Hydrogen peroxide induced cell death: One or two modes of action?
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Abstract

Imlay and Linn show that exposure of logarithmically growing Escherichia coli to hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) leads to two kinetically distinguishable modes of cell killing. Mode one killing is pronounced near 1 mM concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ and is caused by DNA damage, whereas mode-two killing requires higher concentration (> 10 mM). The second mode seems to be essentially due to damage to all macromolecules. This phenomenon has also been observed in Fenton in vitro systems with DNA nicking caused by hydroxyl radical (HO$^\cdot$).

To our knowledge, there is currently no mathematical model for predicting mode one killing in vitro or in vivo after H$_2$O$_2$ exposure. We propose a simple model, using Escherichia coli as a model organism and a set of ordinary differential equations. Using this model, we show that available iron and cell density, two factors potentially involved in ROS dynamics, play a major role in the prediction of the experimental results obtained by our team and in previous studies. Indeed the presence of the mode one killing is strongly related to those two parameters.

To our knowledge, mode-one death has not previously been explained. Imlay and Linn (Imlay and Linn, 1986) suggested that perhaps the amount of the toxic species was reduced at high concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ because hydroxyl (or other) radicals might be quenched directly by hydrogen peroxide with the concomitant formation
of superoxide anion (a less toxic species). We demonstrate (mathematically and numerically) that free available iron decrease is necessary to explain mode one killing which cannot appear without it and that H$_2$O$_2$ quenching or consumption is not responsible for mode-one death. We are able to follow ROS concentration (particularly responsible for mode one killing) after exposure to H$_2$O$_2$. This model therefore allows us to understand two major parameters involved in the presence or not of the first killing mode.
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1. Introduction

The principal reactive oxygen species (ROS) — superoxide O$_2^{−}$, hydrogen peroxide H$_2$O$_2$, and the hydroxyl radical HO$^·$ — are generated by sequential reductions of molecular oxygen and are continually produced in cells. Oxidative stress results from an imbalance between exposure to ROS and defenses against ROS, potentially causing damage to all macromolecules (Imlay, 2013). There is increasing evidence to suggest that the cumulative damage caused by ROS contributes to many diseases, including age-related disorders, such as Parkinson’s disease and Alzheimer’s disease, and cancer (Jimenez-Del-Rio and Velez-Pardo, 2012).

The ability of bacteria to cope with these ROS species has been studied in detail (Fridovich, 1978; Keyer and Imlay, 1996; Park et al., 2005; Kowald et al., 2006; Gonzalez-Flecha and Demple, 1995; Seaver and Imlay, 2004; Hillar et al., 2000; Aslund et al., 1999). Briefly, in Escherichia coli, cytoplasmic superoxide dismutases (Mn-SOD and Fe-SOD) constitute the principal system responsible for keeping O$_2^{−}$ concentration below $2 \times 10^{−10}$ M (Imlay and Fridovich, 1991). Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase (Ahp) and catalases (KatG and KatE) keep H$_2$O$_2$ concentration below 20 nM (Seaver and Imlay, 2001b). These concentrations of these two ROS species need to be kept very low as they are linked to the formation of HO$^·$ via the Fenton reaction (H$_2$O$_2$ + Fe$^{2+}$ → HO$^·$ + HO$^{−}$ + Fe$^{3+}$), against which cells have no known defense (Imlay et al., 1988). Indeed, O$_2^{−}$ rapidly destroys the [4Fe-4S] clusters of dehydratases, leading to the release of reactive iron (Fe$^{2+}$), which may then react with H$_2$O$_2$ to generate HO$^·$ (Fenton reaction).

Imlay and Linn (Imlay and Linn, 1986; Imlay et al., 1988) show that exposure of logarithmically growing E. coli to H$_2$O$_2$ involves two kinetically modes of cell killing. Mode one killing pronounced near 1 mM concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ is caused
by DNA damage, whereas mode-two killing appears with higher concentration (> 10 mM) and seems to be essentially due to damage to all macromolecules.

In this study, we aimed to use E. coli as a model organism, to investigate ROS dynamics and to understand the presence or not of the first killing mode. We used data from a large number of articles dealing with enzyme or molecule concentrations, kinetic properties and chemical reaction rate constants to generate a mathematical model based on a set of ordinary differential equations relating to fundamental principles of mass balance and reaction kinetics. To our knowledge, no such mathematical model allowing the prediction of ROS concentration and explanation of mode one killing, after H$_2$O$_2$ exposure, has ever been developed before.

2. Materials and methods

All numerical simulations were carried out using the MATLAB ODE solver ode15s for stiff differential equations. The multistep solver ode15s is a variable order solver based on the numerical differentiation formulas.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. The key role of free iron, its decrease during oxidative stress

The first aim of this study was to determine whether in vivo Fe$^{2+}$ should be taken into account as variable when trying to predict the mode one killing. Our interest in Fe$^{2+}$ stems from its involvement in the Fenton reaction, which leads to the formation of HO$^-$. It is therefore important to determine whether Fe$^{2+}$ concentration can be assumed to be constant, as a first approximation, or whether it must be treated as variable, when estimating HO$^-$ concentration. Indeed, literature shows studies considering free iron as a constant (Antunes et al., 1996) and other presenting iron evolution (Bertrand, 2014).

Our study will not mention copper. Indeed although either copper or iron can reduce H$_2$O$_2$ in vitro, iron is the responsible species in vivo. Indeed, the amount of available copper may be too small. Imlay indicates that mutants that lose the ability to control copper levels exhibit normal resistance to H$_2$O$_2$ (Macomber et al., 2007). Thus, copper is liganded by the large pool of intracellular thiols (including glutathione which is in millimolar concentration) that blocks the participation of copper in HO$^-$ formation in vitro. Moreover, H$_2$O$_2$-oxidizable copper is located in the periplasm; therefore, most of the copper-mediated hydroxyl radical formation occurs in a compartment far away from DNA.
3.1.1. A simple in vitro system

The simplest in vitro system was proposed by Luo et al. (1994) only considering 80 nM of Fe²⁺ and 17 μM DNA. They show that DNA nicking is maximal at 0.05 mM H₂O₂ concentration after a 7.5 minutes experiment.

The chemical reactions which describe this system are:

\[ \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{k_F} \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HO}^- + \text{HO}^* \quad \text{(Fenton reaction)} \]

\[ \text{HO}^* + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{k_Q} \text{O}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}^+ \quad \text{(Quenching reaction by H}_2\text{O}_2) \]

\[ \text{HO}^* + \text{DNA} \xrightarrow{k_{DNA}} \text{products} \quad \text{(DNA nicking)} \]

The resulting dynamical system of ordinary differential equation is:

\[
\frac{d[\text{HO}^*]}{dt} = k_F [\text{Fe}^{2+}] [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] - k_Q [\text{HO}^*] [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] - k_{DNA} [\text{DNA}] [\text{HO}^*]
\]

\[
\frac{d[\text{Fe}^{2+}]}{dt} = -k_F [\text{Fe}^{2+}] [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]
\]

\[
\frac{d[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]}{dt} = -k_F [\text{Fe}^{2+}] [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] - k_Q [\text{HO}^*] [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]
\]

\[
\frac{d[\text{DNA}]}{dt} = -k_{DNA} [\text{DNA}] [\text{HO}^*]
\]

Taking the reaction rate constants found in literature, \(k_F = 4.4 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\) (Park et al., 2005), \(k_Q = 2.7 \times 10^7 \text{ M} \text{s}^{-1}\) (Buxton and Greenstock, 1988) and \(k_{DNA} = 4.7 \times 10^9 \text{ M} \text{s}^{-1}\) (Michaels and Hunt, 1973), the simulation shows exactly the same maximum (Fig. 1) when reporting average DNA nicking (during time experiment) versus H₂O₂ concentration.

In this system, it is obvious that free iron decreases because there is no way of recycling; nevertheless we present a hypothetic simulation considering free iron as
a constant. This hypothetic simulation realized with \( \frac{d F_e^{2+}}{dt} = 0 \) makes clear
the need to take into account free iron as a variable even in a simple system in order
to see mode one killing; and it also shows that iron decrease is responsible of the
first killing mode. Imlay first discussion (Imlay and Linn, 1986) concerning the
quenching of HO\(^{\cdot}\) with H\(_2\)O\(_2\) in order to interpret mode one killing have to be
forgotten. The quenching only slows down the DNA oxidation (caused by HO\(^{\cdot}\)) but
it cannot be responsible for the mode one killing.

### 3.2. Mathematical analysis of the in vivo Fenton system

DNA nicking involves a reaction between DNA and HO\(^{\cdot}\), therefore, in order to
see mode one killing, HO\(^{\cdot}\) concentration must reach a maximum as a function of
exogenous H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentration. Considering in vivo Fenton system we have to take
into account H\(_2\)O\(_2\) scavenging enzyme (Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase and
catalases). Let us examine whether this system is consistent with a maximum level
of HO\(^{\cdot}\) concentration when challenging H\(_2\)O\(_2\). Our model does not take into
account molecules compartmentalization (see justification in Supplementary
Material B).

HO\(^{\cdot}\) depends on time \( t \) and \([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{out}}\) (exogenous H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentration). If HO\(^{\cdot}\)
reaches a maximum value, that implies that a mathematical derivative of HO\(^{\cdot}\)
versus \([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{out}}\) reached zero. Before evaluating \( \frac{d[\text{HO}^{\cdot}]}{d[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]} \), we need to know how
HO\(^{\cdot}\) levels change.

The most important cellular sinks for hydroxyl radical are reactions with major
cellular components like proteins, metabolomes, … The quenching reaction (with
H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) may be relevant under in vitro conditions when H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentration is very
high (and DNA concentration very low), but under a physiological setting or even
when cells are exposed to external H\(_2\)O\(_2\), H\(_2\)O\(_2\) will not be a major sink for
hydroxyl radical. Indeed, reaction rate constant of HO\(^{\cdot}\) between organic cellular
compounds (like proteins, metabolomes, …) are closed to diffusion limit rate
constant \( k_{\text{diff}} \approx 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) and organic cellular compounds concentration
\([\text{Organic}]\) is higher than 10 mM, therefore under the mode one killing where H\(_2\)O\(_2\)
concentration is under 4 mM, we can write the inequality:

\[
\frac{v_{\text{organic sinks}}}{v_{\text{quenching}}} = \frac{k_{\text{diff}} [\text{Organic}]}{k_Q [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] [\text{HO}^{\cdot}]} = \frac{k_{\text{diff}} [\text{Organic}]}{k_Q [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]} \approx 10^3 \gg 1
\]

This inequality means that the quenching reaction can be neglected in the study of
mode one killing.

Moreover, concerning HO\(^{\cdot}\) production, we do not consider Haber–Weiss
reaction, a reaction whose relevance in vivo is questionable
(Koppenol, 2001; Liochev and Fridovich, 2002). In fact, when adding this reaction, we saw no significant change in ROS or DNA kinetic. Therefore, within cells, for a given exogenous H$_2$O$_2$ concentration, HO' levels obey the following reactions:

$$Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \xrightarrow{k_F} Fe^{3+} + HO^- + HO'$$

formation (Fenton reaction)

$$HO' + X_i \xrightarrow{k_i} \text{products}$$

consumption by a molecule $X_i$

In $vivo$, because HO' reacts with various molecules we generalise the system and demonstrate that we need to consider available iron as a variable.

Proof by contradiction ($\text{reductio ad absurbum}$): Suppose that free iron is constant.

Considering $N$ reactions (of rate constant $k_i$ between an organic $X_i$ compound and HO') and assuming $X_i$ concentration is constant because $X_i$ is in large excess (for example DNA, proteins, metabolomes, . . .). Therefore HO' levels obey the following kinetic differential equation:

$$\frac{d[HO']}{dt} = k_F [Fe^{2+}] [H_2O_2] - \sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i [HO'] [X_i]$$

The resolution of this equation gives:

$$[HO'] = \left( \int_0^t k_F [Fe^{2+}] [H_2O_2] \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i [X_i] t' \right) dt' \right) \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i [X_i] t \right)$$

(*)

Internal H$_2$O$_2$ concentration is also dependent on $t$ and $[H_2O_2]_{\text{out}}$, as follows:

$$[H_2O_2] = f (t, [H_2O_2]_{\text{out}})$$

In addition, the more exogenous H$_2$O$_2$ added, the more H$_2$O$_2$ penetrates the cell, so $f$ is a monotonic increasing function of $[H_2O_2]_{\text{out}}$ and $\frac{\partial f([H_2O_2]_{\text{out}})}{\partial [H_2O_2]_{\text{out}}} > 0$. The mathematical derivative of HO' versus $[H_2O_2]_{\text{out}}$ gives:

$$\frac{d[HO']}{d[H_2O_2]_{\text{out}}} = \left( \int_0^t k_F [Fe^{2+}] \frac{\partial f([H_2O_2]_{\text{out}})}{\partial [H_2O_2]_{\text{out}}} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i [X_i] t' \right) dt' \right) \times \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i [X_i] t \right)$$

This expression obviously indicates that $\frac{d[HO']}{d[H_2O_2]_{\text{out}}}$ > 0 because all terms are positive.
For this reason, in this model, there should be no peak when changes in HO' levels are plotted against exogenous H₂O₂ levels. This conclusion is in contradiction with mode one killing observation, therefore we must consider Fe²⁺ concentration to decrease with increasing H₂O₂ concentration.

We can notice that a direct proof, without supposing free iron constant, gives by derivation of (1):

$$
\frac{d [\text{HO'}]}{d [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{out}}} = \left( \int_0^t k_F F_{(\text{Fe}^{2+}, \text{H}_2\text{O}_2)} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^N k_i [X_i] t' \right) dt' \right) \\
\times \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^N k_i [X_i] t \right)
$$

where $F_{(\text{Fe}^{2+}, \text{H}_2\text{O}_2)} = \left[ \text{Fe}^{2+} \right] \frac{\partial f([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{out}}, t')}{\partial [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{out}}} + \left[ \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \right] \frac{\partial \left[ \text{Fe}^{2+} \right]}{\partial [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{out}}} \right].$

This expression can reach zero only if:

$$
\left[ \text{Fe}^{2+} \right] \frac{\partial f ([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{out}}, t')}{\partial [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{out}}} + \left[ \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \right] \frac{\partial \left[ \text{Fe}^{2+} \right]}{\partial [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{out}}} = 0
$$

It demonstrates that necessarily $\frac{\partial \left[ \text{Fe}^{2+} \right]}{\partial [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{out}}} < 0$, meaning that $\left[ \text{Fe}^{2+} \right]$ is a monotonic decreasing function of $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{out}}$.

So, to explain the experimental curve for DNA nicking in vitro, we must consider Fe²⁺ concentration to decrease with increasing H₂O₂ concentration.

### 3.2.1. **Mathematical model**

The first difference between in vivo and in vitro experiments is the value of H₂O₂ exogenous concentration needed to reach maximum in the mode one killing (Imlay et al., 1988). This difference is for a number of reasons. First cell membrane and cell scavenger (Ahp and catalase) reduce H₂O₂ concentration within the cell; therefore mode one killing appears with higher concentration of H₂O₂ concentration in vivo. Then there are many sinks for hydroxyl radical and free iron evolution has to take its recycling into account. The model has to be completed with the following equations, of course we only present the major reaction involved in the description of the mode one killing; for instance we do not add reaction between organic compounds and H₂O₂ which is negligible when compared to enzymatic dismutation. This model is deliberately simple in order to examine the predominant effects.
Internal hydrogen peroxide kinetics

\[
\frac{d [H_2O_2]}{dt} = k_{prod}^{H_2O_2} : \text{Endogenous production}
\]

\[
- k_{cat}^{Ahp} [Ahp] \frac{[H_2O_2]}{[H_2O_2] + K_M^{Ahp}} : \text{Dismutation by Ahp } H_2O_2 \xrightarrow{Ahp} H_2O + \frac{1}{2}O_2
\]

\[
- k_{cat}^{Kat} [Kat] \frac{[H_2O_2]}{[H_2O_2] + K_M^{Kat}} : \text{Dismutation by Kat } H_2O_2 \xrightarrow{Kat} H_2O + \frac{1}{2}O_2
\]

\[
- k_{diff} ([H_2O_2] - [H_2O_2]_{ex}) : \text{Diffusion across cell membrane}
\]

\[
- k_F [H_2O_2] [Fe^{2+}] : \text{Fenton reaction}
\]

External hydrogen peroxide concentration \([H_2O_2]_{out}\) strongly depends on cell number (noted \(n\)), indeed, the higher cell density, the faster the media is detoxified. The cell density involvement will be discussed in section 3.3.

External hydrogen peroxide kinetics

\[
\frac{d [H_2O_2]_{out}}{dt} = k_{diff} \frac{n \cdot V_{internal}}{V_{external}} ([H_2O_2] - [H_2O_2]_{out})
\]

\(V_{internal}\) represents intracellular solvent-accessible volume.

Cell numbers \(n\) double every 20 minutes according to an exponential law.

Hydroxyl radical kinetics

We consider \(N\) reactions of rate constant \(k_i\) between an organic \(X_i\) compound (proteins, metabolites, ...) and \(\text{HO}^\cdot\). Nevertheless, DNA was treated separately (out of the sum) in order to examine its damage during oxidative stress, so it comes to the following equations:

\[
\frac{d [\text{HO}^\cdot]}{dt} = k_F [Fe^{2+}] [H_2O_2] - \sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i [X_i] [\text{HO}^\cdot] - k_{DNA} [DNA] [\text{HO}^\cdot]
\]

\[
\frac{d [\text{DNA}]}{dt} = -k_{DNA} [DNA] [\text{HO}^\cdot]
\]

Recycling of free iron

Free available \(Fe^{2+}\) is oxidized during Fenton reaction but \(Fe^{3+}\) is then reduced by cellular reductants. However, the identity of the biological reductants in \(vivo\) remains unclear (Valko et al., 2005). \(Fe^{3+}\) might also be reduced at varying
reaction rates by a range of cellular reductants, such as glutathione, L-cysteine, NAD(P)H and FADH$_2$ (Imlay, 2003). The kinetic of iron recycling has to take into account the fact that reductant concentrations also decrease with increasing value of exogenous concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ because of reductant reactions with various ROS. For instance Brumaghim et al. report that in vivo NADH concentration reduce by half when challenging about 0.2 mM of H$_2$O$_2$ (Fig. 2). Therefore we corrected recycling rate with a Hill factor (noted $f$) of coefficient 1 (because decrease is hyperbolic) often used to describe reaction of inhibition (Polynikis et al., 2009). We consider here that H$_2$O$_2$ inhibits the efficiency of the reductants to recycle Fe$^{3+}$ into Fe$^{2+}$.

For instance, reduction by NADH gives the kinetic rate:

$$v_{\text{NADH red}}^{\text{NADH}} = k_{\text{NADH}} \left[\text{Fe}^{3+}\right] \left[\text{NADH}\right]$$

where $[\text{NADH}] = f_{\text{NADH}}[\text{NADH}]_0$ and $f_{\text{NADH}} = \frac{C_{\text{NADH}}^{1/2}}{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] + C_{\text{NADH}}^{1/2}}$ where $C_{\text{NADH}}^{1/2}$ is the H$_2$O$_2$ concentration needed to reduce by half the initial concentration of NADH.

$[\text{NADH}]_0$ represents NADH concentration without oxidative stress.

Hill correction factor fits Brumaghim experimental results by taking $C_{\text{NADH}}^{1/2} = 0.2$ mM (this value was found using a least square approximation).

We can then write Fe$^{3+}$ reduction kinetic rate by NADH:

$$v_{\text{NADH red}}^{\text{NADH}} = k_{\text{NADH}} \left[\text{NADH}\right]_0 \frac{C_{\text{NADH}}^{1/2}}{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] + C_{\text{NADH}}^{1/2}}$$

or

$$v_{\text{NADH red}}^{\text{NADH}} = k_{\text{NADH}}[\text{NADH}]_0 \left[\text{Fe}\right] \frac{[\text{Fe}] - \left[\text{Fe}^{2+}\right]}{[\text{Fe}] \left[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\right] + C_{\text{NADH}}^{1/2}}$$

because $[\text{Fe}^{3+}] = [\text{Fe}] - \left[\text{Fe}^{2+}\right]$ where $[\text{Fe}]$ represents the total free available iron concentration in cell.
We can also write:

\[ v_{\text{max}}^{\text{NADH}} = v_{\text{max}}^{\text{NADPH}} \frac{[\text{Fe}] - [\text{Fe}^{2+}]}{[\text{Fe}]} \frac{C_{1/2}^{\text{NADH}}}{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] + C_{1/2}^{\text{NADH}}} \]

where \( v_{\text{max}}^{\text{NADH}} = k_{\text{NADH}} [\text{NADH}]_0 [\text{Fe}] \)

According to Brumaghim et al. (2003) NADH oxidation experiment, 16 \( \mu \)M of \emph{in vitro} initial NADH concentration are oxidized by 80 \( \mu \)M of \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) with an initial rate constant (obtained by measurement of NADH absorbance at 340 nm) \( k_{\text{NADH}} [\text{Fe}^{3+}] = 2.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1} \), so with physiological concentration, the maximal rate constant for \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) reduction will be near \( k_{\text{NADH}} [\text{NADH}]_0 [\text{Fe}] = 10^{-7} \text{ M s}^{-1} \).

Brumaghim et al. produce the same study with NADPH, and according to their experimental results we have \( k_{\text{NADPH}} [\text{NADPH}]_0 [\text{Fe}] = 4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M s}^{-1} \) and \( C_{1/2}^{\text{NADPH}} = 4 \text{ mM} \).

Moreover, cell counts many reductants like ascorbate which may represent an alternative way to generate \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) (Hsieh and Hsieh, 2000). Thiols and in particular glutathione GSH in physiological systems, are important agents responsible for helping to maintain aerobic cells in a reducing state, despite an oxidizing environment. Nevertheless, a growing body of evidence suggests that thiols, as electron donors of metal-catalyzed oxidation systems, can paradoxically be responsible for the generation of reactive oxygen species (Giannessi et al., 1993). For instance, Netto and Stadtman (1996) report that Dithiothreitol reduces \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) with a constant rate near 2.5 \( \text{M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \), therefore \( v_{\text{max}}^{\text{RSH}} = k_{\text{RSH}} [\text{Fe}] [\text{RSH}]_0 \geq 10^{-6} \text{ M s}^{-1} \).

We can notice that the upper limit for \( v_{\text{Red}}^{\text{max}} = k_{\text{Red}} [\text{Red}]_0 \) is near 10\(^3\) \( \text{M s}^{-1} \) (using the rate constant of diffusion limited reaction which is near 10\(^{10}\) \( \text{M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \), and assuming \([\text{Red}] \leq 10^{-2} \text{ M} \) and \([\text{Fe}] \approx 20 \mu \text{M}\)). Of course the real (but unknown) value should be much weaker.

By adding \( N \) reductants (noted \( R_i \)), reduction rate can be written:

\[ v_{\text{red}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( v_{\text{max},i} \frac{[\text{Fe}] - [\text{Fe}^{2+}]}{[\text{Fe}]} \frac{C_{1/2}^{i}}{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] + C_{1/2}^{i}} \right) \]

Of course, we cannot find the values of all kinetic constants in literature for \emph{in vivo} system whereas it is a crucial issue; we therefore use an average formula with only two constants:

\[ v_{\text{red}} = v_{\text{max}} \frac{C_0}{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] + C_0} \frac{[\text{Fe}] - [\text{Fe}^{2+}]}{[\text{Fe}]} \]

When \([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] \rightarrow C_0\), the efficiency of the reduction is reduced by half, \( v_{\text{max}} \) represents the maximal rate of \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) reduction, this rate is obtained in a hypotetic
scheme when $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] \to 0$ (meaning that reductants are the most efficient at low H$_2$O$_2$ concentration) and when $[\text{Fe}^{2+}] \to 0$ (meaning that Fe$^{3+}$ concentration is maximal).

We then have to examine the involvement of these two constants ($v_{\text{max}}$ and $C_0$) on mode one killing. Finally free iron kinetic is approached to:

$$\frac{d[\text{Fe}^{2+}]}{dt} = -k_F[\text{Fe}^{2+}][\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] + v_{\text{max}} \frac{C_0}{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] + C_0} [\text{Fe}] - [\text{Fe}^{2+}]$$

The Fig. 3 represents the principal interactions between the reagents used in the mathematical model.

**Scheme of the model**

![Scheme of the model](image)

Figure 3. Scheme of ROS interaction in the mathematical model.

### 3.2.2. Choice of kinetic constants

According to Park et al. (2005) experiments, at 37 °C and neutral pH, the Fenton rate constant for DNA-bound iron was $k_F = 4400$ M s$^{-1}$ but this constant is higher when iron is bound to ATP. As mode one killing concerns DNA damage, we use $k_F = 4400$ M s$^{-1}$ for our simulation. Indeed HO$^*$ reacts very fast and therefore only impacts the nearest organic compounds.

DNA concentration refers to nitrogenous bases concentration, this concentration is set to $5 \times 10^{-3}$ M, corresponding to approximately $4.6 \times 10^6$ pairs (with the proportion of each base set at 25%, which is close to the value proposed by the CBS genome atlas database of Hallin and Ussery (2004)).

We then consider $N$ reactions of rate constant $k_i$ between an organic $X_i$ compound (or site) and HO$^*$ ($\sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i [X_i] [\text{HO}^*]$). For instance Bennett et al. (2009) report total metabolome concentration of 300 mM (100 millions metabolites/cell)
greatly exceeded the reported total protein concentration of 7 mM (2.4 million proteins/cell). Nevertheless, with an average of 400 residues per protein, it represents 2.8 M of feeding sites for HO^•.

We assume that \( \sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i [X_i] \approx 7.3 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Supplementary Material A), which corresponds to a mean rate constant of \( 2 \times 10^9 \text{ M s}^{-1} \) for reaction between HO^• and organic compounds.

3.2.3. Position and width of mode one killing

Position of mode one killing corresponds to the concentration of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) where the maximum of DNA damage occurs. Position and width of mode one killing of course depend on reaction rate constants and in particular on the recycling rate of free iron characterized by \( v_{\text{max}} \) and \( C_0 \). Mode one killing can also be described by its intensity (DNA oxidized proportion) but it is impossible to directly link bacterial survival curve to intensity of DNA oxidized proportion whereas survival bacterial curve should occur at the same position and should present approximately the same shape. Therefore we focus on the position and the width of mode one killing. We previously show the particular importance of iron evolution; therefore we will next focus on the two parameters \( v_{\text{max}} \) and \( C_0 \) introduced to describe its kinetic.

The influence of \( v_{\text{max}} \)

For next simulations we set \( C_0 = 1 \text{ mM} \) (the influence of this constant will be discussed later) and we observe the influence of the parameter \( v_{\text{max}} \). According to the previous discussion \( v_{\text{max}} > 10^{-6} \text{ M s}^{-1} \).

Fig. 4 shows that an increasing value of \( v_{\text{max}} \) involves a higher position of mode-one killing but also a higher intensity of DNA oxidation. Indeed \( v_{\text{max}} \) is linked to the cell potential to reduce Fe\(^{3+}\) to Fe\(^{2+}\) and therefore to drive Fenton reaction more efficiently.

The simulations are consistent with the mode-one killing experimental position near 1–3 mM.

When Fe\(^{2+}\) recycling rate is too high (inset Fig. 4) mode-one killing disappear because Fe\(^{2+}\) concentration remains constant.

Moreover, Imlay et al., (Imlay et al., 1988) showed that if the availability of cellular reducing equivalents is increased as the result of respiration inhibition (through cyanide and NADH dehydrogenase mutations), mode I killing was enhanced. Our model is able to reproduce this phenomenology, as demonstrated by
Figure 4. Average DNA oxidation (during 15 minutes) dependence upon H2O2 external concentration and maximal Fe^{2+} recycling rate $v_{\text{max}}$. $C_0$ is set to 1 mM. Initially, cell density was set to $10^7$ cell/mL. The kinetic parameters used for the simulation are gathered in Table 1.

| Constants | Value | Reference |
|-----------|-------|-----------|
| $k^\text{H}_2\text{O}_2^\text{prod}$ | $15 \mu\text{M s}^{-1}$ | Seaver and Imlay, 2001a, 2004 |
| $K^\text{Kat}$ | $5.9 \times 10^{-3}$ M | Hillar et al., 2000 |
| $K^\text{Ahp}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-6}$ M | Seaver and Imlay, 2001b |
| $k^\text{Kat}_{\text{cat}} [\text{Kat}]$ | $4.9 \times 10^{-1}$ M s$^{-1}$ | .. |
| $k^\text{Ahp}_{\text{cat}} [\text{Ahp}]$ | $6.6 \times 10^{-4}$ M s$^{-1}$ | .. |
| $k^\text{diff}$ | $70$ s$^{-1}$ | .. |
| $V^\text{internal}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{-15}$ L | Imlay and Fridovich, 1991 |
| $k^\text{DNA}$ | $4.7 \times 10^{6}$ M s$^{-1}$ | Michaels and Hunt, 1973 |
| $k^\text{F}$ | $4400$ M s$^{-1}$ | Park et al., 2005 |
| Initial Fe^{2+} concentration | By default $20 \mu$M** | .. |
| Initial cell density $n$ | From $10^6$ to $10^9$ | .. |
| $C_0$ | Tested from 0.1 to 5 mM | This work |
| $v_{\text{max}}$ | Tested from 1 to $50 \mu$M s$^{-1}$ and $3$ M s$^{-1}$ | This work |
| Simulation time | 15 minutes | Imlay and Linn, 1986, 1988 |

* This value is limited the in vivo diffusion-limited rate constant assumed to be $2 \times 10^{9}$ M s$^{-1}$ (Supplementary Material A).
** We also tested value from 10 to 40 μM for the simulation showed in Fig. 6.

the direct correlation between the $v_{\text{max}}$ parameter and the percentage of oxidized DNA (Fig. 4).
Figure 5. Average DNA oxidation (during 15 minutes) dependence upon H$_2$O$_2$ external concentration and parameter $C_0$. $v_{\text{max}}$ is set to 50 μM s$^{-1}$. Initially, cell density was set to $10^7$ cell/mL. The kinetic parameters used for the simulation are gathered in Table 1.

**The influence of $C_0$**

As $C_0$ is increasing, mode-one killing is shifting into high position and high intensity according to Fig. 5. In order to fit with Imlay’s experimental results $C_0$ has to stay in a range between 0.1 and 1 mM.

**The influence of free available iron [Fe]**

Iron chelators such as dipyridyl that can penetrate bacteria prevent external H$_2$O$_2$ from damaging DNA by reducing free available iron thanks to chelation (Imlay et al., 1988). Over-expression of ferritin, a storage protein that specifically sequesters iron also prevents damage (Keyer et al., 1995). But *E. coli* mutants that over-import iron are more sensitive to DNA damage when challenging external H$_2$O$_2$ (Touati et al., 1995). Fig. 6 reports the same conclusion with increasing damage when free available iron concentration increases.

**3.3. Cell density involvement**

Under conditions of exogenous H$_2$O$_2$ stress, H$_2$O$_2$ elimination is dependent on cell density. However, nothing is currently known about internal H$_2$O$_2$ concentration during H$_2$O$_2$ exposure. Under these conditions, internal H$_2$O$_2$ concentration results mostly from influx due to diffusion across the cell membrane, because endogenous production is negligible. Moreover, the more cell density increases, the faster the medium is detoxified. This phenomenon involves a decrease in exogenous H$_2$O$_2$ concentration and consequently in internal H$_2$O$_2$.
Figure 6. DNA oxidation (average during 15 minutes) dependence upon H$_2$O$_2$ external concentration and free available iron concentration. Parameter $C_0$ is set to 0.5 mM and $v_{max}$ is set to 50 μM s$^{-1}$. Initially cell density was set to $10^7$ cell/mL. The kinetic parameters used for the simulation are gathered in Table 1.

Figure 7. E. coli (MG1655) (in vivo A) cells were grown aerobically in liquid LB broth, at 37 °C, with shaking at 160 rpm. When the OD$_{600}$ reached 1, the cells (diluted till $9 \times 10^7$/ml or not diluted) were exposed to various concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ for 15 minutes. Extracellular H$_2$O$_2$ concentration was determined by TECAN readings at OD$_{560}$ with the Amplex® red hydrogen peroxide/peroxidase kit. Extracellular H$_2$O$_2$ concentration, determined after 15 minutes of incubation with various amounts of exogenous H$_2$O$_2$, in a wild-type strain incubated in LB, at 37 °C, in the presence of 400 ppm CO$_2$. Exogenous H$_2$O$_2$ concentration simulated under the same experimental conditions (in silico B).

Exogenous H$_2$O$_2$ concentration can be two orders of magnitude lower than the initial H$_2$O$_2$ exogenous concentration. This difference is involved in the disappearance of the mode one killing at high cell density as observed in Fig. 9.
Figure 8. Simulation of the average $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ external concentration dependence with cell density and initial $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ exogenous concentration. The kinetic parameters used for the simulation are gathered in Table 1.

Figure 9. Simulation of the average oxidized DNA proportion dependence with cell density and initial $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ exogenous concentration. Inset shows the maximal oxidized DNA proportion dependence with cell density. The kinetic parameters used for the simulation are gathered in Table 1.

The major characteristics (intensity, width, position) of mode one killing is strongly dependent on cell density. Whereas the mode one killing seems to be present under $3 \times 10^7$ cell/ml, it disappears over $10^8$ cell/ml. This phenomenon has been observed experimentally by our team (unpublished data). Indeed even at $10^8$ cell/ml the mode one killing may disappear because it may be combined with mode two killing which emerges after 10 mM. This phenomenon is particularly non-linear (see inset of Fig. 9) whereas external average $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ concentration follows a nearly linear evolution compared with initial $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ exogenous or compared with cell density.
4. Conclusions

We present here a simple model that allows the understanding of DNA oxidation dynamics within E. coli after H₂O₂ exposure. The objective of the model presented is to essentially describe in a dynamic way the nature of H₂O₂ toxicity to an organism, in this case E. coli. Even if this model could seem imperfect, we believe that the scientific community will be able to challenge and improve it. For instance, using this approach, we were able to demonstrate iron or cell density involvement in HO’ dynamic and by consequence in DNA oxidation within E. coli. Indeed, without taking into account the evolution of those two parameters, we were not able to reproduce mode one killing experimental results obtained in the literature. Moreover the first killing mode can only be explained with iron decrease and not with quenching reactions which are responsible for slowing down oxidation but not for the oxidation peak.

“Remember that all models are wrong; the practical question is how wrong do they have to be to not be useful.”

[— Box and Draper, Empirical Model-Building, p. 74.]
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