The Kiss of Death: *Serratia marcescens* Antibacterial Activities against *Staphylococcus aureus* Requires Both *de novo* Prodigiosin Synthesis and Direct Contact
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**ABSTRACT**  Prodigiosin possesses antibacterial activities, but as a highly hydrophobic compound, it raised the question about how *Serratia marcescens* introduce this compound to other microbes. Here, we demonstrate that the production of prodigiosin by newly isolated *S. marcescens* RH10 correlates with its antibacterial activity against a multidrug-resistant strain of *S. aureus*, with this pathogen’s viability decreasing 6-log over 24 h. While *S. marcescens* RH10 does secrete membrane vesicles that carry prodigiosin, this antibiotic was not active in this form, with 5 mg/L prodigiosin leading to only a 1.22-fold reduction in the *S. aureus* viability while the same quantity of purified prodigiosin led to a 2800-fold reduction. Contact assays, however, showed increased activity, with a 3-log loss in the *S. aureus* viabilities in only 6 h as long as *de novo* production of prodigiosin occurred. The role of prodigiosin was confirmed further by generating an isogenic ΔpigA mutant in *S. marcescens* RH10, based on the draft genome sequence reported here, to inhibit the synthesis of prodigiosin. In all experiments performed, this mutant was unable to kill *S. aureus*. Finally, the possibility that the type VI secretion system present in *S. marcescens* may also be important was also explored as it is known to be used by this strain to kill other microbes. The results here, however, found no obvious activity against *S. aureus*. In conclusion, the results presented here show prodigiosin requires both cell-to-cell contact and *de novo* synthesis for it to be effective as an antibiotic for its native host.

**IMPORTANCE**  The antibacterial activities of prodigiosin are well-established but, as a hydrophobic molecule, the mechanisms used to introduce it to susceptible microbes has never been studied. We found here, in contrast to violacein, another hydrophobic antibiotic that can be transferred using membrane vesicles (MVs), prodigiosin is also carried from *Serratia marcescens* in MVs released but its resulting activities were severely mitigated compared to the freely added compound, suggesting it is more tightly bound to the MVs than violacein. This led us to hypothesize that cell-to-cell contact is needed, which we demonstrate here. As well, we show *de novo* synthesis of prodigiosin is needed for it to be effective. As violacein- and prodigiosin-producing bacterial strains are both beneficial to amphibians, where they help protect the skin against pathogens, the findings presented here provide an important ecological perspective as they show the mechanisms used differ according to the antibacterial produced.
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Prodigiosin is a vibrant red, tripyrrole pigment produced by different bacterial strains, including *Janthinobacterium* (1) and *Streptomyces* (2, 3), but is best characterized in *Serratia marcescens* (4), where the proteins responsible for its biosynthesis are encoded within the prodigiosin biosynthesis gene cluster (*pig*) (5). As a secondary metabolite, prodigiosin possesses a range of biological activities, as reviewed recently (6), but its antimicrobial activities are probably best known, with a number of bacterial pathogens reportedly being sensitive (6–9), including *Bacillus cereus* (10), *Streptococcus pyogenes* (11) and, in particular, *Staphylococcus aureus* (10, 11). While the bactericidal mechanisms of prodigiosin, including the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (10, 12), $H^+$/CL– transporter uncoupling (13) and membrane disruption attributed to prodigiosin’s strong hydrophobic character (14), have all been explored by several different groups, they do not explain how this compound is conveyed from its host to other microorganisms, and is active in natural environments (15–17).

To explore this, we employed the newly isolated strain *S. marcescens* RH10 (Table S1; Accession Numbers SRR14952065, PRJNA741880) as it is much more proficient at synthesizing prodigiosin (Fig. S1 and S2) than the type strain, *S. marcescens* ATCC 13880 (Fig. 1A and S3). Characterization of both strains found prodigiosin productivities increased drastically as they entered the stationary phase, a result that is not surprising given the role quorum sensing plays in governing expression of the *pig* operon (5, 18). However, the maximum yields from *S. marcescens* RH10 (2.76 mg/L) were significantly greater, i.e., 91-fold higher ($P = 0.00031$), than those obtained with *S. marcescens* ATCC 13880 (0.03 mg/L) (Fig. 1A). This difference had significant effects on the resulting bactericidal activities of these two strains against a multidrug-/methicillin-resistant clinical strain of *S. aureus* (19).

As shown in Fig. 1B, the *S. aureus* viability decreased only when grown together with *S. marcescens* RH10, not *S. marcescens* ATCC 13880. In contrast, both *S. marcescens* strains grew well (Fig. 1C) and achieved cell densities that were similar than when grown alone (Fig. S4), showing the toxicity was unidirectional. More importantly, the drop in *S. aureus* viability was evident from 9 h (Fig. 1B), when *S. marcescens* RH10 began to produce mg levels of prodigiosin (Fig. 1A). To validate that this loss was due to prodigiosin and not some other secondary metabolite, an isogenic $\Delta pigA$ mutant of *S. marcescens* RH10 was constructed based on the draft genome sequence for this strain (Fig. S5–S8), rendering it pigmentless (Fig. 1A and S3). Performing the same growth tests with either this isogenic mutant or *E. coli* str. MG1655, which was selected as another prodigiosin-negative-control bacterial strain, we found neither had a negative impact on the *S. aureus* viability (Fig. 1B).

Although the data above established prodigiosin is responsible for the loss in *S. aureus* viability when grown together with *S. marcescens* RH10, this molecule is highly hydrophobic (Log$P_{ow}$ of 5.16) (14), obliging an explanation on how it is transferred to *S. aureus*. A previous study with violacein, a different secondary metabolite with antibiotic properties that is produced by other bacterial strains (i.e., *Chromobacterium* spp.) (19, 20) but not the *S. marcescens* strains employed here, can be transported from its host to *S. aureus* via membrane vesicles (MVs), where it kills this pathogen (21). Although *S. marcescens* RH10 likewise produced MVs (Fig. 1D) that also contained prodigiosin (Fig. S9), they possessed no detectable activities against *S. aureus* and were much less potent compared in tests with purified crude prodigiosin (i.e., a 1.22-fold versus 2800-fold reduction in *S. aureus* viability with 5 mg/L prodigiosin, respectively; Fig. 1E). This is further supported in Fig. S10, where filtered (0.22 $\mu$m) spent media from *S. marcescens* RH10 also had no overt antibacterial activity against *S. aureus*. However, the lower overall viabilities in these samples were lower compared against the tests performed with spent media from *S. marcescens* RH10 $\Delta pigA$, suggesting the spent media from *S. marcescens* RH10 possessed some slight inhibitory activities. Related with this, we found that as *S. marcescens* RH10 grew, its supernatant did have some prodigiosin present, particularly at 9 h when it reached a maximum (Fig. S11), which may help explain this phenomenon. Taken together, however, these results
demonstrate the majority of the prodigiosin fraction is not solubilized within the media or present in the MVs and, therefore, must still be associated with the bacterial cell and transferred via cell-to-cell contact.

Contact-mediated killing of other bacterial strains by *S. marcescens* is a hallmark of this strain, particularly due to its Type VI secretion system (T6SS) (22). Based on the draft genome sequence, *S. marcescens* RH10 also encodes many of the T6SS genes (Table S2). To explore if it is active in this new isolate, we conducted cell-to-cell contact experiments on agar plates with *E. coli* MG1655 as the recipient strain as described previously (22), albeit on disks, using both *S. marcescens* RH10 and the nonpigmented *S. marcescens* Db10 (Table S1) (22, 23). These results are plotted in Fig. 2A. As reported

![FIG 1](image-url) 

**FIG 1** Killing of *S. aureus* by prodigiosin from *S. marcescens* is not mediated by membrane vesicles. (A) Growth (CFU/mL) and prodigiosin (Prod) production (mg/L) by different *S. marcescens* strains, showing considerably higher prodigiosin yields from *S. marcescens* RH10 compared with the type strain, *S. marcescens* ATCC 13880. (*n* = 3) (B) MDR *S. aureus* clinical isolate viabilities when grown in cocultures with the various *S. marcescens* strains or *E. coli* MG1655, showing only wild-type *S. marcescens* RH10 led to obvious killing of *S. aureus* after 6 h. (*n* = 3) (C) *S. marcescens* and *E. coli* MG1655 viabilities from the cocultures with the MDR *S. aureus* clinical isolate plotted in (B). (*n* = 3) (D) Transmission electron microscopic images of *S. marcescens* RH10 and its purified membrane vesicles. The size bars in both images are 0.2 μm. (E) Membrane vesicles do not effectively transport prodigiosin to *S. aureus*. Viability plot after a 6-h treatment showing freely added prodigiosin was significantly more bactericidal against *S. aureus* than when provided within the purified membrane vesicles. Significance between samples within the same group: ns, not significant; b (*P* < 0.01). Significance between groups: **, *P* < 0.01; ***, *P* < 0.001. (*n* = 3).
previously, *S. marcescens* expresses its T6SS at 37°C but not at lower temperatures (22), explaining the much better killing efficiencies at the elevated temperature for both strains. Similar results were likewise obtained with *S. marcescens* RH10 pigA, proving the activity of *S. marcescens* RH10 toward *E. coli* is not due to prodigiosin. Moreover, isogenic mutants in *S. marcescens* Db10, including TssE, ClpV (TssH) or Lip (TssJ), saw much of their activities toward *E. coli* MG1655 abolished, results that once more agree with the previous report (22). While the data show *S. marcescens* RH10 is capable of killing *E. coli*, ostensibly through its T6SS, no instances of T6SS-mediated killing of Gram-positive bacteria have been reported, presumably since their thick cell walls act as a barrier to this transport system (24). This was found to be the case as neither *S. marcescens* RH10 nor *S. marcescens* Db10 were biocidal toward *S. aureus* when cocultured at 37°C (Fig. 2B and Fig. S12, respectively).

Fig. 2B also shows that wild-type *S. marcescens* RH10 caused a 2550-fold (i.e., 99.96%) drop in the *S. aureus* viability when grown initially and then cocultured at 30°C, a loss that is much faster than that observed in the liquid culture assays (Fig. 1B). This faster killing is not really surprising, however, as the agar protocol employed is designed to increase cell-to-cell contact between the microbes. Once more, no killing of *S. aureus* occurred with the *S. marcescens* RH10 ΔpigA mutant, establishing further that prodigiosin is responsible for this activity. Consequently, we explored whether this was accomplished by the prodigiosin already present in *S. marcescens* RH10 or if de novo synthesis of prodigiosin was necessary. This was further validated by performing UV-killing of the direct contact cultures, which saw the prodigiosin quantities increase considerably after 6 h (Fig. S14), concomitant with a significant loss in the *S. aureus* viabilities.

**FIG 2** Prodigiosin’s bactericidal activities against *S. aureus* require direct cellular contact and de novo synthesis. (A) Type VI secretion system killing of *E. coli* MG1655 by *S. marcescens* strains RH10 and Db10 (and their isogenic mutants) at different temperatures. Note the higher bactericidal activities (stronger killing of *E. coli* MG1655) from all of the *S. marcescens* strains when cultured at 37°C. ND – Not Detected (<10 *E. coli* MG1655 CFU/disk). (n = 3) (B) The Type VI secretion system of *S. marcescens* is ineffective against *S. aureus*. Growth of *S. marcescens* initially at or performing this assay at 37°C reduced its activity against the MDR *S. aureus* isolate, in clear contrast to the results seen with *E. coli* MG1655 (A). This was due to inhibition or a delay in prodigiosin production, showing de novo synthesis of prodigiosin is necessary. Each of the viabilities were measured at 6 h. (n = 3) (C) UV-killing of *S. marcescens* RH10 and its isogenic ΔpigA mutant abolished much of their ability to kill *S. aureus* and inhibit its growth, respectively. These results further validate de novo synthesis of prodigiosin by *S. marcescens* is necessary for *S. aureus* killing. Ns, not significant; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01. (n = 3) (D) Growth of *S. marcescens* RH10 at 37°C delays its bactericidal activities against *S. aureus*. Longer incubations of the direct contact cultures saw the prodigiosin quantities increase considerably after 6 h (Fig. 2B), concomitant with a significant loss in the *S. aureus* viabilities.
novo production of this secondary metabolite was necessary by UV-killing the *S. marcescens* RH10 cultures (Fig. S13) to prevent any additional synthesis of prodigiosin. As shown in Fig. 2C, UV-killing of this strain eliminated its bactericidal activities, indicating de novo synthesis is necessary for killing of *S. aureus* to be realized. While UV-killing of the *S. marcescens* RH10 ΔpigA mutant also led to a significantly better *S. aureus* growth, this is likely due to a reduced competition for nutrients rather than the production of some other inhibitory factor.

The necessity of de novo synthesis of prodigiosin was studied further in Fig. 2B and D, where the *S. marcescens* RH10 cultures were initially grown at either 30°C or 37°C and shifted to the other temperature for the contact killing assays. The rationale for doing this is *S. marcescens* is incapable of producing prodigiosin when grown at 37°C (25–27) (Fig. S14). As shown in Fig. 2B, when the culture temperature was shifted from 30°C to 37°C, killing of *S. aureus* by *S. marcescens* RH10 was significantly mitigated. Although a shift from 37°C to 30°C should allow this strain to generate prodigiosin, the *S. aureus* viabilities were only slightly lower and akin to those found when the same experiments were performed using nonpigmented strains (i.e., the ΔpigA mutant and *S. marcescens* Db10), suggesting the prodigiosin yields were still not sufficient for them to be bactericidal. Fig. 2D and S15 shows that this was the case, as longer times were needed when *S. marcescens* RH10 was initially grown at 37°C.

In conclusion, this study shows the prodigiosin-based antibacterial activities of *S. marcescens* are dependent on both cell-to-cell contact and de novo production of this secondary metabolite. Although we demonstrated prodigiosin can be released by *S. marcescens* RH10 within membrane vesicles budding off its cell surface, it is not biologically active in this form, nor was the *S. marcescens* RH10 spent media. These findings led us to conclude transfer must be contact-mediated, a fact we established using a protocol commonly employed to study T6SS activities. Within the genome of *S. marcescens* RH10 T6SS genes were identified, and experiments showed that this strain can effectively kill *E. coli*, proving this system is actively expressed. However, the T6SS had no apparent activity against *S. aureus*. Consequently, *S. marcescens* RH10 clearly possesses two different contact-based mechanisms, one engineered to kill Gram-positive strains (prodigiosin) under ambient temperatures, such as those on the skin of amphibians, and one for Gram-negative bacterial strains (T6SS), which is active at 37°C, making it the arsenal of choice for *S. marcescens* to combat bacterial competitors within the guts of warm-blooded animals.

Data availability. Raw filtered Flongle reads and the annotated assembly for *S. marcescens* RH10 isolate have been deposited under NCBI BioProject accession PRJNA741880 and Sequence Read Archive SRR14952065.
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