Measuring fibrinolysis: from research to routine diagnostic assays
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Summary. Development and standardization of fibrinolysis methods have progressed more slowly than coagulation testing and routine high-throughput screening tests for fibrinolysis are still lacking. In laboratory research, a variety of approaches are available and are applied to understand the regulation of fibrinolysis and its contribution to the hemostatic balance. Fibrinolysis in normal blood is slow to develop. For practical purposes plasminogen activators can be added to cloting plasma, or euglobulin prepared to reduce endogenous inhibitors, but results are complicated by these manipulations. Observational studies to identify a 'fibrinolysis deficit' have concluded that excess fibrinolysis inhibitors, plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1) or thrombin-activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor (TAFI), zymogen or active enzyme, may be associated with an increased risk of thrombosis. However, results are not always consistent and problems of adequate standardization are evident with these inhibitors and also for measurement of fibrin degradation products (D-dimer). Few methods are available to investigate fibrinolysis under flow, or in whole blood, but viscoelastic methods (VMs) such as ROTEM and TEG do permit the contribution of cells, and importantly platelets, to be explored. VMs are used to diagnose clinical hyperfibrinolysis, which is associated with high mortality. There is a debate on the usefulness of VMs as a point-of-care test method, particularly in trauma. Despite the difficulties of many fibrinolysis methods, research on the fibrinolysis system, taking in wider interactions with hemostasis proteins, is progressing so that in future we may have more complete models and better diagnostic methods and therapeutics.
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Background to fibrinolysis
The significance of fibrinolysis in the hemostatic balance was identified early [1,2]. However, methods available for investigating fibrinolysis have lagged behind methods used to study coagulation, which are widespread and standardized for routine testing purposes (activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), international normalized ratio (INR) or prothrombin time (PT), for example). Under normal circumstances, fibrinolysis takes many hours or days to develop in healthy blood after clotting [3], which is a major obstacle in assessing the ‘global fibrinolysis capacity’. This is in clear contrast to coagulation reactions, which are conveniently monitored for seconds or minutes. In order to make some assessment of fibrinolysis it is necessary to remove some inhibitors or add plasminogen activators, which obviously hinders complete understanding of the in vivo situation. So, for example, plasma-based systems, where clotting and lysis may be easily followed turbidimetrically, have tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) added to speed up lysis. Alternatively, euglobulin may be prepared from plasma, which reduces the concentration of fibrinolytic inhibitors. More details on these methods will be given below. Fibrinolysis techniques are often technically more difficult and time consuming and not so amenable to automation. It is also the case that congenital deficiencies of fibrinolytic components are not widespread in humans, like hemophilia A and B for example, which has driven research and therapeutic development in coagulation. All these factors contribute to the lower profile of fibrinolysis and potentially some underestimation of the significance of fibrinolysis in hemostasis. Some key proteins involved in fibrinolysis are summarized in Table 1.

Review organization
Earlier reviews highlighting the molecular components and mechanisms and regulation of fibrinolysis are...
available and these aspects will not be covered here [4,5]. The purpose of this review is to consider methods that are available to quantitatively assess fibrinolysis, which includes diagnostic methods, activity measurement for therapeutics, standardization and other aspects of research. Problems and drawbacks will be highlighted. The review is grouped by technique and according to the complexity of the matrix, with distinctions for diagnostic and other types of quantitative measurements. Animal work or genetic studies are not covered.

Why measure fibrinolysis

Although fibrinolysis is slow to develop under normal circumstances, enhanced fibrinolysis or hyperfibrinolysis may develop and lead to life-threatening blood loss. Congenital deficiencies of fibrinolysis inhibitors are not common but do occur and can lead to bleeding [6]. Accelerated fibrinolysis has been known for a long time in patients who have suffered shock (or adrenaline administration) or major trauma and after surgery, especially involving extracorporeal surgery, and lung, liver and prostate surgery [7–9]. Increased fibrinolysis is also seen in cirrhosis [10], renal failure, menorrhagia and obstetric complications [11], and some malignancies, particularly in some leukemia patients [12]. Increased fibrinolysis possibly linked to clot instability is also noted in hemophilia patients (e.g. reviewed, [6]). In some of these examples, administration of antifibrinolytic lysine analogues, epsilon-aminocaproic acid (EACA), tranexamic acid (TXA) or aprotinin may be beneficial and indicates an imbalance between clotting and fibrinolysis that can be rectified by suppressing fibrin breakdown.

Conversely, impaired fibrinolysis or hypofibrinolysis, which may be hereditary or of environmental origin, may be linked to the development of thrombosis and has been associated with atherosclerosis and obesity, diabetes and hyperlipidemia. This fibrinolysis deficit may contribute to thrombotic incidents, both venous and arterial. Researchers have looked at global assays for fibrinolysis capacity or fibrinolysis potential (see [13] for recent review) and for biomarkers that would indicate reduced fibrinolysis, such as elevated alpha-2-antiplasmin (α2AP, plasmin inhibitor), plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1) and thrombin-activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor (TAFI, carboxypeptidase U, CBP2 gene product) or changes in active tPA levels.

Another goal of studying fibrinolysis is to improve our understanding of the system and develop realistic models and computer simulations. To achieve this, as a first step it is necessary to accumulate knowledge of molar concentrations, binding constants and kinetic parameters of enzyme-substrate and enzyme-inhibitor reactions, to understand the basic enzymology (e.g. [14]). More complete models require cellular effects, inclusion of the complexities of fibrin interactions and breakdown and the influence of flow. Progress on modelling fibrinolysis has lagged behind coagulation modelling (e.g. [15,16]). Fibrinolysis reactions take place in a heterogeneous environment where critical reactions take place at the liquid–solid interface. However, some progress is evident [17], and it should be possible in future to increase model complexity in line with additional knowledge and improved computing power.

Plasminogen activators and plasmin in purified systems

In purified systems, chromogenic and fluorogenic substrate assays provide a convenient way to measure enzyme activity and a simple way to titrate and quantify enzyme inhibitors. However, kinetic studies in purified systems overlook important regulatory interactions. For example, very high inhibition rate constants (of the order of $10^7$ mol L$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) are often quoted for α2AP and PAI-1, but these are modulated by fibrinogen and fibrin in vivo [18]. Solution studies are definitely easier to perform than studies in a fibrin matrix and are useful for plasminogen activators such as urokinase (uPA) or therapeutic streptokinase (but note, streptokinase variants from other streptococcus strains do interact with fibrinogen and fibrin [19]). Experience has shown that caution is needed when using tPA with soluble stimulators such as
cystatin, which is collected and re-dissolved in higher pH
buffer containing calcium. Clotting occurs rapidly and clot
lysis takes place over several hours. Results have been
reported as lysis time or time to half lysis [30], area under
the curve or rate of lysis [31], for example. The euglobulin
clearance time is strongly influenced by PAI-1 (and free tPA)
concentrations, so is sensitive to the precise method of euglobulin preparation.

Euglobulin, plasma, whole blood

The basic method of euglobulin preparation involves dilution and acidification of chilled plasma to form a precipitate, which is collected and re-dissolved in higher pH buffer containing calcium. Clotting occurs rapidly and clot lysis takes place over several hours. Results have been reported as lysis time or time to half lysis [30], area under the curve or rate of lysis [31], for example. The euglobulin fraction is reported to have a greater than 90% reduction in $\gamma_2$AP, but there is also significant depletion of PAI-1 and TAFI [31]. The euglobulin lysis time is strongly influenced by PAI-1 (and free tPA) concentrations, so is sensitive to the precise method of euglobulin preparation.

Plasma clot lysis

Table 2 shows a selection of methods used to measure plasma clot lysis. The simplest turbidimetric methods report time to 50% lysis, but later developments were aimed at generating a global figure for patient fibrinolytic capacity. The introduction of fluorogenic substrates has developed from thrombin generation assays (TGAs) [32], but fibrinolysis is explored in the presence of two substrates sensitive to thrombin or plasmin, added to separate wells run in parallel [33,34] or in the same well [35]. These TGA-like assay systems have been explored to identify what factors regulate thrombin and plasmin generation and probe the relationships between clotting and lysis. In particular, like TGA, they have the advantage of permitting calculation of molar concentrations of thrombin and plasmin developed during clotting and lysis phases. However, for all plasma clot lysis assays, the requirement to add a large excess of tPA makes these methods insensitive to the influence of intrinsic tPA and during thrombolytic therapy. A combination method that includes fibrin and chromogenic substrate allows rates of plasminogen activation to be monitored in the presence of fibrin, or by running parallel plates to simultaneously measure plasmin generation and fibrinolysis [25,26]. A driver behind the development of this method was to permit calculation of rates of plasmin generation in pmol L$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, and enable specific activities of plasminogen activators, tPA, uPA and streptokinase to be compared. WHO assigned IU are arbitrary and cannot be directly compared. Other available methods for measuring the activity of plasminogen activators include the European Pharmacopoeia method for Alteplase (tPA) [27] in which clot lysis time is determined by release of trapped bubbles from the fibrin network in tubes, or the related method of timing the passage of a glass or steel ball through the clot [28]. A new and interesting method for measuring thrombolytic drugs in plate format is the Halo method, which is microtiter plate-based, allowing high throughput, but has the advantage of using whole blood [29].
subtle variations in the concentration of PAI-1. By contrast, euglobulin methods require no tPA to be added but are less sensitive to the effects of TAFI on clot lysis due to significant losses during euglobulin preparation [31].

### Data and curve analysis

Variations in the way data are analyzed are also summarized in Table 2. The publications cited may report analysis in some detail, but unless bespoke software is readily available, the methods are difficult to transfer. We have developed freely available open source software for download or for use online in a browser that may be used for clot lysis and zymogen activation kinetics analysis [36]. Analysis is thus better standardized and can improve transparency and reproducibility [37]. In line with much common practice, lysis is expressed as time to a chosen % of lysis to avoid problems of misinterpretation of rates of lysis when raw data (as opposed to normalized data) are used. This problem is illustrated in Fig. 1 and has been highlighted previously [38].

### Other methods

It is possible to use turbidimetric methods that include some blood cells to understand their potential role, but there are limits before the fibrin clots become too turbid (e.g. see [4]). Measurement of fibrin formation and lysis by turbidimetric methods in microtiter plates has the advantage of high throughput compared with more cumbersome methods that follow release of radiolabeled [39] or fluorescently labeled fibrin fragments, which require separation. However, fluorescently labeled fibrin can be used to investigate flow effects in a closed-loop system.
Plasmin-Markers of ongoing fibrinolysis such as D-dimer and tPA is less often a focus of studies than inhibitors. Rarely included as a biomarker in observational studies, appears to be rare but does not cause thrombosis and is general speaking, plasminogen deficiency polymorphisms, influence on venous and arterial thrombosis, in increased bleeding risk (e.g. [6]), or high circulating PAI-1 may indicate a ‘fibrinolysis deficit’. It is not possible to review conclusions from the many large-scale studies or methods will not be discussed in detail but general problems that affect interpretation of results will be approached. Methods are available to measure PAI-1 antigen (including free active, inactive and complexed PAI-1) or activity. There is poor harmonization between commercial methods for PAI-1 antigen or activity or tPA antigen [49,50]. Poor agreement was also found in a more recent study organized by the Fibrinolysis Subcommittee of the SSC, which included five plasma pool samples, with a range of PAI-1 levels, measured in 12 laboratories, using seven different methods (in-house or commercial kits). For any given sample, the reported PAI-1 antigen level varied 4–6-fold between different methods (report by C.L. and P. Declerck, ISTH/SSC Fibrinolysis Subcommittee minutes, 2011).

Harmonization could be improved by using a common standard but one obstacle to harmonization is the reporting of results in ng mL$^{-1}$. Existing methods report results in ng mL$^{-1}$, now the expected unit, but the origin of kit protein standards is variable, so each ‘ng’ is different. It is undesirable to establish a standard with a ‘consensus ng’, taken as a mean of values from a collaborative study. As a consequence of these observations it is clear that the absolute amounts of PAI-1 in ng mL$^{-1}$ is undesirable to establish a standard with a ‘consensus ng’, taken as a mean of values from a collaborative study. As a consequence of these observations it is clear that the absolute amounts of PAI-1 in ng mL$^{-1}$ is undesirable to establish a standard with a ‘consensus ng’, taken as a mean of values from a collaborative study.

Harmonization could be improved by using a common standard but one obstacle to harmonization is the reporting of results in ng mL$^{-1}$. Existing methods report results in ng mL$^{-1}$, now the expected unit, but the origin of kit protein standards is variable, so each ‘ng’ is different. It is undesirable to establish a standard with a ‘consensus ng’, taken as a mean of values from a collaborative study.

Insights into the functioning of the fibrinolytic system may be gained from protein levels during health and disease or therapy. Deficiencies of fibrinolysis inhibitors in human populations are rare but when found often lead to increased bleeding risk (e.g. [6]), or high circulating PAI-1 and TAFI may indicate a ‘fibrinolysis deficit’. It is not possible to review conclusions from the many large-scale population studies that include fibrinolytic proteins, but a flavor of results, problems and conflicts is presented in example reviews [13,44]. There are many studies involving PAI-1 (antigen and activity) and TAFI (zymogen and activated enzyme), covering circulating levels, polymorphisms, influence on venous and arterial thrombosis, in men and women, young and old, first and later or recurring events. Generally speaking, plasminogen deficiency appears to be rare but does not cause thrombosis and is rarely included as a biomarker in observational studies, and tPA is less often a focus of studies than inhibitors. Markers of ongoing fibrinolysis such as D-dimer and plasmin-$\alpha_2$AP (PAP) complexes are commonly used. Interest in PAI-1 and TAFI as drug targets to modulate hemostasis has focused attention on the need for reliable assays [45–47].

**Fig. 1.** The effect of clot absorbance on lysis rate. In panel A, absorbance values of a clot lysis curve have been scaled to give a hypothetical set of clotting and lysis profiles. The time to clotting and lysis is the same for all curves and the time to 50% clotting and lysis is shown by the magenta and black lines, respectively. The maximum rate of lysis around the 50% lysis point is shown as the dashed line for each curve. Panel B shows the relationship between maximum absorbance and apparent clot lysis rate (open squares), using raw absorbances, or time to 50% lysis from reaction start time (closed circles), or time between 50% clotting and 50% lysis (open circles). The absolute rate of lysis depends on the absorbance range and should not necessarily be interpreted as being influenced by fibrin structure (which also affects absorbance). The time to 50% lysis, however selected, is independent of absolute absorbances. Normalized curves may also be used to avoid this artefact [38]. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
be liberated by trypsin digestion. One or two chosen peptides can be purified and quantified (using liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry) after spiking digests with synthetic $^{13}$C-labelled peptides of known concentration to act as an internal calibrator. After accounting for losses and calculating recovery, the concentration of peptides is used to determine the molar concentration of PAI-1 in the starting plasma. This approach has been demonstrated to work in principle but some technical challenges remain before a plasma sample with an agreed ng mL$^{-1}$ concentration of PAI-1 can be proposed as a common standard. Aside from these testing issues, other pre-test problems may also affect PAI-1 measurements. These include temporal variations, both diurnal and seasonal [51], and blood sample collection and processing, as platelets will release PAI-1 if activated during venepuncture [52].

**TAFI**

It is not uncommon to find expressions such as ‘inconsistent’ or ‘counter-intuitive’ to summarize results from studies involving TAFI (e.g. see [13, 44]), and there have been many studies on TAFI links with arterial and venous thrombosis, responses in myocardial infarction and stroke, and in inflammation, infection and sepsis. Details of the biochemistry of TAFI are well understood [53]. Several issues are known to have caused difficulties during assay method development, such as the Thr325Ile polymorphism, which affects some assays, and TAFI activation and stability [54,55]. Furthermore, although TAFI activation is regulated by thrombin-thrombomodulin, plasmin also appears to be involved in activation and inactivation [56]. The thermal instability of TAFI is an established mechanism of inactivation and has to be taken into account in functional methods that rely on activity measurements. A variety of ELISA (e.g. [57]) and functional methods are available [58] for quantifying TAFI in plasma. Some recent studies have focused on circulating levels of TAFIα as a more important biomarker than zymogen [59], using highly sensitive activity assays (the proportion of TAFIα vs. TAFI proenzyme is very small) [60,61] or ELISA methods [62].

**D-dimer**

D-dimer, as precisely defined, is an observed late stage FDP formed as a result of FXIII crosslinking of adjacent D domains in fibrin polymers [63]. Thus circulating D-dimer is a measure of fibrin breakdown and ongoing fibrinolysis as distinct from fibrinogenolysis. Early studies were restricted to detecting FDPs in patient serum but progress accelerated with the arrival of monoclonal antibody technology [64] that could generate antibodies that were insensitive to fibrinogen. There is currently a plethora of different commercial D-dimer assay methods and kits using different platforms to detect clinically significant levels of circulating D-dimer, including many point-of-care (POC) devices. It has long been known that antibodies to ‘D-dimer’ react with high-molecular-weight FDPs and the spectrum of fragments differs between disease types. Antibodies used to measure FDPs also have different specificity for high and low-molecular-weight fragments and these factors make harmonization of D-dimer test results particularly challenging [65,66]. It should be noted that the clinical application of D-dimer testing is restricted to exclusion of thrombosis because D-dimer test methods tend to have poor specificity, with better sensitivity, making false-positive results quite likely. Low specificity may be a particular problem for POC devices, highlighting the need to restrict the application of such testing (e.g. [67]).

Negative predictive value (NPV) and positive predictive value (PPV) are useful concepts to help understand how D-dimer testing is used. High NPVs give confidence when excluding patients from further testing for thrombosis, and are further improved by algorithms such as the Wells score that limit D-dimer screening to populations with low pre-test probability of a thrombosis. In this way, more confidence is gained that a negative result is truly negative. A simple app is available to explore the relationship between test sensitivity, specificity and prevalence that calculates PPV and NPV [68]. The results produced by D-dimer testing, either as ‘D-dimer’ or fibrinogen equivalent units (FEU), depend on manufacturer calibrators and need to be interpreted with caution as they bear little relationship to real ng mL$^{-1}$, as discussed for PAI-1 above. Cut-off values for ‘normal’ stated in tests kits need to be validated locally. However, there are indications that harmonization may be improved by appropriate common standards. Several collaborative studies so far suggest this may be best achieved by having a pool of D-dimer plasma with a heterogeneous mix of fibrin breakdown products [66,69,70]. In the future it may also be possible to find a way to incorporate some traceable calibration of such a standard back to a real gravimetric concentration of ng mL$^{-1}$ D-dimer fragment using IDMS.

Besides technical and standardization difficulties associated with assays for fibrinolytic proteins, there are other, more general, factors that need to be considered when evaluating these kinds of studies. The goal of many studies seems to be the identification of a statistically significant difference between groups. However, one should also consider whether the size of any difference is likely to be physiologically significant given the common wide variations seen in many hemostasis proteins in normal populations (e.g. [71]). Caution is needed where $P$ values are close to the chosen level of significance (often $P < 0.05$) as this may be very weak evidence of any real effect. There is increasing concern around the low bar that is set to determine statistical significance across diverse areas of scientific study, and debate around how $P$ values should be interpreted [72,73]. To address these problems some
workers have advocated more stringent tests of significance (e.g. $P < 0.005$ or $P < 0.001$), or suggested $P$ values close to 0.05 are merely an indication that further investigations are needed. Some scientists and journals argue for abandoning the notion of statistical significance. Another proposal is to estimate the prior probability of a real effect before any experiment is run to better interpret final $P$ values and properly assess the risk of false-positive results (see [74] for discussion and a link to an online app to calculate false-positive risk). Other relevant concerns are publication bias, dealing adequately with confounders, and repeated post hoc subset analysis until an effect is found.

**Viscoelastic methods**

As a research tool, viscoelastic methods (VMs) provide the opportunity to study clotting and fibrinolysis in whole blood and hence investigate the contribution of platelets and other blood cells. In a clinical setting these methods also have a vital advantage of speed and can act as POC tests during surgery associated with major blood loss, including cardiothoracic surgery, liver transplant and traumatic bleeding. Here the goal of VM testing is to optimize transfusion regimes of red cells or platelets, or application or clotting factors, fibrinogen, fresh frozen plasma or cryoprecipitate, and antifibrinolytics such as TXA.

Three commercial platforms exist to monitor viscoelastic changes in blood: thromboelastography (TEG), thromboelastometry (ROTEM) and Sonoclot. Most published work uses ROTEM or TEG. All approaches detect changes in viscosity through movement of a pin through blood in a cup after coagulation has been triggered. Beyond this common principle, the mechanics of the systems differ, as do the reagents supplied and the readouts. Precise details can be found in manufacturer literature and are reviewed elsewhere [75,76]. Considering the ROTEM delta machine for example, differential testing on one patient is accomplished on a single unit, using four channels, and available tests are Intem (intrinsic coagulation with ellagic acid), Extem (tissue factor triggers extrinsic activation), Fibtem (cytochalasin D is added to extem to eliminate the platelet contribution) and Aptom (aprotinin is added to extem to stop fibrinolysis). A modification of Intem is available with the addition of heparinase to detect heparin in the blood sample. The Natem test adds only CaCl$_2$ to generate low thrombin concentrations and takes longer to run than the other tests. All results are displayed as a set of clotting and lysis curves called Temograms and up to 32 parameters are derived describing clotting and lysis kinetics and clot properties. Some published reference ranges are available for some of these parameters on healthy individuals and trauma patients (for example [77,78]). Similar, but not identical, sets of tests exist for TEG, but with differences in reagents (kaolin in place of ellagic acid to study the intrinsic coagulation pathway being one example). Significantly for fibrinolysis, the reduction in clot firmness generated by the ROTEM as lysis index at 30 min LI(30) is calculated in a different way from LY30 in TEG, so the results are not interchangeable. Different definitions of hyperfibrinolysis as detected by VMs used in various studies have been reviewed and summarized elsewhere [79], and efforts are underway to standardize how diagnosis is performed, and to identify the best parameters to guide therapeutic interventions. For example, research is being carried out by the European TACTIC consortium to develop data-driven algorithms for the management of hemorrhage [80].

Interest in VMs in research and diagnostic settings has been increasing in recent years. Trauma-induced coagulopathy has long been studied but the importance of fibrinolysis has only been addressed more recently (e.g. [81]). VMs are attractive as a means of diagnosing ongoing fibrinolysis in trauma as results may be generated quickly, but there is disagreement over what benefits may be gained from these diagnostic procedures at the cost of time taken. Concerns have been raised about the sensitivity of VMs for identifying fibrinolysis in all patients. Raza and colleagues [82] compared results from ROTEM with other fibrinolysis assay methods and found the majority of patients with ongoing fibrinolysis, associated with increased PAP complexes, D-dimer, raised tPA, prothrombin fragments 1 + 2 and TAFIa, were not identified by ROTEM. Severe hyperfibrinolysis was picked up, defined as maximum lysis (ML) of $>15\%$ at 60 min after the start of clot formation, and was associated with very high PAP $>1500$ gL$^{-1}$. The severe group accounted for 5% of patients in this study and had high mortality (40% with a mean time to death of $<1$ day). A moderate fibrinolysis group, not identified by ROTEM (ML $<15\%$), but with raised fibrinolysis markers, had a 12% mortality rate, higher than the normal fibrinolysis group, and required more transfusions and medical support. This moderate group was highlighted as the group that were most likely to benefit from antifibrinolytic therapy. Interestingly, this report also identified a small group of patients (eight out of 288) who showed apparent hyperfibrinolysis as ML $>15\%$, but without raised fibrinolysis markers. This group was not explored in detail. Assay methods available for circulating markers of fibrinolysis used in this study are too slow to be POC tests that could guide trauma therapy, but this study [82] suggests VMs do not give a full picture of fibrinolysis activation in trauma patients. Current European guidelines advise earliest possible use of antifibrinolytics to avoid delays due to diagnostic procedures. Earliest possible infusion of TXA is advisable following results from several trials which show that TXA given later than 3 h after trauma increases mortality [79,83].
A number of studies using TEG have defined hyperfibrinolysis as LY30 > 3%, meaning lysis > 3%, 30 min after maximum amplitude (e.g. reviewed [79]). However, another area of interest has been fibrinolysis shutdown, or hypofibrinolysis, in trauma patients, which may also be associated with high mortality [84], and which potentially could be exacerbated by antifibrinolytic drugs. Moore and co-workers have developed a diagnostic approach to identify patients with fibrinolysis shutdown, normal fibrinolysis and hyperfibrinolysis, based on TEG LY30 values of 0–0.08%<0.09–2.9%<3%, respectively [84]. However, these rather precise readouts are complicated by platelet-induced clot retraction, which may be interpreted as a false-positive result for hyperfibrinolysis. To differentiate between hyperfibrinolysis and clot retraction these workers have suggested parallel blood samples be run, including one with added TXA to block fibrinolysis and identify the contribution to the LY30 value from platelet contraction alone [85]. The work cited above [82] suggests some caution is needed in relying exclusively on VMs to make therapeutic choices on transfusion strategies or antifibrinolytic therapy, at least in trauma. The conclusions from many studies and systematic reviews seem to be that more research is needed before there is sufficient confidence in VMs for routine diagnostic testing, although there are promising signals of benefit [86–88]. Collaborative trials are needed to explore positive and negative predicative values from VM testing and calculate the costs and benefits of testing in reducing transfusion or blood component requirements and ultimately saving lives.

In the trauma situation, testing must be balanced against protocols for rapid TXA administration. Trials will be complicated by the fact that VM diagnosis cannot be used on patients who have received antifibrinolytics because fibrinolysis is blocked. There are also questions around the effects of alcohol on VM parameters, possibly acting on platelets, which is particularly important given that the majority of trauma patients arriving in emergency departments in some countries have measurable blood alcohol levels [89]. However, some groups are generally enthusiastic about the routine use of VM testing [90], and VMs certainly will continue to be a research tool that can be used to investigate the kinetics of coagulation and fibrinolysis in whole blood.

**Conclusions**

By comparison with common diagnostic coagulation tests (APTT, PT, INR, etc.), fibrinolysis methods are more cumbersome and poorly standardized. However, improvements are being made. It is desirable to increase the application of SI units including traceable gravimetric and molar concentrations, for inhibitors and enzymes, and find reaction rate and binding constants that are realistic for a blood matrix. These parameters form the basis of quantitative reaction schemes and computer simulations of fibrinolysis. Biophysical methods such as IDMS will help with this endeavor and facilitate the harmonization of results from different methods and studies. Global tests such as euglobulin clot lysis time or plasma clot lysis have drawbacks and cannot provide a complete picture of fibrinolysis. Fluorescent substrate methods outlined in Table 2 offer the chance to express results as molar concentrations, but have so far not seen wide uptake. The relationship to TGA is clear, but even though TGA methods have been around for many years they remain largely a research tool and are difficult to standardize. The questions surrounding VMs when looking at fibrinolysis in routine testing situations, and particularly in the challenging arena of trauma, have been touched upon. Nevertheless, VMs are a valuable approach to studying the effects of cells in whole blood on fibrinolysis. Fibrinolysis studies lag behind coagulation studies in terms of methods and data covering transport-physics aspects such as flow and pressure differences across blood clots [91]. Despite all the difficulties highlighted above, which need to be realistically addressed, no doubt progress in method development will continue and contribute to better models to improve our understanding of the regulation of fibrinolysis in health and disease.
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