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I. INTRODUCTION

The Poincaré gauge [theory of] gravity (PG) arises as a natural extension of Einstein’s general relativity theory (GR) by following gauge-theoretic principles, see [1]-[7].

The Standard Model of fundamental particle physics is based on gauge theories for internal symmetries (described by the unitary groups $U(1), SU(2), SU(3)$). It clearly demonstrates that, apart from GR, the gauge idea underlies all physical theories of fundamental interactions. The geometrization of gravitational physics, by using the covariance and the equivalence principles, is similar to the geometrization of the three ‘physical interactions’ (electromagnetic, weak and strong) by using the Yang-Mills type of approach. There is a difference, though, in that the Standard Model deals with fundamental symmetry groups acting in internal spaces, whereas gravity has to do with the symmetry of the external spacetime.

Fairly early there were attempts to understand gravity as a gauge theory. Utiyama [8] paved the way in this direction by using the Lorentz group $SO(1,3)$ as a gauge group for gravity. It turned out to be unsuccessful, though, since the current which couples to the Lorentz group is the angular momentum current. However, as we know from Newton’s theory of gravity, it is the mass density or—according to special relativity—the energy-momentum current that gravity has as its source. The group of the local spacetime translations (related to diffeomorphisms) plays the central role in GR. This manifests itself in the well-known fact [7] that the gravitational field couples to the corresponding translational Noether current, namely the energy-momentum current (a.k.a. energy-momentum tensor).

Accordingly, when constructing the gauge theory of gravity, it is necessary to investigate the conservation of the material energy-momentum current [9] and the related invariance under rig{id} and, subsequently, under local translations. The localization of the translational invariance then creates the gravitational field. As a result, already since the 1970s, a translational gauge theory (TG) was set up in the form of a teleparallelism theory [10–13]. The paper of Cho [14], see also [15], may be taken as a concise description of a translational gauge theory of gravity. Its structure is revisited from a modern geometrical point of view in the more recent papers [16, 17], see also [18, 19]. For the technical details of the formalism of TG, one may refer to [20].

As is well-known, fundamental particle physics is based on the Poincaré group, which
is a semidirect product of the translation group with the Lorentz group. The fundamental particles are classified by mass and spin which arise in the representation theory of the Poincaré group. In accordance with the semidirect product structure of the Poincaré group, the Noether theorem gives rise to the two currents: the energy-momentum tensor (translational current) and the spin angular-momentum tensor (intrinsic rotational current); for a comprehensive review, see [1–6].

The resulting Poincaré gauge theory provides, in this gauge-theoretic framework, a natural extension of GR, with the energy-momentum and spin currents as the sources of the gravitational field [21, 22, 25, 26]. The spacetime is then characterized by a Riemann-Cartan geometry with non-vanishing torsion and non-vanishing curvature.

In this paper we demonstrate that GR can be consistently interpreted as a special case of Poincaré gauge gravity PG under the two crucial assumptions: (i) the PG Lagrangian has a certain special form, (ii) the matter couples nonminimally to the gravitational field of PG. This result is nontrivial for the following reason: The translational gauge theory of gravity TG, which is equivalent to GR, is, as such, applicable to spinless matter only. Here we clarify how to avoid this difficulty and we will include matter with spin angular momentum in a consistent way.

II. POINCARÉ GAUGE GRAVITY: FORMAL STRUCTURE

Following the general Yang-Mills-Utiyama-Sciama-Kibble gauge-theoretic scheme, the 10-parameter Poincaré group $T_4 \rtimes SO(1, 3)$ gives rise to the 10-plet of the gauge potentials which are consistently identified with the components $e_i^\alpha$ of the orthonormal coframe $\vartheta^\alpha = e_i^\alpha dx^i$ (4 potentials corresponding to the translation subgroup $T_4$) and the components $\Gamma_i^{\alpha\beta} = -\Gamma_i^{\beta\alpha}$ of the Lorentz connection $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma_i^{\alpha\beta} dx^i$ (6 potentials for the Lorentz subgroup $SO(1, 3)$). The corresponding covariant curls, the field strengths of translations and Lorentz rotations, (A1) and (A2), are the two-forms of the torsion and the curvature, respectively. See Appendix A for the mathematical definitions.

Let us consider a generalization of the Einstein-Cartan model [22] with a Lagrangian that contains all possible linear curvature invariants and all possible quadratic invariants of the
torsion, as constructed from its irreducible parts (B1)-(B3):

\[ V = \frac{1}{2\kappa c} \left\{ (\eta_{\alpha\beta} + \bar{a}_0 \partial_\alpha \wedge \partial_\beta) \wedge R^{\alpha\beta} - 2\lambda_0 \eta \right. \]

\[ - T^\alpha \wedge \sum_{I=1}^{3} \left[ a_I^* (I) T_\alpha + \bar{a}_I (I) T_\alpha \right] \} . \]  

(1)

For completeness, we included a term carrying the cosmological constant \( \lambda_0 \). As compared to the Einstein-Cartan model, the new Lagrangian contains 6 additional (dimensionless) coupling constants: \( \bar{a}_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \) and \( \bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2 = \bar{a}_3 \). The two latter constants are equal because the two last terms in (1) are the same,

\[ T^\alpha \wedge (2) T_\alpha = T^\alpha \wedge (3) T_\alpha = (2) T^\alpha \wedge (3) T_\alpha , \]

(2)

whereas \( T^\alpha \wedge (1) T_\alpha = (1) T^\alpha \wedge (1) T_\alpha \). One can prove these relations directly from the definitions (B1)-(B3).

For the Lagrangian (1) we find the variational derivatives

\[ E_\alpha := \frac{\delta V}{\delta \vartheta^\alpha} = -DH_\alpha + E_\alpha, \]  

(3)

\[ C_{\alpha\beta} := \frac{\delta V}{\delta \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}} = -DH_{\alpha\beta} + E_{\alpha\beta} . \]  

(4)

Here we denoted as usual

\[ H_\alpha = - \frac{\partial V}{\partial T^\alpha} = - \frac{1}{\kappa c} \sum_{I=1}^{3} \left[ a_I^* (I) T_\alpha + \bar{a}_I (I) T_\alpha \right] , \]

(5)

\[ H_{\alpha\beta} = - \frac{\partial V}{\partial R^{\alpha\beta}} = - \frac{1}{2\kappa c} (\eta_{\alpha\beta} + \bar{a}_0 \partial_\alpha \wedge \partial_\beta) , \]

(6)

\[ E_\alpha = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \vartheta^\alpha} = \frac{1}{2\kappa c} \left( \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \wedge R^{\beta\gamma} + 2\bar{a}_0 R_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \vartheta^\beta - 2\lambda_0 \eta_\alpha \right) \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left[ (e_\alpha) T^\beta \wedge H_\beta - T^\beta \wedge e_\alpha H_\beta \right] , \]

(7)

\[ E_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( H_\alpha \wedge \partial_\beta - H_\beta \wedge \partial_\alpha \right) . \]

(8)

The corresponding field equations of PG are derived from the variation of the total Lagrangian \( V + L \) with respect to the Poincaré gauge potentials \( \vartheta^\alpha \) and \( \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} \):

\[ \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \wedge R^{\beta\gamma} + \bar{a}_0 R_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \vartheta^\beta - \lambda_0 \eta_\alpha \]

\[ - Dh_\alpha + q_\alpha^T = \kappa \mathcal{S}_\alpha , \]  

(9)

\[ \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \wedge T^\gamma + \bar{a}_0 (T_\alpha \wedge \vartheta_\beta - T_\beta \wedge \vartheta_\alpha) \]

\[ + h_\alpha \wedge \partial_\beta - h_\beta \wedge \partial_\alpha = \kappa c \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta} . \]  

(10)
Here we denoted the linear and the quadratic functions of the torsion as

\[ h_\alpha := \kappa c H_\alpha = \sum_{I=1}^{3} \left[ a_I^* (T^I_\alpha) + \bar{\alpha}_I (T^I_\alpha) \right], \quad (11) \]

\[ q_a(T) := \frac{1}{2} \left[ (e_\alpha) T^\beta - T^\beta \wedge e_\alpha \right] \cdot \quad (12) \]

It is straightforward to prove the simple properties of these objects which follow directly from their definitions:

\[ \vartheta^\alpha \wedge q_a(T) = 0, \quad (13) \]

\[ \vartheta^\alpha \wedge h_\alpha = -a_2^* T + a_3^* T, \quad (14) \]

\[ e^\alpha \wedge h_\alpha = a_3 T + a_2 T. \quad (15) \]

An important technical remark is in order: the two-form (11) and the three-form (12) satisfy the geometrical identity

\[ h_\alpha \wedge T_\beta - h_\beta \wedge T_\alpha + q_a(T) \wedge \vartheta_\beta - q_a(T) \wedge \vartheta_\alpha \equiv 0. \quad (16) \]

To verify this, we notice that \( h_\alpha \) is a linear combination of the irreducible parts of the torsion and its dual, and use the identities (C14)-(C16). The relation (16) is always valid irrespectively whether the field equations are fulfilled or not.

The matter sources on the right-hand sides of the gravitational field equations (9) and (10) are the three-forms of the canonical energy-momentum current and the spin current of matter, respectively:

\[ T^\alpha := \frac{\delta L}{\delta \vartheta^\alpha}, \quad (17) \]

\[ S_{\alpha\beta} := \frac{\delta L}{\delta \Gamma^\alpha_{\alpha\beta}}. \quad (18) \]

Up to this point, we have presented a general formalism and now we will specify the structure of the PG field Lagrangian (1).

III. MODEL LAGRANGIAN AND FIELD EQUATIONS

The geometric identities (C6) and (C13) between the contortion one-form \( K^{\mu
u} \) and the torsion two-form \( T^\alpha \) underlie the subsequent discussion.
Let us consider the Poincaré gauge model belonging to the class (1) and characterized by the following coupling constants:

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= -1, \quad a_2 = 2, \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{2}, \\
\bar{a}_1 &= -\bar{a}_0, \quad \bar{a}_2 = -\bar{a}_0, \quad \bar{a}_3 = -\bar{a}_0.
\end{align*}
\]

Here we will show that the Poincaré gauge model (19) is actually Einstein’s general relativity theory (GR), provided the matter Lagrangian \( L = L(\psi^A, d\psi^A, \vartheta^\alpha, \Gamma^\alpha_\beta, T^\alpha) \) is non-minimally coupled to the matter fields \( \psi^A \) by means of the Poincaré gauge potentials \( \vartheta^\alpha, \Gamma^\alpha_\beta \) and the torsion \( T^\alpha \).

Before we continue with our calculations, let us have a look at the explicit form of our Lagrangian. Substituting (19) into (1), we find

\[
V = \frac{1}{\kappa_C} [\eta_{\alpha\beta} \wedge R^{\alpha\beta} - 2\lambda_0 \eta \\
- T^\alpha \wedge ^* (-^{(1)}T_\alpha + 2^{(2)}T_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}^{(3)}T_\alpha) \\
+ \bar{a}_0 (\vartheta_\alpha \wedge \vartheta_\beta \wedge R^{\alpha\beta} + T^\alpha \wedge T_\alpha)].
\]

In the first line, we have the Einstein-Cartan Lagrangian including the cosmological term, in the second line we find the so-called viable set of torsion-square pieces of teleparallel gravity, and in the third line, which is parity odd, there features an exact form, that is, we have a boundary term. Here \( \vartheta_\alpha \wedge T^\alpha \) is proportional to the translational Chern-Simons three-form of PG, see [2]; its derivative yields the Nieh-Yan identity [23, 24], see the underbraced expression in (20).

Let us now return to (20) and calculate the field equations explicitly. We begin by evaluating the torsion functions (11) and (12). Specifically for the model (20), we find:

\[
\begin{align*}
h_\alpha &= h_\alpha^{(0)} - \bar{a}_0 T_\alpha, \quad q_\alpha = q_\alpha^{(0)}, \\
h_\alpha^{(0)} &= -\frac{1}{2} K^\mu_\nu \wedge \eta_{\mu\nu}, \\
q_\alpha^{(0)} &= K^\beta_\alpha \wedge h_\beta^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} K^\mu_\gamma \wedge K^{\nu_\gamma} \wedge \eta_{\mu\nu}.
\end{align*}
\]

With the superscript \(^{(0)}\), we denote all objects which refer to the parity-even sector of the model (20)—the first two lines in (20).

The proof of (22) is straightforward: one should combine the definition (11) with the identity (C6). To verify (23), we start with the definition of \( q_\alpha^{(0)} \), see (11),

\[
q_\alpha^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (e_\alpha \rangle T^\beta) \wedge h_\beta^{(0)} - T^\beta \wedge e_\alpha \rangle h_\beta^{(0)} \right],
\]
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and evaluate the two terms on the right-hand side. Using (22), we have

\[ T^\beta \wedge e_\alpha \tilde{h}_\beta^{(0)} = -\frac{1}{2} T^\beta \wedge \{(e_\alpha [K^{\mu\nu}]\eta_{\beta\mu\nu} + K^{\mu\nu} \eta_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\}. \]

(25)

For the first term we use another identity (C13) and we find

\[ T^\beta \wedge \eta_{\beta\mu\nu}(e_\alpha K^{\mu\nu}) = -\vartheta_\mu \wedge K^{\rho\sigma} \wedge \eta_{\alpha\rho\sigma}(e_\alpha K^{\mu\nu}) \]

= \(e_\alpha [T^\nu - K_\alpha^{\nu}] \wedge K^{\rho\sigma} \wedge \eta_{\nu\rho\sigma},\)

(26)

since \(e_\alpha [K^{\mu\nu}]\vartheta_\mu = -e_\alpha [T^\nu + K_\alpha^{\nu}].\) Consequently,

\[-\frac{1}{2} T^\beta \wedge \eta_{\beta\mu\nu}(e_\alpha K^{\mu\nu}) = (e_\alpha [T^\beta] \wedge h_\beta^{(0)} - K_\alpha^{\beta} \wedge h_\beta^{(0)},\]

(27)

and substituting this into (25) and comparing it with (24), we derive

\[ q_\alpha^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} K_\alpha^{\beta} \wedge h_\beta^{(0)} + \frac{1}{4} K^{\mu\nu} \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} T^\beta.\]

(28)

We note that \(\eta_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} T^\beta = D\eta_{\alpha\mu\nu} = K_\alpha^{\beta} \wedge \eta_{\beta\mu\nu} + K_\nu^{\beta} \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\nu} + K_\nu^{\beta} \wedge \eta_{\alpha\mu\beta}.\) Hence

\[ \frac{1}{4} K^{\mu\nu} \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} T^\beta = \frac{1}{2} K_\alpha^{\beta} \wedge h_\beta^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} K_\gamma^{\mu} \wedge K^{\nu\gamma} \wedge \eta_{\alpha\mu\nu}.\]

(29)

After substituting this into (28), the proof of (23) is completed. Incidentally, \(h_\alpha^{(0)}\) and \(q_\alpha^{(0)}\) satisfy the identity

\[ \vartheta_\alpha \wedge q_\beta^{(0)} - q_\beta^{(0)} \wedge q_\alpha^{(0)} = T_\alpha \wedge h_\beta^{(0)} - T_\beta \wedge h_\alpha^{(0)},\]

(30)

which is the special case of the general identity (16).

We are now in a position to analyse the left-hand sides of the field equations of PG. At first, we observe that

\[ \pi_0 R_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \vartheta^\beta - Dh_\alpha = - Dh_\alpha^{(0)},\]

(31)

making use of (21) and the Bianchi identity \(R_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \vartheta^\beta + DT_\alpha = 0.\) Next, we have \(Dh_\alpha^{(0)} = \tilde{D}h_\alpha^{(0)} + K_\alpha^{\beta} \wedge h_\beta^{(0)}\). Thus, with the help of (22) and (23), we obtain

\[- Dh_\alpha^{(0)} + q_\alpha^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{D}K^{\mu\nu} + K_\gamma^{\mu} \wedge K^{\nu\gamma}) \wedge \eta_{\alpha\mu\nu} \wedge \eta_{\alpha\mu\nu}.\]

(32)

As a result, the two field equations (9) and (10) of PG are recast into

\[ \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \wedge \tilde{R}^{\beta\gamma} - \lambda_0 \eta_\alpha = \kappa \Sigma_\alpha, \]

(33)

\[ 0 = \kappa c \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}. \]

(34)
The left-hand side of (33) reduces to the Riemannian Einstein two-form by combining the decomposition (A6) with (32). The left-hand side of (34) vanishes in view of (22) and the identity (C13).

After clarifying the left-hand sides of the PG field equations, in the next section we turn to the analyses of the right-hand sides.

IV. COUPLING OF GRAVITY TO MATTER

To finalize the discussion of model (20), we need to analyze the coupling of matter to gravity. At the first sight, the second field equation of PG (34) looks contradictory, because it apparently tells us that the spin current of matter is zero. However, this is only true if we assume that matter couples to gravity in accordance with the minimal coupling principle. In the latter case, the material Lagrangian is a function of matter fields $\psi^A$ and their covariant derivatives $D\psi^A$.

This apparent inconsistency can be avoided if we make the crucial assumption that the coupling of matter to gravity is non-minimal and the matter Lagrangian $L = L(\psi^A, D\psi^A, \vartheta^\alpha, T^\alpha)$ depends on the translational gauge field strength, the torsion, too. Moreover, such a non-minimal coupling is very special in the sense that the torsion enters the matter Lagrangian only in the combination

$$\Phi^A := D\psi^A - \frac{1}{2}K^{\alpha\beta} \wedge (\rho_{\alpha\beta})^A_B \psi^B. \quad (35)$$

Here $(\rho_{\alpha\beta})^A_B$ are the generators of the Lorentz algebra which determine the transformation of the matter field under the local Lorentz rotation of the coframe,

$$\delta \vartheta^\mu = \varepsilon(x)\vartheta^\mu, \quad \delta \psi^A = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\rho_{\alpha\beta})^A_B \psi^B, \quad (36)$$

with the infinitesimal parameters $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} = -\varepsilon^{\beta\alpha}$. The Lagrange-Noether machinery for the nonminimal coupling case is well developed [2, 25, 26]. It yields for the material sources of the Poincaré gauge field—the canonical energy-momentum and spin currents—a well known
result:

\[ \mathbf{T}_\alpha = (e_\alpha] D\psi^A) \wedge \frac{\partial L}{\partial D\psi^A} + (e_\alpha] \psi^A) \wedge \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi^A} - e_\alpha] L \]
\[ - D \frac{\partial L}{\partial T^\alpha} + (e_\alpha] T^\beta) \wedge \frac{\partial L}{\partial T^\beta}, \tag{37} \]

\[ c \mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{\alpha\beta} = (\rho_{\alpha\beta})^A_B \psi^B \wedge \frac{\partial L}{\partial (D\psi^A)} \]
\[ - \vartheta_\alpha \wedge \frac{\partial L}{\partial T^\beta} + \vartheta_\beta \wedge \frac{\partial L}{\partial T^\alpha}. \tag{38} \]

The second lines in these two expressions account for the non-minimal coupling.

We identify the first line of (38) with the canonical spin current three-form defined under the assumption of the minimal coupling

\[ c^m \mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{\alpha\beta} := (\rho_{\alpha\beta})^A_B \psi^B \wedge \frac{\partial L}{\partial D\psi^A} = - c^m \mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{\beta\alpha}. \tag{39} \]

This three-form can be equivalently represented by the “spin energy potential” two-form \( c^m \mu_\alpha \) according to

\[ c^m \mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{\alpha\beta} = \vartheta_\alpha \wedge c^m \mu_\beta - c^m \mu_\beta \wedge c^m \mu_\alpha. \tag{40} \]

Resolved with respect to \( c^m \mu_\alpha \), we find

\[ c^m \mu_\alpha = - e^\beta] \mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{4} \vartheta_\alpha \wedge e^\beta] e^\gamma] \mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{\beta\gamma}. \tag{41} \]

Now we insert (38) into the second field equation (34) and resolve the latter to find

\[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial T^\alpha} = c^m \mu_\alpha. \tag{42} \]

Eq.(35) yields

\[ \frac{\partial L}{\partial D\psi^A} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Phi^A}, \quad \frac{\partial \Phi^A}{\partial \psi^B} = - \frac{1}{2} K^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} (\rho_{\alpha\beta})^A_B. \tag{43} \]

Making use of these relations together with (42), allows us to recast the energy-momentum current of matter (37) into

\[ \mathbf{T}_\alpha = c^m \mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{\alpha} - c^m \mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{\alpha}. \tag{44} \]

where

\[ c^m \mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{\alpha} = (e_\alpha] \Phi^A) \wedge \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Phi^A} - e_\alpha] L \]
\[ + (e_\alpha] \psi^A) \wedge \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi^A} + \frac{\partial \Phi^B}{\partial \psi^A} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Phi^B} \right). \tag{45} \]
The final piece, which completes the puzzle, comes up when one recognizes, with the help of (A3), that \( \Phi^A = \hat{D} \psi^A \) is, in fact, the Riemannian covariant derivative. Then we identify (45) with the usual canonical energy-momentum current [27] computed under the assumption of minimal coupling. In components, \( \mathcal{T}_\alpha = \mathcal{T}_\alpha^\mu \eta_\mu \) and \( \mathfrak{G}_{\alpha \beta} = \mathfrak{G}_{\alpha \beta}^\nu \eta_\nu \). Thus, we have

\[
\mathcal{T}_\alpha^\mu = \mathcal{T}_\alpha^\mu + \frac{c}{2} \hat{D}_\nu \left( \mathfrak{E}_{\alpha \nu}^\mu + \mathfrak{G}_{\alpha \nu}^\mu + \mathfrak{G}_{\alpha \nu}^\mu \right).
\]

We immediately recognize in this expression the so-called metric energy-momentum current symmetrized by means of the Belinfante-Rosenfeld procedure.

Thus, we have verified, indeed, that the Poincaré gauge field equations (33)-(34) reproduce Einstein’s GR for the Lagrangian (20).

V. OUR MODEL’S PARTICLE CONTENT

The conclusions above can be strengthened by the study of the dynamical particle content of the PG model (20). As a background, we assume a torsionless spacetime of constant curvature \( \lambda \), that is,

\[
\hat{D} \hat{\vartheta}^\alpha = d \hat{\vartheta}^\alpha + \hat{\Gamma}_\beta^\alpha \wedge \hat{\vartheta}^\beta = 0,
\]

\[
\hat{R}^{\alpha \beta} = d \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha \beta} + \hat{\Gamma}_\gamma^{\alpha \beta} \wedge \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha \gamma} = \lambda \hat{\vartheta}^\alpha \wedge \hat{\vartheta}^\beta.
\]

Let us split the PG gauge potentials into background and perturbations:

\[
\vartheta^\alpha = \hat{\vartheta}^\alpha + \chi^\alpha,
\]

\[
\Gamma^{\alpha \beta} = \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha \beta} + \gamma^{\alpha \beta}.
\]

The particle spectrum of a general quadratic PG model on the Minkowski background was considered in [28]. Inserting (49) and (50) into the definitions of the torsion and the curvature, we find the expansions

\[
T^\alpha = \hat{D} \chi^\alpha + \gamma^\alpha_\beta \wedge \hat{\vartheta}^\beta + \gamma^\beta_\alpha \wedge \chi^\beta,
\]

\[
R^{\alpha \beta} = \lambda \hat{\vartheta}^\alpha \wedge \hat{\vartheta}^\beta + \hat{D} \gamma^{\alpha \beta} + \gamma^\gamma_\beta \wedge \gamma^{\alpha \gamma}.
\]
The expansions of the $\eta$-basis can be straightforwardly obtained by making use of (49). Up to the second order in perturbations, we find:

$$\eta = \widehat{\eta} + \chi^\alpha \wedge \widehat{\eta}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \chi^\alpha \wedge \chi^\beta \wedge \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta},$$  
(53)

$$\eta_\alpha = \widehat{\eta}_\alpha + \chi^\beta \wedge \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \chi^\beta \wedge \chi^\gamma \wedge \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma},$$  
(54)

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} + \chi^\gamma \wedge \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \chi^\mu \wedge \chi^\nu \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu},$$  
(55)

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \chi^\delta \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}.  
(56)$$

Substituting (51)-(56) into (1) and taking into account (20), we obtain the quadratic Lagrangian which determines the dynamics of the gravitational perturbations

$$V = \frac{3\lambda}{\kappa c} \widehat{\eta} + \frac{1}{2\kappa c} \left\{ d \left[ (\widehat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} - \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \wedge \chi^\gamma) \wedge \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \right. 
+ \{\widehat{\eta}_\alpha \wedge \widehat{\eta}_\beta - \widehat{\eta}_\alpha \wedge \chi_\beta \} \wedge \gamma^{\alpha\beta} 
+ \frac{1}{2} N^{\mu\nu} \wedge \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha\mu\nu} \wedge \widehat{D} \chi^\alpha - 2\lambda \chi^\alpha \wedge \chi^\beta \wedge \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} \right\}.  
(57)$$

The cosmological constant fixes the value of the constant curvature of the background:

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_0}{3}.  
(58)$$

The one-form $N_{\mu\nu} = -N_{\nu\mu}$ is constructed in terms of the covariant derivatives of the translational perturbations. Namely, by definition,

$$N^{\alpha\beta} \wedge \widehat{D}^\beta = \widehat{D} \chi^\alpha,  
(59)$$

so that explicitly

$$N_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \widehat{e}_\alpha \wedge \widehat{D} \chi_\beta - \widehat{e}_\beta \wedge \widehat{D} \chi_\alpha - \widehat{\theta}^\gamma \widehat{e}_\alpha \wedge \widehat{e}_\beta \wedge \widehat{D} \chi_\gamma \right).  
(60)$$

As we see, the rotational (Lorentz) perturbation $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ is non-dynamical: it contributes only to the total derivative in (57), and hence the corresponding field equation is trivial. This is perfectly consistent with our previous analysis which demonstrated the vanishing of the left-hand side of the second field equation (34).

The last line of the linearized Lagrangian (57) determines the dynamics of the translational perturbation one-form $\chi^\alpha$. The latter has a nontrivial skew-symmetric part which is conveniently described in terms of the two-form

$$\overline{\chi} := \frac{1}{2} \chi^\alpha \wedge \widehat{\theta}_\alpha.  
(61)$$
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Indeed, decomposing $\chi^\alpha = \chi_\beta \hat{\theta}^\beta$, we find $\varpi = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{[\alpha\beta]} \hat{\theta}^\alpha \wedge \hat{\theta}^\beta$.

The symmetric part of the translational perturbation is then defined as

$$\varphi^\alpha := \chi^\alpha + \hat{\epsilon}^\alpha \varpi,$$  \hspace{1cm} (62)

so that $\varphi^\alpha \wedge \hat{\partial}_\alpha = 0$, and in components $\varphi^\alpha = \chi^{(\alpha\beta)} \hat{\theta}_\beta$.

As a result, the one-form (60) is recast into

$$N_{\alpha\beta} = \hat{\epsilon}_\alpha \hat{D} \varphi_\beta - \hat{\epsilon}_\beta \hat{D} \varphi_\alpha + \hat{D} (\hat{\epsilon}_\alpha \hat{\epsilon}_\beta \varpi) ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (63)

and we find

$$\frac{1}{2} N^{\mu\nu} \wedge \hat{\eta}_{\alpha\mu
\nu} = (\hat{\epsilon}^\mu \hat{D} \varphi^\nu) \wedge \hat{\eta}_{\alpha\mu
\nu} - \hat{D} (\hat{\eta}_\alpha \wedge \varpi).$$  \hspace{1cm} (64)

This yields

$$\frac{1}{2} N^{\mu\nu} \wedge \hat{\eta}_{\alpha\mu
\nu} \wedge \hat{D} \chi^\alpha = (\hat{\epsilon}^\mu \hat{D} \varphi^\nu) \wedge \hat{\eta}_{\alpha\mu
\nu} \wedge \hat{D} \chi^\alpha$$

$$- \hat{D} (\hat{\eta}_\alpha \wedge \varpi) \wedge \hat{D} \chi^\alpha.$$  \hspace{1cm} (65)

The last term can be transformed into a total derivative

$$- \hat{D} (\hat{\eta}_\alpha \wedge \varpi) \wedge \hat{D} \chi^\alpha \wedge \chi^\alpha$$

$$= - d \left( \chi^\alpha \wedge \hat{D} \chi^\alpha \wedge \varpi \right) + 4 \lambda \varpi \wedge \chi,$$  \hspace{1cm} (66)

by noticing that $\hat{D} \chi^\alpha \wedge \varpi = - \hat{R}_\alpha \beta \wedge (\hat{\partial}_\beta \wedge \varpi) \wedge \chi^\alpha = 2 \lambda (\hat{\partial}_\beta \wedge \varpi) \wedge (\hat{\partial}_\beta \wedge \varpi) = 4 \lambda \varpi \wedge \chi$. Here we used (48) and the definition (61).

With the help of (61), we recast the last term in the Lagrangian (57) into

$$- 2 \lambda \chi^\alpha \wedge \chi^\beta \wedge \hat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} = - 2 \lambda \varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^\beta \wedge \hat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} - 4 \lambda \varpi \wedge \chi,$$  \hspace{1cm} (67)

and observe that the last terms in (66) and (67) cancel each other.

Next, we analyse the first term on the right-hand side of (65). Substituting the decomposition of the translational perturbation $\chi^\alpha = \varphi^\alpha - \hat{\epsilon}^\alpha \varpi$ into the latter, we find

$$- (\hat{\epsilon}^\mu \hat{D} \varphi^\nu) \wedge \hat{\eta}_{\alpha\mu
\nu} \wedge \hat{D} (\hat{\epsilon}^\alpha \varpi) = - d \left( \varphi^\alpha \wedge \hat{D} \varphi^\alpha \wedge \varpi \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (68)

Collecting all the intermediate derivations, we use (65)-(68) to bring the Lagrangian (57) into the final form

$$V = V^{\text{non}} + V^{\text{dyn}},$$

$$V^{\text{dyn}} = \frac{1}{2 \kappa c} \left\{ (\hat{\epsilon}^\mu \hat{D} \varphi^\nu) \wedge \hat{\eta}_{\alpha\mu
\nu} \wedge \hat{D} \varphi^\alpha$$

$$- 2 \lambda \varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^\beta \wedge \hat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} \right\}.$$

(70)
The first term on the right-hand side of (69) is non-dynamical one,

\[ V^{\text{non}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa c} \left\{ 6\lambda \tilde{\eta} + d U^{\text{non}} \right\}, \quad \text{(71)} \]

\[ U^{\text{non}} = (\tilde{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} - \tilde{\eta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \wedge \chi^{\gamma}) \wedge \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \]
\[ + \tilde{a}_0 \left( \tilde{\vartheta}_\alpha \wedge \tilde{\vartheta}_\beta - \tilde{\vartheta}_\alpha \wedge \chi^{\beta} \right) \wedge \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \]
\[ - (\chi^\alpha + \varphi^\alpha) \wedge \tilde{D}(\tilde{\vartheta}_\alpha \wedge \tilde{\chi}). \quad \text{(72)} \]

Consequently, the rotational (Lorentz) perturbation \( \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \) and the skew-symmetric part \( \chi \) of the translational perturbation both contribute merely to the total divergence term (71) in the Lagrangian, and hence they are both non-dynamical. The symmetric translational perturbation \( \varphi^\alpha \) represents the only dynamical degree of freedom. According to (70), it satisfies the linearized version of Einstein’s field equation:

\[ \tilde{D}(\tilde{e}^\mu \wedge \tilde{D} \varphi^\nu) \wedge \tilde{\eta}_{\mu\nu} - 2\lambda \tilde{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \varphi^{\beta} = 0. \quad \text{(73)} \]

It is convenient to introduce a two-form

\[ \mathcal{F}^\alpha := \tilde{D}\varphi^\alpha + (\tilde{e}^\beta \wedge \tilde{D}\varphi^\beta) \wedge \tilde{\theta}^\alpha. \quad \text{(74)} \]

This object can be called a Fierz field, see [29] and [30]. One can straightforwardly verify that

\[ (\tilde{e}^\mu \wedge \tilde{D}\varphi^\nu) \wedge \tilde{\eta}_{\mu\nu} = *\mathcal{F}_\alpha, \quad \text{(75)} \]

so that the field equation (73) is recast into

\[ \tilde{D}^*\mathcal{F}_\alpha - 2\lambda \tilde{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \varphi^{\beta} = 0, \quad \text{(76)} \]

whereas the linearized Lagrangian (70) can be compactly rewritten as

\[ V^{\text{dyn}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa c} \left\{ *\mathcal{F}_\alpha \wedge \tilde{D}\varphi^\alpha - 2\lambda \varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^{\beta} \wedge \tilde{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} \right\}. \quad \text{(77)} \]

Note that the covariant derivatives of (73) and (76) vanish identically. Indeed, from (76) we have

\[ \tilde{\vartheta}^\alpha \wedge *\mathcal{F}_\alpha = -2(\tilde{e}^\mu \wedge \tilde{D}\varphi^\nu) \wedge \tilde{\eta}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \text{(78)} \]

and hence

\[ \tilde{D}\tilde{D}^*\mathcal{F}_\alpha = -\tilde{R}_\alpha^\beta \wedge *\mathcal{F}_\beta = -\lambda \tilde{\vartheta}_\alpha \wedge \tilde{\vartheta}^{\beta} \wedge *\mathcal{F}_\beta \]
\[ = 2\lambda \tilde{\vartheta}_\alpha \wedge (\tilde{e}^\mu \wedge \tilde{D}\varphi^\nu) \wedge \tilde{\eta}_{\mu\nu} \]
\[ = 2\lambda \tilde{D}\varphi^\nu \wedge \tilde{\eta}_{\alpha\nu}, \quad \text{(79)} \]
which cancels exactly the derivative of the second term in (73) and (76), namely
\[ \hat{D}(-2\lambda \tilde{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \varphi^\beta). \]

On the other hand, by multiplying (73) and (76) with the coframe \( \tilde{\vartheta}^\alpha \wedge \), one obtains a nontrivial equation for the trace \( \varphi = \hat{e}_\alpha | \varphi^\alpha \) of the translational perturbation:
\[ \hat{D}(\hat{e}^\mu | \hat{D} \varphi^\nu) \wedge \hat{\eta}_{\mu\nu} + 3\lambda \hat{\eta}_\mu \wedge \varphi^\mu = 0. \] (80)
Here we used (78) and \( \hat{\vartheta}^\alpha \wedge \hat{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} = 3\hat{\eta}_\beta \).

It is instructive to rewrite everything in components. Starting with \( \varphi^\alpha = \varphi^\beta_\alpha \hat{\vartheta}^\beta \) (recall that \( \varphi^\alpha_{\alpha\beta} = \varphi^\beta_\alpha \)), we have \( \hat{D} \varphi^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{D}_\mu \varphi^\alpha - \hat{D}_\nu \varphi^\mu_\alpha) \hat{\vartheta}^\mu \wedge \hat{\vartheta}^\nu \). Then we find for the Fierz field \( F^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} F^\mu_\nu^\alpha \hat{\vartheta}^\mu \wedge \hat{\vartheta}^\nu \) the components
\[ F^\mu_\nu^\alpha = \hat{D}_\mu \varphi^\nu_\alpha - \hat{D}_\nu \varphi^\mu_\alpha + \delta^\alpha_\mu (\hat{D}^\nu \varphi^\nu_\lambda - \hat{D}_\lambda \varphi) \]
\[ - \delta^\alpha_\mu (\hat{D}^\nu \varphi^\nu_\lambda - \hat{D}_\nu \varphi). \] (81)
Here the trace scalar \( \varphi = \hat{e}_\alpha | \varphi^\alpha = \varphi^\alpha_\alpha \).

Accordingly, the four-form Lagrangian (70), (77) reads
\[ V^{\text{dyn}} = \frac{\hat{\eta}}{2\kappa c} \left\{ F^\mu_\nu^\alpha \hat{D}_\mu \varphi^\nu_\alpha + 2\lambda (\varphi^\alpha_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^\alpha_{\alpha\beta} - \varphi^2) \right\} \] (82)
\[ = \frac{\hat{\eta}}{2\kappa c} \left\{ \hat{D}_\mu \varphi^\nu_\lambda \hat{D}^\mu \varphi^\nu_{\lambda\lambda} - \hat{D}_\mu \varphi^\nu_\lambda \hat{D}^\nu \varphi^\mu_{\lambda\lambda} \\
- (\hat{D}^\nu \varphi^\nu_\rho - \hat{D}_\rho \varphi)(\hat{D}^\sigma \varphi^\sigma_\mu - \hat{D}^\mu \varphi) \\
+ 2\lambda (\varphi^\alpha_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^\alpha_{\alpha\beta} - \varphi^2) \right\}, \] (83)
and the field equation (73) and (76) is recast into
\[ \hat{D}^\mu F^\mu_\nu^\alpha + 2\lambda (\varphi^\alpha_\mu - \varphi \delta^\alpha_\mu) = 0. \] (84)
Similarly, the trace equation (80) reads explicitly as
\[ \hat{D}_\mu (\hat{D}^\mu \varphi - \hat{D}_\nu \varphi_{\mu\nu}) - 3\lambda \varphi = 0. \] (85)

Finally, a useful observation is in order. After introducing
\[ u_{\mu\nu} := \varphi_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \varphi, \] (86)
we recast the Fierz tensor (81) into
\[ F^\mu_\nu^\alpha = \hat{D}_\mu u^\alpha_\nu - \hat{D}_\nu u^\alpha_\mu + \delta^\alpha_\nu \hat{D}^\lambda u_{\lambda\mu} - \delta^\alpha_\mu \hat{D}^\lambda u_{\nu\lambda}. \] (87)

Summarizing, we conclude that the particle spectrum of the model (20) contains only the spin-2 graviton mode propagating on the de Sitter background.
VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this paper we demonstrated that GR can be consistently interpreted as a specific model of Poincaré gauge gravity under two crucial assumptions: (i) The PG Lagrangian has a certain \textit{special} form, namely that given in Eq. (20). (ii) The matter couples \textit{nonminimally} to the gravitational field of PG in accordance with the substitution specified in Eq. (35).

It should be noted that one can formally recover GR by using the Lagrange multipliers method in PG [2, 31]. However, this is achieved by extending the space of PG variables with auxiliary fields which are alien to the gauge-theoretic approach. The fundamental novelty of our result is the demonstration that GR arises as a special model in the framework of the genuine Poincaré gauge gravity theory where the only dynamical variables are the coframe $\vartheta^\alpha$ and the local Lorentz connection $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ (i.e., the translational and rotational gauge potentials) with no extra degrees of freedom added.
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Appendix A: Riemann-Cartan geometry: torsion, curvature, and $\eta$-forms

Our basic notation and conventions are as follows: Greek indices $\alpha, \beta, \cdots = 0, \ldots, 3$, denote the anholonomic components (for example, of a coframe $\vartheta^\alpha$), while the Latin indices $i, j, \cdots = 0, \ldots, 3$, label the holonomic components ($dx^i$, e.g.). The Minkowski metric is $g_{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$.

The gravitational field is described by the coframe $\vartheta^\alpha = e_i^\alpha dx^a$ and the Lorentz connection $\Gamma^{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma_i^{\alpha\beta} dx^i$ one-forms. The translational and rotational field strengths read

\begin{align*}
T^\alpha &= D\vartheta^\alpha = d\vartheta^\alpha + \Gamma_\beta^\alpha \wedge \vartheta^\beta, \\
R^{\alpha\beta} &= d\Gamma^{\alpha\beta} + \Gamma_\gamma^\beta \wedge \Gamma^{\alpha\gamma}.
\end{align*}

(A1)

(A2)

The Riemannian connection one-form $\tilde{\Gamma}_\beta^\alpha$ is uniquely defined by means of the vanishing torsion condition $d\vartheta^\alpha + \tilde{\Gamma}_\beta^\alpha \wedge \vartheta^\beta = 0$. One can decompose the Lorentz (a.k.a. Riemann-
Cartan) connection
\[ \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} = \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} - K^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} \]  

(A3)

into the Riemannian and the post-Riemannian parts. The contortion one-form \( K^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} = -K^\beta{}_{\gamma\alpha} \) is algebraically related to the torsion:
\[ T^\alpha = K^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} \wedge \vartheta^\beta. \]  

(A4)

Explicitly, we have for the contortion one-form:
\[ K^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} (e_\alpha|T_\beta - e_\beta|T_\alpha - \vartheta^\gamma e_\alpha|e_\beta|T_\gamma). \]  

(A5)

By substituting (A3) into (A2), we can decompose the curvature two-form into Riemannian and post-Riemannian parts:
\[ R^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} = \tilde{R}^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} - \tilde{D}K^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma} + K^\gamma{}_{\beta\alpha} \wedge K^\alpha{}_{\gamma}. \]  

(A6)

Hereafter the Riemannian objects and operators (constructed with the help of the Riemannian connection) are denoted by the tilde.

Denoting the volume 4-form by \( \eta \), we construct the \( \eta \)-basis in the space of exterior forms the help of the interior products as \( \eta_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p} := e_{\alpha_1} \ldots e_{\alpha_p} \eta, p = 1, \ldots, 4 \). They are related to the coframe \( \theta \)-basis via the Hodge dual operator \( * \), for example, \( \eta_{\alpha\beta} = * (\vartheta_\alpha \wedge \vartheta_\beta) \).

Useful relations for the products of the coframes:
\[ \vartheta^\mu \wedge \eta_\alpha = \delta^\mu_\alpha \eta, \]  

(A7)
\[ \vartheta^\mu \wedge \vartheta^\nu \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta} = (\delta^\mu_\alpha \delta^\nu_\beta - \delta^\nu_\alpha \delta^\mu_\beta) \eta, \]  

(A8)
\[ \vartheta^\beta \wedge \eta_{\alpha\nu} = \delta^\beta_\alpha \eta_{\mu\nu} + \delta^\beta_\mu \eta_{\nu\alpha} + \delta^\beta_\nu \eta_{\alpha\mu}, \]  

(A9)
\[ \vartheta^\mu \wedge \vartheta^\nu \wedge \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 2(\delta^\mu_\beta \delta^\nu_\gamma \eta_\alpha + \delta^\mu_\beta \delta^\nu_\gamma \eta_\alpha + \delta^\mu_\beta \delta^\nu_\gamma \eta_\alpha), \]  

(A10)

Appendix B: Irreducible decomposition of the torsion

The torsion two-form can be decomposed into the three irreducible pieces, \( T^\alpha = (1)T^\alpha + (2)T^\alpha + (3)T^\alpha \), where
\[ \begin{align*}
(2)T^\alpha &= \frac{1}{3} \vartheta^\alpha \wedge T, \\
(3)T^\alpha &= -\frac{1}{3} * (\vartheta^\alpha \wedge \bar{T}), \\
(1)T^\alpha &= T^\alpha - (2)T^\alpha - (3)T^\alpha.
\end{align*} \]  

(B1)

(B2)

(B3)
Here the one-forms of the trace $T$ and the axial trace $\overline{T}$ of the torsion $T^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} T_{\rho \sigma} \vartheta^{\rho} \wedge \vartheta^{\sigma}$ are defined in terms of the torsion components as follows:

\[
T := e_\nu [T^\nu] = T_{\mu \nu} \vartheta^{\mu} \wedge \vartheta^{\nu}, \quad (B4)
\]
\[
\overline{T} := * (T^\nu \wedge \vartheta_\nu) = \frac{1}{2} T_{\rho \sigma \mu} \eta^{\rho \sigma \mu} \vartheta_\nu. \quad (B5)
\]

### Appendix C: Key identities

There are several useful relations for the irreducible torsion parts. In particular consider $T^\mu = \frac{1}{2} T_{\rho \sigma} \vartheta^{\rho} \wedge \vartheta^{\sigma}$ and multiply it by $\eta_{\alpha \beta \mu}$. With the help of (A10) we find

\[
T^\mu \wedge \eta_{\alpha \beta \mu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( T_{\rho \sigma} \vartheta^{\rho} \wedge \vartheta^{\sigma} \wedge \eta_{\alpha \beta \mu} = (T_{\alpha \beta} \vartheta^{\mu} - 3 (2) T_{\alpha \beta} \vartheta^{\mu}) \wedge \eta_{\mu}. \quad (C1)
\]

On the other hand, for the dual $*T^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} T_{\rho \sigma} \vartheta^{\rho} \wedge \vartheta^{\sigma}$ we immediately verify

\[
* T^\alpha \wedge \vartheta_\beta - * T^\beta \wedge \vartheta_\alpha = (T_{\alpha \beta} \vartheta^{\mu} - 3 (3) T_{\alpha \beta} \vartheta^{\mu}) \wedge \eta_{\mu}. \quad (C2)
\]

Applying (C1) and (C2) to the irreducible torsion parts, we obtain the identities

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad T^\mu \wedge \eta_{\alpha \beta \mu} = 2 * (1) T_{\alpha \beta} \wedge \vartheta_\beta, \quad (C3) \\
(2) & \quad T^\mu \wedge \eta_{\alpha \beta \mu} = -4 * (2) T_{\alpha \beta} \wedge \vartheta_\beta, \quad (C4) \\
(3) & \quad T^\mu \wedge \eta_{\alpha \beta \mu} = - * (3) T_{\alpha \beta} \wedge \vartheta_\beta. \quad (C5)
\end{align*}
\]

Another identity expresses the contortion in terms of the duals of the irreducible parts of the torsion:

\[
\frac{1}{2} K^{\mu \nu} \wedge \eta_{\alpha \mu \nu} \equiv * \left( (1) T_\alpha - 2 (2) T_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} (3) T_\alpha \right). \quad (C6)
\]

To prove this, we substitute $K^{\mu \nu} = \frac{1}{2} (e^\mu [T^\nu] - e^\nu [T^\mu] - \vartheta^\lambda e^\mu [e^\nu] T^\lambda)$ into the left-hand side of (C6) and find:

\[
K^{\mu \nu} \wedge \eta_{\alpha \mu \nu} = (e^\mu [T^\nu] \wedge \eta_{\alpha \mu \nu} - \frac{1}{2} (e^\mu [T^\alpha] \wedge \vartheta_\beta \wedge \eta_{\alpha \mu \nu}). \quad (C7)
\]

In order to evaluate the first term, we start with

\[
\begin{align*}
(e^\mu [T^\nu] \wedge \eta_{\mu \nu} = e^\mu [T^\nu \wedge \eta_{\mu \nu}] = e^\mu [\eta_\mu \wedge T] = - \eta_\mu e^\mu [T] = - * (\vartheta_\mu e^\mu [T]) = - * T,
\end{align*}
\]
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where we used the identity $0 \equiv e_\nu | (T^\nu \wedge \eta_\mu) = T \wedge \eta_\mu + T^\nu \wedge \eta_\mu$. Applying the interior product $e_\alpha |$, we find

\[(e_\alpha | e^\mu | T^\nu) \eta_{\alpha \mu} - (e^\mu | T^\nu) \wedge \eta_{\alpha \mu} = - e_\alpha |^*T. \quad (C9)\]

Thus the first term on the right hand side of (C7) reads

\[ (e^\mu | T^\nu) \wedge \eta_{\alpha \mu} \equiv e_\alpha |^*T + (e_\alpha | e^\mu | T^\nu) \eta_{\alpha \mu} = *(T \wedge \vartheta_\alpha) + *(\vartheta_\mu \wedge \vartheta_\nu e_\alpha | e^\mu | T^\nu) \]
\[ = *(T \wedge \vartheta_\alpha) + *(\vartheta_\alpha \wedge T + T_\alpha - e_\alpha | (\vartheta^\nu \wedge T_\nu)). \quad (C10)\]

The second term on the right hand side of (C7) is easily computed with the help of (A9):

\[- \frac{1}{2} (e^\mu | e^\nu | T_\beta) \vartheta_\beta \wedge \eta_{\alpha \mu} \equiv *(T_\alpha - \vartheta_\alpha \wedge T) \]
\[+ \vartheta_{[\nu} \wedge \vartheta_{\alpha]} e^\nu | T = *(T_\alpha - \vartheta_\alpha \wedge T). \quad (C11)\]

Collecting (C10) and (C11), we find:

\[ K^\mu_\nu \wedge \eta_{\alpha \mu} \equiv *(2T_\alpha - 2\vartheta_\alpha \wedge T - e_\alpha | (\vartheta^\nu \wedge T_\nu)). \quad (C12)\]

Substituting the definitions (B1)-(B3), one proves the identity (C6).

Taking the sum of (C3)-(C5) and making use of (C6), we obtain another identity:

\[ T^\gamma \wedge \eta_{\alpha \beta \gamma} + \vartheta_{[\alpha} \wedge K^{\mu \nu} \wedge \eta_{\beta \mu \nu} \equiv 0. \quad (C13)\]

The relations (C3)-(C6) and (C13) are *linear* in the torsion components.

In addition, there exist other identities which are *quadratic* in the torsion components. They read as follows:

\[ *(^{(I)}T_{[\alpha} \wedge T_{\beta]} + *(^{(I)}T_\gamma) \wedge \vartheta_{[\alpha} \wedge e_{\beta]} | T^\gamma = 0. \quad (C14)\]

These identities hold for all irreducible parts, $I = 1, 2, 3$. Besides that, there are similar (sort of “dual”) relations

\[ (^{(1)}T_{[\alpha} \wedge T_{\beta]} + (^{(1)}T_\gamma) \wedge \vartheta_{[\alpha} \wedge e_{\beta]} | T^\gamma = 0, \quad (C15)\]
\[ + (^{(2)}T_{[\alpha} + (^{(3)}T_{[\alpha} \wedge T_{\beta]} \]
\[ + (^{(2)}T_\gamma + (^{(3)}T_\gamma) \wedge \vartheta_{[\alpha} \wedge e_{\beta]} | T^\gamma = 0. \quad (C16)\]
To prove the relations (C14)-(C16), one should directly use the definitions (B1)-(B3).
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