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A B S T R A C T

The present investigation was carried out with 22 genotypes during zaid 2019 at Experimentation Centre, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Science, Prayagraj. The genotypes were planted in Randomized Block Design with three replications with an aim to determine genetic variability, correlation, direct and indirect relationship between yield and its component characters. Mean squares due to genotypes for all the characters were highly significant as revealed from ANOVA indicating substantial amount of genetic variability among the genotypes under study. Mean performance results have shown that genotypes KU-719, KU88-9-1, Shekhar-1 followed by Azad-1 showed better performance for seed yield. Moderate to high GCV, PCV and heritability is coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean were being observed for plant height, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per plant, harvest index, seed index and seed yield per plant. Seed yield is significantly and positively correlated with number of clusters per plant, number of pods per plant and harvest index at phenotypic level. Path coefficient analysis revealed that maximum positive direct effect on seed yield per plant was exhibited by number of pods per plant followed by harvest index. Therefore simultaneous selection for pods per plant, harvest index and number of clusters per plant is suggested for improvement of grain yield per plant in blackgram.
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Introduction
Blackgram (Vigna mungo L. Hepper) is commonly known as urd, mesh or kalidaal. India is the primary center of origin of Blackgram and Central Asia is the secondary center of origin. It is one of the most important legumes of India which belongs to family fabaceae or leguminocoeae, sub-order paplionaceae and the tribe phaseolae with chromosome number (2n=2x=22). It is annual crop, erect or sub-erect plant having trilobate with paplionaceous flowers. Blackgram is one of the most important pulse crops of India cultivated over a wide range of agro-climatic situations. The major blackgram growing states of the country are Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka and Rajasthan. Being short duration and photo, thermo-insensitive,
blackgram is considered as excellent for crop intensification and diversification. Development of short duration, photo, thermo-insensitive and disease resistant varieties has led to its cultivation as a sole or intercrop during spring season in North India and as a sole relay crop in *rabi* season in the rice fallows of the coastal peninsula.

India is world’s largest producer as well as consumer of Blackgram. It produces about 3.06 million tonnes of Blackgram annually from about 4.49 million hectares of area with an average productivity of 681.51 kg per hectare (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare 2018-19). Blackgram is a rich source of quality protein (24%) to the predominantly vegetarian population of the Indian subcontinent. Besides proteins, it is also rich in carbohydrates (59.69-63%), dietary fibers (16%), minerals (3.2%) and fats (1.4-1.6%). It is also a rich source of calcium (154mg/100gm), phosphorus (385mg/100gm) and iron (9.1mg/100gm). It is also the richest source of phosphoric acid among pulses (5-6% richer than others). The calorific value of blackgram is 347kcal/100gm and its moisture percentage is 10-11%.

The per capita availability of pulses has declined from 60.7gm/day in 1951 to 35.5gm/day in 2007 as against the FAO/WHO’s recommendation of 80gm/day (Economic Survey, 2008-09). In this regard the production potential of blackgram crop can be enhanced by developing high yielding genotypes through planned hybridization programmes.

Seed yield being a complex character is influenced by several genetic factors interacting with environment. A clear understanding of the association of plant characters with yield is necessary for successful crop improvement programme. Correlation coefficient reveals magnitude and direction of association of yield components. Correlation coefficient analysis measures the mutual relationships between various plant character pairs and determines the component characters on which selection can be based for improvement in yield. Character association helps in formulating an effective breeding strategy to develop productive genotypes.

**Materials and Methods**

The germplasm collection of 22 varieties/strains of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper) constituted the experimental material for the study. These genotypes exhibiting wide spectrum of variability for various agronomic and morphological characters were obtained from the pulse section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, 211007. The present experiment was carried out in Zaid 2019 in Randomised Block Design. The treatments were being replicated three times. The net area was around 111m² with a plot size of 1*1 m² the row to row spacing 30 cm and plant to plant distance 10cm. Soil in this region is sandy loam and alkaline in nature. The technique of random sampling was adopted for the observation of the 12 quantitative characters namely days to 50 percent flowering, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod, days to maturity, biological yield per plant, 100 seed weight, harvest index and seed yield per plant. Recommended practices were applied to raise a healthy crop. Metric data on 12 quantitative characters were taken at different stages of growth.

The experimental data thus recorded on these characters were subjected to statistical and biometrical analysis for Analysis of variance.
different genetic parameters Coefficient of variation (GCV, PCV) (Burton, 1952), estimation of heritability (Burton and De Vane, 1953), genetic advance (Johnson, et al., 1995), correlation coefficient analysis (Al-Jibouri, et al., 1958) and path analysis (Dewey and Lu, 1959).

Correlation coefficient estimates degree of association of different component characters of yield among themselves and with the yield. The correlation studies between various yields attribute with yield provides a basis for further breeding programme. Path coefficient analysis measures the direct effect of variable upon another and permits the separation of the correlation coefficient into components of direct and indirect effects. Information on the variability and correlation studies among the economic characters of the crop is of great value to plant breeders. It will not only, help to understand the desirable and undesirable relationship of economic characters but also help in assessing the scope of simultaneous improvement of two or more attributes.

**Results and Discussion**

**Genetic variability**

High genotypic coefficient of variation was observed in harvest index (40.44), number of pods per plant (29.09) and seed yield per plant (25.76). Moderate genotypic coefficient of variation was observed in seed index (17.67), number of clusters per plant (16.00), plant height (14.45) and biological yield (12.13). Low genotypic coefficient of variation was observed in number of seeds per pod (4.75), pod length (4.65), days to 50% flowering (4.19), number of primary branches per plant (4.11) and days to maturity (1.39). High phenotypic coefficient of variation was observed in harvest index (53.03), number of pods per plant (40.03), seed yield per plant (38.11), biological yield (35.83) and number of clusters per plant (25.92). Moderate phenotypic coefficient of variation was observed in plant height (19.62) and seed index (17.77) (Table 1 and 2).

**Table 1** Analysis of variance for 12 characters in blackgram

| S.No. | Characters                          | Replication | Treatment | Error |
|-------|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| 1.    | Days to 50% flowering              | 13.68       | 11.76**   | 3.95  |
| 2.    | Days to maturity                    | 1.22        | 3.12**    | 0.25  |
| 3.    | Plant height (cm)                   | 382.17      | 91.56**   | 20.07 |
| 4.    | Number of primary branches per plant| 0.0018      | 0.0097**  | 0.0043|
| 5.    | Number of clusters per plant        | 3.53        | 5.24**    | 1.84  |
| 6.    | Number of pods per plant            | 274.63      | 123.69**  | 28.37 |
| 7.    | Pod length (cm)                     | 0.01        | 0.13**    | 0.02  |
| 8.    | Number of seeds per pod             | 0.03        | 0.45**    | 0.19  |
| 9.    | Biological yield (g)                | 4384.24     | 1198.03** | 862.83|
| 10.   | Harvest index (%)                   | 152.68      | 306.09**  | 59.22 |
| 11.   | Seed index (g)                      | 0.0013      | 1.51**    | 0.0053|
| 12.   | Seed yield per plant (g)            | 280.01      | 85.58**   | 24.28 |

*5% level of significance, **1% level of significance
[ ] degree of freedom
### Table 2 Genetic parameters for 12 characters in blackgram

| S.No. | Character                          | Genotypic Variance ($V_g$) | Phenotypic Variance ($V_p$) | Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (%) | Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (%) | Heritability ($h^2$) (%) (broad sense) | Genetic advance (5% LOS) | Genetic advance as per cent of mean |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1.    | Days to 50% flowering             | 2.60                        | 6.55                         | 4.19                                   | 6.65                                   | 39.7                                   | 2.09                     | 5.44                             |
| 2.    | Days to maturity                  | 0.95                        | 1.12                         | 1.39                                   | 1.57                                   | 78.6                                   | 1.78                     | 2.55                             |
| 3.    | Plant height                       | 23.83                       | 43.90                        | 14.45                                  | 19.62                                  | 54.3                                   | 7.40                     | 21.94                            |
| 4.    | Primary branches per plant        | 0.002                       | 0.006                        | 4.11                                   | 7.63                                   | 29.1                                   | 0.04                     | 4.57                             |
| 5.    | Clusters per plant                | 1.13                        | 2.97                         | 16.00                                  | 25.92                                  | 38.1                                   | 1.35                     | 20.35                            |
| 6.    | Pods per plant                    | 31.77                       | 60.14                        | 29.09                                  | 40.03                                  | 52.8                                   | 8.43                     | 43.56                            |
| 7.    | Pod length                         | 0.03                        | 0.06                         | 4.65                                   | 5.98                                   | 60.5                                   | 0.30                     | 7.46                             |
| 8.    | Seeds per pod                      | 0.08                        | 0.28                         | 4.75                                   | 8.63                                   | 30.3                                   | 0.33                     | 5.38                             |
| 9.    | Biological yield                  | 111.73                      | 974.56                       | 12.13                                  | 35.83                                  | 11.5                                   | 7.37                     | 8.46                             |
| 10.   | Harvest index                      | 82.28                       | 141.51                       | 40.44                                  | 53.03                                  | 58.1                                   | 14.25                    | 63.53                            |
| 11.   | Seed index                         | 0.50                        | 0.50                         | 17.67                                  | 17.77                                  | 99.0                                   | 1.45                     | 36.22                            |
| 12.   | Seed yield per plant               | 20.43                       | 44.71                        | 25.76                                  | 38.11                                  | 45.7                                   | 6.29                     | 35.87                            |
### Table 3: Correlation coefficient between yield and its component characters in blackgram at phenotypic level

| S.No | Characters                  | Days to Maturity | Plant Height | Primary Branches/Plant | Clusters/Plant | Pods/Plant | Pod length | Seeds/Pod | Biological Yield | Harvest Index | Seed Index | Seed Yield/Plant |
|------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|
| 1    | Days to 50% Flowering       | 0.232            | 0.127        | 0.165                  | 0.0045         | -0.576**   | -0.076     | 0.085     | -0.118           | -0.189        | 0.026      | -0.453**          |
| 2    | Days to maturity            | 1.000            | 0.526**      | 0.066                  | 0.035          | -0.309*    | -0.118     | -0.072    | 0.418**          | -0.444**      | 0.234      | -0.275*           |
| 3    | Plant Height                | 1.000            | 0.198        | 0.076                  | -0.343**       | 0.065      | -0.109     | 0.639**   | -0.554**         | 0.188         | 0.346**    |                   |
| 4    | Primary Branches/Plant      | 1.000            | 0.031        | -0.043                 | 0.150          | -0.115     | 0.244*     | -0.189    | -0.126           | -0.193        |            |                   |
| 5    | Clusters/Plant              | 1.000            | 0.165        | 0.069                  | 0.154          | 0.245*     | 0.104      | -0.172    | 0.290*           |               |            |                   |
| 6    | Pods/Plant                  | 1.000            | 0.164        | 0.042                  | -0.064         | 0.564**    | -0.096     | 0.862**   |                 |               |            |                   |
| 7    | Pod Length                  | 1.000            | 0.448**      | 0.073                  | 0.238          | 0.196      | 0.110      |           |                 |               |            |                   |
| 8    | Seeds/Pod                   | 1.000            | 0.136        | 0.088                  | -0.208         | 0.195      |            |           |                 |               |            |                   |
| 9    | Biological Yield            | 1.000            | -0.542**     | -0.110                 |               | -0.057     |            |           |                 |               |            |                   |
| 10   | Harvest Index               | 1.000            | 0.014        | 0.715**                |               |            |            |           |                 |               |            |                   |
| 11   | Seed Index                  | 1.000            | -0.100       |                        |               |            |            |           |                 |               |            |                   |

*Significance at 5% level, **Significance at 1% level
Table 4 Direct (diagonal) and indirect effects of different correlated characters towards seed yield per plant

| Character                          | Days to 50% flowering | Days to Maturity | Plant Height | Primary Branches/Plant | Clusters / Plant | Pods/Plant | Pod Length | Seeds/Pod | Biological Yield | Harvest Index | Seed Index | Seed Yield/Plant (Rp) |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|
| Days to 50% flowering              | -0.013                 | -0.003           | -0.001       | -0.002                 | -0.0001          | 0.007       | 0.001      | -0.001   | 0.001             | 0.002         | -0.0003   | -0.453**            |
| Days to Maturity                   | 0.006                  | 0.029            | 0.015        | 0.001                  | 0.001            | -0.009      | -0.003     | -0.002   | 0.012             | -0.013        | 0.006      | -0.275*             |
| Plant Height                       | 0.006                  | 0.028            | 0.054        | 0.010                  | 0.004            | -0.018      | 0.003      | -0.006   | 0.034             | -0.030        | 0.010      | -0.346**            |
| Primary Branches/Plant             | -0.012                 | -0.005           | -0.015       | -0.075                 | -0.002           | 0.003       | -0.011     | 0.008    | -0.018            | 0.014         | 0.009      | -0.193              |
| Clusters / Plant                   | 0.0004                 | 0.002            | 0.006        | 0.002                  | 0.080            | 0.013       | 0.005      | 0.012    | 0.019             | 0.008         | -0.013     | 0.290*              |
| Pods/Plant                         | -0.355                 | -0.190           | -0.211       | -0.026                 | 0.102            | 0.616       | 0.101      | 0.026    | -0.04             | 0.348         | -0.059     | 0.862**             |
| Pod Length                         | 0.013                  | 0.021            | -0.011       | -0.026                 | -0.012           | -0.029      | -0.177     | -0.079   | 0.013             | -0.042        | -0.034     | 0.110               |
| Seeds/Pod                          | 0.015                  | -0.012           | -0.019       | -0.020                 | 0.026            | 0.007       | 0.078      | 0.174    | 0.023             | 0.015         | -0.036     | 0.195               |
| Biological Yield                   | -0.020                 | 0.072            | 0.110        | 0.042                  | 0.042            | -0.011      | -0.012     | 0.023    | 0.173             | -0.093        | -0.019     | -0.057              |
| Harvest Index                      | -0.095                 | -0.224           | -0.280       | -0.095                 | 0.052            | 0.285       | 0.120      | 0.044    | -0.274            | 0.505         | 0.007      | 0.715**             |
| Seed Index                         | 0.0008                 | 0.007            | 0.005        | -0.003                 | -0.005           | -0.002      | 0.005      | -0.006   | -0.003            | 0.0004        | 0.029      | -0.100              |
Low phenotypic coefficient of variation was observed in number of seeds per pod (8.63), number of primary branches per plant (7.63), days to 50% flowering (6.65), pod length (5.98) and days to maturity (1.57). High heritability was observed in seed index (99.0), days to maturity (78.6) and pod length (60.5). Moderate heritability was observed in harvest index (58.1), plant height (54.3), number of pods per plant (52.8), seed yield per plant (45.7), days to 50% flowering (39.7), number of clusters per plant (38.1) and number of seeds per pod (30.3). Low heritability was observed in number of primary branches per plant (29.1) and biological yield (11.5). Genetic advance was observed harvest index (14.25), number of pods per plant (8.43), plant height (7.40), biological yield (7.37), seed yield per plant (6.29), days to 50% flowering (2.09), days to maturity (1.78), seed index (1.45), number of clusters per plant (1.35), number of seeds per pod (0.33), pod length (0.30) and primary branches per plant (0.04). High genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed in harvest index (63.53), number of pods per plant (43.56), seed index (36.22) and seed yield per plant (35.87), plant height (21.94) and number of clusters per plant (20.35). Low genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed in biological yield (8.46), pod length (7.46), days to 50% flowering (5.44), seeds per pod (5.38), number of primary branches per plant (4.57) and days to maturity (2.55) (Fig. 1).

Johnson et al., (1955) and Panse (1967) suggested high estimates of heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean should be taken into consideration for selection for base improvement. Heritability alone provides no identification of the amount if genetic improvement that would result from selection of individual genotypes.

The estimates of variability parameters revealed that phenotypic coefficient of variation along with least difference from genotypic coefficient of variation were observed for the characters viz., seed index (PCV 17.77% and GCV 17.67%) followed by days to maturity (PCV 1.57% and GCV 1.39%), pod length (PCV 5.98% and GCV 5.80%).
4.65%) and days to 50% flowering (PCV 6.65% and GCV 4.19%) indicating the greater role of genetic factors influencing the expression of these characters. These characters also showed high to moderate heritability. Thus, it indicated the utility of these characters in the selection programme.

Correlation coefficient analysis

In table 3 the present study in phenotypic correlation the seed yield per plant was found to be highly significant and in positive correlation with pods per plant, harvest index and clusters per plant. Pod length and seeds per pod having the positive and non-significant relationship with the seed yield per plant.

Path coefficient analysis

In table 4 the highest positive direct effect on seed yield per plant was exhibited by number of pods per plant (0.616) followed by harvest index (0.505) and low positive direct effect was exhibited by number of clusters per plant (0.080). While, high indirect effects on seed yield per plant was exhibited positive and negative through other character.

The result from the present experiment concluded that all the 22 genotypes of blackgram showed significant differences for all the characters. Mean performance results have shown that genotypes KU-719, KU88-9-1, Shekhar-1 and Azad-1 showed better performance for seed yield. Plant height, number of clusters per plant, number of pods per plant, harvest index, seed index and seed yield per plant exhibited moderate to high GCV, PCV and heritability is coupled with high genetic advance as percent of mean. Correlation and path coefficient analysis revealed that number of pods per plant, harvest index followed by clusters per plant has significant positive association on seed yield and they also exhibit high positive direct effect on seed yield. All four genotypes mentioned above with these characters can be used for further improvement and development of blackgram.
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