Radio-pathologic Evaluation of Non Traumatic Low Backache in Young Adults (20-40 years)
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ABSTRACT
Low backache is one of the commonest complaints encountered in clinical practice even amongst our youngsters having significant economic consequences to the affected patient, especially young employed individuals thereby leading to loss of national income by loss of labor working days. This study was aimed at evaluation of non traumatic causes of low backache in young adults with MRI lumbosacral spine and their pathologic correlation.
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Introduction
The evolution of mammals from quadrupeds to bipeds resulted in a shift in the centre of gravity and subsequent mechanical stress on the lumbar spine. The occurrence of low backache can be probably attributed to this evolution in the basic posture of human beings. In majority of the cases, acute back pain is self limited and benign with no cause identified in 95% of the patients. A 4 week follow-up is generally recommended as most patients with acute back pain improve in the first month after initial presentation. [1] Imaging is commonly used for further evaluation, it should not be considered as a replacement of clinical suspicion based on an accurate history and physical exam. It is important to consider how the management will be influenced by the information obtained from radiological and pathological examinations.

Aim and Objective
The purpose of the study is to describe MRI patterns of various conditions causing non traumatic low backache in young adults and their pathologic correlation that will aid in making an appropriate diagnosis and ultimately reasonable management.

Material and Methods
This prospective study was conducted during March 2014 to March 2015. Total 1089 patients underwent MRI lumbosacral spine during the study period, out of which 221 patients of 20 to 40 years of age group were included in this study. Inclusion Criteria: All
non traumatic cases (20-40 yrs) of low backache referred to our clinic, during the study period. Exclusion Criteria: Traumatic cases, postsurgical LS spine cases, pregnant women, patients contraindicated to MRI such as cardiac pacemaker, cochlear implants etc.

Results
Prevalence of non traumatic low back in young adults (20-40 years) is found to be 20.29% in our study. Out of 221 young adults 55.66 % were male and 44.34 % were female. Degenerative pathology was found in 78.73% of cases and it is the commonest pathology causing non traumatic low backache in young adults. Other common pathologies found in our study are congenital anomaly (14.48%), sacroiliitis (10.86%), spondylolysis (6.79%), hematopoietic disorders (5.43%), inflammatory spondyloarthritis (4.98%), Scoliosis (3.62%), vertebral haemangioma (2.26%), and many other miscellaneous conditions shown in the table number 1. Infectious causes were identified in 16 patients (7.24%) Tubercular spondylitis is the most common type of spondylitis in our study, found in 6.34% cases. Pyogenic spondylitis was detected in 1 patient, which was caused by staphylococcus aureus (confirmed on microscopy). A cysticercus cyst was found in the paraspinal muscle in 1 patient. Spinal tumors are less common cause of low backache in young adults (1.36%). In our study we found 1 extra dural tumor which was proved to be non Hodgkin’s lymphoma on histopathological examination. Two intradural extramedullary pathologies were found; one was diagnosed as nerve sheath tumor on biopsy and other was arachnoid cyst.

Table 1 Prevalence of various non traumatic causes of low backache in young adults:

| Pathologies                   | No.  | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------|-----------|
| Degenerative                 | 174  | 78.73%    |
| Congenital anomalies         | 32   | 14.48%    |
| Sacroiliitis                  | 24   | 10.86%    |
| Infective                     | 16   | 7.24%     |
| Spondyloysis                 | 15   | 6.79%     |
| Normal study                 | 14   | 6.34%     |
| Hematopoietic disorders      | 12   | 5.43%     |

Table 1 Graphical representation of prevalence of infective pathologies in our study.
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Figure 1 Graphical representation of prevalence of infective pathologies in our study.

Table 1 Prevalence of various non traumatic causes of low backache in young adults:

| Pathologies                   | No.  | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------|-----------|
| Inflammatory spondyloarthopathy | 11   | 4.98%     |
| Scoliosis                     | 8    | 3.62%     |
| Vertebral haemangioma         | 5    | 2.26%     |
| Tumor                         | 3    | 1.36%     |
| Uterine fibroids              | 3    | 1.36%     |
| Perineural cyst               | 3    | 1.36%     |
| Ovarian cyst                  | 2    | 0.91%     |
| Soft tissue edema             | 1    | 0.45%     |
| Osteitis condensans ilii      | 1    | 0.45%     |
| Epidural lipomatosis          | 1    | 0.45%     |
| Baasstrup’s disease           | 1    | 0.45%     |

Table 1 Prevalence of various non traumatic causes of low backache in young adults:
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Figure 2 Graphical representation of prevalence of spinal tumors in our study.
Figure 3 Sagittal T1 and T2 weighted images of LS spine displaying degenerative disc disease at L3-4, L4-5 and L5-S1 levels. The Axial T2 WI image shows posteriocentral extrusion at L4-5 level.

Figure 4 Sagittal and axial T1, T2 and T1 FS post contrast images display a large abscess collection in the posterolateral body of L3 vertebra involving the left pedicle and lamina. Post contrast images show peripheral enhancement and central necrotic component.

Figure 5 FNAC from left paraspinal swelling showing epithelioid granuloma. (Giemsa Stain, 40x view)

Figure 6 Sagittal T1, T2 and STIR; coronal STIR and axial T2 images of LS spine displaying Non hodgkings lymphoma, spinal and extra spinal manifestations.

Figure 7 Biopsy from retroperitoneal mass showing atypical lymphoid population with scant cytoplasm and round nuclei. (H&E, 10x view)

Discussion
Low back pain is a pervasive problem that affects two-thirds of adults at some time in their lives leading to work disabilities which not only imparts medical care costs but also costs of time lost from work, disability payments, and diminished productivity. The prevalence of LBP in Indian population varies between 6.2% (in general population) to 92% (in construction workers). The annual prevalence of low back pain in the United States is estimated at 15% to 45% and the lifetime prevalence is over 60%. The role of diagnostic imaging is to provide accurate anatomic information and to affect the management decision making. MRI is the investigation of choice as it has better tissue segregation and ability to show various patterns of pathologies as compared to other imaging techniques.

In our study, degenerative changes were observed in majority (78.73%) of patients examined. Endean et. al also stated that excluding trauma, intervertebral disc abnormalities is one of the commonest cause of low backache. However their systematic review and meta-analysis have shown that these disc changes are associated with low backache, but not specific for it. Conforti R et. al observed twenty-one patients (9 female and 12 male) aged from 13 to 22 years for non traumatic low backache. Disc pathology was found to be most common cause. The painful symptoms exhibited at the young age of the patients suggest such pathologies as infections, tumors or malformations, but the possibility of discopathy must always be considered. Weishaupt D et. al studied MRI of lumbar spine in
asymptomatic volunteers and found degenerative pathology as the most common cause in patients younger than 50 years, which includes disc herniations, nerve root compression, end plate abnormalities and osteoarthritis of the facet joints. The prevalence of degenerative disease in our study is relatively higher despite the younger age group, which represents changing trends of degenerative disease in young adults.

Secer M et. al [10] evaluated congenital lumbosacral malformations and neurological findings in patients with low back pain. Their study included 401 patients and congenital vertebral abnormalities were detected in 52 patients (12.1%). Gopalakrishnan NK et. al [11] found congenital vertebral anomalies in 9.5% of patients. In our study we detected 32 cases (14.48%) of congenital anomalies. The variations in prevalence of spinal congenital anomalies could be explained by different age group of study populations as these pathologies are more commonly seen in the pediatric age group.

In our study sacroiliitis is found in 10.86% (24/221) cases. Sreedhar et. al [12] studied 751 patients of all age group, out of which 7.86% were have sacroiliitis. Schueller WC et. al [13] demonstrated the importance of MRI in evaluation of spondyloarthritis. They suggested conventional radiography is good for the initial evaluation, but to improve the early detection of spondyloarthritis, MRI should be performed, as it enables the detection of early inflammation, such as subchondral bone marrow edema of the sacroiliac joints (SIJ), which defines sacroiliitis. In our study we found that MRI is very sensitive to detect early sacroiliitis which is represented by subarticular edema.

The infective pathology was found in 16 patients (7.23%) in our study. Out of which 14 were diagnosed as tubercular spondylitis, 1 pyogenic spondylitis (staphylococcus aureus) and 1 paraspinal cysticercosis. Sharif HS et. al [14] evaluated the granulomatous spinal infections with MRI. MR imaging enabled diagnosis of the type of infection in 94%. Jarvik JG et. al [15] concluded that magnetic resonance imaging was the most sensitive (0.96) and specific (0.92) test for spinal infection. In our study the sensitivity and specificity of MRI to detect spinal infections is found 93.8% and 99.8% respectively, which is strongly correlated with these studies. Hong SH et. al [16] reported that MRI is very useful to evaluate spinal infection and to distinguish between an infection and other clinical conditions. In most cases of spinal infection, MR images showed typical findings such as vertebral endplate destruction, bone marrow and disc signal abnormalities, and paravertebral or epidural abscesses. Lee KY et. al [17] compared the pyogenic and tubercular spondylitis. The earliest and most common radiographic finding is narrowing of the disc space in pyogenic spondylitis. In early tuberculous spondylitis, the disc space is preserved more than pyogenic spondylitis. MRI has been reported to be beneficial for early diagnosis and differential diagnosis of the spondylitis. In our study we also found all the above mentioned patterns in cases of tubercular spondylitis which very well correlated with pathological findings.

Tumors of the spine are less common cause of low backache in the age group of 20-40 years. We found only 3 tumors in our study age group two of which were intradural extramedullary and one extra dural. Gopalakrishnan NK et. al [11] studied the causes of low back pain by MRI of 200 patients of all age group. They found the degenerative disc diseases as commonest pathology followed by congenital and traumatic lesions. Neoplastic lesions were the least common. They found only 4 cases of spinal tumors out of which 3 were extradural and 1 intradural extramedullary. Sembrano JN et. al [18] studied the extra spinal causes of backache. They reviewed 200 cases out of which 130 (65%) had spine-only pathology, whereas 50 (25%) had extra spinal pathologies. In our study extra spinal pathologies are seen in 18.6% of cases. Such variation could be due to different objectives of the studies. The various extra spinal conditions found in our study are uterine fibroids (1.36%), ovarian cysts (0.91%), osteitis condensans ili (0.45%) and gluteal muscle pathology (0.91%).
Conclusions
MRI is the most useful modality in morphologic evaluation of lumbosacral spine and also correlates very well with pathologic diagnosis due to its privileged tissue differentiation ability. MRI is very helpful in early detection of various spinal pathologies as well as for treatment follow ups. Degenerative disease is the single most common category which accounts for most of the low backache in young adults for which a preventing strategy should be drafted.
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