Abstract: The supergroup $OSp(8^*|4)$, which is the superconformal group of $(2,0)$ theory in six dimensions, is broken to the subgroup $OSp(4|2) \times OSp(4|2)$ by demanding the invariance of a certain product in a superspace with eight bosonic and four fermionic dimensions. We show that this is consistent with the symmetry breaking induced by the presence of a flat two-dimensional BPS surface in the usual $(2,0)$ superspace, which has six bosonic and sixteen fermionic dimensions.
1 Introduction

One of the main motivations for the study of superconformal $(2,0)$ theories in six dimensions is their relation to maximally supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions [1–5]. This viewpoint provides an important insight into the $S$-duality of the four-dimensional theory [6–8], since the electrically and magnetically charged particles are interpreted as different windings of self-dual strings on the compactification torus. $S$-duality then becomes a simple consequence of the $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ modular invariance.

Another intriguing connection between these theories is the following: In the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory, there are two important observables that have attracted much interest recently. These are the Wilson operator and its dual, the 't Hooft operator, which both are associated with closed spatial curves. From the six-dimensional perspective, these should correspond to different windings of a single type of BPS surface observable in $(2,0)$ theory [9–12]. The connection between the Wilson and the 't Hooft operators is also indicated by the results in Refs. [13, 14].

The study of BPS Wilson loops in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory is also motivated from the AdS/CFT correspondence [15–17]. This viewpoint provides important information about string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$. Similarly, the study of BPS surface observables in $(2,0)$ theory might be related to quantities in $M$-theory on $AdS_7 \times S^4$.

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate in what way the superconformal group $OSp(8^*|4)$ is broken if a spatial two-dimensional flat surface is introduced in the $(2,0)$ superspace. This is inspired by the work in Ref. [18], where it is shown that a line in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory breaks the superconformal group $PSU(2,2|4)$ to the subgroup $OSp(2,2|4)$. We will use the results obtained in Refs. [19, 20] to simplify the treatment of the superconformal transformations, and we expect that the results obtained here will be useful in future studies of surface operators in $(2,0)$ theory.

The outline of this paper is as follows: Section 2 reviews the superconformal group and the transformations therein, focusing on the possibility of a linear formulation in a superspace with eight bosonic and four fermionic dimensions. In Section 3 we introduce a way of breaking the superconformal symmetry of the theory and show that the remaining unbroken symmetry leaves a two-dimensional surface invariant. Finally, Section 4 contains an attempt to describe this surface from an eight-dimensional perspective.

2 The superconformal group

Consider the supergroup $OSp(8^*|4)$, which is the superconformal group relevant for $(2,0)$ theory in six dimensions [21, 22]. By definition, this group leaves the inner product

$$y \cdot z \equiv I^{AB}y_Az_B \quad (2.1)$$
invariant. In this relation, \( y_A \) and \( z_B \) denote coordinate vectors in a superspace with eight bosonic and four fermionic dimensions, while the graded symmetric tensor \( I^{AB} \) is the corresponding (inverse) metric. The superindices and the metric will be further explained below.

The generator of superconformal transformations is denoted by \( J_{AB} \), which is graded antisymmetric and obeys the (anti)commutation relations

\[
[J_{AB}, J_{CD}] = -\frac{1}{2} \left( I_{BC} J_{AD} - (1)^A I_{BA} J_{CD} - (1)^D I_{BD} J_{AC} + (1)^{AB+CD} I_{AD} J_{BC} \right),
\]

where the bracket in the left hand side is an anticommutator if both its entries are fermionic, otherwise it is a commutator. A factor \((-1)^A\) is positive if \( A \) is a bosonic index, and negative if it is fermionic.

The corresponding coordinate transformation is given by

\[
\delta y_A = -\pi^{CD} y_C I_{DA},
\]

where the graded antisymmetric quantity \( \pi^{CD} \) contains the infinitesimal parameters. It is easily verified that a transformation of this form indeed leaves the inner product in Eq. (2.1) invariant.

It is illustrative to decompose the quantities introduced above into more familiar ones [19, 20, 23]. The coordinate vector may be written as \( y_A = (y_{\alpha}, y^\alpha, y_a) \). In this expression, the bosonic index \( \hat{\alpha} = (1, \ldots, 8) \) is a chiral \( SO(6,2) \) spinor index, which may be further decomposed into one chiral \( SO(5,1) \) spinor index \( \alpha = (1, \ldots, 4) \) (subscript) and one anti-chiral \( SO(5,1) \) spinor index \( \alpha = (1, \ldots, 4) \) (superscript). Finally, the fermionic index \( a = (1, \ldots, 4) \) is an \( SO(5) \) spinor index.

In this notation, the superconformal generators become [23, 24]

\[
J_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} P_{\alpha\beta} & \frac{1}{2} M_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\alpha\beta} D & -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} Q_{\alpha}^b \\
-\frac{1}{2} M_{\beta\alpha} - \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\beta\alpha} D & -\frac{1}{2} K_{\alpha\beta} & -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \Omega_{\alpha c} S_{c}^b \\
\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} Q_{\alpha}^a & -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \Omega_{a c} S_{c}^\beta & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \Omega^{bc} S_{c}^a
\end{pmatrix},
\]

while the superspace metric is written as

\[
I_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \delta_{\alpha}^\beta & 0 \\
\delta^\alpha_{\beta} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & i\Omega_{ab}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Together with Eq. (2.2), these definitions reproduce all commutation relations of the six-dimensional superconformal algebra with conventions as in Ref. [23]. We have also introduced the \( SO(5) \) invariant antisymmetric tensor \( \Omega^{ab} \) in the purely fermionic piece of the superspace metric.

In the inner product defined in Eq. (2.1), the inverse superspace metric appears, i.e., the metric with superscript indices. This is written as

\[
I^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \delta_{\alpha}^\beta & 0 \\
\delta^\alpha_{\beta} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -i\Omega_{ab}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
in this basis, which makes the relation

$$I_{AB}I^{BC} = \delta^C_A$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

valid (which is essential if we want to raise and lower indices). This requires that $\Omega_{ab}\Omega^{bc} = \delta_a^c$.

### 3 Breaking the superconformal symmetry

Consider the subgroup $H \subset OSp(8^*|4)$ which leaves the product

$$y \circ z \equiv \hat{I}^{AB}y_Az_B$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

invariant. In this expression, we demand the matrix $\hat{I}^{AB}$ to satisfy

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{AB}\hat{I}^{AB} &= 0 \\
I_{BC}\hat{I}^{AB}\hat{I}^{CD} &= I^{AD}.
\end{align*}
\hspace{1cm} (3.2)
\]

Explicitly, we choose our basis such that

\[
\hat{I}^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \delta^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\delta^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} & 0 & 0 \\
\delta^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\delta^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}
\end{pmatrix},
\hspace{1cm} (3.3)
\]

where all indices in the matrix are fundamental $SU(2)$ indices, taking the values 1 and 2. In this basis, the $SO(5)$ invariant tensor is written as

$$\Omega_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix}
i\epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} & 0 \\
0 & i\epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}
\end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

where the antisymmetric $SU(2)$ invariant tensor $\epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}$ is defined such that $\epsilon_{12} = 1$. This means that we have decomposed the $SO(5,1)$ spinor indices according to $\alpha = (\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\alpha})$, but also the $SO(5)$ spinor index as $a = (\dot{a}, \dot{a})$. In total, this leaves us with six different $SU(2)$ indices. Note that the indices denoted by Greek letters, originating from the bosonic piece of the superindex, may not be raised or lowered; superscript and subscript indices indicate different representations.

Comparing the expression for $\hat{I}^{AB}$ in Eq. (3.3) with the inverse superspace metric $I^{AB}$ in Eq. (2.6), we see that they differ only by some signs. The inspiration for this may be found in Ref. [25], where the $R$-symmetry group $SO(5)$ is spontaneously broken to $SO(4) \simeq SU(2) \times SU(2)$ by selecting a specific unit vector $\Phi^{ab}$ as

$$\Phi^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix}
i\epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} & 0 \\
0 & -i\epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}
\end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)
The quantity in Eq. (3.3) generalizes this idea to the entire superconformal space. Specifically, we note that Eq. (3.2) is analogous to the relation $\Omega_{ab} \dot{\Phi}^{ab} = 0$ from Ref. [25], which makes $\dot{\Phi}^{ab}$ an $SO(5)$ vector.

To investigate the properties of the subgroup $H$, let us see which $OSp(8^*|4)$ generators leave the product in Eq. (3.3) invariant. By applying the transformation in Eq. (2.3), we find that the product is invariant if the generator $J_{AB}$ is of the form

$$
\hat{J}_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix}
J_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} & 0 & J_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} & 0 \\
0 & J_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} & 0 & J_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \\
J_{\dot{\beta}\alpha} & 0 & J_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} & 0 \\
0 & J_{\dot{\beta}\alpha} & 0 & J_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

By rearranging the indices, this matrix is easily brought to a block-diagonal form, indicating that the subgroup $H$ is a product of two identical groups; one associated with dotted and one with primed indices. These groups each have nine bosonic and eight fermionic generators, to be compared with the 38 bosonic and 32 fermionic generators of $OSp(8^*|4)$. Thus, half the supersymmetries and half the special supersymmetries are left unbroken.

Next, consider how $H$ acts on the $(2,0)$ superspace with six bosonic coordinates, denoted by $x^{\alpha\beta} = -x^{\beta\alpha}$, and sixteen fermionic coordinates, $\theta^\alpha_a$. The coordinate transformations induced by the full $OSp(8^*|4)$ supergroup are given by [23,26]

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta x^{\alpha\beta} &= a^{\alpha\beta} - \omega^{[\alpha} x^{\beta]\gamma} + \lambda x^{\alpha\beta} + 4c_{\gamma\delta} x^{\gamma\alpha} x^{\beta\delta} - i\Omega^{ab} \eta^a_{[\alpha} \theta^{\beta]} - \\
&\quad - c_{\gamma\delta} \theta^\gamma \cdot \theta^{[\alpha} \theta^{\beta]} \cdot \theta^\delta - i\rho_c \theta^a_{[\alpha} \left( 2x^{\beta]\gamma} - i\theta^{[\beta} \cdot \theta^{\gamma} \right) \\
\delta \theta^\alpha_a &= (\omega^{\alpha} - 4c_{\gamma\delta} x^{\alpha\delta} - 2ic_{\gamma\delta} \theta^\alpha \cdot \theta^\delta + 2i\rho_c \theta^a_{[\alpha} \theta^{\beta]} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \theta^\alpha_a + \\
&\quad + \eta^a_{[\alpha} - \Omega_{ac} \rho^c_{[\alpha} (2x^{\gamma\alpha} - i\theta^{\gamma} \cdot \theta^\alpha) + \nu_{ac} \Omega^{ab} \theta^b_{[\alpha})
\end{align*}
$$

where the conventions for the various parameters may be found in Ref. [23]. If we split the indices on the coordinates and restrict ourselves to the transformations contained in $H$, we find that a configuration where

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= 0 \\
\theta^\alpha_b &= 0 \\
\theta^\dot{\alpha}_b &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

is left invariant. This corresponds naturally to a flat two-dimensional surface embedded in the six-dimensional space-time, which in addition breaks half the supersymmetry of the theory and also breaks the $R$-symmetry group. The remaining bosonic symmetry transformations, contained in the supergroup $H$, are interpreted as conformal...
satisfy Eq. (2.2), we find that we need to take

in Eq. (3.11). Similar relations hold for the version with primed indices.

This procedure ultimately fixes the weights in the right-hand side of Eq. (3.11) to these particular values. Define

where we note three three-component bosonic generators (denoted by \( A_{\hat{\alpha},\hat{\beta}} \), \( B_{\hat{\mu},\hat{\nu}} \) and \( C_{\hat{\alpha},\hat{\mu}} \), all symmetric) and one eight-component fermionic generator \( R_{\hat{\alpha},\hat{\mu}}^\hat{\beta} \). The bosonic generators appear with different weights in the right-hand side of the anticommutator in Eq. (3.11). Similar relations hold for the version with primed indices.

Let us see if we may fit these generators into the structure for \( J_{AB} \) in Eq. (3.6). This procedure ultimately fixes the weights in the right-hand side of Eq. (3.11) to these particular values. Define

where we have let the \( \hat{\mu} \)-index take specific values. By requiring these generators to satisfy Eq. (2.2), we find that we need to take

\[
J_{\hat{\alpha},\hat{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\hat{\alpha},\hat{\beta}} (B_{11} + 2B_{12} + B_{22})
\]

\[
J^{\hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta}} = \frac{1}{8} \delta^{\hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta}} (B_{11} - 2B_{12} + B_{22})
\]

\[
J_{\hat{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\hat{\alpha}} \gamma (B_{11} - B_{22})
\]

\[
J^{\hat{\alpha}} = -C_{\hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta}}.
\]
In this way, we have constructed an explicit isomorphism between the unbroken subgroup \( H \subset OSp(8^*|4) \) and the supergroup \( OSp(4|2) \times OSp(4|2) \).

So far, we have considered a flat infinitely extended two-dimensional surface. This is in fact a special case; in general we should consider closed surfaces, such as two-spheres. The moduli space of possible such surfaces is parametrized by the coset \( OSp(8^*|4)/(OSp(4|2) \times OSp(4|2)) \), and has 20 bosonic and 16 fermionic dimensions.

4 The superconformal space

The purpose of this section is to investigate if there is another way, based on an eight-dimensional formulation, of showing that the product in Eq. (3.1) is associated with a surface in six dimensions.

In Ref. [19], the connection between the superconformal space (with eight bosonic dimensions) and the (2,0) superspace (with six bosonic dimensions) was accomplished by requiring the fields to live on a projective supercone, defined by

\[
I_{AB} y^A y^B = 0. \tag{4.1}
\]

An explicit solution to this condition is given by

\[
y^a = \sqrt{2} \Omega^{ab} \theta^b_c y^c \\
y^\alpha = \left(2x^{\alpha\beta} - i\Omega^{ab} \theta^a_\alpha \theta^b_\beta \right) y^\beta. \tag{4.2}
\]

The consistency of these equations implies that \( x^{\alpha\beta} \) and \( \theta^a_\alpha \) must transform according to Eqs. (3.7) and (3.8), respectively, if the \( y^A \) coordinates are transformed as in Eq. (2.3). This indicates that we may identify these quantities with the usual coordinates in (2,0) superspace.

Let us define a new constraint, similar to Eq. (4.1), but this time based on the product in Eq. (3.1). The most natural such condition is

\[
\hat{I}^{AB} y_A y_B = 0. \tag{4.3}
\]

If we combine this condition with the supercone constraint (4.1), we find two separate equations:

\[
2y^\alpha y_\alpha + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} y^\beta y^\beta = 0 \\
2y_\alpha y^\alpha + \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} y^\alpha y_\beta = 0. \tag{4.4}
\]

In analogy with Eq. (4.2), we write an explicit solution to these equations as

\[
y^\dot{\alpha} = \sqrt{2} i \epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \theta^\dot{b}_c y^\dot{c} \\
y^\dot{\alpha} = \left(2x^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + \epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{b}} \theta^\dot{a}_\dot{a} \theta^\dot{b}_\beta \right) y^\dot{\beta}. \tag{4.5}
\]

together with similar equations with primed indices. We see immediately that this defines a surface with two bosonic dimensions, which also is described by eight fermionic
variables. This agrees with the results found in the preceding section and suggests that
the surface in (2, 0) superspace may be regarded as the intersection of the supercone
(4.1) and the hyper-surface (4.3) in the superconformal space.
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