Synergistic induction of early stage of bone formation by combination of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 and epidermal growth factor
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Abstract

This study evaluates whether the combination of the rhBMP-2 and various types of growth factors including EGF, FGF, PDGF and VEGF increases osteoinductivity compared to the single use of rhBMP-2 through in vitro and in vivo study. Cultured human MSCs were treated with rhBMP-2 only or in combination with growth factors. For in vivo evaluation, rhBMP-2 only or with growth factors was implanted into the calvarial defect made on SD rats. Both EGF and PDGF significantly increased both ALP activity and expression level in hMSCs when treated in combination with rhBMP-2 at 3 and 7 days of differentiation and significantly raised the accumulation of the calcium at day 14. Furthermore, micro-CT scanning revealed that the EGF and FGF groups show significantly increased new bone surface ratio compared to the rhBMP-2 only group and, the EGF treatment significantly up regulated percent bone volume and trabecular number at two weeks after the surgery. VEGF treatment also significantly raised trabecular number and FGF treatment significantly increased the trabecular thickness. Histological examination revealed that the EGF combination group showed enhanced bone regeneration than the rhBMP-2 only group two weeks after the implantation. Even though the treatment of rhBMP-2 with PDGF and FGF failed to show enhanced osteogenesis in vitro and in vivo simultaneously, these results suggest that the positive effect of the combination of EGF and rhBMP-2 is expected to induce the bone formation earlier compared to the single use of rhBMP-2 in vitro and in vivo. © 2014 The Authors. Journal of Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
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1. Introduction

Autogenous bone graft has been used as a standard treatment for bone fusion and healing (Lane et al., 1999). However, it requires second operation and often causes complications such as wound problem, vessel injuries, infection, fracture, hematoma, and persistent pain (Kessler et al., 2005; nKenke et al., 2004). To compensate the defects, allograft and xenograft are also used but they carry the risk of disease transmission and possess low osteoinductivity (Barrack, 2005). Synthetic bone substitutes can be mass-produced and they are safe from disease transmission but their application is limited due to lack of osteoinductivity (Giannoudis et al., 2005).

Bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) play essential roles in bone regeneration strategies (Kempen et al., 2009) and are also known to initiate bone formation signals leading mesenchymal stem cell migration and osteoblast differentiation. However, relatively large amounts of BMPs are required to demonstrate their clinical benefits...
in spine fusion or non-union for patients mainly due to their short half-life, rapid local clearance by the circulation and short residence in tissues (Calori et al., 1999; Friess et al., 1999; Ruhe et al., 2006; Geiger et al., 2003). And the clinical application may be compromised by excessive Noggin expression. Noggin is an extra-cellular BMP antagonist and potentially induced by BMP (Abe et al., 2000; Gazzero et al., 1998); thus, it may be involved in a negative feedback mechanism (de Gorter et al., 2011). Moreover, the high dose of BMP is reported to be associated with several side effects, such as heterotopic bone formation, soft tissue swelling, seroma and radiculopathy.

Growth factors such as fibroblast growth factor (FGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), insulin-like growth factor (IGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) are known to promote cell proliferation and migration, and they also play important roles in fracture repair (Devescovi et al., 2008). Previous studies have revealed that FGF and PDGF promote osteoblastic cell proliferation (Suzuki et al., 1996; Mehrrota et al., 2004) and stimulate bone formation in vivo (Nakamura et al., 1995; Mitlak et al., 1996).

There are cross-talks between the BMP signaling and other signaling routes including TGF-β, hedgehog/Gli, PTH/CREB, NF-κB, PGE2, microtubule signaling pathways (Zhang et al., 2013; Arikawa et al., 2004; Feng et al., 2003). The integrated signal by BMP and other cytokines are known to affect osteoblastic differentiation of MSC and bone formation (de Gorter et al., 2011). FGF and Wnt regulate the BMP signal transduction via SMAD1 phosphorylation at its linker region (Fuentealba et al., 2007; Sapkota et al., 2007). Other study reported that the combined delivery of BMP-4 and VEGF to human mesenchymal stem cells significantly enhanced bone formation in implanted mouse (Huang et al., 2005).

E. coli provides a higher expression system than a mammalian cell expression system for rhBMP-2 and thus E. coli-derived rhBMP-2(E.BMP-2) has higher production yield (Lee et al., 2011). E.BMP-2 proved its osteoinductivity in in vitro study (Lee et al., 2011) and calvarial bone defect model (Kim et al., 2011). Also the protein showed successful fusion results in rabbit posterolateral fusion model (Lee et al., 2012). But, there is no report about the combination treatment of E.BMP-2 with growth factors including EGF.

In this study, we investigated the synergistic effects of growth factors with E.BMP-2 in various combinations on bone formation and osteoinduction using hMSCs and rat calvarial defect model to suggest the most effective combination with E.BMP-2 treatment both in vivo and in vitro.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Human mesenchymal stem cell culture and differentiation

Human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) (Lonza Walkersville Inc, USA) were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% antibiotic-antimyotics (Gibco, USA). The cells were expanded in 100-mm dishes for various assays, staining and RT–PCR. Osteoblastic differentiation media was generated by supplementing the basal media with dexamethason (10⁻⁸ M), beta-glycerophosphate (10 mM), and ascorbic acid (100 μM). The following growth factors were used for the treatments: E.BMP-2 (Daewoong Pharm., Korea), EGF (Daewoong Pharm., Korea), FGF (Kaken Pharm., Japan), PDGF and VEGF (R&D, Germany) (Figure 1).

2.2. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity

The cells were seeded in 96-well plates with 2x10³ cells/well according to the following groups: control, induce, only BMP-2, BMP-2+EGF, BMP-2+FGF, BMP-2+PDGF, and BMP-2+VEGF. The treatment concentrations of BMP-2 and the other factors were 250 ng/ml and 10 ng/ml, respectively, and the same condition was applied for the rest of experiments. The cells were cultured further in the differentiation media with or without appropriate factors for 3, 7, or 14 days. After washing with PBS (Gibco, USA), the cells were lysed with 100 μl of 0.02% Triton X-100 (Sigma, USA) solution. ALP activity was monitored by colour change of p-nitrophenol, measured at 405 nm. The enzyme activity was normalized by total protein concentration determined through the Bradford assay and calculated as nM/min/mg of protein. For ALP staining, the cells were seeded in 24-well plates with 2x10⁴ cells/well according to the groups and treated for 3, 7, or 14 days. After washing with PBS, the cells were fixed with 10% formalin for 30 seconds and incubated with 0.25% naphthol AS-MX phosphate alkaline (Sigma, Germany) including fast blue RR salt (Sigma-Aldrich, Brondby, Denmark) for 30 minutes.

2.3. Alizarin red S staining and calcium assay

The cells were seeded in 24-well plates with 2x10⁴ cells/well. According to the groups, the cells were treated and differentiated for 7, 14 or 21 days. The treated cells were fixed with 70% ethanol for one hour at 4°C. The fixed cells were incubated in 40 mM alizarin red S solution (pH 4.2; Sigma, USA) for 10 minutes and examined by light microscopy. For calcium assay, the cells were seeded in 96-well plates with 2x10³ cells/well and stained with the same method as alizarin red S staining. Calcium concentration was determined with a QuantiChrom calcium assay kit (BioAssay Systems, USA). After the calcium analysis buffer was added, the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 562 nm using an ELISA reader.

2.4. RT–PCR

To monitor the expression level of genes associated with bone differentiation, the cells were seeded in 24-well
plate with 2x10⁴ cells/well according to the groups and treated for 3, 7, 14, or 21 days. Total RNA was isolated from the treated cells using easy-BLUETM reagent (Intron, Korea). cDNA was generated from 500 ng of RNA using reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, USA). PCR was performed using the primers for the osteoblast specific markers or a housekeeping gene: alkaline phosphatase (ALP), Runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx-2), osteopontin (OPN), bone sialoprotein (BSP), type I collagen, osteocalcin (OCN) and GAPDH in 1X PCR buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 25 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM dNTPs and 0.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase) (Table 1). PCR products were separated in 1.5% agarose gels and visualised with a UV imaging system (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

2.5. Animals and implantation

E.BMP-2 was loaded onto absorbable collagen sponge (ACS). The ACS was prepared from the cross-reaction of type I collagen and chondroitin-6-sulfate (Bioland Co. (OChang, South Korea) and Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, MO, USA), respectively.

In this study, 180 male Sprague–Dawley rats were randomised into the following six groups: Group I: ACS only; Group II: 3 μg of E.BMP-2 only; Group III: 3 μg of E.BMP-2 with 5 μg EGF; Group IV: 3 μg E.BMP-2 with 5 μg of FGF; Group V: 3 μg of E.BMP-2 with 5 μg of PDGF; and Group VI: 3 μg E.BMP-2 with 5 μg of VEGF (Figure 2). Each subject group was divided into two subgroups with an implantation period of 2 or 6 weeks (n = 15 in each subgroup).

The rats were anaesthetised with a zoletil (0.4 mL/kg, Virbac Laboratories, France)-Rompun (10 mg/kg, Bayer Korea Ltd., Korea) mixture. After skin incision over the scalp, a surgical defect was created in the cranium using an 8-mm diameter trephine. E.BMP-2 with each growth factor was implanted with type I collagen carrier (8 mm in diameter and 1 mm thick) within the defect. The peristium and scalp were closed, and the animal was given antibiotics. After the surgery, the animals were housed under the controlled temperature condition (22 ± 5°C) and humidity (50 ± 5%) with a 12:12 (dark: light) cycle. The

Table 1. Gene-specific primers for RT–PCR analysis

| Genes      | Sequence (5’→3’) | Annealing temperature (°C) | Prod size (bp) |
|------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| ALP        | F: TGGAGCTTCCAGAAGCTCAAACCCA         | 51  | 453        |
|            | R: ATCTCTGTGCTCTGTAACTGACATC        | 57  | 530        |
| Runx-2     | F: CGGGAGGACAACGACGCAACAT           | 55  | 348        |
|            | R: CGCTCGGACCCCAACAAATCTC           | 51  | 257        |
| Osteopontin| F: CCAAGTACGTCACAGCGAAGAA           | 57  | 335        |
|            | R: GGTAGGCTTCCTGGGCTGTGTA           | 51  | 554        |
| BSP        | F: CGAGAAGACAACACCTCTCCAAATG        | 55  | 348        |
|            | R: ACCATGAGGCTGAGGGCGGCTTGTG       | 51  | 275        |
| Collagen I | F: GGTGTAACCCGCTGGTCTGTATT          | 57  | 335        |
|            | R: GCCGAGATGGCTGCTGCTGCTG           | 51  | 554        |
| GAPDH      | F: CCAAGAATCTACCTGTCCCCCTTAC        | 54  | 554        |
|            | R: GTCTCTCTCTCTCRTGGTGGC            | 51  | 554        |
animals were sacrificed at 2 or 6 weeks after the implantation and subjected to analysis. Procedures involving the use of animals were approved by the International Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC No. 09–0255).

2.6. Micro-CT evaluation

Micro-CT scans were taken for quantitative evaluation of new bone using the SkyScan 1173® system (Skyscan 1173®, Kontich, Belgium) with an aluminium filter at 130 kV, 30 μA. The scanned images were reconstituted into sagittal and coronal axial planes. The defect coverage ratio of newly formed bone in 8 mm calvarial defect was compared among the groups. Also, the following points were measured to evaluate new bone formation in the calvarial defect; percent bone volume, bone surface/volume ratio, trabecular thickness, trabecular separation, trabecular number, trabecular bone pattern factor, structure model index and degree of anisotropy.

2.7. Histological evaluation

The samples were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin. Sagittal sections were generated with 4 μm thickness through the centre of the defects to contain both newly formed bone and surrounding bony tissue. Tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and examined by light microscopy.

2.8. Statistics

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using SPSS software, and the Student-Newman-Keuls test was used to compare the differences in mean values. The Kruskal-Wallis test was performed on the non-parametric data. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. The activity of ALP of hBMSCs cultured in osteogenic medium

In the combination of E.BMP-2 (250 ng/ml) with EGF or FGF (each 10 ng/ml), ALP activity was significantly higher compared to the control at 3 days of osteogenesis ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 3A). Moreover, at 7 days of osteogenesis, the combined treatments with EGF and PDGF increased the ALP activity by 1.8 fold and 2.2 fold, respectively ($p < 0.05$), while the ALP activity in cell lysates of combined treatment with FGF or VEGF was comparable with the control. The ALP staining was markedly stronger in the combination of E.BMP-2 and EGF at 3 and 7 days of osteogenesis (Figure 3B). The ALP staining intensity with the combination of E.BMP-2 and PDGF was slightly elevated at 3 days of osteogenesis.

3.2. Mineralisation and calcium accumulation in cultured hBMSCs

Calcium mineralisation was greatly elevated in the cells treated in combination with EGF at 7 and 14 days of osteogenesis, assessed by alizarin red S staining (Figure 4A). Combination treatment of PDGF or FGF also increased the staining intensity at 14 days of osteogenesis. Calcium accumulation was slightly higher in the cell lysates...
combination-treated with EGF or PDGF at 7 days of osteogenesis and significantly increased at 14 days of osteogenesis \((p < 0.05)\) (Figure 4B). E.BMP-2 only or in combination with FGF or VEGF also increased calcium accumulation in treated cells but was less effective than combination treatment with EGF or PDGF at all of the time points that we examined. At 21 days of osteogenesis, significantly higher calcium accumulation was observed in the cells treated in combination with EGF, FGF, or PDGF \((p < 0.05)\).

### 3.3. Changes in gene expression in the hMSC cells

The expression of ALP gene was relatively higher at 3 and 7 days of osteogenesis and rapidly decreased by 14 days (Figure 5). ALP expression was decreased in the cells treated with E.BMP-2 only or in combination with PDGF in a time-dependent manner. Interestingly, Collagen I gene expression was decreased in a time-dependent manner in all groups with no quantifiable differences. The expression of bone sialoprotein (BSP) was markedly elevated with time in the cells treated in combination with EGF, FGF, or PDGF. EGF and FGF increased E.BMP2-induced osteopontin expression in a time-dependent manner. Runx-2 expression was increased at 7 days of treatment. Especially the groups treated in combination with EGF or FGF showed the increased expression up to 14 days. OPN expression was increased at 14 and 21 days of treatment in a time-dependent manner and the expression was significantly higher in the combination treatment group with EGF or FGF compared to the other groups.

Also, real-time PCR revealed that the E.BMP-2 and EGF treatment group showed the highest expression of OCN at 21 days of treatment (see Supporting Information, Figure S1).

Taken together, EGF increased osteoblast differentiation of hMSC cells most effectively with combination treatment of E.BMP-2. Likewise, PDGF and FGF were greatly effective on osteogenesis.
3.4. Micro-CT results

Perioperative mortality was 11.7% (21/180), which was not higher than that of other calvarial defect models (Kneser et al., 2006). Also, there was no significant difference among groups. At 2 weeks after the implantation, the EGF combination group showed significantly higher new bone surface ratio compared to the ACS group, the E. BMP-2 only group, and the FGF, PDGF, and VEGF combination groups ($p < 0.0001$, $p < 0.0003$, $p < 0.01$, $p < 0.036$, and $p < 0.0288$, respectively). The level was significantly higher in the FGF combination group compared to the ACS and E.BMP-2 groups ($p < 0.0001$ and $p < 0.0077$, respectively). The PDGF combination group also showed significantly higher level than the ACS group ($p = 0.011$). At 6 weeks after the implantation, the level was significantly higher in the EGF combination group compared to the ACS group and the FGF and PDGF combination groups ($p < 0.0001$, $p < 0.0381$, and $p < 0.0425$, respectively). The E.BMP-2 group and the FGF, PDGF, and VEGF combination groups showed significantly higher new bone surface ratio compared to the ACS group ($p = 0.0002$, $p = 0.0022$, $p = 0.0002$, and $p < 0.0001$) (Table 2).

At 2 weeks after the surgery, the percent bone volume of the EGF combination group was highest, and it was significantly higher compared to that in the ACS group. At 6 weeks, the ACS group showed significantly lower percent bone volume compared to the other groups, and the percent bone volume of the FGF combination group was significantly lower compared to those of the E.BMP-2, EGF, PDGF, and VEGF combination groups (Figure 6, Tables 3 and 4). For the specific surface, the ACS group showed significantly higher level compared to the other groups at 6 weeks.

The trabecular pattern factor of the EGF combination group was lowest at 2 weeks, and it was significantly lower compared to that of the ACS and FGF combination group. The trabecular pattern factor of the EGF, PDGF, and VEGF combination groups was also significantly lower compared to that of the ACS group at 2 weeks. The trabecular pattern factor of the ACS group and the FGF combination groups was significantly higher.
compared to that of the E.BMP group, and the EGF, PDGF, and VEGF combination groups at 6 weeks.

The structural model index of the EGF combination group was significantly lower compared to that of the E.BMP group and the PDGF and VEGF combination groups at 2 weeks. The structural model index of the ACS group was significantly higher compared to that of the E.BMP group and the EGF, PDGF, and VEGF combination groups at 2 weeks. The structural model index of the ACS and the FGF combination group was significantly lower compared to that of the E.BMP group and the EGF, PDGF, and VEGF combination groups at 2 weeks.
higher compared to that of the E.BMP group and the EGF, PDGF, and VEGF combination group at 6 weeks.

The trabecular thickness of the FGF combination group was significantly higher compared to that of the E.BMP group and the VEGF combination group at 2 weeks. The trabecular number of the EGF combination group was highest at 2 weeks and that of the EGF and the PDGF and VEGF combination groups was significantly higher compared to that in the ACS group. The trabecular number of the ACS group was significantly lower compared to that of the other groups, and the trabecular number of the FGF combination group was significantly lower compared to that of the E.BMP group and the EGF, PDGF, and VEGF combination groups at 6 weeks. Trabecular separation of the ACS group was significantly higher compared to that of the other groups, and the trabecular separation of the FGF combination group was significantly higher compared to that of the E.BMP group and the EGF, PDGF, and VEGF combination groups at 6 weeks. The degree of anisotropy in the ACS group was significantly lower compared to that of the other groups at 6 weeks.

### 3.5. Histological results

New bone formation was rarely observed at 2 weeks after the implantation in the ACS control group, but at 6 weeks, new bone formation was restricted to the peripheral area of the defect (Figure 7). However, newly formed bone mixture with cartilage was found at the lateral cortical bone of the E.BMP-2 control group at 2 weeks after the surgery, and by 6 weeks, the cartilage was transformed into bone tissue. Similarly, in the group treated in combination with EGF, new bone formed at the lateral area of the defect in greater amounts at 2 weeks after the surgery and had transformed into complete bone tissue at 6 weeks post-implant. The combination groups with FGF, PDGF, and VEGF showed similar degree of new bone formation with the E.BMP-2 only group.

### 4. Discussion and conclusion

rhBMP-2 is one of the most effective supplements for bone formation and so far around 20 different isoforms have been identified, including BMP-4, BMP-6 and BMP-7. Previous report demonstrated that its osteoinductivity was high as autograft (Kim et al., 2007). Clinically proven rhBMP-2 has been purified from mammalian cells and its clinical efficacy has been proved. E.coli-derived rhBMP-2 also has showed osteoinductivity through few preclinical data but there is no clinical data with the protein (Bessho et al., 2000).

Growth factors play critical roles in bone regeneration by inducing osteoblast proliferation and differentiation. EGF is a well-known growth factor involved in bone repair and also acts as a mitogen in various cell types inducing osteoblast growth and bone formation. However, its inhibitory role in bone differentiation was also reported (Krampera...
### Table 3. Micro-CT results 2 weeks after implantation

| Group (n) | BV/TV Average (SD) | BS/BV | Tb.Pf | SMI | Tb.Th | Tb.N | Tb.Sp | DA |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|--------|-----|-------|------|-------|----|
| Group I (13) (ACS) | 10.3 (5.93) | 19.9 (5.58) | 15.81 (7.002) | 4.163 (1.022) | 0.275 (0.043) | 0.375 (0.219) | 0.570 (0.116) | 0.490 (0.079) |
| Group II (14) (BMP) | 16.4 (7.16) | 29.1 (4.52) | 9.723 (6.057) | 2.969 (1.045) | 0.255 (0.066) | 0.651 (0.291) | 0.543 (0.115) | 0.562 (0.086) |
| Group III (13) (BMP + EGF) | 26.7 (14.5) | 17.3 (3.69) | 3.248 (7.826) | 1.778 (1.113) | 0.253 (0.046) | 1.00 (0.522) | 0.486 (0.118) | 0.550 (0.060) |
| Group IV (14) (BMP + FGF) | 24.2 (24.9) | 17.5 (8.01) | 11.48 (9.251) | 3.378 (1.789) | 0.334 (0.156) | 0.616 (0.420) | 0.542 (0.125) | 0.590 (0.113) |
| Group V (13) (BMP + PDGF) | 22.8 (13.4) | 19.6 (7.00) | 6.868 (7.702) | 2.332 (0.884) | 0.246 (0.068) | 0.879 (0.443) | 0.479 (0.123) | 0.529 (0.069) |
| Group VI (13) (BMP + VEGF) | 20.4 (7.81) | 19.2 (2.80) | 8.258 (2.993) | 2.429 (0.731) | 0.228 (0.029) | 0.934 (0.351) | 0.445 (0.100) | 0.625 (0.078) |

Analysis of variance was performed and the Student–Newman–Keuls test was used to compare differences among mean values. ACS, absorbable collagen sponge; BMP, E.BMP-2 3 μg; EGF, EGF 5 μg; FGF, FGF 5 μg; PDGF, PDGF 5 μg; VEGF, VEGF 5 μg.

### Table 4. Micro-CT results 6 weeks after implantation

| Group (n) | BV/TV Average (SD) | BS/BV | Tb.Pf | SMI | Tb.Th | Tb.N | Tb.Sp | DA |
|-----------|-------------------|-------|--------|-----|-------|------|-------|----|
| Group I (13) (ACS) | 29.4 (17.0) | 11.8 (4.80) | 5.751 (5.175) | 2.861 (1.078) | 0.433 (0.094) | 0.640 (0.336) | 0.581 (0.119) | 0.588 (0.077) |
| Group II (15) (BMP) | 78.1 (17.1) | 7.29 (2.70) | –9.737 (6.390) | –2.805 (2.650) | 0.436 (0.066) | 1.785 (0.372) | 0.241 (0.105) | 0.729 (0.089) |
| Group III (13) (BMP + EGF) | 68.8 (14.0) | 8.16 (2.02) | –5.375 (5.691) | –0.618 (2.201) | 0.823 (1.50) | 1.675 (0.371) | 0.298 (0.113) | 0.699 (0.050) |
| Group IV (12) (BMP + FGF) | 51.9 (29.6) | 9.13 (3.68) | 1.040 (8.381) | 1.466 (2.690) | 0.473 (0.115) | 1.028 (0.469) | 0.436 (0.143) | 0.655 (0.112) |
| Group V (13) (BMP + PDGF) | 73.1 (9.60) | 7.38 (1.16) | –5.375 (5.691) | –2.805 (2.650) | 0.436 (0.066) | 1.785 (0.372) | 0.241 (0.105) | 0.729 (0.089) |
| Group VI (13) (BMP + VEGF) | 74.8 (21.4) | 7.28 (2.06) | –9.844 (8.080) | –2.672 (3.079) | 0.439 (0.063) | 1.725 (0.501) | 0.290 (0.149) | 0.709 (0.066) |

Analysis of variance was performed and the Student–Newman–Keuls test was used to compare differences among mean values. ACS, absorbable collagen sponge; BMP, E.BMP-2 3 μg; EGF, EGF 5 μg; FGF, FGF 5 μg; PDGF, PDGF 5 μg; VEGF, VEGF 5 μg.

Percentage volume (BV/TV): group II > groups I, III, IV, V, VI; group IV > groups I, II, IV, V, VI; p < 0.05.

Trabecular pattern factor (Tb.Pf): group II > groups I, III, IV, V, VI; p < 0.05.

Structure model index (SMI): groups II and IV > groups I, III, V, VI; p < 0.05.

Trabecular thickness (Tb.Th): group II > groups I, III, IV, V, VI; p < 0.05.

Trabecular number (Tb.N): group II > groups I, III, IV, V, VI; p < 0.05.

Trabecular separation (Tb.Sp): group II > groups I, III, IV, V, VI; group IV > groups I, III, V, VI; p < 0.05.

Degree of anisotropy (DA): group IV, VI > group II; group VI > group V; p < 0.05.

Synergistic bone formation by combination of rhBMP-2 and EGF...
et al., 2005). The contradictory results might come from differential experimental conditions such as heterogeneity of MSC primary cell and EGF concentration. Alizarin red S staining was performed with the cells treated with EGF only, E.BMP-2 only, and differential combination of EGF and E.BMP-2 (see Supporting Information, Figure S2). The results showed that the level of mineral product formation was different based on the differential combination.

In this study, we screened the most stimulatory and synergistic growth factors of E.BMP-2-induced osteoblastic differentiation, and EGF has been proposed as the most effective growth factor when used in combination with E.BMP-2 both in vivo and in vitro. However, the optimal condition for osteoinductivity may differ among the individual growth factors. In several reports, growth factors show stimulatory or inhibitory effects on mammalian cell-derived BMP-2-elicited bone formation depending on timing and duration of the co-stimulation (de Gorter et al., 2011). Besides the composition of the differentiation media, the ratio between rhBMP-2 and growth factors influences their interaction. Also, the efficacy may vary by the animal model used. The method of growth factor delivery should also be considered.

In previous study, we optimized the in vivo dose of E.BMP-2 as 5 μg for calvarial bone healing for 8 mm

Figure 7. Undecalciﬁed histological results of 2 and 6 weeks (w) post-implantation; haematoxylin and eosin staining. (A) A newly formed bone mixture with cartilage was found at the lateral cortical bone of E.BMP-2 control group and in the combination group with FGF, PDGF or VEGF. The new bone formation was more prominent in the combination group with EGF than in the E.BMP-2 control group. (B) In the combination-treated group with EGF, FGF, PDGF or VEGF, new bone was formed at the lateral area of the defect, with a greater quantity at 2 weeks after surgery, and was transformed into complete bone tissue at 6 weeks post-implantation.
surgical defects (Lee et al., 2013). In this study, we reduced the dose to 3 μg, which is insufficient for new bone formation in single drug treatment. Thereby, we were able to assess the synergistic effects of growth factors as well as expect lowered dosage for the future clinical application. Due to the fact that the dose of growth factor varies from few to dozens of micrograms in previous researches, we determined to use 5 μg of each growth factor for the combination treatment with E.BMP-2 for screening purpose.

In our in vitro data, ALP activity was significantly increased in the cells treated with E.BMP-2 and EGF at 3 and 7 days after the treatment but not at 14 days, confirming the previous results that EGF promoted early phase of osteoblast formation (Laflamme et al., 2010). The investigators also reported that EGF decreased the number and size of bone nodules. In contrast, our Alizarin red S staining and calcium assay revealed that the EGF combination-treated group showed high mineralisation and calcium accumulation at 14 and 21 days after the treatment under the cell culture condition we used. Taken together, this study suggests that EGF might induce not only the E.BMP-2-elicted osteogenesis but also the bone mineralisation. The increased expression of ALP, BSP, osteopontin and osteocalcin as osteogenesis markers also suggested EGF, FGF and PDGF enhance osteogenic differentiation when treated with E.BMP-2.

In our rat calvarial defect model, EGF increased the percent bone volume, trabecular number at 2 weeks after the surgery, indicating EGF enhanced new bone formation at early stage. In particular, the trabecular bone pattern factor was significantly smaller in the EGF combination group than the E.BMP-2 only or combination with FGF group at 2 weeks after the implantation. The EGF combination improved the micro-structure of the newly formed bone by connecting the trabecular lattice more compactly. The structural model index of the EGF combination group was also lower than that of the E.BMP-2 only or FGF combination group, which was in agreement with other parameters and the improved the micro-structure. These results indicate that EGF combination treatment enhances both bone quantity and quality at 2 weeks when compared to the treatment with BMP-2 only.

The EGF group represented significantly lower trabecular pattern factor and trabecular separation, indicating improved bone quality when compared to the BMP-2 group at 6 weeks after the surgery. However, we failed to observe definite synergistic effects of EGF on the new bone formation through micro-CT images. Thus, we concluded that EGF was not effective on the late stage of bone formation. PDGF was similarly effective on E.BMP-2-induced osteogenesis in vitro by increasing ALP activity, calcium accumulation, Alizarin red S staining and bone sialoprotein expression. However, the efficacy on in vivo bone formation was lower than the one of EGF.

FGF is known to be involved in angiogenesis and blood vessel differentiation and PDGF plays roles in the migration and differentiation of osteoprogenitor cells (Devescovi et al., 2008; Malizos and Papatheodorou, 2005). FGF and PDGF are necessary for early bone repair and VEGF is important for conversion of cartilage into bone, and proliferation and differentiation of osteoblast (Malizos and Papatheodorou, 2005). The effects of FGF on bone formation are controversial. Previous reports have discussed the potential inhibitory effects rather than enhancing bone formation when administrating a dual growth factors including FGF (Vonau et al., 2001; Springer et al., 2008). However, the FGF combination group showed significantly higher new bone surface formation and trabecular thickness than the BMP-2 group in this study. This result is consistent with a previous report showing that FGF-2 isoforms regulate BMP-2 function and subsequently bone differentiation genes and their related signaling pathways (Sabbieti et al., 2013). FGF-2 is also known to enhance Runx-2/Smds nuclear localization in BMP-2 canonical signaling in osteoblasts, supporting the synergistic effect of FGF and BMP-2 (Agas et al., 2013).

In several studies, VEGF failed to enhance the bone formation when treated in combination with rhBMP-2 (Young et al., 2009; Roldan et al., 2010). In our study, the trabecular number was significantly higher, and the percent bone volume was high in the VEGF combination group, indicating that VEGF increased the quality of new bones in early stage of bone healing. Based on the known role of VEGF, its effect on bone formation may come from enhanced blood vessels formation by angiogenesis modulation (Samee et al., 2008). The synergistic effect is further supported by previous reports suggesting that VEGF enhances host stem cell recruitment and cell survival, which are advantageous to bone regenerative procedure (Samee et al., 2008; Deckers et al., 2002; Furumatsu et al., 2003). However, the clinical application of VEGF has yet to be investigated further.

Generally, the administration of multiple growth factors is known to result in better bone formation. However, translation of these results to the clinic is still limited mainly due to the need of effective delivery system (Makhdom and Hamdy, 2013). Also, combination of dual growth factors needs to mimic the natural spatiotemporal expression of bone cascade (Makhdom and Hamdy, 2013). Thus, the effective delivery system and differentiation condition are required for clinical application of the growth factors.

In this study, we screened four candidate growth factors in combination with E.BMP-2, which have been known to produce positive effects on bone healing process and showed the positive effect of the combination of EGF and E.BMP-2 on the early stage of bone healing. However, the limitation of this study is that calvarial bone defect healing was only evaluated by image analysis and histology and the effect of the growth factors on mechanical strength was not tested.

In conclusion, EGF interacts synergistically with E.BMP-2 and accelerates the protein induced bone formation and healing in early stage. Our findings provide insights into the clinical application to allow shortening the healing time and reduce the E.coli-derived rhBMP-2 dosage for future use.
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