Requirement for the N-Terminal Coiled-Coil Domain for Expression and Function, but not Subunit Interaction of, the ADPR-Activated TRPM2 Channel
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Abstract

Transient receptor potential melastatin 2 (TRPM2) proteins form multiple-subunit complexes, most likely homotetramers, which operate as Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable, nonselective cation channels activated by intracellular ADP-ribose (ADPR) and oxidative stress. Each TRPM2 channel subunit is predicted to contain two coiled-coil (CC) domains, one in the N-terminus and the other in the C-terminus. Our recent study has shown that the C-terminal CC domain plays an important, but not exclusive, role in the TRPM2 channel assembly. This study aimed to examine the potential role of the N-terminal CC domain. Domain deletion dramatically reduced protein expression and abolished ADPR-evoked currents but did not alter the subunit interaction. Deletion of both CC domains strongly attenuated the subunit interaction, confirming that the C-terminal CC domain is critical in the subunit interaction. Glutamine substitutions into individual hydrophobic residues at positions a and d in the heptad repeats to disrupt the CC formation had no effect on protein expression, subunit interaction, or ADPR-evoked currents. Mutation of Ile\(^{658}\) to glutamine, which did not perturb the CC formation, decreased ADPR-evoked currents without affecting protein expression, subunit interaction, or membrane trafficking. These results collectively suggest the requirement for the N-terminal CC domain for protein expression and function, but not subunit interaction, of the TRPM2 channel.
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TRPM2 belongs to the melastatin subfamily of transient receptor potential (TRPM) proteins (Clapham 2003; Fleig and Penner 2004; Ramsey et al. 2006; Nilius et al. 2007; Venkatachalam and Montell 2007) and forms Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable, nonselective cation channels activated by ADP-ribose (ADPR) and oxidative stress such as H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (Perraud et al. 2001; Sano et al. 2001; Naziroğlu and Lückhoff 2008a, b). Functional expression of TRPM2 or TRPM2-like channels has been documented in immune, cardiovascular, neuronal, and endocrine cells, where they mediate Ca\(^{2+}\) influx-dependent chemokine production (Yamamoto et al. 2008), increased endothelial permeability (Hecquet et al. 2008), insulin secretion (Togashi et al. 2006), and cytoxicity in response to oxidative stress (Hara et al. 2002; Wehage et al. 2002; Zhang et al. 2003, 2006; Fonfria et al. 2005).

TRPM2 channels, like other TRP channels, are thought to assemble as homotetramers. Each TRPM2 channel subunit comprises large N- and C-termini residing intracellularly and six membrane-spanning segments (S1–S6), with a pore-forming domain between S5 and S6. The mechanisms governing assembly of the TRP channels including TRPM2 are not fully understood, nonetheless, distinct domains in the N-terminus, transmembrane region, and/or C-terminus have been identified as important molecular determinants in subunit interaction and assembly of TRP channels (Lepage and Boulay 2007; Jiang 2007; Schindl and Romanin 2007). Therefore, for the TRPM subfamily, the C-terminus and, particularly, the coiled-coil (CC) domain have been demonstrated to be critical for the subunit interaction and assembly of functional TRPM2, TRPM4, and TRPM8 channels (Launay et al. 2004; Erler et al. 2006; Mei et al. 2006a; Tsuruda et al. 2006). In addition to the C-terminal CC domain, these channel subunits contain another CC domain located in the N-terminus (Fig. 1a). For the TRPM2 channel,
our recent study suggests that the C-terminal CC domain plays a primary, but not exclusive, role in subunit interaction and channel assembly (Mei et al. 2006a). The present study examined, using molecular, biochemical, and electrophysiological approaches, the potential contribution of the N-terminal CC domain in TRPM2 subunit interaction and formation of the functional TRPM2 channel.

Materials and Methods

Reagents, Molecular Biology, and Cell Biology

All reagents or chemicals used in this study were from Sigma except those individually specified otherwise. Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum) at 37°C under 5% CO2 humidified conditions. Deletion and point mutants were generated as described previously (Mei et al. 2006b) and confirmed by sequencing. TRPM2 subunits were C-terminally tagged with either an EE (EYMPME) or a FLAG epitope. HEK293 cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) and used 24–48 h later.

Coimmunoprecipitation, Biotin Labeling, and Western Blotting

These experiments were described in detail in our previous studies (Mei et al. 2006a, b; Xia et al. 2008) and are briefly summarized below. For immunoprecipitation, transfected cells were lysed in 300 µl lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, and 5% glycerol) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) at 4°C for 30 min. The lysates were then mixed with 3 µl anti-FLAG M2 antibody and incubated at 4°C for 2 h, and after the addition of 20-µl protein A/G-agarose bead suspension (Santa Cruz), the incubation continued overnight. The immunoprecipitated proteins were suspended in 40 µl electrophoresis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM DTT, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, and 0.05% bromophenol blue) and resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE gels. The TRPM2 proteins were detected by Western blotting using primary mouse anti-FLAG M2 (at a dilution of 1:1000) or an anti-β-actin antibody (top) and an anti-β-actin antibody (bottom).
1.4 mM KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.7 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 8.0) containing 1 mg/ml sulfo-NHS-biotin (Pierce) for 30 min at 4°C, followed by extensive washing with PBS containing 50 mM glycine. The same immunoprecipitation and Western blotting protocols as described above were followed; cell lysates were prepared in 300 μl lysis buffer and immunoprecipitated with the anti-FLAG M2 antibody. Proteins were detected by Western blotting using HRP-conjugated streptavidin (1:1000; Pierce) and mouse anti-FLAG M2 antibody (1:1000) to detect the proteins at the cell surface and the total amount of proteins.

Electrophysiological Recording

Whole-cell recordings were made at room temperature using an Axopatch 200B amplifier in extracellular (147 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM HEPES, and 13 mM glucose, pH 7.3) and intracellular (147 mM NaCl, 0.05 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 1 mM Na₂ATP, and 1 mM ADPR, pH 7.3) solutions as described previously (Mei et al. 2006a). Cells were held at −40 mV and voltage ramps of 1-s duration from −120 to 80 mV were applied every 5 s. Flufenamic acid (FFA; 0.5 mM) or N-(p-amylcinnamoyl)anthranilic acid (ACA; 20 μM), which gave rise to complete inhibition of TRPM2 channel currents (Hill et al. 2006; Kraft et al. 2006), was extracellularly applied to patched cells via a RSC160 rapid solution changer system (Bio-Logic Science Instruments, France) at the end of every recording in order to assess the leak currents, and recordings with leak currents < 100 pA or <5% of the total currents at −80 mV were used in analysis. The currents plotted in figures were taken at −80 mV.

Data Analysis

The data, where appropriate, are presented as mean ± SE values. Comparisons were made using Student’s t-test between two groups or analysis of variance (post hoc Turkey) between multiple groups.

Results

Effects of N-Terminal CC Domain Deletion on Subunit Interaction

We started with introduction deletion of the N-terminal CC domain into the FLAG-tagged WT, resulting in the ΔN mutant, and also into a FLAG-tagged mutant lacking the C-terminal CC domain (ΔC; Mei et al. 2006a), generating the ΔNΔC double-deletion mutant (Fig. 1b). We performed Western blotting, using an anti-FLAG antibody, to compare the protein expression of WT, ΔN, ΔC, and ΔNΔC mutants, following transient expression in HEK293 cells. Unlike deletion of the C-terminal CC domain, which had no significant effect on protein expression (Fig. 1c) (Mei et al. 2006a), deletion of the N-terminal CC domain led to a dramatic reduction in protein expression (Fig. 1c). These results suggest that the N-terminal CC domain is crucial for protein expression.

We then moved to coexpress the FLAG-tagged WT or deletion mutant subunits with the EE-tagged WT subunit and performed coimmunoprecipitation to examine whether the N-terminal CC domain was involved in subunit interaction. Figure 2 shows the results. The WT-ΔN subunit interaction appeared to be decreased (Fig. 2a), however, after correction of the reduced protein expression of the ΔN mutant (see Materials and Methods), it was in fact not significantly different from the WT-WT subunit interaction (n = 4; P > 0.1, paired t-test). This contrasted with the WT-AC subunit interaction, which was much weaker than the WT-WT subunit interaction (Fig. 2a) as we reported previously (Mei et al. 2006b). For the ΔNΔC double-deletion mutant, the expression of the ΔNΔC mutant protein was comparable to that of the ΔN mutant (middle lane of Fig. 2).
in Fig. 2a). However, the WT-DNAC subunit interaction, compared to the WT-DN subunit interaction, was reduced by 53% ± 7% (n = 4; P < 0.001, paired t-test) (Fig. 2b). These results, on one hand, provide further supporting evidence for our previous finding that the C-terminal CC domain is important in subunit interaction (Mei et al. 2006b) and, on the other hand, argue against a significant contribution of the N-terminal CC domain in subunit interaction.

Effects of Mutating Hydrophobic Residues at Positions a and d of the Heptad Repeats on Protein Expression and Subunit Interaction

The canonical CC domain is composed of heptad repeats, denoted by abedfeg, in which positions a and d are preferentially occupied by hydrophobic residues that are critical in mediating protein–protein interactions (Lupas 1996). Amino acid sequence analysis indicates that single substitution with hydrophilic glutamine of Cys655, Leu662, Leu676, and Ala679 residues strongly disrupts the N-terminal CC formation (Fig. 3a). Thus, to further investigate the role of the N-terminal CC domain, we generated point mutants, in which each of these four residues was individually replaced with glutamine. We also introduced glutamine substitution of Ile658, which is expected to have no effect on CC formation (Fig. 3a). We examined the effect on protein expression and subunit interaction using the same experimental protocols described above for the deletion mutants. As shown in Fig. 3b, there was no reduction in protein expression by any of these mutations, whether expressed on their own (data not shown) or coexpressed with the EE-tagged WT subunit (top panel in Fig. 3b). Moreover, the mutations had no statistically significant effect on the protein interaction between EE-tagged WT and FLAG-tagged mutant subunits (bottom panel in Fig. 3b). Consistent with the deletion mutant, these results suggest that the hydrophobic residues in the N-terminal CC domain are not critical for subunit interaction.

Effects of Deleting and Point-Mutating N-Terminal CC Domain on Formation of Functional TRPM2 Channels

In parallel with the biochemical experiments described above, we used patch-clamp technique to measure ADPR-evoked whole-cell currents to investigate the effects of deleting the N-terminal CC domain and glutamine-substituting the hydrophobic residues in the heptad repeats on the formation or assembly of TRPM2 channels. Figure 4 shows the results. In cells expressing the WT, strong current responses were consistently elicited by ADPR at 1 mM, a supermaximal concentration (Mei et al. 2006a, b). The ADPR-evoked currents exhibited complete blockage by the TRPM2 channel inhibitors (Fig. 4a) and a linear relationship with voltage (data not shown), typical properties of TRPM2 channels. No or very little current was detectable in cells expressing the ΔN or ΔNAC mutant. ADPR-evoked currents were observed in cells expressing C665Q, L662Q, L676Q, or A679Q mutants; the mean currents for C665Q, L662Q, and A679Q were modestly reduced compared to the WT but the reduction was not statistically significant (Fig. 4b). These functional results are consistent with coimmunoprecipitation and, taken together, suggest that these hydrophobic residues, which are critical for CC formation (Fig. 3a), are not essential for formation of functional TRPM2 channels. On the other hand, the mean currents were significantly reduced by I658Q mutation (Fig. 4a, b), which is predicted not to alter CC formation (Fig. 3a). We further examined the surface
protein expression of this mutant in the biotin-labeling assay. The surface protein expression of the I658Q mutant was 136% ± 35% that of the WT (n = 3; P > 0.05, paired t-test), thus largely excluding the possibility that a mutational defect in membrane trafficking was responsible for the reduced channel function.

Discussion

The present study examined the role of the N-terminal CC domain in TRPM2 protein expression and subunit interaction, and assembly of functional TRPM2 channels, and provided evidence indicating that this domain is important for protein expression and function, but not for subunit interaction of the TRPM2 channel.

The primary sequence of the TRPM2 channel subunit predicts the presence of two CC domains, one in the C-terminus and the other in the N-terminus (Fig. 1a). Our previous study showed a primary, but not exclusive, role for the C-terminal CC domain in the subunit interaction, which is confirmed in this study (Fig. 2a), and assembly of functional TRPM2 channels (Mei et al. 2006b). This study examined whether the N-terminal CC domain is also involved, as previously shown for this domain in the TRPC and some TRPV channels (Lepage and Boulay 2007; Schindl and Romanin 2007). However, the evidence obtained in this study argues against this idea. In our co-immunoprecipitation experiments with the domain deletion ΔN mutant, we found that the WT-ΔN subunit interaction was not different from the WT-WT subunit interaction (Fig. 2). In contrast, we observed a significant reduction in the WT-ΔNΔC subunit interaction compared to the WT-ΔN subunit interaction (Fig. 2a), providing further supporting evidence for our previous finding of a critical role of the C-terminal CC domain (Mei et al. 2006b). More evidently, disruption of the N-terminal CC domain formation by mutating the hydrophobic residues at positions a and d in the heptad repeats to hydrophilic residue glutamine (Fig. 3a) was without effect on the subunit interaction (Fig. 3b). Finally, these CC domain-disrupting mutations had no effect on the formation or assembly of functional TRPM2 channels, as indicated by the lack of a significant reduction in ADPR-evoked currents (Fig. 4). Taken together, these results provide clear evidence disregarding a significant role of the N-terminal CC domain in TRPM2 subunit interaction and assembly of functional TRPM2 channels. Therefore, the results of the present and previous studies by us and other groups strongly suggest that the molecular basis for assembly of TRPM, TRPC, and TRPV channels is fundamentally different (Lepage and Boulay 2007; Jiang 2007; Schindl and Romanin 2007).

This study instead revealed an important role of the N-terminal CC domain in TRPM2 expression. Deletion of this domain resulted in a dramatic reduction in protein expression (Figs. 1c and 2a), which in large part accounts for the complete loss of ADPR-evoked currents (Fig. 4). However, a minor contribution due to defective membrane trafficking cannot be excluded, considering the very low, yet detectable protein expression and subunit interaction.
Further point mutation study showed that disruption of the CC formation caused no profound effect on protein expression (Fig. 3), suggesting that the importance of this domain in protein expression does not pertain to its being a CC domain.

Finally, we showed that substitution with glutamine of the Ile658 residue impaired channel function, as evidenced by a significant reduction in ADPR-evoked currents. This mutation was “negative” in the sense that it was predicted not to affect CC formation (Fig. 3a), which was consistent with a lack of any significant effect on the subunit interaction (Fig. 3b). Further biotin-labeling assay demonstrated that this mutation also had no effect on membrane trafficking (see Results). The ADPR binding site is located with the NUDT9-H domain in the C-terminus (Fig. 1b) (Perraud et al. 2001). Thus, the reduction in ADPR-evoked currents by I658Q mutation implies that Ile658 is important in normal TRPM2 channel function and, most likely, channel gating.

In summary, we showed that the N-terminal CC domain is important for protein expression but not for subunit interaction of the TRPM2 channel subunit and that the Ile658 residue in this domain is required for normal TRPM2 channel function. This N-terminal CC domain is also present in the TRPM4, TRPM5, and TRPM8 channels (Fig. 1a), and thus the results of this study on the TRPM2 channel should help evolve a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms controlling protein expression, subunit interaction, assembly, and function of these TRPM channels as well as TRPM2.
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