The tie that binds: temporal coding and adaptive emotion
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Emotions are temporally dynamic, but the persistence of emotions outside of their appropriate temporal context is detrimental to health and well-being. Yet, precisely how temporal coding and emotional processing interact remains unclear. Recent research provides key insights into temporal-coding mechanisms in the hippocampus, entorhinal cortex (EC), and prefrontal cortex (PFC) that underlie temporal memory formation – including time cells, ramping cells, and gradually drifting patterns. Here, we propose that function of the hippocampal formation, EC, and PFC provides high-fidelity temporal stamps for dynamic emotional experiences, supporting contextualized emotional memory formation and expression, thereby reducing vulnerability to psychopathology.

A neuroanatomically grounded framework for understanding emotion–temporal interactions

When you are afraid, time stops. And it flies when you’re having fun. Time–emotion interactions are intuitively familiar, but they go beyond figures of speech – and we are only beginning to understand how. This perspective integrates recent findings from the historically separate disciplines of temporal memory and emotion, highlighting their mutual interplay to make predictions for neural mechanisms supporting adaptive functioning.

Here, we propose that intact function of the hippocampal–entorhinal region and interconnected PFC may provide high-fidelity temporal stamps for dynamic emotional experiences, supporting flexible emotional learning and memory formation, thereby reducing vulnerability to psychopathology. Precise time-coding mechanisms, including time cells, ramping cells, and gradually drifting ensembles, have recently been identified in human and non-human primates, predicting temporal memory organization [1–7] and adding to a rich literature unveiling associations between the similarity of BOLD fMRI neural activity patterns and temporal memory [5,8–18]. Moreover, new anatomical evidence [19,20] suggests that negative emotional events could be prone to distorting time-coding mechanisms via powerful amygdalar–hippocampal projections that can directly compete with temporal context information arriving from the EC. Relatedly, the neural substrates underling the persistence of emotional responses that influences processing of unrelated stimuli (‘affective spillover’), impacting emotional memory formation, include amygdala–hippocampal interactions and dorsolateral PFC (DLPFC) circuitry [21–26]. Affective states may ‘spillover’ via persistent amygdala-evoked changes in neural activity [22,26], including hippocampal neural activity patterns that signal a shared temporal context [22,23], while DLPFC function may constrain affective spillover [21,25]. Together, these advances support a neurobiological model to understand emotion–temporal interactions, as detailed next.

Highlights

- Emotion dysregulation in mood and anxiety disorders is often associated with the persistence of emotional states outside of their appropriate temporal context.
- Recent research provides key insights into temporal-coding mechanisms in the hippocampus, entorhinal cortex (EC), and prefrontal cortex (PFC) that underlie temporal memory formation – including time cells, ramping cells, and gradually drifting patterns.
- We propose that function of the hippocampal formation, EC, and PFC provides high-fidelity temporal stamps for dynamic emotional experiences, supporting contextualized emotional memory formation and expression, thereby reducing vulnerability to psychopathology.
- Heightened emotional reactivity may be prone to distorting temporal-coding mechanisms via powerful amygdalar–hippocampal projections that can compete with incoming temporal context information.
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Persistence of emotional responses in mood and anxiety disorders

A hallmark of emotion dysregulation in mood and anxiety disorders is not the mere expression of particular emotional states, but rather their persistence over time – outside of their appropriate temporal context [27,28]. For instance, the extreme fear response in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is likely adaptive in the face of life-threatening danger but maladaptive when it extends beyond it; likewise, the duration, rather than mere presence, of hopelessness and apathy symptoms is central to the diagnosis of depression [28]. Moreover, persistent negative affect in mood disorders has been proposed to bias memory toward negative experiences [29]. On a finer temporal scale, continuous measurements of emotional reactivity and recovery [e.g., using facial electromyography (EMG)] indicate that the recovery or persistence of emotional reactions (rather than initial reactivity) most strongly correlates with well-being [30,31] and best differentiates individuals diagnosed with depression from healthy controls [27,32,33]. Neurally, persistent amygdalar responding to negative events correlates with higher negative (and lower positive) affect in daily life and greater neuroticism, a risk factor for mood and anxiety disorders [26,34].

In summary, time is of the essence when characterizing deviations from normative or adaptive organization [35], recent work has explicitly incorporated the influence of emotion on modulating temporal context representations via enhancing item-context bindings [36] and by including emotional valence in contextual representations [29].

Yet, it is unknown whether the neural coding of temporal context is itself modulated during emotional processing, and whether this governs the fate of emotional responses – including their propensity to ‘spillover’ to bias future events, and the malleability of emotional memories. Temporally disorganized memories are a cardinal symptom of PTSD (reviewed in [37]); in depression, autobiographical memories often lack episodic details and feature temporal discontinuities [38,39]. Fine-grained temporal coding depends on function of the hippocampus [40], a region whose structural integrity is often compromised in (and confers prospective risk for) PTSD and depression [41–43]. Likewise, function of the DLPFC, where temporal context coding cells have been newly identified [4,6], determines prospective risk for mood disorders and regulates the temporally persistent influence of affect [21,25,32,44].

Next, we highlight new findings on the neural basis of temporal coding in hippocampal–EC and PFC circuitry [1–7,45–48] that inform novel predictions for why and how high-fidelity temporal stamps linked to emotional experiences may be beneficial for amygdala-dependent emotional learning and regulatory processes. Then, we describe recently identified features of amygdala–hippocampal circuitry [19,20,22,49] that suggest that amygdala-dependent responding to salient emotional events may distort temporal-coding mechanisms.

Development of temporal-coding mechanisms inform a neurobiological framework for emotion–temporal interactions

The past few years have witnessed an extraordinary surge of empirical work delineating core mechanisms underlying temporal context encoding and memory. First, time cells, ramping cells, and gradually drifting population ensembles, first identified in the hippocampus, EC, and PFC of rodents [46,50–52] and non-human primates [3,6,7,53], have now been found in humans [1,2,48], providing a putative neural signature for temporally organized memories in both structured, temporally predictable experiences (e.g., time cells) and in one-shot emotional episodes (e.g., ramping cells and gradually drifting ensembles) [54]. Underscoring their likely behavioral relevance, the reliability of time fields and ramping cell activity is associated with temporally organized memory in humans [1,45]. Nonetheless, even though the reliability of time cell firing
patterns may be meaningful during structured experiences, time fields and ramping cells are malleable, such that changes in the environment can alter their temporal-coding patterns – that is, provoking retiming [46,47,50], which may underlie the separation of experiences over time [40,54] (Figure 1A(1) and A(2)). Finally, conjunctive representations, in which events and their context are represented together – considered a building block of episodic memory – are evident in temporal codes [55]: conjunctive ‘what’ and ‘when’ codes have been found in time cells [6,51], ramping cells [3,4,46], and in multivariate neural activity patterns [9,12,17,48].

Figure 1. Temporal-coding mechanisms in hippocampal–EC–PFC circuitry and hypothesized impact of amygdala-engaging emotional events. (A) Time cells. The firing rate of each time cell increases at a specific epoch within a temporal interval. The sequential firing of a time-cell population (cells a+b+c in A(1)) represents the entire interval. A(2) shows retiming: when the temporal interval changes (e.g., increases), certain time fields remain stable (cell a), others change (cell c), and new cells are recruited to represent the interval (cell d). A(3) and A(4) illustrate the hypothesized impact of a negative emotional experience (poisoned fruit) on time cells, widening time fields (cells a and c) and suppressing time-cell firing (cells b and d). Black line: time field. (B) Ramping cell activity is shown for six hypothetical trials. In B(1), ramping cell (e) codes trial time, ramping cell (f) session time, and ramping cell (g) show conjunctive coding of trial time and condition. B(2) shows the hypothesized impact of negative events (poisoned fruits) on ramping cell time constants, producing faster plateau (cell e), suppression (cell f), and sustained firing (cell g). (C) Multivariate neural activity patterns are shown. In C(1), the similarity of multivariate neural activity patterns is higher when events are closer (apple vs. orange) versus farther apart in time (orange vs. pear). C(2) shows a negative event (poisoned orange) producing greater similarity of ensuing neural activity patterns, compared with a neutral condition (C(1) vs. C(2) orange–pear distance). A(1) and A(2), adapted from [40]; B(1) and B(2), adapted from [46]. Abbreviations: EC, entorhinal cortex; PFC, prefrontal cortex.
As discussed next, these and other developments inform a new neurobiological framework to understand emotion–temporal interactions.

Granular temporal stamps may benefit amygdala-dependent emotional learning and regulation

It is well established that the amygdala is required for orchestrating emotional responses to salient events [56], learning of emotional associations such as in fear conditioning [57], and that amygdala-driven modulation of arousal and amygdala–hippocampal interactions underlie the emotional modulation of episodic memory [58–60]. Prior work has characterized amygdala–hippocampal functional and anatomical interactions that support emotional influences on memory [60–62] and the role of contextual encoding in psychopathology [29,63] (Box 1). Here, we elaborate on recent neuroanatomical findings that point to putative mechanisms and functional consequences of how emotion and temporal coding properties may interact in the hippocampus and interconnected circuitry.

Although emotional learning and episodic memory formation often interact, they can also dissociate, such that amygdala-dependent learning and responding (including fear-conditioned responses) can occur independently or with varying levels of hippocampal participation [64,65]. Thus, the fidelity and strength of temporal context encoded in hippocampus and interconnected structures likely varies across individuals and emotional experiences. We argue that the properties of temporal context coding associated with an emotional event may determine the nature and flexibility of emotional memory formation.

Box 1. Beyond time: emotional memory, amygdala–hippocampal interactions and psychopathology

Memory for emotional events – particularly high-arousal, negative ones – is often enhanced and enriched by vivid details and subjective confidence, compared with neutral event memories [62,99,112]. Amygdalar strong reciprocal projections to perirhinal, hippocampal, and entorhinal cortices [113–115] (Box 3) underlie a plethora of these effects [57,59,60,62,116].

Specifically, memory accuracy for emotional items correlates with amygdalar and perirhinal engagement [96,117], amygdala–perirhinal interactions, and sensory (e.g., visual) modulation during encoding (reviewed in [53,112]). Of note, perirhinal activity has also been found to correlate with temporal memory judgments [11,118], putatively due to this region’s engagement relating to item memory strength and vividness, which could be used to infer event recency [119]. Amygdala–hippocampal connectivity typically increases during negative emotional processing and correlates with emotion-modulated item memory [65] (but see [62,63] for discussions on the causal role of hippocampal function for emotional memory).

In contrast to item memory, memory for contextual detail is not always prioritized by emotion and may be deprioritized [120] as indicated by experiments on source memory (contextual details accompanying an item [121]), which relies on hippocampal function [117,120]. Bisby and Burgess note that negative emotional processing often impairs relational binding and correlates with lower hippocampal engagement during emotional event retrieval [83]. Their framework concurs with ours and others [36] in postulating that amygdala–hippocampal competitive processes may shape the extent to which emotional memories are (de)contextualized, with potential relevance for our understanding of psychopathology [37,63].

Nonetheless, memory for contextual features intrinsic to emotional events and important for survival, such as a threatening item’s location, may be enhanced by emotion [99,122]. Precisely which contextual aspects and associations become prioritized or deprioritized via amygdala–hippocampal synergistic versus competitive interactions likely varies by one’s goals, contextual salience, and relatedness to emotional events (see [97,99,112,120] for in-depth discussions).

A recent computational model [20] inspired by newly discovered synaptic features of amygdala–hippocampal projections [19] indicates that amygdala–hippocampal interactions may cause fewer features of a remembered pattern to be available to CA1 for comparison with EC’s input, resulting in an impoverished context memory template, which agrees with other models [29,36]. Of note, this model is compatible with amygdalar competition with EC- and HPC-dependent contexts beyond time – such as, for instance, space. Indeed, place cells drift over time [67]. Understanding the fate of spatiotemporal context that evolves during emotional episodes, and the extent to which whether time and space fold together in drifting patterns, or dissociate, will be an important direction for future research.
Temporal context may shape emotional response associability and memory malleability.

Temporal coding cells and gradually changing population ensembles in the hippocampus-EC and PFC are thought to provide event memories with a temporal context tag—serving as the putative neural basis for temporal memory on timescales ranging from seconds to days [13,66,67]. The granularity and strength of temporal context encoding via this circuitry likely depends on the following properties: the overall magnitude of temporal context encoding, putatively reflecting the number of time cells and ramping cells that code for a particular epoch [5,66]; the width of time fields [4,6,68] (Figure 1A(1)); and the drift rate of ramping cells [3,46] (Figure 1B(1)), which together likely impact gradually changing representations at the ensemble level [69] and produce a temporal context signal that can be reliably detected at relatively coarser resolutions by examining the similarity of multivariate neural activity patterns over time (e.g., using fMRI) (Figure 1C(1)). In general, the greater the dissimilarity of population-level ensembles and multivariate BOLD activity patterns representing two temporally distinct events in hippocampus, EC, and PFC, the greater the temporal distance between them [5,8–13,15–17]. Growing evidence suggests that multivariate patterns in the human EC and hippocampus may code for temporal context at the level of fine temporal interval durations and veridical (in addition to perceived) temporal relation between events both at encoding and retrieval [12,13,15,17]. Major contextual shifts between events (perceived as event boundaries) can produce discontinuities in these signals and likely comprise an organizing principle for chunking experiences into discrete event memories (Box 2).

Of interest, the temporal resolution of recently identified ramping cells (Figure 1B(1); on the order of seconds to minutes) is well aligned with the time course of many complex emotional experiences; moreover, because ramping cell function (unlike phasic time cell firing) does not appear to depend

---

**Box 2. Contextual shifts in hippocampal-EC representations: potential interactions with amygdala-dependent emotional responses**

The neural representation of time is not a faithful metric clock but is instead modulated by changes in the environment. For instance, when the delay between a stimulus and subsequent response interval changes, time fields representing the delay change accordingly [47,50]—they ‘retire’ (see Figure 1A(2) in main text). Likewise, ramping cells are reset by landmark events and are sensitive to the temporal structure of experiences [46] (see Figure 1B(1) in main text). Abrupt changes in context, termed ‘event boundaries’, typically reduce the similarity of hippocampal multivariate neural activity patterns for items spanning the boundary, incurring a performance cost in temporal memory judgments [5,6,16,107,123].

However, these contextual shifts have by and large only been studied in neutral contexts (for an exception, see [86]). What happens when contextual shifts are accompanied by emotional changes, such as when going from an aversive (traffic disagreement) to a neutral event (water cooler conversation with a coworker)? While this question has begun to be addressed in computational models of temporal context and its influence on memory organization [29,36,97], less empirical work has considered the neural mechanisms associated with the potential influence of emotion and amygdala signaling on context shifts.

On one hand, emotional state changes, such as from aversive to neutral, may themselves function as event boundaries, reaching the basolateral nucleus of the amygdala (BLA) directly from hippocampus to help constrain temporally persistent emotional responses. Anterior hippocampal regions CA1, prosubiculum, and subiculum project directly to the BLA [113,114] (Box 3 and see Figure 1 in Box 3), synapsing on inhibitory neurons, which can therefore inhibit amygdala responses [124]. Thus, event boundary-related signals reaching the BLA may help limit emotional responses to their original episode and temporal context via a direct hippocampal–amygdala pathway, thereby promoting contextually appropriate behavioral repertoires, rather than reverberations of past emotions.

Conversely, amygdala signals following strong emotional events may compete locally in hippocampus with temporal context information emanating from EC [91,125]. It is thus possible that amygdala signaling disrupts hippocampal–EC representations associated with contextual shifts: for instance, retiming in EC might fail to update hippocampal representations due to local amygdala competition, blurring ‘event boundaries’ as represented by this circuitry. Since early time points following events are typically encoded with greater temporal precision [2,4,6,52,69], amygdala–EC competition could result in coarser temporal coding of otherwise neutral events that follow high-intensity, emotional ones, causing the previous temporal context to perpetuate even as new neutral events unfold, potentially yielding ‘spillover’ of affect or emotional biasing (see Figure 2A in the main text).
on previously learned, structured experiences, they are well suited to support the formation of one-shot episodic memories [54]. Thus, ramping cells, prominent in the EC and hippocampus [1,3,46,53] and recently identified in the non-human primate DLPFC [4,6,7], may comprise a critical temporal context signal that accompanies (and possibly determines) incidental affective spillover and emotional memory formation. In summary, intra- and interindividual variation in the previously reviewed temporal-coding mechanisms likely determines the granularity and strength of temporal stamps linked to event memories.

Relatively coarse (as opposed to fine-grained) temporal coding in this circuitry should intrinsically produce greater representational similarity between events that occurred at different times; at the extreme, they could be irrevocably linked and provoke the same response repertoire (indeed, fear learning spreads via a shared temporal context in hippocampus (CA1) [23]). Conversely, fine-grained temporal tagging should effectively separate incoming information in memory, potentially reducing the associability of emotional states over time (Figure 2A, Key figure). We propose that emotional events (and ensuing responses) accompanied by high-fidelity temporal stamps should be less prone to ‘spilling over’ (Prediction 1) and more malleable to future updating in dynamic environments (Prediction 2).

Prediction 1: fine-grained temporal tagging reduces affective spillover
An emotional response that lingers over time can bias behavioral and neural processing and appraisals of unrelated stimuli in the environment, a phenomenon we call ‘affective spillover’ [21,24,25]. While this mechanism may underlie rapid emotional learning [70] and be advantageous under certain circumstances, it can be maladaptive when automatic associations between emotional responses and subsequent events are too liberally formed, in the absence of a causal or predictive relationship between affective and surrounding stimuli. We postulate that stronger and fine-grained temporal context codes, bound to the original emotional provocation and response, may limit the temporal associability of emotional experiences by decreasing their similarity and contiguity with later-processed, unrelated events, thereby limiting affective spillover. In the laboratory, we typically assay affective spillover by examining the extent to which emotional processing influences evaluations of unrelated, neutral stimuli presented several seconds or minutes later [21,22,24,25]. Critically, affective spillover, which may depend in part on amygdala function [24–26,34], has lasting consequences for how novel stimuli are remembered, underscoring the pervasiveness of emotional processing [21,22,24].

Consistent with the idea that temporal coding in hippocampal–PFC circuitry helps constrain emotional reactions to their appropriate temporal context, hippocampal lesions prolong negative emotional responding (i.e., impair recovery) after a sadness induction procedure, even after memory for the sadness-inducing event has faded [71]. Relatedly, greater emotion regulatory difficulties have been reported following hippocampal lesions [72]. Function of the DLPFC, where temporal context coding cells have been identified [4,6], plays a causal role in preventing the unwarranted spillover of affect. DLPFC inhibition via brain stimulation (transcranial magnetic stimulation; TMS) produces affective spillover in the laboratory, resulting in emotionally biased first impressions of novel neutral faces [21]. Moreover, these emotionally biased associations are long lasting and measurable outside the laboratory several days later, suggesting one-trial emotional memory formation [21]. Whether this is due to DLPFC function maintaining relevant temporal contexts per se and/or instantiating temporally sensitive, emotion regulatory goals is unknown. The representation of temporally sensitive goals in DLPFC may rely on recently identified ramping cell activity [4,7], interactions with hippocampal–EC circuitry [73], or projections from the frontal pole, a highly integrative region involved in sequence monitoring [74,75].
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The proposed neural architecture underlying emotion–temporal interactions in amygdala and hippocampal–EC–PFC circuitry
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Prediction 2: fine-grained temporal tagging increases emotional memory malleability in dynamic environments

The neural signatures of a gradually drifting temporal context are recovered during successful episodic memory recall [5], suggesting event memory stamped by ‘temporal tags’ (see also [76]). During retrieval of real-life memories, anterior hippocampal multivariate patterns revealed temporal context information with durations spanning up to 1 month [13]. These findings suggest that temporal context ‘tags’ from previously acquired emotional associations may be automatically retrieved and compared with ongoing experiences.

Temporal information retrieved in conjunctive representations of previously acquired emotional associations might have implications for learning updating in dynamic environments. When hippocampal–PFC function is impaired, emotional associations (such as fear-conditioned responses) are preserved and still supported by amygdala circuitry [64]. However, if reinforcement contingencies change, emotional learning is likely to be less flexible if devoid of its temporal context cues. In other words, in the absence of fine-grained temporal context retrieval, the organism can still rely on previously acquired emotional associations to respond, but overriding prior learning would become challenging, as temporal context itself differentiates current stimulus–outcome contingencies from prior (outdated) ones. Put differently, granular temporal stamping of previously learned information, supported by hippocampal–EC–PFC circuitry, should provide a strong contextual signal that promotes learning updating if contingencies change (Figure 2A), supporting adaptive learning and flexibility if temporal context serves as a marker of relevant (vs. obsolete) contingencies, such as in reversal learning.

In agreement with a well-known hippocampal involvement in bridging temporal discontinuities during learning [77], CA1 neural ensembles represent contingencies with other time-varying information (gradiant shifts) during reversal learning [78]. At the macroscale, gray matter (GM) density in the hippocampus and frontal pole (involved in temporal and sequential representation in humans [10,11,74,75]) have been implicated in performance in probabilistic reversal learning [79,80]. Frontal pole GM density was associated with whether participants incorporate temporal structure to update beliefs about the past, whereas hippocampal and frontal pole GM density correlated with the timescale over which information was inferred [79]. Relatedly, the extent to which humans are influenced by temporal context to anticipate contingency reversals was associated with GM volume in hippocampus [80]. Collectively, these data are consistent with the idea that function in hippocampal–PFC circuitry may promote flexible behavior in dynamic environments.
Conversely, following hippocampal damage, associations that resist updating have been noted, yielding reversal learning deficits. In eyelink conditioning, hippocampectomized rabbits required more trials to acquire reversal learning, even though they were intact during initial learning [81,82]. These animals showed a response perseveration to CS− (previously CS+). Similar data have been reported in humans; amnesic subjects make more perseverative errors after reversals [83–85], and hippocampal sclerosis patients show difficulty learning the temporal aspect of a reversal learning task to make anticipatory reversals [80]. In summary, the use of temporally organized sequence information may promote flexible updating because temporal context helps disambiguate relevant reinforcement contingencies.

Moving forward, experiments aimed at manipulating the granularity of temporal coding using behavioral paradigms (e.g., event boundary tasks [86,87]) or neural interventions (e.g., TMS) will be crucial to establish the causal role of fine-grained temporal coding in governing adaptive learning and the flexibility of emotional memories.

Next, we examine the flipside of this circuitry: how may emotional events alter temporal-coding mechanisms?

**Amygdala–hippocampal projections suggest emotion influences temporal coding**

Recent anatomical, molecular, and functional data suggest that amygdala–hippocampal projections could be prone to distorting temporal-coding mechanisms following intense emotional experiences, as detailed next.

Powerful amygdala–hippocampal projections suggest temporally persistent amygdala influence on hippocampal processes

Recent work clarifies how intense, amygdala-engaging episodes may distort temporal-coding mechanisms. In the non-human primate, prior work shows a direct pathway from the amygdala basolateral nucleus to hippocampal subfields CA1 and CA3, which is significantly larger than surrounding boutons, suggesting its prominent influence [19]. Further, multiple amygdalar terminations from the same axon synapse on a common dendritic segment in CA3 [19]. This rare phenomenon is called a ‘dual synaptic system’ (Figure 2B), which allows the amygdala to cast a powerful influence on hippocampal neurons.

Optogenetic amygdala manipulations increase cyclic AMP-responsive element-binding protein (CREB) levels in CA1 and CA3 neurons, which yield sustained activation of those neurons over time [88–90]. If amygdalar neurons synapse onto CA1 and CA3 time cells that also receive EC inputs, this could result in sustained time cell (Figure 1A(3), A(4), cell c) and ramping cell activation over time (Figure 1B(2), cells e and g), leading to widened time fields and distortion of ramping cell activities. Consequently, the temporal resolution of hippocampal time cells and ramping cells could become broader and/or noisier [4,46]. As discriminable neural patterns in the hippocampal–EC circuitry typically code for distinct temporal contexts, amygdala-driven excitation of hippocampal activity for extended periods would be detrimental to an informative, gradually drifting temporal code (Figure 1C(2)).

Amygdala targets hippocampal neurons that can inhibit temporal context input from EC

Recently discovered anatomical features of the amygdala–hippocampal pathway also suggest that emotionally evocative information can suppress inputs from surrounding brain regions [19], including temporal information emanating from EC [46,91]. Amygdala and EC inputs into the hippocampus can compete and interact locally, as they co-terminate in the same layer [19,92]. This configuration raises the possibility that local competition determines whether it is the
amygdala or EC that drives hippocampal temporal representations. In addition, amygdalar afferents are large and synapse on powerful parvalbumin (PV) inhibitory neurons in CA3 [19]. These PV neurons can strongly inhibit their postsynaptic targets (e.g., Figure 1A(3) A(4), cells b and d; Figure 2B; reviewed in [93]). Therefore, powerful amygdala–hippocampal afferents may alter hippocampal function by suppressing other, nonemotional (e.g., temporal or contextual) surrounding information – for instance, from EC (as suggested by a recent computational model [20]). Current theoretical models posit that entorhinal inputs underlie hippocampal time-cell activity [91,94,95]. EC inputs and associated hippocampal time cell functional integrity are therefore susceptible to disruption via amygdala-provoked PV inhibition; consistently, EC–hippocampal connectivity has been found to be greater during encoding of neutral versus negative items [96]. Thus, competing inputs from amygdala and EC into CA3 suggest distinct and opposing influence of emotion and time coding in the hippocampus – a competition that is governed in part by the strength of amygdala inputs and perceived significance of emotional events (including salience, valence, and arousal coding), and in part by the strength of incoming temporal context encoding from EC. We propose that this is a key mechanism that determines the nature and magnitude of emotion and temporal-context coding interactions. Following amygdala-engaging emotional provocations, if amygdala–hippocampal input predominates over EC–hippocampal input, widened time cells, and slower drifting neural activity patterns (due to less incoming information from EC ramping cells) would produce coarser temporal context coding, perhaps blurring event boundaries, and resulting in stronger incidental emotional learning (including ‘affective spillover’; Box 2) but poorer emotional memory updating (Figure 2A). These effects may occur via a direct amygdala–hippocampal PV neuron projection, as described previously, or via an indirect amygdala–EC–hippocampal pathway (Box 3 and Figure 2B). In conclusion, newly discovered synaptic and molecular properties of amygdala– hippocampal projections shed light onto how emotional events can distort temporal coding in the hippocampal–EC region.

**Prolonged amygdala–hippocampal interactions after emotional processing**

Novel functional evidence underscores that amygdala engagement by emotional stimuli can have a sustained impact on hippocampal function, with consequences for the similarity of neural activity patterns in temporal epochs surrounding emotional events.

For instance, recent research examined whether temporal context links disparate memories [23]. Greater overlap of neural representations of emotional and neutral memories acquired closer in time in the hippocampus (CA1) was postulated to result in greater similarity of acquired behavioral response (compared with memories acquired further apart in time). This work indicated that conditioned fear (freezing) ‘spills over’ to a new context encountered within 5 hours (but not a week) from the fear conditioning session – suggesting that temporal contexts represented by overlapping neural ensembles can be tagged by emotion [23]. Accordingly, a recent study showed that intense negative events can provoke sustained amygdala–hippocampal interactions that persist for tens of minutes and bias later neutral event memory [22]. Moreover, multiple measures of emotion-related brain activity, including amygdala–whole-brain connectivity patterns and hippocampal patterns, persisted from emotional events into subsequent neutral events, providing evidence for ‘affective spillover’ at the neural level [22].

Precisely how these amygdala-engaging negative emotional events impact temporal memory is a burgeoning area of research [29,36,86,97,98]. In broad agreement with our proposal, an intracranial study found that the unidirectional influence from amygdala to hippocampus during emotional events (mediated via neural oscillations in the alpha band) was associated with emotional memory errors consistent with amygdala-driven loss of specific event details [49]. Whether those regionally specific neural oscillatory signatures underlie amygdala–hippocampal interactions with
consequences for temporal coding is an interesting question for future research. Of note, as intense emotional events often produce amygdala-dependent neuromodulation of arousal and sympathetic nervous systems, yielding relatively slow physiological responses lasting far
beyond the duration of the original emotion-eliciting event, their persistence may itself continue to reinforce (and perpetuate) temporal context signals associated with the original emotional experience.

Further, the magnitude of emotion-linked changes in arousal could determine whether emotional events may also enhance temporal memory [88] and distort it primarily following highly arousing, personally relevant aversive experiences [20,99,100] (although see [101]). In agreement with our framework, a recent study found that exposure to a threatening naturalistic environment – a haunted house paradigm – incurred losses in spontaneously recalled temporal order and duration information in an autobiographical recall task (compared with a neutral environment) [102]. By contrast, in more controlled laboratory studies (i.e., picture-based), arousal has also been found to increase rather than decrease temporal order memory [101] (for a review, see [103]). Extant work has often focused on examining the consequences of negative emotional processing – therefore, it remains to be elucidated whether positive versus negative emotional events exert similar or distinct impacts on the neural mechanisms of temporal context encoding and memory. Moving forward, a mixture of naturalistic, self-relevant (‘in the field’) and laboratory studies that use positive and negative stimuli will be necessary to fully unveil the impact of emotional valence and arousal on temporal context encoding and memory.

Concluding remarks
Emotions are temporally dynamic, but how temporal coding shapes the time course of emotion and emotional memories is not understood. Recent findings on the neural architecture of temporal coding and temporal memory in rodents, humans, and non-human primates render this question ripe for new investigation. Here, we reviewed recent advances and situated them in relation to amygdala-dependent emotional processing and regulation. We proposed that emotion and temporal coding mutually influence one another: high-fidelity temporal tagging of emotional experiences is posited to limit incidental emotional learning and emotion-driven biases in appraisals and result in flexible emotional memories that adaptively inform future behavior. Conversely, we argued that the topology of amygdala–hippocampal projections suggests that amygdala-engaging emotional events could distort temporal coding by engendering prolonged hippocampal excitation and suppressing hippocampal afferents carrying temporal context information. Insights gleaned from functional neuroimaging and intracranial studies offer initial support for this proposal by revealing prolonged emotion-driven functional coupling between amygdala and hippocampus with consequences for memory formation [22] and opposing impacts of amygdala–hippocampal influence on memory quality and overgeneralization [49].

It is important to note that the precise and specific contributions of temporal coding to the previously reviewed phenomena remain to be specified, and we hope our conceptual framework inspires cross-species research aimed at that goal (see Outstanding questions). We make testable predictions about how high-fidelity temporal coding and tagging of emotional experiences may impact learning and memory flexibility (Figure 2A). Paradigms experimentally targeting the fidelity of temporal coding are particularly fruitful avenues for future work.

For instance, both excitatory and inhibitory theta-burst TMS protocols can alter PFC function [104] and, indirectly, hippocampal circuitry [105,106]; behaviorally, event boundary tasks produce shifts in temporal order and distance judgments [8,107]. These behavioral and brain stimulation interventions can be combined with fMRI to assess their impact on temporal coding: as multivariate patterns in hippocampal–EC–PFC circuitry carry fine, conjunctive stimulus-temporal representations, these multimodal causal approaches are well suited to unveil whether the granularity of temporal coding determines emotional associations and their malleability, as hypothesized.

Outstanding questions

Does the impact of emotion on neural mechanisms of temporal coding and memory vary by valence (positive vs. negative) or arousal?

Do increases in amygdala activity following emotionally salient events distort temporal coding in hippocampal–EC circuitry— for instance, blurring temporal context representations, as reflected by increased multivariate pattern similarity metrics? Do they distort ramping and time-cell physiology?

How do amygdala-dependent responses to emotional events impact function of PFC regions shown to track temporal context (e.g., DL-PFC and rostrolateral PFC)? Are the primary relevant projections inhibitory or excitatory in nature, and what are their net functional effects?

How do ramping cells versus hippocampal (including time-cell) drifts contribute to temporal memory for emotional episodes? Does the novelty or predictability of emotional experiences determine the primary temporal-coding mechanism involved?

Do shared neural representations of temporal context between emotional and subsequent events influence the perceived temporal contiguity between them, an important factor underlying one-trial learning?

Can the strength and/or granularity of temporal coding be altered using brain stimulation targeting hippocampus-EC or DL-PFC? Do such perturbations alter incidental emotional learning and/or the flexibility of emotional memories, as hypothesized?

Are neural mechanisms underlying temporal context coding altered in individuals with PTSD and depression? What about the fidelity of temporal context bound to retrieved emotional memories?

Is DL-PFC-dependent emotion regulation related to the maintenance of temporal context during emotional episodes and/or conjunctive temporal goal representations?

Does the perceived goal or survival relevance of temporal information during
Trends in Cognitive Sciences

Here. Conversely, amygdala-engaging emotional provocations should reduce the granularity of hippocampal-EC mediated temporal coding via direct or indirect pathways (Box 3). Intracranial methods can shed important light onto cell-type specificity in the proposed framework and clarify whether ramping cells or slow-drifting neural ensembles underlie rapid episodic memory formation during novel emotional experiences [54,108].

Finally, amygdala-dependent emotional responses likely modulate function of prefrontal regions involved in temporal context encoding – such as DLPFC and rostrolateral PFC [4,6,10,7,75]. However, given the polysynaptic nature of amygdala projections to those regions, their net excitatory and inhibitory effects remain unclear. For instance, amygdala inputs to lateral BA10 likely synapse in BA32 or BA25 and may produce excitation or inhibition due to those intermediary excitatory and inhibitory effects remain unclear. For instance, amygdala inputs to lateral BA10 likely synapse in BA32 or BA25 and may produce excitation or inhibition due to those intermediary projections [109–111]. Therefore, future anatomical, molecular, and electrophysiological work is required to unveil whether and how amygdala alters temporal context encoding in PFC (see Outstanding questions).

In closing, we believe that a deeper understanding of emotion–temporal interactions promises to not only shed light on the malleability of temporal coding in biologically significant situations but also reveal whether temporal tags associated with dynamic emotional experiences render them functionally different. We hope that our framework will serve as a springboard for new inquiry to answer the fundamental question of how emotional experiences are structured over time to promote adaptive behavior and well-being.
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