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ABSTRACT

Background: An emergency department or emergency room is a medical treatment facility specializing in emergency medicine, the acute care of patients who present without any prior appointment either by their own means or by that of an ambulance. The main objective of this study was to determine the efficiency of emergency service in Shaykh Zayed Hospital Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan.

Methods: This cross sectional study was carried out in the Emergency Department of Shaykh Zayed Hospital Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan, from 05-06-2017 to 04-11-2017. A total of 139 subjects were involved in the study. Patients attending Emergency Department of Shaykh Zayed Hospital Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan were included. Patients were observed and followed silently through their stay in the Emergency Department. All the data taken was entered in predesigned perform.

Results: In the Emergency Department, among all the patients, 25.9% came with GIT complaints and only 3.6% had eye, ENT and Dental issues. About 48.2% of the patients had the first contact within 4 minutes. This study shows that the treatment of 49.7% patients started within 10 minutes after entering the emergency however for only 3.5% of the patients it took more than 25 minutes to start the treatment. About 42.4% of all the patients left the emergency after treatment within 20 minutes.

Conclusions: Emergency medical services are a critical component of national health system in developing countries. Governments and ministries of health need to pay specific attention to develop emergency services and also to increase the health care staff.
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INTRODUCTION

An emergency department or emergency room is a medical treatment facility specializing in emergency medicine, the acute care of patients who present without prior appointment either by their own means or by that of an ambulance. Emergency department is usually found in a hospital or other primary care center.¹

According to report, 3.5 million people die every year because of RTAs. 10-15 million are injured every year due to vehicular or other accidents. There is one death every 50 seconds. Emergency services are organizations which ensure public safety and health by addressing different emergencies. The availability of emergency services depends very heavily on location, and may in some cases also rely on the recipient giving payment or
holding suitable insurance or other surety for receiving the services. The accident and emergency (A&E) department in a hospital is one of the most important players in delivery of healthcare services to patients. It has been demonstrated that about 50% of the visits to the ED are for non-emergency reasons.

This issue has been adequately addressed by development of emergency triage systems in different countries where it has eased the burden on emergency department, the triage system takes into account patient requirements of healthcare services and allocates valuable finite resources available to the A&E department to those who require it the most.

The current state of emergency medicine in Pakistan leaves a lot to be desired. Lack of basic healthcare facilities, poor funding status of the hospital departments by state and lack of triage system in most centers are important problems in emergency medicine. Hospital with no triage systems generally follow the “first come-first served” pattern of provision of healthcare services. This system neither takes into account the severity of symptoms of the patients nor fully assesses the relative needs of patients requiring treatment. This often leads to patients with severe underlying conditions waiting for their turns in the populous A&E department. The purpose of conducting this study is to determine the current pre-hospital timing patterns of the patients and the time spent in A&E department. We also aim to determine factors responsible for delayed presentation to the ED. This study is a pioneer study as it aims to broadly assess the time intervals and factors important in healthcare provision. This study will enable us in critical analysis of the prevalent conditions and the will highlight the need of development of a triage system for our setting.

Studies show that decisiveness is a key issue in managing patient flow. And while those who choose emergency medicine as a specialty do tend to be decisive, it really takes four to five years of experience to fully develop this quality. There are, however, many strategies for doing things faster that can be practiced by physicians at all levels of experience.

Medical services exists to fulfill the basic principles of first aid, which are to "arms" to the professionals, meaning nurses and/or physicians working in the pre-hospital setting and even on ambulances.

The objectives of study were to assess the efficacy of emergency services provided to the people attending the emergency department of Sheikh Zayed Hospital, Rahim Yar Khan.

**METHODS**

This cross-sectional study was conducted by the study conducted from 05-06-2017 to 04-11-2017, over the duration 6 months. A total of 139 patients were selected through Convenient Sampling Technique from the Emergency Department of Sheikh Zayed Hospital, Rahim Yar Khan. Patients included in the study were those entering at the time of data collection and were willing to answer the questions. Patients who were unconscious were excluded from the study.

In this study, the patients were observed silently and followed through their stay in the Emergency Department. After a brief introduction with the patient/attendant and taking their verbal consent, they were asked for age, occupation and presenting complaints. Information inquired also included the time of their entry, time of first contact with the doctor/health care provider, designation of health care provider, time of start treatment, first aid given to the patient, further investigations advised, provisional diagnosis, treatment, the time of admittance, referral to OPD/ward and exit from the Emergency Department. All the data was entered in pre-designed performa.

All this data was recorded and analyzed in a computer based program named SPSS Version 16. The results were then generated accordingly. Quantitative data was analyzed by mean, mode, median, standard deviation and standard error of mean. The data was further analyzed by making variables i.e. age, sex, occupation, education, residence, presenting complaint (s), investigation (s), treatment, health care worker, door the contact time, door to treatment time and door to exit time. Test statistics were tested with 5% significance level.

**RESULTS**

In this study, out of 139 patients 59.7% were males and 40.3% were females. Age for all patients is in the range of 8 months to 85 Years, among which 34.5% were under 25 years of age while 65.5% were above 25. This study showed that mean age of patients was 33.26 years, mode 30 years, standard deviation ± 19.67 and standard error of mean 1.66. Occupations of the subjects were as follows: Housewives 25.2%, students 24.5% employee 9.4% formers 10.1% Labors 10.8% businessman 7.2% and unemployed 12.9% (Table 1 and 2).
Table 1: Residence of subjects.

| Residence | Sex      | Male (%) | Female (%) | Total (%) |
|-----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|
| Rural     | 35 (62.5) | 21 (37.5) | 56 (100)   |           |
| Urban     | 48 (57.8) | 35 (42.2) | 83 (100)   |           |
| Total     | 83 (59.7) | 56 (40.3) | 139 (100)  |           |

This study revealed that 48.2% of patients got their first contact with the health care provider within 1-4 minutes, 34.5% within 5-8 minutes, 10.8% within 9-12 minutes, 4.3% within 13-16 minutes, while 2.2% of patients had to wait for more than 60 minutes (Table 4 and 5).

Table 6: Presenting complaints of patients.

| Presenting complaints | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| GIT                   | 36        | 25.9           |
| RTA                   | 25        | 18             |
| Respiratory           | 14        | 10.1           |
| CVS                   | 12        | 8.6            |
| Dermatology           | 12        | 8.6            |
| CNS                   | 12        | 8.6            |
| Fever                 | 8         | 5.8            |
| Musculoskeletal       | 8         | 5.8            |
| Genitourinary         | 7         | 5.0            |
| Eye/ENT/Dental        | 5         | 3.6            |
| Total                 | 139       | 100            |

Table 3: Education status wise distribution of patients.

| Educational status   | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Illiterate           | 62        | 44.6           |
| Primary              | 37        | 26.6           |
| Matriculation        | 23        | 16.5           |
| Intermediate         | 4         | 2.9            |
| Graduate or above    | 13        | 9.4            |
| Total                | 139       | 100.0          |

When their educational status was observed, 44.6% were illiterate, 26.6% had primary education, 16.5% had done matriculation, 2.9% did intermediate and 9.4% were graduate and above 59.7% of patients belonged to urban areas while 40.3% to the rural areas (Table 3).

Table 4: First contact of healthcare provider with patients.

| Health care provider | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Doctor               | 105       | 75.5           |
| Dispenser            | 23        | 16.5           |
| Nurse                | 9         | 6.5            |
| OT Boy               | 2         | 1.4            |
| Total                | 139       | 100.0          |

Table 5: Door to first contact time (minutes).

| Time (minutes) | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|----------------|-----------|----------------|
| 1-4            | 67        | 48.2           |
| 5-8            | 48        | 34.5           |
| 9-12           | 15        | 10.8           |
| 13-16          | 6         | 4.3            |
| >16            | 3         | 2.2            |
| Total          | 139       | 100            |

Table 6: Presenting complaints of patients.

| Presenting complaints | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| GIT                   | 36        | 25.9           |
| RTA                   | 25        | 18             |
| Respiratory           | 14        | 10.1           |
| CVS                   | 12        | 8.6            |
| Dermatology           | 12        | 8.6            |
| CNS                   | 12        | 8.6            |
| Fever                 | 8         | 5.8            |
| Musculoskeletal       | 8         | 5.8            |
| Genitourinary         | 7         | 5.0            |
| Eye/ENT/Dental        | 5         | 3.6            |
| Total                 | 139       | 100            |

Table 7: Investigations advised to patients.

| Investigations advised | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| None                   | 50        | 36             |
| X-ray/ultrasound        | 27        | 19.4           |
| Blood Pressure          | 20        | 14.9           |
| Fever                  | 13        | 9.4            |
| ECG                    | 12        | 8.6            |
| Lab investigations      | 9         | 6.5            |
| BSR                    | 8         | 5.8            |

When their educational status was observed, 44.6% were illiterate, 26.6% had primary education, 16.5% had done matriculation, 2.9% did intermediate and 9.4% were graduate and above 59.7% of patients belonged to urban areas while 40.3% to the rural areas (Table 3).

Table 8: Treatment given to the patients.

| Treatment               | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| First Aid               | 4         | 2.9            |
| Injection               | 37        | 26.6           |
| Medication              | 21        | 15.9           |
| Referral                | 36        | 25.9           |
| First aid+injection     | 7         | 5.0            |
| Injection+medication    | 26        | 18.7           |
| First aid+medication    | 3         | 2.2            |
| First aid+injection+medication | 5 | 3.6 |
| Total                   | 139       | 100            |

36% of patients were not advised any investigation while 24.3% were advised for baseline investigation (B.P.,
DISCUSSION

In this study, out of 139 patients 59.7% were males and 40.3% were females. Age for all patients is in the range of 8 months to 85 Years, among which 34.5% were under 25 years of age while 65.5% were above 25. This study showed that mean age of patients was 33.26 years, mode 45 years, median 30 years, standard deviation ±19.67 and standard error of mean 1.66. The findings of current study are comparable to another study from New York that showed that 39.6% patients were between ages 18-44 years.\textsuperscript{12}

Occupations of the subjects were as follows: Housewives 25.2%, students 24.5% employee 9.4% former 10.1% Labors 10.8% businessman 7.2% and unemployed 12.9%. Our study relates with a study conducted in USA.\textsuperscript{13} When their educational status was observed, 44.6% were illiterate, 26.6% had primary education, 16.5% had done matriculation, 2.9% did intermediate and 9.4% were graduate and above. 59.7% of patients belonged to urban areas while 40.3% to the rural areas. This study relates with the preliminary findings of the study by Scott et al.\textsuperscript{14} This study revealed that 48.2% of patients got their first contact with the health care provider within 1-4 minutes, 34.5% within 5-8 minutes, 10.8% within 9-12 minutes, 4.3% within 13-16 minutes, while 2.2% of patients had to wait for more than 60 minutes. This study correlates with that of a study conducted in Ethiopia for delayed presentation of patients to rural areas.\textsuperscript{15} Another survey conducted by Ibtisam and Sana in line with our study.\textsuperscript{16} Among the subjects under study 49.7% received treatment within 10 minutes, 41% within 20 minutes and 9.3% after 20 minutes. There was significantly increased risk of being attended late when arriving on evening.\textsuperscript{17}

Presenting complaints of these observed patients were as follows: GIT 25.9%, RTA 18%, Respiratory 10.1%, CVS 8.6%, Dermatology 8.6%, CNS 7.9%, Fever 5.8%, Musculoskeletal 5.8%, Genitourinary 5%, and ENT/EYE/Dental 3.6%. This study is compared with the study done in Baltimore which shows that 68.1% patients were discharged above 30 minutes while 31.9% spend 30 or less than 30 minutes in emergency department.\textsuperscript{19}

CONCLUSION

Emergency medical services are a critical component of national health system in developing countries. Governments and ministries of health need to pay specific attention to develop emergency services and also to increase the health care staff.
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