Dopamine-Grafted Hyaluronic Acid Coated Hyperbranched Poly(β-Amino Esters)/DNA Nano-Complexes for Enhanced Gene Delivery and Biosafety
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Abstract: Gene therapy has attracted particular attention for the treatment of various genetic diseases, and the development of gene delivery vectors is of utmost importance for in vivo applications of gene drugs. Various cationic polymers with high nucleic acid loading and intracellular transfection efficiency have been reported, however, their biological applications are limited by potential toxicity. Surface modification is a robust solution to detoxify the cationic vectors, but this can inevitably weaken the transfection efficiency. To address this dilemma, we reported the ability of a dopamine (DA)-grafted hyaluronic acid (HA) to modify gene vectors for enhanced gene delivery and biosafety. The nano-vector was formed by using branched poly(β-amino esters) (PAEs), and surface coating with HA-DA to form a core-shell nano-structure via electrostatic attraction. Upon HA-DA modification, the biosafety of the gene delivery vehicle was improved, as demonstrated by the cell cytotoxicity assay and hemolysis test. Notably, the nano-system displayed a DA-dependent transfection efficiency, in which a higher DA grafting degree resulted in better efficacy. This can be explained by the adhesive nature of DA, facilitating cell membrane interaction, as well as DA receptor mediated active targeting. At the optimal DA grafting ratio, the nano-system achieved a transfection efficiency even better than that of commonly used polyethylenimine (PEI) vectors. Together with its excellent biocompatibility, the vector presented here holds great promise for gene delivery applications.

Keywords: gene delivery; poly(β-amino esters); surface coating; nanoparticles

1. Introduction

Gene therapy is a highly attractive choice for the treatment of various genetic diseases. To achieve effective gene therapy, a general—yet robust—delivery strategy is required in order to facilitate disease targeting and intracellular penetration of the nucleic acid drugs, while protecting unwanted degradation by nucleases during in vivo circulation [1]. Towards this goal, the development of suitable delivery vehicles has received a great deal of attention in academic research [2]. For example, several viral vectors have made a clinical impact owing to their exceptional gene transfection efficiency [3]. However, their practical applications are still limited by a few intractable problems, such as immunogenicity, insertional mutagenesis, and the expensive manufacturing processes involved [4]. In contrast, non-viral vectors can elegantly address these limitations, and can be used to facilitate scalable synthesis for different applications [5]. Therefore, increasing effort has been devoted to designing novel non-viral vectors for gene delivery, with the main purpose of improving their gene loading capacity, biocompatibility, and transfection efficiency [6].

Among the various non-viral vectors, cationic polymers hold advantages including high levels of gene loading via electrostatic interaction and the ability to self-assemble into nanoparticles for efficient intracellular delivery [7]. Poly-L-lysine (PLL) and polyethylenimine (PEI) are representative cationic transfection reagents that have been widely used in
fundamental studies. However, their potential clinical translation has been hindered due to strong cytotoxicity, as a consequence of their high charge density and poor biodegradability [8]. To mitigate this issue, various biodegradable cationic polymers have been reported, such as poly(β-amino esters) (PAEs), which can be degraded by intracellular esterase, thus, resulting in significantly improved biocompatibility [9]. In addition, PAEs have the beneficial characteristics including structural diversity for flexible synthesis and gene loading capability [10]. For example, compared with linear poly(β-amino esters) (LPAEs), branched PAEs have been reported to possess a three-dimensional (3D) architecture which provides them with enhanced transfection efficiency [6].

While the branched PAEs showed great promise for gene delivery at the cellular level, there are still several concerns regarding their in vivo application. As poly-cationic nano-complexes, PAEs-based vehicles could inevitably interact with biological macromolecules, which could induce opsonization by the reticuloendothelial system (RES), resulting in rapid clearance and decreased transfection efficiency [7]. In addition, the electrostatic interaction of the vehicles with erythrocyte membranes causes membrane disruption and hemolysis [11]. One simple solution is to coat nanoparticle surface with a shell layer to form a negatively charged polyanion/polycation/nucleic acid ternary complex [12]. For this purpose, hyaluronic acid (HA), a natural linear polyanionic polymer, has been widely used due to its characteristics including excellent biocompatibility, biodegradability and lack of immunogenicity [13]. By virtue of its anionic nature, HA can be readily coated on the surface of PAEs-based vehicles, and the biosafety of the nano-complex can be markedly enhanced.

It should be noted that surface modification not only affects the biocompatibility of the nano-system, but also changes the transfection efficiency, which is the key parameter for gene delivery vectors. This is understandable, as HA modification usually reverses the surface charge to negative, thus, impeding the accessibility of the nano-vehicles to the negatively charged cell membrane [14]. While free HA is reported to actively target cells overexpressing CD44 receptors [15], such bind force is impeded after its coating on a PAEs surface due to the steric hinderance and strong attraction between HA and the positively charged PAEs core [16]. Therefore, surface engineering is still required to optimize the interaction between the nanoparticles and cell membrane in order to achieve enhanced gene transfection efficiency, while maintaining biosafety.

To overcome this dilemma, we report on dopamine (DA)-grafted HA coated hyper-branched PAEs/DNA nano-complexes for enhanced gene delivery (Scheme 1). DA is a hormone and neurotransmitter in biology, while DA-grafted polymers have been found to exhibit bio-adhesive abilities, increasing cell membrane interactions [17]. Additionally, DA can be used as an active targeting ligand to enhance the internalization of nanoparticles into tumor cells with high expression of DA receptors [18]. DA-grafted HA (HA-DA) with different DA grafting degrees was facile synthesized via a one-step carbodiimide coupling method, and further coated on the surface of PAEs/DNA to form a core–shell nanostructure. After material and nanoparticle characterization, the nano-structure was demonstrated to be highly biocompatible after surface HA-DA modification. Interestingly, the higher DA grafting degree gave rise to better cellular uptake of the HA-DA coated PAEs/DNA by A549 cells (adenocarcinomic human alveolar basal epithelial cells). This can be explained by the bio-adhesive and DA receptor targetability of the grafting DA (A549 cells overexpress the DA receptor on their membrane) [19], which co-contributes to the enhanced cell internalization. As a result, the gene delivery vector with a 43% DA grafting degree achieved a gene transfection efficiency superior to that of commonly used PEI. This core–shell nano-vector holds great promise for biocompatible and highly efficient gene therapeutic applications.
Scheme 1. Schematic illustrating the construction of HA-DA/PAEs/DNA nano-vector for enhanced gene delivery via DA-mediated bio-adhesion and active binding. DA: dopamine; HA: hyaluronic acid; HA-DA: DA-grafted HA; PAE: poly(β-amino esters).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Acryloyl chloride, glycerol, 5-amino-1-pentanol and 1,3-diaminopropane were purchased from Shanghai Sun-shine Chemical Technology, Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Hyaluronic acid (HA, $M_W = 7$ kDa) was obtained from Shandong Runxin Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shandong, China). Dopamine hydrochloride and 1-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride were obtained from Energy Chemical (Shanghai, China). The luciferase DNA was obtained from Promega (Madison, WI, USA), and the fluorescent labeled DNA was from Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) (sequence and modification: FAM-ACGCATCTGTGAAGAGAACCTGGG). Branched polyethyleneimine (PEI, $M_W = 25$ kDa) and 3-[4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2, 5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other solvents were analytical grade and obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China) unless otherwise noted.

2.2. Cells

The human non-small-cell carcinoma (A549) cells were obtained from the Xiangya Cell Center (Changsha, China). The cells were cultured in complete DMEM medium
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(with 10% (v/v) FBS and 1% penicillin–streptomycin solution (100 U/mL)) at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

2.3. Preparation of Glycerol Triacrylate (GA)

Glycerol (5.0 g, 54.3 mmol) and triethylamine (22.6 mL, 162.9 mmol) were added to 60 mL dichloromethane in a 250 mL round-bottom flask, and the mixture was stirred and cooled to 5 °C. Then, acryloyl chloride (13.7 mL, 168.3 mmol) was added dropwise to the mixture, and then the mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12 h. After the reaction, the triethylamine hydrochloride, excessive triethylamine and acryloyl chloride were removed by filtration and evaporation. Dichloromethane (50 mL) was used to extract glycerol triacrylate, and the organic layer was washed with 20% sodium bicarbonate aqueous solution three times, dried with Na₂SO₄, filtered, and evaporated to obtain the final product. The product had a typical deep orange color with a weight of 11.3 g, based on which, the yield was calculated to be 82%. After purification, the structure was confirmed by 1H NMR, FTIR, and ESI-MS (Figure S1A–C, see in Supplementary Materials). The characteristic data were as follows: 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 6.36–6.30 (m, 3 H, H-CH=CH), 6.20–6.13 (m, 3H, CH₂=CH-C), 5.99–5.96 (m, 3H, H-CH=CH), 5.40–5.35 (m, 1H, O-CH₂-CH-CH₂-O), 4.44–4.29 (m, 4H, O-CH₂-CH-CH₂-O). ATR-FTIR: 1727, 1635, 1451, 1407, 1372, 1296, 1182, 1072, 984, 860, 809, 663 cm⁻¹; ESI-MS spectrum m/z: calculated for glycerol triacrylate = 254.1, and [M + H]⁺ = 255.1.

2.4. Preparation of PAEs

To synthesize PAEs, 1,4-butanediol diacrylate (5.0 g, 25.2 mmol), glycerol triacrylate (256.2 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 5-amino-1-pentanol (2.2 g, 21.0 mmol) were mixed in glass vial and continuously vigorously stirred in the dark at 90 °C for 24 h. Then, acrylate-terminated poly(β-amino esters) was dissolved in DMSO (160 µL, 500 mg/mL), followed by adding 640 µL 1,3-diaminopropane solution (0.25 M in DMSO), followed by a 24 h incubation at room temperature under vigorous shaking. To collect PAEs, the final reaction mixture was added to 8 mL diethyl ether for 20 min incubation to allow for complete precipitation. The final PAE product was collected by washing twice with diethyl ether and drying under reduced pressure.

2.5. Acid–Base Titration

For each 8 mL solution (150 mM NaCl, 2 mg/mL PAEs, 2 mg/mL PEI), NaOH was added to adjust the pH to 9. Then, HCl (0.1 M) was titrated, and the pH value of each solution was monitored until the pH value reached 4, and the total volume decrease in HCl was used to calculate the relative buffering capacity, in which the buffering capacity of PEI was set as 100%.

2.6. Preparation of HA-DAs

HA (500 mg) was dissolved in 50 mL PBS (pH 5.5). Dopamine hydrochloride (237.1 mg, 1.3 mmol) and 1-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC·HCl) (240.0 mg, 1.3 mmol) were added under vigorous stirring. The pH value of the mixture was adjusted to 5.5, followed by 2 h incubation under stirring. The HA-DA product was collected by freeze-drying after dialysis (Molecular Weight Cut Off, MWCO = 3500 Da) against acidic water (pH 5.5) and deionized water, respectively.

2.7. Preparation and Characterization of PAEs/DNA and HA-DAs/PAEs/DNA

To prepare PAEs/DNA, PAEs and DNA were dissolved in sodium acetate buffer (20 mM, pH 5.5). Then, 100 µL of an equal amount of DNA (0.04 mg/mL) was mixed with 100 µL of various concentrations of PAEs at PAEs/DNA weight ratios of 1:1, 10:1, 20:1, 40:1 and 80:1. After a 10 s vortex, the mixture was incubated for 10 min at room temperature to form PAEs/DNA. To prepare HA-DAs/PAEs/DNA, the PAEs/DNA (40:1) was mixed with HA-DAs at different weight ratios (HA-DAs/DNA) of 0:1, 1:1, 2.5:1, 5:1, 10:1.
incubation for 10 min, the nano-vector was formed. The size and zeta potential were evaluated by dynamic light scattering (DLS) (Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS, Worcestershire, UK), and the morphology was characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Zeiss Libra 200, Carl Zeiss AG Ltd., Oberkochen, Germany), after staining with 2% (w/v) sodium phosphotungstate solution.

2.8. In Vitro Cytotoxicity Assays

The in vitro cytotoxicity was evaluated by MTT (3-(4,5-dimethyl thiazol-2-yl)-5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay. A549 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of $5 \times 10^3$ cells/well and cultured with complete medium for 24 h. The medium was replaced by serum-free medium (100 µL/well) with different nano-complexes (0.2 µg DNA per well). After 4 h, the medium was replaced by 100 µL complete medium, followed by 48 h incubation at 37 °C. Subsequently, 20 µL MTT solution (5 mg/ml in PBS) was added for 4 h incubation. The medium was removed and 100 µL DMSO was added to dissolve the formed formazan crystals. The absorbance at 490 nm was measured by a microplate reader (Infinite M200 pro, Tecan Group Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland).

2.9. Hemolysis Test

The pure erythrocytes were obtained by centrifugation (3000 rpm, 10 min) of the whole mouse blood and washing four times. Different nano-complexes (100 µL, containing 0.2 µg DNA) were mixed with 100 µL 4% erythrocytes (v/v) for 4 h incubation at 37 °C. Triton X-100 and saline were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. After centrifugation, the absorbance (A) of the supernatant was measured at 540 nm for hemolysis activity calculation. Hemolysis activity (%) = $\frac{(A_{\text{Polyplexes}} − A_{\text{Negative}})}{(A_{\text{Positive}} − A_{\text{Negative}})} \times 100$.

2.10. Cell Uptake

A549 cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of $1 \times 10^5$ cells/well and cultured for 24 h. Then, the medium was replaced by DMEM medium containing different nano-complexes (1 µg DNA per well). After 4 h incubation at 37 °C, the cells were washed three times with cold PBS, and observed by a fluorescence microscope. To quantify the cell uptake level, the mean fluorescence intensity was quantified using flow cytometry.

2.11. Intracellular Gene Expression

A549 cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of $5 \times 10^4$ cells/well and cultured with complete medium for 24 h. Then the medium was replaced by serum-free medium (500 µL/well) with gluciferase DNA-loaded nano-complexes (1 µg DNA per well). After 4 h incubation, the transfection medium was replaced with 500 µL complete medium, followed by 48 h incubation at 37 °C. Subsequently, cells were washed with cold PBS and lysed by 1× Lysis reporter buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). The luciferase activity was measured with microplate reader (Infinite M200 pro, Tecan Group Ltd., Männedorf, Switzerland). Gene transfection efficiency was expressed as the relative bioluminescence intensity per $10^4$ cells.

2.12. Safety Evaluation in Vivo

Healthy Sprague-Dawley rats were intravenously injected with 500 µL HA-DA4/PAEs/DNA (dosage of 10 mg/kg DNA), and the serum was collected 24 h after treatment. The blood biochemical parameters, including aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and creatinine (Cre), were measured using the standard kits, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the Regulations for the Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals of China and approved by the Ethics Committee for Research on Animal Subjects at Xiangya School of Pharmaceutical Sciences of Central South University. This information has been added in the revised manuscript.
2.13. Statistical Analysis

All quantitative data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistically significant differences among groups were assessed using ANOVA analysis. Significance was measured at the following thresholds: * \( p < 0.05 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \), *** \( p < 0.001 \).

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Preparation and Characterizations of PAEs/DNA

The branched PAEs were synthesized through a Michael addition reaction of amines and acrylates using glycerol triacrylate as the cross-linker (Figure 1A). As the first step, the cross-linker of glycerol triacrylate was synthesized through the esterification reaction by using glycerol and acryloyl chloride (Figure S1D, see in Supplementary Materials). Then, purified glycerol triacrylate was used as the crosslinker for PAEs generation, in which 5-amino-1-pentanol acted as nucleophile to attack acrylates of 1,4-butanediol diacrylate and glycerol triacrylate. The reaction was carried out under anhydrous conditions to avoid hydrolysis of the sensitive ester bond, thus, achieving effective polymerization and generating high molecular weight polymers [20]. The polymerization was stopped, and then, an excess amount of 1,3-diaminopropane was used to fully end-modify the acrylate-terminated PAEs. The final branched PAEs were characterized by \( ^1 \)H-NMR (Figure 1B). The chemical shift (δ) of the main characteristic peaks can be assigned. For example, the peaks at δ 1.2–1.5 and δ 1.6 can be ascribed to the protons of \(-NCH_2(CH_2)_3CH_2OH\) and \(-N(CH_2)_2COOCH_2CH_2-\), respectively. The δ 2.2–2.4 can be attributed to the backbone of \(-OCOCH_2CH_2N-\) and \(-NCH_2(CH_2)_4OH\), and δ 2.6–2.7 were from the protons of the \(-OCOCH_2CH_2N-\) fragment. The δ 4.0 can be ascribed to the fragment of \(-N(CH_2)_2COOCH_2CH_2-\), and the peaks at δ 4.4–4.6 can be assigned to the glycerol fragment. All these results confirmed the successful synthesis of PAEs.

We then characterized the properties of PAEs as a carrier for gene delivery. It is known that positively charged polymers can spontaneously attract DNA via electrostatic interaction to form nano-complexes [21]. To explore this, plasmid DNA was mixed with PAEs at different weight ratios, and the formation of nanoparticles was monitored by using a Malvern particle analyzer (Figure 1C). It is well-known that positive polymers load DNA through electrostatic interactions in order to form nanoparticles. At a low weight ratio of 1:1, the interaction is poor, and nanoparticles (~500 nm) were formed with negative charge due to insufficient PAEs being present to fully trap DNA. With increasing the PAEs concentration, the binding force became stronger and was able to effectively condense the DNA, with the particle size gradually decreasing, owing the condensation effect of PAEs. At a ratio of 20:1, the surface charge was reversed to positive. At the optimal ratio of 40:1, the DNA was fully encapsulated, and the particle size reduced to ~100 nm. The morphology of the nanoparticles was further characterized by TEM, which showed quasi-spherical shapes with a uniform size of ~100 nm, consistent with DLS observation (Figure 1D).

One important advantage of amino-based polymers for gene delivery is their capability to facilitate endo/lysosome escape after internalization into targeted cells, which benefits from the “proton sponge effect” [22,23]. This effect was achieved by the proton buffering capacity in an acidic buffer. As a control, the pH of the NaCl solution dropped sharply upon addition of HCl (0.1 M), while both PAEs and PEI showed much slower pH decreases (Figure 1E), demonstrating the proton buffering ability. We then quantified the results, and the PAEs exhibited a ~74% efficacy, as compared to commercially available PEI (Figure 1F), demonstrating its potential as a carrier for gene delivery. However, PAEs were preferable over PEI, owing to their significantly improved biocompatibility (vide infra).

3.2. Surface Functionalization of HA-DA

To achieve HA-DA functionalized PAEs/DNA, we first synthesized the HA-DAs by a one-step carbodiimide coupling method (Figure 2A). The carboxyl groups in the HA backbone were activated by EDC, and then coupled to DA to form HA-DA. After dialysis
to remove unreacted chemicals and byproducts, the products were obtained by freeze-drying for $^1$H-NMR characterization. Compared to HA (Figure S2, see in Supplementary Materials), new peaks at chemical shift ($\delta \sim 7$) emerged, which can be assigned to the protons in ortho and the meta coupling position on the ring of DA, demonstrating HA-DA conjugation (Figure 2B). The DA conjugation ratio can be further calculated by the integral area ratio of two regional peaks associated with the ring protons of DA and the protons in the methylene of HA ($\delta$ 1.9–2.0) [24]. As presented in Figure 2C, the HA-DA with different DA grafting ratios can be easily synthesized by tuning the feeding amount of DA and EDC.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** (A) Synthetic protocol of PAEs. (B) $^1$H NMR spectrum of PAEs (DMSO-d6). The chemical shift of the important protons can be assigned in A. (C) Particle size and zeta potential of PAEs/DNA at different weight ratios (wt/wt). The DNA concentration was fixed at 0.02 mg/ml, and the polymer concentrations were varied to give different ratios. (D) TEM image of PAEs/DNA at weight ratio of 40:1. (E) Acid–base titration curve for different solutions. (F) Quantification of relative buffering capacity.
Figure 2. (A) Synthetic protocol of HA-DA. (B) ¹H-NMR spectrum of HA-DA (D₂O). (C) The synthesis of HA-DA with different DA grafting degrees by tuning the feeding amount of DA and EDC·HCl. (D) Particle size and zeta potential of HA-DA/PAEs/DNA at different weight ratios of HA-DA/PAEs/DNA (wt/wt/wt). (E) TEM of HA-DA4/PAEs/DNA at weight ratio of 5:40:1.

The HA-DA modified PAEs/DNA (40:1) (termed HA-DA/PAEs/DNA) was simply prepared by mixing PAEs/DNA with HA-DA. The surface HA-DA modification was confirmed by the increase in particle size, as well as the decrease in surface charge (Figure 2D). The HA-DA/PAEs/DNA ratio of 5:40:1 was chosen to ensure sufficient surface modification, while further increases in the HA-DA/PAEs/DNA ratio resulted in particle aggregation. At this ratio, the surface charge became negative, which is beneficial for avoid-
ing non-specific opsonization by the reticuloendothelial system (RES), thus, resulting in a prolonged circulation half-life [25]. From the TEM image, the HA-DA/PAEs/DNA showed an obvious core–shell structure with a particle size of ~200 nm (Figure 2E). Note that the HA-DAs with different DA grafting degrees could effectively wrap PAEs/DNA to form nanoparticles with similar sizes (Figure S3, see in Supplementary Materials). Therefore, these nanoparticles with comparable sizes and surface properties can be used to study the effect of the DA grafting degree on cell transfection efficiency.

### 3.3. Biocompatibility of the HA-DA/PAEs/DNA

Cationic polymers have been extensively used for gene delivery, owing to their high DNA loading and effective transfection, while a critical concern regards their biocompatibility for biological applications. For example, the positively charged materials might damage cell membranes via electrostatic interaction, resulting in cell apoptosis [26,27]. To address this, various methods have been developed to modify the polymers to improve their biocompatibility [28,29]. In our case, we employed surface HA-DA modification to alleviate the cytotoxicity, and evaluated the biosafety via MTT assay. Without HA modification, the PAEs displayed a concentration-dependent cytotoxicity (Figure 3A). Nevertheless, PAEs performed favorably over PEI, showing a much lower cytostatic activity. This can be attributed to the ester bond linkage of the polymer, which is prone to degradation by esterase in the cytoplasm [30]. In this respect, PAEs hold promise as transfection reagents. Moreover, upon HA-DA modification, the biocompatibility was significantly improved, with almost 100% cell viability after 48 h incubation (Figure 3B). We also tested HA-DA with different DA grafting degrees, and similar results were observed. Therefore, HA-DA modification could effectively detoxify PAEs/DNA for biomedical applications. Such detoxification can be ascribed to the charge reversion effect of HA-DA on the PAEs/DNA surface. Compared with unmodified HA [31], HA-DA was much more effective at screening the surface charge of PAEs/DNA, likely due to the bio-adhesive property of DA to facilitate HA-DA adsorption.

Another limitation of cationic polymer-based nanocarriers for in vivo gene delivery is their nonspecific interaction with blood components. The interaction between nanocarriers and erythrocyte membranes easily causes membrane disruption, giving rise to hemolysis. Next, we performed a hemolytic activity assay to evaluate the biocompatibility of the nanoparticles. Normal saline and Triton X-100 were used as negative the control and positive control, respectively. For PAEs/DNA with a weight ratio up to 40:1, the nano-complex showed low hemolytic activity, while further increases in the weight ratio of PAEs/DNA caused obvious hemolysis (Figure 3C). Overall, the PAEs/DNA was sufficiently biocompatible for intravenous injection. In addition, such biocompatibility was maintained after HA-DA modification with different weight ratios, showing less than 5% hemolysis (the criterion defined by ASTM F756-17 for medical biomaterials) (Figure 3D) [16]. To test the biocompatibility in vivo, the mice were intravenously injected with the nano-vector, and the blood biochemical indexes of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (indicators of liver), creatinine (Cre) and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) (indicators of kidney) were analyzed. All these parameters were within the normal range (Figure S4, see in Supplementary Materials), further demonstrating the excellent biosafety of the nano-system in vivo.

### 3.4. Intracellular Transfection and Gene Expression

Having confirmed the biocompatibility, we studied the intracellular performance of the nanocomplex by using A549 cancer cells as an example. To explore cellular uptake, the DNA was covalently labeled with a FAM (6-carboxy-fluorescein) fluorophore to visualize intracellular delivery of the DNA-loaded nano-complex. After 4 h incubation, the PAEs/DNA showed green fluorescence inside cells (Figure 4A), indicating the successful internalization of the nanoparticles. This is reasonable, as the positively charged nanoparticles can readily penetrate inside cells. Interestingly, HA-DA modified nanoparticles with
higher DA grafting degrees exhibited brighter fluorescence inside cells. While the overall internalization efficiency of PEI/DNA was much higher, its strong cytotoxicity limits its applications. To facilitate a quantitative comparison, we performed a flow cytometry analysis (Figure S5, see in Supplementary Materials), and the quantified intensity is shown in Figure 4B. Consistent with fluorescent images, the HA-DA/PAEs/DNA displayed a general trend of cellular uptake, in which a higher DA grafting degree gave rise to an improved uptake efficiency. With HA-DA4 modification (the DA grafting degree reached 43%) (Figure 2C), the HA-DA4/PAEs/DNA achieved a three-fold higher intensity than PAEs/DNA, demonstrating its potential for gene transfection.

Finally, the gene transfection efficiency was further confirmed by exploring gene expression inside cells. As a proof-of-concept, a plasmid DNA with a luciferase reporter gene was loaded into the nanosystems, which enabled convenient evaluation of gene expression by measuring the bioluminescence. Compared with PAEs/DNA, the HA-DA4/PAEs showed a seven-fold higher level of gene expression (Figure 4C), consistent with the above
fluorescent imaging result. Note that the gene expression of HA-DA4/PAEs was also higher than that of PEI/DNA, further confirming the superiority of HA-DA4/PAEs for gene delivery. Therefore, DA modification could effectively facilitate the intracellular delivery of the nanosystem, and this can be explained by several mechanisms. First, the DA receptor is reported to be overexpressed on various types of tumor cells, including A549 cells [32]. Therefore, the DA modifications can be regarded as types of active ligands, which mediate the transportation of nanoparticles via the DA receptor. In addition, the DA modification endows the nanosystem with a bio-adhesive property, thus, promoting the attachment of nanoparticles on the cell membrane [33]. Collectively, the HA-DA4/PAEs/DNA is an excellent choice for gene delivery and can be used for in vivo applications in the future.
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**Figure 4.** (A) Merged pictures of fluorescent images and bright-field images of cells after incubating with PAEs/DNA (40:1), PEI/DNA (40:1) or HA-DA/PAEs/DNA (10:40:1) for 4 h. The DNA concentration was fixed at 0.5 µM. (B) Quantified flow cytometry intensity for different treatment groups. (C) Gene expression level for PAEs/DNA, HA-DA4/PAEs/DNA and PEI/DNA in A549 cells. **p < 0.01.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we synthesized a new type of PAE using glycerol as a cross-linker, which can effectively compress DNA to form a PAEs/DNA nano-complex. The nano-complex displayed satisfactory proton buffering capability, and the surface positive charge enabled the HA-DA coating to form a core–shell nanostructure. HA-DA modification reduced the cytotoxicity of PAEs/DNA, and more importantly, allowed for the tuning of the transfection efficiency by adjusting the DA grafting degrees. DA modification endowed the nano-vector with an adhesive property and targetability towards the DA receptor, which co-contributes to the enhanced gene transfection. By using the HA-DA4 coating (with DA grafting degree of 43%), the resulting nano-vector achieved a level of gene expression that was even better than that of the PEI-based vector. Therefore, it can be envisaged that HA-DA4/PAEs/DNA may be a useful vector for assisting gene delivery in vivo. For example, owing to its targetability towards A549 cancer cells, such nano-vector could be used for lung cancer therapy by delivering gene therapeutics, such as plasmids, siRNAs, and CRISPER/cas9 systems [34].

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/cryst11040347/s1, Figure S1: The structure characterization of glycerol triacrylate, Figure S2: The $^1$H NMR of HA, Figure S3: The size and zeta potential of HA-DAs/PAEs/DNA with different DA grafting degrees, Figure S4: Serum biochemical parameters after treatment with the nano-vector, Figure S5: Flow cytometry analysis the uptake of different vehicles.
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