In silico computation of coagulation factor II: a potential water treatment agent against gram negative bacteria
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Abstract

Water as one of the main sources of life, holds an important aspect to public health and safety. Up until now there have been many concerns about water pollution especially in developing countries. Heavy polluted water that is not treated well could cause many concerning diseases that can lead to deaths. Contaminants that came from chemical, physical, and biological compounds are commonly found in the water sources. Gram negative bacteria (GNB) has been shown to develop multiple drugs and antibiotics resistant, making the infection more fatal. This has become a major concern on public health especially in water treatment as it becomes more challenging. Our study investigates human coagulation factor II that is responsible for blood clotting as a possible method for water treatment against GNB. By investigating the coagulation protein interaction with several bacterial lipopolysaccharides proteins and calculating the binding affinity of the interaction, the results show factor II has a lower binding affinity compared to previously studied factor VII. This shows possibilities of factor II to hydrolyse several gram-negative bacteria to act as a potential treatment against these GNBs.
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Introduction

Water is one of the main sources of life; every activity is related to water. Most people in big cities rely on treated water pipes, however unfortunately 663 million people around the world still rely on rivers or other water sources to do most of their activities such as cooking, bathing, drinking, and many more (WHO/UNICEF Joint Water Supply, 2015). The situation right now, especially in developing countries like Indonesia, is getting worse. The water has gone darker with less living creatures. People do not care about the water's health and still throw trash into the rivers. Most of the wastewater discharged untreated directly into the water. The rivers get more toxic and have a high level of contamination, especially from chemicals, bacteria, feces, metal, etc. These contaminations are oftentimes the root cause for waterborne diseases such as diarrhea, scabies, cholera, dysentery, hepatitis A, typhoid, polio, etc., which are responsible for 1.2 million deaths every
year (Hannah and Max, 2019; Hatami, 2013), becoming a major cause of mortality and morbidity (Cissé, 2019).

Specifically, gram negative bacteria (GNB) have become an increasingly concerning public health problem. Its resistance to multiple drugs and increasing resistance to available antibiotics make infection far more fatal especially in countries with poor medical infrastructure. To add to that, its ability to resist various drugs, also makes chemical-based water treatment a more challenging process.

A hundred to two hundred thousand of deaths were caused by *Vibrio cholerae* bacteria alone. This cholera infection occurs through the consumption of contaminated water or food that can lead to death within 12 hours of first symptoms (Conner et al., 2016). Salmonella disease is caused by *Salmonella enterica* that first only infect animal farms but now can infect humans. While the *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella* sp are closely related. *E. coli* can cause severe diarrhea, vomiting, and stomach cramp while *Shigella* sp. can cause shigellosis infection through contaminated water or food and feces.

Gram-negative bacteria have an outer membrane composed of complex lipopolysaccharide, which is unique to the bacterial outer membrane for cell viability. The structure of lipopolysaccharide is important for the structural integrity of the gram-negative bacteria’s outer membrane, as the gram-negative bacteria’s survivability against chemical attack is dependent on it. The outer membrane also contains antigens to defend itself against biological attack. The disruption/destruction of the outer membrane would make the gram-negative bacteria prone to attacks, resulting in the death of the organism.

Recently, a paper from Chen et al. (2019) discussed the interaction of coagulation factors VII, IX, and X against the lipopolysaccharide. The paper discussed that they found that the light chain of coagulation factor VII, which is naturally used as a coagulation factor on an event bleeding occurs, can hydrolyse the lipopolysaccharide of extensively drug-resistant *Escherichia coli*. The paper also tested the light chain coagulation factor VII on other extensively drug-resistant gram-negative bacteria with positive results in the ability to hydrolyse the lipopolysaccharide of the tested bacteria.

The goal of this study is to explore and compare the ability of other coagulation factors to hydrolyse lipopolysaccharides of various gram-negative bacteria with molecular simulation tools. This is done to see the potential of other coagulation factors as well as its ability to hydrolyse multiple bacterial species. This process is done by molecular docking the light chain coagulation factors VII and II with the lipopolysaccharide to determine the interaction between the molecules. With light chains serving as a standard as its efficacy is previously known.

**Materials and Methods**

The flowchart of our methodology could be found in the Figure 1.

**Protein structure**

The bacterial lipopolysaccharide, the coagulation factors VII, and II were obtained from the RCSB PDB database. The PDB id was shown in Table 1. The GNBs used were *Salmonella enterica*, *Vibrio cholerae*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Shigella flexneri*. The protein structure was search based on the keywords of bacteria name and protein structure.

**Preliminary structure retrieval and processing**

The bacterial lipopolysaccharide protein structure and the coagulation factor VII were obtained from the RCSB PDB database. The PDB id was shown in Table 1. The raw protein structure was cleaned of its unnecessary solvents and parts using PyMOL. The clean protein structure was then assessed for its angular viability by obtaining its Ramachandran favoured value from its Ramachandran plot, via the SWISS-MODEL server. Structures were deemed viable if it scored a Ramachandran favour >85%.
Table 1. Protein name and the PDB id

| PDB ID | Name                                                                                     |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1F7M   | Human blood coagulation FVII, NMR, minimized average structure                           |
| 4LXB   | Human blood coagulation FII (light chain), X-Ray diffraction                           |
| 4N4R   | Salmonella enterica structure basis of lipopolysaccharide biogenesis                     |
| 6MJP   | Vibrio cholerae Lipopolysaccharide export system protein LptC                             |
| 6M17   | Escherichia coli Lipopolysaccharide export system permease protein LptF                   |
| 6S8N   | Shigella flexneri Lipopolysaccharide ABC transporter, ATP-binding protein LptB            |

Protein interaction analysis
The protein-protein interactions analysis was done using the ClusPro (Kozakov et al., 2017) web server. The downloaded pdb file for both proteins, as seen on Table 1, were imported to the web server and the model selection was done based on its cluster size. The energy was recorded, and the visualization of the interaction was done using the PyMOL application.

Binding affinity analysis
In order to comparatively assess the docking results between the combinations of bacterial species origin and coagulation factor, its binding affinity was computed. The PRODIGY server (Xue et al., 2016) by Bonvin lab was used to get the binding affinity or ΔG in kcal mol⁻¹. The protein-protein binding was selected and the molecular models that were output of ClusPro were uploaded. In the two interactors molecule and ligand chain name was specified respectively.

Figure 1. Workflow of the Molecular Simulation. The pipeline was taken and inspired from Arifin and Parikesit (2020); Lungidningtyas and Parikesit (2020). The data were collected from RCSB pdb using the bacteria name and protein structure as the keyword for the GNB structure and the human coagulation factor VII or II for the coagulation factors. Preliminary processing was done beforehand to remove unwanted protein from the lipopolysaccharide structure of the bacteria. The analysis was done using the ClusPro webservice to compare the docking between the protein and PRODIGY webservice was used to retrieve the binding affinity.
Results and Discussion

Preliminary processing

Assessment of its structure quality using a Ramachandran plot on the SWISS MODEL server, evaluates the phi and psi backbone dihedral angles in a protein structure. The results indicate that almost all molecular structures had a Ramachandran favoured of >85%. Meaning that in each structure at least 85% of its regions have no steric clashes and are deemed allowed regions. However, the light chain coagulation factor VII had a Ramachandran favoured below 85%. Nevertheless, it was still used as it served as a comparative with a known efficacy.

| PDB ID  | Ramachandran favoured |
|---------|-----------------------|
| 1F7M (light chain) | 70.45% |
| 4LXB (light chain) | 97.56% |
| 4N4R (lptD&E) | 90.87% |
| 6MJP (lptC) | 93.60% |
| 6MI7 (lptF) | 89.04% |
| 6S8N (lptB) | 88.37% |

Protein interaction analysis

ClusPro is a web server program for protein structure docking. The ligand was rotated 70,000 times, each rotation with x,y,z relative to the receptor on a grid. A total of 1000 rotations or translation combinations with the best score was chosen (lowest score). Then a greedy clustering algorithm was performed for the 1000 ligand position with a 9 Å C-alpha RMSD radius. Ligand positions with the most neighbours in 9 Å were found and became the cluster center, while the neighbours became the members of the clusters (Kozakov et al., 2017). The first output is then removed from the set and the program will look for another cluster and so on.

The representative structure for the cluster is the ligand with the most neighbours in the cluster center. The output (Table 3 and 4) is the list of the clusters of docked structures in the order of cluster size. For each cluster, the table shows the cluster size, the PIPER energy of the cluster center (i.e., the structure that has the highest number of neighbours structures in the cluster), and the energy of the lowest energy structure in the cluster.

The docking step uses PIPER (Kozakov et al., 2006), a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) correlation approach that is used for protein-protein docking (Kozakov et al., 2006). FFT can evaluate the energy of a billion docked conformation numbers in the form of a correlation function (Kozakov et al., 2006). In the ClusPro web service, the protein model was selected based on its cluster size. The energy does not directly affect the binding affinity, but low energy regions tend to generate a larger number of docked structures clusters. The larger the cluster size the higher the probability of the interaction. The energy landscape indirectly determines the most likely conformation of the complex.

The results of the ClusPro docking of the bacterial LPS parts and coagulation factors VII and II can be comparatively seen on Tables 3 and 4 respectively. Cluster sizes are almost all found to be bigger on the coagulation factor VII docking. However, there is one exception of Vibrio cholera, having a larger cluster size when docking with coagulation factor II. Indicating that coagulation factor docking and indirect ability to hydrolyse may vary depending on the bacterial species. A similar pattern in cluster sizes within the same coagulation factor can be seen, with the cluster size decreasing across Vibrio cholera, Escherichia coli, Salmonella enterica, and Shigella flexneri. This may indicate the varying affinities to different parts of the LPS structure. In terms of weighted score, overall, there is seen a lower weighted score in dockings with coagulation factor II.
Table 3. Model scores for the docking coagulation factor VII to bacteria species, with the energy of the cluster center (i.e., the structure that has the highest number of neighbours structures in the cluster), and the energy of the lowest energy structure in the cluster

| Bacterial molecule origin | Cluster size | Representative   | Weighted Score |
|---------------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|
| Vibrio cholerae           | 194          | Center           | -747.9         |
|                           |              | Lowest energy    | -774.3         |
| Shigella flexneri         | 109          | Center           | -667.4         |
|                           |              | Lowest energy    | -749.2         |
| Escherichia coli          | 125          | Center           | -811.2         |
|                           |              | Lowest energy    | -945.9         |
| Salmonella enterica       | 111          | Center           | -877.6         |
|                           |              | Lowest energy    | -987.9         |

Table 4. Model scores for the docking coagulation factor II to bacteria species, with the energy of the cluster center (i.e., the structure that has the highest number of neighbours structures in the cluster), and the energy of the lowest energy structure in the cluster

| Bacterial molecule origin | Cluster size | Representative   | Weighted Score |
|---------------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|
| Vibrio cholerae           | 258          | Center           | -870.6         |
|                           |              | Lowest energy    | -941.3         |
| Shigella flexneri         | 64           | Center           | -970.7         |
|                           |              | Lowest energy    | -970.7         |
| Escherichia coli          | 94           | Center           | -835.5         |
|                           |              | Lowest energy    | -1044.7        |
| Salmonella enterica       | 81           | Center           | -1069.9        |
|                           |              | Lowest energy    | -1352.3        |

The models with the highest cluster sizes obtained from ClusPro were then predicted of its binding affinities using the PRODIGY server (Table 5). There is a difference of binding affinities between coagulation factors and across bacterial species and/or LPS components. When looking between the coagulation factors, the docking with *Vibrio cholerae* and coagulation FVII had a lower binding affinity compared to FII. Whereas all other species and components had a lower binding affinity when docked with FII. When looking down through each coagulation factor there can be seen variable binding affinities between bacterial species origin and LPS component. However further comparative studies are necessary to indicate the tendencies more definitively between the variables of bacteria origin, LPS component and coagulation factor. Overall, coagulation factor II has superior binding affinities to a greater range of bacterial species and LPS components, indicated by a lower binding affinity when docked with *Shigella flexneri, Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella enterica*.

Table 5. Binding affinities of the ClusPro docking output (FVII: coagulation factor VII; FII: coagulation factor II)

| Bacterial molecule origin  | Binding Affinity ΔG (kcal mol⁻¹) |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                           | FVII                             | FII                             |
| *Vibrio cholerae* (lptC)  | -12.7                            | -9.6                            |
| *Shigella flexneri* (lptB)| -10.1                            | -10.5                           |
| *Escherichia coli* (lptF) | -8.1                             | -12.0                           |
| *Salmonella enterica* (lptD&E)| -10.0                      | -11.1                           |
The visualization of the docking models found via ClusPro can be seen in Figures 2-5. Each of the docking sights were unique to its coagulation factor and bacterial species pairing.

**Figure 2.** *Vibrio cholerae* lipopolysaccharide protein docking using PyMOL; (A) *Vibrio cholerae* lipopolysaccharide protein with coagulation factor VII (yellow); (B) *Vibrio cholerae* lipopolysaccharide protein with coagulation factor II (cyan)

**Figure 3.** *Shigella flexneri* lipopolysaccharide protein docking using PyMOL; (A) *Shigella flexneri* lipopolysaccharide protein with coagulation factor VII (yellow); (B) *Shigella flexneri* lipopolysaccharide protein with coagulation factor II (cyan)

**Figure 4.** *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide protein docking using PyMOL; (A) *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide protein with coagulation factor VII (yellow); (B) *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide protein with coagulation factor II (cyan)
A

B

**Figure 5.** *Salmonella enterica* lipopolysaccharide protein docking using PyMOL; (A) *Salmonella enterica* lipopolysaccharide protein with coagulation factor VII (yellow); (B) *Salmonella enterica* lipopolysaccharide protein with coagulation factor VII (cyan)

The coagulation factor II (FII or F2) or prothrombin can be activated using prothrombinase to form thrombin that functions in thrombosis and hemostasis by transforming fibrinogen to fibrin during blood clot formation (Chinnaraj *et al.*, 2018). Other than maintaining vascular integrity during development and postnatal life, the peptides derived from the C-terminus of this protein have shown antimicrobial activity against *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (F2 coagulation factor II, thrombin [*Homo sapiens* (human)], 2004). In humans, prothrombin is encoded by the F2 gene and synthesized in the liver by hepatocytes. Many other studies have been focusing on this gene, making the information clearer. The structure of the prothrombin has been previously determined (Chinnaraj *et al.*, 2018), opening possibilities to perform protein interaction analysis against different kinds of bacteria.

From the results, *Vibrio cholerae* have bigger cluster size in the FII than FVII but have a lower binding affinity. On the other hand, *Salmonella enterica*, *Shigella flexneri*, and *Escherichia coli* all have a smaller cluster size but bigger binding affinity. This shows that FII can be can act as an antimicrobial agent for several GNB like *Salmonella enterica*, *Shigella flexneri*, and *Escherichia coli*. However further research and experiment still need to be done to proof the capability of the FII.

With the abundance of water sources and the millions of people still dependent on it, any solution to combating the harmful microorganisms in these waters must be able to be produced for a global scale. The method of molecular cloning using recombinant plasmid could be used to mass produce this protein (Swiech *et al.*, 2017). These methods could produce many genes using a combination of bacteria plasmid and desired gene to produce numerous bacteria containing the combination plasmid. Thus, aiding in the production of the coagulation factors.

When introducing a foreign substance to bodies of water or having it be involved in a filtration process, the toxicity of the molecule must be considered. Coagulation factors VII and II are both naturally occurring molecules synthesized by the human body, thus assumptions of the lack of toxicity can be made (Palta *et al.*, 2014; Chinnaraj *et al.*, 2018; Chen *et al.*, 2019). However, further analysis regarding the toxicity of its recombinant molecule must be done to ensure safety.

**Conclusions**

Millions of people are still dependent on natural water sources for their livelihood, however as the quantity of waste and lack of consciousness increase, these imperative water source conditions continue to rapidly decline. With harmful microorganisms flourishing and creating further disease-ridden communities
with already poor healthcare infrastructures. This study attempts to explore the ability of coagulation factors to hydrolyse a range of gram-negative bacteria. It was found that coagulation factor II may have a greater potential in showing antimicrobial activities in comparison to the already known FVII, based on its lower binding affinities. Means to mass produce this protein to be used on a global scale can possibly be done via plasmid recombination. Furthermore, although its toxicity could not be tested, because coagulation factors are naturally occurring in the human body, its lack of toxicity can be assumed. However further analysis of the toxicity of its recombinant version must be conducted.
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