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Abstract

Background Guidelines are contradictory regarding the use of alginate in infants with persisting gastroesophageal reflux (GER). While The British National Institute for Health and Care (NICE) guidelines consider alginate as a treatment option, the guidelines of the European and North-American Societies for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN, NASPGHAN) do not recommend alginates.

Aims We assessed the efficacy of alginate to reduce GER episodes in infants.

Methods In a prospective, observational study, we consecutively enrolled all infants referred for pH-multiple intraluminal impedance (pH-MII) recording because of persisting GER symptoms not responsive to behavior and dietetic modifications. A 48-h pH-MII was performed in all infants; a baseline recording was performed during the first 24 h while magnesium or sodium alginate was administered during the second 24 h. The primary endpoint was the difference in the total number of GER episodes per 24 h between the baseline day and the second day during which the alginate was administered. The secondary outcome was the difference in symptoms between each period. We also compared other pH-MII data from before and during alginate administration.

Results We recruited 43 infants (median age 68 days, range 25–306); three pH-MII tracings were excluded because of artifacts. The median number of all MII reflux episodes was significantly reduced during alginate administration (76.0 vs 69.5; \( p < 0.001 \)). Crying-fussiness, cough and regurgitation episodes all significantly improved during alginate administration (\( p = 0.00012; \ p = 0.005 \) and \( p = 0.04 \), respectively). The following MII parameters also decreased during the alginate administration: acid (19.0 vs 14.5; \( p < 0.04 \)), non-acid (52.0 vs 49.5; \( p < 0.004 \)), proximal GER episodes (46.0 vs 41.4; \( p < 0.007 \)), and bolus exposure index (1.9 vs 1.6; \( p = 0.002 \)). At least three out of seven pH-MII parameters decreased by > 10% during the alginate period in 31/40 infants (77.5%), without a significant difference between magnesium and sodium alginate.

Conclusion These results suggest that alginate significantly decreases the number and extension of both acid and non-acid reflux episodes and associated symptoms in infants.

Key Points

This study suggests that alginate may reduce gastroesophageal reflux (GER)-related symptoms in infants and may decrease acid and non-acid GER episodes measured with pH-impedance recording. However, a placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomized, controlled trial is needed to confirm these findings.

Alginate is a treatment option for GER-related symptoms in infants.
1 Introduction

The diagnosis and management of gastro-esophageal reflux (disease) (GERD) in infants is challenging, mainly because of the lack of specificity of symptoms, the lack of an accurate diagnostic tool, and the lack of effective medication [1]. Regarding diagnostic investigations, normal ranges cannot be established as it is not ethical to perform investigations in healthy children. Regarding management, there is evidence that thickening of formula does reduce regurgitation and that proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are effective in the management of peptic esophagitis [1]. However, there is no evidence regarding efficacy of any other intervention in troublesome GER and GERD in infants [1]. The North American and European Societies of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (NASPGHAN, ESPGHAN) considered the evidence regarding the efficacy of alginate during infancy insufficient for alginate to be recommended in the guidelines published in 2018 [1]. However, a Cochrane review on the management of GERD in children concluded in 2014 that there was moderate evidence supporting the use of alginate, but the authors recommended further studies [2]. Moreover, the British National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines consider alginate as an appropriate treatment option in infants with frequent regurgitation and signs of distress or irritability not improving with dietary management [3].

Alginate is a polysaccharide derived from brown seaweed. Alginate-based formulations act on GER via chemical and physical mechanisms. Sodium and magnesium alginate salts are, respectively, the sodium and the magnesium salts of alginic acid, an anionic polysaccharide formed by blocks of (1-4)-linked β-α-L-mannuronate and its C-5 epimer α-L-guluronate [4]. All alginate-based raft-forming formulations act via a unique mechanism, which differs from that of traditional antacids. In the presence of gastric acid, alginate precipitate, form a gel, and the bicarbonate (which is a possible additional component of alginate formulations) is converted to carbon dioxide, which becomes entrapped within the gel precipitate, converting it into a foam, which floats on the surface of the gastric contents [5, 6]. The strength of the alginate raft is dependent on several factors, including the presence of aluminum or calcium and the amount of carbon dioxide. It is recommended to use formulations without aluminum and to consider the sodium content (variable according to the different commercial products) for prolonged use, particularly in preterm newborns and in children with kidney disease [1, 3]. Alginate formulations require three chemical reactions to take place simultaneously: transformation to alginic acid, sodium carbonate reacting to form carbon dioxide, and calcium releasing free calcium ions to bind with alginic acid providing strength to raft formation [7].

The aim of our study was to assess the efficacy of alginate in infants with persisting symptoms of GER, despite appropriate behavioral and nutritional management as recommended by NASPGHAN and ESPGHAN [1].

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Population

We consecutively enrolled all infants, 0–12 months old, referred between January 2016 and December 2016 for a pH and multiple intraluminal impedance (pH-MII) recording because of persisting symptoms of GER. Infants were eligible for inclusion if they had (1) symptoms such as regurgitation and/or vomiting with additional prolonged episodes of inconsolable crying, fussiness, irritability, sleeping difficulties, or respiratory problems for at least 2 weeks, in the absence of any other identifiable cause, and if (2) there was no or insufficient response after at least 1 week of reassurance, behavior management, appropriate food intake (in terms of volume and frequency of meals), and dietary treatment [1].

Parental consent was obtained to prolong the planned pH-MII recording from 24 up to 48 h, if the infant tolerated the pH-MII well during the first 24 h. Alginate was administered during the second period of 24 h.

Exclusion criteria were patients older than 1 year of age; treatment with a PPI, H2-receptor blockers or other drugs affecting gastrointestinal motility or GER in the last week; gastrointestinal surgery or malformation or neurological diseases; and parental or patient non-compliance with the study design. It was decided also a priori that if a pH-MII lasted < 38 h and/or had multiple, prolonged (representing > 10% of the total tracing) artifacts when analyzed, the tracing was discarded for analysis.

2.2 Study Design

This was a prospective, pharmacological, observational case–control study performed in two centers. Each patient served as his own control. The STROBE checklist for observational studies was followed. All infants fulfilling the inclusion criteria underwent a 48-h GER pH-MII; parameters and symptoms were assessed and compared between the baseline 24-h pH-MII and the second 24 h, during which alginate was administered. The investigator analyzing the pH-MII and the symptoms was blinded for the time period during which the data was collected.

The primary endpoint was the total number of GER episodes over 24 h recorded by impedance during baseline and during alginate administration. Other pH-MII data [number of acid and non-acid GER episodes, proximal GER episodes,
bolus exposure index, duration of the reflux episode, reflux index (RI, % of time with a pH < 4.0)] were assessed and compared as well. Proximal GER was defined when GER reached the proximal two MII channels [8]. The time needed for acid and for a bolus GER to be cleared from the esophagus was defined as mean acid clearance time and mean bolus clearance time, respectively. The secondary endpoint was the incidence of symptoms reported during baseline pH-MII and during alginate administration.

Details on the pH-MII technique can be found in the additional information available online (see electronic supplementary material). After downloading the data, the pH-MII tracings were divided into two periods, before and during alginate administration, of similar duration (19–24 h). Data were analyzed using specific software (BioVIEW Analysis Sandhill Scientific, version 5.0.9) and then manually inspected by one expert investigator (SS), who was blinded for the drug administration. Artifacts were considered when a square area or a fixed impedance horizontal line or rapid repeated vertical lines were present. We decided arbitrarily to discard tracings if the artifacts accounted for > 10% of the registration period, while if the artifacts were < 10%, the tracing was included in the study but the period of artifacts and/or the specific channel were excluded from the analysis.

The RI was considered pathologic if ≥ 7%, as indicated by ESPGHAN guidelines [9]. The presence of > 100 MII GER episodes in 24 h was considered pathologic [10, 11].

We compared baseline pH-MII GER results with the second 24 h comprising alginate administration for the primary and secondary outcomes, as well as for the other impedance parameters. The median difference for each parameter between the two 24-h periods was also calculated, as this parameter provides information on the importance of change.

We attempted also to provide information on the number of patients with improved, unchanged or worsened pH-MII parameters and symptoms during alginate administration. Therefore, we arbitrarily accepted a small change of up to 10% in any of the parameters as an unchanged result related to normal day-by-day variability, as a previous study performed in 10 children showed that the number of bolus GER episodes did not differ from day to day during a 48-h recording [12]. Consequently, improvement and worsening would refer to a variation of at least 10% as a decrease or an increase, respectively, between the two 24-h periods.

As a change in one single parameter might be insufficient to suggest efficacy and/or not be clinically relevant, we arbitrarily defined an ‘improvement’ as a reduction of > 10% in three or more of the following seven principal pH-MII parameters: total number of refluxes, number of acid refluxes, number of non-acid refluxes, proximal refluxes, RI, and percentage of acid and non-acid exposure time. We also calculated the number and percentage of patients with a decrease of at least 20% for three of the above pH-MII parameters.

2.3 Symptom Association

Symptom association was based on two classic parameters: symptom index (SI) and symptom association probability (SAP) [13, 14]. SI represents the number of GER-related symptoms on the total number of symptoms, and is defined as pathological when ≥ 50%. The SAP is the likelihood that the patient’s symptoms were related to reflux, calculated by analyzing consecutive 2-min segments [15] and based on a Fisher’s table of contingency, and is considered pathologic when ≥ 95%. We considered the total number of symptoms associated in time with a GER episode occurring during baseline recording and during alginate administration. Information on the SI and SAP is also provided.

2.4 Diary

At enrolment, we educated parents about the importance of precise reporting of symptoms during the entire (day and night) pH-MII registration using the internal clock of the device. Parents were also asked to ensure that the second day was as similar as possible to the baseline day for sleeping and mealtimes, feeding type and volume, position, and other day and night activities, and to record any change. We gave each parent a routine-use diary to record infant mealtimes and sleeping times or wakefulness phases, and symptoms such as crying, fussiness, cough, vomiting, and regurgitation. All infants participating in this study were hospitalized. At the end of the investigation, all symptoms and events were registered in the software for analysis.

2.5 Alginate Formulations

Two alginate formulations, sodium and magnesium alginate, previously reported in infant studies were tested according to the age of infants and availability in the department [16, 17] (see Table 1 for composition of the alginates).

The dosage used was 1 mL/kg/day divided over the number of meals for both formulations, administered after each feeding during the second 24-h period of pH-MII recording [1, 17].

2.6 Statistical Analysis

The sample size was calculated at a 2-tailed significance level of 5% and with a power of 85% with a level of significance fixed at p < 0.05 (performed on G*Power 3.1.9.2 for Windows) and resulted in the inclusion of 40 infants.

The intention-to-treat analysis was performed using www.socscistatistics.com and www.statpages.info and
using Microsoft Excel files. Differences in GER parameters and symptoms between the two 24-h periods were tested with the Wilcoxon-signed rank test and expressed as median of each difference (specifying the 25–75% interval). Percentages were used to interpret general and specific improvements with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Chi square statistics (with a significance level of 95%) were used to compare the two different treatments (sodium vs magnesium alginate).

### 2.7 Ethical Committee and Informed Consent

The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of the two hospitals. Written informed parental consent was obtained before each examination. Parents participating in the study approved the extension of the pH-MII recording, if well tolerated, up to 48 h to test the effect of alginate administration.

### 3 Results

A 48-h pH-MII was performed in 43 infants fulfilling the inclusion criteria. All infants tolerated the pH-MII very well. After downloading the recording, three pH-MII tracings were excluded because of prolonged artifacts. Data from 40 infants [20 boys, 20 girls; median age 68 days (range 25–306); median weight 4455 g (range 3145–8500 g)] were available for analysis. Two patients developed symptoms of an acute infectious disease, diarrhea and fever, during the recording. These were not excluded from the analysis to avoid any selective bias. The alginate administered was Gaviscon® in 17 and Gastrotuss® in 23 infants. Regarding the primary outcome, the median number of total GER episodes measured by impedance was significantly reduced during alginate administration (baseline vs alginate: 76.0 vs 69.5; \( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 2; Fig. 1).

The pH-MII was pathologic (RI > 7%; total GER episodes > 100) in 14 patients (35%): the RI was abnormal (> 7%) in 8/40 (20%) infants and the total number of GER episodes measured with MII (> 100 episodes) was increased in 9/40 patients (22.5%); both parameters were abnormal

#### Table 1 Composition of both alginites

| Alginate          | Brand name (manufacturer)                               | Ingredients                                                                 |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sodium alginate   | Gaviscon® (Reckitt Benckiser Healthcare, Hull, UK)      | Sodium alginate 500 mg, sodium bicarbonate 267 mg, methyl parahydroxybenzoate (E218) 40 mg, propyl parahydroxybenzoate (E216) 6 mg, calcium carbonate, caromers, sodium saccharin, flavour fennel, sodium hydroxide, erythrosine, purified water |
| Magnesium alginate| Gastrotuss baby® (Drugs Minerals and Generics Italia S.r.l., Pomezia, Roma, Italy) | Magnesium alginate, simethicone, fructose, xanthan gum, d-panthenol, sodium bicarbonate, sodium hydroxide, methyl p-hydroxybenzoate, propyl p-hydroxybenzoate, natural flavours, purified water |

#### Table 2 pH-multiple intraluminal impedance (pH-MII) data considering the seven main gastroesophageal (GER) parameters

| Parameter     | Total | Median | Med dif | p value (2-tailed) | Improvement | No. pt improved |
|---------------|-------|--------|---------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|
|               | Base  | Alg    | Base    | Alg               | Min         | Max             | > 10% | > 20% |
| Tot-GER       | 3114  | 2677   | 76      | 69.5              | – 8.5       | < 0.001         | 19    | 14    |
| Ac-GER        | 793   | 660    | 19      | 14.5              | – 3.5       | 0.04            | 24    | 22    |
| NAc-GER       | 2312  | 1968   | 52      | 49.5              | – 8.5       | < 0.01          | 22    | 19    |
| Prox-GER      | 1964  | 1730   | 46      | 41.5              | – 5.0       | 0.006           | 21    | 14    |
| BEI (%)       | 62    | 49     | 1.9     | 1.6               | – 0.3       | 0.002           | 28    | 20    |
| Ac BEI (%)    | 17    | 14     | 0.5     | 0.3               | – 0.1       | 0.167           | 18    | 14    |
| RI (%)        | 152   | 125    | 2.7     | 2.2               | – 0.3       | 0.25            | 19    | 17    |

Ac, Acid; Ac-GER, acid GER episodes; Alg, alginate administration; Base, baseline recording; Med dif, median difference; NAc-GER, non-acid GER episodes; Prox-GER, proximal GER episodes; Pt, patients; RI, reflux index (% of time pH < 4.0); Tot-GER, total GER episodes

\( \Delta \) Adis
in 3/40 (7.5%) infants. The SI and SAP were abnormal in 29/40 (73%). In the infants with a pathologic pH-MII, we observed a similar rate of general improvement (defined as ≥ 10% in ≥ 3/7 parameters) in 6/8 (75%) of those with an elevated RI (CI 35–97%), and in 7/9 (77%) of those with more than 100 GER episodes on MII (CI 40–97%). Detailed information on the difference between baseline and alginate administration in the subgroup of infants with a pathological pH-MII (an RI of > 7%, or > 100 reflux episodes) is provided in Table 3. The median improvement in RI on Day 2 compared with Day 1 was − 3.0% [interquartile range (IQR) − 7.15 to 3.25], and the number of reflux episodes observed with MII decreased with a median of − 16 (IQR − 27 to − 2). In those patients with pathologic pH-MII symptom association (pathologic SI and/or SAP), 72% (CI 53–87%) had an improvement with > 10% in 3/7 parameters and 69% (CI 49–85%) with > 20%.

The number of acid (19 vs 14.5; p < 0.04), non-acid (52 vs 49.5; p < 0.004), and proximal GER (46 vs 41.5; p < 0.007) episodes, and the bolus exposure index (1.9 vs 1.6%; p = 0.002), were all significantly reduced during alginate administration (Table 2). There was a non-significant effect on the RI (p = 0.25), the duration of the longest reflux episode (p = 0.12) and of the longest acid GER (p = 0.13), and the duration of the acid bolus exposure index (p = 0.17), of the mean acid clearance time (p = 0.16), and of the median bolus clearance time (p = 0.16) (Table 2).

A decrease of at least 10% in three or more pH-MII parameters during the alginate period was observed in 31/40 infants (77.5%; 95% CI 62–89). There was an improvement of all seven parameters in five infants; of six of the parameters in four patients, of five in eight patients, of four in seven patients, and of three in the other seven patients. Conversely, during the alginate period, the total number of reflux episodes, the bolus exposure index, and the RI worsened in 5, 6, and 13 infants, respectively. Two of these infants had acute enteritis and fever during the investigation. When considering a reduction of at least 20% in three or more of the seven pH-MII parameters, 27/40 (67.5%, 95% CI 51–81) of the tracings showed improvement (Table 2).

The number of proximal GER episodes during baseline compared with the alginate period are shown in online supplementary Fig. 1. Of the 29 patients with a pathologic SI and/or SAP, there was a similar rate of improvement in at least three GER parameters during the alginate administration in 21 (72%) (95% CI 53–87) and in 20 (69%) (95% CI 49–85) infants, considering the threshold of 10% or 20%, respectively.

The secondary outcome was the evolution of regurgitation and other reflux-related symptoms during alginate administration compared with baseline (Table 4, Fig. 2). All 40 infants presented episodes of crying or fussiness (37 crying and 24 fussiness) and because parents often reported these

![Fig. 1](image_url) Total number of reflux episodes at baseline and during alginate administration. Box plot shows the number of reflux episodes detected by impedance (median, interquartiles). The number of reflux episodes was significantly decreased during alginate administration (p < 0.001)

### Table 3 pH-multiple intraluminal impedance (pH-MII) parameters at baseline and during alginate administration in the subgroup with an abnormal reflux index (> 7%) or number of reflux episodes (> 100)

| RI or GER Parameters | Baseline (no. of patients) | % change in ≥ 3 of 7 parameters | Alginate (no. of patients) | % Improved 95% CI |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| RI > 7               | 8                           | > 10                           | 6                         | 75 35–97         |
|                      | 8                           | > 20                           | 4                         | 50 16–84         |
| GER ep > 100         | 9                           | > 10                           | 7                         | 77 40–97         |
|                      | 9                           | > 20                           | 6                         | 66 30–93         |
| RI > 7 or GER ep > 100 | 14                         | > 10                           | 11                        | 78 49–95         |
|                      | 14                         | > 20                           | 9                         | 64 35–87         |
| Abnl SI or SAP       | 29                         | > 10                           | 21                        | 72 53–87         |
|                      | 29                         | > 20                           | 20                        | 69 49–85         |

Abnl SI or SAP abnormal symptom index or symptom association probability, CI confidence interval, GER ep gastroesophageal reflux episodes, RI reflux index
symptoms simultaneously, expressing difficulties in separating them, we grouped them for further analysis (Table 4). Crying/fussiness, cough, and regurgitation episodes all significantly improved during alginate administration ($p = 0.00012$; $p = 0.005$; and $p = 0.042$, respectively). When we considered only the infants with a minimum of three episodes (for each symptom) during the investigation, 26/36 (72%) with crying/fussiness, 10/13 (77%) with regurgitation, and 15/20 (75%) with cough showed an improvement of symptoms during alginate administration, with a mean reduction of 7.76, and 8.8 episodes per day, respectively. The reduction in the episodes of symptoms was $> 20\%$ in 23/36 (64\%) for crying/fussiness, in 9/13 (69\%) for regurgitation, and in 13/20 (65\%) for cough, respectively (Table 4).

There was no difference in effect between the two alginate formulations: an improvement of at least 10\% in three or more GER parameters was observed in 74\% (17/23 patients; 95\% CI 52–90) of the patients treated with magnesium alginate and in 82\% (14/17 patients; 95\% CI 57–96) of those treated with sodium alginate (Chi square test: 0.399; $p = 0.527$).

### 4 Discussion

A significant decrease in the number of reflux episodes (primary endpoint) and of symptoms (secondary endpoint) was observed during alginate administration, assessed during a 48-h pH-MII. The feasibility and repeatability of 48-h pH-MII recording has been recently supported by two studies in infants [12, 18]. One study aimed to optimize the reflux–symptom association statistics, the other evaluated the effect of a challenge in children with cow’s milk allergy on GER episodes [12, 18].

The data on alginate in infants are limited. More than 20 years ago, sodium alginate was shown to significantly decrease the frequency and severity of vomiting in infants [19, 20]. Recently, a reduction of a validated infant GER score, the Infant-GER-Questionnaire-Revised (I-GERQ-R), was reported in infants treated with a new alginate formulation with simethicone [16]. However, only three studies have assessed the effect of alginate on GER with pH-MII. Two studies were performed in preterm infants, and reported a significant decrease in the number of acid GER and proximal esophageal reflux episodes [17, 21]. In the study by Del Buono et al. of 20 infants, results showed a marginal but significant difference between Gaviscon Infant and placebo in average reflux height, and raised questions regarding any perceived clinical benefit of its use [22]. The median number of reflux events/hour (1.58 vs 1.68), acid reflux events/hour (0.26 vs 0.43), minimum distal or proximal pH, total acid clearance time per hour (time with pH below pH 4), and total reflux duration per hour were not significantly different after alginate than after placebo [22]. Alginate creates a viscous gel or ‘rafting’ in the upper part of the stomach, acting rapidly, often within a few minutes of dosing and its presence and effect in the stomach persists for up to 4 h [23]. Since alginate formulation can persist in the stomach for up to 4 h after administration [18], we decided to perform a 48-h pH-MII to avoid the possible ongoing effect of alginate administered during a previous meal on the following drug-free meal. In the study by Del Buono et al. [22], meals

### Table 4  Symptoms reported during pH-multiple intraluminal impedance (pH-MII)

| Symptom             | Total number of episodes | At baseline | During alginate treatment | Median difference | $p$ value |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Crying/Fussiness    | 646                     | 457         | −3.5                      | 0.00012           |
| Regurgitation       | 176                     | 107         | −1                        | 0.042             |
| Cough               | 352                     | 234         | −1                        | 0.005             |

![Fig. 2](image-url)  
Symptoms at baseline and during alginate administration. Upper panel, box plot showing the number of episodes of crying (median, interquartiles); lower panel, box plot showing the number of episodes of regurgitation (median, interquartiles). The number of episodes of crying and regurgitation were significantly decreased during alginate administration ($p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.042$, respectively)
without and with alginate were altered, possibly inducing a still ongoing effect of alginate during a drug-free meal. A similar design was used in the studies in preterm babies [17, 21]. Our study design attempted to reduce these possibly confounding factors by comparing two subsequent consecutive 24-h periods, one for baseline and the second for alginate administration. Both 24-h registrations were as similar as possible regarding confounding variables such as feeding and awake/asleep periods. However, an adaptation of the infant to the presence of the probe during the second period of 24 h may have introduced a normalizing effect.

Theoretically, simethicone may also have a beneficial effect as it reduces the presence of gas in the stomach, favoring gastric emptying [24]. The number of all MII parameters, acid and non-acid reflux, proximal episodes, and bolus exposure index were significantly reduced during alginate administration. The number of episodes of crying or fussiness, regurgitation, and cough reported during alginate administration were also significantly reduced. Although all these changes were statistically highly significant, the clinical relevance of these differences remains to be ascertained based on the individual patient (and related parental concern) and follow-up. Besides, when acid is the predominant GER component and determinant of symptoms, alginate treatment could be suboptimal since the RL acid bolus exposure index, and the mean acid clearance time were not significantly reduced.

In 2000, a review on the effect of alginate-based specific formulations (Gaviscon and Gaviscon Infant) included six pediatric studies, of which only one was a double-blind trial, including 303 children or infants. It showed an overall significant reduction of regurgitation/vomiting and heartburn, in combination with a possible benefit on the pH-monitoring parameters, but concluded that the alginate-based formulations were less effective than H2-antagonists for the treatment of esophagitis [25]. Tolerance was satisfactory in all patients and the only report assessing side effects showed diarrhea or constipation in a few patients, with a similar rate compared with placebo. The heterogeneity in the age of the populations, of commercial alginate formulations tested, and of outcomes considered did not allow a proper meta-analysis of the results [25]. A Cochrane review concluded in 2014 that their moderate evidence indicates that Gaviscon Infant® improves symptoms in infants, including those with functional reflux; the largest study of the current formulation showed improvement in symptom control [2]. No serious side effects were reported [2]. Accordingly, the NICE guidelines consider alginate both for bottle-fed and breast-fed infants with frequent regurgitation associated with marked distress that continues despite a step-care approach based on parental reassurance, postural treatment, nutritional assessment, and advice [3]. In adults, alginates have been shown to be more effective than placebo or antacids for treating GERD symptoms [26–28]. However, because of the limited evidence for efficacy of alginate in children, the NASPGHAN and ESPGHAN guidelines do not recommend its use [1]. Our data add arguments in favor to the Cochrane analysis and NICE guidelines.

Our study does have some limitations. The Ethical Committee refused a placebo arm in these symptomatic infants. We cannot exclude the possibility that the parents may have under-reported symptoms during the second 24-h intervention period. However, it is clinical practice to associate GER with symptoms based on a parental report. In order to reduce diary inaccuracy as much as possible, parents were informed before the investigation about the importance of the precise reporting of symptoms. The design of the study (24-h baseline recording followed by 24-h recording on alginate) may have introduced a bias towards a (false) beneficial effect of the alginate, as reflux parameters may decrease spontaneously during the second 24-h period of a 48-h recording. Regarding pH measurement, low and good reproducibility have been reported [29, 30]. However, according to a detailed analysis of a 48-h pH-MII in ten infants, there was no difference observed between the two 24-h periods [12]. Zerbib et al. showed good reproducibility for the number, acidity and air–liquid composition of reflux episodes in healthy adults (Kendall’s W values 0.72–0.85) [31]. Visual reviewing of the pH-MII tracing could introduce subjective selection of GER episodes and intra-observer variability. However, we believe that the combined use of the automatic software analysis (as a screen background) and the single expert investigator, who was blind to the intervention period during the analysis, limits this risk for interpretation bias. Two different alginate products were tested, Gastrotuss® in Italy and Gaviscon® in Belgium. Because this study was performed unsponsored, only products that were available in the hospital were used. However, given the small differences in composition between products, it is very unlikely that these would have had a different effect (a separate analysis comparing the efficacy of the two products did not reveal any difference—data not shown). The information provided is short term, for only the first 24 h of alginate administration. A placebo-controlled prospective trial evaluating the long-term efficacy of alginate on symptoms is recommended.

5 Conclusion

The number and proximal extension of both acid and non-acid reflux episodes and GER symptoms were significantly reduced during alginate administration when compared with baseline. A 48-h pH-MII was well tolerated by infants and well perceived by the parents, and could be introduced in compliant patients to further improve the diagnostic validity of the investigation and to test the efficacy of GER treatment.
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