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**Figure S6.** Summary of models of neonatal parameters, INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain). GA: gestational age in days, Random effects were never incorporated, therefore, estimates were performed using the generalized least-squares approach.
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**Figure S7.** Shape of the relationship between exposure to NO\textsubscript{2} in weeks 0-12 and size of fetal parameters at week 12. INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain). Shape estimated from a generalized additive model using a penalized cubic spline as a smoother. According to AIC, linearity was rejected for BPD and EFW, and the same pattern could be appreciated in these two cases. The vertical line is plotted at the 66\textsuperscript{th} percentile of the distribution of NO\textsubscript{2} levels.

**Figure S8.** Shape of the relationship between exposure in the critical window of NO\textsubscript{2} (weeks 0-12 or weeks 12-20) and size of fetal parameters at week 20. INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain). According to AIC, linearity was rejected for FL and EFW, and the same pattern could be appreciated in these two cases.
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