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ABSTRACT

Automotive and aerospace sectors have a pressing need for structural components that are lighter and stronger, aiming to improve energy efficiencies and reduce anthropogenic environment. Steel has already a wide variety of structural applications in the transportation industry due to its excellent properties. To further reduce CO₂ emissions, lightweight magnesium (Mg) and aluminum (Al) alloys have increasingly been used in the vehicle fabrication due to their lower density, higher specific strength and stiffness, excellent size stability and process ability. The structural application of these alloys inevitably involves welding and joining of similar Mg-to-Mg and Al-to-Al, and dissimilar Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel. Resistance spot welding produces coarse grains, large defects and thick brittle intermetallic compounds (IMCs) in the weld metal. Alternative solid-state welding processes are being considered such as ultrasonic spot welding (USW), which produces coalescence through the simultaneous application of localized high-frequency vibratory energy and moderate clamping forces. In this study, USW was successfully carried out on similar Mg alloy and dissimilar Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel alloys. The overall
objective of this work is to gain a better understanding of the dominant factors determining the joint performance, with particular emphasis on the microstructural evolution, crystallographic texture, micro-hardness, lap shear strength, fatigue resistance, fatigue life prediction model and fracture analysis of similar and dissimilar USWed joints. Overall, USWed Mg-to-Mg is stronger and more consistent in terms of weldability than the dissimilar USWed Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel. This was attributed to the large volume of thick brittle IMCs and significantly higher welds center hardness in dissimilar metals welding, which is the main cause of joint failure. The IMCs were confirmed by XRD, EDS and micro-hardness measurement tests. Therefore, another objective of this study is to minimize the presence of brittle IMCs and engineer an acceptable intermetallic layer to produce sound joints between Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel. A third material (tin foil or zinc coating) was placed in-between the work pieces. With this procedure, the lap shear strength of the welded samples was increased. The detailed microstructural characterization and mechanical properties of welded joints with an interlayer are presented.
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Environmental pollution may be attributed to a large extent to the transportation industry, predominantly CO\textsubscript{2} emissions produced by automotive vehicles. These can have devastating effects on human society and the environment [1-9]. This problem can be resolved by considering such as use of alternate fuel sources, aerodynamic improvements, and powertrain enhancements. However, lightweighting of auto-body seems to be the best cost effective option for significantly decreasing CO\textsubscript{2} emissions and improving fuel efficiency [10]. It is projected a 22.5 kg reduction in mass would improve fuel efficiency by approximately 1% [1]. Thus, currently, the application and development of the ultralight-weight magnesium (Mg) alloys have been considerably growing in the transportation industry due to its low density, superior damping capacity and high strength-to-weight ratio [1,11-17]. Similarly, because of the lightweight and good mechanical strength properties of aluminum (Al) alloys, there usage is it is becoming progressively substantial in high-speed passenger rail bodies and heavyweight vehicles [18, 19]. In addition, currently, steel is the most common metal used for the automotive vehicle industries. In order to achieve the desired properties of these multi-materials, welding and joining of these metals is essential. This dissertation represents a contribution to novel ultrasonic spot welding (USW) technique to join similar and dissimilar lightweight alloys. Specifically, USWed Mg alloys’ deformation mechanisms, dynamic recrystallization, its influence on crystallographic texture formation, and mechanical properties such as tensile and fatigue properties are studied. Furthermore, characterization of challenging dissimilar USW of Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and
Al-to-steel, respectively were performed, where the formation of intermetallic compounds (IMCs) during dissimilar USW has been systematically studied. To improve the mechanical properties like lap shear tensile and fatigue, IMCs of dissimilar USWed joints were engineered with the help of a Sn interlayer and Zn coating. The remainder of this Chapter establishes the motivation and framework for welding and particular USW process.

1.1 Motivation for welding

Unfortunately, to this point, research has not yet reached to print automobile vehicles by 3D-Printing. Thus, one has to rely on the joining technologies, which are inevitably involved in the structural application of the transportation industry. Amongst all joining technologies, welding is the most cost effective, efficacious, fast and reliable method to permanently join materials. According to the document, Vision of Welding, by American Society of Welding [20], welding is a critical technique and typically the final step in the assembly, and plays a major role in ensuring structural performance. So far, no other technique is as widely used by manufacturers for joining metals. By considering only spot-weld industry, more than 5000 spot welds are required to manufacture a single car. Thus, the development of reliable spot weld method is essentially required. In spot welding industries, there are three major welding technologies available in the current market, which are resistance spot welding (RSW), friction stir spot welding (FSSW) and USW. Among them USW has several wide range of advantages compared to that of RSW and FSSW (discussed later in section 2.1.7).
1.2 Motivation for ultrasonic spot welding

Conventional fusion welding techniques produce large grains, porosity, voids and other defects in the weld zone that seriously degrades mechanical properties of joints. In the auto body manufacturing for the steel car bodies, RSW has been a predominant process [21, 22]. Unfortunately, RSW is challenging and costly to apply to Mg and other alloys such as Al alloys owing to their tendency to degrade the electrodes, low strength at high temperature, and high conductivity [23]. In RSW, the high-energy requirement (50-100 kJ per weld) [24, 25] and formation of large heat affected zone (HAZ) are main concerned. Recently, attention has been paid to two solid-state welding processes, namely FSSW and USW, because the liquid phase reaction in the fusion zone during RSW can be avoided. Although FSSW has the potential to produce effective welds between similar and dissimilar materials, a relatively long welding cycle (or time) would be a limiting factor for its widespread adoption in automotive manufacturing [22]. A different solid-state spot welding process that has not attracted much attention is ultrasonic spot welding (USW) [24-27]. Due to the limited high power availability, USW has lately become cost-effective to apply for thicker sheet of metals, though it was discovered in 1950 to join thin foils [28]. USW is extra effective than FSSW, since it generates energy at the joining line (in-between the faying surfaces) [29] rather than at only top surfaces as in FSSW [30, 31]. Further, with regards to welding energy, it uses only 0.6 to 1.5 kJ per weld, while RSW uses 50-100 kJ per weld. In comparison with FSSW, USW has been shown to have a shorter weld cycle (typically < 0.5 s) and produces high quality joints that are stronger than FSSW when compared on the basis of the same nugget area [32,33]. Further, the normal FSSW leaves an exit hole after welding [34]. From
the point of view of energy consumption, USW has more advantages. For example, welding of aluminum alloys using a USW process consumes only about 0.3 kWh per 1000 joints [22,35] compared to 20 kWh with RSW, and 2 kWh with FSSW [22].

1.3 Objective and scope of this dissertation

A number of papers have recently reported the joining of similar alloys by FSW, FSSW, laser welding, and RSW, especially for steel and Al alloys. However, very limited studies have been reported for the welding of similar Mg alloy, and no study with USW technique is available in the literature. Thus, critical information is still not available in the open literature, such as the optimum welding parameters, microstructural studies, mechanical properties like tensile, fatigue and hardness, thermal profile and crystallographic texture. It is well known that to weld similar alloys is relatively straightforward, but it is fairly challenging to join dissimilar alloys, since the differences in their physical and chemical properties such as, melting point, electric conductivity and thermal-physical properties are large. Furthermore, in the welding of dissimilar metals a rapid formation of brittle IMCs occurs, which can highly degrade the mechanical properties of welded joints [36]. Some limited work on the USW of dissimilar Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel has recently been reported [37, 38]. However, ways of enhancing the USW joint strength have not been systematically studied.

In this regard, microstructure and mechanical properties such as tensile and fatigue, of all welded joints used in structural applications must be evaluated to ensure the integrity and safety of the joints and structures. However, until now, the evaluation of differently welded
joints in Mg and Al alloys using novel welding techniques in terms of mechanical properties is very limited.

The overall objective of this work is, therefore, to gain a better understanding of the principal factors of USW of similar and dissimilar lightweight alloys. The specific objectives include the following:

- To evaluate the influence of USW in a Mg alloy on microstructure, crystallographic texture, lap shear tensile strength, fatigue resistance, and failure mode.
- To study the microstructure and mechanical properties of dissimilar USWed Mg-to-Al joints and evaluate the influence of introducing an interlayer on the mechanical properties.
- To characterize USWed joints of Mg-to-galvanized and ungalvanized steel with and without a interlayer.
- To study microstructure, tensile and fatigue properties of USWed joints of Al-to-steel alloy.

1.4 Structure of the dissertation

This dissertation has been structured as follows: A literature survey is discussed in Chapter 2 on the principal and fundamental study of USW, and some prior works on welding of similar and dissimilar joints of lightweight materials. Chapter 3 gives the experimental procedures involved in this study. Chapter 4 is on USW of similar Mg alloy, where microstructure evolution, relationship between Zener-Hollomon parameter and grain size,
microhardness profile, crystallographic texture, lap shear tensile and fatigue properties and fatigue life prediction model of USW joints are discussed. **Chapters 5, 6, 7** are on USW of challenging dissimilar Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel, respectively, where all dissimilar combinations of USW joints were characterized and enhanced lap shear weld strength by Sn interlayer and Zn coating placed in-between the faying surfaces. **Chapter 8** presents summary, contribution and future scope of the relevant work.
CHAPTER 2
LITERATURE REVIEW

In this section, a literature review is presented to facilitate a concise overview of the USW of lightweight alloys, and to identify areas where further research is needed. The focus of this Chapter is to provide a review of the published research relevant to the topic of USW of lightweight alloys. This review is divided into two sections: the principles and fundamentals of USW system and prior work in the area of welding of Mg, Al and steel.

2.1. Principles and fundamentals of USW

While USW is extensively used in applications ranging from plastic industries, tube sealing, welding microscopic connections on microchips to, including electrical interconnections, the focus of this work is limited to the sheet metal welding, where the welding mechanism is thought to be different from that for thins foils, wires and plastics. In the following subsections, a brief outline of the USW process is presented.

2.1.1 History of USW

Some 60 years ago ultrasound was used to improve grain refinement in fusion welding and brazing [39]. Ultrasonic welding process was discovered in the late 1940s when, in research at the Aeroprojects Company of West Chester, Pennsylvania (the forerunner of the current Sonobond Corporation), ultrasonic vibrations were applied to conventional resistance
welding equipment with the objective of decreasing surface resistance in spot welding of aluminium [39]. During this work, it was found that ultrasound alone was capable of producing a bonding of the metals. Similar work on welding by mechanical vibration was reported by Willrich in 1950, who obtained cold weld in the region of resistance welding by applying low frequency vibration to the tool that was designed for this purpose [40]. Ultrasonic metal welding equipment was first patented in 1960 [25].

2.1.2 USW equipment

In USW, there are numerous variations of the process available, such as those based on geometry, type of the weld and the metals to be joined. Among several different variations are, for example ring, torsion, seam, and line [41]. This study is focused on USW for sheet metals, which is most common to build automotive vehicle structure. This kind of weld typically used welding area of up to 50 mm² (in this study, 8 mm x 6 mm). Figure 2.1 shows two general configurations of USW system that can be used, namely a wedge-reed system and a lateral-drive system. Both systems work with similar vibrations mechanisms. However they are different in their application and shape [42]. The components of the both systems are briefly discussed in the following two sections.

2.1.3 Wedge-reed USW

In the wedge-reed system shown in Figure 2-1 (a), the components are the power supply, transducer, and wedge and reed. These components produce and transmit high frequency
ultrasonic vibration energy to the work piece that is fastened between the welding tip and the anvil. In this study, dual wedge-reed system was used (no anvil is present, rather it has wedge-reed configuration on both sides). The transducer produces a vibration from the piezoelectric disks. Clamping force is applied along the longitudinal axis of the reed. In this technique, the anvil is often a vibrating member, thus the overall motion across the interface increase [41]. Therefore, wedge-reed system is well known for joining higher-strength alloys. The generation of amplitude in this system is in the range of 10 to 100 μm (peak to peak) [43]. In wedge-reed system, as the transducer is straightly brazed or welded to the reed and reed to the welding tip (via threaded screw), the transducer is only capable of driving the welding tip, and it has no control on weld parameters. Hence no resistance is directly received by it.
2.1.4 Lateral-drive USW

This system consists of a transducer, booster and horn with a welding tip, as seen in Figure 2-1(b). The combination of booster and horn sometimes known as ‘welding stack,’ which is connected together to the transducer. In a similar manner to the wedge-reed system, the transducer generates a vibration from the piezoelectric disks. When a voltage potential is applied across the piezoelectric crystals, a small mechanical displacement occurs. When the voltage is applied at high frequencies, the transducer produces a corresponding high-frequency mechanical displacement. Then, booster modifies mechanical amplitude produced by the transducer. The horn can further modify the amplitude of the vibration to meet the weld requirements [42]. The ultrasonic vibration of the welding horn is in a parallel direction to the specimen surface as shown in the Figure 2.1(b), creating a scrubbing motion at faying surfaces. This leads the friction, causing shear deformation and a flattening of the surface asperities and then subsequent weld formation. Unlike wedge-reed system, this system is suitable for joining thin gauges. This system can allow for measurement of process parameters, such as actual welding energy, mechanical amplitude and clamping force, more accurately than the wedge-reed system. These accurate values help to correlate the weld strength to the different welding conditions [41].

2.1.5 Principles of USW

The principles of USW and its range of applications remain not fully understood because most studies predominantly focus on ultrasonic plastic welding and its applications. For
proper understanding of USW process, the schematic diagram of USW is shown in Figure 2.2. The welding process can be subdivided into four main phases:

(i) A compressive static clamping force is applied by the sonotrode to the workpieces to be joined. The protuberances (bumps) of the two surfaces come in contact, but contaminants and oxides layer still avoid the workpieces from bonding.

(ii) Ones the power supply gives electrical energy, the transducer converts this electrical energy into mechanical energy with the same frequency and transmits mechanical vibration via the wedge and reed to the work pieces. Now, the protuberances in contact undergo a shear deformation (Figure 2.2). At first, these contact areas are localized in correspondence to very few irregularities, which grow in number and size during the further vibration cycles.

(iii) Now, this process breaks the oxide and contaminates layers and brings fresh metal-to-metal contact and adhesion can occur (Figure 2.2). The plastic shear deformation produces heat due to the friction generation, which lowering the yield strength of the material while the weld area grows. Thus, the material becomes soft not only due to temperature but also to preferential absorption of acoustic energy at the material dislocations: the ultrasonic wave transferred into the metal lattice, and it absorbed the acoustic energy where the defects present (such as dislocation).

(iv) Finally, after the last welding cycle, area under the welding tips is completely
Figure 2.2: Schematic diagram of the dual-reed USW machine with a schematic model of the weld development at interface
plastically deformed and composed by recrystallized grains. At last, metallic bonding takes place all over the weld zone under the sonotrode. This description of the USW phenomenon provides a microstructural interpretation, which is drawn by DeVries [39].

**2.1.6 Applications of USW**

USW has extensive application in the industry such as automotive, electronics/electrical appliances, medical instruments and packaging. Conceivably the most common application of USW is in the automobile manufacturing industry, where it is used to weld the sheet metal to form a car. Most of the spot-welding, nowadays can be performed by completely automated industrial robots. Recently, the technique has been adopted for lightweight-softer sheet metal welding in aerospace fields. Another potential application of USW is to join Li-Iron battery cells. Generally, harder metals are more problematic to weld by technique, since more energy is required for achieving optimum weld, which can potentially damage the tool properties. These harder and thicker metals are welded by the so-called dual wedge-reed USW system, in which two transducers are installed to generate supplementary power (which is used in this study). Environments such as in water or a vacuum are also suitable for this process [41, 44]. Currently, USW technique is used for alloys of copper, magnesium, aluminum, silver, titanium, nickel, and gold. Along with the spot welding, ultrasonic metal welding systems can be used successfully in different welding applications, such as ring welding, line welding, continuous seam welding [45, 46]
2.1.7 Advantages of USW

In the spot welding industries, there are various types of joining techniques available in today’s market such as RSW, FSSW, USW. and they all have some drawbacks and some advantages compared to USW. Several challenges are associated with the application of joining lightweight Al and Mg alloys in automotive body construction. In addition, because of the high heat input in RSW, it causes dimensional problems and a weakened HAZ. FSSW consumes much time (1 to 5 sec) compared to the USW (0.5 to 1 sec). Laser-welding equipment is costly and requires skilled operators. In today’s aluminum vehicles, clinching, riveting, adhesive bonding find applications but they come with added complexity and cost or weight penalties [25]. Moreover, it can be seen from Table 2.1 that USW needs very small energy compared to the other joining techniques.

As discussed earlier in section 1.2 and as seen from Table 2.1, USW is more efficient than RSW since it uses only 0.6-1.5 kJ per weld compared to the RSW (50-100 kJ per weld)

Table 2.1 Comparison of the approximate requirement and weld cycle times for different spot joining process in 1 mm aluminum sheet [35].

| Process | Energy per weld (kJ) | Weld time (s) |
|---------|----------------------|---------------|
| RSW     | 50-100               | 0.15-0.3      |
| FSSW    | 3-6                  | 1.0-5.0       |
| USW     | 0.6-1.5              | 0.25-0.6      |
and FSSW (3-6 kJ per weld). In FSSW, welding energy is predominantly generated between the faying surfaces (joining line) rather than at the top surface as in FSSW. Moreover, USW has the same advantages as FSSW, in that it is solid-sate friction joining process, but has a shorter welding time with good mechanical performance and no HAZ damage [35]. In comparison with FSSW, USW has been shown to have a shorter weld cycle (typically < 0.5 s) and produce high quality joints that are stronger than FSSW when compared on basis of the same nugget area [24, 25]. Besides, the normal FSSW leaves an exit hole after welding [34]. From the point of view of energy consumption, USW is far more advantageous. For example, welding aluminum alloys using a USW process consumes only about 0.3 kWh per 1000 joints [35, 22] compared to 20 kWh with RSW, and 2 kWh with FSSW [22]. It is worth to mention that the Ford Motor Company has recently (2005, 2007) considered the feasibility of applying USW to Al body assemblies with promising results [24, 25]. Some of the direct and relative costs of joining technologies such as RSW, SPR, GMAW, Adhesive bonding, USW, when applied to aluminum joining, are shown in Table 2.2. Among them, USW offers the

Table 2.2 Joining technology cost comparison [25]

| Joining methods          | Average equipment cost | Relative energy consumption | Variable cost per joint |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| RSW (8 mm welding cap)   | $16,000                | 6                          | 5                       |
| SPR (3 mm rivet)         | $60,000                | 1                          | 10                      |
| GMAW (25 mm)             | $12,000                | 18                         | 3                       |
| Adhesive Bonding (25x13 mm) | $85,000            | 1                          | 2                       |
| USW (8x6mm)              | $28,000                | 1                          | 1                       |
possibility of joining aluminum automotive body structures in an environmentally friendly and cost-efficient manner.

### 2.1.8 USW parts and their functions

As shown in Figure 2.3, USW typically contains five key parts: (1) power supply, (2) piezoelectric transducer (3) wedge (4) reed, and (5) pneumatic cylinder. The power supply delivers electrical energy of the order of 2-3 kW [25] at a high frequency of about 20 kHz. A piezoelectric transducer converts this electrical energy into the linear mechanical vibrations of the identical frequency. A wedge intensifies the mechanical vibration amplitude. A reed transfers this vibratory energy into the welding sample, and pneumatic cylinder supplies clamping pressure to bring two metals into the intimate contact. In a dual reed welder, two transducers with two welding tips are used as discussed earlier, which introduces a small amplitude of ~20–40 µm [46] under a moderate clamping force.
2.2 Prior works in the area of USW

Although USW technique found much earlier in 1950, its use was limited to the thin foils and wires within the electronics industry due to the inadequate power availability [28, 22, 46-48]. Therefore, a substantial amount of information is still lacking for the sheet metal joining industry. Except the work published by Jahn et al. [24] and a few other authors [26, 27, 35] there has been little research published on the microstructure and mechanical
properties of the USWed thicker automotive sheet metal. Elangovan et al. [29] have performed FEM based study to measure the thermal profile during the USW, where they found that temperature reaches as high as 300 °C within just 0.5 sec. In agreement with this study, most research on conventional low energy USW assumes that welding occurs at relatively low temperatures (< 300 °C) [28, 46, 49]. They also found that temperature gradient is much higher in a center of the welded joint along with the thickness of the welded samples. However, the actual temperature at the center of the welded joint during the USW process by experimental method is still elusive. Jahn et al. [24] and Bakavos and Prangnell [35] have studied the USWed Al alloy, where they studied the microstructure and mechanical properties of the joints. Bakavos and Prangnell [35] have found that USWed Al alloy can achieve as high as 3.5 kN lap shear fracture load within as short of 0.3 s. Jahn et al. [24] have also worked on the USWed Al alloys and investigated the influence of the anvil geometry and welding energy on microstructures of the welded joints. Since welding and joining of dissimilar metals are bound to involve in the structure of automotive vehicle body, Watanabe et al. [26], have investigated the feasibility of joining of Al-to-steel by USW process. However, so far, significant research is still absent for joining similar and dissimilar ultra lightweight Mg alloy. Thus, the following sections are briefly discussed on the prior work on the welding of Mg alloy by other welding technologies.

2.2.1 Welding of similar Mg Alloy

Because of specific physical and chemical properties of Mg, its welding requires less and precise power input. The solid-state reaction with oxygen forms an oxide layer immediately,
when it is exposed to the open environment. This oxide layer is thermodynamically stable, which inhibits the joining process [50, 51]. Mg has very low melting point, but the melting point of the oxide is very high. Therefore, prior to the welding of Mg, the oxide coating from the faying surfaces must be removed. Many of the joining technologies failed to remove the oxide layer while USW has potential to remove in first initial cycles due to higher shear force cause by the high frequency vibration. Further, Mg is a very active metal with high thermal conductivity, relatively high thermal expansion coefficient [50] and the rate of oxidation increases as the temperature is increased. Thus, welding of Mg is different from the welding of steels. In the following subsection, the feasibility of joining process of Mg alloy is briefly discussed.

2.2.1.1 Microstructure, grain size and recrystallization

The microstructure of a material can intensely influence the physical properties of materials such as, hardness, ductility, toughness, strength, wear resistance, corrosion resistance, high/low temperature behavior, and so on. Lang et al. [52] studied the RSW of AZ31 sheet and examined the effects of welding times on the microstructure. Metallographic examination showed that weld nuggets contain two distinct structures. A cellular dendritic structure grows at the edge of the nuggets (Figures 2.4(a) and (b)), and an equiaxed dendritic structure appears in the central portion of the nuggets, as shown in Figures 2.4(c) and (d). The alteration from cellular dendritic to equiaxed dendrite structures in the nuggets is attributed to the changes of solidification conditions. It can be seen from Figure 2.4 that with increasing welding times from one to 16 cycles, the cellular dendritic and equiaxed dendrite
crystals in the nuggets become coarser, which has a negative effect on the mechanical properties of the joints.

Naik et al. [53] studied the microstructure of FSLW of AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy, resulted in the formation of distinct regions in the weldmelt, namely the stir zone (SZ), the thermo mechanically affected zone (TMAZ) on both sides of the SZ, and the heat affected zone (HAZ) surrounding between the TMAZ and BM, as shown in Figure 2.5. The as-received
Figure 2.5—(a) Overview of the FSLWed Mg/Mg joint obtained at a tool rotational rate of 1000 rpm and a welding speed of 20 mm/s with (b) to (g) showing the microstructures in the different regions as indicated in (a) [53].

The microstructure of the BM consisted of mainly elongated grains in conjunction with fine equiaxed and recrystallized grains, as shown in Figure 2.5(g). In the SZ and TMAZ (Figures 2.5(c) to (e)), the severe plastic deformation and the elevated temperature resulted in the dynamic recrystallization of the AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy, and the grains became predominately equiaxed in both regions. However, relative to the BM, the grains in the SZ and TMAZ were...
larger in size, indicating the concomitant occurrence of grain coarsening under the welding conditions in the present study. The HAZ microstructure lay in between those of TMAZ and BM. In comparison with the BM, the HAZ contained a greater fraction of equiaxed grains and a slightly coarser grain size (Figures 2.5(b) and (f)) due to the dominating effect of frictional heating, which resulted in the partial recrystallization of the elongated/deformed grains and the coarsening of the original equiaxed recrystallized grains in the BM microstructure in the H24 temper.

Fukumoto et al. [54] worked on linear friction welding of AZ31 alloy. The typical macroscopic view of a friction welded AZ31 is shown in Figure 2.6. They also found different microstructure at different location near to the weld. Since there are no specific regions defined in linear friction welding, distance from the weld interface is taken as a ‘x’ parameter. In other words, x = 0 mm indicates the weld interface. Microstructural flow from center to the periphery was observed. It can be seen from Figure 2.6 that BM microstructures gradually changed toward the weld interface. As shown from Figure 2.6, cross sections of weld showed four characteristic regions, that is, fine-grain (x = 0 mm), mixed-grain (x = 2 mm) and twin regions (x = 5 mm) and large grain region (x = 11 mm). Fine grain region is located at the weld interface and consists of new fine grains. They were formed by dynamic recrystallization due to a hot heavy work process. Mixed-grain region adjoins the fine-grain region and consists of small and large grains (Figures 2.6(b) and (c)). Large grains were surrounded by small grains (Figure 2.6(d)), which showed mesh structure. It is believed that the mesh structure was originally from shear band. Recrystallized grains were born at the shear bands as the nucleation site.
Figure 2.6: Microstructures of friction weld AZ31 near friction weld interface, $t_1 = 1.5 \text{s}$, $P_1 = 50 \text{MPa}$ and $P_2 = 100 \text{MPa}$ and $N = 40 \text{s}^{-1}$ (a) $x = 0 \text{mm}$, (b) $x = 2 \text{mm}$, (c) $x = 5 \text{mm}$, (d) $x = 11 \text{mm}$ [54].

From these three studies and other similar works [55-57], it is seen that due to the higher heat generation in fusion welding, and high strain rate of solid state welding severely changes microstructure. Recently, Santella et al. [38] showed that during the USW of AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy-to-steel, the microstructure of AZ31B-H24 experienced dynamic recrystallization and grain growth. Dynamic recrystallization during USW of Al alloy was also reported by Bakavos and Prangnell [35]. However, it is still not known how the USW parameters influence on the microstructure of Mg alloy, whether it creates the distinct regions like several processes as stated earlier.
It is well known that the grain refinement is controlled by the strain rate and peak temperature. Generally, average grain size decreases with decreasing working temperature and increasing strain rate [58, 59-61]. In order to understand the simultaneous effect of both parameters, Zener and Hollomon [62] developed the Zener-Hollomon (Z) parameter which is expressed as,

\[ Z = \dot{\varepsilon} \cdot e^{\frac{Q}{RT}}, \]  

where \( \dot{\varepsilon} \) is the strain rate, \( R \) is the universal gas constant, \( T \) is the temperature, and \( Q \) is the related activation energy [58, 61-62]. This Z parameter appears to be useful in predicting the resulting grain size and has been widely used to combine the temperature and strain rate [59, 60]. There are many studies indicating the dependence of product quality and internal structure performance on Z. Recently, Chang et al. [58] developed the relationship between grain size and Zener-Holloman parameter (Z) of friction stir processed (FSP) AZ31 Mg alloy, but information is missing in this respect for USW of Mg alloy.

2.2.1.2 Crystallographic texture of welded Mg alloy

In addition to the microstructure, mechanical properties are also closely related to crystallographic texture. Many chemical, mechanical and physical properties of crystals depend on their crystalline orientations, and wherever a crystallographic texture exists in polycrystalline materials, directionality or anisotropy of these properties are different [63]. Preferred orientations of the unit cells of the atoms called crystallographic texture, sometimes plays a vital role in many physical and mechanical behaviors. Since the active use of Mg, Titanium, Zn, crystallographic textures in the hexagonal metals have attracted
significant interest over the years [64]. It is noted that, for hcp crystalline structure, such as Mg alloys, deformation is dominated by the active deformation modes of slip or twinning, and, hence, the development of texture [65]. The large difference in the critical resolved shear stress between the basal and non-basal slip result in significant anisotropic mechanical properties of Mg alloys [66], and hence the textures that develop during USW would have a strong influence on the mechanical properties. A number of researcher have studied the crystallographic texture (at different positions within the work piece, namely SZ, TMAZ, HAZ and BM) of FSP, FSW, RSW and their influence on the mechanical properties such as compression and tensile behavior [67-71]. In addition, except for the work done by Zhu et al. [72, 73] on the texture evolution of the USWed aluminum foil, most research in the area of USW have worked on the microstructure and the tensile properties of the USWed joints. However, studies so far have not led to a fundamental understanding of crystallographic texture formation and their influence on mechanical properties of USW Mg alloys.

2.2.1.3 Fatigue behavior of welded Mg alloy

The process optimization for most of the welding techniques has been mainly determined via the lap shear tensile failure loads. However, the structural applications of these welded joints would inevitably involve dynamic loading in service. In view of the durability and safety of vehicles, the fatigue resistance of the welded joints is of vital importance. In the studies of Chowdhury et al. for FSSW [34], Naik et al. for friction stir lap welding (FSLW) [53] and Chen et al. for friction stir lap welding (FSLW) [74] of an AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy, it was observed that the existence of hooking defects in the friction stir lap welds was a key factor
that significantly reduced the fatigue strength or life due to the sharp tips causing severe stress concentration and subsequently reduced load-bearing capacity. In the study of Liu et al. [75] for the RSW of AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy, it was reported that the crack initiation occurred due to the combined effect of grain growth and stress concentration in the HAZ, and the presence of Al-rich phases at HAZ grain boundaries. However, it is still elusive how and where the crack initiates and how it propagates up to the failure in the fatigue testing of USWed joints. On the other hand, it is also vital to estimate the fatigue life of welded joints. There are five main methods to predict fatigue life for spot welding, reference strain approach, local strain-based approach, stress intensity approach, structural stress model approach and crack growth approach. Reference and local strain based methods must be used with care because strain changes rapidly near spot-welds. Exact placement of strain gauges, as well as the size of the strain gauges used, which is critical and needs to be standardized. Stress intensity factor methods limits for the sample fails through the main crack. Tran et al. [76] adopted a structured stress model to correlate their experimental fatigue data based on the closed-form and computational stress intensity factor solutions for spot welds in the lap-shear and cross-tension specimens. Recently, a similar model has been adopted by the Franklin et al. [77] to predict the fatigue lives of dissimilar USWed Mg-to-steel joints. However, the estimation of fatigue life was not fully in agreement with the experimental results. Thus, kink crack growth approach developed by Newman and Dowling (ND) [78] is needed to apply for USW of Mg joints. Basically, Newman and Dowling (in spot-weld) [78] and Lin et al. (in FSSW) [79], adopted Pook’s [80] and Zhang’s [81, 82] global stress intensity factor equations, respectively, to develop a model for predicting the fatigue lives of lap-shear work piece based on a crack growth approach with consideration of the local stress
intensity factors for kinked cracks (i.e., cracks which initiate and propagate from the main crack tip or notch in the lap shear specimens). However, it is unclear if this method can be used to predict the fatigue life of the USWed Mg alloy joints. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate the fatigue behavior, examine failure mode, and predict the fatigue life of USWed AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy joints. Several fatigue life prediction approaches, including the global stress intensity factor of Pook [80], Zhang [81, 82], Swellam et al. [83] and Lin et al. [79], and kinked fatigue crack growth model as described by Newman and Dowling [78] and Lin et al. [79] are compared and discussed.

2.2.2 Welding of dissimilar alloys

There are many structure applications in which two or more metals are required. This brings about the need for joining dissimilar metals. Two factors are really important in the dissimilar welding of metals: intimate contact between two surfaces and IMCs of the welded samples. IMCs are extremely important, since it is closely related to the mechanical properties of the joints. In the following subsection, the feasibility of joining process of Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel alloys are briefly discussed.

2.2.2.1 Welding of dissimilar Mg-to-Al alloys

There are a number of lightweight materials available for use in automobiles, but Al stands out for many applications due to its high corrosion resistance, recyclability and compatibility with existing manufacturing techniques. In order to achieve a good combination of the
properties of Mg and Al alloys, owing to their lightweight nature, high strength-to-weight ratio, good castability and workability [84-88], the development of reliable joints between Mg and Al alloys is required. Fusion welding of Al and Mg alloys always produces large brittle IMCs and coarse grains, voids, porosities, distortions, large defects in a weld region. This implies that conventional fusion welding process cannot be practically useful to join Mg and Al alloys. According to Rathod and Kutsuna [36] in situation of dissimilar welds such as Al-to-steel and Al-to-titanium, it is easy to understand solid/liquid state reaction at the faying surfaces of two metals, where only the metal with a lower melting temperature is melted. However, it is problematic to apply this method to Mg-to-Al alloy joint, where difference between their melting points is minor (melting points of Al is ~660°C and Mg is ~650°C). While joining Mg and Al alloys, the main disadvantage is the formation of brittle IMCs of $\text{Al}_{12}\text{Mg}_{17}$ and $\text{Al}_3\text{Mg}_2$, and this degrade the mechanical properties of the joint [89]. These phases are brittle and lead to fracture, making it difficult to obtain a non-brittle and strong joint between Mg and Al alloys. Thus, many studies failed to achieve a sound joint between dissimilar welding of Mg and Al. A possible approach to improve the joint strength by reducing the tendency for the formation of brittle IMC would be to consider a third material as an interlayer which could interact with both Mg and Al from their respective binary diagrams [90, 91] Several researchers have used third material (interlayer) between the two faying surface of the weld metals in lap joining [86, 88, 89, 92, 93] for increasing the lap shear strength of the weld joint.
2.2.2.2 Welding of dissimilar Mg-to-steel alloys

Joining Mg alloys to steel is challenging since the difference between the two is substantial physically, chemically and mechanically. According to the phase diagram of Mg and Fe, there are no intermediate phases in their binary system that would allow chemical reaction to promote bonding. According to Santella et al. [38], below 900°C, only about ~0.02 wt% Mg dissolve in Fe, and this limit rapidly decreases at lower temperatures. Similarly, the maximum solubility of Fe in Mg is only ~0.001 wt% at 640°C, which further decreases at lower temperatures. Various methods such as laser welding [94], arc welding [95], friction stir welding (FSW) [85], and resistance spot welding [96] have been explored for dissimilar welding of Mg-to-steel. Liu and Zhao [95] studied the dissimilar lap joining of AZ31B Mg alloy-to-stainless steel 304 using laser-GTA and found poor mechanical properties of the joints due to the metallic oxides produced at the Mg-Fe interface. Wei et al. [97] studied the dissimilar lap joining of AZ31 Mg alloy-to-stainless steel SUS302 and found void and microtype defects at the interface. Chen and Nakata [85] reported that FSWed AZ31 Mg alloy-to-zinc coated steel joints showed higher fracture loads than the FSWed AZ31 Mg alloy-to-brushed finish steel joints (without Zn coating), which demonstrates that the presence of a Zn coating considerably improved the weldability of Mg-to-steel. In most studies, Zn coated steel has been used for the welding of dissimilar Mg alloys to steel and the joints achieved higher lap shear tensile strength than the joints made with uncoated or bare steel. This study could be extended to some other interlayer, which could interact with both Mg and Fe elements. Liu et al. [92] in the hybrid laser-TIG welding of Mg-to-steel have used Sn as an interlayer and showed the improvement of the mechanical properties.
2.2.2.3 Welding of dissimilar Al-to-steel alloys

Many studies have shown that the major metallurgical problem of joining Al alloys with steel is the formation of brittle IMCs such as Fe$_3$Al, FeAl, FeAl$_2$, Fe$_2$Al$_5$ and FeAl$_3$, at the faying interface [76, 83-87], which can seriously degrade the mechanical properties of the joint. To minimize the formation of IMCs during the welding, Chen et al. [98] have used nickel foil as an interlayer in-between the faying surfaces of Al and steel and obtained relatively higher tensile lap shear tensile load and lower microhardness compared to joints formed in the absent of nickel foil. Watanabe et al. [37] used 1.2 mm thick commercially pure Al sheet (A1050-24H) as an insert metal in the USW of Al-to-mild steel. However, the samples exhibited only about 2 kN maximum lap shear tensile load. Maldonado et al. [99] have investigated the microstructure of friction weld with silver interlayer in-between the Al and steel and showed the presence of the Ag$_3$Al phase along with the brittle Al-Fe IMCs. Haddadi et al. (in USW) [100], Chen et al. (in friction stir welding) [101], Ueda et al. (in RSW) [102] have used Zn coated steel to minimize the reaction between Al and Fe during the welding and showed the improvement of the mechanical properties.

2.3 Summary of the literature review

Based on the above literature survey, it is clear that many researchers have worked on the different welding techniques such as FSSW, RSW, FSW, USW, GMAW to weld lightweight alloys (Mg and Al alloys). Along with the optimum parameters for similar and dissimilar
joints of USW, very few studies have been reported so far on the USW of lightweight alloys in areas listed below:

- For the similar USW of Mg-to-Mg, the information is still lacking on the effect of higher shear strain rate (caused by the simultaneous application of vibration and pressure) and welding temperature on the dynamic recrystallization of grains. There have been no studies so far on a fundamental understanding of the deformation mechanism and dynamic recrystallization and their influence on crystallographic texture formation and mechanical properties of USW Mg alloys. Similarly, studies are lacking on the fatigue properties of USWed joints, in particular on crack initiation and propagation to failure. Furthermore, it is not known if the Newman and Dowling [78] method can be used to predict the fatigue life of the USWed Mg alloy joints.

- For the challenging dissimilar USW of Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel, so far, it is also not known whether engineering the brittle IMCs via insertion of interlayer during USW would increase the mechanical properties of the joints.

Therefore, the present work on similar and dissimilar USW of lightweight alloys was undertaken to gain a better understanding of the dominant factors determining the joint performance with particular emphasis on the microstructural evolution and mechanical properties. A number of experiments were undertaken to achieve the listed objectives (section 1.3):

- USW of similar Mg alloy on microstructure evolution, grain size measurement, temperature measurement during USW, microhardness profile, crystallographic texture, lap shear tensile and fatigue properties and fatigue life prediction model of
USW joints

- USW of dissimilar Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel, respectively, where all dissimilar combinations of USW joints were characterized and the role of Sn interlayer and Zn coating in enhancing mechanical strength were also systematically studied.
CHAPTER 3
MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

This Chapter provides details about the materials and experimental procedures used in this research. Specifically, details are presented for the equipment and methods that are used for the characterization of the USWed joints.

3.1 Experimental materials

The current research involves commercial 2 mm thick sheet AZ31B-H24 rolled Mg alloy with the composition listed in Table 3.1. This alloy is selected as model material because it has many structural applications in the automobile and aerospace industries. For dissimilar Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel joints, 1.5 mm thick sheet of Al5754-O rolled Al alloy with the composition listed in Table 3.2 and 0.8 mm thick sheet of galvanized HSLA 340 steel with the composition listed in Table 3.3 were selected. For the interlayer studies, a 50 μm thick pure Sn interlayer was used for placement between the work pieces. The physical properties of materials used in this research are shown in Table 3.4.

| Table 3.1: Chemical composition of the AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy (wt %). |
|------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Al  | Zn  | Mn  | Ni  | Fe  | Mg  |
| 3   | 1   | 0.6 | 0.005 | 0.005 | Bal.  |
Table 3.2: Chemical composition of the Al5754-O Al alloy (wt %).

|     | Mg  | Mn  | Sc  | Zr  | Al  |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|     | 3.42| 0.63| 0.23| 0.22| Bal.|

Table 3.3: Chemical composition of the HSLA 340 steel (wt %).

| C   | Si   | Mn  | P   | S   | Ni  | Cr  | Mo  | Cu  | Al  | Ti  | Nb  | Fe  |
|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0.06| 0.227| 0.624| 0.006| 0.004| 0.013| 0.041| 0.005| 0.044| 0.039| 0.003| 0.021| Bal.|

Table 3.4: Physical properties of the material being used in this experiment*

| Materials     | Density, g/cm³ | Modulus of elasticity, GPa | Yield strength, MPa | Ultimate tensile strength, MPa | Elongation, % | Thermal conductivity, W/m.K | Melting point, °C |
|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| AZ31B-H24     | 1.77           | 45                          | 220                 | 290                           | 15            | 96                         | 605-630          |
| Al5754-O      | 2.71           | 71                          | 96                  | 220                           | 26            | 125                        | 640-660          |
| HSLA 340 steel| 7.87           | 205                         | 370                 | 445                           | 26.7          | 43                         | 1500-1550        |

*Data taken from [www.matweb.com](http://www.matweb.com)

### 3.2 Processing parameters of USW

The USW system employed was a dual wedge–reed, Sonobond-MH2016 machine. The samples were welded at energy levels from 500 to 3000 J at a constant power setting of 2000 W, an impedance setting of 8 on the machine, and a pressure of 0.414 MPa. The specimens were 80 mm long and 15 mm wide as shown in Figure 3.1. To remove the oxide layer on the
faying surfaces, the specimen were ground using 120 emery papers perpendicular to the
ultrasonic vibration direction, and then washed using acetone and dried before welding.

3.3 Welding tip

Ultrasonic vibration is applied to the welding tip. When clamping force is applied to the tip,
the texture of tool grips the weld specimens, which effectively isolates the relative motion to
the joint interface. A sound joint could be formed with the proper welding tip’s texture and
geometry. Numerous welding tips available in the market, depending on

Figure 3.1: Schematic diagram of the lap joint

Figure 3.2: Images of the welding tip with its knurl pattern
the texture and geometry. The contact area of the flat tip was much greater than with the spherical tips, and therefore a lower applied power density was needed to apply. Thus, in this study, flat standard serrated (kurnl pattern) tip was used in all experiments as shown in the Figure 3.2.

### 3.4 Metallography

Cross-section samples for optical microscopy (OM) and JSM-6380LV Scanning electron microscope (SEM) were prepared from the welded lap joint coupons by sectioning through the weld region parallel to the vibration direction of USW. The mounted samples were manually ground with SiC papers up to a grit of #1200 with water as the lubricant and then polished using 6, 3 and 1 µm diamond paste followed by the 0.05 µm MASTERPREP solutions. The polishing lubricant for the diamond paste was a mixture of rust inhibiting solution and distilled water (10% solution by volume). Ethanol was used as a cleaning agent during the polishing stages. To reveal the microstructure of USWed Mg, etching was done using a solution of ethanol (10 ml), picric acid (5 g), acetic acid (5 ml) and water (10 ml).

### 3.5 Quantitative image analysis

Microscopic images were taken using an OM and SEM. Image analysis was performed using routine Clemex software to obtain the grain size. The Clemex image analysis system was composed of Clemex CMT software adaptable to ASTM standards, a Nikon optical microscope (10× eye piece, five different object lenses with magnifications of 5×, 10×, 20×,
40×, and 100×), a high-resolution digital camera, and a high performance computer to carry out the detailed analysis. Five images were taken at the center of the weld to calculate the average grain size of each welded sample. Point and line analysis was conducted on the welded joint using SEM, equipped with Oxford EDS.

3.6 X-ray diffraction for phase identification

For USWed Mg-to-Mg joints, XRD (machine manufactured by Panalytical) was carried out on top surfaces of BM, HAZ (5 mm away from the NZ) and NZ, fracture surface (FS). And for USWed dissimilar joints, XRD was performed on both matching fracture surfaces of welded joints after tensile shear tests, using CuKα radiation at 45 kV and 40 mA. The diffraction angle (2θ) of the incident X-ray beam varied from 20° to 100° with a step size of 0.05° and a dwell time of 2 s per step.

3.7 Temperature profile during USW

The temperature profile during USW was measured using a K-type thermocouple (Ni–Cr vs Ni–Al). In order to eliminate the effect on the welding process, a groove was made on the lower piece of each pair of weld samples. The thermocouple was laid into the groove and parallel to the vibration direction as shown in the Figure 3.3. The thermocouple was coupled with a data acquisition instrument for collecting the temperature data during the USW.
3.8 Crystallographic texture measurement

Crystallographic texture distribution of USWed samples was be measured by a Panalytical XRD via micro-diffraction with an incident beam of 300 μm in diameter using CuKα radiation (wavelength λ=0.15406 nm) at 45 kV and 40 mA with a sample tilt angle ranging from 0 to 70° with step size of 5° and time per step 3 s. The pole figures were measured and analyzed by ‘X-pert texture’ software. The results were represented as (0002), (1010), and (1011) color-scale intensity pole figures. Coordinate axes selected for the pole figures were the rolling direction (RD), transverse direction (TD), and normal direction (ND) of the plate.

3.9 Microhardness test

A computerized Buehler microhardness testing machine was used for the micro indentation hardness tests at the center of the welded joint in USW of similar Mg alloy study, using a
load of 100 g for 15 s. The average of ten indentations was used for accuracy in microhardness test of USWed similar Mg alloys. In dissimilar welding study, as shown in Figure 3.4, the microhardness indentations were made diagonally across the welded joints using a load of 100 g for 15 s except for the thin IMC interlayer (around 10 to 30 μm), where a load of 10 g was used for 15 s. The mean of three indentations along the IMC interlayer was taken for accuracy with the low indentation load of 10 g.

![Figure 3.4: Microhardness indentation made diagonally across the welded joints](image)

**3.10 Lap shear tensile test**

To evaluate the mechanical strength of the joints and establish the optimum welding conditions, tensile shear tests of the welds (samples dimensions is shown in Figure 3.1) were conducted to measure the lap-shear failure load using a fully computerized United testing machine with a constant crosshead speed of 1 mm min\(^{-1}\) in air at room temperature. As shown in the Figure 3.5, in the tensile lap shear testing, restraining shims or spacers were used to minimize rotation of the joints and maintain the shear loading as long as possible.
3.11 Fatigue Test

Fatigue tests were conducted on the welded joint (Figures 3.1 and 3.5) using an Instron 8801 servo-hydraulic testing system (fully computerized) under load control at different maximum loads ranging from 3.5 kN to 0.5 kN. A load ratio of $R = (P_{\text{min}}/P_{\text{max}}) = 0.2$, sinusoidal waveform and frequency of 50 Hz were used in all the tests. Two samples were tested at each chosen load level. The fracture surfaces of the welded joints after fatigue tests were examined via a SEM and EDS.
CHAPTER 4

ULTRASONIC SPOT WELDING OF MAGNESIUM ALLOY*

In this Chapter, USW of similar Mg-to-Mg lap joints is discussed. Microstructure changes, crystallographic texture, tensile, fatigue and their fractures at different welding energy inputs were systematically studied. The influence of the welding energy input on the strain rate and temperature generated during USW was evaluated and modeled. A life prediction model for lap joints developed to estimate the fatigue lives of the USWed Mg alloy joints.

4.1 Microstructure and grain size measurement

Microstructure characterization was focused on the nugget zone (NZ) at the center of the weld samples. Figure 4.1 depicts the typical grain structure of the AZ31-H24 base metal (BM) and 500 J, 1000 J, 1500 J, 2000 J, 2500 J and 3000 J welded samples. The mean grain size across all welded samples was coarser than the mean grain size of the as-received samples (5.36 µm). It can be seen that the grain size increases with welding energy from 6.36 to 9.57 µm owing to an increase in temperature as will be shown later (Sec. 4.2.2) and the effect of the dynamic recrystallization. Dynamic recrystallization of Mg alloy (HCP crystal structure) during high temperature deformation can be attributed by the lack of easily activated slip systems in contrast to Al alloys, which possess FCC crystal structure [103].

*This chapter is based on the following publications of the author:
V.K. Patel, S.D. Bhole, D.L. Chen: ‘Influence of ultrasonic spot welding on microstructure in a magnesium alloy’, Scripta Materialia, 2011, Vol 65, 911-914.
V.K. Patel, S.D. Bhole, D.L. Chen: ‘Ultrasonic spot welded AZ31 magnesium alloy: Microstructure, texture and lap shear strength’, Materials Science & Engineering: A, 2013, Vol 569, 78–85.
V.K. Patel, S.D. Bhole, D.L. Chen: ‘Fatigue life estimation of ultrasonic spot welded Mg alloy joints’, Materials and Design, 2014, Vol 62, 124-132.
Another reason is because, unlike Al, Mg has low stacking fault energy, in the range of 60-78 MJ/m$^2$ for pure Mg [103]. Additional possible factor is the high grain boundary diffusion rate of Mg compared to Al [58]. These three factors explain why dynamic recrystallization can take place in AZ31-H24 alloy during welding. Dynamic recrystallization during USW was also reported by Bakavos and Prangnell [35] and Allameh et al. [104]. A large shear strain rate is introduced by the high frequency vibration and high temperatures developed from friction and plastic deformation during USW; combination of both factors generate dynamic recrystallization and grain growth in the weld.

In addition, as energy inputs increase from 500 J to 3000 J, aspect ratio of the grains also increases from 1.54 to 1.63. During USW of sheet metal, normal and shear forces act on the parts to be welded and the weld interface. These normal and shear forces are the result of clamping pressure and the ultrasonic vibrations of the tool, respectively, which transferred onto the parts to be welded. It is believed that the reason behind the increasing aspect ratio is

![Figure 4.1: Optical micrograph of AZ31 BM and welded samples at different energy levels, (a) AZ31 BM, (b) 500 J, (c) 1000 J, (d) 1500 J (e) 2000J (f) 2500 J and (g) 3000 J welding energy inputs.](image-url)
Figure 4.1 (cont'd): Optical micrograph of AZ31 BM and welded samples at different energy levels, (a) AZ31 BM, (b) 500 J, (c) 1000 J, (d) 1500 J, (e) 2000 J, (f) 2500 J and (g) 3000 J welding energy inputs.
the higher energy input, which creates higher shear force resulting in elongated grains. Grains with large aspect ratio, dispersed along the flow lines, dominate the deformation microstructure. Similar microstructure was also observed by Jahn et al. [24].

4.2 Relationship between Zener-Hollomon parameter and grain size

As mention earlier in the literature review (section 2.2.1.1), Zener-Hollomon (Z) parameter appears to be useful in predicting the resulting grain size and has been widely used to combine the temperature and strain rate [59, 60]. There are many studies indicating the dependence of product quality and internal structure performance on Z. The effect of grain refinement is controlled by the strain rate and the peak temperature; information is lacking in this respect for USW of AZ31B-H24 alloy. An objective of this chapter was to investigate the relationship between grain size and the Zener–Hollomon parameter. From Eqn. 2.1, in order to develop this relationship, shear strain rate and thermal profile of the USW of Mg alloy need to be identified. Thus, in following sub-sections, shear strain rate is calculated and thermal profile is measured.

4.2.1 Shear strain rate calculation

Using a frequency of 20 kHz in USW, very high strain rates and strains can develop during shearing of the small (micron-sized) asperities between the welding samples. Gunduz et al. showed that USW produces plastic strains in the materials being joined at very high strain rates \(10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}\) in a fraction of a second [105]. The strain rate estimated by Shriraman et al. in
USW was \(10^4 - 10^5\) s\(^{-1}\)\[106\]. In this study, shear strain rate \(\dot{\gamma}\) was calculated as Bates et al.\[107\] estimated in vibration welding as,

\[
\dot{\gamma} = \frac{2fa}{h_o},
\]

where, \(f\) is the frequency, \(h_o\) is the thickness of the weld nugget between the plates and \(A\) is the amplitude of USW machine. The amplitude generated using USW ranges from 30-60 µm \[26, 35, 37, 108, 109\] and the relationship between energy input and amplitude is given by \(P \propto A^2\)[110]. As a first approximation, \(P = A^2\) was used to calculate the amplitude (Table 4.1). The strain rates in the present experiments calculated using Eqn. 4.1 range from 478.3 s\(^{-1}\) to 1022.2 s\(^{-1}\) for energy inputs from 500 J to 2500 J, respectively.

### 4.2.2 Temperature measurement

During USW, heat is generated at the weld interface and the surrounding area as well as at the sonotrode (welding tip) surface owing to plastic deformation and friction. Plastic deformation in the specimen is caused by two different phenomena, namely, surface friction dissipation and volume ultrasonic softening effects. Temperature plays an important role in bond strength in the initial period \[29\]. There is limited published data on thermal measurements in USW because of the small size of the welds and rapid weld times \[35\]. Results from temperature measurements of the USW, using thermocouples that are placed at the center of the weld, are depicted in Figure 4.2(a) and (b). It can be seen from Figure 4.2(a) that the temperature rises very rapidly, in less than 0.5 s, to the peak value, and then decreases much for different energy levels. Theoretically, rate of increase curve of all welding energies’ temperature measurement should align top of each other. However, due to
Figure 4.2: (a): Temperature at the center of the weld recorded during USW with different energy levels, (b) peak temperatures at the center of the weld recorded during USW with different energy levels.
the experimental error and thermocouple sensitivity slope of curve is bit scattered. It can be seen that higher energy input results in higher temperature at the center of the weld line. The temperature results are also in agreement with model predictions [29] and the experimental results of Bakavos and Prangnell [35]. For temperature measurement accuracy, two USW samples’ temperature profile were recorded as shown in the Figure 4.2(b), which shows the relationship between peak temperatures at the center of the weld recorded during USW with different energy levels.

4.2.3 Zener-Hollomon parameter analysis and calculation

Table 4.1 shows the calculated Zener–Hollomon parameter for different energy inputs using Eqn. 2.1 and Eqn. 4.1, where the activation energy $Q$ for Mg lattice diffusion is about 135 kJ/mol during the dynamic recrystallization [58, 60], the value of universal gas constant is 8.314 J/mol K and welding temperature was calculated as shown in Figure 4.2(a, b).

Table 4.1: A summary of measured grain size, calculated peak temperature, strain rate, Zener–Hollomon parameter for USW joints.

| Energy ($E$), J | Temp. ($T$), K | Amplitude ($A$), μm | Melt layer thickness ($h_0$), μm | Shear strain rate ($\dot{\gamma}$), s$^{-1}$ | Zener–Hollomon parameter ($Z$), s$^{-1}$ | Grain size ($D$), μm |
|----------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 500            | 466.13        | 44.72               | 3740                          | 478.30                                   | $6.43 \times 10^{17}$                    | 6.36                |
| 1000           | 629.94        | 44.72               | 3420                          | 523.06                                   | $8.19 \times 10^{13}$                    | 7.82                |
| 1500           | 720.73        | 44.72               | 2560                          | 698.77                                   | $4.25 \times 10^{12}$                    | 8.77                |
| 2000           | 761.40        | 44.72               | 2370                          | 754.79                                   | $1.38 \times 10^{12}$                    | 9.07                |
| 2500           | 796.35        | 44.72               | 1750                          | 1022.20                                  | $7.33 \times 10^{11}$                    | 9.57                |

* Gas constant (R), 8.314 J/mol.K
* Activation Energy ($Q$), 135000 J/mol
* Frequency(f), 20000 Hz
* Power, 2000 W
The relationship between the Zener–Hollomon parameter \( (Z) \) and the dynamic recrystallized grain size \( (D) \) for different processing techniques under various material conditions is shown in Table 4.2 and has been characterized as,

\[
\ln D = \alpha - \beta \ln Z, \quad (4.2)
\]

where \( D \) is the dynamically recrystallized grain size, \( Z \) is the Zener–Hollomon parameter, \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are constants \([58, 59]\). Figure 4.3 shows the \( Z-D \) relationship for the present investigation, which can be expressed by,

\[
\ln D = 3 - 0.03 \ln Z. \quad (4.3)
\]

The previous studies on compression test, tensile test and FSP show that the average recrystallized grain size increases with increasing working temperature and decreasing strain rate \([58, 59]\). However, in this investigation both temperature and strain rate increase dramatically as the energy input is increased from 500 J to 2500 J, resulting in an increase in grain size due to the effect of the temperature overwhelming the opposing effect of the strain rate. It should be noted that the dynamic recrystallized grains developed at higher \( Z \) values are much smaller than those generated at lower \( Z \) values (Figure 4.3). It can be seen from Table 4.2 that the value of \( \beta \) of the present experiment is lower than those of other processing techniques due to higher strain rate generated in USW. It is interesting to note that the relationship between Zener–Hollomon parameter and dynamic recrystallized grain size for USW follows similar trends to those in FSW, tension test, and compression test. Therefore, it can be concluded that temperature and strain rate are both responsible for controlling the dynamically recrystallized grain size in USW.
Figure 4.3: Relationship between grain size and Zener-Hollomon parameter.

Table 4.2: Summary of parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ between grain size and Zener–Hollomon parameter for different processing.

| Materials       | Processing                                      | Temperature, °C | Strain rate, s$^{-1}$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | Ref.   |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|--------|
| AZ31B Hot rolled| Compression (through-thickness compression) test| 300 to 450      | $10^{-3}$ to $10^{1}$ | 3.98     | 0.07    | [59]   |
| AZ31B Hot rolled| Compression (in-plane compression) test         | 300 to 450      | $10^{-3}$ to $10^{1}$ | 6.03     | 0.15    | [59]   |
| AZ31B Semi continuous cast | FSP (180-1800 RPM) (90 mm/min) | 250 to 450 | 5 to 50 | 9        | 0.27    | [58]   |
| AZ31 Extruded   | Tensile test                                   | 300             | $2\times10^{-4}$     | 6        | 0.17    | [58]   |
| AZ31 H24        | USW (500J to 2500J)                            | 160 to 530      | 239 to 1143           | 3        | 0.03    | Present Study |
4.3 Microhardness profile

Another mechanical property that may be important to consider is hardness, which is a measure of a material’s resistance to localized plastic deformation. For welds, microhardness testing is an easy way to measure a specimen’s hardness. Hardness testing is performed on welds to evaluate the strength of the weld. In particular, the hardness at the center of the weld and around the HAZ is of interest, which can be helpful to estimate the brittleness of the weld. Here, to develop Hall-Petch type relationship between grain size and hardness, microhardness test was only performed at the center of the nugget zone. The average of 10 indentations was used for accuracy.

4.3.1. Effects of USW on microhardness

Unlike RSW, USW displays no clearly discernible fusion zone or heat affected zone that can degrade the strength of the metals being joined [24, 25]. It can be inferred that the HAZ is small, because of poor conductivity of the sonotrode material (steel) compared to the thermal conductivity of the samples. Hardness values over the entire area of USW fall within 10% of each other [25]. Similar variations were found in the base metal itself. The maximum hardness in the welded samples ranges from HV55 to HV72 and is lower than that found in base metal (HV73). It can be seen in Figure 4.4(a) that hardness decreases with increasing energy input due to increasing grain size.
4.3.2. Hall-Petch type relationship of microhardness of USWed Mg alloys

The well-known “Hall-Petch relationship” (relationship between yield strength and grain size) style was adopted to show the effect of grain size on hardness. Here, it is called as a “Hall–Petch type” relationship of hardness and grain size. As calculated, the linear relationship from the graph of Figure 4.5, the Hall-Petch type relationship of hardness and grain size is indicated as,

\[ HV = 199.05D^{-1/2} - 6.62 \]  

(4.4)
Figure 4.5: Hall–Petch type relationship of hardness and the grain size of USW samples

where, $HV$ is the Vickers hardness and $D$ is the grain size of USWed samples.

### 4.4 Crystallographic texture of USWed Mg alloy

It is well known that the tensile properties of the weld depend on several microstructural factors, such as dislocation density and grain size, second phase particle [68]. However, besides these, crystallographic orientation also intensely influences plastic deformation during the tensile or compressive test because the plastic deformation arises from slip on the close-packed planes with the maximum critical resolved shear stresses [68].
4.4.1 Crystallographic texture by X-ray diffraction profiles

Initially, effort has been taken to analyze the texture behavior by XRD on the top surface (at different locations such as BM, HAZ (for the sake of simplicity, 5 mm from the center of the NZ called here as HAZ), and NZ of the USWed joint made at 1000 and 2000 J energy inputs, along with the fracture surface (FS) of the USWed sheet (Figure 4.6)). HAZ and BM analysis points were located at 5 mm and 30 mm from the center of the NZ (Figure 4.6), while the FS point was located at the center of the NZ in-between the coupons. Figure 4.7 shows the computer simulated X-ray diffraction for completely random Mg powder. The
Figure 4.7: Simulated X-ray diffraction pattern for the completely random Mg powder using Cu-Kα radiation. The vertical axis is for the X-ray intensity. Within the 2θ angles of 30-40°, the (10\bar{1}0) and (0002) peak heights are about one half of the (10\bar{1}1) peak heights.

(10\bar{1}0) and (0002) peak heights are about one half of the (10\bar{1}1) peak height, all being present within the 2θ angles of 30° to 40°. The XRD diffraction patterns of the as-received BM, HAZ, NZ and FS at 1000 and 2000 J energy inputs are shown in Figure 4.8(a) and (b). In the BM, intensity of (0002) basal plane is higher than any of other planes, which is in complete contrast to the Mg powder data. This suggests that the BM itself exhibits a typical basal rolling texture, owing to the mechanical deformation during the cold rolling of Mg sheet. It can be seen from Figure 4.8(a) that in NZ and FS (1000 J), the intensity of (0002) plane was approximately two times higher than that in the BM, suggesting, basal texture became stronger after the welding at 1000 J energy input. Similarly, at 2000 J energy input
Figure 4.8: X-ray diffraction patterns obtained from BM, HAZ, NZ and FS at (a) 1000 (b) 2000 J USWed AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy
(Figure 4.8(b)) on the FS, the intensity of (0002) plane was approximately two times higher than that in the BM, which could be the cause of lap shear tensile deformation. However, in NZ, the intensity of (10 1 1) plane was higher than the intensity of (0002) plane (similar like Mg powder data), which indicates nearly random grain orientation, reflecting its fully recrystallized coarse grain structure as shown in Figure 4.1. Similar result was also reported by Chang et al. in the FSP of the Mg alloy with increasing rotational speed from 800 to 1800 rpm [58]. It can be noticed that HAZ does not exhibit the texture changing, hence, it has similar texture profile as BM which is in agreement with some literatures reported that USW does not produce HAZ [24, 25].

4.4.2 Crystallographic texture by pole figures profiles

For more detailed analysis of the above mentioned results, pole figures have been plotted for all region of the welded joint (NZ, HAZ, BM and FS (Figure 4.6)) and shown in Figures 4.9(a) and (b). The (0002) basal, (10 1 0) prismatic, and (10 1 1) pyramidal plane pole figures obtained from the top surface of the USWed joint made at 1000 and 2000 J energy inputs. It can be seen from Figures 4.9(a) and (b) that the BM region in the USWed weld coupon of 1000 and 2000 J samples have a typical strong basal texture, where basal plane (0002) normal was largely parallel to the ND with some grains slightly tilted in the RD (Figures 4.9(a) and (b)), which is in agreement with the XRD results. It has been reported that two major types of texture components, (0002)<11 2 0> and (0002)<10 1 0>, are available, depending on the activation of slip systems in the basal plane (either <11 2 0> single slip or <10 1 0> double slip oriented in the RD) in the Mg alloys [63, 111]. The combination of the
| (a)     | Base Metal (BM) | Heat Affected Zone (HAZ) | Nugget Zone (NZ) | Fracture Surface (FS) |
|---------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Basal plane (0002) | ![Base Metal (BM)](image1) | ![Heat Affected Zone (HAZ)](image2) | ![Nugget Zone (NZ)](image3) | ![Fracture Surface (FS)](image4) |
| Prismatic plane (10\bar{1}0) | ![Base Metal (BM)](image5) | ![Heat Affected Zone (HAZ)](image6) | ![Nugget Zone (NZ)](image7) | ![Fracture Surface (FS)](image8) |
| Pyramidal plane (10\bar{1}1) | ![Base Metal (BM)](image9) | ![Heat Affected Zone (HAZ)](image10) | ![Nugget Zone (NZ)](image11) | ![Fracture Surface (FS)](image12) |

Figure 4.9: (0002) basal plane (10\bar{1}0) prismatic plane and (10\bar{1}1) pyramidal plane pole figures of USWed AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy obtained from BM, HAZ, NZ and FS at (a) 1000 J (b) 2000 J energy inputs
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|                          | Base Metal (BM) | Heat Affected Zone (HAZ) | Nugget Zone (NZ) | Fracture Surface (FS) |
|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| **Intensities and Colors** | **Intensity** | **Color** | **Intensity** | **Color** | **Intensity** | **Color** | **Intensity** | **Color** |
| Basal plane (0002)       | 1 0.230       |               | 1 0.269       |               | 1 0.073       |               | 1 0.235       |               |
|                          | 26 2.202      |               | 26 2.169      |               | 26 1.325      |               | 26 5.836      |               |
|                          | 51 4.173      |               | 51 4.124      |               | 51 2.377      |               | 51 11.428     |               |
|                          | 76 6.144      |               | 76 6.095      |               | 76 3.852      |               | 76 17.040     |               |
|                          | 100 8.937     |               | 100 7.966     |               | 100 5.036     |               | 100 25.419    |               |
| Prismatic plane (10\bar{1}0) | 1 0.420   |               | 1 0.453       |               | 1 0.219       |               | 1 0.202       |               |
|                          | 26 0.803      |               | 26 0.855      |               | 26 0.669      |               | 26 1.058      |               |
|                          | 51 1.247      |               | 51 1.213      |               | 51 1.159      |               | 51 1.910      |               |
|                          | 76 1.660      |               | 76 1.595      |               | 76 1.620      |               | 76 2.751      |               |
|                          | 100 2.057     |               | 100 1.967     |               | 100 2.081     |               | 100 3.578     |               |
| Pyramidal plane (10\bar{1}1) | 1 0.417   |               | 1 0.438       |               | 1 0.292       |               | 1 0.202       |               |
|                          | 26 0.757      |               | 26 0.741      |               | 26 0.735      |               | 26 0.789      |               |
|                          | 51 1.096      |               | 51 1.143      |               | 51 1.264      |               | 51 1.248      |               |
|                          | 76 1.430      |               | 76 1.545      |               | 76 1.705      |               | 76 1.705      |               |
|                          | 100 1.760     |               | 100 1.931     |               | 100 2.304     |               | 100 2.146     |               |

Figure 4.9 (cont’d): (0002) basal plane (10\bar{1}0) prismatic plane and (10\bar{1}1) pyramidal plane pole figures of USWed AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy obtained from BM, HAZ, NZ and FS at (a) 1000 J (b) 2000 J energy inputs
two components generates a pole figure of rolled Mg (BM of USW) that is almost a (0001) fiber texture. This (0001) fiber texture can be easily seen by prismatic (10\overline{1}0) plane (circular ring type structure at 90° from the center of the pole figure). Moreover, the crystallographic fibering in the BM could be even better seen from the pyramidal planes (10\overline{1}1), where the pyramidal planes (10\overline{1}1) formed a nearly complete ring at approximately 45° from the center of the pole figure. Similar (0001) fiber texture component in the rolled AZ31 Mg alloy has also been reported in [112, 113]. From the (0002) basal (10\overline{1}0) prismatic and pyramidal (10\overline{1}1) planes of the HAZ region, similar like BM (0001) a fibering characteristic has been observed. It should be noted that both BM and HAZ crystallographic texture results obtained from the pole figures are in agreement with the peak intensities observed from the XRD analysis (Figure 4.8).

In NZ of 1000 J energy input, a strong basal texture with (0002) normal parallel to the ND was found (Figure 4.9(a), NZ-(0002) plane). The intensity of (0002) plane was much higher than that of the BM, suggesting that after the welding more grains followed the basal texture. Such a texture change occurring in the NZ was attributed to the intense localized shear plastic flow during USW. It can be seen from the (10\overline{1}0) prismatic plane pole figure of the NZ for 1000 J USWed sample (Figure 4.9(a), NZ-(10\overline{1}0) plane) that it breaks the fiber texture (which was in BM) and the prismatic plane with <10\overline{1}0> becomes parallel to the RD of the sheet surface. Interestingly, after the lap shear tensile test, on the fracture surface, all the grains take their original orientation (as in the BM) and exhibit a fiber basal texture with higher intensity than that in the BM (Figure 4.9(a), FS-(10\overline{1}0) and (10\overline{1}1) planes).
In NZ of 2000 J (Figure 4.9(b), NZ-(0002) plane), intensity became very low and creates 90° rotation of the basal plane so that its normal is parallel to the TD at an angle of 60° and slightly tilted at an angle of 5 to 10° towards the RD. Since the crystallographic texture changed from BM to 1000 J to 2000 J USWed samples, it could be noted that the texture at NZ is affected by welding heat input. As discussed earlier in section 4.2.2, temperature increases from 357 °C to 488 °C with increasing energy input from 1000 J to 2000 J, respectively. In 2000 J energy input sample, with high temperature and slow cooling rate, more complete dynamic recrystallization occurred. Thus, some new grains may nucleate and grow as shown in Figure 4.1 with the result that the texture becomes weak. Dynamic recrystallization is produced by the large strain introduced by the ultrasonic vibration and high temperatures developed from friction and plastic deformation during USW. Moreover, dynamic recrystallization promotes stress concentration at grain boundaries [114], which is effective for the activation of non-basal slip system and serves to break down the basal texture. In addition, Jain and Agnew [115] stated that critical resolved shear stresses (CRSS) of non-basal slip systems decline dramatically at elevated temperatures, and non-basal slip systems are more easily activated and tend to split the basal texture. Eventually, in the present study, the basal texture at the NZ becomes weak. Similar results were also found by Chang et al. in FSP [58] and Yu et al. in fiber laser welding [116] of Mg alloys. It is suggested here that in USW the plastic deformation due to the ultrasonic vibrations leads to texture formation while the increase in temperature due to the USW heat generation leads to dynamic recrystallization and randomization of texture; these two phenomena are in competition with one another. Thus, in 1000 J USWed sample the plastic deformation dominates giving a sharp texture while in 2000 J USWed sample the dynamic
recrystallization dominates giving a weak texture.

The pole figure of prismatic plane of NZ in 2000 J sample (Figure 4.9(b), NZ-(10\overline{1}0) plane) is almost like to that of the 1000 J sample. However, the prismatic plane is more concentrated along the RD in the 1000 J sample than the 2000 J sample. As a result, its intensity was one half of the prismatic plane of NZ in 1000 J USWed sample. By observing the texture on the fracture surface of 2000 J USWed sample (Figure 4.9(b), FS-(0002), (10\overline{1} 0) planes), it seems that the basal plane regained their original orientation (as in the BM) with higher intensity than BM and NZ. However, from (10\overline{1}1) pyramidal plane of fracture surface, (0002)<11\overline{2}0> texture component was mainly observed (Figure 4.9(b), FS-(10\overline{1}1)).

4.5 Lap shear tensile strength of joints

It is known that the structural application of any new materials’ components requires proper welding and tensile resistance to guarantee their durability and safety. Thus, here, the lap shear strength test of the USWed Mg alloy was conducted and the result were plotted against welding energy inputs as shown in Figure 4.10. The maximum lap shear strength was found to be 89 MPa at 2000 J energy input (calculated as the maximum lap shear fracture load divided by the nugget area of (8×6 mm). As shown in Figure 4.10, with increasing energy input, lap shear strength increased in the beginning owing to high temperatures and strain rate, which accelerate diffusion between the Mg alloy sheets, but at very high energy inputs, it was decreased. This may be because, at low welding energies (1000 J), Mg has not been sufficiently deformed plastically to fill the valleys of the knurl patterns of the sonotrodes, as
shown in Figure 4.11(a). In contrast, at 2000 J energy input (Figure 4.11(b)), the knurl pattern valleys fill up with the plastically deformed Mg alloy. Further, it may also be because 2000 J welded samples experienced almost random type texture than that of 1000 J welded sample, which led to higher lap shear tensile strength. In addition, Yu et al. [59], correlated Zener-Hollomon parameter (Z) (widely used to show the combined effect of temperature and strain rate [59, 60, 62]) with the tensile properties of FSPed Mg alloy, indicating that samples with high Z value show low strength/high ductility and samples with low Z value show high strength/low ductility. Similar relationship has also been established in the present study, where the values of Z for 1000 J and 2000 J were $8.19 \times 10^{13}$ and $1.38 \times 10^{12}$ (Table 4.1), with

![Figure 4.10: Lap shear strength of USWed similar AZ31-H24 Mg alloy at different energy inputs.](image)
the strength being 67 and 89 MPa, respectively. It can be seen from Figure 4.11(c) and (d), at 2000 J energy input, more severe bending takes place, while for the weld produced by lower energy inputs (1000 J), the separated sheets remain almost flat. Interestingly, at the highest energy input of 2500 J, weld specimens experienced large compressive stress developed at the edge of the weld spot (Figure 4.11(e)), resulting in extensive local plastic deformation and nugget pull-out during the lap shear test experiments. The generation of the compressive force at high welding energy (in this case 2500 J) between weld and coupon is attributed to the bending of the free hand coupon during the USW.
4.6 Lap shear tensile fractography

In lap-shear tensile tests, the welds generally fracture along the weld interface for input energies of less than and equal to 2000 J, while fracture occurs at the periphery of the weld (button pullout) for input energies of greater than 2000 J. The typical failure locations for the USWed of AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy are shown in Figure 4.12. It can be seen from Figure 4.12(a) that in 1000 J energy input sample the whole fracture surface is flat. In contrast, a big crack was found (Figure 4.12(b)) at the periphery of the sonotrode tip indent in 2000 J energy input sample due to the large compressive stress as mention earlier. This crack can penetrate into the material more deeply with higher energy. In particular, due to this crack, the base materials were partly pulled out from the welded interface. Thus, further increase in energy input (2500 J) resulted in the whole nugget pull out as shown in Figure 4.11(e). Similar nugget pull out phenomenon in the USW process was also reported in [22]. A magnified region for 1000 J energy input sample (Figure 4.12(c) and (e)) shows the failure mode in the center region of the fracture surface. Apart from some small isolated dimple-rupture failure zone, the dominant feature of the region is the parallel scrubbing lines. Owing to high frequency ultrasonic vibration, these scrubbing lines resulted from abrasion or galling of the sheet surfaces. In contrast, a characteristic dimple-rupture failure mode was found at 2000 J energy input as shown in Figure 4.12(d) and (f).
Figure 4.12: Typical SEM images of tensile fracture surface of USWed similar AZ31-H24 Mg alloy, (a) and (b) overall view, (c) and (d) at lower magnification, (e) and (f) at higher magnification of 1000 J and 2000 J energy inputs, respectively

4.7 Fatigue behavior and failure mode

Today, fatigue of materials and structures, is the main cause of failures and accidents. In order to understand the fatigue behavior of USWed Mg alloy, fatigue test was performed at room temperature, R=0.2, and a frequency of 50 Hz. As seen in earlier lap shear tensile
section 4.5, the average tensile failure loads, defined as the maximum loads of the load-displacement curves, obtained from two USWed AZ31B-H24 lap-shear specimens with a welding energy of 2000 J was 4.2 kN. This failure load was used as a reference load to determine the load levels applied in the present fatigue tests.

The fatigue test data are plotted in Figure 4.13. It can be seen that at the higher $P_{\text{max}}$ loads of 3.5 and 3.0 kN, the 2000 J welded samples showed a longer fatigue life compared with the 1000 J welded samples. However, at the load level of $P_{\text{max}} = 2.5$ kN and below, the 2000 J welded samples showed a shorter fatigue life compared to the 1000 J welded samples. This is because at the lower $P_{\text{max}}$ loads premature fatigue fracture, referred to as transverse-through-thickness (TTT) crack growth mode, occurred at the crack tips on the either side of the Mg sheet (Figure 4.14(a)), unlike the interfacial fracture (in-between Mg sheets) which occurred at the higher $P_{\text{max}}$ loads. In order to demonstrate the TTT crack growth mechanism, the SEM micrograph of fatigue tested USWed Mg-to-Mg joint is shown in Figure 4.14(b). The TTT crack started to grow from the crack tips (both sides) at an angle of $\sim 45^\circ$ to the loading direction as indicated in Figure 4.14(b). Higher welding energy values led to substantially higher temperatures and, the resulting softer Mg sheet experiences a greater level of bending deformation in the weld zone compared with the base metal due to the outward flow of the
material under the sonotrode tool indentation. This action of bending produced a small micro level crack at the notch of two sheets as seen at a higher magnification in Figure 4.14 (c), and also observed in [117]. Finite element simulation showed that the normal tensile stress concentration at the periphery of the nugget could reach as high as more than five times the average stress under tensile-shear loading [117]. Thus, this micro level crack experienced higher stress concentration effect during cyclic loading which allowed the cracks to grow toward the outward Mg sheet. By closely observing Figure 4.14(b), it can be seen that the crack propagated from the notch tip at an angle of ~45° only up to about a half of the

Figure 4.13: Experimental results of fatigue life for the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints
Figure 4.14: (a) Schematic diagrams of a lap shear specimen after the USW process with kinked crack at both notch tips, (b) overall view of SEM micrographs after fatigue testing of the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints made using an energy input if 1000 J, (c) magnified image near the notch tip indicated by a dashed box.
Mg sheet thickness. Then, the crack path had a different angle, which indicated the crack propagation from the upper location, i.e., from the corner/edge of the sonotrode nugget footprint. This crack is generated due to a simultaneous application of high-frequency vibrations (20 kHz) under pressure (0.4 MPa), which led to large deformation from the sonotrode (normal and shear forces) into the Mg sheet. Thus, it would be reasonable to assume that in the USW of Mg-to-Mg joints fatigue crack propagation occurred from two different locations, one from the notch tip and the other from the edge of sonotrode indentation-footprint region. As mentioned earlier, from previous section 4.5 lap shear tensile tests, the 2000 J welded sample showed a high lap shear fracture load (4.2 kN) compare with that of 1000 J energy input. However, fatigue life of the 1000 J welded samples was observed to be longer than that of the 2000 J welded samples in most of the cyclic loading levels (i.e., $P_{\text{max}}$ from 0.5 kN to 2.5 kN, Figure 4.13). From this it can be concluded that to guarantee the structural integrity and safety, one has to consider both lap shear tensile fracture load and cyclic behavior of the welded joints.

Figure 4.15 shows a comparison of fatigue lives of the welded AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy joints using different welding processes (USW in the present study (2 mm thick sheet); FSSW [34] (2 mm thick sheet); FSLW [53] (2 mm thick sheet); and RSW [75] (1.5 mm thick sheet). It should be noted that direct comparisons are not straightforward as all the welds have different geometries, where USW and FSLW have rectangular, and RSW and FSSW have circular footprints. In addition, the optimum set-up is different in each process. However, to compare the USW fatigue life data with those of other welding processes, the applied fatigue loads of USWed Mg-to-Mg joints were converted to the applied stress by using a nominal
Figure 4.15: Comparison of fatigue life of the welded Mg-to-Mg joints with different welding techniques.

welding area corresponding to the area of the USW tip (8×6 mm²). Likewise, for the FSSW, the hollow cylindrical area has been used (pin diameter was 5 mm and shoulder diameter was 13 mm) [34]; for the RSW the area of the electrode (electrode diameter 7.8 mm) has been used [75] and for the FSLW the product of pin diameter (6.35 mm) and sample width (20 mm) has been used [53]. It is seen that the USWed joints displayed a higher fatigue strength compared with other welding techniques. However, at a stress level of ~10 MPa and ~31 MPa, RSWed samples showed a slightly longer fatigue life compared with that of the USWed samples. This would partially related to the experimental scatter. It is worthwhile to mention that a USW process consumes only about 0.3 kWh per 1000 joints, compared to 20 kWh with RSW [32].
Figures 4.16 and 4.17 show typical fatigue failure modes at varying cyclic stress levels of the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints made at a welding energy of 1000 J and 2000 J, respectively, and Figure 4.18 presents the failure modes in conjunction with a logarithmic S-N plot in the form of the maximum tensile shear cyclic stress versus the number of reversals to failure \((2N_f)\) in terms of a Basquin type relationship. For the 1000 J welded samples, interfacial failure mode (Figures 4.16(a) and (b)) was observed at the higher cyclic load levels corresponding to a flatter slope (Figure 4.18), while partially interfacial and partially TTT crack growth failure (Figures 4.16(c)-(e)) were observed corresponding to a less flatter (or steeper) slope in

Figure 4.16: Typical fatigue failure modes at different \(P_{\text{max}}\) values of the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints made at a welding energy of 1000 J, (a) 3.5 kN (interfacial), (b) 3 kN (interfacial), (c) 2.5 kN (partially interfacial-partially TTT crack growth), (d) 2.0 kN (partially interfacial-partially TTT crack growth), (e) 1.5 kN (partially interfacial-partially TTT crack growth), (f) 1 kN (TTT crack growth), (g) 0.5 kN (TTT crack growth).
Figure 4.18. Complete TTT crack growth failure (Figures 4.16(f) and (g)) occurred at the lower cyclic load levels where the slope became further steeper. In this case the remaining cross section could no longer sustain the shear overload, then the heavily deformed nugget edge eventually experienced TTT crack growth failure. However, in the 2000 J USWed joints, except the samples subjected to the highest cyclic load at $P_{\text{max}} = 3.5$ kN where the

![Figure 4.17: Typical fatigue failure modes at different $P_{\text{max}}$ values of the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints made at a welding energy of 2000 J. Here, (a) 3.5 kN was experienced partially interfacial-partially TTT crack growth failure mode, while (b) to (g) for the load of 3.0 to 0.5 kN with decrement of 0.5 kN show the failure occurred predominantly in the mode of TTT crack growth](image)
failure was partially interfacial and partially TTT crack growth (Figure 4.17(a)). As a result, for the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints made with a welding energy of 2000 J, the change of failure mode from the TTT crack growth to the partially interfacial-partially TTT crack growth failure occurred at about \( \log \sigma_{\text{max}} = 1.8 \) or \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 63 \text{ MPa} \). It can be seen from Figure 4.18, for the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints made with a welding energy of 1000 J the change of failure mode from the TTT crack growth to the partially interfacial-partially TTT crack growth failure occurred at about \( \log \sigma_{\text{max}} = 1.32 \) or \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 21 \text{ MPa} \). The transition of failure mode from the partially interfacial-partially TTT crack growth failure to the interfacial failure mode occurred at about \( \log \sigma_{\text{max}} = 1.7 \) (or \( \sigma_{\text{max}} = 52 \text{ MPa} \)). Thus, the fatigue failure mode of

![Graph showing the change in failure modes for USWed Mg-to-Mg joints](image)

*Figure 4.18: The maximum tensile shear cyclic stress vs. the number of reversals to failure (2N_f) in the double-log scale for the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints made at an energy input of 1000 J, where different failure modes are indicated.*
USWed Mg-to-Mg joints are dependent on both welding energy and applied cyclic load level. With increasing cyclic load level, the failure mode tended to change from the TTT crack growth failure to the partially interfacial-partially TTT crack growth failure, and to the interfacial failure.

**4.8 Fatigue fractography**

SEM images of the fracture surface of a failed 1000 J welded sample of AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy tested at a cyclic load level of $P_{\text{max}}= 0.5$ kN are shown in Figure 4.19. In general, fatigue fracture surface can be divided into three regions: crack initiation, crack propagation and final rapid failure. It can be seen from Figure 4.19(a) that fatigue crack developed from the region “b” at the top of the sonotrode indentation area. Figure 4.19(b) shows the location of crack initiation and Figure 4.19(c) shows the magnified image of region “c” in Figure 4.19(b). Fatigue crack propagation was mainly characterized by the formation of fatigue striations (Figure 4.19(d)), which usually appeared perpendicular to the fatigue crack propagation direction (as shown by the arrows). Fatigue striations typically arise by a repetitive plastic blunting-sharpening procedure in the FCC materials, stemming from the glide of dislocations on the slip plane along the slip direction within the plastic zone ahead of the fatigue crack tip [13, 118-120]. This observation confirmed that crack initiation occurred inside the sonotrode indentation area near the edge of sonotrode indentation-footprints. Final rapid propagation areas exhibited a tensile-like fracture surface feature, as shown in Figure
Figure 4.19: Typical SEM images of a fatigue fracture surface of a USWed Mg-to-Mg joint made with a welding energy of 1000 J, tested at a $P_{\text{max}}$ of 0.5kN, (a) overall view, (b) crack initiation area from the top, (c) magnified image of crack initiation area, (d) fatigue striations in the crack propagation zone at a higher magnification, (e) final fast crack propagation area, (f) crack initiation area from the bottom in (a), and (g) fatigue striations at a higher magnification area in (f).
Figure 4.19 (cont’d): Typical SEM images of a fatigue fracture surface of a USWed Mg-to-Mg joint made with a welding energy of 1000 J, tested at a $P_{\text{max}}$ of 0.5kN, (a) overall view, (b) crack initiation area from the top, (c) magnified image of crack initiation area, (d) fatigue striations in the crack propagation zone at a higher magnification, (e) final fast crack propagation area, (f) crack initiation area from the bottom in (a), and (g) fatigue striations at a higher magnification area in (f).

4.19(e). This was due to the fact that the load-carrying capacity of the final remaining cross-section was exhausted at the final stage of fatigue crack propagation. Figure 4.19(f) and (g) show the magnified region of ‘f’ in Figure 4.19(a) and ‘g’ in Figures 4.19(f), respectively. It can be seen from Figure 4.19(g) that the fatigue striations also can be found from the bottom of the Mg sheet, which confirmed that crack initiation and propagation also occurred from a natural notch tip. From this observation, it can be concluded that one crack initiated and propagated from the crack notch and the other from the sonotrode indentation-footprint region initiated and propagated, and coalesced approximately half of the Mg sheet thickness.
4.9 Fatigue life estimation

The purpose of estimating the fatigue life of USWed Mg alloy is to develop a simple single-degree-of-freedom model that can be used by design engineers to predict fatigue lives of three-dimensional spot-welded lap joints when only the crack growth behavior (interface crack, kinked crack) of the base metal is known. In general, there are two main failure modes of the spot welds in lap-shear specimens under cyclic loading conditions, (I) the fatigue crack propagating along the interface of the welded joints (interfacial failure or main crack (Figure 4.20)), and (II) the kinked fatigue crack (TTT crack, Figure 4.20) propagating through the top or bottom Mg sheet. As shown in Figures 4.16 and 4.17, most of the welded samples failed in the mode of TTT crack growth. Thus, the modeling approach presented here is based on the assumption that the majority of the samples failed from the kinked crack growth mode. This assumption is also supported by previous studies on FSSW [79, 120, 78, 121].

Figure 4.20: A schematic illustration of a main crack and a kinked crack with a kink length and a kink angle
4.9.1. Global stress intensity factor solutions for main cracks

To develop an engineering spot welding fatigue model, the three-dimensional spot weld problem can be idealized as a two-dimensional crack problem as reported by Newman and Dowling (ND) [78] and Lin et al.[79]. In order to estimate the fatigue life, it is first necessary to examine the global stress intensity factor solutions for the main notch tips treated as a crack in the lap shear specimens based on the studies of Pook [80], Swellam et al. [83], Zhang [81, 82] and Lin et al.[79].

Pook [80] presented the global stress intensity factor solution for two circular plates with connection under uniform distributed loads along the clamped outer edges (here in this case point A and point B as shown in Figure 3.5) in the lap-shear specimens of thickness \( t \) and nugget radius \( r \) under the applied force \( P \) as,

\[
K_I = \frac{P}{r^{3/2}} \left[ 0.341 \left( \frac{2r}{t} \right)^{0.397} \right], \quad (4.5)
\]

\[
K_{II} = \frac{P}{r^{3/2}} \left[ 0.282 + 0.162 \left( \frac{2r}{t} \right)^{0.710} \right]. \quad (4.6)
\]

Pook [80] indicated that Eqns. 4.5 and 4.6 are applicable for \( r/t \leq 5 \).

As reported by Swellam et al. [83], the mode I global stress intensity factor solution for spot welds was obtained from the solutions for two semi-infinite half spaces joined by a circular patch under an axial force and a moment. The mode II global stress intensity factor solution for spot welds was approximated from the mode III global stress intensity factor solution for
two semi-infinite half spaces joined by a circular patch under a twisting moment. For lap-shear specimens, the stress intensity factor solutions at the critical locations (here in this case point A and point B as shown in Figure 3.5) were proposed by Swellam et al. [83] as,

\[
K_I = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \times \frac{1.061P(t)}{r^{5/2}},
\]

(4.7)

\[
K_{II} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \times \frac{0.354P}{r^{3/2}}.
\]

(4.8)

Zhang [81, 82] obtained the global stress intensity factor \(K_I\) and \(K_{II}\) solutions at the critical locations (point A and point B as shown in Figure 3.5) for lap-shear specimens as,

\[
K_I = \frac{P\sqrt{3}}{4\pi r \sqrt{t}},
\]

(4.9)

\[
K_{II} = \frac{P}{3\pi r \sqrt{t}}.
\]

(4.10)

Lin et al. [79] have modified Zhang’s global stress intensity factor \(K_I\) and \(K_{II}\) solutions at the critical locations (point A and point B as shown in Figure 3.5) for lap-shear specimens as,

\[
K_I = F_I \frac{P\sqrt{3}}{4\pi r \sqrt{t}},
\]

(4.11)

\[
K_{II} = F_{II} \frac{P\sqrt{3}}{4\pi r \sqrt{t}}.
\]

(4.12)

where \(F_I\) and \(F_{II}\) are the geometric correction functions, where \(F_I\) is the ratio of global stress intensity factor \((K_I)\) of main crack of Wang et al. [122] and Zhang et al. [81] \((K_{I}/(Wang\ et\ al.,\ Zhang\ et\ al.))\) and \(F_{II}\) is the ratio of global stress intensity factor \((K_{II})\) of main crack of Wang et al. [122] and Zhang et al. [81] \((K_{II}/(Wang\ et\ al.,\ Zhang\ et\ al.))\). Data of \(F_I\) and \(F_{II}\) have
been taken from the Lin et al. [79], where graph of $F_I$ and $F_{II}$ vs. $W/d$ have been plotted, where $W$ is the width of the sample and $d$ is the diameter of the nugget.

In order to use these equations for the fatigue life estimation of USWed samples, the radius for the USW footprint is essential to be estimated. In the USW, sonotrode tip creates a rectangular indentation on both (top and bottom) sides of Mg sheet surface as shown in Figures 3.1 and 3.5. However, the real welded region is slightly larger than the area of the sonotrode tip with rounded corners. The radius of an equivalent circular weld was estimated in the present case, $\pi r^2 = 48$ where $48 \text{ mm}^2$ is the rectangular area of the sonotrode tip (8 mm in length $\times$ 6 mm in width). Then, $r = 3.91$ mm. Furthermore, since in most of the USW samples kinked crack propagated through the sheet inside the indentation area, here in this present study the reduced thickness of the Mg sheet ($t = t_o - t_1$) is used, where $t_o$ is the original Mg sheet thickness and $t_1$ is the depth of sonotrode tip getting into the sheet after the USW process.

### 4.9.2 Local stress intensity factors solutions for kinked cracks

Figure 4.20 shows a schematic illustration of a kinked crack with a kink angle $\alpha$ and kink length $a$. Here, $K_I$ & $K_{II}$ and $k_I$ & $k_{II}$ denote the global and local stress intensity factors for the main crack and the kinked crack, respectively. The arrows in the Figure 4.20 signify the positive values of the global and local stress intensity factors. For kinked cracks, when kink length (TTT crack) $a$ approaches to 0, the local stress intensity factors $k_I$ and $k_{II}$ can be expressed as a function of the kink angle $\alpha$ and global stress intensity factors ($K_I$ and $K_{II}$) for
the main crack. In the USW process, because of the vibration in the longitudinal direction and bending in the normal direction due to the normal force of sonotrode tip, the microcrack generates at the notch tip between the two sheets (Figures 4.14(a) and (b)), which is similar to a kinked crack. Thus, the local $k_I$ and $k_{II}$ solutions can be expressed as [78],

$$
\Delta(k_I)_0 = \frac{\Delta K_I}{4} \left(3 \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} + \cos \frac{3\alpha}{2}\right) - \frac{3\Delta K_{II}}{4} \left(\sin \frac{\alpha}{2} + \sin \frac{3\alpha}{2}\right),
$$

(4.13)

$$
\Delta(k_{II})_0 = \frac{\Delta K_I}{4} \left(\sin \frac{\alpha}{2} + \sin \frac{3\alpha}{2}\right) + \frac{\Delta K_{II}}{4} \left(\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} + 3 \cos \frac{3\alpha}{2}\right),
$$

(4.14)

where $(k_I)_0$ and $(k_{II})_0$ represent the local $k_I$ and $k_{II}$ solutions for the kink length $a$ approaching 0. In order to calculate the local stress intensity factors for the kinked crack, crack angle $\alpha = 45^\circ$ has been used (Figure 4.14(b)). Since the kinked crack growth is under local combined mode I and mode II loading conditions, an equivalent stress intensity factor, $k_{eq}$, can be defined as [78],

$$
\Delta(k_{eq})_0 = \sqrt{\Delta(k_I)_0^2 + \beta \Delta(k_{II})_0^2},
$$

(4.15)

where $\beta$ is an empirical constant to account for the sensitivity of materials to mode II loading conditions. In the absence of any further information in the literature for the value of $\beta$ of Mg alloys, here in this study, $\beta$ as 1 has been taken for the USWed Mg alloy joints ($\beta = 1$ fits much better than other value).
4.9.3 A fatigue crack growth model

A Paris crack growth relation as a function of $\Delta k_{eq}$ could be expressed with an adjustment for the $R$-ratio effect as suggested by Walker [123],

$$\frac{da}{dN} = C \left( \frac{\Delta k_{eq}}{(1 - R)^{1-\gamma}} \right)^m,$$  (4.16)

where $N$ is the number of fatigue cycles, $C$ and $m$ are the material constants, and $\Delta k_{eq}$ is the equivalent stress intensity range. As with Newman and Dowling [78], it is assumed that the local stress intensity factors remain almost constant throughout fatigue crack progress. Thus, the local stress intensity factor solutions considered in this study are assumed to be independent of the kink length $a$. Integrating Eqn. 4.16 gives,

$$N_{total} = \frac{t}{C \sin \alpha} \left[ \frac{(1 - R)^{1-\gamma}}{\Delta k_{eq}} \right]^m.$$  (4.17)

Since the fatigue crack will align and grow at an angle of $\alpha$, $t/\sin \alpha$ is substituted for the crack length $a$ in Eqn. 4.17, where $t$ is $(t_o - t_I)$ as discussed earlier.

4.9.4 Applicability of the fatigue model

To demonstrate the applicability of the proposed fatigue model for spot welds, the estimated fatigue lives based on the kinked fatigue crack growth model for the USWed AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy were compared with the experimental results of USWed Mg-to-Mg joints, as plotted in Figure 4.21. The predicted fatigue lives were obtained from the following
Figure 4.21: Experimental results and fatigue life estimations for the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints, where the symbols denote the experimental results of fatigue life and various curves represent the fatigue life estimation using Newman and Dowling (ND) model based on the global stress intensity factors solutions of Eqns. of Lin et al. [79], Swellam et al. [83], Zhang [81, 82] and Pook [80].

parameters: a reduced thickness of the failed sheet \( t = t_o - t_I = 2 - 0.3 = 1.7 \text{ mm} \) under the sonotrode tip indentation, the weld nugget radius \( r = 3.91 \text{ mm} \), specimen width \( W = 15 \text{ mm} \), and kink angle \( \alpha \approx 45^\circ \) (approximated from the micrographs shown in Figure 4.14(b) for the USWed AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy). The material constants \( C \) and \( m \) for the AZ31 Mg alloy are chosen to be \( 2.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ MPa.m}^{1/2} \) [124] and 3.3 [120], respectively, loading ratio parameter \( \gamma = 0.75 \) was taken from literature [78], and finally the fatigue load ratio \( R = 0.2 \). It can be seen from Figure 4.21 that the predicted fatigue lives, based on the fatigue crack growth model with the global and local stress intensity factors as a function of the kink length (here \( t / \sin \alpha \))
and the experimentally determined kink angle, agree fairly well with the experimental results. The predicted fatigue lives based on the global stress intensity factor solutions of Swellam et al. [83] (Eqns. 4.7 and 4.8) and Lin et al. [79, 125] (Eqns. 4.11 and 4.12) appear to be closer to the experimental results. However, the predicted fatigue lives of the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints based on the global stress intensity factor solutions of Pook [80] and Lin [79] provide more conservative curves compared with those of Swellam [83] and Zhang [81, 82]. While some differences between the predicted fatigue lives and experimental data for the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints are still there, the present study in the fatigue life estimation seems to be somewhat better than the prediction using a structural stress model for the USWed Mg-to-steel samples [77]. Further studies in developing more accurate fatigue life prediction models are needed.

4.10. Summary

- USW of similar AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy sheets was successfully achieved.
- The influence of the input energy on the strain rate and temperature generated during USW was evaluated. After USW, the grain size was observed to increase with increasing welding energy.
- A higher welding energy input caused greater shear strain rate and higher peak temperatures. These both factors were mostly accountable for controlling the dynamically recrystallized grain size.
- A relationship was obtained for the variation in dynamically recrystallized grain size with the Zener–Hollomon parameter. A Hall-Petch type relationship was established
and the microhardness tests showed that hardness decreased with increasing energy input due to increasing grain size.

- The scatter of the (0002)<10\bar{1}0> and (0002)<11\bar{2}0> generate a pole of rolled Mg that is almost a (0001) fiber texture, which was mainly observed in the BM region of the USWed Mg alloys. After USW of Mg alloy at 2000 J, dynamic recrystallization creates 90° rotation of the basal plane in the NZ so that its normal is parallel to the TD in the NZ at the angle of 60° and slightly tilted at the angle of 5 to 10° towards the RD. This may because the induced higher temperature rise due to the friction results in more complete dynamic recrystallization.

- With increasing energy input, lap shear strength increased and failure occurred by interface fracture. However, at very high energy inputs, the lap shear strength decreased and failure occurred by weld button pullout. This research shows a good correlation among the deformation mechanism, texture development and welding parameter for the USWed Mg alloy AZ31B-H24.

- In this study, a life prediction model for spot welded lap joints developed by Newman and Dowling is adopted to estimate the fatigue lives of the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints. The fatigue life estimation based on the fatigue crack growth model with the global and local stress intensity factors as a function of kink length and the experimentally determined kink angle agrees well with the experimental results.

- The fatigue failure mode of the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints changed from the interfacial failure to the TTT crack growth failure with decreasing cyclic load level from the maximum applied load $P_{\text{max}} = 3.5$ to 0.5 kN.
• After converting the maximum applied load into the maximum stress using the nominal welding nugget area in different welding techniques, the USWed joints displayed a longer fatigue life compared with other welding processes.

• In the USWed Mg-to-Mg joints fatigue crack initiation and propagation were observed to occur from both the notch tip in-between the two sheets and the edge of sonotrode indentation-footprints due to the presence of stress concentration. Fatigue crack growth was mainly characterized by the formation of characteristic fatigue striations.

In this Chapter, it is seen that USW process has successfully achieved sound joints of similar Mg-to-Mg alloys, which shows the potential of USW process to join Mg alloy in spot welding industry. However, Al has already a wide variety of structural applications in the transportation industry due to their excellent properties, such as good ductility, formability and thermal conductivity. To achieve the combined properties of both alloys, recently, demand for dissimilar metal joints of Mg-to-Al alloy has risen. Thus, it is worth to develop some new potential welding process, since many other welding techniques failed to produce sound joints for this kind of challenging combination of materials. Chapter 5, will characterize the USW of Mg-to-Al joints and show how a Sn interlayer can increase the lap shear tensile strength of Mg-to-Al joints.
CHAPTER 5

ULTRASONIC SPOT WELDING OF MAGNESIUM-TO-ALUMINUM ALLOYS†

The aim of this Chapter is to characterize the weld of Mg-to-Al joints, to study the cause of the failure in detail, and to improve the lap shear fracture strength by using a Sn interlayer inserted between the faying surfaces during USW. The selection of Sn in the present study was based on the Mg-Sn and Al-Sn binary phase diagrams [91, 126], which show that Sn may interact with Mg and generate IMCs, while Sn is dissolved into Al to form a solid solution of Sn-Al. Furthermore, Sn was selected on the basis of the findings that it improved the wettability of Mg and Al during the welding process [127] and also refined the grain size in the Mg alloy [128].

5.1 Without interlayer in-between the faying surface

5.1.1 Microstructure

Microstructural characterization was conducted across the welding line of the samples. Figures 5.1(a) and (b) depict the typical microstructure of the welded sample of AZ31-H24 and Al5754-O at 1500 J and 3000 J energy inputs, respectively. The weld had no large

†This chapter is based on the following publications of the author:
V.K. Patel, S.D. Bhole, D.L. Chen: ‘Microstructure and mechanical properties of dissimilar welded Mg-Al joints by ultrasonic spot welding technique’, Science and Technology of Welding and Joining, 2012, Vol 17-No 3,202-206.
V.K. Patel, S.D. Bhole, D.L. Chen: ‘Improving weld strength of magnesium to aluminium dissimilar joints via tin interlayer during ultrasonic spot welding’, Science and Technology of Welding and Joining, 2012, Vol 17-No 5, 342-347.
Figure 5.1: Microstructures of AZ31B-H24 and Al5754-O welded samples at (a) 1500 J, (b) 3000 J energy inputs and (c) relation between IMC thickness and different energy inputs.
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defects, such as crack or tunnel type defects. A sound joint was obtained under most of the welding conditions, but a crack was observed on the weld surface at energy inputs of 2500 J and above (similar crack as shown in 4.12 (b)). This crack was thought to be related to frictional heat generated between the welding tip (made of steel) and welded sheets and the restraint between them leading to tensile residual stresses in the sheet. It can be seen from Figure 5.1(a) that there is an IMC layer between the two alloys which is distributed heterogeneously. This may be because the temperature distribution is not homogenous across the welding line owing to the short initial welding time. In the 500 J energy input sample, discontinuous layers of IMC have been found while in the 3000 J energy input sample continuous layers of IMC have been observed owing to the equal distribution of the temperature at the higher-energy input (Figure 5.1(b)). High local temperatures and strain rate and pressure promote chemical reaction and accelerate diffusion, leading to metallic bonding between the two sheets [129]. The diffusion and chemical reaction between the Mg and Al alloys result in the formation of IMC. During the USW, in first initial cycles, oxide films at the faying surfaces first breaks down locally at asperities on the contacting surfaces and bring fresh metal-to-metal contact. Now, by continuing applying high frequency vibratory energy for longer period of time permit inter-diffusion of Mg and Al to occur. If the kinetics is adequately rapid, it creates the simultaneous formation of IMCs throughout the faying surfaces. Several previous works on the dissimilar welds have suggested that the weld strength is seriously affected by the thickness of the IMC layer formed at the weld interface. On examination of the cross sections of the Mg-to-Al welds, the IMC layer was evidently observable at the weld interface even after short welding times. Figure 5.1(c) shows that the IMC thickness increases with increasing welding energy input. At 500 J welding energy input,
a discontinuous IMC layer with a maximum thickness of 2 µm was already present. With higher weld energy input (3000 J), the thickness of the IMC layer increased to 25 µm.

5.1.2. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analysis

To identify the IMC phase in the interface layer formed between the Mg and Al alloy during the USW, EDS has been conducted at the center of the cross section and on the fracture surface of the weld on the 1500 J energy input samples. Figure 5.2(a) shows the area analysis of the fracture surface (Mg side). The EDS results showed that 58.7 at. % of Mg and 41.3 at. % of Al were present which indicates that the reaction interlayer is mainly comprised of a single IMCs of Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$. Similar EDS area analysis on the fracture surface of the Al side was also performed. However, IMCs of Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$ were not found, which indicating that the failure predominantly occurred in-between the Al alloy and the intermetallic layer, which normally stayed at the Mg side or from the cracks of the IMCs in the reaction layer. The present dissimilar USW is likely exposed to peak temperatures above 460 °C as confirmed by thermocouple measurements at the center of the weld of similar Mg alloy in earlier section 4.2.2. This peak temperature is sufficient for mutual diffusion between Mg and Al. Even though USW is a solid-state joining process, in dissimilar USW it may be possible that constitutional liquation occurs due to the high frequency vibration and resulting heating. After constitutional liquation, the liquid-phase solidifies during the cooling cycle of USW. In the binary Al-Mg system, there are two low melting point eutectic reactions [130] – one between the Mg solid solution and Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$ at 437°C, and the other between Al and
Figure 5.2: EDS analysis: (a) area analysis of the fracture surface on the 1500 J energy input welded sample and (b) SEM micrograph of 3000 J welded sample (c) is EDS line scan across the center of layer in (b).

Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$ at 460°C. This clearly indicates that Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$ is formed first by solid state reaction on Mg side at 437°C and then it creates an interface layer, which penetrates along grain boundaries into the Mg sheets to resolidify as Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$ and Mg eutectic structure. A second interlayer is also visible in Figure 5.2(b) (indicated by arrow) on the Al side of the Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$ layer. This layer has not yet been identified but may be indicative of the formation of the
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---

| Elements | wt%  | at%  |
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Al₂Mg₂. This phase has also been reported in the literature [88]. Thus, the results of SEM, EDS and Al-Mg phase diagram all suggest that the non-uniform IMC layer has a solidified microstructure experiencing the eutectic reaction, liquid→Al₁₂Mg₁₇+Mg, after the primary solidification of Al₁₂Mg₁₇. EDS line analysis across the interface in a 3000 J energy welded sample is shown in Figure 5.2(b). Both Mg and Al are present in fairly constant concentrations across the entire IMC layer. This also indicates the Al₁₂Mg₁₇ phase

5.1.3. X-ray diffraction analysis

For further separate verification of IMCs, the fracture surface of the Mg side of the welded sample was analyzed by XRD. Figure 5.3 shows large peaks of Mg and small peaks of IMCs

![XRD spectrum](image)

*Figure 5.3: XRD on the fracture surface of the 1500 J energy input welded sample.*
Al_{12}Mg_{17}. These IMCs were considered to form by the inter-diffusion behavior of Mg and Al atoms during USW. The XRD spectrum confirms that the non-uniform IMC layer of the dissimilar weld contains a large volume of the intermetallic compound Al_{12}Mg_{17}. Similar results were also reported in the literature [88, 85, 131-133, 84]. Kostka et al. [129] have confirmed the presence of other phase Al_3Mg_2 in FSW using transmission electron microscope. However, XRD technique cannot detect the presence of very minor phases.

5.1.4. Microhardness

Unlike RSW, USW displays no clearly discernible fusion zone or heat affected zone that can degrade the strength of the metals being joined [24, 25]. It can be inferred that in USW, the HAZ is small because of poor conductivity of the welding tip (steel) compared to the thermal conductivity of the samples. The hardness profile across the non-uniform IMC layer, which was measured along the diagonal line, is shown in Figure 5.4. It can be seen that hardness decreases with increasing energy input, owing to increasing grain size at higher temperature. The non-uniform IMC layer in the weld center has hardness values between 200 and 300 HV. This higher hardness is due to the brittle IMCs of Al_{12}Mg_{17} present in the center of the weld. Similar results were also obtained by other researchers [88, 131, 132, 93, 134]. Therefore, the hardness test also verified the presence of the hard IMCs. Thus, USW of the Mg and Al alloys produces a brittle interfacial layer composed mainly of IMCs, which provide an easy fracture path.
5.1.5. Lap shear tensile strength of joints

The results of the lap shear tensile tests of the Mg and Al alloy weld joint are shown in Figure 5.5(a). The maximum lap shear strength was about 36 MPa at 1250 J energy input (based on the maximum lap shear fracture load divided by the nugget area 8×6 mm), which is lower than the lap shear strengths (assumed as half of the tensile strength) of the AZ31-H24 and Al5754-O base metals which are 135 and 145.5 MPa, respectively [135, 136]. With increasing energy input, lap shear strength was increased in the beginning owing to high temperatures and strain rate, which accelerated diffusion in between Mg and Al alloy, but it decreased at very high-energy inputs. It seems that the decrease in lap shear strength is
Figure 5.5: (a) Lap shear strength and (b) failure energy of welded joints at different energy inputs levels.
related to the thickness and presence of cracks in the reaction layer. Therefore, as thickness of the brittle IMC layer increases, the lap shear strength decreases. This was a consequence of a competition between the increasing diffusion bonding arising from higher temperatures and the deterioration effect of the intermetallic layer of increasing thicknesses. Figure 5.5(b) shows the relationship between average value of the failure energy (as determined by integration of the lap shear fracture load curves) and welding energy input. It can be seen that at the beginning failure energy increases with the energy input and reaches a maximum around 1.14 kN.mm and then it decreases due to the larger brittle IMC layer. Based on these results (Figure 5.1(c) and Figure 5.5(a)), it can be concluded that the thickness of IMC was closely related to the strength of Mg-to-Al USW joint. In Figure 5.2(a), the fracture surface appeared coarse and dark gray. The fracture surfaces on the Mg alloy consisted of protuberances and cleavage cracks, which were considered as proof of brittle fracture mode.

5.2 With tin (Sn) as an interlayer in-between the faying surface

Now, Sn interlayer was placed in between the faying surfaces of Mg and Al and SEM, EDS, micro hardness test were performed on the weld cross section. Lap shear tensile test was also performed to check the effect of Sn interlayer on the joint properties.

5.2.1 Microstructure

Microstructural characterization was conducted across the weld line of the samples. Figures 5.6(a) and (b) show microstructures at the center of weld nugget of USW welded Mg-to-Al
Figure 5.6: Microstructure of the dissimilar USW Mg/Al joints made with a welding energy of 1500 J in the middle of weld nugget (a) without and (b) with a Sn interlayer.

joints characteristic of the whole weld nugget without and with a Sn interlayer, respectively. A sound joint was obtained under most of the welding conditions since no large defects such as crack or tunnel type defects were present. It can be seen from Figure 5.6(a) that there was a heterogeneously distributed IMC layer between the Mg and Al alloy sheets. As seen in the our previous section 5.1 of USW of Mg-to-Al alloys without Sn interlayer, the non-uniform IMC layer had a solidified microstructure containing the brittle phase through the eutectic reaction, liquid→Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$+Mg. In the USW of Mg-to-Al with a Sn interlayer, the IMCs of Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$ were not observed as the Al and Mg were separated by the Sn interlayer.

5.2.2. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analysis

The IMC layer displayed a composite-like eutectic structure, as shown in Figure 5.7 at the center of the weld nugget, where the Sn-containing white fine particles were distributed homogeneously or as a network in the interlayer. This was roughly estimated via both EDS
Figure 5.7: (a) Microstructure of the dissimilar USW Mg/Al joints made with a Sn interlayer at a welding energy of 1500 J in the middle of weld nugget at higher magnification, and (b) EDS line scan across the welded joint as indicated by the dashed line.

point analysis and line scan (Figure 5.7). The chemical composition (in at. wt. %) at points A and B was 62.1% Mg - 36.0% Al- 1.9% Sn and 64.9% Mg- 19.9% Al - 15.2% Sn, respectively, which suggests that the dark area (A) had less Sn than the light area (B). Figure 5.7(b) shows that the concentration of Al in the nugget zone is less than that of Mg, owing to the higher solubility of Sn in Mg compared to Sn in Al. Therefore, these results (Figures 5.7(a) and (b)) in conjunction with the Mg-Sn phase diagram [90] suggest the presence of Mg$_2$Sn phase, where the eutectic structure consisting of $\beta$-Sn (or Mg-Sn solid solution) and Mg$_2$Sn would occur at a temperature of 203$^\circ$C [90].

In the USW the simultaneous application of localized high-frequency vibratory energy and moderate clamping force leads to relative motion and friction heat at the interfaces [24, 15] between Al-Sn and Mg-Sn, which would cause the melting and coalescence of Sn. In the presence of the Sn interlayer in the USW, Al and Sn combine to form solid solutions, while
Mg and Sn combine to form $\beta$-Sn and Mg$_2$Sn IMCs. The Mg$_2$Sn phase has an antifluorite-type (CaF$_2$) AB$_2$ crystal structure with a moderately high melting temperature of 770°C and a lattice parameter of $a = 0.676$ nm [137]. It is apparent that the large Mg$_2$Sn particles resulted from the eutectic reaction (L $\rightarrow$ $\beta$ Sn + Mg$_2$Sn). The formation of the IMCs of Mg$_2$Sn implies that the exothermic chemical reaction Mg + Sn $\rightarrow$ Mg$_2$Sn + Q must occur in the interlayer, where Q is the mole heat release of Mg$_2$Sn, which is $-80.75$ [138] kJ mol$^{-1}$, compared to the fusion heat of Al [139] and Mg [139] of 10 and 9 kJ mol$^{-1}$ respectively. Thus, it is possible that the large particles of Al could be remelted by the heat formation of Mg$_2$Sn and resolidify as smaller particles or disappear under the condition of rapid cooling during the welding process. The addition of Sn to the lap joint was observed to refine the grain size in the fusion zone and the base Mg alloy [92, 128] due to the presence of a eutectic structure, which restricts the growth of the Mg grains. Furthermore, it also improves the wettability of Mg and Al during the welding process [127]. Thus, the surface tension of the liquid is reduced so that more liquid spreads evenly over the surface of the base metal.

5.2.3. X-ray diffraction analysis

To further verify the above microstructural observations, XRD patterns obtained on both matching surfaces of Al and Mg sides after tensile shear tests are shown in Figures 5.8(a) and (b). It is clear that apart from strong peaks of Al on the Al side (Figure 5.8(a)) and strong peaks of Mg on the Mg side (Figure 5.8(b)), both Sn and Mg$_2$Sn appeared on both sides without the presence of Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$. Thus, the addition of the Sn interlayer led to an effective elimination of the brittle IMCs of Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$, which was replaced by the formation of solid...
solutions of Sn-Mg and Sn-Al, as well as the eutectic Sn + Mg₂Sn structure. This is in agreement with the SEM observation (Figure 5.7(a)) and EDS analysis (Figure 5.7(b)). Furthermore, these microstructural features of interlayer led to the tensile lap shear failure occurred predominantly through the interlayer (shown later in fractography section), rather than the debonding between the IMCs of Al₁₂Mg₁₇ and Al side in the previous study (section 5.1) without the interlayer.
5.2.4. Microhardness

The hardness profile across the welded joint diagonally is shown in Figure 5.9. The hardness of the very thin IMC layer is the mean of measurements at three different locations along the length of the IMC layer. It is seen that the hardness decreased with increasing energy input owing to increasing grain size with increasing temperature. The interlayer between Mg and Al sheets exhibited hardness values between 200 and 300 HV due to the presence of Mg$_2$Sn.

Figure 5.9: Microhardness profile across the welded joints at different energy inputs.
5.2.5. Lap shear tensile strength of joints

As shown in Figure 5.10(a), the maximum lap shear strength of Mg-to-Al USW joints with the addition of a Sn interlayer was improved (~41 MPa) when compared to that of a direct Mg-to-Al USW joints (~35 MPa). In addition, the Sn interlayer also led to an energy saving since the optimal welding energy required to achieve the highest strength decreased from ~1250 J to ~1000 J. The failure energy shows a similar trend as shown in Figure 5.10(b) where the maximum failure energy improvement due to the Sn interlayer was about 500 N-mm (from ~1200 N-mm to ~1700 N-mm). The increase in the lap shear strength and failure energy was attributed to the formation of solid solutions of Sn with Mg and Al, and composite-like eutectic structure of Sn and Mg$_2$Sn (Figures 5.7 and 5.8), instead of the brittle IMCs of Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$ as reported in previous section 5.1. In addition, it can be seen from Figures 5.10(a) and (b) that in the absence of Sn interlayer, the lap shear fracture load and failure energy increased with increasing energy inputs and reached the maximum at 1250 J energy input and then decreased. As discussed earlier in section 5.1, this was a consequence of the competition between the increasing diffusion bonding arising from higher temperatures at the higher energy inputs and the deteriorating effect of the brittle intermetallic layer of increasing thicknesses. Similarly, in the presence of Sn interlayer, at lower energy inputs the temperature was not high enough to soften or melt the Sn interlayer. On the other hand, at higher energy inputs, the weld specimen was subjected to higher temperatures under larger vibration amplitude for a longer time, resulting in more Sn interlayer being squeezed out. As a result, the lap shear strength and failure energy of the Mg-to-Al USW joints with a Sn interlayer also increased initially with increasing welding
energy, reached the maximum values, followed by a decrease with further increasing welding energy. Figure 5.10(c) shows a summary of the maximum lap shear strength for different types of joints made at a welding energy of 1000 J. It is seen that the USW Mg-to-Al joint without a Sn interlayer was approximately 25% lower than the USW Al-to-Al joint and 60% lower than the USW Mg-to-Mg joint. However, the USW Mg-to-Al joint with a Sn interlayer was approximately 5% higher than the USW Al-to-Al joint and only 40% lower than the USW Mg-to-Mg joint. A further comparison of the lap shear strength of dissimilar Mg-to-Al joints produced with different joining techniques available in the literature [84, 85, 86, 87, 88] is shown in Figure 5.10(d). It should be noted that the measured lap shear fracture loads of USW Mg-to-Al joints were converted to the lap shear strengths by using a nominal welding area corresponding to the area of the USW tip (8×6 mm²). Likewise, the product of the shoulder diameter and width of the specimen for FSW, the shoulder area except the center hollow cylindrical area left by the pin exit was used for FSS, and the nugget diameter for RSW have been used to calculate the areas of the respective nugget zones. It is seen that USW joints with the addition of Sn interlayer displayed better lap shear strengths compared to the other techniques shown. However, it must be emphasized that there were differences in thickness of the samples and different Mg and Al alloys were used. More detailed studies are needed to identify the optimized processing parameters in different welding techniques.
Figure 5.10: Comparison of (a) lap shear strength with and without a Sn interlayer as a function of energy input, (b) failure energy with and without a Sn interlayer as a function of energy input, (c) lap shear strength among different welded joints at an energy input of 1000 J, (d) lap shear strength of the dissimilar Mg-to-Al welded joints made with different welding techniques.
Figure 5.10 (cont’d): Comparison of (a) lap shear strength with and without a Sn interlayer as a function of energy input, (b) failure energy with and without a Sn interlayer as a function of energy input, (c) lap shear strength among different welded joints at an energy input of 1000 J, (d) lap shear strength of the dissimilar Mg-to-Al welded joints made with different welding techniques.
5.2.6. *Lap shear tensile fractography*

Figure 5.11(a) and (b) shows the matching fracture surface morphology of the Al side and Mg side at an energy input of 1000 J. It can be seen that on both Al and Mg sides there was less Sn in the center compared to the edge of the nugget zone owing to the squeeze-out caused by the high temperature and moderate pressure generated during the USW hence the heterogeneity of the thickness of Sn interlayer, as shown in Figure 5.6 (b). This resulted in a fracture appearance on both Al and Mg surfaces consisting of protuberances and cleavage like features typical of brittle fracture mode in regions with low Sn (3.4 at.% as determined by EDS analysis) as seen in region D in Figure 5.11(a) and in region F in Figure 5.11(b). On the other hand, in the high Sn regions (12.4 wt % according to EDS analysis), fairly ductile and rough fracture surface appearance was seen as shown in region C in Figure 5.11(a) and
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*Figure 5.11: Matching fracture surfaces of (a) Al side and (b) Mg side of a welded sample (c) and (e) magnified image of region of (a), and (d) and (f) magnified image of region of (b) with a Sn interlayer at a welding energy of 1000 J.*
Figure 5.11 (cont’d): Matching fracture surfaces of (a) Al side and (b) Mg side of a welded sample (c) and (e) magnified image of region of (a), and (d) and (f) magnified image of region of (b) with a Sn interlayer at a welding energy of 1000 J.

in region E in Figure 5.11(b), suggesting that the Sn and Mg$_2$Sn eutectic structure (Figure 5.7(a)) played a significant role in strengthening the microstructure of the Sn interlayer joint, and thus improved its strength to be presented later.
5.3 Summary

- In summary, sound joint of USW Mg alloy sheet to an Al alloy sheet was successfully achieved via Sn interlayer.

- In USW of Mg-to-Al (without interlayer) joint, the distribution and microstructure of the formed IMCs have been determined as mainly phases of Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$ and a eutectic structure consisting of Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$ and the Mg solid solution. This was confirmed by three different methods namely, EDS, XRD and microhardness test. As anticipated, the hardness of the IMCs is significantly higher than the base materials. As a consequence, brittle fractures occur at the IMCs. Cracking was found in the welded sample of 2500 J energy input and above. The maximum lap shear strength was 36 MPa at 1250 J energy input (which is much lower than the shear strength of AZ31-H24 and Al5754-O). This was a consequence of a competition between the increasing diffusion bonding arising from higher temperatures and the deterioration effect of the intermetallic layer of increasing thicknesses. Failure predominantly occurred in-between the aluminum alloy and the intermetallic layer or from the cracks of the IMCs in the reaction layer.

- In USW of Mg-to-Al (with Sn interlayer) joint, the maximum lap shear strength and failure energy of Mg-to-Al with a Sn interlayer were achieved at a welding energy of about 1000 J, which was significantly higher than those of Mg-to-Al joint without interlayer. This improvement was attributed to the formation of the solid solutions of Sn with Mg and Al as well as the composite-like Sn and Mg$_2$Sn eutectic structure in the interlayer, instead of the brittle Al$_{12}$Mg$_{17}$ intermetallic compound present in Mg to
Al joints without interlayer. The fact that Sn and Mg\textsubscript{2}Sn were located on both Al and Mg sides of matching fracture surfaces indicated that the tensile shear failure occurred through the interior of the interlayer. The presence of Sn on the fracture surfaces reduced the tendency for brittle cleavage-like fracture and encouraged fairly ductile type fracture behavior with rougher fracture surface. Compared to other welding techniques the dissimilar USW of Mg-to-Al alloys with a Sn interlayer displayed better lap shear strengths. Further research is needed to improve the strength of the dissimilar USW joints using other suitable interlayers.

In this study of USWed Mg-to-Al joints, Mg and Al has potential to be welded to each other since from their respective binary phase diagram both elements can be able to form IMCs of Mg\textsubscript{17}Al\textsubscript{12} and Al\textsubscript{3}Mg\textsubscript{2}. However, to join two metals, which do not react with each other is challenging. Although steel is relatively heavy and costly to transport, there are significant and growing volumes of international trade in steel and steel products. Thus the achievement of sound joint between Mg-to-steel will play a key role to the transportation industry in order to maintain the structure’s high strength and weight reduction. Next Chapter will focus on the characterization of the three combinations of Mg-to-steel joints (1) USWed Mg-to-bare steel (2) Mg-to-galvanized steel and (3) Mg-to-bare steel with Sn interlayer.
As mentioned earlier (Sec. 2.2.2.2), according to the respective binary phase diagrams of Mg and Fe elements, they do not react with each other, thus many welding process failed to produce sound joints between these two to metals. Therefore, this Chapter shows how the USW process produces sound joint between Mg and steel alloys. In order to check the feasibility of Zn coating and Sn interlayer to join Mg and steel alloys via USW process, USWed Mg-to-bare steel, Mg-to-galvanized steel and Mg-to-bare steel with Sn interlayer (placed in-between Mg and bare steel) were studied and compared their microstructures and mechanical properties were compared. For the welding of Mg-to-bare steel, galvanized HSLA steel sheets were ground with emery paper by as much as 10 μm to remove the Zn surface layer. For the Mg-to-bare steel with Sn interlayer, a 50 μm thick pure Sn interlayer was placed in between the faying surfaces.

6.1. Microstructure

For solid state welding technologies of dissimilar metals, there are two key factors for controlling the welding performance. One is the intimate contact between the dissimilar materials, and the other is the microstructure, predominantly the nature of the formation of IMC layer. In the present experiments, microstructural characterization was conducted across the weld line of the samples to assess IMC layer. Figures 6.1(a), (b) and (c) shows the
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V.K. Patel, S.D. Bhole, D.L. Chen: ‘Characterization of ultrasonic spot welded joints of Mg-to-galvanized and ungalvanized steel with a tin interlayer’, Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 2014, Vol 214, 811–817.
microstructure of the USW of Mg-to-bare steel, with galvanized steel and with Sn interlayer (placed in-between Mg and bare steel samples), respectively. The weld had no large defects, such as crack, void or tunnel type defects. In the Mg to bare steel joint there was no distinct interlayer found at the center of the joint as shown in Figure 6.1(a) since Mg and Fe do not react with each other based on their respective binary phase diagrams. There was a black layer present as indicated by white arrows at the interface of the Mg-to-steel joint. This layer was formed during the metallographic sample preparation because of the large difference in
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Figure 6.1: SEM micrograph of the dissimilar USWed (a) Mg-to-bare steel (b) Mg-to-galvanized steel and (c) Mg-to-bare steel with Sn interlayer using 1000 J welding energy.
hardness between the Mg alloy and the steel. It was later confirmed by EDS to be an Mg hydroxide compound (Mg(OH)$_2$ has white color but by mixing with polishing product it may have become dark). From the Figure 6.1(b) of USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel, it can be seen that the joint consists of four distinct layers, i.e. base metal of Mg alloy, a heterogeneously distributed intermetallic compound (IMCs) (gray region), Zn coating (white region) and base metal of steel. It can be seen that the galvanized steel sheet has about ~10µm thick coating of Zn. The IMCs are found mainly in-between the Mg and the Zn rich region, suggesting the formation of Mg-Zn IMCs. There were no IMCs found in-between the Zn and Fe matrix. A higher magnification image of that region and quantitative analysis of the chemical compositions by EDS revealed more information. Figure 6.1(c) showed the microstructure of the USWd Mg-to-bare steel joint with the Sn interlayer at the center of the nugget zone. This Sn interlayer was no longer pure Sn interlayer after USW. It became a layer of Sn-Mg$_2$Sn eutectic structure, which will be identified later.

6.2. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis

To identify the generation of phases during the USW, EDS line analysis has been performed across the center of the weld nugget of USWed Mg-to-bare steel, with galvanized steel and with Sn interlayer (placed in-between Mg and bare steel samples), respectively, and shown in Figure 6.2. It can be seen that Mg alloy and bare steel were tightly joined. No transitional layer between Mg and bare steel was detected, explaining the lower mechanical properties of the joint. EDS line analysis shows a higher content of Fe element in Mg sheet, which could be a sign of small steel particles in the Mg sheet. Similar result was reported in the FSWed
Mg-to-bare steel by Schneider et al. [140]. Figures 6.2(c) and (d) show the concentration profiles of Mg, Zn and Fe across the interface of the USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel joint. Two kinds of regions are present at the center of the welded zone, a massive dark grey region and a white substrate. Quantitative analysis of the chemical composition (in at.%) by EDS shows that the dark grey (point A) region consists of 74.9% Mg, 24.6% Zn and 0.5% Fe and the white substrate consists of 3.7% Mg, 93.8% Zn and 2.5% Fe. From this result and Mg-Zn phase diagram, the dark grey region indicates the possible presence of Mg-Zn eutectic structures, which is primary Mg$_7$Zn$_3$ eutectic phase and the white substrate is mainly the pure Zn coating. This Mg$_7$Zn$_3$ eutectic phase was reported in the literature [85, 141]. By closely observing the Figure 6.2(c), it can be seen that there was another IMC layer formed in-between the Mg$_7$Zn$_3$ (dark grey region) and Zn coating (white region). Since it formed on the Zn matrix side, from the Mg-Zn phase diagram it could possibly be the second lower eutectic phase Mg$_2$Zn$_{11}$, which is generated at 364°C. As shown earlier in section 4.2.2 work of temperature distribution in the USW, the peak temperature in the nugget zone reached about 500 to 550°C. Such temperatures are lower than the melting points of the base metal of Mg and Fe (650°C and 1538°C, respectively), but higher than the Zn melting point and Mg-Zn eutectic points (420°C and 339°C, respectively). In other words, the peak temperature in the lap interface center is higher than Zn melting point and Mg-Zn eutectic points, which results in the formation of a liquid-phase.

To better understand the diffusion process of Mg and Zn, USW process is divided into three stages. In the first stage, the oxide film on the surface of Mg alloy was destroyed by the
Figure 6.2: Magnified SEM micrograph at the center of USWed joint of (a) Mg-to-bare steel, (c) Mg-to-galvanized steel, (e) Mg-to-bare steel with Sn interlayer joints using 1000 J...
welding energy, and their respective (b), (d) and (f) are EDS line scan across the center of layer in (a), (c) and (e), respectively.

sonotrode compressive force, bringing it in direct contact of Mg-to-Zn. In the second stage, due to the high ultrasonic vibration under heavy pressure, mutual diffusion of elemental Mg and Zn occurs which leads to the formation of the Mg-Zn eutectic liquid at the interface. In the third stage, if high ultrasonic vibration is applied for longer time (i.e high energy), the eutectic liquid is discharged outside by shear force of the high ultrasonic vibration. Some of the liquid still remains at the interface and in the clearance between the two sheets the liquid solidifies. The evidence of the discharge of the eutectic liquid on the outside surface of the nugget is presented later in the tensile fractography section where EDS analysis shows the higher concentration of Zn at the periphery of the nugget. As shown in Figures 6.1(c) and (d), no eutectic layer was detected between steel and Zn, confirming that there was no melting on the steel side during the USW. This suggests that Mg and Zn combine to form Mg-Zn IMCs, while Fe and Zn combine to form a solid solution to create the weld joint. Figures 6.2(e) and (f) show the SEM image at the center of the NZ of USWed Mg-to-bare steel with a Sn interlayer, and its EDS line scan, respectively. The chemical compositions (in at.%) at point C (Figure 6.2(e)) was 70.3% Mg-29.7% Sn, suggesting that only Mg and Sn elements were present in the interlayer. The chemical composition (in at.%) at point D was 62.3% Mg-37.7% O, which suggested the presence of galvanic corrosion product by forming magnesium hydroxide Mg(OH)$_2$ during the metallographic sample preparation as demonstrated by [142, 143]. The occurrence of galvanic corrosion was attributed to the large difference between Mg and Fe positions in the galvanic series. In both Figures 6.2(e) and (f), the IMC layer displayed a composite-like eutectic structure at the center of the weld nugget, where the Sn-
containing fine white particles were distributed homogeneously or as a network in the interlayer. EDS line scan revealed that little or no Fe was present in the NZ of USWed Mg-to-steel with Sn interlayer joint (Figure 6.2(e)). This was due to the higher solubility of Sn in Mg than Sn in Fe. These results (Figures 6.2(e) and (f)) in conjunction with the Mg-Sn phase diagram indicate the presence of Mg$_2$Sn phase, which may be present separately as Mg$_2$Sn, as an eutectic of Mg$_2$Sn and $\beta$-Sn which occurs at 203°C), or as both.

Diffusivity data are not available to fully analyze the interdiffusion between AZ31B-H24 Mg alloy and Zn or Sn elements. Ganeshan et al. [144] have reported diffusion coefficient for Zn and Sn into Mg. The diffusivity of Zn in solid Mg is $5 \times 10^{-19}$ m$^2$ sec$^{-1}$ at 227°C and in liquid Mg is $6 \times 10^{-11}$ m$^2$sec$^{-1}$ at 727°C. The diffusivity of Sn in the solid Mg is $8 \times 10^{-19}$ m$^2$ sec$^{-1}$ at 227°C and in liquid Mg as $8 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ sec$^{-1}$ at 727°C. Diffusion distance was calculated using the diffusion distance formula,

$$D = (D_o t)^{1/2}, \quad (6.1)$$

where $D_o$ is the diffusion coefficient and $t$ is the diffusion time (here 0.5 s for 1000 J energy input is taken). Using the above formula the diffusion distance of Zn in the solid Mg was obtained as 0.0015 µm and in liquid Mg as 5.48 µm, whereas diffusion distance of Sn in solid Mg was 0.00063 µm and in liquid Mg was 2 µm. Thus, from the calculation of diffusion distance and from Figures 6.1 and 6.2 (thickness of IMCs), it can be concluded that interdiffusion in Mg-Zn or Mg-Sn couple would occur over micrometer-length distance in 0.5 s only if it occurred in liquid phase.
6.3. X-ray diffraction analysis

To further verify the above microstructural observations, XRD data obtained on both matching fracture surfaces of USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel and Mg-to-bare steel with Sn interlayer joints after tensile shear tests are shown in Figure 6.3(a) (Mg side) and (b) (Fe side), respectively. In USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel, diffraction peaks from IMCs of Mg$_7$Zn$_3$ and Mg$_2$Zn$_{11}$ are detected on both Mg and Fe sides along with the Mg, Fe and Zn elements. Similarly, in USWed Mg-to-bare steel joint with Sn interlayer, peaks from IMC of Mg$_2$Sn is detected from both Mg and Fe sides along with the Mg, Fe and Sn elements. Thus, USW of Mg-to-galvanized steel led to the formation of solid solutions of Zn-Mg and Zn-Fe, as well as the Mg + Mg$_7$Zn$_3$ and Mg + Mg$_2$Zn$_{11}$ eutectic structures. Similarly, addition of Sn interlayer in USWed Mg-to-bare steel joint led to solid solutions of Sn-Mg and Sn-Fe, as well as the Sn + Mg$_2$Sn eutectic structures. This is in agreement with the SEM observations shown Figure 1(b) and (c), and EDS analyses shown in Figure 6.2(c), (d), (e) and (f). Chen and Nakata [85] (in friction stir lap welding), and Santella et al. [38] (in ultrasonic spot welding) have reported the presence of the brittle Al-Fe IMCs next to the Mg$_7$Zn$_3$ IMC on the steel side of the Mg-to-steel welded samples. It is worth mentioning that no single peak of Al-Fe IMC has been identified by the XRD analysis. The presence of Mg$_7$Zn$_3$ and Mg$_2$Zn$_{11}$ (in Mg-to-galvanized steel joint) and Mg$_2$Sn and Sn (in Mg-to-bare steel with Sn interlayer joint) eutectic structures on both sides of the fracture surfaces indicated that the failure occurred mainly through the interface. This type of failure is called “cohesive failure” as demonstrated by [145]. Failure in the USWed Mg-to-bare steel
Figure 6.3: XRD patterns obtained from the matching fracture surfaces of Mg-to-galvanized steel and Mg-to-bare steel with Sn interlayer joints at a welding energy of 1000 J, (a) Mg side and (b) Fe side.
occurred as a combination of partial nugget pull-out mode and partial “cohesive failure” mode, giving rise to a higher tensile shear strength which will be seen in the following section.

6.4 Microhardness

The hardness profile diagonally across the welded joint is shown in Figure 6.4. It is seen that characteristic asymmetrical hardness profiles across the USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel and

![Microhardness profiles across the USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel and Mg-to-bare steel with a Sn interlayer joints at a welding energy of 1000 and 2000 J joint.](image)

Figure 6.4: Microhardness profiles across the USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel and Mg-to-bare steel with a Sn interlayer joints at a welding energy of 1000 and 2000 J joint.
Mg-to-bare steel with Sn interlayer (1000 and 2000 J energy inputs) joints were obtained with an average hardness value of about 66 HV on the AZ31B-H24 side and 144 HV on the steel side. According to Jahn et al. [24], unlike RSW, USW displays no clearly discernible fusion zone or heat affected zone (HAZ) that can degrade the strength of the metals being joined. It can be expected that the HAZ in USW would be small because of the welding temperature is lower than the melting temperatures of both Mg and steel. High hardness values of about 200 to 240 HV were observed in the interlayer of both Mg-to-galvanized steel and Mg-to-bare steel with Sn interlayer joints, as shown in Figure 6.4. This was obviously due to the presence of Mg$_7$Zn$_3$ and Mg$_2$Zn$_{11}$ (in Mg-to-galvanized steel joint) and composite-like eutectic structure of Mg$_2$Sn and Sn (in Mg-to-bare steel joint with Sn interlayer) (Figures 6.2 and 6.3).

6.5. Lap shear tensile strength of joints

As shown in Figure 6.5(a), the addition of a Sn interlayer led to an increase in the lap shear strength of USWed Mg-to-bare steel dissimilar joints. For example, at a welding energy of 1500 J (Figure 6.5), the lap shear strength of USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel joints was ~47 MPa, and it increased to ~71 MPa with the addition of a Sn interlayer. This represents an increase of ~34% in the lap shear strength due to the Sn interlayer. Such a significant increase in the lap shear strength was attributed to the formation of solid solutions of Sn-Fe and Mg-Sn, and especially the composite-like eutectic structure of Sn and Mg$_2$Sn (Figures 6.1(c) and 6.2(e), (f)), instead of the brittle IMCs of Mg$_7$Zn$_3$ and Mg$_2$Zn$_{11}$ presented in Mg-to-galvanized steel joints. It can be seen from Figure 6.5(a) that in USWed Mg-to-galvanized
Figure 6.5: (a) Comparison of a lap shear strength of USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel and Mg-to-bare steel with an Sn interlayer joints as a function of energy input, (b) comparison of the highest lap shear tensile strength achieved by similar and dissimilar joints of Mg and steel using USW.
steel the lap shear strength increased with increasing energy up to a welding energy of ~1750 J, after which joining was not possible since the tip started to penetrate through the sheets. If higher energy input weld was possible it would likely show a decrease in lap shear strength based on previous experiments with Mg-to-Al. In the presence of Sn interlayer, the lap shear strength of USWed Mg-to-bare steel dissimilar joints initially increased with increasing welding energy, reached its peak value, followed by a decrease with further increasing welding energy. Such a change occurred due to the fact that at lower energy inputs the temperature was not high enough to soften or melt the Sn interlayer. At higher energy inputs, the specimen was subjected to higher temperatures at larger vibration amplitudes for a longer time, resulting in more Sn interlayer being squeezed out. As summarized in Figure 6.5(b), it is seen that the USWed similar joints of Mg-to-Mg and steel-to-steel had lap shear strengths of 84 and 80 MPa, respectively. The lap shear strength of the USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel dissimilar joint was 56 MPa, which is below 70% that of the similar USWed joints. The USWed Mg-to-bare steel dissimilar joint with a Sn interlayer had a much better lap shear strength of 71 MPa which is as high as 88% of the similar USWed joints. The addition of Sn interlayer led to an energy saving since the optimal welding energy required to achieve the highest strength decreased from ~1750 J to ~1500 J in the Mg-to-steel dissimilar joint.

**6.6 Lap shear tensile fractography**

Figure 6.6(a) and (b) show the matching fracture surface morphology of the Mg and steel sides at energy input of 1000 J. It is seen that on both Mg and steel sides there was a gray region denoted as region “c” on the Mg side and region “d” on the steel side, and black
region indicated as region “e” on the Mg side and region “f” on the steel side. EDS analysis in the region “c” showed a composition of (in at.% ) 76.9% Mg and 23.1% Sn, while in region “d” it was (in at.% ) 23.3% Mg, 12.2% Al, 58.4% Fe and 3.1% Sn, indicating that the region “e” in Figure 6.6(a) mainly contained Sn and Mg$_2$Sn eutectic structure. In region “d” a smaller amount of Sn and Mg$_2$Sn eutectic structure was present. This was in good agreement with the XRD results shown in Figure 6.3(b), where the peaks of Mg$_2$Sn were largely present on the Mg side and not on the Fe side. The black region denoted as region “e” (Figure 6.6(a)) and “f” (Figure 6.6(b)) was magnified and is shown in Figure 6.6(e) and (f), respectively. EDS area scan of region “e” revealed a composition of (in at.% ) 97.8% Mg and 2.2% Zn, while in region “f” it was (in at.% ) 94.5% Mg, 2.7% Fe and 2.8% Sn present, suggesting that regions “e” and “f” contained mainly Mg matrix. Thus, partial nugget pull-out failure mode occurred in the USWed Mg-to-bare steel dissimilar joint with a Sn interlayer, where shear type pattern was present as seen from the magnified images shown in Figures 6.6(e) and (f). This indicated a strong bonding between Mg matrix and the composite-like Sn and Mg$_2$Sn eutectic structure, thus giving rise to significantly higher lap shear strength, as shown in Figure 6.5. Figure 6.6(g) shows the overall fracture surface image of USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel joint. EDS results, shown in Figure 6.6(h) at the region 1, showed a composition of 73.0% Mg and 27% Zn (in at.% ), while in region it was 2 57.2% of Mg and 42.8% of Zn. This further verified the existence of the brittle IMCs of Mg$_7$Zn$_3$ and Mg$_2$Zn$_{11}$. Thus, as mentioned earlier, it confirmed that lap shear tensile fracture strength was limited because of the brittle Mg$_7$Zn$_3$ and Mg$_2$Zn$_{11}$ IMCs formed during the USW of Mg-to-galvanized steel joint. Moreover, EDS results show the squeeze-out effect of the Zn coating since, there was more Zn present at the edge of the nugget.
Figure 6.6: Matching fracture surfaces of (a) Mg side (b) bare steel side of a welded sample with Sn interlayer at a welding energy of 1000 J, (c) flat and grey region at a larger magnification of Mg side (d) the corresponding region of bare steel, (e) pull-out (or black) region at a larger magnification of Mg side, (f) the corresponding region of bare steel side, (h) overall fracture surface of USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel of Mg side, (h) and (i) are magnified image at region h in (g) and i in (h), respectively.
Figure 6.6 (cont’d): Matching fracture surfaces of (a) Mg side (b) bare steel side of a welded sample with Sn interlayer at a welding energy of 1000 J, (c) flat and grey region at a larger magnification of Mg side (d) the corresponding region of bare steel, (e) pull-out (or black) region at a larger magnification of Mg side, (f) the corresponding region of bare steel side, (h) overall fracture surface of USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel of Mg side, (h) and (i) are magnified image at region h in (g) and i in (h), respectively.

6.6 Summary

- The lap shear strength of Mg-to-bare steel joint with a Sn interlayer was significantly higher than that of Mg-to-galvanized steel joint. This improvement was attributed to the formation of solid solutions of Sn with Mg and Fe as well as the composite-like Sn and Mg$_2$Sn eutectic structure in the interlayer.
• The presence of Mg$_7$Zn$_3$ and Mg$_2$Zn$_{11}$ IMCs on both sides of the fracture surfaces indicated that the tensile shear failure occurred through the interface in the mode of ‘cohesive failure’ in the USWed Mg-to-galvanized steel joint, while partial nugget pull-out failure mode occurred in the USWed Mg-to-bare steel with a Sn interlayer, suggesting strong bonding between Mg matrix and the composite-like Sn and Mg$_2$Sn eutectic structure.

• The addition of Sn interlayer further led to energy saving since the welding energy required to achieve the maximum lap shear strength decreased from 1750 to 1500 J energy input.

In Chapters 5 and 6 for USWed Mg-to-Al and Mg-to-steel, respectively, it is seen that how USW process has potential to weld challenging dissimilar Mg-to-Al and Mg-to-steel. Now, it is also worth to characterize the most usable dissimilar combination in the automobile industry, Al-to-steel joints. Researchers have shown that effective sound joint can be produced by the RSW and FSSW. However, as discussed in literature review section, there are enormous disadvantages of FSSW and RSW process. Thus, there is an urgent need to develop alternative joining method for welding Al-to-steel. Many studies have shown that the major metallurgical problem of joining Al alloys with steels is the formation of brittle intermetallic compounds (IMCs) such as Fe$_3$Al, FeAl, FeAl$_2$, Fe$_2$Al$_5$, FeAl$_3$ [22, 98, 146, 37, 147, 148], which can seriously degrade the mechanical properties of the joint. Therefore, it is worth to study the feasibility of USW to join Al-to-steel.
Similar to the preceding chapter, this study was aimed to check the feasibility of USW process to form Al-to-steel joint. Here, since many studies have already shown that joining of Al and steel alloys produces brittle IMCs, in this study, only USW of Al-to-galvanized (Zn coated) HSLA steel was conducted with aiming of minimizing the IMC thickness. In this Chapter, microstructural change and lap shear tensile load of dissimilar USWed joints of Al-to-galvanized steel were also evaluated. Additionally, mechanical properties of the joint, fatigue resistance and failure mode are also discussed.

7.1. Microstructure

A typical cross-section of USWed Al-to-galvanized steel is shown in Figure 7.1(a). No crack or tunnel type defects were present in the samples under most of the welding conditions. A thin Al-Zn enriched non-uniform layer was observed at the interface between Al and Fe substrate in the 1000 J energy input sample. At higher energy inputs (2000 J), the weld specimen was subjected to higher temperatures under larger vibration amplitude for a longer time, resulting in more Zn interlayer being squeezed out, as shown by the arrows in Figure 7.1(b).
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7.2. Energy dispersive spectroscopy analysis

To identify the generation of phases during the USW of Al and galvanized steel, EDS point and line scans have been performed across the center of the nugget zone and shown in Figures 7.1(c) and (d). From the EDS point scan results, the chemical composition (in at.%) at points A and B was 65% Al - 32.2% Zn – 2.8% Fe and 70.6% Al - 26.9% Zn - 2.5% Fe, respectively, which suggests that only Al and Zn elements compared to the Fe elements in the center of the nugget zone, called region 1 (Figure 7.1(d)). According to the Al-Zn binary phase diagram and the EDS point and line analysis results, this region 1 could be the eutectic film of Al-Zn. From previous thermal measurement of USW joint in section 4.2.2, the weld temperature at the center of the join line would be expected to greatly exceed the Al-Zn eutectic melting point of 655 K (382°C). At the longer weld times this Al-Zn eutectic film is replaced by the resolidified eutectic liquid, which is squeezed out from the joint. As mentioned earlier, some of the eutectic liquid of Al-Zn squeezed off from the center of the welded joint during the USW process and settled down at the edge of the welded joint as shown in Figure 7.1(e). The formation of the Al-Zn eutectic liquid was also reported by Haddadi et al. [100] in USW and Ueda et al. [102] in RSW of Al-to-galvanized steel joint. Thus, it can be concluded that in the USW of Al-to-galvanized steel, only Al-Zn eutectic film was present at the interface. However, by closely observing Figure 1(c), there is a very thin IMC layer present in-between the Al-Zn eutectic film and Fe matrix, called region 2 (shown by the arrow in Figures 7.1(c) and (d)). As can be seen in the EDS line scan- Figure 1(c), at around 15 µm distance, the
Figure 7.1: SEM micrograph of the dissimilar USWed Al-to-galvanized steel using (a) 1000 J (b) 2000 J energy inputs in the middle of weld nugget (c) magnified SEM micrograph of (a), and (d) EDS line scan across the interlayer in (c), (e) SEM micrograph of Al-Zn eutectic liquid at the edge of the joint.
concentration of Al was starting to decrease and then suddenly spiked at a distance of approximately 21 µm and then again decreased. Further the scan clearly shows the higher amount of Fe element and a low count of 0 to 10 of Zn elements at the particular region 2, which evidently suggests that this layer is the IMCs of Al and Fe elements. According to the binary phase diagram of Al and Fe, these IMCs could be Fe2Al5 and FeAl3 phases, which were later confirmed by the XRD technique. Many studies have also reported the presence of these brittle phases during the welding of Al and steel, which is the main cause of fracture [22, 98, 146, 37, 147, 148].

Figure 7.1( cont’d): SEM micrograph of the dissimilar USWed Al-to-galvanized steel using (a) 1000 J (b) 2000 J energy inputs in the middle of weld nugget (c) magnified SEM micrograph of (a), and (d) EDS line scan across the interlayer in (c), (e) SEM micrograph of Al-Zn eutectic liquid at the edge of the joint.
From the experimental results, the bonding mechanism of the Al-to-galvanized steel joints can be explained as follows. The schematic illustrations of the bonding process of Al-to-galvanized steel joints are shown in Figure 7.2. Before USW, the oxide film exists on the Al and galvanized steel samples, even after the samples were polished by 120 emery paper and
cleaned by ethanol, followed by acetone. In the first stage, the oxide film on the surface of Al and galvanized steel samples was destroyed due to the sonotrode compressive force, and direct contact of Al-to-Zn coating. In the second stage, due to the high ultrasonic vibration under moderate pressure, Al and Zn begin to react, and Al-Zn eutectic liquid is formed at the interface. In the third stage, if high ultrasonic vibration is applied for a longer time (i.e. high welding energy), the eutectic liquid is discharged outside by the shear force of the high ultrasonic vibration. However, some of the liquid still remains at the interface as a solid solution of Al and Zn elements (Figures 7.1(c) (points A and B) and (d), region 1). The evidence for the discharge of the eutectic liquid on the outside surface of the nugget is described later in the tensile fractography section, where EDS analysis shows the higher concentration of Zn followed by the Al at the periphery of the nugget. In the fourth stage, since some of the Zn is being squeezed out (Figure 7.1(b)), it makes multiple gaps, which allow the softer Al into direct contact with Fe element for further diffusion process between Al and Fe elements. Finally, IMCs of Al and Fe are formed (Figures 7.1(c) and (d), region 2) in-between the Al-Zn eutectic film and Fe matrix.

7.3. X-ray diffraction analysis

To further verify the above microstructural observations, XRD patterns obtained on both matching fracture surfaces of Al and galvanized steel sides after lap shear tensile test are shown in Figures 7.3(a) and (b). It is clear that apart from strong peaks of Al on the Al side (Figure 7.3(a)) and strong peaks of Fe on the steel side (Figure 7.3(b)), peaks of Al, Zn and IMCs such as Fe$_2$Al$_5$ and FeAl$_3$ appeare on both sides of the fracture surfaces. As reported
Figure 7.3: XRD patterns obtained from the matching fracture surfaces of (a) Al side and (b) Fe side at a welding energy of 2000 J
earlier, many studies have reported the presence of Fe$_2$Al$_5$ and FeAl$_3$ brittle phases during the welding of Al-to-steel [22, 37, 98, 146, 147, 148], even in the USW of Al-to-steel [22]. Haddadi et al. [100], have reported the formation of the brittle IMCs of Fe$_5$Zn$_{21}$ and Fe$_3$Zn$_{10}$ in the USW of Al-to-galvanized steel. However, in this present experiment, no peak was evident for the Fe-Zn IMCs. In the Al–Fe phase diagram [130], there are numerous Al-Fe IMCs, such as FeAl$_3$, FeAl$_2$, Fe$_2$Al$_5$, Fe$_3$Al, and FeAl that are present in the binary phase diagram of Al-Fe. The first phase generated during metal-to-metal interaction is the phase with the most negative heat of formation at the concentration of the lowest eutectic of the binary system. Now, these phases react with each other to form another phase. The lowest eutectic point in Al–Fe binary phase diagram has at 0.02% Fe. At this particular composition, FeAl$_3$ has the lowest effective free energy of formation and therefore, generation of FeAl$_3$ phase is kinetically favored. Then the reaction between Fe and FeAl$_3$ phases will occur to form another phase with a composition between that of the interacting phases and closest to that of the lowest eutectic point, which is in the order of Fe$_2$Al$_5$, FeAl$_2$, FeAl, Fe$_3$Al.

7.4. Lap shear tensile strength of joints

As shown in Figure 7.4, the maximum lap shear tensile strength of USWed Al-to-galvanized steel was ~76 MPa (~3.7 kN). The lap shear tensile load increased with increasing energy inputs and peaked 2000 J energy input and then decreased. At lower energy inputs, the temperature was not high enough to soften or diffuse the Zn interlayer into the Al. On the other hand, at higher energy inputs, the weld specimen was subjected to higher temperatures under larger vibration amplitude for a longer time, resulting in more Zn interlayer being
squeezed out (Figure 7.1(b). As a result, more diffusion between Al and Fe occurred, which deteriorated strength. Thus, it can be concluded that this behavior was a consequence of the competition between the increasing diffusion bonding arising from higher temperatures at the higher energy inputs and the deteriorating effect of the brittle intermetallic layer of increasing thickness. Haddadi et al. [22] reported that the weld strength is limited by the development of an Al-Fe IMC layer, which increases in thickness with weld time. Thus, Watanabe et al. [37] obtained only a 0.6 kN lap shear tensile load for welding time of 2.5 s for the USW of A5052 Al alloy-to-SS400 mild steel (without any coating or interlayer). Haddadi et al. [22] reported maximum lap shear strength of only 3.1 kN for USW of Al6111-
to-hot dipped Zn coated steel and 2.7 kN for USWed of Al6111-to-galvanized annealed steel. The reason for the above could be because of the presence of the brittle IMCs of Fe$_5$Zn$_{21}$, F$_3$Zn$_{10}$ and FeZn$_{10}$ along with the Al-Fe IMCs at the welded joint. Moreover, Miyagawa et al. [149] obtained a 3.7 kN lap shear tensile load of FSSWed A5052-to-Zn coated steel with welding time of 5 s. Therefore, the present results suggest that USW offers a favorable solution for dissimilar metal joining since it requires only 1 sec weld time to obtain 3.7 kN (~76 MPa lap shear tensile strength) lap shear tensile load.

7.5. Lap shear tensile fractography

Typical SEM images of tensile fracture surfaces of USWed dissimilar Al-to-galvanized steel at 2000 J energy inputs are shown in Figures 7.5(a) and (b), respectively. To understand the tensile shear fractography, the fracture surfaces are divided into the four parts (1) Zn-enriched region, (2) Al containing region (3) Al-Zn containing (Al-Zn eutectic film or liquid) region at Al side and Al-Fe IMCs region at galvanized steel side and (4) mixed region. Most of the Zn-enriched region was present at the periphery of the nugget (Table 7.1, region g and j), due to the squeeze-out effect of the Zn coating as shown in Figure 7.1(b). Moreover, the concentration of Al element in region g (Al side) and region j (steel side) was higher (Table 7.1), which is in agreement with the existence of the Al-Zn eutectic liquid and its pushing out effect as mentioned earlier (Figure 7.2). From the chemical composition of region i on Al side and l on galvanized steel side shown in Table 1, the Al-Zn containing region was found on the Al side, while Al-Fe IMC contain region was found on the galvanized steel side, which suggested that failure initially could have occurred in-between the Al-Zn region and
Figure 7.5: Typical SEM images of tensile fracture surface of USWed dissimilar Al-to-galvanized steel (a) overall view Al side (b) galvanized steel side of 2000 J, respectively. (c) and (d) shown in (a) and (b), respectively, are the magnified area (image rotated at 90°). (e) EDS line scan analysis across the line shown in (c) Al side, and (f) shown in (d) galvanized steel side. (g), (h) and (i) were higher magnification images of (c), and (j), (k) and (l) higher magnification images of (d).
Figure 7.5 (cont’d): Typical SEM images of tensile fracture surface of USWed dissimilar Al-to-galvanized steel (a) overall view Al side (b) galvanized steel side of 2000 J, respectively, (c) and (d) shown in (a) and (b), respectively, are the magnified area (image rotated at 90°). (e) EDS line scan analysis across the line shown in (c) Al side, and (f) shown in (d) galvanized steel side. (g), (h) and (i) were higher magnification images of (c), and (j), (k) and (l) higher magnification images of (d).
Table 7.1: Elemental composition of different regions in Figure 7.5(c) and (d), in at.%.  

| Elements | Region g | Region h | Region i | Region j | Region k | Region l |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Mg       | 2.5      | 4.2      | 3.1      | 12.2     | 4.3      | 0.8      |
| Al       | 9.9      | 95.5     | 79.0     | 21.1     | 92.9     | 14.6     |
| Fe       | 0.2      | 0.13     | 7.8      | 1.5      | 0.7      | 83.9     |
| Zn       | 87.4     | 0.2      | 10.1     | 65.2     | 2.1      | 0.7      |

IMCs of Al-Fe containing region. However, from the chemical composition of the region h and k (black region), Al was found as the main element on both sides of the fracture surfaces (Al and galvanized steel sides) at the edge of the sonotrode (Figures 7.5(a) and (b), black region shown in rectangular box), suggesting failure also occurred from this Al containing region.

To further verify the fracture location and chemical composition presented in the Table 7.1, EDS analysis was performed across the region c (90° rotated image) in Figure 7.5(a) and region d (90° rotated image) in Figure 7.5(b). The EDS analysis results verify that the Al containing region was present on both fracture surfaces. Since the Al containing region was present on the steel side as well, strong bonding between Al with Zn or Fe elements could have occurred at the edge of the nugget. This could happen because at the edge of the nugget, deformation in both the sheets is higher due to the pressing action of the sharp edge of the sonotrode, resulting in more intimate mixing of Al elements into the Zn or Fe elements.

Therefore, it can be inferred that fracture could initially occur in-between the regions i and l with a small applied load and then with continuing higher applied load, the joints failed from the Al containing region. This is also verified by the magnified regions h and k (Figure 7.5(h) and (k)), where opposing shear-tear like fracture feature were found on Al and galvanized
steel sides, suggesting that dimple-rupture failure mode occurred in-between the region h and k, while there was interfacial de-bonding-like failure in-between the region i and l.

7.6. Fatigue behavior and failure mode

Fatigue test results of the dissimilar USWed Al-to-galvanized steel joints obtained at room temperature, R = 0.2, and a frequency of 50 Hz are plotted in Figure 7.6(a). It can be seen that at the higher $P_{\text{max}}$ loads of 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0 kN the 2000 and 3000 J samples showed higher fatigue resistance compared to the 1000 J welded samples. However, at the lower $P_{\text{max}}$ loads, especially at 1.0 kN the 3000 J welded samples showed lower fatigue life compared to the 1000 and 2000 J welded samples. This is because at lower $P_{\text{max}}$ loads premature fatigue fracture, referred to here as transverse through-thickness crack growth mode, occurred at the edge of the nugget zone on the softer Al side, unlike interfacial fracture (in-between the Al and galvanized steel) which occurred at higher $P_{\text{max}}$ loads. Transverse through-thickness crack growth mode occurred (perpendicular to the loading direction) at the edge of the sonotrode in contact with the sample because higher welding energies led to substantially greater temperatures and the resulting softer Al sheet experienced a greater level of bending deformation in the weld zone compared to the base metal zone due to the outward flow of the material under the sonotrode tool indentation. This produced small micro level crack tip at the notch of the two sheets as indicated in [77]. Thus, this micro level crack experienced higher stress concentration effect during the cyclic loading which allowed the cracks to grow in the transverse direction of the Al sample. In 2000 J USWed sample, results of the fatigue tests were consistent with tensile results, which showed higher fatigue life compared to the
Figure 7.6: (a) S-N curves of the USWed Al-to-galvanized steel joint tested at RT, R=0.2, and a frequency of 50 Hz, (b) the maximum tensile shear cyclic stress vs. the number of reversals to failure (2N_f) in the double-log scale for USWed Al-to-galvanized steel joint at 2000 J energy input.
1000 and 3000 J energy inputs samples at applied $P_{\text{max}}$ load of 1.5 kN or less. As can be seen from Figure 7.6(a) for all welding conditions the fatigue limit was 0.5 kN. Figure 7.6(b) presents the failure mode in conjunction with the logarithmic S-N plots in the form of the maximum tensile shear cyclic stress versus the number of reversals to failure ($2N_f$) in terms of a Basquin type relationship for the 2000 J USWed samples. It should be noted that the run-out data for the non-failed samples at or over $1 \times 10^7$ cycles were not included in the curve fitting. For the 2000 J welded samples, interfacial failure mode was observed at the higher cyclic load levels where the slope was steeper, while transverse through-thickness crack growth mode at the Al side was observed at the lower cyclic load levels where the slope was flatter. Figure 7.7 shows typical fatigue failure location at the different $P_{\text{max}}$ load values of USWed Al-to-galvanized steel at 1000 J energy input. It can be seen that when samples were tested from cyclic load of 3.0 and 2.5 kN, interfacial failure occurred. In samples tested at 2.0 and 1.5 kN, interfacial failure also occurred, however initiation of crack was started at the circumference around the nugget (Figures 7.7(c) and (d)). At 1.0 kN applied load, the crack propagated in a direction perpendicular to the loading direction. Once the remaining cross section could no longer sustain the shear overload, the heavily deformed nugget edge eventually experienced transverse through-thickness crack growth failure mode (Figure 7.7(e)). In 1000 J samples, most of the failure occurred at the interface of the Al and galvanized steel sheet (except 1.0 kN applied fatigue load where the failure was through-thickness). On the other hand, in the 3000 J welded samples most of the fractures occurred from the edge of the sonotrode nugget at the Al side (except 3.0 kN applied fatigue load where the failure was interfacial). The 1000 J welded sample experienced lower sonotrode penetration (i.e no micro level cracks at the edge of nugget zone), however, the joint
Figure 7.7: Typical fatigue failure locations at different $P_{\text{max}}$ values of USWed Al-to-galvanized steel at 1000 J energy input (a) 3 kN (interfacial failure mode) (b) 2.5 kN (interfacial failure mode) (c) 2 kN (interfacial failure mode, initiation of crack) (d) 1.5kN ((interfacial failure mode, crack start to propagating perpendicular to the loading direction) (e) 1 kN(transverse through-thickness crack growth mode).

experienced weaker diffusion bonding; this was not the case in the 3000 J welded samples. Thus, during the USW process, with increasing energy input there is competition between the increasing diffusion bonding and the propagation of a crack in the Al sheet thickness.

The change of the failure mode from the transverse through-thickness crack growth mode to the interfacial failure occurred at $\log\sigma_{\text{max}}=1.61$ or ($\sigma_{\text{max}}=42$ MPa) in the 2000 J USWed
joints (Figure 7.6(b)). Likewise, such a transition of failure mode from the deformed edge failure to the interfacial failure occurred at log$\sigma_{\text{max}}$=1.49 (or $\sigma_{\text{max}}$=31 MPa) in the 1000 J samples and at log$\sigma_{\text{max}}$=1.71 (or $\sigma_{\text{max}}$=52 MPa) in the 3000 J welded samples (not shown in the Figure 7.6(b)). Thus, from these $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ values of different welding energies, it can be concluded that the maximum cyclic stress at which transition of the fatigue fracture from transverse through-thickness crack growth mode to the interfacial failure mode occurs increases with increasing energy input.

7.7. Fatigue fractography

For a more detailed study of the fatigue fractures, SEM fracture surface images of the failed 1000 J welded samples of Al side are shown in Figure 7.8 which were tested at a minimum cyclic load of 1.0 kN (Figure 7.8(a) to (e)) and at a maximum cyclic load of 3.0 kN (Figure 7.8(f) to (h)). It can be seen from Figure 7.8(a) that the fatigue crack developed from the region “b” and propagated at right angles to the loading direction along the Al sheet with increasing number of cycles and later experienced transverse through-thickness crack growth mode (Figure 7.7(e)). Figure 7.8(b) shows the location of the crack initiation and Figure 7.8(c) shows the magnified image of region “c” in Figure 7.8(b). The final crack propagation direction is shown in Figure 7.8(d). Fatigue crack propagation was mainly characterized by the formation of fatigue striations (Figure 7.8(e)), which usually appeared perpendicular to the fatigue crack propagation direction. Fatigue striations typically generate by a repeated plastic blunting-sharpening process in the FCC materials, stemming from the glide of dislocations on the slip plane along the slip direction within the plastic region before the
Figure 7.8: Typical SEM images of fatigue fracture surface of a USWed Al-to-steel joint using 1000 J tested at a $P_{\text{max}} = 1.0$ kN, (a) overall view, (b) crack initiation area, (c) magnified image of crack initiation area, (d) final fast crack propagation area, (e) fatigue striations in the crack propagation zone at a higher magnification, (f) overall view of shear fracture surface at a higher $P_{\text{max}} = 3.0$ kN, and (g) shear fracture of region g in (f) at a higher magnification, and (h) small isolated dimple-rapture failure zone of region h in (g) at a higher magnification.
Figure 7.8 (cont’d): Typical SEM images of fatigue fracture surface of a USWed Al-to-steel joint using 1000 J tested at a $P_{\text{max}}$ 1.0 kN, (a) overall view, (b) crack initiation area, (c) magnified image of crack initiation area , (d) final fast crack propagation area, (e) fatigue striations in the crack propagation zone at a higher magnification, (f) overall view of shear fracture surface at a higher $P_{\text{max}}$=3.0 kN, and (g) shear fracture of region g in (f) at a higher magnification, and (h) small isolated dimple-rapture failure zone of region h in (g) at a higher magnification.

Crack tip [34]. Figure 7.8(f) presents Al side SEM images of fatigue fracture surfaces of the USWed Al-to-galvanized steel joints failed in interfacial fracture mode. Figure 7.8(g) shows that the crack propagated circumferentially around the nugget (Figure 7.7(c) and (d)) and then failed in interfacial fracture mode. The shear-tear like features shown in Figure 8(h) are evidence of the interfacial failure in-between the Al and galvanized steel sheet.
7.8 Summary

- USW of dissimilar Al5754-O Al alloy-to-galvanized steel sheets was successfully achieved. Eutectic Al-Zn film was mainly present at the weld interface followed by the Fe$_2$Al$_5$ and FeAl$_3$ IMCs, which was confirmed by the XRD analysis.

- The lap shear tensile strength increased with increasing energy inputs and reached the maximum ~76 MPa (~3.7 kN lap shear fracture load) at 2000 J energy input and then decreased. EDS analysis on the fracture surfaces of both Al and galvanized steel sides suggested that failure could initially occur in-between the eutectic Al-Zn film and the IMCs of Fe$_2$Al$_5$ and FeAl$_3$ and then with continuing higher applied load, it failed from the Al containing region on both matching fracture surfaces.

- For all welding conditions, the fatigue limit was 0.5 kN. The maximum cyclic stress at which transition of the fatigue fracture from transverse through-thickness crack growth mode to the interfacial failure mode occurs increases with increasing energy input.
CHAPTER 8
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

8.1 Summary

USW of similar Mg-to-Mg and dissimilar of Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel alloys sheets were performed. From Chapter 2, it was found that very limited work has been reported for USWed Mg alloy. Therefore, in Chapter 4, first initiative was taken to check the feasibility of USW process on Mg alloys and to characterize USW of Mg-to-Mg welds. The effect of higher shear strain rate and welding temperature on the dynamic recrystallization of grains was evaluated. A fundamental understanding of the deformation mechanism and dynamic recrystallization during USW and their influence on crystallographic texture formation and mechanical properties of USW Mg alloys, and the USW fatigue properties, in particular how and where the crack initiates and how it propagates up to the failure in the fatigue testing of USWed joints were studied. Further, a numerical model based on Newman and Dowling [78] was developed and validated with experimental fatigue data of USW of Mg-to-Mg. In Chapters 5, 6 and 7 characterizations of challenging dissimilar welding of Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel, respectively, were studied, where the formation of IMCs have been engineered using Sn interlayer and Zn coating with a view to improve mechanical properties like lap shear tensile and fatigue strength.
8.2 Contribution

This study has demonstrated that USW can be used to produce satisfactory similar and dissimilar welds in difficult to weld light metals such as sheet Mg, Al and steel. It has also been shown that using an appropriate interlayer such as Sn in dissimilar USW it is possible to achieve sound welds with good mechanical properties through the formation of non-brittle IMCs.

The research results should effectively promote the applications of lightweight Mg and Al alloys in the automotive industry and contribute to the reduction of green house gas emissions.

8.3 Major conclusions

Joining of dissimilar materials Mg-to-Al, Mg-to-steel and Al-to-steel was successfully achieved using USW process.

1. USW joints exhibited acceptable lap shear tensile fracture strength and fatigue life with only ~5-10% hardness reduction at WZ.

2. In all joint combinations considered in this study, lap shear tensile strength of the joints increased with increasing energy inputs and then decreased.

3. Dynamic recrystallization was observed at the center of the Mg-to-Mg joints from ~1000 J welding energy and onwards due to large shear strain rate and higher peak temperature which led to a more random crystallographic texture.
4. In tensile and fatigue tests, all dissimilar joints failed from the interface while most of the similar joints failed at the edge of the NZ. USWed joints displayed higher lap shear tensile strength and longer fatigue life compared with other welding processes.

5. A life prediction model for spot welded lap joints based on Newman and Dowling [78] agreed well with the experimental results.

6. Dissimilar USW joints with interlayer exhibited higher lap shear tensile strength and consumed lower welding energy to achieve acceptable joints than dissimilar USW joints without interlayer.

7. The presence of suitable interlayer/coating (Sn and Zn) on the fracture surfaces reduced the tendency for brittle cleavage-like fracture and encouraged ductile type fracture behavior with rougher fracture surface.

8. The role of the interlayer in dissimilar USWed joints was to replace the formation of brittle IMCs in non-interlayer joints with solid solutions and non-brittle IMCs.
   - In Mg-to-Al welds with Sn interlayer, the joints contained solid solution of Sn with Mg and Sn with Al as well as a Sn and Mg$_2$Sn eutectic structure.
   - In Mg-to-steel welds with Sn interlayer, the joints contained solid solution of Sn with Mg and Sn with Fe as well as a Sn and Mg$_2$Sn eutectic structure.
   - In Al-to-steel welds with Zn coating on steel surface, the joints contained Al-Zn eutectic and thin IMCs of FeAl$_3$ and Fe$_2$Al$_5$.

9. The desirable welding energy input for USW of light weight alloys in this study with sample thickness of 1-3 mm was found to be between ~1000 J and ~2000 J. Exceeding the 2000 J energy input led to the thinning of the welding sheet and failure at the edge of the NZ.
8.4 Recommendations for future work

A number of interesting extensions to the present work could be made in the future. These are listed below:

1. As seen earlier in the (Figure 4.11), lap shear tensile experiments of USWed Mg alloy, most of the welds failed from interface (between to weld faying surfaces). However, with similar welding conditions, others samples tested with high cycle fatigue test failed from the edge of the weld nugget (Figure 4.17) This crack initiation is thought to be caused by the extensive residual stress at the edge of the joints due to the simultaneous application of vibration and compressive pressures during USW process. However, the more research on the measurement of residual stress at the edge of the weld nugget will reveal information. Residual stresses are inherently introduced into materials through many mechanical, thermomechanical and thermal processes such as forming, heat treatment and welding. Excessive residual stresses are known to destroy the structural integrity and performance of components. Mainly, in welding assemblies, sudden failure is frequently caused by the combined consequence of applied loads and residual stresses.

2. With increasing applications of plastics and polymer based composites in the automotive and aerospace industries, metal-to-plastic joining becomes essential. The one of the future objectives is to join metal to plastic via an ultrasonic spot welding technique and evaluate their microstructure, microhardness, lap shear strength and fatigue strength.
To increase the strength of the weld, hybrid-welding process, which is complete by two, different welding technique one is adhesive welding and another is ultrasonic spot welding technique, also can be considered. It is worth to check and compare the mechanical properties of the USWed Al and Mg alloys with different welding processing methods.

Since USW technology is new, minimum data available on USW on AWS database. Recommended spacing between successive welds and distances from a spot weld to component edges should be followed to acquire optimum weld strength and quality. Thus, this work also extends by finding optimum distance between two spots by experimentally, analytically and numerically.
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