Neuronal activity regulates DROSHA via autophagy in spinal muscular atrophy
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Dysregulated miRNA expression and mutation of genes involved in miRNA biogenesis have been reported in motor neuron diseases including spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Therefore, identifying molecular mechanisms governing miRNA expression is important to understand these diseases. Here, we report that expression of DROSHA, which is a critical enzyme in the microprocessor complex and essential for miRNA biogenesis, is reduced in motor neurons from an SMA mouse model. We show that DROSHA is degraded by neuronal activity induced autophagy machinery, which is also dysregulated in SMA. Blocking neuronal activity or the autophagy-lysosome pathway restores DROSHA levels in SMA motor neurons. Moreover, reducing DROSHA levels enhances axonal growth. As impaired axonal growth is a well described phenotype of SMA motor neurons, these data suggest that DROSHA reduction by autophagy may mitigate the phenotype of SMA. In summary, these findings suggest that autophagy regulates RNA metabolism and neuronal growth via the DROSHA/miRNA pathway and this pathway is dysregulated in SMA.

microRNAs (miRNAs) are a sub-set of non-coding RNAs, which bind to the 3′-untranslated region of mRNAs, and act as translational repressors. miRNAs play a significant role in a broad range of cellular and developmental processes such as neuronal development, learning and memory and synaptic plasticity. Dysregulated miRNA expression and mutations of genes involved in miRNA biogenesis are reported in motor neuron disorders such as spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). However, little is known about the molecular mechanisms driving miRNA dysregulation in these neurological disorders. Expression of functional miRNAs is tightly regulated in many different steps. Briefly, primary miRNA transcripts are processed by a series of RNases such as DROSHA, DICER1 and AGO2. Only the mature form of miRNAs can form the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) and function as a translational repressor.

DROSHA regulates the first step of miRNA biogenesis. It forms a complex with DiGeorge syndrome chromosomal region 8 protein (DGCR8) and processes primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs) to hairpin-shaped precursor forms (pre-miRNAs). Thus, the majority of miRNAs are processed by the DROSHA/DGCR8 complex (also called the microprocessor complex). In addition to miRNAs, DROSHA can process other types of RNAs including messenger RNAs (mRNAs) and ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs). This indicates that proper function of DROSHA is crucial for cells. Indeed, Drosha knockout cells show impaired proliferation, and Dgcr8 null mice are early embryonically lethal (~E6.5). Moreover, DROSHA controls neurogenesis via processing miRNAs of Neurogenin-2 and Nuclear Factor II. As proper function of DROSHA is important for cellular physiology, Drosha expression is tightly regulated via multiple mechanisms including alternative splicing, post-translational...
Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is an inherited neuromuscular disorder, characterized by dysfunction/loss of motor neurons and muscle weakness. SMA is caused by mutation/deletion of the SMN1 (survival motor neurons 1) gene, while disease severity inversely correlates with the number of a mainly non-functional SMN2 copy gene. Despite advanced understanding of the genetics in SMA, no efficient therapy was available for this devastating disease until recently. Only lately, splicing correcting antisense oligonucleotide-based therapy has shown promising results in SMA patients and has thus been approved by the FDA and EMA. Survival motor neuron (SMN), the protein product of SMN1, plays various roles including mRNA splicing, snRNP biogenesis and trafficking of polyA-tailed miRNAs to axon terminals. Moreover, dysregulated miRNA expression has been reported in SMA animal models and SMN deficient cells including neurons from C. elegans, neurons and muscles from mice, as well as fibroblasts and serum from patients. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying dysregulated miRNA expression in SMA are poorly defined.

Dysregulated autophagy has been implicated in SMA. Autophagy is a proteolytic physiological process that helps maintain protein homeostasis and energy metabolism via lysosomal degradation. It has been considered that autophagy plays a protective role for neurons. Indeed, mutations in autophagy-related genes are associated with neurological disorders including ALS and frontotemporal dementia. While dysregulated autophagy in SMA has been reported, whether it is dysfunctional or hyperactive is not conclusive. Autophagic flux seems obstructed in a motor neuron like NSC-34 cell model of SMA and spinal cords from an SMA mouse model. However, in SMA primary motor neurons obtained from either a mouse model or shRNA-mediated knockdown, the autophagosome formation is enhanced and autophagic flux seems fully functional. Indeed, inhibition of hyperactive autophagy improved motor function and survival in an SMA mouse model. This implies that hyperactive autophagy pathway contributes to SMA pathology. Taken together, current data suggest that the pathology of the autophagy pathway caused by SMN deficiency may be cell type specific and contributes to SMA.

In this study, we aim to understand the molecular mechanism that underlies dysregulated miRNA expression in the motor neuron disease SMA.

**Results**

**DROSHA level is reduced in SMA motor neurons.** To understand the mechanisms underlying the dysregulated miRNA expression in SMA, we measured the levels of proteins relevant in miRNA homeostasis in spinal motor neurons isolated from E13.5 WT and SMA mice (Smn−/−;SMN2−/−) at 10 days in vitro (10DIV) culture (Supplementary Fig. 1). While the protein levels of AGO2, XRN1, ER1 and DICER1 were unchanged (Supplementary Fig. 2), DROSHA levels were reduced and DGCR8 levels were increased in SMA motor neurons (Fig. 1A,B). DROSHA and DGCR8 work as a complex in the first step of miRNA biogenesis, and they regulate the expression of each other post-transcriptionally. DROSHA cleaves Dgcr8 mRNA, and DGCR8 stabilizes DROSHA upon binding.

To better understand how these proteins regulate one another in SMA, we first measured mRNA levels of Drosha and Dgcr8 in WT and SMA motor neurons. If SMA primarily results in DROSHA reduction, the amount of Dgcr8 mRNA and protein product should both be increased. In contrast, if increases in DGCR8 level are the primary change in SMA, DGCR8 would be expected to stabilize DROSHA resulting in increased level of DROSHA. We found that expression of Dgcr8 mRNA was increased, while Drosha mRNA levels were not altered in SMA motor neurons (Fig. 1C). Therefore, these data suggest that reduced DROSHA levels might be the primary event in SMA motor neurons. In addition, we tested whether DROSHA reduction is directly related to SMN levels or phenotype of SMA motor neurons. We knocked down Smn with an siRNA, and measured DROSHA levels 72 hours later. DROSHA levels were unaltered in Smn knockdown (KD) motor neurons (Fig. 3A). In addition, we confirmed that an siRNA treatment reduced SMN levels about ~50% compared to controls, which is similar to SMN levels in SMA motor neurons (~40% compared to WT motor neurons) (Supplementary Fig. 3A,B). This data implies that DROSHA is not directly regulated by SMN, but its dysregulation is rather a phenotype of SMA motor neurons.

**miRNA expression is altered in SMA motor neurons.** We further systemically analysed the miRNA expression in 10DIV WT and SMA motor neurons with next generation sequencing. We profiled miRNAs from three independent biological samples (1 µg total RNA per sample). The total number of reads of mature miRNA was reduced by about 23% in SMA motor neurons, suggesting DROSHA dysfunction in SMA (Fig. 1D). Interestingly, the complexity of miRNA expression is rather simple in motor neurons. 251 miRNAs were detected in WT motor neurons, and 9 miRNAs account for more than 92% of miRNAs. Among them, 68% of miRNAs are miR-10a-5p and miR-10b-5p, and 9% are miR-181a-5p. 242 miRNAs showed less than 1% of total reads. In SMA motor neurons, it is even simpler. Among 215 detected miRNAs, only 7 miRNAs are expressed higher than 1%. This implies that hyperactive autophagy pathway contributes to SMA pathology. Taken together, current data suggest that the physiopathology of the autophagy pathway caused by SMN deficiency may be cell type specific and contributes to SMA.

To test whether reduced DROSHA levels contribute to dysregulated miRNA expression in SMA motor neurons, we knocked down Drosha with siRNAs in WT motor neurons (Fig. 2A). We
first optimized siRNA-mediated KD against Drosha in cortical neurons, and found that a combination of two siRNAs against Drosha showed robust KD efficiency (Supplementary Fig. 4). Drosha KD elevated Dgcr8 mRNA expression in WT motor neurons (Fig. 2B), similar to what we observed in SMA motor neurons (Fig. 1C). In addition, Drosha KD also partially recapitulated dysregulated miRNA expression observed in SMA motor neurons (Fig. 2C). miR-10a-5p, mir-10b-5p, mir-218-1, and mir-218-2 levels were reduced in both Drosha KD and SMA motor neurons, while other miRNAs such as miR-25, miR-183, and miR-19a were not altered in the same way by Drosha KD as we have observed in SMA motor neurons (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, we also systemically sequenced miRNAs in 6DIV control and Drosha KD motor neurons. Compared to 10DIV motor neurons, the portion of miR-10a/b was even higher, and the profile of miRNA expression was simpler (Fig. 2D and Supplementary dataset). Although we did not observe clear reduction in total number of miRNAs after 3 days of DROSHA reduction, we confirmed that a large portion of miRNAs were reduced in both SMA and Drosha KD motor neurons compared to their controls (Fig. 2D,E). In 10DIV motor neurons, 279 miRNAs were detected, of which 63 miRNAs were reduced and 9 miRNAs were increased in SMA samples with more than 10 reads. In 6DIV motor neurons, 309 miRNAs were detected, of which 57 miRNAs were decreased and 7 miRNAs were elevated in Drosha KD.
Among decreased miRNAs, 37 miRNAs were common (Fig. 2E and Supplementary dataset). However, among elevated miRNAs, none were common between the two sets of samples. Together, these data suggest that reduction in DROSHA partially contributes to dysregulated miRNA expression in SMA motor neurons but that other mechanisms are also likely involved in miRNA expression changes in SMA.

Previously, we have reported that various SMN-deficient cells including shRNA-mediated Smn KD neurons and fibroblasts from SMA patients showed elevated miR-183 expression 4. To understand the discrepancies in our work, we measured the levels of miRNAs in miR-183 cluster in muscle cells from the SMA mice and SMN depleted muscle cell lines. In agreement with the previous results, the miR-183 expression was increased in SMA muscle cells, while DROSHA amount was not altered (Supplementary Fig. 5). These findings suggest that there is a motor neuron-specific mechanism for regulation of miRNA expression.

Neuronal activity reduces DROSHA levels in motor neurons. Next, we investigated the molecular mechanisms underlying reduced DROSHA levels in SMA motor neurons. We have previously reported that N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-mediated neuronal activity suppresses Drosha expression in hippocampal neurons 49. Moreover, SMA neurons display hyperexcitability, and reducing neuronal activity by riluzole ameliorates SMA phenotypes via opening of Ca2+-activated small conductance potassium (SK) channels 50,51. Therefore, we first tested whether DROSHA is also regulated by neuronal activity in WT motor neurons. Indeed, KCl-induced neuronal depolarization reduced DROSHA levels after 24 hours of stimulation, while blocking neuronal activity with tetrodotoxin (TTX) did not change DROSHA levels (Fig. 3A). Next, we pharmacologically reduced or blocked neuronal activity with riluzole or TTX respectively in SMA motor neurons. While riluzole did not increase DROSHA substantially, TTX significantly increased DROSHA in SMA motor neurons (Fig. 3B). From these data, we conclude that neuronal activity decreases DROSHA levels in motor neurons.

DROSHA is detected in the cytoplasm of motor neurons. DROSHA has long been considered to be a nuclear protein containing a nuclear localization signal in its N-terminal 20. However, recent reports show that subcellular localization of DROSHA varies among cell types and Drosha expression can be regulated by alternative splicing or post-translational modification 19,20,23,52. Therefore, we checked the subcellular localization of DROSHA in WT and SMA motor neurons. In WT motor neurons, DROSHA can be detected in both the nucleus and cytoplasm (Fig. 3C). In contrast, DROSHA was predominantly localized in the cytoplasm in SMA motor neurons (Fig. 3C). These findings suggest that DROSHA localization is altered in SMA, and this change may contribute to dysregulated miRNA expression.
Figure 3. Neuronal activity decreases DROSHA levels in motor neurons. (A) Western blot analysis of DROSHA levels in 10DIV WT motor neurons treated with 55 mM KCl or 300 nM tetrodotoxin (TTX) for 24 hours: n = 5. (B) Western blot analysis of DROSHA levels in 10DIV SMA motor neurons treated with 10 nM riluzole or 300 nM TTX for 96 hours: n = 9. (C) Images show that DROSHA is detected in nucleus and cytoplasm of motor neurons. Cytoplasmic DROSHA intensity is reduced in SMA: n = 113 (WT) and n = 98 (SMA) from 9 (WT) and 8 (SMA) biological samples. (D) Western blot analysis and quantification of DROSHA levels in nucleus and cytoplasm of WT and SMA motor neurons: n = 7 (WT) and n = 6 (SMA). (E) Western blot analysis and quantification of DROSHA levels in nucleus and cytoplasm of depolarized neurons. Neurons were depolarized with 55 mM KCl. LaminB and ACTB confirm that subcellular fractionation was successful: n = 5. (F) Primers to amplify exon 7 related splicing variants. (G) PCR shows that exons 5/6/7/8 containing splicing variant was the major form in motor neurons and SMN deficiency does not alter the exon 7-related splicing. PCR was performed 39 cycles and PCR product was confirmed with Sanger sequencing: n = 4. (H) qRT-PCR confirms that the amount of transcripts containing exon 7 did not alter in SMA: n = 4. Data are represented as mean±SEM. Statistical significance is determined with t-test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001. ns = not significant.
and cytoplasm including in neurites, while DROSHA in SMA neurites appears to be lower than in WT neurites (Fig. 3C). To confirm our finding with an independent method and quantify the level of reduction, we generated subcellular fractions from WT and SMA motor neurons and measured DROSHA levels. Indeed, we detected DROSHA in cytoplasmic fractions in both WT and SMA motor neurons (~50% compared to nucleus), but levels were reduced in both nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions in SMA motor neurons (Fig. 3D). Successful fractionation was confirmed with the nuclear marker LaminB (Fig. 3D).

**Neuronal activity regulates DROSHA expression in nucleus and cytoplasm of motor neurons.**

Next, we checked whether the level of DROSHA in different subcellular compartments can be regulated distinctly. We measured DROSHA levels after various durations of depolarization in subcellular fractions. In unstimulated WT motor neurons, DROSHA levels were higher in nuclear fractions (Fig. 3E). One hour after depolarization, DROSHA levels were reduced in both nucleus and cytoplasm to ~60% compared to unstimulated controls. Twenty-four hours after depolarization, cytoplasmic DROSHA levels were further decreased to 20%, while nuclear DROSHA levels were not further decreased (Fig. 3E). As both nuclear and cytoplasmic DROSHA levels were reduced rather quickly after neuronal depolarization, it seems that DROSHA is regulated by neuronal activity independent of their subcellular localization. However, as proteins can traffic in and out of the nucleus, we cannot exclude that DROSHA can be regulated in different subcellular compartments by distinct mechanisms.

**SMN deficiency does not influence Drosha splicing.** Recently, various splicing variants of Drosha have been reported. These splicing variants do not show clear functional differences in miRNA processing, but their subcellular localizations are different. Interestingly, this process seems cell type specific, and the presence of exon7 in Drosha mRNA is important for cytoplasmic localization. As for cytoplasmic localization of Drosha splicing variants motor neurons have not been reported, we investigated the alternative splicing pattern of Drosha exon7 in WT and SMA motor neurons. We adopted and modified published primer pairs to examine Drosha splicing (Fig. 3F). We tested whether exon7-related splicing variants exist in motor neurons and whether they are altered in SMA. We found that the major form of Drosha mRNA in motor neurons is full-length and that SMN deficiency does not alter exon7-related splicing patterns (Fig. 3G). We also compared the amount of Drosha mRNA between WT and SMA motor neurons using qRT-PCR with gene specific primers detecting exon7 and found no difference (Fig. 3H). Therefore, we concluded that SMN-mediated splicing does not influence alternative splicing of Drosha exon7 and subcellular localization in motor neurons.

**DROSHA is less stable in SMA motor neurons.** As only DROSHA protein levels are reduced while mRNAs remain unaltered in SMA (Fig. 1A,B), we hypothesized that DROSHA is regulated post-translationally. Therefore, we measured the stability of DROSHA in SMA motor neurons. We blocked protein synthesis with cycloheximide and measured the amount of DROSHA in 10DIV WT and SMA motor neurons. After 6 hours of 20µg/ml cycloheximide treatment, the amount of DROSHA was clearly reduced in SMA, but not in WT motor neurons (Fig. 4A). These data suggest that the stability of DROSHA is reduced in SMA motor neurons, and that the protein degradation pathway may contribute to the reduced DROSHA amounts.

Next, we investigated the mechanisms by which neuronal activity regulates DROSHA stability. Since we depolarized motor neurons with KCl, which is an unspecific stimulus, we tested whether activation of NMDA receptors also reduce DROSHA. Indeed, NMDA receptor-mediated neuronal activation reduced DROSHA (Fig. 4B). A few mechanisms regulating DROSHA stability have been reported in various cellular contexts. First, DROSHA has been shown to be ubiquitinated by mouse double minute 2 homolog (MDM2, E3 ubiquitin ligase) in response to glucose deprivation with subsequent degradation by the proteasome system. Second, cellular stressors such as heat shock and oxidative stress can decrease DROSHA levels via the p38-MAPK-calpain pathway. Therefore, we tested whether these mechanisms also regulate DROSHA in motor neurons. We treated WT motor neurons with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (25µM), the MDM2 inhibitor nutlin-3 (10µM), and the calpain inhibitor calpeptin (25µM) for 24 hours. Surprisingly, while nutlin-3 and calpeptin did not change the amount of DROSHA significantly, MG132 reduced DROSHA levels after 24 hours of treatment (Fig. 4B and Supplementary Fig. 6). From these data, we conclude that neither MDM2 nor calpain nor the proteasome system do directly regulate DROSHA in motor neurons.

**Autophagy degrades DROSHA in motor neurons.** We further searched for the molecular mechanism underlying reduced DROSHA stability in SMA. First, we questioned how inhibiting the proteasome with MG132 can reduce DROSHA levels (Fig. 4B and Supplementary Fig. 6). It has been reported that the proteasome and autophagy pathways interact indirectly with each other and that inhibiting the proteasome pathway with MG132 can enhance autophagy. In addition, enhanced autophagosome formation has been reported in SMA motor neurons, and inhibition of hyperactive autophagy delayed motor neuron degeneration and prolonged lifespan of a mild SMA mouse model. Based on these findings, we hypothesized that autophagy might regulate DROSHA in SMA motor neurons. To test our hypothesis, we first tested and confirmed that LC3-II, an indicator of autophagy activity and a marker of autophagosomes, is elevated in SMA motor neurons (Fig. 4C). Next, as autophagy plays an important role for clearance of proteins, we tested whether autophagy regulates DROSHA level. We pharmacologically induced autophagy with rapamycin, Torin-1, and 10-4′-(N-diethylamino) butyl)-2-chlorophenoxyazine hydrochloride (10-NCP) in WT motor neurons. Indeed, 100 nM Torin-1 treatment for 24 hours reduced DROSHA level to less than 50% of vehicle (DMSO)-treated motor neurons (Fig. 4D). However, we did not detect robust reduction of DROSHA level neither with 100 nM rapamycin nor with 10µM 10-NCP. This could be due to the fact that 100 nM rapamycin and 10µM 10-NCP were not optimal concentrations to induce autophagy in motor neurons. Therefore, we further increased concentrations of rapamycin and 10-NCP. Interestingly, while higher concentrations of 10-NCP successfully reduced DROSHA levels dose-dependently,
Figure 4. DROSHA is degraded by autophagy in motor neurons. (A) Stability of DROSHA protein in 10DIV WT and SMA motor neurons. 20 μg/ml of cycloheximide was treated for 6 hours: n = 8 (WT) and n = 10 (SMA). (B) Western blot analysis of DROSHA levels in 10DIV WT motor neurons treated with 25 μM MG132, 10 μM nulitin-3, 25 μM calpeptin, 55 mM KCl or 100 μM NMDA for 24 hours: n = 5. (C) Western blot analysis and quantification of LC3-I, LC3-II and p62 levels in 10DIV motor neurons. (D) Western blot analysis and quantification of DROSHA levels in 10DIV WT motor neurons treated with autophagy inducers, 100 nM rapamycin, 100 nM Torin-1, and 10 μM 10-NCP for 24 hours: n = 6. (E) Western blot analysis and quantification of DROSHA levels in 10DIV SMA motor neurons treated with blockers of autophagic flux, 5 mM 3-methyladenine (3-MA) or 1 nM bafilomycin A for 24 hours: n = 8 (3-MA treated) and n = 9 (non-treated and bafilomycin A treated). (F) Western blot analysis shows Atg5 knockdown (KD) efficiency in SMA motor neurons. 50 pmol of siRNAs (Atg5 or negative control) were transfected and KD efficiency was confirmed in 48 hours: n = 3. (G) Atg5 KD elevated DROSHA levels in SMA motor neurons after 72 hours of transfection: n = 4. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance is determined with t-test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001.
higher concentrations of rapamycin still did not reduce DROSHA levels (Supplementary Fig. 7). Next, we pharmacologically blocked autophagic flux in SMA motor neurons using the autophagy inhibitor 3-methyladenine (3-MA) or lysosomotropic agent bafilomycin A. Inhibition of autophagic flux with either inhibitor significantly increased DROSHA levels (Fig. 4E). In addition, using siRNA, we knocked-down \textit{Atg5}, which is necessary for autophagosome formation in SMA motor neurons (Fig. 4F). Although siRNA-mediated KD was not very efficient, we observed elevated DROSHA levels upon \textit{Atg5} KD in SMA motor neurons (Fig. 4G). Based on these findings, we conclude that autophagy degrades DROSHA and that hyperactive autophagy contributes to reduced DROSHA level in SMA motor neurons.

Neuronal activity induces autophagy to decrease DROSHA expression. Next, we investigated whether neuronal activity regulates DROSHA levels via autophagy. It has been reported that neuronal activity induces autophagy via NMDA receptors in hippocampal neurons\textsuperscript{57} and that SMA motor neurons show both abnormal neuronal excitability and autophagy\textsuperscript{41,50}. To identify their connections in motor neurons, we depolarized 10DIV WT motor neurons with KCl and measured autophagy and DROSHA levels at different time points (Fig. 5A–F). DROSHA levels decreased quickly after depolarization. We observed the reduction of DROSHA at 1 hour after depolarization, and this lasted up to 24 hours (Fig. 5A,B). Autophagy was similarly increased at 1 hour after depolarization, and this also lasted more than 24 hours (Fig. 5A,D–F). We found that DROSHA levels and autophagy activity were negatively correlated. Moreover, DROSHA levels showed a stronger inverse relationship with LC3-II/I ratio than the well-known autophagy substrate p62/SQSTM1 (Fig. 5A,C). This implies that DROSHA is a strong target of autophagy. Taken together, these data suggest that neuronal activity increases autophagy activity to degrade DROSHA in motor neurons.

DROSHA is co-localized with endosome-autophagosome markers upon neuronal activation. To investigate how autophagy regulates cytoplasmic DROSHA in motor neurons in response to neuronal activity, we co-stained DROSHA with various vesicular organelle markers including LC3 (autophagosomes and amphisomes), p62/SQSTM1 (substrate of autophagy, detected in autophagosomes and amphisomes), RAB5 (recycling endosomes), RAB7 (late endosome and amphisome), EAA1 (early endosomes) and LAMP1 (lysosomes). In response to neuronal activation, DROSHA co-localized with LC3, RAB5 and RAB7 (Fig. 6A–F and Supplementary Figs 8–11). These data suggest that neuronal stimulation relocates DROSHA to vesicular compartments of the
autophagy-endosomal pathway. We next checked localization of DROSHA in SMA motor neurons. We observed that DROSHA is highly co-localized in vesicular compartments of the autophagy-endosomal pathway in SMA, comparable to stimulated WT motor neurons (Fig. 6A–F and Supplementary Figs. 8–11). In particular, upon depolarization, DROSHA is co-localized at the highest levels with RAB7, a marker of late endosomes and amphisomes (Fig. 6E,F, and Supplementary Fig. 10). These findings support our hypothesis that enhanced excitability of SMA motor neurons contributes to DROSHA reduction via autophagy-lysosomal pathways.

**Reduced DROSHA enhances axonal growth in motor neurons.** We have found that DROSHA levels are reduced with neuronal activation in healthy WT motor neurons and in hyperexcitable SMA motor neurons. We then asked how reductions in DROSHA impacted motor neuron morphology. To answer this question, we knocked down Drosha with known shRNA in WT motor neurons. To visualize the morphology of these neurons, we produced shRNA containing lentiviral vectors expressing GFP (named shDROSHA-1 and −2). To test shRNA efficiency, we transfected these plasmids to 1DIV motor neurons and measured the expression of DROSHA 5 days later. We confirmed that these shRNAs reduce DROSHA levels to ~50% of non-transfected neighbor neurons in the same culture (Fig. 7A,B). Next, we checked the morphology of Drosha KD motor neurons (ChAT positive). As our vectors express GFP, we used GFP signal together with TAU (a marker for axon) and ChAT (a marker for motor neuron) to visualize the morphology of transfected neurons. At 6DIV, we observed one dominant axon with a few small branches, while dendritic development was still at a minimum in control neurons (Supplementary Fig. 12). Interestingly, we found that reduction of DROSHA to 50% enhanced axonal growth.
Figure 7. DROSHA reduction promotes neurites outgrowth. (A) Efficiency of two different shRNA sequences are tested. Plasmids were transfected in 1DIV and images were taken in 6DIV. DROSHA intensity from shRNA transfected neurons were compared to one from non-transfected neighboring neurons. GFP was used as a marker of transfection. (B) Bar graphs represent efficiency of shRNAs against Drosha. For shDROSHA-1, 18 transfected neurons are compared to 70 non-transfected neurons. For shDROSHA-2, 12 transfected neurons are compared to 42 non-transfected neurons. (C) Morphology of control and DROSHA knockdown motor neurons are visualized with GFP, and length of neurites was measured. Analyzed neurons were from 9 different transfections (from at least 3 independent biological samples). 36 neurons were analyzed per group. Analyzed neurons are all confirmed as ChAT positive (ChAT: Choline acetyltransferase, motor neuron marker). Data are represented as mean±SEM. Statistical significance is determined with t-test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001. (D) A schematic model for neuronal activity-autophagy-DROSHA-miRNA pathways in motor neurons.
growth (Fig. 7C, and Supplementary Fig. 13). However, consistent with previous reports, inhibition of miR-218 impaired growth of motor neurons (Supplementary Fig. 14). Notably, further reduction of DROSHA (for example, 6DIV or more) seems to induce neuronal death (data not shown). Taken together, our data suggest that transient DROSHA reduction by autophagy promotes growth of neurons as a homeostatic response in healthy neurons, however, constant DROSHA reduction by hyperactivity/autophagy causes dysfunction and degeneration of SMA motor neurons (Fig. 7D).

Discussion
Our findings indicate that neuronal activity enhances autophagy to decrease DROSHA/microRNA expression to promote neuronal growth in motor neurons and this pathway is pathologically altered in SMA neurons (Fig. 7D). As DROSHA is the core enzyme for miRNA biogenesis and miRNA dysregulation has been implicated in various neurological diseases including Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and ALS, the mechanisms regulating Drosha expression including splicing, post-translational modification, and degradation have been extensively studied. DROSHA levels are regulated by the mTOR-MDM2-proteasome pathway and the protease calpain in non-neuronal cells. Surprisingly, while neurons express MDM2 and calpain, and both proteins play very important roles, DROSHA levels are instead regulated by autophagy in motor neurons. This finding suggests that molecular mechanisms regulating DROSHA expression are highly cell-type specific. Since DROSHA regulates the biogenesis of the majority of miRNAs, this implies that miRNA expression is regulated in a cell-type specific manner. Therefore, further studies need to examine whether DROSHA down-stream regulation including miRNAs and their target mRNAs are different among various types of cells.

DROSHA regulates the expression of motor neuron-specific miRNA, miR-218 and other miRNAs including miR-218, which may ultimately have detrimental effects on motor neurons. Together, transient Smn knockdown in 3DIV motor neurons did not change DROSHA levels. From these data, we can deduce that only mature SMA motor neurons with synaptic activity exhibit reductions of DROSHA as well as miR-183. This finding suggests that the phenotype of SMA motor neurons, in other words, this can be the homeostatic response of neurons against the disease pathology. However, further consistent reduction of DROSHA will cause dysregulation of many other miRNAs including miR-218, which may ultimately have detrimental effects on motor neurons. Together, it is noteworthy that certain levels of DROSHA reduction seem compensatory and beneficial, while too little DROSHA will cause cellular dysfunction and degeneration of neurons.

While DROSHA forms a complex with DGCR8, DGCR8 can bind to many other proteins including nucleolin and FUS RNA binding protein. Like Drosha, DGCR8 can process mRNAs important for neurodevelopment and NMDA-mediated activation of neurons. We found that lowering DROSHA levels accelerates growth of neurons consistent with the fact that reduced DROSHA will lead to reduced functional miRNAs with subsequent disinhibition of mRNA translation. Our data suggest that neurons regulate Drosha expression to control cell growth; thus, DROSHA levels need to be controlled strictly by multiple layered mechanisms. In addition, we find that neuronal activity/autophagy reduces DROSHA level post translationally. This reduction might compensate for the phenotype of SMA motor neurons, in other words, this can be the homeostatic response of neurons against the disease pathology. However, further consistent reduction of DROSHA will cause dysregulation of many other miRNAs including miR-218, which may ultimately have detrimental effects on motor neurons. Together, it is noteworthy that certain levels of DROSHA reduction seem compensatory and beneficial, while too little DROSHA will cause cellular dysfunction and degeneration of neurons.

Disturbed autophagy is described in the pathophysiology of numerous neurodegenerative disorders. Disturbed autophagy is described in the pathophysiology of numerous neurodegenerative disorders. DROSHA and miRNA-mediated gene expression are regulated cell type and context dependently.

Interestingly and surprisingly, our data show that the majority of miRNAs in motor neuron cultures are miR-10a and miR-10b. While it has been reported that miR-10 expression is causal in human, mouse and zebrafish, the role of miR-10 in post-mitotic motor neurons is not yet known. Furthermore, the biogenesis of both miRNAs are regulated by DROSHA and their amounts are reduced in SMA motor neurons, it will be important to further investigate the role of miR-10 families in healthy and degenerative motor neurons.

Although Drosha deletion can cause cellular dysfunction or even death (The Jackson Laboratory and), certain levels of DROSHA reduction occur in non-pathological conditions such as depolarization or NMDA-mediated activation of neurons. We found that lowering DROSHA levels accelerates growth of neurons consistent with the fact that reduced DROSHA will lead to reduced functional miRNAs with subsequent disinhibition of mRNA translation. Our data suggest that neurons regulate Drosha expression to control cell growth; thus, DROSHA levels need to be controlled strictly by multiple layered mechanisms. In addition, we find that neuronal activity/autophagy reduces DROSHA level post translationally. This reduction might compensate for the phenotype of SMA motor neurons, in other words, this can be the homeostatic response of neurons against the disease pathology. However, further consistent reduction of DROSHA will cause dysregulation of many other miRNAs including miR-218, which may ultimately have detrimental effects on motor neurons. Together, it is noteworthy that certain levels of DROSHA reduction seem compensatory and beneficial, while too little DROSHA will cause cellular dysfunction and degeneration of neurons.
Materials and Methods

Animal model. Primary cultured neurons were isolated from a Taiwanese SMA mouse model (FVB background). These mice were bred to produce in each litter 50% of offspring as SMA mice (Smn<sup>KO/KO</sup>, SMN2<sup>200</sup>) and 50% phenotypically normal heterozygotes (Smn<sup>KO/WT</sup>, SMN2<sup>200</sup>). Wild-type mice were used as controls (Jackson). Animal care and all surgical procedures were performed according to the institutional animal care committee guidelines and the German animal welfare laws, and approved under the reference numbers 84-02.05.20.13.042 and UniKoEL Anzige§4.16.020 of the LANUV (Landesamt für Natur, Umwelt und Verbraucherschutz NRW) state agency of North-Rhine-Westphalia.

Motor neuron culture. Primary motor neurons were isolated from E13.5 embryos. Spinal cords were dissociated in 1% Trypsin (Worthington) with DNase I (Applichem) via pipetting. Cells were seeded on Poly-D-Lysine (PDL, Sigma) coated plates/cover-slips with neuronal plating media (Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum (FCS), Biochrom), 0.6% glucose, penicillin/streptomycin (Life Technologies) and amphotericin B (Promocell)). For imaging analysis, 75 K cells were plated per 12 well, and for protein analysis, 500 K cells were plated on 6-well plates. On the following day, neuronal plating media was replaced with motor neuron maintenance medium (Neurobasal medium (Life Technologies) supplemented with B27 supplement (Life Technologies), 2 mM L-glutamine, penicillin/streptomycin and amphotericin B with additional growth factors; ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF, 50 ng/ul, Peprotech), brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF, 50 ng/ul, Peprotech) and glia cell line derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF, 50 ng/ul, Peprotech)). Motor neurons were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. One-half of media was changed each 3 days, and cytosine arabinoside (AraC) was added to a final concentration of 1 μM.

Cortical neuron culture. Cortical neurons were isolated from E18 embryos. Briefly, cortices were dissected out and dissociated in neuronal plating media by pipetting. 400 K cells were seeded on PDL-coated 6-well plates with neuronal plating medium. On the following day, the neuronal plating media was replaced with Neurobasal medium supplemented with B27, 2 mM L-glutamine, penicillin/streptomycin and amphotericin B. Neurons were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. One-half of media was changed each 3 days, and AraC was added to a final concentration of 1 μM.

Protein isolation and Western blot analysis. Proteins were extracted with RIPA buffer (Sigma) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and protein concentration was determined by BCA assay (Thermo Scientific). Equal protein amounts were analysed with SDS–PAGE and immunoblotting according to a standard protocol. The information about antibodies can be found in Supplementary Table 1. Signals were detected with ChemiDoc XRS System (BioRad), and quantification of signal was performed using Fiji software.

Subcellular fractionation. Nucleus and cytoplasm were separated with Syn-PER Synaptic Protein Extraction Reagent (Thermo Scientific). Briefly, cells were lysed in 200 μL of Syn-PER Synaptic Protein Extraction Reagent, scraped off, collected, and centrifuged at 1,200 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. After the centrifugation, the supernatant containing the cytoplasm was separated from the pellet. The pellet was then lysed in 200 μL of RIPA buffer, and incubated on ice for 10 min. After this, the samples were centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube (nuclear fraction). Protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific) were added to Syn-PER reagent and RIPA buffer before use.

shRNA containing plasmids construction. We constructed lentiviral vectors containing shRNAs against mouse Drosha. We used two published shRNA sequences (shDROSHA-1 and shDROSHA-2)<sup>58</sup>. These vectors also express GFP to visualize morphology of neurons.

Immunostaining of motor neurons. Motor neurons were grown on PDL coated cover-slips for 10 days. Neurons were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) supplemented with 4% sucrose, at room temperature (RT) for 20 min. Neurons were permeabilized with 0.2% PBS-T at RT for 15 min. Subsequently, neurons were incubated in 3% BSA (in 0.2% PBS-T) at RT for 1 hour, and incubated with primary antibodies at 4 °C overnight. Next day, neurons were washed, and incubated with secondary antibodies at RT for 3 hours, washed again, and the nucleus was stained with DAPI (Thermo Scientific). Finally, cover-slips were mounted on glass slides with Mowiol (Sigma). The information about antibodies can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

Imaging analysis. All images were acquired with fluorescence Zeiss microscope (Axio imager M2, Zeiss) and length of neurites was measured by Fiji (Example pictures in Supplementary Fig. 13).

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and real time PCR. Total RNA was extracted from neurons using the mirVana™ miRNA Isolation Kit (Thermo Scientific). We followed the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was determined using the NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Peqlab). cDNA was produced from total RNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Scientific) with random primers.
mRNA expression was determined by real-time PCR with PowerSYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific) and 1 μM of gene specific primers. The amplification conditions for Drosha and Actb were: an initial incubation stage at 50 °C for 2 min, denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min and 40 cycles of amplification step (95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 40 s). For the amplification of Dicer, the same conditions were used except using an annealing temperature of 65 °C. An additional dissociation step was added to confirm the correct amplification and PCR product was confirmed with Sanger sequencing. Real time PCR was performed with 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Scientific). Sequences of gene specific primers are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

miRNA sequencing. 1 μg of total RNA per sample was used for library construction, and sequencing was performed in the Cologne Center for Genomics. Detailed methods were described previously.

Real time PCR for primary, precursor, and mature microRNA assays. In order to quantify primary and mature miRNA levels, TaqMan MicroRNA assay was used (Applied Biosystems). For precursor miRNA assay, we used miScript Precursor assay with miScript SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen). We used 50 ng of total RNA per reaction and followed the manufacturer’s instructions. The information about miRNA expression assays used for this study can be found in Supplementary Table 3.

Drug treatment. Motor neurons were treated with various drugs. The drugs used in this study are TTX (T8024, Sigma), riluzole (R116, Sigma), 3-methyladenine (3-MA, M9281, Sigma), MG132 (M7449, Sigma), calpeptin (C8999, Sigma) and bafilomycin A1 (B1793, Sigma), N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA, Cay-14581, Biomol), rapamycin (#9904, Cell Signaling), Torin-1 (#CALB475991, Calbiochem), 10-NCP (#2338, Biovison) and cycloheximide (#01810, Sigma). Concentrations of drugs used in this study can be found in the figure legends.

siRNAs, miRNA inhibitors and shRNA transfection. The knockdown of Drosha was performed using siRNA technology (FlexiTube, Qiagen). Two different siRNAs for Drosha (Mn_Ethoh2_1 #S100996499 and Mn_Ethoh2_3 #S100996513) or negative control siRNA (#1027280) were transfected with Lipofectamin®2000 (Thermo Scientific). For Atp5 and Smn knockdown, we transfected siRNAs (Atp5 s62452; Smn s133926) or negative control 4390843, Silencer® Select Pre-designed siRNA, Thermo Scientific) with Lipofectamin®2000. To inhibit miR-218 activity, miR-218 inhibitor (AM10328, Thermo Scientific) and control (AM17010, Thermo Scientific) were transfected with Lipotecamin®3000 (Thermo Scientific). For transfection of shRNA containing plasmid, we used 1 μg of DNA with 2 μg of Lipofectamin®3000 per coverslip. We mostly followed the manufacturer's instructions, however, we replaced the culture media 1 hour after transfection for WT motor neurons, while we changed the media 45 min after transfection for SMA motor neurons.

PCR for Drosha splicing variants. To check Drosha splicing variants in exon 7 region in WT and SMA motor neurons, we performed PCR assay. We designed specific primers to amplify the region between exon 5 to exon 8 based on the sequence of Mus musculus Drosha transcripts (GenBank, NM_001131049.1). The PCR condition was 94 °C for 3 min followed by 39 cycles of amplification (94 °C for 45 sec, 64 °C for 30 sec and 72 °C for 1 min), and final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Primer sequences used for this experiments are listed in Supplementary Table 4.

Data availability statement. miRNA sequencing data can be found in the supplementary dataset. The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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