Obesity Paradox in Chronic Liver Diseases: Product of Bias or a Real Thing?
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Abstract

In recent years, evidence supporting the theory of obesity paradox has increased, showing that obese/overweight people with prevalent chronic diseases experience lower mortality compared with patients of normal weight. So far, evidence is most comprehensive in cardiovascular and chronic renal diseases; however, published studies are prone to many biases, enabling us to reach a definite conclusion. Available data in chronic liver disease is scarce and ambiguous. Obesity is traditionally associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and steatosis in viral hepatitis and as such one would not expect the obesity paradox to be a real possibility in liver disease. Yet, there seem to be new data indicating the opposite – the obesity paradox exists in severe and end-stage liver cirrhosis, which could be attributed to a better lean mass in patients with higher body mass index, meaning that sarcopenia, as one of the most important prognostic factors of survival, is less likely to be present. Nonetheless, the problem of various methodological problems addressing the association between body weight and mortality, which is present both in liver disease and other chronic diseases, are preventing us from attaining an unanimous conclusion. Still, we should be aware that the obesity paradox might be true, especially in severe and end-stage illness. This suggests focusing our efforts toward preserving or building up fat-free mass and decreasing inflammatory activity responsible for catabolism and sarcopenia, and implying that the underlying cause should be treated.
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Introduction

Obesity, among other diseases and conditions, increases morbidity from cardiovascular disease, diabetes, some cancer types, biliary disease, and arthrosis, and impairs quality of life, representing one of the most important causes of mortality.1 Global prevalence of obesity (defined by body mass index (BMI) of ≥30 kg/m²) and overweight (defined by BMI of 25.0 to 29.9 kg/m²) has reached epidemic proportions. According to 2016 World Health Organization data, 39% of adults were overweight and 13% (over 650 million) were obese; the numbers, although already disturbing, might be an underestimation of the true prevalence.2

On the other hand, evidence is increasingly showing that obese/overweight people with prevalent chronic diseases experience lower mortality compared with patients of normal weight during acute illnesses. For instance, in one meta-analysis, in patients with chronic heart failure, obesity was associated with a 33% lower risk of mortality relative to those with normal weight, while in patients with ischemic heart disease, similar risk reductions have also been observed.3-6

This paradoxical observation, known as the "obesity paradox," is rather confusing since lifestyle intervention with a goal of achieving weight reduction is a fundamental issue in the management of these conditions.1-9 Possible consequences of the obesity paradox in chronic diseases could have quite an impact on public health and clinical practice. If the obesity paradox proves to be true, the recommendation of change of lifestyle with the goal of weight loss in the current guidelines regarding those conditions, could actually do more harm than good.10 Also, in the national guidelines regarding weight loss in the overall population, no distinction has been made between various conditions in which obesity could be protective.

So, it is important to elucidate the true obesity-related survival benefit, especially in the era of the current wisdom of weight loss.11 One of the crucial problems we are facing today
is understanding the definition of obesity, or rather addressing lack of the same. It is of utter importance to revisit the definition of obesity as made by the sole use of BMI as a measure, since it lacks information on body composition, such as sarcopenia, which might be clinically relevant in a condition within the obesity paradox.\textsuperscript{12–14} Furthermore, when discussing the obesity paradox, one must take into account different important confounders that lead to bias when interpreting data on the obesity-related health protective role in different disease settings.

The majority of available data related to the obesity paradox have been extracted from populations with overt diseases, wherein weight loss can be a sign of more severe disease or advanced disease stage. In a study examining myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, stroke, and ischemic heart disease in a population-based study, the obesity paradox was observed to be prevalent in cardiovascular disease; however, incidence of cardiovascular disease provided no evidence of a survival advantage for obesity.\textsuperscript{6} In the case of the normal weight category including people who lost weight due to disease severity, then the adverse effects of obesity on mortality could be systematically and severely underestimated.\textsuperscript{15,16} Additionally, there is an issue of survivor bias, which relates to those who are obese and with more severe disease who die early, leaving behind more robust obese persons at the time of data analysis for obesity paradox investigation. So, in order to minimize and control the mentioned biases, additional studies examining persons at time of the incident disease, or using the prediagnosis weight or BMI data, would be more relevant prior to suggesting broad population guidelines on weight loss, without distinguishing between the persons with conditions/diseases where obesity might be protective.\textsuperscript{17}

**Obesity paradox in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis – Possibility or improbability?**

One particular clinical entity requiring special attention when it comes to obesity and liver health is certainly nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), which has become an increasingly common cause of chronic liver disease in the developing world. In general, NAFLD has been found in 17–30\% of the populations of Western nations and is estimated to be 2–4\% worldwide. Metabolic disorders such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia and obesity show strongly associations with NAFLD. Moreover, NAFLD manifests as numerous series of liver disease, ranging from common steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and cirrhosis in a subset of patients. It is important to emphasize that not all patients with NASH will suffer from cirrhosis. Indeed, the collective NAFLD patients in who the disease will lead to NASH and require therapy is a hot area of investigation. Currently, various different therapeutic targets and numerous therapies are being investigated for NASH.\textsuperscript{18}

Over the past few decades, obesity has emerged as a global epidemic, with significant influence on the increasing prevalence of obesity-related systemic disorders and involving NAFLD.\textsuperscript{19} This particularly refers to modifications in lifestyle, especially in physical activity and nutrition. In fact, it is well known that half of the adult population around the world, particularly in developed Western countries, have a problem with overweight or obesity. Although, obesity is one of the causes of a disease that can be prevented and which, if is uncontrolled, can lead to metabolic complications and consequently to premature mortality.\textsuperscript{20}

Additionally, morbid obesity presents an increased risk for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease, and is a significant risk factor for numerous malignant diseases, particularly hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).\textsuperscript{21} It has been demonstrated that the increase in BMI >30 kg/m² has influence on raising the risk of HCC development, particularly in the male population where HCC mortality rates are 5 times higher than in those with a normal BMI.\textsuperscript{22} Numerous essential studies related to control of inflammatory cytokine regeneration, adipose factors, oxidative stress, and lipid storage have explained NASH as a metabolic syndrome. Moreover, recent studies have also demonstrated the important role of genetic factors in contributing to NASH pathogenesis and to inducing malignancy in the liver.\textsuperscript{23} Furthermore, a connection has been made between obesity and NAFLD, such that obesity enhances the risk of progression of fibrosis and hepatic inflammation, leading to NASH-related cirrhosis.\textsuperscript{24}

The pathophysiology of NASH is complex and still of major research interest. It is accepted that numerous mechanisms and pathways are included in the pathogenesis of NASH. Insulin resistance, dietary factors, genetic polymorphisms, and lipotoxicity form the group of mechanisms found to date and characterized as playing key roles in NASH pathogenesis.\textsuperscript{25} It remains uncertain, however, whether NASH always precedes NAFLD. Pathogenic driving factors are unlikely to be the same for all patients. Thus, the mechanisms that cause the disease and their clinical manifestations are greatly heterogeneous.\textsuperscript{26}

A link between obesity, NAFLD and HCC is well established. Moreover, chronic infection with hepatitis B virus or hepatitis C virus is strongly connected with hepatic steatosis. Compounded forms of metabolic syndrome involving type 2 diabetes mellitus, obesity and/or dyslipidemia are a frequent cause of viral hepatitis-associated hepatic steatosis. Due to that, damaged glucose metabolism enhances the possibility of hepatic failure and death in patients with recompensated hepatitis C virus-related cirrhosis.\textsuperscript{26} In turn, hepatitis C virus infection is essential as a risk factor, as it has significant influence on development of insulin resistance.\textsuperscript{27,28} Therefore, based on these collective lines of evidence, the logical question to ask is whether it is possible that the obesity paradox even exists in the condition of liver disease.

**Obesity paradox in liver cirrhosis – Is it time for a paradigm shift?**

Answer to the question asked, could be provided by the data reported in a recently published study conducted by Kargozian et al.,\textsuperscript{29} in which clinical outcomes, such as terms of mortality, length of stay, and total hospital charges, in hospitalized obese cirrhotic patients were compared with those of nonobese cirrhotic patients. The results were in alignment with the obesity paradox as indicated to be present in other intensive care patients.\textsuperscript{30,31} Obesity itself was associated with a decrease in inpatient mortality but with higher costs and lengthy hospitalization in patients with cirrhosis. However, the Kargozian study had several limitations, as it was of retrospective design and therefore susceptible to biases and with a lack of anthropometric data and BMI values for each patient. However, similar results were found in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma; specifically, lower BMI was a predictive factor for higher rates of mortality and shorter survival. Although, 20\% of patients with lower BMI were actually undernourished, which could have produced alternate study
results considering that malnutrition in terminally ill patients is a poor prognostic risk factor.32

Previously published studies have suggested the complete opposite of the above data. In a study comprising data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (often referred to as NHANES) database for patients without previous liver disease, cirrhosis-related deaths and hospitalizations were found to be positively associated with obesity (follow-up being 13 years).33 Recently, it was also found that increased BMI was positively associated with clinical decompensation in cirrhosis (with a mean follow-up of 59 months).34 One of the possible explanations of those seemingly opposite results is regarding obesity as a consequence rather than a cause. This is supported by obese patients being more aggressively treated according to guidelines than normal body weight people, possibly contributing to a better outcome in obese patients. For example, obese patients are more likely to receive statins and oral antihyperglycemic agents, such as metformin, pioglitazone or liraglutide, contributing to a decrease in the inflammation processes and being associated with better prognosis in liver diseases.35–37 In one study including patients with chronic hepatitis C virus-associated liver disease and severe liver fibrosis or compensated cirrhosis, 43% were obese and 32% were overweight. A 14% increase in the risk of worsening of liver fibrosis or decompensation was observed to be related to an increase in BMI (with a follow-up of 3.5 years).38

Support for the hypothesis of obesity paradox in liver disease was recently obtained by a study that demonstrated sarcopenic patients had increased mortality rates compared to nonsarcopenic patients.43 However, the prognosis was significantly worse in patients with sarcopenic obesity, followed by sarcopenia and visceral obesity; meanwhile, elderly (aged ≥64 years) subjects had poorer prognosis that for sarcopenic obesity than did the subjects with normal body composition, suggesting once again that visceral obesity has a beneficial effect on prognosis as long there is no sarcopenia present.13

This leave us with the question of how the potential biases lurking around the corner could significantly affect the interpretation of the results from these collective studies? One of many possible answers is that among patients with already developed chronic liver disease, those with more serious conditions lose weight more prominently, thus affecting the final outcome. In addition, there is the factor of body composition; in older patients, BMI is a better indicator of lean body mass than the adipose tissue, whereas in patients with chronic illness obesity correlates with increased muscle mass and improved nutritional status.40,41

A well-known fact is that patients with advanced liver disease lose weight more prominently due to loss of appetite and inadequate nourishment caused by ascites and impaired gut motility; absorption of nutrients is also impaired due to bacterial overgrowth, while the inflammatory state induces anorexia, and glycogen stores decrease, thereby increasing gluconeogenesis. Those disturbances all lead to one common denominator: sarcopenia.42 A recent study on cirrhotic patients demonstrated that sarcopenic patients had increased mortality rates compared to nonsarcopenic patients.43 However, the prognosis was significantly worse in patients with sarcopenic obesity, followed by sarcopenia and visceral obesity; meanwhile, elderly (aged ≥64 years) subjects had poorer prognosis that for sarcopenic obesity than did the subjects with normal body composition, suggesting once again that visceral obesity has a beneficial effect on prognosis as long there is no sarcopenia present.13

Conclusions

Available data suggest that obesity prior to onset of liver disease could have detrimental effects, while higher BMI in the advanced liver disease may represent a positive prognostic factor; the latter is supported by evidence obtained from studies of other chronic illnesses, such as renal and
cardiovascular disease, heart failure and rheumatoid arthritis. Thus, we can conclude that the fat mass itself is probably a factor associated with a better nutritional state positively affecting survival but not a relevant factor stipulating a better outcome. Although a protective role of obesity regarding survival or mortality rate cannot be established with certainty, the observation of patients with more severe and advanced liver disease without sarcopenia presenting higher BMI could mean a better prognosis (Fig. 1).

To summarize, there is an extensive body of evidence supporting the obesity paradox regarding other chronic illnesses, although some confounders may be present; however, data on liver diseases are still vague and scarce. Ambiguous results from the data published so far are preventing us from obtaining a unanimous conclusion. This difference is probably caused by several factors, including the widely used BMI as an imperfect tool for defining obesity, the use of prevalent models in published studies instead of incident ones since prevalent illness is inevitably influenced by weight loss caused by disease, and selective survival causing impartiality. However, we should be open to the possibility that the obesity paradox may be true and focus our efforts toward preserving or generating lean mass, such as that of muscle and bone, and decreasing inflammatory activity responsible for catabolism and sarcopenia; thus, the causal role of the disease should be treated.
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