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Magnetic skyrmions are topologically nontrivial chiral spin textures that have potential applications in next-generation energy-efficient and high-density spintronic devices. In general, the chiral spins of skyrmions are stabilized by the noncollinear Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction (DMI), originating from the inversion symmetry breaking combined with the strong spin–orbit coupling (SOC). Here, the strong SOC from topological insulators (TIs) is utilized to provide a large interfacial DMI in TI/ferrimagnet heterostructures at room temperature, resulting in small-size (radius ≈ 100 nm) skyrmions in the adjacent ferrimagnet. Antiferromagnetically coupled skyrmion sublattices are observed in the ferrimagnet by element-resolved scanning transmission X-ray microscopy, showing the potential of a vanishing skyrmion Hall effect and ultrafast skyrmion dynamics. The line-scan spin profile of the single skyrmion shows a Neél-type domain wall structure and a 120 nm size of the 180° domain wall. This work demonstrates the sizable DMI and small skyrmions in TI-based heterostructures with great promise for low-energy spintronic devices.

Magnetic skyrmions are topologically protected chiral spin textures, which can be treated as the quasi-particles in collinear magnetic systems. The most significant difference between magnetic skyrmions and other magnetic structures such as magnetic bubbles is the nontrivial topology in real space, i.e., the nonzero topological charge \( n \) (winding number), which is obtained from the integral of the winding of the normalized local magnetization

\[
n = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \left( \frac{\partial m}{\partial y} \times \frac{\partial m}{\partial x} \right) \, dx \, dy.
\]

Skyrmions are very sensitive to the spin torque, and the current density to move skyrmions is five to six orders of magnitude smaller than those to move magnetic domain walls. Due to the small size, low-power dissipation, and robustness to external perturbations, magnetic skyrmion-based devices are considered as a promising candidate for the next-generation memory and logic technology.

Generally, magnetic skyrmions are stabilized by the noncollinear Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction (DMI) and the Hamiltonian describing DMI can be written as

\[
H_{\text{DMI}} = \sum_{ij} D_{ij} \cdot (m_i \times m_j),
\]

where \( D_{ij} \) is the DMI tensor between magnetic moments \( m_i \) and \( m_j \). In a microscopic picture, DMI originates from the strong spin–orbit coupling (SOC) combined with the inversion symmetry breaking, such as the crystal symmetry breaking in bulk crystals and the structural symmetry breaking at the interface of magnetic multilayers. Topological insulators (TIs) with insulating bulk and conducting surface possess a strong SOC, which is manifested by the spin-momentum locking of the topological surface states, therefore, in principle, there should be a large DMI and the induced skyrmions in the topological interface. Recently, several works reported the topological Hall effect (THE) in TI-based heterostructures giving the indirect evidence of skyrmions. However, direct observation of DMI and skyrmions in TI-based heterostructures still remains to be discovered. Such observations are critical since they would rule out other trivial explanations of THE such as nonlinear anomalous Hall effect. Furthermore, spin-momentum locked surface states in TIs contribute to a giant spin–orbit torque (SOT) even at room temperature. Thus, TI-based heterostructures that combine small skyrmions and giant SOT can provide a promising route for the high-density and ultralow power spintronic devices.

In this work, we demonstrate sizable DMI and small skyrmions in TI/ferrimagnet heterostructures at room temperature. The large DMI coefficient is measured by the Brillouin light scattering (BLS) method in this system, which is comparable with heavy metals with strong SOC. Small skyrmions with a
radius around 100 nm are measured by scanning transmission X-ray microscopy (STXM), and the Néel-type domain wall structure is verified by the line-scan spin profile of the single skyrmion. Antiferromagnetically coupled (AFM-coupled) skyrmion sublattices from Gd and Fe elements are observed by the element-resolved STXM, showing the potential of vanishing skyrmion Hall effect and ultrafast skyrmion dynamics.[26–29] This work opens a promising route to utilize the topological insulators for energy-efficient and high-density skyrmion devices.

Figure 1 shows the schematic of DMI and skyrmions in the (BiSb)$_2$Te$_3$/GdFeCo heterostructure, where the topological insulator (BiSb)$_2$Te$_3$ and the ferrimagnet GdFeCo are grown by molecular beam epitaxy and magnetron sputtering methods, respectively. Spin-momentum locking of Dirac cone band structure in (BiSb)$_2$Te$_3$ gives rise to the spin polarized electron transport in the topological surface states, where utilizing the giant SOT from topological insulators for energy-efficient and high-density skyrmion devices.

At the same time, the strong SOC in topological insulators combined with the structural inversion symmetry breaking by the interface of the heterostructure induces the noncollinear interfacial DMI, i.e., $D_{ij} = D(r_i \times r_j)$, where $D$ is the interfacial DMI coefficient. Interfacial DMI provides a superechange interaction between neighboring magnetic moments $m_i$ and $m_j$ in the adjacent ferrimagnet (GdFeCo) by the medium of the strong SOC in TIs, which stabilizes the magnetic skyrmions. Both DMI and perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) are essential for the skyrmion formation. However, there is a competition between PMA and DMI:PMA aligns the magnetic moments along the easy axis, while DMI cantss the neighboring magnetic moments.[30] We carefully adjust the PMA via the composition and thickness of GdFeCo and find that magnetic skyrmions are formed in a 8 nm GdFeCo film with the 26% concentration of Gd. GdFeCo is a ferrimagnet with two AFM-coupled spin sublattices (Gd and FeCo).[31] as a result, the AFM-coupled skyrmion sublattices are formed in this system accordingly, as shown in Figure 1.

To evaluate the interfacial DMI, momentum-resolved Brillouin light scattering (BLS) spectrum is performed to map the interfacial DMI-induced asymmetric spin wave dispersion in (BiSb)$_2$Te$_3$/GdFeCo. Figure 2a shows the schematic of the BLS measurement set up, where a constant magnetic field $B = 0.21$ T along the $-y$ direction is applied during the measurements. An s-polarized laser beam is incident onto the magnetic film with an angle $\theta$ and the $p$-polarized component of the backscattered light is detected. For the inelastic light scattering process in magnetic thin films, only the in-plane wavevector ($k_s = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \sin \theta$) is conserved, where $\lambda$ is the laser wavelength (532 nm). The Stokes and anti-Stokes peaks of BLS spectra correspond to the creation and annihilation of magnons, with $k_M = \Delta k_s = 2k_s = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \sin \theta$ along the $-x$ and $+x$ directions, respectively.

At the (BiSb)$_2$Te$_3$/GdFeCo interface, the spatial chirality of the surface spin wave depends on the propagation direction with respect to the direction of the magnetization. In the presence of the interfacial DMI, one spatial chirality is energetically preferred according to the sign of $D$ (right-hand for positive $D$), resulting in the asymmetric spin wave dispersion.[32,33] as shown in Figure 2b. Figure 2c shows the Stokes ($-k_M$) and anti-Stokes ($k_M$) peaks of BLS measured in (BiSb)$_2$Te$_3$/GdFeCo, where the frequency shift $\Delta f_{DMI}$ between the Stokes and anti-Stokes frequencies indicates the existence of the interfacial DMI. Figure 2d shows the $\Delta f_{DMI}$ as a function of $k_s$, by fitting the $\Delta f_{DMI}-k_s$ curve with $\Delta f_{DMI} = \frac{2\gamma D}{\pi M_s}$, the DMI coefficient $D = 35.5 \pm 0.25 \mu$J m$^{-2}$ is obtained for (BiSb)$_2$Te$_3$/GdFeCo, which is comparable with that in the Ta/CoFeB interface (36.0 \mu J m$^{-2}$).[34] where $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio (2.0) and $M_s$ is the saturation magnetization (6.7 $\times$ 10$^4$ A m$^{-1}$). Without (BiSb)$_2$Te$_3$, the DMI coefficient in a single GdFeCo film is significantly reduced, as shown in Figure 2d, indicating the role of the strong SOC from the topological insulators.

Scanning transmission X-ray microscopy (STXM)[35,36] is performed to image the magnetic domain structures in (BiSb)$_2$Te$_3$/GdFeCo. We first saturate the magnetic domains to the $-z$ direction with a negative out-of-plane magnetic field. Then the magnetic field is reduced to 0 mT to obtain the remanent magnetization state, where the strip domains with the central magnetization along the $-z$ direction ($-M_s$) form, as shown in Figure 3. The color transition from red to blue represents the z-component magnetization transition from $-M_z$ to +$M_z$. 

Figure 1. Schematic of DMI and skyrmions in Tl/ferrimagnet heterostructures. In topological insulator (Tl)/ferrimagne heterostructures, the strong spin–orbit coupling (SOC) in TIs combined with the structural inversion symmetry breaking by the interface produces the interfacial Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction (DMI), which stabilizes the magnetic skyrmions in the ferrimagnet. The unique Dirac cone band structure of topological surface states gives rise to the spin-momentum locking, indicating the strong SOC in TIs. The antiferromagnetically coupled (AFM-coupled) skyrmion sublattices in the ferrimagnet.
When we increase the out-of-plane magnetic field $B$ from 0 to $+8$ mT, the strip domains with $-M_z$ continue to shrink, until the inside domains vanish and the domain walls merge together, forming the skyrmion states. These skyrmions can be moved by the current-induced SOT (Section S3, Supporting Information), indicating that the skyrmions in this system come from the interfacial DMI, rather than the pinning centers at the interface.[37]

The magnetic field dependence of the skyrmion bubble radius is shown in Figure 4, where the size of the circles represents the proportion of skyrmion bubbles of each radius at one specific field, and the blue dashed line represents the average radius of skyrmion bubbles at each field. With increasing the magnetic field from 0 to $+4$ mT, the average size of skyrmion bubbles is significantly reduced, because the positive magnetic field ($+z$) shrinks the inside magnetic domains of skyrmion bubbles with $-M_z$. While the size of skyrmion bubbles does not change too much above $+4$ mT, indicating that most inside magnetic domains have vanished and the pure skyrmion states are formed. In addition, the distribution of the size of skyrmion bubbles is much concentrated at the larger magnetic field, which also indicates the Zeeman energy-induced phase transition from trivial magnetic bubbles to skyrmions.

GdFeCo is a ferrimagnetic material with two AFM-coupled spin sublattices, i.e., Gd and FeCo sublattices, therefore, the element-resolved STXM measurement[38] has the capability to obtain the individual spin textures from these AFM-coupled sublattices. By tuning the X-ray energy from the Gd $M_5$ (1186.4 eV) to the Fe $L_1$ (708.8 eV) absorption edges, the sensitivity of the absorption signal is changed from the Gd to the Fe sublattices. The incident X-ray is circular polarized to right-hand and left-hand ($\sigma^+$ and $\sigma^-$) for the imaging, respectively, and the pure spin-resolved textures are obtained by dividing these two contributions ($\sigma^+$ and $\sigma^-$), as shown in Figure 5a.

Figure 5b shows the STXM mapping for the Gd and Fe spin sublattices, respectively, where the size of arrows represents the amplitude of the out-of-plane ($z$) component of magnetization ($M_z$), and the color transition from red to blue represents the $z$-component magnetization transition from $-M_z$ to $+M_z$. From Figure 5b, we can clearly see that the skyrmion sublattices from the Gd and Fe elements are AFM-coupled, and the two 3D rendered pictures actually display the AFM-coupled skyrmion sublattices at the same position: one in the Gd lattice and one in the Fe lattice, indicating the strong exchange interaction between Gd and CoFe spin sublattices. The ferrimagnetic skyrmions can potentially reduce the skyrmion Hall effect that shifts the skyrmions toward the edges of skyrmion-based devices, supporting a long skyrmion propagation length.[26–28]

At the same time, more than 100 GHz magnetic resonance frequency in the ferrimagnet promises the ultrafast spin dynamics of ferrimagnetic skyrmions.[39]

Next, we focus on the detailed spin profile of a single skyrmion. The sample is raster-scanned while the focused X-ray beam remains fixed, and the transmission intensity of X-ray is detected for every position, where the X-ray beam is tilted an angle of $30^\circ$ from $z$-direction in the $x$-$z$ plane to be sensitive to the $-x$ and $+z$ components of magnetization, as shown in

![Figure 2. BLS measurement to quantify DMI. a) Schematic of the Brillouin light scattering (BLS) spectrum measurement. Under a magnetic field along the $-y$ direction, a laser beam which is incident to the film plane with an angle of $\theta$, creates (annihilates) magnons along the $-x$ ($+x$) direction. b) DMI-induced asymmetric spin wave dispersion with the magnetic field of $\pm B$ (solid line), respectively, where the dashed line shows the symmetric spin wave dispersion without the magnetic field. c) BLS spectra of Stokes and anti-Stokes peaks, where the applied magnetic field is 0.21 T. d) DMI-induced spin wave frequency shift $\Delta f_{DMI}$ as a function of wave vector $k_x$ measured from (BiSb)$_2$Te$_3$/GaFeCo (blue) and from a control sample Si–SiO$_2$/GdFeCo (black), and the dashed line shows the linear fitting curve.](image-url)
Figure 6a-d. The total line-scan contrast is the summation of the z (63.4%) and x (36.6%) components of magnetization. With the X-ray beam scanning along the x direction, the spin profile shows a continuous 360° spin-spiral transition over the range of the double domain wall size, as shown in Figure 6b, where the asymmetric line-scan curve indicates the Néel-type domain wall structure (↑→↓←↑). The reason is as follows: for the z-component magnetization \(m_z\), the line-scan curve should be symmetric; while for the x-component magnetization \(m_x\) of Néel-type domains, the antiparallel and parallel orientations between \(m_x\) and the in-plane sensitive direction \((-x)\) of X-ray contribute to the negative and positive signals, respectively, and thus resulting in the asymmetric line-scan curve. The dip between up–down magnetizations and the peak between down–up magnetizations in Figure 6b demonstrate the antiparallel and parallel orientations with the in-plane sensitive direction \((-x)\) of the X-rays, respectively, indicating a right-hand chirality of skyrmions (↑→↓←↑), which is consistent with the positive sign of the DMI coefficient in this system. Meanwhile, for the line-scan contrast along the y direction, the symmetric curve shows zero x-component of the magnetization, as shown in Figure 6c, supporting the Néel-type domain wall structure.
The line-scan spin profile of the single skyrmion in Figure 6b can be fitted with \( \tan \frac{\Theta_0}{2} = \frac{r_{sk}}{r} e^{i(x_k - 1)} \), where \( \Theta_0 \) is the polar angle, \( r_{sk} \) is the reduced equilibrium radius of skyrmions defined as \( M_z(r) = 0 \), \( \xi = 2K_u/\mu_0M_s^2 - 1 \), and \( K_u \) is the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy energy.\[41\] From the fitting, we can get the \( r_{sk} \) of 60 nm. From the field dependence of the skyrmion size in Figure 4, the minimum average radius of skyrmion is around 107 nm, which is close to the 180° domain wall size of \( 2r_{sk} = 120 \) nm obtained from the line-scan spin profile fitting of the single skyrmion.

In summary, we explicitly measured the interfacial DMI and demonstrated the ferrimagnetic skyrmions in topological insulator/ferrimagnet heterostructures. A large interfacial DMI obtained from the BLS measurement comes from the strong SOC in topological insulators combined with the structural inversion symmetry breaking by the interface. Small-size skyrmions (radius around 100 nm) are observed by the STXM at room temperature, which are stabilized by the interfacial DMI from the topological interface. AFM-coupled skyrmion sublattices from Gd and Fe elements are distinguished by the element-resolved STXM measurement, which show the potential of vanishing skyrmion Hall effect and ultrafast skyrmion dynamics. The line-scan spin profile of the single skyrmion indicates the Néel-type domain wall structure and a 120 nm size of the 180° domain wall. This work will inspire the investigation of the real-space topological spin textures in TI-based heterostructures, where combining small skyrmions and giant SOT provides a promising route for the next-generation spintronic devices.

**Figure 4.** The size of the skyrmion bubbles as a function of the magnetic field. The out-of-plane magnetic field dependence of the radius of skyrmion bubbles, where the size of the circles represents the proportion of skyrmion bubbles of each radius at one specific field, and the blue dashed line represents the average radius of skyrmion bubbles at each field.

**Figure 5.** Ferrimagnetic skyrmions. a) The 2D AFM-coupled skyrmion sublattices from Gd and Fe elements, which are measured by the element-resolved STXM. The STXM is measured with the right-hand (\( \sigma^+ \)) and left-hand (\( \sigma^- \)) circular polarizations, respectively, where dividing these two contributions leads to the pure spin-resolved texture. b) The 3D AFM-coupled skyrmion sublattices from Gd and Fe elements, where the size of arrows represents the magnitude of \( M_z \) components, and the color transition from red to blue represents the z-component magnetization transition from \(-M_z\) to \(+M_z\).
Experimental Section

Sample Growth: The topological insulators of (BiSb)\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} were grown on Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}(0001) substrates by the molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) method. A 600 °C preannealing was performed to clean the surface of substrates, and the substrate temperature was 200 °C during the growth. The layer-by-layer epitaxial growth was monitored by the reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED). The Gd\textsubscript{x}(FeCo)\textsubscript{1-x} films were deposited by the magnetron sputtering method with cosputtering of Gd and FeCo targets, where the substrates kept rotating during the deposition. A 10 nm Te capping layer was used to protect the (BiSb)\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} surface during the sample transfer from MBE to sputtering chambers through air, and then removed by a 220 °C annealing. The composition of Gd\textsubscript{x}(FeCo)\textsubscript{1-x} was tuned by the power of Gd and FeCo targets, and the Ar pressure for deposition was 3 mTorr. A 2 nm Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} capping layer was deposited on the top to avoid the films to be oxidized.

Magnetic Properties Measurement: The magnetic properties were measured by a magneto-optic Kerr effect (MOKE) system, vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM), and superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID, Quantum Design) magnetometer. The magnetic domains and DMI-induced asymmetric magnetic domain nucleation at edges were measured by a MOKE imaging system.

Scanning Transmission X-Ray Microscopy (STXM) Measurement: STXM measurements were conducted at the MPI IS operated MAXYMUS end station at the UE46-PCM2 beam line at the BESSY II synchrotron radiation facility. Samples were illuminated using a zone plate with a resolution below 18 nm under normal and 30° grazing incidence for magnetic sensitivity in the out-of-plane and in-plane directions, respectively. Therefore, for the normal-incidence direction, the X-rays were only sensitive to the \( z \) magnetization; for the 30° incidence angle, the \( z \) magnetization contributes \( \cos(30°) / [\cos(30°) + \sin(30°)] = 63.4% \) to the total X-ray intensity, while the \( x \) magnetization contributes \( \sin(30°) / [\cos(30°) + \sin(30°)] = 36.6% \). The photon energies were set to 708.8 and 1186.4 eV to get maximum XMCD contrast at the Fe \( L_3 \) and Gd \( M_5 \) absorption edges, respectively. The surface-sensitive total electron yield (TEY) signal was detected as the sample drain current that was amplified by a FEMTO DLPCA-200 low noise amplifier. During the measurements an out-of-plane field of up to 240 mT was applied that was amplified by a FEMTO DLP-200 low noise amplifier. During the measurements an out-of-plane field of up to 240 mT was applied that was generated by a set of four rotatable permanent magnets.
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