Association of Serum PSP/REG Iα with Renal Function in Pregnant Women
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Pancreatic stone protein/regenerating protein Iα (PSP/REG Iα) is a secretory protein produced in the pancreas, but its expression has also been observed in the kidney. It may be associated with kidney dysfunction. This study investigates the possible association between PSP/REG Iα and kidney function in pregnant women. Serum PSP/REG Iα levels were measured by a specific ELISA enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Maternal information and clinical and biochemical parameters were collected. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated for all individuals to evaluate their renal function. Spearman’s correlation and multiple linear regression analyses were performed to assess the associations between PSP/REG Iα and eGFR, serum creatinine (Cr), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and uric acid (UA). A total of 595 pregnant women were enrolled in the study. Participants with mildly reduced eGFR had higher PSP/REG Iα levels [50.49 (35.02, 58.64)] than in the general population [26.84 (21.02, 33.07)] (p < 0.001). Included participants were stratified into PSP/REG Iα quartiles; significant differences were observed in the levels of eGFR, serum Cr, BUN, and UA. PSP/REG Iα was negatively correlated with eGFR (r = −0.402, p < 0.001) and positively associated with serum Cr (r = 0.468, p < 0.001), BUN (r = 0.166, p < 0.001), and UA (r = 0.207, p < 0.001). The linear regression analysis indicated that PSP/REG Iα was associated with UA, BUN, and eGFR. High PSP/REG Iα concentrations were closely associated with renal dysfunction in pregnant women. Our study provides clinical evidence that serum PSP/REG Iα levels could be a novel biomarker for assessment of renal function in pregnant women.

1. Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a global public health concern because of its increasing incidence and prevalence. The prevalence of CKD is approximately 3% in women of childbearing age. Few studies investigated kidney disease during pregnancy [1, 2]. Women with renal disease are at a greater risk for maternal and fetal complications, including miscarriage, preterm delivery, preeclampsia, and fetal hypotrophy [3–5]. Moreover, pregnant women with a mild increase in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) possess a higher risk of adverse events than those without kidney disease [1, 6]. Although serum creatinine is a most important predictor for outcomes of pregnancy in CKD women, it is insensitive to mild and moderate renal impairment [7, 8]. Additionally, estimated GFR (eGFR) may likewise be unreliable in certain populations [9]. Given the scarcity of adequately sensitive and specific markers of kidney function in pregnant women, sensitive indicators should be identified to optimize clinical practice.

Regenerating (REG) family proteins are structurally similar proteins belonging to the calcium-dependent (C-type) lectin superfamily. In humans, REG family has five functional proteins, REG Iα, REG Iβ, HIP/PAP, REG III, and REG IV. Pancreatic stone protein (PSP) is a product of REG Iα gene; sequence comparison later revealed that REG Iα and PSP proteins are identical [10]. Pancreatic stone protein/regenerating Iα (PSP/REG Iα) was originally identified as a 16 kDa polypeptide in pancreatic stones [10]. It has been studied mainly in the pancreas and was prominently upregulated in...
acute or chronic pancreatitis [11]. In addition, it appeared to promote regeneration of islets by induction of cellular proliferation [12]. PSP/REG Iα was also found in the urine and renal calculi of healthy individuals [13, 14], suggesting a physiological role of PSP/REG Iα in the kidney. Sobajima et al. [15] reported that urinary PSP/REG Iα and renal calculi of healthy individuals [13, 14], suggesting a physiological role of PSP/REG Iα in the kidney. Nevertheless, limited information is available regarding the association between serum PSP/REG Iα and renal disease [16, 17]. Nevertheless, limited information is available regarding the association between serum PSP/REG Iα and renal function in pregnant women. Identification of such a serologic marker may provide new approaches for the prevention of progressive renal insufficiency in pregnancy. Therefore, we aimed to investigate the association between serum PSP/REG Iα levels and renal function in pregnant women.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Subjects. This cross-sectional study of 595 pregnant women was conducted at the Zhongda Hospital Affiliated with Southeast University in Nanjing, China. The study was approved by the hospital ethics committee (2016ZDSYLL076-P01), and experimental methods were performed strictly in accordance with the approved guidelines. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Pregnant women were recruited before their delivery from March 2016 to December 2017. Pregnant women aged 20–55 years were included in the study. The exclusion criteria included the following: maternal age of less than 20 years and more than 55 years, diagnosis of gestational diabetes, autoimmune diseases prior to pregnancy, prepregnancy cardiovascular diseases, and severe hepatic disorders before pregnancy.

2.2. Data Collection and Quality Assessment. We collected information on demographics, maternal age, education and employment, gestational weight and height, last menstruation, pregnancy and obstetrical history, hypertension, and macrosomia in previous pregnancies. From each pregnant woman, 5 ml of peripheral blood was collected and centrifuged directly for 6 min at 3,000 g. The obtained serum was immediately frozen in sterile tubes at −80°C. Other clinical biochemical parameters, such as fasting blood glucose (FBG), total cholesterol, triglyceride, low-density lipoprotein, high-density lipoprotein, Cr, uric acid (UA), and blood urea nitrogen (BUN), were measured using the standard methods. The Laboratory Center of Zhongda Hospital conducts internal and external quality control procedures directed by the Chinese Laboratory Quality Control. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the following formula: BMI = body weight (kg)/body height (m²). eGFR was calculated using the modified CKD Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation for Asians [18]. The following formula was used: GFR (ml/min/1.73 m²) = 141 × min (SCR/0.7, 1)⁻₀.₃₂⁹ × max (SCR/0.7, 1)⁻₁.₂⁰⁸ × 0.99₃⁵⁹× 1.018. Kidney function was classified, using the method proposed by the National Kidney Foundation, into three categories: normal (eGFR ≥ 90 ml/min/1.73 m²), mildly reduced (eGFR = 60 ml/min/1.73 m² to 89 ml/min/1.73 m²), and moderately–severely reduced (eGFR = 15 ml/min/1.73 m² to 59 ml/min/1.73 m²) [8].

2.3. PSP/REG Iα Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA). PSP/REG Iα level was determined using an isoform specific ELISA, as previously described [19]. The serum collected from patients was incubated in plates precoated with guinea pig anti-human recombinant PSP/REG Iα antibody. Rabbit anti-human PSP/REG Iα was added to the plate which was then subjected to detection with phosphatase-conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G. The detection limit was < 0.1 ng/ml, and the interplate variance was < 10%. The antibodies used in this assay are specific for human PSP/REG Iα with no known cross reactivities to recombinant human REG proteins. The PSP/REG Iα ELISA is covered by a patent governed by international law.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analyses were performed by SPSS 19.0 software. Continuous variables were indicated as means ± SDs if the continuous variables followed normal distribution. Nonnormally distributed values were presented as median (interquartile range [IQR]). Significant differences were assessed by either the Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test or one-way ANOVA. Correlations between PSP/REG Iα and clinical parameters were determined using Pearson’s correlation analysis or Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient, whichever was appropriate. Multiple linear regression analysis was also performed to assess the potential association between PSP/REG Iα, as dependent variable, and the value of each kidney function indicator by entering age, BMI, and FBG, as independent variables in the model. All tests were two-sided, and values at p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Description of the Study Population. All of the initial 597 participants were subjected to PSP/REG Iα measurement, and about 595 of them were analyzed for serum Cr, BUN, and UA. Finally, 595 pregnant women were included in the study. Analysis with the CKD-EPI equation indicated that 583 participants (97.98%) had normal eGFR and 12 participants (2.02%) had mildly reduced eGFR. In the total population, the median (IQR) concentration of PSP/REG Iα was 26.95 (21.24–33.28) ng/ml, and the participants with mildly reduced eGFR had higher PSP/REG Iα levels [50.49 (35.02, 58.64) ng/ml] than in the general population [26.84 (21.02, 33.07) ng/ml]. Subsequently, the study population was stratified into PSP/REG Iα quartiles (Table 1). Pregnant women in quartile IV showed significantly a higher probability of being nullipara of the four groups (p < 0.05). The distribution values of serum Cr, eGFR, BUN, and UA showed significant differences among the quartiles. Moreover, the eGFR significantly decreased from the bottom to the top quartile of PSP/REG Iα.
3.2. Relationships of PSP/REG Ia Levels to Kidney Function Indicators in Pregnant Women. Considering the significant differences in the value distribution of kidney function indicators, we analyzed their correlation with PSP/REG Ia. Spearman’s correlation analysis showed that serum PSP/REG Ia levels were negatively correlated with eGFR (r = −0.402; p < 0.001) and positively associated with serum Cr (r = 0.468, p = 0.001), BUN (r = 0.166, p < 0.001), and UA (r = 0.207, p < 0.001) (Figure 1). In addition, a partial correlation was found between PSP/REG Ia level and eGFR after adjusting for UA and BUN levels. A moderate correlation was also observed between PSP/REG Ia and eGFR (r = −0.330, p < 0.001).

To further investigate the correlation between PSP/REG Ia and renal function indicators, we performed subgroup analysis according to PSP/REG Ia quartiles. PSP/REG Ia was moderately correlated with Cr in quartiles III (r = 0.237, p = 0.004) and IV (r = 0.412, p = 0.009) and with eGFR in quartiles III (r = −0.213, p < 0.001) and IV (r = −0.355, p < 0.001) (Table 2).

3.3. Multiple Linear Regression Model. Age, BMI, FBG, UA, BUN, and eGFR were used in the multiple regression equation. In this model, UA (β = 0.022, p = 0.001), BUN (β = 1.040, p = 0.036), and eGFR (β = −0.288, p < 0.001) were all independent predictors of PSP/REG Ia. Participants with elevated UA and BUN and decreased eGFR would have higher PSP/REG Ia concentrations (Table 3).

4. Discussion

The present study analyzed associations between PSP/REG Ia and renal function in a cross-sectional study of 595 pregnant women. To date, such associations have not been clearly understood. We revealed that high PSP/REG Ia concentrations exhibit moderate association with unfavourable renal function in pregnant women. This study provides clinical evidence that serum PSP/REG Ia level may be a suitable marker for assessment of renal function in pregnant women.

Studies of kidney disease during pregnancy classify women primarily on the basis of their serum Cr levels. However, the accuracy of using serum Cr level as a marker of renal function is low due to its nonlinear association with eGFR; that is, such association varies with age, sex, race, and lean body mass [7]. A previous study categorized...
### Table 1: Maternal characteristics and clinical characteristics stratified according to quartiles of PSP/REG Iα in pregnant women.

| Quartiles of PSP/REG Iα | I (n=148) | II (n=149) | III (n=149) | IV (n=149) | p-value |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Maternal age (years)    | 30.96 ± 4.54 | 30.59 ± 4.80 | 30.77 ± 4.70 | 31.12 ± 4.85 | 0.781   |
| Education (years)       | 14.88 ± 2.29 | 14.88 ± 2.70 | 15.33 ± 2.07 | 15.07 ± 2.47 | 0.304   |
| ≤ 12a                  | 14(9.40) | 15(10.00) | 39(26.17) | 41(27.52) | 0.001   |
| 12–16b                 | 122(81.88) | 129(86.00) | 100(67.11) | 88(59.06) |         |
| > 16                   | 13(8.72) | 6(4.00) | 11(7.38) | 19(12.75) | 0.196   |
| Nullipara [%]           | 67(44.97) | 74(49.66) | 61(40.94) | 84(56.38) |         |
| Pregestational BMI (kg/m²) | 21.62 ± 3.60 | 21.91 ± 3.01 | 21.21 ± 2.80 | 21.71 ± 3.22 | 0.277   |
| Family history of hypertension [%] | 11(7.38) | 11(7.33) | 20(13.42) | 12(8.05) | 0.163   |
| Family history of diabetes [%] | 8(5.37) | 4(2.67) | 20(13.42) | 12(8.05) |         |
| Systolic BP (mmHg)      | 116.42 ± 10.31 | 116.49 ± 11.26 | 116.80 ± 9.58 | 118.32 ± 13.12 | 0.415   |
| Diastolic BP (mmHg)     | 73.40 ± 8.80 | 73.07 ± 8.09 | 72.73 ± 7.09 | 74.07 ± 10.05 | 0.572   |
| FBG (mmol/L)            | 4.54 ± 0.81 | 4.56 ± 0.98 | 4.51 ± 0.84 | 4.57 ± 0.98 | 0.936   |
| Total Cholesterol (mmol/L) | 6.42 ± 1.15 | 6.65 ± 1.11 | 6.82 ± 1.37 | 6.72 ± 1.37 | 0.163   |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L)  | 4.22 ± 1.58 | 4.11 ± 1.53 | 4.32 ± 1.83 | 4.68 ± 2.10 | 0.132   |
| LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 3.29 ± 0.84 | 3.51 ± 0.88 | 3.59 ± 1.10 | 3.51 ± 1.00 | 0.181   |
| HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L) | 2.06 ± 0.58 | 2.00 ± 0.34 | 2.00 ± 0.35 | 1.97 ± 0.38 | 0.585   |
| Baseline renal parameter |                     |             |            |            |         |
| UA (µmol/L)             | 273.86 ± 64.48 | 287.34 ± 60.99 | 292.34 ± 58.81 | 318.52 ± 84.61 | 0.001   |
| Creatinine (µmol/L)     | 56.81 ± 8.52 | 58.95 ± 7.79 | 61.25 ± 7.35 | 64.91 ± 8.36 | 0.001   |
| BUN (mmol/L)            | 3.25 ± 0.85 | 3.39 ± 0.95 | 3.34 ± 0.89 | 3.65 ± 0.87 | 0.001   |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m²)    | 118.78 ± 17.85 | 116.04 ± 9.66 | 113.29 ± 9.85 | 107.99 ± 11.43 | 0.001   |

Significance, *p* < 0.05. Data are presented as *n* (%), mean ± SD, or median (interquartile range) as appropriate. *Accepted education for 12 years means already obtained the degree of high school.* *Accepted education for 16 years means already obtained the degree of bachelor.*

### Table 2: Association between serum PSP/REG Iα and kidney function indicator by quartiles of PSP/REG Iα.

| Quartiles of PSP/REG Iα | I (n=148) | II (n=149) | III (n=149) | IV (n=149) | r | p | r | p | r | p | r | p |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| UA                      | 0.191     | 0.020      | 0.021      | 0.804      | -0.095 | 0.247 | 0.100 | 0.224 |
| Creatinine              | 0.177     | 0.051      | -0.044     | 0.593      | 0.237  | 0.004 | 0.412 | 0.001 |
| BUN                     | 0.143     | 0.083      | -0.090     | 0.276      | 0.162  | 0.048 | 0.059 | 0.478 |
| eGFR                    | -0.124    | 0.132      | 0.079      | 0.340      | -0.213 | 0.009 | -0.355 | 0.001 |

Significance, *p* < 0.05.

### Table 3: Multiple linear regression analysis between PSP/REG Iα and eGFR in pregnant women.

| Variables analyzed | β   | SE of β | Standardized β | T   | p   |
|--------------------|-----|---------|----------------|-----|-----|
| Age                | 0.112 | 0.091 | 0.046 | 1.223 | 0.222 |
| BMI                | -0.078 | 0.128 | -0.023 | -0.610 | 0.542 |
| FBG                | 0.033 | 0.477 | 0.003 | 0.070 | 0.945 |
| BUN                | 1.040 | 0.496 | 0.082 | 2.099 | 0.036 |
| UA                 | 0.022 | 0.007 | 0.134 | 3.338 | 0.001 |
| eGFR               | -0.288 | 0.034 | -0.334 | -8.510 | 0.001 |

Significance, *p* < 0.05. Abbreviations: β, regression coefficient; SE, standard error; T, One-Sample T Test; BMI, body mass index; FBG, fasting plasma glucose; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; UA, uric acid; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtrations rate.
In the present study, we found that PSP/REG Iα may serve as an inflammatory factor that may be involved in CKD.

We found reliable interactions between increased PSP/REG Iα levels and low eGFR. Based on Spearman’s rank correlation analysis, PSP/REG Iα levels showed consistent positive correlations with serum Cr, BUN, and UA levels and negative correlation with eGFR. Thus, serum PSP/REG Iα levels closely reflect glomerular injury and decline in renal function in pregnant women. UA is predominantly cleared by the kidneys; as such, decline in eGFR will be almost universally associated with increased UA [32]. Hence, UA was considered primarily a marker of kidney damage and a secondary independent risk factor for kidney disease development and progression. Our findings on the correlation between PSP/REG Iα and UA levels further imply that the protein is associated with kidney damage.

When we used eGFR to define mildly reduced eGFR and normal groups, we found that participants with mildly reduced eGFR have high PSP/REG Iα levels. Moreover, PSP/REG Iα was moderately correlated with serum Cr and eGFR only in the subgroups of quartiles III and IV. It is reasonable that both PSP/REG Iα and eGFR are at normal levels and irrelevant when kidney function is normal. In addition, elevated PSP/REG Iα concentrations are sensitive to renal dysfunction. Overall, PSP/REG Iα is associated with clinical kidney function impairments detected using eGFR. To further investigate the correlation between PSP/REG Iα and renal function, we conducted multivariate linear regression. eGFR, BUN, and UA remained significantly associated with PSP/REG Iα even after adjusting for age, BMI, and FBG. This result confirms that PSP/REG Iα may be a useful epidemiological tool for evaluating mild kidney impairment in pregnant women. However, after adjusting for serum Cr, the association between PSP/REG Iα and eGFR was no longer statistically significant because the CKD-EPI equation used to estimate log GFR includes log serum Cr.

To our knowledge, this work is the first to investigate the correlation between serum PSP/REG Iα and renal function in pregnancy. This work also conducted comprehensive measurement of potentially confounding variables. However, this study has several limitations. First, the findings are limited to cross-sectional assessment. Further research must confirm if the relationship between PSP/REG Iα and eGFR is causal or an epiphenomenon. Second, our sample size of pregnant women with stage 3 or 4 CKD was relatively small due to the nature of the research question. Further prospective studies should recruit patients with different stages of CKD to determine the potential of PSP/REG Iα as a biomarker for diagnosis and evaluation of the onset and development of CKD in pregnant women.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, a high PSP/REG Iα level is associated with kidney function markers, namely, eGFR and serum levels of Cr, BUN, and UA. Our study provides clinical evidence that serum PSP/REG Iα levels may be a suitable marker for assessment of renal function in pregnancy.
Abbreviations

CKD: Chronic kidney disease
PSP/REG Iα: Pancreatic stone protein/regenerating protein Iα
eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate
Cr: Serum creatinine
BUN: Blood urea nitrogen
UA: Uric acid
CRP: C-reactive protein
IL-6: Interleukin 6
TNF-α: Tumor necrosis factor α
FBG: Fasting blood glucose
BMI: Body mass index
Systolic BP: Systolic blood pressure
Diastolic BP: Diastolic blood pressure
TC: Total cholesterol
TG: Triglyceride
HDL: High-density lipoprotein
LDL: Low-density lipoprotein
r: Correlation coefficient.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Authors’ Contributions

Ling Li and Rolf Graf contributed equally and are co-corresponding authors.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Leandro Mancina for his excellent technical support for this study. This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81570739), the Key Research and Development Program of Jiangsu Province (No. BE2018742) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities to Ling Li, and the Gebert Rüf Foundation (Switzerland) to Rolf Graf.

References

[1] G. B. Piccoli, R. Attini, E. Vasario et al., “Pregnancy and chronic kidney disease: a challenge in all CKD stages,” Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 844–855, 2010.
[2] P. Webster, L. Lightstone, D. B. McKay, and M. A. Josephson, “Pregnancy in chronic kidney disease and kidney transplantation,” Kidney International, vol. 91, no. 5, pp. 1047–1056, 2017.
[3] I. F. Nevis, A. Reitsma, A. Dominic et al., “Pregnancy outcomes in women with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review,” Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 2587–2598, 2011.
[4] A. Alsuwaida, D. Mousa, A. Al-Harbi, M. Alghonaim, S. Ghareeb, and M. N. Alrukhaimi, “Impact of early chronic kidney disease on maternal and fetal outcomes of pregnancy,” The Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 1432–1436, 2011.
[5] G. B. Piccoli, M. Alrukhaimi, Z. H. Liu, E. Zakhara, and A. Levin, “World kidney day steering C: women and kidney disease: reflections on world kidney day 2018: kidney health and women’s health: a case for optimizing outcomes for present and future generations,” Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation, vol. 33, pp. 189–193, 2018.
[6] J. M. Davison and M. D. Lindheimer, “Pregnancy and chronic kidney disease,” Seminars in Nephrology, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 86–99, 2011.
[7] S. B. Ahmed, R. Bentley-Lewis, N. K. Hollenberg, S. W. Graves, and E. W. Seely, “A comparison of prediction equations for estimating glomerular filtration rate in pregnancy,” Hypertension in Pregnancy, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 243–255, 2009.
[8] F. National Kidney, “K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification,” American Journal of Kidney Diseases, vol. 39, pp. S1–S266, 2002.
[9] D. Singh, M. A. Whooley, J. H. Ix, S. Ali, and M. G. Shlipak, “Association of cystatin C and estimated GFR with inflammatory biomarkers: the heart and soul study,” Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 1087–1092, 2007.
[10] T. Watanabe, H. Yonekura, K. Terazono, H. Yamamoto, and H. Okamoto, “Complete nucleotide sequence of human reg gene and its expression in normal and tumor tissues: the reg protein, pancreatic stone protein, and pancreatic thread protein are one and the same product of the gene,” The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 265, no. 13, pp. 7432–7439, 1990.
[11] L. Multignier, A. De Caro, D. Lombardo, D. Campese, and H. Sarles, “Pancreatic stone protein, a phosphoprotein which inhibits calcium carbonate precipitation from human pancreatic juice,” Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, vol. 110, no. 1, pp. 69–74, 1983.
[12] H. Okamoto and S. Takasawa, “Recent advances in the Okamoto model: The CD38-cyclic ADP-ribose signal system and the regenerating gene protein (Reg)-Reg receptor system in β-cells,” Diabetes, vol. 51, Suppl. 3, pp. S462–S473, 2002.
[13] M. J. Van Every, “In utero detection of horseshoe kidney with unilateral multicystic dysplasia,” Urology, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 435–437, 1992.
[14] N. Tatemichi, M. Kato, S. Hayakawa et al., “Immunological characterization of pancreatic stone protein in human urine,” Journal of Clinical Laboratory Analysis, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 76–80, 1994.
[15] H. Sobajima, T. Niwa, M. Shikano et al., “Urinary excretion of pancreatic stone protein in diabetic nephropathy,” Internal Medicine, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 500–503, 1998.
[16] L. Li, D. Jia, R. Graf, and J. Yang, “Elevated serum level of pancreatic stone protein/regenerating protein (PSP/reg) is observed in diabetic kidney disease,” Oncotarget, vol. 8, no. 24, pp. 38145–38151, 2017.
[17] J. Yang, L. Li, D. Raptis et al., “Pancreatic stone protein/regenerating protein (PSP/reg): a novel secreted protein up-regulated in type 2 diabetes mellitus,” Endocrine Journal, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 856–862, 2015.
[18] A. S. Levy, L. A. Stevens, C. H. Schmid et al., “A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate,” Annals of Internal Medicine, vol. 150, no. 9, pp. 604–612, 2009.
[19] M. Keel, L. Härter, T. Reding et al., “Pancreatic stone protein is highly increased during posttraumatic sepsis and activates neutrophil granulocytes,” Critical Care Medicine, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 1642–1648, 2009.

[20] A. Syed and S. Wani, “Pregnancy outcome in women with chronic kidney disease - A retrospective observational study in a tertiary care maternity unit at Corniche Hospital, Abu-Dhabi,” Bjog-an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, vol. 125, p. 116, 2018.

[21] T. Hayakawa, S. Naruse, M. Kitagawa et al., “Pancreatic stone protein and lactoferrin in human pancreatic juice in chronic pancreatitis,” Pancreas, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 137–142, 1995.

[22] K. Terazono, H. Yamamoto, S. Takasawa et al., “A novel gene activated in regenerating islets,” The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 263, no. 5, pp. 2111–2114, 1988.

[23] R. Graf, M. Schiesser, T. Reding et al., “Exocrine meets endocrine: pancreatic stone protein and regenerating protein—two sides of the same coin,” Journal of Surgical Research, vol. 133, no. 2, pp. II3–120, 2006.

[24] T. Saito, Y. Tanaka, Y. Morishita, and K. Ishibashi, “Proteomic analysis of AQP11-null kidney: proximal tubular type polycystic kidney disease,” Biochemistry and Biophysics Reports, vol. 13, pp. 17–21, 2018.

[25] H. Kazumori, S. Ishihara, R. Fukuda, and Y. Kinoshita, “Localization of Reg receptor in rat fundic mucosa,” Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine, vol. 139, no. 2, pp. 101–108, 2002.

[26] M. H. Bluth, S. A. Patel, B. K. Dieckgraefe, H. Okamoto, and M. E. Zenilman, “Pancreatic regenerating protein (reg I) and reg I receptor mRNA are upregulated in rat pancreas after induction of acute pancreatitis,” World Journal of Gastroenterology, vol. 12, no. 28, pp. 4511–4516, 2006.

[27] S. Takasawa, “Regenerating gene (REG) product and its potential clinical usage,” Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Targets, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 541–550, 2016.

[28] C. X. Jin, T. Hayakawa, S. B. H. Ko, H. Ishiguro, and M. Kitagawa, “Pancreatic stone protein/regenerating protein family in pancreatic and gastrointestinal diseases,” Internal Medicine, vol. 50, no. 15, pp. 1507–1516, 2011.

[29] M. Unno, H. Yonekura, K.-I. Nakagawara et al., “Structure, chromosomal localization, and expression of mouse reg genes, reg I and reg II: a novel type of reg gene, reg II, exists in the mouse genome,” The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 268, no. 21, pp. 15974–15982, 1993.

[30] D. V. Barreto, F. C. Barreto, S. Liabeuf et al., “Plasma interleukin-6 is independently associated with mortality in both hemodialysis and pre-dialysis patients with chronic kidney disease,” Kidney International, vol. 77, no. 6, pp. 550–556, 2010.

[31] M. G. Shlipak, L. F. Fried, C. Crump et al., “Elevations of inflammatory and procoagulant biomarkers in elderly persons with renal insufficiency,” Circulation, vol. 107, no. 1, pp. 87–92, 2003.

[32] V. Filiopoulou, D. Hadjijannakos, and D. Vlassopoulos, “New insights into uric acid effects on the progression and prognosis of chronic kidney disease,” Renal Failure, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 510–520, 2012.