Interaction between COX-1 and COX-2 increases susceptibility to ischemic stroke in a Chinese population
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Abstract

Background

Mutations of cyclooxygenase gene (COX gene) may increase the susceptibility of ischemic stroke. We investigated five variants (rs5788, rs1330344, rs3842788, rs20417, and rs689466) of two COX genes to explain the association between these polymorphisms and ischemic stroke risk determine whether gene–gene interaction between these genes increase the susceptibility of ischemic stroke or its subtypes.

Methods

A total of 1981 study subjects (1078 cases and 903 control subjects) were recruited. The interaction of multiple factors was investigated using Multifactor Dimensionality Reduction and additive effect of single nucleotide polymorphisms on ischemic stroke or its subtypes were analyzed by multiple factor logistic regression.

Results

At COX-1(rs1330344), AA genotype carriers had a lower susceptibility of ischemic stroke (OR=0.657, 95%CI= 0.437-0.988, P = 0.044), and A allele carriers had a lower susceptibility of ischemic stroke (OR=0.812, 95%CI= 0.657-0.978, P = 0.029). At COX-1(rs3842788), AA genotype carriers had a higher susceptibility of ischemic stroke (OR =5.203, 95% CI=1.519-5.159, P =0.016). At COX-2 (rs689466), AA genotype carriers had a higher susceptibility of large-artery atherosclerosis (OR =1.404, 95% CI=1.019-1.934, P =0.038). COX-1(rs1330344, rs3842788) and COX-2 rs689466 interacted in SVO, but had no additive effect with ischemic stroke or its subtypes.

Conclusions

At rs1330344, AA genotype may reduce the susceptibility of ischemic stroke. At rs3842788, AA genotype may increase the susceptibility of ischemic stroke. At rs689466, AA genotype may increase the susceptibility of large-artery atherosclerosis (LAA). COX-
1(rs1330344, rs3842788) and COX-2 rs689466 interacted in small vessel occlusion (SVO), but had no additive effect with ischemic stroke or its subtypes.

Background

Stroke is a common cerebrovascular disease, which is the second cause of disability and mortality worldwide. The most common type of stroke is ischemic stroke, which accounts for approximately 80% of all stroke cases.[1, 2] As a complex disease, ischemic stroke was characterized by several etiological factors and likely affected by various genes, environments, lifestyles, and other causes; which could produce complex high-order interactions to this disease. [3-6]

Researchers had found that lipid metabolism, blood coagulation, fibrinolysis system and inflammatory factors play a very important role in the pathogenesis of ischemic stroke[5]

On one hand, the internal environment disturbance caused by the mutation of some gene involved in the inflammatory response can influence the occurrence and development process of atherosclerosis. On the other hand, ultimately unstable or ruptured atherosclerotic plaque will cause the occurrence of acute cerebrovascular diseases. Therefore, Atherosclerosis is the main pathophysiological basis of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. It is a kind of participation by a variety of inflammatory cytokines and cell proliferation of chronic inflammation, and also a kind of multifactorial disease, involving many factors such as gene, environment, metabolic interaction at the same time.[7, 8] Furthermore, the adhesion, aggregation and release of platelet is another important part of the thrombosis. The platelet adhesive phenomenon (platelet aggregation) is closely related to thrombosis. In recent years, many scholars have found that the metabolic product of arachidonic acid (AA) plays an important role in the formation of atherosclerosis and thrombosis and is closely related with the incidence of
ischemic stroke. Meanwhile AA metabolic disorders affects the stability of vascular endothelium, vasomotor function and platelet aggregation, which lead to the occurrence of vessel diseases.[9, 10]

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have verified various ischemic stroke-associated inflammatory factor genes, including cyclooxygenase or prostaglandin oxidase.\[1-18\] COX is a bifunctional enzyme with oxidase and catalase activities and a key enzyme in the arachidonic acid metabolism of prostaglandins (PGs) and thromboxane A2 (TXA2). Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) is involved in inflammation, and TXA2 is implicated in platelet aggregation and vasoconstriction. [17] COX gene is closely related to the cause of ischemic stroke, elucidating its genetic mechanism is of great significance in exploring the risk prediction of ischemic stroke and developing new targets for drug treatment. There are two forms of COX in the human body currently: COX-1 and COX-2. One is the structural type COX-1, which is stably expressed in normal cells, catalyzes the formation of PGs to maintain normal physiological function, regulates platelet functional activity and coagulation function. And the second is induced type COX-2, which is only highly expressed when the cells affected by various factors such as oncogenes, cytokines, growth factor, endotoxin, carcinogen, catalyzes prostaglandin E 2 (PGE2) to participates in physiological and pathological processes of inflammation or tumor.\[19\] Based on this physiological role, we hypothesized that the mutation of COX gene may be associated with the susceptibility of ischemic stroke. Maree et al.\[20\] has demonstrated that COX-1 gene mutation can change the platelet aggregation rate and affect thrombus formation, therefore it will cause thrombotic diseases such as ischemic stroke by regulating arachidonic acid (AA) metabolic processes. GWAS and other related studies have revealed that multiple SNPs of a COX-2 gene can influence inflammatory processes in the human body by regulating the expression of its products, such as PGs increasing the formation of
plaque. [18] And there was a study showed COX-2 is an ischemic stroke-susceptible gene in African-American and European populations. [18, 21-23]

However, researches of COX-1 and COX-2 remain controversial. There is no specific locus associated with ischemic stroke, and the results vary from population to population. [1, 22, 24-27] It maybe because the statistical significance of single SNP studies on ischemic stroke has failed to explain the association between SNPs in the same gene. Therefore, the interacting effects of multiple SNPs on ischemic stroke should be considered. [28] We conducted a large-sample case-control study to investigate the association of five variants (rs5788, rs1330344, rs3842788, rs20417, and rs689466) of COX-1 and COX-2 genes with ischemic stroke and to investigate the pattern of genetic variation within COX and to explore whether gene-gene interactions between these genes increase the susceptibility of ischemic stroke or its subtypes.

Methods

1. Samples

Genomic DNA was isolated from morning fasting peripheral venous blood of 1078 cases of patients with diagnosed of ischemic stroke (ischemic stroke group) and 903 cases of non-ischemic stroke subjects (control group) from the Han racial/ethnic groups in Mainland China. All the patients came from the same region of the Han population and were matched in terms by gender and age. Patients’ information was obtained from the stroke database of the Neurology Department of West China Hospital.

The inclusion criteria was as follows: 1) age ≥ 40 years old; 2) the diagnose of ischemic stroke was confirmed by brain magnetic resonance imaging according to WHO diagnostic criteria. [29] Exclusion criteria was as follows: 1) hemorrhagic stroke, or recurrent ischemic stroke; 2) other etiologies of ischemic stroke: stroke of other determined
etiology (SOD), or stroke of undetermined etiology (SUD); 3) cerebrovascular malformation or brain tumor. In this study, ischemic stroke group were divided into three subtypes: large-artery atherosclerosis (LAA), cardioembolic stroke (CES), and small vessel occlusion (SVO) according to TOAST typing. [30] We selected the patients without stroke history and none cerebral infarction lesions in CT/MRI into control group. The purpose of the study was described in detail, and informed consents were obtained from all the participants. This study was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of West China hospital, Sichuan University.

2. Demographic data and characteristics of participants

The following demographic data and relevant characteristics were obtained: hypertension (SBP ≥ 140 mmHg/DBP ≥ 90 mmHg) or medication intake, diabetes (random blood glucose ≥ 7.0 mmol/L) or medication intake, coronary heart disease, hyperlipidemia (cholesterol, TC ≥ 5.62 mmol/L, or triglyceride, TG ≥ 1.92 mmol/L) or medication intake, [31] smoking (≥ 10 cigarettes per day, smoking age > 1 years, or duration of smoking cessation ≤3 years), [32] and drinking (> 50 ml/d, >1 year). Other data were also collected from patients’ medical records.

3. Genotype determination

Genomic DNA was isolated with an AxyPrepTM blood genomic DNA maxiprep kit (Axygen Biosciences, USA). Five SNPs of COX-1 and COX-2 were retrieved from the NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP). Five SNPs selected for our study (based on potential functional significance and minor allele frequency of >0.10) – COX-1-644C/A (rs5788), COX-1-1676G/A (rs1330344), COX-1-128G/A (rs3842788), COX-2-765G/C (rs20417), and COX-2-1195G/A (rs689466). [33] Genotyping was performed in a blinded manner by K-Biosciences (Herts, UK) (http://www.kbioscience.co.uk/) using a competitive allele-specific PCR system
4. Statistical analysis

Three common genetic models are used in related studies: dominant, recessive, and additive model. In this study, each SNP contained the major allele A and minor allele B. AB+BB versus AA was calculated by the dominant model, BB versus AB+AA was determined by the recessive model, and AB versus AA or BB versus AA was examined by the additive model. The three models for each site were statistically analyzed by SPSS 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The frequencies of each allele and genotype were calculated by performing $\chi^2$ test and described as mean ± SD. The Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium of the five polymorphic distributions of the patients and controls was evaluated through a chi-square goodness-of-fit test. The correlation of the SNPs and their cumulative effects on ischemic stroke were examined through multiple factor logistic regression after adjusted related risk factors, such as gender, age, smoking, and hypertension. The interaction of multiple factors was investigated using MDR version 3.0.2 (http://sourceforge.net/projects/mdr/). Odds ratios were calculated with 95% confidence intervals. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

1. Study Population and Baseline Characteristics

There were in total 1981 subjects, including 1078 cases of ischemic stroke patients and 903 cases of control group. The clinical characteristics of the patients and controls were listed in Table 1. The proportion was higher of hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and coronary heart disease, smoking in patient group, and also higher fibrinogen level, lower high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) level and lower blood platelet (PLT) level. There were
significant differences of the proportion of men in our study between the case group and the control group. LDL-C level between two groups had no significant difference. These risk factors such as sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, alcohol consumption, triglyceride level, platelet level and fibrinogen level were associated with the three subtypes—LAA, CES and SVO groups of ischemic stroke, and the distribution of the three groups was also statistically significantly different.

2. Genotype and allele frequency distributions of SNPs in ischemic stroke and controls

At COX-1 (rs5788) and COX-2 (rs20417), no significant differences were observed in genotype and allele frequencies between the ischemic stroke group including the three subtypes (LAA, CES, SVO) and the control group, even after we using multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and related risk factors.

At COX-1(rs1330344), compared to the GG genotype, the AA genotype carriers had a lower susceptibility of ischemic stroke, and this difference remained significant in multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors\( OR = 0.657, 95\% CI = 0.437-0.988, P = 0.044 \). And the AA genotype carriers also had a lower susceptibility of CES or SVO \( OR = 0.506, 95\% CI = 0.262-0.978, P = 0.043; OR = 0.616, 95\% CI = 0.382-0.995, P = 0.048 \). Meanwhile, compared to the G allele, the A allele carriers had a lower susceptibility of ischemic stroke, and this difference remained significant in multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors\( OR = 0.812, 95\% CI = 0.675-0.978, P = 0.029 \). And the A allele carriers also had a lower susceptibility of LAA or CES \( OR = 0.778, 95\% CI = 0.618-0.981, P = 0.034; OR = 0.702, 95\% CI = 0.518-0.951, P = 0.023 \).
At COX-1(rs3842788), compared to the G allele carriers genotype (GG+GA), the AA genotype carriers had a higher susceptibility of ischemic stroke, this difference remained significant in multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors (OR = 5.203, 95% CI = 1.519-5.159, P = 0.016). And the AA genotype carriers also had a higher susceptibility of CES or SVO (OR = 9.821, 95% CI = 2.754-12.832, P = 0.041; OR = 4.603, 95% CI = 1.220-5.201, P = 0.025).

At COX-2(rs689466), compared to the G allele carriers genotype (GG+GA), the AA genotype carriers had a higher risk of LAA, this difference remained significant in multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors (OR = 1.404, 95% CI = 1.019-1.934, P = 0.038).

3. Genotype and allele frequency distributions of SNPs in hypertension/diabetes/smoking and their controls

Table 3

We respectively divided all participants into high risk factor group (hypertension/diabetes/smoking groups) and control group.

As for hypertension group, at all the five SNPs, no significant differences were observed in genotype and allele frequencies between the hypertension group and control group. After we using multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and related risk factors, the result remains negative.

As for diabetes group, (1) At COX-1(rs1330344), compared to the GG genotype, the GA genotype carriers had a lower susceptibility of diabetes, and this difference remained significant in multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors (OR = 0.711, 95% CI = 0.507-0.996, P = 0.047) and compared to the G allele carriers
genotype (GG+GA), the AA genotype carriers had a higher susceptibility of diabetes, and this difference remained significant in multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors (OR = 1.319, 95% CI = 1.036-1.680, P = 0.025). (2) At COX-2 (rs20417), compared to the GG genotype, the GC genotype carriers had a lower susceptibility of diabetes, and this difference remained significant in multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors (OR = 0.616, 95% CI = 0.391-0.969, P = 0.036). (3) At COX-2 (rs689466), compared to the GG genotype, the AA genotype carriers had a lower susceptibility of diabetes, and this difference remained significant in multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors (OR = 0.700, 95% CI = 0.502-0.977, P = 0.036).

As for smoking group, (1) At COX-1 (rs5788), compared to the CC genotype, the A allele carriers genotype (CA+AA) carriers had a difference between smoking group and control group, this difference remained significant in multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors (OR = 1.525, 95% CI = 1.031-2.255, P = 0.034). (2) At COX-2 (rs3842788), compared to the GG genotype, the GA genotype and the A allele carriers genotype (GG+GA) had a significant difference between smoking group and control group, and this difference remained significant in multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors (OR = 0.631, 95% CI = 0.416-0.957, P = 0.030. OR = 0.626, 95% CI = 0.415-0.943, P = 0.025).

4. MDR analysis

Gene-gene interactions were investigated for ischemic stroke and its subtypes by using MDR, and two models of SVO were statistical significant (Permutation test P value < 0.05, Table 4). Among all gene-gene interaction models evaluated, a two-locus model (rs1330344
and rs689466) with the maximum cross-validation consistency (CVC: 10/10) and testing accuracy (0.5436) was regarded as the overall best model in our study. In addition, the best three-locus model (including rs1330344, rs3842788 and rs689466) had a maximum CVC (10) and higher testing accuracy (0.5376).

5. Additive effect analysis

We used multiple logistic regression analysis to analyze the correlation between the additive effect of COX-1 (rs1330344, rs3842788), COX-2 (rs689466) and ischemic stroke. Setting: the risk allele for each locus was 1, and the remainder was 0. We compared the carrying 1, 2, 3 risk loci to carry 0 risk loci without taking into account the specific loci or genes, just carrying any polymorphic loci count. Compared with those carrying none of the variants, individuals carrying one variant, carrying two variants and carrying three variants had no significant differences of the risk of ischemic stroke or its subtypes. (Table 5)

Discussion

COX gene is closely related to the cause of ischemic stroke. COX-1 gene locates on chromosomes 9q32-q33.3 and contains 11 exons, COX-2 gene located on chromosomes 1q25.2-q25.3 and contains 10 exons.[34] COX-1 participates in the normal physiological metabolism of the body and regulates platelet function and blood coagulation.[10] COX-2 expression is 10-20 times higher in patients with atherosclerotic plaques or inflammatory stimuli than in asymptomatic patients with arterial stenosis.[35]

As for COX-1, our results showed that rs1330344 and rs3842788 appeared to contribute significantly to the occur of ischemic stroke, but the genotype and allele frequencies at rs5788 had no significant difference between ischemic stroke group and the control group. On one hand, at rs1330344, AA genotype may reduce the susceptibility of ischemic stroke,
and CES or SVO. Meanwhile, the A allele carriers also may reduce the susceptibility of ischemic stroke, LAA or CES. On the other hand, at rs3842788, the susceptibility of ischemic stroke was fivefold higher in the AA genotype than in the G allele carrier genotype (GG+GA, recessive model). The susceptibility of CES was higher by about 9.8 times; the risk of SVO was higher by about 4.6 times. Our finding matches a study of 859 ischemic stroke patients indicated the rs5788 locus is not related to ischemic stroke in China. They also suggested that the homozygotes of the minor allele at rs1330344 increase the risk of ischemic stroke recurrence, which was inconsistent with our findings. This difference may caused by the type of ischemic stroke patients that we included was different from them. Their study included patients with recurrent ischemic stroke, and all of the patients were classified as one type. Instead, our study included patients who had their first onset of ischemic stroke and grouped by the TOAST classification. In addition, another study of 196 ischemic stroke patients in Korea revealed that the rs3842788 locus is not associated with ischemic stroke, and a study of 469 ischemic stroke patients in USA also got the same results, both of which were inconsistent with our results. This may because these studies all had relatively small samples and involving different ethnic population.

As for COX-2, our results showed that the genotype and allele frequencies at rs20417 had no significant difference between the ischemic stroke group and the control group. This conclusion is consistent with a meta-analysis of rs20417 and two studies (411 ischemic stroke patients in China, 469 ischemic patients in USA) which indicated that rs20417 is not associate with ischemia stroke. However, research of rs20417 remains controversial. Francesco et al. observed that the GC and CC genotypes of rs20417 are related to the pathogenesis of ischemic stroke, and C allele may be a genetic protective factor that reduces the incidence of ischemic stroke. Conversely, a study showed that the GC and CC genotypes were commonly found in African-American and European
populations affected by ischemic stroke and suggested that COX-2 is an ischemic stroke-susceptible gene.[23] In our study, the difference between ischemic stroke group and control group at rs20417 was not significant, this may due to the difference between our population and genetic heterogeneity. Furthermore, at COX-2(rs689466), we found the AA genotype carriers had a higher risk of LAA, compared to the G allele carriers genotype (GG+GA). Our finding proved that rs689466 was associated with susceptibility to ischemic stroke in China which matched the results of two studies of Chinese population (Zhejiang Province-224 patients with LAA and 329 patients with SVO,[27] Beijing-93 patients with LAA and 117 patients with SVO[41]). But the effects of these two studies were confined to SVO among the stroke subtypes rather than to LAA which was dissimilar to our study. This may be caused by their small amount of patients. Otherwise, another study of 572 Chinese patients showed that rs689466 was not associated with ischemic stroke and its subtypes[42], this may also because the small amount of patients. Therefore, we need more large samples and multiethnic studies to be conducted to verify the association of rs689466 with ischemia stroke in China.

There were some differences observed in genotype and allele frequencies between the diabetes/smoking group and control group, and this difference remained significant in multivariate analysis by adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors. Inflammation may play a role in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes, COX generates prostaglandins, which negatively modulate glucose-stimulated insulin secretion, which indicated that COX may associate with diabetes. [43] Konheim et al. identified that there was no association of COX2 rs689466 with type 2 diabetes.[44] Their conclusion matched another hospital-based case-control study in Turkey.[45] However, our study found that COX-1 rs1330344 and COX-2 (rs20417, rs689466) were associated with diabetes. The racial difference may cause this inconsistent. Since smoking increases the activity of inflammatory mediators
through an increase in leukotriene synthesis essential in atherosclerosis pathogenesis, COX functions as a mediator of the inflammatory response and is also essential in atherosclerosis pathogenesis.\[^{46}\] Our study found that COX-1 rs5788 and COX-2 rs3842788 were associated with smoking, this evidence may explain that the pathogenesis of COX associate with smoking. We had got some statistically significant positive results from the single gene polymorphism research of COX-1 and COX-2 gene. But these results were not entirely consistent with existing research and cannot fully explain the association between each polymorphism in the same gene. Therefore, we conducted a study on the interaction between multiple loci in ischemic stroke. Our study found that COX-1(rs1330344, rs3842788) and COX-2 rs689466 are associated with SVO. Compared with those patients who do not carry any of the variants, individuals carrying one variant, two variants, and three variants had no significant difference between the ischemic stroke and the control groups. Our previous results showed that rs1330344 reduces the risk of ischemic stroke, including CES and SVO, whereas rs3842788 increases the risk of ischemic stroke, including CES and SVO, rs689466 increases the risk of LAA, and the three loci interact with SVO. Our study also had several limitations. First of all, our study only included the Han population in the southwest of China. Secondly, our study only examined two and three loci of the candidate genes and thus may be unable to cover the entire gene linkage disequilibrium signal. Hence, a linkage disequilibrium map of the gene should be constructed to clarify the relationship between this gene and the pathogenesis of ischemic stroke. Thirdly, this study was limited to two genes and three loci to explore the gene-gene interaction in ischemic stroke. The quantification of the environmental factors of ischemic stroke was relatively difficult. Thus, the role of the interaction of environmental factors was not considered in our study. Therefore, future studies on gene interaction should include environmental and epigenetic factors and should also be
performed to enhance our understanding of the genetic structure of ischemic stroke, to investigate the molecular mechanism of ischemic stroke, and to provide a new angle for the diagnosis and treatment of ischemic stroke.

Conclusions

At rs1330344, AA genotype may reduce the susceptibility of ischemic stroke. At rs3842788, AA genotype may increase the susceptibility of ischemic stroke. At rs689466, AA genotype may increase the susceptibility of large-artery atherosclerosis (LAA). COX-1 (rs1330344, rs3842788) and COX-2 rs689466 interacted in small vessel occlusion (SVO), but had no additive effect with ischemic stroke or its subtypes in a Han population from Southwest China.
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**Tables**

**Table 1 Baseline Characteristics for INVEST-GENES Participants**

| Characteristic                   | Control group(n=903) | IS  |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----|
| Age (years)                     | 57.0±14.9            |     |
| Sex (male%)                     | 474(52.5)            |     |
| Hypertension (%)                | 154(17.1)            |     |
| Diabetes (%)                    | 59(6.5)              |     |
| Hyperlipidemia (%)              | 90(10.0)             |     |
| Coronary heart disease (%)      | 10(1.1)              |     |
| Smoking (%)                     | 244(27.0)            |     |
| Alcohol consumption %           | 175(19.6)            |     |
| TG (mmol/L)                     | 1.50±1.13            |     |
| TC (mmol/L)                     | 4.36±1.03            |     |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)                  | 1.36±0.43            |     |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)                  | 2.73±7.05            |     |
| Fibrinogen levels mmol/L        | 2.20±1.59            |     |
| Plt 10^9/L                      | 178.5±72.1           |     |
| Characteristic                     | LAA group (n=405) | CES group (n=136) | SVO group (n=537) | P value |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                       | 63.2±12.6        | 64.5±12.9         | 64.9±11.9         | 0.079   |
| Sex (male%)                       | 274(67.7)        | 62(45.6)          | 341(63.5)         | < 10^{-3} |
| Hypertension (%)                  | 268(66.2)        | 65(47.8)          | 396(67.6)         | < 10^{-3} |
| Diabetes %                        | 132(32.6)        | 24(17.6)          | 205(38.2)         | < 10^{-3} |
| Hyperlipidemia (%)                | 68(16.8)         | 13(9.6)           | 96(17.9)          | 0.063   |
| Coronary heart disease %          | 45(11.1)         | 18(13.2)          | 52(9.7)           | 0.457   |
| Smoking %                         | 162(40.0)        | 25(18.4)          | 182(33.9)         | < 10^{-3} |
| Alcohol consumption %             | 102(25.2)        | 17(12.6)          | 116(21.7)         | 0.009   |
| TG (mmol/L)                       | 1.65±1.22        | 1.26±0.66         | 2.52±27.79        | 0.042   |
| TC (mmol/L)                       | 4.51±4.88        | 4.00±1.58         | 4.21±1.15         | 0.367   |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)                    | 1.24±0.68        | 1.30±0.43         | 1.24±0.40         | 0.926   |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)                    | 2.44±0.84        | 2.64±3.87         | 2.49±0.99         | 0.084   |
| Fibrinogen levels (mmol/L)        | 3.22±0.97        | 2.98±1.04         | 3.16±1.00         | 0.012   |
| Plt 10^9/L                        | 171.2±63.5       | 151.5±61.0        | 171.0±67.2        | 0.003   |

^a count data mean±SD, measurement data mean±%  ^b P < 0.05 in bold

Table 2 Genotype and allele frequency distributions of SNPs in IS and controls
| rs5788 Control group | IS group | LAA group | CES group | SVO group |
|---------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| n% | P value | OR(95% CI) | P value | OR(95% CI) | P value | OR(95% CI) | P value | OR(95% CI) |
| CC 810 (89.7) | 974 (90.4) | - | Reference | - | Reference | - | Reference | - | Reference |
| CA 89 (9.9) | 102 (9.5) | 0.384 | 2.634 | 0.657 | 0.894 | 0.465 | 1.254 | 0.433 | 0.824 |
| AA 4 (0.4) | 2 (0.2) | 0.426 | 2.450 | 0.818 | 0.742 | NA | - | 0.539 | 0.380 |
| CA+ 0.600 | AA 0.907 | 0.634 | 0.888 | 0.525 | 1.216 | 0.383 | 0.808 | (0.500-1.305) |
| AA vs CC 0.388 | (0.043-3.387) | 0.382 | 0.825 | 0.750 | NA | - | 0.546 | 0.386 | (0.018-8.454) |
| Allele | | | | | | | | |
| C 170 (94.6) | 2050 (95.1) | - | Reference | - | Reference | - | Reference | - | Reference |
| A 97 (5.4) | 106 (4.9) | 0.498 | 0.884 | 0.510 | 0.851 | 0.586 | 1.173 | 0.413 | 0.824 |
| (0.618-1.263) | (0.528-1.374) | (0.660-2.085) | (0.519-1.309) |

a Using multivariate analysis after adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors

b P < 0.05 in bold
c NA=not available

d Reference: means allele homozygote as a reference
| rs1330344 | Control group % | IS group | LAA group | CES group | SVO group |
|-----------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|           | n% | P value | OR(95%CI) | P value | OR(95%CI) | P value | OR(95%CI) | P value | OR(95%CI) | P value | OR(95%CI) | P value | OR(95%CI) | P value |
| GG        | 126 | 177     | Reference | -        | Reference | -        | Reference | -        | Reference | -        | Reference | -        | Reference | -        |
| GA        | 456 | 510     | 0.350     | 0.827    | 0.426     | 0.815    | 0.503     | 0.809    | 0.207     | 0.739    | (0.436-1.503) | 0.463-1.182 |
| AA        | 321 | 391     | 0.044     | 0.657    | 0.108     | 0.652    | 0.043     | 0.506    | 0.048     | 0.616    | (0.262-0.978) | 0.382-0.995 |
| GA+A      | 0.133 | 0.794 | 0.239   | 0.781    | 0.178     | 0.699    | 0.050     | 0.690    | (0.415-1.177) | 0.475-1.000 |
| A vs GG   | 0.588 | 0.939 | 0.623   | 0.927    | 0.132     | 0.723    | 0.698     | 0.944    | (0.474-1.102) | 0.708-1.260 |

| Allele |
|--------|
| G      | 708  | 864    | Reference | -        | Reference | -        | Reference | -        | Reference | -        | Reference | -        | Reference | -        |
| A      | 109  | 1292   | 0.029     | 0.812    | 0.034     | 0.778    | 0.023     | 0.702    | 0.097     | 0.829    | (0.518-0.951) | 0.665-1.035 |

*a Using multivariate analysis after adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors*
b \( P < 0.05 \) in bold

c Reference: means allele homozygote as a reference
| rs3842 788 | Control group % | IS group | | | | | | | | | | LAA group | | CES group | | SVO group |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | n% | P value | OR(95% CI) | P value | OR(95% CI) | P value | OR(95% CI) | P value | OR(95% CI) |
| G | 168 (93.4) | 1900 | - | Reference | - | Reference | - | Reference | - | Reference |
| A | 119 (6.6) | 256 | 0.699 | 0.928 | 0.354 | 0.789 | 0.620 | 1.157 | 0.817 | 0.947 |

**Genotype**

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| GG | 795 (88.0) | 890 | - | Reference | - | Reference | - | Reference | - | Reference |
| GA | 97 (10.7) | 120 | 0.337 | 0.821 | 0.122 | 0.652 | 0.861 | 0.944 | 0.591 | 0.876 |
| AA | 11 (1.3) | 68 | 0.165 | 5.127 | 0.128 | 7.858 | 0.082 | 9.758 | 0.326 | 4.586 |

**Allele**

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| G | 168 (93.4) | 1900 | - | Reference | - | Reference | - | Reference | - | Reference |
| A | 119 (6.6) | 256 | 0.699 | 0.928 | 0.354 | 0.789 | 0.620 | 1.157 | 0.817 | 0.947 |

^a Using multivariate analysis after adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors

^b P < 0.05 in bold
Reference: means allele homozygote as a reference

|     | rs20417 | Control group % | IS group | LAA group | CES group | SVO group |
|-----|---------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|     |         |                 | n% | P value | OR(95%CI) | P value | OR(95%CI) | P value | OR(95%CI) | P value | OR(95%CI) |
| GG  | 812     | (89.9)          | 977 | -       | Reference | -       | Reference | -       | Reference | -       | Reference |
|     | 0.680   |                 | 1.080 | 0.424 | 1.223 | 0.812 | 1.084 | 0.423 | 1.211 |
|     | (0.748 | - 1.561)       | (0.746-2.006) | (0.556-2.114) | (0.758-1.935) |
| GC  | 87      | (9.6)           | 97   | 0.341   | 421 | 0.528 | 0.443 | 0.479 | 0.335 | 0.528 | 0.499 |
|     |         | (9.0)           |      |         | (0.071-2.500) | (0.035-5.560) | (0.016-6.937) | (0.058-4.315) |
| CC  | 4       | (0.4)           | 4    | 0.378   | 0.418 | 0.519 | 0.436 | 0.477 | 0.334 | 0.518 | 0.492 |
|     |         | (0.4)           |      |         | (0.070-2.482) | (0.035-5.460) | (0.016-6.899) | (0.057-4.239) |
|     |         |                 |      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|     |         |                 |      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|     |         |                 |      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|     |         |                 |      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|     |         |                 |      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|     |         |                 |      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|     |         |                 |      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Allele |         |                 |      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| G    | 171     | (94.7)          | 2051 | -       | Reference | -       | Reference | -       | Reference | -       | Reference |
|     | 0.952   |                 | 0.987 | 0.630 | 1.137 | 0.963 | 0.984 | 0.646 | 1.124 |
|     | (0.655 | - 1.489)       | (0.675-1.914) | (0.504-1.924) | (0.684-1.847) |
a Using multivariate analysis after adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors

b $P < 0.05$ in bold

c NA=not available

d Reference: means allele homozygote as a reference
| Genotype | Control group n% | IS group | LAA group | CES group | SVO group |
|----------|-------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|          |                   | P value  | P value   | OR(95% CI) | P value   | OR(95% CI) | P value   | OR(95% CI) |
| GG       | 189 (20.9)        | -        | Reference | -         | Reference | -         | Reference | -         |
|          | 241 (22.4)        | 0.888    | 0.435     | 0.861     | 0.676     | 1.116     | 0.230     | 0.805     |
|          | 0.67-1.176        | 0.666-1.872 | 0.565-1.147 |
| GA       | 472 (52.3)        | 0.408    | 0.262     | 1.266     | 0.871     | 1.050     | 0.883     | 1.030     |
|          | 519 (48.1)        | 0.807-1.910 | 0.581-1.897 | 0.692-1.533 |
| AA       | 242 (26.8)        | 0.535    | 1.104     | 0.964     | 0.791     | 1.095     | 0.438     | 0.876     |
|          | 318 (29.5)        | 0.807-1.911 | 0.581-1.897 | 0.692-1.533 |
| GA+A     | A vs GG           | 0.758    | 0.960     | 0.991     | 0.791     | 1.095     | 0.438     | 0.876     |
|          | 0.73-1.251        | 0.697-1.409 | 0.667-1.797 | 0.627-1.224 |
| AA vs GG+| GA               | 0.140    | 0.038     | 1.404     | 0.890     | 0.969     | 0.251     | 1.200     |
|          | 0.94-1.531        | 1.019-1.934 | 0.617-1.520 | 0.879-1.638 |

| Allele   |                   | P value  | P value   | OR(95% CI) | P value   | OR(95% CI) | P value   | OR(95% CI) |
|----------|-------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| G        | 850 (47.1)        | -        | Reference | -         | Reference | -         | Reference | -         |
|          | 1001 (46.4)       | 0.969    | 0.541     | 1.076     | 0.799     | 0.962     | 0.678     | 0.954     |
|          | 0.82-1.198        | 0.851-1.360 | 0.712-1.300 | 0.764-1.192 |
| A        | 956 (52.9)        | 0.996    | 1.076     | 0.962     | 0.678     | 0.954     |
|          | 1155 (53.6)       | 0.799    | 0.712     | 0.764     |

* Using multivariate analysis after adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors.
b $P < 0.05$ in bold

c Reference: means allele homozygote as a reference

Table 3 Genotype and allele frequency distributions of SNPs in hypertension/diabetes/smoking and their controls

|          | Hypertension | Diabetes | Smoking |
|----------|--------------|----------|---------|
|          | control n=1098 | n=883 | $P$ value | control n=1561 | n=420 | $P$ value | control n=1368 | n=613 | $P$ value |
| rs5788   |              |         |         |              |         |         |              |         |         |
| CC       | 991(9.3)     | 793(8.9) | -       | 1399(89.6)   | 385(1.7) | -       | 1242(90.8)   | 542(8.4) | -       |
| CA       | 103(9.4)     | 88(10.0) | 0.915   | 35(1.0)      | 35(8.3)  | 0.259   | 123(9.0)     | 68(11.1) | 0.050   |
| AA       | 4(0.4)       | 2(0.2)   | 0.947   | 6(0.4)       | 0 NA     | NA      | 3(0.2)       | 3(0.5)   | 0.269   |
| CA+AA vs CC | -        | -       | 0.338   | -            | -       | 0.195   | -            | -       | 0.034   |
| AA vs CC+CA | -        | 0.930   | -       | -            | NA      | -       | -            | -       | 0.286   |
| C        | 2085(94.9)   | 1674(94.8) | -       | 2954(94.6)   | 805(5.8) | -       | 2607(95.3)   | 1152(94.0) | -       |
| A        | 111(5.1)     | 92(5.2)  | 0.502   | 168(5.4)     | 35(4.2)  | 0.084   | 129(4.7)     | 74(6.0)  | 0.081   |
| rs1330344|              |         |         |              |         |         |              |         |         |
| GG       | 168(1.5)     | 135(5.3) | -       | 229(4.7)     | 74(17.6) | -       | 218(1.5)     | 85(13.9) | -       |
| GA       | 549(0.0)     | 417(7.2) | 0.188   | 790(5.0)     | 176(1.9) | 0.047   | 654(7.8)     | 312(0.9) | 0.102   |
| AA       | 381(4.7)     | 331(7.5) | 0.121   | 542(4.7)     | 170(4.5) | 0.887   | 496(6.3)     | 216(5.2) | 0.133   |
| GA+AA vs GG | -       | -       | 0.128   | -            | -       | 0.270   | -            | -       | 0.092   |
| SNP | G  | A  | G+G+GA | G  | A  | G+G+GA | G  | A  | G+G+GA | G  | A  | G+G+GA |
|-----|----|----|--------|----|----|--------|----|----|--------|----|----|--------|
|     | 33 | 33 |        | 33 | 33 |        | 33 | 33 |        | 33 | 33 |        |
| rs3842788 | G  | 885(4 | 687(3 | 0.388 | 1248(40.0 | 324(8.6 | 0.025 | 1090(39.8 | 482(9.3 | 0.662 |
|     | A  | 1311(59.7 | 1079(61.1 | 0.284 | 1874(60.0 | 516(1.4 | 0.470 | 1646(60.2 | 744(0.7 | 0.649 |
| rs20417 | G  | 978(9 | 779(8 | 0.381 | 1378(88.3 | 379(0.2 | 0.025 | 1198(87.6 | 559(1.2 |        |
|     | A  | 118(1 | 97(11.0 | 0.286 | 7(0.4 | 2(0.5 | 0.474 | 7(0.5 | 2(0.3 | 0.506 |
| rs689466 | G  | 2074(94.4 | 1655(93.7 | 0.173 | 190(6.1 | 43(5.1 | 0.023 | 177(6.5 | 56(4.6 | 0.023 |
|     | A  | 122(5.6 | 111(6.3 | 0.942 | 1399(89.6 | 390(2.9 | 0.067 | 1236(90.4 | 553(0.2 |        |
|     | C  | 113(5.1 | 87(4.9 | 0.762 | 167(5.3 | 33(3.9 | 0.093 | 140(5.1 | 60(4.9 | 0.881 |
Table 4 MDR models of gene-gene interactions between three SNPs

| SNP | IS | LAA |
|-----|----|-----|
|     |     |     |
| Best candidate model | Training accuracy | Testing accuracy | CVC | Permutation test P value | Best candidate model | Training accuracy | Testing accuracy | CVC | Permutation test P value |
| 1   | SNP3 | 0.5216 | 0.4981 | 7 /10 | 0.206 | SNP3 | 0.5342 | 0.5342 | 10 /10 | 0.4270 |
| 2   | SNP1 | 0.5375 | 0.5211 | 10 /10 | 0.300 | SNP3 | 0.5504 | 0.5346 | 10 /10 | 0.8400 |
|     | SNP3 | 0.5445 | 0.5176 | 10 /10 | 0.330 | SNP1 | 0.5591 | 0.5185 | 10 /10 | 0.5600 |
| 3   | SNP1 | 0.5445 | 0.5176 | 10 /10 | 0.330 | SNP2 | 0.5591 | 0.5185 | 10 /10 | 0.5600 |
|     | SNP2 | 0.5445 | 0.5176 | 10 /10 | 0.330 | SNP3 | 0.5591 | 0.5185 | 10 /10 | 0.5600 |

a Using multivariate analysis after adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors

b $P < 0.05$ in bold
| SNP | CES | SVO |
|-----|-----|-----|
| Best candidate model | Training accuracy | Testing accuracy | CVC | Permutation test P value | Best candidate model | Training accuracy | Testing accuracy | CVC | Permutation test P value |
| 1 | SNP1 | 0.5228 | 0.4834 | 5/10 | 0.7600 | SNP1 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 10/10 | 0.8520 |
| 2 | SNP1 | 0.5383 | 0.4776 | 10/10 | 0.9510 | SNP1 | 0.5428 | 0.5304 | 10/10 | 0.0050 |
| 3 | SNP1 | 0.5552 | 0.4592 | 10/10 | 0.7990 | SNP1 | 0.5496 | 0.5125 | 10/10 | 0.0190 |
| | SNP2, SNP3 | | | | | | | |

| a | P<0.05 in bold |
| b | COX-1 rs1330344, rs3842788COX-2 rs689466 signed as SNP1-3 |
| c | CVCcross-validation consistency |

### Table 5 Additive effect analysis between three SNPs

| Risk loci | control% | IS | LAA |
|-----------|----------|----|-----|
|           | n% | OR95% CI | P value | n% | OR95% CI | P value |
| 0 | 222.4 | 323.0 | Reference | 133.2 | Reference |
| 1 | 23025.5 | 29427.3 | 0.8560.341-2.151 | 0.741 | 10525.9 | 0.6460.215-1.942 | 0.437 |
| 2 | 58664.9 | 69464.4 | 0.7520.323-1.752 | 0.509 | 27066.7 | 0.587(0.216-1.595) | 0.296 |
| 3 | 657.2 | 585.4 | 0.4000.144-1.111 | 0.079 | 174.2 | 0.231(0.056-0.951) | 0.052 |
| Risk loci | control% | CES |  | CES |  | SVO |  |
|-----------|----------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
|           | n%       | OR95% CI | P value | n%       | OR95% CI | P value |
| 0         | 222.4    | 42.9    | Reference | 152.8    | Reference |     |
| 1         | 23025.5  | 3324.3  | 0.8810.231 -3.645 | 0.861    | 15629.1  | 1.1780.388 -3.576 | 0.773 |
| 2         | 58664.9  | 8864.7  | 0.677 (0.183-2.502) | 0.558    | 33662.6  | 0.747 (0.272-2.050) | 0.572 |
| 3         | 657.2    | 118.1   | 0.220 (0.023-2.147) | 0.193    | 305.6    | 0.493 (0.148-1.644) | 0.250 |

* Using multivariate analysis after adjusting for age, gender and traditional risk factors*