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Abstract

A Dialogue State Tracker (DST) is a core component of a modular task-oriented dialogue system. Tremendous progress has been made in recent years. However, the major challenges remain. The state-of-the-art accuracy for DST is below 50% for a multi-domain dialogue task. A learnable DST for any new domain requires a large amount of labeled in-domain data and training from scratch. In this paper, we propose a Meta-Reinforced Multi-Domain State Generator (MERET). Our first contribution is to improve the DST accuracy. We enhance a neural model based DST generator with a reward manager, which is built on policy gradient reinforcement learning (R-L) to fine-tune the generator. With this change, we are able to improve the joint accuracy of DST from 48.79% to 50.91% on the MultiWOZ corpus. Second, we explore to train a DST meta-learning model with a few domains as source domains and a new domain as target domain. We apply the model-agnostic meta-learning (MAML) algorithm to DST and the obtained meta-learning model is used for new domain adaptation. Our experimental results show this solution is able to outperform the traditional training approach with extremely less training data in target domain.

1 Introduction

A Dialogue State Tracker (DST) is a core component of a modular task-oriented dialogue system (Young et al., 2013). For each dialogue turn, a DST module takes the user utterance and the dialogue history as input, and outputs a belief estimate of the dialogue state. The dialogue state as of today is simplified as a set of requests and goals, both of which are represented as (slot, value) pairs such as (area, centre), (food, Chinese) for a user request. I’m looking for a Chinese restaurant in the centre of the city. A highly accurate DST is crucial to ensure the quality and smoothness of a human-machine dialogue.

Budzianowski et al. (2018) recently introduced a multi-domain dialogue dataset Multi-domain Wizard-of-Oz (MultiWOZ), which is more than one order of magnitude larger than all previous annotated task-oriented corpora with around 10k dialogues and involves more than 7 domains. A domain of a task-oriented system is often defined by an ontology, which defines all entity attributes called slots and all possible values for each slot. MultiWOZ presents conversation scenarios much similar to those in real industrial applications. Figure 1 shows an example of a multi-domain dialogue, where a user starts a conversation about hotel reservation and moves on to look for attractions nearby.
of his interest. It adds a layer of complexity to the DST and brings new challenges.

The first new challenge is how to appropriately model DST for a multi-domain dialogue task. Multi-domain DST is in its infancy before MultiWOZ (Rastogi et al., 2017). Most previous work on DST focus on one given domain (Henderson et al., 2013, 2014; Mrkšić et al., 2017; Zhong et al., 2018; Korpusik and Glass, 2018; Liu et al., 2019). As Wu et al. (2019) pointed out, to process the MultiWOZ data, the DST model has to determine a triplet \((\text{domain, slot, value})\) instead of a pair \((\text{slot, value})\) at each turn of dialogue. MultiWOZ contains 30 \((\text{domain, slot})\) pairs over 4,500 possible slot values in total. The prediction space is significantly larger. This change seems quantitative. However, it challenges the foundation of most successful DST models, where DST is casted as a neural model based classification problem, each \((\text{slot, value})\) pair is an independent class and the number of classes is relatively limited. When the number of classes is large enough as the case in MultiWOZ, classification-based approaches are not applicable. In real industry scenarios, the prediction space is even larger and it is often not possible to have full ontology available in advance (Xu and Hu, 2018). It’s hard to enumerate all possible values for each slot. The second challenge is how to model the commonality and differences among domains. The number of domains is unlimited in real-life. It won’t be able to scale up if each new domain requires a large amount of annotated data.

To overcome these challenges, Wu et al. (2019) proposed a TRAnsferable Dialogue state generator (TRADE) that generates dialogue states from utterances using a copy mechanism, facilitating knowledge transfer between domains. The prominent difference from previous one-domain DST models is that TRADE is based on a generation approach instead of a close-set classification approach. The generation model parameters are shared among various domains and slots. TRADE is able to help boost the DST accuracy up to 48.62% with the MultiWOZ corpus. It is obvious this accuracy is far from being acceptable.

In this paper, we are motivated to enhance this generation-based approach for two objectives, higher accuracy and better domain adaptability. To improve DST accuracy, we propose a new framework which contains the state generator and reward manager. The state generator follows the same setup of TRADE. The Reward Manager calculates the reward to fine-tune the generator through policy gradient reinforcement learning (PGRL). We use the reward manager to help the generator alleviate the objective mismatch challenge. Objective mismatch is a limitation of encoder-decoder generation approaches, where the training process is set to maximize the log likelihood, but it doesn’t assure producing the best results on discrete evaluation metrics such as the DST accuracy. Since MultiWOZ provides data for multiple domains, it enables us to study the long-standing domain adaptability problem. It is a hope we can train a general DST model from multi-domain data and this model can be adapted to a new domain with minimal examples from a new domain. We apply the meta-learning algorithm, MAML, for this study. Our key contributions in this paper are as follows:

- We propose a new framework as the DST model, which contains a neural model based DST generator and a reward manager.
- With our proposal, we are able to improve the joint accuracy of DST from 48.79% to 50.91%, which is 2.12% absolute improvement over the latest state-of-the-art on the MultiWOZ corpus.
- We apply MAML to train a meta-learning DST model with a few domains as the training domains and a new domain as the testing domain. Our experimental results show this solution is able to outperform the traditional training approach with only 30% of the in-domain training data.
- To our knowledge, we are the first to apply RL and MAML into DST.

2 Model MERET

The overview of our model is illustrated in Figure 2. It consists of a generator model and a reward manager.

2.1 The Generator

In this paper, we take TRADE as our baseline. The TRADE model comprises three components: (1) an utterance encoder, (2) a context-enhanced slot classifier, (3) a state generator. We briefly describe the TRADE model in this Section.

The utterance encoder encodes dialogue utterances into a sequence of fixed-length vectors.
TRADE uses Bi-GRU (Chung et al., 2014), to encode. Instead of initializing by concatenating GloVe embeddings (Pennington et al., 2014), our model explore to use BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) as embedding model. We denote a sequence of dialogue turns as a matrix $X_t = [U_{t-1}, R_{t-1}, ..., U_k, R_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{|X_t| \times d_{emb}}$, where $l$ is the length of the dialogue history selected, $U$ is the user turn, $R$ represents the system response and $d_{emb}$ indicates the turn-level embedding size. The encoder encodes $X_t$ into a hidden matrix $H_t = [h_t^{enc}, ..., h_{|X_t|}^{enc}] \in \mathbb{R}^{|X_t| \times d_{hid}}$, $d_{hid}$ is the hidden size.

The state generator uses GRUs as the decoder, which takes the embedding of the $j$th (domain, slot) pair as well as the $k$th word as input and outputs a hidden vector $h_{j,k}^{dec}$ at the $k$th decoding step. This hidden vector is then mapped to distribution over the vocabulary $V$ and over the dialogue history as shown in Eq (1).

$$P_{j,k}^{vocab} = \text{Softmax}(E \cdot (h_{j,k}^{dec})^\top) \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

$$P_{j,k}^{history} = \text{Softmax}(H_t \cdot (h_{j,k}^{dec})^\top) \in \mathbb{R}^{|X_t|}$$

These two distributions are combined as Eq (2) as the final results,

$$P_{j,k}^{final} = P_{j,k}^{gen} \times P_{j,k}^{vocab} + (1 - P_{j,k}^{gen}) \times P_{j,k}^{history}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

The context-enhanced slot classifier takes as input $H_t$ and classifies it into one of the three classes: $ptr$, $none$, $dontcare$. With a linear layer parameterized by $W_g \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times d_{hid}}$, the slot classifier for the $j$th (domain, slot) pair is defined as

$$G_j = \text{Softmax}(W_g \cdot (P_{j}^{history} \cdot H_t)^\top) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

If this slot classifier determines $none$ or $dontcare$, the system ignores any output from the state generator.

**Optimization** is performed jointly for both the state generator and the slot classifier. The cross-entropy loss is used for both, with $L_s$ representing the loss for the slot classifier and $L_g$ for the generator. They are combined with hyper-parameters $\eta$ and $\sigma$.

$$L_{mix} = \eta L_s + \sigma L_g \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

### 2.2 A Reward Manager

Generally, the cross-entropy loss is used to train a generator. In our task, the true words $Y_{j}^{label}$ is used and the cross-entropy loss can be defined as:

$$loss_g = - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{k=1}^{|Y_j|} \log \left(P_{j,k}^{final} \cdot (y_{j,k}^{label})^\top\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

where $y_{j,k}^{label}$ is the ground truth of the value word for the $j$th (domain, slot) pair.

In this paper, we propose a RL-based Reward Manager to work the generator. The Reward Manager is used for calculating the reward to fine-tune the Generator through PGRL.
The specific modeling process of reinforcement learning adaptation for DST task is summarized in Algorithm 1: We treat the Generator as the target agent to be trained. The agent interacts with an external environment (utterances, domains, slots and reward manager) by taking actions and receiving environment state and reward. The actions are the choices of tokens for slot value that generates for any given (domain, slot) pair. The action space is the vocabulary. Following each action, the reward manager calculates a reward by comparing the generated token to the corresponding ground-truth token. When reaching the last decoding step, the agent updates its parameters towards maximizing the expected reward. RL loss is defined as follows:

$$ L_{rl} = - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{k=1}^{Y_j} r(y_{jk}) \log \left( P_{final}(y_{jk}) \right) \quad (6) $$

where $y_{jk}$ is a token sampled from the vocabulary probability distribution and $r(y_{jk})$ means the reward for the sampled token $y_{jk}$, computed by a reward function. Intuitively, the loss function $L_{rl}$ enlarges the probability of the sampled $y_{jk}$ if it obtains a higher reward for the $k$th token in $j$th (domain, slot) pair.

We also define a combined loss function:

$$ L = \mu L_{rl} + \lambda L_{mix} \quad (7) $$

where $L_{rl}$ is defined as the reinforcement learning loss, $L_{mix}$ is the cross-entropy loss from TRADE, $\mu$ and $\lambda$ are the combined hyper-parameters. Algorithm 1 shows how this method works.

3 MAML-adaptive DST

The traditional paradigm of supervised learning is to train a model for a specific task with plenty of annotated data. Meta-learning aims at learning new tasks with few steps and little data based on existing tasks. MAML (Finn et al., 2017) is the most popular meta-learning algorithm. It has been successfully employed in various tasks. We propose to apply MAML to perform dialogue state tracking for new domains. The MAML algorithm tries to build an internal representation of multiple tasks and maximize the sensitivity of the loss function when applied to new tasks, so that small update of parameters could lead to large improvement of new task loss value. In this paper, we explore how it works with DST, a key component in task-oriented dialogue systems.

Algorithm 1 REINFORCE algorithm

Input: Dialogue history sequence $X$, ground-truth output slot value sequences $Y$, a pre-trained model $\pi_\theta$.

Output: Trained model $\pi_{\theta'}$ with REINFORCE algorithm.

1: **Training Steps:**
2: Initialize $\pi_\theta$ with random weights $\theta$;
3: Pre-train $\pi_\theta$ using cross-entropy loss of generator and classifier on dataset $(X, Y)$;
4: Initialize $\pi_{\theta'} = \pi_\theta$.
5: **while not done**
6: Select a batch of size $N$ from $X$ and $Y$;
7: for each slot do
8: Sample $\{Y_s = (y_{s1}, \cdots, y_{s|Y_j|})\}_1^N$ from the final probability distribution of vocabulary;
9: Compute reward $\{r(y_{s1}), \cdots, r(y_{s|Y_j|})\}_1^N$ defined in the Reward Manager;
10: end for
11: Compute $L_{rl}$ and $L$ using Eq (6) and Eq (7);
12: Update the parameters of network with learning rate $\rho$, $\theta' \leftarrow \theta' + \rho \nabla_{\theta} L_{\theta'}$;
13: end while
14: **Testing Steps:**
15: for batch of $X$ and $Y$ do
16: Generate the output $\hat{Y}$;
17: end for
18: return The evaluated model $\pi_{\theta'}$;

MAML is compatible for any model training based on gradient descent. We can denote the baseline model as $M$. Training a typical gradient descent model $M$ involves (1) providing training data and initializing parameters of $M$; (2) computing a given objective loss; (3) applying gradient descent to the loss to update $M$ parameters. With MAML, the training steps becomes: (1) Initialize $M$ and making $nd$ copies of $M$ to be $M_1'$; (2) Select training data from each domain and updating $M_1'$ parameters based on gradient descent and a loss function; (3) Calculate a loss for each domain with their updated temporary model $M_1'$; (4) Sum up the new loss from each training domain to be a total loss; (5) Update parameters of the original $M$ based on the total loss; (6) Repeat above steps until $M$ converges.

Algorithm 2 shows step-by-step how MAML combines with our model MERET. Suppose we consider $nd$ dialogue domains, we take $ntr$ do-
mains as source domains for meta-training and nts domains as target domains for meta-testing. For each source domain, we divide the source domain data into \( D^\text{train}_d \) as the support dataset and \( D^\text{valid}_d \) as the query dataset, \( d \) is the domain index. \( \alpha, \beta \) are two hyper-parameters for MAML, \( \alpha \) as the learning rate for each domain and \( \beta \) as the learning rate for meta-learning update.

There are two cycles. The outer cycle is for meta-learning, updating model parameters of \( M \). The inner cycle is for task learning, updating the temporary model \( M'_d \) of each domain \( d \). For task learning, we select \( K \) examples from \( D^\text{train}_d \) for each domain \( d \), evaluate the gradient of the loss function as Eq (7), update the parameters \( \theta'_d \) with respect to the \( K \) examples (Step 4). After each domain model is updated once, the \( M \) model parameters are updated using the sum of the loss with respect to \( K' \) examples sampled from each \( D^\text{valid}_d \). Specifically, we sum the loss of \( M'_d \) in each domain to obtain the meta loss \( L_M \),

\[
L_M = \sum_d L_d(M'_d, D^v_d) \tag{8}
\]

Finally, we minimize the meta loss for updating the current model \( M \) until an ideal meta-learned model \( M \) is achieved,

\[
M \leftarrow M - \beta \nabla_M \sum_d L_d(M'_d, D^v_d) \tag{9}
\]

To adapt to a new domain, we start with the meta-learned model \( M \) instead of initializing randomly, new-domain training data is used to update model parameters as multiple batches and the learnt task model is fit for the new domain.

4 Experiments

4.1 Dataset and Evaluation Matrix

In this paper, we use MultiWOZ as our training and testing corpus. MultiWOZ is a fully-labeled collection of human-human written conversations spanning over multiple domains and topics. It contains 8438 multi-turn dialogues with an average 13.7 turns per dialogue. It has 30 \((\text{domain, slot})\) pairs and over 4,500 slot values. We use the most frequent five domains \((\text{restaurant, hotel, attraction, taxi, train})\) in our experiments.

Two common metrics to evaluate DST models are joint goal accuracy and slot accuracy. Joint accuracy measures the accuracy of dialogue states, where a dialogue state is correctly predicted only if all the values of for all the \((\text{domain, slot})\) pairs are correctly predicted. Slot accuracy is the accuracy of the \((\text{domain, slot, value})\) tuples. Joint accuracy is a more challenging metric.

4.2 Implementation Details

For all experiments, we choose Bi-GRU networks with a hidden size of 768 to be the encoder and decoder. The model is optimized using Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2015) with a learning rate of 0.001. We reduce the learning rate to half if the validation loss increases. We set the batch size to 32 and the dropout (Zaremba et al., 2014) rate to 0.2. Different reward functions have been tried through the experiment progress. We choose a binary reward that a positive value is given when the output token equals the target and a punishment otherwise, 1 and -0.1 respectively. We evaluate the model every epoch and adopt early stopping on the validation dataset. In meta-training phase, we set different numbers of updating \( M' \) due to the differences in slot complexity for each domain. The model was implemented in the pyTorch.

4.3 Multi-domain Results

Table 1 shows our experimental results with MERET. MERET achieves the joint goal accuracy of 50.91%, which is 2.12% above the latest state-of-the-art DST model COMER and is 2.29% higher than TRADE. Table 1 also shows accuracies of a few latest systems on the same corpus. MERET is also able to obtain the best slot accurac-

---

Algorithm 2 MAML algorithm

Input: \( D^\text{train}_d, D^\text{valid}_d \), \( \alpha, \beta \).

Output: Trained model \( M \) with MAML algorithm.

1: while not done do
2: for each domain \( d \) do
3: Select a batch of size from \( D^\text{train}_d \) and \( D^\text{valid}_d \) to get \( D'_d \) and \( D^v_d \);
4: Pre-update model with gradient descent:
5: Compute \( L_d(M'_d, D^v_d) \) using \( D^v_d \);
6: end for
7: Update the current model \( M \):
8: end while
9: return meta-learned model \( M \);
### DST Models

| DST Models                          | Joint Acc | Slot Acc |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| MultiWOZ Benchmark (Budzianowski et al., 2018) | 25.83     | –        |
| GLAD (Zhong et al., 2018)            | 35.57     | 95.44    |
| HyST (ensemble)(Goel et al., 2019)   | 44.22     | –        |
| TRADE (Wu et al., 2019)              | 48.62     | 96.92    |
| COMER (Ren et al., 2019)             | 48.79     | –        |
| MERET                               | **50.91** | **97.07** |
| -BERT                               | 50.35     | 96.98    |
| -RL                                 | 50.09     | 97.01    |

Table 1: The evaluation of existing multi-domain DSTs on MultiWOZ. MERET has the highest joint accuracy, which surpasses current state-of-the-art model. The baseline for the MultiWOZ dataset is taken from Budzianowski et al. (2018)

### New Domain (Proportion)

| New Domain (Proportion) | Training Model | Joint Acc | Slot Acc |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Taxi (1%)               | Training from scratch | 60.57     | 73.25    |
|                         | Fine-tuning TRADE | 59.03     | 78.65    |
|                         | MERET            | **64.37** | **83.20** |
| Attraction (1%)         | Train from scratch | 27.88     | 63.43    |
|                         | Fine-tuning TRADE | 29.05     | 62.24    |
|                         | MERET            | **43.10** | **74.32** |

Table 2: Evaluation on taxi and attraction new domains. MERET outperforms learning from scratch and TRADE fine-tune with the same data on both new domains.

Figure 3: K-shot results of different experimental settings. Performance of our model surpasses training from scratch on attraction domain with $K=5$.

### 4.4 New Domain Results

To test the effectiveness of MERET, we choose hotel, train and restaurant as the source domains, taxi and attraction as the target domains. For each source domain, we utilize 3000 dialogues on average and 200 dialogues for training and testing. We utilize 30 dialogues (1% of source domain) for training on new domains with the pre-trained model. In our experiments, we conducted comparison studies with three setups, (1) Training a MERET model from scratch using 1% sampled data from each target domain, (2) Meta-training a MERET model using the source domain data and then fine-tuning with 1% sampled data from each target domain, (3) Training a TRADE model using the source domain data and then fine-tuning...
Figure 4: Distributions of different error type for two models’ comparison.

Figure 5: Overview of correct-error rate for multi-domain slots. The book stay slot in hotel domain and name slot in restaurant domain has the highest and lowest correct rate respectively, 98.97% and 91.06% correspondingly.

with 1% sampled data from each target domain. Experimental results are listed in Table 2. MERET achieves substantial higher accuracy, 64.7% joint goal accuracy for the Taxi domain and 43.10% for the Attraction domain, comparing to the other two setups. Similar advantages are obtained for slot accuracies for both target domains.

To explore the K-shot performance of the MERET model, we conduct experiments to measure the impact of the number of training examples from the target domain. We meta-train MERET with source domains and meta-test on the taxi and attraction domain. The number of training samples K from the target domains varies from 1 to 10. We use K = (1, 3, 5, 10) as the testing point. Figure 3 illustrates our experiments. It’s natural that the accuracy increases as the training data increases. We can observe that the accuracy with K = 5 of

4.5 Analysis and Discussion

We analyze the wrong predictions and draw a heat map of distributions for the slot classifier considering the importance of its determining to the final output. From the map in Figure 4, we can see the main cause of the error-maker is the classifier’s inertia of omit-prediction from ptr to none, which stands up to 47.3% proportion. The over-prediction cause comes in the next, with a 27.3% rate. Value on the diagonal of the lower-left corner shows the mis-prediction rate of the generator. Combined with the comparison of the two pictures, we can get the point that our proposed model has a higher generative ability over state value.

An overview correct-error analysis of multi-domain for slots is shown in Figure 5. The number-related slots book stay in hotel domain and book day in restaurant domain have the highest correct rates, 98.97% and 98.94%, respectively. The name-related slots in the restaurant, attraction, and hotel domains have the highest error rates, 8.94%, 7.36%, and 7.21%, respectively. It is because these slots usually have a large number of possible values set and high annotation errors. The type slot of hotel domain also keeps a high error rate in different experiments, even if it is an easy task with only two possible values in the ontology. The reason is that labels of the (hotel, type) pair are usually missing in the dataset. We further show the performance of our model over different dialogue turn in Figure 6. As the number of dialogue turn increases,
User: I’m looking for a jamaican restaurant in the east.

System: There are no jamaican restaurants in the east. Would you like to try another food type or area?

User: I’m looking for a place that serves jamaican food in the east. If not, Italian will do.

System: There is one Italian place in the east, Pizza Hut Fen Ditton.

### TRADE prediction:
{ (restaurant, area, east), (restaurant, food, jamaican) }

### MERET prediction:
{ (restaurant, area, east), (restaurant, food, italian) }

Table 3: Case study for state Generator. We can find that with the same context, MERET outperforms TRADE in terms of state generation for DST.

the influence of context gradually appears for the final results due to the abilities of different models. We can see that MERET outperforms TRADE gradually. This is especially true when the context length is long. Our model can carry information over multiple turns which will be used for state generator with the help of RL maximizing rewards expectations in a better way. We sample one typical dialogue from MultiWOZ to demonstrate the effectiveness of MERET in the case study. Due to limited space, we present the same key parts derived from two models and the details are shown in Table 3. We observe that the constraint for food slot is dynamic and MERET is sensitive to capture this context information with the advantage of RL-based fine-tune state Generator, which reinforces in greater exploration for DST and maximizes reward expectation in a better way.

5 Related Work

Mrkšić et al. (2017) propose neural belief tracking (NBT) framework without relying on hand-crafted semantic lexicons. The model uses Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) or Deep Neural Networks (DNN) as dialogue context encoder and makes a binary decision for (slot,value) pairs. Zhong et al. (2018) propose global-local modules to learn representations of the user utterance and system actions and calculate similarity between the contextualized representation and the (slot,value) pair. Xu and Hu (2018) utilize pointer network to track dialogue state, which proposes a conception of unseen states and unknown states earlier. Chao and Lane (2019) use BERT as dialogue context encoder and get contextualized representation, which is passed to the classification module and get three classes: none, dontcare, span. When the class is span, the start and end positions of slot values are obtained in the dialogue context. However, Both Xu and Hu (2018) and Chao and Lane (2019) suffers from the fact that they can not get correct answer when the value does not exist in the input. Wu et al. (2019) propose an approach that the model generates a sequence of value from utterances by copy mechanism, which can avoid the case that the value is not in the input. It also uses a three-way classifier to get a probability distribution over none, dontcare, ptr classes. Ren et al. (2019) achieve state-of-the-art performance on the MultiWOZ dataset by applying a hierarchical encoder-decoder structure for generating a sequence of belief states. The model shares parameters and has a constant inference time complexity.

Reinforcement learning is a way of training an agent during interaction with the environment by maximizing expected reward. The idea of policy gradient algorithm has been applied in training of sequence to sequence model. Ranzato et al. (2016) propose MIXER algorithm, which is the first application of REINFORCE algorithm (Williams, 1992) in training sequence to sequence model. However, an additional model, which is used to predict expected reward, is required in MIXER. Rennie et al. (2017) proposed a self-critical method for sequence training (SCST). It directly optimizes the true, sequence-level, evaluation metric, and avoids the training of expected future rewards estimating model. Paulus et al. (2018) applied SCST in summary generation, which improved the rouge value of generated result. SCST algorithm was also used by Zhao et al. (2018) for improving story ending generation. Keneshloo et al. (2018) present some of the most recent frameworks that combine concepts from RL and deep neural networks and explain how these two areas could benefit from each other in solving complex seq2seq tasks.

Meta-learning aims at learning target tasks with little data based on source tasks. This algorithm is
compatible with any model optimized with gradient descent so that it has a wide range of applicability. Meta-learning has been applied in various fields such as image classification (Santoro et al., 2016; Finn et al., 2017) and robot manipulation (Duan et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016), etc. In the field of natural language processing, some exploratory work (Gu et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2018; Qian and Yu, 2019; Madotto et al., 2019) have been proposed in recent years. Most of them are focused on the generation-related tasks and machine translation. To our knowledge, few related work in dialogue state tracking (DST) was found till now. We propose to apply model-agnostic meta-learning (MAML) (Finn et al., 2017) algorithm for training a DST meta-learning model with a few domains as the training domains and a new domain as the testing domain to achieve multi-domain adaptation.

6 Conclusion

We introduce an end-to-end generative framework with pre-trained language model and copy-mechanism, using RL-based generator to encourage higher semantic relevance in greater exploration space for DST. Experiments on multi-domain dataset show that our proposed model achieves state-of-the-art performance on the DST task, exceeding current best result by over 2%. In addition, we train the dialogue state tracker using multiple single-domain dialogue data with rich-resource by using the MAML. The model is capable of learning a competitive and scalable DST on a new domain with only a few training examples in an efficient manner. Empirical results on MultiWOZ datasets indicate that our solution outperforms non-meta-learning baselines training from scratch, adapting to new few-shot domains with less data and faster convergence rate. In future work, we intend to explore more with the combination of RL and DST on the basis of reward designing, trying to explore more in the internal mechanism. In the long run, we are interested in combing many tasks into one learning process with meta-learning.
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