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ABSTRACT

Crime is a global issue that arises as a consequence of social problems in society such as poverty and densely population due to urbanization. In large cities, governments have applied technology to support crime prevention. In this paper, a mobile-based system is proposed to increase knowledge and public awareness which may reduce the risk of crimes. A context-aware system, namely, geo-fence, is used to enable virtual fences around crime hotspots. Crime hotspots are determined using crime histories and crowd-sourced data provided by citizen. As citizen enter crime hotspots, they would be alerted and provided information. Meanwhile, if they find or experience crime, they can report and label the location of the crime.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, poverty is a global issue that is a problem for developed and developing countries. Economic pressure has an impact on the level of security because it can lead to crime, most often street crime such as robbery, plunder, drug transactions, prostitution, acts of physical violence, and murder [1][2]. To overcome this problem, the government especially in big cities install closed-circuit television, provide 24-hour call centers, and patrol police officers.

The issue of poverty and crime in urban areas does not stop the flow of urbanization. Urbanization continues to increase because large cities provide opportunities and can trigger rapid economic growth[3]. As a result, problems and challenges that must be overcome can arise such as increased crime and the urgency of a fast and responsive crime eradication. Crime and brutal actions have been the biggest fear and this situation has gained important awareness includes the government [5]. At the same time with the increasing number of people, the government is also urged to make savings on resources. Therefore, many big cities are transformed into smart cities that utilize technology to integrate information and communication technology such as mobile devices to support and improve the effectiveness and efficiency of government services.

The smartphone is a technology that can be used as a support for smart cities. It enables the use of internet networks and provides interfaces for smart city applications such as maps, multimedia features, and social media. According to GSMA real-time intelligence data [6] over 5.13 Billion people worldwide using mobile devices. By means, 66.53% of the world’s population have at least one mobile device. This creates new opportunities for the government to communicate with the public. Mobile phone has Global Positioning System (GPS) chip which can combine with software such as mobile operating system and other application to enable Location-Based Service (LBS). Some studies have developed a crime prevention system using LBS [7][8][9].
The systems are built to report and provide valuable information to users regarding crime prevention in specific areas.

In this paper, a mobile-based system to support police operations in preventing crime in large cities is proposed. The system uses geo-fence to enable the administrator to create boundaries in crime hotspots area. A geo-fence works as virtual fence or a perimeter around a physical location [10][11]. When geo-fence is applied to certain locations and object enters this area, something that was previously set from administrator page is executed. In proposed system, every citizen who enters the geo-fenced area will be notified about potential crimes, nearest police station, and hospitals, on-duty police officers, gas station, and other safety information. When citizen are notified, they can choose to ignore or respond to the alerts. If the citizen gives response to notification, the system will provide further information and supports.

The determination of crime hotspot are not only engaging the criminal history and report but also the system employs crowdsource data. It aims to advance and enrich the real-time information base to support decisions focused around law reinforcement. Crowdsourcing is believed as an effective approach to facilitate collaboration between citizen and government [12]. Citizen can report a criminal act and provide multimedia files such as pictures or videos of their surroundings. Alternatively, citizen could respond with an audio recording of what they can hear in their present location. Once the citizen submits the data, police officers are immediately updated. This data will be validated by police officers to ensure the data is valid and can be used to determined crime hotspot areas.

Finally, notifying and monitoring the citizen does not mean that the system advises people to avoid certain areas but only suggests increased caution if they must be located in crime hotspots. Increasing knowledge about how to reduce the risk of victimization may help citizen to reduce negative perceptions such as fear and anxiety which tends to be higher than the actual crime risk itself.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Crime Prevention

Social problems in society, such as poverty [13] and high population density [14], become critical factors that affect the increase of criminal rate, especially in large cities. Criminal issues are directly linked to public safety and social stability, and how to tackle the crime is foundation of effective state [15]. Therefore urban safety is a core element of urban sustainability to create a secure society. Crime prevention is no longer considered exclusive in a crime policy but also covers the area of public policy such as urban and social planning [16].

The number of violence and crime is related to certain degree of social breakdown [17]. Hence, increasing criminal rates requires government intervention to resolve the problem. Since strategic level decision making is related to crime rates [18]. The effectiveness and efficiency of crime prevention need to be discussed. How traditional crime prevention such as patrol can be supported by technological advantages. In this paper, the proposed system involves the police department as monitor 24 hours and public awareness. It is promoting smart city by engaging the used of technology to manage a city.

Nowadays, the government in developed and developing country promote the smart city concepts. By transforming the city into a smart city, the government can improve its services such as [19]:

- smart transport;
- smart energy;
- smart technology;
- smart living;
- smart environment;
- smart citizen and education;
- smart economy;
- smart government;
- safe city.

The smart city is directly related to the use of information and communication technology which can assist the government in increasing public, government, and non-government awareness. As mentioned previously, concept of smart city also includes safe city to prevent crime in certain areas and providing guidance to prevent crime [20]. Table 1 shows the technology innovation for crime prevention.
A mobile application has been developed for situational crime [7]. The application aimed to provide a convenient way in crime reporting and community policing. The application included reporting module, crime mapping, SMS, Tips, and Direct calls from the application. In this research, crime mapping feature didn’t work as expected due to internet connectivity. Another research developed an android crime reporting system [8]. Google Map APIs and Google Places APIs have been employed to help the system to spot users’ location and nearby police stations. The system offers alternative means to report the crime to police station, such as App-to-App call, sending crime scene images, and recording the event using device microphone. This system has proved more effective to help user in reporting the crime timely than the conventional reporting system.

An android application to assist a user in dealing with criminal activity is developed [9]. The application use GPS to track users’ location and recommend information about the nearest emergency point. When the crime happened, the users can notify stakeholders in that area to rescue the users as fast as possible. The stakeholders are including ambulance, nearest hospital, nearest friends and volunteers. The application is believed to help in reducing the time gap due to communicational issues.

Crime tends to concentrate in a certain area. The area with a higher number of crimes is called crime hotspot areas[22]. The crime hotspot areas can be determined by using the crime historical data. Usually, crime historical data can be acquired by users’ reports to the police. The trend of social media usage creates an opportunity to acquire more information about potential crime, especially unreported crime. CROWDSAFE is an application that implements crowdsourcing concept to gather crime data[12]. Crowdsourcing is an effective approach to facilitate collaboration between citizen and government.

### 2.2. Geo-fencing

Geo-fences are virtual perimeters that mark locations in the physical world [23]. Geo-fences are often used in Location Based System (LBS) to provide information and service according to users’ physical location as simulates in Figure 1. A current LBS application asks users to specify the location and selects a radius to activate the reminder delivery. A reminder is triggered when the user enters or exits the virtual fence [24]. In previous research, geo-fences have been commonly used to solve problem such as disaster mitigation. In disaster mitigation cases, Suyama et al [25] proposed disaster mitigation system using geo-fencing to monitor the users’ movement and also provide risk information. In other cases [26], geo-fence is embedded to web-based application to notify users about accident-prone areas. Also, to contribute the child safety cases, geo-fence and movement sensor were embedded to wearable devices. The device can be used to monitor and automatically using movement sensors, to send the risk in form of multimedia information such as video and sound [27]. Furthermore, in other cases, geofence is included in the need for air vehicle autonomy [28]. This is directly related to safety and efficiency issues. Regarding the safety and efficiency of aircraft operations, one needs to establish a planned and dynamic flight path. Therefore, the concept of geofence provides a way to ensure safe and efficient path.

| Hard Technology | Soft Technology |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Crime Prevention | - CCTV;         |
|                  | - street lighting |
|                  | - citizen protection devices(e.g. mace, tasers); |
|                  | - metal detectors; |
|                  | - ignition interlock systems(drunk drivers); |
|                  | - Threat assessment instruments; |
|                  | - risk assessment instruments; |
|                  | - bullying ID protocol; |
|                  | - sex offender registration; |
|                  | - risk assessment before involuntary civil commitment; |
|                  | - profiling potential offenders; |
|                  | - facial recognition software. |
| Police           | - Improved police protection; devices(helmets, vests, cars, buildings); |
|                  | - Improved/new weapons; |
|                  | - less than lethal force (mobile/riot control); |
|                  | - computers in squad cars – hands-free patrol car control (Project 54); |
|                  | - offender and citizen ID’s via biometrics/ fingerprints; |
|                  | - mobile data centers; |
|                  | - video in patrol cars; |
|                  | - crime mapping (hot spots); |
|                  | - crime analysis (e.g. COMPSTAT); |
|                  | - criminal history data systems enhancement ; |
|                  | - info sharing w/in FJS and private sector; |
|                  | - new technologies to monitor communications(phone, mail, internet) to/from targeted individuals; |
|                  | - amber alerts |
|                  | - creation of watch lists of potentially violent offenders; |
|                  | - gunshot location devices; |
Early implementation of geo-fencing involved cell tower identifiers to identify user whereabouts. By using data from mobile phone operators, they can send SMS marketing campaigns, track inventory and help in solving criminal cases. Nowadays, geo-fencing is implemented in mobile applications using modern technologies and techniques to monitor user whereabouts in a fenced area.

Three principles must be considered when implementing geo-fencing at the mobile application, namely the three Ps of mobile: permission, privacy, and preference [23]. If citizen download the application then it indicates that they are willing to engage in criminal preventing process. To make the geo-fence work, citizen need to give the system permission to use GPS. When citizen use the application, we need to respect their privacy by not tracking their movement when they are not in geo-fence area. In addition to that, we should use the application on the citizen’ terms. We cannot provide them with irrelevant information or misuse their data. When they feel that the application does not meet their preference, they can decide to stop their engagement with the application.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
3.1 System Development
In this paper, a mobile-based system is proposed to support police operations in preventing crime in a large city. As shown in figure 2, the system can be accessed by two user groups, police and citizen. Police use the system to manage and monitor the crime hotspot in their territory. Meanwhile, the citizen use the system to support information acquisition and dissemination. The system will acquire information about crime hotspots through citizen reports. Moreover, the system facilitates disseminating information about crime prevention, such as emergency numbers and nearest safe places, to citizen. Web service accommodates the services to police and citizen through internet.

Figure 1. Geofencing areas on virtual map

Figure 2. System Architecture
The model is applied through application development that incorporates geo-fencing technique. Figure 3 describes the process flow of the system. Citizen, who use the application for the first time, have to register their data to the system. The system requires users to upload their national identity cards to ensure that there is no misuse of the application by irresponsible users. During registration, the system will also provide information about what data is required from the users and how the data is used. By giving consent to the system, the user legally allows the system to access the required data, including their physical position. The registered citizen can log into the system to avoid unauthorized users and the system will monitoring users' whereabouts in the geo-fenced area. By knowing citizen' physical position, the system can show the crime hotspot area near them as shown in Figure 4.

Figure 3. Process Flow of Criminal Prevention System
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In the system, the police define the crime hotspots in their territory and save the predefined locations to database as seen in figure 4. The crime hotspots are not only determined by crime reports and history, but also by crowdsourcing data provided by citizen. The citizen can report the condition of their surroundings to the police. The system also enabled citizen to attach the evidence in the form of pictures, video, or voice recording. The picture, video, or voice recording must be capture directly using the application to ensure that the evidence represents the real situation to minimize the fake report.

Figure 4. Crime Hotspot Monitoring Page

The system will notify the citizen and provide basic information about crime prevention when they enter the crime hotspot. Figure 4 shows the implementation of geo-fencing technique to generate warning when the citizen entered a crime hotspot area. Furthermore, the citizen can inquire more information about the emergency numbers (as seen in Figure 5) and the nearest safe places in an emergency condition. The system monitors the citizen who entered their territory, especially in the crime hotspots. When the citizen report their surrounding area to the system, the nearest police station will be notified. Furthermore, the police will process the report and determine the right action regarding the report.

Figure 5. (a) Crime hotspot mapping and (b) notification alert
3.2. System Evaluation

In this phase, we will evaluate the implementation of geo-fencing technique in application for crime prevention and user acceptance toward system adoption. The evaluation of geo-fencing implementation is conducted by creating a virtual fence that represents a crime hotspot. A user, who represents the citizen, entered the predefined area to acquire information about the implementation of geo-fencing. In this experiment, testing is done using 15 devices where each device will enter the geofence area from 4 directions. Table 2 shows the result of the experiments to evaluate geo-fence implementation.

| Device Number | Western Boundary | Northern Boundary | Eastern Boundary | Southern Boundary |
|---------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|               | Status           | Time (s)          | Distance (m)     | Status            | Time (s)          | Distance (m)     |
| 1             | Detected         | 4.7               | 20               | Detected         | 4.2               | 19               | Detected        | 4.1               | 20               |
| 2             | Detected         | 4.3               | 18               | Detected         | 3.8               | 16               | Detected        | 3.8               | 15               | Detected        | 3.9               | 16               |
| 3             | Detected         | 3.8               | 18               | Detected         | 4.0               | 18               | Detected        | 4.1               | 18               | Detected        | 3.7               | 17               |
| 4             | Detected         | 3.7               | 16               | Detected         | 3.5               | 15               | Detected        | 3.6               | 16               | Detected        | 3.4               | 15               |
| 5             | Detected         | 3.8               | 17               | Detected         | 3.5               | 16               | Detected        | 3.7               | 16               | Detected        | 3.6               | 15               |
| 6             | Detected         | 3.5               | 15               | Detected         | 3.5               | 15               | Detected        | 3.6               | 16               | Detected        | 3.6               | 16               |
| 7             | Detected         | 3.7               | 16               | Detected         | 3.4               | 14               | Detected        | 3.4               | 15               | Detected        | 3.5               | 15               |
| 8             | Detected         | 3.6               | 15               | Detected         | 4.0               | 17               | Detected        | 3.7               | 16               | Detected        | 3.8               | 17               |
| 9             | Detected         | 4.2               | 19               | Detected         | 4.2               | 18               | Detected        | 3.8               | 18               | Detected        | 4.0               | 18               |
| 10            | Detected         | 3.8               | 16               | Detected         | 3.6               | 16               | Detected        | 3.6               | 17               | Detected        | 3.7               | 16               |
| 11            | Detected         | 4.0               | 17               | Detected         | 3.8               | 18               | Detected        | 3.6               | 17               | Detected        | 3.8               | 17               |
| 12            | Detected         | 4.2               | 17               | Detected         | 3.6               | 17               | Detected        | 3.6               | 16               | Detected        | 4.0               | 17               |
| 13            | Detected         | 3.4               | 14               | Detected         | 3.5               | 14               | Detected        | 3.5               | 15               | Detected        | 3.6               | 16               |
| 14            | Detected         | 4.3               | 19               | Detected         | 4.0               | 18               | Detected        | 4.0               | 18               | Detected        | 4.2               | 18               |
| 15            | Detected         | 4.1               | 18               | Detected         | 3.9               | 18               | Detected        | 3.8               | 17               | Detected        | 4.0               | 18               |

The result shows that system can detect devices when they entered a fenced area from different perimeters. User received the notification when they entered the crime hotspot area about 14 to 20 meters inside the fence with delay time around 3.4 to 4.7 seconds. The determining factors that affect the experiment results are GPS accuracy and internet connection. The GPS accuracy affects the system's ability to determine whether the citizen is in crime hotspot. Meanwhile, the internet connection will greatly affect the speed of data transmission performed by application. Based on the experiment result, the geofencing implementation was successful in monitoring users when they entering the crime hotspot area and providing information and warnings to prevent criminal acts against the user.

The user acceptance test adapted the user acceptance test (UAT) of Taherdoost[29]. The survey item can be seen in table 3. The survey is filled with a likert scale from 1-5. 1 means very disagree; 2 means disagree; 3; neutral; 4 means agree; 5 means very agree. In this survey, 40 participants were engaged. Firstly, they use the system for three days. After that, the survey was conducted.

| No | Factors          | Items                                                                 | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | Score (percentage) |
|----|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|-------------------|
| 1  | Interaction      | The system provides prompt response                                    | 83%| 15%| 2% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 2  |                   | Using the system would make it easier to interact                       | 62%| 35%| 3% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 3  |                   | My interaction with the system is clear and understandable             | 85%| 13%| 2% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 4  | Content          | The information provided by the system is accurate                     | 70%| 26%| 4% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 5  |                   | In general, the system provides me with high-quality information       | 57%| 39%| 4% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 6  |                   | The system provides me what I want or require                          | 76%| 23%| 1% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 7  |                   | The system provides me with the most recent information                | 81%| 16%| 3% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 8  |                   | The system is enjoyable                                                | 82%| 17%| 1% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 9  |                   | The system provides the information content which meets my needs       | 60%| 32%| 8% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 10 | Design           | Headings are phrased, descriptive, and understandable                 | 83%| 16%| 1% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 11 |                   | I am satisfied with design of the system                               | 63%| 34%| 3% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 12 |                   | The system is organized logically and by anticipated user need         | 67%| 29%| 4% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 13 |                   | I believe that system would be employed in my best interest           | 68%| 29%| 3% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 14 | User-friendliness| The system attractively displays information                           | 53%| 37%| 10%| 0% | 0% |                   |
| 15 |                   | Learning to use the system is easy                                    | 81%| 17%| 2% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 16 |                   | The system is easy to use                                             | 62%| 35%| 3% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 17 |                   | It is easy to get to do what I want it to do                           | 53%| 39%| 8% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 18 | Performance      | The system delivers service in the way I like                         | 60%| 37%| 3% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 19 |                   | It is fast to use                                                      | 61%| 38%| 1% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 20 |                   | I successfully use the system to perform my job                        | 67%| 31%| 2% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 21 |                   | It is not too costly to use the system                                 | 60%| 32%| 8% | 0% | 0% |                   |
| 22 |                   | It provides service in a timely fashion                               | 80%| 17%| 3% | 0% | 0% |                   |
It makes information very accessible 61% 38% 1% 0% 0%
It can be adapted to meet a variety of needs 62% 32% 6% 0% 0%
Usability I accomplish the task easier and quicker with the system 73% 23% 4% 0% 0%
Using the system improves the quality of the work I do 72% 22% 6% 0% 0%
Using the system is helpful and influential 81% 17% 2% 0% 0%
The advantages of system are very important for me 80% 18% 2% 0% 0%
Expectation The system will provide me flexibility to work 70% 21% 9% 0% 0%
The system will give the ability to do task at my place 78% 17% 5% 0% 0%
Excellent system will have modern looking-equipment 85% 13% 2% 0% 0%
Trust I feel assured that legal and technological structures adequately protect me from problems on the system 67% 28% 5% 0% 0%
The system can perform the promised service dependably and accurately 65% 28% 7% 0% 0%
It is robust and safe 76% 20% 4% 0% 0%
Security I believe that my confidential information is secure 60% 32% 8% 0% 0%
There is an appropriate procedure in system to prevent accidental loss of data 80% 13% 7% 0% 0%
When using the system, I am sure that certain managerial and technical procedures exist to protect my personal information 58% 38% 4% 0% 0%
In the system, if a certain transaction is performed, it never could be denied by party 68% 30% 2% 0% 0%
Training I have received the demo to use the system 50% 42% 8% 0% 0%
I would use the system if I received training about how to use it 55% 38% 7% 0% 0%
Support A specific person (or group) is available for assistance with system difficulties 57% 35% 8% 0% 0%
There is extensive support to help with problems related to system 60% 34% 6% 0% 0%
Quality Overall, the system works very well technically 56% 41% 3% 0% 0%
The overall quality of system appears to me as being good 63% 35% 2% 0% 0%
The quality of system is very good 68% 27% 5% 0% 0%
Satisfaction All things considered, I am very satisfied with the system 68% 28% 4% 0% 0%
I am satisfied with my previous using system experience 70% 22% 8% 0% 0%
Overall speaking, the effect of using the system makes me feel satisfied 67% 30% 3% 0% 0%
Intention to use I intend to use system in the next few months 80% 16% 4% 0% 0%
I predict I would use the system in the next few months 70% 23% 7% 0% 0%
I plan to use the system in the next few months 71% 23% 6% 0% 0%
Acceptance I would feel comfortable using system 80% 16% 4% 0% 0%
I have frequently used the system 60% 34% 6% 0% 0%
I would not hesitate to use system 77% 16% 7% 0% 0%
Overall Score 69% 27% 4% 0% 0%

The UAT consists of 14 factors, which are interaction, content, design, user-friendliness, performance, usability, expectation, trust, security, training, support, quality, satisfaction, intention to use, acceptance. From the UAT, we can see that 69% of correspondeces very agree that the system can be accepted and used. Meanwhile, 27% agree that the system is appropriate to use while 4 % are neutral.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a mobile-based system for crime prevention is developed. The mobile-based system aims to increase citizen knowledge and awareness of crime risk. The geo-fencing technique and crowdsourcing are implemented in the system. Geo-fencing technique is engaged in crime hotspots mapping and crime hotspots monitoring. Moreover, the crowdsourcing is employed to acquire potential crime hotspots information from citizen. The result shows that the system sent the notification when the citizen location was about 14 to 20 meters inside the fence. Using UAT, the system is well-accepted by users. Moreover, in the future, the system can engage more participants to use so that the new level of acceptance can be discovered.
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