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Abstract: Differential equations with delay arguments are one of the branches of functional differential equations which take into account the system’s past, allowing for more accurate and efficient future prediction. The symmetry of the equations in terms of positive and negative solutions plays a fundamental and important role in the study of oscillation. In this paper, we study the oscillatory behavior of a class of odd-order neutral delay differential equations. We establish new sufficient conditions for all solutions of such equations to be oscillatory. The obtained results improve, simplify and complement many existing results.
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1. Introduction

Consider the odd-order neutral delay differential equation (NDDE)

\[ \left( r(t) \left( x^{(n-1)}(t) \right)^\alpha \right)^\prime + q(t)f(x(h(t))) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \]  

(1)

where \( n > 1 \) is odd, \( \alpha \) is a quotient of odd positive integers, and

\[ Y(t) := x(t) + p(t)x(\zeta(t)). \]

Throughout this paper, we assume the following:

(1) \( r, h \) and \( \zeta \) are continuously differentiable real-valued functions on \([t_0, \infty)\), and \( p, q \) and \( f \) are continuous real-valued functions on \([t_0, \infty)\).

(2) \( r(t) > 0, r'(t) \geq 0, 0 < p(t) \leq p_0 < \infty, q \geq 0 \) does not vanish identically, and \( \eta(t_0) = \infty \), where

\[ \eta(t) := \int_t^\infty r^{-1/\alpha}(s)ds; \]

(3) \( h(t) < t, \zeta(t) < t, \) and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} h(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \zeta(t) = \infty. \)

(4) \( f(x) \geq kx^\alpha \) for all \( x \neq 0 \), where \( k \) is a positive constant (note that \( x^\alpha = -|x|^\alpha \) for \( x < 0 \)).

By a solution of (1), we mean a continuous real-valued function \( x(t) \) for \( t \geq t_x \geq t_0 \), which has the property: \( Y \) is continuously differentiable \( n \) times for \( t \geq t_x, \) \( Y^{(n-1)} \) is...
continuously differentiable for $t \geq t_x$ and $x$ satisfies (1) on $[t_x, \infty)$. We consider only the nontrivial solutions of (1) is present on some half-line $[t_x, \infty)$ and satisfying the condition $\sup\{ |x(t)| : t \leq t < \infty \} > 0$ for any $t \geq t_x$.

On many occasions, symmetries have appeared in mathematical formulations that have become essential for solving problems or delving further into research. High quality studies that use nontrivial mathematics and their symmetries applied to relevant problems from all areas were presented. In fact, in recent years, many monographs and a lot of research papers have been devoted to the behavior of solutions of delay differential equations. This is due to its relevance for different life science applications and its effectiveness in finding solutions of real world problems such as natural sciences, technology, population dynamics, medicine dynamics, social sciences and genetic engineering. For some of these applications, we refer to [1–3]. A study of the behavior of solutions to higher order differential equations yield much fewer results than for the least order equations although they are of the utmost importance in a lot of applications, especially neutral delay differential equations. In the literature, there are many papers and books which study the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of neutral delay differential equations by using different technique in order to establish some sufficient conditions which ensure oscillatory behavior of the solutions of (1), see [4–6].

The authors in [1,3,7] have studied the oscillatory behavior of the higher-order differential equation
\[
\left( x^{(n-1)}(t) \right)^{\alpha} + q(t)x^{\beta}(h(t)) = 0.
\]

And the author of [8] extended the results to the following equation
\[
\left( r(t) x^{(n-1)}(t) \right)^{\alpha} + q(t)x^{\alpha}(h(t)) = 0.
\]

Agarwal, Li and Rath [9–12] investigated the oscillatory behavior of quasi-linear neutral differential equation
\[
\left( r(t) \left( x(t) + p(t)x(\zeta(t)) \right)^{(n-1)} \right)^{\alpha} + q(t)x^{\alpha}(h(t)) = 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0,
\]
under the condition
\[
0 \leq p(t) < 1.
\]

The latter differential equation was studied by Xing et al. in [13] under the condition
\[
0 \leq p(t) < \infty.
\]

The aim of this paper is to study the oscillatory behavior of the solutions of odd-order NDDE (1). By using Riccati transformation, we establish some sufficient conditions which ensure that every solution of (1) is either oscillatory or tends to zero.

2. Auxiliary Results

In order to prove our main results, we will employ the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** ([14] Lemma (2.3)). Let $G(v) = Cv - Dv^{(a+1)/a}$ where $C, D > 0$. Then $G$ attains its maximum value on $\mathbb{R}$ at $v^* = (aC/(a+1)D)^a$ and
\[
\max_{v \in \mathbb{R}} G(v) = G(v^*) = \frac{a^a}{(a+1)^{a+1}} \frac{C^{a+1}}{D^a}.
\]

**Lemma 2** ([15]). Assume that $c_1, c_2 \in [0, \infty)$ and $\gamma > 0$. Then
\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_i \right)^{\gamma} \leq \mu_{i=1}^{2} c_i^{\gamma},
\]
where

\[ \mu(\gamma) := \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \gamma \leq 1, \\
2\gamma^{-1} & \text{if } \gamma > 1.
\end{cases} \]

**Lemma 3.** Let \( f \in C^n([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty)) \). Assume that \( f^{(n)}(t) \) is of fixed sign and not identically zero on \([t_0, \infty)\) and that there exists a \( t_1 \geq t_0 \) such that \( f^{(n-1)}(t)f^{(n)}(t) \leq 0 \) for all \( t \geq t_1 \). If \( \lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) \neq 0 \), then for every \( \mu \in (0, 1) \) there exists \( t_\mu \geq t_1 \) such that

\[ f(t) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1} \left| f^{(n-1)}(t) \right| \text{ for } t \geq t_\mu. \]

**Proof.** By the definition of a positive solution to (1) there exists a \( \tilde{x} \) such that \( \tilde{x}(t) > 0 \), \( \tilde{x}(h(t)) > 0 \) and \( \tilde{x}(\zeta(t)) > 0 \), for \( t \geq t_1 \). By the definition of \( Y \), it is easy to see that \( Y(t) > 0 \). Furthermore, from (1), we have \( \left( r \left( (Y)^{(n-1)} \right)^{\alpha} \right)' \leq 0 \). The rest of the proof is similar to proof of ([3] Lemma 2). Thus, the proof completed. \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.** Let the function \( x \) be a positive solution to (1) on the interval \([t_0, \infty)\). Then there exists \( t_1 \geq t_0 \) such that, for \( t \geq t_1 \), \( Y(t) > 0 \), \( \left( r \left( (Y)^{(n-1)} \right)^{\alpha} \right)' \leq 0 \) and there occur two cases for the derivatives of the function \( Y \):

- \( (I) \) \( Y'(t) > 0 \), \( Y''(t) > 0 \), \( Y^{(n-1)}(t) > 0 \), \( Y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0 \);
- \( (II) \) \( Y'(t) < 0 \), \( Y''(t) > 0 \), \( Y^{(n-1)}(t) > 0 \), \( Y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0 \).

**Proof.** By the definition of a positive solution to (1) there exists a \( t_1 \in [t_0, \infty) \) such that \( x(t) > 0 \), \( x(h(t)) > 0 \) and \( x(\zeta(t)) > 0 \), for \( t \geq t_1 \). By the definition of \( Y \), it is easy to see that \( Y(t) > 0 \). Furthermore, from (1), we have \( \left( r \left( (Y)^{(n-1)} \right)^{\alpha} \right)' \leq 0 \). The rest of the proof is similar to proof of ([3] Lemma 2). Thus, the proof completed. \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.** Let \( x \) be a positive solution of (1), \( Y \) satisfy (II) and put

\[ \tilde{\eta}(t) = \frac{1}{r^x(t)} \left( \int_t^\infty q(s)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]

If

\[ \int_{t_0}^\infty \tilde{\eta}(s)s^{n-2}ds = \infty, \]

then \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} Y(t) = 0. \)

**Proof.** Let \( x \) be a positive solution of (1). Using (I4) in (1), we have

\[ \left( r \left( (Y)^{(n-1)} \right)^{\alpha} \right)'(t) + kq(t)x^\alpha(h(t)) \leq 0. \]

From (II), we note that \( \lim_{t \to \infty} Y(t) = c \geq 0 \), due to \( Y(t) > 0 \) and \( Y'(t) < 0 \). Assume that \( c > 0 \). Then for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have \( c + \epsilon > Y(t) > c \), eventually. By definition of \( Y(t) \), we have

\[ x(t) = Y(t) - p(t)x(\zeta(t)) \geq Y(t) - p(t)Y(\zeta(t)), \]

thus,

\[ x(t) \geq c - p_0(\epsilon + c) = \frac{c - p_0(\epsilon + c)}{\epsilon + c}(\epsilon + c). \]

This implies that

\[ x(t) \geq \phi Y(t), \]

where \( \phi = \frac{c - p_0(\epsilon + c)}{\epsilon + c} > 0 \). Using (6) in (5), we obtain

\[ \left( r \left( (Y)^{(n-1)} \right)^{\alpha} \right)'(t) + kq^\alpha \phi(t)Y^\alpha(h(t)) \leq 0. \]
Integrating the above inequality from $t$ to $\infty$, we obtain

$$r(t)\left(Y^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^a \geq q^c t^\alpha \int_t^\infty q(s)Y^a(h(s))ds.$$ 

By $\lim_{t \to \infty} Y(t) > c$, it follows that

$$Y^{(n-1)}(t) \geq q^c k^\frac{1}{\alpha} \tilde{\eta}(t).$$

Integrating (7) twice from $t$ to $\infty$, we have

$$Y^{(n-3)}(t) \geq q^c k^\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_t^\infty \int_t^\infty \tilde{\eta}(s)dsdu = q^c k^\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_t^\infty \tilde{\eta}(s)(s-t)ds.$$ 

Repeating this procedure, we arrive at

$$-Y'(t) \geq q^c \frac{k^\frac{1}{\alpha}}{(n-3)!} \int_t^\infty \tilde{\eta}(s)(s-t)^{n-3}ds.$$ 

Now, integrating from $t_1$ to $\infty$, we see that

$$Y(t_1) \geq q^c \frac{k^\frac{1}{\alpha}}{(n-2)!} \int_{t_1}^\infty \tilde{\eta}(s)(s-t_1)^{n-2}ds \geq q^c \frac{k^\frac{1}{\alpha}}{2^{n-2}(n-2)!} \int_{2t_1}^\infty \tilde{\eta}(s)s^{n-2}ds,$$

which contradicts (4), and so we have verified that $\lim_{t \to \infty} Y(t) = 0$. \qed

3. Main Results

In the following lemma, we will use the notation $\tilde{q}(t) := \min\{q(t), q(h(t))\}$, $\tilde{q}_2(t) := \min\{q(h^{-1}(t)), q(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))\}$ and

$$\zeta' \geq \zeta_0 > 0;$$

(8) \hspace{1cm}

$$\left(h^{-1}(t)\right)' \geq h_0 > 0.$$ 

(9)

Lemma 6. Let $x$ be a positive solution of the equation in (1). If (8) and the equality $h \circ \zeta = \zeta \circ h$ hold, then the following inequality is valid

$$r(t)\left(Y^{(n-1)}(t)\right)^a + \frac{p_0}{\zeta_0}r(\zeta(t))\left(Y^{(n-1)}(\zeta(t))\right)^a + \frac{k}{\mu} \tilde{q}(t)Y^a(h(t)) \leq 0.$$ 

(10)

Moreover, if (8) and (9) hold, then

$$r(h^{-1}(t))\left(Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(t))\right)^a \left(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))\right)^a + \frac{p_0}{h_0}r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))\left(Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))\right)^a \left(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))\right)^a + \frac{k}{\mu} \tilde{q}_2(t)Y^a(t) \leq 0.$$ 

(11)

Proof. Let $x$ be a positive solution of (1). Then, there exists $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0$, $x(h(t)) > 0$ and $x(\zeta(t)) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. By the equality $Y(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(\zeta(t))$ together with Lemma 2, we obtain the inequality

$$Y^a(t) \leq \mu(x^a(t) + p_0^a x^a(\zeta(t))).$$ 

(12)
From (5) and the properties \( h \circ x = x \circ h \) and \( x' \geq \zeta_0 \), we obtain

\[
0 \geq \frac{P_0}{\xi(t)} \left( r(\xi(t)) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(\xi(t)) \right) x' + p_0 kq(\xi(t)) x^a(h(\xi(t))) \right) \\
\geq \frac{P_0}{\xi_0} \left( r(\xi(t)) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(\xi(t)) \right) x' + p_0 kq(\xi(t)) x^a(h(\xi(t))) \right).
\]

(13)

Using the latter inequalities and taking those in (5) and (13) into account as well, we obtain

\[
0 \geq \left( r(t) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(t) \right) x' + \frac{P_0}{\xi_0} \left( r(\xi(t)) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(\xi(t)) \right) x' + p_0 kq(\xi(t)) x^a(h(\xi(t))) \right) \right) \\
\geq \left( r(t) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(t) \right) x' + \frac{P_0}{\xi_0} \left( r(\xi(t)) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(\xi(t)) \right) x' + p_0 kq(\xi(t)) x^a(h(\xi(t))) \right) \right) \\
+ kq(t) x^a(h(t)) + p_0 x^a(h(t)).
\]

which with (12) gives

\[
0 \geq \left( r(t) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(t) \right) x' + \frac{P_0}{\xi_0} \left( r(\xi(t)) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(\xi(t)) \right) x' + p_0 kq(\xi(t)) x^a(h(\xi(t))) \right) \right) + \frac{k}{\mu} Y^a(h(t)) \\
\geq \left( r(t) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(t) \right) x' + \frac{P_0}{\xi_0} \left( r(\xi(t)) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(\xi(t)) \right) x' + p_0 kq(\xi(t)) x^a(h(\xi(t))) \right) \right) \\
+ \frac{k}{\mu} Y^a(h(t)).
\]

This proves the inequality in (10). In order to show inequality (11) we proceed as follows. From (8) and (9), we obtain

\[
0 \geq \frac{1}{(h^{-1}(t))} \left( r(h^{-1}(t)) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(t)) \right) x' + kq(h^{-1}(t)) x^a(t) \right) \\
\geq \frac{1}{h_0} \left( r(h^{-1}(t)) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(t)) \right) x' + kq(h^{-1}(t)) x^a(t) \right).
\]

(14)

Moreover,

\[
0 \geq \frac{P_0}{(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))} \left( r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \right) x' \\
+ p_0 kq(\zeta(t)) x^a(\zeta(t)) \right) \\
\geq \frac{P_0}{h_0 \zeta_0} \left( r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \right) x' + p_0 kq(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) x^a(h(\zeta(t))) \right).
\]

(15)

Combining (14) with (15) and taking into account (12), we have

\[
0 \geq \frac{1}{h_0} \left( r(h^{-1}(t)) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(t)) \right) x' + \frac{k}{\mu} q_2(t)(x(t) + p_0 x(\zeta(t)))^a \right) \\
+ \frac{P_0}{h_0 \zeta_0} \left( r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \right) x' \right) \\
+ \frac{k}{\mu} q_2(t) Y^a(t).
\]

that is

\[
0 \geq \frac{1}{h_0} \left( r(h^{-1}(t)) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(t)) \right) x' + \frac{P_0}{\xi_0} \left( r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \right) x' \right) \right) \\
+ \frac{k}{\mu} q_2(t) Y^a(t).
\]

This proves (11) and completes the proof of Lemma 6. \( \Box \)
Theorem 1. Suppose that $h(t) \leq \zeta(t)$, $h \circ \zeta = \zeta \circ h$, $h'(t) > 0$ and (8) hold. Moreover, assume that (4) is satisfied and that there exists a function $\delta \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ with the property that for all sufficiently large $t_1 \geq t_0$, there exists $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_1}^{t} \left[ k\delta(s) \frac{\tilde{g}(s)}{\mu} - \frac{(n-2)!}{\mu^n(a+1)^{n+1}} \left( 1 + \frac{p_0^n}{\mu^n} \right) R(s) (\delta'(s))^\frac{2}{a+1} \right] ds = \infty. \quad (16)$$

Then, a solution $x(t)$ to (1) either oscillates or else tends to zero when $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $x$ be a positive solution of (1). Then, there exist $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0$, $x(h(t)) > 0$ and $x(\zeta(t)) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Define the positive function $\omega$ by

$$\omega(t) = \delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a}{Y^a(h(t))}. \quad (17)$$

Hence, by differentiating (17), we obtain

$$\omega'(t) = \delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a}{Y^a(h(t))} + \delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a t'}{Y^a(h(t))} - a\delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a}{Y^a(h(t))} Y^{(n-2)}(h(t)) h'(t) \quad (18)$$

Since $Y' > 0$, $Y'' > 0$, we see that $\lim_{t \to \infty} Y'(t) \neq 0$, using Lemma 3 with $f = Y'$, we obtain

$$Y'(h(t)) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-2)!} h^{n-2} Y^{(n-1)}(h(t)) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-2)!} (h(t))^{n-2} Y^{(n-1)}(t). \quad (19)$$

Substituting (17) and (19) into (18) implies

$$\omega'(t) \leq \delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a}{Y^a(h(t))} + \delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a t'}{Y^a(h(t))} - a\delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a}{Y^a(h(t))} Y^{(n-2)}(h(t)) h'(t)
\leq \delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a t'}{Y^a(h(t))} + \delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a t'}{Y^a(h(t))} \omega(t) - a\delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a t'}{(n-2)!} \frac{\omega(t)}{\delta(t) r(t)} Y^{(n-2)}(h(t)) h'(t)
\leq \delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a t'}{Y^a(h(t))} + \delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a t'}{Y^a(h(t))} \omega(t) - a\delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a t'}{(n-2)!} \omega(t)^{a+1}/\delta(t)^{a+1}$$

that is,

$$\omega'(t) \leq \delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a t'}{Y^a(h(t))} + \delta(t) \frac{r(t) (Y^{(n-1)}(t))^a t'}{Y^a(h(t))} \omega(t) - a\mu h^{n-2}(t) h'(t) \omega(t)^{a+1}/(n-2)! \delta(t)^{a+1}. \quad (20)$$
Now, define another positive function \( v \) by
\[
v(t) = \delta(t) \frac{r(\zeta(t))}{Y^a(h(t))} \left( Y^{(n-1)}(\zeta(t)) \right)^a.
\] (21)

By differentiating (21), we obtain
\[
v'(t) = \delta'(t) \frac{r(\zeta(t))}{Y^a(h(t))} \left( Y^{(n-1)}(\zeta(t)) \right)^a + \delta(t) \left( \frac{r(\zeta(t))}{Y^a(h(t))} \right)' \left( Y^{(n-1)}(\zeta(t)) \right)^a
- \alpha \delta(t) r(\zeta(t)) \frac{Y^{(n-1)}(\zeta(t))}{Y^a(h(t))} h'(t) Y^{a-1}(h(t)) Y'(h(t)) h'(t)
\] (22)

From (19), \( h(t) \leq \zeta(t) \) and \( Y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0 \), we have
\[
Y'(h(t)) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-2)!} (h(t))^{n-2} Y^{(n-1)}(h(t)) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-2)!} (h(t))^{n-2} Y^{(n-1)}(\zeta(t)).
\] (23)

Substituting (23) and (21) into (22), implies
\[
v'(t) \leq \delta'(t) \frac{r(\zeta(t))}{Y^a(h(t))} \left( Y^{(n-1)}(\zeta(t)) \right)^a + \delta(t) \left( \frac{r(\zeta(t))}{Y^a(h(t))} \right)' \left( Y^{(n-1)}(\zeta(t)) \right)^a
- \alpha \delta(t) r(\zeta(t)) \frac{Y^{(n-1)}(\zeta(t))}{Y^a(h(t))} h'(t)
\] (24)

By \( r'(t) > 0 \), we obtain
\[
v'(t) \leq \delta(t) \frac{r(\zeta(t))}{Y^a(h(t))} \left( Y^{(n-1)}(\zeta(t)) \right)^a + \frac{\delta'(t)}{\delta(t)} v(t)
- \frac{\alpha \delta(t) r(\zeta(t))}{(n-2)!} \frac{Y^{(n-1)}(\zeta(t))}{Y^a(h(t))} h'(t) Y^{(n-1)}(h(t)) Y'(h(t)) h'(t)
\] (25)

Now, using inequalities (20) and (24), we obtain
\[
\omega'(t) + \frac{\rho_{\delta}}{\xi_0} \omega'(t) \leq \delta(t) \frac{r(\zeta(t))}{Y^a(h(t))} \left( Y^{(n-1)}(t) \right)^a + \frac{\delta'(t)}{\delta(t)} \omega(t)
- \frac{\alpha \delta(t) r(\zeta(t))}{(n-2)!} \frac{Y^{(n-1)}(\zeta(t))}{Y^a(h(t))} h'(t) Y^{(n-1)}(h(t)) Y'(h(t)) h'(t)
\] (25)
By inserting the inequality in (10) in (26), we obtain
\[
\omega'(t) + \frac{p_0}{\xi_0} \varphi'(t) \leq -\delta(t) \left( \frac{kq(t)}{\mu} \right) + \frac{\delta'(t)}{\delta(t)} \omega(t) - \frac{\alpha \mu h^{n-2}(t)h'(t)}{(n-2)!\delta^{1/\alpha}(t)r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \omega^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(t)
\]
\[+ \frac{\delta_0}{\xi_0} \left( \frac{\delta'(t)}{\delta(t)} \varphi(t) - \frac{\alpha \mu h^{n-2}(t)h'(t)}{(n-2)!\delta^{1/\alpha}(t)r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \varphi^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(t) \right). \tag{26}
\]
By applying the Lemma 1 with
\[C = \frac{\delta'(t)}{\delta(t)} \text{ and } D = \frac{\alpha \mu h^{n-2}(t)h'(t)}{(n-2)!\delta^{1/\alpha}(t)r^{1/\alpha}(t)} \cdot \]
we obtain
\[
\omega'(t) + \frac{p_0}{\xi_0} \varphi'(t) \leq -\delta(t) \left( \frac{kq(t)}{\mu} \right) + \frac{((n-2)!)^a}{\mu^a(\alpha+1)^{a+1}} \frac{r(t)(\delta'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta(t)h^{n-2}(t)h'(t))^a} + \frac{p_0}{\xi_0}((n-2)!)^a \frac{r(t)(\delta'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta(t)h^{n-2}(t)h'(t))^a}.
\]
Integrating last the inequality from \(t_2\) to \(t\), we obtain
\[
\int_{t_2}^{t} \left[ k\tilde{d}(s) \frac{\tilde{q}(s)}{\mu} - \frac{(n-2)!^a}{\mu^a(\alpha+1)^{a+1}} \left( 1 + \frac{p_0}{\xi_0} \right) \left( \frac{r(s)(\delta'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta(s)h^{n-2}(s)h'(s))^a} \right) \right] ds \leq \omega(t_2) + \frac{p_0}{\xi_0} \varphi(t_2).
\]
The proof is complete. \(\Box\)

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that the functions \(h\) and \(\xi\) satisfy (8), (9) and \(h(t) \leq \xi(t)\) for \(t_0\). In addition, suppose that (4) is satisfied. If there exists a function \(\delta \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))\) with the property that for all sufficiently large \(t_1 \geq t_0\), there exists \(t_2 \geq t_1\) such that
\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_2}^{t} \left[ k\tilde{d}(s) \frac{\tilde{q}(s)}{\mu} - \frac{(n-2)!^a}{\mu^a h_0(\alpha+1)^{a+1}} \left( 1 + \frac{p_0}{\xi_0} \right) \frac{r(h^{-1}(s))(\delta'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta(s)h^{n-2}(s)h'(s))^a} \right] ds = \infty \tag{27}
\]
is valid. Then a solution \(x(t)\) of Equation (1) oscillates or tends to zero when \(t \to \infty\).

**Proof.** Let \(x\) be a positive solution of (1). Then, there exist \(t_1 \geq t_0\) such that \(x(t) > 0\), \(x(h(t)) > 0\) and \(x(\xi(t)) > 0\) for \(t \geq t_1\). Define the positive function \(\omega\) by
\[
\omega(t) = \delta(t) \left( \frac{r(h^{-1}(t))}{Y(t)} \right)^{\alpha}. \tag{28}
\]
Hence, by differentiating (28), we obtain
\[
\omega'(t) = \delta'(t) \left( \frac{r(h^{-1}(t))}{Y(t)} \right)^{\alpha} + \frac{\delta(t)}{Y(t)} \left( \frac{r(h^{-1}(t))}{Y(t)} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{r(h^{-1}(t))}{Y(t)} \right) Y'(t)
\]
\[+ \frac{\alpha \delta(t)r(h^{-1}(t))}{Y(t)} \left( \frac{Y(n-1)(h^{-1}(t))}{Y(t)} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{Y'(t)}{Y(t)}. \tag{29}
\]
Since \(Y' > 0\), \(Y'' > 0\), we see that \(\lim_{t \to \infty} Y' \neq 0\), using Lemma 3 with \(f = Y\), we obtain
\[
Y'(t) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-2)!} \frac{r^{n-2}Y(n-1)(t)}{Y(t)}. \tag{30}
\]
for every \( \mu \in (0, 1) \). Thus, by \( h^{-1}(t) > t \) and \( Y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0 \), we obtain

\[
Y'(t) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-2)!} \mu^{n-2} Y^{(n-1)}(t) \geq Y'(t) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-2)!} \mu^{n-2} Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(t)). \tag{31}
\]

Substituting (28) and (31) into (29) implies

\[
\omega'(t) \leq \delta'(t) \frac{r(h^{-1}(t)) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(t)) \right)^{\alpha}}{Y^{\alpha}(t)} + \delta(t) \frac{r(h^{-1}(t)) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(t)) \right)^{\alpha}}{Y^{\alpha}(t)} \frac{\omega(t)}{\alpha} - \frac{\mu \mu^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \frac{\omega(t)}{Y^{\alpha}(t)} \frac{\omega(t)}{(\omega(t))^{\alpha+1}} Y^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(t). \tag{32}
\]

Now, define another positive function \( v \) by

\[
v(t) = \delta(t) \frac{r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \right)^{\alpha}}{Y^{\alpha}(t)}. \tag{33}
\]

By differentiating (33), we obtain

\[
v'(t) = \delta'(t) \frac{r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \right)^{\alpha}}{Y^{\alpha}(t)} + \delta(t) \frac{r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \right)^{\alpha}}{Y^{\alpha}(t)} \frac{\omega(t)}{\alpha} - \frac{\mu \mu^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \frac{\omega(t)}{Y^{\alpha}(t)} \frac{\omega(t)}{(\omega(t))^{\alpha+1}} Y^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(t). \tag{34}
\]

From (30), \( h^{-1}(\zeta(t)) \geq t \) and \( Y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0 \), we have

\[
Y'(t) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-2)!} \mu^{n-2} Y^{(n-1)}(t) \geq \frac{\mu}{(n-2)!} \mu^{n-2} Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))). \tag{35}
\]
A similar method has been used in the work [16]. Substituting (35) and (33) into (34), implies

\[ v'(t) \leq \delta'(t) \frac{r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \right)^\alpha}{Y^n(t)} \]

\[ + \frac{\delta(t) \left( \beta(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \right)^{\alpha+1} \right)}{Y^n(t)} \frac{\alpha^t r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{(n-2)!} \]

\[ - \left( \frac{Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{Y(t)} \right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{\alpha^t r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{(n-2)!} \]

\[ \leq \frac{\delta(t) \left( \beta(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \right)^{\alpha+1} \right)}{Y^n(t)} + \frac{\delta'(t) v(t)}{Y^n(t)} \]

\[- \frac{\alpha^t r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{(n-2)!} \frac{\alpha^t r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{(n-2)!} \frac{v(t)}{\delta(t) r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))} \]

By \( r'(t) > 0 \), we obtain

\[ v'(t) \leq \delta(t) \left( \beta(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \left( Y^{(n-1)}(h^{-1}(\zeta(t))) \right)^{\alpha+1} \right) + \frac{\delta'(t) v(t)}{Y^n(t)} \]

\[- \frac{\alpha^t r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{(n-2)!} \frac{\alpha^t r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{(n-2)!} \frac{v(t)}{\delta(t) r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))} \]

Now, using inequalities (20) and (24), we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{h_0} \omega'(t) + \frac{p_0^\alpha}{h_0 \zeta_0} v'(t) \leq \delta(t) \left( \frac{k_d(t)}{\mu} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{\delta'(t) \omega(t)}{h_0 \delta(t)} - \frac{\alpha^t r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{h_0(n-2)!} \frac{\alpha^t r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{(n-2)!} \frac{\omega(t)}{(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))} \]

By (4), we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{h_0} \omega'(t) + \frac{p_0^\alpha}{h_0 \zeta_0} v'(t) \leq \delta(t) \left( \frac{k_d(t)}{\mu} \right) \]

\[ + \delta'(t) \omega(t) - \frac{\alpha^t r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{h_0(n-2)!} \frac{\alpha^t r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{(n-2)!} \frac{\omega(t)}{(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))} \]

By using Lemma 1 with

\[ C = \frac{\delta'(t)}{h_0 \delta(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \frac{\alpha^t r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{h_0(n-2)!} \frac{\alpha^t r(h^{-1}(\zeta(t)))}{(n-2)!} \]
we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{h_0} \omega'(t) + \frac{p_0}{h_0} q'(t) \leq -\delta(t) \left( \frac{kq_0(t)}{\mu} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{((n-2)!)^\alpha}{\mu^\alpha h_0(\alpha+1)^{a+1}} \left( \frac{r(h^{-1}(t)) (\delta'(t))^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta(t)t^{n-2})^\alpha} \right). \]

Integrating both sides of the latter inequality from \( t_2 \) to \( t \), we obtain

\[ \int_{t_2}^{t} \left[ \frac{k\delta(s)}{\mu} q_2(s) - \frac{((n-2)!)^\alpha}{\mu^\alpha h_0(\alpha+1)^{a+1}} \left( 1 + \frac{p_0}{s_0} \right) \frac{r(h^{-1}(s)) (\delta'(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta(s)s^{n-2})^\alpha} \right] ds \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{h_0} \omega(t_2) + \frac{p_0}{h_0} s_0 \omega(t_2). \]

The proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Example 1.** Consider the odd order neutral delay differential equation

\[ \gamma^{(n)}(t) + \frac{q_0}{h_0} x \left( \frac{t}{e^t} \right) = 0, \quad t \geq 1, \quad q_0 > 0, \quad n \geq 3, \quad (37) \]

where \( \gamma(t) = x(t) + \frac{17}{18} x \left( \frac{t}{e^t} \right), \) and

\( k = \mu = \alpha = r(t) = 1, \quad \tilde{q}_2(s) = \frac{q_0}{(e^{-1})^2}, \quad h(t) = \frac{t}{e^t}, \quad \zeta(t) = \frac{t}{e^t}, \) and set \( \delta(t) = t^{n-1}. \)

Using Example 1 in [17], we find that every solution of (37) oscillates or tends to zero if

\[ q_0 > 9(n-1)! e^{2n-3}, \]

and using Example 2.11 in [13], we find that every solution of (37) oscillates or tends to zero if

\[ q_0 > (n-1)! \left( e^{2n-3} + \frac{17}{18} e^{2n-2} \right). \]

From condition (27) in Theorem 2, we see that every solution of (37) oscillates or tends to zero if

\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ \frac{q_0}{e^{2n}} - \frac{(n-2)!(n-1)}{4e^2} \left( 1 + \frac{17}{18} e \right) \right] \ln t = \infty, \]

thus,

\[ q_0 > \frac{e}{4} (n-1)! \left( e^{2n-3} + \frac{17}{18} e^{2n-2} \right). \]

Hence, we can see that our results are better than ([17] Example 1) and ([13] Example 2.11).

**4. Conclusions**

In this work, we established the oscillation criteria for a class of odd-order delay differential equations. By using Riccati transformation, we presented some sufficient conditions which ensure that every solution of (1) is either oscillatory or tends to zero. The approach used does not need to be restricted by the condition \( 0 < p(t) < 1 \), unlike many previous work.

For interested researchers, results presented in this paper may be extended to more general equations than (1). Another interesting problem for further research is to obtain new criteria for oscillatory solutions for (1) without requiring (8).
Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.C., O.M., B.Q., N.A.A., S.K.E. and M.Z.; Data curation, O.M., B.Q., N.A.A., S.K.E. and M.Z.; Formal analysis, C.C., O.M., B.Q., N.A.A., S.K.E. and M.Z.; Investigation, C.C., O.M., B.Q., N.A.A. and M.Z.; Methodology, C.C., O.M., B.Q., N.A.A., S.K.E. and M.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors extend their appreciation to Taif university and King Khalid University for funding support for this paper.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through Research Group Program under Grant No. RGP. 2/51/42. Taif University Researchers Supporting Project number (TURSP-2020/247), Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Philos, C. On the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero at $\infty$ for differential equations with positive delay. *Arch. Math.* 1981, 36, 168–178. [CrossRef]
2. Hale, J.K. *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*; Spring: New York, NY, USA, 1977.
3. Baculikova, B.; Dzurina, J. Oscillation of third-order nonlinear differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 2011, 24, 466–470. [CrossRef]
4. Agarwal, R.P.; Grace, S.R.; Regan, D. *Oscillation Theory for Nonlinear and Functional Differential Equations*; Marcel Dekker, Kluwer Academic: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2000.
5. Erbe, L.; Kong, Q.; Zhang, B.G. *Oscillation Theory for Functional Differential Equations*; Marcel Dekker: New York, NY, USA, 1995.
6. Grace, S.R. Oscillation theorems for nth-order differential equations with deviating arguments. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 1984, 101, 268–296. [CrossRef]
7. Xu, Z.; Xia, Y. Integral averaging technique and oscillation of certain even order delay differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2004, 292, 238–246. [CrossRef]
8. Moaaz, O. Oscillatory behavior of solutions of odd-order nonlinear delay differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Eqs.* 2020, 2020, 1–10. [CrossRef]
9. Agarwal, R.P.; Grace, S.R.; O’Regan, D. Oscillation criteria for certain nth order differential equations with deviating arguments. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2001, 262, 601–622. [CrossRef]
10. Li, T.; Han, Z.; Zhao, P.; Sun, S. Oscillation of even-order neutral delay differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Eq.* 2010, 2010, 1–9.
11. Moaaz, O.; Furuichi, S.; Muhib, A. New comparison theorems for the nth order neutral differential equations with delay inequalities. *Mathematics* 2020, 8, 454. [CrossRef]
12. Rath, R.N.; Padhy, L.N.; Misra, N. Oscillation of solutions of non-linear neutral delay differential equations of higher order for $p(t) = \pm 1$. *Arch. Math.* 2004, 40, 359–366.
13. Xing, G.; Li, T.; Zhang, C. Oscillation of higher-order quasi-linear neutral differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Eqs.* 2011, 2011, 1–10. [CrossRef]
14. Zhang, S.Y.; Wang, Q.R. Oscillation of second-order nonlinear neutral dynamic equations on time scales. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2010, 216, 2837–2848. [CrossRef]
15. Moaaz, O.; Elabbasy, E.M.; Shaaban, E. Oscillation criteria for a class of third order damped differential equations. *Arab J. Math. Sci.* 2018, 24, 16–30. [CrossRef]
16. Shang, Y. Functions of $\alpha$-slow increase. *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2012, 4, 226–230.
17. Karpuz, B.; Ocalan, O.; Ozturk, S. Comparison theorems on the oscillation and asymptotic behavior of higher-order neutral differential equations. *Glasgow Math J.* 2010, 52, 107–114. [CrossRef]