Geology of Piemonte region (NW Italy, Alps–Apennines interference zone)
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ABSTRACT

The geological map of Piemonte Region (Italy) is a graphic representation of the geology of the region, grounded on a large geodatabase, that can be also browsed as an interactive scalable map (GeoPiemonte Map) using a WebGIS application. The Map, produced at 1:250,000 scale, is the first original release of the ‘GeoPiemonte Map’ project. The geological data represented on the map derive from a thorough revision of available geological maps and literature, integrated with unpublished original data. The revision and harmonisation of existing and new data have been based on explicit criteria used for the classification of geologic units and their representation on the Map. These criteria firstly aimed at providing a lithostratigraphic, hierarchic subdivision of Piemonte geologic units and describing them using shared concepts and vocabularies, consistent with IUGS Descriptive Standards for the Geosciences.

1. Introduction

The geological map of Piemonte (Italy) is a graphic representation of the geology of the region, grounded on the contents of a large geodatabase, that can be also browsed as an interactive scalable map, using a WebGIS application.

The Map, here published at 1:250,000 scale, is the first original release of the ‘GeoPiemonte Map’ project. It includes, as a supplementary file, a graphical Map Legend featuring a conceptual scheme showing the classification criteria used and a list of the consulted geological maps.

The production of the ‘GeoPiemonte Map’ was carried out by a Scientific Board consisting of researchers of CNR-IGG Torino (http://www.igg.cnr.it/), ARPA Piemonte (http://www.arpa.piemonte.gov.it/), DST, University of Torino and DIATI, Polytechnic University, Torino.

2. Methods

The Piemonte Geological Map is a graphic representation of the geology of the region, grounded on the contents of a large geodatabase, that can be also browsed as an interactive scalable map, using a WebGIS application.

The Map, here published at 1:250,000 scale, is the first original release of the ‘GeoPiemonte Map’ project. The geological data represented on the map derive from a thorough revision of available geological maps and literature, integrated with unpublished original data. The revision and harmonisation of existing and new data have been based on explicit criteria used for the classification of geologic units and their representation on the Map. These criteria firstly aimed at providing a lithostratigraphic, hierarchic subdivision of Piemonte geologic units and describing them using shared concepts and vocabularies, consistent with IUGS Descriptive Standards for the Geosciences.
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The geological map of Piemonte Region (Italy) is a graphic representation of the geology of the region, grounded on a large geodatabase, that can be also browsed as an interactive scalable map, using a WebGIS application.

The Map, here published at 1:250,000 scale, is the first original release of the ‘GeoPiemonte Map’ project. The geological data represented on the map derive from a thorough revision of available geological maps and literature, integrated with unpublished original data. The revision and harmonisation of existing and new data have been based on explicit criteria used for the classification of geologic units and their representation on the Map. These criteria firstly aimed at providing a lithostratigraphic, hierarchic subdivision of Piemonte geologic units and describing them using shared concepts and vocabularies, consistent with IUGS Descriptive Standards for the Geosciences.

The Piemonte Geological Map is semantically constrained by controlled vocabularies and a dedicated ontology (Lombardo et al., 2016 Ontogeous, https://www.dii.unito.it/wikigeo/index.php?title=Pagina_principale).

The Map adopted, at least for the main subdivisions of the Legend, the IUGS GeoSciML vocabularies (http://www.geosciml.org) compliant with the INSPIRE EU Directive (Data Specification on Geology v.3). The GeoSciML ‘Geologic Unit’ Taxonomy was chosen to establish the hierarchy of the geological subdivisions, while GeoSciML ‘Earth Material’ and ‘Rock Material’ Taxonomy was used for the lithological description of the Mapped Features. The geological discontinuities were classified following the GeoSciML ‘Contact’ and ‘Geologic Structure’ Taxonomy.

The reconstruction of the geological evolution, onto which the subdivisions of the Map Legend were grounded, led to the definition of a number of

2.1. Map database – adopted controlled vocabulary and descriptive standards

The Piemonte Geological Map is a semantically constrained map and Geodatabase compiled to represent the Alps–Apennines orogenic system. The available data were, in some cases, reinterpreted in order to fit the adopted classification scheme.
Geologic Event’, here intended as ‘remarkable modification of a given geological context or environment induced by physical (tectonic, sedimentary or petroge-netic) processes’. Many of these Geologic Events are represented by regional-scale unconformities preserved in the stratigraphic record and correlatable across different geological domains (Figure 1).

3. How to read the Map

The Map consists of ‘Mapped Features’ that represent geologic units sensu GeoSciML (‘a body of material in the Earth whose complete and precise extent is inferred to exist. Spatial properties are only available through association with a MappedFeature’), http://www.geosci ml.org/geosiml/4.0/documentation/html/EARoot/EA1 /EA3/EA1/EA123.htm. The instances of the Map Legend correspond to lithostratigraphic units (‘a geologic unit defined on the basis of observable and distinctive lithologic properties or combination of lithologic properties and stratigraphic relationships’, GeoSciML, version 4.0), possibly grouped together into a single ‘Map Instance’ through a correlation process. In the sedimentary successions, the lithostratigraphic units are grouped into Synthems, bounded by unconformities (International Stratigraphic Guide, http://www.stratigraphy.org/index.php/ics-stratigraphicguide). The identification of unconformities is based on objective criteria (presence at the base of an angular unconformity; erosional truncation; abrupt facies contrast or composition change, contravening the ‘Walther rule’) that can be used in the field without the use of biostratigraphy (Clari, Dela Pierre, & Martire, 1995). The Metasedimentary successions have been subdivided in lithostratigraphic units grouped into informally defined ‘parasythems’ which can be correlated through the different tectonic units of the Piemonte Western Alps on the basis of their primary lithologic or stratigraphic characters (see below for further details).

The metamorphic rocks were subdivided into litho-demic units (i.e. ‘lithostratigraphic units that lack stratification’ or that ‘do not conform to the Law of Superposition’; INSPIRE, Data Specification on Geology), that are grouped in metamorphic complexes, intended as geologic units consisting of lithodemes of more than one genetic class (North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, 2005).

The lithostratigraphic units and lithodemic units have been grouped, in many cases, into lithotectonic units sensu GeoSciML (‘geologic unit defined on the basis of structural or deformation features, mutual relations, origin or historical evolution’), represented in the Map Legend by tile groups separated by ‘Titles’. The lithotectonic units are bounded by shear displacement structures sensu GeoSciML (‘a generalised shear displacement structure without any commitment to the internal nature of the structure: anything from a simple, single “planar” brittle or ductile surface to a fault system… of both brittle and ductile nature’). Four hierarchy orders of lithotectonic units (Geol_Unit1, … Geol_Unit4) exist in the Map Legend and are represented by a non-formal conceptual scheme (reported in the Map Legend), that shows the relations

![Figure 1. Scheme showing the relations between the geologic features and geologic concepts used for the construction of the Map Legend. Concepts and features (represented by plain text labels) are grouped in distinct ontologies or vocabularies (represented by coloured triangles). See ‘WikiGeo’ (https://www.di.unito.it/wikigeo/index.php?title=Pagina_principale) for further details.](image-url)
of the lithotectonic unit with the seven palaeogeographic domains or genetic contexts (see Section 5) to which they have been referred to (relation: ‘palaeogeographic pertinence’). The contacts between the lithotectonic unit and/or geologic units are represented by lines corresponding to stratigraphic contacts (unconformities, blue lines) or tectonic contacts (shear displacement structure, red lines), whose origin is related to some encoded Geological Events.

It must be remarked that the Map Legend is essentially based on lithostratigraphic criteria, where the geologic units are distinguished into polycyclic basements (mainly pre-Alpine), cover units (mainly Mesozoic), synorogenic basins (Cenozoic) and synorogenic magmatic bodies (cross-cutting all the previous cited subdivisions). Once grouped into the above-cited main subdivisions, the geologic units have been listed in the Legend following their present geometric position (from the external to the internal ones or from the top to the bottom) for each distinct tectonic stack.

4. Geological setting of the region

4.1 The Alps–Apennines orogenic system

The geomorphological framework of Piemonte shows several orographic features: the Alps and Apennines mountain ranges, the hills of Monferrato and Langhe, the end-moraine systems and fluvio-glacial or alluvial fan at the outlet of major Alpine and Apenninic valleys, major glacial lakes in the northern part of the region and the wide alluvial plains of Po River. This highly variable geomorphological framework mirrors an equally complex geologic and tectonic context, almost unique for its large variety of lithological and structural features. This has induced enormous scientific interest, promoting a large quantity of multidisciplinary scientific papers and maps.

The geological setting of Piemonte ideally encompasses an almost complete section of the Earth’s crust, ranging from deep lithospheric mantle rocks to oceanic basalts and relevant sedimentary covers, from the plutonic and volcanic continental rocks to the overlying carbonate and siliciclastic sedimentary covers, as well as to many kinds of metamorphic rocks formed in different geodynamic contexts and at different pressure and temperature conditions. Several Paleozoic to Cenozoic and Quaternary associations of rocks, referred to a long sequence of magmatic, metamorphic and sedimentary events, can be recognised. All this variety of geological units can be considered (as discussed below) as parts of the ‘Alps–Apennines orogenic system’.

The complexity of Piemonte geology is the result of a continuous geodynamic process which, since the Rhaetian-Hettangian, led to the formation of two continental ‘passive’ margins: the ‘Palaeo-European Margin’ and the ‘Palaeo-Adriatic Margin’, and two oceanic zones: the Liguria–Piemonte Domain and the Valais Domain (Bernoulli & Jenkins, 2009; Bertotti, Picotti, Bernoulli, & Castellari, 1993; Dal Piaz, 1974; 1999; Dal Piaz, Bistacchi, & Massironi, 2003; Dal Piaz, Hunziker, & Martinotti, 1972; Dewey & Bird, 1970; Dewey, Pitman, Ryan, & Bonnin, 1973; Handy, Schmid, Bouquet, Kissling, & Bernoulli, 2010; Mohn, Manatschal, Müntener, Beltrando, & Masini, 2010; Sturani, 1975; Trumpy, 2001). Since the Late Cretaceous, the European and Adriatic continental plate margins began to converge (Le Pichon, 1968) inducing the subduction of the interposed oceanic lithosphere. This process led, since the middle-late Eocene, to the collision and mutual indentation of the two plate margins. In this framework, the Alps–Apennines orogenic system originated, involving continental and oceanic crustal units that were affected, at a very different extent, by metamorphic and tectonic reworkings (see Dal Piaz, 2010 for a review). In the early Oligocene, magmatic complexes intruded the orogenic belt as a consequence of the crust subduction (see Alagna, Pecceirillo, Martin, & Donati, 2010, for a review). Contemporaneously, since the middle Eocene, synorogenic sedimentary basins developed: the middle Eocene–early Oligocene Alpine foreland basin in the outer part of the Alpine chain, the Eocene–Messinian Tertiary Piemonte Basin (BTP) and the Pliocene–Quaternary basins in the inner part. The sediments of these basins, which became, in turn, part of the overall orogenic system, recorded the syn-collisional tectonic evolution of the Alps–Apennines orogenic system.

From a geographic perspective, the Piemonte Alps–Apennines system can be subdivided into distinct orographic features:

(a) the Western Alps, represented in Piemonte by the Maritime, Cottian and parts of the Graian, Pennine and Lepontine Alps, which display the deeper crustal portions of the Alps–Apennines orogenic system, affected by pre-Alpine and Alpine metamorphism. Discontinuous portions of the Mesozoic sedimentary covers of the continental and oceanic crusts, metamorphosed at different degrees, as well as Eocene-Rupelian deposits of the Alpine Foreland Basin, are exposed in the Cottian, Maritime and Ligurian Alps. These are, at a minor extent, also exposed in the Ossola sector, in the ‘Lakes district’ and in the Canavese–Biellese area. The Piemonte Alps roughly correspond to the internal and axial sectors of the Western Alps double-vergent orogenic belt (see Beltrando, Compagnoni, & Lombardo, 2010; Dal Piaz et al., 2003; Pfiffner, Lehrer, Heitzmann, Mueller, & Steck, 1997; Rosenbaum, Lister, & Duboz, 2002; Roure, 1996; Roure, Polino, & Nicolic, 1990 for a review). The internal sector is bounded by the Periadriatic Lineament (Insubric Fault, Canavese Line) and by the south, southeast- and east-vergent (‘retrovergent’) thrust fronts on its Padane side.

4.2 Geological setting of the Piemonte Alps

Within the Western Alps, Piemonte is characterized by intense and prolonged orogenesis (see Beltrando, 1996; 1998; Dal Piaz et al., 2003; Pfiffner et al., 1997; Rosenbaum et al., 2002; Roure, 1996; Roure et al., 1990 for a review). The internal sector is bounded by the Periadriatic Lineament (Insibric Fault, Canavese Line) and by the south, southeast- and east-vergent (‘retrovergent’) thrust fronts on its Padane side.
Portions of these sedimentary successions, which did not undergo metamorphic transformations at depth, are now part of the northern Apennines and of the Maritime and Ligurian Alps.

(b) The southern part of Piemonte Alps (represented by the Ligurian Alps between Tenda Pass and Cadibona Pass), which are characterised by polycyclic metamorphic rocks (Ligurian Briançonnais basement) and late Carboniferous to Permian sedimentary-volcaniclastic sequences covered by Mesozoic carbonate successions of the palaeo-European margin, are in turn unconformably covered by Eocene to Pliocene sediments of the synorogenic basins. The southern Piemonte Alps belong to the external sector of the Western Alps orogenic belt, which is bounded by south- and southwest-vergent thrust systems bounding it from the foreland domains (Ford, Lickorish, & Kuszniir, 1999; Jourdon, Rolland, Petit, & Bellahsen, 2014).

(c) The Apennines mountain range to the East of Cadibona Pass extends in Piemonte up to the boundary with the Emilia-Romagna and Lombardia regions. From Cadibona Pass to the Lemme valley, the Apennines show polycyclic basement rocks and the overlying Mesozoic carbonate successions of the palaeo-European margin, as well as metamorphic rocks derived from the oceanic Liguria–Piemonte Domain (from Erro valley to Lemme valley). In the geological literature, these units are included in the Ligurian Alps, although they belong, from a geographic and orographic perspective, to the Apennines. To the northeast of the Lemme valley, non-metamorphic rocks belonging to the oceanic Liguria–Piemonte Domain or to the Eocene to Pliocene synorogenic basins are prevalent. These units represent the shallower part of the orogenic system, never involved in deep tectonic processes, and are a part of the Northern Apennines tectonic belt, formed since the late Oligocene in response to the overthrusting, toward the East and NE, of the Ligurian units onto the palaeo-Adriatic continental margin (Elter, 1973; Elter & Pertusati, 1973) and overlying Cenozoic sediments of the Po plain subsurface (Biella et al., 1997; Cassano, Anelli, Fichera, & Cappelli, 1986; Falletti, Gelati, & Rogliani, 1995; Pieri & Groppi, 1981; Roure, 1996; Roure et al., 1990).

(d) The hills of the Langhe, Alto Monferrato, Barbera-Grue, Monferrato and Torino areas consist of sedimentary units of the synorogenic basins, deformed and uplifted during the Cenozoic and Quaternary. The Padane alluvial basin (e.g. the Vercelli and Novara alluvial plains) and the Savigliano and Alessandria basins are filled by Quaternary successions whose deposition was controlled by recent tectonics (Delacou, Sue, Champagnac, & Burkhard, 2004; Eva & Solarino, 1998; Morelli, Piana, Mallen, Niccolò, & Fioraso, 2011; Perrone et al., 2013). For this reason, the Quaternary deposits are here considered as effective parts of the Alps–Apennines system.

In such a complex framework, tens of lithotectonic units were identified and represented on the Map.

4.2 Main Geologic Events recognized at regional scale

A number of main regional-scale Geologic Events punctuated, since late Carboniferous times, the above-described geological evolution (Table 1), providing the essential criteria to classify the geologic units. Table 1 also reports the regional-scale unconformities and metamorphic foliations formed during each Geologic Event.

5. Fundamental geologic criteria

The geologic units of the Map are classified based on the main physiographic and palaeogeographic domains (Figure 2) where they are thought to be originally formed according to the more largely accepted reconstructions:

- the palaeo-European continental margin,
- the distal part of the palaeo-European continental margin,
- the Liguria–Piemonte oceanic domain and Valais domain,
- the palaeo-Adriatic continental margin,
- the synorogenic Cenozoic basins,
- the Alpine synorogenic magmatic bodies.

Furthermore, some main ‘Tectonic Slice a Zones’ have been identified as major geological domains consisting of assemblages of geologic units (tectonic slices) pertaining to different palaeogeographic domains, whose stratigraphic and/or tectonic relations are so complex that their grouping in a comprehensive, highly tectonised domain, has been thought as the best solution. The ‘palaeo-European margin’ concept is here used sensu Handly et al. (2010) and Mohn et al. (2010), where the Briançonnais domain is viewed as an isolated part of the European continental margin placed between the Valais and Liguria–Piemonte oceanic domains (for the northern part of Piemonte) and a portion of the hyperextended European continental margin (for the south-western part). The ‘palaeo-Adriatic margin’ concept is here intended in the sense of Stampfli et al. (1998), referring solely to the continental margin of the ‘Adriatic plate sensu stricto’. All the Mapped Units are here considered as parts of the ‘Alps–Apennines orogenic system’, which is currently under formation as a whole. This term (in which the words ‘Alps’ and ‘Apennines’ refer solely to their original geographic meaning) refers to all the geologic units involved in the orogenetic processes that affected, since Late Cretaceous, the palaeo-European and the
Table 1. List of the encoded Geologic Events, identified back to late Carboniferous times, which the Geologic Units and Geologic Structures of the Map have been referred to, in order to make correlations at regional scale.

| Regional unconformity or schistosity | Geologic event | Age of discontinuity | Geological domain (in Piemonte) | Source |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| S0 – Post-Variscan continental deposition | F0 – Post-Variscan transtensive tectonics, igneous underplating, thermal perturbation and magmatic activity | Permian | Briançonnais, Dauphinois, Southern Alps | Muttoni et al. (2009), Gaetani (2010) |
| S1 – Marine transgression | F1 – Extensional tectonics on Laurasia due to NeoTethys opening | Early Triassic | Briançonnais, Dauphinois, Southern Alps | Cassinis, Perotti, and Ronchi (2011), Stampfli, Borel, Marchant, and Mosar (2002) |
| S2 – Tethyan rift-onset unconformity + breakup unconformity | F2a – Continental rifting | Latest Triassic – Middle Jurassic | Briançonnais, Dauphinois, Southern Alps | Lemoine et al. (1986), Masini, Manatschal, and Mohn (2013) |
| | F2b – Opening of the oceanic Alpine Tethys | | Austroalpine | |
| S3 – Transtensive tectonics on the southern margin of Eurasia | F3 – Transtensive tectonics, opening of North Atlantic ocean | Early Cretaceous | Briançonnais, Dauphinois, Pre-Piemontese, Valais basin | Dercourt et al. (2000), Lagabrielle, Labaume, and de Saint Blanquat (2010) |
| MS1 HP schistosity | AT1 – Alpine subduction | Latest Cretaceous-middle Eocene | Alps–Apennines System | Dal Piaz et al. (1972, 2003) |
| | F4 – Beginning of collisional Alpine tectonics and related metamorphism | Middle Eocene | Alpine Foreland Basin | Sinclair (1997), Ford et al. (1999), Frey et al. (1974) |
| MS2 greenschist foliation | AT2 – Start of Exhumation of Alps HP units and subducted slab break off | Latest Eocene – earliest Oligocene | Alps–Apennines System | Frey et al. (1974), Dal Piaz and Goso (1994) |
| S5/DO | F5 – Alpine belt exhumation – Ligurian phase I | Priabonian | Alpine Foreland Basin, TPB (epi mesoalpine basin) | Mutti et al. (1995) |
| D1 | F6 – Ligurian phase II | Early Rupelian | TPB | Mutti et al. (1995), Dela Pierre et al. (2003), d‘Atri, Piana, Barale, Bertok, and Martire (2016) |
| D2 Development of post-metamorphic foliations | F7 – BTP reshaping – W Alps uplifting | Early Burdigalian | TPB | Dela Pierre, et al. (2003), d‘Atri et al. (2016) |
| | AT3 – Internal W Alps uplifting | Post-Burdigalian | Alps–Apennines System | |
| D3 | F8 – N-ward shifting of BTP depocenters | Late Burdigalian–early Langhian | TPB | Falletti et al. (1995), Rossi, Mosca, Polino, Rogledi, and Biffi (2009) |
| D4 | F9 – N-ward propagation of S-Padane thrust fronts and BTP narrowing | Early Tortonian | TPB | Irace, Dela Pierre, and Clari (2005), Dela Pierre et al. (2011) |
| D5 (Messinian erosional surface, MES) | F10 – Intra-Messinian tectonics | Messinian | TPB | Falletti et al. (1995), Rossi, Mosca, Polino, Rogledi, and Biffi (2009) |
| D6 | F11 – Late Messinian base-level minimum | Late Messinian | TPB | Irace et al. (2005), Dela Pierre et al. (2011) |
| D7 | F12 – Pliocene marine flooding | Early Pliocene | Pliocene basins | Violanti (2012), Ghielmi, Minervini, Nini, Rogledi, and Rossi (2013) |
| D8 | F13 – Intra-Zanclean tectonics | Late Zanclean | Pliocene basins | Vigna, Fiorucci, and Ghielmi (2010), Ghielmi et al. (2013) |
| D9 | F14 – Gelasian tectonics: Pliocene Basin fragmentation | Gelasian | Quaternary basins | Vigna et al. (2010), Ghielmi et al. (2013), Irace et al. (2017) |

Legend: S: main correlatable regional unconformity; MS: main regional tectonic and/or metamorphic foliation; D: main correlatable regional unconformity in the synorogenic basin successions; F: main regional tectonic event (or phase); AT: main regional tectonic event recorded in the Western Alps tectonic belt.
palaeo-Adriatic margins, as well as the Liguria–Piemonte and Valais oceanic domains and the Cenozoic synorogenic sedimentary basins progressively incorporated into the system and resting on both Alpine-type metamorphic and non-metamorphic units. Although, at the scale of the entire western Mediterranean area, the Alps and Apennines show distinct geomorphological, geological and geophysical characters (Carminati & Doglioni, 2012), in Piemonte, where these two mountain ranges join, the distinction between the two corresponding orogens could be controversial or meaningless. Depending on the different criteria used (palaeogeography, metamorphism, tectonic vergence, age of deformation), some geological units (such as the Voltri Unit, the Torino Hill and Monferrato) could be ascribed to either orogens. To avoid these problems, the lithotectonic units of Piemonte have been subdivided on the basis of: (i) the palaeogeographic-geodynamic context where they formed, (ii) the degree of preservation of their primary features, that is whether they have been metamorphosed or not, (iii) their present structural position (in a strict geometric or geographic sense). The conceptual Scheme reported on the Map Legend defines the subdivision criteria used for the classification of Piemonte geologic units, consisting of several hierarchy levels corresponding to the main palaeogeographic domains and different-order lithotectonic units (subdomains). The adopted subdivisions can be compared, although partially different, with previously used classification schemes, for instance the

Figure 2. Scheme showing the present distribution of the palaeogeographic and physiographic domains, as well as the geologic units belonging to the other main categories adopted to construct the Map Legend.
classical subdivision of the northwestern Alps into three main structural domains (see Beltrando et al., 2010; Dal Piaz et al., 2003; Molli et al., 2010; Pfiffner et al., 1997; Schmid, Fugenshuh, Kissling, & Schuster, 2004 for a review) (see the ‘Tectonic sketch Map’ in the Main Map):

(i) an internal (i.e. placed in the Padane realm of the Alps–Apennines orogen) domain (Southalpine Domain) belonging to the upper plate of the collisional system (Adriatic plate) and only partially involved in the Alpine orogenic process. This domain is made of Variscan and pre-Variscan basement with lower continental crust and upper mantle rocks, which underwent minor Alpine metamorphism, followed by Permian volcaniclastic deposits and a Mesozoic sedimentary succession. This domain is bounded by the Periadriatic Lineament (Insubric Fault, Canavese Line) and by south-to-southeast vergent (‘retrovergent’) thrust fronts on its Padane side;

(ii) an external (i.e. placed on the European side of the Alps, mostly in France and Switzerland) domain, belonging to the lower plate of the collisional system and corresponding to the Helvetic, Dauphinois, Provençal and (partially) to the External Briançonnais domains of the geological literature. This domain consists of a Variscan polymetamorphic basement with Carboniferous to Permian sedimentary successions and intrusive bodies, Mesozoic sedimentary covers and Cenozoic synorogenic deposits, which underwent anchizone to lower greenschist facies metamorphism. The external sector is characterised by frontal thrust systems inducing the ‘decollement’ of the Mesozoic–Cenozoic sedimentary covers from their polymetamorphic basements;

(iii) a central (axial) part of the orogenic system (partially corresponding to the Penninic domain Auct.), bounded by two main tectonic discontinuities, the Insubric–Canavese Line on the inner side and the Penninic Front on the outer side. They are made up of rock units with different origin involved in the Alpine orogenic prism and thus metamorphosed (Beltrando et al., 2010). These units originally belonged to the Piemonte-Liguria oceanic Domain, to portions of the palaeo-European margin (Briançonnais Domain, Lower and Upper Penninic Domains) and to the palaeo-Adriatic margin (Austroalpine Domain). This domain underwent Alpine metamorphism ranging from greenschist facies to ultra-high-pressure eclogite facies conditions. These historical subdivisions, although not used on the Map as discriminant criteria for the classification of geologic units, have been used to draw the Tectonic sketch Map, which gives an alternative – but comparable – representation with respect to the Map Legend scheme.

The geological units cropping out in the geographic Apennines have been classified on the basis of the same genetic criteria adopted for the units of the Alps, so that in some cases (e.g. the Ligurian non-metamorphic units) they are grouped together with the ‘Alpine’ ones. Finally, for the classification of the sedimentary successions of the synorogenic basins (Tertiary Piemonte Basin Auct., Alpine Foreland Basin, Pliocene and Quaternary basins), we opted mostly for lithostratigraphic subdivisions and grouping of units into Synthems bounded by regional-scale unconformities (see below).

6. Map representation criteria

6.1. Lithostratigraphic criteria – metamorphic and sedimentary rocks

The Map Legend was built using lithostratigraphic criteria, that is, subdividing rocks into geologic units on the basis of their compositional and textural features and their subsequent subdivisions into formal and/or informal lithostratigraphic units. Consequently, the first step for the classification of the geologic units was their attribution to either metamorphic or ‘non-metamorphic’ rock classes. A metamorphic rock is here intended as a rock whose primary features are ‘mostly’ (not necessarily ‘completely’) overprinted by secondary petrogenetic processes and whose main distinctive features (e.g. main foliation or layering) are due to dynamic internal re-organisation or mineralogical–chemical transformation. Therefore, the Piemonte Geological Map Legend simply separates the metamorphic units from the ‘non-metamorphic’ ones, and does not classify them on the base of their ‘metamorphic grade’, which would have induced very great difficulties in the map synthesis, due to the inhomogeneous availability of such kind of data.

6.1.1. Subdivision of sedimentary units

Several unconformities have been recognised (Table 1) that allow subdivision of the stratigraphic successions into Synthems. Each Synthem, the fundamental unit of the Map Legend for the sedimentary successions, contains groups of coeval lithostratigraphic units (formations or members), already defined in the literature, and is bounded by regional-scale unconformities. In each Synthem, the lithostratigraphic units are genetically related, as they originated in different but adjoining depositional systems during the same time interval, and so the Synthems also have a chronostratigraphic value. The use of Synthems allowed a correlation of geographically separated sedimentary bodies, for example, in the Pliocene succession, in the Tertiary
The parasynthems (labelled as AC0, synthems be considered properly as synthems but as morphism. These groups of metamorphic units cannot be hard to trace laterally, due to the non-continuous presence of lithostratigraphic markers; in those cases they were mapped laterally anyway, as for the D4 and D8. The title of each graphical tile of the Legend reports the distinctive lithological features and the age of each Synthem, whereas the describing text reports the lithostratigraphic units of that Synthem.

**6.1.2. Subdivision of Metasedimentary Units**

The Metasedimentary successions have been subdivided into the same stratigraphic units used for the non-metamorphic successions. The criteria used for separating the metamorphic sedimentary units are the recognition of the main unconformities (from S0 to S4, see Table 1) or their inference, in the case of strong transformations of rocks by the effects of metamorphism. These groups of metamorphic units cannot be considered properly as synthems but as ‘para-synthems’, as we informally define this kind of units. The parasynthems (labelled as AC0, … AC4) can be correlated through different tectonic units of the Piemonte Western Alps on the basis of their primary lithologic or stratigraphic characters. The recognition, or inference, of the main unconformities bounding the parasynthems, thus allows the correlation of the related Geologic Events (Table 1) across metamorphosed and non-metamorphosed rock domains, providing an important key for the interpretation of the regional geologic evolution. Furthermore, in the Geo-Piemonte Map some slivers of ‘incertae sedis’ sedimentary rocks, preserved as discontinuous and partially detached portions covering the polycyclic basement, have also been comprehensively grouped as ‘slivers of undifferentiated metasedimentary covers’. These also include the major gypsum masses cropping out along the tectonic contacts separating the main lithotectonic units of the Piemonte Alps, where breccias and fault rocks (Carnieules Auct.) are also commonly present.

**6.2. Representation of Quaternary succession**

The mapping of the continental Quaternary deposits deals with several methodological problems, such as:

- a heterogeneous dataset with different geographic distribution, clustering and quality depending on the aims and scale of representation;
- the different criteria adopted over time for classifying continental units (e.g. chronostratigraphy, lithostratigraphy, morphostratigraphy, etc.);
- the scarcity of radiometric (i.e. $^{14}$C, U/Th) and biostratigraphic data.

The use of criteria based on the recognition of unconformity-bounded lithostratigraphic units and feeder catchments could solve those problems, but it seems unreliable for synthesis maps in which detailed stratigraphic subdivisions are unrepresentable. Furthermore, correlations among units physically separated are defective if robust chronological data are lacking. In order to bypass these difficulties, three sedimentary basins, with distinct morphologic and lithotectonic characteristics that can be defined since the late Pliocene, were distinguished: the Western Po Basin, the Savigliano Basin and the Alessandria Basin (sensu Itrace et al., 2009, 2017). Each basin consists of several depositional systems related to major catchments, characterised by individual stratigraphic architectures as a response to tectonic and climatic events. For each depositional system, a detailed stratigraphy has been established on the basis of unconformities bounding the sedimentary units. The major depositional systems were firstly separated using a morphostratigraphic approach coupled with analyses of subsurface data. Subsequently, the hierarchy of the bounding unconformities was established making physical and chronological correlations of surface and subsurface data. Moreover, the deposits located in the modern fluvial river beds and in mountains and hills, outside the three above-mentioned basins, have been grouped as ‘ubiquitous deposits’, sensu Polino et al. (2002) and Bini et al. (2004). Stratigraphic correlations of these deposits are unreliable because of the impossibility to extend, in the mountain catchments, the stratigraphic subdivision used in the plains. Some other Quaternary geological units were represented by direct evidence of morphological features such as erosional scarps, alluvial fans and moraine ridges. For this purpose, a high-resolution topographic map with 2 m spaced contour lines were generated for the whole region from the ‘DTM 2009-2011 Piemonte ICE’ with a grid cell size of 5 m.

**6.3. Tectonic criteria**

The Map reports two distinct hierarchy levels of tectonic Geologic Contacts (‘ubiquitous deposits’ – SDS sensu GeoSciML):

- first level: tectonic contacts between first-order geologic units (lithotectonic units);
- second level: faults and shear zones developed within a single geologic unit or cutting across different geologic units.

**7. Conclusions**

The Piemonte Geological Map derives from a thorough revision of available geological maps and literature, partially reinterpreted and integrated with unpublished
original data. The revision and harmonisation of the existing and new data have been based on some general criteria used for the classification of geologic units and their representation on the Map. These criteria (see conceptual scheme on the Map Legend) provided the constraints to design the GIS geodatabase architecture, which drove the graphical representation of the geologic features. These criteria firstly aimed at giving a lithostratigraphic, hierarchical subdivision of Piedmont geologic units and then at grouping them into lithotectonic units, in their turn referred to first-order palaeogeographic subdivisions. The description of the geologic features, here provided using shared, retraceable concepts and controlled vocabularies consistent with IUGS Descriptive Standards and the EU INSPIRE directive, represents the ‘added value’ of the Map.

Software

The preparation of the topographic basemap and compilation of the geological map (polygons and lines) were performed using Esri ArcGIS. The database was managed using QuantumGIS, version 2.8. The final layout of the Map, Tectonic sketch Map and Legend were assembled using Adobe Illustrator CS5 Version 15.1.0.
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