**In vitro** evidence for differentiation resistance by distributed stem cells during deterministic asymmetric self-renewal
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Abstract

“Distributed stem cells (DSCs)” refers to stem cells that function in a tissue-specific manner in pre- and post-natal tissues. Asymmetric self-renewal by DSCs differentiates them from pluripotent stem cells and embryonic precursor cells. DSCs, which first appear during fetal development, continuously replenish expired mature differentiated tissue cells while maintaining their own undifferentiated stem cell phenotype. The exact mathematical form of DSC asymmetric self-renewal is a long-standing unsettled issue in tissue cell biology. The key question is whether asymmetric self-renewal occurs by stochastic differentiation in pools of DSCs or by deterministic asymmetric cell divisions by individual DSCs. Although the cellular outputs of these two formulations can be equivalent, the molecular and cellular implications are profoundly different. Stochastic DSCs are predicted to undergo differentiation, leading to an extinction probability for tissue units; but deterministic DSCs are predicted to be differentiation resistant. We investigated cell differentiation by cultured rat hepatic DSC strains that were derived by suppression of asymmetric cell kinetics (SACK). Unlike conventional rat hepatic cell lines, derived in parallel, a significant fraction of cells in cultures of SACK-derived DSCs resisted differentiation by transforming growth factor β-1 (TGFβ-1) while simultaneously dividing asymmetrically to produce TGFβ-1 responsive sister cells. This property, termed “asymmetric adifferentiation” is attributable to the DSCs in these cultures. These findings provide direct evidence that, in *in vitro*, some mammalian DSCs can deterministically resist differentiation, while producing differentiating cell lineages by asymmetric cell division. This discovery adds to the growing body of evidence that, in culture, DSCs asymmetrically self-renew deterministically. Asymmetric adifferentiation by DSCs has potential to serve as a unique functional basis for their specific identification in tissues, as well as in culture.

Introduction

Distributed stem cells (DSCs) in pre- and post-natal tissues asymmetrically self-renew to replenish expired and lost differentiated cells while preserving their own stem cell phenotype. The term DSCs was recently introduced as a general term to encompass the varied “adult stem cells,” “tissue-specific stem cells,” “somatic stem cells,” and “germline stem cells” that emerge during late fetal and neonatal development and persist in post-natal tissues. The term embodies the developmental “distributing” of the pluripotency of embryonic epiblasts among the multi-potent and uni-potent stem cell systems that construct post-natal body plans [1].

The exact mathematical form of asymmetric self-renewal by DSCs is a persistent unsettled issue [2-5]. There are two predominant views based on respective stochastic and deterministic models (Figure 1). Though superficially equivalent for achieving the balance of cell renewal and cell differentiation required for life-long tissue homeostasis, the two mathematical forms prescribe significant implicit differences in tissue cell physiology, and, more than likely, molecular mechanisms as well. In the stochastic model (Figure 1B), every stem cell has a unit-sum probabilities for either self-duplication (i.e., classical renewal) or differentiation with loss of the stem cell phenotype. This feature constitutes a well-described stem cell extinction probability [2,6,7]. If the number of stem cells per tissue unit were not sufficiently large, tissues would suffer a high rate of destructive extinctions due to the chance simultaneous differentiation of all the stem cells in a tissue unit.

In the deterministic model, individual stem cells divide to produce consistently a new stem cell and a tissue lineage-committed sister cell (Figure 1A). The committed sister either differentiates directly or becomes a lineage-specific progenitor of finite proliferative capacity for production of additional differentiated tissue cells. The stem cell remains undifferentiated [8-10], or “adifferentiated,” meaning unchanged in phenotypic state [11] compared to the differentiating cells it replenishes. Recently, others and we [9,12] showed that DSCs isolated from diverse tissues exhibit deterministic asymmetric cell kinetics (ACK) *in vitro*. ACK is a quantitative representation of asymmetric self-renewal that does not rely on knowledge of differentiation status. ACK refers to the property of the differentiating sister of asymmetric DSC divisions, or its cell lineage, to terminate with cells that undergo a permanent division arrest, whereas the DSC sister retains indefinite division capacity [2,8,10]. Although previous studies established the ACK of DSCs *in vitro* [9,12,13], the differentiation status of individual DSCs was not evaluated for known markers of differentiation.
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Consistent with their DSC character, Lig 8 and Lig 13 cells exhibit long-term self-renewal while generating progeny with differentiated properties of mature hepatic epithelial cell types [12,14,15]. Lig 8 cultures produce cells with both hepaticotypic and biliary phenotypes [12,14,15]; whereas Lig 13 cells give rise exclusively to progeny with biliary epithelial cell properties [12]. Herein, we report that, under conditions that induce mature hepatic differentiation, a significant fraction of cells in Lig 8 and Lig 13 cultures resist differentiation, because they were not derived by conventional immortalization, and they exhibit ACK [12], which is not a property of immortalized cell lines [8,19-22].

A deterministic form is defined by individual DSCs (oval) undergoing continuous asymmetric cell divisions (curved arrow) that produce a new DSC and a sister cell that is committed to differentiation (rectangle). B. The stochastic form is defined by populations of DSCs that divide symmetrically with probability p producing two DSCs or asymmetrically with probability 1-p to produce two DSCs. In the deterministic form of asymmetric self-renewal, DSCs per se do not undergo differentiation.

The derivation of Lig 8 subclones was described earlier [23]. Lig 8 cells were seeded at 1/10 confluency in a 75-cm² flask (Corning, Corning, New York, http://www.corning.com) one day prior to transfection. Lig 8 cells were then transfected with a cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) expression plasmid using Cytofectene (BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, California, http://www.bio-rad.com), per manufacturer’s suggested instructions.

The following antibodies (suppliers; dilutions) were used. For immunobLOTS, anti-PCNA (Santa Cruz; 1:2000); anti-a-tubulin (Santa Cruz; 1:1000); anti-R1 (Santa Cruz; 1:500); anti-R1I (Santa Cruz; 1:500); anti-phospho-Smad 2 (Upstate Technology; 1:250); and anti-alpha-1 antitrypsin (EY Laboratories; 1:5000) were used. For in situ immunofluorescence (ISH) analyses, FITC-conjugated anti-PCNA (Santa Cruz; 1 µg/ml) and FITC-conjugated anti-Smad 2/3 (BD Biosciences; 1:250) were used. Secondary antibodies (anti-mouse, anti-rabbit, or anti-goat), purchased from Dako, Santa Cruz, and Amersham, were used at dilutions ranging from 1:200 to 1:5000. Mouse epidermal growth factor (EGF) was supplied by Becton-Dickinson. Transforming
growth factor β-1 (human recombinant; TGFβ-1) was purchased from Gibco-BRL.

Differentiation protocols

For the main differentiation induction protocol at high cell density, cells were initially plated in their respective maintenance growth medium at a density of 10⁶ cells/cm². After 16-24 hours, the medium was replaced with regular growth medium supplemented with 10% DFBS, 1% FBS, or 1% FBS + EGF (20 ng/ml) + TGFβ-1 (0.5 ng/ml). Xs supplementation was maintained in these experiments. Cultures were evaluated for differentiation properties after 7 days of growth factor exposure. Trypan blue-negative viable cell counts were determined with cells harvested by trypsinization after 7 days of differentiation. For the low cell density differentiation protocol, cells were plated at 10⁶ cells/cm² in their maintenance growth medium and cultured for 7 days. Phase-contrast micrographs were obtained using a Nikon TE300 microscope system with a Hamamatsu digital camera and Openlab software to capture images.

Immunoblotting procedures

Harvested cells were washed once with ice cold phosphate-buffered saline and then lysed on ice with RIPA buffer [24]. The cell lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm in an Eppendorf™ microfuge for 30 minutes at 4°C. They were stored at -70°C until further analysis. Soluble protein in lysates was quantified using the Bio-Rad DC™ assay. Twenty micrograms of cell lysate protein were separated by SDS-PAGE (8-12% polyacrylamide) and analyzed for specific proteins by immunoblotting as described previously [12]. After primary analysis, immunoblots were re-probed for alpha-tubulin as a quality control to assure that analyses were performed with equivalent amounts of extract protein. All immunoblot experiments were performed 2-4 times with similar results.

Smad 2 phosphorylation analyses

Sub-confluent cells cultured in regular growth medium were treated with TGFβ-1 at 0.5 ng/ml and 2.0 ng/ml concentrations for 30 and 60 minutes. Cell extracts prepared from treated cells were examined for phospho-Smad 2 protein by immunoblotting with antibody specific for phosphorylated forms of Smad 2.

In situ immunofluorescence analyses

ISIF studies were performed exactly as described by Inman et al. [25]. For analysis of nuclear localization of Smad 2/3, a 0.5 ng/ml or 2.0 ng/ml concentration of TGFβ-1 was used. For sister pair analyses, Lig 1 and Lig 8 cells were plated at a targeted density of 40 cells/cm². Following 20 hours of culture, to allow sister pairs to appear, TGFβ-1 was added at 0.5 ng/ml for 1 hour. The cells were then processed for ISIF as for other analyses [25]. ISIF slides were analyzed using a Zeiss Axioskop 2 microscope with motorized stage. The % values reported for different cell fractions in high cell density differentiation analyses are the average for 2 independent experiments. In each experiment, two independent slides were evaluated. Exhaustive, systematic, parallel scanning with 0.5X field separation was performed to eliminate examiner bias and capture the majority of events on a slide without double-counting. The range of total evaluated cells for each determination was 445-1338, except for the single division analysis. In the latter analysis, 29 and 48 sister pairs were evaluated for Lig 1 and Lig 8 cells, respectively.

Results

A persistent subpopulation of cycling cells in cultures of adult rat hepatic DSCs under differentiation conditions

Differentiating cells are always present in cultures of DSC strains, even under conditions for suppression of asymmetric cell kinetics (SACK), reflecting incomplete conversion to symmetric cell kinetics [12,13]. However, the extent of differentiation increases under conditions that favor either production of non-stem cell progeny (e.g., withdrawal of the SACK agent xanthosine [Xs] or low cell density) [12,14] or their differentiation (e.g., serum reduction) [12,13]. For greater differentiation, Lig 8 and Lig 13 rat liver-derived DSC strains were cultured in reduced serum with TGFβ-1 and EGF. TGFβ-1 induces cell cycle arrest and differentiation by epithelial cells [26]. In combination with EGF, it induces significant hepatic differentiation [27].

The induced differentiation properties of the DSC strains were compared to those of two conventional adult rat hepatic cell lines, Lig 1 and Lig 2. These conventional lines, which were derived in medium without xanthosine supplementation, have properties typical for spontaneously immortalized adult rat hepatic cell lines. They do not exhibit Xs-dependent ACK [12]. Serum reduction caused a marked decrease in their proliferation. Addition of TGFβ-1 and EGF augmented this effect (Figure 2A). Consistent with induction of cell cycle exit by Lig 1 and Lig 2 cells, expression of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), an indicator of cycling cells, was markedly reduced (Figure 2C, Ind); and 82% of Lig 1 cells lost nuclear PCNA (Figure 2D). In contrast, DSC strain cultures maintained active proliferation (Figure 2A). Three independent subclones of Lig 8 cells (Lig 8, B1-B3) also exhibited continued active proliferation under conditions for differentiation induction (Figure 2B). PCNA expression remained high, and a significant fraction of cells retained nuclear PCNA (Figure 2D; 33% and 32%, respectively, for Lig 8 and Lig 13; see Materials and Methods). Supplemental Xs was not required for this effect (Figure 2C, Ind-X). Quantitatively, the nuclear PCNA-positive cell fraction in DSC cultures was 1.8-fold greater than the fraction in conventional cultures, suggesting a subpopulation that cycled actively under these differentiating conditions.

Cultures of adult rat hepatic DSC strains display heterogeneous differentiation

Enhanced differentiation was confirmed in all cultures based on expression of the mature hepatic cell protein alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT). Both DSC and conventional cultures exhibited elevated expression of AAT after exposure to TGFβ-1 (data not shown). Treatment of conventional cells (e.g., Lig 1 cells) with TGFβ-1 and EGF induced uniform cell enlargement with increased cytoplasmic:nuclear ratio and production of bi-nucleated cells (Figure 3B, right panel). Conventional cultures also remained subconfluent, consistent with arrested proliferation. In contrast, cultures of DSC strains reached confluency and showed a heterogeneous morphological response. Some cells were unchanged in morphology, consistent with adifferentiation. Others showed increased cytoplasmic:nuclear ratio and bi-nucleation (Figure 3B, left and middle panels). This heterogeneity was most pronounced for Lig 8 cells, which have the greatest level of ACK [12].

Detection of a subpopulation of adifferentiated cells with inactive TGFβ signaling in cultures of adult rat hepatic DSC strains

To assess the integrity of the TGFβ signaling pathway in DSC strains, we investigated the expression and activity of key components. Expression of TGFβ receptors type I and II (RI and RII) was detectable for conventional cells and DSC strains (Figure 4A). Treatment with TGFβ-1 and EGF led to modest decreases in the expression of both receptors (Figure 4A, Ind). The only remarkable expression difference
Figure 2. Cultures of DSC strains continue to proliferate under differentiation-inducing conditions. DSC strains (Lig 8 and Lig 13) and conventional cell lines (Lig 1 and Lig 2) were cultured in medium with 10% serum, with 1% serum, or with 1% serum plus EGF and TGFβ-1 (EGF+TGFβ). A. Viable cell counts were normalized to the number for 10% serum. The average values for three independent experiments are plotted. Error bars indicate standard deviation. B. Data for three independent CFP-expressing subclones of strain Lig 8. The average values for two independent experiments are plotted. Error bars indicate standard deviation. C. Immunoblots for PCNA in control and differentiation-induced cells. Ind, 1% serum plus EGF and TGF; Ind-X, same as Ind, but with xanthosine-free medium. Alpha-tubulin was detected as an extract protein loading indicator. D. ISIF analyses for nuclear PCNA under Ind conditions. PCNA-FITC, PCNA-specific FITC fluorescence. Control, analyses performed with Lig 1 cells with omission of the anti-PCNA specific primary antibody to show background fluorescence. DAPI fluorescence indicates position of corresponding cell nuclei. Arrows, examples of cells that maintain high levels of nuclear PCNA. Scale bar, 20 microns.
The lower cell density, even though cells are cultured in 10% serum, the DSC strains show spontaneous morphological differentiation at conventional hepatic cells (Figure 5B, Lig 1) are minimally altered, ACK at lower cell densities [12,21]. Though the morphology of Smad 2/3 were found in cell colonies of the DSC strains (Figure 5B; Lig 8, Lig 13; arrowheads, examples). Often these cells appeared less morphologically differentiated and were surrounded by more differentiated cells with nuclear Smad 2/3 (e.g., see Figure 5B, Lig 8 phase-contrast micrograph inset).

Relationship between differentiated cycling cells and cells without Smad 2/3 nuclear localization in cultures of adult rat hepatic DSC strains

To evaluate whether cycling cells displaying nuclear PCNA and cells without nuclear Smad 2/3 localization were the same, two-
antibody ISIF was attempted, but the available antibodies were technically incompatible. Quantitative analyses of the independent ISIF analyses was consistent with this conclusion, but not ideally so. For Lig 8 cells, 33% and 20% showed nuclear PCNA and cytoplasmic Smad 2/3, respectively; and the respective values for Lig 13 cells were 32% and 15%, respectively.

For a more specific analysis of the relationship between cells undergoing asymmetric self-renewal to cells exhibiting resistance to TGFβ-1, we performed single cell division analyses [12,13]. In a previous report of the cell kinetics symmetry of paired newly-divided sister cells, we found that 84% of Lig 8 cell divisions showed asymmetric self-renewal, producing one cycling adult stem cell that incorporated bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU), and one arrested non-stem cell sister that did not incorporate BrdU. The SACK agent Xs, which was included in the experiments in the present study, reduced this frequency to about 24% [12]. More recently, we have shown that the cycling sister resulting from asymmetric self-renewal divisions continues to divide and produce non-stem cell sisters that are stably arrested in the cell cycle (Ganz and Sherley, unpublished). After treatment with TGFβ-1 for 1 hour in the presence of Xs at low colony forming density, 34% of paired newly-divided Lig 8 sister cells were asymmetric for nuclear Smad 2/3 (see Figure 6 for examples). This frequency is 3.4-fold greater (p < 0.03) than the smaller fraction of asymmetric sisters observed for conventional Lig 1 cells, which are expected by chance.

These findings indicate that the fraction of cells undergoing asymmetric adifferentiation can be accounted for by the fraction of cells undergoing asymmetric self-renewal. This more specific analysis of the behavior of TGFβ signaling properties of individual cells is consistent with the earlier demonstration that the frequency of PCNA-positive cells approximates the frequency of TGFβ-1-resistant cells in high cell density cultures.

**Discussion**

The reported study was prompted by the initial observation that when Lig 8 and Lig 13 DSC strains were induced by Xs to divide symmetrically, they exhibited resistance to differentiation by TGFβ-1, indicated by their continued proliferation. Subsequent experiments showed that individual DSCs of both cell strains were also resistant to differentiation when they were cycling asymmetrically, in the absence of Xs. These data indicate that DSCs are resistant to differentiation whether they are self-renewing symmetrically or asymmetrically.

The presented data support the conclusion that cells that fail to localize Smad 2/3 to the nucleus after TGFβ-1 exposure are the asymmetrically self-renewing DSCs in Lig 8 and Lig 13 cultures. Consistent with this proposal, cells with cytoplasmic Smad 2/3 were infrequent in large TGFβ-1-exposed cell colonies (Figure 5B). The conventional cell lines showed a lower, but detectable fraction of nuclear PCNA-positive cells and nuclear Smad 2/3-negative cells under differentiating conditions. These cells are attributed to incomplete differentiation. Consistent with this explanation, Lig 1 cells displayed a 3.4-fold lower occurrence of asymmetric adifferentiation in the single cell division analysis. Based on previous studies [12] and the results reported here, we propose that Lig 8 and Lig 13 cells represent two different types of hepatic DSCs that can maintain a growth factor-insensitive state of adifferentiation. In this state, they continue to cycle asymmetrically producing progeny that undergo differentiation in response to environmental cues.

Several features of this study allow us to establish that the heterogeneous response of rat hepatic DSC strains to differentiation induction is a clonal property. Lig 8 and Lig 13 strains were originally derived by a limiting-dilution culture procedure. Based on the Poisson probability approximation that applies to this procedure, about 27% of established cultures would arise from a single cell. In this report, to confirm the clonal nature of differentiation resistance by the Lig 8 cell strain, we show that three independent subclones of Lig 8 cells (see Materials and Methods) exhibit the same basic Lig 8 property of continued active proliferation under differentiation inducing conditions (Figure 2B). Finally, the single cell division analysis clearly demonstrates that individual cells in Lig 8 cultures possess the property of asymmetric adifferentiation (Figure 6), being able to divide to produce both a TGFβ-responsive sister and a non-responsive sister.

The nuclear translocation of Smad 2/3 proteins serves as an early marker for TGFβ-1 induced cell differentiation. The kinetics of this response are very fast compared to cell generation time, allowing unambiguous detection of sister cells in two different states of responsiveness. Under the same differentiation promotion conditions, cultures of rat hepatic DSCs also show induction of proteins indicative of hepatocellular differentiation (e.g., alpha-1 antitrypsin; data not shown). We did not use these later markers of more mature differentiation for evaluation of asymmetric adifferentiation. Because the time scale for detection of the induction and expression of later markers is of the same magnitude as cell generation time, second divisions might have occurred before the responses could be evaluated. Second and third divisions would have greatly complicated interpretation of the analyses.

Though qualitatively similar, the frequency of PCNA-positive cells under differentiating conditions was quantitatively greater than the frequency of cells with cytoplasmic Smad 2/3. The greater fraction of PCNA-positive cells may be due to the greater variance encountered in nuclear PCNA determinations (data not shown). Because of this inequivalence, presently, we cannot exclude the possibility that a significant fraction of the persistent cycling cells may also be TGFβ-1 responsive. The quantitative discrepancy could also reflect phenotypic asynchronies such as TGFβ-1 resistance varying periodically during the cell cycle of asymmetrically self-renewing DSCs.

Cancer cells and embryonic cells also exhibit TGFβ-1 resistance [30,31]. The DSC strains used in these experiments have non-transformed properties in culture and are not tumorigenic in immunodeficient mice [12]. The TGFβ-resistance of tumor cells may reflect their origin from mutated DSCs [30,32]. Cells in newly formed...
blastoscyts are initially resistant to TGFβ, but later in development, cells acquire TGFβ sensitivity [31]. Moreover, the basis for TGFβ-resistance by mouse embryonic stem cells is lack of RII receptors and corresponding absence of Smad 2 nuclear localization [33].

The finding that the rat hepatic DSC strains exhibit a lower RI:RII expression ratio than the conventional rat hepatic cell lines may reflect lower RI expression in the DSCs. Fetal liver progenitor cells are also TGFβ-resistant [33] and clusters of cells in primary fetal hepatocyte cultures have been shown resistant to differentiation by EGF and TGFβ [27]. Thus, adifferentiation may be a general property of stem and progenitor cells, whether embryonic or adult; and reduced or absent RI expression may be the molecular basis. However, the decreased ratio of RI to RI expression may be a more important factor than reduced RI per se. We are aware of one earlier report suggesting that the RI:RII ratio is the more important determinant of signaling in response to TGFβ-1. When clonal rat intestinal epithelial cell lines were derived by chemical mutagenesis and selected for resistance to TGFβ-1 and TGFβ-2, their resistance to growth inhibition by TGFβ-1 showed the greatest correlation with a higher ratio of TGFβ-1 binding to RI versus RI [34].

Our more recent studies with other SACK-derived DSC strains from mouse hair follicles also support the idea of asymmetry in the differentiation capability of the sisters of deterministic asymmetric self-renewal divisions by DSCs [13]. In these studies, the DSC of asymmetric self-renewal divisions was identified definitively by its specific display of chromosomal markers of immortal DNA strands. There are now several reports, both in vitro and in vivo, of DSCs that retain the set of mitotic chromosomes that have the older template DNA strands, called immortal strands. With this basis for identification, newly-divided DSCs consistently showed a higher level of specific histone modifications, which associated with undifferentiated cell states during embryonic development (i.e., H3K4me3 and H3K27me3), as compared to their non-stem cell sisters [35].

With this background, we propose that the absence of Smad 2/3 nuclear localization in cultured asymmetrically cycling adult rat liver cells after TGFβ-1 challenge also identifies DSCs in the cultures. Given the universal role of TGFβ signaling in mammalian tissue development, this property may assist in identifying DSCs from or in many tissues. The general property of asymmetric adifferentiation is predicted to identify DSCs that, unlike their asymmetrically produced progeny cells, are resistant to a variety of specific differentiation factors in respective tissues. Current technologies make this basis for DSC identification feasible in complex culture systems. Future advances in molecular imaging technologies for in vivo investigations may enable this approach for detection and characterization of DSCs in niche, as well.

We have published earlier discussions of the relevance of in vitro analyses of deterministic asymmetric self-renewal by DSCs to stem cell self-renewal dynamics in tissues in vivo [32,35]. There is a currently prevailing school of thought that stochastic self-renewal processes – also called ‘neutral competition’ – predominate in vivo [36,37]. Herein, for the first time, we provide evidence in culture to support the prediction that not only are DSC asymmetric cell kinetics deterministic, but so also is their production of differentiating cell lineages. It seems highly unlikely that the now numerous, and independent, observations of deterministic asymmetric self-renewal by DSCs in vitro will have no significant biological correlate to DSC asymmetric self-renewal dynamics in vivo. Most recently, we have shown the existence of a subpopulation of cells in stem cell compartments in vivo that express a new type of molecular marker associated specifically with deterministic asymmetric self-renewal defined in vitro. Called “H2A.Z asymmetry,” [38], the new biomarker detects mitotic cells undergoing immortal strand co-segregation, which so far has proven inseparable from deterministic asymmetric self-renewal by DSCs in culture [13,35,39].
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