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Abstract

Very red featureless asteroids (spectroscopic D-types) are expected to have formed in the outer solar system far from the sun. They comprise the majority of asteroids in the Jupiter Trojan population, and are also commonly found in the outer main belt and among Hildas. The first evidence for D-types in the inner and middle parts of the main belt was seen in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS). Here we report follow-up observations of SDSS D-type candidates in the near-infrared. Based on follow up observations of 13 SDSS D-type candidates, we find a \textasciitilde20\% positive confirmation rate. Known inner belt D-types range in diameter from roughly 7 to 30 kilometers. Based on these detections we estimate there are \textasciitilde100 inner belt D-types with diameters between 2.5 and 20km. The lower and upper limits for total mass of inner belt D-types is \textasciitilde2x10^{16} kg to \textasciitilde2x10^{17} kg which represents 0.01\% to 0.1\% of the mass of the inner belt. The inner belt D-types have albedos at or above the upper end typical for D-types which raises the question as to whether these inner belt bodies represent only a subset of D-types, they have been altered by external factors such as weathering processes, or if they are compositionally distinct from other D-types. All D-types and candidates have diameters less than 30km, yet there is no obvious parent body in the inner belt. Dynamical models have yet to show how D-types originating from the outer solar system could penetrate into the inner reaches of the Main Belt under current scenarios of planet formation and subsequent Yarkovsky drift.
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1. Introduction

Spectral D-type asteroids are defined by their very red spectral slopes and typical lack of distinguishing absorption features in the visible and near-infrared wavelength ranges (Tholen 1984; Bus and Binzel 2002a; DeMeo et al. 2009a). Despite a lack of clear absorption bands to determine their composition, D-types are expected to be rich in organic compounds (Gradie and Veverka 1980; Cruikshank and Kerridge 1992). Spectral surveys of D-types include Lagerkvist et al. (1993), Fitzsimmons et al. (1994), Fornasier et al. (2004), Dotto et al. (2006), Fornasier et al. (2007), Roig et al. (2008b), Emery et al. (2011), and Yang and Jewitt (2011).

Traditionally, D-types have only been found in the outer main belt, beyond 2.82 AU into the Jovian Trojan population at 5.2 AU. They are the dominant spectral type among Trojan asteroids and make up an important fraction of Hildas, Cybeles, and outer main-belt asteroids (Gradie and Tedesco 1982; Grav et al. 2012; DeMeo and Carry 2013). Their spectral slopes are significantly higher than for C, and X-types found in the main asteroid belt (Tholen 1984; DeMeo et al. 2009b). The spectral type of the ultra-red Centaurs and TNOs such as (83982) Cran- tor, (42301) 2001 UR\textsubscript{163}, and the prototypical (5145) Pholus (Alvarez-Candal et al. 2008; Fornasier et al. 2009; DeMeo et al. 2009b; Perna et al. 2010; Cruikshank et al. 1998).

Evidence for a small number of D-type asteroids in the inner and middle asteroid belt has been seen in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS, Ivezić et al. 2001, 2002) by Carvano et al. (2010) and DeMeo and Carry (2013). In this work we follow-up these “SDSS D-type candidates” with near-infrared spectral measurements and confirm the presence of D-types in the inner belt. We present the spectra, determine the positive detection rate of D-type asteroids based on SDSS candidates, calculate the total expected D-types in the inner belt according to their size distribution and mass, and discuss potential dynamical implications.
2. Observations

2.1. Target Selection

Candidate D-types were chosen among objects observed in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Moving Object Catalog (MOC). We use the fourth release (MOC4), including observations prior to March 2007. We restrict the sample based on data quality. Detail of the sample selection and classification can be found in DeMeo and Carry (2013). From this subset we create a list of all objects in the inner belt that have been classified at least once as a D-type. We then shorten this list further by removing any objects that have more than one observation that differs significantly from a D-type, such as an object that is classified once as an S and once as a D. Our final list includes 79 inner belt SDSS candidate D-types, 11 of which have been observed multiple times (Table 1). We also list the three Hungaria and three Phocaea candidates. We observed 13 targets using SpeX at the IRTF and FIRE at Magellan.

2.2. SpeX Observations

Observations were taken on the 3-meter NASA Infrared Telescope Facility at the Mauna Kea Observatory. We use the instrument SpeX (Rayner et al. 2003), a near-infrared spectrograph in low resolution mode over 0.8 to 2.5 µm.

Objects are observed near the meridian (usually < 1.3 airmass) in two different positions (typically denoted A and B) on a 0.8 x 15 arcsecond slit aligned north-south. Exposure times are typically 120 seconds, and we measure 8 to 12 A-B pairs for each object. Solar analog stars are observed at similar airmass throughout the night. We use the same set of solar analogs as the SMASS program (Binzel et al. 2004, 2006) that have been in use for over a decade. Uncertainties in spectral slope on the IRTF using these consistent set of stars at low airmass is estimated to be around 5% of the measured slope value. Observations were taken in good weather conditions and observations of other objects throughout the night provide confidence that there were no major systematic slope issues.

Reduction and extraction is performed using the Image Reduction and Analysis Facility (IRAF) provided by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories (NOAO) (Tody 1993). Correction in regions with strong telluric absorption is performed in IDL using an atmospheric transmission (ATRAN) model by Lord (1992). The final spectrum for each object is created by dividing the telluric-corrected asteroid spectrum by the average of the telluric-corrected solar star spectra throughout that night. More detailed information on the observing and reduction procedures can be found in Rivkin et al. (2004) and DeMeo and Binzel (2008).

2.3. FIRE Observations

Observations were taken on the 6.5-meter Magellan Telescope at Las Campanas Observatory. We use the instrument Folded-port InfraRed Echellette (FIRE; Simcoe et al. 2013) in high-throughput, low-resolution prism mode with a slit width of 0.8 arcsecond oriented toward the parallactic angle. Exposures of 180 seconds were used for asteroids to avoid saturation due to thermal emission from the instrument and telescope at the long wavelength end (past 2.2µm).

The readout mode sample-up-the-ramp was used for asteroid observations requiring exposure times in multiples of 10.7 seconds. For stars readout mode Fowler 2 was used. Standard stars chosen were a combination of well-established solar analogs used for the past decade in our IRTF program and newly measured G2V stars that are dimmer and better suited for a larger, southern hemisphere telescope. Standard stars typically needed to be defocused to avoid saturation. Neon Argon lamp spectra were taken for wavelength calibration. Quartz lamp dome flats were taken for flat field corrections.

For FIRE data reduction, we used an IDL pipeline designed for the instrument based on the Spextool pipeline (Cushing et al. 2004). Among the pipeline settings, we use the boxcar extraction (boxcar=1) and local sky subtraction (nolocal=1). Tests of combinations of parameters show the best results with these settings. Because this slit is long (50”), for the sky correction, we use sky in the slit from the same exposure as the asteroid rather than AB pair subtractions. The observational circumstances are provided in Table 2.

3. Results

We confirmed 3 D-type inner belt asteroids from SDSS follow-up observations. Of SDSS candidates that had multiple observations 2 out of 5 were found to be D (40% confirmation success). For singly observed SDSS candidates 1/7 was confirmed (15%). We note that asteroids (14291) and (125102) had spectral slopes that put them on the border of the X and D classes (if they were to be considered as D, the fraction confirmed would raise to 60% and 28% respectively). There is ambiguity between classes particularly for slopes near the boundaries of two classes. While formal classifications boundaries have been defined (e.g., Tholen 1984; Bus and Binzel 2002a; DeMeo et al. 2009a; these strict boundaries are in some cases artificial. Additionally, observational effects, such as airmass differences between object and solar analog, phase angle of the observations, and loss of light in the slit, cause spectral slope uncertainties on the order of 5% that could cause an object on the border to cross over to another class. For this reason we choose to include only the most distinctly D-type spectra where there is no ambiguity and thus do not consider the two border cases as D-types in order to be most conservative in assigning an asteroid to the D class. We thus provide a lower limit to the number of D-types in the inner belt.

We find all the confirmed D-types have H magnitudes less than 15, while all 4 candidates with H greater than 15 were false positives. Because of the small size of our sample, we do not know if this indicates fewer D-types at smaller sizes or is merely a reflection of a higher false positive rate for presumably lower SNR for data of the smaller, dimmer objects.

Table 1 about here.

Table 2 about here.


Fig. 1 plots the spectra of confirmed inner belt D-type asteroids. The three SDSS candidates look strikingly similar. The spectra of (3282) Spencer Jones, (15112) 2000 EE17, and (17906) 1999 FG52 have slopes (between 0.85 and 2.45 μm) of 6.4, 5.6, and 5.9%/1000Å, respectively which is very comfortably within the D-type slope range of $\gtrsim 2.5%/1000Å$ (DeMeo et al. 2009a).

The SDSS D-type candidates that were false positives are plotted in Fig. 2 and exhibit a wide range of spectral types. For many of these objects the false positives could be due to real slope difference between the visible and near-infrared. The asteroids in the right panel of Fig. 2 have distinct one- and two-micron absorption features indicating the presence of the minerals olivine and pyroxene, placing these spectra in the S, K, and L classes. We suspect that for these poorer matches, the false positive is due to the noise level of the SDSS measurement for that particular object and not due to a serious mismatch in the visible and near-infrared spectral characteristics.

Beyond the candidates in this survey, we find one asteroid with spectral measurements in both the visible and near-infrared range that place it in the D class: (908) Buda (DeMeo et al. 2009a). Asteroid (908) Buda, taken as part of the SMASS survey (Bus and Binzel 2002b; DeMeo et al. 2009a), was classified as an L-type by the visible wavelength survey, whereas the near-infrared data are inconsistent with an L-type, putting it in the D class. The orbital parameters of this object had not been reexamined after the classification change until now. This object has a moderately low to medium albedo of 0.087 ± 0.007 (Masiero et al. 2011) from WISE and 0.16 ± 0.02 (Tedesco et al. 2002) or 0.14 ± 0.01 (Ryan and Woodward 2010) from IRAS and a large spectral slope. The spectrum and two interesting features: a subtle absorption band centered near 0.9 microns and a distinct flattening of the spectrum to a more neutral slope past 2 microns. While the spectral slope clearly places (908) Buda in the D-class its moderate albedo would place it in the M class in the Tholen system. Similar subtle features on other asteroids are attributed to low iron, low calcium orthopyroxene (Ockert-Bell et al. 2008; Formisier et al. 2010), although these objects fall in the Tholen M class or Bus-DeMeo Xk class. The visible wavelength survey by Lazzaro et al. (2004) detected 5 inner belt D-types, asteroids (565), (732), (1689), (2105) and (4103), the orbits of which had not been examined until now. Most of their albedos, however, are also significantly larger (4103), the orbits of which had not been examined until now.

5. Bias-corrected abundance and distribution

We seek to use our observed sample to determine the total number of D-types expected to exist in the inner main belt. Here we exclude the Hungarias and Phocaeas because we do not have a large enough sample to determine the follow-up success rate in those regions. The SDSS survey is efficient to H magnitudes of about 17 in the inner belt (Ivezic et al. 2001; DeMeo and Carry 2013). We determine the expected total number of D-types in each H magnitude bin for the SDSS sample ($N_{SDSS}$) by first multiplying the number of SDSS D-type candidates by the success rate (1/6 or 2/5) based on the number of singly or multiply observed objects in each bin. Note we use 1/6 because we exclude the one Hungaria asteroid that was observed. We then determine the number of inner belt objects ($N_{MPC}$) from the entire SDSS sample over that size range in the inner belt and then calculate what fraction the D-types represent (D fraction). We can then apply that fraction to the total number of objects that exist ($N_{MPC}$) to find the total number of inner belt D-types ($N_{SDSS}$). The AstOrb database hosted at the Minor Planet Center (MPC) is essentially complete in the inner belt to H=16 (DeMeo and Carry 2013). The MPC is 71% complete in the inner belt between 16 < H < 17, so we apply a correction factor to account for the expected undiscovered objects.

We place upper limits equal to the mean of the Poisson distribution for which there is a 90% chance of observing n+1 or more candidates where n is the number of expected objects in a given H bin of our SDSS sample (for H bins between H of 12 and 16 n is 1,2,4,4,3, respectively) as was done in similar work for V-types by Moskovitz et al. (2008). The lower limit is equal to the mean of the Poisson distribution for which there is a 90% chance of observing n-1 or fewer candidates. Table 4 provides the total number of D-types in the inner belt for each H magnitude bin.

Even though the SDSS survey is efficient to an H magnitude of 17, it is severely biased against asteroids with H<12 because they saturated the detector during observations. The spectral surveys make up for this bias because they are nearly 100% complete down to H=12 in the inner belt (DeMeo and Carry 2002) suggest that a significant number of these D-types (7/12) have orbits that make them highly likely to have originated in the middle or inner belt. All 7 of these NEOs have semi-major axes less than 2.5 AU, while those likely to have originated in the outer belt or from the Jupiter Family Comets have semi-major axes greater than 2.5 AU. Table 4 provides a probability estimate for an NEO’s origin from multiple sources: a Jupiter Family Comet (JFC), outer asteroid belt (OB), 3:1 mean-motion resonance (3:1), Mars Crosser (MC), and the $v_6$ resonance (N6). While the relative abundance of D-types in the inner belt is much lower than for the outer regions, the 3:1 and $v_6$ resonance delivery mechanisms are significantly more efficient, meaning it could be possible that some of the NEO D-types actually originate from the inner belt.
Five D-types were identified in the inner belt from Lazaro et al. based on visible wavelengths. Among the sample of asteroids observed at visible plus near-infrared wavelengths, all near-ir D-types have high slopes in the visible, but the reverse is not always true for D-types with only visible measurements (DeMeo et al. 2009a). Additionally, the albedos for these targets are significantly higher than for typical D-types. For these reasons we do not include them in our calculations for this work, but if near-infrared observations confirm their very red slopes continue to the infrared, they should be included more quantitatively among the sample.

6. Discussion

Fig. 3 plots the orbital elements of the SDSS D-type candidates and the objects observed in this work. The candidates and confirmed D-types display a wide range of orbits throughout the inner belt suggesting these D-types do not all originate from a single source or location such as being disrupted fragments of an originally larger parent body. We note that among the larger, visible-wavelength D-types from Lazaro et al. (2004) (not plotted), two are located among the Phocaeas and the other three are at the outer edge of the inner belt around 2.45 AU.

Albedos listed in Table 2 are a weighted average from WISE (Mainzer et al. 2011a), IRAS (Tedesco et al. 2002), Ryan and Woodward (2010), and AKARI (Usui et al. 2011) when available or from WISE when that is the only survey with data. The median albedo of our inner belt D-types is 0.09, with values ranging from 0.07 to 0.11. This is consistent with albedos found for Bus D-types although slightly higher than the albedos for most Tholen and Bus-DeMeo D-types (Mainzer et al. 2011b).

We estimate there are on the order of 100 inner belt D-type asteroids with H magnitudes between 12 and 17 which corresponds to diameters between 2.5 and 20 km. While we do not follow up D-type SDSS candidates in the middle belt, we find 184 candidates. Assuming a similar confirmation rate we expect there to be on the order of 200-250 middle belt D-types over the same H magnitude range. Assuming an average albedo and density we can calculate the total volume and estimated mass of D-type material in the inner belt. For a lower limit we use an albedo of 0.09, which is the median of the D-types observed in this work and a density of 1.0 g/cm$^3$. This density agrees with the single D-type density measurement available which is 1.0±0.02 for asteroid (624) Hektor (Marchis et al. 2013) and is also consistent with densities of comets and transneptunian objects (Carry 2012). We find the lower limit to the total volume and mass to be 2.6x10$^{13}$m$^3$ and 2.6x10$^{19}$kg which represents ∼0.01% of the mass of the inner belt. We calculate an upper limit for the mass assuming a lower albedo (0.04) and a density of 1.8g/cm$^3$ which is the at the upper end of the range for C-types (Carry 2012). In this case the mass increases by nearly an order of magnitude. If (908) Buda and the 5 D-types from Lazaro et al. (2004) are included, which range in diameter from 15 to 30 km, that would increase the mass by another factor of a few assuming the 0.09 albedo and 1.0 g/cm$^3$ density case. By mass, D-types represent roughly 1, 2, 15, 67% of the outer belt, Cybeles, Hildas and Trojans (DeMeo and Carry 2013). Inner belt D-types represent, as expected, a very small fraction of the inner belt as well as a small fraction of the total D-type population.

As mentioned in the Results Section, we did not confirm any D-types with H>15. If, in fact, there are fewer D-types at the smallest sizes we sample, that would change the number of D-types greater than 2 km from ~100 objects to ~25 (see Table 2), although the total mass would not change substantially.

The currently favored theory of solar system evolution includes periods of planetary migration that displace large numbers of small bodies (e.g. Gomes et al. 2005, Morbidelli et al. 2005, Tsiganis et al. 2005, Walsh et al. 2011). Levison et al. (2009) produced simulations showing that P- and D-type asteroids originating in the outer solar system could have been implanted into the Trojans and could have reached as far as the outer belt. At that time no P- or D-types had been observed in the inner or middle parts of the belt. P-type is a taxonomic class in the Tholen taxonomy (Tholen 1984) with relatively featureless and moderately red spectra and low albedos, and falls within the X-complex in the Bus-DeMeo taxonomy (DeMeo et al. 2009a). Because C and P type asteroids are less easily distinguished especially in the near-infrared range we prefer to focus on the more spectrally distinct D-types. We find 3 D-types in the inner belt, the largest of which has a diameter of about 10 km and 1 anomalous D-type (908) Buda with a diameter of about 30 km. The work by Levison et al. (2009) only includes objects with diameters greater than 40 km. Because of the size mismatch between our observations and the simulations, perhaps some of the more numerous smaller bodies reached farther distances inward or these smaller bodies have managed to move from other regions of the belt later on in solar system history. Dynamical models have yet to show how D-types could penetrate into the inner reaches of the Main Belt from the Kuiper Belt under current scenarios of planet formation.

If these objects were originally implanted in the outer belt, or even in the middle belt, the D-type asteroids would still need to cross major resonances, particularly the 3:1. The major resonances have a strong eccentricity pumping effect acting on short (typically <1 My) timescales, pushing the objects out of the main belt and into planet crossing space (Gladman et al. 1997). However, there are at least two plausible ways a body could cross. First, a single energetic event such as the break up of a parent body into a family near the 3:1 that could provide enough energy to quickly cross. The varied orbits of the inner belt D-types and lack of evidence for a remnant family on the outer side of the 3:1 makes this scenario unlikely.

Alternatively, the Yarkovsky force causes objects with diameters on the order of those of km or smaller to drift slowly in semi-major axis space. Nesvorny et al. (2008) investigate the dynamical spread of the Vesta family members over 2 Gy and found that none of their 132 test objects that entered the 3:1...
resonance succeeded to cross it. In fact, all were removed from the main belt by eccentricity pumping putting them on planet-crossing orbits. Roig et al. (2008a) also examined the possibility of Vesta family members crossing the 3:1 resonance and found that the ∼5km Vesta-like asteroid (21238) located in the middle belt has only a ∼1% probability of having originated from the Vesta family. They find, however, that objects smaller than 5km have a much higher probability of resonance crossing. While many of the inner belt D-types are larger than 5km, they also have much lower albedos than the Vesta-like objects studied in previous work and are thus generally more affected by the Yarkovsky effect (Vokrouhlický and Bottke 2001). Nevertheless, the Yarkovsky effect is dependent on many factors including thermal inertia, shape, density, rotation state and other physical properties. Further work is needed to investigate the efficiency of objects crossing resonances particularly as a function of size and albedo.

In another scenario, these D-types could have been transported during another migration period. Most of the P- and D-types were thought to have been transported during a late-stage migration caused by interactions between the giant planets and the Kuiper Belt that destabilized the region and sent bodies inward to the inner solar system (Levison et al. 2011). However, in a hypothesized early stage migration, material near and between the giant planets is moved inward when Jupiter migrates inward to about 1.5 AU and then back outward (Walsh et al. 2011). We would expect most of this material to have been C-type, but it is plausible that there was compositional variation among that population that would cause some these bodies to look more like a D-type.

The inner belt D-types have albedos at or above the upper limit of the typical range for D-type asteroids in the outer belt to the Trojans (0.03–0.07, Fernández et al. 2003; Mainzer et al. 2011b; DeMeo and Carry 2013). The objects in our sample are much smaller than the typical sizes of D-types measured further out in the solar system. Smaller sizes typically indicate a younger surface age, so it is possible the difference is due to weathering effects. Because of the lack of distinguishing features for D-types, it is also possible that the objects we find in the inner belt are compositionally distinct from other D-types.

Inner belt D-types have a size-frequency distribution drastically different from other regions. All confirmed and candidate D-types in the inner belt have D≤30km which have dynamical lifetimes expected to be shorter than the age of the solar system. There are none in the medium (30–100km) or large (>100km) size range. This means they are most likely collisional fragments of a larger body, however there are no candidate D-type parents in the inner belt. If these D-types originated from another D-type, then the parents are either completely destroyed or were never in the inner belt at all. The presence of these bodies also raise the question as to whether they could originate from a larger parent of a different taxonomic type.

7. Conclusion

We identified inner belt D-type candidates from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Moving Object Catalog and confirmed 3 D-types from new near-infrared spectral observations. We estimate there are ∼100 and ∼250 D-types with diameters between 2.5 and 20km in the inner and middle belt, respectively. The average albedo of the inner belt D-types (0.09) is slightly higher than for typical D-types. D-types are thought to originate from the outer solar system, however, models by Levison et al. (2009) show that they are not expected to reach as far as the inner belt. There are many possible scenarios that could explain the presence of these inner belt D-types: i) They were scattered farther than expected during the late-stage migration modeled by Levison et al. (2009). ii) They arrived through another mechanism such as an earlier migration, other planetary scattering, or Yarkovsky drift across the resonances. iii) They are compositionally distinct from other D-types and thus do not require an implantation mechanism.

The sample size in this work is small, but has uncovered an important new population in the inner belt. Future work discovering inner belt D-types with measured visible and near-infrared spectra and albedos will help us understand the frequency and origin of these bodies.
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Figure 1: Plot of confirmed D-types based on near-infrared spectral measurements from this work for three objects plus asteroid (908) from \cite{DeMeo2009}. SDSS colors are plotted as red dots with black error bars (smaller than the size of the dot). The spectra are plotted in black. The gray region bounds plus and minus one sigma from the mean of the D-type class. The three SDSS D-types have nearly identical spectra.
Figure 2: Plot of SDSS candidates that are not D-types based on near-infrared follow up observations. SDSS colors are plotted as red dots with red error bars. The spectra are plotted in black. The gray region plotted with the first spectrum shows the boundaries plus and minus one sigma from the mean of the D-type class illustrating the difference between D-types and these spectra. On the left are featureless or subtly featured spectra with slopes that place them in the C or X complex. On the right are spectra with 1 and 2 $\mu$m features that place them in the S, K, or L classes.
Figure 3: Orbital distribution of D-types in the inner belt. This plot shows the location of the candidate D-types located closer than 2.5AU. The bottom right region is the inner main belt. The top left is the Hungarias and the top right is the Phocaeas. A sample of MBAs are plotted in gray to illustrate the structure of the region. SDSS candidates are plotted as black or blue dots for objects with single or multiple observations respectively. Red circles indicate D-types that have been confirmed with near-infrared data. Green X marks objects with follow up observations that do not classify as D-types.
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| Designation (Name) | H (mag) | a (au) | e | i (°) |
|------------------|--------|--------|---|------|
| **Inner belt candidates** | | | | |
| 2806 Graz | 13.1 | 2.38 | 0.05 | 2.3 |
| 3283* Skorina | 12.6 | 2.40 | 0.10 | 6.9 |
| 5202 1983 XX | 13.0 | 2.40 | 0.17 | 12.6 |
| 5302 Romanoserr | 13.9 | 2.33 | 0.04 | 2.1 |
| 8069 Benweiss | 14.0 | 2.34 | 0.07 | 6.1 |
| 8856 Celastrus | 14.3 | 2.35 | 0.10 | 2.4 |
| 10573 Piani | 14.5 | 2.45 | 0.16 | 14.8 |
| 14291 1104 T-1 | 13.9 | 2.35 | 0.13 | 11.7 |
| 15112* Arlenewolf | 14.2 | 2.30 | 0.15 | 3.8 |
| 16025 1999 CA104 | 14.1 | 2.29 | 0.21 | 6.8 |
| 17906* 1999 FG32 | 13.5 | 2.47 | 0.17 | 10.7 |
| 20180 Annakoleny | 14.9 | 2.33 | 0.11 | 7.3 |
| 20452 1999 KG4 | 14.0 | 2.35 | 0.24 | 7.9 |
| 20749 2000 AD199 | 13.7 | 2.45 | 0.15 | 14.3 |
| 21606 1999 FH6 | 15.0 | 2.18 | 0.07 | 0.9 |
| 22788 von Steube | 13.7 | 2.45 | 0.21 | 8.5 |
| 25718 2000 AH170 | 14.7 | 2.29 | 0.11 | 10.9 |
| 27435 2000 FZ35 | 14.4 | 2.47 | 0.12 | 12.8 |
| 27842 1994 QJ | 14.2 | 2.35 | 0.23 | 24.9 |
| 33917 2000 LK19 | 14.9 | 2.33 | 0.11 | 7.3 |
| 33964 2000 NS10 | 14.9 | 2.36 | 0.14 | 6.8 |
| 34692 2001 KE61 | 15.0 | 2.31 | 0.25 | 7.2 |
| 35058 1985 RP4 | 15.0 | 2.42 | 0.25 | 3.8 |
| 38699 2000 QX63 | 15.7 | 2.27 | 0.09 | 7.0 |
| 39881 Fukuchan | 16.2 | 2.23 | 0.22 | 5.4 |
| 39881 1998 EK11 | 14.7 | 2.36 | 0.19 | 3.9 |
| 44169 1998 KK2 | 14.0 | 2.46 | 0.28 | 14.7 |
| 47320 1999 XA15 | 13.7 | 2.41 | 0.09 | 22.4 |
| 48049 2001 DB90 | 15.1 | 2.47 | 0.13 | 11.0 |
| 48763 1997 JZ | 15.0 | 2.39 | 0.13 | 13.6 |
| 49092 1998 RK71 | 15.1 | 2.23 | 0.06 | 6.1 |
| 52570 1997 JC1 | 14.7 | 2.38 | 0.13 | 13.8 |
| 55391 2001 ST277 | 14.6 | 2.39 | 0.20 | 11.8 |
| 55567 2002 CS6 | 13.5 | 2.32 | 0.27 | 22.9 |
| 55590 2002 PB97 | 15.3 | 2.34 | 0.25 | 3.9 |
| 57546 2001 TO21 | 15.7 | 2.44 | 0.09 | 6.6 |
| 58005 2002 TR207 | 15.5 | 2.37 | 0.07 | 10.3 |
| 58684 1998 AA11 | 15.8 | 2.42 | 0.16 | 3.5 |
| 65915 1998 FO34 | 14.2 | 2.34 | 0.09 | 12.9 |
| 68004 2000 XD38 | 15.2 | 2.44 | 0.11 | 14.5 |
| 68448 Sidneywolf | 15.3 | 2.29 | 0.24 | 9.2 |
| 73598 2912 T-2 | 17.0 | 2.23 | 0.14 | 1.5 |
| 76973 2001 BT53 | 15.0 | 2.36 | 0.15 | 11.9 |
| 80532 2000 AV71 | 15.9 | 2.29 | 0.04 | 4.5 |
| 84480 2002 TM266 | 14.8 | 2.30 | 0.26 | 11.1 |
| 84802 2002 YC1 | 14.8 | 2.36 | 0.22 | 9.9 |
| 85054 6841 P-L | 16.4 | 2.31 | 0.12 | 4.0 |
| 96199 1992 EY24 | 15.8 | 2.20 | 0.12 | 4.0 |
| 97963 2000 QW143 | 15.7 | 2.36 | 0.14 | 14.3 |
| 99449 2002 CJ30 | 18.6 | 2.29 | 0.11 | 4.8 |
| 104163 2000 EL76 | 15.9 | 2.36 | 0.11 | 12.3 |
| 109253 2001 QT103 | 16.0 | 2.48 | 0.22 | 14.9 |
| 111899 2002 FD11 | 16.5 | 2.21 | 0.07 | 4.0 |
| 122596 2000 RG35 | 14.5 | 2.43 | 0.11 | 14.7 |
| 132340 2000 UU59 | 15.8 | 2.32 | 0.25 | 5.9 |

**Hungaria candidates**

| Designation (Name) | H (mag) | a (au) | e | i (°) |
|------------------|--------|--------|---|------|
| 53424 1999 SC3 | 15.2 | 1.87 | 0.06 | 23.15 |
| 175122 2004 XM168 | 16.7 | 1.96 | 0.09 | 23.45 |
| 232167 2002 DC10 | 16.4 | 1.93 | 0.05 | 21.06 |

**Phocaea candidates**

| Designation (Name) | H (mag) | a (au) | e | i (°) |
|------------------|--------|--------|---|------|
| 27842 1994 QJ | 14.2 | 2.35 | 0.23 | 24.95 |
| 47320 1999 XA15 | 13.7 | 2.41 | 0.09 | 22.45 |
| 55567 2002 CS6 | 13.5 | 2.32 | 0.27 | 22.95 |
### Table 2: Observational Circumstances and Target Information

| Designation (#) | (Name) | Phase (°) | V (mag) | Class | Slope (%/1000Å) | Albedo (b) | Est. D (km) | H (mag) | SDSS (Class) | New Observations (Tel.) (Date) |
|----------------|--------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------------|------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| 908            | Buda   | 13.9      | 15.2    | D     | 6.51±0.13       | 0.114±0.025| 29          | 10.7    | -            | IRTF 2006/0                   |
| 3283           | Skorina| 5.1       | 15.2    | D     | 6.39±0.03       | 0.094±0.021| 13          | 12.6    | D,D,X,C      | IRTF 2012/0                   |
| 15112          | Arlenewolfe | 10.5     | 18.1    | D     | 5.59±0.04       | 0.076±0.002| 7           | 14.2    | D            | IRTF 2012/1                   |
| 17906          | 1999 FG32 | 19.9     | 16.6    | D     | 5.89±0.03       | 0.072±0.009| 10          | 13.5    | D,D          | IRTF 2012/0                   |
|                |         |           |         |       |                 |            |             |         |              |                               |
| 5202           | 1983 XX | 20.1      | 17.1    | C/X   | 1.87±0.07       | 0.091±0.002| 11          | 13.0    | D            | IRTF 2012/0                   |
| 14291          | 1104 T-1| 16.4      | 17.5    | X/D   | 2.98±0.07       | 0.102±0.018| 7           | 13.9    | D            | IRTF 2012/0                   |
| 16025          | 1999 CA104 | 10.4     | 18      | S     | 3.71±0.10       | 0.159±0.011| 5           | 14.1    | D            | IRTF 2013/0                   |
| 20439          | 1999 JM28 | 1.7      | 15.9    | S     | 1.29±0.05       | 0.331±0.015| 4           | 13.9    | D            | IRTF 2013/0                   |
| 20452          | 1999 KG4 | 20.4      | 19.3    | K     | 3.49±0.08       | 0.220±0.039| 4           | 14.0    | D            | IRTF 2012/1                   |
| 33917          | 2000 LK19| 3.6       | 17.9    | C/X   | 1.18±0.06       | 0.114±0.015| 4           | 14.9    | D            | IRTF 2013/0                   |
| 53424          | 1999 SC3 | 24.1      | 17.9    | S/L   | 2.62±0.08       | -           | -           | 15.2    | D            | IRTF 2012/1                   |
| 125102         | 2001 UH35 | 23.3     | 19.1    | X/D   | 2.87±0.15       | -           | -           | 15.3    | D,D,K        | IRTF 2013/0                   |
| 224306         | 2005 UV8 | 8.6       | 18.3    | S     | 2.18±0.07       | -           | -           | 16.1    | D,D          | Magellan 2012/0               |
| 247264         | 2001 SW8 | 8.1       | 18.1    | C/X   | 2.17±0.08       | 0.065±0.024| -           | 16.3    | D,D          | IRTF, Magellan 2012/0         |

*Errors include only the formal error in the slope calculation.

*Reported albedos are either from WISE (Mainzer et al. 2011a; Masiero et al. 2011) or are weighted averages from WISE, IRAS (Tedesco et al. 2002; Ryan and Woodward 2010), and AKARI (Usui et al. 2011) when multiple measurements are available.

*One class is listed for each SDSS observation.
Table 3: Source Region Probabilities for D-type NEOs

| Asteroid | Orbit | a (AU) | e     | i (deg) | $T_J$ | $P_{JFC}$ | $P_{OB}$ | $P_{3:1}$ | $P_{MC}$ | $P_{\nu}$ |
|----------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| 52762    | APO   | 2.419  | 0.651 | 33.9    | 3.01  | 0.000     | 0.034    | 0.734     | 0.159    | 0.072     |
| 162998   | AMO   | 1.926  | 0.474 | 1.7     | 3.77  | 0.000     | 0.095    | 0.053     | 0.202    | 0.650     |
| 170891   | AMO   | 1.983  | 0.405 | 8.1     | 3.74  | 0.000     | 0.000    | 0.032     | 0.299    | 0.670     |
| 2003 UC20| ATE   | 0.781  | 0.337 | 3.8     | 7.39  | 0.000     | 0.145    | 0.332     | 0.523    |
| 2005 DD  | APO   | 1.933  | 0.568 | 7.3     | 3.69  | 0.000     | 0.073    | 0.324     | 0.603    |
| 2006 MJ10| APO   | 1.876  | 0.586 | 39.3    | 3.53  | 0.000     | 0.000    | 0.331     | 0.290    | 0.379     |
| 2013 AH11| AMO   | 2.274  | 0.521 | 28.3    | 3.28  | 0.000     | 0.055    | 0.089     |
| 3552     | AMO   | 4.222  | 0.713 | 31.0    | 2.31  | 1.000     | 0.000    | 0.000     | 0.000    | 0.000     |
| 17274    | AMO   | 2.725  | 0.558 | 5.6     | 3.10  | 0.028     | 0.750    | 0.071     | 0.144    | 0.008     |
| 326732   | AMO   | 2.705  | 0.575 | 6.3     | 3.10  | 0.028     | 0.750    | 0.071     | 0.144    | 0.008     |
| 2000 PG3 | APO   | 2.824  | 0.856 | 22.0    | 2.55  | 0.929     | 0.025    | 0.037     | 0.002    | 0.007     |
| 2011 BE38| APO   | 2.620  | 0.719 | 7.9     | 2.96  | 0.438     | 0.346    | 0.128     | 0.064    | 0.025     |

*NEO orbits are labeled as Amor (AMO), Apollo (APO) and Aten (ATE)
Table 4: Observational Circumstances and Target Information

| H   | N_{SDSS,11} | N_{SDSS,obs,d} | D fraction | N_{MPC} | N_{MPC,11} |
|-----|-------------|----------------|------------|---------|------------|
| 12-13 | 0.4 | 161 | 0.00248 | 712 | 1.8^{+1.8}_{-0.6} |
| 13-14 | 2.3 | 847 | 0.00248 | 3185 | 8.6^{+6.7}_{-5.6} |
| 14-15 | 4.1 | 2209 | 0.00167 | 10236 | 19.0^{+19.2}_{-18.9} |
| 15-16 | 4.5 | 3860 | 0.00106 | 24928 | 29.1^{+16.2}_{-15.7} |
| 16-17 | 3.5 | 3635 | 0.00085 | 69368 | 66.8^{+25.7}_{-42.1} |
| Total: | 10712 | 108429 | 125.3 |

- The number of inner belt D-types expected statistically in the SDSS sample calculated as the detection rate times the number of D-type candidates from the SDSS sample.
- The total number of objects in the inner belt observed in our SDSS sample.
- The fraction of D-types at each H magnitude range calculated as $N_{SDSS,11}/N_{SDSS,obs}$.
- The total number of inner belt asteroids at each H magnitude range from the MPC with a correction for incompleteness in the last bin.
- The total number of inner belt D-types expected statistically. The error bars are based on the Poisson upper and lower limits as described in the text.