Functional evaluation of arthroscopic treatment of SLAP lesions through the O’Brien portal
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A B S T R A C T

Objective: To evaluate the functional results from arthroscopic repair of SLAP lesions through the portal described by O’Brien.

Methods: A retrospective evaluation was conducted on 19 shoulders in 18 patients who underwent arthroscopic repair of SLAP lesions through the O’Brien portal between November 2007 and January 2012.

Results: Nineteen shoulders in 18 patients were evaluated: 16 male patients (88.2%) and three female patients (15.7%). The patients’ ages ranged from 27 to 40 years (mean of 34.3 years). There were 12 patients (63.1%) with injuries on the right shoulder, six (31.5%) with injuries on the left shoulder and one (5.2%) with bilateral injury. In relation to dominance, 13 patients (68.4%) presented the injury on the dominant limb and five (26.3%) were affected on the non-dominant limb. We observed that nine cases (47.3%) had SLAP lesions alone and 10 cases (52.6%) were related to glenohumeral instability. There was one case (5.2%) of recurrence of glenohumeral dislocation, but this patient chose not to undergo a new surgical intervention. According to the UCLA and ASES scales translated and adapted to the Portuguese language, 96% of the results were good or excellent.

Conclusion: The approach for treating SLAP lesions through the portal described by O’Brien et al. is easy to reproduce, with a high rate of good and excellent results and a low complication rate.

© 2014 Sociedade Brasileira de Ortopedia e Traumatologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

Avaliação funcional do tratamento artroscópico da lesão SLAP pelo portal O’Brien

R E S U M O

Objetivo: Avaliar os resultados funcionais do reparo artroscópico da lesão SLAP pelo portal descrito por O’Brien.
Introduction

With the advent of arthroscopy of the shoulder, it is now possible to diagnose types of labral lesion that was not diagnosed by means of radiographic methods. One type of lesion involving the upper area of the glenoid labrum, which begins posteriorly and extends anteriorly to the glenoid cavity, is named the SLAP lesion (superior labrum anterior and posterior). This area of the glenoid labrum is functionally important for the upper stability of the shoulder and also serves as an “anchor” for the insertion of the long head of the tendon of the biceps brachii muscle.1,2

SLAP lesions were first described by Andrews et al.3 in 1985 and were subsequently classified into four subtypes by Snyder et al.1 in 1990. In 1995, Maffet et al.4 added type V to the classification of Snyder et al.3 This comprises lesions of the upper glenoid labrum that extends to the anteroinferior region. In 1998, Morgan et al.5 subdivided type II into three subtypes according to the location of the lesion in the upper glenoid labrum: anterior, posterior or combined.

The exact etiology of SLAP lesions remains a matter of controversy. However, two possible causes have been described in the literature: compression forces applied to the glenohumeral joint consequent to a fall with the shoulder in a position of abduction and flexion; or tension forces applied to the arm, caused by a traction mechanism applied to the upper limb as a result of a throwing movement, which is particularly observed among baseball players.6-8

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the functional results from arthroscopic repair of SLAP lesions through the portal described by O’Brien.9,10

Materials and methods

A retrospective evaluation was performed on 19 shoulders of 18 patients who underwent arthroscopic repair of SLAP lesions through the O’Brien portal between November 2007 and January 2012. The inclusion criterion was that the patients undergoing arthroscopic surgical treatment of SLAP lesions had not responded clinically to conservative treatment. Patients with histories of previous surgery or extra-articular diseases in the shoulder that was to be evaluated were excluded.

SLAP lesions were diagnosed when there was a positive O’Brien test in association with magnetic resonance imaging of the knee suggestive of a lesion in the upper glenoid labrum and arthroscopic observation of the lesion.

The following were recorded: the time that elapsed between the start of symptoms of the lesion and the surgical treatment; and the patient’s age, sex, occupation and return to sport (activity level). Postoperative function was assessed using the UCLA and ASES scales in the versions translated and adapted to the Portuguese language.2,11-13 Data were gathered by means of physical examination (O’Brien, Jobe and Patte tests) and a questionnaire that was applied to all the patients.

The surgical procedures were performed by the same surgical team, with the patient under general anesthesia, without blockage of the brachial plexus. The patient was placed in the “deckchair” position. A posterior portal was used to introduce the arthroscopic optical device, and this was located 2 cm distally and 2 cm medially to the posterolateral angle of the acromion. The joint was investigated using the reference point of the tendon of the long head of the biceps brachii muscle and its upper labral origin. Following this, the anterior, inferior and posterior labra, joint surfaces, glenohumeral ligaments, rotator cuff, capsule and joint recesses were evaluated.

The following intraoperative diagnostic criteria for SLAP lesions were then used: a positive drive-through test (easy passage of the arthroscopic optical device through the glenohumeral space); positive peel-back test (glenoid labrum opening greater than 1 cm during abduction and external rotation of the shoulder); and direct viewing of the degenerated and fibrillated labral tissue with signs of avulsion14 (Fig. 1).

A portal was constructed in the anterior region in order to place the first 8.5 mm cannula (portal 1), while keeping to the side of the coracoid process in order to minimize the risk
of neurovascular injuries. This cannula was placed above the upper border of the tendon of the subscapular muscle (anterior rotator interval).

To construct the arthroscopic portal described by O’Brien et al.⁹ (portal 2) (Fig. 2) and place the second 8.5 mm cannula, a Jelco no. 14 needle was placed in the superolateral region of the shoulder, through the rotator cuff, in the direction of the posterosuperior region of the glenoid (Fig. 3). The location of the portal varied according to the anatomy of the patient’s shoulder and the location of the posterior labral deinsertion, with the aim of facilitating access to the posterosuperior region of glenoid and to the glenoid labrum.

After the portals had been constructed, the process of opening up the upper glenoid rim (and the anterior rim when necessary) was started, using a 4 mm bone shaving blade (Fig. 4), in order to form a bloody bed that would favor healing of the labrum-capsule complex that would be reinserted.

To reinsert the glenoid labrum, two absorbable polylactic acid anchors of size 2.7 mm were placed using nonabsorbable thread, starting from the anterosuperior region. The anterior portal (portal 1) was used as the main one and the O’Brien portal (portal 2) was used as an auxiliary. To reinsert the labrum posteriorly to the tendon of the biceps, the O’Brien portal (portal 2) was used as the main one and the anterior portal (portal 1) as an auxiliary. To pass the threads through the glenoid labrum, curved bird-beak instruments alone were used (Fig. 5A–F).

In the cases in which the patient presented anterior gleno-humeral instability with an anteroinferior lesion of the glenoid

Fig. 1 – Identification of SLAP lesion (arrow). UL, upper labrum; S, SLAP lesion; GC, glenoid cavity.

Fig. 2 – Demarcation of the bone anatomical parameters and probable location of the anterior portal and the portal passing through the rotator cuff.

Fig. 3 – Construction of O’Brien portal under external viewing and arthroscopic viewing of the joint.

Fig. 4 – Opening up of the upper glenoid rim using a shaving blade.
labrum (Bankart lesion), labral reinsertion was always started in an anticlockwise direction, from the anteroinferior region to the posterior region, using another two anchors (total of four). After labral reinsertion, dermal suturing of the portals was performed and the operated limb was then immobilized using a Velpeau sling.

Results

Nineteen shoulders were evaluated, in 18 patients: 16 males (84.2%) and three females (15.7%). The minimum postoperative follow-up was seven months and the maximum was 56 months (mean of 33.9). The patients’ ages ranged from 27 to 40 years (mean of 34.3). There were 12 patients (63.1%) with a lesion in the right shoulder, six cases (31.5%) in the left shoulder and one case (5.2%) of bilateral lesions. In relation to dominance, 13 patients (68.4%) presented the lesion in the dominant limb and five (26.3%) in the non-dominant limb.

From the evaluations on these 19 shoulders, we observed that nine cases (47.3%) had SLAP lesions alone, 10 cases (52.6%) were related to glenohumeral instability and a single case (5.2%) presented recurrence of glenohumeral dislocation. This patient decided not to undergo a new surgical intervention (Table 1).

In relation to sports activity, nine patients were practicing a variety of sports before the injury (volleyball, swimming and tennis) and nine were not. After the surgical treatment, eight of the nine patients returned to the sports that they had been doing before the treatment. One patient did not return to the sports activity that he had practiced, but he did not correlate this with the surgical result.

According to the UCLA scale, as adapted to the Portuguese language, 10 patients (52.6%) obtained results that were considered to be excellent, seven (36.8%) presented good results, a single patient (5.2%) obtained a fair result and these were no poor results2,13 (Fig. 6).

| Table 1 – Sample of patients with SLAP lesions who underwent surgical treatment. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **No. of shoulders** | 19 |
| **No. of patients** | 18 |
| **Mean age (years)** | 34.3 |
| **Sex** |  |
| Male | 16 (84.2%) |
| Female | 3 (15.7%) |
| **Limb affected** |  |
| Right | 12 (63.1%) |
| Left | 6 (31.5%) |
| Bilateral | 1 (5.2%) |
| Dominant | 13 (68.4%) |
| Non-dominant | 5 (26.3%) |

Fig. 5 – (A) Arthroscopic view of the cannulae at the anterior portals of O’Brien (arrows). (B) Anterior labrum reinserted and anchor inserted at the posterior glenoid rim. (C) Passage of bird beak through the posterosuperior glenoid labrum. (D) Suturing of the posterior labrum. (E) Stability test on the upper glenoid labrum using probe. (F) Negative peel-back test.
in the study by Miyazaki et al., but greater than that of other studies. The treatment for SLAP lesions varies from conservative to arthroscopic surgery. In arthroscopic procedures, an anterosuperior and/or anteroinferior portal is used in most cases, with a route through the rotator interval to reach the labral lesions of the upper and lower glenoid. These portals limit access to the posterior and superior region of the glenoid for placement of an anchor and reinsertion of the posterior labrum.

Accessory portals for attempting to facilitate posterosuperior access have been described, such as the Neviser portal and transacromial portals. However, there is a risk of injury to the suprascapular nerve and fractures of the acromion.

More recently, Warner et al. described the use of an anterolateral portal for accessing the posterosuperior region of the glenoid labrum. However, this portal would require a wide incision in the tendon of the rotator cuff.

O’Brien et al. developed a portal for accessing upper labral lesions that would enable access to the anterior and posterosuperior regions of the glenoid labrum. This was described as a reliable technique that was easily reproducible, using a needle to locate the most appropriate region for accessing the posterior labral lesions. The potential risk of injury to the rotator cuff was minimized by using cannulae of smaller diameters, which only gave rise to division of the fibers at the muscle-tendon junction of the supraspinatus muscle.

In the study by O’Brien et al., arthroscopic repair of SLAP lesions was performed in 31 patients using a portal passing through the rotator cuff. No injuries to the rotator cuff confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging were observed. Oh et al. obtained excellent postoperative results from arthroscopic repairs to SLAP lesions using a portal passing through the rotator cuff in 58 shoulders, thus confirming the safety and efficiency of this portal. In our sample, no evidence of weakness of the rotator cuff, as assessed through the Jobe and Patte tests, was observed. Nor was there any diminution of the range of motion of the operated shoulder.

In our series of 19 shoulders, in 18 patients who were treated for SLAP lesions with a mean postoperative follow-up of 33.9 months, good clinical results were found through evaluations using the ASES and UCLA scales, as translated and adapted to the Portuguese language. It was found that 96% of the results were excellent or good, similar to the findings from other studies.

### Conclusion

The present study demonstrated that accessing SLAP lesions from portals passing through the rotator cuff, as described by O’Brien et al., was easily reproducible, with a high rate of excellent and good results and a low complication rate.
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