Malignant gangliocytic paraganglioma of the duodenum with distant metastases and a lethal course

Bin Li, Yang Li, Xiao-Ying Tian, Bo-Ning Luo, Zhi Li

Abstract
Gangliocytic paraganglioma (GP) is rare and has been regarded as benign in general with a good prognosis. We present a patient with duodenal GP showing a malignant and lethal clinical course. A 47-year-old male patient was found to have a duodenal tumor and enlarged regional lymph nodes. The patient initially underwent a pancreaticoduodenectomy to resect the tumor and involved lymph nodes completely. Histological and immunohistochemical analyses showed findings typical of GP. However, the distant metastatic lesions in the liver and pelvic cavity were rapidly observed after surgery. The patient underwent chemotherapy and radiotherapy, as well as a second surgery to partly remove the metastatic mass in the pelvic cavity. The histological examination revealed no significant difference in histological features between the primary duodenal tumor and the metastatic pelvic mass. However, the patient finally died of the tumor due to the recurrence of the residual pelvic lesion and increased liver mass. To our knowledge, this is the first report of lethal GP with multifocal metastases. Our case confirms that GP should be regarded as a malignant potential tumor with behavior code of “1”, rather than a benign tumor of “0”.

Introduction
Gangliocytic paraganglioma (GP) is a rare tumor, which occurs nearly exclusively in the second portion of the duodenum and is characterized by its triphasic cellular differentiation, consisting of epithelioid neuroendocrine cells, spindle-shaped cells with Schwannian cell differentiation, and ganglion-like cells[1]. Since this tumor was first described by Dahl et al[2], there have been no more than 200 cases reported in the literature in the world to date. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification, this lesion has been regarded as
benign, but a few cases with regional lymph node metastasis and even distant metastasis have been reported. To the best of our knowledge, so far only 18 cases of GP with regional lymph node metastases\textsuperscript{[1,20]} and two with bone or liver metastases\textsuperscript{[21,22]} have been described. Surprisingly, almost all patients with GP, including those having lymph node or distant metastasis, gain a good outcome without recurrence. Moreover, there is no record of a patient dying of GP although only one patient received irradiation intervention because of aggressive behavior of the tumor\textsuperscript{[12]}. Herein, we report a unique case of this clinical entity in a middle-aged male patient. In contrast to previous cases, our case presents prominent aggressive biological behavior with regional lymph node, liver and pelvic cavity metastases. The patient died of GP finally after radiotherapy and chemotherapy. This is the first presentation of a malignant clinical course and poor prognosis of GP. The literature on this rare tumor is reviewed and its clinicopathological characteristics are discussed.

CASE REPORT

Case history

A 47-year-old male patient presented with complaints of mild upper abdominal pain for 3 mo. During this period, he was referred to a local hospital for radiological examination. Computed tomographic (CT) scans revealed a mass in the duodenum, near the duodenal papilla. A gastrointestinal endoscopy was performed in the local hospital, but biopsy examination was negative because only inflammatory mucosa was observed under the microscopy. As a result, the patient was referred to our hospital for examination and treatment. Physical examination results were normal. The laboratory results, including blood count and liver and renal function, were within the normal range. The CT images acquired at the local hospital showed a 3.0 cm duodenal mass in the medial wall of the subpapillary duodenum without signs of pancreatic invasion (Figure 1). An enlarged lymph node of the pancreatic posterior group, measuring 1.0 cm, was also found. The lesion was preoperatively diagnosed as a gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) of the duodenum with lymph node metastasis. The patient underwent a pancreaticoduodenectomy since the lymph node could be metastatic, and the mass of the duodenum was gross totally resected. Postoperative recovery was uneventful without surgical complications. After diagnosis, the patient received no radiotherapy/chemotherapy and was only on a regular follow-up. Follow-up CT scans at 4 mo showed that two masses grew in the liver and pelvic cavity, respectively. Considering that distant metastases could occur, the patient decided to receive radiotherapy and chemotherapy. The patient was treated with intensity-modulated radiotherapy over 30 elapsed days, and the total dose was 5040 cGy. However, the tumors did not show remarkable radiosensitivity. Therefore, systemic chemotherapy with the combination of cyclophosphamide, vincristine and dacarbazine was also performed. Regrettably, the masses did not regress. After chemotherapy, that was nine months after initial surgical resection of duodenal mass, CT scans revealed that the mass in the pelvic cavity was larger and the surrounding organs were pressed. A second laparotomy was performed and the majority of the pelvic mass was resected. However, two months later, CT scans showed that a mass re-grew at the site of original tumor location in the pelvic cavity and the liver mass became larger, which lost opportunities for surgical treatment (Figure 2). The patient developed persistent ascites and fever. Finally, he died 13 mo after the initial surgery.

Pathological findings

On microscopic examination, the duodenal mass was located in the submucosa with extension to the muscularis propria. The mass was nonencapsulated and had an infiltrative margin, and the pancreas was observed to be involved. The tumor was composed of three morphologically distinct cell populations: epithelioid cells, spindle cells, and scattered ganglion-like cells. The epithelioid cells, arranged in nests and gland-like structures, had granular eosinophilic cytoplasm and round to oval-shaped nucleus with an inconspicuous nucleolus. They were cytologically bland with minimal to mild nuclear pleomorphism. The spindle cells formed slender fascicles wrapping around nests of epithelioid cells. These spindle-shaped cells had an elongated and plump nucleus, including an attenuated eosinophilic cytoplasm without marked atypia. Tumor cells of a ganglion-like cell type were rarely seen and had a round nucleus with conspicuous nucleolus. There was no mitotic figure or necrosis found in the mass. The metastatic tumor was found in seven of sixteen lymph nodes, and the metastatic foci showed the presence of the three cellular components identified in the primary tumor (Figure 3). Like the duodenal mass, the mass in the pelvic cavity also exhibited similar histological appearance with three identical cellular components. The tumor appeared to have an infiltrative margin and mild to moderate atypia.
No necrosis or hemorrhage was found in the tumor (Figure 4).

**Immunohistochemical findings**

By immunohistochemical staining, the epithelioid cells were positive for cytokeratin (AE1/AE3), neuron-specific enolase (NSE), synaptophysin (Syn), Chromogranin A (CgA) and CD56. The ganglion-like cells were immunoreactive for Syn and NSE. In contrast, the spindle shaped cell type showed positive reactivity for S-100 protein, but not for Syn or CgA. Neither cell type was positive for CD117, CD34, Dog-1, SMA, desmin, MyoD1 or myogenin. MIB-1 (Ki-67) labeling index estimated less than 1% in both primary and metastatic foci (Figure 5). In order to inspect the lymphatic and vascular invasion of tumor cells, D2-40 and CD31 were used to highlight the lymphatic vessels and blood vessels, respectively. However, there was no certain vascular invasion identified in tumor tissues.

Based on the pathological findings, the tumor was diagnosed as a malignant duodenal GP with regional lymph node and distant metastases.

**DISCUSSION**

GP has been known as a rare and benign neuroendocrine tumor. It was first described as “duodenal ganglioneuroma” in 1957 by Dahl et al[1]. In that case, only a very small marginal area of epithelioid cells was found, where the greatest portion of the lesion was a ganglioneuroma and the authors classified it as such[2]. In 1962, Taylor et al[3] described a group of unusual polypoid tumors in the duodenum which they called “benign non-chromaffin paragangliomas”, mostly because they found the nests of epithelioid cells to be indistinguishable from the “Zellballen” of carotid body tumors and other chemoreceptor structures. In 1971, Kepes and Zacharias[4] suggested the term of “gangliocytic paraganglioma” for those duodenal lesions, because these tumors had light and electron microscopic features seen in paragangliomas as well as ganglioneuromas, indicating a transitional or hybrid form of tumor between gangliocytomas and nonchromaffin paragangliomas. In 1989, WHO accepted GP as a new entity of benign tumors of “neurogenic tumors” because of its distinct morphological and clinical characteristics[5]. However, the 3rd edition[6] and the latest edition (4th edition)[7] of the WHO classification revised GP to “endocrine tumor” and “neuroendocrine neoplasm”, respectively, which further emphasized neuroendocrine differentiation of the tumor.

GPAs have been regarded as benign in general, but a few cases showing lymph node metastasis are well known which required extensive surgical removal and adjuvant radiotherapy[8,9]. However, the penetrative
Figure 3 Photomicrographs of the duodenal lesion. A: A low-power image of the duodenal lesion showed a mass occupying the submucosa and extending into muscularis propria with an infiltrative margin; B: At high-power fields, the lesion was composed of epithelioid cells arranged in a nested fashion, slender spindle-shaped cells and occasional ganglion-like cells; C, D: Occasional psammoma bodies could also be found in the lesion. The duodenal lesion exhibited a penetrative growth pattern with pancreatic infiltration (E) and regional lymph node metastasis (F). All three components of the tumor was found in effaced nodal architecture (A: HE staining with original magnification × 40; B-D: HE staining with original magnification × 400; E, F: HE staining with original magnification × 200). HE: Hematoxylin and eosin.

Figure 4 Photomicrographs of the pelvic cavity lesion. A: At low-power fields, the lesion appeared to have an infiltrative margin without necrosis, hemorrhage or invasion of blood vessels; B: At high-power fields, the lesion exhibited an admixture of three types of tumor cells, and epithelioid cells were observed to be arranged in pseudoglandular structures (A: HE staining with original magnification × 100; B: HE staining with original magnification × 400). HE: Hematoxylin and eosin.
growth pattern of the tumor and even lymph node metastasis are not indicative of aggressive behavior or malignancy. Unlike previously reported cases, our case was characterized clinically by multifocal distant metastases and a rapidly aggressive clinical course. The patient died of the disease after surgical resection, chemotherapy and radiotherapy. To our knowledge, this is the first case of lethal GP with a malignant clinical course, but without obvious histological atypia of all three elements of the tumor, and high mitotic figures or proliferative index. We have compared the histological difference between the primary tumor of the duodenum and the metastatic pelvic mass in the present case, and tried to establish the histological prognostic indicators of malignant GP. However, the cytological bland spindle cells along with mild atypia of epithelioid cells were observed in both masses. No necrosis or high mitotic activity was found in tumors, which was consistent with all of reported GPs, even those with lymph node or distant metastasis. Our findings indicate that histological evaluation has limited prognostic value in GPs. We postulated that some important factors are involved in the process of GP invasion and metastasis, which cannot bring obvious morphological changes but indeed induce aggressive biological behavior, and result in the discrepancy between malignant clinical course and pathological findings. Further molecular or cytogenetic analysis is needed to elucidate the related mechanisms.

Although most of GPs exhibited good outcomes, our case suggested that it should not be considered as a benign tumor. Because of its potentially metastatic behavior, we prefer to label GP as a tumor with “uncertain malignant potential”, at least for those with evidence of lymph node and/or distant metastasis. The behavior code of the tumor is probably best to be regarded as “1 (unspecified, borderline or uncertain behavior)”, rather than “1 (malignant)”.

Figure 5 Immunohistochemical analysis of the lesions in the duodenum, lymph nodes and pelvic cavity. The epithelioid cells were positive for cytokeratin (AE1/AE3) (A) and chromogranin A (B). The spindle-shaped cells were diffusely positive for S-100 protein (C), and ganglion-like cells were positive for synaptophysin (D). Ki-67 index was low in either epithelioid cell areas (E) or spindle-shaped cell areas (F). A-D: Immunohistochemical staining with original magnification × 400.
than as “0 (benign)”. We focused on the significant factors associated with the malignant behavior of the tumor in previously reported cases. To date, there have been no more than 200 cases of GP reported in the literature in the world, but only 20 bona fide cases reported as GP with regional lymph node metastasis or distant metastasis (Table 1). The primary tumors of GP were usually large (> 2 cm in diameter), relatively well-circumscribed, had an infiltrative growth margin and frequently extend into the muscularis propria. However, almost all patients showed good outcomes without recurrence. Indeed, only one patient required additional surgical intervention due to a residue of the tumor at her first endoscopic procedure[14], one patient has been reported as showing a recurrence due to a residue of a previous tumor at his initial surgical intervention[15], one patient received radiotherapy after surgical intervention[16], and two patients had distant liver and bone metastasis, respectively[17,18].

GP patients with metastasis have extremely good prognosis with long survival periods (6 mo to 11 years), and there was no record of a patient dying of GP to date except for our presenting case. Furthermore, despite of metastatic locations, the neoplastic elements of GP in metastatic foci were not observed to influence the prognosis of the patients, although epithelioid component sometimes exhibited as the sole element in metastatic foci[19,20]. Some researchers tried to establish the immunohistochemical prognostic indicators of GP using bcl-2, p53, and Ki-67, which were acceptable prognostic indicators in several kinds of neuroendocrine tumors. However, bcl-2 and p53 showed negative reactivity in all of reported cases[15,27], and Ki-67 labeling index value was extremely low either in primary tumors or in metastatic tumors. Therefore, the immunohistochemical evaluation using these markers may have limited prognostic value in GPs at present.

Patients with GP usually have favorable prognosis when they receive total resection, although a residual mass can recur after a long time[11]. At present, no chemotherapy was advised due to the rarity of distant metastases and the lack of response of this tumor to conventional systemic therapy. The present case was also not responsive to conventional chemotherapeutic regimens, such as cyclophosphamide and vincristine, which were associated with response rates of 50%-55% for malignant paraganglioma. A few cases had been described to apply radiotherapy for the lesions that had metastases and the lack of response of this tumor to conventional systemic therapy. The present case was also not responsive to conventional chemotherapeutic regimens, such as cyclophosphamide and vincristine, which were associated with response rates of 50%-55% for malignant paraganglioma. A few cases had been described to apply radiotherapy for the lesions that had metastases and the lack of response of this tumor to conventional systemic therapy. The present case was also not responsive to conventional chemotherapeutic regimens, such as cyclophosphamide and vincristine, which were associated with response rates of 50%-55% for malignant paraganglioma. A few cases had been described to apply radiotherapy for the lesions that had metastases and the lack of response of this tumor to conventional systemic therapy.

Table 1  Clinicopathological features of gangliocytic paraganglioma with lymph node or distant metastasis

| No. | Ref. | Age (yr)/gender | Tumor site | Tumor size (cm) | Regional lymph node metastasis | Distant metastasis | Treatment | Outcome |
|-----|------|-----------------|------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1   | Büchler et al[20] (1985) | 50/male | Ampulla of Vater | 3.0 | Yes | No | LR | NED 20 mo |
| 2   | Korbi et al[21] (1987) | 73/female | Duodenum | NA | Yes | No | WP | NA |
| 3   | Inai et al[22] (1989) | 17/male | Duodenum | 2.0 | Yes | No | WP | NED 32 mo |
| 4   | Hashimoto et al[23] (1992) | 47/male | Second portion of the duodenum | 6.5 | Yes | No | WP | NED 14 mo |
| 5   | Dookhan et al[24] (1993) | 41/male | Duodenum | 2.5 | Yes | No | LR + WP | Recurrence 11 years after first local resection |
| 6   | Takabayashi et al[25] (1993) | 63/female | Papilla of Vater | 3.2 | Yes | No | WP | NED 24 mo |
| 7   | Tomicic et al[26] (1996) | 74/female | Pancreas | 4.0 | Yes | No | WP | NED 19 mo |
| 8   | Henry et al[27] (2003) | 50/male | Pancreas | 2.5 | Yes | Yes (bone) | WP | NA |
| 9   | Sundararajan et al[28] (2003) | 67/female | Second portion of the duodenum | 5.0 | Yes | No | WP | NED 9 mo |
| 10  | Bucher et al[29] (2004) | 31/female | Papilla of Vater | 3.0 | Yes | No | WP | NED 44 mo |
| 11  | Wong et al[30] (2005) | 49/female | Duodenum | 1.4 | Yes | No | WP + RT | NED 12 mo |
| 12  | Witkiewicz et al[31] (2007) | 38/female | Papilla of Vater | 1.5 | Yes | No | LR + WP | NA |
| 13  | Mann et al[32] (2009) | 17/female | Duodenum | NA | Yes | No | WP | NED 12 mo |
| 14  | Okubo et al[33] (2010) | 61/male | Papilla of Vater | 3.0 | Yes | No | WP | NED 6 mo |
| 15  | Saito et al[34] (2010) | 28/male | Papilla of Vater | NA | Yes | No | WP | NA |
| 16  | Sandmann et al[35] (2010) | 62/female | Ampulla of Vater | 5.0 | Yes | No | LR | NA |
| 17  | Uchida et al[36] (2010) | 67/female | Second portion of the duodenum | NA | Yes | No | WP | NA |
| 18  | Rowse et al[37] (2011) | 52/female | Duodenum | 1.0 | Yes | Yes (liver) | LR | NED 27 mo |
| 19  | Ogata et al[38] (2011) | 16/male | Ampulla of Vater | 2.5 | Yes | No | WP | NED 36 mo |
| 20  | Barrett et al[39] (2012) | 51/female | Duodenal papilla | 3.5 | Yes | No | WP | NED 8 yr |
| 21  | Present case | 47/male | Duodenal papilla | 3.0 | Yes | Yes (liver and pelvic cavity) | WP + RT + CT + pelvic mass resection | Die 13 mo after initial surgery |

LR: Local resection; WP: Whipple procedure; CT: Chemotherapy; RT: Radiotherapy; NA: Not available; NED: No evidence of disease.
GPs. But complete resection of the tumor combined with adjuvant chemo- or radiotherapy is suggested to apply for GP patients with lymph node and/or distant metastasis to avoid the potentially rapid progression of the disease. Of course, more effective chemotherapeutic regimens and long-term careful follow-up are necessary for these patients.

In conclusion, we report a rare case of duodenal GP with regional lymph node and distant metastases occurring in an adult patient. In contrast to previously reported GPs with metastasis, our presenting case exhibits marked malignancy with a rapid aggressive clinical course. The patient finally died of GP after surgery, chemotherapy and radiation intervention, which has not been previously described. To our knowledge, this is the first case of lethal GP with multifocal metastases. Despite the lack of acceptable prognostic indicators for GPs at present, especially for those with regional lymph node and/or distant metastasis, our case indicates that GP is indeed a tumor with uncertain malignant potential. We suggest adjuvant therapies for the patients with metastasis after complete excision of the tumor, although the consensus on the adjuvant chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy for this tumor has not been reached yet.
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