Age-friendliness of city, loneliness and depression moderated by internet use during the COVID-19 pandemic
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Summary

The purpose of this study was to examine the association between age-friendliness of a city, loneliness and depression moderated by internet use among older people during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. The survey was from ‘The 2020 Survey of Needs Assessment for a Safe Community and Age-Friendly City’ in Xinyi District, Taipei, which was conducted by face-to-face interviews with community-based older adults who were aged 65 and above from one district of Taipei City from May to June 2020 (n = 335). Partial least square structural equation modeling and the SPSS PROCESS macro were used for data analysis. Two domains of an age-friendly city (housing and community support and health services) were found to be associated with reduced loneliness, while one (respect and social inclusion) was associated with decreased depression. The age-friendliness of cities mitigates depression through moderator (internet use) and mediation (loneliness) mechanisms. Although some age-friendly domains of the city reduced loneliness and depression directly, the age-friendliness–loneliness-depression mechanism held true only for older adults who used the internet and not for nonusers. Maintaining the age-friendliness of an environment is beneficial to mental health, and internet use is a necessary condition to gain optimum benefits from age-friendly initiatives. Policy suggestions are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Inevitable physical, mental, and social changes occur in the aging process. According to the ecological theory of person–environment fit (Lawton and Nahemow, 1973; Lawton, 1985), the mismatch between older adults’ competency and environmental demands causes stress and results in negative outcomes such as loneliness and depression. The World Health Organization
Health Organization (WHO), 2017) promotes the age-friendly city in eight domains: built environment, transport, housing, social participation, respect and inclusion, civic participation and employment, communication and information and community support and health services. Most studies concentrate on the direct impacts of age-friendly cities on mental health (Yang et al., 2019; Gibney et al., 2020; Hsu, 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Lei and Feng, 2021). Although some studies have investigated the mediating role of loneliness on an age-friendly environment and outcomes such as depression (Park et al., 2021) and mental health (Doménech-Abella et al., 2021), there is little research examining all eight domains of the age-friendly city in explaining their relative impacts on loneliness, depression and the friendliness–loneliness–depression mechanism. Moreover, given the COVID-19 pandemic, loneliness may become a ‘new normal’ situation for older adults (Dahlbeg, 2021), and internet use plays a more prominent role in maintaining older people’s connectivity to alleviate loneliness (Lifshitz et al., 2018; Torres et al., 2021). Thus, internet use could be an important conditional factor in the friendliness–loneliness–depression mechanism taking effect in the pandemic. This study examines the mechanisms behind the eight domains of the age-friendly city with respect to loneliness and depressive symptoms under the moderation of internet use in the Xinyi district of Taipei City. Two research questions are examined:

1. What are the impacts of the eight domains of the age-friendly city on loneliness and depression in older people?
2. Does internet use moderate the age-friendliness–loneliness–depression mechanism among older people?

**Theoretical explanation: ecological theory of person–environment fit**

Aging in place is defined as ‘elderly people, including those in need of care and support, should, wherever possible, be enabled to continue living in their own homes, and that, where this is not possible, they should be enabled to live in a sheltered and supportive environment that is as close to their community as possible, in both the social and geographical senses’ [Organization for Economic Co-operation Development (OECD), 1994]. Aging in place is driven by the ecological theory of person–environment fit, that the interaction between personal competencies and environmental conditions determines the extent to which an older person is able to age in place successfully (Lawton and Nahemow, 1973). The better the fit between an older person’s competency and environmental demands, the better the positive affect and behavior, and the less anxiety, stress or maladaptive behavior. To support aging in place, age-friendly city resources such as friendly and safe neighborhoods, functional transportation and easy access to health care and essential services greatly enhance the person–environment fit, allowing older people to function at an optimum level.

**Age-friendly city, loneliness and depression**

Loneliness is commonly defined as an unpleasant subjective state due to a discrepancy between desired companionship and the actual social support received from the environment (Blazer, 2002), not the objective state of being alone (Adams et al., 2004). Depression is commonly experienced by older people and is especially prevalent among urban community dwellers (Zhifeng and Yin, 2021) due to the more restrictive urban environment. The association between loneliness and depressive symptoms has been widely explored (Adams et al., 2004; Quach and Burr, 2020).

Measurement of the age-friendliness of cities can be realized through physical and social environmental factors [World Health Organization (WHO), 2015]. Empirical findings across countries support the relationship between age-friendliness of the physical or social environment and mental health. Built, social and physical environment characteristics are related to loneliness or disconnection for older adults (Cao et al., 2020; Doménech-Abella et al., 2021). Older people with more positive ratings of neighborhood (Lei and Feng, 2021) and built environment factors in terms of transport, green parks, surrounding street coverage, public hygiene and surrounding noise (Pan et al., 2021), along with living environment factors such as natural landscape, low building height and low density (Zhifeng and Yin, 2021), showed a negative relationship with depression, and this relationship was weaker among older people with higher income (Pan et al., 2021). A supportive transportation environment also reduced depression risk in older people when it made the acquisition of basic needs easier while promoting socialization and physical activity (Yang et al., 2019). In addition, support from family, friends and government is negatively associated with urban older adults’ depressive symptoms (Liu et al., 2020). A greater age-friendly environment would lower loneliness and result in fewer depressive symptoms (Schwartz et al., 2019; Gibney et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2022).

Several studies have investigated the mediating role of loneliness. Loneliness fully mediates an age-friendly environment and depression (Doménech-Abella et al.,
An age-friendly environment supports active behaviors through social and community participation opportunities, giving older people a sense of inclusion and leading to reduced loneliness and lower depressive risk. However, these studies used a limited number of age-friendly domains and were thus unable to evaluate the overall impact of the WHO’s eight age-friendly domains in the friendliness–loneliness–depression mechanism.

**Internet use and mental health**

Internet use has been reported to enhance mental health in older people. Internet use enhances the psychological well-being of older people, as it facilitates connectivity with family members; the relationship is stronger for older people who are frail (Fang et al., 2018; Yuan, 2020). Internet use significantly reduces emotional loneliness, especially among educated older adults, where e-mail is commonly used to facilitate social contact (Fokkema and Knipscheer, 2007). Older people use the internet more for interpersonal communication, information seeking, task performance, and leisure and reaction. All four functions of internet use are positively related to life satisfaction, but using the internet only for task performance and leisure activity are related to lower depression (Lifshitz et al., 2018). With the COVID-19 pandemic, internet use has played an even more prominent role in alleviating loneliness. Older people who used the internet less and therefore had less virtual talking connectivity suffered from higher loneliness risk during the COVID-19 pandemic (Torres et al., 2021). Thus, internet use could be the conditional factor in the friendliness–loneliness–depression mechanism.

**This study**

Although the impacts of age-friendly communities and environments on depression (Yang et al., 2019; Gibney et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Lei and Feng, 2021) and the mediating role of loneliness have been explored (Doménech-Abella et al., 2021; Park et al., 2021), several questions remain unanswered. First, the relative importance of the eight WHO age-friendly domains in mitigating loneliness and depression has not been evaluated. Second, whether internet use is the confounding factor acting on the environment–loneliness–depression mechanism is unknown.

Taiwan was aware of the severity of COVID-19 very early and maintained a low percentage of cases compared with other countries from December 2019 to December 2020 (Ma et al., 2021). The total cumulative number of infections on 31 December 2020 was only 799 persons (the infection rate was 3.3 per 100 000 persons). The first confirmed case of COVID-19 in Taiwan was announced on 21 January 2020. The Taiwan Central Epidemic Command Center (CECC) became the primary facility to lead disease control policy in February 2020. During 2020, the CECC started enacting infection control at the border and case identification, set up a rule to purchase masks in turn and required the entire population to wear masks in public as the public health approach to respond to COVID-19 before vaccines were available. The population in Taiwan has experienced SARS; therefore, people are aware of the importance of wearing a mask and are willing to cooperate with the health policy set by the CECC (Wang et al., 2020). The number of confirmed cases either from abroad or from community transmission stayed low in 2020, with only 447 cumulative confirmed cases on 30 June 2020 (Ministry of Health and Welfare, 2022). The older population experienced a mild COVID-19 outbreak when the study was conducted.

Thus, this study addresses these gaps, and we propose that during the COVID-19 pandemic, Taipei’s age-friendly environment may reduce older people’s loneliness, resulting in decreased depression, especially among those who used the internet.

**METHODS**

**Data and sample**

The data were from ‘The 2020 Survey of Needs Assessment for a Safe Community and Age-Friendly City’, conducted by the health center of the Xinyi District, Taipei City Government. Our data were collected from community-based older people aged 65 and above living in the Xinyi District of Taipei City. The Taipei City government building, World Trade Center, Taipei 101 and many department stores are located in this district. Some neighborhoods of Xinyi District are surrounded by four mountains for hiking, and some older communities are villages for veterans or military families. Thus, Xinyi District is a mix of old and new communities.

According to Taiwan’s population statistics, in 2019, 43 093 people aged above 65 years lived in Xinyi District. A stratified, multistage cluster sample design of 41 neighborhoods was used to obtain representative samples collected by the Xinyi District Health Center from May to June 2020. The COVID-19 pandemic occurred, but the epidemic situation in Taiwan was still mild and well-controlled during the period of data collection. There was no lockdown policy during the...
interviews. The interviewers comprised nurses and volunteers in the health center, and they were given professional training prior to data collection. All the participants were recruited from the community centers or by community leaders. The survey was conducted by face-to-face interviews. Informed consent was obtained before the interviews were conducted by the health center. A total of 335 respondents were included for analysis (Xinyi District Health Center and Taipei City Government, 2020).

**Measures**
The age-friendliness of the city was measured using the eight World Health Organization [World Health Organization (WHO), 2007] domains. The items for all eight domains were validated (Xinyi District Health Center and Taipei City Government, 2019). Cronbach’s alpha for the items of the eight domains ranged from 0.81 to 0.95, indicating good internal consistency. The items of the age-friendly city variables are shown in Table 2 (see details in the Supplementary Table S1). The eight domains of the age-friendly city and some example questions were as follows: outdoor spaces and buildings (e.g. satisfaction with the barrier-free facilities of government departments, public safety of the community; safety of department stores etc.); transportation (e.g. public transportation is safe and convenient, the passenger-only pathways are enough; satisfaction in the use of the Senior Easy Card for public transportation etc.); housing (e.g. having devices for safety at home; realizing the residential subsidy application policy; no worries of being attacked in the neighborhoods etc.); social participation (e.g. the community activity centers are sufficient; the hiking roads are safe; the parks in the community are safe etc.); respect and social inclusion (e.g. satisfaction in one-on-one consultations for older adults; the officials in the government departments help with your problems; the older people in this community are respected etc.); civic participation and employment (e.g. vocational training courses suitable for older people; volunteering opportunities for older people, etc.); communication and information (e.g. receiving messages related to older people’s activities and services, satisfaction with phone calls to government departments; the font size of the signage boards in the community is large enough etc.), and community support and health services (e.g. satisfaction with age-friendly services in the health centers; health promotion activities or health screening in the community; conveniently located clinics or hospitals etc.). Some questions related to COVID-19 were added in the domain of community support and health services, such as satisfaction with pharmacists’ services when purchasing masks, and clinics/hospitals are happy to help if medical consultation about COVID-19 is needed. Overall, the age-friendliness of the Xinyi community was measured using eight domains, with a total of 56 items, using a 5-point Likert scale, from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree. The average scores for the items of each domain were used in the analysis below.

Loneliness was measured using a single item, i.e. ‘Do you feel lonely?’, ranging from 1 = not lonely at all to 5 = very lonely. As suggested in several studies, the single-item measurement scale of loneliness is more appropriate than the composite scale when older people are the subject of research (Victor et al., 2009; Shiovitz-Ezra and Ayalon, 2012; Nicolaisen and Thorsen, 2014). Depression was measured using the 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale refined by Brown and Schinka (2005). Each item was scored dichotomously (yes or no). Higher summation scores indicate higher depressive symptoms. Internet use was measured using a single item (‘Do you use a smartphone, tablet or desktop to access the internet?’) on a dichotomous scale (yes or no). In addition, respondents who responded ‘yes’ were invited to further indicate their internet use behavior on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree) to understand whether they used the internet to seek out public transport, medical or public agency information.

The other variables included age (65–74 and 75 years old and above), sex (male/female), education (no formal education, illiterate/no formal education, literacy/primary high school/senior high school/college or university/graduate school or above), living arrangement (living alone or with others), and self-rated health (score 1–5, from poor to excellent).

**Analysis**
In addition to descriptive analysis, two main analysis methods were used in this study. Partial least square structural equation modeling was used to examine the effects of age-friendly city domains on loneliness and depression. We performed two separate structural models: eight domains of the age-friendly city as exogenous and loneliness and depression as endogenous. Regarding the moderating role of internet use in the age-friendliness–loneliness–depression mediation model, we used the PROCESS macro (Model 7) of SPSS created by Hayes (Hayes, 2013) for analysis.

**RESULTS**
Descriptive analysis of the variables is shown in Table 1. The sample comprised mainly older women (73.10%)...
aged 65–74 years old (66.30%) who completed high school (27.5%) and lived with others (91.90%). The overall mean score for the eight domains was 3.914 (higher than midpoint), indicating that older people in the Xinyi District were generally pleased with the age-friendly facilities and services. This indicates Taipei City’s success in maintaining an age-friendly environment. The most highly rated domain was public transport safety and friendliness (mean = 4.177), while the least highly rated was housing community/infrastructure support (mean = 3.631). In terms of loneliness and depression, older people in Xinyi distinctly seemed to demonstrate low levels of loneliness, with a mean of 1.532 (lower than the midpoint of 3), and low depression, with a mean of 1.212 (lower than the midpoint of 8). Of the 335 participants surveyed,
### Table 2: Measurement model of age friendly city

| Domains of age-friendly city | Item | Weight | SE  | t-value | P value | VIF   |
|------------------------------|------|--------|-----|---------|---------|-------|
| Outdoor spaces and buildings | A1. Barrier-free government departments | 0.197 | 0.058 | 3.400   | 0.001   | 1.471 |
|                              | A2. Barrier-free entrance of public buildings | 0.124 | 0.055 | 2.263   | 0.024   | 1.673 |
|                              | A3. Public safety of the community | 0.161 | 0.065 | 2.471   | 0.014   | 2.055 |
|                              | A4. Community safety | 0.241 | 0.064 | 3.774   | 0.000   | 1.827 |
|                              | A5. Safe department stores | 0.159 | 0.056 | 2.834   | 0.005   | 1.406 |
|                              | A6. Available seats | 0.221 | 0.059 | 3.737   | 0.000   | 1.902 |
|                              | A7. Public toilets | 0.160 | 0.065 | 2.463   | 0.014   | 1.921 |
|                              | A8. Aware of AED | 0.218 | 0.049 | 4.418   | 0.000   | 1.238 |
| Transportation               | B1. Safe and convenient public transportation | 0.186 | 0.047 | 3.931   | 0.000   | 1.797 |
|                              | B2. Passenger-only pathways | 0.104 | 0.062 | 1.674   | 0.095   | 2.185 |
|                              | B3. Crossing street seconds | 0.266 | 0.073 | 3.629   | 0.000   | 2.197 |
|                              | B4. Public transportation information | 0.260 | 0.064 | 4.068   | 0.000   | 1.797 |
|                              | B5. Waiting environment for public transportation | 0.198 | 0.062 | 3.172   | 0.002   | 2.297 |
|                              | B6. Senior Easy Card subsidy | 0.188 | 0.056 | 3.368   | 0.001   | 1.342 |
|                              | B7. No worry in traffic accidents | 0.168 | 0.055 | 3.064   | 0.002   | 1.505 |
| Housing                      | C1. Safety devices at home | 0.182 | 0.063 | 2.909   | 0.004   | 1.242 |
|                              | C2. Subsidy of household modification | 0.429 | 0.059 | 7.229   | 0.000   | 1.339 |
|                              | C3. No worry | 0.162 | 0.071 | 2.289   | 0.023   | 1.457 |
|                              | C4. Personal safety from violence | 0.168 | 0.068 | 2.482   | 0.013   | 1.315 |
|                              | C5. Personal safety from accidents | 0.582 | 0.060 | 9.771   | 0.000   | 1.232 |
| Social participation         | D1. Community activity centers | 0.167 | 0.054 | 3.076   | 0.002   | 2.566 |
|                              | D2. Co-meal spots | 0.075 | 0.051 | 1.484   | 0.138   | 2.826 |
|                              | D3. Safe hiking roads | 0.102 | 0.061 | 1.679   | 0.094   | 2.599 |
|                              | D4. Greenery or parks | 0.259 | 0.048 | 5.341   | 0.000   | 1.945 |
|                              | D5. Accessible activity information | 0.184 | 0.044 | 4.161   | 0.000   | 2.111 |
|                              | D6. Suitable activity kinds | 0.003 | 0.053 | 0.052   | 0.959   | 3.335 |
|                              | D7. Suitable activity time | 0.077 | 0.081 | 0.951   | 0.342   | 5.232 |
|                              | D8. Accessible activity place | 0.031 | 0.081 | 0.385   | 0.701   | 5.742 |
|                              | D9. Autonomy to participate | 0.036 | 0.048 | 0.746   | 0.456   | 3.427 |
|                              | D10. Diverse and interesting activities | 0.184 | 0.066 | 2.810   | 0.005   | 4.931 |
|                              | D11. Activity for intergenerational interaction | 0.118 | 0.120 | 1.884   | 0.060   | 3.895 |
| Respect and Social Inclusion | D12. Activity satisfaction | 0.038 | 0.062 | 0.616   | 0.538   | 3.181 |
|                              | D13. Reasonable cost | 0.016 | 0.056 | 0.289   | 0.772   | 2.175 |
| Civic participation and employment | E1. One-on-one consultation | 0.242 | 0.061 | 3.933   | 0.000   | 2.322 |
|                              | E2. Officials actively help | 0.213 | 0.065 | 3.304   | 0.001   | 2.403 |
|                              | E3. Staff training | 0.240 | 0.050 | 4.797   | 0.000   | 1.728 |
|                              | E4. Disadvantage group welfare | 0.238 | 0.052 | 4.612   | 0.000   | 1.923 |
|                              | E5. Residents are kind | 0.186 | 0.064 | 2.917   | 0.004   | 2.858 |
|                              | E6. Older people are respected | 0.194 | 0.058 | 3.317   | 0.001   | 2.357 |
| Communication and information | F1. Vocational training | 0.731 | 0.136 | 5.356   | 0.000   | 3.142 |
|                              | F2. Volunteering opportunity | 0.346 | 0.128 | 2.702   | 0.007   | 2.788 |
|                              | F3. Volunteering training | -0.012 | 0.160 | 0.075   | 0.941   | 3.924 |
|                              | G1. Service messages | 0.176 | 0.052 | 3.412   | 0.001   | 1.232 |
|                              | G2. Personal help on the telephone | 0.250 | 0.071 | 3.552   | 0.000   | 1.811 |
|                              | G3. Easy-to-read documents | 0.170 | 0.092 | 1.847   | 0.065   | 2.413 |
|                              | G4. Easy to identify signs | 0.439 | 0.083 | 5.293   | 0.000   | 2.018 |
|                              | G5. Long-term care information | 0.282 | 0.045 | 6.261   | 0.000   | 1.313 |

(continued)
almost half (48.5% or 162 participants) used the internet.

Measurement model
The psychometric properties of the measurement items for the age-friendly city were assessed in terms of significance and weight as well as collinearity. Through the bootstrapping technique, most indicators (40/56) were found to significantly explain their domains. Sixteen indicators were not significantly related to their domains. However, all items were kept to fully capture the contents of the domains (Hair et al., 2020). We employed the variance inflation factor (VIF) to determine indicator multicollinearity. As shown in Table 2, the VIF values for all indicators are below 10, indicating that multicollinearity is not an issue (O’Brien, 2007).

Predictors of loneliness and depression
As shown in Table 3, housing (β = −0.110) and community support and health services (β = −0.143) were negatively associated with loneliness. Meanwhile, respect and social inclusion (β = −0.102) were negatively associated with depression. Of the four control variables, self-rated health was the most significant predictor of both loneliness (β = −0.211) and depression (β = −0.190). Although living with others was significantly associated with both, it mitigated loneliness (β = −0.072) and enhanced depression (β = 0.063). Age was positively related to loneliness (β = 0.086), indicating that the older the participants were, the lonelier they felt. With regard to explanatory power, the eight domains of the age-friendly city explain 19.9% of the variance in loneliness and ~24.4% of the variance in depression.

DISCUSSION
This study examines the impact of the age-friendly city as a protective factor in mitigating loneliness and depression risk among older people residing in the Xinyi District, Taipei City. Two domains of the age-friendly city, housing and community support and health services, were found to be associated with reduced loneliness, and one domain, respect and social inclusion, was associated with decreased depression. The age-friendliness–loneliness–depression mechanism was supported in the internet users.

To answer the first research question on the impact of the age-friendly city domains on loneliness and depression, housing and community support and health services were found to be negatively associated with loneliness, whereas respect and social inclusion were negatively associated with depression. These results

Table 2: (Continued)

| Domains of age-friendly city | Item | Weight | SE  | t-value | P value | VIF  |
|-----------------------------|------|--------|-----|---------|---------|------|
| Community support and health services | H1. Health promotion activity | 0.342 | 0.047 | 7.313 | 0.000 | 1.392 |
|                              | H2. Health check-up | 0.211 | 0.042 | 5.008 | 0.000 | 1.131 |
|                              | H3. Age-friendly health center | 0.335 | 0.046 | 7.346 | 0.000 | 1.440 |
|                              | H4. Convenient medical care accessibility | 0.262 | 0.080 | 3.272 | 0.001 | 2.870 |
|                              | H5. Convenient prescribed medicine | −0.061 | 0.079 | 0.766 | 0.444 | 2.750 |
|                              | H6. Preventing suicidal | 0.049 | 0.040 | 1.212 | 0.226 | 1.522 |
|                              | H7. Family violence protection | 0.214 | 0.045 | 4.706 | 0.000 | 1.372 |
|                              | H8. Pharmacy satisfaction for purchasing masks during COVID-19 | 0.044 | 0.047 | 0.936 | 0.350 | 1.285 |
|                              | H9. COVID-19 consultation | 0.168 | 0.045 | 3.711 | 0.000 | 1.506 |

VIF, variance inflation factor; AED, automated external defibrillator.
concur with those of Adams et al. (Adams et al., 2004), who found that entirely different environmental factors predicted loneliness and depression. Functional housing and a supportive community may contribute to network socialization and result in reduced loneliness, while social inclusion makes older people feel that they fit in and comfortable when socializing with community help in reducing depression.

To answer the second research question on the moderating role of internet use in the age-friendliness–
loneliness–depression mediation model, the results support a moderated mediation relationship, indicating that the age-friendliness–loneliness–depression mechanism is indeed true for older people who use the internet. This finding is in line with those of Park et al. (Park et al., 2021) and Domènech-Abella et al. (Domènech-Abella et al., 2021). An age-friendly environment increases person–environment fit, resulting in less anxiety and freeing older people by giving them peace of mind for physical and mental activities that make them happy (Lawton and Nahemow, 1973), leading to reduced loneliness and depression risk. Despite our study using different numbers and different indicators to measure age-friendly city domains compared with previous studies, the age-friendliness–loneliness–depression mechanism is still supported.

In addition, the conditional role of internet use in the age-friendliness–loneliness–depression mechanism is supported, underscoring the crucial role of internet use. Our results support previous findings that internet use enhances mental health in older people (Yuan, 2020) along with their psychological well-being (Fang et al., 2018) while reducing loneliness (Fokkema and Knipscheer, 2007) and depression (Lifshitz et al., 2018). Our results indicate that internet use is a significant moderator in reducing loneliness, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. Since efforts to prevent loneliness may indirectly help prevent depression, ideas to reduce older people’s boredom should be adopted, for instance, informal helping networks such as neighborhood walking groups, reading or game groups (Scharlach, 2017) and ‘befriending schemes’ in which volunteers visit socially isolated older people in the community every week (Andrews et al., 2003). In fact, the same survey of Xinyi District, Taipei, was conducted again from September to October 2021. Taiwan experienced a lockdown from May to August 2021, and most community-based services and activities were canceled during the lockdown. The older people in Xinyi District scored lower in satisfaction in all domains of the age-friendly city, except internet use, and satisfaction with the smart city policy increased compared with 2020. Most older people are also willing to attend online activities if health centers help them learn (Xinyi District Health Center and Taipei City Government, 2021). This means that the internet became even more important than other physical community-based services of age-friendliness during the pandemic lockdown. Therefore, empowering older people to use the internet developing online services or activities by health centers or community centers are necessary to respond to pandemics such as COVID-19.

This study contributes to the literature in three ways. First, it extends the ecological theory of person–environment fit by testing its applicability to mitigating loneliness and depression risk in older people in Taipei City. We find that two domains of an age-friendly city (housing and community support and health services) significantly reduce loneliness, while one domain (respect and social inclusion) reduces depression. This indicates that initiatives to increase the fit between older people’s competency and environmental demands via age-friendly guidelines indeed reduce mental health problems, providing support to the ecological theory of person–environment fit that matching personal competency and environmental demands promotes positive outcomes among older people (Lawton and Nahemow, 1973). Second, this study uncovers the underlying mechanism and moderator of how the age-friendliness of a city reduces mental health problems. We find that age-friendly initiatives managed to mitigate loneliness and depression only in older people who use the internet. Deeper investigation into internet use behavior finds that older people use the internet to check transport schedules, health center services, health knowledge and the COVID-19 situation and to communicate with community leaders via the LINE chat group, the most popular social media used in Taiwan (Xinyi District Health Center and Taipei City Government, 2020). Internet connectivity not only allows older people to enjoy age-friendly services virtually but also enhances their chance to socialize with community members, which greatly reduces boredom and loneliness while spending more time at home during the COVID-19 pandemic. Third, this study contributes to information communication technology (ICT) research in older people. ICT intervention for the well-being of older people is much needed and may work, especially during a pandemic, when face-to-face interaction is minimal. This is because older people’s motivation to learn ICT may increase during such periods.

There are several limitations of this study. First, it used a cross-sectional approach, and therefore, it did not find a causal relationship. Second, the sample was conducted by purposive sampling, and these data were only from the Xinyi District of Taipei City. Thus, the results may not be generalizable to Taipei City as a whole. Third, although multiple items measured age-friendly city domains and depression, only a single measurement item applied to loneliness, which compromised its ability to capture various manifestations of loneliness. Future studies might consider using multiple items to measure loneliness.
CONCLUSION

This study investigates the impact of eight age-friendly domains in mitigating loneliness and depression. Some domains (housing, community support and health services, respect and social inclusion) of an age-friendly city are negatively related to loneliness and depression but overall manage to alleviate loneliness, leading to reduced depression risk in older people who use the internet. Taipei's age-friendly initiatives as a whole are found to be effective in mitigating loneliness and depression in older people who use the internet. The following are suggestions for age-friendly city policies. First, the mean score for housing was lowest among the eight age-friendly city domains. The government should work closely with developers in initiating affordable and age-friendly housing projects with appropriate infrastructure to support older people in urban areas. Second, community support and health services could be improved by making geriatric clinics or health centers more accessible for older adults so they can obtain physical and mental health advice whenever needed. Mental health should not be undervalued. Regular check-ups should incorporate more mental health testing in addition to physical health evaluation procedures. Third, businesses could make older people feel more included by providing paid employment to needy groups and allocating a sufficient number of benches within and outside business premises. Community leaders and non-governmental organizations could create social activities within small neighborhood communities to promote intergenerational interaction in which older people could be engaged to mentor young people in relevant areas. Fourth, awareness campaign posters or TV messages could be aired from time to time as reminders. Fifth, the internet infrastructure is well developed in Taipei. Health centers or community centers should help older people learn how to use the internet and attend online meetings or use mental health apps. Then, the authorities may hold online programs or activities to provide age-friendly services even during the pandemic. A remote health consultation is also suggested.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is available at Health Promotion International online.

FUNDING

This research was funded by the Fellowship Program of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Taiwan, and by Universiti Putra Malaysia.

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD STATEMENT

The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Taipei Medical University Joint Institutional Review Board (No. N202010030).

REFERENCES

Adams, K. B., Sanders, S. and Auth, E. A. (2004) Loneliness and depression in independent living retirement communities: risk and resilience factors. Aging & Mental Health, 8, 475–483.
Andrews, G. J., Noel, G., Begley, S. and Brodie, D. (2003) Assisting friendships, combating loneliness: users’ views on a ‘befriending’ scheme. Ageing and Society, 23, 349–362.
Blazer, D. G. (2002) Self-efficacy and depression in late life: a primary prevention proposal. Aging & Mental Health, 6, 315–324.
Brown, L. M. and Schinka, J. A. (2005) Development and initial validation of a 15-item informant version of the Geriatric Depression Scale. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 20, 911–918.
Cao, Q., Dabelko-Schoeny, H. I., White, K. M. and Choi, M. S. (2020) Age-friendly communities and perceived disconnection: the role of built environment and social engagement. Journal of Aging and Health, 32, 937–948.
Dahlbeg, L. (2021) Loneliness during the Covid-19 pandemics. Aging & Mental Health, 25, 1161–1164.
Domènech-Abella, J., Switsers, L., Mundó, J., Dierckxx, E., Dury, S. and De Donder, L. (2021) The association between perceived social and physical environment and mental health among older adults: mediating effects of loneliness. Aging & Mental Health, 25, 962–968.
Fang, Y., Chau, A. K., Wong, A., Fung, H. H. and Woo, J. (2018) Information and communicative technology use enhance psychological well-being of older adults: the roles of age, social connectedness, and frailty status. Aging & Mental Health, 22, 1516–1524.
Fokkema, T. and Knipscheer, K. (2007) Escape loneliness by going digital: a quantitative and qualitative evaluation of a Dutch experiment in using ECT to overcome loneliness among older adults. Aging & Mental Health, 1111, 496–504.
Gibney, S., Zhang, M. and Brennan, C. (2020) Age-friendly environments and psychosocial wellbeing: a study of older urban residents in Ireland. Aging & Mental Health, 24, 2022–2033.
Hair, Jr, J. F. and Howard, M. C., Nitzl, C. (2020) Assessing measurement model quality in PLS-SEM using confirmatory composite analysis. Journal of Business Research, 109, 101–110.
Hayes, A. F. (2013) Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis. Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach Edn. Guilford Publications, New York, pp. 1–20.
Hsu, H. C. (2020) Associations of city-level active aging and age friendliness with well-being among older adults aged 55 and
Kim, K., Burnette, D., An, S., Lee, M. and Cho, S. (2022) Geographic proximity to neighbourhood resources and depression among older adults in South Korea. *Aging & Mental Health*, 26, 26–32.

Lawton, M. P. (1985) The elderly in context: perspectives from environmental psychology and gerontology. *Environment and Behavior*, 17, 501–519.

Lawton, M. P. and Nahemow, L. (1973) Ecology and the aging process. In Eisdorfer, C. and Lawton, M. P. (eds), *Psychology of Adult Development and Aging* (pp. 619–674). American Psychological Association, Washington.

Lei, P. and Feng, Z. (2021) Age-friendly neighbourhoods and depression among older people in China: evidence from China Family Panel Studies. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 286, 187–196.

Lifshitz, R., Nimrod, G. and Bachner, Y. G. (2018) Internet use and well-being in later life: a functional approach. *Aging & Mental Health*, 22, 85–91.

Liu, D., Xi, J., Hall, B. J., Fu, M., Zhang, B., Guo, J. et al. (2020) Attitudes toward aging, social support and depression among older adults: difference by urban and rural areas in China. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 274, 85–92.

Ma, M., Wang, S., Wu, F., (2021) Covid-19 prevalence and well-being: lessons from East Asia. In Helliwell, J. F., Layard, R., Sachs, J. De Neve, J. E., Aknin, L. B., and Wang, S. (eds). *World Happiness Report 2021*. Sustainable Development Solutions Network, New York, pp. 57–90.

Ministry of Health and Welfare, Taiwan, R.O.C. (2022) Timeline Covid-19. https://covid19.mohw.gov.tw/en/sp-timeline0-206.html. (last accessed 13 January 2022).

Nicolaisen, M. and Thorsen, K. (2014) Who are lonely? Loneliness in different age groups (18–81 years old), using two measures of loneliness. *International Journal of Aging & Human Development*, 78, 229–257.

O’Brien, R. M. (2007) A caution regarding rules of thumb for variance inflation factors. *Quality & Quantity*, 41, 673–690.

Organization for Economic Co-operation Development (OECD). (1994) *Caring for Frail Elderly People: New Directions in Care*. OECD, Paris.

Pan, H., Liu, Y. and Chen, Y. (2021) The health effect of perceived built environment on depression of elderly people in rural China: moderation by income. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, 29, 185–193.

Park, S. Y., Kim, M. and Chung, S. (2021) Age-friendly environments and depressive symptoms among Korean adults: the mediating effects of loneliness. *Aging & Mental Health*, 25, 1060–1070.

Quach, L. T. and Burr, J. A. (2020) Perceived social isolation, social disconnectedness and falls: the mediating role of depression. *Aging & Mental Health*, 25, 1029–1034.

Scharlach, A. E. (2017) Aging in context: individual and environmental pathways to aging-friendly communities—The 2015 Matthew A. Pollack Award Lecture. *The Gerontologist*, 57, 606–618.

Schwartz, E., Khalaila, R. and Litwin, H. (2019) Contact frequency and cognitive health among older adults in Israel. *Aging & Mental Health*, 23, 1008–1016.

Shiozivetz-Ezza, S. and Ayalon, L. (2012) Use of direct versus indirect approaches to measure loneliness in later life. *Research on Aging*, 34, 572–591.

Torres, J. L., Braga, L. D. S., Moreira, B. D. S., Sabino Castro, C. M., Vaz, C. T., Andrade, A. C. D. S. et al. (2021) Loneliness and social disconnectedness in the time of pandemic period among Brazilians: evidence from the ELSI COVID-19 initiative. *Aging & Mental Health*. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2021.1913479.

Victor, C. R., Bowling, A. and Scambler, S. (2009) *The Social World of Older People: Understanding Loneliness and Social Isolation in Later Life (Growing Older)*. Open University, Berkshire, England, UK.

Wang, C. J., Ng, C. Y. and Brook, R. H. (2020) Response to COVID-19 in Taiwan: big data analytics, new technology, and proactive testing. *Journal of American Medical Association*, 23, 1341–1342.

World Health Organization (WHO). (2007). *Global Age-Friendly Cities: A Guide*. World Health Organization, Geneva.

World Health Organization (WHO). (2015). *Measuring the Age-Friendliness of Cities: A Guide to Using Core Indicators*. World Health Organization, Geneva.

Xinyi District Health Center, Taipei City Government. (2019) *The 2019 Report of the Survey of Needs Assessment for a Safe Community and Age-Friendly City for the Xinyi District, Taipei City*. The Xinyi District Health Center, Taipei. [in Chinese]

Xinyi District Health Center, Taipei City Government. (2020) *The 2020 Report of the Survey of Needs Assessment for a Safe Community and Age-Friendly City for the Xinyi District, Taipei City*. The Xinyi District Health Center, Taipei. [in Chinese]

Xinyi District Health Center, Taipei City Government. (2021) *The 2021 Report of the Survey of Needs Assessment for a Safe Community and Age-Friendly City for the Xinyi District, Taipei City*. The Xinyi District Health Center, Taipei. [in Chinese]

Yang, Y., Langellier, B. A., Stankov, I., Purtle, J., Nelson, K. L. and Diez Roux, A. V. (2019) Examining the possible impact of daily transport on depression among older adults using an agent-based model. *Aging & Mental Health*, 23, 743–751.

Yuan, H. (2020) Internet use and mental health problems among older people in Shanghai, China: the moderating roles of chronic diseases and household income. *Aging & Mental Health*, 23, 657–663.

Zhifeng, W. and Yin, R. (2021) The influence of greenspace characteristics and building configuration on depression in the elderly. *Building and Environment*, 188, 107477.