Taking silicon photonics modulators to a higher performance level: state-of-the-art and a review of new technologies
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Abstract. Optical links are moving to higher and higher transmission speeds while shrinking to shorter and shorter ranges where optical links are envisaged even at the chip scale. The scaling in data speed and span of the optical links demands modulators to be concurrently performant and cost-effective. Silicon photonics (SiPh), a photonic integrated circuit technology that leverages the fabrication sophistication of complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor technology, is well-positioned to deliver the performance, price, and manufacturing volume for the high-speed modulators of future optical communication links. SiPh has relied on the plasma dispersion effect, either in injection, depletion, or accumulation mode, to demonstrate efficient high-speed modulators. The high-speed plasma dispersion silicon modulators have been commercially deployed and have demonstrated excellent performance. Recent years have seen a paradigm shift where the integration of various electro-refractive and electro-absorptive materials has opened up additional routes toward performant SiPh modulators. These modulators are in the early years of their development. They promise to extend the performance beyond the limits set by the physical properties of silicon. The focus of our study is to provide a comprehensive review of contemporary (i.e., plasma dispersion modulators) and new modulator implementations that involve the integration of novel materials with SiPh.
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1 Introduction

High-speed transceivers for short-reach (few cm to few tens of km) and long-haul optical communication links require high-performance modulators in their transmitter optical subassembly.1–3 The key performance parameters for an efficient modulator are (a) high modulation efficiency4–9 in amplitude or phase by a drive signal that is compliant with the complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) circuitry5,6 [for phase modulators, the modulation efficiency is defined as the product of voltage $V_\pi$ applied to the phase shifter to achieve $\pi$ phase shift and the length $L$ of the phase shifter—a smaller $V_\pi \cdot L$ represents higher modulation efficiency; for amplitude modulation, the ratio of extinction ratio (ER) to an applied voltage for a given length of the modulator represents the modulation efficiency and large values are desired]; (b) low insertion loss (IL),10–13 both low loss in the active and passive parts of the modulator; (c) tens of gigabits per second (Gb/s)14–17 modulation speed to support the future capacity demands of the optical communication networks; (d) energy consumption to be as low as a few tens of fJ/bit;18–20 (e) large ER—typically ~4 dB for ~2 km transmission links and ~8 dB for tens of km long transmission links—to ensure high optical signal-to-noise ratio for maintaining a low...
bit error rate,\textsuperscript{21–25} low chirp,\textsuperscript{26–28} and compact size\textsuperscript{6,12,31–33} to enable high-integration density.\textsuperscript{1,2,21,34–36}

Generally, the modulator figure of merit (FoM) captures the performance of a modulator. In the literature, a variety of definitions for modulator FoM exist.\textsuperscript{1,2,21,37,38} In its simplest form, $V_L = L$ represents the FoM for a phase modulator. $V_L = L \cdot \alpha$ is a phase modulator FoM that takes the phase shifter loss $\alpha$ into account. A phase modulator FoM given as $f_c \cdot [C_R \cdot (V_L)^2 \cdot \alpha / 8]^{-1}$, where $f_c$ represents the phase shifter cutoff frequency and $C_R$ represents the phase shifter capacitance, relates the FoM in terms of modulator bandwidth, loss, and energy per bit.\textsuperscript{2,4,21} Dynamic optical modulation amplitude is a dynamic FoM of a modulator, as it takes modulator parameters and the modulation format into account.\textsuperscript{37}

High-index-contrast silicon photonics (SiPh) provides dense integration of complex photonic functionalities, such as high-speed modulators, using technology and process toolsets from CMOS electronics.\textsuperscript{39} Other photonic integrated circuit (PIC) platforms such as indium phosphide,\textsuperscript{40} which typically relies on 100 mm wafers, and lithium niobate (LiNbO$_3$),\textsuperscript{41} which commonly relies on 150 mm wafers, are fabricated in customized fabs. SiPh integrated circuits are typically fabricated on 200 or 300 mm wafers. The large wafer size allows for a large number of compact dies per wafer at low-cost scaling to large commercial volumes in existing CMOS commercial fabs and R&D pilot lines.\textsuperscript{39,44–48} SiPh modulators promise to provide the best price-performance ratio and possible routes for monolithic integration of electronics and photonics.\textsuperscript{2,21,22,39,49} The commercial launch of SiPh-enabled transceivers is a testament to the promise of SiPh as a photonic integration technology to deliver efficient high-speed modulators.\textsuperscript{44–50,52}

The push for performant high-speed modulators stems from the ever booming growth of internet traffic. This growth has driven the modern data centers (DCs) into the so-called “Zettabyte Era,” where the annual global traffic is expected to exceed 2.2 zettabytes/year. This value corresponds to a three-fold increase in just five years. The biggest contribution to this huge traffic originates from data moving inside the DCs over inter-DC connections.\textsuperscript{51} Nonetheless, a remarkable increase in huge traffic originates from data moving inside the DCs over inter-DC connections.\textsuperscript{51}

- The inter-DCI landscape is dominated by coherent dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) for reaching high capacities per single wavelength, currently targeting up to 38.4 Tbit/s aggregate capacity in a distance between 40 and 1000s of kilometers with data rates of currently 400 Gb/s to 600 Gb/s (64 Gb/s) per wavelength. By 2025, data rates are expected to double\textsuperscript{54} with symbol rates exceeding 100 Gb/s. These values set strict requirements regarding the transmitter performance, primarily in terms of bandwidth. Regarding power consumption, pluggable modules such as C form factor pluggable (CFP) and CFP2 used for current inter-DCIs are limited to a maximum power consumption of around 20 W in total, and new form factors such as octal small form factor pluggable or quad small form factor pluggable-double density are specified to an even lower maximum power consumption. This means that the modulator and driver power consumption should be carefully optimized. The most widely used architecture for inter-DCIs is the Mach–Zehnder modulator (MZM) in in-phase, quadrature configuration, whereby MZMs are nested to modulate the in-phase and quadrature component of the signal.\textsuperscript{55,56} For demonstrating high-order quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM), it is extremely critical for the MZM to show a linear intensity dependence with voltage (low chirp) to minimize the error vector magnitude.\textsuperscript{27} Furthermore, the need for more bandwidth in wavelength division multiplexing systems requires broadband and tunable devices, increasing the need to optimize components for use in optical bands beyond the C-band (1.53 to 1.565 $\mu$m), i.e., L-band (1.565 to 1.625 $\mu$m) and/or S-band (1.46 to 1.53 $\mu$m).

- Due to the shorter distance requirements of up to 2 km (FR) or up to 10 km (LR), the intra-DCI applications are mainly using multi-channel 56 Gb/s, four-level pulse amplitude modulation (PAM-4). As a result, the transmitter requirements in this case are more relaxed in terms of linearity, but a high dynamic ER (ER $>$ 4.5 $\text{dB}$)\textsuperscript{37} is necessary in order to achieve multi-level modulation. In this case, QSFP form factors require a maximum total power consumption of 5.5 W. Mainly because of the very strict power consumption requirements, up to now, vertical-cavity surface-emitting laser (VCSEL)-based transceivers and parallel fiber transmission have been used inside DCs, especially on short reaches. However, with the massive increase of traffic, there has been a continuous interest to replace VCSELS with next generation ultra-compact devices that will provide even lower cost-energy consumption figures. With the next generations of intra-DCI transceivers aiming for data rates of 800 Gb/s and beyond, a potential move of coherent technology into the domain of intensity modulation and direct detection may be seen, as scaling of intensity modulated signals to higher capacity is increasingly challenging.\textsuperscript{58} However, to meet the stringent power consumption target for intra-DCI transceivers, lightweight digital signal processing, lower power consumption components, and potentially a reduced coherent system will be required.

For both intra- and inter-DCIs, SiPh appears to be a promising technology platform, mainly because of the dense integration of photonics,\textsuperscript{39,60} while minimizing the overall cost-power efficiency budget.\textsuperscript{18,19,39} Currently, the plasma dispersion effect, which is based on the movement (injection,\textsuperscript{17,55,61–67} accumulation,\textsuperscript{12,68–70} or depletion\textsuperscript{13,14,16,22,32,33,71–74}) of carriers to induce a refractive index change in a silicon waveguide, is the most widely deployed phenomenon to implement high-speed modulators in SiPh.\textsuperscript{57–59,122,123} Plasma dispersion modulators in SiPh have successfully demonstrated operations of 90 (Ref. 87) and 100 Gb/s\textsuperscript{122,123} for the on-off keying (OOK) modulation scheme. Furthermore, various plasma dispersion modulators implementations for advanced modulation schemes such as QPSK,\textsuperscript{55,56,124} QAM,\textsuperscript{125,126} PAM-4,\textsuperscript{25,32,127} and PAM-8\textsuperscript{115} also exist.

The plasma dispersion effect is a weak electro-refractive effect.\textsuperscript{128} A $10^{-7}$ $\text{cm}^{-3}$ change in carrier concentration introduces an index change of $\Delta n \sim 10^{-4}$. Furthermore, the phase modulation provided by the plasma dispersion effect is always
associated with spurious amplitude modulation. Unlike Pockels modulators, plasma dispersion modulators do not have a linear dependence of voltage and refractive index change $\Delta n$ (thus, they show chirp), which makes them a less promising candidate for cases that require pure phase modulation. Nevertheless, R&D efforts have resulted in the performance of SiPh plasma dispersion modulators that is comparable with other photonic integration technologies.

Typically, plasma dispersion modulators rely on interferometric structures [such as the Mach–Zehnder interferometer (MZI)] or optical cavities for the translation of phase modulation into amplitude modulation. The carrier depletion-based interferometric plasma dispersion modulators, such as MZMs, are large in size (typically a phase shifter length of a few mm). Injection or accumulation of carriers offers higher efficiency plasma dispersion effect phase shifters, enabling compact interferometric modulators. The implementations of plasma dispersion high-speed modulators involving optical cavities [such as ring resonators (RRs)] result in compact devices (typically a few hundreds of $\mu$m$^2$). However, these RR-based devices suffer from high temperature and fabrication sensitivity. Literature reports solving these problems to a certain extent through smart design strategies and technological advancements. Nonetheless, the limited operational optical bandwidth of the ring modulator (RM) is intrinsic to them.

It is a daunting task to deliver the future demands for single lane 100 Gbaud and beyond data rates in an energy-efficient manner. Recently, there have been a growing number of efforts to integrate electro-optic materials with a strong Pockels effect on SiPh platforms. The integration of such electro-optic materials promises to provide additional routes to upscale the performance of SiPh modulators to deliver the future demands for single lane 100 Gbaud and beyond data rates in an energy-efficient manner. Table 1 shows a rich landscape of SiPh modulators, where SiPh is incorporating a variety of other materials for implementing performant high-speed modulators. Modulation of amplitude by the Franz–Keldysh (FK) effect, quantum-confined Stark (QCS) effect, and electrical gating, or the modulation of phase by employing the plasma dispersion effect, and inter-band transitions is feasible either directly in silicon or by integrating a variety of efficient electro-optic materials with SiPh platforms. The integration of new materials into SiPh has to counter various challenges. Invariably, any new material integration requires new technology and process development that allows for low-loss integration with the SiPh platform. The integration process must ensure high material quality. The introduction of defects in the integration process downgrades the yield of the PIC manufacturing process. Consequently, the integration of new materials always adds to manufacturing costs. Materials allowing wafer-scale integration and the ones for which the fab can leverage from the existing learning curve of introduction into other platforms reduce the financial impact and technological complexities, and they are thus preferred.

The electrical driving of the modulator comprises an electrode configuration and the driving scheme (such as single-ended drive with dual-arm push-pull, differential drive with dual-arm push-pull, differential drive with dual-arm push-pull with shared drive, or dual-differential drive with dual-arm push-pull) to feed the electrodes. Generally, the length of the phase shifter determines the electrode configuration. Modulators with a short phase shifter length (phase shifter length $<\lambda_{RF}/10$) use lumped electrodes. Lumped driving is applicable to modulators comprising interferometric structures as well as

| Modulation | Operating principle | Platform | Reported optical implementation | Reported driver implementation |
|------------|---------------------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Phase      | Plasma dispersion effect by carrier | Silicon | MZI, michelson, resonators (ring, disk, ph. crystal, Fabry-Perot), slow-light structure, Bragg reflectors | Lumped, traveling wave (TW), segmented |
| (a) Injection | Pockels effect | LiNbO$_3$ on silicon | MZI | Lumped, TW |
| (b) Accumulation | Organics on silicon | MZI, ring resonator | Lumped, TW |
| (c) Depletion | BTO on silicon | MZI, ring resonator | Lumped, TW |
| | PZT on silicon | MZI, ring resonator | Lumped |
| | Interband transitions | 2D materials on silicon | MZI, ring resonator | Lumped, TW |
| | Carrier accumulations/carryer depletion-Franz-Keldysh effect | III-V on silicon | MZI, ring resonator | Lumped, TW |
| Amplitude | Franz-Keldysh effect | Silicon-germanium | Waveguide, MZI | Lumped |
| | Quantum confined Stark effect | Ge-Si-Ge quantum wells | Waveguide, Fabry-Perot cavity | Lumped |
| | Electrical gating | 2D materials on silicon | Waveguide | Lumped |
| | Quantum confined Stark effect | III-V on silicon | Waveguide | Lumped |

Table 1 Prominent approaches for high-speed modulation in SiPh. The modulator implementations in SiPh use a variety of physical phenomenons, materials, optical architectures, and driver architectures.
cavity-based structures and slow-light structures. With lumped electrodes, the applied voltage is approximately identical across the entire length of the phase shifter. Lumped driving does not necessarily require a matched termination of the driving electrodes. With unterminated lumped driving, the phase shifter’s capacitive load determines the energy consumption per bit \( E_{\text{CU}} \) for a modulator. Typically, the bandwidth of lumped electrode phase shifter driving is limited by its impedance (intrinsic, parasitic, and the one contributed by the driving circuitry) and the time taken by the photons to transit through the phase shifter. The latter effect is more pronounced for the slow-light modulators.

The TW is the most common driving scheme for carrier depletion plasma dispersion MZMs. In this scheme, a single driver drives the entire electrode of the phase shifter. The TW electrode typically comprises a coplanar waveguide transmission line. It terminates with a resistive impedance matched to the wave impedance of the electrode. The RF power applied to the TW electrode is consumed by the RF losses in the TW, capacitive loading by the phase shifter, and the matched termination. The matched resistance prevents electrical reflections and consequent interference with the electrical signal stream. But, it also increases the power consumption of the TW electrode as a fraction of the total input RF power is always consumed by the matched termination. Generally, TW driving schemes are six to eight times more energy-hungry than the lumped driving. The detrimental factors impacting the bandwidth of the modulators with TW driving are: (a) RC time constant of the phase shifter; (b) walk-off (phase mismatch due to mismatch between the propagation speed of the electrical signal in the transmission line and the optical signal in the waveguide) between the electrical and optical signals; and (c) RF-losses in the TW electrode.

The segmented topology with distributed driving comprises a series of short phase shifters, which are individually driven by lumped electrodes. Segmentation of the phase shifter with distributed driving avoids the RF attenuation due to a long transmission line while enabling the same voltage swing across the whole length of the phase shifter. As compared to the TW architecture, the segmented electrodes require smaller-size voltage drivers. The precise delivery of the electrical signal to a phase shifter segment requires time synchronization with the transit time of light in that segment, which requires timing control circuitry for precise delivery of the electrical signal to each segment. This additional overhead undermines the energy efficiency of the segmented drivers and adds to the driver complexity. The number of phase shifter segments and their length determine the modulator bandwidth and its power efficiency. Typically, segmented electrodes with distributed drivers require tighter connectivity between the electronic drivers and the phase shifter segments to preserve the signal integrity and prevent parasitic effects. Therefore, this driving scheme demands either monolithic integration of electronics and photonics or flip-chip bonding of photonic and electronic chips through an array of microbumps. Electrical driving plays a crucial role in defining the performance (primarily the power consumption and bandwidth) of high-speed phase modulators. In the early years, most of the high-speed modulator demonstrations focused on the optimization of modulator performance in isolation from the driver design. Recent demonstrations of high-speed modulators have established that concurrent co-design and co-optimization of electrical driving and photonic phase shifter design are a key to extracting the best performance for all modulator performance attributes. This holds for modulators involving monolithic or hybrid integration with electronics.

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive review of the new technologies that provide additional, viable routes to enhance the performance of SiPh modulators. These new technologies involve the integration of materials with high electro-optic coefficients with SiPh platforms. As a result, they promise to deliver modulator performance that is required to meet the blistering surge in transmission rates for the optical links. This paper also compares these new technologies against the current mainstream SiPh modulator implementations. A comprehensive coverage in full detail is very challenging and difficult to be performed in a paper with a limited page number. Therefore, we will limit the discussion in this paper to some of the most important and relevant implementations of high-speed modulators in SiPh. It is important to note that the SiPh modulators have been used to modulate signals by means of advanced modulation schemes such as QPSK, QAM, PAM-4, and PAM-8. However, in this paper, the operating speed is quoted only for OOK. Furthermore, the discussion in this paper is limited to C-band and O-band implementations of SiPh modulators for telecommunication and data communication applications; though SiPh modulators are also reported for mid-infrared (mid-IR) wavelengths and for non-telecom/datacom applications.

## 2 State-of-the-Art of Contemporary Silicon Photonics High-Speed Modulators

Research to develop SiPh modulators dates back to the era when it was still a niche choice for photonic integration. Unstrained silicon has a fundamentally zero linear electro-optic effect (the Pockels effect, used in traditional LiNbO\(_3\) modulators) due to its crystallographic structure (i.e., centrosymmetric crystal lattice), albeit strained silicon is investigated for high-speed all-silicon modulation. This intrinsic property of Si directed the researchers to investigate other optical phenomena, such as the plasma dispersion effect to realize high-performance modulators in SiPh. This section provides a summary of the plasma dispersion modulators to implement SiPh high-speed modulators.

### 2.1 High-Speed Modulators Using the Plasma Dispersion Effect

The refractive index change by the plasma dispersion effect in silicon is larger than the change by the Kerr and FK effects. The plasma dispersion effect is broadband going all the way from telecom to mid-IR wavelengths and is relatively temperature independent. Furthermore, its implementation is inherently simple, using standard CMOS processing technology. All of these factors made the plasma dispersion effect one of the current mainstream mechanisms to implement efficient high-speed modulators in SiPh.

Years of development, led by both industry and academic players, have resulted in the demonstration of plasma dispersion effect-based phase modulators providing losses of \(< 10 \text{ dB/cm, } 10^{-3} \text{ V/cm, } 10^{-5} \text{ V/cm}\) and energy consumption as low as tens of fJ/bit and data rates reaching 100 Gb/s for OOK modulation with minimal
influence of temperature on the performance of the plasma dispersion modulators (III–V modulators rely on the QCS effect and are influenced by temperature). It is important to note that all of these specs are not provided simultaneously by a single plasma dispersion modulation implementation.21

Plasma dispersion is an electro-refractive effect. The change of free carrier concentration by the movement of charge carriers into or out of a waveguide results in phase modulation of the optical signal.21,49,61 Phase modulation is translated into intensity modulation by embedding the phase modulator into MZIs,15–17,77,79,108,109 RRs,18,32,33,62,110,111,119 Bragg reflectors,88 Michelson interferometers,8,121 photonic crystal cavities,98,112–114 and Fabry–Perot cavities.100 The three prominent schemes to introduce change in the free carrier concentration are (a) the injection of minority carriers7,37,61–67 by forward biasing a PIN junction; (b) the accumulation of majority carriers12,68,69,69,70 of opposing polarity across an insulating section in a waveguide; (c) the depletion of majority carriers9,13,14,16,22,32,33,71,71–87 from a PN junction by reversely biasing it.

Carrier injection is the most efficient plasma dispersion-based modulation scheme.7,8,37,98 Most of the early demonstrations of high-speed modulators relied on carrier injection of minority carriers by forward biasing a PIN junction built along a waveguide.60,89,92,156,226 The carrier injection-based modulators provide a high modulation efficiency due to their large diffusion capacitance (typically ~10 pF) when implemented on a waveguide with a small cross section with stronger confinement.7,37,65–67,91 carrier injection modulators are reported using lateral PIN configurations (see Fig. 1).65,67,92 and vertical PIN configurations. Owing to the feasibility for a short phase shifter (~ a few hundreds of μm), carrier injection modulators typically use lumped driving schemes.7,65,67 The use of pre-emphasized electrical signaling schemes, in which a fraction of the electrical driver signal has a voltage $\gg V_\pi$ of the modulator, boosts the limited speeds of carrier injection-based modulators with the obvious ramification of increased power consumption. Recent years have seen the development of passive equalization techniques to boost the high-speed operation of the carrier injection modulators.55,67 The studies have shown that the factors that limit the speed of the carrier injection-based modulation are (a) recombination time of the injected electron–hole pairs and (b) the sum of the electrical driver output resistance and the bulk resistance of the p- and n-doped regions.7,65,67 The slower of these two effects determines the speed limit of the carrier injection modulators. Practically, it is possible to improve the bandwidth of carrier injection modulators by embedding an $R_{E,F}C_E$ passive equalizer such that $R_{E,F}C_E = R_FC_F$ and $C_E \gg C_F$, where $R_{E,F}$ and $C_{E,F}$ are the equalizer and the forward resistance and the capacitance, respectively.7,65,91 Current state-of-the-art carrier injection modulators use this passive equalization to demonstrate >20 Gb/s operation.108 Recently, 70 Gbaud operation of a carrier injection MZM was enabled by passive RC equalization. The modulator has a 37-GHz 3-dB bandwidth using a 0.25-mm long-phase shifter having ~28 dB/cm loss and a $V_\pi \cdot L$ of 2 V · cm.7 One of the best modulation efficiencies of 0.274 V · cm has
been reported in Ref. 67, where a side-wall corrugated MZM with a bandwidth of 12.5 GHz is operated at 25 Gb/s using a finite impulse response filter for frequency compensation necessary for the broadband operation.67 The demonstrated modulator had a 250-μm-long phase shifter that exhibited a propagation loss of 5.3 dB/mm.

The carrier accumulation plasma dispersion phase shifter requires forward biasing of a metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) capacitor.2,48,101,102 A typical implementation of an MOS (also known as silicon–insulator–silicon capacitor—SISCAP) modulator comprises a vertical slot waveguide with an overlapping stack of a sub-μm-sized thick p-type poly-silicon layer on top of a sub-μm-sized thick n-type c-silicon layer with a few nm (typically <20 nm) thick insulating gate oxide layer in the middle (i.e., p-Si/insulator/c-Si vertical slot waveguide)—see Fig. 1(a).69,101,102 Under accumulation conditions, in which a positive bias is applied to the p-type layer, the vertically confined optical mode overlaps strongly with the highly capacitive gate section.101,102 This enables <1 V · cm modulation efficiency for SISCAP modulators27 with state-of-the-art values as low as 0.2 and 0.16 V · cm.5,69,69 Owing to this higher modulation efficiency, typically <0.5-mm-long phase shifters with lumped electrical driving are implemented in SISCAP modulators.5,69,70,96,103 The higher capacitance, which leads to higher modulation efficiency, caps the modulation speed of SISCAP modulators.2,104 The modulation efficiency and speed can be traded-off in SISCAP modulators by adopting the capacitance to a value that can provide either an efficient modulator (i.e., high capacitance) with low \( V_{V} \cdot L \) or high-speed modulator (i.e., low capacitance) without any degradation of the loss parameter for the modulator (the increase or decrease in capacitance is independent of the doping level and is controlled by the thickness of gate oxide and the relative permittivity of the material used as the gate oxide).2,76,101,102,104 Typically, a vertical capacitor configuration is used in SISCAP modulators due to the ease of fabrication.5,69,70,104 It is straightforward to fabricate by the deposition of poly-Si.2,101 Techniques such as epitaxial lateral growth101,102 and laser-induced epitaxial growth crystallize the poly-silicon layer to overcome the higher scattering loss due to defects in the lattice.2,227 Recently, SISCAP modulators using a c-Si/insulator/c-Si in a vertical slot configuration70 and in a horizontal slot configuration103 without requiring any additional processing steps have been reported [see Fig. 1(b)]. One of the first 40 Gb/s Mach–Zehnder SISCAP modulators relied on a vertical capacitor configuration, and it delivered an ER of 9 dB.68 The design consisted of a 400-μm-long MOS capacitor with a 6.5-dB/mm loss delivering a \( V_{V} \cdot L \) of 0.2 cm for the O-band. The same MZM is driven by a CMOS driver to demonstrate its low-power operation for NRZ-OOK and for advanced modulation formats such as PAM-4 using a segmented driver.5 A recent demonstration reports high modulation efficiency of 0.16 V · cm for 25 Gb/s operation using a 60-μm-long phase shifter with a loss of 3.5 dB/mm.99 The MZM provided one of the best FoMs (\( F = V_{O} \cdot V_{M} \cdot L \)) of <7 dB · V. A microdisk-based SISCAP modulator demonstrated 15 Gb/s operation on a small footprint of \( \sim 30 \mu m^2 \) consuming only 55 fJ/bit.110 In order to deliver >50 Gb/s operation using SISCAP modulators, a parametric study has been performed validating the trade-off between high-speed operation, modulation efficiency, and loss.104 Depletion of electrons and holes by reversely biasing a PN junction built in or around it is the most widely adopted scheme to implement the plasma dispersion-based phase modulator.5,11,13,19,22,33,71,77,87,106–109 Depletion-based modulators were the first all-silicon modulator to reach speeds of 40 Gb/s and 50 Gb/s.16 The current highest reported data rates of 100 Gb/s using OOK modulation were also demonstrated in carrier depletion modulators.112 Carrier depletion phase shifters exhibit a relatively limited capacitance of 0.2 to 0.8 pF/mm2,103 and, hence, a limited modulation efficiency. In order to improve the modulation efficiency, the capacitance can be increased by either shrinking the mode size (determined by the waveguide geometry) or by reducing the width of the depletion region (higher transition capacitance).21 The latter requires higher doping concentrations, which leads to higher loss due to free carrier absorption. Over the years, a wide variety of PN junction designs are reported to solve this challenge of optimizing modulator speed, efficiency, and loss at the same time.13,15,17,18,22,71–78,107–109,116,117,119 Distinct categories of PN junction implementation are as follows:

(i) Vertical (P)N junction, in which the PN junction is horizontally placed along the top and bottom surface of the waveguide8,107–111 [see Fig. 1(b)]. These junctions are known to provide higher overlap between the optical mode and the depletion region to improve the modulation efficiency (higher capacitance) and reduced power consumption.18,107–111

(ii) Horizontal or lateral PN junction, in which the PN junction is vertically placed side-by-side in the center of the waveguide (laterally symmetric design)17,116,117,119 [see Fig. 1(b)]. The horizontal PN junction is abundantly used in various adapted forms to improve the \( \alpha \cdot V_{O} \cdot L \) of the modulator. A few examples include (a) lateral PN junction with an offset from the center of the active region of the modulator,12,22,71–76 and (b) lateral junction formed by placing a p-doped slit in the center of the waveguide85 while leaving the waveguide edges or corners undoped15,107. The rationale of (a) and (b) is to exploit the higher index change and lower loss provided by the dominantly p-doped active region.13,15,17,75,78,107

(iii) Interleaved or interdigitated PN junction, which comprises an alignment tolerant junction implemented by alternating the p-type and n-type doping regions along the length of the waveguide8,103,104 [see Fig. 1(b)]. This type of junction is known to provide a good modulation efficiency by trading-off the speed and power efficiency for such a junction.32,80–84

One of the first 40 Gb/s modulators relied on a vertical PIN junction and delivered a modulation efficiency of 4 V · cm and phase shifter loss of 18 dB/cm.106 Using a vertical P(IN) junction design, an athermal microdisk modulator with a high modulation efficiency of 250 pm/V consuming 0.9 fJ/bit while operating at 25 Gb/s using NRZ-OOK signaling has been reported.58 At 25 Gb/s, the modulator had an IL of ~1 dB and an ER of 6 dB. One of the highest operating speeds of 60 Gb/s with 3.8 dB ER comprised a symmetric PN junction.15 In this case, the modulator operated in a series of push–pull modes by connecting back-to-back the phase shifter PN junction embedded on both arms of the MZI. The series connection of the two phase shifters halves the net capacitance, and the push–pull scheme limits the chirp of the modulator. The modulator shows 50 GHz bandwidth at 4 V reverse bias and an average modulation efficiency of 3.2 V · cm for a 4-mm-long PN junction.17 In another implementation, a symmetric carrier depletion MZM with sub-1 V drive signal operated at 20 Gb/s and delivered a power consumption as low as 200 fJ/bit.118
Yet another variant of the symmetric lateral PN junction used the doping compensating technique\textsuperscript{120} to demonstrate a 50-Gb/s MZM comprising a 3-mm-long phase shifter with a modulation efficiency of 1.85 V · cm and a loss of 3.9 dB. An RM relying on a symmetric phase shifter configuration delivered a modulation efficiency of 25 pm/V at 0 V\textsuperscript{119} to demonstrate 36 fJ/bit energy consumption for 40 Gb/s operation on a compact footprint of 0.5 mm\textsuperscript{2}. A recent implementation demonstrates a 64-Gb/s MZM, in which the carrier depletion-based phase shifter is implemented through a 200-μm-long photonic crystal slow light structure.\textsuperscript{114} The modulator used a meandered RF electrode terminated with a 20 Ω resistor to provide a bandwidth of 38 GHz by preventing the velocity mismatch of the electrical and optical signals. The modulator required a voltage swing of 5.5 V to provide an energy consumption of 21 pJ/bit and provided 4.8 dB ER. The literature also reports various other demonstrations of asymmetric (where there is an offset of the PN junction from the waveguide center) carrier depletion-based phase shifters.\textsuperscript{8,16,72,74,76} For example, a Michelson interferometer junction from the waveguide center) carrier depletion-based provided 4.8 dB ER. The literature also reports various other dem-

tations, where a self-aligning process allows for the alignment of the n-doped region to the edge of the waveguide.\textsuperscript{16,74} In one im-

plementation of a fully shifted PN junction, a 50-Gb/s MZM modulator provided a modulation efficiency of 2.8 V · cm and an IL of 4 dB for a 1-mm-long phase shifter.\textsuperscript{16} By further optimizing the implementation of self-aligned PN junctions,\textsuperscript{74} a demonstration provided an ~1 dB/mm loss without compromising the speed of the modulator. The self-aligning process is further exploited to implement a PIPIN phase shifter with a modulation efficiency of 3.5 V · cm for a 0.95-mm-long phase shifter introducing 4.5 dB optical loss when operated at 40 Gb/s.\textsuperscript{79} Depletion-based modulators relying on an interleaved phase shifter design have also delivered high-speed operation. A modulator comprising a 3-mm-long interleaved phase shifter reported a high modulation efficiency of 0.62 V · cm with a static IL of 2.8 dB while delivering 40 Gb/s modulation speed.\textsuperscript{81} An RM comprising a ring resonator with a 100-μm radius, and an MZM with a 0.95-mm phase shifter length operated at 40 Gb/s speed using an interleaved phase shifter delivering a modulation efficiency and loss of 2.4 V · cm and 2.1 dB/mm, respectively.\textsuperscript{32} Apart from standalone implementations of high-speed phase shifters through these three baseline junction configurations and their adaptations, there are reports on junction implementations that rely on combining these three schemes in a single PN junction design.\textsuperscript{9,33,79,85,86} An example is the substrate-removed “zig-zag” phase shifter for an MZM delivering 1.6 V · cm modulation efficiency for a 2-mm-long phase shifter with 4.4 dB loss.\textsuperscript{14} The modulator provided 55 GHz bandwidth and modulation speed of 90 Gb/s.\textsuperscript{14,37} Another example includes a phase shifter for 40 Gb/s operation of a racetrack RM by combining horizontal, vertical, and interleaved approaches. This implementation delivered a modulation efficiency of 0.76 V · cm at −1 V reverse bias and a loss of 3.5 dB/mm.\textsuperscript{35} A recent result demonstrated an RM with a record low modulation efficiency of 0.52 V · cm by employing a phase shifter comprising a combination of horizontal and vertical junctions by wrapping the n-doped region over the intrinsic and the p-doped region.\textsuperscript{9} The modulator showed 50 GHz bandwidth and delivered 70 fJ/bit energy consumption for 64 Gb/s operation.

In the discussion presented above, we have provided a summary of the latest developments made for the improvement of plasma dispersion effect-based modulators. Figure 1(a) represents the three baseline plasma dispersion phase shifter architectures and their respective biasing configuration. Figure 1(b) gives an overview of a variety of other phase shifter configurations for carrier injection, carrier depletion, and carrier accumulation phase shifters.

Table 2 summarizes the typical performance for the injection, accumulation, and depletion-type plasma dispersion modulators. Table 2 also presents the best-reported results for the modulation efficiencies, losses, data rates, and energy consumption. There is an interplay of a vast pool of variables, such as junction type and its actuation mechanism, doping concentrations (typically ranging from ~10\textsuperscript{17} to ~10\textsuperscript{18} cm\textsuperscript{-3}) and their positions, among other factors, which influence the performance of these modulators.

| Principle                  | Modulation efficiency $V_m$ (V · cm) | Loss (dB/cm) | Length \(l\) of phase shifter (mm) | Data rate \(f\) (Gb/s) | Energy/bit (fJ/bit) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Carrier injection\textsuperscript{a} | <0.5 (0.058)                         | ~70 (28)     | ≥0.1 to <0.3                       | <40 (70)                | ~1000 for MZMs and RMs (0.1\textsuperscript{19}) |
| Carrier accumulation\textsuperscript{b} | <0.3 (0.16)                         | 50 to 80 (~35) | ≤0.5                              | ~40 (40)                | >200 for MZMs, <200 (3\textsuperscript{16}) for SLMs\textsuperscript{b} |
| Carrier depletion\textsuperscript{c} | −2 (0.52)                           | 10 to 30 (2.6) | >1                                | >40 (100\textsuperscript{12,13}) | ~200 for MZMs (32.4\textsuperscript{19}), <40 for RMs (0.9\textsuperscript{18}) |

\textsuperscript{a}The phase shifter lengths are quoted for the non-resonant implementations.

\textsuperscript{b}The rates for an OOK modulation scheme. The data rates for the carrier injection scheme are presented for approaches that do not require preemphasis of the modulating signal.

\textsuperscript{c}Typical performance for carrier-injection plasma dispersion phase modulators is based on Refs. 7, 8, 37, 61–67, and 88–100.

\textsuperscript{d}Typical performance for the carrier-accumulation plasma dispersion modulators is based on Refs. 5, 12, 68, 69, 69, 70, and 101–105.

\textsuperscript{e}Typical performance for the carrier-depletion plasma dispersion modulators is based on Refs. 8–11, 13–19, 22, 32, 33, 71–87, and 106–123.

\textsuperscript{f}Based on 1D-SiPh crystal.

\textsuperscript{g}For photonic crystal cavity SLM.
the thickness of the slab waveguide (typically ranging from 1/3 to 1/4 of the guiding silicon thickness), the thickness of the guiding silicon layer (typically <1 µm), choice of the passive structure for the translation of phase modulation to amplitude modulation, and the design of the driving electrode (lumped, TW, and segmented), which can influence the performance of plasma dispersion modulators. In many cases, one performance parameter has to be delicately traded-off against another specification. This makes the design of a plasma dispersion modulator, which can meet all of the performance requirements concurrently, far from trivial. Operation of plasma dispersion modulators has shown modest chirp-induced penalty for short- and long-haul links.3,26,30 The co-design and co-optimization of the driver–modulator design is the key to taking the performance of plasma dispersion modulators to a new level.122

3 New Materials on Silicon for High-Speed Modulators

Recent years have seen growing efforts to integrate materials with strong electro-optic effects on SiPh platforms.153–192,208–228 The integration of new materials provides routes for optically broadband and energy-efficient high-speed modulation with little or no current flow.176,181,188,202 Furthermore, in some cases, these new materials provide an excellent decoupling between amplitude and phase modulation, which is difficult to achieve with contemporary all-silicon plasma dispersion modulation schemes.174,178,184

Through the wafer-scale integration of these new material systems with SiPh platforms, one can achieve impressive modulator performance, while at the same time preserving the benefits provided by the mature SiPh technology.131 These new materials can be broadly categorized into the following:

- (silicon-)germanium,
- ferroelectrics,
- III–V semiconductors,
- 2D materials, and
- organic (electro-optic) materials.

Early results of high-speed modulators by integrating electro-optic materials with the SiPh platforms have shown a lot of promise. Many research teams are attempting to take their maturity and performance beyond the performance of plasma dispersion modulators. The sections below discuss the key modulator implementations by integrating these materials into SiPh platforms.

3.1 (Silicon-)Germanium

The FK effect and the QCS effect provide possible routes to implement compact, low-power, and high-speed electro-absorption modulators (EAMs) in SiPh.143,144,246 Under the influence of an applied electric field, the band edge tilts to enhance the absorption coefficient for GeSi bulk material (FK effect) or Ge/SiGe quantum well (QW) structures (QCS effect).131,38,145,146 These effects are intrinsically fast through their sub-ps response time.132,220 The QCS effect is stronger than the FK effect143,250 due to the strong excitonic effect and discretized density of states. The integration of bulk GeSi or Ge/SiGe QW requires epitaxial growth on SiPh platforms. The FK effect is considered more attractive than the QCS effect in the context of integration feasibility. The latter requires a more complex epitaxial growth process for band alignment and strain balancing.152,230 A large change in the absorption coefficient (typically ranging from 100 to 1000 cm⁻¹ in the C-band146) enables the implementation of a compact and energy-efficient EAM using the FK effect and QCS effect.31,230 Both effects rely on band engineering. Therefore, they have limited optical bandwidth (~30 nm for the FK effect and ~20 nm for the QCS effect)134,149. In one of the first SiPh EAMs, the L-band absorption spectrum is shifted to the C-band by a tensiled 0.8% GeSi alloy.31 On a small footprint of only 30 µm, the EAM actuated by a vertical PIN diode provided an energy consumption of only 50 fJ/bit. This EAM could operate over a 14-nm bandwidth from 1539 to 1553 nm and delivered an efficiency (defined as Δα/αₜ₉₉₉, where Δα is the absorption difference between ON-state and OFF-state, and α₉₉₉ is the absorption for the ON-state, in which no electric field is applied) of 2. Despite the limited bandwidth of 1 GHz, this promising demonstration triggered other demonstrations of EAMs.20,58,133,139,231,232 An evanescently coupled 55 µm² GeSi EAM has been reported on a thick SOI platform (silicon guiding layer thickness of 3 µm), actuated by a horizontal PIN junction.135 This EAM is butt-coupled to the thick SOI waveguide through an appropriately designed taper.135 The modulator has an efficiency of ~1. This EAM operated in the C-band and provided 28 Gb/s operation with 60 fJ/bit energy consumption.136 Literature also reports an L-band Ge EAM and a GeSi C-band EAM with an electrical 3-dB bandwidth of ~50 GHz for an optical bandwidth of 30 nm.136 In this case, the EAM is butt-coupled to a silicon waveguide through a tapered waveguide section. The Ge or GeSi alloy, which is grown on a silicon recess, has a lateral PIN diode. A highly doped contact on the silicon layer biases the EAM. The modulator consumed 12.8 fJ/bit energy at modulation speeds of 56 Gb/s and provided an FoM of <1. Using this GeSi EAM, a digital to analog converter-less and digital signal processing (DSP)-free 100 Gb/s transmission over a 500-m-long single-mode fiber using NRZ-OOK signaling has been reported.20,137

There are various reports on the implementation of the Ge/SiGe QCS effect-based modulators.141–145 In one case, a Ge/SiGe quantum well modulator is butt-coupled to a thin SOI rib waveguide in such a way that the QWs and the rib waveguide are vertically aligned with each other to have maximum overlap.147 The active section of the modulator, which comprised 15 pairs of Ge/Si₀.₁₅Ge₀.₈₅ QWs, is driven by a vertical PIN diode. The 8-µm² modulator showed 3.5 GHz bandwidth by using a 1-V voltage swing (5.5 to 6.5 V) to provide ~3 dB of ER. In another implementation, Ge QWs are epitaxially grown at temperatures below 450°C on the silicon-rich Si₁₋ₓGeₓ low-loss waveguide platform.141 The x concentration is selected such that it simultaneously ensures low indirect bandgap absorption and a sufficiently small lattice mismatch with the QWs. The 400-µm² modulator with 6.3 GHz bandwidth and 0 to 3 V swing provided ~4 dB ER and 3 dB IL.
The FK effect or QCS effect electro-absorption amplitude modulators allow for the implementation of coherent I/Q and advanced amplitude modulation schemes such as PAM-4. These modulation schemes are a promising solution for short- and long-reach links because they are spectrally efficient while being tolerant of optical fiber transmission impairments. The implementation of an EAM-based I/Q or PAM-4 modulator relies on balanced MZIs with an EAM and a fixed phase-shift in the arms of the MZI. Typically, an unequal splitting of the optical carrier is required by the splitter of the MZI to achieve the appropriate eye-opening for PAM-4 or constellation for the I/Q signal. Literature reports an EAM-based PAM-4 modulator by integrating an EAM in each arm of a two-port balanced MZI. In this modulator, a fixed phase shift is provided by embedding a thermal phase shifter in one of the two arms of the MZI. The device modulated a 128-Gb/s PAM-4 signal without requiring any DSP. Furthermore, there are reports on the demonstrations of modulating QPSK and 16-QAM signals using EAM-based coherent modulators. The energy consumption of these modulators takes a hit due to the power-hungry thermo-optic effect required to provide a fixed phase shift in the arms of the MZIs.

Figure 2 represents the typical cross sections of FK EAMs and QCS effect-based EAMs in SiPh. This figure also summarizes the integration routes of these materials with SiPh. Table 3 summarizes the typical and the best-reported performance for (silicon-)germanium modulators. This table covers the performance of the FK effect-based EAMs as well as QCS effect-based EAMs. The FoM for both FK and QCS effect-based EAMs is typically <2, although QCS effect-based modulators can potentially deliver better FoM due to their stronger excitonic absorption peaks. In most cases, FK-effect-based EAMs operate either in the L-band or C-band. Therefore, the QCS effect modulators can operate in the O-band as well. In terms of high-speed operation, FK effect-based EAMs have reached line rates of up to 100 Gb/s for OOK modulation schemes, whereas the QCS effect-based modulators have not yet demonstrated such a high speed of operation.

### Table 3: Typical and state-of-the-art performance matrix for amplitude modulation by the FK effect and QCS effect

| Principle                          | FoM  | ER/IL | Loss (dB) | Modulator length (mm) | Data rate \(\text{a} (\text{Gb/s})\) | Energy/bit \(\text{fJ/bit}\) |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| FK effect \(\text{b}\)            | <2   | 2     | <6 (4.8)  | ~0.050                | >40 (100)                       | <50 (13)                    |
| Quantum-confined Stark effect \(\text{c}\) | <2   | 2     | <6 (1.3)  | <0.25                 | <10 (7)                         | <100 (16)                  |

\(\text{a}\) The data rate is for the OOK modulation scheme. The highest reported data rate with QCS effect modulators is 7 Gb/s. The highest modulation bandwidth has been reported to be 23 GHz.

\(\text{b}\) Typical performance for the FK EAMs is based on Refs. 20, 31, 38, 128, and 133–139.

\(\text{c}\) Typical performance for the QCS EAMs is based on Refs. 141–152.
electric field. As ferroelectric materials are non-centrosymmetric, they exhibit a Pockels effect. Many ferroelectrics have large Pockels coefficients compared to non-ferroelectric inorganic materials. The dominant contribution to the Pockels coefficients in these ferroelectrics tends to come from lattice vibrations rather than electron movements. Because of their strong Pockels effect, the integration of ferroelectric materials such as LiNbO₃, barium titanate (BaTiO₃), and lead zirconate titanate (PZT) with SiPh provides a promising route for the implementation of efficient, high-speed modulators. A variety of integration techniques have been explored, such as molecular beam epitaxy (MBE), pulsed laser deposition, chemical solution deposition (CSD), RF magnetron sputtering, metal organic chemical vapor deposition, and atomic layer deposition. The two key requirements for integrating ferroelectric materials with SiPh are to ensure a high electro-optic coefficient and low propagation loss. The following sections will review the latest developments to implement high-speed modulators by integrating ferroelectric materials with SiPh.

### 3.2.1 LiNbO₃ on silicon (nitride) high-speed modulators

LiNbO₃ is a widely used material for modulators and provides high-speed devices with a bandwidth of >35 GHz. LiNbO₃ modulators have been used for a long time in fiber-optic networks. These devices are based on low-index-contrast waveguides in bulk LiNbO₃, in which the index contrast between the core and cladding of a LiNbO₃ waveguide is provided by the diffusion of Ti or Li ions. The weak optical guiding resulting from the low-index-contrast requires the electrical contacts to be placed far away from the waveguides, resulting in typical $V_{π} \cdot L$ of 10 to 20 V cm. Recent demonstrations of high-index-contrast LiNbO₃-on-insulator (LNOI) modulators have shown energy-efficient operation (a few tens of fJ/bit energy consumption) with 100-GHz bandwidth, ~2 V cm modulation efficiency, and <0.5 dB loss for a device that is a few millimeters long (typically 2 to 20 mm long). These thin-film LNOI modulators rely on LiNbO₃ layers of a few hundred nanometers thickness, which are bonded on top of SiO₂. Several approaches have been reported in the last two decades to integrate LiNbO₃ with silicon at room temperature and to demonstrate high-speed modulation by bonding LiNbO₃ with silicon or silicon nitride. The Pockels coefficient of integrated LiNbO₃ is comparable to that of bulk LiNbO₃, but most of these integration attempts have resulted in modulator bandwidths that are smaller than the all-silicon modulator implementations. Recently, a tremendous boost in the speeds (not yet the overall performance) of such modulators has been reported. For example, for a 5-mm-long TW-MZM that was realized by direct oxide bonding of thin-film LiNbO₃ on top of a silicon waveguide, a bandwidth of 100 GHz and a loss of 7.6 dB have been reported. The modulator had a limited efficiency of 6.7 V cm due to <100% vertical coupling of light into the unpatterned LiNbO₃ membrane on top of the silicon waveguide. In another implementation, the complete coupling of light from a silicon waveguide to a patterned LiNbO₃ rib waveguide through a vertical adiabatic coupler resulted in a modulation efficiency of ~2.5 V cm. With a 2.5-dB loss, the 5-mm-long LiNbO₃ phase shifter embedded in an MZI and driven by a TW electrode in the push–pull configuration provided 70 GHz bandwidth and enabled single-lane 100 Gb/s NRZ-OOK transmission. Unlike the other reported implementation, the integration of LiNbO₃ with the SiPh PIC was carried out using BCB-bonding. Monolithic integration of LiNbO₃ with SiPh PICs has yet to be reported.

### 3.2.2 BaTiO₃ on silicon high-speed modulators

In recent years, there has been a lot of interest in the integration of BaTiO₃ with SiPh. BaTiO₃ is a promising material for integration with SiPh because of its high Pockels coefficient in bulk form, LiNbO₃ has a Pockels coefficient of ~30 pm/V, whereas BTO offers one of the highest Pockels coefficients (>1000 pm/V) in bulk form; (b) feasibility of integration with a silicon substrate; (c) capability to offer high-speed modulation; (d) high chemical and thermal stability of BTO; and (e) feasibility of low-loss hybrid BTO-Si passive circuitry. The integration of BTO with SiPh relies on epitaxial growth enabling integration compatible with 200 and 300 mm silicon wafers. The large lattice mismatch (~27%) between BTO and Si makes the integration of the two materials far from trivial. A SrTiO₃ (STO) buffer layer of a couple of nanometers thickness reduces this lattice mismatch to ~2%. Among the various possible routes to grow BTO on SiPh platforms, MBE is known to provide the highest (>900 pm/V) Pockels coefficient in BTO thin films. In one approach, the BTO growth is done directly on an SOI wafer. Afterward, an amorphous silicon layer with a thickness equal to the thickness of the c-Si layer of the SOI wafer is grown. The resulting heterostructure is patterned lithographically to form a horizontal slot waveguide. Stronger guiding of the optical signal in the low-index BTO layer ($n_{BTO} \sim 2.38$) enhances the overlap of the optical and electrical field in the BTO layer. This approach provided a Pockels coefficient of 213 ± 49 pm/V. A ring-based modulator with a modulation efficiency of 1.5 V · cm and a bandwidth of 4.9 GHz has been reported, where the limited bandwidth is associated with the parasitic electrical effects. A key challenge faced by the integration approach mentioned in Ref. 177 and other integration approaches is the high propagation loss (>40 dB/cm). The origin of this high loss is attributed to the absorption of hydrogen by the STO seed layer during the fabrication of the slot waveguide. Furthermore, it has been shown that a loss of 6 dB/cm in a strip-loaded horizontal slot waveguide can be achieved by low-temperature annealing. Apart from the aforementioned approach, where BTO is grown directly on an SOI wafer, another possible approach entails the formation of a BTO/Si heterostructure by bonding an SOI wafer with a BTO layer grown on a host SOI wafer. For such a bonded BTO/Si heterostructure, a Pockels coefficient of 923 pm/V has been reported (30 times larger than that of a LiNbO₃ structure). In one implementation, a plasmonic MZM with a 10-μm-long BTO/Si phase shifter with 25 dB loss delivered 72 Gb/s and required a $V_{π}$ of 14.5 V (modulation efficiency of 0.0145 V · cm). The modulator operated successfully for temperatures up to 130°C. Furthermore, a wafer-level bonding of Si/BTO heterostructures on the back-end-of-line (BEOL) dielectric layer of an advanced SiPh platform has been reported. This integration led to the demonstration of an MZM with a limited bandwidth of 2 GHz (mostly limited by the electrical bandwidth of the electrodes). The modulator had a modulation efficiency of 0.2 V · cm and loss of <6 dB for a 1-mm-long phase shifter.
3.2.3 PZT on silicon nitride high-speed modulators

PZT is an orthorhombic lead-containing ceramic ferroelectric material. Though BTO has a large Pockels coefficient, its use in SiPh requires complex and expensive integration technology. As compared to BTO, PZT has a smaller Pockels coefficient, but it can be deposited by a low-cost and simple CSD method. Ultra-thin lanthanide-based intermediate seed layers enable the deposition of high-quality thin (up to 200 nm) PZT layers on silicon, with Pockels coefficients up to 150 pm/V. PZT thin films have been integrated with silicon nitride photonic circuits. An AM with a bandwidth of 33 GHz and an MZM with a bandwidth of 27 GHz have been demonstrated, with a modulation efficiency of ~100 Gb/s. In terms of modulation efficiency, BTO-based modulators have shown a lot of promise with typical reported values of <0.5 V · cm.

3.3 Organic Electro-Optic Materials

Organic electro-optic materials are typically based on π-conjugated molecules with strong electron donor and acceptor groups at the ends of the π-conjugated structure. The delocalized electrons associated with this π-conjugated structure are confined by a strongly asymmetric potential well, giving rise to large Pockels coefficients. In contrast to the ferroelectrics discussed above, the Pockels effect in these organic electro-optic materials is mostly electronic in nature and can thus provide an ultra-fast response. Organic electro-optic materials can be processed at room temperature using inexpensive solution-based techniques, whereas the growth of inorganic Pockels materials typically requires high temperatures and/or the use of vacuum equipment.

Figure 3 represents the typical cross sections of ferroelectric modulators in SiPh. This figure also summarizes the integration routes of the respective materials with SiPh. Table 4 presents a summary of the typical and the best-reported modulation efficiencies, losses, data rates, and energy consumption for the ferroelectric-based modulators in SiPh. For all three types (i.e., LiNbO₃, BTO, and PZT), modulation speeds ≥40 Gb/s have been demonstrated. LiNbO₃-based modulators are outstanding in terms of low-loss operation and have delivered speeds of ~100 Gb/s. In terms of modulation efficiency, BTO-based modulators have shown a lot of promise with typical reported values of <0.5 V · cm.
Table 4 Typical and state-of-the-art performance matrix for the ferroelectric and organic high-speed phase modulators in SiPh. The parentheses contain the best-reported result for a performance attribute.

| Scheme                          | Modulation efficiency $V_e \cdot L$ (V · cm) | Loss (dB/cm) | Length of phase shifter (mm) | Data rate$^b$ (Gb/s) | Energy/bit (fJ/bit) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| LiNbO$_3$ integrated with SiPh$^c$ | $<3$ (2.2$^{174}$)                        | $\sim 1$ (0.98$^{174}$) | $>1$                          | $>70$ (100$^{174}$) | $>100$ (170$^{174}$) |
| BaTiO$_3$ integrated with SiPh$^d$ | $<0.5$ (0.2$^{182}$)                     | $>40$ (6$^{182}$)  | $>1$                          | $>40$ (72$^{180}$)  | $<100$ $^{179}$     |
| PZT integrated with SiPh$^a$    | 1 (1$^{183}$)                                 | 1 (1$^{183}$)  | $>2$                          | 40 (40$^{184}$)     | -                   |
| Organics integrated with SiPh$^f$ | $<0.05$ (0.032$^{190}$)                  | $<45$ (20$^{228}$) | $<1.5$                        | $<50$ (100$^{196}$) | $<100$ (0.7$^{192}$) |

$^a$Plasmonic modulators are not included in this table.
$^b$The data rates are reported for the OOK modulation scheme.
$^c$Typical performance for LiNbO$_3$ integrated with SiPh is based on Refs. 167–174 and 228.
$^d$Typical performance for BaTiO$_3$ integrated with SiPh is based on Refs. 175–182 and 228.
$^e$Typical performance for PZT integrated with SiPh is based on Refs. 183–185 and 228.
$^f$Typical performance for the organics integrated with SiPh is based on Refs. 186–192, 192–200, and 228.

high modulation bandwidth (>100 GHz)$^{191,259}$ and low-energy consumption of a few fJ/bit.$^{192,228}$ With $\pi$-voltages below 1 V, SOH modulators may be directly driven by standard CMOS circuits without the need for any additional drive amplifiers,$^{266}$ thereby opening a path toward greatly reduced power dissipation in highly integrated transceivers. For the fabrication of SOH devices, the organic electro-optic material is deposited onto fully processed SiPh chips in a postprocessing step, which requires removal of the BEOL layer stack of a standard SiPh process flow.$^{228}$ SOH modulators rely on slot waveguides,$^{188,191}$ which guarantees enhanced interaction between the highly confined optical mode in the slot waveguide and the organic electro-optic material filling the slot. To pole the electro-optic material after deposition, the devices are heated, and a DC voltage is applied across the slot waveguides. This non-volatile poling transforms the random orientation of the electro-optic chromophores in the slot into an acentric orientation, thereby leading to a non-zero Pockels coefficient. After cooling down the device to ambient temperature, the voltage can be removed, and the poled electro-optic material preserves its orientation. SOH modulators have shown exemplary performance over the years. Some key results are reported in Refs. 189–191, 193, 196, and 261. The main skepticism faced by the SOH modulators comes from their long-term photochemical and photothermal stability. Recent research results reported in Refs. 195 and 262 have shown long-term stability of SOH devices following Telecordia standards. These early experiments have shown that in storing the SOH modulators at elevated temperatures of 85°C, the degradation of the electro-optic activity converges to a stable level where the $V_e \cdot L$-product increases by $<15\%$ after 2400 h.

The limitations of poled organic materials can be overcome by substituting them with crystalline organic materials, which are inherently resilient to higher temperatures with good photochemical stability and do not require any poling.$^{190}$ The electro-optic coefficients of the organic crystals are smaller (typically $\eta < 500$ pm/V$^{258}$) than those of the poled organic polymers.$^{190}$ The integration of organic crystals requires growth to functionalize the silicon waveguides.$^{154,199}$ An N-benzyl-2-methyl-4-nitroaniline crystal has been deposited on an SOI waveguide to demonstrate an MZM that could operate at 12.5 Gb/s with a modulation efficiency of 1.2 V · cm and a loss of 16 dB in the phase modulator with a 1.5 mm length.$^{199}$

Typically, the analogue bandwidth of current SOH modulators is limited to $\sim$25 GHz by the finite conductivity of the doped silicon slabs that provide an electrical contact with the rails of the slot waveguide.$^{190}$ These bandwidths may be improved to more than 100 GHz by exploiting optimized waveguide designs that rely on multi-step doping profiles.$^{289}$ To that end, doped silicon slabs have also been replaced by BTO to feed the modulating RF signal to the EO material in the slot waveguide.$^{290}$ This so-called capacitive SOH modulator produces a bandwidth of 65 GHz, which was sufficient to produce a 100-Gb/s OOK signal.$^{290}$ The modulator had a modulation efficiency of 0.13 V · cm; the loss from the phase shifter section is not reported. Yet another variant of the SOH modulator is the plasmonic-organic hybrid (POH) modulator,$^{258,264-266}$ where the silicon slot waveguide is replaced by a metal plasmonic slot waveguide to provide even higher optical confinement.$^{258,266}$ The deposition of an organic electro-optic material on the metal slot waveguide leads to compact high-speed devices with bandwidths of hundreds of gigahertz.$^{266,270}$ POH modulators are compact with typical lengths of a few microns and offer a low-energy consumption of a few tens of fJ/bit along with ultra-low voltage-length products of typically $\sim 0.005$ V · cm$^{258}$—nearly an order of magnitude lower than those of classical SOH modulators. The obvious limitation of the POH modulators is the high propagation loss in the plasmonic slot-waveguide section with typical values of 200 dB/mm$^{200,258}$. Despite the high efficiencies, this leads to substantial $\pi$-voltage-loss-products of the order of 10 V · dB.$^{188}$

Table 4 provides a summary of typical modulation efficiencies, losses, speeds, and energy consumption for organic electro-optic modulators in SiPh. When compared with the other Pockels modulators, the organic electro-optic modulators provide the best modulation efficiency with a reported value of 0.032 V · cm.$^{190}$ Organic electro-optic modulators have shown up to 100 Gb/s$^{196}$ operation and sub-fJ/bit energy consumption.$^{192}$ Figure 3(d) represents the typical cross section of organics modulators in SiPh.

3.4 III–V Semiconductors

The integration of III–V semiconductors with SiPh platforms is a well-established research field, as it provides a viable route for the integration of laser sources with SiPh PICs.$^{271–275}$ Direct bonding,$^{272}$ BCB-aided adhesive bonding,$^{271}$ III–V/Si flip-chip bonding,$^{275}$ and transfer printing$^{274}$ are some of the most established bonding mechanisms for III–V/Si integration.
Demonstrations of III-V integration with advanced SiPh platforms have been reported.\cite{276,277} Demonstrations of epitaxial growth of III-V on Si have also been reported despite the large lattice mismatch between Si and III-V (for example, InP has 8% lattice mismatch with Si).\cite{278,279} The integration of III-V on silicon also provides a route for the implementation of efficient high-speed modulators because they provide (a) a large electron-induced refractive-index change, (b) a high electron mobility, and (c) a low carrier-plasma absorption.\cite{166}

The reported implementations of III-V/Si modulators typically use a MOS (SISCAP) modulator architecture.\cite{161-163} In these modulators, n-doped III-V material, for example, InGaAsP, is bonded to a p-doped Si layer with an insulating layer separating the two.\cite{161-163} This results in a SISCAP architecture, where the insulating layer acts as a capacitor. A change in the refractive index takes place due to the charge accumulation due to the biasing of the doped silicon and III-V layer. According to Drude’s model, the plasma dispersion induced refractive index change $\Delta n$ is inversely proportional to the effective masses of the electrons and holes.\cite{61,69} As compared to the electron mass of Si, the lighter electron mass of n-doped III-V results in a 17 times stronger electron-induced refractive index change.\cite{161} Furthermore, an n-doped III-V provides a larger ratio of $-\Delta n$ to $\Delta k$, where $\Delta k$ is the change in the absorption coefficient,\cite{161} resulting in a phase modulator with reduced spurious mode of operation.\cite{161,163}

In one report, an InGaAsP/Al2O3/Si MOS structure provided a modulation efficiency of 0.047 V·cm and a phase shifter loss of ~19.4 dB/cm.\cite{163} In another report, an InGaAsP/Al2O3/Si MOS structure provided\cite{156} a modulation efficiency of 0.09 V·cm with an absorption loss of 26 dB/cm in the phase shifter. Both demonstrations show an impressive modulation efficiency. But, the electro-optic cut-off frequency is rather limited due to the large oxide capacitance in the carrier accumulation mode of operation.\cite{161,163}

One possible approach to break the trade-off between the high-speed operation and high modulation efficiency banks on operating the III-V on Si MOS phase shifter in reverse bias.\cite{165,280} The III-V on Si MOS modulator that is operated in reverse bias is architecturally similar to the forward biased III-V on Si modulator.\cite{161,163,165,280} For a given thickness of the oxide layer, the reverse biased III-V on Si phase shifter has a reduced depletion capacitance as compared to the accumulation capacitance.\cite{165,280} The combined plasma dispersion effect and FK effect in the reverse biased III-V on Si MOS phase shifter ensure a modulation efficiency that is comparable to that in the accumulation mode while ensuring a low-loss operation due to the limited hole density in the n-doped InGaAsP layer of the modulator.\cite{165,280} A III-V on Si MOS modulator with a 250-μm-long phase shifter and a 9-nm-thick oxide layer has been reported with a modulation efficiency of 0.11 V·cm.\cite{165}

As compared to the carrier accumulation MOS, the reverse biased MOS provides a 3.3× lower depletion capacitance, hence paving the way for a 3.3× improvement in modulation bandwidth and energy consumption per bit. The implementation of III-V on Si MOS modulators is not limited to MZIs only.\cite{144} By implementing a III-V on Si MOS modulator in a racetrack configuration (implementing III-V on Si MOS modulators in a ring is challenging due to the long taper length needed for the III-V on Si hybrid waveguide), the energy consumption of a 40-GHz (3-dB bandwidth) modulator has been improved by a factor of 3.7 as compared to the III-V on Si MZMs, while delivering a modulation efficiency of 0.064 V·cm.\cite{164} The 100-μm-long phase shifter section of the modulators comprised a thin aluminum oxide gate layer separating the n-type III-V and the p-doped Si layer. Recently, a proof-of-concept, taper-less integration of a few tens of nanometers thick III-V layer with Si has been demonstrated to reduce the footprint and loss of the device\cite{281} without compromising the modulation efficiency of the III-V on Si MOS capacitor.

Apart from phase modulators, EAMs have been reported by the bonding of III-V QWs with silicon.\cite{140,282} These EAMs use a PIN architecture, where the application of a bias voltage changes the optical absorption of the intrinsic multiple QWs due to the QCS effect.\cite{282} A segmented electrode, comprising a low-impedance active modulation section cascaded by a high-impedance passive section, provides high-bandwidth operation while ensuring low reflection and good modulation efficiency.\cite{140} A >67 GHz bandwidth hybrid III-V on silicon EAM has been demonstrated using this scheme.\cite{140} In the O-band, this modulator has an IL of 4.9 dB, and it shows >9 dB of dynamic ER for 50 Gb/s operation over a 16-km-long optical fiber link.

Figure 4 represents the typical cross sections of III-V on Si phase modulators and EAMs. This figure also summarizes the routes to integrate III-V on Si. Table 5 presents a summary of the typical and best-reported values for the modulation efficiencies, losses, data rates, and energy consumption for forward and reverse biased III-V on Si phase modulators as well as for III-V on Si EAMs. III-V on Si modulators have shown an impressive modulation efficiency and low-loss operation, but so far experimental demonstrations of high-speed operation (~100 Gb/s) remain elusive.

### 3.5 2D Materials

Atomically thick 2D materials, the most prominent graphene, have received considerable interest in the last decade to implement efficient modulators by integration with Si/SiN PICs.\cite{1,153-160,201-208} The implementation of graphene-based modulators in SiPh involves the transfer of the graphene layer on a Si or SiN waveguide.\cite{1} Mature and wafer-scale chemical vapor deposition is the widely adopted scheme to deposit graphene on a variety of metallic and dielectric substrates.\cite{283-285} The transfer of the graphene layer on a Si or SiN waveguide is performed after delaminating graphene from the substrate while adding a polymer carrier to handle the graphene film.\cite{154,158} Recently, microtransfer printing technology has shown promising results to transfer graphene to the target substrate in an automatic fashion.\cite{286} A single graphene layer, which is only a fraction of a nanometer thick, can absorb 2.3% of light with a wavelength ranging from the visible to THz wavelengths (graphene is a gapless material). Furthermore, graphene has a very high electron mobility of >100,000 cm²·V⁻¹·s⁻¹ (silicon has a carrier mobility of 1400 cm²·V⁻¹·s⁻¹).\cite{286,287,288} These two properties enable the implementation of high-speed electro-absorptive\cite{153} or
The two prominent types of graphene modulators in SiPh comprise a SiPh rib waveguide, which is either loaded with a single layer or a double layer of graphene. A single-layer graphene modulator comprises a graphene loaded Si rib waveguide with a doped slab region. A few nm thick dielectric spacer, such as SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, or hBN, separates the waveguide and the graphene layer to form a capacitor. The first reported graphene modulator had a 4-dB ER and relied on a single-layer approach. The 25-µm$^2$ EAM provided 1 GHz operation, limited by the RC time constant, and an electroabsorption modulation of 0.1 dB/µm. The absorption in graphene is dependent on the bias voltage. This voltage tunes the Fermi level of graphene to enable or inhibit the interband transitions by excitation of electrons through the incident photons. This change in absorption due to carrier accumulation in the graphene sheet results in modulation of light propagating in the waveguide.

The doped Si waveguide in the single-layer graphene modulator is a source of loss, and it requires an implantation step. Double-layer graphene modulators remedy these limitations. The first double-layer graphene modulator reported 1 GHz bandwidth and an electroabsorption modulation of 16 dB/µm. The 40-µm-long modulator provided a 6-dB ER and required a 5-V driving voltage. One of the first multi-Gb/s graphene-silicon modulators relied on a single-layer graphene architecture that provided a 5.2-dB ER for a 50-µm-long EAM operating at ∼2 V. At 10 Gb/s, the modulator provided a modulation efficiency of 4.9 dB/µV. The ON state loss is 3.8 dB with a power consumption of about 350 fJ/bit.

Another result reports a double-layer graphene RM using a critical coupling effect in a 40-µm SiN ring. In this implementation, a 50-nm thick Al$_2$O$_3$ layer separates the two graphene layers. By biasing, the change in absorption due to carrier accumulation in the graphene sheet results in modulation of light propagating in the waveguide.
in the transparency of the graphene capacitor led to the modulation of the optical signal. The reduced capacitance resulting from the thick spacer between the graphene layers led to a modulator showing 30 GHz bandwidth with a modulation efficiency of 1.5 dB/V but required a −30-V DC bias and 7.5V<sub>p-p</sub>.<sup>154</sup> The modulator could transmit 22 Gb/s while consuming 800 fJ/bit. A positive chirp of a graphene EAM is an interesting property.<sup>160</sup> This feature is useful in compensating for the chromatic dispersion in an optical transmission link for the transmission of 10-Gb/s without any optical signal to noise ratio penalty.<sup>160</sup> Apart from amplitude modulation, it is also feasible to use graphene for phase modulation.<sup>201–208</sup> Phase modulation is more exciting for the encoding of complex modulation formats such as QPSK. A single-layer graphene architecture, in which the graphene layer is separated from the silicon waveguide by a thin dielectric spacer layer, acts as a phase modulator by shifting the Fermi level of graphene.<sup>201,205,207,290,291</sup> A single-layer graphene phase modulator of 300 μm length is integrated on a Si MZI and showed a modulation efficiency of 0.28 V · cm. The modulator delivered an ER of 35 dB, an EO bandwidth of 5 GHz, and a loss of 236 dB/cm.<sup>201</sup> With a 2-V<sub>p-p</sub> drive, the transmission of 10 Gb/s over a 50-km fiber span is demonstrated. The higher loss in the modulator is associated with the suboptimal transfer of graphene.<sup>201</sup> The quality of graphene, which is typically determined by the scattering time and is related to the carrier mobility, plays a detrimental role in the performance of graphene modulators.<sup>7</sup> Typically, scattering time of >100 fs is required to implement energy-efficient graphene modulators with a high ER.<sup>1</sup> Achieving 10,000 cm<sup>2</sup> · V<sup>−1</sup> · s<sup>−1</sup> graphene carrier mobility, which roughly corresponds to 300 fs scattering time, upon the complete modulator integration process would be ideal. Accomplishing this would lead to extremely low IL.<sup>209</sup> In perspective, the combination of low IL in a dual-layer graphene structure, the high graphene electro-absorption, or electro-refractive efficiency would lead to a modulator with a good FoM. It is important to mention that graphene can be used for detection,<sup>1</sup> and this makes graphene suitable for a telecommunication platform on a passive integrated circuit operating in several telecommunications bands.

Inspired by the promising results shown by graphene, other 2D materials such as tungsten disulfide (WS<sub>2</sub>) and molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) have also been integrated with SiPh. Results show phase change relative to the change in absorption for these materials at telecom wavelengths when integrated with SiN.<sup>204</sup> Figure 5 represents typical cross sections of a single layer graphene modulator (a) and a double layer graphene modulator (b) in SiPh. Table 6 provides the current state of high-speed phase and amplitude modulators in SiPh by integrating 2D materials. The currently reported performances of 2D modulators, in particular graphene modulators, are mostly limited by technological immaturity and not by conceptual limits. Graphene requires more development to reach the best performances. More specifically, graphene needs to preserve the high carrier mobility after the integration in the photonic circuit. This preservation is not the case yet. By achieving a high level of technological maturity, graphene has the potential to provide devices that can work over a wide range of wavelengths due to its gapless band structure, miniaturized devices due to its large EO efficiency, feasibility for BEOL integration with the SiPh fabrication process, and a possibility to offer a complete platform for communication applications, as graphene possesses properties for efficient modulation and detection.

4 Summary and Outlook

Years of academic and industrial R&D have enabled a tremendous boost in the performance of plasma dispersion phase modulators. Operation at speeds of 100 Gb/s with high modulation efficiency is now feasible. Plasma dispersion modulators can operate with a sub-1-V drive signal consuming a few tens of fJ/bit in a μm-sized footprint. Demonstrations of advanced modulation schemes such as PAM-4, PAM-8, QPSK, 16-QAM, and 32-QAM have been reported in plasma dispersion modulators. The modulators have an insignificant chirp-induced penalty for long- and short-haul links. A closer synergy through co-design and co-optimization of electronic–photonic modulator design is the key to take plasma dispersion modulators beyond 100 Gb/s operation in an efficient manner. The last five years have seen a new paradigm in achieving higher speed and lower loss operations of SiPh modulators by integrating materials such as ferroelectrics, organics, SiGe alloys, III–V s, and 2D materials. Unlike plasma dispersion modulators, which are commercially deployed and have a high manufacturing readiness level (MRL) of >8, these new modulators are still in the early
Table 6 Typical and state-of-the-art performance matrix for graphene on Si high-speed modulators in SiPh. The parentheses contain the best-reported result for a performance attribute.

| Operation | Modulation efficiency $V_g \cdot L (\text{V} \cdot \text{cm})$ | Loss (dB/cm) | Length of phase shifter (mm) | Data rate$^a$ (Gb/s) | Energy/bit (fJ/bit) |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 2D Materials on Si as phase modulator$^b$ | $<0.5 (0.28^{[55])}$ | $>200 (236^{[52])}$ | $<0.5$ | $\sim 10 (10^{[51])}$ | $\sim 1000 (600)^3$ for MZM; $<500$ for RM |

| Operation | FoM ER/IL | Loss (dB) | Modulator Length (mm) | Data rate$^a$ (Gb/s) | Energy/bit (fJ/bit) |
|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 2D Materials on Si as amplitude modulator$^c$ | $<3 (4.9^{[55])}$ | $<4 (0.9^{[52])}$ | $<0.15$ | $>10 (50^{[54])}$ | $\sim 100 (40^{[26})$ |

$^a$The data rates are reported for the OOK modulation scheme.

$^b$The typical performance for 2D materials-based SiPh phase modulators is based on Refs. 201–208.

$^c$The typical performance for 2D materials-based SiPh amplitude modulators is based on Refs. 153–160.

$^d$The high IL is the result of the graphene deterioration upon fabrication. This value can be dramatically reduced with a value $>5000 \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{V}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ for graphene carrier mobility at the end of the fabrication process.

R&D stage (MRL <7) and are currently not included in any commercial product.

Electro-absorption modulation by (silicon-)germanium FK or QCS devices allows for micrometer-sized footprints. FK-EA modulation provides 100 Gb/s operation, but these modulators have a limited ER as well as limited operating optical bandwidth. QCS-EA modulation has integration challenges with SiPh. Moreover, their operating speed is $<10$ Gb/s. LiNbO$_3$, BaTiO$_3$, PZT, graphene, and organic thin films on Si PICs offer high Pockels coefficients, paving the way for linear and chirp-free high-performance SiPh modulators. Early results reported in the literature indicate that promising performance is achievable by integrating thin-film LiNbO$_3$, BaTiO$_3$, or PZT materials on Si. The integration of organic materials on Si has also shown 100 Gb/s operation and impressive modulation efficiency. Progress has been reported to address the lifetime issues, but more development is necessary before the commercial launch of high-speed modulators relying on organic electro-optic materials. Bringing together III–V and Si brings the best out of III–V and Si to implement MOS-type phase modulators and EAMs. The product of $V_g$ and phase shifter loss is impressive for the III–V on Si phase modulators, but high-speed operation remains elusive. (Theoretically, they have shown impressive results.) Integrating 2D materials, in particular graphene, with SiPh has the potential to provide a complete solution for modulation and detection at high speed. Multi-Gb/s operation has been reported for the graphene phase and amplitude modulators. A technique to preserve the high carrier mobility after integration in the photonic circuit is essential to unleash the true potential of graphene modulators in SiPh.

To conclude, modulator schemes that rely on the integration of efficient electro-optic materials with SiPh are gaining rapid technological maturity. They provide an additional route to fulfill the compelling needs of bandwidth, loss, and linearity at low-power consumption and cost for future high-speed optical links. It is important to note that the modulators based on the integration of efficient electro-optic materials with SiPh will not necessarily cannibalize or replace the plasma dispersion modulators. It is a way to overcome bandwidth and loss limits that stem from the physics of the plasma dispersion effect. However they will come at the cost of a more complex fabrication process. For this reason, the optimized solution will depend on the application.
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