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Abstract

To analyze the properties of the coherent structures in near-wall turbulence, an extraction method based on wavelet transform (WT) and a verification procedure based on correlation analysis are proposed in this work. The flow field of the turbulent boundary layer is measured using the hot-film anemometer in a gravitational low-speed water tunnel. The obtained velocity profile and turbulence intensity are validated with traditional boundary layer theory. The fluctuating velocities at three testing positions are analyzed. Using the power spectrum density (PSD) and WT, coherent and incoherent parts of the near-wall turbulence are extracted and analyzed. The probability density functions (PDFs) of the extracted signals indicate that the incoherent structures of turbulence obey the Gaussian distribution, while the coherent structures deviate from it. The PDFs of coherent structures and original turbulence signals are similar, which means that coherent structures make the most contributions to the turbulence entrainment. A correlation parameter is defined at last to prove the validity of our extraction procedure.
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1. Introduction

Turbulence is a commonly seen but very complicated phenomenon in nature. Numerous tests have proven that turbulence is not a pure random process but contains different scales of fluctuations called coherent structures [1–3]. These structures significantly contribute to fluid entrainment and mass, momentum, and heat transfer [4, 5]. Therefore, investigating the coherent structures is of great significance to undercover the physics and to realize flow control.

Among the techniques of turbulence analysis, wavelet transform has been proven feasible and power to detect and extract the coherent structures in turbulence [6–9]. Early works are based on continuous wavelet transform (CWT). Liangrant [10] and Jiang [11, 12] proposed the maximum energy principle, which considered the signal at the maximum energy scale as the burst events in turbulence. Kim [13] identified the coherent structure around a vibrating cantilever based on CWT. However, a drawback of CWT is that it is unable to reconstruct the signal if the mother wavelet is not orthogonal [14–16]. To solve this problem, Longo [17] used the multiresolution analysis technique based on the discrete wavelet transform (DWT) and extracted the structures in turbulence. DWT has evident
advantages compared with CWT since it is invertible and multi-scaled scales can be analyzed. Kadoch [18] combined DWT and direct numerical simulation (DNS), whose results proved that coherent structures preserve the vortical structures with only about 4% of the wavelet coefficients but retain 99.9% of the turbulence energy.

In this work, measurement of the turbulent boundary layer is carried out using hot-film anemometer in a gravitational low-speed water tunnel. A procedure based on the WT and correlation analysis is proposed to extract and verify the coherent and incoherent structure in turbulence.

2. Experimental tests and analysis

2.1 Experimental apparatus

A gravitational low-speed water tunnel was constructed for the experiment. The gravity generated by the water level difference drives the water flow in the tunnel, and the flow can be tested in the experimental section (Figure 1). A maximum water speed of 2.0 m/s can be reached, and the turbulence intensity is less than 2%. The IFA300 hot-wire anemometer was used to measure the turbulence boundary layer flow at a series of positions in the vertical direction (Figure 2). Detailed setups in the experimental section can be observed in Figure 2. A probe penetrates into the
flow to measure the flow field. A coordinate frame was used to move the probe in the vertical direction, with a precision of 0.01 mm. During the experiment, the sampling frequency and sampling time were set to 50 kHz and 10.24 s.

2.2 Verification of turbulent boundary layer flow

By using the experimental setups in Figure 2, the flow velocity of the turbulence boundary layer was measured at a series of positions in the vertical direction. The mean velocity profile and the turbulence intensity distribution at the water speed 0.4 m/s can be analyzed in Figures 3 and 4, which agree with the turbulence boundary
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*Figure 3.* The profile of mean velocity at the water speed 0.4 m/s.
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*Figure 4.* Turbulence intensity at the water speed 0.4 m/s.
layer theory. This means that the flow field in the experimental section is fully developed. Our setups and techniques are ready for turbulent boundary layer tests.

3. Theoretical background of wavelet transform

WT is a mapping of a time function, in a one-dimensional case, to the two dimensional time-scale joint representation. The temporal aspect of the signal can be preserved. The wavelet transform provides multiresolution analysis with dilated windows. The high-frequency part of the signal is analyzed using narrow windows, and the low-frequency part is done using wide windows. WT decomposes the signal into different frequency components and then studies each component with a resolution matched to its scale. It has advantages over traditional Fourier methods in analyzing physics where the signal contains discontinuities and sharp spikes.

WT of a signal $s(t)$ is defined as the integral transform of $\psi_{a,b} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \psi\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right)$, which can be expressed as:

$$W_f(a,b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(t) \psi_{a,b} dt$$

where $\psi_{m,n}(t)$ is the scaling function, which is defined as $\psi_{m,n}(t) = 2^{-m/2} \psi(2^{-m} t - n)$, and where $a$ and $b$ are the scale and position [19].

Scale $a$ and position $b$ should be discretized for applications. Usually we choose $a = 2^n (m \in \mathbb{Z}, a_0 > 1)$, $b = n \cdot 2^m (b_0 > 0, n \in \mathbb{Z})$. When $\psi(t)$ obeys the orthogonal condition $\int \psi_{m,n}(t) \psi_{m',n'}(t) dt = \delta_{m,m'} \delta_{n,n'}$, the functions of the orthogonal basis can be written as:

$$\psi_{m,n}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^m}} \psi\left(\frac{t - n \cdot 2^m}{2^m}\right)$$

The corresponding DWT can be expressed as:

$$<s, \psi_{m,n}> = 2^{-m/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(t) \psi_{m,n}(t) dt$$

The orthogonality of $\psi_{m,n}(t)$ eliminates the relevance between the points in wavelet space because of redundancy. The analyzing result of WT can thus reflect the characteristics of the original signal. Based on OWT, the signal $s(t)$ can be written as:

$$s(t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} <s, \psi_{m,n}> \psi_{m,n}(t)$$

By choosing the scale $m_0$ as the critical value, the signal $s(t)$ can be divided into the approximate and detailed parts:
\[ s(t) = \sum_{m=-m_0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} <s, \psi_{m,n}> \psi_{m,n}(t) + \sum_{m=-m_0}^{m_0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} <s, \psi_{m,n}> \psi_{m,n}(t) \]

\[ = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} <s, \varphi_{m,n}> \varphi_{m,n}(t) + \sum_{m=-m_0}^{m_0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} <s, \psi_{m,n}> \psi_{m,n}(t) \]

\[ = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{m_0}[n] \varphi_{m_0,n}(t) + \sum_{m=-m_0}^{m_0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} d_m[n] \psi_{m,n}(t) \]

\[ = A_{m_0} + \sum_{m=1}^{m} D_m \]

where \( \psi_{m,n}(t) \) is the wavelet function, \( \varphi_{m,n}(t) \) can be viewed as a low-pass filter, while \( \psi_{m,n}(t) \) as a band-pass filter. The first part of the above equation is the low-frequency approximation of the signal \( s(t) \) at the scale \( 2^{-m_0} \); the high-frequency part is the details of the signal \( s(t) \) [15, 20].

For turbulence, the fluctuating velocity of turbulence can be normally divided into two subparts:

\[ s = \tilde{s} + s' \]

where \( \tilde{s} \) is the coherent part and \( s' \) is the incoherent part. The signals \( \tilde{s} \) and \( s' \) are statistically independent.

By adopting the multiresolution analysis (Figure 5), the turbulence signal \( s(t) \) can be divided into different frequencies. Coherent structures can thus be reconstructed in a selected frequency domain. Other redundant signals can then be eliminated. Therefore, the frequency range determination and localization of the coherent structures are critical in this process. The frequency can be determined as:

\[ f = \frac{f_c f_i}{a} \]

where \( f_s \) and \( f_c \) are the sampling frequency and the central frequency of a particular wavelet basis, \( a \) is the scale, denoted as \( 2^m \) (\( m \) is a particular level of decomposition) in OWT. It represents the original frequency range of the turbulence signal when \( m = 0 \) (i.e., \( a = 1 \)); \( f = f_0 \).

![Figure 5. Sketch of the multiresolution analysis.](image-url)
4. Extraction and verification of turbulent structures

To extract the coherent structures in turbulence, the signals at the central area of turbulence should be selected. According to previous studies [20–22], the formation of the coherent structures in turbulence is formed in the area of $0 < y^+ < 30$, and the self-sustaining of the coherent structures is in the area of $20 < y^+ < 60$. As a result, three testing positions with the $y^+$ 20.8, 33.5, and 42.6 were selected, whose fluctuating velocity signals are shown in Figure 6.

4.1 Preliminary evaluation of coherent structures

For preliminary evaluations of the coherent structures, CWT is first utilized for the analysis. CWT is a mathematical mapping similar to the Fourier transform [23, 24]. It is linear, invertible, and orthogonal. However, the Fourier transform uses basis functions, including the sines and cosines, which extend to infinity in time, while wavelet basis functions drop towards zero outside a finite domain (compact support). This allows for an effective localization in both time and frequency. CWT uses inner products to measure the similarity between the turbulence signal and the wavelet function, which defines a mapping between the two. CWT compares the turbulence signal to shifted and compressed/stretched versions of the wavelet function. Compressing/stretching is also referred to as dilation or scaling and corresponds to the physical notion of scale. By continuously varying the values of the scale parameter, $a$, and the position parameter, $b$, one can obtain the CWT coefficients at last.

In the work, the 5th order of Daubechies wavelet was selected as the basis function, whose central frequency $f_c$ is 0.6667 Hz. The calculated CWT coefficients of the three signals are shown in Figure 7, where the quasi-periodic structures (coherent structures) of turbulence can be clearly observed. The modulus of the wavelet coefficients shows that during the vortex breakdown, which is caused by the strong nonlinear flow instability, energy is spread over a wide range of scales. Large-scale structures exhibit anisotropic properties in the flow. Their peaks and troughs appear at the scale about 300, corresponding with a frequency of $0.6667/300 = 0.0022$ Hz. These dominant scales will have the highest level of energy in turbulence. At the smaller scales, the vortices break up into intermittent small-scale features. Some organizations are evident here, with periodical and intermittent turbulent bursts. The low-frequency structures will decay to isotropic structures and dissipate in turbulence at last.

Figure 6. Fluctuating velocity signals at three positions (a) $y^+ = 20.8$, (b) $y^+ = 33.5$, (c) $y^+ = 42.6$. 
4.2 Extraction of coherent structures

To obtain the frequency range of coherent structures in turbulence, power spectrum densities of the three selected signals were calculated in Figure 8. The centralized frequencies of the coherent structures are found in the range $0 \sim 230$ Hz, $0 \sim 240$ Hz, $0 \sim 230$ Hz. The rapid attenuation of PSD demonstrates the low noise of our experimental system. Multiresolution analysis of OWT was used to extract the coherent structures in turbulence [9, 25, 26].

WT of a signal is equivalent to local cross-correlation analysis between the signal and wavelet function. OWT carries out the multi-resolution analysis for both decomposition and reconstruction of the original turbulence signal. It is thought of the wavelet coefficients as digital filters as which the original signal is passed through low-pass filters to decompose into low-frequency components and passed through high-pass filters to analyze into high-frequency components.

Using the multiresolution analysis of OWT, the turbulence signal was split into seven scales as in Table 1, which eliminates most of the redundant signals. The frequency range of the approximate signal is mainly in the range $0 \sim 260$ Hz, which covers most of the coherent structures. In Table 1, the coherent structures are found to take almost 75% of the whole energy in turbulence.

The extracted signals of each level are shown in Figure 9, where “$A7$” is the approximate signal, i.e., the coherent structures; where “$sD7$” is the incoherent structures, which is calculated by:

$$sD7 = s - A7 = D1 + D2 + \cdots + D7,$$

and where “$s$” is the original signal. “$D1 \sim D7$” are the detailed signals of each level.

4.3 Verification of extracted signals

To characterize the properties of the extracted signals, the probability density functions (PDFs) were analyzed in Figure 10. It can be observed that the incoherent structures are approximately Gaussian, demonstrating isotropic characteristics. The PDFs of coherent structures deviate from the Gaussian distribution, presenting strong anisotropic characteristics. And the PDFs of the coherent structures resemble that of the original turbulence signals. This means that coherent structures contribute the most to turbulence entrainment.
For further validation of the extracted coherent and incoherent structures, correlation analysis was carried out here. A correlation parameter $\beta$ between these structures was defined \[27\]:

$$\beta = \frac{\overline{v_a v_d}}{\overline{v^2}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum v_{ai} v_{di}$$

(9)

where $v$ is the fluctuating velocity signal. The subscripts “a” and “d” represent the coherent and incoherent structures. $N$ is the number of the sampling points. $\overline{v_a v_d}$ can be regarded as the stress between the coherent and incoherent structures.

Figure 8.
Power spectrum densities at the three positions (a) $y^+ = 20.8$, (b) $y^+ = 33.5$, (c) $y^+ = 42.6$. 

[Image of power spectrum densities for different positions]
Value of $\beta$ represents the correlation between coherent and incoherent structures. A large value of $\beta$ means that the coherent structures are divided into detailed signals as incoherent structures, denoting an inappropriate selection of the decomposition level. If the coherent structures are correctly extracted, the correlation parameter $\beta$ should be 0. According to Eq. (9), $\beta$ of our three selected signals at the level 7 are $-3.8444 \times 10^{-4}$, $7.2638 \times 10^{-4}$, and $3.2677 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively, demonstrating the low correlation between the extracted coherent and incoherent structures. This proves the validity of the proposed extraction process.

Table 1. Frequency and energy distribution of seven level decompositions.

| Signal | Frequency/Hz | $y^+ = 20.8$ | $y^+ = 33.5$ | $y^+ = 42.6$ |
|--------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| $s$    | 0 $\sim$ 33,335 | 100           | 100           | 100           |
| $A7$   | 0 $\sim$ 260   | 85.6498       | 77.0677       | 81.3847       |
| $D7$   | 260 $\sim$ 520 | 0.0147        | 0.0200        | 0.0259        |
| $D6$   | 520 $\sim$ 1042| 0.0062        | 0.0086        | 0.0129        |
| $D5$   | 1042 $\sim$ 2083| 0.0019       | 0.0036        | 0.0042        |
| $D4$   | 2083 $\sim$ 4167| 0.0464       | 0.0703        | 0.0868        |
| $D3$   | 4167 $\sim$ 83,334| 0.3957      | 0.8099        | 0.8505        |
| $D2$   | 83,334 $\sim$ 16,668 | 3.5993     | 5.5974        | 5.2322        |
| $D1$   | 16,668 $\sim$ 33,335 | 10.2411   | 16.4225       | 12.4028       |

Figure 9. Extracted signals in turbulence. (a) Incoherent structure ($y^+ = 20.8$); (b) coherent structure ($y^+ = 20.8$); (c) incoherent structure ($y^+ = 33.5$); (d) coherent structure ($y^+ = 33.5$); (e) incoherent structure ($y^+ = 42.6$); (f) coherent structure ($y^+ = 42.6$).
5. Conclusion

The flow field of the turbulence boundary layer was measured using hot-film anemometer in a gravitational low-speed water tunnel. The coherent and incoherent structures in turbulence were separated successfully with an extraction method based on WT. With CWT, the turbulent structures can be observed in various scales. With DWT, multiresolution analysis can be carried out for the decomposition and reconstruction of vortical structures in different scales. The PDF of the

Figure 10. Probability density functions at three testing positions (a) \( y^+ = 20.8 \), (b) \( y^+ = 33.5 \), (c) \( y^+ = 42.6 \).
incoherent structures was found to obey the Gaussian distribution, while that of the coherent structures deviate from it. The similarity of the PDFs of the coherent structures and the original turbulence signal demonstrate that the coherent structures make most contributions to turbulence. A correlation parameter between coherent and incoherent structures was defined, which proves the successful separation of coherent structure from turbulence.
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Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description                        |
|--------------|------------------------------------|
| WT           | wavelet transform                  |
| PSD          | power spectrum density             |
| OWT          | orthogonal wavelet transform       |
| PDF          | probability density function       |
| CWT          | continuous wavelet transform       |
| DWT          | discrete wavelet transform         |
| DNS          | direct numerical simulation        |

Nomenclature

- \( s(t) \) original turbulence signal
- \( \varphi_{m,n}(t) \) scaling function
- \( a \) scale parameter
- \( b \) position parameter
- \( m_0 \) critical scale
- \( \psi_{m,n}(t) \) wavelet function
- \( \tilde{s} \) coherent part of the signal
- \( s' \) incoherent part of the signal
- \( f \) frequency
- \( f_s \) sampling frequency
- \( f_c \) central frequency of particular wavelet basis
- \( y^+ \) dimensionless wall distance
- \( A_7, D_1 \sim D_7 \) detailed signal of each level
- \( v \) fluctuating velocity signal
- \( \beta \) correlation parameter
Appendix I: complex wavelet transform

The continuous wavelet transform (CWT) has the drawback of redundancy. As the dilation parameter $a$ and the shift parameter $b$ take continuous values, the resulting CWT is a very redundant representation. Therefore, the discrete wavelet transform was proposed to overcome this problem by setting the scale and shift parameters on a discrete set of basis functions. Their discretization is performed by:

$$ a = a_0 \cdots b = ka_0 b_0 \cdots \text{for } j, k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{10} $$

where $a_0 > 1$ is the dilation and $b_0 \neq 0$ is the translation. The family of wavelets can be expressed as:

$$ \psi_{j,k}(t) = a_0^{-j/2} \psi \left( a_0^{-j} t - kb_0 \right) \tag{11} $$

and the discrete wavelet decomposition of a signal $f(t)$ is:

$$ f(t) = \sum_j \sum_k D_f(j,k) \psi_{j,k}(t) \tag{12} $$

where $D_f(j,k)$ is the DWT of the signal $f(t)$. The most widely used dilation and shift parameters are $a = 2$ and $b = 1$.

The basis function set $\{ \psi_{j,k} \}$ should be orthonormal such that:

$$ D_f(j,k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{j,k}^*(t) f(t) dt = \langle \psi_{j,k}(t) f(t) \rangle \tag{13} $$

The advantage of the DWT is the multi-resolution analysis ability. Although the standard DWT is powerful, it has three major disadvantages that undermine its applications: shift sensitivity, poor directionality, and absence of phase information.

Complex wavelet transform can be used to overcome these drawbacks. It uses complex-valued filtering and decomposes the signal into real and imaginary parts, which can be used to calculate the amplitude and phase information.

For turbulence analysis, the complex wavelet transform should be used since the modulus of the wavelet coefficients allows characterizing the evolution of the turbulent energy in both the time and frequency domains. The real-valued wavelets will make it difficult to sort out the features of the signal or the wavelet. On the contrary, the complex-valued wavelets can eliminate these spurious oscillations.

The complex extension of a real signal $f(t)$ can be expressed as:

$$ x(t) = f(t) + jg(t) \tag{14} $$

where $g(t)$ is the Hilbert transform of $f(t)$ and is denoted as $H\{ f(t) \}$ and $j = (-1)^{1/2}$. The instantaneous frequency and amplitude of the signal $x(t)$ can then be calculated as:

$$ \text{Magnitude of } x(t) = \sqrt{ \left( f(t)^2 + g(t)^2 \right) } \tag{15} $$

$$ \text{Angle of } x(t) = \tan^{-1} \left[ g(t) / f(t) \right] $$

The complex wavelet transform is able to remove the redundancy for turbulence analysis where the directionality and phase information play important roles.
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