Pac-man motility of kinetochores unleashed by laser microsurgery
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ABSTRACT We report on experiments directly in living cells that reveal the regulation of kinetochore function by tension. X and Y sex chromosomes in crane fly (Nephrotoma suturalis) spermatocytes exhibit an atypical segregation mechanism in which each univalent maintains K-fibers to both poles. During anaphase, each maintains a leading fiber (which shortens) to one pole and a trailing fiber (which elongates) to the other. We used this intriguing behavior to study the motile states that X-Y kinetochores are able to support during anaphase. We used a laser microbeam to either sever a univalent along the plane of sister chromatid cohesion or knock out one of a univalent’s two kinetochores to release one or both from the resistive influence of its sister’s K-fiber. Released kinetochores with attached chromosome arms moved poleward at rates at least two times faster than normal. Furthermore, fluorescent speckle microscopy revealed that detached kinetochores converted their functional state from reverse pac-man to pac-man motility as a consequence of their release from mechanical tension. We conclude that kinetochores can exhibit pac-man motility, even though their normal behavior is dominated by traction fiber mechanics. Unleashing of kinetochore motility through loss of resistive force is further evidence for the emerging model that kinetochores are subject to tension-sensitive regulation.

INTRODUCTION

Tension is an essential component of the mechanism that facilitates segregation of chromosomes during either mitosis or meiosis. After the biorientation of chromosomes to opposite spindle poles by kinetochore fibers, tension results from pulling forces toward the poles and is a stabilizing factor that maintains proper orientation before anaphase onset and segregation (for a review, see Nicklas, 1997). Tension-related mechanisms are particularly evident during meiosis in spermatocytes from such insects as grasshoppers (Nicklas and Koch, 1969; Nicklas and Ward, 1994) and crane flies (Begg and Ellis, 1979a,b). We used the latter in the present work to address questions concerning the influence of tension on kinetochore function.

We have been engaged in experiments that ask what happens when tension on kinetochores is rapidly relieved. The rationale behind such an approach is to test a current model for spindle mechanics proposed by Maddox et al. (2003), who ascribe significance to tension in controlling the functional state(s) of kinetochores. The model is based on an important study performed on anaphase kinetochores in Xenopus oocyte extract spindles, showing kinetochores to switch from persistent depolymerization (pac-man) to persistent polymerization (reverse pac-man) and back again during the course of their poleward movement. Mechanical tension was proposed to be the critical factor in regulating those states; in the model by Maddox et al. (2003), kinetochores engaged in depolymerization are under low tension, whereas a switch to polymerization occurs in kinetochores that are under greater (or high) tension. The latter state is similar to metaphase, when kinetochores are assumed to be under high tension as a consequence of the poleward pulling action of the flux machine (Waters et al., 1996). That would lead to functioning in a polymerization state, manifesting a “slip clutch” mechanism that would prevent detachment of microtubule plus ends under high tension (also see Mitchison, 2005).
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In a recent study on crane fly spermatocytes, we used a laser microbeam to sever kinetochores from chromosomes in order to rapidly relieve tension and release the detached kinetochore to move toward the pole to which it remained connected by its kinetochore fiber. In these meiotic cells, it is well documented that during the initial stages of anaphase, kinetochores retain the polymerization state that was evident at metaphase (LaFountain et al., 2004; reviewed by Rogers et al., 2005). Such retention is believed to be a consequence of tension imposed by elastic tethers that connect partner homologues during their segregation (LaFountain et al., 2002). Anaphase by reverse pac-man is achieved because the rate of subunit addition to microtubule plus ends at kinetochores is slower than the rate of subunit loss from minus ends at the pole (LaFountain et al., 2004). The bundle of kinetochore microtubules behaves as a fluxing traction fiber, with shortening occurring only at their minus (poleward) ends and with minus end–directed forces being generated at some location(s) other than the kinetochore, for example, along the length of the fiber, at the poles, or both.

In these experiments, through combining fluorescent speckle microscopy with kinetochore detachment operations, we found that released anaphase kinetochores of autosomes switched states from reverse pac-man to park, the latter being a functional state in which a kinetochore is anchored to the plus ends of its kinetochore microtubules. In park, there is neither gain nor loss of subunits at plus ends (LaFountain et al., 2011).

Now we report on results from similar experiments, but instead of kinetochores of autosomes, we released the kinetochores of univalent sex chromosomes in crane fly spermatocytes. The results were similar and yet surprising and subtly different from the autosomal story. Instead of switching to park, released kinetochores of sex chromosomes (normally engaged in reverse pac-man) switched to pac-man motility, in accord with the Maddox et al. (2003) model.

In the present study, we focused on kinetochores of X-Y univalents in crane fly spermatocytes during anaphase A and anaphase B of meiosis I. Kinetochores of X and Y are especially suited for these experiments because X and Y both maintain kinetochore fibers to both poles during their segregation. During X-Y segregation, the leading fiber of each shortens, and each trailing fiber elongates. Trailing fibers have long been viewed as imparting tension that resists poleward movement (Fuge, 1972, 1985) and likely accounts for the initially slow rate of segregation of X from Y. We used a laser microbeam to sever this normal bipolar connection of X-Y kinetochores and subsequently monitored the effect of such disconnections on the motility and function of the kinetochores and their kinetochore fibers that remained intact after the operation.

RESULTS

In the transition from metaphase (Figure 1A) to anaphase A (Figure 1B) of meiosis I, univalent X and Y both have connections to both spindle poles (amphitelic orientation), and they remain at the spindle equator as laggards during anaphase A (Figure 1B). Then, as anaphase B begins, X and Y segregate from each other, each developing a leading kinetochore (green dot in Figure 1C, schematic) and trailing kinetochore (blue dot in Figure 1C, schematic), while their respective kinetochore fibers (K-fibers) remain connected to the poles. As segregation proceeds, fibers connected to leading kinetochores (leading K-fibers) shorten and trailing K-fibers elongate (Figure 1C). Kinetochore fibers are imaged with striking clarity in living cells with liquid crystal polarized light microscopy (LC-PolScope), as is evident in Figure 1, A–C, and Supplemental Movie S1.

Two facets of this unusual sex chromosome segregation are most relevant to the present study: 1) the rate of chromosome movement during X-Y segregation and 2) the rate of microtubule flux within sex chromosome K-fibers. To measure segregation rates,
Steady-state rate

Maximal rate

X-Y segregation in control cells (Figure 1D) 

1.4 ± 0.2 (range, 1.1–1.7, n = 11) 

0.5 ± 0.1 (range, 0.3–0.6, n = 18) 

After bisection of X or Y (red dots in Figures 3D, 4D) 

1.3 ± 0.3 (range, 0.9–1.7, n = 16) 

0.7 ± 0.2 (range, 0.5–1.0, n = 8) 

Speckle velocities from kymographs* 

0.8 ± 0.2 (range, 0.4–1.4, n = 15) [78] 

Speckles in leading fibers* 

0.4 ± 0.1 (range, 0.2–0.6, n = 7) [18] 

Speckles in trailing fibers* 

0.4 ± 0.1 (range, 0.2–0.7, n = 7) [37] 

Table 1: Summary of chromosome velocities away from the equator and rates of speckle flow in crane fly spermatocytes undergoing meiosis I.

Anaphase A 

Anaphase B 

Maximal rate 

Steady-state rate 

Maximal rate 

Steady-state rate 

X-Y segregation in control cells (Figure 1D) 

1.4 ± 0.2 (range, 1.1–1.7, n = 11) 

0.5 (n = 2) 

1.4 ± 0.4 (range, 1.1–1.9, n = 3) 

0.6 ± 0.1 (range, 0.5–0.7, n = 4) 

After K ablation (red dots in Figures 3H, 4H) 

1.3 ± 0.3 (range, 0.9–1.7, n = 16) 

0.7 ± 0.2 (range, 0.5–1.0, n = 8) 

Speckle velocities from kymographs* 

0.8 ± 0.2 (range, 0.4–1.4, n = 15) [78] 

Speckles in leading fibers* 

0.4 ± 0.1 (range, 0.2–0.6, n = 7) [18] 

Speckles in trailing fibers* 

0.4 ± 0.1 (range, 0.2–0.7, n = 7) [37] 

n, number of cells; number in square brackets, number of speckle tracks.

*Anaphase B averages for leading and trailing fibers are not significantly different (t test, p = 0.29), whereas differences between anaphase A average and anaphase B averages are significant (p < 0.001).

we did frame-by-frame analysis of time-lapse movies. To measure flux rates, we applied fluorescent speckle microscopy to spermatocytes injected with rhodamine (Rh)-tubulin and then analyzed movement of fluorescent speckles in kymographs made from time-lapse recordings of speckle flow.

X-Y movement reaches a maximal velocity when separation is 12–15 μm

From movies of control cells undergoing anaphase, we measured the distance separating X and Y for every frame, and from plots of those measurements we determined the maximal speed of separation, as shown in the graph in Figure 1D. To standardize such data to a common reference, we chose to use the equator as the reference point for reporting all of our data, including results obtained after the laser microbeam operations that we describe in the following sections.

Using the plot in Figure 1D as a representative example, we note the slowly accelerating rate of segregation, which reaches a maximum of 0.4 μm/min away from the equator at a separation distance of ~14 μm

On the basis of data compiled from 18 control cells presented in Table 1, we found the average maximal rate of segregation away from the equator to be 0.5 ± 0.1 μm/min, and in all cases, this was only after X and Y had been separated by a significant distance of 12–15 μm.

Fluorescent speckles move faster than kinetochores in early anaphase B

To establish a baseline for the movement of X-Y kinetochores in relation to microtubule flux in their K-fibers, we performed fluorescent speckle microscopy of cells both before (Figure 2, A and B) and during (Figure 2, D and E) X-Y segregation.

When fluorescent speckle microscopy was done on cells already in an anaphase B stage such as in Figure 1C, their kinetochores exhibited polymerization function. Right after injection of Rh-tubulin at that stage, flux was evident in both leading and trailing K-fibers, and speckles were observed emanating from both leading and trailing kinetochores. From kymograph analysis of movies (such as Supplemental Movie S2, top and bottom, and Figure 2, D–F), the average flux rate was 0.4 μm/min (Table 1), whereas chromosome velocities in the same cells did not exceed 0.3 μm/min away from the equator. Furthermore, flux rates in leading and trailing K-fibers of a given sex chromosome were similar (Table 1), revealing a significant difference in the polymerization rates of leading and trailing kinetochores, as will be discussed.

With regard to measuring flux in K-fibers during the course of X-Y segregation, there was a limitation in the portion of segregation that was amenable to this approach. In early stages of anaphase B, such as in Figures 1C and 2, D and E, we could distinguish fluorescent speckles in both leading and trailing fibers. Such speckles were also analyzed in the presented kymographs. However, the inherent nature of this segregation mechanism causes K-fibers of X and Y to become very closely aligned during later stages. This makes it impossible to distinguish the leading and trailing fibers of one from those of the other, and, thus, analysis of flux cannot be performed accurately at stages later than that diagrammed in Figure 1C (schematic). The point at which distinctions cannot be made is ~8–10 μm of separation, beyond which speckle analysis becomes impractical. Hence, experiments reported here were done only on cells in the early stages of anaphase B, before the time when such close apposition of K-fibers occurred.

Fluorescent speckle microscopy of cells in anaphase A (Figure 2, A and B)—before X-Y segregation starts—revealed fast microtubule flux within X-Y K-fibers, faster, in fact, than that observed during early anaphase B. This is evident in Supplemental Movie S2, top and bottom, as well as in the representative kymograph in Figure 2C, which was made from Supplemental Movie S2, top. Data from further analysis of kymographs presented in Table 1 shows an average flux rate of 0.8 μm/min away from the equator. Thus it is clear from speckle movies that X-Y kinetochores positioned motionless at the equator in anaphase A were also in a polymerization state, adding fluorescent subunits that then move poleward via microtubule flux. Moreover, the faster average flux rate for anaphase A (Table 1) indicates a slowdown in the flux mechanism in the transition from anaphase A to anaphase B.

Kinetochores released from bipolar connections exhibit rapid poleward movement

Having established that sex kinetochores were functioning in a polymerization state, we performed the following experiments to test...
the effects of resistive tension as proposed by the model of Maddox et al. (2003). We used a laser microbeam to break a univalent’s bipolar connection to the two poles and to release one kinetochore from its connection to its sister. If upon release a kinetochore switched to a different functional state, we expected that this would be evident in its postoperation movement.

Release was accomplished by two types of operations using the laser microsurgery tool: 1) Bisection operations separated sisters of a univalent by cutting along the plane of sister chromatid cohesion (Figures 3, A–C, and 4, A–C); the laser flash had the effect of a knife chopping the two sisters apart. 2) Kinetochore ablations knocked out one of the two kinetochore/centromere loci of a univalent (Figures 3, E–G, and 4, E–G). The two complemented each other, in that the former allowed for assessment of both sister kinetochores, whereas the latter facilitated confirmation of detachment of one kinetochore at the expense of the other, especially with LC-PolScope imaging.

Four sets of operations were performed on chromosomes that were stationary laggards at anaphase A or that were engaged in X-Y segregation in anaphase B, as detailed in what follows. In each case, the outcome was initially rapid movement of the released kinetochores at velocities that exceeded the microtubule flux rate in K-fibers, as observed in control cells described previously.

1. Bisection operations on cells in anaphase A. As presented in Figure 3, C and D, this resulted in immediate anaphase-like movement of both sisters at maximal speeds that averaged ∼1.4 μm/min (Table 1), enabling them to quickly catch up to segregating autosomes before the completion of anaphase A (Figure 3D and Supplemental Movie S3, top). After that, their velocities tapered down to a steady-state level of ∼0.5 μm/min (based on analysis of slower, steady-state velocity in two cells; Table 1). Bisected sisters were not observed to pass by autosomes.

2. Kinetochore ablations on cells in anaphase A. Ablation of one of the two kinetochores of a univalent at anaphase A (Figure 3, E–H) resulted in the release of the unirradiated sister kinetochore and remaining mass of the univalent from its bipolar attachments (readily apparent with LC-PolScope optics) and its immediate movement toward its pole (Figure 3, E–H, and Supplemental Movie S3, bottom) with average maximal velocities of 1.3 ± 0.3 μm/min (Table 1). Regardless of whether just one kinetochore (of either X or Y) or two kinetochores (one on X and one on Y, either facing the same pole or facing opposite poles) were targeted, the outcome of K ablation was the same: immediate, rapid poleward motion of the unirradiated sister kinetochore, pulling its chromosome arm plus whatever remained of its irradiated sister. Thus, at anaphase A, all four kinetochores of X and Y were capable of poleward movement upon release from their bipolar attachments. Slower steady-state movement after initial rapid release as evident in Figure 3H averaged ∼0.7 ± 0.2 μm/min (Table 1).

3. Bisection operations on cells in anaphase B. When bisections were performed to separate sisters during anaphase B (Figure 4, A and B, and Supplemental Movie S4, top)—after X and Y univalents had already developed leading and trailing kinetochores—only the leading kinetochore was capable of further poleward movement, which also was immediate and rapid, moving away from the equator at an average speed of 1.4 ± 0.4 μm/min (Table 1). The trailing kinetochore remained at or near the site of the operation, exhibiting negligible movement to either pole (Figure 4, C and D). Thus, in view of the results obtained from such operations performed during anaphase A—before X-Y segregation had begun—the trailing kinetochore and its K-fiber had lost their capability for poleward motion during the transition from anaphase A to anaphase B. Note that anaphase B operations were performed at times when separation between X and Y was <10 μm, a point when the average rate of X-Y segregation in control cells had not yet reached its peak value.

4. Ablation operations on cells in anaphase B. Targeting of trailing kinetochores, whether on either X or Y, resulted in the same outcome: further, faster movement mediated by the leading kinetochore/kinetochore fiber at average maximal velocities that ranged between 0.9 and 1.7 μm/min (Table 1). The release of an unirradiated leading kinetochore from the drag imposed by its trailing sister was obvious in time-lapse movies (Supplemental Movie S4, bottom, and Figure 4, E–G), in which a leading kinetochore accelerated poleward while pulling the remaining chromosome arms behind. Such responses corroborated the plotted data in Figure 4H, demonstrating that the disconnection of a leading kinetochore from its trailing K-fiber had a recognizable effect on its subsequent movement.
As with anaphase A cells, the initial rapid movement of kinetochores released at anaphase B gradually changed to a slower, steady-state velocity, which we determined to be \(0.6 \pm 0.1\) μm/min based on postoperation plots from four cells (Table 1).

**A direct test shows released kinetochores moving faster than microtubule flux**

In view of the flux rates presented in Table 1, the rapid release velocities described here are suggestive of a switch in function of kinetochores and associated microtubule plus ends from polymerization to depolymerization, or reverse pac-man to pac-man motility, with subunit loss at the plus ends of kinetochore microtubules accounting for such fast poleward movement.

For a direct test of this hypothesis, we next performed K ablation on cells that had fluorescently speckled microtubules from preoperation injections. Our objective was to visualize movement of released kinetochores in relation to fluorescent speckles within the associated K-fiber. For this part of the work, we targeted cells in anaphase A because only they provided the postinjection stability that was necessary for this kind of experiment. The reason that anaphase B cells were not useful for these experiments is that intracellular movements as a consequence of X-Y segregation invariably altered our ability to focus on kinetochore targets. This varying target position greatly reduced the possibility of a successful bisecion/ablation, and thus the use of anaphase B cells for combined laser microscopy and fluorescent speckle microscopy was concluded to be impractical with our equipment.

For this experiment, a combination of differential interference contrast (DIC) and fluorescence imaging (see Materials and Methods) of rhodamine-tubulin–injected cells was performed to record alternating DIC and fluorescence images that documented the movement of the released kinetochore (DIC images) and the movement of fluorescent speckles in the associated K-fiber (fluorescence images) during anaphase A. A critical step in the subsequent processing and analysis of the two image stacks (e.g., Supplemental Movies S5 and S6) was the marking of the x-y-pixel location of a released kinetochore obtained from each DIC frame on the subsequent fluorescence mode frame using ImageJ software. With this approach, the poleward movement of such markings in fluorescence movies suggested that 1) kinetochores overtook and then passed by adjacent speckles that were in the kinetochore’s poleward path (such speckles must have been within nonkinetochore microtubules of the half-spindle), and 2) kinetochores approached speckles that disappeared as the kinetochore made its way poleward (such speckles are interpreted to have been within the kinetochore’s K-fiber and were “gobbled up” by pac-man). In kymographs such as those presented in Figures 5E and 6B, poleward slopes of kinetochores were found to be steeper than slopes of speckles moving poleward in the path taken by the kinetochore to the pole. Kymographs from these experiments also illustrate how poleward velocity of a kinetochore was initially very rapid (faster than flux) and then tapered down to a speed that was similar to that of flux flow. Comparison of speckle flow with such later, slower kinetochore movement in kymographs such as in Figures 5E and 6C revealed both to have average velocity of \(0.7\) μm/min. This is interpreted as an indication that the kinetochore is parked on the plus end of its K-fiber, where at those times following the ablation, it engages in neither polymerization nor depolymerization at its kinetochore microtubule plus ends.

Analysis of flux, based on slopes of speckles in kymographs of released kinetochores (including those in Figures 5 and 6), revealed...
Kinetochores that were released by laser microsurgery performed during meiosis I. Normally, the behavior of X and Y during meiosis II is the same as that of autosomes: sister kinetochores biorient, they lose cohesion at anaphase onset, and then sisters move to opposite poles as anaphase is completed. Anaphase lagging of X and Y is not normally a feature of meiosis II.

In three of the six cells in which such tracking was done, the formerly unirradiated kinetochore established a kinetochore-to-pole connection with one pole (monotely, confirmed with LC-PolScope), but it did not congress to the spindle equator. During anaphase, the kinetochore-containing portion and theacentric portion shifted to the pole to which the kinetochore was connected. In each of three other cells, the kinetochore established kinetochore-to-pole connections with both poles (merotely, confirmed with LC-PolScope), and it did congress to the equator. During anaphase, the kinetochore and associated arms remained at the spindle equator exhibiting anaphase lag. Eventually during telophase, slight shifting toward one of the poles occurred as the kinetochore fibers appeared to degenerate.

These observed behaviors of released chromosome in meiosis II are similar to observations of others (Khodjakov et al., 1997) made on mitotic chromosome fragments that possessed only one kinetochore. In view of that, we take our meiosis II data as strong evidence that K ablations performed during meiosis I on one of the kinetochores of a univalent did not damage the other, which we observed to be functional during meiosis II.

**DISCUSSION**

We released X-Y kinetochores from their bipolar connections and from the mechanical resistance that appears to be exerted upon them in such bipolar configurations. Subsequent poleward movement was strikingly faster than normal, at rates twice the flux rate, suggestive that released kinetochores switched from a reverse pac-man (polymerization) state to a pac-man (depolymerization) state. Subsequent slower, steady-state rates are consistent with a conversion to a park state in which neither polymerization nor depolymerization occurs.

In search of alternative mechanisms to explain our observations, we considered elastic forces, such as those exerted by chromatin (Larson et al., 2010), and possible contributions of recoil unleashed by the microsurgery. Although we observed short-lived, recoil-type motions in chromosomes and chromosome arms upon release of tension, these motions lasted only for a few seconds and extended over short distances of <1 μm. Furthermore, the release of elastic forces stored inside a chromosome due to opposite pulling forces on its sister kinetochores leads to a shape change of the chromosome that minimally moves its center of mass. In other words, the release of elastic energy stored inside a chromosome does not appreciably move the chromosome’s center of mass. It is more plausible that an elastic force that would move the kinetochore and its
Activation of pac-man motility likely entails alterations either in the biochemical makeup of kinetochores or in the activation of motors that would normally not be activated in this traction fiber system (LaFountain et al., 2001; Wilson et al., 1994). Thus, in crane fly spermatocytes, where heretofore the capability for pac-man motility was not observed, our laser operations appear to have unleashed it. The implications of this are that the molecular machinery for pac-man motility is present in crane fly spermatocytes, but it is not evident when normal mechanical constraints are in operation.

Our experimental results indicate that mechanical tension imposed on segregating X and Y by their bipolar connections is the controlling factor that normally down-regulates pac-man. On severing that connection and relieving the tension, pac-man is activated, and faster-than-flux motility is observed. This interpretation is in accord with the mechanical model proposed by Maddox et al. (2003) based on observations made during anaphase in Xenopus oocyte extract spindles. Our view is that leading K-fibers represent a traction system, or flux machine, on which leading kinetochores move faster, slower, or at the same speed as flux, depending on the resistance that is imposed on them.

In view of our approach that used speckles within K-fibers as indicators of flux, it is important to recognize that K-fibers in spermatocytes (Scarcella et al., 1986), as in other cells (McDonald et al., 1992), are not uniformly composed of only microtubules that are attached to the kinetochore, but there are also numerous unattached nonkinetochore microtubules that intermingle throughout a K-fiber. Therefore a single speckle observed in our experiments comprises a cluster of fluorophores, some of which are likely attached to kinetochore microtubules and some to nonkinetochore microtubules, but they all are part of the K-fiber that is distinctly recognizable in DIC and fluorescence images. Speckle movements characterized by our experiments represent an average over K-fiber microtubules, and we do not have an understanding of how the dynamic properties of kinetochore versus nonkinetochore microtubules within a given fiber might differ. These are important considerations to be aware of in regard to the interpretation of speckle movements in our experiments.

Findings from our laser experiments have special relevance to the mechanism of X-Y segregation as it occurs in vivo. We propose that pac-man motility normally plays a role in the later stages of X-Y segregation but at stages later than were studied here. In all of the cells included here, the extent of X-Y segregation was 10 μm or less.
At such early stages, down-regulation of pac-man would cause segregation rates to be slower than flux rates. Near the end of X-Y segregation, rates of X and Y segregation reach their maxima of ~0.5 μm/min away from the equator (Table 1), which are faster than flux rates of 0.4 μm/min seen at early anaphase B (Table 1). To account for this, our hypothesis is that leading kinetochores are naturally released from trailing resistance at those times and that such release is linked to the eventual disintegration of trailing K-fibers. As alluded to earlier, study of the fate of segregating X-Y K-fibers (both leading and trailing) during anaphase B is hampered by the fact that they become so closely aligned that neither can be distinguished from the other. Nevertheless, it is evident (Supplemental Movie S1) that K-fiber birefringence significantly decreases at the times during anaphase B when segregation rates are maximal (i.e., faster than flux rates). Although the ultimate fate of trailing fibers remains to be determined, this observation serves as a basis for our hypothesis that trailing K-fibers eventually disintegrate in the plane of the former spindle equator. Such a natural release of leading kinetochores from resistive tension offers a ready explanation for the observed shift to faster-than-flux movement during late anaphase B.

Specules and released kinetochores moved at the same speed after kinetochores started to tail off. We interpret this as evidence for park—a functional state in which active, motor-based movement does not occur. Our view is that park is another functional state that is down-regulated when a kinetochore is under high tension. It is not clear why pac-man is initially activated and then gives way to park. Nor do we understand the basis for park being a secondary outcome upon sex chromosome release, whereas it appears to be the only outcome upon release of autosomal kinetochores from resistive tension (LaFountain et al., 2011). To speculate, the biochemical composition of autosomal kinetochores and sex univalent kinetochores might be different, or the way resistive forces are leveraged on them may cause different outcomes upon release.

The segregation of X-Y sex univalents is commonly characterized as unorthodox “distance segregation” (Hughes-Schrader, 1969) because X and Y are separated by distance, not physically connected. Yet they segregate to opposite poles during anaphase B. Earlier attempts to understand X-Y segregation focused on the structure of leading and trailing K-fibers (Fuge, 1972, 1985). We propose that there are functional differences between leading and trailing kinetochores at times after X and Y segregation begins, based on two observations. First, as pointed out in Results, as X or Y moves poleward, both leading and trailing kinetochores of each function in a polymerization state. Because the flux rate in leading fibers appears to be the same as that in trailing fibers, poleward movement must be based on subtle differences in the polymerization rates of the leading versus the trailing kinetochore. Specifically, the on rate of the leader must be lower than the on rate of the trailer. In such a scenario, we propose that sex kinetochores would segregate on a “background” of the flux machine, and their movement to opposite poles would be facilitated by a natural imbalance in their polymerization states.

A second observation was that bisection operations showed that the leading kinetochore exhibited capability for further poleward movement, but the trailing kinetochore did not. The trailer remained essentially motionless, not moving toward either pole. When bisections were performed before X-Y segregation had begun, both sisters of either univalent exhibited poleward movement. These results demonstrated that each univalent has a “trailing kinetochore in waiting” that makes a switch in functional state to “neutral” (Skibbens et al., 1993; Khodjakov and Rieder, 1996). By definition, a neutral kinetochore lacks capability for poleward motility but can facilitate elongation of its K-fiber in response to forces exerted to the opposite pole. Neutral then is another type of polymerization state evident in kinetochores moving away from the pole to which they are attached. Based on their behavior after bisections, detached trailing kinetochores appear to have retained their polymerization capabilities, in view of their lack of movement to either pole. However, the polymerization function of detached trailers was not tested, and thus this remains open to investigation.

Regarding the mechanism by which a univalent kinetochore is “tagged” for undergoing conversion to neutral, this is a question within the larger, long-standing question of how distance segregation in general is achieved. Sillers and Forer (1981) suggested that the switch for initiating the segregation of X and Y to opposite poles entailed turning on motors associated with leading K-fibers, whereas trailing-fiber motors remained turned off. The present data suggest that the capability for poleward motion of all sex kinetochores (on both X and Y) exists before segregation occurs. Hence the conversion of trailing kinetochores to neutral more likely is the switch that must be tripped in order to convert one kinetochore per univalent to neutral, thus facilitating segregation of X from Y. To bring about such conversions, there may be a number of biochemical alterations that must be made either through addition and/or removal of specific kinetochore protein components or through phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of constitutive kinetochore proteins.

In conclusion, we provided a new piece to an existing body of evidence that continues to mount for the concept that kinetochore function is subject to regulation by tension. Recent advances made in vitro (Akiyoshi et al., 2010) exemplify how research on the influence of tension on interactions between kinetochores and microtubules is moving to the molecular level. Challenges for the future will be to understand the molecular mechanisms by which kinetochore proteins bring about switches in kinetochore motility such as those observed here.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Spermatocytes from fourth-instar crane fly (N. sutoria) larvae were cultured under oil (Volltafel 10s oil; Atofina, Puteaux, France) at the oil–glass interface of a coverglass that was either mounted to a glass slide (LaFountain and Oldenbourg, 2004) or part of a well-slide preparation (Janicke and LaFountain, 1986). Cells cultured in this way survive for hours, permitting observation of both meiotic divisions from start to finish. In spermatocytes, diploid is eight: three pairs of metacentric autosomes and a pair of telocentric sex chromosomes X and Y. Y is slightly smaller than X.

For laser microsurgery, a nanosecond-pulsed solid-state laser (laser head SNG-03E-TB1, Teem Photonics [Meylan, France]; wavelength, 532 nm; pulse energy, 3 μJ; pulse duration, 1 ns; repetition rate, up to 10 kHz) was directed to the specimen through the epi-port of an inverted microscope (Axiovert 200M [Zeiss, Jena, Germany] or Diaphot 300 [Nikon, Melville, NY]). The design of the laser optical pathway and the triggering of laser pulses were detailed in an earlier report (LaFountain et al., 2011). The shape of the laser beam in the specimen plane was chosen to be either a spot or a line. For spot ablation, the suitably expanded beam was focused into the conjugate image plane near the epi-port using a plan-convex doublet with 25-mm focal length. For line ablation, an additional cylindrical lens (focal length 150 mm) was placed ~150 mm before the doublet lens so as to expand the focal spot in one direction. A laser flash of 0.5–1.0 s in duration, with the signal generator operating at 2–5 kHz, was sufficient to achieve the intended cutting or ablation operation.
For experiments that investigated microtubule flux after laser microsurgery, a suitable cell was identified using DIC imaging, and then microinjection of rhodamine-conjugated tubulin (Cytokeleton, Denver, CO) was done using a previously described iontophoretic approach (LaFountain et al., 2004). Observation of postinjected cells continued using DIC and long-wavelength light that did not excite or bleach the fluorophore. While imaging the cell, the kinetochore best suited for the operation was positioned at the optical path of the laser beam by manual adjustment of the stage. The operation was performed by the laser beam (attenuated to 10% of full power) as soon as anaphase began. Imaging subsequent to the laser flash was done using a combination of DIC and fluorescence optics with time-lapse intervals between frames that were usually 7.5 s, resulting in the combined DIC/fluorescence series having 15-s intervals for the DIC portion and 15-s intervals for the fluorescence portion. To maintain registration between DIC and fluorescence images, we used the same imaging optics, including the same camera, for recording both types of images. To prevent potential image shift between DIC and fluorescence images, we kept the fluorescence cube in the imaging path when recording DIC images. The wavelength of the transmitted illumination for DIC was within the pass-band of the fluorescence emission filter.

Acquisition of fluorescence images immediately before or during laser operations was not possible with our microinjection/laser microsurgery/image acquisition rig. This limitation was because the intended ablation was performed in DIC mode, and success of the operation had to be confirmed by continued observation before starting the imaging regimen. Then, after such confirmation, a movie was made in time lapse by alternating between fluorescence and DIC modes over the course of 6 min, after which fluorescence diminution of the entire spindle made further imaging impractical. With this approach, the first minute or so of a released kinetochore’s movement, as well as similar segment of FSM, was not recorded. Evident in supplemental movies is the result that each laser flash resulted in immediate photobleaching around the flash site (LaFountain et al., 2011), an outcome that eliminated all speckles that were adjacent to kinetochores before the flash. Nevertheless, sufficient speckles in regions distal to kinetochores remained to make speckle analysis possible.

For a given ablation experiment, we collected DIC and fluorescence images into separate stacks and analyzed kinetochore movements and microtubule flux by preparing kymographs using both image types. Before creating a kymograph, however, we registered consecutive frames of a time-lapse series to counteract any global drift of the cell under observation. The registration included the following steps: the K-fiber in question was first oriented vertically and then translated to bring the K-fiber to the same lateral position. The vertical registration was referenced to the spindle equator, which was moved to the same vertical position in each frame. To achieve this type of registration, consecutive frames of DIC images were rotated and shifted as needed, identifying the K-fiber in question by its weak but distinct DIC contrast, and identifying the equator as the half-distance between spindle poles and/or half-point between the chromosomal mass of the segregated autosomes. For registering the equator in images taken during anaphase A, we used the intact univalent that remained at the equator as a laggard as a reference point. For each DIC image the needed rotation and translation was noted and then repeated for its fluorescence counterpart so as to maintain equal registration between DIC and fluorescence images.

For creating kymographs we used image-processing functions available in IP Lab and ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). With IP Lab, we used a customized PROJECTION script that incorporated a number of IP Lab commands, including the 3D Projector command to create a projection stack in which the movement of fluorescent speckles from one frame to the next was displayed as a function of time within the resultant three-dimensional projection. With ImageJ, we used similar projection algorithms available as menu items called Reslice… and Z-Projection. Projections are similar to kymographs used to display time-dependent speckle movement as reported by others (Waterman-Storer et al., 1998). Included in the steps of making a kymograph is establishment of a region of interest (ROI), the contents of which are then converted by the algorithm into a kymograph. For example, consider the ROIs in Figure 5, C and D, which define the portions of those images that were used for kymographs. In these cases, the ROI is positioned over the K-fiber imaged in the DIC image (Figure 5C) and fluorescence image (Figure 5D), and thus we assume that speckles within the ROI in the fluorescence image move within the K-fiber.

For analysis of kinetochore separation rates, the poleward edges of leading kinetochores as they appeared in DIC or phase contrast images were referenced and their separation distance was measured using ImageJ tools as a function of time. With LC-PolScope images (Oldenbourg and Mei, 1995), the sites of connection between kinetochores and their birefringent kinetochore fibers were reference points. For analysis of velocities of released kinetochores, the spindle equator was the reference; its location was determined based on the position of the polar basal bodies (or another suitable structure, if the basal bodies were not in focus). Data were imported into Excel software (Microsoft, Redmond, WA) for plotting.

For some experiments with anaphase B cells, pretreatment of testes before rupturing them under oil was done for 5 min in a buffer solution containing 2 μM cytochalasin D (CD) to inhibit cytokinesis and thereby prevent any influence that in-furrowing at the cell periphery might have on chromosome behavior in the cell interior. This also prevented the incursion of mitochondria into the interzone during anaphase B, so that domain of the spindle remained optically clear for optimal tracking of both anaphase B chromosomes and their kinetochore fibers. The effect of such low-dose CD pretreatment was cytokinesis specific, as expected based on earlier work (LaFountain et al., 1992), and no difference was evident upon comparing results obtained with pretreated cells with those from untreated controls.
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