The \textit{Pristine} survey – XVII. The C-19 stream is dynamically hot and more extended than previously thought
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ABSTRACT

The C-19 stream is the most metal poor stellar system ever discovered, with a mean metallicity $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -3.38 \pm 0.06$. Its low metallicity dispersion ($\sigma_{[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]} < 0.18$ at the 95\% confidence level) as well as variations in sodium abundances strongly suggest a globular cluster origin. In this work, we use VLT/UVES spectra of seven C-19 stars to derive more precise velocity measurements for member stars, and to identify two new members with radial velocities and metallicities consistent with the stream’s properties. One of these new member stars is located $30^\circ$ away from the previously identified body of C-19, implying that the stream is significantly more extended than previously known and that more members likely await discovery. The tension in the $\text{Na}$ abundances of the three newly observed bright member stars, the variations in $\text{Mg}$ and $\text{Al}$ for two of them, and the normal $\text{Ba}$ abundance of the one star where it can be measured provide further evidence for a globular cluster origin. The tension between the dynamical and chemical properties of C-19 suggests that its progenitor experienced a complex birth environment or disruption history.

Key words: galaxies: halo — galaxies: kinematics and dynamics — galaxies: formation — methods: data analysis

1 INTRODUCTION

Combining the Gaia Data Release EDR3 with the Pristine survey dedicated to the search for low-metallicity stars (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021; Starkenburg et al. 2017) we now have a sizable sample of stars with metallicity below $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -2$ and accurate astrometric measurements (Lindegren et al. 2021). At the same time, continuous improvements in the search for substructures in the halo of the Milky Way have revealed a plethora of very metal-poor streams (Wan et al. 2020; Ibata et al. 2021; Li et al. 2022; Martin et al. 2022a). Among them, the C-19 stream is the most metal-poor stream ever discovered. Its extreme metal-poor nature was first revealed by the photometric metallicities of member stars in the \textit{Pristine} survey, and further confirmed by spectroscopic follow-up studies (Martin et al. 2022b). Tight constraints on its unresolved metallicity dispersion and
large variations in sodium abundances of its member stars strongly suggest that the C-19 stream is the remnant of a disrupted globular cluster (GC), whose extremely low metallicity is significantly below what measured for the lowest metallicity GCs in the Local Universe.

At the same time, the velocity dispersion of the C-19 stream is $\sim 7$ km s$^{-1}$ based on three neighboring members with observational uncertainty of $\sim 2$ km s$^{-1}$ and a rough estimate of the stream’s end-to-end width gives $\sim 600$ pc (Martin et al. 2022b). Judging from these measurements, the C-19 stream is dynamically hotter than most of the known globular cluster streams (see e.g., Li et al. 2022, and references within). In addition, Martin et al. (2022b) estimate the mass of the C-19 progenitor to be $\geq 10^4 M_\odot$. This lower limit is based on the assumption that the full extent of the stream has been discovered. However, the possibility remains that C-19 is spatially more extended, although few obvious members (and no bound GC remnant) have so far been identified.

In this work, we confirm the unusually large velocity dispersion of the C-19 stream with accurate radial velocity measurements of confirmed members. We also explore the true extent of the C-19 stream through the discovery of a new member far away from the currently known part of the stream. We describe the target selection and observations in Sec. 2. We present our results for the newly confirmed members and revised stream properties in Sec. 3. Finally, we conclude in Sec. 4.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

The list of all confirmed C-19 stars is provided in Table 1 and includes two new members (Pristine_354.96+28.47 and C-19_346.20–10.70) presented in this work for the first time. Pristine_354.96+28.47 is a bright star ($G = 14.28$) with very low metallicity (as indicated by photometric data from Pristine) and that we were not able to observe previously. We observed this star on 18–21 May 2021 using VLT/UVES via program 0105.B-0235(A) (PI Ibata) with an exposure time of 600 s.

In addition, we targeted potential members away from the main body of C-19 by using the STREAMFINDER to search for possible stream stars with a lower significance ($\sigma_r$ instead of $10\sigma$, as defined by STREAMFINDER), located along the C-19 orbit derived in Martin et al. (2022b), and with consistent proper motion measurements. Limiting the sample to $G < 17.5$ yields two potential member stars, located at $(\alpha, \delta) = (344.1263\text{h}, -17.0775\text{deg})$ and $(346.2032\text{h}, -10.6965\text{deg})$ that we also observed with VLT/UVES on 23–24 October 2021 via program 0108.B-0431 (PI Yuan). These two stars were initially observed with short 600 s exposures and, after confirmation that C-19_346.20–10.70 was a likely member based on its radial velocity, it was reobserved for an additional 1,800 s for a total exposure time of 2,400 s. The discrepant radial velocity of the other star clearly showed it is not a member of C-19 and it is not mentioned further in this paper.

Finally, we reobserved with VLT/UVES all five confirmed members listed in Martin et al. (2022b) that did not already have high-resolution spectroscopic follow-up and suffered from large radial velocity uncertainties. We observed the brightest of these stars (Pristine 355.32+27.59) for 1,800 s and the other four for shorter, 600 s exposures. In general, bright stars were observed at higher signal-to-noise to allow for chemical abundance determinations.

The setup of the UVES spectrograph was identical for these two programs, with the DIC2 dichroic beamsplitter in the wavelength range “437+760”, covering 3730–4990 Å and 5650–9460 Å. We used the 2 × 2 pixel binning readout mode and a 1” slit, yielding a resolution of $R \sim 40,000$, to increase the efficiency for the fairly short exposures of both programs. The spectra were extracted and wavelength-calibrated using the esoreflex pipeline1. In Fig. 1, a small portion of the reduced UVES spectra for Pristine_355.13+27.98 and C-19_346.20–10.70 is compared to the spectrum from the previous GRACES observations of Martin et al. (2022b).

Radial velocities of all UVES spectra are measured through their cross-correlation against the spectrum of the radial velocity standard star HD 182572, using the fxcor algorithm in IRAF.

We derive stellar parameters for all observed stars from Gaia EDR3 photometry (Riello et al. 2021) and the STREAMFINDER distance estimate, as described in Martin et al. (2022b), except for the effective temperature that was determined using theoretical colours rather than the Mucciarelli et al. (2021) Infra Red Flux Method calibration. These two temperature estimates differ by less than 80 K, well inside the estimated uncertainties of $\sim 100$ K. Chemical abundances are derived using the MyGIsFOS code (Sbordone et al. 2014), for which we use a grid of synthetic spectra computed under the assumption of local thermodynamical equilibrium (LTE) with SYNTHETE from ATLAS 12 ID model atmospheres in hydrostatic equilibrium (Kurucz 2005). The atomic data used in computing the grid was taken from Heiter et al. (2021) for molecules we used the data available from the site of R.L. Kurucz2 and for CH we used the line list of Mashonkina et al. (2014). MyGisFOS provides local fits to pre-identified features, that may include several atomic and molecular data. We have successfully used MyGisFOS in other papers of the series (Caffau et al. 2017; Bonifacio et al. 2019; Caffau et al. 2020) and, in Starkenburg et al. (2018), we successfully benchmarked it against other chemical analysis procedures. The carbon abundance was instead derived by direct fitting of the G-band, after computing synthetic spectra with several carbon abundances. We used the CH line list of Masheron et al. (2014).

We calculate the non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (NLTE) abundance corrections by using the methods developed and tested in our earlier studies for Na I (Alexeeva et al. 2014), Mg I (Mashonkina 2013), Al I (Mashonkina et al. 2016), K I (Neretina et al. 2020), Ca I–II (Mashonkina et al. 2017), Ti I–II (Sitnova et al. 2016), Fe I–II (Mashonkina et al. 2011), Sr I (Mashonkina et al. 2022), and Ba II (Mashonkina & Belyaev 2019). The atom models are comprehensive

\footnote{1 https://www.eso.org/sci/software/esoreflex/}
\footnote{2 http://kurucz.harvard.edu/molecules.html}
and implement the most up-to-date atomic data available for atomic energy levels, transition probabilities, photoionization cross sections, rate coefficients for excitation by collisions with electrons and hydrogen atoms, charge exchange A + H\(^+\) processes, and electron-impact ionization. The coupled radiation transfer and statistical equilibrium (SE) equations are solved with the code DETAIL (Butler & Giddings 1985), where the opacity package was revised following Mashonkina et al. (2011). The LTE and NLTE level populations from DETAIL were then used by the code LINEC (Sakhibullin 1983) that computes the NLTE abundance corrections, \(\Delta_{\text{NLTE}} = \log e_{\text{NLTE}} - \log e_{\text{LTE}}\), for individual spectral lines.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Revised velocities and new members

In Fig. 2, we show the distribution of likely C-19 members solid blue circles on the sky and in velocity space. The black and grey lines correspond to the orbits presented by Martin et al. (2022b) for C-19, either anchored at the favored distance of 18 kpc (the average distance of the BHB members), or based on the distance of individual stars predicted by STREAMFINDER. Members previously confirmed through radial velocities are highlighted as open orange circles, and the two new members confirmed in this study are shown as open orange diamonds. All the BHB stars are highlighted as open cyan circles, and three of them are excluded from the candidate list because they are relatively off-track in sky positions or in proper motion space. The radial velocities precision measured from the UVES spectra are significantly improved for the 5 members that were only observed at low resolution with GTC/OSIRIS in Martin et al. (2022b), with average uncertainties updated from \(\sim 15\, \text{km}\, \text{s}^{-1}\) to \(1–2\, \text{km}\, \text{s}^{-1}\). For the two new members, Pristine_354.96+28.47 is in the main body of the stream, but was not identified before because it was not in the Pristine catalog used in Martin et al. (2022b). In this work, we show that it has a radial velocity that is in very good agreement with those of the other confirmed members. C-19_346.20–10.70 is located \(\sim 30\, \text{°}\) away but has a radial velocity that is close to the velocity of the calculated C-19 orbit at this location on the sky. We also show below that it has the same extremely low metallicity as other C-19 members (see below). The anchored orbit is in good agreement with the 5D kinematics of C-19_346.20–10.70, hinting that there could be more potential members spread along this orbit between the main body of C-19 and this new member.

Based on our new UVES spectrum, we revise the metallicity measurement of Pristine_355.32+27.59. We derive [Fe/H]\(_{\text{LTE}}\) = \(-3.49\pm0.21\), [Fe/H]\(_{\text{NLTE}}\) = \(-3.39\pm0.21\), and [FeII/H] = \(-3.55\pm0.26\), which deviates slightly from but is nevertheless compatible with the previous measurement based on a GTC/OSIRIS spectrum ([Fe/H]\(_{\text{LTE}}\) = \(-3.15\pm0.18\); Martin et al. 2022b). This measurement is also in perfect agreement with the previously reported mean metallicity of C-19 ([Fe/H] = \(-3.38\pm0.06\); Martin et al. 2022b). The metallicity of the two new members is also in agreement with this average metallicity:

\[
\text{[Fe/H]_{\text{LTE}}} = -3.44\pm0.16, \quad \text{[Fe/H]_{\text{NLTE}}} = -3.31\pm0.16, \quad \text{[FeII/H]} = -3.26\pm0.18\text{.}
\]

Based on the radial velocity and metallicity measurements, we are confident these two stars are C-19 members.

Table 1 lists all the metallicity measurements from spectroscopic follow-up studies based on LTE assumptions. Note that [Fe/H]_{\text{LTE}} and [FeII/H] (which is free of the NLTE effects) are inferred from both the Gemini/GRACES and VLT/UVES spectra. [Fe/H]_{\text{LTE}} are derived for GTC/OSIRIS spectra.

3.2 Chemical Properties

For three of the stars observed with UVES, including the two new members, we determine abundances for other elements besides iron. These are provided in Table 2, together with the adopted atmospheric parameters. \(\Delta(X)_{\text{SE}}\) was used to derive \([X/H]\). The table provides the line-to-line scatter for elements where more than one line is measured and the average NLTE corrections for the measured lines of several chemical species (Na\(_i\), Mg\(_i\), Al\(_i\), K\(_i\), Ca\(_i\), Ti\(_i\)–\(_ii\), Fe\(_i\)–\(_ii\), Sr\(_i\), Ba\(_i\)). Fig. 3 also shows two portions of the spectrum of Pristine_355.32+27.59, around the the Al\(_i\) resonance line at 396.1 nm and the Na\(_i\) doublet, along with the best LTE fit for those lines.

Both NLTE and LTE chemical abundances of the three newly observed stars strengthen the scenario that the C-19 stream is the remnant of a globular cluster. Two stars have a Na abundance that is 0.3 dex higher than the third one (C-19_346.20–10.70), consistent with the notion that the stars with higher Na are second generation stars (see e.g. Gratton et al. 2004; Bastian & Lardo 2018, and references therein) and consistent with what was found by Martin et al. (2022b). Pristine_355.32+27.59 has [Mg/H] = \(-2.98\), which is, within the uncertainties, consistent with the Mg abundances of the three C-19 stars from Martin et al. (2022b). This means that using different Mg\(_i\) lines (3832, 4571, 8806 Å) than Martin et al. (2022b, Mg\(_i\) 5172, 5183, 5528 Å) does not lead to systematic abundance shifts. C-19_346.20–10.70 has the highest with [Mg/H] = \(-2.65\), which deviates from the Mg abundance in the other stars and hint at a possible intrinsic variation of Mg in the cluster. This star also has the lowest [Na/H] (Fig. 4). Finally, Pristine_355.32+27.59 has a higher Al abundance than C-19_346.20–10.70 and provides further evidence that the material has undergone Mg-Al cycling, besides Ne-Na cycling. The S/N ratio of our spectra is insufficient to derive O abundances, which would be needed to confirm the Na-O anti-correlation that is typically found in globular clusters.

For Pristine_355.32+27.59 and C-19_346.20–10.70 we were able to measure the carbon abundances from the G-band as shown in Fig. 5. Pristine_355.32+27.59 shows a depleted carbon abundance, as expected for a star of this luminosity that has mixed material processed through the CNO cycle. We expect nitrogen to be enhanced in this star. C-19_346.20–10.70 has a slightly enhanced C abundance ([C/FeII] = \(+0.3\)), as often observed in field stars of this metallicity (see e.g. Spite et al. 2005).

3.3 Dynamical Properties

We derive the velocity dispersion of the main part of the C-19 stream from all stars with accurate radial velocities obtained from GRACES and UES high-resolution spectra. Since the radial velocity of the favored anchored C-19 orbit does not vary significantly with declination \(\delta\) in the main part of the stream (see upper-right panel of Fig. 2),
Figure 2. The C-19 stream in 5-D phase space. All 38 candidate members in the main body of the C-19 stream from Martin et al. (2022b) are represented by solid blue circles, except for the possible BHB stars that are shown as open cyan circles. The eight known members with updated, accurate velocity measurements are represented by orange circles, and the two new members as orange diamonds. The orbit anchored at 18 kpc (black line) aligns closer to the new member located 30° away from the main body of the stream, compared to the orbit without distance anchoring (grey line).

Figure 3. Left panel: The Al\textsubscript{i}/i.pc resonance line at 396.1 nm for star Pristine_355.32+27.59, along with the best LTE fit by MyGiFsOS(red line). Right panel: The same for the Na\textsubscript{i}/D doublet. The strong absorption between the two stellar NaD lines is the interstellar D2 line.

Table 1. C-19 Members with Spectroscopic Follow-ups

| Name         | RA (deg)  | DEC (deg) | G   | t\textsubscript{exp} (s) | v\textsubscript{T} (km s\textsuperscript{-1}) | [Fe\textsubscript{I}]/LTE HR | [Fe\textsubscript{II}]/HR | [Fe\textsubscript{II}]/LTE OSIRIS | Instrument |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| Pristine_354.77+30.25 | 354.7701  | +30.2509  | 16.33 | 2400                    | $-186.7\pm2.2$                | $-3.21\pm0.17$              | $-3.42\pm0.12$ | OSIRIS & GRACES               | GRACES     |
| Pristine_355.27+27.74 | 355.2755  | +27.7483  | 16.51 | 4800                    | $-197.3\pm2.1$                | $-3.15\pm0.17$              | $-3.42\pm0.17$ | OSIRIS & GRACES               | GRACES     |
| Pristine_355.13+27.98 | 355.1327  | +27.9819  | 16.16 | 7200                    | $-194.4\pm2.0$                | $-3.30\pm0.15$              | $-3.45\pm0.11$ | OSIRIS & UVES                  | OSIRIS     |
| Pristine_353.79+21.26 | 353.7995  | +21.2648  | 17.63 | 600                     | $-195.2\pm1.9$                | $-3.41\pm0.19$              | OSIRIS & UVES | OSIRIS & UVES                  | OSIRIS     |
| Pristine_354.63+23.79 | 354.6358  | +23.7941  | 17.49 | 600                     | $-193.5\pm1.6$                | $-3.38\pm0.20$              | OSIRIS & UVES | OSIRIS & UVES                  | OSIRIS     |
| Pristine_355.26+22.96 | 355.2671  | +22.9677  | 17.60 | 600                     | $-191.1\pm2.6$                | $-3.34\pm0.19$              | OSIRIS & UVES | OSIRIS & UVES                  | OSIRIS     |
| Pristine_355.32+27.59 | 355.3223  | +27.5993  | 14.19 | 1800                    | $-183.6\pm0.3$                | $-3.49\pm0.21$              | $-3.55\pm0.26$ | OSIRIS & UVES                  | OSIRIS     |
| Pristine_355.40+24.88 | 355.4056  | +24.2832  | 17.28 | 600                     | $-178.8\pm1.2$                | $-3.39\pm0.18$              | OSIRIS & UVES | OSIRIS & UVES                  | OSIRIS     |
| †Pristine_354.96+28.47 | 354.9615  | +28.4659  | 14.28 | 600                     | $-190.6\pm0.9$                | $-3.48\pm0.11$              | OSIRIS & UVES | OSIRIS & UVES                  | UVES       |
| †C-19_346.20–10.70 | 346.2032  | -10.6965  | 15.89 | 1800                    | $-133.5\pm0.4$                | $-3.51\pm0.19$              | $-3.41\pm0.18$ | UVES                         |

Note: [Fe\textsubscript{II}]/LTE and [Fe\textsubscript{II}]/HR (unaffected by NLTE corrections) are determined from both the Gemini/GRACES and VLT/UVES spectra. [Fe\textsubscript{II}]/LTE is derived for the GTC/OSIRIS spectra. Starting from the forth row, seven members with UVES spectra in this work are listed, and the last two stars with † are new confirmed members.
we infer the mean radial velocity offset from the orbit and the corresponding velocity dispersion by assuming that the distribution of \(\Delta v_r(\delta) = v_r - v_{r,\text{orbit}}(\delta)\), where \(v_{r,\text{orbit}}(\delta)\) is the radial velocity of the anchored orbit for declination \(\delta\), follows a Gaussian distribution around the orbit. From the 9 stars located in the main body of C-19, we use the formalism of Martin et al. (2018), to infer the mean offset of the sample of velocities from the orbit, \(\Delta v_r = 4.0^{+2.1}_{-2.4}\) km s\(^{-1}\), and its dispersion, \(\sigma_v = 6.2^{+2.0}_{-1.4}\) km s\(^{-1}\). The resulting probability distribution functions (PDFs) for those two parameters are shown in

Figure 4. Distribution of [Na/H], [Mg/H], and [Al/H] for the C-19 stream stars investigated in this study (diamonds) and by Martin et al. (2022b, circles). The NLTE and LTE data are represented by the magenta and blue colour, respectively, and linked for the same star. The stars show variations in the three elements, whether one considers LTE or NLTE measurements.

Table 2. Atmospheric parameters and chemical abundances for three of the targets observed with UVES.

| ion       | [X/H]  | \(\sigma\) | \(N\) | NLTE\(^+\) | [X/H]  | \(\sigma\) | \(N\) | NLTE\(^+\) | [X/H]  | \(\sigma\) | \(N\) | NLTE\(^+\) |
|-----------|--------|------------|------|----------|--------|------------|------|----------|--------|------------|------|----------|
| [Fe/H]    | −3.49  | 0.21       | 34   | +0.2     | −3.48  | 0.10       | 3    | +0.2     | −3.51  | 0.19       | 33   | +0.2     |
| [Fe/H]    | −3.55  | 0.26       | 3    | 0.0      | −3.41  | 1          | 0    | 0.0      | −3.11  | 0.3        | 8    | 5.5      |
| [C/H]     | −3.98  | 0.3        | G-band | −3.11  | 0.3       | G-band | 8.50 | 1        | −3.10  | 1          | 5.30 | 1        |
| [Na/H]    | −2.76  | 0.20       | 2    | −0.34    | −2.80  | 0.13       | 2    | −0.31    | −2.70  | 0.09       | 2    | +0.4     |
| [Mg/H]    | −2.98  | 0.13       | 3    | 0.0      | −2.87  | 0.09       | 2    | +0.09    | −2.87  | 0.09       | 2    | +0.4     |
| [Al/H]    | −3.48  | 0.16       | 2    | +0.15    | −3.87  | 0.09       | 2    | +0.4     | −3.87  | 0.09       | 2    | +0.4     |
| [K/H]     | −2.85  | 1          | −0.09 | 5.11     | −2.85  | 1          | −0.09 | 5.11     | −2.85  | 1          | −0.09 | 5.11     |
| [Ca/H]    | −3.08  | 0.06       | 4    | +0.2     | −2.99  | 0.06       | 3    | +0.09    | −2.99  | 0.06       | 3    | +0.09    |
| [Sc/H]    | −3.50  | 0.09       | 2    | −0.09    | −3.02  | 1          | 1    | 3.10     | −3.02  | 1          | 1    | 3.10     |
| [Ti/H]    | −3.51  | 0.11       | 2    | +0.4     | −2.95  | 0.14       | 2    | +0.3     | −2.95  | 0.14       | 2    | +0.3     |
| [Ti/H]    | −3.14  | 0.15       | 14   | +0.05    | −2.87  | 0.23       | 7    | +0.05    | −2.87  | 0.23       | 7    | +0.05    |
| [Mm/H]    | −4.49  | 1          | 4.35  | 5.37     | −4.34  | 1          | 4.35  | 5.37     | −4.34  | 1          | 4.35  | 5.37     |
| [Co/H]    | −3.51  | 0.17       | 7    | 4.92     | −3.09  | 0.20       | 4    | 4.92     | −3.09  | 0.20       | 4    | 4.92     |
| [Ni/H]    | −3.86  | 0.17       | 3    | 6.23     | −3.32  | 0.27       | 3    | 6.23     | −3.32  | 0.27       | 3    | 6.23     |
| [Sr/H]    | −3.86  | 0.19       | 3    | +0.07    | −3.80  | 0.01       | 2    | +0.03    | −3.80  | 0.01       | 2    | +0.03    |
| [Y/H]     | −4.11  | 1          | 2.21  | 2.92     | −4.11  | 1          | 2.21  | 2.92     | −4.11  | 1          | 2.21  | 2.92     |
| [Ba/H]    | −4.28  | 1          | 2.17  | 2.17     | −4.28  | 1          | 2.17  | 2.17     | −4.28  | 1          | 2.17  | 2.17     |

\(^+\) The NLTE corrections, NLTE\(^+\), provided in the table are the average of the NLTE corrections of the lines used for which we could compute the statistical equilibrium.

\(^\ast\) The solar chemical abundances are taken from Lodders et al. (2009); Caffau et al. (2011).
Both the velocity dispersion of the stream and its width show that the C-19 stream is dynamically hotter than most of the globular cluster streams. These all have velocity dispersions smaller than \(5 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), often significantly so (see e.g., Li et al. 2022, and references within). For example, the GD-1 stream has a velocity dispersion \(\sigma_v = 2.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) (Gialluca et al. 2021), and approximate stream width of 0.5\(^\circ\), or \(\sim 100\) pc at 10 kpc (Koposov et al. 2010; Malhan et al. 2018). Similarly, the Palomar 5 tidal stream has \(\sigma_v = 3.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) (Ishigaki et al. 2016). While the chemical abundances of C-19 stars indicate that its progenitor was a globular cluster, a companion study shows that the combined large width and velocity dispersion prove difficult to reconcile with the disruption of a simple globular cluster (Errani et al. 2022). Having the C-19 progenitor globular cluster be processed in its own dark matter subhalo before it is accreted onto the MW could alleviate this tension. Various numerical studies suggest that the width and velocity dispersion of globular cluster streams that have been pre-processed in a dark matter subhalo are larger than those of GCs without hosts (Peñarrubia et al. 2017; Carlberg 2018; Malhan et al. 2021; Carlberg 2020; Carlberg & Keating 2021; Errani et al. 2022). Such configuration can delay the stream formation and could explain why C-19 is still coherent today (Vitrail & Boldrini 2021). Alternatively, tidal heating from dark matter subhalos (see e.g., Ibata et al. 2002; Johnston et al. 2002; Peñarrubia 2019) or giant molecular clouds (see e.g., Amorisco et al. 2016) might increase the width and dispersion of the stream. For example, the ATLAS-Aliqua Uma stream has an exceptionally large velocity dispersion of \(~6\) km s\(^{-1}\) around the discontinuity region of the stream (its “kink” feature), which is considered as the sign of perturbations (Li et al. 2021). Since the velocity dispersion of a stream reflects the properties of its progenitor, as well as the encounters along its disruption history, it is difficult to directly use it as an indicator of the stream’s origin.

The newly confirmed member, C-19\_346.20\_10.70, located more than 30\(^\circ\) away from the main body of C-19, implies that additional C-19 members could be found along the stream’s orbit. It ensues that the mass estimate of C-19 calculated by Martin et al. (2022b, \(\gtrsim 0.8 \times 10^4\) M\(_\odot\)) is indeed a lower limit to the total mass of its progenitor. However, as pointed out in that study, the progenitor is unlikely to be much more massive than \(10^4\) M\(_\odot\), given the upper limit of \(10^4.65\) M\(_\odot\) suggested by the galaxy mass-metallicity relation from zoom-in cosmological simulations (Ma et al. 2016; Kruijssen 2019).

### 4 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have derived accurate radial velocities for seven stars that belong to the extremely metal-poor C-19 stream and have measured detailed chemical abundances for three of them, using VLT/UVES spectra. Based on these results, we confirm two new C-19 members, one of which is located more than 30\(^\circ\) away from the previously discovered main body of the stream. The three members with derived chemical abundances all have extremely low metallicities, in good agreement with the average metallicity of C-19 ([Fe/H] = \(-3.38 \pm 0.06\)). They also exhibit variations in Na abundance that are larger than 0.3 dex, and show the anti-correlation between Na and Mg and Al that is often found in globular clusters, further supporting the idea that the C-19 progenitor was a globular cluster.

Based on the 9 members with accurate radial velocities in the main body of the stream, we determine an intrinsic velocity dispersion of \(6.2_{-1.4}^{+2.0}\) km s\(^{-1}\) consistent with the previous estimate of \(~7\) km s\(^{-1}\).
based on only 3 member stars (Martin et al. 2022b). The velocity dispersion of C-19 is larger than that of other globular cluster streams with accurate measurements. Besides, the stream width of ∼1.58 pc is also on the large side of the currently observed range (see e.g., Li et al. 2022). These refined properties of C-19 are used in a companion study by Errani et al. (2022) to show that the simple tidal disruption of a globular cluster on the C-19 orbit cannot naturally reproduce the stream. This tension could be alleviated if the C-19 progenitor globular cluster was pre-processed in its own dark matter halo or was heated when orbiting around the Milky Way, either from disk shocking, or via the nearby passage of dark matter subhalos or giant molecular clouds.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The C-19 memberlist is published via the Github repository with the link of https://github.com/zyuan-astro/C-19.
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