Competitive density waves in quasi-one-dimensional electron systems
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We investigate the nature of the ground state of the one-dimensional $t-J$ model coupled to adiabatic phonons by use of the Lanczos technique at quarter filling. Due to the interplay between electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions, the model undergoes instabilities toward the formation of lattice and charge modulations. Moderate on-site and intra-site electron-phonon couplings lead to a competition of different spin-Peierls and dimerized states. In the former case two electrons belong to the unit cell and we expect a paramagnetic band insulator state, while lattice dimerization leads to a Mott insulating state with quasi long range antiferromagnetic order. The zero temperature phase diagram is obtained as a function of intra-site and inter-site electron-phonon couplings, analytically in the $J \to 0$ limit and numerically at finite $J/t$.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The coupling between electrons and lattice degrees of freedom in strongly correlated systems has been the subject of several experimental and theoretical investigations showing that low dimensional materials are prone to structural distortions driven by electron-phonon interaction. The most celebrated case is the Peierls instability, extensively studied in weakly correlated models: the ground state of a one dimensional electron-phonon system is characterized by a lattice distortion which opens a gap at the Fermi energy and gives rise to a charge modulation. In a half filled band, the density wave is commensurate to the lattice, the unit cell doubles and the chain shows a spontaneous dimerized ground state. When on site Coulomb repulsion cannot be neglected, the system displays competition between a Mott insulator state with strong antiferromagnetic correlations, favored by electron-electron interaction, and a paramagnetic band insulator state stabilized by lattice effects.

Experimentally, there are indications for the importance of both electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions in quasi-one dimensional systems. In particular there is a wide class of low dimensional materials, like the Bechgaard-Fabre salts series, where the presence of these interactions leads to several modulated phases such as: charge-density-wave (CDW), spin-Peierls (SP), antiferromagnetic (AF), spin-density-wave (SDW), and even a high temperature superconducting state.

The physical mechanism which drives these different orderings is not fully understood yet. A satisfactory theory should be able to explain the origin and the stability of different patterns, and the temperature scale at which they occur. In any case, the role of electron-phonon and electron-electron interactions will be instrumental for the explanation of the presence of bond and charge order.

By now, the physics of one dimensional (1D) electron systems in a rigid lattice is well understood in terms of the Luttinger Liquid (LL) paradigm: a LL is a 1D paramagnetic metal characterized by gapless excitations both in the spin and in the charge channel. In spin isotropic models, the low energy properties are determined by a single parameter denoted by $K_\rho$, in particular $K_\rho < 1$ for repulsive interactions and $K_\rho > 1$ in the attractive regime. The former case is characterized by strong antiferromagnetic correlations, while in the latter dominant superconducting fluctuations are present. Luttinger Liquid theory is an invaluable tool for the study of the effects of perturbations on an interacting 1D electron gas. Within this theoretical framework, it has been shown that electron-lattice coupling, impurities and interchain interaction can destabilize the LL behavior opening a gap in the spin and/or charge excitation spectrum. A charge gap leads to an insulating state whose properties depend whether the spin sector remains gapless or not. A gapless spin spectrum leads to a 1D Mott insulator with (quasi) antiferromagnetic ordering, while a gap in the spin channel corresponds to a band insulator. In short range models, a spin gap with no charge gap preludes to a (quasi) superconducting state.

Lattice effects in quasi one dimensional organic conductors have been mostly investigated on the basis of the Hubbard model with additional phonon couplings in adiabatic approximation (Peierls-Hubbard model). Recent works extended the study to include quantum effects for the phonons. However, the role of phonon coupling in 1D models which may display attractive interactions, like the $t-J$ model, received comparatively less attention. The simultaneous presence of both bond and on-site (Holstein) phonons is likely to be an essential ingredient for a faithful representation of quasi 1D organic materials. In this paper we explore systematically the effect of adding electron-phonon interactions to the $t-J$ model by including inter-site (bond) and on-site (Holstein) phonon couplings in the adiabatic approximation. We aim at the understanding of the qualitative features of the lattice periodicities which are stabilized and the sequence of modulations the model undergoes when the strength of phonon couplings is varied.
II. THE MODEL

The $t-J$ hamiltonian provides one of the simplest models able to capture the essential low energy physics of doped antiferromagnets. The electron dynamics is governed by a competition between two terms: the kinetic contribution which describes hopping between adjacent sites and the nearest neighbor Heisenberg interaction, which favors antiferromagnetic alignment of spins. An infinite on site repulsion is also included in the model by means of a constraint. The phase diagram of the pure $t-J$ model has been numerically determined while analytic solutions are known only in the $J \to 0$ limit and at the supersymmetric point $J/t = 2^{12,13}$. At half filling the model reduces to the Heisenberg chain and when it is adiabatically coupled to the lattice via an arbitrarily weak perturbation, the system undergoes spontaneous dimerization (spin-Peierls instability) leading to a lattice distortion with wave vector $q = 2k_F$: adjacent spins are paired in a singlet state and a spin gap in the excitation spectrum shows up. For electron density $n < 1$ the pure $t-J$ model enters the Luttinger Liquid phase and, at larger $J/t$, phase separation sets in.

The one-dimensional $t-J$ model coupled with adiabatic phonons is defined by the hamiltonian

$$H = - \sum_i (1 - \delta_i) \left( \tilde{c}_i^\dagger \tilde{c}_{i+1} + h.c. \right) + J \sum_i (1 - g\delta_i) \left( S_i \cdot S_{i+1} - \frac{1}{4} n_i n_{i+1} \right) + V \sum_i n_i n_{i+1} + \sum_i n_i v_i + \frac{1}{2} K_B \sum_i \delta_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_H \sum_i v_i^2$$

(1)

where $S_i$, $\delta_i$, and $v_i$ are spin-1/2 operators at the site $i$, $c_i^\dagger$ and $c_i$ are electron Gutzwiller-projected creation operators and $n_i = \sum_{\sigma} c_i^\dagger \sigma c_i \sigma$ is the local electron density. We have also set the bare hopping integral $t$ to unity thereby fixing the energy scale. Hamiltonian (1) includes both nearest neighbor superexchange coupling $J$ and Coulomb repulsion $V$. The hamiltonian parameterically depends on the classical variables $\delta_i$ and $v_i$ which identify the bond distortion and the amplitude of the internal site vibration, respectively. The bond distortions are defined as $\delta_i = (u_i - u_{i-1})$, where $u_i$ is the displacement of the $i$ site from the equilibrium position, while the on-site displacement $v_i$ corresponds to an effective parameter which combines several processes. Clearly, the physical range where the hamiltonian (1) may be used is restricted to $|\delta_i| \ll 1$. The first three terms correspond to the usual $t-J-V$ model coupled with adiabatic bond phonons, the next one is the Holstein type interaction between the particles and the lattice, while the last two terms describe the elastic deformation energy, $K_B$ and $K_H$ being the spring constants.

The $t-J$ model (1) can be regarded as the strong coupling limit of the extended Hubbard model when $U \to \infty$. This leads to a definite relationship between the Hubbard and $t-J$ couplings: $J = 4/(U-V)$ and $g = 2\sqrt{2}$. However, it is known that the $t-J$ model can be also considered as an effective one band hamiltonian unrelated to the Hubbard model. In this case, the superexchange coupling $J$ takes into account electronic processes involving other degrees of freedom (like the Oxygen orbitals in Copper Oxide materials and in manganites). The study of the effects of phonon coupling in the $t-J$ model allows to analyze the role of magnetic interactions in stabilizing lattice distortions in itinerant electron models. By varying the $J$ parameter we can explicitly tune the strength of antiferromagnetic coupling in the system: the comparison between the (analytical) $J \to 0$ limit and the (numerical) finite $J$ results will contribute to the understanding of the physical mechanisms leading to the observed periodicities. In the following we will therefore consider $J$, $V$ and $q$ as free parameters in the $t-J$ hamiltonian (1).

The calculations have been performed at quarter filling $n = 1/2$, which is an appropriate choice to mimic the behavior of the organic compounds. By use of Lanczos diagonalizations, we found the lattice distortions providing the lowest energy, without any assumption on the periodicity of the broken symmetry ground state. The extremum condition defining the optimal modulation can be formally expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \langle H \rangle}{\partial \delta_i} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial \langle H \rangle}{\partial v_i} = 0$$

(2)

The sum rule $\sum_i \delta_i = 0$ reflecting the ring geometry of the model has been implemented by use of a Lagrange multiplier, while no constraint on $\{v_i\}$ has been imposed. Here $\langle \ldots \rangle$ is the ground-state expectation value obtained by exact diagonalization using the Lanczos algorithm. In order to find the bond length configuration which minimizes the total energy $E(\{\delta_i\}, \{v_i\})$, at fixed spring constants ($K_B, K_H$), we iterate the set of equations (2) until convergence is reached.

Following a previous investigation we chose open shell boundary conditions in order to mimic the gapless behavior of the (pure) $t-J$ model in the thermodynamic limit when studying a small size chain ($N \leq 16$).

III. ANALYTICAL RESULTS

In the special limit $J \to 0$ (and $V = 0$) the problem can be solved analytically even in the thermodynamic limit because the charge and spin degrees of freedom exactly factorize at all length scales. By setting $J = 0$ in Eq. (1) the hamiltonian reduces to the pure kinetic contribution. In 1D the single occupancy constraint of the $t-J$ model can be explicitly taken into account by promoting the spinful electron to spinless fermions. The original electron problem at quarter filling then maps into the adiabatic Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model for spinless
fermions at half filling with an additional local potential \(v_i\) and elastic deformation energies.

Being at half filling, the Hamiltonian in Ref. 11 is unstable toward dimerization and a gap in the energy spectrum opens for arbitrarily weak electron phonon coupling. In the SSH adiabatic case \((v_i = 0)\) a dimerized lattice distortion pattern with uniform charge is stabilized and gives rise to a bond ordered wave (BOW). In the pure Holstein case \((\delta_i = 0)\) the lattice is undistorted and a CDW forms. A competition between BOW and CDW dimerizations is expected in the general case. By assuming a dimerization pattern of the form \(\delta_i = (-1)^i\) and \(v_i = (-1)^i v - v_0\), the Hamiltonian in Ref. 11 for \(J \to 0\) becomes:

\[
H = - \sum_i (1 - \delta(-1)^i) \left( c_{i+1,i}^{\dagger} c_{i,i+1} + h.c. \right) + \\
+ v \sum_i (-1)^i n_i + \frac{N}{2} K_B \delta^2 + \\
+ \frac{N}{2} K_H v^2 - v_0 N_e + \frac{N}{2} K_H v_0^2
\]

where \(N\) is the number of sites and \(N_e = N/2\) is the number of particles.

In this case the Hamiltonian can be easily diagonalized by canonical transformations and the total energy, in the thermodynamic limit, has the form:

\[
E = -N \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \left[ 4 \left( \cos^2 q + \delta^2 \sin^2 q \right) + v^2 \right]^{1/2} + \\
\left( N \frac{K_B \delta^2}{2} + N \frac{K_H v^2}{2} - \frac{N}{8 K_H} \right)\]

The minima of the energy \(E\) as a function of the dimerization parameters \(\delta\) and \(v\) always correspond to pure BOW \((v = 0)\) or pure CDW \((\delta = 0)\) according to the values of the elastic constants. The two modulations are respectively given by the equations:

\[
K_B = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{dq}{2\pi} 2 \sin^2 q \left( \cos^2 q + \delta^2 \sin^2 q \right)^{-1/2}
\]

\[
K_H = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \left( 4 \cos^2 q + v^2 \right)^{-1/2}
\]

Finally, the BOW/CDW phase boundary is obtained by equating the energies of the two distortions. The result is shown in Fig. 1. The asymptotic form of the transition line for large elastic constants (i.e. for weak distortions) is given analytically by \(K_B = 4K_H - 2/\pi\). We observe that at small \(K_H\) a charge dimerization in the undistorted lattice \((4k_F, CDW)\) state; see Fig. 2) prevails, while in the rest of the phase diagram a dimerized lattice with uniform charge \((4k_F, BOW)\) state; see Fig. 2) is stabilized. In both cases a gap in the charge excitation spectrum is present and the system is therefore an insulator.

In order to characterize the electronic ground state of the model we observe that even in the presence of adiabatic phonons the spin-charge factorization in Ref. 11 holds and therefore, following the analysis carried out for the strong coupling limit of the one dimensional Hubbard model\(^{12}\), the spin correlations can be expressed as a convolution

\[
\langle S_r \cdot S_0 \rangle = \sum_{j=2}^{r+1} P_{SF}^r (j) S_H (j - 1)
\]

where \(P_{SF}^r (j)\) is the probability of finding \(j\) particles in \((0, r)\) with one particle in 0 and another in \(r\), evaluated in the ground state of the dimerized spinless Fermi gas.

The asymptotic decay of Eq. 6, in the presence of lattice dimerization and uniform charge (Fig. 2a) has been previously evaluated in Ref. 2.

\[
\langle S_r \cdot S_0 \rangle \propto \frac{\cos(2k_F r - \frac{\pi}{4})}{r}
\]

and shows antiferromagnetic quasi long range order. Here the Fermi wave vector is given by \(k_F = n\pi/2 = \pi/4\). An analogous scenario is expected in the CDW phase, where the lattice is uniform but the charge is dimerized (see Fig. 2b). In this case the spin-spin correlation function is not translationally invariant and decays as:

\[
\langle S_r \cdot S_0 \rangle \propto \frac{\cos(2k_F r)}{r}
\]

within each sublattice. This asymptotic result, valid for any charge dimerization, can be obtained in a simple way in the limiting case where the electron density is zero in one sublattice (i.e. when \(v \to \infty\)). In this case \(P_{SF}^r (j) = \delta_{j, r/2 + 1}\) which leads to 8 via Eq. 9. Therefore in both the BOW and CDW case, the \(t - J\) model is characterized by a charge gap together with a \(1/r\) decay of spin correlations and diverging antiferromagnetic correlations.
susceptibility, as in the 1D Heisenberg model. Such a scenario strongly suggests that, even for $J \to 0$, lattice coupling favors antiferromagnetism, which will be eventually stabilized when the inter-chain coupling, present in the three dimensional systems, is taken into account.

Interestingly, this solution directly applies to the strong coupling limit of the Hubbard model via the correspondence $J = 4/U$. Therefore we expect that the phase diagram of Fig. 1 faithfully represents the behavior of the $U \to \infty$ Hubbard model when only the on site Coulomb repulsion is considered (i.e. $V = 0$). The presence of finite range interactions is likely to play an important role in stabilizing other periodicities.

IV. LANCZOS DIAGONALIZATIONS

We now turn to the analysis of the full hamiltonian including the super-exchange term $J$ and both Holstein and Peierls lattice couplings. Unfortunately for finite values of $J$ it is not possible to derive exact results in the thermodynamic limit and then we investigate this model at quarter filling and vanishing magnetization. Results are given for a fixed value of magnetic and magnetoelastic couplings ($J = 1.4$, $V = 0$ and $q = 1$) in order to discuss the interplay between bond and charge distortions in the presence of antiferromagnetic interactions. We note that, due to strong finite size effects, a large value of the magnetic coupling $J$ is necessary to stabilize the possible symmetry broken phases. Otherwise, lattice and charge modulations are present only for exceedingly small elastic constants. However, we believe that the qualitative features of the phase diagram at realistic values of $J$ are well reproduced by our small cluster calculations, while we expect that the position of the phase boundaries and the amplitude of the lattice distortions will be severely renormalized in the thermodynamic limit.

In the ground state we observe only two competing periodicities: tetramerization ($q = 2k_F$) and dimerization ($q = 4k_F$). In the former case two electrons per unit cell are present and then the system will probably be a paramagnetic band insulator with both charge and spin gap. This regime corresponds to the spin-Peierls phase experimentally found in the Fabre and Bechgaard salts series. When a $q = 4k_F$ modulation is stabilized, two sites belong to the unit cell thereby accommodating a single electron: the Umklapp scattering opens a charge gap and the system behaves like a Mott insulator.

In Fig. 3 we present a schematic ground state phase diagram in the $1/K_H$-$1/K_F$ plane, showing the regions where the various broken symmetries dominate. Note that, as soon as both phonon couplings are significant, mixed CDW-BOW ground-states are stabilized.

All numerical results have been obtained by Lanczos diagonalization with no assumption on the super-cell structure or on the periodicity of the ground state. This limits the investigated cluster sizes to the range $N \leq 16$. In all cases the results do not depend on the starting bond configuration nor on the convergence requirement of the adopted iterative method. At large $K_H$, the numerical data show a narrow region where the ground state is a $4k_F$ BOW, as we found in the $J \to 0$ limit. However, we suspect that in the thermodynamic limit this phase disappears at finite $J$: our evidence for this comes from few Lanczos diagonalizations carried out in a $N = 20$ site chain showing that the stability region of the $4k_F$ BOW phase is considerably reduced, while the other phase boundaries hardly move. Therefore we can

![FIG. 2: Pictorial representations of the competing density waves in the ground state of the t-J model. a) $4k_F$ BOW two different bonds and uniform charge, b) $2k_F$ BCDW tetramerized phase (I-S-I-W) three different bonds and two different charges, c) $4k_F$ CDW-SP (W-W-S-S) two different bonds and three different charges, d) $4k_F$ CDW uniform bond and two different charges.](image)

![FIG. 3: Phase diagram of the t-J model coupled to adiabatic phonons obtained by numerical diagonalization of a $N \leq 16$ site chain. The boundaries of the dimerized bond order $4k_F$ BOW, the tetramerized $2k_F$ BCDW, the $4k_F$ CDW and uniform bond order, the $4k_F$ CDW-SP tetramerized bond-charge order are schematically shown. The dashed line represents a crossover between two different metastable states, as discussed in the text.](image)
conclude, as already suggested for the Peierls-extended Hubbard model, that the 4k_F BOW ground state phase could be an artifact of the limited size chain.

Few calculations have been also performed for different parameter values. In all cases we observed the same sequence of states shown in the phase diagram of Fig. 4 while only the phase boundaries are sensibly affected by the precise values of the couplings: When J is reduced, a uniform phase appears at large values of K_H and the stability domains of the BOW phase gets larger. Remarkably, the qualitative appearance of the phase diagram remains unaltered by tuning the magnetoelastic coupling g and most of the changes can be absorbed into a renormalization of the couplings, the effective J being reduced when g is increased. Similarly, by including the nearest neighbor Coulomb repulsion V > 0 the rigidity of the system is enhanced and the effective elastic constants get larger. However, no qualitatively different behaviors appear.

For the investigated value of the super-exchange term J, all the various charge and bond modulations can be stabilized by tuning the Holstein coupling at fixed K_B. Moving from weak to strong on-site coupling (i.e. by lowering K_H) three different types of structures are found: a 4k_F CDW, a 4k_F CDW-SP and a 2k_F BCDW. In all these phases, the bond and charge density pattern can be simply parameterized as:

\[ \langle n_i \rangle = \frac{1}{2} + A_{4k_F} \cos(\pi i) + A_{2k_F} \cos(\pi i + \phi_c) \]

\[ \delta_i = B_{4k_F} \cos(\pi i) + B_{2k_F} \cos(\pi i + \phi_b) \]  \[ (9) \]

At small on-site coupling (K_H → ∞) 4k_F CDW instability prevails, while bonds remain uniform (see Fig. 2). In this phase, the only non-vanishing amplitude in Eq. (9) is A_{4k_F}. Note that a previous investigation of the t-J model without Holstein phonons showed no evidence in favor of the 4k_F CDW-SP and 4k_F CDW phases. By lowering K_H, a tetramerized phase denoted 4k_F CDW-SP is obtained in the region of intermediate phonon couplings (see Fig. 2): the charge ordering is characterized by a superposition of a 2k_F and a 4k_F density wave, while the lattice modulation contains only a strong 2k_F component. This regime corresponds to the W-W-S bond sequence, where S and W respectively represent a weak (W) and strong (S) bond. The charges order according to the sequence 1-0-1-0, where 1 (0) identifies a local densities higher (lower) than the average (n = 1/2). Note that, as shown in Fig. 2, the two low density (“0”) sites inside the unit cell have different densities. The bond modulation is reproduced by setting B_{2k_F} ≠ 0, B_{4k_F} ≃ 0 and \( \phi_b = \pi/4 \) in Eq. (9), while the charge pattern requires A_{2k_F} ≠ 0, A_{4k_F} ≠ 0 and \( \phi_c = 0 \). At small K_H the ground state is characterized by a mixed 2k_F and 4k_F lattice order accompanied by a strong 2k_F charge modulation (BCDW phase). The bond sequence is of the form I-S-I-W. To avoid intermediate, i.e. a moderately strong bond and the charge order is the type of 0-1-1-0 (see Fig. 2b). The site centered BOW corresponds to both B_{4k_F} ≠ 0 and B_{2k_F} ≠ 0 with \( \phi_b = 0 \), and the 2k_F bond centered CDW is parameterized by A_{2k_F} ≠ 0, A_{4k_F} ≃ 0 and \( \phi_c = \pi/4 \). Notice that while the strong (S) and weak (W) bonds are affected by the strength of the on-site and bond couplings, the intermediate (I) bonds remain roughly constant throughout the BCDW region. By comparing these patterns to those obtained in the absence of Holstein phonons we see that in the BCDW phase the S-W-S-W’ bond sequence is never stabilized (except in a narrow region close to the phase boundary). However, by reducing the antiferromagnetic coupling or by including a nearest neighbor Coulomb term V, a small BCDW region characterized by the S-W-S-W’ bond pattern shows up.

The sequence of modulations displayed in the phase diagram of Fig. 4 does not change qualitatively with K_B and is maintained even at small bond phonon coupling, i.e. in the limit K_B → ∞, where the amplitude of the bond distortions becomes vanishingly small (\( \delta_i \) → 0). Contrary to the Hubbard model, the quantitative values of the site charge density wave \( \langle n_i \rangle \) in the 4k_F CDW-SP and 4k_F CDW state are quite small, whereas in the BCDW state they are considerably larger. We could not stabilize, in our calculations, any 4k_F CDW-SP or 4k_F CDW states with large values of \( \langle n_i \rangle \), nor any BCDW state with small \( \langle n_i \rangle \). In Fig. 4 we show a typical trend of the site charge density wave \( \langle n_i \rangle \). The various points at each K_B provide the independent density values inside the unit cell of the superlattice generated by the phonon distortions. Both the 4k_F CDW-SP and the 4k_F CDW regime are characterized by a substantially K_B-independent value of the highest density. Instead, the lower density of the 4k_F CDW state splits into two branches in the 4k_F CDW-SP phase: the splitting increases continuously even through the BCDW phase boundary. Similarly, by varying K_H at fixed K_B the size of the bond modulation and its periodicities are shown.
Whenever lattice tetramerization is stabilized, two electrons belong to the unit cell and then the chain behaves as a paramagnetic band insulator, like in the spin-Peierls phase. We believe that, because of the presence of both charge and spin gap, this phase is stable toward 3D interchain coupling. While for lattice dimerization one electron belongs to the unit cell: electron repulsive interactions drive the system toward a Mott insulator phase and, if 3D coupling is allowed, antiferromagnetic order is favored.

Our numerical study has been limited to investigate the ground state properties of the hamiltonian (1). However a finite temperature analysis is relevant in order to apply the results of our model to real materials. Some preliminary information about the temperature dependence can be inferred by evaluating, via Lanczos diagonalizations, the energies of the lowest metastable state of the hamiltonian (1) obtained by imposing a given periodicity of the bond/charge modulation. More precisely, let us consider a point of the phase diagram corresponding to a $2k_F$ modulation of the ground state, either of the BCDW or of the $4k_F$ CDW-SP type: We performed a self consistent Lanczos diagonalization by imposing the further constraint of $4k_F$ periodicity, i.e. of a two site unitary cell. In this way, the calculation cannot converge to the actual ground state (which is characterized by a four site unitary cell) but instead it selects a metastable state identified as the lowest energy state with two site periodicity. The nature of this metastable state changes throughout the phase diagram of Fig. 3: it is of the $4k_F$ CDW type (Fig. 2a) in the whole $4k_F$ CDW-SP region and to the right of the dashed line in the BCDW phase, while it is of the $4k_F$ BOW type (Fig. 2a) in the remaining part of the BCDW region. The picture of the underlying energy landscape and the evaluation of the energy barrier separating the symmetry broken states can be also obtained by Lanczos diagonalizations. Starting from a W-W-S-S configuration (Fig. 2a) we gradually changed the difference $\delta_S - \delta_W$ between the two strong and the two weak lattice distortions in the unitary cell, while letting the self consistent Lanczos algorithm optimize the vibrational parameters $v_i$. A typical plot of the energy as a function of the bond asymmetry is shown in Fig. (lower panel). The broken symmetry $4k_F$ CDW-SP ground states correspond to the energy minima at non vanishing bond asymmetry, while the $4k_F$ CDW metastable state with uniform bonds is the central maximum. When the thermal energy $k_BT$ is higher than the energy barrier $\Delta E$ we expect that thermal fluctuations will restore the full symmetry among the bonds in the unitary cell stabilizing a $4k_F$ CDW state. Analogous calculation can be performed in the other regimes. In Fig. 6 (upper panel) the energy landscape corresponding to the BCDW phase I-S-I-W is shown. Here, $\delta_S - \delta_W$ identifies the difference between the strongest (S) and the weakest (W) bond, while the two other bonds (of equal strength) are kept constant. The overall picture is quite similar to the previous case, although the energy barrier is considerably higher. The metastable state at $\delta_S = \delta_W$ now corresponds to a dimerized (BOW) configuration with uniform charge: such a phase is then expected to represent the intermediate temperature regime in this region of the phase diagram. At higher temperatures a metallic uniform state is stabilized. The two scenarios discussed here are schematically shown in Fig. 7. Interestingly, the former case (panel a) corresponds to the ground state sequence experimentally found in the Fabre salt series by increasing the temperature $T$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the $t-J$ hamiltonian including both adiabatic Holstein and Peierls lattice couplings, with amplitudes defined by the two independent parameters $1/K_H$ and $1/K_B$, respectively. Exact analytical
The effects of charge and bond ordering have been extensively investigated in the extended Hubbard model, adiabatically coupled with the lattice. A ground state phase diagram obtained as a function of the electron-phonon interaction shows the same periodicities that we found in our model, with the exception of the BCDW regime whose bond pattern follows the S-W-S-W' sequence. By lowering \( J \) or by adding the nearest neighbor Coulomb repulsion \( V \), the S-W-S-W' is recovered in a small window close to the BCDW phase boundary.

This analytical and numerical study represents the first attempt to investigate the interplay of bond and Holstein phonons in the one dimensional \( t-J \) model. The results show an overall similarity with respect to previous studies for the Hubbard model. However, even when the bond pattern is similar, the corresponding charge density wave displays different features between the two models due to the presence of a finite range attraction, of magnetic origin, in the \( t-J \) systems. A nearest neighbor attraction smears the strong charge oscillations present, for instance, in the \( 4k_F \) CDW phase of the Hubbard model. A direct measure of charge and bond patterns in organic conductors will help in understanding the role of direct magnetic coupling in real materials. Finally, another remarkable result which emerges form this work is the counter-intuitive suppression of antiferromagnetic (quasi) long range order in strongly correlated models when \( J \) is increased, due to the coupling to the lattice which drives a \( 2k_F \) instability, leading to a Spin-Peierls state.
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