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ABSTRACT: This study investigates the symmetric and asymmetric nonlinear causal relationship between exchange rates and stock prices in BRICS and Turkey. To this end, the Mackey-Glass model allowing to test the symmetric and asymmetric nonlinear causality by identifying the types of feedbacks of the related variables has been employed. While the results of the symmetric form of the test present little evidence, the results of asymmetric counterpart indicates strong evidence for the causal relationship. In conclusion, these findings imply the existence of the noisy tradings and speculative behaviours in both exchange rate and stock markets.
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ÖZET: Bu çalışma BRICS ülkelerinde ve Türkiye'de hisse senedi fiyatları ve döviz kurları arasındaki doğrusal olmayan simetrik ve asimetrik nedensellik ilişkisini araştırmaktadır. Bu amaçla, ilgili değişkenlerin geri bildirimlerinin türlerini saptayarak doğrusal olmayan simetrik ve asimetrik nedensellik ilişkisinin test edilmesine imkan sağlanan Mackey-Glass modeli kullanılmıştır. Doğrusal olmayan simetrik nedensellik testinin sonuçları ilişkisinin varlığına ilişkin zayıf kanıtlar sunarken, testin asimetrik biçimde güçlü bir nedensellik ilişki olduğu gösterilmiştir.Sonuç olarak, bu bulgular döviz ve hisse senedi piyasaında gürültülü işlemlerin ve spekülatif davranışların olduğunu ifade etmektedir.
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1. Introduction
Internationalization of the capital markets, disappearing of controls on capital flows and adopting flexible exchange rate systems in both developed and developing economies help to form a link among asset markets. The volume of studies on the relationship between the stock and the foreign exchange markets have remarkably increased in the literature since examining this relationship offers meaningful insights to the academicians, policy makers and practitioners. The policy makers, for example, may perform their policy implementations in the light of this relationship. The investors and multinational corporations, on the other hand, may utilize the link
between the two markets to make profitable investments and manage exchange rate risk. The relationship is also a crucial input for open economy macroeconomics as well as for hedging models, and therefore an important research area for the academicians.

The theoretical approaches linking stock price and exchange rate have taken two major forms, namely, flow- and stock-oriented models. The flow-oriented models (Dornbusch and Fischer, 1980) suggest that changes in exchange rates affect international competitiveness and trade balances of the national economy, and also have impacts on the real macroeconomic variables, such as real income and output. Through changes in these macroeconomic aggregates, variations in exchange rates affect the values of the earnings and costs of funds of firms, and hence their stock prices interpreted as the present value of future cash flows of firms. In a nutshell, according to the flow-oriented models, exchange rate causes the stock prices to move.

The stock-oriented models suggest that exchange rates equate the supply of and demand for financial assets, such as stocks, and that the capital account dynamics have an important role in determining the exchange rate. Since the values of financial assets are determined by the present values of their future cash flows, expectations for relative values of currencies play a considerable role in their price movements, especially for internationally held financial assets. Two types of stock-oriented models can be identified in the literature: the portfolio balance model and the monetary model. The portfolio balance model (Branson vd., 1979; Frankel, 1983) posits that international investors will probably buy more domestic assets by selling foreign ones to obtain domestic currency when returns of domestic stocks rise, so increase in demand for domestic currency will lead to appreciation of domestic currency. Furthermore, increases in returns of domestic assets lead to increasing in wealth, which in turn increase the demand for domestic money and the interest rates. In this case, more foreign capital will be attracted into the economy, which will increase foreign demand for domestic currency, and the ultimate result will be appreciation of domestic currency again. Consequently, the stock prices inversely lead the exchange rates in the portfolio balance model. According to the monetary model (Gavin, 1989), the present value of an asset is thought to be largely influenced by its expected rate of return and all relevant macroeconomic factors. Since the exchange rate is seen as a relative asset price, in case there are common factors affecting the two assets, stock price innovations may have an impact, or be influenced, by the exchange rate's behavior. This model consists essentially of the purchasing power parity (PPP), uncovered interest rate parity (URP) and an equilibrium condition for the money market in which the demand for money is negatively related to the stock return. An increase in domestic output will be most probably accompanied by a rise in stock prices and a fall in the interest rate due to the reduced demand for money. As a result, domestic currency depreciates via URP, and furthermore the reduction in the demand for money increases the price level via PPP. In contrast to this substitution (interest rate) effect, wealth (income) effect states that a rise in stock prices reflects an
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1 The exchange rate is defined as the price of one unit of foreign currency in terms of local currency. Thus, depreciation (appreciation) means an increase (a decrease) in exchange rates.
increased level of financial transactions and cash flows of future income, thus an increase in wealth, which leads domestic money demand to rise and exchange rate will appreciate. As a result, the sign of the relation between stock prices and exchange rates depends on the relative strengths of the wealth and substitution effects.

Over several years, the prominent tendency in the global economy has been rise of the many developing countries swiftly converging with those of their more developed peers. In this context, the term “BRICS” encompasses the five large emerging markets; Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa. The group, firstly introduced by Goldman Sachs economists in 2003, was originally known as “BRIC” before the inclusion of South Africa in 2010. BRICS and Turkey seems to have a rapid catch-up process, which encourages us to investigate the relationship between stock and exchange rate markets. The aim of this paper is to investigate the symmetric and asymmetric nonlinear causal relationship between stock prices and exchange rates for BRICS and Turkey by identifying the types of feedback; to that end, we utilize nonlinear noisy Mackey-Glass (MG) model. Our study is organized as follows: Section 2 presents a review of selected literature, Section 3 describes data and econometric methodology, and finally Section 4 concludes.

2. Literature Review
Early empirical studies examining the relation between stock returns and exchange rates use generally US data (Franck and Young, 1972; Aggarwal, 1981; Giovannini and Jorion, 1987) and utilize regression and/or correlation techniques (Soenen and Hennigar, 1988; Solnik, 1987; Jorion, 1990); however, omit the fact that most financial variables are non-stationary. After the concept of stationarity dominates the empirical literature, newly developed techniques become popular. Bahmani-Oskooee and Sohrabian (1992), for example, analyse the relation between stock prices and exchange rates in the US economy via cointegration and causality tests. Their study reveals no long-run relationship between these variables, but rather a bicausal relationship in the short run. Donnelly and Sheehy (1996) document a significant contemporaneous relation between exchange rate and market value of large U.K. exporters. Abdalla and Murinde (1997) investigate stock price-exchange rate relationships in the emerging financial markets of India, Korea, Pakistan and the Philippines and find unidirectional causality from exchange rate to stock price in India, Korea and Pakistan. On the contrary, the reverse causation is found for the Philippines. Ajayi et al. (1998) provide evidence to indicate unidirectional causality from the stock to the currency markets for advanced economies and no consistent causal relations in emerging markets. In a recent study, Granger et al. (2000) study East Asian countries using recent ‘Asian flu’ data, and combine it with impulse response functions. They find that while data from Japan and Thailand are in agreement with the flow approach, meaning that exchange rates to lead stock prices with positive correlation, data from Taiwan suggests the result predicted by the portfolio approach, meaning that stock prices to lead exchange rates with negative correlation. Data from Indonesia, Korea, Malaysia, and the Philippines indicate strong feedback relations, but the data from Singapore fails to reveal any recognizable pattern. Muradoglu et al. (2001) try to find out the relation between stock returns and some macroeconomic variables. They conclude that there is a one way causal relationship from exchange
rates to stock returns in Nigeria, Mexico, Korea, Greece, Colombia and Brazil while there is a bidirectional causal relationship between the variables in case of Mexico. Tabak (2006) employs both linear and nonlinear causality tests and finds that there is a linear Granger causality from stock prices to exchange rates with a negative correlation and evidence of nonlinear Granger causality from exchange rates to stock prices that is lined with the flow approach for Brazil. Kumar (2009) examines the dynamic relation between stock prices and exchange rate for India, employing noisy MG model and suggests that there is bidirectional linear and nonlinear Granger causality between stock prices and exchange rates. Rahman and Uddin (2009) investigate the interactions between stock prices and exchange rates for Bangladesh, India and Pakistan and suggest that there is no way causal relationship between stock prices and exchange rates in these countries. Aydemir and Demirhan (2009) examine the causal relationship between stock prices and exchange rates for Turkey and suggest that there is a bidirectional causal relationship between exchange rate and all stock market indices.

The empirical literature on the stock market and exchange rate relationship suffers from several drawbacks. Most studies employ a combination of cointegration and Granger causality tests. However, absence of common stochastic trends, a typical finding in cointegration tests with exchange rates and stock indices, does not mean absence of co-dependence (Morley and Pentecost, 2000). In other words, cointegration tests may fail to capture strong instantaneous relationships. Many papers employed Granger causality tests only report $\chi^2$ and/or $F$-test statistics, omitting sign information related to the variables. In this context, Ülkü and Demirci (2012) emphasises that the most effective way of analyzing stock market and exchange rate relationship is to study impulse response functions from a (cointegrating) SVAR model, which provides information on the sign of the relationship and net cumulative effects. However, similar to the previous literature, this approach is based on traditional causality test and does not take into consideration nonlinearity and asymmetry. Eventually, we can state that the literature investigating the relationship between exchange rates and stock prices is far from conclusive.

3. Methodology and Empirical Results

Granger et al. (2000) point out that using monthly or quarterly data may not be adequate in describing the effects of nonlinearities, so we employ daily data of the emerging markets in the hope that it will capture such effects. Six emerging-market economies are selected for the empirical analysis: Brazil, China, India, Russia, South Africa and Turkey. The data is obtained from Reuters and includes daily observations of stock market indices expressed in domestic currency and exchange rates expressed in domestic currency per U.S. dollar. The coverage of sample period runs from January 2000 to September 2011. We use the natural logarithms of the series in the analysis.

As a first step of the analysis, we test the stationarity characteristics of the series using the Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test since spurious causality would result, if the data are treated as non-stationary erroneously and the causality tests are applied to the first differences as pointed out by Lu et al. (2010). The results of the ADF unit root test are presented in Table 1 and show that both series are first-
difference stationary. So, we use the differenced data, that is, the returns of the exchange rates and stock prices will be employed in the causality analyses.

The linear approach to causality testing has a disadvantage: it fails to explore the nonlinear relationship between the variables. The investigation of nonlinear causal relationships between exchange rates and stock prices has more importance for having the better understanding of the true impacts of shocks. For that purpose, we employ the bivariate MG model with noise terms which enrich the obtained dynamics and produce characteristic structures of financial and economic data as stated by Kyrtsou and Terraza (2003). The general form of the model is presented as follows:

\[ X_t = \alpha_1 \frac{X_{t-\tau_1}}{1 + X_{t-\tau_1}^c} - \delta_1 X_{t-\tau_1} + \alpha_{12} \frac{Y_{t-\tau_2}}{1 + Y_{t-\tau_2}^c} - \delta_{12} Y_{t-\tau_2} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim N(0,1) \]  

(1)

\[ Y_t = \alpha_{21} \frac{X_{t-\tau_1}}{1 + X_{t-\tau_1}^c} - \delta_{21} X_{t-\tau_1} + \alpha_{22} \frac{Y_{t-\tau_2}}{1 + Y_{t-\tau_2}^c} - \delta_{22} Y_{t-\tau_2} + \nu_t, \quad \nu_t \sim N(0,1) \]  

(2)

where \( \alpha_{ij} \) (MG terms) and \( \delta_{ij} \) are parameters to be estimated, \( \tau_j \) and \( c_j \) are integer delays and constants respectively. Since the different values of delays can cause to change the dynamic behaviour of the process, we choose the best delay using the Schwarz Information Criteria. The Equation 1 and Equation 2 are similar to the linear Granger counterpart, except that the two equations are fitted to the series using MG terms which can filter difficult dynamics in the time series. The existence of nonlinear causality between variables can be examined by testing the significance of MG terms using \( F \) test, which indicates that small variation in one variable can have multiplicative and nonporportional effects on the others. In their studies, Kyrtsou and Labys (2006, 2007) demonstrate that the type of the nonlinear dependence (positive or negative) can be identified by calculating respective sums of coefficients in Equation 1 and Equation 2. When the sum of \( \alpha_{ij} \) and \( -\delta_{ij} \) is positive, it is expected to observe positive feedback. On the contrary, when the sum is negative, we observe negative feedback behavior.

We report the results of symmetric nonlinear causality test in Table 2 by identifying the type of the nonlinear dependence. The results show that there is a significant negative nonlinear feedback from the returns of stock indices to exchange rates in Brazil and Turkey, which confirms the arguments of portfolio balance model and
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**Table 1. Results of the ADF unit root test**

| Country     | Exchange Rates Level | Stock Prices Level | Exchange Rates First Differences | Stock Prices First Differences |
|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Brazil      | -2.1637              | -2.6235            | -41.5813*                        | -8.0313*                       |
| China       | -1.4092              | -1.9141            | -55.1754*                        | -7.6888*                       |
| India       | -1.6007              | -2.4781            | -54.3511*                        | -50.2827*                      |
| Russia      | -1.4044              | -1.5455            | -44.7488*                        | -51.7955*                      |
| South Africa| -2.4915              | -2.0456            | -8.8947*                         | -51.2490*                      |
| Turkey      | -2.9534              | -29.3008           | -28.4978*                        | -10.0585*                      |

Note: * denotes the significance at the 1% level.
verifies the results of Tabak (2006). On the other hand, the returns of exchange rates positively cause the returns of the stock prices only in India, which confirms the validity of flow approach and contradicts the results of Rahman and Uddin (2009).

Table 2. The Results of Symmetric Nonlinear Causality Test

| Stock Prices → Exchange Rates | Sums | SIC  | $\tau_1$ | $\tau_2$ |
|-------------------------------|------|------|----------|----------|
| Brazil                        | -0.2400 | -7.7794 | 1 | 2 |
| China                         | # | -13.0421 | 1 | 5 |
| India                         | # | -10.0383 | 4 | 5 |
| Russia                        | # | -9.7737 | 6 | 1 |
| S.Africa                      | # | -11.8354 | 2 | 1 |
| Turkey                        | -0.20418 | -7.3779 | 2 | 4 |

| Exchange Rates → Stock Prices | Sums | SIC  | $\tau_1$ | $\tau_2$ |
|-------------------------------|------|------|----------|----------|
| Brazil                        | # | -11.4951 | 14 | 14 |
| China                         | # | -11.6645 | 14 | 20 |
| India                         | 0.0026 | -6.9732 | 8 | 6 |
| Russia                        | # | -6.27954 | 15 | 20 |
| S.Africa                      | # | -7.46092 | 3 | 20 |
| Turkey                        | # | -11.6645 | 14 | 14 |

Note: # and → show the insignificance of the relevant sums and the causality direction, respectively.

By considering asymmetry in the causality analysis, we can expose hidden information between the pair of series. Hristu-Varsakelis and Kyrtou (2008) introduce an asymmetric version of the MG causality test which allows testing the existence of asymmetric causal relationship for the pairs of variables and depends on samples of the causing variable being positive or negative. The main difference of this test over the symmetric version is that we calculate the best MG model using only observations satisfy the appropriate conditions. For example, if we want to investigate whether nonnegative samples in the series $Y$ cause the series $X$, we must include the observations for regression only if $Y(t - \tau_j) > 0$. By this means, we can identify the type of feedback by calculating respective sums of coefficients in Equations 1 and Equation 2. The results of asymmetric nonlinear MG causality test with the type of feedback is presented in Table 3.

Table 3 shows that while positive returns of stock prices positively cause exchange rates in South Africa, and negatively cause in Turkey, which verifies the monetary and the portfolio balance approaches, respectively; there is no causal effects in the remaining countries. In addition, negative returns of stock prices have negative effect on the returns of exchange rates in Brazil, Russia and Turkey, which verifies the monetary approach, but have no effect in China, India, South Africa. In regards to the causality from exchange rate returns to the stock price returns, positive returns positively cause the returns of exchange rates in Brazil, India, South Africa, which confirms both the monetary and the flow approaches, but have no effect in the remaining countries. On the other hand, while there exists a positive causality from the negative returns of exchange rates to the returns of stock prices in Brazil and
Turkey, negative causality exists in India, which confirms both the monetary and the flow approaches and no causality exists in the remaining countries.

Table 3. The Results of Asymmetric Nonlinear Causality Test

| (+) Stock Prices → Exchange Rates | Sums     | SIC     | $\tau_1$ | $\tau_2$ |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Brazil                           | #        | -7.7694 | 2        | 2        |
| China                            | #        | -13.0456| 18       | 19       |
| India                            | #        | -10.0379| 5        | 5        |
| Russia                           | #        | -9.7745 | 6        | 1        |
| S.Africa                         | 0.015552 | -11.8397| 2        | 1        |
| Turkey                           | -0.08614 | -7.3652 | 2        | 4        |

| (-) Stock Prices → Exchange Rates | Sums     | SIC     | $\tau_1$ | $\tau_2$ |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Brazil                           | -0.32    | -7.7794 | 1        | 2        |
| China                            | #        | -13.0527| 3        | 5        |
| India                            | #        | -10.0325| 4        | 5        |
| Russia                           | -0.00901 | -9.7752 | 1        | 8        |
| S.Africa                         | #        | -11.8347| 5        | 1        |
| Turkey                           | -0.32766 | -7.3773 | 2        | 4        |

| (+) Exchange Rates → Stock Prices | Sums     | SIC     | $\tau_1$ | $\tau_2$ |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Brazil                           | 0.0414   | -11.4528| 14       | 14       |
| China                            | #        | -11.6641| 14       | 20       |
| India                            | 0.025753 | -6.9748 | 8        | 6        |
| Russia                           | #        | -6.2792 | 14       | 20       |
| S.Africa                         | 0.182341 | -7.4623 | 3        | 6        |
| Turkey                           | #        | -10.9468| 14       | 11       |

| (-) Exchange Rates → Stock Prices | Sums     | SIC     | $\tau_1$ | $\tau_2$ |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Brazil                           | 0.037055 | -11.4348| 14       | 14       |
| China                            | #        | -11.6641| 14       | 20       |
| India                            | -0.241   | -6.9721 | 8        | 1        |
| Russia                           | #        | -6.2787 | 15       | 17       |
| S.Africa                         | #        | -7.4609 | 3        | 20       |
| Turkey                           | 0.02929  | -10.9705| 14       | 14       |

Note: # and $\rightarrow$ show the insignificance of the relevant sums and the causality direction, respectively. (+) and (−) indicate that we include the relevant observations for regression only when they satisfy the condition that they are non-positive and non-negative respectively.

The evidence for nonlinearity and asymmetry implicitly signals probable regime change(s) in the relationship between stock market and exchange rate. This asymmetric nonlinear relationship in emerging markets may be due to financial
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2 In the empirical literature, the relationship between stock prices and exchange rates generally has not considered the asymmetric effects of the related variables. Therefore, we prefer not to compare our results with other empirical studies for the asymmetric case.
crises, (intrinsic) bubbles, changes in transaction costs and market microstructure, noisy trading, and the regime shifts in the basis (Flood and Marion, 1998).

4. Conclusion

This study investigates the nonlinear causality between exchange rates and stock prices in BRICS and Turkey over the period from January 2000 to September 2011. Considering positive and negative innovations for both variables, we conclude that there are generally significant asymmetric causalities between stock and foreign exchange markets.

Our findings may have several implications. First, the investors may be better able to understand the dynamic linkages between foreign exchange and stock markets. Specifically, they may more easily adopt appropriate hedging strategies to better avoid against currency risk, especially during financial crises. The results may also be used as an indicator for the technical analysis and to evaluate the market efficiency, and therefore, the market participants may predict the future movement of stock prices and exchange rate. Second, the results may be helpful for policy makers with regard to a financial stability perspective, providing central banks with insights into risk transmission between foreign exchange and stock markets. The policy makers may also understand the structure of the financial market in a better way, which facilitates the policy design. In this regard, they should be more careful and attentive in conducting exchange rate and capital market policies due to their impacts on the development of the financial markets. Finally, the results may also allow assessing the level of informational efficiency of the emerging markets. In this context; an existence of nonlinear causal relation may imply market inefficiencies if an investor use them to systematically improve forecasts of returns of stocks and exchange rates, and the performance of a simple trading rule.

Our study brings to the fore some empirical design issues that provide the foundation for further investigation. Future researches between exchange rates and stock market prices can be implemented, for example, by considering the volatility structure, and periodical and cyclical fluctuations, which will help to understand the turning points of the financial markets. Moreover, the market microstructure and the origin of nonlinearities may be considered and examined in the causality testing. It is also possible to employ the use of longer than daily sampling intervals, and test contagion effects among different countries.
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