SPACEA: A Custom-Made GIS Toolbox for Basic Marine Spatial Planning Analyses
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Abstract. Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) requires the analysis of the spatial distribution of marine uses and environmental conditions. Such analyses can be carried out with GIS, but standard GIS programs do not feature a toolbox that combines the most needed functionalities for such analyses. The SPACEA toolbox presented here was created to bundle and adapt existing functionalities in one toolbox. SPACEA consists of several script tools that have been designed to be user-friendly and applicable to different analyses for MSP. This includes the processing of different input layers with regard to marine uses and environmental conditions. The main functionalities of SPACEA are exemplified in a fictional case study in the Baltic Sea, where the tools are applied to find potentially suitable areas for mussel farming. The tools feature a user-friendly interface and more experienced users may also use the provided sample codes to run it from the python window or as a stand-alone script. As such, the tools can be applied by users with different levels of GIS knowledge and experience.
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1 Introduction

Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) is a public process to analyze and allocate the distribution of marine uses over space and time with the aim of achieving economic, social and ecological objectives [1]. MSP was originally developed as a nature conservation measure in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park in the 1970/80s, which established different zones for marine uses, resource exploitation, and nature conservation to avoid both user-user and user-environment conflicts [2]. Nowadays, MPS is understood as an iterating process, which includes different steps, such as pre-planning, organization of stakeholder involvement, defining and analyzing existing as well as future conditions, drafting and approving the spatial plan, and implementation, evaluation, and adaptation [1]. In Europe, the establishment of maritime spatial plans until 2021 is a legal requirement for all Member States adjacent to the sea [3].

Spatial data analyses for MSP include the mapping of existing marine uses as well as the physical, chemical and biological features in a planning area. The analysis of
future conditions includes the prediction of changes in the marine environment and the
trends for marine uses [4]. Both traditional and emerging marine uses are expected to
increase in the future due to a shift to blue growth and blue economy [5]. Such
developments in the seas and oceans will increase the pressure on marine ecosystems
and thus require careful planning to ensure sustainable development. This includes the
selection of appropriate sites for different marine uses. Site selection for marine uses
should take into account the spatial distribution of other uses, environmental conditions
on which the use may depend, and sensitive or threatened habitats. In an MSP process,
it can be decided that such vulnerable areas should be protected and that all activities
are excluded from those areas.

The spatial analyses for MSP can be accomplished by GIS software, such as
ArcGIS or QGIS. This can support the decision-making process for choosing appro-
priate sites for aquaculture, for example [6]. GIS analyses for site selection can fur-
thermore be combined with Multi-Criteria Evaluation methods, sustainability indices,
the use of parameter-specific suitability functions or modelling approaches [7–10]. The
underlying methods in these studies are well described and the analyses can be
implemented with the tools offered in standard GIS software. However, so far and to
our knowledge, there is no toolbox specifically designed for MSP analyses for use in
standard GIS software.

The aim of the current research was, therefore, to develop a toolbox that simplifies
essential GIS analyses for MSP and bundles them in one toolbox with user-friendly
documentation. In the next chapter, it is described how the tools were developed and
which analyses they can perform. Subsequently, an example of the functionalities of
the toolbox is provided. The paper discusses the application of the toolbox and further
research needed.

2 Implementation

The “suitable space in the sea (SPACEA)” toolbox consists of five tools and the overall
structure of the toolbox is presented in Fig. 1. The main output is a raster that shows the
potential sites, where a maritime activity could be placed from an environmental and
spatial perspective.

2.1 System Design

The starting point for the development of the SPACEA toolbox was existing func-
tionalities in ArcGIS that have been used previously for the identification of suitable
aquaculture sites [11]. These functionalities have been bundled and adapted to create a
user-friendly toolbox targeted at spatial analyses for MSP (going beyond aquaculture
site selection). SPACEA is based on custom-made script tools using ArcPy, a Python
site package for ArcGIS.

The development of SPACEA is focused on two major aspects: User-friendliness
and flexibility in the sense of multi-purpose use.
User-Friendliness. The user-friendliness was achieved through three different aspects. The tools are designed such that only a minimum input by the user is required. For most of the tools, the users only have to locate the input files and the output folder. Additional entries, such as a threshold value or cell size, are kept to the minimum. The output file names, for example, are created automatically by the tools and consist of the original file names with tool-specific naming attributes.

The naming conventions are clearly documented in the tool window. Transparency and clear documentation is another important aspect of user-friendly tools. The tools and their functioning are described in the tool window and in the item description, which also contains sample codes. The underlying Python scripts are furthermore annotated to disclose the purpose of each function (Fig. 2).

The tools can be accessed in three ways for different kinds of users. The least experienced user can use the tool window, which resembles the interface of standard ArcGIS tools. More advanced users may use the provided sample codes to run the tools in immediate mode (in the ArcGIS Python window) or access and modify the python scripts as they see fit.

Flexibility. Flexibility is achieved at the level of the toolbox and individual tools. SPACEA is a modular toolbox, i.e., the tools can be used independently from one another and for different purposes (Table 1). For suitability analyses, the tools build upon each other (Fig. 1), alternatively the tools can also be used as stand-alone tools. At the level of individual tools, the focus was on the combination of several functionalities within one tool. The SPACEA tools can process multiple input layers at the same time. The tool BufferMarineUses can assign individual buffer zones to multiple input layers and also the EnvironmentalThresholds tool matches individual threshold
values to multiple layers. This is accomplished by using the MultiValue parameter option in the script tool properties and the zip() function in Python. At the same time, the tools can assign one buffer or threshold value to multiple layers or one input layer with multiple values. This is also the case for the SuitabilityAnalysis tool, which can be used to combine only environmental input raster into one output suitability raster or both marine use and environmental input raster into one overall suitability raster.

### 2.2 Structure and Application

SPACEA features two tools each to process data on marine uses and the marine environment (Table 1). The fifth tool (SuitabilityAnalysis) combines both types of data and requires raster layers with values of “zero” and “one” as input. Both the
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**Fig. 2.** The user-friendly tool interface on the upper left hand side with an excerpt of the item description showing the code samples on the right. At the bottom, an excerpt of the python script with annotation for the tool “Suitability Analysis” is shown.
RasterCreation tool and the SuitabilityFunction tool can be used to prepare such input raster layers (Fig. 1).

The underlying principle is the analysis of different input data with respect to their suitability on a scale between “zero” and “one”. It is assumed that some of the data need pre-processing, such as creating security zones or threshold values in case of environmental data. Some of the marine uses and infrastructures do exclude other uses from their immediate location and from adjacent areas. Wind parks, for example, have a security zone of 500 m, which precludes any other activities [12]. In other planning areas, oxygen conditions may be close to hypoxia, and a goal of MSP could be to exclude any activities, which aggravate the situation, from these areas.

The analysis is based on a study area extent (the planning area), which combines all marine uses, and provides output maps indicating the available space. The output is in raster format, where values of “zero” indicate the area of marine uses and security zones (not suitable for other uses) and values of “one” show areas suitable from a spatial perspective. The tools for the environmental input data follow the same principle and provide output raster with values of “zero” and “one” (not suitable/suitable, tool: EnvironmentalThresholds) or between “zero” and “one” (increasingly suitable, tool: SuitabilityFunction). The SuitabilityFunction tool assumes increasing linear suitability, which may require additional pre-processing of the input data by using the ArcGIS Reclassify tool (Fig. 1).

The SuitabilityAnalysis tool combines all raster data, applying raster calculation and using the geometric mean, which results in three output raster indicating spatial availability, environmental suitability, and overall suitability.
3 Example

The SPACEA toolbox is based on generalized functionalities so that it can be applied to various geographical areas, multiple scales, and for different purposes. Here, we show an application in the Baltic Sea. Publicly available data for the Baltic Sea can be obtained from the HELCOM Map and Data Service.

To demonstrate the functionalities of SPACEA, we use a fictional case of site selection for mussel farming, taking into account several selection criteria. These include wind parks, cables, and Nature 2000 areas as constraining factors and salinity and water depth as enabling factors. The required tools and inputs are illustrated in Fig. 3. The first step is to determine if the marine uses need a security zone. This is the case for wind parks and cables according to national regulations (e.g., [12]). Nature 2000 areas may not be a direct marine use as such but it is a man-made boundary “drawn” on the sea. Here, it is treated as a constraining factor, without an additional security zone. Nature 2000 areas do not necessarily exclude aquaculture activities [13], but here we take a conservative approach.

The BufferMarineUses tool is used to create buffers around wind parks and cables simultaneously and subsequently, the RasterCreation tool is applied to turn all three input layers of marine uses into raster layers with values of “zero” and “one”. The first questions that should be answered for the environmental parameters is whether one threshold value can be applied (Fig. 1). Such a case could occur when the objective is to mark all areas with oxygen deficiency as unsuitable.

![Diagram of the step-wise process that was applied to the fictional case study on Baltic-wide site selection for mussel farming.](image)

**Fig. 3.** The step-wise process that was applied to the fictional case study on Baltic-wide site selection for mussel farming.
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1 The Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission, also known as Helsinki Commission (HELCOM).
Fig. 4. The SuitabilityAnalysis tool produces three outputs: a map of environmental suitability (top), spatial availability (middle), and overall suitability (bottom). Excerpt from the southwestern Baltic Sea.
In the case of salinity and water depth, however, continuous suitability is more appropriate. The salinity data from HELCOM is already classified with six classes, ranging from very low salinities (<5 PSU, class 1) to high salinities (>30 PSU, class 6). Blue mussels show a growth response to salinity, where low salinities result in decreased size and speed of growth. In the Baltic Sea, mussel farming is not feasible in waters with salinity below 5 PSU [14]. Therefore, a continuous suitability curve can be assumed (from class 1 to 6), where class 1 is assigned with “zero”, class 6 obtains the highest score, and the classes in-between show increasing suitability. In case of water depth, the same tool is used to restrict the suitability curve between −5 m depth and −40 m depth, with increasing suitability the shallower the water becomes. Mussel farms are typically located in the upper water column and increasing water depth makes the anchoring of the farm more difficult. Applying the suitability analysis tool combines the input layers and results in three different output maps concerning spatial availability (output layer: marine uses), environmental suitability (output layer: environment), and overall suitability (output layer: suitability) (Fig. 4).

The three outputs enable a quick visual analysis which factors (environmental or marine use) contribute the most to the overall suitability or non-suitability. The step-wise approach, furthermore, allows to retrace also individual contributions from the factors and changes can be made at each step. For example, it could be investigated how the extent of the suitable area changes if security zones around wind parks substantially increase or projections of salinity changes are included.

4 Discussion

The example shows that SPACEA can be used to provide a quick analysis and overview of spatial availability and environmental suitability in a planning area. The HELCOM data is suitable to illustrate the functionalities and main outcomes of the tools. The data used in the example are already pre-processed and feature, e.g., an appropriate coordinate reference system and can thus be directly used as input to the tools. The HELCOM data come, however, in a relatively low resolution, which is suitable for Baltic-wide assessments, but generally too low for detailed regional or local analyses. In current planning scenarios, the planning area would be at national, regional, or even local scale. A thorough site selection analysis furthermore takes into account additional criteria; the criteria in the example above were chosen to illustrate the main functionalities of SPACEA but do not constitute a complete list of criteria for aquaculture site selection. Since the SPACEA tools do not require a certain quality or quantity of input data, it is possible to carry out the analyses even in data-scarce regions or with low-resolution data. However, care needs to be taken when analyzing these data as the results of the analysis are only as good as the quality of the input data.

The tools offer a 2-dimensional analysis of marine uses and environmental conditions. The marine environment, however, is a 3-dimensional space, and marine uses can exist at the sea bottom, in the water column, on the sea surface, and can also extend above the sea surface [15]. A 2-dimensional overlap of marine uses, therefore, does not necessarily result in conflicts, and co-location and synergies are possible [16]. The SPACEA tools do not take this into account directly but it is possible to include
such considerations. As mentioned above, Natura 2000 areas and mussel farms are not necessarily mutually exclusive, i.e., the Natura 2000 areas could be removed from the analysis. Also, cables may not be restrictive for certain marine activities taking place at the surface, in which case they could also be excluded from the raster overlay. A fourth dimension in the marine environment is the temporal variability. The environment undergoes seasonal and annual variations and marine uses may change, too (e.g., ferry routes that are only frequented in the summer months) [15, 17]. With the tools provided in SPACEA, such variations can be taken into account by running scenarios with input data from different seasons, for example. It is also possible to make assumptions about future conditions and consider these in the analyses.

When all tools are applied, the final outcome is a raster showing which areas might be suitable for a specific marine use. The SuitabilityAnalysis tool is based on raster data and uses raster calculation to combine the input data. The input raster are treated as equally important, there is thus an equal weighing of the criteria. In Multi-Criteria Analyses (MCA), it is common to apply priority weights to the criteria, e.g., through pairwise comparison of the criteria by experts or stakeholders using the Analytical Hierarchy Process [9, 18]. This has not yet been implemented in SPACEA and presents an area for future improvement.

5 Conclusion

There is an increasing demand for the seas’ resources and space due to a focus on blue growth and blue economy. Inevitably, this will lead to conflicts between different users and between users and the environment. MSP is a process that aims at allocating space sustainably, avoiding conflicts and creating synergies. During the process, data related to the marine environment needs to be analyzed. Both on a spatial level – where are existing and planned marine uses located? – and from an environmental perspective. The environmental perspective can include the analysis of environmental data with regard to enabling conditions for a marine use, or with regard to critical conditions that should restrict uses from an area, e.g. when that area is in a bad environmental status. Such data analysis can be carried out with SPACEA, a custom-made GIS toolbox, which is presented in this paper.

SPACEA offers a user-friendly interface, which only requires to locate the input files and a few additional parameters for the least experienced user. MSP planers are not necessarily GIS experts and therefore it is important that such tools are easy to use and well-described. Depending on prevailing planning practices, however, GIS experts may be tasked with data analyses as input to the MSP process. In such a case, the more advanced users may use the provided sample codes to run the tools in immediate mode or modify the python scripts to adapt them to their needs.

SPACEA includes several tools that can process both data related to marine uses and environmental data in a fast way through multi-value input options. The tools build upon each other if the purpose is a suitability analysis but they can also be used as stand-alone tools. This flexibility allows the user to explore data on marine uses and environmental conditions in more detail and to retrace individual contribution to the overall suitability. It facilitates scenario building, which is an important part of
MSP. The tools may be used to explore the effects on spatial availability when security zones around uses increase, or on environmental suitability, e.g. when shifts in temperature occur. SPACEA furthermore allows the consideration of vertical overlaps between different uses and the temporal variability of uses and environmental parameters. In the MSP context, this consideration of vertical and temporal dimensions is in particular important for avoiding conflicts and creating synergies. The raster overlay on which the suitability analysis rests furthermore inherently offers the opportunity to include different weights to the input data. This form of MCA has not yet been included in SPACEA but currently presents the next step in the development.

As it is a modular toolbox, SPACEA is designed to be extended and to include more functionalities if needed. While SPACEA was developed for MSP analyses, the current functionalities are generic and may also be applied in terrestrial planning. The spatial suitability analyses can provide useful input for the management of both terrestrial and marine areas.
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