Long term conservation of DNA at ambient temperature. Implications for DNA data storage

Delphine Coudy*,1, Marthe Colotte2, Aurélie Luis3, Sophie Tuffet1,2, Jacques Bonnet*1,3

1 Laboratoire de Recherche et développement, Imagene Company, Pessac, France
2 Imagene, plateforme de production, Genopole, Evry, France
3 Université de Bordeaux, Institut Bergonié, INSERM, Bordeaux, France

*Corresponding authors. Bonnet@imagene.eu (JB); Coudy@imagene.eu (DC)

Abstract

DNA conservation is central to many applications. This leads to an ever-increasing number of samples which are more and more difficult and costly to store or transport. A way to alleviate this problem is to develop procedures for storing samples at room temperature while maintaining their stability. A variety of commercial systems have been proposed but they fail to completely protect DNA from deleterious factors, mainly water. On the other side, Imagene company has developed a procedure for long-term conservation of biospecimen at room temperature based on the confinement of the samples under an anhydrous and anoxic atmosphere maintained inside hermetic capsules. The procedure has been validated by us and others for purified RNA, and DNA in buffy coat or white blood cells lysates, but a precise determination of purified DNA stability is still lacking. We used the Arrhenius law to determine the DNA degradation rate at room temperature. We found that extrapolation to 25 °C gave a degradation rate constant equivalent to about 1 cut/century/100 000 nucleotides, a stability several orders of magnitude larger than the current commercialized processes. Such a stability is fundamental for many applications such as the preservation of very large DNA molecules (particularly interesting in the context of genome sequencing) or oligonucleotides for DNA data storage. Capsules are also well suited for this latter application because of their high capacity. One can calculate that the 64 zettabytes of data produced in 2020 could be stored, standalone, for centuries, in about 20 kg of capsules.

Introduction

Conservation of DNA, purified, in biospecimens or synthetic is a prerequisite to many applications, from biobanking, biodiversity preservation or molecular diagnostics to digital data storage (for reviews, see for instance [1,2,3]). This generates an ever-increasing number of samples which are more and more difficult and costly to store or transport. For reviews, see[4,5,6].

A way to alleviate, at least partially, this problem, is to develop procedures for storing samples at room temperature while maintaining their stability. Indeed, room temperature allows a standalone storage without energy costs. This implies to keep DNA away from environmental degradation factors, water, oxygen, ozone, and other atmospheric pollutants[7,8,9,10], water being by far the most deleterious element.

Many systems, often based on dehydration, have been used for room temperature storage of purified DNA: freeze-drying [11], inclusion in soluble matrices including liposomes, polymers such as silk [12] or pullulan [13] or adsorption on solid supports such as natural or treated cellulose [14,15,16]. Other procedures use encapsulation in sol-gel-based silica [17,18] or in silica nanoparticles [19,20], inclusion in salts [21] or layered double hybrids [22], dissolution in deep eutectic solvents [23] or ionic liquids [24]. As none of these procedures can totally protect DNA from atmosphere or moisture, other ways have been proposed: protection under a gold film [25] or encapsulation under an inert atmosphere in hermetic stainless-steel capsules, the DNAshells™ (Imagene SA, France) [6,26,27].

To demonstrate the real efficacy of a given preservation procedure one must estimate the DNA rate of degradation at room temperature (here, 25 °C) which is difficult because of the low degradation rate of dehydrated DNA in this condition. So, generally, one must rely on accelerated aging kinetics and extrapolation to room temperature by using Arrhenius equation. Such an approach has recently been used by Grass et al [28] and Organick et al [29], to compare some of these procedures in the context of DNA data storage. Among the tested procedures, DNA encapsulated in DNAshell™ did not give reliable rates of degradation because these were too low.

Here we report an Arrhenius analysis for purified DNA stored in DNAsheil complementing these previous studies and exemplifying the high stability of DNA when stored under inert atmosphere.

Material and methods

DNA preparation

DNA was extracted from blood collected on EDTA, following the Puregene protocol (Gentra, Qiagen, Hilden Germany) and resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8 and stored at 4 °C.
**Ethics statement**

The data regarding DNA stability presented in this study relate to projects that have been formally approved by the “Comité de protection des personnes Sud Ouest et Outre Mer III”, including use of blood and blood-derived samples. “L’Etablissement français du sang” (EFS, France) is a French national establishment that is authorized to collect blood samples from adult volunteer donors for both therapeutic and non-therapeutic uses. The donations were collected in accordance with the French blood donation regulations and ethics and with the French Public Health Code (art L1221-1). Blood samples were anonymized according to the French Blood Center (EFS) procedure. Volunteer donors signed written informed consents before blood collection. EFS authorized Imagene to perform this study and provided de-identified blood and blood-derived samples for non-therapeutic use.

**DNA encapsulation**

DNA encapsulation was realized as previously described. Briefly, the DNA solutions (700 ng in 10 µL) were aliquoted in glass insert fitted in open stainless-steel capsules (DNAshells). The samples were dried under vacuum and left overnight in a glove box under an anoxic and anhydrous argon/helium atmosphere for further desiccation. Then, caps were added and sealed by laser welding. Finally, the DNAshells were checked for leakage by mass spectrometry [27,30].

**DNA accelerated degradation studies**

DNAshells were heated in a Thermoblock at 100 °C, 110 °C, 120 °C, 130 °C and 140°C. At each time point of the kinetics, 2 or 3 capsules were retrieved, and stored at -20°C. For analysis, the capsules were opened, the DNA s were rehydrated with 20 µL of water and immediately analyzed by electrophoresis or stored at -20 °C for qPCR.

**Measure of DNA degradation rates by qPCR with two different sizes amplicons**

Two amplicons of 1064 bp and a 93 bp of the TAF1L gene (TATA-box binding protein associated factor 1 like, Gene ID: 138474) were targeted. For both systems, PCR cycles were as follow: 10 min at 95 °C then 45 cycles of (15 s at 95 °C; 15 s at 60 °C; 60 s at 72 °C). The primers sequences were:

For-5' agactcggacagcgaggaa/ Rev-5' cggagacacccagcatatca for the 1064 pb fragment and

For-5' tgeagacctgagacac / Rev-5' aacccgctgtgtgctggaatg for the 93 pb.

They were produced by Eurogenetec, Les Ulis, France.

After rehydration, for each sample and each amplicon, we used three ten-fold dilutions to estimate the PCR efficiency. These samples and their dilutions were analyzed inde-

pendently and defined as “standards”.

To determine the degradation rates, we used a previously developed model [9] based on qPCR amplification of two amplicons (1 and 2) of different sizes (L1 and L2) to measure the number of cuts per nucleotide (or the probability of breakage at a given position). Assuming a random breakage mechanism, the probability of breakage at a given position is:

\[ P_{cut} = 1 - e^{-kt} \]

and the probability of this position remaining unbroken is:

\[ P_{uncut} = 1 - P_{cut} = e^{-kt} \]

From the model:

\[ P_{uncut} = \frac{N_1}{N_2} e^{(L_1-L_2)/L_2} = e^{-kt} \]

So, for each temperature, T, a graph of

\[ \frac{N_1}{N_2} e^{(L_1-L_2)/L_2} \]

time gave us kT by curve fitting.

This method is more reliable than one-sized qPCR because it does not rely on initial amounts of sample and, so, avoids errors due to losses upon recovery.

**Results and discussion**

**Measure of DNA degradation rates by qPCR with two different sizes amplicons**

The qPCR analyses gave the number of amplifiable copies \( N_{1064} \) and \( N_{93} \) for each time point \( t \) and temperature \( T \) and we plotted \( P_{uncut} \) versus time to obtain \( k_T \) for each temperature. (Fig 1)

Then, we plotted the logarithm of \( k_T \) as a function of the reverse of the absolute temperature \( T \) (Fig 2).

This plot showed that the degradation rate followed the Arrhenius law with an activation energy of 197 kJ/mol. This is comparable to the 163 kJ/mol to 188kJ/mol previously found for desiccated plasmid DNA [7] and to about the 155 kJ/mol for DNA stored in silica nanoparticles, FTA paper or DNAstable matrix [28]. This is significantly higher than the 100 kJ/mol to 121 kJ/mol found for degradation of double strand DNA in solution (reviewed in [7]).
The Arrhenius law also made it possible to extrapolate the degradation rate at 25 °C. This gave a degradation rate constant of $3.82 \times 10^{-15}$ cuts/s/nucleotide, equivalent to about 1 cut/century/100,000 nucleotides or 38,000 years of half-life for a 150-nucleotide long DNA fragment (we chose this size for an immediate comparison with the previous works [28,29]).

This allows to calculate the time necessary for a DNA molecule to degrade down to 25 nucleotides, the average length which is the current limit for the sequencing of degraded DNA [31] using the formula:

$$P_{\text{uncut}} = 0.99 \times 10^7 \times e^{-kt}$$

where $P_{\text{uncut}}$ is the proportion of intact nucleotide position, $k$ is the degradation rate constant, and $t$ is the time in seconds.

For each time point, the proportion of intact nucleotide position, $P_{\text{uncut}}$, was calculated from the numbers $N_{1064}$ and $N_{93}$ of amplifiable copies of the 1064 pb and 93 pb amplicons. These values were plotted as a function of the degradation time at the indicated temperatures. The lines are the fit to the data points by Microsoft Excel software.

**Fig 1.** Degradation kinetics of DNA stored in DNAshells. For each time point, the proportion of intact nucleotide position, $P_{\text{uncut}}$, was calculated from the numbers $N_{1064}$ and $N_{93}$ of amplifiable copies of the 1064 pb and 93 pb amplicons. These values were plotted as a function of the degradation time at the indicated temperatures. The lines are the fit to the data points by Microsoft Excel software.
This gives 1,070,000 years, provided the preservation conditions are maintained.

Fig 3 compares the half-lives of a 150 nucleotides long DNA fragment stored in various conditions at 25 °C. So, it appears that the DNA stability at room temperature (25 °C) is over three orders of magnitude higher in DNAshells than in any of the other currently commercialized storage devices.

This is to be expected because, first, FTA paper, trehalose or calcium phosphate leaves the DNA samples directly exposed to the atmosphere. Second, likewise, the matrices coating DNA: Gentegra, DNAstable and trehalose being water soluble cannot either protect the sample from moisture. Finally, silica nanoparticles, while affording protection from atmosphere, still contain a certain amount of water [28].

It must be noticed that the experiments conducted here mainly detect chain breaks, so, other degradations events not preventing elongation by the polymerase could go undetected. However, this should not be a concern because these are dependent on water and much slower than depurination and chain breaks [32]. Moreover, Organick et al sequenced the DNA samples stored at 85 °C for 4 weeks without noticing any increase in error rates while there is a significant number of chain breaks [29].

As a conclusion, this procedure, allowing a standalone storage is well suited for long term preservation of DNA samples for whatever application, in particular the recently developing DNA data storage procedures. Our figures make it possible to give an estimation of the lifetime of the data stored that way. Indeed, according to a recent estimation by Organick et al [33], 10 is the lowest copy number necessary for a faithful data storage and retrieval. This means that if one starts with 20 copies, the data could faithfully be retrieved after 30 centuries of storage.

Another advantage is the volume of the capsule which can accommodate large amounts of DNA. With a 200 µL useful volume and a DNA density of 1.4 [34], a single capsule could store 0.28 g of DNA. According to [35] estimating at about 17 exabytes/g the data density in DNA, this corresponds to 4.76 exabytes of data per DNAshell, equivalent to 1.6x10^{12} files (assuming an average file size of 3 Mo). Of course, it may look difficult to recover a specific file among this mass of data, however, this seems possible as described recently by Tomek et al claiming that, by using a combination of N primers, it could be possible to select a given file in a population.

\[ L_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{p_{\text{cut}}} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-kt}} \]
of 279998 files [36].

So, according to these figures, the 64 zettabytes of data produced in 2020 [37] could theoretically be coded in 3,765 g of DNA which could be stored in 13,445 capsules packed in a suitcase weighing 21 kg.

This procedure could also allow the long-term room temperature preservation of very large DNA molecules which is particularly interesting in the context of genome sequencing, as a recent paper by Nurk et al described for the first time the sequencing of a complete human genome thanks to the use of very long DNA stretches [38].
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