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Abstract: Arthroscopic biceps tenodesis is a commonly performed procedure; however, there is a paucity of literature regarding concomitant biceps tenodesis and double-row rotator cuff repair. In this Technical Note, we describe an all-arthroscopic biceps tenodesis using the stay sutures from the anterolateral anchor in the setting of a double-row rotator cuff repair. The anterolateral anchor is placed adjacent to the bicipital groove to accommodate the tenodesis. Two sutures loaded into the anterolateral anchor are passed through the long head of the biceps tendon in a cinch configuration without the need to externalize the tendon. The sutures are tied arthroscopically, thereby securing the tendon to the anterolateral row anchor and completing the tenodesis.

Long head of the biceps tendon pathology is commonly encountered in the presence of a rotator cuff tear. Patients with this pathology usually present with pain in the anterior shoulder and tenderness over the bicipital groove. In such cases, either a tenotomy or tenodesis can be performed during rotator cuff repair (RCR).

Many studies looking at the superiority of one technique over the other have shown no significant differences between measures such as American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons scores postoperatively, pain, and cramping. However, the general consensus is that biceps tenodesis does demonstrate some advantages, including restoration of the resting muscle length so as to avoid spasm or cramping, preservation of elbow flexion and supination strength, and avoidance of a cosmetic deformity or “Popeye Sign,” which can result from a tenotomy.

Multiple techniques for biceps tenodesis have been described, with much variability in the approach and method of fixation employed. The traditional approach to a bicep tenodesis has been described through an open subpectoral or supraperpectoral approach; however, arthroscopic techniques also have been described. Multiple techniques similarly exist for tendon fixation, including a simple suture, an interference screw, a suture anchor, or through a bone tunnel.

In this Technical Note, we present a simple and cost-effective, all-arthroscopic technique for biceps tenodesis in the setting of a double-row RCR. During this technique, when the biceps tendon is mobile and not scarred within the groove, the tenodesis is performed using the stay sutures loaded in the anterolateral anchor of the RCR. This all-arthroscopic technique is technically straightforward, avoids an extra incision, thus decreasing risk of infection and possible neurovascular injury, and decreases both operative time and costs by avoiding the need for a separate implant solely for long head of the biceps tendon fixation.

Technique (With Video Illustration)

The patient is placed in the beach-chair position and a 30° arthroscope is introduced in the standard posterior viewing portal. An anterior portal is established through the rotator interval. A standard diagnostic arthroscopy is then performed. The biceps tendon is...
probed, and its integrity and mobility are evaluated. When significant pathology is identified (Fig 1) and a mobile tendon is confirmed, a biceps tenotomy is performed with an arthroscopic scissor and the stump is debrided to a smooth edge (Fig 2).

The arthroscope is then transitioned into the subacromial space. A standard working lateral portal is established. The subacromial and subdeltoid bursa is debrided as required to optimize visualization. If an acromial enthesophyte is encountered, an acromioplasty is performed. At this point, attention is directed toward RCR. The camera is placed through a posterolateral viewing portal for better visualization of the rotator cuff tear. Once the tear is localized, the tendon is mobilized, and the edges are debrided. The tuberosity is prepared with a rasp and motorized burr, allowing for a bleeding edge to accept repair. Our preferred technique for a double-row RCR is a transosseous-equivalent suture bridge repair.

Two medial row 4.75-mm BioComposite SwiveLock C anchors (Arthrex, Naples, FL) are placed through stab incisions localized by a spinal needle. Each anchor is loaded with 2 FiberTapes (Arthrex) and two #2 FiberWires (Arthrex). Two FiberTapes from the anteromedial anchor are placed anteriorly and posteriorly with the intervening segments captured through a horizontal mattress configuration with FiberWire stitches passed within the tendinous portion of the torn rotator cuff tissue. These sutures are then secured with sliding arthroscopic knots to provide additional compression, which acts as a “spot wheld” of the medial most aspect of the RCR. Next, one FiberTape from each medial row anchor is shuttled through another 4.75-mm BioComposite SwiveLock C anchor for a lateral row repair. The position of the anterolateral anchor is approximately 2 cm lateral to the greater tuberosity and adjacent to the bicipital groove to accommodate for biceps tenodesis (Fig 3). The FiberTapes are tensioned appropriately, and the anchor is seated into the proximal humerus. The additional double-loaded sutures, which are preloaded in the anterolateral anchor, are then secured with a clamp and preserved for later tenodesis. This process is repeated again for the posterolateral anchor for a transosseous-equivalent suture bridge double-row repair.

Following RCR, attention is then afforded towards the biceps tenodesis (Video 1). The arm is positioned in 30° of abduction and 20 to 30° of external rotation. With the arthroscope in the posteroslateral portal, a radiofrequency ablation device is placed through the lateral portal. Soft tissues adjacent to the anterolateral row anchor overlying the bicipital groove are debrided and the biceps tendon sheath is incised (Fig 4). The biceps tendon is freed from the groove and secured with a Kelly clamp inserted through the anterior portal to hold the tendon taut within the subacromial space for the remainder of the tenodesis (Fig 5).

With tendon position and tension controlled via manipulation of the Kelly clamp, the position for suture passage through the tendon can be identified to achieve a tension-free tenodesis. The sutures are passed approximately 3 to 4 cm distal on the tenotomized tendon. A limb of one of the #2 FiberWire stay sutures from the anterolateral anchor is then retrieved out the lateral portal and is passed through the tendon in a cinch configuration with a Scorpion self-retrieving suture passer (Arthrex). This is then repeated for the

Fig 1. With the patient in the beach chair position, viewing the left shoulder from the posterior portal, pathology to the long head of biceps tendon (white arrow) is seen in the form of a pulley lesion. (B, biceps tendon; G, glenoid; H, humeral head.)

Fig 2. With the patient in the beach chair position, viewing the left shoulder from the posterior portal, the diseased biceps tendon is debrided and released from the supraglenoid tubercle. (B, biceps tendon; G, glenoid; H, humeral head.)
second suture and placed just distal to the initial suture (Fig 6). Excess tendon proximal to the sutures is cut, with careful attention paid to avoid damaging the sutures. The first set of corresponding sutures are pulled out through the lateral cannula. The limb through the anchor acts as the post and the limb though the tendon serves as the non-post limb. The passed sutures are then tied with alternating half-hitch knots, securing the tendon to the suture anchor and completing the tenodesis (Fig 7).

Arthroscopic portals are closed with interrupted 3-0 nylon nonabsorbable suture. The arm is placed in a shoulder abduction sling and kept for 6 weeks after surgery. The patient is encouraged to perform pendulum exercises as well as elbow and wrist range of motion exercises during this time. After 6 weeks, range of motion exercises for the shoulder and active biceps exercises are started. At 12 weeks, strengthening of the rotator cuff and biceps is initiated.

Discussion

In this Technical Note, we present an all-arthroscopic biceps tenodesis using the anterolateral suture anchor of a concomitant double-row RCR. Levy described a similar technique using the anterolateral row anchor; however, the biceps tendon is externalized from the shoulder to perform a whip stitch prior to fixation. George described a method that uses a single anchor but incorporates the same suture used for the RCR to perform the tenodesis employing a simple stitch configuration.

The tenodesis technique described herein is unique in that it is all-arthroscopic, avoids externalizing the tendon or increased surgical dissection required with an extra incision, and thereby may minimize the chances of complications (Table 1). Deep infection, brachial plexus injuries, as well as axillary artery injury have all been reported with open tenodesis techniques, which can be minimized through this all-arthroscopic procedure. Further, recent studies have demonstrated no difference in outcomes when open and all-arthroscopic methods were compared.
In addition, this technique avoids an additional implant being inserted into the proximal humerus by using the anterolateral rotator cuff anchor for the tenodesis. Avoidance of other fixation devices decrease the risk of a stress riser and possible fracture reported with other techniques. Moreover, employing an anchor already present with double-row repair provides a cost-effective alternative, which decreases the total number of implants needed for the procedure. In an age in which health care costs are of especial importance, we believe such cost-saving techniques to be of particular value. One way of doing so is decreasing the number of implants used for a procedure, as a significant correlation between greater total direct cost and total number of anchors used has been shown.

Our technique is not without limitations. First, suture anchor fixation may be compromised when tissue quality is poor, as opposed to tissue independent techniques such as interference screw or cortical button fixation. In addition, this procedure cannot be performed if the biceps tendon is scarred within the groove and is unable to be mobilized arthroscopically, thus requiring a familiarity with a second technique. In these instances, we prefer to perform an open suprapectoral tenodesis. Increased arthroscopic time can also lead to increased soft-tissue and compartment swelling, leading to suboptimal viewing conditions if not performed in a timely fashion. Lastly, the suture placement for the tenodesis in our technique is dependent on an

---

**Table 1. Advantages and Limitations**

| Advantages | Limitations |
|------------|-------------|
| Quick and technically simple all-arthroscopic method for biceps tenodesis during double-row RCR | Suture anchor fixation may be compromised when tissue quality is poor |
| LHBT does not need to be externalized from shoulder, which can be difficult in patients with excess soft tissue or a large pectoralis major muscle | Unable to perform if LHBT is scarred within the groove and immobile |
| Avoid morbidity associated with an open incision | Does not address distal biceps pathology |
| Reduced cost as an implant solely for tenodesis is not needed | |

LHBT, long head of the biceps tendon; RCR, rotator cuff repair.

---

**Table 2. Pearls and Pitfalls**

| Pearls | Pitfalls |
|--------|----------|
| Use of a posterolateral viewing portal aids in improved visualization for rotator cuff repair as well as biceps tenodesis | Possible to over- or under-tension the tenodesis if suture placement through the tendon is incorrectly placed |
| Place arm in 30° of shoulder abduction and 20-30° of external rotation for improved visualization of the bicipital groove from the subacromial space | Added arthroscopic time may contribute to increased soft-tissue and compartment swelling, leading to suboptimal visualization |
| Use of a self-retrieving suture passer allows for easier suture passage through the LHBT | |
| If bone quality in the proximal humerus is poor for the lateral row anchor, increase to a 5.5-mm anchor and use smaller punch | |

LHBT, long head of the biceps tendon.
intraoperative assessment of the mobility of the tendon. This can result in over- or undertensioning the tenodesis, leading to altered biomechanics of the arm (Table 2).

In conclusion, we believe the described technique to represent a quick and reproducible method for arthroscopic biceps tenodesis in the setting of double-row RCR. We prefer this technique in the setting of a mobile tendon to avoid the morbidity associated with an open incision and the increased costs of an additional implant.
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