TRADD participates in various receptor signaling pathways and plays vital roles in many biological activities, including cell survival and apoptosis, in different cellular contexts. TRADD has two distinct functional domains, a TRAF-binding domain at the N-terminus and a death domain (DD) at the C-terminus. The TRAF binding domain of TRADD folds into an α-β plait topology and is mainly responsible for binding TRAF2, while the TRADD-DD can interact with a variety of DD-containing proteins, including receptors and intracellular signaling molecules. After activation of specific receptors such as TNFR1 and DR3, TRADD can bind to the receptor through DD-DD interaction, creating a membrane-proximal platform for the recruitment of downstream molecules to propagate cellular signals. In this review, we highlight recent advances in the studies of the structural mechanism of TRADD adaptor functions for NF-κB activation and apoptosis induction. We also provide suggestions for future structure research related to TRADD-mediated signaling pathways.
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1. Introduction

Signal transduction, or cell signaling, is the transmission process by which extracellular biochemical or biophysical signals are transduced through cell-surface receptors to regulate cellular functions. Studies on the mechanisms controlling cross-talk between or among signaling cascades are critical to elucidate the specificity of cell signaling. Most signaling pathways involve signaling protein complexes, which are often assembled by adaptor proteins. This class of proteins typically have two or more protein-binding domains but lack intrinsic catalytic activity for their signaling functions [1]. They rely on such domains to mediate specific protein-protein interactions (PPI). The distinctive ability of the adaptor proteins is to bind two or more proteins or domains simultaneously, leading to the formation of larger protein complexes. By linking different signaling proteins to each other, cellular signals can be propagated and amplified to induce a specific functional response to incoming extracellular signals. Subcellular localization may also contribute to the response specificity determined by the types of binding domains of the adaptor proteins.

The first example of the adaptor protein can be traced back to the discovery of the Src (or Sarcoma) homology region 2 (SH2) domain by Anthony Pawson and colleagues in the 1980s [2,3]. SH2 domain can direct specific interactions of different proteins and control cells’ responses to external signals. Another early example is the mammalian CT10 (chicken tumor virus number 10) regulator of kinase (Crk) by Mayer and colleagues in 1988 [4,5]. Crk contains multiple binding modules, which can connect different proteins for signal transduction. Thus, Crk may be used as a model protein to study other signaling adaptors. Adaptor proteins were also proposed as potential drug targets for therapy since they have been implicated in many human diseases, such as autoimmune disorder [5]. In the past three decades, numerous classes of adaptor proteins have been identified and characterized [5]. Among these proteins, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor type 1-associated death domain protein (TRADD) is a unique adaptor molecule owing to its central role in various downstream signaling events, including cell survival and apoptosis, in different cellular contexts. Therefore, investigation of the structure-function relationship in TRADD is crucial to disclose its adaptor roles in different pathways. In this review, we focus on recent advances on the structural mechanisms of TRADD-mediated signaling for different functional responses.

2. Structure of TRADD with two functional domains

Human TRADD is a 34 kDa protein with two functional domains connected by an unstructured peptide of ~37 amino acid residues (Fig. 1A and B) [6–8]. It was first identified as a potential adaptor molecule for tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 (TNFR1) signaling from the yeast two-hybrid system in 1995 since its C-terminal domain (CTD) can specifically interact with the intracellular death domain (DD) of liganded TNFR1 [7]. TRADD also interacts with TNF receptor-associated factor 2 (TRAF2) through its N-terminal domain (NTD) in TNFR1 signaling, consistent with its adaptor function [8–10].

The monomeric structure of the TRADD-NTD was first determined by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy in 2000. Residues Met1–Gly13 at the N-terminus are disordered, and their function is largely unknown [9]. The topology of the TRADD-NTD is assigned to \( \alpha \)-\( \beta \) pleats based on CATH (Class, Architecture, Topology, and Homologous superfamily) structure classification [11]. In the core structure of the TRADD-NTD, four antiparallel \( \beta \) strands form one \( \beta \) sheet, and six \( \alpha \) helices pack nearly against one side of the \( \beta \) sheet (Fig. 1C, D). The hydrophobic center of the TRADD-NTD is formed by helix 1-3 and the \( \beta \) sheet [9].

Different from the TRADD-NTD, the TRADD-CTD is composed of a novel DD, distinct from other members of the DD superfamily. The DD superfamily is one of the largest domain superfamilies known and is typically considered to consist of four subfamilies: the canonical DD, the death effector domain (DED), the caspase recruitment domain (CARD), and the pyrin domain (PYD) [6,12,13]. The identification of DD subfamilies is based on sequence similarity, as well as the orientation and length of the \( \alpha \)-helices. All the members of the DD superfamily contain five or six antiparallel \( \alpha \)-helices organized in a Greek key motif and hence share a common structural topology of Death Domain in CATH classification [11]. In 2017, our group solved the solution structure of the TRADD-DD by NMR spectroscopy in pure water [6]. The new structural feature of the TRADD-DD is the presence of one \( \beta \)-hairpin motif, which is tightly packed beside a canonical DD (Fig. 1C, D). This \( \beta \) structure is required for the TRADD-DD to fold into a functional domain in water solution and hence is an essential component of the TRADD-DD. The combination of \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \) structures was not previously seen in any other DD. We, therefore, propose to place the TRADD-DD into a new subfamily, namely TDD, which will expand the DD superfamily to include five members. Hydrophobic interactions play a vital role in the folding of the extended spherical structure of the TRADD-DD, leading to the formation of four hydrophobic cores. The surface of the TRADD-DD is highly charged, suggesting a crucial role of electrostatic interactions in signaling pathways mediated by TRADD.

3. Functions of TRADD in signaling pathways

3.1. TRADD participates in TNF/TNFR1 signaling pathway

TNF, a proinflammatory cytokine, is involved in triggering apoptosis, cell proliferation, inflammation, and immune response [14–16]. Extracellular TNF can activate TNFR1, which subsequently recruits intracellular proteins to activate various signaling pathways, such as nuclear factor \( \kappa \)B (NF-\( \kappa \)B) and mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase pathways [7,17–19]. TNFR1 belongs to the DR family, a subgroup of the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily (TNFRS) [20]. TNFR1 contains a cytoplasmic DD for recruiting downstream signaling proteins through an adaptor protein TRADD [14,21,22]. The TRADD-DD binds to the TNFR1-DD via homotypic DD interaction, and receptor interacting protein 1 (RIP1) can also associate with TNFR1-DD:TRADD-DD complex, forming a larger DD complex (Fig. 2). The TRADD-DD interacts with the TRAF (TNF receptor-associated factor) domain of TRAF2, which further binds to the cellular inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (cIAP1 or cIAP2), thereby recruiting TRAF2/cIAPs to TNFR1 to form an active E3 ubiquitin ligase complex for RIP1 ubiquitination [23]. Ubiquitinated RIP1 can be recognized by inhibited \( \kappa \)B kinase (IKK)
and transforming growth factor-β activating kinase 1 (TAK1) complex, leading to IKK phosphorylation and activation. Subsequently, IKK phosphorylates the two serines at the N-terminus of IκBα protein, which is further recognized and ubiquitinated by E3 ubiquitin ligase and dissociates from NF-κB. Finally, the active NF-κB with exposed nuclear localization signal enters the nucleus and binds to specific sequences of DNA for pro-survival signaling [24,25].

The membrane-bound complex for NF-κB activation is also known as Complex I, which is the primary signaling complex and forms within seconds after TNFR1 engagement by soluble TNF. However, when NF-κB activation is impaired or shut down, TNFR1-mediated signaling will switch from pro-survival to pro-death. RIP1 can be deubiquitinated by zinc finger protein A20 after NF-κB activation and forms cytosolic Complex IIa with TRADD and FADD (Fas-associated death domain protein) through DD interactions [26,27]. The cytosolic Complex IIa, which lacks TNFR1, further activates caspase 8 for the apoptotic pathway (Fig. 2) [28,29]. However, it is still unclear how the adaptor protein TRADD is released from Complex I for Complex IIa formation. It is evident that the cytosolic and the membrane-bound DISCs involve different mechanisms of complex formation for triggering apoptosis, which needs further structural investigation.

3.2. TRADD participates in the TL1A/DR3 signaling pathway

Death receptor 3 (DR3) is produced by thymus, spleen, and other tissues and organs containing lymphocytes and associated with inflammation and autoimmune diseases, such as inflammatory bowel disease and rheumatoid arthritis [35–39]. Similar to other members of the DR subfamily, DR3 has an intracellular DD [40]. DR3 shares the highest sequence homology to TNFR1 among all members of the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily (TNFRSF) [35,41]. TNF-like ligand 1 A (TL1A), a member of the tumor necrosis factor superfamily, is an extracellular ligand of DR3 [19,42,43]. In the TL1A/DR3 signaling pathway, TRADD is also involved as a primary and essential adaptor molecule. Similar to TNF, soluble TL1A can self-assemble into trimers and bind to the extracellular domains (ECD) of DR3, which could result in receptors clustering and initiate recruitment of TRADD-DDs to the DR3-DD through DD-DD interactions [17,44]. TRAF2, RIPK1, and cIAP1/2 will further bind to the complex and ubiquitinated RIP1

STAT1 can also bind TNFR1 at the plasma membrane in response to transmembrane TNF (tmTNF) activation [34]. TRADD is then recruited to TNFR1-bound STAT1 without interacting with TNFR1-DD for DISC formation on the membrane. It is evident that the cytosolic and the membrane-bound DISCs involve different mechanisms of complex formation for triggering apoptosis, which needs further structural investigation.

Fig. 1. The structure of TRADD-NTD and TRADD-CTD. A, Molecular architecture of TRADD. Domain positions are indicated above the cartoon. NTD: N-terminal domain; CTD: C-terminal domain. B, Amino acid sequence of full-length TRADD. The secondary structures of TRADD are shown below the sequence. The red letters indicate the structured regions. C, Ribbon drawing of the lowest-energy structures of TRADD-NTD (PDB: 1F2H, upper) and TRADD-CTD (PDB: 5XME, lower). Key residues in ligand binding pocket for small molecule inhibitors are shown in ball-and-stick representation. D, 2D topology of full-length TRADD. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
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can trigger the activation of NF-κB signaling (Fig. 2) [44]. Although DR3 mainly promotes inflammation and cell survival, it also has the ability to induce apoptosis via the interaction between the DR3-DD and the TRADD-DD when DR3-dependent activation of NF-κB pathway is blocked. For instance, when the second mitochondria-derived activator of caspase (SMAC) mimetics are used to treat human hematopoietic progenitor cells (TF-1), TL1A/DR3 signaling will induce apoptosis because the SMAC mimetics target and inhibit TRAF2/cIAPs [42,45]. Much like TNFR1 signaling, TRADD, FADD, and caspase 8 associate together and form Complex IIa, culminating in caspase-dependent apoptosis (Fig. 2) [44].

3.3. TRADD participates in the TRAIL/TRAILR signaling pathway

Tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) is a member of the TNF superfamily [18,46]. As a cytokine, TRAIL is widely expressed in humans and can selectively induce tumor cell apoptosis. Three TRAIL molecules can also form a stable homo-trimeric structure and interact with TRAIL receptors (TRAILR) to initiate signaling pathways, akin to the protein-ligand binding modes involving TNF and TL1A/DR3 signaling will induce apoptosis because the SMAC mimetics target and inhibit TRAF2/cIAPs [42,45]. Much like TNFR1 signaling, TRADD, FADD, and caspase 8 associate together and form Complex IIa, culminating in caspase-dependent apoptosis (Fig. 2) [44].

3.4. TRADD participates in DR6-induced signaling pathways

DR6, also known as TNFRSF21, is a member of the death receptor in the TNF superfamily and is abundant in lymphoid organs. It belongs to the orphan receptor in the TNF superfamily [19,55]. DR6 is comprised of four cysteine-rich domain (CRD) in its extracellular region, a single-pass transmembrane domain, and an intracellular segment containing a putative DD and a CARD [55]. In tumor cells, the TNF-stimulated NF-κB signaling pathway may regulate DR6 expression [56]. At present, the only reported natural ligand of DR6 is the E2 domain of amyloid precursor protein (APP). Based on the oligomeric state of APP, it was proposed that DR6 activation triggered by APP-induced dimerization could lead to axon pruning...
and synapse elimination [57]. This result, however, remains to be reproduced by other investigators. TRADD was shown to be involved in the DR6-mediated NF-kB signaling pathway (Fig. 2), but its extracellular activator has not been determined [58]. The interaction between DR6 and TRADD was weaker than that between DR3 and TRADD in overexpression studies. Interestingly, RIP1, FADD, and RAIDD were not detected in DR6:TRADD complex. How TRADD bridges DR6 and downstream molecules to activate NF-kB signaling is still poorly understood.

3.5. TRADD participates in p75NTR-induced signaling pathways

p75 neurotrophin receptor (p75NTR), also known as TNFRSF16, is the first receptor identified for the neurotrophins [59,60]. It can be structurally divided into an extracellular domain, a single-pass transmembrane domain, and an intracellular domain [61]. The extracellular domain is composed of four cysteine-rich regions for extracellular signals, including all neurotrophins [62]. The transmembrane domain contains a highly conserved cysteine residue involved in the covalent stabilization of disulfide-bonded receptor dimers; it is also crucial for downstream signal propagation [63]. The intracellular domain comprises a long unstructured juxtamembrane region followed by a canonical DD [64]. Due to the lack of catalytic activity, p75NTR signaling also relies on the recruitment of intracellular molecules to the intracellular domain. In vitro cellular and biochemical studies demonstrated that TRADD can interact with the p75NTR-DD upon nerve growth factor (NGF) stimulation to initiate NF-kB signaling pathway and antiapoptotic effects in human breast cancer cells [65]. Our NMR titration indicated that TRADD can bind to the p75NTR-DD at a region very close to the p75NTR-DD homo-dimerization site. This observation suggested that dissociation of p75NTR-DD homodimer could be necessary for TRADD recruitment, in line with TRADD recruitment by p75NTR upon NGF binding [66]. Nevertheless, the connection between TRADD and downstream molecules for p75NTR-mediated NF-kB activation is unclear. Structure determination of p75NTR-DD:TRADD-DD complex and identification of TRADD cellular interactors will provide a basis for understanding the adaptor role of TRADD in p75NTR signaling.

3.6. TRADD participates in TLR3/TLR4-induced signaling pathways

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are pathogen-associated pattern recognition receptors on mammalian antigen-presenting cells and play a major role in innate immune response [66]. TLRs have intracellular TIR (toll-IL-1 receptor) domains responsible for stimulating downstream signaling pathways through homotypic TIR-TIR interactions [66,67]. TLR3 and TLR4 are members of the Toll-like receptor family. TLR3 can recognize double-stranded RNA produced by some viruses such as reoviruses or its synthetic analog polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid poly(I:C) [68], while TLR4 can recognize lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from the cytoderm of Gram-negative bacteria [69]. TRADD participates in TRIF (TIR domain-containing adaptor interpher-β) dependent signaling pathway mediated by TLR3 and TLR4 [70,71]. TLR3 directly binds to adaptor protein TRIF, while TLR4 binds to TRIF through TRIF-related adaptor molecule (TRAM) [72]. In the NF-kB signaling pathway, the RIP homotypic interaction motif (RHIM) of TRIF-CTD can associate with both RIP1 and TRADD [70,73–75]. During this process, RIP1 may undergo K63 polyubiquitination and facilitate activation of NF-kB signaling [Fig. 2] [76]. TRADD also exhibits cell-type specificity in the TLR3 and TLR4 signaling. In mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) [70], mouse bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) [70] and macrophages [77], the absence of TRADD exerts different effects on NF-kB and MAPK signaling. Currently, it is still not clear how the interaction between TRIF and TRADD could propagate the downstream signals due to the lack of their complex structure information.

3.7. TRADD-mediated apoptosis and anti-apoptosis in the nucleus

Although most studies on TRADD focus on its functions as a cytoplasmic adaptor in various receptor signaling pathways, TRADD was also found to dynamically shuttle between nucleus and cytoplasm depending on a nuclear export signal (NES) located in helix α5 (147–163) and a nuclear localization signal (NLS) in helix α7 (229–242) [78]. Nuclear TRADD plays a role in cell survival in response to DNA damage. Translocation of TRADD from the cytoplasm into the nucleus contributes to the non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) DNA repair pathway by recruiting a complex involving NHEJ repair factors 53BP1 (p53 binding protein 1) and Ku70/80 [78]. TRADD could also be trapped in the nucleus upon inhibition of nuclear export and become associated with promyelocytic leukemia protein (PML) nuclear bodies to activate an alternative apoptotic pathway via caspase-9, which is independent of FADD and caspase-8 recruitment [79,80].

4. Structural basis of TRADD-mediated signaling

As an adaptor protein linking binding partners together to create larger complexes, TRADD harbors two distinct functional domains and plays pivotal roles in orchestrating downstream signaling pathways in space and time. Therefore, structural insights into different adaptor complex formation will be indispensable for understanding the fundamental mechanisms of TRADD-mediated NF-kB activation and apoptosis induction. Structural characterization of TRADD complexes for its adaptor roles has been reported in only a handful of studies.

4.1. Structure of TRADD-NTD heterotypic complex

The first structure of TRADD complex with an atomic resolution was determined for TRADD-NTD bound to the TRAF domain of TRAF2 by X-ray crystallography in 2000 [10]. This complex structure, which adopts a C3 symmetry, revealed the mode of TRAF2 recruitment by TRADD (Fig. 3A). Three molecules of TRAF domain of TRAF2 form a mushroom-like trimer, sterically situating in the center of the TRADD:TRAF2 complex. Each β-sandwich subdomain of the TRAF domain binds to one TRADD-NTD. Hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonding and salt bridges contribute to the binding interface of the TRADD:TRAF2 complex [10]. The C-terminus of TRADD-NTD projects away from this complex, which facilitates the TRADD-DD to interact with the TNFR1-DD directly. The other TRAF family member, TRAF1, may also specifically interact with the TRADD-NTD in a similar way due to its high sequence homology to TRAF2 at the TRADD binding site. The TRAF domain of TRAF2 also contains a coiled-coil subdomain. It is constitutively associated with cIAP1 or cIAP2, leading to the recruitment of this cellular caspase inhibitor to the TNFR1 signaling Complex 1 for RIP1 ubiquitination. Therefore, disruption of TRAF2 association with TRADD will impair NF-kB activation and pro-survival pathway. It was found that EVER2 (Epidermodysplasia verruciformis 2) can tightly bind to the TRADD-NTD, preventing recruitment of TRAF2 to Complex I and promoting formation of TRADD:RIP:FADD complex for cell apoptosis [51]. A better understanding of the competitive binding of TRAF2 and EVER2 to TRADD requires structural determination of TRADD:EVER2 complex.
Fig. 3. Structure models of signaling complexes involving TRADD. A, Crystal structure of trimeric TRADD-NTD:TRAF2-CTD complex showing the structural mechanism of TRAF2 recruitment by TRADD (PDB ID: 1F3V). Ribbon drawings of three TRADD-NTDs are colored in cyan, green and purple, respectively, and three TRAF2-CTDs are colored in light gray, pink, and orange, respectively. B, HADDOCK structure of heterotrimeric complex of TRADD-DD:RIP1-DD:TNFR1-DD. Ribbon drawings of TRADD-DD, TNFR1-DD, RIP1-DD are colored in green, magenta, and cyan, respectively. The free-form starting structures are NMR structure of TRADD-DD (PDB ID: 5XME), NMR structure of TNFR1-DD (PDB ID: 1ICH), and modeled structure of RIP1-DD based on the crystal structure of GlcNAcylated RIP1-DD (PDB ID: 6AC5). The final docking structure with the lowest HADDOCK score and z-score was selected to represent the structure model. The GlcNAcylated sites (Arg residues) on three DDs are shown in ball-and-stick representation. Key residues involved in TRADD-DD:TNFR1-DD complex formation are highlighted and labeled in blue, and TNFR1-DD:RIP1-DD in red. C, HADDOCK structure of heterotrimeric complex of TRADD-DD:RIP1-DD:FADD-DD. NMR structure of FADD-DD (PDB ID: 1E41, yellow) was used as one of the starting structures, and the other two starting structures are the same as B. The GlcNAcylated sites (Arg residues) on three DDs are shown in ball-and-stick representation. Key residues involved in TRADD-DD:FADD-DD complex formation are highlighted and labeled in blue, and FADD-DD:RIP1-DD in red. D, Surface representation of TRADD-DD (grey) with overlapped ribbon drawings of TNFR1-DD (magenta) and FADD-DD (yellow). E, Surface representation of TRADD-DD. The positively and negatively charged residues in the overlapping interfaces, as shown in D, are colored in blue and red, respectively. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
4.2. Structures of TRADD-DD heterotypic complexes

Although the TRADD-DD has been proved to interact with a few cellular interactors both in-vitro and in-vivo, no 3 dimensional (3D) complex structures between the TRADD-DD and its interactors have been reported. One of the main reasons for this difficulty is that TRADD-DD protein is particularly prone to aggregate at neutral pH in vitro. Extensive mutagenesis studies have identified a number of critical residues, including both charged and hydrophobic ones, for the formation of two signaling complexes, TRADD: RIP1:TNFR1 for NF-kB activation and TRADD:RIP1:FADD for caspase-dependent apoptosis [82–85]. A structural model of the heterotrimERIC complex of TRADD-DD:TNFR1-DD:RIP1-DD was previously proposed [83]. However, due to the lack of intact structures of the TRADD-DD and RIP1-DD in this model, structural mechanisms of signaling mediated by the TRADD-DD remain poorly understood.

To investigate how the TRADD-DD interacts with its binding partners, we determined the docking structure of TRADD-DD: RIP1-DD:TNFR1-DD by high ambiguity driven protein–protein docking (HADDOCK) (Fig. 3B) [86]. The experimental data acquired from all mutagenesis studies were employed as docking restraints [82–85], and the lowest energy structure was selected to represent the structure model. Analysis of binding sites suggests that electrostatic interactions are dominant in the interface, while hydrophobic interactions also play an important role in the formation of the heterotrimERIC complex. Similarly, we obtained the structure model of TRADD-DD:RIP1-DD:FADD-DD by HADDOCK calculation (Fig. 3C). We found that the TNFR1-DD and FADD-DD bind to the TRADD-DD at partially overlapping binding sites, where common epitopes of charged residues are consistent with previous mutagenesis studies (Fig. 3 D and E) [82–85]. This comparison suggests that TRADD would have to be separated from TNFR1 and Complex I in order to form Complex II for initiating apoptotic signaling, which is in line with two different functional outputs mediated by TRADD in TNF/TNFR1 signaling. Biochemical studies indicated that the TNFR1-DD can strongly associate with the TRADD-DD with a $K_d$ of $\sim 25$ nM [83], while the binding affinity of the FADD-DD to the TRADD-DD is unknown. Therefore, it is unclear whether the competitive binding of the FADD-DD and TNFR1-DD on the TRADD-DD is what regulates the hierarchical activation of pro-survival and pro-apoptotic pathways. The structural mechanism of TRADD shifting from Complex I to Complex II still remain largely unknown. Another adopTRon protein STAT1 has been shown to interact with both TRADD and TNFR1 for pro-apoptotic signaling [34]. Therefore, structural investigation of STAT1 complexed with both TRADD and TNFR1 may shed light on the functional switching mechanism involving multiple adopTRon proteins.

The TRADD-DD binding site on the TNFR1-DD also overlaps with one of the TNFR1-DD homo-trimerization sites based on mutageneSIS data and the model structure. In TNFR1 signaling, however, the receptor undergoes trimerization and TRADD recruitment upon extracellular TNF trimer binding. Thus, it is unlikely that the TNFR1-DD could function as a homotrimer since the self-association of the TNFR1-DD would block TRADD-DD binding and Complex I formation. A possible mechanism is that ligand-induced receptor trimerization at the N-terminal of TNFR1 could lead to TNFR1-DD equilibrium between monomer and oligomer (dimer or trimer). Strong binding of the TNFR1-DD to the TRADD-DD may enable TNFR1 receptor to recruit three TRADD adaptors locally, which would further facilitate three TRADD-DD to associate with trimeric TRAF2 for RIP1 ubiquitination. The trimeric TNFR1-DD could represent the inactive or closed state of TNFR1. Structural determination of the homotrimeric TNFR1-DD and two heterotrimeric complexes, TRADD-DD:RIP1-DD:TNFR1-DD and TRADD-DD:RIP1-DD:FADD-DD, is necessary to uncover the detailed mechanisms underlying TRADD-dependent TNFR1 signaling.

4.3. Structure of N-GlcNAcylated TRADD-DD

The TRADD-DD is also one of the specific targets of a range of bacterial type III secretion system (T3SS) effectors, which disrupt death receptor signaling and contribute to pathogen infection and disease progression. It has been found that Non-LEE-encoded effector B (NeB) of enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC) can add an N-Acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) group to the TRADD-DD and other DD-containing proteins, including DDs of TNFR1, FADD and RIP1 [87]. Other NeB-homologous T3SS effectors, such as Salmonella secreted effector K1 (SseK1) and SseK3 from Salmonella enterica, can also perform the same or similar modification on the TRADD-DD [88,89]. The NeB-catalyzed N-linked GlcNAcylation site on the TRADD-DD is specifically at surface-exposed Arg215 (Fig. B and C). Our structural models of two TRADD-DD complexes indicate that bulk GlcNAc modification on the DDs of TRADD, RIP1, TNFR1, and FADD may introduce steric hindrances in the binding interfaces and thus prevent the formation of these two heterotrimERIC complexes. This structural mechanism explains how bacterial pathogens antagonize host defense by blocking NF-kB activation and apoptosis induction in EPEC-infected cells.

4.4. Binding specificity of the TRADD-DD

The TRADD-DD is able to interact with a variety of DDs, while it fails to bind certain DD-containing death receptors, such as Fas (CD95). Thus, an interesting question remains about the binding specificity between the TRADD-DD and other DDs. Structural comparison among DDs has shown that most DDs exhibit similar structural fold with a conserved hydrophobic core [82]. However, lengths and orientations of helices vary in each DD, leading to diverse surface characteristics, including surface shape and charge. These differences are believed to be critical for determining DD binding and functional specificity [90]. Since the TRADD-DD has a highly charged surface [6], electrostatic interactions may play a key role in TRADD-DD interaction with other DDs. However, none of complex structures between the TRADD-DD and its interacting DDs at the atomic level are available at present, and two TRADD-DD-containing docking structures do not provide enough resolution to analyze detailed interface network. Thus, the binding specificity of TRADD-DD still remains largely unknown.

4.5. Inhibition of TRADD signaling functions

Since the critical roles of TRADD in the direct regulation of both cell survival and apoptosis, TRADD has long been considered a promising drug target for the treatment of various human diseases, such as breast cancer and neurodegenerative diseases. Analysis of surface concavities or pockets on TRADD suggests that the TRADD-DD is less likely a good drug target, while the TRADD-NDT possesses a unique hydrophobic pocket mainly formed by sidechains of residues in the $\beta$-sheet (Fig. 1). This pocket is also involved in binding TRAF2 (Fig. 3a). Small molecule compounds, such as ICCB-19 or Apte-1 (apostatin-1), have been proved to bind to the TRADD-NDT at this hydrophobic pocket, disrupting TRAF2 binding and releasing TRAF2 from TRADD [91]. Therefore, inhibition of TRADD reduces NF-kB activation in TNF-stimulated cells. Since binding of the small molecules to TRADD-NDT stabilizes Complex I, cytosolic availability of TRADD for the formation of Complex Ila is also decreased and cellular apoptosis is blocked. In autophagy pathway, the release of TRAF2/cIAP1/2 from TRADD can mediate $\kappa$63-linked ubiquitination of Beclin 1, which eventually leads to activation of autophagy in cells with accumulated
mutant and restoration of cellular homeostasis. The binding affinity of ICCB-19 or Apt-1 to the TRADD-NTD is relatively weak, and the \( K_d \) is in \( \mu M \) scale. Therefore, structure-based optimization of small molecule compounds targeting the TRADD-NTD is a promising strategy to develop new drugs for inhibiting cell death and restoring homeostasis. Nevertheless, we cannot rule out the possibility that cryptic drug binding sites, which are nearly invisible in the unligated structure, exist on the TRADD-DD and could be explored by using NMR techniques.

5. Summary and outlook

Structural and functional progress in the last 25 years has considerably advanced our understanding of the roles of TRADD in cellular signaling, which mainly leads to NF-kB-dependent antiapoptosis or caspases-dependent apoptosis. With two functional domains, TRADD can serve as a platform for the recruitment of signaling proteins to various receptors and the formation of different signaling complexes. The relatively long and unstructured linker between two domains of TRADD may eliminate steric hindrance and allow the stable formation of a larger complex containing both TRADD-NTD and TRADD-CTD. The receptors known to date to employ TRADD for downstream signaling include TNFR1, DR3, DR4/5, DR6, p75NTR, TLR3, and TLR4. Besides receptor signaling, TRADD can also shuttle to the nucleus and has a critical role in cell survival in response to DNA damage. The complex structure between TRADD-NTD and the TRAF domain of TRAF2 discloses the detailed structural mechanism of TRAF2 recruitment by three TRADD molecules to TNFR1 and other receptors, including DR3. Although high-resolution complex structures between TRADD-CTD and its binding partners are currently not available, heterotrimeric HADDOCK structures of TRADD-DD:RIP1-DD and TRADD-DD:RIP1-DD:TNFR1-DD and TRADD-NTD:TNFR1-DD:FAF1-DD provide the first insight into structural mechanisms underlying TRADD functions via DD interactions. Disruption of the complexes involving the TRADD-NTD and/or the TRADD-DD by either competitive binding or structural modification (glycosylation) may lead to inhibition of TRADD-mediated signaling. This could provide clues for drug discovery targeting TRADD. Nevertheless, lack of sufficient structural and biochemical data for TRADD-mediated receptor signaling, including TRAILRs, DR6, p75NTR, and TLRs, prevents us from deciphering the whole repertoire of TRADD adaptor roles. Structural determination of complexes between TRADD, especially the TRADD-DD, and its various intracellular interactors, will be critical to bridge the structural gap between the receptors and their downstream signaling molecules.
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