Sugar esters are non-ionic surfactants which have diverse uses commercially. It is most prominently used in food industries around the globe as a flavoring agent. Other sectors such as medical, cosmetics, oral-care products, and detergents employ sugar esters as surface-active agents.

Amino sugars are a new subject in ester synthesis whereby the substrate with an amino group as an amination reagent is reacted with glucose. Commonly, amino sugars were synthesized using various forms of amino acids. For example, in the food and pharmaceutical industries, L-aspartic acid is used to form esters with propylene glycol as a disrupter of the nucleophile and as a transporter of biological active agents.

Amino sugar derivative (N-acetyl-glucosamine) is synthesized naturally in our body with the aid of chondrocytes which form glucosamine precursor. Most amino sugars are synthesized by employing sugars and amino acids as reactants. However, apart from trying to synthesize amino sugars, it is also obtained from natural sources. This process certainly does not support on preserving nature’s resources as most often amino sugars such as D-glucosamine is obtained by hydrolysis or fermentation on crustacean exoskeletons.

In present work, we tried to work beyond the dependence on amino acids or naturally occurring amino sugars which are mostly obtained from mammals, by using base (propylene glycol) in this research. This reaction was conducted in a tri-solvent mixture consisting of ionic liquid [BMIM][PF₆], dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and tert-butanol.

In this work, a new type of amino sugar derivative, which is similar to N-acetyl-glucosamine, commonly used in the medical field as medicine to treat osteoarthritis, has been synthesized. This amino sugar derivative is produced from glucose and propylene glycol with the aid of immobilized Candida antarctica (Novozyme 435) lipase, as a catalyst. Mixtures of solvent influence the high solubility of sugar and thus increasing the yield. Optimization studies such as time, molar ratio, temperature, and enzyme quantity conducted for optimization. Optimized condition yields 73.37% amino sugar was obtained upon 2 h of reaction, 1:5 molar ratio of substrates, 40 °C temperature and 17% enzyme mass.
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EXPERIMENTAL

The immobilized enzymes Novozyme 435, Lipozyme RM IM and Lipozyme TM IM were purchased from Novozymes, Denmark. Crude lipase from Candida rugosa and D-(+) glucose were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, United States. Propylamine was purchased from Fluka, Switzerland. Ionic liquid, 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate was purchased from Merck, Germany. All other chemicals and solvents used in this research were of analytical grade.

Screening of enzyme for lipase-catalysed reaction:
Crude lipase activity was screened for lipase-catalysed amino sugar derivative reaction together with several other immobilized lipases (Novozyme 435, Lipozyme RM IM, Lipozyme TL IM).

Lipase-catalysed synthesis of amino sugar derivative:
In a capped vial, D-(+)-glucose (0.5 mmol) was added to mixture of solvents (12.5 % ionic liquid: 37.5 % DMSO). The reactant was then dissolved at 60 °C water bath. Addition of 50 % tert-butanol was performed prior to the mixing of the visible biphasic, 3 Å molecular sieves, 17 % of enzyme, propylamine (0.5 mmol) and a magnetic bar were added into the same vial before reaction proceeded in oil bath at 300 rpm at specified period of reaction and temperature.

Determination of amino sugar derivative by DNSA method:
Reaction product with a volume of 0.2 mL was pipette into a vial together with 0.6 mL of DNSA. The resulting solution was then left in 60 °C water bath. 25 mL of distilled water was added to the sample and control vials followed by UV analysis on their absorbance at 540 nm. The moles of glucose reacted in this reaction were determined by using the absorbance value of control, samples and standard curve calibrated using standard glucose solutions. The amount of amino sugar derivative formed is calculated from the equation 1.

\[
\text{Percentage of amino sugar conversion} = \frac{\text{Concentration of control} - \text{Concentration of sample}}{\text{Concentration of control}} \times 100
\]

Time parameter study: Influence of reaction duration in amino sugar derivative synthesis was investigated in this research. This was performed by varying the reaction time at 30, 60, 120 and 240 min followed by conversion calculation.

Molar ratio study: Effect of different amount of base (substrate) in this reaction ranging from 0.5-3.5 mmol was evaluated.

Reaction temperature study: Temperature effect on this reaction was evaluated. Chosen temperatures for this amino sugar derivative reaction were 30, 40 and 50 °C.

Quantity of enzyme study: Different quantity of enzyme for optimal yield of amino sugar product was investigated. The amount of enzyme tested were 1, 2 and 3 mg.

Mass spectroscopy analysis: Ion fragments of the product were identified using gas chromatography mass spectrometer (Shimadzu) model QP5050A.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Solvent screening: In understanding on the importance of solvent polarity for dissolving sugars (polar), various types of solvents was screened. Table-1 shows the solvents screened for dissolving glucose prior to reacting with propylamine.

| TABLE-1 | SOLVENT SCREENING FOR SUGAR DISSOLVING |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Solvent         | Nature          | log P           | Solubility     |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) | Polar | -1.378 | Excellent |
| Acetone         | Polar           | -0.208          | Fair           |
| Tert-butanol    | Polar           | 0.800           | Fair           |
| Diethyl ether   | Non-polar       | 0.870           | Fair           |
| Hexane          | Hydrophobic     | 3.500           | Poor           |
| [BMIM][PF₆]     | 12.5 % [BMIM][PF₆], 37.5 % DMSO, 50 % tert-butanol | Polar | Fair |
| 12.5 % [BMIM][PF₆], 87.5 % DMSO | Polar | Good |
| 12.5 % [BMIM][PF₆], 87.5 % tert-butanol | Polar | Fair |

Based on Table-1, glucose showed best solubility in DMSO as reaction media. This relates closely to its low log P value and therefore able to dissolve sugars. Solubility of unprotected sugar is best in highly polar solvent, making them an essential component of the solvent system used in this reaction. Fully soluble sugar (aqueous form) in DMSO would lead to a better interaction with the added base (propylamine) compared to the crystalline form sugar.

In spite of this good effect of DMSO, studies showed that high percentage of DMSO usage in an enzymatic reaction could cause stripping of water from enzyme surface and cause unusual unfolding of enzyme which would eventually led to enzyme malfunction. Therefore controlled amount of DMSO had to be used in this work.

As the value of log P for polar solvents increase from acetone to diethyl ether, the solubility rate of sugar reduces. Whereas for non-polar solvent such as hexane, the solubility of glucose is relatively poor. Ionic liquid useful in most enzymatic research due to its excellent stability and activity of lipases. Apart from that ionic liquids also possess highly enantioselective property in single amino sugar synthesis and correlate with ‘designer’s solvent’ tag. Ionic liquid [BMIM]PF₆ possess hydrophobic nature, which is very important for this condensation reaction as presence of water can cause reversible reaction in amino sugar synthesis.

Cao et al. workers have reported on tert-butanol being a good solvent for optimum lipase activity. Understanding the importance of DMSO, tert-butanol and ionic liquid [BMIM][PF₆], mixture of solvent evaluated in this research as reaction media. Mixture of solvents in amino sugar synthesis is based on ‘green chemistry’ to use reduced amount of organic solvent, high sugar solubility and high product yield motives.

Enzyme screening: Lipase as a multipurpose enzyme chosen to be catalyst in this enzymatic synthesis based on its ability to catalyse aminolysis reactions. Out of 4 enzymes screened, immobilized Candida antarctica or most commonly known as Novozyme 435 showed the best conversion (10.68 %) whereas immobilized lipase Rhizomucor miehei (Lipozyme RM IM) showed lowest conversion (3.57 %) level (Fig. 1). Best conversion achieved using immobilized Candida antarctica lipase may be due to its support which tolerates highly polar solvent and high temperature resistant. This finding is in agreement with the report Sheldon by stating that the conversion rate is higher using immobilized Candida antarctica lipase.
In contrast to finding by Abdul Rahman et al.,20 on the stability of Novozyme 435 up to 50.7 °C, amino sugar showed a decrease in yield at 50 °C with 5.85 % conversion compared to 8.90 % conversion at 40 °C. Propylamine’s high volatility property at temperature above 48 °C contributes to this and causing low conversion.

High temperature certainly corresponds to high kinetic energy of reactants and therefore correlates to high collision frequency leading to higher rate of amino sugar formation. Boiling point of propylamine indicates the suitability of propylamine to be used in reactions below its boiling point. Above 50 °C, propylamine molecules vaporized from bottom of the vial to reside on the vial’s wall which is much cooler.

**Molar ratio study:** Amount of substrate is an extremely important element to study in this reaction to obtain high percentage of yield. Sharma et al.21 revealed that the increase in secondary-amide surfactant conversion from 58.8 to 96.2 % upon increasing ester to amine ratio 1:2 to 1:4. This research showed a molar ratio of 1:5 mmol (glucose: propylamine) was the optimum substrate level to give high percentage (71.56 %) of product (Fig. 5). There was a drastic improvement in conversion level compared to conversion using 1:1 molar ratio of substrates.
Followed by a decrease in conversion percentage (8.98%) upon addition have no free substrate molecules for reaction. Moreover, the amount of substrate acting as limiting factor occurred upon increasing the amount of enzyme two fold. This is due to the amount of substrate acting as limiting factor in proceeding this enzymatic reaction towards product’s favour.

Unchanged substrate amount gives a condition whereby substrate molecules saturated with enzyme causing further enzyme addition have no free substrate molecules for reaction. This is due to the amount of substrate acting as limiting factor in proceeding this enzymatic reaction towards product’s favour.

**Enzyme quantity study:** The substrate concentration should be as high as possible to obtain high degree of alkylation of the sugar used. Simultaneously the amount of immobilized enzyme used should be as much as it is possible to obtain the desired product.

Best conversion of amino sugar was obtained upon 2 mg enzyme mass usage (Fig. 6).

Previous work by Kwon et al.\textsuperscript{23} on synthesizing (S)-naproxen ethyl ester in supercritical carbon dioxide also agreed with the increasing pattern in amino sugar conversion upon providing more enzymes for this synthesis.\textsuperscript{23} Low biocatalyst active sites using 1 mg of enzyme mass results in low level of amino sugar conversion. Increment of 8.35% of conversion occurred upon increasing the amount of enzyme two fold followed by a decrease in conversion percentage (8.98%) upon increment in enzyme amount three fold.

Similar finding were reported previously by Radzi et al.\textsuperscript{24}. This is due to the amount of substrate acting as limiting factor in proceeding this enzymatic reaction towards product’s favour. Unchanged substrate amount gives a condition whereby substrate molecules saturated with enzyme causing further enzyme addition have no free substrate molecules for reaction.

**Optimum condition reaction:** An optimum condition in glucose amino sugar synthesis is then used for optimization study. Optimized condition gave 73.37% conversion of product. This corresponds with the optimum time parameter (2 h), (0.5 h), enzyme mass (2 mg), molar ratio 1:5 (glucose: propylamine) at a temperature of 40 °C (Fig. 7). Understanding the nature of commercialization for low energy and cost input and to yield effective high output, these optimum conditions well cruises into commercializing pathway. However the yield is not at maximum level due to solvent factor which is the most relevant topic to talk on in this enzymatic facile synthesis.

Usage of highly polar solvent in this enzymatic synthesis could cause denaturing of enzyme. Dimethyl sulfoxide is an important element in glycotechnology to provide homogeneity in substrate phases to enable for greater mass transfer.

**Gas chromatography mass spectrometry analysis of glucose amino sugar:** Analysis using GCMS gave few important fragments of amino sugar which corresponded to the success of this research. N-Methylene propan-1-aminium (Fig. 8) was a fragment of amino sugar (Fig. 3) with mass to charge ratio value 72, which was detected using GCMS. Another amino sugar fragment with mass to charge ratio value 132 as shown in Fig. 9, was also detected. These compounds relate to the existence of reaction between propylamine and glucose molecules as they form the C-N bond.

![Figure 5. Synthesis of amino sugar using various molar ratio of glucose to propylamine, using 5 mg of novozyme 435, at 50 °C for 2 h.](image)

![Figure 6. Synthesis of amino sugar using differing amount of novozyme 435 mass, using 1:1 molar ratio at 50 °C for a duration of 2 h.](image)

![Figure 7. Optimization study on amino sugar synthesis.](image)

![Figure 8. Amino sugar fragment at mass to charge ratio 72.](image)

![Figure 9. Amino sugar fragment at mass to charge ratio 132.](image)
Conclusion

Amino sugar derivative was synthesized in this research. Contrast to the usual chemical hydrolysis method used to synthesize amino sugar derivatives, this research focused on amino sugar derivative synthesis by means of glucose and bases. The reaction media for this enzymatic synthesis is mixture of ionic liquid [PF$_6$], DMSO and tert-butanol. This work revolves around greener and safer material design in order to support sustainable chemical synthesis approach.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was financially supported by research grants from Genetics and Molecular Biology Initiative, Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE), Malaysia and Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM).

REFERENCES

1. S. Nakamura, Oleochem., 8, 866 (1997).
2. T. Watanabe, Food Ing. J. (Japan), 180, 18 (1999).
3. L. Eggeling, W. Pfefferle and H. Sahm, In eds.: C. Ratledge and B. Kristiansen, Basic Biotechnology, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, edn. 3, Ch. 14, p. 336 (2006).
4. J.K. Chen, C.R. Shen and C.H. Liu, Mar. Drugs, 8, 2493 (2010).
5. T. Maruyama, S.I. Nagasawa and M. Goto, J. Biosci. Bioeng., 94, 357 (2002).
6. R. Gulati, P. Arya, B. Malhotra, A.K. Prasad, K. Rajendra, Saxena, J. Kumar, A.C. Watterson and V.S. Parmar, Arkivoc, 159 (2003).
7. M. Ferrer, J. Soliveri, F.J. Plou, N. Lopez-Cortes, D. Reyes-Duarte, M. Christensen, J.L. Copa-Patino and A. Ballesteros, Enzym. Microbiol. Technol., 36, 391 (2005).
8. L. Cao, U.T. Bornscheuer and R.D. Schmid, J. Mol. Catal. B-Enzym., 6, 279 (1999).
9. F.J. Plou, M.A. Cruces, M. Ferrer, G. Fuentes, E. Pastor and M. Bernabe, J. Biotechnol., 96, 55 (2002).
10. K.E. Johnson, What’s an Ionic Liquid? The Elec. Soc. Interface, Spring, pp. 38-41 (2007).
11. Z. Yang and W. Pan, Enzym. Microbiol. Technol., 37, 19 (2005).
12. G.L. Miller, Anal. Chem., 31, 426 (1959).
13. J.S. Dordick, Biotechnol. Progr., 8, 259 (1992).
14. A.A. Klyosov, N. van Viet and I.V. Berezin, Eur. J. Biochem., 59, 3 (1975).
15. M. Matsumoto, K. Kida and K. Kondo, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 70, 188 (1997).
16. H. Zhao and S.V. Malhotra, Aldrichim. Acta, 35, 75 (2002).
17. A. Houde, A. Kademi and D. Leblanc, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol., 118, 155 (2004).
18. E. Charton and A.R. Macrae, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1123, 59 (1992).
19. R. Sheldon, Adv. Synth. Catal., 349, 1289 (2007).
20. M.B. Abdul Rahman, N. Chaibakhsh, M. Basri, R.N.Z.R.A. Rahman, A.B. Salleh and M.R. Salina, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 83, 1534 (2008).
21. J. Sharma, D. Batovska, Y. Kuvamori and Y. Asano, J. Biosci. Bioeng., 100, 662 (2005).
22. J.L. Kuan, N. Alhindra and J.F. Shaw, J. Agric. Food Chem., 49, 5761 (2001).
23. C.H. Kwon, J.H. Lee, S.W. Kim and J.W. Kang, J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 19, 1592 (2009).
24. S.M. Radzi, M. Basri, A.B. Salleh, R. Mohammad, M.B. Abdul Rahman and R.N.Z.R.A. Rahman, J. Biotechnol., 8, 292 (2005).