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Abstract

Background Recent years have brought notable progress in raising the efficiency of the reprogramming technique, so that approaches have evolved from known transgenic factors to only a few microRNAs. Nevertheless, there is a poor understanding of both the key factors and biological networks underlying this reprogramming. Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate the potential of miR-106b in regulating Spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs) to iPSC-like cells. We used SSCs because pluripotency can be induced in them under defined culture conditions with fewer issues compared to other adult stem cells.

Methods As both signaling and post-transcriptional gene control are critical for the regulation of pluripotency, we traced the expression of Oct-4, Sox-2, Klf-4, c-Myc, and Nanog (OSKMN), and studied miR-106b targets using bioinformatic methods.

Results Our results showed that transfected SSCs with miR-106b increased expression of the OSKMN factors, and this expression in iPSC and induced SSC groups was significantly more than negative control groups. Moreover, using the functional miRNA enrichment analysis, online tools, and databases we predicted that miR-106b targeted a signaling pathway gene named MAPK1/ERK2, which regulates stem cell pluripotency. Conclusions Together, these data suggest that miR-106b regulates reprogramming of SSCs into iPSC-like cells by targeting the ERK2 gene as a part of the regulatory network that controls the pluripotency state and reprogramming process.

Background

Growing evidence suggests that stem cell differentiation and reprogramming techniques are quickly expanding. The discovery of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) has opened up new horizons for reprogramming technology (1, 2). Indeed, iPSCs and all iPSC-like cells are indispensable for generation and banking because of their aptitude to give rise to any kind of differentiated cells and tissues. They increase the potential for personalized cell therapies and introduce notable prospects for regenerative medicine, iPSC-based drug screening, disease modeling, and toxicity assessment (3, 4). However, somatic cells can generally be reprogrammed to iPSCs with less than 1% efficiency, as well as the clinical application of iPSCs has been laden with some issues (5). In this regard, SSCs showed can be converted into pluripotent stem cells with fewer concerns in contrast to other adult stem cells. The SSCs are postnatal germline stem cells in the testis capable of differentiating into sperm cells and can also be reprogrammed under characterized culture conditions(6, 7). Recently, SSCs have been demonstrated to be reprogrammed into multipotent SSCs (mSSCs) and pluripotency can be induced in homogeneous SSC populations without other cells. Unlike pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) with several issues, such as tumorigenicity and ethical concerns, these mSSCs can function as a pluripotent stem cell source free of the aforementioned problems (8, 9).

On the other hand, various substantial functions have recently emerged for microRNAs (miRNAs), single-stranded noncoding small RNAs, in the regulation of pluripotency and lineage specification (10, 11). miRNAs control protein synthesis by targeting mRNAs for translational repression or degradation at the posttranscriptional level. These molecules are phylogenetically conserved and have been validated to be influential in a wide assortment of core biological procedures, including embryogenesis and support of
"stemness" among others (12). Undoubtedly, the modulation of key pluripotency factors is a critical mechanism affecting the reprogramming efficiency. Several miRNAs are discovered to be important regulators of stem cells, which modulate the expression of the transcription factors OSKMN, leading somatic cells to a pluripotent state (13, 14). Furthermore, the specific identification of miRNA targets will help us to understand the functional role of miRNAs in PSCs. In this field, Mei Y et al. showed the miR-21 expression pattern was highly correlated with MAPK/ERK activity during mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) differentiation (15, 16).

According to the features of SSCs and current studies mentioned above, we hypothesized certain miRNAs in advance as post-transcriptional regulators of SSC reprogramming. In this investigation, we selected miR-106b, a member of the miR-106b-25 cluster, because our primary bioinformatic analysis revealed that this miRNA is one of the few which affects the genes of signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells. Besides, in iPSC, the miR-106b-25 cluster is induced in early reprogramming phases and restraint of this cluster decreases the reprogramming efficiency. TGFBR2 and CDKN1A (p21) are also targets of miR-106b which have already been related to iPSC induction (17). Based on these findings, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the impact of miR-106b in cell reprogramming of SSCs to iPSC-like cells by detecting the OSKMN expression. We also studied the miR-106b targets using bioinformatic methods to find out more about parts of signaling involved in the SSCs reprogramming.

Methods

Animal housing

Adult male Wistar rats, 2-2.5 months old weighing 200-300g, were used in this study (n=6). These mice had free access to food and water ad libitum and were housed under a 12-h light-dark cycle at a stable temperature and humidity-controlled room.

Isolation and identification of SSCs

First of all, the testis tissue sections were excised from healthy groups, and isolation of SSCs was conducted as previously described (18). Secondly, the immunocytochemistry method was performed to anatomically visualize the localization of the promyelocytic leukemia zinc finger protein (PLZF) in the SSCs derived colonies after 7 days of culturing. The protocol of this technique was also explained earlier (18).

Preparation and transfection of hsa-mir-106b plasmids

The pLV-miRNA vector, comprising hsa-mir-106b lentivirus and co-expressing GFP protein in infected E. coli BL21 was purchased from Biosettia Inc. (mir-p081, Biosettia, San Diego, CA, USA). Transfection of SSCs was done by 2.5 μg of the pLV-miRNA vector. For this reason, mouse SSCs (1.0 ×10^6) were seeded
in a 6-well plate before transfection so that the cell density was around 70%-90%. Gently, 500 μl of culture medium and 7.5 μl of Lipofectamine3000 (Invitrogen, USA) solution were mixed and incubated for 10-15 minutes at room temperature. Meanwhile, 2.5 μg of the pLV-miRNA vector was added to 500 μl of the medium. Then, 250 ml of the produced solution was added to each well and the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 2-4 days. In order to confirm the transfection efficiency and observe the expression of the GFP gene under a fluorescence microscope, the quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) technique was used. For this purpose, the sequences of forward and reverse primers, mir-106b, and U6 snRNA (as a reference gene) were downloaded from the www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Gene website and designed using GeneRunner software (Table 1). The cDNA was synthesized according to the manufacturer's protocols (Fermentas, USA) and the products were analyzed by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gel with a DNA ladder (1kb).

**Table 1: Primers used for qRT-PCR analysis**

| Primer    | Sequence (5'–3')                  |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| miR106b-f | ACUGCAGUGCCAGCACTT                |
| miR106b-r | GGCAAAGTGCTTACAGTGC               |
| Stem loop | GTTGGCTCTGGTGAGGGCTCGAGGCTG       |
| U6        | AACTGGTGTCGGAGGTC                 |

### Preparation and culture of mouse iPSCs

The mouse iPSC line was provided by Prof. Soleimani (Bonyakhte Stem Cells Technology, Research Center, and Tehran, Iran). iPSCs were generated from male NMRI mouse fibroblasts via the retroviral transfer of transcription factors Oct4 / Sox2 / Klf4 / c-Myc. The cells were used as positive control.

### Design of study and hanging drop cell culture of the experimental groups

This study was designed based on four experimental groups, including the SSCs, SSCs with empty vector (without any miRNA gene, Mir control), SSCs infected with miR-106b (Induced SSCs), and iPSCs. We placed these experimental groups in the hanging drop culture, a simple technique that suspends media by gravity and surface tension to form 3D spheroids (19). The hanging drops were prepared by pipetting 50 μl of media containing 70% DMEM F12 (Gibco, UK), 10% FBS (Gibco, UK), and 20% methylcellulose (to increase the viscosity of the solution) into a 10 cm dish at a density of 80 cells/μl. The bottom of the Petri dish was filled with approximately 3-4 ml of dH20 to prevent drop evaporation. After that, the lid
gently was inverted over the PBS-filled bottom chamber and incubated at 37°C/5% CO2/95% humidity for 48 h. The drops were monitored daily and incubated until the formation of aggregates. After 48 h in culture, they were transferred to a 96-well pre-coated with LM agarose. In each well, 170 μl of the 10% culture medium was then added, so that the final volume was 200 μl.

**Evaluation of miR-106b and OSKMN genes expression level**

The expression level of miR-106b and OSKMN genes as common pluripotent and stemness regulators were evaluated by real-time PCR. After 2 weeks of the hanging drop cell culture, the total RNA was extracted using the Trizol reagent from the experimental groups and then treated with DNase I (Fermentas, Germany) to eliminate genomic contamination. The cDNA synthesis was conducted by the RevertAid™ First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas, Germany) and oligo (dT) primers. Thereupon, primers of the OSKMN genes and β-actin gene (as an internal control) were designed for PCR and RT reactions by Primer-BLAST tool on the NCBI database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and synthesized via a commercial company (CinnaGen, Iran) (Table 2). The Real-Time PCR techniques were performed on Applied Biosystems, StepOne™ thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, USA), using Master Mix and SYBR Green I. The standard PCR conditions were started by a melting cycle of 5 min at 95 °C and as follows: 40 cycles of melting (30 s at 95 °C), annealing (30 s at 60 °C), and extension (30 s at 72 °C). The melting curve analysis confirmed the quality of the reactions and then the gene efficiency (logarithmic dilution series of cDNA from the samples) was specified with a standard curve. The comparative cycle threshold (CT) method ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) was used to examine the relative quantification of the target genes normalized against the reference gene. Then, the expressions of the target genes in studied groups were examined, compared with the gene expressions in iPSCs prior to transplantation.

**Table 2: Primers used for real-time PCR analysis**
| Primer | Sequence (5′–3′) |
|--------|-----------------|
| Sox2-f | AGGGGAGAGAGAAAGAAAGGAG |
| Sox2-r | AATATTTGGGGGAAGCGGAG |
| Nanog-f | TTATCCACTGAGCCATCTCA |
| Nanog-r | CCACCTTTGGTCCAGGATTCA |
| Klf4-f | CCAACACACACGACTTCCC |
| Klf4-r | CCACGACCTTCTTCCTCCT |
| cMyc-f | TAACTCAGAGGAGGAGTGG |
| cMyc-r | GCCAAGGGTGAGGGTGG |
| Oct4-f | TGATTGGCGATGTGAGTGAT |
| Oct4-r | GGAGAAGTGGGTGGAGGAAG |
| β-actin-f | TCAGAGCAAGAGAGGATCC |
| β-actin-r | GGTGATCTTCTCAGGTTGG |

Bioinformatic analysis and data availability

First, the genes of signaling pathways regulating the pluripotency of stem cells (SPRPSCs) were downloaded from the NCBI BioSystems database (BSID: 1026136, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Subsequently, the functional miRNA enrichment analysis of the genes was performed using the FunRich software (version 3.1.3) available for public access. Thereafter, we determined the potential genes which hsa-miR-106b-3p and hsa-miR-106b-5p would target by means of online tools and databases (http://www.targetscan.org/ and http://mirwalk.umm.uni-heidelberg.de/). Therefore, we generated a scalable Venn diagram to find common genes targeted by both arms of miR-106b. The common target genes and the genes of SPRPSCs ultimately were represented in a Matrix table (pair-wise comparison). Besides, we showed a Heatmap image of the common targets based on the human proteome map of the FunRich. To predict and appraise the existing protein-protein interaction (PPI) network among proteins of the common target genes distinguished in the Venn diagram, we used a bioinformatics tool, the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING 11.0, http://string-db.org/) database.

Statistical analysis

Gene expression analysis was performed using a one-way analysis of variance and Tukey post-test using GraphPad Prism version 8.0.0 for Windows (Graph Pad Software, San Diego, CA). Error bars represent
±SD (standard deviation), as well as the p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Characterization of SSCs**

PLZF, also known as ZBTB16 (Zinc Finger And BTB Domain Containing 16) is a consensus marker for undifferentiated spermatogonia (20). Immunocytochemistry analysis illustrated the expression of this factor in the colonies derived from the cultured cell suspensions (Fig.1).

**Confirmation of transfected cells**

In order to corroborate the transfection of SSCs with Mir control and the expression vector containing miR106b, the cells were observed under a Phase-contrast microscope after 48 hours. The expression of GFP protein as a reporter gene was confirmed the transfection of the cells (Fig.2).

**Gene expression signatures of pluripotency and miR-106b**

Real-time PCR was utilized to determine the expression of miR-106b and OSKMN, a subset of pluripotency markers, in the all group study. The findings confirmed that the SSCs remarkably were transfected with miR-106b (p-value < 0.01). The mean expression of the OSKMN genes in iPSC and induced SSC groups was significantly more than negative groups. Tukey's multiple comparison test of the results verified that the iPSCs expressed the aforementioned genes more than the SSC and Mir control groups (p-value < 0.01). Furthermore, the difference in expression of OSKMN genes between induced SSC and iPSC groups was not significant (Fig.3).

**miR-106b target MAPK1/ERK2 to regulate pluripotency**

The functional miRNA enrichment analysis revealed the miRNAs that target the genes of SPRPSCs (Target score: 50 % ≥). Among the miRNAs found via FunRich, miR-106b was selected based on its demonstrated potential. As the scalable Venn diagram illustrated, miR-106b targeted 7 common genes, and consequently comparing these common genes and the genes of SPRPSCs showed that miR-106b targeted ERK2 gene (Fig.4) (Table 3). In addition, the Heatmap image exhibited different expressions of ERK2 in the human proteome map, especially in the fetal and adult testis (Fig.5).

**Table 3: The List of common miR-106b targeted genes**
| Identifier | Official full name | Mean RPKM in testis |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| MAPK1      | mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 | 23.469 ± 3.817 |
| CSNK1G1    | casein kinase 1 gamma 1                | 6.568 ± 1.596 |
| TANC2      | tetratricopeptide repeat, ankyrin repeat and coiled-coil containing 2 | 5.949 ± 0.57 |
| SNTB2      | syntrophin beta 2                       | 5.22 ± 0.634 |
| B4GALT6    | beta-1,4-galactosyltransferase 6        | 2.103 ± 0.696 |
| PAK3       | p21 (RAC1) activated kinase 3           | 1.279 ± 0.334 |
| NTNG1      | netrin G1                                 | 0.129 ± 0.029 |

**Predicting PPI network of the common genes**

To gain a further understanding of the association among proteins of the common genes targeted by miR-106b, we constructed a PPI network using the STRING database. The interaction network presented no interaction between the proteins, but molecular function (GO) analysis in the database showed that PAK3 also enriched for Mitogen-Activated Protein (MAP) kinase activity (p-value: 9.50e-04) (Fig.6).

**Discussion**

Over the past years, much effort has been directed towards screening for small molecules to improve reprogramming efficiency and create new methods for iPSC derivation. miRNAs have played a key role in regulating pluripotency and lineage specification by modulating gene expression at the post-transcriptional level, resulting in iPSCs generation from various cell types (21-25). As a preliminary proof-of-concept, the present investigation indicates that miR-106b is a major factor involved in reprogramming SSCs into iPSC-like cells. In light of the results, the SSCs infected with miR-106b expressed a subset of pluripotency markers (OSKMN) approximately in the same amount of iPSCs. In addition, the bioinformatic analysis in this study predicted that miR-106b targeted ERK2, a gene of signaling pathways that regulates stem cell pluripotency.

Transcriptional networks involving a set of pluripotent transcription factors control and sustain the pluripotency of stem cells. These pluripotent genes stimulate or suppress downstream gene expression, inducing the event of some signaling pathways and regulating the pluripotency of stem cells. As previously reported, signaling and post-transcriptional gene control are both important for pluripotency regulation, but it remains poorly known how they are incorporated to affect cell identity. In pluripotent cells, phosphorylation as a pervasive form of cell signaling plays a crucial role in controlling cell identity.
by relaying signaling of the growth factor via key pathways. The ERK2 is one of the best-characterized MAP kinase pathways that phosphorylates Klf4, OCT4, SOX2, and NANOG (26-30). For instance, The nuclear export of KLF4 requires ERK activation and the phosphorylation of KLF4 by ERK commences the interaction of KLF4 with the nuclear export factor XPO1, resulting in the export of KLF4. Mutation of ERK phosphorylation site in KLF4 prevents KLF4 nuclear export, decreases in mRNA of Nanog, Klf4, and Sox2, and differentiation (31). Based on these findings and the results found in this investigation, it can be inferred that ERK2 activation controlled by miR-106b initiates reprogramming of SSCs to a pluripotent state.

Since Yamanaka and his colleagues first generated iPSCs in 2006, their clinical applications have been laden with some issues such as tumorigenicity (32). The different level of c-Myc expression that has been linked to the risk of tumorigenesis is one of the variables that hinder the therapeutic application of iPSCs. Although c-Myc alone could induce miR-106b∼25 cluster, it has been reported that c-Myc activates as a proto-oncogene causing several cancers (33-36). Here, we highlight that the induced SSCs express less c-Myc than iPSCs, so these iPSC-like cells have the potential to be a considerable alternative as a pluripotent stem cell source. Obviously, pathology experiments need to be conducted in the future to provide a remarkable understanding of the tumorigenicity effects of the induced SSCs.

Conclusions

Based on these findings, the SSCs can be considered as a noticeable candidate for cellular reprogramming strategies. Moreover, miR-106b was also found to be a key molecule in the SSCs reprogramming that upregulates pluripotency-associated factors. Together, our results suggest that miR-106b regulates the reprogramming of SSCs into iPSC-like cells by targeting the ERK2 gene.

List Of Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Meaning |
|--------------|---------|
| SSCs         | Spermatogonial stem cells |
| OSKMN        | Oct-4, Sox-2, Klf-4, c-Myc, and Nanog |
| iPSCs        | Induced pluripotent stem cells |
| mSSCs        | Multipotent SSCs |
| PSCs         | Pluripotent stem cells |
| MSC          | Mesenchymal stem cell |
| PLZF         | Promyelocytic leukemia zinc finger protein |
| PPI          | protein-protein interaction |
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**Figures**

**Figure 1**

SSCs characterization. Immunocytochemistry revealed that PLZF protein was expressed by SSCs, as well as DAPI (chromatin marker) indicated the location of cell nuclei.
Figure 2

Evaluation of GFP protein expression after 48 hours of transfection. Images of phase-contrast (A), GFP-positive (GFP+) cells (B) and merged (C).

Figure 3
Molecular assessment. Analysis of miR-106b and OSKMN expression in the experimental groups. Significant differences: ***$P \leq 0.001$; **$P \leq 0.01$ (Error bars represent ±SD).

Figure 4

(A) Venn diagram showing the overlaps between the genes of miRWalk and TargetScan that miR-106b would target. (B) Matrix table (pair-wise comparison) for the common genes and the genes of SPRPSCs.
Figure 5

Heat map representing different expressions of MAPK1 (ERK2) in the human proteome map, especially in the adult and fetal testis (green—lowest abundance and red—highest abundance).
Figure 6

PPI network analysis of the common target genes using STRING database. This does not necessarily mean that they bind each other physically.