Altered functional connectivity density and couplings in postpartum depression with and without anxiety
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Abstract

Postpartum depression (PPD) is the most common psychological health issue among women, which often comorbid with anxiety (PPD-A). PPD and PPD-A showed highly overlapping clinical symptoms. Identifying disorder-specific neurophysiological markers of PDD and PPD-A is important for better clinical diagnosis and treatments. Here, we performed functional connectivity density (FCD) and resting-state functional connectivity (rsFC) analyses in 138 participants (45 unmedicated patients with first-episode PPD, 31 PDD-A patients and 62 healthy postnatal women, respectively). FCD mapping revealed specifically weaker long-range FCD in right lingual gyrus (LG.R) for PPD patients and significantly stronger long-range FCD in left ventral striatum (VS.L) for PPD-A patients. The follow-up rsFC analyses further revealed reduced functional connectivity between dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC) and left ventral striatum (VS.L) in both PPD and PPD-A. PPD showed specific changes of rsFC between LG.R and dmPFC, right angular gyrus, left precentral gyrus while PPD-A represented specifically abnormal rsFC between VS.L and left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex. Moreover, the altered FCD and rsFC were closely associated with depression and anxiety symptoms load. Taken together, our study is the first to identify common and disorder-specific neural circuit disruptions in PPD and PPD-A, which may facilitate more effective diagnosis and treatments.

Keywords: postpartum depression; postpartum depression with anxiety; resting-state fMRI; functional connectivity density; functional connectivity.
Introduction

Childbirth is an exciting, greatly anticipated, and desired life event. Yet, it also brings physical and psychological challenges to the parents, especially to the mother. Early motherhood could be vulnerable with a high prevalence and incidence of postpartum depression (PPD) and postpartum anxiety (Lee and Chung, 2007; Marcus, 2009; Smith, et al., 2011; Tebeka, et al., 2016) due to the immense alternations of biological, financial, social conditions of the mother, as well as the infant’s vulnerability and safety concerns during this period (Leckman, et al., 1999). PPD and postpartum anxiety pose tremendous negative impacts on the wellbeing of the mother. Further, maternal depression also influences infants’ physical, cognitive and mental health development (Drury, et al., 2016; Glasheen, et al., 2010).

PPD and postpartum anxiety share numerous common symptoms with generalized depression and anxiety that occurs at other times in a woman’s life. Yet, emerging evidence indicates that PPD is distinct from major depressive disorder (MDD) with respect to symptom severity, hormone contributions, heritability, epigenetic mechanisms, and response to standard and novel treatment interventions (Batt, et al., 2020). Moreover, unique neural circuits underlying PPD are also identified when compared with generalized depression (Pawluski, et al., 2017). In a resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rsfMRI) study, PPD patients showed hypoactivities in both cortical and limbic regions, while non-parturient patients showed hypoactivity in lateral cognitive regions and hyperactivity in medial affective and subcortical limbic regions (Alcaro, et al., 2010; Northoff, et al., 2011; Pawluski, et al., 2017). In addition, the neurobiological distinctions between postpartum anxiety and non-postpartum anxiety are also reported in previous fMRI studies (Gingnell, et al., 2015; Moses-Kolko, et al., 2010). Moreover, PPD is often comorbid with anxiety (PPD-A), with overlapping symptomatology, genetic and risk factors (Ohara and Mccabe, 2013). Thus, it is important to reveal the unique neural profiles for PPD and PPD-A, so as to delineate their neurophysiological basis for effective treatments.

Resting-state fMRI is extensively adopted to evaluate functional couplings between spatially distinct cortical areas by capturing low-frequency fluctuations of spontaneous brain activity (Fox and Raichle, 2007; Wang, et al., 2020c). Cortical and subcortical hubs play an important role in energy-efficient neuronal communication and information integration (Barabasi, 2009; Laughlin and Sejnowski, 2003). Alterations to the functional hubs are closely linked to cognitive disturbances among brain systems (i.e., neuropsychiatric disorders) (Buckner, et al., 2009; Wu, et al., 2016). In the current study, we used voxel-level functional connectivity density (FCD) mapping, an ultrafast, novel data-driven approach, which has recently, developed to identify functional hubs with high sensitivity and reproducibility (Tomasi and Volkow, 2011).
A large number of studies have illustrated the effectiveness of the FCD mapping approach in investigating the abnormal dynamics of functional hubs in patients with neuropsychological diseases (Liu, et al., 2015; Tomasi and Volkow, 2012a; Wang, et al., 2017; Zhang, et al., 2015).

In this study, we used the FCD approach to examine the shared and disorder-specific abnormal functional integration and communications in first-episode, treatment-naive PDD and PPD-A patients and healthy individuals. This method provides an objective, unbiased perspective in observing the common and disorder-specific neuropathology of PPD and PPD-A, and also facilitates future developments of effective diagnosis and treatment strategies.
Materials and Methods

Participants

The data were collected from a longitudinal project that aimed to investigate the determinants of health, well-being of patients with postpartum depression in Chengdu, China. From 1 June 2018 to 1 January 2020, postpartum women were screened and recruited at the Maternity clinic (within one year after birth), West China Second University Hospital of Sichuan University (see Table 1 for details). One hundred thirty eight right-handed, age- and education-matched postnatal women, who have normal puerperium and healthy infant, were enrolled in this study. Finally, 45 drug-naive patients with postpartum depression (PPD), 31 drug-naïve PPD with anxiety (PPD-A), and 62 healthy postnatal women (HPW) were included. All enrolled patients were experiencing their first episode of depressive symptoms and were treatment-naïve. Written informed consents were obtained from all participants. This study was approved by the local ethics committee of West China Second University Hospital of Sichuan University followed by the Helsinki Declaration. All participants conducted psychiatry assessments, sex hormone test (prolactin, estradiol, and progesterone) and MRI scanning. The diagnosis of PPD or PPD-A was made according to the criteria of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-IV) by two experienced psychiatrists at the psychiatry department, West China Hospital of Sichuan University. All participants were free from medical diseases such as cardiovascular diseases and diabetes, depression or anxiety, and any other Axis I mental disorders such as schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and substance dependence (other than nicotine). None had a history of suicide, alcohol or drug abuse, hormonal contraception, and none were undergoing psychotropic medications, vasoactive medications, cognitive behavior therapy, or MRI contradiction.

Clinical assessments

The depression and anxiety loads were assessed with Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) (Cox, et al., 1987) and Beck’s Anxiety Inventory (BAI) (Beck, et al., 1988). The quality of sleep was evaluated using Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) (Buysse, et al., 1989). Moreover, we evaluated the postpartum social support level with the Postpartum Support Questionnaire (PSQ) (Logsdon and Usui, 2006). PSQ is used to measure the expected support and the received support of postpartum women (Logsdon, et al., 1996), which includes four sub-scales: (a) material, (b) emotional, (c) informational, and (d) comparison.

MRI data acquisition

The rsfMRI data was acquired on a clinical Siemens 3.0T MRI scanner using a standard echo planar imaging (EPI) sequence at the West China Second University Hospital of Sichuan University. The foam padding and earplugs were used to reduce head motion and to muffle scanner noise. Before scanning,
participants were instructed to relax, to remain awake with their eyes closed and to avoid thinking during the MRI acquisition. Experimenters confirmed that none of them fell asleep during the scan. Resting-state functional images were collected with repetition time = 3.05s, echo time = 22.5ms, flip angle = 30 degrees, 36 slices, thickness/gap = 4.0/1 mm, voxel size = $2.45 \times 2.45 \times 4$ mm$^3$, matrix size = 94 $\times$ 94, field of view = 230 $\times$ 230 mm$^2$.

**Resting-state fMRI data preprocessing**

The rsfMRI data were preprocessed with the following steps: discarding the first 6 volumes to allow for magnetization equilibrium; realigning all the images to the first volume to reduce head motion; normalizing all the images to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) EPI template and resampled at 3$\times$3$\times$3mm$^3$; regressing out Friston 24-parameter model of head motion, white matter, cerebrospinal fluid, and global mean signals; filtering with a temporal band-pass of 0.01$-$0.1 Hz. For FCD calculation, no spatial smoothing was adopted to control the smoothing effect on local correlations (Tomasi and Volkow, 2012d). For the resting-state functional connectivity analysis, the normalized fMRI images were smoothed using a Gaussian kernel of 6 mm FWHM and then regression and filtering were completed. To minimize the head motion effects, participants with a displacement of more than 2.5 mm and an angular motion of more than 2.5° were excluded. No participants were excluded under this criterion. Moreover, scrubbing method with linear interpolation was further used to eliminate the bad images exceeding the pre-set criteria (frame displacement: FD, FD < 0.5) for excessive motion.

**FCD mapping**

To identify the abnormalities of brain hubs in PPD and PPD-A, FCD mapping was used to identify the functional hubs in the brain and to characterize the differences in functional integration in this study (Tomasi and Volkow, 2010; Tomasi and Volkow, 2012b). FCD maps mainly include local/short-range (lFCD) and long-range FCD (lrFCD) and are calculated within a gray matter (GM) mask by counting the number of voxels with resting-state functional connectivity strength above 0.6. Previous studies have demonstrated that 0.6 is the most stable and optimal threshold in revealing the functional modules in the brain (Tomasi, et al., 2016; Tomasi and Volkow, 2010; Tomasi and Volkow, 2012c; Tomasi and Volkow, 2012d; Zhang, et al., 2016; Zou, et al., 2016). Connectivity strength less than 0.4 increases false-positive rates while connectivity strength greater than 0.7 leads to FCD maps with reduced dynamic range and lower sensitivity (Tomasi and Volkow, 2010). Thus, we also adopted this threshold when calculating the FCD maps in this study. The IFCD at a given voxel $x_0$ was calculated as the local number of connections, $n(x_0)$, between $x_0$ and its neighboring voxels using a ‘growing’ algorithm. First, the FC between $x_0$ and each voxel ($x_i$) which is
directly neighboring with \( x_0 \) was calculated, and the FC greater than 0.6 was considered functionally connected to \( x_0 \). Next, the FC between \( x_0 \) and \( x_j \) that is directly neighboring with \( x_i \) not with \( x_0 \) was computed, and the FC greater than 0.6 was considered functionally connected to \( x_0 \) as a neighbor. This search strategy was continued until no further voxels could be included. This procedure was repeated for all GM voxels to obtain a whole brain IFCD map for each subject. To calculate lrFCD, a voxel-wise whole brain global FCD (gFCD) map was first calculated in each subject. The gFCD at \( x_0 \) was calculated as the total number of connections, \( n(x_0) \), between \( x_0 \) and all other voxels with connectivity strength above 0.6 in the GM mask. Then, the lrFCD was defined as gFCD minus IFCD to remove number of local connections. To improve the normality, the IFCD and lrFCD maps were normalized using \( z \)-transformation for each subject and were spatial smoothing with FWHM = 6 mm for statistical analyses.

**Seed-based functional connectivity analyses**

Whole brain resting-state functional connectivity (rsFC) analysis was performed to further identify whether the brain areas with changes in local or long-range FCD showed altered distal functional couplings. The Fisher's \( z \) transformation was used to convert functional connectivity value to \( z \) value for statistical analyses.

**Statistical analyses**

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed with age and head motion parameter of mean FD values added as covariates in order to identify the differences in local and short-range FCD and rsFC. Significance was determined using a cluster-level Monte Carlo simulation corrected threshold of \( p < 0.05 \) (cluster-forming threshold at voxel-level \( p < 0.001 \)).

**Functional characterization with BrainMap database**

We also examined which behavioral domains were significantly associated with the observed changes in FCD and functional connectivities using the behavioral domain analysis on the BrainMap database (www.brainmap.org). The behavioral domain analysis included 5 behavioral domains and 51 behavioral sub-domains, and the functional characterization of the regions was determined using forward inferences (Bzdok, et al., 2013). The significance level was established using a binomial test \( (p < 0.05 \) corrected for multiple comparisons using false discovery rate (FDR)). The detailed procedures for functional characterization have been described in our previous studies (Wang, et al., 2019; Wang, et al., 2017; Wang, et al., 2020c).

**Correlation analysis**
To explore whether the altered FCD or rsFC was associated with the clinical performances, the correlation analyses were performed and the significant level was set at $p < 0.05$ corrected with FDR-BH method.
Results

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

Participants in HPW, PPD, and PPD-A groups were well matched in age ($p = 0.11$), education level ($p = 0.84$), and postpartum time ($p = 0.9$). No significant differences in estradiol ($p = 0.74$), progesterone ($p = 0.96$), and prolactin ($p = 0.24$) among the three groups were found. ANOVA analyses revealed significant main effects of groups for depressive symptom load (EPDS, $F_{2,135} = 104.07$, $p << 0.001$), anxiety symptom load (BAI, $F_{2,135} = 93.83$, $p << 0.001$), sleep quality (PSQI, $F_{2,135} = 13.94$, $p << 0.001$), and received social support (PSQ, $F_{2,135} = 14.02$, $p << 0.001$). Post-hoc analyses indicated that depressive and anxiety symptom loads were higher in both PPD and PPD-A patients compared to healthy individuals, and in PDD-A compared to PPD patients. The sleep quality and received social support were lower in both PPD and PPD-A relative to HPW, but no significant differences observed between the PPD and PPD-A groups (see Table 1 for details).

FCD mapping results

Significant differences in long range FCD in right lingual gyrus (LG.R) and left ventral striatum (VS.L) were found, while the difference of the local FCD in these regions were not significant (Figure 1). Post-hoc analysis identified significantly weaker lrFCD in LG.R in PPD patients as compared to PPD-A and HPW, and no significant difference was found between PPD-A and HPW (Figure 1). VS.L showed significant stronger lrFCD in PPD-A as compared to PPD and HPW, but the difference between PPD and HPW (Figure 1) was not significant. FCD mapping revealed special circuit disruptions in PPD and PPD-A patients.

Changed seed-based functional connectivities

Seed-based, whole brain rsFC analyses were performed to identify the abnormal, distal functional couplings between LG.R and VS.L with other cortical regions. Significant differences in rsFC between LG.R and right angular gyrus (AG.R), bilateral dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC), left precentral gyrus (PreCG.L), and between VS.L and left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (vIPFC.L) and dmPFC were found (Figure 2A,B). Post-hoc analyses identified significantly increased rsFC between LG.R and AG.R, and significantly decreased rsFC between LG.R and dmPFC, PreCG.L in PPD patients as compared to PPD-A patients and healthy individuals (Figure 2A). No significant differences in rsFCs between LG.R and AG.R, dmPFC, PreCG were found between HPW and PPD-A (Figure 2A). In addition, the rsFC between VS.L and dmPFC was stronger in HPW than that in both patient groups, but no significant difference was found between PPD and PPD-A. The rsFC strength between VS.L and vIPFC.L was stronger in PPD-A than HPW.
and PPD patients, and no significant difference between HPW and PPD (Figure 2B). The rsFC analyses further revealed specific functionalcouplings for both PPD and PPD-A patients.

**Correlation analyses**

In the PPD group, the lrFCD of LG.R was negatively correlated with postpartum time, while the rsFC between LG.R and AG.R was positively correlated with postpartum time. Positive correlations between rsFC of VS.L-vIPFC.L and the behavioral scores of Beck anxiety symptom load were found in both PPD and PPD-A patients. The rsFC between VS.L and dmPFC, lrFCD in LG.R were respectively positively correlated with behavioral scores of postpartum depression symptom load and PSQ emotion support in all HPW, PPD and PPD-A participants (shown in Figure 3).

**Meta-analysis based functional characterization**

Functional characterization for each brain area with altered FCD or rsFC was performed with the BrainMap database. Functional characterization revealed significant associations between the following brain regions and brain functions: (1) LG.R with action preparation, language, orthography, visual shape, and visual motion; (2) VS.L with action execution; (3) dmPFC with social cognition and emotion; (4) AG.R with working memory; (5) PreCG.L with action execution, motor learning, somesthesis; and (5) vIPFC.L with language, gustation, semantics, and explicit memory (Figure 4).

**Common and specific circuits for PPD and PPD-A**

In summary, the common and disorder-specific circuit disruptions were identified in PPD and PPD-A patients based on both FCD and FC results. The commonly disrupted circuit involves the medial fronto-striatal network, i.e. dmPFC-VS.L, which showed significantly weaker rsFC in both PPD and PPD-A patients as compared to HPW. Abnormalities in the lateral prefrontal-striatal circuit were observed in the PPD-A group only (indexed by a stronger rsFC in PPD-A group relative to PPD and HPW), while disruptions to the occipito-parieto-frontal circuit including LG with AG, dmPFC, and PreCG were specifically identified in the PPD group (Figure 5).
Discussion

This study applied a voxel-wise, data-driven approach to identify the common and disorder-specific neuromarkers for PPD and PPD-A using FCD mapping and functional connectivity analyses. PPD patients showed specifically weaker lrFCD in LG.R, functional couplings between LG.R and dmPFC, PreCG.L, and specifically stronger functional coupling between LG.R and AG.R. For PPD-A, significantly higher FCD in VS.L and significantly stronger rsFC between VS.L and vlPFG.L were found. Moreover, both PPD and PPD-A patients exhibited weaker intrinsic functional coupling between VS.L and dmPFC as compared to HPW. Correlation analyses further confirmed the neural measurements in these abnormal brain areas were significantly associated with clinical measures, including postpartum time, depression and anxiety loads, and emotion support. Our findings identified common and disorder-specific neural circuit disruptions in PPD and PPD-A, consequently shedding light on the potential neurophysiological mechanisms underlying different PPD phenotypes.

A common medial frontal-striatal neural circuit for PPD and PPD-A

The core symptoms of depression are characterized by low mood and/or by a persistent loss of overall interest, pleasure (hedonism) or reward in life. Our findings showed that the medial prefrontal-striatal network, i.e. dmPFC with ventral striatum (VS), was disrupted in both PPD and PPD-A patients. The ventral striatum is the hub for hedonia and reinforcement-reward by modulating dopamine and serotonin releases, and the connections of the limbic structures with the prefrontal cortices. The previous fMRI studies in healthy postnatal women have confirmed that VS is activated in response to the pleasant stimulus of one’s own infant smiling (Strathearn, et al., 2008), and the activity level of VS is modulated by the quality of maternal attachment security (Strathearn, et al., 2009). Yet, in PPD patients, reduced activation of the VS was found in response to reward stimuli and positive words (Laurent and Ablow, 2012) (ME, et al., 2007), thus, implicating the importance of the VS in maintaining positive emotion and hedonism/reward in postpartum period for the health of the mother-infant dyad.

Task-based fMRI studies have indicated stronger activity of the dmPFC when participants were instructed to deploy cognitive strategies in reducing negative emotional experience (Ochsner and Gross, 2005). As a nexus of the central executive network, the affective network, and the default mode network, the dmPFC plays a key role not only in social cognition and cognitive control (Garavan, et al., 2002; Seeley, et al., 2007), but also in regulating both positive and negative emotions (Ochsner, et al., 2004). The dysfunction of dmPFC was reported in individuals with depressive symptoms (Sheline, et al., 2010), and functional recovery could predict the treatment response in MDD patients (Bai, et al., 2019; Wang, et al.,
The dmPFC is also engaged in cognitive reappraisal through reinterpreting the meaning of affective stimuli to alter emotional impacts. Successful reappraisal involves both inhibiting activity of the dmPFC-amygdala pathway and evoking activity in the dmPFC-VS pathway. The dmPFC exerts top-down modulation of reward-related firing to influence VS activity (Arco and Mora, 2008; Kim and Hamann, 2007), while the VS sends out signals to the dmPFC for the purpose of reward-based motivation and learning (Moses-Kolko, et al., 2011). In healthy individuals, task-based fMRI studies found that anticipation and reward-receiving were associated with significantly stronger activation in the VS (Delgado, et al., 2003), whereas the dmPFC was activated during learning of reward-based contingencies (O'Doherty, 2004). Thus, the reduced dmPFC-VS functional connection might be related to disrupted cognitive control and reward processing in both PPD and PPD-A patients.

A specific lateral fronto-striatal neural circuit for PPD-A

Previous studies have demonstrated that anxiety symptoms primarily caused by the disturbances of specific neural circuits, in particular, the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and the amygdala loop which are known to involve in detecting and responding to threat-related cues in the surrounding environment (Davis and Whalen, 2001; Hariri, et al., 2003; Monk, et al., 2008). The PFC exerts a top-down control over the limbic structures in regulating emotion categorization (Meaux and Vuilleumier, 2016), and modulating attention bias to threat (Bishop, 2007; Monk, et al., 2006). Specifically, the prefrontal-limbic circuit is a neurocognitive model that mediates threat-related attention orientation (Bishop, 2008; Heeren, et al., 2013; Shechner, et al., 2012). Within this network, the vlPFC plays an important role in modulating amygdala responses to threat to maintain goal-directed behavior (Corbetta and Shulman, 2002; Fox and Pine, 2012). Monk et al. found that adolescents with generalized anxiety disorder exhibited greater vlPFC response to angry faces compared to healthy adolescents (Monk, et al., 2006). Hypoactivity in the vlPFC was associated with the reduction of subjective distress in anxiety-prone participants (Campbell-Sills, et al., 2011). In early postpartum mothers (within 3 weeks), the stronger connection between vlPFC and VS is associated with heightened intrusive/anxious responses to infants’ cries, stimulating stronger mother-infant attachment (Judd, et al., 2012). Consistent with the previous findings, the elevated rsFC strength between vlPFC and VS found in the PPD-A group may serve as a protective mechanism to modulate negative emotions and to regulate/ease anxiety symptoms (Guyer, et al., 2013; Shechner, et al., 2012).

A specific occipito-parieto-frontal neural circuit for PPD

Despite ample studies highlighting the frontal-limbic system in the neurobiology of depression, emerging evidence implicates the activity levels of the LG and AG are closely related to depression (Sun, et
al., 2016; Sun, et al., 2018; Wang, et al., 2020a; Wu, et al., 2016). Through direct links to the parietal cortex, frontal cortex and limbic system, LG plays an important role in processing and synthesis of visual information, visual memory, visuospatial attention, and affective identification (Collins and Olson, 2014; Fujita, 2002) (Bogousslavsky, et al., 1987; Conrad and Stumpf, 1975; Hopfinger, et al., 2000). Aberrant structural and functional deficits in LG in depressed patients have been identified using fMRI tools (Desseilles, et al., 2009; Jung, et al., 2014; Laurent, 2013; Ling-Li, et al., 2012; Veer, et al., 2010). Recent studies reported reduced long-range FCD in LG and disrupted functional connections with frontal, parietal, and posterior cingulate cortices, indicating that the LG may be a critical hub for visual recognition circuit in depression (Sun, et al., 2016; Sun, et al., 2018). Besides, LG is demonstrated to be part of the “fear” network to modulate fear processing (Carlson, et al., 2009). In our study, we identified specifically weaker lrFCD in LG in PPD as compared to PPD-A and HPW. The weakened lrFCD is negatively correlated with postpartum time and positively correlated with emotion support. Our findings highlight that the abnormal neural profile of LG may serve as a neural marker for PPD, possibly related to negative bias for visual cognition, attention, emotion processing through mother-infant interaction.

In the current study, specifically stronger functional connectivity between right LG and AG and specifically weaker functional connectivity between the LG and bilateral dmPFC were found. A past study showed that individuals with cognitive vulnerability to depression had greater functional couplings between the LG and the AG relative to healthy postnatal women (Sun, et al., 2018), and the right AG is primarily involved in spatial memory and attention reorienting (Corbetta, et al., 2008; Thiel, et al., 2004; Wang, et al., 2016). Moreover, using the graph-theory based brain network analysis, Zhang and colleagues revealed reduced nodal centrality in AG with other visual regions (Zhang, et al., 2011). Recent findings have also demonstrated that functional recoveries of the AG and the dmPFC in depressive patients contribute to the positive responses in electroconvulsive therapy (Bai, et al., 2019; Gao, et al., 2020; Wang, et al., 2020a; Wang, et al., 2018). These findings emphasize the critical role of the AG in processing emotionally relevant visual stimuli (Adolphs, 2002; Lang, et al., 1998), also, support the hypothesis that top-down influences from the frontal-parietal regions on the visual areas could cause an abnormalities in visual cognition in depressive patients (Drevets, 2010). Additionally, PPD patients showed specifically weaker rsFC in the dmPFC -LG connection, implicating a reduced top-down attention control. The specifically stronger rsFC between the LG and the AG, which showed a positive correlation with postpartum time, suggests a compensatory mechanism in visual processing or visual memory in postpartum women.

Another disorder-specific neural circuit disruption in PPD involves the decreased functional interaction
between the LG and the PreCG. These regions are associated with emotion-recognition, somatic symptoms, and inhibitory control. The PreCG is involved in cognitive processing and emotion regulation (Seo, et al., 2014), and abnormalities of this region could lead to emotion-recognition deficits (Adolphs, 2010). PPD patients accompanied by gastrointestinal symptoms have decreased gray matter volume in the right PrCG (Liu, et al., 2019), and weaker functional connectivity between the LG and the PreCG was observed in regular depressive patients, even after they receive electroconvulsive therapeutic treatments (Wang, et al., 2020a). More importantly, the PrCG has a potential role in impulsivity regulation, especially in regulating impulsive suicidal behaviors. At the same time, the PrCG and the neighboring motor areas also participate in executive response inhibition and inhibitory control (Bari and Robbins, 2013; Bush, et al., 1998; Jha, et al., 2015; Nachev, et al., 2008). Deficits in inhibitory control is associated with a greater propensity to act on aggressive feelings (Mann, 2003) and is linked to suicide vulnerability in patients with MDD (Hwang, et al., 2010; Sublette, et al., 2013). Thus, the specifically weaker rsFC between the LG and the PreCG in PPD patients suggests the attenuated inhibitory control, which may lead to abnormal somato-sensory symptoms and incurred vulnerability for impulsive behavior or increased risk of suicide.

Limitations

There were several limitations in our study. First, our study failed to identify the frequently reported brain abnormalities in the cingulate cortex, amygdala, and orbitofrontal cortex. This inconsistent finding might due to different sample sizes and methodological differences. Second, our study did not exclude potential confounding factors such as fertility pattern (natural or assisted), natural or caesarean section, primipara or multipara. A more delicately designed study examining the specific effects is needed. Third, we only applied resting-state not task fMRI for cross-sectional study of PPD, PPD-A, and HPW. Future investigations with multimodal fMRI including both resting and task are warrant to better reveal the neuropathology of PPD phenotype. Fourth, this study included PPD patients with anxiety, but the patients only with anxiety without depression not included, which may be better to reveal the specific neural circuits. Finally, the non-postpartum depression patients should be included to reveal the specific neural circuits for PPD in future studies.

Conclusion

The current study revealed common and disorder-specific neural circuits for PPD and PPD-A using the FCD and resting-state fMRI approach. Both PPD and PPD-A patients exhibited disrupted medial prefronto-striatal circuit (dmPFC-VS). Deficits in the lateral prefrontal-striatal circuit (vIPFC-VS) were observed in the PPD-A group only, while abnormal occipito-parieto-frontal circuit of LG with AG, dmPFC,
and PreCG was specifically identified in the PPD group. These findings suggest that disrupted cognitive control and reward may be the shared characteristics for both PPD and PPD-A. Attention bias, impaired maternal-infant interaction, somatic symptoms, and inhibitory control may be primary characteristics for PPD while excessively negative emotion regulation and overreact to threat may lead to anxiety in PPD patients. The specific neurofunctional markers for PPD and PPD-A found in this study may provide directions to more effective treatment strategies for postpartum depression and anxiety.
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Figure legend

Figure 1: Altered long-range functional connectivity density (FCD) maps. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for FCD maps was performed and only found altered long-range FCD among healthy postnatal women (HPW), postpartum depression (PPD), and PPD with anxiety (PPD-A) participants. Post-hoc two-sample $t$-tests analyses identified decreased long-range FCD of right lingual gyrus (LG.R) in PPD compared to both HPW and PPD-A. No difference in long-range FCD of LG.R between PPD-A and HPW was found. In addition, increased long-range FCD of left ventral striatum (VS.L) was found in PPD-A compared to both PPD and HPW. No difference in long-range FCD of VS.L between PPD and HPW was found.
Figure 2: Altered resting-state functional connectivities of right lingual gyrus (LG.R) and left ventral striatum (VS.L). Seed-based functional connectivity analyses of LG.R identified increased functional couplings between LG.R and right angular gyrus (AG.R) and decreased functional couplings between LG.R and dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC), left precentral gyrus (PreCG.L) in PPD compared to both PPD-A and healthy postnatal women (HPW). (B) Seed-based functional connectivity analyses of VS.L identified decreased functional connectivities between VS.L and dmPFC in both PPD and PPD-A patients compared to HPW and increased functional connectivities between VS.L and left ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (vlPFC.L) in PPD-A compared to both PPD and HPW.
**Figure 3:** Associations between changed neural measures and clinical characteristics. Negative and positive correlations between postpartum time and long-range FCD of LG.R, functional connectivities of LG.R with AG.R in PPD patients were identified, respectively. Functional connectivities between VS.L and vlPFC.L were positively correlated with anxiety symptom load in PPD and PPD-A patients. The positive correlations between functional connectivities of VS.L with dmPFC, long-range FCD of LG.R and depression load, emotion support were respectively identified in all the HPW, PPD, and PPD-A participants.
**Figure 4:** Functional characterization for the brain areas with changed long-range FCD or functional connectivities using BrainMap database. Behavioral characterization of the brain areas of LG.R, VS.L, dmPFC, AG.R, PreCG.L, IFG.L was performed. Only behavioral domains significantly associated with these brain areas at $p < 0.05$ (FDR corrected) are shown.

**Figure 5:** Common and specific neural circuits for postpartum depression (PPD) and PPD with anxiety (PPD-A). Based on the findings from the previous long-range FCD and functional connectivities analyses, common and specific changes of PPD and PPD-A were revealed.
Table 1: Demographics and clinical characteristics of the subjects used in present study.

|                        | HC (n=62) | PPD (n=45) | PPD-A (n=31) | F value | HC vs PPD | HC vs PPD-A | PPD vs PPD-A |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|--------------|---------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Age (years)            | 32.42 ±   | 31.11 ±    | 31.03 ±      | 2.29    | 0.069     | 0.11        | 0.92         |
|                        | 3.92      | 3.19       | 3.83         |         |           |             |              |
| Education (years)      | 16.5 ± 1.61 | 16.69 ± 1.92 | 16.52 ± 1.57 | 0.18    | 0.58      | 0.96        | 0.68         |
|                        | 58.86     | 56.29      | 53.16        |         |           |             |              |
| Postpartum time (days) | 96.27 ±   | 94.29 ±    | 100.35 ±     | 0.11    | 0.86      | 0.75        | 0.64         |
|                        | 53.16     | 53.16      | 53.16        |         |           |             |              |
| Estradiol (pg/ml)      | 81.23 ±   | 67.2 ±     | 32.01 ±      | 0.31    | 0.83      | 0.47        | 0.42         |
|                        | 372.8     | 241.09     | 19.5         |         |           |             |              |
| Progesterone (ng/ml)   | 0.79 ± 1.41 | 0.85 ± 2.75 | 0.92 ± 1.67  | 0.04    | 0.89      | 0.71        | 0.9          |
|                        | 77.66     | 91.44      | 65.95        |         |           |             |              |
| Prolactin (ng/ml)      | 67.39     | 70.23      | 53.37        |         |           |             |              |
| EPDS scores            | 7.06 ± 4.14 | 16.2 ± 3.22 | 18.87 ± 5.46 | 0.15    | 0.04      | 0.04        | 0.04         |
|                        | 10.71     | 10.23      | 9.06         |         |           |             |              |
| BAI scores             | 30.73 ±   | 37.18 ±    | 53.71 ±      | 93.83   | 0.001     | 0.001       | 0.001        |
|                        | 7.23      | 5.94       | 10.23        |         |           |             |              |
| PSQI                   | 6.85 ± 3.41 | 9.29 ± 3.46 | 10.71 ± 3.85 | 0.13    | 0.001     | 0.001       | 0.001        |
| PSQ: emotion importance| 52.79 ±   | 54.04 ±    | 53.68 ±      | 0.24    | 0.5       | 0.69        | 0.86         |
|                        | 10.16     | 8.36       | 10.23        |         |           |             |              |
| emotion                | 46.08 ±   | 38.49 ±    | 34.42 ±      | 14.02   | 0.05      | 0.05        | 0.05         |
|                        | 11.39     | 10.83      | 9.06         |         |           |             |              |
| support                | 48.81 ±   | 49.82 ±    | 47.61 ±      | 0.69    | 0.51      | 0.50        | 0.25         |
|                        | 7.85      | 7.98       | 8.59         |         |           |             |              |
| material importance    | 45.66 ±   | 40.67 ±    | 36.84 ±      | 9.35    | 0.01      | 0.12        | 0.12         |
|                        | 8.85      | 11.1       | 9.35         |         |           |             |              |
| information support    | 57.73 ± 7.7 | 58 ± 9.58  | 55 ± 10.12   | 1.23    | 0.87      | 0.15        | 0.19         |
|                        | 50.39     | 46.6 ±     | 42.35 ±      | 7.29    | 0.049     | 0.036       | 0.073        |
| information importance | 9.33      | 10.18      | 9.7          |         |           |             |              |
| comparison support     | 25.13 ±   | 24.91 ±    | 24.32 ±      | 0.21    | 0.83      | 0.52        | 0.69         |
|                        | 4.82      | 5.84       | 7.04         |         |           |             |              |
| comparison importance  | 23.94 ± 5.39 | 21.51 ± 6.14 | 20.48 ± 6.08 | 4.39    | 0.033     | 0.0064      | 0.47         |
|                        |           |            | (P < 0.014)  |         |           |             |              |
Note: One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was first used to identify differences in demographics and clinical characteristics. Post-hoc two-sample t-tests were further used to determine the between group differences in all the indices. A Pearson chi-squared test was used for gender comparison. Two-sample t-tests were used for age, education comparisons. EPDS: Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. BAI: Beck’s Anxiety Inventory. PSQI: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. PSQ: Postpartum Support Questionnaire. PPD: postpartum depression. PPD-A: postpartum depression with anxiety. HC: healthy control.

Table 2. Regions with changed long-range functional connectivity density (FCD) and functional connectivities (FC) in healthy postnatal women (HPW), postpartum depression (PPD), and PPD with anxiety (PPD-A) patients.

| Indices | Brain Regions          | L/R | Peak MNI Coordinates | F values |
|---------|------------------------|-----|----------------------|----------|
|         |                        |     | X        | Y        | Z        |          |
| FCD:    | Lingual gyrus          | R   | 18       | -90      | -6       | 12.03    |
|         | Ventral striatum       | L   | -27      | -6       | 9        | 11.69    |
| FC: LG.R| Angular gyrus          | L   | 42       | -75      | 45       | 10.56    |
|         | Dorsomedial prefrontal | L   | -9       | 33       | 48       | 9.39     |
|         | precentral/postcentral gyrus | L | -33     | -24      | 60       | 12.94    |
Dorsomedial prefrontal cortex

Ventrolateral prefrontal cortex

One-way ANOVA revealed changed long-range functional connectivity density (FCD) and resting-state functional connectivity (FC) among HPW, PPD, and PPD-A. MNI: Montreal Neurological Institute. L: left hemisphere. R: right hemisphere. LG: lingual gyrus, VS, ventral striatum.