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Abstract

Background: Increasing emphasis on integrated control of neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) requires identification of co-endemic areas. Integrated surveys for lymphatic filariasis (LF), schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminth (STH) infection have been recommended for this purpose. Integrated survey designs inevitably involve balancing the costs of surveys against accuracy of classifying areas for treatment, so-called implementation units (IUs). This requires an understanding of the main cost drivers and of how operating procedures may affect both cost and accuracy of surveys. Here we report a detailed cost analysis of the first round of integrated NTD surveys in Southern Sudan.

Methods and Findings: Financial and economic costs were estimated from financial expenditure records and interviews with survey staff using an ingredients approach. The main outcome was cost per IU surveyed. Uncertain variables were subjected to univariate sensitivity analysis and the effects of modifying standard operating procedures were explored. The average economic cost per IU surveyed was USD 40,206 or USD 9,573, depending on the size of the IU. The major cost drivers were two key categories of recurrent costs: i) survey consumables, and ii) personnel.

Conclusion: The cost of integrated surveys in Southern Sudan could be reduced by surveying larger administrative areas for LF. If this approach was taken, the estimated economic cost of completing LF, schistosomiasis and STH mapping in Southern Sudan would amount to USD 1.6 million. The methodological detail and costing template provided here could be used to generate cost estimates in other settings and readily compare these to the present study, and may help budget for integrated and single NTDs surveys elsewhere.

Introduction

Health intervention needs generally exceed available funds. Managers of disease control programmes therefore need to decide how to allocate their resources most efficiently. This is particularly so for the control and elimination of neglected tropical diseases (NTDs), which have been chronically underfunded [1,2]. In an attempt to increase the efficiency of NTD programmes, the co-administration of preventive chemotherapy (PCT) for lymphatic filariasis (LF), onchocerciasis, schistosomiasis, soil-transmitted helminth (STH) infections and trachoma is widely advocated, the rationale being that in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) the distributions of these diseases often overlap [3]. In areas of NTD co-endemicity one delivery structure, instead of several, could therefore be used for mass drug administration (MDA) of PCT [4,5].

Co-endemicity, the prerequisite for the anticipated efficiency gains of integrated control, applies not at the country level, but at sub-national levels where climatic and other determinants are suitable for the transmission of more than one of above diseases [6,7]. For example, onchocerciasis is associated with fast flowing rivers [8], schistosomiasis occurs near calmer or stagnant water-bodies [9], whilst STH infection, trachoma and LF occur over relatively large areas [10–12]. Because of these differing transmission ecologies, prevalence data on each disease are required to identify areas of overlap and to target these with integrated PCT delivery [13].
Author Summary

Control of neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) is suggested to be more cost-effective when drugs are co-administered through a single integrated delivery system rather than separate systems. An essential prerequisite for such efficiency gains is sufficient geographical overlap of the targeted diseases – lymphatic filariasis (LF), onchocerciasis, schistosomiasis, soil-transmitted helminth infection and trachoma. Lack of data on geographical NTD distribution currently hampers the implementation of integrated control in many African countries. To generate the required data quickly and efficiently, integrated surveys of several NTDs simultaneously have been recommended. However, experience with integrated surveys is limited and requires additional research on cost and effectiveness to inform improvements in methodology and to guide scale-up. Here we analyse costs of the first integrated NTD survey round in Southern Sudan, generating average costs per implementation unit surveyed. Cost estimates are presented for use of the existing survey method and for modified versions. Key cost drivers were survey consumables and personnel, both of which are recurrent costs. These inputs could be reduced or put to more efficient use by modifying sampling for LF. To generate comparable cost estimates and identify key cost drivers in other settings we provide detailed cost data and guidance on how to replicate this work.

For large areas of SSA there are either no data to assess the potential for integration, or they are incomplete or out of date. Only the distribution of onchocerciasis has been comprehensively mapped, while LF mapping is ongoing in several countries and has not commenced in Chad and Eritrea [14]. In 2006, prevalence data for schistosomiasis and STH infections were only available for a third of all districts in SSA [15] and, in spite of increased resources for mapping, survey coverage remains patchy or absent in many areas [16]. No trachoma data were available for seven countries in the Africa region in 2005, while only a few countries had undertaken national surveys [17]. Although more NTD data are now being collected, the gaps in the known distributions are still considerable.

Given that funds are not just limited for intervention, but are particularly hard to mobilize for apparent ‘research’, some control programmes have started to survey multiple NTDs simultaneously instead of mapping the different diseases separately [18,19]. The underlying rationale for such integrated surveys is the same as that for co-administration of PCT; reaching communities in SSA is often challenging and associated with considerable costs, so avoiding repeated access to conduct similar activities is likely to minimize the investment required to achieve a desired outcome, be it classification of an administrative area (e.g. district) for intervention or curing people from NTD infection. As yet there is limited operational experience with integrated NTD surveys, but it is clear that their design needs to balance cost against the precision and accuracy with which administrative areas are classified according to treatment needs.

To improve on current designs it is important to understand the main drivers of survey costs and investigate potential effects of modifying standard operating procedures. One such modification is altering the number of sites sampled, which is likely to affect both the cost and accuracy of determining whether a geographical area needs to be targeted with interventions. Such cost analyses should be undertaken using an approach that is ‘generalisable’,
Southern Sudan had 49 counties, the majority of which were <10,000 km² in size (median of 8,033 km²) and had a population of 100,000 to 500,000 inhabitants. At the same time these counties were divided into a total of approximately 300 payams that varied greatly in population and size. The overall median population of payams was 31,607, but this ranged from 2,000 to 120,000 inhabitants. The median payam size was 1,876 km², ranging from 126 km² (Cueibet in Lakes State) to 58,210 km² (Raja in Western Bahr-el-Ghazal State).

The district, or an area of equivalent size, is the recommended implementation unit (IU) for LF elimination [23]. An area this size, however, may be too large for co-administration of a standardized drug package, because schistosomiasis and onchocerciasis are unlikely to be endemic throughout. The MoH-GoSS therefore decided to consider both the payam and the county as IUs of the Integrated NTD Control Programme.

Survey Description

A large-scale survey of LF, schistosomiasis, STH infection and loiasis was conducted in Northern Bahr-el-Ghazal State between February and May 2009. Details of the study area and survey protocol are provided elsewhere [19, 24]. In summary, quasi-random two-stage cluster sampling was used to select communities on the basis of potential risk of LF and schistosomiasis and to randomly select households within these communities. Each household head was requested to provide written consent, and all children aged 5 to 16 years were asked to give verbal consent before providing stool and urine samples for examination of schistosome and STH infection; adults were only sampled for LF testing using immunochromatographic tests [ICT, BinaxNOW Filariasis, Inverness Medical]..

For LF, up to three communities and 250 individuals per payam were sampled. Sites selected for LF were also sampled for schistosomiasis and STH, as well as loiasis, a disease whose presence complicates LF elimination [25]. In each payam, between three to four additional communities were sampled for STH and schistosomiasis, with the actual number depending on payam size and estimated population. A total of 43 communities were sampled for LF infections and 73 communities for schistosomiasis and STH infections. Communities were selected from each of the five counties of Northern Bahr-el-Ghazal State, with only one out of 22 payams not surveyed. Due to delays in the supply of ICTs for LF, the survey had to be conducted in two phases, lasting 22 and 31 days respectively, with a gap of three weeks in-between. Because of this delay, a total of 15 sites had to be revisited for LF data collection. As this delay was not part of standard operating procedures, we excluded the cost associated with revisiting these communities, though the test-specific costs were included.

The composition of survey teams varied depending on the number of NTDs to be surveyed in each location. Where all four NTDs were surveyed, teams included two drivers, one supervisor, one interviewer/translator, and up to four technicians, travelling in two vehicles. One or two technicians undertook blood sampling and LF testing while the other two to three prepared and read stool and urine samples. For those sites where schistosomiasis and STH infection or just LF were surveyed, the team consisted out of one driver, one supervisor, one interviewer/translator, and at least two laboratory technicians, travelling in one vehicle. Due to severe human resource constraints affecting Southern Sudan, only two national laboratory technicians per team could be recruited, with additional technicians recruited as short-term consultants from the Vector Control Division, MoH, Uganda. Village guides were recruited locally.

Owing to the poor infrastructure in Northern Bahr-el-Ghazal State and fluctuating security, teams established camps in locations that were centrally located between study communities. In many cases, space to pitch tents was provided by non-governmental or faith-based organizations in their compounds, but a charge was levied. Otherwise teams stayed in local guesthouses. For camping, sleeping and cooking equipment, food, fuel and small generators were procured. Three Toyota Land Cruisers were used during the surveys.

To prepare for the surveys, ten days were needed to arrange supplies and develop the database, a total of four days were required to move vehicles from Juba to Northern Bahr-el-Ghazal State and back, and two days were required to train surveyors on the study protocol. At the end of the survey, one day was allocated to take stock and clean and store supplies and equipment. After completion of all survey activities, five days were needed to clean the data and undertake preliminary analysis.

Collection of Cost Data

Both financial and economic costs were estimated from the perspective of the provider [28], in this case Malaria Consortium and the MoH-GoSS. Financial costs were the cash expenditures made to enable implementation of the survey. For capital items, these were estimated for the total number of survey days by means of straight-line depreciation followed by calculation of an average financial daily cost. Economic costs captured the value of all resources consumed by the survey, including opportunity costs of volunteers and equipment that were used in the survey but not paid for, as well as appropriate treatment of costs of capital items with a value of >USD 100 and an expected useful life of more than one year [27]. Costs of MoH staff were based on the GoSS pay scale for 2009 while the time of international volunteers was valued using equivalent Malaria Consortium salaries for this setting. Capital items were discounted over their estimated useful life using the recommended discount rate of 3% [28, 29]. Daily economic costs were calculated for all capital items and multiplied by the appropriate number of days in use during the survey. Based on our experience of working in the harsh climatic environment of Southern Sudan we estimated the useful life to be four years for vehicles and high frequency radios (fitted to vehicles) and two years for all other items, including laptop computers and laboratory field equipment. All resources used for research activities, such as this costing study, were excluded from the analysis as were the costs associated with the development of the survey protocol.

Cost data were collated from financial expenditure records of Malaria Consortium during the survey and shortly afterwards. To accommodate considerable fluctuations in currency conversion rates, two time periods were used. For payments for survey supplies, which started in October 2008 and continued through May 2009, we used average exchange rates of 1 United States Dollars (USD) = 0.67 British Pounds (GBP) or 1 USD = 2024 Ugandan Shillings (UGX). For costs associated with the actual survey activities between February and May 2009 we used a rate of 1 USD = 2.3 Sudanese Pounds (SDG) [http://www.oanda.com/convert/fhistory]. We assumed that these exchange rates and the wages paid reflected competitive foreign exchange markets, and therefore did not use shadow prices to adjust for possible distortions [30].

Costs were identified using an ingredients approach, whereby the total value of each of the services and goods employed in implementing the survey was estimated by identifying the number of units consumed and multiplying these by their unit price [26, 31]. Following the structure of the survey, cost and services
were organized into capital and recurrent costs, both of which were divided into cost categories [Box 1, Dataset S1].

It is common practice to include overheads in cost analyses [32]. To capture the indirect costs of project management and administration we applied an overhead of 25% to the financial cost estimate for all budget lines. This rate is higher than those applicable to operations in more stable settings of eastern Africa [e.g. [33], but in our experience provides an accurate reflection of the cost involved in implementing programmes in a land-locked country undergoing post-conflict reconstruction.

### Outcomes

The overall purpose of the integrated survey was to generate data by which IUs could be classified according to intervention thresholds recommended by the WHO [3]. The present analysis considered both the county and the payam as IUs, because a two-tier system may be needed to account for inherent differences in the geographical distribution of the targeted NTDs. While for LF elimination the county is likely to be an appropriate IU, control of onchocerciasis is already more geographically focused and the first round of integrated NTD surveys indicated that a similar approach is also more appropriate for schistosomiasis [19]. The outcomes used for our costing study were thus the county and the payam surveyed, to allow their classification for intervention according to WHO recommended thresholds.

### Sensitivity Analysis

Calculation of costs and outcomes involves a number of assumptions; the ones underlying the present study have been outlined above and in table 1. One-way sensitivity analysis was conducted to explore the effects of key assumptions on the results. We varied the discount rate (reduced to 0% or increased to 10%) and increased the assumed lifespan of vehicles to 7.5 years. In addition we investigated the effect of modifying the standard operating procedures. Instead of using Hemastix reagent strips validated by urine filtration for diagnosis of urinary schistosomiasis, as used in the first survey round [34], we assumed that urinary schistosomiasis could be adequately diagnosed using reagent strips only and excluded the cost of urine filtration, a method that is relatively costly because it requires isopore membrane filters at a price of nearly USD 2 per filter. The rationale for cutting out urine filtration was that the use of reagent strips alone is a reliable diagnostic procedure in some

### Box 1. Cost Categories Used and Ingredients Included

| Type/Category          | Ingredients                                                                 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Capital costs**      |                                                                             |
| Vehicles               | • Vehicles, including freight charges                                         |
|                        | • Vehicle parts with a unit cost of USD 100 or more                           |
|                        | • Any vehicle equipment expected to last for more than one year (e.g. first aid kits) |
| Communications & IT Equipment | • High Frequency (HF) and Very High Frequency (VHF) radios               |
|                        | • Phones (fixed, mobile, satellite)                                          |
|                        | • Global Positioning System (GPS) devices, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) software and manuals |
|                        | • Computers                                                                  |
| Accommodation equipment | • Camping equipment estimated to last >1 year                                |
|                        | • Generator                                                                  |
| Survey equipment       | • Microscopes                                                                |
|                        | • Laboratory glassware and storage containers                                |
|                        | • Furniture (i.e. plastic tables and chairs)                                 |
|                        | • All durable equipment for Kato-Katz test and urine filtration              |
| **Recurrent costs**    |                                                                             |
| Travel                 | • Airfares & short-term vehicle hire (e.g. during preparatory activities)   |
|                        | • Per-diems                                                                 |
|                        | • Visas and other in-country registration fees                               |
|                        | • Accommodation during travel to survey area                                 |
|                        | • Travel insurance and pre-travel medical expenses                           |
|                        | • Fuel costs associated with moving vehicles to survey area                  |
| Fuel/Maintenance       | • Fuel for vehicles during field work & all costs associated with obtaining the fuel, such as loading/unloading of fuel barrels |
|                        | • Any maintenance costs, including parts with a unit cost below USD 100     |
|                        | • Vehicle insurance                                                          |
| Accommodation & sustenance | • Rental of guesthouse                                           |
|                        | • Hotel bills                                                                |
|                        | • All costs associated with purchasing and preparing food                    |
|                        | • Cook and guesthouse guards                                                 |
|                        | • Camping equipment estimated to last <1 year                                |
| Survey consumables     | • Laboratory consumables, including rapid diagnostic tests and drugs to treat survey participants |
|                        | • Freight charges for import of consumables                                  |
|                        | • Stationary items                                                           |
|                        | • T-shirts and other types of identification worn by members of survey team   |
| Communication          | • Mobile and satellite phone credit                                          |
| Personnel              | • Salaries paid to drivers, supervisors, laboratory staff, village guides and consultants |
|                        | • Field allowances                                                           |
|                        | • Staff time for cross-checking of slides                                    |
|                        | • Technical support time for data entry and analysis                         |
Table 1. Reference case scenario.

| Parameter                        | Suggested Reference Scenario | Explanation                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Perspective                      | Provider                     | Use provider perspective unless considerable survey contributions were made by other parties and resources are available to measure all of these inputs, including the opportunity cost of survey participants. In this case present results from both the provider and societal viewpoints. |
| Output                           | Implementation unit surveyed  | Implementation unit (e.g. district) surveyed to allow classification for intervention according to WHO recommended thresholds [3]. Provide indication of the geographic and population size of the implementation unit. |
| Cost data                        |                              | Use ingredient approach with cost categories as suggested in box 1. Quantities and prices need to be presented separately. Exclude research costs. Include relevant overheads of collaborating organizations (e.g. NGO contributions to procurement, management, etc), if relevant. Treat any equipment as a capital item if it is expected to last for more than one year and is purchased at a value of USD 100 or more. Calculate a daily financial cost for capital items by using straight line depreciation, and include the cost of each capital item for the number of survey days that it was used. |
| Currency                         | US$                          | Costs should be presented in US$, indicating the year of conversion.                                                                                                                                   |
| Lifespan of capital items        | Vehicles: 4 years Other equipment: 2 years | Establish average lifespan of capital items under local conditions and provide details on these in the narrative. Explore the impact of these assumptions in the sensitivity analysis. |
| Adjustment of financial costs to calculated economic costs |                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Annualisation                    | Lifespan of capital items as specified above | To obtain an equivalent annual cost for each capital outlay, an annualisation procedure needs to be followed. This requires an estimate of the lifespan of each capital item and a decision on the discount rate to be used (see below). A proportion of the annualised costs should be included as economic costs. We recommend that time (i.e. days of use of the equipment) is used for this calculation. |
| Discount rate                    | 3%                           | Base-case calculations should use 3%, to be consistent with World Bank recommendations [32]. This should be varied in the sensitivity analysis, e.g. from 0 – 10%. |
| Reporting of results             |                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Cost estimate                    | Cost per implementation unit surveyed | Provide costs in US$ Specify year in which costs were calculated or adjusted to.                                                                                                                                 |

Results

The total financial costs for the survey amounted to USD 182,067, the majority of which was spent on recurrent items. The principal cost drivers were personnel (34.4%) followed by survey consumables (30.4%) (Table 2). Economic costs amounted to a total of USD 201,030 and were arrived at by including the imputed value of the time provided by MoH employees and other non-cash inputs, as well as the opportunity cost of capital items used in the survey. Because all assets above USD 100 in value and with a lifespan >1 year were annualised and only their time in use during the survey was included, capital cost amounted to only 4.3% of the total survey costs and were largely comprised of vehicle costs. As for the financial costs, most economic costs were taken up by survey consumables and personnel, accounting for 27% and 38% of the total, respectively (Table 2).

The outcome from this investment was that 21 payams in the five counties of Northern Bahr-el-Ghazal State [19] and is likely to be appropriate throughout Southern Sudan.

Ethical Considerations

The present study involved collection of data on cost and non-financial inputs through analysis of Malaria Consortium expenditures and activities during an epidemiological survey. Data collection was conducted from the 'provider perspective', rather than the all encompassing 'societal perspective' [26], since participation in the survey incurred minimal time commitment from the study communities. The epidemiological survey itself received ethical approval from the Directorate of Research, Planning and Health System Development, MoH-GoSS, and from the Ethics Committee of the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, UK. Collection of the data presented here did not involve human subjects and therefore did not require ethical approval.
Survey costs could be lowered if the standard operating procedure were modified. Testing for urinary schistosomiasis infection could, for example, be conducted only with Hemastix reagent sticks (Bayer Diagnostics, Basingstoke, UK), instead of the current procedure whereby all samples with blood in urine and a sub-sample of negatives were cross checked using urine filtration. By cutting out the cost of these and other supplies for urine filtration, the cost per implementation unit surveyed would have decreased by 2% (Table 3). This small reduction in economic cost is explained by the fact that urine filtration can be easily conducted alongside other operations; personnel requirements (the major cost driver) and survey duration would thus remain unchanged.

In Northern Bahr-el-Ghazal State, where LF was found not to be endemic, sampling of up to 250 individuals from up to three sites per county would have resulted in the same classification of IUs. We therefore proposed that testing for LF infection is from now on conducted at the county instead of payam level throughout Southern Sudan, given that LF tends to be endemic over relatively large areas [12]. Sensitivity and specificity of LF detection, and hence correctly classifying IUs for interventions, is unlikely to be

---

**Table 2.** Financial and economic costs, and cost profiles, for an integrated NTD survey in Northern Bahr-el-Ghazal State.

| Capital costs                                      | Total financial cost (USD) | % of financial cost | Total economic cost (USD) | % of economic cost |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Vehicles                                          | 4,187                      | 2.9%                | 4,504                     | 2.7%              |
| Communication & IT equipment                      | 858                        | 0.6%                | 906                       | 0.6%              |
| Accommodation equipment                            | 378                        | 0.3%                | 395                       | 0.2%              |
| Survey equipment                                  | 776                        | 0.5%                | 1,206                     | 0.7%              |
| **Subtotal**                                       | **6,199**                  | **4.3%**            | **7,011**                 | **4.3%**          |

| Recurrent costs                                    | USD/county classified | % deviation from base case | USD/payam classified | % deviation from base case |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Travel                                            | 15,183                | 10.4%                     | 18,688               | 11.4%                     |
| Vehicle fuel & maintenance                         | 10,635                | 7.3%                      | 10,635               | 6.5%                      |
| Accommodation & sustenance                         | 18,188                | 12.5%                     | 20,688               | 12.6%                     |
| Survey consumables                                 | 44,346                | 30.4%                     | 44,346               | 26.9%                     |
| Communication                                      | 1,019                 | 0.7%                      | 1,019                | 0.6%                      |
| Personnel                                         | 50,084                | 34.4%                     | 62,230               | 37.8%                     |
| **Subtotal**                                       | **139,455**           | **95.7%**                 | **157,606**          | **95.7%**                |

| Overhead (25%)                                     | **145,653**           | **95.7%**                 | **164,617**          | **95.7%**                |

| Total                                             | **182,067**           | **95.7%**                 | **201,030**          | **95.7%**                |

| Counties surveyed                                  | 5                     |                          |                      |                            |
| Payams surveyed                                    | 21                    |                          |                      |                            |
| **Cost per county classified for implementation**  | **40,206**            |                          |                      |                            |
| **Cost per payam classified for implementation**   | **9,573**             |                          |                      |                            |

*Proportions were calculated on the subtotal, i.e. excluding the overhead.
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000745.t002

**Table 3.** Sensitivity of cost estimates to underlying assumptions or modification of routine operating procedures.

| Assumptions tested                  | USD/county classified | % deviation from base case | USD/payam classified | % deviation from base case |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Base case                           | 40,206                | -                         | 9,573                | -                         |
| Discount rate:                      |                       |                           |                      |                           |
| 0%                                 | 40,119                | −0.2%                     | 9,552                | −0.2%                     |
| 10%                                | 40,417                | +0.5%                     | 9,623                | +0.5%                     |
| Vehicle lifespan:                   |                       |                           |                      |                           |
| 7.5 years                          | 39,721                | −1.2%                     | 9,457                | −1.2%                     |
| Urinary schistosomiasis diagnosis:  |                       |                           |                      |                           |
| Dipstick test only                  | 39,379                | −2.1%                     | 9,376                | −2.1%                     |
| Testing for LF infection:           |                       |                           |                      |                           |
| County level only                   | 30,291                | −24.7%                    | 7,212                | −24.7%                    |

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000745.t003
affected by surveying areas larger than the payam. This approach would also be more consistent with WHO recommendations for establishment and sampling of IUs for LF [23], as size and administrative level of the county in Southern Sudan is equivalent to that of the district in other African countries. If the standard operating procedures of the survey were thus modified, quantities of ICT tests, safety lancets and other supplies required to safely take blood samples would be reduced by approximately 80%, incurring substantial savings on survey consumables, particularly ICTs that cost >USD 4.0 per test. Furthermore, existing vehicles and staff could be deployed in three teams, which would decrease the survey duration by about one day per payam and reduced the majority of running costs accordingly. The cost per IU classified could thus be reduced by about 25% without any apparent risk of missing LF foci.

Based on the data presented here we estimate that completion of NTD mapping in Southern Sudan by using an integrated NTD survey design would amount to an economic cost of approximately USD 1.6 million. This calculation is based on the assumption that LF surveys would only be conducted at county level and that the administrative divisions used to conduct National Immunization Days (NIDs) in 2008 would be used to identify survey areas. At the time of the 2008 NIDs there were a total of 58 counties, of which 53 remain to be surveyed for NTDs today.

**Discussion**

The present work was primarily conducted to generate a better understanding of the costs of conducting integrated NTD surveys in areas of Southern Sudan where there are no or little data on LF, schistosomiasis, STH infection and loiasis. In addition, we aimed at providing sufficient methodological insight and guidance to allow other researchers and programme managers to repeat similar costing exercises or to estimate the financial cost of doing similar surveys in their own setting.

We found the major cost drivers to be two categories of recurrent costs – laboratory consumables and personnel. Currently in Southern Sudan, both of these inputs largely have to be imported by the project, due to the lack of a viable commercial sector and severe human resource constraints. The cost of survey consumables is likely to be similar in other African countries, as most of them are not produced in the region, while personnel costs might differ considerably between settings, particularly when comparing Southern Sudan to countries that have not been affected by decades of conflict. In Southern Sudan, the need for considerable technical support meant that personnel accounted for 34% of the total survey cost. Interestingly, when we simulate survey costs for Uganda, by supplementing our daily rates paid to laboratory technicians with the official perdiem plus salary rates applied by the MoH in Uganda and by excluding the cost of international flights associated with bringing laboratory technicians into Southern Sudan, we found that survey costs were very similar. This somewhat surprising finding indicates that more data on the particular features of different settings and more detailed analyses are clearly needed to clarify whether NTD surveys in Southern Sudan are no more expensive than in other countries. Such studies will also confirm whether survey supplies and personnel are generally the key cost drivers. For now we suggest that these two inputs should be budgeted in considerable detail when NTD surveys are planned elsewhere, as our results indicate that they are likely to account for the greatest share of costs.

To generate further evidence on survey costs and the ingredients that drive these, we have provided considerable detail on the present analysis (Box 1, Dataset S1) and would like to propose a reference case scenario (Table 1). Application of this template by other researchers and programme managers would assure that results from future costing studies could be readily compared. Depending on the specific modes of survey implementation additional analyses, for example use of the societal perspective, may be more appropriate in these settings; different assumptions, such as a longer lifespan of capital items, might be more appropriate. While we encourage such analysis, we suggest that these results are reported in addition to those obtained using the proposed reference case scenario (Table 1), to allow direct comparison of costing results between studies.

In Southern Sudan, nine more states need to be surveyed for LF, schistosomiasis and STH infection before all endemic areas can be targeted with appropriate intervention packages. The current relative political stability provides a good opportunity to do so, and survey activities should thus be scaled up to finish this job as quickly as possible. We found that this could be done at slightly lower cost per IU classified, if the standard operating procedures used in Northern Bahr-el-Ghazal State were somewhat modified while continuing to conform with WHO recommendations [23,36]. For diagnosis of urinary schistosomiasis, moderate cost saving could be obtained by limiting methods to the use of reagent strips for detection of blood in urine, a proxy for infection with *Schistosoma haematobium* [37]. In Southern Sudan, however, this modification would result in considerable misclassification of IUs for treatment with praziquantel owing to the relatively low specificity of Hemastix reagent strips in this setting [34]. A more meaningful modification of the standard operating procedures would be to reduce the number of individuals and sites sampled for LF. For this disease the county, rather than the payam, seems the appropriate IU. It is unlikely that sampling LF at the county level would fail to identify endemic foci, because in most settings the disease tends to occur over relatively large geographical areas [12] and survey sites are purposefully selected using information on the occurrence of lymphoedema and hydrocoele [23]. Apart from the immediate benefit of reducing the cost of survey consumables and speeding up operations, LF sampling at the county level would have the added advantage of reducing thermal storage requirements for ICT kits.

The present costing study of an integrated NTD survey is the first of its kind, which somewhat limits our ability to generalize the findings even within the same context. Starting up new activities tends to require more preparation, training and supervision than routine operations. This first round of surveys could thus be considered a ‘start-up period’ for NTD mapping in Southern Sudan, where important practical experience was gained. Ideal team composition, allocation of task and establishment of smooth workflows took some time to establish. Subsequent survey rounds are thus likely to run smoother and faster, unless they were to be conducted during the rainy season. Our cost estimates are therefore likely to be conservative, although the analysis accounted for one of the major delays experienced – the need to resample a selection of sites for LF. Nevertheless, based on our experience of operating in the challenging terrain of Southern Sudan, we would still recommend conservative budgeting, because field activities are always subject to considerable uncertainty. Weather conditions frequently delay access and supplies, and demands for higher salaries and incentives are rapidly increasing during present post-conflict reconstruction. Future costing work will tell whether economies of scale [38] apply to integrated NTD surveys in this setting.

**Conclusion**

The major cost drivers of an integrated NTD survey in Southern Sudan were personnel and consumables. It is likely that
this observation is applicable to most other settings, and should be taken into account during the budgeting process in countries wishing to apply this survey approach. Overall costs may be lower in settings that have not recently experienced conflict, because there will be less need to draw on international staff for technical support and overall operating costs may be lower. To confirm or refute this assumption we encourage further costing work on integrated, as well as single NTD surveys, using the approach outlined here. Most importantly, such analysis should help to identify areas of potential cost savings and be able to provide an estimate of the overall investment required to complete NTD mapping for specific areas.

Supporting Information

Dataset S1 Details on inputs, quantities, and associated costs that were required for implementation of integrated NTD surveys in Northern Bahr-el-Ghazal State, Southern Sudan.
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