The perceptions of midwives regarding audit and feedback on the use of the partogram at Vhembe District of Limpopo Province, South Africa

Background: Audit and feedback is regarded as the cornerstone of clinical teaching to guarantee good practice and to correct poor performance. Feedback given to health professionals assists in narrowing the gap between the actual and the desired information. The findings of the research study on perceptions of midwives on audit and feedback highlighted aspects that needed improvement to address challenges on the use and documentation of the partogram.

Objectives: The objectives of this article were to explore and describe the perceptions of midwives on auditing of the partogram by health professionals and to explore and describe the perceptions of midwives on the feedback that was given after audit was done.

Method: A qualitative, exploratory and descriptive study was conducted to answer the two research objectives. Semi-structured face-to-face interviews were conducted with 17 midwives who were working in the labour wards of three hospitals. Eight steps of qualitative data analysis as indicated by Tesch were used to analyse the data.

Results: The findings revealed that auditing and feedback is sometimes done by midwives themselves, midwives’ managers and district managers. Audit is done monthly or on a daily basis and sometimes inconsistently because of shortage of staff. Challenges indicated were lack of knowledge on the use of the partogram and lack of encouragement and praise when documentation was done correctly and that emphasis was mostly placed on negative aspects.

Conclusion: The findings revealed that auditing and feedback and in-service education is done at the three hospitals, although challenges such as inconsistency in auditing because of shortage of staff, lack of knowledge on partogram use and on principles of giving feedback were highlighted.

Introduction

Approximately 99% of 216 maternal deaths per 100 000 live births in developing countries were reported by World Health Organization (WHO), which were mostly because of obstructed and prolonged labour (WHO 2015). The increase of maternal and perinatal mortality rates led to the launch of the partogram by the Safe Motherhood Initiative in 1887 (Fraser, Cooper & Nolte 2006:953). A partogram is regarded as a valuable tool that can be used to monitor progress of labour and to identify when interventions are necessary in order to reduce complications (Lavender, Hart & Smyth 2013:2; Tayade & Jadhao 2012:256). Consequently, South Africa adopted the use of the WHO partogram in maternity labour wards in order to monitor foetal and maternal well-being (National Department of Health 2007:38, 39).

A standard for the use of the partogram is available in the Guidelines for Maternity Care in South Africa: a manual for clinics, community health centres and district hospitals (National Department of Health 2007:38, 39; 2015:44, 67). Hence the need to assess whether midwives are adhering to the guidelines as stipulated in the document. An audit can be described as a control measure to check whether midwives are documenting the findings on management of women in labour as prescribed on the guidelines. Midwives, ward managers and district managers audit the partogram and give feedback to midwives. Feedback is regarded as providing information on one’s performance and should be given to midwives either during or after auditing in order to improve midwifery practice. At Vhembe District, partogram is audited by ward managers, district managers and midwives using the evaluation tool that has been designed for the purpose.
Problem statement
Challenges on the use of the partogram as a clinical practice guideline to improve intrapartum care in developing countries including South Africa were documented by many researchers (Markos & Bogale 2015:1; Ollerhead & Osr 2014:1; Opoku & Nguah 2015:1). Gaps in recording and problems with the design of the tool were some of the challenges experienced by midwives. However, the use of audit and feedback in clinical practice can improve the use and documentation of the partogram (Pattison 2006).

In an effort to identify and address the challenges experienced by midwives in the use and documentation of the partogram, perceptions of midwives on auditing and feedback that was given by health professionals including ward managers and district managers were explored.

Research aim
The aim of this study was to identify the challenges of auditing of the partogram and on feedback given to midwives by ward managers, district managers and midwives themselves.

Research objectives
This study had the following research objectives:

- To explore and describe the perceptions of midwives on auditing of the partogram by health professionals.
- To explore and describe the perceptions of midwives on the feedback that was given after audit was done.

Operational definition of concepts

- Audit: is defined as any summary of clinical performance of health care over a specified period of time (Flottorp et al. 2010:v). In this research study, audit would mean the health professionals including midwives themselves, ward managers and district managers evaluating the manner in which midwives have recorded the information they have obtained during monitoring of women who were in labour. Auditing can be done when the woman is still in the ward, and after the woman has been discharged.
- Feedback: is regarded as providing information in practical terms to health professionals who are either working as a team or as an individual, by reflecting on data that have been derived from their routine practice (Flottorp et al. 2010:v). In this research article, feedback would mean the information that is provided to midwives by their colleagues, by ward managers and by district managers during the auditing process or after auditing, which is a reflection on how they have recorded the data on the partogram.
- Health professionals: For the purpose of this research study, health professionals would mean midwives, ward managers and district managers.
- Midwife: is defined as a person who has successfully completed a midwifery education programme that is recognised in the country where it is located and who has acquired the requisite qualifications to be registered or legally licensed to practise midwifery (International Confederation of Midwives, ICM 2011). In this research article, a midwife would mean registered midwives working in the labour wards of the three hospitals selected for the study at Vhembe District in Limpopo Province.
- Perception: is defined by Macmillan English Dictionary online as a particular way of understanding or thinking about something.

Significance of the study
The study provided valuable information that can be used to improve auditing and giving feedback to midwives who are using the partogram as a tool to monitor the progress of labour at the district and in other clinical settings. Correct use and documentation on the partogram may improve the quality of care rendered to women during labour, which may reduce maternal and foetal death.

Literature review
Improving the use and documentation of the partogram is crucial in reducing complications during labour and births. Kidanto et al. (2012:1) found that audit in low-resource settings is applicable and can help to improve quality of care in obstetrics. In Uganda, Masika, Katongole and Govule (2015:37) found that improving partogram documentation and use requires constant monitoring and supervision and the review of practices of health workers involved in the use of the partogram.

Audit and feedback assist in prompting health care professionals to modify their practice when given performance feedback, which indicates that their performance is inconsistent with the desirable standard (Ivers et al. 2012:2). A key factor in achieving greater impact in giving feedback in clinical practice is the intensity of the feedback, the provision of the feedback by a senior person, face-to-face feedback to individuals and feedback that is given is combined with educational meetings (Pattison 2006).

According to Masika et al. (2015:37), regular feedback meetings on performance should be held and trends communicated to the staff so that there should be a sense of
ownership. The other purpose of feedback is to encourage midwives to think about their performance and how they can improve it. Several barriers to giving feedback were highlighted by Cantillon and Sargeant (2008:1292) as a difficult task as those giving feedback may fear damaging their relationship with other staff members and may try to avoid undermining another person’s self-esteem. Corrective feedback may also be regarded as being critical especially in the presence of patients or other colleagues.

Cantillon and Sargeant (2008:1293) highlighted important aspects that need to be considered when giving feedback in clinical practice, which are clarity on the criteria that are being used to ensure understanding and cooperation, feedback given on specific behaviour rather than on general practice to avoid confusion and offering feedback at the time of an event or shortly afterwards when aspects are still fresh in an individual’s mind. Feedback should also lead to changes in the professionals’ thinking, behaviour and performance by encouraging individuals to verbalise perception of their own performance and to suggest ideas for improvement. According to Nicol (2007:2), feedback should help to clarify what good performance is, encourages self-learning, positive motivational beliefs and self-esteem, involves the candidate in decision making about audit process and policies and supports the development of learning by candidates and those assessing the practice.

Research design and method

Research design

A qualitative, exploratory and descriptive research design was used to explore and describe midwives’ perceptions on audit and feedback on the use of the partogram to monitor women during labour.

Research method

Population and sampling

The population comprised 17 midwives working at three Vhembe District hospitals in Limpopo Province. Purposive sampling was used to select 17 midwives working at the three hospitals. Midwives were purposely selected based on the fact that they have been working in the maternity ward for at least six months and were willing to participate in the research study.

Data collection

The researcher conducted semi-structured, face-to-face interviews with midwives who agreed to be interviewed and who signed the consent form. Interviews were conducted between February and March 2012 in the private rooms of the selected hospitals. One of the questions asked to midwives was ‘How do you perceive the auditing and feedback on partogram use?’ Follow-up questions were asked in order to elaborate and clarify aspects. Data were tape recorded and then transcribed verbatim.

Data analysis

Tesch’s (1990) eight steps of qualitative data analysis as described in Creswell (1994:154–155) were followed. Co-coding was done and themes and sub-themes were developed.

Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the University of South Africa. The chief executive officers of the three hospitals and the Limpopo Provincial Government granted written permission to conduct the research. In order to ensure privacy, the interviews were conducted in private rooms. Witten consent was obtained from the midwives after thorough explanation of the nature and purpose of the research. Participants were informed that they can withdraw from the research study at any time if they wish to do so without any consequence. Codes instead of names were used on interview transcripts to protect the respondents’ identity.

Context of the study

The study was conducted in the maternity wards of the three hospitals found at Vhembe District in Limpopo Province, South Africa. The researcher selected the hospitals based on the fact that one was a regional (referral) hospital and two were district hospitals. Pregnant women visit the hospitals directly or as referrals from communities. Midwives and doctors are expected to use the partogram when attending to all women who are in labour.

Trustworthiness

The researcher had good background knowledge in midwifery, nursing education and research methodology, which ensured that the research process was followed and relevant aspects in midwifery were considered (Speziale & Carpenter 2007:49). Co-coding was done electronically with an independent coder to ensure dependability. Credibility was established through prolonged engagement with midwives in the labour wards of the three hospitals. All data pertaining to the research study were kept safely by the researcher (Polit & Beck 2012:723). Triangulation of data collection methods was ensured by writing field notes and conducting literature review related to the partogram, audit and feedback in clinical settings.

Findings and discussions

Demographic information

All 17 participants were female and were working at the three selected hospitals. The hospitals were coded as A, B and C, whereas participants were coded as P1 or P2. In order to distinguish the hospital where the participant comes from, the participants were coded as PA-1 or PA-2.

The ages of participants ranges from 31 to 64 years. Seven out of 17 midwives completed a two-year diploma in midwifery training programme, 5 completed the four-year diploma in nursing and midwifery programme, 4 underwent one-year midwifery diploma course, 2 were advanced midwives and
1 had a degree in nursing. Fourteen of the 17 participants who were interviewed were not in managerial positions, whereas 3 were operational managers. Only one midwife had less than five years of experience, whereas the rest had experience of more than five years.

Years of experience, type of health facility and in-service training on partogram use were found by Wakgari, Tessema and Amano (2015:4) to be significantly associated with knowledge of partogram utilisation and that midwives at hospitals were more knowledgeable than those working at the clinics.

The findings of this research study are presented and discussed under two themes, namely perceptions of midwives on auditing of partograms by health professionals and perceptions of midwives on feedback given after auditing as displayed in Table 1.

Themes and sub-themes on auditing and giving feedback on the use of the partogram

Perceptions of midwives on auditing of partograms by health professionals

Midwives indicated that auditing is conducted by ward managers, midwives themselves and district managers. They further explained their views on auditors’ knowledge of the partogram and the audit and feedback process.

Perceptions of midwives on auditing of partograms by ward managers: Supervisors in the maternity wards are expected to audit patients’ records including the partogram. An operational manager in the labour ward indicated that she audits the partograms every morning:

‘Every morning when I come on duty, after taking report and there are women in labour, I [manager] check if the partograms are used and are being plotted correctly and give advice. It’s just that maybe during the day I don’t check it, but every morning for those who are in labour I quickly go through the partograms and see if they are plotted correctly.’ (PB-7, female, midwife)

One midwife indicated that the supervisor also checks the bed letters of the patient and how the partogram has been plotted.

‘The person who’s the overall supervisor also goes from patient to patient checking if the partogram is plotted incorrectly but even after the patient has delivered, our operational manager still checks to see if they are plotted correctly.’ (PB-7, female, midwife)

Experience in clinical practice is valuable for the process of providing feedback. Although self-assessment is important, Ibrahim et al. (2014:425) found out that interns may be unable to judge their own performance because of lack of knowledge and experience. Furthermore, Wakgari et al. (2015:4) found out that there is significant association between years of experience, on-job training and knowledge of partogram utilisation.

In this research study, it can be concluded that most of the midwives who participated in the study had sufficient years of experience of partogram utilisation including audit and feedback.

Perceptions of midwives on auditing of partograms by district managers: Midwives indicated that auditing is also done by district managers to ensure that recording of the partogram is done according to the stipulated standard. District managers were reportedly failing to visit the labour wards as midwives indicated that they have not seen them for two weeks. The following statements were indicative of the district managers’ visits to the hospital:

‘If the district managers come to assess us, they just give us feedback whether we are doing good or not. They used to come

Table 1: Themes and sub-themes on auditing and giving feedback on the use of the partogram

| Theme                                                      | Sub-theme                                           |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Perceptions of midwives on auditing of partograms by health professionals | Perception on auditing by ward managers  
Perceptions of midwives on auditing by midwives  
Perceptions of midwives on auditing by district managers  
Perceptions of midwives on auditors’ knowledge on the partogram |
| Perceptions of midwives on the manner in which feedback was given on the use of the partogram | Positive feedback on the use of the partogram  
Negative feedback on the use of the partogram |

Source: Author’s own work

Perceptions of midwives on auditing of partograms by midwives themselves: Participants indicated that they used to audit the partograms themselves, but it is not possible to conduct such audits routinely because of shortage of staff. One of the participants said the following:

‘Previously it was an audit team from maternity ward that conduct the audit. We used to audit the partograms ourselves, three midwives come together and check the partograms, but sometimes we experience shortage of staff and we don’t do auditing once or even twice a month.’ (PA-3, female, midwife)

Health workers should be encouraged to routinely appraise and correct their own performance (Cantillon & Sargeant 2008:1292). Self-assessment is regarded as the first step in the feedback process and an important principle when the person is able to assess his or her personal performance and determine knowledge gaps (Algiraigri 2014:1; Anderson 2012:155). The study conducted by Fowler and Wilford (2016:e23) on formative feedback in clinical practice settings supports the findings of this study. Limited time and shortage of staff was indicated as a problem that leads to feedback that is not timely, not sufficient and specific. Another midwife indicated the following statements:

‘They [managers] encourage us to do auditing ourselves. I think this auditing is improving, because you know what, we have the strategy of evaluating the partogram, you check how it was plotted, may be two people, we write on our book, and we give ourselves the percentage according to what we have found, and then we show the person who is responsible that here you did wrong and here you did good, but this book started this month.’ (PA-1, female, midwife)
after two weeks, but now I just haven’t seen them, I don’t know what’s wrong.’ (PC-1, female, midwife)

**Perceptions of midwives on health professionals’ knowledge of the partogram and auditing and feedback:**

Participants verbalised that some of the members of the auditing teams do not have thorough knowledge of the partogram and do not have experience in using it. Some of the statements verbalised were the following:

‘There are people who check the partograms but they are not used to the partogram.’ (PB-2, female, midwife)

‘They are not working with the partogram so they are not having experience.’ (PB-2, female, midwife)

‘Some of them (managers) don’t even enforce the use of the partogram because they have a problem, when they enforce its use, they will have to explain and they also do not understand.’ (PB-4, female, midwife)

Anderson (2012:154), conducted a study on giving feedback on clinical skills to students and concluded that despite faculty’s accumulating knowledge in the area for several decades, education on feedback is important. Clynes and Raftery (2008:405) identify inadequate supervisor training and education as barriers to effective feedback. Furthermore, Barendonk, Stalmeijer and Schuwirth (2013) indicate that expertise is important to performance assessment and that there is a great need for expertise development and practical experience of those who conduct auditing and feedback.

**Perceptions of midwives on health professionals’ performance:**

Feedback to health professionals is an essential part of improving partogram utilisation. Groves et al. (2015:4) found in-service training to be mostly significant in improving partogram utilisation. Groves et al. (2015:3) recommend that all midwives and supervisors be given in-service training on partogram use and on auditing and giving feedback in a way that will be most useful to them. In addition, Yisma et al. (2013:1) recommends pre-service and on-job training on partogram utilisation to improve health professionals’ performance. Supervisors should pay attention and make time for providing frequent high-quality feedback (Plakht et al. 2013:20164).

**Negative feedback on the use of the partogram:**

Some midwives were not satisfied with the feedback that was given after auditing by their managers.

‘We need positive feedback because is not only the mistakes that we do, if you can deliver ten woman and their babies are all alive, and you receive no pat on your back, but if one child dies or you have FSB [Fresh Still Born] it’s demoralising.’ (PA-3, female, midwife)

‘We don’t get positive feedback, all we get is those feedback of saying we got attitude.’ (PA-4, female, midwife)

In Kenyan hospitals, Nzinga et al. (2009:1) conducted a study on documenting the experiences of health workers expected to implement guidelines during an intervention study and found that one of the challenges among others was lack of systems to reinforce guidelines and to confront poor practice. Lack of recognition and appreciation was also indicated by midwives as some of the challenges that were experienced. Feedback should be given on what was directly observed and phrased in non-judgemental language to enhance understanding in communication (Cantillon & Sargeant 2008:1292). Ivers et al. (2012:2) indicate that effectiveness of audit and feedback depends on baseline performance and how the feedback has been provided. Negative feedback if not managed properly can demotivate individuals and cause deterioration of performance (Cantillon & Sargeant 2008:1293).

**Limitations of the study**

The study was conducted at three selected hospitals in the district and the results cannot be generalised to other hospitals. Perceptions of other health professionals on using the partogram such as district managers, doctors and clinic midwives were not explored.

**Recommendations**

Midwives indicated lack of consistency in auditing visits. It is recommended that planning on auditing and regular feedback be done and implemented to improve the use of the partogram.

Gaps in auditor’s knowledge on partogram and auditing and especially on giving feedback were highlighted. It is recommended that all supervisors and midwives be given in-service training on partogram use and on auditing and feedback in a way that will be most useful to the members.

The findings highlighted lack of time to conduct audit and feedback because of shortage of midwives. More staff in
labour wards are needed in order to afford midwives ample time to perform self-assessment and provide feedback to each other. Adequate staffing would be useful in ensuring that the partogram is used and plotted according to set standards.

Further research on auditing and comparing different ways of providing feedback in midwifery practice needs to be conducted.

Conclusion
Auditing and feedback are viewed as important practices of improving the use of the partogram. It can be concluded that auditing of the partogram, giving feedback and in-service education is done at the selected hospitals. However, there is a need for consistency in auditing, planned periodic in-service education on the use of the partogram, training on strategies that are useful in giving feedback in clinical practice and provisioning more staff in the labour wards.
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