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ABSTRACT: Zirconia ceramics with high mechanical properties have been used as a load-bearing implant in the dental and orthopedic surgery. However, poor bone bonding properties and high elastic modulus remain a challenge. Calcium silicate (CaSi)-based ceramic can foster osteoblast adhesion, growth, and differentiation and facilitate bone ingrowth. This study was to prepare CaSi-ZrO₂ composites and evaluate their mechanical properties, long-term stability, in vitro osteogenic activity, and antibacterial ability. The Escherichia coli (E. coli) and Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) bacteria and human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) were used to evaluate the antibacterial and osteogenic activities of implants in vitro, respectively. Results indicated that the three-point bending strength of ZrO₂ was 486 MPa and Young’s modulus was 128 GPa, which were much higher than those of the cortical bone. In contrast, the bending strength and modulus of 20% (201 MPa and 48 GPa, respectively) and 30% CaSi (126 MPa and 20 GPa, respectively) composites were close to the reported strength and modulus of the cortical bone. As expected, higher CaSi content implants significantly enhanced cell growth, differentiation, and mineralization of hMSCs. It is interesting to note the induction ability of CaSi in osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs even when cultured in the absence of an osteogenic differentiation medium. The composite with the higher CaSi contents exhibited the greater bacteriostatic effect against E. coli and S. aureus. In conclusion, the addition of 20 wt % CaSi can effectively improve the mechanical biocompatibility, osteogenesis, and antibacterial activity of ZrO₂ ceramics, which may be a potential choice for load-bearing applications.

1. INTRODUCTION

Biocompatible, wear-resistant, and esthetic ceramics have been widely used in load-bearing biomedical applications, including total hip and knee replacements and dental restorations. Among ceramics, zirconia (such as yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia polycrystals; Y-TZP) is one of the most important ceramic materials because of high mechanical properties and chemical stability in vivo. In addition, zirconia not only lacks the cytotoxicity or mutagenic effects but also shows osseointegration and low inflammatory infiltration in the gingival tissue. Comparative studies of in vitro biocompatibility and in vivo osseointegration of ZrO₂ and titanium implants show similar behaviors on the implant surface and the bone–implant contact. However, in a 7 year follow-up of retrospective clinical study, 36 out of 161 zirconia implants (22.4%) are lost due to the failures and fractures. Therefore, new load-bearing implant systems have to be developed to achieve advanced performance. In order to enhance the osteogenesis of the zirconia implant, surface modification techniques have been designed to induce tissue growth. Cho et al. coated zirconia surfaces with hydroxyapatite (HA) by the aerosol deposition method to improve in vitro osteogenic activity. Zhang et al. used a mesoporous bioactive glass coating to increase the bioactivity of Ce-TZP/Al₂O₃ ceramics.

Ideally, synthetic load-bearing implants should mimic natural bone tissues in mechanical and osteogenic properties to facilitate the bone healing. In terms of mechanical biocompatibility, the elastic modulus of the implant material needs to match that of the bone tissue to prevent “stress shielding.” It is well known that the elastic modulus of ZrO₂ (200 GPa) is much higher than that of the cortical bone (7–30 GPa). The higher Young’s modulus of the implant makes it difficult to transfer uniform stress to the surrounding bone tissue, thereby resulting in stress shielding that causes bone loss/fractures.
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et al.\textsuperscript{17} suggested that matching the modulus of the implant to that of the host bone tissue can avoid loosening of implants and extend device lifetime. In theory, stress-shielding effects can be modulated by altering the implant properties. However, a literature search indicates that few articles address the mechanical biocompatibility of zirconia-based composites with bone tissue, and most articles relate to biocompatibility.\textsuperscript{18,19} On the other hand, implant-associated infections have been a serious complication in dentistry and orthopedics due to the resorption of the peri-implant bone, which may eventually result in the removal of the implant.\textsuperscript{20} Bacterial adhesion always plays an initial role in the development of bacterial colonies. Poor microbial antiadhesive ability of the material will cause implantation and repair failure.\textsuperscript{21} Egawa et al. reported that the periodontopathic bacteria adhered to Y-TZP similarly to titanium implants,\textsuperscript{22} indicating considerable peri-implantitis. The presence of bacteria on the implant surface will complicate the process of osseointegration and may even fail to osseointegrate.\textsuperscript{23,24} Prevention of bacterial colonization and formation of a bacterial biofilm on implant surfaces are an increasing demand.

The approach aimed at improving the mechanical biocompatibility and biological properties of zirconia implants to develop new composite ceramics by adding bioactive materials to the zirconia matrix. Calcium silicate (CaSi)-based bioceramics have been used for bone tissue repair and regeneration. In vitro cell culture studies have shown that CaSi-based materials can support the attachment, proliferation, and differentiation of human bone mesenchymal stem cells\textsuperscript{25,26} and human pulp cells.\textsuperscript{24} More importantly, the newly formed bone tissue can grow on the surface of CaSi in rabbit calvarial defect\textsuperscript{27} and minipig mandibular alveolar bone defect models.\textsuperscript{28} It is worth mentioning that CaSi-based materials have shown antibacterial activity against a variety of bacterial strains.\textsuperscript{29–31} Therefore, it is expected that CaSi can be effectively used as a reinforcing additive because of its good osteogenesis and antibacterial activity. More importantly, the addition of low modulus CaSi\textsuperscript{32} may reduce the high modulus of tough ZrO\textsubscript{2} due to the composition effects, which alleviated the stress-shielding effect. In this study, we used a simple direct mixing method to prepare CaSi-ZrO\textsubscript{2} composites. The mechanical properties, long-term in vitro degradation in an acidic environment, osteogenic activity, and antibacterial ability of the composite implant were systematically evaluated. Regarding the mechanical test, according to the ISO 6872 standard (Dentistry—Ceramic Materials), the uniaxial (three-point bending) and biaxial (piston-on-three-ball) flexural strengths of the materials were checked because they may be subjected to different axial impacts in clinical practice.\textsuperscript{33} Two different bacterial species (including Gram-negative \textit{Escherichia coli} (\textit{E. coli}) and Gram-positive \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (\textit{S. aureus})) represented by different bacterial types were used to explore the antibacterial efficacy of composite materials. The biological function of the material was examined using human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs).

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Preparation of Composites

Details of the procedure for preparing sol–gel-derived CaSi powders (Ca/Si = 1 in molar ratio) have been described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{15} Tetraethyl orthosilicate (Si(OC\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{4}) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and calcium nitrate (Ca(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}·4H\textsubscript{2}O) (Showa, Tokyo, Japan) were used as precursors for SiO\textsubscript{2} and CaO, respectively. After mixing, aging, and drying, the powder was sintered at 800 °C in air and then ball-milled in ethanol using a Retsch S 100 centrifugal ball mill (Hann, Germany) for 24 h. The fine CaSi powder was added to 3 mol % Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-stabilized ZrO\textsubscript{2} powder (TZ-3YB-E; Tosoh, Tokyo, Japan) at 10, 20, and 30 wt % using a Thinky ARE-250 mixer (Tokyo, Japan) at 1000 rpm for 5 min. The sample code “ZCS10” represented a mixture containing 10 wt % CaSi, as shown in Table 1. By uniaxial pressing at 100 MPa for 2 min, the mixtures were compacted into rectangular bars of 24 mm × 4 mm × 4 mm or cylindrical pellets with a diameter of 15 mm. Afterward, the green body was sintered at 1350 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min for 3 h, and then it was cooled to room temperature. Each sintered sample was polished with a 1 μm diamond suspension (Buehler, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) for assays.

#### 2.2. Morphology, Phase Composition, and Density

The raw powders and polished surfaces of the sintered blocks were coated with gold using a JFC-1600 coater (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan), and the morphologies were then observed using a field-emission scanning electron microscope (SEM; JEOL JSM-7800F, Tokyo, Japan) at a lower secondary electron image (LEI) mode. The chemical composition of the material was determined by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS). Phase analysis was performed using an X-ray diffractometry instrument (XRD; Bruker D8 SSS, Karlsruhe, Germany) with Ni-filtered Cu Ka radiation operating at 40 kV, 100 mA, and a scanning speed of 0.5°/min. The apparent density was measured by a liquid displacement technique.\textsuperscript{29}

#### 2.3. Mechanical Properties

##### 2.3.1. Three-Point Bending Test

The three-point bending test was conducted on a static mechanical testing machine AG-1000E (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) with a 10 kN load cell with a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min. After sintering at 1350 °C, the final size of the rectangular sample was about 18 mm × 3 mm × 3 mm. Prior to the bending strength test, the dimensions of the samples were measured with a digital micrometer (Absolute Digimatic Caliper, Mitutoyo, Tokyo, Japan) with an accuracy of 0.01 mm. The span length was 16 mm. As the sample was bent, the ultimate bending strength (\(\sigma_b\)) and Young’s bending modulus (\(E_b\)) were calculated as follows

| Sample Code | Density (g/cm\textsuperscript{3}) | Strength (MPa) | Young’s Modulus (GPa) | Biaxial Strength (MPa) | Hardness (HV) |
|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| ZCS0        | 5.7 ± 0.2\textsuperscript{a}     | 486 ± 43\textsuperscript{a} | 128 ± 21\textsuperscript{a} | 1164 ± 102\textsuperscript{a} | 1406 ± 41\textsuperscript{a} |
| ZCS10       | 4.7 ± 0.1\textsuperscript{b}     | 259 ± 29\textsuperscript{b}  | 78 ± 4\textsuperscript{b}  | 631 ± 57\textsuperscript{b}  | 1012 ± 86\textsuperscript{b} |
| ZCS20       | 4.1 ± 0.1\textsuperscript{b}     | 201 ± 12\textsuperscript{b}  | 48 ± 4\textsuperscript{b}  | 484 ± 29\textsuperscript{b}  | 708 ± 93\textsuperscript{b}  |
| ZCS30       | 3.8 ± 0.1\textsuperscript{b}     | 126 ± 8\textsuperscript{b}   | 20 ± 4\textsuperscript{b}  | 325 ± 23\textsuperscript{b}  | 622 ± 73\textsuperscript{b}  |

\textsuperscript{a} Mean values followed by different superscript letters were significantly different (\(p < 0.05\)) according to Scheffe post-hoc multiple comparisons.
\[
\sigma_b = \frac{3F_{\text{max}}L}{2wt^2} \quad \text{and} \quad E_b = \frac{L^3}{4wt^3} \frac{\Delta F}{\Delta l}
\]

where \(F_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum load (N), \(L\) is the support span (mm), \(w\) and \(t\) are the width (mm) and thickness (mm) of the sample, respectively, and \(\Delta F/\Delta l\) is the slope of the initial linear elastic portion of the load–deflection curve (N/mm). The data provided by each group were the mean of 20 independent measurements.

2.3.2. Biaxial Flexural Test. To examine biaxial flexural strength, each cylindrical sample (\(n = 20\) per group) was placed centrally on three hardened steel balls (a diameter of 3 mm, positioned 120° apart on a support circle with a diameter of 10 mm).\(^{35}\) The polished surface of the sample was the tension side, while the unpolished surface was loaded with a flat punch (1.2 mm in diameter). The biaxial flexural strength was obtained using an AG-1000E where the load was applied at a constant speed of 1 mm/min until fracture occurred. The load that led to the initial separation of samples was obtained in newton (N) and converted to MPa using the following equation

\[
S = -0.2387P(X - Y)/d^2
\]

where "S" is the maximum center tensile stress (MPa) and "P" is the total load causing fracture (N)

\[
X = (1 + \nu)\ln[(r_2/r_1)^2] + [(1 - \nu)/2](r_2/r_1)^2
\]

\[
Y = (1 + \nu)[1 + \ln(r_1/r_2)^3] + (1 - \nu)(r_1/r_2)^2
\]

where \(\nu\) is the Poisson ratio. If Poisson’s ratio of the ceramic is known, a value of 0.25 is used; \(r_1\) is the radius of the support circle (mm); \(r_2\) is the radius of the loaded area (mm); \(r_3\) is the sample radius (mm); and \(d\) is the sample thickness at fracture origin (mm).

2.3.3. Hardness Test. A digital microhardness tester (HMV-2000, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) with a four-sided diamond pyramid was used to evaluate the microhardness of various cylindrical samples. Vickers microhardness (HV) was obtained from the equation 1.854S/d^2, where \(S\) is the load (N) and \(d\) is the average diagonal length (mm) of the impression. A load of 19.6 N for 15 s in air was used. The average was determined from 30 collections using 10 samples.

2.3.4. Fatigue Test. The three-point bending mode was used to examine the fatigue behavior of the specimen. A fatigue cyclic loading lower than the static three-point bending strength was applied with a stress ratio of \(S_{\text{min}}/S_{\text{max}} = 0.1\) at 5 Hz until fracture was achieved using a Shimadzu servopulser 48000 system (Kyoto, Japan), where \(S_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum three-point stress and \(S_{\text{min}}\) is the minimum three-point stress. The three-point bending test generates compressive stress on the concave side of the sample to which an external force is applied and tensile stress on the convex side at the two support pins.\(^{35}\) The three-point bending test generates compressive stress on the concave surface of the specimen and tensile stress on the convex surface. The number of cycles to failure under cyclic compression or tensile conditions was promptly recorded as the sample ruptured. Twelve samples of each group were examined.

2.4. In Vitro Degradation. Simulated body fluid (SBF) solution is usually used as the supporting solution for the in vitro degradation test. In order to simulate the continuous circulation of physiological fluids in the body, continuous exchange of SBF (dynamic condition) may be a more effective assay, which can predict in vitro degradation more accurately than a static assay (lack of SBF exchange).\(^{36}\) The feeding rate of the peristaltic pump was 1 mL/min. Since a fresh solution was provided, the exchange of the solution could keep the ionic concentration and pH of the SBF almost constant. The SBF solution was buffered to pH 5.0 with hydrochloric acid and tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane, which the pH value can simulate the acidic environment caused by bone infections. The ratio of the sample surface to the SBF volume was 0.1 cm⁻¹. After soaking for specific time (1, 3, and 6 months), 20 samples were taken from the vial to determine biaxial strength and microhardness. The other samples were dried in an oven at 60 °C to analyze weight loss, porosity, phase composition, and morphology. To measure weight loss, a four-digit balance (AE 240S, Mettler-Toledo AG, Greifensee, Switzerland) was used to weigh the dried samples until a constant weight was reached before (day 0) and after soaking. Twelve repeated samples were examined for each group at each time point. The porosity of the samples was evaluated by the Archimedes’ gravimetric method.\(^{36}\)

2.5. hMSC Responses. 2.5.1. Cell Growth. The cell response to the samples was assessed by incubation with hMSCs (Cell Engineering Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA) at passages 3–6. Prior to cell incubation, samples (1 mm in thickness and 12 mm in diameter) were sterilized using 75% ethanol and then exposed to ultraviolet (UV) light for 2 h.

hMSCs were seeded at a density of \(10^4\) cells/well on sterilized samples in 24-well plates. The cell growth medium was Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Gibco, Langley, OK, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin solution (Gibco) in 5% CO₂ at 37 °C, which was changed every 2 days. After being cultured for 1, 3, and 7 days, the cell growth was measured by the MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; Sigma-Aldrich) assay, which was detected using a BioTek Epoch spectrophotometer (Winooski, VT, USA) at 563 nm, following a previous protocol.\(^{28}\) The data expressed in absorbance were the average of three independent measurements.

2.5.2. ALP Activity. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity of hMSCs, an early marker of osteogenesis, was examined at a density of \(5 \times 10^5\) cells/well after 7 and 14 days of incubation. The growth medium was replaced with the induction medium to guide osteogenic differentiation, which consisted of the growth medium supplemented with 10 nM dexamethasone, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, and 0.05 mM ascorbic acid. The growth medium alone was also used for comparison purposes to verify the osteogenic efficacy of CaSi. ALP activity was measured using the TRACP & ALP assay kit (Takara, Shiga, Japan), and the detailed assay was described elsewhere.\(^{34}\) Three separate experiments were performed.

2.5.3. Mineralization. Alizarin red S staining was utilized to analyze mineralized matrix synthesis of hMSCs after being cultured for 14 and 21 days. The cells were washed with phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 7.4), fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 min, and then stained in 0.5% alizarin red S (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 10 min. After being washed with PBS, the stained cells were observed using an optical microscope (BH2-UMA; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Further, the calcium mineral precipitate was digested using 0% cetylpyridinium chloride (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 30 min in order to quantify matrix mineralization. The absorbance of alizarin red S extract was assayed using a...
were attributed to (101), (002), (110), (112), (200), and consisting of 2 nitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) assay. The absorbance for 6, 12, 24, and 48 h. Subsequently, the samples were exposed to UV light for 2 h. After washing three times with PBS, the sample was placed in a 24-well culture plate, and 1 mL of bacteria was seeded at a density of 10^7 CFU/mL in Bacto tryptic soy broth (Beckton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA) for 6, 12, 24, and 48 h. Subsequently, the samples were checked for antibacterial activity by the alamarBlue (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) assay. The absorbance results were recorded for eight independent measurements using a BioTek Epoch spectrophotometer at 570 nm with a reference wavelength of 600 nm. Viability data (%) were obtained by normalizing the absorbance with respect to the culture broth without implant samples.

2.6.2. Bacterial Colony. To further observe the number of bacterial colonies, 24 h after the inoculation, the samples were washed three times with PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min. After that, samples were dehydrated using a graded ethanol series for 20 min at each concentration, then mounted on a stub, coated with a gold layer, and then viewed by SEM.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc Scheffé’s test were used to examine the significant difference between the means in the measurement data using SPSS 14.0 software for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The results were considered statistically different at a p-value of less than 0.05.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Morphology of Powder. Figure 1 shows SEM images of the ZrO2 and CaSi raw powders used in this study. The ZrO2 spherical powders were composed of clusters of nanometer particles with a size of 40 nm, consistent with the manufacturer’s data. In contrast, the average size of irregular CaSi particles was about 1 μm, as in the previous study.

3.2. Phase Composition and Density of Sintered Sample. The XRD patterns of all sintered ZrO2-CaSi samples including the CaSi powder are shown in Figure 2. The CaSi additive exhibited a relatively low intensity of major diffraction peaks at 2θ = 32°–34°, which were assigned to the β-Ca5SiO4 (β-dicalcium silicate) phase. Contrarily, the ZrO2 control without CaSi (ZCS0) had a tetragonal zirconia (t-ZrO2) phase consisting of 2θ = 30.2, 34.6, 35.1, 50.1, 50.6, and 59.3°, which were attributed to (101), (002), (110), (112), (200), and (103) crystal planes. When the CaSi powder was added to Y-TZP, the peak intensities of all t-ZrO2 phases decreased with increasing CaSi content. Interestingly, for the 30% CaSi sample (ZCS30), new phases at 2θ = 27.5, 31.8, and 45.8° appeared, which were possibly ascribed to CaZrO3 and Ca3ZrSi2O9. In addition to the decrease in peak at 30.2°, On the other hand, the apparent density of the ZCS0 control was 5.7 ± 0.2 g/cm³ (Table 1), and the addition of increased CaSi content gradually decreased the density to 4.7 ± 0.1, 4.1 ± 0.1, and 3.9 ± 0.1 g/cm³ for 10, 20, and 30 wt %, respectively, indicating a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05).

3.3. Mechanical Properties. 3.3.1. Three-Point Bending Strength and Modulus. Table 1 lists the three-point bending strength and Young’s modulus of various ZrO2-based composites. With the increase in CaSi content, the strength and modulus of the samples decreased significantly (p < 0.05). The three-point bending strength of the ZrO2 control was 486 MPa, which was significantly (p < 0.05) higher than those of all CaSi-containing composites. The 10 wt % CaSi-containing composite had a three-point bending strength value of 259 MPa, while 20 wt % CaSi resulted in a strength of 201 MPa. When 30 wt % CaSi was added to ZrO2, the bending strength of the composite became 126 MPa. Regarding the modulus, ZCS10, ZCS20, and ZCS30 were 78, 48, and 20 GPa, respectively, which were significantly (p < 0.05) lower than the 128 GPa of the ZCS0 control.

3.3.2. Biaxial Strength. Table 1 also shows biaxial strength of the ZrO2 control with and without CaSi, which revealed that the strength decreased significantly (p < 0.05) with increasing CaSi content. The biaxial strength of the control was 1164 MPa, while that of the composite containing 10 wt % CaSi became 631 MPa. The addition of 20 and 30 wt % CaSi to the ZrO2 material produced biaxial strengths of 484 and 325 MPa, respectively.

3.3.3. Hardness. Vickers’ microhardness value (HV = 1406) of the ZCS0 control was significantly (p < 0.05) higher than those of all composites (Table 1). As the composites contained 10, 20, and 30 wt % CaSi, the resulting microhardness values were 1012, 708, and 622, respectively, which decreased significantly (p < 0.05) with increasing CaSi content. This was similar to the trend in the three-point bending strength and biaxial strength.
3.3.4. Fatigue. The results of fatigue are shown in $S$–$N$ diagrams (so-called fatigue life diagram), where $S$ is the maximum stress in a cyclic loading and $N$ is the number of cycles until fracture (Figure 3). The stability of all ZrO$_2$-based materials was noticeably affected by the cyclic loading, and as the number of cycles increased, the bending strength decreased remarkably. The ZrO$_2$ control (ZCS0) and ZCS10 were fatigued for $10^6$ cycles, and their original three-point bending strengths were significantly reduced to approximately 37% and 55%, respectively. When subjected to a three-point bending stress of 100 MPa, the fatigued ZCS20 continued for approximately $2 \times 10^5$ cycles until failure occurred. For ZCS30, an applied stress of 80 MPa resulted in $3 \times 10^4$ failure cycles.

3.4. In Vitro Degradation. 3.4.1. Phase Composition. The potential variations in material properties were worth verifying when long-term soaking in a physiological solution. After soaking in a dynamic SBF solution with pH 5.0, the XRD patterns of the ZrO$_2$ control did not exhibit obvious changes with increasing soaking time (Figure 4a). In contrast, the phase composition of all composites changed significantly during the 6 month soaking period (Figure 4b–d), especially for the ZCS20 and ZCS30 groups. In the case of ZCS30, in addition to the greatly reduced intensity of t-ZrO$_2$ phases and the disappearance of calcium zirconium silicate, new low-intensity peaks at 28.2 and 31.4° were also found, which were attributed to the monoclinic ZrO$_2$ (m-ZrO$_2$) phases of (−111) and (111), respectively. As the soaking time increased, the monoclinic phase became more remarkable.

3.4.2. Morphology. Broad-face SEM micrographs of the samples before soaking in SBF are shown in Figure 5. The ZrO$_2$ control (ZCS0) showed a highly dense body after sintering at 1350 °C and consisted of equiaxed grains with a size of about 100 nm. The presence of CaSi led to the formation of few micropores and increased the grain size to about 250 nm. After soaking in SBF for 1 month, the surface morphology of all samples changed with the appearance of numerous etching-induced nanopores, especially for samples with high CaSi content. In addition, the grain size of all soaked samples became larger than those of the as-prepared samples. The microstructures of the 3 month-soaked and 6 month-soaked samples were similar to those of the 1 month sample.

3.4.3. Composition Analysis. To understand the changes in the microstructure, the EDS results showed that the (Ca + Si)/(Zr + Ca + Si) weight ratios of ZCS10, ZCS20, and ZCS30 before soaking were $3.2 \pm 0.4$, $12.7 \pm 3.9$, and $18.2 \pm 1.8$, respectively (Figure 6). After soaking, the (Ca + Si)/(Zr + Ca + Si) ratio was significantly reduced. Interestingly, the (Ca + Si)/...
Si)/(Zr + Ca + Si) ratio of the composites with higher CaSi decreased more significantly. For example, in the sixth month, the ratio of ZCS10 was $2.1 \pm 0.4$ with a reduction of 34%, while ZCS30 had a ratio of $4.3 \pm 1.2$ with a reduction of 76%.

### 3.4.4. Biaxial Strength and Hardness

The changes in the biaxial strength of the materials as a function of soaking time are shown in Figure 7a. It can be clearly seen that the soaking time did not affect the biaxial strength of the ZCS0 control. One month of soaking did not cause the strength of all CaSi groups to decrease, whereas 3 month soaking made the biaxial strength of ZCS30 significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) than that of the as-prepared sample. However, after 6 months of soaking in pH 5.0 SBF, the biaxial strength of ZCS30 decreased by 52% reduction in terms of the original strength, while the biaxial strengths of ZCS10 and ZCS20 decreased by 16 and 29%, respectively. It is worthwhile to note that the biaxial strength of ZCS20 was 344 MPa, which was much higher than 155 MPa of ZCS30 after 6 month soaking. Regarding hardness, soaking time appeared to reduce the hardness of all samples. The hardness trends in CaSi groups were similar to the biaxial strength (Figure 7b). In comparison with the original hardness before soaking, the hardness of ZCS0, ZCS10, ZCS20, and ZCS30 decreased by 9, 19, 52, and 77%, respectively, after 6 months of a long-term soaking in pH 5.0 SBF.

### 3.4.5. Porosity and Weight Loss

The effect of soaking time on the porosity of the materials is shown in Figure 8a. The original porosity values of all samples were less than 2%. After soaking for 1 month, the porosity of all samples increased to 2–5%. Not surprisingly, the higher the CaSi content, the greater the porosity revealed. After prolonged soaking for 6 months, the porosity remained between 2 and 6%. In the case of weight loss, the change depended on the type of sample (Figure 8b). ZrO$_2$ implants had almost no weight loss because of the large deviation, while the presence of CaSi caused an increase in weight loss. There was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between the samples soaked for 3 and 6 months. At the end of the 6 month soaking, the weight losses of ZCS0, ZCS10, ZCS20, and ZCS30 were 0.3, 0.7, 2.8, and 3.8%, respectively.

### 3.5. hMSC Responses

#### 3.5.1. Cell Growth

The effect of CaSi contents in the composites on in vitro osteogenic activities of hMSCs was studied. The absorbance as a marker of the cell growth increased for all samples with increasing culture time (Figure 9). More importantly, the higher CaSi content in the composite resulted in a significantly greater cell growth at all culture time. After 7 days of culture, the growth of hMSCs seeded on ZCS30 was 74% higher than that of cells on the ZCS0 control.

#### 3.5.2. ALP Activity

No matter which osteogenic induction medium (Figure 10a) or growth medium (Figure 10b) was used to culture hMSCs on the sample surface, the early cell differentiation activity consistently indicated that the compo-
site material with higher CaSi content has significantly \((p < 0.05)\) higher ALP expression. As an example, on day 14, the ALP of cells cultured on ZSC20 increased significantly \((p < 0.05)\) by 31 and 26\%, respectively, in the presence (Figure 10a) and the absence (Figure 10b) of osteogenic differentiation agents, compared with the ZCS0 control.

3.5.3. Mineralization. The low-magnification images of hMSCs stained with alizarin red S after 14 and 21 days of culture are shown in Figure 11. Remarkable differences can be found on the surfaces of the four samples. The hMSCs on the surface of the composites with higher CaSi content showed clearer mineralized matrix synthesis in the induction medium (Figure 11a) and growth medium (Figure 11b). It is clearly seen that when hMSCs were cultured in the growth medium without differentiation induction agents, lower calcium deposits were produced. After quantifying calcium deposits, regardless of the type of culture medium, the content of calcium deposits in ZCS0 was lower than that of the CaSi-containing samples. Not surprisingly, the samples with higher CaSi content were found to have greater mineral deposits, especially when cultured for 21 days. In the induction medium for 21 days (Figure 11a), the content of calcium deposits in ZCS20 samples was 1.8 times that of ZCS0 \((p < 0.05)\), while the calcium deposits induced by cells in the growth medium were 1.4 times \((p < 0.05)\) (Figure 11b).

3.6. Antibacterial Activity. 3.6.1. Bacterial Viability. The higher CaSi content samples caused lower viability of \(E. coli\) bacteria than the lower CaSi content samples at all culture times (Figure 12a). For example, after bacterial seeding for 6 h, the 20\% CaSi sample resulted in a viability of 23\% for \(E. coli\), indicating relatively effective antibacterial activity, while the viability of the ZCS0 control was 48\%. On hour 48, the bacterial survival percentage on the surface of the 30\% CaSi sample was about 51\%, which was lower than the ZCS0 control (76\%). In the case of \(S. aureus\), a similar trend in the bacterial viability was also observed (Figure 12b), showing a clear CaSi content-dependent antibacterial ability of implants against \(S. aureus\). As a result, the antibacterial ability of the 20\% CaSi sample was about one times higher than that of the ZCS0 control throughout the culture period.

3.6.2. Bacterial Colony. To further clarify the antibacterial ability of the composite samples, bacterial colonies on the surfaces were observed by SEM. Compared to the ZCS0 control, the number of rod-shaped \(E. coli\) bacteria adhered to the CaSi samples was reduced (Figure 13). Similarly, the spherical Gram-positive \(S. aureus\) bacteria on the control aggregated into grape-like colonies, while few bacteria attached

![Figure 6](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.1c00097)

Figure 6. EDS profiles of various \(ZrO_2\)-based materials before and after soaking in SBF (pH 5.0) for 6 months. The changes in the \((Ca + Si)/(Ca + Si + Zr)\) ratio by weight of various samples after soaking in SBF.

![Figure 7](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.1c00097)

Figure 7. (a) Biaxial flexural strength and (b) hardness of various \(ZrO_2\) samples containing different CaSi contents before and after soaking in an SBF solution with pH 5.0 for predetermined time durations. Asterisk represents a statistically significant difference \((p < 0.05)\) from the corresponding as-prepared samples.
to the CaSi samples (Figure 14). The higher the CaSi content, the fewer the bacterial colonies were found on the sample.

4. DISCUSSION

The ideal load-bearing implant material should have the mechanical biocompatibility, excellent osteogenesis, and good antibacterial activity to match clinical needs. However, the stress-shielding effect and bacterial infections of load-bearing implants are the major obstacles to the dental and orthopedic applications. To this end, the purpose of this study was to harness highly bioactive calcium silicate with antibacterial efficacy to enhance biological properties and reduce the modulus of the high strength zirconia ceramics for prompting the clinical success. First, the phase and morphology of the CaSi-ZrO$_2$ composite should be understood before unveiling mechanical biocompatibility. After sintering at 1350 °C, the addition of CaSi microparticles to the ZrO$_2$ nanoparticles did not cause the tetragonal–monoclinic phase transformation (t $\rightarrow$ m) of ZrO$_2$, and the t-ZrO$_2$ phase was the dominant phase for all samples. However, the diffusion reaction between Ca/Si and ZrO$_2$ promoted the formation of minor secondary phases,
It is well recognized that high strength ZrO$_2$ has a much higher requirements, such as adequate mechanical properties, microstructure, process, and heat treatment. It is reasonable that the optimization of the material composition may tailor the mechanical properties of the prepared material to make it equivalent to the mechanical properties of the natural bone by means of the composite approach due to the secondary phases. Therefore, it is important to optimize the composition of the CaSi-containing implants in order to eventually match the mechanical biocompatibility. This study indicated that the mechanical properties of composite samples decreased remarkably with increasing CaSi content. This reduction may be due to the inherent low mechanical strength and Young’s modulus of the CaSi component, which acted almost like a structure softener in the ZrO$_2$ matrix. In addition, greater grain sizes in CaSi-containing composites may lead to lower mechanical properties. Kong et al. found that the addition of HA to ZrO$_2$–Al$_2$O$_3$ ceramic could enhance biocompatibility but would reduce the four-point bending strength. Nevertheless, the three-point bending strengths of all ZrO$_2$–CaSi composite samples were in the range of 126–259 MPa, which was not less than the reported bending strength of the cortical bone (bending strength: 50–150 MPa).  

The mechanical features of load-bearing materials are fundamental to reach a balanced biomechanical load distribution at the bone–implant interface, which can reduce mechanical mismatch and facilitate the osteointegration process. In addition to the requirement of adequate mechanical strength such as three-point bending strength and biaxial strength, the ideal elastic modulus of the implant materials should be close to that of the cortical bone being replaced. Given that the elastic modulus (200 GPa) of commercially available ZrO$_2$ products is much higher than that of the cortical bone (7–30 GPa), this disparity gives rise to complications in mechanical biocompatibility between the ZrO$_2$ implant and bone tissue, which can cause stress concentrations within the surrounding bone tissue and lead to bone resorption. For this reason, the development of microporous materials or composite materials will reduce the elastic modulus of the ZrO$_2$ implant. Indeed, the incorporation of CaSi significantly reduced the modulus of the ZrO$_2$ control, undergoing from 128 GPa (the control) down to 20 GPa (ZCS30). This can be explained by the fact that the CaSi additive was not stiffer than the surrounding ZrO$_2$ ceramic matrix. Therefore, the modulus (20 to 78 MPa) of the composite implants decreased with increasing CaSi content, and these values were close to the cortical bone (7–30 GPa), enamel (80 GPa), and dentin (19 GPa). The moduli of ZCS20 (48 MPa) and ZCS30 (20 MPa) were more conducive to reducing the “stress-shielding” effect and promoting the osseointegration. Piotrowski et al. adopted 3D finite element models to analyze the behavior of the bone–dental implant system depending on the elastic properties of the implant and found that the low modulus implant induced a stress distribution closer to the actual physiological phenomenon. Brizuela et al. also studied the effect of six dental implants of Ti-based alloy with different elastic moduli (53–113 GPa) on the percentage of bone–implant contact in a minipig model. They pointed out that lower elastic modulus allowed a greater and more efficient transfer of the mechanical load to the bone, favoring the formation of a new bone around the dental implant.

Another important mechanical property is hardness that is commonly used to measure plastic deformation resistance. The hardness of trabecular and cortical bone lamellae in the human

Figure 13. SEM micrographs of *E. coli* bacterial adhesion on the surfaces of (a) ZCS0 control, (b) ZCS10, (c) ZCS20, and (d) ZCS30 after 24 h of culture.

Figure 14. SEM micrographs of *S. aureus* bacterial adhesion on the surfaces of (a) ZCS0 control, (b) ZCS10, (c) ZCS20, and (d) ZCS30 after 24 h of culture.
femur measured by nanoindentation ranges from 0.234 to 0.760 GPa (equivalent to 23.4 and 77.5 Hv), and the hardness of alveolar bone is close to this range.50 Dall’Ara et al. have stated that the Vickers hardness of the human trabecular bone was between 33 and 45 Hv.51 In terms of teeth, the average hardness of primary enamel is 498 Hv and the hardness of dentin is 94 Hv.52 By contrast, the hardness value of the ZrO2 control was 1406 Hv, which was consistent with the values given in the literature,53 but much higher than those of teeth and bone tissue. When CaSi was added to ZrO2, such a large decrease in the hardness (325–631 Hv) of the ceramic-based composites may be related to the soft feature of the CaSi material. The decrease in hardness of CaSi-containing materials was possibly due to an increase in grain size based on the Hall–Petch relationship.

For bone implants used to support load-bearing therapy, the necessary mechanical prerequisite is to maintain mechanical strength under continuous and alternating loads throughout the entire implantation time required for bone healing, even if they degrade due to in vivo hydrolysis.54 Therefore, fatigue degradation measurement is an important method to check the durability of load-bearing biomaterials because of its cyclic nature of in vivo loading.55 In brittle ceramic materials, cyclic loading causes the propagation of small cracks, which in turn promoting them to failure at relatively low stresses. It can be reasonably speculated that detrimental effects on ZrO2-based materials can be seen in fatigue tests. Indeed, as the number of cycles increased, the strength of all fatigued groups decreased noticeably. In the light of mechanical properties mentioned above, the introduction of CaSi into the ZrO2 matrix reduced the flexural strength, hardness, and elastic modulus. Although it had lower fatigue resistance compared to ZrO2, the other mechanical properties of the CaSi-containing composites were similar to those of the human cortical bone. This highlighted that the mechanical properties of CaSi-ZrO2 could be tailored to mimic those of human teeth and bone tissue, thereby avoiding stress shielding.

Since mechanical stability is a critical issue for the clinical success of load-bearing materials, the implant material should maintain long-term clinical survival that can be in service within the tissue environment for many years. However, the t-ZrO2 phase of ZrO2 ceramic spontaneously transforms to the m-ZrO2 phase in the presence of water or water vapor (hydrothermal aging) without applied stress56,57 which can affect its mechanical properties and surface characteristics. It is deduced that the humid environment in human body might affect the performance of CaSi-ZrO2 composites. In addition, the acidity of liquids or food substances in the mouth may cause dissolution of the ceramic material and result in surface changes and/or material weakening.58 In clinical practice, because of local metabolic acidosis or tissue inflammation induced by bacteria,59 the pH of the bone lesion environment may change from a neutral value of 7.4 to an acidic pH as low as 5.0. Furthermore, the absorption rate of materials depends on the physiological environment (i.e., pH) and the properties of the material (i.e., solubility). For example, chemical degradation with 40% HF at ambient temperature could significantly reduce the three-point bending strength and Vickers hardness of Y-TZP.57 Eglmez et al. have found that three-point bending strength of ZrO2 was significantly decreased after soaking in acetic acid at 80 °C for 7 days.56 For this reason, it is important to perform a long-term degradation test at pH 5.0 SBF, thereby predicting in vivo stability before the preclinical trials. As a result, the long-term soaking in a pH 5.0 SBF solution influenced the physicochemical and mechanical properties of the composite materials. The continuous impact of acidic water molecules in pH 5.0 SBF into the lattice sites of t-ZrO2 caused the t → m phase transformation of the CaSi samples, as evidenced by XRD. The grain growth observed in the SEM morphologies was possibly due to the volume expansion caused by the t → m transformation after prolonged soaking. It has been reported that exposing t-ZrO2 to 3 kPa of H2O or immersing it in liquid water at 25 °C would lead to its extensive (–80%) transformation to m-ZrO2, which is attributed to a decrease in the difference between the surface free energy of m-ZrO2 and that of t-ZrO2 caused by the water adsorption.58

In general, t-ZrO2 phase stability can be improved by doping low percentages of CaO, MgO, Y2O3, and CeO2. This is because the structural similarity of the doped oxides and ZrO2 increases the lattice strain and/or crystal defects.59 As for SiO2, its lattice constrain promotes tetragonal stabilization of ZrO2 due to the formation of Si–O–Zr bonds.59 It is speculated that the release of lattice strain or crystal defects may lead to a subsequent increase in the t → m transformation. Like low-temperature degradation at 134 °C, long-term in vitro degradation in pH 5.0 SBF may be initiated by isolated surface grains. Between the grains, water is incorporated into the ZrO2 lattice through dissolution of Zr-O-Zr bonds and filling of oxygen vacancies.55 After 3 months of soaking in pH 5.0 SBF, the addition of the 30% CaSi to Y-TZP caused a significant reduction in biaxial strength, while the 20% CaSi dopant maintained the strength. The material degradation may begin at the surface layer of the material and grow into the bulk of the material,60 which in turn remarkably affects the mechanical properties.

The changes on the surface layer and in the bulk of the soaked samples can be also stated by the weight loss and porosity. When soaked in a long-term dynamic SBF solution, the ZrO2 control exhibited very much small ion release, which was consistent with in vivo results.61 Regarding composite materials, the ZCS30 sample with the highest CaSi content had the greatest weight loss and porosity, which was due to the release of the soluble fractions (CaSi). However, it revealed a relatively small degree of weight loss of <4% and porosity of about 6% even after a 6 month soaking time in pH 5.0 SBF. Not surprisingly, the CaSi component can be preferably dissolved compared to the ZrO2 matrix, which was also confirmed by EDS. It is worthwhile to note that ionic dissolution products of CaSi-based ceramics support the biomimetic microenvironment, which is conducive to the growth and differentiation of human orofacial bone mesenchymal stem cells.62 Dreger et al. have reported that released components from CaSi-based materials may stimulate mineral deposition at the material–dentin interface and inside the dentinal tubules.63 Among the CaSi-ZrO2 composites, ZCS20 was superior to ZCS30 in term of mechanical properties and long-term stability in vitro.

If osseointegration does not occur in a certain period of time, it may result in improper bonding of the implants to the bone tissues and eventually lead to implant failure.64 There is no doubt that the high osteogenesis of the implant material plays a vital role in long-term clinical success. The biological function of an implant is closely linked to the surface chemistry of the materials used. Monkey animal model experiments have confirmed that ZrO2 implants have no negative effects on soft
and hard tissues, showing similar osseointegration to titanium implants.65 Although ZrO2 exhibits low toxicity, at the same time, it has no direct bone bonding properties or osteoconduction behavior.1,66 Rahaman et al. designed a functionally graded bioactive glass coating on magnesia partially stabilized zirconia to improve the biocompatibility of Mg-ZrO2.65 Matsumoto et al. prepared a composite of ZrO2 and hydroxyapatite, which not only has compressive strength similar to the cortical bone but also has high cellular and tissue affinity.19

It is well recognized that hMSCs can be induced to differentiate into osteoblasts when cultured under appropriate conditions.67 In this study, to further elucidate the effect of the CaSi additive on osteogenic activity, cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, and mineralization of hMSCs cultured on various samples in the absence and presence of the osteogenic differentiation medium were performed. There were significant differences in hMSC osteogenic activity between the ZrO2 control and the CaSi-ZrO2 groups. Greater numbers of hMSCs grew on the CaSi-containing material surfaces than on the ZrO2 control at all culture times. More importantly, CaSi showed a significantly positive impact on the ALP activity and mineralization, irrespective of the presence of osteogenic differentiation agents such as dexamethasone, β-glycerophosphate, and ascorbic acid in the culture medium. In addition, supplementing the culture medium with osteogenic differentiation agents can induce more effective differentiation of hMSCs. Since osteogenic differentiation agents could stimulate osteoblastic differentiation of hMSCs, to clarify the role of CaSi in osteogenic differentiation, a growth medium may be used to examine the effect. Indeed, the current results confirmed that the presence of CaSi in the composites may promote the osteogenic differentiation of hMSCs under the culture conditions without osteogenic differentiation agents, highlighting the importance of material compositions. Gough et al. have reported that human osteoblast cells cultured in dissolution products of CaSi-based bioactive glass without osteogenic differentiation agent showed positive staining with alizarin red.68 Thus, tailoring material characteristics such as chemical composition and surface structure can affect cell—material interactions.69 Overall, the enhanced differentiation and mineralization of hMSCs consistently confirmed that the CaSi component in the ZrO2-based implants played a positive role in the in vitro osteogenesis process. Thus, it can be speculated that the CaSi-ZrO2 composites may be beneficial for bone regeneration upon implantation.

The elimination or prevention of biomaterial-associated infections has become a crucial factor in the success of an implantation treatment. Despite CaSi has been shown to have superior osteogenesis and antibacterial ability,26 it is necessary to study the antibacterial activity of CaSi-ZrO2 biocomposites. The ZrO2 surface had a bacteriostatic effect to a certain extent, consistent with a previous study,19 but the current results justified the advanced antibacterial activity of the CaSi-ZrO2 groups. Bacterial viability directly responds to the antibacterial activity of the material surface. The bacterial viability of E. coli and S. aureus cultured on the CaSi-containing samples was significantly lower than that on the ZrO2 control at all culture time points. Further, bacterial viability was inversely correlated with the CaSi content in the CaSi-ZrO2 groups, revealing the dose-dependent antibacterial activity. The current findings also corresponded to SEM observations, in which the number of rod-shaped E. coli and spherical S. aureus bacteria adherent to the surfaces of CaSi composites was markedly reduced when compared to the ZrO2 control surface. Although the exact mechanism of the antibacterial activity of CaSi-ZrO2 composites was not fully understood, the bacteriostatic effects were possibly due to surface charge, roughness, and modulus,71 which affected bacterial adhesion that was the first step of bacterial colonization. The high CaSi content in the ZrO2-based composite implants not only caused a substantial reduction in bacterial growth but also favored cell growth. In systems such as CaSi-ZrO2 implants, one of the threefold benefits was the creation of mechanical compatibility matching the mechanical properties of the cortical bone (Figure 15).

![Figure 15. Schematic diagram showing the CaSi effect on characteristics of ZrO2.](https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c00097)

Another advantage was the use of a higher antimicrobial ability on the surface, which reduced the incidence of infection at the implantation site. The other was to offer a bioactive surface for osteoblast growth, thereby improving osteogenesis.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The development of mechanically compatible implant materials with enhanced osteogenic and antibacterial activities has drawn great interest. The incorporation of CaSi in ZrO2 made the mechanical properties of the composites (including flexural strength, elastic modulus, and hardness) close to the cortical bone. Biological investigation confirmed that high CaSi content can effectively promote hMSC osteogenesis in vitro and inhibited bacterial growth. Taken together, ZrO2 containing 20 wt % CaSi can be considered as a potential implant candidate in terms of mechanical compatibility, long-term stability, antibacterial ability, and osteogenic activity. The new CaSi-ZrO2 biocomposite may be a promising candidate for load-bearing implants. To validate these potential bone implants, further research is needed, such as in vivo studies.
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