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Abstract

The establishment of a scientific and orderly Hierarchical Treatment System (HTS) is an important way to improve the efficiency of medical and health resources and reduce medical expenses. Government health management officials and health insurance departments are the main promoters of the establishment of a hierarchical treatment system. Hospital managers and medical staff are the main obstacles to policy implementation because they are more likely to suffer damage in hierarchical treatment system.
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Introduction

The establishment of a hierarchical treatment system and strengthen the top design of it, and scientific design grass-roots first diagnosis system, two-way referral path and key links, will help optimize the allocation of medical resources and reduce medical expenses. The classification and treatment system is an effective measure for the reform of health care system.

China’s hierarchical treatment system practice encountered numerous difficulties [1-4]. In China, large general hospital patients overcrowding, the problem is still grim. Medical services provided by medical institutions at all levels cannot achieve homogeneity. Because the lack of integration of medical resources, it is unable to form an effective linkage mechanism. Two-way referral system has not yet been completely established. In 2016, the number of outpatients in Changsha City transferred from the community hospital to the higher level hospital is more than 76,000, and the number of outpatients transferred from the higher hospital to the community hospital is less than 7,000; the number of inpatients transferred from the community hospital to the higher hospital is less than 8,000 people, and the number of inpatients transferred from the higher hospital to the community hospital is less than 500. It is easy to transfer from the community hospital to the higher hospital, but the reverse transfer is difficult [5].

Advanced experiences in developed countries

Britain is one of the earliest and most stringent Western countries in practice. After years of development and improvement, the British welfare system becomes the typical representative. As a «gatekeeper» for the health of citizens, general practitioners to guide patients with systematic orderly medical treatment, on-demand treatment. British law ensures the protection of community first visit. According to British law, a British citizen or a foreign citizen who has a visa for more than six months must register and sign with a family doctor, and in non-emergency situations, the community residents must first go to the general practitioner after illness and decide for follow-up treatment scheme [6]. The typical practitioner determines whether the patient is eligible for secondary or tertiary medical services, and the standardized pathways of various types of diseases in the UK contribute to the standardization of referral.

The United States is the sole country in the western developed countries, which do not achieve universal coverage, and its medical service model is based on private medical care and insurance. On this basis, the government supports social insurance for special groups. US medical institutions are organized in community health service agencies, secondary hospitals and tertiary hospitals. The three types of hospitals
in the United States are clear and have a clear division of labor, which can effectively meet the medical needs of different patients and provide a solid foundation for good practice of grading clinics in the United States. Health care management in the United States ceases to be a two-way alternative between patients and doctors. It focuses more on monitoring the supply of health services, health service providers and managed health care organizations, and strictly controls the rights and interests of participants. Diagnostic-related groups, DRGs) provide an important basis for the management and reimbursement of various insurance. This payment method limits the standardized hospitalization indications and the time period. That is, a disease or surgery [7]. The patient is returned to a certain extent, Must go to the primary health care institutions or go home to accept the general practitioner treatment. Otherwise, the discharge of treatment costs discharged by the patients themselves. Years of practice shows that DRGs take into account the interests of the government, hospitals, patients, but also to restrict the patient’s medical treatment behavior, facilitate the orderly conduct of a two-way referral.

**Stakeholders of hierarchical treatment system**

The construction and operation of the Hierarchical Treatment System involve the demand side, the supply side, the management side of the health service. The main participants and their interests are analyzed as showed in Table 1.

| Stakeholders                          | Interests                                      | Resources and Rights                                      | Affect |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Health department                     | Fair, accessible and efficient health systems  | Health policy decision-making, ownership of public medical institutions | High   |
| Health insurance department           | Maintain the balance of payments for medical insurance| Health insurance policy decision-making, health insurance funds | High   |
| General hospital managers             | To avoid loss of patients and outpatient reduction in the implementation of graded diagnosis and treatment process | Hospital management rights | Medium |
| Medical staff in general hospital     | The workload does not increase and income is not reduced | Medical technology (specialist) and medical disposition | Low    |
| Community hospital managers           | Service capacity is enhanced, service volume increased moderately | Hospital management rights | Medium |
| Medical staff in community hospitals  | Income has increased, the workload can be within the scope of tolerance | Medical technology (general subject) and medical disposition | Low    |
| Patient                               | Safe, effective, convenient, inexpensive health services | Medical treatment options, healthcare expenses | High   |
| Pharmaceuticals and equipment suppliers| Improve product market share and profit         | Pharmaceuticals, equipment, equipment, funds               | Low    |

**Discussion**

From the perspective of stakeholders, hierarchical treatment has both a driving force and a hindrance factor. The final implementation of the classification can be achieved and whether policy expectations can be achieved, depending on the dynamic balance [8-10]. Health department and health insurance department are mainly promoters of hierarchical treatment system. The implementation of the hierarchical treatment system is conducive to optimizing the allocation of medical and health resources and to reduce the cost of medical treatment, control of medical and health costs. This is the fundamental duty and core interest of the health department and the health care department. In the construction of hierarchical treatment system, health department and health insurance department have a high degree of consistency: positive promoters. However, they differ in the implementation route. Built on the perspective of medical service providers, the health department generally advocates the rational allocation of remedial service resources through administrative means, and reasonable arrangements for all types of medical and health services. Then, the health department takes comprehensive measures to guide residents/patients to adapt this institutional arrangements, and ultimately to achieve hierarchical treatment. On the contrary, based on the perspective of medical demanders, the health insurance department is inclined to establish a doctor based on practice or free practice of the family (general) doctor signing system [11,12]. The establishment of supporting health insurance payment reform can rationalize the income mechanism of doctors to achieve long-term stable relationship between patients and doctors, so as to provide patients with continuous medical services and full of health management services [13].

According to the basic principles of hierarchical treatment system, the general hospital should be mainly responsible for difficult diagnosis and treatment work and scientific research and education work, chronic diseases and common diseases.
gradually diverted to community hospitals. Therefore, for the general hospital, the implementation of hierarchical treatment system may mean that the reduction in outpatient. If there are no other compensation measures, it will result in a reduction in economic income, which is detrimental to both the hospital manager and the medical staff. In such circumstances, hospital administrators and medical staff on the classification of the conflict and obstruction will be exacerbated. To fundamentally reduce the general hospital’s obstruction of the hierarchical treatment system, the government should take systematic and effective measures to improve its operational development model, in particular, to break interests chase mechanism of the general hospital.

The interests of managers and medical staff in community hospitals are the most likely to the expected effect of hierarchical treatment system. Therefore, both of them are happy to see the implementation of the policy, and are willing to actively participate in it. To further stimulate their enthusiasm, the government needs to address three key points. One is to improve the social reputation of community hospitals and general practitioners. The second is to ensure the status of general practitioners, and finally is to improve the income of community hospitals and general practitioners [14].

Patients are an important beneficiary of hierarchical treatment system, but they may also serve as the biggest obstacle. In the hierarchical treatment system, patients who need to receive the treatment of community doctors who they don’t trust, and if not directly referral to a large hospital they will pay a higher fee, or only get a lower proportion of reimbursement. So whether it is from the medical habits or the subjective feelings, the patient may have resistance to the classification of treatment. Therefore, the government needs to educate patients to improve the patient’s understanding and approval of this policy.

Pharmaceuticals and equipment suppliers will be selectively involved in the process, and they are expected to become the driving force of hierarchical diagnosis and treatment. At present, under the active promotion of pharmaceuticals and equipment suppliers, applications which based on the Internet, Internet of Things, Big Data and Cloud Services are rapidly developing health care. Precision medical, wisdom, medical, mobile medical, Big Data and Cloud Services are rapidly developing. Moreover, the introduction of medical devices and medicines which are more expensive and of better quality will significantly improve the efficiency of the use of medical resources, to provide technical support for the classification of hierarchical treatment system [15,16].
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