Immunopotentiation by Lymph-Node Targeting of a Malaria Transmission-Blocking Nanovaccine
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A successful malaria transmission blocking vaccine (TBV) requires the induction of a high antibody titer that leads to abrogation of parasite traversal of the mosquito midgut following ingestion of an infectious bloodmeal, thereby blocking the cascade of secondary human infections. Previously, we developed an optimized construct UF6b that elicits an antigen-specific antibody response to a neutralizing epitope of Anopheline alanyl aminopeptidase N (AnAPN1), an evolutionarily conserved pan-malaria mosquito midgut-based TBV target, and established a size-controlled lymph node targeting biodegradable nanoparticle delivery system that leads to efficient and durable antigen-specific antibody responses using the model antigen ovalbumin. Herein, we demonstrate that co-delivery of UF6b with the adjuvant CpG oligodeoxynucleotide immunostimulatory sequence (ODN ISS) 1018 using this biodegradable nanoparticle vaccine delivery system generates an AnAPN1-specific immune response that blocks parasite transmission in a standard membrane feeding assay. Importantly, this platform allows for antigen dose-sparing, wherein lower antigen payloads elicit higher-quality antibodies, therefore less antigen-specific IgG is needed for potent transmission-reducing activity. By targeting lymph nodes directly, the resulting immunopotentiation of AnAPN1 suggests that the de facto assumption that high antibody titers are needed for a TBV to be successful needs to be re-examined. This nanovaccine formulation is stable at -20°C storage for at least 3 months, an important consideration for vaccine transport and distribution in regions with poor healthcare infrastructure. Together, these data support further development of this nanovaccine platform for malaria TBVs.
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INTRODUCTION

Malaria continues to be a persistent threat to the world population with more than 229 million individuals infected worldwide and 409,000 deaths recorded in 2019 (1). Transmission-blocking vaccines (TBVs) have long been considered as an ideal way to control, if not eliminate, the disease (2–4), and recent studies suggest that TBVs would be acceptable in malaria-endemic settings (5). Transmission of Plasmodium parasites though the female Anopheles mosquito vector is contingent on the development to the ookinete stage, which must traverse through the mosquito midgut to form an oocyst and develop into sporozoites that then access the salivary glands, leading to subsequent human infections during blood feeding. TBVs disrupt this obligatory step of midgut traversal in the parasite life cycle, reducing the number of infectious vectors and the cascade of secondary infections in the human population. The mosquito midgut protein, Anopheline alanyl aminopeptidase N (AnAPN1), is the leading mosquito-based TBV candidate, with previous studies demonstrating up to 100% transmission-blocking (T-B) activity against Plasmodium falciparum by rabbit polyclonal and mouse monoclonal antibodies (6, 7). Parallel structure-based studies identified the T-B epitopes as peptides 7 and 9 (6). To focus the immune response to the key T-B epitopes we developed a new, purification tag-free AnAPN1 construct, UF6b, containing two copies of peptides 2-9 connected by a glycine linker (8). This new construct can be produced at scale and was shown to be highly immunogenic in outbred CD1 mice immunized intramuscularly (i.m.) with UF6b formulated with the human-safe adjuvant Glucopyranosyl Lipid Adjuvant in a liposomal formulation with saponin QS21 (GLA-LSQ), eliciting a focused peptide 9 specific response resulting in potent T-B antibodies (6, 8).

Nanoparticle-based vaccines in recent years have been designed to further improve vaccine efficacy, target key lymphoid tissues, and increase persistence of vaccine antigen and adjuvant cues to achieve desired humoral and cell-mediated immune responses (9). Nanoparticles (NPs) are safe for human use and can improve vaccine stability and effectiveness by protecting antigens from proteolytic digestion, enabling and manipulating antigen processing by antigen presenting cells, and by exhibiting controlled antigen availability via release kinetics (10). To generate an efficient immune response, it is essential for the antigen to localize to the lymph nodes where naïve antigen presenting cells (APCs) are present at high density (11–13). Targeting naïve APCs in these tissues enables APC activation and presentation of antigenic cues to T- and B-cells, leading to germinal center formation and production of high affinity antigen-specific antibodies (13–15). To access these key immune cell populations in the lymph node, administration of antigen and adjuvant cues by subcutaneous (s.c.) or intradermal (i.d.) injection utilizes the lymphatic drainage system that constantly drains fluid and macromolecules from the interstitial cellular spaces at a flow rate of 0.1–1 μm/s to the local draining lymph nodes (16–18). NP size is a determining factor in their localization to the lymph nodes. It has previously been demonstrated that synthesizing NPs in the size range of viruses enables their quick localization to the lymph nodes after s.c. or i.d. administration, making these vaccines more efficient at eliciting an immune response (19–21). In contrast, NPs larger than 100 nm will generally remain at the injection site (22–25). Therefore, an ideal TBV NP vaccine would have uniform, small (<50 nm) sizes that allow for targeting of key APC populations and induction of a durable humoral immune response.

Biodegradable polymers including poly(lactic acid) (PLA), poly(glycolic acid) (PGA), poly (ε-caprolactone) (PCL) and their co-polymers have a long precedence and proven safety track record in the clinic making these materials the preferred choice for NPs in clinical applications (22, 26). Synthetic oligonucleotides (ODNs) like CpG have been shown to boost humoral and cellular vaccine specific immune responses via activation of cells that express Toll-like receptor 9 (27). Class B CpG-1826 has been shown to activate cytotoxic T cells via lymph node localizing NP vaccine (28). CpG-1018 immunostimulatory sequence (ISS), a Class B CpG with a full phosphorothioate backbone for enhanced stability against enzymatic degradation, was approved by the FDA in 2016 for a Hepatitis B vaccine (HEPLISAV-B™) (29). As the first approved use of CpG ODNs in the clinic, this vaccine demonstrated an excellent safety profile with few adverse effects and increased vaccine efficacy in populations (e.g., diabetics, elderly) that typically do not achieve protection using previous Hepatitis B vaccines. The CpG-1018 construct exhibits strong antigen dose sparing at high adjuvant-antigen ratios (e.g., 150:1 CpG-1018:antigen ratio) and allowed for a reduction in dosing schedule from a typical three shot schedule (0, 6, 12 months) as seen in previous Hepatitis B vaccines (e.g., Engerix-B, Twinrix) to a two shot schedule (0, 1 month) while achieving higher seroprotection as defined as ≥10 mIU/mL anti-HB serum antibodies, a strong correlate of protection (30). Given the proven record of CpG-1018 in the clinic for induction of high antigen-specific antibody titer, we selected CpG-1018 ISS as our adjuvant for further study with our UF6b AnAPN1 immunogen construct. Furthermore, previously published studies with and without model antigen ovalbumin have provided evidence to further test the efficiency of the immune response to the UF6b antigen with 30 nm NPs synthesized using flash nanoprecipitation (FNP) which produced well controlled size and narrow-size distribution particles (20, 21).

Here, we describe the formulation, lyophilization optimization and stability, lymph node targeting, and functional immune response of outbred CD1 mice to a matrix of Nano-UF6b and Nano-CpG (1018-ISIS) vaccine co-formulations in comparison to the benchmark formulation of UF6b with AddaVax™, a squalene-based oil-in-water nano emulsion adjuvant, and summarize our insights into how formulations of this novel, disease-agnostic nanovaccine platform can immuno-potentiate existing as well as next generation malaria TBV targets in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

All organic solvents and hydrophobic IR-780 iodide (dye content ≥95%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). PLGA20K-b-PEG3K-Maleimide (PLGA-b-PEG-Mal)
and PLGA<sub>20k</sub>-b-mPEG<sub>3k</sub> (PLGA-b-mPEG) polymers were both purchased from PolysciTech<sup>®</sup> (West Lafayette, IN, USA). Spectra/Por<sup>™</sup> 3 RC 3.5 kDa MWCO dialysis tubing was purchased from Repligen<sup>™</sup> (Waltham, MA, USA). 100 kDa MWCO Amicon centrifugal filters were purchased from Millipore Sigma (Burlington, MA, USA). CpG-1018 ODN ISS (Sequence: 5′-thio-S-S-C6-TGACTGTGAACGTTCGAGATGA-3′) with a phosphorothioate (PS) backbone was synthesized by TriLink Biotechnologies (San Diego, CA USA). UF6b peptide construct with a phosphorothioate (PS) backbone was synthesized by TriLink Biotechnologies (San Diego, CA USA).

UF6b peptide with a phosphorothioate (PS) backbone was synthesized by TriLink Biotechnologies (San Diego, CA USA). UF6b peptide construct with a phosphorothioate (PS) backbone was synthesized by TriLink Biotechnologies (San Diego, CA USA). UF6b peptide with a phosphorothioate (PS) backbone was synthesized by TriLink Biotechnologies (San Diego, CA USA). UF6b peptide with a phosphorothioate (PS) backbone was synthesized by TriLink Biotechnologies (San Diego, CA USA).

Lyophilization of UF6b and CpG to NPs and Lyophilization

NPs during the final DDI water dialysis step were transferred to 4 L of 0.1× PBS buffer with 2 mM EDTA at pH 6.2-6.5, with another dialysis change in the same buffer after 6 h. The NP solution at a concentration of 300 µg/mL was collected and then reacted with either UF6b-SH or CpG-SH at a maleimide:SH ratio of 1:1 for 16 h at 4°C. The reaction pH was tuned to 6.2-6.5 after components were mixed by adding NaOH. NPs were then collected, washed with DDI water to remove unreacted UF6b or CpG, and concentrated at 400×g at 5 min intervals using a 100 kDa MWCO centrifugal filter and a Sorvall RT1 Centrifuge (Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) until the desired concentration of UF6b-NP or CpG-NP was reached as measured by Pierce microBCA Protein Assay (Thermofisher Scientific) and UV-Vis using a NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermofisher Scientific), respectively. UF6b-NP and CpG-NP groups were mixed directly to achieve various desired UF6b:CpG ratios and doses.

Lyophilization and Stability of Conjugation and Unconjugated Nanoparticles

To determine the optimal lyophilization and storage conditions of NPs, unconjugated NPs were screened with a variety of concentrations of cryoprotectants. First, NPs at the final desired concentration were resuspended in either 1% w/v xylitol with 0.5% w/v mannitol, 2% w/v xylitol with 1% w/v mannitol, 10% w/v trehalose, 20% w/v trehalose, 10% w/v sucrose, or 20% w/v sucrose. NPs were either snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen or slowly frozen using a Mr. Frosty<sup>™</sup> Freezing Container (Thermofisher Scientific) at -80°C for 6 h, and then thawed at RT before measuring the NP size. Sugars and concentrations that conferred protection with freeze/thaw cycles were selected for final study. Second, NPs were again frozen either slowly or snap-frozen as detailed above and then lyophilized using a FreeZone Triad Benchtop Freeze Dryer (LABCONCO, Kansas City, MO, USA) for 48–72 h. NPs were reconstituted and vortexed for 10 sec. Reconstituted NP size was then immediately measured by dynamic light scattering using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern, Worcestershire, UK). The most stable formulation at the lowest cryoprotectant concentration was then chosen for the vaccination studies. Stability is here defined as minimal size change from pre- to post-lyophilization that preserves the NP size below 50 nm (number average) as measured by DLS.

Lyophilization of UF6b- and CpG-Nanoparticles Used for Vaccination Study

UF6b-NPs and CpG-NPs were mixed with 20% w/v sucrose cryoprotectant to yield the desired dose of antigen/adjuvant with a final concentration of 10% w/v sucrose. The NPs were aliquoted by 300 µL into sterile 2.0 mL screw-top tubes, frozen overnight using a Mr. Frosty in an -80°C freezer and lyophilized using a FreeZone Triad Benchtop Freeze Dryer. The lyophilized powder was stored in the -80°C freezer until use. To reconstitute the NPs, 300 µL of DI water was added and vortexed for 10 sec. NPs were then immediately administered to mice.

Conjugation of UF6b and CpG to NPs and Lyophilization

NPs during the final DDI water dialysis step were transferred to 4 L of 0.1× PBS buffer with 2 mM EDTA at pH 6.2-6.5, with another dialysis change in the same buffer after 6 h. The NP solution at a concentration of 300 µg/mL was collected and then reacted with either UF6b-SH or CpG-SH at a maleimide:SH ratio of 1:1 for 16 h at 4°C. The reaction pH was tuned to 6.2-6.5 after components were mixed by adding NaOH. NPs were then collected, washed with DDI water to remove unreacted UF6b or CpG, and concentrated at 400×g at 5 min intervals using a 100 kDa MWCO centrifugal filter and a Sorvall RT1 Centrifuge (Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) until the desired concentration of UF6b-NP or CpG-NP was reached as measured by Pierce<sup>™</sup> microBCA Protein Assay (Thermofisher Scientific) and UV-Vis using a NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermofisher Scientific), respectively. UF6b-NP and CpG-NP groups were mixed directly to achieve various desired UF6b:CpG ratios and doses.
Physical Characterization of Nanoparticles
The NP before and after UF6b- or CpG-conjugation were diluted to 100 µg/mL in reference to polymer weight in 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.2, containing 10 mM NaCl) and the hydrodynamic diameter and zeta potential were both measured using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS. The morphology and dry size of each NP was determined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a Tecnai FEI-12 electron microscope (FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA). Samples were diluted and 20 µL aliquots absorbed onto Electron Microscopy Sciences ionized nickel grids covered with carbon films for 30 min. The NP suspension was removed by blotting using Whatman filter paper or Kimwipe™. The samples were then stained with 2% w/v uranyl acetate for 45 sec. The grids were blotted to remove excess suspension was removed by blotting using Whatman filter paper or Kimwipe™ and imaged. Mice without the RES were then imaged to determine the percent injected dose.

In Vivo Trafficking and Whole Body Imaging
NPs were fabricated as above with the addition of 24.5 mol% IR-780 iodide dye dissolved in THF and mixed with the polymer (Sigma Aldrich) to allow for near infrared (NIR) whole body imaging. The treatment groups were generated using the above conjugation protocol to first generate UF6b-NP and CpG-NP which were then mixed together at the appropriate ratios to make 25UF-0C, 25UF-0.25C, 0UF-5C, and unconjugated maleimide NP (Mal-NP) control. Female CD1-IGS outbred mice (6-8 weeks) from Charles River Laboratories (Germantown, MD, USA) were then injected (s.c.) with 50 µL of nanoparticle suspension in 10% w/v sucrose 1 cm below the tail-base. Mice were sacrificed at 3 or 24 h to isolate the major reticuloendothelial system (RES) organs and imaged. Mice without the RES were then imaged to demonstrate drainage to the major draining lymph nodes. The IR780 iodide dye signal encapsulated within the PLGA-b-PEG NPs were then quantified using Pearl Image Studio Lite Ver 5.2 to determine the percent injected dose.

Vaccination
Female CD-1-IGS outbred mice (6-8 weeks) from Charles River Laboratories (Germantown, MD, USA) were divided into nine immunization groups outlined in Table 1, with six mice per group. Mice were immunized s.c. with 50 µL of NP suspension in 10% (w/v) sucrose buffer at 1 cm from lateral tail base in a prime and boost (boost on day 28) regimen.

Starting on day 0 (day of priming dose) and every two weeks thereafter, sera was collected for enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISAs) and standard membrane feeding assays (SMFAs). Mice were sacrificed at 10 weeks post-prime and blood collected via cardiac puncture.

A replicate study was completed as described above using a separate cohort (SC2) of mice.

Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assays
The ELISAs were performed as previously described (8). Briefly, Nunc Maxisorp 96-well ELISA plates (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) were incubated overnight at 4°C with 1 µg/mL UF6b antigen in 0.1×PBS (pH 7.2). After three washes with PBS-Tween 20 (0.05%) (PBST20), the plates were blocked for 1 h at RT with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in 1×PBS. Serum samples were first diluted to 1:10² and 1:10³ then further serially two-fold diluted to 1:64000 in 0.5% w/v BSA in 1×PBS. After discarding the BSA and drying the plates, 100 µL of each of the eight sample dilutions were added to each well in triplicate and incubated 1 h at RT. Plates were washed three times with PBST20. Then, 100 µL of a horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) diluted 1:5000 in 0.5% w/v BSA was added to each well and incubated for 1 h at RT. Plates were washed three times with PBST20 and developed by adding 100 µL of KPL TMB Microwell Peroxidase Substrate (Bio-Rad) to each well. Development was stopped after 5 min by the addition of 100 µL of 1M H₃PO₄. A BioTek Synergy™ HTX Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (Winooski, VT, USA) was used to read the OD values at 570 nm and 450 nm. The OD values at 570 nm were subtracted from the 450 nm values to account for background.

The isotype of the UF6b-specific elicited antibody was determined using a Mouse Typer Isotyping Panel (Bio-Rad) as previously described (8). Briefly, plates were coated and blocked as described above. The mouse sera was diluted (1:1000 in 0.5% w/v BSA) and incubated for 1 h at RT. After washing five times with PBST, the isotyping panel was added, washed again with PBST for five times, and then HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (Bio-Rad) was added. Plates were read as described above.

Mosquito Colony
Anopheles gambiae (Keel) was used in all experiments. Mosquitoes were reared under standard insectary conditions of 27°C, 80% relative humidity, and 12 h:12 h light:dark cycle. Eggs were hatched in Milli-Q water supplemented with 0.02% yeast slurry. The emerging larvae were reared in plastic trays (25 cm long × 20 cm wide × 14 cm high) at a density of 300–400 larvae per tray and provided a daily ration of 1 g koi fish food per tray/day. Pupae were collected and put in holding cages for emergence. Emerged adults were fed ad libitum on 10% susun was removed by blotting using Whatman filter paper or Kimwipe™ and imaged. Mice without the RES were then imaged to determine the percent injected dose.

In Vivo Trafficking and Whole Body Imaging
NPs were fabricated as above with the addition of 24.5 mol% IR-780 iodide dye dissolved in THF and mixed with the polymer (Sigma Aldrich) to allow for near infrared (NIR) whole body imaging. The treatment groups were generated using the above conjugation protocol to first generate UF6b-NP and CpG-NP which were then mixed together at the appropriate ratios to make 25UF-0C, 25UF-0.25C, 0UF-5C, and unconjugated maleimide NP (Mal-NP) control. Female CD1-IGS outbred mice (6-8 weeks) from Charles River Laboratories (Germantown, MD, USA) were then injected (s.c.) with 50 µL of nanoparticle suspension in 10% w/v sucrose 1 cm below the tail-base. Mice were sacrificed at 3 or 24 h to isolate the major reticuloendothelial system (RES) organs and imaged. Mice without the RES were then imaged to demonstrate drainage to the major draining lymph nodes. The IR780 iodide dye signal encapsulated within the PLGA-b-PEG NPs were then quantified using Pearl Image Studio Lite Ver 5.2 to determine the percent injected dose.

Vaccination
Female CD-1-IGS outbred mice (6-8 weeks) from Charles River Laboratories (Germantown, MD, USA) were divided into nine immunization groups outlined in Table 1, with six mice per group. Mice were immunized s.c. with 50 µL of NP suspension in 10% (w/v) sucrose buffer at 1 cm from lateral tail base in a prime and boost (boost on day 28) regimen.

Starting on day 0 (day of priming dose) and every two weeks thereafter, sera was collected for enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISAs) and standard membrane feeding assays (SMFAs). Mice were sacrificed at 10 weeks post-prime and blood collected via cardiac puncture.

A replicate study was completed as described above using a separate cohort (SC2) of mice.

Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assays
The ELISAs were performed as previously described (8). Briefly, Nunc Maxisorp 96-well ELISA plates (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) were incubated overnight at 4°C with 1 µg/mL UF6b antigen in 0.1×PBS (pH 7.2). After three washes with PBS-Tween 20 (0.05%) (PBST20), the plates were blocked for 1 h at RT with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in 1×PBS. Serum samples were first diluted to 1:10² and 1:10³ then further serially two-fold diluted to 1:64000 in 0.5% w/v BSA in 1×PBS. After discarding the BSA and drying the plates, 100 µL of each of the eight sample dilutions were added to each well in triplicate and incubated 1 h at RT. Plates were washed three times with PBST20. Then, 100 µL of a horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) diluted 1:5000 in 0.5% w/v BSA was added to each well and incubated for 1 h at RT. Plates were washed three times with PBST20 and developed by adding 100 µL of KPL TMB Microwell Peroxidase Substrate (Bio-Rad) to each well. Development was stopped after 5 min by the addition of 100 µL of 1M H₃PO₄. A BioTek Synergy™ HTX Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (Winooski, VT, USA) was used to read the OD values at 570 nm and 450 nm. The OD values at 570 nm were subtracted from the 450 nm values to account for background.

The isotype of the UF6b-specific elicited antibody was determined using a Mouse Typer Isotyping Panel (Bio-Rad) as previously described (8). Briefly, plates were coated and blocked as described above. The mouse sera was diluted (1:1000 in 0.5% w/v BSA) and incubated for 1 h at RT. After washing five times with PBST, the isotyping panel was added, washed again with PBST for five times, and then HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (Bio-Rad) was added. Plates were read as described above.

Mosquito Colony
Anopheles gambiae (Keel) was used in all experiments. Mosquitoes were reared under standard insectary conditions of 27°C, 80% relative humidity, and 12 h:12 h light:dark cycle. Eggs were hatched in Milli-Q water supplemented with 0.02% yeast slurry. The emerging larvae were reared in plastic trays (25 cm long × 20 cm wide × 14 cm high) at a density of 300–400 larvae per tray and provided a daily ration of 1 g koi fish food per tray/day. Pupae were collected and put in holding cages for emergence. Emerged adults were fed ad libitum on 10%
sucre solution. For all experiments, 5-to-6-day old adult females were used.

Standard Membrane Feeding Assays

*P. falciparum* NF54 parasites were cultured following standard conditions at 37 °C in hypoxic conditions. Briefly, parasites were maintained with O+ human erythrocytes at 4% hematocrit in RPMI 1640 media supplemented with hypoxanthine and 10% O+ heat-inactivated human serum (HIHS). Gametocytes cultures were seeded at 0.5% asexual parasitemia and were continuously cultured with daily media changes. Gametocytes were harvested 17 days after initiation and packed infected red blood cells were diluted to 30% hematocrit and 1% stage V gametocytes with HIHS and uninfected erythrocytes. Infective blood was mixed with control (PBS) or total IgG purified from pooled sera of mice immunized with UF6b prior to delivery directly into water-jacketed membrane feeders maintained at 37°C via a circulating water bath. Total IgG was purified by Protein A/G Magnetic Agarose Beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) from pooled of immune sera. The positive control used was pooled IgG from UF6b-AddaVax immunized mice, as this has been shown to elicit a polyclonal antibody response and would be an appropriate comparator for IgG isolated from the nanovaccine-treated mouse cohorts. Since pre-immune IgG from the immunized mice is limiting and considering that naïve, purified mouse IgG has never been shown to have intrinsic transmission-blocking activity in the SMFA, we used as a negative control pooled, naïve human sera, as described previously (31–33). The final concentration of total IgG in 300 µL total volume of infective blood was 750 µg/mL for each technical replicate. The UF6b- specific IgG antibody concentration was determined by first purifying pooled pre-immune and immune serum with UF6b conjugated Dynabeads™ M-270 and then measuring using the Easy-Titer™ Mouse IgG assay kit following the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Female *An. gambiae* (Keele) (n = 50) mosquitoes were starved overnight and allowed to feed for 30 min. Unfed mosquitoes were collected and discarded after 12–18 h post-feed. Midguts were dissected, and oocysts were enumerated by microscopy 8 days post-blood feeding. Three independent experiments were performed for each immunization group.

Statistical Analysis

Data are shown as either mean ± standard deviation or mean ± standard error mean as noted in the figure captions. All statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism v. 9.2 software package. SMFA data were analyzed by non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparison post-hoc test to determine differences between groups. All other data was analyzed using an unpaired t test for direct comparisons or an ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s or Dunnett’s post-test, unless otherwise noted. The values were considered significantly different at p < 0.05. Statistics on graphs were displayed as not significant (ns) p > 0.05, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 with α = 0.05.

RESULTS

NPs generated using the FNP method and subsequent conjugation with CpG-1018 and UF6b construct are reproducible from batch to batch (Figure 1 and Supplemental Table 1). The densities of UF6b antigen and CpG-1018 adjuvant were selected based off our previously published studies (20, 21). The literature demonstrates that lower antigen density is more immunogenic than higher density; in addition, lower CpG adjuvant density skews towards a Th2-type antibody response (Supplemental Table 2) (34, 35). NPs off the FNP device and after dialysis had a number average size of 31.45 ± 1.96 nm with a zeta potential of -9.66 ± 1.89 mV. After conjugation with CpG-1018-SH overnight, the NP size grew slightly to a number average size of 32.80 ± 1.81 nm and became more negative with a zeta potential of -12.95 ± 2.05 mV, suggesting successful conjugation of the CpG construct onto PLGA-b-PEG NPs (CpG-NPs). Similarly, after conjugation with UF6b-SH overnight, the NP size grew to a number average size of 37.13 ± 2.93 nm and became more neutral in charge with a zeta potential of -6.88 ± 0.95 mV, likewise suggesting successful conjugation of the UF6b construct onto PLGA-b-PEG NPs (UF6b-NPs). Similar results in size, PDI, zeta potential, and conjugation efficiency were observed over four independent batches of UF6b and CpG NP preparations (Supplemental Table 1).

A NP vaccine formulation that is shelf-stable is of paramount importance with a preference for formulations that can withstand minimal cold transport conditions. To fabricate such a NP vaccine formulation, we screened a variety of cryoprotectants including sucrose, trehalose, and xylose mixed with mannitol for lyophilization. We found that only the sucrose cryoprotectant maintained the NP size before and after a flush freeze/thaw test (Supplemental Figure 1A). All other cryoprotectants failed to protect in the flash freeze/thaw test and so were not selected for the final lyophilization test. Both the 10% and 20% w/v sucrose groups then showed protection of NP size post-lyophilization with minimal NP size and PDI change. Furthermore, the 10% and 20% w/v sucrose maintained the NP size, using best-performing formulation 25UF-0.25C as a test case, after 1 and 3 months in -20°C storage (Supplemental Figure 1B). These results demonstrate that these NP formulations are stable over a 3-month period in -20°C storage.

We have previously demonstrated that the PLGA-b-PEG NP delivery system efficiently drains to the local draining lymph nodes in a size-dependent manner without the conjugation of antigenic or adjuvant cues (20). To determine that similar drainage would occur using UF6b-NP and CpG-NP mixed together at desired antigen:adjuvant ratios, we administered four different candidate groups (25UF-0C, 25UF-0.25C, 0UF-5C, and control unconjugated maleimide-NP) that were fluorescently labeled with NIR dye IR780 Iodide to CD1-IGS mice and acquired whole body images at 3 and 24 h. As expected, all NP groups efficiently drained to the lymph nodes over 3 h and had retention in the draining lymph nodes at 24 h (Supplemental Figure 2). These results show that this NP vaccine platform with co-delivered antigenic and adjuvant cues targets the lymph node after s.c. administration.
Previously we have shown that the UF6b antigen is highly immunogenic in CD1 outbred mice (8). Here we aimed to find the optimally immunogenic dose and ratio of UF6b-NPs to CpG-NPs. Mice were vaccinated subcutaneously at 1 cm lateral of the tail base using a prime and boost regimen with doses on day 0 and day 28, respectively. Tail-snip bleeds were collected every two weeks post prime until the end of the study at day 70 to monitor the development of the UF6b antigen-specific-IgG response by indirect ELISA (Supplemental Figure 3). We observed that of the groups tested, the positive control group, 5UF-AddaVax™, elicited the strongest and most rapid antibody response, while in groups containing UF6b-NPs and CpG-NPs, we found that 5UF-1C and 25UF-0.25C elicited the highest titers (Figure 2). The 25UF-0.25C and 5UF-AddaVax™ had similar Th1/Th2 humoral immune response profiles as assessed by IgG subclass analysis (Supplemental Figure 4). It was also observed that groups 5UF-0C and 25UF-0C, UF6b-NPs in the absence of an adjuvant, were slightly immunogenic (Figures 2F–H). Except where noted, differences in antibody titers at day 70 were found to be statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).

We determined the ability of this vaccine modality to generate a peptide 9 specific antibody response and found that only the three groups with the highest UF6b titers also elicited peptide 9 specific responses with very little variation between the responses. No groups tested elicited a strong peptide 7 specific response. A second cohort replicate study was also conducted to determine the reproducibility of the NPs generated and the immune response elicited by the mice (Supplemental Figures 5, 6). In the replicate study we found some variation in the relative antibody responses between the groups. 5UF-AddaVax™ still gave the strongest response; however, there was an increase in titer in groups 25UF-0C, 5UF-0.05C, 5UF-0.5C and a decrease in groups 5UF-1C and 25UF-0.25C. Only 5UF-0.5C and 5UF-AddaVax™ appeared to elicit a strong peptide 9 specific response in the replicate study. There was no change in peptide 7 recognition, as has been previously shown in mouse
studies (6, 8), indicating that although peptide 7 is predicted to be a Class II binding epitope in humans (36), this is not the case for mice. Variation in immune response is expected in outbred mouse models such as CD1-1GS. Lymph node targeting efficiency varies greatly from mouse to mouse with some having left v. right bias in drainage or little to no drainage as previously reported (20). This can be reflective of differences in lymphatics for individual mice or variations in experimental technique. This combination of lymphatic variation and the innate genetic variability in outbred mice models may explain this discrepancy in reproducibility.

It was shown previously that antibodies to AnAPN1 (UF6b) can reduce transmission of Plasmodium in a mosquito (36). Purified total IgG from treatment groups 25UF-0.25C and 5UF-AddaVax™ was tested by SMFA (Figure 3A) at a concentration of 750 µg/ml, corresponding to 0.52 µg/ml and 2.4 µg/ml of antigen-specific IgG, respectively. At this concentration, we found that antibodies purified from group 5UF-AddaVax™ significantly reduce mean oocyst intensity by 80–90% across three independent replicates (Figures 3Bi-iii). Antibodies at the same total IgG concentration from 25UF-0.25C conferred between 62–65% reduction in oocyst intensity in two of the three replicates. These results demonstrate that PLGA-b-PEG mediated presentation and delivery of UF6b and CpG cues at experimentally determined optimal dose and antigen-adjuvant ratio to the local draining lymph nodes induces potent transmission-reducing activity against P. falciparum, suggesting that this platform is a promising approach for potentiating further an already promising malaria TBV candidate.

DISCUSSION

The UF6b construct, a dimer of a subdomain of the mosquito midgut protein, AnAPN1, was shown to focus the immune system response away from non-protecting immunodominant epitopes and towards neutralizing epitopes (8). To boost this neutralizing antibody titer, a biodegradable PLGA-b-PEG NP vaccine was leveraged to deliver antigenic (UF6b) and adjuvant (CpG ODN ISS 1018) stimuli directly to the lymph node as previously demonstrated and shown in this work (Supplemental Figure 2) (20, 21). For ease of manufacture, UF6b-NPs and CpG-NPs were fabricated separately and then mixed to obtain the UF6b and CpG doses and ratios of interest. This NP formulation strategy is anticipated to increase scalability while reducing costs in the future. Given that the size and surface charge of the NPs are similar, the drainage kinetics, lymph node distribution, and APC targeting and uptake will be similar (18). To skew the response to a Th2 antibody-mediated response, we used lower densities of antigen and CpG adjuvant (Supplemental Table 2: 1.686 UF6b mg/m² and 0.423 CpG mg/m²), which was shown to give an enhanced Th2-polarized response in similar polymeric vaccine delivery systems (34, 35). In contrast, high densities of surface-conjugated antigen on NPs in HIV models were shown to lead to direct B-cell receptor engagement and robust antibody elicitation, suggesting that high antigen densities for targeting B-cells directly, instead of APC targeting, is of interest in future applications for further boosting of antibody response (37, 38). With respect to nanovaccine production (Figure 1), the conjugation efficiency and low
batch-to-batch variability of the NPs demonstrates the feasibility of this platform for scalable production of nanovaccines (Supplemental Table 1) for malaria and other infectious diseases. The NPs were of uniform size in the optimal size range for lymph-node targeting (Figure 1) and, with optimized lyophilization conditions, were stable in -20°C storage for 3 months (Supplemental Figure 1B). While there was a statistically significant increase in size between the pre-lyophilized NP and the 1- and 3-month lyophilization time points, the nanoparticle size is still below the threshold size necessary for lymphatic drainage as previously reported (20) and therefore remains an acceptable lymph node-targeting modality for TBV candidate antigens such as AnAPN1 (UF6b).

The UF6b-NPs yielded a peptide 9-dominant response when delivered in conjunction with either adjuvant, while there was very little response to the peptide 7 neutralizing epitope in all groups tested (Figures 2A–C). This is similar to that observed for UF6b peptide mixed with AddaVax™, GLA-LSQ, or Alhydrogel™ adjuvants (8, 36). Although, testing a pre-immune pooled IgG control was not possible due to animal study protocol limitations, the likelihood that the transmission-reducing activity here is due to chance or the background presence of IgG is unlikely given the extensive studies conducted by multiple laboratories, including our own (6–8, 31–33, 36). Importantly, it was previously shown that high concentrations of monoclonal antibodies to non-functional epitopes on AnAPN1 (6), or pooled naïve sera or purified IgG from non-human primates and mice (36) do not have any intrinsic, non-specific transmission-reducing activity, as also demonstrated through direct feeding experiments on P. berghei.
infected control mice following immunization or by passively transferring anti-AnAPN1 antibodies (7, 39). Clearly, target antigen selection and antibody specificity are important, as not every midgut antigen is necessarily involved in malaria parasite infection of the mosquito and inordinately high concentrations of antibodies to these targets do not confer transmission-reducing activity (40). It has also been estimated that *P. falciparum* infection even in control groups could result in 10-18% of the mosquitoes remaining uninfected (33), but this would be equivalently represented in treatment groups as well receiving antibodies with or without any transmission-reducing activity. We observed 80-90% reduction in median oocyst numbers following treatment, consistent with all previous studies conducted with this TBV antigen across different *Plasmodium* transmission study models (6–8, 36). It is unclear if changing the presentation of peptide 7 (e.g., cysteine residue to C terminus or flipping the order of peptide 9/peptide 7 on UF6b construct) will alter our attempts to focus the immune response to the peptide 7 neutralizing epitope or combination thereof. It may very well be that peptide 7 is not a potent epitope in the murine model as suggested by earlier studies (36). NPs and ordered orientation of proteins were previously used to display and immunofocus towards specific neutralizing epitopes in both HIV and influenza (41, 42). This ordered presentation of the UF6b construct and constituent peptide 7 and 9 epitopes on NPs would likely yield even greater neutralizing ability and potency of our nanovaccine and therefore warrants additional investigation. The best formulation 25UF-0.25C yielded similar humoral profile ratios of IgG1, IgG2a, and IgG2b subclasses as 5UF-AddaVax™ at Day 70 (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure 4). Given that AddaVax™ adjuvant has been shown to elicit both a Th1 and Th2 response and the humoral profile of the 25UF-0.25C NP is similar, this suggests a similar mode of action and Th1/Th2 polarization elicited by the 25UF-0.25C NP group.

We observed that the addition of CpG-NP increased the speed and magnitude of the antibody response in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 2F–G) compared to the relatively homogeneous BALB/c inbred mouse model. Furthermore, we demonstrated that delivery of UF6b-NP and CpG-NP results in elicitation of a predominantly peptide 9-specific antibody response. The CpG-NP influenced the rapidity and magnitude of the humoral immune response, albeit to a limited extent, potentially due to the innate strong immunogenicity of the UF6b construct. Finally, the antibodies elicited reduced parasite development in the mosquito with the 25UF-0.25C NP group having similar neutralizing potency as AddaVax™. Given that NP delivery of antigen and adjuvant allows for more efficient colocalization of cues to individual dendritic cells, we utilized lower doses of CpG-1018 ISS than what is seen in the clinic. Our study enabled a quick bracketing of UF6b and CpG doses. It is anticipated that a 100:1 ratio at 50 µg UF6b and 0.5 µg of CpG will be the ideal fixed dose/ratio, which will ensure an earlier, higher, and broader humoral immune response at 4 weeks following only a single priming dose. Together these results show that the UF6b construct delivered to the lymph node using the PLGA-b-PEG nanovaccine delivery system elicits functional, transmission-reducing antibodies using less antigen and underscores that higher antibody titer may not necessarily be the correct target in vaccine design. The higher elicited functional antibody titer from nanoparticle delivery suggests that presentation of antigen is critical. This novel PLGA-b-PEG mediated delivery and presentation of antigenic and adjuvant cues to the lymph node warrants additional investigation in larger animal models.
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