Establishment of chromatin accessibility by the conserved transcription factor Grainy head is developmentally regulated
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ABSTRACT
The dramatic changes in gene expression required for development necessitate the establishment of cis-regulatory modules defined by regions of accessible chromatin. Pioneer transcription factors have the unique property of binding closed chromatin and facilitating the establishment of these accessible regions. Nonetheless, much of how pioneer transcription factors coordinate changes in chromatin accessibility during development remains unknown. To determine whether pioneer-factor function is intrinsic to the protein or whether pioneer activity is developmentally modulated, we studied the highly conserved, essential transcription factor Grainy head (Grh). Prior work established that Grh is expressed throughout Drosophila development and is a pioneer factor in the larva. We demonstrated that Grh remains bound to mitotic chromosomes, a property shared with other pioneer factors. By assaying chromatin accessibility in embryos lacking maternal and/or zygotic Grh at three stages of development, we discovered that Grh is not required for chromatin accessibility in early embryogenesis, in contrast to its essential functions later in development. Our data reveal that the pioneering activity of Grh is temporally regulated and likely influenced by additional factors expressed at a given developmental stage.
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INTRODUCTION
During metazoan embryonic development, cell-specific patterns of gene regulation are driven by complex transcriptional networks (Bonn and Furlong, 2008; Davidson, 2006). Transcriptional programs are orchestrated by cis-regulatory modules, such as enhancer and promoter elements (Bonn and Furlong, 2008; Davidson, 2006; Wittkopf and Kalay, 2012). These DNA elements provide modular platforms through which the input of multiple transcription factors integrate to output precise control of gene expression (Bonne et al., 2011; Bray and Kafatos, 1991; Chen et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2015; Soufi et al., 2012, 2015; Sun et al., 2015). However, it remains unclear whether these pioneering factors define accessible chromatin at multiple stages of development or whether their pioneering activity is limited to specific times in development or distinct tissues.

The Grh family of transcription factors is essential in defining epithelial cell fate (Boglev et al., 2011; Bray and Kafatos, 1991; Bray et al., 1988, 1989; Hemphälä et al., 2003; Nevil et al., 2017; Rifat et al., 2010; Traylor-Knowles et al., 2010; Uv et al., 1994, 1997; Wang and Samakovlis, 2012; Wilanowski et al., 2002, 2017). Grh proteins are highly conserved, with members found in all metazoans examined to date and with more distant relatives found in fungi (Paré et al., 2012; Traaylor-Knowles et al., 2010; Venkatesan et al., 2003; Wang and Samakovlis, 2012; Wilanowski et al., 2002). Extensive work in Drosophila and mammalian cell culture has demonstrated that Grh acts to define an epithelial gene regulatory network during metazoan development (Boglev et al., 2011; Bray and Kafatos, 1991; Chen et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2011).
et al., 2013; Hemphälä et al., 2003; Nevil et al., 2017; Nishino et al., 2017; Senga et al., 2012; Wang and Samakovlis, 2012; Yao et al., 2017). More recently, Grh has been shown to have pioneer-factor activity, as it is required to maintain chromatin accessibility at enhancers in Drosophila eye imaginal discs and can poised these enhancers for transcriptional activation (Jacobs et al., 2018). This pioneering function is shared with mammalian GRHL proteins, which are required for defining enhancers in breast cancer cell lines and as cells exit from naïve pluripotency (Chen et al., 2018; Jacobs et al., 2018).

The fact that Grh is expressed throughout Drosophila development allowed us to investigate whether its pioneering activity is required at multiple developmental stages. By analyzing chromatin accessibility in embryos lacking either maternal or zygotic grh or both maternal and zygotic grh, we demonstrated that Grh is not required to define accessible cis-regulatory modules in the early embryo. This contrasts with its essential pioneering function in defining chromatin accessibility in the eye imaginal disc. By mutating an individual Grh-bound locus we showed that additional factors may be able to compensate for loss of Grh even in larvae. Thus, by analyzing the pioneering function of Grh at multiple developmental stages, it is evident that the role of this factor in defining accessible chromatin is modulated and may depend on additional factors expressed in a given tissue or at a specific time in development.

RESULTS

Grainy head remains bound to mitotic chromatin during embryogenesis

Whereas many DNA-binding factors do not remain on mitotic chromosomes, some vertebrate pioneer factors are retained on chromatin through mitosis (Bellac et al., 2018; Caravaca et al., 2013; Festuccia et al., 2016, 2017; Iwafuchi-Doi, 2019; Kadauke et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2017). This ‘mitotic bookmarking’ activity is hypothesized to allow pioneer factors to re-establish transcriptional programs rapidly after cell division (Caravaca et al., 2013; Iwafuchi-Doi, 2019; Iwafuchi-Doi and Zaret, 2014). Given the role of Grh as a pioneer factor in larval development and the fact that its chromatin occupancy is remarkably stable through Drosophila melanogaster development (Jacobs et al., 2018; Nevil et al., 2017; Potier et al., 2014), we wanted to test whether Grh remained associated with the compacted mitotic chromosomes.

To visualize Grh expression and localization in living embryos, we engineered an N-terminal superfolder green fluorescent protein (sfGFP)-tag on the endogenous protein (Fig. S1A; Gratzi et al., 2013; Hamm et al., 2017; Pedelaq et al., 2006). This strategy is predicted to label a majority of known Grh isoforms. Whereas grh null mutants are homozygous lethal (Bray and Kafatos, 1991; Bray et al., 1989; Hemphälä et al., 2003; Uv et al., 1994, 1997), strains homozygous for the sfGFP-Grh-encoding allele were viable and fertile with no obvious mutant phenotype, demonstrating that the sfGFP tag does not interfere with essential Grh function. Additionally, sfGFP-Grh was nuclear and expressed strongly in the epidermal tissue of developing embryos and the imaginal discs of larvae, where endogenous Grh is expressed (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1B; Attardi and Tjian, 1993; Bray et al., 1989; Hemphälä et al., 2003; Lee and Adler, 2004; Narasimha et al., 2008).

In the early embryo, sfGFP-Grh was first expressed at detectable levels at gastrulation, despite the fact that both maternally and zygotically expressed Grh was expected to be tagged with sfGFP. This expression pattern likely reflects increased levels of Grh during gastrulation. During interphase, sfGFP-Grh was evident throughout the nucleus, except for a location we presume to be heterochromatin owing to the strong fluorescent H2Av (His2Av-RFP) signal (Fig. 1A, t=0, white arrowhead). sfGFP-Grh colocalized with the condensed chromosomes at metaphase, marked by His2Av-RFP, and this association continued throughout mitosis (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1C,D, Movie 1). Measuring the relative fluorescence intensity across the nuclei of one mitotic event confirmed the strong correlation between Grh localization and mitotic chromatin (Fig. 1B). This retention of sfGFP-Grh on chromatin during mitosis differs from that of another sfGFP-tagged transcription factor, Zlda (Zld), which is not retained on the mitotic chromosomes in the blastoderm embryo (Dufourt et al., 2018). Together, these results demonstrate that the pioneer factor Grh remains associated with compacted mitotic chromatin, a feature shared with many other pioneer factors, but not Zld.

Maternally supplied Grainy head is not essential for establishing chromatin accessibility in the early embryo

Grh plays an essential role in defining chromatin accessibility in the larval eye imaginal disc (Jacobs et al., 2018; Potier et al., 2014). We therefore sought to determine whether Grh influenced chromatin accessibility in the early embryo. grh is maternally deposited as an mRNA, and previous work demonstrated that this maternally encoded Grh is required for normal gene expression (Garcia and Stathopoulos, 2011; Harrison et al., 2010; Huang et al., 1995; Liaw et al., 1995; Nevil et al., 2017). We generated embryos depleted of maternally provided grh using the FLP/FRT system to generate mitotic clones of the grh<sup>−/−</sup> null mutant (Fig. S2A; Bray and Kafatos, 1991; Chou and Perrimon, 1996; Harrison et al., 2010; Nevil et al., 2017). Stage 5 embryos lacking maternal grh were identified by the absence of GFP fluorescence, and single embryos were collected in triplicate alongside wild-type sibling controls. Chromatin accessibility was measured with the assay for transposase-accessible chromatin using sequencing (ATAC-seq) (Buenrostro et al., 2013). We identified thousands of accessible regions at stage 5 in both wild-type and maternally depleted embryos. These largely overlapped with previously published data from the early embryo, confirming that our assay successfully identified accessible chromatin (Fig. S2B,C; Blythe and Wieschaus, 2016). Unexpectedly, there were no significant differences in chromatin accessibility between the maternal deletion (grh<sup>M−</sup>) and wild-type controls (grh<sup>+/+</sup>) (Fig. 2; all q-values >0.9 indicating no reproducible differential accessibility). Thus, although maternally encoded Grh is present and required for gene expression (Harrison et al., 2010; Huang et al., 1995; Nevil et al., 2017), it is not essential for establishing chromatin accessibility at stage 5.

Widespread changes in chromatin accessibility accompany gastrulation

In addition to low levels of maternally encoded Grh, grh is also robustly expressed as the zygotic genome is activated during stage 5 (Sandler and Stathopoulos, 2016). Although zygotic grh is ultimately required for the completion of embryogenesis, maternally encoded Grh is not required for survival, and there are no obvious developmental defects in early (stages 5/6) embryos lacking either maternally or zygotically encoded Grh (Harrison et al., 2010; Bray and Kafatos, 1991). To better understand the role of the essential zygotically encoded Grh, we first determined the changes in the chromatin landscape that accompany the robust activation of grh expression as the zygotic genome is activated. We assayed chromatin accessibility in wild-type embryos at stage 6, immediately following the expression of zygotic grh (Fig. 3A). By
comparing these data with our data from stage 5 embryos, we identified thousands of sites that gained or lost accessibility during gastrulation (Fig. 3B-E). These dramatic changes in accessibility did not require maternally supplied grh as we identified no significant differences in accessibility between stage 6 embryos depleted for maternally encoded Grh and their wild-type siblings (Fig. S3A). Hierarchical clustering of sample distances for stage 5 and stage 6 ATAC-seq datasets was driven more by time point than by genotype (Fig. S3B). These data suggest that developmental stage, and not loss of maternal grh, is the major driver altering the chromatin accessibility of these embryos.

To identify potential drivers of the widespread changes in chromatin accessibility during gastrulation, we performed de novo motif discovery for regions that gained and lost accessibility during gastrulation. Motifs for factors that have been suggested to have roles as pioneer transcription factors were highly enriched in sites that gain accessibility during gastrulation (Fig. 3F, Fig. S3C). Among them were motifs for binding of Forkhead-like transcription factors and Dichaete (D), mammalian orthologs of which (FOXA1 and SOX2, respectively) are known to have pioneering functions (Soufi et al., 2012; Zaret and Carroll, 2011). Additionally, the canonical Grh-binding motif was enriched at these sites that gained accessibility (Fig. 3F; \textit{P}-value=1e-94). These results suggest that Forkhead-like, D, Grh, and other factors such as Dref and Odd-paired (Opa) may have active roles in facilitating chromatin accessibility at gastrulation. Indeed, an important role for Opa in shaping chromatin accessibility during gastrulation was recently demonstrated (Koromila et al., 2019 preprint; Soluri et al., 2019 preprint). This contrasts with regions that lost accessibility. The binding motif for the zygotic genome activator Zld was highly enriched in the sequences underlying regions that had decreased accessibility at gastrulation (Fig. 3G; \textit{P}-value=1e-793; Fig. S3D; Liang et al., 2008). Thus, although Zld is a pioneer factor that functions to establish or maintain chromatin accessibility at a subset of loci in the stage 5 embryo, the enrichment of Zld-binding sites in areas with decreased accessibility suggests that other factors likely influence chromatin accessibility following genome activation (Foo et al., 2014; McDaniel et al., 2019; Schulz et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2015).

We have previously identified both Zld- and Grh-binding sites at stage 5 using chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled with high-throughput sequencing (ChIP-seq) (Harrison et al., 2011; Nevil et al., 2017). Comparison of our ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq data sets

---

**Fig. 1. sfGFP-Grh remains on mitotic chromatin during gastrulation.** (A) Cells of embryos expressing His2Av-RFP (chromatin) and sfGFP-Grh. White arrowhead indicates an interphase cell. White box indicates the region of interest where fluorescence intensity was measured. t, time in seconds from initial image. All images are at the same magnification. (Images are extracted frames from Movie 1.) (B) Relative fluorescence intensity for RFP (chromatin) and GFP (Grh), where the x-axis is the total length of the region of interest.
showed that Zld binding is enriched in regions that decrease in chromatin accessibility, whereas Grh binding is enriched in regions that gain chromatin accessibility (Fig. 3H, Fig. S3E), consistent with the binding predicted by motif searches. Thus, both motif enrichment and transcription factor binding profiles support a role for these pioneering proteins in determining developmentally regulated chromatin accessibility.

**Grainy head is not required for chromatin accessibility at gastrulation**

Because both the Grh-binding motif and Grh occupancy were enriched at regions that gained chromatin accessibility between stage 5 and stage 6, we tested whether zygotically encoded Grh was essential for driving these changes in chromatin architecture. We collected mutant (grhB37/B37) and wild-type (grhB37/B+) stage 6 embryos in triplicate and measured the chromatin accessibility using single-embryo ATAC-seq (Fig. S4A,B). Although we identified hundreds of sites that lose accessibility in the grh mutant background, these sites were false positives. Of the regions that changed in accessibility, 90.6% were located on the same chromosome as grh, chromosome 2 (Fig. 4A,B). Because the grhB37 allele is maintained over a balancer chromosome this grhB37-containing chromosome has likely accumulated mutations (Sharp and Agrawal, 2012, 2018), which prevented proper read mapping, artificially lowering read counts and resulting in apparent losses of accessibility (Fig. S4C,D). Thus, although both the Grh motif and Grh binding were enriched in regions that gained in accessibility during gastrulation, loss of zygotic grh alone is not sufficient to significantly alter chromatin accessibility in stage 6 embryos.

Although zygotic Grh was not required for chromatin accessibility during gastrulation, it was possible that maternally encoded Grh could provide the necessary activity. To determine definitively whether Grh was essential for driving accessible chromatin at gastrulation, we performed ATAC-seq on stage 5 and stage 6 embryos lacking both maternal and zygotic grh (Fig. S5A). The ATAC-seq profiles demonstrated limited changes in accessibility and were nearly identical to those lacking zygotic Grh alone (Fig. 4C,D, Fig. S5B). Thus, despite the crucial function of Grh in determining chromatin accessibility in the larval eye disc (Jacobs et al., 2018), we showed that Grh is not required for chromatin accessibility during gastrulation (Fig. S5C).

**Grainy head maintains chromatin accessibility during late stages of embryogenesis**

Our data demonstrated that, contrary to our expectations, early chromatin accessibility is not dependent upon either maternally encoded or zygotically expressed Grh. However, because Grh is essential for chromatin accessibility in larval imaginal discs (Jacobs et al., 2018), we tested whether later in embryonic development Grh may be important for maintaining or establishing accessible regions in cells as they differentiate. To test this, we depleted zygotic Grh and performed bulk ATAC-seq on homozygous grhB37 mutant embryos collected 11-12 h after egg laying (AEL; stage 14-15) and their heterozygous sibling controls. Embryos at this stage comprise numerous different tissue types, many of which do not express Grh. Nonetheless, we identified 92 regions with significant losses in chromatin accessibility in the absence of Grh (Fig. 5A,B). These regions were enriched for Grh motifs and primarily located in promoter sequences (Fig. S6A,B). Of these 92 loci, 73% overlapped with a Grh ChIP-seq peak. Our ability to identify these changes in accessibility within whole embryos suggested that the 92 identified regions may be especially dependent on Grh for accessibility. Thus, to determine whether Grh was more broadly required for accessibility, we examined whether there was a general decrease in accessibility at all sites bound by Grh when Grh was absent. We identified a dependence on Grh for accessibility when we analyzed...
To quantify this observation, we tested for changes in accessibility in windows around Grh-binding sites. We normalized read depth in 50 bp windowed regions around all Grh-peak centers and measured the loss of accessibility as the log2 ratio of mutant: wild type normalized read depth. We noted the greatest difference between mutant and wild type in accessibility is at Grh-peak centers (Fig. 5D, compare ‘Genomic’ with ‘0-50 bp’). Furthermore, this decrease in accessibility compared with wild type was alleviated as distance from the binding-site center increased (Fig. 5D, compare ‘0-50 bp’ with ‘1000-1050 bp’). To test whether this dependence on Grh for accessibility was specific to distinct genomic regions, we annotated and separated the genome into promoters, 1-3 kb upstream, exons, introns, untranslated regions (UTRs) and intergenic regions. We then identified the changes in accessibility at these regions in the presence or absence of Grh. Independent of genomic feature, the loss of Grh resulted in decreased chromatin accessibility at regions normally bound by Grh (Fig. S6D). Together, these data reveal a role for Grh in establishing or maintaining accessibility during the late stages of embryogenesis.

Loss of Grainy head at a single locus does not significantly alter chromatin accessibility

Removing Grh in the embryo also disrupts the expression of additional transcription or developmental factors controlled by the Grh gene regulatory network (Nevil et al., 2017). To determine the direct effects of removing the ability of Grh to bind to the genome, we mutated a single Grh-binding motif using Cas9-mediated genome editing and assayed chromatin accessibility using formaldehyde-assisted isolation of regulatory elements (FAIRE) coupled with qPCR. For this purpose, we identified a single canonical Grh-binding motif in the promoter of the gene ladybird late (lbl). Grh is bound to this locus throughout embryogenesis and in larval wing discs (Fig. 6; Nevil et al., 2017). This site gained accessibility during gastrulation and lost accessibility in the absence of zygotic Grh at 11-12 h AEL (Fig. 6A).

To confirm the necessity of the identified Grh-binding motif for recruitment of Grh, we transiently transfected S2 cells with a luciferase reporter driven by the lbl promoter with either a wild-type or mutated Grh motif and assayed luciferase levels in the presence of Grh and normalized to a no-protein control. Grh activated expression of the wild-type reporter, but not the reporter with the mutated binding motif (Fig. 6B). We therefore used Cas9-mediated mutagenesis to mutate the canonical Grh motif to an EcoRI-recognition site at the endogenous lbl locus (Fig. S7A). To our knowledge, this only disrupted the Grh motif and no additional promoter elements. The resultant flies were homozygous viable and fertile. ChIP-qPCR confirmed the loss of Grh binding to the mutant lbl promoter (lbl\textsuperscript{GmE–}) and no detectable changes in binding to a control target region (Fig. 6B). We therefore used Cas9-mediated mutagenesis to mutate the canonical Grh motif to an EcoRI-recognition site at the endogenous lbl locus (Fig. S7A). To our knowledge, this only disrupted the Grh motif and no additional promoter elements. The resultant flies were homozygous viable and fertile. ChIP-qPCR confirmed the loss of Grh binding to the mutant lbl promoter (lbl\textsuperscript{GmE–}) and no detectable changes in binding to a control target region (Fig. 6B). Despite the lack of Grh binding, lbl expression was unchanged in homozygous mutant embryos 11-12 h AEL (Fig. S7B). To assay for any changes in chromatin accessibility, we performed FAIRE-qPCR using third instar larval wing discs, a tissue in which Grh is strongly expressed in all or most cells (Fig. 6D, note imaginal disc ChIP- and FAIRE-seq; Lee and
Fig. 4. Grh is not required for chromatin accessibility at gastrulation. (A) UCSC genome browser tracks of single stage 6 embryo ATAC-seq data from either a grh null mutant (grh<sup>B37/B37</sup>) or wild-type sibling control (grh<sup>B37/+</sup>) along with ChIP-seq peaks for Grh from stage 5 embryos (Nevil et al., 2017). (B) Volcano plot showing all accessible regions identified. Significance of change in accessibility reported by −log<sub>10</sub>(q-value) on the y-axis, and magnitude of change by log<sub>2</sub>(fold change) on the x-axis. Regions that significantly gain (orange) or lose (blue) accessibility are defined as those with a q-value <0.05. Non-significant changes are those with a q-value >0.05 (gray) (see also Fig. S4). (C) UCSC genome browser tracks of a representative locus showing single stage 6 embryo ATAC-seq data from either grh maternal and zygotic depletions (grh<sup>M−Z−</sup>) or wild-type sibling control (grh<sup>M+Z+</sup>) along with ChIP-seq peaks for Grh from stage 5 embryos (Nevil et al., 2017). (D) Volcano plot showing all accessible regions identified in the grh maternal and zygotic depletion. Significance of change in accessibility reported by −log<sub>10</sub>(q-value) on the y-axis, and magnitude of change by log<sub>2</sub>(fold change) on the x-axis. Regions that significantly gain (orange) or lose (blue) accessibility are defined as those with a q-value <0.05. Non-significant changes are those with a q-value >0.05 (gray).

Fig. 5. Grh is required for chromatin accessibility at 11-12 h AEL. (A) UCSC genome browser tracks of ATAC-seq from 11-12 h AEL for grh-null mutant embryos (grh<sup>B37/B37</sup>), stage-matched, wild-type sibling control (grh<sup>B37/+</sup>), and stage-matched Grh ChIP-seq (Nevil et al., 2017). (B) Heat maps of regions differentially accessible between the grh mutant and wild-type control. Color scale indicates relative height of ATAC-seq, i.e. accessibility. Heat maps are centered on Grh ChIP-seq peak summits. (C) Heat maps of ATAC-seq data from grh-mutant and wild-type control embryos for all Grh-bound regions as identified by ChIP-seq. Color scale indicates relative height of ATAC-seq, i.e. accessibility. Heat maps are centered on Grh ChIP-seq peak summits. (D) Box plots of log<sub>2</sub> ratios of ATAC-seq signal between grh-mutant and wild-type embryos, at windows of increasing distance around Grh ChIP-seq peak summits. Red dashed line indicates average signal of random genomic windows. Boxes represent the upper and lower quartiles around the median. Whiskers indicate the minimum and maximum, and outliers are represented as circles outside of the whiskers.

Adler, 2004; Nevil et al., 2017; Uv et al., 1997). Importantly, similar to embryos, Grh is bound to the <i>lbl</i> promoter in wing discs (Nevil et al., 2017), and the promoter is accessible (McKay and Lieb, 2013) (Fig. 6D). Grh is required for accessibility at many regulatory regions in the eye imaginal disc (Jacobs et al., 2018), suggesting that in the larva Grh is likely to have pioneering functions. FAIRE-
qPCR of the mutated lbl promoter revealed a small, but statistically insignificant change in accessibility at the lbl promoter in wing discs (Fig. 6E). Therefore, although Grh is required for chromatin accessibility at some larval eye disc enhancers (Jacobs et al., 2018), it is not required at all larval cis-regulatory modules.

**DISCUSSION**

We identified that the pioneering activity of *Drosophila* Grh is developmentally regulated. Previous work had demonstrated that Grh was both necessary and sufficient for chromatin accessibility in the larval eye disc and that GRHL2 similarly had a pioneering function in mammalian cell culture (Chen et al., 2018; Jacobs et al., 2018). Here, we tested the requirement for maternally and zygotically encoded Grh in determining regions of open chromatin in embryo. We demonstrated that maternally encoded Grh is not required for chromatin accessibility at stage 5, and neither maternally nor zygotically encoded Grh is required at stage 6, when zygotic grh is normally expressed. Nonetheless, Grh motifs, among other sequence motifs, are enriched at sites that become accessible during gastrulation. In contrast to gastrulating embryos, we established that Grh activity is important for determining chromatin accessibility later in embryonic development. This is also the developmental time point at which Grh is essential for viability. Despite this role in determining chromatin accessibility in the late embryo and larvae, the loss of Grh binding at a single locus in larval tissue did not decrease chromatin accessibility at this site. Thus, we propose that the pioneering activity of Grh is not required at all stages of development nor at all Grh-bound cis-regulatory elements. Instead, in these tissues or at these loci other factors may compensate for the loss of Grh.

Although pioneer factors are defined by their ability to establish gene regulatory networks by binding to cis-regulatory modules and promoting chromatin accessibility, recent evidence suggests that pioneer factors vary in their capacity to accomplish this task. FOXA1, which is known to displace nucleosomes and to bind mitotic chromatin (Caravaca et al., 2013; Cirillo et al., 2002; Zaret and Carroll, 2011), is redirected to previously unoccupied sites upon activation of the TNFα pathway (Franco et al., 2015). Similarly, OCT4 binding to the genome is dynamic and is modulated by a cohort of transcription factors, including OTX2, to compete with nucleosomes at enhancers (Buecker et al., 2014), and SOX2 requires PARP1 to reshape nucleosomal DNA to access 26% of its sites *in vivo* (Liu and Kraus, 2017). Thus, the pioneering roles of these transcription factors are regulated in a tissue-specific or temporal manner. By assaying the conserved, essential transcription factor Grh at multiple stages of development, we demonstrated that
the role of Grh in determining cis-regulatory modules depends on developmental stage. Although Grh functions as a pioneer factor at a subset of enhancers in *Drosophila* eye imaginal discs and in mammalian epiblast stem cells (Chen et al., 2018; Jacobs et al., 2018), our data show that this activity is not required to establish or maintain chromatin accessibility in the early embryo. Together, these data suggest that there is context specificity to Grh pioneering activity and support a model in which pioneer-factor activity is regulated by additional factors, expression of which is variable across development.

The conditions that lead to context-specific Grh-pioneering activity remain unknown, but the combinatorial action of other transcription factors could provide temporal robustness to chromatin remodeling. By examining changes in chromatin accessibility during gastrulation, we have identified factors that may function to define cis-regulatory regions at this stage of development. Among the top motifs associated with gains in accessibility during gastrulation are binding motifs for Odd-paired, Dichaete and Forkhead-like transcription factors. The mammalian orthologs of these *Drosophila* transcription factors mark cis-regulatory regions. Zic2, the mammalian ortholog of Opa, occupies enhancers prior to OCT4 binding and thus differentiation, suggesting that Zic2 has a role in marking cis-regulatory regions (Luo et al., 2015). Forkhead-like factors in *Drosophila* are the homologs of FOXA1, a pioneer factor that actively displaces nucleosomes (Cirillo et al., 2002). *Dichaete* is a *Drosophila* member of the Group B Sox transcription factors (Aleksc et al., 2013; Crémazy et al., 2001; Russell et al., 1996). In mammals, the Group B Sox protein SOX2 is known to be a pioneer factor essential for development (Iwashifu-Doi and Zaret, 2014; Soufi et al., 2012). In *Drosophila*, both *opa* and *D* are upregulated upon zygotic genome activation and both are required for embryonic development (Benedyk et al., 1994; Nambu and Nambu, 1996). Opa is required for temporally regulated changes in expression of multiple pair-rule genes and functions both directly to induce spatiotemporal changes in expression and to modify the role of additional factors (Clark and Akam, 2016). D binds to core promoters and enhancers in the embryo and is required for proper gene expression of thousands of genes (Aleksc et al., 2013). Indeed, recent evidence has shown that Opa is required for hundreds of regions of accessible chromatin in the gastrulating embryo, demonstrating that individual factors can drive chromatin accessibility at this stage of development (Koronula et al., 2019 preprint; Soluri et al., 2019 preprint). Opa, Grh and D are all factors that are broadly expressed at the transition to gastrulation and are required for proper gene expression. Together with our data demonstrating an enrichment for their binding motifs at regions of chromatin that become accessible at gastrulation, this suggests that these factors collaborate to determine the cis-regulatory regions. Given the role of Opa in pioneering regions of chromatin accessibility, it is possible that Opa, and perhaps D, compensate for the loss of Grh at a subset of co-occupied regions at this time in development (Fig. S8). However, later in development Grh is required broadly to establish chromatin accessibility (Jacobs et al., 2018), suggesting that these and other factors can no longer compensate. Indeed in the larval brain, D, Opa and Grh constitute a non-redundant temporal cascade regulating neuroblast fate in the larval brain (Abdusselamoglu et al., 2019). This supports a model in which the requirement for pioneer-factor activity in determining cis-regulatory regions is not strictly inherent to the protein, but is dependent on the developmental stage in which the protein is acting.

During mitosis, chromatin condensation leads to the removal of many transcription factors from their interphase binding sites, but recent studies have indicated that a subset of factors remain bound to mitotic chromatin (Racaud and Suter, 2018; Racaud et al., 2019). Although not a unique property of pioneer transcription factors, mitotic chromatin occupancy is correlated with the ability of pioneer factors to bind nucleosomal DNA and may allow these factors to re-establish transcriptional networks rapidly following mitosis (Caravaca et al., 2013; Festuccia et al., 2017; Kadauke et al., 2012; Soufi et al., 2012). We showed that Grh binds to mitotic chromatin in the gastrulating embryo. However, at the same time in development Grh is not essential for defining regions of chromatin accessibility. Thus, our data separate the pioneering activity and mitotic chromatin-binding activities of Grh. Although the mechanisms and consequences of the retention of Grh on mitotic chromosomes in the early embryo are unclear, this ability may be related to its surprisingly stable binding profiles during embryogenesis as assayed by ChiP-seq (Nevil et al., 2017).

We previously demonstrated that Grh binding is stable across days of development (Nevil et al., 2017). By contrast, here we show that Grh activity in defining cis-regulatory regions is regulated during development. Our analysis suggests that a number of factors that may have pioneering roles at gastrulation compensate for loss of Grh. Furthermore, our results demonstrate that Grh remains bound to chromatin during mitosis, but that this function is not directly related to its pioneering function. Together, our data support a model in which pioneering activity is not a static property of the protein but is rather regulated and context dependent.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Fly stocks**

All fly stocks were raised on molasses food at 25°C. Germline mitotic clones were produced as described by Harrison et al. (2010) using the heat shock-inducible FLP-FRT system (Chou and Perrimon, 1996). For zygotic Grh depletions, the *grhB37* null mutant (Bray and Kafatos, 1991) was balanced over *CyO* Sc*F*P. Embryos selected at stage 5, stage 6, or 11-12 h AEL were screened by the absence of red fluorescence (11-12 h AEL zygotic depletion) or the absence of green fluorescence (stage 5 and stage 6 maternal depletions, Fig. S2A), by PCR screening (stage 6 zygotic depletion; Fig. S3A) or by a combination of absence of green fluorescence and PCR screening (*grhB37*) (Fig. S5A). Embryos were precisely staged using a light microscope. Additional fly strains used were: *sfGFPGrh* (this study); *His2Av-RFP(II)* [Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (BDSL), #23650]; w^1118^;*CyO* F(Tub-PBac T2/Spf353)/TM6B (BDSL, #8285); *lbF^{BEBE}* (this study); and w^1118^.

**CRISPR/Cas9-mediated generation of sfGFPGrh and *lb* mutant promoter**

Cas9-mediated genome engineering, carried out as previously described (Gratz et al., 2013; Hamm et al., 2017), was used to generate the N-terminal super folder green fluorescent protein (sfGFPG)-tagged Grh and mutant *lb* promoter. The double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) donor was created using Gibson assembly (New England Biolabs) with 1-kb homology arms flanking the sfGFPG tag and Grh N-terminal open reading frame. Additionally, a 3xP3-DSRed cassette flanked by the long-terminal repeats of piggyBac transposase was placed in the Grh 5′ UTR for selection. The guide RNA sequence (GCCAACTCTAGGCGGCTGT) was cloned into psiBSK under the U6 promoter using inverse PCR. Purified plasmid was injected into embryos of w^1118^; P{Bac[f/+mDint2=vas-Cas9]/VK00027} (BDSL, #51324) by BestGene. Lines were screened for DsRed expression to verify integration. The entire 3xP3-DSRed casette was cleanly removed using piggyBac transposase. Sanger sequencing of the entire region confirmed integration of the sfGFPG tag without errors.

For production of a fly strain lacking the Grany head-binding element (GBE) in the *lb* promoter (*lb^{BEBE}*-), a single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) donor with mutations in the Grh motif was produced by Integrated DNA Technologies. A guide RNA site was selected that overlapped the Grh...
motif. The modification of the Grh motif (AACTGGTT) to an EcoRI site (AAGAATTCC) in the ssODN was sufficient to disrupt the PAM site and seed sequence, in addition to providing a restriction cut site for screening. The guide RNA sequence (TTTGGGGCCTCCAAACTGGT) was cloned into pBKS under the U6 promoter using inverse PCR. The ssODN was injected into embryos of w[1118]; PBac[y+y+minDint2=vas-Cas9]VK00037/CyO. P[w[f+mct]=Tb[1]/Cpr[CyO-A];(BDSC#85652)] by BestGene. Lines were screened using PCR and EcoRI digestion. The promoter was then sequenced to confirm mutation without errors.

### Imaging live embryos

Homozygous 0- to 2-h-old sfGFP-Grh; His2Av-RFP embryos were dechorionated in 50% bleach for 2 min and subsequently mounted in halocarbon oil. The living embryos were imaged on a Nikon AR+ confocal microscope at the University of Wisconsin-Madison Biochemistry Department Optical Core. Staging was performed on the microscope to identify gastrulating embryos. Mitosis was identified in cells with condensing chromatin (visualized with His2Av-RFP) and cytoplasmic sfGFP-Grh (released upon nuclear envelope degradation). Movies were acquired immediately upon entry into mitosis. Fijii (Schindelin et al., 2012) was used to measure the relative fluorescence intensity of the fluorophores.

### ATAC-seq and bioinformatic analysis

Maternal *grh* deletions were obtained as described above. Homozygous *zygotic grh* mutants (grh^{+/+}*^) at stage 6 were identified after ATAC-seq library preparation by PCR followed by Sanger sequencing and subsequently confirmed after high-throughput sequencing (Fig. S4A,B). Homozygous *zygotic grh* mutants at 11-12 h AEL were identified by lack of fluorescence from a CyO sChFP balanced chromosome.

Single-embryo ATAC-seq was performed as described previously (Blythe and Wieschaus, 2016; Buenrostro et al., 2013). Briefly, a single dechorionated embryo was transferred to the detached cap of a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube containing 10 µl of ice-cold ATAC lysis buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% NP-40). Under a microcentrifuge tube containing 10 µl of ice-cold ATAC lysis buffer dechorionated embryo was transferred to the detached cap of a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube containing 10 µl of ice-cold ATAC lysis buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% NP-40). Under a dissecting microscope, a microcapillary tube was used to homogenize the embryo. The cap was placed into a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube containing an additional 40 µl of cold lysis buffer. Tubes were centrifuged for 10 min at 500 g at 4°C. ATAC-seq library preparation was performed using the Illumina Nextera DNA library preparation kit. The supernatant was removed, and the resulting nuclear pellet was resuspended in 5 µl buffer TD and combined with 2.5 µl H₂O and 2.5 µl tagment DNA enzyme. Tubes were placed at 37°C for 30 min and the resulting fragmented DNA was purified using the Qiagen MinELute Cleanup Kit, with elution performed in 20 µl of 2× PCR master mix and indexed primers. Amplified libraries were purified using a 1:2.5 ratio of Axygen AxyPrep Mag PCR Cleanup beads. Libraries were submitted for paired-end, 150 bp sequencing by Novogene using an Illumina HiSeq 4000 and at the University of Wisconsin-Madison Biotechnology Center DNA Sequencing Facility using an Illumina NovaSeq 6000.

Bulk-embryo ATAC-seq was performed on embryos using an ATAC-seq protocol described by Buenrostro et al. (2013). Briefly, seven staged and dechorionated embryos were transferred to a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and homogenized in 40 µl ice-cold ATAC lysis buffer. Library preparation and clean-up continued as described above. A 1.8× ratio of AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter) were used to purify the amplified libraries. Libraries were submitted for single-end, 100 bp sequencing at the University of Wisconsin-Madison Biotechnology Center DNA Sequencing Facility using an Illumina HiSeq 2500.

Using FASTQC paired- and single-end reads were checked for quality (Andreats, 2010; www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). For the paired-end reads adapters, Trn5 transposon sequences, and low-quality bases were removed using Trimmomatic: java -jar trimmomatic-0.36.jar PE -trimlog trimlog.txt nw_reads_P1.fastq.gz nw_reads_P2.fastq.gz P1_trim.fastq.gz P1.Trim.fastq.gz U1_trim.fastq.gz P2_trim.fastq.gz U2_trim.fastq.gz NexteraPE-PE.fa.f2:2:30:10:8:1m LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:22 MINLEN:1 (Bolger et al., 2014). Concordant, paired reads were mapped to the dm6 genome assembly (dos Santos et al., 2015) using Bowtie 2: bowtie2 -dovetail -k 2 -p 4 -N 1 -r 3 -t -m file metrics.txt -x dm6 -1 P1_trim.fastq.gz -2 P2_trim.fastq.gz (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). Unmapped, multiply aligning, mitochondrial and scaffold reads were removed. Fragments greater than 101 bp in length were removed leaving fragments largely originating from open chromatin. MACS version 2 (Zhang et al., 2008) was used with default parameters to identify any regions of open chromatin in all data sets: macs2 callpeak -q 0.05 –call-summits -f BAMPE -r replicate_1 replicate_2 replicate_3 -n output. These accessible sites were then combined into a single data set and merged to create a master list of all sites assayed in 100 bp regions. Throughout Samtools was used to filter and convert file formats (Li et al., 2009). Read counts of each accessible site for all replicates was obtained using featureCounts: featureCounts -F SAF -O -p -p -d 1 -D 100 -a accessible_peaks.safo -o count_table.txt (BAM file list) (Liao et al., 2013; subread.sourceforge.net). The resultant table was used in R (R Core Team, 2017; www.R-project.org) using the DESeq2 package (Love et al., 2014) to test for differential accessibility. Significant results are those with q-value <0.05 to minimize false positives. Subsequent visualization of DESeq2 tables was achieved using the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2009) and visualization of genomic data by generation of bigWig files (Kent et al., 2010) and display at the UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu) (Kent et al., 2002; Raney et al., 2014). Single-end libraries were analyzed as described above with the appropriate default single-end parameters for each tool. No in silico size selection was performed on single-end data, as fragment size is not captured by this sequencing method.

### Motif enrichment

To test for enrichment of motifs, a de novo motif search was carried out using hypergeometric optimization of motif enrichment (HOMER) (Heinz et al., 2010). Sites of significant changes in accessibility (identified by DESeq2) were used as input. The program identified motifs enriched relative to the background. The background for increases in accessibility were the sites that decreased in accessibility and vice versa. All accessible sites were used as background in a second de novo motif search. The de novo motifs were matched to known motifs from the JASPAR (http://jaspar.genereg.net) and DMEMPM (http://autoosome.ru/DMEMPM) databases by HOMER. Motifs were given a P-value indicating the confidence of the enrichment relative to the background sequences.

### Cell culture and luciferase assay

The promoter region (~162 bp to ~397 bp) from *lb* was cloned into pGL3-Basic (Promega) to drive expression of Firefly luciferase. The canonical Grh-binding site (AACTGGTT) was mutated to an EcoRI recognition site (AAGAATTCC). *Drosophila* Schneider 2 (S2) cells were cultured in Schneider’s Media (Life Technologies) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Omega Scientific) and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic (Life Technologies). Transient transfections were performed in technical triplicate with 900 ng reporter construct, 200 ng Grh-expression plasmid and 100 ng of actin- *Renilla* loading control plasmid using Effectene Transfection Reagent (Qiagen). Fold activation was calculated relative to luciferase controls transfected with 200 ng empty expression plasmid. Luciferase assays were performed on cell lysates using a Dual Luciferase Assay kit (Promega). Transient Grh expression was confirmed by western blotting with a previously validated antibody (Nevil et al., 2017).

### Formaldehyde-assisted isolation of regulatory elements

Formaldehyde-assisted isolation of regulatory elements (FAIRE) was performed as described by McKay and Lieb (2013). Briefly, wandering third instar larvae were dissected in 1× PBS such that the imaginal discs remained attached to the inverted cuticle. The prepared larvae were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 10 min and subsequently the wing discs were further dissected and flash frozen to be stored at ~80°C. Replicates of 40 wing discs each were perforated using bead beating six times for 1 min, with 2 min rest at 4°C. The chromatin was then sonicated using a Covaris S220 High Performance Ultrasonicator four times for 30 s, 350 W power, 10% Duty factor, at 2°C. Phenol-chloroform extractions and ethanol precipitation were used to extract the accessible chromatin and purify the DNA.
concentrations were determined using a Qubit fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation
Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments were performed with

\[
\text{HbGale-C}^{-}\text{embryos and } w^{118}\text{C}^{-}\text{collected 11-12 h AEL. Embryos were fixed using formaldehyde, chromatin extracted, and immunoprecipitations were performed using an anti-Grh antibody as described previously (Li et al., 2008; Nevil et al., 2017). Enrichment was determined using biological triplicate in qPCR (described below).}
\]

Quantitative PCR
DNA from either FAIRE or ChIP was prepared (as described above). Primers designed to span target regions (including controls) were used to perform qPCR in replicates using GoTaq qPCR Master Mix (Promega) (Table S1). Samples were analyzed in triplicate for each of three biological replicates and the fold change was calculated using fold enrichment over IgG (for ChIP) and the ΔΔCt method (for FAIRE).
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