The posterior interosseous flap is recommended in surgery of the hand for its fineness and the length of its pedicle. The coverage of elbow loss requires a simple and versatile flap with good functional and aesthetic results. We report a case of post-traumatic elbow loss in a young adult with exposed osteosynthesis material. The technique used is the posterior interosseous flap with proximal pedicle according to the seat of the region to be covered.
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Abstract
The posterior interosseous flap is recommended in surgery of the hand for its fineness and the length of its pedicle. The coverage of elbow loss requires a simple and versatile flap with good functional and aesthetic results. We report a case of post-traumatic elbow loss in a young adult with exposed osteosynthesis material. The technique used is the posterior interosseous flap with proximal pedicle according to the seat of the region to be covered.

Introduction
The posterior interosseous flap described for the first time in 1986 is a pedicle flap of the forearm islet, which can be taken directly or retrograde [1,2]. It is vascularized by arterioles with cutaneous destinies, in variable number, coming from the posterior interosseous artery [3].

The posterior interosseous flap was used either with a direct pedicle to cover the filling of the elbow substance with anterograde flow, or with a retrograde pedicle to cover the loss of substance on the dorsal surface of the hand [4].

Clinical case
A 23-year-old female driver, with no particular antecedent, victim of a work accident following a fall from a power pole with a height estimated at 4m with reception on the right hemi-body. The patient had severe trauma to the elbow with a floating elbow and a cutaneous opening stage 1 according to Cauchotix and Duparc on the posterior area of the elbow (Figure 1).

The patient received an X-ray of the arm and elbow face and profile, showing a fracture of the humeral diaphysis with a fracture of montegia elbow (Figures 2a,b).

The patient was admitted to the operating room under general anesthesia in left lateral decubitus. After trimming and washing the cutaneous wound. We began the osteosynthesis of the humerus by the placement of a DCP plate (dynamic compression plate), later by a trans-tricipital approach we discovered a comminuted fracture of the olecranon with dislocation of the radial head and fracture of the external condyle.

We reduced the dislocation and osteosynthesis of the external condyle by 2 screws of Herbert, afterwards we osteosynthesized the olecranon by 2 plates, a 1/3 tube and the other special plate acetabulum and we finished the gesture by putting in place anchors on the lateral ligament and the ring ligament (Figures 3a,b). 3 weeks after the patient presented a loss of substance at the tip of the secondary olecranon cutaneous necrosis with bare exposure of the osteosynthesis material (Figure 4).

The decision was to make a trimming with subsequently a gesture of cover. Therefore, we admitted the patient to the operating room under general anesthesia in supine position, pneumatic tourniquet at the root of the limb, after excision of doubtful and mortified tissues, we have located the sampler site at the dorsal surface of the right arm (Figures 5a,b).

Circumferential cutaneous and subcutaneous incision of the pallet and zigzag out towards the site of grafting and detachment of the fascia
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with its pedicle towards the flap taken then installation of the flap and cover of the material of osteosynthesis of the elbow exposed, after the Having tunneled and moved subcutaneously, the flap is then fixed by simple stitches (Figures 6a-c). Postoperatively, an immobilization of the elbow in extension to keep the viability of the elbow.

The evolution was satisfactory, the flap healed without any sign of suffering (Figures 7a,b). Upon healing, the patient was referred to the rehabilitation center.

**Discussion**

The posterior interosseous flap is part of the techniques for recovering loss of substance in the upper limb. Etiologies leading to the use of this type of flap are essentially represented by traumatic lesions such as crushing or skin erosion and more rarely by burns sequelae [5,6].

The reliability of the posterior interosseous flap is not absolute. Indeed, no reliable examination can detect during the preoperative period the integrity of the posterior interosseous artery or the existence of a distal anastomosis: the Doppler specificity is not absolute and arteriography is an invasive examination and difficult to interpret for arteries of this caliber [6].

The vascular anatomy of the flap refers to the posterior interosseous pedicle, septocutaneous perforators, and proximal and distal anastomoses of the posterior interosseous artery [7]. All these anatomical variations must favor the primary research of the pedicle before incision of the pallet of the flap, or even justify for some the recourse to a preoperative ultrasound [2,8].
In the panoply of loco-regional flaps, the posterior interosseous flap presents the interest of not sacrificing an artery essential to the vasculature of the hand.

Conclusion

The posterior interosseous flap is a versatile and reliable flap for the coverage of hand and wrist defects, including small lesions allowing direct closure of the donor site.
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