Fecal glucocorticoid metabolites as an indicator of adrenocortical activity in Arctic foxes (Vulpes lagopus) and recommendations for future studies
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Abstract
Measuring fecal glucocorticoid metabolites (fGCMs) is a widely used, non-invasive method for studies of stress in vertebrates. To study physiological responses in wild Arctic foxes (Vulpes lagopus) to perceived stressors such as fluctuating food availability, occurrence of competitors and predators and disturbance from human activities, a species-specific physiological validation of a method to evaluate adrenocortical activity is needed. Here we used 15 captive Arctic foxes (both males and females and juveniles and adults) to investigate fGCM concentrations following ACTH injection (physiological validation), or handling alone and compared them with their respective baseline concentrations prior to the treatments. A 5α-pregnane-3ß,11ß,21-triol-20-one enzyme immunoassay measured significant fGCM increases following both treatments. The time lags to reach peak fGCM values were 9.3 ± 1.3 h and 12.8 ± 1.7 h for ACTH and handling treatment, respectively. Concentrations of fGCMs varied a lot between individuals, but not attributed to sex nor age of the foxes. However, we found a negative relationship between boldness and fGCM concentrations. Faecal glucocorticoid metabolites concentrations did not change significantly over a period of 48 h in samples kept at temperatures reflecting winter and summer means. This would allow the collection of samples up to two days old in the wild regardless of the season. We conclude that our successfully validated method for measuring fGCMs can be used as a non-invasive tool for studies exploring various stressors both in wild and captive Arctic foxes.
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Introduction
The Arctic fox (Vulpes lagopus) has a circumpolar distribution and is considered in stable condition throughout most of its range (Audet et al. 2002), apart from Fennoscandia where the species has been threatened by extinction for more than 100 years (Angerbjörn et al. 2008; Henriksen and Hilmo 2015, but see also Tirronen et al. 2021). Throughout its range, Arctic foxes are subject to several potential stressors such as competition and predation from larger carnivores, marginal and highly fluctuating prey availability, as well as disturbance from humans. Similar to many other alpine and tundra species, they are also vulnerable to the warming climate and the impact of human land use, both of which has led to habitat loss, range expansion of the species' superior competitor, the red fox (Vulpes vulpes; Hersteinsson and Macdonald 1992; Killengreen et al. 2007; Elmhagen et al. 2014).
can be measured in several different tissues, including blood, as a parameter for adrenocortical activity. Glucocorticoids (Shackleton et al. 2019), their measurement is widely used to cope with the environmental stressor (Möstl and Palme 2004). As a complement to the validation, it is therefore important to consider that fGCM concentrations may change over long periods. Collecting feces from wild animals in the field is important to reflect disturbance effects. A control treatment to test for the effect of the handling procedure itself is also recommended (Palme 2019). When an animal perceives aversive stimuli in their environment (stressors), adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) is released from the pituitary gland, which in turn stimulates the adrenal cortex to release glucocorticoids (GCs; cortisol and/or corticosterone). Glucocorticoids induce a range of behavioral and physiological responses, such as energy mobilization and increased vigilance, enabling individuals to cope with the environmental stressor (Möstl and Palme 2002; Reeder and Kramer 2005). Although monitoring GC concentrations is not synonymous for stress (MacDougall-Shackleton et al. 2019), their measurement is widely used as a parameter for adrenocortical activity. Glucocorticoids can be measured in several different tissues, including blood, saliva, urine, hair, and feces. The invasiveness of the sampling methods varies as does the time scale for the measured GC concentrations (Sheriff et al. 2011a). For instance, blood sampling is an invasive sampling technique which provides a nearly instantaneous measurement of adrenocortical activity, while hair sampling is a less invasive sampling technique which provides a measure of adrenocortical activity over a longer time. For both hair and blood, adrenocortical activity is measured by quantifying the GCs themselves. However, in feces, adrenocortical activity is measured by quantifying the glucocorticoid metabolites (fecal glucocorticoid metabolites (fGCMs)) that are being excreted from the body. Fecal glucocorticoid metabolites can be measured as an integrated measure of adrenocortical activity and represent the cumulative secretion of hormones (usually over several hours dependent on defecation rate, Palme et al. 2005; Sheriff et al. 2011b). Fecal glucocorticoid metabolite levels appear particularly interesting as a measure of adrenocortical activity of wild animals, because fecal samples can be easily and non-invasively collected in the field without need for capture and handling (Sheriff et al. 2011a; Palme 2019). The use of fGCMs has been validated as an indicator of adrenocortical activity in carnivores (e.g. Monfort et al. 1998; Schatz and Palme 2001; Young et al. 2004; Malmkvist et al. 2011), and specifically in several fox species, including the silver fox (Vulpes vulpes; Hovland et al. 2017), bat-eared fox (Otocyon megalotis; le Roux et al. 2016), island fox (Urocyon littoralis; Kozlowski et al. 2020) and the crab-eating fox (Cerdocyon thous; Paz et al. 2014). Because of significant differences between species in excreted fGCMs (Palme 2019) and in gut passage time, it is necessary to validate FGM measures for each species and assay. Although fecal GCs have recently been used in studies of stress in both wild (McDonald et al. 2018) and farmed Arctic foxes (Sanson et al. 2005), no successful validation of the method was carried out in these studies. A physiological validation is an experimental process, where animals are injected with synthetic ACTH, thereby stimulating the release of glucocorticoids (Touma and Palme 2005). Fecal samples are then collected over a defined time period following the injection and are analyzed for fGCMs. The results of these analyses are used to determine which enzyme immunoassay (EIA) best detects the increase in fGCM concentrations, and thus is best suited for future studies. A control treatment to test for the effect of the handling procedure itself is also recommended (Palme 2019). When collecting feces from wild animals in the field it is important to consider that fGCM concentrations may change over time and at different rates in relation to, for instance, temperature and weather conditions (Millspaugh and Washburn 2004). As a complement to the validation, it is therefore...
also recommended to perform a fGCM stability experiment for the given species, method and expected environmental conditions (Palme et al. 2013; Palme 2019).

Adrenocortical activity often has diurnal and seasonal fluctuations and may vary between individuals as a result of such factors as sex, age, breeding status, personality traits, previous experiences, and social interactions (e.g. for a review see: Palme 2019; Sosa et al. 2020; Steinman & Robeck 2021). Individual variation in personality traits can be related to differences in reactions to stressors. Variations in personality can be viewed along a proactive—reactive continuum, where proactive (bold, explorative and social) individuals generally are expected to have lower stress reactions and thus a lower secretion of glucocorticoids following a stressor, compared to more reactive (shy, less explorative and less social) individuals (Koolhaas et al. 1999; Carere et al. 2010). Following previous experiences of a stressor, animals may also habituate, i.e. increase their tolerance towards the stressor, as they learn not to perceive it as a threat, which thereby leads to a reduction in the adrenocortical activity and GC secretion (Shutt et al. 2014). Analyses to test for a possible diurnal rhythm and personality differences in excreted fGCM concentrations are therefore valuable as a complement to the validation (Palme 2019).

The aim of this study was to validate the use of an EIA for measuring fGCMs as an indicator of adrenocortical activity in Arctic foxes. This test could then be used in future studies assessing the responses of wild Arctic foxes towards stressors such as human disturbance from tourism, presence of predators or competitors, and changes in food availability. It can also be used as a tool to optimize the health/welfare and reproduction of Arctic foxes within the captive breeding and release program. We investigated fGCM concentrations following ACTH injection (physiological validation) and handling alone and compared them with respective baseline concentrations prior to the treatments. We also examined the relationship between fGCM concentrations and individual variations in boldness and confidence toward humans derived from behavioral test performed in connection with the validation experiment and tested for potential diurnal variations. Finally, because fecal samples may not be collected directly after defecation in the wild, we performed a stability experiment to study how fGCM concentrations changed over time at different temperatures.

Methods

Animals and housing

As the Arctic fox is considered endangered in Fennoscandia, it was not possible to use wild foxes for the validation experiment. We therefore used farmed Arctic foxes of the «white polar morph», a less common recessive color mutation of the farmed blue Arctic fox. Blue foxes are bred for rapid growth and large body size (e.g. Kempe et al. 2009). Whereas the blue fox may experience leg problems (bent feet) and moving difficulties, the «white polar» foxes are smaller in size and appear more agile compared to the standard blue foxes. The experiment took place at a fur farm in the Byneset municipality just east of Trondheim, Norway, where the foxes were born and reared. It was conducted during winter, between December 21st 2018 and January 23rd 2019, with temperatures around 0 °C or lower throughout the study period. A total of 16 foxes were initially included in the experiment, of which 7 were juvenile females (6–7 months old, 6.8 ± 0.75 kg), 6 juvenile males (6–7 months old, 7.9 ± 0.12 kg), and 3 adult females (> 36 months old, 8.1 ± 0.65 kg). The foxes were housed in an outdoor barn open to natural light and temperatures in individual wire mesh cages containing a wire mesh shelf, an automated water drinking nipple, and a wooden stick for enrichment (following the regulations on the keeping of fur animals in Pelsdyrforskriften FOR-2011-03-17-296). They were fed once a day in the morning with equal amounts of standard food paste for fur animals. The study was reviewed by the Norwegian Institute for Nature Research’s animal welfare unit and approved by the Norwegian Food Safety Authority (Mattilsynet, FOTS identity no. 18734).

Experimental procedure and collection of feces

Foxes were weighed and moved to one barn in cages next to each other along two parallel rows 14 days prior to the first sampling to allow for habituation. The housing order of the three fox groups was random and the foxes were handled in consecutive housing order. To collect the feces, single sheets of hessian fabric were placed under the cages for the feces to fall on. The sheets were placed individually under each cage to prevent cross contamination. Due to the cold conditions during the experiment period, feces were frozen directly after defecation. Two treatments were performed during the experiment, one for the physiological validation, where synthetic ACTH was injected and the other a control treatment where the foxes were handled only (no injection). Fecal samples were collected during four 24-h periods, one for each treatment and one baseline sampling that preceded each treatment (Timeline in Fig. 1). All feces were collected in plastic bags and immediately stored at −20 °C. There were almost always several fecal droppings collected during each sampling interval. All feces collected under one cage were put in the same bag and then homogenized for the analysis.

Sampling for baseline evaluations began at 12:00 and feces were collected every fourth hour thereafter over 24 h for a total of six sampling intervals (00–04 h, 04–08 h,
within approximately 15 min (09:14–09:26 am). The ACTH injection was done by a veterinarian, and was completed for all foxes upper left thigh (M. semitendinosus). The ACTH injection hexaacetate (Synacthen®, Alfasigma, Milan, Italy) in the assay buffer (1 + 9), before EIA analysis. A suitable EIA Medicine in Vienna. There extracts were further diluted in Eppendorf tubes (Palme et al. 2013) and stored at −20 °C 0.5 ml aliquot of the supernatant was pipetted into 1.5 ml tubes followed by 30 min using a multi vortex. The samples were then centrifuged at 850 g for 15 min, after which a tex followed by 30 min using a hand vortex followed by 30 min using a multi vortex. The samples were then centrifuged at 850 g for 15 min, after which a 0.5 ml aliquot of the supernatant was pipetted into 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes (Palme et al. 2013) and stored at −20 °C before being sent on dry ice to the University of Veterinary Medicine in Vienna. There extracts were further diluted in assay buffer (1 + 9), before EIA analysis. A suitable EIA was identified based on 35 fecal samples from 6 different foxes (3 females and 3 males) collected during the ACTH treatment. A cortisol immunoassay (Palme and Móstl 1997) was tested, but did not show the expected increases in fGCM concentrations. Instead, a 5α-pregnane-3ß,11ß,21-triol-20-one EIA (for details of the EIA, including cross-reactions see Touma et al. 2003) was found suitable for the Arctic fox. Therefore, all samples were analysed with this EIA. Intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation of high and low concentration pools were all below 10% and 15%, respectively.

Analysis of fecal glucocorticoid metabolites (fGCMs)

Before preparation, all fecal samples were frozen at −80 °C for a minimum of 48 h, to kill potential parasites (e.g. Echinococcus spp.). The samples were thawed at room temperature for approximately 1 h and then homogenized inside the plastic bags prior to the extraction. The farmed foxes had wooden sticks to chew on in each cage and as a consequence wooden parts were sometimes found in the feces. These were removed. From each sample, 0.5 g of feces was weighed into a glass tube and extracted with 5 ml 80% methanol. Feces and methanol were mixed for 30 s using a hand vortex followed by 30 min using a multi vortex. The samples were then centrifuged at 850 g for 15 min, after which a 0.5 ml aliquot of the supernatant was pipetted into 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes (Palme et al. 2013) and stored at −20 °C or at 20 °C for 6 h, 12 h, 24 h or 48 h before extraction. The temperatures were chosen to reflect the mean temperature of tundra environments during July and August (approx. 6–12 °C; SMHI 2020) and temporary high day temperatures (up to 20–25 °C).

Behavioral assessments—tit bit and novel object

Two different behavioral tests, “tit bit” and “novel object”, were performed directly following the validation experiment in order to investigate a potential relationship between behavior and fGCM concentrations before (baseline) and after handling. The “tit bit” test reflects the fearfulness of the fox towards the human observer (Rekilä et al. 1997) and was used by Hovland et al. (2017) to assess confidence towards humans in the silver fox in a fGCM study with a similar experimental design as our study. Following the protocol outlined by Rekilä et al. (1997), the foxes were offered a small piece of food (Frolic®, dog food) from the hand of the observer through the wire mesh cage for 30 s. It was noted whether the fox took the food or not. The experiment was repeated for 5 consecutive rounds during the same day. An average score of the 5 trials for each fox was calculated for whether it took the food or not (0 = did not take food, 0.5 = smelled food, 1 = took food). A higher score indicated an individual more confident with human interaction. Responses to novel objects have in several previous studies been linked to boldness/shyness (Réale et al. 2007; Haage et al. 2013; Andersson et al. 2014). In the “novel object” test, the foxes were presented with a novel object (a stone duck) in

![Table](image)

Fig. 1 Timeline of the experiment. Fecal samples were collected during ‘Baseline 1’, ‘Handling’, ‘Baseline 2’ and ‘ACTH’. During ‘Behavior’ the novel object and tit bit tests were performed.
the cage for 5 min. Every 10 s we recorded whether the fox interacted with the object (sniffing, biting, scrabbling, moving or marking). From the 10 s-observation scores, the portion of the 5 min that each fox was in contact with the object was calculated. A higher score indicated a bolder individual.

**Statistical analyses**

Concentrations of fGCMs were log-transformed to achieve a normal distribution for the linear models. One juvenile female was excluded from the analyses as she ate poorly and defecated markedly less than the other foxes, which could have resulted in potentially biased values. The effect of the handling and ACTH challenge treatments on fGCM concentrations were tested separately using linear mixed effect models (LME4 package, Bates et al. 2015) with ‘Treatment’ (Handling–Baseline and ACTH challenge–Baseline), ‘Group’ (Juvenile female, Juvenile male, Adult female) and ‘Interval’ (Fecal sampling interval: 1–6) and all two-way interactions between them as fixed effects. ‘Fox ID’, ‘Handling order’ and the interaction terms ‘Fox ID:Treatment’ and ‘Fox ID:Interval’ were included as random effects. The emmeans package (Lenth et al. 2019) was used for post hoc pairwise comparisons. Peak fGCM concentrations following the handling and the ACTH challenge treatments were compared with a paired t-test. Between-group comparisons of peak fGCM concentrations and time to reach peak concentrations following each treatment as well as the boldness (0–1) and confidence (0–1) scores were performed with one-way ANOVAs. Diurnal variation in baseline fGCM concentration was analyzed using an ANOVA with ‘Group’ and ‘Interval’ as explanatory variables. To study the relationship between behavior and fGCM responses, the boldness and confidence scores were correlated against the mean concentrations prior to (baseline) and following handling as well as peak concentrations and time to reach peak concentrations following handling. To test for any effects of handling order on boldness and confidence scores, they were also correlated against handling order. Separate linear models were fitted for the temperatures (8–10 °C and 20 °C) in the storage experiment, with fGCM concentration as the response variable and ‘Time’ (0–48 h) as the explanatory variable. All analyses were performed using R (R Core Team 2019), RStudio version 1.2.5033 (R Studio 2019).

**Results**

**Physiological validation—ACTH challenge treatment**

Following the ACTH challenge treatment, fGCM concentrations increased for each of the fifteen foxes and the mean fGCM concentrations differed significantly from the baseline concentrations during fecal sampling intervals 1–5 (0–20 h), but not during interval 6 (20–24 h; Treatment:Interval interaction: $F_{5, 61.81} = 9.75, p < 0.0001$, Fig. 2). Average (± SE) peak fGCM concentrations following ACTH injection were 2414 ± 264 ng g$^{-1}$ ($n = 15$), baseline fGCM concentrations were 695 ± 79 ng g$^{-1}$ ($n = 15$) and the time to reach peak concentration was 9.3 ± 1.3 h ($n = 15$). There were no differences between the groups (female adults, female juveniles and male juveniles), neither in peak fGCM concentration ($F_{2, 12} = 0.94, p = 0.4167$) nor in the time to reach peak concentration ($F_{2, 12} = 0.51, p = 0.6138$).

**Fig. 2** Fecal glucocorticoid metabolite (fGCM) concentrations in adult female (a), juvenile female (b) and juvenile male (c) Arctic foxes (*Vulpes lagopus*) following the ACTH challenge treatment (black dots) compared to respective baseline concentrations before the ACTH challenge treatment (white dots). The ACTH treatment was performed in the morning (indicated by arrow) and samples were collected every fourth hour for 24 h (Fecal sampling interval: 1–6) before (baseline sampling) and after (ACTH sampling) the treatment.
Handling treatment

Following the handling treatment, mean fGCM concentrations were significantly increased compared to the baseline during fecal sampling interval 3–4 (8–16 h), but not during interval 1–2 (0–8 h) or interval 5–6 (16–24 h; Treatment:Interval interaction: $F_{5, 59.11} = 2.46, p = 0.04361$, Fig. 3). Average (± SE) peak fGCM concentrations following handling were $1856 ± 342$ ng g$^{-1}$ ($n = 15$), baseline fGCM concentrations were $988 ± 117$ ng g$^{-1}$ ($n = 15$) and the time to reach them was $12.8 ± 1.7$ h ($n = 15$). There were no differences between groups, neither in peak fGCM concentrations ($F_{2, 12} = 2.09, p = 0.1664$) nor in the time to reach them ($F_{2, 12} = 0.8214$). Peak fGCM concentrations following the ACTH treatment were higher than after the handling treatment ($t_{14} = 2.45, p = 0.0279$) and the effect also lasted longer following the ACTH challenge (significant effect 0–20 h after the treatment) than for the handling test (significant effect 8–16 h after treatment). There was a significant diurnal variation in the baseline fGCM concentrations, indicating a higher adrenocortical activity during the night (both baselines included, $F_{5, 334} = 7.3, p < 0.0001$).

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)

The HPLC immunograms revealed that higher immunoreactivity was detected by the 5α-pregnane-3ß,11ß,21-triol-20-one EIA compared to the cortisol EIA. Cortisol itself was absent, and no sex and only minor age differences in formed metabolites were found (Fig. 4).

Storage experiment

In the storage experiment, we found no significant changes in fGCM concentrations over the 48-h period, neither for the samples stored at 8–10 °C ($n = 10, r^2 = -0.003, F = 0.87, p = 0.3560$), nor for those kept at 20 °C ($n = 10, r^2 = 0.04, F = 2.88, p = 0.0958$; Fig. 5a). Maximal change in fGCM concentrations expressed as percent change from $t = 0$ for each temperature regime is shown in Fig. 5b.

Fecal glucocorticoid metabolite concentrations related to boldness and confidence scores

The mean boldness score (0–1), derived from the “novel object” test, varied between groups ($F_{2, 12} = 5.0, p = 0.0268$). Juvenile females were found to be the boldest group ($0.7 ± 0.1, n = 6$) followed by juvenile males ($0.5 ± 0.1, n = 6$) and adult females ($0.1 ± 0.2, n = 3$). The difference between adult female and juvenile female scores was significant ($p = 0.0221$), but there was no significant difference between the juvenile male scores and juvenile females ($p = 0.2631$) or juvenile males and adult females ($p = 0.2182$). There was also some variation between groups in the mean confidence score (0–1) derived from the “tit bit” tests, where juvenile females ($0.9 ± 0.2, n = 6$) were most confident, followed by juvenile males ($0.3 ± 0.2 n = 6$) and adult females ($0.2 ± 0.2 n = 3$). The differences were not, however, statistically significant ($F_{2, 12} = 3.68, p = 0.0566$). The boldness and confidence scores tended to be significantly correlated ($r_{13} = 0.48, p = 0.06912$), which suggests that the two behavioral measures could consistently describe individual personality differences in the foxes. No effects of handling order were found on either boldness ($r_{13} = 0.08, p = 0.7880$) or confidence scores ($r_{13} = -0.24, p = 0.3788$).
Fig. 4 High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) immunograms for adult female (a), juvenile female (b) and juvenile male (c) Arctic foxes (*Vulpes lagopus*). Higher immunoreactivity was detected by the 5α-pregnane-3ß,11ß,21-triol-20-one EIA (solid line, left y-axes) compared to the cortisol EIA (dotted line, right y-axes), which did not differ between the three groups. The elution times of the estradiol disulphate (E2-diSO4), estrone glucuronide (E1G), estrone sulfate (E1S), cortisol and corticosterone standards are indicated by the open triangles.
The boldness score was negatively related to mean baseline fGCM concentrations during the 24 h before handling ($r_{13} = -0.58, p = 0.0235$, Fig. 6) and tended also to be negatively related to the mean concentrations during the 24 h following the handling ($r_{13} = -0.47, p = 0.0744$, Fig. 6). The increase in mean concentrations from baseline to handling did, however, not vary with boldness score ($r_{13} = -0.11, p = 0.7040$). The boldness score was not related to the peak fGCM concentrations ($r_{13} = -0.38, p = 0.1595$) or time to reach peak concentrations following handling ($r_{13} = 0.39, p = 0.1481$, Fig. 6).

There was no correlation between confidence score and mean baseline fGCM concentrations before handling ($r_{13} = -0.13, p = 0.6315$, Online Resource 1), mean fGCM concentrations after handling ($r_{13} = -0.06, p = 0.8396$, Online Resource 1), peak concentrations ($r_{13} = -0.07, p = 0.7981$) or time to reach peak concentrations ($r_{13} = -0.05, p = 0.8537$, Online Resource 1) after handling.

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to validate an EIA for measuring fecal glucocorticoid metabolite (fGCM) concentrations as an indicator of adrenocortical activity in Arctic foxes, with the ultimate goal to use these results to study stress responses in both wild and captive-bred Arctic foxes. A 5α-pregnane-3ß, 11ß,21-triol-20-one EIA (Touma et al. 2003) proved well suited for measuring adrenocortical activity in the Arctic fox. Fecal glucocorticoid metabolite concentrations increased compared to baseline levels following both the ACTH and the handling treatments. This confirms that this non-invasive method can be used to study adrenocortical activity in Arctic foxes.

Peak fGCM concentrations were found around 8–12 h (sampling interval 2–3) post treatment. This is comparable to reported times found in silver foxes (Hovland et al. 2017). However, others have found much longer delays (24 h to 48 h), when dealing with crab-eating, bat-eared and island foxes (Paz et al. 2014; Le Roux et al. 2016; Kozlowski et al. 2020). Assessing delay times is an important part of a validation experiment, because they indicate when acute stressors are reflected in fGCM concentrations (Palme 2019). As gut passage time and defecation rate play a crucial role in fGCM concentrations, differences in available food and other factors may exert an influence on expected delay times and thus need to be taken into account when analyzing samples from wild Arctic foxes.

A recent study (McDonald et al. 2018) used a cortisol radioimmunoassay for measuring fGCMs in Arctic foxes. Despite adding extremely high amounts of cortisol to the fox diet, the measured fGCM levels were only moderately
increased afterwards, suggesting that the applied cortisol radioimmunoassay may not be suitable for Arctic foxes. Based on our HPLC immunograms (and in accordance with the literature; see the supplementary tables in Palme 2019), cortisol itself is absent in the feces of Arctic foxes because it has metabolized into fGCMs. Thus, an immunoassay measuring groups of fGCMs should be favored over one measuring the parent hormone cortisol (Palme 2019). This is further underlined by the fact that we found a cortisol EIA unsuited to detect the increase in fGCMs after an ACTH challenge test (leading to physiological increases in plasma GC levels), but pronounced increases were found with a 5α-pregnane-3ß, 11ß,21-triol-20-one EIA which is designed to measure groups of fGCMs. This latter EIA could also detect smaller increases after handling alone. The same EIA proved also best suited for fGCM analysis in silver foxes (Hovland et al. 2017).

There were large variations in adrenocortical activity between individual foxes, but the differences could neither be attributed to their sex nor age. This is in line with a previous study in silver foxes (Hovland et al. 2017), but the low sample size, especially for adult females, limits our interpretations for the Arctic fox. Baseline fGCM concentrations were instead found to be negatively related to the boldness scores of the foxes, and concentrations following handling tended to show the same relationship. There was, however, no relationship between the increase in mean concentrations from baseline to handling and boldness score, showing that although shyer foxes did not respond stronger to the handling, they still had overall higher fGCM concentrations than the bolder foxes.

Because the bolder foxes had lower fGCM concentrations, and boldness and confidence scores tended to covary, our study suggest that foxes’ adrenocortical activity may be connected to certain personality traits. This is in line with previous studies (reviewed by Koolhaas et al. 1999; Sapolsky et al. 2000; Carere et al. 2010) documenting relationships between personality and adrenocortical activity. Similar to our findings, more reactive (shy, less explorative, and less social) individuals of wild Eastern chipmunks (Tamias striatus) had higher cortisol levels compared to more proactive (bold, explorative and social) individuals (Martin and Réale 2008). Among other carnivores, farmed mink (Neovison vison) selected for less confident behaviors had stronger adrenocortical responses to handling compared to more confident mink (Malmkvist et al. 2003). The same relationship was found in clouded leopards (Neofelis nebuloosi), where more anxious individuals had higher fGCM concentrations compared to calmer individuals (DeCaluwe et al. 2013). In grey wolves (Canis lupus), fGCMs were, however, not related to the personality trait investigated (aggressivity and agonism), but rather to the dominance relationship within the pack (Creel 2005). Arctic foxes can also live in social groups, but not with the same hierarchical relationship as wolves, which makes similar effects unlikely in the foxes (Norén et al. 2012). In farmed silver foxes, confidence towards humans was, contradictory to predictions based on previous literature (e.g. Sih et al. 2004), positively related to baseline fGCM concentrations (Hovland et al. 2017). In contrast, we found no relationship between fGCMs and confidence in the farmed Arctic foxes. These results suggest that, either, the relationship between confidence towards humans and fGCM concentrations may be more complex, or that there may be limits in the use of the “tit bit” test for measuring confidence towards humans. Furthermore, since the farmed foxes have close contact with humans on a daily basis, it is possible that the difference in confidence towards humans is lower compared to wild foxes. In wild Arctic foxes, bolder individuals have been found to tolerate closer approaches by a human observer before rising from lying down and fleeing or hiding, compared to shyer individuals (Choi et al. 2019). Higher tolerance to humans has also been seen in foxes inhabiting den sites close to trails and tourist mountain huts (Larm et al. 2020b), likely due to habituation. Although it may be difficult to differentiate between boldness and habituation in wild foxes, both could potentially affect adrenocortical responses. We will explore this in future studies by sampling captive wild foxes (from the captive breeding program) and wild foxes from different subpopulations.

Because it is often difficult to collect and freeze fecal samples from wild Arctic foxes directly following defecation, we investigated the stability of fGCM concentrations under different conditions. In the storage experiment we found no change in fGCM concentrations over the 48-h experimental period, either for the samples at 8–10 °C or at 20 °C. Experiments in capercaillie (Tetrao urogallus), in similar temperatures, also revealed no change in fGCM concentrations in samples stored at 8 °C, even after 21 days. In samples stored at 21 °C, however, no change was detected after 7 days, but after 21 days fGCM concentrations had increased significantly (Thiel et al. 2005). Other factors found to influence fGCM stability that are relevant for Arctic fox studies are rainfall and freeze–thaw cycles (Washburn and Millsapah 2002). As ambient temperatures in Arctic fox habitats during winter are near or below 0 °C, fecal sample collection during winter would be preferred as fGCM concentrations can be assumed to be relatively stable. For summer collection, however, considerations are needed as it is unknown how fGCM concentrations in the Arctic fox change over longer time periods and when exposed to various weather conditions. Thus, when higher temperatures occur, it will be important to freeze samples as soon as possible to prevent further possible changes in fGCMs after collection.

There was significant diurnal variation in the concentration of fGCMs, with generally higher concentrations in...
the feces collected during the day. The mean time to reach peak concentrations following treatment was approximately 10–12 h, indicating that the adrenocortical activity was higher in the foxes during the night. That could be due to the fact that the Arctic fox is mostly nocturnal, using more energy during night (Thierry et al. 2020), with, thus, a corresponding increase in adrenocortical activity. There was however, large individual variation in the time to reach peak concentration, varying from 4 h to 24 h. This difference could partly be explained by variation in metabolic rate. The minimum gut passage time of Arctic foxes is around 4 h, but can be up to 24 h and even longer and may vary with different food and between individuals (Graae et al. 2004). Furthermore, the diurnal variation recorded for captive-bred and farm-raised foxes, may differ from the patterns seen in wild foxes, due to more irregular feeding, varied food items and resulting varied gut passage times.

In large parts of their range, Arctic foxes live in a highly fluctuating environment driven by the cyclic abundance of their main prey, small rodents. Glucocorticoid levels of small rodents fluctuate and may reach particularly high levels after a stressful event (Fauteux et al. 2017). Cyclicity in GC levels have also been found in snowshoe hares (Lepus americanus), depending on the phase of the cycle (Boonstra et al. 1998; Sheriff et al. 2011b). For studies of wild Arctic foxes living in fluctuating systems, it will be important to consider and investigate potential phase-effects on Arctic fox adrenocortical activity.

Conclusions and recommendations for future studies in wild and captive Arctic foxes

Here, we successfully validated the use of fecal glucocorticoid metabolites as an indicator of adrenocortical activity in the Arctic fox, thereby enabling future studies of stress responses in both wild and captive foxes. We found that fecal glucocorticoid metabolite (fGCM) concentrations remained stable over 48 h at both 8–10 °C and at 20 °C. This will enable more extensive studies of wild foxes in the field, where it may not always be possible to collect and freeze samples directly following defeation.

Variations in fGCMs could not be attributed to the sex or age of the foxes in this study, possibly due to the small sample size. However, given the relationship found between personality traits and fGCM concentrations, it would be valuable if fecal samples could be connected to individual foxes (e.g. DNA identification; Coppes et al. 2018). In addition, behavioral assessments should be an important component for interpretation of stress measurements, especially in foxes within the Norwegian captive breeding program. Habitation to human activities could potentially affect fGCM levels in both wild and captive Arctic foxes.

Due to significant diurnal variations found in this study, it would be preferable to collect feces defeated at approximately the same time of the day. However, this could be challenging in field situations, or if captive foxes are feed with carcasses with hair, skin and bone, as defeation rates in wild foxes likely vary from the patterns seen here, due to the irregularity of feeding and thus gut passage time in wild foxes compared to farm-raised foxes, which could make diurnal variations difficult to interpret.

Adrenocortical activity of the Arctic foxes may vary in relation to the phases of the small-rodent cycle, due to cyclic changes in abundance of rodent. We further expect that stress levels vary with population density, and hence physical condition, as well as varying presence of competitors and predators. This will be important to explore doing future studies in the wild. As the foxes in the Norwegian captive breeding and release program are held in large, open outdoor enclosures set directly on mountain habitat (elevation: 1275 m.a.s.l.; Landa et al. 2017) potential effects of small rodent cycles may apply to them as well.

In conclusion, analyzing fGCMs is an effective and non-invasive approach for studying adrenocortical activity. It can be used as a tool to optimize health/welfare and reproduction of Arctic foxes within captive breeding and release programs. However, it will prove useful to better understand the responses of wild Arctic foxes to various stressors, especially when combined with behavioral, demographic, and genetic studies.
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