Amphetamine, epinephrine, and glucose enhancement of memory retrieval
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Amphetamine, epinephrine, and glucose enhance memory storage when administered shortly after training. Amphetamine enhancement of memory storage may be mediated by peripheral epinephrine actions, and epinephrine enhancement of memory storage may involve increases in blood glucose levels. Amphetamine and possibly epinephrine also enhance memory retrieval when administered shortly before testing. To examine the parallels in pharmacological enhancement of memory storage and retrieval, we tested the effects on memory of injections of amphetamine (1.0 mg/kg), epinephrine (0.05 mg/kg), or glucose (100 mg/kg) administered prior to retention tests in mice. Mice were trained in a one-trial inhibitory (passive) avoidance task. Thirty minutes prior to testing, each mouse received an injection of saline, amphetamine, epinephrine, or glucose. In a second experiment, rats were trained on a one-trial inhibitory avoidance task and were administered glucose (100, 250, or 500 mg/kg) prior to testing. The results indicate that amphetamine, epinephrine, and glucose all significantly enhanced learned performance, supporting the view that similar neuroendocrine systems may contribute to both memory storage and retrieval. In addition, these findings provide another demonstration that peripheral glucose injections modify behavior.

Memories are most susceptible to modification by treatments administered near the times of training or testing. When time-dependent effects on memory are observed with treatments administered during the posttraining period, the results suggest that modification of later retention performance is based on alterations of memory storage (Gold & Zornetzer, 1983; McGaugh, 1983). When treatments administered shortly before testing enhance memory, the results suggest that the effects represent augmentation of memory retrieval (cf. Riccio & Ebner, 1981; Sara, 1985; Spear, 1978).

Considerable evidence now supports the view that neuroendocrine responses to training regulate memory storage processing (Gold & Zornetzer, 1983; McGaugh, 1989; McGaugh & Gold, 1989). For example, ACTH and epinephrine enhance later retention performance when administered shortly after training. Both amphetamine and the peripherally acting 4-OH amphetamine also enhance memory if administered soon after training (Martinez, Jensen, et al., 1980). As with many treatments that affect memory storage, enhancement of memory storage through administration of amphetamine apparently requires participation of the adrenal medulla (Martinez, Vasquez, et al., 1980; cf. Gold, 1989), suggesting that amphetamine effects on memory storage may be mediated by the release of epinephrine from the adrenal medulla. Recent findings suggest that epinephrine may regulate memory storage in part by means of the hormone's classic action to increase circulating glucose levels. For example, like epinephrine, posttraining glucose injections enhance memory storage (Gold, 1986; Gold, Vogt, & Hall, 1986; Messier & Destrade, 1988; Messier & White, 1984), and posttraining circulating glucose levels predict later retention performance under several conditions (Hall & Gold, 1986).

Studies of memory retrieval processes have included examinations of many of the same treatments. For instance, ACTH or amphetamine administration shortly before testing enhances retention performance under several behavioral tasks and attenuates the influence of natural forgetting or the administration of an amnestic treatment (see, e.g., Quartermain, 1982; Quartermain & Altman, 1982; Quartermain, Judge, & Friedman, 1983; Richardson, Riccio, & Devine, 1984; Sara, 1984, 1985; Sara & Deweer, 1982).

Previous studies of peripheral catecholamines and retrieval of learned inhibitory avoidance responses include reports that epinephrine both enhanced (Izquierdo & Dias, 1983a) and did not enhance (Izquierdo & Dias, 1983b) retrieval processes when administered before testing to animals that had received only saline immediately after training. With the evidence that peripheral epinephrine enhances memory storage, mediates amphetamine effects on memory storage, and may itself be mediated by subsequent glucose release, in Experiment 1 we examined the hypothesis that epinephrine, amphetamine, and glucose would all similarly modify retention performance in mice if administered shortly before the time of testing. As a measure of the generality of these findings, in Ex-
periment 2 we examined whether administration of glucose shortly before testing would also enhance retention performance in rats.

EXPERIMENT 1

Method

Subjects. Six-week-old male mice (DUB-ICR, Dominion Laboratories, Dublin, VA) were housed in groups of 4 and maintained on a 12:12-h light:dark schedule (lights on 0700 h) with free access to food and water. Behavioral testing was performed between 1300 and 1700 h.

Procedure. Epinephrine, amphetamine, and glucose were freshly prepared on each experimental day. All drugs were dissolved in saline and injected i.p. Epinephrine bitartrate and amphetamine sulfate were obtained from Sigma Chemical Company, and D-glucose was obtained from the J. T. Baker Chemical Company.

The mice were trained on a multitrial inhibitory (passive) avoidance task. The training apparatus was a two-compartment alley in which a lighted start compartment (10 × 14 × 23 cm) was separated from a larger dark compartment (10 × 14 × 37 cm) by a sliding door. The training procedure was modified from that of Altman, Stone, and Ogren (1987). At the beginning of each trial, the mouse was placed in the start compartment, the sliding door was opened, and the latency to enter the dark compartment (all 4 paws) was recorded. The mouse then received an escapable foot-shock (0.11 mA) through metal plates that constituted the floor of the alley. When the mouse reentered the lighted start compartment, the shock was turned off. Each mouse included in the experiment received a minimum of two and a maximum of four shocks. After receiving the second shock, training continued until the mouse did not reenter the dark compartment within 60 sec, at which time it was immediately removed from the apparatus, or until the maximum number of shocks was received. All mice included in the experiment showed latencies of 90 sec or less to cross into the dark compartment on the initial trial (preshock), and they also showed latencies of 150 sec or less to reenter the dark compartment after receiving the first shock.

Forty-eight hours after training, the mice were tested for retention of the avoidance behavior. The procedure was identical to that used on the training day, except that no shock was administered when the animals crossed over into the dark compartment. The latency to enter the dark compartment was recorded to a maximum of 600 sec. Thirty minutes prior to testing, groups of mice received injections of saline, glucose (100 mg/kg), epinephrine (0.5 mg/kg), or amphetamine (1.0 mg/kg). The doses were selected on the basis of previous experiments in which these drugs enhanced retention (Gold, 1986; Quartermain, 1982; Quartermain & Altman, 1982; Stone, Croul, & Gold, 1988). Comparisons between groups were performed using Mann-Whitney U tests (two-tailed).

Results

As is demonstrated in Figure 1, glucose, epinephrine, and amphetamine all significantly enhanced retention, relative to the saline administered to the control group ($U_s = 36, 33.5, \text{ and } 13.5, \text{ respectively}, ps < .05$). There were no significant differences between treatment groups.

EXPERIMENT 2

In Experiment 1, it was demonstrated that, like amphetamine and epinephrine, glucose enhanced memory retrieval in mice. In Experiment 2, we focused on whether or not glucose can also enhance retrieval in rats. In order to assess the generality of the effects of glucose further, a different procedure, which we have previously used to study pharmacological manipulations of inhibitory avoidance behavior (e.g., Gold, 1986; Gold et al., 1986), was used to assess retrieval processes in this experiment.

Method

Subjects. Male Sprague-Dawley rats (300-400 g, Dominion Laboratories, Dublin, VA) were used in Experiment 2. Prior to behavioral testing, the rats were placed on a restricted water regi-
cose can enhance memory retrieval as well as storage. Thus, these findings support the view that these treatments, which enhance memory storage processes, also enhance memory retrieval processes. In addition, despite differences in species and paradigms, the effects of glucose on retrieval were comparable for rats and for mice, thereby extending their generality.

Although the pharmacological bases for amphetamine effects on memory storage and retrieval may involve either central or peripheral catecholamine actions, circulating epinephrine does not readily enter the central nervous system (Axelrod, Weil-Malherbe, & Tomchick, 1959), and it is thus not likely to be a direct agonist of central catecholamine function. Moreover, there is now substantial evidence that increases in circulating glucose levels contribute to epinephrine effects on memory storage (cf. Gold & Stone, 1988; Stone, Manning, & Gold, in press). The present findings suggest that similar mechanisms may also contribute to pharmacological enhancement of retrieval processes. However, it should also be noted that some actions of amphetamine that may contribute to its effects on retrieval, such as its effects on central dopaminergic systems (Quartermain, 1982), may not be shared by epinephrine and glucose.

Just as hormonal responses to training modulate memory storage, hormonal responses at the time of testing appear to modulate retrieval processes for the original experience. Two general ideas regarding this effect are that the neuroendocrine responses (here increases in circulating epinephrine and glucose) may augment the activity of neural systems responsible for retrieval of learned information, or that neuroendocrine treatments may provide internal contextual cues, reactivating old memories (Riccio & Ebner, 1981; Sara, 1985; Spear, 1978). Both views, which are not mutually exclusive, might account for the enhanced retention observed here.

Izquierdo (1984) has suggested that similar neuroendocrine status at the times of training and testing may be important to optimal retention performance. The present results tend not to support this view. In previous studies, we found that training under conditions such as those used here elicits epinephrine or glucose responses during the immediate posttraining period that are small compared to those obtained after injections of epinephrine and glucose at the doses effective here (McCarty & Gold, 1981; Hall & Gold, 1986). Therefore, if a “match” between neuroendocrine states at the times of training and testing were important for retention performance, epinephrine and glucose injections prior to testing should impair rather than enhance retention performance. Still, optimal retention performance may be promoted by activation of neuroendocrine mechanisms at the time of both storage and retrieval (high at storage and retrieval times), but activation at either storage or retrieval (a high–low mismatch) still results in improved performance relative to low activation at either time.

In addition to demonstrating that epinephrine and glucose can enhance memory retrieval as well as storage processes, the results obtained here also add to the grow-
ing list of glucose effects on brain and behavioral functions, which now includes interactions with cholinergic drugs on measures of activity, tremors, and sleep (cf. Gold & Stone, 1988; Stone & Gold, 1988; Stone et al., in press). Thus, increases in circulating glucose levels appear to have a wide range of neurobiological and behavioral effects.
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