A *q*-RIOUS POSITIVITY
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Abstract. The *q*-binomial coefficients \([n\atop m] = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - q^{n-m+i})/(1 - q^i)\), for integers 0 \(\leq m \leq n\), are known to be polynomials with non-negative integer coefficients. This readily follows from the *q*-binomial theorem, or the many combinatorial interpretations of \([n\atop m]\). In this note we conjecture an arithmetically motivated generalisation of the non-negativity property for products of ratios of *q*-factorials that happen to be polynomials.

The fact that the binomial coefficients
\[
\binom{n}{m} = \frac{n!}{(n-m)!m!}
\]
are integers easily follows from the following arithmetic argument. The order in which a prime \(p\) enters \(n!\) is given by
\[
\text{ord}_p n! = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^3} \right\rfloor + \cdots,
\]
where \(\lfloor \cdot \rfloor\) is the integer-part function. Setting \(x = (n-m)/p^k\) and \(y = m/p^k\) in the inequality
\[
\lfloor x+y \rfloor - \lfloor x \rfloor - \lfloor y \rfloor \geq 0,
\]
and summing \(k\) over the positive integers, we see that
\[
\text{ord}_p \binom{n}{m} \geq 0 \quad \text{for any prime } p.
\]
This obviously implies that \(\binom{n}{m} \in \mathbb{Z}\).

A standard way to establish integrality purely combinatorially amounts to interpreting the factorial ratio in (1) as coefficients in the expansion
\[
(1 + t)^n = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} t^m,
\]
that is, as the number of \(m\)-element subsets of an \(n\)-set.

The arithmetic argument can be extended to more general factorial ratios. For example, the inequality [11, Division 8, Problems 8 and 136]
\[
\lfloor 2x \rfloor + \lfloor 2y \rfloor - \lfloor x \rfloor - \lfloor x+y \rfloor - \lfloor y \rfloor \geq 0
\]
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implies that
\[ A_{n,m} := \frac{(2n)!(2m)!}{n!(n + m)!m!} \in \mathbb{Z}. \]

E. Catalan noted this integrality as early as 1874 [5]. In a much more recent study [8, § 6], I. Gessel named the \( A_{n,m} \) the super Catalan numbers. He stated several formulae for these numbers including
\[ A_{n,m} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{2n}{n+k} \binom{2m}{m+k}. \]

This identity, attributed to K. von Szily (1894) [12], clearly implies the integrality claimed in (3) but, of course, obscures that \( A_{n,m} \geq 0 \).

Let \( a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \) and \( b = (b_1, \ldots, b_s) \) be tuples of positive integers subject to the condition
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{r} [a_i x] - \sum_{j=1}^{s} [b_j x] \geq 0 \quad \text{for } x \geq 0. \]

In his work on the distribution of primes (cf. [3]) P. Chebyshev considered the ratios
\[ D_n(a, b) := \frac{(a_1 n)! \cdots (a_r n)!}{(b_1 n)! \cdots (b_s n)!}. \]

In view of (2), condition (5) is necessary and sufficient for \( D_n(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \) for all positive \( n \) — a fact known in the literature as Landau’s criterion [10]. Unlike the special cases of binomial coefficients and super Catalan numbers, there seems to be no non-arithmetical approach available in the literature to demonstrate that \( D_n(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \) more generally.

It is worth mentioning that the Chebyshev–Landau factorial ratios appear quite naturally in several deep mathematical problems including, for example, the Riemann hypothesis [3] and arithmetic properties of mirror maps [6]. We refer the interested reader to [3] which, among other things, contains a full classification of pairs of tuples \( (a, b) \) satisfying (5) for \( s \leq r + 1 \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_j \). (The latter “balancing” condition in fact follows from (5) if \( x \geq 0 \) is replaced by \( x \in \mathbb{R} \); cf. [3, Lemma 3.4].)

The above integrality has an interesting \( q \)-counterpart. It follows immediately from the definition of the \( q \)-factorial,
\[ [n]! = [n]_q! = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 - q^i}{1 - q}, \]
that \([n]!\) is a polynomial whose irreducible factors over \( \mathbb{Q} \) are cyclotomic polynomials \( \Phi_{\ell}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q] \) (cf. [15, § 1]). Moreover,
\[ \text{ord}_{\ell}\Phi_{\ell}(q)[n!] = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\ell} \right\rfloor \quad \text{for all } \ell = 2, 3, 4, \ldots. \]
We may thus conclude that
\[ \binom{n}{m} := \frac{n!}{(n-m)!m!}, \quad A_{n,m}(q) := \frac{[2n]!}[n!] \frac{[2m]!}{[n+m]!m!}, \]
and
\[(7) \quad D_n(a, b; q) := \frac{[a_1n]! \cdots [a_rn]!}{[b_1n]! \cdots [b_sn]!} \]
subject to (5) are all polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[q]$. For this reason the $q$-binomial coefficients are often referred to by their alternative name of Gaussian polynomials.

Another well-known fact about the Gaussian polynomials is the non-negativity of their coefficients. In fact, since each of the coefficients $c_i$ in $\binom{n}{m} = c_0 + \cdots + c_{nm} q^{nm}$ is strictly positive\(^1\), it is costumary to refer to them as positive polynomials. Following tradition, we relax the term positivity to simply refer to any polynomial with non-negative coefficients. Hence we say that $1 + q^2$ is a positive polynomial even though the linear term has vanishing coefficient.

The only known proofs of the positivity of the $q$-binomial coefficients are essentially all combinatorial. For example, the $q$-binomial theorem \[ 7, Eq. (II.4) \]
\[ \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + tq^i) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} q^{\binom{m}{2}} \binom{n}{m} t^m, \]
implies positivity and, more specifically, the combinatorial interpretation
\[ q^{\binom{m}{2}} \binom{n}{m} = \sum_{I \subseteq \{0, \ldots, n-1\} \mid |I|=m} q^{\sum_{i \in I} i}. \]

In view of the preceding discussion the following conjecture arises naturally.

**Conjecture 1.** Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ and $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_s)$ satisfy (5). Then the polynomial
\[(8) \quad D(a, b; q) := \frac{[a_1]! \cdots [a_r]!}{[b_1]! \cdots [b_s]!} \]
is positive.

Replacing all $a$ and $b$ by $an$ and $bn$ for a positive integer $n$, we see that the conjecture is equivalent to the claim that the polynomials $D_n(a, b; q)$ defined in (7) are positive for all positive integers $n$.

The conjecture is trivially true whenever the right-hand side in (8) can be represented as a product of $q$-binomial coefficients. To provide some further evidence, we show the validity of the conjecture for the $q$-super Catalan numbers
\[ A_{n,m}(q) = D((2n, 2m), (n, n + m, m); q) \]

\(^1\)One may in fact show that $c_0 = 1$ and that the $c_i$ are symmetric and unimodal; $c_i = c_{nm-i}$ and $c_i \leq c_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor nm/2 - 1 \rfloor$. 
as well as for

\[(9) \ B_{n,m}(q) := D((2n,m), (n, 2m, n-m); q) = \frac{[2n]! [m]!}{[n]! [2m]! [n-m]!} \in \mathbb{Z}[q], \quad n \geq m.\]

We note that, as shown in [3], the \(q\)-binomial coefficients \( \binom{n}{m}_q \) together with \( A_{n,m}(q) \) and \( B_{n,m}(q) \) exhaust the space of 2-parameter solutions to (5) with \( s = r + 1 \).

**Proposition 2.** The \(q\)-super Catalan numbers \( A_{n,m}(q) \) are positive polynomials for all \( n, m \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** Our proof rests on a \(q\)-analogue of Gessel’s formula [8, Eq. (32)]

\[ A_{n+n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor} 2^{p-2k} \binom{p}{2k} A_{n+k} \quad (p \geq 0), \]

given in (11) below.

Let \( n \) and \( p \) be non-negative integers. Twice applying the \(q\)-Chu–Vandermonde sum [7, Eq. (II.7)] in the form

\[ \begin{bmatrix} a + b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(b-c+k)} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ c-k \end{bmatrix} \]

yields

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 2n + 2p \\ p \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(n+j)} \begin{bmatrix} n + p \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n + p \\ p-j \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(n+j)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(n+k)} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n + p - j \\ p - j - k \end{bmatrix} \]

Multiplying this by \( [2n]! [p]! / ([n]! [n+p]! ) \) implies the recurrence

\[ (11) \quad A_{n+n+p}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor} A_{n,k}(q) \sum_{j=k}^{p-k} q^{k(n+k)+j(n+j)} \binom{p}{2k} \binom{p-2k}{j-k} \]

for \( p \geq 0 \). Together with the initial conditions \( A_{n,n}(q) = A_{n,0}(q) = \binom{2n}{n} \), the symmetry \( A_{m,m}(q) = A_{m,n}(q) \) and the positivity of \( q \)-binomial coefficients, formula (11) implies the desired positivity of \( A_{n,m}(q) \).

\[ \square \]

Another positivity result related to \( A_{n,m}(q) \) may be found in [9]. Before stating this result we remark that by taking \( (a, b, c) \mapsto (1, \infty, q^{-m}) \) in the very-well poised \( 6\phi_5 \) summation [7, Eq. (II.21)] a \(q\)-analogue of von Szily’s identity (4) arises. Namely,

\[ A_{n,m}(q) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \binom{2n}{n+k} \binom{2m}{m+k}. \]

After the substitution \( q \mapsto 1/q \) this may also be written as

\[ (12) \quad A_{n,m}(q) = q^{-nm} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \binom{2n}{n+k} \binom{2m}{m+k}. \]
Now a special case of [9, Theorem 4.7] amounts to the following claim. For \( r, s \) positive integers and \( n, m \) nonnegative integers, the functions \( R_{n,m,r,s}(q) \) defined by

\[
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \left[ \frac{2n}{n+k} \right]^r \left[ \frac{2m}{m+k} \right]^s = A_{n,m}(q) R_{n,m,r,s}(q)
\]

are positive polynomials. Of course, from (12) it follows that \( R_{n,m;1,1}(q) = q^{nm} \). In view of the positivity of \( A_{n,m}(q) \) the positivity of the right-hand side should come as no surprise, since, intuitively, raising the value of \( r \) and/or \( s \) should result in a “more positive (or less-negative) polynomial”. The fact that the right-side factors, with \( A_{n,m}(q) \) as one of its factors, is much more remarkable. We also note that in general it is hard to prove the positivity of alternating sum expressions of the form given above. For example, showing that

\[
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}+4k^2} \left[ \frac{2n}{n+3k} \right]
\]

is positive is key to proving the longstanding Borwein conjecture, see [1, 4, 2, 13, 14].

**Proposition 3.** The \( B_{n,m}(q) \) defined in (9) are positive polynomials for all \( n \geq m \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** This time we simply multiply (10) by \([n]! [2n+p]!/(2n) ![n+p]!\) to get

\[
B_{n+p,n}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{p-k} B_{n+k,n}(q) \sum_{j=k}^{p-k} q^{k(n+k)+j(n+j)} \left[ \frac{2n+p}{2n+2k} \right] \left[ \frac{p-2k}{j-k} \right].
\]

Since \( B_{n,n}(q) = 1 \), the result follows from (13) by induction on \( p \). \( \square \)

To provide additional support for Conjecture 1 we have computed the polynomials \( D_n(a, b; q) \) for all \( n \) up to 20 for the 52 choices for \( a \) and \( b \) listed in [3, Table 2]. Since \( s > r \) for each of these, and since the large \( n \) limit of \( D_n(a, b) \) is given by the positive power series \( \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - q^i)^{r-s} \), one would expect potential counter examples to occur for “small” values of \( n \). However, our computation resulted in polynomials with non-negative coefficients only.

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank James Wan for pointing out the reference [8].

**References**

[1] G. E. Andrews, On a conjecture of Peter Borwein, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 20 (1995), 487–501.
[2] A. Berkovich and S. O. Warnaar, Positivity preserving transformations for \( q \)-binomial identities, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 357 (2005), 2291–2351.
[3] J. W. Bober, Factorial ratios, hypergeometric series, and a family of step functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 79 (2009), 422–444.
[4] D. M. Bressoud, The Borwein conjecture and partitions with prescribed hook differences, *Electron. J. Combin.* 3 (1996), #R4, 14 pp.
[5] E. Catalan, Nouvelles Annales de Mathématiques, *Journal des Candidats aux École Polytechnique et Normale* (2) 13 (1874), 207.
[6] E. Delaygue, Critère pour l’intégralité des coefficients de Taylor des applications miroir, E-print at arXiv: 0912.3776 [math.NT] (2009).

[7] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic hypergeometric series, 2nd edition, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 96 (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004).

[8] I. Gessel, Super ballot numbers, J. Symbolic Computation 14 (1992), 179–194.

[9] V. J. W. Guo, F. Jouhet, and J. Zeng, Factors of alternating sums of products of binomial and $q$-binomial coefficients, Acta Arith. 127 (2007), 17–31.

[10] E. Landau, Sur les conditions de divisibilité d’un produit de factorielles par un autre, in: Collected Works, Vol. I (Thales-Verlag, Essen, 1985), p. 116.

[11] G. Pólya and G. Szegő, Problems and theorems in analysis, Vol. II, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 216 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin et al., 1976).

[12] K. von Szily, Über die Quadratsummen der Binomialcoefficienten, Ungar. Ber. 12 (1894), 84–91.

[13] S. O. Warnaar, The generalized Borwein conjecture. I. The Burge transform, in: q-Series with Applications to Combinatorics, Number Theory, and Physics, B. C. Berndt and K. Ono (eds.), Contemp. Math. 291 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001), pp. 243–267.

[14] S. O. Warnaar, The generalized Borwein conjecture. II. Refined $q$-trinomial coefficients, Discrete Math. 272 (2003), 215–258.

[15] W. Zudilin, On the irrationality measure for a $q$-analogue of $\zeta(2)$, Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. 193 (2002), 1151–1172.