Abstract: Preparing easily scaled up, cost-effective, and recyclable membranes for separation technology is challenging. In the present study, a unique and new type of modified polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) nanofibrous membrane was prepared for the separation of oil–water emulsions. Surface modification was done in two steps. In the first step, dehydrofluorination of PVDF membranes was done using an alkaline solution. After the first step, oil removal and permeability of the membranes were dramatically improved. In the second step, TiO$_2$ nanoparticles were grafted onto the surface of the membranes. After adding TiO$_2$ nanoparticles, membranes exhibited outstanding anti-fouling and self-cleaning performance. The as-prepared membranes can be of great use in new green separation technology and have great potential to deal with the separation of oil–water emulsions in the near future.
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membrane achieved up to 1800 L·m$^{-2}$·h$^{-1}$ (efficiency > 99.6%) with a flux recovery ratio of 94.09% after 10 cycles. Moreover, the tensile strength of the membrane improved by increasing the ratio of PAN in the mixture. In another work [4], titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) nanoparticles were grafted onto the surface of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF)/polyacrylonitrile (PAN) membranes using a two-step modification system. In the first step, hydroxyl and carbonyl groups were introduced onto the membrane surface using low-vacuum argon plasma treatment and a NaOH aqueous solution. In the second step, TiO$_2$ nanoparticles were grafted onto the surface of the membrane. Results indicated that the TiO$_2$ grafted membrane showed extremely high water permeability with a self-cleaning property.

Nanofiber webs are a good candidate for use in filtration applications due to their high surface area, tight pore size, and highly porous structure. Even though there is an air filtration application on an industrial scale, water domain applications are still in progress. Mechanical strength of the nanofibers is not enough to withstand any pressure under water. There were a number of works submitted on improving mechanical properties of nanofibers such as dip coating, addition of epoxy, polymer or inorganics blending, tailoring, ultrasonic welding, heat pressing, etc. [5–14].

Herein, the mechanical problem of the nanofiber layer was solved using the heat-press lamination process. Using this method, nanofiber webs were transported and adhered to a different surface without any damage [15]. A PVDF nanofibrous membrane was used for the separation of oil/water emulsions. PVDF is commonly used in membrane technology due to its outstanding mechanical, chemical, thermal, and oxidation resistance properties [16]. PVDF is an oleophilic/hydrophobic membrane due to its low surface energy (25 dynes-cm$^{-1}$) [16,17]. The aim of this work was to prepare self-cleaning PVDF nanofibrous hybrid membranes for the separation of oily wastewater.

For this reason, various nanofiber layers were used for the separation of oil–water emulsions. Firstly, performance of the membranes was measured and compared. Secondly, selected membranes were carried to the surface modification process. Finally, the self-cleaning membrane was prepared for the separation of oil–water emulsions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Membrane Preparation

PVDF nanofibers were obtained from Nanocenter (Laboratory of nanomaterial application, Technical University of Liberec, Liberec, Czech Republic). Nanofiber layers had densities of 1, 2, and 3 g/m$^2$. To increase mechanical strength, a 100-g/m$^2$ polyethylene terephthalate nonwoven (Mogul Nonwovens, Gaziantep, Turkey) was used as a supporter. A co-polyester adhesive was used to bind nanofibers to the nonwoven surface. The heat-press method was applied as explained previously [8,13,15]. The highest-density nanofiber web was taken for further surface modification. Sample abbreviations are given in Table 1.

| Polymer | Density (g/m$^2$) | Modification | Abbreviation | Fiber Diameter (nm) |
|---------|------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|
| PVDF    | 1                | -            | PVDF 1       | 148.9 ± 23.1        |
|         | 2                | -            | PVDF 2       | 148.5 ± 45.6        |
|         | 3                | -            | PVDF 3       | 134.2 ± 37.2        |
|         | NaOH             | PVDF_N       |              | 164.9 ± 40.3        |
|         | NaOH + TiO$_2$   | PVDF_NT      |              | 248.2 ± 47.8        |
|         | KOH              | PVDF_K       |              | 174.9 ± 57.6        |
|         | KOH + TiO$_2$    | PVDF_KT      |              | 197.0 ± 54.7        |

2.2. Surface Modification

The first step in the surface modification was done by dehydrofluorination of the PVDF membrane using alkaline solutions. Two types of dehydrofluorinated PVDF were prepared with sodium hydroxide
(NaOH, Penta s.r.o., Prague, Czech Republic) and potassium hydroxide (KOH, Penta s.r.o., Prague, Czech Republic).

The reaction of the alkaline solution with PVDF is given as follows [18]:

\[-(\text{CH}_2-\text{CF}_2)- + x\text{OH} \rightarrow -(\text{CH}=\text{CF})- + x\text{F} + \text{H}_2\text{O},\]

where \(x\) is Na or K.

After the lamination process, one of the membranes was immersed into 72 g of NaOH solution in 30 mL of distilled water (DI) for 48 h, while the other membrane was immersed into 2 g of KOH solution in 20 mL of isopropyl alcohol (IPA, Penta s.r.o., Prague, Czech Republic) for 1 h.

Subsequently, the membranes were taken from the alkaline solutions, washed several times with DI, and immersed into 0.5 g of titanium dioxide (TiO\(_2\), 20 nm, Sigma-Aldrich spol. s.r.o, Prague, Czech Republic)/40 mL of DI mixture and kept for 24 h.

Immediately after TiO\(_2\) treatment, the membranes were taken and washed several times using DI water via the immersion method and one-minute cleaning with the ultrasonic cleaner to remove excessive TiO\(_2\) on the surface of the membrane.

Hydroxyl groups play an important role in the reaction chemistry of metal-oxide surfaces such as TiO\(_2\). The reaction of the alkaline solution with PVDF and the interaction between the dehydrofluorinated PVDF and TiO\(_2\) are shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Surface modification of the polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane.](image)

The interaction between dehydrofluorinated PVDF and TiO\(_2\) was studied in the literature [4,19,20].

2.3. Characterization

Surface morphology of the membranes was characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Vega 3SB, Brno, Czech Republic). The samples were mounted on a stub of metal with adhesive (double-sided adhesive), coated with 7 nm of gold, and then observed in the microscope under various magnifications at various places (accelerating voltage = 30 kV; beam intensity = 7). Fiber diameter was analyzed using the free online Image-J program. From each sample, at least 50 fibers were measured.

Average, maximum, and minimum pore sizes of the membranes were measured using a custom-made porometer and the principle of bubble point measurement, as explained in the literature [15]. The bubble point test is used to determine the size of the pores of the porous material. In the bubble point test, sufficient gas pressure is applied to overcome the capillary forces of the wetted membrane pores to determine largest pore size. In this method, it is necessary to control the pressure needed to pass a liquid through the tested porous material and for wetting the sample. The size of the average and minimum pores can also be determined by increasing the air pressure and measuring its flow through the sample. In these circumstances, it is necessary to compare the pressure curve of the wet sample with the pressure curve of the dry sample. The flow rate increases when the pressure increases in the dry sample. On the other hand, in the wet sample, at the beginning, there is no flow because all the pores are filled with the liquid. At a certain pressure, the gas empties the largest pore, and gas begins to flow through the wet sample. The intersection between the calculated half-dry and the wet sample gives the mean flow pore size. When all the pores are emptied, an intersection between the wet and dry curve will be observed. This means that the relationship between the applied pressure and the detected flow becomes linear, and the intersection of the wet and dry curve represents
the detected minimum pore size. In this work, ethylene glycol (surface tension 47.3 mN·m⁻¹) was used to wet the samples. Both wet and dry measurement were taken to determine maximum, minimum, and mean pore size. At least three measurements were taken.

Air permeability of the membranes was tested using an SDL ATLAS Air Permeability Tester (Rock Hill ATLAS Air Permeability Tester at 200 Pa and 20 cm², Rock Hill, SC, USA). The air permeability test was used for determination of the air permeability of the flat membrane. A specimen was clamped over the test head opening by pressing down the clamping arm, which started the vacuum pump. Measurements were performed by application of 200 Pa of air pressure per 20 cm² of fabric surface. At least three measurements were taken at various places on the membrane. Results were expressed as L·m⁻²·s⁻¹.

Bursting pressure of the membranes was tested, and the maximum delamination pressure was recorded using a custom-made device. The membrane (47 mm in diameter) was placed between two rings, and pressurized water was applied from one side until the nanofiber layer delaminated from the supporting layer. The hydrostatic pressure was measured using a pressure controller, which was placed in front of the membrane and connected to a computer. The hydrostatic pressure was increased gradually, and, as soon as the nanofiber layer burst, the pressure value on the screen decreased sharply. The maximum pressure value was recorded as the bursting/delamination strength of the membrane [15].

A Krüss Drop Shape Analyzer DS4 (Krüss GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) was used for the measurement of water contact angle using distilled water (surface tension 72.0 mN·m⁻¹). Five measurements from each membrane were taken.

The hydroxyl groups on the PVDF nanofiber were observed using Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, Nicolet iZ10 by Thermo Scientific, Prague, Czech Republic).

2.4. Emulsion Preparation

A 50 vol.%/50 vol.% oil/water emulsion was prepared. Water-soluble/oil-insoluble food colorant was used to detect permeate after separation. Nonionic Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich spol. s.r.o, Prague, Czech Republic) was used as a surfactant for preparation of the emulsion. Generally, oil–water emulsions are prepared using nonionic surfactants [21]. The preparation method was as follows:

→ A few drops of pink color food colorant were mixed with 100 g of distilled water.
→ Then, 2 g of surfactant was added to the water and mixed with a magnetic stirrer for 10 min.
→ Next, 100 g of sunflower oil was added to the water/surfactant mixture.
→ Finally, the solution was mixed with a magnetic stirrer at 500 rpm for 24 h.

A digital microscope (Levenhuk Digital Microscope, Prague, Czech Republic) was used for the determination of oil droplet size (Figure 2). The emulsion was kept for one week without any stirring. Droplet size was then measured. There was no change in the size of the droplets. Average drop size was found to be 1.05 ± 0.34 µm.

Figure 2. Droplets of oil under a microscope.
2.5. Filtration Test

An Amicon (50 mL stirred cell, Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA) dead-end filtration unit was used for the separation test. The flux and the permeability of the samples were calculated according to Equations (1) and (2).

\[ F = \frac{G}{A t}, \]
\[ P = \frac{F}{T}, \]

where \( F \) is the flux (L·m\(^{-2}\)·h\(^{-1}\)), \( A \) is the area of the membrane (m\(^2\)), \( G \) is the amount of permeate (L), \( t \) is the time of the filtration process, \( T \) is the transmembrane pressure, and \( P \) is the permeability of the membrane (L·m\(^{-2}\)·h\(^{-1}\)·bar\(^{-1}\)).

Selectivity of the membranes was observed according to permeate color, and the microscope was used to detect any oil droplets in the permeate. The separation test for one membrane was done a number of times. In the first step, only 15 mL of distilled water was used as feed; in the second step, 15 mL of emulsion was used. This process was repeated at least three times to observe membrane fouling or self-cleaning.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of the Membranes

SEM images of the samples were taken after lamination and the surface modification process (Figures 3 and 4).

![Figure 3. SEM images of (a) PVDF 1, (b) PVDF 2, and (c) PVDF 3 after lamination.](image)

It was observed that the fiber diameter did not change with increasing nanofiber web density (Figure 3, Table 1). Since spinning conditions remained the same, only the backing paper speed was changed to get various nanofiber web densities, which did not influence fiber diameter. On the other hand, treatment of the PVDF nanofiber with an alkaline solution yielded a slight increase in fiber diameter because of swelling of the fibers (Figure 4a,c). Figure 4b,d show that TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles distributed very well on the surface of the nanofiber without any aggregation, showing that a regular dehydrofluorination took place. Hydrophilic OH groups on the membrane attached to the TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles. The fibers became thicker after the TiO\(_2\) nanoparticle attachment on the surface.

The FTIR spectra were collected in order to investigate the chemical structure of the PVDF nanofibrous webs. These are shown in Figure 5. The stretching bands at 1173 cm\(^{-1}\) and 876 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to the –CF\(_2\) and C–F groups of PVDF. The spectra confirmed the presence of –OH groups after surface modification, with absorption bands at 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) representing –OH group deformation vibrations. The very broad and less intense peak between 2500 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3500 cm\(^{-1}\) was due to
O–H functionalities. It may be concluded that the bonded –OH groups played a major role in the hydrophilicity of the membranes.

Figure 4. SEM images of (a) PVDF_N, (b) PVDF_NT, (c) PVDF_K, and (d) PVDF_KT.

Figure 5. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) image of the PVDF membranes.

Pore size of the samples before modification was measured and is shown in Table 2. Membrane pore size for the modified samples was not measured. Once the samples were modified, they were kept wet in distilled water as recommended. It was found that drying of the modified and wetted
membrane could cause possible membrane cracks and damage. Unfortunately, pore size measurement was done only for the dry samples. Increased nanofiber web density caused a decrease in the average pore size due to the compact structure. The role of pore size in the separation process was significant. Tight pore size increased the selectivity of the membranes.

| Sample | Maximum Pore Size (µm) | Average Pore Size (µm) |
|--------|------------------------|------------------------|
| PVDF 1 | 4.54 ± 0.14            | 2.50 ± 0.29            |
| PVDF 2 | 4.20 ± 0.00            | 1.15 ± 0.08            |
| PVDF 3 | 4.23 ± 0.05            | 0.72 ± 0.04            |

Air permeability of the membranes was measured after the lamination process. The main aim of this step was to observe whether the adhesive blocked the pore size of the nanofibers during lamination. The bursting pressure test is another method of determining the quality of the lamination process. Using this test, the strength of the lamination was measured. Figure 6 shows the relationship among density of the nanofiber layer, air permeability, and bursting pressure.

![Figure 6. Bursting pressure and air permeability of the membrane according to nanofiber web density.](image)

There was an inverse proportional relationship between the density of the nanofiber web and air permeability. Lower density meant fewer fiber bundles on the web, which resulted in a more open structure. On the contrary, higher density meant more fiber bundles, which resulted in a compact structure. Bursting pressure results indicated that, at the lowest density (1 g/m²), adhesion of the nanofibers to the support was not as strong as that at the higher nanofiber web density. The reason could have been the low mechanical strength and high abrasion resistance of the low-density nanofiber fiber web. As soon as the fiber density increased from 1 to 2 g/m², bursting pressure improved by 80%.

Further improvements did not change fiber density significantly. Based on previous work [8,13], the minimum required bursting pressures for the PVDF and polyacrylonitrile (PAN) nanofiber membranes were determined to be 175 kPa and 195 kPa, respectively. When density exceeded 1 g/m², nanofibers showed a bursting pressure >175 kPa. It can be suggested that the minimum required nanofiber web density is 2 g/m² for the preparation of membranes. Since the membrane with 3 g/m² showed excellent bursting pressure, and the possibility of modification of more fibers on the surface, this membrane was selected as the candidate for surface modification.
Water contact angle (CA) of the membranes was measured. Membrane behavior under emulsion changed due to additives such as surfactant. The CA of the membranes was measured after oil separation. Results are given in Table 3.

| Sample   | CA before Separation (°) | CA after Separation (°) | Image (Before Separation) |
|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| PVDF 1   | 71.23 ± 1.31             | 62.40 ± 2.17            |                           |
| PVDF 2   | 80.83 ± 1.53             | 47.46 ± 1.93            |                           |
| PVDF 3   | 89.40 ± 4.67             | 35.32 ± 8.71            |                           |
| PVDF_N   | 0                        | 0                       |                           |
| PVDF_NT  | 39.43 ± 3.01             | 0                       |                           |
| PVDF_K   | 0                        | 0                       |                           |
| PVDF_KT  | 0                        | 0                       |                           |

The CA of the neat membranes without any modification had a contact angle <90°, which can be considered “hydrophilic”. Typically, PVDF nanofibers have a hydrophobic nature. After lamination, the surface structure of the PVDF membranes most likely changed. Moreover, the adhesive web between the nanofiber and the supporting layer played a significant role. The adhesive web partly covered the surface of the nanofibers, which may have exhibited hydrophilic characteristics.

Results of the CA showed that increasing the density of the nanofiber web decreased the wettability of the samples. There was a proportional relationship between nanofiber web density and CA.

Research results indicated that increasing the hydrophilicity of the membrane prevented membrane fouling and improved membrane permeability [4,16,22].

3.2. Separation Test

A separation test was run using dead-end cell separation, and the permeability of the unmodified membranes was calculated according to Equation (2). Results are given in Figure 7, which compares unmodified membranes at various densities. Each membrane was used and circulated three times to measure fouling. Between each circulation, distilled water was filtrated. Results indicated that, at the beginning, PVDF 2 showed enormous permeability compared to the others. The lowest permeability was achieved using PVDF 3 at the first circulation. However, membranes PVDF 1 and PVDF 2 showed a sharp decrease in permeability with the second circulation; the reason for this was membrane fouling. The membrane with the highest nanofiber density (PVDF 3) showed a stable permeability after three circulations; this could have been due to the high specific surface area of PVDF 3. A higher density meant more nanofiber web was on the structure, resulting in a bigger surface area in total. On the contrary, Hobbs et al. [23] found that there is a proportional relationship between flux decline ratio and membrane surface area. A higher surface area showed a higher flux decline ratio.
Figure 8 shows the results of the modified membranes. Surface modification helped improve membrane surface cleaning. For long-term application, surface-modified membranes should be an excellent candidate for use in the separation process. Life span and performance of the membranes were improved using surface modification. Moreover, the added TiO$_2$ acted as an antibacterial on the membranes. The TiO$_2$ nanoparticles were activated under ultraviolet (UV) light during separation, which might have enhanced the performance, self-cleaning, and antibacterial properties of the membranes [24]. Montazer et al. [25] modified the surface of polyester/wool fabric using TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. Water adsorption increased, while the time of water droplet adsorption decreased.

Moreover, the antibacterial efficacy of the material against Escherichia coli was found to be 100%. In another study, it was reported that a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)/TiO$_2$ composite provided excellent photocatalytic properties and developed self-cleaning properties [26]. Xu et al. [27] prepared TiO$_2$–high-density polyethylene (HDPE) nanocomposite surfaces that exhibited superhydrophobicity. Exposure to UV light caused the surface of the composite to become hydrophilic. As a result, wettability and self-cleaning properties of the nanocomposite increased. More examples appeared in the literature. The effectiveness of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles on wettability and self-cleaning properties is indisputable.
The surface of the membranes after oil separation was detected using SEM images, as shown in Figure 9. We could understand from the SEM images that membranes with high permeability and surface cleaning attracted less oil. For instance, the permeability of the PVDF 3 decreased consistently in each circulation. PVDF_N was blocked after the first circulation. These two membranes showed, in the SEM images (Figure 9a,b), that an oily film covered the surface of the membranes. For the membranes PVDF_N and PVDF_NT, there was less oil contamination on the membrane surface. Based on this result, it can be concluded that surface modification improved membrane permeability and self-cleaning performance.

![SEM images of the samples after oil separation](image)

Figure 9. SEM images of the samples after oil separation: (a) PVDF 3, (b) PVDF_N, (c) PVDF_NT, (d) PVDF_K, (e) PVDF_KT.

Permeate solution was collected and checked under the microscope to detect any oil droplets. Moreover, coloring the water helped to detect oil content in the mixture. Unmodified membranes showed hydrophobic/oleophilic characteristics, while modified membranes were hydrophilic/oleophobic.

4. Conclusions

PVDF is one of the most frequently used polymers in the membrane filtration market due to its outstanding properties, such as chemical resistance, thermal stability, and high mechanical strength. Despite the superior properties of PVDF membranes, there is still plenty of room for improvement in membrane performance and life span. In this work, PVDF nanofibrous hybrid membranes were prepared for the separation of the oil–water emulsions. A two-step surface modification took place using alkaline solution and TiO$_2$ nanoparticle grafting. Water permeability of the membranes increased due to –OH groups and hydrophilic TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (NPs) on the membrane surface.

Moreover, membranes showed self-cleaning properties after the modification process. Photocatalytic activity can be improved through UV induction on the TiO$_2$-modified membranes. We believe that this method can be used in the separation of emulsified oil/water. Based on the result, it can be concluded that nanofiber webs are good candidates for water domain filtration applications.
Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.B. and F.Y.; methodology, F.Y.; software, E.B.; validation, E.B., F.Y., and J.H.; formal analysis, E.B.; investigation, F.Y.; resources, F.Y. and J.H.; data curation, E.B. and F.Y.; writing—original draft preparation, F.Y.; writing—review and editing, F.Y.; visualization, E.B. and F.Y.; supervision, F.Y.; project administration, J.M., F.Y., and J.H.; funding acquisition, F.Y., J.M., and J.H.

Funding: This research was funded by “The Ministry of Industry and Trade in the framework of the Program Trio; project Reg. No. FV10409 and the Ministry of Education, Youth, and Sports of the Czech Republic and the European Union, European Structural and Investment Funds in the frames of Operational Program Research, Development, and Education HyHi, Reg. No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000843” and “The APC was funded by the Ministry of Industry and Trade in the framework of the Program Trio; project Reg. No. FV10409 and the Ministry of Education, Youth, and Sports of the Czech Republic and the European Union, European Structural and Investment Funds in the frames of Operational Program Research, Development, and Education HyHi, Reg. No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000843”.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References
1. Zhang, W.; Shi, Z.; Zhang, F.; Liu, X.; Jin, J.; Jiang, L. Superhydrophobic and superoleophilic PVDF membranes for effective separation of water-in-oil emulsions with high flux. Adv. Mater. 2013, 25, 2071–2076. [CrossRef]
2. Zhang, F.; Gao, S.; Zhu, Y.; Jin, J. Alkaline-induced superhydrophilic/underwater superoleophobic polyacrylonitrile membranes with ultralow oil-adhesion for high-efficient oil/water separation. J. Membr. Sci. 2016, 513, 67–73. [CrossRef]
3. Fan, L.; Yan, J.; He, H.; Deng, N.; Zhao, Y.; Kang, W.; Cheng, B. Electro-blow spun PS/PAN fibrous membrane for highly efficient oil/water separation. Fibers Polym. 2017, 18, 1988–1994. [CrossRef]
4. Yalcinkaya, F.; Siekierka, A.; Bryjak, M. Preparation of fouling-resistant nanofibrous composite membranes for separation of oily wastewater. Polymers 2017, 9, 679. [CrossRef]
5. Yalcinkaya, F. Preparation of various nanofiber layers using wire electrospinning system. Arab. J. Chem. 2016. [CrossRef]
6. Grimmelsmann, N.; Grothe, T.; Homburg, S.V.; Ehrmann, A. Electrospinning and stabilization of chitosan nanofiber mats. In Proceedings of the IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, Beijing, China, 24–27 October 2017; Volume 254, p. 102006.
7. Charles, L.F.; Shaw, M.T.; Olson, J.R.; Wei, M. Fabrication and mechanical properties of PLLA/PCL/HA composites via a biomimetic, dip coating, and hot compression procedure. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med. 2010, 21, 1845–1854. [CrossRef]
8. Roche, R.; Yalcinkaya, F. Incorporation of PVDF nanofibre multilayers into functional structure for filtration applications. Nanomaterials 2018, 8, 771. [CrossRef]
9. Jahanbaani, A.R.; Behzad, T.; Borhani, S.; Darvanjooghi, M.H.K. Electrospinning of cellulose nanofibers mat for laminated epoxy composite production. Fibers Polym. 2016, 17, 1438–1448. [CrossRef]
10. Liu, R.; Ma, L.; Mei, J.; Huang, S.; Yang, S.; Li, E.; Yuan, G. Large areal mass, mechanically tough and freestanding electrode based on heteroatom-doped carbon nanofibers for flexible supercapacitors. Chem. A Eur. J. 2017, 23, 2610–2618. [CrossRef]
11. Wirth, E.; Sabantina, L.; Weber, M.; Finsterbusch, K.; Ehrmann, A. Preliminary study of ultrasonic welding as a joining process for electrospun nanofiber mats. Nanomaterials 2018, 8, 746. [CrossRef]
12. Sabantina, L.; Hes, L.; Mirasol, J.R.; Cordero, T.; Ehrmann, A. Water vapor permeability through PAN nanofiber mat with varying membrane-like areas. Fibres Text. East. Eur. 2019, 27, 12–15. [CrossRef]
13. Roche, R.; Yalcinkaya, F. Electrospun polyacrylonitrile nanofibrous membranes for point-of-use water and air cleaning. ChemistryOpen 2019, 8, 97–103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Sabantina, L.; Kinzel, F.; Hauser, T.; Tößber, A.; Klöcker, M.; Döpke, C.; Böttjer, R.; Wehlage, D.; Rattenholl, A.; Ehrmann, A. Comparative Study of Pleurotus ostreatus Mushroom Grown on Modified PAN Nanofiber Mats. Nanomaterials 2019, 9, 475. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
15. Yalcinkaya, F.; Hruza, J. Effect of laminating pressure on polymeric multilayer nanofibrous membranes for liquid filtration. Nanomaterials 2018, 8, 272. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
16. Yalcinkaya, F.; Siekierka, A.; Bryjak, M. Surface modification of electrospun nanofibrous membranes for oily wastewater separation. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 56704–56712. [CrossRef]
17. Zhou, Z.; Wu, X.F. Electrospinning superhydrophobic-superoleophilic fibrous PVDF membranes for high-efficiency water-oil separation. Mater. Lett. 2015, 160, 423–427. [CrossRef]
18. Xiao, L.; Davenport, D.M.; Ormsbee, L.; Bhattacharyya, D. Polymerization and functionalization of membrane pores for water related applications. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *54*, 4174–4182. [CrossRef]

19. Martakov, I.S.; Torlopov, M.A.; Mikhailov, V.I.; Krivoshepkina, E.F.; Silant’ev, V.E.; Krivoshepkin, P.V. Interaction of cellulose nanocrystals with titanium dioxide and peculiarities of hybrid structures formation. *J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *88*, 13–21. [CrossRef]

20. Dong, L.; Liu, X.; Xiong, Z.; Sheng, D.; Lin, C.; Zhou, Y.; Yang, Y. Preparation of UV-blocking poly(vinylidene fluoride) films through SI-AGET ATRP using a colorless polydopamine initiator layer. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *57*, 12662–12669. [CrossRef]

21. Shi, F.; Wu, J.; Zhao, B. Preparation and investigation of intelligent polymeric nanocapsule for enhanced oil recovery. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 1093. [CrossRef]

22. Naseeb, N.; Mohammed, A.A.; Laoui, T.; Khan, Z. A novel PAN-GO-SiO$_2$ hybrid membrane for separating oil and water from emulsified mixture. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 212. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Hobbs, C.; Hong, S.; Taylor, J. Effect of surface roughness on fouling of RO and NF membranes during filtration of a high organic surficial groundwater. *J. Water Supply Res. Technol. AQUA* **2006**, *55*, 559–570. [CrossRef]

24. Martins, P.M.; Ribeiro, J.M.; Teixeira, S.; Petrovykh, D.Y.; Cuniberti, G.; Pereira, L.; Lanceros-Méndez, S. Photocatalytic microporous membrane against the increasing problem of water emerging pollutants. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 1649. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Montazer, M.; Seifollahzadeh, S. Enhanced self-cleaning, antibacterial and UV protection properties of nano TiO$_2$ treated textile through enzymatic pretreatment. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **2011**, *87*, 877–883. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Tavares, M.T.S.; Santos, A.S.F.; Santos, I.M.G.; Silva, M.R.S.; Bomio, M.R.D.; Longo, E.; Paskocimas, C.A.; Motta, F.V. TiO$_2$/PDMS nanocomposites for use on self-cleaning surfaces. *Surf. Coatings Technol.* **2014**, *239*, 16–19. [CrossRef]

27. Xu, Q.F.; Liu, Y.; Lin, F.J.; Mondal, B.; Lyons, A.M. Superhydrophobic TiO$_2$-polymer nanocomposite surface with UV-induced reversible wettability and self-cleaning properties. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2013**, *5*, 8915–8924. [CrossRef] [PubMed]