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Abstract

Silicon dioxide nanoparticles (SiO2 NPs) are widely invested in medicine, industry, agriculture, consuming products, optical imaging agents, cosmetics, and drug delivery. However, the toxicity of these NPs on human health and the ecosystem have not been extensively studied and little information is available about their behavioural toxicities. The current study aimed to find out the behavioural alterations that might be induced by chronic exposure to 10 nm SiO2 NPs. BALB/C mice were subjected to 36 injections of SiO2 NPs (2 mg/kg Bw) and subjected to 11 neurobehavioural tests: elevated plus-maze test, elevated zero-maze test, multidirectional maze test, open field test, hole-board test, light-dark box test, forced swimming test, tail-suspension test, Morris water-maze test, Y-maze test and multiple T-maze test. Treated mice demonstrated anxiety-like effect, depression tendency, behavioural despair stress, exploration and locomotor activity reduction with error induction in both reference and working memories. The findings may suggest that silica NPs are anxiogenic and could aggravate depression affecting memory, learning, overall activity and exploratory behaviour. Moreover, the findings may indicate that these nanomaterials (NMs) may induce potential oxidative stress in the body leading to neurobehavioural alterations with possible changes in the vital organ including the central nervous system.

1 Introduction

Silicon dioxide nanoparticles (SiO2 NPs) have been extensively invested in various sectors of daily life because of their fascinating physicochemical properties [1-4]. Nano-silica are photosensitive, hydrophobic and hydrophilic nanoforms, inexpensive to produce and easy to prepare. In addition, these nanomaterials (NMs) showed good biocompatibility, significant porosity, and high functionalisation capacity [5]. Silica NPs are invested in drug and gene delivery, cancer treatment, pharmaceutical additives, diagnostic purposes and bio-imaging [6-8]. Moreover, SiO2 NPs are widely used in agriculture and many industries including sensors, electronics, lubricating oil, paints, textiles, rubber, pigment stabilizers, scratch-resistance agents and adhesive scaling [5].

Silica NMs are produced in bulky amounts in the global markets and have become the top five NMs used [3, 9]. Research reports indicated that silica NPs may induce toxic effect in human health and the ecosystems because of their unique physicochemical properties [3]. Toxicological findings showed that nano-silica could accumulate and induce adverse effects in the vital organs specially in the lung, kidney, liver, spleen, smooth muscles and brain with capability of crossing the blood brain barrier [10, 11]. Investigations showed that these NMs could interact with DNA, proteins and lipids with an effect on cancer cell migration and fatty cells differentiation [2, 12, 13]. Some reports showed that SiO2 NPs could induce significant alterations in plasma biochemical components and histological alterations in the liver, kidney, lung and testis [14]. In addition, some reports demonstrated that SiO2 NPs could cause an inflammatory response, cytotoxicity and cell death with the risk of cardiovascular disease [15-17]. Moreover, silica NMs were found to alter the immune response and to induce injury to macrophages, erythrocytes and mammalian cell lines together with oxidative stress and nitric oxides disturbance [12, 18].

Silica NMs are considered highly biologically compatible and could accumulate in the corpus striatum [19]. These NMs...
could cross the intracellular spaces and the placental barrier thereby gaining access to the blood brain barrier [20]. Silica NPs were detected in the placenta, uterus, brain and liver of the offspring when pregnant mice were exposed intravenously to these NMs [11]. In addition, silica NPs are reported to induce homoeostasis disruption, apoptosis and autophagy [21]. Moreover, nano-silica may induce DNA damage, cell death, oxidative stress and inflammation, with potential neurotoxicity induction [22]. Silica NMs could induce systemic inflammation, reactive oxygen species production, cytotoxicity and autophagy [21, 23, 24]. On the other hand, SiO$_2$ NPs significantly lowered DNA synthesis [23]. Moreover, some reports indicated that these nanomaterials could induce cytotoxicity and genotoxicity together with cardiac, pulmonary, hepatic and renal injury [14, 25, 26].

Silica NPs could alter neuromuscular and microgilia function [27, 28]. Studies have proven that silica NPs can cross the blood brain barrier and then access the central nervous system and cause neurotoxicity [24]. These NMs can interact with neurogilia and neurons disturbing the neurological system. Some studies indicated that silica NPs could induce neurotoxicity and enhance neurodegenerative impact on the corpus striatum [22, 24].

Owing to the rapid commercialization and expansive application of silica NMs, concerns are increasing regarding their toxicity on human, organisms and the environment. While some studies reported alterations in some vital organs of the body, the knowledge of SiO$_2$ NPs behavioural potential risks may not be well-established with a need to be addressed. The present study aims to identify the behavioural toxicity that might be produced by chronic exposure to 10 nm SiO$_2$ NPs.

## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Silicon dioxide NPs

Spherical and porous uncoated silicon dioxide nanopowder (10 nm, purity of 99.5%, and surface area of 640 m$^2$/g) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. Suspension of SiO$_2$ NPs was prepared using normal physiological saline (0.9% NaCl) solution. To prevent aggregation, the suspension was sonicated for 15 min before injection.

### 2.2 Experimental animals

A total of 16 adult healthy male BALB/C mice, weighing 25–28 g, were used in the present work. They were divided into two groups: control group and SiO$_2$ NPs-treated one, of eight mice each. All mice were caged with free access to drinking water and pellets diet ad libitum and maintained in an environmentally controlled room (temperature 23 ± 2°C, relative humidity 35% ± 5% and lighting 08:00–16:00 h). Each mouse in the control group received 36 intraperitoneal (ip) injections of 0.2 ml fresh sterilized physiological saline solution, while each member of the SiO$_2$ NPs-treated group received 36 ip injection of SiO$_2$ NPs (2 mg/kg Bw). Both groups were injected on the basis of daily single injection for 5 days a week.

General well-being, mortality, any signs of toxicity and behaviour patterns of all mice under study were observed daily. In addition, mice under study were observed daily for the body position, locomotion, rearing, writhing, lacrimation and alertness reactivity.

### 2.3 Behaviour testing

The behavioural alterations were evaluated 24 h after the latter of the 36 ip injections received. The effect of SiO$_2$ NPs on the behaviour of BALB/C mice was evaluated by utilizing 11 standard multiparametric behavioural assays. All animals under study were subjected to neuro-behavioural tests to evaluate anxiety induction, depression aggravation and the effect on spatial learning, exploring activities and memory in the control and SiO$_2$-treated mice.

#### 2.3.1 Anxiety induction tests

**The elevated plus-maze test**

The apparatus was made of clear wood with opposite arms of 55 cm length, 10 cm width, and 60 cm height from the floor with a central square of side 10 cm each. The closed arms were walled by a 35 cm wall, while the open ones had 2.5 cm height (Figure 1a). The test began with the placement of each mouse individually in the central area, with its head facing the open arm. The total activity was evaluated by recording the time spent in the open arms, the closed arms and the central area. In addition, the number of entries into open and closed arms together with the number of head dipping during a period of 10 min was also recorded. Entry into an arm was considered when the animal placed all the four paws onto the arm. The anxious index was expressed according to Rao and Sadananda [29] as seen in the following equation:

\[
\text{Anxious index} = \frac{(\text{closed arm entries x 100})}{(\text{closed arm entries Unsupported} + \text{ open arm entries})}
\]

**The elevated zero-maze test**

This maze was made of circular platform divided into four areas of equal length and raised 60 cm from the floor while the open quadrants consist of a 10 mm rim. The diameter is 120 cm with a corridor width of 10 and 35 cm height for the dark-coloured wall (Figure 1b). The total activity was evaluated by recording the time spent in the open areas and the walled ones, number of entries to the open and the walled areas, the number of complete cycles made by the mouse and the number of head dipping [30].
The open field test
The used apparatus was manufactured from opaque-white wooden box (dimensions: 58 cm width × 38 cm height × 40 cm length) with 16 quadrants drawn on the bottom of the box and central square platform in the centre (Figure 1c). Each mouse under study was individually placed on the centre of the field and the video recorded for 15 min. This test based on the principle that the central area is the most threatening to rodents and a line crossing is counted as the mouse passed the line totally [31]. The locomotion within the central quadrants/total locomotion ratio for each mouse was calculated, while the frequency of crossings through the central and peripheral quadrants was used to assess the locomotion activity.

The light-dark box test
The apparatus was made of a small black compartment (20 × 33 × 30 cm) and big white compartment (30 × 33 × 30 cm) separated by a connecting gate (4 × 7 cm) (Figure 1d). Each mouse was placed individually in the centre of the illuminated compartment, facing the opening to the dark compartment. The parameters assessed include time spent in the white and dark compartments and number of entries between the compartments [32, 33]. The activity of each mouse was assessed by the results of the followings: time spent to find the gate, total time spent in the light and dark compartments, number of entries (transitions) and exploring activity (by rearing, head dipping, sniffing and stretch attend posture).

The hole-board test
This test is used to measure stress, anxiety, neophilia and emotionality in animals. The apparatus was made of a Perspex box (50 × 50 × 40 cm) with the floor covered with 16 holes each with 2.5 cm in diameter (Figure 2a). Each mouse was observed for five exploratory behaviours: thigmotaxis, locomotion, head
dipping, rearing and central area visiting. Each animal was individually located in the centre of the board for 10 min and observed for these behaviours.

2.3.2 Depression aggravation tests

The following tests were used to evaluate the depressant stress and immobility behaviour trait.

*The tail suspension test*

The apparatus was made of three-walled rectangular compartment made of wood with the dimensions of (55 cm height × 60 cm width × 12 cm depth) (Figure 2b). Each mouse was subjected to inescapable stress by suspended it with its tail with tape, in such a position that it cannot escape [34]. The following parameters were assessed: Total time of mobility and that of immobility, number of escapes and rests in the specified time were measured.

*The forced swimming test*

The used apparatus consisted of transparent tank (30 × 30 × 50 cm) filled with water (25 ± 2°C) with water 25 cm from the bottom (Figure 2c). The activity of the mouse was evaluated by the following parameters: total time spent in swimming and immobility, number of rests in the specified time together with the number of climbing attempt [35, 36].

2.3.3 Effects on the spatial learning and memory

The following experiments were conducted to assess the spatial learning performance and spatial navigation memory.
**The multiradial maze test**

Eight radial arm maze apparatus was used in the present work. It consisted of eight horizontal equidistantly spaced arms (50 cm length × 10 cm width × 20 cm height) radiating from circular central area (25 cm in diameter) raised 60 cm from the floor (Figure 2d). The apparatus is used to evaluate the spatial learning and memory in rodents. A food was deposited at the end of each arm. Each food-deprived mouse was placed on the platform and allowed to move freely for the available food to select among eight arms. After selecting one arm, the mouse returns to the platform before choosing another. An entry was considered every time the mouse placed all paws into the initial entrance of the arm. The reference memory is assessed with the visit to the arms with food, while the working memory is assessed when the mouse made an entry to each arm a single time.

**Morris water-maze test**

The apparatus was made from a circular pool (height 40 cm, diameter 110 cm) with platform allows the mouse to escape the water. The pool was filled with tap water to a depth of 25 cm (Figure 3a). Each mouse was placed in the pool to find the visible platform. The overall activity was evaluated by the following criteria: escape latency (time to find the platform), time spent in swimming, time spent in immobility and climbing attempts number.

**The Y-maze test**

The used apparatus has three identical arms (45 cm length, 10 cm width and 20 cm height) shaped like capital Y with each arm spaced at an angle of 120° (Figure 3b). Each animal was located individually at the base of the maze and allowed to find the food reward placed in one arm of the maze. The mouse was observed for the following: side preference, arms alterations, time spent to reach the reward, memory of reward preferences [37].

**The multiple T-maze test**

This maze was made of 12 identical T-junctions used to answer questions of places versus response learning and cognitive maps. Each T-segment consisted of 12 cm wide, 20 cm long and 11 cm high with tracts length from start to the goal segment of 185 cm (Figure 3c). The food-deprived mouse was placed individually and allowed to explore via the corridors of the maze to find food reward in the last T-segment, the goal box. The proficiency in approaching the reward indicated that the mouse had generated a cognitive map of the maze during explorations. All mice under study were trained on such procedure three rounds (20 min each) per day for three days. The ability of the hungry mouse to find the food with a decrease in latency and an increase in correct decisions was used to assess the memory retention.

**2.4 | Video recording cameras**

Four digital video cameras were used in the present study. The cameras were fixed horizontally and vertically and were supported by a tripod of height enough for resolution to produce high quality images.

Each of the used apparatuses and tools were wiped thoroughly after each session for each mouse with a 70% alcohol solution between test sessions. Moreover, all animals under study were treated according to the National Institute of Health Guide for the care and use of the mice under study.

**2.5 | Data analyses**

Statistical data analysis was carried out using one-way ANOVA. The findings were expressed as the average ± SD. The p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The data were analysed by SPSS software.

**3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The control mice and those exposed to SiO₂ NPs did not present any clinical signs of toxicity with no mortality that was recorded among SiO₂ NPs-treated mice. The followings are the behavioural alterations demonstrated by mice received 36 ip injection of SiO₂ NPs (2 mg/kg Bw) in comparison with the control ones.

**3.1 | The elevated plus-maze test**

This test is an aetiologically valid animal model of anxiety used as a natural fear stimuli of an open space. An anxiety-induced agent decreases the time spent in open arms and increases the time spent in enclosed arms [30]. In the present test, the anxiety was expressed by the time spent in the enclosed arms. Compared to the control animals as seen in Figure 4, exposure to nano-silica induced anxiety-like effect in the treated mice, as it significantly lowered the time spent in open arms and elevated the time spent in the closed arms. In addition, SiO₂ NPs-treated mice dampened the number of entries to the arms and the number of head dipping. These together may indicate anxiogenic effect of silica NMs. In addition, SiO₂ NPs-treated mice significantly elevated the anxious index in comparison with the control animals (65.84 ± 21 vs. 46.94 ± 12).

**3.2 | The elevated zero-maze test**

This test is used to assess behaviour related to anxiety in rodents, based on exploring a novel environment and avoiding open spaces constituting situations of predator risk [30]. Shorter time intervals spent in the open areas are interpreted as increased anxiety while the number of entries into closed compartment is an index of general activity.

As seen in Figure 5, exposure to nano-silica decreased significantly the average time spent in the dark areas, the numbers of entries, head dipping and over all activity. It has been reported that increased anxiety and/or fear level is related
to a decreased exploration behavioural patterns and serotonergic system activity reduction [24].

3.3 | The open field test

This test investigates anxiety induction, exploration and locomotion alterations [31]. Anxiety is indicated by lowering the total locomotors activity, distance travelled in central quadrant and time (%) spent in central area, with elevation the time spent in the periphery zone and in the apparatus corners [38]. Figure 6 demonstrates thigmotaxis activity, line crossing frequency, central quadrant entry (transition), number of rests at the corners and the time spent at the corners. It is obvious from the findings that subjection to silica NPs reduced the thigmotaxis activity and line crossing frequency with suppression to the central quadrant entry. Moreover, SiO$_2$ NPs increased the average number of corner rests but significantly decreased the locomotion index compared with the control mice ($2.13 \pm 0.6$ versus. $10.64 \pm 2.6$).

3.4 | The light-dark box test

This behavioural paradigm test is an experimental procedure used to test anxiety in laboratory animals. Rodents prefer darker area than the lighter one and when presented in a novel environment, they have a tendency to explore [33]. As
seen in Figure 7, exposure to SiO₂ NPs increased the time spent in the light compartment the time spent to find the door and the anxious index but decreased the time spent in the dark compartment, number of entries to the dark compartment and the number of exploring. These together may indicate that SiO₂ treated-mice are more anxious than the control ones and silica NMs are anxiogenic agents with the ability to induce anxiety-like behaviour and reduce locomotor activity [32].

3.5 | The hole-board test

This experimental test is used to measure multiple emotionality behaviours in rodents mainly anxiety and exploratory behaviour [39]. Head dipping, rearing, and locomotion indicate the common activities. The more these exploratory behaviours occur, the less anxious the animal is and if the animal does not show these behaviours then it is more anxious. As seen in Figure 8, exposure to silica NPs lowered the activity and exploration where SiO₂-treated mice demonstrated reduction in numbers of head dipping and rearing in comparison with the control ones. By entering a new place, exploration provides the animal with information about its novelty of having food, shelter or mating partners with a risk of predation and aggression from various hazards. In addition, subjection to nano-silica lowered thigmotaxis and locomotion rate. These results may reveal that silica NMs can induce stress leading to a decrease in neophilia towards neophobia with fear-based avoidance stressing the object conflict avoidance [39].

3.6 | The tail-suspension test

This behavioural test is invested to measure stress in some experimental animals including mice [34]. The time it took until each mouse under study remained immobile and the stress index were measured. As seen in Figure 9, SiO₂ NPs exhibited increased immobility and stress index. This finding may indicate that subjection to SiO₂ NPs may aggravate insightful depression that putting the animal in a position of defeat with the ambient environment demonstrating depression-like behaviour.

3.7 | The forced swimming test

This test is used to evaluate depression induction [35, 40]. When the mouse is placed in a transparent container filled with water, it will struggle to escape but eventually will demonstrate immobility due to behavioural despair stress which may indicate the tendency for major depression. In theory, a depressed mouse gives up more quickly than a happy one. As seen in Figure 10, SiO₂ NPs-treated mice demonstrated an increase in
FIGURE 5 Behavioural performance of control and SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice in the elevated zero-maze test. The time spent in the open and the walled areas, number of entries to the open and the walled areas, the number of complete cycles and the number of head dipping made by each mouse under study were recorded. In addition, the histogram shows the anxiety index of the control and silica NPs-treated mice. * indicates statistical significance using one-way ANOVA test and $p$ value < 0.05, compared with the control group. Black bar: Control mice; Gray bar: SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice.

| Groups          | Average time spent in the open areas (s) | Average time spent in the walled arms (s) | Average number of entries to the Open areas | Average number of entries to the walled areas | Average number of cycles | Average number of head dipping | Anxiety index |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Control         | 168±50                                 | 432±126                                  | 2.33±0.7                                   | 2.50±0.8                                    | 2.25±0.7                 | 3.4±1                         | 51.76±21      |
| treated mice    | 123±44                                 | 477±166                                  | 1.25±0.5                                   | 1.97±0.5                                    | 1.25±0.4                 | 2.0±0.7                       | 61.18±33      |

FIGURE 6 Behavioural performance of control and SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice in the open field test ($T = 15$ min). Each mouse was video recorded for the number of thigmotaxis, the locomotion within the central quadrants, number of corner rests and the crossing frequency through the central and peripheral quadrants. The present histogram demonstrates the locomotion velocity and locomotion index of the mice under study. * indicates statistical significance using one-way ANOVA test and $p$ value < 0.05, compared with the control group. Black bar: Control mice; Gray bar: SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice.
FIGURE 7  Behavioural performance of control and SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice in the light-dark box test ($T = 15$ min). Each mouse was observed for the time spent to find the gate, total time spent in the light and dark compartments, number of entries and exploring activities. The histogram shows the anxiety index for the control and silica-treated mice. '*' indicates statistical significance using one-way ANOVA test and $p$ value $< 0.05$, compared with the control group. Black bar: Control mice; Gray bar: SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice.

| Group                | Time spent to find the door (s) | Time spent in the light compartment | Time spent in the dark compartment | Number of entries | Number of exploring | Anxiety index  |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Control              | $7\pm 2$                        | $4/15\pm 0.1$                       | $11/15\pm 0.2$                    | $4\pm 1.1$         | $6\pm 1.7$          | $27.7\pm 8$   |
| SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice | $115\pm 26$                    | $13/15\pm 0.2$                     | $2/15\pm 0.04$                    | $2\pm 0.6$         | $2\pm 0.8$          | $86.7\pm 23$ |

FIGURE 8  Behavioural performance of control and SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice in the hole-board test ($T = 10$ min). Each mouse under study was observed for thigmotaxis, head dipping, rearing and central area visiting. '*' indicates statistical significance using one-way ANOVA test and $p$ value $< 0.05$, compared with the control group. Black bar: Control mice; Grey bar: SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice.

| Group                | Average time spent in thigmotaxis (min) | Head dipping | Rearing | Moving to the center (x) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------------------|
| Control              | $14:27\pm 4:5$                          | $8.36\pm 2$  | $9.08\pm 2.17$ | $7.3\pm 1.1$ |
| SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice | $12:05\pm 3:5$                         | $5.41\pm 1.3$ | $4.70\pm 1.12$ | $3.8\pm 0.6$ |
immobility and high stress index. Depression is accompanied with stress and a lack of ability to handle and associated with many disorders, including, metabolic, cardiovascular and neuropsychiatric disorders [36, 41, 42].

### 3.8 The multiradial maze test

This test measures the spatial learning and memory in rodents. The reference memory is assessed with mouse’s visit to the arms with food. The failure to do so indicates an error in the reference memory while the working memory is assessed when the mouse made an entry to each arm a single time. Re-entry into the arms with no food reward would be considered as working memory error [43].

The overall activity was assessed by the total number of entries and re-entries to all arms as seen in Figure 11. Silica NPs treated mice demonstrated reduction in the number of entries into the arms with food in comparison with the control mice. In addition, treated-mice demonstrated higher working memory error and lower reference memory index. The result of the present behavioural test indicates that exposure to silica NMs affect both the reference memory and the working memory. Survival in new environment depends on learning of remembering locations [44]. The results of the present behavioural test may indicate that exposure to SiO₂ NPs lowered the capacity of the mouse to manoeuvre safely in entering new location.

### 3.9 Morris water-maze test

This is standard behavioural neuroscience test used to evaluate the spatial memory and learning [45]. This test helps finding out if the hippocampus is affected or not where this brain component is involved in spatial and rational memory. As seen in Figure 12, the memory error index of the treated mice was almost three times than that of the control ones (0.486 vs. 0.159).

Hippocampus is a brain component responsible for enabling spatial memory consolidation of information and navigation. When the hippocampus suffers damage, short-term memory loss, inability to form and retain new memories and disorientation symptoms will be early demonstrated [46]. The finding of the present behavioural test may suggest that the hippocampus damage was induced by SiO₂ NPs affecting both memory and learning.

### 3.10 The Y-maze test

This behavioural test is used to quantify cognitive deficits for studying spatial learning and recognition memory by letting the mouse to choose between two rewards. In addition, Y-maze test helps in measuring the willingness of mouse to explore new environments [47]. The mouse may demonstrate inhibitory avoidance (conditioned fear) and/or one-way escape (unconditioned fear). In this maze test, the mouse normally explores a new arm of the maze rather than returning to the
previously visited one where brain components including the hippocampus, are involved in this task [47]. The decrease in number of side alteration and the number of visits to each arm with or without food reward in comparison with the control mice is an indication of cognitive deficit. As seen in Figure 13, SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice demonstrated less number of side alterations and less preference to arms with or without reward. Accordingly, one may conclude that exposure to silica NMs can affect the cognition and the memory function.

### 3.11 The multiple T-maze test

This behavioural test is utilized in learning, memory impairment, memory formation and memory retention. This maze test is used assessing the hippocampal-based spatial memory recognitions of maps enabling [28, 37]. This maze adds more choices, alternatives and complexity to the T-maze and is being invested in a spatial learning assessment and in testing both the working and reference memories. As seen in Figure 14, in comparison with control mice, silica NPs-treated mice showed more latency and less exploration to reach the goal box containing the food reward. These results demonstrate that silica NPs have the potential to modulate the nervous system leading to cognitive associated behavioural alterations.

The present findings with the context of the increasing use of silica NMs raised the concerns about their safety, benefits and the potential source of hazards to human health and the environment. The overall findings of the present work indicate that exposure to SiO$_2$ NPs could induce anxiety and aggravate depression. Furthermore, the results may indicate that exposure to these NMs could decrease both locomotive and exploratory activity. Similar findings were reported by previous studies, where silica NPs aggravated depressive phenotype, inhibited exploratory behaviour and caused disturbing anxiety-like behaviour in adult zebrafish [29]. Other toxicological studies showed that exposure to other NMs such as ZnO NPs could modulate the exploratory behaviour [37].

Some investigators considered oxidative stress as a major mechanism of silica NMs toxicity by inducing cellular components damage due to the over production of reactive oxygen species [48–50]. These psychotic alterations may be related to alterations in serotonergic and dopaminergic transmission and increased cholinergic transmission. Studies demonstrated that the hippocampus which is the major brain component involved in learning and memory storing together with other brain structures are affected by exposure to NMs [42].

### 4 CONCLUSIONS

It might be concluded from the results of the present study that exposure to silica NPs could induce behavioural alterations with indication that anxiety and depression are enhanced
FIGURE 11 Behavioural performance of control and SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice in the multiradial maze test ($T=10$ min). Each food-deprived mouse was placed on the platform and allowed to move freely for the available food to select among eight arms before being returned to the platform to choose another. The reference memory and the working memory were assessed for the control and the treated mice. ‘*’ indicates statistical significance using one-way ANOVA test and $p$ value < 0.05, compared with the control group. Black bar: Control mice; Gray bar: SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice.

| Groups            | Number of visited arms ($) | Average of entry into the arms with food | Number of re-entries to arms with no food | Reference memory index | working memory error |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Control mice      | 8±2.5                       | 10±3                                   | 2.5±0.8                                | 0.8±0.3                | 0.2±0.06             |
| SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice | 5±1.3              | 2.66±0.8                               | 1.33±0.3                               | 0.67±0.1               | 0.33±0.06           |

FIGURE 12 Behavioural performance of control and SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice in Morris water-maze test. Each mouse under study was placed in the pool to find the visible platform. The overall activity was evaluated by the following criteria: escape latency, time spent in swimming, time spent in immobility and climbing attempts number. Memory error index was calculated for all mice under study. ‘*’ indicates statistical significance using one-way ANOVA test and $p$ value < 0.05, compared with the control group. Black bar: Control mice; Grey bar: SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice.

| Group               | Average escape latency (s) | Average time spent in swimming (s) | Average time spent in immobility (s) | Average number of climbing attempts | Memory error index |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
|                     | First round                 | Second round                       |                                     |                                    |                   |
| Control mice        | 136±50                      | 107±40                             | 81/107±0.28                         | 17±6.3                             | 0.159±0.1         |
| SiO$_2$ NPs-treated mice | 297±110                    | 177±65                             | 91/177±0.19                         | 86/177±0.18                       | 0.486±0.18        |
FIGURE 13 Behaviour performance exhibited by the control and SiO₂ NPs-treated mice in the Y-maze test ($T = 15$ min). Each mouse under study was located individually at the base of the maze and allowed to find the food and observed for the following: side preference, arms alterations, time spent to reach the reward and memory of reward preferences. * indicates statistical significance using one-way ANOVA test and $p$ value $< 0.05$, compared with the control group. Black bar: Control mice; Gray bar: SiO₂ NPs-treated mice.

| Group                   | Number of side alterations | Side preference with no reward in either arm | Food preference |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|
|                         |                           | R. arm | L. arm | Start Re-visit | Yellow cheese | White cheese |
| Control mice            | 16.35±4.3                 | 6.45±1.7 | 6.94±1.8 | 4±1            | 3.84±0.6      | 2.61±0.4     |
| SiO₂ NPs-treated mice   | 9.18±2.7                  | 4.77±1.4 | 2.32±0.7 | 3.63±1.1      | 1.59±0.5      | 0.27±0.1     |

FIGURE 14 Behavioural performance of control and SiO₂ NPs-treated mice in the multiple T-maze test. The food-deprived mouse was placed individually and allowed to explore via the corridors of the maze to find food reward in the goal box. The following parameters were evaluated: The time spent in approaching the reward, number of turns, re-visit the start, head dipping and rearing. * indicates statistical significance using one-way ANOVA test and $p$ value $< 0.05$, compared with the control group. Black bar: Control mice; Grey bar: SiO₂ NPs-treated mice.

| Group                   | Average time spent in approaching the reward (min) | Average number of turns | Average of re-visit the start | Average number of exploration (head dipping, rearing) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Control mice            | 11:27±1:3                                           | 35±8.8                  | 5.26±1.3                      | 41±10                                                |
| SiO₂ NPs-treated mice   | 9:13±1:51                                           | 7±1.5                   | 3.71±0.9                      | 5±1.4                                                |
by subjection to these NMs. In addition, the findings indicate that subjection to these NMs could suppress locomotive and exploratory behaviours. The present work further revealed that SiO₂ NPs have a potential neurotoxicity effect. Moreover, the present study elaborates the need for more nanotoxicological investigations to figure out the molecular mechanism of behavioural alterations of the nano-silica. The findings may allow one to suggest more work to be carried out on the histopathological and ultrastructural alterations that might be induced by different sizes of SiO₂ NPs in the brain components specially the hippocampus.
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