Water scarcity will constrain the formation of a world-class megalopolis in North China
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The formation of world-class megalopolises has been a goal of urban development agencies around the world owing to their economic advantages. On their bids of becoming a world-class megalopolis, water availability is a factor that requires consideration. China has set an ambitious goal of developing a world-class megalopolis in the water-scarce Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) region. This study investigates the water challenge the BTH region faces and the effects of main water conservation measures in the region towards the goal. An inter-city input–output model was constructed for identifying the water gap in the region and analyzing the effectiveness of main water conservation measures under various scenarios. The results indicate a significant gap between the water required to achieve the goal of becoming a world-class megalopolis and the region’s available water resources. Although proposed water conservation measures of improving water use efficiency and reducing agricultural water use provide a modest improvement, the amount of water required for urban development still exceeds the availability. The study emphasizes the significance of agricultural water use reduction in Hebei through crop system replacement from water-intensive winter wheat to water-saving crops. The study also proposes an alternative option of adjusting the development plan through redefining the boundary of the BTH megalopolis by excluding part of cities in Hebei. The results of this study contribute to a better understanding of the effect of water scarcity on urban development and thus provide references for other water-scarce regions with ambitious urban development goals.
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INTRODUCTION

The past decades have witnessed significant urbanization throughout the world. According to a report by United Nations, 55% of the world’s population lives in urban areas in 2018 and this proportion is expected to increase to 68% by 20501. Acceleration of urban expansion and transformation has boosted the formation of megalopolises, which are concentrated urbanized areas with highly developed spatial form of integrated cities2. Extensive empirical studies showed the positive productivity gains from the formation of megalopolises for countries engaging in international competition and cooperation in an increasingly global economy3–5. The formation of megalopolises fortified urban scale economies through better access to inter-industry information flows, abundant, and diversified labor forces, specialized services, as well as general public infrastructure and facilities. World-class megalopolis represents the highest level of megalopolis. The earliest prototypical conception for world-class megalopolis can be traced back to the megalopolis of “BosWash corridor” in the northeastern United States, which included the large cities of Boston, New York, Providence, Hartford, New Haven, Philadelphia, Baltimore, and Washington6. From this study onward, the connotations have been continuously enriched by subsequent scholars7–11. However, a consensus on a specific definition for world-class megalopolis has yet to be reached. It is generally agreed that the common features of the world-class megalopolis should include: densely clustered cities and non-agricultural population, sufficiently large economic size, highly developed industries (particularly, tertiary industries), one or multiple internationally influential core cities and closely integrated economic connections among cities in the megalopolis.

There are six internationally acknowledged world-class megalopolises, including the Atlantic Coast megalopolis, the Japan Pacific Coast megalopolis, the Great Lakes megalopolis, the Northwestern Europe megalopolis, the Yangtze River Delta megalopolis, and the British megalopolis12,13.

Not surprisingly, the formation of megalopolises has become a goal of many urban development agencies around the world. A challenge is how to ensure a rapid urban expansion sustainably. Water is indispensable in almost every production and consumption process. Water scarcity has been challenging sustainable urban development globally. In 2019, the United Nations World Water Development Report indicated that the global water use had been increasing worldwide by ~1% per year since the 1980s, driven by a combination of population growth, socio-economic development, and changing consumption patterns14. Global water demand is expected to increase by 20–30% until 2050, and much of the growth will be attributed to increase in demand of the industrial and domestic sectors15,16. Megalopolises, where agglomerations of population and production activities bring about the increasing demand for water resources, commonly encounter water crisis and this, in turn, restricts their further development17,18. Figure 1 demonstrates a high correlation between the economic growth of the world-class megalopolis and the abundance of water resources, verifying the significance of water resources to megalopolises’ economic development. A high level of economic growth requires sustained and adequate water supply, as water is the principal factor supporting the development of a region. Given the fact that the six internationally acknowledged world-class megalopolises are not water-scarce...
regions, the restrictive effect of water did not capture much attention in their development. As ambitious urban development goals are being put forward in a number of water-scarce regions in the world, water availability is a critical factor that requires consideration.

The Chinese government is promoting the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) region to become a world-class megalopolis in China in addition to the Yangtze River Delta megalopolis. Much attention has been focused on the BTH region since the implementation of “The Plan for the Coordinated Development of Beijing, Tianjin, and Hebei” enacted by the Chinese central government in 2015. The Plan has proposed the long-term goal for the BTH region to become a world-class megalopolis. However, the BTH region is severely constrained by water availability, with an annual per capita water resources of <200 m³, approximately one-tenth and one-fortieth of the national and world averages, respectively. In addition to water scarcity, three further shortcomings of the BTH region can be identified compared with the existing world-class megalopolises. Firstly, the economic size of the BTH region in terms of gross domestic product (GDP) is still small. In 2017, the BTH region’s GDP was 1.19 trillion USD (The exchange rate between USD and CNY is based on the averaged central parity rate of 2017, released by the People’s Bank of China), only ~30–50% of the GDP levels of the six acknowledged world-class megalopolises (Supplementary Table 1). Second, most of the world-class megalopolises are tertiary industry dominated, while the proportions of the tertiary industries in most BTH cities are lower than 50% (Supplementary Table 2). Third, cities in the BTH region are not closely connected with each other in terms of intermediate and final products and services. The pattern of inter-city economic connections is closely related to the scale and structure of water resources. Beijing is the most economically developed entity, with the highest GDP per capita, followed by Tianjin. Hebei is the least developed area among the three. Water conditions are relatively better in the cities in northern Hebei, with annual per capita water resources averaged over 400 m³. The cities in southern Hebei are water scarcest, with annual per capita water resources <150 m³ (Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 4). A challenging question is whether water scarcity will prevent the BTH region from becoming a world-class megalopolis. Given the significant spatial heterogeneity and nonnegligible economic connections across cities in the BTH region, it is necessary to incorporate city-level differences and inter-city connections in analyses of the region’s water challenge on its ambition to become a world-class megalopolis.

In recent years, the intensifying resource and environmental pressure has attracted an increasing attention from scholars and policymakers on the sustainable challenges encountered in urban development. As one of the most fundamental and indispensable natural resources, water constraint on urban development has led to concern in public and academic circles. Moreover, the studies that look at the water effect of developing world-class megalopolises are rare since the existing world-class megalopolises are not water-scarce regions. Existing studies mainly assessed water-carrying capacity as reflected in the assessment of population carrying capacity. Water carrying capacity was typically defined as the water resources needed to sustain a healthy social and economic system, the maximum threshold of water resources to sustain human activities. As the connotation of water-carrying capacity still remains divergent and elusive, a consensus on a specific definition has yet to be reached. Moreover, previous studies investigating water constraint on urban development rarely considered the implications of intra-regional economic connections owing to lack of data. This is particularly so between different cities within the megalopolis owing to lack of information of inter-city economic connections. Inter-city economic connections reveal how different cities depend on each other in terms of intermediate and final products and services. The pattern of inter-city economic connections is closely related to the scale and structure of water use in each city and is therefore an important factor to consider in the analyses of urban development under water constraints.
The city input–output model is recognized as a robust assessment tool representing inter-city economic connections as well as capturing city heterogeneities. Although the importance of city-level input–output model has been well acknowledged in the literatures, the model compilation and application are generally limited due to the unavailable data such as city-level input–output tables. The existing studies mostly remain at the stage of proposing conceptual and methodological framework for model compilation.

This study makes contribution to existing literatures in the following aspects: (i) conduct a comprehensive investigation of the restrictive effect of water resources relevant to world-class megalopolises, which were rarely studied in previous literatures. This study is of practical meanings for providing references for other water-scarce regions attempting to develop to the world-class megalopolises. (ii) Investigate the function of water in ensuring urban development from the perspective of water gap, which further enriches the connotation of water-carrying capacity. (iii) Perform an investigation on urban development under full consideration of the interaction between economic interconnections and water constraints based on an inter-city input–output optimization. We are fortunate to obtain the city-level input–output tables of Beijing, Tianjin, and 11 prefecture level cities in Hebei and then link them into the inter-city input–output model using multi-regional input–output modeling techniques.

The stability and reliability of the inter-city input–output table of the BTH region were verified through its rudimentary application in the analysis on industrial adjustment. This approach is capable of fully reflecting the impacts of economic connections across cities on water requirements in the BTH region.

The study aims to take the BTH region as an example to investigate the effect of water scarcity on urban development by answering the following two questions: (i) how large is the water gap for the BTH region in becoming a world-class megalopolis? (ii) To what extent can the water conservation measures offset the water shortages? The Yangtze River Delta megalopolis and the Great Lakes megalopolis, which are representative of world-class megalopolises of relatively smaller economic size and larger economic size, are set as the two benchmarks for the BTH region. The results of this study contribute to a better understanding of the BTH region’s challenge of reaching economic development goals under water resources constraints, and also provide references for other water-scarce regions attempting to develop to the world-class megalopolises.

RESULTS
Minimum water requirement for world-class megalopolis
The minimum water requirement for the BTH region to achieve the goal of becoming a world-class megalopolis is simulated by applying an inter-city input–output optimization model. The requirements for the BTH to become a world-class megalopolis are set as constraints in the optimization model. These constraints are concretized by indicators including economic size, industrial structure, and inter-city connection. The selection of these indicators was based on the aforementioned shortcomings identified by the comparison of the BTH region to the acknowledged world-class megalopolises, whereas the values of the indicators were established by referring to the benchmarking world-class megalopolises (Supplementary Table 5).

The simulation results show that to achieve the benchmarks of the Yangtze River Delta megalopolis and the Great Lakes megalopolis, the minimum annual water requirement for the BTH region would be 37.58 billion m$^3$ and 53.26 billion m$^3$, respectively. Given that the annual average water resources of the BTH region is 20.40 billion m$^3$, an extra amount of 17.18
becoming a world-class megalopolis is high (Fig. 3). The gap between the local water resources and the water required for development in the BTH region. The modeling results indicate that the water gap is almost equivalent to the current amount of water available as the constraint. Therefore, this study focuses on improving water use efficiency and reducing agricultural water use as two water conservation measures. However, subsequent to conservation efforts there is still a remaining water gap of 10.44 billion m$^3$ between the water required for development and local water resources. The results show that reducing agricultural water use is a more significant water conservation measure, leading to a water use reduction of 14.86 billion m$^3$. However, a water gap of 2.32 billion m$^3$ still remains. If the two measures are jointly adopted, the minimum water requirement would be decreased to 15.58 billion m$^3$. The local water resources of the BTH region would be sufficient to meet its demand to achieve the goal of benchmark I. The results indicate the importance of adopting different water conservation approaches simultaneously when addressing water scarcity. Under the goal of benchmark II (the Great Lakes megalopolis), the water conservation effects of improving water use efficiency and reducing agricultural water use are 7.39 billion m$^3$ and 16.4 billion m$^3$, respectively. However, the joint effect of the two measures is 24.71 billion m$^3$, which is incapable of offsetting the water gap for benchmark II (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

In addition to the local water supply, the BTH region also depends on the external transfer of water from the South-to-North Water Transfer Project (SNWTP), a strategic and pioneering project aimed at balancing the uneven spatial distribution of water resources in China. The designed water transfer capacity of the SNWTP to the BTH region is 5.73 billion m$^3$, transporting 1.24 billion m$^3$ to Beijing, 1.02 billion m$^3$ to Tianjin, and 3.47 billion m$^3$ to Hebei. In terms of the amount of water inflow, the SNWTP appears to be a highly effective means of water compensation for the BTH region. According to our simulation results, the water gaps for the BTH region to achieve the benchmarking goals of the Yangtze River Delta megalopolis and the Great Lakes megalopolis would be 30.84 billion m$^3$, 6.74 billion m$^3$ lower than the aforementioned minimum water requirement without any additional conservation measures. However, the additional conservation efforts required to achieve the goal of benchmark II (the Great Lakes megalopolis), the water conservation effects of improving water use efficiency and reducing agricultural water use are 7.39 billion m$^3$ and 16.4 billion m$^3$, respectively. However, the joint effect of the two measures is 24.71 billion m$^3$, which is incapable of offsetting the water gap for benchmark II (Fig. 5).

Effects of water conservation measures

Local governments in the BTH region have been striving to alleviate water stress by adopting water conservation measures, including improving water use efficiency, controlling agricultural water use, and adjusting industrial structures. Li et al. investigated the water conservation effects of industrial structure adjustments in the BTH region and found that 93.56% of the water saved from industrial structure adjustments in the BTH region could be attributed to agricultural water use reduction. Therefore, this study focuses on improving water use efficiency and restricting agricultural water use as two water conservation measures to evaluate their water conservation effects. In recent years, a series of regulations and development plans have been launched for water conservation, in which specific goals for improving water use efficiency and reducing agricultural water were stipulated (Supplementary Table 6).

Million m$^3$ and 32.86 billion m$^3$ water would be needed. Even for the most achievable goal of the Yangtze River Delta megalopolis, the water gap is almost equivalent to the current amount of water resources in the BTH region. The modeling results indicate that the gap between the local water resources and the water required for development in the BTH region. The results show that reducing agricultural water use is a more significant water conservation measure, leading to a water use reduction of 14.86 billion m$^3$. However, a water gap of 2.32 billion m$^3$ still remains. If the two measures are jointly adopted, the minimum water requirement would be decreased to 15.58 billion m$^3$. The local water resources of the BTH region would be sufficient to meet its demand to achieve the goal of benchmark I. The results indicate the importance of adopting different water conservation approaches simultaneously when addressing water scarcity. Under the goal of benchmark II (the Great Lakes megalopolis), the water conservation effects of improving water use efficiency and reducing agricultural water use are 7.39 billion m$^3$ and 16.4 billion m$^3$, respectively. However, the joint effect of the two measures is 24.71 billion m$^3$, which is incapable of offsetting the water gap for benchmark II (Fig. 5).

The BTH region’s maximum GDP without conservation measures can achieve under the current conditions without the application of any additional water conservation measures, based on the simulation of the inter-city input–output optimization model. In the model, it sets maximize GDP of the BTH region as the objective function and predicted water use not exceeding the local water available as the constraint. The results indicate that in the absence of additional water conservation measures, the maximum GDP that is achievable in the BTH region would be 1.34 trillion USD, only 12% higher than the current level of 1.19 trillion USD. The maximum GDP of the BTH region is well below that of the six acknowledged world-class megalopolises. Even compared with the British megalopolis, the BTH region is ~35% lower (Fig. 4).

Local governments in the BTH region have been striving to alleviate water stress by adopting water conservation measures, including improving water use efficiency, controlling agricultural water use, and adjusting industrial structures. Li et al. investigated the water conservation effects of industrial structure adjustments in the BTH region and found that 93.56% of the water saved from industrial structure adjustments in the BTH region could be attributed to agricultural water use reduction. Therefore, this study focuses on improving water use efficiency and restricting agricultural water use as two water conservation measures to evaluate their water conservation effects. In recent years, a series of regulations and development plans have been launched for water conservation, in which specific goals for improving water use efficiency and reducing agricultural water were stipulated (Supplementary Table 6).
wheat account for 11% of the national total production in 2017. The stable and high yield of winter wheat depends on irrigation, since the effective precipitation in its growing period can only meet 20–30% of the wheat water requirement. Consequently, the irrigation for winter wheat in Hebei is closely related to groundwater depletion. Therefore, reduction in winter wheat is not only essential for reducing agricultural water use, but also necessary to reverse groundwater depletion and promote groundwater storage. Policies for land fallow as well as planting structure adjustments have been applied in pilot areas to encourage the extension of a single-cropping system in groundwater over-exploited areas. Instead of winter wheat, crops that consume less water, such as spring maize, summer maize, peanuts, cotton, or various cereals are encouraged to reduce the amount of water use. By applying this crop system replacement, each hectare of land can save 2700–3000 m³ of water. Given the planting area of winter wheat in Hebei was 2.35 million hectares in 2017, this practice would at least bring about a water use reduction of 6.34 billion m³, ~50% of Hebei’s total agricultural water use. Furthermore, as a region under severe pressure from water scarcity, Hebei’s traditional position as a grain production base requires reconsideration. A drawback of applying these measures include a negative effect on the livelihood of farmers by decreasing direct income from agricultural production. Therefore, the trade-off between the water conservation effects and the potential loss to farmers needs to be evaluated and an appropriate balance should be sought. Measures enhancing farmers’ willingness to save water, such as compensations to farmers, should also be considered.

It is worth noting that this study may have underestimated the water challenge facing the BTH region’s developmental goal of becoming a world-class megalopolis. In evaluating the water gap, annual average water resources were used as an indicator of the local water supply, an assumption that results in the overestimation of the supply of local water resources, as certain portions may not be accessible or exploitable. Moreover, the water requirement of the development goal is obtained from an optimization simulation, which provides an “ideal circumstance” result that may not be attainable in reality. Therefore, the water challenge faced by the BTH region to become a world-class megalopolis will most likely be more significant than that suggested in this study.

Historically, there have been a number of debates on the spatial boundary of the BTH megalopolis in China, from both academic community and governments. As a result, the spatial scope of the BTH region’s developing strategy has undergone a process of evolution. For example, the current Plan for the Coordinated Development of Beijing, Tianjin, and Hebei can be traced back to its source to Territorial Planning of Beijing, Tianjin, and Tangshan implemented in 1984. The 1984 Plan defined the territory of the core economic zone of the BTH region with the five cities of Beijing, Tianjin, Tangshan, Qinhuangdao, and Langfang. In 2004, Regional Planning of BTH Metropolitan Area expanded the territory of the core economic zone to 10 cities by adding five more cities to the Plan, including Shijiazhuang, Baoding, Cangzhou, Zhangjiakou, and Chengde. On the basis of 2004 Plan, the current Plan for the Coordinated Development of Beijing, Tianjin, and Hebei 2015 includes three additional cities, namely, Handan, Xingtai, and Hengshui. The current boundary of the BTH megalopolis is a compromise proposal considered by the central, provincial, and local governments from the perspective of interest balancing.

The main point of policy discussion since then has been whether the four cities in southern and central Hebei (Handan, Xingtai, and Hengshui) should be included in the BTH region.
The four cities in southern and central Hebei, Handan, Xingtai, Hengshui, and Cangzhou, are geographically distant from the center of the BTH region and their economic connections with other cities of the region are weak. Moreover, the four cities are main growing areas for the water-intensive winter wheat, accounting for ~61% of winter wheat production of Hebei in 2017\textsuperscript{52}. In this study, the aforementioned simulations were re-applied for the BTH region excluding the four cities. The results show that the water gaps for the reduced BTH region to achieve the benchmarking goals of the Yangtze River Delta megalopolis and the Great Lakes megalopolis would be 11.15 billion m\textsuperscript{3} and 20.1752 billion m\textsuperscript{3}, respectively. This indicates that without additional water conservation measures taken, the economic potential of the BTH region will be severely limited and the goal of making the world-class is not achievable. However, if the measures of improving water use efficiency and reducing agricultural water use are jointly adopted, the BTH region can reach the goal benchmarked by the Yangtze River Delta megalopolis. In order to make the goal easier to achieve, nonconventional measures such as redefining the boundary of the BTH megalopolis by excluding the four cities in Hebei would make the goal of becoming the world-class megalopolis more achievable. To sum up, the results of this study show that without additional water conservation measures taken, economic potential of the BTH region will be severely limited and the goal of making the world-class is not achievable.

Although the proposed measure of improving water use efficiency is helpful to reduce the water shortfall, it is incapable of eliminating the water constraints for the BTH region to reach its development goal of becoming the world-class megalopolis. However, if the measures of improving water use efficiency and significantly reducing agricultural water use are jointly adopted, the BTH region can reach the goal benchmarked by the Yangtze River Delta megalopolis. In order to make the goal easier to achieve, nonconventional measures such as redefining the boundary of the BTH megalopolis should be taken as an alternative plan. Our simulation results verify that redefining the boundary of the BTH megalopolis by excluding the four cities in Hebei would make the goal of making the BTH region a world-class megalopolis more achievable. To sum up, the results of this study show that the goal of becoming a world-class megalopolis is achievable for the BTH region only under certain conditions of water use or administrative planning. The required water use conditions refer to the adoption of intensive water conservation measures including improving water use efficiency and controlling agricultural water use, and the required administrative planning conditions refer to redefining the region's boundary by excluding more heavily agricultural areas.

**Fig. 5** The effects of the applications of water conservation measures on BTH water resources (unit: billion m\textsuperscript{3}). Upper: under the benchmarking goal of the Yangtze River Delta megalopolis. Lower: under the benchmarking goal of the Great Lakes megalopolis. The gray areas show the minimum water requirement under different situations of water conservation measure applications. The red line is the level of the annual average water resources in the BTH region. The areas above the red line represent the water gaps for the BTH region to achieve the benchmarking goals under different situations. If a gray bar is lower than the red line, it means the benchmarking goal is achievable under the corresponding application of water conservation measures. The blue areas are obtained by subtracting the latter three gray bars from the first gray bars, showing the amount of water saved from the application of the water conservation measures.
This study demonstrates the effect of water scarcity in preventing the BTH region from achieving a world-class megalopolis, which can also provide references for other water-scarce regions attempting to develop the world-class megalopolises. It is worth noting that the process for the BTH region reaching the developing goals is a complex issue involving multiple tradeoffs. This study can be expanded in the future through a comprehensive investigation of the impacts of these tradeoffs, which would lead to far-reaching implications for policymaking, poverty reduction, agricultural communities, behaviors, and technologies. Moreover, climate change and consumption pattern change are significant factors influencing the future water conditions of the BTH region. It is anticipated that the water scarcity in the BTH region will be further exaggerated owing to climate change and consumption pattern change. Previous literatures indicated that the risk of drought in the BTH region would increase under the impact of climate change\textsuperscript{61,62} and consumption pattern changes accompanied with urbanization, population dynamics, lifestyle changes would further increase water requirement\textsuperscript{63-65}. As such an inclusion of these two factors will unlikely change our findings in this paper. Further research is needed to involve the two factors into a more-detailed mechanisms depicting interrelationships between water resources, economic growth and policies, which will contribute to more effective and targeted recommendations for policymaking.

This study simulates the BTH region’s achievement of world-class megalopolis status as currently defined, but an innovative vision of the world-class megalopolis is needed to be developed for water-scarce regions. With more and more water-scarce regions having higher urban development goals, water availability would become a critical factor determining whether the goals can be achieved or not. Accordingly, the criteria for world-class megalopolises may need to be redefined. A region’s ability of overcoming the restrictive effects of water scarcity might be requisite for being a world-class megalopolis in the new context. Therefore, the water-related indicators, such as adoption of advanced water-saving technologies, development of modern agriculture, regional coordination on water resource may be involved as the new criteria for world-class megalopolises in the future.

\section*{METHODS}

\textbf{Inter-city input–output optimization model}

The optimization model was developed based on the inter-city input–output table of the BTH region (2012), which was compiled based on the city input–output tables of the BTH cities, with 2012 the latest tables available. Given year 2012 is close to the period of year 2014–2015 when the BTH region’s developing goal of becoming a world-class megalopolis was designed and proposed, the data of 2012 can reflect the situation of the BTH region at that time and thus are conducive to policy evaluations. Moreover, although the data of 2012 are not up to date, the main macroeconomic and water use related parameters in the BTH region remained relatively stable (Supplementary Table 8, Supplementary Table 9 and Supplementary Table 10), they are still capable of providing a rough profile for the economic system and water use condition of the BTH region. Detailed information for the compilation of the inter-city input–output table of the BTH region (2012) can be seen in Li et al.\textsuperscript{66}. The structure and sectors of the table are shown in Supplementary Table 11 and Supplementary Table 12, respectively. In the inter-city input–output optimization model, varied combinations of objectives and constraints were adopted in different simulations (Table 1). The objectives and constraints are detailed below.

\textbf{Objectives}

\textbf{Objective 1: minimization of water use.} The total water use of the BTH region can be obtained by adding up the amount of water used in all the sectors of all the cities in the region. The objective function is represented as:

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{14} \sum_{j=1}^{16} \omega_i x_{ij}^r,$$

(1)

where $\omega_i$ is the direct water use coefficient of sector $i$ in city $r$, representing the amount of water used to produce one monetary unit output of sector $i$ in city $r$ $x_{ij}^r$ is the output of sector $i$ in city $r$.

\textbf{Objective 2: maximization of GDP.} The GDP of the BTH region equals to the summation of the value-added of all the sectors of all the cities in the region. The objective function is represented as:

$$\max \sum_{i=1}^{14} \sum_{j=1}^{16} v_i x_{ij}^r,$$

(2)

where $v_i$ is the value-added rate of sector $i$ in city $r$, representing the value-added created from one monetary unit of production of sector $i$ in city $r$. 

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig6.png}
\caption{Water gaps for the four-city-excluded BTH region to achieve the two benchmarking goals (unit: billion m\textsuperscript{3}). Left group: under the benchmarking goal of the Yangtze River Delta megalopolis. Right group: under the benchmarking goal of the Great Lakes megalopolis. The bars of different colors show the minimum water requirement under different situations of water conservation measure applications. The red line is the level of the annual average water resources in the four-city-excluded BTH region. The areas above the red line represent the water gaps for the four-city-excluded BTH region to achieve the benchmarking goals under different situations. If a bar is lower than the red line, it means the benchmarking goal is achievable under the corresponding application of water conservation measures.}
\end{figure}
Constraints

Constraint 1: constraints of the input–output model. The basic mathematical structure of the inter-city input–output table of the BTH region (2012) consists of (14 × 16) linear equations, which represents the inter-sectoral and inter-city interdependence in terms of intermediate demand and final demand. Constraints of the input–output model can be represented as Inequality 3, which ensure the demand for each product not exceeding the production amount.

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{16} a_{ij}x_j + \sum_{i=1}^{14} y_i \leq x_i
\]  

(3)

where \(a_{ij}\) is the direct input coefficient, which indicates the amount of input required from sector \(i\) in city \(r\) to increase one monetary unit of the output of sector \(j\) in city \(s\); \(y_i\) is the final demand of sector \(i\) supplied from city \(r\) to city \(s\).

Constraint 2: constraints of economic size. Economic size is measured by GDP, which is a requisite for a world-class megalopolis classification. The constraint function is represented as:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{14} \sum_{j=1}^{16} \psi_{ij}x_j \geq d
\]  

(4)

where \(d\) is the bottom level of the GDP, which is set based on Supplementary Table 5.

Constraint 3: constraints of industrial structure. Industrial structure constraints are set to limit the relative scales of the tertiary industries in each city. For each city, the constraint inequalities are set as:

\[
\frac{\sum_{i=16}^{18} \psi_{ij}x_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{14} \psi_{ij}x_j} \geq \delta
\]  

(5)

where \(\delta\) is the bottom level for the proportion of the tertiary value added, which is set based on Supplementary Table 5.

Constraint 4: constraints of inter-city economic connections. Constraints of inter-city economic connections are set to limit the internal economic connections within the BTH region, which is reflected by inter-city trade in this study. For each city, the constraint inequalities are as follows:

\[
\frac{t_{BTH}}{t'} \leq \eta
\]  

(6)

where \(t'\) is the total trade of the city \(r\), which includes the internal trade \(t'_{local}\), trade with other cities inside the BTH region \(t'_{BTH}\), trade with other regions outside the BTH region in China \(t'_{outside-BTH}\), and trade abroad \(t'_{abroad}\). \(t'(\cdot)\) includes the trade of intermediate goods \(\sum_{i=1}^{14} \sum_{j=1}^{16} a_{ij}x_j + \sum_{i=1}^{14} \sum_{j=1}^{16} a_{ij}x_j + \sum_{i=1}^{14} \sum_{j=1}^{16} a_{ij}x_j + t'_{outside-BTH} + t'_{abroad}\).

\[
t'_{local} = \sum_{i=1}^{16} \sum_{j=1}^{16} a_{ij}x_j + \sum_{i=1}^{16} y_i
\]  

(7)

\[
t'_{BTH} = t' - t'_{local} - t'_{outside-BTH} - t'_{abroad}
\]  

(8)

where \(t'_{BTH}\) is the total trade of the BTH region, which includes the internal trade \(t'_{local}\), trade within the BTH region \(t'_{BTH}\), trade with other regions outside the BTH region in China \(t'_{outside-BTH}\), and trade abroad \(t'_{abroad}\).

Objective 1 Constraint 1, Constraint 2, Constraint 3, Constraint 4

Objective 2 Constraint 1, Constraint 5

Objective 1 Constraint 1, Constraint 2, Constraint 3, Constraint 4, Constraint 6

Objective 1 Constraint 1, Constraint 2, Constraint 3, Constraint 4, Constraint 7

Objective 1 Constraint 1, Constraint 2, Constraint 3, Constraint 4, Constraint 6, Constraint 7
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