Structural characterization of As-MIF and hJAB1 during the inhibition of cell-cycle regulation
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The biological activities of macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) might be mediated through a classical receptor-mediated or non-classical endocytic pathway. JAB1 (C-Jun activation domain-binding protein-1) promotes the degradation of the tumor suppressor, p53, and the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, p27. When MIF and JAB1 are bound to each other in various intracellular sites, MIF inhibits the positive regulatory effects of JAB1 on the activity of AP-1. The intestinal parasite, Anisakis simplex, has an immunomodulatory effect. The molecular mechanism of action of As-MIF and human JAB1 are poorly understood. In this study, As-MIF and hJAB1 were expressed and purified with high solubility. The structure of As-MIF and hJAB1 interaction was modeled by homology modeling based on the structure of Ace-MIF. This study provides evidence indicating that the MIF domain of As-MIF interacts directly with the MPN domain of hJAB1, and four structure-based mutants of As-MIF and hJAB1 disrupt the As-MIF-hJAB1 interaction. [BMB Reports 2017; 50(5): 269-274]

INTRODUCTION

Anisakis simplex is an intestinal nematode that causes human anisakidosis (1). This organism infects humans through the consumption of cephalopod raw or raw marine fish containing its 3rd stage larvae (2). When the 3rd stage larvae migrate into the gastric mucosa, they cause abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea (3). Some intestinal parasites, such as Anisakis simplex and Trichuris suis, have long life spans because they exert immunomodulatory effects (4). In particular, they regulate the host immune responses to resist protective mechanisms, and minimize severe pathological host changes (5). In previous studies several molecules from intestinal parasites down-regulated the host immune response. These molecules were identified as protease inhibitors, abundant larval transcript antigens, glycol-networks, venom allergen-like proteins, and mammal cytokine homologs (6).

A large-scale sequence analysis of Anisakis simplex 3rd stage larvae was performed using the expressed sequence tags (ESTs) that isolated a MIF (macrophage migration inhibitory factor) homologue gene (7). In a mouse model of asthma, a recombinant MIF homolog from the larvae of the whole worm inhibited the Th2-type response, and reduced the levels of IL-4, -5, and -13 in the bronchial alveolar lavage fluid (BAL) (8, 9). In addition, Anisakis simplex MIF (As-MIF)-treated group exhibited the complete inhibition of eosinophilia and goblet cell hyperplasia, and ameliorated the development of lung hyperreactivity (9). Although the enzymatic activities of MIF appear to require a membrane receptor-based mechanism of action, MIF also contains a tautomerase site with a catalytic surface site in the N-terminal proline, which is consistent with the high conservation of this region across evolution (10). MIF is considered a potential target for use in the development of novel anti-inflammatory agents because of its membrane receptor-based cytokine action, telomerase activity and other intracellular functions (11).

Cell cycle regulation through an interaction with the co-activator and COP9 signalosome complex subunit 5 (CSN5), which is also known as the C-Jun activation domain-binding protein-1 (JAB1), was identified recently as an important intracellular function of MIF (12). MIF interacts with an intracellular protein, Jab1, which is a coactivator of AP-1 transcription (13). This study conducted a biochemical and biophysical analysis of As-MIF to reveal the interaction between As-MIF and hJAB1 in vitro. The results using protein models suggest that a complex of As-MIF and hJAB1 is formed by an interaction between the evolutionally conserved region of As-MIF and the MPN domain of hJAB1. Understanding the molecular interplay between As-MIF and JAB1-mediated signaling in vitro will help explain the complicated biological actions of As-MIF and hJAB1.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Domain and secondary structures of As-MIF and hJAB1

Fig. 1A presents the domain structures of full-length As-MIF (aa 1-121) and human JAB1 (1-334). The function of full-length As-MIF was not identified and As-MIF only has a macrophage migration inhibitory factor domain (MIF, 2-114). Full-length JAB1 is comprised of the Mpr1p Pad1p N-terminal domain (MPN, 53-138) and JAB1/MPN/Mov34 metalloenzyme (JAMM, 138-151) sequence motif located within the MPN domain. Three-dimensional modeling based on homology-modeling revealed the sequence of As-MIF to be most similar to that of Ancylostoma Ceylanicum (Ace-MIF) with a sequence identity of 36%. The amino acid sequence of As-MIF was aligned with Ace-MIF (Fig. 1B). While the structure of Ace-MIF has seven α-helices and six β-strands, the structure of As-MIF contains four α-helices and five β-strands. The predicted secondary structure of As-MIF showed that three short α-helices of Ace-MIF were changed to loops in As-MIF. The amino acids sequence of hJAB1 was aligned, as shown in Fig. 1C. MIF might mediate its biological activities through a classical receptor-mediated or non-classical endocytic pathway (13, 14). The pathways associated with MIF are involved in cell cycle regulation, transcription factors, and inflammation. JAB1 also promotes the degradation of the tumor suppressor, p53, and the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, p27. JAB1 interacts with MIF in the cytoplasm, where it functions as a coactivator of activator protein 1 (AP-1) transcription.

Biochemical characterization of As-MIF and hJAB1

Recombinant As-MIF and hJAB1 proteins were isolated and the soluble proteins were purified to homogeneity (Fig. 2A). An unsuccessful attempt was made to crystallize As-MIF and hJAB1 for a structural study. To investigate the oligomer structure of As-MIF, cross-linking was performed with 0.001% or 0.01% glutaraldehyde at 37°C (Fig. 2B). As shown in the wild-type As-MIF immunoblot, in addition to monomeric species including His-tag (17 kDa), protein bands were observed at high molecular weights corresponding to dimeric and homotrimeric structures in the presence of all non-reducing As-MIF (No boiling, 0.001 and 0.01%). To further investigate the nature of the secondary structural elements of the purified full-length As-MIF and hJAB1 proteins, far-UV CD spectra were recorded (Fig. 2C, D). The CD spectra...
of the As-MIF and hJAB1 were calculated using CDNN and they were presumed to have predominantly α-helical structures.

JAB1 has a JAMM metalloenzyme sequence motif located within the MPN domain. Incubation with the recombinant and vesicular MIF produced a significant decrease (~50%) in the zinc content in stimulated caput sperm (15). Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) was performed to determine if zinc binds to As-MIF or hJAB1. The Zn ion concentration was 205 ppb and 435 ppb for As-MIF and hJAB1, respectively. Zinc can be bound to cysteines, and the cysteine mutants (C95A and C109A) showed inferior Zn binding ability (220 and 165 ppb) to that of wild-type MIF. In addition, the cysteine mutants (C145A and C218A) also showed inferior Zn binding ability (296 and 177 ppb) to that of wild-type hJAB1. The molecular weight of the full-length As-MIF was characterized by measuring the LS, dRI, and UV spectra by size exclusion chromatography-MALS analysis (Fig. 2E). The weight-average molecular weight of the As-MIF solution was 51 kDa, corresponding to the size of the full-length As-MIF trimer.

### Structural prediction of As-MIF and hJAB1 interaction

An accurate prediction of the protein-protein interactions is an important step toward elucidating the protein function and understanding of the molecular mechanisms inside the cell. To investigate the structural aspects of As-MIF and hJAB1 interaction, the As-MIF (2-116) structure was modeled using the known structure of Ace-MIF (PDB ID: 2OS5) (Fig. 3A). The hJAB1 (PDB ID: 4F7O, 2-256) was used for interaction modeling (Fig. 3B). To accomplish interaction modeling between As-MIF and hJAB1, ten predicted low energy structures formed in 1,000 docking run structures were selected. This study focused on one model of the ten complexes for further analysis. The As-MIF-hJAB1 complex model was analyzed by Ramachandran plot calculations and peptide torsion ω angles using a validation tool (16, 17). The validation analysis indicated that predicted model showed the adequate stereochemistry, with almost 100% of the amino acid side chains located in allowed region of a [ϕ, ψ] plot.

The C-terminal in the MIF domain of As-MIF was predicted to dock into the MPN domain of hJAB1. The important binding sites of As-MIF and hJAB1 were identified using the modeled complex structures (Fig. 3C). Four binding residues of As-MIF were selected for mutation to examine the interactions between As-MIF and hJAB1. Four residues on the loop (K91, G111, and M114) of As-MIF and four on the loop (Y143, S148, G149, and K219) of hJAB1 of the hJAB1 regions may be important interaction residues between As-MIF and hJAB1. K91, E93, G111 and M114 of As-MIF may hydrogen bond with G149, S148, K219 and Y143 of the hJAB1, respectively.
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K91 of As-MIF and K219 of hJAB are positively charged residues, and these charges may promote the formation of the As-MIF-JAB1 complex. The As-MIF trimer contains a hole surrounded by negatively charged residues. The negatively charged surface of As-MIF interacts with the positively charged surface of hJAB1; these interactions are localized in the loop regions (Fig. 3). Protein loop regions are often flexible and frequently adopt several conformations.

Interestingly, the K91A and G111A mutations in As-MIF disrupted their interactions with hJAB1 (Fig. 4A). These two mutations destabilized As-MIF structurally. Of the four mutations produced by the substitution of Ala in the hJAB1, two, G149A and K219A on hJAB1, were unable to participate in the As-MIF and JAB1 interactions (Fig. 4B). These results suggest that the predicted interaction sites in the modeled complex structures play important roles in the structural stability of the As-MIF and hJAB1 protein complex. The loop region of As-MIF is docked to the MPN domain, including the JAM4 motif of the hJAB1 structure. Recently, the crystal structure of an eight-subunit COP9 signalosome complex (CSN) was determined (18, 19). CSN has two organizational centers: a horseshoe-shaped ring formed by its six proteasome (CSN) was determined (18, 19). CSN has two organizational centers: a horseshoe-shaped ring formed by its six proteasome CSN6, which contains intricately at the core of the COP9 signalosome complex JAB1 (CSN5) and its dimerization partner, CSN6, are embedded intricately at the core of the helical bundle.

**Binding between As-MIF and JAB1 in vitro**
A series of biochemical and biophysical experiments were conducted to determine if As-MIF interacts with hJAB1 in vitro. The interactions were identified using a size-exclusion column (Fig. 2A). Binding between As-MIF and hJAB1 was detected using SDS-PAGE. The complex of As-MIF and hJAB1 was shown as a sharp peak. The complex was eluted earlier than each of the protein peaks, indicating that As-MIF interacts with hJAB1 in vitro. The use of the His-tagged As-MIF fusion protein was effective in the pull-down of GST-hJAB1 (Fig. 4A). Binding between As-MIF and hJAB1 was detected by Western blot analysis. In addition, the GST-hJAB1 fusion protein was used in the pull-down of His-tagged As-MIF. Two mutants of As-MIF (K91A, and G111A) did not interact with hJAB1. In the case of the hJAB1 mutants, G149A and K219A did not interact with As-MIF (Fig. 4B). Biacore biosensor analysis was conducted to measure the JAB1-binding ability between the full-length As-MIF and hJAB1 (Fig. 4C). Sensorgrams of As-MIF binding to hJAB1 were used to calculate their kinetic binding constants. Then the background sensorgrams were subtracted from the experimental sensorgrams, yielding representative specific binding constants. The As-MIF was physically bound to hJAB1 with an apparent KD of 16.56 nM (Supplementary Table 1).

As-MIF interacts with hJAB1 with a λmax at 310 nm or 340 nm, respectively (Fig. 4D). The fluorescence intensities of As-MIF, hJAB1, and their complex were approximately 3.5 × 10^6 N, 7.5 × 10^6 N, and 5.0 × 10^6 N, respectively. The fluorescence intensity of hJAB1 increased significantly in response to the exposed tryptophan residue, suggesting that the Trp fluorescence of hJAB1 originates primarily from the aromatic residues. Although hJAB1 has six Trp amino acids, As-MIF has none, and its fluorescence emission spectrum was shifted slightly to a lower wavelength because of the emission of Phe. The spectrum of the As-MIF and hJAB1 complex showed lower intensity than that observed when simply combining As-MIF and hJAB1. Large conformational changes in response to their interaction occurred in one or both proteins and the residues of the aromatic groups were buried within the protein structures.

In this study, the As-MIF and hJAB1 proteins produced by recombinant E. coli were purified and characterized. A series of biochemical and biophysical measurements confirmed that As-MIF interacts with hJAB1 in vitro. Interestingly, two mutations (K91A and G111A) of As-MIF and two mutations (G149A and K219A) of hJAB1 disrupted the interaction between As-MIF and hJAB1. The results provide important data regarding the structures of As-MIF and hJAB1, the binding activity of As-MIF to hJAB1, and the protein-protein interactions involved in cell cycle regulation, transcription factors, and inflammation. Overall, an improved understanding of the molecular interaction between As-MIF and hJAB1 will help elucidate the biological actions of As-MIF and hJAB1.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cloning and mutation of As-MIF and hJAB1**
The full-length As-MIF (1-121) was subcloned in the N-terminal of the His-tagged fusion protein vector, pET-26b. The hJAB1 (1-257) sequence was subcloned into another His-tagged fusion protein vector, pET28a. As-MIF and hJAB1 were amplified by a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the oligonucleotides incorporating the Ndel/Xhol and BamHl/Xhol sites on the 5' and 3' primers, respectively. Double-stranded oligonucleotides were used for site-directed mutagenesis of six different As-MIF residues to alanine (K91A, E93A, G111A, M114A, C95A, and C109A). Site-directed mutagenesis of the four different hJAB1 residues to alanine (Y143A, S148A, G149A and K219A) was performed. All cloned cDNAs were identified by restriction endonuclease digestion and verified using a Macrogen automatic DNA sequencer.

**Expression and purification of recombinant protein**
The His-tagged As-MIF and hJAB1 were transformed to the competent cell, Escherichia coli BL21(DE3), which contains many T7 polymerases. Each colony was inoculated overnight at 37°C in 5 ml of Luria Bertani (LB) medium enriched with 10 μg/ml kanamycin. The cells were incubated in LB containing kanamycin and maintained at 37°C until the OD600 reached
protein expression was induced by 0.5 mM isopropyl-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG) overnight at 25°C, after which the bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3,660 g for 25 min at 4°C. The cell pellets were used immediately or frozen at −70°C, then re-suspended with lysis buffer A [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 200 mM NaCl] and sonicated for cell disruption. After sonication, the cell suspensions were centrifuged at 20,170 g for 45 min to remove the insoluble cellular debris. The soluble supernatants of the His-tagged As-MIF and hJAB1 were loaded onto a Ni-NTA column and pre-equilibrated with buffer A, after which the bound proteins were eluted using buffer A containing 20-200 mM imidazole. The final volume of the eluted supernatants was concentrated using a Vivaspin 20 at 1,320 g for 2 min at 1,320 g and 25°C. The concentration fractions of As-MIF and hJAB1 from the Ni-NTA column were purified by gel filtration chromatography using a Superdex 200 10/300 GL fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) column (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech) equilibrated in buffer A. Purification step was analyzed by 15% SDS-PAGE gel and visualized by Coomassie blue staining.

Circular dichroism spectroscopy analysis
The circular dichroism (CD) spectra of full-length As-MIF, hJAB1, and their mutants were measured on a JASCO J-715 spectropolarimeter in a 0.1 cm cell at 25°C. The CD spectra of the purified proteins (0.5 mg/ml) were recorded in the 190-260 nm range. The secondary-structural elements were calculated using the CDNN program.

Fluorescence spectroscopy
The fluorescence emission spectra were obtained using an Edinburgh (UK) FLS920 TCSPC (Time Correlated Single Photon Counting Spectrometer) with 1 cm pathlength cuvettes containing excitation and emission slits, 20 nm in width. The fluorescence emission spectra of As-MIF and hJAB1 were obtained to identify the characteristic chemical structures, namely double bonds and aromatic groups. The emission intensity was recorded from 280 to 400 nm at an excitation wavelength of 295 nm. As-MIF and hJAB1 were pre-incubated for 25 min at 25°C.

Glutaraldehyde cross-linking experiment
Cross-linking was performed with 10 μg of the interacting proteins at various concentrations. Wild-type As-MIF and each mutant in a 20 mM HEPES reaction buffer (pH 8.0) were treated with 0.001% or 0.01% glutaraldehyde for 2 min at 37°C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.0) for 10 min at room temperature.

Multi-angle light scattering (MALS)
Molecular weights (MW), differential refractive index spectra (dRI), and ultraviolet (UV) spectra were measured using a MALS and dRI (Wyatt Technology Corporation) detector coupled with a high-performance liquid chromatograph (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The purified full-length As-MIF was loaded onto a size-exclusion column that had been pre-equilibrated with the standard buffer at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. The molecular weight was calculated using ASTRA 6.0 (Wyatt Technology Corporation).

Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS)
Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) was performed using an Elan 6100 DRC ICP-MS instrument (Perkin Elmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Shelton, CT, USA). The plasma gas flow rate, radio frequency, and radio power were 15 L·min⁻¹, 40 MHz, and 1.0 kW, respectively. Metal analysis for Zn²⁺ (M.W. 65.39 g/mol) was performed by combustion analysis using a FLASH EA 1112 elemental analyzer (Thermo Electron Corporation). The protein samples were dried at 50°C for 12 h in a vacuum prior to metal analysis.

Structural modeling
As-MIF (2-116) and hJAB1 (2-257) models were constructed using SWISS-MODEL software, which is a relative three-dimensional protein modeling system. The results of an Expert Protein Analysis System (EXPASY) search of the protein data bank (PDB) revealed a template protein with considerable sequence identity. 3D models of As-MIF and hJAB1 were prepared using the homology protein templates of Ancyllostoma ceylanicum MIF (Ace-MIF; PDB ID: 2O55) and COP9 signalosome complex subunit 5 (PDB ID: 4F7O). As-MIF (2-116) and hJAB1 (2-257) were bound and the most stable complex structure was selected from the top 20 complexes obtained from each docking.

GST pull-down assay
For the pull-down assay, purified GST, GST-JAB1, and GST-As-MIF were mixed with His-tagged MIF, hJAB1 and their mutants, which were incubated with buffer A and pre-equilibrated glutathione sepharose 4B beads. After a 4 h reaction at 4°C, the beads were centrifuged at 1,320 g for 4 min, and washed with buffer A. For each time, the supernatant was removed by centrifuging. The binding proteins were eluted with the buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 30 mM glutathione]. The proteins were visualized by 15% SDS gel and Western blot.

His pull-down assay
Purified His-tagged As-MIF was mixed with GST-tagged JAB1 and their mutants, which were incubated with buffer A and pre-equilibrated Ni-NTA agarose beads. After an 8 h reaction at 4°C, the beads were centrifuged and washed with buffer A. The supernatant was removed by centrifuging at 1,320 g for 2 min. The binding proteins were eluted with the buffer A with 1 M imidazole.
Biacore biosensor analysis
Measurements of the apparent dissociation constants (K_D) between As-MIF and hJAB1 were carried out using a Biacore T100 biosensor (GE Healthcare Biosciences, Sweden). The purified As-MIF was bound covalently to the sensor chip CM5 (carboxylated dextran matrix) using an amine-coupling method. The As-MIF (40 μg/ml) in 10 mM sodium acetate pH 5.0 was coupled via injection for 15 min at 10 μl/min, followed by the injection of 1M ethanolamine to deactivate residual amines. For kinetic measurements at 25°C, hJAB1 with concentrations ranging from 125 to 1,000 nM was prepared by dilution in HBS-EP buffer (10 mM of HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM of NaCl, 3 mM of EDTA and 0.05% v/v surfactant P20). Immobilized ligand was regenerated by injecting of 50 mM NaOH at a rate 10 μl/min during the cycles.
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