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Supplementary Figures

**Figure S1.** (A) Effect on BRD4 and BRD2 levels monitored by western blot after HeLa cells were treated with 500 nM AP-PROTAC-1 and intermittently irradiated with 457 nm or 365 nm LED light every 2 h for 6 h. (B) Proteasome-dependency: HeLa cells were pre-incubated with proteasome inhibitor bortezomib (BTZ, 10 μM) for 2 h, then treated for 4 h with DMSO vehicle 0.1% (v/v) or 500 nM AP-PROTAC-1 pre-irradiated at 457 nm or 365 nm.

**Figure S2.** (A) UV–Vis spectra of 10 μM AP-PROTAC-2 in water with 0.1% DMSO under the stated irradiation conditions. Irradiation of 365 nm light for 1 min is sufficient to achieve the photostationary state of 90% Z isomer in the solution. (B) A 12 μM AP-PROTAC-2 solution in water was irradiated once with 365 nm light and kept at 37 °C. The change in absorbance at 350 nm was monitored by UV-Vis and the Z isomer percentage was plotted.
Figure S3. Cell viability assays of MDA-MD-231 cells treated with 10 nM, 100 nM, 500 nM (E-enriched)-AP-PROTAC-2 or 0.1% DMSO and puromycin (2 μg/mL) as the controls. Top: plot of signal of SYTOX™ Green Nucleic Acid Stain over phase area for 72 h. Bottom: plots of signal at 24 h and 48 h normalised to puromycin death control.
Figure S4. Fold change (FC) of (E-enriched)-AP-PROTAC-2 treated sample versus DMSO control group (E-enriched—DMSO) plotted against Fold change of (Z-enriched)-AP-PROTAC-2 treated sample versus DMSO control (Z-enriched — DMSO). Highlighted are possible proteins differentially degraded with (E-enriched) or (Z-enriched)-AP-PROTAC-2 treatment.
Figure S5. Immunoblots and quantification of GAK and β-actin in MDA-MB-231 cells after 4 h, 8 h, 12 h, or 16 h treatment of 100 nM (E-enriched)-AP-PROTAC-2 or (Z-enriched) isomer (with intermittent irradiation every 3 h for Z isomer treated cells). Bars represent mean signal normalized to β-actin, reported as the mean and SD of n = 3 biological replicates.

Figure S6. (A) Immunoblots and (B) quantification of FAK, TBK1, AURORA-A, and β-actin in MDA-MB-231 cells after 16 h treatment of indicated concentrations of compounds. (C) Immunoblots and (D) quantification of GAK, and β-actin in the same treatment. Bars represent mean signal normalized to β-actin, reported as the mean and SD of n = 3 biological replicates.
Figure S7. Immunoblots and quantification for FAK, AURORA-A TBK1, and β-actin in treated MDA-MB-231 cells. Cells were pre-treated for 2 h with or without 10 μM bortezomib (BTZ), and then treated with 0.1% DMSO, 100 nM or 500 nM of (E-enriched)-AP-PROTAC-2 for 16 h. Bars represent mean signal normalized to β-actin, reported as the mean and SD of n = 3 biological replicates.
Supplementary Information: Chemistry

General methods

All reagents were purchased from commercial sources (Sigma-Aldrich, Merck, Fluorochem UK) and were used without further purification. Pan-BET bromodomain inhibitor JQ1-COOH were provided by GlaxoSmithKline Medicines Research Centre, Stevenage. Multikinase inhibitor CTK-0294885 were synthesised with flow chemistry approaches as previously described. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on aluminium sheets with silica gel 60 F254 (Merck) were used and visualized with UV light (254 nm) or appropriate TLC stain to monitor reactions. Flash chromatography with silica gel (Eurisol Si 60 (0.040-0.063 mm, Merck) was performed for general compound purification. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV-400 spectrometer at 400 MHz (1H-NMR) and 101 MHz (13C-NMR) in deuterated solvents at 298 K. Chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm), coupling constants J are given in Hertz, and spin multiplicities are given as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet) or m (multiplet). Spectra were analysed with MestReNova.

Abbreviations

DCM: dichloromethane; DIPEA: diisopropylethylamine; DMF: dimethylformamide; DMP: Dess-Martin periodinane, 1,1,1-Tris(acetyloxy)-1,1-dihydro-1,2-benziodoxol-3-(1H)-one; DMSO: dimethyl sulfoxide; Fmoc: fluorenylmethylloxycarbonyl protecting group; HATU: 1-[Bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxide hexafluorophosphate; HRMS: high-resolution mass spectrometry; LC-MS: liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry.

Synthesis

(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl (4-aminobenzyl)carbamate (1)

A solution of 4-(aminomethyl)aniline (1.39 ml, 12.3 mmol) in DCM (80.0 ml) was added DIPEA (2.14 ml, 12.3 mmol) and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. A solution of 9-fluorenylmethyl N-succinimidy carbonate (Fmoc-OSu, 4.14 g, 12.3 mmol) in DCM (30.0 ml) was slowly added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. The resulting milky solution was washed with water (80.0 ml) and the organic layer was concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by flash column chromatography (30–80% ethyl acetate in n-hexane) to afford desired product 1 as a beige solid (3.50 g, 83%). 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 7.92 – 7.85 (m, 2H), 7.73 – 7.63 (m, 3H), 7.45 – 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.36 – 7.26 (m, 2H), 6.92 – 6.87 (m, 2H), 6.52 – 6.47 (m, 2H), 4.96 (s, 2H), 4.31 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 156.2, 143.9, 140.8, 128.1, 127.6, 127.0, 126.7, 125.2, 120.1, 113.7, 65.2, 46.8, 43.6. HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for C27H21N3O2+, 345.1603; found 345.1590.

(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl(4-(2-(2,4-dioxopentan-3-ylidene)hydrazineyl)benzyl)carbamate (2)

A solution of aniline 1 (500 mg, 1.45 mmol) in acetic acid (5.0 ml) was added HCl (1.40 ml, 37%) and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. A solution of sodium nitrite (200 mg, 2.90 mmol) in water (2.00 ml) was then added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, then a solution of acetalacetone (0.193 ml, 1.88 mmol) and sodium acetate (476 mg, 5.81 mmol) in ethanol (5.0 ml) was added. The bright yellow solution obtained was let warm to room temperature. After 3 h, the mixture was added ethyl acetate (20.0 ml) and washed with sodium bicarbonate (0.5 M, 3 × 10 ml) and brine (3 × 10 ml). The organic layer was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was further purified by flash column chromatography (40 % ethyl acetate in hexane) to afford diketone 2 as an orange solid (442 mg, 67%). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 14.75 (s, 1H), 7.83 – 7.76 (m, 2H), 7.65 – 7.59 (m, 2H), 7.47 – 7.26 (m, 8H), 5.23 (s, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.39 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 4.24 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 2.51 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 198.1, 197.2, 156.6, 143.9, 141.4, 141.0, 136.4, 133.3, 128.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.1, 125.1, 120.1, 116.6, 66.8, 47.4, 44.6, 31.8, 26.8. HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for C32H28N4O2+, 456.1923; found 456.1919.

(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl(E)-4-[(1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)diazenyl]benzyl)carbamate (3)

Diketone 2 (150 mg, 0.329 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of DCM (5.0 ml) and methanol (5.0 ml). 2-Hydrazinooctan-1-ol (0.034 ml, 0.493 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at 50°C for 2 h. DCM (5.0 ml) and aq. HCl (1 M, 5.0 ml) were added to the crude mixture.
extracted organic layer was washed with aq. HCl (1 M, 3 × 5.0 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to yield alcohol 3 as a yellow solid (151 mg, 93%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80 – 7.72 (m, 5H), 7.64 – 7.57 (m, 2H), 7.45 – 7.29 (m, 5H), 5.13 (s, 1H), 4.49 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.44 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 4.28 – 4.23 (m, 3H), 4.09 – 4.04 (m, 2H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 2.55 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 152.9, 144.0, 141.5, 135.0, 128.2, 128.1, 128.1, 127.5, 126.9, 126.8, 125.6, 121.8, 120.5, 120.5. HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for C₂₀H₂₈N₂O₅⁺, 496.2349; found 496.2341.

(E)-2-[(4-(((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino) methyl]phenyl)diazenyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl) acetic acid (linker 4)

A solution of alcohol 3 (150 mg, 0.303 mmol) in DMSO (4.0 mL) was added Dess–Martin periodinane (DMP, 381 mg, 0.898 mmol). The solution was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. A solution of sodium chlorite (50 mg, 0.559 mmol) and NaH₂PO₄ (55 mg, 0.93 mmol) in water (0.5 mL) was added to the mixture, followed by 2-methylbut-2-ene (0.1 mL, 0.93 mmol). The solution was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. Water (20.0 mL) was added, and the mixture was filtered to remove the DMP by-product. The filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate (5 × 10 mL), and the combined organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash column chromatography (3% – 7% methanol in DCM) and freeze-dried to yield linker 4 as a yellow solid (82 mg, 54%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 7.96 – 7.87 (m, 3H), 7.75 – 7.66 (m, 4H), 7.46 – 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.31 (m, 4H), 4.74 (s, 2H), 4.41 – 4.35 (m, 2H), 4.30 – 4.21 (m, 3H), 2.51 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 169.6, 156.9, 152.6, 144.4, 141.2, 140.7, 135.0, 128.2, 128.1, 127.5, 127.5, 125.6, 121.8, 120.5, 120.5, 51.0, 47.3, 44.0, 14.3, 10.0. HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for C₂₀H₂₈N₂O₅⁺, 510.2141; found 510.2128.

Scheme S1. Synthesis of BRD4-targeting photoswitchable AP-PROTAC-1.

Tert-butyl (2-((2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisodolin-4-yl)(oxy)ethyl)carbamate (5)

To a solution of 4-hydroxythalidomide (150 mg, 0.55 mmol) in DMF (4.0 mL), potassium carbonate (75 mg, 0.55 mmol) was added followed by tert-butyl (2-bromoethyl)carbamate (112 mg, 0.500 mmol). The solution was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. The crude mixture was partitioned between water (5.0 mL) and ethyl acetate (5.0 mL). The aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate (5.0 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (3 × 4 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue recovered was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (2–8% methanol in DCM) to afford 5 as a pale yellow oil (96 mg, 42%, containing 20% of bis alkylated material). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.27 (s, 1H), 7.72 – 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.51 – 7.43 (m, 1H), 7.27 – 7.19 (m, 1H), 5.29 (s, 1H), 5.01 – 4.89 (m, 1H), 4.26 – 4.19 (m, 2H), 3.64 – 3.56 (m, 2H), 3.01 – 2.68 (m, 3H), 2.19 – 2.05 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 9H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.15, 168.22, 167.04, 165.92, 156.38, 136.83, 133.85, 119.39, 117.53, 116.47, 79.84, 79.40, 49.28, 40.50, 39.95, 31.95, 22.75, 22.19. MS (ESI) m/z 418 (M+H)⁺.
(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl (E)-(4-((1-(2-((2-((2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisindolin-4-yl)oxy)ethyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)diazenyl)benzyl)carbamate (6)

A solution of thalidomide 5 (96 mg, 0.230 mmol) in DCM (5.0 ml) was added HCl 4 M in Dioxane (0.57 mL, 2.30 mmol). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 h, then concentrated in vacuo to give a white powder which was used in the subsequent step without further purification. A solution of azopyrazole carboxylic acid 4 (50 mg, 0.09 mmol) in DCM (3.0 ml) were added HATU (102 mg, 0.268 mmol) and DIPEA (0.450 mg, 0.05 mmol, 78 μl). The crude deprotected thalidomide derivative (HCl salt, 32 mg, 0.090 mmol) was then added and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The reaction mixture was subsequently washed twice with water (2 x 3 ml). The organic layer was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue recovered was purified by silica gel flash chromatography (2-8% methanol in DCM) to afford compound 6 as a yellow solid (29 mg, 33%). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.28 (s, 1H), 7.79 – 7.71 (m, 4H), 7.68 – 7.56 (m, 4H), 7.48 – 7.43 (m, 1H), 7.42 – 7.38 (m, 2H), 7.36 – 7.27 (m, 4H), 7.19 – 7.13 (m, 1H), 4.81 – 4.73 (m, 3H), 4.72 – 4.61 (m, 1H), 4.52 – 4.38 (m, 4H), 4.28 – 4.16 (m, 3H), 3.89 – 3.77 (m, 1H), 3.75 – 3.60 (m, 1H), 2.70 – 2.59 (m, 1H), 2.55 (s, 3H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 2.45 – 2.39 (m, 1H), 2.39 – 2.26 (m, 1H), 2.08 – 1.90 (m, 2H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 171.3, 167.4, 156.7, 156.6, 144.4, 144.0, 141.5, 140.4, 136.8, 133.8, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.8, 127.2, 125.1, 125.5, 122.0, 119.6, 116.8, 68.1, 66.8, 53.5, 52.2, 44.9, 38.8, 31.2, 22.6, 14.2, 10.0. HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for C45H32N2O9+, 809.3047; found 809.0351.

2-((S)-4-((4-chlorophenyl)-2,3,9-trimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepin-6-yl)-N-((E)-[1-(2-((2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisindolin-4-yl)oxy)ethyl]amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)diazenyl)benzyl)acetamide (AP-PROTAC-1)

A solution of compound 6 (25 mg, 0.03 mmol) in DMF (3 ml) was added piperidine (3 μL, 0.03 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. HATU (15 mg, 0.093 mmol) and DIPEA (0.06 mmol, 10 μL) were added, followed by JQ1-COOH (12 mg, 0.03 mmol) and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The reaction mixture was washed with water (2 x 3 ml). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by preparative HPLC (20-98% acetonitrile in water with 0.1% formic acid) to afford AP-PROTAC-1 as a yellow solid (8 mg, 27%). 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 11.12 (s, 1H), 8.84 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 8.51 – 8.47 (m, 1H), 7.87 – 7.77 (m, 1H), 7.73 – 7.66 (m, 2H), 7.60 – 7.54 (m, 1H), 7.53 – 7.44 (m, 3H), 7.44 – 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.37 – 7.31 (m, 2H), 5.13 – 5.05 (m, 1H), 4.81 (s, 2H), 4.58 – 4.45 (m, 3H), 4.38 – 4.24 (m, 3H), 3.57 – 3.50 (m, 1H), 3.43 – 3.36 (m, 1H), 3.27 – 3.19 (m, 1H), 2.94 – 2.83 (m, 1H), 2.60 (s, 3H), 2.63 – 2.56 (m, 1H), 2.59 – 2.51 (m, 1H), 2.52 (s, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.07 – 1.99 (m, 1H), 1.60 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 172.8, 169.7, 166.8, 165.2, 163.1, 155.6, 155.1, 151.9, 149.9, 137.1, 136.7, 133.3, 132.3, 130.7, 130.2, 129.8, 129.6, 128.4, 128.1, 121.3, 67.4, 54.0, 48.8, 41.8, 37.7, 31.0, 14.1, 13.9, 12.7, 11.3, 9.5. HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for C46H46N2O5·H2O, 969.3022; found 969.3033.

4-((2-((2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1-oxoisindolin-4-yl)amino)-4-oxobutanoic acid (7)

To a solution of lenalidomide (100 mg, 0.386 mmol) in DMF (4 ml) was added succinic anhydride (57.9 mg, 0.579 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred at 60 °C for 24 h, then added Et2O (30 ml) and stored at 0 °C overnight for 18 h. A white precipitate was observed and collected with gravity filtration to yield carboxylic acid 7 as a white solid (138 mg, 99%). 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 12.18 (s, 1H), 11.04 (s, 1H), 9.88 (s, 1H), 7.87 – 7.77 (m, 1H), 7.55 – 7.45 (m, 2H), 5.16 (dd, J = 13.3, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.43 – 4.27 (m, 2H), 3.00 – 2.90 (m, 1H), 2.66 – 2.58 (m, 3H), 2.58 – 2.52 (m, 2H), 2.43 – 2.26 (m, 1H), 2.09 – 1.98 (m, 1H). 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 174.3, 173.4, 171.6, 170.8, 168.3, 134.2, 134.1, 133.1, 129.1, 125.5, 119.4, 52.0, 46.9, 31.7, 31.1, 29.4, 23.1. HRMS (ESI) m/z: calculated for C17H18N2O6+, 360.1195; found 360.1194.
Compound purity on LC-MS

| Compound     | Retention time (min) | Purity (area% for both isomers) | Method                                      |
|--------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| AP-PROTAC-1  | Z, 2.40; E, 2.73     | 94%                             | 50% to 98% acetonitrile in water with 0.1% formic acid over 12 min. |
| AP-PROTAC-2  | Z, 9.04; E, 9.84     | 97%                             | 20% to 98% acetonitrile in water with 0.1% formic acid over 12 min. |

Scheme S2. Synthesis of kinase AP-PROTAC-2

\( \text{(E)}-\text{N1-\{4-\{1-\{2-\{4-\{5\text{-chloro-4-\{2-\{methylcarbamoyl\{phenyl\{amino\{pyrimidin-2-yl\{amino\{phenyl\{piperazin-1-yl\{-2-oxoethyl\{-3,5\text{-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl\{diazenyl\{benzyl\{-N4-\{2,6\text{-dioxopiperidin-3-yl\{-1\text{-oxaisoindolin-4-yl\}icolinidime (AP-PROTAC-2) }}\)

Carboxylic acid 4 (90 mg, 0.176 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of DMSO (2 mL) and DMF (2 mL). Amine CTx0294885 (77 mg, 0.176 mmol), HATU (100 mg, 0.264 mmol) and DIPEA (0.122 mL, 0.704 mmol) were added to the mixture. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h, then added water (20 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (5 × 20 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by flash column chromatography (2%-8% methanol in DCM) to afford intermediate 8 as an orange solid (100 mg) which was used in the subsequent step without further characterisation.

To a solution of intermediate 8 (100 mg, 0.108 mmol) in DMF (2 mL), piperidine (0.011 mL, 0.108 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. HATU (62 mg, 0.162 mmol), DIPEA (0.075 mL, 0.149 mmol) and lenalidomide derivative 7 (39 mg, 0.108 mmol) were added into the reaction mixture. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The crude mixture was washed with ethyl acetate (5 × 20 mL) and then extracted with ethyl acetate (5 × 20 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, concentrated in vacuo and freeze-dried with water. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (3%-7% methanol in DCM) to give desired product AP-PROTAC-2 as a yellow solid (12.5 mg, 6.8% two steps).

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 11.61 (s, 1H), 11.03 (s, 1H), 9.27 (s, 1H), 8.80 – 8.75 (m, 2H), 8.55 – 8.49 (m, 1H), 8.17 (s, 1H), 7.90 – 7.81 (m, 1H), 7.79 – 7.72 (m, 1H), 7.70 – 7.62 (m, 2H), 7.56 – 7.42 (m, 5H), 7.42 – 7.35 (m, 2H), 7.27 – 7.23 (m, 1H), 7.18 – 7.08 (m, 1H), 7.00 – 6.89 (m, 2H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 5.18 – 5.03 (m, 1H), 4.43 – 4.24 (m, 4H), 3.73 – 3.60 (m, 4H), 3.21 – 3.16 (m, 2H), 3.13 – 3.06 (m, 2H), 2.96 – 2.84 (m, 1H), 2.18 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 3H), 2.71 – 2.52 (m, 5H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.34 – 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.05 – 1.96 (m, 1H).

\(^13\)C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 172.9, 171.3, 171.1, 170.7, 168.9, 167.8, 164.7, 158.0, 154.9, 154.7, 152.0, 146.2, 141.2, 141.1, 140.5, 139.4, 134.5, 133.9, 133.5, 132.9, 132.7, 131.5, 128.6, 128.0, 127.9, 125.0, 121.8, 121.3, 121.2, 120.5, 118.9, 116.5, 104.4, 51.5, 50.5, 49.5, 49.1, 46.4, 44.2, 41.8, 41.6, 31.3, 31.2, 30.5, 26.3, 22.7, 13.9, 9.6.

MS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: calculated for C₃₃H₃₅ClN₁₉O₇⁺, 1048.4; found 1049.0.
NMR spectra

(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl (4-aminobenzyl)carbamate (1)

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$)
$^{13}\text{C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-}$d$_6$)
(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl(4-(2-(2,4-dioxopentan-3-ylidene)hydrazineyl)benzyl)carbamate (2)

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$)
$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$)
(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl(E)-(4-((1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)diazenyl)benzyl)carbamate (3)

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$)
$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$)
(E)-2-[(4-[4-[(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy]carbonyl]amino)methyl]phenyl)diazenyl]-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl) acetic acid (linker 4)

^1^H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*$_6$), >99.9% E isomer
C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$)
Tert-butyl (2-((2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisouloindolin-4-yl)oxy)ethyl)carbamate (5)

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$)
$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$)
(9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl (E)-(4-((1-(2-((2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-4-yl)oxy)ethyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)diazonyl)benzyl)carbamate (6)

\[ \text{H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl}_3) \]
$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$)
2-((S)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,3,9-trimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepin-6-yl)-N-4-((E)-1-(2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisindolin-4-yl)oxy)ethyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)diazeny]benzyl)acetamide (AP-PROTAC-1)

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$)
$^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$)
4-(12,6-dioxopiperidin-2-yl)-1-oxoisoindolin-4-ylamino)-4-oxobutanoic acid (7)

1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6)
$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (101 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$)
(E)-N1-4-{[1-{2-{4-[[5-chloro-4-[(2-(methylcarbamoyl)phenyl)amino]pyrimidin-2-yl]amino}phenyl]amino}phenyl)piperazin-1-yl]-2-oxoethyl}-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)diazeny]benzyl}-N4-{[2-[[2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl]-1-oxoisindolin-4-yl]succinimide (AP-PROTAC-2)

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\textit{d}_6)

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$)
Supplementary Information: Biology

Cell culture and treatment
HeLa cells and MDA-MB-231 cells were obtained from the Francis Crick Institute cell banking. Cells were cultured in low-glucose Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Sigma, D6046) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco) and grown in a humidified incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. Cells were plated at 3 x 10⁵ cells per well in a 6-well plate, allowed to adhere for 24 h before being treated with the indicated compounds and time, with 1 min irradiation of the cell flask at either 365 nm or 457 nm using LED light every 2 to 3 hours.

Cell Lysis
After cell treatment, cells were washed twice with PBS, added the respective cold lysis buffer and collected into eppendorf. Cells were lysed on ice for 30 min. The collected suspensions were centrifuged for 10 min at 17,000 g, 4 °C, and then the supernatant was collected. The protein concentration of each sample was determined using the DC™ Protein assay kit (BIO-RAD) with a bovine serum albumin standard curve in lysis buffer and normalized. The lysates were used in the subsequent immunoblotting, and proteomics sample preparation steps, or stored at ~80 °C.

HeLa cells were lysed with RIPA buffer (75 mM NaCl, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.05% SDS and 50 mM Tris in Milli-Q purified water, pH 7.4). Benzonase (1 μL of 250 units/μL, E1014-25KU) and protease inhibitor (cOmplete, Roche) were added fresh to 10 mL of lysis buffer. MDA-MD-231 cells were lysed with PBS lysis buffer (1% Triton-X, 0.1% SDS, 1xPBS in Milli-Q purified water, pH 7.4) with protease inhibitor (cOmplete, EDTA-free) added fresh.

Immunoblotting
Lysates containing an equal amount of proteins (10 μg—20 μg) were mixed 3:1 with 4x Laemmli protein sample buffer (supplemented with 10% 2-mercaptoethanol, BIO-RAD) and denatured at 95 °C for 10 min. Samples were resolved with Mini-PROTEAN™ TGX™ Precast 7.5% gels (50 min, 160 V) and then wet transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked for 1 h at RT with 5% skimmed milk in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1 % Tween-20 (TBS-T). Primary antibodies were diluted in 5% milk in TBS-T and incubated with the membrane at 4 °C overnight. The membrane was washed with TBS-T (3 x 10 min), cut and incubated with appropriate IgG H&L HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at RT. The membrane was washed with TBST (3 x 10 min), and the bands were detected with chemiluminescence using the western HRP substrate (Merck Immobilon Crescendo). The images were recorded with ImageQuant Las 4000 and processed with ImageJ software. Primary antibodies used (1:1000 dilution): BRD4 (Cell Signalling Technology #13440), BRD2 (Cell Signalling Technology #5848), FAK (Cell Signalling Technology #3285), TBK1 (Cell Signalling Technology #3504), AURORA-A (Cell Signalling Technology #4718), GAK (Invitrogen #PA5-99201), β-actin (SIGMA-ALDRICH, A1978, 1:2500), GAPDH (Abcam, ab9485, 1:2500). Secondary antibodies used (1:10,000 dilution): Goat-α-mouse IgG (H+L) HRP conjugate (R-05071-500), Goat-α-rabbit IgG (H+L) HRP conjugate (R-05072-500).

Proteomics
Sample Preparation
The lysates of treated cells (100 μg, 100 μL) were reduced and alkylated by the addition of TCEP (to 5 mM final concentration) and CAA (to 15 mM final concentration) for 45 min at RT under vigorous shaking. Proteins were precipitated by adding 2 sample volumes of methanol, 0.5 volume of chloroform and 1 volume of purified water. The mixture was vortexed and centrifuged at 6,000 rpm for 2 min resulting in pellets of precipitated proteins. The pellets were washed with 3 sample volumes of methanol by vortexing and sonicating and then centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 4 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the washing procedure was repeated an additional four times. The protein precipitate was air-dried for 5 min and redissolved in 100 μL of 50 mM HEPES pH 8.0 with sonication and vortexing. The protein solution was digested with trypsin protease (0.4 μg, 1:250 to protein, Pierce™ 90057) and incubated overnight in a thermoshaker with 800 rpm shaking at 37 °C. Trypsin digestion was quenched with the addition of 0.5% TFA. Peptide quantification assay (Pierce Fluorometric Peptide Assay) was performed. The same volume of peptide digest from each condition was aliquoted and dried with a SpeedVac Vacuum concentrator. The dried peptide samples were labelled with tandem mass tags (TMT10plex ref 90110, Thermo Scientific) for 2 h at RT. The TMT labelling reaction was quenched with the addition of 5% hydroxyamine and the samples were vortexed for 5 min and centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 4 min at rt. An equal volume of supernatant from each condition was combined into a single Eppendorf. The combined peptides were fractionated (Pierce high pH Reversed-Phase
Peptide Fractionation kit) into 8 fractions and dried with a SpeedVac Vacuum concentrator. The dried fractions were resuspended in 2% acetonitrile and 0.5% trifluoroacetic acid in water to around 1 μg/μL.

**LC-MS/MS and Data Analysis**

Prepared peptide sample fractions were run using a Thermofisher Q-Exactive LC-MS/MS equipped with a Thermo EASY-SPRAY column as described previously. The data obtained were processed with MaxQuant version 1.6.17.0, where peptides from the MS/MS spectra were searched in the human proteome database (UniProt accessed January 2021) and identified. The data were further analysed with Perseus 1.6.15.0, Microsoft Office Excel 365, and GraphPad Prism 9. A minimum of 2 unique peptides were selected for protein identification.

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD036224.

**Cell toxicity assay**

MDA-MD-231 cells were seeded in a 96-well plate (7000 cells/well) and grown in low glucose DMEM with 10% FBS overnight. The next day, the media was replaced with media containing compound and SYTOX™ Green Nucleic Acid Stain (dye, 250 nM final concentration). For control, cells were treated with media containing 0.1% DMSO without dye, 0.1% DMSO with dye, 2 μg/mL puromycin and dye, and 2 μg/mL puromycin in 0.1% DMSO with dye. The cell plate was incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ in the incubator. Phase and green fluorescence were imaged every 2 h for 3 days with the Incucyte Live-Cell Analysis Systems.
Supplementary Information: Photoswitching properties characterisation

General Methods
UV-Vis spectra were recorded on an Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis spectrometer (wavelength range: 190 – 1100 nm, resolution: 1.5 nm, Light source: Xenon Flash Lamp 80 Hz) with a temperature controller. Polystyrene semi-micro cuvettes (1.5 mL, 1 cm) sealed with parafilm were used in the measurement. The data was analysed using WinUV software. LC-MS spectra were recorded on a Waters high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system, including a 2767 autosampler, 515 pump, 2998 photodiode array (PDA) detector and a 3100-electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometer, using MassLynx 4.1 software. Compounds were separated on a 4.6 mm × 100 mm analytical Waters XBridge C18 column using the following gradient: 20% to 98% acetonitrile in water with 0.1% formic acid over 12 min then 98% acetonitrile for 3 min. Two custom-made black boxes (WaveyTech Ltd) installed with 25 mW LED light bulbs of 340 nm, 365 nm, 405 nm, 450 nm and 457 nm wavelength were used to irradiate compounds.

Photostationary state (PSS) for linker 4 and AP-PROTAC-1 was calculated with the UV-Vis-based Fischer’s method. AP-PROTAC-1 ligand JQ1-COOH showed no absorption over the 280-600 nm UV-Vis range. For AP-PROTAC-2, the promiscuous kinase ligand CTx-0294885 displayed an absorption centered around 340 nm (Figure S8). The CTx-0294885 ligand and the photoswitchable linker absorb 365 nm light, which affects the calculation of PSS using UV-Vis. Therefore, LC-MS chromatograms of AP-PROTAC-2 following irradiation were used to calculate the PSS.

![Figure S8](imageurl) (A) UV-Vis spectra of a solution (ca. 20 μM) of JQ1-COOH in water with 0.2% DMSO after filtration with a 0.2 μm polyethersulfone (PES) filter. (B) UV-Vis spectra of a solution (ca. 10 μM) of CTx-0294885 in DMSO.

UV-Visible spectroscopy determination of PSS
A solution of 20 μM linker 4 or AP-PROTAC-1 in 0.2% DMSO in water was irradiated with 340 nm, 365 nm, 405 nm, 457nm LED for 90 s. A UV-Vis spectrum of the non-irradiated solution was recorded, and spectra following each irradiation were recorded. Using Fischer’s method, the PSSs at 365 nm and 457 nm were calculated.

\[
a_2 = \frac{\Delta_1}{D_{E1}} - \frac{\Delta_2}{D_{E2}}/\left(1 + \frac{\Delta_1}{D_{E1}} - n(1 + \frac{\Delta_2}{D_{E2}})\right)
\]

\[
a_1 = n\Delta_2, \quad n = \frac{D_{obsd} \lambda_{max} - D_{E \lambda_{max}}}{D_{obsd} \lambda_{max} - D_{E \lambda_{max}}}
\]

\[
\Delta = D_{obsd} - D_E
\]

Where \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) are the PSS ratios of the less stable cis (Z) isomer at wavelength 1 and 2. \(D_{obsd}\) is the observed absorbance, \(D_E\) is the absorbance of a solution containing only the more stable trans (E) isomer. Here, the spectrum of linker 4 or AP-PROTAC-1 solution prepared freshly from a DMSO stock stored protected from light was assumed to contain only trans (E) isomer and used in the calculation. \(\lambda_{max}\) is the wavelength where the maximal absorption difference is found for trans (E) isomer and cis (Z) isomer.

Calculation details:
For linker 4, \(\lambda_{max}\) was 341 nm.
To calculate PSS of linker 4 at 365 nm irradiation, for wavelength 1 (340 nm) and wavelength 2 (365 nm),

\[
n = \frac{D_{obsd} \lambda_{max} - D_{E \lambda_{max}}}{D_{obsd} \lambda_{max} - D_{E \lambda_{max}}} = \frac{0.00994 - 0.10608}{0.00983 - 0.10608} = 0.9989
\]

\[
\Delta_1 = \frac{D_{obsd} \lambda_1 - D_{E \lambda_1}}{D_{E \lambda_1}} = \frac{0.01083 - 0.10685}{0.10685} = -0.8986
\]
\[ \Delta_2 \frac{D_{E2}}{D_{E2}} = \frac{D_{obsd \ 2} - D_{E2}}{D_{E2}} = \frac{0.00323 - 0.06033}{0.06033} = -0.9465 \]

\[ a_2 = 0.999 \quad a_1 = na_2 = 0.998 \]

Therefore, at 365 nm PSS there is 99% \( Z \)-4 in the solution.

To calculate PSS of linker 4 at 457 nm irradiation, for wavelength 1 (450 nm) and wavelength 2 (457 nm),

\[ n = \frac{D_{obsd \ 1_{\lambda_{max}}} - D_{E1_{\lambda_{max}}}}{D_{obsd \ 2_{\lambda_{max}}} - D_{E2_{\lambda_{max}}}} = \frac{0.10683 - 0.11293}{0.10683 - 0.11293} = 0.495 \]

\[ \Delta_1 \frac{D_{E1}}{D_{E1}} = \frac{D_{obsd \ 1} - D_{E1}}{D_{E1}} = \frac{0.01087 - 0.01053}{0.01053} = 0.0323 \]

\[ \Delta_2 \frac{D_{E2}}{D_{E2}} = \frac{D_{obsd \ 2} - D_{E2}}{D_{E2}} = \frac{0.00788 - 0.00893}{0.00893} = -0.1177 \]

\[ a_2 = 0.251 \quad a_1 = na_2 = 0.124 \]

Therefore, at 457 nm PSS there are 25% \( Z \)-4 and 75% \( E \)-4 in the solution.

For AP-\textsc{protac-1}, \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) was 344 nm.

To calculate PSS of AP-\textsc{protac-1} at 365 nm irradiation, for wavelength 1 (340 nm) and wavelength 2 (365 nm),

\[ n = \frac{D_{obsd \ 1_{\lambda_{max}}} - D_{E1_{\lambda_{max}}}}{D_{obsd \ 2_{\lambda_{max}}} - D_{E2_{\lambda_{max}}}} = \frac{0.09770 - 0.20426}{0.08813 - 0.20426} = 0.9176 \]

\[ \Delta_1 \frac{D_{E1}}{D_{E1}} = \frac{D_{obsd \ 1} - D_{E1}}{D_{E1}} = \frac{0.10268 - 0.20728}{0.20728} = -0.5046 \]

\[ \Delta_2 \frac{D_{E2}}{D_{E2}} = \frac{D_{obsd \ 2} - D_{E2}}{D_{E2}} = \frac{0.04502 - 0.13437}{0.13437} = -0.6650 \]

\[ a_2 = 0.853, \ a_1 = na_2 = 0.783 \]

Therefore, at 365 nm PSS there are 85% \( Z \) isomer, 15% \( E \) isomer in the solution.

To calculate PSS of AP-\textsc{protac-1} at 457 nm irradiation, for wavelength 1 (405 nm) and wavelength 2 (457 nm),

\[ n = \frac{D_{obsd \ 1_{\lambda_{max}}} - D_{E1_{\lambda_{max}}}}{D_{obsd \ 2_{\lambda_{max}}} - D_{E2_{\lambda_{max}}}} = \frac{0.1556 - 0.20426}{0.17672 - 0.20426} = 1.767 \]

\[ \Delta_1 \frac{D_{E1}}{D_{E1}} = \frac{D_{obsd \ 1} - D_{E1}}{D_{E1}} = \frac{0.02707 - 0.03337}{0.03337} = -0.1888 \]

\[ \Delta_2 \frac{D_{E2}}{D_{E2}} = \frac{D_{obsd \ 2} - D_{E2}}{D_{E2}} = \frac{0.0191 - 0.01854}{0.01854} = 0.0302 \]

\[ a_2 = 0.217, \ a_1 = na_2 = 0.383 \]

Therefore, at 457 nm PSS there are 22% \( Z \) isomer, 78% \( E \) isomer in the solution.
LC-MS spectra

UV traces of AP-PRTAC-1

Chromatograms of a 0.1 mM solution of AP-PRTAC-1 in water with 50% acetonitrile, non-irradiated and exposed to ambient light.
LC-MS method for PSS determination of AP-PROTAC-2

LC-MS chromatograms of a 0.1 mM solution of AP-PROTAC-2 in water with 20% acetonitrile, non-irradiated and exposed to ambient light.

MS+ at 9.06 min and 9.91 min.
LC-MS chromatograms of AP-PROTAC-2, after 8 min of 457 nm irradiation.

Area under curve of diode array showed PSS of 80% E and 20% Z.
LC-MS chromatograms of AP-PROTAC-2, after 8 min of 457 nm irradiation and followed by 8 min of 365 nm irradiation.

Area under curve of diode array showed PSS of 90% Z and 10% E.
UV-Visible spectroscopy determination of thermal half-life

A solution of 12 μM AP-PROTAC-2 in 0.1% DMSO in water was irradiated with 365 nm LED for 3 min. Following irradiation, the solution was kept in the UV-Vis spectrophotometer in a 37°C chamber for 24 h. A UV-Vis spectrum was recorded every 30 min during the incubation. It was assumed that the compound achieved the same conversion ratio with irradiation as observed in the LC-MS experiment (80% E with 457 nm and 90% Z with 365 nm irradiation).

The percentage of Z isomer was plotted against time (Figure S2), where an exponential trendline was fitted to the plot:

\[ [Z] = [Z]_0 e^{-kt}, \quad y = 0.847 e^{-6.08 \times 10^{-6} x} \quad (R^2 = 0.9707) \]

From the rate constant \( k \), the thermal half-life of the Z isomer could be calculated:

\[ t_{1/2} = \frac{\ln 2}{k} = 31.7 \text{ h} \]

Supplementary Information: Computational modelling of PROTAC ternary complex

Our structural modelling approach partitions the PROTAC-complex sampling problem into several steps and starts with a template-based protein-small-molecule docking protocol ClusPro LigTBM, which orients PROTAC end-ligands with corresponding proteins. Each PROTAC is bisected in the middle atom of the linker and conformers of the PROTAC halves have been generated by the ETKDG method and relaxed with MMFF (Figure S9). The resulting half-PROTACs are aligned to the respective protein-ligand complex models and filtered for clashes. The middle atom positions of the remaining conformations have been projected to grids. Next, to find energetically favourable protein-protein complex poses, we use the FFT-based docking program PIPER with an additional “silent” term. This term convolves the aforementioned grids and ensures that the middle atom on both sides of docking overlaps, thus it partially accounts for PROTAC accessible conformations and helps efficiently filter out unfeasible protein-protein complex poses. The structures where the half-PROTACs can be successfully connected into a complete PROTAC are relaxed by Amber energy minimization and clustered to produce complete ternary PROTAC complex models. A pdb file of the representative ternary complex pose shown in the main text was provided as a separate file.

Figure S9. Histogram of the distances between the linker attachment nitrogens for E and Z ETKDG-derived MMFF-optimized PROTAC conformers. The distance between the attachment atoms among the top CRBN/(E)-AP-PROTAC-2/FAK complex models varies from 19.3 to 21.1 Å, which is barely reachable for Z isomer.
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Note: Aurora-A was detected first, then the membrane was used for β-actin antibody incubation and HRP signal detection. In the blots of β-actin, weak bands above the main bands were signals from unstripped Aurora-A antibodies.
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