Potential Anti-Cancer Flavonoids Isolated From *Caesalpinia bonduc* Young Twigs and Leaves: Molecular Docking and In Silico Studies
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**ABSTRACT:** Tyrosine kinase (TK), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) are important cancer therapeutic target proteins. Based on reported anti-cancer and cytotoxic activities of *Caesalpinia bonduc*, this study isolated phytochemicals from young twigs and leaves of *C. bonduc* and identified the interaction between them and cancer target proteins (TK, VEGF, and MMP) in silico. AutoDock Vina, iGEMDOCK, and analysis of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of the isolated bioactives as therapeutic molecules were performed. Seven phytochemicals (7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydroisosflavanone, 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-4″-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone, luteolin, quercetin-3-methyl, kaempferol-3-O-β-D-xylopyranoside and kaempferol-3-O-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)-β-D-xylopyranoside) were isolated. Molecular docking analysis showed that the phytochemicals displayed strong interactions with the proteins compared with their respective drug inhibitors. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of the compounds were promising suggesting that they can be developed as putative lead compounds for developing new anti-cancer drugs.
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**Introduction**

Cancer is one of the non-communicable diseases that pose a great risk to public health and tough challenge to modern medicine. It is a major cause of reported human deaths worldwide with approximately 9 million deaths and more than 14 million new cases reported each year.\(^1\) Deep understanding of the mechanisms of formation and spread of tumour cells is important in the development of new and effective therapeutic agents to induce apoptosis. Apoptosis is a physiological process of cell death, which is well-regulated; thus, cellular inflammatory responses are not induced, making it a safer and better feature of a therapeutic candidate.\(^2\) Some techniques such as chemotherapy, radiation, checkpoint inhibitors,\(^3\) anti-cancer antibodies,\(^4\) and adoptive cell therapies\(^5\) have been developed to induce apoptosis. Inhibition of angiogenesis, tumour vascularization, and tyrosine kinase (TK) activity are key therapeutic points that prevent metastasis and cause apoptosis.\(^1\) Thus, TK, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) are important therapeutic targets. Natural products, medicinal plants, and plant-based food have played an important role in management, treatment, and prevention of cancer.\(^6\) Plants are exceptional and dependable sources of novel anti-cancer therapeutics responsible for more than 60% of the various anti-cancer agents available.\(^7\) *Caesalpinia bonduc* (Linn) Roxb., commonly known as Gray Nicker nut and Ayo by the Yoruba tribe in south-west Nigeria, is a prickly shrub with a hard, grey, globular-shaped, and smooth shining surface seeds found in tropical and sub-tropical Africa, Asia, and the Caribbean.\(^8\) Glycosides, alkaloids, and cassane/voucapane diterpenoids in seed kernel; cassane diterpene, caesaldekarins (F, G, and -C), caesalpinin, and bonducellpins (A, B, -C, and -D) in roots; homoisoflavonoids, 6′-o-methylcaesalpinianone, and caesalpinianone in bark; and pinitol, brazillin, and bonducin in leaves are various bioactive phytoconstituents that have been identified.\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^10\)\(^,\)\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^12\) Isolated flavonoids from *C bonduc* have been reported to have exhibit antiplasmodial and anti-cancer activities against chloroquine sensitive strain of Plasmodium falciparum (FCR-3)\(^9\) and HeLa\(^13\) cells, respectively. Anti-cancer and cytotoxic activities of various parts of *C. bonduc*\(^10\)\(^,\)\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^12\) as well as its isolated phytoconstituents\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^14\) have been reported, with upregulation of Bax and activation of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) as a possible mechanism of apoptosis induction. Despite these broad studies, there is a dearth of information on the molecular interaction between the phytoconstituents and proteins involved in angiogenesis, metastasis, and apoptosis. This study, therefore, investigates the molecular interaction of identified phytochemicals present in young twigs and leaves of *C bonduc* with human VEGF, TK, and MMP as well as their absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity (ADMET) properties in silico.
Materials and Methods

Plant material

Young twigs and leaves of *C bonduc* (Linn) Roxb. were collected from Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria (FRIN), Ibadan, Oyo state, Nigeria. Plant identification was done by Prof. Conrad Omonhinmi, Department of Biological Sciences, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun state, Nigeria. Authentication and voucher referencing were carried out at FRIN with voucher specimen SHI108408 deposited in their herbarium.

Extraction and solvent fractionation of plant material

The leaves and young twigs of the plant collected were air dried at room temperature and powdered. Powdered plant (8.8 kg) was extracted with 75% v/v ethanol (50 L) at normal room temperature (25°C) by maceration for 72 hours using 3 consecutive extractions. The total filtrate was concentrated to dryness with rotary evaporator at 50°C. The dried ethanolic extract of the plant (1120 g) was re-suspended in distilled water (H_{2}O) and partitioned in sequence with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, and n-butanol. The different solvent fractions were concentrated with rotary evaporator to yield petroleum ether–soluble fraction (150 g), ethyl acetate–soluble fraction (120 g), and n-butanol. The dried ethanolic extract of the plant was extracted with 75% v/v ethanol (50 L) at normal room temperature (25°C) by maceration for 72 hours using 3 consecutive extractions. The total filtrate was concentrated to dryness with rotary evaporator at 50°C. The dried ethanolic extract of the plant (1120 g) was re-suspended in distilled water (H_{2}O) and partitioned in sequence with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, and n-butanol. The different solvent fractions were concentrated with rotary evaporator to yield petroleum ether–soluble fraction (150 g), ethyl acetate–soluble fraction (120 g), n-butanol–soluble fraction (170 g), and H_{2}O–soluble fraction (630 g).

Fractionation, isolation, and identification of compounds

Ethyl acetate and petroleum ether soluble fractions were combined (270 g) and separated by column chromatography (CC; 3 kg silica gel, mesh 100–200; solvent: chloroform-methanol [100:1]). Fractions were collected in gradient and this afforded 20 different fractions (C1–C20). Successive column separations of C6 in (silica gel, mesh 200–300; solvent: petroleum ether-acetone [15:1]) and (silica gel, mesh 10–40; solvent: chloroform-ethyl acetate [30:1]) afforded compound 1. Successive CC separation of C10 in (silica gel, mesh 200–300; solvent: chloroform-acetone [30:1]), (silica gel, mesh 200–300; solvent: chloroform-methanol [120:1]), and (silica gel, mesh 10–40; solvent: chloroform-methanol [60:1]) and further separation by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC column: YMC-Pack ODS-A, 10 mm × 15 cm, flow rate of 2 mL/min; solvent: methanol-water [60:40] and [58:42]) led to the separation of compounds 2 and 3, respectively. Series of CC separation of C12 in (silica gel, mesh 200–300; solvent: chloroform-methanol [200:1]), (silica gel, mesh 10–40; solvent: chloroform-methanol [40:1]), and (Sephadex LH-20, solvent: chloroform-methanol [1:1]) and further separation by HPLC (YMC-Pack ODS-A, 10 mm × 15 cm, flow rate of 2 mL/min; MeOH: H_{2}O [50:50]) led to the separation of compounds 4 and 5. Sequential separation of C16 by CC in (Sephadex LH-20, solvent: chloroform-methanol [1:1]) and (silica gel, mesh 10–40; chloroform-methanol [20:1]) and separation by HPLC (YMC-Pack ODS-A, 10 mm × 15 cm, flow rate of 2 mL/min; solvent: methanol-water [47:53]) afforded compound 6. Sequential CC separation of C20 in (Sephadex LH-20; solvent: chloroform-methanol [1:1]) and further separation by medium-pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC; RP-18; MeOH: solvent: water [10%-90%]) and HPLC (YMC-Pack ODS-A, 10 mm × 15 cm, flow rate of 2 mL/min; solvent: methanol-water [60:40]) afforded compound 7. Structural identification was carried out by spectroscopic methods.

Ligand modeling

The structures (.sd) of the identified compounds were sketched using ChemDraw and used as ligands. The 3D files were converted to their corresponding three-dimensional (3D) structures and saved as .pdb format using Open Babel. Gasteiger charges were added and non-polar hydrogens were merged using AutoDock4.2.17

In silico analysis of drug-likeness

The drug-likeness of the screened compounds was calculated using SwissADME. The ligands were subjected to Lipinski, Ghose, Veber, Egan, and Muegge screening. Only ligands that were able to satisfy these variants without default were used for docking simulation.

Protein preparation

The 3D crystal structures of human VEGF, human TK, and human MMP were retrieved from Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics (RCSB) protein data bank (PDB) as 1vpf, 1iku, and 2oxu as their respective PDB codes without any complexed ligands. The protein structures were cleaned, water molecules removed, Gasteiger charges computed, polar hydrogens added, and non-polar hydrogens merged using AutoDock4.2.17

Active site prediction

The possible active binding sites of the proteins were obtained using DoGSiteScorer. The binding sites with the best volume, surface area, and druggability score were selected for this study. DoGSiteScorer is a grid-based method, which uses a difference of Gaussian filter to detect potential binding pockets, solely based on the 3D structure of the protein, and splits them into subpockets.

Docking simulations

AutoDock4.2 was used to transform both receptor and ligand structures to pdbqt file format, which includes atomic charges, atom-type definitions and, for ligands, topological information (rotatable bonds) with a grid centred to ensure coverage of the binding site of the structure. AutoDock Vina was used to perform docking simulations, generating 9 conformations of ligand in complex with the receptor, which were finally ranked
on the basis of binding energy. Target proteins and ligands were docked with iGEMDOCK (version 2.1). The genetic algorithm (GA) parameters, which guided the docking procedure, were set as 200 (population size), 70 (generations), and 3 (number of solution). Bond energies, such as hydrogen bond (Hb), van der Waals (VdW), and electrostatic interaction that occurred between the proteins and ligands were identified. The resulting conformations were visualized in the Discovery Studio Visualizer and PyMol.

ADMET properties

The in silico ADMET properties of the selected compounds were calculated as an alternative approach to the expensive experimental evaluation of ADMET profiles. For ADMET assessment, we used admetSAR32 to examine the different pharmacokinetic parameters of the docked ligands.

Results and Discussion

Fractionation, isolation, and identification results

Figure 1 illustrates the 7 flavonoids (7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone; 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone; 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone; luteolin; quercetin-3-methyl; kaempferol-3-O-β-D-xylopyranoside; and kaempferol-3-O-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)-β-D-xylopyranoside) that were isolated via bioassay-guided fractionation of both the petroleum ether and ethyl acetate fractions of "C. bonduc" ethanolic extract. These compounds have previously been isolated from different parts of "Caesalpinia" spp., "Draba nemorosa" and "Bauhinia racemosa".

Drug-likeness results

In estimating drug-likeness of a compound, the compliance of the compounds’ physicochemical properties with filter variants such as Lipinski rule of 5 (RO5), Ghose filter, Veber rule, Egan rule, and Muegge rule is paid close attention. Properties such as the number of hydrogen bond donors (HBD), hydrogen bond acceptors (HBA), molecular mass, logP, molar refractivity, rotatable bonds, topological polar surface area (TPSA), and number of rotatable bonds are taken into consideration. Among these isolated phytochemicals, only 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone; 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone; 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone; luteolin; and quercetin-3-methyl passed all the various drug-likeness tests they were subjected to without any default. Kaempferol-3-O-β-D-xylopyranoside and kaempferol-3-O-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)-β-D-xylopyranoside had defaults in all variants except for Ghose test of drug-likeness which kaempferol-3-O-β-D-xylopyranoside passed (Table 1). Lead compounds whose properties successfully pass these variants are considered to be drug-like.

Table 1. Druglikeness violation of isolated compounds.

| COMPOUND | NAME                                         | NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS |
|----------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------|
|          |                                              | LIPIINSKI GHOSE VEBER EGAN MUEGGE |
| 1.       | 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | – – – – – |
| 2.       | 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone            | – – – – – |
| 3.       | 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone  | – – – – – |
| 4.       | Luteolin                                     | – – – – – |
| 5.       | Quercetin-3-methyl                           | – – – – – |
| 6.       | Kaempferol-3-O-β-D-xylopyranoside            | 1 – 1 1 2 |
| 7.       | Kaempferol-3-O-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)-β-D-xylopyranoside | 3 3 1 1 3 |
### Table 2. Molecular docking analysis using AutoDock Vina for isolated compounds showing estimated binding free energy and interacting residues in the binding site of VEGF, TK, and MMP.

| PROTEIN (PDB CODE) | LIGAND | BINDING AFFINITY (KCAL/MOL) | HB-IR (Å) | VDW-IR | PI-IR |
|---------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|-------|
| VEGF (1vpf) | 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | −6.7 | Ile 29, Gly 58, Gly 59, Leu 32, Thr 31, Glu 30, Cys 57 | Ile 29, Gly 58, Gly 59, Leu 32, Thr 31 |
| | 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone | −5.9 | Ile 29, Gly 58, Gly 59, Leu 32, Thr 31, Glu 30, Cys 57, Arg 56 | Ile 29, Gly 58, Gly 59, Leu 32, Thr 31 |
| | 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | −6.6 | Gly 59, Cys 60, Cys 61, Glu 64, Glu 67, Ser 50, Asp 63, Phe 47, Phe 38, Leu 66 | Ile 29, Gly 58, Gly 59, Leu 32, Thr 31 |
| Luteolin | | −7.0 | Cys 61 (3.33), Cys 68 (3.38) | Phe 36, Phe 47, Gly 34, Gly 67, Leu 66, Cys 60, Gly 59, Asn 62, Asp 34 | Ser 50, Gly 64, Ile 46 |
| | Quercetin-3-methyl | −6.3 | Gly 59, Cys 68, Cys 61, Cys 51, Glu 67, Lys 107, Leu 66, Phe 36, Asp 63, Gly 67, Asp 64, Phe 67, Val 36 | Cys 60, Gly 65, Ser 50 |
| Pazopanib | | −7.7 | Gly 59 (2.52), Asp 34 (2.40), Ser 50 (0.89) | Thr 31, Gly 59, Gly 67, Ser 50, Leu 66, Asp 63, Gly 67, Asp 64, Phe 67, Val 36 | Leu 32, Ile 29, Cys 60, Thr 31 |
| Tk (1fmk) | 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | −8.6 | Gly 344, Tyr 340, Met 341, Phe 405, Ile 336, Ser 345, Asp 348 | Asp 404, Ala 403, Ala 293, Lys 295, Leu 273, Leu 393, Thr 338, Val 281 |
| | 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone | −7.7 | Gly 344, Tyr 340, Met 341, Phe 405, Ile 336, Ser 345, Asp 348 | Asp 404, Ala 403, Ala 293, Lys 295, Leu 273, Thr 338 |
| | 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | −8.8 | Gly 344, Tyr 340, Met 341, Phe 405, Ile 336, Ser 345, Asp 348 | Leu 273, Leu 393, Lys 295, Ala 293, Ala 404, Thr 338, Thr 281 |
| Luteolin | | −8.7 | Asp 404 (3.03), Met 341 (3.11, 3.47) | Tyr 340, Val 323, Ile 336 | Lys 295, Ala 403, Ala 293, Leu 273, Leu 393, Val 281, Gly 344, Ser 345, Thr 338, Asp 404 |
| | Quercetin-3-methyl | −7.7 | Asp 404 (3.03), Met 341 (3.11, 3.47) | Met 341, Ala 293, Thr 338, Asp 404, Arg 388, Cys 277, Gin 275, Ser 345, Gly 274, Gly 344 | Leu 273, Ala 390, Leu 393, Val 281, Gly 476 |
| Imitinib | | −10.3 | – | Leu 325, Ile 336, Gin 275, Gly 274, Gly 279, Gly 276, Gly 406, Cys 277, Asp 386, Arg 388, Ala 390, Ser 345, Met 341, Tyr 340, Gly 344, Glu 274 | Val 323, Val 281, Ala 293, Ala 403, Leu 273, Leu 393, Thr 338, Phe 405, Phe 278, Asp 404, Thr 338, Asn 391 |
| MMP (2oxu) | 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | −10.7 | Pro 232, Ala 234, Phe 237, Tyr 240, Thr 215, Leu 181, Phe 248, Leu 214, Pro 238, Zn 264 | Pro 232, Ala 234, Phe 237, Tyr 240, Thr 215, Leu 181, Phe 248, Leu 214, Pro 238, Zn 264 | Val 243, Val 235, His 218, Thr 239, Glu 219 |
| | 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone | −9.3 | Pro 232, Ala 234, Phe 238, Tyr 240, Thr 215, Leu 181, Phe 248, Leu 214, Pro 238, Glu 219, Val 243, Val 235, His 218, Thr 239 |
| | 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | −10.4 | Pro 232, Ala 234, Phe 238, Tyr 240, Thr 215, Leu 181, Phe 248, Leu 214, Pro 238, Lys 241 | Pro 232, Ala 234, Phe 238, Tyr 240, Thr 215, Leu 181, Phe 248, Leu 214, Pro 238, Lys 241 | Val 243, Val 235, His 218, Thr 239, Glu 219 |
| Luteolin | | −8.8 | Pro 232 (2.46), Pro 238 (2.88) | Phe 248, Val 243, Ala 234, Phe 237, Tyr 240, Thr 215, Leu 214, Zn 264 | Tyr 240, His 218, Lys 241, Thr 239, Leu 181, Thr 239, Glu 219 |
| | Quercetin-3-methyl | −7.8 | Phe 237 (3.37), Thr 239 (3.36) | His 183, Ala 184, His 222, Ala 182, Thr 215, Leu 214, Zn 264 | His 183, Ala 184, His 222, Ala 182, Thr 215, Leu 214, Zn 264 |
| Batimastat | | −6.5 | Ala 182 (3.00, 2.77), Glu 219 (2.19 2.37 2.45), Leu 181 (3.12) | Thr 239, Thr 215, Leu 214, Ala 234, Ala 184, His 218, His 222, His 183, His 172, His 228, Phe 237, Pro 238, Gly 179, Ile 180, Zn 264 | Leu 181, Tyr 240, Val 235, Lys 241 |

Abbreviations: HB-IR, hydrogen bonds interacting residues; MMP, matrix metalloproteinases; PI-IR, Pi bonds interacting residues; TK, tyrosine kinase; VDW-IR, van der Waals interacting residues; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
possess good pharmacokinetic properties and thus are further subjected to various in silico techniques such as molecular docking.\textsuperscript{34} Out of the 7 isolated compounds, 5 passed all the filter variants without any default and thus could be considered as lead compounds with good pharmacokinetic properties.

**Docking simulations results**

Molecular docking is an important in silico technique, which predicts the mode of interaction between a small ligand and target protein for an established binding site.\textsuperscript{35} Binding energy informs us on the strength and how much affinity a compound binds to the pocket of a target protein (as shown in Figure 2). A compound with a lower binding energy is preferred as a possible drug candidate and vice versa.\textsuperscript{36,37} Vina uses a sophisticated gradient optimization method in its local optimization procedure\textsuperscript{25} while iGEMDOCK uses an empirical scoring function and an evolutionary approach.\textsuperscript{38} Table 2 shows the binding affinity of the 5 isolated compounds as simulated by AutoDock Vina ranging from $-5.9$ to $-7.0$, $-7.7$ to $-8.8$, and $-7.8$ to $-10.7$ kcal/mol for VEGF, TK, and MMP, respectively. These values are comparable with the inhibitors (pazopanib $[-95.60\text{ kcal/mol}]$ and imatinib $[-111.55\text{ kcal/mol}]$) of VEGF and TK, respectively. The same result was obtained for MMP, whereby all isolated compounds showed a stronger binding affinity than batimastat $(-88.10\text{ kcal/mol};$ Table 3). Hydrogen, van der Waal, and various pi bonds stabilizing interactions were present between the ligands and amino acid residues present in the active site of the proteins. Arg 160 and Asn 397 were amino acid residues in the pockets responsible for the binding of all ligands to TK, while Ala182, Lys 233, and 241 were responsible for ligand binding to MMP (Figures 6, 7 and 8). Despite the differences in the scoring algorithm of both AutoDock Vina and iGEMDOCK, the predicted stronger binding affinity of the isolated compounds to MMP binding pocket than batimastat is predictive of a better Ki value qualifying them as hit inhibitors of MMP.
Figure 3. The 2D and 3D views of (A) 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone, (B) 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone, (C) 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone, (D) luteolin, (E) quercetin-3-methyl, and (F) pazopanib interactions with VEGF using AutoDock Vina. In each case, the hydrogen, pi-donor hydrogen, pi-alkyl, pi-sigma, and pi-anion bond interactions are shown as green, light blue, magenta, purple, and orange broken lines, respectively, while ligand backbones are green. 2D indicates two-dimensional; 3D, three-dimensional; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
(Continued)
and potential leads against cancer.\textsuperscript{39,40} Several amino acid residues present in the binding pocket of proteins are involved in the various interactions that occur between ligand and their targets. These residues are ligand-dependent as the isolated phytochemicals bind to different residues within the binding pocket. However, Lys 241 was involved in stabilizing MMP-ligand interactions in both AutoDock Vina and iGEMDOCK. This amino acid residue is somewhat amphipathic with a long hydrophobic carbon tail close to the backbone and a positively charged amino group on the side chain. It is frequently found in the binding sites of proteins where they form hydrogen bonds with ligands and also function in acid-base catalysis,\textsuperscript{41,42} making it a viable drug target.\textsuperscript{43}

ADMET results

In the preliminary stages of the drug discovery process, it is important to measure various indices of absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicology (ADMET).\textsuperscript{44} It is a key and considerable step, which eliminates lead compounds with the ability to elicit hazardous side effects.\textsuperscript{43} Laboratory experimentation of ADMET is very expensive and time consuming, making in silico ADMET evaluation a much viable option, thereby preventing potential drug failures during clinical trials.\textsuperscript{45} The docked ligands as illustrated in Table 4, showed no inhibitory side effects on renal organic cation transport. Positive results were observed for human intestinal absorption (HIA), Caco-2 permeability (CP), and blood-brain barrier (BBB) penetrability. However, 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone, quercetin-3-methyl, and 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone exhibited negative results for BBB. When a drug is administered orally, absorption occurs primarily in the intestine. Transporter proteins, efflux proteins, and phase II conjugation enzymes are highly expressed by Caco-2 cells, which make them useful models of transcellular pathways and metabolic biotransformation of test.\textsuperscript{34,36} The compounds exhibited positive results to both HIA and CP, indicating that these compounds could be absorbed or assimilated through human intestine. Compounds that exhibited positive results for BBB might also have pharmacological brain function. Luteolin being the exception, all other compounds were P-glycoprotein (P-gp) substrates. Nevertheless, none of the ligands were identified as P-gp non inhibitors (Table 4). In metabolism, no ligand was a substrate for all cytochrome isoforms (2C9, 2D6, and 3A4). On the contrary, they all exhibited high cytochrome P450 inhibitory promiscuity (CYP IP). Despite inhibiting isoforms 1A2, 2C9, and 2C19, the isolated compounds are not inhibitors of isof orm 2D6 (Table 5). Cytochrome P450 enzymes are responsible for the metabolism and clearance of drugs and xenobiotics from biological systems. Five isoforms (1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, and 3A4) predominantly expressed in the liver and also in the small intestine, lungs, placenta, and kidneys important in xenobiotic metabolism.\textsuperscript{47} When any isoform of CYP is inhibited, malfunctioning of drug metabolism and elevation of toxicity is always a usual occurrence.\textsuperscript{48} The inhibition of 1A2, 2C9, and 2C19 CYP isoforms by the compounds suggests that the phytochemicals may affect drug metabolism; thus, the dosage concentration should be a critical factor to consider during drug design of these lead compounds. The safety of the compounds is absolutely crucial for a successful drug. A drug like a candidate should meet certain ADMET parameters, which is as critical as therapeutic properties.\textsuperscript{34} The isolated phytochemicals were weak inhibitors of human...
Figure 5. The 2D and 3D views of (A) 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone, (B) 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone, (C) 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone, (D) luteolin, (E) quercetin-3-methyl, and (F) batimastat interactions with MMP using AutoDock Vina. In each case, the hydrogen, pi-donor hydrogen, pi-alkyl, pi-sigma, pi-sulphur, and pi-anion bond interactions are shown as green, light blue, magenta, purple, indigo, golden brown, and orange broken lines, respectively, while ligand backbones are represented in green. MMP indicates matrix metalloproteinases; 2D, two-dimensional; 3D, three-dimensional.
| PROTEIN (PDB CODE) | LIGAND | TE (KCAL/MOL) | VDW (KCAL/MOL) | HB (KCAL/MOL) | EI (KCAL/MOL) |
|--------------------|--------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1vpf               | 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | −87.6998 | −81.1329 | −6.56999 | 0 |
|                    | 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone | −81.5765 | −77.2059 | −4.37062 | 0 |
|                    | 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | −88.408 | −73.3137 | −15.0943 | 0 |
|                    | Luteolin | −87.7781 | −79.8252 | −7.95291 | 0 |
|                    | Quercetin-3-methyl | −96.7964 | −88.7148 | −8.0816 | 0 |
|                    | Pazopanib | −95.5916 | −75.2912 | −20.3724 | 0 |
| 1fmk               | 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | −96.0817 | −90.777 | −5.30469 | 0 |
|                    | 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone | −88.5854 | −73.2362 | −15.3492 | 0 |
|                    | 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | −89.4101 | −65.0273 | −24.3828 | 0 |
|                    | Luteolin | −102.786 | −77.3195 | −25.4669 | 0 |
|                    | Quercetin-3-methyl | −100.347 | −79.3807 | −20.9667 | 0 |
|                    | Imatinib | −111.552 | −104.552 | −7 | 0 |
| 2oxu               | 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | −114.791 | −106.307 | −8.48373 | 0 |
|                    | 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone | −115.724 | −101.233 | −14.4907 | 0 |
|                    | 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone | −111.687 | −99.0438 | −12.6431 | 0 |
|                    | Luteolin | −105.468 | −91.6619 | −13.8058 | 0 |
|                    | Quercetin-3-methyl | −110.402 | −88.9414 | −21.4609 | 0 |
|                    | Batimastat | −88.1012 | −78.874 | −9.22726 | 0 |

Abbreviation: EI, electrostatic interaction; HB, hydrogen bond; TE, total energy; VdW, van der Waals interaction.
Figure 6. The 2D and 3D views of (A) 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone, (B) 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone, (C) 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone, (D) luteolin, (E) quercetin-3-methyl, and (F) pazopanib interactions with VEGF using iGEMDOCK. In each case, the hydrogen, pi-donor hydrogen, pi-alkyl, amide pi-stacked, pi-sulphur, pi-lone pair, and pi-cation bond interactions are shown as green, light blue, magenta, purple, indigo, golden brown, light green, and orange broken lines, respectively, while ligand backbones are represented in green. 2D indicates two-dimensional; 3D, three-dimensional; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
Figure 7. The 2D and 3D views of (A) 7-hydroxy-4,4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone, (B) 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone, (C) 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone, (D) luteolin, (E) quercetin-3-methyl, and (F) imatinib interactions with TK using iGEMDOCK. In each case, the hydrogen, pi-donor hydrogen, pi-alkyl, pi-sigma, pi-pi stacked, and pi-cation bond interactions are shown as green, light blue, magenta, purple, indigo, and orange broken lines, respectively, while ligand backbones are represented in green. 2D indicates two-dimensional; 3D, three-dimensional; TK, tyrosine kinase.
Figure 8. The 2D and 3D views of (A) 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone, (B) 4,4′-dihydroxy-2′-methoxy-chalcone, (C) 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone, (D) luteolin, (E) quercetin-3-methyl, and (F) Batimastat interactions with MMP using iGEMDOCK. In each case, the hydrogen, pi-donor hydrogen, pi-alkyl, pi-sigma, pi-pi stacked, pi-cation, and unfavourable bump bond interactions are shown as green, light blue, magenta, purple, indigo, orange, and red broken lines, respectively, while ligand backbones are represented in green. MMP indicates matrix metalloproteinases; 2D, two-dimensional; 3D, three-dimensional.
Table 4. Predicted molecular adsorption profile of isolated compounds from *Caesalpinia bonduc*.

| COMPOUND                                               | BBB | HIA | CACO-2 PERMEABILITY | AQUEOUS SOLUBILITY (LOG S) | P-GP SUBSTRATE | P-GP INHIBITOR 1 | P-GP INHIBITOR 2 | ROCT |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------|
| 7-hydroxy-4'-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone     | −   | +   | +                  | 1.6006                     | −3.3153        | +                | −                | −    |
| 4,4'-dihydroxy-2'-methoxychalcone                      | +   | +   | +                  | 1.2136                     | −3.3655        | +                | −                | −    |
| 7,4'-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone            | −   | +   | +                  | 1.4709                     | −3.9877        | +                | −                | −    |
| Luteolin                                               | +   | +   | +                  | 0.9536                     | −4.1810        | −                | −                | −    |
| Quercetin-3-methyl                                     | −   | +   | +                  | 0.3244                     | −0.0097        | +                | −                | +    |

Abbreviation: BBB, blood-brain barrier penetrability; HIA, human intestinal absorption; P-gp, P-glycoprotein; ROCT, renal organic cation transporter.

Table 5. Predicted molecular metabolism profile of isolated compounds from *Caesalpinia bonduc*.

| COMPOUND                                               | CYP450 2C9 SUBSTRATE | CYP450 2D6 SUBSTRATE | CYP450 3A4 SUBSTRATE | CYP450 1A2 INHIBITOR | CYP450 2C9 INHIBITOR | CYP450 2D6 INHIBITOR | CYP450 2C19 INHIBITOR | CYP450 3A4 INHIBITOR | CYP IP |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| 7-hydroxy-4'-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone     | −                     | −                     | −                     | +                     | +                     | −                     | +                     | +                     | High    |
| 4,4'-dihydroxy-2'-methoxychalcone                      | −                     | −                     | −                     | +                     | +                     | −                     | +                     | −                     | High    |
| 7,4'-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone            | −                     | −                     | −                     | +                     | +                     | −                     | +                     | −                     | High    |
| Luteolin                                               | −                     | −                     | −                     | +                     | +                     | −                     | +                     | −                     | High    |
| Quercetin-3-methyl                                     | −                     | −                     | −                     | +                     | +                     | −                     | −                     | +                     | High    |

Abbreviation: CYP450, cytochrome P450; CYP IP, cytochrome P450 inhibitory promiscuity.
ether-a-go-go-related genes and exhibit no properties that exert significant toxicity in humans. Only 7-hydroxy-4′-methoxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone and 7,4′-dihydroxy-3,11-dehydrohomoisoflavanone were positive for Ames toxicity. In contrast, all of the selected compounds were found to present high toxicity for fish, Tetrahymena pyriformis, and honey bees (Table 6). The toxicity profiles of the docked compounds revealed that they were non-mutagenic and carcinogenic.

Conclusions
This study focused on the discovery of potent inhibitors of VEGF, TK, and MMP as a potential therapy for cancer using in silico methods. When compared with already established anti-cancer drugs, the binding energy was highly comparable as well as interactions with the proteins. They also possess good ADMET properties, indicating these phytochemical isolates can also be considered safe and thus be further developed into active commercial anti-cancer drugs. Nevertheless, during lead optimization process, the CYP inhibitory promiscuity of the compounds needs to be improved and Lys 241 amino acid residue can be targeted as a promising mode of action to modulate the activity of MMP. Molecular dynamics simulation as well as molecular mechanics energies combined with the Poisson-Boltzmann or generalized Born and surface area continuum solvation (MM-PBSA/GBSA) analyses can be performed to further validate docking results. Further in vitro and in vivo investigations can be performed to further authenticate the physiological relevance of these results.

Author Contributions
FNI and OOO Put up the general concepts and design of the study. FNI and OOO Carried out the implementation of these concepts and design of the work. FNI, OOO, OEO, II and JO Carried out the analysis of the work. FNI Drafted the first manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ORCID iDs
Franklyn Nonso Iheagwam https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8487-4052
Jelili Oyelade https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5476-4992

REFERENCES
1. Hegedűs C, Kovács K, Polgári Z, et al. Redox control of cancer cell destruction. Redox Biol. 2018;16:59-74.
2. Chakravarti B, Maurya R, Siddiqui JA, et al. In vitro anti-breast cancer activity of Ethanolic extract of Wrightia tomentosa: role of pro-apoptotic effects of oleanolic acid and ursolic acid. J Ethnopharmacol. 2012;142:72-79.
3. Simeone E, Ascierto PA. Immunomodulating antibodies in the treatment of metastatic melanoma: the experience with anti-CTLA-4, anti-CD137, and anti-PD1. J Immunother. 2012;35:241-247.
4. Sun J, Chia S. Adjuvant chemotherapy and HER-2-directed therapy for early-stage breast cancer in the elderly. Br J Cancer. 2017;116:4-9.
5. Ramos CA, Harlos HE, Brenner MK. CAR-T cell therapy for lymphoma. Annu Rev Med. 2016;67:165-183.
6. Iheagwam FN, Nodu EI, Kayode KO, Emiloju OC, Ogugului OA, Chinedu SN. Bioactive screening and in vitro antioxidant assessment of Neea latifolia leaf decoction. AIP Conf Proc. 2019;1594:030015.

7. Ubenein A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Nwaka E, Fanger P. Anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic effects of Caesalpinia bonduc extract and its fractions in estrogen independent human breast adenocarcinoma cell line. J Herb Spices Med Plants. 2013;19:159–167.

8. Ogugului OA, Kim HS, Wataya Y, Ogunlana OO, Akindahunsi AA, Tan NH. Antiplasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc (Linn) Roxb. J Chem Pharm Res. 2015;7:931–937.

9. Shivaprakash P, Balaji KS, Lakshmi GM, et al. Methanol extract of Caesalpinia bonduc induces apoptosis via up-regulation of Bax and activation of PARP in Ehrlich Ascites tumor cells. Med Aromat Plants. 2016;5:2167–0412.

10. Sandhia KG, Bindu AR. Phytochemical, anti-inflammatory and in vitro anticancer activities of Caesalpinia bonduc stem bark. Int J Pharm Sci Res. 2015;6:50–56.

11. Ogunlana OO, He WJ, Fan JT, et al. Cytotoxic flavonoids from the young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc (Linn) Roxb. Pak J Pharm Sci. 2015;28:219–2198.

12. Pillar PG, Suresh P, Mishra G. Induction of apoptosis and inhibitory potential of the methanol extract of Caesalpinia bonduc (L.) Roxb against breast cancer. Ann Plant Sci. 2013;2:284–291.

13. Yadav PP, Maurya R, Sarkar J, et al. Casamine diterpenes from Caesalpinia bonduc. Phytochemistry. 2009;70:256–261.

14. Wu L, Luo J, Zhang Y, Wang X, Yang L, Kong L. Casamine-type diterpenoids from the seed kernels of Caesalpinia bonduc. Fitoterapia. 2014;93:201–208.

15. Mills N. ChemDraw ultra 10.0. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2001;46:3–26.

16. Mills N. ChemDraw ultra 10.0. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2001;46:3–26.

17. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

18. Mills N. ChemDraw ultra 10.0. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2001;46:3–26.

19. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

20. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

21. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

22. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

23. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

24. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

25. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

26. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

27. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

28. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

29. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

30. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

31. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

32. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

33. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

34. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

35. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

36. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

37. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.

38. Ubhenin A, Uwakwe A, Falodun A, Engel N, Onwuka F, Langer P. Anti-plasmodial flavonoids from young twigs and leaves of Caesalpinia bonduc. J Med Plants Res. 2013;7:12415–12424.