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Abstract

Purpose – In 1979, P. Wintgen obtained a basic relationship between the extrinsic normal curvature the intrinsic Gauss curvature, and squared mean curvature of any surface in a Euclidean 4-space with the equality holding if and only if the curvature ellipse is a circle. In 1999, P. J. De Smet, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken gave a conjecture of Wintgen inequality, named as the DDVV-conjecture, for general Riemannian submanifolds in real space forms. Later on, this conjecture was proven to be true by Z. Lu and by Ge and Z. Tang independently. Since then, the study of Wintgen’s inequalities and Wintgen ideal submanifolds has attracted many researchers, and a lot of interesting results have been found during the last 15 years. The main purpose of this paper is to extend this conjecture of Wintgen inequality for bi-slant submanifold in conformal Sasakian space form endowed with a quarter symmetric metric connection.
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Findings – The authors establish the generalized Wintgen inequality for bi-slant submanifold in conformal Sasakian space form endowed with a quarter symmetric metric connection, and also find conditions under which the equality holds. Some particular cases are also stated.

Originality/value – The research may be a challenge for new developments focused on new relationships in terms of various invariants, for different types of submanifolds in that ambient space with several connections.

Keywords Normalized scalar curvature, Scalar curvature, Mean curvature, Quarter-symmetric connection, Conformal Sasakian space form

Paper type Research paper

JEL Classification — 34B10, 34B15
1. Introduction
In 1980, I. Vaisman [1] introduced the concept of conformal changes (or deformation) of almost contact metric structures as follows: Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a \((2n + 1)\)-dimensional manifold endowed with an almost contact metric structure \((\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)\). A conformal change of the metric \( g \) leads to a metric which is no more compatible with the almost contact structure \((\varphi, \xi, \eta)\). This can be corrected by a convenient change of \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) which implies rather strong restrictions. Using this definition, a new type of almost contact metric structure \((\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)\) on a \((2n + 1)\)-dimensional manifold \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) which is said to be a conformal Sasakian structure if the structure \((\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)\) is conformal related to a Sasakian structure \((\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})\).

The Wintgen inequality is a sharp geometric inequality for surfaces in four-dimensional Euclidean space involving Gauss curvature (intrinsic invariants), normal curvature and square mean curvature (extrinsic invariants). P. Wintgen [2] proved that the Gauss curvature \( K \), the normal curvature \( K^\perp \) and the squared mean curvature \( k_H^2 \) for any surface \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^2 \) in \( \mathbb{E}^4 \) satisfy the inequality [3] as follows:

\[
\|\mathcal{H}\|^2 \geq K + |K^\perp| \]

and the equality holds if and only if the ellipse of curvature of \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^2 \) in \( \mathbb{E}^4 \) is a circle. Later, it was extended by I. V. Gaudalupe et al. [4] for arbitrary codimension \( m \) in real space forms \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{(m+2)}(c) \) as follows:

\[
\|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + c \geq K + |K^\perp|.
\]

In 1999, De Smet, Dillen, Verstraelen and Vrancken [5] conjectured the generalized Wintgen inequality for submanifolds in real space form. The conjecture is known as DDVV conjecture. It had been proved by Lu [6] and by Ge and Tang [7] independently. In 2014, Ion Mihai [8] established such inequality for Lagrangian submanifold in complex space form. They provided some applications and also stated such an inequality for slant submanifolds in complex space forms. However, the year 2014 is not the stopping point in investigating Wintgen inequality and some additional steps have been taken in the development of the theory. In fact, many remarkable articles were published in the recent years and several inequalities of this type have been obtained for other classes of submanifolds in several ambient spaces for example, for statistical submanifolds in statistical manifolds of constant curvature [9]; for Legendrian submanifolds in Sasakian space forms [10]; for submanifolds in statistical warped product manifolds [11]; for quaternionic CR-submanifolds in quaternionic space forms [12]; for submanifolds in generalized \((\kappa, \mu)\)-space forms [13]; for totally real submanifolds in LCS-manifolds [14] and so on. For more details, see [15].

In the present article, we obtain the generalized Wintgen inequalities for conformal Sasakian space forms. The equality case of the main inequality is investigated. Lastly, we discuss such inequality for various slant cases as an application of the obtained inequality.

2. Preliminaries
2.1. Sasakian manifold
An odd-dimensional Riemannian manifold \((\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, g)\) is said to be an almost contact metric manifold [16] if there exist a tensor \( \varphi \) of type (1, 1), a vector field \( \xi \) (structure vector field) and a 1-form \( \eta \) on \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) satisfying

\[
\varphi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \varphi\xi = 0, \quad \eta^2 \xi = 0, \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X),
\]

(2.1)

(2.2)
and \[ g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{2.3} \]
for any \( X, Y \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M}) \). The two-form \( \Phi \) is called the fundamental two-form in \( \tilde{M} \) and the manifold is said to be a contact metric manifold if
\[ \Phi = d\eta. \]

A Sasakian manifold is a normal contact metric manifold. In fact, an almost contact metric manifold is Sasakian manifold if and only if we have
\[ (\nabla_X \varphi) Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X, \]
for any \( X, Y \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M}) \), where \( \nabla \) denotes the Riemannian connection.

A plane section \( \tilde{\pi} \) in \( T_p\tilde{M} \) is called a \( \varphi \)-section if it is spanned by \( X \) and \( \varphi X \), where \( X \) is a unit tangent vector orthogonal to \( \xi \). The sectional curvature of a \( \varphi \)-section is called a \( \varphi \)-sectional curvature. A Sasakian manifold with constant \( \varphi \)-sectional curvature \( c \) is said to be a Sasakian space form and denoted by \( \tilde{M}(c) \). The curvature tensor of a Sasakian space form \( \tilde{M}(c) \) is given by [16].
\[
R(X, Y)Z = \frac{(c + 3)}{4} \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} + \frac{(c - 1)}{4} \eta(Z)\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y + (g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y))\xi - g(\varphi Y, Z)\varphi X
+ g(\varphi X, Z)\varphi Y + 2g(\varphi X, Y)\varphi Z,
\]
for any \( X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M}) \).

### 2.2. Conformal Sasakian manifold

A \((2n + 1)\)-dimensional Riemannian manifold \( \tilde{M} \) endowed with the almost contact metric structure \( (\varphi, \eta, \xi, g) \) called a conformal Sasakian manifold if for a \( C^\infty \) function
\[ f : \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{R}, \]
there exists [3],
\[
\tilde{g} = \exp(f)g, \quad \tilde{\xi} = (\exp(-f))^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi, \quad \tilde{\eta} = (\exp(f))^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta, \quad \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi
\]
such that \((\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{g})\) is a Sasakian manifold.

Let \( \tilde{\nabla} \) and \( \nabla \) denote connections of \( \tilde{M} \) related to metrics \( \tilde{g} \) and \( g \), respectively. Using Koszul formula, we derive the following relation between the connections \( \tilde{\nabla} \) and \( \nabla \):
\[ \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{2} \{\omega(X)Y + \omega(Y)X - g(X, Y)\omega\}, \]
for any \( X, Y \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M}) \) so that \( \omega(X) = X(f) \) and \( \omega^\# \) is vector field of metrically equivalent to one form of \( \omega \), i.e. \( g(\omega^\#, X) = \omega(X) \). The vector field \( \omega^\# \) = \( \text{grad} f \) is called the Lee vector field of conformal Sasakian manifold \( \tilde{M} \).

The \((2n + 1)\)-dimensional conformal Sasakian manifold with constant sectional curvature \( c \), denoted by \( \tilde{M}(c) \), is called a conformal Sasakian space form and its curvature tensor is given by [3].
2.3. Quarter-symmetric metric connection

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be a $(2n + 1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric $g$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ be a linear connection defined by [17].

\[
\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \Lambda_1 \lambda(Y) X - \Lambda_2 g(X, Y) \mathcal{V},
\]

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})$, $\Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda_2$ are real constants and $\mathcal{V}$ is the vector field on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $\lambda(X) = g(X, \mathcal{V})$, where $\lambda$ is 1-form. If $\tilde{\nabla} g = 0$, then $\tilde{\nabla}$ is known as quarter-symmetric metric connection and if $\tilde{\nabla} g \neq 0$, then $\tilde{\nabla}$ is known as quarter-symmetric non-metric connection. Decomposing the vector field $\mathcal{V}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ uniquely into its tangent and normal components $\mathcal{V}^T$ and $\mathcal{V}^N$, respectively.

The special cases of (2.5) can be obtained as follows:

1. when $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 1$, then the above connection reduces to semi-symmetric metric connection and
2. when $\Lambda_1 = 1$ and $\Lambda_2 = 0$, then the above connection reduces to semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

For any $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(T\tilde{\mathcal{M}})$, the curvature tensor with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ is given by

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(X, Y) Z = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y Z - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X Z - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X,Y]}Z.
\]

On using (2.5), the curvature tensor (2.6) takes the form [17] as follows:

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(X, Y, Z, W) = \mathcal{R}(X, Y, Z, W) + \Lambda_1 \alpha(X, Z) g(Y, W) - \Lambda_1 \alpha(Y, Z) g(X, W) + \Lambda_2 \beta(Y, W) g(X, Z) - \Lambda_2 \alpha(X, W) g(Y, Z) + \Lambda_2 (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2) g(X, W) g(Y, Z)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{4} ||\omega||^2 g(X, Z) g(Y, W) - g(X, W) g(Y, Z),
\]

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are $(0, 2)$-tensors and defined as follows:

\[
\alpha(X, Y) = \left( \tilde{\nabla}_X \lambda \right)(Y) - \Lambda_1 \lambda(X) \lambda(Y) + \frac{\Lambda_2}{2} g(X, Y) \lambda(\mathcal{V}),
\]

for any $X, Y, Z, W, \omega, \xi \in \Gamma(T\tilde{\mathcal{M}})$, where $B := \nabla \omega - \frac{1}{2} \omega \otimes \omega$. 

\[
\mathcal{R}(X, Y) Z = \mathcal{R}(X, Y, Z, W) + \Lambda_1 \alpha(X, Z) g(Y, W) - \Lambda_1 \alpha(Y, Z) g(X, W) + \Lambda_2 \beta(Y, W) g(X, Z) - \Lambda_2 \alpha(X, W) g(Y, Z) + \Lambda_2 (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2) g(X, W) g(Y, Z)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{4} ||\omega||^2 g(X, Z) g(Y, W) - g(X, W) g(Y, Z),
\]
and
\[ \beta(X, Y) = \frac{\lambda(Y)}{2} g(X, Y) + \lambda(X) \lambda(Y). \]

The curvature tensor of conformal Saasakian space form \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(c) \) with a quarter-symmetric connection \( \tilde{\nabla} \) is given by
\[
g\left(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(X, Y)Z, W\right) = \exp(f) \left( \frac{c + 3}{4} (g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)) \right.
\]
\[ + \frac{c - 1}{4} (\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y, W) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(X, W)) \]
\[ + g(X, Z)g(\xi, W)\eta(Y) - g(Y, Z)g(\xi, W)\eta(X) \]
\[ - g(\varphi Y, Z)g(\varphi X, W) - g(\varphi X, Z)g(\varphi Y, W) \]
\[ - 2g(\varphi X, Y)g(\varphi Z, W)) \right) \frac{1}{2} (B(X, Z)g(Y, W) \]
\[ - B(Y, Z)g(X, W) + B(Y, W)g(Y, Z) - B(X, W)g(Y, Z) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{4} \|\omega\|^2 (g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(X, W)g(Y, Z)) \]
\[ + \Lambda_1 a(X, Z)g(Y, W) - \Lambda_1 a(Y, Z)g(X, W) \]
\[ + \Lambda_2 g(X, Z)a(Y, W) - \Lambda_2 g(Y, Z)a(X, W) \]
\[ + \Lambda_2 (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2)g(X, Z)\beta(Y, W) \]
\[ - \Lambda_2 (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2)g(Y, Z)\beta(X, W). \]

For simplicity, we have put \( tr(\alpha) = a \) and \( tr(\beta) = b \).

Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be an \( m \)-dimensional submanifold of a \((2n + 1)\)-dimensional conformal Saasakian space form \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(c) \). We consider the induced quarter-symmetric connection on \( \mathcal{M} \) represented by \( \tilde{\nabla}^\mathcal{M} \) and the induced Levi-Civita connection denoted by \( \nabla^\mathcal{M} \). Let \( \mathcal{R} \) and \( \mathcal{R}^\mathcal{M} \) be the curvature tensors of \( \tilde{\nabla}^\mathcal{M} \) and \( \nabla^\mathcal{M} \). Then, the Gauss equation is given by
\[
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(X, Y, Z, W) = \mathcal{R}(X, Y, Z, W) - g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z)) + g(h(Y, W), h(X, Z)) + (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2)g(h(Y, Z), \nabla^\mathcal{M})g(X, W) + (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1)g(h(X, Z), \nabla^\mathcal{M})g(Y, W),
\]
where \( h \) is the second fundamental form of \( \mathcal{M} \) in \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) with respect to \( \tilde{\nabla} \) and defined as follows:
\[ h(X, Y) = h'(X, Y) - \Lambda_2 g(X, Y)\nabla^\mathcal{M}. \]
Here, \( h' \) is the second fundamental form of \( \mathcal{M} \) in \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) with respect to \( \nabla \) and \( g \) denotes the Riemannian metric on \( \mathcal{M} \).

For any \( X \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{M}) \), we can write \( \varphi X = PX + SX \), where the \( PX \) (respectively, \( SX \)) is the tangential component (respectively normal component) of \( \varphi X \). If \( P = 0 \), then the submanifold is anti-invariant and if \( S = 0 \), then the submanifold is invariant. The squared norm of \( P \) at \( \beta \in \mathcal{M} \) is given as follows:
\[
||P||^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g^2(\varphi e_i, e_j),
\]

where \(\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}\) is any orthonormal basis of \(T_p\mathcal{M}\) and \(p \in \mathcal{M}\). The structure vector field \(\xi\) can be decomposed as \(\xi = \xi^t + \xi^\bot\), where \(\xi^t\) and \(\xi^\bot\) are tangential and normal components of \(\xi\).

The notion of bi-slant submanifolds was introduced by A. Carriazo et al. as a natural generalization of CR, slant, semi-slant and hemi-slant submanifolds (see \cite{18–20}). Recently, S. Uddin and B.-Y. Chen studied bi-slant and pointwise bi-slant submanifolds for their warped products in \cite{21, 22}. A submanifold \(\mathcal{M}\) of an almost contact-metric manifold \(\mathcal{M}\) is called bi-slant submanifolds, whenever we have

1. \(T\mathcal{M}^m = D_{\theta_1} \oplus D_{\theta_2} \oplus \langle \xi \rangle\) and
2. \(\varphi D_{\theta_1} \perp D_{\theta_2}\) and \(\varphi D_{\theta_2} \perp D_{\theta_1}\)

where \(D_{\theta_1}\) and \(D_{\theta_2}\) are two orthogonal distributions of \(\mathcal{M}\) with slant angle \(\theta_1\) and \(\theta_2\), respectively.

Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a bi-slant submanifold of a conformal Sasakian space form \(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}\). We assume that \(\dim(\mathcal{M}) = m = 2m_1 + 2m_2 + 1\), where \(\dim(D_{\theta_1}) = m_1\) and \(\dim(D_{\theta_2}) = m_2\). Let \(\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} = \{\xi, \xi\}\) be an orthonormal basis of \(T_p\mathcal{M}\) at \(p\) in \(\mathcal{M}\) with

\[
e_1, e_2 = \sec\theta_1 Pe_1, \ldots, e_{2m_1-1}, e_{2m_1} = \sec\theta_1 Pe_{2m_1-1}, e_{2m_1+1}, e_{2m_1+2} = \sec\theta_2 Pe_{2m_1+1}, \ldots, e_{2m_1+2m_2-1}, e_{2m_1+2m_2} = \sec\theta_2 Pe_{2m_1+2m_2-1}, e_{2m_1+2m_2+1} = \xi,
\]

from which we have \cite{23} as follows:

\[
g^2(\varphi e_{i+1}, e_i) = \begin{cases} 
\cos^2\theta_1, & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2m_1 - 1 \\
\cos^2\theta_2, & \text{for } i = 2m_1 + 1, \ldots, 2m_1 + 2m_2 - 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Thus, we have

\[
\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g^2(\varphi e_i, e_j) = 2\{m_1 \cos^2\theta_1 + m_2 \cos^2\theta_2\}.
\]

In fact, semi-slant, pseudo-slant, CR and slant submanifolds can be obtained from bi-slant submanifolds in particular. We can see the cases in the following Table 1:

The special case of slant submanifold are invariant and anti-invariant if \(\theta = 0\) and \(\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}\), respectively. The slant submanifold is said to be proper slant and proper bi-slant submanifold, if \(0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}\) and \(\theta_1\) lies between 0 and \(\frac{\pi}{2}\).

| S N | \(\mathcal{M}^m\) | \(D_{\theta_1}\) | \(D_{\theta_2}\) | \(\theta_1\) | \(\theta_2\) |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| (1) | Bi-slant        | Slant distribution | Slant distribution | Slant angle | Slant angle |
| (2) | Semi-slant      | Invariant distribution | Slant distribution | 0 | Slant angle |
| (3) | Pseudo-slant    | Slant distribution | Anti-invariant distribution | Slant angle | \(\frac{\pi}{2}\) |
| (4) | Contact CR      | Invariant distribution | Anti-invariant distribution | 0 | \(\frac{\pi}{2}\) |
| (5) | Slant           | Either \(D_{\theta_1} = 0\) or \(D_{\theta_2} = 0\) | Either \(\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \theta\) or \(\theta_1 = \theta_2 \neq \theta\) |

**Table 1.**
Different types of submanifolds
3. Main inequalities

In [10], Mihai discussed the generalized Wintgen inequality for Legendrian submanifolds in Sasakian space forms. He also stated such an inequality for contact slant submanifolds in Sasakian space forms. Thus, in this section, we obtain such an inequality in terms of the invariant $\rho_M$ (called normalized scalar-normal curvature) for bi-slant submanifolds of dimension $m$ in a $(2n + 1)$-dimensional conformal Sasakian space form $\tilde{M}$. Consider the local orthonormal tangent frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ of the tangent bundle $T\tilde{M}$ of $\tilde{M}$ and a local orthonormal normal frame $\{e_{m+1}, \ldots, \tilde{e}_{2n+1}\}$ of the normal bundle $T^\perp\tilde{M}$ of $\tilde{M}$ at any $p \in \mathcal{M}$, the scalar curvature $\tau$ at that point is given by

$$\tau = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \mathcal{R}(e_i, e_j, e_j, e_i).$$

(3.1)

The mean curvature $\mathcal{H}$ of submanifold is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} h(e_i, e_i).$$

Conveniently, let us put

$$h_{ij}^r = g(h(e_i, e_i), e_r),$$

for any $i, j = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $r = \{m + 1, \ldots, 2n + 1\}$.

We denote by $K$ and $\mathcal{R}^\perp$, the sectional curvature function and the normal curvature tensor on $\tilde{M}$, respectively. Then the normalized scalar curvature $\rho$ is given by [8].

$$\rho = \frac{2\tau}{m(m-1)} = \frac{2}{m(m-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \kappa(e_i \wedge e_j).$$

(3.2)

In term of the components of the second fundamental form, we can express the scalar normal curvature $\kappa_M$ of $\tilde{M}$ by the formula [8].

$$\kappa_M = \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} h'_{ij} h'_{rs} - h'_{js} h'_{ir} \right)^2,$$

(3.3)

and the normalized scalar normal curvature is given by [8].

$$\rho_M = \frac{2}{m(m-1)} \sqrt{\kappa_M}.$$  

(3.4)

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $m$-dimensional bi-slant submanifold in conformal Sasakian space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ of dimension $(2n + 1)$ endowed with a quarter-symmetric connection, then we have

$$\rho_M + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c+3}{4} - \frac{c-1}{2m} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m(m-1)} \left( m_1 \cos^2 \theta_1 + m_2 \cos^2 \theta_2 \right) \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{1}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \left\{ (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) \frac{a}{m} + \Lambda_2(\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2) \frac{b}{m} - (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) \lambda(\mathcal{H}) \right\}.$$  

(3.5)
Moreover, the equality case holds in the above inequality at a point \( p \in \mathcal{M} \) if and only if, with respect to some suitable orthonormal basis \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \) of \( T_p \mathcal{M} \) and \( \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{2n-m+1}\} \) of \( T_p \perp \mathcal{M} \), the shape operators \( S_{p_0} \gamma = 1, \ldots, 2n - m + 1 \), take the forms as follows:

\[
S_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
\mu_1 & \zeta & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\zeta & \mu_1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mu_1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \mu_1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\( \mu_1, \zeta \) are real numbers.

\[
S_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
\mu_2 + \zeta & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \mu_2 - \zeta & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mu_2 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \mu_2
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
S_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
\mu_3 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \mu_3 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mu_3 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \mu_3
\end{pmatrix}, \quad S_4 = \cdots = S_{2n-m+1} = 0,
\]

where \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \), and \( \zeta \) are real numbers.

**Proof.** Let \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \) and \( \{e_{2m+1}, \ldots, e_{2n+1}\} \) be orthonormal tangent frame and orthonormal normal frame on \( \mathcal{M} \), respectively. Putting \( X = W = e_i, Y = Z = e_j, i \neq j \) in (2.9) and using (2.8), we obtain

\[
\tilde{R}(e_i, e_j, e_i) = \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c + 3}{4} \left( g(e_j, e_j)g(e_i, e_i) - g(e_i, e_i)g(e_j, e_j) \right) \\
+ \frac{c}{4}(\eta(e_j)\eta(e_j)g(e_i, e_i) - \eta(e_i)\eta(e_i)g(e_j, e_j)) \\
+ g(e_i, e_j)g(\xi, e_j)\eta(e_i) - g(e_j, e_j)g(\eta(e_i))\eta(e_i) \\
- g(\varphi e_i, e_j)g(\varphi e_j, e_j) - g(\varphi e_j, e_j)g(\varphi e_i, e_i) \\
- \frac{1}{2}(B(e_j, e_j)g(e_j, e_j)) \right\} - \frac{1}{2}(B(e_j, e_j)g(e_j, e_j)) \\
- B(e_j, e_j)g(e_i, e_i) + B(e_i, e_i)g(e_j, e_j) - B(e_i, e_i)g(e_j, e_j) \\
- \frac{1}{4}\|\omega\|^2(\varphi g(e_j, e_j)g(e_i, e_i) - g(e_i, e_i)g(e_j, e_j)) \\
+ \Lambda_1 \alpha(e_j, e_i)g(e_j, e_i) - \Lambda_1 \alpha(e_j, e_i)g(e_j, e_i) \\
+ \Lambda_2 g(e_i, e_j)\alpha(e_i, e_j) - \Lambda_2 g(e_i, e_j)\alpha(e_i, e_j) \\
+ \Lambda_3 (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2)g(e_j, e_j)\beta(e_j, e_i) - \Lambda_2 (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2)g(e_j, e_j)\beta(e_j, e_i), \\
- (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2)h(e_j, e_i)\mathcal{P}^{-1}g(e_j, e_i) \\
- (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1)h(e_j, e_i)\mathcal{P}^{-1}g(e_j, e_i).
\]
By taking summation $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ of (3.9) and using (2.7), we have
\[
\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} R(e_i, e_j, e_j, e_i) = \frac{\exp(f)}{2} \left\{ \frac{(c + 3)m(m - 1)}{4} + \frac{(c - 1)}{4} \left( 2 - 2m + 3||P||^2 \right) + \frac{(m - 1)}{2} \text{tr}(B) \right. \\
+ \frac{1}{8} m(m - 1)||\omega||^2 + \frac{1}{2} \{(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)(1 - m)a + \Lambda_2(\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2)(1 - m)b \} \\
+ (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1)m(m - 1)\lambda(\mathcal{H}) \} + \sum_{r=1}^{2n-m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left[ h'_i h'_j - \left( h'_i \right)^2 \right],
\]
where
\[
\lambda(\mathcal{H}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda(h(e_j, e_j)) = g(\nu^i, \mathcal{H}).
\]

Using (2.10) and (3.1), we obtain
\[
\tau = \frac{\exp(f)}{2} \left\{ \frac{(c + 3)m(m - 1)}{4} + \frac{(c - 1)}{4} \left( 2 - 2m + 3(m_1 \cos^2 \theta_1 + m_2 \cos^2 \theta_2) \right) + \frac{(m - 1)}{2} \text{tr}(B) \right. \\
+ \frac{1}{8} m(m - 1)||\omega||^2 + \frac{1}{2} \{(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)(1 - m)a + \Lambda_2(\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2)(1 - m)b \} \\
+ (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1)m(m - 1)\lambda(\mathcal{H}) \} + \sum_{r=1}^{2n-m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left[ h'_i h'_j - \left( h'_i \right)^2 \right].
\]

On the other hand, we have
\[
m^2 ||\mathcal{H}||^2 = \sum_{r=1}^{2n-m+1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} h'_i \right)^2 = \frac{1}{m - 1} \sum_{r=1}^{2n-m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left( h'_i - h'_j \right)^2 \\
+ \frac{2m}{m - 1} \sum_{r=1}^{2n-m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} h'_i h'_j.
\]

Further, from [6], we have
\[
\sum_{r=1}^{2n-m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left( h'_i - h'_j \right)^2 + 2m \sum_{r=1}^{2n-m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left( h'_i \right)^2 \geq 2m \left[ \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq 2n - m + 1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left( h'_{ik} h'_{jk} - h'_{ik} h'_{jk} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

Now, combining (3.3), (3.12) and (3.14), we have
\[
m^2 ||\mathcal{H}||^2 - m^2 \rho_M \geq \frac{2m}{m - 1} \sum_{r=1}^{2n-m+1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left[ h'_i h'_j - \left( h'_i \right)^2 \right].
\]
Taking into account (3.2), (3.11) and (3.14), we obtain the required inequality.
Finally, by investigating the equality case of (3.5), the equality sign holds in (3.5) at a point \( p \in \mathcal{M} \) if and only if the shape operators take the forms (3.6)–(3.8) with respect to some suitable tangent and normal orthonormal bases.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 yields the following:

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a minimal \( m \)-dimensional bi-slant submanifold in conformal Sasakian space form \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(c) \) of dimension \((2n + 1)\) endowed with a quarter-symmetric connection, then we have

\[
\rho_{\mathcal{M}} + \rho \leq \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c + 3}{4} - \frac{c - 1}{2m} + \frac{3(c - 1)}{4m(m - 1)} \left( m_1 \cos^2 \theta_1 + m_2 \cos^2 \theta_2 \right) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{1}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \left\{ (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) \frac{a}{m} + \Lambda_2(\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2) \frac{b}{m} - (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) \lambda(\mathcal{H}) \right\}.
\]

(3.15)

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be an \( m \)-dimensional submanifold in conformal Sasakian space form \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(c) \) of dimension \((2n + 1)\) endowed with a quarter-symmetric connection, then we have

Table 2:

For the semi-symmetric metric connection \( \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 1 \), we have

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be an \( m \)-dimensional bi-slant submanifold in conformal Sasakian space form \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(c) \) of dimension \((2n + 1)\) endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection, then we have

\[
\rho_{\mathcal{M}} + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c + 3}{4} - \frac{c - 1}{2m} + \frac{3(c - 1)}{4m(m - 1)} \left( m_1 \cos^2 \theta_1 + m_2 \cos^2 \theta_2 \right) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{1}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \frac{2a}{m}.
\]

(3.16)

**Corollary 3.5.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be an \( m \)-dimensional submanifold in conformal Sasakian space form \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(c) \) of dimension \((2n + 1)\) endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection, then we have

Table 3:

For the semi-symmetric non-metric connection \( \Lambda_1 = 1 \) and \( \Lambda_2 = 0 \), we have

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be an \( m \)-dimensional bi-slant submanifold in conformal Sasakian space form \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(c) \) of dimension \((2n + 1)\) endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then we have

\[
\rho_{\mathcal{M}} + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c + 3}{4} - \frac{c - 1}{2m} + \frac{3(c - 1)}{4m(m - 1)} \left( m_1 \cos^2 \theta_1 + m_2 \cos^2 \theta_2 \right) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{1}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \frac{a}{m} - \lambda(\mathcal{H}).
\]

(3.17)

**Corollary 3.7.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be an \( m \)-dimensional submanifold in conformal Sasakian space form \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(c) \) of dimension \((2n + 1)\) endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then we have Table 4 as follows:
| SN | $\mathcal{M}$       | Inequality                                                                 |
|----|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Semi-slant          | $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c_1^2 - c_2^2}{2m^2} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m(m-1)} (m_1 + m_2 \cos^2 \theta_2) \right\} + \frac{\nu}{m} + \frac{1}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \left\{ (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) \frac{\alpha}{m} + \Lambda_2 (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2) \frac{B}{m} - (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) \lambda(\mathcal{H}) \right\}$ |
| 2  | Pseudo-slant        | $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c_1^2 - c_2^2}{2m^2} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m(m-1)} (m_1 \cos^2 \theta_1) \right\} + \frac{\nu}{m} + \frac{1}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \left\{ (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) \frac{\alpha}{m} + \Lambda_2 (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2) \frac{B}{m} - (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) \lambda(\mathcal{H}) \right\}$ |
| 3  | Contact CR          | $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c_1^2 - c_2^2}{2m^2} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m(m-1)} (m_1) \right\} + \frac{\nu}{m} + \frac{1}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \left\{ (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) \frac{\alpha}{m} + \Lambda_2 (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2) \frac{B}{m} - (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) \lambda(\mathcal{H}) \right\}$ |
| 4  | Slant               | $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c_1^2 - c_2^2}{2m^2} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m(m-1)} (m_1 + m_2 \cos^2 \theta) \right\} + \frac{\nu}{m} + \frac{1}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \left\{ (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) \frac{\alpha}{m} + \Lambda_2 (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2) \frac{B}{m} - (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) \lambda(\mathcal{H}) \right\}$ |
| 5  | Invariant           | $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c_1^2 - c_2^2}{2m^2} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m(m-1)} (m_1 + m_2) \right\} + \frac{\nu}{m} + \frac{1}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \left\{ (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) \frac{\alpha}{m} + \Lambda_2 (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2) \frac{B}{m} - (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) \lambda(\mathcal{H}) \right\}$ |
| 6  | Anti-invariant      | $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c_1^2 - c_2^2}{2m^2} \right\} + \frac{\nu}{m} + \frac{1}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \left\{ (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) \frac{\alpha}{m} + \Lambda_2 (\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2) \frac{B}{m} - (\Lambda_2 - \Lambda_1) \lambda(\mathcal{H}) \right\}$ |

Table 2. Inequalities for different submanifolds of a conformal Sasakian space form endowed with a quarter-symmetric connection. In such a space, different submanifolds are endowed with a quarter-symmetric connection.
Table 3. Inequalities for different submanifolds in a conformal Sasakian space form endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection.

| SN | $M$ | Inequality |
|----|-----|------------|
| (1) | Semi-slant | $\rho_M + \rho \leq ||\mathcal{H}||^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c+3-\frac{c-1}{2m}}{4m(m-1)} (m_1^2 + m_2 \cos^2 \theta_2) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{1}{4} ||\omega||^2 - \frac{2a}{m}$ |
| (2) | Pseudo-slant | $\rho_M + \rho \leq ||\mathcal{H}||^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c+3-\frac{c-1}{2m}}{4m(m-1)} (m_1 \cos^2 \theta_2) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{1}{4} ||\omega||^2 - \frac{2a}{m}$ |
| (3) | Contact CR | $\rho_M + \rho \leq ||\mathcal{H}||^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c+3-\frac{c-1}{2m}}{4m(m-1)} (m_1) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{1}{4} ||\omega||^2 - \frac{2a}{m}$ |
| (4) | Slant | $\rho_M + \rho \leq ||\mathcal{H}||^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c+3-\frac{c-1}{2m}}{4m(m-1)} (m_1 + m_2 \cos^2 \theta) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{1}{4} ||\omega||^2 - \frac{2a}{m}$ |
| (5) | Invariant | $\rho_M + \rho \leq ||\mathcal{H}||^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c+3-\frac{c-1}{2m}}{4m(m-1)} (m_1 + m_2) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{1}{4} ||\omega||^2 - \frac{2a}{m}$ |
| (6) | Anti-invariant | $\rho_M + \rho \leq ||\mathcal{H}||^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c+3-\frac{c-1}{2m}}{4m(m-1)} (m_2) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{1}{4} ||\omega||^2 - \frac{2a}{m}$ |
| SN | $\mathcal{M}$  | Inequality |
|----|----------------|-------------|
| (1) | Semi-slant | $\rho_M + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c-3}{4} \frac{c-3}{2m} + \frac{3(c+1)}{4m(m-1)} \left( m_1 + m_2 \cos^2 \theta \right) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{3}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \frac{2m}{m} - \lambda(\mathcal{H})$ |
| (2) | Pseudo-slant | $\rho_M + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c-3}{4} \frac{c-3}{2m} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m(m-1)} \left( m_1 \cos^2 \theta_1 \right) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{3}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \frac{2m}{m} - \lambda(\mathcal{H})$ |
| (3) | Contact CR | $\rho_M + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c-3}{4} \frac{c-3}{2m} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m(m-1)} \left( m \right) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{3}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \frac{2m}{m} - \lambda(\mathcal{H})$ |
| (4) | Slant | $\rho_M + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c-3}{4} \frac{c-3}{2m} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m(m-1)} \left( m_1 + m_2 \right) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{3}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \frac{2m}{m} - \lambda(\mathcal{H})$ |
| (5) | Invariant | $\rho_M + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c-3}{4} \frac{c-3}{2m} + \frac{3(c-1)}{4m(m-1)} \left( m_1 + m_2 \right) \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{3}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \frac{2m}{m} - \lambda(\mathcal{H})$ |
| (6) | Anti-invariant | $\rho_M + \rho \leq \|\mathcal{H}\|^2 + \exp(f) \left\{ \frac{c-3}{4} \frac{c-3}{2m} \right\} + \frac{\text{tr}(B)}{m} + \frac{3}{4} \|\omega\|^2 - \frac{2m}{m} - \lambda(\mathcal{H})$ |
4. Some examples of conformal Sasakian manifolds

In this segment, we provide some examples of a conformal Sasakian manifolds which is not Sasakian.

Example 4.1. Let us consider a three-dimensional manifold

\[ \tilde{M} = \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_3 > 0 \}, \]

where \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) are standard coordinates in \(\mathbb{R}^3\). We choose the vector fields

\[ v_1 = 2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right), \quad v_2 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \quad v_3 = 2(e^{x_3/2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \]

which are linearly independent at each point of \(\tilde{M}\). Let \(g\) be the Riemannian metric defined by

\[ g(v_i, v_j) = 0, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, \quad g(v_1, v_1) = g(v_2, v_2) = e^{-x_3}, \quad g(v_3, v_3) = 1. \]

Let \(\eta\) be the an 1-form defined by

\[ \eta(v_1) = 1, \quad \eta(v_2) = 0, \quad \eta(v_3) = 0, \quad \eta(U) = g(U, v_3), \quad \forall \ U \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M}). \]

We define the \((1, 1)\) tensor field \(\varphi\) as

\[ \varphi(v_1) = v_2, \quad \varphi(v_2) = -v_1, \quad \varphi(v_3) = 0. \]

The linear property of \(g\) and \(\varphi\) yield that

\[ \eta(v_3) = 1, \quad \varphi^2(U) = -U + \eta(U)v_3 \]

\[ g(\varphi U, \varphi V) = g(U, V) - \eta(U)\eta(V), \]

for any \(U, V \in \Gamma(\tilde{M})\). Thus, \((\tilde{M}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)\) defines an almost contact metric manifold with \(\xi = v_3\) [24]. Then we have

\[ [v_1, v_2] = -2e^{-x_3/2}. \]

Similarly,

\[ [v_1, \xi] = x_2 v_3, \quad [v_2, v_3] = 0. \]

The Riemannian connection \(\nabla\) of the metric \(g\) is given by

\[ 2g\left( \nabla_X Y, Z \right) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) \]

\[ -g([X, [Y, Z]]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]). \]

By Koszul’s formula, we obtain the following

\[ \nabla_{v_1} v_2 = -x_3 v_2 - e^{-x_3/2} v_3, \quad \nabla_{v_1} v_3 = -e^{x_3/2} (v_1 - v_2), \quad \nabla_{v_2} v_1 = -x_2 v_1 e^{-x_3/2} v_3, \]

\[ \nabla_{v_2} v_1 = -x_2 v_2 e^{-x_3/2} v_3, \quad \nabla_{v_2} v_2 = x_2 v_1 e^{-x_3/2} v_3, \quad \nabla_{v_2} v_3 = -e^{-x_3/2} (v_1 + v_2), \]

\[ \nabla_{v_3} v_3 = x_2 v_1 e^{x_3} v_1, \quad \nabla_{v_3} v_2 = x_2 v_1 + e^{-x_3/2} v_3, \quad \nabla_{v_3} v_1 = -e^{x_3/2} (v_1 - v_2) - x_2 v_3. \]
Using contact transformation
\[ \tilde{\phi} = \phi, \quad \tilde{\xi} = e^{-x_3/2} \xi, \quad \tilde{\eta} = e^{x_3/2} \eta, \quad g = e^{x_1} g. \] (4.1)
\( (\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, g) \) is Sasakian manifold. So \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) is a conformal Sasakian manifold but not Sasakian. Since by the definition, we have
\[ \nabla_{v_1} v_3 \neq \phi v_2, \] (4.2)
for any \( v_1, v_2 \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}) \) (for instance \( \nabla_{v_1} v_3 \neq 0 \)). By using the above results, we can find the non-vanishing components of Riemannian curvature, Ricci curvature tensor and scalar curvature as follows:
\[ \mathcal{R}(v_1, v_2) v_2 = -4 v_1 + x_2 e^{-x_3/2} v_3, \] (4.3)
\[ \mathcal{R}(v_1, v_3) v_3 = -v_1 + 3 v_2 + x_2 e^{-x_3/2} v_3, \quad \mathcal{R}(v_2, v_3) v_3 = e^{x_3/2} v_1 + e^{-x_3/2} v_2. \]
In view of above expressions, we turn up the following:
\[ \mathcal{K}(v_1, v_2) = -4 e^{x_3}, \quad \mathcal{K}(v_1, v_3) = -1, \quad \mathcal{K}(v_2, v_3) = e^{x_3} (1 - x_2). \]
Note that the sectional curvature of manifold \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) with almost contact-metric structure \( (\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, g) \) is
\[ \mathcal{K}(v_1, v_2) = -3, \quad \mathcal{K}(v_1, v_3) = -1, \quad \mathcal{K}(v_2, v_3) = -1. \]
Moreover, the non-vanishing components of Ricci curvature tensor, and scalar curvature are given by
\[ \mathcal{Ric}(v_2, v_2) = -4, \quad \mathcal{Ric}(v_3, v_3) = -1 + e^{-x_3/2}. \] (4.4)
\[ \overline{\rho} = -5 + e^{-x_3/2}. \]

Example 4.2 Let us consider a three-dimensional manifold
\[ \tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_3 > 0 \}, \]
where \( (x_1, x_2, x_3) \) are standard coordinates in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). We choose the vector fields
\[ v_1 = x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \quad v_2 = x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \quad v_3 = -(e^{-x_3/2}) x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \]
which are linearly independent at each point of \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \). Let \( g \) be the Riemannian metric defined by
\[ g(v_i, v_j) = 0, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, \quad g(v_1, v_1) = g(v_2, v_2) = e^{-x_1}, \quad g(v_3, v_3) = 1. \]

Let \( \eta \) be the an 1-form defined by
\[ \eta(v_3) = 1, \quad \eta(v_2) = 0, \quad \eta(v_1) = 0, \quad \eta(U) = g(U, v_3), \quad \forall \ U \in \Gamma(T \tilde{\mathcal{M}}). \]
We define the \((1, 1)\) tensor field \( \phi \) by
\[ \phi(v_1) = v_2, \quad \phi(v_2) = -v_1, \quad \phi(v_3) = 0. \]
The linear property of $g$ and $\varphi$ yield
\[ \eta(v_3) = 1, \quad \varphi^2(U) = -U + \eta(U)v_3, \]
\[ g(\varphi U, \varphi V) = g(U, V) - \eta(U)\eta(V), \]
for any $U, V \in \Gamma(\tilde{M})$. Thus, $(\tilde{M}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ defines an almost contact metric manifold with $\xi = v_3$. Then, we have
\[ [v_1, v_2] = 0. \]

Similarly,
\[ [v_1, \xi] = e^{x_1/2}v_1, \quad [v_2, v_3] = e^{x_1/2}. \]

The Riemannian connection $\nabla$ of the metric $g$ is given by
\[ 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) \]
\[ -g([X, Y], Z) - g([Y, Z], X) + g(Z, [X, Y]). \]

By Koszul's formula, we obtain the following:
\[ \nabla_{v_1}v_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{v_1}v_3 = \frac{1}{2}e^{x_1/2}(x_1 + 2)v_1, \quad \nabla_{v_1}v_1 = -\frac{1}{2}e^{x_1/2}(x_1 + 2)v_3, \]
\[ \nabla_{v_2}v_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{v_2}v_2 = -\frac{1}{2}e^{x_1/2}(x_1 + 2)v_3, \quad \nabla_{v_2}v_3 = \frac{1}{2}e^{x_1/2}v_3, \]
\[ \nabla_{v_3}v_3 = 0, \quad \nabla_{v_3}v_2 = \frac{1}{2}e^{x_1/2}v_1, \quad \nabla_{v_3}v_1 = -\frac{1}{2}e^{x_1/2}v_1. \]

Adopting contact transformation
\[ \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi, \quad \tilde{\xi} = e^{-x_1/2}\xi, \quad \tilde{\eta} = e^{x_1/2}\eta, \quad \tilde{g} = e^{x_1}g. \quad (4.5) \]

$(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$ is Sasakian manifold. Therefore, $\tilde{M}$ is a conformal Sasakian manifold but not Sasakian, since by the definition, we have
\[ (\nabla_{v_2}\varphi)v_1 \neq g(v_1, v_2)\tilde{\xi} - \eta(v_2)v_1, \quad (4.6) \]

for any $v_1, v_2 \in \Gamma(\tilde{M})$. By using the above results, we find the non-vanishing components of Riemannian curvature, Ricci curvature tensor and scalar curvature.
\[ \mathcal{R}(v_1, v_2)v_2 = -\frac{1}{4}(x_1 + 2)^2v_1, \quad \mathcal{R}(v_2, v_3)v_3 = -e^{x_1}v_2, \quad \mathcal{R}(v_1, v_2)v_3 = 0, \quad (4.7) \]
\[ \mathcal{R}(v_1, v_3)v_3 = -e^{x_1}v_1, \quad \mathcal{R}(v_3, v_1)v_1 = -v_3, \quad \mathcal{R}(v_3, v_1)v_2 = 0, \]
\[ \mathcal{R}(v_2, v_1)v_1 = -\frac{1}{4}(x_1 + 2)^2v_2, \quad \mathcal{R}(v_3, v_2)v_2 = -v_3. \]
\[ \mathcal{Ric}(v_1, v_1) = \mathcal{Ric}(v_2, v_2) = \frac{1}{4}(x_1 + 2)^2e^{-x_1}, \quad \mathcal{Ric}(v_3, v_3) = 2e^{-2x_1}. \quad (4.8) \]
\[ \bar{p} = e^{-x_1}[(x_1 + 2)^2 + 2e^{-x_1}]. \]
Example 4.3. Let $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ endowed with an almost contact structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ given by [3]
\[
g = e^{-2t}\left\{\eta \otimes \eta + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ (dx^i)^2 + (dy^i)^2 \right\} \right\}, \quad \xi = e^t \left\{ 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\},
\]
\[
\varphi \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + Y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right) + Z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( Y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} - X^i \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y^i y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z},
\]
where $t = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^i)^2 + (y^i)^2 + z^2 \right\}$.

Then, $(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is not Sasakian manifold, but $(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$ is Sasakian space form with constant $\tilde{\varphi}$-sectional curvature, where
\[
\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi, \quad \tilde{\xi} = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \frac{1}{2} \left( dz - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y^i dx^i \right),
\]
\[
\tilde{g} = \left\{ \eta \otimes \eta + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ (dx^i)^2 + (dy^i)^2 \right\} \right\}.
\]

Therefore, $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$ is the conformal Sasakian space form so that $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$ is Sasakian space form of constant $\tilde{\varphi}$-sectional curvature $c = -3$.

Example 4.4. In [25], it was shown that the warped product $\mathbb{R} \times f/\mathbb{C}^n$ is a generalized Sasakian space form with
\[
f_1 = -\frac{(f')^2}{f^2}, \quad f_2 = 0, \quad f_3 = -\frac{(f')^2}{f^2} + \frac{f''}{f},
\]
where $f = f(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f'$ denotes the derivative of $f$ with respect to $t$. If we choose $n = 4$ and $f(t) = e^t$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a five-dimensional conformal Sasakian space form.

Example 4.5. Let us consider a 11-dimensional manifold $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_{10}, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{11} : z \neq 0\}$, where $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}, z)$ are standard coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{11}$. We choose the vector fields $E_i = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, 10, \quad E_{11} = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ which are linearly independent at each point of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. We define $\tilde{g}$ by
\[
\tilde{g} = e^{2\tilde{t}} G,
\]
where $G$ is the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^{11}$. Hence $\{(E_i)_{i=1,2,\ldots,11}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

We consider an one-form $\tilde{\eta}$ defined by
\[
\tilde{\eta} = e^t dz, \quad \tilde{\eta}(X) = \tilde{g}(X, E_{11}), \quad \forall \ X \in \Gamma \left( T\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \right).
\]

We define the $(1, 1)$ tensor field $\tilde{\varphi}$ by
\[
\tilde{\varphi} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{5} \left( X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + x_{i+5} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+5}} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \left( x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i+5}} - x_{i+5} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right).
\]

Generalized Wintgen inequality
Thus, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(E_i) = E_{i+5}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}(E_{i+5}) = -E_i, \quad \tilde{\varphi}(E_{11}) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 5.$$ 

The linear property of $g$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ yield that

$$\tilde{\eta}(E_{11}) = 1, \quad \tilde{\varphi}^2(X) = -X + \tilde{\eta}(X)E_{11}$$

$$\tilde{g}(\tilde{\varphi}X, \tilde{\varphi}Y) = \tilde{g}(X, Y) - \tilde{\eta}(X)\tilde{\eta}(Y),$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\tilde{\mathcal{M}})$. Thus, $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{g})$ defines an almost contact-metric manifold with $\tilde{\xi} = E_{11}$. Then, we have $[E_i, E_j] = 0$. Similarly, $[E_i, \tilde{\xi}] = e^{-z}E_i, [E_i, E_j] = 0, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 10$.

$$2\tilde{g}(\nabla_X Y, Z) = X\tilde{g}(Y, Z) + Y\tilde{g}(Z, X) - Z\tilde{g}(X, Y)$$

$$-\tilde{g}(X, [Y, Z]) - \tilde{g}(Y, [X, Z]) + \tilde{g}(Z, [X, Y]).$$

By Koszul's formula, we obtain the equations as follows:

$$\nabla_{E_i}E_i = -e^{-z}\tilde{\xi}, \quad \nabla_{\tilde{\xi}}E_i = 0, \quad \nabla_{E_i}\tilde{\xi} = e^{-z}E_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 10.$$ 

Thus, we see that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is the conformal Sasakian manifold.

Now, we define a submanifold $\mathcal{M}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ by the immersion $\gamma$ as follows:

$$\gamma(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7) = e^{-z}(u_1, u_3, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}u_5, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}u_6, u_4 \cos \theta, u_4 \sin \theta, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}u_5, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}u_6, u_7)$$

for $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

It is easy to check that tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M} = \text{Span}\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6, X_7\}$, where

$$X_1 = e^{-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \quad X_2 = e^{-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_6}, \quad X_3 = e^{-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \quad X_4 = e^{-z}\left\{\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_7} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_8}\right\},$$

$$X_5 = e^{-z}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_9}\right\}, \quad X_6 = e^{-z}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_10}\right\}, \quad X_7 = e^{-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$ 

Using the almost contact structure $\varphi$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\varphi}X_1 = e^{-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_6}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}X_2 = -e^{-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}X_3 = e^{-z}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2},$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}X_4 = -e^{-z}\left\{\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_7} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_8}\right\}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}X_5 = e^{-z}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_9}\right\},$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}X_6 = e^{-z}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\{-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{10}}\right\}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}X_7 = 0.$$ 

If we consider the distributions as follows:

$$D_{\theta_1} = \text{Span}\{X_1, X_2\}, \quad D_{\theta_2} = \text{Span}\{X_3, X_4\}, \quad \xi = \text{Span}\{X_5, X_6\}.$$ 

Then, we have $T\mathcal{M} = D_{\theta_1} \oplus D_{\theta_2} \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$. By some computations, it can be verified that $\mathcal{M}$ is bislant submanifold of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. 
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