Physical and Organoleptic Quality of Culled Layers Chicken Meat Using Marinated Asam Kandis Fruits (Garcinia dioica Blume)
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Abstract. Asam Kandis is one of the fruits with a sour taste that is often used in the spice. Asam Kandis is very effective as a food flavoring such as in meat and fish. Culled layers meat usually has a rough texture, tough and not preferred because it has a rough tenderness, so it needs natural herbs to improve tenderness or maintain the physical. This study uses a completely randomized design with 4 treatments and 4 replications. The treatment in this study marinated culled layers chicken in Asam Kandis consisting of 4 levels: 0%, 5%, 10%, and 15%. Research aimed to improve the physical and organoleptic quality of culled layers of chicken meat using Asam Kandis. The results obtained physical and organoleptic quality in culled layers chicken meat, which includes pH, drip loss, and tenderness, significantly affect the marinated Asam Kandis. Water content and cooking losses do not affect the marination of Asam Kandis and are quite effective. Conclusion in this research Asam Kandis has a quite good effect on improving the quality of culled layers of chicken meat. It is recommended marination using Asam Kandis with a level of 9% effective maintain the quality of culled layers meat.
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1. Introduction

Asam Kandis is one of the fruits with a sour taste that is often used as a spice in Sumatra. Asam Kandis is often using as a flavoring dish by thinly sliced, which was previously dried first. Asam Kandis fruit is very effective as a food flavoring such as in meat and fish. Culled layers chicken usually have a rough texture. [1] that the level of meat roughness or texture in meat has increased in line with age. The level of meat toughness is also influenced by collagen, which is a structural protein in connective tissue while the number of colleges will increase with age; this is the cause of meat toughness.

Asam Kandis fruit contains organic acids such as hydroxy citric acid and ascorbic acid, some organic and phenolic acids [2]. The addition of acid when done to meat causes denaturation of proteins, thereby breaking down polypeptide bonds and changing the composition of proteins so
that the meat is very soft. [3] have shown Asam Kandis fruit also has anti-bacterial activity, including Salmonella sp. Asam Kandis fruit is also known to have antimicrobial and antioxidant activity [4].

Asam Kandis fruit also has phenolytic compounds, flavonoids, alkaloids, and saponins [5] the presence of these compounds also acts as an antioxidant. [5] examined that Asam Kandis can inhibit spoilage bacteria in Nila fish. In addition to containing anti-microbial, Asam Kandis also contains citric acid so that Asam Kandis has the potential as a culled layer chicken. Marination is a method of processing foods that aims to preserve and flavor, retain juicily, and increase meat tenderness and improve texture. Marination using acidic substances aims to tenderness meat because it has the effect of cutting meat fibers in addition to inhibiting spoilage microbes in food. The purpose of this research was to determine the effect of Asam Kandis levels on physical and organoleptic quality in layers of chicken meat.

2. Materials and Methods

The materials used in this study were 250-gram Asam Kandis [Figure 1], aqua dest, culled layers chicken 1500 grams. The experiment was a completely randomized design with 4 replications. The treatment for this determination was the marinated of culled layers chicken meat in a solution of Asam Kandis consisting of 4 levels: 0%, 5%, 10%, and 15%.

Asam Kandis is mashed and then filtered, then culled layers chicken meat is separated with bone or deboning then marinated on an extra 30-minute in Asam Kandis [6]. The parameters in this study were meat pH, cooking loss, drip loss, Postma test, and meat texture (organoleptic). Organoleptic calculation using the following score.

| Score | Tenderness        |
|-------|-------------------|
| 5     | Very tenderness   |
| 4     | Tenderness        |
| 3     | Rather tenderness |
| 2     | Tough             |
| 1     | Extreme Tough     |
The pH value of meat was measured using a pH meter [7] [8] ie, meat that had been marination using Asam Kandis weighed 5 grams, then blended for 1 minute and added to 10 ml of distilled water. Cooking loss is made using the CSIRO method, Drip loss using the plastic bag method and tenderness texture using organoleptic [9].

Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) [10]. If the effect of the treatment was significantly different at the 0.05% and 0.01% levels followed by the LSD test or the smallest significant difference test to determine the best levels Asam Kandis fruit marination. The study conducted in May 2019 at the Laboratory of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Agriculture, and MIPA Organic Chemistry Laboratory.

3. Results and Discussion

Results can be presented in figures and Tables 2. The results showed that the quality of the layers chicken meat after treatment using marinated Asam Kandis 0%, 5%, 10% and 15% on the physical and organoleptic quality of the layers chicken meat was as follows:

| Level | Physical Quality of culled layer chicken meat with marinated Asam Kandis | pH | Cooking loss (%) | Drip loss (%) | Water content (%) |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| P0=0% | 5.87±0.6a                                        | 27±5.2 | 3.9±2.2a       | 75±2.2      |
| P1=5% | 5.76±0.4a                                        | 30±3.4 | 4.2±1.5a       | 75±4.5      |
| P2=10%| 5.70±0.3ab                                       | 30±3.5 | 3.7±1.2ab      | 77±3.0      |
| P3=15%| 5.60±0.3b                                        | 32±4.6 | 2.1±1.8b       | 79±3.6      |

3.1. Meat pH Value

Based on the results in Table 2, the highest average pH value in culled layers chicken is P0 or control, which is 5.87, and the lowest is P3 or marinated 15% Asam Kandis which is 5.60. The results of the analysis of variance showed that there was a real treatment effect (P<0.05). This shows the pH value decreases according to the level of administration of Asam Kandis. Asam Kandis has an acidic pH which is thought to cause an increase in acidity and cause a pH reduction in meat. BNT test results showed that the pH value at 15% treatment was significantly different and lower when compared to 0%, 5%, and 10% treatments. Normal meat pH has a value of 5.4 to 5.8 [10].
[11] states glycogen in meat aerobically undergoes glycolysis so that lactic acid is product gradually so that the pH decreases. After glycogen reserves are exhausted, glycogen is separated into lactic acid, causing the pH of the meat to rise gradually due to the process of autolysis of proteins by microbes. The pH value of the meat decreases with an increasing level of Asam Kandis is thought to be caused by protein hydrolysis of the culled layers of chicken meat. The higher the level of Asam Kandis marination will reduce the pH of chicken meat and influence the acidity. The pH value in this study belongs to a good range [10], that the pH value in meat ranges typically from 5.4 to 5.8.

3.2. Cooking Loss

The percentage of cooking loss is the weight of meat lost during the cooking process. The results showed that cooking losses were not significantly different (P>0.05) in the treatment of Asam Kandis marination. The results of observations in the study, the highest value of cooking loss in culled layer chicken meat after the marinating acid in control (P3) was 32%, and the lowest cooking losses were 0% (P0) at 27%.

It is suspect that the more the level of Asam Kandis, the more fat dissolve so that it can reduce the value of cooking losses [12] soaking pineapple on layers ducks can reduce cooking losses because it can dissolve fat so that it will reduce the value of the cooking loss [13] that the high value of cooking losses is associated with muscle fibers and collagen tissue shrinkage. The value
of cooking shrinkage in this study is still in the normal category in line with [14] that the value of cooking loss in standard meat ranges from 15% to 40%.

3.3. Drip Loss

Drip loss is moist meat that comes out with nutrients of the meat that dissolve and disappear. If the meat experiences a rapid decrease in pH, it can cause the surface of the meat to become wet, which is marked by the discharge of the surface of the meat (drip) [15] state that drip loss can measure on all pieces or parts of pieces. The value of drip loss in the study was significantly different (P<0.05) in the treatment of Asam Kandis marination. The highest drip loss value is at 5% (P1), followed by control (P) and 10% (P2), while the lowest is at 15% (P3).

Figure 4. Drip Loss in Marinated Culled Layer Meat

[16] showed the drip loss at pork 4.4% of carcass weight. The more fatty food will increase the value of drip loss. Drip loss in the study is still at an average level, but the drip loss value in the study decreased at 15% Asam Kandis marinated (P3). Estimated that marinating for 30 minutes can suppress the release of drip or nutrients in meat. The drip loss in the study is lower than that reported by [17] that the drip loss in lamb is 10.2%. Overall, the value of drip loss in this study is still in a reasonable range.

3.4. Water Content

The water content of culled layers of chicken meat that marinate using Asam Kandis was not significantly different (P>0.05). The highest water content in the study was at 15% (P3) at 79%, while the lowest was at control (P0) at 75% and 5% (P1) at 75%. Asam Kandis does not affect water content because the ability of culled layers chicken meat to absorb the Asam Kandis marinated liquid around it in saturated conditions. It suspected that the condition of the culled layers chicken could not absorb more water.

According to [12], the water content of duck meat in soaking pineapple for 30 minutes ranged from 65.57% to 63.38%. Some factors that affect the water content of meat include the age of livestock. [18] in the study used laying hens that were 24 months old so that in terms of age
included in the old category. Added by [18], water levels can decrease with increasing age in livestock; on the contrary fat content can increase when cattle mature.

![Water content](image)

**Figure 5.** Water Content in Marinated Culled Layer Meat

Marinating the culled layer meat in Asam Kandis using liquid media can also cause high water content because some of the water will seep on the surface of the meat so that it affects the water content even though the presentation is only slightly. Standard chicken water content, according to [19], ranged from 65% -80% so that the water content of meat in the study included in the category which is quite good. Water content in the study ranged from 75% to 79% in the normal category.

3.5. **Tenderness**

Organoleptic assessment results obtained for meat testing using organoleptic tests performed on trained panelists after processing in Table 3.

| Level Asam Kandis | Tenderness |
|-------------------|------------|
| P0                | 3.67<sup>a</sup> |
| P1                | 3.73<sup>a</sup> |
| P2                | 3.87<sup>ab</sup> |
| P3                | 4.67<sup>b</sup> |

The highest tenderness in chicken meat on marination with Asam Kandis level 15% (P3) has a value of 4.67, continues to decline to a level of 10% (P2) with a value of 3.87 while at the level of 5% (P1) 3.73 decreases back to control or without treatment ie, 3.67 (P0). In the study, the highest value was obtained in P3 with the inferred category, whereas in P2, P1, and P0, it includes in the subtle. It means that Asam Kandis marination can make a lot, and the rough texture of the culled layer chicken meat softer and smoother. Directly organoleptic assessments were carried out by the best panelists on P3. The tenderness of the meat after the Asam Kandis marination will be softer because Collagen and myofibrils are hydrolyzed and cause shorter flesh fibers and muscle fibers to be easily separated so that meat tenderness can increase.
4. Conclusion and Recommendation

Asam Kandis marination has an excellent effect on improving the quality of the culled layer chicken meat. It is recommended that marination using Asam Kandis with a level of 10% can effectively maintain the quality of culled layer chicken meat. In conclusion, Asam Kandis marination of 10% (P2) is the best level and effectively maintains the physical and organoleptic quality of Layers Chicken Meat.
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