Perineuronal Net Receptor PTPσ Regulates Retention of Memories
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Perineuronal nets (PNNs) have an important physiological role in the retention of learning by restricting cognitive flexibility. Their deposition peaks after developmental periods of intensive learning, usually in late childhood, and they help in long-term preservation of newly acquired skills and information. Modulation of PNN function by various techniques enhances plasticity and regulates the retention of memories, which may be beneficial when memory persistence entails negative symptoms such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). In this study, we investigated the role of PTPσ [receptor-type tyrosine-protein phosphatase S, a phosphatase that is activated by binding of chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPGs) from PNNs] in retention of memories using Novel Object Recognition and Fear Conditioning models. We observed that mice haploinsufficient for PTPRS gene (PTPσ+/−), although having improved short-term object recognition memory, display impaired long-term memory in both Novel Object Recognition and Fear Conditioning paradigm, as compared to WT littermates. However, PTPσ+/− mice did not show any differences in behavioral tests that do not heavily rely on cognitive flexibility, such as Elevated Plus Maze, Open Field, Marble Burying, and Forced Swimming Test. Since PTPσ has been shown to interact with and dephosphorylate TRKB, we investigated activation of this receptor and its downstream pathways in limbic areas known to be associated with memory. We found that phosphorylation of TRKB and PLCγ are increased in the hippocampus, prefrontal cortex, and amygdaloid complex of PTPσ+/− mice, but other TRKB-mediated signaling pathways are not affected. Our data suggest that PTPσ downregulation promotes TRKB phosphorylation in different brain areas, improves short-term memory performance but disrupts long-term memory retention in the tested animal models. Inhibition of PTPσ or disruption of PNN-PTPσ-TRKB complex might be a potential target for disorders where negative modulation of the acquired memories can be beneficial.
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INTRODUCTION

Perineuronal nets (PNNs) are complex extracellular matrix structures consisting of a core protein and multiple glycosaminoglycan chains, such as chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPGs) and heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs; Deepa et al., 2006; Testa et al., 2019). They surround somata and proximal dendrites of certain types of neurons (Hockfield and McKay, 1983),...
being particularly enriched around parvalbumin-positive interneurons (PV+; Kosaka and Heizmann, 1989; Fawcett et al., 2019). Perineuronal nets are well-recognized regulators of plasticity in the central nervous system (CNS) which stabilize and consolidate the system (Banerjee et al., 2017; Christensen et al., 2021), and play an indispensable role in learning and memory (Wang and Fawcett, 2012; Sorg et al., 2016; Shen, 2018). Interference with perineuronal net structure by chondroitinase ABC (chABC) digestion of their sugar chains or genetic knockdown of PNN components has been shown to promote plasticity in multiple paradigms, including ocular dominance (Pizzorusso et al., 2002), drug-induced conditioned place preference (Xue et al., 2014; Slaker et al., 2015) and fear learning (Gogolla et al., 2009; Banerjee et al., 2017; Pignataro et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2019).

CSPGs have been reported to exert their inhibitory action on neuronal plasticity by binding to protein tyrosine phosphatase sigma (PTPσ), a transmembrane receptor (Shen et al., 2009; Coles et al., 2011). PTPσ is a multi-functional molecule playing a role in various processes in the nervous system, such as cell proliferation and adhesion, synapse organization, synaptic transmission, axonal regeneration, and hypothalamus-pituitary axis functioning (Elchebly et al., 1999; Thompson et al., 2003; Takahashi et al., 2011; Horn et al., 2012; Ji et al., 2015; Han et al., 2018, 2020; Bomkamp et al., 2019). Importantly, PTPσ downregulation has been demonstrated to reduce CSPG deposition induced by glial scar formation following axonal injury (Luo et al., 2018; Tran et al., 2018). However, the interaction of PTPσ with CSPGs constituting specifically perineuronal nets has not been studied. We have recently found that the PNN-PTPσ complex exerts its inhibitory action on neuronal plasticity by restricting the signaling of neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (TRKB; Lesnikova et al., 2021). TRKB is a receptor for brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and a well-known activator of plasticity in the brain (Minichiello et al., 1999; Castrén and Antila, 2017; Umemori et al., 2018). PTPσ has been found to interact with and dephosphorylate TRK receptors, including TRKB (Faux et al., 2007; Kurihara and Yamashita, 2012). We found that genetic deficiency of PTPσ increases phosphorylation of TRKB and promotes cortical plasticity at the network level (Lesnikova et al., 2021). We also showed that chABC-induced plasticity in the adult brain requires intact TRKB expression in PV+ interneurons, a neuronal class whose mode of function is particularly dependent on PNNs. There, we propose a model where, under normal conditions in the adult brain, perineuronal nets bind to PTPσ and promote dephosphorylation of TRKB and restriction of its activity, while reduction of interaction between PNNs and PTPσ or PTPσ and TRKB enhances the ability of BDNF to phosphorylate TRKB and promote plasticity (Lesnikova et al., 2021).

Here, we set out to further investigate the effects of PTPσ downregulation on neuronal plasticity. In particular, our aim was to characterize the molecular profile of enhanced plasticity induced by PTPσ genetic deficiency and to reveal any changes in the behavioral phenotype of animals haploinsufficient for PTPRS gene.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

Adult BALB/c mice heterozygous for PTPRS gene (PTPσ+/−) and their wild-type (WT) littermates of both sexes were used for the experiments. PTPσ−/− mice is a hardly viable phenotype with severe growth deficits and a 2–3% survival rate (Elchebly et al., 1999; Wallace et al., 1999). Animals with such major developmental problems often develop compensatory mechanisms that may complicate phenotyping. Our previous study found that only partial genetic deficiency of PTPσ produces a robust phenotype of enhanced plasticity at molecular and network levels (Lesnikova et al., 2021). Therefore, we continued using PTPσ+/− mice in this study. The animals were group-housed (2–5 animals per cage in type-2: 552 cm² floor area, Tecniplast, Italy) under standard laboratory conditions with a 12-h light/dark cycle and access to food and water ad libitum. Behavioral experiments were carried out during the light phase of the cycle. All the procedures involving animals were carried out with the approval of the Experimental Animal Ethical Committee of Southern Finland (ESAVI/38503/2019).

**Antibodies**

The following antibodies were used in the study: anti-Akt rabbit polyclonal antibody (pAb; Cell Signaling Technology, #9272); anti-β-Actin mouse monoclonal antibody (mAb; Sigma-Aldrich, #A1978); anti-p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2) rabbit pAb (Cell Signaling Technology, #9102); anti-p70 S6 kinase rabbit pAb (Cell Signaling Technology, #9202); anti-phospho-Akt rabbit mAb (Cell Signaling Technology, #4056); anti-phospho-p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2) rabbit mAb (Cell Signaling Technology, #9101); anti-phospho-p70 S6 kinase rabbit pAb (Cell Signaling Technology, #9204); anti-phospho-PLCγ1 rabbit pAb (Cell Signaling Technology, #2821); anti-PLCγ1 rabbit mAb (Cell Signaling Technology, #5690); anti-phospho-TRKA (Tyr490)/TRKB (Tyr516) rabbit mAb (Cell Signaling Technology, #4619); anti-PSD-93/anti-Chapsyn-110 mouse mAb (UC Davis/NIH NeuroMab Facility; anti-PSD-93 mouse mAb (UC Davis/NIH NeuroMab Facility); anti-synaptophysin (D35E4) rabbit mAb (Cell Signaling Technology, #5461); anti-TRKB goat pAb (R&D Systems, #AF1494); secondary goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 568 antibody (Life Technologies, #A11004); secondary goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 antibody (Life Technologies, #A11042); secondary goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 647 antibody (Life Technologies, #A21245); secondary goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 647 antibody (Life Technologies, #A21245); secondary goat anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated antibody (Bio-Rad, #1705047); secondary goat anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated antibody (Bio-Rad, #1705046); secondary rabbit anti-goat HRP-conjugated antibody (Invitrogen, #611620).

**Brain Sample Collection and Processing**

For immunohistochemical analysis, the mice were anesthetized with 300 mg/kg pentobarbital mixed with 50 mg/kg lidocaine. They were transcardially perfused with PBS (137 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate, 2.7 mM KCl; pH 7.4) followed by cold 4% PFA in PBS. The brains were removed and post-fixed in 4% PFA in PBS at +4°C overnight. On the following day, the brains were cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in phosphate buffer (PB;
0.1 M PB without sodium chloride, pH 7.4) and left for 2 days at +4°C. After that, the brains were embedded into Tissue-Tek O.C.T. Compound (BioLab, #4583) and cut into 40 µm coronal sections using Cryostat (Leica Biosystems). The brain sections were stored in cryoprotectant solution (30% ethylene glycol, 25% glycerol in 0.05 M PB) at −20°C until further processing.

For the ELISA and Western blot analysis, the animals were euthanized by CO₂, then the prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, and amygdaloid complex were dissected out of the fresh brain and immediately placed on dry ice. The samples were homogenized by sonication in NP lysis buffer (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl; 0.1% Tween-20; pH 7.4) for 2 h. After that, homogenized and centrifuged brain lysates (80 µg of total protein) were transferred to the plate and incubated at 4°C overnight. On day 3, the samples were removed, the plate was washed four times with PBST using a plate washer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Wellwash Versa), and anti-pTRKB antibody (1:1,000 in the blocking buffer) was incubated at 4°C overnight.

Immunohistochemical (IHC) Analysis

For the Immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis, samples from 2 months old male and female mice were used. The samples were washed in PBST (137 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate, 2.7 mM KCl; 0.1% Tween-20; pH 7.4) 2 × 5 min and blocked in the blocking buffer (3% BSA, 10% normal goat serum, and 0.04% sodium azide in PBST) for 30 min at room temperature. After that, the samples were incubated in the primary anti-PSD-95 and anti-synaptophysin antibodies mix (1:100 in the blocking buffer) at +4°C for 48 h. After that, the slices were washed in PBST 2 × 5 min and incubated in secondary antibodies mix (goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 568 and goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 647) at room temperature for 1 h. After the incubation, the slices were washed in PBST 3 × 10 min and stored in 0.1 PB during mounting on slides. The mounting was done with DAKO fluorescence mounting medium (Agilent, #S3023).

Confocal Imaging and Image Analysis

Brain slice imaging was performed using confocal microscope LSM 700 (Carl Zeiss) with 63× /1.46 oil-immersed Plan Apochromat objective (Carl Zeiss, # 40780-9971-000) and 3.0 digital zoom-in. A Z-stack containing six consecutive images with a distance of 0.5 µm was obtained from each section. Colocalization analysis was performed with Zen Blue 2.1 software using Manders Overlap Coefficient (MOC; Manders et al., 1993). An average value over a Z-stack for each brain slice was evaluated, and then an average MOC value of four slices per animal was calculated for statistical analysis.

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

Samples from female mice 2–3 months old were used for this experiment. We assessed levels of TRKB phosphorylation (pTRKB) using a protocol previously described by Antila et al. (2014). A high-binding 96-well OptiPlate (Perkin Elmer) was coated with anti-TRKB antibody incubated in carbonate buffer (57.4 mM sodium bicarbonate and 42.6 mM sodium carbonate, pH 9.8) 1:500 at 4°C overnight. On the next day, the antibody was removed, and the wells were blocked using a blocking buffer containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich, #A9647) in PBST (137 mM NaCl; 10 mM sodium phosphate; 2.7 mM KCl; 0.1% Tween-20; pH 7.4) for 2 h. After that, homogenized and centrifuged brain lysates (80 µg of total protein) were transferred to the plate and incubated at 4°C overnight. On day 3, the samples were removed, the plate was washed four times with PBST using a plate washer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Wellwash Versa), and anti-pTRKB antibody (1:1,000 in the blocking buffer) was incubated at 4°C overnight.

Western Blot

Samples from male and female mice (2 months old) were used for this experiment. Forty microgram of total proteins from each sample was heated in 2X Laemmli buffer (4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 10% 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.02% bromophenol blue and 125 mM Tris HCl, pH 6.8) for 5 min at 95°C and loaded to NuPAGE 4–12% Bis-Tris Protein polyacrylamide gels (Invitrogen, #NP0323BOX). After electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane, blocked in the blocking buffer for 1 h (3% BSA in Tris-PB: TBST, 20 mM Tris-HCl; 150 mM NaCl; 0.1% Tween-20; pH 7.6) and incubated with the primary antibody diluted 1:1,000 in the blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. The membranes were subsequently incubated in HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies diluted in the blocking buffer (1:10,000) for 1 h at room temperature. The signal was detected using enhanced chemiluminescent (ECL) HRP substrate WesternBright Quantum (Advansta, #K-12042) in a CCD camera (G:Box Chemi, Syngene). The levels of phosphoproteins analyzed by Western blot were normalized by total levels of the corresponding proteins; the levels of total TRKB, PSD-93, and PSD-95 were normalized by B-actin. PSD-95 samples from PFC were not normalized due to low detection signal from the housekeeping protein.

Novel Object Recognition Test

Male and female mice (3–4 months old) were used for these experiments. NOR test was performed in a transparent arena (39 × 20 × 16 cm) where two identical objects (white plastic ping-pong balls glued to 50-ml Falcon tube caps) were located (adapted from Casarotto et al., 2021). The animals were allowed to explore the objects for 15 min on three consecutive days (training sessions). At the test session (4 h or 5 days after the last training session to assess short-term or long-term memory, respectively) one of the old objects was replaced by a new one (black rubber squash ball glued to 50-ml Falcon tube caps), and
the animals were allowed to explore both objects for 5 min. The number of interactions with the objects was calculated, and the difference between the visits to the new object and the old object (fB-fA) was used to assess memory. The total number of visits (fA+fB) was used to assess total exploratory activity. Two independent cohorts of animals were used to assess short-term and long-term memory.

**Fear Conditioning**

Male mice (4–7 months old) were used for this experiment. This cohort of mice was older than the rest of the mice used in the other experiments. However, previous studies in the literature found no difference in the rodent performance of these age groups as compared to 2–3 months old animals in the cued fear conditioning paradigm (Stoehr and Wenk, 1995; Oler and Markus, 1998; Houston et al., 1999; Kaczorowski and Disterhoft, 2009). Mice were subjected to fear conditioning in a squared arena A (23 cm width, 35 cm height) with a metal grid on the floor and transparent acrylic glass walls, with continuous background white noise and 100 lux illumination. On day 1, the animals received five foot shocks (0.6 mA, 1 s) preceded by a 30-s sound cue each (latency to the first foot shock: 150 s, time between the last foot shock and the end of the trial: 30 s, inter-shock interval: 30–120 s, total session length: 8 min 45 s). On day 10, the mice were first placed in a new arena B (same size as arena A but with plastic gray floor and plastic black walls), and freezing in response to the sound cue was measured (cue retrieval). Two hours later, the mice were placed in the same context where fear conditioning took place (arena A), and freezing in response to the sound cue was measured (context + cue retrieval). Behavior was counted as freezing if the mouse was not moving for at least 3 s, and measurements were done automatically by TSE system software.

**Elevated Plus Maze**

Male mice (3 months old) were used for this experiment. The apparatus consisted of a central zone (5 × 5 cm), two open arms (30 × 5 cm), and two closed arms (30 × 5 cm) surrounded by transparent acrylic glass walls (15 cm height). The maze was elevated 40 cm above the floor. The illumination was set to ~150 lux. The animal was placed in the center of the arena and allowed to explore the maze for 5 min. The animal activity was tracked automatically by the Noldus EthoVision XT 8 system.

**Open Field Test**

Male mice (3 months old) were used for this experiment. The test was carried out in a squared arena (30 cm wide) with transparent walls (20 cm) and white floor. The illumination was set at ~100 lux. The mice were placed in the center of the arena and allowed to explore it freely for 5 min. The activity was tracked automatically by Noldus EthoVision XT 8 system.

**Marble Burying Test**

Male mice (3 months old) were used for this experiment. 12 glass marbles were evenly distributed on a fresh 5 cm-deep wood chip bedding in a rectangular plastic arena similar in size to the mouse home cage (39 × 20 × 16 cm). The mice were given 15 min to explore the marbles, and the number of buried marbles was counted. A marble was considered buried if at least 2/3 of its surface was covered with bedding chips (Njung’e and Handley, 1991).

**Forced Swimming Test**

Male mice (3 months old) were used for this experiment. The animals were placed in a 5l 19-cm ø glass cylinder with a 20-cm water column at room temperature (~22°C) for 6 min. Total time spent floating during the last 4 min (immobility time) was used for the analysis.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data were analyzed using Graph Pad Prism 6 software. Parametric tests were preferentially used when possible, non-parametric tests were used when the values were discrete or homoscedasticity was not observed. ROUT test was used to identify outliers (Motulsky and Brown, 2006). Detailed information on statistical tests and values is provided in Table 1. Differences between groups were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05. Data in the figures are presented as mean ± SEM.

**RESULTS**

We have recently demonstrated that PTPσ restricts TRKB signaling in vitro and in vivo through dephosphorylation, and we have shown that genetic PTPσ deficiency increases basal TRKB phosphorylation and activates network level-plasticity in the visual cortex (Lesnikova et al., 2021). However, it is unknown which intracellular signaling pathways mediate the effects of the increase in pTRKB induced by the lack of PTPσ. In order to investigate the molecular profile of changes triggered by PTPσ deficiency, we carried out a biochemical analysis of tissue from different brain areas extracted from PTPσ+/− mice and compared it with brain tissue from their wild-type littermates.

First, we confirmed that the effect of PTPσ haploinsufficiency on TRKB phosphorylation is not brain region-specific. We checked pTRKB levels in the prefrontal cortex (PFC), hippocampus (HPC), and amygdala (AMG) of PTPσ+/− mice by ELISA, and observed around 50% increase in pTRKB as compared to WT littermates. Finally, we checked
levels of postsynaptic density proteins 93 and 95 (PSD-93 and PSD-95) which are known to be important for synaptic plasticity but did not find any difference in their expression (Figures 1H, I).

Since PTPö is also known to be involved in synapse organization, acting specifically on excitatory synapses (Takahashi et al., 2011; Takahashi and Craig, 2013; Li et al., 2015b; Han et al., 2018, 2020), we assessed the number of excitatory synapses in PTPö+/− mice and WT littermates

### TABLE 1 | Statistical analysis of the experimental data.

| Graph  | Test | N per group |
|--------|------|-------------|
| 1A     | Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F(2,33) = 0.1561, p = 0.8561 |
|        | Brain area: F(2,33) = 0.1561, p = 0.8561 |
|        | Genotype: F(1,30) = 11.29, p = 0.0020 |
|        | Post hoc: Sidak’s multiple comparisons test: PFC: p = 0.1357 |
|        | HPC: p = 0.3362 |
|        | AMG: p = 0.1056 |
| 1B     | Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F(2,33) = 0.08055, p = 0.9228 |
|        | Brain area: F(2,33) = 0.08055, p = 0.9228 |
|        | Genotype: F(1,30) = 0.07902, p = 0.7804 |
| 1C     | Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F(2,27) = 0.9691, p = 0.3922 |
|        | Brain area: F(2,27) = 0.1508, p = 0.8607 |
|        | Genotype: F(1,27) = 6.439, p = 0.0172 |
|        | Post hoc: Sidak’s multiple comparisons test: PFC: p = 0.4620 |
|        | HPC: p = 0.0766 |
|        | AMG: p = 0.9180 |
| 1D     | Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F(2,34) = 0.2987, p = 0.7437 |
|        | Brain area: F(2,34) = 0.2987, p = 0.7437 |
|        | Genotype: F(1,34) = 0.4211, p = 0.5208 |
| 1E     | Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F(2,34) = 0.5062, p = 0.6072 |
|        | Brain area: F(2,34) = 0.5062, p = 0.6072 |
|        | Genotype: F(1,34) = 0.04609, p = 0.8313 |
| 1F     | Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F(2,29) = 1.811, p = 0.1843 |
|        | Brain area: F(2,29) = 1.811, p = 0.1843 |
|        | Genotype: F(1,29) = 0.4599, p = 0.5039 |
| 1G     | Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F(2,30) = 3.266, p = 0.0521 |
|        | Brain area: F(2,30) = 3.266, p = 0.0521 |
|        | Genotype: F(1,30) = 0.2616, p = 0.6127 |
| 1H     | Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F(2,35) = 1.144, p = 0.3302 |
|        | Brain area: F(2,35) = 1.144, p = 0.3302 |
|        | Genotype: F(1,35) = 0.8513, p = 0.3625 |
| 1I     | Two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F(2,33) = 0.1788, p = 0.8371 |
|        | Brain area: F(2,33) = 0.1788, p = 0.8371 |
|        | Genotype: F(1,33) = 0.7467, p = 0.3938 |

### TABLE 1 | Continued

| Graph  | Test | N per group |
|--------|------|-------------|
| 2A     | Mann–Whitney: Short-term Discrimination Index: U = 1, p = 0.0035 |
|        | Short-term Exploratory Activity: U = 15, p = 0.4254 |
| 2B     | Mann–Whitney: long-term Discrimination Index: U = 13.5, p = 0.0006 |
|        | long-term Exploratory Activity: U = 45.5, p = 0.2360 |
| 2C     | Repeated-measures two-way ANOVA: Interaction: F(2,18) = 4.189, p = 0.0321 |
|        | Time: F(2,18) = 1.562, p = 0.2369 |
|        | Genotype: F(1,9) = 3.262, p = 0.1044 |
|        | Post hoc: Sidak’s multiple comparisons test: Within group comparisons: WT acquisition × WT cue: p = 0.7679 |
|        | WT acquisition × WT context + cue: p = 0.2129 |
|        | WT cue × WT context + cue: p = 0.0376 |
|        | PTPö+/− acquisition × WT context + cue: p = 0.3982 |
|        | PTPö+/− acquisition × PTPö+/− context + cue: p = 0.1572 |
|        | PTPö+/− curve × WT context + cue: p = 0.9249 |
|        | Between groups comparisons: WT acquisition × PTPö+/− acquisition: p = 0.9909 |
|        | WT cue × PTPö+/− cue: p = 0.7342 |
|        | WT context + cue × PTPö+/− context + cue: p = 0.0123 |
| 3A     | Open Arm Time: Unpaired t test: t(12) = 1.027, p = 0.3245 |
|        | Open Arm Entries: Mann–Whitney: U = 24.5, p > 0.9999 |
|        | Closed Arm Entries: Mann–Whitney: U = 24.5, p > 0.9999 |
| 3B     | Mann–Whitney: U = 19.5, p = 0.5408 |
| 3C     | Unpaired t test: t(12) = 0.2450, p = 0.8106 |
| 3D     | Unpaired t test: t(12) = 0.2565, p = 0.8019 |

(Continued)
by immunostaining pre- (synaptophysin) and post-synaptic (PSD-95) markers, in hippocampal slices. We evaluated the colocalization of the pre- and post-synaptic markers using MOC and did not find any difference between the genotypes (Supplementary Figure 1).

Considering the important role of BDNF-TRKB for various brain functions, including learning and memory (Thoenen, 1995; Minichiello et al., 1999; Cunha et al., 2010), we set out to investigate the behavioral phenotype of PTPσ+/− mice. We started with the Novel Object Recognition test, a cognitive test that evaluates memory-related performance in rodents (Dere et al., 2007). When tested 4 h after the last training session, PTPσ+/− mice exhibited significantly more interest towards the new object as opposed to the old object, suggesting improved short-term memory (Figure 2A). This result was similar to the findings by Horn et al. (2012) who observed improved short-term recognition memory in full PTPσ knock-out mice (PTPσ−/−). However, when tested 5 days after the last training session, PTPσ+/− mice demonstrated essentially no difference in exploration between the old and the new object, which indicates that they have significantly impaired long-term recognition memory as opposed to the WT, who remembered the old object and tended to visit the new one more often (Figure 2B). No difference in total exploratory activity was found between the genotypes in either of the tests.

In order to understand if the impaired long-term memory observed in the PTPσ+/− mice was specific to recognition memory or if it was a more generalized memory impairment, we tested those mice also in the fear conditioning model using a protocol that involves both context- and cue-dependent memory components. In the fear conditioning experiment (Figure 2C), the fear-related memory was established by pairing foot shocks (unconditioned stimulus) with the context (transparent cage with metallic grid floor) and cue (tone). The conditioned response (freezing) was measured immediately after the foot shocks (acquisition) and again 10 days later (retrieval). WT and PTPσ+/− mice displayed similar levels of freezing right after the foot shocks, demonstrating comparable acquisition of fear memories in both genotypes. Ten days later, we tested memory retrieval triggered by the cue presented either in a novel context (black cage with a smooth floor) or in the same context where the foot shocks were previously applied (conditioned context, transparent cage with metallic grid floor). Statistical analysis indicates that there was no significant change in the levels of freezing when the acquisition was compared with either of the retrieval sessions, in both genotypes, suggesting that the fear memory did not spontaneously fade away with time. Interestingly, in WT but not in the PTPσ+/− mice, the fear response triggered by the cue in the retrieval session was potentiated by the context, since freezing was greatly increased in the conditioned context in comparison with the novel context in the WT group (indicated by the sharp sign in Figure 2C). On the other hand, PTPσ+/− mice responded similarly to the cue presented in the novel or the conditioned context. Finally, the freezing response triggered by the cue combined with conditioned context (cue + context) was significantly higher in the WT compared to the PTPσ+/− mice (indicated by the asterisk in Figure 2C), reinforcing the evidence that PTPσ deletion might attenuate the retrieval of certain types of long-term memories.

Altogether, the behavioral data suggest that PTPσ may play a role in memory processes as its deficiency facilitates short-term memory and deteriorates long-term memory retention in the behavior paradigms we tested. However, it is important to keep in mind that the Novel Recognition Memory and Fear conditioning behavioral data are not directly comparable due to the intrinsic characteristics of each model and other methodological differences caused by practical limitations in obtaining animal cohorts. One limitation of the current study was the variation in the sex and age of mice used in each behavioral model. However, all the animals tested belonged to the adult group (2–7 months old) and thus were no longer in the age of juvenile plasticity, which otherwise could have affected the performance. Whenever mice from both sexes were tested, no difference was found between the sex groups. Moreover, the data we obtained from different groups of animals tested were not contradictory but rather complementary and harmonic. Nevertheless, we kindly ask the reader to keep in mind this limitation of the study.

Finally, we ran a behavior battery to test whether PTPσ+/− mice have any behavioral abnormalities other than those related to learning and memory (Figure 3). The tests were separated by at least 24 h and carried out in the following order: marble burying, elevated plus maze, open field, and forced swimming test. Interestingly, PTPσ+/− mice displayed no abnormalities in any of these tests, indicating no difference in the levels of anxiety, total exploratory activity, and locomotion as compared to the WT.

**DISCUSSION**

We have previously observed that the plasticity-promoting effects of PNN removal are dependent on TRKB signaling in PV interneurons and that PTPσ acts as an agent mediating the opposing effects that perineuronal nets and TRKB have on plasticity (Lesnikova et al., 2021). We demonstrated that PNN-PTPσ-TRKB interaction in parvalbumin interneurons plays a particularly important role, as the chondroitinase ABC effect on network plasticity is abolished in mice lacking full-length TRKB in PV+ cells (PV-TRKB+/− mice; Lesnikova et al., 2021). Therefore, it is plausible that PV+ interneurons may contribute to the plastic phenotype of PTPσ mice as they are the major class of neurons expressing PNNs, and are known to be strongly affected by PNN modulation. PV+ cells play a crucial role in many cognitive processes, including learning and memory (Korotkova et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2016), and manipulation of PV+ neurons modulates plasticity states of the neuronal networks (Donato et al., 2013; Winkel et al., 2021). The firing of the PV+ interneurons mediates both memory consolidation (Ognjanovski et al., 2017) and extinction (Trouche et al., 2013; Davis et al., 2017), and optogenetic manipulation of PV+ neurons was sufficient to restore memory-related deficits induced by PNN degradation (Shi et al., 2019). We have shown that optical
activation of TRKB in PV+ interneurons is alone sufficient to orchestrate and coordinate large brain networks, rendering them into a state of juvenile-like plasticity (Winkel et al., 2021).

TRKB is a well-characterized positive modulator of plasticity in the brain, essential for learning memory, and other cognitive processes (Yamada and Nabeshima, 2003; Minichiello, 2009). Learning events have been demonstrated to prompt BDNF expression and TRKB phosphorylation (Hall et al., 2000; Gooney et al., 2002), while BDNF mutations in mice and humans are known to induce learning deficits (Linnarsson et al., 1997; Dincheva et al., 2012). TRKB phosphorylation leads to activation of three major downstream signaling pathways: Sch adaptor protein recognizes phosphorylation of tyrosine 515 and activates PI3K/Akt and Ras/MEK/Erk pathways that regulate neuronal survival and neuronal differentiation (Atwal et al., 2000; Minichiello, 2009). PLCγ1 recognizes phosphorylated tyrosine 816 and, once phosphorylated, induces signaling through calmodulin kinase-2 and CREB, thereby modulating neuronal connectivity and plasticity (Patapoutian and Reichardt, 2001; Minichiello, 2009). Specificity of the downstream pathway activation is mediated through different docking partners, and it’s been demonstrated that mutation of PLCγ1 docking site critically affects hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP), plasticity, and learning (Minichiello et al., 2002; Gruart et al., 2007). Surprisingly, a similar mutation of the Shc recognition site, which mediates Akt and Erk activation, produces only minimal effects on BDNF signaling in vivo (Minichiello et al., 1998). Given that PTPσ+/− mice demonstrate an increase in PLCγ1 activation, their phenotype of facilitated plasticity and learning is not entirely surprising. However, the reason for the specificity of activation for this particular pathway remains to be further investigated.

TRKB overexpression in a transgenic mouse line (TRKB.TK+) has yielded similar results with positive modulation of PLCγ1 but not PI3K/Akt or Erk pathways (Koponen et al., 2004). These TRKB.TK+ mice demonstrated facilitated learning (tested in Morris water maze) similar to PTPσ+/− mice. However, TRKB.TK+ mice also showed improved long-term memory in both Morris water maze and fear conditioning paradigms (Koponen et al., 2004), as opposed to PTPσ+/− mice having impaired long-term fear conditioning and object recognition memory. However, direct comparison of these observations...
is difficult due to the timeline differences between the tests and because TRKB.TK+ mice overexpress TRKB in pyramidal cells and not in PV neurons. TRKB.TK+ were tested 48 h after training at the latest, when the memory traces were relatively fresh, while PTPσ+/− mice have chronically increased TRKB activation due to reduced TRKB activation has to be time-precise and transient in order to lead to robust memory acquisition. Since PTPσ+/− mice overexpress TRKB in pyramidal cells and not in PV neurons. TRKB.TK+ were tested 48 h after training at the latest, when the memory traces were relatively fresh, while PTPσ+/− mouse memory was assessed 5–10 days after the initial learning. Moreover, even though BDNF-TRKB signaling is known to be critical for both short-term and long-term memory function (Bekinschtein et al., 2014), excessive BDNF-TRKB activation can also render previously established long-term memories labile and promote their erasure. For example, direct BDNF infusion into the infralimbic prefrontal cortex facilitates extinction of fear and drug-induced conditioned place preference memories (Peters et al., 2010; Otis et al., 2014; Kataoka et al., 2019), with similar effects achieved by TRKB facilitation by chronic antidepressant treatment (Karpova et al., 2011). Even though time and region-specific mechanisms of BDNF-TRKB’s role in memory events remain to be fully clarified, it is plausible to assume that TRKB activation has to be time-precise and transient in order to lead to robust memory acquisition. Since PTPσ+/− mice have chronically increased TRKB activation due to reduced dephosphorylation from PTPσ, their neuronal networks seem to acquire a state of hyperplasticity, or tonic plasticity (as opposed to the phasic plasticity in the normal brain), which allows for the enhanced acquisition of memories but not for their retention.

It is interesting that a similar dissociation between short- and long-term memories can be experimentally induced by PNN manipulation. PNN downregulation by chABC digestion or genetic knockdown of their components has been shown to improve object recognition memory for a period up to 48 h (Romberg et al., 2013; Rowlands et al., 2018). However, perineuronal nets are also indispensable for long-term memory retention, as PNN degradation has been demonstrated to impair the persistence of memories and promote their erasure in different brain areas such as the prefrontal cortex (Hylin et al., 2013; Slaker et al., 2015), hippocampus (Hylin et al., 2013; Riga et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2019), and amygdala (Gogolla et al., 2009; Xue et al., 2014; Pignataro et al., 2017). Since PTPσ+/− mice have a lower number of PNN receptors available for transduction of their signals, the PNN network consolidation function in their brain is likely to be compromised, which may improve short-term but impair long-term memory performance.

PTPo is a complex molecule attributed to a number of different functions. Apart from being a phosphatase and a receptor for PNNs, it is also known to be a synaptic adhesion molecule, playing an important role in excitatory synapse assembly. It binds a number of partners in both cis- and trans-synaptic manner, including SLIT and NTRK-like proteins (Slitrks; Um et al., 2014), synaptic adhesion-like molecule 3 (SALM3; Li et al., 2015b), leucine-rich repeat transmembrane protein 4 (LRRTM4; Ji et al., 2015), liprin-α (Bomkamp et al., 2019) and tropomyosin receptor kinase C (TRKC; Takahashi et al., 2011; Coles et al., 2014). These interactions have been demonstrated to be critical for normal excitatory synaptic formation. According to the model proposed in the literature, PTPo drives excitatory synapse formation through a combination of trans-synaptic interactions with partners such as TRKC (but not TRKB) and Slitrks, and intracellular signaling cascades when PTPo intracellular domains interact in cis with adaptor proteins (such as liprin-α) and direct substrates, such as N-cadherin or TRKB (Coles et al., 2011; Han et al., 2018; Bomkamp et al., 2019). Global PTPo knockout and conditional deletion of PTPo impairs excitatory synapse transmission, leading to abnormalities in synaptic structure (Horn et al., 2012; Han et al., 2020) and reduced number of excitatory synapses.
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FIGURE 3 | PTPσ+/− mice exhibit normal behavior in tests that do not involve cognitive flexibility. PTPσ+/− mice display no behavioral changes in (A) elevated plus maze, (B) marble burying, (C) open field, and (D) forced swimming tests as compared to their wild-type littermates. Data were analyzed by t-test (A, total time, C, D) or by Mann–Whitney test (A, # entries for Open and Closed Arms, and B).

PTPσ deficiency also increases frequency but reduces the efficiency of excitatory postsynaptic currents (Horn et al., 2012; Han et al., 2020) and impairs LTP (Horn et al., 2012). LTP deficits were also observed when PTPσ knockdown was restricted to excitatory cortical and hippocampal neurons (Kim et al., 2020). Similarly, overexpression of TRKB in pyramidal neurons, which increases TRKB phosphorylation and signaling through PLCγ1, also impairs LTP (Koponen et al., 2004). Interestingly, Kim et al. (2020) showed that presynaptic PTPσ deficiency selectively impairs NMDA-dependent postsynaptic currents, and it happens through a mechanism independent of PTPσ trans-synaptic adhesion, suggesting further involvement of PTPσ cytoplasmic phosphatase domains in this process. Even though the direct relationship between LTP and memory remains a subject to debate (Stevens, 1998; Nicoll, 2017), NMDA-dependent LTP is known to be critical for normal memory performance, and disturbances in this pathway impair memory function (Nakazawa et al., 2004; Li and Tsien, 2009; Morris, 2013; Nabavi et al., 2014). Since PTPσ plays a substantial role in excitatory synaptic transmission and normal LTP, its partial deletion from PTPσ+/− mouse brain may cause deficiencies in normal synapse function and stabilization, leading to an inability of the synapses to efficiently support long-term memory maintenance.
Evanescent of long-term memories may be a disadvantage; however, it may also be beneficial under certain conditions when memories carry over a trauma such as in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Labilization of memories has been proposed to be a potential therapeutic tool for such disorders (Kindt et al., 2009), and treatment strategies targeting both PNNs (Gogolla et al., 2009; Banerjee et al., 2017; Pignataro et al., 2017) and BDNF-TRKB system (Karpova et al., 2011; Andero and Ressler, 2012; Kataoka et al., 2019) were suggested to carry positive effect in labilization. Now that PTPσ has been established as a missing link in the PNN-PTPσ-TRKB axis in PV interneurons, its further investigation as a potential target for PTSD treatment is promising. Small molecule compounds inhibiting PTPs and specifically PTPσ have been discovered (Martin et al., 2012, 2014; Lazo et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). Moreover, intracellular sigma peptides (ISP) that bind to PTPσ intracellular domain and inhibit its phosphatase activity have recently been developed and successfully tested in the rodent models of spinal cord injury (Li et al., 2015a) and multiple sclerosis (Luo et al., 2018; Tran et al., 2018). ISPs are currently undergoing an FDA approval procedure and are being prepared for the first clinical trials, which bears promise for their future use in patients. However, further development and application of PTPσ-targeting treatments in humans must be carried out with caution. Long-term memory loss may come up as one potential side effect of ISP or small molecule treatment. Moreover, PTPσ is widely expressed in different cell types in the central nervous system and beyond and regulates multiple processes, including cell adhesion, hormone immunoreactive cell functioning, and hematopoietic stem cell proliferation and migration (Elchebly et al., 1999; Quarmyne et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019). Therefore, modulation of PTPσ system at the systemic level may have complex and non-direct consequences, including untoward side effects such as hormonal or hematopoietic cell dysfunction, and further research is required to ensure safety of such interventions.

In conclusion, our findings contribute to the emerging recognition of the importance of perineuronal nets and their downstream targets in neuropsychological processes in the brain and shed light on the molecular underpinnings of complex systemic events such as memory and learning. Future studies will be needed in the field to resolve the remaining questions and improve our understanding of the role of PNN-PTPσ-TRKB complex in both healthy and diseased brains.
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