Molecular Genetic Analysis of Drought Stress Response Traits in *Brachypodium* spp.
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**Abstract:** The root is the organ responsible for the uptake of water and therefore has a very important role in drought tolerance. The aims of the present work were to characterize nine traits of the root system architecture (RSA) and the shoot dry weight (W) of twelve genotypes of *Brachypodium* spp. under water stress and to establish the relationship between RSA phenotyping traits and SSRs. Two culture media, one standard (SM) and one (PEG) to induced water stress have been used. In SM medium, *B. stacei* had the highest values of W and all the RSA traits, except the mean diameter of the seminal roots, followed by *B. hybridum* and *B. distachyon*. In the PEG medium, root length increased in *B. distachyon*, decreased in *B. hybridum* and remained the same in *B. stacei*. A two-way hierarchical cluster analysis from 117 polymorphic SSRs and the traits of the RSA of the *Brachypodium* spp. genotypes, was performed. *Brachypodium* genotypes were separated into three groups corresponding to each species. In the second way of the hierarchical clustering association were observed between five RSA variables and SSR markers, which could be useful in the search for genes or QTLs related to RSA characters.
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1. Introduction

The root is the organ responsible for anchoring the plant to the ground and uptake of water and mineral nutrients [1–3]. The spatial and temporal configuration of the roots has been named by Lynch [4] as root system architecture (RSA) and species and genotypes have a genetic predisposition to form different RSA [5–10], although the shape of the RSA can be modified by the environmental conditions in which roots develop [11,12].

Root play an essential role in plant development, allowing not only access to water but also to mineral nutrients for optimal productivity [13]. Therefore, breeding of specific RSA traits should ultimately lead to more resilient cultivars under water scarcity [5,13–15].

One of the challenges that cultivated species in Mediterranean climate regions must face, is the increase in water stress as a consequence of climate change. Therefore, tolerance to drought is critical to obtain a high production of the harvests, specifically those crops cultivated under rainfed conditions [16–20]. To improve the agronomic characteristics of RSA so that plants can better tolerate drought, it is necessary to know their genetic determination and how some traits of RSA, such as root length and slope angle, varies according to environmental conditions. For this, it is necessary to have
genotypes that show variability in the traits analysed [6,7]. However, studies of complex traits such as drought tolerance in cultivated cereals such as oats, durum or soft wheat are difficult to perform due to their polyploid nature and large genome [21], making it difficult to identify the genes involved in the development of RSA and their ability to adapt to abiotic stresses. For this reason, model species are used because it is easier to carry out genetic studies that can later be transferred to species with a more complex genome but with greater economic interest.

Currently, *Brachypodium distachyon* is the model species of temperate cereals because of its small genome (355 Mbp), simple growth requirements, self-fertility, ease of transformation and large number of mutants [22–27]. *B. distachyon* was considered to be formed by three cytotypes with 10, 20, and 30 chromosomes. However, cytogenetic [28,29] and biochemical and molecular studies [29–33] have shown that there are three species: Two diploid *B. distachyon* (2n = 10) and *B. stacei* (2I = 20) and one tetraploid *B. hybridum* (2n = 30), derived from the hybridization and subsequent chromosomal duplication of *distachyon* and *stacei* [27,34,35]. These three species are proposed as a model polyploid speciation of grasses [27,34–36] and may also contribute to the breeding of cultivated polyploid cereals. *B. distachyon* has also been proposed as a model system for the study of the genetic basis of RSA in cereals, for which it is necessary to know the variability that RSA presents in different genotypes and species of the genus *Brachypodium*, and how RSA changes in different environmental conditions [26,36,37].

The objectives of the present work are: (a) To study the interspecific and intraspecific variability of RSA traits and shoot dry weight of *B. distachyon*, *B. stacei*, and *B. hybridum* seedlings, when grown in a standard nutrient solution and under osmotic stress induced by the presence of polyethylene glycol (b) to analyze the relationship between species and genotypes of *Brachypodium* from the study of SSR and RSA traits, in order to find molecular markers related to the characteristics of RSA, which facilitate the identification of genes or QTLs that determine the characteristics of the root.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials

Four genotypes of each of the following species were used: *Brachypodium distachyon* (2n = 10), *B. stacei* (2n = 20), and *B. hybridum* (2n = 30) (Table 1). Eleven of them came from wild populations collected by the group of Dr. C. Soler, and line Bd 21 of *B. distachyon* was kindly provided by Dr. D. F. Garvin (USDA-ARS Plant Science Research Unit, University of Minnesota, MN, USA). Twenty-four seeds per genotype of *Brachypodium* spp. were used.

| Spp. | Genotype | Geographic Origin: Longitude/Latitude | Locality (Spain Province) | Altitude (m.) |
|------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| B. distachyon | Bd21 | 35°56’00”’/2°31’59”’ | Bonillo (Albacete) | 1035 |
| | Bd160 | 38°57’00”’/2°31’59”’ | Jadraque (Guadalajara) | 701 |
| | Bd3113 | 35°56’00”’/2°53’00”’ | Segóbriga (Cuenca)labecetomongtid y latitudic callahnenan im the immature embryos of Brachypodium. in vitro | 830 |
| B. stacei | Bd114 | 37°59’00”’/3°28’00”’ | Baeza (Jaén) | 661 |
| | Bd115 | 38°40’00”’/2°29’00”’ | Alcaraz (Albacete) | 949 |
| | Bd129 | 37°20’00”’/3°47’00”’ | Moclín (Granada) | 1084 |
| | Bd485 | 38°44’18”’/3°13’56”’ | Cabo de la Nao (Alicante) | 42 |
Table 1. Cont.

| Spp.       | Genotype | Geographic Origin: Longitude/Latitude | Locality (Spain Province) | Altitude (m.) |
|------------|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| *B. hybridum* |          |                                       |                           |               |
| Bd217      |          | 37°22′28″/2°14′57″                     | Oria (Almería)            | 829           |
| Bd409      |          | 39°14′56″/1°03′59″                     | Cofrentes (Valecia)       | 452           |
| Bd486      |          | 41°10′40″/−1°28′28″                   | Roda de Barà (Tarragona)  | 23            |
| Bd3107     |          | 37°35′00″/4°35′00″                    | Córdoba (Córdoba)         | 445           |

\[ \bar{X} = 684 \]

\[ \bar{X} = 437.2 \]

2.2. Seed Treatment and Seedling Development

The seeds were immersed in a solution of 1.25% calcium hypochlorite for 15 min, rinsed four times with distilled sterile water and then soaked in distilled water and incubated at 4 °C for 3 days. Then, the seeds were placed in a growth chamber at 22–18 °C and 12 h light/dark photoperiod and maintained for 15 days, using the rhizoslide technique [38] with the modifications described in González et al. [8] and Ruiz et al. [9]. Briefly, for each of the seeds the following assembly was made: a glass plate on which a black cardboard was placed, on which there was a seed and on top of it a filter paper. This construction was covered at the front and at the back with black plastic sheets. This “sandwich” was placed vertically in a box containing the nutritive solution and was watered every two days with 2 mL of the nutrient solution to maintain humidity.

2.3. Culture Solutions

Two culture solutions were used: A control (SM) consisted of the Aniol mineral solution [39], and a hyperosmotic (PEG) contained the SM solution plus polyethylene glycol 6000 at a 12.5% w/v (0.50 atm.) to induce water stress. pH was adjusted to 5.8 in both solutions. To prevent microbial and fungi contamination, solutions were supplemented with 0.5 mL/L Plant Preservative MixtureTM (PPM) from Plant Cell Technology, Inc., Washington, DC, USA.

2.4. Root and Shoot Analysis

After the growing period, the seedlings were extracted from the rhizoslides and the shoot of each seedling was separated from the roots and dried at 60 °C overnight and their dry weights (W) was expressed in mg. The roots of each seedling that developed between the cardboard and the filter paper of the rhizoslides and without altering their spatial location, were scanned at 300 ppi using a Canon “LiDE210” scanner. After manual separation of the roots of each seedling, a second image was obtained. The first image was used to measure the angles of the seminal roots with respect to the vertical. The second image was used to measure the length, mean diameter, volume and surface area of each seminal root, and the number of secondary roots. SmartRoot software v.3.32 [40] and ImageJ1.46R software (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/download.html) were used to make all the measurements.

The following variables were annotated or calculated: Number of seminal roots (NR), number of secondary roots (NRS), total length of seminal roots in cm (L), length of primary root in cm (PL), total area of seminal roots in cm² (S), total volume of seminal roots in cm³ (V), mean diameter of seminal roots in cm (DS), mean of all angles of seminal roots with respect to vertical in degrees (°) (MRA), and minimum angle of seminal root with respect to vertical in degrees (°) (MAV).

2.5. SSR Analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from young leaves of 10 plants of each *Brachypodium* spp. genotype using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) following manufacturer’s instructions. DNA concentration was measured with a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). DNA samples were diluted to a final concentration of 20 ng/µL. Eleven sets of primers from http://www.brachypodium.pw.usda.gov/SSR/ series were used to amplify SSR regions as described in Hammami et al. [33].
Amplification products were separated, and their sizes determined using an ABI 3130 sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Peak Scanner Software v.1.0 was used to align the peaks against the molecular weight standard GS500 (~250) LIZ. The presence or absence of each polymorphic SSR fragment was assessed for each Brachypodium spp. genotype and binary data matrices using “1” for the presence and “0” for the absence was constructed.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Twelve seeds of each of the Brachypodium spp. genotypes were sown in each of the two culture media and distributed in three replicates (4 seedlings per replicate). To compare the means of the RSA and W variables, the least-squares difference test (LSD) or t-Student was used, and the principal component analysis (PCA) of the RSA variables was done using StatGraphics plus v.5.1 software. Two-way hierarchical cluster analysis was performed from the genetic data (SSR markers) and phenotypic (RSA variables) using the JMP® 11.0 statistical software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) with Component analysis procedure [41].

3. Results

3.1. Analysis of the RSA and W in Brachypodium spp.

The nine RSA traits and W of the three Brachypodium species were analyzed taking into account the four genotypes of each. This study showed that B. distachyon was the species with the lowest and statistically different (p < 0.05) values of the RSA variables (except for DS) and W, and B. stacei presented the highest and statistically different (p < 0.05) value for most of the RSA variables, both in the SM and in the PEG medium (Figure 1). LSD tests were conducted for each of the RSA variables and W, when seedlings of each Brachypodium spp. grew in the culture solutions SM or PEG. In the SM medium, the species showed differences in L, PL, DS, S, NRS, and W variables, and in the PEG medium the differences between the three species were limited to L, PL, and NRS (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Box-plot of the RSA variables and W for each Brachypodium species in the two culture media.](image)

L: total root length in cm, S: total surface area of the roots in cm², V: total roots volume in cm³, DS: mean diameter of the seminal roots in cm, PL: primary root length in cm, NR: number of seminal roots, NRS: number of secondary roots, MAV: the minimum seminal root angle with respect to the vertical, MRA: mean of all seminal root angles with respect to the vertical, W: dry weight of the shoots in mg. Different letters indicate statistical significance at p < 0.05 level of probability.
We have analyzed the influence of culture media on *Brachypodium* spp. development, comparing the means of each of the RSA variables and W when the seedlings were developed in SM or PEG solution, by means of the t-Student test (Table 2). Regarding *B. distachyon*, significant differences were observed in L, PL and S variables which have higher values in the PEG solution, and the DS variable was higher in the SM solution. *B. stacei* does not present statistically significant differences in any of the variables of the RSA and the W. In *B. hybridum*, the variables V, DS, NRS, and W showed higher and statistically significant values in the SM medium compared to PEG.

Table 2. Mean of RSA variables and W in SM and PEG solutions for each *Brachypodium* species. Different letters indicate statistical significance at p < 0.05 level of probability.

| spp. | Cult. sol. | L | S | V × 10⁻³ | DS × 10⁻² | PL | NR | NRS | MAV | MRA | W × 10⁻³ |
|------|------------|---|---|---------|-----------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| Bd   | SM         | 6.81 | 0.77  | 7.5  | 3.6  | 6.1 | 1.06 | 0.16 | 5.62 | 6.12 | 1.1  |
|      | a          | a  | a  | a  | b  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  |
|      | PEG        | 10.27 | 0.97  | 8.1  | 3.1  | 7.92 | 1.10 | 0.25 | 5.32 | 7.28 | 1.1  |
|      | b          | b  | a  | a  | b  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  |
| Bs   | SM         | 18.54 | 1.36  | 10.1 | 2.8  | 13.33 | 1.43 | 10.5 | 6.12 | 7.83 | 2.2  |
|      | a          | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  |
|      | PEG        | 19.52 | 1.61  | 11.99 | 2.7  | 11.76 | 1.66 | 5.17 | 6.37 | 8.61 | 1.5  |
|      | a          | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  |
| Bh   | SM         | 13.95 | 1.13  | 9.5  | 3.04 | 12.11 | 1.02 | 8.78 | 5.54 | 5.64 | 1.6  |
|      | a          | a  | a  | b  | b  | a  | a  | b  | a  | a  | b  |
|      | PEG        | 11.41 | 0.91  | 6.7  | 2.71 | 10.41 | 1.10 | 3.13 | 5.13 | 5.83 | 1.00 |
|      | a          | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  | a  |

L: total root length in cm, S: total surface area of the roots in cm², V: total roots volume in cm³, DS: mean diameter of the seminal roots in cm, PL: primary root length in cm, NR: number of seminal roots, NRS: number of secondary roots, MAV: the minimum seminal root angle with respect to the vertical, MRA: mean of all seminal root angles with respect to the vertical, W: dry weight of the shoots in mg.

To study the relationship between the traits of the RSA in both culture media a principal component analysis (PCA) was performed. The first principal component (PC1) explained 65.4% of the variance and is negatively correlated with DS, the second (PC2) explained 23.6% of the variance and is positively correlated with PL, NR, and NRS. Figure 2 shows the biplot of the first two PCs and shows a clear separation between the three species of *Brachypodium* according to RSA characteristics, regardless of the culture medium used for seedling development.

**Figure 2.** Biplot of the two first principal components, showing trait vectors of the root system architecture (RSA), and the location of the *Brachypodium* spp. in both culture media. DP: mean diameter of the seminal roots, L: total root length, MAV: the minimum seminal root angle with respect to the vertical, MRA: mean of all seminal root angles with respect to the vertical, NR: number of seminal roots, NRS: number of secondary roots, PL: primary root length, S: total surface area of the roots, V: total roots volume (V).
It was analyzed whether the four genotypes of each of the three *Brachypodium* species presented intragenotypic differences for the RSA and W variables in the SM and PEG media, comparing the mean values of each of the variables by means of LSD tests. The greatest intragenotypic variability in RSA and W variables were observed in *B. stacei*, followed by *B. hybridum* and *B. distachyon* (Table 3).

Table 3. Means of the RSA variables and W respect to the genotype of *Brachypodium* species, in each culture media. Different letters indicate statistical significance at $p < 0.05$ level of probability.

| Cult. Sol. | Genot. L | S | V $\times 10^{-3}$ | DS $\times 10^{-2}$ | PL | NR | NRS | MAV | MRA | W $\times 10^{-3}$ |
|------------|----------|---|-------------------|-------------------|----|----|------|------|------|------------------|
| SM         | 21       | 6.92 | 0.71 | 6.96 | 3.60 | 4.79 | 1.5 | 0.17 | 8.96 | 10.64 | 1.2 |
|            | a a      | a a | a a   | a a   | a b | a b | b b  | b c  |       |       |     |
|            | 160      | 7.27 | 0.90 | 9.45 | 3.81 | 7.27 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 4.01 | 4.01  | 1.2 |
|            | a a      | a a | a a   | a b   | ab  | a a | a a  | c    |       |       |     |
|            | 700      | 6.02 | 0.69 | 6.63 | 3.64 | 5.76 | 1.08 | 0    | 5.42 | 5.71  | 0.78 |
|            | a a      | a a | a a   | a ab  | ab  | a a | a a  | a    |       |       |     |
|            | 3113     | 7.02 | 0.78 | 7.26 | 3.48 | 6.66 | 1.08 | 0.17 | 4.10 | 4.14  | 1.1 |
|            | a a      | a a | a a   | a ab  | ab  | a a | a a  | b    |       |       |     |
| PEG        | 21       | 10.38 | 1.13 | 10.6 | 3.49 | 7.02 | 1.6 | 0.00 | 8.53 | 11.89 | 1.1 |
|            | a a      | b a | b a   | b a   | b a | a c | b a  | a    |       |       |     |
|            | 160      | 10.25 | 0.82 | 5.72 | 2.58 | 8.50 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 3.75 | 4.65  | 1.1 |
|            | a a      | a a | a a   | a ab  | a ab| a a | a a  | a    |       |       |     |
|            | 700      | 10.96 | 1.05 | 8.65 | 3.11 | 8.48 | 1.42 | 0.50 | 6.12 | 8.78  | 1.1 |
|            | a a      | ab  | a ab  | a ab  | a bc| a ab| ab   | a    |       |       |     |
|            | 3113     | 9.51 | 0.88 | 7.39 | 3.11 | 7.66 | 1.58 | 0.50 | 2.88 | 3.79  | 1.2 |
|            | a a      | ab  | a ab  | a ab  | a a | a a | a a  | a    |       |       |     |
| SM         | 114      | 17.00 | 1.33 | 10.1 | 2.78 | 10.53 | 2.08 | 8.08 | 11.29 | 16.78 | 2.1 |
|            | a a      | ab  | a ab  | a ab  | a b | b b | b b  | ab   |       |       |     |
|            | 115      | 12.17 | 0.96 | 7.27 | 2.91 | 12.15 | 1.33 | 0.33 | 2.04 | 2.04  | 1.6 |
|            | a a      | a a | a a   | a a   | ab  | a a | a a  | a    |       |       |     |
|            | 129      | 15.25 | 1.20 | 9.26 | 2.87 | 12.49 | 1.08 | 10.08 | 5.35 | 5.35  | 1.9 |
|            | a a      | a b | a a   | a b   | ab  | a ab| ab   | ab   |       |       |     |
|            | 485      | 29.76 | 1.97 | 14.1 | 2.60 | 18.16 | 1.58 | 23.50 | 5.81 | 7.16  | 3.3 |
|            | b b      | b b | b a   | b a   | c ab| ab  | ab   | b    |       |       |     |
| Bs         | 114      | 21.22 | 1.48 | 9.69 | 2.43 | 11.06 | 1.92 | 11.08 | 9.00 | 12.16 | 1.5 |
|            | b c      | b b | b a   | b a   | ab  | a bc| b b  | b    |       |       |     |
|            | 115      | 10.80 | 0.80 | 5.47 | 2.61 | 10.77 | 1.33 | 0.33 | 3.00 | 3.00  | 0.82 |
|            | a a      | a a | a ab  | a ab  | a a | a a | a a  | a    |       |       |     |
|            | 129      | 14.22 | 1.17 | 8.66 | 2.81 | 11.60 | 1.25 | 3.67 | 3.81 | 3.93  | 1.2 |
|            | a b      | b b | bc a  | a b   | ab  | a a | a a  | b    |       |       |     |
|            | 485      | 31.82 | 2.98 | 24.1 | 3.02 | 13.63 | 2.5 | 5.58 | 9.66 | 14.36 | 2.6 |
|            | c d      | c c | c b   | c b   | b b | c b | b b  | c    |       |       |     |
Table 3. Cont.

| Cult. Sol. | Genot. | L | S | V × 10⁻³ | DS × 10⁻² | PL | NR | NRS | MAV | MRA | W × 10⁻³ |
|------------|--------|---|---|---------|---------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|---------|
|            |        | c | c | 12.63   | 0.87    | ab | a  | a   | a   | a   | 0.79    |
| SM         | 217    |   |   | 19.13   | 1.65    | a  | b  | c   | b   | b   | 1.8     |
|            | 409    | a | a | 15.22   | 1.18    | a  | a  | a   | a   | a   | 1.8     |
|            | 486    | a | a | 11.26   | 0.85    | a  | a  | a   | a   | c   | 1.3     |
|            | 3107   | a | a | 10.21   | 0.85    | a  | a  | a   | a   | a   | 1.3     |
| Bh         |        |   |   | 12.63   | 0.87    | a  | a  | a   | a   | a   | 0.79    |
| PEG        | 217    | c | c | 12.63   | 0.87    | ab | a  | a   | a   | a   | 0.79    |
|            | 409    | a | a | 9.67    | 0.85    | a  | a  | a   | a   | b   | 0.96    |
|            | 486    | a | a | 10.16   | 0.80    | a  | a  | a   | a   | bc  | 1.1     |
|            | 3107   | a | a | 13.17   | 1.13    | b  | b  | b   | b   | c   | 1.2     |

L: total root length in cm, S: total surface area of the roots in cm², V: total roots volume in cm³, DS: mean diameter of the seminal roots in cm, PL: primary root length in cm, NR: number of seminal roots, NRS: number of secondary roots, MAV: the minimum seminal root angle with respect to the vertical, MRA: mean of all seminal root angles with respect to the vertical, W: dry weight of the shoots in mg.

3.2. Analysis of SSR and RSA

The total number of variable SSR fragments detected was 117. A presence/absence matrix of each fragment was constructed (Table S1). A consensus SSRs matrix was also created by gathering all the data from the 4 genotypes of each Brachypodium species. A two-way hierarchical cluster analysis was performed for the 12 genotypes of Brachypodium ssp., from the 117 polymorphic SSR molecular markers plus the mean values of the 9 RSA variables obtained in both culture media. According to this analysis, the genotypes of the three species were separated into three main groups. The first group included the genotypes of B. distachyon, the second group contained the genotypes of B. hybridum and the third group contained the genotypes of B. stacei (Figure 3). In the second way of the hierarchical clustering (traits clustering), the grouping of some RSA variables with some SSR markers has been observed. Thus, the variables L, S, NRS, NR, and ssr3-6, ssr21-10 and ssr17-2 are included in the same group. A second group included the PL variable and the markers ssr-13-3, ssr13-4, ssr19-5, ssr19-8, and ssr5-11.
Figure 3. Two-way hierarchical clustering of the *Brachypodium* ssp. genotypes by JMP cluster analysis of distance similarities bases on RSA variables and SSR markers (ssr-). DS: mean diameter of the seminal roots, L: total root length, MAV: the minimum seminal root angle with respect to the vertical, MRA: mean of all seminal root angles with respect to the vertical, NR: number of seminal roots, NRS: number of secondary roots, PL: primary root length, S: total surface area of the roots, V: total root volume.
4. Discussion

4.1. RSA Traits and Shoot Dry Weight Diversity in Brachypodium spp.

We analyzed in three species of genus *Brachypodium* nine traits of the RSA and the shoot dry weight of seedlings of 15 days old, grown in two culture solutions: A control (SM) and a hyperosmotic (PEG) to induce water stress. This early phase of plant development is a critical time point because the plant begins to explore the soil in order to uptake water and mineral resources [37,42]. Our results showed differences in the RSA of the three species in both media, and the relationships between RSA traits observed by the PCA analysis allowed the three species to be separated along the firsts two PCs (Figure 2). Thus, *B. distachyon* is the species that has the smallest values for all the variables of the RSA except for the mean diameter of the seminal roots. *B. stacei* had higher values than *B. hybridum* in all the variables of the RSA although the differences were only statistically significant in some cases, probably because there is great intraspecific variability especially in *B. stacei* (Figure 1).

4.2. Drought Influence in RSA Traits and Shoot Dry Weight in Brachypodium spp.

We analyzed if drought stress influences the development of *Brachypodium* ssp., comparing the RSA traits and shoot dry weight that seedlings developed in SM and PEG media. Previous work in wheat showed that water stress reduces the mass of the aerial part and the roots [14]. In the present work, we observed that each species showed a different development of the RSA according to the culture medium used. Thus, *B. distachyon* developed a higher value of total root length in cm, primary root length and total surface area of the roots and a lower value of mean diameter of the seminal roots in the PEG medium than in the SM medium, while the value of shoot dry weight showed no significant difference between the two media. Concerning *B. stacei*, no differences were observed in the values reaching the RSA variables and the shoot dry weight values in SM and PEG media, while *B. hybridum* showed a reduction in root development and shoot weight in the PEG medium (Table 2). This result appears to be contrary to the general idea that polyploids are better adapted to the most adverse environmental conditions and therefore grow at higher altitudes or in drier conditions [43]. However, these differences in seedling development may reflect adaptation to different ecological requirements as *B. distachyon* grows in higher altitudes (Table 1) and drier areas, while *B. hybridum* grows in lower and warmer areas and *B. stacei* occupies intermediate areas [33,44].

The three species studied have a practical interest in soil protection and in improving water infiltration into the soil and are currently being applied in woody agricultural plantations such as olive groves, nut crops and vineyards [45,46]. Our results indicate that *B. distachyon* and *B. stacei* would be better adapted to soils in which water deficit could occur because, in the PEG nutritive solution, *B. stacei* maintains its development and *B. distachyon* responds by increasing the size of its root system. In contrast, *B. hybridum* is affected by water stress that reduces total root volume, mean diameter of the seminal roots, number of secondary roots, and primary root length, which could decrease its ability to capture water from the deeper areas of the soil. In this last species, shoot dry weight is also diminished, affecting the development of the aerial part of the plant. However, these general results must be nuanced in view of the intraspecific variability that has been found for the RSA and the shoot dry weight. For instance, Bh3107 increases total root length, primary root length, total surface area of the roots and total root volume in PEG solution with respect to SM (Table 3) and it could better tolerate water deficit than the other three *B. hybridum* genotypes analyzed.

4.3. Association between RSA Traits and SSRs.

Based on the study of the variability of RSA traits and the 117 SSR polymorphic markers of the 12 *Brachypodium* genotypes analyzed, a two-way hierarchical cluster has been performed, that shows a greater similarity between *B. distachyon* and *B. hybridum* (Figure 3), which is consistent with the results obtained previously when studying the endosperm reserve proteins and molecular markers [31,33–35]. Furthermore, it should be noted that the intraspecific differences are of great interest in unravelling
the genetic basis of RSA traits by selecting genotypes to cross them and analyzing the segregation obtained. In this sense, the second cluster obtained in the hierarchical analysis (traits clustering) shows the association of some SSR markers with some characteristic of RSA (Figure 3). These results are very interesting since they can be used in future work for the identification of genes or QTLs related with the RSA, as has already been done in other species [20,47–49].

5. Conclusions

The present study shows that the three species of Brachypodium have seedlings with a different RSA and shoot dry weight. B. stacei developed the largest root system and shoot dry weight, followed by B. hybridum and B. distachyon. B. stacei had a similar development in SM and PEG culture media, B. hybridum reduced root development in PEG solution, and B. distachyon increased the root system in PEG medium. Nevertheless, the intraspecific variability found in the three species indicate that each genotype could develop different RSA and have different behaviors upon drought.

The analysis of the variables of RSA and SSR allows us to know the relationship between the genotypes of the three species of Brachypodium. In addition, the association between SSR and RSA traits can help to understand the genetic basis of RSA in Brachypodium and the results obtained can be applied to other temperate cereal species with large genomes but of greater economic interest.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4395/10/4/518/s1, Table S1: Matrix of presence/absence of 117 SSRs analysed in the twelve genotypes of the three Brachypodium species.
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