Olfactory Function and Quality of Life Following Microscopic Endonasal Transsphenoidal Pituitary Surgery

Shousen Wang, MD, PhD, Yehuang Chen, MD, Jianzhong Li, MD, PhD, Liangfeng Wei, MD, PhD, and Rumi Wang, MD

Abstract: Olfactory outcomes as well as oronasal postoperative complications of transsphenoidal pituitary surgery have not been well studied. The objective of this study was to investigate nasal symptoms including olfactory function as well as quality of life following transsphenoidal pituitary surgery.

The study is designed as a prospective cohort study set in a single tertiary hospital. A total of 53 patients with pituitary adenomas were included. All patients underwent pituitary surgery with the right-sided endonasal transsphenoidal approach.

Outcomes were assessed with the Chinese version of the Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-36 (SF-36) to survey patient health, the Chinese version of the 22-item Sinonasal Outcome Test (SNOT-22), and a Toyota and Takagi (T&T) olfactometer. Assessments were carried out before surgery and at 1 week, and 1 and 4 months after surgery.

The overall SF-36 scores were significantly lower, but the SNOT-22 scores were higher at 1 week and 1 month postoperatively compared with baseline (all \( P < 0.001 \)). The results of T&T olfactometer testing showed that there was a significant decline in the ability to detect odors postoperatively, even at 4 months. Multivariate linear regression analysis showed that lower education level, partial tumor removal, and longer duration of surgery were independent risk factors for a higher SNOT-22 score at 1 week after surgery.

The findings show that microscopic endonasal transsphenoidal pituitary surgery impairs olfactory function in most patients for at least 4 months after surgery.

Abbreviations: MRI = magnetic resonance imaging, QOL = quality of life, SF-36 = Medical Outcomes Study Short Form-36, SNOT-22 = 22-item Sinonasal Outcome Test, T&T = Toyota and Takagi, T1WI = T1-weighted imaging.

INTRODUCTION

Pituitary adenoma is a common typically benign intracranial tumor that can cause symptoms because of hormonal dysfunction or mass effect.\(^1\) Surgical resection is the most effective treatment. The traditional approach has been the microscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach; however, in recent years, there has been a shift to the endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach.\(^2-5\) Because the surgical procedure results in varying degrees of destruction of the nasal structure, some nasal symptoms may occur postoperatively. Kilty et al\(^6\) reported prolonged nasal crusting in 10.4% and septal deviation in 3.7% of patients who underwent endoscopic transsphenoidal sellar surgery, and Petry et al\(^7\) found that oronasal postoperative complications were common in patients who had transsphenoidal surgery, in most cases by the sublabial approach. Kim et al\(^8\) found that visual analogue scale scores for nasal obstruction, sneezing, rhinorrhea, snoring, or facial pain increased significantly following surgery. Hummel and Nordin\(^9\) reviewed the literature on olfactory disorders and concluded that the data suggest that in a large proportion of patients with olfactory disorders, quality of life (QOL) as well as eating habits and nutritional intake are severely altered.

Changes in olfactory function have been reported after several types of nonpituitary nasal surgery. Min et al\(^10\) reported results suggesting that functional endoscopic surgery in patients with chronic paranasal sinusitis may significantly improve impairment of olfaction and mucociliary transport. Lee et al\(^11\) carried out endoscopic sinus surgery on patients with persistent anosmia and reported 2 patterns of histological findings in the olfactory mucosa of these patients. The findings suggested that in patients with chronic sinusitis there can be degeneration of the olfactory epithelium and that abnormalities of the olfactory epithelium may account for failure of the olfactory deficit to improve following sinus surgery. Kern\(^12\) studied pathological changes in the olfactory mucosa of chronic sinusitis patients with anosmia and concluded that inflammatory changes in the olfactory mucosa may play a role in the olfactory deficits. Soler et al\(^13\) performed endoscopic sinus surgery on patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with olfactory loss and found that specific histological inflammatory findings were not predictive of postoperative olfactory improvement. Shemshadi et al\(^14\) carried out a study to determine if patients who undergo open rhinoplasty have olfactory dysfunction after surgery. They found that such patients may have some postoperative olfactory dysfunction and require from 6 weeks to 6 months to attain full recovery of their baseline olfactory function. However, there have been only a few studies that have attempted to evaluate olfactory function after endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery, and the results have been conflicting with some studies showing profound impairment and others showing no loss or only minimal loss of function.\(^15-18\) Moreover, only a few studies have addressed QOL in patients treated surgically.
for pituitary adenomas. Karabatsou et al found that there was only minimal impairment of QOL in patients who underwent endoscopic surgery for pituitary adenomas. Tanemura et al studied patients treated surgically for nonfunctioning pituitary macroadrenoma and reported that surgical treatment affected QOL physically and mentally.

Because so few studies have assessed nasal symptoms after microscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery, the aim of this study was to investigate the surgical outcome of this type of operation, focusing particularly on olfactory function and QOL.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

Fifty-three patients with pituitary adenomas who underwent surgical treatment in the neurosurgery department of our hospital from March 2012 to January 2013 were included in this study. Medical history was obtained before surgery. Data were recorded in detail on residence, education, work environment (indoor or outdoor), alcohol addiction, history of nasal disease, and history of nasal medication.

The inclusion criteria were no previous history of endonasal transsphenoidal surgery, able to undergo preoperative and postoperative imaging examinations, able to complete postoperative scale evaluation, and underwent endonasal transsphenoidal tumor removal after admission.

Patients were excluded if they underwent secondary endonasal transsphenoidal surgery within 4 months after the primary surgery, or underwent tumor resection by craniotomy.

Informed consent and a confidentiality agreement were obtained from the patients. The study was approved in advance by the Medical Ethics Committee of Fuzhou General Hospital, Fufian Medical University.

**Imaging**

All patients underwent imaging examinations before and after surgery. Imaging was carried out with a Siemens 3.0T magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanner (Tim Trio; Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany). Unenhanced and enhanced T1-weighted imaging (T1WI) and T2-weighted imaging (T2WI) axial, coronal, and sagittal images were obtained under spin-echo sequences. Preoperative scanning was done with an enhanced coronal 3D gradient echo sequence; the parameters were repetition time/echo time = 7/2 ms, field of view = 180 × 180 mm, matrix = 448 × 305, and slice thickness = 0.8 mm. There was no interval between successive scans. Video laryngoscopy using a Pentax-EPM-3500 video system (Robin Medical Ltd, Coulsdon, England) was performed 1 week and 4 months after surgery. Computed tomography using a GE-256-slice CT scanner was performed 1 week and 4 months after surgery to obtain coronal and axial images of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses.

**Image Analysis**

The preoperative imaging data were analyzed in detail. The main types of data were tumor size, presence of tumor invasion of the cavernous sinus, sphenoid sinus, and so on; presence of fluid collection, inflammation, and so on in the postoperative nasal and paranasal sinuses on CT; presence of blood clot formation, erosion of the nasal mucosa, nasal adhesion, nasal septum perforation, and so on on postoperative video laryngoscopy.

**Surgical Procedure and Standard of Identification**

**Surgical Procedure**

Tumor resection was performed under general anesthesia. The patient was placed in supine position with 15° to 20° of neck extension, The right-sided nostril-nasal septum-transsphenoidal approach was used in all operations. Under the microscope, cotton sizers moistened with saline containing 0.01% norepinephrine were placed into bilateral nasal cavities for 3 minutes to shrink blood vessels in the nasal mucosa and expand the nasal cavity. A straight incision was made in the middle of the nasal septum (3 cm posterior to the anterior nostril), leaving the superior turbinate intact, and the bony part of the nasal septum was pushed away. This incision was vertical to the surgical approach, and was not a flap from the nasal septum. The cartilage and bone comprising the septum were not removed. The anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus was reached between the right mucoperiosteum and the perpendicular plate of the ethmoid bone. The perpendicular plate of ethmoid at the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus was broken off and pushed to the left side (at the end of surgery it was repositioned in the midline). In patients with a relatively large middle turbinate, it was broken off and pushed to the lateral side. After the sellar floor was reached by opening the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus and removing the bone of sella turcica, the dura mater was cut open in an “X” shape. The tumor was removed in pieces by using ring curettes, tumor-grasping forceps, and suction devices. The posterior part of the tumor was removed first, bilateral sides were then removed, and the antralomechanical part was removed last. A gelatin sponge was used to fill the tumor cavity that was left after collapse of the sellar diaphragm. After surgery, the bilateral nasal cavities were filled with Vaseline Gauze (HuXi Medical Materials Co., Ltd, Xinxiang, Henan, China). The tumor tissue specimen was sent to the pathology department of our hospital to confirm the immuno-histochemistry-based tumor classification.

**Indicators of Intraoperative Observation**

The duration of intraoperative application of the nasal dilator; the tumor color, texture and blood supply; the boundary between the tumor and its peripheral tissues and the status of adhesion between them; the presence of local tumor invasion (including integrity of the bone and dura mater of the sellar floor, bilateral cavernous sinuses, etc); and the shape and status of subsidence of the sellar diaphragm were observed during surgery. The rate of tumor resection and the incidence of cerebrospinal fluid leakage were identified.

**Intraoperative Identification of Invasiveness of Pituitary Adenoma**

Based on the modified standard by Wolfsberger et al and the classification Knosp et al, tumor invasiveness was defined when one of following indicators were found during surgery: defects in the medial wall of the cavernous sinus and tumor cells in the tissue scraped from the cavernous sinus; the tumor invasion of the sphenoid sinus and the bone and/or dura mater of the sellar floor were incomplete; the tumor invading the clivus and the clival bone was absorbed, destroyed, or uneven.

**36-Item Medical Outcomes Study Short Form Evaluation**

Short form-36 (SF-36) is a concise health questionnaire. In the current study, we used the Chinese version of the SF-36,
FIGURE 1. Results of the SF-36 for (A) overall, (B) PF, (C) RP, (D) BP, (E) GH, (F) VT, (G) SF, (H) RE, and (I) MH. † Indicates significant difference between preoperative and 1 week postoperative using the post hoc Bonferroni test; § indicates a significant difference between preoperative and 1 month postoperative using the post hoc Bonferroni test; ‡ indicates a significant difference between preoperative and 4 months postoperative using the post hoc Bonferroni test. BP = bodily pain, GH = general health, MH = mental health, PF = physical functioning, RE = role-emotional, RP = role-physical, SF = social functioning, SF-36 = medical outcomes study short form-36, VT = vitality.
which has been validated. It was authorized by the New England Medical Center in Boston and developed by the Sun Yat-sen College of Medical Science. A score of 100 points represents a perfect health status, and the health status is often shown by standardized scoring of each dimension.²³,²⁴

### 22-Item Sinonasal Outcome Test evaluation

The 22-item Sinonasal Outcome Test (SNOT-22) questionnaire was administered before surgery and 1 week, 1 month, and 4 months after surgery. The SNOT-22 is a disease-specific scale produced by the Royal College of Surgeons of England.²⁵ We used the Chinese version modified by the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, which has been validated.²⁶ Subjects are required to select scores in 22 items and then further choose the 5 most important problems (called “five big problems”) affecting health. The higher the score (0–5), the poorer is the health status.

### T&T Olfactometer

The Toyota and Takagi (T&T) olfactometer (Daiichi Pharmaceutical Co, Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) can identify olfactory detection and each corresponding recognition threshold. The criteria for odor selection were as follows: each odor can be separated from other odors, the odor is simple and easily detected by most people, the intensity and nature of the odor are stable, and the olfactory components are simple and easy to produce. Ten candidate odorants were selected based on these criteria, and a 10-fold dilution was carried out for each odorant. Concentrations ranged from 10⁻³ M to 10⁻¹⁷ M. Each odorant is evaluated at 8 levels (−2 to 5): 0 is the normal olfactory threshold concentration, 5 is the highest, and −2 is the lowest. The olfactory function is divided into 6 grades according to the obtained recognition threshold values: mean value <−1 is defined as hyperosmia, −1 to 1 is normal olfaction, 1.1 to 2.5 is mild hyposmia, 2.6 to 4.0 is moderate hyposmia, 4.1 to 5.5 is severe hyposmia, and >5.6 is anosmia.

The test was carried out with low-concentration odorants to high-concentration odorants sequentially (from −2 to 4 or 5). When a patient can detect the odor, this concentration is the detection threshold.¹⁷,¹⁸ When a patient can identify what kind of odor it is, then this concentration is the recognition threshold.¹⁷,¹⁸ Because the current study only needed to record the recognition threshold of the patients, both nostrils were tested simultaneously.

### Investigation Method

Patients during hospitalization were evaluated before surgery and 1 week after surgery, and after discharge were recalled to the hospital 1 month and 4 months after surgery for sinus CT examination, SF-36 and SNOT-22 evaluation, and the T&T olfactometer test. Evaluations were carried out in person in most instances; the telephone was used when necessary. All evaluation data were recorded and verified by 2 staff members.

### Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were presented as the mean and standard deviation (SD). Categorical variables were presented by count and percentage, and the differences between categorical variables were analyzed by the χ² test or Fisher exact test with Yate correction if any cell number was <5 or close to 0. A repeated measure analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to compare the means within patients for medical outcomes of the SF-36 and SNOT-22 among 4 different time points: preoperative, 1 week postoperative, 1 month postoperative, and 4 months postoperative. For repeated measures, sphericity was confirmed by using the Mauchly sphericity test and the Greenhouse–Geisser correction was applied if the assumption of sphericity had been violated. The Bonferroni test was performed to compare multiple post hoc testing.

Linear regression was used to analyze any association between changed scores of SF-36 and SNOT and independent variables. The variance inflation factor (VIF) test was performed to verify the severity of multicollinearity within the multiple linear regression models. Logistic regression was used to analyze any association between changed category of the T&T olfactometer test and independent variables. The changed scores for SF-36 and SNOT were calculated as score at 1 week postoperatively minus the score preoperatively. The changed category for the T&T test was applied between before surgery and 1 week after surgery. We defined “large” change as the change from normal before surgery to loss of smell at 1 week postoperative. All other category changes were defined as “small” change.

All statistical assessments were 2-sided and evaluated at the 0.05 level of significant difference. Statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS software for Windows, version 18.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL).

### RESULTS

The study included 53 patients, 20 (37.7%) men and 33 (62.3%) women. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the basic demographic and clinical characteristics, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the overall change of the SF-36 score and the change in score for each of the 8 subcategories. The overall SF-36 score was significantly different among different time points (P < 0.001, Figure 1A), and at 1 week (553 ± 88) and 1...
month (574 ± 83) postoperatively the overall scores were significantly lower than that at baseline (655 ± 65) (both \( P < 0.001 \)).

Figure 2 presents the pre- and postoperative SNOT-22 scores. The SNOT-22 score was significantly different among different time points (\( P < 0.001 \)), and at 1 week (22.1 ± 6.7) and 1 month (15.5 ± 5.2) postoperatively the scores were significantly higher than at baseline (9.5 ± 4.4) (both \( P < 0.001 \)).

The results of the T&T olfactometer test showed that preoperatively 50 (94.3%) patients were normal and only 3 (5.7%) had mild hyposmia (Table 3). However, the test results at 1 week postoperatively showed that about three-quarters of the patients had loss of smell. The test results at 4 months postoperatively showed that one-quarter of the patients had severe hyposmia or loss of smell and 35.8% had moderate hyposmia. Four patients had complete anosmia at 4 months after surgery. Three of these patients had invasive pituitary adenomas and could not have total tumor removal. Also, for these 3 patients, the time of intraoperative application of the nasal dilation device was relatively long and exceeded the mean nasal dilation time. In addition, these 3 patients were relatively older (age range, 45–57 years) and 2 of them had low educational levels. Impairment of olfactory function of these 3 patients appears to be permanent.

The univariate and multivariate linear regression for changed scores of the SF-36 between before surgery and 1 week after surgery are shown in Table 4. No significant difference was found between demographics and changed scores of the SF-36 between before and 1 week after surgery. The results of univariate and multivariate linear regression for the SNOT-22 change in score between before surgery and 1 week after surgery are shown in Table 5. A significantly greater change in score between before surgery and 1 week after surgery was found for patients with a lower education level (\( P = 0.017 \)), patients who received partial tumor resection (\( P = 0.026 \)) and patients who had longer operation times (\( P < 0.001 \)). Table 6 shows that the results of univariate and multivariate logistic regression for change in score of the T&T olfactometer test between before surgery and 1 week after surgery. No significant association was found between any demographic or clinical

### TABLE 1. Patient Demographic at Baseline (n = 53)

| Age, y | 44.8 ± 13.6 |
|-------|-------------|
| Sex   |             |
| Male  | 20 (37.7)   |
| Female| 33 (62.3)   |
| Education level |          |
| Senior high school or above | 16 (30.2) |
| Junior high school or below | 37 (69.8) |
| History of smoking |         |
| Nonsmoker | 36 (67.9) |
| Smoker  | 17 (32.1)   |
| Residence |          |
| Rural  | 29 (54.7)   |
| Urban  | 24 (45.3)   |
| Workplace |          |
| Indoor | 33 (62.3)   |
| Outdoor| 20 (37.7)   |

* Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.  
† Data are presented as number (percentage).

### TABLE 2. Patient Clinical Characteristics at Baseline (n = 53)

| History of nose disease | |  |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| No                      | 42 (79.2) |
| Yes                     | 11 (20.8) |
| Tumor removal | |  |
| Partial resection       | 22 (41.5) |
| Total resection         | 31 (58.5) |
| Endocrine type | |  |
| Nonfunctional           | 20 (37.7) |
| Functional              | 27 (50.9) |
| Rathke cyst             | 6 (11.3)  |
| Tumor cleft             | |  |
| No                      | 30 (56.6) |
| Yes                     | 23 (43.4) |
| Operation time, min     | 38.3 ± 7.6 |
| Tumor height, mm         | 28.2 ± 11.9 |
| Cerebrospinal fluid leak | |  |
| Pericerebrospinal fluid leak | 13 (24.5) |
| Postcerebrospinal fluid leak | 1 (1.9) |
| Hormone substitute therapy | |  |
| Intravenous infusion prednisolone | 1 (1.9) |
| Oral prednisone          | 6 (11.3)  |
| Oral prednisone and oral levothyroxine sodium tablets | 2 (3.8) |
| Oral prednisone and intravenous infusion prednisolone | 38 (71.7) |
| Oral prednisone, oral levothyroxine sodium tablets, and intravenous infusion prednisolone | 6 (11.3) |

* Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.  
† Data are presented as number (percentage).

variable and change in score on the T&T olfactometer test between before surgery and 1 week after surgery.

Table 7 shows neurological complications. Thirty percent of participants had no neurological complications. Over half of the participants (56.6%) had transient diabetes insipidus, 24.5%

**FIGURE 2.** Results of the SNOT-22. † Indicates a significant difference between preoperative and 1 week postoperative using the post hoc Bonferroni test; § indicates a significant difference between preoperative and 1 month postoperative using the post hoc Bonferroni test. SNOT-22 = sinonasal outcome test-22.
### TABLE 3. Results of the T&T Olfactometer Test

|                  | Preoperative | 1 wk Postoperative | 4 mo Postoperative | P Value |
|------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| **T&T**          |              |                    |                    | <0.001* |
| Normal           | 50 (94.3)    | 0 (0.0)            | 4 (7.5)            |         |
| Mild hyposmia    | 3 (5.7)      | 0 (0.0)            | 17 (32.1)          |         |
| Moderate hyposmia| 0 (0.0)      | 2 (3.8)            | 19 (35.8)          |         |
| Severe hyposmia  | 0 (0.0)      | 11 (20.8)          | 9 (17.0)           |         |
| Loss of smell    | 0 (0.0)      | 40 (75.5)          | 4 (7.5)            |         |

T&T = Toyota and Takagi.

* P < 0.05 indicates the change was significantly different among different time points.

### TABLE 4. Results of Univariate and Multivariate Linear Regression for Changes in the SF-36 Scores From Before Surgery to 1 Week After Surgery

|                               | Univariate Linear Regression | Multivariate Linear Regression† |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                               | Coefficient (95% CI)         | P Value                         | Coefficient (95% CI)         | P Value|
| Age, y                        | −0.82 (−1.84, 0.20)          | 0.112                           | −0.54 (−1.91, 0.84)          | 0.431  |
| Sex                           |                              |                                 |                               |        |
| Male                          | Ref.                         |                                 | −11.58 (−45.39, 22.23)       | 0.491  |
| Female                        | −2.46 (−31.51, 26.58)        | 0.865                           | Ref.                         |        |
| Education level               |                              |                                 |                               |        |
| High school or elementary school | Ref.                       |                                 |                               |        |
| Senior high school or college | 21.00 (−9.11, 51.10)        | 0.168                           | Ref.                         |        |
| History of smoking            |                              |                                 |                               |        |
| Nonsmoker                     | Ref.                         | −9.77 (−39.82, 20.28)          | 0.517                         |        |
| Smoker                        |                              |                                 |                               |        |
| Residence                     |                              |                                 |                               |        |
| Rural                         | Ref.                         |                                 |                               |        |
| Urban/suburban                | −18.11 (−45.95, 9.72)       | 0.197                           | Ref.                         |        |
| Workplace                     |                              |                                 |                               |        |
| Indoor                        | Ref.                         | −0.38 (−29.44, 28.68)          | 0.979                         |        |
| Outdoor                       |                              |                                 |                               |        |
| History of nose disease       |                              |                                 |                               |        |
| Yes                           | Ref.                         | −16.59 (−51.00, 17.83)         | 0.338                         |        |
| No                            |                              |                                 | −12.19 (−50.62, 26.25)       | 0.524  |
| Tumor removal                 |                              |                                 |                               |        |
| Partial resection             | Ref.                         |                                 |                               |        |
| Total resection               | 14.97 (−13.31, 43.24)       | 0.293                           | Ref.                         |        |
| Endocrine type                |                              |                                 |                               |        |
| Nonfunctional                 | Ref.                         |                                 |                               |        |
| Functional                    | 13.34 (−16.45, 43.14)       | 0.372                           | Ref.                         |        |
| Rathke cyst                   | 14.66 (−12.94, 42.27)       | 0.284                           | 0.44 (−33.59, 34.47)         | 0.979  |
| Tumor cleft                   |                              |                                 |                               |        |
| No                            | Ref.                         | −15.82 (−43.89, 12.24)         | 0.263                         |        |
| Yes                           | −1.44 (−3.25, 0.38)         | 0.119                           | −1.49 (−3.61, 0.63)          | 0.161  |
| Operation time, min           | −0.15 (−1.41, 1.11)         | 0.810                           | 0.90 (−0.81, 2.60)           | 0.293  |
| Cerebrospinal fluid leak      |                              |                                 |                               |        |
| No change                     | Ref.                         | −6.82 (−40.42, 26.77)          | 0.685                         |        |
| Change better                 |                              |                                 | −17.37 (−57.57, 22.83)       | 0.386  |

There is no multicollinearity in the multivariate linear regression. CI = confidence interval, NA = not available, SF-36 = short form-36.

* P < 0.05 indicates a significant difference.

† Adjusted R^2 = 0.056.

† History of smoking was excluded in the multiple linear regression because there was high variance inflation factor with sex.
of the participants had brief headache, and 13.2% of the participants had transient dizziness. Pre- and postoperative hormone level changes are presented in the supplement http://links.lww.com/MD/A176.

### An exemplary case

Figure 3 shows images obtained from a 52-year-old female patient. The patient had mild hyposmia before surgery. One week after surgery the patient had anosmia. Nasal endoscopy showed nasal cavity adhesion and blood clot attachment, and CT showed fluid collection in the nasal sinuses. Four months after surgery, the recovery of the nasal mucosa was excellent, absorption of the fluid in the nasal sinuses was incomplete, the recovery of olfactory function was poor, and the patient had severe hyposmia.

### DISCUSSION

In this study, the postoperative nasal symptoms of 53 patients who underwent microscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery for pituitary adenoma were fully evaluated. A significant decline in the overall SF-36 score at 1 week and 1 month after surgery, a significant increase in the SNOT-22 score at 1 week and 1 month after surgery, and all but 4 patients having mild, moderate, or severe hyposmia or loss of smell at 4 months after surgery were observed. Our results show that endonasal transsphenoidal surgery results in impaired olfactory function at least 4 months after surgery, which was the end of our follow-up period. Since olfactory function is very important for enjoying food, sensing danger, and so on, our findings should be taken into consideration in decision making when patients need surgical treatment for pituitary adenoma.

### TABLE 5. Results of Univariate and Multivariate Linear Regression for Changes in the SNOT-22 Scores From Before Surgery to 1 Week After Surgery

|                         | Univariate Linear Regression | Multivariate Linear Regression
|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                         | Coefficient (95% CI)       | P Value                    | Coefficient (95% CI)       | P Value |
| Age, y¹                  | −0.01 (−0.12, 0.10)        | 0.876                      | −0.10 (−0.21, −0.01)        | 0.064   |
| Sex²                    |                             |                            |                             |         |
| Male                    |                             |                            | −2.53 (−5.23, 0.17)        | 0.065   |
| Female                  | −0.71 (−3.75, 2.34)        | 0.643                      | Ref.                       |         |
| Education level²³       |                             |                            |                             |         |
| Junior high school or elementary school | Ref.             |                            |                             |         |
| Senior high school or college | −1.22 (−4.42, 1.99) | 0.450                      | Ref.                       |         |
| History of smoking      |                             |                            | −3.73 (−6.76, −0.70)       | 0.017   |
| Non smoker              |                             |                            | Ref.                       |         |
| Smoker                  | 1.71 (−1.42, 4.84)        | 0.277                      | Ref.                       |         |
| Residence²             |                             |                            | −2.89 (−5.85, 0.06)        | 0.055   |
| Rural                   |                             |                            | Ref.                       |         |
| Urban/suburban          | 2.07 (−0.85, 4.98)        | 0.160                      | Ref.                       |         |
| Workplace²             |                             |                            | −4.37 (−8.17, −0.56)       | 0.026   |
| Indoor                  | −2.02 (−5.02, 0.97)       | 0.181                      | Ref.                       |         |
| Outdoor                 |                             |                            | −2.89 (−5.85, 0.06)        | 0.055   |
| History of nose disease²|                             |                            |                             |         |
| No                      |                             |                            | −2.45 (−5.37, 0.48)        | 0.099   |
| Yes                     | 2.26 (−1.33, 5.85)        | 0.211                      | 2.10 (−0.97, 5.17)         | 0.173   |
| Tumor removal²         |                             |                            | −4.37 (−8.17, −0.56)       | 0.026   |
| Partial resection       | −2.45 (−5.37, 0.48)       | 0.099                      | Ref.                       |         |
| Total resection         |                             |                            | −4.37 (−8.17, −0.56)       | 0.026   |
| Endocrine type²        |                             |                            |                             |         |
| Nonfunctional          | −1.20 (−4.39, 1.98)       | 0.451                      | 0.11 (−2.61, 2.82)         | 0.936   |
| Functional             | −0.34 (−3.45, 2.76)       | 0.822                      | NA                        |         |
| Rathke cyst            |                             |                            | NA                        |         |
| Tumor cleft²          |                             |                            |                             |         |
| No                      |                             |                            | −1.20 (−4.39, 1.98)        | 0.451   |
| Yes                     | 1.29 (−1.67, 4.25)        | 0.385                      | −1.51 (−5.48, 2.45)        | 0.443   |
| Operation time, min    | 0.43 (0.10, 0.53)         | <0.001*                    | 0.345 (0.18, 0.51)         | <0.001  |
| Tumor height, mm       | 0.03 (−0.10, 0.17)        | 0.624                      | −0.08 (−0.22, 0.06)        | 0.242   |
| Cerebrospinal fluid leak |                             |                            |                             |         |
| No change              |                             |                            |                             |         |
| Change better          | 1.09 (−2.43, 4.61)        | 0.539                      | 2.42 (−0.79, 5.63)         | 0.135   |

There is no multicollinearity in the multiple linear regression. CI = confidence interval, NA = not available, SNOT-22 = sinonasal outcome test-22, VIF = variance inflation factor.

¹ P < 0.05 indicates a significant difference.

² Adjusted R² = 0.446.

³ History of smoking was excluded in the multiple linear regression because there was high VIF with sex.
Olfactory groove obstruction, olfactory mucosal atrophy, fractures in the anterior cranial fossa, or diseases involving the olfactory pathway may all lead to decrease or lack of olfactory sensation. Rotenberg et al.\(^1\) studied the olfactory function of 17 patients with pituitary adenomas before surgery and 6 months after surgery using the University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT) and found that postoperatively, 9 patients had mild hyposmia, 5 had moderate hyposmia, and 1 had severe hyposmia; only 2 patients had normal olfactory function. Statistically significant recovery of olfaction was observed 7 months after surgery compared with 1 week after surgery. However, olfaction did not completely return to the preoperative level. Kahilogullari et al.\(^2\) found that only 2 of the 25 patients who underwent endoscopic transsphenoidal pituitary surgery had hyposmia postoperatively based on the Smell Diskettes Olfaction Test. Hart et al.\(^3\) also used the UPSIT and found that at 3 months after surgery, there was no significant difference between preoperative and postoperative UPSIT scores and concluded that the surgery had no effect on olfaction. Also, Kim et al.\(^4\) who used the butanol threshold test and cross-cultural identification test, found that only 1 of the 15 patients had olfactory dysfunction 6 months after surgery. Our results

### TABLE 6. Results of Univariate and Multivariate Logistic Regression for Changes in Category of the T&T Olfactometer Test From Before Surgery to 1 Week After Surgery

|                          | Univariate Logistic Regression | Multivariate Logistic Regression |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                          | OR (95% CI)                   | P Value                         | OR (95% CI)       | P Value |
| Age, y\(^1\)             | 1.03 (0.98, 1.08)             | 0.235*                          | 1.03 (0.96, 1.10) | 0.447*  |
| Sex\(^2\)                |                               |                                 |                   |
| Male                     | Ref.                          |                                 | Ref.              |         |
| Female                   | 0.67 (0.19, 2.31)             | 0.667                           | 0.77 (0.12, 4.87) | 0.784   |
| Education level\(^2\)   |                               |                                 |                   |
| Junior high school or elementary school | Ref. |                                 | Ref.              |         |
| Senior high school or college | 0.93 (0.26, 3.32) | 0.912                           | 0.99 (0.13, 7.44) | 0.990   |
| History of smoking\(^2\) |                               |                                 |                   |
| Nonsmoker                | Ref.                          |                                 | Ref.              |         |
| Smoker                   | 1.63 (0.44, 6.07)             | 0.470                           |                   |         |
| Residence\(^2\)         |                               |                                 |                   |
| Rural                    | Ref.                          |                                 | Ref.              |         |
| Urban/suburban           | 0.76 (0.24, 2.47)             | 0.650                           | 0.31 (0.05, 1.86) | 0.202   |
| Workplace\(^2\)         |                               |                                 |                   |
| Indoor                   | Ref.                          |                                 | Ref.              |         |
| Outdoor                  | 1.50 (0.43, 5.21)             | 0.523                           | 1.27 (0.18, 9.00) | 0.811   |
| History of nose disease\(^2\) |                               |                                 |                   |
| No                       | Ref.                          |                                 | Ref.              |         |
| Yes                      | 1.20 (0.27, 5.25)             | 0.813                           | 1.17 (0.16, 8.78) | 0.882   |
| Tumor removal\(^2\)     |                               |                                 |                   |
| Partial resection        | Ref.                          |                                 | Ref.              |         |
| Total resection          | 1.14 (0.35, 3.73)             | 0.828                           | 0.38 (0.04, 4.00) | 0.418   |
| Endocrine type\(^2\)    |                               |                                 |                   |
| Nonfunctional            | Ref.                          |                                 | Ref.              |         |
| Functional               | 0.26 (0.06, 1.09)             | 0.257                           | 0.15 (0.02, 1.05) | 0.056   |
| Rathke cyst              | 0.35 (0.04, 2.87)             | 0.353                           | NA                | NA      |
| Tumor cleft\(^2\)       |                               |                                 |                   |
| No                       | Ref.                          |                                 | Ref.              |         |
| Yes                      | 0.98 (0.30, 3.20)             | 0.973                           | 0.24 (0.02, 2.96) | 0.265   |
| Operation time, min      | 1.00 (0.92, 1.08)             | 0.954                           | 0.91 (0.81, 1.02) | 0.104   |
| Tumor height, mm         | 1.03 (0.97, 1.09)             | 0.291                           | 1.07 (0.96, 1.18) | 0.218   |
| Cerebrospinal fluid leak |                               |                                 |                   |
| No change                | Ref.                          |                                 | Ref.              |         |
| Change better            | 0.51 (0.13, 1.96)             | 0.329                           | 0.56 (0.08, 3.95) | 0.560   |

CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio, NA = not available, T&T = Toyota and Takagi.

\(^*P < 0.05\) indicates a significant difference.

### TABLE 7. Neurological Complications

|                          | Number (%) |
|--------------------------|------------|
| None                     | 16 (30.2)  |
| Transient diabetes insipidus | 30 (56.6)  |
| Brief headache           | 13 (24.5)  |
| Transient dizziness      | 7 (13.2)   |
| Cerebrospinal fluid leak | 1 (1.9)    |
| Psychiatric symptoms     | 1 (1.9)    |

Data are presented as number (percentage).
are consistent with those of Rotenberg. Kahilogullari et al, Hart et al, and Kim et al found in contrast that this type of surgery had little or no effect on olfactory function. The differences in the results could be attributable to the use of different tests for olfactory function, although both Rotenberg and Hart et al used the UPSIT. An advantage of our study is that we performed relatively objective evaluation of olfaction by using T&T olfactometry. There have been no previous reports in which postoperative olfaction after endonasal transsphenoidal surgery was evaluated using this method.

The transsphenoidal approach used in this study for all operations differs from endoscopic endonasal approaches. During transnasal–transsphenoidal endoscopic surgery, the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus is reached through the nasal cavity after removing the posterior part of the nasal septum, and the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus is also cut open to reach the sphenoid sinus. For the transnasal-transsphenoidal microscopic surgery used in this study, we first reached the middle part of the nasal cavity and made an incision on the mucosa of the nasal septum. We reached the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus via this incision through a space between the bone and mucosa of the nasal septum. During endoscopic surgery, it is impossible to carry out satisfactory reposition of the mucosal flap, which is cut open and turned over at the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus. After surgery, there is a hole left in the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus, which makes the sphenoid sinus directly connect to the nasal cavity and destroys the original closed and moist physiological environment within the normal sphenoid sinus. With our surgical technique, we can perform excellent reposition of the mucosa after surgery, and the sphenoid sinus is as closed and moist as before.

Multivariate analysis did not identify any independent risk factors for changes in olfactory function, and therefore, this
analysis did not provide information for explaining the loss of olfactory function postoperatively. Some authors have speculated about explanations for the reduction in olfactory function after transsphenoidal pituitary surgery. Kahilogullari et al. suggested that the microscopic transsphenoidal approach may damage the mucosa and bone during nasal distraction. Berker et al. speculated that changes in olfactory function as a result of transsphenoidal surgery may be due to excessive electrocautery on the olfactory epithelium. Rotenberg et al. attributed changes in olfactory function after endoscopic transsphenoidal pituitary surgery to the use of a Hadad–Bassagasteguy vascularized septal flap. We speculate that several factors might be involved in the changes in olfactory function observed in our study. Isolation of mucosa (containing olfactory neurons) from the bone surface of the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus and the posterior portion of the nasal septum, and electrocautery of bleeding on the inner surface of the mucosa may damage the blood supply to the olfactory epithelium or directly destroy the olfactory neurons. There is a possibility that olfactory nerve cells could be damaged by the application of providone-iodine (0.5% iodine content) for sterilization of both nasal cavities at the beginning of surgery and the placement of a piece of cotton containing epinephrine in the nasal cavity for 3 minutes. Another factor could be that surgery induces adhesion, bloody scab covering, and scar formation on the olfactory epithelium and its peripheral mucosa, which prevent odor molecules from reaching the olfactory receptor neurons. Also, surgery causes chronic inflammation of the olfactory epithelium and the olfactory region is covered with viscous, sticky, or purulent discharge so that olfactomedin cannot contact the cilia of the olfactory receptor neurons, which may result in decreased conductive olfactory sensation.

Complications of olfactory dysfunctions included decline and disappearance of olfactory sensation, unpleasant smelling, nasal congestion, sinusitis, headache (related to high sphenoid sinus pressure, sphenoid and ethmoid sinus inflammation, mucocele etc), diabetes insipidus (related to the neurohypophysis injury), and epistaxis (almost all from nasal septum and the posterior portion of the nasal septum, and electrocoagulation of bleeding on the inner surface of the mucosa). Our study was limited because the sample size, 53 patients, was relatively small. Large-sample studies should be carried out to evaluate nasal symptoms and QOL following endonasal transsphenoidal surgery. Even this study may be the first in China using a QOL scale (SF-36) for patients who underwent endonasal transsphenoidal surgery to evaluate the postoperative QOL and clinical outcomes, the eventual recovery of SF-36 and SNOT-22 to preoperative level at 4 months, making the statistically significant differences made at 1 week and 1 month become temporary. Also, the 4-month duration of follow-up was relatively short. It is necessary to carry out studies with longer follow-up duration to further understand long-term olfactory dysfunction, nasal symptoms, and QOL.

In conclusion, we found that olfactory dysfunction was relatively serious after endonasal transsphenoidal surgery and the recovery was relatively slow. In most of the patients, olfactory function did not return to the preoperative level by 4 months after surgery, and it is uncertain whether further recovery is possible or not. Since most similar studies have found little or no loss of olfactory function after endonasal transsphenoidal surgery, our findings need to be confirmed in future studies, particularly with longer follow-up periods.
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