On the moments of a polynomial in one variable
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Abstract

Let $f$ be a non-zero polynomial with complex coefficients and define $M_n(f) = \int_0^1 f(x)^n \, dx$. We use ideas of Duistermaat and van der Kallen to prove $\limsup_{n \to \infty} |M_n(f)|^{1/n} > 0$. In particular, $M_n(f) \neq 0$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
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1. Continuous real valued functions

While our main interest is in complex polynomials, cf. the Abstract, it is instructive to first have a quick look at the much simpler case of real valued functions.

Proposition 1.1. Let $I = [0, 1]$ and $f \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$M_n(f) = \int_0^1 f(x)^n \, dx, \quad M(f) = \sup_I |f|.$$ (1)

(We will often just write $M_n$, $M$.) Then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} |M_n(f)|^{1/n} = M(f).$$

Proof. We first assume $f(I) \subseteq [0, \infty)$. By compactness there is an $x_0 \in I$ such that $f(x_0) = M$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By continuity there is $\delta > 0$ such that $f(x) \geq M - \varepsilon$ on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$. We may...
assume δ small enough so that at least one of the intervals (x₀ − δ, x₀] or [x₀, x₀ + δ) is contained in I. In view of f ≥ 0, we have \( \int_I f^n \geq (M - \varepsilon)^n \delta \forall n \). This implies \( \lim(\int_I f^n)^{1/n} = M - \varepsilon \).

Since \( \varepsilon > 0 \) was arbitrary, the limit is \( \geq M \). The converse inequality being trivial, we have \( (\int_I f^n)^{1/n} \to M \).

For general \( \mathbb{R} \)-valued \( f \), applying the above reasoning to \( g = f^2 \) gives

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{2n}(f)^{1/n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\int_I g^n}{\delta} \right)^{1/n} = M^2,
\]

which together with \( |M_n| \leq M^n \) proves the claim. ■

No result of comparable generality seems to be known for continuous complex valued functions. We therefore now turn to polynomials.

2. Complex polynomials

In this section, we consider polynomials with complex coefficients on \( I = [0, 1] \). We define \( M_n(f) \) and \( M(f) \) as in (1). Our aim is to prove:

**Theorem 2.1.** If \( f \) is a non-zero polynomial then \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} |M_n(f)|^{1/n} > 0 \). In particular, \( M_n(f) \neq 0 \) for infinitely many \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Remark 2.2.** The second statement was already proven in [3, Corollary 4.1] by mostly algebraic methods, involving some amount of number theory (including Dirichlet’s theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions!). That result recently found an application [1] in a tentative approach to the Mathieu conjecture [5] for \( SU(2) \). Our proof of Theorem 2.1 will be purely analytic, inspired by the proof of the Mathieu conjecture for \( S^1 \) given in [2, Theorem 2]. □

**Proof.** We first observe that if \( f = C = \text{const} \) then \( M_n = C^n \forall n \), which clearly implies the theorem in this case. Thus from now on we may and will assume \( d = \deg(f) \geq 1 \), thus in particular \( f \neq 0 \). It will suffice to prove the theorem for monic polynomials since \( M_n(cf) = c^n M_n(f) \).

In view of \( |M_n| \leq M^n \), the generating function \( F(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n M_n \) clearly converges to a holomorphic function on the open disc \( B_{1/M}(0) \), and on this domain

\[
F(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{1 - tf(x)}.
\]  

(2)

We define a finite subset of \( \mathbb{C} \) by

\[
S = \{ f(z) \mid z \in \mathbb{C}, f'(z) = 0 \} \cup \{ f(0), f(1) \}.
\]

(3)

We will prove that \( F(t) \) can be analytically continued along any path from small \( t \) (where \( F(t) \) is known to be analytic) to \( \infty \) such that \( \tau = 1/t \) avoids the set \( S \). We will then show that \( F(t) \to 0 \) along any such path. This will show that \( F \neq 1 \), so that at least one moment \( M_n(f) \) is non-zero. In view of

\[
F(t) = \frac{-1}{t} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{f(x) - \tau},
\]

(4)

we need some information about the zeros of the polynomial \( f - \tau \).

Since we assume \( d = \deg(f) \geq 1 \), for each \( \tau \in \mathbb{C} \) the equation \( f(z) = \tau \) has \( d \) solutions \( z_{1,\tau}, \ldots, z_{d,\tau} \). As long as these solutions are pairwise distinct, they depend holomorphically
on \( \tau \). (This is usually proven using Rouché’s theorem, but it can also be construed as an application of the holomorphic implicit function theorem, see e.g. [4, Section 6.1].) The condition that all zeros of \( f - \tau \) be distinct is equivalent to none of them being multiple, which is to say none of them is a critical point of \( f - \tau \) or, equivalently, of \( f \). This in turn is equivalent to \( \tau \) not being a critical value of \( f \). Thus as \( \tau \) traces a path in \( \mathbb{C}\setminus S \), the functions \( z_{1,\ell}, \ldots, z_{d,\ell} \) are analytic in a neighborhood of the path. (These functions are multivalued in the sense that they depend on the homotopy class of the chosen path, but we choose one path once and for all.)

Since we insist on \( \tau \not\in S \), the zeros of the monic polynomial \( f - \tau \) are pairwise distinct, so that we can apply partial fraction expansion in its most basic form:

\[
\frac{1}{f(x) - \tau} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \prod_{\ell \neq k} \frac{1}{z_{k,\ell} - z_{\ell,\ell}} \right) \frac{1}{x - z_{k,\ell}}.
\]

Formally integrating over \([0, 1]\) gives

\[
F(t) = \frac{-1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left( \prod_{\ell \neq k} \frac{1}{z_{k,\ell} - z_{\ell,\ell}} \right) \log \frac{1 - z_{k,\ell}}{-z_{k,\ell}}.
\]

(We will return to the choice of branches for the logarithms shortly.) As a consequence of \( \tau \not\in S \), the denominators \( z_{k,\ell} - z_{\ell,\ell} \) never vanish (for finite \( t \)). Since the forbidden set \( S \) also contains the endpoint values \( f(0) \) and \( f(1) \), the assumption \( \tau \not\in S \) implies \( f(0) \neq \tau \neq f(1) \).

Since the \( z_{i,\ell} \) satisfy \( f(z_{i,\ell}) = \tau \), we conclude that as long as \( \tau \not\in S \), the solutions \( z_{1,\ell}, \ldots, z_{d,\ell} \) of \( f(z) = \tau \) assume neither of the values 0 or 1. Thus the arguments of the logarithms in (5) are finite and non-zero. For small \( t \), where \( F \) is defined a priori, \( \tau = 1/t \) is large, thus is not in \( S \). Now the branches of the logarithms can be chosen such that (5) holds for \( t \in B_{1/M}(0) \).

As \( t \) increases, the analytic continuation of the logarithms is done by lifting the path traced by \( \frac{1-z_{k,\ell}}{-z_{k,\ell}} \) to the Riemann surface of the logarithm.

We have now achieved our first goal of analytically extending \( F \) to a (multi-valued) analytic function on \( \mathbb{C}\setminus S \). It remains to study the behavior of \( F(t) \) as \( t \to \infty \).

We first assume \( 0 \not\in S \). Thus \( f(0) \neq 0 \neq f(1) \), and 0 is not a critical value. The latter is equivalent to \( f \) having no repeated zeros. Under this assumption, the solutions \( z_{i,\ell} \) of \( f(z_{i,\ell}) = \tau \) extend holomorphically to \( \tau = 0 \), i.e. \( t = \infty \). The limits \( z_{1,\ell}, \ldots, z_{d,\ell} \) are the zeros of \( f \), thus they are all distinct by our assumption. The consequence \( f(0) \neq 0 \neq f(1) \) of the assumption \( 0 \not\in S \) implies \( z_{i,\infty} \not\in \{0, 1\} \) for all \( i \). Thus all terms in (5) after the \(-1/t \) have finite limits as \( t \to \infty \), so that \( F(t) = O(1/t) \). As explained earlier, this implies \( F \neq 1 \), thus \( M_{\alpha}(f) \neq 0 \) for at least one \( n \).

Now assume \( 0 \in S \). This can arise from the existence of a multiple zero of \( f \) or from the vanishing of \( f \) at 0 or at 1, or any combination of these. Even if this is the case, the solutions \( z_{i,\ell} \) of \( f(z_{i,\ell}) = \tau \) considered above do converge to zeros of \( f \) as \( \tau \to 0 \). If \( f \) has zeros with multiplicities, we must be more careful in studying the \( t \to \infty \) limit of (5) since some of the denominators \( z_{k,\ell} - z_{\ell,\ell} \) will tend to zero. And if \( f(0) = 0 \) then we will have \( z_{i,\ell} \to 0 \) for some \( i \) as \( t \to \infty \), so that the behavior of the corresponding logarithmic factor must be reconsidered. Similar considerations apply when \( f(1) = 0 \).

Assume \( f \) has an \( n \)-fold zero at \( z = 0 \). Thus \( f(z) = z^n g(z) \), where \( g(0) \neq 0 \). For small \( z \) we have \( f(z) = z^n g(0) + O(z^{n+1}) \). Now, precisely \( n \) of the solutions \( z_{i,\ell} \) of \( f(z) = \tau \) will tend to zero as \( \tau \to 0 \). For these \( i \) we have \( 1/t = \tau = f(z_{i,\ell}) \sim z_{i,\ell}^n g(0) \) for small \( z_{i,\ell} \), equivalently
large $t$. Thus the $z_{i,t}$ behave like the $n$th roots of $\frac{1}{t^n}$ as $t \to \infty$. The conclusion important for us is that each of the functions $z_{i,t}$ that tend to zero as $t \to \infty$, does so like $t^{-1/n}$ times a non-zero constant. Plugging this into $\log \frac{1-z_{i,t}}{t}$ gives a factor proportional to $\log t$ as $t \to \infty$. (An entirely similar analysis arrives at the same conclusion in the case $f(1) = 0$, so that at least one $z_{i,t}$ tends to 1 as $t \to \infty$.) Since $\frac{\log t}{t} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, we will still be able to conclude that $F(t) \to 0$ as long as the denominators do not create problems. Since this clearly cannot happen if $f$ does not have multiple zeros, we have generalized our proof of $F(t) \to 0$ to this case, whether or not $f$ vanishes at zero or one.

Turning to the multiple zero case, we first observe that the $k$-summand in (5) will behave nicely, i.e. like $\frac{1}{t}$ or $\frac{\log t}{t}$, as $t \to \infty$, provided $z_{k,\infty}$ is a simple zero of $f$, since then $\prod_{\ell \neq k}(z_{k,t} - z_{\ell,t}) \to \prod_{\ell \neq k}(z_{k,\infty} - z_{\ell,\infty}) \neq 0$.

It remains to study the $k$-terms in (5) for which $z_{k,t}$ converges to a multiple zero of $f$. Let $n \geq 2$ be the multiplicity of the zero $z_{k,\infty}$. We know that the factor with the logarithm will either converge to a non-zero constant or behave like $\log t$, depending on whether $z_{k,\infty} \notin \{0, 1\}$ or not. The factor $z_{k,t} - z_{\ell,t}$ will converge to $z_{k,\infty} - z_{\ell,\infty}$, which is non-zero unless also $z_{\ell,t} \to z_{k,\infty}$, which of course is possible since we now allow $f$ to have multiple zeros. By the argument given above for a multiple zero of $f$ at 0, applied to $g(w) = f(0 + w)$, we find: If $f$ has an $n$-fold zero at $z_0$, then for the $z_{k,t}$ that tend to $z_0$ as $t \to \infty$ we have that $z_{k,t} - z_0$ behaves like a non-zero constant (the same for all $k$ under consideration) multiplied by $t^{-1/n}$ and an $n$th root of unity. The distance between distinct $n$th roots of unity (for fixed $n$) obviously is bounded below by a positive constant. Thus for the $z_{\ell,t}$ that also tend to $z_{k,\infty}$, the difference $z_{k,t} - z_{\ell,t}$ is bounded below by $t^{-1/n}$ times a positive constant as $t \to \infty$. We conclude that the $\ell$-factor in the $k$-summand in (5) is bounded by a constant times $t^{1/n}$ as $t \to \infty$ for each $\ell$ with $z_{k,\infty} = z_{\ell,\infty}$. There are precisely $n - 1$ of these (since $k$ itself does not contribute to the product). Thus the overall behavior of the $k$-summand is $t^{-1 + (n-1)/n}$, modified by $\log t$ if $z_{k,\infty} \in \{0, 1\}$. Since $-1 + (n - 1)/n = -1/n < 0$, we see that all $k$-summands in (5) tend to zero as $t \to \infty$. In the preceding argument, the multiplicity $n$ of the zeros of $f$ was of course bounded by the degree $d$ of $f$. Thus $F(t) = O(t^{-1/d} \log t)$ as $t \to \infty$, which holds uniformly in the direction once $|t| > \min(|x| \ | s \in S \setminus \{0\})^{-1}$. This proves $F(t) \to 0$ in full generality.

If there were only finitely many (or no) non-zero moments $M_n(f)$, it would follow that $F(t)$ is a polynomial in $t$ (or the constant 1), contradicting $F(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. We thus have proven our second assertion, according to which $M_n(f) \neq 0$ for infinitely many $n$.

To prove our first assertion, assume that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} |M_n(f)|^{1/n} = 0$. This would imply that the power series defining $F$ has infinite convergence radius so that $F$ is an entire function. Together with the uniform decay at infinity this clearly means that $F$ is a bounded entire function and therefore constant by Liouville’s theorem. But this is inconsistent with $F(0) = 1$ and $F(t) \to 0$ at infinity. This contradiction proves $\limsup_{n \to \infty} |M_n(f)|^{1/n} > 0$.

The fact that the power series defining $F$ has finite radius of convergence $R$ implies that the function $F$ must have a singularity at some $t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|t| = R$. In view of (5), such a singularity can occur only when $t = 1/s$ for a non-zero $s \in S$. This implies

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} |M_n(f)|^{1/n} \leq \max\{|s| \ | s \in S\}.$$  

It would clearly be desirable to have more precise results about $\limsup_{n \to \infty} |M_n(f)|^{1/n}$. In some cases it is easy to show that equality occurs in the above inequality. This definitely happens when $|f(0)|$ is larger than the absolute values of the other elements of $S$, or when $f(0) \neq f(1)$ and $|f(0)| = |f(1)|$ is larger than the absolute values of the critical values. In these cases the
singularity arising in (5) from the vanishing of the argument of the logarithm cannot be offset by something else. One might conjecture the following, but without too much confidence:

**Conjecture 2.3.** Let $f$ be a polynomial and define $S$ as in (3). Then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} |M_n(f)|^{1/n} = \max\{|s| \mid s \in S\}.$$ 
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