Crosstalk between SNF1 Pathway and the Peroxisome-Mediated Lipid Metabolism in *Magnaporthe oryzae*

Xiao-Qing Zeng1,9, Guo-Qing Chen2,9, Xiao-Hong Liu1, Bo Dong3, Huan-Bin Shi1, Jian-Ping Lu4, Fucheng Lin1,5

1 State Key Laboratory for Rice Biology, Biotechnology Institute, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, 2 State Key Laboratory of Rice Biology, China National Rice Research Institute, Hangzhou, China, 3 Institute of Virology and Biotechnology, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Science, Hangzhou, China, 4 College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, 5 China Tobacco Gene Research Center, Zhengzhou Tobacco Institute of CNTC, Zhengzhou, China

Abstract

The SNF1/AMPK pathway has a central role in response to nutrient stress in yeast and mammals. Previous studies on SNF1 function in phytopathogenic fungi mostly focused on the catalytic subunit Snf1 and its contribution to the derepression of cell wall degrading enzymes (CWDEs). However, the Mosnf1 in *Magnaporthe oryzae* was reported not to be involved in CWDEs regulation. The mechanism how MoSnf1 functions as a virulence determinant remains unclear. In this report, we demonstrate that Mosnf1 retains the ability to respond to nutrient-free environment via its participation in peroxisosomal maintenance and lipid metabolism. Observation of GFP-tagged peroxisomal targeting signal-1 (PTS1) revealed that the peroxisomes of *Δmosnf1* were enlarged in mycelia and tended to be degraded before conidial germination, leading to the sharp decline of peroxisomal amount during appressorial development, which might impart the mutant great retard in lipid droplets mobilization and degradation. Consequently, *Δmosnf1* exhibited inability to maintain normal appressorial cell wall porosity and turgor pressure, which are key players in epidermal infection process. Exogenous glucose could partially restore the appressorial function and virulence of *Δmosnf1*. Toward a further understanding of SNF1 pathway, the β-subunit MoSip2, γ-subunit MoSip4, and two putative Snf1-activating kinases, MoSak1 and MoTos3, were additionally identified and characterized. Here we show the null mutants *Δmosip2* and *Δmosip4* performed multiple disorders as *Δmosnf1* did, suggesting the complex integrity is essential for *M. oryzae* SNF1 kinase function. And the upstream kinases, MoSak1 and MoTos3, play unequal roles in SNF1 activation with a clear preference to MoSak1 over MoTos3. Meanwhile, the mutant lacking both of them exhibited a severe phenotype comparable to *Δmosnf1*, uncovering a cooperative relationship between MoSak1 and MoTos3. Taken together, our data indicate that the SNF1 pathway is required for fungal development and facilitates pathogenicity by its contribution to peroxisosomal maintenance and lipid metabolism in *M. oryzae*.
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Introduction

The conserved SNF1/AMP-activated kinase (AMPK) family is well known to serve as the cellular energy sensor and regulator of carbon metabolism in eukaryotes [1,2,3]. The yeast SNF1 kinase is a heterotrimer, composed of a catalytic α-subunit Snf1, a regulatory γ-subunit Snf4, and one of the three β-subunit isoforms, Sip1, Sip2, or Gal83, which tethers Snf1 and Snf4 together to form the functional kinase complex [4]. The best documented function of SNF1 kinase is to respond to glucose limitation and enable yeast cells to utilize non-preferred carbon sources when glucose is deprived [3,5]. The kinase activity of SNF1 is activated by its upstream kinases, Sak1, Tos3, and Elm1 in yeast, which phosphorylate the activation-loop residue Thr210 of the Snf1/α subunit [6,7]. Although Sak1 is the major kinase in this activation, only simultaneous absence of the three Snf1-activating kinases confers completely abolished growth on non-preferred carbon sources, indicating a partially redundant function among them [7,8,9]. One of the best-studied targets of yeast SNF1 is the transcriptional repressor Mig1, which represses the expression of pivotal enzymes involved in the utilization of alternative sugars [10]. Upon glucose depletion, SNF1 is activated by its upstream kinases and thereafter phosphorylates the repressor Mig1, resulting in the translocation of Mig1 from the nucleus to the cytoplasm and the relief of transcriptional repression imposed by Mig1 [3,10]. Besides Mig1, SNF1 also regulates transcriptional activators, such as Cat8, Adr1, and Sip4, which activate the expression of many genes involved in peroxisome biosynthesis, gluconeogenesis, the glyoxylate cycle, as well as β-oxidation [11,12,13]. In addition to nutrient stress, the yeast SNF1 pathway also participates in environmental stress resistance, aging, invasive and pseudohyphal growth [3,14,15].
To date, most studies of Snf1 function in phytopathogenic fungi focused on its contribution to the derepression of cell wall degrading enzymes (CWDEs) [16,17,18,19]. For plant pathogens, the major barrier of penetration to the host is plant cell wall. Many pathogenic fungi successfully overcome the obstacle by employing mechanical forces or enzymatic methods or a combination of both [19,20]. Cell wall degrading enzymes (CWDEs), which can depolymerize the different constituents of plant cuticle, occupy an important position in pathogenesis [20]. Production of these enzymes is subject to carbon catabolite repression [21], and in some phytopathogenic fungi Snf1 is required for relieving such repression and upregulating CWDEs expression when invasion occurs [16,17,18,19]. Removal of SNF1 was reported to cause loss or significant reduction of pathogenicity in some plant pathogens, such as Cochliobolus carbonum [16], Ustilago maydis [19], and Verticillium dahliae [18]. The essential role of Snf1 imposed on virulence is partially attributed to its derepression of CWDEs, and another potential contributing factor is the Snf1-dependent utilization of alternative sugars, which can be acquired from the host to drive infection [16,17,18,22]. However, the MoSnf1 in Magnaporthe oryzae is not involved in derepression of CWDEs or the metabolism of alternative sugars [23]. Therefore the key player employed by MoSnf1 in pathogenesis remains mysterious.

Furthermore, except the catalytic subunit Snf1, the function of other components incorporated in the critical pathway has been rarely reported in filamentous fungi hitherto.

M. oryzae, a heterothallic ascomycete fungus, is the causal agent of the rice blast disease [24]. Upon recognition of environmental cues, the fungus differentiates a well-specialized cell structure, appressorium, from the end of a short germ tube after the contact and germination of a conidium on the host leaf [25]. During maturation, the appressorium becomes melanin-pigmented and accumulates substantial glycerol to generate hydrostatic turgor of up to 8 MPa through vacuolar degradation of lipid reserves [26]. Relying on the turgor pressure, the fungus elaborates a penetration peg to mechanically punch the plant cuticle, and subsequently colonizes host tissues [27]. Since the differentiation of infection structures is in an environment without exogenous nutrients, it underlines the fact that the early stages of plant infection are fuelled by compounds reserved in the conidium. At the onset of appressorial development, translocation of mass lipid bodies from conidium to appressorium occurs, accompanied by rapid lipolysis in appressorial vacuole. Triglycerides, the most abundant form of lipids, are degraded to fatty acids and glycerol under the catalysis of triacylglycerol lipases [26,28]. Consequently, a requirement for fatty acid β-oxidation and subsequent activation of the glyoxylate cycle and gluconeogenesis has been proposed [26,28,29]. The fatty acid β-oxidation, occurred predominantly in peroxisome, leads to the generation of acetyl-CoA pool, which is available for melanin biosynthesis pathway and also fuels fungal cell wall biosynthesis via the glyoxylate bypass and gluconeogenesis during plant infection [30,31,32]. The importance of such process is highlighted by the fact that mutants impaired in peroxisome biosynthesis [30,33,34], β-oxidation [35], carnitine acetyl transfer system [31,36], or glyoxylate cycle [29] are non-pathogenic. Meanwhile, recent transcriptomic analysis independently confirms the pivotal role of peroxisomal acetyl-CoA production during appressorial development [37]. In yeast, both lipid metabolism and peroxisomal proliferation are under the regulation of SNF1 pathway [5,38]. As opposed to the wealthy information in yeast, the function of SNF1 in these critical processes has not yet been studied in filamentous fungi.

In this study, the M. oryzae SNF1 pathway was systematically characterized by targeted deletions of the three SNF1 complex subunits and two putative upstream Snf1-activating kinases. Through investigation of GFP-PTS1 signals, we found SNF1 pathway is indispensable for peroxisomal maintenance, which might account for its essential role in lipid metabolism. Furthermore, the interruption of SNF1 pathway resulted in enlarged size of appressorial wall pore and decreased turgor pressure, ultimately the loss of pathogenicity. Our results highlight the importance of SNF1 complex integrity, the upstream kinases, and their contributions to energy homoeostasis.

Results

Identification of the SNF1 complex components and two putative Snf1-activating kinases in M. oryzae

In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the SNF1 kinase complex consists of three subunits, the catalytic subunit Snf1, the γ-subunit Snf4, and one of the three β-subunit isoforms, Gal83, Sip1, or Sip2 [4]. There are three upstream Snf1-activating kinases (Sak1, Elm1, and Tos3), each of which is sufficient to activate the SNF1 complex. MoSnf1 (MGG_00803), the catalytic subunit of SNF1 complex in M. oryzae, had been identified and proved functionally homologous to S. cerevisiae Snf1 [23]. In this study, we additionally sought for other M. oryzae orthologs involved in SNF1 pathway to obtain a further understanding of its function. Using protein sequences of S. cerevisiae counterparts for BLASTP searches, we identified only one β subunit MoSip2 (MGG_06390), the γ subunit MoSnf4 (MGG_04005), and two upstream kinases, MoSak1 (MGG_07003) and MoTos3 (MGG_06421) in the M. oryzae genome (http://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/genome/magnaporthe Comparative/MultiHome.html, named after the best match (Figure 1A and Table S1). Domains identified by the InterPro database (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/) of these M. oryzae proteins exhibited high conservation (Table S1), including GBD (glycogen-binding domain) and ID (kinase interaction domain) in the C-terminal region of MoSip2, two pairs of cystathionine-beta-synthase (CBS) repeats integrated in MoSnf4, and kinase domains in MoSak1 and MoTos3.

Yeast two hybrid (Y2H) assays were carried out to clarify the interacting network of SNF1 pathway in M. oryzae. The results provided evidence that MoSnf1, MoSip2, and MoSnf4 physically interacted with each other, hinting the importance of the complex integrity (Figure 1B). Whereas no interaction was observed between MoSnf1 and MoSak1 or MoTos3 in Y2H (Figure 1B), unlike the stable association between Snf1 and Sak1 in yeast [39]. The result may be caused by the reason that the interaction between MoSnf1 and its upstream kinases was too transient to detect or only occurred under certain conditions.

The expression profiles of SNF1 pathway components in M. oryzae

To obtain some insights into the potential function of SNF1 pathway components, gene expression patterns of the upstream SNF1-activating kinases (MoSak1 and MoTos3) and SNF1 complex subunits (MoSnf1(α), MoSip2(β), and MoSnf4(γ)) were examined by quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) in vegetative hyphae, conidia, appressoria (8 hpi), and infected barley leaves (72 hpi). When normalized by the vegetative growth stage, the transcription of all the tested genes was up-regulated, although with varied up-regulation folds (Figure 1C). In comparison with the moderate increases of the two regulatory subunits, the transcription levels of MoSnf1 were greatly induced in conidia (4.34-fold) and appressoria (12.97-fold), suggesting a key role of MoSnf1 in the kinase complex. While during invasive growth, the transcription levels of MoSnfF1 and
MoTOS3 were elevated similarly, which was consistent with the observation that both were essential for pathogenicity (see below). Except similar transcript abundance in conidia, MoSAK1 had much higher expression level increase than MoTOS3 in appressoria and infected barley leaves, indicating the predominant MoSnf1-regulating position of MoSak1 over MoTos3. The SNF1 pathway up-regulation profile was indicative of its wide influence upon pathogenesis-related processes.

The critical role of SNF1 complex integrity and its upstream kinases in conidiogenesis, aerial hyphae development, appressorial formation and morphology of *M. oryzae*

To further study the biological function of SNF1 pathway in *M. oryzae*, five null mutant strains specific to *MoSNF1*, *MoSIP2*, *MoSNF4*, *MoSAK1*, and *MoTOS3* were generated and verified by Southern blot analysis (Figure S1). Double deletion mutant *AMosak1AMotos3* was also constructed to determine whether the two upstream kinases have functional overlap. Finally, we acquired at least two independently targeted gene deletions for each mutant (*AMosnf1*, *AMosip2*, *AMosnf4*, *AMosak1*, *AMotos3*, and *AMosak1AMotos3*), and selected one strain for detailed phenotypic analysis.

In brief, the morphological phenotypes of SNF1 complex mutants (including *AMosnf1*, *AMosip2*, and *AMosnf4*), were similar to each other, including abnormal spore appearance (Figure 2C), poor sporulation (Figure 2B and Figure 3A), reduced appressorial size (Figure 3B), shortened aerial hyphae (Figure 2A and 2B), but normal growth rate (Figure 2A) in complete media as compared to WT (wild-type) and complemented strains. Furthermore, all the three mutants exhibited delayed conidial germination and appressorial formation, the rates of which were significantly lower than WT strain even the incubation time was prolonged to 24 h (Figure 3C). Nevertheless, the defects in *AMosip2* and *AMosnf4* were more alleviated than that in *AMosnf1*.

The two upstream kinase mutants, *AMosak1* and *AMotos3*, exhibited different phenotypes during fungal development. *AMosak1* possessed sparse aerial hyphae with extremely poor conidiation, which was decreased by 5-fold compared to WT and the complemented strain (Figure 2B and Figure 3A). Besides, the process of spore germination and appressorial formation was greatly affected (Figure 3C). In contrast, the other upstream kinase mutant, *AMotos3*, formed much denser aerial hyphae with short branch, producing large scales of normal spores as many as 3-fold of WT (Figure 2 and Figure 3A). Re-introduction of *MoTOS3* into the mutant recovered these phenotypic changes. Whereas other phenotypes of *AMotos3* were indistinguishable from those of the wild-type strain (Figure 3B and 3C). In *AMosak1AMotos3* double mutant, the colony had an almost flat appearance, due to the attenuated aerial hyphae, and a slower growth rate (Figure 2A and 2B). The conidiogenesis and appressorial formation defects of the double mutant were more severe than *AMosak1* and comparable to the null SNF1 complex mutants (Figure 3), indicative of a collaborative relationship between MoSak1 and MoTos3 on the regulation of the SNF1 complex.

These results suggest that the SNF1 complex integrity is essential for aerial hyphae development, conidiogenesis, appressorial formation and morphology in *M. oryzae*. Meanwhile, the two upstream Snf1-activating kinases, MoSak1 and MoTos3, play distinct roles during above processes.
Disruption of SNF1 pathway suppressed the mycelial growth on media with non-fermentable carbon sources

In yeast, Δsnf1 is unable to survive on media with non-fermentable carbon as sole carbon source [5]. To determine whether M. oryzae SNF1 pathway contributes to non-fermentable carbon metabolism, mycelial agar plugs of the SNF1 pathway mutants were incubated on minimal media supplemented with various non-fermentable carbons as sole carbon source. Growth tests revealed that all the tested mutants, except ΔMosnf3, exhibited severe defects in utilization of acetate, Tween 80 (the principal component is oleate), triolein (one of the typical triglycerides), and olive oil (long chain fatty acids) (Figure 4 and Table 1). The growth rate of ΔMoso3 was slightly affected except on Tween 80-contained medium (Figure 4 and Table 1). Among other mutants, the deficiency degree varied upon carbon type. Overall, the defects of ΔMosip2 and ΔMosnf4 were slightly relieved as compared to ΔMosnf1 (Figure 4 and Table 1). The mycelial growth of ΔMosak1/ΔMosnf3 was comparable to ΔMosak1 except on Tween 80 medium, with 88% and 75% growth reduction, respectively (Figure 4 and Table 1). These data indicate that the SNF1 pathway is of great importance to the efficient utilization of non-fermentable carbon sources in M. oryzae.

The maintenance of peroxisomes is dependent on the SNF1 pathway

The single-membrane organelle peroxisome is well known for its function in fatty acid β-oxidation, the glyoxylate cycle, and removal of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [40]. In M. oryzae, mutants with abnormal peroxisomes such as ΔMosok6 [30], ΔMopex5 [34], ΔMopex7 [33], and ΔMopex19 [41] showed inability to metabolize fatty acids and NaAC. In addition, yeast Δsnf1 and Δsnf4 were reported to be devoid of peroxisomal structures [38]. Thus, we carried out to assess the association between SNF1 pathway and peroxisomal biogenesis in M. oryzae. Peroxisomal matrix proteins usually include specific motifs known as peroxisomal targeting signals (PTSs), which could be recognized by the import machinery and targeted to peroxisome. PTS1 is a conserved tripeptide sequence (S/A/C) (H/R/K) (I/L/M) at the N terminus of most known peroxisomal matrix proteins [34,40]. In this study, PTS1 (SKL) signal was employed to visualize peroxisome by introducing GFP-PTS1 vector (kindly provided by Dr. Jiaoyu Wang) into ΔMowf1, ΔMosip2, ΔMosnf4, ΔMosak1, ΔMotos3, ΔMosak1ΔMotos3, and the wild type, respectively. Subcellular localization of GFP-PTS1 was then investigated in the transformed strains. During vegetative growth phase, both Guy11 and the SNF1 pathway mutants performed punctate GFP fluorescence, indicative of peroxisomal structures, however, the puncta size seemed to be different among them. In ΔMosnf1, ΔMosip2, ΔMosnf4, ΔMosak1, ΔMotos3, ΔMosak1ΔMotos3 background, enlarged peroxisomes were more frequently observed than in WT and ΔMotos3, suggesting some aberrant changes therein (Figure 5A). Likewise, numerous GFP-PTS1 labeled peroxisomes were observed as punctate spots in the conidia of WT and ΔMotos3 (Figure 5B), and began to enter the vacuolar lumens during appressorial differentiation (Figure S2); in the meantime, their incipient appressoria were peroxisome-rich (Figure S2). While in other mutants, GFP-PTS1 had already been mis-localized to the CMAC-stained vacuoles even before conidial germination, leaving sharp decline of fluorescent spots in the conidial cytoplasm (77.6±5.1%, 64.3±4.6%, 62.2±5.7%, 35.3±5.3%, 76.9±6.6% sporular vacuoles in ΔMosnf1, ΔMosip2, ΔMosnf4, ΔMosak1, and ΔMosak1ΔMotos3,
respectively, vs. 1.6±0.7%, 1.4±0.6% in WT and ΔMotos3, respectively) (Figure 5B). Further investigation of the GFP-PTS1 sequential localization revealed that the fluorescent signals were almost invisible in ΔMosnf1 appressoria but arrested in conidial spherical vacuoles (Figure S2).

These findings indicate that the M. oryzae SNF1 pathway plays an important role in peroxisomal dynamics during fungal development.

Lipid mobilization was dramatically retarded in ΔMosnf1, ΔMosp2, ΔMosnf4, ΔMosak1, and ΔMosak1ΔMotos3

During appressorial development, lipid reserves in conidia are rapidly transferred to appressoria where they are degraded and supplied as the source of glycerol and other intermediates, a process demanding peroxisomal function [28,35]. To determine whether the impairment of peroxisomal maintenance affected the lipid droplets mobilization in the SNF1 pathway mutants, lipid bodies were monitored during appressorial morphogenesis via Nile red staining.

It was observed that lipid droplets had been entirely translocated from conidia to appressoria within 24 h and the majority was degraded at 48 hpi in Guy11 (Figure 6). In ΔMotos3, no distinguishable difference was detected in the transfer efficiency of lipid bodies as compared to WT, but the degradation rate was slightly decreased, with about 20% appressoria stained by Nile red compared to only 1.67% in Guy11 at 96 hpi (Figure 6B). On the contrary, the mobilization of lipid reserves was significantly retarded in ΔMosnf1, ΔMosp2, ΔMosnf4, ΔMosak1, and ΔMosak1ΔMotos3, ranging from 64.0% to 85.2% conidia still containing large lipid deposits after 48 h of incubation (Figure 6A, 6B left). The degradation rates were also severely influenced, with large merged lipid droplets still observed in more than 74% appressoria of the mutants at 96 hpi (Figure 6A, 6B right). We therefore conclude that the SNF1 pathway is indispensable for lipid droplets translocation and degradation.

SNF1 pathway is responsible for turgor genesis and normal porosity of the appressorial wall

Appressorium-mediated penetration in M. oryzae is a turgor-driven process required substantial glycerol accumulation. The predominant cellular source of glycerol is from lipid droplets degradation during appressorium maturation [31,42]. Since SNF1 pathway participates in the degradation of lipid bodies, cytorrhysis assay [43] was performed to test whether the SNF1 pathway mutants had the ability to generate turgor pressure comparable to WT. The appressorial collapse rates of Guy11 and ΔMotos3 were similar under a serial concentrations of glycerol (from 1 M to 4 M) (Figure 7A), while the appressoria of the other mutants were much
more fragile and vulnerable to collapse, with the severity from high to low as follows: ΔMosnf1, ΔMosip2, ΔMosnf4, and ΔMosak1 (Figure 7A). So the impairment in SNF1 function dose affect the accumulation of appressorial turgor pressure.

When dipped in glycerol solution, plasmolysis was frequently observed in the appressoria of the mutants. Thus we speculated the SNF1 pathway might also play a key role in appressorial cell wall integrity. To explore the possibility, cytorrhysis/plasmolysis test was carried out by applying solutions of polyethylene glycols (PEGs) with different molecular weights but the same osmotic pressure (4 MPa) to appressoria at 48 hpi. Through calculating the ratio of plasmolysis to cytorrhysis, we found for the wild type the proportion of appressoria showing cytorrhysis was dominant over all the tested PEG types (Figure 7B). However, in ΔMosnf1 and ΔMosak1 ΔMotos3, the ratio of plasmolysis/cytorrhysis declined along with the diameter of external solute species increased, and was eventually similar to that of WT in PEG3350 or larger (Figure 7B). Furthermore, the plasmolysis was more severe in mutants than WT as shown in Figure 7C. These data suggest the SNF1 function is essential for maintaining proper porosity of the appressorial wall. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was also performed to observe the appressorial structures in detail. As a result, electron-dense melanin layer, with identical thickness, was distinctly observed in both wild type and the mutants (Figure S3), suggesting the appressorial wall defects of the SNF1 pathway mutants are not associated with melanin layer biosynthesis.

Lipid degradation not only liberates glycerol but also feeds the acetyl-CoA pool produced by beta-oxidation of fatty acids. The end product acetyl-CoA can be shuttled to the glyoxylate cycle and gluconeogenesis which enable it to synthesize components of cell wall such as glucans and chitin [31,32]. Hence, we inferred why

![Figure 4. Mutations in SNF1 pathway affected the utilization of non-fermentable carbons.](image)

**Table 1. Growth rate of the SNF1 pathway mutants on non-fermentable carbon media.**

| Strain       | Olive oil | Tween-80 | Triolein | NaAC     |
|--------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Guy11        | 76.5±2.4a | 70.0±2.2a | 78.4±0.7a | 69.5±3.1a |
| ΔMosnf1      | 21.5±3.1a | 18.2±0.6c | 23.3±0.6b | 24.6±2.1c |
| ΔMosip2      | 31.3±0.6c | 17.2±0.6ed | 25.4±1.1de | 27.7±2.2cd |
| ΔMotos4      | 34.0±2.3c | 18.9±1.3c | 29.8±6.2rd | 26.9±1.2cd |
| ΔMosak1      | 34.7±3.3c | 25.3±1.6b | 30.6±0.6rd | 31.0±0.8c |
| ΔMotos3      | 53.5±1.8b | 13.8±3.4de | 50.8±3.1h  | 56.3±4.7b  |
| ΔMosnf1 ΔMotos3 | 35.6±2.4c | 11.9±4.0c | 32.2±2.4d  | 26.5±0.8rd |

Vegetative growth rate (%) = (the diameter of strains on MM with various carbon sources/the diameter of cultures on regular MM plates) × 100; colony diameters on regular MM plates are set as 100% control. Data were collected from 10 days postincubation and presented as means±SD from three independent experiments. Duncan’s multiple range tests were used to determine significance at the 0.05 level of probability. The same letters in a column mean no significant difference.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103124.t001
the SNF1 pathway mutants failed to maintain sufficient turgor pressure was caused by the reduced accumulation of intracellular osmolytes and increased appressorial cell wall porosity, both of which are the results of lipid metabolism inability.

Different components of SNF1 pathway make unequal contributions to the pathogenicity

Due to the significant influence on turgor genesis, the pathogenicity of ΔMosnf1 was severely impaired (Figure 8 and Figure 9), consistent with findings from previous study [23]. However, the exact reason why ΔMosnf1 lost virulence has not been determined. Besides, other proteins of SNF1 pathway do not perform equal functions as MoSnf1 does in various processes, it is therefore necessary to investigate whether they contribute differently to plant infection by M. oryzae.

Conidial suspensions, freshly collected from Guy11 and the mutants, were appropriately diluted and set to infect host leaves. Similar to ΔMosnf1, both ΔMosip2 and ΔMosak1ΔMotos3 failed to elicit any visible disease lesions on barley and rice leaves (Figure 8 and Figure 9), while the virulence of ΔMosnf4 and ΔMosak1 was sharply attenuated, causing only tiny and restricted lesions on rice leaves (7.0% and 8.9% diseased leaf area, respectively, vs. 45.4% in Guy11) (Figure 8 and Figure 9). In contrast, inoculation with spores from Guy11, ΔMotos3, and the complemented strains resulted in the development of typical rice blast symptoms (Figure 8, Figure 9, and Figure S4). Subsequently, barley leaves were abraded to examine the invasive growth of the mutants in planta. Interestingly, ΔMosip2, ΔMosnf4, and ΔMosak1 all developed extensive lesions although with compromised spread rate compared to Guy11 and ΔMotos3 (Figure 8). However, the wounded leaf areas underneath conidial droplets of ΔMosnf1 and ΔMosak1ΔMotos3 remained healthy, suggesting the two mutants also lost the ability to proliferate within host tissues (Figure 8).

To further test the appressorial function, penetration and invasive growth were microscopically observed after inoculating barley leaves with conidial suspensions from WT and the mutants. Consistent with the pathogenicity assay, the penetration rates of ΔMosnf4 and ΔMosak1 (11.4% and 39.8%, respectively) were strongly compromised when compared to Guy11 (77.0%) at 48 hpi (Figure 10C), although some mutant appressoria developed aggressive invasive hyphae (Figure 10A). The rate of appressorium forming infectious hyphae in ΔMotos3 (70.8%) was comparable to WT (Figure 10C). Whereas ΔMosnf1, ΔMosak1ΔMotos3, and the majority of ΔMosip2 (98.3%) appressoria were incapable of penetrating barley epidermal cells at 48 hpi (Figure 10A and 10C), revealing that their reduced virulence was the result of perturbed appressorial function.

The above observations indicate the different components of SNF1 pathway play unequal roles in pathogenicity-related processes. Although the phenotypic defects of ΔMosak1 were much more severe than ΔMotos3, additional deletion of MoTOS3 led to a similar phenotype as what occurred in ΔMosnf1, suggesting that MoSak1 together with MoTos3 make contributions to MoSnf1 activation.

External carbon supplement relieved the defects of mutants with impaired SNF1 function

Since the infection of M. oryzae occurs in a nutrient-free environment, the development of appressoria and subsequent infectious hyphae must be nutritionally supported by the degradation of conidial reserves [31]. Previous studies have proved the generation of acetyl-CoA via fatty acid β-oxidation is a prerequisite for appressorium-mediated plant infection, as acetyl-CoA is the substrate to synthesize glucans and chitin, which are required for cell wall biosynthesis [31,35]. Given the decreased amount of peroxisomes during appressorial development, we investigated whether the mutant defects in appressorial function and invasive growth could be rescued by external carbon source, which can supply acetyl-CoA and other intermediates via the glycolytic pathway and citric acid cycle independent of peroxisomal fatty acid β-oxidation.

As expected, pathogenic defects of all the mutants were partially complemented in the presence of exogenous glucose (Figure 8 and Figure 9). In order to explore the exact reason, we monitored the changes in appressorial structure and function in detail. When supplemented with 2.5% glucose, the SNF1 pathway mutants

Figure 5. Effects of SNF1 pathway mutations on GFP-PTS1 distribution. (A) Confocal microscopic observation of mutant strains expressing GFP-PTS1. Images shown were representative of the majority of vegetative hyphae. Enlarged peroxisomes were more frequently observed in ΔMosnf1 and ΔMosak1ΔMotos3 than WT. Arrows point to peroxisomes. Bar = 5 μm. (B) Colocalization of GFP-PTS1-positive peroxisomes and CMAC-stained vacuoles. The amount of cytoplasmic peroxisomes was decreased dramatically in the conidia of ΔMosnf1, ΔMosak1, and ΔMosak1ΔMotos3, while in WT and ΔMotos3, numerous peroxisomal puncta were observed with the absence of vacuolar GFP fluorescence. The localization patterns of GFP-PTS1 in ΔMosip2 and ΔMotos4 conidia were indistinguishable from that in ΔMosnf1 conidia. Bars = 5 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103124.g005
were restored to a large extent in terms of appressorial size (Figure 3B) and cell wall porosity (the ratio of plasmolysis to cytorrhysis in 1.7 M glycerol = 0.24 ± 0.05 and 0.26 ± 0.07 in ΔMosnf1 and ΔMosak1ΔMos3, respectively, dramatically lower than the data listed in Figure 7B). The appressorial penetration ability was also raised significantly in ΔMosnf1 (0 to 26.7%), ΔMosip2 (1.69% to 33.1%), ΔMosip4 (11.4% to 35.3%), ΔMosak1 (39.8% to 50.2%), and ΔMosak1ΔMos3 (0 to 22.1%), compared to the slight improvement in Guy11 (77.0% to 80.7%) and ΔMos3 (70.8% to 72.5%) at 48 hpi (Figure 10C). Furthermore, exogenous glucose enabled ΔMosnf1, ΔMosip2, and ΔMosak1ΔMos3 to develop branched secondary hyphae, in striking contrast to the almost inhibited penetration observed in the absence of nutrient (Figure 10A and 10B).

**Figure 6. Intracellular mobilization of lipid droplets in WT and SNF1 pathway mutants during appressorium morphogenesis.** Conidial suspensions were incubated on the surfaces of hydrophobic films and stained with Nile red to observe the status of lipid droplets movement and distribution at the indicated time points under epifluorescence microscope. (A) ΔMosnf1 and ΔMosak1ΔMos3 showed significant delays in lipid mobilization and degradation with the presence of Nile red-stained lipid bodies even at 96 hpi, while fluorescent signals were almost invisible in WT at 48 hpi. Bars = 5 μm. (B) Percentages of conidia (left) or appressoria (right) that contained lipid droplets. Varied degrees of defect in lipid mobilization were observed among the mutants. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103124.g006

**Figure 7. Indirect assessment of turgor pressure and appressorial porosity.** (A) To measure appressorial turgor pressure, incipient cytorrhysis assay was performed on induced appressoria at 48 hpi with glycerol solutions of varying concentrations (1–4 M). (B) Plasmolysis/ cytorrhysis assay with osmotic solutions of different average molecular weights. The solutions were adjusted to the denoted concentrations to exert 4 MPa osmotic pressure on appressoria at 48 hpi. (C) Plasmolyzed appressoria at 48 hpi were photographed after soaked in 1.7 M glycerol solution for 10 min. Bars = 5 μm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103124.g007
These results indicate that external glucose metabolism could partially compensate the insufficient peroxisomal function and rescue the phenotypic defects of SNF1 pathway mutants, which is consistent with the effect of extra glucose on *Apex6* mutants [35,44].

**Discussion**

As a hemibiotrophic pathogen, *M. oryzae* has to regulate its cellular metabolic activities to adapt to nutrient unavailability during the early infection stage [24]. In yeast, the SNF1 signaling pathway plays a central role in regulating energy status by its involvement in carbon catabolite derepression, a mechanism to ensure the utilization of unfavorable carbon sources when glucose is deprived [3]. Previous studies on SNF1 function in phytopathogenic fungi have uncovered its great influences on the expression of cell wall degrading enzymes (CWDEs) and the utilization of alternative sugars, both of which are subject to carbon catabolite repression (CCR) [16,17,18,19]. However, the *M. oryzae* MoSnf1 protein was reported not to preserve such regulatory role [23]. Recently, trehalose-6-phosphate synthase 1 (Tps1) was recognized as a glucose-6-phosphate sensor, which cooperates with its downstream inhibitors Nmr1-3 to mediate CCR regulatory system in *M. oryzae* [45]. Besides, the expression pattern of CWDEs was found to be disturbed in *MoTPS1* disruption mutant [45]. These reports suggest the components of metabolic regulatory systems have changed greatly in *M. oryzae*, likely not involving MoSnf1 [46]. This study set out to investigate how MoSnf1 acts as a virulence determinant and delve into the function of SNF1 pathway in *M. oryzae*.

In fungi, where non-fermentable compounds like fatty acids and acetate can serve as sole source of carbon and energy, the acetyl-CoA must be converted to C4 compounds via the glyoxylate cycle, allowing gluconeogenesis [5,47]. Peroxisome plays an essential role in this process, as it could serve as the location where fatty acid beta-oxidation occurs to generate acetyl-CoA [48], meanwhile many glyoxylate cycle enzymes are also peroxisomal [49]. In *M. oryzae* [30,33,34,41], *Colletotrichum lagenarium* [44], and *Fusarium graminearum* [50], mutants with aberrant peroxisome function showed severe defects in utilization of lipids, fatty acids, and acetate. Yeast *Δsnf1* is devoid of peroxisomal structures and fails to survive on media with non-fermentable carbon sources [11,38]. However, we found *Δmosnf1* possessed abnormal other than abolished peroxisomes with enlarged size in the mycelia. The poor growth of *Δmosnf1* on fatty acids or NaAC-contained media suggested an aberrant function of the enlarged peroxisomes therein. In *S. cerevisiae*, *PEX1/PEX11*, *FOX2*, and *ICL1*, all of which are regulated by Snf1 [11], play an important role in
peroxisomal biosynthesis and proliferation [51], peroxisomal fatty acid beta-oxidation [48], and the glyoxylate cycle [52], respectively. However, gene expression profiling by qRT-PCR revealed that *M. oryzae* homologs, *MoPEX1* (MGG_09299), *MoPEX11* (MGG_08896), *MoFOX2/MoMFP1* (MGG_06148), and *MoICL1* (MGG_04895), were up-regulated when induced by olive oil or triolein in both WT and *ΔMosnf1*, while no significant differences in the up-regulation folds were observed (Figure S5). Thus, the regulatory mechanism controlling peroxisome function by MoSnf1 remains obscure, which may involve the derepression of other key factors not tested in this study.

Consistent with previous studies [53], peroxisomal targeting signals of WT and *ΔMotos3* were distributed as cytoplasmic punctate spots, but not visible in the vacuoles of germinating conidia until over 6 hpi. However, accelerated degradation of PTS1 signals was observed in the ungerminated conidia of SNF1 function-disturbed mutants. Unlike *ΔMopex* mutants with dispersed GFP-PTS1 localization in cytoplasm [30,34,35], punctate fluorescent spots were still present in *ΔMosnf1*, suggesting it retained the integral PTS1 import pathway and peroxisomal formation ability but failed to maintain adequate peroxisomes. One reason we deduced why *ΔMosnf1* performed the abnormal GFP-PTS1 sequential localization could be caused by the premature pexophagy. Another likelihood might be that *ΔMosnf1* was incapable to form adequate peroxisomes as Guy11 did, and the surplus GFP-PTS1 had to be delivered to vacuolar lumen for degradation directly.

Insufficient amount of peroxisomes could give rise to serious consequence in *M. oryzae*. It is known that enormous turgor pressure is required for the pathogen to physically penetrate the host surface, and its genesis relies on the substantial glycerol accumulation via rapid lipolysis during appressorial maturation [26]. In order to maintain the efficient lipid mobilization, fatty acids resulting from lipolysis demand to be transported to peroxisomes where they are metabolized via beta-oxidation to form acetyl-CoA [29,31,35]. The resulting acetyl-CoA is not only the precursor in melanin synthesis but also supplies substrates to synthesize chitin and glucans via the glyoxylate shunt and gluconeogenesis [30,32]. So peroxisome, the major beta-oxidation location and acetyl-CoA sink, plays a central role in appressorial morphogenesis and function [37]. Mutants with dysfunctional peroxisomes display significant defects in lipid metabolism, melanin layer formation, appressorial wall porosity, turgor generation, and ultimately pathogenicity [30,33,34,44]. Possibly due to the sharp decline of peroxisomal number, *ΔMosnf1* performed a significant retard in lipid droplets mobilization, and formed larger appressorial wall pore, coupled with the failure to generate enormous turgor and the loss of virulence. However, a distinct melanin layer, without significant differences in thickness, was observed between cell wall and plasma membrane in both *ΔMosnf1* and Guy11 appressorium, indicating that the defect in porosity of appressorial wall seemed not to be related to melanin biosynthesis. Consistent with *M. oryzae* mutants disturbed in peroxisomal function [30,34], *ΔMosnf1* partially restored the appressorial morphology and pathogenicity when supplemented with external carbon source, which could compensate acetyl-CoA via the glycolytic pathway and citric acid cycle independent of peroxisomal function. Further quantification of diseased leaf area and penetration rate on hosts revealed that the restoration by external glucose was incomplete in *ΔMosnf1*. Thus, except for peroxisomal maintenance, MoSnf1 may be involved in other cellular processes required for virulence.

Apart from elucidating how MoSnf1 was involved in pathogenesis, we additionally elaborated the SNF1 pathway cascade in *M. oryzae* through targeted gene deletion strategy. The solo β and γ subunits of SNF1 complex, *MoSip2* and *MoSnf4* respectively, were identified and further confirmed based on the phenotypic evidences and their strong interaction with MoSnf1, as well as each other. The mutants without β or γ subunit exhibited Snf1-like phenotype, but with weaker phenotypic defects compared to *ΔMosnf1*, indicating that MoSnf1 plays a major role in the kinase complex, while the complex integrity promotes MoSnf1 function conversely. In accordance with our findings, truncated yeast Snf1 kinase domain (residues 1–309), snf1 (1–309), does not interact with the β or γ subunit, but has partial Snf1 function [54]. These results suggest the Snf1 kinase activity might occur by a
mechanism independent of the β and γ subunits, although in a limited level. Besides, we additionally characterized two putative upstream Snf1-activating kinases, MoSak1 and MoTos3. However, the two kinase mutants acquired unique properties. \textit{AMosak1} was defective in conidiogenesis, while the sporulation ability of \textit{AMotos3} was three times as high as WT, suggesting an antagonistic effect exists between them. On the other hand, only removal of them both resulted in completely eliminated virulence, indicative of a collaborative relationship between the two kinases. The phenotypic changes in \textit{AMosak1 AMotos3} were comparable to those of \textit{AMosnf1} in most aspects, but differences still existed such as shorter aerial hyphae and conidiophores, and reduced mycelial growth rate, implying the two kinases may regulate more processes apart from the Snf1 pathway. In \textit{S. cerevisiae}, for example, the three upstream kinases, Sak1, Elm1, and Tos3, are also found to participate in the regulation of G protein signaling [55]. Furthermore, the sensitivity to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in \textit{AMosak1 AMotos3} was comparable to that in \textit{AMosak1}, but slighter than the Snf1 complex mutants (Figure S6), while the growth rate of \textit{AMotos3} on calcium supplemented medium was similar to Guy11 (Figure S6). Therefore, there might be another kinase together with MoSak1 to regulate SNF1 activity under the certain stress. In yeast, although partially redundant function exists within the three Snf1-activating kinases, there is a clear preference to Sak1 in Snf1 activation [6,7]. Likewise, the significant defects in \textit{AMosak1} suggested MoSak1 occupies a predominant position in MoSnf1 regulation in \textit{M. oryzae}, while MoTos3 plays an auxiliary role to our knowledge. The conclusion was further supported by the transcription pattern of \textit{MoSak1}, with a relatively higher expression increase than \textit{MoTos3} during infection-related processes.

Taken together, the integral SNF1 complex is crucial for various development patterns in \textit{M. oryzae}, simultaneously the two upstream kinases are of great importance to SNF1 activity with the predominant status of MoSak1. We also demonstrate the SNF1 pathway retains the conserved role to enable the fungus to adapt to nutrient-free environment via its participation in peroxisomal maintenance and lipid metabolism, thus acting as an important pathogenicity-related module. However, the exact mechanism by which SNF1 pathway interplays with peroxisomal dynamics remains elusive in \textit{M. oryzae}. This study provides some insights for further research on the conservation and divergence of SNF1 pathway among fungi.

**Materials and Methods**

**Strains and culture conditions**

\textit{M. oryzae} wild-type strain Guy11 and all the derivative transformants were grown routinely on complete medium (CM) at 25°C with a 16 h fluorescent light photophase [56]. Growth phenotypic comparisons of Guy11 and the mutant strains were performed on MM supplemented with glucose-substituted non-fermentable carbon substrates ([1% Tween 80, 1% olive oil, 1% triolein, or 50 mM sodium acetate] for 10 d, or on CM in addition with 0.3 M Calcium chloride for 7 d.

**Quantitative RT-PCR analysis**

Fungal tissues used for qRT-PCR assay included fresh mycelia cultured in liquid CM for 3 days, conidia harvested from 10-day-old CM cultures, apressoria incubated on hydrophobic surfaces 8 hours postincubation (hpi), and infected barley leaves collected at 72 hpi. For lipid induced transcription analysis, strains were first incubated in liquid CM for 48 h, and then transferred to liquid MM-C supplemented with 1% glucose, 1% triolein, or 1% olive oil to induce 6 h. The extraction of total RNAs from above samples followed a previously described protocol [56] with the Trizol reagent (Takara). First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 800 ng total RNA according to SYBR ExScriptTM RT-PCR kit (Takara). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed as previously described [56] with SYBR Premix ExTaq (Takara) on a Mastercycler ep realplex thermo cycler (Eppendorf). Primers used for qRT-PCR assays were listed in Table S2. The relative expression level of each gene was calculated by the 2-DDC\textsubscript{τ} method [57] with β-tubulin (MGG_00604) as reference. Data were collected from three independent experiments with three replicates, and a representative set of results was displayed.

**Generation of gene knockout mutants and complementation**

The gene deletion vectors were constructed based on double-joint PCR strategy [50]. Take the construction of \textit{MosIP2} knockout vector for example: approximate 1.1 kb up- and downstream regions of \textit{MosIP2} locus were amplified from \textit{M. oryzae} genome DNA using primers SIP2up-1/2 and SIP2dn-1/2. A 1.4 kb \textit{hph} cassette was cloned from pGB1003 with primers HPF-1/2. The three fragments were joined together in the second round PCR, the product of which acted as the template for the final amplification with nest primers SIP2-N1/2. The 3.4 kb double-joint PCR product which contained the flanking sequences and \textit{hph} cassette was inserted into the XhoI/XbaI sites of pCAMBIA1300 to obtain the targeted gene deletion vector. Using a similar construction strategy, targeted gene deletion vectors of \textit{MosNF1}, \textit{MosNF4}, \textit{MosSAK1}, and \textit{MosTOS3} were generated. These vectors were introduced into \textit{M. oryzae} WT strain via Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation (ATMT) [59]. To generate the double mutant, the \textit{MosTOS3} deletion vector carrying sulfonylurea resistance allele of \textit{Magnaporthe ILV1} gene (amplified with primes SUR-1/2) was introduced into \textit{AMosak1} background. Gene deletion events were screened by PCR and further confirmed by Southern blot analysis. The complementary fragments, which contained the entire targeted genes and their native promoter and terminator regions, were amplified by PCR with primers C1/2 (Table S2) and inserted into a modified pCAMBIA1300 vector, which contained a geneticin resistance gene. The resultant constructs were randomly inserted into the genome of the corresponding mutants via ATMT. The complemented transformants were screened on the selective media containing 800 μg/ml geneticin. As the complementation of \textit{MosNF1} had been carried out before [23], we didn’t repeat the work here. Southern blot analysis was performed according to the digoxigenin (DIG) high prime DNA labeling and detection starter Kit I (Roche). Primer pairs used in DNA manipulation events were listed in Table S2.

**Yeast two-hybrid assay**

The Yeast two-hybrid assay was carried out according to the BD Matchmaker Library Construction & Screening Kits instruction (Clontech). The coding sequence of each candidate gene was amplified with primer pairs listed in Table S2, each of which was incorporated with 15 bases of homology with the ends of the linearized vector. The construct strategy was according to the In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit (Clontech). Consequently, the bait vector pGBK7-MoSnf1 and each of the prey vector pGAD77-MoSip2, MoSnf4, MoSak1, MoTos3, were co-transformed into yeast strain AH109. The positive transformants on SD-Leu-Trp medium were further tested on SD-Ade-His-Leu-Trp medium. In order to test the interaction between MoSip2 and MoSnf4, the bait vector pGBK7-MoSip2 was co-transformed with pGAD77-MoSnf4 into AH109 as described above. The positive
and negative control strains used in the assay were from above mentioned Kit.

Assays for sporulation, appressorium formation, and plant infection

Quantitative measurement of conidiation was assayed with 10-day-old cultures grown on CM plates [23], while the aerial hyphal and conidial development was monitored as described previously [56,60]. To allow appressorium formation, 40 μl of conidial suspensions adjusted to 5×10⁵ conidia/ml were placed on plastic cover slips (Fisher) under humid conditions at room temperature. The conidial germination and appressorium formation were observed under a light microscope after 6 h, 12 h, and 24 h postincubation. To monitor appressorium-mediated penetration and invasive hyphae growth, leaf explants of barley (Hordeum vulgare cv. ZJ-8) were inoculated with 20 μl of the above conidial suspensions and treated according to previously described protocols [61] at 48 hpi before observation.

For rice infection assay, conidial suspensions were diluted to 1×10⁶ conidia/ml in 0.2% gelatin, and 4 ml of each suspension was sprayed onto the 3–4 leaf stage rice seedlings (Oryza sativa cv.CO39). Diseased leaves were examined and imaged at 7 d after inoculation. For further disease severity assessment, the diseased leaf area (%) was quantified by calculating the pixels under lesion and healthy areas of diseased leaf blades using the Histogram command of Photoshop CS5 [62]. For barley infection assay, 20 μl 1×10⁶ conidia/ml suspensions were deposited on leaf segments of 7-day-old seedlings of barley ZJ-8 incubated in a humid chamber at 25°C for 4 d. For wounded leaf inoculation, the barley leaf surfaces were slightly abraded to remove the cuticle before infection assay. Each test was repeated three times.

Staining methods and microscopy

Eight-day-old conidia were used in the cytological studies. Conidia suspensions diluted to 1×10⁷/ml were incubated on hydrophobic films to form appressoria in a humid chamber at 25°C. To stain vacuolar lumen, CMAC (7-amino-4-chloromethylcoumarin) was used as previously described [63]. Observation of lipid bodies during appressorial development was carried out at 4 h, 12 h, 24 h, 48 h, and 96 h postincubation with Nile Red staining as previously described [28]. Because the melanin layer of the appressorium might interfere with the lipid droplets visualization, samples were treated with 10 μg/ml tricyclazole, a melanin biosynthesis inhibitor, before induced. To investigate the localization of PTS1-containing proteins, the GFP-PTS1 fusion vector p1300NMFGPA (kindly provided by Dr. Jiaoyu Wang), which carried genetic resistance and was under control of MPG1 promoter, was introduced into Guy11, ΔMosnf1, ΔMosnf2, ΔMosnf4, ΔMosak1, ΔMotos1, and ΔMosak1ΔMotos3, respectively via ATMT. For quantitative analysis of lipid droplets mobilization and PTS1 localization based on fluorescence, more than 100 conidia and appressoria were analyzed for each strain in triplicate. The light and epifluorescence microscopic examination was conducted under an Eclipse 80i microscope (Nikon) equipped with a Plan APO VC 100X/1.40 oil objective. To visualize the GFP-PTS1 signals and CMAC-stained vacuoles in detail, ZEISS LSM780 inverted confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Inc.) equipped with a 50 mW Argon laser was used. To detect the melanin layer of the appressorium under a JEM-1230 electron microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) operating at 70 kV, conidia collected from WT and mutants were induced on barley leaves, and the 48 h postincubation segments were treated as described in [43].

Measurement of appressorial turgor and cell wall porosity

Forty microlitre of conidial suspensions with a density of 1×10⁵ conidia/ml were dropped on plastic cover slips (Fisher) and incubated in a moist chamber for 48 h. Appressorial turgor was estimated by performing incipient cytorrhysis (cell collapse) assays as described previously [43]. The porosity of an appressorial wall was evaluated by plasmolysis/cytorrhysis assay as described previously [64], where polyethylene glycols (PEGs) of different average molecular weights were added to 48 h appressoria to generate an external osmotic pressure of 4 MPa. Each assay was repeated three times.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Targeted gene replacements of MoSNF1, MoSIP2, MoSNF4, MoSAK1, and MoTOS3. (A) Targeted gene deletion of MoSAK1. The gene deletion vector was constructed based on double joint PCR. The orientations and positions of primers SAK1up-1/2, SAK1dn-1/2, HPH-1/2, and SAK1-N1/2 are indicated as 1–8, respectively, with small arrows.

Figure S2 Subcellular localization of GFP-PTS1 in Guy11 and ΔMosnf1 during appressorial development. Conidia of Guy11 and ΔMosnf1 were incubated on the surfaces of hydrophobic films and observed at the indicated time points. Contrast to the punctate peroxisomes in the nascent appressoria of WT, GFP-PTS1 was almost absent in the ΔMosnf1 appressoria. Arrows denote fluorescence-contained vacuoles of WT conidia. Bars = 5 μm.

Figure S3 Ultrastructural analysis of the appressorium cell wall. Appressoria were allowed to form on barley leaves for 48 h, and the ultrathin sections were processed for transmission electron microscopy. The melanin layers (indicated by the arrows) were detected in the wild type and mutant strains. Bar = 0.5 μm.

Figure S4 Recovery of pathogenicity in the complemented strains. (A) Pathogenicity assay on detached barley leaves. Diseased leaves were photographed at 4 dpi. (B) Spray inoculation assay on rice leaves. Diseased leaves were photographed at 7 dpi.

Figure S5 Expression profiles of MoPEX11, MoPEX11, MoMFP1, and MoICL1 in the wild type (A) and ΔMosnf1 (B) strains after induced in fatty acid media for 6 h.

Figure S6 Effect of calcium excess on the growth rate of SNF1 pathway mutants. CM agar plates added with 0.3 M CaCl₂ were used to culture strains for 7 days. Different degrees of sensitivity existed between the SNF1 complex mutants and the upstream kinase mutants.
**Table S1** Characteristics of SNF1 complex subunits and the upstream Snf1-activating kinases in *M. oryzae*.

**Table S2** List of primers used in this study.

**References**

1. Hardie DG, Carling D, Carlson M (1998) The AMP-activated/SNF1 protein kinase subfamily: metabolic sensors of the eukaryotic cell? Annu Rev Biochem 67: 821–855.
2. Hardie DG (2007) AMP-activated/SNF1 protein kinases: conserved guardians of cellular energy. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 8: 774–785.
3. Hedbacker K, Carlson M (2008) SNF1/AMPK pathways in yeast. Front Biosci 13: 2408–2420.
4. Amodio GA, Rudolph MJ, Tong L (2007) Crystal structure of the heterotrimeric core of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* AMPK homologous SNF1. Nature 449: 492–495.
5. Schuller HJ (2003) Transcriptional control of nonfermentative metabolism in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Curr Genet 43: 139–160.
6. McCartney RR, Schmidt MC (2001) Regulation of Snf1 kinase. Activation requires phosphorylation of threonine 210 by an upstream kinase as well as a distinct step mediated by the Snf4 subunit. J Biol Chem 276: 36640–36646.
7. Hong SP, Leiper FC, Woods A, Carling D, Carlson M (2003) Activation of yeast Snf1 and mammalian AMP-activated protein kinase by upstream kinases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 8339–8343.
8. McCartney RR, Rubenstein EM, Schmidt MC (2005) Snf1 kinase complexes with different beta subunits display stress-dependent preferences for the three Snf1-activating kinases. Curr Genet 47: 335–344.
9. Perez-Sampietro M, Casas G, Herrero E (2013) The AMPK family member Snf1 protects *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells upon glutathione oxidation. PLoS One 8: e52823.
10. Zhang M, Galdieri L, Vancura A (2013) The yeast AMPK homolog SNF1. Mol Microbiol 87: 1051–1059.
11. Schuller HJ (2003) Transcriptional control of nonfermentative metabolism in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J 17: 7002–7008.
12. Ratanakumar S, Young ET (2010) Snf1 dependence of peroxisomal gene expression is mediated by Adr1. J Biol Chem 285: 10703–10714.
13. Hong SP, Carlson M (2007) Regulation of snf1 protein kinase in response to environmental stress. J Biol Chem 282: 16308–16316.
14. Palecek SP, Parikh AS, Huh JH, Kron SJ (2002) Depression of polyamine synthesis by the yeast AMPK homolog SNF1. Plant Cell 14: 2223–2235.
15. Perez-Sampietro M, Casas G, Herrero E (2013) The AMPK family member Snf1 protects *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells upon glutathione oxidation. PLoS One 8: e52823.
16. McCartney RR, Rubenstein EM, Schmidt MC (2005) Snf1 kinase complexes with different beta subunits display stress-dependent preferences for the three Snf1-activating kinases. Curr Genet 47: 335–344.
17. Howard RJ, Ferrari MA, Roach DH, Money NP (1991) Penetration of hard substrates by a fungus employing enormous turgor pressures. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 88: 11261–11264.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: XZ GC XL FL. Performed the experiments: XZ GC XL FL. Analyzed the data: XZ GC XL FL. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: XZ JL XL GC. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: XZ GC JL FL.

**References**

1. Hardie DG, Carling D, Carlson M (1998) The AMP-activated/SNF1 protein kinase subfamily: metabolic sensors of the eukaryotic cell? Annu Rev Biochem 67: 821–855.
2. Hardie DG (2007) AMP-activated/SNF1 protein kinases: conserved guardians of cellular energy. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 8: 774–785.
3. Hedbacker K, Carlson M (2008) SNF1/AMPK pathways in yeast. Front Biosci 13: 2408–2420.
4. Amodio GA, Rudolph MJ, Tong L (2007) Crystal structure of the heterotrimeric core of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* AMPK homologous SNF1. Nature 449: 492–495.
5. Schuller HJ (2003) Transcriptional control of nonfermentative metabolism in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Curr Genet 43: 139–160.
6. McCartney RR, Schmidt MC (2001) Regulation of Snf1 kinase. Activation requires phosphorylation of threonine 210 by an upstream kinase as well as a distinct step mediated by the Snf4 subunit. J Biol Chem 276: 36640–36646.
7. Hong SP, Leiper FC, Woods A, Carling D, Carlson M (2003) Activation of yeast Snf1 and mammalian AMP-activated protein kinase by upstream kinases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 8339–8343.
8. McCartney RR, Rubenstein EM, Schmidt MC (2005) Snf1 kinase complexes with different beta subunits display stress-dependent preferences for the three Snf1-activating kinases. Curr Genet 47: 335–344.
9. Perez-Sampietro M, Casas G, Herrero E (2013) The AMPK family member Snf1 protects *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells upon glutathione oxidation. PLoS One 8: e52823.
10. Zhang M, Galdieri L, Vancura A (2013) The yeast AMPK homolog SNF1 regulates acetyl coenzyme A homeostasis and histone acetylation. Mol Cell Biol 23: 4543–4559.
11. Tonakari NJ, Scott-Craig JS, Walton JD (2000) The Ccc2phlsib carbonan SNF1 gene is required for cell wall-degrading enzyme expression and virulence in *Plants Cell*. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 24: 129–142.
12. Osipina-Giraldus MD, Mullins E, Kang S (2003) Loss of function of the *Fusarium oxysporum* SNF1 gene reduces virulence on cabbage and Arabidopsis. Curr Genet 44: 49–57.
13. Tzima AK, Plopamatos EJ, Ranyaprasert P, Osipina-Giraldus MD, Kang S (2011) pSNF1, the sucrose nonfermenting protein kinase gene of *Verticillium dahliae*, is required for virulence and expression of genes involved in cell-wall degradation. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 24: 129–142.
14. Nadal M, Garcia-Pedrajas MD, Gold SE (2010) The snf1 gene of *Ustilago maydis* acts as a dual regulator of cell wall degradation enzymes. Phytopathology 100: 1364–1372.
15. Walton JD (1994) Deconstructing the Cell Wall. Plant Physiol 104: 1113–1118.
16. Kuijper GJ, Visser J (1997) Carbon repression in *Aspergillus*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 151: 103–114.
17. Lee SH, Lee J, Lee S, Park EH, Kim KW, et al. (2009) GoSNF1 is required for normal sexual and asexual development in the ascomycete *Gibberella zeae*. Environ Cell Biol 8: 116–127.
18. Yi M, Park JH, Ahn JH, Lee YH (2000) *ModSNF1* regulates sporulation and pathogenicity in the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. Fungal Genet Biol 35: 1172–1181.
19. Wilson RA, Talbot NJ (2009) Under pressure: investigating the biology of plant infection by *Magnaporthe oryzae*. Nat Rev Microbiol 7: 185–195.
20. Tucker SL, Talbot NJ (2001) Surface attachment and pre-penetration stage development by plant pathogenic fungi. Annu Rev Phytopathol 39: 305–417.
21. Weber RW, Wakley GE, Thines E, Talbot NJ (2001) The vacuole as central element of the lytic system and sink for lipid droplets in maturing appressorium of *Magnaporthe oryzae*. Protoplasma 216: 101–112.
22. Howard RJ, Ferrari MA, Roach DH, Money NP (1991) Penetration of hard substrates by a fungus employing enormous turgor pressures. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 88: 11261–11264.
52. Fernandez E, Moreno F, Rodicio R (1992) The \textit{ICL1} gene from \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae}. Eur J Biochem 204: 983–990.
53. Deng Y, Qu Z, Naqvi NI (2013) The role of snx41-based pexophagy in \textit{magnaporthe} development. PLoS One 8: e79128.
54. Ruiz Á, Liu Y, Xu X, Carlson M (2012) Heterotrimer-independent regulation of activation-loop phosphorylation of Snf1 protein kinase involves two protein phosphatases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109: 8652–8657.
55. Clement ST, Dixit G, Dohlman HG (2013) Regulation of yeast G protein signaling by the kinases that activate the AMPK homolog Snf1. Sci Signal 6: a78.
56. Chen G, Liu X, Zhang L, Cao H, Lu J, et al. (2013) Involvement of \textit{Mol/MAL1}, a Putative Vacular ATPase c’ Subunit, in Vacular Acidification and Infection-Related Morphogenesis of \textit{Magnaporthe oryzae}. PLoS One 8: e67804.
57. Livak KJ, Schnitten TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25: 402–408.
58. Yu JH, Hamari Z, Han KH, Sec JA, Reyes-Dominguez Y, et al. (2004) Double-joint PCR: a PCR-based molecular tool for gene manipulations in filamentous fungi. Fungal Genet Biol 41: 973–981.
59. Rho HS, Kang S, Lee YH (2001) \textit{Agrobacterium tumefaciens}-mediated transformation of the plant pathogenic fungus, \textit{Magnaporthe grisea}. Mol Cells 12: 407–411.
60. Lau GW, Hamer JE (1998) Acropetal: a genetic locus required for conidiophore architecture and pathogenicity in the rice blast fungus. Fungal Genet Biol 24: 220–239.
61. Lu JP, Feng XX, Liu XH, Lu Q, Wang HK, et al. (2007) Mnh6, a nonhistone protein, is required for fungal development and pathogenicity of \textit{Magnaporthe grisea}. Fungal Genet Biol 44: 819–829.
62. Wilson RA, Gibson RP, Quispe CF, Littlechild JA, Talbot NJ (2010) An NADPH-dependent genetic switch regulates plant infection by the rice blast fungus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 21902–21907.
63. Ohneda M, Arioka M, Nakajima H, Kitamoto K (2002) Visualization of vacuoles in \textit{Aspergillus oryzae} by expression of CPY-EGFP. Fungal Genet Biol 37: 29–38.
64. Jeon J, Golt J, Yoo S, Chi MH, Choi J, et al. (2008) A putative MAP kinase kinase kinase, \textit{MCK1}, is required for cell wall integrity and pathogenicity of the rice blast fungus, \textit{Magnaporthe oryzae}. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 21: 525–534.