The Elusive Link Between Cancer FDG Uptake and Glycolytic Flux Explains the Preserved Diagnostic Accuracy of PET/CT in Diabetes
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ABSTRACT

This study aims to verify in experimental models of hyperglycemia induced by streptozotocin (STZ-DM) to what degree the high competition between unlabeled glucose and metformin (MET) treatment might affect the accuracy of cancer FDG imaging. The study included 36 “control” and 36 “STZ-DM” Balb/c mice, undergoing intraperitoneal injection of saline or streptozotocin, respectively. Two-weeks later, mice were subcutaneously implanted with breast (4 T1) or colon (CT26) cancer cells and subdivided in three subgroups for treatment with water or with MET at 10 or 750 mg/Kg/day. Two weeks after, mice were submitted to micro-PET imaging. Enzymatic pathways and response to oxidative stress were evaluated in harvested tumors. Finally, competition by glucose, 2-deoxyglucose (2DG) and the fluorescent analog 2-[N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)amino]-2-deoxyglucose (2-NBDG) on FDG uptake was studied in 4 T1 and CT26 cultured cells. STZ-DM slightly decreased cancer volume and FDG uptake rate (MRF). More importantly, it also abolished MET capability to decelerate lesion growth and MRF. This metabolic reprogramming closely agreed with the activity of hexose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase within the endoplasmic reticulum. Finally, co-incubation with 2DG virtually abolished FDG and 2-NBDG uptake within the endoplasmic reticulum in cultured cells. These data challenge the current dogma linking FDG uptake to glycolytic flux and introduce a new model to explain the relation between glucose analogue uptake and hexoses reticular metabolism. This selective fate of FDG contributes to the preserved sensitivity of PET imaging in oncology even in chronic moderate hyperglycemic conditions.

Background

The wide clinical utilization of cancer imaging with [18F]-2-deoxy-glucose (FDG) largely exploits the link between disease aggressiveness and activation of the so-called Warburg effect, i.e. the high glycolysis rate regardless oxygen availability [1–10]. FDG kinetic features are well suited to highlight this metabolic reprogramming [11–14]. This tracer shares with glucose both trans-membrane transport and subsequent phosphorylation by hexokinases (HKs); however, the produced FDG-6-phosphate (F6GDP) accumulates within the cytosol as a terminal metabolite not accessible by downstream enzymes. Thus, normalizing late tracer retention for its technical determinants (injected dose and body weight) provides the “standardized uptake value” (SUV) that so far is interpreted as a clinically accessible index of cancer glycolytic flux [15].

Despite its almost general acceptance, the direct link between FDG accumulation and glycolysis rate is profoundly challenged in the daily clinical practice with hyperglycemic patients. The direct competition by unlabeled glucose and the consequent decrease in tracer uptake should imply to normalize cancer SUV for glycaemia. Nevertheless, this procedure has been shown not to provide any clinical benefit and even to impair measurement reproducibility [16]. Moreover, cancer SUV has been found to be independent of serum glucose level in >8000 patients with glycemia ≤ 200 mg/dl [17]. Consequently, current guidelines do not propose any SUV normalization, while they indicate the feasibility of FDG imaging in diabetic patients even in the presence of serum glucose levels as high as 200 mg/dl [7].

According to these “epidemiological” observations, the limited relevance of moderate hyperglycemia on tracer retention suggests a relatively loose link between FDG uptake and glucose consumption in cancer. This
concept agrees with the magnetic spectrometric evidence that more than 50% of adsorbed FDG is retained in the cell as metabolites downstream FDG6P [18–20]. Similarly, FDG uptake in brain [21], myocardiun [22], skeletal muscle [23] and cancer [24] has been found to be largely independent of glycolytic flux and strictly dependent upon the activity of hexose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (H6PD) [21–24]. This autonomic counterpart of the cytosolic glucose-6-phosphate-dehydrogenase (G6PD) is confined within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) where it can oxidize several hexoses (including FDG, FDG6P, 2DG and 2DG6P) to trigger a specific ER pentose-phosphate pathway (PPP) [25,26]. As a relevant feature, the catalytic function of this omnivore enzyme is impaired by metformin (MET) that markedly reduces FDG uptake while simultaneously accelerating glycolytic flux in experimental cancers [27–36]. This drug action might thus further hamper PET/CT sensitivity in the large population of type-2 diabetes mellitus (DM) patients submitted to this technique under MET treatment.

The present study thus aimed to verify in experimental models of sustained hyperglycemia induced by streptozotocin (STZ-DM) to what degree the high competition between unlabeled glucose and MET treatment might affect the accuracy of cancer FDG imaging.

Material and Methods

Chemicals

For chemicals see Supplementary Materials.

Cell Lines and Animal Models

Murine syngeneic cell-lines of colon-rectal (CT26) and breast cancer (4T1) were purchased from ATCC (LGC Standards Srl, Milan, Italy) and cultured as previously described [24,27]. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with guidelines and regulations (Italian 26/2014 and EU 2010/63/UE directives) and were approved by the local Ethical Committee and Italian Ministry of Health. The study included 72 six-weeks-old male BALB/c mice (Charles River, Italy); 36 “STZ-DM” animals received intraperitoneal STZ (150 mg), the remaining received only saline (controls). Two weeks thereafter, oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed administering a glucose load (1 g/Kg) and assaying serum glucose level at 15, 30, 60 and 120 minutes. The day after, both controls and STZ-DM cohorts were divided into two groups (n = 18) that were subcutaneously inoculated in dorsal hip with 5×10⁵ CT26 or 4T1 cells, respectively. Each cluster was further subdivided into three subgroups (n = 6) that were untreated or treated with MET diluted in drinking water as to administer a daily dose of 10 (MET-10) or 750 mg/kg (MET-750), respectively. Treatment was continued for 2 weeks up to the day of micro-PET imaging. D.

Experimental Micro-PET Imaging

Fourteen days after cells inoculation, mice were fasted for 6 hours and weighted to be anesthetized with intra-peritoneal ketamine (100 mg/kg) (Imaligene, Milan, Italy) and xylazine (10 mg/kg) (Bio98, Italy). Cancer volume was determined by using external caliper and tumor volume was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{tumor volume (µl)} = (\text{length} \times \text{width} \times \text{thickness}) \times \frac{\pi}{6}.
\]

expressing length, width and thickness in mm [27]. Serum glucose level was tested, and animals were positioned in a dedicated micro-PET system (Albira, Bruker, USA). FDG (3-4 MBq) was injected through a tail vein, soon after start of a 40 minutes list-mode acquisition. Mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, tumors were harvested and submitted to either immunohistochemical or biochemical analysis, (n = 3 per group).

Image Processing

According to our procedure [21–24], acquisition was binned in the following frames: 10 × 15 s, 5 × 30 s, 2 × 150 s, 6 × 300 s, 1 × 600 s; images were reconstructed using maximal likelihood expectation maximization method (MLEM). An experienced observer identified a volume of interest (VOI) in the left ventricle to plot the arterial input function that served for FDG clearance calculation [21–24]. Parametric maps of FDG accumulation rate were thus obtained according to the Gjedde-Patlak graphical approach [37] and multiplied for serum glucose. A VOI was drawn on cancer lesion to estimate average FDG metabolic rate (MRF, in nmol × min⁻¹ × g⁻¹). The same VOI was copied on the last frame to estimate SUV.

Ligand Tracer

In-vitro FDG uptake was evaluated using a dedicated instrument (LigandTracer white, Ridgeview, SE) according to our previously validated procedure [21–23,27,38]. 4 T1 and CT26 cell cultures were grown as previously described [24,27,38]. Soon before the experiment, culture medium was replaced with DMEM containing glucose at 5.5 mM or 11 mM and enriched with 1.8 to 2.2 MBq/ml FDG. Tracer uptake was measured by its disappearance from the medium over the 2 hours experiments. The same approach was used to estimate glucose consumption, with cells left in the medium for further 10 hours (Supplementary Materials). All experiments were performed in triplicate and data normalized for cell number. The effect of two MET concentrations (1 and 5 mM) was also tested.

The competition between FDG and 2DG was evaluated by measuring FDG uptake in cultures exposed to glucose-free DMEM enriched with 5.5 mM 2DG. This last evaluation was complemented by a further set of experiments in which the cell cultures were incubated for 2 hours with either glucose or 2DG, at the same 5.5 mM concentration, before their exposure to hexose-free DMEM enriched with FDG alone.

Spectrophotometric Assay

Three dedicated tumors of each group were homogenized in phosphate-buffer saline with a Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer and enzymatic assays were performed as described in Supplementary Materials [21–24].

Immunohistochemical Analysis

Immunohistochemical analysis is detailed in the Supplementary Materials.

Co-localization Experiments

Glucose co-localization with the ER was evaluated by confocal microscopy according to our validated protocol [21,23,24], described in the Supplementary Materials. Cells were incubated with glibenclamide and 2-[N-(7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)amino]-2-deoxyglucose (2-NBDG) as indicator of ER and glucose-analogue accumulation site, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

The data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Comparison between different groups was performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) for multiple comparisons; Student t test, for paired or unpaired data, were used as appropriate. Statistical significance was considered at P < .05. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software 15.0 (Chicago, IL).
Results

Effect of Diabetes and Metformin Treatment on Serum Glucose Level

The studies were completed in all animals and no relevant side effects occurred after STZ or under MET treatments. Body weight was similar in all groups both at cells inoculation and at PET imaging (Figure 1A). At OGTT, the area under the curve was higher in STZ-DM mice than in controls (Figure 1B and C). This difference persisted at the measurement of fasting glycaemia at cancer cells inoculation (Figure 1D). Two-weeks thereafter, soon before PET imaging, glucose level remained increased in untreated STZ-DM mice while it was lowered by both MET treatment regimens to values close to untreated controls (Figure 1E).

In STZ-DM mice, hyperglycemia was associated with a reduction in hexose uptake by whole body tissues as documented by the decrease in blood FDG clearance observed in diabetic mice, regardless the inoculated cell type (Figure 1F). As expected, MET antihyperglycemic action was not related to an enhanced insulin sensitivity since drug effect on tracer removal from the bloodstream was not appreciable in STZ-DM mice and was even decreased in a dose-dependent fashion in control ones.

Hyperglycemia and Metformin Effect on Cancer Growth and FDG Uptake

Anatomical volume of 4 T1 (Figure 2, A and B) and CT26 (Figure 2, D and E) cancers was superimposable in non-diabetic untreated mice and showed a similar dose-dependent reduction under both MET treatments (Figure 2, B–E). This evaluation also applied to the estimation of metabolically active lesion, since the volume of the manually drawn VOI on cancer lesion was remarkably similar and directly correlated with the anatomical estimation provided by caliper measurements (Suppl Figure 1). Drug effect on cancer growth nicely agreed with the corresponding response of MRF that was reduced by MET treatments in both 4 T1 (Figure 2C) and CT26 lesions (Figure 2F). These data thus extended our previous observations [20,23] indicating that MET decelerates cancer growth in normoglycemic mice.

STZ-DM decreased the growth of untreated 4 T1 cancers, while this effect did not reach the statistical significance for CT26 lesions (Figure 2, B and E). Again, this observation was at least partially reproduced by the estimated MRF that, despite the higher serum glucose level, was slightly lower in cancers hosted by hyperglycemic animals with respect to control ones (Figure 2, A, C–D and F).

STZ-DM also affected cancer response to MET, whose capability to decelerate lesion growth was either reverted to an increase in 4 T1 models (Figure 2, A and B) or abolished in CT26 tumors (Figure 2, D and E). Again, FDG uptake kinetics matched this response, since MET slightly increased MRF in STZ-DM 4 T1 cancers (Figure 2, A, C–D and F).

Differently from MRF, the conventional analysis of cancer FDG uptake was not affected by either STZ-DM or MET. In fact, the deceleration in FDG clearance and the consequent prolongation of blood tracer availability
Figure 2. In vivo effects of STZ-DM and metformin on cancer growth and MRF. Parametric maps of representative mice untreated (green), under low (red) or high (blue) MET doses, 2 weeks after 4T1 (Panel A) or CT26 (Panel D) cell implantation. White arrows indicate the tumors. Tumor volumes are expressed in Panels B (4 T1) and E (CT26); cancer metabolic rate of FDG uptake are represented in Panels C (4 T1) and F (CT26), respectively. Data are expressed as mean ± SD, n = 6 for each group; *P < .05, **P < .01 vs the corresponding control not treated by MET, # P < .05 vs MET-10).
preserved similar cancer SUVs in all subgroups (Figure 3, A and B for 4 T1; Figure 3, C and D for CT26).

Effect of Diabetes and Metformin on Enzymatic Pathway in 4 T1 and CT26 Cancers

To evaluate the determinants of FDG uptake, we analyzed the key enzymatic activities of glucose metabolism in harvested tumors, as to consider the contribution of all cells populating the lesion representative of both cancer and surrounding microenvironment. In 4 T1 cancers, both STZ-DM and MET treatment increased HK and PFK activity (Figure 4, A and C). CT26 lesions showed a less evident response to STZ-DM that, however, flattened the response of these enzymes to MET (Figure 4, B and D). A similar observation applied to G6PD (Suppl Figure 2): its activity was scarcely (in 4 T1) or not (in CT26) modified by MET in cancers hosted by non-diabetic animals. By contrast, it was enhanced by the biguanide only in lesions grown in 4 T1

Figure 3. In vivo effects of STZ-DM and metformin on cancer Standardize Uptake Value Cancer SUV of representative mice untreated (green) or under low (red) or high (blue) MET doses, 2 weeks after implantation of 4 T1 (Panel A) or CT26 (Panel C) cells. Cancer average SUV (white arrows) is expressed in Panels B and D for 4 T1 and CT26, respectively. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 6; *P < .05; **P < .01 vs the corresponding control not treated by MET).
hyperglycemic mice. Thus, the activity of enzymes catalyzing the rate-limiting steps of either glycolysis or cytosolic PPP could not explain the reduction in estimated MRF induced by either STZ-DM or MET (Figure 2, C and F).

We thus moved our attention to H6PD. Actually, STZ-DM inhibited the catalytic function of this reticular enzyme in both cancer models (Figure 4, E and F). Moreover, H6PD activity was reduced by high dose of MET in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Effects of MET and STZ on the main regulators of glucose metabolism. Catalytic activities of HK (Panels A, B), PFK (Panels C, D) and H6PD (Panels E, F) in 4 T1 (left) and CT26 (right) cancers, in untreated groups (solid columns) and STZ-DM groups (striped columns). Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3; *P < .05; **P < .01 vs the corresponding control not treated by MET). Correlation between MRF-H6PD activity (Panel G) and FDG SUV-H6PD activity (Panel H) in 4 T1 (circles, n = 18) and CT26 (squares, n = 18) tumors harvested from either control (solid signs) or STZ-DM (dashed signs) mice; according to the conventional scheme, MET treatment is reported as green (absent), red (low) or blue (high) dose.
cancers harvested from non-diabetic mice while it was virtually not affected by the biguanide in STZ-DM lesions. Thus, H6PD activity mimicked the behavior of MRF. This observation was strongly corroborated by the evidence of a direct, linear, relationship between H6PD enzymatic function and corresponding MRF in both 4 T1 and CT26 lesions regardless the experimental condition (Figure 4G). More importantly, this same correlation was observed when average cancer SUV was considered instead of MRF (Figure 4H).

Overall, FDG uptake rates were not related to the presence or degree of inflammatory infiltrates: immunohistochemistry did not identify significant effects of either MET or STZ-DM on macrophage content (Suppl Figure 3); similarly, the cancer vascularization was unchanged by these treatments (Suppl Figure 4). By contrast, Mercury Orange (MO) staining showed a marked decrease in CT26 specimens hosted by non-diabetic mice treated with MET. This effect was less evident in tumors harvested from STZ-DM models (Figure 5). On the other hand, MET effect in 4 T1 lesions could be appreciated only in control mice treated with the highest drug dose (Figure 5).

**Interference of MET and Glucose on In Vitro FDG Uptake**

The direct competition between high levels of unlabeled glucose and FDG uptake in cancer cells was estimated in vitro by LigandTracer instrument. Doubling glucose concentration in the incubation medium from 5.5 to 11 mM (from 1 to 2 g/L) decreased by fourfold FDG uptake in both cultured 4 T1 and CT26 cells (Figure 6, A and B). Moreover, biguanide effect on FDG accumulation was markedly dependent upon glucose concentration in the medium: MET drastically decreased fractional tracer uptake in both cancer cells incubated with 5.5 mM glucose, while it was virtually ineffective at high glucose level (11 mM) (Figure 6, A and B). Intriguingly, this observation profoundly disagreed with the response of glucose consumption that showed a dose dependent increase to MET exposure regardless glucose availability in both cell types (Figure 6, C and D).

The elusive link between overall glucose consumption and FDG uptake was further corroborated by the large difference in FDG time-activity curves of cancer cells cultured in the presence of glucose or 2DG. Both cell lines showed a measurable FDG uptake in the presence of glucose 5.5 mM, while tracer accumulation was almost abolished by 2DG (Figure 6, E and F). We thus verified whether this different response was a consequence of 2DG toxicity. To this purpose, cell cultures were incubated with either glucose or 2DG (5.5 mM) for 2 hours and thus moved into a hexose-free-medium enriched with FDG alone. Tracer uptake rate was even higher in cells previously exposed to the “false-metabolite” with respect to those cultured in the presence of glucose suggesting a preserved metabolic activity (Figure 6, G and H).

The divergent nature of competition provided by glucose and 2DG on FDG uptake was confirmed by imaging experiments using the 2DG analogue 2-NBDG. In the presence of glucose (5.5 mM), this fluorescence probe was preferentially accumulated within the ER identified by the

**Figure 5. Mercury orange as a fluorogenic probe for measuring anti-oxidant response.** Representative images of MO intensity in untreated (green) or under low (red) or high MET doses (blue) in 4 T1 (Panel A) and CT26 (Panel B) cancers harvested from control and STZ-DM mice. Average MO intensities are expressed in Panels C and D for 4 T1 and CT26, respectively. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3; *P < .05 vs the corresponding control not treated by MET).
glibenclamide staining (Figure 7, A and B). Incubation with the same 2DG concentration profoundly altered this intracellular compartmentalization and decreased the fraction of 2-NBDG containing voxels located within the ER (Figure 7, A–C).

**Discussion**

In the present experimental study, chronic moderate hyperglycemia did not significantly affect cancer FDG uptake, in agreement with current
guidelines that indicate metabolic PET/CT imaging in patients with fasting glucose levels up to 200 mg/dl [7]. This feature at least partially reflects the reduction in tracer sequestration by insulin-dependent normal tissues. Indeed, hyperglycemia was associated with a significant reduction in FDG clearance that protracted blood tracer availability, eventually preserving cancer SUV at the time of conventional image acquisition.

Besides this clinical confirmation, the present data also indicate a slightly reduced avidity for FDG in cancers grown in chronically hyperglycemic environment. This response is paralleled by a loss of sensitivity to MET, whose capability to simultaneously decrease FDG accumulation rate and growth was observed only in cancer hosted by control mice [24,27], while it was virtually absent in STZ-DM ones. This finding agrees with

Figure 7. Effect of incubation with 2DG on colocalization of 2-NBDG and ER signals. Confocal microscopy images of glibenclamide (ER, red), 2-NBDG (green), and merged (white) fluorescence in 4T1 (Panel A) and CT26 (Panel B) cells incubated with glucose (light blue) or 2DG (orange). Nuclear staining (DAPI) is shown (blue). Panel C shows the % of colocalized pixels ± SD. (n = 3; * = P < .05; ** = P < .01 vs corresponding control).
though several studies already used STZ to mimic type-2 diabetes,
the antioxidant response within the cytosol through mechanisms that
suggests that hyperglycemia-related redox stress might preferentially trig-
ger the adherence between G6PD activity and MO staining
by the response to 2DG, whose presence caused a markedly more pro-
gressive redox stress at the same concentration. Since any possible toxicity was ruled out by the resto-
ration of normal FDG uptake immediately after 2DG removal, the high com-
petition between these two hexoses suggests that their common fate might be
not shared by the ‘mother’ substance glucose.

Co-incubation with 2DG also impaired the ER compartmentalization of
the fluorogenic FDG analogue 2-NBDG confirming the role of this intracel-
ular compartment as a preferential accumulation site of de-oxygenated glu-
cose analogues [21–24,38]. In agreement with this concept, effect of both
STZ-DM and MET on FDG uptake was at least partially reproduced by the
behavior of H6PD, the trigger ER PPP [25,26], and by the direct linear rela-
tionship between the catalytic function of this enzyme and FDG uptake that
was observed when all cancer types were considered regardless experimen-
tal group and MET treatment regimens.
The most acknowledged PPP function is the conversion of NADP to
NADPH to support either bio-reductive syntheses of fatty acids and nucleic
acids or antioxidant responses to redox stress. An increased generation of
reactive oxidative species (ROS) in chronically hyperglycemic patients has
been already reported [41,42]. The activation of glutathione dependent
antioxidant responses documented by MO staining in 4 T1 lesions of STZ-
DM tumors was actually paralleled by the behavior of G6PD function.
Both responses were remarkably less evident in CT26 cancers. On the other
hand, the responses of cancer H6PD activity and FDG uptake to STZ-DM were characterized by a matched slight decrease in both cancer types.
Accordingly, the adherence between G6PD activity and MO staining
suggests that hyperglycemia-related redox stress might preferentially trig-
ger the antioxidant response within the cytosol through mechanisms that
cannot be defined on the basis of the present study.

Several limitations of the present study need to be commented.
Although several studies already used STZ to mimic type-2 diabetes
[43–45], the observed hyperglycemia reflects the impaired insulin secre-
tion, while the clinical model implies a simultaneous increase in serum
levels of both glucose and insulin. Accordingly, further studies are needed
to verify the adherence of the response observed in these experimental
models to the clinical setting of diabetes mellitus. Similarly, the study
only included male mice to avoid the resistance to streptozotocin usually
observed in females [43–45]. Accordingly, the relative reduction in lesion
volumes observed in hyperglycemic animals cannot be extended to indicate
a decrease in cancer growth rate in diabetic patients.

Finally, the subcutaneous inoculation prevents an accurate description
of the interference of hyperglycemia and MET on diagnostic accuracy
of FDG imaging colon-rectal cancer. Nevertheless, this procedure permitted us
to characterize the response of CT26 lesions avoiding the confounding
effect of high uptake by normal colonic enterocytes [35] exposed to the
biguanide.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.
or/10.1016/j.tranon.2020.100752.
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