The classification of the International Headache Society defines primary headaches as migraine, tension-type headache and cluster headache [1]. Familial occurrence has been reported for all the primary headaches, but only migraine has been thought to be inherited based on a frequent positive family history. However, a positive family history is imprecise, because it does not specify number of affected, family size, or relation to the proband [2]. Nor does it include a clinical interview of the relatives by a physician [3]. The high prevalence of migraine causes a positive family history simply by chance in >80% of probands with six first-degree relatives, i.e. parents, siblings and children, and one or both parents are affected in >40% of the families.

The present paper reviews the literature on familial occurrence in primary headaches.

Abstract This paper reviews the literature of genetic epidemiology in primary headaches. Migraine without aura and migraine with aura are distinct disorders. Both are caused by a combination of genetic and environmental factors. Tension-type headache. The episodic form is most likely non-genetic, while the chronic form is caused by a combination of genetic and environmental factors. Cluster headache has previously not been thought to be genetic. However, first degree relatives of cluster headache sufferers have a 14–46 fold significantly increased risk of cluster headache, compared to the general population.
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| Study in probands | Disease in first-degree relatives | Probands, n | Affected | Total | Relative risk | Population relative risk | 95% Confidence interval |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------|-------|--------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Migraine with aura | MO                               | 34          | 64       | 171   | 3.62         | –                       | 1.10–6.14             |
| Mochi et al. [5] | General                          | 126         | 102      | 354   | –            | 1.86                    | 1.56–2.16             |
| Russell and Olesen [4] | General                          | 126         | 42       | 354   | –            | 1.44                    | 1.03–1.85             |
| Stewart et al. [6] | MO                               | 45          | 30       | 156   | 1.43         | –                       | 0.83–2.47             |
| General MA       | 45                               | 10          | 156      | –     | 2.36         | –                       | 0.87–6.38             |
| Migraine with aura | MA                               | 35          | 13       | 144   | 6.95         | –                       | 3.15–10.75            |
| Mochi et al. [5] | General                          | 127         | 111      | 359   | –            | 3.79                    | 3.21–4.38             |
| Russell and Olesen [4] | General                          | 127         | 56       | 359   | –            | 1.02                    | 0.77–1.26             |
| Kalfakis et al. [7] | Clinic                           | 60          | 58       | 328   | 11.85        | –                       | 7.00–16.70            |
| Stewart et al. [6] | MO                               | 28          | 3        | 87    | 1.24         | –                       | 0.28–5.47             |
| General MO       | 28                               | 17          | 87       | –     | 1.41         | –                       | 0.71–2.77             |

1 First-degree relatives of probands with migraine compared to first-degree relatives of probands who had never had migraine
2 First-degree relatives of probands with migraine compared to the risk of migraine in the general population
3 Probands were interviewed by a physician, while first-degree relatives were interviewed by lay interviewers
similar to the headache characteristics of migraine without aura. However, the diagnosis migraine with aura does not require specific headache characteristics [1]. This probably caused an underestimation of migraine with aura in the American study, since the headache is less severe in migraine with aura than in migraine without aura. Furthermore, for an unerring diagnosis interviews by physicians are preferred. The Greek [7] and Italian [5] studies were based on clinic populations, which may have caused bias.

Table 2 shows the number of concordant and discordant twin pairs from a Danish population-based twin study. The study included 1013 monozygotic and 1667 dizygotic twin pairs of the same gender [8–11]. The pairwise concordance rate was significantly higher among monozygotic than dizygotic twin pairs in both types of migraine (migraine without aura p < 0.05 and migraine with aura p < 0.001). Analysing men and women separately showed a similar trend. The proband-wise concordance rates were 31% and 21% among dizygotic twin pairs with migraine without aura and migraine with aura, respectively. This risk is comparable to the 30% and 27% recurrence risk in Danish siblings [4]. Thus, genetic epidemiological surveys and twin studies suggest that both types of migraine are caused by a combination of genetic and environmental factors.

### Tension-type headache

Until recently a genetic factor had not been suspected in tension-type headache. The high prevalence of episodic tension-type headache causes a positive family history simply by chance in >99% of families with an affected proband and four first-degree relatives. One or both parents will be affected by chance in >94% of the families. Episodic tension-type headache is most likely a heterogeneous disorder. The uniform symptomatology makes it likely that nociceptive mechanisms are shared, but can be activated by different mechanisms. It may be caused by multiple genes in a concerted action with environmental factors or it may be non-genetic.

Only a single genetic epidemiological study has investigated the familial aggregation of chronic tension-type headache [12, 13]. It included 122 probands from a headache clinic meeting the criteria of the International Headache Society for chronic tension-type headache [1]. The probands’ first-degree relatives and spouses were interviewed by a neurological resident. The risk of familial occurrence was assessed by estimating the population relative risk. Compared with the general population, first-degree relatives had a 3.1-fold significantly increased risk of chronic tension-type headache, while spouses had no increased risk of chronic tension-type headache [12, 13]. This result indicates the importance of a genetic factor.

### Cluster headache

The literature provides more complete information in four genetic epidemiological studies [14-18]. The first study, a Danish genetic epidemiological survey, included 370 probands [14, 15]. A physician interviewed probands with a positive family history and all possibly affected relatives
alive. Compared with the general population, first-degree relatives had a 14-fold significantly increased risk of cluster headache after standardisation for age and gender. Second-degree relatives had a 2-fold significantly increased risk of cluster headache.

An American survey included 300 mainly Caucasian probands [16]. The probands reported about their possibly affected first-degree relatives, but the diagnosis was not confirmed by an interview. Compared with the general population, first-degree relatives had a 46-fold significantly increased risk of cluster headache after standardisation for gender.

An Italian study included 222 probands [17]. The interviews were performed by neurologists. A positive family history among first- and second-degree relatives was found in 2.3% of the families. Another Italian study included 220 probands [18]. All possibly affected relatives were telephone-interviewed by physicians. Compared with the general population, first-degree relatives has a 39-fold significantly increased risk of cluster headache after standardisation for age and gender. Second-degree relatives had an 8-fold significantly increased risk of cluster headache. The latter study made a more throughout search for relatives, which may explain the higher familial occurrence.

All the studies selected probands from clinic populations. This may affect the representativeness of the study population. However, most likely it only has minor significance, since 92% (24/26) of the affected relatives alive had at some time consulted a physician and/or a neurologist because of their cluster headache [15]. This is a much higher consultation rate than found among migraineurs (56%) and tension-type headache sufferers (16%) [19]. The significantly increased familial risk strongly suggests a genetic cause for cluster headache. Theoretically, a shared environment can produce relative risks of the magnitude observed for cluster headache only under extreme conditions [20].
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