Tyvelose is a 3,6-dideoxyhexose found in the O-antigen of the surface lipopolysaccharides of some pathogenic bacteria. It is synthesized via a complex biochemical pathway that is initiated by the formation of CDP-d-glucose. The production of this ligand is catalyzed by the enzyme glucose-1-phosphate cytidylyltransferase, which utilizes α-D-glucose 1-phosphate and MgCTP as substrates. Previous x-ray crystallographic investigations have demonstrated that the *Salmonella typhi* enzyme complexed with the product CDP-glucose is a fully integrated hexamer displaying 32 point group symmetry. The binding pocket for CDP-glucose is shared between two subunits. Here we describe both a detailed kinetic analysis of the cytidylyltransferase and a structural investigation of the enzyme complexed with MgCTP. These data demonstrate that the reaction catalyzed by the cytidylyltransferase proceeds via a sequential rather than a Bi Bi ping-pong mechanism as was previously reported. Additionally, the enzyme utilizes both CTP and UTP equally well as substrates. The structure of the enzyme with bound MgCTP reveals that the binding pocket for the nucleotide is contained within one subunit rather than shared between two. Key side chains involved in nucleotide binding include Thr14, Arg15, Lys25, and Arg111. In the previous structure of the enzyme complexed with CDP-glucose, those residues defined by Thr14 to Ile31 were disordered. The kinetic and x-ray crystallographic data presented here support a mechanism for this enzyme that is similar to that reported for the glucose-1-phosphate thymidylyltransferases.

O-Antigens are glycan side chains of bacterial surface lipopolysaccharides that play a role in pathogenicity and are recognized by the immunological defense system of the host (1). Tyvelose, as shown in Scheme 1, is a 3,6-dideoxyhexose that occurs in the O-antigens of some types of Gram-negative bacteria such as *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* IVA and *Salmonella typhi* (2). It is produced via a complex biochemical pathway that employs α-D-glucose 1-phosphate as the starting ligand. The first committed step in the pathway, as shown in Scheme 1, is the transfer of a CMP moiety from CTP to glucose 1-phosphate. This reaction is catalyzed by α-D-glucose 1-phosphate cytidylyltransferase, which was first purified in the early 1960s from various bacterial species (3–7). These initial reports established the kinetic parameters of the enzyme and were in agreement despite the varying sources of protein. All of the studies demonstrated that the cytidylyltransferase has a strict preference for CTP, with only trace activity in the presence of dCTP and UTP, and no measurable activity with any other NTP or dNTP (4, 6, 7). The enzyme was shown to have maximal activity at pH ~8 and to display feedback inhibition by CDP-paratose, CDP-tyvelose, and other intermediates in the production of CDP-tyvelose (4–7). Additionally, the type of inhibition observed was consistent with multiple binding sites for the inhibitor. The overall reaction was shown to be readily reversible with an equilibrium constant in the direction of CDP-glucose formation of 0.57 to 1.0 (4, 6). These initial reports also indicated that the quaternary structure of the enzyme was monomeric with an overall molecular weight of 110,000 (7).

Following these earlier studies, highly purified samples of α-D-glucose-1-phosphate cytidylyltransferase were subsequently obtained from *Salmonella enterica* strain LT2, *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, and *Streptomyces glaucescens* GLA0 (8–10). In contrast, these investigations indicated the quaternary structure of the enzyme to be tetrameric rather than monomeric with a subunit molecular weight of ~30,000 (9). A detailed kinetic analysis of the cytidylyltransferase from *S. enterica* suggested that its catalytic mechanism proceeds via a Bi Bi ping-pong reaction (8). In such a mechanism, CTP binds first to the enzyme followed by an attack of an enzymatic base on the α-phosphorus of the nucleotide, resulting in the formation of an enzyme-CMP covalent intermediate and the release of pyrophosphate. Glucose 1-phosphate subsequently binds and conducts a nucleophilic attack on the phosphorus of the enzyme-CMP intermediate resulting in CDP-glucose formation. Strikingly, this mode of catalysis is in sharp contrast to the sequential mechanism reported for the related glucose-1-phosphate thymidylyltransferases (11, 12).

Recently, the x-ray crystallographic structure of the *S. typhi* glucose-1-phosphate cytidylyltransferase complexed with CDP-glucose was determined in this laboratory (13). The enzyme crystallized in the space group P6121 with one subunit in the asymmetric unit. Contrary to previous reports, the crystallographic data clearly demonstrated the enzyme to be a fully integrated hexamer displaying 32 point group symmetry. Subsequent analytical ultracentrifugation experiments confirmed the hexameric nature of the cytidylyltransferase (13). A view of one-half of the hexamer is shown in Fig. 1. Note that each active site is formed by residues contributed from two subunits, specifically those related by the crystallographic dyads. Each subunit displays a "bird-like" appearance with the "body" dom-

© 2005 by The American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Inc.

Printed in U.S.A.

**Kinetic and Structural Analysis of α-D-Glucose-1-phosphate Cytidylyltransferase from *Salmonella typhi***

Received for publication, December 15, 2004, and in revised form, December 22, 2004

Published, JBC Papers in Press, January 5, 2005, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M414111200

**Nicole M. Koropatkin,** W. Wallace Cleland‡, and Hazel M. Holden§

*From the Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706*

The on-line version of this article (available at http://www.jbc.org) contains Fig. S1. The atomic coordinates and structure factors (code 1WVC) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ (http://www.rcsb.org/).

§ To whom correspondence may be addressed. Tel.: 608-262-4988; Fax: 608-262-1319; E-mail: Hazel_Holden@biochem.wisc.edu.

‡ To whom correspondence may be addressed. Tel.: 608-262-4988; Fax: 608-262-1319; E-mail: Cleland@biochem.wisc.edu.

*This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants DK47814 (to H. M. H.) and GM18938 (to W. W. C.). The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

The Journal of Biological Chemistry, Vol. 280, No. 11, Issue of March 18, pp. 10774–10780, 2005

Printed in U.S.A.
termined by UV spectrophotometry assuming extinction coefficients at concentrations of CTP, CDP-glucose, UTP, and UDP-glucose were determined by a seven-stranded mixed $\beta$-sheet and the two "wings" formed by $\beta$-hairpin motifs. Here we present both a detailed kinetic analysis of the enzyme and the three-dimensional structure of the protein complexed with CTP. These biochemical and x-ray crystallographic data indicate that the reaction proceeds via a sequential mechanism, that the enzyme can utilize both UTP and CTP, that the binding site for CTP is contained within a single subunit of the hexamer, and that the wings of each subunit adopt different orientations when CTP versus CDP-glucose is bound in the active site.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Protein Purification**—The $S. typhi$ glucose-1-phosphate cytidylyltransferase was purified according to previously published procedures (13). All chemicals and enzymes were purchased from Sigma. The concentrations of CTP, CDP-glucose, UTP, and UDP-glucose were determined by UV spectrophotometry assuming extinction coefficients at 271 nm of 9.3 mM$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$ for CTP and CDP-glucose and 10.3 mM$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$ for UTP and UDP-glucose.

**Enzymatic Assays for the Forward and Reverse Reactions**—The activity of glucose-1-phosphate cytidylyltransferase in the forward reaction was followed by two spectrophotometric methods. Absorbance readings were taken with a Beckman DU 640B spectrophotometer equipped with a Peltier temperature-controlled 6-cell autosampler. The substrate specificity of cytidylyltransferase was assessed in a coupled assay in which the production of pyrophosphate was linked to the oxidation of NADH. These assays were performed in a discontinuous manner utilizing the pyrophosphate detection kit from Sigma (product P-7275). The pyrophosphate detection kit contained the coupling enzymes pyrophosphate-dependent phosphofructokinase, aldolase, triose-phosphate isomerase, and glycerophosphate dehydrogenase, as well as the appropriate substrates. For this set of experiments, the pyrophosphate reagent was prepared by resuspending one vial of the lyophilized reagent mixture in 2 ml of sterile water, rather than the recommended 4 ml. The assay was initiated by the addition of cytidylyltransferase (18 $\mu$L, 0.25 $\mu$g/$\mu$L) to 3 ml of solution containing 1–2 mM NTP, 3–4 mM MgCl$_2$, 1–2 mM of various sugar 1-phosphates, and 25 mM HEPPS (pH 8.0). At various times (0 min to 24 h), 800 $\mu$L were removed and quenched by the addition of 40 $\mu$L of 2 M HCl and 20 $\mu$L of CCl$_4$ followed by 30 s of vortexing. These aliquots were subsequently centrifuged for 1 min and 800 $\mu$L were withdrawn and transferred to a Microfuge tube containing 35 $\mu$L of 2 M NaOH to neutralize the pH. To this solution, 165 $\mu$L of the pyrophosphate reagent was added and the reaction was incubated at $30^\circ C$ for 20 min. The amount of pyrophosphate produced over time was assessed by the decrease in absorbance at 340 nm according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Negative controls were performed in which cytidylyltransferase, CTP, or glucose 1-phosphate were omitted from the reaction.

The kinetic constants for the cytidylyltransferase forward reaction were assessed via a continuous coupled assay for the detection of pyrophosphate. Unfortunately, an unidentified contaminant in the Sigma pyrophosphate detection kit acted as a substrate for the cytidylyltransferase reaction when only CTP or UTP were added to the reaction. Therefore, this kit could not be used to perform a continuous assay. Instead, the individual reagents of the kit were purchased from Sigma and the pyrophosphate reagent was reconstituted from the individual components. Each 350-$\mu$L reaction contained 14.4 mM imidazole (pH 7.4), 1.6 mM citrate, 32 $\mu$L EDTA, 64 $\mu$L MnCl$_2$, 6.4 $\mu$L CoCl$_2$, 256 $\mu$L $\beta$-NADH, 38 $\mu$L fructose-6-phosphate, 0.06 units of pyrophosphate-dependent phosphofructokinase, 0.6 units of glycerophosphate dehydrogenase, 5.6 units of triose-phosphate isomerase, 0.84 units of aldolase, 0.5 mg of bovine serum albumin, and 0.35 $\mu$L of cytidylyltransferase. Each reaction mixture contained the remaining reaction components. The reaction was varied from 50 $\mu$L to 1 $\mu$L at fixed levels of glucose 1-phosphate in the range of 25 $\mu$L to 1 $\mu$L. The MgCl$_2$ concentration was fixed at 2.5 $\mu$L above the concentration of CTP in each reaction. 40 $\mu$L of the variable substrate, glucose 1-phosphate or CTP and MgCl$_2$, were transferred to a quartz cuvette and the reaction was initiated by the addition of 310 $\mu$L containing the remaining reaction components. The reaction was quickly mixed and the absorbance at 340 nm was monitored every 15 s for 7 min. During this time, the reactions were kept at a constant temperature of $26^\circ C$. The continuous assay was also performed varying the variable substrate, glucose 1-phosphate while keeping the concentration of CTP fixed at 1 $\mu$L. Similarly, the concentrations of dCTP or UTP were varied while maintaining the concentration of glucose 1-phosphate at 1 $\mu$L. In the assays involving dCTP and xylose 1-phosphate, 20 times the concentration of cytidylyltransferase was required to achieve an observable reaction velocity. To compare reaction velocities, the rate of the reaction with CTP and glucose 1-phosphate at this higher enzyme concentration
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was also measured. Control experiments for the continuous assay were performed in which cytidylyltransferase, glucose 1-phosphate, or NTP were omitted from the assay to ensure that pyrophosphate production did not occur unless both of the substrates and cytidylyltransferase were present.

The products of the reaction with CTP, UTP, glucose 1-phosphate, and xylose 1-phosphate were identified via HPLC analysis. HPLC analysis of the reaction products was performed on an AKTA HPLC (Amersham Biosciences) equipped with a 1-ml Resource Q column using two different sets of conditions. The reaction products were analyzed at pH 4.0 with a gradient of 20 to 500 mM ammonium acetate over 20 ml and at pH 8.5 with a gradient of 20 to 500 mM ammonium carbonate over 20 ml. The products of the reaction were identified by comparing the chromatograms to those of authentic samples of CMP, UMP, CDP, UDP, CDP-glucose, UDP-glucose, CTP, or UTP.

The kinetic constants for the reverse (NTP synthesis) reaction were determined via a discontinuous HPLC assay. Each 1–5 ml reaction contained 1 µg/ml cytidylyltransferase and 25 mM HEPPS (pH 8.0), whereas the concentrations of CDP-glucose and sodium pyrophosphate or UDP-glucose and sodium pyrophosphate were varied over the range of 20 mM to 10 mM. The concentration of MgCl₂ was fixed at 0.2 and 1 mM, respectively, whereas the concentration of MgCl₂ was also measured. Control experiments for the continuous assay were performed in which cytidylyltransferase, glucose 1-phosphate, or NTP were omitted from the assay to ensure that pyrophosphate production did not occur unless both of the substrates and cytidylyltransferase were present.

The double reciprocal plot clearly showed a series of intersecting lines from the continuous assay with CTP and glucose 1-phosphate did not occur unless both of the substrates and cytidylyltransferase were present.

| Table I | X-ray data collection statistics |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| Wavelength (Å) | 0.95730 |
| Resolution limits (Å) | 50–2.5 |
| 2.59–2.5 a |
| No. independent reflections | 11,780 |
| Completeness (%) | 97.4 |
| Redundancy | 90.3 |
| Average I/average σ (I) | 26.4 |
| Rmerge (%) | 3.1 |
| a Statistics for the highest resolution bin. |
| b Rmerge = (ΣI – Σ|I|)/Σ|I| |

Crystallographic Analysis of Cytidylyltransferase—Prior to crystallization, the protein was concentrated to 9.5 mg/ml CTP and MgCl₂ were added to final concentrations of 4 and 8 mM, respectively. Crystals were grown by the hanging drop method of vapor diffusion against a precipitant solution containing 24–28% poly(ethylene glycol) 400, 100 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM HEPPS (pH 7.0). The crystals belonged to the space group P6322 with unit cell dimensions of a = b = 84.2 Å, c = 157.4 Å, and one subunit per asymmetric unit. X-ray Data Collection and Structural Analysis—Prior to x-ray data collection, the crystals were serially transferred from the hanging drop experiments to a cryoprotectant solution containing 30% poly(ethylene glycol) 400, 10% ethylene glycol, 400 mM NaCl, 100 mM MgCl₂, 4 mM CTP, and 100 mM HEPPS (pH 7.0), flash-cooled to -150 °C in a stream of nitrogen vapor, and stored under liquid nitrogen until synchrotron beam time became available. X-ray data from a single crystal were collected on a CCD detector at the COMCAT-32-ID beamline (Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL). All x-ray data were processed with HKL2000 and scaled with SCALiPACK (15). Relevant x-ray data collection statistics are presented in Table I.

The structure of S. typhi glucose-1-phosphate cytidylyltransferase was determined via a discontinuous HPLC assay. Each 1–5 ml reaction contained 1 µg/ml cytidylyltransferase and 25 mM HEPPS (pH 8.0), whereas the concentration of MgCl₂ was varied from 0.7 to 5.2 mM. These 1-ml reactions contained 1.0 µg of cytidylyltransferase. The reaction products were separated on a 1-ml Resource Q column with a linear gradient of 20 to 250 mM ammonium carbonate over 20 ml and at pH 8.5 with a gradient of 20 to 500 mM ammonium acetate over 20 ml. The elution profile was monitored by UV absorbance at 271 nm. The retention volumes for CDP-glucose, CTP, UDP-glucose, and UTP were 9.2, 13.4, 9.7, and 15.3 ml, respectively. The area under the elution peak for UTP and CTP was calculated and compared with a standard curve relating the area under the elution peak to the micromoles of NTP.

Measurement of the Equilibrium Constant and Divalent Cation Dependence—The equilibrium constant and Mg²⁺ dependence for the cytidylyltransferase reaction were assessed via an HPLC-based assay to detect the relative proportion of CDP-glucose to CTP and vice versa. For the measurement of the equilibrium constant, the standard reaction contained 1 mM each of sodium pyrophosphate and CDP-glucose or 1 mM each of CTP and glucose 1-phosphate, 3 mM MgCl₂, and 25 mM HEPPS (pH 8.0). Each 1-ml reaction contained 2.5 µg of cytidylyltransferase. To determine the dependence of the cytidylyltransferase reaction on the concentration of Mg²⁺, CTP and glucose 1-phosphate were fixed at 0.2 and 1 mM, respectively, whereas the concentration of MgCl₂ was varied from 0.2 to 10 mM. These 1-ml reactions contained 1.0 µg of cytidylyltransferase. The reaction products were separated on a 1-ml Resource Q column with a linear gradient of 20 to 250 mM ammonium carbonate over 20 ml. The elution profile was monitored by UV absorbance at 271 nm and the area under the peaks was used to determine the proportion of CDP-glucose to CTP.

Kinetically Determined Reactions—The kinetic constants for the forward reaction were determined using the data obtained from the continuous spectrophotometric assay. Before the final data analysis, the initial velocity pattern was determined by plotting each data set to the equation.

\[
\frac{1}{v} = \frac{(K_A + 1)}{V} + \frac{1}{V} \tag{1}
\]

The double reciprocal plot clearly showed a series of intersecting lines suggesting a sequential mechanism (Fig. 2). Therefore, all 60 data points from the continuous assay with CTP and glucose 1-phosphate were fitted to Equation 2.

\[
v = \frac{VAB}{K_AB + KA + AB} \tag{2}
\]

The data were fitted with the program SEQUENO to calculate \( K_A, K_B, K_{AB}, K_{A_B} \), where \( K_A \) and \( K_B \) are the dissociation constants for binary EA and EB complexes, \( K_{AB} \) and \( K_{A_B} \) are Michaelis constants for A and B, and V is the maximum velocity (14). In this equation, A is the concentration of CTP, whereas B is the concentration of glucose 1-phosphate.

The kinetic parameters for the back reaction and for the alternate substrates for the forward reaction were obtained by fitting the data to the Michaelis-Menten equation (Equation 3) of the form.

\[
v = \frac{VA}{K + A} \tag{3}
\]

The abbreviations used are: HPLC, high performance liquid chromatography; HEPPS, 4(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinepropanesulfonic acid.

1 The kinetic constants were determined from the data obtained from the continuous spectrophotometric assay. Before the final data analysis, the initial velocity pattern was determined by plotting each data set to the equation.
could only be utilized to perform a discontinuous assay as described under “Experimental Procedures.” In this set of assays, CTP, dCTP, UTP, dUTP, ATP, and GTP were tested for activity with glucose 1-phosphate. Similarly, d-glucose 1- phosphate, D-xylene 1-phosphate, d-mannose 1-phosphate, D-galactose 1-phosphate, d-fructose 6-phosphate, and d-glucose 6-phosphate were tested as substrates with CTP. Only reactions containing CTP, dCTP, UTP, glucose 1-phosphate, and xylose 1-phosphate produced detectable levels of pyrophosphate. The kinetic parameters of the cytidylyltransferase reaction with these substrates were further assessed in a continuous assay system.

To confirm the identity of the products from the cytidylyltransferase reaction in the forward direction, samples of the reaction with CTP, dCTP, UTP, glucose 1-phosphate, and xylose 1-phosphate were analyzed by HPLC. The substrate and product peaks from the reaction were identified by comparing the retention volumes to those of known samples of CTP, CDP, CMP, CDP-glucose, UTP, UDP, UMP, and UDP-glucose at both pH 8.5 and 4.0. In all reactions, the only product identified was the nucleotide 5′-diphosphate-sugar, with no concomitant formation of nucleotide 5′-monophosphate. In addition, prolonged incubation of cytidylyltransferase with CTP or UTP did not result in CMP or UMP formation, confirming that the enzyme does not perform a pyrophorylysis side reaction. Thus it can be concluded that the measurement of pyrophosphate is an appropriate method to determine the kinetics of product formation.

The kinetic constants for the forward cytidylyltransferase reaction were determined using a continuous assay coupling the production of pyrophosphate to the oxidation of NADH. To establish whether the cytidylyltransferase reaction follows a sequential or ping-pong mechanism, the glucose 1-phosphate concentration was varied at several fixed concentrations of CTP while measuring the initial velocity of the reaction. Double reciprocal plots of the initial velocity with respect to substrate concentration resulted in a series of lines that intersected in the lower left quadrant as shown in Fig. 2. The initial velocity pattern is consistent with a sequential mechanism for cytidylyltransferase. This is in sharp contrast to that previously reported for the Salmonella glucose-1-phosphate cytidylyltransferase that suggested a ping-pong mechanism on the basis of double reciprocal plots of the initial velocity pattern (8). The initial velocity data in the present investigation were fitted to the rate equation for a sequential mechanism using the least-squares fitting program SEQUENO (14). The data fit the rate equation for a sequential mechanism well with σ = 0.18 μmol/min for the initial velocity values. The results from this analysis are reported in Table III. The K_m for CTP (K_C) is 148 ± 7 μM and the K_m for glucose 1-phosphate (K_g) is 52 ± 2 μM. The V_max for the forward reaction with CTP and glucose 1-phosphate is 10.4 ± 0.2 μmol/min. The dissociation constants (K_g) of CTP and glucose 1-phosphate are 35 ± 8 and 12 ± 3 μM, respectively. The fact that the dissociation constants are lower than the K_m values for CTP and glucose 1-phosphate argues that the substrates bind in an anti-synergetic fashion in the forward reaction.

The continuous assay was also utilized to determine the kinetic parameters from the reaction with UTP and glucose 1-phosphate, dCTP and glucose 1-phosphate, and CTP and xylose 1-phosphate. The K_m for UTP is 159 ± 8 μM and the V_max of the reaction is 15.4 ± 0.3 μmol/min. The ratio of the V_max of the reaction with UTP versus CTP is 1.5 ± 0.04, suggesting that the rates of the reaction with UTP or CTP are essentially the same. These data indicate that the enzyme appears to utilize...
CTP and UTP equally well, a finding that has not been reported for any other hexose 1-phosphate cytidylyltransferase or uridylyltransferase. However, the rate of the reaction is severely decreased when CTP is replaced with dCTP. The $K_m$ for dCTP is $3.0 \pm 0.5$ mM, which is 20 times greater than the $K_m$ for CTP and the rate of the reaction is 30% that of the reaction with CTP. Of the hexose 1-phosphates tested, only xylose 1-phosphate acted as a substrate in the forward reaction. The $K_m$ for xylose 1-phosphate is $3.6 \pm 0.3$ mM, which is 72 times greater than the $K_m$ for glucose 1-phosphate. The rate of the reaction with xylose 1-phosphate, however, is ~40% faster than the reaction with glucose 1-phosphate. This rate increase could be explained if the product CDP-xylose has a low affinity for the enzyme in which case the product release step for CDP-xylose would be much faster than that for CDP-glucose. This would give a higher apparent velocity for the reaction of CTP and xylose 1-phosphate.

The equilibrium constant for cytidylyltransferase was analyzed by measuring the proportion of substrate versus product via HPLC analysis, obtained from both the forward and reverse reactions. These data indicate a $K_m$ of 0.27 with the reaction having a slight preference for CTP synthesis. There is some indication in the kinetic data that a second Mg$^{2+}$ ion is required in addition to that forming the Mg$^{2+}$-CTP complex. Thus a 2 mM excess of Mg$^{2+}$ over Mg$^{2+}$-CTP was needed for full activity although a 0.5 mM excess should have been sufficient to keep MgCTP in that form. The dissociation constant of the second metal ion is presumably less than 1 mM.

Kinetic parameters for the back reaction (NTP synthesis) indicate that the enzyme has a clear preference for CTP-glucose over UDP-glucose as shown in Table IV. The $K_m$ for CDP-glucose is $11 \pm 2$ $\mu$M, whereas the $K_m$ for UDP-glucose is $2535 \pm 240$ $\mu$M. However, the $V_{max}$ for both substrates is nearly the same at $\sim 8$ $\mu$M/min. The $K_m$ for pyrophosphate is $67 \pm 8$ $\mu$M.

The dramatic difference in the $K_m$ for CDP-glucose versus UDP-glucose but a nearly identical $K_m$ for CTP and UTP may be explained if the binding of the triphosphate nucleotide is driven by the affinity of the enzyme for pyrophosphate. Indeed, the $K_m$ for pyrophosphate is less than the $K_m$ for CTP or UTP (158 and 149 $\mu$M, respectively). The relatively low affinity of the enzyme for UDP-glucose suggests that the rate of dissociation of the UDP-glucose product is likely not rate-limiting and thus explains the slightly elevated $V_{max}$ for the forward reaction with UTP versus CTP. The results from the back reaction are somewhat more difficult to explain. Additional x-ray crystallographic and kinetic data, including the determination of the $K_m$ for glucose 1-phosphate and pyrophosphate in the presence of UTP and UDP-glucose, respectively, will be required to fully explain the current observations.

Electron density corresponding to the bound nucleotide, MgCTP, is shown in Fig. 3. As can be seen, the cytosine ring is in the anti-conformation, whereas the ribose adopts the C2'-endo pucker. At this resolution it is not possible to completely define the coordination geometry about the Mg$^{2+}$ ion. However, it is apparent from this structure that the Mg$^{2+}$ ion sits within 2.5 and 3.1 $\AA$ of the $\alpha$-phosphoryl oxygens (Fig. 4a). Additionally, the side chains of Asp$^{131}$ and Asp$^{236}$ lie within coordination distance of the magnesium (2.0 and 2.1 $\AA$, respectively). There is a second peak of electron density positioned near the $\alpha$, $\beta$-bridging oxygen (2.4 $\AA$) and a $\gamma$-phosphoryl oxygen (2.8 $\AA$) of the nucleotide. This has been tentatively modeled as a magnesium ion.

A close-up view of the active site with bound MgCTP is shown in Fig. 4a. The backbone amide nitrogen of Gly$^{10}$, the carboxyl oxygen of Ser$^{106}$, and the guanidinium group of Arg$^{111}$ all lie within hydrogen bonding distance to the carbonyl oxygen, the amino group, and the ring nitrogen, respectively, of the cytosine base. The 2-hydroxyl group of the nucleotide ribose sits within $\sim 2.9$ $\AA$ of the backbone amide nitrogen of Gly$^{11}$ and a water molecule, whereas the 3-hydroxyl group lies within 2.9 and 2.7 $\AA$ of the backbone nitrogen of Gly$^{130}$ and the carbonyl oxygen of Leu$^8$, respectively. In the previously determined structure of the enzyme complexed with its product, CDP-glucose, the loop defined by Thr$^{14}$ to Ile$^{21}$ was disordered (13). Strikingly, in the presence of CTP, the loop becomes ordered and both O$\gamma$ of Thr$^{14}$ and N$\epsilon$ of Arg$^{15}$ participate in hydrogen bonding interactions with $\gamma$-phosphoryl oxygens (Fig. 4c). Note that the side chain of Lys$^{25}$ projects toward the $\alpha$-phosphoryl group of CTP with its $\epsilon$-nitrogen sitting at 2.4 $\AA$ from a phosphoryl oxygen.

Shown in Fig. 4b is a superposition of the subunits with either bound CDP-glucose or CTP. The polypeptide chains for these two models correspond with a root mean square deviation of 0.45 $\AA$ for 203 structurally equivalent $\alpha$-carbons. The most dramatic structural changes that occur upon binding either CTP or CDP-glucose are limited primarily to the $\beta$-hairpin regions labeled $\beta3$, $\beta4$, and $\beta9$, $\beta10$ in Fig. 4b. All of the residues involved in anchoring CTP to the protein are contained within the same subunit of the hexamer. This is in marked contrast to that observed in the model of the enzyme with bound product, CDP-glucose, whereby part of the binding pocket is provided by a second subunit in the hexamer. Specifically, Glu$^{178}$ and Lys$^{179}$ from a neighboring molecule form...
electrostatic interactions with CDP-glucose (13). These residues are disordered in the absence of CDP-glucose (Fig. 4b).

An overlay of the CTP and CDP-glucose ligands, when bound in the active site of the cytidylyltransferase, is given in Fig. 4c. As can be seen, the two ligands adopt similar positions with respect to their base and ribosyl moieties. Quite remarkable
conformational differences, however, occur beginning at their α-phosphorus atoms. Specifically, the torsional angle defined by the bond between O-5 of the ribosyl group and the α-phosphorus atom differs by ~115° in these two models. As a result the glucosyl group in CDP-glucose abuts the side chain of Tyr129, whereas the γ-phosphoryl group of CTP sits near Thr14 and Arg15 (Fig. 4c).

These two structures further argue against a Bi Bi ping-pong mechanism in that the only potential base near the α-phosphorus is Lys25. Strikingly, the β-phosphorus of the CDP-glucose ligand is located at ~3.4 Å from the α-phosphorus of CTP in the overlay presented in Fig. 4c. Assuming that the binding of the glucose moiety in CDP-glucose mimics what would occur for the substrate, glucose 1-phosphate, it is easy to envisage a catalytic mechanism for the cytidylyltransferase that proceeds via direct nucleophilic attack of the glucose 1-phosphate phosphoryl oxygen on the α-phosphorus of CTP. In conclusion, from both the structural and kinetic data presented here it can be concluded that the cytidylyltransferase reaction is sequential and similar to that reported for the glucose-1-phosphate thymidylyltransferases (11, 12).
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