A novel guanidine-functionalized polymer, poly[2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline] (PBuOxz), has been co-electrospun with Nylon-6,6 to form fibers that could be used for the decontamination of chemical warfare agents (CWAs). PBuOxz was obtained from the living cationic polymerization of 2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline, which was synthesized starting from 4-pentenoic acid. This clickable PBuOxz polymer could be easily functionalized through the introduction of amine groups via thiol–ene click chemistry, followed by guanidinylation to form guanidine-functionalized PBuOxz (G-PBuOxz). The synthesized G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fibers are an active hydrolysis species for diisopropyl fluorophosphate (DFP), an organophosphate mimic of nerve agents. The decontamination efficiency for DFP could be as high as 100% under trace aqueous solution conditions using this G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fiber as the decontamination agent at the ratio of [guanidine]/[DFP] = 100/1 in 2 hours. The hydrolysis occurs via a general $S_N2$ mechanism for this G-PBuOxz-based catalysis. Furthermore, the kinetics of decontamination were also investigated based on time and guanidine concentration. Hence, this G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fiber is effective as a hydrolyzing agent against toxic organophosphates, and thus exhibits potential as a material for protecting against chemical warfare agents.

1. Introduction

Protective clothing is widely used to protect military personnel when they are exposed to toxic chemical warfare agents (CWAs). It consists of multiple layers, which can physically or chemically absorb or block toxic compounds. However, full protection brings the problems of undesirable weight and heat burdens for the wearers. Furthermore, the relatively low decontamination efficiency is another potential threat. These challenges motivate the development of a new protective clothing system that is lightweight with a relatively high decontamination efficiency.

Nylon is a versatile engineering material, and is used in stockings and clothing of all types. This fabric is recognized for being thin and flexible, but is also valued for its durability and toughness. However, the difficulty in introducing functional groups into the nylon polymer chain has limited its application in various specialized fields that require functionalized polyamides. Polyoxazolines can be considered as a structural variant of nylon with amide functional groups in the side chain. Therefore, it is expected that polyoxazolines will have a similar solubility parameter ($\delta$) to nylon, which will make it possible to form homogeneous blends of these two polymers. In addition, the functionality of poly[2-substituted-2-oxazoline] can be tuned simply by varying the 2-substituted functional group of the 2-oxazoline monomer. The living cationic ring-opening polymerization of 2-substituted-2-oxazolines provides easy and direct access to a wide variety of well-defined polymers with large numbers of functional groups.

The guanidine group has been applied in molecular recognition devices, disinfectants and antiseptics for clinical use. It is capable of forming both electrostatic and hydrogen bond interactions with polar molecules. Due to its strong basicity ($pK_a = 13.6$), it can be used as a catalyst to decompose phosphates in water, leading to activation of the guest toward nucleophilic substitution.

Herein, due to the versatility of poly[2-substituted-2-oxazoline] and their ability to form functional materials, poly[2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline] (PBuOxz) was synthesized and fibrous materials have been prepared via co-electrospinning with nylon. In the previous literature, diverse methods have been reported concerning the preparation of functional polymers containing large amounts of functional groups. For example, various functional groups can be easily introduced onto the pendant vinyl groups of PBuOxz to form functionalized polyoxazoline via thiol–ene chemistry. However,
most of the polymers reported in previous studies are very difficult to process, which will be a crucial drawback for industrial application. The polymer presented here will provide a new breakthrough to prepare functional polymeric materials with easy processability. Here, as a representative example of the easy processability of the polymer, an electrospun nanofiber web was prepared. Electrospun webs have attracted large scientific interest due to their high specific surface area, high porosity and low fabric weight, which are perfect properties for application in catalytic decomposition fabrics. In this study, the electrospun web prepared from a blend of guanidine functionalized PBuOxz/nylon was used as a detoxification fabric against CWAs.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

The solvents dichloromethane, diethyl ether, methanol, acetonitrile and N,N-dimethylformamide (Samchun Chem., extra pure grade, 99.5%) were stored over freshly ground calcium hydride (Sigma-Aldrich Chem., reagent grade, 95%) with vigorous stirring for one day, followed by distillation into a two-neck reactor fitted with a silicone rubber seal and a high-vacuum line (10⁻⁶ Torr) with a Rotaflow® stopcock. Sigma-Aldrich reagents: 4-pentenoic acid (98%), 2-chloroethylamine hydrochloride (99%), N-hydroxysuccinimide (98%), methyl-3-mercaptopropionate (98%), methyl triflate (99%), 2-methyl-2-ofoxazine (99%), cysteamine (98%), cysteamine hydrochloride (98%), 2,2'-azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (98%), and cyanamide (99%). TCI reagents: piperidine (99%). These reagents were used without further purification.

2.2 Monomer synthesis

The synthesis of 2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline (BuOxz) involved three steps starting from 4-pentenoic acid and proceeding through N-succinimidyl-4-pentenate and N-(2-chloroethyl)-4-pentenamide, to finally give 2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline, as described by Schlaad. (1) N-Succinimidyl-4-pentenate: to a solution of 25.0 g (0.25 mol) of 4-pentenoic acid in 700 mL of dry dichloromethane (distilled from CaH₂) were added 46.12 g (0.40 mol) of N-hydroxysuccinimide and 52.54 g (0.275 mol) of 1-(3-dimethylpropyl)-3-ethylcarboxylidimide hydrochloride (EDAC). The mixture was then stirred for 12 h at 40 °C. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was dissolved in a mixture of diethyl ether and water (3:1 v/v), and subsequently extracted five times. After the combined organic layers were dried with sodium sulfate, the solvent was removed to yield N-succinimidyl-4-pentenate as a colorless solid. (2) N-(2-Chloroethyl)-4-pentenamide: a solution of 37.5 g (0.19 mol) of N-succinimidyl-4-pentenate in 500 mL of dry dichloromethane was added dropwise under vigorous stirring to a solution of 44.25 g (0.38 mol) of 2-chloroethylamine hydrochloride and 15.26 g (0.38 mol) of NaOH in 200 mL of deionized water. After being stirred overnight at 50 °C, the organic layer was separated and washed twice with 200 mL of deionized water. The combined fractions were dried over sodium sulfate and the solvent evaporated to give N-(2-chloroethyl)-4-pentenamide as a yellowish oil. (3) 2-(3-Butenyl)-2-oxazoline: in a flame-dried flask, 24.6 g (0.153 mol) of N-(2-chloroethyl)-4-pentenamide was dissolved in 100 mL of dry methanol. To this solution, a freshly ground solution of 12.85 g (0.23 mol) of KOH in 100 mL of dry methanol was added dropwise using a cannula. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 70 °C under an argon atmosphere (precipitation of KCl occurred after about 10 min). The salt was filtered off and the remaining solution was concentrated in an evaporator. Additionally, the precipitated salt was removed by passing the solution through 0.45 μm filter paper. For purification, the yellow solution was fractionally distilled at 60 °C via a high vacuum line to give 2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline as a colourless liquid.

2.3 Polymerization of 2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline

Poly[2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline] (PBuOxz) with a target Mw of 10 000 g mol⁻¹ was synthesized as follows. (1) To a solution of 7.03 g (56.2 mmol) of 2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline in 10 mL of dry acetonitrile (freshly distilled from CaH₂) was added 0.08 mL (0.7 mmol) of methyl triflate under a dry argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 24 h at 70 °C, followed by the addition of 1 mL (10.4 mmol) of piperidine at room temperature. After being stirred for another 5 h at 70 °C, the reaction mixture was precipitated in diethyl ether for 6 h. The resulting PBuOxz was purified by repeated precipitation from diethyl ether three times to remove any unreacted 2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline monomer, and then dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C to constant weight.

2.4 Guanidine-functionalization of poly[2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline]

All of the experiments were carried out in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) at 70 °C by using 2,2'-azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN) as a radical source via a thiol–ene reaction. The PBuOxz (3.04 g, vinyl moles: 24.32 mmol) was dissolved in 30 mL of dried N,N-dimethylformamide under a nitrogen atmosphere, followed by the addition of cysteamine (CA) or cysteamine hydrochloride (CA·HCl) (8.5 g, 72.96 mmol) and AIBN (0.82 g, 4.87 mmol) at a mole ratio of [CA]/[vinyl]/[AIBN] = 3/1/0.2. The functionalization was carried out at 70 °C for 24 hours, and then the reaction mixture was cooled down at 0 °C. The resulting amine functionalized poly[2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline] (A-PBuOxz) was purified by repeated precipitation from THF three times to remove any unreacted CA or CA·HCl, residual radical source or unfunctionalized PBuOxz, and then dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C to constant weight. Further functionalization via guaniidinylation was carried out using A-PBuOxz as the base polymer. A-PBuOxz (6.36 g, amine moles: 25 mmol) was dissolved in 60 mL of 0.35 M HCl aqueous solution, followed by the addition of cyanamide (2.1 g, 50 mmol). Guanidine functionalization was carried out at 90 °C for 24 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the resulting polymer was precipitated three times in acetone to remove any unfunctionalized A-PBuOxz or cyanamide, and then dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C to constant weight. Because the guanidiylation was carried out in HCl aqueous solution, HCl would be complexed with the guanidine group, stabilizing it and decreasing its reactivity. Therefore, HCl was removed by treating the reaction mixture with 10 wt% KHCO₃.
aqueous solution three times, followed by washing with deionized water three times to obtain a neutral solution. The resulting guanidine functionalized poly[2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline] (G-PBuOxz) was dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C to constant weight.

2.5 Co-electrospinning of G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6

A 10 wt% solution of G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 (5/5, wt/wt) was prepared in trifluoroethanol (CF$_3$CH$_2$OH) or formic acid (HCOOH) as the solvent. Before the co-electrospinning process, the prepared polymer solution was stirred well to ensure uniform mixing. The polymer solution was then transferred into a 1 mL syringe fitted with a metallic needle of 0.4 mm inner diameter. The syringe was horizontally fixed on a syringe stand, and the positive electrode of a high voltage power supply (CPS-60K02vl, Chunpga EMT Co., South Korea), capable of generating voltages up to 30 kV, was clamped to the metal needle tip. The applied voltage was fixed at 15 kV and the tip-to-collector distance was kept at 15 cm. All the experiments were conducted at room temperature. The developed nanofiber mats were collected on a rotating drum covered with aluminum foil. The co-electrospun sample of G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fibers was dried in a vacuum oven at room temperature for 24 h to remove the residual solvent.

2.6 Decontamination of diisopropyl fluorophosphates

The desired amount of G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fibers was placed into a 5 mL vial purged with nitrogen. 0.5 μL of DFP and 25 μL of H$_2$O were introduced into the system and the decontamination reaction was performed at 32 °C for 2 hours. Then, 1 mL of D$_2$O was injected into the vial to extract the hydrolysis products, followed by the collection of the resulting D$_2$O solution for $^{31}$P-NMR analysis.

2.7 Characterization

IR absorption spectra were measured on a Nicolet iS5 FT-IR spectrometer with the iD5 ZnSe ATR accessory (Thermo Scientific). $^{1}$H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Unity Inova400 MHz spectrometer with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. The concentration of the samples was in the range of 1–5 wt%. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analysis was performed using a waters 2690 separations module equipped with three Styragel columns and a refractive index detector, with refractive index detection at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min$^{-1}$ in THF, after calibration using polystyrene standards. The morphology of the fibers obtained from electrospinning was observed using a S-4800 (HITACHI) scanning electron microscope (SEM) after platinum sputtering (50 seconds) onto the fibers. The kinetics of decontamination were determined by $^{31}$P-NMR spectrometry using a Bruker AvanceIII 600 spectrometer, with triphenyl phosphate (TPP) in deuterated acetone used as an external reference (0 ppm).

3. Results and discussion

Scheme 1 contains a schematic diagram of the synthetic procedure for G-PBuOxz, the co-electrospinning process to produce G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fibers and the decontamination process of the detoxification fabric. Initially, 2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline (BuOxz) was chosen as the functional monomer, not only because it is a structural isomer of nylon, but also because it has two reactive sites that exhibit orthogonal reactivity. This monomer can undergo ring-opening living cationic polymerization while maintaining the double bond. The BuOxz monomer was synthesized in three steps from commercially available 4-pentenoic acid$^*$ (Scheme S1†) at a mild temperature, as described in the ESL.† The successful synthesis of the monomer is demonstrated in Fig. S1.†

Homopolymerization of BuOxz was performed in acetonitrile solution using methyl triflate as an initiator, followed by the termination of the piperidine (Scheme 1). The chemical structure and $M_w$ of PBuOxz were confirmed by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 1(a)). The backbone intensity ratio was consistent with the theoretical value of 4 : 1 : 2. By comparing the integrals of the vinyl proton of P6 with the initiator end-group of P1 or the terminator end-group of P11, the $M_w$ could be calculated to be 13 400 g mol$^{-1}$. The molecular weight of PBuOxz was also analysed by GPC (9600 g mol$^{-1}$) and it was found to have a polydispersity index of 1.21, which is relatively well matched with that from the $^1$H-NMR calculation (Fig. 1(a)). From the FT-IR spectrum (Fig. 1(b)), the $v_{C-H}$ (2600–3200 cm$^{-1}$), $v_{C=O}$ (~1700 cm$^{-1}$) and $v_{C-N}$ (~1400 cm$^{-1}$) stretching of the PBuOxz backbone could be easily confirmed, but the $v_{C=O}$ signal was obscured by the $v_{C=O}$ signal. This functional PBuOxz monomer could be easily functionalized to introduce amine groups via thiol–ene click chemistry, followed by guanidinylation to form guanidine-functionalized PBuOxz (G-PBuOxz). Firstly, amine functionalization of PBuOxz was carried out using cysteamine (CA) or cysteamine hydrochloride (CA-HCl) as the functional agent via a thiol–ene reaction, as shown in Scheme 2.

In the case of the CA click reaction, the conversion yield is only 7–14 mol%, even when the ratio of [CA]/[C=CA] is increased (PBuOxz-Syn-1(1) and PBuOxz-Syn-1(2) as listed in Table 1, obtained from the $^1$H-NMR spectra in Fig. 2(a)), mainly due to the
basicity of the amine group. The basic amine prevents the formation of stable thyl radicals during the procedure. As a result, we introduced the amine salt CAHCl in order to increase the conversion yield of the thiol–ene click reaction.

After analysis by $^1$H-NMR (Fig. 2(b)), almost 100% conversion for the click chemistry reaction can be obtained, as listed in Table 1 (PBuOxz-Syn-2), judging from the disappearance of the vinyl protons at 5–6 ppm. This CA·HCl aminated polyoxazoline was defined as A-PBuOxz, and was further guanidylated to enhance the basicity of the polymer for the detoxification of nerve gases. From the FT-IR spectrum (Fig. 2(c)), the appearance of $\text{P} \cdot \text{[NH}_3\text{]Cl}^{-}$ at 2600–3200 cm$^{-1}$ also proved that $\text{NH}_2\cdot\text{HCl}$ groups had been introduced.

Guanidine functionalization was performed using cyanamide as a functional agent to form G-PBuOxz as a weakly acidic aqueous solution, as shown in Scheme 3. The chemical structure of G-PBuOxz was determined by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy, as shown in Fig. 3(a). Compared to A-PBuOxz, the signal from the amine protons centered at 8.2 ppm diminished with the substitution of the guanidine protons at 5.5 ppm and 6.2 ppm in G-PBuOxz. When the signal area integral of the guanidine protons is compared with that of the backbone, it can be considered that almost 100% of the amine groups have been functionalized into guanidine groups. From the FTIR spectrum of G-PBuOxz shown in Fig. 3(b), the appearance of $\text{N}--\text{H}$ stretching at 3320 cm$^{-1}$ could be ascribed to the additional amine groups of guanidine. A decrease in the peak at 2600–3200 cm$^{-1}$ was further evidence of guanidine formation, which indicates that the $\text{P} \cdot \text{[NH}_3\text{]Cl}^{-}$ has been changed to guanidine groups. The appearance of $\text{C}--\text{N}$ stretching at 1580 cm$^{-1}$ also indicates the formation of guanidine groups.

The molecular weights of the resulting G-PBuOxz and A-PBuOxz polymers were increased to 18 900 g mol$^{-1}$ and 15 400 g mol$^{-1}$ from the $M_w$ of 9600 g mol$^{-1}$ for PBuOxz, and the molecular weight distributions (PDIs) changed little (PBuOxz: 1.21, A-PBuOxz: 1.22, G-PBuOxz: 1.22) as shown in Fig. 4, which is strong evidence for successful functionalization.

Unfortunately, the as-prepared G-PBuOxz could not be electrospun to form nanofibers by itself because of its poor physical properties (gel-formation was observed, as shown in Fig. 5(a)). However, when this polymer is blended with nylon, its physical properties are enhanced enough to form nanofiber structures. Because of the molecular backbone similarity between PBuOxz and nylon, the polymeric blend was successfully fabricated without any notable phase separation, suggesting that an interpenetrating network was formed, which is the main driving force for the enhancement of the physical properties. Fig. 5(b)–(d) show SEM images of electrospun nanofibers with different weight ratios of G-PBuOxz and Nylon-6,6, i.e. 7/3, 5/5 and 3/7, respectively. In terms of functionality, a higher amount of G-PBuOxz is preferred for further application, but a nanofiber web with the desired porosity can only be obtained when over...
50% of nylon is introduced into the system (Fig. 5(c)). Another SEM image with low magnification (inset of Fig. 5(c)) illustrates the uniformity of the resulting G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fibers. Judging from the SEM images, the excellent processability of this G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fiber can be confirmed. By simply blending G-PBuOxz with Nylon-6,6, which is an economical and commercially available polyamide, followed by co-electrospinning, protective clothing materials can be easily produced. In addition, no allergen rejection occurs due to the similar solubility parameters ($\delta$) of these two polyamides (Nylon-6,6: 23.37 MPa$^{1/2}$, poly[2-(3-butenyl)-2-oxazoline]: 26 MPa$^{1/2}$), so homogeneous nanofibers could be formed.$^{17,18}$ This excellent processability...
provides great convenience for future industry applications, not just for military uniforms but also for conventional fiber industries to produce functional fabrics.

Here, in order to maintain the catalytic activity of the guanidine functional groups toward organic phosphates, trifluoroethanol was selected as the electrospinning solvent, because formic acid (the solvent generally used for electrospinning nylon in other studies) can affect the basicity of the guanidine groups by leading to salt formation or urea formation, as shown in Fig. 6, resulting in a decrease in the detoxification yield (Fig. S2†).

Since guanidine is the strongest base (pKₐ = 13.6) among the neutral organic compounds, we expected it to exhibit excellent reactivity toward DFP—a simulant for combat organophosphates such as the nerve agents sarin and soman, which are inhibitors of acetylcholine esterase.⁵²–⁵⁴ In fact, DFP is known to be phosphorylated by guanidine at elevated temperatures via a hydrolysis mechanism.⁵⁵ The guanidine-catalyzed hydrolysis of organophosphates such as DFP proceeds through the three amine groups in the guanidine groups via a general base-catalyzed bimolecular substitution mechanism (SN₂), involving P–O bond cleavage by the solvated amino groups, RNH₂⋅H–OH.

As shown in Fig. 7, the doublet at 5.0 and 9.0 ppm coupled to the P–F bond (f₁₋₋₂ = 970 Hz) is assigned to the reactant (DFP) in the ³¹P-NMR spectrum.⁵⁶,⁵⁷ A broad singlet signal centered at 16.7 ppm is attributed to the hydrolysis product, DHP, formed by the G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fibers co-electrospun in trifluoroethanol. Furthermore, increasing the [guanidine]/[DFP] ratio increased the decontamination efficiency massively, with 100% removal obtained at a ratio of 100/1. This can be regarded as great evidence of the successful preparation of fabric materials with decontamination properties. However, when the fibers were obtained using formic acid as the co-electrospinning solvent (Fig. 6(A)), the decontamination efficiency was 0%, as shown in Fig. S2,† which might be caused by the side reactions that occur between the guanidine groups and the carboxyl groups in formic acid, as shown in Fig. 6(B).

Under the conditions in this study, the degree of DFP hydrolysis will be related to the concentration of the substrate ([DFP]) and the reaction time. In this case, the decontamination process is governed not by guanidine itself, but by guanidine–H₂O complexes as shown in Scheme 4.

Therefore, the governing kinetics equation can be written as follows:

\[
\frac{d[\text{DFP}]}{dt} = -k_2[\text{DFP}][\text{G–H}_2\text{O}],
\]

where [DFP] is the current moles of the substrate, [G–H₂O] is the moles of the guanidine–H₂O complex, and t is the decontamination time. As is well-known, the amine group is a strong
hydrophilic group, and guanidine has three amine groups, so it is much more hydrophilic than a single amine (log $K_{ow} \sim -2.64$). Therefore, guanidine combines with water molecules just for an instant.

Furthermore, water molecules are in excess relative to the guanidine groups, so it could be defined that [guanidine] = [G-H$_2$O], and based on these conversions, the total kinetics equation can be written as follows:

$$\frac{d[DFP]}{dt} = -k_2[DFP][guanidine]$$

This equation can be further converted to:

$$-\ln([DFP]/[DFP]_0) = k_2[guanidine] \times t,$$

where [DFP]$_0$ is the initial moles of the substrate, and [guanidine] is the moles of guanidine added. So the resulting second-order rate constant ($k_2$) can be calculated for various guanidine concentrations ([guanidine]) and decontamination times (t) using eqn (3) as shown in Fig. 8.

Here, the dependency of $-\ln([DFP]/[DFP]_0)$ on time measured for the G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fibers exhibited excellent linearity, as shown in Fig. 8(A), and the value of [guanidine] is a constant (1.26 x 10$^{-5}$ mol), so the value of $k_2$ was calculated from the slope to be 3.6 mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. The decontamination efficiency also increased with an increase in [guanidine]/[DFP]. Fig. 8(B) shows typical DFP conversion vs. [guanidine] kinetics with G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fibers. The decontamination efficiency increases with [guanidine]/[DFP], and the rate constant for DFP hydrolysis ($k_2$) is obtained from the experimental data using the following equation:

$$-\ln(-[DFP]/[DFP]_0) = k'_2 \times [guanidine] \times t,$$

where [DFP] is the current moles of the substrate, and [DFP]$_0$ is the initial moles of the substrate, [guanidine] is the moles of guanidine added, and t is the decontamination time. As can be seen, the dependency of $k'_2$ on time measured for the G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fibers also exhibited excellent linearity, and the time (t) is a constant (7200 s), so the value of $k'_2$ is 1.7 mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, calculated from the slope. The values of $k_2$ and $k'_2$ are very close, which can be regarded as good evidence of the accuracy of this kinetics investigation.

As a control experiment, when we added just G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fibers without any water, no decontamination was observed as shown in Fig. S3(A) and (B). The same result was obtained when there was only water without G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fibers, as shown in Fig. S3(A) and (C). These results indicate that the real hydrolysis agents are guanidine-H$_2$O complexes.

Although various synthetic polymers bearing groups with decontamination properties have been reported so far, e.g. hydroxylamine functionalized polyacrylonitrile (PAN), poly(N-vinylguanidine) (PVG) and their combined fiber materials, the values of the rate constant $k_2$ reported here are much higher than those of previous reports (0.06 mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ to 0.43 mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$).

This might be due to (1) the huge amount of functional groups originating from the tailored design of the reactive polymers; (2) the polyamide structure, which might play an important role as a catalyst to increase the decontamination rate constant $k_2$; (3) the porosity of the electrospun fibers, which makes it possible for the guanidine functionality to be used effectively.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, G-PBuOxz/Nylon-6,6 fibers were conveniently obtained by co-electrospinning Nylon-6,6 and G-PBuOxz, which was synthesized from PBuOxz by amine functionalization and guanidinylation. These fibers appear to be an ardent hydrolyzing species for DFP, which is an organophosphate mimic of CWAs. The detoxification efficiency is relatively high compared with that reported in previous studies. Moreover, the high decontamination efficiency of these fibers against toxic.
organophosphates indicates their value as a decontamination material that can be used in protective clothing against chemical warfare agents. In addition, the method presented here to prepare functionalized nylon fibers can be extended to prepare nylon-based smart cloths, which can be functionalized with various moieties.
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