Prevalence and associated risk factors of bovine clinical mastitis in Patiya upazila under Chittagong district of Bangladesh
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ABSTRACT

A cross sectional study was conducted to measure the prevalence; and identification of risk factors associated with clinical mastitis in dairy cows at Patiya upazila under Chittagong district of Bangladesh from 20th July to 31st December, 2013. A total of 160 farms and households were selected and 634 cows were clinically examined for determining the prevalence of mastitis and identification of risk factors. The overall prevalence of clinical mastitis was found 8.36%. Mastitis prevalence in crossbred cows was significantly (P<0.05) higher (10.09%) than indigenous cows (4.26%). The prevalence of mastitis was also significantly higher (P<0.05) with advancing age (12.5%) and in 3rd lactation period (13.62%). The cows were more prone to mastitis during 1st month of lactation (17.53%). There was a significant relationship (P<0.05) between prevalence of clinical mastitis and associated risk factors like general physical condition, herd size, frequency of dung removal, floor drainage quality and condition of floor. There was higher (P<0.01) prevalence (33.67%) of mastitis in cows having history of periparturient disease compared to those not having such history. Therefore, it can be concluded that minimization of risk factors of mastitis is very much necessary to control mastitis as well as to increase profitability of dairy farms.
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INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is a densely populated agro-based developing country where livestock plays a crucial role in the national economy. About 6.5% of the total Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is contributed by livestock [1]. Now a day’s it has become an efficient tool for poverty reduction, income generation, creation of employment of youths and women; and food security of vast majority people.

Bangladesh has 24 million cattle, out of which 6 million are dairy cattle of local and crossbreds [2]. The majority of the dairy cattle belong to smallholder dairy producers. The estimated numbers of dairy farms in Bangladesh is 1.4 million [3]. Milk is the major source of income from dairying. Annual milk production in Bangladesh is 1.62 million metric tons and about 64% milk comes from cattle [4]. However, Bangladesh has an acute shortage of milk. The produced milk can fulfill only 13.6% of the total requirement in Bangladesh. Dairying in Bangladesh is growing faster, but it also faces lots of problem including high input cost and low output prices. Disease, along with non-availability of feed resources and nutrition are the most important constraints to milk production.

Mastitis is a disease of the mammary gland caused by bacterial infection and the most common and costly health disorder of dairy cows [5]. It has a negative economic impact on dairy farms in terms of abnormal milk, reduced production, deterioration of milk quality and treatment costs [6]. It is responsible for huge loss to the dairy industry and the annual economic losses due to mastitis have estimated to be Tk. 122.6 (US $2.11) million [7].

Epidemiological study revealed that infectious agents of mastitis may be transmitted from infected animals to another animals by milker’s hand [8, 9]. It is the outcome of the interaction of various factors associated with the host, pathogens and the environment, accounting for 38% of all morbidity [10]. All breeds of dairy cows are susceptible to mastitis. Exotic and crossbred cows are more prone to mastitis than the zebu cows [11]. Prevalence of infection increases in multiparous cows, within 2-3 months of lactation, abnormally large udder, unhygienic environment, means of milking, unclean milker’s hand,udder wound and mismanagement of milking machine [12]. Prevalence of clinical mastitis in Bangladesh is about 13.3% [13]. Mastitis remains the most costly infectious disease in the dairy industry and is the most frequent cause of antibiotic use in dairy farms [14]. As far we are aware, a few literatures are available regarding the prevalence of bovine mastitis in Chittagong region. And the study regarding associated risk factors of bovine clinical mastitis in Chittagong district of Bangladesh is still untouched.

Considering all the above facts, the present study was undertaken to determine the prevalence of mastitis and identify the association of different risk factors with bovine clinical mastitis in a selected upazila; Patiya in Chittagong district.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area
The field investigations were carried out at different dairy farms in the Patiya Upazila under Chittagong district. The study area is found at 22°18′ N longitude and 91°98′ E latitude with temperature ranges 13.5°C to 32.5°C.

Duration of Study
The study on prevalence and associated risk factors of bovine clinical mastitis was conducted from 20th July 2013 to 31st December 2013 in the study area.

Study Population
Farms and households in the aforementioned upazila of Chittagong district were the sources of population for conducting the study. The study area comprised about 296 registered dairy farms and 55 non-registered dairy farms under Upazila Livestock office in Patiya. The estimated cows in the study area were 14750 crossbreds and 20575 zebu cows. Total 160 dairy farms and households were selected randomly. The total experimental population was 634.

Sampling Methods
All 20 Union Councils of Patiya Upazila comprising 128 villages constituted the universe of the study population. 4 villages from each union council (Total 20) were selected randomly for collection of epidemiological data. Finally 2 dairy farms or households or one dairy farm and one household were selected from each village as study unit. Total 160 farms and households were selected. The sample size, thus determined was 634 cows from the study population.

Study Design
Prevalence of clinical mastitis was determined cross sectionally in Patiya Upazila based on clinical examination, palpation, observation of milk secretion etc. Prevalence was calculated according to the formula given by \[ \text{Prevalence} = \frac{\text{No. of animals with the disease}}{\text{No. of animals at risk}} \times 100 \]

Data collection
A structured questionnaire was developed, selected farm owners or farmers were asked and the pre-set questionnaire was filled. Each questionnaire asking time was about 10-15 minutes. The questionnaire contained information regarding age, breed, health, stage of lactation and management status. Answer to open ended questions were collected and recorded.

Diagnostic Procedure
Diagnosis of clinical mastitis was performed on the basis of clinical signs showed by the animals. The signs include abnormality in milk such as flakes and clots in the milk, slight to moderate swelling of infected quarter, hot and painful udder, fever, rapid pulse, loss of appetite, dehydration and depression.

Data Analysis
All collected data were entered into Microsoft Excel spreadsheet 2007. The prevalence of clinical mastitis was the dependent variable while a total number of animal examined, herd size, age, breed, general physical condition, lactation number, lactation stage

---

Table 1: Distribution and association of clinical mastitis with different variables

| Variables          | Categories      | Number of Cows Examined | Number of Affected Cows | Mastitis Prevalence % | \( \chi^2 \) Value | P Value |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Breed              | Indigenous      | 188                      | 8                       | 4.26                  | 5.88              | 0.01    |
|                    | Crossbred       | 446                      | 45                      | 10.09                 |                   |         |
|                    | Total           | 634                      | 53                      | 8.36                  |                   |         |
| Age Groups (Years) | 3.5 to 5        | 190                      | 9                       | 4.74                  | 5.17              | 0.04    |
|                    | 5 to 6.5        | 242                      | 24                      | 9.92                  |                   |         |
|                    | 6.5 to 8        | 162                      | 15                      | 9.26                  |                   |         |
|                    | 8 or above      | 40                       | 5                       | 12.50                 |                   |         |
|                    | 1st Lactation   | 85                       | 4                       | 4.71                  |                   |         |
|                    | 2nd Lactation   | 183                      | 9                       | 4.92                  |                   |         |
|                    | 3rd Lactation   | 235                      | 32                      | 13.62                 | 14.17             | 0.01    |
|                    | 4th Lactation   | 98                       | 5                       | 5.10                  |                   |         |
|                    | 5th Lactation   | 33                       | 3                       | 9.09                  |                   |         |
|                    | 1st Month       | 97                       | 17                      | 17.53                 |                   |         |
|                    | 2nd Month       | 103                      | 9                       | 8.74                  |                   |         |
|                    | 3rd Month       | 127                      | 7                       | 5.51                  | 13.73             | 0.02    |
|                    | 4th Month       | 119                      | 9                       | 7.56                  |                   |         |
|                    | 5th Month       | 112                      | 6                       | 5.36                  |                   |         |
|                    | ≥ 6th Month     | 76                       | 5                       | 6.58                  |                   |         |
|                    | 1               | 33                       | 33                      | 62.26                 |                   |         |
|                    | 2               | 13                       | 24.53                   | 58.44                 | 0.00              |         |
|                    | 3               | 4                        | 7.55                    |                       |                   |         |
|                    | 4               | 3                        | 5.66                    |                       |                   |         |

N = 634
and quarter involvement were independent variables considered at cow level. The independent variables at herd level include barn floor status and hygienic strategy. The association between dependent and independent variables were tested by χ² test using EPI-INFO version 3.5.4 software. P-value < 0.05 and P-value < 0.01 were considered as significant and highly significant, respectively.

**RESULTS**

**Prevalence of mastitis**

**Breed**
The overall (Indigenous plus crossbreds) prevalence of mastitis was 8.36%. The prevalence of mastitis was found significantly higher (P < 0.01) in crossbreds (10.09%) than in indigenous cows (4.26%). These findings are documented in Table 1.

**Age**
In case of cows the prevalence of mastitis increased with the advancing age as shown in (Table1). The rate of increasing prevalence was significant (P < 0.05).

**Lactation number**
The investigation (Table1) shows different prevalence of mastitis in different lactation of cows. The prevalence was significantly higher (P < 0.01) in 3rd lactation than others (Table 1).

**Stage of lactation**
The prevalence was higher during first month of lactation (17.53%) and gradually decreased onward at 2nd and 3rd months of lactation (8.74% and 5.51%). In the subsequent months the prevalence showed considerable variation. In the 5th month of lactation the prevalence was lower (5.36%) than the other months (Table 1).

**Quarter of udder**

There was highly significant (P < 0.01) variation in number of quarter affected. Among the affected animals most of the cases (62.26%) one quarter and least of the cases (5.66%) four quarters were affected with mastitis (Table 1).

**Risk factors associated with mastitis in cows**

**General physical condition**
The findings of the study revealed a highly significant association (P < 0.01) between the general physical condition and mastitis prevalence in cows. The prevalence of clinical mastitis was 15.22% and 4.46% in poor and good physical condition, respectively (Table 2).

**Herd size**
The majority of the dairy farms had 1-5 lactating cows. The higher the herd sizes the prevalence of clinical mastitis was lower (Table 2).

**Frequency of dung removal**
The present study revealed that there was no significant association with cows between the frequency of dung removal and the prevalence of mastitis. The prevalence rate was 9.09% in cows when the dung removal was done once/day. When cleaning of sheds was practiced twice a day, 7.08% mastitis was observed (Table 2).

**Floor drainage**

There was highly significant (P < 0.01) variation in number of quarter affected. Among the affected animals most of the cases (62.26%) one quarter and least of the cases (5.66%) four quarters were affected with mastitis (Table 1).
The present findings documented a significant (P < 0.05) association between the drainage quality and mastitis status in cows. There was a higher prevalence of mastitis in cows (12.07%) that were managed under poor floor drainage quality than those managed with proper drainage (2.83%) as shown in Table 2.

**Condition of Floor**

The current study focused that the prevalence of mastitis in the farms that have bare floor made of clay (10.10%) is significantly higher (P < 0.05) than that of concrete or brick-block floor (5.46%) as shown in Table 2.

**Reproductive diseases**

Table 2 stated that the prevalence of mastitis in cows with peri-parturient disease (33.67%) was highly significant (P < 0.01) than the cows without peri-parturient disease (3.73%).

**DISCUSSION**

The overall (Indigenous plus crossbred) prevalence of mastitis was 8.36%. As reported by [17], the overall prevalence of mastitis is 19.9% and 44.8% respectively in dry and wet season in Bangladesh. They also documented that the prevalence of mild mastitis is 17.3% and 40.7% and moderate mastitis is 2.6% and 4.1% in dry and wet seasons, respectively. Higher prevalence of mastitis in crossbred cattle that revealed in current study is also supported by other scientists [11]. It might be due to the larger udder size and genetic conformation that leads to susceptibility to various pathogens. One of the findings of the current study was that the prevalence of mastitis increased with the advancing age which has an agreement with the statements of other investigators [18, 19]. The increase in prevalence rates with the advancing age may be due to gradual suppression of the physiological condition of the body. This study revealed more prevalence of mastitis in 3rd lactation but other workers [20] reported higher prevalence in 5th lactation and onwards. The high prevalence rate during the first month of lactation is the indication of infection probably prior to freshness. The prevalence of mastitis was almost similar to that reported by Rehman et al and; Pal and Verma [21, 22] also reported lower prevalence of mastitis in stage of lactation above 5 month. The number of quarter affection was also studied in this investigation. Most of the cases one quarter was affected by mastitis. Number of quarter affection usually depends on defense mechanism of udder including lysozyme, lactoferrin, immunoglobulins and leukocytes [16].

The present study focused that the prevalence of mastitis was higher in the cows that had a poor health condition which is agree with the findings of Rehman et al [21] who concluded that poor health management may be responsible for the higher prevalence of mastitis in small herds. It was documented in current study that the higher the herd size the prevalence of clinical mastitis is lower. The finding agreed with Rehman et al [21]. It may be due to poor hygiene practice and disease control program in small herds [23]. The current study stated that there is no effect of frequency of dung removal on mastitis occurrence in cows. Similar results have been documented by others [24]. There was a higher prevalence of mastitis in cows that were managed under poor floor drainage quality than those managed with proper drainage [25]. It might be due to the stagnant urine and water in shed act as a potential source of the pathogen for mastitis. The prevalence of mastitis was higher in the cows that are reared on bared floor. This outcome agreed with investigators [26] who reported that the prevalence of clinical mastitis is lower among cows kept in cubicles and kennels than in cows kept in straw yard. Another finding of current study is that the prevalence of mastitis in cows with the history of peri-parturient disease was higher than that do not having such history. It might be due to the lower immunity level due to peri-parturient diseases that make the cows more prone to infection in the udder. The similar result was found by others [27].

**CONCLUSION**

Mastitis is considered as the most costly disease of dairy industries throughout the world. It is the outcome of interaction of various factors associated with the host, pathogens and the environment. Although the overall prevalence of clinical mastitis in cows was relatively lower in the study area but it can be said that mastitis is still a disease that would threaten the growing dairy industry. The bovine clinical mastitis prevalence was found significantly higher in crossbred cows, advancing age, 3rd lactation and in 1st month of lactation period. Some factors have significant effect on prevalence of mastitis such as physical condition of cow, floor drainage quality, floor type and having history of peri-parturient disease. Proper management practices should be maintained to reduce the prevalence as well as to reduce economic losses of dairy farming.
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