A case report of COVID-19 with false negative RT-PCR test: necessity of chest CT
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Abstract
The definite diagnosis of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is based on the viral isolation or positive result of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from sputum, or nasal swab, or throat swab. However, the sensitivity to detect COVID-19 of real time (RT)-PCR is reported to be lower than that of chest CT. We report a case of 34-year-old man who was diagnosed as negative for COVID-19 based on the four sequential RT-PCR tests of his pharyngeal swab. Chest CT showed patchy ground-glass opacity on admission, and it rapidly progressed to segmental mixed consolidation and ground-glass opacity 3 days after admission, and it resolved in left upper lobe, but showed multifocal ground-glass opacities 7 days after admission, and they resolved within 2 weeks. The fifth RT-PCR test finally revealed positive results at the fifth day after admission. It is difficult to distinguish COVID-19 pneumonia from other viral pneumonia on CT findings alone; however, we emphasize the utility of chest CT to detect early change of COVID-19 in cases which RT-PCR tests show negative results.
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Case report
Since December 2019, a cluster of pneumonia cases of unknown etiology has been announced in Wuhan, China, the pathogen of which is a novel coronavirus [1]. It broke out across China in just a week and spread rapidly around the world in a month [2]. WHO has officially named the disease with 2019 Coronavirus disease as COVID-19 [3, 4].

A 34-year-old man presented to the community hospital with 1-day history of chill and fever (38.7 °C) of unknown cause. Five days ago, he just passed Wuhan high-speed rail station in China on train. Coarse breath sounds of both lungs were heard at auscultation. Laboratory studies showed white blood cell count 5,660,000/mL, lymphocyte cell count 1,150,000/mL, the white blood cell differential count showed 70.6% neutrophils (normal range 40–75%), 0.0% eosinophils (normal range 0.4–8%), and 8.5% monocytes (normal range 3–10%). There were elevated blood levels for hypersensitivity C-reactive protein (23.6 mg/L; normal range 0–5 mg/L), Prothrombin time (13.5 s; normal range 9.0–16.0 s), Activated partial thromboplastin time (40.3 s; normal range 27.0–45.0 s).

But real-time fluorescence polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) of the patient’s pharyngeal swab for severe acute respiratory syndrome-Corona virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) nucleic acid was always negative for four times at disease onset. The clinical doctor could not diagnose as COVID-19 for the negative RT-PCR testing in its early stage.

CXR at disease onset (Fig. 1a, red square) showed patchy opacities in the left middle lung field. Thereafter, he was transferred immediately to the superior hospital, and chest CT showed patchy ground-glass opacity in the upper lobe of the left lung on admission (Fig. 1b), and it rapidly progressed to segmental mixed consolidation and ground glass opacification with air-bronchogram 3 days after admission (Fig. 1c), and it resolved in left upper lobe and also showed multifocal ground-glass opacities 7 days after admission (Fig. 1d1, axial image; Fig. 1d2, ray-summation image; Fig. 1d3, pseudo color MIP; Fig. 1d4, coronal image), and they resolved within 2 weeks (Fig. 1e, coronal image). Fortunately, this patient was diagnosed as "presumed cases" based
on early CT findings, the patient was immediately isolated for clinical monitoring so as not to cause regional spread. The fifth RT-PCR test was positive on the fifth day after admission; this patient was finally confirmed as COVID-19. Serial imaging studies in the Fig. 1d, e illustrated that the patient recovered after treatment.

On the basis of epidemiologic characteristics, clinical manifestations, chest CT images, and laboratory findings, the diagnosis of COVID-19 pneumonia was made. When the RT-PCR test for swab was negative at early stage, the chest image would play an important role in diagnosis [5–7]. Therefore, we need to strengthen the recognition of image changes to help clinicians to diagnose quickly and accurately. It is difficult to distinguish COVID-19 pneumonia from other viral pneumonia on CT findings alone; however, high-resolution CT (HRCT) of the chest is critical for early detection and improvement of diagnostic confidence for patient with COVID-19 amid possible negative RT-PCR period.
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