Cyanobacteria blooms in the Baltic Sea: a review of models and facts

Britta Munkes¹, Ulrike Löptien¹,², and Heiner Dietze¹,²
¹GEOMAR, Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Düsternbrooker Weg 20, 24105 Kiel, Germany
²Institute of Geosciences, Christian Albrechts University of Kiel, Ludewig-Meyn-Str. 10, 24118 Kiel, Germany

Correspondence: Britta Munkes (bmunkes@geomar.de)

Received: 30 April 2020 – Discussion started: 19 May 2020
Revised: 15 December 2020 – Accepted: 10 February 2021 – Published: 13 April 2021

Abstract. The ecosystem of the Baltic Sea is endangered by eutrophication. This has triggered expensive international management efforts. Some of these efforts are impeded by natural processes such as nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria blooms that add bioavailable nitrogen to the already over-fertilized system and thereby enhance primary production, export of organic matter to depth, and associated oxygen consumption. Controls of cyanobacteria blooms are not comprehensively understood, and this adds to the uncertainty of model-based projections into the warming future of the Baltic Sea. Here we review our current understanding of cyanobacteria bloom dynamics. We summarize published field studies and laboratory experiments and dissect the basic principles ingrained in state-of-the-art coupled ocean–circulation biogeochemical models.

1 Introduction

The Baltic Sea is a shallow, brackish, and semi-enclosed sea in central northern Europe. Its drainage basin is densely populated by around 84 million people. Their footprint exerts pressure on the ecosystem (Unger et al., 2013; Hannerz and Destouni, 2006). One particularly severe problem is eutrophication. Anthropogenic nutrients enter the Baltic Sea via rivers and air–sea fluxes (Helcom, 2014, 2018a). Starting with the first Helsinki Convention in 1974, several international environmental management plans have been put to work, so far with varying degrees of success (e.g. Helsinki Convention, EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive, Baltic Sea Action Plan). On the one hand the Baltic Sea is one of the best investigated and managed seas in the world (Helcom, 2018b), where international efforts have been successful in reducing nutrient loads considerably (Helcom, 2018a). On the other hand, despite all the resource-intensive management efforts, the state of the ecosystem has not significantly improved yet (HOLAS II core indicator report, 2017; Gustafsson et al., 2017). One major cause is considered to be related to sedimentary processes. Another concern is nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria blooms, which are suspected to become more prevalent with warming temperatures.

The ability of cyanobacteria to utilize dinitrogen, a virtually unlimited resource in the air, and to convert it into bioavailable nitrogen, links their dynamics closely to the eutrophication problem of the Baltic Sea by adding nutrients to an already over-fertilized ecosystem. There is consensus that the fixed nitrogen is a major contribution to the overall nutrient budget. Quantitative estimates range from 20 % to 50 % of the total new nitrogen supply (as opposed to regenerated nitrogen) that is available to the phytoplankton community (Adam et al., 2016; Gustafsson et al., 2017; Moisander et al., 2007; Vahtera et al., 2005; Whitton and Potts, 2002; Ploug et al., 2011). The future evolution of the total new nitrogen input is, however, not clear yet. While with rising temperatures increasing blooms are likely (Carey et al., 2012; Paerl, 2014), the effects of environmental management-induced changes in nutrient loads are less clear: one argument is that a reduction of the loads will have no net effect on the nutrient budget because cyanobacteria will compensate the reduction by fixing additional atmospheric nitrogen. A contrary view suggests that reduced loads will decrease primary productivity because nitrogen fixation is capped and cannot fully compensate reductions in nutrient loads (Molot et al., 2014). Among
the reasons for a capped fixation are (1) limited availability of light which throttles the metabolically expensive process of fixation, (2) limited bioavailability of one or several of the following elements, phosphorus, iron, and molybdenum, and (3) turbulent water movement (Moißander et al., 2002; Paerl and Huisman, 2009).

Some of the reviewed studies on cyanobacteria assume that global warming will exacerbate the existing oxygen deficiency in the Baltic Sea. Warming decreases the solubility of oxygen in seawater, which leads to lower oxygen concentrations. Further, warming conditions may favour cyanobacteria because they are better adapted to oligotrophy and they benefit from the increased light levels that come along with increased stratification in response to increased air–sea heat fluxes (Carey et al., 2012; Paerl and Huisman, 2009; Andersson et al., 2015b). Increased nitrogen fixation helps to overcome oligotrophy and increases primary production and subsequent export of organic matter to depth. This in turn feeds remineralization and drives oxygen consumption at depth. Potentially evolving hypoxic or even anoxic environments may then prove lethal to fish and their fry (Elmgren, 2001; Elmgren and Larsson, 2001b; Nehring and Matthäus, 1991; Gustafsson, 2012; Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008, 1995; Breitburg et al., 2018). One problem that makes consequences of this chain of events so unpredictable and a precise quantitative process understanding so desirable is the existence of a positive feedback loop where low-oxygen conditions may drive P release from the sediments. This excess P (which comes without the Redfield N equivalent to the system) may fuel additional cyanobacteria blooms (Conley et al., 2002; Savchuk, 2018; Stigebrandt et al., 2014; Vahtera et al., 2007b), thereby closing the positive feedback loop.

Further motivation to understand cyanobacteria dynamics in the Baltic Sea comes from some of the species’ capability to release toxins. There is evidence that the production of cyanobacterial toxins can increase with increasing nitrogen supply (Gobler et al., 2016; Dolman et al., 2012). Furthermore, it has been found in cultures that toxicity peaks when growth of the respective cyanobacteria is optimal (Lehtimäki et al., 1997). This is problematic because the toxins can lead to mass die-offs of mammals, fish, and filtering organisms (cf. Breitburg et al., 2018; Sipiä et al., 2001; Karlsson et al., 2005; Paerl, 2014; Hense, 2007; Hense and Beckmann, 2010; Kuznetsov et al., 2008; Mazur-Marzec et al., 2013; Stal et al., 2003). In the Baltic one of the most relevant cyanobacteria species, Nodularia spumigena, produces the toxin Nodularin. An additional thread comes from Dolichospermum sp. and Aphanizomenon flos-aquae, which are also able to produce toxins. In addition to toxicity, intense cyanobacteria blooms can lead to a detrimental loss of water clarity. In shallow coastal areas this can shade benthic macrophytes, thereby effectively reducing their growth and survival, which in turn has negative effects on invertebrates and fish that use macrophytes as a habitat for food and shelter (Short and Wylie-Echeverria, 1996).

Despite the importance of cyanobacteria for the Baltic Sea ecosystem, the processes involved in the bloom formation of cyanobacteria are still not comprehensively understood (Hense and Beckmann, 2006; Shimoda and Arndt, 2015; Taranu et al., 2012). Numerous abiotic and biotic factors promoting cyanobacteria growth have been suggested and are often controversially discussed (e.g. Unger et al., 2013). Our present understanding of the dynamics of cyanobacteria, as summarized in biogeochemical ocean models, relies mainly on empirical field correlations rather than on a comprehensive understanding of physiological responses to environmental conditions. These correlations, however, may well break under changing environmental conditions, thereby retarding model-based forecasts of the effects of potentially expensive management efforts.

In summary, deficient process understanding introduces considerable uncertainty to projections of numerical biogeochemical models, both globally (e.g. Landolfi et al., 2018) and in the Baltic Sea (e.g. Meier et al., 2012, their Fig. 7). Even so, such projections often support environmental management decisions. This study summarizes knowledge about cyanobacteria dynamics in the Baltic Sea and compares it with current state-of-the-art biogeochemical Baltic Sea models. Our aim is to identify knowledge gaps, thereby promoting the development of more reliable models. More specifically, we will (1) dissect the current generation of biogeochemical Baltic Sea models in an attempt to understand their underlying paradigms of cyanobacteria competitiveness and (2) review published studies focussed on observations and experimental results on controls of the most dominant cyanobacteria species in the Baltic: Aphanizomenon flos-aquae, Dolichospermum sp., and Nodularia spumigena (Suikkanen et al., 2010).

We start with a comparison of five state-of-the-art model approaches in Sect. 2. Section 3 puts the model assumptions in the context of published observational and experimental studies. We will end with a discussion and summary in Sects. 4 and 5, respectively.

2 Current model approaches

In the following we compare five coupled biogeochemical Baltic Sea models in terms of their mathematical formulations and underlying assumptions. Our choice of five is motivated by picking those that represent the state of the art conveyed to stakeholders; i.e. results from this class of models influence political decision-making (cf. Ellola et al., 2011; Meier et al., 2012, 2014; Neumann et al., 2002, 2012; Meier et al., 2011a).

Four of the biogeochemical models, dissected here, are coupled to full ocean circulation models: CEMBS (Dzierzbicka-Głowacka et al., 2013; Nowicki et al., 2015, 2016), ECOSMO II (e.g. Daewel and Schrum, 2013, 2017), ERGOM (e.g. Janssen et al., 2004; Kremp et
ratio, it links nutrient uptake to carbon assimilation in a more flexible way than the other models investigated here). Explicitly resolved nutrients are nitrate, ammonium, phosphate (in all models considered here), and silicate in those models that explicitly resolve diatoms (BLATSEM, CEMBS, ECOSMO only). The availability of nutrients in combination with light and temperature typically determines phytoplankton growth rates.

It is common practice to group phytoplankton species into functional groups for each of which a distinct set of model parameters is defined. The models considered here are similar to one another in that they all differentiate between three functional groups with diatoms, cyanobacteria being common to all of them. As for the third group, ECOSMO, ERGOM, and SCOBI refer to it as flagellates, BALTSEM as summer species, and CEMBS as small phytoplankton.

A basic concept of the current generation of biogeochemical models is generally the widespread paradigm that diazotrophic cyanobacteria grow more slowly than ordinary phytoplankton and can, therefore, in most models only thrive when nitrogen is no longer accessible to ordinary phytoplankton (LaRoche and Breitbarth, 2005; Hense and Beckmann, 2006; Deutsch et al., 2007).

The phytoplankton bloom dynamics is generally determined by nutrient availability, which is the obvious reason for the nitrogen depletion in surface waters. Losses to phytoplankton abundances are set by sink terms which are designed to account for viral lysis, extracellular release, and zooplankton grazing. All models considered here resolve one functional group of zooplankton, with the exception of the ECOSMO model, which resolves two (micro- and macrozooplankton). As a general rule, the model parameters associated with zooplankton growth (fuelled by grazing on phytoplankton) are tuned such that phytoplankton losses exceed the growth, which ultimately leads to a termination of blooms at the right time of the year. One may argue that the representation of zooplankton is more of a closure term than an attempt to realistically simulate zooplankton dynamics. In any case this approach calls for the definition of additional closure or sink terms for zooplankton. These sink terms for zooplankton (biomass) typically comprise the production of fecal pellets and death. Fecal pellets and dead zooplankton are the source of detritus (another prognostic variable) which sinks to depths where it is remineralized or lost to the sediment.

Typical attachments to the generic model backbone mapped out above are additional prognostic variables such as oxygen and carbon (cf. CEMBS and a later version of ERGOM, Kuznetsov and Neumann, 2013) and a basic representation of the sediment. These are, however, beyond the scope of this review, which focusses on cyanobacteria.

In the following we will elucidate differences among the models which, even though they share a similar basic structure, might feature very different sensitivities to changing environmental conditions simply due to differing details in the specific formulations.
2.2 Growth formulation of cyanobacteria

In this subsection, we compare the formulations of cyanobacteria growth. Special emphasis is given to the relations of cyanobacteria growth to the respective other two functional phytoplankton groups, because these relations inherently define the niche for cyanobacteria (i.e. the conditions under which they may outcompete other functional groups). Table 2 provides an overview for biogeochemical modellers in that it lists all model parameters considered in this study. In the following we will elaborate on the respective differences.

There is consensus among the models that the growth of cyanobacteria is controlled by the availability of light, temperature, and phosphate. All the models assume that high temperatures accelerate the growth. The respective functional forms and thresholds, however, differ between models, with the ERGOM model requiring the highest temperatures to permit growth (Fig. 1a). The SCOBI and ECOSMO models include an additional switch which shuts down cyanobacteria growth at salinities above 10 and 11.5 PSU, respectively. Yet another level of complexity is added in SCOBI, where growth necessitates oxygen concentrations above 0.1 mL O₂ L⁻¹, with growth gradually increasing above this oxygen threshold.

The model behaviour is imprinted by their respective mathematical formulations and several, often rather poorly, constrained model parameters. All models considered here share the concept of a maximum growth rate that is multiplied by other expressions that represent the external factors that limit this maximum growth capability. The actual maximum growth rates applied (cf. Table 3) differ considerably among the models: in CEMBS (and ERGOM) the maximum growth of cyanobacteria is (less than) half compared to other models. CEMBS and ERGOM assume that cyanobacteria grow, even at their maximum, rather slowly compared to the other functional groups. In the other models, these differences are less pronounced. Figure 1 puts this comparison into perspective by accounting for the respective modulation by the water temperature. SCOBI and BALTSEM are strongly affected by water temperatures: cyanobacteria grow more than twice as fast at temperatures between 12 and 14 °C than at temperatures below 12 °C, and growth accelerates further with rising temperatures (Fig. 1a).

| Table 1. Coupled biogeochemical ocean models considered in this study. Note that models evolve over time. We refer to the referenced versions below. |
|---|---|
| Model | Reference |
| BALTSEM | Savchuck (2002) |
| CEMBS | Dzierzbicka-Głowacka et al. (2013) |
| ECOSMO | Daewel and Schrum (2013) |
| ERGOM | Neumann et al. (2002) |
| SCOBI | Eilola et al. (2009) |

15 °C cyanobacteria grow almost as fast as diatoms (cf. Fig. 1b). ERGOM does also account for the effects of ambient temperatures, but the sensitivity is lower. For one, growth never accelerates above the maximum growth rate of 0.5 d⁻¹ (thus, in contrast to SCOBI, ERGOM’s maximum growth rate is really a maximum rate). The ERGOM model stalls all cyanobacteria growth below 12 °C and sets maximum growth at temperatures exceeding ≈ 19 °C. In contrast, the assumed increase in growth with temperature is rather gradual in the CEMBS model. The ECOSMO model includes a comparably weak temperature dependence for cyanobacteria growth (Ute Daewel, personal communication, 2020). This model does, however, include a strong light dependence of cyanobacteria growth, which presumably has a very similar effect because high light levels are typically related to high incoming solar radiation and shallow surface mixed-layer depths which, in turn, are typically related to higher surface temperatures.

The maximum growth as defined by the modulation of the maximum growth rate by the respective temperature sensitivity is damped under nutrient- and/or light-depleted conditions. The models under consideration differ considerably in this implementation. In SCOBi, the limitation of growth is implemented by multiplying by several factors, all of which are smaller than 1. Each factor describes the limiting effect of one resource (such as phosphate concentration or availability of light). The other models apply the concept of Liebig, which assumes that the limitation is set by the most depleted essential resource rather than being the result of the combined effect of various depleted resources that potentially modulate one another.

All models considered here agree that the growth of cyanobacteria depends on the availability of light and phosphate, while other macro-nutrients are not limiting. Phosphate limitation is implemented by using a “Michaelis–Menten formulation” (i.e. \( \frac{\text{PO}_4^{3-}}{K_P + \text{PO}_4^{3-}} \)). The respective half-saturation constant, \( K_P \), varies substantially between models (Table 3), which imprints different sensitivities to phosphate limitation into their respective dynamics. Among the models, ERGOM is special in that it squares all terms (i.e. \( (\frac{\text{PO}_4^{3-}}{K_P + \text{PO}_4^{3-}})^2 \)), which steepens the nutrient-limitation curve considerably, effectively setting a threshold rather than a gradual limitation.

Other than the steepness, one consequence of differing \( K_P \) is that simulated cyanobacteria reach maximum growth at very different levels of phosphate. SCOBI and ECOSMO reach full growth already at very low phosphate concentrations, while CEMBS and ERGOM need much higher phosphate values to reach maximum growth – higher not only compared to the other models, but also relative to their respective other functional groups.

Common to all models considered here is that the cyanobacteria are never limited by the availability of
Table 2. Description and units of the ecosystem model parameters considered in this study. The focus is on those parameters that differ among the different functional groups.

| Parameter | Description                  | Unit               |
|-----------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| \( \mu \) | Max. phytoplankton growth rates | \( \text{d}^{-1} \) |
| \( K_p \) | Half-saturation constant for phosphate | \( \text{mmol P m}^{-3} \) |
| \( \kappa \) | Linear phytoplankton mortality | \( \text{d}^{-1} \) |
| \( \sigma \) | Quadratic phytoplankton mortality | \( \text{d}^{-1} \) |
| \( a_i \) | Food preference per functional type \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) | Unitless |
| sinki     | Sinking rate of phytoplankton | \( \text{m d}^{-1} \) |

Table 3. Key model parameters impacting cyanobacteria growth and sinking rates of phytoplankton put into relation to the respective parameters of other functional groups. All models include three functional phytoplankton groups, including cyanobacteria and diatoms. The third functional group, however, is called “flagellates” in ECOSMO, ERGOM, and SCOBI, while BALTSEM refers to the third functional group as “summer species” and CEMBS as “small phytoplankton”. Note that the ERGOM model uses a modified Michaelis–Menten formulation and the half-saturation constants are thus not directly comparable to the other models. For models which include temperature dependence (Fig. 1), the values provided refer to 0°C (BALTSEM). Note that, as a peculiarity, the BALTSEM models use different sinking rates for diatoms in spring and autumn, and the sinking speed also depends on temperature, environmental conditions, and morphology. Also, the half-saturation constants for the BALTSEM model and the maximum growth rates of the CEMBS model are unit-converted from the original values. The bold text refers to cyanobacteria.

| Model     | \( \mu \) (cyan: diatoms; others) | \( K_p \) (cyan: diatoms; others) | sinki (cyan: diatoms; others) |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| BALTSEM   | 1.0; 1.3; 0.9                      | 0.05; 0.1; 0.05                   | 0.1; 0.5/0.1; 0.1             |
| CEMBS     | 0.33; 1.3 1.1                      | 0.5; 0.1; 0.05                    | not provided                  |
| ECOSMO    | 1.0; 1.3; 1.1                      | 0.05; 0.05; 0.05                   | –0.1; 0.0; 0.0                |
| ERGOM     | 0.5; 1.0; 0.7                      | 0.5; 0.3; 0.15                    | –0.1; 0.5; 0.0                |
| SCOBI     | 1.0; 1.3; 0.9                      | 0.05; 0.1; 0.05                    | 0.0; 0.5; 0.1                 |

Bioavailable nitrogen (one exception being cyanobacteria below the surface in ECOSMO). The fixation of nitrogen is coupled to the uptake of phosphate in all models. In the absence of ammonium and nitrate, the models top up their intracellular nitrogen concentrations until a predefined N:P Redfield ratio is met. BALTSEM is a more complex flavour of this rule, by changing the intracellular N:P ratios depending on the environmental conditions (water temperature, ratio of ambient N:P concentrations and phosphate concentrations).

Despite the differences among the model formulations outlined above, all models agree in that cyanobacteria have an advantage over other functional groups under nitrate-depleted conditions – if phosphate is available. This phosphate, which has no Redfield equivalent of bioavailable nitrogen, is also referred to as excess phosphate. In summary, all models agree in that excess phosphate promotes a cyanobacteria bloom.

Besides the impact of temperature and phosphorous limitation, there is consensus that the availability of light is essential to the growth of cyanobacteria. Details, however, differ: in BALTSEM, ERGOM, and SCOBI light limitation (\( \text{light}_{\text{lim}} \)) for all functional groups is expressed based on an assumed optimal light level (\( I_{\text{opt}} \)):

\[
\text{light}_{\text{lim}} = \frac{I_{\text{PAR}}}{I_{\text{opt}}} \cdot \exp(1 - \frac{I_{\text{PAR}}}{I_{\text{opt}}}).
\]

\( I_{\text{PAR}} \) denotes the incoming photosynthetically available radiation (PAR) in the respective depth layer. \( I_{\text{opt}} \) is set to 50 W m\(^{-2}\) in BALTSEM and 25 W m\(^{-2}\) in SCOBI. ECOSMO and CEMBS, in contrast, assume that the light requirement for cyanobacteria is higher than for other functional groups. This considers that nitrogen fixation is an energetically expensive process which has to break the dinitrogen molecule and thus has to overcome the strongest atom-to-atom bond among all bonds involving two atoms. In ECOSMO a threshold, exclusive to cyanobacteria, of 120 W m\(^{-2}\), shuts down all growth when undercut. In CEMBS the formulation of differing light sensitivities for the respective functional groups is more complex: built on a modification (which directly includes a calculation of self-
shading effects) of the classical approach which expresses light limitation based on a PI curve \( \text{light}_{\text{lim}} = 1 - \exp(-\alpha \cdot \text{PAR}) \), CEMBS prescribes different initial slopes for each of the functional groups. The lowest initial slope, \( \alpha = 0.17 \), is prescribed for cyanobacteria, while the 0.3 and 0.34 for diatoms and small phytoplankton, respectively, imprint a higher competitiveness under low-light conditions. On a side note (which does not affect the competition between cyanobacteria and other functional groups in each of the respective models), the formulations, describing light attenuation within the water column, differ substantially among the models: BALTSEM accounts for shading effects of autotrophs, heterotrophs, and detritus, while ECOSMO only accounts for autotrophs. In addition, the attenuation coefficients of photosynthetically available radiation in seawater itself vary by almost a factor of 3 from one model to another.

Indirectly related to the formulation of light limitation is the representation of cyanobacteria’s capabilities to control their buoyancy. Some species have gas vacuoles which give them the means to move upwards to the sunlit surface or prevent them from being moved downwards. The respective model formulations, however, vary considerably: in the ERGOM and ECOSMO models cyanobacteria have an advantage over other functional groups as they are positively buoyant and are, hence, less likely to be subducted into dark ocean layers. In SCOBI the simulated cyanobacteria do not sink, while other phytoplankton do. In BALTSEM the sinking speed of cyanobacteria is identical to other summer species (cf. Table 3).

### 2.3 Loss terms for cyanobacteria

Even more uncertain than the source terms are the sinks of cyanobacteria. The models generally assume some phytoplankton mortality, which can depend linearly and/or quadratically on the respective standing phytoplankton stocks. These fixed rates mimic complex processes, such as bacterial and viral lysis. In most considered models these parameters differ very little among functional groups (Table 4). Exceptions are BALTSEM and CEMBS: in the BALTSEM model the mortalities of the phytoplankton functional groups follow different temperature dependencies. In the CEMBS model the mortalities differ among functional groups: cyanobacteria have a slightly higher linear mortality than other functional groups, while a quadratic phytoplankton mortality is set to zero only for cyanobacteria. Generally, these constant rates of phytoplankton mortality are set to rather small values and thus typically receive only little attention. Even so, we want to note that this is of importance because the mortality determines the steady-state solutions and, related, can drastically determine ecosystem responses to eutrophication (Löptien, 2011).

The largest loss term, however, is typically zooplankton grazing. The knowledge and process understanding of this component are still limited and the assumptions between models differ widely. Typically, this formulation is nonlinear since the development of zooplankton biomass depends on its biomass. Another prerequisite for zooplankton growth or, rather, increase in its own biomass is food availability. Here, the different models consider different potential food sources and use very different additional constraints: for example, the ECOSMO model differentiates two zooplankton groups (micro- and macro-zooplankton) and assumes that micro-zooplankton feeds on phytoplankton and detritus, while macro-zooplankton feeds additionally on micro-zooplankton. In contrast, the ERGOM model assumes that their single explicitly represented zooplankton group feeds only on phytoplankton. In ERGOM, as in BALTSEM and CEMBS, maximum grazing rates are temperature-dependent (Fig. 2), while SCOBI is the only model which assumes an oxygen dependency of the grazing rates. A comprehensive assessment of all these grazing formulations has not been published yet and is beyond the scope of this study. The major aim here is merely to compare those parameters and formulations, which differ among functional groups within the models. In this respect selective grazing is of major interest. A comparison of the maximum grazing rates of the (competing) functional groups is shown in Table 4 and Fig. 2. All the models assume that zooplankton prefers phytoplankton and avoids cyanobacteria if possible. The magnitude of this preferential grazing is, however, unclear. Most models assume that the preference for cyanobacteria is a factor of 2–3 lower than for other functional groups. ECOSMO is an exception, with especially high food preferences. As a peculiarity, in the BALTSEM model grazing rates depend additionally on the actual fixation rates, with higher nitrogen fixation rates reducing the grazing pressure on cyanobacteria.

### 3 Current knowledge about cyanobacteria’s biology

#### 3.1 Growth

The growth of cyanobacteria, like other photoautotrophic organisms, depends on the availability of nutrients and light and is, additionally, influenced by other abiotic factors, such as temperature and salinity. In the following subsections we discuss factors affecting the growth of cyanobacteria and highlight the differences between cyanobacteria and other phytoplankton.

##### 3.1.1 Maximum growth

Generally, cyanobacteria are thought to have slow growth rates in comparison to eukaryotic phytoplankton cells (Butterwick et al., 2005; Hense and Beckmann, 2006; Lips and Lips, 2008; Rakko and Seppälä, 2014; Paerl and Otten, 2013; Paerl, 2014; Valiati et al., 2005). This assumption is also ingrained into the models (cf. Fig. 1). However, according to Reynolds (2006) and Foy (1980), who report rather similar maximal growth rates of cyanobacteria and micro-
Table 4. Model parameters that determine the termination of cyanobacterial blooms. Comparison among the models and respective parameters of other functional groups. The phytoplankton mortality rates in BALTSEM depend on temperature and the environmental conditions. The provided values refer to 0°C. Also, the BALTSEM model uses different parameter values for diatoms in spring and autumn. The provided values for \( \sigma \) for the ECOSMO and SCOBI models result from the product of max. zooplankton growth rate and food preference for the respective phytoplankton functional groups. The two values for the ECOSMO model refer to their two zooplankton groups: macro- and micro-zooplankton. The quadratic mortality for the CEMBS model was unit converted relative to the original values.

| Model         | \( \sigma \) (cyan.; diatoms; other) | Phyt. mot (cyan.; diatoms; other) | Phyt. mot quad (cyan.; diatoms; other) |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| BALTSEM       | 0.3; 1.0/0.0; 0.7                    | 0.4; 0.6/0.3; 0.4                 | –                                     |
| CMEBS         | 0.9; 1.95; 2.5                       | 0.17; 0.15; 0.15                  | 0.0; 0.03; 0.03                       |
| ECOSMO        | 0.09/0.09; 0.25/0.68; 0.7/0.08      | 0.08; 0.05; 0.08                  | –                                     |
| ERGOM         | 0.25; 0.5                            | 0.02; 0.02; 0.02                  | –                                     |
| SCOBI         | 0.03; 0.09; 0.105                    | 0.05; 0.05; 0.05                  | –                                     |

Figure 1. (a) Temperature dependence of the maximum growth for (a) cyanobacteria and for (b) other functional groups in the five considered biogeochemical models (d\(^{-1}\)).

algaes, this assumption must be considered with some caution. Based on a study of 16 phytoplankton species (among others *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* and *Dolichospermum flos-aquae*, which are rather common also in the Baltic Sea), Reynolds (2006) states that the maximal growth rates differ so substantially from species to species (even within functional groups) that generic statements about the functional groups cannot be made. The study by Foy (1980) explores 22 strains of 3 cyanobacteria genera (*Aphanizomenon, Dolichospermum* sp., and *Oscillatoria*). He draws the conclusion that algal size and shape appear to be better predictors of growth rates than the affiliation to a specific functional group. This statement is supported by the fact that many cellular functions are strongly governed by the surface-area-to-volume ratio (Kruk et al., 2010; Lewis, 1976). Further support showing similar results comes from Lürling et al. (2013), who tested eight different cyanobacteria and eight chlorophyte species (among others *Aphanizomenon gracile* and *Dolichospermum* sp.). Tables 5 and 6 summarize published maximum growth rates of the main cyanobacteria species in the Baltic Sea along with those of a choice of ordinary phytoplankton species. Indeed, we find maximum growth rates that differ substantially from one cyanobacteria species to another, and even among strains (Table 5). On average however these studies report somewhat slower growth rates for the three cyanobacteria species than e.g. for chlorophytes (Tables 5 and 6).

- For *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* maximal growth rates of 0.18–1.34 d\(^{-1}\) are reported (Foy, 1980; Gotham and Rhee, 1981; Rhee and Lederman, 1983; Konopka and Brock, 1978; Lee and Rhee, 1997, 1999; Sommer, 1981; Rakko and Seppäälä, 2014; Robarts and Zohary, 1987).

- *Dolichospermum* sp. shows maximal growth rates of 0.4–1.27 d\(^{-1}\) (Foy et al., 1976b; Konopka and Brock,
Figure 2. Maximum grazing rates in dependence of temperatures for (a) cyanobacteria, (b) diatoms, and (c) other phytoplankton (d\textsuperscript{−1}). Note that the BALTSEM model prescribes zero grazing of diatoms in autumn. The values for the ECOSMO and SCOBI models refer to the product of max. zooplankton growth rate and food preference for the respective phytoplankton functional groups and the temperature effect. The two values for the ECOSMO model refer to their two zooplankton groups: micro- and macro-zooplankton. All parameter values refer to the respective references provided in Table 4. Note that the link to phytoplankton biomass differs considerably between the models, and a direct comparison of the curves is not necessarily straightforward.

1978; Lürling et al., 2013; Nalewajko and Murphy, 2001; Reynolds, 2006; Oh et al., 1991; Sommer, 1981; Tang et al., 1997).

– Measured maximal growth rates of *Nodularia spumigena* range from 0.13 to 0.6 d\textsuperscript{−1} (Cirés and Ballot, 2016; Lehtimäki et al., 1997; Sommer et al., 2006).

Maximum growth is only reached under optimal conditions that are rarely encountered in reality. In the following we explore the processes that inhibit maximum growth, such as nutrient depletion, high light intensities, and suboptimal temperatures.

3.1.2 Temperature dependency

In terms of temperature dependency, the model formulations differ widely, and some models (e.g. SCOBI and BALTSEM) assume that cyanobacteria require higher temperatures than ordinary phytoplankton for optimal growth (Fig. 1). The respective model assumptions are roughly in agreement with experimental work: while cyanobacteria species typically have optimal growth at higher temperatures than dinoflagellates or diatoms (Paerl et al., 2011), there are only small differences between cyanobacteria and green algae (Lürling et al., 2013). However, there is considerable variation between species. Butterwick et al. (2005) report that differences between algal species appear mainly at temperatures below 10 and above 25°C when testing the growth rate of 21 species within a temperature range between 2 and 35°C. Also, Foy (1980) reports that the temperature optima of cultured *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* and *Dolichospermum* sp. and others were similar to those of other planktonic autotrophs.

Regarding the typical Baltic Sea species, Table 7 shows optimal temperature ranges for the growth of the cyanobacteria species compared to other phytoplankton species. In the following we summarize the respective results.

– *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* has a somewhat wider optimal temperature range than *Nodularia spumigena*, spanning from 16 to 31°C (Bugajev et al., 2015; Carey et al., 2012; Robarts and Zohary, 1987; Paerl and Otten, 2013; Degerholm et al., 2006). Temperatures which permit some growth are considerably lower than the optimal temperatures: Cirés and Ballot (2016) report that *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* is able to grow at temperatures down to 10°C. Üveges et al. (2012) measured intensive photosynthesis at even lower: 2–5°C.

– For *Dolichospermum* sp. optimal temperatures for maximal growth lie between 18 and 25°C. Growth starts at 10°C, as reported by Hellweger et al. (2016), Konopka and Brock (1978), Robarts and Zohary (1987), and Paerl and Otten (2013).

– *Nodularia spumigena* prefers 20–25°C for optimal growth (Degerholm et al., 2006). Growth starts at 5°C (Nordin et al., 1980).

3.1.3 Nutrient demands

Because diazotrophs are able to fix atmospheric nitrogen, bioavailable phosphorus is regarded as the essential limiting nutrient in the Baltic Sea for diazotrophic cyanobacteria (where iron and molybdenum are generally sufficiently available). Note, however, that N\textsubscript{2} fixation is a very energy-demanding process which has the potential to reduce the growth rates by a factor of up to 2 or 3 (Hense and Beckmann, 2006; Rhee and Lederman, 1983; Paerl et al., 2006). Too low phosphate concentrations can prevent any growth.

Phosphate (P) is essential, i.a. for cellular synthesis of nucleic acids, membrane phospholipids, as well as for energy transfer through tri- and bi-phosphorylated nucleotides (Degerholm et al., 2006). All models agree that the availabil-
Table 5. Maximal growth rates ($\mu$) of the studied cyanobacteria species.

| Class         | Species                         | $\mu$  | Reference                      |
|---------------|---------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|
| Cyanobacteria | Aphanizomenon flos-aquae        | 0.39   | Foy et al. (1976b)             |
| Cyanobacteria | Aphanizomenon flos-aquae        | 0.89   | Gotham and Rhee (1981)          |
| Cyanobacteria | Aphanizomenon flos-aquae        | 0.95–1.34 | Rhee and Lederman (1983)    |
| Cyanobacteria | Aphanizomenon flos-aquae        | 0.99   | Lee and Rhee (1999)             |
| Cyanobacteria | Aphanizomenon flos-aquae        | 0.43   | Sommer (1981)                   |
| Cyanobacteria | Aphanizomenon flos-aquae        | 0.89   | Gotham and Rhee (1981)          |
| Cyanobacteria | Aphanizomenon flos-aquae        | 0.28–0.31 | Rakko and Seppälä (2014)    |
| Cyanobacteria | Aphanizomenon flos-aquae        | 0.25–0.75 | Lee and Rhee (1997)           |
| Cyanobacteria | Aphanizomenon flos-aquae        | 0.18   | Konopka and Brock (1978)        |
| Cyanobacteria | Dolichospermum sp.              | 0.51   | Foy et al. (1976b)             |
| Cyanobacteria | Dolichospermum sp.              | 0.41   | Sommer (1981)                   |
| Cyanobacteria | Dolichospermum sp.              | 0.78   | Reynolds (2006)                 |
| Cyanobacteria | Dolichospermum sp.              | 0.4–1.1 | Tang et al. (1997)              |
| Cyanobacteria | Dolichospermum sp.              | 0.94–1.27 | Oh et al. (1991)                |
| Cyanobacteria | Dolichospermum sp.              | 0.93   | Lürling et al. (2013)           |
| Cyanobacteria | Dolichospermum sp.              | 0.4    | Konopka and Brock (1978)        |
| Cyanobacteria | Dolichospermum sp.              | 1.25   | Nalewajko and Murphy (2001)     |
| Cyanobacteria | Nodularia spumigena             | 0.14–0.16 | Rakko and Seppälä (2014)       |
| Cyanobacteria | Nodularia spumigena             | 0.2–0.6 | Sommer et al. (2006)            |
| Cyanobacteria | Nodularia spumigena             | 0.13   | Lehtimäki et al. (1997)         |

Table 6. Maximal growth rates ($\mu$) of different phytoplankton groups.

| Class            | Species                          | $\mu$  | Reference                     |
|------------------|----------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| Green algae      | Chlamydomonas reinhardtii        | 3.3–3.8 | Hoogenhout and Amesz (1965)   |
| Green algae      | Chlorella luteoviridis           | 0.56   | Hoogenhout and Amesz (1965)   |
| Green algae      | Chlorella strain 221             | 1.84   | Reynolds (2006)               |
| Green algae      | Eudorina unicocca               | 0.62   | Reynolds and Rodgers (1983)   |
| Green algae      | Scenedesmus sp.                 | 1.35   | Gotham and Rhee (1981)         |
| Green algae      | Volvox aureus                   | 0.46   | Reynolds (2006)               |
| Green algae      | Eight species                   | 0.24–1.38 | Lürling et al. (2013)        |
| Dinoflagellates  | Ceratium furcoides              | 0.29   | Butterwick et al. (2005)      |
| Dinoflagellates  | Ceratium hirundinella           | 0.21   | Reynolds (2006)               |
| Diatoms           | Asterionella formosa            | 1.9–2.4 | Hoogenhout and Amesz (1965)   |
| Diatoms           | Asterionella formosa            | 1.78   | Lund (1949)                   |
| Diatoms           | Asterionella formosa            | 1.78   | Lund (1949)                   |
| Diatoms           | Asterionella formosa            | 0.67   | Holm and Armstrong (1981)     |
| Diatoms           | Chaetoceros gracilis            | >3.1   | Lund (1979)                   |
| Diatoms           | Cyclotella nana                 | 1.6    | Fuhs (1972)                   |
| Diatoms           | Cyclotella nana                 | 3.4    | Hoogenhout and Amesz (1965)   |
| Diatoms           | Fragilaris crotonensis          | 0.90   | Gotham and Rhee (1981)         |
| Diatoms           | Fragilaris crotonensis          | 1.37   | Reynolds (2006)               |
| Diatoms           | Nitzschia actinastroides        | 1.5    | Lund (1979)                   |
| Diatoms           | Skeletonema costatum            | 4.3    | Hoogenhout and Amesz (1965)   |
| Diatoms           | Stephanodiscus hantzschii       | 1.7    | Hoogenhout and Amesz (1965)   |
| Diatoms           | Thalassiosira fluviatilis       | 1.6    | Fuhs (1972)                   |
that N negatively related to total N. Paerl and Otten (2016) show the cyanobacteria community under high total P and were (despite the low P requirements). In this context Anders-

tionally stimulates growth and nitrogen-fixation rates. Degerholm et al. (2006) indicate half-saturation constants of 1–1.7 mmol P m$^{-3}$ for Nodularia spumigena growth. Degerholm et al. (2006) indicate half-saturation constants of 1–1.7 mmol P m$^{-3}$ for Nodularia spumigena (Table 8). For comparison, e.g. Reynolds (2006) reports much higher values up to 5.24 mmol P m$^{-3}$ for some green algae, while the investigated diatoms seem to have rather low P demands. The listed half-saturation constants for diatoms in Table 8 range from 0.12 to 1.72 mmol P m$^{-3}$ (Fuhs, 1972; Lund, 1979; Holm and Armstrong, 1981; Yamamoto et al., 2012).

An interpretation of these studies is complicated by the ability of cyanobacteria to access dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP) besides DIP. Phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$) is the dominant form of DIP in natural waters and directly bioavailable for plants. In contrast, DOP is an integral part of the marine organic matter pool. DOP exists in a variety of forms which result from death and autolysis of organisms, decomposition, and excretion. Phosphorus esters (75 %) and phosphonates (25 %) are the two dominant forms of oceanic DOP (Clark et al., 1998). Not all phytoplankton possess alkaline phos-
Dolichospermum that under limitation of DIP Degerholm et al. (2006) show with their experimental work efficient use of DOP by cyanobacteria species of the Baltic Sea. This indicates that these two cyanobacteria species are able to use DOP for their growth. Degerholm et al. (2006) assumed that Nodularia spumigena’s high uptake ability for DOP enables Nodularia spumigena to tolerate DIP limitation during summer months. Studies of O’Neil et al. (2012) and Vahtera et al. (2007b) support the finding of Degerholm et al. (2006). Schoffelen et al. (2018) investigate single-cell P-uptake rates with nanoSIM (nanometre-scale secondary ion mass spectrometry). Their measurements show that Aphanizomenon sp. acquired only 15% of its P demand from DIP and used instead about 85% from DOP. However, there are also conflicting results: in Vahtera et al. (2007b) experiments Aphanizomenon sp. was not able to use DOP. Also, in Schoffelen et al. (2018) Nodularia spumigena almost exclusively used DIP for its growth even at very low phosphate concentrations, while Dolichospermum sp. showed an intermediate behaviour. Note in this context that models generally do not consider DOP to be an additional prognostic variable.

Another factor, which is rarely considered in models (an exception is BALTSEM), is the storage capacity of DIP by cyanobacteria. It is well known that some cyanobacteria are able to drastically increase their intracellular P concentration (Nausch et al., 2008; Walve and Larsson, 2007, 2010; Sohm et al., 2011) and to store excess DIP. For the Baltic Sea this has been shown for Aphanizomenon sp. (Larsson et al., 2001). In May, after the spring bloom, Aphanizomenon sp. showed C : P ratios around 50 (Redfield, 1958, C : P =

Table 8. Half-saturation constant for phosphate (K_p) for different phytoplankton groups.

| Phylum        | Species                      | K_p (mmol P m^{-3}) | Reference                      |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| Cyanobacteria | Aphanizomenon flos-aquae\(^1,2,a\) | 1.13                | Gotham and Rhee (1981)          |
| Cyanobacteria | Aphanizomenon flos-aquae\(^1,2,a\) | 2.5                 | Degerholm et al. (2006)        |
| Cyanobacteria | Anabaena variabilis\(^1,2,a\)  | 1–2                 | Healey et al. (1973)           |
| Cyanobacteria | Dolichospermum sp.\(^1,a\)    | 1.8–2.5             | Reynolds (2006)                |
| Cyanobacteria | Microcystis aeruginosa\(^1,a\) | 1.23                | Holm and Armstrong (1981)      |
| Cyanobacteria | Microcystis sp.\(^1,a\)       | 2.11                | Gotham and Rhee (1981)          |
| Cyanobacteria | Nodularia spumigena\(^2,a\)   | 1.0–1.7             | Degerholm et al. (2006)        |
| Green algae   | Chlorella pyrenoidosa\(^1,2,b\) | 0.68                | Nyholm (1977)                  |
| Green algae   | Scenedesmus sp.\(^1,2,c\)     | 0.57/3.4            | Gotham and Rhee (1981)          |
| Green algae   | Volvox aureus\(^4,c\)         | 5.24                | Reynolds (2006)                |
| Dinoflagellates | Alexandrium tamarense\(^3,4,b,c\) | 2.6                 | Yamamoto et al. (2012)         |
| Dinoflagellates | Gymnodinium catenatum\(^4,a,b\) | 3.4                 | Yamamoto et al. (2012)         |
| Dinoflagellates | Peridinium sp.\(^2,4,b\)     | 0.11                | Reynolds (2006)                |
| Dinoflagellates | Pyrocystis noctiluca\(^3,4,b\) | 2.1                 | Rivkin and Swift (1982)        |
| Diatoms       | Asterionella formosa\(^1,c\)  | 0.70                | Holm and Armstrong (1981)      |
| Diatoms       | Chaetoceros gracilis\(^1,a,b\) | 0.12                | Lund (1979)                    |
| Diatoms       | Cyclotella nana\(^1,2,a,c\)   | 0.58                | Fuhs (1972)                    |
| Diatoms       | Nitzschia actinistroides\(^1,c\) | 0.013               | Lund (1979)                    |
| Diatoms       | Skeletonema costatum\(^1,2,a\) | 0.68                | Yamamoto et al. (2012)         |
| Diatoms       | Thalassiosira flaviilatis\(^3,4,b\) | 1.72               | Fuhs (1972)                    |

Please note that different size classes of algae are indicated by numbers (\(^1\) tiny; \(^2\) small; \(^3\) medium; \(^4\) large) and by letter (\(^a\) chains; \(^b\) single; \(^c\) other).
106 : 1). Nausch et al. (2009) even measured a C : P ratio of 32 after an upwelling event. However, Larsson et al. (2001) observed that during the subsequent build-up of biomass, P is used for growth and cellular P concentrations are decreasing (C : P ratios around 400). Larsson et al. (2001) conclude that the growth rate of *Aphanizomenon* sp. is limited by DIP availability in the Baltic Sea. Also, Raateoja et al. (2011) and Wasmund et al. (2005) share the opinion that, despite their DIP storage capacity, additional P sources are needed to sustain today’s observed cyanobacterial blooms in the Baltic Sea.

### 3.1.4 Light limitation

Cyanobacterial and algal photosynthesis rates are significantly influenced i.a. by the combination of light intensity and temperature (Butterwick et al., 2005). With increasing light intensity photosynthesis rate will increase until the saturation level is achieved (Ik) and the maximal photosynthesis rate (Pmax) is reached. The initial slope of this photosynthesis–irradiance curve (alpha) describes the performance of both light-harvesting and photosynthetic conversion efficiency. Alpha is species-specific and rather temperature-independent over a wide range. Alpha is, however, a strong function of the highly variable carbon-specific chlorophyll a content of cells owing to the central role of chlorophyll in photosynthesis.

Pmax, on the other hand, will be influenced i.a. by temperature. Below the temperature optima, Pmax increases non-linearly, roughly doubling with each 10°C rise in temperature until a threshold temperature (Reynolds, 2006). Beyond the threshold excessive temperatures in combination with prolonged exposure to high light intensities may cause photo-inhibition and induce harmful effects in algae (Ibelings, 1996). Photo-inhibition also occurs when phytoplankton is shifted to irradiance substantially above those to which they have been acclimatized. Cyanobacteria, however, can modify their photosynthetic apparatus within minutes to accommodate rapid fluctuations in light intensity or quality.

This basic concept that algal growth is influenced by light and temperature is captured by all the models considered here – although it comes in different flavours: ECOSMO and CEMBS assume that the light requirement for cyanobacteria is higher than for other functional groups, while the other models do not distinguish between functional groups here (cf. Sect. 2.2).

The species *Nodularia spumigena* and *Aphanizomenon* sp. seem to be well acclimated to relatively high PAR levels, especially at high temperatures (Śliwińska-Wileczewska et al., 2019). Their cell-specific Pmax values were the highest in *Nodularia spumigena* and *Aphanizomenon* sp. strains grown under the lowest light intensity. Both species changed their chlorophyll a-specific alpha depending on environmental conditions. Maximum alpha values for *Nodularia spumigena* and *Aphanizomenon* sp. were found at low light, low temperature, and low salinity (10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, 15°C, and 8 PSU) (Śliwińska-Wileczewska et al., 2019). Similarly to this, DeNobel et al. (1998) found that for *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* and *Anabaena* sp. their alpha increased with decreasing irradiance but was always higher for *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* than for *Anabaena* sp. This was due to a higher chlorophyll a content in cells of *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* than in *Anabaena* sp.

The differences between species in terms of their optimal light requirements are substantial. In the following we summarize studies sorted by species. Table 9 refers to the individual studies.

- For *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* optimal irradiance for photosynthesis is reported to be 6− > 33 W m⁻² in laboratory and field experiments (Lehtimäki et al., 1997; Úveges et al., 2012). Photo-inhibition for *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* was reported at a light intensity of 99 W m⁻² (Pechar et al., 1987).

- According to Eigemann et al. (2018) and Walsby and Booker (1980), *Doliiviospermum* sp. prefers rather low irradiance values of 4−8 W m⁻².

- Several scientists tested the optimal light intensity for *Nodularia spumigena*. They state that *Nodularia spumigena* grows best at the highest light level tested (23−66 W m⁻²). Net growth was reported over a wide range of light intensities 5−114 W m⁻² in laboratory experiments (Eigemann et al., 2018; Jodlowska and Latala, 2019; Lehtimäki et al., 1997; Nordin et al., 1980; Roleda et al., 2008). Nordin et al. (1980) observe that very high temperatures (35°C) in combination with high light levels (114 W m⁻²) can prohibit the growth of *Nodularia spumigena*. Consistently, Jodlowska and Latala (2019) report reduced filament concentrations and reduced photosynthesis rates at a combination of high light intensities and high temperatures (30°C). It is noteworthy that Jodlowska and Latala (2019) did not find any photo-inhibition of *Nodularia spumigena* until 153 W m⁻².

### 3.1.5 Buoyancy

Many cyanobacteria possess buoyancy-regulation mechanisms, which enables them to actively control their position in the water column (Visser et al., 2016). Accordingly, the ERGOM and ECOSMO models assume that cyanobacteria are buoyant, while the SCOBI model applies a lower sinking speed for cyanobacteria than for other phytoplankton. All respective velocities are of the order of centimetres per day and do not change with light intensity or nutrient availability (Table 3).

In nature, buoyancy control is rather complex. Three control mechanisms that regulate buoyancy internally have been identified: (1) the organisms can modify the rate of gas
Table 9. Optimal light levels (W m\(^{-2}\)) for the studied cyanobacteria species.

| Species                        | W m\(^{-2}\) | Grow condition | Reference                                      |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------|
| All three species              | 61.32        | Best           | Śliwińska-Wilczewska et al. (2019)             |
| Aphanizomenon flos-aquae       | 6–10         | Best           | Lehtimäki et al. (1997)                       |
| Aphanizomenon flos-aquae       | > 33         | Best           | Üveges et al. (2012)                          |
| Dolichospermum sp.             | 8.32         | Best           | Eigemann et al. (2018)                        |
| Dolichospermum sp.             | 3.72         | Best           | Walsby and Booker (1980)                      |
| Nodularia spumigena            | 25           | Best           | Nordin et al. (1980)                          |
| Nodularia spumigena            | 5–114        | Can grow       | Nordin et al. (1980)                          |
| Nodularia spumigena            | 32.85        | Best           | Eigemann et al. (2018)                        |
| Nodularia spumigena            | 23–34        | Best           | Lehtimäki et al. (1997)                       |
| Nodularia spumigena            | 63.5         | Best           | Jodłowska and Latala (2019)                   |
| Nodularia spumigena            | 65.7         | Best           | Roleda et al. (2008)                          |

Table 10. Sinking/floating speeds of different cyanobacteria.

| Class          | Species                        | mm d\(^{-1}\) | Reference                                      |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Cyanobacteria  | *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae*     | 3.5–5.2       | Reynolds (2006)                                |
| Cyanobacteria  | *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae*     | 22            | Walsby et al. (1995)                           |
| Cyanobacteria  | *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae*     | 15.5–51.8     | Adam (1999)                                    |
| Cyanobacteria  | *Dolichospermum sp.*           | 3.5–5.2       | Reynolds (2006)                                |
| Cyanobacteria  | *Dolichospermum sp.*           | 0.1–0.3       | Walsby et al. (1995)                           |
| Cyanobacteria  | *Nodularia spumigena*          | 36            | Walsby et al. (1995)                           |
| Cyanobacteria  | *Nodularia spumigena*          | 35–45.7       | Adam (1999)                                    |

vesicles being synthesized, (2) they can change their cell ballast by storage carbon which is produced by photosynthesis (mainly carbohydrates and proteins), and (3) under prolonged irradiance and high photosynthesis rates the intracellular turgor pressure in some species can increase, which leads to the collapse of gas vesicles (Kromkamp and Konopka, 1986; Konopka et al., 1987). An additional mechanism, related to Stoke’s drag, is colony size, with bigger colonies accelerating faster in response to buoyancy changes.

Vertical mobility can be beneficial for cyanobacteria. For example, it was suggested that cyanobacteria can sink downwards to take up nutrients from deeper waters (Cottingham et al., 2015; Konopka et al., 1987; Parel, 1988) or to avoid harmful irradiance levels. Ganf and Oliver (1982) show that cyanobacteria in shallow waters (*Dolichospermum spiroides, Microcystis aeruginosa*) can migrate sufficiently up and down the water column to reach nutrient-rich water despite substantial density barriers. Cyanobacteria are also reported to migrate upwards or downwards to reach an optimal level of irradiance for photosynthesis (Whitton and Potts, 2002; Ibelings et al., 1991; Walsby et al., 1997; Walsby and Booker, 1980). Thus, vertical migration might give them a crucial advantage over their competitors. On top of the euphotic zone, cyanobacteria can maximize their photosynthesis and nitrogen fixation and, additionally, they can shade their potential competitors. For example, *Nodularia spumigena* is adapted to very high irradiation levels and floats typically close to the water surface. Also, due to their buoyancy, cyanobacteria do not face the risk of sinking out of the euphotic zone like other phytoplankton.

The ability to migrate depends on size and morphology. Some cyanobacteria, like small *Synechococcus* sp. (without gas vesicles), can only migrate a few centimetres per day – while others can cover several metres.

Listed below are the floating velocities for the considered cyanobacteria species of the Baltic Sea (Table 10 specifies the respective individual experiments).

- For *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* very different floating velocities of 4–52 m d\(^{-1}\)? (Adam, 1999; Reynolds, 2006; Walsby et al., 1995) were measured. Walsby et al. (1995) also observed that the floating velocities of *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* vary depending on light conditions, with higher velocities under low light.
- In comparison, *Dolichospermum sp.* is assumed to float much more slowly, as it does not form aggregates (Stal et al., 2003). Accordingly, Walsby et al. (1995) report respective floating velocities of 0.1–0.3 m/d\(^{-1}\) for *Dolichospermum sp.* Note in this context that *Dolichospermum sp.* occurs mainly at a depth of 5–10 m.
- In the Baltic Sea, *Nodularia spumigena* tends to float to the sea surface, and there is no evidence for large
changes in floating velocities under varying light conditions (Adam, 1999). Recorded floating velocities range from 35 to 46 m$^{-1}$ for *Nodularia spumigena* colonies (Adam, 1999; Walsby et al., 1995).

Note that changes in buoyancy by gas vesicles is a slow process. The formation of gas vesicles generally takes more than a day (Oliver, 1994) and is a highly energetic process (Hense and Beckmann, 2006). It also requires nitrogen (Brookes et al., 2001; Klemer et al., 1982), and under phosphorus limitation the formation of gas vesicles has been shown to slow down (Konopka et al., 1987; Brookes et al., 2000). Some cyanobacteria possess rather rigid gas vesicles. Species from the open Baltic Sea are observed to have relatively strong gas vesicles, while inshore populations have generally weaker gas vesicles. According to (Sellner, 1997), relatively strong gas vesicles, while inshore populations have generally weaker gas vesicles. According to (Sellner, 1997), the strong gas vesicles of *Nodularia spumigena* and *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* can even survive, mixing down to 90 m depths. Cyanobacteria might, however, lose their buoyancy due to decreasing temperatures in autumn (Carey et al., 2012). Generally, colder water temperatures lead to a reduction in photosynthetic and respiratory rates and a much lower protein synthesis. Instead, glycogen is accumulated. This carbohydrate ballast results in the sinking of cyanobacterial cells (Visser et al., 1995; Thomas and Walsby, 1986).

### 3.2 Loss terms

There are a number of lethal threats for cyanobacteria cells: cell death can be caused by necrosis through adverse environmental conditions, such as insufficient light, nutrients, or temperature, or by a programmed cell death (PCD) (Franklin, 2013). Cells can be infected by fungi, undergo viral lysis (Munn, 2011), or be grazed by a diverse selection of zooplankton (Franklin, 2013). The following subsections list the various causes of cyanobacteria loss. Note that the biogeochemical models investigated in this study differentiate only grazing from other causes of cell losses. For all non-grazing-related losses, the models generally assume a fixed loss rate which depends either linearly or quadratically on abundance. An exception is the BALTSEM model, where the mortality of phytoplankton depends on water temperature and is also inversely related to the Liebig minimum function (as a measure of unfavourable environmental conditions; cf. Sect. 2.3).

#### 3.2.1 Unfavourable environmental conditions

Under unfavourable environmental conditions cyanobacteria cells are subject to increased cell loss: for example, Sigee et al. (2007) report for *Microcystis flosaquae* at least 20%–50% senescent or dead cells by the end of summer. The necrosis of cyanobacteria cells can be due to an injury response to harmful environmental conditions (e.g. high irradiance levels, salt stress, chemical perturbation, oxidative stress, phosphate limitation, iron limitation, high pH, low temperatures, and low irradiance levels) (Lee and Rhee, 1999; Sigee et al., 2007). Among the ones confirmed in the Baltic Sea are mixing events, low irradiance levels, nutrient limitation, and low temperatures (Vahtera et al., 2007a).

#### 3.2.2 PCD

In contrast to necrosis, cell death can result from an active physiological response of a cell in response to negative environmental conditions – a PCD. There is growing evidence that PCD-like cell death can occur from unicellular amoebae and bacteria up to higher animals and plants (Ameisen, 1996). While the existence of PCD in cyanobacteria is still debated (Franklin, 2013), Claessen et al. (2014) show that several lines of evidence point to the occurrence of PCD in filamentous cyanobacteria. For example, individual cell death of *Microcystis flosaquae* occurs randomly throughout the colony and is unrelated to any infection or cell cycle (Sigee et al., 2007). Instead, the cells switch actively to PCD. Similar *Trichodesmium* sp. and different strains of *Dolichospermum* display typical symptoms of PCD-like morphological deformations, fragmentation, and the subsequent autolysis of cells when exposed to stress (Berman-Frank et al., 2004; Ning et al., 2002). For *Dolichospermum flos-aquae* Lee and Rhee (1999) state that cell death is controlled by circadian rhythms, which implies that cell death is programmed in these organisms. Another hypothesis is that PCD might be the result of asymmetric cell division (Franklin, 2013). For example, in *Dolichospermum solitarium* cell division always results in one large and one smaller cell. The larger cells act as a repository for metabolic waste, which will result in its early death, leading the smaller cell to a rejuvenation or increased fitness. All these studies indicate that PCD is part of the cyanobacterial life in the ocean and might be an important process in the decline of cyanobacteria blooms. Also, cell death by PCD may facilitate biogeochemical cycling through the regular death of cells by PCD and the resulting release of organic and inorganic matter, including the redistribution of fixed nitrogen (Berman-Frank et al., 2004).

#### 3.2.3 Infections and lysis – direct effects

Cyanobacteria cells can be infected by various organisms or entities like fungi, bacteria, or viruses. However, it is difficult to calculate the net effect of the different infections because there are antagonistic effects between them.

**Fungi**

Depending on the geographic region, the Baltic Sea resembles either a freshwater or marine environment. The respective salinity threshold of fungi lies around 8 PSU. Depending on this classification, the fungi community structure typically differs strongly. In salinities below 8 PSU fungal infections of bacteria and cyanobacteria cells are common. For instance, Mohamed et al. (2014) show that the fungi *Trichoderma citrinoviride* could lyse *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Simi-
larly Kozik et al. (2019) report cell death of Dolichospermum sp. colonies due to infection by chytrid fungi.

**Bacteria**

Many bloom-forming cyanobacteria like Aphanizomenon sp., Dolichospermum sp., or Microcystis sp. are often closely associated with other microorganisms, especially heterotrophic bacteria (Gerphagnon et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2014). It has been reported that these prokaryotes exchange substances (organic matter, energy, oxygen, nitrogen, phosphate) (Levy and Jami, 2018), which leads to a better growth of both partners. This would be a mutualistic partnership. However, some heterotrophic bacteria instead use cyanobacteria cells as a food source (Gerphagnon et al., 2015; Hoppe, 1981; Paerl and Otten, 2013; Yamamoto et al., 1998), and in aged Nodularia spumigena filaments an immense number of bacteria have been observed. However, there is so far little evidence for strong lysing effects of bacteria on cyanobacteria in natural environments.

**Viruses**

Viral lysis might have a much stronger impact for cyanobacteria than bacterial lysis.Viruses are ubiquitous in aquatic environments. Estimates assume that virus concentrations are more than \(10^7\)–\(10^{10}\) viruses \(\text{mL}^{-1}\) (Rohwer and Youle, 2010; Paerl and Otten, 2013; Fuhrman and Suttle, 1993; Šulčius and Holmfeldt, 2016; Suttle, 2005; Breitbart, 2012; Zeigler Allen et al., 2017). In the Baltic Sea, Ahrens (1971) reports up to \(3.7 \times 10^4\) viruses \(\text{mL}^{-1}\) for the bacteria group agrobacterium (which covers only a fraction of all bacteria). The more recent studies by Riemann et al. (2009) and Holmfeldt et al. (2010) estimate virus concentrations in a range from 3.0 to \(4.9 \times 10^3\) viruses per \(\text{mL}^{-1}\). For reference, concentrations of viruses in air (outdoor) are around 0.26 viruses per \(\text{mL}^{-1}\) (Prussin et al., 2015).

Viruses are the most abundant biological entities in the ocean, and their numbers can exceed that of bacteria by about 5–10-fold (Silveira and Rohwer, 2016; Paerl and Otten, 2013). Most of these viruses are bacteriophages (a virus that infects and replicates within bacteria and archaea). Cyanophages are viruses that infect and replicate within a cyanobacteria. Viruses follow a similar distribution pattern to bacteria, with higher abundances in productive waters and lower abundances in oligotrophic regions (Bratbak et al., 1994; Fuhrman and Suttle, 1993). Also, viruses are much more abundant in freshwater than in marine systems (Maranger and Bird, 1995). In the Baltic Sea, virus-induced bacterial mortality is among the highest reported for temperate aquatic ecosystems (Weinbauer and Rassoulzadegan, 2003).

The importance of viruses in controlling cyanobacteria abundances is, however, still poorly understood. There is little evidence for the impact of viruses on cyanobacteria. A wide range of 20%–50% has been estimated for daily marine bacteria cell mortality due to viral lysis (Suttle, 2005; Fuhrman, 1999; Breitbart et al., 2007). Other studies indicate that viral-induced bacterial mortality might be an important factor, controlling bacterial, algal, and cyanobacterial abundances (Wommack and Colwell, 2000; Bratbak et al., 1993; Fuhrman and Suttle, 1993; Šulčius and Holmfeldt, 2016). This mortality is even suspected of exceeding the effect of zooplankton grazing, especially in nutrient-rich, brackish waters like the Baltic Sea (Paerl and Otten, 2013). In a mesocosm experiment in the Baltic Sea, Bratbak et al. (1992) estimate that viral lysis leads to a reduction of up to 72% of cyanobacterial cells per day. However, in Australia it was shown that cyanobacteria cells which survive a virus attack later develop a resistance against the virus (Tucker and Pollard, 2005). Still, Šulčius et al. (2015) could show in the Baltic Sea that the growth rate of Aphanizomenon flos-aquae was significantly suppressed by a virus infection. While lytic infections (a viral reproduction cycle which results in the destruction of the infected cell) do not play an important role when diversity of bacteria/cyanobacteria is high, a bloom of one specific host increases the risk of lytic infections drastically (“killing the winner hypothesis”) (Bratbak et al., 1994).

In enclosure experiments, Simis et al. (2005) could indeed observe the termination of cyanobacteria blooms due to virus pathogens.

In summary, ongoing research indicates that viruses could play a very important role in controlling cyanobacteria blooms (Weinbauer et al., 2003; Tucker and Pollard, 2005). Quantitative studies that assess the influence of viruses on cyanobacteria in the Baltic Sea, are, however, yet to come.

**Infections and lysis – antagonistic, indirect effects**

High cell turnover, due to virus lysis, has a large impact on daily nutrient recycling. Especially bacteria and flagellates benefit from the released nutrients from lysed cyanobacteria cells. Even cyanobacteria themselves are, most likely, able to recycle the nutrients from lysed cells (Breitbart, 2012; Bratbak et al., 1994; Šulčius and Holmfeldt, 2016; Hewson et al., 2004). Bratbak et al. (1992) could show in a mesocosm experiment that the whole bacterial and primary community could be sustained with organic phosphorus released from lysed cells. Especially in the Baltic Sea for Nodularia spumigena, with its low phosphorus requirements and high affinity for DOP (Degerholm et al., 2006), recycled phosphorus might be an important continuous nutrient source.

Another aspect of viruses is that viral lysis can shorten long filaments of cyanobacterial blooms. Šulčius et al. (2017a) observed that viral lysis changed the filament morphology of a cyanobacteria colony substantially. During the experiment the mean filament length of the Baltic Sea species Aphanizomenon flos-aquae decreased by 58 %, which made the cyanobacteria more vulnerable to grazing by zooplankton. To protect against grazing and viral lysis cyanobacteria...
have been observed to produce an exopolysaccharide matrix that surrounds the colony-embedded filaments (Șulc et al., 2017b).

3.2.4 Grazing on cyanobacteria

Several studies suggested that there is hardly any grazing on cyanobacteria due to their toxicity, bioactive compounds that hamper digestion, bad taste, poor content of lipids, large filamentous size, and low food quality (Carey et al., 2012; Daewel and Schrum, 2013; Ger et al., 2016). All considered models agree in that cyanobacteria are grazed less than other phytoplankton, while the precise proportions vary between the models (Table 4). Generally, prey morphology is thought to be one of the most important factors influencing zooplankton grazing (Géragnar et al., 2015). With their large, filamentous size, most bloom-forming cyanobacteria in the Baltic Sea are difficult to graze. The toxins produced by cyanobacteria are another obstacle for grazers, as these can be lethal. For example, microcystin is lethal for a wide range of daphnia and copepod species (DeMott and Moxter, 1991; Ger et al., 2019). Ciliates on the other end of the spectrum are most tolerant to microcystin.

Despite all these obstacles there is some grazing on cyanobacteria. One way is that grazers can develop a certain tolerance: especially during longer exposure to cyanobacteria, there can be a remarkably high biomass of small-bodied zooplankton which co-exist with cyanobacteria (Bouvy et al., 2001; Davis et al., 2012; Ger et al., 2016). This coexistence can lead to better-adapted grazer species (Davis et al., 2012; Bouvy et al., 2001; Sousa et al., 2008). DeMott and Moxter (1991) found that copepods and daphnias became more tolerant against toxins after exposure to toxic cyanobacteria – or better at avoiding them. This tolerance could even be transferred to their offspring (Gustafsson et al., 2005). Saranelli (2007) observed that high abundances of generalists may even control cyanobacteria blooms if zooplanktivorous fish are rare. Carpenter (1989) proposed that cyanobacteria may be highly vulnerable to grazing at the time of initial recruitment. In this line, Chan (2004, 2006) could show that zooplankton can suppress cyanobacteria blooms.

In summary, grazing might be an important factor during the start of the growing phase and during termination of cyanobacteria blooms. Globally and specifically in the Baltic Sea, it can be observed that under favourable conditions, cyanobacteria can easily outgrow grazing pressure (Walse and Larsson, 2007; Ger et al., 2016; Sellner, 1997; Paerl and Otten, 2013). This rather low grazing pressure on cyanobacteria has a huge ecosystem impact, as, consequently, during cyanobacteria blooms a lower proportion of the primary production is consumed by larger grazers and is therefore not transferred to higher trophic levels. These findings are represented in the models by assuming that grazing on diatoms or other phytoplankton is 2–4 times higher than grazing on cyanobacteria. There is, however, no consensus yet on the exact formulation of zooplankton grazing in the current model generation, and grazers are represented by a single (BALTSEM, ERGOM, SCOBI, and CEMBS) or two (ECOSMO) functional groups.

In the Baltic Sea a wide range of possible grazers on cyanobacteria exist. To highlight the differences between different grazers, we discuss in the following the most abundant grazers (copepods, rotifers, and cladocerans) and some grazers, which are known to be able to exert a strong impact on cyanobacteria in other ecosystems (bivalves, protozoa). In each group we present their occurrence in the Baltic Sea, their feeding mode, and their grazing pressure on cyanobacteria.

Copepods

Among the most important and abundant grazers in the Baltic Sea are copepods. Typical species of copepods in the Baltic Sea are e.g. Acartia longiremis, Temora longicornis, or Centropages hamatus (Eglite et al., 2019; Wasmund et al., 2019). Copepods are a group of small crustaceans and belong to the mesozooplankton. They can select their food and can avoid cyanobacteria or select smaller colonies of cyanobacteria while grazing (Ger et al., 2019). One reason for their avoidance of cyanobacteria is the cyanobacterial toxins, which are lethal for many copepod species. Also, many filamentous cyanobacteria are too large to be grazed on. In accordance with this, most studies about copepod grazing on cyanobacteria in the Baltic Sea show no significant grazing effect on cyanobacteria (Sellner et al., 1994; Sellner and Olli, 1996; Engström, 2000; Sommer et al., 2006). On the contrary, copepod-dominated zooplankton communities may also facilitate cyanobacteria by preying selectively on the eukaryotic competitors (Ger et al., 2016; Hong et al., 2013). Similar results are found by Eglite et al. (2019), who could demonstrate based on fatty acids, amino acids, and stable carbon isotope analysis that mesozooplankton obtained essential fatty acids (FAs) and amino acids (AAs) from cyanobacteria via feeding on mixotrophic and heterotrophic (dino-)flagellates and detrital complexes. Overall, while copepods play an important grazing role in the ecosystem, they are not able to control cyanobacteria growth in the Baltic Sea (Sommer et al., 2006).

Cladocera

The cladocera are another group of small crustaceans which typically range in size from 0.2 to 3.0 mm. While most cladoceran species live in freshwater, eight species are truly oceanic. In the Baltic Sea the cladocerans Bosmina coregoni, Evadne nordmanni, and Daphnia cristata are very common, among others.

Cladocera are generalists, but there is a profound difference in the methods of food collection between littoral, planktonic, and predatory cladocera species (Smirnov,
Planktonic species are mostly filter feeders, but members of the family *Bosmina* are known to have a dual feeding mechanism. They have mesh-like structures for filtering, but they also can grab larger particles with their first two thorax limbs (Bleiwas and Stokes, 1985). By this, they are able to select for food items. In line with this, Kerfoot and Kirk (1991) demonstrate that two species of *Bosmina* spp. consume algal foods by size and taste. They show preferences for small algae, bacteria, and detritus (Solis et al., 2018). In contrast to this, filter-feeding cladoceras consume algae that are present in the water as well as organic particles and bacteria. Due to their feeding method, they are not able to avoid toxic cyanobacteria (Ger et al., 2019). Even so, Ismail et al. (2019) report that cladoceras species (here Daphniidae) were mostly feeding on small green algae cells. Filamentous cyanobacteria (Dolichospermum circinalis, Microcystis flos-aquae, and *Dolichospermum* sp.) were also utilized by the grazers, but to a minor degree. In contrast to this is that in freshwater lakes cladoceras are among the most important grazers on cyanobacteria. Especially the large-bodied *Daphnia magna* (max. female size 5 mm) is capable of suppressing filamentous cyanobacteria (Urrutia-Cordero et al., 2016), whereas *Daphnia cristata* is one of the smallest daphnia (max. female size 1.6 mm) and cannot exert high grazing pressure on filamentous cyanobacteria and *Bosmina coregoni* and *Evadne nordmanni* have a similar size (max. female size 1.5 mm). In summary, in the Baltic Sea there is an abundant number of cladoceras, mostly smaller species. In contrast to freshwater habitats, studies suggest that cladoceras will not be able to suppress cyanobacteria blooms.

**Rotifera**

Another important grazing group are rotifers. Typical species in the Baltic Sea are among others *Synaeca baltica* and *Keratella quadrata*. They are much smaller zooplankton species than copepods and belong to the size class micro-zooplankton. However, since the rotifers have higher metabolic rates and are considerably more abundant than crustaceans, they may be important in the structuring of plankton communities (Gilbert and Bogdan, 2017). Also, rotifers have the fastest reproductive rates of any metazoans (Mironova et al., 2008) and can reproduce unissexually or bisexually. Therefore, rotifers can quickly respond to altered food supply. In the brackish waters of the Baltic Sea rotifers are a highly diverse and widely distributed group. They are especially diverse and abundant (up to 95% of zooplankton biomass) in coastal ecosystems (Ojaveer, 2010). With increasing salinity, abundance and diversity of rotifers decrease due to the freshwater origin of this group. Furthermore, in the open Baltic Sea, rotifers are less diverse than in the Baltic Sea estuaries (Mironova et al., 2008). Most rotifers are suspension feeders. Due to their small size, their diet must be tiny as well. It mostly consists of dead or decomposing organic material as well as unicellular microalgae, bacteria, or protozoans (Mironova et al., 2008). Some species are known to be cannibalistic. Rotifers will not graze on living filamentous cyanobacteria, because they are too small. However, decaying cyanobacteria or smaller cyanobacteria like “*Synecochoccus*” can be a target for rotifers.

**Bivalves**

In general bivalves are filter feeders. However, at least some mussel species can distinguish between food particles and show different clearance rates for different particle sizes or particle types. Another way mussels select food items is to reject particles by discarding them into pseudofeces (Ward et al., 2004; Tang et al., 2014). Ward et al. (2004) report that e.g. scallops showed lower clearance rates for toxic dinoflagellates than for three different plankton species. Regardless of the partial ability to select food particles, mussels are very successful grazers on cyanobacteria in freshwater lakes. For example, populations of *Dreissena* spp. were able to reduce cyanobacteria on several occasions (White and Saranelle, 2014) and even prevented or terminated cyanobacteria blooms (Gulati et al., 2008; Baker et al., 1998). In the Baltic Sea, due to its brackish water, *Dreissena polymorpha* has become a part of the Baltic coastal ecosystem in many areas. While its distribution is patchy along the coast of the Baltic Sea (Werner et al., 2012), in the oligohaline southern and eastern coastal lagoons and inlets of the Baltic Sea *Dreissena polymorpha* is one of the most common species (Snoeijis-Leijonmalm et al., 2017) and could play a role in decreasing cyanobacteria blooms in coastal areas.

**Protozoa**

Protozoans, such as ciliates and flagellates, are an important group of grazers on cyanobacteria (Worden et al., 2015). Due to their small size they mainly consume unicellular cyanobacteria. Some ciliates and amoebae however can engulf prey items several times their own length by breaking down trichomes or encapsulating individual cells from cyanobacterial colonies (Ger et al., 2016). Dryden and Wright (1987) gave a great overview of grazing on cyanobacteria by different protozoa classes. The cited studies (mostly laboratory experiments) showed dinoflagellates, amoebae, and ciliates grazing on the order Nostocales, which comprises the cyanobacteria studied here. For example, Hoppe (1981) measures in the Baltic Sea that the ciliate *Nocardia* sp. become the dominant species in aged cyanobacteria filaments. *Nocardia* sp. can cause lysis of cyanobacteria (Hoppe, 1981). In lakes Canter et al. (1990) observed that the cyanobacteria population crashed under grazing pressure of the ciliate *Nassula* spp. *Nassula* spp. has the remarkable ability to ingest long filaments of cyanobacteria by sucking them in spaghetti-like and coiling them intracellularly (Reynolds, 2006). Similar results reported (Boyer et al., 2011) that while the microzooplankton (among others ciliates) community did
not graze on filamentous cyanobacteria during the summer bloom, by autumn, as the cyanobacteria bloom was declining, microzooplankton grazing rates were high, particularly on *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae*. Cook (1974) report that the amoebae *Mayorella* were actively and exclusively grazing on *Dolichospermum* cells, reducing within 3 d the cyanobacteria bloom into a milk-like surface film. Also, Reynolds and Walsby (1975) observed a rapid collapse of a *Dolichospermum circinalis* bloom after being attacked by a large population of ciliates *Ophyrygolena atra*.

These studies show that protozoans have the potential to exceed a strong grazing pressure on cyanobacteria. In the Baltic Sea the abovementioned species *Mayorella* sp., *Ophyrygolena* sp., and *Nassula* sp. do occur. Their importance still has to be examined.

### 3.3 Other factors

#### 3.3.1 Salinity constraints

The Baltic Sea features a wide range of salinities, ranging from 15 to 25 PSU in the north-western part of the Baltic to 2–3 PSU in the Bothnian Bay. The Baltic Proper, situated in the centre, is characterized by intermediate values around 6–8 PSU. The large spatial variance in salinity can induce large local salinity variations over time when ocean currents mix water masses from different origins. This can decrease the local growth and photosynthesis rates of algae and cyanobacteria once specific salinity thresholds are overcut or undercut and physiological stress sets in. By this mean salinity has the potential to control the occurrence of cyanobacteria species. Salinity thresholds are set in SCOBI and ECOSMO, where growth is not permitted above 10 and 11.5 PSU, respectively. The other models do not include salinity constraints on simulated cyanobacteria.

Field observations show that in most parts of the Baltic Sea large cyanobacteria blooms occur during summer, except in the relatively saline waters in the Kattegat and the Belt Sea. Thus e.g. Rakko and Seppälä (2014) conclude, in line with the SCOBI and ECOSMO models, that high salinities seem to restrict the growth of Baltic Sea cyanobacteria and estimate a threshold around 10 PSU. Low salinities, in contrast, do not seem to restrict the growth of cyanobacteria in the Baltic Sea in general, and several studies report blooms at very low values: Wasmund (1997) relates Baltic Sea blooms to salinities between 3.8 and 11.5 PSU and Kononen et al. (1996) report no significant correlation of the bloom-forming cyanobacteria in the Gulf of Finland with salinity (i.e. salinities between 3 and 6 PSU).

The differences between species are substantial. Brutemark et al. (2015) even state that salinity might be one of the main factors that explains the distribution of species in the Baltic Sea. These statements are supported by laboratory experiments which we list in the following for the most relevant species.
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- *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* is known as a freshwater species (Laamanen et al., 2002). Accordingly, Rakko and Seppälä (2014) and Laamanen et al. (2002) measured rather low salinities of 0–5 PSU for optimal growth. Rakko and Seppälä (2014) describe this species as rather coastal, preferring less saline conditions. In line with this, Lehtimäki et al. (1997) state that *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* is not able to tolerate salinities higher than 10 PSU. Consequently, its abundance decreases from the northern to the southern part of the Baltic Proper.

- The taxa *Dolichospermum* originate from freshwater, with some strains adapted to brackish water (Brutemark et al., 2015). In agreement, in the Baltic Sea the species *Dolichospermum flos-aquae* shows similar growth rates between 0 and 10 PSU and a strong decrease in growth rates at higher salinities (Moisander et al., 2002).

- For different strains of *Nodularia spumigena* a wide range of tolerable salinities were reported, 0–20 PSU (Moisander et al., 2002; Lehtimäki et al., 1997), while Rakko and Seppälä (2014) and Nordin et al. (1980) narrow the optimal salinity range down to 5–10 PSU.

To sum up, in most areas of the Baltic Sea, salinity is no restriction for growth of cyanobacteria (an exception is the Danish Straits). Interestingly, however, salinities apparently affect the toxicity of cyanobacteria blooms: Mazur-Marzec et al. (2005) report an increase in Nodularin production for *Nodularia spumigena* with increasing salinity concentrations. In line with this, Brutemark et al. (2015) found the highest intracellular toxin concentration at the highest tested salinity concentrations (6 PSU) for *Dolichospermum* spp.

#### 3.3.2 Cyanobacteria akinetes

The considered biogeochemical models do not consider the life cycle of cyanobacteria (e.g. the resting spores akinetes). A respective approach, was, however, tested successfully in a low-dimensional setup by Hense (2007) and Hense and Beckmann (2010). In the Baltic, all dominant bloom-forming diazotroph cyanobacteria taxa are able to produce akinetes. These resting spores have a slightly thicker cell wall than vegetative cells and are more resistant to environmental stress (e.g. temperature, desiccation) (Agrawal, 2009; Paerl, 2014; Kaplan-Levy et al., 2010). Some akinetes will germinate shortly after formation or after a more or less longer maturation period. For example, akinetes of *Dolichospermum* sp. were able to germinate even after a dormant phase of 64 years (Kaplan-Levy et al., 2010). Laboratory experiments indicate that akinetes need a certain time to mature and to reach a certain energy or nutrient level before germination is initiated again (Hense and Beckmann, 2006; Kaplan-Levy et al., 2010). The dormant akinetes can act as an overwinter-
ing stadium or they can ensure the long-term survival of the population. Different stimuli like temperature, light intensity, a low DIN / DIP ratio, sediment resuspension, and salinity can trigger germination of akinetes (Karlsson-Elfgren et al., 2004; Rengefors et al., 2004; Kaplan-Levy et al., 2010; Huber, 1985; Sommer et al., 2006).

Field observations and mesocosm experiments indicate that the importance of akinetes for the main bloom-forming cyanobacteria taxa in the Baltic Sea differs from species to species (Sellner, 1997; Agrawal, 2009; Rother and Fay, 1977; Suikkanen et al., 2010). For example,

- *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* seems to have a holoplanktonic life strategy (Rother and Fay, 1977; Jones, 1979; Head et al., 1999; Wasmund, 2017). It can produce akinetes, but during winter a “refuge population” of filaments can be observed in deeper waters (Heiskanen and Olli, 1996) even under sea ice (Laamanen et al., 2002), from which in spring/early summer the population will develop (Sellner, 1997; Suikkanen et al., 2010).

- Observations for *Dolichospermum* spp. are not conclusive: in the Baltic Sea, akinete formation of *Dolichospermum* spp. has been documented (Olli et al., 2005). However, Rother and Fay (1977) observed that the bulk of akinetes of *Dolichospermum* spp. germinated shortly after sporulation and that the overwintering population consisted of vegetative filaments, while Suikkanen et al. (2010) stated that *Dolichospermum* spp. regularly germinated from overwintering akinetes in the sediment. Cirés et al. (2013) found a dual strategy for *Dolichospermum* spp., with major akinete production combined with pelagic overwintering filaments. *Dolichospermum* spp. is the least dominant taxa of these three main bloom-forming Nostocales in the Baltic Sea.

- The other major bloom-forming taxa in the Baltic Sea – *Nodularia spumigena* – seems to have a mixed life strategy. It produces akinetes that overwinter in shallow sediments (Jones et al., 1994), but *Nodularia spumigena* only germinated from akinetes occasionally and mainly uses trichomes that overwinter in the water column (Suikkanen et al., 2010). In tank experiments *Nodularia spumigena* only grew within water from above the halocline, without additional input from akinetes (Wasmund, 2017).

In summary, the effect of akinetes on the extent of subsequent blooms is still under discussion and difficult to estimate. Based on field observations and literature data, Kaplan-Levy et al. (2010) draw the conclusion that the contribution of akinetes towards the bloom success of next year’s population of Nostocales seems to be rather small. Cirés et al. (2013) suggest that the growth rate of the initial population has a bigger impact on bloom formation than the size of the inoculum (Rücker et al., 2009). Therefore, Kanoshina et al. (2003) assume that beneficial environmental conditions at the start of the growing season are the major factors in the development of extensive cyanobacteria blooms.

## 4 Discussion

In the foregoing sections, we provided an overview of the current knowledge about Baltic Sea cyanobacteria from the perspective of both modellers and biologists. In the following we discuss key differences between model approaches and observational evidence (Sect. 4.1). Section 4.2 debates the impact of the oceanic processes on the Baltic Sea. Some considerations about model assessment metrics are in Sect. 4.3. In Sect. 4.4 we elaborate the potential impact of the model differences on projections and suggest future work directions.

### 4.1 Biogeochemical processes

We compared five state-of-the-art biogeochemical models, utilized for political decision-making regarding their underlying assumptions for simulating cyanobacteria abundance. Our elaborations illustrate that, to date, there is only limited consensus on the degree of simplification and concerning key processes necessary for a reliable simulation cyanobacteria distribution and biomass. There is a certain agreement between modellers to focus only on one cyanobacteria class whose growth is generally controlled by the availability of light and phosphate. All model parameters associated with this single class of cyanobacteria are necessarily a compromise of the very different observed values for different species (cf. Tables 5, 7, and 8). This compromise is typically reached by adjusting the model parameters within their known bounds until the simulated cyanobacteria show a reasonable agreement with observations (cf. Löptien and Dietze, 2015; Schartau et al., 2017). The respective parameter choices differ substantially from one model to another, depending on the focus of the respective modeller (Tables 3 and 4; Figs. 1 and 2). To this end we regard particularly the various choices of growth-permitting phosphate thresholds as critical, because we suspect that these parameter values might have a drastic effect on model-based investigations of future projections and nutrient load scenarios (cf. Löptien and Dietze, 2020). Another potentially problematic assumption which might introduce substantial uncertainty into model-based projections of nutrient load scenarios is the fixed Redfield ratio ingrained in most models and which does not account for the storage capacity of DIP by cyanobacteria. This might spuriously shrink their ecological niche. A related aspect, potentially introducing uncertainty, is the differentiation between dissolved inorganic (DIP) and dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP), which is currently not considered in the models. The ability of cyanobacteria to use DOP might increase their success under otherwise nutrient-replete con-
ditions. We regard these effects as potentially important for nutrient-reduction scenarios.

For projections into our warming future we suspect that especially the very different temperature dependencies might introduce substantial uncertainty in the model responses. The largest uncertainties in model formulations are, however, related to processes that terminate blooms and require further research. To this end we want to highlight viruses that have been shown to be potentially very important but understudied agents in the system.

4.2 Abiotic oceanic processes

The occurrence of cyanobacteria is controlled by several abiotic factors, such as nutrient availability, light, temperature, salinity, mixed-layer depth, currents, and upwelling. This control is both direct and indirect. For example, temperature directly affects the speed of enzymatic reactions and as such photosynthesis rates and respiration. Temperature will also directly affect organisms by changing the oxygen concentration in seawater and its viscosity. Indirect controls include feedback loops where temperature changes the interactions and competition in the feedback (e.g. by promoting competitors or predators). Similar effects exist for surface mixed-layer dynamics, which modulates the average light levels experienced by phytoplankton cells dispersed in the surface layer. A deepening may e.g. promote buoyant species which manage to stay at the sunlit surface, while competitors and grazers are mixed downwards into dark ocean layers. Further complexity comes from abiotic nutrient transport which is determined by mixing processes and advection.

Given the prominent controls of abiotic processes on pelagic ecosystem dynamics it is desirable to ensure utmost realism in reproducing abiotic drivers. CEMBS, ECOSMO, ERGOM, and SCOBI attempt this by coupling to full general ocean circulation models (GCMs) which explicitly calculate three-dimensional current fields in response to wind and buoyancy fluxes prescribed at the surface. The underlying GCMs (POP, HAMSOM, MOM, and RCO, respectively) are conceptually very similar. In terms of spatial resolution, however, they span a wide range (1 to 5 nm horizontally and 2 to 5 m vertically). This suggests that their capability of reproducing small-scale processes such as local upwelling events will also span a wide range because those models incapable of explicitly resolving the flow fields, need to parameterize their effect by mixing coefficients. The choice of choosing the appropriate coefficient is, however, very important and very difficult (e.g. Burchard et al., 2005).

Differences between the underlying ocean models can be expected to impact the simulation of cyanobacteria, besides the biogeochemical processes Reissmann et al. (cf. also 2009): for example, the diazotrophic species *Aphanizomenon* sp. is able to utilize the upwelled DIP, despite relative cold temperatures in the upwelled waters (Naunsch et al., 2009; Lips and Lips, 2008). Therefore, as upwelling events will affect the abundance of cyanobacteria, a correct representation in the underlying ocean model is of particular importance. To capture the latter, the respective ocean models need i.a. a sufficient horizontal and vertical resolution and also reliable boundary conditions and the respective derivation of the surface fluxes are of major importance (e.g. Hofmeister et al., 2011; Meier et al., 2011c; Meier and Kauker, 2003; Schrum and Backhaus, 1999; Dietze and Löptien, 2016). Without a good representation of these factors in the underlying ocean model parameter adjustments in the biogeochemical component lead inevitably to reciprocal bias compensation, i.e. flaws of the ocean component are compensating by tuning the biogeochemical module to obtain a reasonable good agreement between model and observations. The problem with such an approach is that such a bias compensation is not necessarily robust under changing environmental conditions (Löptien and Dietze, 2019).

4.3 Model assessment metrics

Given the above considerations, the assessment of a model that is designed for sensitivity studies, future projections, and political decision-making cannot be limited to cyanobacteria alone. Rather, a meaningful model assessment needs, in addition, to take the controlling mechanisms into account (such as the representation of nutrients, light, mixing, and upwelling). So far, however, no common model evaluation criteria exist. A major difficulty in this respect is limited data availability. It is already challenging to obtain large-scale observations of cyanobacteria, let alone all other abovementioned data (e.g. light conditions, nutrient distributions, zooplankton concentration, and virus abundances). Today, satellite data are, due to their easy accessibility, often the first choice. While it is well known that these data contain a considerable degree of uncertainty (e.g. Reinart and Kutser, 2006), it is the only data source to assess large-scale patterns of cyanobacteria and to evaluate simulated cyanobacteria blooms Baltic-wide. Thus, several studies compare the simulated distribution of cyanobacteria with satellite images. However, so far, these evaluations have mostly been limited to long-term averages or interannual variations of the maximum or cumulative surface area covered by cyanobacteria in the Baltic Proper (e.g. Eirola et al., 2011; Janssen et al., 2004). On a positive note, the amount of available in situ data increases continuously (e.g. by Ferry boxes: Kaitala et al., 2014; Lysiak-Pastuszak et al., 2012; Mazur-Marzec et al., 2013; Petersen et al., 2018; Lips and Lips, 2017). Thus, new model approaches are upcoming, including fuzzy (e.g. Lilover and Laanemets, 2006; Laanemets et al., 2006) and/or statistical models (e.g. Håkanson, 2009). Given the present situation of limited data availability, however, such promising approaches are still inconclusive. We expect that the open data policy of many institutes and public available data collections (like ICES or HELCOM) facilitate the development of more reliable models.
4.4 Future perspectives

There is concern that cyanobacteria will benefit from climate change in decades to come (Karlborg and Wulff, 2013; Paerl and Otten, 2013; Visser et al., 2016). This concern is based e.g. on the fact that the growth and the nitrogen fixation of cyanobacteria are generally favoured by high temperatures (cf. Sect. 3.1.2). Further, the increased stratification and the lowered viscosity of seawater due to higher temperatures might favour buoyant species, such as *Nodularia spumigena* and *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae*.

Accordingly, a number of numerical models project a significant increase in cyanobacteria blooms in the future for the Baltic Sea (Hense et al., 2013; Neumann, 2010; Saraiva et al., 2019; Meier et al., 2012, 2019). In terms of actual numbers, however, the models diverge substantially. For example, the multi-model ensemble by Meier et al. (2012) projects a significant (but not specified) increase in cyanobacteria blooms, while the RCO-SCOBI-model projection of Meier et al. (2019) projects an increase in cyanobacteria blooms by 50 % or the complete loss of cyanobacteria blooms, depending on the respective nutrient load scenario. Sensitivity experiments of the CLC model by Hense et al. (2013) estimate a future increase in cyanobacteria biomass of 2.3-fold, while the ESCOM model of Neumann (2010) projects an earlier start of cyanobacteria blooms but lower concentrations. It is also not clear whether an increase in cyanobacteria biomass is also associated with an increase in nitrogen fixation. To this end numerical models give contradicting results: the multi-model ensemble by Saraiva et al. (2019) projects an increase in nitrogen fixation between 22 % and 56 % or a decrease down to 0 %, depending on the nutrient load and climate scenario. Hense et al. (2013), on the other hand, estimate that nitrogen fixation will be twice as high in future, while Neumann (2010) project similar mean nitrogen fixation rates to today rates.

The large spread between models is a challenge for stakeholders because it provides little guidance on the question of how management actions to limit eutrophication in the Baltic Sea affect nitrogen fixation. The latter is especially of concern because the nitrogen input by cyanobacteria might be of the same order of magnitude as the scheduled nutrient reduction by the Baltic Sea Action Plan (BSAP): a reduction of nitrogen loads of 601.720 t (Helcom, 2007) is put up against an estimated annual nitrogen input of 434.000–792.000 t by cyanobacteria (Wasmund et al., 2005).

We rate specifically the unclear relationship between excess P and cyanobacteria growth and the relation between bloom intensity and nitrogen fixation as key for studies to come. The respective uncertainties, reported for the Baltic Sea, are consistent with findings by Löptien and Dietze (2020), who illustrate in a global model that future projections of diazotrophs may diverge considerably – depending on the specific model formulations that determine their ecological niche. We propose to extend related sensitivity studies to rate which model formulations are most impactful on future projections. It might well be the case that widely differing model formulations yield very similar (or very different) projections.

The ultimate goal is to determine the minimum number of processes that need to be explicitly resolved in order to ensure predictive skill. For this purpose we rate variance-based sensitivity analysis techniques (e.g. Sobol, 2001) as promising systematic approach. The major challenge in this respect is to keep the computational costs at bay. The use of surrogates (e.g. Preuss and von Toussaint, 2017) or spatially limited setups, can make such approaches computationally feasible. Another line of attack is the combination of laboratory, microcosm or field experiments with process-based mechanistic models. If, by clever design, the experimental work can quantify the effects of species traits and the interactions between species, then these information can be implemented in process-based mechanistic models; which again can be tested against additional sets of control experiments. By this mean, such mechanistic models may provide robust nuclei on which more complex models may be built. In any case, and as being essential to all approaches, we advocate increased efforts to gather additional observational data. Respective observations are key to assess the predictive skill of traditional numerical models and/or to continue with statistical models based on e.g. machine-learning techniques. Finally, we feel that in order to proceed in a cost-efficient manner, the modelling community must develop methods that allow for answering the question what kind of observations are actually needed to constrain their models – a task that is closely related to the development of model assessment metrics (cf. Sect. 4.3).

5 Conclusions

Our review summarizes current knowledge about cyanobacteria in the Baltic Sea from the peer-reviewed literature. We take both a “modeller’s” and a “biologist’s” perspective. We report that there is consensus between the five dissected biogeochemical models and biologists in that cyanobacteria have an advantage over other functional groups under nitrate-depleted conditions. Further, there is consensus that the growth of cyanobacteria can be controlled by the availability of light and phosphate. Other than that, the specific formulations of the underlying assumptions diverge (cf. Tables 3 and 4 and Figs. 1 and 2).

The reasons for the large differences between specific model formulations is that there are still considerable knowledge gaps: processes such as the utilization (capabilities) of DOP and the stoichiometric elasticity (i.e. the potential to deviate from the Redfield ratio) along with the impact of viruses, programmed cell death, and grazing are not comprehensively understood. Naturally, this translates into differing numerical representations of growth and decay of cyanobacteria assemblages. Further spread in the underlying assump-
tions is introduced by the, necessarily, reductionist approach of modellers, which often contrasts with the more complex findings of ecologists – a problem especially prominent because the most dominant cyanobacteria species of the Baltic Sea are diverse and feature very differing traits (cf. Sect. 4.4 Future perspectives). To this end, the overarching question is how much complexity is needed for reliable projections.

In order to proceed, we advertise the use of variance-based sensitivity analyses (such as Sobol’s method, Sobol, 2001) to determine the key parameters and processes from complex models – and to identify observations and suitable experimental designs for biological studies that may help to constrain these very parameters. By this and similar approaches we expect to make better use of the ever-increasing number of observations that are driven by a combination of persistence using established methods (such as satellite observations, (Bracher et al., 2009; Hansson and Hakansson, 2007; Kahru et al., 2020) and innovation (such as proxy-based hindcasts, Kaiser et al., 2020). In summary, we are confident that the reliability of projections of cyanobacteria dynamics will improve along with the development of suitable model-data misfit metrics.
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