Optical Transition, Excitation, and Emission Properties of Poly(N-Vinlycarbazole) Blended with Poly(Vinylidene Fluoride-co-Hexafluoropropene) and Polyvinylpyrrolidone
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We present the results concerning the excitation and emissions spectra of poly(N-carbazole) (PVK) blended with poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropene), (PVDF-HfP) and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP). Thin films of PVK blended with PVDF-HfP (PVK:PVDF-HfP) and PVP (PVK:PVP) were prepared using doctor blade technique on spectrosil substrate. The influences of polymer blends to the excitation and emission spectra were observed under UV excitation source of a xenon lamp. The result shows a discrepancy in the maximum excitation and emission for each samples. The possible energy transfer and recombination mechanisms have been related with singlet-singlet semi-empirical INDO electronic calculation and FTIR measurements.
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1. Introduction

Poly(N-vinlycarbazole) (PVK) is a well-known non-conjugated polymer for polymer light emitting diode (PLED), photoconductive, photorefractive, lithium-ion battery and photocatalytic (PV) applications [1]. In PLED and PV applications, PVK is used as a hole transport layer because of its high hole mobility. All these applications are enabled by the existence of carbazole groups that are useful in opto-electronics and photonics. PVK can be mixed with organic and inorganic salts or compounds or embedded with nanoparticles to achieve new optical properties and to optimize the output in photonics and opto-electronics [2, 3]. Crosslinking of PVK with other conjugated polymers can also produce new optical properties of PVK [4].

Polymer blending is a simple, well-known technique of creating new solid materials or composites with enhanced properties, compared to those of homopolymers. In semiconductor application, polymer blending is widely used to optimize the performance of opto-electronic devices. The optical properties of a polymer can be modulated by adding some dopants to the host polymer or by blending with other polymers. Optical characteristics are vital tools for understanding the electronic properties of polymers [5]. Optical absorption and luminescence spectroscopy rely on electron transition between the highest occupied molecular orbital and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital, or on the nonlinear optical properties of a polymeric material.

Pertaining to the alteration given above, we have blended PVK with another host polymer which is poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropene) (PVDF-HfP) or polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) due to its own interesting physical properties. We anticipate that by mixing the polymer luminescence (PVK) with another host polymer, it will change electronic properties of the active layer. In this paper, we report the effect of polymer blends on the excitation and emission spectra of PVK:PVDF-HfP and PVK:PVP blending system. By investigating emission and excitation properties of these polymer blends, it is anticipated to provide some vital information for any PLED applications. The possible energy transfer has been related with singlet-singlet semi-empirical INDO electronic calculation and FTIR measurements.

2. Methodology

2.1 Experimental

PVK, PVDF-HfP and PVP were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Two polymer blends systems consisting of PVK blended with PVDF-HfP (PVK:PVDF-HfP) and PVK blended with PVP (PVK:PVP), in the same weight ratio (1:1), were prepared. Pure PVK was also prepared as a control. PVK, PVK:PVDF-HfP and PVK:PVP were dissolved in 10 ml dimethylformamide and stirred for 6 h at room temperature (30 °C). Each solution was applied onto a spectroil substrate using doctor blade technique to form a thin film. Prior to coating, the spectroil substrate was cleaned with Decon 90, rinsed with
deionized water and then ultrasonicated with acetone. The film was then dried in a vacuum oven at 55 °C for 2 h to remove the solvent. The thickness of the thin films was measured by using reflectometry spectroscopy filmetrics, F20 Series. The average thickness of PVK, PVK:PVDF-HFP and PVK:PVP thin films was 418.5, 421.5 and 420.0 nm respectively. Optical absorption and transmittance were measured using Varian Cary 3000 uvis spectrophotometer between the wavelengths of 200 and 800 nm. The photoluminescence measurement was conducted using Jobin Yvon Horiba Spex Fluorolog-3 with 450 W Xenon lamp as an excitation source. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was carried out using ATR Perkin Elmer 400 in the wavelength range from 550 to 4000 nm.

2.2 Electronic calculation

The monomeric unit of molecular structure for PVK, PVP and PVDF-HFP oligomer was drawn on the `worksheet` Material Studio interface. Upon the desired molecular structure was drawn, geometry optimizations were performed using Parametric Method Number 3 (PM3). The electronic structure of the monomeric unit of molecular structure for PVK, PVP and PVDF-HFP was calculated using Zerner Modification intermediate neglect of differential Overlap (ZINDO) calculation [6]. The empirical parameter INDO/1 was used with implementing single configuration interaction. Calculations were performed in VAMP Material Studio Program package.

3. Results

3.1 Emission and excitation spectra

The luminescence excitation spectrum was measured to characterize the excited electron states near the band gap of complex molecules which are absorbing the light. The luminescence excitation spectrum also measures the relation between luminescence emission intensity and the energy of exciting light. The maximum excitation energy for PVK, PVK:PVDF-HFP and PVK:PVP (at 415 nm) is 3.565, 3.510 and 3.617 eV respectively, as shown in Fig. 1. The maximum emission energy for PVK, PVDF-HFP and PVK:PVP (at 325 nm) is 2.983, 3.056 and 3.274 eV respectively. As shown in Fig. 1, the maximum excitation spectra at the fundamental absorption edge are different. This is due to the fact, that excitation spectrum strongly depends on the surface of recombination, quantum efficiency and absorption depth [7]. The energy difference between the maximum excitation and emission energy is known as the Stokes shift. The Stokes shift is related with the coupling energy between the electron and the phonon (\(W\)) by formula 2W [8]. The value of W for PVK, PVK:PVDF-HFP and PVK:PVP is 0.291, 0.227 and 0.1715 eV respectively. PVK has the highest Stokes shift, followed by PVK:PVDF-HFP and PVK:PVP. This implies that PVK has a broad emission peak compared to PVK:PVDF-HFP and PVK:PVP.

As depicted in Fig. 1, all the polymer systems have different excitation and emission spectra. For excitation spectrum, PVK:PVDF-HFP (Fig. 1a) has the highest excitation intensity, followed by PVK:PVP and PVK. The emission spectrum (Fig. 1b) for each polymer system has a tilted Gaussian shape. It can be observed that PVK: PVP has the highest emission intensity, followed by PVK:PVDF-HFP and PVK. For the PVK:PVDF-HFP, there is a shoulder at a lower wavelength, while for PVK:PVP, the shoulder appears at a higher wavelength. These shoulders are attributed to vibronic transitions, with different quantized vibronic energy levels [9]. The deviations in emission intensity and the maximum emission energy are due to the changes of electronic structure in polymer blending system.

3.2 FTIR spectra

FTIR or vibration spectroscopy provides reliable evidence for the occurrence of complexation in thin films, i.e., confirmation of complexation between polymer blends. Figure 2 shows the FTIR spectra of PVK, PVK:PVDF-HFP and PVK:PVP. The main vibration absorption peaks of PVK at 3046, 2926, 1624, 1596.5, 1449, 1405, 1323, 1222, 1123.5-1156, 742.5, and 717.5 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned as follows: aromatic C-H stretching, aliphatic C-H stretching, C-C stretching in benzene ring, C-C=C
stretching in benzene ring, framework vibration of aromatic ring, CH$_2$ deformation of vinyl group, CH plane deformation of vinyl group, CN stretching framework vibration of aromatic ring, CH in plane deformation of aromatic ring, as well as CH$_2$ rocking vibration caused by tail-to-tail addition and ring deformation of substituted aromatic structure [10, 11]. Blending of PVK with PVDF-HfP or PVP induces significant changes in the FTIR spectra, such as the decrease of peak intensity of vibration absorption, accretion and reduction of vibration frequencies, compared with the pure PVK. According to literature [12], the main absorption peaks of PVDF-HfP are 1797, 1417, 1290–1060, 882, and 839 cm$^{-1}$, which can be assigned to -CF$_2$ =CF$_2$ group, -CH$_3$ asymmetric bending, CF and C-F$_2$ stretching vibrations, vinylidene group of the polymer, and C-F$_2$ asymmetric stretching vibration. Most peak intensities of the main carbazole vibration absorption decrease for pure PVK, after being blended with PVDF-HfP, as shown in Fig. 2. The PVDF-HfP sample also shows a lower vibration absorption peak, compared to the PVK and PVK:PVP. The vibration frequency at 1221–1155 cm$^{-1}$ also increases, which can be attributed to CF and C-F$_2$ stretching vibration and C-F$_2$ group. A peak is also observed at 838 cm$^{-1}$, which is assigned to asymmetric stretching vibration. The vibration frequencies at 3046 and 2926 cm$^{-1}$, assigned to aromatic and aliphatic C-H stretching, are diminished in PVK:PVDF-HfP. For PVK:PVP, most of the main carbazole vibration absorption frequencies appear in the FTIR spectra. However, the vibration absorption intensity of PVK:PVP is lower than that of PVK. Here an increase in the vibration absorption frequency is observed, and broad bands at 3408, 1645, 1156, and 1021 cm$^{-1}$ can be assigned as follows: OH stretching, stretching vibration of C=O, C-O stretching, and C-N stretching, respectively [13].

4. Discussion

Figures 1 shows some changes in the excitation and emission spectra of the polymer blend systems, caused probably by the following mechanisms. Initially, energy is transferred from PVP and PVDF-HfP to PVK. According to the Förster theory, energy transfer can be achieved if the excitation spectrum of PVK is overlapping with the emission spectrum PVP/PVDF-HfP [14]. To investigate the energy transfer of polymer-polymer blends, we use molecular quantum chemical calculation using ZINDO method. In this calculation, an assumption, that the basic unit of molecular monomer is involved in photophysical process, was taken. According to Förster model, singlet-singlet energy transfer could occur due to the interaction of dipole-dipole moment resonance between donor and acceptor [15]. From the quantum chemical calculation for PVP and PVDF-HfP, the lowest singlet energy level ($S_1$) is higher compared with the PVK (Fig. 3). Thus, it can be said that PVP and PVDF-HfP has become the sensitizer (donor), while PVK has become the acceptor. After the occurrence of excitation process, the sensitizer in the excited state
will eventually convert to the lowest singlet excited state. When the energetic coupling between PVP/PVDF-HfP and PVK is sufficient enough, the energy transfer will be executed using resonance inductive coupling. It is also found that PVK:PVDF-HfP has a higher energy differences of singlet-singlet transition, compared with PVK:PVP. Thus, this makes PVK:PVDF-HfP to require more energy to excite donor from ground state to the excited state. For emission spectrum, experimental result shows that PVK:PVP has the highest emission intensity. Plausible explanations are due to the intra and inter-molecular interaction for multichromophoric system and spin orbital coupling, which were not taken into account in our molecular orbital calculation [16].

Secondly, the changes in physical bonding occur and increase the aggregation of luminescence chromophores. When PVK is blended with other polymers such as PVDF-HfP and PVP, the changes occur in the excitation and emission spectra because of complex formation in the polymer blend system [17]. The recombination does not occur in the whole polymer solid. However, this recombination occurs in a certain region, which is known as chromophore. In an organic polymer, the recombination center strongly depends upon the physical bonding of electron pairs. It was found by FTIR spectra that there are some changes in the main vibrational absorption of the carbazole functional group. PVK:PVDF-HfP has the lowest main vibrational absorption intensity of the carbazole functional group, compared with PVK and PVK:PVP. Meanwhile, PVK:PVP shows an increase in the frequency of the vibrational group. Thus, these results confirm the formation of complexes in the polymer blend system. Changes in the physical bonding, as indicated in the FTIR spectrum, lead to the formation of new dopant emission centers in the polymer materials. The highest emission intensity of PVK:PVP may be attributed to the complex formation between the carbonyl group of PVP and the carbazole group of PVK, which in this complex formation is much higher, compared with PVDF-HfP.

Thirdly, the states from the gap in the polymer blend systems change, and the existence of localized states in the disordered system consequently results in new optical absorption and luminescence spectra of the polymer blend systems [18]. In the polymer blend systems, the mixture of two polymers induces disorder in thin film solids. These induced disorder states, located in the band tail and extending into forbidden states, are known as Anderson localization states. These localized states in the band tail participate in transitions with delocalized states.

5. Conclusion

We have analysed the excitation and emission spectra of PVK, PVK:PVDF-HfP and PVK:PVP polymer blends. The result shows a discrepancy in the maximum excitation and emission for each sample. PVK:PVDF-HfP has the highest excitation intensity. Based on semi-empirical calculation, PVDF-HfP has shown the highest singlet energy, which requires more energy to excite a donor from the ground state to the excited state. However, in the emission spectrum, PVK:PVP has the highest emission intensity, followed by PVK:PVDF-HfP and PVK. These changes are due to the complex formation between polymers blends, that create new aggregations of chromophores (shown in FTIR spectra). Furthermore, the existence of localized states in the disordered system consequently results in new optical absorption and luminescence spectra.
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