Autoimmunity affecting the biliary tract fuels the immunosurveillance of cholangiocarcinoma

Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) results from the malignant transformation of cholangiocytes. Primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) and primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) are chronic diseases in which cholangiocytes are primarily damaged. Although PSC is an inflammatory condition predisposing to CCA, CCA is almost never found in the autoimmune context of PBC. Here, we hypothesized that PBC might favor CCA immunosurveillance. In preclinical murine models of cholangitis challenged with syngeneic CCA, PBC (but not PSC) reduced the frequency of CCA development and delayed tumor growth kinetics. This PBC-related effect appeared specific to CCA as it was not observed against other cancers, including hepatocellular carcinoma. The protective effect of PBC was relying on type 1 and type 2 T cell responses and, to a lesser extent, on B cells. Single-cell TCR/RNA sequencing revealed the existence of TCR clonotypes shared between the liver and CCA tumor of a PBC host. Altogether, these results evidence a mechanistic overlapping between autoimmunity and cancer immunosurveillance in the biliary tract.

Introduction

Chronic inflammation is a major contributor to cancer promotion and progression (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011; Lin and Karin, 2007). On one hand, inflammation produces reactive oxygen and nitrogen species that can mutate DNA. On the other hand, the inflammatory environment abounds in multiple factors (i.e., cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, prostaglandins, and proteolytic enzymes) that support malignant cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis; promote angiogenesis; and interfere with cancer immunosurveillance (Bruno et al., 2014; Taniguchi and Karin, 2018; Todoric et al., 2016). A plethora of clinical and epidemiological observations have validated the link between a prolonged inflammatory state and cancer incidence (Landskron et al., 2014; Multhoff et al., 2012; Todoric et al., 2016). Consolidating evidence came with the discovery that nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (e.g., aspirin) reduce the risk of developing several malignancies (Rothwell et al., 2018;
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Todoric et al., 2016). In sharp contrast, there is evidence that specific autoimmune reactions affecting nonessential cell types (e.g., melanocytes in vitiligo and thyrocytes in autoimmune thyroiditis) are coupled to improved immunosurveillance against tumors arising from such cells (i.e., melanoma and thyroid carcinoma, respectively; Failla et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2020; Moon et al., 2018; Myshunina et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2017; Paradisi et al., 2014; Teulings et al., 2013; Zitvogel et al., 2021). Hence, inflammatory and autoimmune processes may affect carcinogenesis in opposite directions.

Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA), which emerges from the bile duct–lining epithelial cells (i.e., cholangiocytes), is the second primary liver cancer after hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). CCA is a highly lethal pathology with a 5-yr survival rate of 5–17% due to usually late diagnosis and the lack of efficient treatment options (Blechacz and Gores, 2008; Squadroni et al., 2017). CCA is largely resistant to chemotherapy. Surgical resection, which remains the sole curative option, is possible only in a minority (~20%) of patients and is accompanied by frequent (>50%) local relapse (Banales et al., 2020). As is the case for other cancers, CCA and HCC evolve from unresolved inflammatory conditions. On one side, HCC mainly results from chronic viral hepatitis or alcohol-related cirrhosis or as a late-stage complication of nonalcoholic hepatosteatosis (El-Serag, 2012). On the other side, the main predisposing condition to CCA occurring in Western countries resides in primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC).

PSC is a chronic cholangiopathy (i.e., a cholestatic liver disease in which cholangiocytes are primarily damaged). It is the second-most common chronic cholangiopathy, after primary biliary cholangitis (PBC; Banales et al., 2019). PSC increases not only the risk of CCA but also that of other neoplasms such as HCC, colon cancer, and gallbladder cancer (Razumilava et al., 2011). It is characterized by fibro-inflammatory strictures of the large bile ducts, which form the intra- and/or extrahepatic biliary tract. It occurs predominantly in men (male:female ratio of 2:1), and it is strongly associated with inflammatory bowel disease (Molodecky et al., 2011).

In contrast to PSC, PBC has proclivity toward women (female: male ratio of 10:1) and mainly affects small bile ducts (Myers et al., 2009; Sood et al., 2004). It harbors an autoimmune component responsible for a selective destruction of the bile ducts by inflammatory leukocytes, mainly T lymphocytes and plasma B cells. Serological markers of PBC include high levels of serum IgM and anti-mitochondrial autoantibodies. A loss of tolerance to the E2 subunit of the mitochondrial pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC-E2) induces cholestasis by promoting the formation of intraductal porphyrin plugs. Both protocols known to break immune tolerance against the autoantigen PDC-E2; (Wakabayashi et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2014). PSC was induced by intermittent dietary challenge with 3,5-diethoxycarbonyl-1,4-dihydrocollidine (DDC; Fig. 1 B), as previously described (Fickert et al., 2014; Katsumi et al., 2015) were excluded as they rely on the constitutive deletion of immunological or metabolic factors that would impair cancer immunosurveillance. PBC was induced by i.p. challenge with 2-octynoic acid coupled to BSA (2OA-BSA) and i.v. injections of α-galactosylceramide (α-GalCer; a potent adjuvant that stimulates natural killer T [NKT] cells; Fig. 1 A), following established protocols known to break immune tolerance against the autoantigen PDC-E2; (Wakabayashi et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2014).

In the present study, we performed a side-by-side comparison of previously described murine models of autoimmune versus nonautoimmune cholangitis recapitulating the main features of PBC and PSC, respectively. Animals affected with these cholangiopathies were subsequently transplanted with syngeneic CCA cells in order to challenge our hypothesis. We observed that PBC-associated autoimmunity, but not PSC-related inflammation, prevented CCA outgrowth. Both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells were responsible for the PBC-associated immunosurveillance of CCA. In PBC mice, Th1/Th1 and Th2/Th2 cell subsets were notably enriched in the liver, detected in tumor-draining lymph nodes, and concentrated in CCA tissues compared with PSC mice or mice without cholangitis. T cells infiltrating PBC livers and CCA tissues shared multiple clonotypes that fell into the category of Tc1 cells. Altogether, our work provides evidence for a mechanistic overlapping between autoimmunity and cancer immunosurveillance.

**Results**

**Establishment and comparison of the two preclinical models of cholangitis, PBC and PSC**

Nongenetic approaches were taken to induce two different types of cholangiopathies, PBC and PSC, in C57Bl/6 mice. Of note, existing genetic murine models of cholangitis (Bae et al., 2016; Concepcion and Medina, 2015; Fickert et al., 2014; Katsumi et al., 2015) were excluded as they rely on the constitutive deletion of immunological or metabolic factors that would impair cancer immunosurveillance. PBC was induced by i.p. challenge with 2-octynoic acid coupled to BSA (2OA-BSA) and i.v. injections of α-galactosylceramide (α-GalCer; a potent adjuvant that stimulates natural killer T [NKT] cells; Fig. 1 A), following established protocols known to break immune tolerance against the autoantigen PDC-E2; (Wakabayashi et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2014). PSC was induced by intermittent dietary challenge with 3,5-diethoxycarbonyl-1,4-dihydrocollidine (DCC; Fig. 1 B), as previously described (Fickert et al., 2007; Ikenaga et al., 2017). DCC induces cholestasis by promoting the formation of intraductal porphyrin plugs. Both protocols yielded the expected histological stigmata of PBC and PSC (Fig. 1 C), with signs of fibrosis in PSC but not PBC (Fig. 1 D; Huang et al., 2013), as well as distinctive serological features such as an elevation in plasma transaminases in PSC but not PBC (Fig. 1 E) and increased serum levels of total IgG and anti-PDC-E2 IgG/M in PBC (Fig. 1, F and G). As expected, PBC but not PSC was associated with the induction of PDC-E2–reactive CD8+ and CD4+ T cells, as illustrated by the detection of spleenocytes producing INFγ in response to peptides derived from this autoantigen (data not shown). Transcriptomic analyses of the livers by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) revealed mostly convergent alterations in the gene expression patterns of CCA...
when PBC and PSC were compared with normal, age- and sex-matched controls (Fig. 2A and Table S1). Gene ontology (GO) term analyses of the modulated mRNAs revealed a significant enrichment of genes involved in inflammation, immune response, and chemotaxis of different leukocyte subsets (Fig. 2B). The expression of genes listed under the GO terms “inflammation” and “neutrophil” was not significantly different (P > 0.5, Student t test) between PBC and PSC (Fig. 2C and D). In sharp contrast, genes listed under the GO terms “T cell” and “B cell” were significantly (P < 0.001, Student t test) up-regulated in PBC compared with PSC livers (Fig. 2E and F).

Altogether, these results support the contention that adaptive T and B lymphocyte–mediated immune responses play a quantitatively more important role in PBC than in PSC, in agreement with the literature that considers PBC as an autoimmune disease (Trivedi and Cullen, 2013; Tsuneyama et al., 2017) and PSC as a chronic inflammatory disease without a major autoimmune component (Dyson et al., 2018; Karlsen et al., 2017).
To investigate the possible impact of cholangitis on the immunosurveillance of CCA, we induced PBC and PSC in several cohorts of mice, as described above. 1 wk after the disease induction protocol had been completed, the animals were challenged by s.c. injection of various transplantable syngeneic cancer cell lines, all inoculated at normally tumorigenic doses (Fig. 3 A). Of note, a CCA cell line (SB1-JP4, which was selected from SB1 cells for accelerated tumor growth) grew indistinguishably in control mice and in mice with PSC, yet developed in a delayed fashion in mice with PBC (Fig. 3 B). This PBC-associated delay in CCA growth was highly significant (P < 0.0001, mixed-effects model) compared with control and PSC mice, with some tumors that even failed to develop in several (five out of nine) cases (Fig. 3, C and D; and Fig. S1 A). In addition, PBC caused a reduction in the s.c. growth of the parental SB1 CCA cell line (Fig. S1, B and C). More importantly, after the induction of PBC, the induction of orthotopic CCA by hydrodynamic injection of plasmids encoding the oncogenes NICD

T cell–dependent control of CCA in PBC but not PSC hosts

To investigate the possible impact of cholangitis on the immunosurveillance of CCA, we induced PBC and PSC in several cohorts of mice, as described above. 1 wk after the disease induction protocol had been completed, the animals were challenged by s.c. injection of various transplantable syngeneic cancer cell lines, all inoculated at normally tumorigenic doses (Fig. 3 A). Of note, a CCA cell line (SB1-JP4, which was selected from SB1 cells for accelerated tumor growth) grew indistinguishably in control mice and in mice with PSC, yet developed in a delayed fashion in mice with PBC (Fig. 3 B). This PBC-associated delay in CCA growth was highly significant (P < 0.0001, mixed-effects model) compared with control and PSC mice, with some tumors that even failed to develop in several (five out of nine) cases (Fig. 3, C and D; and Fig. S1 A). In addition, PBC caused a reduction in the s.c. growth of the parental SB1 CCA cell line (Fig. S1, B and C). More importantly, after the induction of PBC, the induction of orthotopic CCA by hydrodynamic injection of plasmids encoding the oncogenes NICD
Figure 3. **PBC but not PSC mediates specific control of CCA outgrowth.** (A) Experimental schedule in C57Bl/6 mice. 1 wk after the end of cholangitis induction (see Fig. 1, A and B), syngeneic cancer cells were engrafted through s.c. injection and tumor growth (TG) was followed up (B–D and H–J). Alternatively, mice received plasmids encoding the oncogenes NICD and constitutively active AKT by hydrodynamic injection in the tail vein, and their liver was

| Control | PBC | P-Value |
|---------|-----|---------|
| CCA incidence (%) | 6/9 (67%) | 0/10 (0%) | ** |
| Tumor nodules/liver (range) | 39 ± 55 (0 - 150) | 0 ± 0 | ** |
collected 5 wk later (E–G). (B–D) Tumor growth of transplantable SB1-JP4 CCA tumors in control, PBC, and PSC mice. For control group, n = 7, and for PBC and PSC groups, n = 9. One representative experiment out of two is shown. (E and F) Photographic images of control (E) and PBC (F) mouse livers after NICD/AKT plasmid injection. Green arrows indicate some tumor nodules. Scale bars correspond to 1 cm. For control group, n = 9, and for PBC group, n = 10. (G) Rate of CCA incidence and number of tumor nodules per liver in control and PBC mice that received the oncogenes NICD and AKT. P values were calculated using a Fisher’s exact test or a Mann–Whitney U test for comparing the rate of CCA incidence and the number of tumor nodules, respectively. (H–J) Growth of transplantable HCC (h), NSCLC (i), and fibrosarcoma (j) tumors in control and PBC mice. (H) For control group, n = 5, and for PBC group, n = 7. (I) For each group, n = 4. Graphs show mean ± SEM. B and H–J) or individual (C and D) tumor growth curves. Individual curves of HCC, NSCLC, and fibrosarcoma growth are displayed in Fig. S1, D–F. Regarding the comparison of the tumor growth curves, tumor growth P values were calculated by means of a linear mixed-effects model in comparison to control group. Regarding the comparison of the rate of tumor-free (TF) mice, pairwise tumor-free P values were calculated with Fisher’s exact test in comparison to control group. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.0001. AKT, Protein kinase B; t.v., tail vein.

Polarity of the T cell–mediated CCA immunosurveillance upon PBC

In tumor-naive hosts, deep analysis of the aforementioned liver RNA-seq dataset indicated that several genes specifically involved in Th1 and Tc1 responses were up-regulated in PBC livers with respect to controls and, in some cases, also with respect to PSC. Especially, this applies to Tc1-related genes encoding the markers CD8a, CD274 (best known as programmed death-ligand 1 [PD-L1]), UL16 binding protein 1 (ULBP1), IFNγ, G protein–coupled receptor 18 (GPR18), the transcription factor suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS1), and the cytotoxic protease granzyme B (GzmB; Fig. 5 A). These PBC-induced Tc1-associated genes were validated by RT–quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR; Fig. S2).

In CCA tumor-bearing hosts, lymphocyte populations and functions were investigated in the tumor and its periphery, namely draining lymph node and blood. In the lymph node draining the malignant lesion, the production of IL-4 (by both conventional CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells) and that of IL-17a (by CD8+ T cells) was elevated in mice with PBC (but not PSC; Fig. 5, B and C). Moreover, polyfunctional CD8+GzmB+ cells producing both IFNγ and IL4, together or not with IL17, were particularly abundant in CCA tumor–draining lymph nodes after PBC (Fig. 5 D; and Fig. S3, A and B), while no major changes in total T lymphocytes, conventional and regulatory CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, total natural killer (NK), NKT, and γ/δ T lymphocytes were detected (Fig. S4 A).

Meanwhile in the blood, the sole significant fluctuation associated with PBC was a trend toward an increase in circulating B and CD4+ CD8+ double-positive T cells and a decrease in NKT cells (Fig. S4 B).

In the CCA microenvironment, cytofluorometric analyses of the T cell subpopulations infiltrating the malignant lesion (Fig. S4 C,i–vi) revealed an increased CD8+ T lymphocyte/regulatory FoxP3+CD4+ T cell (T reg cell) ratio (P = 0.0394; Fig. 6 A) and an enhanced ratio of conventional FoxP3+CD4+ T cells over T reg cells (P = 0.0022; Fig. 6 B) after PBC (but not PSC). The total CD8+/CD4+ T cell ratio remained unchanged (Fig. 6 C). Immunohistochemical analyses confirmed the elevation of the CD8+/CD4+FoxP3+ T reg cell ratio as an indicator of improved immunosurveillance following PBC (Fig. 6, D and E). No major variations in the total number of B, NK, and NKT cells were detected in either one of cholangitis-related diseases, while a decrease in γ/δ T lymphocytes was found in CCA tumors of both PBC and PSC (Fig. S4 C, vii–x).

Considering the limited amount of malignant tissue available in PBC animals due to anti-CCA immunosurveillance, we investigated tumor-infiltrating T cell subtypes by RT-qPCR. Similarly to the hepatic and lymphoid tissues, we observed a trend toward an increased expression of the type 1 cytokines IFNγ and chemokine C-X-C motif ligand 9 (CXCL9), as well as of the type 2 cytokine IL4, inside the CCA tissue of PBC hosts (Fig. 6 F), while multiple other cytokines associated with Th17, T follicular helper (Tfh), and T reg cells remained unaffected in CCA tumors of PBC mice compared with malignant tissues of PSC and control animals (Fig. S3 C).

These results pointed to an active immune response within tumors evolving in the context of PBC. Intrigued by these observations, we decided to investigate the role of IL17a in PBC-induced anti-CCA immunosurveillance and compared IL17a with IFNγ, which is a well-established contributor to antitumor immune responses (Castro et al., 2018; Corthay et al., 2005; Dunn et al., 2006; Kalathil et al., 2019). Mice in which PBC had been induced received three weekly injections of either an isotype control antibody or antibodies that neutralize IL4, IL17a, or IFNγ as they were monitored for CCA growth (Fig. 7 A). Blockade of IFNγ showed a clear trend of a compromised PBC-elicited protection (P = 0.0513; Fig. 7, B and E), and a similar, significant (P = 0.0165) effect was observed for IL4 blockade (Fig. 7, C and F).
**Figure 4.** PBC-mediated protection against CCA relies on T lymphocytes. (A) Experimental schedule in C57Bl/6 mice. 1 wk after the end of cholangitis induction (see Fig. 1, A and B), mice were engrafted s.c. with syngeneic SB1-JP4 CCA cells (day 0). At days −2/−3 and +1, mice were injected i.p. with depleting antibodies targeting CD20, CD4, and/or CD8. These injections were repeated once a week. (B–J) Mean (±SEM; B, E, and H) or individual (C and D, F and G, I and J) growth curves of implanted SB1-JP4 CCA tumors in control, PBC, or PSC mice or PBC and PSC mice selectively depleted of CD4+ and/or CD8+ T lymphocytes or CD20+ B cells. (B–D) For control group, *n* = 7, and for PBC, or PBC + αCD4 + αCD8, or PBC + αCD20 groups, *n* = 9. One representative experiment out of two is shown. (E–G) For each group, *n* = 9. One representative experiment out of two is shown. (H–J) For control group, *n* = 7, and for PSC, or PSC + αCD4 + αCD8, or PSC + αCD20, *n* = 9. One representative experiment out of two is shown. Regarding the comparison of the tumor growth (TG) curves, tumor growth P values were calculated by means of a linear mixed-effects model in comparison to control group (B, E, and H), PBC group (C and D, F and G), or PSC group (I and J). Regarding the comparison of the rate of tumor-free (TF) mice, pairwise tumor-free P values were calculated with Fisher’s exact test on the incidence rate to control group (B, E, and H), PBC group (C and D, F and G), or PSC group (I and J). *, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; ***, *P* < 0.001; ****, *P* < 0.0001. Trend toward statistical significance was observed for the following comparison: tumor growth of PBC versus PBC + αCD4: *P* = 0.0544 (F).
Figure 5.  T cell polarization in hepatic and tumor-draining lymphatic tissues during cholangitis. (A) Following the experimental schedules illustrated in Fig. 1, A and B, gene expression was studied by transcriptomic analysis (RNA-seq) in liver samples of healthy control (n = 4), PBC (n = 3), and PSC (n = 3) mice at day 35. List of genes significantly modulated between the liver of PBC, PSC, and control mice and tagged with GO terms affiliated to T cell polarization. (A, i) The heatmap shows the fold-change (≥ log₂|2|) of gene expression in PBC and PSC livers relative to healthy controls. Genes involved in Tc1 response and...
Among these clusters, three corresponded to CD8+ T cells (c, i, and j; predominantly in the tumor; b and i in the liver; Fig. 9 B). Dual-enriched (>0.1%) clonotypes were spread across few clusters (Fig. 8 D). For control, PBC, and PSC groups, n = 16, 17, and 20 samples, respectively, pooled from three distinct experiments. P values were calculated following a Kruskal–Wallis H test with Dunn’s pairwise multiple comparisons. Red, green, and blue P values relate to PBC versus control, PSC versus control, and PBC versus PSC comparisons, respectively. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001. Trend toward statistical significance was observed for the following comparisons: IL4+ cells in control versus PBC: P = 0.0510; control versus PSC: P = 0.1036 (B); GzmB+ cells in control versus PSC: P = 0.0782 (C); GzmB+ IFNγ+IL17a+IL4+ cells in PBC versus PSC: P = 0.0694; GzmB+ IFNγ+IL17a+IL4+ cells in control versus PBC: P = 0.0565; and for GzmB+ IFNγ+IL17a+IL4+ cells PBC versus PSC: P = 0.0716 (D). Polyfunctionality of noncytotoxic (GzmB−) effector CD8+ T cells in the CCA-draining lymph node is included in Fig. S3 A and the corresponding P values in Fig. S3 B, FC, fold change.

In contrast, the neutralization of IL17a failed to affect PBC-induced immunosurveillance (Fig. 7, D and G). Altogether, these results suggest a major role for IFNγ and IL4 (but not for IL17a) in the PBC-associated autoimmunity that mediates CCA immunosurveillance.

T cell clonotypes in PBC-elicited CCA immunosurveillance

We wondered whether the specificity of T cells found in PBC livers and tumors developing after PBC might be shared to some extent, comparing them with circulating T cells from the blood. Single-cell TCR sequencing coupled to single-cell RNA sequencing (scTCR-seq/scRNA-seq) led to the identification of 8,112 distinct clonotypes (Fig. 8 A), with a hierarchy of clonotype enrichment that was significantly higher in PBC livers than in peripheral blood, as well as in CCA compared with blood and liver (Fig. 8 B). Indeed, 12 distinct clonotypes accounted for ~20% of the tumor T cell infiltrate (Fig. 8 B). The overlap of clonotypes between liver and tumor was superior to the overlap between blood and liver or blood and tumor (Fig. 8 A). Among the 128 clonotypes enriched (i.e., accounting for >0.1% of total T cells infiltrating the tissue) in the tumor, 25 were also detected and enriched in the liver (Fig. 8 C and Table S2). Two clonotypes (#2 and #13) were particularly expanded, each representing over 0.5% of the total T cell population in both liver and tumor after PBC (Fig. 8 D).

Bioinformatic analysis of the scRNA-seq data of total T cells isolated from the liver and tumor of a PBC mouse revealed a net distinction between the transcriptional profile of lymphocytes located in the two organs (Fig. 9 A). Only one phenotypic cluster (b) was observed in both liver and tumor (Fig. 9 A). The 25 liver/tumor dual-enriched (>0.1%) clonotypes were spread across few clusters (mostly c, j, and k in the tumor; b and i in the liver; Fig. 9 B). Among these clusters, three corresponded to CD8+ T cells (c, i, and k) and two corresponded to conventional CD4+ T cells (b and j; Fig. 9 C). They exhibited a preponderant Tc1/Th1 polarization as illustrated by the expression of the enhancers Tbx21 and/or Eomes and of effector molecules, namely IFNγ for Th1 (b and j), or of the cytotoxic markers granzymes B and K for Tc1 (c, i, and k; Fig. 9, C and D; and Table S3). Interestingly, the two clusters containing most of the enriched CD4+ T cell clonotypes presented a multifunctional signature characterized by the coexpression of IFNγ along with either IL4 and IL2 (cluster b in the liver) or GzmB (cluster j in the tumor; Fig. 9, C and D; and Table S3). Clearly, the two most enriched clonotypes shared between CCA tumor and PBC liver (#2 in Fig. 9 E and #13 in Fig. 9 F) belonged to the category of CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (clusters c + k in tumor, and cluster i in liver; Fig. 9, E and F). Moreover, they showed signs of prolonged activation/exhaustion with the expression of Pdcd1 (encoding PD-1), Lag3, Harv2/Tim3, and Tigit (Fig. 9 C), yet to a lesser extent than another cluster of CD8+ T cells (tumor cluster f) that contains only a few shared clonotypes (Fig. 9, B and F).

In conclusion, multiple clonotypes enriched in PBC liver were also found in CCA tumors, where they exhibited a transcriptomic signature compatible with a function in anticancer immunosurveillance.

Discussion

Using previously characterized murine models of cholangitis, we demonstrated that PBC-associated autoimmunity but not PSC-related inflammation fuels the immunosurveillance of CCA and prevents its development in a Th1/Tc1 cell-dependent manner. The relevance of this finding is comforted by the remarkable, yet arguably imperfect, similarity between the cholestatic animal models and the human diseases. Indeed, the present work, supported by an extensive literature (Bae et al., 2016; Concepcion and Medina, 2015; Fickert et al., 2014; Katsumi et al., 2015; Mariotti et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2014), showed that the cholangitis, developing after the administration of 2O-BSAαGal-Cer or DDC to mice, recapitulates the main histopathological, serological, and immunological features of human PBC and PSC, respectively.

Mechanistically, peripheral depletion of T lymphocyte subsets demonstrated that the elimination of CCA upon PBC was relying on both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. The PBC-induced immune response appeared specific to CCA, as it did not protect against other malignancies, including HCC. Transcriptomic analyses revealed an enrichment in mRNAs associated with Th1 and Tc1 lymphocyte subsets in PBC versus PSC livers. Flow cytometry–assisted immunophenotyping, together with gene expression assays, confirmed the presence of this T cell signature within CCA tumors and draining lymph nodes of mice with PBC but not PSC. Th1/Tc1–polarized subsets played a critical role in rejecting CCA tumors, as systemic neutralization of their prototypical effector cytokine IFNγ impaired PBC-mediated protection against CCA.
Figure 6. **PBC affects T lymphocyte subsets infiltrating CCA tumors.** (A–F) Following the experimental schedule illustrated in Fig. 3 A, SB1-JP4 CCA tumors were collected from control, PBC, and PSC mice at day 56/60 after CCA engraftment. T cell subsets were analyzed by flow cytometry (A–C) and immunohistochemistry (D and E), and the expression of T cell–associated effector and regulatory cytokines was measured by RT-qPCR (F). Ratios of either total...
Given their recognized involvement in both autoimmunity and cancer immunosurveillance, Th1 CD4+ and Tc1 CD8+ T cells could be suspected to establish the mechanistic link between PBC and exacerbated immunosurveillance against CCA. On one hand, type 1 adaptive immunity has been shown to outweigh type 2 response during PBC (Yang et al., 2014). Elevation of the type 1 cytokines IFN\(_\gamma\) and IL12, chemokines CXCL9 and CXCL10, and autoreactive CD8+ T lymphocytes are observed in the blood CD8+ CD3+ T cells (A) or FoxP3− CD4+ CD3+ T cells (B) over FoxP3+ CD4+ CD3+ T reg cells, and of total CD8+ CD3+ T cells over total CD4+ CD3+ T cells (C) within CCA tumors. (A–C) For control, PBC, and PSC groups, \(n = 21, 22, \) and 24 samples, respectively, pooled from three distinct experiments. (D and E) PFA-fixed paraffin-embedded sections of implanted CCA tumors from control, PBC, and PSC mice were stained for CD4 (magenta), FoxP3 (black), or CD8 (brown) by immunohistochemistry (D), and the ratio of CCA-infiltrating CD8+ over FoxP3+ CD4+ cells was measured (E). (D) Scale bar corresponds to 100 \(\mu\)m. (E) For control, PBC, and PSC groups, \(n = 8, 9, \) and 9 samples, respectively, pooled from two experiments. (F) Relative expression of several genes encoding Th1/Tc1 (Ifng, Cxcl9, Gzmb) or Th2/Tc2 (Il4)–related effector or regulatory (Il10) molecules within CCA tumors. For control group, \(n = 8, \) and for PBC and PSC groups, \(n = 10\) samples pooled from two experiments. Graphs show individual and mean (±SD) values. P values were calculated by means of the Kruskal–Wallis H test with Dunn’s pairwise multiple comparisons (A–C and E) or one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s pairwise multiple comparisons (F). *, \(P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. Trend toward statistical significance was observed for the following comparisons: PBC versus PSC: \(P = 0.1218\) (A); control versus PBC: \(P = 0.0646\) (E); for Il10: PBC versus PSC: \(P = 0.1073\) (F). PFA, paraformaldehyde.

Figure 7. PBC-mediated protection against CCA requires IFN\(\gamma\) and IL4 but not IL17a. (A) Experimental schedule in C57Bl/6 mice. 1 wk after the end of cholangitis induction (see experimental schedule in Fig. 1A), syngeneic SB1-JP4 CCA cells were engrafted into PBC mice (day 0). At day −1, +1, and +3 and then thrice a week until the end of the experiment, mice were injected with antibodies targeting effector cytokines. (B–G) Growth of SB1-JP4 CCA tumors in control or PBC mice or PBC mice injected with antibodies neutralizing either IFN\(\gamma\) (B and E), IL4 (C and F), or IL17a (D and G). For PBC, PBC + αIFN\(\gamma\), PBC + αIL4, and PBC + αIL17 groups, \(n = 8, 6, 9,\) and 9 tumors, respectively, from one representative experiment out of two. Graphs show mean ± SEM (B–D) and individual (E–G) tumor growth (TG) curves. For comparing tumor growth curves, tumor growth P values were calculated by means of a linear mixed-effects model. For comparing the rates of tumor-free (TF) animals, pairwise tumor-free P values were calculated with Fisher’s exact test. *, \(P < 0.05. Trend toward statistical significance was observed for the following comparisons: TG of PBC versus PBC+αIFN\(\gamma\): \(P = 0.0513\) (B and E); TF of PBC versus PBC+αIL4: \(P = 0.0567\) (C and F).
and liver of PBC patients and have been attributed a critical role in promoting and maintaining the autoimmune injury (Chuang et al., 2005; Kita et al., 2002a, 2002b; Shindo et al., 1996; Yamano et al., 2000; Yang et al., 2014). On the other hand, intratumoral infiltration of Th1 and cytotoxic CD8+ T cells correlates with favorable prognosis in multiple types of cancer (Fridman et al., 2013, 2017). CCA is no exception to this rule, and abundant tumor infiltration by CD8+ and CD4+ T lymphocytes coincides with improved outcome (Goeppert et al., 2013; Høgdall et al., 2018; Oshikiri et al., 2003; Paillet et al., 2020; Takagi et al., 2004). Additionally, a significant reduction of the T cell infiltrate was indicative of a switch from localized to metastatic CCA lesions (Tamma et al., 2019). Nevertheless, our results describe a rather unique situation where self-reactive Th1/Tc1 cells simultaneously mediate deleterious autoimmune and beneficial anticancer activities by concomitantly targeting normal and malignant cholangiocytes. Further investigations will aim at identifying the self-antigens responsible for this cross-reactivity, among which PDC-E2 is one candidate. They will substantiate the shared immune response and validate potential targets for the formulation of immunotherapeutic strategies against CCA (e.g., cancer vaccines; Pol et al., 2019; Pol et al., 2018; Pol et al., 2020a; Pol et al., 2020b; Pol et al., 2014).

Also, it will be important to examine the question as to whether autoimmunity may favor antitumor immunity outside the biliary tract. To our knowledge, a cancer-prophylactic role for autoimmunity has only been evoked in the case of vitiligo and melanoma. Indeed, a reduced incidence of melanoma has been reported in Caucasian patients with vitiligo, yet without further mechanistic insights (Kim et al., 2020; Paradisi et al., 2014; Teulings et al., 2013). In murine models of melanoma...
immunotherapy, leukoderma spread in treated animals that experienced complete remission and predicted a protection against a subsequent rechallenge with melanoma (Byrne et al., 2011; Lane et al., 2004; Pol et al., 2014). In the clinic, treatment-mediated induction of melanoma-specific immune responses coincides with the development of vitiligo, which is a positive prognostic marker (Failla et al., 2019; Nakamura et al., 2017). Furthermore, in preclinical models, it is possible to immunize mice with normal (nontransformed) ileal epithelial cells and to induce a protective immune response against colon cancer (Roberti et al., 2020).

In addition to the Th1/Tc1 cell subsets, future experimentations should conclude on a putative involvement of other adaptive immune compartments in CCA immunosurveillance triggered by PBC-related autoimmunity. In particular, our RNA-seq, gene expression, and flow cytometric analyses highlighted an enriched Th2/Tc2 signature (e.g., IL4, Gata3) in the liver, CCA tissue, and tumor-draining lymph node of PBC hosts compared with PSC and control animals. In this line, Th2-supportive double-positive CD4+ CD8+ T lymphocytes (Bohner et al., 2019) were increased in the blood of CCA-bearing PBC mice. Moreover, antibody-mediated neutralization of IL4 compromised the PBC-mediated reduction of CCA growth. As a matter of fact, an antitumoral activity of IL4 has previously been reported (Hemmerle and Neri, 2014; Tepper et al., 1989; Yu et al., 1993). Furthermore, IL4 expression in CD8+ T cells was more
frequently associated with the cytotoxic protease GzmB under PBC. This could be a hint of the Tc2 involvement in the PBC-associated anti-CCA immune response. Of note, several studies highlighted that IL4-producing CD8+ T cells demonstrate a potent cytolytic activity, although less efficiently than Tc1 cells (Cerwenka et al., 1999; Kemp and Ronchese, 2001; St Paul and Ohashi, 2020; Vizler et al., 2000). Additionally, B cell depletion, yet incomplete, through administration of anti-CD20 antibodies significantly impaired PBC-mediated protection against CCA. Collectively, B lymphocytes and type 2 differentiated T lymphocytes may participate in CCA immunosurveillance in PBC hosts. This observation is original per se and of particular interest for the field of immuno-oncology. Indeed, whereas B cells play an undeniable role in PBC pathogenesis (Ma and Chen, 2019), they are recurrently detected within neoplastic microenvironments, but their pro- versus anti-tumoral function remains debated to date (Kaplon and Dieu-Nosjean, 2018; Shalapour and Karin, 2021; Yuen et al., 2016). Regarding CCA, one report has correlated a higher level of B cells infiltrated in the malignant bed with better prognosis (Goeppert et al., 2013). Therefore, B and Th2/Tc2 cell subsets might play a similar role as Th1/Tc1 cells in injuring the normal biliary tract and eliminating malignant cholangiocytes.

Additionally, we also observed an enrichment in the Th17/Tc17 cell lineages in the lymphoid tissues (but not in the CCA microenvironment) of PBC mice in comparison to PSC and control animals. This observation further supported the relevance of the murine model of PBC, as Th17 cell activity has been positively associated with progression toward advanced stages of the cholangitis (Qian et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2014). However, neutralization of the effector cytokine IL17a did not impede the protective immunity against ectopic CCA in mice affected with PBC. Thus, PBC-related Th17/Tc17 lymphocytes are not involved in CCA immunosurveillance, which is in line with the clinical observation that high intratumoral IL17a mRNA levels predict poor prognosis in patients with intrahepatic CCA (iCCA; Gu et al., 2012).

Molecular analyses using combined scTCR-seq and scRNA-seq led to the conclusion that PBC liver and CCA developing in the context of PBC contain multiple enriched clonotypes, often shared between both tissues. Clonotypes shared between peripheral blood T lymphocytes and liver or between blood and CCA were comparatively rare. Moreover, there was a clear tendency to oligoclonality in CCA (and to a lower extent in liver) compared with blood, allowing for a distinction between likely tumor-specific (as opposed to passenger) T cells. In the clinic, such T cell clonal expansion coincided with beneficial immune responses in oncological indications (Ikeda et al., 2017; Leruste et al., 2019). In our study, those clonotypes enriched in both PBC and CCA were not randomly distributed across the scRNA-seq–defined T cell clusters, but rather concentrated into defined subsets. In particular, the most enriched PBC liver/CCA tumor–shared clonotypes, which most likely mediate concomitant PBC-related autoimmunity and CCA immunosurveillance, fell into the category of Tc1 cells with prominent characteristics of cytotoxicity. More precisely, these CD8+ T cells expressed the proapoptotic proteases GzmB and/or GzmK, the master transcriptional regulators Eomes and/or Tbx21, the costimulatory molecule CD27, and some co-inhibitory signals such as LAG-3, thus collectively evoking long-lived memory-like effector and/or exhausted phenotypes (Intlekofer et al., 2005; Kallies et al., 2020; Knox et al., 2014; Li et al., 2018; Martin and Badovinac, 2018; Winkler and Bengsch, 2020; Zheng et al., 2017). In the meantime, the vast majority of expanded tumor CD4+ T cells clustered into the group of terminally differentiated cytotoxic CD4+ T cells, revealed by their expression of IFNγ, GzmB, and KLRG1 (Hirschhorn-Cymerman et al., 2012; Quezada et al., 2010). As opposed to the tumoral tissue, CD4+ T cell clonotypes enriched in the liver upon PBC appeared as type 1/2 hybrid cells previously described in controlling the inflammatory process (Peine et al., 2013). Although the exact nature of the MHC class I– and II–restricted autoantigens recognized by such clonotypes remains to be determined, the enrichment of T cells with identical TCRs in the PBC liver as well as in CCA strongly pleads for the existence of shared autoantigens relevant for PBC and CCA immunosurveillance. Obviously, these speculations are based on the use of rodent models. Hence, future investigations must focus on analyzing T cells infiltrating liver lesions in PBC, PSC, and CCA patients to unravel possible cross-reactivities between PBC and CCA in a clinically relevant setting.

Furthermore, some pathological features will require particular consideration. In particular, we should point out that PBC and PSC affect distinct regions of the biliary tree. Indeed, PBC results in progressive destruction of intrahepatic small bile ducts (especially interlobular ducts), whereas PSC mainly damages intrahepatic and extrahepatic large bile ducts (Banales et al., 2020). Meanwhile, iCCA shows spatial and morphological heterogeneity, thus raising speculations on plural cellular origins. Depending on the site of CCA emersion, mature cholangiocytes, biliary-like cells trans-differentiated from hepatocytes, or progenitor cells have been specified as sources of malignant transformation due to their self-renewing potential and long life span (Moeini et al., 2021). On one side, small bile duct iCCAs grow as a tumor mass and may arise from hepatic progenitor cells or nonmucin-producing cuboidal cholangiocytes in Hering canals, ductules, and interlobular bile ducts. On the other side, large bile duct iCCA is preceded by precancerous lesions and characterized by sustained mucin production. It seems to arise from peribiliary glands or mucin-producing columnar cholangiocytes (Moeini et al., 2021). Thus, complementary studies will need to determine if PBC protects only against small bile duct iCCAs or if it can also prevent the emergence of large bile duct malignancies. Considering the rarity of CCA development upon PBC, the latter scenario can be envisioned. It would imply that the self-antigen(s) targeted by PBC/CCA cross-reactive T cells are presented on MHC molecules at the surface of malignant cholangiocytes regardless of their cellular origin. If so, vaccine strategies against such antigenic determinants or adoptive transfer of cognate T cells might have prophylactic benefit in patients at risk of developing CCA (e.g., PSC).

In conclusion, the present work revealed a connection between autoimmunity affecting the biliary tract and CCA immunosurveillance. It will be important to explore the similarities and differences between pathogenic autoimmunity
(against normal cells) and beneficial autorecognition (of malignant cells) that are likely mediated by the same immune recognition mechanism. Does efficient antitumor immunity always come at the cost of cross-reactive autoimmunity against normal antigen? Would this explain why some cancers are relatively easy to cure, such as thyroid carcinoma (where immune elimination of normal thyrocytes can be easily palliated by hormonal substitution therapy) or melanoma (where autoimmunity only causes vitiligo), contrasting with others where definitive cure cannot be achieved, perhaps because the resulting autoimmune rejections (e.g., against parenchymal cells in lung cancer or hepatocytes in liver cancer) cannot be tolerated by the organism? Future research will provide clues to these open questions.

**Materials and methods**

**Mouse strains and accommodation**

6–8-wk-old female C57Bl/6 mice were purchased from Envigo France. Animals were maintained in a temperature-controlled and specific pathogen-free environment, respecting cycles of 12 h of light and 12 h of darkness, and fed ad libitum with the SAFE A04 diet together with free access to water. Experiments were performed in compliance with European Union Directive 63/2010 and the protocols #8125–2016120818255662v1 and #25926-202006081459362 v2 approved by the Charles Darwin Ethics Committee for animal experimentation.

**Cell lines and culture**

The murine cell lines SBI and SB1-JP4 (CCA), MCA205 (fibrosarcoma), TC-1 (nonsmall cell lung carcinoma), and Hep-55.1C (hepatoma; purchased from CLS sarcoma), all syngeneic in C57Bl/6 mice, were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS and 100 IU/ml of penicillin G and 100 mg/ml streptomycin under standard culture conditions (37°C, 5% CO₂, >90% humidity). The mouse CCA cell line SBI was a kind gift from Pr. Gores' laboratory (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; Rizvi et al., 2018; Yamada et al., 2015).

**Derivation of the SB1-JP4 cell line from a CCA tumor of SBI**

In vivo derivation was performed as follows: 2 × 10⁶ of SBI cells were resuspended in 100 µl PBS and injected s.c. in the right flank of mice anesthetized with 2.5% isoflurane. 81 d after CCA cell engraftment, the largest tumor was harvested and dissociated under sterile condition for further in vitro cell culture. Cells were cultured in vitro for several days in DMEM supplemented with FBS and penicillin/streptomycin. After three passages, to get rid of the fibroblasts, the bulk cells were stained with the following fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies: anti-CD115 APC (clone AF589; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and anti-CD45 APC-Cy7 (clone 30-F11; BD Biosciences). Double-negative cells were single-cell sorted by flow cytometry, then expanded. One clone (i.e., SB1-JP4) was selected for both its morphological similarity with the parental cell line and its ability to grow faster than the latter in vitro. The SB1-JP4 was used as the C57Bl/6 syngeneic CCA cell line for all in vivo experiments of the present study.

**In vivo cholangitis mouse models**

**Model of autoimmune cholangitis mimicking PBC**

PBC was induced in C57Bl/6 mice as described before (Chang et al., 2014; Wakabayashi et al., 2008). Mice were immunized with 100 µg of 2OA-BSA i.p. in a mix of PBS and CFA (Sigma-Aldrich) at day 0 and were boosted at days 14 and 28 with 20A-BSA and IFA (Sigma-Aldrich). Concomitantly with the two first injections of 2OA-BSA, mice were also injected i.v. with 2 µg of α-GalCer (Abcam) in PBS (Fig. 1 A).

**Model of nonautoimmune cholangitis mimicking PSC**

PSC was induced likewise as previously published in C57Bl/6 mice (Fickert et al., 2007; Ikenaga et al., 2017). They were fed the SAFE A04 diet containing 0.089%, in weight, of DDC (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 wk (from day 0 to 14). After 1 wk of recovery with the regular diet, mice were fed again with the DDC-supplemented diet for an additional 1 wk (from day 21 to 28; Fig. 1 B).

**Ex vivo serum analysis**

Approximately 100 µl of blood was drawn from mice at day 35/36 after cholangitis induction started. After blood clotting and centrifugation, serum was collected for further analysis.

**Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) activity**

ALT enzymatic activity was measured by an ALT activity assay (Sigma-Aldrich) in 10 µl of mouse serum according to the supplier's instructions.

**Circulating IgG concentration**

IgG concentration in serum was measured by a mouse IgG ELISA development kit (Mabtech), according to the supplier's instructions, in MULTISORB Nunc plate (Dominique Dutschner) on 100 µl of serum diluted at 1:200,000.

**Concentration of circulating IgG/M targeting PDC-E2**

Anti-PDC-E2 concentration in serum was measured by a sandwich ELISA as follows: recombinant mouse PDC-E2 proteins (Abcam) were coated overnight at 4°C at a concentration of 1 µg/ml in a solution of NaCl 0.9% at pH 9.4 (Sigma-Aldrich) on a MaxiSorp Nunc Plate (Dominique Dutschner). 100 µl of mouse serum, diluted at 1:10 in incubation buffer (PBS with 0.05% Tween20 [Euromedex] and 0.1% BSA [Euromedex]) was then incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Finally, an alkaline phosphatase–conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG/M (Mabtech), diluted at 1:1,000 in incubation buffer, was added for 1 h at room temperature. The ELISA was revealed with 100 µl of the p-nitrophenyl-phosphate substrate solution (Mabtech), and the plate absorbance was read at 410 nm.

**In vivo tumor growth experiment**

**Ectopic syngeneic tumor models**

0.3 × 10⁶ MCA205 cells, 0.3 × 10⁶ of TC-1, 10⁶ of Hep-55.1C, 3 × 10⁶ SBI, or 3 × 10⁶ SB1-JP4 cells were resuspended in 100 µl of PBS and injected s.c. in the right flank of mice under anesthesia with 2.5% isoflurane at 35 d after the beginning of cholangitis induction. Tumor growth was monitored via repeated measurements of the tumor size using a digital caliper. Tumor volume was calculated using the following formula: tumor size (mm³) = (length × width × height) / 2.
width × height)/8 × 4/3 × π. Mouse survival was monitored daily. Tumor size exceeding 2,000 mm³, tumor ulceration, weight loss superior to 20% compared with the beginning of the treatment, and poor body condition were considered as endpoints.

Oncogene-induced CCA model

iCCA was induced in mice through delivery to the liver of plasmids encoding the oncogenes AKT (constitutively active myristoylated AKT) and NICD, accompanied by the Sleeping Beauty transposase for oncogene integration into the cell host genome, as previously described (Fan et al., 2012). Mice received, via hydrodynamic injection in the tail vein, 2 ml of saline solution (0.9% NaCl) containing a mixture of the three plasmids (p): 20 µg of pNICD, 4 µg of pAKT, and 1 µg of pSleeping Beauty transposase. NICD and AKT were expressed under the EFlα promoter and the transposase under the CMV promoter. The plasmids were kindly provided by Dr. Diego Calvisi (University of Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany). Mouse livers were collected 5 wk after plasmid injection, before control mice became moribund.

In vivo lymphocyte depletion

CD4+ and/or CD8+ T cell depletion

T cell depletion was performed by i.p. injections of 100 µg of rat IgG2 antibodies targeting CD4 (clone GK1.5; BioXCell) and/or CD8 (clone 2.43; BioXCell). Injections were achieved 2–3 d before and 1 d after CCA cell engraftment, then repeated once a week throughout the whole course of the experiment to maintain T lymphocyte depletion.

B cell depletion

B cell depletion was performed by injecting 20 µg of rat IgG2 antibodies targeting CD20 (clone SA271G2; BioLegend). Injections were achieved 2–3 d before and 1 d after CCA cell engraftment, then repeated throughout the whole course of the experiment once a week to maintain B lymphocyte depletion. Isotype control (clone LTF-2; BioXCell) was delivered i.p. at the corresponding dose and time point. Peripheral T and B cell depletions were checked by flow cytometry on mouse blood after the fourth injection. Quantification of CD4+ and CD8+ T (CD3+CD4+ or CD3+CD8+) and B (CD19+B220+) lymphocytes showed a 98%, 97%, and 60% reduction of these cell subsets in comparison to healthy controls, respectively (Fig. S5 A).

In vivo cytokine neutralization

IFNγ, IL4, or IL17a neutralization was performed by i.p. injections of 100 µg of rat IgG1 antibodies targeting IFNγ (clone R4-6A2; BioXCell), IL4 (clone 11B11; BioXCell), or IL17a (clone 17F3; BioXCell) at the following time points: 3 d and 1 d before CCA cell engraftment, then 1 d after, then repeated three times a week throughout the whole course of the experiment. Isotype control (clone HRPN; BioXCell) was delivered i.p. at the same dose and time points.

Ex vivo mouse tissue sampling and histological staining

Mouse caudate liver lobes were resected on day 35 after cholagogus induction start, or mouse tumors were collected at day 56 after CCA engraftment. Tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Euromedex). Samples were then dehydrated (successive baths of alcohol 70%, alcohol 100%, and isopropanol) and embedded in paraffin with LOGOS (dehydration/clearing processor; MM France). 4-µm-thick paraffin sections were performed with microtome and dried at 37°C until the next day. Hematoxylin, eosin, safran or Sirius red staining was performed on organs to study their morphology with a standard staining automate (Tech Inter).

Detection of cleaved CD8

The staining was performed using the Leica Bond automated immunostainer instrument. Paraffin sections were processed for heat-induced antigen retrieval (ER2 corresponding EDTA buffer, pH 9) for 20 min at 100°C. Slides were incubated with the antibody (CD8 clone D4W2Z #98941, 1:400; CD11c clone DIV99 #97585, 1:300) for 1 h at room temperature. Then, the slides were incubated with the Rabbit HRP PowerVision Kit (#PV6119; Leica Biosystems). The signal was revealed with 3,3’-diaminobenzidine. Finally, the sections were counterstained by hematoxylin (Leica Biosystems).

Detection of double markers CD4/FoxP3 simultaneously

The staining was performed using the Leica Bond automated immunostainer instrument. Antigen retrieval was performed by incubating slides in ER2 buffer (pH 9.0) for 20 min at 100°C. Then, the antibodies were successively incubated for 1 h at room temperature and detected respectively by Bond Polymer Refine Red Detection (#DS9390; Leica Biosystems) and by Bond Polymer Refine Detection kit (#DS9800; Leica Biosystems). These slides were successively revealed by Red chromogen (Leica Biosystems) and HIGHTDEF Black HRP chromogen/substrate (#ADI-950-171-0030; Enzo Lifesciences). Finally, the sections were counterstained by hematoxylin (Leica Biosystems).

Slide scanning

Each slide was scanned using the slide scanner Zeiss Axio Scan Z1 and visualized using the QuPath-0.2.3 software.

In silico histological image analysis

Image-based quantification of immune cells

Micrographs were analyzed using R software (https://www.r-project.org/) by means of EBImage (https://www.bioconductor.org/), RbioFormats (https://github.com/aoles/RbioFormats), and RniftyReg (CRAN) packages. After acquisition, a primary region of interest (ROI) was automatically defined by detecting tissue contours using the Otsu’s thresholding method on the grayscale-converted image. Each ROI was semi-automatically refined by removing necrotic and adipose regions, and its surface was computed. Cell nuclei were detected and numbered by using the red component of the hematoxylin, eosin, safran image; briefly, the image channel was converted into grayscale, normalized following a sigmoidal transformation, and thresholded to generate a nuclei mask, thereafter cleaned by morphological operations. Immune cells were detected and numbered using a similar approach, selecting the right image component according to the staining method. Finally, each population density was calculated as the cell count-to-tissue surface ratio.
**Image-based quantification of collagen proportionate area**

Micrographs (data not shown) were analyzed following similar procedure as for quantifying immune cells. Collagen ROI was defined as follows: each image was converted into grayscale, and a top hat filter was applied to enhance local contrast; the resulting image was thereafter normalized by a sigmoidal transformation, on which a threshold was finally applied. Collagen proportionate area was calculated by computing the ratio between collagen and total tissue surfaces.

**Ex vivo tumor, blood, and lymph node processing**

**Blood sample processing**

Approximately 300 μl of blood was drawn from mice and collected in a heparin-containing tube. Erythrocytes were removed using RBC lysis buffer (BioLegend), and the remaining cells were washed with PBS. Peripheral blood cells were resuspended at a concentration corresponding to 500 μl of the initial blood volume per milliliter of PBS.

**Tumor processing**

Tumors were resected, weighed, and transferred on ice in gentleMACS C tubes (Miltenyi Biotec) containing 1 ml of RPMI medium. Tumors were dissociated mechanically with scissors, then enzymatically using a mouse tumor dissociation kit (Miltenyi Biotec) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Tumor homogenates were filtered through 70-μM MACS SmartStrainers (Miltenyi Biotec) and washed with PBS. Finally, bulk tumor cells were homogenized in PBS at a concentration corresponding to 250 mg of the initial tumor weight per milliliter.

**Tumor-draining lymph node processing**

The inguinal lymph node draining the s.c. CCA tumor was harvested and transferred on ice in a micro-tube containing RPMI. Lymph node cells were dissociated mechanically, filtered through 70-μM MACS SmartStrainers (Miltenyi Biotec) and washed with PBS. For each draining lymph node, bulk cells were homogenized in 400 μl of PBS.

**Ex vivo unspecific restimulation of leukocytes**

At day 56 after CCA cell injection, mouse tumor-draining lymph nodes were harvested and processed. In a 96-well cell culture plate, 11.5 × 10^4 leukocytes (equivalent to ~8 × 10^4 T cells) were re-stimulated with 8 × 10^4 CD3/CD28-coated beads (Dynabeads Mouse T-Activator CD3/CD28; Thermo Fisher Scientific; T cells/beads ratio of 1:1) in 200 μl of serum-free CTL-Test PLUS Medium (ImmunoSpot) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine for 24 h. After 20 h, brefeldin A (BD GolgiPlung; BD Biosciences) was added to the medium at a 1:100 dilution for an additional 4 h. The total amount of cells was harvested after a 24-h incubation, stained for analysis of cytokine production with the panel “Cytokine production by lymphocytes” (see Flow cytometry section), and analyzed by flow cytometry as described below in the Flow cytometry section.

**Ex vivo phenotyping of the lymphocytic cell subsets in the tumor, tumor-draining lymph node, and blood**

At day 56 or 60 after CCA cell injection, blood samples, ectopic tumors, and tumor-draining lymph nodes (inguinal) were collected from mice and processed. 200 μl containing the equivalent of 50 mg of bulk tumor cells, or peripheral blood cells from 400 μl of blood, or leukocytes from half of a whole lymph node were stained for immunophenotyping with the panels “T lymphocytes,” “NK and B lymphocytes,” or “Lymphocytic subsets” (see Flow cytometry section) and analyzed by flow cytometry as described in the Flow cytometry section. Absolute counts of leukocytes were normalized considering the following parameters: the weight of the harvested tumor or amount of blood drawn, the total volume of the processed tissue cell suspension, the proportion of the whole cell suspension stained, and the proportion of the stained cell suspension run through the flow cytometer.

**Flow cytometry**

Cell staining was performed as follows: cell viability was assessed with LIVE/DEAD Fixable Yellow dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Fc receptors were blocked with anti-mouse CD16/CD32 (BD Biosciences; clone 2.4G2, Mouse BD Fc Block) before surface staining with fluorescent antibodies (see below). Then, cells were fixed and permeabilized either with BD Cytofix/Cytoperm buffer (BD Biosciences) or with eBioscience FixpH3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) when intranuclear staining of the transcription factor FoxP3 was required. Next, intracytoplasmic and intranuclear markers (i.e., FoxP3, GzmB, IFNγ, IL4, and IL17a) were stained with additional fluorescent antibodies. Four panels of fluorescent antibodies were applied for phenotyping immune cells. Panel 1 “Cytokine production by lymphocytes” consisted of anti–CD3-BV421 (145-2C11), anti–CD4-BUV496 (GLK1.5), anti–CD8-PE (53–6.7), anti–CD25-BV786 (PC61), anti–CD45-BUV661 (30F11), anti–CD69-PE-Vio770 (REA937), anti–FoxP3-FITC (FJK-16s), anti–GzmB-PE-Dazzle594 (QA16A02), anti–IFNγ-APC (XMGl2), anti–IL-4-PerCP-Cy5.5 (IL4I), anti–IL17a-APC–Cy7 (TCII-18H10), anti–NK1.1-BV605 (PK136), and anti–TCRγ–BV711 (GL3). Panel 2 “T lymphocytes” consisted of anti–CD3-APC (17A2), anti–CD4-PerCP-Cy5.5 (RM4–5), anti–CD8-PE (53–6.7), anti–CD25-PE-Cy7 (PC61.5), anti–CD2L-APC-eFluor780 (MEL–14), anti–FoxP3 FITC (FJK–16s), and anti–PD–1–BV421 (RMPI–30). Panel 3 “NK and B lymphocytes” consisted of anti–CD3-FITC (FJK–16s), anti–CD19-APC–H7 (ID3), anti–CD69-PE (HI–2F3), anti–NK1.1-PerCP-Cy5.5 (PK136), and anti–TCRγ–APC (GL3). Panel 4 “Lymphocytic subsets” consisted of anti–B220–APC–Vio770 (REA755), anti–CD3–BV421 (145–2C11), anti–CD4–BUV496 (GlK1.5), anti–CD8–PE (53–6.7), anti–CD19–PerCP–Cy5.5 (ID3), anti–CD25–BV786 (PC61), anti–CD27–PE–Dazzle594 (LG3.A10), anti–CD44–APC (IM7), anti–CD62L–APC–Vio770 (REA828), anti–CD45–BUV661 (30F11), anti–FoxP3–FITC (FJK–16s), anti–NK1.1–BV605 (PK136), and anti–TCRγ–BV711 (GL3). Antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences, Miltenyi Biotec, Thermo Fisher Scientific, and BioLegend. Finally, stained samples were run through a BD LSRFortessa X20 or BD LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Data were acquired using BD FACSDiva 6.1.3 software (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo 10.5.3 software (TreeStar, Inc.) according to the gating strategies displayed in Fig. S5, B–E.

**Ex vivo RNA extraction**

Mouse caudate liver lobes were harvested at day 35 after cholangitis induction start, and CCA mouse tumors were resected at...
day 56 after CCA cell injection. Both tissues were stored directly in RNAProtect Tissue Reagent solution (Qiagen) and stored at 4°C. Total RNA extraction and purification were performed using the RNeasy plus mini kit (Qiagen) and the Qiashredder homogenizer columns (Qiagen) according to the supplier’s instructions. Lysis and homogenization of the tissues were formerly achieved thanks to the Precellys 24 Tissue Homogenizer (Bertin Instruments) and hard tissue homogenizing CK28-R 2-ml tubes (Bertin Instruments) in the lysis buffer supplied in the RNeasy plus mini kit, at 5,000 rpm for 20 s (twice). RNA concentration in samples was then measured using a NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

In vitro RT-qPCR
Reverse transcription of RNA samples was performed using the SuperScript IV VILO Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 1.8 μg of purified total RNA was converted into cDNA in 20 μl and diluted six times. qPCR was performed on a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using TaqMan Fast Advanced Master Mix and TaqMan Gene Expression Assays for the following murine genes according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific): Ccl2 (Mn00432086_m1), Ccl22 (Mn00415402_m1), Cxcl9 (Mn00439464_m1), Cxcl10 (Mn00568004_m1), Cxcl13 (Mn00444534_m1), Cxcr5 (Mn00432086_m1), Cdxα (Mn01132071_g1), Cx3 cr2 (Mn00452054_m1), Foxp3 (Mn00475162_m1), Gemb (Mn0442837_m1), Gpr18 (Mn02620895_s1), Ifng (Mn01168134_m1), Il4 (Mn00445259_m1), Il6 (Mn00446190_m1), Il10 (Mn012883_86_m1), Il17a (Mn00439619_m1), Il22 (Mn00444241_m1), Il23 (Mn00518984_m1), Socs1 (Mn00782550_s1), and Usp11 (Mn00496724_m1). Expression results were normalized to Ppia signal (ΔΔCt) and to the expression mean of the control group (−ΔΔCt).

In vitro RNA-seq
Mouse caudate liver lobes were harvested on day 35 after cholangitis induction start, and total RNA was extracted. The libraries were prepared following the TruSeq mRNA protocol from Illumina, starting from 1 μg of high-quality total RNA. Paired end (2 × 75) sequencing was performed on an Illumina Nextseq 500 platform. Fluorometric Qubit RNA HS assay (Life Technologies) was applied to measure RNA concentration. RNA quality (RNA integrity number [RIN]) was assessed on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). To build libraries, 1 μg of high-quality total RNA sample (RIN >8) was processed using TruSeq stranded mRNA kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, after purification of poly-A containing mRNA molecules, nucleic acids were fragmented and reverse-transcribed using random primers. Replacement of dTTP by dUTP during the second-strand synthesis allowed strand specificity to be achieved. Addition of a single A base to the cDNA was followed by ligation of adapters. Libraries were quantified by Qubit DNA HS Assay (Life Technologies), and library profiles were assessed using the DNA High Sensitivity LabChip kit on an Agilent Bioanalyzer. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina Nextseq 500 instrument using 75-base-length read V2 chemistry in a paired-end mode. After sequencing, a primary analysis based on AOZAN software (ENS) was applied to demultiplex and control the quality of the raw data (based of FastQC modules/version 0.11.5; Perrin et al., 2017). Obtained fastq files were then aligned using star algorithm (version 2.5.2b), and quality control of the alignment was realized with Picard tools (version 2.8.1). Reads were then counted using Feature count (version Rubread 1.24.1), and the statistical analyses of the read counts were performed with the DESeq2 package version 1.14.1 to determine the proportion of differentially expressed genes between two samples.

In silico RNA-seq data analysis
**RNA-seq analysis**
RNA-seq data analysis was performed by GenoSplice technology (http://www.genosplice.com). Sequencing, data quality, reads repartition (e.g., for potential ribosomal contamination), and insert size estimation were performed using FastQC, Picard-Tools, Samtools, and rseqc. Reads were mapped using STARv2.4.0 (Dobin et al., 2013) on the mm10 Mouse genome assembly. Gene expression regulation study was performed as already described (Gacem et al., 2020; Romagnoli et al., 2020). Briefly, for each gene present in the Mouse FAST DB v2018.1 annotations, reads aligning on constitutive regions (which are not prone to alternative splicing) were counted. Based on these read counts, normalization and differential gene expression were performed using DESeq2 on R (v.3.2.5). Only genes expressed in at least one of the six compared experimental conditions were further analyzed. Genes were considered expressed if their fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM) value was >98% of the background FPKM value based on intergenic regions. Results were considered statistically significant for P values ≤0.05 and fold-changes ≥1.5. Significant GO terms and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathways (Kanehisa et al., 2012) were retrieved using DAVID (Huang et al., 2009b).

**Heatmap for the results visualization**
Results were considered statistically significant for uncorrected P values ≤0.05 and fold-changes ≥2. Hierarchical Euclidean clustering and heatmaps were performed using Morpheus (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus).

**GO terms enrichment analysis**
GO terms enrichment was analyzed using the DAVID Functional Annotation tool (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp) on genes that were up-regulated in both conditions (PBC and PSC), or up-regulated in only one, or down-regulated for both cholangitis or only one (Huang et al., 2009a, 2009b).

**Ex vivo T cell preparation for single-cell sequencing**
**Blood preparation**
A soft RBC lysis protocol was used to preserve cell fitness and viability. Briefly, 500 μl PBS was added to blood, followed by 3.5 ml sterile water. After mixing, 400 μl PBS 10× was included before centrifugation. Cells were resuspended in PBS containing 0.5% BSA and 5 mM EDTA.

**Liver preparation**
Perfused livers were cut into small pieces and transferred to GentleMACS C tubes (Miltenyi Biotech) containing 3 ml of CO2...
independent medium. After mechanical dissociation using GentleMACS Octo Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotech), the cell suspension was transferred into 50-ml tubes for extensive washing. Finally, the cell pellet was resuspended in PBS containing 0.5% BSA and 5 mM EDTA.

**Tumor preparation**

Tumors were cut into small pieces and placed into GentleMACS C tubes. Then, a cocktail of tissue-dissociating enzymes resuspended in 2.35 ml of RPMI medium was added (Mouse tumor dissociation kit; Miltenyi Biotech). GentleMACS C tubes containing the tissue were placed and incubated at 37°C onto a GentleMACS Octo Dissociator equipped with heaters (Miltenyi Biotech) for dissociation to occur. Next, cells were filtered through a 100-µm cell strainer and washed twice in PBS. Finally, the cell pellet was resuspended in PBS containing 0.5% BSA and 5 mM EDTA.

**T cell sorting**

CD4+ and CD8+ T cells from blood, liver, and tumor were sorted-purified in a two-step procedure in which T cells were initially enriched in CD3+ cells using the MoJoSort mouse negative selection kit (BioLegend). Then, CD3+ cells were stained with a cocktail of antibodies targeting CD11c, Ly6C, Ly6G, CD19, F4/80, NK1.1 (all in FITC for Lineage negative exclusion), CD45, CD8, and CD4, together with DAPI, then sorted using BD FACSaria III (BD Biosciences) as DAPI-, Lineage-, CD45+, CD4+, or CD8+ cells. After sorting, cells were counted, and then CD4+ and CD8+ cells were mixed at a 1:1 ratio. Final concentration was adjusted to 800 cells/µl (400 CD4+:400 CD8+ cells/µl).

**In vitro scRNA-seq**

scRNA-seq was performed on the 1:1 CD4+:CD8+ T cell mixture prepared from blood, tumor, or liver. A total of 10,000 cells per tissue were loaded onto the NextGEM 10× chip (10× Genomics). Prepared from blood, tumor, or liver. A total of 10,000 cells per tissue were loaded onto the NextGEM 10× chip (10× Genomics).

**In silico scRNA-seq data analysis**

In silico scRNA-seq data analysis

Single-cell 5’ and V(D)J data processing

Single-cell 5’ and V(D)J data processing was performed by GenoSplice technology (http://www.genosplice.com). Assessment of the quality of the sequencing was done using FastQC v0.11.2 on each single-cell 5’ and V(D)J sample. The analysis pipelines in Cell Ranger (10× Genomics, version 5.0.0) were used for single-cell sequencing data processing. V(D)J sequence assembly and paired clonotype calling were performed using cellranger vdj function, with reference refdata-cellranger-vdj-GRCh38-als-ensembl-5.0.0 for each sample and cellranger aggr function to integrate results. Read alignment and unique molecular identifier (UMI) quantifications were performed using cellranger count function with reference refdata-gex-mm10-2020-A.

Gene expression preprocessing

The two expression matrices containing the UMI counts were merged, and only the genes with UMI ≥ 1 in at least one cell were kept. The following filters were applied to generate a global matrix used in further analysis: cells with UMI ≥ 1,600, number of detected genes ≥700, and cells with UMI in mitochondrial genes ≤10%. For UMI normalization, Seurat 3.1.1 was used (Butler et al., 2018), and the global-scaling normalization method was applied with a scale factor of 10,000 and log-transformation of data. This was followed by a scaling linear transformation step.

**Clustering and marker genes**

Principal component analysis was performed on the scaled data, with a jackstraw plot to choose how many principal components to retain as an input for Seurat clustering step. Clustering step was performed using default parameters, the Louvain algorithm as the clustering method, 11 principal components, and a resolution parameter defining the clusters’ granularity set to 0.6. Marker genes defining each cluster were found via differential expression testing, with a Wilcoxon rank sum test and a log fold-change threshold of 1.

**Visualization of data**

Single-cell 5’ and V(D)J were integrated in Seurat object. Functions violinPlot, DimPlot, and DotPlot were used for data visualization.

**Heatmap for gene expression visualization**

Z-scored expression was calculated as follows:

\[ z = \frac{\text{cluster gene expression} - \text{mean of gene expression}}{\text{standard deviation}} \]

Hierarchical Euclidean clustering and heatmaps have been performed using Morpheus (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus).

**In silico statistical analyses and data graphing**

Linear mixed-effects models were applied for longitudinal comparison of tumor growth curves using TumGrowth web tool (https://kroemerlab.shinyapps.io/TumGrowth/; Enot et al., 2018). Other statistical analyses were performed using the software GraphPad Prism (v8) or R (v3.6.3). Tests performed are indicated in the figure legends and consisted of paired Student t test, Kruskal-Wallis H test with Dunn’s pairwise multiple comparisons, one-way or two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s pairwise multiple comparison, Mann-Whitney U test, or Fisher’s exact test. In the figures, statistically significant P values (P < 0.05) are indicated as follows: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; and ****, P < 0.0001. Exact P values are displayed in the legend when 0.13 > P ≥ 0.05 to illustrate a trend toward significance. Outlier values were detected with the ROUT test. R or GraphPad Prism software was used for data graphing. Spider plots were generated by means of ggradar (https://github.com/ricardo-bion/ggradar) package.

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 illustrates that PBC, but not PSC, prevents specifically the outgrowth of ectopic CCA. Fig. S2 shows the relative expression of some genes related to Tc1 immune response in the liver of mice affected with PBC and PSC. Fig. S3 displays CD8+ T cell polyfunctionality within CCA tumor-draining lymph node during cholangitis. Fig. S4 documents the lymphocytic cell subsets across several tissues during cholangitis. Fig. S5 depicts the gating strategies applied for flow cytometry phenotyping. Table
S1 lists the individual and mean expression values of each gene differentially expressed in the liver of mice with PBC and PSC. Table S2 and Table S3 detail the characteristics of the enriched TCR clonotypes and T cell phenotypic clusters in the malignant and hepatic tissues of a PBC mouse. Data SI is a detailed version of the heatmap displayed in Fig. 2 A and built from gene expression values listed in Table S1.

Data availability
Raw transcriptome data reported in this paper are deposited and available from the Gene Expression Omnibus under accession no. GSE180289; GSE179993 for the RNA-Seq dataset (Fig. 2 and Fig. 5 A), and GSE180288 for the scTCR-seq/scRNA-seq dataset (Fig. 8 and Fig. 9). Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the corresponding authors.
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Figure S1. **PBC but not PSC prevents specifically the outgrowth of ectopic CCA.** (A–F) Following the experimental schedules illustrated in Fig. 1, A and B, syngeneic cancer cells were engrafted through s.c. injection 1 wk after the end of cholangitis induction, and tumor growth followed. **(A)** Weight of CCA tumors implanted in control, PBC, and PSC mice at day 56/60 after SB1-JP4 cell line engraftment. Graph shows mean (±SD) values. P values were calculated by means of the Kruskal–Wallis H test with Dunn’s pairwise multiple comparisons. For control, PBC, and PSC groups, n = 25, 24, and 26 tumors, respectively, pooled from three distinct experiments. **(B–F)** Growth of transplanted CCA SBI (B and C), HCC (D), NSCLC (E), and fibrosarcoma (F) tumors in control and PBC mice. **(B and C)** For each group, n = 5. **(D)** For control and PBC groups, n = 5 and 7, respectively. **(E)** For each group, n = 5. **(F)** For each group, n = 4. Graphs show mean (±SEM) or individual (C and D–F) tumor growth curves. For comparing the tumor growth (TG) curves or the rates of tumor-free (TF) mice, tumor growth and tumor-free P values were calculated by means of a linear mixed-effects model or Fisher’s exact test, respectively. *, P < 0.05; ****, P < 0.0001.
Figure S2. Relative expression of some genes related to Tc1 immune response in the liver of mice affected with PBC and PSC. Following the experimental schedules illustrated in Fig. 1, A and B, livers from healthy control (n = 4), PBC (n = 3), and PSC (n = 3) mice were collected at day 35, and hepatic gene expression was studied by RT-qPCR. (i) The heatmap shows the expression of genes involved in cytotoxic T cell response in PBC and PSC livers relative to healthy controls. (ii) The corresponding P value of each pairwise comparison is illustrated and was calculated by means of one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s pairwise multiple comparisons. Cd274, programmed cell death 1 ligand 1; Gpr18, G protein-coupled receptor 18; Socs1, suppressor of cytokine signaling 1; Ulbp1, UL16 binding protein 1.
Figure S3. **CD8+ T cell polyfunctionality within CCA tumor-draining lymph node during cholangitis.** (A–C) Following the experimental schedule illustrated in Fig. 3A, SB1-JP4 CCA tumors and their draining lymph nodes were collected from control, PBC, and PSC mice at day 56/60 after CCA engraftment. (A) Cytokine production by lymph node T lymphocytes was analyzed by flow cytometry after a 24-h restimulation with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28-coated beads. Spider chart shows mean log2(FC) of the CD8+ T cell production of IFN-γ, IL4, IL17a, and/or GzmB in control, PBC, and PSC mice relative to control mice. For control, PBC, and PSC groups, n = 16, 17, and 20 samples, respectively, pooled from three distinct experiments. (B) Corresponding P values calculated by means of the Kruskal-Wallis H test with Dunn's pairwise multiple comparisons. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001. (C) Expression of genes related to Th17/Tc17 (Il23, Ccl20, Ile6), Tfh (Cxcl13, Cxcr5), and T reg cell (Ccl22, Foxp3) immune responses was measured by RT-qPCR within CCA tumors. For control, PBC, and PSC groups, n = 8, 10, and 10 samples, respectively, pooled from two distinct experiments. Graphs show individual and mean (±SD) relative expression values. P values were calculated by means of one-way ANOVA with Tukey's pairwise multiple comparisons. Ccl20, C-C motif chemokine ligand 20; Ccl22, C-C motif chemokine ligand 22; Cxcl13, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 13; Cxcr5, C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 5; FC, fold change; Foxp3, forkhead box P3.
Figure S4. **Lymphocytic cell subsets across several tissues during cholangitis.** (A–C) Following the experimental schedule illustrated in Fig. 3 A, tumor-draining lymph nodes (A), blood (B), and tumors (C) from control, PBC, and PSC mice were collected at day 56 after SB1-JP4 CCA engraftment for flow-cytometric analysis of the lymphocytic cell subset content. Relative counts (A and C) and absolute counts (per milliliter of blood; B) of total T lymphocytes (i); total (ii), conventional (iii), or regulatory (iv) CD4+ T cell, CD8+ T cell (v), and CD4+ CD8+ double-positive T cell (vi) subsets; total B cells (vii), NK (viii), NKT (ix), and γδ T (x) lymphocytes. Graphs show individual and mean (±SD) values. (A) For control, PBC, and PSC groups, n = 14, 15, and 14 samples, respectively, pooled from two distinct experiments. (B) For control, PBC, and PSC groups, n = 5, 5, and 4 samples, respectively. (C) For control, PBC, and PSC groups, n = 21, 22, and 24 samples, respectively, pooled from three distinct experiments. P values were determined by the Kruskal–Wallis H test with Dunn’s pairwise multiple comparisons. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. Trend toward statistical significance was observed for the following comparisons: PBC versus PSC: P = 0.1187 (A, ii); PBC versus PSC: P = 0.1026 (A, iii); PBC versus PSC: P = 0.0127 (A, v); PBC versus PSC: P = 0.1468 (A, x); control versus PBC: P = 0.0573; PBC versus PSC: P = 0.0560 (B, vi); control versus PBC: P = 0.0052 (B, vii); control versus PBC: P = 0.0932 (C, i); control versus PBC: P = 0.0942 (C, iii); control versus PBC: P = 0.1031 (C, ix); control versus PBC: P = 0.0655 (C, x).
Figure S5. Flow cytometry analyses. (A) Flow cytometry plots of peripheral blood cells from control mice or PBC mice injected with anti–CD4/CD8 or anti-CD20 to deplete either CD4+ and CD8+ T cells or B cells, respectively. Following the experimental schedule illustrated in Fig. 4 A, PBC mice were repeatedly injected with the depleting antibodies. After the fourth injection of antibodies, blood was drawn for quantification of CD4+ and CD8+ T (CD3+CD4+ or CD3+CD8+) and B (CD19+ B220+) lymphocytes. (B–E) Gating strategies following the use of the four panels of fluorescent antibodies: “Cytokine production by lymphocytes” (B); “T lymphocytes” (C); “NK and B lymphocytes” (D); and “Lymphocytic subsets” (E). FSC-A, forward scatter-A; SSC-A, side scatter-A.
Provided online are three tables and one dataset. Table S1 shows the overall profile of differentially expressed genes in the liver of mice affected with PBC and PSC. Table S2 shows enriched TCR clonotypes shared between the malignant and hepatic tissues of a PBC mouse and lists the 25 TCR clonotypes enriched in both tumor and liver of a PBC mouse. Table S3 shows characteristics of the T cell clusters in the malignant and hepatic tissues of a PBC mouse and expression level of immune markers related to T cell function across the 14 clusters of T lymphocytes infiltrated in the tumor and liver of a PBC mouse. Data S1 is a detailed version of the heatmap displayed in Fig. 2 A and built from gene expression values listed in Table S1.