Peptide Antiviral Strategies as an Alternative to Treat Lower Respiratory Viral Infections
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Lower respiratory infection caused by human pathogens such as influenza and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is a significant healthcare burden that must be addressed. The preferred options to achieve this goal are usually to develop vaccines for prophylaxis and to develop antiviral small molecules to treat infected patients with convenient, orally administrable drugs. However, developing a vaccine against RSV poses special challenges with the diminished immune system of infants and the elderly, and finding a universal flu vaccine is difficult because the product must target a large array of viral strains. On the other hand, the use of small-molecule antivirals can result in the emergence of resistant viruses as it has well been reported for HIV, influenza, and hepatitis C virus (HCV). This paper reviews peptide antiviral strategies as an alternative to address these challenges. The discovery of influenza and RSV peptidic fusion inhibitors will be discussed and compared to small molecules in view of escape mutations. The importance of constraining peptides into macrocycles to improve both their inhibitory activity and pharmacological properties will be highlighted.
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Lower respiratory infection is one of top four causes of human death worldwide (1), causing 3 million deaths in 2016. Among the pathogens responsible for these infections, influenza virus, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), and pneumococcus are the most important causes. The year 2018 marks the 100th anniversary of one of the largest public health crises in modern history, the 1918 influenza pandemic known colloquially as “Spanish flu,” which at the time killed at least 2% of the Earth’s population. Currently, influenza continues to represent a global threat because of the constant evolution of the virus into various strains and the increase of urbanization, mass migration, and global transports. The World Health Organization estimates that seasonal influenza is responsible for the death of about 500,000 persons every year (2); in contrast to the 1918 epidemic, which affected mainly the 20- to 40-year age group, influenza-associated hospitalizations are now the highest in children younger than 5 years and in the elderly (3). A meta-analysis estimated that, in 2008, there were 90 million new cases of influenza in children <5 years, of which 20 million developed acute lower respiratory infections (ALRIs) and 1 million developed severe ALRI (4). In 2010, there were 11.9 million hospital admissions due to severe ALRI and 3 million due to very severe ALRI (5). Currently, the mortality associated with influenza is higher in the elderly. A study covering the period from 1972 to 2003 in the United States estimates a yearly average of influenza-associated deaths of 2,680 individuals for those aged <65 years and 22,790 for those aged >65 years (6).
RSV caused almost 34 million cases of lower respiratory infections in children under 5 years of age in 2005 worldwide, resulting in approximately 100,000 deaths (7). Although RSV is not a large cause of mortality in developed countries, the virus creates a significant burden on the healthcare system, because of the high number of children under 5 years of age that must be hospitalized, accounting for 45% of the total children admissions. In the United States, it has been estimated that RSV is responsible for 86,000 children hospitalizations per year with an estimated cost of US$394 million (8). The virus is also an important source of infection in the elderly population and in bone marrow transplant recipients (9). It is estimated that RSV causes, on average, 17,358 deaths annually in the United States, 78% of which occur in adults aged 65 or older, resulting in 5% of the total elderly hospital admissions (10). However, contrary to influenza, RSV kills more in the pediatric population; RSV accounts for 6.7% of all deaths in infants aged 28–364 days (1).

To prevent another important influenza pandemic, an efficient vaccine is warranted. The current flu vaccines contain representative hemagglutinins (HAs) of H3N2, H1N1, and type B viruses. The vaccines are effective but the immunity takes time to develop; thus, they are of no use for the infected patient. Additionally, the vaccines must be reformulated each year because of the antigenic drift, and they are not effective when the formulation does not match the epidemic virus. For these reasons, a universal vaccine is sought to replace the seasonal vaccine by providing long-lasting protection against both seasonal and pandemic strains, thanks to the discovery of human broadly neutralizing antibodies (11, 12). In the case of RSV, despite decades of research, there are currently no licensed vaccines available. Current efforts are driven (i) toward immunizing pregnant women with a vaccine targeting the fusion F viral protein to protect neonates and young infants through trans-placental antibody transfer, and (ii) toward immunizing infants and young children with live attenuated vaccines (13). The discovery of the means to stabilize the metastable prefusion form of F (prefF) (14) has been a major breakthrough in the field due to the following reasons. Firstly, prefF neutralizing antibodies are more potent than postF neutralizing antibodies; secondly, prefF contains six antigenic binding sites that can be used to generate antibodies (15), and lastly, the x-ray coordinates of prefF can be utilized to perform an in silico study to engineer and screen for the best prefF antigens in animals, prior to their application to human (14). Currently, 18 RSV vaccine trials and 21 preclinical development programs are under development (16). The most promising candidate is an RSV F nanoparticle-based vaccine of Novavax. This vaccine is under development against young infants, pregnant women, and the elderly. The maternal immunization phase 3 clinical trial is the most advanced (17, 18). The vaccine is a prefusogenic F protein encapsidated into a nanoparticle and complemented with an aluminum adjuvant to boost immunization. The primary endpoints of the phase 3 clinical trial have been met and the study will be unblinded shortly; the data are promising and suggest that the first RSV vaccine might be approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration soon. It will be valuable to see, in case of success, if the adjuvant is well tolerated by the fetus (and, by extension, by the young infants), and if the immunization of this vaccine can extend beyond 1–2 months. Persistence of maternal antibodies in the neonate may be too short to achieve reliable protection unless a very high titer of neutralizing antibodies is reached. Additionally, the timing of immunization can have an impact on level of transplacental antibody transfer from the mother to the fetus.

Since no vaccines are presently available to eradicate the seasonal flu, antiviral molecules are needed to treat the infected patients. The current standard of care against flu targets two proteins, the matrix-2 (M2), a proton-selective ion channel protein, or the neuraminidase (NA) protein. M2 enables the migration of H+ ions into the interior of virus particles, a process that takes place upon endosome acidification and is needed for virus uncoating to occur. NA cleaves the sialic acid that is used by the virus to bind to the host receptor, thereby allowing the release of the virus from the infected cell and further spreading in the host (19). The licensed drugs targeting M2 are amantadine (Symmetrel) and rimantadine (Flumadine), belonging to the class of adamantane derivatives, and the ones targeting NA are oseltamivir (Tamiflu), zanamivir (Relenza), and peramivir (Rapivab). In principle, these antivirals are universal and can be used against all strains of influenza virus. However, resistance strains have emerged in the last two decades and have become a serious issue. The use of the adamtanate derivatives resulted in the appearance of several escape mutants in viruses isolated from man and avian in the transmembrane region of the M2 protein (20, 21). In particular, the S31N was shown to be present in all H3N2 and 15.5% of the H1N1 influenza A viruses worldwide by 2006 (22, 23). Resistance increased dramatically in the United States in a period of 10 years, starting from only 2% prevalence in 1999, to 15% in 2005, and finally 96.4% in 2006. In some Asian countries such as China, adamantane resistance was already detected in 70% of all virus isolates in 2004. On the other hand, the H274Y NA mutant resistant to oseltamivir and peramivir has naturally appeared in 2007 and is now present in virtually all H1N1 virus isolates (24). This still leaves the option of using the adamantanes to treat the infections due to H1N1 and oseltamivir to treat the infections due to H3N2. Even in the case that a virus resistant to both adamantanes and oseltamivir would appear to become predominant (25), zanamivir could still be used. However, because zanamivir is an inhalable drug, which requires the use of an unfriendly device to administer the compound, this option cannot be used to treat the pediatric population, the elderly, and patients with chronic airway disease such as asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (26). In addition to this, a diagnostic tool must be available to identify quickly the subtype of the influenza virus for a prompt clinical decision.

Recently, a peptide-based strategy has been used to design peptidic macromolecular compounds capable of inhibiting the fusion of influenza A group 1 viruses (27). Like broad neutralizing antibodies (bnAbs), these peptides aim at binding to the conserved HA stem, an approach that may reduce the likelihood of generating escape mutants. HA is a trimeric metastable
protein, in which each subunit contains an HA1 and an HA2 subdomain linked by a disulfide bond. HA1 is a globular domain mediating binding of the virus to sialylated receptors at the surface of the host cell, and HA2 is an α-helical stem region mediating fusion of the viral membrane with the host cell membrane. Following binding to cell-surface receptors, the virus is internalized by endocytosis. The low pH of the endosomes (pH 5–6) triggers a major structural rearrangement of HA2. Each HA2 subunit monomer contains a long helix connected through an extended loop to a shorter helix. The C-terminus of the long helix is anchored to the viral membrane, and the fusion peptide is at the N-terminus of the short helix. This structure forms a six-helix bundle in trimeric HA2. As the shorter helix is antiparallel to the long helix, the fusion peptide is located next to the viral membrane in the prefusion form. Upon pH change, the extended loop rotates dramatically and merges with the long helix to position the fusion peptide at 180° from the viral membrane into the host cell endosomal membrane. This structural rearrangement is the driving force of the fusion between the viral and host cell membrane (28–30). A peptide binding to the prefusion HA stem region should prevent fusion of the virus with the host cell, thereby neutralizing the virus, as it is the case for bnAbs. To design such peptide, the authors combined discontinuous segments located at the complementary-determining regions (CDRs) and framework regions (FRs) of the bnAbs. Similar strategies had been used by others previously (31–35). CDRs are hypervariable loops of the antibody mediating binding to the antigen, and FRs are variable domains, assisting the CDRs to bind to the antigen. The x-ray structures of several bnAbs bound to the HA stem region were used to design the peptides described in this work. First, the x-ray structures of the bnAbs CR9114 and Fl6v3 were compared, revealing a striking similarity between the binding mode of both bnAbs CDRs to HA stem. CR9114 is an antibody identified through screening combinatorial libraries constructed from human B cells, which neutralizes both influenza A and B viruses (36). Fl6v3 is an antibody isolated through screening human peripheral blood plasma, which neutralizes all 16 influenza A subtypes (37). CR9114 uses residues of FR3, CDR1, and CDR3 to make hydrophobic contact with the HA stem, whereas Fl6v3 uses mainly CDR3 (Figure 1). Therefore, the CDR3 sequence of Fl6v3, a 14-mer peptide, was used as a starting point for the medicinal chemistry work. Firstly, Leu100B of Fl6v3 was replaced with Glu to improve water solubility. Secondly, in order to improve the weak micromolar inhibitory activity of the resulting linear peptides, the peptide was constrained into a macrocycle through a lactam cyclization between the side chain of an ornithine and the carboxylic C-terminus end of the peptide. Thirdly, the polar unfavorable N-terminal arginine was replaced by a hydrophobic amino acid leading to a significant increase of affinity, and the peptide was further optimized by sequential amino acid replacement with non-natural amino acid bioisosteres designed on the basis of the binding of various bnAbs to the HA stem. Finally, these sequential changes were combined into one peptide, peptide P7, which was shown to bind to several influenza A subtypes of group 1, including the 2009 H1N1 pandemic and avian H5N1 strains. P7 is an 11-mer peptide with a molecular weight of 1,639 Da, containing three non-natural residues and one N-methylated amino acid in its sequence. P7 binds to the HA stem with an affinity of approximately 20 nM and is capable of inhibiting viral fusion in cells with an EC50 of 70 nM. In vitro stability of the P7 peptide was assessed in human and mouse plasma; no degradation could be observed over the course of the study (4 h), implying that the insertion of a macrocyclic constrain and non-natural amino acids confer enhanced stability on the peptide. The peptide displayed no cytotoxicity in Calu-3 lung-derived cell lines, and the pharmacokinetic profile in BALB/c is encouraging for further drug development. P7 has a t1/2 of ∼2.7 h, and its clearance in the plasma is ∼24 h. The design of peptide P7 is a remarkable example of the potential of peptide therapeutics and highlights the importance of constraining a peptide into a macrocycle to decrease the entropic cost required to go from the multiple possible conformations of unbound peptide to the rigid conformation of the receptor/peptide bound complex. Despite its small size, P7 is capable of burying almost the same surface, which is used by the anti-stem bnAbs to inhibit viral fusion; this surface is approximately 600 Å, and is too large to be accommodated by a small molecule. Of course, it remains to be seen if peptide P7 can be converted into an orally available drug or if, as for the treatment with Relenza, another mode of administration will need to be considered.

Another example of pan inhibitor is P9, a peptide active against influenza A virus H1N1, H3N2, H5N1, H7N7, and H7N9 (38). P9 is a 30-amino-acid synthetic peptide derived from mouse β-defensin-4 (mBD4). The authors performed a series of N-
C-terminal truncation of mBD4, resulting in the identification of P9. The peptide contains two disulfide bonds and is rich in basic amino acids. P9 is active against various flu strains with 

IC\textsubscript{50} values ranging from 450 nM to 1.43 \mu M. Experimental data suggest that P9 binds to viral glycoproteins and inhibits RNA replication through preventing the pH drop required to trigger viral fusion in the endosome, thanks to the high content of basic amino acids. Administration of P9 to mice prior to viral challenge showed that one-fifth of the dose was still present 8 h post-administration, suggesting that the presence of disulfide bonds confer a certain degree of proteolytic stability to the peptide despite the presence of only wild-type basic amino acids.

For RSV prospective therapies, resistance might become a problem with the neutralizing antibodies currently under development. The current standard of care consists of prophylactic treatment of at-risk infants with palivizumab, a monoclonal antibody against RSV F protein administered monthly as an injectable during the infection peak season (39, 40). Its limited efficacy and high cost limit its use to preterm infants with bronchopulmonary dysplasia and chronic respiratory disease and newborns with congenital heart disease (8). As a result, 60% of at-risk children remain untreated, and no efficient therapy is available to treat the adult population (41). Recombinant antibodies targeting the antigenic sites of preF with longer half-life than palivizumab are currently developed to decrease the number of injection of the current standard of care, including REGN2222, ALX-0171 (42), and MEDI8897 (43, 44). Regeneron has recently reported the phase 3 clinical trial results of Suptavumab (REGN2222), a 5- to 10-fold more potent neutralizing antibody than palivizumab (45, 46). This trial failed to meet the primary endpoint against RSV B clinical isolates because of the emergence of the L172Q and S173L resistant mutants. This was completely unexpected since these variants, which had never been observed prior to 2015, appeared spontaneously over the last three seasons in genotype B patients enrolled in the study as well as in the placebo group. These results highlight that targeting a single antigenic epitope with neutralizing antibodies is risky, even if this appeared not to be a serious issue with palivizumab. As a result, MedImmune is carefully assessing the variants present in the population tested against the clinical candidate MEDI8897.

In principle, resistance should not be an issue for the treatment of young infants with antiviral drugs given that RSV is not a chronic disease; the viral load should decrease rapidly upon administration of the antiviral, leaving no time for the virus to mutate. However, the identification of the F140L and T400I escape mutants in the clinical phase 2a human challenge study of presatovir suggests that resistant circulating RSV strains could emerge (47). Additionally, resistance will become a problem for hematopoietic cell transplant (HCT) patients, since these patients need to go on therapy for a period of 6 months. The most advanced molecules that have been reported recently in clinical settings are Presatovir (GS-5806) (48), Lumicitabine (ALS-008176) (49), Ziresovir (AK0529), JNJ-53718678 (50), EDP-938 (51), and RV-521 (52). Presatovir, JNJ-53718678, and RV-521 are small-molecule fusion inhibitors targeting preF; Lumicitabine is a pro-drug nucleoside polymerase inhibitor; and EDP-938 is a replication inhibitor whose mechanism has not been disclosed. Johnson & Johnson has recently announced that they abandoned development of Lumicitabine (53). Presatovir and JNJ-53718678 are currently on hold; formulation issues must be addressed for JNJ-53718678. More importantly, the phase 2 clinical trial of Presatovir in HCT patients failed to meet its primary endpoint. It is likely that Gilead has also abandoned the phase 2b trial of Presatovir. Despite the fact that no patient was enrolled later than 5 days after the onset of the disease, this trial appeared to fail because the compound was not administered early enough. These results raise the question whether it will be ever possible to administer an antiviral molecule early enough to treat an infected patient.

All the small-molecule fusion inhibitors (including GS-5806, RV-521, and JNJ-53718678) that have been discovered to date against RSV most likely interact and bind to the same binding pocket of preF. This pocket is a threefold symmetric cavity, which is formed at the junction of three protomers of preF (54). The binding of small molecules to this site tethers the fusion peptide and the heptad repeat 2 (HR2) of F, thereby stabilizing the metastable preF state and preventing the conformational rearrangement of the fusion peptide and HR2 required for the fusion between the virus and the host cell membranes. These inhibitors can be viewed as a hand fidget spinner toy, in which each ring of the toy consists of one aromatic moiety of the inhibitor. This moiety makes an aromatic π-π stacking with Asp489 of HR2 and Phe140 of the fusion peptide. In some instances, as with JNJ-53718678, the inhibitor has only two rings and therefore fills only two lobes of this cavity (50). In other instances, as it is most likely the case for GS-5806, the inhibitor has three rings and is able to fill all three lobes of the binding pocket (54). As a result, it is likely that the emergence of an escape mutant would be resistant to all class of small-molecule fusion inhibitors. Consistent with this, cell culture resistance selection experiments resulted in escape mutations either at positions that directly contact the inhibitor (e.g., Phe140 and Phe4881) or at amino acid positions that are required to be displaced to accommodate the antiviral molecule (e.g., D498Y and L141W) (50, 54).

Peptides derived from the HR2 domain of F can also be used as fusion inhibitors. As these peptides target the transition between preF and postF (55, 56), the small-molecule escape mutants should not affect their inhibitory activity. RSV HR2 is a 49-amino-acid sequence that has been extensively characterized (Figure 2). HR2 is a largely unstructured peptide in aqueous solution folding into an α-helix upon binding to a trimeric HR1 coiled coil (57). A scan of synthetic peptides of 35 amino acids in length across the HR2 wild-type sequence resulted in the identification of T108 and T118, two peptides that were shown to be capable of blocking virus-mediated syncytia formation (58). Some efforts were made to reduce the length of the T108 sequence, but this turns out to be unsuccessful (59) as reducing the length of the peptide beyond 30 amino acids abrogates its activity. To improve the pharmacological properties of the peptides, T118 was modified into a series of stapled peptides (60). The stapled peptide technology relies on the incorporation of unnatural olefinic amino acids (UAA) at positions that will not
interfere with the binding of the peptide to its target, and the subsequent cross-linking of these non-natural amino acids by Grubbs mediated ruthenium metathesis (61, 62). This results in the side-chain to side-chain incorporation of an all-hydrocarbon macrocyclic bridge, which can significantly increase the affinity of the peptide to the target, the proteolytic stability, and the cellular permeability through an endocytosis uptake mechanism. Because the staple is used to stabilize α-helical structures, the UAA s used for stapling are incorporated at i + 1, i + 3, i + 4, or i + 7 positions within the sequence of the peptide, spanning one turn or two turns of the helix, respectively. “i” refers to the position where the first UAA is incorporated during the solid phase peptide synthesis (which occurs from the C- to the N-terminus); the second UAA can then be incorporated at 3, 4, or 7 amino acids away from the “i” position at its N-terminus. Bird et al. (60) tested some i, i + 4, and i, i + 7 single-stapled peptides within the N- and C-termini of T118 and evaluated the affinity of these analogs toward a 5HB (5-helix bundle) recombinant protein, a protein mimicking RSV postF, but lacking one of the three HR2 domains (59). When the resulting SAH-RSVF (stabilized α-helices of RSV F) peptides were tested for their affinity toward 5HB, they found that the i, i + 7 single-stapled peptides demonstrated notable enhanced binding activity, unlike the i, i + 4 single-stapled peptides, which had a binding affinity similar to the unmodified peptide. The best i, i + 7 peptides were combined into double-stapled peptides and tested in proteolytic resistance assays as well as in a cellular viral infectivity assay. This work led to the identification of SAH-RSVFBD (Figure 2), which was then shown by intranasal administration with nanoparticles to be able to prevent RSV viral infection of BALBc mice. In a similar work (63), a stapled peptide scan across the HR2 sequence was performed with the aim to identify a minimal domain capable of disrupting the formation of postF. HR2 was divided into three overlapping subdomains, which were scanned for various stapling combinations. The binding affinity of the resulting peptides was assessed against recombinant 5 HB. In contrary to the 35-mer stapled peptide scan by Bird and colleagues (60), stapling did not improve the binding affinity of the single-stapled peptides, even with the i, i + 7 staple. Furthermore, the single-stapled peptides were all inactive in the cellular viral inhibition assay. However, the addition of a second staple restored the inhibitory activity of the peptide. Further optimization resulted in the discovery of peptide 4ca, which inhibits RSV infection in Hep-2 cells with an EC50 value of 0.59 μM (Figure 2). Peptide 4ca is a 20-mer sequence with a molecular weight of 2,441 Da, containing one i, i + 4 and one i, i + 3 staple. Despite its significantly shorter size, peptide 4ca inhibits RSV infection with a similar EC50 value to SAH-RSVFBD in Hep-2 cells. Furthermore, peptide 4ca is highly stable to proteolytic digestion and is capable of decreasing RSV infection in the upper and lower respiratory tract of BALB/c mice following intranasal delivery of the peptide with no signs of apparent cytotoxicity and immunogenicity. Here, again, this work highlights how the insertion of macrocycle(s) can dramatically improve the potency and pharmacological properties of a peptide.

Peptides derived from the HR2 domain of F can also be used to develop pan inhibitors of other lower respiratory pathogens. A 36-mer peptide derived from the HR2 domain of human parainfluenza 3 (HIPV3) was found to inhibit HIPV3 as well as Hendra virus (Hev) and Nipah virus (Niv), two highly pathogenic viruses. Although the initial inhibitory activity of the 36-mer peptide was modest (IC50 = ~700 nM), a whole body of work was performed to significantly improve the potency of the peptide. Rather than performing the standard structural relationship activity studies (SAR), the authors added a cholesterol (Chol) moiety to improve the potency of the peptide. Such strategy was pioneered by Merck Research Laboratories during the development of enfurvitide follow-on products, a HIV gp41 fusion inhibitor (64). Through selectively enriching the peptide in the membrane, where viral fusion occurs, Chol tagging will improve the local concentration of the peptide, thereby increasing its antiviral potency. The Chol moiety is derivatized
with a bromoacetyl function, which is used as an electrophile to react with a nucleophilic cysteine residue appended through a short GSG linker at the N- or C-terminus of the peptide, to form a thioether linkage (Figure 3). The addition of Chol to the 36-mer peptide (V-Chol) improved the potency 100-fold when it was attached at the C-terminus, but not at the N-terminus, consistent with the HIV work (68). Surprisingly, both V-Chol and the N-terminal Chol conjugates were even more potent against Hev and Niv (picomolar). Another interesting feature of this technology is that Chol appears to prolong the half-life of the peptide in vivo, through binding to serum proteins (69). More recently, the authors further explored the effect of the PEG spacer upon peptide inhibition (66). Briefly, the authors found that the increase in spacer length (i) is beneficial for the solubility and inhibitory activity of the Chol conjugate, (ii) enhances the kinetics of peptide insertion in lipid membranes, and (iii) enhances the susceptibility to protease degradation. Therefore, an optimum balance must be found to select the best PEG to insert in the Chol conjugate.

**CONCLUSION**

The pharmaceutical industry has shown poor interest to develop peptide therapeutics due to their notoriously bad ADME (absorption, distribution, metabolism, elimination) properties. Peptides are rapidly degraded by proteases, can be immunogenic, do not efficiently cross the epithelial and skin barriers, and it is very challenging to confer oral bioavailability on these molecules (70). The bioavailability of peptides is typically <1%, whereas at least 20% is usually sought to make a drug. There are some exceptions such as cyclosporine A, an immunosuppressive undecapeptide with an impressive bioavailability of 26% (71), but in general, most marketed peptides such as Semaglutide, Liraglutide, and Exenatide are administered as injectables (72, 73). Additionally, the cost of goods to produce the active product ingredient (API) is higher for peptides than for small molecules. For all these reasons, the traditional medicinal chemist is usually unwilling to develop peptide therapeutics. However, given that many biological protein targets have binding sites that are too large to be accommodated by small molecules (74), and that it has become increasingly more difficult to develop orally available drugs, the field has seen a significant increase of injectable high-molecular-weight biological drugs. At the interface between these two classes of molecules, peptides are an alternative to target large protein–protein interaction sites. With the realization that macrocyclization can dramatically improve the binding affinity and proteolytic stability of peptides, there has been considerable effort devoted recently toward finding novel means to cyclize peptides (75). Furthermore, many labs are currently investigating the mechanisms conferring cellular permeability on cyclosporine A and other analogs with the aim of identifying novel tools to increase the bioavailability of peptide therapeutics (76–79).

Lower respiratory infections caused by influenza and RSV are a significant healthcare burden. Vaccines, neutralizing antibodies, bnAbs, and small molecules are currently under development to address this important unmet medical need. With the growing list of failed RSV drugs and vaccines, and the constant threat of a flu pandemic, peptides are an alternative to treat or prevent these diseases. Long-acting macrocyclic peptides may be used to target the influenza HA stem region or the mechanism of RSV F fusion, with the aim to develop a universal influenza treatment or a cheaper RSV prophylactic therapy with less susceptibility to escape mutations. It should not be forgotten that the HCV and HIV protease inhibitor drugs, simeprevir and darunavir, were peptides at the onset of the medicinal chemistry work.
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