Educational Level Is Related to Physical Fitness in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes – A Cross-Sectional Study
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Abstract

Introduction

Low educational level (EL) and low physical fitness are both predictors of increased morbidity and mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes. It is unknown if EL is related to physical fitness. This would have important implication for the treatment approach of patients of low EL.

Materials and Methods

In 2011/12, we invited participants of a new nationwide Swiss physical activity program for patients with type 2 diabetes to participate in this study. EL was defined by self-report and categorized as low (mandatory education), middle (professional education) or high (high school/university). Physical fitness was determined using 5 validated measures that assessed aerobic fitness, functional lower limb muscle strength, walking speed, balance and flexibility. Potential confounder variables such as other socio-cultural factors, physical activity level, body composition, diabetes-related parameters and complications/co-morbidities as well as well-being were assessed.

Results

All invited 185 participants (mean age 59.6 ± 9.8 yrs, 76 women) agreed to be included. Of all patients, 23.1% had a low, 32.7% a middle and 44.2% a high EL; 41.8% were professionally active. The study population had a mean BMI of 32.4 ± 5.2 kg/m² and an HbA1c of 7.3 ± 1.3%. The mean diabetes duration was 8.8 ± 7.4 years. In the baseline assessment,
higher EL was associated with increased aerobic fitness, increased functional lower limb muscle strength, and increased walking speed using linear regression analysis (values for low, middle and high EL, respectively: 91.8 ± 27.9, 116.4 ± 49.7 and 134.9 ± 60.4 watts for aerobic fitness (p = 0.002), 15 ± 4.7, 13.9 ± 2.7, 12.6 ± 2.9 seconds for strength (p = 0.001) and 8.8 ± 1.6, 8.3 ± 1.4, 7.8 ± 1.4 seconds for walking speed (p = 0.004)). These associations were independent of potential confounders. Overall, aerobic fitness was 46%, functional limb muscle strength 16%, and walking speed 11% higher in patients of high compared to those of low EL. EL was not related to balance or flexibility.

Discussion
A main strength of the present study is that it addresses a population of importance and a factor (EL) whose understanding can influence future interventions. A second strength is its relatively large sample size of a high-risk population. Third, unlike studies that have shown an association between self-reported fitness and educational level we assessed physical fitness measures by a quantitative and validated test battery using assessors blinded to other data. Another novelty is the extensive evaluation of the role of many relevant confounder variables.

Conclusions
In conclusion, we show that in patients with type 2 diabetes EL correlates favorably and independently with important health-related physical fitness measures such as aerobic fitness, walking speed, and lower limb strength. Our findings underline that diabetic patients with low EL should be specifically encouraged to participate in physical activity intervention programs to further reduce social disparities in healthcare. Such programs should be structured and integrate the norms, needs and capacities (financial, time, physical capacities and self-efficacy) of this population, and their effectiveness should be tested in future studies.

Trial Registration
University of Lausanne clinicaltrials.gov NCT01289587

Introduction
Low socioeconomic status or educational level (EL) are risk factors for chronic diseases such as diabetes type 2, and related to worse metabolic control and diabetic complications in patients with diabetes [1]. Low EL is associated with a two-fold higher diabetes-related mortality as well as to a higher all-cause mortality [1,2]. In Switzerland, the prevalence of low EL (only mandatory school) is 15% among women and 10% among men [3].

Physical activity [4] and physical fitness measures such as aerobic fitness [5], global muscle strength [6] and walking speed [7] are negatively associated with mortality [8]. Physical activity improves physical fitness measures such as aerobic fitness, muscle strength, walking speed and balance in patients with type 2 diabetes [9]. In these patients, higher fitness is associated with improvements in cardiovascular risk factors such as glycemia, blood pressure [10], lipid profile
and body composition [11], and with less functional decline [12]. Improving fitness thus represents a plausible goal for reducing morbidity and mortality in the diabetic population [8].

There is evidence for an impact of socioeconomic status on physical activity [13] and physical fitness measures [14]. For example, people with lower income are more likely to be physically inactive or less fit [15]. Academic achievements have been related to physical fitness in a young healthy population [14], and EL to self-reported physical fitness in a middle-aged healthy population [16]. However, it is unknown if this relationship holds true for patients with type 2 diabetes. If such a relationship is present, it would have clinical consequences regarding the encouragement and focus of educational modalities. Specifically, patient education programs, such as the nation-wide DIAfit program (www.diafit.ch), a program in Switzerland to promote regular exercise in patients with type 2 diabetes, should increase recruitment among low EL patients and adapt the program’s content to cover their needs with the aim to reduce morbidity and mortality.

Thus, the goal of this study was to investigate if EL is related to five physical fitness measures in patients with type 2 diabetes: aerobic fitness, functional lower limb muscle strength, walking speed, balance and flexibility. Given that such a relationship might be affected by important potential confounders, such as physical activity or body composition, these were assessed and adjusted for.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

The clinical DIAfit program was introduced in 2011 in the French-speaking part of Switzerland. All 185 diabetic patients that were referred to this program between 2011 and 2012 from all 11 existing DIAfit treatment centers in the French-speaking part of Switzerland were invited to participate in this study.

Inclusion criteria in the clinical DIAfit program patients were age ≥18 years and a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes for ≥3 months. Exclusion criteria were current diabetic foot ulceration; ischemia during an exercise test or state III peripheral vascular disease; untreated proliferative retinopathy and autonomic neuropathy with unstable blood pressure during the exercise stress test.

This current analysis is based on the cross-sectional data of the study. All patients underwent the same structured medical visit by physicians collaborating in the DIAfit program and who had a one-day training. Referring physicians also filled out a questionnaire regarding detailed information about the different diabetes complications (presence and type of retinopathy, microalbuminuria or decreased renal function based on laboratory values within the last 3 months), presence, date of onset and extent of ischemic cardiac disease and peripheral arterial vascular disease), medical comorbidities and treatment. Patients had a fasting blood analysis, underwent physical fitness tests, wore a pedometer during three full days (Monday to Wednesday) and filled out questionnaires.

Ethics

The study was approved by the ethical committee of Lausanne in 2011 (protocol 252/10) and all participants signed a written informed consent. The trial was registered (clinicaltrials.gov NCT01289587).

Measures

Educational level and socio-cultural confounder variables. Educational level has been shown to be the strongest predictor of socioeconomic status [17] and is recognized as its proxy
In addition, EL is attained early in life, is associated with income and occupation, can be measured easily and is rarely affected by subsequent health impairments [19]. Educational level was determined as the highest level of education. Low EL was defined as no education beyond mandatory school (9 years duration); middle EL as having had a professional education after mandatory school, and high EL as having had a high school or university degree [20]. Professional occupation was defined as active versus not active (retired, disabled or unemployed). Migrant status was defined by the country of birth (i.e., other than Switzerland).

**Physical fitness.** Physical fitness was assessed by five parameters: aerobic fitness, lower limb muscle strength, walking speed, balance, and flexibility.

Aerobic fitness was evaluated with a maximal graded cycle ergometry test performed by a cardiologist blinded to the other data. Participants started at 20 Watts. Increments of 20 Watts per 2 min were made until exhaustion or until reaching one of the American College of Sports Medicine established criteria for maximal oxygen uptake [21]. Heart rate was continuously measured by ECG. Blood pressure and the rate of perceived exertion [22] were assessed at the end of each step. Recovery was monitored until heart rate was <100 bpm. The maximum achieved resistance (Watts) was retained for all calculations.

Lower limb muscle strength was assessed with the Chair Stand Test. Participants sat with arms folded across the chest and with their back against the chair. The patient was instructed to stand up and sit down five times as quickly as possible and the required time (sec) was recorded [23]. Walking speed was evaluated using the 10 m walking test; the participant walked at her/his preferred speed and the time (sec) was recorded [24]. Balance was evaluated with a single-leg balance test. Participants were instructed to maintain their balance on their preferred leg as long as possible. The test was stopped at 30 seconds and the maximal balance time (sec) was recorded [25]. Flexibility was measured using the finger-to-floor distance. After bending forward, the distance (cm) between the tip of the middle finger and the floor was measured [26]. All physical fitness tests were performed by one single evaluator (O.G.) who was blinded to the other data.

**Confounding variables.** Physical activity, foot deformity and falls: Physical activity, defined as number of steps per day, was recorded with the Yamax SW-200 digi Walker [27] during three full days (Monday to Wednesday). The mean number of steps per day over the three days was used for further analysis. Sports club participation was asked by questionnaire. Patients were asked if they are registered at one or more sport clubs to which they go on a regular basis.

The presence of feet deformations such as hallux valgus, claw or hammer toe, and presence of flat foot were assessed by visual inspection.

The number of falls within the last 12 months was reported during the medical interview or based on medical charts.

Body composition: BMI was calculated based on measured height and weight. Waist circumference was measured midway between the iliac crest and the lowest border of the rib cage. Body composition was assessed by bioelectrical impedance using a 4-polar single frequency device (RJL Systems, Model 101A; Detroit, MI, USA).

Diabetes-related parameters, presence or extent of diabetes complications: Information about diabetes duration, presence of retinopathy, nephropathy, ischemic cardiac disease, peripheral arterial vascular disease, and the current medical treatment were systematically retrieved from the referral letters, the existing medical charts, if the patient was referred from the same institution, or, if missing, collected during the medical DIAbit visit by asking the patient or the referring physician. Data were then reported in the medical charts. If data were missing or unclear regarding retinopathy, the patients’ ophthalmologists were also contacted and the presence of background, proliferative retinopathy and macular edema were noted.
the last exam was beyond 1 year, a repeat ophthalmology exam was requested. Regarding nephropathy, the presence of albuminuria or reduced glomerular filtration rate without any other etiology were used to define nephropathy. Albuminuria or plasma creatinine were tested during the visit, if no laboratory results performed within the last 3 months were provided by the referring physician or present in the chart. The presence of ischemic cardiac disease or peripheral vascular disease was diagnosed based on the report of the referring physician or the medical charts without performing another exam besides the standard cycle ergometry test done before and at the end of the program (see above). Vibration threshold was measured in every patient during the DIAfit medical visit using the mean value of the left and right foot measured by a graduated Rydel-Seiffer tuning fork (scale 0–8) applied to the respective hallux of each foot [28]. Of all complications, only the vibration threshold was directly measured by the DIAfit physicians in all patients and thus the other complications were only used for descriptive analyses.

Cardiovascular disease risk factors and well-being: Hypertension was defined as either treatment for hypertension or measured blood pressure values >140/90 mmHg [29]. Dyslipidemia was defined as TG >1.7 mmol/l, LDL >2.6 mmol/l or lipid-lowering treatment. Well-being was assessed using the WHO Well-Being Scale (WBT-5) as used previously in a large diabetic population [30]. It is a generic global rating scale that measures subjective well-being, as the WHO considers positive well-being to be another term for mental health [31]. This score consists of 6 items on a Likert scale from 0 (never) to 5 (always). The total score is multiplied by 4, yielding a final score from 0 (worst thinkable well-being) to 100 (best thinkable well-being). Using a cut-off score of ≤50 (a score of ≤50 corresponds to a state of low well-being) as a screening for depression yielded a sensitivity and specificity of 86% and 81% respectively [31].

Data analysis and Statistics

Statistical analyses were conducted using Stata 12 (Stata corp, College Station, TX, USA). Descriptive statistics were calculated as mean±SD for continuous variables, or percentage for categorical variables. Missing data were not imputed.

The associations between EL, coded as an ordinal variable from 1 (lowest education) to 3 (highest education), and the five physical fitness measures were assessed by least squares linear regression adjusting for age and sex.

The relationships between the following potential confounders and the five physical fitness measures were also assessed separately after adjusting for age and sex [32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39]: socio-cultural factors (professional occupation or migrant status), physical activity level, sports club participation, history of falls, presence of feet deformity, body composition (BMI, waist circumference, fat mass, lean mass), diabetes-related parameters and complications (diabetes duration and HbA1c (%), neuropathy as the only directly measured complication as well as cardiovascular disease factors (presence of hypertension) and well-being. If the significance level of any of these relationships was p<0.05, the association of EL with the specific fitness measures was adjusted for the respective confounders. Nonlinearity of the association was tested including quadratic terms of EL. Similarly, multicollinearity of the independent variables was tested using the Collin function of STATA. All VIF’s ranged between 1.8 and 4, suggesting lack of multicollinearity, as no VIF was over 10.

In order to identify the best modeling of fitness in this population, we also performed a backward-stepwise analysis on the five fitness measures including all above mentioned parameters as well as EL as predictors, keeping all terms with a significance level of p<0.1 and always including age and sex.
Results and Discussion

Description of population

All 185 recruited participants of the clinical DIAfit program agreed to participate in the study. One hundred fifty-six patients (76 women / 80 men, mean age 59.6±9.8 years) had a valid data-set with information on EL and represent the population that was used for the present analyses (Fig 1). Baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. The demographic data as well as all fitness measures, except the walking speed (faster in those with available data on EL, p = 0.002), were similar in these 156 patients compared to the other 29 patients with missing data.

Of all patients, 23.1% had a low, 32.7% a middle and 44.2% a high EL; 41.8% were professionally active. Forty-two percent of the study population were born outside of Switzerland. Patients had a mean BMI of 32.4±5.2 kg/m² and a HbA1c of 7.3±1.3%. Their mean diabetes duration was 8.8±7.4 years. Microvascular (retinopathy, nephropathy or neuropathy) or
Table 1. Presentation of the baseline characteristics of the study population.

| General information                                      |          |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Age (years)                                              | 156      | 59.6 (9.8) |
| Gender (N of Females/ N of Males)                        | 156      | 76/80    |
| Educational level                                        | 156      |          |
| Low                                                      | 36       | (23.1%)  |
| Middle                                                   | 51       | (32.7%)  |
| High                                                     | 69       | (44.2%)  |

| Confounding variables                                    |          |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Socio-cultural variables                                 |          |          |
| Professional occupation                                  | 153      |          |
| Active professionally                                   | 64       | (41.9%)  |
| 0–20%                                                    | 9        | (14.1%)  |
| 25–50%                                                   | 9        | (14.1%)  |
| 55–75%                                                   | 5        | (7.8%)   |
| 80–100%                                                  | 41       | (64%)    |
| Non Active professionally                               | 89       | (58.1%)  |
| Retired                                                  | 60       | (39.2%)  |
| Disabled                                                 | 21       | (13.7%)  |
| Unemployed                                               | 8        | (5.2%)   |
| Migrant status                                           | 152      | 63 (41.5%) |

| Physical activity                                        |          |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Number of steps/day                                       | 102      | 6854.0 (3206.8) |
| Sports club participation                                | 149      | 26 (17.5%) |

| Presence of feet deformity                               | 122      | 33 (27.0%) |

| Number of falls in last 12 months                        | 85       |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| None                                                     | 74       | (87.1%)  |
| One                                                      | 3        | (3.5%)   |
| Two                                                      | 4        | (4.7%)   |
| Three or more                                            | 4        | (4.7%)   |

| Body composition                                         |          |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Body weight (kg)                                          | 155      | 91.4 (17.8) |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m²)                                   | 155      | 32.4 (5.2) |
| Normal weight                                             | 11 (7.1%)|
| Overweight                                                | 44 (28.4%)|
| Obesity                                                   | 100 (64.5%)|
| Waist circumference (cm)                                  | 137      | 109.8 (11.7) |
| Fat body mass (kg)                                        | 140      | 35.3 (10.7) |
| Lean body mass (kg)                                       | 140      | 56.1 (13.9) |

| Diabetes Related Parameters                               |          |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Diabetes duration (years)                                 | 151      | 8.8 (7.4) |
| HbA1c (%)                                                | 146      | 7.3 (1.3) |

| Presence of diabetes complications                        |          |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Presence of retinopathy                                  | 145      | 12 (8.3%) |
| Presence of nephropathy                                  | 138      | 14 (10.1%)|
| Neuropathy: Vibration Threshold (score 0–8)              | 152      | 5.6 (2.1%)|
| Presence of Ischemic cardiac disease                     | 147      | 21 (14.3%)|
| Presence of peripheral arterial vascular disease         | 159      | 3 (1.9%)  |

| Presence of cardio-vascular disease risk factors factors  |          |          |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|

(Continued)
Macrovascular (ischemic heart disease or peripheral arterial vascular disease) diabetic complications were present in 2–14% of patients; 61% were treated with insulin, 24% with glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists and 88% with oral anti-diabetic agents (56% of all patients were treated with metformin).

Educational level and physical fitness

Table 2 illustrates the relationships of EL with the five physical fitness measures. Overall, participants achieved a mean power of 119.2±53.9 Watts in the aerobic fitness test, needed 13.6±3.4 sec to realize the lower limb strength test and 8.2±1.5 sec to walk a 10 m distance. They could stand on one leg during a mean of 19.8±10.7 sec and had a finger-floor distance (flexibility) of 11.4±9.7 cm.

There were no significant non-linear trends. A higher EL was related to (i) increased performance in aerobic fitness, (ii) increased functional lower limb muscle strength, and (iii) increased walking speed (all three p < 0.004). For these three fitness tests, this observed increase was gradual across the three EL categories. Thus, an increase from each EL to the next was associated with a mean adjusted increase of 15.7 Watts in aerobic fitness, a mean decrease of 1.2 sec in the time needed to perform the Chair Stand Test, and a mean decrease of 0.4 sec to walk a 10 meter distance. Overall, aerobic fitness was 46%, functional limb muscle strength 16%, and walking speed 11% higher in patients with high compared to those with low EL. EL was not related to balance or flexibility.

Potential confounding variables and physical fitness

In bivariate analysis, higher lean body mass and a higher vibration threshold were related to increased aerobic fitness (p < 0.05). None of the confounders were related to lower limb strength. Being professionally

Table 2. Relationships of educational level with physical fitness.

| Fitness measures       | N   | Overall Mean(SD) | Low EL Mean(SD) | Middle EL Mean(SD) | High EL Mean(SD) | β-coefficient [95% CI] | p-value |
|------------------------|-----|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------|
| Aerobic fitness (watts)| 141 | 119.2 (53.9)     | 91.8 (27.9)     | 116.4 (49.7)      | 134.9 (60.4)    | 15.69 [5.96 to 25.42] | 0.002   |
| Lower limb strength    | 151 | 13.6 (3.4)       | 15.0 (4.7)      | 13.9 (2.7)        | 12.6 (2.9)      | -1.17 [-1.88 to -0.47] | 0.001   |
| Walking speed (10m, sec)| 152 | 8.2 (1.5)        | 8.8 (1.6)       | 8.3 (1.4)         | 7.8 (1.4)       | -0.43 [-0.71 to -0.14] | 0.004   |
| Balance                | 152 | 19.8 (10.7)      | 19.5 (11.2)     | 19.0 (10.4)       | 20.5 (10.8)     | 0.13 [-1.85 to 2.11]  | 0.900   |
| Flexibility (cm)       | 152 | 11.4 (8.7)       | 10.2 (7.7)      | 12.3 (10.0)       | 11.4 (10.5)     | -0.55 [-2.51 to 1.40] | 0.600   |

EL denotes Educational level. The associations between EL, coded as an ordinal variable from 1 (lowest education) to 3 (highest education), and the five physical fitness measures were assessed by least squares linear regression adjusting for age and sex.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164176.t002

Educational Level was defined by self-report for patients working 0–20% and report to receive assistance from the disability insurance (which can be for various medical reasons, but represent a social and medical vulnerability factor.

Defined as born outside of Switzerland

Low well-being is defined as a WHO wellbeing score of ≤ 50.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164176.t001

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164176.t001
or physically active was related to a higher walking speed (p < 0.02). Longer diabetes duration and neuropathy (lower vibration threshold) were related to decreased balance performance (p < 0.02). BMI, waist circumference and lean body mass were inversely associated with flexibility (p < 0.03). All other tested associations between potential confounders and physical fitness measures were not significant.

Adjusting for the above mentioned significant confounder variables did not significantly alter the relationship between EL and aerobic fitness (n = 125, β-coefficient 15.7 (95%CI 5.3 to 26.0); p = 0.003 after adjusting for lean body mass and neuropathy) or EL and walking speed (n = 100, β-coefficient -0.5 (95%CI -0.8 to -0.1); p = 0.005 after adjusting for professional and physical activity).

**Modeling of physical fitness.** The results of the backward-stepwise analysis on the five physical fitness measures including all parameters are shown in Table 3.

While always including age and sex, aerobic fitness was best associated with EL. Walking speed was also associated with EL as well as with professional occupation (adjusted R² 0.31 and 0.20, respectively, both p < 0.0001). Lower limb strength was associated with EL and lower fat

| Educational Level | Physical Activity level | Professional occupation | Vibration Threshold | Lean Body Mass | Fat Body Mass | Diabetes duration |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| (N of 100 steps/day) | (non active vs active) | (0–8) | (kg) | (kg) | (years) |
| Aerobic fitness | 15.69 | 5.96 to 25.42 | p < 0.0001 | p = 0.002 |
| Lower limb strength | -1.35 | [-2.08 to -0.62] | p = 0.002 | p < 0.001 |
| Walking speed | -0.401 | 0.882 | adj R² = 0.20 | [-0.69 to 0.12] | [0.39 to 1.37] | p < 0.0001 |
| Balance | 1.50 | 0.81 to 2.20 | adj R² = 0.30 | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.001 |
| Flexibility | 0.29 | [-0.13 to 0.44] | adj R² = 0.17 | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.001 |

Backward-stepwise analysis with the five fitness measures as outcome variable and socio-cultural variables, physical activity markers, feet deformity, number of falls within the last 12 months, body composition, diabetes-related parameters, extent of neuropathy, presence of cardiovascular disease factors and well-being, all of them after adjustment for age and sex as predictor variables. Adj R² denotes adjusted R² of the total model including age and sex. Data are shown as β-coefficients [95% CI]. The associations between EL, coded as an ordinal variable from 1 (lowest education) to 3 (highest education), and the five physical fitness measures were assessed by least squares linear regression adjusting for age and sex.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164176.t003
body mass. Better balance was associated with higher vibration threshold. Flexibility was associated with decreased lean body mass (respective adjusted $R^2 = 0.09, 0.30, 0.17$, all $p < 0.002$).

**Discussion**

This observational study is based on evidence of a relationship between EL and mainly self-reported physical fitness in individuals without diabetes. It tests 1) whether this same relationship exists for individuals with type 2 diabetes, 2) whether it holds true for different measured fitness parameters and 3) whether a potential relationship is independent of confounder variables.

We have shown for the first time that in patients with type 2 diabetes higher EL is positively related to increases in aerobic fitness, functional lower limb muscle strength, and walking speed, three fitness measures that predict lower all-cause mortality in both the general and the diabetic population. In our population, a gradual increase in fitness was observed among the three EL categories. These relationships were independent of potential confounders such as other socio-cultural factors, physical activity, feet deformity, number of falls, body composition, diabetes duration and metabolic control, presence or extent of diabetes complications, presence of cardiovascular disease factors, and well-being.

The finding of a strong and independent relationship between EL and different physical fitness measures in patients with type 2 diabetes is clinically relevant. For example, the difference between low and high EL in aerobic fitness was 46%. Importantly, both higher EL and higher physical fitness have been shown to be related to reduced morbidity and mortality. This relationship might be due to a higher consciousness of the importance of fitness and the relationship between physical activity and health in subjects with increased EL. Furthermore, family activity habits differ according to EL [40]. However, other factors such as self-esteem, self-efficacy and quality of life might also play a role. Although tracking of physical fitness over several decades is only low- to moderate [41], we cannot completely exclude an impact of fitness on EL and on educational achievements in youth that might contribute to the current findings. This is especially the case as fitness has been found to be related to higher cognitive functioning [42].

The observed relationship between EL and physical fitness measures are in line with two previous studies conducted in healthy non-diabetic populations: Coe et al. [14] found an association between academic achievement and both aerobic fitness and muscular strength in healthy young students. Pullkinen et al. [16] conducted a study in Finland, with 2722 men and 3108 women aged from 25 to 74 years, focusing on the relationship between the level of education and self-rated physical fitness. A higher level of education was associated with better self-rated physical fitness measures. In addition, reported leisure-time physical activity was the strongest single explanatory factor for the educational differences in self-rated physical fitness measures [43].

In our study, EL was not related to balance and flexibility. One explanation might be that balance in this specific population is predominantly influenced by the severity of neuropathy [43]. In addition, usual physical activity exercises and recommendation for the general diabetes population focus more on endurance and resistance (leading to increased aerobic fitness and strength) than on balance or flexibility. This could have influenced our results [44].

Physical activity, body composition, diabetes duration, diabetic complications and professional occupation were also related to one or several fitness measures: Physical activity was related to aerobic fitness and walking speed in bivariate analyses. Increased lean body mass and less microvascular complications were related to aerobic fitness in bivariate analyses. This seems logical as a higher muscle mass is generally also related to a higher physical fitness [45],
and because microvascular complications, such as peripheral neuropathy, limit weight-bearing exercise due to the loss of protective sensation in the feet. The identified relationship between the severity of neuropathy and balance has been previously shown [37]. Interestingly, higher BMI, waist circumference and lean body mass were inversely associated with flexibility. Higher (abdominal) body mass likely impaired the ability to reach towards the floor, while higher muscle mass can reduce the stretching ability of the hamstrings and calf muscles. We found that increased body fat mass was associated with decreased functional lower limb strength, when adjusted for EL. This may indicate that increased weight hinders a patient from standing up from a chair, even with normal analytical muscle strength. Having a structured daily life and thus more regular activity habits might explain why professionally active patients walked faster than those without professional activity. Although several factors were related to physical fitness, the found relationship between EL and aerobic fitness, strength and walking speed remained highly significant after adjusting for these potential confounders.

Overall, the results show that EL is independently related to physical fitness in patients with diabetes. Other factors such as number of steps per day, body composition and diabetic complications were also related to fitness. Based on these findings, the most important conclusion would be that a specific effort has to be undertaken to encourage physical activity promotion in this population. Structured physical-activity programs should be tailored in order to specifically target patients with low EL. The programs should increase knowledge about the important relationship between physical activity and health, as well as provide patients with tools to help them integrate physical activity in their daily life. Regarding other aspects of diabetes education, a recent study showed that a culturally sensitive, structured, group-based diabetes education based on theory of empowerment, food habits and health belief models can enhance biomedical and behavioral outcomes including patients with low EL [46]. The presence of complications should be taken into account in physical activity programs. For example, in patients with diabetic neuropathy, specific exercises should be included in order to improve balance and subsequently decrease their risk of falls. Indeed, static and dynamic balance as well as gait can be improved in this specific population [12]. In order to address body composition, physical activity is not sufficient, but should be complemented by nutritional approaches.

A main strength of the present study is that it addresses a population of importance in the health and a factor (EL) whose understanding can influence future interventions. A second strength is the fact that we recruited patients in non-specialized clinical centers. Importantly, all patients of the clinical program agreed to participate in the study which increases its representativeness considerably. The high prevalence of patients with diabetic complications and of patients that are treated with insulin further underlines its representativeness, as these patients are often excluded from trials related to physical activity or fitness. Only few data with a relatively large sample size of high-risk population do exist. Third, unlike studies that have shown an association between self-reported fitness and educational level we assessed physical fitness measures by a quantitative and validated test battery including five different fitness domains. A further strength is the extensive evaluation of many relevant known and potential confounder variables and predictors of fitness. One limitation is that we did not assess additional socio-cultural parameters such as income and wealth. Socioeconomic status is a rather complex construct usually held to comprise education, income, wealth and occupation [17]. In practice, wealth and income data are not always accessible to clinicians. Thus, we assessed EL, which is recognized as a stable proxy of socioeconomic status and easy to assess in the clinical setting. However, we only had valid information about the educational level for 156 of the 185 patients (84%). We further have to state that the relatively high percentage of subjects with a low EL might not be representative for the total Swiss population, but may be more representative for patients with type 2 diabetes. Also the cross-sectional design might be a limitation, as reversal
causality could be a problem in interpreting data obtained. No a priori sample size calculation was performed for this outcome, because this is a sub-study of a randomized controlled trial assessing the impact of a physical activity intervention on aerobic fitness levels. Another consideration is that pedometers are not extremely precise measures of physical activity, and that physical activity was only measured over 3 days. In addition, information about past physical activity was not available. Therefore, we cannot completely exclude that physical activity did play an important role to explain the identified differences in fitness.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we show that in patients with type 2 diabetes EL correlates favorably and independently with important health-related physical fitness measures such as aerobic fitness, walking speed, and lower limb strength. Our findings suggest that patients with diabetes and low EL should be specifically encouraged to participate in physical activity intervention programs to reduce social disparities in healthcare. Such programs should be structured and integrate the norms, needs and capacities (financial, time, physical capacities and self-efficacy) of this population, and their effectiveness should be tested in future studies.
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