Solar ALMA Observations: Constraining the Chromosphere above Sunspots
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Abstract

We present the first high-resolution Atacama Large Millimeter/Submillimeter Array (ALMA) observations of a sunspot at wavelengths of 1.3 and 3 mm, obtained during the solar ALMA Science Verification campaign in 2015, and compare them with the predictions of semi-empirical sunspot umbra/penumbral atmosphere models. For the first time, millimeter observations of sunspots have resolved umbral/penumbral brightness structure at the chromospheric heights, where the emission at these wavelengths is formed. We find that the sunspot umbra exhibits a radically different appearance at 1.3 and 3 mm, whereas the penumbral brightness structure is similar at the two wavelengths. The inner part of the umbra is ∼600 K brighter than the surrounding quiet Sun (QS) at 3 mm and is ∼700 K cooler than the QS at 1.3 mm, being the coolest part of sunspot at this wavelength. On average, the brightness of the penumbra at 3 mm is comparable to the QS brightness, while at 1.3 mm it is ∼1000 K brighter than the QS. Penumbral brightness increases toward the outer boundary in both ALMA bands. Among the tested umbral models, that of Severino et al. provides the best fit to the observational data, including both the ALMA data analyzed in this study and data from earlier works. No penumbral model among those considered here gives a satisfactory fit to the currently available measurements. ALMA observations at multiple millimeter wavelengths can be used for testing existing sunspot models, and serve as an important input to constrain new empirical models.
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1. Introduction

Sunspots are the largest concentrations of magnetic flux on the Sun and are a fascinating magnetic phenomenon. Our understanding of sunspots is far from complete in spite of intensive observational and theoretical research over hundreds of years. Whereas the photospheric structure of sunspots has been studied very extensively in the last few decades (e.g., Solanki 2003; Borrero & Ichimoto 2011), knowledge of sunspot chromospheres is still relatively poor.

Recent observations with spatial resolutions better than 0″5 have revealed that, besides stable large-scale structure, both sunspot umbrae and penumbrae appear to be organized on small spatial scales, harboring umbral dots embedded in a more uniform and darker background, light bridges, radially elongated penumbral filaments, and penumbral grains (see, e.g., Borrero 2009; Rempel & Schlichenmaier 2011). Unfortunately, the large size of sunspots and the dominance of fine-scale dynamic structure make modeling sunspots as a whole highly intricate. While realistic simulations of complete sunspots do exist (Rempel et al. 2009; Rempel 2011), they have been restricted to the photosphere. An extension to the chromosphere and corona by Rempel (2017) is based on optically thin radiative losses and field-aligned heat conduction in the corona, while the chromosphere is treated in local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE), which is known to be a highly simplified representation of chromospheric radiative transfer.

An important role in deriving the thermal structure of sunspots and its further comparison with theoretically predicted thermal stratifications is played by empirical modeling. Using empirical models spanning the whole solar atmosphere, from beneath the photosphere all the way into the corona, we can obtain information about convective energy transport in umbrae and penumbrae as a function of height, as well as about the layers where mechanical energy transport and deposition become important. There have been numerous attempts to model sunspots (semi-)empirically, based on either strong spectral lines in the visible or on lines in the UV with non-LTE radiative transfer (see Solanki 2003, for an overview). Typically, sunspot umbrae and penumbrae were modeled separately, with the former getting most of the attention. As a result, the total number of empirical umbral models is large, although not all models are independent of each other (Solanki 2003). Usually, single-component umbral models describe the prevalent dark core of the umbra, which is believed to be relatively homogeneous. For instance, Avrett’s “sunspot sunspot model” of the umbral photosphere, chromosphere, and transition zone, published in 1981, is synthesized from the efforts of a number of modelers (Avrett 1981). Maltby et al. (1986) improved Avrett’s model further in the photospheric layers and this model has been the “standard” sunspot model for many years. The models evolved further by adding new observational data and new approaches (Severino et al. 1994; Fontenla et al. 2006, 2009) and by employing non-LTE inversions of chromospheric and photospheric lines (Socas-Navarro 2007; de la Cruz Rodríguez et al. 2016).

Empirical models of penumbrae are, on the contrary, rare, due to the prominent fibrilar structure of penumbra, which requires in the first place multi-component fine-scale modeling of horizontal structure. All in all, the various available umbral
(and penumbral) models are rather diverse and there is a distinct need to distinguish between them, and validate them or rule them out.

As shown in Loukitcheva et al. (2014) observations at submillimeter (submm) and millimeter (mm) wavelengths can be used as complementary diagnostics of sunspot models at chromospheric heights. Radiation at these wavelengths is formed in LTE and comes from the low-to-mid chromosphere. However, prior to the advent of the Atacama Large Millimeter/ Submillimeter Array (ALMA), sunspot observations useful for this purpose at these wavelengths were very rare due to the generally insufficient spatial resolution of instruments operating at submm/mm wavelengths. The spatial resolution needed to resolve sunspots and their structure can be achieved by observing at shorter wavelengths, with bigger size dishes, or by employing interferometric observations. Lindsey & Kopp (1995) observed several sunspots with the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT) at 0.35, 0.85, and 1.2 mm with spatial resolutions of 14''–17'', which are higher than the typical resolutions of submm/mm single dishes. In the analyzed sunspots, umbrae were significantly cooler than the quiet Sun (QS) at submm and remained cool at short mm-λ, while the penumbrae were brighter than the QS in the range of observed wavelengths. Using the Nobeyama 45 m telescope Iwai & Shimojo (2015) deduced that the umbral brightness temperature was not higher than the brightness of QS regions at 3.5 mm (with a spatial resolution of 19'') and at 2.6 mm (spatial resolution of 15''). White et al. (2006) reported observations of a sunspot with the 10-element Berkeley-Illinois-Maryland Array (BIMA) at 3.5 mm with a resolution of around 10'', which represents the highest spatial resolution at this wavelength before ALMA became available for solar observations in 2016 (Shimojo et al. 2017; White et al. 2017). The umbra could not be clearly resolved in the BIMA images due to the limited resolution, but it was found to be the darkest feature in the interferometric maps, similar to or cooler than the QS (Loukitcheva et al. 2014).

Loukitcheva et al. (2014) also showed that models predict sunspot umbrae to be darker than the QS at short mm wavelengths, but brighter at longer wavelengths. How large the contrast is, and where the transition occurs, depends strongly on the model. Therefore, there is a strong need for high-resolution observations at mm wavelengths that can clearly isolate the umbra and penumbra in order to distinguish between the models. Such observations are provided by ALMA, which can achieve a sub-arcsecond resolution in the submm/mm range (Hills et al. 2010).

Using ALMA Science Verification data Iwai et al. (2017), hereafter Paper I, report the discovery of a brightness enhancement in the center of a large sunspot umbra at a wavelength of 3 mm, which was observed in the mosaic mode on 2015 December 16. In this paper we extend their analysis by comparing ALMA observations of the same sunspot at two different mm wavelengths with the predictions of sunspot umbral, and for the first time, penumbral models.

In Section 2 we present the results of the ALMA sunspot observations at 1.3 mm and study the statistical brightness distributions for different parts of the sunspot. This wavelength was not considered by Iwai et al. (2017), who focussed on the umbral brightening at λ = 3 mm. In Section 2 we also analyze brightness distributions within umbral and penumbral boundaries at 3 mm to study the central enhanced brightness reported in Paper I. The summary of the observational results is presented in Section 2.3. In Section 3 the brightnesses at 1.3 and 3 mm are compared with the models of umbrae and penumbrae available in the literature, as well as with the other observational data. The results are discussed and conclusions are drawn in Section 4.

2. ALMA Observations and Data Analysis

The ALMA observations were carried out on 2015 December 16 in Band 3 (100 GHz, corresponding to a wavelength of 3 mm), and on 2015 December 18 in Band 6 (230 GHz, 1.3 mm), in a compact array configuration that included twenty-two 12 m antennae and nine 7 m antennae of the Atacama Compact Array (ACA). The full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the synthesized beam was 4''9 × 2''2 and 2''4 × 0''9 at 3 mm and 1.3 mm, respectively. The maps were derived from mosaic observations with 149 pointings to cover a field of view (FOV) of 300'' × 300'' at 3 mm and 142''7 × 138''9 at 1.3 mm, respectively. Single-dish full-disk fast scanning was carried out simultaneously, with the FWHM of the primary beam being about 58'' and 25'' at 3 mm and 1.3 mm, respectively (see White et al. 2017). The single-dish and interferometric data were further combined in the UV plane via feathering to derive the absolute brightness temperature of the interferometric maps (Shimojo et al. 2017). Details on the observations and image synthesis can be found in Paper I.

The observed FOVs embraced a part of the active region AR12470, which was located in the eastern hemisphere (N13E30) on December 16, and north of disk center (N15E05) on December 18. Figure 1 shows the full-disk ALMA images at 3 and 1.3 mm obtained by single-dish observations, together with the co-temporal longitudinal magnetograms from the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI: Scherrer et al. 2012) on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO), with rectangles indicating the position of the ALMA interferometric FOV on 2015 December 16 and December 18. We use right ascension (R.A.) and declination (decl.) axes for image display, with coordinates measuring the offset from the solar disk center. Hence, images are rotated by the solar inclination angle (P = 9°6) from heliographic coordinates.

During the two mapping observations on December 16 and 18 the sunspot region preserved its size and kept a beta-type magnetic structure. While moving toward the central meridian the shape of the sunspot became more symmetric, as can be seen by comparing Figure 1 from Paper I with Figure 2 of the present paper.

2.1. Analysis of ALMA 1.3 mm Observations Recorded on 2015 December 18

Figure 2 shows the ALMA interferometric image obtained at 1.3 mm with the overlaid contours of the umbral (red) and penumbral (blue) boundaries, and a contemporaneous SDO/HMI image in the visible continuum with the overlaid 1.3 mm brightness color contours at 5200 K (green), 5800 K (turquoise), 6500 K (red), 7300 K (blue), and 7800 K (purple). The brightness levels of the red (6500 K) and blue (7300 K) contours very roughly replicate the umbral and penumbral boundaries, respectively. The umbral and penumbral boundaries were derived from the HMI continuum image as, respectively, 0.65 and 0.90 of the surrounding photospheric white-light intensity
following Mathew et al. (2007). The brightness levels of the green (5200 K) and turquoise (5800 K) contours outline the umbral depression in the mm image. The mm brightness within the umbral contour is considerably lower than that in the surrounding penumbra or active region (AR) plage. Indeed, the center of the sunspot umbra is the darkest region in the ALMA 1.3 mm map, with a brightness temperature below 5000 K. The penumbral region shows a brightness level intermediate between the bright plage and the dark umbra.

In Figure 3, the 1.3 mm brightness contours are depicted on top of the SDO/AIA images in a number of passbands, including 1700 Å, He II 304 Å, Fe IX 171 Å, as well as an HMI longitudinal (LOS) magnetogram. A good agreement is seen between the ALMA 1.3 mm image and the AIA 1700 Å image, with a brightness temperature below 5000 K. The penumbral region shows a brightness level intermediate between the bright plage and the dark umbra.

In Figure 3, the 1.3 mm brightness contours are depicted on top of the SDO/AIA images in a number of passbands, including 1700 Å, He II 304 Å, Fe IX 171 Å, as well as an HMI longitudinal (LOS) magnetogram. A good agreement is seen between the ALMA 1.3 mm image and the AIA 1700 Å image, with a brightness temperature below 5000 K. The penumbral region shows a brightness level intermediate between the bright plage and the dark umbra.
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Figure 1. Full-disk ALMA brightness images (a) obtained on 2015 December 16 at 18:32 UT at λ = 3 mm and (c) on 2015 December 18 at 20:12 UT at λ = 1.3 mm. (b) and (d) display the corresponding SDO/HMI LOS magnetograms. The rectangles indicate the positions of the ALMA interferometric FOV, including the large sunspot of AR12470.

In Figure 3, the 1.3 mm brightness contours are depicted on top of the SDO/AIA images in a number of passbands, including 1700 Å, He II 304 Å, Fe IX 171 Å, as well as an HMI longitudinal (LOS) magnetogram. A good agreement is seen between the ALMA 1.3 mm image and the AIA 1700 Å image, with a brightness temperature below 5000 K. The penumbral region shows a brightness level intermediate between the bright plage and the dark umbra.

In Figure 3, the 1.3 mm brightness contours are depicted on top of the SDO/AIA images in a number of passbands, including 1700 Å, He II 304 Å, Fe IX 171 Å, as well as an HMI longitudinal (LOS) magnetogram. A good agreement is seen between the ALMA 1.3 mm image and the AIA 1700 Å image, with a brightness temperature below 5000 K. The penumbral region shows a brightness level intermediate between the bright plage and the dark umbra.
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Figure 1. Full-disk ALMA brightness images (a) obtained on 2015 December 16 at 18:32 UT at λ = 3 mm and (c) on 2015 December 18 at 20:12 UT at λ = 1.3 mm. (b) and (d) display the corresponding SDO/HMI LOS magnetograms. The rectangles indicate the positions of the ALMA interferometric FOV, including the large sunspot of AR12470.
For comparison we also considered the neighboring part of the AR between the penumbral contour and the black ellipse in Figure 5.

For each set of pixels we determined typical brightness temperature values as the average of the corresponding set and its root-mean-square (rms) variation; these are presented in Table 1, together with the brightness at the disk center. The QS disk center temperatures of the single-dish images used in this work are around 6000 and 7400 K, at 1.3 mm and 3 mm, respectively, i.e., slightly larger than the average values recommended for scaling (5900 and 7300 K) by White et al. (2017) but consistent with their 2015 measurements. The mm interferometric images were normalized accordingly, and based on the analysis by White et al. (2017), we adopt an uncertainty of order 100 K in the absolute temperatures. The uncertainty in the relative temperatures across the interferometer images is much smaller. Shimojo et al. (2017) estimate it to be of order 4 K at $\lambda = 3$ mm and 10 K at $\lambda = 1.3$ mm.

2.1.1. Umbral Analysis

The left panels of Figure 4 show the images of ALMA brightness temperature in Band 6, in visible continuum intensity, and LOS magnetic field, with the overlaid white and black contours indicating the boundaries of umbrae and penumbrae, as well as red and green ellipses outlining inner and outer umbral boundaries (chosen by eye as described below). The corresponding intensity histograms of the inner umbra (red), outer umbra (green), and full umbra (black) for 1.3 mm brightness, white-light intensity, and LOS magnetogram signal, are shown in Figures 4(b), (d), (f), respectively. The inner umbral ellipse, depicted in red, corresponds to the region of the strongest LOS magnetic field, as can be judged from the magnetogram histogram (red curve in Figure 4(f)). Clearly, the inner part of the umbra is the coolest ($\sim 5300$ K) feature of the umbra and of the whole sunspot at 1.3 mm (Figure 4(b)). The outer umbra at 1.3 mm is significantly ($\sim 1000$ K) brighter and is clearly separated from the inner part in the magnetogram signal and white-light intensity (green histograms in Figures 4(b), (d), (f)). The shape of the mm intensity histogram of the full umbra, which is characterized by a single peak and almost symmetric tails, differs substantially from the shape of the HMI continuum histogram, which displays two peaks of different intensity at the high and low extremes of the continuum brightness range (Figures 4(b) and (d)).

2.1.2. Penumbral Analysis

Figures 5(a), (c), (e) depict the same three images as in Figure 4, but now with overlaid color contours identifying different parts of the penumbra, together with the corresponding intensity histograms plotted in Figures 5(b), (d), (f). As can be judged from the blue histograms in Figure 5, the inner penumbra appears rather dark in the 1.3 mm image and comprises the strongest penumbral LOS magnetic field and lowest white-light penumbral intensity. On average the penumbra is $\sim 1000$ K hotter than the umbra at $\lambda = 1.3$ mm (see Table 1). The outer penumbra (red histograms in Figure 5) is brighter at 1.3 mm and in white light, and has a weaker LOS magnetogram signal. The most striking feature is that at 1.3 mm the difference between the inner and the outer parts of the penumbra is much larger ($\sim 500$ K) than that between the outer penumbra and the surrounding plage ($\sim 150$ K; see Table 1 and the black histograms in Figure 5). This is in agreement with the idea that at lower chromospheric heights the sunspot’s magnetic field has expanded significantly beyond the photospheric boundary of the sunspot, so that there is essentially no difference in the physics of the outer penumbra and the adjacent AR plage, which is dominated by sunspot magnetic fields (sometimes called the superpenumbra, e.g., Solanki 2003).

2.2. Analysis of ALMA 3 mm Observations on 2015 December 16

2.2.1. Umbral Analysis

The structure of the umbra in ALMA images obtained at $\lambda = 3$ mm on 2015 December 16 was analyzed in Paper I, in conjunction with the corresponding images at UV, EUV, and
visible wavelengths from SDO and the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS: De Pontieu et al. 2014). Here, we summarize the results of that analysis as follows. The central part of the umbra at $\lambda = 3$ mm shows a remarkable brightness enhancement of $\sim 900$ K, located close to but not identical with the location of enhanced brightness seen in 1330 and 1400 Å images from IRIS (see Figures 3 and 5 from Paper I). Surprisingly, no clear cospatial counterpart of the mm umbral brightness feature is found in the IRIS data or in AIA images at 1700 and 304 Å, which are otherwise generally similar to the mm image in the large-scale emission from the bright plage surrounding the sunspot. Three possible explanations for the observed enhanced umbral radio brightness were proposed in Paper I: the enhancement is (1) an intrinsic property of the umbral chromosphere; (2) a signature of downflowing coronal material interacting with the dense lower atmosphere (as seen in coronal plumes); (3) or produced by dynamic umbral flashes, normally seen in the cores of chromospheric spectral lines. Given the lack of information on the time-dependence of the $\lambda = 3$ mm inner umbra brightness, in this paper we adopt the viewpoint that the observed umbral brightness enhancement is an inherent property of the chromosphere above sunspots. We note that the ALMA data presently available are not sufficient to distinguish between the different scenarios, and additional time-resolved mm observations are needed to understand the umbral feature.

2.2.2. Penumbral Analysis

The penumbra at $\lambda = 3$ mm shows up as a dark ring surrounding the umbra (Figure 6). The inner part of the penumbra is $\sim 100$ K cooler than the outer part of the umbra (see Table 1). A clear brightness temperature gradient is seen within the penumbra, similar to the one observed at 1.3 mm, with brightness increasing from inside to outside by $\sim 400$ K. In addition, the outer penumbra is brighter than its inner part in
white light, and has a weaker LOS magnetogram signal (Figures 6(d) and (f)). The structure of the outer penumbra at 3 mm is again, as in the 1.3 mm brightness image, similar to that of the surrounding plage region. At the same time, the outer penumbra at 3 mm is \( \sim500 \) K less bright than the inner part of the umbra.

### 2.3. Summary of Observational Analysis

A summary of the observational analysis is given in Table 1, where we list the mean brightness temperatures and their rms variations for the umbra and penumbra, analyzed in Figures 4–6, and in Figure 5 from Paper I. The last row lists the values for the disk-centered QS brightness derived from the single-dish images in Figure 1. To summarize the appearance of the sunspot in the two ALMA bands (3 and 1.3 mm), we plot in Figure 7 the profiles of mm brightness along the x- and y-cuts through the brightest umbral pixel at 3 mm and through the darkest umbral pixel at 1.3 mm along with the profiles of the LOS magnetic field.

Table 1

| Structure            | 1.3 mm (Band 6) | 3 mm (Band 3) |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|
|                      | \( \langle T_b \rangle \) (K) | \( T_b^{\text{rms}} \) (K) | \( \langle T_b \rangle \) (K) | \( T_b^{\text{rms}} \) (K) |
| umbra                | 5970            | 470           | 7400            | 350             |
| inner umbra          | 5330            | 260           | 7960            | 270             |
| outer umbra          | 6250            | 340           | 7220            | 230             |
| penumbra             | 6990            | 440           | 7380            | 450             |
| inner penumbra       | 6700            | 380           | 7110            | 290             |
| outer penumbra       | 7200            | 350           | 7520            | 460             |
| surrounding plage     | 7340            | 350           | 7740            | 330             |
| disk-center QS       | 6000            | ...           | 7400            | ...             |

The distribution of brightness in the umbra at 1.3 mm differs significantly from the distribution at 3 mm (see Table 1 and Figure 7). The inner umbra is found to be the coolest part of the active region at 1.3 mm, with brightness increasing toward the
penumbral boundary. At 3 mm the central part of the umbra shows enhanced brightness, and the brightness drops to inner penumbral boundary. At 3 mm the central part of the umbra shows enhanced brightness, and the brightness drops to inner penumbral values in the outer umbra. Penumbral profiles are similar at 3 and 1.3 mm. The inner part of the penumbra is cooler than its outer boundaries, which are comparable in brightness with the surrounding plage. There are small-scale features, which look similar in the sunspot profiles of the mm brightness and LOS magnetic field, as can be judged from Figure 7. The mean values of the LOS magnetic field were 1220 ± 400 G and 1460 ± 380 G in the umbra, and 340 ± 300 G and 380 ± 290 G in the penumbra, on December 16 and December 18, respectively. The similarity of the sunspot structure and the magnetic field justifies the use of observational results from the two different days jointly when comparing with model brightness predictions.

3. Comparison of ALMA Measurements with Sunspot Atmospheric Models

3.1. Umbral Models

In this work we build on the same set of umbral models as in Loukitcheva et al. (2014). These include the sunspot model of Avrett (1981), model M of Maltby et al. (1986), the sunspot model of Severino et al. (1994), models A (dark umbra) and B (bright umbra) of Socas-Navarro (2007), and sunspot model S of Fontenla et al. (2009). The set is supplemented with the recent umbral models of Avrett et al. (2015) and of de la Cruz Rodrigues et al. (2016). All models, with the exception of that of Socas-Navarro (2007) and de la Cruz Rodrigues et al. (2016), represent the properties of an average sunspot umbra at moderate resolution. The models of Socas-Navarro (2007) result from the non-LTE inversions of high-resolution spectropolarimetric observations of four Ca II and Fe I lines, while the umbral model by de la Cruz Rodriguez et al. (2016) utilizes the IRIS diagnostics for the same purpose, including Mg II h&k and Mg II UV triplet lines and the Ni I 281.4350 nm line. The electron temperature and electron number density of each of the models are plotted in Figure 8, together with the reference QS atmosphere, which is represented by model C of Fontenla et al. (1993), commonly referred to as FALC. More details on the model atmospheres discussed here are given in Loukitcheva et al. (2014).

3.2. Penumbral Models

In contrast to the numerous umbral models, only a few penumbral models that include the chromosphere have been published. These include those by Yun et al. (1984, hereafter YBB), and Ding & Fang (1989; hereafter DF) derived from observations of strong chromospheric spectral lines. These models are considered to adequately describe the chromospheric heights but are too cool in the photosphere to reproduce the photospheric observations (Solanki 2003). The third penumbral model is model R (hereafter FCHHT-R) from the set of atmospheric models of Fontenla et al. (2006, 2009, 2011), based on the data from del Toro Iniesta et al. (1994) and Kjeldseth Moe & Maltby (1969), complemented with PSPT observations of the red continuum and Ca II K and with other published data. The final penumbral model we consider, from the non-LTE inversions of Socas-Navarro (2007), is model D representing bright penumbra, hereafter labeled S-N D. The height dependence of the electron temperature and electron density for these penumbral models are shown in Figure 9, together with the FALC model atmosphere.
3.3. Millimeter-wavelength Brightness Spectra from Umbral Models

We have calculated the expected submm/mm brightness temperatures at 32 selected wavelengths in the range 0.1–20 mm for sunspot umbral models listed in Section 3.1. The calculations were done assuming that the thermal free–free mechanism is responsible for the emission at mm wavelengths. Both types of opacities, H-zero and H-minus, due to interactions between ions and electrons, and between hydrogen atoms and electrons, respectively, were included. The details of the mm brightness calculations can be found in, e.g., Loukitcheva et al. (2004).

The umbral models differ from the FALC model and from each other in the depth and extension of the temperature minimum region and also in the location of the transition region. In Figure 8 the effective formation heights of 1.3 and 3 mm emission (also listed in Table 2), marked with the triangles and circles, respectively, are plotted on top of the stratifications of electron temperature and electron number density for each of the umbral models. Effective formation heights are derived as the heights corresponding to the centroids of the intensity contribution functions (CFs), plotted in Figure 10, and are indicated in Figures 8 and 10 with the colored symbols. The colored triangles and circles in Figures 8 and 10 provide information about the dominant heights of emission at 1.3 mm and 3 mm, respectively, in the models, while the curves in Figure 10 represent the contribution of various atmospheric layers to this emerging intensity. Millimeter umbral emission at both wavelengths forms over a wide
range of chromospheric heights in all the umbral models considered, except for the models of Fontenla et al. (2009) and of de la Cruz Rodríguez et al. (2016). These two models have very sharply defined CFs at both 1.3 and 3 mm (violet and yellow curves in Figure 10), which are very similar to each other at these two wavelengths for each model, because all mm wavelengths become optically thick in the very narrow and rather low-lying transition region present in these models. This TR, located at \( \sim 1000 \) km in the model by Fontenla et al. (2009) and at \( \sim 1500 \) km in the model of de la Cruz Rodríguez et al. (2016), contains a very steep decrease in the electron number density and a strong increase of the electron temperature over a narrow height range. The other models have the transition region at heights similar to the FALC model (about 2000 km above the optical solar surface) and do not possess any abrupt changes with height below that. As a result, a wide range of heights contributes to the emission at 1.3 and 3 mm (Figure 10), while the main contributions at the two wavelengths come from heights 200–500 km apart from each other (see Figure 8 and Table 2).

The effective heights of formation as a function of wavelength for the full range of mm wavelengths and all models investigated are shown in Figure 11. Again, the largest discrepancies relative to the QS FALC model are displayed by the sunspot model of de la Cruz Rodríguez et al. (2016) and Fontenla et al. (2009). The latter model has the largest discrepancy across the whole range of mm wavelengths, with an effective formation height of \( \sim 1000 \) km for all wavelengths longer than \( \lambda = 0.5 \) mm (violet curve in Figure 11). In the model by de la Cruz Rodríguez et al. (2016) a constant effective formation height of \( \sim 1500 \) km is reached for wavelengths \( > 1 \) mm (yellow curve in Figure 11). Except for the Maltby et al. (1986), partly the Fontenla et al. (2009) and de la Cruz Rodríguez et al. (2016) models, basically all umbral models find that submm and mm radiation forms at heights lower than in the QS.
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Figure 12. Difference between the umbral brightness (in temperature units) and the QS brightness, plotted as a function of wavelength for the same models as in Figure 8. The colored filled circles and error bars indicate the observational mean values together with the rms values taken from Table 1 for the inner umbra (blue), outer umbra (red), and the whole umbra (green) at 1.3 and 3 mm. The triangles mark the observational values obtained from BIMA maps at 3.5 mm for the big and small umbrae, respectively. The diamonds stand for the measurements from JCMT at 0.35, 0.85, and 1.2 mm made by Lindsey & Kopp (1995). The pluses indicate the measurements at 2.6 and 3.5 mm from the Nobeyama 45 m telescope obtained by Iwai & Shimojo (2015), and the brightness at 8.8 mm from the NoRH from Iwai et al. (2016).

The authors of the umbral models discussed here all chose to use different QS models as their reference (see Loukitcheva et al. 2014, for details). In order to minimize the influence of the reference QS values on the umbral brightness, in Figure 12 we plot the difference spectra between the umbral brightness and the QS brightness from the corresponding reference atmospheric models, together with the observational values after subtraction of the disk-centered QS values. The brightnesses at 1.3 and 3 mm from ALMA data (this work) are represented by colored filled circles with error bars, which correspond to the observational mean brightness values and their rms values from Table 1 for the inner umbra (blue), outer umbra (red), and the whole umbra (green). Umbral models typically apply to the central part of an umbra and thus ALMA measurements for the inner umbra (blue circles in Figure 12) are best suited for comparison with the brightness differences calculated from the models. For completeness, we also plot the observational values obtained from BIMA maps at 3.5 mm by Loukitcheva et al. (2014) for the big and small umbrae (triangles), respectively, at a resolution of 12″; the measurements from JCMT at 0.35, 0.85, and 1.2 mm (diamonds) made by Lindsey & Kopp (1995) at a resolution of 14″–17″; brightness observations at 2.6 and 3.5 mm obtained from the Nobeyama 45 m telescope by Iwai & Shimojo (2015) at a resolution of 15″, and at 8.8 mm from the NoRH by Iwai et al. (2016) at a resolution of 5″–10″ (plus signs).

Figure 12 shows that, at wavelengths longer than 1.3 mm, the observed umbral brightness, either averaged over the whole umbra (green circles at 1.3 and 3 mm), or measured with moderate spatial resolution (at 2.6, 3.5, and 8.8 mm), is not very different from the QS brightness. None of the depicted model curves provide an outstanding fit to the observations at these longer wavelengths. However, the umbral model of Severino et al. (1994), depicted in Figure 12 by a red curve, shows the best agreement for the ALMA observations of the inner umbrae at 1.3 and 3 mm among the models considered in this work. It was already recognized by Loukitcheva et al. (2014) as having a good correspondence with the observational data available at that time.

3.4. Millimeter-wavelength Brightness Spectra from Penumbral Models

With the exception of FCHHT R, all the penumbral models place the emission at $\lambda = 1.3$ and 3 mm at heights that are 300–600 km apart, as can be judged from the colored triangles and circles indicating the effective formation heights at the two wavelengths in Figures 9 and 13, and from the heights reported in Table 2. On the other hand, the heights that contribute to the mm emission estimated from different models are significantly different, as is seen from the forms of the CFs, locations of their maxima, and effective formation heights, plotted in Figure 13. Penumbral emission at both wavelengths forms over a wide range of chromospheric heights in the models considered, except for the model FCHHT R. The dependence of effective formation height on wavelength is shown in Figure 14. In the YBB (green) and DF (blue) penumbral models, submm and mm radiation forms at heights lower than in the QS, while in
In Figure 15 we plot the difference between the observed penumbral brightness and the reference QS brightness for each model, distinguishing between the inner penumbra (cool, blue), the outer penumbra (hotter, red), and the umbra as a whole (green), together with the mm difference brightness spectra, predicted by the models depicted in Figure 9. As seen from Figure 15, model DF (blue curve in Figure 9) is too cool to match the observed penumbral mm brightness, while the S-N D (violet) model predicts higher brightness than is observed. Two models, YBB (green) and FCHHT R (red), are in reasonable agreement with the ALMA observations at 1.3 mm, while the penumbral brightness at 3 mm is closer to the QS model brightness (black horizontal line in Figure 15). The penumbral measurements from JCMT (diamonds in Figure 15) show a significant scatter and lie between the model brightness spectra of FCHHT R and YBB at the shortest wavelength of 0.35 mm, while at 0.85 and 1.2 mm they tend to favor the FCHHT R model. In summary, for the sunspot observed here, the penumbral chromospheric and upper photospheric mm brightness are best reproduced by the FCHHT R and to a slightly lesser extent by the YBB model, which, however, gives a slightly better fit to the ALMA data taken on their own.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

The first ALMA observations of sunspots at mm wavelengths, obtained during the solar ALMA SV campaign in 2015, demonstrated that the sunspot umbra exhibits a radically different appearance at 1.3 and 3 mm, whereas the penumbral brightness structure is found to be similar at the two wavelengths. The inner part of the umbra is ~600 K brighter than the surrounding QS at 3 mm, whereas it is the coolest part of the sunspot at 1.3 mm, being ~700 K cooler than the QS. The appearance of the umbra at 1.3 mm as a dark feature is in agreement with the previous observations of sunspots at submm and short mm wavelengths (Lindsey & Kopp 1995).

The umbral brightness obtained by Lindsey & Kopp (1995) at 1.2 mm is in the range 4600–5200 K, which is also in line with the results reported here at $\lambda = 1.3$ mm. Although the synthesized ALMA beam is much smaller than the size of the observed sunspot, the single-dish map that is included in the analyzed interferometric map via the feathering process may suffer from a side lobe effect, especially in the 1.3 mm map (White et al. 2017). The ALMA side lobe model for solar observation has not yet been provided by the ALMA team. Therefore, in the ALMA maps the side lobes of the beam can affect the brightness of a dark region surrounded by bright regions, such as that seen in the sunspot umbra at 1.3 mm. Therefore, the derived umbral brightness depression in the single-dish map at 1.3 mm should be considered as an upper limit, which suggests that the ALMA umbral brightness values after the side lobe deconvolution would be even closer to those of Lindsey & Kopp (1995) at 1.2 mm.

However, the enhanced brightness found in the inner part of the umbra at 3 mm (Paper I) has, to our knowledge, never been reported before. On the contrary, earlier observations provided some evidence for a dark umbra at around 3 mm (Loukitcheva et al. 2014; Iwai & Shimojo 2015). Previous sunspot observations (with BIMA and NoRH) at mm wavelengths were carried out with a resolution of around $10''$, which was insufficient to clearly resolve the umbra within the sunspot. ALMA is the first mm interferometer that offers a spatial resolution that not only resolves the umbra but is also sufficient to study some of its fine structure in ALMA’s current solar configuration. In the future, even higher resolutions are expected to be achieved.

Comparison of the ALMA Band 6 image with the ultraviolet observations (Bastian et al. 2017) shows that the 1.3 mm image is similar to the IRIS image in the Mg II h line, which is formed at chromospheric heights. This suggests that there is no contribution from plasma in the transition region and corona to the emission at 1.3 mm. On the other hand, Shimojo et al. (2017) suggested that the plasma in the transition region and corona might contribute to Band 3 (3 mm) images. The large
loops apparent in the Band 3 mosaic image, which is included in the solar SV data release, indicate the possible contribution of 0.1 MK plasma, because the same loops can be seen in the He II 304 A image obtained with SDO/AIA. At the same time, Grebinskij et al. (2000) provided an estimate of coronal contribution to the chromospheric emission at 3 mm that is only about 1%. This implies that the contribution of the transition region and corona to the measured brightness temperatures is negligible at both 1.3 and 3 mm wavelengths, and they can therefore be directly compared with the chromospheric models.

The ALMA observations of the sunspot have also resolved the penumbral structure at mm wavelengths. At 1.3 mm the penumbra is brighter than the surrounding QS and its brightness increases toward the outer boundary. At 3 mm the inner part of the penumbra is cooler than the QS, but gets brighter toward the outer boundary of the penumbra. In the photosphere at a spatial resolution worse than 1', the penumbra loses all its filamentary structure and also looks fairly uniform and is on average considerably brighter than the umbra. Considerable variation in penumbral intensities (from the QS brightness to the brightness of the most intense plage, up to 1000 K in excess of QS) in the range 0.35–1.2 mm was also found by Lindsey & Kopp (1995). It was suggested by those authors that a bright penumbral chromosphere is typical for young complex active regions. To test this hypothesis at longer mm wavelengths, more observations with ALMA of sunspots of different magnetic types and of different ages are vital. The results obtained at mm wavelengths are in contrast to those in the optical range. Thus, Mathew et al. (2007) found that although the umbral brightness varies strongly from one sunspot to another (cf. e.g., Kiess et al. 2014), the averaged penumbral brightness changed very little from one sunspot to another. Therefore, there may be a big difference between the behavior of the penumbra in the photosphere and the chromosphere. However, this must be tested with further observations.

The clear differences found at ALMA wavelengths between the inner and outer umbra, as well as between inner and outer penumbra, are minor compared with the large differences between diverse umbral (and penumbral) models. The use of different diagnostics to construct the models is likely one of the reasons for the large scatter seen between the models. Alternatively, differences in the models might reflect the diversity of sunspots and differences between them.

Among the tested umbral models, that of Severino et al. (1994) provides the best fit to the observational data, both for the ALMA data analyzed in this paper and data from other sources analyzed in earlier works. The values and the slope of the model brightness spectrum are close, although not identical, to the observed brightnesses and their gradient at ALMA wavelengths, which implies that the chromospheric temperature gradient in the model is in reasonable agreement with the ALMA observations. According to this model, the bulk of the emission at 1.3 and 3 mm comes from the heights of ~1100 km and 1500 km in the umbral chromosphere, respectively. The chromospheric temperature gradient at these heights is different from that in the QS at the heights of formation of the emission at these wavelengths. The QS emission, estimated from the FALC model, is formed ~500 km higher at both wavelengths.

No penumbral model gives a really satisfactory fit to the currently available measurements. The two models, YBB and FCHHT R, that come closest to being consistent with the data, are quite distinct in both their thermal profile and the heights at which the 1.3 and 3 mm radiation is emitted. The observed penumbral brightness differs on average only by ~300–400 K at 1.3 and 3 mm. In terms of temperature stratifications, the YBB model reproduces this difference with a very low temperature gradient, allowing for substantially different heights of formation of emission at the two wavelengths. In the FCHHT R model, however, the two wavelengths are formed very close together, at a height where very rapid temperature increase occurs in the model.

For a definite determination of the temperature gradient in the solar chromosphere at the heights where mm emission is formed, and thus for a precise formulation of the requirements for a successful chromospheric model, additional ALMA sunspot observations are required. Ideally, these would cover multiple spots, to gain better insight into the variations from one sunspot to another, and also more wavelength bands. ALMA observations at multiple mm wavelengths can be used not only for testing existing sunspot models, but can also serve as an important input to constrain new empirical models. We look forward to the use of ALMA Bands 4 and 5, which lie between λ = 3 and 1.3 mm, as well as wavelengths shorter than 1.3 mm for observations of the Sun that can address these issues.

This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2011.0.00020.SV. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA), and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada) and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO, and NAOJ. The data are courtesy of the NASA/SDO, as well as the AIA and HMI science teams. M.L. acknowledges NSF grant AST-1312802, NASA grant NNX14AK66G, Russian RFBR grant 16-02-00749, and Saint-Petersburg State University grant 6.37.343.2015. M.S. was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP17K05397. This work has been partially supported by the BK21 plus program through the National Research Foundation (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education of Korea.
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