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Abstract

Stress and anxiety are prominent mental-health issues among students worldwide. The current students of higher education are digital natives who are accustomed to immediate feedback and instant gratifications. Therefore, they are susceptible to stress differently compared to the previous generations. Given the seriousness of the stress-related issue in higher education, this study was conducted to examine the role of dark triad personality (Machiavellianism, narcissism, and psychopathy) as a buffer against stress. The socially aversive and interpersonally adaptive nature of dark personality traits makes them ideal candidates for further investigation on the influence of personality on stress. The Dark Triad Dirty Dozen and Perceived Stress Scale were used to collect data from 549 respondents. Data were analyzed in two stages using Partial-Least Square-Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM). The study revealed that the only dark triad personality that has a role in the increased level of stress is psychopathy. However, the finding was significant among men but not for women. The current study cannot statistically account for the population of different institutional settings since it only captured samples within higher education institutions in Malaysia. Therefore, future research should be extended to wider populations that cover individuals in certain practices or those with a leadership position in any profession.
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Introduction

Technological advancements change the way individuals processes information and situation daily. The information communication technologies (ICTs) enable access to and a rapid flow of information that influence the perceptual process. ICTs created digital natives with elevated usage of the internet, mobile devices, and social media. They are accustomed to instant feedback and immediate gratification. The current students of higher education were mostly born between the year 1995 to early 2010 are considered a generation Z (Kotera et al., 2021). They rely heavily on ICTs and their personal life shaped generally by social media such as Twitter and Instagram (DiMattio et al., 2020). The instant gratifications offer by the internet search engine and social media expose the higher education students to another form of stress which is foreign to the previous generations.

More than 300 million people of all ages which is equivalent to 4.4 percent of the world’s population suffer from mental illness (World Health Organization, 2017). According to the Global Health Estimates report (2017), mental illnesses such as stress and depression are more common among women compared to men. The National Health Morbidity Survey (NHMS) reported an increase of...
mental health-related issues from 10.7 percent in 1996 to 29.2 percent in 2015 among Malaysians (Institute of Public Health, 2015). The prevalence of mental illness among adults aged 18 years old and above in Malaysia was 2.3 percent (National Institutes of Health, 2019). However, the suicide mortality rate per 100,000 populations reduced from 5.5 percent in 2016 to 2.7 percent in 2017 (World Health Organization, 2020). An individual with specific dispositional characteristics such as low emotional stability (Noser et al., 2014), lack of agreeableness (Paulhus & Williams, 2002) is more prone to stress and burnout. Despite extensive researches conducted on personality and stress, little is known about the role of dark personality traits in responses to stress (Noser et al., 2014).

Features of the dark personality traits such as being deceptive, manipulative, and aggressive could buffer an individual against stress (Paulhus & Williams, 2002). The lack of agreeableness (Noser et al., 2014), conscientiousness (Jakobwitz & Egan, 2006), and humility (Lee & Ashton, 2005) in the dark personality traits may influence the individual reaction to stress. Due to how socially aversive and interpersonally adaptive dark personality traits are, they might be the ideal contender for a further investigation on the influence of personality on stress (Birkás et al., 2016). Therefore, this study was conducted to examine the influence of dark personality traits (Machiavellianism, narcissism, and psychopathy) on stress among students of higher education. The most dominant dark personality traits on stress were also further investigated in this study.

**Literature Review**

**Stress among Students of Higher Education in Malaysia**

The most common mental health issues reported by students of higher education in many countries were stress and anxiety (Brown, 2018; Mey & Yin, 2015). In less than a decade, the number of students who suffered mental health issues in Malaysia doubled from 10 percent in the year 2011 to 20 percent in the year 2016 (Hezmi, 2018). The stress level among students in Malaysia is higher compared to students in the United Kingdom (Kotera et al., 2021). Stress occurs due to the imbalance between internal or external demands and individual resources (Papageorgiou et al., 2019). The leading factors of stress among students in Malaysia are linked to excessive workloads, financial difficulties, and family-related issues (Ministry of Health, 2016; Yusoff et al., 2010; Votta & Benau, 2014). Moreover, the current effort of restructuring the Malaysian education system and classifying universities into research, teaching, and comprehensive category create more anxiety and uncertainty (Mey & Yin, 2015; Kotera et al., 2021). Changes in the higher education system will stipulate different academic obligations which consequently increase the level of stress among students. However, it may vary depending on individual characteristics and personal coping strategies.

**The Dark Triad Personality Traits**

The dark triad personality traits comprise three malicious dispositions; Machiavellianism, Narcissism, and Psychopathy (Paulhus & Williams, 2002). Machiavellianism represents by cynicism, manipulative tendencies, and lack of conventional morality (Jonason et al., 2018). While narcissism is characterized by grandiosity, self-centeredness, and entitlement (Kowalski et al., 2019). Lastly, Psychopathy is typified by callous social attitudes, high impulsivity, and lack of empathy (Jauk et al., 2016).

Machiavellianism and psychopathy are linked to a lack of anxiety and emotional coldness (Christie & Geis, 1970; Hare, 1985). However, psychopathy is more impulsive by nature while narcissism stands apart on the dimension of superior identity (Furnham et al., 2013). Machiavellianism and narcissism are relatively “lighter” dark traits with lesser undesirable social characteristics and faster life strategies (Bailey, 2019). Therefore, Machiavellians and narcissists can function easily in society compared to Psychopath (Furnham et al., 2013). Psychopathy is an innate personality factor and possibly physiological (Decety et al., 2013).
Machiavellianism and Stress

Machiavellianism is a tendency to achieve one’s own goal with any possible means irrespective of the traditional morality which aligned with Machiavelli’s socially aversive argument that immoral behavior is acceptable if goal-directed (Monaghan et al., 2019). Machiavellian tactics are often associated with deceitful interpersonal style, amorality, self-centeredness, and excessive focus on personal gains (Furnham et al., 2013; Muris et al., 2017). Machiavellians are cynical of humanity and perceive individuals as naïve, untrustworthy, selfish, and manipulative (Monaghan et al., 2019). Their pessimistic and unflattering views on humanity are due to a range of psychopathology symptoms such as lack of empathy, detachment, and low emotional intelligence (Al Ain et al., 2013).

Machiavellians are goal-oriented, less impulsive, and equipped with strategic conducts such as calculated and premeditated behavior which consequently leads to a weaker emotional response (Monaghan et al., 2019). By pre-emptively manipulating the situation, Machiavellians can effectively circumvent any efforts to exploit them. Such a view rationale their action and shield them from shame and guilt (Monaghan et al., 2019). The reactivity among Machiavellians in a stressful situation might be decreased due to their premeditated and cautious behavior (Noser et al., 2014). Besides, Machiavellianism is linked to a combination of anxiety, hostility, depression, self- cautiousness with lack of positive emotions, straightforwardness, and altruism (Kowalski et al., 2019).

Narcissism and Stress

Narcissism derives from vanity and egotistic admiration of one’s attributes in the pursuit of gratification (Muris et al., 2017). It is characterized by a prevalent sense of grandiosity, entitlement, and affirmation from others through attention and admiration (Corry et al., 2008; Furnham et al., 2013). Despite exaggerating talents and personal achievements, Narcissists are constantly concerned about how favorable they were perceived by other people (Thomaes et al., 2009). Nevertheless, the value of people depends heavily on their role in assisting Narcissists to accomplish their egotistical goals (Thomaes et al., 2009).

Narcissists are susceptible to any situations that threaten their grandiose self-view (Zeigler-Hill et al., 2013; Zeigler-Hill et al., 2010). They are highly reactive to stressful events that challenge their sense of control and ability to manage negative experiences effectively (Noser et al., 2014). The inclination to ruminate over failure, criticism, and occasional episode of self-loathing expose narcissistic individuals to negative emotions (Thomaes et al., 2009).

Psychopathy and Stress

Psychopathy tends to disregard social norms and values, indifference, and dishonesty (Muris et al., 2017) which increases the likelihood of goal-oriented and emotionally driven aggression (Noser et al., 2014). They are highly disagreeable, irresponsible, impulsive, and lack conscientiousness (Furnham et al., 2013; Miller et al., 2010). There are two types of psychopathy: primary psychopathy and secondary psychopathy (Levenson et al., 1995). A primary psychopath is cold-hearted and cruel, while a secondary psychopath is defined by an impulsive-anti social dimension (Levenson et al., 1995; Petrides et al., 2011). Researchers acknowledged the existence of a “successful” psychopath who is usually high in primary psychopathy (incline to lie, devious, callous, and ruthless) and low secondary psychopathy (impulsive, short-tempered, and easily frustrated) (Bailey, 2019).

Psychopathy is the most malevolent dark personality due to thrill-seeking, impulsiveness, and lack of empathy (Furnham et al., 2013; Kowalski et al., 2019; Rauthmann, 2012). They are anti-social individuals who embrace a fast-paced approach in life and disregard interactive as well as normative values (Jonason et al., 2018). A psychopath is often intelligent and charming (Bailey, 2019). However, they are vulnerable to frustration and highly reactive to stressful situations (Blair, 2010; Noser et al., 2014). Individuals with a high level of psychopathy are inclined to make riskier decisions under stressful circumstances compared to Machiavellian and Narcissist (Carre & Jones, 2016).
Methodology

Sample

Snowball sampling was employed to collect data from 549 respondents with age ranges from 21 to 25 years old. Out of 549 respondents, 140 respondents (25.5 percent) are male and 409 (74.5 percent) of them are female. Most of the respondents are Chinese (39.5 percent), followed by Malay (27 percent), Indigenous ethnic of Borneo (21.3 percent), and Indians (12.2 percent). Data were collected using an online survey starting January 2020 to May 2021. The survey consists of three sections that collect data related to personal backgrounds, the dark triad personality traits, and perceived stress level among respondents involved.

Measures

Dark Triad Dirty Dozen (DTDD) was employed to assess the dark personality traits. The 12-item measure assesses three personality traits: Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and Psychopathy. A 5-scale response was used by respondents to indicate their level of agreement on the items involved. Table 1 describes the detailed items employed to measure the dark triad personality traits.

Table 1: The Dark Triad Dirty Dozen subscale and items

| Subscale          | Item                                                                 |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Machiavellianism  | A1. I tend to manipulate others to get my way.                        |
|                   | A2. I have used deceit or lied to get my way.                         |
|                   | A3. I have used flattery to get my way.                               |
|                   | A4. I tend to exploit others towards my end.                          |
| Psychopathy       | A5. I tend to lack remorse.                                           |
|                   | A6. I tend to be unconcerned with the morality of my actions.         |
|                   | A7. I tend to be callous or insensitive.                              |
|                   | A8. I tend to be cynical.                                             |
| Narcissism        | A9. I tend to want others to admire me.                               |
|                   | A10. I tend to want others to pay attention to me.                    |
|                   | A11. I tend to seek prestige or status.                               |
|                   | A12. I tend to expect special favor from others.                      |

Stress was measured with the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS). The 14-item scale consists of seven negative statements. In each statement, respondents were asked to indicate how often they felt or thought a certain way with a response scale of 1 (never), 2 (rarely), 3 (sometimes), 4 (fairly often), and 5 (very often). A statement such as “In the last month, how often have you felt that things were going your way?” was used to assess stress among respondents involved.

Data Analysis

Descriptive analysis was used to describe the distribution of age, gender, and ethnicity of respondents involved. The posited relationship between the dark triad personality and stress was assessed using Partial-Least Square-Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM). Data analysis was conducted in two stages; assessment of the measurement constructs, and assessment of the structural model. Measurement constructs and the structural model in this study were formatively developed. Each measurement construct was assessed for validity and any possible multi-collinearity issues. The weightage values were also analyzed for significance and relevance. The structural model was assessed for multi-collinearity issues, followed by the evaluation of path coefficients, and determination of the effect size.
Result

Measurement Model Analysis

The value of the variance inflation factor (VIF) for each indicator ranges from 1.077 to 2.173 fulfilled the required cut-off threshold of 5 (Hair, Hult, Ringle, and Sarstedt, 2014). Therefore, there is no multi-collinearity issue among items employed in this study. Despite the non-significant outer weight for 6 indicators (A7, A8, A9, A10, A11, and A12), their outer loadings are higher than 0.5. Thus, all the indicators were retained.

Level of Machiavellianism, Narcissism, Psychopathy, and Stress

Table 2 indicates the means, standard errors, and standard deviations for Machiavellianism, Narcissism, Psychopathy, and perceived stress. The level of Machiavellianism, Narcissism, and Psychopathy are low with mean values ranging from 2.2035 to 3.0536. The mean value of all dark triad personality traits for male (Machiavellianism = 2.7696, Psychopathy = 2.5875, and Narcissism = 3.0536) are higher compared to female (Machiavellianism = 2.2910, Psychopathy = 2.2037, and Narcissism = 2.7042). However, the perceived level of stress among females is slightly higher (M = 3.2059) compared to males (M=3.0893).

Table 2: Means, standard deviations, and standard errors for the dark triad personality traits and stress

|                | Mean  | Std. Error | Std. Deviation |
|----------------|-------|------------|----------------|
| Male Machiavellianism | 2.7696 | .06477     | .76635         |
| Male Psychopathy     | 2.5875 | .06560     | .77618         |
| Male Narcissism      | 3.0536 | .07283     | .86175         |
| Male Perceived Stress| 3.0893 | .03481     | .41182         |
| Female Machiavellianism | 2.2910 | .03777     | .76377         |
| Female Psychopathy   | 2.2035 | .03308     | .66902         |
| Female Narcissism    | 2.7042 | .04453     | .90047         |
| Female Perceived Stress | 3.2059 | .02038     | .41221         |

The relationship between the Dark Triad Personality and Stress

Table 3 presents path coefficients, t-values, p-values, and significance levels of the dark triad personality traits and stress. There is no significant relationship between the dark triad personality traits and stress except for psychopathy and stress among males (r = 0.370). Despite the different outcomes of the relationship between psychopathy and stress among respondents of different gender, the overlapping confidence intervals are shown in Table 4 indicate there is no difference in path coefficients between the Male and Female samples.

Table 3: Correlations between the dark triad personality traits and stress

|                | Path coefficient | t-value | p-values | Significance level |
|----------------|------------------|---------|----------|--------------------|
| Machiavellianism -> Stress |                  |         |          |                    |
| Female         | 0.064            | 0.846   | 0.398    | NS                 |
| Male           | 0.144            | 0.800   | 0.424    | NS                 |
| Narcissism -> Stress |                  |         |          |                    |
| Female         | 0.318            | 1.546   | 0.122    | NS                 |
| Male           | 0.206            | 0.579   | 0.563    | NS                 |
| Psychopathy -> Stress |                 |         |          |                    |
| Female         | 0.171            | 1.082   | 0.279    | NS                 |
| Male           | 0.370            | 2.016   | 0.044    | ***                |
Table 4: Confidence Intervals (Bias Corrected)

| Variable     | Lower bound | Upper bound |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Machiavellianism → Stress |             |             |
| Female       | -0.122      | 0.178       |
| Male         | -0.368      | 0.395       |
| Narcissism → Stress |             |             |
| Female       | -0.372      | 0.441       |
| Male         | -0.572      | 0.597       |
| Psychopathy → Stress |             |             |
| Female       | -0.274      | 0.311       |
| Male         | -0.067      | 0.601       |

Discussion

This study found that the stress level is higher among females compared to their counterparts which is in line with Gallagher et al. (2014). Female tends to express their feeling as a coping mechanism to stressful events (Forushani & Besharat, 2011). They tend to over-report medical and psychological symptoms due to high self-expectations and self-consciousness which consequently influence their stated stress level (Vrana & Lauterbach, 1994; Shamsuddin et al., 2013). Higher stress levels among females can also be attributed to biopsychosocial factors such as social roles and physiological status (Bangasser et al., 2007).

The stress level in this study is higher among females compared to their counterparts which is in line with Gallagher et al. (2014). Female tends to express their feeling as a coping mechanism to stressful events (Forushani & Besharat, 2011). They tend to over-report medical and psychological symptoms due to high self-expectations and self-consciousness which consequently influence their stated stress level (Vrana & Lauterbach, 1994; Shamsuddin et al., 2013). Higher stress levels among females can also be attributed to biopsychosocial factors such as social roles and physiological status (Bangasser et al., 2007).

The level of Machiavellianism in this study is low with males scoring slightly higher compared to females. Machiavellians incline to utilize any means regardless of morality in achieving personal goals (Monaghan et al., 2019). The findings can be attributed to feminine cultural values among Malaysians. According to Minkov (2018), the feminine cultural dimension emphasizes compassion rather than competitiveness and desire for fame. Therefore, those who are considerate and believe in compassion are not inclined to capitalize on others despite the circumstances. Moreover, the feminine cultural value may reduce the level of stress due to a lack of competitiveness for achievement and fame. Machiavellians tend to be rational, premeditated, and calculated (Monaghan et al., 2019). Their social ability to take on the attitudes of those around them while subtly manipulating the situation allows the establishment of a powerful social network and extracting desired outcomes (O’Boyle Jr. et al., 2012). The attributes will consequently reduce the possibility of a stressful occurrence. Nevertheless, the findings of this study indicate no significant relationship between Machiavellianism and stress among students.

Narcissism has a dualistic feature, adaptive and maladaptive (Rogoza et al, 2019). Narcissists are characterized by a sense of entitlement, impulsivity, and emotional liability (Thomaes et al., 2009). Nevertheless, they are also warm, charming, friendly, and capable of creating meaningful interpersonal relationships (Muris et al., 2017). Narcissists consider themselves as good leaders (Furtner et al., 2011) and emotionally intelligent (Petrides et al., 2011), despite being perceived as socially aversive by others (Furtner et al., 2011). Narcissism emphasizes the importance of achievement and admiration through self-promotion and rivalry (Rogoza et al., 2019) which consequently leads to stress. Narcissists are prone to emotional reactions when exposed to stressful situations due to their impulsivity and emotional volatility (Brown, 2018). However, Grover and Furnham (2020) argue that narcissists experience less stress because of their lack of concern over others and fixation with self-interest. In
agreement with Noser and Besser (2014), there is no significant relationship between narcissism and stress was found in this study.

Previous researches found that psychopath has less flexible behavior (Jones, 2014), are uncontrolled, impulsive, lack discipline, and have an erratic lifestyle (Muris et al., 2017). Due to the characteristics of psychopathy, they are inclined to be more reactive to stress (Noser et al., 2014). This study found a positive association between psychopathy and stress among males. However, there is no significant relationship between psychopathy and stress among females in this study. It can be explained by the fact that individual with a high level of psychopath often has lack impulse control (Jones & Paulhus, 2017) and a low tolerance for frustration (Bettencourt et al., 2006). Individuals with low tolerance of stress and a high level of psychopathy are inclined to adopt a confrontative coping strategy in a stressful event (Birkás et al., 2016). When triggered with unplanned stressful situations which often lead to frustration, individual with a high level of psychopathy tends to have a more aggressive reaction (Berkowitz, 1993; Noser et al., 2014).

Conclusion

Given the seriousness of the stress-related issue in higher education, this study set out to examine the role of dark triad personality as a buffer against stress. The only dark triad personality that has a role in the increased level of stress among students is psychopathy. However, the finding is significant among males but not for females. Therefore, further study should consider situations in which the dark triad personality interacts with other variables such as different demographic factors and institutional settings. It seems likely that different sociodemographic factors would create some basis for rationalization of stress among individuals with diverse dark personality traits. The current study cannot statistically account for the population of different institutional settings since it only captures samples within higher education institutions in Malaysia. A future study should be extended to wider populations that cover individuals in certain practices or those with a leadership position in any profession.
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