Age and Growth of *Garra rufa* (Heckel, 1843) from Merzimen Stream, Euphrates River Basin, Turkey

Erdoğan ÇİÇEK1*, Burak SEÇER1, Selda ÖZTÜRK1, Sevil SUNGUR1

1Department of Biology, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli University, 50300, Nevşehir, Turkey
2Health Services Vocational School, Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli University, 50300, Nevşehir, Turkey

**ABSTRACT**

This study was carried out to determine the population parameters of *Garra rufa* in Merzimen Stream, Euphrates River Basin between May and November 2013. A total of 365 specimens were caught by electroshocker. The age of the sampled specimens ranged from 0 to V age groups. Total length and weight varied from 2.9 to 16.8 cm with a mean of 9.67±3.52 cm and 0.21 to 69.27 g with a mean of 15.69±14.75 g, respectively. The length-weight relationship was calculated as $W=0.0124L^{2.9888}$ and the $b$ value indicated the isometric growth. Estimated population parameters were calculated as $L_0$: 19.98 cm, $k$: 0.275, $t_c$: -1.157. Fulton’s condition factor and growth performance index were estimated as $K$: 1.24 and $Φ'_{F}$: 2.04. Total (Z), natural (M), and fishing (F) mortalities and the exploitation rate (E) were estimated 0.452, 0.295, 0.156, and 0.347, respectively.
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**Introduction**

*Garra rufa* is a benthopelagic, non-migratory freshwater fish live in a variety of habitats including rivers, small muddy streams, small ponds, and lakes (Krupp and Schneider 1989). Its native distribution includes the Jordan, Orontes (=Asi), Quwayq, and Tigris-Euphrates river basins and coastal drainages of the eastern Mediterranean as well as much of southern Iran (Coad 2015). This species is relatively small (typically less than 15 cm) and short-lived with the cooperation of other cyprinid species (Jarvis 2011). *Garra rufa* is a bottom dweller resident to a variety of freshwater habitats such as rivers, small muddy streams, small ponds, and lakes but it appears most often in lotic environments and hiding under and among stones and vegetation (Krupp and Schneider 1989). Briefly, *G. rufa* has been recorded in a wide range of water temperatures (5.8-35.0°C) and appears capable of tolerating degraded systems (Jarvis 2011).

The species is known to adhere by suction to rocks with its ventral crescent-shaped mouth to feed on benthic algae (Özer et al. 1987; Yağcın-Ozdilek and Ekmeçki 2006). It is a generalist feeder but periphyton tends to make up most of its diet as a benthic grazer. *Garra rufa* is not considering an
economic value as a food source however it is an appropriate prey for piscivorous fishes. Eutrophication resulting from the input of various contaminants may result in favorable algae growth, important as a food source for G. rufa (Ya¸cın-Özdilek and Ekmeckç 2006). Additionally, in the aquariums, juveniles’ specimens acting as cleaner fish on ectoparasites of other aquarium species (Baensch and Riehl 2004). This species also occurs in Kangal hot springs in Central Anatolia, where it feeds on the skin scales of bathers, reducing illnesses such as neurodermatitis (Özcelik et al. 2000; Grassberger and Hoch 2006). This feeding behavior has piqued the interest of the spa industry in North America, where the fish is being imported to be utilized in a novel form of pedicure and manicure service (Jarvis 2011).

To estimate weight corresponding to a given length, growth rates, length and age structures, and other components of fish population dynamics, length, and weight data are needed (Froese 2006). Also, the length-weight data of a species in different habitats will be useful to compare the life history and morphological aspects of populations inhabiting different habitats (Segherloo et al. 2015). Therefore, investigations on the biological characteristics of fish are very important for fisheries management and the protection of wildlife of species.

Compared with other economically important species, little attention has been paid to the biology of small size species that have no commercial value (Abdoli et al. 2002). While there are some studies carried out on distribution, hematologic, genetic, and morphology (Ergene and Çav˘s 2004; Kara and Alp 2005; Karahan 2007; Kuru et al. 2010; Duman 2010; Durna et al. 2010; Yedier et al. 2016) up to now only one study found on the population features of G. rufa (Kirankaya et al. 2008) Valuable studies also conducted on the species to provide some information on the morphology, reproductive characteristics, life history aspects, length-weight relationships (Esmaeili et al. 2005; Yazdanpanahi 2005; Esmaeili and Ebrahimii 2006; Patimar et al. 2010; Teimori et al. 2011; Hamidan and Britton 2013) in Iran. There are very limited data available on age structure, growth, mortality rates of this species in Turkey or any country until now. Because of a few studies conducted on the population features of the species, little is known about the population parameters of the species. The aim of this study provides some information on age, growth, mortality, and exploitation rates of the G. rufa living in Merzimen Stream.

**Materials and Methods**

This sampling was carried out from May to November 2013 by monthly intervals in Merzimen Stream (Gaziantep), Euphrates River Basin. A total of 365 specimens were caught using a backpack electrofisher (SAMUS 725MP). The collected specimens were fixed in 10% formalin, and then transferred to the laboratory, and stored in 70% ethanol for further processing. In the laboratory, to determine the population parameters, the total length and weight of each sample were determined to be the nearest 1 mm and 0.01 g, respectively. Remove the scale samples from the left side of the abdomen to the dorsal fin to determine the age. Immerse the fish scales in water and check them twice independently, without reference to previous readings, or to the length or weight of the fish under a stereo binocular microscope. The assessment of age is based on the determination of the number of rings on each scale.

Length-frequency data is plotted at 1 cm length intervals. The length-weight relationship (LWR) is determined according to the allometric equation $W = a \cdot L^b$ (Sparre and Venema 1998). In this equation, $W$ is the total weight, $L$ is the total length, and a and b are regression constants. The increase in length and weight is represented by von Bertalanffy equation $L_t = L_\infty [1-e^{-k(t-t_0)}]$ and $W_t = W_\infty [1-e^{-k(t-t_0)}]^b$. The growth parameters $L_\infty$, $k$ and $t_0$ are estimated using the least square method recommended by Sparere and Venema (1998).

The least-squares method used to estimate the LLR with the total length between different body lengths to fit a simple linear regression model, where $Y = a + bX$, where $Y$: various body lengths, $X$: body length, $a$: ratio constant, $b$: regression coefficient. The percentage of length growth rate is calculated by the formula $GR = \left( \frac{L_{t+1} - L_t}{L_t} \right) \times 100$. Where $L_t$: fish length at age t, $L_{t+1}$: fish length at age t+1.

The following formula used to calculate the growth performance index ($\Phi^\prime$): $\Phi^\prime = \log k + 2 \log L_\infty$ (Pauly and Munro, 1984). The Fulton condition factor (K) is calculated by the following formula: $K = 100 \frac{W}{L^3}$ where; $W$: total weight, $L$: total length (Sparre and Venema 1998).

The correspondence between the empirical data and the expected distribution was tested by Khi2 test. The b value was tested by t test to verify that it is significantly different from isometric growth (b: 3).

**Results**

A total of 365 specimens were caught during the sampling period. Age of G. rufa varied from 0 to V.
age groups and the most frequent age groups were 0 (29.6%), II (29.3%), and I (21.4%), respectively (Table 1). The total length ranged from 2.9 to 16.8 cm with a mean of 9.67±3.52 cm and total weight varied from 0.21 to 69.27 g with a mean of 15.69±14.75 g. It was evident that G. rufa grew rapidly in its first year after which the growth rate decreased with increasing age.

| Age | n    | %n  | Total Length (cm) | Total Weight (g) |
|-----|------|-----|-------------------|------------------|
|     |      |     | Range     Mean±SD | Growth Rate (%) | Range       Mean±SD |
| 0   | 108  | 29.6| 2.9-7.4     5.31±1.06 | 66.85           | 0.21-7.58   2.08±1.28 |
| I   | 78   | 21.4| 6.7-12.1    8.86±1.33 | 30.58           | 3.5-24.80   9.15±4.57 |
| II  | 107  | 29.3| 9.3-13.7    11.57±1.12 | 16.24           | 8.51-40.43  20.00±7.45 |
| III | 54   | 14.8| 11.1-15.5   13.45±1.23 | 16.40-53.60     | 30.58±11.05 |
| IV  | 10   | 2.7 | 13.6-15.9   15.04±0.84 | 11.82           | 19.12-55.92 38.61±12.16 |
| V   | 8    | 2.2 | 15.3-16.8   16.29±0.48 | 8.31            | 24.55-69.27 62.34±6.32 |
| Σ   | 365  |     | 2.9-16.8    9.67±3.52 |                | 0.21-69.27  15.69±14.75 |

The LWRs for G. rufa is presented in Figure 1. The relationship was determined as $W = 0.0124 \times L^{2.9888}$ (95% CI of b: 2.9386-3.0409). The b value was not significantly different from 3.0 (p<0.001), which indicates isometric growth of G. rufa in the Merzimen Stream.

The calculated coefficient b varied among the species from a minimum of 2.74 to a maximum of 3.196 with the median value of 3.02 in the previous studies (Table 2). According to these values, the growth characteristic of the species is generally isometric; however, it was also reported as negative allometric growth in some habitats (Table 2). According to the b value estimated in this study the growth type of G. rufa is isometric (b=2.9888, CI of

### Discussion

The oldest fish in this study was V years old and the age was not determined older IV age in the previous studies (Kirankaya et al. 2008; Abedi et al. 2011; Pazira et al. 2013). The instantaneous growth rate also increased up to age I and then decreased with increasing age.

The maximum length was given as 14.1 cm in total length by Froese and Pauly (2019). However, a specimen is 16.8 cm in length was caught in this study. Therefore, it suggested that the maximum length of the species may reach more over the observed length. Theoretical maximal length and weight were estimated at 19.98 cm and 96.07 g respectively in this study. The value of $L_\infty$ was 16.82 cm in the Dalaki and Shapour rivers population in Iran (Pazira et al. 2013). The value estimated in this study seems to be realistic.

The LLRs are useful for standardization of length type. The LWRs with total length among different body lengths were obtained as $FL = (0.9374*TL) - 1.9924$, $SL = (0.866*TL) - 3.1849$ and $SL = (0.9252*FL) - 0.2015$. Relationship equations among different body length parameters were found highly significant (p<0.01). Gerami et al. (2013) reported the LWRs as $SL = 0.728TL - 0.823$. Relationship equations among different body length parameters were found highly correlated with Gerami et al. (2013). For the variations of LWRs in the same species from different locations, the ecological conditions of the habits or variation in the physiology of animals, or both, are responsible (Le Cren 1951).

The calculated coefficient b varied among the species from a minimum of 2.74 to a maximum of 3.196 with the median value of 3.02 in the previous studies (Table 2). According to these values, the growth characteristic of the species is generally isometric; however, it was also reported as negative allometric growth in some habitats (Table 2). According to the b value estimated in this study the growth type of G. rufa is isometric (b=2.9888, CI of

The LLRs with total length among different body lengths were obtained as $FL = (0.9374*TL) - 1.9924$, $SL = (0.866*TL) - 3.1849$ and $SL = (0.9252*FL) - 0.2015$. Relationship equations among different body length parameters were found highly significant (p<0.01). Gerami et al. (2013) reported the LWRs as $SL = 0.728TL - 0.823$. Relationship equations among different body length parameters were found highly correlated with Gerami et al. (2013). For the variations of LWRs in the same species from different locations, the ecological conditions of the habits or variation in the physiology of animals, or both, are responsible (Le Cren 1951).

The calculated coefficient b varied among the species from a minimum of 2.74 to a maximum of 3.196 with the median value of 3.02 in the previous studies (Table 2). According to these values, the growth characteristic of the species is generally isometric; however, it was also reported as negative allometric growth in some habitats (Table 2). According to the b value estimated in this study the growth type of G. rufa is isometric (b=2.9888, CI of

The von Bertalanffy growth parameters were estimated as follows $L_t = 19.98\left[1-e^{-0.275(t+1.157)}\right]$, $W = 96.07\left[1-e^{-0.275(t+1.157)}\right]^{2.9888}$. The growth performance index ($\Phi'$) and Fulton’s Condition Factor (K) were estimated as 2.04 and 1.030 in the previous studies. The instantaneous total (Z), natural (M), and fishing (F) mortalities were estimated 0.452, 0.295, and 0.156 year$^{-1}$, respectively. The exploitation rate (E) was calculated as 0.347.
b: 2.9386-3.0409) and show similarity with the median value of the previous studies given in Table 2.

Changes in the b exponents of the same species may be due to differences in sampling, sample size, or length range. Also, differences in age, maturity, growth in food and environmental conditions (such as temperature and seasonality) also affect the b value of the same species (Weatherley and Gill 1987). In addition, it is known that there are differences in biological characteristics between populations of the same species living in different regions (Pazira et al. 2013). Growth is affected by many factors, including gender, life history strategy, food type and availability, and temperature (Sarıhan et al. 2007).

Table 2. Length-weight relationship and von Bertalanffy growth parameters for *Garra rufa*

| a      | b     | \(L_\infty\) (cm) | k (year\(^{-1}\)) | t\(_0\) (year) | K    | Habitat                      | References           |
|--------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| 0.0119 | 3.139 |                   |                   |                |      | Iran                        | Esmaeili and Ebrahimi 2006 |
| 0.0063 | 3.112 |                   | 2.03 (0.87-3.14)  |                |      | Armand Stream, Iran         | Abedi et al. 2011     |
| 0.0075 | 3.149 |                   |                   |                |      | Euphrates River, Turkey     | Bireciligil and Çiçek 2011 |
| 0.0223 | 2.91  | 16.82             | 0.198             | -1.14          |      | Dalaki and Shapur rivers, Iran | Pazira et al. 2013 |
| 0.000005 | 3.196 |                   | 1.218±0.18        |                |      | Cholvar River, Iran         | Gerami et al. 2013    |
| 0.00005 | 2.74  |                   |                   |                |      | Tange River, Iran           | Segherloo et al. 2015 |
| 0.00002 | 2.86  |                   |                   |                |      | Beshar River, Iran          |                     |
| 0.00001 | 2.99  |                   |                   |                |      | Mazoo River, Iran           |                     |
| 0.05   | 2.95  |                   |                   |                |      | Palangan River, Iran        |                     |
| 0.01   | 3.00  |                   |                   |                |      | Sirvan River, Iran          |                     |
| 0.00002 | 2.96  |                   |                   |                |      | Kheirabad, Iran             |                     |
| 0.00001 | 3.19  |                   |                   |                |      | Gamasiab River, Iran        |                     |
| 0.00001 | 3.16  |                   |                   |                |      | Ghalate River, Iran         |                     |
| 0.00001 | 3.08  |                   |                   |                |      | Cheshme gerdab River, Iran  |                     |
| 0.00001 | 3.14  |                   |                   |                |      | Maroon , Iran River         |                     |
| 0.00001 | 3.02  |                   |                   |                |      | Dashechenir River, Iran     |                     |
| 0.00001 | 2.82  |                   |                   |                |      | Kheirak shekarak, Iran      |                     |
| 0.00001 | 2.86  |                   |                   |                |      | Tange faryab River, Iran    |                     |
| 0.0044 | 3.06  |                   |                   |                |      | Jarrahi River, Iran         | Keivany and Zamani- Faradonbe 2017 |
| 0.0124 | 2.9888 | 19.98             | 0.275            | -1.157         | 1.24±0.25 | Merzimen Stream, Turkey     | This study           |

Estimated Fulton’s Condition Factor is highly correlated with Cholvar River population (Gerami et al. 2013), however, the value was found lower than that of the Armand Stream population (Abedi et al. 2011).

The growth performance index (\(\Phi'\)) was estimated as 2.04 in this study. This value has been estimated 1.71 and 1.79 for females and males, respectively by Pazira et al. (2013).

According to Froese (2006) and Clark (1928) had pointed out that condition factors can only be compared directly if either b is not significantly different from 3 or the specimens to be compared are of similar length. The growth type is isometric because of b value is not different from 3. The estimated K value is highly correlated with Cholvar River (Gerami et al. 2013) however smaller than Armand Stream populations Abedi et al. (2011). The condition factor of a fish reflects physical and biological circumstances and fluctuations by interaction among feeding conditions, parasitic infections, and physiological factors (Le Cren 1951) ecosystems. According to Khristenko and Kotovska (2017) and Pravdin (1966) condition factors of the population may depend on not only its age and gender composition but also environmental elements and season as well.
Mortality estimates are important for fisheries management. Knowing these ratios can help managers set the harvest limit to maximum sustainable yield (MSY) or optimal sustainable yield (OSY) so that resource stakeholders get the most benefit (Sparre et al. 1999). However, there was not found any study on the mortality rates of G. rufa. The mortality rates were estimated for the first time for this species in this study. According to mortality and exploitation rates, there was no overfishing pressure on the population.
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