Unfolding engineering metamaterials design: relaxed micromorphic modeling of large-scale acoustic meta-structures.
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Abstract

In this paper, we present a unit cell showing a band-gap in the lower acoustic domain. The corresponding metamaterial is made up of a periodic arrangement of this unit cell. We rigorously show that the relaxed micromorphic model can be used for metamaterials’ design at large scales as soon as sufficiently large specimens are considered. We manufacture the metamaterial via metal etching procedures applied to a titanium plate so as to show that its production for realistic applications is viable. Experimental tests are also carried out confirming that the metamaterials’ response is in good agreement with the theoretical design. In order to show that our micromorphic model opens unprecedented possibilities in metastructural design, we conceive a finite-size structure that is able to focus elastic energy in a confined region, thus enabling its possible subsequent re-use. Indeed, thanks to the introduction of a well-posed set of micromorphic boundary conditions, we can combine different metamaterials and classical Cauchy materials in such a way that the elastic energy produced by a source of vibrations is focused in specific collection points. The design of this structure would have not been otherwise possible (via e.g., direct simulations), due to the large dimensions of the metastructure, counting hundreds of unit cells.
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1 Introduction

Metamaterials are architectured materials whose mechanical properties go beyond those of classical materials thanks to their heterogeneous microstructure. This allows them to show exceptional static/dynamic features such as negative Poisson’s ratio \cite{17}, twist in response to being pushed or pulled \cite{11,31}, band-gaps \cite{6,19,29,41}, cloaking \cite{5,24}, focusing \cite{2,12}, channeling \cite{10,13}, negative refraction \cite{3,14,18,43,46}, etc.

In the last two centuries, the advancement of knowledge on finite-size classical materials modeling has enabled the design of engineering structures (buildings, bridges, airplanes, cars, etc.) resisting to static and dynamic loads. Today, while the modeling of infinite-size metamaterials is achievable via reliable homogenization techniques \cite{4,7,8,38,44,45}, we must acknowledge that these techniques are usually unsuitable for finite-size metamaterials modeling since these homogenization methods cannot provide the right tools to “cut finite-size metamaterials’ LEGO bricks out” of an infinite block. This gap prevents the exploration of the response of metamaterials/classical-materials structures and their optimization towards efficient wave control and energy recovery. In this paper, we show that this gap can be filled by using the relaxed micromorphic model \cite{26,27,32,33} as a gold standard to conceive realistic finite-size metamaterials/classical-materials structures that can control waves and eventually recover energy.

At present, the response of finite-size metamaterials’ structures is mostly explored via direct Finite Element (FEM) simulations that implement all the details of the involved microstructures (e.g., \cite{16}). Despite the precise propagation patterns that these direct numerical simulations can provide, they suffer from unsustainable computational costs when considering large metamaterials’ specimens or high frequencies.
Therefore, it is very difficult today to explore large meta-structures combining metamaterials and classical-materials bricks of different type, size and shape. The awareness of this limitation triggered all the recent advances on dynamical homogenization methods \cite{4,7,8,38,44,45}. Such methods share the idea that a periodic infinite-size metamaterial can be replaced by a homogenized continuum, mimicking its response without accounting for all the microstructures’ details. This leads to an important simplification of metamaterials’ description at the macroscopic scale. Unfortunately, often homogenization methods cannot describe the response of finite-size metamaterials due to the difficulty of establishing well-posed boundary conditions at the macro-level. The unsuitability of classical homogenization methods for finite-size metamaterials’ modeling in dynamic regime has been very recently acknowledged by the cutting-edge research groups in dynamical homogenization \cite{39,40}. Being aware of the homogenization’s limits concerning finite-size metamaterials’ modeling in dynamics, Sridhar et al. \cite{39} recently proposed an alternative ad hoc upscaling procedure, only valid for locally resonant metamaterials, leading to a homogenized equation which the authors recognize to be of the micromorphic type. In a similar spirit, \cite{40} obtained a homogenized continuum with extended kinematics, classifying it as micromorphic, and proposed its use to study a simple 1D boundary value problem for a periodic metamaterial. It is also common today to find models, alternative to homogenization, that entail frequency-dependent parameters to describe dynamic metamaterials’ response at different frequencies (e.g., \cite{7,20}). Even if these models can give useful information about metamaterials’ response under particular loading conditions, they cannot provide a comprehensive characterization of metamaterials.

The results presented in this paper show that the relaxed micromorphic model’s structure, coupled with the introduction of well-posed boundary conditions, allowed us to unveil both the static and dynamic response of metamaterials bricks of finite size. Playing LEGO with such bricks enables the design of a highly performing structure, combining metamaterials and classical-materials in such a way to focus energy in specific collection points for eventual subsequent re-use.

2 A titanium-based metamaterial for acoustic control: experimental set-up

A consistent branch of research on metamaterials focuses on how to engineer the unit cell geometry and material properties to optimize their response with respect to elastic wave manipulation \cite{8,15,21,22,23,24,25,28,30,35,36,37,42,43}. In particular, optimizing the size, the mass, and the stiffness distribution within the unit cell can synergize to define the position of the band-gap. Often, in order to obtain band-gaps in the acoustic regime, it is necessary to have unit cells whose size are in the range of tens of centimeters. The unit cell that we present in this paper (Figure 1) has an optimized geometry that allows us to obtain a band-gap in the low acoustic frequency range with a cell size of only 2 centimeters.

| a | e_q | e_p |
|---|---|---|
| [mm] | [mm] | [mm] |
| 20 | 0.35 | 0.25 |

| $\rho_{Ti}$ | $\lambda_{Ti}$ | $\mu_{Ti}$ |
|----------|----------|----------|
| [kg/m$^3$] | [GPa] | [GPa] |
| 4400 | 88.8 | 41.8 |

Figure 1: (left) unit cell whose periodic repetition in space gives rise to the metamaterial studied in this paper. (right) geometry and material parameters characterising the unit cell. The parameters $\rho_{Ti}$, $\lambda_{Ti}$, and $\mu_{Ti}$ are the density and the Lamé constants of the titanium alloy used, respectively.

The four squares in which the unit cell can be divided in act as local resonators (Figure 1 [left]) localizing the energy at the microscopic level, thus creating the band-gap effect. In order to lower the band-gap to the acoustic frequency range, it is required simultaneously to increase the mass of the resonators (i.e. maximize
the size of such internal squares) and decrease their stiffness (*i.e.* minimize the thickness $e_p$ of the stripe on the outline of the cell). To minimize the unavoidable presence of defects related to the extremely thin holes that must be drilled in the metallic plate, the Electrical Discharge Machining wire erosion was used for metal etching. Titanium was chosen as base material to maximize the overall strength while minimizing losses due to damping. The values of $a$, $e_p$ and $e_g$ are given in Figure 1 (right) and the out-of-plane cell thickness $e=1$[mm] were thus determined by taking into account:

- desired characteristics of the band-gap (acoustic regime);
- manufacturing constraints of the chosen process (limitations for the possible values of $e_p$ and $e_g$);
- static resistance of the structure.

Using this unit cell, a 9×11 cells meta-structure has been designed to experimentally explore the band-gap attenuation: the used set-up is presented in Figure 2.

![Figure 2: (left) Experimental set-up: glued in the center of the metamaterial’s plate there is the top piezoelectric patch (another one being placed on the other side of the plate) that has been used as an actuator for the external excitation. The tapes placed on the top-right quarter of the plate can reflect a laser’s beam for speed measurements. (right) Detail of the upper piezoelectric patch with its electric supply.](image)

Two piezoelectric patches (MEGGIT PZ 21, $\varnothing$16[mm] 2[mm]-thick) are used as actuators to generate in-plane extension pulse waves in the plate (see Figure 2 (right)). Excitation signals are generated by a function generator and then amplified to power the piezoelectric patches. Sine sweeps are chosen to impose the external load and the signal’s frequency is swept from 0 to 2500 Hz. Measured speeds are acquired by a 3-D laser (Polytec CLV-3D). An interface under MATLAB® has also been designed, allowing to easily choose the main parameters for each test, namely the required frequency range, the resolution and coordinates of the considered measurement points.

The power supply of the piezoelectric patches is designed to avoid flexural vibration modes in the plate at the considered frequencies, so that the applied load is a pure in-plane expansion as shown in Figure 3 (a). In Figures 3 (b) and 3 (c) other possible loads are depicted but not used in the experiment.
Figure 3: Scheme of possible power supplies of the piezoelectric patches. The dashed lines outline the non-powered piezoelectric patches and undeformed center of the plate while the solid lines depict the deformed powered piezoelectric patches deforming the microstructured plate. In particular, blue lines represent the side of the piezoelectric patches connected to the ground while red lines represent the powered side of the piezoelectric patches. The same electric potential is applied on both red areas.

3 Modeling and simulation

In this section, we give an outlook on the possible modeling tools allowing to catch the dynamical response of the metamaterial presented in Section 2. In particular, we simulate the experimental set-up presented in Figure 2 both by using a detailed finite element model and a novel micromorphic homogenized approach. The model’s features will be presented in detail except the loading conditions that will be introduced in section 4 (pulse load) and 5 (piezoelectric load).

3.1 Detailed direct element simulations

We present there the detailed finite element simulations of the structure presented in Section 2 where the material composing the unit cell is modelled as a classical isotropic Cauchy continuum. The structure and load symmetry allow us to consider one eighth of the system as presented in Figure 4 (the plate’s thickness implemented in the simulation is 0.5 mm instead of 1 mm).
The action functional of the considered reduced system is\(^{[1]}\)

\[
A(u,V) = \int_{0}^{T} \left[ \iint_{\Omega_{p}} (K_p - W_p - Q) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \iint_{\Omega_{c}} (K_t - W_t) dx_1 dx_2 \right] dt
\]

where \(K_p\), \(W_p\), and \(Q\) being respectively the kinetic, strain and electric potential energy density of the piezoelectric patch while \(K_t\) and \(W_t\) are the kinetic and potential energy density of the isotropic Cauchy material (titanium) constituting the domain \(\Omega_c\), respectively. Finally \(\epsilon\) is the plate’s thickness and \([0,T]\) is the time interval during which the system’s response is observed. Given the symmetry of the system, only one piezoelectric patch can be considered.

The strain and kinetic energy density, and the electric potential expression are respectively given by

\[
W_p = \frac{1}{2} \langle C_p \text{sym} \nabla u + \xi^T E, \text{sym} \nabla u \rangle, \quad K_p = \frac{1}{2} \rho_p \langle \ddot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle, \quad Q = \frac{1}{2} \langle \epsilon_0 \varepsilon E + \xi \text{sym} \nabla u, E \rangle,
\]

\[
W_t = \frac{1}{2} \langle C_t \text{sym} \nabla u, \text{sym} \nabla u \rangle, \quad K_t = \frac{1}{2} \rho_t \langle \ddot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle,
\]

where \(C_p\) and \(C_t\) are 4th order elasticity tensors, \(\text{sym} \nabla u\) is the symmetric part of the gradient of the displacement field, \(\xi\) is the 3rd order piezoelectric coupling tensor (in \(\text{C/m}^2\)), \(E\) is the electric vector field (in \(\text{V/m}\)), \(\varepsilon\) is the relative permittivity tensor, and \(\epsilon_0 = 8.86 \times 10^{-12} \text{F/m}\) is the vacuum permittivity. Using the approximation of electrostatic and the Maxwell-Faraday equation, \(E\) derives from the potential \(V\), i.e.

\[
E = -\nabla V
\]

Requiring the first variation of the total energy with respect to \(u\) and \(V\) to be zero gives the following equilibrium equations

\[
\rho_t \ddot{u} = \text{Div} \sigma \quad \text{(Cauchy equilibrium)}, \quad \text{Div} D = 0 \quad \text{(Maxwell-Gauss law)}
\]

where the 2nd order Cauchy stress tensor \(\sigma\) and the electric induction vector \(D \in \mathbb{R}^6\) are given by

\[
\sigma := C_i \text{sym} \nabla u - \xi^T E \quad D := \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon E + \xi \text{sym} \nabla u.
\]

with \(i = \{p,t\}\). In the domain \(\Omega_c\), only equation (4) is required and the definition (5) becomes \(\sigma := C_t \text{sym} \nabla u\). Given the cylindrical symmetry of the piezoelectric patches, the Voigt representation of elastic tensor \(C_p\), the piezoelectric coupling tensor \(\xi\), and the relative permittivity tensor \(\varepsilon\) is

\[
C_p = \begin{pmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{12} & C_{11} & C_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{13} & C_{13} & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{66}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_{15} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \xi_{15} & 0 & 0 \\
\xi_{31} & \xi_{31} & \xi_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon_{11} & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{33}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The matrix \(C_t\) has the structure of the classical elasticity isotropic tensor and its coefficients are reported in Table 1 in terms of Lamé constants together with the density \(\rho_t\). The values of the parameters in \(C_p, \xi, \) and \(\varepsilon\), together with the density \(\rho_p\) are reported in Table 1.

| \(\rho_p\) [kg/m\(^3\)] | \(C_{11}\) [GPa] | \(C_{12}\) [GPa] | \(C_{13}\) [GPa] | \(C_{33}\) [GPa] | \(C_{44}\) [GPa] | \(C_{66}\) [GPa] |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 7780                   | 1140            | 757             | 724             | 1110            | 263             | 403             |
| \(\varepsilon_{11}\) [-] | \(\varepsilon_{33}\) [-] | \(\xi_{31}\) | \(\xi_{33}\) | \(\xi_{15}\) | \(\varepsilon_{11}\) [-] | \(\varepsilon_{33}\) [-] |
| 3.24 \cdot 10^3 | 3.98 \cdot 10^3 | -2.92 | 23.4 | 16.2 |

Table 1: Mechanical parameters of the piezoelectric patches, coupling and electrical parameters.

\(^{[1]}\)Here and in the sequel, we consider the plane-strain hypothesis which allows to sort out the thickness \(e\) out of the integration with respect to \(x_3\).
To simulate the experimental setup of Section 2 via the reduced problem of Figure 3, proper boundary conditions need to be imposed on the boundaries defined in Figure 5. The first interface conditions represent the imposition of the electric potential while the second ones are associated to the symmetry conditions of the reduced problem:

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
V &= 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_{\text{bottom}} \\
V &= V_0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_{\text{top}}
\end{cases}
\quad \begin{cases}
\langle u, n \rangle &= 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_c \\
\langle D, n \rangle &= 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_s
\end{cases}
\end{align*}$$

where $V_0 = 100[V]$. No condition have to be imposed on the free boundaries $\partial \Omega_f$. The microstructured plate is studied under the plain strain hypothesis while the piezoelectric region is kept as a full 3D medium:

$$u^c = (u^c_1, u^c_2, 0)^T \text{ in } \Omega_c \quad \text{ and } \quad u^p = (u^p_1, u^p_2, u^p_3)^T \text{ in } \Omega_p.$$  

Since a thin plate is considered here, a plane stress hypothesis could have been used instead, but since the difference between the response of the system in terms of displacement for the two hypothesis is always smaller than 5%, the plane strain hypothesis is kept for the rest of the study for the sake of simplicity. This plane strain hypothesis in $\Omega_c$ together with the perfect contact condition interface on $\partial \Omega_{\text{bottom}}$ requires the following interface conditions:

$$\begin{cases}
u^c_1 = u^p_1 \\
u^c_2 = u^p_2 \\
u^p_3 = 0
\end{cases} \text{ on } \partial \Omega_{\text{bottom}}$$

The differential problem in equations (4), (7) and (9), to which we refer in the following sections as “the microstructured simulation” or “direct simulation” has been implemented and numerically solved under the finite element multiphysics software Comsol Multiphysics® using the “Solid Mechanics” and “Electrostatics” modules. Special attention has been brought to the domain meshing as presented in Figure 6. The small dimension of the quadrangular and triangular elements used to mesh the slender portion of the domain of the unit cell is necessary for the description of the band-gap through the local resonance, and this significantly increases the number of degrees of freedom of the finite element problem that has to be solved. To reduce the computational burden, we chose a coarser mesh on the remaining domain that allows us to reduce the total number of degrees of freedom while still guaranteeing the reliability of the results.
Since there is a considerable number of modes in the frequency range that have been studied (0 to 2500 Hz), numerical damping has been implemented for numerical stability reasons, modifying the strain energy density of the system by

\[
W_{t \text{ non-conservative}} = (1 + i \times 0.002) W_{t \text{ conservative}}
\]  

where \( i \) is the imaginary unit.

### 3.2 Relaxed micromorphic simulations

We introduce here the effective model that we will use to describe the metamaterial’s response at the macroscopic scale and which is known as relaxed micromorphic medium. The strain and kinetic energy density expressions for the relaxed micromorphic model are \[32, 33\]

\[
W(\nabla u, P) = \frac{1}{2} \langle C_e \text{ sym } (\nabla u - P), \text{ sym } (\nabla u - P) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle C_c \text{ skew } (\nabla u - P), \text{ skew } (\nabla u - P) \rangle
\]

\[
K(\ddot{u}, \nabla \dot{u}, \dot{P}) = \frac{1}{2} \rho \langle \dot{u}, \dot{u} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle J_m \text{ sym } \dot{P}, \text{ sym } \dot{P} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle J_c \text{ skew } \dot{P}, \text{ skew } \dot{P} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle T_e \text{ sym } \nabla \dot{u}, \text{ sym } \nabla \dot{u} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle T_c \text{ skew } \nabla \dot{u}, \text{ skew } \nabla \dot{u} \rangle
\]

where \( u \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the macroscopic displacement field, \( P \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \) is the non-symmetric micro-distortion tensor, \( C_e, C_{\text{micro}} \) and \( C_c \) are 4th order elastic tensors, \( \rho \) is the macroscopic apparent density, and \( J_m, J_c, T_e \) and \( T_c \) are 4th order micro-inertia tensors. The action functional for the micromorphic medium is defined as :

\[
\mathcal{A}[u, P] = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (K - W) d\Omega dt
\]

Where \( \Omega \) is the domain occupied by the relaxed micromorphic medium. Requiring the first variation of the action functional with respect to \( u \) and \( P \) to be zero gives the following two sets of equilibrium equations, as well as the associated boundary conditions \[9, 32, 33\]

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho \ddot{u} - \text{Div} \tilde{\sigma} &= \text{Div} \tilde{\sigma}, \\
\frac{1}{2} \text{sym } \ddot{P} + J_c \text{ skew } \ddot{P} &= \tilde{\sigma} - s,
\end{aligned}
\]

in \( \Omega \),

\[
t_m := (\tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{\sigma}) n = t_{m}^{\text{ext}} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,
\]

\[2\text{The presence of curvature terms associated to higher space derivatives of } P \text{ is not accounted for in the present paper since their effect is not predominant in the dynamic regime.}\]
where \( n \) is the normal to the boundary \( \partial \Omega \), \( t_m \) is the generalized traction vector, \( t_m^{\text{ext}} \) represent the external traction load, and

\[
\hat{\sigma} = T_e \text{sym} \nabla \ddot{u} + T_c \text{skew} \nabla \ddot{u}, \quad \ddot{u} = C_e \text{sym} (\nabla u - P) + C_c \text{skew}(\nabla u - P), \quad s = C_m \text{sym} P. \quad (14)
\]

All the previous results hold for a generic class of material symmetry and in the following the elastic and the micro-inertia tensors will be presented in the Voigt notation for the tetragonal class of symmetry

\[
C_e = \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_e + 2\mu_e & \lambda_e & \ldots & \lambda_e \\
\lambda_e & \lambda_e + 2\mu_e & \ldots & \lambda_e \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\lambda_e & \lambda_e & \ldots & \lambda_e + 2\mu_e \\
\mu_e & \lambda_e & \ldots & \lambda_e \\
\lambda_e & \lambda_e & \ldots & \lambda_e
\end{pmatrix}, \quad C_{\text{micro}} = \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_m + 2\mu_m & \lambda_m & \ldots & \lambda_m \\
\lambda_m & \lambda_m + 2\mu_m & \ldots & \lambda_m \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\lambda_m & \lambda_m & \ldots & \lambda_m + 2\mu_m \\
\mu_m & \lambda_m & \ldots & \lambda_m \\
\lambda_m & \lambda_m & \ldots & \lambda_m
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
J_m = \begin{pmatrix}
\eta_3 + 2\eta_1 & \eta_3 & \ldots & \eta_3 \\
\eta_3 & \eta_3 + 2\eta_1 & \ldots & \eta_3 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\eta_3 & \eta_3 & \ldots & \eta_3 + 2\eta_1 \\
\eta_1 & \eta_3 & \ldots & \eta_3 \\
\eta_3 & \eta_3 & \ldots & \eta_3
\end{pmatrix}, \quad T_e = \begin{pmatrix}
\eta_3 + 2\eta_1 & \eta_3 & \ldots & \eta_3 \\
\eta_3 & \eta_3 + 2\eta_1 & \ldots & \eta_3 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\eta_3 & \eta_3 & \ldots & \eta_3 + 2\eta_1 \\
\eta_1 & \eta_3 & \ldots & \eta_3 \\
\eta_3 & \eta_3 & \ldots & \eta_3
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
C_c = \begin{pmatrix}
4\mu_e & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & 4\mu_e
\end{pmatrix}, \quad J_c = \begin{pmatrix}
4\eta_2 & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & 4\eta_2
\end{pmatrix}, \quad T_c = \begin{pmatrix}
4\eta_2 & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & 4\eta_2
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)
\]

Given the plane strain hypothesis, the values of the "dotted" coefficients are not necessary to our study. The assumption regarding the class of symmetry is driven by considerations regarding the symmetry of the unit cell in Figure 1. In previous papers [1, 32, 33], we showed that a specific calibration procedure can be applied to compute the values of the relaxed micromorphic parameters for the metamaterial issued from the unit cell in Figure 1. This procedure is based on the comparison of the relaxed micromorphic dispersion curves with those obtained via a classic Bloch-Floquet analysis done on the unit cell. The comparison of the dispersion curves of the relaxed micromorphic medium with those obtained via Bloch-Floquet analysis is shown in Figure 7.

![Dispersion curves](image.png)

Figure 7: (left) Dispersion curves of the microstructured and the relaxed micromorphic systems along ΓX (propagation at 0°). (right) Dispersion curves of the microstructured and the relaxed micromorphic systems along ΓM (propagation at 45°).

The values of the relaxed micromorphic parameters relative to the metamaterial in Figure 1 are presented in Table 2 (left), while in Table 2 (right) are reported also the coefficients of the Cauchy material resulting from the long-wave limit of the relaxed micromorphic one.

---

8
| $\rho$ | $\mu_c$ | $\lambda_c$ | $\mu^*_c$ |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| [kg/m$^3$] | [Pa] | [Pa] | [Pa] |
| 3841 | $2.53 \times 10^9$ | $1.01 \times 10^8$ | $1.26 \times 10^6$ |

| $\mu_m$ | $\lambda_m$ | $\mu^*_m$ | $\mu^*_c$ |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| [Pa] | [Pa] | [Pa] | [Pa] |
| $4.51 \times 10^9$ | $1.83 \times 10^8$ | $2.70 \times 10^8$ | $10^9$ |

| $\eta_1$ | $\eta_2$ | $\eta_3$ | $\eta^*_1$ |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| [kg/m$^3$] | [kg/m$^3$] | [kg/m$^3$] | [kg/m$^3$] |
| 38.99 | 5.99 $\times 10^{-3}$ | 1.58 | 2.31 |

| $\eta^*_1$ | $\eta^*_2$ | $\eta^*_3$ |
|--------|--------|--------|
| [kg/m$^3$] | [kg/m$^3$] | [kg/m$^3$] |
| 8 $\times 10^{-4}$ | 0.02 | 0.008 |

Table 2: (left) Values of the elastic and micro-inertia relaxed micromorphic parameters calibrated on the metamaterial whose unit cell is reported in Fig. 1, and (right) the corresponding long-wave limit Cauchy material $C_{\text{macro}}$.

In Figure 8 we present a reduced problem also for the relaxed micromorphic model consisting of an equivalent plate mimicking the considered microstructured plate under piezoelectric excitation.

![Figure 8](image_url)

Figure 8: (left, top) One eighth of the microstructured plate with its top piezoelectric patch. (right, top) Equivalent relaxed micromorphic plate with its top piezoelectric patch: $\Omega_m$ is the domain of the relaxed micromorphic medium, $\partial \tilde{\Omega}_f$ is the generalized traction-free border, $\partial \Omega_c$ is the interface between the Cauchy and relaxed micromorphic domains, and $\partial \Omega_s$ is the union of the boundaries on the plane of symmetry. We refer to this configuration as “reduced micromorphic problem”. (bottom) Front view of the reduced relaxed micromorphic plate.

The piezoelectric patch and the central isotropic Cauchy medium description presented in Section 3.1 remain unchanged, while it is worth to focus on the plain strain hypothesis for the relaxed micromorphic
the model, which implies:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_c &= \begin{pmatrix} u_{c1} \\ u_{c2} \\ u_{c3} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{in } \Omega_p, \\
    u^c &= \begin{pmatrix} u_{c1}^m \\ u_{c2}^m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{in } \Omega_c, \\
    u^m &= \begin{pmatrix} u_{m1}^m \\ u_{m2}^m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and } P = \begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & 0 \\ P_{21} & P_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{in } \Omega_m. (16)
\end{align*}
\]

The perfect contact conditions between the Cauchy material and the relaxed micromorphic material at the interfaces \(\partial \Omega_c\) and the traction-free conditions on \(\partial \Omega_f\) are

\[
\begin{align*}
    u^c &= u^m \\
    (\sigma + \tilde{\sigma}) \cdot n &= \sigma \cdot n \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_c, \\
    (\tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{\sigma}) \cdot n &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_f
\end{align*}
\]

(17)

On the boundary of symmetry \(\partial \Omega_s\) we have to impose the following boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_i n_i &= 0 \\
    (\delta_{kj} - n_k n_j) (P_{ij} n_j) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(18)

where, \(n_i\) are the components of the unit normal to each surface and \(\delta_{ij}\) is the Kronecker delta operator.

The relaxed micromorphic model not being implemented under Comsol by default, the “Weak form PDE” module has been used, requiring to write explicitly energy densities and imposing manually boundary conditions presented above. The associated Lagrangian for the plate consisting of Cauchy and relaxed micromorphic media, under the plane-strain hypothesis, is

\[
\mathcal{A}[u,P] = \frac{\epsilon_c}{2} \int_0^T \left[ \int_{\Omega_c} (K_c - W_c) dx_1 dx_2 + \int_{\Omega_m} (K_m - W_m) dx_1 dx_2 \right] dt
\]

(19)

Using a unique field \(u\) which is equal to \(u^c\) when restricted to \(\Omega_c\) and to \(u^m\) when restricted to \(\Omega_m\) allows to simplify the numerical implementation of the problem, automatically guaranteeing the continuity of displacement at the interface \(\partial \Omega_c\). The mesh used for the relaxed micromorphic relaxed problem is given in Figure 9.

Figure 9: Chosen mesh for the reduced relaxed micromorphic plate with its central Cauchy medium inclusion.

Quadratic Lagrange elements are used for the discretization of \(u\), which allows us to recover the continuity of \(\nabla u\) and therefore of generalized tractions. No gradient being applied upon \(P\), we discretize \(P\) through form functions an order below (here, linear Lagrange elements), in terms of regularity, of the one used for \(u\). Despite the introduction of an additional 2nd order tensor to describe the response of the plate and the tight mesh around the excitation domain required to assure the slow convergence of \(P\), the relaxed micromorphic model allows a considerable reduction in the number of degrees of freedom with respect to the microstructured model, as shown in Table 3.

\[^3\text{See Appendix A for a derivation of these conditions.}\]
Table 3: Numbers of degrees of freedom for the symmetrized microstructured and the relaxed micromorphic plates.

| Degrees of freedom | Microstructured plate | Relaxed micromorphic plate |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| 9 × 11 plate       | 493,674               | 67,048                    |
| 49 × 51 plate      | 9,726,194             | 1,300,302                 |

As justified in section 3.1, the considered structure requires to be damped for numerical stability. As before, a hysteretic damping is introduced in the plate, modifying the strain energy density in the bidimensional plate as

\[
\begin{align*}
W_{\text{non-conservative}}^c &= (1 + i\eta)W_{\text{conservative}}^c \\
W_{\text{non-conservative}}^m &= (1 + i\eta)W_{\text{conservative}}^m
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\eta = 0.002\) (20).

4 Metamaterial’s plate under a pulse excitation: microstructured versus relaxed micromorphic simulations

In this section, we start simulating the experimental set-up presented in section 2 both via the microstructured simulations and the relaxed micromorphic ones when considering a pulse excitation to give a first description of the piezoelectric load.

More precisely, to mimic the application of the piezoelectric load, we start implementing a simplified framework in which the piezoelectric region \(\Omega_p\) is modeled as a void region \(\Omega_v\). The applied load is given as an imposed displacement on the boundary \(\partial\Omega_v\) (see Figure 10) in the form:

\[u = \psi n \text{ (expansion load)}\] (21)

where \(n\) is the unit normal to each surface and \(\psi = 10^{-3} [\text{m}]\). The other interface conditions are given in equations (17) and (18).

Since the relaxed micromorphic model is a macroscopic model it is not always worth comparing the solution displacement field pointwise with the one issued via the microstructured simulations. A consistent difference between these pointwise fields may be expected. To provide a more stable comparison an average displacement field over a representative portion of the unit cell can be considered. To this aim we start identifying the points \(A\) and \(B\) in the considered structure as (see also Figure 10):

\[
\begin{align*}
A &= (3a/2, 0) \quad \text{T}
B &= (ma/2, 0) \quad \text{T}
\end{align*}
\]

and surfaces

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_A &= \left[a, 3a/2\right] \times \left[0, a/2\right] \\
\Omega_B &= \left[(m-1)a/2, ma/2\right] \times \left[0, a/2\right]
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 10: (left) Top view of the full microstructured plate and identification of points A and B. (right) Top view of the full relaxed micromorphic plate and identification of the corresponding volumes \(\Omega_A\) and \(\Omega_B\). Given the strong directionality of the plate, we do not consider other points outside the dashed domain to show the simulation’s results. Points \(C_1, C_2, C_3\) and \(C_4\) are also located since they are used to present further results.

Since the relaxed micromorphic model is a macroscopic model it is not always worth comparing the solution displacement field pointwise with the one issued via the microstructured simulations. A consistent difference between these pointwise fields may be expected. To provide a more stable comparison an average displacement field over a representative portion of the unit cell can be considered. To this aim we start identifying the points \(A\) and \(B\) in the considered structure as (see also Figure 10):

\[
\begin{align*}
A &= (3a/2, 0) \quad \text{T} \\
B &= (ma/2, 0) \quad \text{T}
\end{align*}
\]
where $m$ is the number of cells of the plate on its main axis (see Figure 10). We then introduce a pointwise measure of displacement $p$ and a mean measure of displacement $m$ as:

$$p_X = \frac{1}{\psi} \sqrt{\langle u(X), \bar{u}(X) \rangle} \text{ and } m_X = \frac{4}{\psi a^2} \iint_{\Omega_X} \sqrt{\langle u(x_1, x_2), \bar{u}(x_1, x_2) \rangle} \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \text{ for } X = \{A, B\}$$

(23)

where a superposed bar indicates the complex conjugate operation and $\psi$ the amplitude of the expansion load introduced before. The hermitian norm used here, not necessary for the static response of the system, where the displacement stays real despite the hysteretic damping, finds its use computing the dynamic response of the plate. For the subsequent purposes of comparison with the experiment, we also introduce four points $C_i \ i \in \{1, 4\}$ far from the excitation. As these points are only considered in comparison with the experimental setup, we directly give their coordinates for $m = 11$, $n = 9$ and $c = 1$ in Table 4.

| Point | $C_1$ | $C_2$ | $C_3$ | $C_4$ |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $x_1$ coordinate [mm] | 105 | 105 | 5 | 15 |
| $x_2$ coordinate [mm] | 5 | 15 | 85 | 85 |

Table 4: Coordinates of the measurement points.

### 4.1 The long-wave limit: statics

The main challenge for metamaterials’ modelling consists in the description of their broadband mechanical response. More particularly, a suitable model must be able to describe metamaterials’ response for the larger possible set of frequencies. We will show in the following sections that the RMM is able to correctly describe the metamaterial’s response for a very wide set of frequencies going well beyond the first band-gap.

Nevertheless, specific attention must be payed to the so-called long-wave or static limit which can be recovered from the dynamic model when considering very small frequencies, in the limit $\omega \to 0$. In this section, we explicitely point out this static limit both for the microstructured and the relaxed micromorphic model. We show that, since internal lengths are neglected, the relaxed micromorphic static limit coincides with an equivalent Cauchy medium. We remark that for the experimental metamaterial’s specimen’s size (9 × 11 cells), this equivalent Cauchy medium slightly deviates from the static response of the full microstructured metamaterial. However, this difference remains smaller than 10 % (see Figure 11) and becomes even smaller as soon as higher frequencies are considered.

To improve the relaxed micromorphic response of these small samples for the static limit, internal lengths should be introduced. This would lead, on the other hand, to a more complex identification procedure for the dynamic regime. We thus limit ourselves to the case of negligible internal lengths, knowing that this leads to a controlled inaccuracy in the static limit for small specimens.

It is well known that a given metamaterial can be modeled as a Cauchy continuum in the static regime as soon as a specimen of suitable large size is considered. By direct inspection of Figure 11 we can infer that the metamaterial considered in this paper behaves as a Cauchy continuum in the static regime when considering specimens that are greater than 30 × 30 cells, for the pulse excitation shown in Figure 10.

The convergence of the considered metamaterial towards a proper Cauchy material in the static regime can be also achieved on smaller specimens by suitably changing the applied load (e.g., increasing the size of the region where the load is applied). This can be seen from Figures 12 and 13; when considering a load applied on a square whose side is 3 unit cells, the obtained static response can be modeled as a Cauchy continuum even for small specimen sizes (9 × 11 cells).

In summary, we have shown that our hypothesis of neglecting static internal lengths may produce a small and controlled inaccuracy for the static limiting case when considering a specimen of the size considered in our experiment (9×11 cells) and an external load applied on a unique unit cell ($c = 1$).

Since, on the other hand, this hypothesis drastically simplifies the characterization procedure for the dynamic regime, we decide to keep it in the remainder of the paper.

---

4 The parameter $c$ indicates, as presented in Figure 10, the extension of the region where the applied load is applied: $c = 1$ represents a $1 \times 1$ cells central region, $c = 2$ a $2 \times 2$ cells central region, etc. We will show in section 4.2 that the extension of this region is necessary to the correct description of the microstructured plate by the relaxed micromorphic model.
Figure 11: Pointwise (left) and mean (right) displacement for the static responses of the microstructured, the homogenized and the relaxed micromorphic models at point B for \( c = 1 \). Similar results are valid for point A.

Figure 12: Pointwise (left) and mean (right) displacement for the static responses of the microstructured, the homogenized and the relaxed micromorphic models at point B for \( m = 11, n = 9 \). Similar results are valid for point A.

Figure 13: Pointwise (left) and mean (right) displacement for the static responses of the microstructured, the homogenized and the relaxed micromorphic models at point B for \( m = 51, n = 49 \). Similar results are valid for point A.
4.2 Broadband dynamics of the metamaterial’s plate

In this section, we present the broadband response for both the microstructured and relaxed micromorphic plate when considering a plate size of $51 \times 49$ cells and a load applied on a square whose side is 11 unit cells. Based on the results of the previous section this choice allows to precisely recover the long-wave limit and shows the capability of the relaxed micromorphic model to correctly reproduce the dynamical response of the considered metamaterial for a wide set of frequencies (from zero to beyond the first band-gap).

![Figure 14](image)

Figure 14: (left) Pointwise displacement $p_B$ of the microstructured and relaxed micromorphic models at point $B$ with the theoretical band-gap (dashed) for $m = 51$, $n = 49$ and $c = 11$. (right) Mean displacement $m_B$ of the microstructured and relaxed micromorphic models with the theoretical band-gap (dashed) for $m = 51$, $n = 49$ and $c = 11$.

Figure 14 shows this broadband response for the considered metamaterial plate: it is apparent that the relaxed micromorphic model describes well the plate’s behavior for the whole considered frequency range. The size of the considered plate ($49 \times 51$) was still allowing a direct comparison of the relaxed micromorphic model with the microstructured simulations. However, the computational time was considerably higher for the microstructured plate. Explicit comparison for larger plates would be out of reach with standard computational tools. This calls for the importance of our model in view of its use for the design of large-scale engineering metastructures.

To give an outlook of the efficacy of the relaxed micromorphic model, we plot in Figs. 15-18 the solution for the displacement field at frequencies $M_1$, $M_2$, $M_3$ as defined in Figure 14 (right). For each point $M_i$, we actually consider two adjacent values of the frequency to compute the solution (see Figure 14 right). It can be clearly inferred that the relaxed micromorphic model encodes all the main features of the metamaterial’s response at a fraction of the computational cost.

![Figure 15](image)

Figure 15: $|u|/\psi$ at frequency $M_1$ for the microstructured model and the relaxed micromorphic model, the first two figures correspond to $M_1$ (left) and the last two figures to $M_1$ (right) (see Figure 14 for the definition of these points).
Figure 16: $|u|/\psi$ at frequency $M_2$ for the microstructured model and the relaxed micromorphic model, the first two figures correspond to $M_2$ (left) and the last two figures to $M_2$ (right) (see Figure 14 for the definition of these points).

Figure 17: $|u|/\psi$ at frequency $M_3$ for the microstructured model and the relaxed micromorphic model, the first two figures correspond to $M_3$ (left) and the last two figures to $M_3$ (right) (see Figure 14 for the definition of these points).

Figure 18: $|u|/\psi$ at frequency $M_4$ for the microstructured model and the relaxed micromorphic model, the first two figures correspond to $M_4$ (left) and the last two figures to $M_4$ (right) (see Figure 14 for the definition of these points).

5 Comparison of the relaxed micromorphic and microstructured simulations with the experimental results

To make a direct comparison with the experimental results obtained with the set-up of section 2, we introduce here a more precise modeling of the applied piezoelectric load. The details and micromorphic simulations presented in sections 3.1 and 3.2 are implemented and solved in Comsol. We show that both approaches give similar results which can be directly superposed to the experimental ones as soon as manufacturing defects are taken into account. While a direct comparison of the results obtained via the geometry detailed finite element simulation and the relaxed micromorphic approach has been possible given the reduced size of
the metamaterial’s sample, finite element simulations become computationally too expensive for samples of larger size. On the other hand, the relaxed micromorphic simulations provide a powerful tool for investigating the behaviour of larger samples with a modest increase of the computational costs. As we will show in section 6, this feature of the relaxed micromorphic model is of major importance to simulate realistic, larger-scale meta-structures that can have a true impact in engineering science. Figure 19 shows a broadband comparison of the relaxed micromorphic and microstructured response with the experimental one.

![Figure 19: Amplitude of $|\dot{u}|$ at $C_1$ (see Figure 10 for the definition of this point) for the experiment, the microstructured and relaxed micromorphic models (the dashed black lines locate the theoretical position of the band-gap for an infinite specimen).](image)

It can be seen that both models reproduce well, on average, the experimentally observed response, except for a frequency shift. This frequency shift can be related to defects in the experimental procedure, more particularly to defects that have occurred during the manufacturing process. To account for the presence of these defects, a recalibration procedure is presented in section 5.1. As we will discuss more in section 5.1.3, the relaxed micromorphic material slightly underestimates the resonance peak before the band-gap. This is due, to a big extent, to the fact that Figs. 19 and 22 are relative to pointwise displacements (not to mean displacements) because of the need of a direct comparison with the experiment.

### 5.1 Recalibration procedure accounting for manufacturing defects

In this subsection we present a recalibration procedure for both the microstructured and the relaxed micromorphic model allowing to account for the presence of defects in the fabrication process or, to a smaller extent, to measurement inaccuracy. Given the change of nature of the excitation of the system, we redefine $p_X$ and $m_X$ by:

$$p_X = \sqrt{\langle u(X), \bar{u}(X) \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad m_X = \frac{4}{a^2} \int_{\Omega_X} \sqrt{\langle u(x_1, x_2), \bar{u}(x_1, x_2) \rangle} \, dx_1 \, dx_2.$$  

(24)

#### 5.1.1 Recalibration for the microstructured system

To account for the presence of defects and get closer to the experimental results, the system can be modified, taking into account several potential differences between the analytical model and experimental system. To simulate the likely presence of defects in the plate, we chose not to alter geometry and to modify the mechanical parameters. In formulas:

$$\begin{align*}
\lambda_{T_i}^{\text{recalibration}} &= (1 + \kappa_E)\lambda_{T_i} \\
\mu_{T_i}^{\text{recalibration}} &= (1 + \kappa_E)\mu_{T_i} \\
\rho_{T_i}^{\text{recalibration}} &= (1 + \kappa_p)\rho_{T_i}
\end{align*}$$
Leading, for the local energy densities, to

\[ W_{\text{recalibration}} = (1 + \kappa_E)W_{T_1} \quad \text{and} \quad K_{\text{recalibration}} = (1 + \kappa_\rho)K_{T_1} \]

Confronting the theoretical results to the experiments eventually led to

\[ \kappa_\rho = +0.05, \quad \kappa_E = -0.10875, \quad (25) \]

which, considering the uncertainties due to, among other, the manufacturing process, the experimental boundary conditions, the gluing of the piezoelectric patch to the microstructured plate and more particularly the plain-strain hypothesis in the plate, is quite acceptable.

### 5.1.2 Recalibration for the micromorphic system

In the same way, the kinetic and potential energy density of the micromorphic medium are modified as

\[ K_{\text{recalibration}} = (1 + \kappa_K)K_0 \quad \text{and} \quad W_{\text{recalibration}} = (1 + \kappa_W)W_0 \]

To recalibrate the relaxed micromorphic model on the microstructured model, we set

\[ \kappa_K = \kappa_\rho \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_W = \kappa_E \]

The relaxed micromorphic model, less expensive in computing time, was recalibrated on the experiment and the parameters of the microstructured model were then updated by the following rule:

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta_{\text{recalibration}} &= (1 + \alpha)\eta_i, \ i \in \{1, 3\} \\
\eta_1^{\text{recalibration}} &= (1 + \alpha)\eta_1 \\
\eta_2^{\text{recalibration}} &= (1 + \alpha)\eta_2 \\
\lambda_{\text{recalibration}} &= (1 + \beta)\lambda_i, \ i \in \{e, m\} \\
\mu_i^{\text{recalibration}} &= (1 + \beta)\mu_i, \ i \in \{e, m\} \\
\mu_c^{\text{recalibration}} &= (1 + \beta)\mu_c \\
\rho_{\text{recalibration}} &= (1 + \alpha)\rho \\
\mu_{\text{recalibration}} &= (1 + \beta)\mu \\
\lambda_{\text{recalibration}} &= (1 + \beta)\lambda
\end{align*}
\]

\[ \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\alpha = 0.05 \\
\beta = -0.10875
\end{array} \right. \]

Figures 20 and 21 present \( p_B \) and \( m_B \) for the microstructured and the relaxed micromorphic models with the recalibrated parameters. We remark that, once calibrated, both the pointwise and the mean displacement describe well the local resonance occurring at the lower band-gap limit. As expected, the mean displacements for the relaxed micromorphic and microstructured model show better agreement than the pointwise displacement.

![Graph](image-url)
5.1.3 Discussion of results

Figure 22 shows the comparison of the velocity spectrum at point $B$ for the experiment, the microstructured and the relaxed micromorphic model. A generally good agreement is found for both models, the relaxed micromorphic model showing slightly reduced performances due to the reduced size of the plate. This result is to be considered satisfying, since all the main response characteristics are well described.

When increasing the size of the plate the relaxed micromorphic model becomes more and more accurate with no significant increase of the computational cost (see Figure 14). On the other hand the microstructured simulations become very costly in terms of computational time. This opens the way to the effective design of large-scale metastructures that would not be possible without using the relaxed micromorphic model. To prove this statement, we present in the next section the design of a new large-scale engineering metastructure that would not have been otherwise possible.
6 Enabling meta-structural engineering design

As we pointed out so far, the relaxed micromorphic model can be considered as an appropriate homogenized limit for mechanical metamaterials as soon as sufficiently large specimens are considered. In particular, for the specific unit cell presented in this paper (see Fig. 1), we showed that the relaxed micromorphic model starts giving very good results for specimens that are larger than 30x30 unit cells. We also showed that the results ulteriorly improve when considering a central pulse excitation distributed over more than one unit cell. For example, we showed in Fig. 14 that the metamaterial’s behavior is perfectly reproduced for the whole frequency range when considering a specimen of 51 x 49 unit cells and a pulse excitation distributed over a region whose side is 11 cells. The results presented in this paper open unprecedented opportunities of exploring metamaterial structures at large scales, thus electing the relaxed micromorphic model as a gold standard for engineering design. To support our claiming, we present in this section the design of a complex metastructure that is able to concentrate energy in specific points, so that the eventual use of converters can be eased for the subsequent conversion of elastic energy into, e.g., heat or electricity. We consider a structure whose geometry is given in Fig. 23; the central domain \( \Omega_m^1 \) is made up of the metamaterial studied in this paper (Fig. 1), while the outer domain \( \Omega_m^2 \) is made of a metamaterial with the same geometry whose unit cell is doubled with respect to the one presented in Fig. 1 (see Appendix C for the details concerning this larger unit cell). Both metamaterials’ domains are very large (101 x 51 unit cells in \( \Omega_m^1 \) and 51 x 51 unit cells in \( \Omega_m^2 \)), so that the use of the homogenized model is certainly pertinent. This large-scale structure points towards realistic structural engineering design (think, for example, that the domain \( \Omega_m^1 \) is located around a railway truck and that the domain \( \Omega_m^2 \) are the lateral banks). The two metamaterials’ domains are separated by a classical Cauchy material occupying the annular domain \( \Omega_c \). The elastic properties of such soft Cauchy material are given in Table 6. The metastructure setup is given in Figure 23. The functioning mechanism of this structure can be summarized as follows: we send a pulse signal in the center of the metamaterial plate \( \Omega_m^1 \) at a frequency that falls in the band-gap of the outer metamaterial (see Fig. 27 for the relative position of the dispersion curves for the two metamaterials). When reaching the outer domain the wave cannot propagate due to the presence of the outer metamaterial. The annular Cauchy material is chosen so that a diode effect is triggered (the wave coming from \( \Omega_m^1 \) can pass, but cannot go back) [34]. Thanks to this design, the proposed meta-structure can focus an important part of the elastic energy in the annular Cauchy region (see Tab. 6). It can be noted that the energy concentration in the annular Cauchy material is evident, especially considering the very narrow area in which it occurs (see Fig. 25). A structure of this type could be used to locate energy converters in the annular Cauchy region for subsequent energy conversion and re-use. It will be the object of forthcoming works to effectively optimize and realize a structure of this type.

| \( \rho_2 \)  | \( \lambda_2 \)  | \( \mu_2 \)  |
|-------|-------|-------|
| [kg.m\(^{-3}\)] | [Pa]   | [Pa]   |
| 3000   | 9.74 \( \cdot \) 10\(^8\) | 5.88 \( \cdot \) 10\(^5\) |

Table 5: Mechanical parameters of the second isotropic Cauchy medium between the two relaxed micromorphic mediums (red annular region in Fig. 23 and 24).
Figure 23: (left) Top view of the full microstructured plate with the two different cells. (right) Top view of the equivalent micromorphic plate with the boundaries and medium denominations.
Figure 24: (left) Top view of the symmetrized microstructured structure with the two different cells. (right) Top view of the symmetrized equivalent micromorphic structure with the boundaries and domains denominations. Only the micromorphic meta-structure has been implemented in the FEM environment.

Table 6: Values of the average total energy for each domain of the structure at 857.5 Hz.

|          | $\Omega^1_w$ [J.m$^{-3}$] | $\Omega^1_m$ [J.m$^{-3}$] | $\Omega^2_w$ [J.m$^{-3}$] | $\Omega^2_m$ [J.m$^{-3}$] |
|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| W        | $5.5 \cdot 10^5$         | $4.5 \cdot 10^5$         | $2.95 \cdot 10^5$         | $7.74 \cdot 10^3$         |
| K        | $3.42 \cdot 10^6$        | $4.36 \cdot 10^5$        | $2.63 \cdot 10^5$         | $7.84 \cdot 10^3$         |
7 Conclusions and perspectives

In this paper we showed for the first time how the relaxed micromorphic model can be operatively used to design realistic large-scale structures that can control elastic waves and eventually recover energy. Our model was calibrated on an acoustic metamaterial and the theoretical results were compared to the experimental ones performed on a specimen manufactured via metal etching techniques. We presented a metastructure combining metamaterials’ bricks of different type/shape and bricks of classical Cauchy materials in such a way that the elastic energy produced by a source of vibrations is concentrated in specific collection points, thus easing eventual subsequent re-use. The structure conceived in this paper will be optimized in forthcoming works and a prototype will be eventually realized to show the new possibilities that a micromorphic-type modeling of metamaterials opens for practical applications.
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A Boundary conditions on a symmetry plane for a relaxed micromorphic medium using Curie’s Symmetry Principle

\( u \) and \( P \) not depending on the orientation of space, supposing that our problem to have a symmetry with respect to the plane \( N \) of normal \( n \), one can apply Curie’s Symmetry Principle, which gives

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  u(x^*) = u^*(x) \\
  P(x^*) = P^*(x)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\( \chi^* \) being the symmetric of \( \chi \) with respect to \( N \). Let’s define \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) so that \((t_1, t_2, n)\) forms an orthonormal basis, thus

\[
t_1^* = t_1, t_2^* = t_2 \text{ and } n^* = -n
\]

And

\[
\begin{align*}
(t_1 \otimes t_1)^* &= t_1 \otimes t_1, \quad (t_1 \otimes t_2)^* = t_1 \otimes t_2, \quad (t_1 \otimes n)^* = -t_1 \otimes n \\
(t_2 \otimes t_1)^* &= t_2 \otimes t_1, \quad (t_2 \otimes t_2)^* = t_2 \otimes t_2, \quad (t_2 \otimes n)^* = -t_2 \otimes n \\
(n \otimes t_1)^* &= -n \otimes t_1, \quad (n \otimes t_2)^* = -n \otimes t_2 \text{ and } (n \otimes n)^* = n \otimes n
\end{align*}
\]

Let’s write \( u \) and \( P \) in this base:

\[
\exists (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})^3 : u = u_1 t_1 + u_2 t_2 + u_3 n
\]

\[
\exists (P_{11}, P_{22}, P_{33}, P_{12}, P_{13}, P_{21}, P_{31}, P_{32}, P_{23}) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})^9 : \\
P = P_{11} t_1 \otimes t_1 + P_{12} t_1 \otimes t_2 + P_{13} t_1 \otimes n + P_{21} t_2 \otimes t_1 + P_{22} t_2 \otimes t_2 + P_{23} t_2 \otimes n + P_{31} n \otimes t_1 + P_{32} n \otimes t_2 + P_{33} n \otimes n
\]

Let’s also write \( x \) as

\[
\exists (x_0, \epsilon) \in N \times \mathbb{R} : x = x_0 + \epsilon n
\]

Then

\[
x^* = x_0 - \epsilon n
\]

For \( u \), substituting in (26), one can get

\[
u_1(x_0 - \epsilon n) t_1 + u_2(x_0 - \epsilon n) t_2 + u_3(x_0 - \epsilon n) n = u_1(x_0 + \epsilon n) t_1 + u_2(x_0 + \epsilon n) t_2 - u_3(x_0 + \epsilon n) n
\]

By identification

\[
\forall x_0 \in N, \forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R} \begin{cases} u_1(x_0 - \epsilon n) = u_1(x_0 + \epsilon n) \\
u_2(x_0 - \epsilon n) = u_2(x_0 + \epsilon n) \\
u_3(x_0 - \epsilon n) = -u_3(x_0 + \epsilon n)
\end{cases}
\]

In the same way, we have for \( P \)

\[
\forall x_0 \in N, \forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R} \begin{cases} P_{11}(x_0 - \epsilon n) = P_{11}(x_0 + \epsilon n) \\
P_{12}(x_0 - \epsilon n) = P_{12}(x_0 + \epsilon n) \\
P_{13}(x_0 - \epsilon n) = -P_{13}(x_0 + \epsilon n) \\
P_{21}(x_0 - \epsilon n) = P_{21}(x_0 + \epsilon n) \\
P_{22}(x_0 - \epsilon n) = P_{22}(x_0 + \epsilon n) \\
P_{23}(x_0 - \epsilon n) = -P_{23}(x_0 + \epsilon n) \\
P_{31}(x_0 - \epsilon n) = -P_{31}(x_0 + \epsilon n) \\
P_{32}(x_0 - \epsilon n) = -P_{32}(x_0 + \epsilon n) \\
P_{33}(x_0 - \epsilon n) = P_{33}(x_0 + \epsilon n)
\end{cases}
\]

These conditions allow to reconstruct the displacement and microdistorsion fields in the whole plate, and knowing them in one fourth of the plate. For consistency reasons, when considering the symmetry planes, these conditions imply:

\[
\forall x \in N, \begin{cases} \langle u, n \rangle = 0 \\
\langle P, n \otimes t_1 \rangle = 0 \\
\langle P, n \otimes t_2 \rangle = 0 \quad \text{i.e. } \forall x \in N, u_{[t_1, t_2, n]}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \ast \\ \ast \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } P_{[t_1, t_2, n]}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \\
\langle P, t_1 \otimes n \rangle = 0 \\
\langle P, t_2 \otimes n \rangle = 0
\end{cases}
\]
This can be written, using Einstein’s convention, as

\[
\forall x \in \mathcal{N}, \begin{cases} 
  u_i n_i = 0 \\
  (\delta_{ki} - n_k n_i)(P_{ij} n_j) = 0 \quad \forall k = \{1, 2, 3\}
\end{cases}
\]

### B 2D Stress-free surface boundary conditions

We have, in the frequency domain

\[
(\tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{\sigma})_{*1} = \begin{pmatrix} 
  2\mu_c(-P_{11} + u_{1,1}) + \lambda_c(-P_{11} - P_{22} + u_x + v_y) - \omega^2(2\bar{\eta}_1 u_{1,1} + \bar{\eta}_3(u_{1,1} + u_{2,2})) \\
  \mu_c(-p_{12} + p_{21} + u_{1,2} - u_{2,1}) + \mu^*_c(-P_{12} - P_{21} + u_{1,2} + u_{2,1}) - \omega^2(\bar{\eta}_2(u_{1,2} - u_{2,1}) + \bar{\eta}_1^*(u_{1,2} + u_{2,1}))
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
(\tilde{\sigma} + \tilde{\sigma})_{*2} = \begin{pmatrix} 
  \mu_c(P_{12} - P_{21} - u_{1,2} + u_{2,1}) + \mu^*_c(-P_{12} - P_{21} + u_{1,2} + u_{2,1}) + \omega^2((\bar{\eta}_2 - \bar{\eta}_1^*)u_{1,2} - (\bar{\eta}_2 + \bar{\eta}_1^*)u_{2,1}) \\
  2\mu_c(-P_{22} + u_{2,2}) + \lambda_c(-P_{11} - P_{22} + u_{1,1} + u_{2,2}) - \omega^2(2\bar{\eta}_1 u_{2,2} + \bar{\eta}_3(u_{1,1} + u_{2,2}))
\end{pmatrix}
\]

For a vertical stress-free border, the relaxed micromorphic medium verifies

\[
\begin{cases} 
  2\mu_c(-P_{11} + u_{1,1}) + \lambda_c(-P_{11} - P_{22} + u_x + v_y) - \omega^2(2\bar{\eta}_1 u_{1,1} + \bar{\eta}_3(u_{1,1} + u_{2,2})) = 0 \\
  \mu_c(-p_{12} + p_{21} + u_{1,2} - u_{2,1}) + \mu^*_c(-P_{12} - P_{21} + u_{1,2} + u_{2,1}) - \omega^2(\bar{\eta}_2(u_{1,2} - u_{2,1}) + \bar{\eta}_1^*(u_{1,2} + u_{2,1})) = 0
\end{cases}
\]

For a horizontal stress-free border, the relaxed micromorphic medium verifies

\[
\begin{cases} 
  \mu_c(P_{12} - P_{21} - u_{1,2} + u_{2,1}) + \mu^*_c(-P_{12} - P_{21} + u_{1,2} + u_{2,1}) + \omega^2((\bar{\eta}_2 - \bar{\eta}_1^*)u_{1,2} - (\bar{\eta}_2 + \bar{\eta}_1^*)u_{2,1}) = 0 \\
  2\mu_c(-P_{22} + u_{2,2}) + \lambda_c(-P_{11} - P_{22} + u_{1,1} + u_{2,2}) - \omega^2(2\bar{\eta}_1 u_{2,2} + \bar{\eta}_3(u_{1,1} + u_{2,2})) = 0
\end{cases}
\]

The continuity of the traction forces at a vertical border is

\[
\begin{cases} 
  2\mu_c(-P_{11} + u_{1,1}) + \lambda_c(-P_{11} - P_{22} + u_x + v_y) - \omega^2(2\bar{\eta}_1 u_{1,1} + \bar{\eta}_3(u_{1,1} + u_{2,2})) = 2\mu u_{1,1} + \lambda(u_{1,1} + u_{2,2}) \\
  \mu_c(-p_{12} + p_{21} + u_{1,2} - u_{2,1}) + \mu^*_c(-P_{12} - P_{21} + u_{1,2} + u_{2,1}) - \omega^2(\bar{\eta}_2(u_{1,2} - u_{2,1}) + \bar{\eta}_1^*(u_{1,2} + u_{2,1})) = \mu(u_{1,2} + u_{2,1})
\end{cases}
\]

The continuity of the traction forces at a horizontal border is

\[
\begin{cases} 
  \mu_c(P_{12} - P_{21} - u_{1,2} + u_{2,1}) + \mu^*_c(-P_{12} - P_{21} + u_{1,2} + u_{2,1}) + \omega^2((\bar{\eta}_2 - \bar{\eta}_1^*)u_{1,2} - (\bar{\eta}_2 + \bar{\eta}_1^*)u_{2,1}) = \mu(u_{1,2} + u_{2,1}) \\
  2\mu_c(-P_{22} + u_{2,2}) + \lambda_c(-P_{11} - P_{22} + u_{1,1} + u_{2,2}) - \omega^2(2\bar{\eta}_1 u_{2,2} + \bar{\eta}_3(u_{1,1} + u_{2,2})) = 2\mu u_{2,2} + \lambda(u_{1,1} + u_{2,2})
\end{cases}
\]
C Characteristics of the metamaterials used in the design of the structure in Fig. 26

We present here the fitting (Fig. 24) for the larger unit cell used in the metastructure’s design, as well as the relative position of the dispersion curves for the smaller and larger unit cells (Fig. 25).

Figure 26: (left) Dispersion curves of the microstructured and the relaxed micromorphic “double cell” along ΓX (propagation at 0°). (right) Dispersion curves of the microstructured and the relaxed micromorphic “double cell” along ΓM (propagation at 45°).

Figure 27: (left) Dispersion curves for the 4cm unit cell (red) and the 2 cm unit cell (blue) along ΓX (propagation at 0°) and (right) along ΓM (propagation at 45°).