ABSTRACT

Objective: Electrohydrodynamic atomization is a technique that utilizes electrical potential differences for the fabrication of particles ranging from nano to micrometer size, where the ultra-charged droplets of drug-loaded mist deposit as nanospheres after solvent evaporation. The drug-loaded polymeric spherical nanocomposites have a small volume with large surface area, which is a beneficial characteristic for dissolution and bioavailability enhancement of class II drugs.

Methods: This facile approach is employed for the preparation of tadalafil-loaded nanosystems, a class II drug used for erectile dysfunction treatment. Tadalafil-loaded nanoparticles prepared with different polymer concentrations were evaluated through process yield, drug loading, morphology and functional performance. Further, drug solid-state and compatibility of formulation components were assessed.

Results: The results obtained pointed out that nanoparticles were of uniform spherical morphologies with a size range between 1279±141 and 374±13 nm. The system maintained a high loading efficacy of 88%, with most of the loaded drug released within 2 min during the in vitro dissolution studies. The differential scanning calorimetry, X-ray diffraction and Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy demonstrated the presence of tadalafil in an amorphous form or as a molecular dispersion within the polymer matrix.

Conclusion: Tadalafil-loaded nanoparticles manufactured through this methodology is qualified as a strategy to ameliorate its solubility and bioavailability.
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**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

Tadalafil was a generous gift from Benta Pharma Industry (Dbayeh, Lebanon). Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP K60, MW=360000), polyethylene oxide (PEO, MW=35000-40000) were selected as the polymer matrix, methanol and hydrochloric acid were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Steinheim, Switzerland). All other solvents and excipients utilized were of analytical grade.

**Preparation of tadalafil-loaded polymeric nanospheres**

In this study, for the preparation of the polymeric carriers, tadalafil-loaded nanospheres were fabricated using an electrohydrodynamic atomization process. The drug-loaded polymeric solution was prepared through dissolving the appropriate amount of drug, PVP and PEO as present in table 1, in the suitable solvent and mixing until a clear solution is formed [2]. Methanol was utilized as a solvent as it maintains the required features, where it is suitable for solubilizing the drug and polymers on one hand and is rapidly evaporated for the successfulness of the electrohydrodynamic atomization process on the other hand [19]. The solution is loaded into a mechanical syringe pump with a 22 gauge tip stainless steel needle acting as a nozzle. The flow was controlled mechanically at a rate of 0.1 ml/hr, a high voltage DC power supply of 23 KV supplying electrical field with positive polarity attached to the dispensing tip and negative polarity to the collector site. Electrohydrodynamic atomization was performed through cone-jet mode in a closed, temperature-controlled chamber at 25±0.5°C. Samples were collected on an aluminum foil which had been placed over the collector. Upon completion of the process, the nanospheres are removed from the collector surface and stored in a vacuum desiccator for further characterization.

**Electrospray powder process yield**

The drug-loaded polymeric nanosphere yield was determined gravimetrically as the ratio between the weight of the dried powder collected and the weight of polymers and drug-loaded into the equipment [20].

**Drug loading efficiency**

After the selection of the optimized processing conditions for the establishment of tadalafil-loaded nanospheres, various polymer (PVP/PEO) weight ratios were studied to optimize the final preparation characteristics. Tadalafil-loaded into the nanoparticles was determined by dissolving a specific weight of the formulation in methanol-water solution (1:1) and its content was measured using UV spectrophotometer (Optima, SP-3000 PLUS, Tokyo, Japan) at λmax 284 nm. The loading efficacy of the prepared formulation is characterized to identify their surface characteristics and diameters using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Seron Technology, AIS2300C, Korea). The collected samples were fixed on a double adhesive tape and sputter-coated with gold at less than 0.1 millibar pressure and 20 mA. The morphology of the nanospheres was scanned at different fields and magnifications. The images obtained were utilized for calculating of the nanosphere diameter and polydispersity using Image J software. For each determination, a sample of 100 nanospheres was measured [23].

**Solid-state characterization**

**Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)**

The thermograms of different samples were recorded using DSC (DSC60, Schimadzu, Massachusetts, United states). Optimized formulation, physical mixture, free drug and polymers were enclosed in an aluminum pan, with a nitrogen flow rate of 25 ml/min and scanned over a range of 20°C to 400°C at a heating rate of 5°C/min [24].

**X-ray diffraction**

The X-ray diffraction pattern of the nanospheres optimized formulation, physical mixture, free drug and polymers were analyzed using an X-ray powder diffractometer (XRD Bruker AXS, D8 focus, Karlsruhe, Germany) using Cu Kα radiation. The data of the samples were measured in reflection mode of 4° ≤ 2θ ≤ 80° on flat aluminum sample holders scanned at 40 KV and 30mA. The diffractogram of each sample was recorded at a step size of 0.02 and dwell time of 0.6 sec [2].

**Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy**

The FTIR spectroscopic analysis is executed to investigate the potential interaction between the drug and the polymers utilized for the preparation of the nanospheres using PerkinElmer spectrometer FTIR (ES version, Massachusetts, United states). Samples of 2 mg were thoroughly triturated with potassium bromide and subsequently compacted at 10 tones. The spectra were scanned over a frequency range 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹ with 4 cm⁻¹ resolution [24].

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Preparation of tadalafil-loaded polymeric nanospheres**

Electrohydrodynamic atomization is a promising technique for the fabrication of drug-loaded polymeric nanoparticles. In the current study, a series of tadalafil-loaded nanospheres formulations compromising various concentrations of PVP and PEO were successfully prepared via electrohydrodynamic atomization. The drug was entrapped in the nanoparticles by co-solvency of the drug and the polymers in methanol before the atomization process. Different formulation parameters were studied in order to investigate their influence on the particle morphology and drug release kinetics. The presence of a secondary polymer as well as the overall polymer concentration were chosen as variables of interest. PVP was selected as the matrix-forming polymer as it presents favorable properties such as low toxicity, hydrophilicity, and ability to enhance drug dissolution [24]. Furthermore, the secondary polymer introduced was PEO which was used due to its semi-crystallinity properties which in turn can reduce the hygroscopic property of PVP [25].

To determine the optimal concentrations and influence of the polymers mixture on the dissolution of tadalafil and nanosphere morphology, different formulations present in table 1 were prepared.

| Table 1: Nanospheres formulations: composition and characteristics |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Formulation** | **PVP concentration (w/w)** | **PEO concentration (w/w)** | **Yield %** | **Loading efficiency %** | **% in vitro release at 2 min** | **Particle size (nm)** |
| F1 | 2.5 | 0 | 88±5.50 | 80±10.20 | 83%±5.41 | 1190±31 |
| F2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 87±3.13 | 86±3.62 | 86%±3.25 | 785±28 |
| F3 | 2.5 | 5 | 90±2.46 | 88±2.71 | 78%±4.94 | 1279±141 |
| F4 | 5 | 0 | 86±4.84 | 91±3.50 | 89%±4.42 | 64±21 |
| F5 | 5 | 2.5 | 90±5.38 | 92±3.20 | 86%±5.14 | 74±13 |
| F6 | 5 | 5 | 89±2.00 | 95±2.45 | 84%±2.55 | 86±31 |
Electrospay powder process yield

Electrohydrodynamic atomization is a single-step process for the formation of drug-loaded nanoparticles, which has been employed pharmaceutically in different drug delivery applications [10]. The one-step pathway of the electrohydrodynamic atomization technique depends on the formulation and process parameters to articulate the optimal preparation features.

The study evaluated the concentrations of the PVP and PEO polymers on the nanospheres yield collected after the atomization process. The process yield didn’t exceed 90% ranging between 86-90% as presented by table 1. The collection of the nanospheres was maintained on a collection sheet, although the yield was mostly high in most samples and no reasonable correlation between the concentration of the polymer and the overall yield, yet the loss occurring to the yield can be related to the scattering of the nanoparticles to the outer surrounding and attachment on the nozzle. This was reported in Bohr et al. preparation of celecoxib loaded nanoparticles where the loss of the yield was related to dispersing in the surrounding [2].

Drug loading efficiency

A major asset of the success of a certain technique evolves around the ability and the range of drug loading within the formulation, as it affects the dose and therapeutic efficacy of the final formulation.

The loading efficacy of the tadalafil in the various formulations ranged between 80-95% as represented in table 1. The significant differences between the different formulations highlighted that the increase in PVP concentration was advantageous for drug loading (p value<0.05). This phenomena might be due to its ability to maintain higher uptake at increased concentration. Similarly, the PEO concentration positively improved the drug loading which can be linked to PEO ability to increase tadalafil solubility within the formulation [26].

In vitro drug release within 2 min

The influence of the polymer concentration on the dissolution of tadalafil was statistically investigated through ANOVA, which indicated significant fluctuations (p<0.05). The nanospheres were prepared with varying concentrations of the polymers at a fixed drug content. The different formulations, physical mixture and free drug were studied over 60 min at 37±0.5°C in 900 ml 0.1 N HCL. The various formulations release patterns fluctuated with the polymer concentrations. This was evident in the first two minutes, where all formulations maintained a relatively completed release. Within the first 2 min of drug release, it was clear that PVP concentration affected the amount of drug released, where the increase in PVP concentration increased the dissolution rate, the hydrophilic characteristic of the polymer can explain this. Similar results were recognized in a study carried out by Yousaf et al. where the authors prepared fenofibrate nanoparticle formulations and investigated the effect of PVP concentration where the increase of PVP ratio to drug 4:1 enhanced the drug dissolution beyond which the increase of PVP ratio didn’t have any significant effect on the fenofibrate dissolution [24]. On the other hand, PEO concentration reduced tadalafil release rate, where a delay of drug release was noticeable, this effect can be due to the interaction between the PVP and PEO which increased the diffusion layer thickness thus prolonging the time required for the drug to diffuse and released [27](Hong, Oh, 2008).

The dissolution enhancement is clear where more than 80% of the loaded drug was released within 2 min while the drug/polymer’s physical mixture demonstrated a minor release of 8.5% and an insignificant release for the free drug of 5.3% within the same period. The release of the physical mixture and the free drug didn’t change noticeably over 60 min where the physical mixture release didn’t exceed 15 % while the drug maintained at 5.5 % as illustrated by fig. 1.

![Fig. 1: In vitro release of tadalafil-loaded nanospheres formulations in 0.1 HCL at 37±0.5 °C](image)

Electron microscopical evaluation

Photomicroscopical images of the various formulations were examined to assess the influence of the polymer concentrations on the nanosphere morphology. The polymers used highly affected the nanosphere morphology as enlightened in fig. 2, where the increase in PVP from 2.5 % to 5% enhanced the uniformity of the particle size (p value<0.05). Inclusion of PEO at 2.5% resulted in a reduction of aggregation, although 5% negatively affect the nanosphere size and morphology where particles became non-uniform and few fibers were observed. This can be attributed to the increased viscosity of the solution which led to fiber formation [28]. The electromicroscopical imaging indicates a nanoparticle size range between 374±13 nm to 1279±141 nm depending on the polymer concentrations, with the optimal size range and uniform morphology presented by F5 containing PVP and PEO concentration at 5% and 2.5%, respectively.
Solid-state characterization

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

The thermal properties of the drug-loaded nanoparticles, free drug, polymers, and the physical mixture were studied using DSC. The free drug presented a sharp endothermic peak at 305.49 °C with an enthalpy of fusion of 98.78 J/g (fig. 3A), this confirms the crystalline nature of the drug [18]. The polymer PVP didn't generate any endothermic peaks yet demonstrated a fusion peak at 62.64 °C (fig. 3B), this is attributed to the moisture evaporation [24]. The PEO semi-crystalline nature is observed by the characteristic peak at 68.18 °C (fig. 3C) [29]. The physical mixture thermogram preserved the characteristic peak of PEO yet the thermal drug behavior was absent which can be explained by the melting of the drug within the polymers upon heating (fig. 3). Formulation 5 thermograms presented a complete absence of the characteristic peaks of tadalafil which indicate that the drug is present in a molecular state or transformed into the amorphous form [24].
Fig. 4: X-ray diffraction patterns of (A) tadalafil (B) PVP, (C) PEO, (D) physical mixture, (E) tadalafil-loaded nanospheres

Fig. 5: FTIR spectra of (A) tadalafil (B) PVP, (C) PEO, (D) physical mixture, (E) tadalafil-loaded nanospheres
X-Ray diffraction

The X-ray diffraction patterns of the drug-loaded nanoparticle, free drug, polymers and their physical mixture are displayed in fig. 4. The free drug pattern is characterized by sharp peaks which indicate its crystalline structure as indicated by Mehanna et al. [21]. The diffraction of PVP didn’t show any sharp peak indicating its amorphous nature, on the other hand, sharp peaks are present for PEO which emphasizes its semi-crystalline nature [30]. The physical mixture reveals a broadening and decreased intensity of the characteristic peaks of the drug and PEO polymer. The partial modification of the intensities of the crystalline nature peaks was reported by Yu et al., which was attributed to the masking effect of PVP matrix [31]. The formula (F5) diffraction pattern revealed the absence of crystallinity peaks of tadalafil which ensures the drugs amorphous state or molecular dispersion within the nanosphere matrix.

FTIR spectroscopy

The FTIR spectra of the different samples are illustrated in fig. 5. All the authors have contributed equally.

CONCLUSION

Electrohydrodynamic atomization was able to fabricate tadalafil-loaded nanospheres composed of PVP, PEO at varying polymer concentrations in a simple single step straightforward technique. The nanospheres diameter ranged from 374±13 nm up to 1279±141. The formulations elucidated a high loading ability in the nanospheres with a rapid dissolution rate of 89% release within 2 min. The physicochemical examination indicated the presence of the drug in an amorphous state or molecularly dispersed within the nanoparticles matrix. Electrohydrodynamic atomization technique is a potential drug development system for the dissolution enhancement and oral bioavailability augmentation of low aqueous solubility drugs.
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