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A fast redistribution of metal atoms occurs upon mixing the $\text{Ag}_x\text{Au}_{38-x}$ and $\text{Au}_{38}$ nanoclusters in solution, as observed by mass spectrometry. Physical separation of $\text{Ag}_x\text{Au}_{38-x}$ and $\text{Au}_{38}$ species by a dialysis membrane prohibits the metal migration, which suggests that collisions between the reacting clusters are at the origin of the observation.

Thiolate protected gold nanoclusters have gained increasing interest due to their precise atomic composition. The structure of the well-defined Au nanoclusters comprises a gold metallic core and protecting SR-(Au–SR)$_n$ staple units. The $\text{Au}_{38}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{24}$ nanocluster, for example, is composed of a Au$_{23}$ core, six dimeric staple motifs (SR–Au–SR–Au–SR) and three monomeric staples (SR–Au–SR). The mobility of the thiolates on the cluster surface was evidenced by racemization of the $\text{Au}_{38}$ nanocluster enantiomer, which may involve a series of inter-staple $\text{SN}_2$-type reactions. In addition, Negishi and Murray both reported an intercluster ligand exchange between gold nanoclusters, suggesting the detachment of ligands or gold–ligand complexes. This is in agreement with older studies on self-assembled monolayers of alkylthiolates on flat gold surfaces, which revealed desorption of radiolabeled thiols into the solution.

Thiolates on gold nanoclusters have been introduced in the core and staples of gold nanoclusters to impart enhanced chemical and physical properties. Doping increased the nanocluster surface flexibility and therefore lowered the racemization temperature of chiral $\text{Au}_{38}$. One of the recently studied methods to obtain bimetallic and trimetallic gold nanoclusters is metal exchange between hetero-metal thiolates and well defined gold nanoclusters.

Pradeep and coworkers reported the synthesis of AgAu nanoclusters by instantaneous intercluster metal exchange between $\text{Ag}_{44}(\text{SR})_{30}$ and $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SR})_{18}$ nanoclusters. However, no experimental study has been carried out to address the intercluster metal exchange mechanism. There are two possible pathways: (1) small metal thiolate species (metal A) detach from one nanocluster and attack the other nanocluster (metal B); (2) the two nanocluster exchange metal atoms upon collision.

Here we report the migration of silver atoms between $\text{Ag}_x\text{Au}_{38-x}$ and $\text{Au}_{38}$ nanoclusters, which was observed by MALDI mass spectrometry. In order to investigate the metal migration mechanism, a dialysis membrane was applied to separate $\text{Ag}_x\text{Au}_{38-x}$ and $\text{Au}_{38}$ nanoclusters spatially (Scheme 1), while allowing the transfer of small species across the membrane. No metal migration occurs under these conditions, pointing towards a collision mechanism for the reaction.

Three batches (denoted A, B and C) of the $\text{Ag}_x\text{Au}_{38-x}$ nanocluster were synthesized by metal exchange between the $\text{Au}_{38}$ nanocluster and AgSC$_2$H$_4$Ph (for details see the ESI†).

Scheme 1

(A) Reaction between $\text{Au}_{38}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{24}$ and $\text{Ag}_x\text{Au}_{38-x}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{24}$ that led to silver migration and consequent redistribution of silver atoms among the clusters. (B) Reaction in the presence of a dialysis membrane that prevent the direct physical contact between $\text{Au}_{38}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{24}$ and $\text{Ag}_x\text{Au}_{38-x}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{24}$.
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† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis, dialysis experiment, MALDI, UV-vis, and $^1$HNMR measurement results described in the text. See DOI: 10.1039/c6cc04469g
species from \(x = 3\) to \(x = 11\) (‘\(x\)’ being the number of Ag atoms in the cluster). It should be noted that fresh samples were used in this study and multiple passages over a size exclusion column were performed to avoid interference from small species. In the preliminary test, the \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) nanocluster (batch A) was mixed with \(\text{Au}_{38}\) in a mass ratio 1:1. Upon mixing with \(\text{Au}_{38}\), the green solution of \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) turned brown. Fig. 1 shows the MALDI spectra of starting \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) and the mixture after 10 minutes and after 1 hour. After 10 minutes, the mixture showed new \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) species with \(x > 1\). Meanwhile, the starting \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) clusters with \(x > 5\) have disappeared. We calculated the average number of dopant atoms as:

\[
\bar{x} = \sum x_i \times A_i / \sum A_i
\]

Here \(A\) is the corresponding peak area in the MALDI spectra. Upon mixing \(\bar{x}\) in the cluster passed from 7.6 to 1.2 only in 10 minutes. The surprisingly low average number of silver atoms after mixing could be due to more pronounced fragmentation of \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) with a larger \(x\). No significant changes are noted from MALDI spectra after 1 hour mixing, indicating that most of the reaction takes place within the first ten minutes.

The UV-vis spectra of \(\text{Au}_{38}\) and \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) are clearly different (Fig. S2a, ESI† compare black and red spectra). In an attempt to follow the evolution of the reaction, UV-vis spectra were collected immediately after the mixing of \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) (batch B) with \(\text{Au}_{38}\) and every minute for the following 10 minutes, then one after 20 minutes and 30 minutes. These spectra are reported in Fig. S2b (ESI†). No clear changes were observed in the spectra recorded at different times after the mixing. Difference spectra obtained by subtracting the first spectrum recorded after mixing from all the subsequent spectra (Fig. S2c, ESI†) showed only minor changes. It turned out that the UV-vis spectrum of the product \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) (with an intermediate \(\bar{x}\)) could be synthesized by the superposition of the spectra of the original \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) cluster (with large \(\bar{x}\)) and \(\text{Au}_{38}\) (see the fit in the Fig. S3, ESI†).

This means that the absorption spectroscopy is not very sensitive to the migration of metal atoms in this case.

\(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) (batch C, average dopant number \(\bar{x} = 6.0\)) was mixed with \(\text{Au}_{38}\) in two mass ratios \((\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}:\text{Au}_{38} = 2:1\) and \(1:1\)). The MALDI spectrum (Fig. S4, ESI†) shows that in both cases the distribution of \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) clusters is shifted to lower \(\bar{x}\) values after mixing with \(\text{Au}_{38}\). As expected the mixture containing more silver has a distribution with higher \(\bar{x}\) values.

We furthermore compared the MALDI spectrum of batch B immediately after synthesis and size exclusion and after stirring the sample for three hours. As shown in Fig. S5 (ESI†) the average number of silver atoms remained centred around \(\bar{x} = 6.5\) but the distribution of species with different \(\bar{x}\) became sharper with time. This indicates that metal exchange takes place between \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) clusters as well. The observed change in compositional distribution reflects a thermodynamic driving force towards a narrower distribution. The narrow distribution indicates that silver incorporation is thermodynamically favoured in specific positions in the \(\text{Au}_{38}\) cluster, as was evidenced recently by Dass and coworkers.\(^{10}\) In the latter’s work it was shown that silver preferentially occupies nine positions on the cluster core surface. In fact the distribution of species \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) observed after three hours of stirring (Fig. S5, ESI†) is close to a binomial distribution of \(6.4\) Ag atoms over nine possible sites (see Fig. S6, ESI†). The same number of silver atoms distributed over 38 sites gives a much broader binomial distribution. This indicates that the system evolves towards a situation where the silver atoms occupy a restricted number of sites in the cluster.

To study the mechanism of this fast Ag migration from \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) to \(\text{Au}_{38}\), experiments were conducted using a dialysis membrane. This allows one to physically separate the two clusters, whereas the transport of small species (<1000 Da) through the membrane is possible. To check the performance of the dialysis membrane a DCM solution of 2-phenylethanol (2-PET) was placed inside the membrane and pure DCM was placed outside (the dialysis reaction is denoted as 2-PET|DCM, the same format is applied for the following dialysis study). After dialysis, the outside DCM solution showed the characteristic peaks of 2-PET in \(^1\)H-NMR (Fig. S7, ESI†). The condition was applied for \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\mathrm{DCM}\) and \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) (batch B). After dialysis, the \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) inside the membrane showed no changes in the distribution of Ag and Au (Fig. 2). Note that in the \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\mathrm{DCM}\) experiment no clusters were found outside the membrane, showing that the clusters are too large to penetrate the pores of the membrane.

In the \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\mathrm{DCM}\) dialysis study, no exchanged species were found in the outside solution (Fig. 3). The concentrated outside solution did not show silver migration products (\(x > 0\)) after 3 h (Fig. S8, ESI†). In the reference experiment using the same \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) and \(\text{Au}_{38}\) solutions but without dialysis membrane migration is proved by MALDI (Fig. 3). These findings show that the mechanism of Ag migration does not involve small species that detach from the \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) cluster and react with \(\text{Au}_{38}\). This suggests a mechanism of metal atom exchange involving collisions between the reacting clusters. In our previous report\(^{19}\) we showed, using racemization studies, that \(\text{Ag}_{x}\text{Au}_{38-x}\) has a
significantly higher surface flexibility compared to Au$_{18}$ due to the weaker Ag–S bond compared to Au–S. The high flexibility of the Ag$_{x}$Au$_{18-x}$ surface may play an important role in the collision mechanism for the metal atom migration observed here. It was proposed earlier$^{3}$ that the observed racemization of Au$_{18}$ could take place via inter-staple S$_{2}$-type reactions whereby the staples on the surface of the cluster are mixed among each other. Possibly, metal migration occurs during collision in a similar fashion between clusters therefore mixing staples belonging to different clusters.

In conclusion, silver migration was observed between Ag$_{x}$Au$_{18-x}$ and Au$_{18}$ upon mixing in solution. This reaction seems to proceed very fast, on the timescale of minutes, at least. The silver migration kinetics could be further studied by fluorescence experiments. The distribution of Ag$_{x}$Au$_{18-x}$ species with different composition (different $x$) in solution depends on the starting Ag$_{x}$Au$_{18-x}$ and Au$_{18}$ ratio. However, the migration was not detected when the two clusters were physically separated by a dialysis membrane, which allowed small species to diffuse across the membrane. This suggests a mechanism for the metal migration that involves collisions between the reacting clusters. The high flexibility of the Ag$_{x}$Au$_{18-x}$ cluster surface, as observed before, may play an important factor in this mechanism.
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