Cadaveric coracoid dimensions in Indian populations for its implications in shoulder instability
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Abstract

Objective: Anterior shoulder instability with glenoid bone loss is a common clinical entity, particularly among young athletic patient population. Nonoperative management and arthroscopic treatment of glenohumeral instability have been associated with high rates of recurrence. Significant bone loss is commonly managed with the bony augmentation mainly Latarjet procedure. However, the bony anatomy of the coracoid in coracoid transfer has not yet been defined in Indian populations. The aims of this study were to describe the coracoid anatomy and average bone dimension of coracoid available for transfer in Indian populations.

Methods: We dissected 20 fresh frozen shoulders, exposing the coracoid process and then measured the bony dimensions of the coracoid.

Results: The mean coracoid length was 26.03mm (SD, 1.98mm), mean coracoid width was 16.16mm (SD, 1.53mm), mean coracoid height was 9.66mm (SD, 0.82mm). The mean subscapularis vertical dimension at the level of coracoid graft insertion (sling mechanism) was 35.35mm (SD, 4.51mm), mean vertical dimension at subscapularis footprint was 24.82 (SD, 3.28mm) and mean anteroposterior dimension at footprint was 15.98mm (SD, 1.31mm). The mean Coracoacromial ligament length was 2.46mm (SD, 0.24mm).

Conclusion: The coracoid dimensionally available for transfer in Indian population are slight less than American populations. Thus facing difficulty in inserting two screws for Latarjet procedure by standard Gillie Walch technique.
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Introduction

Chronic anterior shoulder instability with glenoid bone loss can be a very demanding clinical problem. Literatures suggest that “significant” degree of bone loss means greater than 25% the width of the glenoid [1-5]. Restoration of glenohumeral stability in the setting of significant anteroinferior bone loss (often described as the “inverted-pea” glenoid) requires a bone grafting procedure [6]. Failure to recognise or to treat bony instability is the primary cause of unsuccessful arthroscopic shoulder reconstructions, and it has been well demonstrated in the literature that attempting to treat a bony problem with a soft-tissue solution will result in recurrent instability [2, 3, 5].

Bone graft can be taken from either coracoid or contoured structural bone graft including autologous tricortical iliac crest bone graft, as well as femoral head, distal tibia and iliac crest allograft [7, 8, 9].

Latarjet [6] first described his eponymous coracoid transfer for the development of increased edge loading. The coracoid and attached conjoined tendon are transferred to the scapular neck to restore the bony surface area and articular arc of the anteroinferior glenoid. The pectoralis minor is detached from the medial aspect of the coracoid, and the bone osteotomise at the knee of the coracoid, just anterior to the coracoacicular ligaments. The conjoined tendon remains attached, vascularizing the graft as well as further stabilizing the shoulder through a sling effect. The undersurface of the coracoid is fixed with its long axis in a superior-to-inferior orientation against the anterior glenoid neck. The coracoid graft is fixed flush with the glenoid.

Placement of the graft in a proud position must be avoided to prevent the development of...
increased contact pressures, and placement in a recessed position must be avoided to prevent development of increased edge loading. Our objective with this study was to evaluate the anatomic coracoid measurements.

The purpose of the cadaveric study was
1. To measure the length of the coracoid graft distal to the coracoclavicular ligament that may be available for harvesting during the Latarjet procedure.
2. To measure and analyse the width of the undersurface and the medial surface of the coracoid.

Materials and Methods
This anatomic cadaveric study was approved by Ethical Committee, Government Medical College, Surat, India. The study used 20 fresh frozen shoulders from 20 cadavers who were an average age of 76.5 years (range, 71-82 years) in the Anatomy cadaveric laboratory of Government Medical College, Surat, Gujarat, India. The skin, subcutaneous tissue, deltoid, and overlying soft tissue were removed, exposing the coracoid, lateral clavicle, and acromion. The footprints of each ligament and tendon attaching to the coracoid were preserved. The footprint insertion areas were clearly marked circumferentially with a pen while the ligament/tendon footprint was intact; the Coracoacromial ligament and subscapularis tendon measurement was taken. Subscapularis tendon was removed from its insertion and then dimensions were taken at footprint. We did the osteotomy of the coracoid at the anterior border of coracoclavicular ligament (‘knee’ of the coracoid). Osteotomized coracoid dimensions were noted in our study. Measurements were independently recorded by 2 of the authors, blinded to each others’ measurements, using a digital caliper accurate to 0.1 mm. The average of the 2 measurements are reported. The following bony dimensions of the coracoid were measured: (1) distance from the coracoid tip (hereafter termed ‘tip’) to the coracoid base (length) (2) coracoid width, and (3) coracoid height. Coracoid length was measured as a straight line from the knee to the tip of the coracoid.

Fig 1: Cadaveric dissection of the coracoid with preserved trapezoid ligament and conjoint tendon

Fig 2: View of the superior aspect of the coracoid. The numbers correlate to 1, coracoid length (distance from tip to base); 2, coracoid tip width; 4, distance from the coracoid tip or base to the coracoid midpoint; 5, midpoint width.

The following soft tissue footprints on the coracoid were studied: Coracoacromial ligament length and subscapularis muscle length at midpoint and at insertional footprint site.

The distance from the coracoid tip to the anterior CC ligaments was measured. This bony area anterior to the CC ligaments is often described as the ‘knee’ or ‘elbow’ of the coracoid. The dimensions and location of the trapezoid CC ligament were measured rather than the conoid CC ligament.
because the trapezoid footprint is more anterior on the coracoid. Given that the length of coracoid available for transfer is the bone span from the coracoid tip to the most anterior CC ligament footprint, the trapezoid is the more clinically relevant CC ligament in osteotomy and transfer of the coracoid.

Demographic information about each specimen, including age and ethnicity, was retrieved directly from Anatomy cadaveric lab of Government Medical College, Surat Gujarat, India.

**Result**

The mean coracoid bone length was 26.03 mm (SD, 1.98 mm), mean coracoid width was 16.16 mm (SD, 1.53 mm), mean coracoid height was 9.66 mm (SD, 0.82 mm).

The mean subscapularis vertical dimension at the level of coracoid graft insertion (sling mechanism) was 35.35 mm (SD, 4.51 mm), mean vertical dimension at subscapularis footprint was 24.82 mm (SD, 3.28 mm) and mean anteroposterior dimension at footprint was 15.98 mm (SD, 1.31 mm).

**Discussion**

In the case of chronic shoulder instability with significant bone loss, coracoid transfer procedure has resulted superior than any other soft tissue procedures in view of lower dislocation rate and other complications. Latarjet procedure reduced humeral head translation by around 354% at 30 degree of abduction and 374% at 60 degree of abduction. Burkhart et al. noticed in their study about reduction of dislocation rate from 67% to 5% in patient with shoulder instability with significant bone loss. Multiple studies have reported excellent results with Latarjet procedure, with success rates up to 99%. The coracoid graft offers a finite amount of bone, and in some cases with significant bone loss, iliac crest bone graft or other structural bone graft may be needed to restore sufficient stability and glenoid contour. Many authors advocate the use of allograft or autograft. Autologous iliac crest bone graft provides limitless amount of graft that is non-immunogenic and contourable and also provides osteoinductive and osteoconductive properties. However, iliac crest bone harvesting associated with more complication including transient or permanent lateral femoral cutaneous nerve palsy, infection, hematoma, donor site pain, abdominal hernia and fracture of iliac wing. Thus, iliac crest bone harvesting must be weighed against potential risks and complication not associated with coracoid transfer. The aim of our study was to define the average amount of coracoid bone available for the transfer in Indian populations for shoulder instability procedure. We also defined the coracoid bone and subscapularis dimensions. The mean coracoid length for graft transfer was 26.03 mm.
with mean width and mean height was 16.16mm and 9.66mm, respectively. The mean Coracoacromial ligament length was 2.58mm.

Dolan et al. [30] (USA) reported that the average maximum length of the coracoid available for transfer was 28.5mm. The coracoid available for transfer in Indian population are slight less than American populations.

Coracoacromial ligament has a varied and broad insertion onto the coracoid. We noticed that in 2 cadavers, Coracoacromial ligament’s footprint much more close to Coracoclavicular ligament. Therefore one must take care when releasing Coracoacromial ligament during coracoid transfer.

Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to assess the average coracoid dimensions available for transfer in shoulder instability procedure. This anatomical descriptions of the coracoid bony and soft tissue insertion give idea about coracoid graft and their implementation in glenoid bone loss surgery. The subscapularis tendon dimensions also give idea for intraoperative manipulation and coracoid transfer. Thus congruent arc technique would be an better option to accomodate two screws to fix coracoid to anterior aspect of glenoid.
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