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Abstract

Increasing vehicular load has increased the number of automobile servicing stations considerably. The effluent of automobile servicing stations, because of the content of oil and grease is very harmful for the aquatic life. Thus effluents of the automobile servicing stations have been subjected to the charcoal and ozone treatment. Charcoal treatment showed marked reduction in nitrate, phosphate, total hardness etc. in the water samples. But due to highly absorptive and porous nature reduction in DO was also recorded by charcoal treatment. Reduction in DO resulted in increase in BOD and COD. In the case of ozone treatment 100% removal of chromium from polluted water was surprising. Most of the parameters such as acidity, nitrate, phosphate, BOD, COD and total hardness showed reduction by ozone treatment. Ozone treatment also showed increase in the value of DO and total solids. Enormous increase in DO was recorded because ozone gas dissolves in the water and many fold increase in DO was recorded.
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Introduction

Varanasi is an industrialized city of the country, having more than five thousand large, basic and small scale industries which are situated in and around the city of Varanasi (District Industry Office Records) Manufacturing metal products, chemicals and chemical products, electrical and batter industries, food products, spinning, weaving and finishing of textiles, transport equipments, motor servicing stations, furniture and fixtures, non-metal mineral products, beverages, rubber products including tyre and leather and numerous other products are the prominent. Due to increasing population, coupled by rapid urbanization of the number of automobile servicing stations have increased exponentially in Varanasi. Untreated effluents from these industries containing toxic components, oil and grease, chemicals and other hazardous pollutants are discharged into the nearby water bodies [1], which may be lotic or lentic; ultimately they reach river Ganga directly or indirectly [2]. Due to increasing vehicular load the number of automobile servicing station has also increased exponentially during the last few years. The effluents of automobile servicing station are rich in oil and grease, which affect the surface tension of the water and make a layer over the water surface [3,4]. Thus, the effluent of automobile servicing stations is very much harmful for the flora as well as fauna of the water bodies [5]. The most affected parameter is the oxygen budget, i.e. DO, BOD and COD [6].

Practically feasible and economical treatment procedure for the effluents of automobile servicing station, which may be suitable for Varanasi was needed [7] and this lead to design this research work.

Material and Methods

The study site

Present study was conducted in the cultural capital of India and the holy city Varanasi, which is situated in the eastern part of Uttar Pradesh, a province of India located in core of the Indian subcontinent, lying in the middle of Gangetic plain. On the globe, Varanasi finds its location at 25°18’ North, 83°1’ East and 76.19 m above the sea level.

The selected research site was near Chaukaghat, which poured the discharge from the Chaukaghat nala. This site was located very close to the old G.T. (Grand Trunk) road. The most common profession in this area was heavy and light vehicles
servicing including their washing and painting. Average discharge of Chaukaghat nala was 2.55mld (million liters per day).

Methodology

Potentiometric titration method was used for the determination of acidity of water. Winkler’s modified azide method was used for the estimation of Dissolved oxygen of water (APHA, 1998). Dissolved oxygen of water sample was measured by precipitating as basic manganic oxide, which is dissolved by concentrated sulphuric acid forming manganic sulphate. It immediately reacts with potassium iodide already present, liberating iodine, which is determined by titration with sodium thiosulphate (0.025N).

The basic principle underlying the BOD₅ determination is the measurement of the dissolved oxygen content of the sample before and after five days incubation at 20 °C. To measure the dissolved oxygen content of the water samples, Winklers modified azide method was used.

COD is a measure of oxygen equivalent of those constituents in the sample which are susceptible to dichromate oxidation in acidic condition [8]. The known volume of water sample was refluxed with known volume of potassium dichromate and concentrated sulphuric acid for two hours. The remaining amount of potassium dichromate after completing reflux was titrated with ferrous ammonium sulphate using ferroin indicator.

Total hardness of water was estimated by EDTA titrimetric method.

Oil, grease and other extractable matters are dissolved in petroleum ether in the presence of dilute sulphuric acid and separated from the aqueous phase. The solvent layer is then evaporated and the residue is weighed as oil and grease, according to the given equation:

\[
\text{Oil & grease (mg l}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Residue in the distilling flask - Sample taken for determination}}{1000}\times 1000
\]

The phenol disulphonic acid method was applied for the analysis for nitrate-nitrogen.

Stannous chloride method was used for the determination of phosphate concentration in water sample.

Total solids of the samples were estimated by evaporating a measured volume of the samples in an oven at 1050°C in a dry constant weight crucible. Following formula was used to calculate the total solids.

\[
\text{Total solids mg l}^{-1} = \frac{\text{Weight of crucible with residue - Weight of empty crucible}}{\text{In sample}} \times 1000
\]

The experiment

50 litre of water sample was maintained in a 100 litre of aquarium. In the setup 10 small packets of tissue paper containing 1 gm activated charcoal each were sinked with the help of weight. Initial readings of all the variables were recorded and the same was analysed 24 hourly for 5 days, as designed by [9,10]. Maximum pollutant removal was recorded on the fourth day. Thus, the value of variables on the 4th day is expressed in the record.

For treatment of the river water two tire bubbling chamber of 12 litre capacity using glass was constructed, whose common surface consisted of profuse perforation, as designed by [11]. The chamber was filled with 10 litre of water sample collected from the polluted study site. Inlet of the lower tire was connected to the modified Siemens-Halske Ozonizer, which consisted of earthed cast iron box and a number of aluminium rods surrounded by glass cylinders cooled by water. The rods are charged to high potential and the air passing through the annular space gets ozonized.

Ozonized gas was passed through the bubbler which comes above, crossing the water in the form of minute bubbles. Change in the parameters was recorded hourly and the maximum pollution removal was found after 6 hours treatment, thus, only this value is expressed in the record.

Result and Discussion

Table 1: Result of treatment of water samples by Charcoal and Ozone treatment methods.

| Parameters          | Initial Reading | Charcoal Treatment | Ozone Treatment |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|                     | Final Reading   | % Reduction       | Final Reading   | % Reduction       |
| Acidity (mg/l CaCO₃)| 7.98            | 1.92              | 75.94           | 0.015             | 99.812           |
| Nitrate (mg/l)      | 0.246           | 0.091             | 63.008          | 0.029             | 88.211           |
| Phosphate (mg/l)    | 1.261           | 0.305             | 75.813          | 0.071             | 94.37            |
| DO (mg/l)           | 2.96            | 2.46              | 16.892          | 10.52             | -255.405         |
| BOD (mg/l)          | 98.67           | 113.16            | -14.685         | 3.51              | 96.443           |
| COD (mg/l)          | 167.31          | 193.41            | -15.6           | 6.17              | 96.312           |
| Total solids (mg/l) | 821             | 276.11            | 66.369          | 1057.66           | -28.826          |
| Total hardness (mg/l)| 976.33       | 285.05            | 70.804          | 0.859             | 99.912           |
| Oil & Grease (mg/l)| 7.54            | 4.19              | 44.43           | 0.093             | 98.767           |
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Table 1 Results of the treatments, along with the percentage change in the parameters have been shown in the table 1. Change in all the parameters have been recorded, similar to the findings of [12]. Reduction in total hardness, acidity and nitrate by more than 70% in charcoal treatment is a good response; at the same time the ozone treatment was found to be more effective [13-16]. Depending on the nature of pollutants, activated charcoal and ozone treatment was supposed to be the most suitable chemical treatment practice. Charcoal treatment showed marked reduction in nitrate, phosphate, total hardness etc. in the water sample, similar to the findings of [17]. But due to highly absorptive and porous nature reduction in DO was also recorded by charcoal treatment, as also reported by [18]. Reduction in DO resulted in increase in BOD and COD, parallel to the findings of [9,19,20] These three are very sensitive parameters for the water quality, thus, this recorded as a prominent drawback of this treatment.

Reduction in acidity, nitrate, phosphate, BOD, COD and total hardness was recorded. Ozone treatment also showed increase in the value of DO and total solids. Enormous increase in DO was recorded because ozone gas dissolves in the water and many fold increase in DO was recorded [21]. The reason for increase in total solids may be due to precipitation of all the pollutants in the form of oxides as a result the amount of total solids by this treatment would have increased. Except only one drawback i.e. increase in the total solids, the ozone treatment was most convincing treatment process [22-24].

Conclusion
Charcoal treatment showed marked reduction in nitrate, phosphate, total hardness etc. in the water sample. But due to highly absorptive and porous nature reduction in DO was also recorded by charcoal treatment. Reduction in DO resulted in increase in BOD and COD. These three are very sensitive parameters for the water quality, thus, this was recorded as a prominent drawback of this treatment.

Reduction in acidity, nitrate, phosphate, BOD, COD and total hardness was recorded. Ozone treatment showed significant increase in the value of DO and total solids.
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