Abstract. Biologics have been used increasingly in the treatment and supportive care of cancer; however, their high cost places a significant burden on healthcare systems. The expiration of patents for biologics has led to the development of biosimilars, with the aim of reducing cost and increasing accessibility to novel treatments, which are affordable for a greater number of patients. Biosimilars are highly similar but not identical to the reference products; therefore, strict regulatory requirements have been formed for their approval. This ensures that there are no clinically meaningful differences compared with respective biologics, with regard to purity, safety and efficacy. In 2003, a regulatory framework for the approval of biosimilars was established in Europe, whereas the USA did not implement a framework until 2009, when the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act was formed. A number of biosimilars have currently been approved in oncology and the number is expected to rise in the near future. More than 10 years of evidence has revealed that biosimilars are safe and effective; however healthcare professionals need to be further educated to eliminate potential misconceptions and integrate biosimilars into routine clinical practice. The present review aims to provide an overview of the biosimilars used in Europe and the USA, present their main benefits and challenges, and discuss their current and future roles in medical oncology.

1. Introduction

In recent years, biologics have increasingly been used for the treatment and palliative care of various diseases, including, but not limited to, cancer, autoimmune diseases, cardiovascular diseases and metabolic disorders (1,2). Biologics are made or derived from a biological source and are typically large complex recombinant proteins, encompassing hormones, small proteins, vaccines, fusion proteins and monoclonal antibodies (3,4).

Biologics account for half of the pharmacological market in oncology; however, their main drawback is their high cost (5). As patents of these biologics began to expire, biosimilars were developed in order to address the existing needs and facilitate access to novel treatments for all patients (6). As compared with biologics, biosimilars are generally cheaper and more affordable; therefore, they have the potential to significantly reduce healthcare costs (7).

The aim of the present review is to provide an overview of the biosimilars used and their respective regulatory requirements in Europe and the USA. In addition, the main benefits and challenges of biosimilars, as well as their current and future roles in the field of medical oncology will be discussed.

2. Biologics and biosimilars

Overview and key differences. A biosimilar is defined by the USA Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a biological product that is highly similar to and has no clinically meaningful differences from an existing FDA-approved reference product in terms of safety, purity and potency (8). Even though biosimilars have identical amino acid sequences to their reference products, they differ in 3D structure, glycosylation sites, isoform profiles and protein aggregation (9). Therefore, pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic studies are required to prove their biosimilarity (9). Biosimilars must have the same therapeutic indications, mechanism of action, route of administration, dosage form and strength as the reference product. Some minor differences in clinically inactive components may be allowed, as long as they do not affect the drug safety (10).

In contrast to generics, which are made by chemical synthesis and are exact copies of the reference drug, biosimilars are highly similar with regard to structure, safety, efficacy and immunogenicity, but are not identical to
the reference product (Table I) (9). Biosimilars and biologics in the European Union (EU) are evaluated using the same requirements and standards, as determined by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) (3). The EU approved the first biosimilar bio-similar in 2015, filgrastim (Zarxia®), almost a decade later than the somatropin (Omnitrope®) in 2006, which was followed by the approval of many more (11). Up until 2018, 35 biosimilars have entered the European market, whereas only seven have been licensed in the USA (6). The USA approved the first biosimilar filgrastim (Zarxia®) in 2015, almost a decade later than the EU and the next one was approved on 2018 (Neupogen®); however, more are expected to enter the market within the next 2 years (6).

As reported by Cook et al (12) only 26% of oncologists can explain what a biosimilar is, whereas another study demonstrated that only 21% of prescribers are familiar with the concept of biosimilars (13). Furthermore, various studies have identified significant gaps in the knowledge of healthcare professionals concerning biosimilars; therefore, it is important to increase awareness and inform professionals that the approval of biosimilars is based on reliable scientific data and clinical trials (14). Both the European Society for Medical Oncology and the American Society of Oncology have developed guidelines to assist healthcare professionals and enhance their confidence in prescribing biosimilars (10). Furthermore, in Australia, a significant effort has been made to alleviate misconceptions regarding biosimilars, with the government spending AUS20 million on this issue (13).

**Regulatory considerations.** The World Health Organization recommends that in order to demonstrate biosimilarity between biologics and their respective biosimilars, compatibility and characterization studies must be conducted for comparative evaluation of physicochemical properties, stability, impurities and biological activity (10). Both the FDA and EMA have robust guidelines in order to demonstrate biosimilarity through *in vitro* and *in vivo* data (15). To demonstrate bioequivalence, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic studies are required (11,11), as well as safety and efficacy trials to compare safety, efficacy and immunogenicity between biosimilars and the reference products (16). In 2003, the EMA established a framework for the approval of biosimilars in the EU through the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP), and in 2005 specific guidelines were established (17). In the USA, biologics are regulated under the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act (BPCI Act), so as to ensure purity, safety and efficacy of these agents (9). In terms of biosimilars, the FDA is authorized by the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, which was conducted to eliminate unnecessary studies of biosimilars in humans and animals, resulting in significant savings in cost and time (6). The extrapolation of indications, which is allowed if proven scientifically and in the presence of adequate clinical data, is usually left to clinical judgment (4,9). However, caution is advised prior to extrapolation to different therapeutic areas due to different pathophysiology mechanisms and dosing schedules (18). Global consistency between the regulatory frameworks and approval processes of biosimilars among different countries would facilitate market access, reduce the number of clinical trials, and save time and costs (19).

**Benefits and challenges of biosimilars.** The use of biosimilars enables patients to access more affordable treatments, as they cost up to 30% less than their reference products, thus allowing healthcare systems to make significant cost savings (20). Biosimilars also encourage competition among companies, which contributes to the reduction of prices (1). Switching to the latest biosimilars, such as rituximab, etanercept and infliximab, saved the UK National Health System £210 million in 2017-2018 (3). By 2023, the patents of almost 20 biologics will expire, including bevacizumab and trastuzumab. The patents on herceptin expired in the USA in June 2019 and in Europe in July 2014. In addition, the avastin patent also expired in the USA in July 2019 (3). Each country and each hospital trust decide which biosimilars will be incorporated into their local formulation (21). Despite their significant benefits, biosimilars are also associated with various challenges, including, but not limited to, the possibility of immunogenicity, the concept of interchangeability, the limited level of awareness, acceptance among healthcare professionals and patients regarding their incorporation in clinical practice, the requirement for clinical trial testing prior to their approval, a rigorous regulatory framework limiting the anticipating savings and certain political barriers (11). Various factors impact immunogenicity, such as the structure of the biosimilar, patient characteristics, the route of administration, the dosing schedule and the formulation (22). It is important to select the appropriate end points in clinical trials in order to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of biosimilars and encourage clinicians confidence in their use (11).

In terms of interchangeability, biosimilars are not always considered interchangeable products unless they meet additional rigorous requirements and achieve the same clinical result as the reference product in any patient, without significantly affecting safety and efficacy (23). According to the USA BPCI Act an interchangeable product may be substituted for the reference product by the pharmacist, without the prescriber’s consultation (2). Prior to substitution, healthcare professionals and patients should be well educated, in order to closely monitor any adverse events (4). Currently, to the best of our knowledge, there are no biosimilars approved as interchangeable products by the FDA (2,9).

### 3. Biosimilars in oncology

**Current status of biosimilars in the real world oncology setting.** Currently, the use of biosimilars in oncology practice is constantly evolving as numerous patents on biologic drugs expire. To date, there are only a few approved biosimilars for cancer treatment; however, many more are expected to enter the market soon (Tables II and III). Biosimilars to epoetins and filgrastims are used in cancer for treating chemotherapy-induced anemia and for the prevention and treatment of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia (24,25). The main concern of clinicians upon switching to biosimilars is immunogenicity, as even small changes in the structure of original biologics may cause loss of efficacy and increase the incidence of adverse events. Immunogenicity may also be triggered by impurities, different routes of administration, storage conditions and patient characteristics (16). Furthermore, due to their challenging nature, there are a limited number of studies that have investigated the use of biosimilars in oncology (18). The majority of biosimilarity studies have been performed for...
rituximab biosimilars in rheumatoid arthritis, however there are concerns whether these findings can be extrapolated in the oncology setting (18). In order to minimize the associated risks, preclinical studies comparing biosimilars and biologics are required, as well as post-marketing surveillance studies to demonstrate their safety profile in a real world setting and monitor long-term immunogenicity (14,26). Moreover, pharmacovigilance post-marketing and long-term safety data are important to ensure safety and efficacy in the long-term. Monitoring from patients or healthcare professionals includes reporting of any adverse effects, safety concerns or medication errors (27).

A biosimilars in oncology approved by the FDA or EMA. Rituximab. The biosimilar of rituximab was the first monoclonal antibody biosimilar approved in Europe for cancer treatment (18). Rituximab is a genetically engineered chimeric monoclonal antibody, which binds to and inhibits antigen CD20 from binding to its antibodies. CD20 is expressed in >90% of B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphomas and induces cell death via apoptosis (28). Rituximab has been used for the treatment of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (28). Currently, to the best of our knowledge, there are six approved biosimilars to rituximab in Europe; Rixathon and Riximyo by Sandoz gmbH and Truxima, Blitzima, Ritemvia and Rituzena by Celltrion Healthcare Hungary Kft, which were all approved in 2017; two of these have also been approved in the USA; Truxima by Celltrion Inc. and Ruxience by Pfizer, which were approved in 2018 and 2019, respectively (29,30).

Bevacizumab. Bevacizumab is a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody, which binds and inhibits vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), thus blocking angiogenesis of new tumors and tumor growth (36). Bevacizumab gained FDA approval in 2004 as a first line treatment in metastatic colorectal cancer in combination with fluorouracil-based chemotherapy (37). In 2017 and 2018, the FDA and EMA, respectively, approved Mvasi (Amgen), the first biosimilar to Avastin (bevacizumab-awwb). Subsequently, in 2019, Zirabev (Pfizer), the second bevacizumab biosimilar, was approved by the EMA and FDA. Mvasi, with different combinations, is approved for various indications, including metastatic colorectal carcinoma; unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent or metastatic non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer; advanced or metastatic renal cell carcinoma; recurrent ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer; persistent, recurrent or metastatic carcinoma of the uterine cervix; and recurrent glioblastoma (38).

Filgrastim and pegfilgrastim. Filgrastim is a recombinant granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, which regulates the
production of neutrophils from the bone marrow. Filgrastim markedly increases peripheral blood neutrophil counts within 24 h, causing few increases in monocytes (39). Filgrastim can be used to reduce the incidence and duration of febrile neutropenia in patients with non-myeloid malignancies receiving myelosuppressive anticancer drugs or myeloablative therapy followed by bone marrow transplantation. In addition, it can be used for the reduction of time to neutrophil recovery and fever duration in patients with acute myeloid leukemia receiving induction of consolidation chemotherapy (25). Since 2008 and the approval of the first biosimilar of filgrastim by the EMA, eight more biosimilars have been approved in Europe, including Accofil, Biograstim, Filgrastim Hexal, Filgrastim ratiopharm, Grastofil, Nivestim, Ratiogranastim, Tevegranastim and Zarzio. Additionally, two have been approved in the USA; Zarxio (2015) and Nivestym (2018). Compared with filgrastim, pegfilgrastim has an additional polyethylene glycol unit, which increases the size of the molecule and thus increases the half-life. In Europe there are five approved pegfilgrastim biosimilars; Pelgraz, Udenyca, Fulphila, Pelmeg and Ziextenzo. Whereas in the USA, only Udenyca and Fulphila have been approved in 2018.

Table II. EMA-approved biosimilars in oncology.

| Biosimilar                   | Reference biologic (Active substance) | Manufacturer                          | Approval date       |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Alphone                      | Roferon-A (recombinant human interferon-α-2α) | BioPartners Gmbh                      | 05/09/2006 (Refused) |
| Abseamed                     | Eprex/Erypo (epoetin-α)                | Medice Arzneimittel                   | 28/08/2007          |
| Binocrsit                    | Eprex/Erypo (epoetin-α)                | Sandoz Gmbh                           | 28/08/2007          |
| Epoetin-α hexal              | Eprex/Erypo (epoetin-α)                | Hexal Ag                              | 28/08/2007          |
| Retacrit                     | Eprex/Erypo (epoetin-ζ)                | Hospira UK limited                    | 18/12/2007          |
| Silaplo                      | Eprex/Erypo (epoetin-ζ)                | Stada Arzneimittel Ag                 | 18/12/2007          |
| Ratiogranastim               | Neupogen (filgrastim)                 | Ratiopharm Gmbh                       | 15/09/2008          |
| Tevegranastim                | Neupogen (filgrastim)                 | Teva Gmbh                             | 15/09/2008          |
| Biograstim                   | Neupogen (filgrastim)                 | Abz-Pharma Gmbh                       | 15/09/2008 (withdrawn) |
| Filgrastim ratiopharm        | Neupogen (filgrastim)                 | Ratiopharm Gmbh                       | 15/09/2008 (withdrawn) |
| Zarzio                       | Neupogen (filgrastim)                 | Sandoz                                | 02/06/2009          |
| Filgrastim hexal             | Neupogen (filgrastim)                 | Hexal Ag                              | 02/06/2009          |
| Nivestim                     | Neupogen (filgrastim)                 | Hospira UK                            | 08/06/2010          |
| Grastofil                    | Neupogen (filgrastim)                 | Apotex Europe Bv                      | 18/10/2013          |
| Accofil                      | Neupogen (filgrastim)                 | Accord Healthcare Ltd                 | 18/09/2014          |
| Truxima                      | MabThera (rituximab)                  | Celltrion Healthcare Hungary Kft.     | 17/02/2017          |
| Riximyo                      | MabThera (rituximab)                  | Sandoz Gmbh                           | 15/06/2017          |
| Rixathon                     | MabThera (rituximab)                  | Sandoz Gmbh                           | 15/06/2017          |
| Rituxena                     | MabThera (rituximab)                  | Celltrion Healthcare Hungary Kft.     | 13/07/2017 (approved) |
| (previously Tuxella)         |                                        |                                        | 12/05/2019 (withdrawn) |
| Ritemvia                     | MabThera (rituximab)                  | Celltrion Healthcare Hungary Kft.     | 13/07/2017          |
| Blitzima                     | MabThera (rituximab)                  | Celltrion Healthcare Hungary Kft.     | 13/07/2017          |
| Ontruzant                    | Herceptin (trastuzumab)               | Samsung Bioepis                       | 17/11/2017          |
| Mvasi                        | Avastin (bevacizumab)                 | Amgen Europe B.V.                     | 14/01/2018          |
| Herzuma                      | Herceptin (trastuzumab)               | Celltrion Healthcare Hungary Kft.     | 09/02/2018          |
| Kanjinti                     | Herceptin (trastuzumab)               | Amgen Europe                          | 16/05/2018          |
| Trazimera                    | Herceptin (trastuzumab)               | Pfizer Europe                         | 26/07/2018          |
| Pelgraz                      | Neulasta (pegfilgrastim)              | Accord Healthcare Limited             | 25/09/2018          |
| Udenyca                      | Neulasta (pegfilgrastim)              | Coherus                               | 25/09/2018          |
| Fulphila                     | Neulasta (pegfilgrastim)              | Mylan S.A.S.                          | 20/11/2018          |
| Pelmeg                       | Neulasta (pegfilgrastim)              | Cinfa Biotech S.L.                    | 20/11/2018          |
| Ziextenzo                    | Neulasta (pegfilgrastim)              | Sandoz Gmbh                           | 22/11/2018          |
| Ogivri                       | Herceptin (trastuzumab)               | Mylan S.A.S.                          | 12/12/2018          |
| Zirabezv                     | Avastin (bevacizumab)                 | Pfizer Europe                         | 14/02/2019          |

Epoetins. Epoetin and darbepoetin are similar to the hormone erythropoietin, which is secreted by the kidneys to stimulate red blood cell production (erythropoiesis) in the bone marrow (40). These drugs are also known as erythropoiesis-stimulating...
agents (ESAs) and are often used to treat anemia induced by chemotherapy, thus reducing the need for blood transfusions (41). ESAs are considered to be well-tolerated in patients (42). In Europe, there are three epoetin-α (EPO-α) biosimilars; Abseamed, Binocrit and Epoetin-α hexal, and two epoetin-ζ biosimilars; Retacrit and Silapo, which were all approved in 2007. By contrast, in the USA, only Retacrit ( Hospira, Inc.) has been approved. EPO-α has been approved for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced and symptomatic anemia in patients receiving chemotherapy for solid tumors, malignant lymphoma or multiple myeloma (24). Epoetin-ζ has the same therapeutic indications as EPO-α; however, EPO-α has a higher efficacy due to its different conformational structure (43).

Recombinant human interferon-α-2a. Interferons are a group of signaling proteins that are produced by the body to help fight infections, such as viruses. Roferon-A, a biological molecule, can be used for the treatment of chronic phase Philadelphia-chromosome positive myelogenous leukemia, hairy cell leukemia, cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, follicular non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, advanced renal cell carcinoma and American Joint Committee on Cancer stage II malignant melanoma (38). Alphenon, a biosimilar produced by BioPartners GmbH in 2006, was refused a marketing authorization by the EMA CHMP due to major differences between the two drugs, inadequate stability data of the active substance and the candidate drug, and increased side effects (44).

Costs of biologic therapy in oncology. Evidence shows that biosimilars offer significant cost savings to the healthcare system. In the USA, due to the loss of patents of many biologics by 2020, which have a global expenditure of more than $20 billion, biosimilars are expected to play a major role in the market (9). However, due to the rigorous requirements of development, manufacturing and licensing, the cost savings are not as much as seen for generic drugs; 15-30% for biosimilars vs. 80% for generics, respectively, since the latter require less rigorous clinical trial testing prior to their approval (26,45). However, even though the cost savings associated with the use of biosimilars are less than that for generic drugs, they are still significant. A 20% reduction in the price of six off-patent biologics would save €1.6 billion, which would expand patient access to more treatments (46). In Europe, the biosimilar erythropoietin costs 25-30% less than the original biologic (11). A study reported that by switching to an erythropoietin biosimilar in patients with chemotherapy-induced anemia, vast savings were accomplished, thus enabling additional treatments with rituximab (9,770 treatments), bevacizumab (3,912 treatments) and trastuzumab (3,713 treatments) (47). Another cost-analysis study in Europe, predicted that switching to a rituximab biosimilar would save €56.82 million over a year (10). However, the cost-saving potential depends on various factors, such as the price of the reference product and the competition market (11). The highest savings expected are those associated with biosimilars of anti-tumor necrosis factors drugs (11).

### 4. Conclusions and future perspectives

Biologics are widely used therapeutic agents in the treatment and palliative care of cancer. However, due to their high cost, crises in healthcare spending worldwide and the expiration of biologics patents, biosimilars have come to the market. Biosimilars are more affordable drugs, but with a similar safety and toxicity profile and no clinically meaningful differences compared with their reference biologics. Therefore, biosimilars enable a reduction in healthcare costs and increase a patients’ access to novel treatment options. The current underuse of biosimilars in clinical practice may, at least partly, be attributed to a lack of awareness among patients and clinicians regarding the benefits and challenges of biosimilars. Rigorous regulation frameworks and close post-marketing surveillance of licensed biosimilars are required to ensure that these new drugs are safe and effective in the real world setting. Furthermore, health care professionals and the public should be properly educated on the multiple aspects of biosimilars,

---

**Table III. FDA-approved biosimilars in oncology.**

| Biosimilar | Reference biologic (Active substance) | Manufacturer | FDA approval date |
|------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Zarxio     | Neypogen (filgrastim-sndz)           | Sandoz Inc.  | 06/03/2015       |
| Mvasi      | Avastin (bevacizumab-awwb)           | Amgen, Inc.  | 14/09/2017       |
| Ogivri     | Herceptin (trastuzumab-dkst)         | Mylan        | 01/12/2017       |
| Retacrit   | Epogen (epoetin alfa-epbx)           | Hospira Inc. | 15/05/2018       |
| Fulphila   | Neulasta (pegfilgrastim-jmdb)        | Mylan/Biocon | 04/06/2018       |
| Nivestym   | Neupogen (filgrastim-aaif)           | Pfizer Inc.  | 20/07/2018       |
| Udenyca    | Neulasta (pegfilgrastim-cbqv)        | Coherus Biosciences | 02/11/2018 |
| Truxima    | Rituxan (rituximab-abbs)             | Celltrion Inc. | 28/11/2018     |
| Herzuma    | Herceptin (trastuzumab-pkrb)         | Celltrion Inc. | 18/12/2018     |
| Ontuzant   | Herceptin (trastuzumab-dtbb)         | Samsung Bioepis | 18/01/2019   |
| Trazimera  | Herceptin (trastuzumab-qqyp)         | Pfizer Ind.  | 11/03/2019       |
| Kanjinti   | Herceptin (trastuzumab-anns)         | Amgen Inc.   | 13/06/2019       |
| Zirabev    | Avastin (bevacizumab-bvzr)           | Pfizer Inc.  | 28/06/2019       |
| Ruxience   | Rituxan (rituximab-pvvr)             | Pfizer Inc.  | 23/07/2019       |
so as to ensure their successful incorporation in routine oncology care.
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