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Abstract

Objective: To evaluate Hb outcomes in patients with dialysis-dependent or non-dialysis dependent chronic kidney disease (CKD) receiving continuous erythropoietin receptor activator (C.E.R.A.) therapy under routine conditions at specialist nephrology centers in Germany.

Methods: In a 12-month, prospective, observational, multicenter study, Hb outcomes were assessed in 1,580 patients with CKD (1,184 dialysis-dependent, 326 non-dialysis dependent) given once-monthly C.E.R.A. under routine conditions.

Results: Across the total study population, mean hemoglobin was in the range 11.4–11.6 g/dL at all post-baseline visits. In the 962 patients on hemodialysis who were pretreated with ESA including C.E.R.A., mean (SD) hemoglobin was 11.4 (1.2) g/dL at baseline and 11.5 (1.1) g/dL at month 12; the mean (SD) number of C.E.R.A. dose changes was 3.0 (2.4). For the 227 non-dialysis dependent patients without prior ESA therapy, mean hemoglobin values were 10.6 (1.1) g/dL at baseline and 11.6 (1.3) g/dL at month 12, with a mean of 1.0 (1.4) dose changes. Presence of diabetic nephropathy showed no clinically relevant effect on hemoglobin response in either group. Overall, the proportion of patients with every hemoglobin measurement within narrow (≤ 2 g/dL) pre-specified ranges was low (<10%), but higher for the range 10.0–13.0 g/dL (28.7%), reflecting the known fluctuation in hemoglobin values over time. Patients managed at large centers were more likely to have hemoglobin range in the range 10–13 g/dL throughout the 12-month study, suggesting closer anemia management. In total, five patients (0.3%) discontinued C.E.R.A. due to adverse events.

Conclusion: Switching dialysis-dependent patients from more frequent ESA regimens to once-monthly C.E.R.A. therapy or initiating once-monthly C.E.R.A. de novo in predialysis CKD patients appears to be an effective therapeutic strategy regardless of diabetic status.
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Background

Anemia is a frequent complication of chronic kidney disease (CKD), affecting over a quarter of those with mild disease (stages 1–2), over half with CKD stage 4 and three-quarters of patients on dialysis [1]. The primary etiology of anemia in CKD is erythropoietin deficiency (renal anemia), which intensifies as kidney dysfunction progresses [2], and is often compounded by functional iron deficiency [3]. In addition to the well-recognized and debilitating symptoms of anemia such as fatigue, anemia is associated with reduced quality of life [4], increased risk of progression to end-stage renal disease, cardiovascular events and death [5,6], particularly as the severity of anemia worsens [6]. Recognizing this, the Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) initiative advises that hemoglobin (Hb) level be monitored regularly in all patients with CKD [7]. Erythropoiesis-stimulating agent (ESA) therapy remains the mainstay of anemia therapy in patients with CKD. It is recommended that administration of ESAs should be based on individualized management, taking into account the clinical situation and specific risks in particular patients, and that in general ESAs not be used to maintain Hb level above 11.5 g/dL, with an upper threshold of 13 g/dL in all cases [7].

Maintenance of Hb level will often require long-term administration of ESA therapy. Typically, epoetin alfa or epoetin beta are given twice or three times a week, and darbepoetin every 2–4 weeks during the maintenance phase. Longer dosing intervals would be time-saving for staff and convenient for patients, particularly not on dialysis, but the half-lives of these therapies are relatively short (up to 9 hours for epoetin alfa and beta [8] and approximately 25 hours for darbepoetin alfa [9]). Continuous erythropoietin receptor activator (C.E.R.A.) is a modified recombinant human erythropoietin which has a longer half-life (approximately 132 hours), a relatively low binding affinity for the erythropoiesis receptor and low systemic clearance in CKD patients [10]. Consequently, C.E.R.A. can be dosed every two weeks in dialysis-dependent patients and once a month in non-dialysis dependent CKD patients during the correction phase and monthly (intravenously or subcutaneously) in all patients during maintenance therapy [11]. Randomized trials have demonstrated the effectiveness of C.E.R.A. using different dosing regimens in dialysis dependent patients converted from other ESA therapies [12–16], and in ESA-naïve non-dialysis dependent patients [17–19]. In the absence of protocol-stipulated Hb monitoring and ESA dosing, however, anemia management and C.E.R.A. administration will vary between centers and results from controlled trials may not be replicated in routine practice.
In particular, the use of C.E.R.A. in specific patient subpopulations, for example those with diabetes, and at specific types of centers, remain largely unexamined outside the confines of randomized studies.

Against this background, a prospective, observational study was undertaken to evaluate Hb outcomes in patients with dialysis-dependent or non-dialysis dependent CKD receiving C.E.R.A. therapy under routine conditions at specialist nephrology centers in Germany.

Methods

Study design and conduct

This was a 12-month, prospective, observational study of adult patients with dialysis dependent or non-dialysis dependent CKD in whom the treating physician had decided to initiate C.E.R.A. therapy. C.E.R.A. dosing was at the discretion of the treating physician. The study was conducted at nephrology centers in Germany during December 2009 to February 2013. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Patients

It was planned to recruit between 1,500 and 2,250 patients aged >18 years in whom C.E.R.A. therapy had been initiated by their physician. Eligible patients were required to be free of malignancy and serious hematological or infectious disease, have a life expectancy of at least 12 months, be free of acute bleeding for the 16 weeks prior to enrollment, and not be taking part in an interventional trial. Female patients were not enrolled if they were pregnant or breast feeding. All patients recruited at a center were to be either receiving hemodialysis or non-dialysis dependent, to improve the homogeneity of data collection from each center.

Data collection

Data obtained at routine clinic visits were captured. Demographic information and clinical characteristics at baseline were recorded, with information on ESA medication in the 16 weeks prior to study entry and reason for initiation of C.E.R.A. therapy. At subsequent clinical visits, information was documented regarding C.E.R.A. dose, Hb level, other laboratory values including serum ferritin, transferrin saturation (TSAT) and C-reactive protein (CRP). Hb levels were obtained retrospectively for the 16 weeks prior to study entry, and prospectively during the 12-month evaluation period.

At the final visit of the 12-month study, the treating physician recorded whether the patient had discontinued the study, discontinued C.E.R.A. therapy, the reason for C.E.R.A. discontinuation if applicable, switch to another ESA therapy, and physician-reported and patient-reported satisfaction with C.E.R.A. therapy (‘very satisfied’, ‘satisfied’, ‘undecided’ or ‘not satisfied’).

Data analysis

Patients were analyzed according to (i) whether they were receiving dialysis at study entry (CKD study 5) or were non-dialysis dependent (CKD ≤ 4) (ii) receipt of ESA therapy (including C.E.R.A. therapy) in the 16 weeks prior to study entry (iii) whether the cause of CKD was diabetic nephropathy or not (iv) whether they received one or more C.E.R.A. dose modification or not and (v) according to the size of center. Study centers were categorized as large (treating >100 patients at the time of study initiation) or small (≤ 100 patients).

Pre-defined efficacy variables included the proportions of patients with Hb response during months 0–12 and months 6–12; the maximum intra-individual fluctuation in Hb values from the individual mean during months 0–12; changes in laboratory parameters over months 0–12; the proportion of patients discontinuing the study or C.E.R.A. therapy; and physician and patient satisfaction with C.E.R.A. therapy. Hb response was defined as Hb within the following ranges at every study visit: 10.0–12.0 g/dL, 11.0–12.0 g/dL and 11.0–13.0 g/dL. Post hoc, a further Hb range (10–13 g/dL) was defined.

Although no formal sample size calculation was performed, it was planned to recruit a minimum of 50 patients per center, to achieve a representative sample of the local population of patients with CKD. Furthermore, it was planned that at least 30 centers should take part in the study to provide an adequate number of large and small centers for meaningful comparison, and to achieve a large population for analysis (~1500 patients).

Data were summarized and presented descriptively. Efficacy data were analyzed for patients of the intent-to-treat (ITT) population, defined as all patients who received at least one dose of C.E.R.A. with at least one post-baseline efficacy assessment. Safety analyses were based on all patients who received at least one dose of C.E.R.A. and provided at least one safety assessment.

Results

Study population

In total, 1,580 patients were recruited at 33 centers. Of these, 33 patients were excluded from analyses since no baseline or subsequent information was provided (n=17) or because C.E.R.A. administration was not documented (n=16). The safety population thus comprised 1,547 patients. A further 37 patients were excluded from the ITT population, most frequently due to no study visit (Figure 1), such that the ITT population included 1,510 patients. The study was discontinued prematurely by 469/1,510 patients (31.1%), with death due to underlying disease (n=130) and discontinuation of treatment (n=114) the most common reasons (Figure 1).

In the ITT population, 1,184 patients (78.4%) were receiving dialysis, of which the majority (962; 81.3%) had received ESA therapy in the 16 weeks prior to study entry (Table 1). The remaining 326 patients (21.6%) were non-dialysis dependent; 227 of these patients (69.6%) had not received any ESA therapy in the preceding 16 weeks. The most frequent underlying cause of CKD was diabetic nephropathy in both the dialysis-dependent and non-dialysis dependent cohorts (Table 1). Concomitant conditions included heart failure (12.7%), coronary artery disease (30.3%), secondary hyperparathyroidism (30.6%), type 1 diabetes (2.2%), type 2 diabetes (39.9%), dyslipidemia (14.8%) and hypertension (82.1%). The majority of patients (56.2%) were managed at centers with 100 patients or fewer (Table 1).

Data on iron therapy were collected as part of standard capture of information about concomitant medication during the study. Administration of oral iron therapy was documented in 447 patients (29.6%) and intravenous iron therapy in 697 patients (46.2%), with a higher reported rate of iron therapy (of any type) in dialysis-dependent patients (84.2%) than non-dialysis dependent patients (45.1%). Intravenous preparations predominated in the dialysis dependent subgroup (695/1,184 [58.7%] compared to 302/1,184 [25.5%] for oral iron) and oral iron predominated in the non-dialysis dependent patients (145/326 [44.5%] compared to 2/326 [0.6%] for intravenous iron).
C.E.R.A. therapy

Among the patients who had receiving ESA therapy in the 16 weeks prior to study entry, the most frequent agent was C.E.R.A. (36.7% of dialysis-dependent and 14.4% of non-dialysis dependent patients) (Table 2). Physicians reported the most frequent reason for initiation of C.E.R.A to be once-monthly administration (94.4%) (Table 2). During the study, the median number of C.E.R.A. doses per month was 1.0 (interquartile range 1.0, 1.0) at each study visit to month 12. The mean (SD) dose increased slightly from baseline (109 [76] μg) to the month 12 visit (121 [99] μg), with a mean of 2.9 dose changes in the dialysis-dependent cohort compared to 1.0 dose changes in the non-dialysis dependent group (Table 2). In total, 490 patients (32.5%) discontinued C.E.R.A. therapy (Figure 1). Among those who discontinued, 169 (34.5%) switched to a different ESA therapy (11.2% of the ITT population).

Efficacy

Across the total study population, mean Hb was in the range 11.4–11.6 g/dL at all post-baseline visits (Figure 2). In the dialysis-dependent patients, mean (SD) Hb level was 11.3 (1.3) g/dL at study entry, and 11.6 (1.1) g/dL at month 12; values in the subpopulation treated with ESA therapy before study entry were 11.4 91.2) g/dL and 11.5 (1.1) g/dL. Mean (SD) Hb level was lower in the non-dialysis dependent subgroup at baseline (10.9 [13] g/dL) but identical to the dialysis-dependent cohort by month 12 (11.6 g/dL in both groups), an effect attributable to increase in Hb level after initiation of C.E.R.A. in the 227 ESA-naïve non-dialysis dependent patients (Table 3). This improvement accounted for the slight increase in mean Hb level in the total study population.

There was a significant inverse correlation between C.E.R.A. dose and Hb level in the study population overall (r=-0.319 [Pearson coefficient]; p<0.001) in the study population overall. The correlation was r=-0.366 (p<0.001) for the subpopulation of dialysis-dependent patients previously treated with ESA therapy, and r=-0.305 (p<0.001) for the cohort of ESA-naïve non-dialysis dependent patients.

Hb fluctuation and response rates

Mean (SD) intra-individual Hb fluctuation from the individual pre-specified windows of 10.0–12.0 g/dL, 11.0–12.0 g/dL and 11.0–13.0 g/dL during months 0–12 was low, although somewhat higher during only months 6–12 (Table 3), as would be expected following time for initiation of C.E.R.A to be once-monthly administration (94.4%) (Table 2). The proportion of patients with every Hb measurement within the pre-specified windows of 10.0–12.0 g/dL, 11.0–12.0 g/dL and 11.0–13.0 g/dL during months 0–12 was low, although somewhat higher during only months 6–12 (Table 3), as would be expected following time for...
dose adjustments. Based on the wider Hb window of 10–13 g/dL, analyzed post hoc, 28.7% of patients remained within this window at all of the 12 study visits. Corresponding to the greater Hb fluctuation in dialysis patients, the proportion of patients within the predefined Hb windows was slightly higher in the non-dialysis dependent subpopulation (Table 3). Diabetic nephropathy did not adversely affect Hb levels or Hb response to C.E.R.A. in either the dialysis-dependent or non-dialysis dependent groups (Supplementary Table 1). Patients who required no C.E.R.A. dose modification exhibited slightly higher Hb response rates than those with one or more dose change during months 0–12, as might be anticipated. Of interest, a higher proportion of patients managed at large centers – where dose changes were more frequent – were more likely to remain within target Hb ranges, versus those at small centers, particularly for non-dialysis dependent groups (Table 4). This may be related to the finding that C.E.R.A. dose was managed more closely at large centers: the mean (SD) number of dose changes was 3.0 (2.6) (median 3, range 0–14) at small centers.

**Laboratory values**

There was no consistent change in mean (SD) serum ferritin levels during the study from the month 1 visit onwards. The lowest value was observed at baseline (mean [SD] 403 [365] ng/mL), after which mean values remained in the range 413 to 567 ng/mL. At month 12, mean (SD) serum ferritin was 479 (354) ng/mL. Similarly, the lowest TSAT level was at baseline (mean [SD] 24.4% [11.8%]), thereafter showing minor fluctuations within the range 25.7% to 28.2% with a mean (SD) level of 27.0% (11.8%) at month 12. The lower end of the interquartile range for TSAT varied between 17.0% and 19.0% during the study. Mean CRP level showed no pattern of change during the study: mean (SD) values were 2.9 (2.4) mg/L at baseline and 3.0 (2.4) mg/L at month 12, median (range) 3.0 (0.0, 14.1) mg/L at month 12, (median 4.7 mmol/L at both time points) with minor fluctuations at other time points.

**Physician and patient assessment**

At the final study visit, 45.4%, 39.9%, 9.0% and 2.1% of physicians were very satisfied, satisfied, undecided or dissatisfied with C.E.R.A. therapy. For patients, the corresponding proportions were 39.3%, 47.2%, 7.5 and 1.1%. Data were missing in the remaining cases.

**Discussion**

During the 12-month course of this observational trial, once-monthly treatment with C.E.R.A. maintained mean Hb level within the range 11.4–11.6 g/dL in a large cohort of patients with dialysis dependent or non-dialysis dependent CKD. Hb level remained unchanged under once-month C.E.R.A. in dialysis-dependent patients who had been receiving ESA therapy before study entry, approximately half of whom had previously been dosed at least every two weeks with non-C.E.R.A. preparations. In the 70% of non-dialysis dependent patients who were ESA-naïve at baseline, mean Hb showed an initial rise after C.E.R.A. initiation then remained stable to month 12. The presence of diabetic nephropathy did not adversely affect the Hb response to C.E.R.A. Although patients who did not require any C.E.R.A. dose change were more likely to remain within target Hb range at all 12 study visits, patients managed at large centers – where dose changes were more frequent – were more likely to remain within target Hb ranges, suggesting closer anemia management.

The interval between C.E.R.A. doses was consistently one month throughout the 12-month study, with few exceptions. Dose changes were infrequent, with a mean of three dose changes over 12 months in the dialysis-dependent patients, in whom Hb fluctuation is known to be more marked, and a mean of only one dose change in the ESA-naïve non-dialysis patients following the start of C.E.R.A. therapy. Over the 12-month study, patients with a dose modification were less likely to remain within specific Hb windows but after the first six months they

| Route of administration, n (%) | Dialysis (N=1,184) | Non-dialysis (N=326) | Total (n=1,510) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| **C.E.R.A. dose at baseline, μg** | | | |
| Mean (SD) | 118 (81) | 121 (82) | 109 (76) |
| Median (range) | 100 (30, 360) | 100 (30, 360) | 100 (30, 360) |
| **C.E.R.A. dose at month 12, μg** | | | |
| Mean (SD) | 131 (104) | 132 (106) | 121 (99) |
| Median (range) | 100 (30, 1080) | 100 (30, 1080) | 100 (30, 1080) |
| ≥1 C.E.R.A. dose change, n (%) | 942 (79.6) | 780 (81.1) | 1111 (73.6) |
| No C.E.R.A. dose change, n (%) | 242 (20.4) | 182 (18.9) | 399 (26.4) |
| **C.E.R.A. doses changes, n (%)** | | | |
| Mean (SD) | 2.9 (2.4) | 3.0 (2.4) | 2.5 (2.4) |
| Median (range) | 3 (0, 14) | 3.0 (0, 14) | 2 (0, 14) |

*More than one response was possible*
were marginally more likely to do so, presumably because of the early
dose adjustments. This is consistent with a previous observational study
of 918 patients receiving C.E.R.A., in which patients receiving at least
one dose change were more likely to remain within pre-specified ranges
for Hb than those with no dose change [20].

Patients with CKD exhibit wide fluctuations in Hb levels [21,22]
and it is notoriously difficult to sustain Hb levels within a specific target
range consistently over an extended period during ESA therapy [23].

Figure 2: Hb level in the 16 weeks prior to study entry and during months 0–12 (a) all patients (b) dialysis-dependent patients who received ESA therapy in the 16
weeks prior to study entry (c) non-dialysis dependent patients who did not receive ESA therapy prior to study entry. Values are shown as mean (SD).
Naturally occurring Hb fluctuations caused by various factors such as concomitant illnesses, inflammation or iron therapy [21,24,25] are exacerbated by intermittent bursts of erythropoietic activity stimulated by ESA administration. A one-year study of 68 ESA-treated patients receiving dialysis in the Netherlands revealed that no patient remained within the Hb target range of 11–12 g/dL and that mean intra-individual Hb fluctuation was >1.0 g/dL [22], similar to our observations in the dialysis-dependent cohort. Their analysis also showed that fluctuation is greater during ESA therapy [22], as might be expected given the intermittent nature of ESA administration. Another observational study of 1,428 patients on hemodialysis found that only 4% of patients could be maintained within a 2 g/dL Hb window over 12 months [26], while a mean fluctuation ≥ 1.0 g/dL was observed elsewhere in six patients receiving epoetin or darbepoetin over a nine-month period [27]. Typically, hemodialysis patients exhibit a mean of 3.1 Hb fluctuations each year, with a mean size of 2.5 g/dL [28]. Non-dialysis CKD patients receiving ESA also show Hb variations but to a lesser extent than dialysis-treated individuals [22], consistent with our findings [22]. Against this background, considerable variation in Hb levels would be expected in the current study over a one-year period, especially since almost 80% of patients were on hemodialysis. Other studies of C.E.R.A. under routine conditions in various CKD settings have employed a three-month routine evaluation period [20,29-31]. Accordingly, the proportion of patients with every Hb level within prespecified windows was lower than reported elsewhere. For example, in other observational studies Hb was maintained within the narrow window of 11–12 g/dL in 15–20% of patients with hemodialysis dependent CKD [26], non-dialysis dependent CKD [29] or following kidney transplantation [31] over three-month evaluation periods, versus almost no patients here over a 12-month period. For the wider window of 10–13 g/dL, 65–75% of patients could be maintained in this range over a three-month period [26,32] but only 28.7% in the current 12-month trial (35.8% over months 6–12). Correspondingly, the mean intra-patient Hb variability in our population was 1.5 g/dL compared to only 0.5 g/dL over a period of three months in other publications [20,31]. In an observational study of 918 patients on hemodialysis, intra-patient Hb fluctuation increased to 1.4 g/dL when monitored over nine months [20], close to that observed in our population. Drawing comparisons of Hb fluctuation, or the proportion of patients maintained within specific Hb windows, under the routine conditions of this study versus data from controlled trials of C.E.R.A. therapy is generally unhelpful since the exclusion criteria in interventional trials have typically excluded patients with Hb fluctuation > 1 g/dL during a four-week screening period [12-15].

Dialysis patients were more likely to be receiving ESA at study entry than non-dialysis patients (81.3% versus 30.4%), and almost twice as likely to be receiving iron therapy, as would be anticipated given the greater loss of erythropoietin production and increasing frequency of anemia with worsening CKD [1]. Mean Hb was slightly higher than in non-dialysis dependent patients as a result. Nevertheless, 16.1% of hemodialysis patients had a Hb level ≤ 10 g/dL at study entry. Of note, although mean TSAT was approximately 26–28% during the study, the lower limit of the interquartile threshold was 17–19%, indicating the presence of functional iron deficiency in a sizeable number of patients.

Results from this study suggest that greater experience of managing C.E.R.A.-treated patients, as at the larger centers (≥ 100 patients), may be associated with slightly closer control of Hb levels. While mean Hb levels were generally comparable between large and small centers at baseline and at the end of the study, slightly higher Hb control is challenging. This appears to contradict the fact that C.E.R.A. dose was adjusted more often in patients managed at larger centers than at smaller centers and that; overall, patients with dose changes were less likely to remain within Hb target windows. It is possible that C.E.R.A. dose changes were made more appropriately at the larger centers, possibly reacting sooner and in a more controlled manner than at less experienced smaller centers, but this remains speculative.

We recognize that the single-cohort design of this study prohibits...
This non-interventional study assessed outcomes using once-monthly C.E.R.A. therapy over a one-year period based on substantial numbers of patients at both large and small centers, thus closely reflecting practice. As such, the findings are applicable to routine practice in patients with dialysis dependent or non-dialysis dependent CKD. In this largely unselected population, it is clear that Hb fluctuation is considerable over a one-year period, and the results highlight the challenge of maintaining patients within narrow Hb target ranges. Slightly tighter Hb control was observed in patients treated at large nephrology centers, suggesting that greater experience improves anemia management with C.E.R.A., although specific reasons for this were not discernible in the current dataset. Overall, switching patients from more frequent ESA regimens to once-monthly C.E.R.A. therapy or initiating once-monthly C.E.R.A. de novo in CKD patients with or without hemodialysis appears to be an effective therapeutic strategy regardless of diabetic status.
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