A model for microinstability destabilization and enhanced transport in the presence of shielded 3D magnetic perturbations
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Abstract
A mechanism is presented that suggests shielded 3D magnetic perturbations can destabilize microinstabilities and enhance the associated anomalous transport. Using local 3D equilibrium theory, shaped tokamak equilibria with small 3D deformations are constructed. In the vicinity of rational magnetic surfaces, the infinite-\(n\) ideal MHD ballooning stability boundary is strongly perturbed by the 3D modulations of the local magnetic shear associated with the presence of near-resonant Pfirsch–Schlüter currents. These currents are driven by 3D components of the magnetic field spectrum even when there is no resonant radial component. The infinite-\(n\) ideal ballooning stability boundary is often used as a proxy for the onset of virulent kinetic ballooning modes and associated stiff transport. These results suggest that the achievable pressure gradient may be lowered in the vicinity of low order rational surfaces when 3D magnetic perturbations are applied. This mechanism may provide an explanation for the observed reduction in the peak pressure gradient at the top of the edge pedestal during experiments where edge localized modes have been completely suppressed by applied 3D magnetic fields.

1. Introduction

The use of applied three-dimensional (3D) magnetic fields can have beneficial effects on the performance of otherwise axisymmetric toroidal confinement devices [1, 2]. In particular, the application of external 3D resonant magnetic perturbations (RMPs) whose resonant surface lies in the edge region of H-mode tokamaks can suppress the appearance of edge localized modes (ELMs) under certain conditions [3–5]. ELMs repeatedly and violently eject hot plasma from the tokamak edge onto solid materials of the device. In order to safely operate at the desired parameters without prohibitive erosion of first wall materials, future experiments such as ITER will require a reliable method for controlling edge properties to prevent large type-I ELMs [6]. The original motivation for pursuing the RMP method of ELM suppression was based on the expectation that RMPs of sufficient magnitude would produce overlapping magnetic islands that would greatly enhance plasma transport in the edge region and accordingly reduce the drive for ELM inducing instabilities associated with edge pressure gradients and currents [7, 8]. However, plasma flows in the edge region may be of sufficient magnitude to shield RMPs from penetration [9–11] in which case stochastic transport is no longer viable. Hence, a different mechanism may be required to explain the observations which indicate that the externally applied 3D perturbations can enhance plasma transport near the pedestal top, halting the inward growth of the pedestal and precluding the triggering of ELMs.

Experiments with applied 3D magnetic perturbations have now been performed on a number of tokamaks worldwide, yielding varying results. Experiments performed at the DIII-D tokamak with \(n = 3\) perturbations have demonstrated suppression of large type-I ELMs at various collisionalities and with different plasma shaping. In many cases the large type-I ELMs are replaced by higher frequency, lower amplitude edge transport bursts [12]. This has also been achieved for example at JET [13] with \(n = 1\) and \(n = 2\) perturbations, at MAST with \(n = 3\) perturbations [14], and at ASDEX-U with \(n = 2\) perturbations [15]. However, in some DIII-D experiments a complete elimination of bursty edge transport...
Understand the effect of resonant Pfirsch–Schlüter currents on onset of KBM instabilities and the associated transport. To show in this work that (local) infinite-$n$ ideal ballooning boundary is approached [16–18]. As such, ideal MHD ballooning mode calculations are often used as a proxy for the abrupt onset of KBM instabilities and the associated stiff transport response [19]. In particular, calculations using information from ideal ballooning stability and peeling–ballooning (P–B) theory used to predict ELM onset have successfully predicted self-consistent pedestal widths and heights [20]. Gyrokinetic modelling of microinstabilities in the pedestal has found that KBMs are unstable in the steep pressure gradient region of the pedestal, supporting the hypothesis that KBMs limit the pedestal pressure gradient [21, 22].

In this work, the effect of the 3D distortions of the MHD equilibrium on ideal ballooning stability is calculated. For amplitudes of comparable measure to those present in experiments, the 3D distortions are shown to substantially modify the local shear and reduce the critical pressure gradient for ideal ballooning instability. This is purely a 3D MHD equilibrium effect, occurring due to Pfirsch–Schluter currents near rational surfaces that are driven by 3D inhomogeneity in the magnetic field spectrum. This is a resonant effect and would lower the achievable pressure gradient in the vicinity of low order rational surfaces where the 3D deformation is strongest. Enhanced transport due to the onset of KBM instabilities at lower pressure gradient, due to the 3D effect, could halt the inward progression of the edge pedestal and achieve ELM suppression by preventing the pedestal height from reaching values where P–B modes are driven unstable. This explanation is consistent with recent pedestal modelling work where the prevention of ELMs was explained by the existence of a ‘wall’ at a low order rational surface which prevents the inward advance of the pedestal [23]. In the model presented here, the pedestal would advance inwards until the pedestal top approaches a low order rational surface where this 3D effect lowers the critical pressure gradient for the onset of KBMs. The steep pressure gradients present throughout the pedestal could not be sustained in the vicinity of the low order rational surface and thus the inward advance of the pedestal would be halted.

It is worth noting that the global MHD instabilities that are thought to trigger ELMs, P–B modes, may be sensitive to this local shear modulation as well. The high-$n$ components of these modes are dominated by ballooning structure, and we show in this work that (local) infinite-$n$ ballooning modes are strongly affected by the local shear modulation (though we use the infinite-$n$ ballooning calculations merely as a proxy for the onset of KBM instabilities and the associated transport). To understand the effect of resonant Pfirsch–Schluter currents on the peeling–ballooning instabilities would require global MHD stability calculations using global 3D MHD equilibria which include the resonant Pfirsch–Schluter physics. This is beyond the scope of this work. In particular, this model attempts to provide an explanation for the DIII-D experiments where the increased pedestal transport completely precludes the triggering of any ELMs or other bursty events. Therefore, we focus on local KBM stability (with infinite-$n$ MHD ballooning mode stability as a proxy) and utilize radially local equilibrium, which greatly simplifies the modelling effort and should be adequate for microinstability calculations. Assessing the validity of these local equilibrium calculations as a rational surface is approached is an important step for future work as it will be required to make quantitative comparisons with experiment.

In the following section, details for how 3D fields alter the MHD equilibrium are described using local 3D equilibrium theory. In section 3, the infinite-$n$ ideal MHD ballooning stability boundary is evaluated for a set of equilibria with 3D flux surface deformations of experimentally relevant magnitude. It is found that as the $q$ value approaches a rational value, the instability boundary is strongly modified by the presence of the 3D fields. Section 4 explains this result via a detailed examination of the local magnetic shear. The stability boundary is expanded when near-resonant Pfirsch–Schluter currents modulate the local magnetic shear in a manner strongly conducive to ballooning instability. Section 5 summarizes these results and discusses the implications for understanding experiments where RMP suppression of ELMs has been achieved. The appendix elucidates the role of the 3D fields on the local shear and provides an analytic estimate for the critical pressure gradient for ballooning instability for a low-$\beta$, high-aspect ratio, circular cross section tokamak when weak 3D fields are present.

2. The local 3D equilibrium model

A description of the equilibrium in the presence of 3D fields can be provided by the prescriptions of local 3D MHD equilibrium theory [24]. In this formulation, the shape of the 3D magnetic surface is parametrized by straight-field line angles, the value of the safety factor $q$ and two profile quantities, typically the pressure gradient and the average magnetic shear $q'$. These parameters uniquely specify the properties of 3D MHD equilibrium in the vicinity of a flux surface. The original motivation for this formulation was to study the effects of 3D shaping on ballooning stability in stellarator configurations [25], and it has also proved useful for interpreting LHD data [26]. This formulation provides an exact description of how 3D plasma shaping affects quantities such as the local magnetic shear and normal curvature which play crucial roles in the stability of localized plasma instabilities. In this work, this model is used to elucidate the mechanism by which small 3D deformations can introduce substantial 3D structure in the Pfirsch–Schluter current spectrum and local magnetic shear.

A shaped tokamak equilibrium model, the widely used ‘Miller equilibrium’ [27], provides the starting point for these calculations. The physical position of the flux surface in cylindrical coordinates is parametrized in terms of the
geometric poloidal angle $\theta$ as

$$ R = R_0 + r \cos[\theta + (\sin^{-1} \delta) \sin \theta], \quad (1) $$

$$ Z = k r \sin \theta, \quad (2) $$

where $\delta$ is the plasma triangularity, $k$ is the elongation, and $A = R_0/r$ is the aspect ratio of the flux surface. The poloidal magnetic field on the surface is also specified using four additional parameters,

$$ B_p = \frac{d_P \left[ \sin^2(\theta + x \sin \theta) \right] (1 + x \cos \theta)^2 + k^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\kappa R_0}, \quad (3) $$

where $a_0 = \cos(x \sin \theta) + d_P \cos \theta + [s_x - s_y \cos \theta + (1 + s_x \sin \theta) \sin \theta \sin(\theta + x \sin \theta)]$, $x = \delta$ and three of the new parameters $s_x$, $s_y$, and $d_P$, $R_0$ are related to radial derivatives of the flux surface shaping parameters \([27]\). Typically $q$ is chosen and $d_P \psi$ is determined consistent with the definition $q = \{q_{2/2} f \} d_P \psi / \{R^2 \psi \}$, where $f(\psi) = R \delta_B$, $d_P$ is the differential poloidal arc length, and $B_p$ is the toroidal magnetic field. The equilibrium parametrization is completed by choosing the following two dimensionless profiles, (4)

$$ s = \frac{q^2 P_0}{q V c_0}, \quad (4) $$

$$ \alpha = -\frac{2q^2 \mu_0 \rho \nabla^2 \gamma}{c_0^2}, \quad (5) $$

where $V = \frac{1}{f} \int \rho \, f \, d \zeta \sqrt{\frac{1}{4 \pi}}$, $\nabla$ is the Jacobian and $c_0 = \{B^2 R_0^2/\{ \psi \} \}$. In total there are nine dimensionless parameters which uniquely specify a solution to the MHD equilibrium equations in the vicinity of the chosen flux surface.

The Miller equilibrium is formulated by denoting the inverse mapping $X = X(\theta)$ and the poloidal field strength, the 3D local equilibrium model is formulated in terms of straight-field line coordinates $\Theta$ and $\zeta$, such that $d\zeta / d\theta = q(\psi)$. These two treatments can be unified by noting the relationship

$$ B_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \left[ \frac{\partial X}{\partial \Theta} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \zeta} \right]. \quad (6) $$

Here, the Jacobian, $\sqrt{\rho} = R^2 / f$, is written consistent with the use of symmetry in $\zeta$. A transformation to the straight-field line coordinates used by the 3D local model can be calculated from

$$ \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial X}{\partial \theta} \frac{f}{q R^2 B_p}. \quad (7) $$

The 3D distortions of the flux surface shape can then be added perturbatively to the Miller equilibrium. In the following, the flux surface parametrization given by

$$ R = R(\Theta) + \sum_i \gamma_i \cos(M_i \Theta - N_i \zeta) $$

$$ Z = Z(\Theta) + \sum_i \gamma_i \sin(M_i \Theta - N_i \zeta), \quad (8) $$

and $\phi = -\zeta$ will be used throughout the calculation, in which the sum is over 3D perturbations with different helicity. Here, $R(\Theta)$ and $Z(\Theta)$ are given by equations (1) and (2) and the amplitudes $\gamma_i$ are small quantities.

The magnitude of each $\gamma_i$ in the flux surface shape parametrization can be related to the magnitude of a radial magnetic perturbation. The resulting magnetic field is split into two components, $B = B^\text{rad} + \tilde{B}$, where $B^\text{rad}$ is the axisymmetric part. The perturbed part of the magnetic field is then projected into a radial coordinate, $\rho^2 = \psi^\text{rad}$. In a high-aspect ratio circular cross section limit, the Fourier harmonic of each magnetic field component is given by

$$ \tilde{B}_n = \frac{B_n}{B_0} \left( \gamma_n - M_n \right) \frac{M}{R_0}. \quad (9) $$

Note that at a rational surface $q = M/N$, the resonant component of the radial magnetic field is completely shielded.

Using equation (8) as the flux surface parametrization, the geometric properties of the magnetic field lines (normal and geodesic curvature, normal torsion, etc) are determined. The magnetic field and gradient in flux are given by

$$ B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \left( \frac{\partial X}{\partial \Theta} \frac{1}{q} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \zeta} \right) \quad (10) $$

$$ \nabla \psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \left( \frac{\partial X}{\partial \Theta} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \psi} \right), \quad (11) $$

where the Jacobian is defined as

$$ \sqrt{\rho} = \frac{\partial X}{\partial \psi} \left( \frac{\partial X}{\partial \Theta} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \zeta} \right). \quad (12) $$

The local 3D equilibrium model is based on an expansion in $\psi$ of the field line mapping,

$$ X(\psi, \Theta, \zeta) = X(\psi_0, \Theta, \zeta) + (\psi - \psi_0) \frac{\partial X}{\partial \psi} (\psi_0, \Theta, \zeta) + \cdots, \quad (13) $$

where $\psi_0$ labels the surface of interest and higher order terms in the expansion are neglected. By solving the ideal MHD equilibrium equations in the vicinity of $\psi_0$, $\partial X / \partial \psi$ can be determined. To do this we note that the equations of MHD equilibrium dictate that no plasma currents flow normal to flux surfaces. By calculating $n \cdot J = 0$, where $n$ is the unit vector normal to the flux surface, a first order partial differential equation for the Jacobian is derived,

$$ \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left( \frac{g(\zeta + g(\Theta)/q)}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \frac{g(\zeta) + g(\Theta)/q}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right), \quad (14) $$

where the metric elements are defined as $g_{\Theta \Theta} = \frac{2 X}{\rho^2}$, etc, and are completely defined by the parametrization given in equation (8). Equation (14) must be satisfied in order for the Jacobian to be consistent with its definition in equation (12). This constrains one component of $\partial X / \partial \psi$ , and the other two components are determined by the choice of profile quantities (the surface averaged shear, the surface averaged parallel current, and the pressure gradient) \([24]\). In practice, we choose the flux surface shape and two of the three profile quantities (the surface averaged shear and the pressure gradient). The third profile quantity is determined by a profile relation and equation (14) is solved numerically to obtain the Jacobian. So long as a solution to equation (14) can be found (there is no guarantee of the existence of solutions in general) then the resulting equilibrium is consistent with the ideal MHD in the vicinity of the surface of interest.
3. Ballooning stability

The ideal ballooning stability boundary in \( s - \alpha \) space for 3D local equilibria can then be evaluated. These marginal stability boundaries can be constructed for 3D configurations in a manner analogous to the traditional axisymmetric procedure [28–32]. The angular variables are transformed to another set which follows magnetic field lines, \( \alpha = \zeta \) (which marks the position along a magnetic field line) and \( \alpha_0 = \Theta - \zeta/q \) (the field line label). The lowest order incompressible ballooning eigenvalue equation at marginality is written using \( R_0 \) and \( V = R_0/B_0 \) to normalize all physical quantities,\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left[ \frac{\hat{V}}{\sqrt{\hat{V}} \left| \nabla \psi \right|^2} \frac{R_0}{\hat{V}} \right] \left( 1 + \Lambda^2 \right) \frac{\partial \hat{\xi}}{\partial \eta} = -\nabla R_0 \left( \frac{\alpha_{c0}^{1/2}}{q^2} + \Lambda R_0 \kappa_n \right) \hat{\xi}, \]where (15).

Here, \( \alpha^2 \) is a normalized eigenvalue, \( \kappa_n \) and \( \kappa_m \) are the geodesic and normal curvatures, respectively (\( \kappa = \kappa_n \hat{n} + \kappa_g \hat{b} \times \hat{n} \)), and \( \Lambda \) is the integrated local shear given by\[ \Lambda = \frac{\left| \nabla \psi \right|^2 \hat{V}^2}{R_0^2} \frac{R_0}{\hat{V} B} \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} \frac{d\eta}{q} \left[ -s \frac{c_0}{q} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right]. \]Equation (16).

Here, \( \eta_0 \) plays the dual role of both the radial wave number of the mode as well as the starting point for field line integration. The quantity \( D \) is related to the local variation in the magnetic shear and will be treated in more detail subsequently. One important feature of ballooning stability analysis in 3D (relative to two-dimensional (2D)) is that the (local) ballooning eigenvalue now depends on the field line label \( \alpha_0 \). This feature of ballooning instabilities in 3D configurations has been widely discussed in the existing literature and has as consequences: the construction of global eigenmodes becomes more complicated [28] and the calculation of instability may be too pessimistic due to the possible localization of ballooning eigenmodes at length scales where (stabilizing) FLR effects become important [30]. In this work, separate marginal stability curves are calculated for different values of \( \alpha_0 \). At each value of \( s \) and \( \alpha_0 \), the ballooning eigenvalue equation is solved for values of \( \eta_i \) in a poloidal rotation of the magnetic surface to find the most unstable (or least stable) eigenvalue. In practice, for the 3D equilibrium considered here, the field line labelled by \( \alpha_0 = 0 \) has been found to be the most unstable field line on any given surface.

The primary focus of this work is on the ideal ballooning stability properties of a family of equilibria calculated using this method. An axisymmetric equilibrium with \( A = 3.17, q = 3.03, \kappa = 1.66, \delta = 0.416, s_0 = 0.7, s_1 = 1.37 \) and \( d, R_0 = -0.354 \) is used as a base case. A set of equilibria are constructed with the same axisymmetric shape, but with 3D perturbations with \( \gamma_l = 0.001, N = 3 \) and \( M = 4.14 \). The different 3D equilibria employ a series of \( q \) values approaching 3. Evaluating equation (9) for these parameters gives values of \( B_r/B_0 \leq 3 \times 10^{-5} \) for all harmonics of the equilibrium examined here, with \( M = 9, N = 3 \) component being of order \( 10^{-5} \) (or even smaller as \( q \) approaches 3). The spectrum of \( B_r/B_0 \) is shown for several of the 3D equilibria in figure 2.

The marginal ballooning stability curves for an axisymmetric equilibrium as well as a family of 3D equilibria with \( q \) ranging from 3.15 to 3.01 are shown in figure 2. Surprisingly, even very weak 3D fields are capable of appreciably modifying the marginal stability boundary. The stability boundary is particularly sensitive to the \( q \) value, with the unstable region expanding as it approaches 3. This suggests that there is a resonant mechanism which affects the stability boundary, which is still weakly operative even when \( q = 3.15 \). It is also worth noting that the stability boundary expands dramatically at \( q = 3.01 \), which we will return to subsequently.

The important question is then, how can we set of magnetic perturbations with a magnitude on the order of \( 10^{-4} \) of the background field have such a dramatic impact on the ballooning stability boundary? We begin by inspecting the terms appearing in equation (15). A measure of how strongly various quantities are perturbed by the 3D deformation is shown in figure 3. The 3D perturbation has no appreciable

Figure 1. Spectrum of the radial magnetic perturbation for 3D equilibria with several \( q \) values where \( N = 3 \) and \( \gamma_l = 10^{-3} \).

Figure 2. Marginal ballooning stability curves for the axisymmetric equilibrium with \( q = 3.03 \) and equilibria with 3D fields added for \( q \) values 3.15, 3.07, 3.05, 3.03 and 3.01. All stability curves shown here are for the field line labelled by \( \alpha_0 = 0 \), which is generally the most unstable field line on each surface.
The right-hand side of this expression can be split into three component parts relating to different physical effects. The first term on the right-hand side relates to local shearing of the magnetic field due to Pfirsch–Schluter currents. The second term relates to shearing of the magnetic field due to the Pfirsch–Schluter currents. However, for the remainder of this work we will focus on the local magnetic shear, $\partial D/\partial \eta$, as it plays a more intuitive role in ballooning stability.

4. Local shear modulation

The Pfirsch–Schluter current spectrum is determined by a magnetic differential equation,

$$\left( \frac{\hat{V}}{\sqrt{g}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} D = -s \frac{c_0 B^2 R_0^2}{q |\nabla \psi|^2} e_0 - \frac{\hat{V}}{\sqrt{g}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{B^2}{|\nabla \psi|^2} \left( \frac{\beta}{|\nabla \psi|^2} F \right) \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{g}},$$

$$+ 2 \left[ \frac{B^2}{|\nabla \psi|^2} \left( \frac{\beta}{|\nabla \psi|^2} \tau_{\alpha} R_0 \right) - \frac{B^2}{|\nabla \psi|^2} \frac{\beta}{|\nabla \psi|^2} R_0 \right].$$

The differential operator in these magnetic differential equations becomes singular as $q$ approaches a rational value, which can be seen as the denominator on the right-hand side approaching zero. Even if the resonant component of the radial magnetic field is perfectly shielded, non-resonant components of the radial magnetic field can easily result in large responses to the right-hand side of equation (19). Figure 4 shows the quantity $D$ for several of the equilibria analysed here. As $q$ approaches 3, the peak amplitude of the variation of the local shear is greatly enhanced and the structure becomes dominantly helical.
The local magnetic shear passes through zero in a region of positive normal curvature. As \( q \) approaches 3, the helical modulation shifts the null in the local magnetic shear towards the outboard midplane. At \( q = 3.01 \), where the ballooning stability boundary is quite dramatically expanded, the null in the local shear overlaps with the region of negative normal curvature. This is strongly conducive to ballooning instability and explains the expansion of the unstable region in \( s - \alpha \) space.

If we limit our attention to the field line which passes through \( \zeta = 0 \) and leave \( \eta_0 \) fixed at 0, which is generally the most unstable point on a surface, more insight can be gained. First we examine the 3D equilibrium with \( q = 3.05 \) at \( \alpha = 4 \) and look at the effect of varying the surface average magnetic shear. Figure 6 shows the structure of the local magnetic shear as the flux surface averaged shear is raised from 2 to 5 (the stability boundary is at roughly 3.75), and the unstable eigenvector at the average shear of 4. As the surface averaged shear is raised, the strongly negative magnetic shear at the outboard midplane (\( \Theta = 0 \)) is weakened. The zeros in the local magnetic shear also move closer to the outboard midplane, where the unstable eigenvector peaks.

This same process can be observed by examining equilibria with different \( q \) values at \( (s = 2, \alpha = 4) \), where all equilibria except \( q = 3.01 \) are stable. Figure 7 shows the structure of the local magnetic shear for several equilibria, and the unstable eigenvector at \( q = 3.01 \). The local magnetic shear for an equilibrium with \( q = 3.005 \) is also shown. (The stability properties of this equilibrium is not plotted in figure 2. The unstable region expands to considerably lower alpha and into regions with negative \( s \).) As the safety factor approaches the resonant value, the local magnetic shear is helically modulated across the surface. For some magnetic field lines, this is catalytic for ballooning instability due to the lower magnitude of the local magnetic shear at the outboard midplane, and the shift in the zeros of the local magnetic shear towards the outboard midplane.

However, for some of the magnetic field lines on the surface the result of the 3D perturbations is to draw the zeros of the local magnetic shear away from the outboard midplane. Therefore, the 3D perturbations have a destabilizing effect on some areas of the surface but a stabilizing effect on others. Figure 8 shows the change in the structure of the local magnetic shear as the field line label is varied (\( \eta_0 \) is also varied such that the computational domain is centred at the outboard midplane). As the toroidal angle is varied away from \( \zeta = 0 \), the magnitude of the local magnetic shear is strengthened at the outboard midplane and the zeros shift towards the inboard side. A scan of the ballooning eigenvalue with respect to field line label (at fixed \( \Theta = 0 \), varying the toroidal angle is equivalent to varying the field line label) is shown in figure 9, showing that even deep within the unstable region, some portion of magnetic field lines are still ballooning stable.

In principle, for 3D configurations the stability of the entire flux surface should be studied self-consistently. The infinite-\( n \) stability analysis is suitable in a tokamak where...
Figure 6. 3D equilibrium with $q = 3.05$, with $\alpha = 4$. The local magnetic shear is shown at different values of the surface averaged shear. The ballooning eigenvector is also shown for the unstable $s = 4$ case as the dashed blue line. Here, the point of marginal stability is at a surface averaged shear of $\sim 3.75$. In all cases, $\alpha_0 = 0$.

Figure 7. The local magnetic shear for various equilibria with $s = 2$, $\alpha = 4$, $\alpha_0 = 0$. The unstable eigenvector is also shown for the $q = 3.01$ equilibrium. Large modulation of the local magnetic shear draws the regions of low local magnetic shear into regions of negative normal curvature (near the outboard midplane where $\Theta = 0$). A 3D equilibrium with $q = 3.005$ is also shown here to demonstrate how strong the local shear modulation becomes near resonance.

Figure 8. Change in local magnetic shear with the field line label for the 3D equilibrium with $q = 3.01$, $\alpha = 4$, $s = 2$. The field lines labelled $\alpha_0 = 0$ and $\alpha_0 = \pi/15$ are unstable while the other three are stable. The 3D perturbation is destabilizing for some field lines but stabilizing for others.

Figure 9. The ballooning eigenvalue as a function of the field line label for the 3D equilibrium with $q = 3.01$ at several values of the surface averaged shear. Here again, $\eta_0$ was varied to keep the computational domain centred at $\Theta = 0$. At $\Theta = 0$ varying the toroidal angle is equivalent to varying the field line label. $s = 1.5$ is close to marginality and the $s = 2, 4$ calculations go deeper into the unstable region. Even at $s = 4$, a portion of the magnetic field lines are still stable.

To conclude the stability analysis, we remark that the mechanism by which the local shear modulation affects ballooning stability is surprisingly simple. The helical perturbation to the local magnetic shear is nearly aligned with magnetic field lines, so an infinite-$n$ ballooning mode located on a particular field line will mostly experience a uniform increase or decrease in the local magnetic shear (relative to 2D) when the 3D perturbation is added. This can have a stabilizing or destabilizing effect, for the exact same reasons that changing the surface averaged magnetic shear can be stabilizing or destabilizing depending on the location in $s-\alpha$ space. We have identified and elucidated this mechanism, however to perform quantitative predictions will require actual KBM calculations which consider an entire flux surface self-consistently, which is planned as future work.

5. Summary and discussion

These calculations demonstrate two important points concerning the use of externally applied, 3D RMP fields. The first is that very small 3D magnetic perturbations, of experimentally relevant magnitudes, can drive substantial non-axisymmetric modulation of the Pfirsch–Schluter current spectrum and local magnetic shear even when shielding physics prevents the presence of magnetic island formation. This effect is caused by a
pitch resonance between magnetic field lines and the 3D geometric deformation of the surface, in particular through a product of the geodesic curvature and magnetic field strength. This is related to the same physical effect which leads to the singular current problem of 3D global MHD equilibrium calculations.

A second point is that this 3D modulation of the local shear has a significant effect on the ideal ballooning stability boundaries. These calculations suggest that the onset conditions for KBM instability can be significantly lowered near rational surfaces in the presence of 3D perturbations. The initial variant of the predictive pedestal model EPED (EPED1) described the onset of KBM turbulence using the approximation that the marginal stability boundary was of the shape \( \alpha_{\text{crit}} \sim 1/s^{1/2} \) [33], suggesting that pedestal evolution is particularly sensitive to the lower left portion of the stability boundary, which is where it is most strongly perturbed by the 3D local shear modulation. A model for how the pedestal advances inwards was presented in [22], finding that microtearing modes (MTMs) limit the temperature gradient in the region just inside the pedestal top. The rising density gradient stabilizes the MTMs and the total pressure gradient then rises until KKMs are driven unstable. If the critical pressure gradient for KKM onset were lowered in a radially localized region, the pedestal would not be able to advance inwards beyond this region. In this event, type-I ELMs can be avoided.

There are several important consequences for future modelling work. Studies of plasma microinstabilities in the presence of 3D RMP fields which utilize 2D MHD equilibria and include the RMP field separately will not capture the Pfirsch–Schl"uter physics. For example, in gyrokinetics this 3D local shear deformation strongly affects the coordinate transformation from the position of particle guiding centres to their full orbits. Therefore any delta- \( f \) gyrokinetic calculation would only see the effect of this physics if it was initiated with a 3D MHD equilibrium and incorporated the 3D variation of the geometry into the evaluation of particle orbits during gyroaveraging. Proper analysis of this effect requires 3D MHD equilibrium calculations with a finite pressure gradient at the surface(s) of interest. The ideal MHD model exhibits a singular parallel current at rational surfaces which in principle must be resolved using a more detailed physics model. We have sidestepped this by using radially local equilibrium calculations and merely examining ‘near-resonant’ effects. However, a better understanding of the nature of the plasma response to 3D deformation at rational surfaces is desirable. Within the local equilibrium model, the 3D effect grows arbitrarily large as the rational surface is approached. To make qualitative predictions and compare with experimental results it will be necessary to understand how close to a rational surface these equilibrium calculations remain valid. There is a scale (a distance from the rational surface) on which the ideal MHD equilibrium calculations break down and it is crucial to understand how this compares to the scale on which the 3D local shear modulation becomes important.

There are a number of observed features of ELM suppression experiments which we cannot yet address with the calculations presented here. During ELM suppression experiments, the transport throughout most of the pedestal is only weakly affected while the peak pressure gradient at the pedestal top is limited. A crucial test of this model will be to reproduce this robust result: transport is only enhanced in a radially localized region at the top of the pedestal while the achievable pressure gradient throughout most of the pedestal remains largely unchanged. One would generally expect the source for 3D modulation (the right-hand side of equation (19)) to increase in magnitude as one moves inwards from the separatrix and approaches lower order rational surfaces, as the 3D perturbation spectrum tends to peak at low poloidal mode number [10, 11]. It has also been found that the penetration of magnetic perturbations is strongest where the perpendicular electron velocity crosses through zero, which is typically near the pedestal top [11]. These are possible explanations for how this 3D effect could preferentially increase transport only at the pedestal top, but more qualitative calculations are needed and this will be a major priority for future work. It has also been observed that during ELM suppression, the decrease in the peak pressure gradient is mostly due to a decrease in the density at the pedestal top, the so called ‘density pump-out’.

Another important task for future work is to determine the relative rate of particle to heat transport in the presence of KBMs.

In summary, we have presented a model for enhanced transport in the edge region of H-mode tokamaks in the presence of RMP fields. Small 3D distortions of the flux surface shape can lead to helical Pfirsch–Schl"uter currents which substantially modulate the local magnetic shear, a quantity which plays an important role in stability of localized modes and their associated anomalous transport. Even when the resonant components of the radial magnetic perturbation is shielded, this effect is still operative. The Pfirsch–Schl"uter current and local shear are determined by magnetic differential equations which become singular near rational values of \( q \).

At a rational surface this singular response will be resolved by physical mechanisms beyond the scope of ideal MHD. However the near-resonant response is quite significant, and therefore 3D magnetic perturbations with a broad poloidal mode spectrum may be able to halt the inward evolution of the pedestal as it approaches a low order rational surface by adversely affecting KKM turbulence.

Future work will use linear and nonlinear full-surface gyrokinetic calculation of KBMs to test the ideal MHD ballooning prediction. A better understanding of what physical processes resolve the singular Pfirsch–Schl"uter currents present in ideal MHD is desirable to assess the validity of the local 3D MHD equilibrium calculations as a rational surface is approached. Sensitivity studies of these results with respect to external magnetic perturbation strength and spectrum content also need to be pursued. However, we have demonstrated that local magnetic shear modulation driven by helical Pfirsch–Schl"uter currents provides a possible explanation, which does not rely on stochasticity, for the observed enhanced plasma transport during some ELM suppression experiments.

Appendix. Analysis in the shifted-circle limit

In order to obtain further analytic insight into how the 3D fields alter the ballooning stability properties, analysis of the ballooning equation for a particular 3D equilibrium can be performed. We start from an axisymmetric equilibrium with
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large aspect ratio, low β, circular concentric flux surfaces and locally steepened pressure gradient [34]. To this equilibrium, 3D fields are added as described in section 2. Using equations (1), (2) and (8) to define the equilibrium in the $A \gg 1$, $\delta = \kappa = s_x = s_y = d_t R_0 = 0$, $\gamma_i/r \ll 1$ limit yields asymptotic estimates for various geometric quantities. In particular, the normal and geodesic curvatures are given by

$$\kappa_n \approx -\frac{\cos \Theta}{R_0} \left[ 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{A} \right) \right] - \sum_i \frac{\gamma_i}{R_{0i}} \cos (M_i \Theta - N_i \xi),$$

(A1)

$$\kappa_g \approx \frac{\sin \Theta}{R_0} \left[ 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{A} \right) \right] + \sum_i \frac{\gamma_i}{R_{0i}} \sin (M_i \Theta - N_i \xi),$$

(A2)

Here, the first terms correspond to the usual 1/R contributions to the curvature vector, while the last terms correspond to the weak modulations due to the 3D fields. While the 3D fields provide very small corrections to the curvature vector, they can produce substantial corrections to the Pfirsch–Schlüter coefficient. The solution to equation (18) for this equilibrium is given by

$$\lambda \approx \frac{2 \mu_0 V}{R_0} \left[ -q \cos \Theta - \sum_i \frac{M_i q}{M_i - N_i q} \cos (M_i \Theta - N_i \xi) \right],$$

(A3)

where the first term is the conventional high-aspect ratio prediction for the Pfirsch–Schlüter coefficient and the last term is due to the 3D fields. As noted, the 3D field can produce an appreciable effect when the 3D field is near pitch resonance with the $q$ profile.

With these modifications, the 3D corrections to the shifted-circle equilibrium can be included assuming $\gamma_i/r < 1$ but $(\gamma_i/r)(M_i - N_i q)^{-1} \sim O(1)$. The ballooning equation for the shifted-circle equilibrium with small 3D distortions is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} \left[ (1 + \Lambda^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} + \alpha \left( \cos \Theta + \Lambda \sin \Theta \right) \xi \right] = -\left( 1 + \Lambda^2 \right) \omega^2 \xi,$$

(A4)

where the effect of the 3D fields enters through the integrated local shear quantity $\Lambda$ given by

$$\Lambda = \int_{\theta_0}^{\Theta} d\Theta [s - \alpha (\cos \Theta)] + \sum_i \frac{M_i}{M_i - N_i q} \frac{\gamma_i}{r} \cos \left( (M_i - N_i q) \Theta - N_i \alpha \Theta \right),$$

(A5)

where $\alpha_0$ denotes a field line label. Generally, numerical solutions show that the large variation of the local shear produced by the 3D fields produces localized eigenfunctions along the field line. Using this strong ballooning approximation, the ballooning equation can be converted to an equation of the form $d^2 Y/d\Theta^2 + V(\Theta) Y = -\omega^2 Y$, where the potential function $V$ can be expanded about $\Theta = 0$, $V = V(0) + V''(0) \Theta^2/2 + \cdots$. From this calculation, the corresponding critical $\alpha$ for ballooning instability can be calculated. In the large $\alpha$, $s$ limit, this is given approximately by

$$\alpha_{crit} \approx \frac{s}{1 + \sum_i \frac{M_i}{M_i - N_i q} \frac{\gamma_i}{r} \cos (N_i \alpha) \pm \cdots},$$

(A6)

where higher order corrections are suppressed. From this, we can see that the 3D fields can produce order unity corrections to the ballooning stability boundary when $\gamma_i/r \sim (M_i - N_i q)$. When this is the case, the $\alpha_{crit}$ can be substantially modified. Additionally, we note that the 3D correction is sensitive to the field line label as noted by the $\alpha_0$ dependence. Both of these features are also demonstrated by the more detailed numerical study described in the bulk of the paper.
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