Study of the relation between hydraulic jump and the flood water level in the river on the downstream of a spillway
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Abstract. Kuningan Dam which is located in Kuningan District, West Java has 21.8 km² watershed area. This dam also acts as a flood control facility which functions supported by the reservoir’s topography and its spillway building. The spillway building releases excess water from the dam into the downstream area. In the downstream part of the spillway, usually, hydraulic jump phenomenon occurs which depends on the flood water level of the river. The hydraulic jump used in the designing process of stilling basin length. The stilling basin in the spillway building generally designed using Q100. The spillway in Kuningan Dam is designed as a closed conduit channel. In this study, the Kuningan Dam spillway is re-designed as an open channel using oggee type spillway. Based on the modeling result, the length of hydraulic jump that occurs when modeled with the return period discharge of Q25 is 21.54 m, Q50 is 16.65 m, and Q100 is 0.98 m. It is seen that in Q25 the jump was the furthest, so an elaborate study regarding hydraulic jump phenomenon and design standards of the spillway is required.

1 Introduction

Dam is a structure in the form of earth fill, rockfill, or concrete, built to gather water to form a reservoir [1]. Dam is a big structure with many benefits, but it also possesses high risks when dam breakage happens. Dam break is one of the most dangerous events, which causes flash flood disaster at the downstream area [2]. To prevent dam break, hydraulic structures, such as spillway, is used to regulate flood discharge.

In this study, Kuningan Dam spillway was re-designed using the oggee type and as an open channel. Ogee type-open channel is commonly used in Indonesia. Therefore, redesigning the spillway leads to better practicability of this study. A spillway is a structure used to control the release of flows during a flood event from a dam into its downstream area. Spillway structure consists of a control structure, discharge channel, terminal structure, entrance, and outlet channels [3].

On the entrance and outlet channels, there is a structure called stilling basin that reduces the energy of water by forcing a hydraulic jump before its release to the downstream river. Hydraulic jump calculation has been studied widely. A One-Dimensional approach based on the St. Venant equation has been shown to perform well in the modeling of a hydraulic jump [4].

In Indonesia, a 100-year period for the design discharge is commonly used for designing stilling basin. In reality, the flood discharge could be lower than this design discharge. Nevertheless, the magnitude of the flood discharge will have a significant effect on the downstream area such as scouring. It has been shown that the hydraulic jump is an important factor in scouring [5]. However, a very high design discharge leads to a higher cost in construction of the structure. In order to determine the effective value of the design discharge, a study to model the river and stilling basin under various return period of flood discharge is needed. The modeling is conducted to observe the effect of different discharge magnitude flowing on a spillway and the corresponding flood water level in its downstream to the hydraulic jump.

2 Location of the study

Kuningan Dam is located in Randusari Village, Cibeureum District, Kuningan Regency, West Java Province, Indonesia. The dam is located on the Cikaro River flow (3rd order) which is a tributary of Cisanggarung River. Kuningan Dam was built to fulfill water supply for irrigation, raw water in the downstream area, and water supply for hydropower plant.
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Figure 2 shows the layout of Kuningan Dam which includes the redesigned spillway. Kuningan Dam has the following storage capacity curve as shown in Figure 3.

![Fig. 3. Storage Capacity Curve of Kuningan dam Source: BBWS Cimanuk-Cisanggarung, 2013](image)

### 3 Methodology

The methodology of the study shown in the following flow chart in Figure 4.

![Fig. 4 Methodology of the study](image)

Relevant data were collected. They are precipitation, land use, topography, and data of the dam. Hydrological analysis were conducted including watershed analysis, design precipitation, and flood hydrograph. In the watershed analysis, runoff factor is determined based on topography and land use data from Badan Informasi Geografis (BIG). Statistical analysis was conducted to obtain daily maximum precipitation for various return periods. The flood discharge of the watershed is estimated using Nakayashu Method. The outflow discharge is estimated by calculating the reservoir routing using HEC-HMS.

The outflow discharge is used to design the spillway dimension. This design is modelled using a one dimensional steady flow approach in HEC-RAS. The simulations were conducted for 3 design flood discharge with the return period of 100-year, 50-year, and 25-year. The results were analysed to assess the relation between hydraulic jump lengths and flood water level of the spillway downstream area.

### 4 Hydrological analysis

#### 4.1 Watershed analysis

Kuningan Dam is located in Kuningan District, West Java, Indonesia and has 21.8 km$^2$ watershed area. The dam connected to Cikaro River. The length of the river from the upstream to the dam outlet approximately is about 7.38 km with average slope of 0.2%. Figure 5 shows Kuningan Dam watershed area.
Land use of the Kuningan Dam watershed area is dominated by production forest (27.31%), animal husbandry (22.26%), irrigated rice fields (17.81%), and protected areas (11.87%).

4.2 Data availability

In the watershed area of Kuningan Dam, there are two rain stations owned by Badan Meteorologi, Klimatologi, dan Geofisika (BMKG) which are Cibingbin and Ciwaru Station. To make flood hydrograph, it requires at least precipitation data of 10 years [6]. Precipitation data of Kuningan Dam watershed area from 1991 to 2010 is available.

4.3 Design precipitation

First, the precipitation area is analyzed using Thiessen method. Then the frequency analysis is done using three different methods, Gumbel, Normal, and Log Person III. The frequency analysis then followed by chi-square and Smirnov Kolmogorov test.

| No. | Return Period (Year) | Design Rainfall Plan (mm) | Method | Gumbel | Normal | Log Pearson Type III |
|-----|----------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| 1   | 25                   | 129.64                    |        | 113.04 | 113.65 |
| 2   | 50                   | 143.26                    |        | 120.03 | 118.46 |
| 3   | 100                  | 156.78                    |        | 125.79 | 128.49 |

**SMIRNOV KOLMOGOROV TEST**

|                | D Maximum | Degree of significance | D Critical | Status   |
|----------------|-----------|------------------------|------------|----------|
|                | 0.046     | 5%                     | 0.290      | PASS     |
| SMIRNOV KOLMOGOROV TEST | 0.002 | 5% | 0.290 | PASS |
| CHI SQUARE TEST |           |                        |            |          |
| Chi Square Count | 7.600     |                         |            | PASS     |
| Chi Square Critical | 7.815     |                         |            | PASS     |
| Free degree     | 3.000     |                         |            | PASS     |
| Degree of significance | 5%     |                         |            | PASS     |
| Status          | PASS      |                         |            | PASS     |

4.4 Flood hydrograph

Flood hydrograph used in this study is the Nakayasu model. The model is calculated using the data obtained from 6-hours distributed precipitation data.

| Return Period (year) | Peak Discharge (m3/s) |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| 25                   | 92.53                  |
| 50                   | 103.60                 |
| 100                  | 114.59                 |

4.5 Reservoir Routing

Reservoir routing is calculated and modeled using HEC-HMS program. The calculation use the following storage method equation
\[(I_n + I_{n+1}) + \left(\frac{2S_n}{\Delta t} - Q_n\right) = \left(\frac{2S_{n+1}}{\Delta t} + Q_{n+1}\right)\]

Where:
- \(I\) = inflow (m³/s)
- \(S\) = storage (m³)
- \(Q\) = outflow (m³/s)

Inflow used in the equation is the value of flood hydrograph inflow and the re-designed spillway. From the calculation the following value are determined. Weir discharge coefficient (C) is 2.15, design head (H) is 2.6 m, approach channel depth (P) is 3 m, and weir width (L) is 20 m. The results of reservoir routing by each flood hydrograph design is shown in Figure 8.

Table 3 shows the peak discharge of inflow-outflow for each flood hydrographs.

### 5 One-dimensional flood modeling

One-dimensional model of the spillway is made using HEC-RAS. A program that is commonly used for hydraulic analysis, HEC-RAS is also used for laboratory-scale simulations of a Single Step Weir [8].

#### 5.1 Basic simulation

HEC-RAS is capable of performing one-dimensional water surface profile calculations for steady gradually varied flow in natural or constructed channels. Equation for basic profile calculations is written as follows:

\[Z_2 + Y_2 + \frac{a_2V_2^2}{2g} = Z_1 + Y_1 + \frac{a_1V_1^2}{2g} + h_e\]

Where:
- \(Z_1, Z_2\) = elevation of the main channel inverts
- \(Y_1, Y_2\) = depth of water at cross sections
- \(V_1, V_2\) = average velocities (total discharge/total flow area)
- \(a_1, a_2\) = velocity weighting coefficients
- \(g\) = gravitational acceleration
- \(h_e\) = energy head loss

The energy head loss \((h_e)\) between two cross sections is comprised caused by friction losses and contraction or expansion losses. The equation for the energy head loss is written as follows:

\[h_e = L\tilde{S}_f + C \left(\frac{a_2V_2^2}{2g} - \frac{a_1V_1^2}{2g}\right)\]

Where:
- \(L\) = discharge weighted reach length
- \(\tilde{S}_f\) = representative friction slope between two sections
- \(C\) = expansion or contraction loss coefficient

#### 5.2 Parameter input

Data from the redesigned spillway is calculated as shown in Table 4 to determine the dimension of each spillway parts.

| Component Name       | Length (m) | Width (m) | Base Elevation | Slope |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| Approach Channel     | U.S.       | 20.00     | 20             | 115.00| 0       |
|                      | D.S.       |           |                |       |         |
| Weir of Spillway     | U.S.       | 4.69      | 20             | 118.00| Harold Arch |
|                      | D.S.       |           |                | 115.00|         |
| Transition Channel   | U.S.       | 33.00     | 20             | 115.00|         |
|                      | D.S.       |           |                | 108.40| 0.20    |
| Chute Channel        | U.S.       | 90.00     | 5.50           | 108.40| 0.30    |
|                      | D.S.       |           |                | 81.40 |         |
| Trumpet Channel      | U.S.       | 20.00     | 5.50           | 81.40 | 0.15    |
|                      | D.S.       |           |                | 78.40 |         |
| Component Name | Length (m) | Width (m) | Base Elevation | Slope |
|----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| Stilling Basin | U.S. 4.00  | 7.50      | 78.40          | 0     |
|                | D.S.       |           |                |       |
| End Channel    | U.S. 36.00 | 7.50      | 78.70          | 0.001 |
|                | D.S.       | 14.00     | 78.66          |       |

After the calculation, input the steady flow using the peak discharge value of each flood hydrograph design which based on the result of reservoir routing (outflow peak discharge). For the reach boundary condition, input the upstream and downstream boundary and its water surface level. The upstream water surface level based on the calculation of the water level in upstream section meanwhile the downstream level is based on normal water level of the river.

Table 5. Boundaries’ Water surface level

| Return Period (year) | Known WS (m) |
|----------------------|--------------|
|                      | Upstream    | Downstream  |
| 25                   | 118.42       | 80.15       |
| 50                   | 118.48       | 80.28       |
| 100                  | 118.55       | 80.41       |

At last, set up the simulation by choosing geometric data, steady flow data with the reach boundary condition, and lastly choose the flow regime which in this study is mixed flow regime.

5.3 Simulation results

The results of the simulation using HEC-RAS is presented in Figure 13 below.

Fig. 9 One-dimensional modeling of each flood hydrograph (outflow) (a) Return period 25-year, (b) 50-year, and (c) 100-year.
Fig. 10 One-dimensional modeling for each flood hydrograph (outflow) with return period of 100-year, 50-year, and 25-year.

Table 6 shows the condition of flow and length of hydraulic jump for each flood hydrograph.

Table 6. Condition of flow before and after hydraulic jump

| Return Period (Year) | Condition of Flow | Length of Hydraulic Jump (m) |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|                      | y1 (m)            | Fr1                         | y2 (m)            | Fr2                         |                          |
| 25                   | 0.21              | 8.39                        | 1.75              | 0.23                        | 21.54                     |
| 50                   | 0.28              | 6.31                        | 1.88              | 0.26                        | 16.65                     |
| 100                  | 0.15              | 16.39                       | 2.01              | 0.36                        | 0.98                      |

6 Conclusion

The results from this study conclude that the length of hydraulic jump for return period discharge of 25-year is longer than the one from 100-year. If the jump is longer from the designed flood discharge (T = 100-year), it may damage the river system in the downstream. Therefore, the design code of the designed flood for the stilling basin must be evaluated.

This study only shows the simulation in a one-dimensional direction. Thus, the results obtained from this study is limited to a certain condition. To get more accurate results, further study should be conducted using an unsteady flow condition in two-dimensional or three-dimensional.
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