A study of the disability impact among parents of mentally challenged children
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Abstract

Background: The child with mental retardation has special needs in addition to the regular needs of all children, and parents can find themselves overwhelmed by various medical, care giving, financial and educational responsibilities. Government of India, “The National Policy on Mental Handicap”, has emphasized the importance of home-based care with parents as partners in the care process. Aim: To understand the positive and negative effects on parents of Mentally Retarded children by using National Institute for the Mentally Handicapped Disability Impact Scale (NIMH-DIS).

Material and methods: Two intelligence tests [Vineland Social Maturity Scale (VSMS) and Developmental Screening Test (DST)] have been selected. Study sample is selected by purposive sampling method and categorized into three groups.

Results: Parents of Mentally retarded children are impacted more by lifting & carrying the child (percentage of obtained score = 41.7), toileting (percentage of obtained score = 40.6) and bathing (percentage of obtained score = 40). Maximum negative impact is on physical care (percentage of NIMH-DIS score = 35.5), followed by financial (percentage of NIMH-DIS score = 33.9) and loss of support (percentage of NIMH-DIS score = 33).

Conclusion: It is not necessary for every parent having a Mental Retardation child to have negative impact but in some this can bring a positive impact, like acceptance of the situation realistically and standing right behind the Mental Retardation child to provide support.
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Introduction

The birth of a baby is singularly, the most important joyous occasion in the life of any parent. Many parents hold out a lot of hopes for the future of their children, but when faced with the scenario of a child with special needs or intellectual handicap, they go through a whole lot of emotions including disappointment, resentment, a sense of guilt and a fear for the future of the child.

Raising such a child requires emotional strength and flexibility. The child with mental retardation has special needs in addition to the regular needs of all children, and parents can find themselves overwhelmed by various medical, care giving, financial and educational responsibilities. It does not imply that birth of a mentally retarded child has a negative impact on all parents as in some it has been observed to have a positive influence on their personality, bringing out a hitherto hidden mental strength in rising to the occasion and forming a firm support base for their child. This adaptation mechanism on behalf of the parents is turn dependent on several personal and social factors including the psychological makeup of the parents, their education, attitudes, religious beliefs, social support system and monetary aspects.

American Association of Mental Deficiency (ASMD), has defined mental retardation as a “significantly sub average general intellectual functioning, resulting or associated with concurrent impairment in adaptive behavior and is manifested during the developmental period” [1]. In Indian scenario, nearly 2% of the population is constituted by persons with mental retardation [2], and its prevalence varies from 0.22-32.7 per thousand populations [2,3]. The present study was undertaken with a view to understand all the factors that have a positive or negative impact on parents of mentally retarded children so that the same can be
applied to bring about a behavior modification and promote better coping strategies among parents with stress.

**Material**

**Source of data**- All the mentally retarded children between the age groups of 5-15 years, coming to the O.P.D and diagnosed using the ICD-10 criteria, with their IQ level less than 70, as assessed with the help of clinical psychologist using the intelligence tests [Vineland Social Maturity Scale (VSMS) and Developmental Screening Test (DST)] were selected. Both the parents of such children, were included in the study after obtaining a written consent from them. Information is gathered about these children and their parents on a self-designed semi-structured questionnaire.

**Methods**

**Study design**: Single stage cross sectional study.

**Study setting**: Pediatric Out patient department at Maharajah’s Institute of Medical Sciences, Vizianagaram

**Study population**: Study sample was selected by purposive sampling method and categorized into three groups (Mild, Moderate and Severe), based on the children’s Intelligent Quotient levels.

1. Mild: contains both parents (both mother and father) of 30 mild mentally retarded children.
2. Moderate: contains both parents (both mother and father) of 30 moderately mentally retarded children.
3. Severe: It contains both parents (both mother and father) of 30 severe to profound mentally retarded children.

The total study sample size is 90 and study period is 12 months.

**Results**

Results have been discussed under the following sub-sections:

A. Socio-demographic profiles of parents.
B. Impact of mental retardation on parents of MR children
C. Impact of mental retardation on parents of MR children - based on parent’s gender
D. Impact of mental retardation on parents of MR children - based on severity of mental retardation

**A. Socio-demographic profiles of parents.**

Major variables studied here were parent’s age, sex, type of family, education, income, religion and occupation of parents. In the present study, 65.6% of parents belonged to rural areas. Majority of the parents (81%) belonged to nuclear type of family structure.
Table 1: Socio-demographic profiles.

| Self-designed semi-structured questionnaire | Number of parents | %    | N  |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------|------|----|
| Fathers occupation                         |                   |      |    |
| Unemployed / house Wife                    | 3                 | 3.3  | 90 |
| Formers / Self-employed                    | 54                | 60.0 |    |
| Employed in some Firm                      | 33                | 36.7 |    |
| Mothers occupation                         |                   |      |    |
| Unemployed / house Wife                    | 69                | 76.7 |    |
| Formers / Self-employed                    | 18                | 20.0 |    |
| Employed in some Firm                      | 3                 | 3.3  |    |
| Parents income                             |                   |      |    |
| less than 10000                            | 35                | 19.4 |    |
| 10000-19999                                | 31                | 17.2 |    |
| 20000-29999                                | 86                | 47.8 |    |
| 30000 or above                             | 28                | 15.6 |    |
| Infections during Pregnancy                |                   |      |    |
| Absent                                     | 87                | 96.7 |    |
| Present                                    | 3                 | 3.3  |    |
| Attempt to induce Abortion                 |                   |      |    |
| Absent                                     | 79                | 87.8 |    |
| Present                                    | 11                | 12.2 |    |
| History of repetitive Abortion             |                   |      |    |
| Absent                                     | 80                | 88.9 |    |
| Present                                    | 10                | 11.1 |    |
| Fathers age at child birth                 |                   |      |    |
| Below 20 years                             | 3                 | 3.3  |    |
| 21-35 years                                | 70                | 77.8 |    |
| Above 35 years                             | 17                | 18.9 |    |
| Mothers age at child birth                 |                   |      |    |
| Below 20 years                             | 20                | 22.2 |    |
| 21-35 years                                | 65                | 72.2 |    |
| Above 35 years                             | 5                 | 5.6  |    |
| Nature of delivery                         |                   |      |    |
| Natural                                    | 71                | 78.9 |    |
| Cesarean                                   | 17                | 18.9 |    |
| Forceps                                    | 2                 | 2.2  |    |
| Complications during Birth                 |                   |      |    |
| Absent                                     | 28                | 31.1 |    |
| Present                                    | 62                | 68.9 |    |
| Complications after birth                  |                   |      |    |
| Present                                    | 14                | 15.6 |    |
| Absent                                     | 76                | 84.4 |    |
| MR diagnosis age                           |                   |      |    |
| Below 5 years                              | 102               | 56.7 |    |
| 5-10 years                                 | 48                | 26.7 |    |
| 10-15 years                                | 30                | 16.7 |    |
| MR child sex                               |                   |      |    |
| Male                                       | 119               | 66.1 |    |
| Female                                     | 61                | 33.9 |    |
| MR child birth order                       |                   |      |    |
| First                                      | 77                | 42.8 |    |
| Between                                    | 63                | 35.0 |    |
| Last                                       | 40                | 22.2 |    |
| MR child education                         |                   |      |    |
| Not going to school                        | 81                | 45.0 |    |
| Preprimary                                  | 58                | 32.2 |    |
| Primary                                    | 32                | 17.8 |    |
| Higher than primary                        | 9                 | 5.0  |    |

Table 1: Self-designed semi-structured questionnaire, information was collected regarding father’s and mother’s occupation along with parent’s income and their ages at the time of child birth. A past history of abortions, infections during pregnancy and mode of delivery were also documented. Most of the disable children are male 66.1% (119) diagnosed below 5 yrs 56.7% (102) with 1st order 42.8% (77), not going to school 45.0% (81).
B. Influence of mental retardation on parents of mentally retarded children.

NIMH-DIS had been administrated, results of which are as follows:

Table-2: Shows the scoring percentages of different variables in physical care domain.

| Variable in physical care domain | Number of parents | Smx | Sibt | %     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----|------|-------|
| Bathing                          | 78                | 60  | 42   | 360   | 144  | 40.0 |
| Feeding                          | 83                | 65  | 32   | 360   | 129  | 35.8 |
| Dressing                         | 86                | 56  | 38   | 360   | 132  | 36.7 |
| Toileting                        | 80                | 54  | 46   | 360   | 146  | 40.6 |
| Brushing                         | 95                | 67  | 18   | 360   | 103  | 28.6 |
| Grooming                         | 101               | 67  | 12   | 360   | 91   | 25.3 |
| Lifting & carrying the child     | 82                | 46  | 52   | 360   | 150  | 41.7 |
| Medicating                       | 86                | 60  | 34   | 360   | 128  | 35.6 |

Table 2: Shows the scoring percentages of different variables in physical care domain. Parents of Mentally retarded children are impacted more by lifting & carrying the child (percentage of obtained score = 41.7), toileting (percentage of obtained score = 40.6) and bathing (percentage of obtained score = 40). Overall percentage of scoring for physical care domain is 35.5.

C. Impact of mental retardation on parents of MR children - based on parent’s gender

Impact of MR on fathers and mothers are compared using NIMH-DIS, and the hypothetical z-test has been employed for comparing the scoring proportions. Results of which are reported in Table 3.

Table 3: Impact of MR on fathers and mothers are compared using NIMH-DIS

| Domain               | Gender | Smax | Sibt | %      | Z     | p     |
|----------------------|--------|------|------|--------|-------|-------|
| Physical care        | Male   | 1440 | 482  | 33.5   | -2.243| 0.025 |
|                      | Female | 1440 | 541  | 37.6   |       |       |
| Health issues        | Male   | 900  | 199  | 22.1   | -2.415| 0.015 |
|                      | Female | 900  | 243  | 27     |       |       |
| Career Adjustment    | Male   | 720  | 166  | 23.1   | 6.1047| <0.05 |
|                      | Female | 720  | 79   | 11     |       |       |
| Loss of Support      | Male   | 900  | 295  | 32.8   | -0.1805| 0.857 |
|                      | Female | 900  | 299  | 33.2   |       |       |
| Financial Problem    | Male   | 900  | 311  | 34.6   | 0.6274| 0.529 |
|                      | Female | 900  | 299  | 33.2   |       |       |
| Social Restriction   | Male   | 540  | 122  | 22.6   | -0.079| 0.936 |
|                      | Female | 540  | 123  | 22.8   |       |       |
| Embarrassment / ridicule | Male | 720  | 128  | 17.8   | 0.099 | 0.921 |
|                      | Female | 720  | 127  | 17.6   |       |       |
| Relationship         | Male   | 1080 | 307  | 28.4   | 1.099 | 0.276 |
|                      | Female | 1080 | 284  | 26.3   |       |       |
| Sibling effect       | Male   | 1260 | 295  | 23.4   | -0.764| 0.447 |
|                      | Female | 1260 | 311  | 24.7   |       |       |
| Specific Thoughts    | Male   | 720  | 240  | 33.3   | 3.8149| <0.05 |
|                      | Female | 720  | 174  | 24.2   |       |       |
| Total negative Impact| Male   | 9180 | 2545 | 27.7   | 1.064 | 0.289 |
|                      | Female | 9180 | 2480 | 27.0   |       |       |
| Positive Impact      | Male   | 900  | 456  | 50.7   | -0.297| 0.764 |
|                      | Female | 900  | 463  | 51.4   |       |       |
Table 3: With MR children Mothers are experiencing more difficulties than fathers with respect to physical care and health issues. Fathers are experiencing more trouble in career adjustments and specific thoughts. There is not much difference in the overall positive and negative impact scores among both parents.

D. Impact of mental retardation on parents of Mentally retarded children - based on severity of mental retardation

Table 4: shows results related to impact on parents of MR children based on its severity (mild, moderate and severe).

| Domain                  | Mild | Moderate | Severe |
|-------------------------|------|----------|--------|
|                         | Smax | Sobt     | Smax   | Sobt |
| Physical care           | 960  | 87       | 960    | 324  |
| Health                  | 600  | 98       | 600    | 190  |
| Career                  | 480  | 68       | 480    | 95   |
| Support                 | 600  | 187      | 600    | 209  |
| Financial               | 600  | 185      | 600    | 213  |
| Social                  | 360  | 76       | 360    | 84   |
| Embarrassment/Ridicule  | 480  | 81       | 480    | 84   |
| Relationships           | 720  | 186      | 720    | 203  |
| Sibling effect          | 840  | 154      | 840    | 141  |
| Specific thoughts       | 480  | 117      | 480    | 141  |
| Total negative impact   | 6120 | 1239     | 6120   | 1711 |
| Total positive impact   | 600  | 296      | 600    | 318  |

Table 4, shows results related to impact on parents of MR children based on its severity (mild, moderate and severe) and their relation Z-test has been used.

Table-5: Showing the impact of MR on parents with respect to severity of disability.

| Domain                  | Mild vs. Moderate | Moderate vs. Severe | Mild vs. Severe |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
|                         | z     | P      | z     | p   | z     | P   |
| Physical care           | -13.18| < 0.05 | -13.14| < 0.05 | -24.90| < 0.05 |
| Health                  | -6.26| < 0.05 | 2.297| < 0.05 | -3.997| < 0.05 |
| Career                  | -2.310| 0.021 | 1.078| 0.280 | -1.237| 0.215 |
| Support                 | -1.326| 0.184 | 0.659| 0.509 | -0.668| 0.503 |
| Financial               | -1.173| 0.084 | 0.072| 0.944 | -1.657| 0.097 |
| Social                  | -0.710| 0.478 | -0.095| 0.928 | -0.805| 0.418 |
| Embarrassment/Ridicule  | -0.675| 0.503 | 1.627| 0.103 | -1.717| 0.085 |
| Relationships           | -1.026| 0.303 | 0.042| 0.968 | -0.984| 0.327 |
| Sibling effect          | -0.885| 0.373 | -6.378| < 0.05 | -7.237| < 0.05 |
| Specific thoughts       | -1.747| 0.080 | -0.840| 0.401 | -2.584| < 0.05 |
| Total negative impact   | -8.649| < 0.05 | -3.870| < 0.05 | -12.487| < 0.05 |
| Total positive impact   | -1.282| 0.201 | 0.763| 0.447 | -0.520| 0.603 |

Parents of moderate and severe mentally retarded children registered greater problems compared to those of mild mentally retarded children, for all 11 domains in NIMH-DIS. However, the difference in impact with respect to varying degree of severity is found to be minimal for most of the domains, except for career, sibling effect and specific thoughts. Total negative impact on mentally retarded children parents is with direct relation to the severity of mental retardation. Whereas, the positive impact levels with respect to degree of severity was insignificant.
Discussion

Present study was conducted on parents of 90 mentally retarded children.

NIMH-DIS was used to assess the impact on them.

1. The impact in the parents of mentally retarded children is related to their socio-demographic characteristics.
2. The present study has indicated more positive impact in the parents of mentally retarded children compared to that of the negative impact.
3. Severity of MR is an important contributing factor to both the positive and negative impact in the parents of mentally retarded children. Intensity of negative impact in the parents unlike the positive impact is proportional to the severity of mental retardation.

Parents response to different domains in NIMH

Physical care: The present study revealed that 52.2% of the parents were facing difficulty (either some / lot of difficulty) with respect to physical care requirements of mentally retarded children. This observation compares favorably with the study by Brust [3], who has found that the mothers of MR children require an average time of 12 hours 6 minutes for satisfying the physical care requirements.

Health: It can be seen that 36.7% of parents in the present study were suffering with some health issues. Most of them were facing mental worries in view of having MR child. This observation is consistent with the study carried out at the Regional Rehabilitation Center (RRHC) by Gathwala and Gupta [4] which showed that 60% of families were severely burdened with respect to physical health of family members, which included physical/psychological illness and depression of the family members Career: In the present study, 74.4% of parents didn’t have any impact on their career adjustments to support mentally retarded children, and the percentage of parents, who are facing some difficulty and lot of difficulties in their career is less. Similar observation has been reported by few authors in the past [5,7].

Loss of support: Statistics depicting distribution of loss of support with respect to different relations, indicates that ~ 50% of the parents felt that they are facing difficulty in getting support from relations. The study compares favorably with the observations made by other authors [8], who has found ignorance of families with mentally retarded children as the sign of social isolation of those families.

Financial problems: In the present study, 53.9% of parents (parents voted for both “some” and “lot of difficulty”) were facing severe financial problems which is in par with the earlier report by Seiquira & associates [9]. Gathwala and Gupta also reported that 25% of the families were severely burdened by the financial needs[4].

Social restriction: Statistics of social restriction domain, evidences that 31.7% of parents (voted for both “sometimes” and “most of the times”) in the present study are constrained to social movement in view of having mentally retarded children. This observation is consistent with the previous reports by Farber

Embarrassment/ ridicule: The current study resulted that more than 26.7% of the parents were facing embarrassment (parents voted for both “some” and “lot of” embarrassment) [10]. These results were also supported by the studies done by Datta and Nancy [11,12].

Relationship: Only 45.6% are thinking negatively that the presence of mentally retarded children affects their relationship with spouse / family / in-laws / relatives/ friends / neighbours.

Sibling effect: More than 60.5% of the parents in the present study were happy about their sibling’s life style and carrier. This is comparable to Gohel study, who reported that higher percentage of parents felt that they were giving less time to their siblings [13].

Specific thoughts: More than 55% of the parents in the present study had never thought of having another child, as they feel that they were unprepared to take responsibilities of another child in view of the existing struggle in rearing the MR child. Nearly 60% of the parents who sometimes thought of separating the MR child from the family, so that this child doesn’t affect the rest of family members. Majumdar found that the parents of mentally retarded children were more vulnerable to stress than parents of normal children, which is in par with the present study [14].

Positive effects: More than 81.6% of the parents were having positive effect (including both groups i.e., “lot of effect” and “some effect”) due to mentally retarded children. The possible explanation for the positive
effects in the parents of MR children can be got from following studies. Positive impact has also been reported by Kazak and Marvin [15].

More positive and less negative impact on parents

Present study has shown more positive impact (percentage of NIMH-DIS score = 51.1) in the parents of mentally retarded children compared to that of the total negative impact (percentage of NIMH-DIS score = 27.5) in the same study group. This finding relates to the observation in Flaherty study, showing parents tendency to shift from negative impact (family problem) to positive impact (family competence) with time [16].

Present study suggests that the parents are facing adverse problems in the allocation of funds [i.e., financial domain (2nd highest NIMH-DIS score)] in the care and training of their mentally retarded children as well as in other necessary domestic requirements. Negative impact on the parents of the intellectually disabled children in the form of financial crisis was well reported by Datta, which is consistent with the present study [11].

Gender based impact of mental retardation on parents:

From above discussion, it is apparent that the overall positive and negative impact scoring between mothers and fathers is not significant, with few differences noted. Key finding of these observations are summarized as follows:

1. Mothers of mentally retarded children are experiencing more difficulties than fathers in physical care and health domains.
2. Fathers of mentally retarded children are experiencing more trouble in career and specific thoughts domain.
3. No significance is found between the parents for following domains: loss of support, financial, social restriction, embarrassment / ridicule, relationships, sibling effect and positive effects.

Several authors have found similar findings, which are explained below:

Rastogi found more negative attitude in case of mothers of mentally retarded children compared to fathers [17]. Fathers are concerned mainly about family budget and the cost of providing help for the MR child. These finding are in par with the Burr finding, which revealed that the fathers of mentally retarded children tend to keep their feelings inside, and use more harmful types of strategies [18].

Above findings are comparable with the study by Heller & Upadhyaya, which reported no significant association between fathers and mothers with respect to financial aspects (financial domain)[19,20].

Disability impact among varying degree of severity

From table 4 and 5, it is evident that the intensity of various domains in NIMH-DIS by the parents of mentally retarded children has direct linkages with the level of retardation of their child. Key finding of which are summarized as follows:

1. The parents of moderate and severe mentally retarded children registered greater problems compared to those of mild mentally retarded children, for all 11 domains of NIMH-DIS.
2. Total negative impact scoring with respect to varying severity of MR is significant, with NIMH-DIS scoring being high for severe mentally retarded children compared to those of the mild and the moderate mentally retarded children.
3. Positive impact scoring is not significant with respect to varying severity of MR.

The present results are at par with the results of Magra & colleagues (1999), who have stated that mothers face many challenges such as poor health to care for their loved ones with degree of MR.

The parents with mild MR child tend to help themselves with a keep going tendency. Similar results and reasons are identified by Seiquirai in relation to severe mentally retarded children [9].

Strength of the present study

1. The comprehensive assessment of the impact of having a mentally retarded child on parents.
2. Comparing the impact between mothers and fathers.
3. Comparing the impact based on the severity of mental retardation.

Limitations

1. This is a one stage cross sectional study no follow-ups were done
2. Lack of a comparison group
3. Sample size is small

The study is conducted in a Government hospital, therefore results cannot be generalized.
SUMMARY

The current study is a cross-sectional study, intended to explore both the positive and negative impact on the parents of mentally retarded children with respect to parent’s gender and severity of mental retardation by using the NIMH- DIS.

In this study, 180 parents (comprising 90 families with their fathers and mothers) with mentally retarded children were selected. Tools used were: specially designed socio-demographic data, clinical data sheet and NIMH-DIS (11 domains assessing specific parameters).

Results have shown than most of the respondents were Hindus hailing from rural background with nuclear families, more than 40% being illiterate with family income of < Rs.10000 per month. Most of the female respondents (mothers) were house wives and didn’t have any history of infections during and after the pregnancy. Most children were born out of normal vaginal delivery and very few by caesariansection.

Percentage of positive impact (51.1%) on the parents of mentally retarded children is substantially negative impact (27.4%). Most of the parents in the present study were not considering the situation of having MR children as a burden

Conclusions.

The salient features of this study are:

1. The present study has shown that the impact levels in the parents of mentally retarded children are related to their socio-demographic characteristics.

2. This study has shown more positive impact in the parents of mentally retarded children compared to that of the negative impact irrespective of the parent’s gender.

It has been found from the present study that the degree of Mental Retardation severity is an important factor in giving rise to both positive and negative impact on the parents of mentally retarded children. Whereas, the difference of negative impact with respect to positive impact is minimal.

In conclusion, it can be inferred that it is not necessary for every parent having a Mental retardation child to have negative impact but in some this can bring a positive impact, like acceptance of the situation realistically and standing right behind the MR child to provide support. At the same time, it is also true that having a MR child is a source of severe stress to the family members and it can affect them negatively in many ways. Therefore, there is every need to make attempt for primary prevention of mental retardation

Recommendations for future work

1. Impact of age and gender of the MR child on their parents can be considered in future, so that it could help in better utilization of interventions.

2. A longitudinal study with regular follow-up may be considered in the future for better understanding the impact levels on the parents.

3. Studies including coping interventions may be taken up in the future, helping the parents in developing coping strategies and to overcome the problems.

4. It is worthwhile to conduct a comparative study between normal and MR children to highlight the problems being suffered by the parents of MR children.

A multicentric study to be conducted, so that the results can be applied over wide range.
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