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We investigate the validity of the hyperhoop conjecture, which claims to determine a necessary and sufficient condition for the formation of black hole horizons in higher-dimensional space-times. Here we consider momentarily static, conformally flat initial data sets each describing a gravitational field of uniform massive $k$-sphere sources, for $k = 1, 2$, on the five-dimensional Cauchy surface. The numerical result shows the validity of the hyperhoop conjecture for a wide range of model parameters. We also confirm for the first time the existence of an apparent horizon homeomorphism to $S^2 \times S^2$ or $S^1 \times S^3$, which is a higher-dimensional generalization of the black ring.

1 Introduction

The hoop conjecture is a well-known statement in general relativity. This claims that black holes with horizons form when, and only when, a mass $M$ gets compacted into a region whose circumference $C$ in every direction is $C \lesssim 4\pi GM$ \cite{1}. The hoop conjecture implies that a black hole horizon should not far deviate geometrically from the round sphere. However, such a statement is only valid for four-dimensional space-times; in fact, even infinitely long black string solutions are allowed by the Einstein equation in five or higher-dimensional space-times.

The higher-dimensional generalization of the hoop conjecture, which is called the hyperhoop conjecture, has been known for almost a decade \cite{2}, and its validity has been verified in various cases \cite{3, 4, 5, 6, 7}. Concretely, the hyperhoop conjecture for $(m+1)$-dimensional space-times claims that black hole horizons form when, and only when, the mass $M$ gets compacted within a region such that for any characteristic $(m-2)$-dimensional closed submanifold of the region with the volume $V_{m-2}$, the inequality

$$V_{m-2} \lesssim G_{m+1} M,$$

holds, where $G_{m+1}$ is the gravitational constant for $(m+1)$-dimensional theory of gravity \cite{2}. A similar condition is also investigated in Ref. \cite{8}.

Ida and Nakao \cite{2} investigate various types of initial data sets for five-dimensional black hole space-times, and give a physical grounds to believe that the inequality \cite{11} is a good criterion for the black hole formation. They consider gravitational fields of spatially extended objects in momentarily static, conformally flat initial data sets, evaluate various hyperhoops for apparent horizons, which they find, and give evidences for the validity of the hyperhoop conjecture. Other numerical works also suggest the validity of the hyperhoop conjecture \cite{4, 5, 6}. 
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On the other hand, it is known that a higher-dimensional black hole can have various kinds of nontrivial topologies [8], although in four-dimensional space-times the apparent horizon must be diffeomorphic to the 2-sphere [9]. The only topological restriction on apparent horizons in higher-dimensional space-times, which is known, is that they must admit a Riemannian metric with positive scalar curvature [10]. It follows that a black hole in five-dimensional space-time must be diffeomorphic to $S^3$, $S^2 \times S^1$ or elliptic spaces. In six or higher-dimensional space-times, we know little about such topological restrictions. In five-dimensional space-time, the exact solution describing the stationary rotating black ring, which is a black hole with $S^2 \times S^1$ horizon, is known for the vacuum Einstein equation [11]. One might expect the existence of higher-dimensional generalizations of black rings, which is a black hole with a $S^k \times S^l$ horizon, although we do not have such an example. Here, we try to find the “hyper black ring” in an initial data set for the six-dimensional space-time, which is another motivation of the present work.

In this paper, we consider initial data sets for the six-dimensional black hole space-times and investigate the validity of the hyperhoop conjecture.

In Sec. II, we construct the momentarily static initial data sets on the five-dimensional Cauchy surface. In Sec. III, we describe the method to determine the location of the apparent horizon. In Sec. IV, we show numerical results.

The speed of light is set to unity.

\section{Hamiltonian and Momentum Constraints}

We set the initial data set $(g_{\mu\nu}, K_{\mu\nu})$ on the five-dimensional Cauchy surface $\Sigma^5$, where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the induced metric on $\Sigma^5$ and $K_{\mu\nu}$ is the extrinsic curvature of $\Sigma^5$. Denoting by $n_\mu$ the unit normal vector to $\Sigma^5$, $K_{\mu\nu}$ is written as

$$K_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu \lambda}^{(6)} \nabla_\lambda n_\nu,$$

(2)

where $^{(6)}\nabla_\mu$ is the covariant derivative associated with the space-time metric.

The initial data set $(g_{\mu\nu}, K_{\mu\nu})$ satisfies the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints

$$R - K_{\mu\nu}K^{\mu\nu} + K^2 = 16\pi G_6 \rho$$

(3)

and

$$D^\nu (K_{\mu\nu} - Kg_{\mu\nu}) = 8\pi G_6 J_\mu,$$

(4)

where $R$ is the Ricci scalar associated with $g_{\mu\nu}$, $D^\mu$ is the covariant derivative on $\Sigma^5$, $G_6$ is the gravitational constant in a six-dimensional theory of gravity, $\rho$ is the energy density and $J_\mu$ is the energy flux. Let us consider the momentarily static initial data set

$$K_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

(5)
and assume the conformally flat metric
\[ g_{\mu\nu} = \psi^{4/3} \delta_{\mu\nu}. \] (6)

Then the energy flux \( J_\mu \) is equal to zero by momentum constraint \( \text{(4)} \). On the one hand, the Hamiltonian constraint \( \text{(3)} \) becomes
\[ (5) \nabla^2 \psi = -3\pi G \psi^{7/3} \rho, \] (7)
where \( \nabla^2 \) is the flat space Laplacian. We solve Eq. \( \text{(7)} \) with boundary condition
\[ \psi = 1 \quad \text{(at infinity)}, \] (8)
and consider the vacuum case \( \rho = 0, \)
\[ (5) \nabla^2 \psi = 0. \] (9)

3 The Location of The Apparent Horizon

In this paper, we consider the uniform massive \( k \)-sphere source \( (k = 1, 2) \) in Cauchy surface \( \Sigma^5 \) and investigate whether the apparent horizon \( H \) which is homeomorphic with \( S^k \times S^{4-k} \) satisfies the hyperhoop conjecture or not. For this purpose, we derive the equation which determines the location of \( H \). Since we assume a momentarily static initial data set, the location is given by the minimal surface. This fact means the trace of the extrinsic curvature of \( H \) is zero.

Because we consider \( \Sigma^5 \) is conformally flat space, we can write the metric \( g \) as follows:
\[ g = \psi^{4/3} \left[ dx^2 + x^2 \gamma(S^k) + dy^2 + y^2 \gamma(S^{3-k}) \right], \] (10)
where \( \gamma(S^k) \) is the metric of \( k \)-sphere and \( \gamma(S^0) \) is regarded as zero. Now we assume that massive \( k \)-sphere is located at \( x = C, y = 0 \). Because of the rotational symmetry of the configuration in our setup, we have only to consider how a possible apparent horizon \( H \) is embedded in the \((x, y)\) plane. This embedding will be given as follows:
\[ x = r(\xi) \cos \xi + C, \ y = r(\xi) \sin \xi. \] (11)

Then one can find that the induced metric on \( H \) has the following form:
\[ h = \psi^{4/3} \left[ (r^2 + r^2(\xi)) d\xi^2 + x^2(\xi) \gamma(S^k) + y^2(\xi) \gamma(S^{3-k}) \right]. \] (12)
The components of the unit normal 1-form $\bar{n} = \bar{n}_x dx + \bar{n}_y dy$ to $H$ are given by

$$\bar{n}_x = \psi^{2/3} \frac{r_\xi \sin \xi + r \cos \xi}{\sqrt{(r_\xi)^2 + r^2}}, \quad \text{(13)}$$

$$\bar{n}_y = \psi^{2/3} \frac{r_\xi \cos \xi - r \sin \xi}{\sqrt{(r_\xi)^2 + r^2}}. \quad \text{(14)}$$

An apparent horizon in the momentarily static Cauchy surface is a minimal surface on it, which is given by the minimal surface equation

$$h^{\mu\nu} D_\mu \bar{n}_\nu = 0. \quad \text{(15)}$$

In the present case, this is explicitly given by

$$r_\xi \xi - (5-k) \frac{(r_\xi)^2}{r} - (4-k) r - \frac{(r_\xi)^2 + r^2}{r}$$

$$\times \left[ k \frac{r_\xi \sin \xi + r \cos \xi}{r \cos \xi + C} - (3-k) \frac{r_\xi \cot \xi}{r} \right] - \frac{8}{3} \left( \frac{(r_\xi)^2 + r^2}{r} \right)$$

$$\times \left\{ (r_\xi \sin \xi + r \cos \xi) \frac{\psi_x}{\psi} - (r_\xi \cos \xi - r \sin \xi) \frac{\psi_y}{\psi} \right\}$$

$$= 0. \quad \text{(16)}$$

Thus for given conformal factor $\psi(x, y)$, the problem to find the apparent horizon is reduced to the 2-point boundary value problem of the second-order ordinary differential equation for $r = r(\xi)$.

Our main task is to find the apparent horizon and to confirm the inequality

$$V_3(S) \lesssim G_6 M \quad \text{(17)}$$

for every typical 3-volume $S$ on the horizon.

### 4 Numerical Results

#### 4.1 Spherical black holes

For later convenience, let us consider the spherically symmetric configuration first. The initial data metric $g$ for a point source will be given by

$$g = \psi^{4/3}(r) \left[ dr^2 + r^2 \gamma(S^4) \right]. \quad \text{(18)}$$

Solving the Poisson equation (7) for the point source

$$\psi^{7/3} \rho = \frac{3M_{\text{ADM}} \delta(r)}{8\pi^2 r^4}, \quad \text{(19)}$$

where $M_{\text{ADM}}$ is the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) mass, the conformal factor $\psi(r)$ is obtained as

$$\psi = 1 + \frac{3G_6 M_{\text{ADM}}}{8\pi r^3}. \quad \text{(20)}$$
In fact, this is initial data for six-dimensional Schwarzschild-Tangherlini black hole space-time. The location of the apparent horizon is determined by
\[ (r\psi^{2/3})_r = 0. \] (21)
This is easily solved to give the round 4-sphere
\[ r = r_s := \left( \frac{3G_6M_{ADM}}{8\pi} \right)^{1/3}. \] (22)

The circumference \( L(C_s) \) of the great circle, the three-dimensional volume \( A(S_s) \) of the equatorial 3-sphere and four-dimensional volume \( V(H_s) \) of the apparent horizon \( H_s \) become
\[ L(C_s) = 2\pi r_s \psi_{s}^{2/3} = 2\pi \left( \frac{3G_6M_{ADM}}{2\pi} \right)^{1/3}, \] (23)
\[ A(S_s) = 2\pi^2 (r_s \psi_{s}^{2/3})^3 = 3\pi G_6 M_{ADM}, \] (24)
\[ V(H_s) = \frac{8\pi^2}{3} (r_s \psi_{s}^{2/3})^4 = \frac{8\pi^2}{3} \left( \frac{3G_6M_{ADM}}{2\pi} \right)^{4/3}, \] (25)
respectively, where \( \psi_s := \psi(r_s) \).

### 4.2 \( S^2 \times S^2 \) apparent horizons

Here we consider the gravitational field produced by the uniform massive 2-source. For this purpose, we take the spatial metric as
\[ g = \psi^{4/3} \left[ dx^2 + x^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) + dy^2 + y^2 d\eta^2 \right], \] (26)
which corresponds to the case of \( k = 2 \) in Eq. (10).

Let us consider the uniform massive 2-sphere source of the Euclidean radius \( C \) given by
\[ \psi^{7/3} \rho = \frac{M_{ADM} \delta(x - C) \delta(y)}{8\pi^2 C^2 y}. \] (27)

By solving Eq. (27), the conformal factor is obtained in an analytic form as
\[ \psi = 1 + \frac{3G_6M_{ADM}}{16\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{d\theta}{[x-C \cos \theta]^2+y^2+C^2 \sin^2 \theta]^{3/2}} \sin \theta \]
\[ = 1 + \frac{3G_6M_{ADM}}{16\pi} \frac{1}{C(x)} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x-C)^2+y^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x+C)^2+y^2}} \right]. \] (28)

Now we try to search the location of the apparent horizon in the form
\[ x(\xi) = r(\xi) \cos \xi + C, \ y(\xi) = r(\xi) \sin \xi. \] (29)
Then, the minimal surface equation becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{r_{,\xi\xi}}{r} - \frac{3}{r} \left(\frac{r_{,\xi}}{r} \right)^2 + \frac{r^{,\xi}}{r} - \frac{\left(\frac{r_{,\xi}}{r} \right)^2 + \frac{2r_{,\xi}}{r} - 2}{r}
\times \left( \frac{2r_{,\xi} \sin \xi + r \cos \xi}{r \cos \xi + C} - \frac{r_{,\xi}}{r} \cot \xi \right) - \frac{8}{3} \left(\frac{r_{,\xi}}{r} \right)^2 + \frac{r^{,\xi}}{r}
\times \left\{ \frac{r_{,\xi} \sin \xi + r \cos \xi}{\psi} \right\} - \left(\frac{r_{,\xi} \cos \xi - r \sin \xi}{\psi} \right) \psi_x
\end{align*}
\]
\[
= 0.
\] (30)

If we find the solution to Eq. (30), which is an embedded curve with its endpoints both on the \( x \) axis, it corresponds to a minimal surface homeomorphic to \( S^2 \times S^2 \), but possibly with conical singularities on the \( x \) axis. From the smoothness of the solution of the Eq. (30) on \( x \) axis, \( r_{,\xi} \) must be equal to zero for \( \xi = 0, \pi \). Then, the solution to this 2-point boundary value problem will give the \( S^2 \times S^2 \) apparent horizon.

We also search for the \( S^4 \) apparent horizon in the form
\[
x(\xi) = r(\xi) \cos \xi, \quad y(\xi) = r(\xi) \sin \xi,
\] (31)
where \( \xi \) ranges from 0 to \( \pi/2 \). Then we solve the minimal surface equation
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{r_{,\xi\xi}}{r} - \frac{3}{r} \left(\frac{r_{,\xi}}{r} \right)^2 + \frac{r^{,\xi}}{r}
\times \left( \frac{2r_{,\xi} \sin \xi + r \cos \xi}{r \cos \xi + C} - \frac{r_{,\xi}}{r} \cot \xi \right) - \frac{8}{3} \left(\frac{r_{,\xi}}{r} \right)^2 + \frac{r^{,\xi}}{r}
\times \left\{ \frac{r_{,\xi} \sin \xi + r \cos \xi}{\psi} \right\} - \left(\frac{r_{,\xi} \cos \xi - r \sin \xi}{\psi} \right) \psi_x
\end{align*}
\]
\[
= 0,
\] (32)
with the boundary condition \( r_{,\xi} = 0 \) for \( \xi = 0, \pi/2 \).

We show some numerical results in the Fig. 1. Whenever we use a 2-sphere source of large radius \( C \), we always find an \( S^2 \times S^2 \) horizon. For sufficiently small radius \( C \), we do not find the \( S^2 \times S^2 \) horizon, but we always find an \( S^4 \) apparent horizon in such a case. For middle values of \( C \) given by \( 0.92r_s \leq C \leq 0.96r_s \), both the \( S^4 \) and \( S^2 \times S^2 \) apparent horizons are found such that the \( S^4 \) horizon encloses \( S^2 \times S^2 \) horizon; see Fig. 2. In order to verify the validity of the inequality, we evaluate the following geometric quantities characterizing the size of various submanifolds in \( H \). For the \( S^4 \) horizons, we define three different typical 3-volumes as
\[
A_s(S_1) = 8\pi \int_0^{\pi/2} \psi^2 \sqrt{(r_{,\xi})^2 + r^2 \cos^2 \xi} \, d\xi,
\] (33)
\[
A_s(S_2) = 4\pi^2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \psi^2 \sqrt{(r_{,\xi})^2 + r^2 \sin \xi \cos \xi} \, d\xi,
\] (34)
\[
A_s(T) = \max \left\{ 8\pi^2 \psi^2 r^3 \sin \xi \cos^2 \xi ; \xi \in \left[ 0, \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right\},
\] (35)
where $S_1$ is the 3-sphere section of $H$ on the 4-plane determined by $\eta = 0$ and $\eta = \pi$, $S_2$ is the 3-sphere section of $H$ on the 4-plane determined by $\theta = \pi/2$, and $T$ is the greatest $S^2 \times S^1$ on $H$ given by $\xi = \text{const.}$

The results of the evaluation of these quantities are shown in Fig. 3. For an $S^2 \times S^2$ horizon, we define typical 3-volumes as

$$A_n(T_1) = 2\pi^2 \int_0^\pi \psi^2 \sqrt{(r, \xi)^2 + r^2 (r \cos \xi + C)} r \sin \xi d\xi,$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

$$A_n(T_2) = \max \{8\pi^2 \psi^2 (r \cos \xi + C)^2 r \sin \xi; \xi \in [0, \pi]\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

where $T_1$ is the $S^2 \times S^1$ section of $H$ obtained by $\theta = \pi/2$, and $T_2$ is the greatest $S^2 \times S^1$ in $H$ among those obtained by $\xi = \text{const.}$ The behavior of these quantities are shown in Fig. 4.

From Figs. 3 and 4, every three-dimensional volume of an apparent horizon in this case satisfies

$$\frac{\text{(3-Volume)}}{3\pi G_6 M_{\text{ADM}}} < O(1),$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

This shows that the inequality (17) is satisfied if the mass is regarded as the ADM mass. We also take into account the possibility that the “mass” in the hyperhoop conjecture should be the quasilocal mass $M_{\text{ql}}$ instead of $M_{\text{ADM}}$. We define the quasilocal mass as

$$M_{\text{ql}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{8\pi^2}{3}\right)^{1/4} V_4^{3/4}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)
Figure 2: We can find both $S^4$ and $S^2 \times S^2$ apparent horizons for $0.92 r_s \leq C \leq 0.96 r_s$. Both apparent horizons for $C = 0.95 r_s$ are depicted in the $(x, y)$ plane. We cannot find an $S^4$ apparent horizon for $C = 0.97 r_s$.

where $V_4$ is the area of the apparent horizon, which is given by

$$V_4 = 8\pi^2 \int_0^{\pi/2} d\xi \psi^{8/3} \sqrt{(r, \xi)^2 + r^2 \cos^2 \xi \sin \xi}$$  

(40)

for an $S^4$ apparent horizon, and by

$$V_4 = 8\pi^2 \int_0^{\pi/2} d\xi \psi^{8/3} \sqrt{(r, \xi)^2 + r^2 (r \cos \xi + C)^2 r \sin \xi}$$  

(41)

for an $S^2 \times S^2$ apparent horizon.

We numerically evaluate the following quantity:

$$\Gamma = \frac{(3\text{-Volume})}{3\pi G_0 M_{\text{ql}}}.$$  

(42)

We show the results in Figs. 5 and 6. From Figs. 5 and 6 for the quasilocal mass both $S^4$ and $S^2 \times S^2$ apparent horizon also satisfy

$$\Gamma < O(1).$$  

(43)

Thus the inequality (17) for the quasilocal mass also holds well.

4.3 $S^1 \times S^3$ apparent horizons

Next, we consider the gravitational field produced by the uniform massive 1-source. For this purpose, we take the spatial metric as

$$g = \psi^{4/3} \left[ dx^2 + x^2 dy^2 + dy^2 + y^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right].$$  

(44)
Figure 3: \( A_s(S_1) \) (squares), \( A_s(S_2) \) (crosses), and \( A_s(T) \) (triangles) are plotted as a function of radius \( C/r_s \). All quantities are normalized by \( 3\pi G_6 M_{ADM} \). Although \( A_s(S_1) \) and \( A_s(T) \) increase with \( C \), an \( S^4 \) black hole with \( C \geq 0.97 r_s \) does not exist.

which corresponds to the case of \( k = 1 \) in Eq. \(^{10}\).

Let us consider the uniform massive \( 1 \)-sphere source of the Euclidean radius \( C \) given by

\[
\psi^{7/3} \rho = \frac{M_{ADM} \delta(x-C) \delta(y)}{8\pi^2 Cy^2}. \tag{45}
\]

By solving Eq. \(^7\), the conformal factor is obtained in an analytic form as

\[
\psi = 1 + \frac{3G_6 M_{ADM}}{16\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{\left[(x-C \cos \theta)^2 + y^2 + C^2 \sin^2 \theta\right]^{3/2}} = 1 + \frac{3G_6 M_{ADM} E\left(-\frac{4Cx}{(x-C)^2 + y^2}\right)}{4\pi^2 (x+C)^2 + y^2 \sqrt{(x-C)^2 + y^2}} \tag{46}
\]

where \( E(k) \) is the complete elliptic integral of the second kind,

\[
E(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1 - k \sin^2 \theta} d\theta. \tag{47}
\]

Now, as is the case in the uniform massive 2-source , we try to search the location of the apparent horizon in the form \(^{29}\). Then, the Eq. \(^{16}\) for \( k = 1 \)
Figure 4: $A_n(T_1)$ (crosses) and $A_n(T_2)$ (triangles) are plotted as a function of radius $C/r_s$. All quantities are normalized by $3\pi G_0 M_{\text{ADM}}$.

become

$$r_{,\xi\xi} - 4 \frac{(r_{,\xi})^2}{r} - 3r - \frac{(r_{,\xi})^2 + r^2}{r}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{r_{,\xi} \sin \xi + r \cos \xi}{r \cos \xi + C} - 2 \frac{r_{,\xi}}{r} \cot \xi \right) - \frac{8 (r_{,\xi})^2 + r^2}{3} \frac{r}{r}$$

$$\times \left\{ (r_{,\xi} \sin \xi + r \cos \xi) \frac{\psi_x}{\psi} - (r_{,\xi} \cos \xi - r \sin \xi) \frac{\psi_y}{\psi} \right\}$$

$$= 0. \quad (48)$$

The location of the $S^1 \times S^3$ apparent horizon of a black hole is obtained by solving the Eq. (48) for $r(\xi)$ with the boundary condition $r_{,\xi} = 0$ for $\xi = 0, \pi$.

We also search for the $S^4$ apparent horizon in the form (31). Then we solve the minimal surface equation

$$r_{,\xi\xi} - 4 \frac{(r_{,\xi})^2}{r} - 3r - \frac{(r_{,\xi})^2 + r^2}{r}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{r_{,\xi} \sin \xi + r \cos \xi}{r \cos \xi + C} - 2 \frac{r_{,\xi}}{r} \cot \xi \right) - \frac{8 (r_{,\xi})^2 + r^2}{3} \frac{r}{r}$$

$$\times \left\{ (r_{,\xi} \sin \xi + r \cos \xi) \frac{\psi_x}{\psi} - (r_{,\xi} \cos \xi - r \sin \xi) \frac{\psi_y}{\psi} \right\}$$

$$= 0, \quad (49)$$

with the boundary condition $r_{,\xi} = 0$ for $\xi = 0, \pi/2$. 
Figure 5: $\Gamma$ is plotted as a function of the radius $C/r_s$. $A_s(S_1)$, $A_s(S_2)$, and $A_s(T)$ are depicted by squares, crosses, and triangles, respectively. Although $A_s(S_1)$ and $A_s(T)$ increase with $C$, an $S^4$ black hole with $C \geq 0.97 r_s$ does not exist.

We show the shape of the $S^1 \times S^3$ apparent horizon by solving Eq. (48) and Eq. (49) with Fig. 7. When we put a 1-sphere source of large radius, we always find the $S^1 \times S^3$ apparent horizon. For small radius, we find the $S^4$ black hole. We also found that there exists both the $S^4$ and $S^1 \times S^3$ apparent horizon for $0.68 r_s \leq C \leq 0.72 r_s$ in the Fig. 8.

We evaluate typical area scales for the case of the $S^4$ apparent horizon,

$$A_s(S_1) = 8\pi \int_0^{\pi/2} \psi^2 \sqrt{(r, \xi)^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \xi} d\xi,$$

$$A_s(S_2) = 2\pi \int_0^{\pi/2} \psi^2 \sqrt{(r, \xi)^2 + r^2 \sin 2\xi} d\xi,$$

$$A_s(T) = \max \left\{8\pi^2 \psi^2 r^3 \cos \xi \sin^2 \xi; \xi \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]\right\},$$

where $S_1$ is the 3-sphere section of $H$ on the 4-plane determined by $\eta = 0$ and $\eta = \pi$, $S_2$ is the 3-sphere section of $H$ on the 4-plane determined by $\theta = \pi/2$, and $T$ is the greatest $S^1 \times S^2$ on $H$ given by $\xi = \text{const}$.

For the case of the nontopological apparent horizon ($\simeq S^1 \times S^3$), we evaluate typical area scales,
Figure 6: \( \Gamma \) is plotted as a function of the radius \( C/r_s \). \( A_n(T_1) \) and \( A_n(T_2) \) are depicted by crosses and triangles, respectively.

\[
A_n(S) = 4\pi \int_0^\pi \psi^2 \sqrt{(r,\xi)^2 + r^2} r^2 \sin^2 \xi d\xi, \tag{53}
\]

\[
A_n(T_1) = 2\pi^2 \int_0^\pi \psi^2 \sqrt{(r,\xi)^2 + r^2 (r \cos \xi + C)r \sin \xi} d\xi, \tag{54}
\]

\[
A_n(T_2) = \max \{8\pi^2 \psi^2 (r \cos \xi + C)r^2 \sin^2 \xi; \xi \in [0, \pi]\}, \tag{55}
\]

where \( S \) is the 3-sphere section of \( H \) on the 4-plane determined by \( \eta = 0 \) and \( \eta = \pi \). \( T_1 \) is the \( S^2 \times S^1 \) section of \( H \) obtained by \( \theta = \pi/2 \), and \( T_2 \) is the greatest \( S^2 \times S^1 \) on \( H \) given by \( \xi = \text{const} \).

We evaluate the above quantities as functions of the source radius \( C \) in Figs. 9 and 10. As in the previous section, the inequality (38) for every 3-volume is satisfied from Figs. 9 and 10. Thus, inequality (17) for the ADM mass is satisfied.

We evaluate \( \Gamma \) in Eq. (42), where \( V_4 \) is given by

\[
V_4 = 8\pi^2 \int_0^{\pi/2} d\xi \psi^{8/3} \sqrt{(r,\xi)^2 + r^2} r^3 \cos \xi \sin^2 \xi \tag{56}
\]

for an \( S^4 \) apparent horizon, and

\[
V_4 = 8\pi^2 \int_0^\pi d\xi \psi^{8/3} \sqrt{(r,\xi)^2 + r^2 (r \cos \xi + C)(r \sin \xi)^2} \tag{57}
\]

for an \( S^1 \times S^3 \) apparent horizon. We show the results of the evaluation of \( \Gamma \) in Figs. 11 and 12. As in the \( S^2 \times S^2 \) case, inequality (17) for the quasilocal mass is satisfied, which can be seen from Figs. 11 and 12.
5 Conclusion

We have investigated the validity of the inequality

\[ V_3 \lesssim G_6 M \]

in the six-dimensional black hole space-times, in terms of the momentarily static, conformally flat initial data set on the five-dimensional Cauchy surface. When we put the uniform massive 2-sphere source on the Cauchy surface, we find the black hole is homeomorphic to \( S^2 \times S^2 \) for the source of sufficiently large radius. On the other hand, for the source of small radius, we found the black holes are homeomorphic to \( S^4 \). It is also verified that both the \( S^4 \) and \( S^2 \times S^2 \) horizons are found for the intermediate parameter values. We also consider the gravitational field produced by a uniform massive circle source. We find the black hole homeomorphic to \( S^1 \times S^3 \) for large source radius and the spherical black holes homeomorphic to \( S^4 \) for small source radius. Again, there is an intermediate parameter range where both \( S^4 \) and \( S^1 \times S^3 \) horizons coexist. Here, existence of an \( S^1 \times S^3 \) apparent horizon can be intuitively understood as follows. We can expect the existence of an apparent horizon for a large circle source, since the geometry around such a large circle would be well approximated by that of a straight black string. Accordingly, this type of apparent horizon should be homeomorphic with \( S^1 \times S^3 \). Similarly the existence of an \( S^2 \times S^2 \) horizon for a large 2-sphere source is plausible due to the existence

\[ \text{Figure 7: } S^1 \times S^3 \text{ apparent horizons for } C = 0.7r_s, 1.5r_s, 2.0r_s, 2.5r_s \text{ and the spherically symmetric black hole for a point source at the origin } x = 0.0r_s \text{ are depicted in } (x,y) \text{-plane. The } S^1 \times S^3 \text{ apparent horizon for } C = 0.67r_s \text{ is not found.} \]
Figure 8: We can find both the $S^4$ and $S^1 \times S^3$ apparent horizon for $0.68 r_s \leq C \leq 0.72 r_s$. Both horizons for $C = 0.70 r_s$ are depicted in the $(x, y)$ plane. $S^1 \times S^3$ apparent horizon for $C = 0.73 r_s$ is not found.

of the black brane solutions. For all cases above, we evaluated the size of the characteristic 3-volume of apparent horizons. We cannot find any evidence that the hyperhoop conjecture (17) is false.
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