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Abstract. Background/Aim: The aim of this study was to analyze survivorship and functional outcome of cementless spongy metal structured total hip arthroplasty (THA) after ultra-long-term follow-up. Patients and Methods: A total of 100 THAs in patients under 65 years at initial surgery were performed between 1985 and 1989 at our Department. Twenty patients (23 hips) were available for final follow-up. Implant survivorship and functional results (Merle d’Aubigné, SF-12) were assessed. Results: At a mean follow-up of 30 years (range=27.7-31.7 years), the overall stem survival rate was 82.6% (19/23 stems) and the overall cup survival rate was 52.2% (12/23 cups). Revision surgeries were performed for aseptic loosening in all cases. Functional evaluation revealed good to excellent results in 16 patients (80%). Conclusion: Spongy metal structured cementless THA provides remarkable survivorship and excellent functional results even after ultra-long-term follow-up. Further research regarding modern implants, bearing surfaces etc. is required to assess survivorship and clinical outcomes of different implant designs.

Total hip arthroplasty (THA) is often considered one of the most successful orthopedic procedures for patients suffering from end-stage osteoarthritis of the hip (1). Cementless fixation of both stem and cup is applied in 86% of THA in the U.S. and in 77% of primary THA in Germany (2, 3). This procedure was initially designed for young, active patients with high bone quality (4). Various studies report encouraging results for this patient group (5-8). Petsatodes et al. reported a survivorship of 98% after 17 years based on the analysis of 195 patients (205 hips) who received a fully porous-coated stem (7). Ellison et al. reported a femoral survivorship of 99.2% after 15 years based on the analysis of 249 proximally coated stems in 201 patients (8), and a meta-analysis performed by Springer et al. reported a femoral survivorship of 95% at 12 years in patients younger than 60 years at implantation (9). However, the National Joint Registry of England and Wales shows substantially higher long-term revision rates for uncemented stems than for cemented stems (10).

The existing variability in the survivorship of cementless THA is largely attributable to specific design features (11). The geometry and surface texture of implants are two of the most important factors for long-term fixation and stability. Surface structures similar to cancellous bone support bony anchorage with direct bone contact to provide long-term stability. Meso-structure surfaces with a pore size of 100-2,000 μm and a porosity larger than 40% can replicates surface structures similar to cancellous bone morphology and optimize osseus integration (12, 13).

A former report of the presented patient group which was treated with first-generation spongy metal structured implants showed an overall survival rate of 93.7% at a mean follow-up of 19 years (14). The purpose of this study was to update the results of a prospective series of primary cementless THAs after a mean follow-up period of 30 years.

Patients and Methods

The study protocol was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee at the Klinikum rechts der Isar (Technical University of Munich) and registered as a clinical trial (NCT number: NCT03045536).

Between February 1985 and February 1989, a total of 100 consecutive cementless primary THAs in 88 patients were
implanted via a posterior approach. The results of the 19-year follow-up period have already been published elsewhere (14). The patients who were included in the previous study were invited again to participate in this ultra-long-term follow-up study. The indications for primary THA are listed in Table I. The mean age of the patients at time of initial surgery was 47.5 years (18-65 years; female to male=49:51 out of 100 THAs); 49 THAs were implanted on the right side.

In all cases, a side-specific anatomical double-curved stem and a hemispherical cup, with fully porous metal surface (stem-type GHE; ESKA/AQ Implants, Lübeck, Schleswig-Holstein, Germany) was used. The spongy metal structured surface, with a porosity of 60% and a pore size from 800 to 1,500 μm, resembles cancellous bone. Two spikes and a peg wedged into the acetabular rim and the ischium support the primary stability of the acetabular cup. The femoral and acetabular component were manufactured of an alloy of chrome, cobalt and molybdenum. The metal backed articular surface was made of standard polyethylene and ceramic heads with a diameter of 28 mm were used in all cases. Postoperatively, weight bearing was limited for 6 weeks and physiotherapy was conducted for 3 months in order to improve muscle strength and mobilization of the hip. Patients were routinely followed-up 6-8 weeks postoperatively and then at different follow-up appointments depending on the distance to our clinic. On average, four follow-up appointments at a mean of 0.6 years, 17 years, and 29.9 years after the initial operation were kept; 68 patients (80 hips) were lost at the last follow-up.

With regard to survivorship, the end-point was defined as revision for any reason. Functional results were evaluated using the Merle d’Aubigné rating system and MacNab’s outcome assessment of patient satisfaction (15, 16). Additionally, global outcome including mental and physical health was measured via the SF-12 short form questionnaire and postoperative pain was scored on the 0-10 point visual analog scale (VAS) (17, 18).

Statistical analysis. Statistical evaluation and analysis were performed using SPSS 21.0 software (IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA). Survivorship analysis (Kaplan–Meier curves) was carried out with revision for any reason as the end-point. Statistical analysis of Merle d’Aubigné score and SF-12 was carried out using the paired t-test (significance level: 0.05).

Results

At a mean follow-up time of 29.9 years (range=27.7-31.7 years), 20 out of 88 patients and 23 out of 100 THAs were available for evaluation (follow-up rate of 23%). One patient refused to participate in the study and 67 patients were lost to follow-up (23 patients with unknown/changed address; 44 patients had died). The remaining patients, seven males and 16 females, had a mean age±SD of 77.2±7.65 years (range=56-89 years) and a mean body mass index (BMI) of 25.14±29 kg/m² (range=18.8-35.4 kg/m²). There was no correlation between age or BMI and revision rate for any component (p=0.87).

The stem survival rate at the last follow-up was 82.6% (19123 stems) (Figure 1). Revision of the stem was performed in four out of the 23 observed cases, on average after 17.1±6.32 years (range=10.6-25.4 years) for aseptic loosening. Eleven out of the 23 acetabular components underwent revision (all for aseptic loosening). Therefore, the overall acetabular survival rate was 52.2% at final follow-up (Figure 2). The mean time to cup revision was 15.8±6.18 years (range=5.4-26 years). No correlation between the revision rate and BMI, age or gender was identified.

Concerning the functional results at the last follow-up, the Merle D’Aubigné score showed an overall mean improvement of 7.24 points compared to the preoperative situation. The scores for all three subsets (pain, mobility and ability to walk) were superior to those of the preoperative state (Table II). The largest improvement was found with regard to pain, with a long-term improvement of 2.72±1.07 points. The ability to walk remained 0.39±0.94 points above the preoperative value and mobility was improved by 1.00±1.53 point (Figure 3). The average total score was 13.6±3.30 out of 18 points. Four patients rated 18.0 points, more than 50% of the patients rated 15.0 points or more and no patient rated below 9.0 points. Compared to the 19-year follow-up, no significant changes had occurred.

The evaluation of SF-12 questionnaires revealed a mean physical sum score of 36.4±10.02 points and a mean mental score of 59.7±4.36 points. Thus, with respect to the mean physical sum score, the patients were less healthy compared to a general German population (mean=54). The differences in SF-12 scores between patients with revision and without revision were not statistically significant. Compared to the 19-year follow-up, no significant changes had occurred.
Concerning the MacNab score, four patients (21.1%) reported an excellent, 12 (63.2%) a good and three (15.8%) a fair level of well-being at final follow-up. No patient stated a poor outcome and there was no correlation between revision surgery and the MacNab score. Fifteen patients stated they would recommend the procedure to a friend, even 30 years after surgery.

Thirteen patients (68.4%) reported no pain at the last follow-up, four patients (21.1%) reported light pain (VAS 1-3) and two (10.5%) reported moderate pain (VAS 6-7). No patient reported severe pain (VAS 8-10).

Discussion

The aim of the present study was to provide ultra long-term results after cementless THA with first-generation spongy metal structured implants in patients younger than 65 years at initial operation. We prospectively enrolled 88 patients (100 hips) who underwent THA at an orthopaedic university clinic and assessed implant survival rates (revision for any reason) and functional outcome. At a mean follow-up of 30 years, 20 patients (23 hips) with a mean age of 77 years were available for evaluation. The stem survival rate at the last follow-up was 82.6% (19/23 stems) whereas acetabular survival rate was 52% (12/23 cups). Concerning functional results, improvement from the preoperative situation was still apparent even after 30 years. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first single-center study reporting on ultra long-term results for THA with a cementless spongy metal structured device initially implanted in patients younger than 65 years.

Generally, hip replacement in young patients remains a challenge as younger patients are considered to be more active and therefore expose the implant to significantly higher loads leading to increased rates of early implant failure. Results of cemented THA revealed excellent long-term results with a survivorship of more than 80% at 20 years after surgery (19). However, young age at the time of implantation was identified as a negative predictor for early aseptic loosening and consequent implant failure. For example, Berry et al., in a 25-year follow-up study of 2,000 hip arthroplasties found that the survivorship rate was 68.7% in patients younger than 40 years compared to 100% in patients aged 80 years and older (20). Despite these excellent results for cemented THA, cementless fixation is now commonly used in younger patients. However, data supporting the use of cementless, instead of cemented fixation in these patients are lacking, especially if potential problems of cementless THA including femoral fractures, aseptic loosening and thigh pain are considered (21, 22). Our observations in the present study support the use of cementless fixation in young patients.

The first outcomes of cementless femoral fixation were reported in the late 1970s (23, 24). Although there has been a substantial technical evolution of design principles and surface structure, stem geometry and metallurgic aspects,
there has been consistent concern with regard to long-term durability of the femoral component in non-cemented THA in young patients. In order to provide long-term stability, the biological fixation of the implant by bone ingrowth is essential. The structured porous surface of the presented spongy metal system achieves bony ingrowth by providing an open three-dimensional network with high interconnectivity (porosity of 60%) and excellent structural compatibility (14). In general, successful bone ingrowth requires pore sizes between 100 and 2,000 μm and a percentage of voids of up to 40% in order to optimize mechanical strength (12, 13). Several former studies found good to excellent short- and mid-term results when spongy metal structured implants were used. For example, Sugano et al. reported on 59 patients (66 hips) younger than 65 years at initial surgery who were prospectively followed-up for 2 to 6 years (25). The clinical outcome of all hips was rated as either excellent or good and no patient needed revision surgery during the observation period. Similar mid-term results were published by Matsui et al. in 1998 (26). In all of the 45 patients (49 hips) excellent or good results for the Merle D’Aubigné score after 5 to 9 years were found. Only two patients had to undergo revision because of neck fracture or infection, and three reported thigh pain which had resolved within 2 years after surgery. In a previous report (based on the same patient group), we confirmed excellent mid-term results in a long-term survival analysis of 84 patients (93 hips) (14). At 19-year follow-up, the overall stem survival rate was 93.7% and the overall cup survival rate was 82.3%. Clinical outcome scores revealed good to excellent results of the implanted THAs in 87% of patients. In the present study, we were able to update these results. Overall GHE stem survival was 82.6% and the overall cup survival rate was 52.2% in our cohort. In the literature, femoral components generally outperform their acetabular counterparts in terms of survival rates; furthermore, survivorship of the acetabular component is more affected by age than is the femoral stem (20, 27). Despite the ultra-long observation period, functional investigation revealed that more than 80% of patients reported either excellent or good levels of well-being. Evaluation of the SF-12 (physical sum score) revealed patients were less healthy compared to a general German population. Interestingly, with respect to the mental sum score, our cohort had high normal values.

Our study has certain important limitations. Due to the long observation period, there was a substantial drop-out of patients. Nevertheless, we believe the cohort is still representative enough to provide important findings on survival rates of the investigated THA design. Another limitation is the fact that we assessed only one specific implant without any control group. Therefore, we cannot assume that other designs would have performed less well. Furthermore, the scores we used are not sensitive enough to give specific information about characteristic problems of certain THAs, e.g. thigh pain.

**Conclusion**

Our results indicate that cementless spongy metal implants in primary THA provide reliable survivorship and excellent functional results in patients younger than 65 years at initial operation even after an ultra-long follow-up. However, the excellent overall outcome of spongy metal stems substantially outperforms the survival rates of their acetabular counterparts.
Further research is required to assess clinical outcomes of more recent implant designs, reflecting the technical evolution of geometries and surface textures.
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