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Abstract
Objective To evaluate the biomechanical performances of the sacroiliac screw fixation of the first sacral vertebra with different lengths of screws using the Finite-Element Method.
Methods First, pelvic CT images were generated from a healthy volunteer, and multislice sagittal views were produced to determine the axis for the first sacral vertebra geometric osseous fixation pathway (GOFP). Subsequently, according to the geometric size and mechanical parameters of the iliosacral screw, the screw models with the same diameter of 7.3 mm and different lengths of 80 mm, 90 mm, 100 mm, 110 mm, 120 mm, 130 mm and 140 mm were built. Then the seven screws were assembled with the pelvic model. The maximum von Mises stress and the shape variables were evaluated for the pelvis and the screws.
Results Results are shown for the pelvic and GOFP screw, respectively. The simulation results show that the maximum von Mises stress in the cortex of the pelvic ring of the pelvis with the 130-mm length screw is the lowest among the pelvic models with different screws. Moreover, the peak displacement of the pelvis with the 130-mm length screw is the smallest. These results indicate that under the standing condition, a 130-mm length screw can decrease the stress concentration and result in a more effective transfer of stress within the reconstructed pelvis. In addition, the displacement of the screw with a 130-mm length is the smallest among all the considered screws. The peak von Mises stresses in the 130-mm length screw and the cortex are still within a low and elastic range below the yielding strengths of the materials.
Conclusion Through the finite element analysis, the GOFP can be used as a safe and effective way for iliosacral screw fixation. The optimal length of the screw may be 130 mm length.
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Introduction

Percutaneous iliosacral (IS) screw fixation is a common surgical intervention method for longitudinal sacral fractures that is used to stabilize pelvic and acetabular fractures. However, due to the complicated structure in the pelvis and the deep location, this treatment requires high accuracy in screw implantation [1–3]. S1 screw fixations are most commonly used during surgery, by two means of fixation. First is oblique fixed, i.e., along the direction of S1 pedicle; second, strictly in accordance with the S1 fixed inlet and outlet diameter, which takes place in a small space. This requires substantial experience and detailed anatomical knowledge to find the proper entry point and trajectory even with the use of a navigation system [4]. Gardner’s study found that 4 degree deviation in iliosacral screw placement can result in entering of S1 vertebral foramen, or piercing the front cortex of the sacrum. The piercing screws can cause potentially vessels and sacral nerve injury, up to 2–15% of vascular, lumbosacral trunk, and cauda equina injury during surgery. The iliosacral overall screw placement position error rate was 2.05–13% [5–7]. Bishop and Routt were the first authors to conceptualize the opportunities for fixation about the pelvis...
and acetabulum as pelvic osseous fixation pathways (OFPs) [8]. These geometrically complex “bone tubes” are simply corticated bony cylinders of different dimensions and orientations that can accommodate intraosseous implants. Commonly, screws are used to fill the available OFP and thereby stabilize pelvic and acetabular fractures either percutaneously or after a formal open approach. The screw numbers, locations, diameters, and lengths selected are dependent on the individual patient’s osteology, assuming that an accurate fracture reduction has been achieved. Recently, there have been numerous studies investigating the method of fixing percutaneous IS screws in the first sacral segment (S1) for sacral fractures. However, most of these methods require the assistance of an intra-operative navigation system. Without these instruments, it is difficult to fix the percutaneous IS screw freehand under fluoroscopy given the lack of a direct view and limited tactile control. These obstacles also impact the precision of any intervention. Moreover, anatomical variations of the pelvis increase the risk of misinterpretation and error [9–11]. The situation inevitably exposes surgeons and patients to excessive levels of ionizing radiation [12, 13]. If the optimal OFP for the percutaneous IS screw could be planned and defined prior to surgery, the operation would be both simplified and safer.

In this study, we demonstrated a computer-aided method for determining an optimal OFP for percutaneous IS screw fixation. The biomechanical performances of the sacroiliac screw fixation of the first sacral vertebra with different lengths of screws were evaluated using the Finite-Element Method (FEM).

**Ethics Statement**

Ethical approval was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee, The Affiliated Hospital of Inner Mongolia Medical University, Hohhot, China.

**Materials and Methods**

A healthy male adult volunteer (age: 30 years, height: 175 cm, weight: 70 kg) was enrolled in this study. No tumors and severe bony deformities were diagnosed prior to the study. The pelvis was scanned using a spiral CT scanner (Light Speed 64; GE, Boston, MA, USA) at 120 kV with a slice thickness of 0.625 mm and a matrix of 512×512 pixels. The generated images were converted into DICOM format and were further processed using medical imaging software (Mimics Innovation Suite 15.0; Materialise, Leuven, Belgium) to obtain the STL format files for the 3D reconstruction of the pelvises. Visualization software (Imageware 12.0; EDS, Plano, TX, USA) was subsequently used to produce multi-slice sagittal views (thickness of 1.0 mm) of the 3D reconstruction images. First, we defined the geometric boundary of the safe zone on each sagittal view for the first sacral vertebra. Thus, the inscribed ellipse of the boundary was obtained from each view. Subsequently, the X, Y, and Z coordinates of the center in each inscribed ellipse (i.e., the intersection of the major and minor axes) were calculated. Finally, the least-squares methods [14] were used to fit the optimal axis that pass through the centers of the inscribed ellipses (a statistical procedure to find the best fit for a set of data points by minimizing the sum of the offsets or residuals of points from the line). This axis was defined as the optimal and safe pathway for the IS screw (i.e., the geometric osseous fixation pathway, GOFP). According to the geometric size and mechanical parameters of the iliosacral screw, seven screw models with the same diameter of 7.3 mm and different lengths of 80 mm, 90 mm, 100 mm, 110 mm, 120 mm, 130 mm, and 140 mm were built using the Solidworks 2012 software (Dassauh Systemes; France). Screws models were, respectively, assembled with the pelvic model according to the determined GOFP, which are illustrated in Fig. 1.

To perform the simulations, we defined the properties of the materials [15], such as the Elastic Modulus, and Poisson’s ratio of all parts of the digital models (Table 1). The entire pelvis FEA model was node-based smoothed finite-element method [16]. In total, the pelvic model contained 735,512 elements and 270,363 nodes. Cortical bone, cancellous bone, and internal fixation materials are solid32 units.

After performing the mesh control of each part, to ensure the perfect contact between the different structures, application regions of the loads onto the Z, X, and Y axes were set along of sagittal, coronal, and axial planes, respectively [17]. A 500 N load was applied to the region in the Z-axis direction [15, 18], and no loading was applied onto the X and Y axes. The freedom degrees on the articular surface of bilateral sacroiliac joints are constrained. The FEM analysis was performed in the ANSYS12.0 software (ANSYS, USA), the maximum von Mises stress and shape variables of the pelvis and the screws were evaluated. The mesh convergence was performed on a simplified FE model of a V-threaded screw with surrounding cancellous and cortical bone [19]. The screw–bone interface was modeled by a hard contact pair using surface-to-surface contact elements in combination with the penalty algorithm with a normal contact stiffness of 500 N/mm and a friction coefficient of zero.

**Results**

Among them, the screw displacement of 120 mm length was the largest, and the screw displacement of 130-mm length was the smallest, while the displacement increased when the length of the screw increased to 140 mm. The von Mises stress distribution in the pelvis showed that the maximum
stress in the cortex of the pelvic ring with the screw length of 110 mm was the highest among all pelvic models. When the screw length was 130 mm, the stress decreased to a low level, and the stress increased when the screw length is 140 mm. The results indicate that the cortex of the pelvis with the screws of 110 mm and 120 mm length was prone to stress concentration and fracture, while the 130-mm length screw can reduce the stress concentration in the cortex and result in effective transferring of stress within the pelvis (Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5; Tables 2, 3, 4, 5).

### Table 1: Material properties

| Component      | Material    | Young’s modulus | Poisson ratio |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Cortical bone  | Cortical bone | 1.5E + 10      | 0.3           |
| Cancellous     | Cancellous  | 5.0E + 8        | 0.2           |
| Bone screw     | Ti6Al4V     | 1.10E + 11      | 0.3           |

**Discussion**

For accurate IS screw placement, more studies were done on the structure and boundary of bony in the safety area, especially the analysis of projection or image based on CT [11, 20, 21]. Mendel et al. [17] reconstructed 3D pelvic CT of 85 adult patients. They extracted the triangle projection sacral S1 lateral edge, sacral promontory, and the leading edge of the iliosacral joint is mapped via the sacral auricular surface maximum set nail “safe passage”. The conclusion was the lateral sacral triangle in the lateral view which represents a simple and accurate preoperative method of support for the surgeon’s decision to undertake this procedure. No additional technical effort is necessary. Furthermore, Mendel and Radetzki [22] carried out to confirm the three-dimensional visualization of CT data and estimates based on bilateral IS screw placement average volume of space and screws into the bone pathway distribution points in the iliac surface area, to determine the three-dimensional image pathway optimization. The secure corridor for the IS screw is double cone-shaped, which has previously been described as a ‘‘vestibule’’ or ‘‘bottleneck’’. The optimal screw pathway
with the greatest safety distance was 14.2 mm; the mean corridor length was 14.9 cm. However, these studies stayed in anatomical measurement, yet did not apply the results to the biomechanical analysis.

Cruz’s research shows that there was a significant size difference in the analyzed sacral vertebra, differing on a wider size in men than in women. However, there was no significant statistical difference between vertebral size and age. Age does not influence the width of the surgical corridor. The surgeon has a safe corridor considered narrower when inserting screws in a female pelvis than when in a male one [23].

To ensure the safety of the premise of the iliac body, it is recommended to use a long-threaded screw. Sagi [24] compared the sacrum and iliac wing body extraction forces of three threaded cancellous bone screws with various lengths after iliosacral screw fixation. They found that the long-threaded screw in the sacral body requires ten times extraction forces than the short thread in the sacral wing. The S1 pedicle screw fixation of the iliosacral joint must choose different screw length and path considering the variation in patient species, age, sex, and other factors.

The screw path was defined as McLaren’s methods [17]. The path extended from the outer table of one posterior ilium, across the near SI joint, through the S1 sacral segment, across the contralateral SI joint, and out the outer table of the opposite ilium. The diameter of the path was increased until it contacted and breached the thickness of the cortex in at least three locations. The results of our study show that under a given loading force of pelvic by stress and pelvic deformation increase gradually. The screw displacement of 120 mm length was the largest, and the screw...
Fig. 3. The displacement of pelvic with different lengths of iliosacral screw fixation.
Fig. 4 The Von Mises stress distribution on different lengths of iliosacral screw

Fig. 5 Displacement of different lengths of iliosacral screw
displacement of 130-mm length was the smallest. The displacement increased again with the screw length of 140 mm. When the screw length was 130-mm length, the stress in the cortex of the pelvic ring decreased to a low level. Compared with Chen’s results [25], it is similar, so this finite-element model is effective.

Whether the optimal anatomical pathway obtained by our algorithm is also the optimal biomechanical solution is still not fully understood and should be verified in the subsequent studies. Furthermore, the optimal pathway provides a maximum safe range, rather than the surgery screw pathways. As long as the screw stayed within the pathways, its safety and reliability should be guaranteed.

Fradet’s study had shown that sacroiliac screws provided forces to failure 2.75 times higher than sacral fixation screws [26]. On the contrary, the initial stiffness was approximately half as much for sacroiliac screws. High stresses were located at screw tips for the sacral trajectories and near the cortical bone screw entry points for the sacroiliac trajectory. Overall, the diameter and length of the screws had significant effects on the screw fixation. However, this experiment was not carried out in our study. Although some scholars believe that sacroiliac joint stress and angular motion increases as ligament stiffness decreases. Periarticular intraligamentous strains vary depending on the magnitude and direction of the applied loads. Maximum ligamentous strains occur at the interosseous sacroiliac ligament [27], but Lee’s tests showed that the maximum pelvic stress in the finite-element models with muscles and ligaments was similar to that in the finite-element models without muscles and ligaments [28]. This pelvis without the muscles and ligaments has been proven to have similar mechanical properties to the human pelvis by other researchers [29, 30]. Therefore, the muscles and ligaments were not considered in this study, that is our limitation.

The lower bone density (vs. normal bone density) led to a decrease of the IS (20–35%) and force to failure (5–20%) values, which is consistent with published experimental results showing decrease of IS by 40% and force to failure by 30% for a 30% density reduction [31, 32]. By extending the control model to include the pelvis using a similar computational approach, the biomechanical simulation results are thus assumed to be generally relevant.

### Limitations

Some limitations should be considered when evaluating the simulation results. In this study, a pelvic model based on a healthy volunteer was used to build the finite-element model for evaluating the mechanical performance of iliosacral screws in the fixation of the first sacral vertebra. The effect of osteoporosis on the evaluation will be considered in future

| Pelvis type | 80 mm | 90 mm | 100 mm | 110 mm | 120 mm | 130 mm | 140 mm |
|-------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Peak stress (MPa) | 13.73 | 13.59 | 14.76 | 21.01 | 20.20 | 15.93 | 16.47 |
| Position of peak stress | On the left sacroiliac articular surface of sacrum, superior rim of the screw hole | On the left sacroiliac articular surface of sacrum, superior rim of the screw hole | On the left sacroiliac articular surface of sacrum, inferior rim of the screw hole | On the left sacroiliac articular surface of sacrum, inferior rim of the screw hole | On the left sacroiliac articular surface of sacrum, posterior rim of the screw hole | On the left sacroiliac articular surface of sacrum, superior and inferior rim of the screw hole | On the left sacroiliac articular surface of sacrum, posterior rim of the screw hole |
work. Also, to make the simulation results more convincing, the grid convergence analysis, and axial pullout and flexion/extension toggle forces on the screws representing intra and post-operative loads for complete biomechanical analysis should be calculated, these studies will be carried out in the next work.
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