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Abstract
We present a genome assembly from an individual female *Hypena proboscidalis* (the snout; Arthropoda; Insecta; Lepidoptera; Erebidae). The genome sequence is 637 megabases in span. The majority of the assembly is scaffolded into 31 chromosomal pseudomolecules, with the Z sex chromosome assembled.
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Species taxonomy
Eukaryota; Metazoa; Ecdysozoa; Arthropoda; Hexapoda; Insecta; Pterygota; Neoptera; Endopterygota; Lepidoptera; Glossata; Ditrysia; Noctuoidea; Erebidae; Hypeninae; Hypena; Hypena proboscidalis Linnaeus 1758 (NCBI:txid753189).

Introduction
Caterpillars of Hypena proboscidalis (the snout) are specialised herbivores of nettle plants; the common and binomial names reference the prominent labial palps of the adult. The genome of H. proboscidalis was sequenced as part of the Darwin Tree of Life Project, a collaborative effort to sequence all of the named eukaryotic species in the Atlantic Archipelago of Britain and Ireland. Here we present a chromosomally complete genome sequence for H. proboscidalis, based on one female specimen from Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire, UK.

Genome sequence report
The genome was sequenced from a single female H. proboscidalis collected from Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire, UK (latitude 51.772, longitude -1.338). A total of 23-fold coverage in Pacific Biosciences single-molecule long reads (N50 18 kb) and 55-fold coverage in 10X Genomics read clouds were generated. Primary assembly contigs were scaffolded with chromosome conformation Hi-C data. Manual assembly curation corrected 34 missing/misjoins and removed 14 haplotypic duplications, reducing the assembly length by 2.17% and the scaffold number by 28.57%, and increasing the scaffold N50 by 14.21%. The final assembly has a total length of 637 Mb in 56 sequence scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 22 Mb (Table 1). The majority, 98.3%, of assembly sequence was assigned to 31 chromosomal-level scaffolds, representing 30 autosomes (numbered by sequence length), and the Z sex chromosome (Figure 1–Figure 4; Table 2). The assumed sex chromosome karyotype is Z0. The assembly has a BUSCO v5.1.2 (Simão et al., 2015) completeness of 98.7% using the lepidoptera_odb10 reference set. While not fully phased, the assembly deposited is of one haplotype. Contigs corresponding to the second haplotype have also been deposited.

Methods
A female H. proboscidalis, ilHypProb1, and a second specimen of unknown sex, ilHypProb2, were collected from Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire, UK (latitude 51.772, longitude -1.338) by Douglas Boyes, University of Oxford using a light trap. The specimens were snap-frozen in dry ice using a Cool-Rack before transferring to the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI).

DNA was extracted from head and thorax tissue of ilHypProb1 by the Scientific Operations core at the WSI using the Qiagen MagAttract HMW DNA kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was extracted from ilHypProb2 in the Tree of Life Laboratory at the WSI using TRIzol (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was then eluted in 50 μl RNAse-free water and its concentration RNA assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and Qubit Fluorometer using the Qubit RNA Broad-Range (BR) Assay Kit. Analysis of the integrity of the RNA was done using Agilent RNA 6000 Pico Kit and Eukaryotic Total RNA assay.

Pacific Biosciences HiFi circular consensus and 10X Genomics read cloud DNA sequencing libraries, in addition to PolyA RNA-Seq libraries, were constructed according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Sequencing was performed by the Scientific Operations core at the WSI on Pacific Biosciences SEQUEL II (HiFi) Illumina HiSeq X (10X) and Illumina HiSeq 4000 (RNA-Seq) instruments. Hi-C data were

### Table 1. Genome data for Hypena proboscidalis, ilHypProb1.1.

| Project accession data |         |
|------------------------|---------|
| Assembly identifier    | ilHypProb1.1 |
| Species                | Hypena proboscidalis |
| Specimen               | ilHypProb1 |
| NCBI taxonomy ID       | NCBI:txid753189 |
| BioProject             | PRJEB42129 |
| BioSample ID           | SAMEA7520188 |
| Isolate information    | Female, head/abdomen/thorax |

| Raw data accessions    |         |
|------------------------|---------|
| PacificBiosciences SEQUEL II | ERR6406200 |
| 10X Genomics Illumina   | ERR6002650, ERR6002651, ERR6003040, ERR6003041 |
| Hi-C Illumina          | ERR6002652 |
| Illumina PolyA RNA-Seq | ERR6002653 |

| Genome assembly data  |         |
|----------------------|---------|
| Assembly accession   | GCA_905147285.1 |
| Accession of alternate haplotype | GCA_905147305.1 |
| Span (Mb)             | 637 |
| Number of contigs     | 86 |
| Contig N50 length (Mb)| 21 |
| Number of scaffolds   | 56 |
| Scaffold N50 length (Mb)| 22 |
| Longest scaffold (Mb) | 26 |
| BUSCO* genome score   | C:98.7%[S:98.0%,D:0.8%],F:0.3%,M:1.0%,n:5286 |

*BUSCO scores based on the lepidoptera_odb10 BUSCO set using v5.1.2. C= complete [S= single copy, D=duplicated], F=fragmented, M=missing, n=number of orthologues in comparison. A full set of BUSCO scores is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilHypProb1.1/dataset/CAJHVD01/busco.
generated from abdomen tissue using the Qiagen EpiTect Hi-C kit and sequenced on HiSeq X.

Assembly was carried out with Hifiasm (Cheng et al., 2021); haplotypic duplication was identified and removed with purge_dups (Guan et al., 2020). The assembly was polished with the 10X Genomics Illumina data by aligning to the assembly with longranger align, calling variants with freebayes (Garrison & Marth, 2012). One round of the Illumina polishing was applied. Scaffolding with Hi-C data (Rao et al., 2014) was carried
out with SALSA2 (Ghurye et al., 2019). The assembly was checked for contamination and corrected using the gEVAL system (Chow et al., 2016) as described previously (Howe et al., 2021). Manual curation was performed using gEVAL, HiGlass (Kerpedjiev et al., 2018) and Pretext. The mitochondrial genome was assembled using MitoHiFi (Uliano-Silva et al., 2021). The genome was analysed and BUSCO scores generated within the BlobToolKit environment (Challis et al., 2020). Table 3 contains a list of all software tool versions used, where appropriate.

**Figure 2.** Genome assembly of *Hypena proboscidalis*, ilHypProb1.1: GC coverage. BlobToolKit GC-coverage plot. Scaffolds are coloured by phylum. Circles are sized in proportion to scaffold length. Histograms show the distribution of scaffold length sum along each axis. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilHypProb1.1/dataset/CAJHVD01/blob.
Figure 3. Genome assembly of Hypena proboscisalis, ilHypProb1.1: cumulative sequence. BlobToolKit cumulative sequence plot. The grey line shows cumulative length for all chromosomes. Coloured lines show cumulative lengths of chromosomes assigned to each phylum using the buscogenes taxrule. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilHypProb1.1/dataset/CAJHVD01/cumulative.

The materials that have contributed to this genome note have been supplied by a Darwin Tree of Life Partner. The submission of materials by a Darwin Tree of Life Partner is subject to the Darwin Tree of Life Project Sampling Code of Practice. By agreeing with and signing up to the Sampling Code of Practice, the Darwin Tree of Life Partner agrees they will meet the legal and ethical requirements and standards set out within this document in respect of all samples acquired for, and
Table 2. Chromosomal pseudomolecules in the genome assembly of *Hypena proboscidalis*, ilHypProb1.1.

| INSDC accession | Chromosome | Size (Mb) | GC% |
|-----------------|------------|-----------|-----|
| LR990127.1      | 1          | 25.59     | 35.1|
| LR990128.1      | 2          | 25.33     | 35.2|
| LR990129.1      | 3          | 24.21     | 34.7|
| LR990130.1      | 4          | 24.04     | 35.5|
| LR990131.1      | 5          | 23.26     | 34.8|
| LR990132.1      | 6          | 23.15     | 34.8|
| LR990133.1      | 7          | 23.08     | 34.9|
| LR990134.1      | 8          | 22.66     | 34.8|
| LR990135.1      | 9          | 22.65     | 35  |
| LR990136.1      | 10         | 22.64     | 35.3|
| LR990137.1      | 11         | 22.30     | 34.7|
| LR990138.1      | 12         | 22.17     | 34.8|
| LR990139.1      | 13         | 21.97     | 35  |
| LR990140.1      | 14         | 21.88     | 34.8|
| LR990141.1      | 15         | 21.39     | 35.5|

Figure 4. Genome assembly of *Hypena proboscidalis*, ilHypProb1.1: Hi-C contact map. Hi-C contact map of the ilHypProb1.1 assembly, visualised in HiGlass.
Table 3. Software tools used.

| Software tool      | Version | Source                                      |
|--------------------|---------|---------------------------------------------|
| Hifiasm            | 0.12    | Cheng et al., 2021                         |
| purge_dups         | 1.2.3   | Guan et al., 2020                         |
| SALSA2             | 2.2     | Ghurye et al., 2019                      |
| longranger align   | 2.2.2   | https://support.10xgenomics.com/genome-exome/ software/pipelines/latest/advanced/other-pipelines |
| freebayes          | 1.3.1-17-gaa2ace8 | Garrison & Marth, 2012          |
| MitoHiFi           | 1.0     | Uliano-Silva et al., 2021                 |
| gEVAL              | N/A     | Chow et al., 2016                         |
| HiGlass            | 1.11.6  | Kerpedjiev et al., 2018                   |
| PretextureView     | 0.1.x   | https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/PretextView |
| BlobToolKit        | 2.6.2   | Challis et al., 2020                      |

Data availability

European Nucleotide Archive: Hypena proboscidalis (the snout). Accession number PRJEB42129: https://identifiers.org/ena.embl:PRJEB42129.

The genome sequence is released openly for reuse. The *H. proboscidalis* genome sequencing initiative is part of the Darwin Tree of Life (DToL) project. All raw sequence data and the assembly have been deposited in INSDC databases. The genome will be annotated using the RNA-Seq data and presented through the Ensembl pipeline at the European Bioinformatics Institute. Raw data and assembly accession identifiers are reported in Table 1.
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Review report

I appreciate the authors for assembling the whole genome sequence of Snout *Hyrena proboscidalis*. The appropriate software, genome assembling process, and whole genome sequencing technologies were used.

The few comments on the manuscripts.

- Total sequence lengths (636,786,269 bp) were not mentioned in the text.
- Non-nuclear genome size and Scaffold count not mentioned in the text.
- How many nuclear genes were established in the assembling of the entire genome? The text makes no mention of the nuclear gene numbers.
- The maximum and minimum contig length was not indicated. Total contigs length was not indicated (18,834,925 bp).
- Gene recovery statistics can be given in the text.
- Overall, the manuscript is written well and it can be accepted for indexing.
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The Methods section outlines all of the software used and version numbers are provided in Table 3. But there is no detail of the actual commands used, so the study cannot be replicated. Provision of the bioinformatic coding in Supplementary material would address this.

The figures are attractive to look at, but the legends are very brief and therefore the figures are not easy to interpret. For example, in Fig 4 the axes are not labelled; in Fig 1, the relevant percentages or other values seem to all be stated in the associated keys, so, though the figure looks great, it's not clear what it's adding. There is also no description of what the figures mean in the text.
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