Membrane Potential Controls the Efficacy of Catecholamine-induced β₁-Adrenoreceptor Activity*
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Background: The activity of several Gq- and G₁-coupled receptors is modulated by the membrane potential. Results: Voltage modulates catecholamine-mediated activation of G₁-coupled β₁- and β₂-adrenoreceptors. Conclusion: Voltage-dependence of β₁-AR is due to alterations in the efficacy of catecholamines. Significance: By modulating catecholamine efficacy on β₁-ARs, voltage can modify receptor activity on a very fast time scale.

G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) are membrane-located proteins and, therefore, are exposed to changes in membrane potential (V<sub>M</sub>) in excitable tissues. These changes have been shown to alter receptor activation of certain G<sub>q</sub>- and G<sub>₁</sub>-coupled GPCRs. By means of a combination of whole-cell patch-clamp and Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) in single cells, we demonstrate that the activation of the G<sub>₁</sub>-coupled β₁-adrenoreceptor (β₁-AR) by the catecholamines isoprenaline (Iso) and adrenaline (Adr) is regulated by V<sub>M</sub>. This voltage-dependence is also transmitted to G protein and arrestin 3 signaling. Voltage-dependence of β₂-AR activation, however, was weak compared with β₁-AR voltage-dependence. Drug efficacy is a major target of β₁-AR voltage-dependence as depolarization attenuated receptor activation, even under saturating concentrations of agonists, with significantly faster kinetics than the deactivation upon agonist withdrawal. Also the efficacy of the endogenous full agonist adrenaline was reduced by depolarization. This is a unique finding since reports of natural full agonists at other voltage-dependent GPCRs only show alterations in affinity during depolarization. Based on a Boltzmann function fit to the relationship of V<sub>M</sub> and receptor-arrestin 3 interaction we determined the voltage-dependence with highest sensitivity in the physiological range of membrane potential. Our data suggest that under physiological conditions voltage regulates the activity of agonist-occupied β₁-adrenoreceptors on a very fast time scale.

The classical way of activating G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs)<sup>2</sup> is initiated by the binding of extracellular ligands to the receptor. GPCR activity can also be modulated by the membrane potential (V<sub>M</sub>), which has first directly been shown for the regulation of the muscarinic M<sub>₂</sub> acetylcholine receptor (M<sub>₂</sub>AChR) (1). Since then, several G<sub>q</sub>- and G<sub>₁</sub>-coupled receptors of GPCR classes A and C were characterized with regard to their voltage-dependence (2–10). This voltage-dependence is an intrinsic property of the receptor molecule as shown by the measurement of “gating currents” in muscarinic receptors (11, 12) and depolarization-induced conformational changes of the α<sub>2A</sub>-adrenoreceptor (α<sub>2A</sub>-AR) measured with an intramolecular FRET-based biosensor (7). Measurements of GPCR-effector responses showed that also downstream signaling of, for example, certain glutamate receptors (2), the purinergic P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptor (P2Y<sub>1</sub>R) (3, 13), dopaminergic D<sub>₂</sub> receptors (D<sub>₂</sub>R) (14), and α<sub>2A</sub>-AR (7) was effected by V<sub>M</sub>. Depolarization-induced changes in agonist affinity which either led to deactivation of M<sub>₂</sub>AChR, metabotropic glutamate receptor 3 (mGlur<sub>₃</sub>) and α<sub>2A</sub>-AR (1, 2, 7) or activation of M<sub>₁</sub>AChR and mGlur<sub>₃</sub> (2, 11). The dissociation of agonists has been shown to be voltage-dependent with the association being unaffected (7, 15). Also increases in agonist potency have been reported for lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors and P2Y<sub>1</sub>R (4, 13). In contrast, depolarization reduced the histamine potency at the histamine H<sub>₄</sub> receptor (H<sub>₄</sub>R) (6). In addition to altered affinity or potency of agonists by V<sub>M</sub>, there have been reports on agonist-specificity of voltage-dependence at the M<sub>₂</sub>AChR (12) and D<sub>₂</sub>R (16). Despite increasing evidence for voltage-sensitive receptor function, the mechanism of voltage-dependence remains unclear.

β-Adrenoceptors (β-ARs) comprise another group of class A GPCRs of great clinical importance. Sympathetic stimulation of β-ARs with catecholamines is transduced into intracellular responses via coupling to G<sub>q</sub> proteins to regulate, for example, heart rate and contractility (17). Of the three existing β-AR subtypes expressed in the (healthy) heart, β₁-AR is the most abundant (18). With their seven-transmembrane spanning receptor 1/3; H<sub>₄</sub>R, histamine H<sub>₄</sub> receptor; V<sub>so</sub>, membrane potential; FRET, Förster resonance energy transfer; Iso, isoprenaline; Adr, adrenaline; NA, noradrenaline; Arr3, arrestin 3; GRK2, G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2; YFP, yellow fluorescent protein; CFP, cyan fluorescent protein; Cer, Cerulean, variant of CFP; TM, transmembrane domain.
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β-Adrenoceptors (β-ARs) comprise another group of class A GPCRs of great clinical importance. Sympathetic stimulation of β-ARs with catecholamines is transduced into intracellular responses via coupling to G<sub>q</sub> proteins to regulate, for example, heart rate and contractility (17). Of the three existing β-AR subtypes expressed in the (healthy) heart, β₁-AR is the most abundant (18). With their seven-transmembrane spanning receptor 1/3; H<sub>₄</sub>R, histamine H<sub>₄</sub> receptor; V<sub>so</sub>, membrane potential; FRET, Förster resonance energy transfer; Iso, isoprenaline; Adr, adrenaline; NA, noradrenaline; Arr3, arrestin 3; GRK2, G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2; YFP, yellow fluorescent protein; CFP, cyan fluorescent protein; Cer, Cerulean, variant of CFP; TM, transmembrane domain.
topology, β-ARs are constantly exposed to changes in $V_{m}$ in this excitable tissue. Therefore, it was the aim of this study to investigate voltage-sensitivity of the $G_{s}$-coupled β$_{1}$-AR function and its transmission to downstream signaling by means of a combination of FRET and whole-cell voltage-clamp in single living HEK293 cells (Fig. 1A; 7, 19). With a FRET-based biosensor for β$_{1}$-AR termed β$_{1}$-AR sensor (20) we directly measured depolarization-mediated inactivating conformational changes occurring with very fast kinetics. FRET assays between β$_{1}$-AR and either a G protein subunit (21) or arrestin 3 (Arr3) (22, 23) showed deactivation effects of depolarization on downstream signaling. The closely related β$_{2}$-AR was also voltage-dependent but the extent of depolarization-induced deactivation was less pronounced than in β$_{1}$-AR.

To our knowledge this is the first report directly addressing voltage-dependence of $G_{s}$ protein-coupled receptors. Voltage-mediated alterations in agonist (or antagonist) efficacy, so far, have only been shown indirectly (12–14) with the exception of clonidine at the α$_{2A}$-AR (7), and only for non-endogenous ligands. With FRET-based assays suited for the measurement of drug efficacy (7, 24) we identified efficacy as a major contributor to the voltage-dependent regulation of β$_{1}$-AR activation. The contribution of decreased affinity during depolarization differed between Iso- and Adr-stimulated cells.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Reagents**—Isoprenaline, adrenaline, and noradrenaline were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Plasmids**—The plasmid containing the FRET-based human β$_{1}$-AR sensor (Arg-389) as well as HEK293 cells stably expressing this sensor were kindly provided by Prof. Stefan Engelhardt, Technische Universität München, Germany. Cloning of the β$_{1}$-AR sensor is described in Ref. 20.

**Cell Culture and Transfection of HEK293 T Cells**—Human embryonic kidney 293T (HEK293T) cells were cultured under standard conditions (25). Selection antibiotic G418 was added to the medium of HEK293 cells stably expressing the human β$_{1}$-AR sensor (Arg-389). Transient transfection of HEK 293T cells was achieved with Effectene transfection reagent (Qiagen) to experiments. We used the following cDNAs: Receptor activation and its transmission to downstream signaling by means of experimental procedures.

**FRET Measurements and Electrophysiology**—Fluorescence and electrophysiological measurements were performed simultaneously as described before (7) (for a schematic see Fig. 1A). In brief, an inverted Zeiss microscope (Axiovert 130) equipped with a dual-emission photometry system (TILL Photonics) was used to perform real-time live-cell FRET measurements. Cells were continuously superfused during measurements with either buffer (in mM: 137 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 1 MgCl$_{2}$, 10 HEPES, pH 7.3) or buffer containing agonist (isoprenaline (Iso), adrenaline (Adr), or noradrenaline (NA)) using a pressurized superfusion device (ALA Scientific Instruments). Donor ($F_{535}$) and acceptor ($F_{480}$) emissions were detected by photodiodes following short donor excitation at 430 nm with a Polychrome V light source (TILL Photonics), and data were processed using Patchmaster software (v2X52, HEKA). Sampling frequencies were 2.5 Hz (arrestin FRET assay) or 5 Hz (β$_{1}$-AR sensor and receptor-G protein interaction). The ratio of acceptor over donor emission termed FRET ratio ($F_{535}/F_{480}$) was calculated. Pronounced photobleaching in 5 Hz frequency measurements of β$_{1}$-AR sensor and of receptor-G protein interaction made corrections of FRET ratios of individual experiments necessary. Because of a poor signal to noise ratio, FRET ratios of experiments with the β$_{1}$-AR sensor were smoothed (5-point smoothing, Savitzky-Golay) using Origin Pro 9.1 software (OriginLabs) before averaging. During FRET measurements cells were patched in whole-cell configuration, and the membrane potential ($V_{m}$) was set to desired values with an EPC-10 amplifier (HEKA). Patch pipette resistances ranged from 4–8 MΩ, and pipettes were filled with internal buffer (in mM: 100 K$^{+}$-aspartate, 40 KCl, 5 NaCl, 7 MgCl$_{2}$, 20 HEPES, 10 EGTA, 0.025 GTP, 5 Na$^{3-}$-ATP).

**Results**

**Membrane Potential Alters Activation of $G_{s}$-coupled β$_{1}$-AR**—To investigate the voltage-dependence of $G_{s}$-coupled β$_{1}$-AR, FRET measurements were performed in HEK293 cells expressing an intramolecular FRET-based β$_{1}$-AR sensor (20). This FRET sensor displays a high FRET signal in the inactive state. Stimulation with agonist induces a conformational change in GPCRs with the largest change being the outward movement and rotation of transmembrane helix 6 (TM 6) relative to the C terminus, which reflects receptor activation (26). This rearrangement leads to a decrease in FRET ratio due to an increase in distance between the two fluorophores YFP and Cerulean (Cer, CFP variant) inserted into the third intracellular loop and fused to the C terminus of β$_{1}$-AR, respectively. To display the dependence of receptor activation on the membrane potential ($V_{m}$), cells were simultaneously subjected to whole-cell voltage-clamp conditions (Fig. 1A). As FRET-based sensors are prone to pronounced photobleaching, measurements were corrected for this effect by subtraction of a mono-exponential function and were afterward normalized to the value prior to agonist stimulation or to the maximal amplitude evoked by agonist application. Upon stimulation with 10 μM Adr the FRET ratio ($F_{535}/F_{480}$) decreased indicating receptor activation. This decrease in FRET ratio was reversible by withdrawal of the agonists. Depolarization from a holding potential of −90 mV to +60 mV reduced the agonist-evoked FRET response (FRET...
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amplitude), an effect which was also reversible upon repolarization (Fig. 1B). In the absence of agonists $V_{m}$ didn’t significantly alter the FRET signal ($n = 7, p = 0.22$). This indicates that voltage-induced FRET changes in the presence of agonist were caused by conformational changes associated with alterations in receptor activity. To evoke similar responses, despite differences in agonist affinities (27), we used higher concentrations of Adr compared to Iso. Cells stimulated with either 1 $\mu$M Iso or 10 $\mu$M Adr were deactivated during depolarization to different degrees (Fig. 1C and D). Note, the depolarization-evoked deactivation occurred with much faster kinetics than the deactivation by washout. Increasing concentrations of agonist showed a tendency to lessen depolarization-induced deactivation in Iso-stimulated cells (Fig. 1E). For Adr-stimulated receptors this depolarization-mediated effect was significantly attenuated with a maximal reduction of the depolarization effect of about 3-fold (Fig. 1F). This points toward a change in affinity playing a role in voltage-dependence of $\beta_1$-AR, which is more pronounced for Adr than for Iso. Nevertheless, even saturating concentrations of either Iso or Adr could not completely block receptor deactivation by positive $V_{m}$. About a 20% reduction of the FRET amplitude still remained when stimulated with 100 $\mu$M Iso or 500 $\mu$M Adr (Fig. 1E and F). These initial experiments revealed a voltage-dependent regulation of receptor activation at the receptor level demonstrating that the $G_{\beta\gamma}$-coupled $\beta_1$-AR is voltage-dependent.

GPCR Downstream Signaling Is Attenuated at Positive $V_{m}$—As a next step we tested whether those voltage-induced changes in receptor conformation translate into altered downstream signaling. Therefore, we transfected cells with YFP-labeled $\beta_1$-AR ($\beta_1$-YFP), CFP-$G\gamma_{2}$ subunit and unlabeled $G\alpha$ and $G\beta$ subunits to measure receptor-G protein interaction. Both Iso and Adr induced an increase in FRET reflecting the interaction of $\beta_1$-AR and the heterotrimeric G protein (21), which

FIGURE 1. Depolarization reduced $\beta_1$-AR activation. A, schematic of FRET and electrophysiology measurements illustrated with a cell expressing a $\beta_1$-AR sensor. Cells were excited at 430 nm (dark blue) and donor (F$_{480}$, light blue) and acceptor (F$_{535}$, yellow) emissions were recorded. Simultaneously, cells were superfused with buffer or agonist-containing buffer with a pressurized perfusion system (left), and the membrane potential was controlled in whole-cell voltage-clamp configuration (patch pipette and amplifier, right). B, representative measurement of the transiently transfected $\beta_1$-AR sensor stimulated with 10 $\mu$M Adr. The FRET ratio (F$_{535}$/F$_{480}$) is shown before (above) and after (below) correction for photobleaching by subtraction of a mono-exponential curve ($\tau = 574$ s) and normalization to the initial FRET value before stimulation. A black bar with agonist labeling above the ratio trace indicates the duration of application and type of agonist used. The time scale appears as a black bar in every graph. The bar below the traces shows the course of membrane potential (holding potential: $-90$ mV; test potential: $+60$ mV). C and D, mean ± S.E. of Iso- ($C, n = 9$) and Adr-($D, 10 \mu$M, $n = 8$) stimulated $\beta_1$-AR sensor in cells stably or transiently expressing the $\beta_1$-AR sensor, respectively. Single traces were corrected for bleaching as indicated in B, normalized to the maximal agonist-induced amplitude and smoothed before averaging. The dashed lines indicate the baselines for the evaluation of FRET amplitudes which have been measured in individual experiments. E and F, to quantify the depolarization-induced reduction of FRET response the quotient of amplitudes during activation in depolarization and at holding potential was calculated and subtracted from 1 (100%) to obtain % deactivation. The summarized data at different concentrations of Iso ($E, n = 8–10$) or Adr ($F, n = 5–8$) are shown. **: $p < 0.001$, ***: $p < 0.001$; one way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison Test was used as a statistical test.
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was reversible upon washout of the ligands (Fig. 2, A and B). As seen for the β₁-AR sensor in Fig. 1, the G protein pathway was sensitive to depolarization (ΔV < 60 mV). Positive VM diminished the interaction between G protein subunits and receptor by 28.2 ± 5% (Iso) and 33.8 ± 5% (Adr), which was reversible upon repolarization of the cell (Fig. 2, A and B). This reduction in ligand potency during depolarization was accompanied by the aforementioned reduction in efficacy indicated by a faster offrate induced by depolarization than by ligand washout (Figs. 2, A and B and 5, C and D).

In addition to G proteins, arrestins play an important role in GPCR-mediated signaling and desensitization (28). To confirm that voltage-dependence is also transmitted to the arrestin signaling pathway, cells transfected with β₁-YFP, CFP-arrestin 3 (CFP-Arr3), and GPCR kinase 2 (GRK 2) were subjected to depolarization. GRKs phosphorylate the receptor in a ligand-dependent manner, which then leads to arrestin recruitment to and interaction with the phosphorylated receptor (29). Thus, an arrestin-receptor interaction FRET assay shows an increase in FRET amplitude upon stimulation with either Iso or Adr.

To assure a stable whole-cell configuration and precise voltage control during long-term recordings, the depolarizing step was reduced from ΔV = 60 mV to ΔV = 45 mV. Arrestin 3-receptor interaction was disrupted during depolarization of the plasma membrane and restored after repolarization (Fig. 2, C and D). These data nicely show that both G protein and arrestin 3 signaling are negatively regulated by depolarization of the plasma membrane.

We also analyzed the effect of depolarization on receptor-arrestin 3 interaction in cells stimulated with saturating concentrations of Iso (ΔV = 30 mV) and Adr (ΔV = 30 mV). The calculation and quantification was performed like in Fig. 1E and F. ***: p < 0.001, one way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s Multiple Comparison Test was used as a statistical test.
centrations of agonists. Noradrenaline (NA) was included as a ligand because of its importance in regulating heart function through the sympathetic nervous system (18). Measurements with NA, Adr, or Iso were normalized to the maximal amplitude prior to depolarization and the data of corresponding sections to the one marked in D were overlaid (Fig. 2E). Depolarization induced the dissociation of arrestin 3 from β1-AR even when the receptor was saturated with NA, Iso, or Adr (Fig. 2E). The extent of inhibition was comparable for cells stimulated with NA and Adr, which is why further experiments were performed only with Adr. Compared with NA- and Adr-stimulated cells, the deactivation by depolarization was more pronounced during Iso-stimulation. To compare depolarization-induced deactivation during stimulation with non- and saturating concentrations of agonist with this arrestin-assay, the measurements in Fig. 2, C and D were repeated with 10 μM Iso and 100 μM Adr (data not shown). Agonist-induced amplitudes were measured at depolarization and set in relation to the amplitudes at −90 mV at the corresponding time point (on the dashed lines in Fig. 2, C and D). The comparison of non-saturating and saturating concentrations showed that the degree of depolarization-induced dissociation of arrestin 3 from β1-AR was similar using moderate concentrations of Adr or Iso (Fig. 2F). In saturation, about 50 and 25% of the depolarization effect remained during Iso and Adr stimulation, respectively (Fig. 2F). This remaining effect arises from a change in drug efficacy.

Voltage-dependence Occurs Within the Physiological Range of Membrane Potential—So far we could show voltage-dependence on the receptor level as well as the inhibition of downstream signaling at positive potentials. To determine whether the observed voltage-dependence occurs within the physiological range of Vm, we used the arrestin FRET assay to measure the relation of Arr3-β1-AR interaction and Vm. β1-AR was activated with either a non-saturating concentration of Iso or Adr and Vm was changed stepwise to different values. An example of a single measurement for an Adr-stimulated cell is given in Fig. 3A. Stepwise increase of Vm gradually reduced the receptor-arrestin 3 interaction whereas hyperpolarization to −120 mV further enhanced the interaction. FRET amplitudes induced by test potentials were set relative to the corresponding amplitude at the holding potential of −90 mV (dashed lines in Fig. 3A), and results were plotted against Vm (Fig. 3B). The data were then fit to a Boltzmann function which yielded similar half-maximal effects of interaction for Iso- and Adr-stimulated cells (V0.5 = −28 ± 22 mV (Iso) and V0.5 = −27 ± 8 mV (Adr)). Consequently, the voltage-sensitivity of β1-AR lies perfectly within the physiological range of membrane potential.

Minor Alteration of β2-Adrenoceptor Activation by Vm—Next, we investigated whether the voltage-dependent effects seen so far were unique to the β1-AR or whether the activation of the closely related Gs-coupled β2-adrenoceptor (β2-AR) is also regulated by Vm. For this purpose, cells were transfected with an arrestin FRET assay exchanging β1-AR-YFP for β2-AR-YFP together with GRK2 and CFP-Arr3. Depolarization to +45 mV only had a minor effect on the interaction even in cells activated with submaximal concentrations of Iso (Fig. 4A). The average reduction of arrestin 3-β2-AR interaction at depolarization was 9.9 ± 1%. In saturation, this effect was abolished for cells stimulated with Adr (Fig. 4B, red trace) and almost absent when cells were stimulated with Iso (Fig. 4B, black trace). For better comparison of depolarization effects in cells stimulated with the two agonists, individual measurements were normalized to the maximal amplitude prior to the depolarizing step before averaging. Compared with β1-AR, membrane potential did not greatly alter the activation of β2-AR.

Drug Efficacy Is the Main Target of Voltage-dependence—We briefly mentioned previously that we observed large differences in deactivation kinetics evoked by depolarization versus agonist withdrawal in measurements of the β1-AR sensor. Those differences could point toward a mechanism in which a change in efficacy underlies voltage-dependence of β1-AR sensor. In earlier studies FRET-based intramolecular GPCR sensors have been described as very suitable tools to directly investigate intrinsic efficacy (24). Therefore, we analyzed voltage (i.e. depolarization)- and washout-mediated deactivation kinetics within the dark gray and light gray boxes (Fig. 5A) by fitting the corresponding sections of β1-AR sensor data presented in Fig. 1, C and D to a mono-exponential function. In cells expressing the β1-AR sensor poor signal-to-noise ratio only allowed mono-exponential fitting of the average trace in the marked sections.
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Comparison of off-rates determined in this manner showed an over 100-fold acceleration of voltage-induced over washout-induced deactivation of Iso-activated receptors (\(k = 1.7 \pm 0.5 \text{ s}^{-1}\) versus \(k = 0.017 \pm 0.003 \text{ s}^{-1}\) or \(t_{1/2} = 0.4 \text{ s} \text{ versus} t_{1/2} = 40.9 \text{ s}\) (Fig. 5B). As mentioned before, the affinity of Adr towards the β1-AR is smaller than the one of Iso (27). This results in faster dissociation of adrenaline from the receptor during washout. Therefore, the difference between voltage- and washout-evoked dissociation rate was smaller in Adr-stimulated cells, but voltage still deactivated the receptor significantly faster (about 8-fold, \(k = 0.98 \pm 0.2 \text{ s}^{-1}\) versus \(k = 0.13 \pm 0.006 \text{ s}^{-1}\) or \(t_{1/2} = 0.7 \text{ s} \text{ versus} t_{1/2} = 5.5 \text{ s}\)) than removal of Adr (Fig. 5B). The voltage-induced deactivation was comparable and fast in Iso- and Adr-stimulated cells. But does this efficiency phenomenon transmit to downstream signaling as well? Although measuring downstream consequences of receptor activation, the FRET assays detecting arrestin 3-receptor or G protein-receptor interaction are suitable to directly monitor drug efficacy. A prerequisite for a direct measurement of efficacy is that receptor number and coupling do not affect the measurement (24), which is fulfilled in these assays.

For kinetics analysis of receptor-G protein interaction or receptor-arrestin 3 interaction, FRET ratios of individual measurements (data of Fig. 2) were normalized to the maximal amplitude within the sections marked in Fig. 5A and averaged (Fig. 5, C and E). The arrows mark the time point at which cells were subjected to depolarization (+60 mV (C)/+45 mV (E)) or the agonist was withdrawn (−10 μM Adr (C), −100 nM Iso (E)). These overlays also nicely show a substantial acceleration in dissociation induced by depolarization compared to washout of agonists. For a quantitative analysis, averages were fit to a mono-exponential function and \(k_{\text{off}}\)-values of voltage- and washout-induced disruption of receptor-transducer interaction were analyzed statistically (Fig. 5, D and F). The Iso-evoked interaction between receptor and G protein broke off 70-times faster upon depolarization (\(k = 2.2 \pm 1.0 \text{ s}^{-1}\) or \(t_{1/2} = 0.3 \text{ s}\)) than when Iso was withdrawn from the cell (\(k = 0.033 \pm 0.003 \text{ s}^{-1}\) or \(t_{1/2} = 21.0 \text{ s}\)). As seen above, the difference in kinetics in cells stimulated with Adr was not as pronounced but yet significant. An 11-fold increase in dissociation rate of G protein from the receptor was induced by depolarization to +60 mV (\(k = 2.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ s}^{-1}\) or \(t_{1/2} = 0.3 \text{ s}\)) compared to washout (\(k = 0.21 \pm 0.006 \text{ s}^{-1}\) or \(t_{1/2} = 3.3 \text{ s}\)) (Fig. 5D). Very similar results in terms of off kinetics were calculated by fitting the mentioned sections of data of arrestin 3-receptor interaction (Fig. 2, C and D) to mono-exponential decay functions (Fig. 5F). Also here significant differences were determined for voltage-induced (Iso: \(k = 0.32 \pm 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}\) or \(t_{1/2} = 2.1 \text{ s}\); Adr: \(k = 1.37 \pm 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}\) or \(t_{1/2} = 0.5 \text{ s}\)) versus washout-induced (Iso: \(k = 0.031 \pm 0.001 \text{ s}^{-1}\) or \(t_{1/2} = 22.7 \text{ s}\); Adr: \(k = 0.099 \pm 0.002 \text{ s}^{-1}\) or \(t_{1/2} = 7.0 \text{ s}\)) disruption of interaction in Iso- and Adr-stimulated cells. These values translate to 10- and 14-fold differences for Iso- or Adr-induced interaction of arrestin 3 and β1-AR, respectively. Note, that \(t_{1/2}\)-values of the voltage-mediated disruption of receptor-transducer or -effector interaction occurred close to the detection limit of sampling frequency (G protein: \(t_{1/2} = 0.3 \text{ s}\), sampling frequency: 5 Hz; arrestin 3: \(t_{1/2} = 0.5 \text{ s}\) (Adr stimulation), sampling frequency: 2.5 Hz). Measurement accuracy for the fast deactivation and disruption of interaction is limited and hence, the true kinetics could be even faster.

Next, we determined alterations in receptor affinity to Iso due to depolarization by analysis of the dissociation kinetics after withdrawal of agonist. Therefore, the decay of FRET between receptors and arrestins was measured twice in individual cells at −90 mV and at +45 mV in an alternating order. The data obtained at both voltages were normalized to the maximal amplitude and averaged (Fig. 6A). These data show an accelerated disruption of receptor-arrestin interaction at +45 mV compared to −90 mV. Fits of mono-exponential functions to the FRET decay yielded a significant but less than 2-fold increase in k-value at +45 mV (\(k = 0.044 \pm 0.005 \text{ s}^{-1}\) or \(t_{1/2} = 16.8 \text{ s}\)) compared to −90 mV (\(k = 0.027 \pm 0.003 \text{ s}^{-1}\) or \(t_{1/2} = 28.4 \text{ s}\)) (Fig. 6B), indicating a very moderate effect of voltage on the agonist affinity.

To determine the voltage-dependence of agonist efficacy and affinity, we measured concentration-response-curves for Iso at the two potentials of −90 mV and +45 mV. We stimulated cells with a test concentration of Iso first and applied the reference concentration of 10 μM Iso after washout of the test concentra-
tion to allow for normalization. During both stimulations, the membrane potential was switched between the holding potential and depolarization (Fig. 6C). FRET amplitudes arising from the stimulation with a given test concentration at \(-90\) mV (A-90) and \(-45\) mV (A-45) were measured relative to the reference concentration of 10 nM Iso at \(-90\) mV (A_ref). The analysis of FRET amplitudes was complicated by an increase in the non-recovering FRET signal, which is most likely attributed to an accumulation of receptor-arrestin complexes, presumably in clathrin-coated pits. We used a two-step interpolation of the baseline (dashed-lines) to at least partially account for the accumulation over time. The amplitudes at a test concentration were normalized to the reference amplitude (A-90/A_ref or A-45/A_ref) to enable comparison of amplitudes between cells. When 10 nM Iso was used as a test concentration the resulting FRET amplitude was normalized to the one measured subsequently. The normalized data were plotted against the Iso concentrations used and were fit to a sigmoidal function (Fig. 6D). High concentrations of Iso led to a fast accumulation of receptor-arrestin complexes which then reduced the fraction of available arrestins and receptors. This caused the second amplitude (A_ref) to be comparatively smaller especially in measurements with high Iso concentrations (Fig. 6C). Hence, the data points collected at concentrations of 1 nM and higher exceed 100%. The apparent about 2-fold difference in EC_{50}-values of the curves at \(-90\) mV (EC_{50} = 2.5 \times 10^{-8} M) and at \(+45\) mV (EC_{50} = 4.8 \times 10^{-8} M) did not reach statistical significance (comparison of logEC_{50}, p = 0.1084). In contrast, the maximal amplitude at

**FIGURE 5.** Agonist efficacy of \(\beta_1\)-AR is regulated by voltage. Washout- and depolarization-induced deactivation of the \(\beta_1\)-AR sensor (A and B) or of the disruption of G protein-receptor interaction (C and D) and arrestin 3-receptor interaction (E and F) were compared. Small schematic insets show the FRET assays corresponding to the figure data. A, in the average trace of Fig. 1C the dark gray (voltage) and light gray (washout) squares indicate where offrates were determined by fitting the average to a mono-exponential function (\(\beta_1\)-AR sensor, \(n = 9\), mean \(\pm\) S.E.). C and E, data of Fig. F and C were normalized to the maximal FRET amplitude in sections indicated in A and used for fitting and overlay of voltage- and washout-induced off rates (C: \(n = 7\), E: \(n = 8\)). To increase cleanness the error bars only point in one direction. The arrows indicate the time point when cells were depolarized (+60 mV (+45 mV)) or when the agonist was withdrawn (-100 nM Adr (-100 nM Iso)) or when the agonist was withdrawn (-10 \mu M Adr (-100 nM Iso)). B, D, and F summarize the statistical analysis of k_{off}-values of the sections indicated in A in Iso- or Adr-stimulated cells (B: \(n = 8\), D: \(n = 7\), F: \(n = 8\)). F-tests were used as statistical tests to compare fits and determine statistical significance: **: \(p < 0.01\), ***: \(p < 0.001\). #: The calculated k_{off}-values were close to the detection limit of 200 ms (D) and 400 ms (F) (sampling frequencies of 5 Hz and 2.5 Hz, respectively).
depolarization was significantly lower than the one at the holding potential (Max\textsubscript{+45} = 1.02, Max\textsubscript{-90} = 1.17; p = 0.0066, F-test), indicating voltage-dependence of agonist efficacy.

The large and significant differences in off kinetics induced by depolarization or agonist withdrawal on the receptor level as well as in downstream signaling compared to only small changes in affinity (Figs. 1, E and F, 2F and 6B) corroborate the hypothesis of drug efficacy being a major contributor of voltage-dependent fine tuning of \( \beta_1 \)-AR activity.

**Discussion**

In this study we report for the first time that the activity of GPCRs signaling through \( G_s \)-proteins, here \( \beta_1 \)-AR and to a lesser extent \( \beta_2 \)-AR, is regulated by the membrane potential. We found this voltage-dependence to occur within the physiological range of membrane potential. Most importantly, we identified drug efficacy as a major target of voltage-dependence for both catecholamines isoprenaline and adrenaline. A reduction in agonist affinity only contributes to a minor extent. This is, to our knowledge, the first report where alterations in drug efficacy and not purely affinity of an endogenous full agonist underlie voltage-dependent receptor activation.

\( \beta \)-Adrenoceptors are members of the large superfamly of GPCRs and are important drug targets especially in the cardiovascular system. It is therefore important to fully understand the regulation of their activation. Here we demonstrate that the plasma membrane potential regulates the classical way of activation of \( \beta_1 \)-AR by the catecholamines isoprenaline and adrenaline. An active state crystal structure of \( \beta_2 \)-AR revealed rearrangements especially of the cytoplasmic ends of transmembrane domains (TM) 5, 6, and 7 compared to the inactive structure (26). The large outward movement of TM 6 transmits to intracellular loop 3 (IL3). Therefore, activation of receptors can be monitored directly with FRET-based intramolecular receptor fusion proteins with GFP variants in IL3 and at the C-terminal tail in intact living cells (24). With such a \( \beta_1 \)-AR receptor fusion protein named \( \beta_1 \)-AR sensor (20) we showed that depolarization inactivated the receptor on a very fast time scale (Figs. 1, C and D and 5, A and B). This direct influence of depolarization on receptor conformation, i.e. its deactivation, was shown for Iso- and Adr-stimulated cells. Theoretically, drastic changes of the membrane potential could influence, for example, the acceptor quantum yield resulting in unspecific alterations of the FRET signal especially in cells transfected with the \( \beta_1 \)-AR sensor. However, our findings that 1) no voltage-dependent changes of FRET were observed in the absence of agonists and 2) very similar results were observed with three different FRET assays, which show agonist-induced alterations in FRET in opposing directions, practically rule out
that unspecific effects of voltage on the FRET signal affect our results. Despite stimulation with saturating concentrations of Iso or Adr, depolarization still deactivated the receptors by about 20%. The β1-AR sensor showed similar binding affinities for NA and Iso as well as similar downstream signaling like wildtype β1-AR (20). Our findings that β1-ARs are voltage-dependent can therefore be extrapolated to the native β1-AR.

Interaction measurements between β1-AR and the signaling partners G and arrestin 3 verified that voltage-dependence is passed on to downstream signaling (Fig. 2, A–D) as discussed for other voltage-sensitive GPCRs (reviewed in Refs. 9, 10 or e.g. 7, 12, 14). Both interactions were attenuated during depolarization and restored upon repolarization of the plasma membrane (Fig. 2). Although the effect was reduced under saturating conditions, the interaction between β1-AR and arrestin 3 was still hampered in cells either stimulated with the endogenous catecholamines NA and Adr or the synthetic catecholamine Iso (Fig. 2, E and F). This alteration of downstream signaling by membrane potential is an important requirement for voltage-dependence being of physiological relevance.

A good signal-to-noise ratio of the arrestin FRET assay enabled measurements of activation/VM relation curves which could be fit to a Boltzmann function (Fig. 3). Compared to voltage-gated ion channels the Boltzmann curve of β1-AR is shallow indicating a weaker voltage-dependence (30). As GPCRs do not possess a voltage-sensing domain like an S4-domain in ion channels a shallow curve was expected. Half-maximal effects of VM were reached within the physiological range of membrane potential at V50 = −28 mV (Iso) and V50 = −27 mV (Adr), which is in line with data published on the α2A-AR (7). The calculated z-score (charge movement across the membrane) for Adr-stimulated cells of z = 0.49 is similar to the z-scores reported for M2AChR (z = 0.85 and z = 0.58, (11, 12)) or α2A-AR (z = 0.5, (7)). The particularly shallow slope of the curve in Iso-stimulated cells resulted in a lower z-score of z = 0.36. The shallow curve arises from the overall smaller effect of depolarization on FRET amplitudes in Iso- compared to Adr-stimulated cells described for non-saturating conditions (Fig. 1).

Although less abundant, also β2-ARs are expressed in the heart and the expression increases while β1-AR expression decreases in progression of heart failure (18). It was therefore interesting to investigate the β2-AR in respect to its voltage-sensitivity (Fig. 4). The interaction of β2-AR and arrestin 3 was only reduced by about 10% during depolarization when stimulated with a non-saturating concentration of Iso. In saturation with Adr or Iso a depolarization-mediated reduction of the interaction was hardly detectable. β2-AR activation appears to be less sensitive to regulation by the membrane potential.

We briefly mentioned before that the β1-AR deactivation as well as the disruption of β1-AR-effector interaction was sensitive to agonist concentration indicating a decline in agonist affinity. Alterations in binding affinity underlying voltage-dependence have been reported for the Gq-coupled receptors M2AChR, mGluR4, and α2A-AR (1, 2, 7). In saturation with Iso there was only a tendency toward a reduced depolarization effect on receptor deactivation. The concentration-response-curves of the receptor-arrestin interaction revealed a minor right-shift of about 2-fold due to depolarization, which did not reach significance (Fig. 6D). Similar in magnitude we observed a 2-fold increase in dissociation kinetics upon depolarization from −90 mV to +45 mV (Fig. 6B).

In case of stimulation with saturating Adr concentrations, the reduction was about 3-fold (Fig. 1F). In arrestin 3-β1-AR interaction assays, saturating concentrations led to a 2-fold (Iso) and 4-fold (Adr) decrease of the described depolarization effect (Fig. 2F). Therefore, the reduction of agonist affinity was only a minor contributor to β1-ARs voltage-dependence.

Changes in efficacy have been proposed as the underlying mechanism of voltage-dependence for certain antagonists of the P2Y1R (13), for some therapeutic agonists of the short splice variant of D2R (14) and for pilocarpine at the M2AChR (12). Only for clonidine at the α2A-AR (7) a change in efficacy has been directly measured with a FRET-based sensor, the other studies measured downstream effects of receptor activation and therefore only indirectly detected alterations in ligand efficacy. Intramolecular FRET-based receptor sensors are suitable tools to directly monitor intrinsic efficacy (7, 24). In addition, the FRET assays detecting arrestin 3-receptor or G protein-receptor interaction also allow direct measurement of drug efficacy since receptor number or coupling do not affect the measurement (24). During measurements with Iso, deactivation kinetics during depolarization were 100-, 70- and 10-fold faster than deactivation by washout on the receptor level or in the interactions between receptor and G protein or arrestin 3, respectively. This reduction in efficacy is also reflected in the concentration-response-curve where the maximal FRET amplitude is significantly reduced at +45 mV compared to −90 mV.

The differences were smaller during Adr stimulation as washout off-rates were faster due to a lower affinity of Adr toward the β1-AR compared to Iso (27). Yet, the acceleration of depolarization, versus washout-induced deactivation was about 8-fold, 11-fold, and 14-fold for receptor conformation and the interaction of β1-AR with G protein or arrestin 3, respectively. The observed differences in off kinetics could be even larger taking into account that fit time constants were close to the detection limit which influences measurement accuracy. With the change in affinity being of maximally 4-fold, an alteration in efficacy also mainly underlies the voltage-dependence in Adr-stimulated cells. Taken together, the very pronounced acceleration of deactivation kinetics during depolarization suggests a change in efficacy being a major contributor to voltage-sensitivity of β1-AR with some smaller contribution of decrease in affinity. Ligand specificity is apparent as the contribution of decreased affinity is more pronounced in Adr- than in Iso-stimulated cells. As the first endogenous full agonist, Adr shows decreases in efficacy in addition to a reduced affinity during depolarization. Under physiological conditions the membrane potential could therefore fine tune receptor function on a very fast time scale in the presence of agonist.

Our data expand the knowledge of voltage-dependent regulation of GPCRs to those coupling to Gq proteins with efficacy being an important contributor to this phenomenon. However, it remains unclear, on a molecular level, how changes in the membrane potential can be “sensed” by GPCRs. Therefore, it
would be an invaluable achievement to create receptor mutants that lack voltage-dependence but exhibit otherwise wild-type-like properties.
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