Seismic design of rectangular/square columns in SDOF systems based on their damage performance
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Abstract
The quantitative relationship between the energy dissipation capacity of RC members and displacement deformation, cumulative energy dissipation and structural design parameters were established by the research group in the early stage, and then the damage index based on energy dissipation capacity and performance index limits were proposed. Based on the existing research, the seismic design method of RC square/rectangular column members for SDOF systems based on damage performance is proposed, and the method is introduced by an example. It is found that the seismic design method establishes a quantitative relationship between the structural design parameters and seismic parameters, which is convenient to guide the structural design. The increase in the ratio of transverse reinforcement can reduce the damage to RC column members, but when the ratio of transverse reinforcement exceeds a certain threshold value, the damage reduction effect is not obvious. The increase of the earthquake duration can aggravate the development of the damage to the RC column members, and the increasing effect is first fast and then slow. This seismic design method can make up for the deficiency that the duration effect is not considered in the current seismic code.
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1. Introduction

Displacement-based and bearing-capacity-based seismic design methods can reflect the amplitude and frequency characteristics of earthquakes, but fail to consider the impact of earthquake duration on structures.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\) The energy-based seismic design method not only reflects the displacement deformation and bearing capacity, but also considers the cumulative energy dissipation damage caused by the earthquake duration,\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^9\) which can fully reflect the impact of earthquake action on the structure.

In 1985, the energy-based seismic design method at the theoretical level was first proposed by Akiyama,\(^10\) but the design method was not directly used to guide structural design. In the same year, based on the test results of a large number of RC beam and column members, the Park-Ang damage index including deformation terms and energy dissipation terms was proposed.\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^12\) Then, the Park-Ang damage index was used as a damage evaluation criterion to study energy-based seismic design methods. Fajfar\(^13\) found that in order to ensure that the Park-Ang damage index is less than 1, the ductility coefficient of the structure under earthquake should be less than the ultimate ductility under monotonic load. Therefore, it is proposed to compensate for the adverse effects of cumulative energy dissipation damage by limiting the ductility of the structure under earthquake. Chai et al.\(^14\) considered this idea further and proposed an energy-based nonlinear design spectrum by setting the Park-Ang damage index to 1. Ye et al.\(^15\) used the Park-Ang damage index to evaluate the energy dissipation damage of RC members and proposed the implementation framework of the energy-based seismic design method. According to different values of the Park-Ang damage index, a nonlinear design spectrum based on ductility constraints is proposed by Saman.\(^16\) It can be seen that the researchers introduced the energy index into the structural design process, which is of great significance for the practical development of an energy-based seismic design. However, the coupling effect between the deformation term and energy dissipation term in the Park-Ang damage index has not been analyzed.\(^17\)\(^,\)\(^18\) Therefore, the applicability of the Park-Ang damage index as the damage evaluation standard is still questionable.

The research group obtained the decay law of energy dissipation capacity of RC members under variable amplitude loading history in previous research. The quantitative relationship between the energy dissipation capacity of RC members and displacement history (deformation term), cumulative energy dissipation (energy dissipation term) and structural design parameters was established,\(^17\) and the damage index and performance index limits of RC members based on energy dissipation capacity were proposed.\(^18\) Based on existing research, the seismic design process of RC square/rectangular column members for SDOF systems based on damage index was proposed, and the seismic design process was introduced as an example.

2. Damage index based on the energy dissipation capability

2.1. Expression of damage index

The damage index \(D_k\) of RC square/rectangular column members under variable amplitude displacement history is defined as\(^17\)

\[
D_k = \left(A_{E,k}^e (1 - e^{-0.47n^B_{E,k}})\right)^{0.09}
\]  

(1)
Where, \( n_k^* \) is the normalized cumulative energy dissipation capacity of the virtual half-cycle, as given in Equation (2). The parameters \( A_{E,K}^* \) and \( B_{E,k}^* \) are calculated by Equation (3) and (4) respectively.

\[
n_k^* = \frac{E_C}{0.5 M_y \theta_y}
\]  

(2)

Here, \( E_C \) is the cumulative energy dissipation capacity. \( M_y \) is the yield moment of the section, \( \theta_y \) is the yield drift angle.

\[
A_{E,K}^* = 0.62 \mu_e^{0.2}
\]  

(3)

\[
B_{E,k}^* = \frac{3.64 \rho_{sv}^{-0.13}}{(1 + \mu_e^{5.63} \rho_{sv}^{0.09})^{5.63}}
\]  

(4)

Here, \( \mu_e \) is the normalized effective amplitude of the virtual half-cycle, as given in Equation (5). \( \rho_{sv} \) is the ratio of transverse reinforcement.

\[
\mu_e^* = \frac{0.1}{\theta_y}
\]  

(5)

Substitute Equations (2) to (5) into Equation (1)

\[
D_k = f(\rho_{sv}, M_y, \theta_y, E_C)
\]  

(6)

According to Equation (6), the quantitative relationship between damage index \( D_k \), structural design parameters \( (\rho_{sv}, M_y, \theta_y) \) and cumulative energy dissipation capacity \( E_C \) is established.

### 2.2. Relationship between damage index and seismic parameters

To establish the quantitative relationship between damage index \( D_k \) and seismic parameters, the cumulative energy dissipation capacity of target members \( E_C \) is calculated from

\[
E_C = \frac{0.3455E}{n}
\]  

(7)

Here, \( E \) is the cumulative energy dissipation capacity of the structure according to Kunnath et al., \( n \) is the number of column members.

\[
E = maE_1
\]  

(8)

Here, \( m \) is the mass of the single degree of freedom (SDOF) systems. The ratio parameter \( a \) is calculated from Equation (9), and the input earthquake energy \( E_1 \) is calculated from Equation (10)

\[
a = 1.13 \frac{(\mu - 1)^{0.82}}{\mu}
\]  

(9)
Here, $\mu$ is the ductility factor of the structure.

$$E_1 = 0.5(\Omega_v \text{PGV})^2$$ (10)

Here, $\Omega_v$ is the amplification factor for the input energy, as given in Equation (11), PGV is the peak ground velocity.

$$\Omega_v = \begin{cases} \Omega^*(\frac{2T}{T_g} - (\frac{T}{T_g})^2)T < T_g \\ \Omega^*(\frac{T}{T_g})^\lambda T > T_g \end{cases}$$ (11)

Here, $\Omega^*_v$ is the peak amplification factor for the input energy spectrum, as given in Equation (12). $T$ is the fundamental period of the structure. $T_g$ is the characteristic period of the ground motion according to Vidic et al.,22 as given in Equation (13). $\lambda$ is the type of ground motion, $\lambda = 0.5$ is considered in the study.

$$\Omega^*_v = \frac{0.25 \text{PGA}}{\text{PGV}} \frac{\sqrt{t_d T_g}}{\sqrt{\frac{\lambda + 0.5}{2\lambda + 2}}}$$ (12)

Here, $t_d$ is the duration of the strong motion.

$$T_g = \frac{2\pi c_v \text{PGV}}{c_a \text{PGA}}$$ (13)

Here, PGA is the peak ground acceleration. $c_v$ is the ratio of spectral elastic response velocity to peak ground velocity, and $c_a$ is the ratio of spectral elastic response acceleration to peak ground acceleration, the coefficients $c_v$ and $c_a$ were taken as 1.9 and 2.4, respectively, which were used by Chai et al.14

Substitute Equations (7) to (13) into Equation (6), the cumulative energy dissipation capacity of the target RC members can be obtained.

$$D_k = f(\rho_{sv}, M_y, \theta_y, \mu, m, T, \text{PGA}, \text{PGV}, t_d)$$ (14)

According to Equation (14), the damage index $D_k$ establishes a quantitative relationship with structural design parameters ($\rho_{sv}, M_y, \theta_y, \mu, T, m$) and seismic parameters (PGA, PGV, $t_d$).

### 2.3. Performance index limits of the damage index

9 RC members with different reinforcement conditions were subjected to low cycle reciprocating loading tests,18 and the damage development of each half-cycles was recorded in detail to study the performance index limits of the damage index $D_k$. It is found that the apparent damage development process of 9 RC members is similar, the damage process of the 9 RC members can be divided into the following stages: (1) The first crack appeared on the concrete surface. (2) The cable-stayed cracks appeared, then the concrete cover appeared to have spalling damage at the interface. (3) The cable-stayed cracks developed into cross cracks, and the concrete cover appeared to have severe spalling damage. (4) The core concrete was crushed, and the longitudinal reinforcement
buckled. Figure 1 shows the damage development process of one of the 9 specimens. Table 1 presents the performance index limits of the damage index $D_k$. More details can be found in Wang et al.\textsuperscript{18}

3. Seismic design process of rc square/rectangular column members based on damage index

The yield moment of section $M_y$, the yield drift angle $\theta_y$ and the ductility factor $\mu$ are related to the cross-sectional dimensions and longitudinal reinforcement. The fundamental period $T$ is related to the mass $m$ and the stiffness of the structure. The seismic parameters are determined by the peak ground acceleration PGA, the peak ground velocity PGV and the duration of the strong motion $t_d$. Therefore, according to Equation (14), when the cross-sectional dimensions, longitudinal reinforcement, fundamental period and seismic parameters are determined, the damage index $D_k$ (performance design target) establishes a corresponding relationship with the ratio of transverse reinforcement $\rho_{sv}$, that is, when the owner proposes the expected performance design target at the end of the earthquake, the designer can obtain the corresponding ratio of transverse reinforcement $\rho_{sv}$ according to Equation (14). Figure 2 shows the seismic design process of RC square/rectangular column members based on damage index $D_k$.

The seismic design process of RC square/rectangular column members based on damage index can be divided into the following four steps.

3.1. Elastic design stage under minor earthquakes

The elastic design stage under minor earthquakes is consistent with the Chinese code for seismic design of buildings (GB50011-2010).\textsuperscript{23} Firstly, the cross-sectional dimensions of the target member are preliminarily estimated, and the mass $m$ and the representative values of gravity load are calculated. According to the most unfavorable combination of seismic load effect and gravity load effect, the longitudinal reinforcement of target members can be obtained. Secondly, based on the cross-sectional dimensions and longitudinal reinforcement, the yield moment of section $M_y$ and the yield drift angle $\theta_y$ can be calculated.

![Figure 1](image_url). The damage development process.
3.2. Calculation of $\mu$

The finite element analysis software MIDAS Gen (2021 v2.1) is used to carry out pushover analysis on the designed SDOF systems, and the capacity curve of the structure can be obtained. The acceleration response spectrum of the code for seismic design of buildings is transformed into the demand spectrum curve, then the target performance point of

| Damage development process | $D_k$ | Damage stages | Performance levels |
|----------------------------|------|---------------|-------------------|
| a                         | (0, 0.3] | no cracking on the concrete surface | no damage |
| b                         | (0.3, 0.6] | the first crack appeared on the concrete surface | mild damage |
| c                         | (0.6, 0.7] | the cable-stayed cracks appeared, then the concrete cover appeared to have spalling damage at the interface | moderate damage |
| d                         | (0.7, 0.8] | the cable-stayed cracks developed into cross cracks, and the concrete cover appeared to have severe spalling damage | severe damage |
| e                         | (0.8, 1] | the core concrete was crushed, and the longitudinal reinforcement buckled. | destruction |

Table 1. Performance index limits of the damage index $D_k$.

![Diagram of the seismic design process of RC square/rectangular column members based on damage index $D_k$.](image)

Figure 2. The seismic design process of RC square/rectangular column members based on damage index $D_k$.

3.2. Calculation of $\mu$

The finite element analysis software MIDAS Gen (2021 v2.1) is used to carry out pushover analysis on the designed SDOF systems, and the capacity curve of the structure can be obtained. The acceleration response spectrum of the code for seismic design of buildings is transformed into the demand spectrum curve, then the target performance point of
the structure can be obtained by comparing the capacity curve with the demand spectrum curve. The ductility factor $\mu_1$ of the structure can be obtained by dividing the spectrum displacement value at the target performance point under moderate earthquakes by the spectrum displacement value at the yield displacement on the capacity curve. Similarly, the ductility factor $\mu_2$ of the structure can be obtained.

### 3.3. Calculation of $\rho_{sv}$

According to the expected performance target value ($D_k$) selected by the owner under moderate earthquake, the cross-sectional dimensions and longitudinal reinforcement ($M_y, \theta_y$), the fundamental period $T (m)$, the ductility factor $\mu_1$ and the seismic parameters (PGA, PGV, $t_d$), the ratio of transverse reinforcement $\rho_{sv1}$ under moderate earthquakes is calculated by Equation (14). Similarly, the ratio of transverse reinforcement $\rho_{sv2}$ under major earthquakes can be obtained.

### 3.4. Determination of $\rho_{sv}$

Comparing the ratio of transverse reinforcement $\rho_{sv1}$ calculated under the moderate earthquake and the ratio of transverse reinforcement $\rho_{sv2}$ calculated under the major earthquake, the larger value of the two is selected as the target ratio of transverse reinforcement. If the increase of $\rho_{sv}$ still cannot achieve the expected performance target, it is necessary to limit the ductility by increasing the cross-sectional dimensions or adding the longitudinal reinforcement of the RC column member to achieve the expected performance target.

### 4 Examples

#### 4.1. Application of the seismic design of RC square/rectangular column members based on damage index

This section takes a SDOF structural as an example to introduce the application of the seismic design method based on damage index. Figure 3 shows the SDOF structural model. Table 2 shows the design details of the SDOF structural. Referring to Table 2, $b \times h$ refers to the cross-sectional dimensions. $H$ and $l$ represent the height of columns and the span of beams, respectively. $c$ refers to the thickness of the concrete cover. In the example, the seismic intensity is 8 degrees (0.2g), the design earthquake group is Group 1, the site category is Category II, and the floor load is 100kN/m.$^2$

#### 4.1.1. Elastic design stage under minor earthquakes.

The finite element analysis software Midas Gen is used for calculating the design parameters under minor earthquakes. The yield drift angle $\theta_y$ of the column members can be obtained from Equation (15),$^{24}$ and the yield moment of the column end section $M_y$ can be obtained from Equation (16).$^{23}$

$$\theta_y = 0.5 \frac{f_y l}{E_s h} \quad (15)$$
Here, $f_y$ represents the design value of tensile strength for the longitudinal reinforcement, $E_s$ represents the elastic modulus of longitudinal reinforcement, $l$ represents the height of the column, $h$ represents the height of the column cross-sectional.

$$M_y = f_y^* A_s^* (h_0 - a_s^*) + \alpha_1 f_c b x (h_0 - \frac{x}{2}) \tag{16}$$

Here, $f_y^*$ represents the design value of compressive strength for the longitudinal reinforcement, $A_s^*$ represents the area of the compressed longitudinal reinforcement, $h_0$ represents the effective height of the column section, $a_s^*$ represents the distance from the center of gravity of the compressed longitudinal reinforcement to the compression edge of column section, $\alpha_1$ represents the equivalent rectangular stress diagram coefficient of concrete compression zone, $f_c$ represents the design value of concrete axial compressive strength, $b$ represents the width of the column cross-sectional, $x$ represents the equivalent rectangular height of concrete compression zone.

Since the reinforcement of the four RC column members is the same, column ① is selected as an example for the introduction. The calculation results of the reinforcement and related design parameters are shown in Table 3.

4.1.2. Ductility factors. The finite element analysis software MIDAS Gen is used for pushover analysis of the designed SDOF systems to obtain the target performance points of the structure. Figure 4 shows the pushover analysis curves, the abscissa is the displacement spectrum $S_d$, and the ordinate is the acceleration spectrum $S_a$. According to the spectrum displacement value $S_{dm}$ at the target performance point and the spectrum displacement value $S_{dy}$ at the yield displacement on the capacity curve, the ductility factors of the structure can be obtained from Equation (17). Table 4 shows the ductility
factors under moderate earthquakes and major earthquakes.

\[ \mu = \frac{S_{dm}}{S_{dy}} \]  

(17)

4.1.3. **PGA and PGV.** When the seismic intensity is 8 degrees (0.2g), it can be seen from the code for seismic design of buildings that the PGA for time history analysis under moderate earthquakes and major earthquakes are 196cm/s² and 400cm/s², respectively. When the site category is Category II and the design earthquake group is Group 1, the ratio of the PGV to the PGA is 0.15s.²⁵ Therefore, it can be obtained that the PGV under moderate earthquakes and major earthquakes are 29.4 cm/s and 60 cm/s, respectively.

4.1.4. **Determination of earthquake duration.** According to the reference,²⁵ when the earthquake duration \( t_d \) is in the range of 0∼10s, the damage to the RC members develops rapidly. When the earthquake duration \( t_d \) is in the range of 10∼20s, the damage development of the RC members slows down. When the earthquake duration \( t_d \) is in the range of 20∼30s, the increase of earthquake duration has relatively little effect on the damage development of RC members. Therefore, the earthquake duration 2.5s, 5s, 10s, 15s, 20s and 30s are selected in the example.

4.2. **Seismic design results of RC square/rectangular column members based on damage index**

The cumulative energy dissipation capacity can be obtained by Equation (7) to (13). Substitute the cumulative energy dissipation capacity of target members \( E_C \) and structural design parameters \((M_y, \theta_y)\) into Equation (6), the target ratio of transverse reinforcement for RC square/rectangular column members under different performance levels can be calculated. Calculation results for the ratio of transverse reinforcement as shown in Figure 5.

It can be seen from Figure 5: (1) When the performance target (damage index \( D_k \)) is the same, the ratio of transverse reinforcement increases with the increase of the earthquake duration, that is, the increase of the earthquake duration can aggravate the development of damage, the higher the performance target, the more obvious this phenomenon. (2) When the seismic duration is the same, the damage index decreases with the increase of the ratio of transverse reinforcement, which indicates that the increase of the ratio of transverse reinforcement can effectively reduce the damage to RC column.

| Table 3. The calculation results of the reinforcement and related design parameters. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Longitudinal reinforcement | Transverse reinforcement \((\rho _{sv} \%)\) | \( m/\text{kg} \) | \( T/s \) | \( M_y/\text{kN-mm} \) | \( \theta_y/\text{rad} \) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 8-ϕ20 | ϕ10@100 (0.95) | 172547 | 0.49 | 429235 | 0.00675 |
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members. (3) This seismic design method can make up for the lack of considering the earthquake duration in the current seismic code. For example, when the damage index $D_k = 0.5$, under the condition of earthquake duration (2.5~20s), the ratio of transverse reinforcement can meet the demand according to the seismic code, but when the earthquake duration is greater than 20s, the ratio of transverse reinforcement can not meet the expected performance target according to the seismic code.

4.3. Comparison between seismic design method based on damage index and performance design method in seismic code

According to the performance design method in the code for seismic design of buildings, when the ductility factor $\mu$<1, the structural members are intact after the earthquake action, when the ductility factor $\mu$<1.5, the mild damage of structural members is allowed to appear after the earthquake action, when the ductility factor $\mu$≈2, the moderate damage of structural members is allowed to appear after the earthquake action, when the ductility factor $\mu$≈5, the structural members are nearly seriously damaged after the earthquake action. Table 5 shows the corresponding relationship between the performance index limits of the damage index and the ductility coefficient limits in seismic code.

To study the difference between the seismic design method based on damage index and the performance design method in seismic code, $D_k$~$\rho_{sv}$~$t_d$ relations of different ductility factors are established based on the example. The $D_k$~$\rho_{sv}$~$t_d$ relations under different ductility factors are shown in Figure 5. The x-axis represents the ratio of transverse reinforcement $\rho_{sv}$, which varies from 0.1% to 2%. The y-axis represents the earthquake duration $t_d$, which varies from 0 to 30s. The z-axis represents the damage index $D_k$, which
varies from 0 to 1. Where, \( \mu = 1.5 \), \( \mu = 2 \) and \( \mu = 5 \) means that the structural members designed according to the seismic code after the earthquake action can ensure mild damage, moderate damage, and severe damage respectively.

It can be seen from Figure 6: (1) The increase of the ratio of transverse reinforcement can reduce the damage to RC column members, but when the ratio of transverse reinforcement exceeds a certain threshold value, the damage reduction effect is not obvious. The increase of the earthquake duration can aggravate the development of the damage of the RC column members, and the increasing effect is first fast and then slow. (2) It can be seen from Figure 6 (a), (b) and (c), that with the increase of earthquake duration \( t_d \), the damage index \( D_k \) exceeds the performance index limits of mild damage \( (D_k = 0.6) \), moderate damage \( (D_k = 0.7) \) and severe damage \( (D_k = 0.8) \), respectively. That is, with the increase of earthquake duration \( t_d \), the performance design method of controlling ductility coefficient in the seismic code can not meet the expected damage, while the design method based on damage index can make up for the deficiency that the duration effect is not considered in the current seismic code.
5. Conclusions

The following conclusions were drawn from the results of this study.

1. The seismic design process of RC column members based on damage index is proposed. This seismic design method establishes a quantitative relationship between the structural design parameters and seismic parameters, which is convenient to guide the structural design.

2. The increase of the ratio of transverse reinforcement can reduce the damage to RC column members, but when the ratio of transverse reinforcement exceeds a certain threshold value, the damage reduction effect is not obvious. The increase of the earthquake duration can aggravate the development of the damage to the RC column members, and the increasing effect is first fast and then slow.

3. This seismic design method can make up for the deficiency that the duration effect is not considered in the current seismic code.

This design process is limited to RC square/rectangular column members for SDOF systems with earthquake durations from 0 to 30s.
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