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Abstract. On a fine grained scale the Gibbs entropy of an isolated system remains constant throughout its dynamical evolution. This is a consequence of Liouville’s theorem for Hamiltonian systems and appears to contradict the second law of thermodynamics. In reality, however, there is no problem since the thermodynamic entropy should be associated with the Boltzmann entropy, which for non-equilibrium systems is different from Gibbs entropy. The Boltzmann entropy accounts for the microstates which are not accessible from a given initial condition, but are compatible with a given macrostate. In a sense the Boltzmann entropy is a coarse grained version of the Gibbs entropy and will not decrease during the dynamical evolution of a macroscopic system. In this paper we will explore the entropy production for systems with long range interactions. Unlike for short range systems, in the thermodynamic limit, the probability density function for these systems decouples into a product of one particle distribution functions and the coarse grained entropy can be calculated explicitly. We find that the characteristic time for the entropy production scales with the number of particles as $N^\alpha$, with $\alpha > 0$, so that in the thermodynamic limit entropy production takes an infinite amount of time.
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1. Introduction

Thermodynamics was a great triumph of the nineteenth century science. Clausius formulation of the second law of thermodynamics although deceptively simple: ‘Entropy of the universe can not decrease’, is profoundly complex. In apparent contradiction to the laws of Newtonian mechanics, which are invariant under the time reversal, Clausius’ second law introduces a preferred temporal direction—the so called ‘arrow of time’, which points towards increasing entropy \[1\]. It took the genius of Boltzmann to reconcile the reversibility of microscopic dynamics with the irreversibility of thermodynamic systems. Boltzmann associated the thermodynamic entropy of a \(N\) particle system with the logarithm of the volume of a hypersurface in the \(6N\) dimensional phase space compatible with the system’s macrostate. Note that there is a huge number of microstates—parametrized by the positions and momentums of individual particles—compatible with a given macrostate, parametrized by the macroscopic observables. In this way Boltzmann cleverly escaped the constraints of the Liouville’s theorem which establishes that a large portion of the phase space is actually inaccessible from a given initial condition, while being compatible with the evolving macrostate. The increase of the Boltzmann entropy, therefore, corresponds to the increase of the phase space compatible with the evolution of the macrostate. Clearly, if during the dynamical evolution all the velocities of the particles are reversed, the system will return to its initial state. The probability of such event occurring spontaneously, however, is extremely small—it corresponds to one microstate among a huge number that are compatible with a given macrostate—and, therefore, will not be observed in a macroscopic system for a reasonable experimental time scale. For a system in thermodynamic equilibrium the Boltzmann thermodynamic entropy is \(S_B = k_B \ln \Omega\), where \(\Omega\) is the phase space volume of a constant energy hypersuface.

While the calculation of the Boltzmann entropy is fairly straightforward for systems in thermodynamic equilibrium, this is not so for systems far from equilibrium for which it is very difficult to innumerate the microstates compatible with a non-equilibrium macrostate. In this case one might think that the Gibbs entropy is a better candidate...
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The Gibbs entropy is defined in terms of the probability density function $f(q^N,p^N)$ which specifies the probability of finding $N$ particles at a given position in the phase space,

$$S_G = -k_B \int dq^N dp^N f(q^N,p^N,t) \ln f(q^N,p^N,t).$$ (1)

The dynamical evolution of the distribution function is governed by the Liouville equation. Since all the microstates are assumed to be equally probable, the probability distribution density function for an equilibrium system is $f(q^N,p^N) = 1/\Omega$ and the Gibbs entropy reduces to the Boltzmann entropy. Starting from a non-equilibrium state, however, the evolution of the Gibbs and Boltzmann entropies is very distinct. The Liouville equation shows that the probability density evolves as an incompressible fluid, and is conserved along the flow. This means that the phase space integral of any local function of the distribution $h(f)$ will be preserved by the Hamiltonian dynamics. This implies that the Gibbs entropy of the evolving system remains the same for all time! Gibbs was aware of this difficulty and suggested that to reconcile his entropy with the Clausius thermodynamic entropy one should use a coarse grained probability density

$$\bar{f}(q^N,p^N,t) = \frac{1}{(\Delta p \Delta q)^Nd} \int_{\Delta p^N,\Delta q^N} f(q'^N,p'^N,t) dq'^N dp'^N,$$ (2)

where $d$ is the dimensionality of the configuration space, in equation (1), resulting in a coarse grained Gibbs entropy $S_G$. The coarse graining procedure is similar to the Boltzmann counting of the microstates which are not accessible from a given initial condition, but are compatible with a given macrostate. It accounts for the gradual loss of information as the probability density function filaments over the available phase space. In any simulation or experiment with a macroscopic system one rapidly runs into a resolution limit beyond which one has no longer any precision to specify the fine structure of the distribution function. This is similar to a tightly wound spool of wire, which from a distance appears to be a ball of uniform density.

2. Systems with long range interactions

The difficulty of calculating $S_G$ is that for usual thermodynamic systems of particles interacting by short range potentials the coarse graining has to be performed in the full 2dN dimensional $\Gamma$ phase space and is very difficult to implement in practice. We note, however, that for systems with long range interactions for which the interaction potential decays with distance slower than the dimensionality of the embedding configuration space, in the thermodynamic limit, the probability density function decouples into a product of one particle distribution functions [3],

$$f(q^N,p^N,t) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} f_i(q_i,p_i,t),$$ (3)

such that,
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\[ \int f_t(q, p, t) dq dp = 1. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

This means that the full \( \Gamma \) phase space effectively collapses to a 2d dimensional reduced \( \mu \) phase space. The Liouville equation which governs the evolution of the probability density function reduces to a much simpler Vlasov equation for one particle distribution function

\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + p \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial q} - \frac{\partial \psi(q, t)}{\partial q} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \right) f_t(q, p, t) = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( \psi(q, t) \) is the mean field potential felt by a particle located at position \( q \).

Furthermore, for long range interacting systems the Gibbs entropy simplifies to

\[ S_G = -k_B N \int dq dp f_t(q, p, t) \ln f_t(q, p, t), \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

which is also conserved by the Vlasov flow. The advantage of working with the long range systems is that the Gibbs coarse graining procedure is much easier to implement in the reduced \( \mu \) phase spaces than in the full \( \Gamma \) phase space. The simplest way to calculate \( S_G \) is using a non-parametric entropy estimator [4–6]. The idea is to coarse grain the distribution function on the scale of the nearest neighbor distance between the particles. Such coarse graining is scale-free and is easy to implement in numerical molecular dynamics simulations. The coarse grained entropy can then be written as

\[ S_G = k_B \sum_i^N \ln(Nr_i^{2d}V_{2d}) + N\gamma, \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where \( r_i \) is the Euclidean distance in the \( \mu \) phase space between the particle \( i \) and its nearest neighbor, \( V_{2d} = \pi^d/\Gamma(d + 1) \) is the volume of a 2d dimensional unit sphere, and \( \gamma = 0.57721... \) is the Euler–Mascheroni constant.

3. Non-interacting particles

We begin our study of the coarse grained entropy production with a system of non-interacting particles confined to move on a unit ring,

\[ H = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{p_i^2}{2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The Hamilton’s equations of motion for this system take particularly simple form:

\[ p_i(t) = p_i(0) \] and \[ \theta_i(t) = \theta_i(0) + p_i(0)t \] where \( \theta \) is defined between \( -\pi \) and \( \pi \). We start with a waterbag initial distribution

\[ f_t(p, \theta, t = 0) = \frac{1}{4p_m\theta_m} \Theta(p_m - |p|)\Theta(\theta_m - |\theta|), \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where \( \Theta(x) \) is the Heaviside theta function. The various snapshots of the \( \mu \) phase space of \( N \) particles undergoing the dynamics governed by the Hamiltonian (8) are...
shown in figure 1. We see the process of phase space filamentation and eventual loss of the resolution characteristic of coarse grained entropy production. In figure 2(a) we plot the coarse grained entropy production per particle as a function of time. The figure shows that the entropy production is strongly dependent on the number of particles in the system. A loss of the resolution is much faster with a fewer particles than when the system is very large. Rescaling the time with $N^{0.5}$ all the curves collapse onto one universal curve. Therefore, for this non-interacting particle model, in the thermodynamic limit, entropy production will require infinite amount of time, and the fine structure resolution of the phase space will persist up to microscopic length scales.

We stress that in order to explore the finite size scaling of the entropy production time it is necessary to have a sufficiently accurate estimate of the coarse grained entropy i.e. sufficiently good resolution of the phase space. Expression, equation (7), meets this requirement. Increasing the number of particles in equation (7), increases the resolution of that space, so that in the thermodynamic limit the resolution will become perfect. To check its accuracy we can compare the calculated coarse grained entropy obtained using equation (7) for the final stationary state to which a non-interacting system relaxes, with the exact value. Since the filamentation process leads to a uniform occupation of the phase space, see figure 1(d), in the $t \to \infty$
limit, the coarse grained distribution function evolves to $f(p, \theta, t = \infty) = 1/4\pi p_m$ and the coarse grained entropy of the stationary state in the thermodynamic limit is exactly $S_G = N \ln(4\pi p_m)$. Even with a relatively small number of particles $N = 10^4$, the non-parametric estimator leads to an error in $S_G$ of less than half of a percent. To obtain such a small error using a brute force calculation of the distribution function requires $10^6$ phase space cells and $10^8$ particles, which makes such methods impractical for interacting systems. The difficulty is that to get a sufficiently accurate representation of the filamentation process requires a large number of phase space cells. On the other hand, to have a reasonable statistics, demands a sufficiently large particle occupation of each of these cells, forcing us to work with a very large number of particles. To avoid these problems in the present paper we use the non-parametric estimator equation (7) to explore the finite size scaling of the entropy production time.

4. Entropy production in Hamiltonian mean field (HMF) model

Unlike systems of particles interacting by short range forces, systems with long range (LR) interactions, such as magnetically confined one component plasmas or self gravitating systems, in the infinite $N$ limit do not relax to thermodynamic equilibrium but become trapped in non-equilibrium quasi stationary states (QSS) [7, 8]. This means that the coarse grained entropy of these systems does not reach the maximum entropy state of thermodynamic equilibrium. Nevertheless, the second law of thermodynamics requires that starting from an initial configuration far from QSS, the coarse grained entropy must increase. To quantitatively calculate this entropy production we study a paradigmatic Hamiltonian mean field (HMF) model of a system with LR interactions. The HMF model consists of $N$, XY interacting spins, whose dynamics is governed by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} [1 - \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j)],$$

(10)
where angle $\theta_i$ is the orientation of the $i$th spin and $p_i$ is its conjugate momentum [9–12]. Alternatively the model can be thought of as particles confined to move on a unit ring with all the particles interacting by a cosine potential. Note that since each spin interacts with every other spin by the potential which only depends on the relative orientation and not on spin separation, the interaction is infinitely long range.

The *macroscopic* behavior of the system is characterized by the magnetization vector $\mathbf{M} = (M_x, M_y)$, where $M_x \equiv \langle \cos \theta \rangle$, $M_y \equiv \langle \sin \theta \rangle$, and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ stands for the average over all particles. The modulus, $M = |\mathbf{M}|$ serves as the order parameter which measures the coherence of the spin angular distribution: for $M = 0$ we have a completely disordered state, whereas for finite $M$ there is some degree of order (coherence). Hamilton’s equations of motion for each spin can be expressed in terms of the total magnetization and reduce to a second order differential equation,

$$\ddot{\theta}_i = -M_x \sin \theta_i + M_y \cos \theta_i.$$  

(11)

Because of the symmetry of $f_i(p, \theta, t = 0)$ with respect to $\theta = 0$, in the thermodynamic limit $M_y(t) = 0$ throughout the evolution, so that the macroscopic dynamics is completely determined by $M_x(t)$, which becomes the order parameter [12]. In figure (3) we

Figure 3. Snapshots of the evolution of the $\mu$ phase space of the HMF model starting from a non-equilibrium magnetized initial condition of a waterbag form ($\theta_m = 0.3$, $p_m = 2.50$). The final QSS is unmagnetized: (a) $t = 0.0$, (b) $t = 100.0$, (c) $t = 500.0$, and (d) $t = 10^4$. 
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show the evolution of the $\mu$ phase space of the HMF model starting from an initial distribution of a waterbag form, equation (9), with finite initial magnetization—all spins are closely aligned but have a lot of kinetic energy. The final QSS to which the system relaxes is paramagnetic with the magnetization oscillating around zero, see figure 4.

The evolution of the coarse grained entropy is shown in figure 5. We see that the entropy production depends on the number of particles. Scaling time with $N^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha = 0.15$, we obtain a good data collapse for the early times. The non-linear coupling between the spins produced by the mean-field potential leads to a much faster entropy production than for a system of non-interacting particles for which exponent was found to be $\alpha = 0.5$. The parametric resonances present in the dynamics of the HMF model lead to a more efficient phase space mixing and a faster loss of fine-grain resolution than the one observed for non-interacting particles.
Figure 6. Snapshots of the evolution of the $\mu$ phase space of the HMF model starting from a non-equilibrium magnetized initial condition of a waterbag form ($\theta_m = 0.3$, $p_m = 1.75$). The final QSS is magnetized: (a) $t = 0.0$, (b) $t = 100.0$, (c) $t = 1000.0$, and (d) $t = 10^5$.

Figure 7. $M_s$ as a function of time for an initially magnetized state. The final QSS to which the system evolves is also magnetized.
In our next example, see figure 6, we study an initially magnetized particle distribution which relaxes to a magnetized QSS, see figure 7. Although the evolution of the phase space in this case is very different from the one that resulted in an unmagnetized QSS, the exponent $\alpha$ for the entropy production is the same: $\alpha = 0.15$, see figure 8.

Our final example is of an initial condition which is not ‘too far’ from the final QSS. In this case, $p_m$ and $\theta_m$ of the initial waterbag distribution equation (9) are adjusted so that the generalized virial condition (GVC) is satisfied [13]. Under this condition the parametric resonances are suppressed and the dynamical evolution towards the final QSS is adiabatic [14]. The magnetization shows only very small oscillations about the initial value and the final magnetization of the QSS is almost identical to that of the initial distribution, see figure 9. The snapshots of the evolution of $\mu$ phase space are shown in figure 10. The entropy production is shown in figure 11. Once again the data
Figure 10. Snapshots of the evolution of the $\mu$ phase space of the HMF model starting from an initial condition satisfying GVC ($\theta_m = \pi/2$, $p_m = 1.10$). The final QSS is magnetized with $M_x$ almost identical to the initial value: (a) $t = 0.0$, (b) $t = 10.0$, (c) $t = 100.0$, and (d) $t = 10^4$.

Figure 11. Entropy production in the HMF model starting from an initial waterbag distribution satisfying the GVC ($\theta_m = \pi/2$, $p_m = 1.10$): (a) dynamical time, (b) scaled time.
Entropy production in systems with long range interactions can be collapsed by rescaling time with $N^{\alpha}$, however, unlike for non-GVC initial conditions we now find $\alpha = 0.4$, showing that the mixing of the phase space is significantly slower.

### 5. Conclusions

We have explored entropy production in systems with long range interactions. Differently from systems in which particles interact through short range potentials, entropy production for long range interacting systems can be calculated explicitly. This is possible because the probability distribution function for long range systems in the thermodynamic limit reduces to the product of one particle distribution functions, and the full $2dN$ dimensional $\Gamma$ phase space collapses to $2d$ dimensional $\mu$ phase space. Under these conditions the coarse grained Gibbs entropy can be obtained explicitly using non-parametric entropy estimator. We discover that the entropy production takes place on a time scale which grows with the number of particles as $N^\alpha$. In the case of the HMF model we find that if the particle dynamics has parametric resonances, the exponent is $\alpha \approx 0.15$. On the other hand if dynamics is adiabatic—which is the case for the initial particle distributions that satisfy GVC—then $\alpha = 0.4$, which is close to the exponent found for non-interacting particles. It will be interesting to explore how universal are these exponents by studying other long range systems, such as magnetically confined plasmas [15] or self-gravitating clusters [16–19]. The fact that the paramagnetic resonances and chaotic dynamics diminish significantly the entropy production time suggests that for short range interacting systems, for which dynamics is highly non-linear and chaotic, the exponent $\alpha \to 0$, and the entropy production will take place on a microscopic time scale even in the thermodynamic limit.
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