p62/SQSTM1 is an autophagy receptor and signaling adaptor with an N-terminal PB1 domain that forms the scaffold of phase-separated p62 bodies in the cell. The molecular determinants that govern PB1 domain filament formation in vitro remain to be determined and the role of p62 filaments inside the cell is currently unclear. We here determine four high-resolution cryo-EM structures of different human and Arabidopsis PB1 domain assemblies and observed a filamentous ultrastructure of p62/SQSTM1 bodies using correlative cellular EM. We show that oligomerization or polymerization, driven by a double arginine finger in the PB1 domain, is a general requirement for lysosomal targeting of p62. Furthermore, the filamentous assembly state of p62 is required for autophagosomal processing of the p62-specific cargo KEAP1. Our results show that using such mechanisms, p62 filaments can be critical for cargo uptake in autophagy and are an integral part of phase-separated p62 bodies.
p62/SQSTM1 (from here on p62) is a multifunctional adaptor protein that acts as a central scaffold protein in different cellular processes, such as autophagy and signaling. It has a tendency to cluster and, in human cells, is often observed in discrete punctae known as p62 bodies. The formation of these bodies is dependent on the amino-terminal PB1 domain of p62. PB1 domains are protein interaction modules with critical roles in the assembly of protein complexes involved in autophagy, signaling, cell division, and redox processes, as well as the auxin-response pathway in plants. PB1 domains form homotypic interactions via conserved electrostatic motifs molded by basic or acidic surface patches on opposite faces of their ubiquitin-like β-grasp fold. According to their interaction profile, PB1 domains are classified into type A (acidic, OPA1 motif), type B (basic), or mixed-type AB members. While type A and type B PB1 domains can form heterodimeric protein complexes, type AB members can mediate interactions with either PB1 domain type or engage in homotypic interactions to form homo-oligomers or hetero-oligomers. More recently, PB1-mediated self-interaction of p62/SQSTM1 was found to result in the formation of filamentous polymers with helical symmetry in vitro.

p62 has been shown to function in autophagy and cellular signaling. Autophagy is a degradative cellular housekeeping pathway by which cytoplasmic materials are engulfed in a double-membrane vesicle termed the autophagosome and delivered to the lysosomal compartment. Substrates for autophagy are not limited by molecular size and include large protein aggregates, intracellular pathogens, and cellular organelles. Selective autophagy has been characterized as the process that specifically directs cytosolic substrates to the formation site of autophagosomes. As an autophagy receptor, p62 links cargo proteins with the autophagosome membrane. PB1-mediated oligomerization of p62 is essential for its function as a selective autophagy receptor and thought to facilitate co-aggregation of ubiquitylated cargo. The C-terminal UBA domain of p62 captures ubiquitinated cargo, and the LIR motif guides the cargo–receptor complex to Atg8/LC3, which is anchored to the surface of the autophagosomes. Importantly, in addition to the selective autophagy degradation of ubiquitinated cargo, p62 is also involved in the degradation of other substrates such as KEAP1 known as a regulator of the antioxidative stress response transcription factor NRF2. KEAP1 binds directly to a specific motif in p62, i.e., the KEAP1-interacting region (KIR). In signaling, p62 bodies constitute an interaction hub for the kinases MEKK3, MEK5, and aPKCs, which also contain PB1 domains, in addition to triggering the NF-κB pathway through the polyubiquitination of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6).

Due to p62’s involvement in protein homeostasis, the impairment of autophagy or oxidative stress results in aggregation or upregulation of p62, including increased body formation. Recently, we and others independently found that p62 constitutes with other components of the autophagy pathway, such as ubiquitinated model cargo, and the selective autophagy receptor NBR1, spontaneously coalesce into p62 bodies in vitro and shows the characteristics of liquid–liquid-phase separation in vivo. These studies established that oligomerization by the N-terminal PB1 domain of p62 is an essential requirement for recapitulating phase separation in vitro, as well as for cargo uptake in vivo.

The exact structural requirements and physiological conditions under which p62-PB1 domains self-assemble or engage in hetero-PB1 complexes are currently unclear. Furthermore, it is not known what assembly state of p62 is required for biological functions such as cargo uptake in autophagy or the formation of phase-separated compartments in vivo. Based on high-resolution electron cryo-microscopy (cryo-EM) and crystal structures, cellular EM, biochemical, and cellular characterization, we here revealed the structural basis for polymeric PB1 self-assembly and defined the relevance of symmetry and spatial arrangement of the polymeric assembly state for p62 autophagy function in vivo.

Results
p62, TFG1, and AtNBR1–PB1 domains form filamentous polymers. Based on our previous finding that p62 is capable of forming homo-oligomeric filamentous assemblies, we set out to understand whether related AB-type PB1 domains possess a similar property to self-assemble. With reference to sequence alignments (Fig. 1a), we expressed and purified PB1 domains from human p62, TFG1, AtNBR1, Arabidopsis thaliana (AtNBR1), and AtNBR1 are multi-domain proteins that share the N-terminal PB1 domain with additional functional C-terminal domains (Fig. 1b). In order to assess whether these PB1 domain-containing proteins are capable of forming high-molecular-weight assemblies, we performed sedimentation assays by ultracentrifugation. The PB1 domains of TFG1, AtNBR1, and p62 were found in the pellet fraction, whereas PB1 domains from PKCβIi remained soluble (Fig. 1c), which is in agreement with our previous study, showing that both p62 and form filamentous structures. Furthermore, we visualized the pelleted fractions by using negative staining electron microscopy (EM) and observed elongated filamentous or tubular assemblies for the PB1 domains of p62, TFG1, and AtNBR1 that measure 145 ± 5 Å in diameter, respectively (Fig. 1d). Close inspection of the sequence alignments revealed that all three of these PB1 domains share the tandem arginine motif close to the canonical lysine residue of the basic motif in B-type PB1 domains. By contrast, this tandem arginine motif is absent in AB-type PB1 sequences of PKCβIi that does not form filamentous or tubular structures, suggesting a critical role for self-assembly.

Cryo-EM structures of AtNBR1 and p62-PB1 filaments. Of the three PB1 assemblies studied, AtNBR1–94 (AtNBR1–PB1) and p62–122 (p62-PB1) formed homogeneous filaments of constant diameter that appeared best suited for high-resolution structure investigation by cryo-EM. Therefore, we vitrified filaments of purified AtNBR1–PB1 and p62-PB1 domains and imaged the samples by cryo-EM (Fig. 2a, b). Image classification of segmented PB1 helices revealed that both AtNBR1–PB1 and p62–PB1 polymerize in two different tubular morphologies: a projection class with a ladder-like pattern, we term L-type, and a projection class with a serpentine-like one, we term S-type (Fig. 2c). L-type and S-type helices partition approximately evenly, i.e., 40–60% and 55–45% for p62-PB1 and AtNBR1–PB1 samples, respectively. Further analysis revealed that the occurrence of L-type or S-type assemblies is persistent along the individual helices in micrographs of AtNBR1–PB1, whereas for p62-PB1 filaments regularly displayed transitions from L-type to S-type symmetry (Supplementary Fig. 1D). In an effort to understand the underlying structures of L-type and S-type projections, we analyzed the averaged power spectra from in-plane rotated segments and from class averages. The best Fourier spectra of AtNBR1–PB1 and p62-PB1 showed discrete layer-line reflections up to 5.9 and 4.7 Å, suggesting a helical organization and preservation of structural order up to high resolution (Supplementary Fig. 1E, F). The comparison of the Fourier spectra...
confirmed that L-type and S-type structures are differently organized in their helical lattice. By indexing the layer lines in the Fourier spectra of AtNBR1–PB1 filaments, we concluded that L-type is a two-stranded helix with a pitch of 77.2 Å and 11.47 subunits/turn, whereas S-type is a single double-stranded helix with a pitch of 68.2 Å and 11.55 subunits/turn. For p62–PB1, we observed a four-stranded L-type assembly and a three-stranded S-type assembly. In the latter S-type, one of the three helical rungs is propagating in an antiparallel orientation, related to the central rung by local dihedral symmetry. The L-type here has a pitch of 135.9 Å with 14.16 subunits/turn. By deriving the 3.5/3.9-Å (L-type, p62/AtNBR1) and 4.0/4.4-Å (S-type, p62/AtNBR1) resolution structures (Fig. 2c, Tables 1, 2; Supplementary Fig. 1G, H). All four structures form tubules of ~120 Å and 150–Å width with an inner diameter of 45 Å and 70 Å for AtNBR1–PB1 and p62–PB1, respectively. In all reconstructions, the main chain of the PB1 domain could be resolved with α-helical pitch features and individual β-strands separated. The overall fold of the asymmetric unit was found compatible with the NMR structure of the p62–PB1 monomer.24,25 (Fig. 3a, b). In the absence of prior structural information, we traced the AtNBR1–PB1 de novo. This de novo-built model is in close agreement with the 1.6-Å crystal structure of a polymerization-deficient AtNBR1–PB1 mutant, which we solved in parallel (Table 3; Supplementary Fig. 2A). The relative orientation between adjacent subunits is very similar in the respective S-type and L-type assemblies of AtNBR1–PB1 and p62–PB1 (Supplementary Fig. 2B). The β1–α1 loop in p62 is flexible and only visible in the L-type assembly density (Supplementary Fig. 2C). Expanding the asymmetric unit by using the helical parameters of the L-type and S-type structures allowed analysis of the interface between repeating units. Despite overall similar interaction modes, the AtNBR1 and p62 assemblies showed differences in relative domain rotation between adjacent subunits and with respect to the helical axis (Fig. 3c). In agreement with sequence analysis (see Fig. 1a), the electrostatic potential mapped onto the molecular surface of the structures revealed that opposing charged surfaces mediate the PB1–PB1 interactions in the helical repeat (Fig. 3d). In addition, we more closely examined the interface of homomeric interactions in the helical assemblies. The main interactions are formed between a double arginine finger formed by two neighboring arginine residues in strand β2 (R19–R20AtNBR1/R21–22p62) stabilizing strong salt bridges to acidic residues (D60/D62/D64/D73AtNBR1 or D69/D71/D73/Ε82AtNBR1) in the OPCA motif located in the β2–β3 loop and the α2 helix (Fig. 3e). These interactions are assisted by the canonical-type B lysine (K11AtNBR1 and K7β2) in strand β1. Free-energy calculations using the PDBePISA server26 suggest that a large part of the interface free energy is contributed by the double arginine finger. In addition to the canonical transverse interactions, the helices are further stabilized by longitudinal interactions Y14AtNBR1/N28AtNBR1 or K102p62/D92p62 and R59p62/D93p62 to subunits of neighboring strands along the helical axis (Supplementary Fig. 2D, E). The importance of electrostatic interactions on filament stability is further supported by the observation that increased ionic strength impedes stable filament formation and is sensitive to pH (Supplementary Fig. 2C).
To validate our structural interpretation, we performed pull-down experiments using MBP-tagged wild-type AtNBR1–PB1 as a prey and a series of AtNBR1–PB1 interface mutants as bait (Fig. 3f). All interface mutants decrease binding significantly compared with the wild type, and binding is completely abrogated in mutants lacking the double arginine finger, in agreement with observations in cellular assays2,23. Together, the cryo-EM structures of two PB1 domain assemblies reveal that in addition to the canonical-type electrostatic AB interactions, the self-polymerization property is linked to the presence of a double arginine finger.

After establishing the molecular basis of PB1 domain homopolymerization, we wanted to understand how these assemblies interact with other PB1 domains of the A and B types that have been shown to co-localize with p62 punctae2. We therefore expressed and purified A-type human PB1 domains of MEK55–108 and NBR11–85, the B-type PB1 domain of MEKK343–127, and the AB-type PB1 domain of PKCζ11–101 and determined their binding affinities for polymerization-deficient p621–102 (D69A/D73A)5 by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). These PB1 domains show 2–10-fold lower binding affinity to p62 compared with its self-interaction dissociation constant (KD) of 6 nM27, with KD of 8.9 ± 0.9 nM, 12.6 ± 0.4 nM, 26.8 ± 0.5 nM, and 105 ± 1.3 nM determined for PKCζ, NBR1, MEKK3, and MEK5, respectively (Fig. 4a). Moreover, other PB1 interactions, such as binding of NBR1–PB1 to MEKK3, have also been measured and have even lower affinity (KD of 13.3 μM). We therefore hypothesized that binding of p62-interacting PB1 domains could compete with p62 self-polymerization and affect the assembly structures of p62-PB1 filaments. We found that NBR1–PB1 strongly interacts with p62-PB1 filaments and shortens p62-PB1 filaments on average to less than half the starting length (Fig. 4b, c). Surprisingly, MEKK3, MEK5, and PKCζ–PB1 showed no effect on the pelleting behavior of p62 assemblies, although having only marginally lower affinities than

PB1 domain interactions in the context of filamentous p62.

After establishing the molecular basis of PB1 domain homopolymerization, we wanted to understand how these assemblies interact with other PB1 domains of the A and B types that have been shown to co-localize with p62 punctae2. We therefore expressed and purified A-type human PB1 domains of MEK55–108 and NBR11–85, the B-type PB1 domain of MEKK343–127, and the AB-type PB1 domain of PKCζ11–101 and determined their binding affinities for polymerization-deficient p621–102 (D69A/D73A)5 by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). These PB1 domains show 2–10-fold lower binding affinity to p62 compared with its self-interaction dissociation constant (KD) of 6 nM27, with KD of 8.9 ± 0.9 nM, 12.6 ± 0.4 nM, 26.8 ± 0.5 nM, and 105 ± 1.3 nM determined for PKCζ, NBR1, MEKK3, and MEK5, respectively (Fig. 4a). Moreover, other PB1 interactions, such as binding of NBR1–PB1 to MEKK3, have also been measured and have even lower affinity (KD of 13.3 μM). We therefore hypothesized that binding of p62-interacting PB1 domains could compete with p62 self-polymerization and affect the assembly structures of p62-PB1 filaments. We found that NBR1–PB1 strongly interacts with p62-PB1 filaments and shortens p62-PB1 filaments on average to less than half the starting length (Fig. 4b, c). Surprisingly, MEKK3, MEK5, and PKCζ–PB1 showed no effect on the pelleting behavior of p62 assemblies, although having only marginally lower affinities than
NBR1 (Fig. 4d). To further analyze the interactions, we turned to negative staining EM. In agreement with the co-sedimentation data, for PB1 domains other than NBR1 we did not observe any effect on the morphology of p62-PB1 filaments and the measured filament lengths. In order to increase the sensitivity of detecting interactions with p62-PB1 filaments, we imaged p62-PB1 filaments incubated with nanogold-labeled NBR1, MEK5, MEK5, and PKCζ PB1 domains using negative staining EM (Fig. 4e). For all PB1 domains, the micrographs confirmed end-on binding of the PB1 domains to p62-PB1 polymers or to oligomeric, ring-like structures. Interestingly, NBR1, MEK5, and PKCζ PB1 domains preferably bind to one end of the filament (Fig. 4f), consistent with an overall polar assembly observed in the 3D reconstructions of p62-PB1 filaments (see Fig. 2). MEK3–PB1 (type B) was not observed at p62-PB1 filament ends, but occasionally found at oligomeric ring-like structures. Biochemical interaction studies suggest that assembled filamentous p62 can display significantly lower apparent binding affinities for interacting PB1 domains than when present in the monomeric form.

**Table 1** Cryo-EM data collection and helical reconstruction.

| Data collection and processing | AtNBR1-PB1<sup>1–94</sup> (S-type: EMD-10500, L-type: EMD-10499) | p62-PB1<sup>1–122</sup> (S-type: EMD-10502, L-type: EMD-10501) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Magnification                 | 105kx                                           | 130kx                                           |
| Voltage (kV)                  | 300                                             | 300                                             |
| Electron exposure (e−/Å)      | 17                                              | 40                                              |
| Defocus range (µm)            | 1.0–4.0                                         | 0.5–2.5                                         |
| Pixel size (Å)                | 1.386                                           | 1.040                                           |
| Symmetry imposed              | S-type: C1                                      | S-type: C1                                      |
| Final no. of segments         | S-type: 25,387                                   | S-type: 51,853                                  |
| Helical rise (Å)              | S-type: 5,905                                   | S-type: 9,78                                    |
| Helical twist (°)             | S-type: −31.1                                   | S-type: −26.48                                  |
| Global map resolution (Å, FSC = 0.143) | S-type: 4.4                  | L-type: 3.9                                      |
| Local map resolution (Å)      | S-type: 4.0–4.7                                 | S-type: 3.7–4.4                                 |

*Equivalent notation for asymmetric unit of two monomers as described in the main text.

**Table 2** Model refinement statistics.

| Model refinement | AtNBR1-PB1<sup>1–94</sup> (S-type: PDB ID 6TGP, L-type: PDB ID 6TGN) | p62-PB1<sup>1–122</sup> (S-type: PDB ID 6TH3, L-type: PDB ID 6TGY) |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Initial model used (PDB code) | PDB-6TGS (X-ray model)                                                | PDB ID 2KKC*                                                     |
| Model resolution (Å) | S-type: 5.5                                                          | L-type: 4.3                                                        |
| Map-sharpening B-factor (Å<sup>2</sup>) | S-type: −300                                                         | L-type: −200                                                      |
| Non-hydrogen atoms | 669 (S-/L-type)                                                       | 808 (S-/L-type)                                                   |
| Protein residues  | 88 (S-/L-type)                                                        | 104 (S-/L-type)                                                   |
| R.m.s. deviations | Bond lengths (Å)                                                      | Bond angles (°)                                                   |
|                  | 0.009/0.008 (S-/L-type)                                              | 0.006/0.007 (S-/L-type)                                           |
|                  | 1.16/1.16 (S-/L-type)                                                | 1.22/1.24 (S-/L-type)                                            |
| Validation       | MolProbity score                                                     | Clashscore*                                                      |
|                  | 2.41/2.29 (S-/L-type)                                                | 7.59/6.41 (S-/L-type)                                            |
|                  | Rotamer outliers (%)                                                 | 1.41/1.41 (S-/L-type)                                            |
|                  | Ramachandran plot                                                    | Favor (%)                                                        |
|                  |                                                                     | 93.21/94.19 (S-/L-type)                                          |
|                  |                                                                     | Allowed (%)                                                      |
|                  |                                                                     | 6.79/5.81 (S-/L-type)                                            |
|                  |                                                                     | Disallowed (%)                                                   |
|                  |                                                                     | 0.00 (S-/L-type)                                                 |

*Computed for 9-mer
*Kao et al.24

**Cellular p62 bodies consist of filamentous structures.** Although self-oligomerization of p62 has been shown to be essential for targeting of p62 to the autophagosome<sup>12</sup>, it is unclear whether the filamentous assemblies observed in vitro are involved in this process or even occur inside of cells. We used correlative light and electron microscopy (CLEM) to study the ultrastructure of p62 bodies in a targeted manner. In order to enrich endogenous p62 bodies in RPE1 cells, we overexpressed a human NBR1-D50R mutant that abolishes the interaction with p62<sup>2</sup>. Co-sedimentation experiments, in which the relative amount of p62 in the monomeric and polymeric state are determined, indeed showed that wild-type NBR1 solubilizes filamentous p62-PB1, whereas the D50R mutant does not (Fig. 5a). In RPE1 cells, the NBR1-D50R mutant consistently produced larger p62 clusters possibly by promoting self-polymerization as observed in vitro (Supplementary Fig. 4A). In such cells, we localized p62 to punctate areas of 0.5 ± 0.1-µm diameter by fluorescence microscopy and visualized their ultrastructure by electron tomography (Fig. 5b, Supplementary Fig. 4B, C). The electron micrographs revealed that p62 bodies have a distinct appearance that is well differentiable from the cytosol with an electron-dense boundary of ~60-nm thickness surrounding the body (Fig. 5c, d). We thresholded the interior density and found that the p62 bodies are composed of a dense meshwork of filamentous assemblies (Fig. 5e). Quantitative analysis of thresholded images confirmed the presence of elongated filament-like structures with an average diameter of 15 nm compatible in dimensions with the helical p62 structures observed in vitro<sup>8</sup>. We estimated the length of these structures by tracing individual filaments in...
sequential tomogram slices (Fig. 5f). CLEM visualization of p62 bodies in cells under endogenous p62 levels confirms the presence of filamentous assemblies.

The effect of different p62 assemblies on autophagy clearance. We next set out to assess the relevance of symmetry and assembly state of PB1-mediated filaments for biological function within cellular p62 bodies and lysosomal targeting through the autophagy pathway. In the comparison of PB1 assemblies visualized by negative staining EM, TFG1 showed the most striking difference to p62 assemblies both in size and apparent symmetry (see Fig. 1d). Therefore, we reasoned that a p62 chimera, in which
the resulting assemblies by negative staining EM (Fig. 6b). The only the p62 LIR motif and UBA domain (Fig. 6a) and visualized generated two p62 chimeras by fusing the TFG1 structures, the propagation of the helical structure is mediated and stabilized by positively (blue) and negatively charged (red) surfaces on opposite faces of the PB1 fold. Schematic illustration and detailed interactions of the PB1–p62 interface as determined from the AtNBR1–p62 and p62–PB1 cryo-EM structures, respectively. The structures are shown in cartoon representation highlighting key electrostatic residue contacts shown as sticks. In vitro pulldown with maltose-binding protein (MBP)-tagged wild-type AtNBR1–p62 of structure-based AtNBR1–p62 domain mutants. Error bars represent standard deviation (SD) of three independent experiments. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Table 3 X-ray crystallography data collection and refinement statistics.

| Data collection statistics |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Wavelength                | 37.9-1.53 (1.59-1.53) |
| Resolution range          | 21.2 |
| Space group               | P |
| Unit cell                 | 43.13 |
| Total reflections          | 25,830 (2499) |
| Unique reflections         | 13,035 (1271) |
| Multiplicity               | 2.0 (2.0) |
| Completeness (%)           | 99.22 (99.30) |
| Mean I/σ(I)               | 10.45 (1.42) |
| Wilson B-factor            | 20.99 |
| R-merge                   | 0.0279 (0.4132) |
| R-meas                    | 0.03958 (0.5844) |
| R-pim                     | 0.02799 (0.4132) |
| CC1/2                     | 0.999 (0.655) |
| CC                          | 1.00 (0.89) |
| Model refinement          |  |
| Reflections used in refinement | 13,030 (1271) |
| Reflections used for R-free    | 669 (53) |
| R-work                    | 0.2456 (0.3525) |
| R-free                    | 0.2776 (0.4166) |
| CC (work)                 | 0.927 (0.750) |
| CC (free)                 | 0.902 (0.462) |
| Model refinement          |  |
| Number of non-hydrogen atoms | 816 |
| Macromolecules            | 723 |
| Ligands                   | 52 |
| Solvent                   | 41 |
| Protein residues          | 88 |
| RMS (bonds)               | 0.007 |
| RMS (angles)              | 0.79 |
| Ramachandran              |  |
| Favored (%)               | 100.00 |
| Allowed (%)               | 0.00 |
| Outliers (%)              | 0.00 |
| Rotamer outliers (%)      | 5.49 |
| Clashscore                | 2.97 |
| Average B-factor          | 32.42 |
| Macromolecules            | 30.25 |
| Ligands                   | 51.32 |
| Solvent                   | 46.80 |

*Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.

TFG1-p62 chimera forms 48-nm wide filaments, which is approximately three times the diameter of WT-p62 filaments and possesses a helical architecture clearly different from that of WT-p62 filaments. The TFG1-mini-p62 chimera forms defined, ring-shaped oligomers with ~12 nm in diameter. To test whether the TFG1-p62 fusion constructs are able to form p62 bodies in cells, we expressed the chimeras fused to an N-terminal GFP tag in HeLa cells deficient of endogenous p62. As controls, we also expressed GFP-tagged WT-p62 and the mini-p62 construct (p62Δ123–319) (Fig. 6c). The transfected cells were analyzed by confocal fluorescence microscopy 24 h and 48 h post transfection. All constructs formed p62 bodies, with the majority of dots having a diameter in the range of 0.1–0.5 μm. We further classified GFP-positive punctae according to frequency of occurrence, the tendency to cluster, and the morphological appearance (Fig. 6c, d; Supplementary Fig. 5A). We next asked whether TFG1-p62 could perform the biological function of p62. We first assessed whether TFG1-p62 can be turned over by autophagy and targeted to acidified cellular compartments by using the “traffic light” reporter. Here, the mCherry-YFP tandem tag is fused to the target protein, and the acidification of the construct in lysosomes is monitored by appearance of red punctae. Although both TFG1-p62 chimeras displayed a diffuse yellow fraction, they were almost as efficiently degraded by autophagy as the WT and mini-p62 constructs (Fig. 6c). The transfected cells were analyzed by confocal fluorescence microscopy 24 h and 48 h post transfection. All constructs formed p62 bodies, with the majority of dots having a diameter in the range of 0.1–0.5 μm. We further classified GFP-positive punctae according to frequency of occurrence, the tendency to cluster, and the morphological appearance (Fig. 6c, d; Supplementary Fig. 5A).
Discussion

The PB1 domain is a common interaction module present in all kingdoms of life and found in various proteins involved in membrane trafficking, redox regulation, cell division, as well as in signaling. In this study, we focused on the structure in addition to the biological and functional relevance of the p62-PB1 domain in the context of polymeric assemblies. The overall ubiquitin-like fold of the PB1 domain has been determined, and different interface types through acidic and basic patches have been identified in earlier studies. Our cryo-EM structures of filamentous p62 and AtNBR1–PB1 assemblies revealed that the presence of a tandem arginine sequence in the basic motif of type...
AB interfaces is required to stabilize a polymeric assembly. Although the exact composition of the interface between opposed and electrostatically complementary surfaces is distinctly different for the two PB1 assemblies, the main functional acidic and basic residues including the essential double arginine finger are conserved (Fig. 3). Furthermore, we observed that the propagation of the helical rung is also distinctly different in p62 and AtNBR1 assemblies, with small changes in primary structure giving rise to large differences in quaternary structure. This property has been characterized in other sequence-related helical systems28. Interestingly, we also found that the polymeric PB1 domain structures of human p62 and AtNBR1 are assembled from a common helical
run into two morphologically distinct organization types, i.e., in the form of differently organized helical rungs. We speculate that this observed plasticity of assembling a common helical rung is a consequence of flexibility in forming the longitudinal PB1–PB1 interactions in the loop regions. As the constructs used here for structure determination and cellular assays were limited to PB1 domains of AtNBR1 and p62, the relevance and functional consequences of these different morphological arrangements within cellular polymeric assemblies remain open. Full-length p62 was shown to be flexible, and at this stage too disordered to be amenable to 3D reconstructions. In line with our previous analysis, the PB1 domain directs the C-terminus either to the outside or the inside of the helical assembly, depending on the exact helical arrangement. It is possible to envision that different morphological arrangements affect the availability of critical interaction motifs outside the PB1 domain, i.e., LIR and KIR motifs as well as the UBA domain.
Previous studies showed that purified full-length p62 can also form helical filaments. The existence of these assembly structures inside of cells, however, had not been demonstrated. Therefore, we used the CLEM technique to identify and visualize the ultrastructural organization of p62 found in large clusters known as p62 bodies. Image analysis confirmed that p62 bodies consist of a meshwork of short filamentous structures. The principal dimension of the observed structures is consistent in width and length with previous measurements in vitro. The structures are compatible with recently observed aggregates of p62 in brain neurons and neuroepithelial cells. Due to the limited length and flexibility, p62 filaments pack loosely into a spheroid-shaped, meshwork-like superstructure. The observed bodies with average dimensions below micrometers in size aggregate in structures that appear morphologically separated from the cytosol (Fig. 5), suggestive of phase separation as observed previously in reconstituted experiments. The observed body structures of hundreds of nanometers are also significantly larger than individual filaments with an average length of 30 nm. When organized in such large superstructures, p62 bodies are more similar in dimension to typical molecular cargo, such as protein aggregates, viruses, and organelles when compared with receptor oligomers or filament assemblies alone.

The organization of p62 in filamentous assemblies has direct functional consequences for the interaction with a series of binding partners in the context of autophagy as well as signaling. It has been shown that in vitro and in vivo, p62 can enhance low-affinity interactions to highly avid interactions. In addition, using p62-interacting PB1 domains from MEK5, PKCζ, and MEKK3 kinases, we show that p62 polymeric assemblies can be capped on one end or dissociate into smaller, ring-like structures. The intact p62 assemblies occlude the bulk of PB1 interaction sites that are accessible in its monomeric state. Conversely, we show that end binding of NBR1 to p62 filaments leads to disassembly and shortening, which can thereby modulate the length of the filamentous structure. As NBR1 binding has been shown to promote p62 body formation in vitro to co-localize with p62 bodies in vivo, we hypothesize that this filament-end interaction by NBR1 cross-links shorter filaments more effectively into larger structures and thereby also affects the size of p62 bodies in cells. We speculate that other interactors have similar effects on the size and dynamics of p62 bodies as they may occur in phase separation processes. The size of bodies will also control the availability of interaction sites. The presented structures and interaction studies of PB1-p62 filaments reveal a series of regulation mechanisms that are critical in the functional context of p62’s action in autophagy and signaling.

In order to understand how the assembly state, the specific symmetry, and subunit arrangement of this state affect p62’s biological function, we tested a series of chimera variants of p62 for their efficiency with regard to cargo uptake and autophagic degradation in the cell. The experiments showed that polymeric as well as oligomeric ring-like scaffolds from related PB1 domains fused to the C-terminal functional domains of p62 can be taken up by the autophagy machinery almost as efficiently as WT-p62. Interestingly, this is not the case for variants of p62 that are monomeric and diffuse in the cytosol. Our results suggest that structures organized in larger oligomeric clusters are sufficient to mediate self-disposal of p62, presumably due to increased avidity of accessible LIR motifs and UBA domains. The specific uptake of the model cargo KEAP1, however, could only be accomplished by WT-p62 and mini-p62 retaining the structural context of native p62 assemblies. Other TFG1-PB1-p62 chimera polymers were not capable of transferring KEAP1 to the lysosome. The dependency of the native p62-PB1 domain for filament assemblies and KEAP1 degradation was further illustrated by the monomeric double arginine finger (R21A/R22A) mutant of p62. This mutant was completely diffusely localized, not degraded by autophagy, and unable to mediate degradation of KEAP1 by autophagy. In conclusion, larger p62 assemblies, including ring-like structures and filaments, are essential for disposal of autophagy cargo. Moreover, the precise structural context of the filament assembly is affecting the ability to degrade KEAP1-containing aggregates, and possibly other p62-specific cargoes.

**Methods**

**Protein purification.** ANBR1 residues 1–94 (NBR1–PB1), p62 residues 1–122 (p62-PB1), and TFG1 residues 1–95 (TFG1–PB1) were cloned into a pETM44 expression vector containing a N-terminal His6 tag, followed by a maltose-binding protein (MBP) tag and a recognition sequence for 3C protease. Proteins were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) (obtained from Protein Expression and Purification Core Facility EMBL) using auto-induction in lactose-containing media. After 18 h, cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.05 mM TCEP, and 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100), and lysed by three cycles of rapid freeze–thawing in liquid nitrogen. After removal of cell debris by centrifugation, recombinant proteins were purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography, and dialyzed into 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 0.1 M NaCl, and 0.05 mM TCEP followed by proteolytic cleavage of the His6/MBP by incubation with 1:200 mol/mol 3C protease at ambient temperature. After 1 h, the cleavage solution was incubated with Talon resin (Clontech) for 15 min, and the resin subsequently sedimented by centrifugation. The supernatant contained the respective PB1 domains in high purity. p62 residues 1–122 (p62-PB1) were cloned into pPOPTM and expressed as a MBP fusion protein in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) using auto-induction (Studier 2005). NBR1 residues 1–85 (NBR1–PB1), PKCζ residues 11–101 (pPKCζ-PB1), MEK5 residues 5–108 (MEK5–PB1), and MEKK3 residues 43–127 (MEKK3–PB1) were cloned into the pETM11 containing an N-terminal His6 tag followed by a recognition sequence for TEV protease. Proteins were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) using auto-induction (Studier 2005). For the gold-labeling experiments, the His6 tag was not removed to allow binding of 5 nm Ni-NTA-Nanogold® (Nanoprobes). For consistency, the His6 tag was also kept on the proteins for the co-pelletation assay.

**Thermal unfolding assays.** Thermal denaturation assays were performed essentially as described previously. Briefly, protein was dialyzed into 15 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl for pH screening, or 100 mM HEPES (pH 7.5) for ionic strength screening. All additives were dissolved in 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5). A volume of 12.5 µl of a solution containing 500 ng of protein was diluted in H₂O with 5x Sypro Orange (Sigma-Aldrich) and immediately mixed with an equal volume of assay condition. All conditions were assessed in triplicate. Fluorescence increase was monitored on a MyQ real-time PCR instrument (BioRad). Assays were performed over a temperature range of 15–90 °C using a ramp rate of 1 °C.

![Fig. 6 Cellular assays of p62 polymeric state.](https://example.com/fig6.png)
min-1 in steps of 0.5 °C. Fluorescence data from triplicate measurements were baseline corrected individually, and unfolding curves were normalized to maximum fluorescence. The presence of a fractional denaturation curve was determined as the inflection point of a sigmoidal fit to the normalized fluorescence signal using a customized routine in R.

Quantification of PB1-binding affinities. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments were carried out with a VP-ITC system (MicroCal). Experiments were performed at 25 °C in 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl. The protein solution (0.1–0.2 mg/ml) and the pellet resuspended in 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, and 4 mM DTT was placed in the reaction cell at a concentration of 5–20 μM with either MEK5 or NBR1 at a concentration of 25–100 μM in the injection syringe. Injections of 10 μl of syringe solution were performed at 4 min intervals. Integration of the raw thermogram data, baseline correction, and data processing were performed with the NITPIC32 and SEDPHAS3 software packages. The data were corrected for heat capacity calculated from the basal heat remaining after saturation. A one-site binding mode was used to fit the data using a nonlinear least-squares algorithm34. The values reported are the mean of three independent measurements, and errors represent the corresponding standard deviation.

Co-pelleting assay. Co-pelleting assay was performed according to the F-actin binding co-sedimentation assay from CytoSkelon Inc. In brief, p62-PB1–122 potential binding partner, or p62-PB1–122 together with potential binding partner, were incubated for 1 h on ice followed by centrifugation at 49,000 g, 4 °C for 30 min in a TLA-100 rotor. The pellet and supernatant were assayed by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie.

Negative staining EM and filament-length measurements. p62-PB1–122 was incubated with different binding partners for 1 h on ice followed by 30 min of incubation with 5 μM Ni-NTA-Nanogold9 (diluted 1:25). Excess nanogold was removed through pelleting of filaments by ultracentrifugation at 49,000 g, 4 °C for 30 min in a TLA-100 rotor, and the pellet fraction was resuspended in 20 mM HEPES, pH 8, 50 mM NaCl. The sample (3.6 μl) was applied to a glow-discharged carbon-coated EM grid and blotted according to the side-blotting method35. Grids were imaged using a Morgani 268 transmission electron microscope (FEI) operated at 100 kV with a side-mounted 1 K CCD camera. Filament lengths for p62-PB1–122 and p62-PB1–122/HsNBR1–85 were measured using Fiji35, and statistical analysis was done using a two-tailed unpaired t test with Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism 6.0.

Pull-down assay. MBP-tagged AtNBR1–PB1–94 and mutant AtNBR1 residues 1–94 (AtNBR1–PB1) were expressed as described above and buffer-exchanged into 15 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl. For the AtNBR1 pull-down experiments, 50 μl of amylose resin (NEB) was incubated for 10 min with MBP–AtNBR1–PB1, followed by 5-min incubations with a 4:1 molar excess of mutant AtNBR1–PB1. Beads were washed with 15 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 500 mM NaCl, eluted with 15 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, and 30 mM maltose, and fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, p62, TFG1–mini-p62, and TFG1–p62 were purified as described and applied to size-exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 75 16/100 in 20 mM Tris (pH 8), 100 mM NaCl. Human KEAP1–DC–85 were cloned into pET-28a(+) with a N-terminal 6xHis tag, purified on Ni-NTA resin, and buffer-exchanged into 20 mM Tris (pH 8), 100 mM NaCl. Approximately 100 μl of amylose resin (NEB) was incubated for 30 min with either of the MBP-containing proteins at room temperature, followed by 30-min incubations with a 3:1 molar excess of KEAP1–DC–85. Beads were washed with 20 mM Tris (pH 8), 1 mM NaCl, and eluted with 20 mM Tris (pH 8), 1 mM NaCl, and 20 mM maltose. Fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

Electron cryo-microscopy. For AtNBR1–94, a total of 3.0 μl of 0.4 mg ml-1 AtNBR1–PB1 was applied to glow-discharged C-flat grids (CF-1.2/1.3 c) equipped with a Falcon II direct detector at 10 °C and 100% humidity. The sample was blotted for 5 s from both sides, and flash-frozen in liquid ethane after a drain time of 1 s. Micrographs were acquired at 300 kV using a FEI Titan Krios (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a K2 Summit detector (Gatan, Inc.), a pixel size of 1.04 Å, and an underfocus ranging from 0.5 to 2.5 μm. In total, 40 frames were collected in counting mode with a dose rate of 4.5 e- Å-2 s-1 and a total dose of 40 e- Å-2. In total, 2277 micrographs were automatically collected, and 856 micrographs without ice contamination or carbon chosen for further processing.

Image processing. For the AtNBR1 dataset, movie frames were aligned using MOTIONCORR36. The resulting frame stacks and integrated images (total frame sums) were used for further processing. The contrast-transfer function of the micrographs was determined with CTFINDS36 using the integrated images. Helix coordinates were picked using e2helboxlepr by the EMAN2 package32. A subset of 100 images was selected for preliminary processing in SPRING36. Briefly, overlapping helix segments added with the CTF and no in-plane rotation was applied prior to reconstruction. Starting from the symmetry parameters obtained for the L-type helix, symmetry parameters of the S-type helix were refined. The maximum of the mean cross-correlation peak between computed and experimental power spectra was found for the two-start (L-type) and one-start (S-type) helix reconstructions (Table 2).
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Atomic model building and refinement. For visual display and model building, the AtNBR1 EM density map of the individual reconstructions was initially filtered to 3.9 Å and 4.4 Å, respectively, and sharpened using a B-factor of −200 Å2. The AtNBR1–PB1 subunit model was built into the 3.9 Å density map of the L-type arrangement de novo in COOT45. Residues 81–85 could not be built de novo due to weak density, but were added based on the high-resolution crystal structure obtained in this study, which showed good agreement with the weak density. For the two-start secondary structure features, two separate subsequent 2D classifications were performed with two distinct groups of 2D classes belonging to an S-type and L-type pattern. Using SEGCLASSECONSTRUCT from the SPRING package36 a series of putative helical symmetry solutions could be obtained. In addition to running a series of refinements with these symmetry solutions, a C1 reconstruction provided additional hints for symmetry parameters. Imposition of wrong symmetry parameters led to smeared density features, whereas only the correct symmetries for both filament types led to recognizable high-resolution side-chain features. Helical symmetry was automatically refined in RELION to 77.3° helical rotation and 4.8 Å rise for the S-type and 26.5° rotation and 9.8 Å rise for the L-type symmetry (Table 2). The final symmetry parameters were refined in RELION using the CTF and no in-plane rotation was applied prior to reconstruction. Focussed refinement using COOT completed the model in COOT followed by further iterations of real-space refinement. The maximum of the mean cross-correlation peak between computed and experimental power spectra was found at a pitch of 70 Å, the CTF and no in-plane rotation was applied prior to reconstruction. Starting from the symmetry parameters obtained for the L-type helix, symmetry parameters of the S-type helix were refined. The maximum of the mean cross-correlation peak between computed and experimental power spectra was found for the two-start (L-type) and one-start (S-type) helix reconstructions (Table 2).

X-ray crystallography. Crystals of AtNBR1–94 carrying a D60A/D62A mutation were grown using hanging drop vapor diffusion at 292 K by mixing equal volumes of 11 mg ml-1 protein and reservoir solution. Within 10 h, crystals appeared as
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Electron tomography from cellular sections. For CLEM, RPE1 cells (ATCC CRL-4000) were transiently transduced with pDest-EGFP-NBR1(DSRed) and grown on photo-etched coverslips (Electro Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, USA). Cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde, 0.1% glutaraldehyde/0.1 M PHEM (80 mM PIPES, 25 mM HEpes, 2 mM MgCl2, and 10 mM EGTA, pH 6.9) for 1 h. The coverslips were then washed in PBS containing 0.05% saponin and stained with the indicated antibodies. Cells were then washed with PBS and incubated at 4°C overnight with rabbit anti-p62 (MBL, PM045), mouse anti-NBR1 (Santa Cruz, sc-130380), washed three times in PBS/saponin, stained with secondary antibodies (from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) for 1 h, washed three times in PBS, and shortly rinsed in water. The cells were mounted with Mowiol containing 2 μg/ml Hoechst 33342 (Sigma-Aldrich). Mounted coverslips were examined with a Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Microimaging GmbH, Jena, Germany) utilizing a Laser diode 405–30 CW (405 nm), an ArLaser Multiline (458/488/514 nm), a DiSPS-561 10 (561 nm), and a HeNe laser (633 nm). The objective used for confocal microscopy was a Zeiss plan-Apochromat 1.4/0.8 DI M III. Cells of interest were identified by fluorescence microscopy and a Z-stack reconstruction. The precise positioning of the cells on the photo-etched coverslips was determined by taking a DIC image. The coverslips were removed from the object glass, washed with 0.1 M PHEM buffer, and fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde/0.1 M PHEM for 1 h. Cells were postfixed in osmium tetroxide, stained with tannic acid, dehydrated stepwise to 100% ethanol, and flat-embedded in Epon. Serial sections (~100–200 nm) were cut on a Ultratome UC ultra-thin microtome (Leica, Germany), collected on formvar-coated mesh grids, and post-stained with lead citrate.

Cell culture and transfections. HeLa p62 KO cells were cultured in Eagle’s minimum essential medium with 10% fetal bovine serum (Biochrom AG, S0615), non-essential amino acids, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1% streptomycin–penicillin (Sigma, P4333). For transfection the same media was used but without 1% antibiotics (penicillin, streptomycin). Cells were fixed in 4% PFA for 20 min at room temperature. For immunostaining, cells were permeabilized with cold methanol for 5 min at room temperature, blocked in 3% goat serum/PBS, and incubated at room temperature with antibodies. For DNA staining 1:4000 dilution was used in PBS of DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific; pr66248). Samples were visualized using a LumiAnalyst Imager (Roche Applied Sciences).

Data availability

The PDB accession numbers for the atomic coordinates and structure factors of the reported AtNBR1-PB1 X-ray crystal structure is PDB ID 6TGS. Raw X-ray diffraction intensities of the AtNBR1-PB1 crystal structure (PDB ID 6TGS [https://doi.org/10.22127/pdb6tgs/pdb]) have been deposited under https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3565568. The EMD accession numbers for the L- and S-type AtNBR1-PB1 cryo-EM maps and models are EMD-10499/EMD-10500 and the corresponding PDB IDs 6TGN [https:// doi.org/10.22127/pdb6tgns/pdb] and 6TGP [https://doi.org/10.22127/pdb6tgps/pdb]. For the L- and S-type p62-PB1 cryo-EM maps and models the EMD accession numbers are EMD-10501/EMD-10502 and PDB IDs 6THG [https://doi.org/10.22127/pdb6thgs/pdb] and 6THZ [https://doi.org/10.22127/pdb6thjs/pdb] have been assigned, respectively. The source data underlying Figs. 1C, 3F, 4D, 4F, 5A, 5E, and 5F are provided as a Source Data file. All relevant data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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