Construction of fast xylose-fermenting yeast based on industrial ethanol-producing diploid *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by rational design and adaptive evolution
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**Abstract**

**Background:** It remains a challenge for recombinant *S. cerevisiae* to convert xylose in lignocellulosic biomass hydrolysates to ethanol. Although industrial diploid strains are more robust compared to laboratory haploid strains, however, industrial diploid *S. cerevisiae* strains have been less pursued in previous studies. This work aims to construct fast xylose-fermenting yeast using an industrial ethanol-producing diploid *S. cerevisiae* strain as a host.

**Results:** Fast xylose-fermenting yeast was constructed by genome integration of xylose-utilizing genes and adaptive evolution, including 1) *Piromyces XYLA* was introduced to enable the host strain to convert xylose to xylulose; 2) endogenous genes (*XKS1, RKI1, RPE1, TKL1*, and *TAL1*) were overexpressed to accelerate conversion of xylulose to ethanol; 3) *Candida intermedia GXF1*, which encodes a xylose transporter, was introduced at the GRE3 locus to improve xylose uptake; 4) aerobic evolution in rich xylose media was carried out to increase growth and xylose consumption rates. The best evolved strain CIBTS0735 consumed 80 g/l glucose and 40 g/l xylose in rich media within 24 hours at an initial OD$_{600}$ of 1.0 (0.63 g DCW/l) and produced 53 g/l ethanol.

**Conclusions:** Based on the above fermentation performance, we conclude that CIBTS0735 shows great potential for ethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass.
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**Background**

As a transportation fuel, ethanol has the potential to displace a substantial portion of gasoline. In recent years, ethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass has been attracting great attention. To produce ethanol, raw materials such as corn stover should be first pretreated and then hydrolyzed to liberate simple sugars, i.e. glucose and xylose. Subsequently, these sugars are converted to ethanol by microbial fermentation [1]. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is believed to be the most promising biocatalyst for this conversion due to its wide use in the starch- and sucrose-based ethanol industry [2]. However, *S. cerevisiae* cannot ferment xylose into ethanol. Since xylose is the second most abundant sugar present in the biomass hydrolysate after glucose, therefore fast xylose fermentation is required to produce ethanol from lignocellulosic biomass economically [3].

Great progress has been achieved to make *S. cerevisiae* able to ferment xylose in the last decade [4-8]. *S. cerevisiae* can take up xylose by nonspecific transporters [9]. After entering into cells, xylose can be converted to xylulose by either the oxidoreductive pathway or the isomerization pathway [2]. Since both pathways are absent in *S. cerevisiae*, therefore heterologous enzymes have to be introduced. Compared to the oxidoreductive pathway, the isomerization pathway receives more attention since it does not...
have a cofactor imbalance issue [8]. After phosphorylation by endogenous xylulokinase, xylulose enters the pentose phosphate pathway and then glycolysis to produce ethanol [10]. In previous studies, several steps have been identified as bottlenecks that limit the xylose consumption rate, including slow xylose uptake [11], slow conversion of xylose to xylulose [8], and limited flux of the pentose phosphate pathway [12]. Overexpression of xylose-utilizing proteins, to some extent, can remove these bottlenecks [5,11]. Moreover, GRE3 is thought to cause xylitol accumulation and its deletion is beneficial to minimize xylitol formation [13]. As well as genetic manipulations, adaptive evolution is necessary to increase the xylose consumption rate. For example, several studies showed that combinatorial use of genetic manipulations (i.e. introduction of Xyla from Piromyces and overexpression of endogenous XKS1, RPE1, RKI1, TAL1, and TKL1) and adaptive evolution in xylose media can generate efficient xylose-utilizing strains [4,8,14]. However, there are two drawbacks for these studies: 1) laboratory haploid strains were chosen as hosts, which are generally considered not as robust as industrial diploid strains when fermenting lignocellulosic biomass hydrolysates [1] and 2) plasmid-based protein expression was employed, which is regarded as not stable as integration-based protein expression [15,16]. Although genome integration of xylose isomerase was pursued by Tanino et al [17], however, a laboratory haploid strain was selected to construct xylose-fermenting yeast. Until recently, a xylose-fermenting \textit{S. cerevisiae} strain was reported based on an industrial diploid strain and genome integration of xylose isomerase and other genes [18].

So far, fermenting xylose in lignocellulosic biomass hydrolysates remains a challenge. Although industrial diploid strains are more robust compared to laboratory haploid strains, however, industrial diploid \textit{S. cerevisiae} strains have been less pursued in previous studies. This study aims to construct fast xylose-fermenting yeast using an industrial ethanol-producing diploid \textit{S. cerevisiae} strain as a host. For this purpose, \textit{S. cerevisiae} CCTCC M94055 was chosen as the host. This strain is widely used to produce starch-based fuel and drinking ethanol and possesses phenotypes desired for industrial use, such as high tolerance to high temperatures, low pH value, and high ethanol and inhibitor concentrations [19,20]. To avoid unstable plasmid-based protein expression, we integrated all genes into chromosomes by homologous recombination. Specifically, in addition to introduction of Xyla and overexpression of XKS1, RPE1, RKI1, TAL1, TKL1, we also introduced a xylose transporter-encoding gene GXF1 from \textit{Candida intermedia} at the GRE3 locus. After simple aerobic evolution in rich xylose media, the best evolved strain CIBTS0735 consumed 80 g/l glucose and 40 g/l xylose in 24 hours at an initial OD_{600} of 1.0 (0.63 g DCW/l) and produced 53 g/l ethanol.

Results

\textbf{Rational construction of xylose-fermenting \textit{S. cerevisiae}}

Figure 1 shows the whole process for strain construction. To convert xylose to xylulose in cells, two copies of a eukaryotic xylose isomerase-encoding gene \textit{Piromyces} \textit{Xyla} were integrated at the \textit{ARG1} and \textit{Ty} loci sequentially. A strong promoter TPI1p was used to drive \textit{Xyla} expression. Then, an additional copy of genes encoding xylulokinase (\textit{XKS1}) and four non-oxidative enzymes of the pentose phosphate pathway (\textit{PPB, RPE1, RKI1, TAL1, and TAL1}) were inserted at the \(\delta\) locus, resulting in CIBTS0525. These genes were all equipped with strong promoters to achieve high expression and to accelerate conversion of xylulose to ethanol. Subsequently, a xylose transporter-encoding gene \textit{GXF1} was integrated at the GRE3 locus to increase the xylose uptake rate and the resultant strain was CIBTS0573. This integration inactivated one of the two copies of \textit{GRE3}.

\textbf{Adaptive evolution to obtain fast xylose-fermenting \textit{S. cerevisiae}}

Although CIBTS0525 and CIBTS0573 contained a xylose-fermenting pathway, however both strains grew and consumed xylose slowly even under aerobic conditions (Figure 2 and Table 1). To select for spontaneous mutants with improved growth and xylose consumption rates, both strains were subjected to serial transfer in YP medium supplemented with xylose under aerobic conditions. After ca. 10 transfers, the growth and xylose consumption rates of both strains started to increase. Then additional several transfers were carried out for both strains until no improvement was observed. From the final transfers,
both cultures were diluted and plated on YPX agar plates. Multiple colonies of each strain were inoculated into YP medium supplemented with 40 g/l xylose to examine their xylose consumption rates. The two best strains CIBTS0555 and CIBTS0735 were obtained from CIBTS0525 and CIBTS0573 respectively. As previously reported [8,14], these data show that adaptive evolution is required to obtain fast xylose-fermenting \textit{S. cerevisiae} as well as metabolic engineering.

Next, the two evolved strains CIBTS0555 and CIBTS0735 were characterized with respect to their growth and xylose fermentation rates in YP medium supplemented with 40 g/l xylose, using their unevolved parents as controls (Figure 2 and Table 1). For both the unevolved strains CIBTS0525 and CIBTS0573, cells grew very slowly with growth rates below 0.02 h\(^{-1}\) and also consumed xylose very slowly (Figure 2A, Figure 2C and Table 1). After adaptive evolution, in strikingly contrast, CIBTS0555 showed a 6-fold increase of the growth rate and its xylose consumption rate was increased to 0.505 g/g DCW/h (Figure 2B and Table 1). CIBTS0735 even displayed a 10-fold increase of the growth rate and its xylose consumption rate reached 0.957 g/g DCW/h (Figure 2D and Table 1). For both strains CIBTS0555 and CIBTS0735, ethanol yields exceeded 0.41 g/g xylose, while glycerol yields were kept low (below 0.04 g/g, Table 1) and no xylitol accumulation was detected. Taken together, these data clearly show that adaptive evolution significantly improves xylose fermentation and ethanol production.

\textit{GXF1} expression might contribute to increase growth and xylose consumption rates

A previous study showed that xylose uptake is a bottleneck for xylose fermentation and therefore, expression of

\begin{table}[ht]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Strain & CCTCC M94055 & CIBTS0525 & CIBTS0555 & CIBTS0573 & CIBTS0735 & CIBTS0735 \\
\hline
Medium & YPX40 & YPX40 & YPX40 & YPX40 & YPX40 & YPD80X40 \\
\hline
Specific growth rate & N/A & 0.016 & 0.096 & 0.019 & 0.187 & 0.181 \\
\hline
Ethanol yield & N/A & 0.443 & N/A & 0.412 & 0.454 & \\
\hline
Glycerol yield & N/A & N/A & 0.039 & N/A & 0.039 & 0.041 \\
\hline
Xylitol yield & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A \\
\hline
Sugar consumption rate & N/A & 0.505 & N/A & 0.957 & 1.300 & \\
\hline
Ethanol production rate & N/A & 0.224 & N/A & 0.394 & 0.590 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Fermentation performance of xylose-fermenting \textit{S. cerevisiae} strains}
\end{table}

\footnote{YPX40: YP medium supplemented with 40 g/l xylose; YPD80X40: YP medium supplemented with 80 g/l glucose and 40 g/l xylose. \textsuperscript{b}Specific growth rates were calculated from the exponential growth phase. \textsuperscript{c}Xylose consumption and ethanol production rates were calculated as described previously [21]. These two parameters as well as ethanol and xylitol yields were calculated from the growth phase (Figures 2 and 3, from the beginning of fermentation to below 10 g/l residual xylose).}
encoding a xylose transporter can accelerate xylose utilization [11]. In this study, GXF1 also was introduced into CIBTS0525 to further increase the xylose consumption rate, resulting in CIBTS0573. Like CIBTS0525, CIBTS0573 also grew very slowly with a growth rate of 0.019 h⁻¹ in YP medium supplemented with 40 g/l xylose. After adaptive evolution, compared to CIBTS0555 (evolved from CIBTS0525), CIBTS0735 (evolved from CIBTS0573) showed a 95% increase of the growth rate and a 90% increase of the xylose consumption rate (Figure 2D versus Figure 2B and Table 1). Accordingly, the ethanol production rate of CIBTS0735 was increased to 0.394 g/g DCW/h, which is 76% higher than that of CIBTS0555 (Figure 2D vs Figure 2B and Table 1). Besides, prolonged adaptive evolution of CIBTS0555 did not generate a strain displaying better growth and fermentation performance. These results imply that GXF1 expression might contribute to increase growth and xylose consumption rates. Moreover, since CIBTS0555 and CIBTS0735 were evolved independently, we cannot exclude the possibility that different mutations might have occurred in the two evolved strains.

Mixed sugars can be cofermented efficiently into ethanol
To test whether mixed sugars can be cofermented efficiently, the best evolved strain CIBTS0735 was characterized in YP medium supplemented with 80 g/l glucose and 40 g/l xylose. As shown in Figure 3, both sugars were consumed in 24 h with an ethanol yield of 0.45 g/g sugar. The total sugar consumption rate was 1.3 g/g DCW/h, which is 36% higher than that of CIBTS0573 (Figure 2D vs Figure 2B and Table 1). However, although both sugars were utilized in 24 h, xylose was not significantly consumed until glucose was depleted. As for byproducts, glycerol yields were also kept low (0.041 g/g, Table 1) and no xylitol accumulation was detected. Taken together, although glucose and xylose were consumed sequentially, these data clearly show that mixed sugars can be cofermented efficiently into ethanol.

CIBTS0735 exhibits a higher activity of xylose isomerase
A recent study showed that an increased activity of xylose isomerase was observed during adaptive evolution, which in part contributed to efficient xylose assimilation [8]. In this study, we also examined whether the activity of xylose isomerase had increased during the adaptive evolution of CIBTS0735. To do this, xylose isomerase activities of strains CIBTS0573 and CIBTS0735 were compared. As shown in Figure 4, after adaptive evolution, a 100% increase of the xylose isomerase activity was observed for CIBTS0735. This result suggests that the elevated activity of xylose isomerase might have contributed to the efficient xylose fermentation of CIBTS0735.

Discussion
Fast xylose fermentation is a key technology to produce ethanol from lignocellulosic biomass economically. Although great progress has been achieved in the last decade, however xylose fermentation remains a challenge today. Based on an industrial ethanol-producing diploid strain *S. cerevisiae* CCTCC M94055, we constructed fast xylose-fermenting *S. cerevisiae* by genome integration of xylose-utilizing genes in this study.

Strong promoter-driven expression of *XYLA*, *XKS1*, and four genes of the non-oxidative PPP did not immediately result in obvious growth on xylose. For example, aerobic growth rates of CIBTS0525 and CIBTS0573 were 0.016 h⁻¹ and 0.019 h⁻¹, respectively. Although these two strains grew at rates comparable to similarly constructed strains H131-A3 (growth rate, 0.031 h⁻¹) [8] and BSPC095 (growth rate, 0.009 h⁻¹) [14], their growth rates were substantially lower than that of RWB217 (growth rate, 0.22 h⁻¹) [5]. This might be caused by 1) only two copies of *XYLA* integrated into the genome and 2) a different
host strain used. By adapting CIBTS0525 on xylose, we obtained CIBTS0555 that showed a growth rate of 0.096 h\(^{-1}\) in rich xylose media. In parallel, we also engineered another industrial ethanol-producing diploid *S. cerevisiae* strain CICC 1300 using the same strategy as CCTCC M94055 (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The resultant strain CIBTS0552 displayed a comparable growth rate (0.097 h\(^{-1}\)) to that of CIBTS0555, suggesting that the engineering strategy is applicable to not only laboratory strains [5,8,14], but industrial strains.

Previous studies showed that GXFI expression is beneficial to xylose fermentation in *S. cerevisiae* [6,11,22,23] and these studies were all carried out using recombinant yeast strains with a limited flux of xylose metabolism. In this study, we show that GXFI expression might contribute to increase growth and xylose consumption rates. This notion could be further supported by additional evidence. In parallel to GXFI, we also introduced into CIBTS0525 another xylose transporter-encoding gene *SUT1* from *Pichia stipitis* (Additional file 1: Figure S2). After adaptive evolution, the resultant strain (CIBTS0734) exhibited a xylose consumption rate of 0.800 g/g DCW/h in rich xylose media, which is 58% higher than that of CIBTS0555 (0.505 g/g DCW/h). However, without introducing heterologous xylose transporters, no further improvement was observed for CIBTS0555 through prolonged adaptation on xylose. Besides, these results also suggest that xylose uptake is a bottleneck for CIBTS0555 that displays a slow growth on xylose. It has been reported that anaerobic xylose-limited continuous cultivation in a chemostat was required to reach maximal growth rates, as well as (an)anaerobic sequential batch cultivation [6]. However, in this study only simple aerobic batch cultivation was employed to generate fast-growing CIBTS0735 with a growth rate of 0.187 h\(^{-1}\) in rich xylose media. The reason for dispensability of chemostat cultivation is probably attributed to GXFI expression, since the purpose of xylose-limited chemostat cultivation is to improve xylose uptake [4,8] which is the same as the purpose of GXFI expression.

Table 2 compares several best-performing xylose-fermenting strains. From this overall comparison, we know that CIBTS0735 displays comparable or even superior phenotypes. However, it should be noted that the fermentation for these strains was carried out under different conditions. Moreover, CIBTS0735 was also examined in the undetoxified corn stover hydrolysate provided by National Renewable Energy Laboratory and Novozymes A/S. Although under harsh conditions (e.g. 8.4 g/l acetic acid and 2.6 g/l HMF), CIBTS0735 still converted 82.3 g/l glucose and 46.7 g/l xylose to 51.8 g/l ethanol (Additional file 1: Figure S3). This result suggests that CIBTS0735 is a potential biocatalyst for ethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass. However, compared to the xylose fermentation in the rich media, the xylose utilization in the corn stover hydrolysate was much slower, which was probably caused by the presence of high concentrations of inhibitors. Rational design and adaptation against inhibitors may be pursued to increase xylose fermentation in the undetoxified corn stover hydrolysate [24-28].

**Conclusions**

Here we report the construction of fast xylose-fermenting yeast CIBTS0735 based on xylose isomerase and industrial diploid *S. cerevisiae*. This strain can convert 80 g/l glucose and 40 g/l xylose to 53 g/l ethanol in 24 hours at initial OD\(_{600}\) of 1.0 (0.63 g DCW/l) and therefore shows great potential for fuel ethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass.

**Methods**

**Strains and plasmids**

Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 3. *Escherichia coli* DH5\(\alpha\) was used as a cloning host. *S. cerevisiae* CCTCC M94055 was used as the host strain to construct xylose-fermenting yeast.

**Media and culture conditions**

*E. coli* strains were grown in LB medium at 37°C, 250 rpm and when necessary, 100 μg/ml ampicillin was supplemented for plasmid propagation. *S. cerevisiae* strains were grown in YP medium (20 g/l tryptone and 10 g/l yeast extract) supplemented with glucose (YPD), xylose (YPX), or glucose and xylose (YPDX) at 30°C, 250 rpm and when necessary, antibiotics were added as follows: G418, 200 μg/ml; zeocin, 200 μg/ml; hygromycin, 200 μg/ml.

**Plasmid construction**

Plasmids were constructed by conventional cloning methods. pCpA1/G-XI was constructed to integrate a copy of *Piromyces XYLA* encoding xylose isomerase at
| Strain     | Description* | Condition                                                                 | Initial sugar, g/l | Final ethanol b,c, g/l | YE b,c, g/g | YG b,c, g/g | RS b,c, g/l/h | RE b,c, g/l/h | Reference |
|------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| RWB218     | piXYLA; XKS1; TAL1; TKL1; RKI1; RPE1; ΔGRE3; adaptive evolution           | Defined medium; inoculum size at 1.1 g DCW/l; fermentation time at 24 h | 100 (glu.) 25 (xyl.) | 47.5                   | 0.38       | 0.08       | 5.21         | 1.98         | [4]       |
| H131-A3-AL CS | piXYLA; psXYL3; psTAL1; TKL1; RPE1; RKI1; ARG4; LEU2; adaptive evolution | Defined medium; inoculum size at 0.05 g DCW/l; fermentation time at ca. 30 h | 40 (xyl.)          | 16.4                   | 0.41       | N/A        | 1.33         | 0.55         | [8]       |
| GS1.11-26  | cpxyIA; XKS1; TAL1; TKL1; RPE1; RKI1; HXT7; TKL2; TAL2; psARAT, blaraB; ecarAD; adaptive evolution | Rich medium; inoculum size at 1.3 g DCW/l; fermentation time at 13 h | 36 (glu.) 37 (xyl.) | 33.6                   | 0.46       | N/A        | 5.62         | 2.58         | [18]      |
| CIBTS0735  | piXYLA; XKS1; TAL1; TKL1; RPE1; ciGXF1; adaptive evolution               | Rich medium; inoculum size at 0.63 g DCW/l; fermentation time at 16 h | 40 (xyl.)          | 17.47                  | 0.44       | 0.04       | 2.50         | 1.09         | This study |
|            |              | Rich medium; inoculum size at 0.63 g DCW/l; fermentation time at 24 h       | 80 (glu.) 40 (xyl.) | 53.34                  | 0.44       | 0.04       | 5.00         | 2.22         | This study |

*piXYLA, Piromyces XYLA; psXYL3, Pichia stipitis XYL3; psTAL1, P. stipitis TAL1; cpxyIA, Clostridium phytofermentans xylA; psARAT, P. stipitis ARAT; blaraB, Bacillus subtilis araB; ecarAD, Escherichia coli araA; ecarAD, E. coli araD; ciGXF1, Candida intermedia GXF1. bYE, ethanol yield; YG, glycerol yield; RS, sugar consumption rate; RE, ethanol production rate. *Values for all strains were recalculated based on the whole fermentation process.
the ARG1 locus and pYIE2-Ty-XI was constructed to integrate the second XLYA copy at the Ty1 locus. For both plasmids, TPI1p (promoter of TPI1) and CYC1t (terminator of CYC1) were used to drive XLYA expression. Genetic maps of these two plasmids are shown in Additional file 1: Figure S4. pSH47-hph was constructed to rescue loxP-flanked selection markers by cloning a hygromycin-resistant gene into pSH47 [31]. pYIE2-GXF1 was constructed to integrate Candida intermedia GXF1 that encodes a xylose transporter at the GRE3 locus. For GXF1, TPI1p and TPI1t (terminator of GRE3) were used to drive gene expression. The genetic map of pYIE2-GXF1 is shown in Additional file 1: Figure S5.

Strain construction
All S. cerevisiae strains were constructed from S. cerevisiae CCTCC M94055. The process of strain construction was depicted in Figure 1. The first XLYA copy was integrated at the ARG1 locus by transforming Kpn2I-linearized pCPa1/G-XI using G418 as the selection marker and similarly, the second XLYA copy was integrated at the Ty1 locus by transforming Sall-linearized pYIE2-Ty-XI using zeocin as the selection marker. Then, zeocin rescue was performed as previously described using pSH47-hph [31]. Subsequently, a DNA fragment (Additional file 1: Figure S6) containing loxP-zeo-loxP-ADH1p-XKS1-XKS1t, TPI1p-TAL1-TAL1t, PGK1p-RPE1-RPE1t, FBA1p-TKLI-TKLI1t, and PDC1p-RK11-RK11t was directly assembled and inserted at the δ locus using DNA Assembler [32] and zeocin as the selection marker. After zeocin rescue, pYIE2-GXF1 was linearized by PstI and then transformed into CIBTS0525 to integrate GXF1 at the GRE3 locus, resulting in CIBTS0573.

Adaptive evolution by serial transfer
For adaptive evolution, strains were grown aerobically inYP medium supplemented with 20–40 g/l xylose. When cultures entered into the stationary phase, new cultivations were made by transferring 10% (v/v) of the cultures into fresh media. This procedure was repeated ca. 15 cycles until the xylose consumption rate did not increase any more. Finally, cultures were diluted and plated on YPX agar plates for single-colony isolation.

Anaerobic fermentation in rich media
Strains were first grown aerobically in 5 ml YP medium supplemented with 20 g/l glucose and 10 g/l xylose in 20 ml test tubes overnight at 30°C, 250 rpm. Then, cultures were used to inoculate 30 ml fresh media in 250 ml flasks. After aerobic growth, cells from the late stationary phase were harvested, washed twice with sterile water, and later used to inoculate 100 ml YP medium supplemented with 40 g/l xylose or 80 g/l glucose and 40 g/l xylose in 300 ml bottles capped with rubber stoppers (syringe needles were inserted into rubber stoppers to release CO2 during fermentation) at an initial OD600 of 1.0 (0.63 g DCW/l). During fermentation, samples were taken at intervals for analysis of OD600 and metabolites.

Analytical methods
Cell densities (OD600) were determined using Beckman Coulter DU 730 Spectrophotometer. For determination of cell dry weight, different volumes (0.5 ml - 1.5 ml) of cell cultures were collected by centrifugation. Cells were washed once with sterile water, dried in a 105°C oven for 48 h, and then weighed. One OD600 unit corresponded to 0.63 g DCW/l. Ethanol was detected using Agilent 7890A GC with an Alltech EC-WAX column and a flame ionization detector. The column was eluted at 85°C with nitrogen. Glucose, xylose, glycerol, and xylitol were detected using Agilent 1200 HPLC with a Bio-Rad HPX-87H column and a refractive index detector. The column was eluted at 65°C with 5 mM of sulfuric acid at a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min.

Enzyme assay
Strains were grown anaerobically to the early stationary phase in YP medium supplemented with 20 g/l glucose.

Table 3 Strains and plasmids used in this study

| Strain/plasmid         | Description                                                                 | Reference |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| CCTCC M94055           | An industrial ethanol-producing S. cerevisiae strain; MATa/a                  | CCTCC     |
| CIBTS0525              | S. cerevisiae CCTCC M94055 derivative; arg1::pCPa1/G-XI; ty1::pYIE2-Ty-XI; δloxP-zeo-loxP-ADH1p-XKS1-XKS1t-TPI1p-TAL1-TAL1t-PGK1p-RPE1-RPE1t-FBA1p-TKLI-TKLI1t-PDC1p-RK11-RK11t | This study|
| CIBTS0555              | A single-colony isolate of CIBTS0525 after adaptive evolution               | This study|
| CIBTS0573              | CIBTS0525 derivative; gre3::pYIE2-GXF1                                     | This study|
| CIBTS0573             | A single-colony isolate of CIBTS0573 after adaptive evolution              | This study|
| pCPa1/G-XI             | Carrying TPI1p-XLYA-CYC1t, used to integrate a copy of Piromyces XLYA at the ARG1 locus | This study|
| pYIE2-Ty-XI             | Carrying TPI1p-XLYA-CYC1t, used to integrate a copy of Piromyces XLYA at the Ty1 locus | This study|
| pSH47-hph             | pSH47 encoding a hygromycin-resistant protein                              | This study|
| pYIE2-GXF1             | Carrying TPI1p-GXF1-TPI1t, used to integrate Candida intermedia GXF1 at the GRE3 locus | This study|

*CCTCC, China Center for Type Culture Collection.*
and 10 g/l xylose. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at room temperature and washed twice with sterile water. After suspended in Tris–HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 7.5), cells were disrupted by sonication. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation and crude extracts were reserved for enzyme assays. The total protein concentration in cell extracts was determined using the Bradford assay with bovine serum albumin as the standard. The activity of xylose isomerase was measured in reaction mixtures containing 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH6.8), 1 mM MnCl2, 5 mM xylose, and cell extracts. After incubation at 37°C for 20 min, xylose was quantified by the cysteine-carbazole-sulfuric acid method [33].

Additional file

Additional file 1: Supplemental material. Figure S1. Anaerobic fermentation of CIBTS0552 on xylose. (A), Construction process of CIBTS0552. (B), Xylose fermentation. The strain was cultured at 30°C with shaking in YP medium supplemented with 40 g/l xylose in a 300 ml shake flask containing 100 ml medium. The shake flask was capped with a rubber stopper (a syringe needle was inserted into the rubber stopper to release CO2 during fermentation). The initial OD600 was set at 1.0 (0.63 g DCW/l). Figure S2. Anaerobic fermentation of CIBTS0734 on xylose. (A), Construction process of CIBTS0734. (B), Xylose fermentation. The strain was cultured at 30°C with shaking in YP medium supplemented with 40 g/l xylose in a 300 ml shake flask containing 100 ml medium. The shake flask was capped with a rubber stopper (a syringe needle was inserted into the rubber stopper to release CO2 during fermentation). The initial OD600 was set at 1.0 (0.63 g DCW/l). Figure S3. Anaerobic fermentation of CIBTS0735 in undetoxified corn stover hydrolysate. The strain was cultured at 30°C with shaking in a 100 ml shake flask containing 30 ml undetoxified corn stover hydrolysate. The hydrolysate was only supplemented with 1 g/l urea and its pH was adjusted to 6.0. The shake flask was capped with a rubber stopper (a syringe needle was inserted into the rubber stopper to release CO2 during fermentation) and the initial OD600 was set at 8.0 (5 g DCW/l). The shake flask was capped with a rubber stopper (a syringe needle was inserted into the rubber stopper to release CO2 during fermentation) and the initial OD600 was set at 8.0 (5 g DCW/l). The hydrolysate contained 82.3 g/l glucose, 54.2 g/l xylose, 8.4 g/l acetic acid and 2.6 g/l HFV. Figure S4. Genetic map for pCPA1/G-XI and pYI2-Ty-XI. Figure S5. Genetic map for pGY2-GX1. Figure S6. DNA fragment used to overexpress xylulokinase and the four nonoxidative enzymes in the pentose phosphate pathway at the δ locus.
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