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Abstract. Agussalim, Umami N. Nurliyani, Agus A. 2021. The physicochemical composition of honey from Indonesian stingless bee (Tetragonula laeviceps). Biodiversitas 22: 3257-3263. The demand of honey has recently increased significantly, but this situation is widely used by irresponsible humans who made a fake honey. This present study aims to evaluate the quality based on the physicochemical composition of honey from Indonesian stingless bee Tetragonula laeviceps. Honey was obtained from three geographical origins in Indonesia, i.e. Sleman, Gunungkidul, and Lombok. The physicochemical composition of honey was analyzed such as moisture, ash, protein, pH, glucose/moisture ratio, and electrical conductivity, and amino acids. The results showed that the geographical origins had a highly significant effect (P<0.01) on moisture, ash, and electrical conductivity, protein, and glucose/moisture ratio. Honey from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps was found 17 amino acids were arginine, histidine, lysine, phenylalanine, isoleucine, leucine, methionine, valine, threonine, tyrosine, proline, glutamic acid, aspartic acid, serine, alanine, glycine, and cysteine. The dominant amino acids of honey from Sleman were glutamic acid, histidine, lysine, and arginine. Honey from Lombok were glutamic acid, aspartic acid, lysine, and histidine. Furthermore, honey from Gunungkidul were lysine, arginine, histidine, and phenylalanine. Honey from Sleman and Lombok have the best quality than honey from Gunungkidul based on the chemical composition and amino acids profile.
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INTRODUCTION

The number of stingless bees in Indonesia at least 46 species spread in several islands such as Sumatera, Borneo, Java, Sulawesi, Timor, Ambon, Maluku, and Papua (Kahono et al. 2018). Furthermore, in Yogyakarta are found 7 species as the local stingless bee consists of Tetragonula laeviceps, T. biroi, T. sapiens, T. iridipeenis, T. sarawakensis, Lepidotrigona terminata, and Heterotrigona itama (Trianto and Purwanto 2020). T. laeviceps can be found in tropical areas including Indonesia. In Indonesia, stingless bees are known as Trigona bee especially by beekeepers, but the Trigonula species does not exist in Indonesia, and in Java is called Klanceng bee. T. laeviceps have a natural habitat in the trunk of trees or woods, bamboo, sugar palm stalks, and in the ground (Agussalim 2015; Agus et al. 2019; Agussalim et al. 2019). Stingless bee T. laeviceps can produce honey, bee bread, and propolis (Agussalim et al. 2019, 2020; Erwan et al. 2020, 2021; Sabir et al. 2021).

The demand of honey has recently increased significantly, especially during the pandemic of Covid-19. However, this situation is widely used by irresponsible humans who made a fake honey to obtain profit despite endangering the consumers health because very low quality. Honey is a natural food that is produced by the worker bees from nectar as the raw material. Honey is composed by sugars, amino acids, enzymes, carotenoids, minerals, organic acids, aromatic compounds, and vitamins (Da Silva et al. 2016). Honey from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps is low in sugar content (Agussalim et al. 2019) and high in phenolic content, flavonoid content, and antioxidant activity (Agus et al. 2019). The chemical composition of honey from stingless bee species from the various country have been studied (Souza et al. 2006; Oddo et al. 2008; Guerrini et al. 2009; Suntiparapop et al. 2012; Biluca et al. 2016; Chuttong et al. 2016; Ranneh et al. 2018; Villacréis-Granda et al. 2021), but in Indonesia has not yet studied as comprehensive from Lombok (West Nusa Tenggara), Sleman, and Gunungkidul (Yogyakarta).

The amino acids present in honey from Apis mellifera consists of proline, glutamine, glutamic acid, glycine, aspartic acid, arginine, histidine, tyrosine, threonine, methionine, butyric acid, lysine, valine, leucine, cysteine, asparagine, tryptophan, isoleucine, alanine, phenylalanine, serine, and ornithine (Hermosin et al. 2003; Iglesias et al. 2006; Rebane and Herodes 2010; Kečkész et al. 2013; Truzzi et al. 2014; Da Silva et al. 2016). Furthermore, reported that honey from Brazilian stingless bee is found of amino acids consists of arginine, aspartic, asparagine, glutamine, serine, glutamic, glycine, threonine, alanine, proline, tyrosine, valine, leucine, isoleucine, phenylalanine, and tryptophan (Biluca et al. 2019), but the amino acids of honey from Indonesian stingless bee has not been studied. This present study aims to evaluate the quality based on the physicochemical composition of honey from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area
The honey was collected directly from the artisanal hives of stingless bee beekeepers when dry season from three geographical origins in Indonesia (each location was three samples), i.e. Sleman (Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Gadjah Mada), Gunungkidul (Nglandu, Katongan Village, Nglipar Sub-district), and North Lombok (Lendang Gagak, Sukadana Village, Bayan Sub-district) was shown in Figure 1.

Procedures
Physicochemical composition of honey
The moisture, ash, and protein were determined using proximate analysis (AOAC 2005). The pH was measured using a pH meter and briefly, honey 10 g was diluted in 100 mL aquadest (10% w/v) using beaker glass, then was mixed using a vortex, and then pH was measured. The glucose/moisture ratio was determined based on the glucose content divided by moisture. The electrical conductivity was determined by the equation EC = 0.14+1.74 A (where A is the ash content) (Piazza et al. 1991). All analyses were carried out in three replicates, each in duplo.

The amino acids profile of honey was determined using liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LCMS/MS) method according to Kowalski et al. (2017) with minor modification. Briefly, honey for about 2 g was added to the erlenmeyer flask 50 mL, then 20 mL of HCl 6 N was added and the solution was vortexed for 2 minutes. The solution was hydrolyzed in an autoclave with the temperature 100°C for 12 hours. The solution was neutralized with 50 mL of NaOH 6 N, then vortexed and the solution was filtered using 0.22 µM. The separation was achieved with Purospher Star RP-8ec column (150 mm x 4.6 mm x 3 µm). Afterwards, the solution was diluted again 10 times and then taken 2 µL to be injected into LCMS/MS. Mobile phase condition when LCMS/MS operated were A = 0.1% pentadecafluorooctanoic acid 99.5%-0.5% water/CH3CN with 0.1% formic acid; B = 0.1% pentadecafluorooctanoic acid, 10%-90% for water:CH3CN with 0.1% formic acid. Flow = 0.6 mL/minutes, injected volume was 2 µL, capillary 3.50 kV, desolvation temperature 500°C, dislocation 1,000 liters/hour, and collision energy 15.00 V. The analysis was carried out in one sample (three samples each location was mixed in one sample used in this study) each in duplo. The gradient condition when LCMS/MS operated was shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The gradient condition of LCMS/MS when operated to an analysis of amino acids

| Time | % A  | % B  |
|------|------|------|
| Initial | 90.00 | 10   |
| 5.00  | 50.00 | 50   |
| 5.20  | 90.00 | 10   |
| 7.00  | 90.00 | 10   |

Figure 1. The geographical origins were used to collect honey samples were Sleman (A: 7°46′09″S 110°23′10″E), Gunungkidul (B: 7°51′46″S 110°37′59″E), and North Lombok (C: 8°14′48″S 116°23′14″E)
Plant types as stingless bee forages

The plant types as the nectar source for stingless bee T. laeviceps in each region also was identified with maximum radius was 300 meters. Briefly, plant flowers were taken, and then the availability of nectar was checked by opening the flower petals, then checking the liquid content was indicated as the nectar in the base (Agussalim et al. 2017, 2018) and also based on the information from previous studies. In our study, to identify the plant types as the nectar source not used melissopalynology method but based on the dept discussion with beekeepers about the flowers blooming when 2 to 3 months previously to determine the dominant plant types as the nectar source.

Data analysis

The chemical composition of honey was analyzed with a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS (Windows version of SPSS, release 23). Significant differences between the means were identified by honestly significant difference tests, while amino acids of honey and the plant types as the nectar source from each region were analyzed by descriptive analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physicochemical composition of honey

The different geographical origins of honey affected on the different plant types (Table 2) as the nectar source to produce honey. In addition, the different geographical origins had a highly significant effect (P<0.01) on moisture, pH and had a significant effect (P<0.05) on ash, glucose/moisture ratio, protein, and electrical conductivity of honey (Figure 2 and 3). Moisture is the second large component after sugars present in honey and one of the criteria to determine the quality of honey. In addition, moisture is one of the very important parameters that influence honey physical properties such as crystallization, color, flavor, taste, and solubility (Escuredo et al. 2013; Da Silva et al. 2016). The moisture of honey from Sleman and Lombok were not differ and both were higher than honey from Gunungkidul because the geographical conditions (temperature and humidity) and honey maturity level each location is different. The honey moisture from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps was ranged from 19.49 to 23.58%.

The high temperature with low humidity will influence the nectar with low moisture and high in sugar content, but low temperature with high humidity impact on nectar with high moisture and low sugar content. The high and lower nectar moisture is related to the hygroscopic properties of sugar in nectar because it absorbs more water from the moist air than dry air. Furthermore, Bogdanov et al. (2004) explained that honey moisture is influenced by season, climate, plant types (mono-floral or multi-floral) so can affect the honey physical properties such as crystallization, viscosity, and glucose/moisture ratio, however the viscosity not studied. However, the honey moisture can be changed when a manipulation process such as heating or evaporation process. The honey moisture in our study (Figure 2) is acceptable by the Indonesian national standard for stingless bees honey not to exceed 27.5% (SNI 2018).

Generally, honey from stingless bees is higher in moisture than honey from honeybee species, because the foragers from the stingless bee is collecting nectar, material from ripe fruit with the high in moisture (Guerrini et al. 2009; Suntiparapop et al. 2012). In addition, in honeybees A. mellifera has developed several behavior mechanisms to evaporate water, but the stingless bees can’t do it (Suntiparapop et al. 2012). The honey moisture from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps (Figure 2) is lower than those previously studied (Souza et al. 2006; Oddo et al. 2008; Guerrini et al. 2009; Suntiparapop et al. 2012; Biluca et al. 2016; Chuttong et al. 2016; Ranneh et al. 2018). Da Silva et al. (2016) explained that honey moisture is affected by geographical origins, plant types as the nectar source, season, or climate (temperature and humidity), honey maturity level, processing postharvest (heating and manipulation), and storage time.

The ash content in honey is used as the one indicators to evaluate the mineral content in honey which collected by foragers from nectar (floral), honeydew, and extrafloral nectar of plant (Suntiparapop et al. 2012; Da Silva et al. 2016), however in our study has not studied. Sabir et al. (2021) explained that the ash content of honey is positively correlate with mineral content. In addition, also describes the pollution of environmental and geographical origins because its content is depended on the type of soil an area to planted a plant as the stingless bee or honeybee forages (Karabagias et al. 2014; Da Silva et al. 2016). The ash content of honey from Sleman and Lombok were did not differ and higher than honey from Gunungkidul (Figure 3). The ash content from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps was ranged from 0.12 to 0.79 g/100 g of honey. The different ash content of honey from each origin is affected by the different in plant types as the nectar source (Table 2), type, and soil condition is related to the availability of soil nutrients.

Table 2. Predominant plant types as the nectar source to produce honey from different geographical origins

| Sleman | Lombok | Gunungkidul |
|--------|--------|-------------|
| Banana (Musa paradisiaca L.) | Coconut (Cocos nucifera) | Calliandra (Calliandra calothyrsus) |
| Rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum) | Mango (Mangifera indica) | Mexican creeper (Antigonon leptopus) |
| Canarium (Canarium indicum L.) | Kapok (Ceiba pentandra) | Banana (Musa paradisiaca L.) |
| Tamarind (Tamarindus indica) | Cashew (Anacardium occidentale) | Mango (Mangifera indica) |
| Matoa (Pometia pinnata) | - | White albizia (Parasenianthes falcatoria) |
| Cattapa (Terminalia catappa) | - | - |
In addition, the mineral content of honey is related to color, flavor, and aroma. The high mineral content causes dark color honey and a stronger aroma than light honey (Escuredo et al. 2013; Karabagias et al. 2014; Da Silva et al. 2016). This condition is found in honey from Sleman and Lombok with a dark color and stronger aroma than honey from Gunungkidul with bright color and the usual aroma. The ash content of honey from Indonesian stingless bee *T. laeviceps* is acceptable by Indonesian national standard not to exceed 0.5% for stingless bees honey (SNI 2018), except honey from Lombok. In addition, the ash content of honey from Indonesian stingless bee *T. laeviceps* (Figure 3) is differ to those previously studied (Souza et al. 2006; Oddo et al. 2008; Suntiparapop et al. 2012; Chuttong et al. 2016; Ranneh et al. 2018; Villacrés-Granda et al. 2021). The ash content is affected by plant types as the nectar source and geographical origins which impact on the different soil nutrients, and influence the mineral content of nectar (Karabagias et al. 2014; Da Silva et al. 2016). The conductivity of electrical honey from Sleman and Lombok did not differ and both were higher than honey from Gunungkidul. The electrical conductivity of honey from Indonesian stingless bee *T. laeviceps* was ranged from 0.34 to 1.51 mS/cm. The honey electrical conductivity is related to ash content (minerals content), organic acids, acidity, and ions presence (Da Silva et al. 2016). The electrical conductivity of honey from Gunungkidul is acceptable by an international standard not to exceed 0.80 mS/cm (Da Silva et al. 2016), but honey from Sleman and Lombok not acceptable.

The honey pH from 3.2 to 4.5 with the natural acidity can inhibit the microorganism growth where the optimum pH for they growth is ranged from 7.2 to 7.4 (Suárez-Luque et al. 2002; Karabagias et al. 2014; Da Silva et al. 2016). Honey from Sleman and Lombok did not differ in pH, but the pH of honey from Lombok is lower than honey from Gunungkidul. However, the pH of honey from Sleman and Gunungkidul were did not differ (Figure 3). The pH values of honey from Indonesian stingless bee *T. laeviceps* was ranged from 3.85 to 4.14. Honey from each geographical origin has a different flavor such as sweet dominant for honey from Sleman, sweet mixed with sour for honey from Lombok, and sweet mixed bitter for honey from Gunungkidul.
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**Figure 2.** The moisture of honey from Indonesian stingless bee *T. laeviceps* from different geographical origins (**significant at P<0.01**)

![Figure 3](image2.png)

**Figure 3.** The chemical composition of honey from Indonesian stingless bee *T. laeviceps* from different geographical origins (**significant at P<0.01, *significant at P<0.05**).
The acidity and pH values are used as the parameters to determine the honey quality, fresh level of honey, and related to antimicrobial properties. The high acidity and low pH are an indication of the fermentation process of sugars in honey that impact on organoleptic characteristic and honey quality (Alvarez-Suarez et al. 2018). In addition, the pH in some cases used to verify the adulteration of honey (Da Silva et al. 2016), but in our study using pure honey. Ribeiro et al. (2014) reported that honey added with corn syrup (high in fructose) is caused an increase in honey pH significantly than pure honey. The honey pH from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps in our study (Figure 3) is differ to those previously studied for stingless bee honey (Souza et al. 2006; Suntiparapop et al. 2012; Biluca et al. 2016). The different honey pH is affected by plant species, plant types as the nectar source, honey maturity level, and geographical origins (Da Silva et al. 2016).

The glucose/moisture ratio is one of the indicators used as the criteria to predict the crystallization process from honey (Dobre et al. 2012). The glucose/moisture ratio of honey from Sleman and Lombok were did not differ, but both are lower than honey from Gunungkidul (Figure 3). The glucose/moisture ratio of honey from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps was ranged from 0.50 to 1.17. Dobre et al. (2012) explained that higher in glucose and lower moisture is caused honey to rapidly crystallization because the value of glucose/moisture ratio is bigger. Honey with glucose/moisture ratio under 1.7 is slower and does not crystallize, while honey with glucose/moisture ratio is above 2 will be rapidly crystallized. The honey from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps (Figure 3) does not crystallize despite has been stored for 2 years because the glucose/moisture ratio in our study under 1.7. The glucose/moisture ratio of honey from the Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps in our study (Figure 3) is differ with reported by Dobre et al. (2012) for various honey from A. mellifera such as linden honey is ranged from 1.3 to 2.4, rape honey 1.4 to 2.9, sunflower honey 1.5 to 1.9, multifloral honey 1.3 to 2.0, and honeydew 1.2 to 2.0. The different of glucose/moisture of honey is affected by plant types as the nectar source, glucose and moisture content from each honeybee or stingless bee species, and geographical origins (Da Silva et al. 2016). The recent study reported that the different of geographical origins and stingless bee species (12 species) are influencing moisture, ash, pH, and electrical conductivity (Villacrás-Granda et al. 2021), however in our study from the same species.

### Amino acids profile

The protein and amino acids present in honey are originated from nectar and honeydew (Sak-Bosnar and Sakač 2012; Escuredo et al. 2013; Da Silva et al. 2016), but the main source is pollen (Da Silva et al. 2016). The protein contains the amino acids and the relative proportion is depending on forages such as nectar, honeydew, and pollen (Da Silva et al. 2016). Honey from Lombok is higher in protein content, followed by honey from Sleman, and the lowest protein content is honey from Gunungkidul (Figure 3). The protein content of honey from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps was ranged from 0.17 to 1.66 g/100 g of honey. The different protein content of each honey is affected by the different plant types as the nectar source (Table 2). In addition, when honey is harvested might be pot pollen (bee bread) is attached to the pot honey is also harvested so it influences the protein content of honey. The protein content of honey from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps (Figure 3) is differ to those previously studied for stingless bee honey (Souza et al. 2006; Ranneh et al. 2018; Villacrés-Granda et al. 2021). The different protein content of honey is affected by plant types as the nectar and pollen sources, honeybee or stingless bee species, and geographical origins (Da Silva et al. 2016).

In honey from A. mellifera, the abundance of amino acids is proline for about 50 to 85% of the amino acids total. The proline amino acid is originated and secreted by salvia and hypopharyngeal glands during the nectar conversion into honey (Iglesias et al. 2006; Truzzi et al. 2014; Da Silva et al. 2016). Furthermore, the amino acids in honey such as glutamine, glycin, glutamic acid, tryptophan, histidine, arginine, leucine, tyrosine, alanine, aspartic acid, ornithine, isoleucine, methionine, lysine, threonine, butyric acid, valine, cysteine, serine, phenylalanine, and asparagine (Hermosin et al. 2003; Rebane and Herodes 2010; Keckeş et al. 2013; Da Silva et al. 2016).

The present results showed that the amino acids in honey from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps have been detected by LCMS/MS are 17 amino acids, but the cysteine is not detected in honey from Sleman and Gunungkidul (Table 3). The total of amino acids content was higher in honey from Lombok was 10,941.6 mg/kg followed by honey from Sleman was 7,760.5 mg/kg and the lowest in honey from Gunungkidul was 3,008.5 mg/kg. The amino acids in honey are positively correlated with the protein content of each region. The protein content of honey from Lombok was higher followed by honey from Sleman and the lowest was honey from Gunungkidul (Figure 3).

#### Table 3. The amino acids profile of honey from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps from different geographical origins

| Amino acids content (mg/kg) | Geographical origins |
|----------------------------|----------------------|
|                            | Sleman | Lombok | Gunungkidul |
| Arginine                   | 922.2±44.04 | 924.38±133.26 | 550.74±27.18 |
| Histidine                  | 1.048±126.78 | 1.230±455.64 | 476.55±120.05 |
| Lysine                     | 1.012±233.51 | 1.252±336.63 | 766.20±126.22 |
| Phenylationine             | 798.7±18.74 | 353.50±36.96 | 324.69±2.63 |
| Isoleucine                 | 191.29±2.89 | 291.26±34.46 | 14.76±1.64 |
| Leucine                    | 495.94±107.83 | 662.39±51.33 | 75.19±10.48 |
| Tyrosine                   | 70.64±4.82  | 89.22±0.03 | 10.74±1.15 |
| Methionine                 | 64.11±1.03 | 58.53±14.57 | not detected |
| Valine                     | 192.90±5.05 | 417.35±7.56 | 27.55±0.87 |
| Proline                    | 267.82±14.37 | 597.84±1.94 | 80.5±3.53 |
| Glutamic acid              | 1,238.74±15.04 | 1,922.98±18.63 | 150.20±4.29 |
| Aspartic acid              | 410.74±1.98 | 1,364.1±8.35 | 84.45±22.16 |
| Cysteine                   | not detected | 15.66±12.61 | not detected |
| Threonine                  | 169.15±15.60 | 342.15±33.35 | 33.52±7.93 |
| Serine                     | 472.48±8.41 | 593.26±8.40 | 275.93±13.61 |
| Alanine                    | 239.23±21.70 | 475.90±6.04 | 71.56±13.83 |
| Glycine                    | 166.31±25.12 | 349.95±21.83 | 65.88±57.11 |
| Total                      | 7,760.50 | 10,941.57 | 3,008.50 |

Note: Honey sample was analyzed in duplo and the data are presented as the means ± standard deviation
The amino acids of honey from Sleman were dominant in glutamic acid, histidine, lysine, and arginine. In honey from Lombok were glutamic acid, aspartic acid, lysine, and histidine. Furthermore, honey from Gunungkidul were lysine, arginine, histidine, and phenylalanine, but for all amino acids of honey from Gunungkidul was lower than honey from Sleman dan Lombok. In honey from Brazilian stingless bees, it has been reported that histidine amino acid is less than the limit of detection for all regions (Biluca et al. 2019), but in our study, it’s one of the dominant amino acids.

In addition, these results is differ from previously reported that the dominant amino acids from stingless bee honey were phenylalanine (Biluca et al. 2019) and proline (Biluca et al. 2019; Villacrés-Granda et al. 2021), while proline in our study was lower and not dominant. It indicates that the different geographical origins, and plant types as the nectar source affect the amino acids content of honey (Biluca et al. 2019; Villacrés-Granda et al. 2021), stingless bee species (Villacrés-Granda et al. 2021), and pollen source however in our study not studied and also using same species of stingless bee.

The proline amino acid has been used as the one of criteria to evaluate the maturity and adulteration of honey (Bogdanov et al. 1999; Da Silva et al. 2016). In A. mellifera, the minimum of proline amino acid content that can be acceptable for pure honey is 180 mg/kg of honey (Bogdanov et al. 1999; Hermosín et al. 2003; Bentabol-Manzanares et al. 2014; Da Silva et al. 2016), however in stingless bee honey has not regulated. Honey from Sleman and Lombok were acceptable for pure and mature honey, but honey from Gunungkidul is lower than the standard.

The amino acids content of honey from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps (Table 3) is differ from previously studied for stingless bee honey (Biluca et al. 2019) and honey from A. mellifera (Belay et al. 2017; Kowalski et al. 2017; Sun et al. 2017). The difference in amino acids content of honey is caused by the difference of stingless bee species, the plant types as the bee forages (nectar and pollen), and geographical origins (Da Silva et al. 2016). The recent study reported that the different of geographical origins and stingless bee species (12 species) are influencing protein and amino acids especially in proline and leucine (Villacrés-Granda et al. 2021), however in our study from the same species. This study showed that the different geographical origins is influencing the physicochemical composition of honey from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps. Thus, it can be concluded that the honey from Sleman and Lombok have the best quality than honey from Gunungkidul based on the physicochemical composition. The dominant amino acids of honey from Indonesian stingless bee T. laeviceps such as glutamic acid, histidine, lysine, arginine, and aspartic acid.
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