Tumor-associated eosinophilia in a patient with EGFR-positive, MET-amplified lung adenocarcinoma refractory to targeted therapy: a case report and review of literature
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Abstract: Paraneoplastic eosinophilia is a rare complication observed in 1% solid tumor cases and appears to have tumor type-dependent prognostic impact, in which the increased eosinophil count was generally associated with unfavorable prognosis. In the English literature, more than 20 patients have been reported of eosinophilia associated with primary non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) at diagnosis, all of whom underwent either surgery, chemotherapy, or symptomatic therapy. Herein, we describe clinical course a stage IV NSCLC patient with paraneoplastic eosinophilia and leukocytosis and receiving targeted therapy. A 64-year-old male former smoker was diagnosed with lung adenocarcinoma harboring EGFR L858R mutation and MET amplification. Blood eosinophilia was manifested at diagnosis and confirmed to be paraneoplastic by eliminating other potential causes. The disease progressed rapidly within a month on EGFR inhibitor icotinib and then within three months on icotinib plus crizotinib after rapid response within the first month. A multi-target kinase inhibitor anlotinib was added, and the disease progressed one month later despite initial self-reported asymptomatic high-performance status. The patient was lost to subsequent follow-ups. Radiographic evaluation of disease control or progression coincided with respective distinct alleviation or worsening of eosinophilia. Consistent with previous reports of poor clinical outcome associated with blood eosinophilia, our results suggested a negative prognostic impact in EGFR-/MET-altered NSCLC. This case is, to the best of our knowledge, the first to provide evidence for blood eosinophilia paralleling disease progression in an EGFR- and MET-altered lung adenocarcinoma under targeted therapy, which suggested negative prognostic impact of blood eosinophilia in driver-positive NSCLC.
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Introduction

Occurring in approximately 1% of patients, paraneoplastic eosinophilia is a rare phenomenon in solid tumors (1). Eosinophilia refers to an increase in the number of eosinophils, which are granulocytic leukocytes normally formed in the bone marrow (BM). Upon maturation or in response to multiple stimuli, eosinophils enter circulation and migrate to destination tissues (2). Eosinophilia can occur in the peripheral blood (blood eosinophilia) or tissue (tissue eosinophilia). Blood eosinophilia is graded as mild with an absolute eosinophil count (AEC) of 0.5–1.5×10⁹/L, moderate (1.5–5.0×10⁹/L), and severe (>5.0×10⁹/L). The causes are manifold and therefore identified by process of exclusion. The common ones include hematological malignancies, helminth infection, allergic reaction, autoimmune conditions, and tumor necrosis or extensive metastasis (3). In the English literature there are over 20 cases of blood eosinophilia associated with primary non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) prior to treatment (Table 1). All but two of
| Reference | Age (yrs) | Sex | Ever-smoker | Subtype | Pathologic stage | Blood AEC (10^9/L) at diagnosis | BM EOS at diagnosis (%) | Initial treatment | Outcome |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-------------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| (4)       | 71        | M   | N           | LCC     | NR (was resectable) | 5                              | NR                     | Resection         | Eosinophilia resolved after tumor resection but recurred three months later. Imaging revealed new masses. Corticosteroid achieved drastic yet transient drop in AEC. Patient died after refusing further therapy |
| (5)       | 52        | M   | Y           | LCC     | NR (was resectable) | 1.1                            | NR                     | Resection         | Eosinophilia resolved after tumor resection but recurred 8 months later, one month after which a metastasis appeared, followed by pleural effusion and worsening symptoms. Eosinophilia increased rapidly. Patient died 16 months after diagnosis |
| (6)       | 55        | M   | Y           | SCC     | NR (patient refused surgery) | 0.9                          | 13.2                   | Chemotherapy      | Eosinophilia resolved after chemotherapy started, accompanied by reduction in tumor size and pleural effusion before patient was lost to further follow-up |
| (7)       | 65        | M   | Y           | SCC     | NR                            | 30.8                          | Prominent eosinophilia     | NR                | NR |
| (7)       | 68        | M   | N           | ADC     | NR                            | 114.4                         | NR                     | NR                | NR |
| (8)       | 72        | M   | NR          | LCC     | IIIA                          | 90                             | Eosinophilic hyperplasia   | Resection         | Eosinophilia resolved after tumor resection along with elevated serum interleukin-5 |
| (9)       | 53        | M   | Y           | LCC     | IV                            | 14.6                          | NR                     | Palliative chemotherapy and brain radiotherapy | Peripheral blood eosinophilia worsened six weeks after diagnosis. Patient manifested altered mental state and increase in shortness of breath 4 weeks into therapy and in died few days later |
| (10)      | 82        | M   | Y           | ADC     | NR                            | 23.7                          | 39                     | Hydroxyurea and corticosteroid | The patient’s condition worsened rapidly while blood AEC increased 4-fold. Blood eosinophilia was alleviated following treatment. Patient died within ten days after admission |
| (11)      | 52        | M   | NR          | ADC     | NR                            | 82.3                          | Marked eosinophilia       | Hydroxyurea and corticosteroid | There was only modest reduction in the eosinophilia. Patient died 47 days after admission |

Table 1 (continued)
| Reference | Age (yrs) | Sex | Ever-smoker | Subtype | Pathologic stage | Blood AEC ($10^9/L$) at diagnosis | BM EOS at diagnosis (%) | Initial treatment | Outcome |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-------------|---------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------|
| (12)      | 60        | F   | NR          | ADC     | IV             | 26.2                             | NR                     | Steroids         | Eosinophilia was alleviated upon steroids treatment and re-emerged during hospice. The patient died approximately one month after diagnosis |
| (13)      | 35        | M   | N           | ADC     | NR (met suspected) | 11.3                             | NR                     | Steroid and other symptomatic therapy | Blood eosinophilia did not respond to treatment. Patient was started on chemotherapy and discharged on request after one cycle |
| (14)      | 55        | M   | Y           | ADC     | IV             | 27.4                             | NR                     | Palliative radiation | The patient completed 13 sessions of radiation without improvement in eosinophilia or symptoms, and was discharged to hospice |
| (15)      | 54        | M   | Y           | ADC     | NR             | 3                                | NR                     | Steroids and allopurinol | Chemotherapy after initial treatment induced marked decline in leukocytosis and eosinophilia. AEC started rising after 6 cycles when new metastases were found. Patient died 13 months after diagnosis |
| (16)      | 68        | M   | NR          | ADC     | III            | 79.6                             | NR                     | Hydroxyurea       | Response was not specified but the patient was later started on chemotherapy, although his respiratory status deteriorated and the patient passed away |
| (17)      | 65        | M   | NR          | NR      | IV             | 41.04                            | NR                     | Hydroxycarbamide  | Patient manifested rapidly progressing respiratory insufficiency with hypercapnia on hydroxycarbamide. Afterwards, cyclophosphamide appeared to induce drastic decrease in AEC. Comfort therapy was initiated after lung cancer was diagnosed. The patient died |
| (18)      | 61        | M   | Y           | LCC     | NR (IV suspected based on malignant pleural effusion) | 23–31                           | Marked hypereosinophilia | Carboplatin plus paclitaxel | Disease progressed with recurrent massive pleural effusion and a mediastinal shift to the left side. Second-line chemotherapy was started, but the patient died due to disease progression and respiratory failure 2 months after diagnosis |
| (19)      | 68        | M   | NR          | SCC     | Recurrent      | 11.2                             | Marked eosinophilia     | Methylprednisolone | Methylprednisolone induced rapidly decreasing AEC, but patient’s condition worsened rapidly and he died on the 5th day of hospitalization |
Table 1 (continued)

| Reference | Age (yrs) | Sex | Ever-smoker | Subtype | Pathologic stage | Blood AEC (10^9/L) at diagnosis | BM EOS at diagnosis (%) | Initial treatment | Outcome |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-------------|---------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| (20)      | 69        | M   | Y           | LCC     | NR              | 1.2                             | NR                     | Cytotoxan plus adriamycin | Respiratory difficulties and weight loss progressed over the clinical course while blood eosinophilia worsened. Chemotherapy did not result in clinical improvement. The patient died 12 months after symptom onset |
| (21)      | 60        | M   | Y           | SCC     | NR              | 33.9                            | NR                     | Prednisolone         | Eosinophilia was noted on readmission 6 months after palliative pneumonectomy. Prednisolone did not lead to improved AEC. Patient died 15 weeks after eosinophilia was noted |
| (22)      | 59        | F   | NR          | SCC     | NR              | 10.3                            | NR                     | NR                 | Eosinophil persisted until the patient's death 2 months after diagnosis |
| (22)      | 61        | M   | NR          | NR      | NR              | 14.4                            | NR                     | NR                 | Patient died soon after presentation |
| (22)      | 71        | M   | NR          | NR      | NR              | 1.7                             | NR                     | NR                 | Patient deteriorated rapidly and died |
| (22)      | 71        | M   | NR          | IV      | NR              | 5.9                             | NR                     | NR                 | Patient died 2 weeks after diagnosis, when AEC had increased approximately 10-fold |
| (22)      | 57        | M   | NR          | NR      | NR              | 8                               | NR                     | NR                 | The patient deteriorated rapidly and died 3 months later, during which time moderate to severe eosinophilia persisted |

ADC, adenocarcinoma; AEC, absolute eosinophil count; BM, bone marrow; EOS, eosinophil; LCC, large-cell carcinoma; Met, metastasis; NR, not reported; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.
these patients were male and the most had adenocarcinoma or large cell carcinoma. Eosinophilia is clinically important in that it could induce damage in multiple end organs and impair the functional status of the patient, thereby limiting anticancer therapy (2). Treatment with steroid or hydroxyurea provides short-term symptomatic relief, although management of the underlying malignancy is regarded as the mainstay (10-13,15). There is also evidence of blood eosinophilia as a potential poor prognosticator or a predictor of favorable response to immunotherapy (23-26). Herein, we describe a 64-year-old male smoker with metastatic lung adenocarcinoma associated with blood eosinophilia.

We present the following article in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-21-1089).

Figure 1  **Representative histologic and radiographic findings of primary lung and metastatic hepatic lesions.** (A) Computed chromatography images showing the patient manifested rapidly progressing disease after targeted therapy with icotinib monotherapy from Jun 2020. Subsequent combination therapy with icotinib and crizotinib led to partial response one month later. However, in Nov, the primary lesion was enlarged, and several hepatic metastases appeared, which were consistent with PD. Radiography one month after starting icotinib, crizotinib plus anlotinib showed enlarged hepatic lesions consistent with PD. (B) Hematoxylin and eosin staining of the two lesions. There were occasional eosinophils at the lower left corner of the left panel and lower midline area of the right panel (blue arrowheads). Scale bar: 50 μm. PD, progressive disease.

**Case presentation**

A 64-year-old man was admitted in Jun 2020 with shortness of breath. His ECOG performance status (PS) was evaluated at 1. Past medical history was significant for tobacco use of 100 pack years before quitting 10 years earlier and grade 3 hypertension under regular therapeutic control for more than ten years. Physical examination revealed no palpable supraclavicular lymph nodes, symmetric rib cage, dull note to percussion and absent breath sound over the left lung field, and resonate note and clear breath sound over the right lung field, while no rales or rhonchi were noted. There were leukocytosis (leukocyte count 13.61×10⁹/L) and mild blood eosinophilia (AEC 1.38×10⁹/L). Enhanced CT showed pleural effusion and metastases to multiple mediastinal lymph nodes, left pleura, diaphragm, and spleen (**Figure 1A**). Histopathological review
of CT-guided lung biopsy indicated poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma consistent with stage IVB (T4N2M1c) and slightly infiltrated by eosinophils (Figure 1B). Immunostaining against PD-L1 showed a Tumor Proportion Score of 80%. In terms of cause of eosinophilia, the patient had no history of allergy or autoimmune conditions. Total IgE was normal and tests for major autoantibodies, including anti-neutrophil cytoplasm antibody (ANCA), anti-glomerular basement membrane (GBM), and antinuclear antibodies (ANAs), were all negative. The patient also tested negative for antibodies against hepatitis A/B/C virus, syphilis, and HIV, and tuberculosis infection was excluded via interferon gamma release assay. Particularly, Rose Bengal Plate and Brucella agglutination tests were performed considering the patient’s occupation as a sheep breeder, and both were negative, further excluding microbic infection. Stool examination for ova and parasites was also negative. Bone marrow aspiration on Jul 6 revealed a blast percentage of 0.5% and excluded leukemic process. The blood eosinophilia was therefore determined as tumor-associated.

Genomic profiling with plasma using a panel of 168 cancer-associated genes (Burning Rock Biotech, China) identified EGFR p.L858R (abundance of 34.6%) and MET amplification (copy number 4.4). Meanwhile, eosinophilia continued to exacerbate with AEC peaking at 23.36×10⁹/L (Figure 2). MET amplification is a major mechanism of acquired resistance to EGFR TKIs (27,28). For EGFR TKI-resistant lung adenocarcinoma with acquired MET amplification, a recent retrospective study of 11 patients showed an overall response rate of over 80% and median progression-free survival of 5.8 months achieved with first- or third-generation EGFR TKI plus ALK/ MET/ROS1 inhibitor crizotinib (29). As plasma and a liver metastasis both tested negative for EGFR p.T790M, the patient started on icotinib (125 mg p.o. tid) combined with crizotinib (250 mg p.o. bid). He was evaluated at partial response (PR) one month later but progressed again after another two months in November (Figure 1A), during which AEC dropped temporarily dropped to borderline normal level one before the PR evaluation and rose again to mild eosinophilia shortly after the PD evaluation (Figure 2).

Pathological examination of biopsy of a hepatic lesion confirmed the pulmonary origin and revealed eosinophil infiltration (Figure 1B). At this point, there was severe eosinophilia in peripheral blood with AEC peaking at 23.36×10⁹/L (Figure 2). MET amplification is a major mechanism of acquired resistance to EGFR TKIs (27,28). For EGFR TKI-resistant lung adenocarcinoma with acquired MET amplification, a recent retrospective study of 11 patients showed an overall response rate of over 80% and median progression-free survival of 5.8 months achieved with first- or third-generation EGFR TKI plus ALK/ MET/ROS1 inhibitor crizotinib (29). As plasma and a liver metastasis both tested negative for EGFR p.T790M, the patient started on icotinib (125 mg p.o. tid) combined with crizotinib (250 mg p.o. bid). He was evaluated at partial response (PR) one month later but progressed again after another two months in November (Figure 1A), during which AEC dropped temporarily dropped to borderline normal level one before the PR evaluation and rose again to mild eosinophilia shortly after the PD evaluation (Figure 2). Molecular testing showed EGFR L858R at markedly decreased abundance (6.5%) and similar MET copy number (copy number 5.0) compared with baseline, suggesting MET amplification as a potent oncogenic driver at this point. The patient refused chemotherapy. For alternative choices, there have been preclinical evidence and isolated report of EGFR-mutated NCSLC with elevated MET signaling responding to EGFR TKI combined with inhibitors of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a downstream effector of MET (30,31). Anlotinib, a multi-kinase inhibitor

![Figure 2 A scheme showing key time points of treatment and corresponding response evaluations as well as peripheral blood AEC over the course of management. AEC, absolute eosinophil count; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response.](image-url)
TKI targeting VEGFR2/3 and other kinases, is approved in China for third-line treatment of advanced NSCLC and therefore added to form the 3rd-line therapy (icotinib: 125 mg p.o. tid; crizotinib: 250 mg p.o. bid; and anlotinib: 8 mg p.o. qd, days 1–14, 21 days as a cycle), which began in Jan 2021. Despite initial self-reported asymptomatic high functional status, radiographically findings were consistent with PD a month later based on lesion enlargement (Figure 1A). Eosinophilia also worsened from mild to moderate (Figure 2). The patient refused further treatment and was lost to subsequent follow-ups.

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee(s) and with the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the editorial office of this journal.

Discussion

Increased AEC is either a result of leukemic proliferation of precursors in the bone marrow or a reaction to pathological processes such as tumor activity, parasitic infections, or allergy. In this case, medical history, tests for autoantibodies, common pathogens, Brucella, ova and parasites were all negative. Combined with absence of leukemic process in the BM, the blood eosinophilia was determined to be cancer-associated.

Prognostic impact of paraneoplastic blood eosinophilia appears to be tumor type-dependent. For instance, higher preoperative blood AEC was significantly associated with lower risk of recurrent primary breast cancer (32). In NSCLC, however, a review of literature suggests eosinophilia as a potential poor prognostic factor (3,33). Of patients whose survival outcome was available (19/24), all but two died or started hospice within 1 year after initial diagnosis or onset of eosinophilia (Table 1). Moreover, there was considerable temporal proximity between progression/relapse and alleviation of eosinophilia and of the malignancy. For instance, our case is characterized by steep turns in the AEC curve between Aug 5 and Aug 28, which corresponded to progression on first-line EGFR inhibitor and rapid response to second-line combination of EGFR and MET inhibitors (Figure 2). Additionally, in three patients who underwent tumor excision, AEC normalized after surgery (4,5,8), and eosinophilia resolution and tumor size and pleural effusion reduction followed chemotherapy initiation in another case (6). Conversely, Kodama et al. described recurrent eosinophilia that heralded detection of a metastasis, which was followed by worsening symptoms (5), and in Slungaard et al. imaging studies revealed new masses after eosinophilia relapse, along with fever, hepatomegaly, and pulmonary emboli (4).

Nonetheless, it is noteworthy that clinical outcome did not appear on strongly correlated with severity of eosinophilia, as illustrated by the vast AEC difference in the above mentioned three surgically treated patients (AEC at diagnosis 1.1, 5, and 90×10^3/L). Also, it remains unclear whether and how eosinophilia precedes or follows cancer progression, which warrants investigation into the biological relevance of circulating eosinophils.

The surge in blood eosinophil count is likely a reflection of increased eosinophil production in the BM and traffic on route to the tumor. A chemotactic factor that can stimulate eosinophil generation in human BM culture in patient-derived tumor tissue and/or serum has been noted in a handful of studies (4-8,10,18,20,34). Identity of this factor is yet to be established, as granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF; molecular weight 14.5 kD), interleukin-5 (IL-5; 40–50 kD), and a polypeptide of 30–40 kD (20) have been reported. In a patient with stage IIIA large cell carcinoma, elevated IL-5 and AEC both dropped after tumor removal (Table 1) (8). In contrast, Lammel et al. reported no abnormal increase in IL-5 level, whereas GM-CSF staining of tumor samples indicated intracellular expression along with that of GM-CSF receptor (18). It is likely that multiple cytokines regulate eosinophil maturation and recruitment in manners dependent on the tumor microenvironment context.

Contrary to the trend toward negative prognostic impact summarized above, a recent development suggests eosinophilia may predict response to immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) with PD-(L)1 inhibitors (23–26). A retrospective analysis revealed significantly improved survival outcomes in patients who presented with blood eosinophilia during immunotherapy than those who did not, thereby suggesting a promising therapeutic option (23). Eosinophilia was present before immunotherapy in 10 of the 33 patients in the former group. More research is therefore awaited to define patients with baseline eosinophilia who are likely to benefit from ICB, since sustained eosinophilia could lead to severe organ damage such as altered mental state (9) and endomyocardial disease (20,21), and eventually fatality. Indeed, patients with eosinophilia during treatment were at significantly greater risk of developing immune-
related adverse effects compared to those without (23). Interestingly, the authors also noted higher prevalence of eosinophilia before immunotherapy (10/121, 8.3%) than reported in solid tumors (~1%). This higher prevalence could be attributed to paraneoplastic leukocytosis, which is not uncommon in NSCLC and has been associated with high granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) levels and poor prognosis (18). A study of 37,973 Japanese healthy adults found male gender and current smoking associated with elevated leukocyte count among other factors, although ex-smoking was not. Most of the NCSLC patients with eosinophilia in Table 1 were either non- or ex-smokers, and in our case, leukocyte count was normal despite eosinophilia at the three consecutive blood tests between start and progression on 2nd-line icotinib plus crizotinib (data not shown). More research is therefore needed to define clinicopathologic factors contributing to blood eosinophilia in NSCLC.

In summary, this case is, to the best of our knowledge, the first to provide evidence for blood eosinophilia paralleling cancer progression in an EGFR- and MET-altered NSCLC patient who received targeted therapy, which suggested possible negative prognostic impact of blood eosinophilia in driver-positive NSCLC.
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