Surface Integrity Analisys in the Hard Turning of Cemented Steel AISI 4317
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This work was based on the surface integrity analysis of hardened steel, AISI 4317 case carburized, quenched and tempered with 58 HRC, obtained by a turning operation executed with CBN (cubic boron nitride) tool, varying three basic cutting parameters (cutting speed, feed rate and cutting depth). The surface integrity characterization was conducted analyzing the behavior of the surface roughness $R_a$, residual stresses and with layer presence after turning. Also, the cutting forces were measured. The experimental planning used was a central composite design. The results and data were statistically treated by the Statistica software, enabling the generation of a mathematical model, relating the dependent variables with the independent variables. The roughness values $R_a$ obtained after the experiments ranged from 0.31 to 2.8 μm, providing an indication that is possible to replace grinding process by hard turning using CBN tools that could reduce machining time and costs. The hard turning process generated compressive residual stresses profiles and white layer formation, from 1.1 to 5.1 μm, on the surface of the samples. The penetration force showed the highest values for the turning forces measurements. The lowest values for the cutting parameters represented the optimized surface integrity of the AISI 4317 steel.
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1. Introduction

In mechanical engineering the functional performances of many parts increase with their mechanical and topographical surface qualities. Quantities of importance in this respect include hardness, residual stresses and surface roughness, which are often summarized by the term of surface integrity. Concerning the design of processes and tools of material removal processes the identification of parameters, which result in acceptable surface integrity characteristics, is of major importance.

The surface integrity generated by hard turning was found to depend largely on the tool’s edge geometry. Since tool wear affects the edge geometry, it can significantly change the properties of the hard turned surface. Previous investigations have reported that hard turning with worn tool can result in tensile residual stresses at the surface. Also, the depth of the white re-hardened layer was found to increase with tool wear. Therefore, in order to control the functional performance of the surfaces produced by hard turning it is critical to understand the onset of white layer formation during the finish hard turning process.

As early as 1950, it was well understood that residual stresses were the result of thermal and mechanical loads on the machined surface. Extended research on the mechanisms of residual stress creation, concluded that mechanical forces are the main cause and the thermal stresses load is insignificant. Matsumoto et al.1 while studying the effect of hardness on residual stresses carried out machining experiments in a treated material with 57 HRC. The results revealed that, when hardness and other cutting parameters remain constant, the plastic deformation near the cutting edge is a dominant factor in the creation of residual stresses profile. Subsurface residual stresses on hardened turning AISI 52100 steel with hardness of 41 to 57 HRC as expected, it was found that the material with a hardness of 57 HRC produce the highest residual stresses.

By controlling the cutting parameters with proper selection of the cutting inserts, it is possible to tailor the surface integrity of the component in order to achieve beneficial stress profiles and acceptable topographical and metallurgical surface properties. Like many other cutting processes, the tool wear (flank and crater wear) in hard turning has a significant influence on the final surface integrity. Both the physical properties and the functional behavior of the surface are significantly altered with the progress of tool wear. Tonshoff et al.2 showed that an increase in the flank wear will alter the residual stress profile from beneficial to detrimental state and lead to the formation of both a “white” and a “dark” etching layer with inferior topographical properties. Griffiths3 suggested three different mechanisms for the formation of the white layers: i) plastic deformation producing a homogenous structure or a layer with very fine grain size, ii) rapid heating followed by rapid cooling resulting in a phase transformation and iii) surface reaction with the environment.

Regarding the white layer influence, it is not found conclusive evidence which suggests the white layer has any
impact on axial fatigue. Nevertheless, Guo et al.\textsuperscript{4} concluded the fatigue strength reduction on AISI 52100 is up to 8 times its initial value. Probably, these opposite affirmations are concluded because in Smith et al.\textsuperscript{3} the white layers studied had 2 micrometers instead of the 7 micrometers studied by Guo et al.\textsuperscript{4} Also, it should be noted that when comparing the same white layer produced by hard turning or grinding, the first one presents more fatigue strength\textsuperscript{8}.

In hard turning of steels, the material is hardened first, by proper heat treatment and, later put into the machining process to remove material and define the require shape. Herein, the metal cutting mechanics act differently than the machining of non-hardened steels. Drastic rise of temperature, in absence of cooling and lubrication, causes a detrimental effect on the tool and work material including the change in the microstructure\textsuperscript{7}.

Surface finish is very important feature of any machining process and the main requirement of many manufacturing, automotive and aerospace applications. For turning operation, feed rate is the most important factor that affects the surface roughness. Apart from feed, nose radius and speeds are also important parameters for surface roughness. For hardened components also surface finish is very important characteristics\textsuperscript{4}.

While machining hardened steels, chamfered edge and negative rake and inclination angles help to increase the machining force. Large nose radius with reduced depth of cut leads to minimum true side cutting edge angle, it results high thrust force. And large nose radius may produce good surface finish and avoid tool vibration. A worsen surface finish can be a hint to changing surface roughness that may change the service life of component. As hard turning is mostly utilized for final finishing process, it is important to study about the parameters influence the surface roughness and in turn fatigue life of the component\textsuperscript{9}.

By transferring a small part of the round insert edges into the straight cutting edges of the pointed insert, an insert design adjusted for hard machining producing superior surface finish is achieved. The “wiper radii” are located next to the nose radius and enlarge the contact area of workpiece and tool.

Due to the technological innovations such as ultra-hard tool materials, new tool coatings material, and optimized tool geometry, machining without cutting fluid called dry turning is developed. However in dry turning operations, the friction and adhesion between chip and tool tend to be higher which causes higher temperatures, higher wear rates and consequently shorter tool lives. Further, dry turning needs extremely rigid machine tool which is difficult to implement on the shop floor with the existing machine tools. All these problems related to turning with conventional flood cooling and pure dry turning lead to research on machining with minimal fluid application as an alternative\textsuperscript{10}.

The hard turning has become possible with recent improvements in machine tool rigidity and availability of new cutting tool materials such as: cubic boron nitride (CBN) and ceramics. The traditional method of machining hardened materials included heat treatment, rough turning, and then grinding process. Hard turning eliminates lubrication and reduces processing time when compared to grinding\textsuperscript{11}. Dry machining avoids the problems associated with cutting fluids but it is very tough to implement dry machining on the existing shop floor as it needs very rigid machine tools and ultra-hard cutting tools which will again add to the cost of machining\textsuperscript{12}.

The amount of heat generated depends on input parameters especially cutting speed which is most influencing factor and the type of material being machined. Heating action caused by machining leads many of the economic and technical problems. In actual practice, there are many factors which change these performance measures, i.e. tool variables, workpiece variables and cutting conditions. Excessive temperatures directly influence the temperatures of tool face and tool flank and this leads to thermal damage of the machined surface\textsuperscript{13}.

Increase in the temperature may (i) affect the strength, hardness, wear resistance and life of the cutting tool, (ii) cause difficulty in controlling the accuracy due to dimensional changes in the part being machined and on the machined surface integrity and (iii) cause thermal damage to the workpiece and affect its properties and service life. The temperature in the cutting zone is affected by the cutting parameters: the cutting speed, the feed rate, and the depth of cut. It also depends on the properties of the workpiece material, as well as on the physical properties of the tool\textsuperscript{14}.

The case carburized AISI 4317 steel is used extensively by all industry sectors of components and shafts that require high wear resistance, high core strength and significant impact properties. It is a chromium steel, nickel and molybdenum, of high temperability, reaching HRC 62 in addition to being case carburized. It has offered low roughness properties (compatible with grinding values) and high residual compression stresses when machined in the hardened condition (above HRC 55). Due to the high temperatures reached in the cutting region, it is possible to present a white layer due to machining.

The cutting operation of hardened materials, also called hard turning, is characterized by having high flexibility and the ability to produce complex geometry parts, in low number of steps and reduced machine preparation time, and can be performed without the use of fluid, reducing costs and consequent environmental impact\textsuperscript{2}. Due to these qualities, combined with work quality generated parts resulting from ISO IT6 and surface roughness of 0.2 to 0.3 \( \mu \)m the process of turning hardened materials has been replacing grinding in finishing operations\textsuperscript{15}.

The focus of this work is to study the mechanical surface integrity and its dependence on machining parameters in the hard turning of parts made from AISI 4317, case carburized, quenched and tempered steel with a hardness of 59 to 62 HRC. Therefore, it is intended to evaluate the influence of
the parameters cutting speed, feed rate and cutting depth in the surface roughness $R_a$, residual stresses, cutting forces and white layer resulting from the hard turning process, considering the tool always free of wear.

2. Methods and Materials

For this study, the following variables were established:
a) Independent variables: cutting speed ($v_c$), feed rate ($f$), cutting depth ($a_p$); b) Dependent variables: surface roughness ($R_a$), white layer, residual stresses, cutting forces.

The specimens used were composed of AISI 4317 steel, whose chemical composition can be visualized in Table 1.

A composite central factorial design (DCC) was used with twenty cylindrical specimens, with a diameter of 40 mm and a length of 75 mm, with center holes on both sides, as shown in Table 2. After machining, the specimens were subjected to the thermochemical carburizing treatment, in order to provide the carbon content in the case carburized layer of 1.00 to 1.10 % and a thickness of 2.5 mm from the surface, followed by tempering to achieve a hardness of 59.92 ± 0.57 HRC.

In each test the inserts were replaced so that the wear of the tool was not an influence variable. The specimens were enumerated and rightly protected against corrosion. The tests were run without cutting fluid.

The operating machine chosen for conducting the experiments was the Romi Centur 30D CNC lathe, with a total power of 10/7.5 kW and maximum and minimum spindle rotations of 4000 to 4 rpm. The insert used in the experiments was the Sandvik VBGW160404S01020F7025. The support adapted for the cutting forces measurement, Sandvik 50035171L6. The use of a piezoelectric transducer mounted on the cutting tool holder allowed the measurement of the machining forces of the experiments in three directions, namely the shear force ($F_c$), feed force ($F_a$) and penetration force ($F_p$).

Measurements of roughness of test specimens after turning were performed with the Mitutoyo model 211 surface tester, adjusted with the JIS 1994 Gaus calibration, using a 0.8 mm cutoff with x 5 times. Three measurements were made at 120° along the part diameter and in the longitudinal direction.

Measurements of the residual stresses of the test specimens were performed using the blind-hole method. The strain gauge used was the Excel PA 062RE-120 and the milling was inverted conical for hardness material SINTCTT/1 with a nominal drilling diameter of 1.8 mm. The special device to perform the incremental drilling process was the MTS 3000 - SINT.

The metallographic study of the machined surface of the samples after the tests made it possible to verify the existence of the layer of material affected after the machining and its respective thickness using the software of the Olympus BH2-UMA optical microscope.

The analysis of the data obtained in the experiments and tests was performed by Software Statistica.

3. Results and Discussion

The Table 3 represents the experimental results.

3.1 Surface Roughness $R_a$

The values for surface roughness $R_a$ observed in Table 3 are the roughness averages measured at three points of each specimen, at 120 degrees and in the direction perpendicular to the direction of the tool feed rate, for the twenty conditions performed. The measured values corroborate with the values obtained by other experiments related to the subject, such as the work of Grzesik[6] concerning the turning of quenched and tempered AISI 5140 steel with hardness 60 HRC. These values were obtained, using cutting depth of 0.25 mm, cutting

---

Table 1. Nominal chemical composition in wt % for AISI 4317 steel

| BS    | C    | Mn  | P Max | S Max | Mo | Cr  | Ni  | Si  |
|-------|------|-----|-------|-------|----|-----|-----|-----|
| EM 355| 0.15 | 0.40| 0.04  | 0.004 | 0.25| 1.50| 1.40| 0.00|
|       | 0.20 | 0.60|       |       | 0.35| 1.80| 1.70| 0.40|

Table 2. Experimental planning

| Body test | $v_c$(m/min) | $f$(mm/rev) | $a_p$(mm) |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| 1         | 150.00       | 0.05        | 0.05       |
| 2         | 150.00       | 0.05        | 0.20       |
| 3         | 150.00       | 0.15        | 0.05       |
| 4         | 150.00       | 0.15        | 0.20       |
| 5         | 210.00       | 0.05        | 0.05       |
| 6         | 210.00       | 0.05        | 0.20       |
| 7         | 210.00       | 0.15        | 0.05       |
| 8         | 210.00       | 0.15        | 0.20       |
| 9         | 129.55       | 0.10        | 0.13       |
| 10        | 230.45       | 0.10        | 0.13       |
| 11        | 180.00       | 0.16        | 0.13       |
| 12        | 180.00       | 0.18        | 0.13       |
| 13        | 180.00       | 0.10        | 0.05       |
| 14        | 180.00       | 0.10        | 0.25       |
| 15        | 180.00       | 0.10        | 0.13       |
| 16        | 180.00       | 0.10        | 0.13       |
| 17        | 180.00       | 0.10        | 0.13       |
| 18        | 180.00       | 0.10        | 0.13       |
| 19        | 180.00       | 0.10        | 0.13       |
| 20        | 180.00       | 0.10        | 0.13       |
speed 100 m/min and a feed rate from 0.04 to 0.40 mm/rev, Ra roughness within the range of 0.24 to 5.47 µm.

In order to explain the surface roughness found (Table 3) in this investigation, experiments were conducted to determine the effects of the variables cutting speed, feed rate and depth of penetration. The analysis of variance (ANOVA), with 95% confidence, a quadratic R of 0.85 and a quadratic adjusted R of 0.72, indicated that the feed rate is the most significant independent variable in the roughness variation Ra followed by cutting speed (Table 4).

The well-known theoretical expression (1) for roughness evaluation takes into account only the combination of the tool tip feed and radius. The experimental values measured validate this expression, however, for the minimum values of feed rate used in the experiments, a great discrepancy is observed when compared to the theoretical values. Rech and Moisian observed the same similar effects in the machining of cemented, quenched and tempered DIN 27MnCr5 steel of medium hardness of 58 HRC.

\[
Ra = \frac{f}{18\sqrt{3r_t}} \tag{1}
\]

### 3.2 Cutting forces

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the feed rate cutting force (Table 5), with 95% confidence, quadratic R of 0.87

### Table 3. Values obtained for the experiments. (v= cutting speed; f=feed rate; a =cutting depth; Fa=Feed rate cutting force; Fc=Cutting force; Fp=Penetration force; TR=Residual stresses; WL=White layer thickness)

| Body test | vc(m/min) | f(mm/rev) | ap(mm) | Ra | Fa(N) | Fc(N) | Fp(N) | TR(MPa) | WL(mm) |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|----|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------|
| 1         | 150.00    | 0.05      | 0.05   | 0.32 | 11.71 | 4.37  | 29.86 | -882    | 0.0    |
| 2         | 150.00    | 0.05      | 0.20   | 0.31 | 26.71 | 9.48  | 43.37 | -304    | 0.0    |
| 3         | 150.00    | 0.15      | 0.05   | 1.84 | 26.24 | 5.83  | 61.85 | -447    | 3.8    |
| 4         | 150.00    | 0.15      | 0.20   | 2.15 | 62.94 | 17.52 | 82.47 | -602    | 5.1    |
| 5         | 210.00    | 0.05      | 0.05   | 0.33 | 13.91 | 5.84  | 33.42 | -407    | 1.1    |
| 6         | 210.00    | 0.05      | 0.20   | 0.34 | 31.47 | 14.96 | 59.01 | -512    | 1.6    |
| 7         | 210.00    | 0.15      | 0.05   | 2.01 | 21.96 | 6.93  | 47.63 | -786    | 3.3    |
| 8         | 210.00    | 0.15      | 0.20   | 1.93 | 66.61 | 20.44 | 94.56 | -768    | 3.1    |
| 9         | 129.55    | 0.10      | 0.13   | 0.91 | 34.77 | 9.85  | 59.72 | -485    | 2.7    |
| 10        | 230.45    | 0.10      | 0.13   | 0.81 | 31.11 | 9.49  | 62.57 | -433    | 4.4    |
| 11        | 180.00    | 0.16      | 0.13   | 2.15 | 46.48 | 11.32 | 73.94 | -744    | 3.6    |
| 12        | 180.00    | 0.18      | 0.13   | 2.80 | 51.97 | 12.04 | 79.63 | -748    | 3.7    |
| 13        | 180.00    | 0.10      | 0.05   | 0.79 | 16.47 | 5.47  | 34.84 | -762    | 1.5    |
| 14        | 180.00    | 0.10      | 0.25   | 0.77 | 59.29 | 19.71 | 80.34 | -334    | 1.5    |
| 15        | 180.00    | 0.10      | 0.13   | 0.85 | 34.77 | 10.22 | 58.3  | -616    | 1.6    |
| 16        | 180.00    | 0.10      | 0.13   | 0.85 | 30.38 | 8.03  | 46.93 | -870    | 1.8    |
| 17        | 180.00    | 0.10      | 0.13   | 0.73 | 35.86 | 11.31 | 68.96 | -870    | 2.3    |
| 18        | 180.00    | 0.10      | 0.13   | 0.76 | 35.86 | 10.95 | 67.54 | -847    | 2.0    |
| 19        | 180.00    | 0.10      | 0.13   | 0.82 | 38.79 | 12.41 | 63.99 | -901    | 2.1    |
| 20        | 180.00    | 0.10      | 0.13   | 0.87 | 36.96 | 11.68 | 66.12 | -895    | 2.3    |

### Table 4. ANOVA for the effect of the independent variables on roughness Ra.

| Factor     | Effect     | Std.Err. | p        | Coeff.     | Std.Err. Coeff. |
|------------|------------|----------|----------|------------|-----------------|
| Mean/Interc. | 0.836662   | 0.156144 | 0.000320 | 0.836662   | 0.156144        |
| (1)v (L)    | -0.057627  | 0.231402 | 0.808375 | -0.028813  | 0.115701        |
| v (Q)       | -0.058174  | 0.204915 | 0.782283 | -0.029087  | 0.102457        |
| (2)f (L)    | 1.150633   | 0.231402 | 0.000560 | 0.575316   | 0.115701        |
| f (Q)       | 1.083803   | 0.204915 | 0.000353 | 0.541902   | 0.102457        |
| (3)ap (L)   | -0.073791  | 0.335170 | 0.830175 | -0.036896  | 0.167585        |
| ap (Q)      | -0.411285  | 0.466852 | 0.399010 | -0.205643  | 0.233426        |
| 1L by 2L    | -0.022500  | 0.276184 | 0.936678 | -0.011250  | 0.138092        |
| 1L by 3L    | -0.124033  | 0.370334 | 0.744604 | -0.062016  | 0.185167        |
| 2L by 3L    | 0.077102   | 0.370334 | 0.839256 | 0.038551   | 0.185167        |
and quadratic R adjusted of 0.83, indicated that the feed rate “v” and the cutting depth “ap” and the interaction between these two parameters are the most significant independent variables in the variation of the feed rate cutting force “Fv”. For the cutting force (Table 6), a quadratic R of 0.74 was obtained and the quadratic R adjusted of 0.65 indicated that the feed rate f and the cutting depth “ap” are the most significant independent variables in the cutting force variation “Fc”. For the penetration cutting force (Table 7), a quadratic R of 0.88 was obtained and the quadratic R adjusted of 0.84 indicated that the feed rate and the cutting depth “ap” are the most significant independent variables in the variation of the penetration cutting force Fp.

Due to the small depths of cut and nose radius during turning of hardened steels, high cutting speeds result in high temperatures at the metal-tool interface, softening the material and consequently resulting in reduced machining forces. On the other hand, low cutting speeds lead to low temperatures at the metal-tool interface and high forces are induced18.

Hard turning researches has shown that passive force is the largest of the three shear forces. This is mainly due

| Factor   | Effect | Std.Err. | p    | Coeff. | Std.Err. | Coeff. |
|----------|--------|----------|------|--------|----------|--------|
| Mean/Interc. | 40,4795 | 14,28566  | 0,000000 | 40,47954 | 2,833578 |
| (1)v (L)   | 0,9181  | 0,21863  | 0,831337 | 0,45904  | 2,099651 |
| v (Q)       | -3,7714 | -1,01418 | 0,334407 | -1,88568 | 1,859319 |
| (2)f (L)   | 19,2750 | 4,59005  | 0,009995 | 9,63751  | 2,099651 |
| f (Q)       | 7,7439  | 2,08245  | 0,063934 | 3,87194  | 1,859319 |
| (3)ap (L)  | 36,3978 | 5,98410  | 0,00135  | 18,19892 | 3,041211 |
| ap (Q)      | -10,2659 | -1,21173 | 0,253470 | -5,13294 | 4,236042 |
| 1L by 2L   | -1,9175 | -0,38258 | 0,710035 | 1,77836  | 3,360275 |
| 1L by 3L   | 3,5567  | 0,52923  | 0,608192 | 1,77836  | 3,360275 |
| 2L by 3L   | 16,3221 | 2,42868  | 0,035530 | 8,16103  | 3,360275 |

| Factor   | Effect | Std.Err. | p    | Coeff. | Std.Err. | Coeff. |
|----------|--------|----------|------|--------|----------|--------|
| Mean/Interc. | 66,2960 | 4,37573  | 0,000000 | 66,2960  | 4,375729 |
| (1)v (L)   | 6,4735  | 6,48474  | 0,341690 | 3,2368   | 3,242368 |
| v (Q)       | -2,6077 | 5,74247  | 0,007758 | -1,3038  | 2,871237 |
| (2)f (L)   | 21,5241 | 6,48474  | 0,007758 | 10,7621  | 3,242368 |
| f (Q)       | 8,4515  | 5,74247  | 0,171846 | 4,2257   | 2,871237 |
| (3)ap (L)  | 31,8339 | 9,39273  | 0,006895 | 15,9170  | 4,696364 |
| ap (Q)      | -20,4749 | 13,08294 | 0,148649 | -10,2374 | 6,541472 |
| 1L by 2L   | -5,3325 | 7,73971  | 0,506507 | -2,6663  | 3,869856 |
| 1L by 3L   | 12,8693 | 10,37815 | 0,243272 | 6,4346   | 5,189076 |
| 2L by 3L   | 9,5371  | 10,37815 | 0,379748 | 4,7686   | 5,189076 |

| Factor   | Effect | Std.Err. | p    | Coeff. | Std.Err. | Coeff. |
|----------|--------|----------|------|--------|----------|--------|
| Mean/Interc. | 12,45964 | 0,697906 | 0,000000 | 12,45964 | 0,697906 |
| (1)v (L)   | 2,01471 | 1,034282 | 0,080022 | 1,00736  | 0,517141 |
| v (Q)       | -0,80854 | 0,915895 | 0,398079 | -0,40427 | 0,457948 |
| (2)f (L)   | 3,46564 | 1,034282 | 0,007356 | 1,73282  | 0,517141 |
| f (Q)       | 0,61275  | 0,915895 | 0,518631 | 0,30637  | 0,457948 |
| (3)ap (L)  | 13,64165 | 1,498092 | 0,000004 | 6,82082  | 0,749046 |
| ap (Q)      | 0,31713  | 2,086663 | 0,882226 | 0,15857  | 1,043331 |
| 1L by 2L   | -0,73250 | 1,234445 | 0,566102 | -0,36625 | 0,617222 |
| 1L by 3L   | 1,95436  | 1,655262 | 0,265046 | 0,97718  | 0,827631 |
| 2L by 3L   | 3,67741  | 1,655262 | 0,050553 | 1,83870  | 0,827631 |
to the negative geometry of the cutting tools normally used in these machining operations\(^{18}\).

### 3.3 Residual stresses

The use of the blind-hole method allowed the profile of residual axial and circumferential stresses to be surveyed in relation to the depth of the specimens. The residual circumferential tensions of the test specimens were statistically studied, since the longitudinal residual stresses presented the same behavior when compared to the circumferential stresses. The maximum residual stresses found can be observed in Table 3.

Figure 1 shows a typical graph of residual stress versus depth of test body 1, obtained through the blind-hole method, and exemplifies the general behavior pattern of the analyzed specimens. A compressive residual stress is noticed, progressing to a peak and then stabilizing as the depth approaches the core of the component. This behavior is widely observed in several studies concerning the turning of hardened materials and indicates one of the qualities of the incorporation of this manufacturing process, since the surface and the subsurface of components within the compressive regime of residual tension present a better life performance to fatigue and minimize the formation and propagation of\(^{3}\).

The analysis of variance (ANOVA), with 95% confidence, R squared of 0.77 and squared R of 0.57 (Table 8), indicates that the cutting depth and the cutting speed \(v_c\) are the most significant independent variables in the variation of the residual circumferential stress.

The Figure 2 indicates values of circumferential residual stress in the interaction of cutting speed and cutting depth.

Observing Figure 2 it can be seen that the model predicts that at maximum values of cutting depth \(a_p\) and cutting speed \(v_c\) the residual stress is tensile. These machining conditions allow high heat generation at the metal-tool interface and higher temperatures can be observed, thus allowing a possible phase transformation in the surface region of the part. The formation of the martensite retempered on the surface of the piece resulting from this phase transformation is one of the hypotheses that explain the formation of the white layer, corroborated by the findings of the respective residual tensile stresses. In the intermediate conditions, the model indicates compressive residual stresses and that the mechanical phenomenon prevails over the thermal, thus generating a great deformation of the grains and imputing a severe plastic deformation to the structure\(^{(17)}\).

### 3.4 White layer

The presence of the white layer (WL) in the samples can be observed in Table 3.

The Figure 3 shows the metallography of specimen 3 and the presence of the white layer. The metallography presents a structure of annealed martensite resulting from the heat treatment process applied to all the specimens and the machined surface shows the presence of the white layer, generated by the thermo-mechanical effect resulting from the machining operation. The test of variance (ANOVA), with 95% confidence, quadratic R of 0.70 and quadratic adjusted R of 0.55 (Table 9), indicates that the feed rate \(f\) is the most significant parameter in the variation of the white layer.

The formation of the white layer is dependent on the machining parameters and its formation attributed to the rapid heating and cooling caused after the machining, thus causing the phase transformation on the surface of

---

**Table 8.** ANOVA for the effect of the independent variables on circumferential residual stress.

| Factor     | Effect | Std.Err. | \(p\)  | Coeff. | Std.Err. Coeff. |
|------------|--------|----------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Mean/Interc.| -791,592 | 53,0954 | 0,000000 | -791,592 | 53,09538 |
| \(1)v\) (L) | -65,511  | 78,6862 | 0,424527 | -32,756  | 39,34311 |
| \(v\) (Q) | 239,535  | 69,6796 | 0,006356 | 119,767  | 34,83978 |
| \(2)f\) (L) | -125,902 | 78,6862 | 0,140669 | -62,951  | 34,83978 |
| \(f\) (Q) | 36,595   | 69,6796 | 0,610896 | 18,298   | 34,83978 |
| \(3)a_p\) (L) | 369,293  | 113,9720 | 0,008866 | 184,647  | 56,98598 |
| \(a_p\) (Q) | 422,519  | 158,7493 | 0,023836 | 211,259  | 79,37464 |
| \(1L\) by \(2L\) | -193,000 | 93,9142 | 0,066938 | -96,500  | 46,95708 |
| \(1L\) by \(3L\) | -170,964 | 125,9292 | 0,204428 | -85,482  | 62,96458 |
| \(2L\) by \(3L\) | -204,487 | 125,9292 | 0,135477 | -102,243 | 62,96458 |
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The white layer can be generated at surface temperatures of the specimen less than the austenitization temperature, a phenomenon related to the high plastic deformation imputed to the material in the machining.

The white layer tends to be smaller or nonexistent in the areas of lesser feed rate and cutting speed and the greater thicknesses of the white layer are formed under the conditions of greater feed rate and higher cutting speed. In these situations, of maximum feed rate and maximum cutting speeds, the temperature reached combined with a high plastic deformation, generates thermomechanical conditions for the appearance of a white layer thickness of greater depth. In the case of machining a thermo-mechanical process, involving an interdependence between temperature, plastic deformation and shear, it is prudent to say that the three variables, cutting speed, feed rate and shear depth, influence the formation of the white layer.

Several studies show that the turning process of hardened materials, for certain parameters, does not generate a white layer in the final product or white layers of smaller magnitudes in depth when compared to the rectification process. When generated is usually detrimental to the mechanical performance of the component, since it has different structure and behavior from that of the core, thus behaving differently when subjected to mechanical stresses, being able to have a shorter fatigue life and favor the appearance of cracks in the surface and subsurface. It is recommended a super-finishing operation to eliminate this affected surface.

3.5 Surface integrity optimization

The complex nature of the concept of surface integrity is a known fact, since it involves several interdependent, measurable, or qualitative mechanical, metallurgical, and chemical parameters. The optimization of all responses aiming at a global objective, the surface integrity, which is dependent on the functionality and desired performance of the part and/or the process, can be an indicator of this parameter.

The search for the ideal functionality of the part-process, within this work, sought to obtain the following

| Factor     | Effect | Std.Err. | p       | Coeff. | Std.Err. Coeff. |
|------------|--------|----------|---------|--------|-----------------|
| Mean/Interc. | 2.08139 | 0.447832 | 0.000911 | 2.081388 | 0.447832        |
| (1)v (L)    | -0.77385 | 0.663678 | 0.270670 | -0.386925 | 0.331839        |
| v (Q)        | -0.43221 | 0.587711 | 0.478985 | -0.216103 | 0.293856        |
| (2)f (L)    | 2.00522  | 0.663678 | 0.012865 | 1.002612  | 0.331839        |
| f (Q)        | 1.19650  | 0.587711 | 0.069125 | 0.598248  | 0.293856        |
| (3)ap (L)   | 0.22800  | 0.961295 | 0.817303 | 0.114002  | 0.480648        |
| ap (Q)       | -1.16251 | 1.338969 | 0.405631 | -0.581256 | 0.669485        |
| 1L by 2L    | -1.02833 | 0.792118 | 0.223358 | -0.514167 | 0.396059        |
| 1L by 3L    | 0.06258  | 1.062148 | 0.954181 | 0.031288  | 0.531074        |
| 2L by 3L    | -0.13409 | 1.062148 | 0.902041 | -0.067045 | 0.531074        |
characteristics: A) low roughness in the range obtained by the grinding process; B) minimum cutting forces; C) maximum compressive residual stresses for a better fatigue life of the machined component; (D) the white layer free surface, since structural homogeneity must be guaranteed. It is added to the fact that possibly this layer, result of the retempered material in the surface and subsurface of the piece, can present / display micro-cracks compromising its life to the fatigue.

The search of the parameters of the machining process, cutting speed \( v_c \), feed rate \( f \) and cutting depth \( a_p \) that simultaneously meet the functionality requirements described, represent the indicators of surface integrity associated to the desired objectives. However, it must be recognized that industrial processes need to be dimensioned to reduce the working times and costs involved, which would lead to the choice of more aggressive variables. It would then be necessary to verify the impact of this choice on the dependent variables to evaluate the new surface integrity condition.

It is observed that due to the complexity of the subject it is necessary the aid of computational tools to reach these objectives. The optimization tools represent a good resource for analyzing surface integrity idealized previously. In this work we used the Statistica software that provides the optimization Desirability algorithm.

The results indicated the following optimized cutting parameters: cutting speed \( v_c \): 120 m/min, feed rate \( f \): 0.05 mm/rev and cutting depth \( a_p \): 0.05 mm. The obtained objectives were: Ra roughness of 0.46 \( \mu m \), compressive circumferential residual stress \( "TR" \): -603 MPa, white layer thickness \( "WL" \): 0.65 \( \mu m \) and machining force \( "Fu" \): 36.5 N.

4. Conclusions

The surface integrity of mechanical components made from cemented, quenched and tempered AISI 4317 steel subjected to turning can be classified from surface quality studies such as roughness, residual stress generated after the process and possible surface and subsurface changes brought about by machining using metallographic analysis of the studied components. The main conclusions obtained from this work are presented below.

- The roughness Ra obtained through the experiment ranged from 0.31 to 2.80 \( \mu m \).
- The roughness Ra is basically dependent on the feed rate \( f \), having low sensitivity to the parameters cutting speed \( v_c \) and cutting depth \( a_p \).
- The penetration cutting force \( F_p \) showed the highest values observed among the other components, with a maximum value of 94.6 N, obtained in machining condition 8 \( (v_c = 210 \text{ m/min}, f = 0.15 \text{ mm/rev} \text{ and } a_p = 0.20 \text{ mm}) \). The penetration force \( F_p \) is strongly dependent on the feed rate \( f \) and cutting depth \( a_p \).
- It was observed in these experiments that the penetration cutting force was the one that presented the highest value among the components of the cutting forces.
- The residual stresses verified from the “blind-hole method” presented the characteristic shape according to the literature and the maximum compressive values ranged from -304 to -901 MPa in magnitude and 0.05 to 0.15 mm in depth.
- The significant factors in the change of circumferential residual stress were the cutting depth \( a_p \) and the cutting speed \( v_c \), and the model indicates a change from residual compressive to tensile stress when these combined or isolated parameters are maximum for the conditions performed.
- There was formation of the white layer in most of the bodies of tests analyzed, of thickness ranging from 1.1 to 5.1 \( \mu m \).
- The most significant parameter in the formation of the white layer was the feed rate \( f \), and the greatest depths are generated when the feed rate is too high, combined or isolated, indicating that possibly the higher temperatures generated during machining are reached under these conditions. Combining the thermal effect at high plastic deformation resulting in phase transformation of the surface material.
- A optimized condition, with less cutting forces, lower roughness \( R_s \), maximum compressive circumferential residual stress and less white layer thickness, can be achieved using the cutting parameters \( v_c = 120 \text{ m/min}, f = 0.05 \text{ mm/rev} \text{ and } a_p = 0.05 \text{ mm} \). The result is the generation of a Ra surface roughness surface = 0.46 \( \mu m \), a circumferential residual stress = -603 MPa and a white layer thickness = 0.65 \( \mu m \) requiring a cutting force \( "Fu" \) = 36.5 N.
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