribbean, often calling at island ports for provisions, supplies, and personnel (Starbuck, 1878; Brown, 1945; Adams, 1971; Price, 1985; Reeves, 2005; Romero, 2012). New England captains soon found that local Caribbean men, “half castes from all parts of the West Indies and of Central America” as one twentieth century economist derisively called them (Brandt, 1940), could perform the same labor as their American counterparts, but for much lower wages. Through this hiring of local labor, the transfer of the knowledge, skills, and practice of whaling from foreign to domestic control began. Capacity having been built, locally managed whaling operations began to arise in Barbados (Archer, 1881; Romero and Cresswell, 2010) and Bequia (Fenger, 1917; Ward, 1995) during the late nineteenth century. Bequia emerged as the center of Caribbean whaling and from this *entrepot*, the model of shore-based whaling stations targeting mainly humpback whales spread to at least 11 islands throughout the region (Brown, 1945; Adams, 1971; Fielding, 2018).

Parallel to the development of these operations, and also drawing upon skills transferred through interactions with American commercial whaling, the exploitation of small cetaceans ranging in size from spinner dolphins to killer whales, but increasingly focused upon short-finned pilot whales, emerged throughout the region at varying degrees of regularity ranging from occasional and opportunistic to regular and direct (Adams, 1973). While small cetaceans previously had been taken occasionally and opportunistically by Barrouallie-based whalers and fishers, the regular, directed take of small cetaceans began there in 1931 (Adams, 1973).

After expanding throughout the early twentieth century, the spread of locally directed, shore-based whaling operations for humpback whales in the Eastern Caribbean began to decline. Today, owing to this attrition, the small port village of Paget Farm on Bequia remains the only place in the Caribbean from which large whales are hunted. Small cetaceans are taken primarily from Barrouallie, on St. Vincent, and occasionally from several ports on St. Lucia (Price, 1985; Fielding, 2018). The Bequia-based operation targeting humpback whales is IWC-sanctioned as aboriginal subsistence whaling, the only such case in the tropics and a subject of continual international and national
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FIGURE 2 Catch records for small cetaceans taken by whalers based at Barrouallie, St. Vincent, for the period 1962–2006. Gaps represent no data, not zero cetaceans taken. References: Caldwell and Caldwell (1975), Price (1985); Scott (1995), Bolaños-Jiménez et al. (2014).

(within SVG) debate, given the foreign origin of whaling in the region. The small cetacean operation based at Barrouallie could reasonably be considered artisanal whaling, if such a category were to be formalized.

CETACEAN CATCHES IN ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES (1962–2017)

Small Cetacean Catch Records Prior to 2007

Records of small cetacean captures are available in the literature from 1949 (but primarily starting in 1962) to 2006, and have been documented in a small number of published sources (Caldwell and Caldwell, 1975; Price, 1985; Scott, 1995; Bolaños-Jiménez et al., 2014). Records are mostly available for three species/species groups: short-finned pilot whales, killer whales, and other cetaceans (primarily delphinids and occasionally other odontocetes). Overall, cetacean catch composition is poorly described but includes at least 11 species (possibly 12), including the short-finned pilot whale, the killer whale, at least one Ziphiidae (Ziphius cavirostris), one Kogiidae, possibly two (Kogia sima identified, but possible captures of K. breviceps in 1973; Caldwell and Caldwell, 1975), and at least seven other Delphinidae (Stenella longirostris, S. frontalis, Steno bredanensis, Tursiops truncatus, Grampus griseus, Feresa attenuata, and Pseudorca crassidens; Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971; Caldwell et al., 1973; Caldwell and Caldwell, 1975; Table 1). Species composition provided in the literature is not supported by photographs, and therefore it is important to remain cautious about the occurrence of certain species, particularly those that are relatively difficult to identify (e.g., Feresa attenuata vs. Peponocephala electra). Catch records for most species are limited, and the only available numbers refer to the three species/species group described above. From 1949 to 2006, a total of 10,348 small cetacean captures have been recorded, including 5,130 short-finned pilot whales, 80 killer whales, and 5,588 other small cetaceans. Gaps in data exist for the years 1950–1961, 1984–1989, and 1993–2006. The temporal variation of the annual number of captures is provided in Figure 2 (1962–2006). Numbers of other small cetaceans (i.e., species other than short-finned pilot whales) reported by Caldwell and Caldwell (1975) between 1962 and 1974 is atypically constant (200 individuals per year, Figure 2), and we suspect this number to be an estimate (but no details on how this estimate was made is available). According to the whalers and other local stakeholders, there has not been a year without small cetacean captures in the archipelago in recent decades,
although the operation did see its lowest annual take in 1980 with only 9 short-finned pilot whales (Adams, 1994; Scott, 1995; Fielding, 2018).

Considering only years for which catch data are available during the period 1962–2006, the average number of reported pilot whale captures per year was 143.2 individuals ($SD = 114.45$, min. = 9, max. = 425). During the same time period, the average number of killer whales caught per year was 3.8 individuals ($SD = 3.36$, min. = 1, max. = 12), and the average number of other odontocetes caught per year was 141.7 individuals ($SD = 74.7$, min. = 17, max. = 200). Due to gaps in data during the 1962–2006 period and the nature of data for some years (e.g., the estimate of 200 "other dolphin" captures each year from 1962 to 1974 in Caldwell and Caldwell, 1975), we did not investigate inter-annual trends in catch numbers.

### Small Cetacean Catch Records From 2007 to 2017

From 2007 to 2017 (excluding 2013 and 2014), records of a single whaler include 3,058 odontocetes, comprising 766 short-finned pilot whales, 29 killer whales, and 2,263 other small cetaceans (Table 2). During this period, the number of whalers actively engaged in whaling, either part-time or full-time, varied from year to year. Because only one whaler kept reliable records, however, the numbers we present here undoubtedly represent an underestimate for the overall take of the operation. The annual number of days spent at sea whaling by the whaler that we monitored varied between 136 (2011) and 247 days (2007), with a mean of 216.2 days per year during the study period ($SD = 32.7$). Catch rates (number of captures per day spent at sea whaling) of cetaceans varied considerably during the study period and ranged from 0.90 to 3.8 small cetaceans taken per day (Table 2). Species composition was not quantified (except for *G. macrorhynchus* and *O. orca*), but several additional species of small odontocetes were recorded during that time period based on field data collection during the Fall 2009, including the Fraser’s dolphin (*Lagenodelphis hosei*), the pantropical spotted dolphin (*Stenella attenuata*), the Clymene dolphin (*Stenella clymene*) and the melon-headed whale (*Peponocephala electra*, Table 1 and Figure 3). Species identifications were all confirmed through the collection of photographs.

Between 2007 and 2017, annual captures of small cetaceans varied between 203 and 927 individuals (all species combined), including 37–203 short-finned pilot whales (mean = 85.1, $SD = 48.2$), 0 to 11 killer whales (mean = 3.2, $SD = 3.5$), and 125–724 other small cetaceans (mean = 251.4, $SD = 185.7$; Figure 4 and Table 2). Based on whalers’ statements, the most commonly caught species under the category “other small cetaceans” were *S. attenuata*, *Lagenodelphis hosei*, and *S. longirostris*. The full species catch composition remains unknown, however, though several new species have been added to the previously known composition of small cetacean captures (Caldwell and Caldwell, 1971, 1975; Caldwell et al., 1971, 1976; Caldwell, 1972), as highlighted above with the addition of at least four species of delphinids that have not been documented prior to 2007. Catch rates for all cetaceans are not following any inter-annual trend (simple linear regressions, all $p > 0.05$). Although catch rates of pilot whales, killer whales, and other cetaceans did not vary significantly over time, all interviewed whalers have perceived a declining occurrence of pilot whales, and an increasing need to catch other small cetaceans. Future research will be required to investigate temporal trends in small cetacean captures.

### Large Whale Catch Records and Estimates Prior to 1986

Between the end of American whaling in the Caribbean, in 1921, and the beginning of IWC-sanctioned aboriginal subsistence whaling in Bequia in 1987, little is known about the magnitude of the catch of humpback whales and other large whales in the region. In their analysis of nineteenth century whaling logbooks, Reeves et al. (2001) found evidence for the take of 102 humpback whales in the waters surrounding SVG between 1823 and 1889. Adams (1975) and Price (1985) separately analyzed archives that recorded quantities of whale oil exported from SVG to estimate the number of humpback whales that would have been taken during the colonial era. Price (1985) summarizes this research, including the assumptions made, and estimates 509 humpback whales taken between 1898 and 1938. Nearly a half-century elapses between the end of the data analyzed in these archival studies and the commencement of IWC-required monitoring and reporting of humpback takes. During this interim, the best records for humpback takes are from Price (1985) who recorded 54 humpback whales that the whalers reported having killed between 1958 and 1984. Of these, 7 were male, 25 were female, and 22 were calves. Ten were struck-and-lost, mainly females. Additional anecdotal and sporadic accounts of humpback takes are reported in the non-peer-reviewed literature (e.g., AWI, 2012) but consistent, reliable records are absent.

### Large Whale Catch Records From 1986 to 2017

From 1986 to 2020, a total of 47 large whales (Balaenopteridae) captures have been recorded, including 45 humpback whales and 2 Bryde’s whales (*Balaenoptera edeni*, Figure 5). Historically, other species of large cetaceans were caught off SVG, particularly the sperm whale. Records of sperm whale captures are only available from 1958 to 1984, during which time 12 individuals were taken (Caldwell and Caldwell, 1975; Adams, 1985; Price, 1985). No sperm whale captures have been recorded after 1982.

### WHALING METHODS

Whaling in SVG is a shore-based activity, meaning that there are no large “mother ships” as were used historically by foreign whalers in the Caribbean, nor does the activity last longer at sea than the daylight hours of a single day except in the case of emergencies during inclement weather (Fielding, 2018). Processing takes place either the same day as whaling occurs, or a day or more later, especially if whalers return with their catch late in the day. Methods used in the Barrouallie-based operation have been described by Rack (1952),
TABLE 2 | Small cetacean captures and catch rates (daily catch rates) by a single whaler off St. Vincent between 2007 and 2017 (except 2013 and 2014).

| Year | Days spent whaling | Pilot whales | Killer whales | Other small cetaceans | All cetaceans | Pilot whales | Killer whales | Other small cetaceans | All cetaceans |
|------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| 2007 | 247                | 64           | 1             | 288                   | 353           | 0.259        | 0.004         | 1.166                 | 1.429         |
| 2008 | 205                | 84           | 11            | 182                   | 277           | 0.410        | 0.054         | 0.888                 | 1.351         |
| 2009 | 241                | 203          | 0             | 724                   | 927           | 0.842        | 0.000         | 3.004                 | 3.846         |
| 2010 | 217                | 37           | 0             | 422                   | 279           | 0.171        | 0.000         | 1.115                 | 1.286         |
| 2011 | 136                | 66           | 2             | 138                   | 206           | 0.485        | 0.015         | 1.015                 | 1.515         |
| 2012 | 224                | 100          | 2             | 248                   | 350           | 0.446        | 0.009         | 1.107                 | 1.563         |
| 2013 | No data            | No data      | No data       | No data               | No data       | No data      | No data       | No data               | No data       |
| 2014 | No data            | No data      | No data       | No data               | No data       | No data      | No data       | No data               | No data       |
| 2015 | 234                | 51           | 4             | 156                   | 211           | 0.218        | 0.017         | 0.667                 | 0.902         |
| 2016 | 207                | 89           | 3             | 160                   | 252           | 0.428        | 0.014         | 0.773                 | 1.215         |
| 2017 | 214                | 72           | 6             | 125                   | 203           | 0.336        | 0.028         | 0.584                 | 0.949         |

FIGURE 3 | Small cetacean captures in St. Vincent, illustrating the diversity of species caught. (A) Risso’s dolphin (Grampus griseus), (B) short-finned pilot whale (Globicephala macrorhynchus), (C) dwarf sperm whale (Kogia sima), and (D) Clymene’s dolphins (Stenella clymene). Photographs (A,C,D) by Russell Fielding; Photograph (B) by Adam Gravel.

Caldwell and Caldwell (1971, 1975), Adams (1973), Scott (1995), Hamaguchi (2002), and Fielding (2018). Additional ethnographic insight is provided by the analysis of whaling-related shanties by Abrahams (1974) and Lanier and Reid (2007).

Whaling voyages for small cetaceans commence from Barrouallie most mornings except Sundays. A voyage begins with a loosely defined transect in search of small cetaceans to leeward (west) of Barrouallie, or, if conditions allow, in the St. Vincent Passage, the channel separating St. Vincent from St. Lucia to the north. Three crewmembers are usually part of whaling trips: the harpooner/captain, the sternman, and the centerman. All contribute to the watch and follow environmental clues such as flocks of diving seabirds, or dorsal fins, flukes, and “blows” visible just above the water’s surface to locate cetaceans. In more recent years, however, whalers have begun to navigate directly toward one or more of the fish aggregating devices (FADs) placed off the coast since they believe FADs attract several species of odontocetes, including short-finned pilot whales. In addition, in recent years, the increasing presence of sargassum floats (Sargassum spp., e.g., van Tussenbroek et al., 2017) off St. Vincent...
FIGURE 4 | Catch records for small cetaceans taken by a single whaling boat from 2007 to 2017 (no data available in 2013 and 2014).

has slightly affected whaling practices. Some whalers believe there is an increasing use of these floats by large pelagic fishes (particularly mahi-mahi *Coryphaena hippurus*), and therefore by several cetacean species, particularly false killer whales.

When a small cetacean is within range, a whaler either fires a meter-long harpoon from a modified shotgun mounted on the boat’s bow or throws a two-meter-long harpoon by hand, typically aiming for a spot just behind the dorsal fin (Figure 6). The harpoon’s toggling head embeds in the cetacean, which is then hauled close to the boat by hand. Larger delphinids such as pilot whales and killer whales are lashed alongside the boat; individuals of smaller species are hauled over the gunwales and kept inside (Figure 6). Fielding (2010) found that the average time-to-death in the Barrouallie-based operation targeting small cetaceans was approximately 9 min. This figure was based on the direct observation and timing of the captures of twenty-two small cetaceans (17 *Stenella longirostris* and 5 *S. frontalis*).

When the harpooner, who acts as captain, determines that whaling for the day is complete, the boat returns to Barrouallie to sell its catch. Barrouallie-based vendors purchase small cetaceans wholesale and process the carcasses on the beach for retail sale. Muscle tissue is dried to produce a jerky-like meat, which is later rehydrated for cooking, and blubber is cooked over fire to produce “crisps,” a popular snack consisting of small cubes of fried blubber, often with the skin still attached, and oil, used as a folk remedy for colds and for other household purposes (Figure 7).

Bequia-based whaling has been described frequently in both the scientific literature and popular press. The earliest first-hand account we analyzed is that of Fenger (1917), who visited the Grenadines in 1911 and accompanied a whaling voyage from Île-de-Caille, Grenada. At Bequia, Fenger met with William Wallace, who is regarded as the first local whaler in the Grenadines (Fenger employs Homeric imagery in calling Wallace “the Nestor of whalemen in the Grenadines”). Because whaling throughout the Grenadines developed according to Wallace’s model, established in Bequia, we take Fenger’s description of Grenadian whaling to apply to contemporaneous Bequian whaling in the early twentieth century as well. Other notable scholarly sources of description come from Adams (1971, 1994), Balcomb (1981); Delves (1981), Ward (1987, 1995), Beck (1987), and Hamaguchi (2001, 2005). Additional insights are furnished by Finneran’s archeological study Finneran’s (2016). Popular descriptive accounts include Junger (2001), Weston (2014), and Palmer (2017).

Bequian whalers typically put to sea only after a humpback whale has been sighted; sightings usually occur from shore but are occasionally made by someone aboard a non-whaling vessel. Sailboats are used to first approach and harpoon the whale but motorized boats often supplement the effort and are used as
the primary vessels for towing the whale to shore. Six whalers crew the boat: a harpooner, the captain/steerer, and four seamen. Harpoons are hand-thrown; the gun is not used in Bequia whaling. When a whale has been struck, the harpooner lances it repeatedly to hasten its death. A bomb lance may also be used. Humpback whales are brought ashore to the whaling station at Semple Cay, an islet off the south coast of Bequia, for processing.

**MANAGEMENT OF WHALING ACTIVITIES**

Whaling operations in SVG are managed by the Fisheries Division of the government’s Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Fisheries, Rural Transformation, Industry, and Labour. Owing to the IWC oversight, the Bequia-based operation targeting humpback whales is considerably more heavily regulated than the Barrouallie-based small cetacean operation. The SVG government has established its domestic whaling policy in accordance with its obligations as host to an aboriginal subsistence whaling under the rules of the International Whaling Commission (SVG, 2003). The IWC currently allows a maximum of four humpback whales per year to be taken by Bequia-based whalers. Technically, the limit is set at 28 whales during the 7-year period spanning 2019–2025, which works out to four per year but, based upon the wording of the quota, could potentially result in more than four whales taken in some years if fewer are taken in others (IWC, 2018). It has been repeatedly shown that the Bequia-based whaling operation has violated Article 14 of the IWC schedule that prohibits “suckling calves or females accompanied by calves to be taken.” Ample photographic evidence clearly shows that females with calves have been taken, which prompted considerable discussion and controversy at the Scientific Committee of the IWC (Clapham et al., 1999). Additionally, cases of “struck-and-lost” humpback whales occur with such regularity in the Bequia operation as to call into question the efficacy of the whaling methods employed there. For example, Price (1985) indicates that during the period from 1958 to 1984, 10 of the 54 humpback whales killed were lost before landing. By contrast, intensive observations of Barrouallie-based whaling for small cetaceans revealed no similar prevalence of the preferential targeting of calves or of struck-and-lost small cetaceans (Fielding, 2018).

The Barrouallie-based operation targeting small cetaceans is only loosely monitored by the Fisheries Division of the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Fisheries, Rural Transformation, Industry, and Labour; its regulation largely falls to the traditional
management schemes that have been referred to as “culturally embedded conservation strategies” (Fielding, 2018). Culturally embedded conservation strategies include social practices, organizational structures, and perceived limitations on activity or technology that collectively serve to restrain the exploitation of a natural resource, even in the absence of formal environmental policy. They are most effective in societies with “relatively constant group membership, long-term residence in an area, and heavy reliance on natural resources” (Jones et al., 2008).

Previous research in other geographical contexts has identified similar practices using terms such as “customary environmental law” (Zerner, 1994), “customary resource management norms” (Fernandez-Gimenez et al., 2008), “indigenous wildlife resource management” (Ipara, 2005), “resource and habitat taboos” (Colding and Folke, 2001), “ritual regulation” (Rappaport, 1967), or “traditional conservation methods” (Johannes, 1978). We prefer the term “culturally embedded conservation strategies” because it simultaneously acknowledges both the hiddenness and the strategic nature of the conservation system, while distancing itself from loaded concepts such as “ritual” or “taboo.”

In SVG, these strategies include the geographical restriction of whaling activities targeting small cetaceans to boats and crews based in Barrouallie, to the exclusion of other villages, and whalers’ general resistance to the adoption of new technologies that might increase their efficiency. Adherence to these
culturally embedded conservation strategies may limit the take of small cetaceans, compared to what it would be in their absence. No quota exists for small cetaceans taken in SVG, although there is some evidence that whalers reduce their effort when recent catches have been large, supply of whale meat and other products is high, and retail prices are in danger of falling (Fielding, 2018). Owing to their cultural-embeddedness, adherence to these conservation strategies can often be remarkably consistent. Fernandez-Gimenez et al. (2008) quote an Alaskan whaler as saying, “The unwritten laws are written in our hearts.” When culturally embedded conservation strategies stand in for formal conservation policy that is either absent or inadequate, the informal policies can be effective means of managing natural resources in certain contexts characterized by cultural homogeneity and community-based resource exploitation. Whether culturally embedded conservation strategies are sufficient to maintain sustainable levels of small cetacean exploitation in SVG, however, is unknown. To determine the sustainability of the operation in general, and the effectiveness of the conservation strategies specifically, more information is needed regarding the abundance, movements, and population structure of small cetaceans in the Caribbean.

Both SVG-based whaling operations are internationally controversial. Much of the opposition to the Bequia operation centers upon the problematic definition of “aboriginal” in the context of Caribbean whaling (Fielding, 2017). Indeed, the placement within quotes of the word “aboriginal” when used in reference to Caribbean whaling is common in conservation-focused literature (e.g., Spong, 2007; AWI, 2012; Watson, 2012; Marine Connection, 2019). The legitimacy of designating the Bequia operation as aboriginal subsistence whaling was further called into question in 2012 as Louise Mitchell, a Bequia-born attorney speaking on behalf of the Eastern Caribbean Coalition of Environmental Awareness, a regional conservation NGO, delivered remarks at a meeting of the IWC that emphasized the lack of pre-European whaling by Caribbean people, the American origins of present-day Caribbean whaling, and the “mixed European and African descent” of the individuals engaged in whaling from Bequia (ECCEA, 2012). Fielding (2017) has offered critique of the centralization of whalers’ ethnicity in discussions of aboriginal subsistence whaling, but its centrality in current definitions of “aboriginal” (e.g., Anaya, 1996) tends to inhibit progress beyond an ethnicity-focused discussion. While some environmental literature has begun to explore the possibility of “becoming indigenous to [a]
place” (Kimmerer, 2013, p. 205; see also Sakakibara, 2020 for a whaling-specific discussion of the concept), the dominant view remains that one either is or is not born indigenous, therefore aboriginal.

Opposition to the Barrouallie-based operation targeting small cetaceans has been far less widespread than that directed at Bequia. Fielding (2018) speculates that both the relative obscurity of the Barrouallie operation and the perception of need may play roles in the lack of anti-whaling efforts directed there.

EMERGING ISSUES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Both SVG-based whaling operations face multiple emerging social and environmental issues. These include the pressing need to determine the impacts of both whaling operations on their target species. Although a number of species are currently listed as “Least Concern” or “Data Deficient” on the IUCN Red List, the regional status of most cetaceans taken in SVG is unknown. Catches of several hundred individuals of certain species per year, particularly short-finned pilot whales, may present a risk for populations occurring in the Caribbean region. For other species that are known to be relatively rare in the region and that have low reproductive rates (e.g., the killer whale; Bolaños-Jiménez et al., 2014), current catch levels might also have a significant impact. Therefore, research is critically needed to assess the impact of small cetacean captures on these populations, which has previously not been carried out in SVG. The abundance of all cetaceans taken in SVG whaling operations is unknown. However, whalers seem to perceive a decline of some species, particularly short-finned pilot whales, the main target species in Barrouallie-based operations. Although this decline is not documented with appropriate data, the perceived decreasing abundance of pilot whales should be urgently investigated. Overall, the sustainability of small cetacean exploitation in SVG needs to be assessed through a systematic collection and investigation of catch records, and the assessment of the abundance of the most targeted species. The identification of proper methods to assess absolute abundance of small cetaceans (e.g., using line-transect and/or photo-identification methods) should be carried out, and implemented locally based on local and international resources and capacity. In addition, investigating small cetacean population structure is critical, particularly to identify population boundaries and management units at the scale of the Caribbean region. Various approaches and methods should be used across multiple spatial and temporal scales, from genetic analysis of population structures to the tracking of individual cetacean movements using satellite tagging, for example.

Recent studies have found elevated levels of mercury in tissues sampled from small cetaceans taken by SVG-based whalers, and most tissues used for food exceeded the FAO and the World Health Organization human consumption advisory level of 1.0 µg/g wet weight (McCormack et al., 2020). The health implications of mercury intake are significant, but poorly understood. Additional recent studies have shown that the vast majority (77.4%) of the SVG population consumes cetacean-based food products, often in excess of safe weekly limits (Fielding et al., 2021). Investigating the health effects of cetacean products is critical from a public health perspective.

In 2017, conflict arose between whalers and whale-watching tour operators off the coast of Barrouallie (Fielding, 2018). Two killer whales were harpooned within sight of a whale-watching boat, an event that led to some of the most vocal opposition that the Barrouallie operation had ever seen (e.g., Gibbens, 2017; Wootson, 2017). Similar, but less intense conflicts have occurred with regard to the Bequia-based operation. As tourism resumes in the region after the COVID-19 pandemic, future negative interactions between the tourism and whaling sectors are likely. Domestic and foreign environmental organizations have recently funded the establishment of additional whale-watching operations to be based in Bequia and Barrouallie (Groves, 2019; SVGEF, 2019). The proximity of these operations to the established whaling operations may increase the risk of conflicts. Finally, the public perception of whaling, both within SVG and internationally, continues to evolve. As in any economic system, whaling is dependent upon the demand for the goods it produces. If demand were to reduce, whaling would likely reduce in response.

In the near future, the implementation of research activities should be carried out locally and collaboratively, at the local, regional (Eastern Caribbean), and international levels. Such a multiscale program would ideally involve whalers, cetacean processors and vendors, governmental and non-governmental bodies, and the scientific community. Capacity-building through collaborations between local and international researchers, and the training of local students could contribute to effective monitoring of whaling activities and to the broader sustainability of Caribbean whaling.
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