How Population III Supernovae Determined the Properties of the First Galaxies
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Abstract

Massive Population III stars can die as energetic supernovae that enrich the early Universe with metals and determine the properties of the first galaxies. With masses of about \(10^9 \, M_\odot\) at \(z \gtrsim 10\), these galaxies are believed to be the ancestors of the Milky Way. This paper investigates the impact of Population III supernova remnants (SNRs) from both Salpeter-like and top-heavy initial mass functions (IMFs) on the formation of first galaxies with high-resolution radiation-hydrodynamical simulations with the ENZO code. Our findings indicate that SNRs from a top-heavy Population III IMF produce more metals, leading to more efficient gas cooling and earlier Population II star formation in the first galaxies. From a few hundred to a few thousand Population II stars can form in the central regions of these galaxies. These stars have metallicities of \(10^{-3} - 10^{-2} \, Z_\odot\), greater than those of extremely metal-poor (EMP) stars. Their mass function follows a power-law distribution with \(dN(M_\star)/dM_\star \propto M_\star^{\alpha}\), where \(M_\star\) is stellar mass, and \(\alpha = 2.66 - 5.83\) and is steeper for a top-heavy IMF. We thus find that EMP stars were not typical of most primitive galaxies.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Supernova remnants (1667); Population III stars (1285); Chemical enrichment (225); Early-type galaxies (429); Hydrodynamical simulations (767); CEMP stars (2105); Supernovae (1668)

1. Introduction

The birth of primordial (Population III) stars at \(z \sim 20 - 25\) marked the end of the cosmic dark ages and the onset of the first galaxy and supermassive black hole (SMBH) formation (Wise & Abel 2008a, 2008b; Whalen & Fryer 2012; Wise et al. 2012; Smidt et al. 2018; Latif et al. 2021, 2022; Patrick et al. 2023). Population III stars are thought to form in primordial minihalos that have grown to \(10^5 - 10^6 \, M_\odot\) by mergers and accretion, when enough \(H_2\) can form to cool gas and create stars. The original numerical simulations of Population III star formation (SF) suggested that they have typical masses of \(100 - 200 \, M_\odot\) and form in isolation, one per halo (Bromm et al. 2001; Nakamura & Umemura 2001; Abel et al. 2002), but later studies have since shown that they can form in binaries (Turk et al. 2009; Stacy & Bromm 2013) or small multiples (Stacy et al. 2010; Clark et al. 2011; Greif et al. 2011; Riaz et al. 2018; Susa 2019; Sharada et al. 2020; Wollenberg et al. 2020). The final mass of Population III stars depends on how many of them form in a halo, when their ionizing UV flux halts accretion (McKee & Tan 2008; Hosokawa et al. 2011; Susa 2013; Hirano et al. 2014, 2015), and whether or not they are ejected from the disk by gravitational torques (Greif et al. 2012). They have been found to range from less than a solar mass to up to several hundred solar masses. However, the Population III initial mass function (IMF) remains unknown because Population III stars cannot be directly observed, and recent simulations that have included crucial physics such as magnetic fields and radiation transport cannot evolve to the main sequence at the required numerical resolution (Bromm et al. 2009; Glover 2013; Whalen 2013; Greif 2015; McKee et al. 2020; Jaura et al. 2022; Stacy et al. 2022).

Population III stars radically transform their environments, first by photoevaporating the halos in which they are born (Kitayama et al. 2004; Whalen et al. 2004; Abel et al. 2007) and at least partially ionizing others nearby (Susa & Umemura 2006; Hasegawa et al. 2009). Then, depending on their masses, some explode as supernovae (SNe), expelling large masses of the first metals in the Universe. Population III stars with masses of \(8 - 30 \, M_\odot\) die as core-collapse (CC) SNe and \(90 - 260 \, M_\odot\) stars explode as highly energetic pair-instability (PI) SNe (Heger & Woosley 2002, 2010). A few very rapidly rotating \(30 - 60 \, M_\odot\) Population III stars may die as gamma-ray bursts (GRBs; e.g., Mesler et al. 2014) or hypernovae (HNe; e.g., Smidt et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2017b). A number of studies have examined how metals from Population III SNe propagate into the Universe on a variety of scales, from inside the halo itself (Sluder et al. 2016) to out into the relic HI region of the progenitor star (Greif et al. 2007; Ritter et al. 2012, 2016; Chen et al. 2017c; Latif & Schleicher 2020; Magg et al. 2020; Tarumi et al. 2020). Both radiation (Yoshida et al. 2007; Susa et al. 2009) and metals (Mackey et al. 2003; Schneider et al. 2006; Smith & Sigurdsson 2007; Smith et al. 2015) from the first stars cause subsequent generations of stars to form on smaller mass scales. Population III SNe could constrain the masses of the first stars, either directly through their detection in the near-infrared (NIR; Tanaka et al. 2012; Whalen et al. 2013a, 2013b, 2013c, 2013d; de Souza et al. 2013, 2014; Tanaka et al. 2013; Hartwig et al. 2018a; Moriya et al. 2019; Rydberg et al. 2020) or indirectly through their nucleosynthetic imprint on less massive second-generation stars that may still live today (e.g., Beers &
2. Numerical Method

We model the formation of first galaxies with the ENZO adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) cosmology code (v2.5; Bryan et al. 2014). ENZO uses an adaptive particle-mesh N-body scheme (Efstathiou et al. 1985; Couchman 1991) to evolve dark matter (DM) and a third-order accurate piecewise-parabolic method for gas flow (Woodward &Colella 1984; Bryan et al. 1995). We use the low-viscosity Harten–Lax–van Leer–Contact (HLLC) Riemann method (Toro et al. 1994) for capturing strong shocks and rarefaction waves in order to prevent negative energies or densities in the simulation. ENZO self-consistently evolves nine-species non-equilibrium gas chemistry with hydrodynamics (H, H⁺, e⁻, He, He⁺, He²⁺, H², H₂, and H²⁺; Abel et al. 1997; Anninos et al. 1997) and includes primordial cooling in the energy equation: collisional excitational and ionizational cooling by H and He, recombinational cooling, bremsstrahlung cooling, and H₂ cooling. We use H₂ cooling rates from Glover & Abel (2008) and metal cooling rates from Glover & Jappsen (2007).

2.1. Protogalaxy Model

The protogalaxies in our simulations are approximated as isolated Navarro–Frenk–White (NFW) halos (Navarro et al. 1996) whose DM density profiles are

\[ \rho_{\text{DM}}(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{\left(1 + \frac{r}{R_s}\right)^2}, \]

where \( r \) is the radius, and \( \rho_0 \) and the "scale radius" \( R_s \) depend on the physical properties of the halo. This profile is used to initialize the gravitational potential of the DM in the halo, but DM dynamics itself is not included in our runs, so this potential is held fixed over the run. We adopt idealized profiles for the initial structure of the protogalaxy, instead of evolving them from primordial density fluctuations at high redshifts, so we can efficiently run models and examine the impact of Population III SN remnants (SNRs) on their structures at later times. Because the DM halos for first galaxies formed at \( z \sim 10^{-15} \), these halos have a more compact structure than our present-day galaxies. For our simulations, we use the scale radius of 1000 pc for a nonrotating \( 10^9 M_\odot \) DM halo with a total gas mass of \( M_\text{gas} \sim 1 \times 10^8 M_\odot \) for our protogalaxy.

DM in the first galaxies is composed of swarms of DM minihalos that have gravitationally congregated into a more massive bound structure, not the smooth NFW profiles with which we initialized our models. Most of the mass in the galaxy is in the form of these smaller halos. Our simulations therefore do not properly account for their point-mass contribution to the true potential in the halo. The immediate consequence of this for our models is that they underestimate mixing of metals from SNRs in the interior of the halo. Tidal torquing between constituent minihalos in the galaxy would eject streams of gas from their SNRs and promote mixing of their metals with ambient pristine gas.

Population III SNRs are initialized from Population III SNe in cosmological environments in Chen et al. (2014, 2015), as shown in Figure 1. Depending on the SN type, Population III SNRs can reach radii of \( 0.5–2 \) kpc with metallicities of \( \sim 10^{-4}–10^{-2} Z_\odot \). By assuming spherical symmetry and neglecting the clumpy structures, we calculate 1D simplified profiles of density, temperature, and metallicity of Population III SNRs based on Chen et al. (2015). We show these profiles in Figure 2, and we initialize them as the pre-existing SNRs at the beginning of each run. Based on Chen et al. (2015), these profiles consider the relic H II region of the star, which can expand the size of SNRs after it comes into pressure equilibrium in the warm, partially recombined gas (Greif et al. 2007). Our SNRs are produced by three types of SN explosions: a 15 \( M_\odot \) CC SN (1.2 foe), a 60 \( M_\odot \) HN (10 foe), and a 250 \( M_\odot \) PI SN (100 foe), where 1 foe = 10^{51} erg. The metal yields of these explosions are 1.8 \( M_\odot \), 26.4 \( M_\odot \), and 109 \( M_\odot \), respectively. The SNRs are randomly distributed throughout the halo but are all assigned freefall velocities toward its center.

Because we do not evolve halos in cosmological environments, we ignore temperature floors in the gas imposed by the cosmic microwave background (CMB). The CMB can affect the mass scales of fragmentation in gas in minihalos at early times. However, at the typical redshifts of formation of first galaxies, the CMB floor is 30–45 K, similar to the temperatures to which metals and dust can cool gas at the low metallicities in
our models. Exclusion of the CMB will therefore have negligible effects on the mass scales of star formation in our simulations.

Our $10^9 M_e$ DM halo is composed of hundreds of minihalos, but only a few tens of them were originally massive enough to host Population III stars or SNRs. The initial numbers and types of SNRs in the massive halo are determined by the choice of Population III IMF. Here, we consider a Salpeter-like IMF (SAL; Salpeter 1955; Kroupa 2001; Chabrier 2003) with a peak at $10 M_e$ and a top-heavy IMF from Hirano et al. (2015: HIR15). We assume a Salpeter IMF with a peak at $10 M_e$ for massive stars, because it is the approximate lower limit in progenitor mass for CC SNe. A number of recent studies also suggest that Population III stars may have had typical masses of a few tens of solar masses (Hasegawa et al. 2009; Hosokawa et al. 2011; Hirano et al. 2014, 2015; Latif et al. 2022).

If we use the SAL IMF, 18 CC SNe and 2 HNe are initialized in the halo. In the case of the HIR15 IMF, the halo contains 2 CC SNe and 7 PI SNe. We randomly distribute these SNRs throughout the halo. Gas densities in our halos marginally trace the NFW DM profiles because the gas is assumed to have already settled in the gravitational potential to some degree by the time we begin to evolve them. We consider two gas density profiles of $\rho(r) \sim r^{-1}$ and $\rho(r) \sim r^0$ in the halo, to examine the effect of gas distribution formed during the assembly of minihalos. Furthermore, all density profiles are in non-hydrostatic equilibrium.

The halo is centered in a 10 kpc box with a 128$^3$ root grid with outflow boundary conditions. To resolve the structure of

| Model | $M_{\text{halo}}$ | SNR IMF | $\rho(r)$ | $M_{\text{PopIII}}$ | $N_{\text{CC}}$ | $N_{\text{HN}}$ | $N_{\text{PI}}$ |
|-------|------------------|----------|------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| A1    | $10^9$           | SAL      | $r^{-1.0}$ | 20                  | 18             | 2              | 0              |
| A2    | $10^9$           | SAL      | $r^{-1.0}$ | 200                 | 18             | 2              | 0              |
| B1    | $10^9$           | HIR15    | $r^{-1.0}$ | 20                  | 2              | 0              | 7              |
| B2    | $10^9$           | HIR15    | $r^{-1.0}$ | 200                 | 2              | 0              | 7              |
| C1    | $10^9$           | SAL      | $r^0$      | 20                  | 18             | 2              | 0              |
| C2    | $10^9$           | SAL      | $r^0$      | 200                 | 18             | 2              | 0              |
| D1    | $10^9$           | HIR15    | $r^0$      | 20                  | 2              | 0              | 7              |
| D2    | $10^9$           | HIR15    | $r^0$      | 200                 | 2              | 0              | 7              |

Note. Left to right: model, halo mass ($M_{\text{halo}}$), Population III SNR IMF, baryon density profile, Population III star mass ($M_{\text{PopIII}}$), number of CC SNe, number of HN SNe, and number of PI SNe.
SNRs, we use up to 10 levels of AMR. The grid is flagged for refinement where the gas overdensity is $8\rho_0^N$, where $\rho_0 = 1.673 \times 10^{-27}$ g cm$^{-3}$ is the ambient density of the halo, $N=8$ is the refinement factor, and $l$ is the AMR level of the grid patch. In the densest regions, this procedure yields a maximum spatial resolution of 0.077 pc, which is sufficient to resolve the shell mergers between SNRs, and the central SF sites at later times.

**Figure 3.** Density distribution at the beginning, intermediate, and final stages of the A1, B1, C1, and D1 runs before the Population II SF. Collisions of Population III SNRs create filamentary structures and drive the mixing between the metals and primordial gas. The mixed gas eventually collapses to the halo center, where SF would occur shortly.
2.2. Star Formation

Our galaxy simulations have a spatial resolution of up to 0.077 pc, which is high enough to resolve metal mixing and star-forming clouds in the halo at later times but not Population II SF. In the high-density region, each cell mass represents a small patch of the molecular cloud that later forms a single star. We adopt a subgrid model of SF from Cen & Ostriker (1992) and assume a Population II SF efficiency $\varepsilon_{\text{SF}} = 0.05$, in which 5% of the mass of the gas in a cell that satisfies the criteria for SF is converted into a star particle. This conversion efficiency is motivated by studies of SF in the local Universe that suggest that only a small fraction of molecular gas is converted into stars (Evans et al. 2009; Krumholz et al. 2019; Kim et al. 2021). In reality, it may vary depending on the resolution of the simulations and the physical conditions in SF regions. Since cloud structure is marginally resolved in our simulation, the SF efficiency here represents a lower limit. SF cells in our simulations normally contain $\sim 20$–500 $M_\odot$ of gas, which leads to typical masses of several to tens of solar masses for Population II stars.

2.3. Stellar Feedback

After star particles form in the simulations, their radiation feedback to surroundings is on during their lifetime. We model the feedback of ionizing UV photons from massive stars with the MORAY ray-tracing package (Wise & Abel 2011). MORAY includes radiation pressure on gas due to photoionization and the radiation is self-consistently coupled to gas hydrodynamics, cooling, and chemistry in ENZO. Each star particle is treated as a point source that emits both ionizing and Lyman–Werner (LW) photons. The luminosities and lifetimes for newborn Population III stars\(^5\) formed during the simulations use the table from Schaerer (2002). For individual Population II stars, we have calculated their luminosity function using 1D stellar evolution models with MESA (Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015, 2018).

Stellar winds may reshape the ambient media of massive stars and affect the dynamical evolution of SNRs (Dwarkadas 2005). However, we neglect the feedback of stellar winds from Population III stars because the zero metallicity suppresses the stellar wind significantly. On the other hand, the stellar winds of Population II stars vary as $m \sim 1$ for metallicities down to 0.01 $Z_\odot$ (Vink et al. 2001; Smith 2014). Because the Population II stars in the first galaxies likely form with metallicities of $Z < 0.01 Z_\odot$, the winds for these low-metallicity stars are weak and uncertain (Ou et al. 2023). Therefore, we also exclude the wind feedback of Population II stars in our runs.

Some of the massive Population II and Population III stars eventually die as SNe at the end of their life and produce strong feedback to their surroundings. Explosion energy from Population II and Population III SNe is deposited in the gas as thermal energy rather than linear momentum (e.g., Whalen et al. 2008b). This practice in principle can lead to the classic overcooling problem, in which large amounts of thermal energy deposited in high densities are radiated away by cooling before they can create the large pressure gradients that drive shocks outward, as in real explosions. However, this issue is resolved in our runs because our high resolution allows us to

\(^5\) These newborn Population III stars known as Population III.2 (Hirano et al. 2015) might have experienced the radiative feedback from earlier Population III stars. Since we do not include the radiative feedback from these Population III stars, we treat the Population III.2 stars as Population III stars.
follow radiative cooling accurately. Furthermore, as discussed in the Introduction, ionizing UV flux from the star drives gas from it in strong supersonic flows, and the explosions always occur in low densities (stellar winds have a similar effect when present; Chen et al. 2015; Smidt et al. 2018). Consequently, sound-crossing times in our models are shorter than cooling times and SNe can drive strong shocks in our runs.

We performed eight runs in which we used the initial SNRs based on two Population III IMFs, two mass scales of the Population III stars that form during the run, and two gas density profiles for the halo. These models are divided into four groups, and what varies between models in a group is the mass scale of Population III stars that form in them. The model parameters are summarized in Table 1. SF rates in the galaxies in our models level off as ionizing UV and SNe begin to suppress the formation of new stars. Because we are mostly interested in the transition from Population III to Population II SF (and computational costs rise as more stars form), the
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**Figure 5.** Left: Metallicity distribution before the Population II SF in the A1, B1, C1, and D1 runs. Panels show slices of metallicity at the central 3.0 kpc region, where metals of the Population III SNRs have reached the centers and chemically enriched the primordial gas to metallicities of $10^{-4}$–$10^{-3}Z_\odot$ at large. Right: The corresponding 1D spherically averaged metallicity profiles of $r < 500$ pc.
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**Figure 6.** Left: The phase diagram of densities, temperatures, and metallicities of the gas inside the first galaxy before the Population II SF. The star-forming gas is located at the high-density and low-temperature region as shown in the bottom right corner of each panel. The temperatures and densities of the gas are also associated with its metallicity. The Population II SF region in B1 and D1 contains higher metallicity than that in A1 and C1. Some high-density regions have been cooled down to $\sim 10$ K because we ignore the ambient gas temperatures heated by the cosmic background radiation. Right: The Population II SF regions in A1. Red dots represent individual Population II stars. Due to the metal cooling and turbulence, these Population II stars form into clusters along the dense filaments around the halo center.
Simulations are evolved for 35–60 Myr, until SF flattens out and the properties of the stellar populations in the nascent galaxies stabilize.

3. Accretion of Population III Supernovae Remnants

3.1. Collapse of Population III SNRs

The infalling time for the outermost SNRs to reach the halo center is about 30–40 Myr. Because the gravity of the halo is dominated by the dark matter, the infalling time for most of gas to reach the center is similar among models. We first show the density evolution of simulations in Figure 3. At $t = 0$, the range of filling factors of SNRs is due to the energy of SN explosions: larger PI SNRs in B1 and D1 are easily distinguished from the less energetic CC SNRs in the A1 and C1 runs. These Population III SNRs and the primordial gas are dragged by the halo gravity toward its center. Meanwhile, the SNR–SNR collisions produce a range of turbulent flows at the center, with fairly violent ones in the B and D models. Eventually, the turbulence drives the mixing of SNR metal and primordial gas and creates filamentary structures that soon form into dense clumps due to the self-gravity and metal cooling of the gas.

3.2. Feedback from New Population III Supernovae

Before the initial SNRs accrete, the primordial gas has been accumulated at the halo center and formed Population III stars, the compositions of which remain primordial, but they experience the UV radiation from earlier Population III stars. These Population III stars only form in the A and B runs with a steeper gas density profile of $r^{-1}$. For C and D runs, the pre-existing SNRs around the halo center prevent the consequent Population III SF.

These massive Population III stars can impose strong radiative and SN feedback before the initial Population III SNRs reach the halo center. We show radiative feedback and metal injection of a Population III star in Figure 4. This Population III star of 200 $M_\odot$ heats and ionizes surrounding gas, which can either suppress or promote new SF in its vicinity (Whalen et al. 2008a, 2010). After its short lifetime of $\sim 2.0$ Myr, the star dies as a PI SN and its shock heats the gas to high temperatures ($>10^5$ K) and ejects a large mass of metals that enhance cooling and promote a transition to Population II SF. The existence of Population III stars in the first galaxies is promising, but due to a rapid pollution of metals from Population III SNRs; only a small fraction of pristine gas could form Population III stars. Therefore, Population III stars cannot be the major components of the first galaxies. Only one or two Population III stars could form in A and B models; their metal contributions to the halo are small in comparison to that of the initial Population III SNRs. However, most Population III stars form around the halo center that also hosts the later Population II SF. Therefore, the stellar and SN feedback of

Figure 7. The Population II mass function in first galaxies. No Population III stars remain at the end of any of the runs. The mass function can be fitted with a power-law function in most runs. The total number of stars, the best-fit index $\alpha$, and the fitting line slope $1 - \alpha$ are listed in each panel.

Table 2

| Model | $t_{\text{evol}}$ (Myr) | $N_{\text{PopII}}$ | $M_\star$ ($M_\odot$) | $N_{\text{PopIII}}$ | $\alpha$ | $L$ ($L_\odot$) |
|-------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|
| A1    | 45.5                | 1742            | 3350            | 2               | 2.81  | $3.23 \times 10^5$ |
| A2    | 37.0                | 2022            | 3966            | 1               | 2.82  | $6.00 \times 10^5$ |
| B1    | 32.5                | 1949            | 2387            | 1               | 5.83  | $1.39 \times 10^5$ |
| B2    | 33.5                | 1968            | 2655            | 1               | 4.58  | $2.76 \times 10^5$ |
| C1    | 58.0                | 170             | 225             | 0               | 2.66  | $1.92 \times 10^5$ |
| C2    | 53.5                | 234             | 391             | 0               | 2.78  | $8.57 \times 10^5$ |
| D1    | 32.5                | 2295            | 3409            | 0               | 4.18  | $5.57 \times 10^5$ |
| D2    | 37.5                | 1241            | 1692            | 0               | 4.38  | $1.77 \times 10^5$ |

Note. Left to right: model name, evolution time, the final number of Population II stars, total stellar mass, number of Population III stars formed during the simulation, $\alpha$ (the power-law index of mass function, $dN_\star/dM_\star \propto M_\star^{-\alpha}$), and total luminosity.
Population III stars may still affect the Population II SF in the first galaxies.

### 3.3. Chemical Enrichment

To examine the chemical enrichment by Population III SNRs, we first show the metallicity distributions for models A1–D1 in the left panel of Figure 5, before the Population II SF. The accretion of PI SNRs in the HIR15 IMF cases (B1 and D1) results in more concentrated and higher-metallicity regions than in the SAL IMF cases (A1 and C1). Despite the fact that some SNRs are still approaching the halo center, the central metallicity of \( r < 200 \text{ pc} \) is enriched by both the new Population III SNRs and the original Population III SNRs. We find that halos with Population III PI SN remnants in B1 and D1 exhibit stronger turbulent mixing in their densest regions by showing a uniform metallicity across the inner region, because the remnants collide with greater energy when they reach the halo center. In fact, the yields of Population III CC SNe, HNe, and PISNe produce unique abundance patterns that can leave fingerprints on the Population II stars, helping to decode the nature of Population III stars. Tracing each individual element from each SN requires a huge advection network that is computationally expensive. Therefore, we do not trace the individual elements in our simulations, but instead only consider the total amount of metal by summing all elements of SN yields.

We show spherically averaged profiles of metallicities for the A1–D1 runs before the Population II SF in Figure 5. The metallicity in all models ranges from \( 2 \times 10^{-4} \) to \( 5 \times 10^{-3} Z_\odot \).

---

**Figure 8.** Metallicity distribution of the Population II stars in first galaxies. Each model has a stellar population within a metallicity range of \( 10^{-3} \)–\( 2 \times 10^{-2} Z_\odot \). Because only A and B models form the Population III stars, they create a more dispersed stellar metallicity distribution between A1 and A2, as well as B1 and B2, due to the metals from the Population III SNe.
The metallicity increase in the inner region of A1 is due to the chemical enrichment of Population III stars, and the rise in C1 is due to an initialized SNR at the center. Finally, we show the density, temperature, and metallicity phase diagram in the left panel of Figure 6. Maximum densities of the gas clumps can reach above $10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}$, with metallicities of $Z \approx 10^{-3}$ at temperatures of 10–100 K. As time evolves, these dense gas clumps eventually collapse and give birth to the Population II stars.

4. Population II Star Formation in the Protogalaxy

The accreting Population III SNRs and the primordial gas eventually trigger the Population II SF around the halo center at 30–35 Myr, when the dense clumps satisfy the SF criteria. Due to the effective metal cooling, the mass scale of these Population II stars shifted to a low-mass end and formed into a cluster as shown in the right panel of Figure 6. In our simulations, the metal cooling acts on the cloud scale, and the inhomogeneous mixing of metal drives the fragmentation of clouds, forming into SF clumps. We exclude the effect of SF disk fragmentation (Clark et al. 2011; Chiaki et al. 2018; Sharda et al. 2020) due to metal and dust cooling in the subgrid scale. Several star-forming regions appear in our simulations, due to the inhomogeneous mixing of SNRs and primordial gas. The SF mainly locates along the filaments of dense structures within the central 200 pc of the halo. Most stars form within a few million years until their stellar feedback disrupts the star-forming cloud and prevents further SF. The Population II SF begins later in SAL halos than in HIR15 halos because a weaker metal cooling delays the SF. Among all models, about 170–2295 Population II stars form in this episode of SF and produce a total stellar mass $\sim 225–3966 M_\odot$ (see Table 2 for details).

4.1. The Mass Function

We show the mass functions of Population II stars in Figure 7. Most of the mass functions can be fitted using a power-law distribution, $dN_*/dM_* \propto M_*^{-\alpha}$, where $N_*$ is the number of stars and $M_*$ is the mass of the stars. The most massive Population II star in our simulations is $\sim 13.6 M_\odot$ in A2. In C and D models, the mass function becomes slightly irregular, with a second peak appearing at the high-mass end. In these cases, low-mass stars formed first, creating the first peak. Then radiative feedback from these Population II stars heated the surrounding clouds and increased their Jeans masses, leading to more massive star formation shown as the second peaks in Figure 7. These mass functions could place constraints on the Population III SNRs if the power-law indices of the stellar mass functions of our model galaxies hold until their Population II SF becomes a steady state.

We find that power-law index, $\alpha$, varies from 2.66–5.83 across all eight models, and this value is higher than 2.3, i.e., the $\alpha$ of the Salpeter IMF (Salpeter 1955; Kroupa 2001; Chabrier 2003). Comparing all models, we find that the models seeded with PI SNRs results have larger $\alpha$ and steeper slopes in the mass functions. Before Population II SF, stronger metal cooling and turbulence driven by PI SNRs can fragment enriched clouds and decrease the mass scale of Population II stars and result in steeper slopes. The change in IMF slope can be understood by considering the Jeans mass of the clouds,

$$M_J \approx 13.7 M_\odot \left(\frac{T}{50 \text{ K}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\rho}{10^{-20} \text{ g cm}^{-3}}\right)^{-1/2},$$

where $\rho$ is gas density and $T$ is the temperature. The Jeans mass is more sensitive to changes in temperature than density. As shown in the phase diagram in Figure 6, the Jeans mass varies from 1.22 to 38.7 $M_\odot$ for temperatures of 10–100 K at densities.
of $10^{-20}\text{g cm}^{-3}$. The cell masses are 20–500 $M_\odot$, indicating that the majority of them are poised for imminent collapse and star formation. In Figure 6, gas temperatures at $\rho \sim 10^{-20}$–$10^{-18}\text{g cm}^{-3}$ are generally lower in B1 than in A1 because B1 has a higher metallicity. Enrichment by PI SNRs can create higher metallicities and stronger turbulence, facilitating the mixing of metals toward the center of the halo where star formation occurs. The higher metallicities cool gas to lower temperatures and result in smaller Jeans masses, promoting low-mass star formation and the steepening of the IMF slope.

4.2. Stellar Metallicity

We now examine the stellar metallicity of Population II stars by exhibiting their metallicity distributions in Figure 8. Stars in A2 and B2 runs have the highest metallicities because new Population III stars die as PI SNe and inject the most metals into the halo center. Overall, the B and D models have higher metallicities than the A and C models, because of the initial PI SNRs. The metallicity distribution of the C and D series is more confined than the A or B series because no Population III stars form to further enrich the gas. Small variations in metallicity within C and D series are due to the variations in the initial distributions of SNRs. The gas at the center of the halo in the D series is more turbulently mixed by collisions between PI SNRs there (as shown in panel (d) of Figure 5). Therefore, there is even less variation in metallicity than in the D series.

4.3. Stellar Dynamics

Newly born Population II stars can inherit a drift velocity from the motion of their star-forming cloud. If this velocity exceeds the escape velocity of a halo, stars will escape the halo without contributing to its luminosity. We examine this probability of runaway Population II stars by showing the final Population II star velocity distributions in Figure 9. Population II stars have velocities of <$30\text{km s}^{-1}$, but the escape velocity of a $10^6M_\odot$ halo is $\sim45\text{km s}^{-1}$ in A–D. Therefore, all Population II stars will remain in their host halo.

4.4. Correlating the Population III IMF with the First Galaxies

Most Population II stars in the first galaxies form with $Z \geq 10^{-4}Z_\odot$, exceeding the metallicity of extremely metal-poor (EMP) stars, $Z \sim 10^{-5}$–$10^{-4}Z_\odot$ (Umeda & Nomoto 2002; Frebel 2010). Consequently, EMP stars are not representative of Population II stars in the earliest galaxies. Their mass function follows a power law whose indices depend on SNR type and the Population III IMF. Top-heavy Population III IMFs (Hirano et al. 2015, 2017) create metal-rich PI SNRs that enhance metal cooling and eventually lead to steeper slopes for the early Population II IMF, less massive Population II stars, and dimmer galaxies at early times than Salpeter Population III IMFs.

5. Conclusion

We have investigated how Population III SNRs bridge the death of the first stars and the rise of the first galaxies with high-resolution simulations. We find that they rapidly enriched gas in massive halos with metals and drove turbulence as they gravitated toward the centers of the halos. Subsequent populations of stars appeared at earlier times in these galaxies if the Population III IMF was top-heavy, because the gas in halos became contaminated by metals more quickly, cooling and forming stars. This trend is primarily due to PI SNe producing nearly 100 times the metals of CC SNe and more than 10 times the metals of HNe (Heger & Woosley 2002, 2010).

PI SNe in our protogalaxies consistently enrich star-forming gas to metallicities of $10^{-3}$–$10^{-2}Z_\odot$, above those targeted by most surveys of EMP stars to date (e.g., Cayrel et al. 2004; Beers & Christlieb 2005; Aoki et al. 2014; Placco et al. 2016). This likely accounts for their failure to conclusively identify the “odd–even” nucleosynthetic fingerprint of these explosions (Heger & Woosley 2002; Karlsson et al. 2008). Indeed, in the first confirmed detection of the odd–even effect in a metal-poor star, LAMOST J1010+2358 (Xing et al. 2023), happened at a metallicity of $[\text{Fe/H}] = −2.4$, consistent with those of the galaxies in our models with PI SNRs.

Our simulations also indicate that Population III stars were not a major component of most early galaxies because gas in massive halos was usually polluted by metals from other Population III SNe during hierarchical assembly before it could collapse into pristine stars. In future work, we will evolve our galaxies to larger masses in order to better determine the evolution of the mass function of Population II stars over time. Follow-ups to the JWST CEERS and JADES surveys in coming years will achieve greater depths and probe the properties of the first galaxies and second-generation stars in the coming decade.
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