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ABSTRACT

We present $\sim 3''$ resolution maps of CO, its isotopologues, and HCN from in the center of Maffei 2. The $J = 1-0$ rotational lines of $^{12}$CO, $^{13}$CO, C$^{18}$O and HCN, and the $J = 2-1$ lines of $^{13}$CO and C$^{18}$O were observed with the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO) and Berkeley-Illinois-Maryland Association (BIMA) arrays. The lower opacity CO isotopologues give more reliable constraints on H$_2$ column densities and physical conditions than optically thick $^{12}$CO. The $J = 2-1/0-0$ line ratios of the isotopologues constrain the bulk of the molecular gas to originate in low-excitation, subthermal gas. From large velocity gradient (LVG) modeling, we infer that the central giant molecular clouds (GMCs) have $n_{H_2} \sim 10^{2.75}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $T_K \sim 30$ K. Continuum emission at 3.4, 2.7, and 1.4 mm was mapped to determine the distribution and amount of H II regions and dust. Column densities derived from C$^{18}$O and 1.4 mm dust continuum fluxes indicate the standard Galactic conversion factor overestimates the amount of molecular gas in the center of Maffei 2 by factors of $\sim 2-4$. Gas morphology and the clear “parallelogram” in the position-velocity diagram shows that molecular gas orbits within the potential of a nuclear ($\sim 220$ pc) bar. The nuclear bar is distinct from the bar that governs the large-scale morphology of Maffei 2. Giant molecular clouds in the nucleus are nanspherical and have large line widths, due to tidal effects. Dense gas and star formation are concentrated at the sites of the $x_1$-$x_2$-orbit intersections of the nuclear bar, suggesting that the starburst is dynamically triggered.

Subject headings: galaxies: individual (Maffei 2) — galaxies: ISM — galaxies: nuclei — galaxies: starburst — radio lines: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

Concentrations of molecular gas are common in the centers of large spiral galaxies, often in the form of nuclear bars. Bars represent likely mechanisms by which rapid angular momentum loss and gas inflow concentrate gas in the centers of galaxies (e.g., Sakamoto et al. 1999; Sheth et al. 2005; Knapen 2005). Secondary nuclear bars can be important in controlling the dynamics of the innermost few hundred parsecs of galaxies (e.g., Shlosman et al. 1989; Friedli & Martinet 1993; Heller et al. 2001; Maciejewski et al. 2002; Shlosman & Heller 2002; Englmaier & Shlosman 2004). In addition to being a avenue by which nuclear gas and stellar masses are built up, these bars can also significantly influence the physical and chemical properties of molecular clouds within them (e.g., Meier & Turner 2001, 2004, 2005; Petitpas & Wilson 2003).

We have observed the two lowest rotational lines of $^{13}$CO and C$^{18}$O, plus new high-resolution images of $^{12}$CO(1-0) and HCN(1-0) in the nearby galaxy, Maffei 2, with the Owens Valley Millimeter Observatory (OVRO) and the Berkeley-Illinois-Maryland Association (BIMA) arrays. Because they are optically thin, or nearly so, CO isotopologues more directly trace the entire molecular column density than does optically thick $^{12}$CO (hereafter “$^{12}$CO”). In addition, ratios among isotopologues are more sensitive to changes in density and temperature throughout the clouds. HCN, which has a higher critical density than CO, constrains the dense molecular cloud component. Here we aim to characterize the properties of molecular clouds in the center of this barred galaxy and to connect those properties with the dynamics of the nucleus.

Maffei 2 is one of the closest large spirals ($D \approx 3.3$ Mpc, § 2; Table 1), but lies hidden behind more than 5 mag of Galactic visual extinction (Maffei 1968). Maffei 2, a disturbed, strongly barred spiral galaxy (Hurt et al. 1993a; Buta & McCall 1999), has an asymmetric H I disk and tidal arms that suggest a recent interaction with a small satellite (Hurt et al. 1996). The interaction may be responsible for the bright nuclear CO emission (e.g., Rickard et al. 1977; Ishiguro et al. 1989; Mason & Wilson 2004) and active nuclear star formation of $L_{OB} \approx 1.7 \times 10^{5} L_\odot$ (Turner & Ho 1994 corrected for distance).

2. DISTANCE TO MAFFEI 2

Galactic extinction complicates distance determinations for this nearby ($V_{LSR} = -30$ km s$^{-1}$) galaxy. Some have suggested that Maffei 2 is close enough to have a significant dynamical influence on the Local Group (Buta & McCall 1983; Zheng et al. 1991). Estimated distances to the members of the IC 342/Maffei 2 group range from 1.7–5.3 Mpc (Buta & McCall 1983; McCall 1989; Luppino & Tonry 1993; Karachentsev & Tikhonov 1993, 1994; Krizsmer et al. 1995; Karachentsev et al. 1997; Ivanov et al. 1999; Davidson & van den Bergh 2001). Closer distances ($D_{Mpc} \sim 2$) tend to come from the Faber-Jackson relationship and the brightest supergiants method (Buta & McCall 1983; Karachentsev & Tikhonov 1993, 1994; Karachentsev et al. 1997), while the farther distances ($D_{Mpc} \sim 4-5$) come from Tully-Fisher relations on Maffei 2 and surface brightness fluctuations methods toward its companion Maffei 1 (Hurt 1993; Luppino & Tonry 1993; Krizsmer et al. 1995; Ivanov et al. 1999). In several cases, the same method gives wide ranges of distances for different galaxies within the same group, suggesting the group is (likely) spatially extended.
bandwidth continuum channels at 3.4, 2.7 and 1.4 mm were also recorded. 3C 84 and 0224+671 were used to control instrumental amplitudes and phases. Absolute fluxes were calibrated using Neptune, Uranus, and 3C 273 as standards, with additional observations of 3C 84 and 3C 454.3 as consistency checks. Absolute flux calibration should be good to 10%−15% for the 3 mm data and 20%−25% for the 1 mm data, and internally consistent between each transition.

We have also obtained high-resolution (~3') observations of the 12CO(1−0) transition with the 10 element BIMA Array (Welch et al. 1996). Phase calibration was done with 0224+671 and the ultracompact H ii region W3(OH) was used for flux calibration. Each OVRO track includes at least two phase centers separated by less than the FWHM power points of the primary beams of the dishes (Table 2). The pointings were naturally weighted and mosaiced using the MIRIAD. Quoted noise levels are the rms from line-free channels of the spectral cube halfway between the map centers and edges. The true noise level is slightly lower (~10%) at the phase centers and somewhat higher toward the edge of the primary beams due to the mosaicing. Subsequent data analysis was done with the NRAO AIPS.

Since interferometers act as spatial filters, it is important that emission maps be compared have similar (u, v) coverage, including minimum baselines. For the 3 mm lines observed with OVRO, the (u, v) coverage is very similar. For the J = 2−1 lines at λ = 1 mm, the (u, v) coverage is consistent [C18O(1−0) and C18O(2−1) were observed simultaneously], but scaled up by a factor of 2 from their 3 mm counterparts [(u, v) = B_{pos}/2; projected baselines in the east−west and north−south directions, respectively]. The 2−1 transitions were tapered to match the (u, v) range of the corresponding 1−0 transition. For the OVRO data sets, the minimum (1−0) [(2−1)] baselines are (u, v)_{min} ≈ 5.5 kλ [11 kλ]. Thus, the images are insensitive to emission on spatial scales ≥35''−40'' (~500−600 pc) for 110 GHz and ≥20''
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### Table 1

| Parameter                  | Value       | Reference |
|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Revised Hubble Class...... | SB0(s) pec  | 1         |
| Dynamical Centre........... | α(2000.0) = 02°41'34.90 ± 0.15" | 3         |
| δ(2000.0) = +59°36'14.6"(4 ± 2)" | 3         |
| l, b......................... | 136.5°, 3.0° | 1         |
| v_{los}........................ | −30 km s^{-1} | 3         |
| Adopted Distance........... | 3.3 Mpc     | 4         |
| Inclination Angle........... | 67°         | 3         |
| Position Angle................ | 206°        | 3         |
| M(H i)...................... | 4.0 × 10^{9} M_{\odot} | 3         |
| M(H2)...................... | 8.5 × 10^{9} M_{\odot} | 5         |

a Corrected for adopted distance.

b Corrected for adopted distance and assumed CO conversion factor.

### Table 2

| Transition    | ν (GHz) | T_{B} (K) | Δν_{d}un (km s^{-1}) | ν_{band} (MHz) | Beam Size (arcsec, deg) | Noise Level (mK/mJy beam^{-1}) | Det. Flux (%) |
|---------------|---------|-----------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| HCN(1−0)      | 88.63   | 300−410   | 13.53                  | 128            | 3.8 × 3.3; −29          | 120/10                       | 65            |
| 12CO(1−0)     | 110.20  | 230−430   | 2.72                   | 128            | 3.9 × 3.4; −76          | 77/10                        | 92            |
| 13CO(2−1)     | 220.40  | 500−1000  | 2.72                   | 128            | 3.3 × 2.9; −76          | 75/28                        | ~50           |
| C18O(1−0)     | 109.78  | 240−430   | 10.92                  | 128            | 2.6 × 2.2; −84          | 130/7                        | ...           |
| C18O(2−1)     | 219.56  | 300−1000  | 5.46                   | 128            | 1.7 × 1.5; −62          | 150/32                       | ...           |
| 3.4 mm\textsuperscript{b}   | 88.92   | 300−410   | ...                    | 1000           | 2.5 × 2.5; 0           | 17/0.67                      | ...           |
| 2.7 mm\textsuperscript{b}   | 109.5   | 230−430   | ...                    | 1000           | 3.9 × 3.4; −76          | 3/0.50                       | ...           |
| 1.4 mm\textsuperscript{b}   | 219.3   | 300−1000  | ...                    | 1000           | 1.7 × 1.5; −62          | 25/2.5                       | ...           |

### Notes

a The percentage of the single dish−integrated intensity detected by the interferometers. Single dish−integrated intensities come from CO(1−0) and 13CO(1−0):

Weilachew et al. (1988); HCN(1−0): Nguyen-Q-Rieu et al. (1992); and 12CO(2−1): Wildt et al. (1992).

b Phase Center 1: ν_{FR} = −30 km s^{-1} (α, δ)_{1950.0} = (02°41'38.00", +59°48'22.0")

c Phase Center 2: ν_{FR} = −28 km s^{-1} (α, δ)_{1950.0} = (02°42'28.00", +59°49'43.0")

d Phase Center 3: ν_{FR} = −15 km s^{-1} (α, δ)_{2000.0} = (02°41'50.31", +59°35'43.2")

### MANIFEST 2 Basic Data

| Parameter | Value       | Reference |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Position Angle | 206°        | 3         |
| Inclination Angle | 67°         | 3         |
| M(H i) | 4.0 × 10^{9} M_{\odot} | 3         |
| M(H2) | 8.5 × 10^{9} M_{\odot} | 5         |

a Corrected for adopted distance.

b Corrected for adopted distance and assumed CO conversion factor.

### Observational Data

#### OVRO

| Transition | ν (GHz) | T_{B} (K) | Δν_{d}un (km s^{-1}) | ν_{band} (MHz) | Beam Size (arcsec, deg) | Noise Level (mK/mJy beam^{-1}) | Det. Flux (%) |
|------------|---------|-----------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| HCN(1−0)   | 88.63   | 300−410   | 13.53                  | 128            | 3.8 × 3.3; −29          | 120/10                       | 65            |
| 12CO(1−0)  | 110.20  | 230−430   | 2.72                   | 128            | 3.9 × 3.4; −76          | 77/10                        | 92            |
| 13CO(2−1)  | 220.40  | 500−1000  | 2.72                   | 128            | 3.3 × 2.9; −76          | 75/28                        | ~50           |
| C18O(1−0)  | 109.78  | 240−430   | 10.92                  | 128            | 2.6 × 2.2; −84          | 130/7                        | ...           |
| C18O(2−1)  | 219.56  | 300−1000  | 5.46                   | 128            | 1.7 × 1.5; −62          | 150/32                       | ...           |
| 3.4 mm\textsuperscript{b} | 88.92   | 300−410   | ...                    | 1000           | 2.5 × 2.5; 0           | 17/0.67                      | ...           |
| 2.7 mm\textsuperscript{b} | 109.5   | 230−430   | ...                    | 1000           | 3.9 × 3.4; −76          | 3/0.50                       | ...           |
| 1.4 mm\textsuperscript{b} | 219.3   | 300−1000  | ...                    | 1000           | 1.7 × 1.5; −62          | 25/2.5                       | ...           |

#### BIMA

| Transition | ν (GHz) | T_{B} (K) | Δν_{d}un (km s^{-1}) | ν_{band} (MHz) | Beam Size (arcsec, deg) | Noise Level (mK/mJy beam^{-1}) | Det. Flux (%) |
|------------|---------|-----------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 12CO(1−0)  | 115.27  | 380−1300  | 4.07                   | 172            | 3.2 × 3.1; −14          | 1400/0.15                    | 105           |
contour levels of 0.70 Jy beam\(^{-1}\) km s\(^{-1}\) (5.4 K km s\(^{-1}\), \(\sim 3\) \(\sigma\)).
(c) \(^{13}\)CO(1\(-0\)), with contour levels of 0.70 Jy beam\(^{-1}\) km s\(^{-1}\) (5.4 K km s\(^{-1}\), \(\sim 3\) \(\sigma\)).
(d) HCN(1\(-0\)) with contour levels of 0.70 Jy beam\(^{-1}\) km s\(^{-1}\) (8.3 K km s\(^{-1}\), \(\sim 2\) \(\sigma\)).
(e) \(^{13}\)CO(2\(-1\)) with contour levels of 2.5 Jy beam\(^{-1}\) km s\(^{-1}\) (4.8 K km s\(^{-1}\), \(\sim 3\) \(\sigma\)).
(f) \(^{18}\)O\(^{18}\)O(2\(-1\)) with contour levels of 2.5 Jy beam\(^{-1}\) km s\(^{-1}\) (4.9 K km s\(^{-1}\), \(\sim 2\) \(\sigma\)).

All transitions have been convolved to the resolution of the \(^{13}\)CO(1\(-0\)) transitions (3.9\(^{\prime\prime}\) \(\times\) 3.4\(^{\prime\prime}\)), shown in panel (a).

\(~300\) pc for 220 GHz. For the \(^{12}\)CO(1\(-0\)) transition, observed at BIMA, \(uv\)-coverage is similar to the OVRO 3 mm \(uv\)-coverage \([(u, v)\_{\text{min}} \sim 5.4 \text{ k}\Lambda]\).

We have estimated the amount of flux resolved out of each map due to missing short spacings. Single dish spectra of \(^{12}\)CO(1\(-0\)), \(^{13}\)CO(1\(-0\)), \(^{13}\)CO(2\(-1\)), and HCN(1\(-0\)) exist for Maffei 2 in the literature (Table 2.). The interferometer map for each line was convolved to the beam size of the single dish and then sampled at the same pointing center. Within uncertainties, all of the flux of the CO(1\(-0\)) and \(^{13}\)CO(1\(-0\)) lines is detected. The \(^{13}\)CO(2\(-1\)) and HCN(1\(-0\)) maps recover \(~60\)% of the single dish flux, although the single dish \(^{13}\)CO(2\(-1\)) flux measurement is rather uncertain (Wild et al. 1992). We expect the interferometer to recover fractions of \(^{18}\)O\(^{18}\)O flux similar to the corresponding \(^{13}\)CO lines.

To generate integrated-intensity maps, a mask was made by convolving the channel maps to \(10^{\prime}\) resolution, then blanking regions of emission \(<2\) \(\sigma\). This mask was then used to blank out nonsignal portions for the full-resolution channel cube. Velocities from \(-160\) km s\(^{-1}\) to \(100\) km s\(^{-1}\) were integrated, including emission \(>1.2\) \(\sigma\) in the full-resolution channel maps. For the line ratio maps, the integrated intensity maps were convolved with an elliptical Gaussian to the beam size of the lowest resolution maps \([^{13}\text{CO}(1\(-0\)) and \(^{18}\)O\(^{18}\)O(1\(-0\))]. This gives a resolution of \(~3.9^{\prime\prime}\) for the line ratio maps. Regions of emission \(<3\) \(\sigma\) in either line \([2\) \(\sigma\) for the \(^{18}\)O\(^{18}\)O(2\(-1\))/\(^{18}\)O\(^{18}\)O(1\(-0\)) map] were blanked in making the ratio maps. Because of the uncertainties in fluxes and absolute positions, the ratio maps are estimated to be accurate to \(~35\%\)–\(40\%\) in magnitude, and \(~2^{\prime\prime}\) in position (excluding possible systematic errors associated with differences in resolved-out flux).

Since Maffei 2 is within \(1^{\circ}\) of the Galactic plane, and at essentially zero redshift, we have to consider the possibility of contamination from Galactic CO. Galactic HI emission significantly affects VLA images of Maffei 2 in the velocity range \(-70\) to \(0\) km s\(^{-1}\) (Hurt et al. 1996), with the strongest absorption at \(-40\) km s\(^{-1}\). Galactic HI emission is more widespread than CO emission, however, and what CO emission there is near Maffei 2 has very narrow lines (\(<\text{few} \text{ km s}^{-1}\), based on our spectra from the late NRAO 12 m Telescope.) Inspection of our channel maps reveals no obvious evidence of Galactic CO emission, and so we disregard it.

4. MOLECULAR GAS IN THE CENTER OF MAFFEI 2: OVERVIEW

4.1. Gas Morphology

CO emission in Maffei 2 takes the form of two prominent and highly inclined arms (Ishiguro et al. 1989), which form the molecular bar, as shown in the integrated intensity maps of Figure 1. The emission extends roughly \(1.5^{\prime}\) (1440 kpc) along the major axis (Table 3.). The brightest CO emission emerges from clouds within the central \(15^{\prime\prime}\) (\(~240\) pc) of the galaxy.

A higher (\(2^{\prime}\), \(~30\) pc) resolution, uniformly weighted image of CO(1\(-0\)) (Fig. 2a) shows that the bright CO peaks resolve...
into giant molecular clouds (GMCs). The central two CO peaks become a nuclear ring of radius \( \sim 5'' \), or \( \sim 80 \) pc about the dynamical center. The eastern side of this ring is brighter in CO(1–0), but \(^{13}\)CO(1–0) remains rather uniform. The molecular arms are roughly linear features running northeast and southwest, terminating at the central ring. Peak observed brightness temperatures, \( T_{\text{mb}} \), reach \( \gtrsim 31 \) K and are typically \( \gtrsim 10 \) K across much of the arms.

Cloud properties (size, line width, temperature, mass) are derived for the brightest molecular clouds in Maffei 2, using the uniformly weighted CO(1–0) image (Fig. 2c). Following Meier & Turner (2001, 2004; see also our Table 4), a molecular cloud is defined as a region of spatially and spectrally localized emission greater than 2 \( \sigma \) in two adjacent channels, but need not necessarily be a gravitationally bound entity. Each cloud was fit from channel maps that include only the gas over its localized velocity range. Clumps separated by 1 beamwidth or less are considered the same GMC. Cloud complexes are labeled A–H based on their locations in the lower resolution maps; subclumps resolved in the higher resolution image are numbered.

Most of the GMCs are resolved along at least one axis, with sizes of \( \sim 40–110 \) pc (Table 4). The clouds are significantly elongated, with axial ratios often greater than 2. Typical position angles of the clouds, \( 20^\circ–60^\circ \), are very similar to the sky plane position angle of the bar, \( \sim 40^\circ \). This is not an artifact of the beam shape, since the beam is elongated perpendicular to the bar. Nor is the elongation due to an underlying smooth gas component along the bar, since fits come only from maps localized in velocity. If the elongation of the clouds is a foreshortening effect due to the high inclination of Maffei 2 (67°; Table 1), then the GMCs must be flattened perpendicular to the plane of the galaxy, that is, disklike, rather than spherical. However, since similar elongations are observed in the molecular clouds along the bar in the nucleus of the face-on galaxy IC 342 (Meier & Turner 2001), we consider cloud elongation along the bar more likely. The shapes of the nuclear GMCs are clearly affected by their location within the bar.

With the exception of two small GMCs (D2 and H1), cloud line widths are \( \gtrsim 50 \) km s\(^{-1}\) FWHM and approach \( \sim 100 \) km s\(^{-1}\) in a couple of locations. If these clouds were in virial equilibrium, then their individual masses would be in excess of \( 10^7 \) M\(_{\odot}\). However, these clouds are very unlikely to be in virial equilibrium (\( \S\) 6). This is further demonstrated by the fact that there is no correlation between the size \((ab)^{1/2}\) and \( \Delta v_{12^J} \) in Table 4.

The CO isotopologues generally follow the brighter CO emission, but there are subtle differences. Weak \(^{13}\)CO(1–0) emission (Fig. 1b) extends to the map’s edge, roughly along the major axis of the large-scale near-infrared (NIR; Hurt et al. 1993a) and the large-scale molecular bar (Mason & Wilson 2004). The \(^{13}\)CO(2–1) emission (Fig. 1e) is found mostly at cloud peaks due to the higher critical density (\( A_N \)) of the \( J = 2–1 \) line, but some diffuse gas not associated with the clumps is resolved out. Little emission off the trailing southwestern CO arm ridges (GMC H) is seen in \(^{13}\)CO.

\(^{18}\)O(1–0) emission (Figs. 1c and 1f) follows \(^{12}\)CO, but the \(^{18}\)O line widths are slightly narrower. This may be a critical density effect, with the lower opacity \(^{18}\)O more confined to the dense cores. As a result of these spatial differences, comparisons of \(^{18}\)O line intensities with \(^{12}\)CO and \(^{13}\)CO will slightly overestimate their true temperature ratios. Peak main beam temperatures are \( T_{\text{mb}} \approx 0.5 \) K (\( T_{\text{mb}} \approx 1.0 \) K) in a \( 3.9'' \times 3.4'' \) beam (\( 2.4'' \times 2.3'' \)) for \(^{18}\)O(1–0) [\(^{18}\)O(2–1)].

The HCN(1–0) map is shown in Figure 1d. Because of its much larger electric dipole moment (\( \sim 30 \) times CO), HCN has a critical density nearly 1000 times higher than CO, and traces high-density gas. HCN predominately traces the two inner peaks of GMCs D + E and F. HCN(1–0) emission falls off with distance from the center of the galaxy faster than seen in any of the other lines (note particularly GMC G). There is also evidence that the HCN is more strongly confined to the GMCs than CO or \(^{13}\)CO. Apparently, the densest molecular gas is localized more strongly to the very center of the galaxy.

### 4.2. Star Formation in Maffei 2: Millimeter Continuum Images of H \( \pi \) Regions and Dust

Continuum maps of Maffei 2 at 3.4, 2.7, and 1.4 mm are presented in Figure 3, along with the 2 cm VLA maps from Turner & Ho (1994). The 3.4 mm continuum has been corrected for the contribution of HCN and HCO\(^+\) lines within the bandpass, and the 2.7 mm continuum map for the contribution of \(^{13}\)CO. The advantage of imaging continuum at 3 mm is that this is the part of the spectrum where the free-free emission component from H \( \pi \) regions is at its maximum relative to other sources of emission, such as nonthermal synchrotron and thermal dust emission.

There are three main 3.4 mm continuum sources near the center of Maffei 2, with weaker sources toward the southwestern bar end (GMC G) (Table 5). Four central sources are found at 2 cm; source III has a nonthermal spectral index between 6 and 2 cm (Turner & Ho 1994) and is predictably absent in the millimeter maps. Sources I and II are coincident with GMCs D and E and each have fluxes of \( \sim 5.8 \) mJy. Source IV is just north of GMC F and has a flux of \( \sim 5.0 \) mJy. The nonthermal source III is not associated with any of the bright GMCs. At higher resolution these continuum sources resolve into a collection of supernova remnants (SNRs) and H \( \pi \) regions (Fig. 2d; Tsai et al. 2006).
Spectral energy distributions (SEDs) for each of the main radio sources are shown in Figure 4. Three components are fit: synchrotron, bremsstrahlung (free-free), and dust, with spectral indices of $-0.7$, $-0.1$, and $3.5$ ($\beta = 1.5$), respectively, $S_{\text{source}} = S_{\text{syn}} \left(\frac{\nu}{4.885}\right)^{-0.7} + S_{\text{ff}} \left(\frac{\nu}{88.92}\right)^{-0.1} + S_{\text{dust}} \left(\frac{\nu}{219.3}\right)^{3.5}$. Estimated fluxes for free-free and dust emission are recorded in Table 6. At centimeter wavelengths, the central continuum sources have spectral indices between 6 and 2 cm, $-0.63$ ($S_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-\delta}$), and, therefore, are dominated by synchrotron emission. The spectral index between 2 cm and 3.4 mm, $-0.1$ to $-0.3$, as expected for H II regions dominated by free-free emission. There can be mixtures of synchrotron and free-free emission.

Fig. 2.—(a) Uniformly weighted integrated intensity maps of CO(1–0) in Maffei 2. The gray scale is in the square root stretch ranging from 4.0 Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$ (95 K km s$^{-1}$ to 60 Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$ (1400 K km s$^{-1}$), for a 2.1$''$ x 1.9$''$ beam. (b) CO(1–0) map overlaid on the HST F814W image of the nucleus. Only contours 118 K km s$^{-1}$ x (1, 4, 8, 12, 16) are shown. The cross marks the location of the dynamical center (Table 1). (c) CO(1–0) map with locations of the fitted GMCs (Table 4) labeled. Contours are the same as (a). (d) Zoom-in on the central region of the molecular bar. CO(1–0) is in contours (steps of 118 K km s$^{-1}$) overlaid on the 89 GHz continuum image (gray scale). Radio continuum (2 cm) source identifications of Tsai et al. (2006) are labeled with the symbols given in the legend. Symbol sizes are proportional to the 6 cm peak flux (1 mJy beam$^{-1}$ = 1$''$; Tsai et al. 2006). The green cross marks the location of the dynamical center.
within our beam; we have also shown centimeter-wave fluxes for the compact sources (Tsai et al. 2006 corrected for distance) for comparison in Figure 4. Our fits indicate that toward I, II, and IV 3 mm continuum emission is dominated by the compact, free-free emission sources.

The 1.4 mm continuum map is shown in Figure 3d, convolved to the resolution of the 2.7 mm map. Emission peaks toward Source II at around 21 mJy beam$^{-1}$. Continuum fluxes at 1.4 mm are larger than the 3.4 or 2.7 mm fluxes, indicating a rising spectral index between 2.7 and 1.4 mm, $\alpha_{27}^{1.4}$, of +1.5. The 1.4 mm emission is therefore a mixture of free-free and dust emission, with the predominance of dust varying with position. The total flux associated with dust emission, after removing the estimated thermal free-free contribution, is $S_{\text{1.4 mm}} \sim 7$–19 mJy for each source.

5. GAS EXCITATION AND OPACITY ACROSS THE NUCLEUS OF MAFFEI 2

Excitation temperatures are important for understanding molecular gas properties and how they vary across the nucleus. The $J = 2–1$ and 1–0 lines are sensitive to relatively cool gas in GMCs, and the low-$J$ CO lines, especially CO(1–0), are thermalized in all but the lowest density molecular clouds. CO isotopologues thermalize at somewhat higher densities ($\gtrsim 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) due to their lower opacity, making them excellent probes of gas excitation in this density regime.

5.1. Excitation Temperatures

Excitation temperatures, $T_{\text{ex}}$, are constrained by the ratios of integrated intensities of the $J = 2–1$ and 1–0 lines,

$$\frac{\int J_{21} dv}{\int J_{10} dv} \approx \frac{f_{21}(T_{\text{ex}}) - f_{21}(T_{\text{mb}})}{f_{10}(T_{\text{ex}}) - f_{10}(T_{\text{mb}})} (1 - e^{-\tau_{\text{mb}}}),$$

where $f_i(T_{\text{ex}}) = (h \nu/k)[\exp(h \nu/kT_{\text{ex}}) - 1], \tau_{\text{mb}}$, and $f_{\text{mb}}$ are the optical depth and filling factors of the $i$th isotopologue, respectively. We assume LTE (constant $T_{\text{ex}}$ with $J$) throughout the cloud. Limitations of this assumption are noted below.

The $^{13}$CO(2–1)$/^{12}$CO(1–0) line ratios for the nuclear bar are shown in Figure 5 (Table 7). Values range from 0.3 to 0.8, corresponding to $T_{\text{ex}} \approx 3–6 \text{ K}$ if $^{13}$CO is optically thin or up to 10 K, if completely thick. This ratio peaks toward the central two GMC complexes (GMC D + E and F), and falls with increasing radial distance. $^{13}$CO(2–1)/$^{12}$CO(1–0) is also higher at the central two emission peaks, with values of $\sim 0.68–0.79$. $^{13}$CO is almost certainly optically thin. From $^{13}$CO(2–1)/$^{12}$CO(1–0), we obtain $T_{\text{ex}} \approx 5.4–6 \text{ K}$ (Table 8). There are several regions off the GMCs (between C and D; H2) that have higher ratios. The ratios are largest between clouds; perhaps the intercloud gas is warmer than the GMCs (although emission is weak here). Figure 6 shows the average peak $T_{\text{mb}}$ ratios schematically as a function of velocity to show changes in excitation along the central ring. The eastern side of the central ring has the highest $T_{\text{ex}}$ and this is the side closest to the starburst.

$T_{\text{ex}}$ based on the isotopologues are significantly lower than both the $T_{\text{ex}}$ implied by the single dish CO(2–1)/CO(1–0) line ratio of $\gtrsim 2$ (Sargent et al. 1985) and the brightness temperatures, $T_{\text{mb}}$, estimated from the high-resolution CO(1–0). Single dish CO(3–2)/CO(1–0) line ratios are also high ($\sim 1.3–1.8$; Hurt et al. 1993b; Dunke et al. 2001), as are $^{13}$CO(3–2)/$^{12}$CO(2–1) ratios (1.6; Wall et al. 1993). These CO ratios suggest that there is warm, optically thin gas with $T_{\text{g}} \gtrsim 50 \text{ K}$. Other molecules indicate a range of gas temperatures. From ammonia, Takano et al. (2000) find a rotational temperature of $T_{\text{rot}} \sim 30 \text{ K}$ that is constant across the field, and an ortho-to-para ratio consistent with formation at 13 K. Henkel et al. (2000) obtain $T_{\text{rot}} = 85 \text{ K}$, based predominantly on the inclusion of the high-energy metastable transition, $(J, K) = (4, 4)$. However, they point out that it is possible to fit the four lowest metastable transitions with a cool component and a warm component. Nguyen-Q-Rieu et al. (1991) derived a low $T_{\text{ex}}$ of 10 K from a multiline study of HNCO.

Excitation of molecular clouds in the nucleus of Maffei 2 is complex, and different molecular transitions will find different
values for $T_{\text{ex}}$, depending on where the molecules are found. Some of the differences in line ratios between CO, its optically thinner isotopologues, and other molecular tracers, are due to the isotopologues being subthermally excited relative to CO, such that $T_{\text{ex}} < T_k$ because $n_{\text{H}_2} < n_{\text{crit(CO)}}$. If the densities determined from the large velocity gradient (LVG) analysis are correct then the $T_{\text{ex}}$ of the isotopologues imply kinetic temperatures of $T_k = 15 - 35$ K ($\S$ 7). These are close to but still slightly cooler than the (cool component of) ammonia. Thus, the bulk of molecular gas, traced by the optically thinner species, is cool. However, CO emission is unlikely to be subthermal at these densities. So the high single dish CO line ratios are inconsistent with the physical conditions of this component and require the presence of some warmer gas. Whether the emission from the high-opacity CO transitions originates in warmer envelopes of the clouds (as in IC 342; Turner et al. 1993; Meier et al. 2000), or from a compact, dense component (possibly associated with the high-temperature ammonia component) remains unclear from the current data. The low $T_{\text{ex}}$ of the higher critical density HNCO may argue against the latter, but spatially dependent chemical effects may also be involved here.

5.2. Opacity of the $^{13}$CO and $^{18}$O Lines

The opacity of the $^{13}$CO line is important for mass determinations and the interpretation of brightness temperatures. Based on the large CO(3--2)/$^{13}$CO(1--0) ratio, Hurt et al. (1993b) estimated that the $\tau_{^{13}\text{CO}(1-0)} \sim 0.1$, or that $\tau_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$ is only $5 - 7$, on
the assumption that CO(3–2) and 13CO(1–0) have the same $T_\text{ex}$. With higher spatial resolution this now appears not to be the case.

Better opacity estimates are obtained by avoiding ratios taken between lines with widely different opacities, particularly in situations where temperature gradients and other non-LTE effects may be present. The 13CO(1–0)/18CO(1–0) integrated intensity ratio map of Maffei 2 is shown in Figure 5f. Values range from 2.4–4.3. The line ratio is lower than expected if the 13CO(1–0) line [and the 18CO(1–0) line] have negligible opacities for adopted abundance ratios of $[\text{H}_2]/[\text{13CO}] = 4.7 \times 10^5$ and $[\text{H}_2]/[\text{18CO}] = 2.9 \times 10^6$, or $[\text{12CO}]/[\text{H}_2] = 8.5 \times 10^{-5}$, $[\text{13CO}]/[\text{18CO}] = 60$, and $[\text{16CO}]/[\text{18CO}] = 250$ (Frerking et al. 1982; Henkel et al. 1994; Wilson & Rood 1994; Wilson 1999; Milam et al. 2005). These isotopic abundances are typical of what is measured in nearby starbursts (e.g., Henkel et al. 1994), and are within a factor of ~2 of the entire range observed in the Galaxy. A 13CO(1–0)/18CO(1–0) line ratio of 3.0 implies $\tau_{\text{13CO}}(1–0) \sim 1$, for these isotopic abundance ratios.

Uncertainties in derived opacities depend sensitively on the true [13CO/18CO] abundance ratio which may differ from the value adopted here. Both [CO/13CO] and the [CO/C18O] decrease with stellar processing (assuming the CO isotopologues abundances are proportional to their respective isotopic abundances). Based on Galactic disk studies, [13CO/C18O] is expected to decrease from ~7.5 in the local interstellar medium (ISM) to ~4 in the inner kpc of the disk, arguing for a decrease in the combined ratio with nuclear synthesis (e.g., Wilson 1999; Milam et al. 2005). Attempts to determine isotopic abundances directly in starbursts obtain 13CO/C18O $\lesssim 5$, further supporting a lower ratio in high-metallicity regimes (e.g., Henkel et al. 1994). On the other hand, Galactic center (Sgr B2) determinations arrive at anomalously high values of [13CO/C18O] $\sim 10$ (e.g., Langer & Penzias 1990). Using the Galaxy as a guide [13CO/C18O] should fall somewhere

### Table 5

**Millimeter-/Radio-Continuum Flux and Spectral Indices**

| Parameter | I     | II    | III   | IV    | V     | Total |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $\alpha_{6.7}$ | 55.09 | 21.0  | 55.10 | 17.6  | 55.14 | 16.0  |
| $S_{\text{ab}}$(4.88S) | 14.3 ± 0.7 | 19.4 ± 1 | 15.2 ± 0.8 | 11.4 ± 0.6 | 2.7 ± 0.1 | 97 ± 5 |
| $S_{\text{ab}}$(14.96S) | 7.3 ± 0.4 | 9.6 ± 0.5 | 7.0 ± 0.4 | 5.6 ± 0.3 | 1.0 ± 0.2 | 48 ± 2 |
| $S_{\text{ab}}$(89.96S) | 5.8 ± 0.9 | 5.6 ± 0.9 | ≤3.9 | 5.0 ± 0.8 | 1.5 ± 0.6 | 32 ± 5 |
| $S_{\text{ab}}$(110.2S) | 7.0 ± 1 | ~7.0 | 4.7 ± 0.7 | 4.1 ± 0.7 | 2.7 ± 0.5 | 26 ± 3 |
| $S_{\text{ab}}$(219.3S) | 19 ± 4 | 21 ± 4 | 10 ± 3 | ~5.3 | 9.7 ± 3 | 79 ± 16 |
| $\alpha_{2.4}$ | -0.6 ± 0.1 | -0.6 ± 0.1 | -0.7 ± 0.1 | -0.6 ± 0.1 | -2.0 ± 0.2 | -0.6 ± 0.1 |
| $\alpha_{1.3}$ | -0.1 ± 0.1 | <0.33 | -0.1 ± 0.1 | 0.2 ± 0.3 | 0.2 ± 0.1 |
| $\alpha_{1.1}$ | 1.5 ± 0.5 | ~1.6 | 1.1 ± 0.6 | ~0.4 | 1.9 ± 0.6 | 2.0 ± 0.5 |

**Notes.** Uncertainties are based on the larger of the map noise or 5% for 6 and 2 cm (Turner & Ho 1994), 15% absolute calibration at 3 mm (larger uncertainties reflect possible errors in line removal), and 20% at 1 mm. Upper limits are 2 $\sigma$.

- a Continuum emission is corrected for HCN(1–0) and HCO+(1–0) line flux.
- b Continuum emission is corrected for 13CO(1–0) line flux.
- c Uncertain due to confusion with source I.

![FIG. 4.—SEDs for the millimeter-continuum radio sources in Maffei 2. Data are fit with synchrotron (dashed line, $\alpha = -0.7$), free-free (dotted line, $\alpha = -0.1$) and dust (dot-dashed line, $\alpha = +3.5$) emission components (see text). The cross in Source III represents an upper limit. Triangles mark the contribution of the compact emission toward each source from higher resolution images (Tsai et al. 2006).](image-url)
between 4 and 10. We favor values on the low end of this range for the high-metallicity nucleus of Maffei 2 for three reasons. (1) The Galactic disk gradient and the starburst values imply low ratios in heavily processed locations. (2) The LVG models give consistent $^{13}$CO and C$^{18}$O parameter space solutions for value $^{13}$CO/C$^{18}$O $\approx 4$, but not for 10 ($\approx 7$). (3) There is marginally significant evidence for lower CO(1–0)/C$^{18}$O(1–0) and $^{13}$CO(1–0)/C$^{18}$O(1–0) line ratios along the central ring, even toward the lower column density portions (Fig. 6). This may represent direct evidence for enrichment of C$^{18}$O relative to $^{13}$CO (and CO) in the immediate vicinity of the nuclear starburst (similar effects are seen in IC 342; Meier & Turner 2001).

Modulo small differences in resolved-out flux or line width, we conclude $^{13}$CO(1–0) $\approx 1$ over the molecular peaks, but note that systematic abundance uncertainties allow anything between $\tau < 1$ up to $\tau \approx 4$. The fact that the CO(3–2)/$^{13}$CO(1–0) line ratios of Hurt et al. (1993b) imply much lower opacities must then be a result of CO(3–2) emission (and likely CO in general) preferentially originating from higher excitation gas than do $^{13}$CO(1–0) and C$^{18}$O(1–0).

**Table 6**

| Source (1) | $^{13}$S$_{naby}$ (2) | $N_{Lyc}$ (3) | SFR (4) | $^{13}$S$_{naby}$ (5) | $^{13}$M$_{H_{2}}$ (6) |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|
| I         | 4 ± 0.6             | 5 ± 0.6      | 0.05 ± 0.01 | 15 ± 3              | 4.8 ± 0.8           |
| II        | 4 ± 0.6             | 5 ± 0.6      | 0.05 ± 0.01 | 19 ± 4              | 6.1 ± 1.0           |
| III       | 2 ± 0.6             | 3 ± 0.6      | 0.03 ± 0.01 | 7 ± 3               | 2.3 ± 0.8           |
| IV        | 3 ± 0.6             | 4 ± 0.6      | 0.04 ± 0.01 | <2.5                | <0.81               |
| V         | <1.2                | <1.6         | <0.02    | 10 ± 3              | 3.3 ± 0.8           |
| Total     | 20 ± 3              | 26 ± 3       | 0.26 ± 0.05 | 50 ± 10             | 16 ± 2.6            |

Notes.—Table columns: (1) The radio continuum sources listed in Table 5. (2) Free-free flux, $^{13}$S$_{naby}$, at 88.92 GHz, is derived from the spectral fits (Fig. 4). (3) $N_{Lyc}$ is the number of ionizing photons in units of $10^{51}$ s$^{-1}$, or $\sim 100$ O7 stars (Vacca et al. 1996) implied by $^{13}$S$_{naby}$. (4) SFR is the star formation rate in units of $M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$, based on the conversion from $N_{Lyc}$ to SFR of Kennicutt (1998). (5) Thermal dust flux, $^{13}$S$_{dust}$, at 219.3 GHz is derived from the spectral fits (Fig. 4). (6) $^{13}$M$_{H_{2}}$ is the total mass in units of $10^{6}$ $M_{\odot}$ implied from the dust flux, $^{13}$S$_{dust}$, assuming a gas-to-dust ratio of 100.

---

**Fig. 5.** — CO and HCN line ratios in Maffei 2. For comparison the $^{13}$CO(1–0) integrated intensity is overlaid on all planes in linear contours of 2.0 Jy beam$^{-1}$ km s$^{-1}$ (15 K km s$^{-1}$). The resolution of all the ratio maps is 3.9$''$ × 3.4$''$. The grey-scale range of the ratio is noted in the wedge at the top of each panel. (a) CO(1–0)/$^{13}$CO(1–0). (b) CO(1–0)/C$^{18}$O(1–0). (c) CO(1–0)/HCN(1–0). (d) $^{13}$CO(2–1)/$^{13}$CO(1–0). (e) C$^{18}$O(2–1)/C$^{18}$O(1–0). (f) $^{13}$CO(1–0)/C$^{18}$O(1–0). (g) $^{13}$CO(2–1)/C$^{18}$O(1–0). (h) $^{13}$CO(1–0)/C$^{18}$O(1–0).
With $T_{\text{CO}(1-0)}$ independently constrained from the $^{13}$CO/\^{18}$\text{C}O ratio, comparisons between $T_{\text{ex}}$ derived from equation (1) (corrected for resolved out flux) and the observed $^{13}$CO(1−0) peak brightness temperature, $^{13}T_{\text{mb}}$, gives constraints on the filling factor, $^{13}f$, via $^{13}f \sim (^{13}T_{\text{mb}}/^{13}J(0)_{\text{TVG}})(1 - e^{-T_{\text{ex}}/T_{\text{co}}})^{-1}$. Toward the molecular peaks, $^{13}f \sim 0.33$ is estimated. Given the potentially large uncertainty in the estimate of the average $^{13}$CO opacity, $^{13}f$ should be considered only indicative. If $^{13}T_{\text{CO}(1-0)} \gg 1$, then $^{13}f$ could be as low as $\sim 0.15$. The relatively bright $^{13}$CO(1−0) emission and the fact that $^{13}f \leq 1$ requires that $^{13}T_{\text{CO}(1-0)} \gtrsim 0.33$.

In summary, the $J = 2$−1 to 1−0 line ratios of the lower opacity CO isotopomers imply LTE excitation temperatures of $T_{\text{ex}} \sim 3$−10 K. Brightnesses of the higher opacity $J > 1$ transitions of CO, suggest that they preferentially sample more limited volumes of warmer gas. The opacity of the $^{13}$CO(1−0) transition appears to approaches unity over much of the nuclear peaks.

### 5.3. HCN

We also compare the distribution of dense gas traced by HCN to that of the total gas traced by CO. Figure 5e shows the CO(1−0)/HCN(1−0) integrated intensity line ratio. The ratio varies from $\sim 8$ at GMC F $\rightarrow 20$ at the ends of the molecular bar. Figure 5e shows the general radial trend commonly seen in galaxies, namely an increase in the CO/HCN intensity ratio as one moves away from the star-forming sites at the center (e.g., Helfer & Blitz 1993, 1997; Sorai et al. 2002). Sites of high CO(1−0)/$^{13}$CO(1−0) ratios (particularly in the off-arm regions) also have the highest CO(1−0)/HCN(1−0) ratios (Table 7). This provides evidence that the $^{13}$CO(1−0) is at least partially sensitive to the density of the gas.

### 6. Nuclear Gas Kinematics: The Parallelogram and a Bar Model

Maffei 2 is a strongly barred galaxy with a disturbed morphology, probably due to interaction with a nearby companion (Hurt et al. 1993a, 1996; Mason & Wilson 2004). Position-velocity (P-V) diagrams based on lower resolution CO(1−0) data, show that the molecular gas in the central regions reaches high ($\gtrsim 75$ km s$^{-1}$) radial velocities over very small projected radii (Ishiguro et al. 1989; Hurt & Turner 1991). Ishiguro et al. (1989) has interpreted this feature as an expanding ring generated by an explosive event some $\sim 5 \times 10^7$ yr ago, superimposed on a Keplerian component. Since Maffei 2 is strongly barred, it is reasonable to consider whether these motions are a result of noncircular motions due to a barred potential. Ishiguro et al. (1989) argued against this based on the fact the position angle of the “molecular bar” and, the major axis of the galaxy are close so that any noncircular motions from a bar would be in the plane of the sky and, therefore, could not explain the motions observed. However, if there are IIRs (so $\tau_2$ orbits exist) and/or a nuclear bar rotated with respect to the large-scale bar exists then this is not the case.

The gas kinematics can be seen in the channel maps of $^{12}$CO(1−0) (Figs. 7 and 8) and HCN(1−0) (Fig. 9). $^{13}$CO(1−0) traces the velocity field of the total column density, while HCN the velocity field of the dense gas. CO emission extends from

### Table 7

| Region  | $^{13}$T$_{\text{ex}}$ (2) | $^{13}$N$_{\text{H}}$(3) | $^{18}$T$_{\text{ex}}$ (4) | $^{15}$N$_{\text{H}}$ (5) | X$_{\text{CO}}$/N$_{\text{H}}$ (6) | D$_{\text{N}}$ (7) | F$_{\text{N}}$ (8) | L$_{\text{N}}$ (9) | M$_{\text{W}}$X$_{\text{CO}}$ (10) | $^{12}$X$_{\text{CO}}$ (11) | $^{13}$X$_{\text{CO}}$ (12) |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| A       | [5.0]           | ~0.77           | [5.0]           | <1.3            | 10 ± 1.0        | ...             | 130 ± 3.0       | >1.3            | >7.7            | >5.5            | >2.6            |
| B       | 3.1 ± 1.0       | 4.4 ± 0.5       | ~0.67           | ~2.0            | 11 ± 1.0        | ...             | 51 ± 3.0        | 2.5 ± 0.3       | <5.5            | <4.0            | <2.5            |
| C       | 2.9 ± 0.9       | 7.7 ± 0.8       | 4.8 ± 1.6       | 5.5 ± 0.6       | 16 ± 1.6        | ...             | 45 ± 0.5        | 2.1 ± 0.3       | 2.9 ± 0.4       | 4.3 ± 0.6       | >2.4            |
| D       | 6.1 ± 2.3       | 8.2 ± 0.8       | 5.4 ± 1.8       | 6.1 ± 0.6       | 26 ± 2.6        | 8 ± 2.0         | 71 ± 1.0        | 3.2 ± 0.4       | 4.6 ± 0.3       | >2.4 ± 0.3       | >2.6 ± 0.4       |
| E       | 6.0 ± 2.3       | 10.1 ± 1.0      | 5.9 ± 2.0       | 6.5 ± 0.7       | 24 ± 2.4        | 11 ± 2.2        | 150 ± 1.0       | 2.4 ± 0.3       | 3.5 ± 0.5       | 3.5 ± 0.6       | >2.6 ± 0.4       |
| F       | 4.5 ± 1.5       | 7.9 ± 0.8       | 6.0 ± 2.0       | 4.9 ± 0.5       | 14 ± 1.4        | <1.4            | 47 ± 1.4        | 1.8 ± 0.2       | 2.9 ± 0.4       | >2.4 ± 0.3       | >2.6 ± 0.4       |
| H       | 3.4 ± 1.1       | 6.1 ± 0.7       | ≤0.62           | ≤4.0            | 10 ± 1.0        | 5.6 ± 2.0       | >75 ± 1.0       | 1.5 ± 0.2       | ≥2.5            | ≥2.5            | ≥2.5            |
HSR \sim -160 \text{ to } +100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ with blueshifted emission in the north. HCN is confined to velocity ranges } v_{\text{LSR}} \sim -145 \text{ to } +60 \text{ km s}^{-1}. \text{ If we assume trailing spiral arms, the northern arm is the near arm, consistent with the larger internal extinction there (Fig. 2b).}

P-V diagrams for Maffei 2, shown in Figure 10, were made from the cubes by averaging the central 5'' along the major axis of the galaxy (p.a. = 206°; Table 1). We constructed major-axis P-V diagrams for CO(1-0), $^{13}$CO(1-0) and HCN(1-0). The CO(1-0) and $^{13}$CO(1-0) P-V diagrams reveal an essentially complete “parallelogram” associated with the central ring. The parallelogram has a width of $\sim 10''$, a spatial extent of 20'' (160 pc in radius), and a total velocity extent of $\sim 250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (uncorrected for inclination). The $^{13}$CO-emitting gas along the central ring appears to be nearly uniform. CO(1-0), on the other hand, is asymmetric with much brighter emission at the GMC D+ starburst sites along the eastern side of the ring. The cause of the asymmetry is unclear, but since CO is so optically thick, its emissivity is more susceptible to locally elevated kinetic temperatures or other non-LTE surface effects. The uniformity of the $^{13}$CO is likely to be a better indicator of gas surface density in this situation. Beyond the parallelogram, the velocity field is dominated by two peaks corresponding to the ends of the molecular arms.

The velocity field of HCN(1-0) is significantly different from that of CO. The parallelogram is not apparent in the HCN P-V diagram, but instead dominated by two peaks corresponding to the intersection of the molecular arm emission and the parallelogram in the P-V diagrams. Even along the central ring, HCN has a much lower covering fraction in velocity space than CO.

6.1. Bar Model for Maffei 2

The new CO P-V diagrams (Fig. 10) have sufficient spatial resolution to reveal that the “oval”-shaped pattern is actually a “parallelogram” like that observed toward the Galactic center (Bally et al. 1988; Binney et al. 1991). The similarity of molecular gas kinematics in Maffei 2 to the Galactic center, which is explained by gas response to a barred potential (e.g., Binney et al. 1991; Huettemeister et al. 1998; Rodriguez-Fernandez et al. 2006), leads us to construct a model of barred gas response in Maffei 2.

We have modeled the gas distribution and kinematics in response to a stellar bar using an analytic weak-bar model. Such models are based on treating the dissipational nature of gas with the addition of a damping term proportional to the deviation from circular velocity (Wada 1994; Lindblad & Lindblad 1994; Sakamoto et al. 1999). Despite their simplicity, these model matches the structures seen in full hydrodynamical simulations with surprisingly fidelity (e.g., Lindblad & Lindblad 1994). The simplicity of an analytic bar model permits us to quickly explore a wide range of bar parameters.

Our model is based on those of Wada (1994) and Sakamoto et al. (1999) with the following modifications. (1) The gas dissipation term is extended to include azimuthal damping. This is done by adding a term, $2\mu\delta_1$, to equation (2) of Wada (1994) analogous to their equation (1). (2) The model is extended to include both a
small-scale (hereafter “nuclear”) bar and a large-scale bar, according to the prescription of Maciejewski & Sparke (1997, 2000). (3) The axisymmetric potential has been changed so that it generates a double Brandt rotation curve coupled in size to the two bars. The second component of the potential is required both to match the flattening of the rotation curve near the nucleus (Hurt et al. 1996) and to generate the necessary existence of ILRs at \( \sim 5'' \). The size and shape of the large-scale bar is set to match the NIR, CO, and H\textsc{i} images of the galaxy (Fig. 11a; Hurt et al. 1993a, 1996; Mason & Wilson 2004). It is assumed that the nuclear bar is coplanar with the large-scale disk.

Similarities between the model and both the true gas distribution (Fig. 11) and kinematics (Figs. 12 and 13) are excellent. Table 9 lists the fitted model parameters. In the weak bar scheme the molecular gas is predicted to follow the sites of orbit crowding (higher density of dots in the figure). The model velocities
match the observed pattern quite well. In general, the models are robust to small changes in parameters as long as two main requirements are met, (1) the combination of potential/rotation curve and bar parameters are such that there are two nuclear inner Lindblad resonances, oILR and iILR, and (2) the size scale of each bar is close to the values chosen. The first is important because it is this condition that is required for perpendicular $x_2$-orbits. In our barred model, the perpendicular $x_2$-orbits are vital to explain the large line-of-sight velocities and “parallelogram” feature seen close to the center. The second point is important because it sets the scale of the features seen in the gas (i.e., the $x_1$-orbits run between the oILR and corotation).

Our model shows gas associated with the well-known $x_1$- and inner perpendicular $x_2$-orbits of barred potentials (e.g., Athanassoula 1992). A good fit for the nuclear morphology is achieved when the 4:1 ultraharmonic resonance of the nuclear bar is set equal to the
oLR of the large-scale bar. The pattern speed of the nuclear bar in Maffei 2 is thus much higher than the pattern speed of the larger bar, implying that the nuclear bar is decoupled from the large scale bar. The morphology is best matched with the nuclear bar rotated ∼10° clockwise (as viewed from the perspective of Fig. 11e) relative to the large-scale bar.

The “parallelogram” of the $^{13}$CO P-V diagram reveals additional information about the gas associated with the closed nuclear bar orbits. The $^{13}$CO parallelogram is nearly complete. This suggests that, while not obvious in the integrated intensity map due to the high inclination (although evidence is seen for it ∼5″ west of GMC E), the entire oval $x_2$-orbit region appears to contain
molecular gas. The emission along the central ring in the P-V diagram is nearly unresolved spatially, suggesting that only a very small range of x2-orbits are populated. Contrasts of >6 in column density are seen between the molecular gas associated with nuclear x2-orbits and the very center of the galaxy, implying that the vast majority of inflowing molecular gas is trapped at the central ring and does not reach the core of the galaxy. The parallelogram suggests that a majority (∼60%) of the 13CO emission originates from gas residing on x2-orbits with the rest residing on the x1-orbits.

The observed “parallelogram” is slightly wider along the position axis than the model predicts. This suggests that the inner x2-orbits are slightly more circular than is displayed in Fig. 11d. This is likely due to the limits of the epicyclic approximation at the very center of the potential. Nearly circular x2-orbits are a common feature of the more complete hydrodynamical simulations (e.g., Piner et al. 1995).

7. MOLECULAR CLOUDS IN THE NUCLEAR ENVIRONMENT: CLOUD PROPERTIES AS A FUNCTION OF LOCATION

Armed with a reasonable kinematical model of the center of Maffei 2, and having identified the sites of current star formation from millimeter-continuum maps, we can investigate the effects of environment on the properties of molecular clouds. Densities, \( n_{H_2} \), and kinetic temperatures, \( T_k \), of the individual nuclear GMCs were determined by running large velocity gradient (LVG) radiative transfer models with the observed intensities and line ratios as inputs (e.g., Goldreich & Kwan 1974; Scoville & Solomon 1974; De Jong et al. 1975).

We adopt single component LVG models for the clouds. Three independent parameters, \( n_{H_2} \), \( T_k \), and \( X_{\text{CO}}/dv/dr \) are varied over the ranges \( n_{H_2} = 10^3 - 10^6 \) cm\(^{-3} \), \( T_k = 1.5 - 150 \) K, and \( X_{\text{CO}}/dv/dr = 10^{-3} \) to \( 10^{-7.5} \) (collision coefficients are from De Jong et al. 1975). For each location, seven (eight if including HCN) distinct measurements [the two isotopic line ratios, the two \( \Delta J \) line ratios, the peak \( T_{\text{mb}} \) of the uniformly weighted CO(1–0) map, and the ratio of cloud line width to cloud size] are used to constrain the model parameters. The \( \pm 1 \sigma \) ranges do not include systematic uncertainties associated with changes in \( X_{\text{CO}}/dv/dr \) or more general uncertainties related to the validity of the LVG approximation itself. Additional model solutions with \( X_{\text{CO}}/dv/dr \) varied by a factor of \( \pm 0.3 \) dex were determined (not shown). Increasing the velocity gradient (or correspondingly decreasing the abundance) results in an increase in derived densities by \( \sim 0.3 \) dex and a decrease in derived \( T_k \) of \( \sim 10 \) K. This variation is indicative of the sensitivity of the physical conditions to changes in the abundance or velocity gradient at the level permitted by their systematic uncertainties (§ 5).

In Figure 14, LVG model solutions for six locations across the central bar are displayed. Typical values of the velocity gradient for the GMCs are \( \sim 1 - 2 \) km s\(^{-1}\) pc\(^{-1}\) (Table 4). We force abundance per velocity gradients of \( X_{\text{CO}}/dv/dr = 10^{-6.12} (10^{-6.75}) \) for 13CO (C\(^{18}\)O), corresponding to \( [\text{CO}/H_2] \approx 8 \times 10^{-5}, [\text{CO}]/[\text{C}^{18}\text{O}] \approx 60, [\text{CO}]/[\text{C}^{13}\text{O}] \approx 250 \) (§ 5), and \( dv/dr \sim 1.5 \) km s\(^{-1}\) pc\(^{-1}\) (Table 4). The \( \pm 1 \sigma \) range for each ratio and the measured value for the CO(1–0) antenna temperature constrain parameter space.

Average densities and kinetic temperatures implied by the LVG models are \( n_{H_2} \approx 10^2 - 10^5 \) cm\(^{-3} \) and \( T_k \approx 15 - 35 \) K. All the mapped CO lines imply a consistent set of physical conditions. Densities derived from CO tend to be nearly constant across the nuclear bar. Clouds associated with the starbursts (D and E) are slightly warmer than the others (\( T_k \approx 30 - 40 \) K). By contrast, slightly cooler and denser values are derived for the quiescent gas clouds on the nuclear x2-orbit (“Western Ring”; Fig. 14) compared to the starbursting side of the central ring (GMC E). The solutions reproduce the observed brightness temperature of the uniformly weighted CO(1–0), indicating a filling factor of unity. A unity filling factor for CO(1–0) is consistent with that estimated from 13CO excitation given its larger beam size. Only GMCs D and F have predicted brightness temperatures slightly lower than observed (by \( \sim 50\% \)), which could be explained if the CO-emitting surfaces are somewhat warmer than the bulk of the gas in these clouds. The 13CO and C\(^{18}\)O solutions are in excellent agreement, suggesting that the adopted relative abundances are reasonable.

HCN LVG models were also run for levels up to \( J = 12 \) (collision coefficients from Green & Thaddeus 1974). Overlaid on Figure 14 are the observed range (\( \pm 1 \sigma \)) for the 13CO(1–0)/HCN(1–0) line ratio, derived from the HCN(1–0) models. An abundance per velocity gradient \( X_{\text{HCN}}/dv/dr = 2 \times 10^{-8} \) was assumed, consistent with Galactic HCN abundances and \( dv/dr = 1.5 \) km s\(^{-1}\) (e.g., Irvine et al. 1987; Paglione et al. 1998). A small correction for resolved-out flux has been made assuming emission is uniformly extended on scales \( \gtrsim 30 \) pc. Densities derived from the HCN(1–0) line are about 1 order of magnitude higher than from the CO isotopologues: HCN is brighter than expected based only on the CO-derived physical conditions. This implies that (1) these molecular clouds have a significant component of denser clumps from which the HCN originates, or (2) the HCN abundance is

---

**Fig. 10.** (a) CO(1–0), (b) 13CO(1–0), and (c) HCN(1–0) P-V diagrams taken along the major axis of Maffei 2. The zero position corresponds to 2041°55′, 59°36′/10″. Northeast along the galaxy is at the top of the figure. Contours are \( \pm 2 \sigma \). Labels in (a) identify the location of each GMC in position and velocity.
much larger than has been adopted. The absolute abundance of HCN is not known well enough to eliminate the second possibility, but the magnitude of the increase required ($\geq 10$) makes it unlikely. The HCN data suggests that while the single-component LVG approximation yields internally consistent solutions for the optically thinner isotopologues, which sample the bulk of the molecular column density, it breaks down when including the very high density gas. The derived $n_{\text{H}_2}$ and $T_k$ should then be treated as volume averages for the gas clouds traced in the isotopologues, but not necessarily the whole of the ISM. The $^{13}$CO/HCN would then reflect the relative fraction of very dense gas at each position (e.g., Kohno et al. 1999; Meier & Turner 2004).

To summarize, molecular clouds in the central 300 pc of Maffei 2 averaged over $\sim 60$ pc scales tend to be only modestly denser than GMCs in the disk of the Galaxy. How much denser depends on the exact velocity gradient/abundance of CO and
HCN present. If the molecular gas has a velocity gradient $C_24/Y_1$, consistent temperatures and densities are obtained from the CO-emitting gas at values of $n_{H_2}/hi \sim 10^{7.5}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $T_k \sim 15-35$ K for all GMCs. At these densities, the CO isotopologues are subthermally excited. The HCN emission implies subclumping.

7.1. CO as a Tracer of Molecular Gas Mass: The Conversion Factor in Maffei 2

There are indications that CO(1–0) is overluminous per unit mass of H$_2$ gas in the nuclear regions of spiral galaxies relative to Galactic GMCs, and thus, use of the Galactic conversion $X_{CO}$ can lead to overestimates of molecular gas masses in these systems. (e.g., Dahmen et al. 1998; Meier & Turner 2001, 2004; Weiss et al. 2001). In this section, we compare several different methods of estimating molecular gas column densities to assess the validity of the conversion factor in the nucleus of Maffei 2.

7.1.1. Molecular Gas Column Densities from the Optically Thin CO Isotopologues, Dust Continuum, and the Virial Theorem

Optically thin lines of CO isotopologues allow estimates of the molecular gas column density directly by summing up the emission from each molecule. These estimates depend only on the knowledge of relative CO abundance and excitation. For LTE (e.g., Scoville et al. 1986),

$$N(H_2)/CO = 5.75 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2} \frac{[T_{ex} + 0.92]}{\nu_G} \frac{[H_2]}{[CO]} \times e^{i\nu_T/\nu_{ex}} \frac{i\nu_T}{1 - e^{-i\nu_T/\nu_{ex}}} I_{CO}(K \text{ km s}^{-1}).$$  (2)

where $[H_2]/[CO]$ is the abundance of the isotopologue, $\nu_G, \nu_T$, and $\nu_T$ are the frequency (in gigahertz), the opacity and the characteristic temperature ($h\nu_o/k$) of the particular transition ($\nu_T = 5.29$ for $^{13}$CO(1–0) and 5.27 for $^{18}$O(1–0)). We calculate $T_{ex}$ separately from the $^{13}$CO(2–1)/$^{13}$CO(1–0) line ratio assuming $^{13}$CO $\sim 1$ (§ 5), and the $^{18}$O(2–1)/$^{18}$O(1–0) line ratio. These values and the H$_2$ column densities derived from them are presented in Table 8 for each peak [$T_{ex} = 5$ K is assumed for positions beyond the (2–1) primary beam]. Under LTE, $T_{ex}$ values turn out to be almost independent of opacity over the range of $0-5$ (changing by < 20%) when $J = 2-1/1-0$ line ratios are around the observed value of $\sim 0.7$. Therefore, systematic uncertainties in
$N_{\text{HI}}$ stem primarily from uncertainties in the assumed isotopic abundances. Abundances are expected to be within a factor of $\leq 2$ of the adopted values ($\S$ 5).

Values of $N_{\text{HI}}$ lie in the range $<0.61(1.3) - 10(6.5) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Table 8), based on $^{13}$CO (C$^{18}$O) fluxes, with corresponding mass surface densities of $\Sigma \sim<130(280)-2200(1400) M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$. Emission from the fainter C$^{18}$O line does not extend to the lower column densities, otherwise the predictions of column densities from the two species agree to within a factor of 2.

Dust continuum emission has also been detected at $\lambda = 1.4$ mm toward several of the GMCs, which gives another estimate of the molecular gas mass. After accounting for the free-free contribution (Table 5), dust fluxes, $S_{1.4\text{mm}}$, range from 7–19 $\pm$ 3 mJy for each cloud. Assuming a gas-to-dust ratio of 100 by mass, the gas mass is related to the 1.4 mm dust continuum flux by (e.g., Hildebrand 1983)

$$M_{\text{gas}}(1.4 \text{ mm}) = 306 \frac{M_\odot}{\text{1 mJy}} \left( \frac{S_{1.4\text{mm}}}{\text{1 mJy}} \right)^2 \frac{D}{\text{1 Mpc}} \times \left( \frac{\kappa_\nu}{1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}} \right)^{-1} \left( e^{10.56/T_d} - 1 \right),$$  

where $\kappa_\nu$ is the dust absorption coefficient at this frequency, $S_{1.4\text{mm}}$ is the 1.4 mm dust flux, $D$ is the distance and $T_d$ is the dust
temperature. The dust opacity, $k_d$, at 1.4 mm is taken to be $3.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, uncertain by an estimated factor of 4 (Pollack et al. 1994). We adopt $T_d = 40 \text{ K}$ based on the far-infrared (FIR) colors (Rickard & Harvey 1983). The dust temperature applicable to the 1.4 mm observations could be lower than this if a cool dust component undetectable shortward of 160 $\mu$m exists. The existence of a cooler dust component would cause us to underestimate the implied molecular gas mass. This is likely more important away from the nuclear region. Dust masses for the cloud peaks are listed in Table 8.

Virial masses can be derived from line widths, following the treatment of Meier & Turner (2001), since the individual GMCs in the center of Maffei 2 are resolved. Virial masses are given in Table 8. They have an intrinsic uncertainty of about a factor of 2 due to internal cloud structure. In addition, if systematic motions such as cloud streaming motions or noncircular bar motion are present within a single beam (which is almost certainly the case; see § 6), the line widths due to the internal gravity will be overestimated. In short, the virial masses will be upper limits to the true cloud masses.

Finally, as a crude consistency check, a column density, $N_{H_2}$, is calculated from the LVG model derived densities by averaging the number density over an assumed depth of $(\theta_x \theta_y/2)^{1/2}$. These values are also recorded in Table 8. These values represent upper limits to $N(H_2)$ if $N_{H_2}$ is confined to a fraction of this volume.

7.1.2. The CO Conversion Factor in Maffei 2

We can compare the three different column density estimates—optically thick CO ($^{12}$CO$_{NH_2}$), optically thin $^{13}$CO ($^{13}$NH$_2$) and C$^{18}$O ($^{18}$NH$_2$), and dust ($^{12}$NH$_2$)---to estimate a CO conversion factor, $X_{CO}$, for the nucleus of Maffei 2. Column densities based on the CO isotopologues (Table 8) are lower than the those derived from CO(1−0) intensities using the Galactic value of $X_{CO}$ = $2 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} (\text{K km s}^{-1})^{-1}$ (Strong et al. 1988; Hunter et al. 1997; Dame et al. 2001). Values from the thin C$^{18}$O lines are $2$−$4$ times lower than the $X_{CO}$ estimates. If we require that $H_2$ column densities derived from opacity corrected $^{13}$CO(1−0) and C$^{18}$O(1−0) agree [thereby constraining $T_{13CO}(1−0)$] then Galactic values of the conversion factor can be reached only for $CO/C^{18}O > 600$. Given the high-metallicity environment of the nucleus of Maffei 2, this seems unlikely. Away from the nucleus there is some evidence for another factor of 2 further decrease in the conversion factor; however, statistical uncertainties are at least this large due to weak emission and $T_{ex}$ not being determined toward these locations.

Uncertainties estimated for the gas column derived from dust emission are higher than for the isotopologues, but they too tend to support lower gas columns than predicted by the Galactic $X_{CO}$. Dust-based gas masses are also lower than the $X_{CO}$-values by factors of $2$−$4$ for the adopted dust parameters toward the detected GMCs (Table 8). Gas column densities estimated from the dust are in agreement with the opacity corrected $^{13}$CO estimates except for GMC E, but trend a factor of $\sim30\%$ higher than those from the C$^{18}$O isotopologues. This is an indication that the uncertainty in these column densities are at least this large. While these methods are different, we do not claim they are completely independent, because there may be hidden correlations between, say, CO relative abundance and dust-to-gas ratio. But the dependences on metallicity and other factors such as temperature are not necessarily the same for these mass tracers. That the gas column densities estimated from the dust and C$^{18}$O are both low provides additional confidence for the assertion that the gas column densities are overestimated by the Galactic value of $X_{CO}$.

Column densities obtained by averaging the virially derived masses are higher than the other methods, which is not surprising. Line widths in the central region (particularly GMCs C, D, E, and F) include two distinct components moving on completely different orbits (Fig. 10), and so systematic motions within the ~60 pc (line-of-sight) beam due to the bar orbits (§ 6.1) cause an observed line width larger than random gravitational motions within the clouds would imply. Because of the presence of this motion, we expect that virial methods using observed line widths to be severe overestimates of the cloud masses.

In summary, we conclude that the conversion between $^{12}$CO(1−0) and $H_2$ column density applicable to the central region of Maffei 2 is $M_{CO}(0.5−1.0) = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} (\text{K km s}^{-1})^{-1}$, $2$−$4$ times lower than the Galactic value, with uncertainties of $\sim100\%$.

8. A NUCLEAR BAR-DRIVEN STARBURST IN MAFFEI 2

8.1. Star Formation Rates and Efficiencies

The rotation curve from the double bar model can be used to estimate dynamical masses directly without having to try to remove the noncircular velocity component (Fig. 13b). The dynamical mass over the central ring is $M_\text{dyn}(R < 70') = 2.1 \times 10^9 M_\odot$. The molecular mass estimated from C$^{18}$O over the same region is $6.9 \times 10^6 M_\odot$. Dynamical masses for the central 200'' radius are $M_\text{dyn}(200') = 7.3 \times 10^7 M_\odot$, while the molecular mass over this region is $2.1 \times 10^7 M_\odot$. Molecular mass fractions are thus $\sim3\%$ over much of the central molecular bar. Molecular mass fractions scale as the distance, so the uncertainty in the distance to this galaxy (§ 2) can change these values by up to a factor of 2. Resonant structures, such as the molecular bar observed in the nucleus, are probably driven by the stellar potential rather than the gas.

Lyman continuum ionization rates, $N_{Lyc}$ (for $T_e = 10^4 \text{ K}$; e.g., Mezger & Henderson 1967), and star formation rates (SFRs) based on the 89 GHz continuum are given in Table 6. To produce the total observed free-free emission across the central 30'', the excitation of 2600 effective O7 (Vacca et al. 1996) stars is required. A significant fraction of this ionizing flux $(\sim1.4 \times 10^{52} \text{ s}^{-1}$, or $\sim1400$ “effective” O7 stars) arises near the two central molecular peaks (GMCs D1 + E and F). Toward radio continuum sources, I, II, and IV, the local SFRs are 0.05, 0.05, and 0.04 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, respectively, based on the conversion between $N_{Lyc}$ and SFR of Kennicutt (1998). These values match the SFR predicted from the HCN(1−0) luminosity using the relationship that Gao & Solomon (2004) have derived from large-scale HCN measurements. The relationship between HCN(1−0) luminosity and star formation on 60 pc scales in Maffei 2 is the same as that observed on kiloparsec scales in luminous infrared galaxies.

The ionization rate across the nuclear bar corresponds to a massive SFR of $\sim0.26 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, with $\sim0.14 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ originating from the nuclear ring. At this rate the molecular gas in the ring could sustain the current SFR for $\sim5 \times 10^7$ yr if no gas replenishment from the arms occur. If a zero-age main-sequence (ZAMS) Salpeter initial mass function (IMF) with an upper (lower) mass cutoff of $100 M_\odot$ ($0.1 M_\odot$) is adopted then a total stellar mass over the central ring of $M_\star = 5.4 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ is generated in the current burst. These values correspond to star formation efficiencies, SFE = $M_\star/(M_\odot + M_{H_2})$, of $\sim10\%$ over the central ring, peaking at the nuclear $x_1$-$x_3$ orbit intersections. The SFE drops to $\leq5\%$ along the molecular arms, similar to Galactic disk values.

8.2. Gas Inflow, Stability, and Triggered Star Formation in Maffei 2

What drives the star formation in the nucleus? Is it the large molecular gas surface density or is there evidence for a trigger that is unique to the nuclear region? What is responsible for the large concentration of nuclear gas in Maffei 2? With these observations
we can address the link between star formation and molecular gas on GMC size scales in the nuclear region of Maffei 2.

In the context of our dynamical model, the presence of the large gas mass is probably due to slow inflow along the nuclear bar (e.g., Roberts et al. 1979; Athanassoula 1992; Turner & Hurt 1992; Regan et al. 1997; Sheth et al. 2005). Is there sufficient gas inflow to produce the observed star formation? It is assumed that the inflowing gas will form stars and that the star formation process is initiated at the location of the $x_1 \times x_2$ orbit intersection in the nuclear ring. Then the radial gas mass flux at this galactocentric radius determines the SFR. The gas mass flux is related to the average inflow velocity, $v_{\text{inf}}$, the average arm mass surface density, $\Sigma_{\text{arm}}$, and the arm width, $w$. From the CO data, $\Sigma_{\text{arm}} \approx 210 M_\odot \text{pc}^{-2}$ and $w \approx 5'' (80 \text{ pc})$. Inflow velocities are determined from the bar model. Typical values are $20 - 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ along the bar arms. Averaged over the arm area only, $v_r \approx -21 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Adopting these values (an upper limit), a mass inflow rate $M_{\text{inf}} \approx 0.7 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ is obtained. Since $M_{\text{inf}}$ is a factor of $\sim 5$ larger than the nuclear ring SFR estimated from the millimeter continuum, the inflow rate is sufficient to fuel the nuclear starburst even with modest efficiency.

Does the molecular gas form stars due to gravitational instabilities, or is it directly triggered? The gravitational stability of a thin, rotating disk can be estimated from the Toomre $Q$-parameter (Safronov 1960; Toomre 1964). A gas disk is unstable to gravitational collapse if $Q = k \sigma_\text{v}/\pi G \Sigma_{\text{gas}} < 1$, where $k$ is the epicyclic frequency, $\sigma_\text{v}$ is the gas velocity dispersion, and $\Sigma_{\text{gas}}$ is the gas surface density. Figure 13b displays the azimuthally averaged values of $k$ from the bar model together with the observed $^{13}$CO velocity dispersion, mass surface density, and corresponding $Q$-values. The value of $Q$ is $8 - 10$ across the central ring region containing the starburst and remains $>1$ over the central $30''$ radius. Clearly, the data are not consistent with star formation occurring in $Q < 1$ gravitationally unstable gas. This is not surprising for gas in the very center of galaxies given the (1) strong noncircular motions present, (2) the failure of the thin differentially rotating disk approximation, and (3) potentially strong turbulence and magnetic fields (e.g., Elmegreen 1999; Combes 2001; Wong & Blitz 2002).

Another estimator for gravitational stability that may be more suitable to nuclear gas can be obtained from Elmegreen (1994). Elmegreen (1994) estimates the critical density above which gas in a ring associated with an ILR can collapse to form stars as $n_{\text{crit}} = 0.6 \text{n}_c/G$, or $n_{\text{crit}} = 2.08 \times 10^{-3} \text{k}\text{m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$. The epicyclic frequency at the radius of the ring is $k \approx 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{k}\text{m}^{-1}$, which implies ring densities must be $n_{\text{H}_2} > 8 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ to be unstable to collapse. From the LVG analysis we find that the average density of the CO-emitting gas along the central ring is 1 order of magnitude lower than this value.

A lower limit to the stability of the molecular clouds can be set by assuming the clouds remain gravitationally bound against tidal forces. A cloud of mass $m$ will remain bound if $m \geq M(R)(r/R)^3$, where $M(R)$ is the total mass enclosed within a galactocentric radius $R$, and $r$ is the size of the molecular cloud (e.g., Stark et al. 1991). Clouds with densities of $n_{\text{H}_2} \geq 3.6 M_\odot \text{pc}^{-3}$ remain bound. For $R = 80 \text{ pc}$ and $M_\odot (80 \text{ pc}) = 1.1 \times 10^5 M_\odot$, values applicable to the Maffei 2 nuclear ring, $n_{\text{H}_2} \approx 630 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. This value is close to the densities inferred from our LVG analysis. The average molecular gas densities in the central ring are too low to be gravitationally unstable and are likely only marginally tidally bound. Indeed, along much of the central molecular ring not associated with the sites where the arms terminate, little star formation is observed.

It appears, then, that gravitational instability is not the answer. Instead, we consider the possibility that the star formation is triggered by events external to the clouds. Star formation in the nucleus of Maffei 2 is concentrated at the location of the $x_1 \times x_2$ orbit intersections indicated by our modeling. At these $x_1 \times x_2$ orbit intersection regions, star formation appears to be triggered by the collision of gas flowing inward along the arms of the bar with the existing, more diffuse gas of the central ring.

We propose that the evolution of the nuclear starburst has proceeded as follows. A recent interaction between a small companion and Maffei 2 has driven a large quantity of gas into the nucleus, building up a compact central bulge seen in the NIR (Hurt et al. 1993a, 1996). Assuming the potential generated by this compact bulge is slightly oval (a few percent is all that is necessary), it has forced the nuclear molecular gas into the bar distribution currently observed. Inflow along the nuclear $x_1$-orbits piles up gas at the nuclear $x_1 \times x_2$ orbit intersections. The interaction results in a fraction of the molecular gas going to the formation of dense cloud cores which collapse and trigger the star formation events at GMC D and just downstream of GMC F. Gas not incorporated into the dense component at these locations is then tidally sheared into the moderate density, nearly uniformly distributed ring, which in turn becomes the target for future collisions with infalling gas. As long as there is gas flowing inward, the burst of star formation at the arm–ring intersection can be sustained.

This scenario provides a good framework for all of the molecular gas and millimeter continuum observed toward Maffei 2, with one exception: the star formation near GMC E. This star-forming complex is on the $x_2$-orbit but not at either of the $x_1 \times x_2$ intersection regions. Nor is it a strong HCN source (although some HCN emission is seen). Why is star formation occurring here? Two possibilities come to mind: (1) The star formation is triggered by the molecular gas associated with GMC E interacting with the molecular gas toward GMC D after having traversed one-half of the $x_2$-orbit. (2) The star formation here reflects a slightly earlier epoch event associated with its passing through the southern $x_1 \times x_2$ interaction region. It is now being seen with a time lag equal to the traversed portion of the ring divided by the orbital velocity. From the nuclear ring parameters the time lag would be $\sim 1$ Myr. That the spectral index of the millimeter continuum is somewhat steeper toward GMC E, possibly suggesting a slightly older starburst with more evolved and less dense H ii regions, may favor the latter scenario.

8.3. Comparisons of Maffei 2 with Other Nearby Nuclei

The nuclear morphology of Maffei 2 is similar to that observed in the bright nuclei of the barred galaxies, IC 342, NGC 6946, and M83 (e.g., Ishizuki et al. 1990; Regan & Vogel 1995; Sakamoto et al. 2004) and the central molecular zone of the Galaxy (e.g., Binney et al. 1991; Rodriguez-Fernandez et al. 2006). All have nuclear bar morphologies reminiscent of their large-scale analogs (e.g., Athanassoula 1992). In IC 342 and M83, it remains somewhat ambiguous whether they are nuclear bars or just the inner portions of the large-scale bar, due to a combination of having massive clusters that potentially influence the dynamics (e.g., Schinnerer et al. 2003, 2007; Crosthwaite et al. 2004; Sakamoto et al. 2004) and low inclination, which hampers kinematic studies. The Maffei 2 kinematics leave little doubt that it is a true double bar. In fact the CO velocity field in the nucleus of Maffei 2 is perhaps the best current example of nuclear noncircular, bar motions outside our own Galactic center. Therefore, Maffei 2 can be added to NGC 6946 as confirmed double barred galaxies, but with a physical scale about 3 times larger. It is interesting that like NGC 6946 (and NGC 2974; Ishizuki et al. 1990; Emsellem et al. 2003; Schinnerer et al. 2006), our CO(1–0) observations imply the existence of straight shocks in nuclear bars. The inner ring and
Larger-scale bars (e.g., NGC 6946) and weak large-scale bars (Maffei 2) and weak large-scale bars (e.g., NGC 6946).

These nuclear bars also influence physical conditions of the gas. Despite the presence of luminous star-forming complexes in these nuclei, emission from the lines of the CO isotopomers is dominated by subthermally excited emission from low-excitation \( T_{\text{ex}} \approx 5-15 \) K gas and that this emission represents the properties of the bulk of the molecular gas. While the ISM in Maffei 2 appears slightly warmer than IC 342 (Meier & Turner 2001), its \( T_{\text{ex}} \) are very close to the average properties of NGC 6946 and the outer gas layers of the Galactic center (Huettemeister et al. 1993; Paglione et al. 1998; Meier & Turner 2004; Nagai et al. 2007). However, densities in the nucleus of Maffei 2 are consistently about 0.5 dex lower than NGC 6946 and the Galactic center. We suggest this comes from Maffei 2 having a stronger nuclear bar than the other two nuclei, resulting in more dramatic disruption and redistribution of its nuclear ISM.

9. SUMMARY

New aperture synthesis maps are presented for emission in the \( J = 2-1 \) and \( 1-0 \) transitions of \(^{13}\text{CO}\) and \(^{18}\text{CO}\), as well as the \( J = 1-0 \) lines of HCN and CO in the central arcminute (\( \sim 1 \) kpc) of Maffei 2. The \( H_2 \) column density as traced by optically thin CO isotopologues is similar in morphology to what is implied from \(^{12}\text{CO}\), except that the emission from the isotopologues is more closely confined to the two extended molecular arm ridges and more uniformly distributed across the central ring. The dense gas traced by HCN(1–0) is more confined to the center of the galaxy than the CO emitting gas.

The central molecular bar contains five main peaks that resolve into at least 17 distinct GMCs, with radii of \( \sim 40-110 \) pc and line widths \( \gtrsim 40 \) km s\(^{-1}\). In the two innermost molecular cloud complexes, at galactocentric radii of \( \sim 50 \) pc (from the dynamical center), the GMCs are distinctly nosphereal, elongated along the nuclear bar, with line widths as large as 100 km s\(^{-1}\). These GMCs are probably being tidally stretched due to the nuclear potential.

The \( H_2 \) column density for the central GMCs is \( N_{H_2} \approx (4.4-10) \times 10^{22} \) cm\(^{-2}\) (\( \Sigma \approx 950-2200 \) M\(_{\odot}\) pc\(^{-2}\)), corresponding to mean optical extinctions of \( A_v \approx 40-100 \). The molecular mass within the central 200 pc galactocentric radius (\( \sim 300 \) pc) is 2.1 \( \times 10^4 \) M\(_{\odot}\), while the dynamical mass in the same region is \( M_{\text{dyn}}(200') = 7.3 \times 10^8 \) M\(_{\odot}\). The molecular mass is only a few percent of the dynamical mass.Excitation temperatures, assuming \( 13\gamma(18\gamma) \sim 1 \) (\( \ll 1 \)), are \( T_{\text{ex}} \sim 3-6 \) K over much of the central 500 pc for both \(^{13}\text{CO}\) and \(^{18}\text{CO}\). These \( T_{\text{ex}} \) values are low compared with the brightness temperature observed in CO (\( \gtrsim 30 \) K) indicating subthermal excitation, and that the average densities of the GMCs are probably only moderate. Single component LVG analysis of the GMCs in CO, \(^{13}\text{CO}\), and \(^{18}\text{CO}\) yield best-fit solutions of \( n_{\text{H}_2} \approx 10^{2.75} \) cm\(^{-3}\) and \( T_{\text{ex}} \approx 20-30 \) K. Average densities estimated from the total \(^{18}\text{CO}\) column densities are consistent with these values.

The \(^{13}\text{CO}\) and \(^{18}\text{CO}\) lines are weaker than expected from CO(1–0), which appears to be overluminous per unit gas mass across the starburst region. Column densities derived from both \(^{13}\text{CO}\) and \(^{18}\text{CO}\) and 1.4 mm dust continuum emission imply that \( X_{\text{CO}}(\text{Maf}2) \) is about 2–4 times lower than the Galactic value, similar to \( X_{\text{CO}} \) values found for the centers of other large spirals, including our own. The weakness of the isotopologues at large galactocentric radii and in the “off-arm” spray regions of Maffei 2, suggest that in these regions either the isotopologues cease to effectively trace molecular gas or that the Galactic conversion factor overestimates the molecular column. The lack of applicability of the Galactic X\(_{\text{CO}}\) to the clouds in the center of Maffei 2 is probably due to the effect of bar motions and strong tides on the structure and dynamics of these clouds.

Millimeter continuum emission reveals three prominent locations of star formation with the most intense occurring where the molecular bar intersects the nuclear ring. Lyman continuum rates of \( N_{\text{Lyc}} \sim (3-5) \times 10^{21} \) s\(^{-1}\) are implied for individual regions. The total rate for the entire nucleus is \( N_{\text{Lyc}} \sim 2.6 \times 10^{25} \) s\(^{-1}\), or SFR ~ 0.26 M\(_{\odot}\) yr\(^{-1}\).

A P-V diagram of the nucleus of Maffei 2 shows a distinct “parallelogram” indicating molecular gas response to a barred potential. The morphological and kinematic data confirms Maffei 2 as the true double barred galaxy. We suggest a bar model in which the nuclear gas distribution and velocity is governed by a small nuclear bar of \( r \sim 110 \) pc. An upper limit to the mass inflow rate along the nuclear bar is \( dm/dt \lesssim 0.7 \) M\(_{\odot}\) yr\(^{-1}\), enough to drive the current SFR seen at the end of the bar arms and populate the nuclear ring with gas. The locations of star formation and the dense gas in the central region appear to coincide with the location of the \( x_1-x_2 \) orbit crossings of the nuclear bar, consistent with dynamical triggering of the star formation.
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