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Abstract

Background: This study evaluated the effect of the Benson relaxation method on the quality of sleep and working life of surgical technologists.

Methods: This randomized controlled trial was done on 180 surgical technologists who worked in 9 hospitals in Shiraz. Before the beginning of the intervention, participants were randomly assigned to either experimental or control conditions (simple randomization). The experimental group listened to an audiotape of the Benson relaxation technique twenty minutes periods, two times a day for four weeks, while the control group did not receive any intervention at all. The Pittsburgh sleep quality index and Walton quality of working life (QWL) questionnaires were used to measure the sleep quality and working life of surgical technologists, respectively.

Results: Independent t-tests indicated that after the intervention, there were significant improvements regarding the total mean scores of quality of sleep (Mean (SD) Intervention = 2.88 (1.36), Mean (SD) Control = 15.1 (3.41), P < 0.001), and working life (Mean (SD) Intervention = 133.78 (9.22), Mean (SD) Control = 62.18 (19.68, P < 0.001) and their domains in the intervention group compared to the control group.

Conclusions: Our findings are an important contribution to the previous research regarding the Benson relaxation technique as a non-drug, economical method. The current results can help health professionals for determine which psychological techniques are needed to be emphasized for promoting sleep quality and quality of working life in surgical technologists.
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1. Background

In Maslow's pyramid, sleep and rest are among the basic physiological needs of humans. Sleep creates conditions to relieve one's daily tiredness, and this leads to physical restoration (1) so that an unfavorable sleep does not lead to adverse effects on one's general health (2). Sleep has two components: quality and quantity. The quality refers to the attainment of deep sleep, which is more important than the quantity of sleep (3).

Workforce and work environment, stress, and irregular sleep hours are among the important factors that can affect sleep quality. As a matter of fact, most shift work nurses suffer from poor sleep quality (4). In fact, nurses are the largest professional group in the healthcare system, and they are particularly involved in rotating schedules. According to the literature, 57% (4) and 62% (5) of nurses in Taiwan and Iran suffer from sleep disorders, respectively (6). Similar to other nurses, surgical technologists in the surgery wards have a heavy workload and inadequate rest time (7), and they frequently experience several stressors that decrease their quality of sleep, working life (8), and hospital productivity (6).

One other factor that may be affected by workforce, work environment, and shift rotation is quality of working life (QWL). It is a new concept which has been used as a construct that relates to the conveniences or inconveniences of the workplace from the employees’ point of view (9). Although there is no consensus on the definition of QWL, it is a multidimensional concept that includes the aspects of job satisfaction, job tension, and organizational commitment (10). The QWL influences the nurses’ performance as well as the quality of patient care by nurses (11). Therefore, improvements in the QWL of nurses may have beneficial results for employees, hospitals, and patients (11). There is a need to promote effective programs to improve the qual-
ity of sleep and working life among surgical technologists in the surgical wards.

The Benson relaxation technique, which was introduced by Herbert Benson in the 1970s, is recognized as a non-pharmacological method for reducing occupational stress and anxiety in midwives and nurses (12, 13). Also, it has been shown to improve nurses' fatigue (14). However, no evidence has been found regarding the impact of the Benson relaxation technique on sleep quality and QWL in surgical technologists. Considering the importance of sleep quality and QWL among surgical technologists, this study aimed to evaluate the Benson relaxation method's effect on sleep quality and QWL in this group of nurses.

For predicting and hypothesizing the effects of Benson relaxation technique, the conservation of resources (COR) theory was applied (15), in which people want to protect their material and immaterial (eg, time, psychological health, quality of life, etc.) resources. Based on this theory, people avoid situations resulting in the loss of their resources to shelter themselves from future losses. Kröll et al., in their valuable meta-analysis argue that stress management strategies at work help employees in protecting their resources (16). Conservation of resources has a positive relationship with more job satisfaction or improved psychological health (16). In line with COR theory and prior research, it is assumed that Benson relaxation training has a positive impact on sleep quality and QWL in surgical technologists.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Design

This randomized controlled study with a pretest-posttest design (IRCT20100919004775N12), was done to assess the effectiveness of the Benson relaxation method (independent variable) on the working life quality and sleep quality (dependent variables) of surgical technologists who worked as scrub nurse and circular nurses for surgical interventions in the operation rooms of nine hospitals affiliated to Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

2.2. Participants

Our sample consisted of 180 Surgical Technologists with Associate (ASC), Bachelor (BSc), and Master (MSc) degrees. The surgical technologists were selected by the simple sampling method from the operating rooms of nine hospitals affiliated with Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

2.3. Inclusion Criteria

The following criteria were considered: having the required degree (ASC, BSc, or MSc) in surgical technology, willing to participate in the study, not taking any sedative or sleep-inducing medication over the past month (17, 18), having a Pittsburgh score of $\geq 5$, as well as a low (35 - 80) or moderate (81 - 130) score on Walton’s quality of working life questionnaire (19, 20).

2.4. Exclusion Criteria

The following exclusion criteria were also considered: a recent experience of a very stressful event before or throughout the study period, participating in similar programs in the past.

2.5. Measurement Instruments

The tools for collecting data had three parts. The first part included questions assessing demographic data such as age, sex, marital status, educational degree, employment status, work experience, number of night shifts, and number of work shifts. The second part was the Pittsburgh sleep quality index questionnaire. The sleep quality (19) as a self-report scale assesses sleep habits and also the respondents' perceived sleep quality providing information regarding seven sleep components (Table 1). Sleep quality is scored from 0 (no difficulty) to 3 (severe difficulty), and its total score is the sum of its seven components ranging from 0 - 21. A score of more than or equal to 5 indicates poor sleep quality, whereas a score of less than 5 indicates good sleep quality. This scale has been widely applied in many investigations (21-25). The Cronbach’s alpha of 0.83 and test-retest reliability of 0.85 have been reported for sleep quality (19). A specificity of 86.5% and a sensitivity of 89.6% have been revealed for the experimental and control respondents at a cutoff point of 5 (19). The Persian version of sleep quality has been reported with Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.77 for 125 patients with psychiatric disorders and 133 healthy individuals (25).

The third section was the quality of work-life questionnaire developed by Walton, which has 35 multiple choice questions that are scored from 1 (completely dissatisfied) to 5 (completely satisfied). It evaluates the quality of eight domains of work-life (Table 2) (20). The minimum and maximum scores were 35 and 175, respectively (scores 35 - 80: poor QWL, 81 - 130: moderate QWL, 130 - 175: good QWL) (20). Ten faculty members at Kashan University of Medical Sciences confirmed the content validity of the tool. Its reliability was evaluated by some previous researches, and the reliability coefficient was 0.86 - 0.95 (26-28).
Table 1. Comparison of the Groups Regarding the Quality of Sleep Before and After the Intervention

| Domains                        | Intervention       | Control          | P-Value b          |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Subjective sleep quality       |                    |                  |                    |
| Before                         | 1.71 ± 0.58        | 1.81 ± 0.57      | 0.25 (1.17)        |
| After                          | 0.58 ± 0.49        | 1.90 ± 0.56      | < 0.001 *** (16.83) |
| Mean change                    | -1.12 ± 0.66       | 0.08 ± 0.38      | < 0.001 *** (14.95) |
| \( \text{P-value}^c \)         | < 0.001 *** (46)   | 0.25 (2)         |                    |
| Sleep latency                  |                    |                  |                    |
| Before                         | 2.04 ± 0.93        | 1.92 ± 1.08      | 0.43 (0.79)        |
| After                          | 0.41 ± 0.44        | 1.62 ± 0.97      | < 0.001 *** (10.77) |
| Mean change                    | -1.63 ± 0.89       | -0.3 ± 0.93      | < 0.001 *** (9.80) |
| \( \text{P-value}^c \)         | < 0.001 *** (17.52)| 0.11 (3.06)      |                    |
| Sleep duration                 |                    |                  |                    |
| Before                         | 2.28 ± 0.74        | 2.28 ± 0.93      | 0.89 (0.00)        |
| After                          | 2.26 ± 0.74        | 2.07 ± 1.04      | < 0.001 (1.41)     |
| Mean change                    | 0.94 ± 0.51        | -0.21 ± 0.06     | < 0.001 *** (8.90) |
| \( \text{P-value}^c \)         | < 0.001 *** (0.39) | 0.11 *** (0.89)  |                    |
| Habitual sleep efficiency      |                    |                  |                    |
| Before                         | 3 ± 0.53           | 3 ± 0.48         | 0.56 (0.00)        |
| After                          | 0.55 ± 0.12        | 3 ± 0.44         | < 0.001 *** (50.96) |
| Mean change                    | -2.45 ± 0.49       | 0                | < 0.001 *** (47.43) |
| \( \text{P-value}^c \)         | < 0.001 *** (47.38)| 0.99 (0.00)      |                    |
| Sleep disturbances             |                    |                  |                    |
| Before                         | 8.66 ± 1.67        | 9.05 ± 4.05      | 0.50 (0.68)        |
| After                          | 1.17 ± 1.06        | 9.20 ± 2.95      | < 0.001 *** (24.30) |
| Mean change                    | -7.48 ± 1.48       | 0.14 ± 2.50      | < 0.001 *** (16.87) |
| \( \text{P-value}^c \)         | < 0.001 *** (20.21)| 0.63 (0.54)      |                    |
| Use of sleeping medication     |                    |                  |                    |
| Before                         | 0.12 ± 0.39        | 0.07 ± 0.26      | 0.57 (1.01)        |
| After                          | 0                 | 0.01 ± 0.05      | 0.32 (0.90)        |
| Mean change                    | -0.12 ± 0.39       | -0.06 ± 0.29     | 0.44 (17)          |
| \( \text{P-value}^c \)         | 0.004 ** (2.92)    | 0.01 ** (1.94)   |                    |
| Daytime dysfunction            |                    |                  |                    |
| Before                         | 2.81 ± 1.07        | 2.90 ± 0.99      | 0.56 (0.58)        |
| After                          | 0.61 ± 0.59        | 3.03 ± 0.77      | < 0.001 *** (23.67) |
| Mean change                    | -2.20 ± 1.11       | 0.13 ± 0.76      | < 0.001 *** (16.23) |
| \( \text{P-value}^c \)         | < 0.001 *** (18.48)| 0.11 (1.63)      |                    |
| Total score                    |                    |                  |                    |
| Before                         | 13.75 ± 1.27       | 12.81 ± 1.54     | 0.05 (4.46)        |
| After                          | 2.88 ± 1.36        | 15.1 ± 3.41      | < 0.001 *** (31.57) |
| Mean change                    | -10.87 ± 0.99      | 2.30 ± 1.87      | < 0.001 *** (66.73) |
| \( \text{P-value}^c \)         | < 0.001 *** (9.65) | < 0.001 *** (11.67) |                    |

a Values are expressed as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. * P-value < 0.01, ** P-value < 0.05, *** P-value < 0.001.
b t-test: Comparison of between groups

c Paired t-test: Comparison of within groups
Table 2. Comparison of the Groups Regarding the Quality of Working Life Before and After the Intervention

| Domains                              | Intervention | Control  | P-value b |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------|
| **Adequate and fair compensation**   |              |          |           |
| Before                               | 6.91 ± 0.19  | 7.46 ± 2.10 | 0.06 (2.47) |
| After                                | 13.20 ± 1.96 | 6.67 ± 2.26 | < 0.001 (20.71) |
| Mean change                          | 6.28 ± 3.03  | -0.78 ± 2.26 | < 0.001 (17.49) |
| P-value c                            | < 0.001 (***(19.62) | < 0.02 **(-3.12) |
| **Safe and healthy working environment** |          |          |           |
| Before                               | 9.01 ± 0.34  | 11.57 ± 4.05 | 0.03 **(5.97) |
| After                                | 22.71 ± 2.07 | 10.87 ± 4.45 | < 0.001 ***(22.88) |
| Mean change                          | 12.28 ± 4.27 | -0.7 ± 3.74  | < 0.001 ***(21.69) |
| P-value c                            | < 0.001 ***(33.5) | 0.08 (-1.79) |
| **Opportunity to develop human capacities** |          |          |           |
| Before                               | 9.01 ± 2.95  | 10.65 ± 4.24 | 0.08 (3.01) |
| After                                | 19.41 ± 2.33 | 9.58 ± 4.09  | < 0.001 ***(19.81) |
| Mean change                          | 10.40 ± 3.34 | -0.68 ± 3.32 | < 0.001 ***(19.76) |
| P-value c                            | < 0.001 ***(26.78) | 0.06 (1.42) |
| **Opportunity for continuous growth and job security** |          |          |           |
| Before                               | 6.65 ± 2.35  | 7.64 ± 3.11  | 0.01 **(2.46) |
| After                                | 15.50 ± 2.01 | 6.97 ± 2.89  | < 0.001 ***(23.02) |
| Mean change                          | 8.84 ± 3.34  | -0.68 ± 3.32 | < 0.001 ***(19.76) |
| P-value c                            | < 0.001 ***(26.25) | 0.38 (-0.86) |
| **Social coherence in work organization** |          |          |           |
| Before                               | 6.36 ± 2.24  | 7.60 ± 3.21  | 0.08 (3.005) |
| After                                | 15.65 ± 1.68 | 7.12 ± 3.10  | < 0.001 ***(22.97) |
| Mean change                          | 9.30 ± 1.05  | -0.47 ± 3.13 | < 0.001 ***(21.22) |
| P-value c                            | < 0.001 ***(29.06) | 0.15 (-1.42) |
| **Regulations and rule orientation** |          |          |           |
| Before                               | 6.57 ± 2.31  | 7.38 ± 3.08  | 0.07 (1.99) |
| After                                | 15.62 ± 1.77 | 7.14 ± 3.37  | < 0.001 ***(21.13) |
| Mean change                          | 9.04 ± 3.06  | -0.24 ± 2.67 | < 0.001 ***(21.67) |
| P-value c                            | < 0.001 ***(28.25) | 0.38 (0.86) |
| **Work and total life space**        |              |          |           |
| Before                               | 4.54 ± 1.62  | 5.04 ± 2.30 | 0.07 (1.28) |
| After                                | 11.95 ± 1.57 | 5.40 ± 2.21 | < 0.001 ***(23.89) |
| Mean change                          | 7.41 ± 2.23  | 0.35 ± 2.49  | < 0.001 ***(20.03) |
| P-value c                            | < 0.001 ***(32.22) | 0.18 (1.34) |
| **Social dependency**                |              |          |           |
| Before                               | 7.06 ± 2.29  | 9.10 ± 3.84 | 0.09 (4.33) |
| After                                | 19.72 ± 1.63 | 8.40 ± 3.95 | < 0.001 ***(25.13) |
| Mean change                          | 12.65 ± 2.95 | -0.70 ± 3.28 | < 0.001 ***(28.71) |
| P-value c                            | < 0.001 ***(8.54) | 0.04 (-2) |
| **Total score**                      |              |          |           |
| Before                               | 57.55 ± 12.27 | 66.50 ± 20.33 | 0.02 **(3.58) |
| After                                | 133.78 ± 9.22 | 62.18 ± 19.68 | < 0.001 ***(31.25) |
| Mean change                          | 76.23 ± 17.59 | -3.41 ± 12.80 | < 0.001 ***(35.31) |
| P-value c                            | < 0.001 ***(41.20) | 0.02 **(3.24) |

a Values are expressed as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. * P-value < 0.01, ** P-value < 0.05, *** P-value < 0.001.
b t-test: Comparison of between groups
c Paired t-test: Comparison of within groups
2.6. Randomization

Before the beginning of the intervention, participants were randomly assigned (simple randomization) to either the intervention or the control conditions by an observer who was not involved in the study using the software Random Allocation (allocation concealment). In this way, the personal code of the participants was given to the randomization software, and the software randomly assigned the participants into two groups.

2.7. Procedure

Before starting the study, the surgical technologists were provided with information about the nature and objective of the study. Then, they were asked to complete the questionnaires. The pretest results showed that all the surgical technologists had a poor level of sleep quality and poor or moderate QWL, and therefore, they were qualified for the study. Through random allocation, the subjects were assigned to one of the two groups of Benson relaxation and control group, with 90 surgical technologists in each group. The risk of contamination was minimized by the fact that implementation of the Benson relaxation technique in the intervention group was done after collecting pretest and post-test data of the control group.

According to some previous studies (29), the intervention was carried out twice per day, each time for twenty minutes for four weeks. After the end of the fourth week, the respondents answered the questionnaires again to obtain the post-test scores.

2.8. Implementation of Benson Relaxation Technique

Initially, in each hospital, an educational pamphlet was given to the experimental group. The participants of this group were trained in a group session on how to properly perform the Benson relaxation technique, and their questions were answered by a trained surgical technologist (the third author). Then, the audio file of the relaxation technique was shared with each individual on a mobile phone or USB drive. Two days later, an individual training session was held for each participant, in a suitable atmosphere away from noise. Depending on the facilities of the operating room in each hospital, the meeting place was different. During the training sessions, the participants were in very comfortable positions, and music was played to cover the noise that came from outside. The relaxation technique was started while the participant was in a supine or sitting position. The Benson relaxation technique for each patient individually was carried out for 20 minutes. The Benson relaxation technique for each part, (4) respiration through his nose, (5) listen to his breathing sound, (6) say the word “one” or “God” silently to himself while exhaling (29, 30), and continue for 20 minutes. Music was played back for 20 minutes, and there was no need to set alarm clocks to indicate the end of the performance. When the music finished, the eyes remained closed for a few minutes, and then they were opened. The participants sat there for a few minutes and then slowly rose. At the end of the training session, participants were given a daily schedule to record their training. It was emphasized that the relaxation training should be done regularly twice per day for four weeks, and the interval between each relaxation training should be 4 to 6 hours. The trained surgical technologist was in touch with the experimental group through text messages and/or phone calls to make sure that they have used the technique properly. After the post-assessment, the interested participants in the control group received the same education from the trained surgical technologist.

2.9. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics, such as means ± SDs for continuous variables and frequency (percentage) for categorical variables were used to characterize the sample. Chi-square tests were utilized to compare categorical variables.

Paired t-test was applied for determining the statistically significant differences in questionnaire scores measured before and after the intervention. Comparisons of between-groups differences of sleep quality and QWL scores were assessed using independent t-tests. SPSS 22 was used for the statistical analyses.

2.10. Ethical Considerations

This research was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (IR.SUMS.REC.1398.657). Before the study, the importance, aim, and methodology of the study were explained to the subjects, and they completed an informed consent form. We assured them of the confidentiality of the information and their right to withdraw from the research whenever they wanted.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of Sample

There were 90 participants randomized to the intervention group and 90 to the control group (N = 180). The overall mean age of the participants was 31.14 ± 4.82 years. Female gender distribution was 65.6% in the intervention group and 64.4% in the control group. The percentage of married participants was 58.9% in the intervention group.
and 53.3% in the control group. The educational degree of the majority of participants was bachelor in both groups (intervention = 86.7%, control = 84.4%). Most participants of both groups worked 1-5 night shifts per month (Table 3).

Comparison of groups using chi-square tests and independent t-tests revealed no significant differences between the two groups in terms of demographic and occupational characteristics of the participants (P-value > 0.05, Table 3).

3.2. Improvement in Quality of Sleep

The results of the independent t-tests showed that before the intervention, there were no significant differences between the two groups regarding the mean scores of total sleep quality and its domains (P-values > 0.05, Table 1).

However, after the intervention, there were significant improvements regarding the total mean scores of sleep quality (t = 31.57, P-value < 0.001), sleep latency (t = 10.77, P-value < 0.001), sleep duration (t = 1.41, P-value < 0.001), sleep efficiency (t = 50.96, P-value < 0.001), sleep disturbance (t = 24.30, P-value < 0.001) and daytime dysfunction (t = 23.67, P-value < 0.001) in the intervention group compared to the control group (Table 1, Figure 1).

Also, the results of paired t-tests showed that the changes in the total mean scores of sleep quality from before (13.75 ± 1.27) to after the intervention (2.88 ± 1.36) were significant in the intervention group (P-value < 0.001, Table 1). Also, it can be seen in the intervention group that the mean scores of other domains in sleep quality improved significantly after the intervention (P-value < 0.001, Table 1, Figure 1).

3.3. Improvement in Quality of Working Life

The results of independent t-tests showed that before the intervention, there were no significant differences between the two groups regarding the mean scores of adequate and fair compensation, opportunity to develop human capacities, social coherence in work organization, regulations and rule orientation, work and total life space, and social dependency (P-values > 0.05, Table 2). However, the total mean score of quality of working life and its domains including safe and healthy working environment, opportunity for continuous growth, and job security before intervention in the intervention group was significantly lesser than the control group (t = 3.58, P-value < 0.05, Table 2).

After the intervention, there were significant improvements regarding the overall mean score of quality of working life and its components in the experimental group (133.78 ± 9.22) compared to the control group (62.18 ± 19.68, t = 31.25, P-value < 0.001, Table 2, Figure 2).

4. Discussion

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the effects of the Benson relaxation technique on the quality of sleep and working life (QWL) of surgical technologists. The results showed that Benson relaxation technique had a significant impact on the sleep quality and QWL scores of the surgical technologists. To our knowledge, it is the first attempt at evaluating the effects of the Benson relaxation technique on the sleep quality and QWL of surgical technologists.

It is well known that many nurses suffer from degrees of poor sleep quality. Based on the results of Silva-Costa, 70.1% of nurses with rotating shifts had insufficient sleep (31). Also, the results of a recent study showed that the sleep quality of nurses is low in approximately two-thirds of them (32). McDowall found that undertaking shift work was the main predictor of poor sleep quality by adjusting for other variables such as age, sex, and work experience (33).

Our results showed that relaxation training two times per day had a positive impact on the overall sleep quality of the surgical technologists in all dimensions. Consistent with our results, Tahanian et al. showed that progressive muscle relaxation significantly improved the sleep quality and fatigue in emergency nurses (34). Najafi Ghezeljeh also showed that Benson relaxation exercise twice daily for two weeks improved the fatigue of ICU nurses (35). One more recent study demonstrated progressive muscle relaxation as an auxiliary technique to decrease anxiety and increase sleep quality in COVID-19 patients (36). Some other researchers have also claimed that relaxation techniques diminished sleep disturbance (12, 37-41) and the use of sleep medication (39, 42, 43).

Relaxation training can be easily learned; there is no need for a specific time and place, and certain technologies or equipment. According to the research, the reason for the improvement of sleep quality of nurses after relaxation training may be due to the balance between the anterior and posterior hypothalamus responses, which decreases the activity of the sympathetic nervous system (44). Reducing the activity of the sympathetic nervous system...
**Figure 1.** Changes in the total mean scores of quality of sleep before and after intervention

**Figure 2.** Changes in the total mean scores of quality of working life before and after intervention
Table 3. Demographic Characteristics of Samples (N = 180) a

| Variables             | Intervention (N = 90) | Control (N = 90) | Total (N = 180) | P-Value b   |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Age (y)               | 31.65 ± 4.85          | 30.63 ± 4.79     | 31.14 ± 4.82    | 0.157       |
| Gender                |                       |                  |                 | 0.87        |
| Male                  | 31 (34.4)             | 32 (35.6)        | 63 (53)         |             |
| Female                | 59 (65.6)             | 58 (64.4)        | 117 (65)        |             |
| Marital status        |                       |                  |                 | 0.45        |
| Married               | 53 (58.9)             | 48 (53.3)        | 101 (56.1)      |             |
| Single                | 37 (41.1)             | 42 (46.7)        | 79 (43.9)       |             |
| Educational degree    |                       |                  |                 | 0.58        |
| Bachelor              | 78 (86.7)             | 76 (84.4)        | 154 (85.6)      |             |
| Undergraduate         | 12 (13.3)             | 13 (14.4)        | 25 (13.9)       |             |
| Employment status     |                       |                  |                 | 0.73        |
| Permanent civil       | 40 (44.4)             | 36 (40)          | 76 (42.2)       |             |
| Contractual           | 14 (15.6)             | 19 (21.1)        | 33 (18.3)       |             |
| Quasi-contractual     | 1 (1.1)               | 2 (2.2)          | 3 (1.7)         |             |
| Corporate             | 15 (16.7)             | 11 (12.2)        | 26 (14.4)       |             |
| Passing training period | 20 (22.2)           | 22 (24.4)        | 42 (23.3)       |             |
| Work experience       |                       |                  |                 | 0.50        |
| < 10 years            | 50 (55.6)             | 49 (54.4)        | 99 (55)         |             |
| 10 - 20 years         | 40 (44.4)             | 41 (45.06)       | 81 (45)         |             |
| Night shift           |                       |                  |                 | 0.86        |
| 1 - 5                 | 68 (75.6)             | 78 (86.7)        | 146 (80)        |             |
| 6 - 10                | 22 (23.3)             | 12 (13.3)        | 34 (20)         |             |
| Number of work shifts |                       |                  |                 | 0.60        |
| 1 - 5                 | 36 (40)               | 35 (38.9)        | 71 (39.4)       |             |
| 6 - 10                | 36 (40)               | 30 (33.3)        | 66 (36.7)       |             |
| 11 - 15               | 14 (15.6)             | 21 (23.3)        | 35 (19.4)       |             |
| > 15                  | 4 (4.4)               | 4 (4.4)          | 8 (4.4)         |             |

a Values are presented as mean ± SD or No. (%).

b **P-value < 0.05.

reduces heart rate, muscle spasm, body discomfort, levels of stress and anxiety, which may, in turn, improve the sleep quality in nurses (45, 46). Moreover, relaxation training increases the nurses’ ability to cope with the stressful situation (47), which consequently can protect their resources and improve psychological health and sleep quality among them (16). Consistent with COR theory and prior research, and for the first time, we showed the positive effects of the Benson relaxation training in improving all dimensions of sleep quality in the surgical technologists.

The quality of working life in nurses is highly impaired. A recent study among nurses found that only 4.9% of them had a good QWL (48). Chegini and colleagues, in their recent study, showed that 81.2% of nurses working in critical care units reported their QWL to be low and 82.8% reported that their job was highly stressful, and most of them mentioned experiencing high-stress conditions because of organizational policies as well as duty stressors (49). These levels of stress can decrease the QWL of nurses. Based on COR theory, through applying the stress management techniques, employees can obtain knowledge and control, while spending less energy and time against stressful situations. Training relaxation methods are helpful in returning to a state of control and recharging energy levels; thus, further stressful conditions are not taking energy from cases with ever-diminishing sources of energy.
(16). Many studies have shown the effect of stress management on occupational stress and psychological well-being (50). In their meta-analysis, Kröll et al., showed that stress management training is positively related to psychological health, job satisfaction, and performance at work (16). If people can manage their stress, the negative consequences of stress are typically reduced. Reducing stress increases the employee’s ability to cope with the stressful situations, which may, in turn, improve the quality of working life. Taken together, these findings provide the first demonstration that Benson relaxation training may improve the quality of working life of surgical technologists. The results highlight that stress management could be a primary target in the improvement of sleep quality and QWL among nurses.

There are some limitations to the present study. One of the limitations of the study was the fact that the participants in the control group were aware of not being subject to any intervention, and this may have affected their answers to the questionnaires. The other limitation is the lack of a long-term follow up to identify the stability of the obtained benefits. Moreover, sleep quality and QWL were assessed only based on the self-report questionnaires, which may be influenced by response bias. Therefore, it would be most valuable if future studies included both self-report and objective measures of sleep quality and QWL. We were also unable to investigate individual differences in the outcome. This is a potential area of future research to investigate individual differences in the treatment response in nurses.

4.1. Conclusions

Our findings added to the previous research regarding the Benson relaxation technique as a non-pharmacological, economical method. Our findings support the beneficial effects of the Benson relaxation method on the quality of sleep and working life in surgical technologists. These results are helpful for health professionals in determining which psychological techniques are needed to be emphasized for promoting sleep quality and QWL in surgical technologists.
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