Mass and Density of Asteroid (16) Psyche

Lauri Siltala1 and Mikael Granvik1,2

1 Department of Physics, P.O. Box 64, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland; lauri.siltala@helsinki.fi
2 Asteroid Engineering Laboratory, Onboard Space Systems, Luleå University of Technology, Box 848, SE-98128 Kiruna, Sweden

Received 2020 October 1; revised 2021 February 23; accepted 2021 February 23; published 2021 March 9

Abstract

We apply our novel Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)–based algorithm for asteroid mass estimation to asteroid (16) Psyche, the target of NASA’s eponymous Psyche mission, based on close encounters with 10 different asteroids, and obtain a mass of $(1.117 \pm 0.039) \times 10^{-11} M_\odot$. We ensure that our method works as expected by applying it to asteroids (1) Ceres and (4) Vesta, and find that the results are in agreement with the very accurate mass estimates for these bodies obtained by the Dawn mission. We then combine our mass estimate for Psyche with the most recent volume estimate to compute the corresponding bulk density as $(3.88 \pm 0.25)$ g cm$^{-3}$. The estimated bulk density rules out the possibility of Psyche being an exposed, solid iron core of a protoplanet, but is fully consistent with the recent hypothesis that ferrovolcanism would have occurred on Psyche.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Celestial mechanics (211); Markov chain Monte Carlo (1889); Asteroids (72)

1. Introduction

Asteroid (16) Psyche is currently of great interest to the planetary science community as it is the target of NASA’s eponymous Psyche mission currently scheduled to be launched in 2022 (Elkins-Tanton et al. 2014). This interest stems from the fact that the asteroid is currently believed to be a metallic asteroid and potentially the exposed core of a protoplanet due to both its relatively high bulk density as well as surface properties based on spectroscopic and radar observations (Shepard et al. 2017). There have, however, been concerns that Psyche’s relatively high bulk density of approximately 4 g cm$^{-3}$ is still too low to be consistent with iron meteorites and that the asteroid may instead have a stony-iron composition and could thus be a parent body for mesosiderites (Viiikinkoski et al. 2018). Ferrovolcanic activity has recently been suggested as an alternative mechanism that would explain the observational data on Psyche (Johnson et al. 2020). Ferrovolcanism would cause Psyche’s surface to consist of a stony mantle surrounded by a metallic surface layer resulting from past eruptions of molten iron. This theory is consistent with both a relatively low bulk density and a metal-rich surface composition.

We have developed a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)–based algorithm for asteroid mass estimation based on asteroid–asteroid close encounters. In essence, the gravitational perturbations of a massive asteroid on the orbit of another asteroid with negligible mass (hereafter referred to as the test asteroid) are modeled by fitting orbits for both objects and the mass for the massive asteroid so that they accurately reproduce the typically extensive number of astrometric observations available. In Siltala & Granvik (2020), we applied our algorithm to Psyche (among several other asteroids) and obtained maximum-likelihood (ML) masses approximately half of the average literature value of $1.37 \times 10^{-11} M_\odot$ directly leading to a significantly lower bulk density for Psyche than previously reported (Carr 2012). However, the aforementioned average literature value remained within our 3σ limits and could thus not be entirely ruled out. We also predicted that accurate astrometry obtained during the summer of 2019 of one of the test asteroids, (151878) 2003 PZ4, could significantly reduce the uncertainty in our mass estimate for Psyche.

Here we use our MCMC method to reestimate the mass of (16) Psyche by simultaneously including 10 test asteroids as opposed to our previous work where we performed two separate runs where each run simultaneously modeled two separate test asteroids. The use of 10 test asteroids dramatically increases the amount of observational data included and is expected to accordingly lead to a reduced uncertainty for the mass estimate. To test the aforementioned prediction, we have also obtained astrometry of (151878) 2003 PZ4 in 2019 July and August with the 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope and include this new data in the analysis.

2. Methods

We will describe our algorithm only briefly, as it was already documented in greater detail in our previous work (Siltala & Granvik 2020). We estimate an asteroid’s (hereafter called the perturber) mass by modeling its gravitational perturbation on another asteroid (hereafter called test asteroid) during a close encounter between the two. The approach can be seen as an extension of the orbit determination problem where we simultaneously fit orbits for both the perturber and the test asteroids as well as the mass of the perturber requiring that the solution allow us to reproduce the astrometry available for each object. Our approach is based on the Robust Adaptive Metropolis algorithm (Vihola 2012), which can be seen as a Metropolis–Hastings MCMC algorithm with the addition that the proposal distribution is adapted after each proposal with the intent of optimizing the MCMC acceptance rate.

The only update to the algorithm presented in Siltala & Granvik (2020) is a slightly different acceptance criterion; where previously we computed the acceptance criterion with a single posterior probability density value based on the sum of the $\chi^2$ values for all targets, we now consider the $\chi^2$ values separately for each target and compute their product to obtain
the final acceptance probability:

\[
a_i = \prod_j \frac{p_j(P_i)}{p_j(P_j)} = \prod_j \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2} (\chi^2(P_j) - \chi^2(P_i)) \right)
\]

where \( j \) represents each individual asteroid considered. Such an approach ensures that accepted proposals must fit each individual asteroid well as opposed to the criterion used by Siltala & Granvik (2020), which only required that the overall fit be acceptable. In addition, this means that each asteroid has the same weight in the acceptance criterion while with our previous approach the total \( \chi^2 \) value and, by extension, acceptance criterion, is dominated by asteroids with more observations as such have a greater impact on the total value. We use a uniform prior of unity (see Siltala & Granvik 2020, and discussion therein).

3. Data

Our data treatment also follows the same approach as in our previous work; we use data obtained from the Minor Planet Center, correct for star catalog biases (Farnocchia et al. 2015), apply an observational error model to weight the data properly (Baer & Chesley 2017), and multiply the uncertainties for \( N \) same-night observations by a factor of \( \sqrt{N} \) such that an individual observation’s weight is \( 1/(N\sigma^2) \), where \( \sigma \) is the corresponding astrometric uncertainty based on the error model. In addition to Psyche itself we use the following model. In addition to Psyche itself we use the following model. In addition to Psyche itself we use the following model. In addition to Psyche itself we use the following model.

We use all available data, as its encounter with Psyche took place in 1974 (Galád & Gray 2002). Test asteroid (1054) is particularly noteworthy as it has six close encounters with Psyche during the observational timespan (Fienga et al. 2003). We use all of the astrometry available through the MPC taken between the start of 1980 and 2020 October for our objects for which the star catalog de-biasing can be applied (i.e., the observations that include information on the star catalog in use). We make an exception for the asteroid (13206), for which we use all available data, as its encounter with Psyche took place in 1974 (Galád & Gray 2002). Rejecting astrometry that cannot be debiased is a conscious decision on our part with the intent of avoiding potential issues rising from combining debiased and biased observations. Correspondingly, we have taken care to only select test asteroids for which there exist enough debiased data both before and after the asteroid’s close encounter with Psyche.

Siltala & Granvik (2020) computed future ephemerides for each accepted proposal in an MCMC chain for Psyche with the test asteroids (91495) and (151878) and used these to show that the mass of Psyche would have a particularly large impact on the sky coordinates of the asteroid (151878) during the summer of 2019. In order to test the prediction, we also obtained astrometry for this asteroid with the Nordic Optical Telescope on La Palma to be used in the mass estimation for Psyche. Five images with an exposure time of 60 s each were taken on July 24 and 29 for a total of 10 images, 9 of which were taken with the R Bessel filter whereas the first image was taken with the V filter due to observer error but was nevertheless useful for astrometry. Both nights had a single observation each where the target overlapped with a background star, rendering the observation useless. Thus a total of eight of these observations were used. The data was reduced using IRAF for bias and flat-field corrections while astrometric processing was done using the Astrometry.net software (Lang et al. 2010).

Figure 1 shows these observations and their astrometric uncertainties (computed based the uncertainty of the plate solutions combined with the PSF of the object) overlaid on the prediction by Siltala & Granvik (2020) in terms of R.A. It is clear that each observation has a smaller R.A. than the one corresponding to the ML value, which was predicted to translate to a lower-than nominal mass for Psyche.

To test our mass-estimation method we compute mass estimates for (1) Ceres with the test asteroids (5303) and (46938) and (4) Vesta with the test asteroids (8331) and (125665). Each of these encounters has been previously studied by, e.g., Baer & Chesley (2017). Both Ceres and Vesta have very accurate mass estimates from the Dawn mission (Russell et al. 2012, 2016) and the remaining uncertainties can be considered negligible for the purposes of testing our method.

4. Results and Discussion

Let us first test our method by applying it to two asteroids with very accurately known masses. The Dawn estimates for the masses of Ceres and Vesta are \( (4.7192 \pm 0.0005) \times 10^{-10} M_\odot \) and \( (1.302891 \pm 0.000005) \times 10^{-10} M_\odot \), respectively (Russell et al. 2016, 2012). With two test asteroids in each case, we obtain a mass of \( (4.73 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-10} M_\odot \) for Ceres and \( (1.27 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-10} M_\odot \) for Vesta (Figure 2). For Ceres the Dawn results are within our 1\( \sigma \) limits while for Vesta Dawn’s mass estimate falls within our 2\( \sigma \) limits. We get very close to the expected Dawn values in absolute terms and statistically the results are also expected, and thus the test results suggest that our method produces reliable results.

Now let us turn our attention to (16) Psyche. Figure 3 shows the probability distribution for the mass of Psyche. From visual inspection it is apparent that the distribution is quite symmetric.
The dashed vertical lines represent our 1σ limits whereas the black curves represent kernel-density estimates fitted to the normalized histograms. The solid black lines correspond to the mass from Dawn.

Figure 2. Probability distribution for the masses of (1) Ceres (left) and (4) Vesta (right). The upper x-axes show the mass relative to Dawn’s estimates of 4.719 × 10^{-11} M_{⊕}, (Russell et al. 2016) and 1.303 × 10^{-10} M_{⊕}, (Russell et al. 2012), respectively, which have low enough uncertainties that they can be ignored here. The dashed vertical lines represent our 1σ limits whereas the black curves represent kernel-density estimates fitted to the normalized histograms. The solid black lines correspond to the mass from Dawn.

Figure 3. Probability distribution for the mass of Psyche. The upper x-axis shows the bulk density that corresponds to the mass on the lower x-axis assuming a volume-equivalent diameter of 222 km. We note that the bulk density does not take the diameter’s uncertainty into account. The dashed vertical lines represent our 1σ limits whereas the black curve represents a kernel-density estimate fitted to the normalized histogram.

and Gaussian. We fitted a kernel-density estimate on the results, based on which we obtain a mass of (1.117 ± 0.039) × 10^{-11} M_{⊕} corresponding to a gravitational parameter (GM) of (1.482 ± 0.052) km^3 s^{-2}. In comparison, Baer & Chesley (2017) obtained a mass of (1.15 ± 0.035) × 10^{-11} M_{⊕} for (16) Psyche based on the test asteroids (13206), (211012), and (39054), all of which were also included in this work. Clearly, despite the significantly larger number of data used in this study, our uncertainties remain slightly wider than those of Baer & Chesley (2017). This can be explained by the √N factor used in our weighting of the data, which directly leads to wider uncertainties as previously demonstrated in Siltala & Granvik (2020).

To gauge the goodness of fit directly, Figure 4 includes the residuals of each asteroid corresponding to the ML solution in addition to the epochs of each test asteroid’s close encounter with Psyche, with the exception of (13206) due to the relevant encounter being far in the past. For that particular asteroid, residuals across the entire timespan are included separately in Figure 5. There are no clear systematic effects seen in the residuals. The prediction by Siltala & Granvik (2020) for the correlation between R.A. residuals for (151878) and Psyche mass suggest that, based on the NOT astrometry, the mass of Psyche should range from zero to 10^{-11} M_{⊕}, which is in agreement with the above value.

Recently, Ferrais et al. (2020) reported a volume-equivalent diameter of (222 ± 4) km for Psyche. Based on this diameter, our mass estimate corresponds to a bulk density of (3.88 ± 0.25) g cm^{-3}, taking into account both the uncertainties of the mass and the volume-equivalent diameter. In comparison, Viikinkoski et al. (2018) recently reported a bulk density of (3.99 ± 0.26) g cm^{-3} for this object whereas Siltala & Granvik (2020) recently obtained bulk densities of (2.68 ± 1.21) g cm^{-3} and (2.54 ± 0.98) g cm^{-3} based on two independent mass estimates. Hence, our new results are within 2σ of the Siltala & Granvik (2020) values while also agreeing with the Viikinkoski et al. (2018) value within 1σ. It is clear that the inclusion of additional test asteroids and, by extension, additional observational data, has significantly reduced the uncertainties, which was expected. Overall, it appears that Psyche’s bulk density may indeed be slightly lower than previously believed but not quite as low as the results by Siltala & Granvik (2020) suggested.

According to Viikinkoski et al. (2018), iron meteorites have a bulk density of about 7.8 g cm^{-3} which our bulk density estimate (and also previous estimates) strongly disagrees with. It thus appears difficult for Psyche’s composition to match such meteorites unless it is highly porous. The same authors reported a bulk density of about 4.25 g cm^{-3} for the stony-iron mesosiderites and noted that Psyche has a similar bulk density. Based on our results that, too, appears statistically unlikely yet cannot be ruled out as such a density remains within our 3σ limits as seen in Figure 3. On the other hand, our results are fully consistent with the ferrovolcanism model proposed by
Figure 4. Residuals for (16) Psyche and each test asteroid corresponding to the ML solution. The gray error bars represent the noise assumption for each observation whereas the black error bars represent the 1σ scatter of the residuals for all accepted proposals. The solid vertical red lines represent the epochs of the close encounters each individual test asteroid had with Psyche. In calendar dates the timespan ranges from 1980 January 1 to 2021 October 13.
Johnson et al. (2020) which, depending on Psyche’s exact composition and interior structure, permits densities even below 3 g cm$^{-3}$.

5. Conclusions

We compute a mass estimate of \(1.117 \pm 0.039\) \(\times 10^{-11}\) $M_\odot$ for asteroid (16) Psyche that corresponds to a bulk density of \(3.88 \pm 0.25\) g cm$^{-3}$. This is lower than reported by most recent studies yet not quite as low as in Siltala & Granvik (2020). We find that the bulk density is in line with the recent ferrovolcanism hypothesis by Johnson et al. (2020). We expect that astrometry (from, e.g., the Gaia mission) will provide further constraints on the mass and bulk density in the future. In addition, we have successfully tested our algorithm by obtaining masses of \((4.73 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-10} M_\odot\) and \((1.27 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-10} M_\odot\) for Ceres and Vesta, respectively, that are in agreement with the accurate estimates produced by the Dawn mission.
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Figure 5. Residuals for (13206) Baer corresponding to the ML solution. The gray error bars represent the noise assumption for each observation whereas the black error bars represent the 1$\sigma$ scatter of the residuals for all accepted proposals. The solid vertical red lines represent the epoch of the asteroid’s close encounter with Psyche. In calendar dates the timespan ranges from 1957 October 25 to 2023 April 29.