Evidence for the Presence of Three Distinct Binding Sites for the Thioflavin T Class of Alzheimer’s Disease PET Imaging Agents on β-Amyloid Peptide Fibrils*
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Imaging the progression of Alzheimer’s disease would greatly facilitate the discovery of therapeutics, and a wide range of ligands are currently under development for the detection of β-amyloid peptide (Aβ)-containing plaques by using positron emission tomography. Here we report an in-depth characterization of the binding of seven previously described ligands to in vitro generated Aβ-(1–40) polymers. All of the compounds were derived from the benzothiazole compound thioflavin T and include 2-[4’-(methylamino)phenyl]benzothiazole and 2-[4’-(dimethylamino)-phenyl-imidazo[1,2-a]-pyridine derivatives, 2-[4’-(dimethylamino)phenyl]-6-iodobenzothiazole and 2-[4’-(4’-methylpiperazin-1-yl)phenyl]-6-iodobenzothiazole, and a benzofuran compound (5-bromo-2-(4-dimethylaminophenyl)benzofuran). By using a range of fluorescent and radioligand binding assays, we find that these compounds display a more complex binding pattern than described previously and are consistent with three classes of binding sites on the Aβ fibrils. All of the compounds bound with very high affinity (low nM $K_d$) to a low capacity site (BS3) (1 ligand-binding site per ~300 Aβ-(1–40) monomers) consistent with the previously recognized binding site for these compounds on the fibrils. However, the compounds also bound with high affinity ($K_d < 100$ nM) to either of two additional binding sites on the Aβ-(1–40) polymer. The properties of these sites, BS1 and BS2, suggest they are adjacent or partially overlapping and have a higher capacity than BS3, occurring every ~35 or every ~4 monomers of Aβ-(1–40)-peptide, respectively. Compounds appear to display selectivity for BS2 based on the presence of a halogen substitution (2-[4’-(dimethylamino)phenyl]-6-iodobenzothiazole, 2-[4’-(4’-methylpiperazin-1-yl)phenyl]-6-iodobenzothiazole, and 5-bromo-2-(4-dimethylaminophenyl)benzofuran) on their aromatic ring system. The presence of additional ligand-binding sites presents potential new targets for ligand development and may allow a more complete modeling of the current positron emission tomography data.

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a common neurological disease of chronic dementia, memory loss, and cognitive impairment.
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Progressed to in vivo imaging studies (15, 16), comparing small numbers of control and AD patients. The results demonstrate increased retention of labeled species in areas of the brain thought to be affected by AD. However, the precise nature of the signal in these studies remains uncertain given the low density of ligand-binding sites apparently present on Aβ fibrils. The situation is further complicated by ex vivo measurement of PIB binding to brain homogenates obtained post-mortem from patients with AD (8). Aβ peptides were not measured in this study but were interpolated from a different study by Näslund et al. (17). The conclusion was a binding stoichiometry approaching 1:1, much higher than all reports based on the direct study of Aβ fibrils in vitro (8).

The binding properties of the ligands to Aβ fibrils have all been characterized by using essentially identical filter binding assays employing either narrow ranges of radioligand to determine Ki values (10, 13) or a single fixed low concentration of radioligand and varying concentrations of cold competitor (7, 18). The former assay format will only generally detect very high affinity binding as lower affinity interactions dissociate during the filter washing step, whereas the latter format is a site-specific assay and will not be sensitive to novel ligand-binding sites. The results of these assays have not yet been confirmed by other ligand-binding techniques.

We have addressed these issues by developing a series of homogeneous binding assays that take advantage of the intrinsic fluorescence of both Thio T and a number of representative derivative compounds to measure their binding properties over a wide range of ligand and Aβ fibril concentrations. The results of these assays were compared with classical radioligand binding assays and are consistent with the presence of the previously described low density ligand-binding site but also demonstrate the presence of additional higher density ligand-binding sites on the fibrils. The selectivity of these additional sites and their potential significance for the innovation of selective PET radioligands for the clinical investigation of AD and its treatments are discussed.

Materials and Methods

Compound Names and Sources—The structures of the compounds employed in this study are shown in Fig. 1 and are as follows: thioflavin T (Thio T), 2-[4′-(methylamino)phenyl]benzothiazole (BTA-1) (19); 2-[4′-(methylamino)phenyl]benzothiazole (6-Me-BTA-1) (7); 2-[4′-dimethylaminophenylimidazol[1,2-\( \alpha \)-]pyridine (IMPY-H) (9); 6-methyl-2-[4′-dimethylaminophenylimidazol[1,2-\( \alpha \)-]pyridine (IMPY-Me) (9); 2-[4′-(dimethylamino)phenyl]6-iodobenzothiazole (TZDM) (10); 2-[4′-(4-ethylpyrperazin-1-yl)phenyl]-6-iodobenzothiazole (TPZP) (10); and 5-bromo-2-[4′-dimethylaminophenyl]benzofuran (BFO) (21). Thio T was obtained from Merck. The remainder of the compounds was custom-synthesized and confirmed for purity by reverse-phase high pressure liquid chromatography, one-dimensional NMR, and mass spectrometer analysis. Radiolabeled 2-[4′-(4-[\( ^{3} \)H]methylamino)phenyl]-6-methylbenzothiazole ([\( ^{3} \)H]Me-BTA-1) (84 Ci/mmol, 1 mCi/ml) was custom-synthesized by Amersham Biosciences. All other chemicals were from Sigma with the exceptions of Congo Red and phosphotungstic acid that were obtained from Gee Lawson (London, UK) and Agar Scientific (Stannham, UK).

Preparation of Aβ-(1–40) Fibrils—Human Aβ-(1–40)-peptide (Batch number MK0611 from California Peptide Research, Napa, CA) was incubated at 0.5 mg/ml in PB buffer (10 mM sodium phosphate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) at 37 °C for 48 h in an orbital shaker at 200 rpm. The formation of fibrils was confirmed by Congo Red binding (21) and Thio T binding (21) together with analysis by negative stain electron microscopy using 1% phosphotungstic acid and immediately, upon production or aliquoted and stored at -80 °C. No difference in ligand binding behavior was observed between freshly prepared and frozen material as noted also by others (7).

Compound Preparation—All compounds were prepared as 1–10 mM MeSO stocks before dilution into assay buffer. The maximum final concentration of MeSO in the assays was 0.5%. Because of the relatively low solubility of the ligands (with the exception of Thio T) in aqueous solution, all assays were performed in PB supplemented with 10% ethanol (PBE) and at a maximum concentration of 5 μM compound.

Intrinsic Fluorescence Binding Assays—Intrinsic fluorescence intensity (FLINT) changes associated with ligand binding to Aβ-(1–40) were recorded in a Ultra Evolution 384 plate reader (Tecan, Reading, UK) using a 340–440-nm filter pair. For assays measuring the binding of Thio T, a 450–505-nm filter pair was used. Two formats were used for the FLINT assays. FLINT1 was performed using a fixed concentration of ligand (50 or 100 nM) and varying concentrations of Aβ-(1–40) polymer. FLINT2 was performed using a fixed concentration of Aβ-(1–40) (500 nM) and varying concentrations of ligand (0 to 2 μM) as detailed under “Results.”

Fluorescence polarization measurements were recorded in an Ultra Evolution 384 plate reader using a 360-nm polarizing excitation filter and 440-nm emission filters. The assays employed a fixed concentration of BTA-1 (50 nM) and varying concentrations of Aβ-(1–40) (0–50 μM). All fluorescent assays were performed in PBE in a final volume of 80 μl and were incubated for 2 h at 20 °C before reading. All data points were performed at least in quadruplicate and were analyzed using Grafit (Erithacus Software Limited, Horley, UK) to obtain Ki values for the single site ligand-binding module.

Fluorescence Competition Assays (FLINT3)—Competition assays employed a fixed concentration of Aβ-(1–40) (2 μM) and Thio T (1 μM) and used varying concentration ranges of competitor dependent on the ligands (up to 4 μM).

All reactions were performed in PBE in a final volume of 80 μl and were incubated for 2 h at 20 °C before measurement in a Ultra Evolution 384 plate reader using a 450–505-nm filter pair. All data points were performed in quadruplicate, and the fluorescent signal in the absence of competitor defined a fractional binding of 1. Data were analyzed using Grafit to obtain IC50 values using full 4-parameter curve fits. Ki values were derived from the Cheng-Prusoff equation (22), where [L] was the concentration (4 nM) and [L]/Ki were [L] (1000 nM) and 440-nm emission filters. The assays employed a fixed concentration of Aβ-(1–40) (0–50 μM). All fluorescent assays were performed in PBE in a final volume of 80 μl and were incubated for 2 h at 20 °C before measurement in a Ultra Evolution 384 plate reader using a 450–505-nm filter pair. All data points were performed in quadruplicate, and the fluorescent signal in the absence of competitor defined a fractional binding of 1. Data were analyzed using Grafit to obtain IC50 values using full 4-parameter curve fits. Ki values were derived as stated above using the Cheng-Prusoff equation (22), where [L] = [L] (1000 nM) and [L]/Ki were [L] (750 nM) of Thio T used in the assay.

Fluorescence Energy Transfer (FRET) Measurements—FRET assays employed fixed concentrations of Aβ-(1–40) (2 μM) and Thio T (1 μM) and either 100 nM BFI or 100 nM BTA-1 in PBE. Measurements were performed in a BF-S301PC spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Europa, Miltex, Milton Keynes, UK) using a 100 μM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4) containing the bound ligand were mixed with 3 ml of Ultima Gold MV scintillation liquid (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) and incubated for 2 h before counting in a TriCarb 2100TR liquid scintillation counter (Packard, Warrington, UK). All data points were performed in triplicate. The specific binding signal under these assay conditions was ~70%. Data were analyzed using Grafit to obtain the apparent dissociation constant (Kd) and the maximum number of binding sites (Bmax) using the single site ligand-binding module.

Radioligand Binding Assays—A fixed concentration of Aβ-(1–40) (40 nM) was titrated against a range of [\( ^{3} \)H]Me-BTA-1 concentrations (0.05–40 nM) in PBE buffer supplemented with 10% ethanol (PBE) for 3 h at 20 °C. The final reaction volume was 500 μl. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 50 μM Thio T. The bound and free fractions were separated by vacuum filtration through GF/B glass filters (Whatman, Maidstone, UK) using an M-48ST harvester (Brandel, Gaithersburg, MD) followed by four 1-ml washes with PBE. Filters containing the bound ligand were mixed with 3 ml of Ultima Gold MV scintillation liquid (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) and incubated for 2 h before counting in a TriCarb 2100TR liquid scintillation counter (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). All data points were performed in triplicate. The specific binding signal in the absence of competitor defined a fractional binding of 1. Data were analyzed using Grafit to obtain IC50 values using full 4-parameter curve fits. Ki values were derived as stated above using the Cheng-Prusoff equation (22), where [L] was the concentration (4 nM) and [L]/Ki were [L] (4.2 μM) of the radioligand used in the assays.

Density Gradient Centrifugation of Aβ Fibrils—Aβ fibril preparations were obtained on gradients consisting of 70% of 0.05 M sucrose, 40, 30, 20, 10, and 280 μl of 5% Optiprep (Sigma) prepared in 13 × 51-mm ultracentrifuge tubes (Beckman Instruments, High Wycombe, UK). A 300-μl sample of Aβ fibrils (0.5 mg/ml) in PB was carefully layered on top of the Optiprep gradient and then fractionated at 50,000 rpm for 2 h and 30 min at 22 °C in an Optima Max-E ultracentrifuge using an MLS-50 swinging bucket rotor (Beckman Instruments). Following centrifugation, the bottoms of the tubes were
pierced with a 21-gauge syringe needle, and 12 × 400-μl fractions were collected for analysis. Fraction 1 represents the most dense part of the gradient and fraction 12 the least dense part of the gradient.

The fractions were analyzed for thioflavin T binding by mixing 80 μl of each fraction with 2 μl of 100 μM thioflavin and reading the fluorescence after 2 h of incubation in an Ultra Evolution 384 plate reader (Cambridge, UK) and quantified using Genetools (Syngene). Radiolabeled [3H]Me-BTA-1 (Perbio, Cheshire, UK) was used in the FLINT1 and FLINT2 assays (Fig. 2, A and B). In FLINT1 assays, compound binding was evaluated by mixing 50 or 100 nM [3H]Me-BTA-1 prepared in PBE. The reactions were incubated for 2 h at 0 °C before harvesting and counting as described above by vacuum filtration.

RESULTS

Determination of Binding Constants Using Fluorescence Assays—The compounds used in this study (Fig. 1) all share similar fluorescent properties, with excitation and emission wavelengths of around 340 and 440 nm, respectively, but that are distinct from those of Thio T (450-480 nm) (data not shown). In addition, the compounds display increases in their intrinsic FLINT when bound to Aβ(1–40) fibrils along with slight shifts in their excitation and emission wavelengths consistent with ligand binding into a hydrophobic pocket on the fibrils. The exception to this was BTA-1, which displayed a slight decrease (∼5–10%) in FLINT when bound to the Aβ(1–40) fibrils.

The increases in FLINT upon ligand binding to Aβ(1–40) fibrils were exploited in designing simple, homogeneous assays to directly measure compound binding. Two assay formats were employed, FLINT1 and FLINT2. In FLINT1 assays, compound binding was measured by using a fixed concentration of ligand (50 or 100 nM) and varying concentrations of Aβ(1–40) polymer (Fig. 2, A and C). A fixed concentration of Aβ(1–40) polymer (500 nM) and varying concentrations of ligand were used in the FLINT2 assays (Fig. 2, B and D). The binding constants K_{d1} and K_{d2} obtained from the FLINT1 and FLINT2 assays are summarized in Table I.

The binding of BTA-1 to Aβ(1–40) fibrils was determined by fluorescent anisotropy measurements using a fixed concentration of ligand (50 nM) and varying concentrations of polymer (Fig. 2E). The apparent K_{a} value from this assay was 5.23 μM. The anisotropy value of the compound at saturating concentrations of polymer was 0.4, which is toward the maximum limit for a fluorophore (24) and probably reflects the large Stokes radii of the Aβ(1–40) polymers.

The binding isotherms for all of the ligands in all assay formats were consistent with a single population of binding sites for each of the ligands. The apparent K_{d} values from the assays were found to be strongly dependent on the assay format, with the FLINT2 assays consistently reporting lower values. In addition, the rank order of binding constants for the individual compounds was conserved between the two assay formats (Table I).

Comparison of the K_{d} values with the literature values obtained previously indicates that although fluorescence assays are reporting significantly higher values, the rank order of binding affinities is generally well conserved. The exception to this was Thio T whose K_{d} value was essentially identical to that reported using a similar assay format by Naiki et al. (25) and LeVine (26), indicating that the present set of assays was accurately reporting binding constants.

Comparison of Binding Constants Is Consistent with Two Classes of Binding Sites on the Aβ Fibrils—The observation that the binding constants were dependent on assay format was unexpected as both assay formats should report approximately the same K_{d} values. However, an explanation for this difference became apparent when the number of potential binding sites on the Aβ polymer was considered. The binding data previously reported with these ligands demonstrated that the binding site density on the Aβ fibrils was <1 site per Aβ peptide monomer (8, 14). This meant that the effective concentration of binding sites on the fibrils was potentially significantly less than the concentration of Aβ peptide monomers added to the FLINT1 assay. Because calculation of the K_{d} values are based on the Aβ peptide monomer concentration, this leads to an overestimation of the binding constant by a factor dependent on the binding site density. In contrast, the calculation of K_{d} values is directly dependent on the ligand concentration, and as a consequence the FLINT2 assay does not overestimate the binding constants.

Furthermore, the ratio K_{d1}/K_{d2} allows an estimation of the ligand-binding site density on the Aβ(1–40) fibrils (Table I). This sum clearly splits the ligands into two distinct groups. Thio T, IMPY-H, and IMPY-Me have a ratio of ∼30–40 (i.e. 1 ligand-binding site per ∼35 Aβ(1–40) monomers), whereas TZDM, TZPI, and BF1 have a ratio of ∼3–5 (i.e. 1 ligand-binding site per ∼4 Aβ(1–40) monomers). These data suggested that there were at least two distinguishable classes of binding sites on the Aβ fibrils and are supported by the observation that the latter group of compounds all contain a halogen substitute on their aromatic ring.

In summary, the data from the intrinsic fluorescence assays are as follows: (a) consistent with a single affinity binding mode for each ligand and accurately reporting intrinsic binding constants; (b) determining K_{d} values significantly higher than that previously described; and (c) when combined with the evidence of the binding site densities and selectivity the data, suggested that there was more than one class of binding site on the Aβ fibrils: a low density site (every ∼35 Aβ monomers) and a higher density site (every ∼4 Aβ monomers) that are termed BS1 and BS2, respectively.

Fluorescent Competition Assays Support Presence of BS1 and BS2—Ligand binding to Aβ(1–40) fibrils was further characterized by using a fluorescent competition assay (FLINT3) employing Thio T as a reporter for BS1. The K_{d} values obtained from these assays were again significantly higher than those reported in the literature (Table I). However, they were similar to the K_{d} values providing additional evidence that the fluorescent assays were accurately reporting binding data and consistent with our thesis that there were additional ligand-binding sites on the Aβ fibrils.

The competitive binding curves were consistent with displacement from a single type of site for each ligand on the
fibrils (Fig. 3) and also indicated that the compounds could again be separated into the same two groups identified from the FLINT1/2 assays such that IMPY-H and IMPY-Me (and BTA-1) were able to displace >80% of the bound Thio T (Fig. 3A), whereas TZDM, TZPI, and BF1 were able to displace <50% of the bound Thio T (Fig. 3B). Full displacement curves
for the IMPY ligands could not be accurately obtained due to compound insolvability at higher concentrations, but it is apparent that they are displacing >50% of the Thio T and that their $K_d$ values are consistent with their $K_{app}$ values. The ability of the ligands TZDM, TZPI, and BF1 to partially displace Thio T may be a consequence of the spatial arrangement of higher density BS2 relative to BS1 on the Aβ fibrils such that they periodically either partially or completely overlap with BS1.

**FRET Measurements Indicate That BS1 and BS2 Are Independent Sites on the Aβ Fibril**—Further supporting evidence for the spatial separation but close proximity of BS1 and BS2 was obtained from fluorescent resonance energy transfer (FRET) measurements. The differing fluorescent properties of BF1 (BS2 probe, excitation/emission maxima 340:440 nm) and Thio T (BS1 probe, excitation/emission maxima 450:480 nm) were again exploited, but this time as a potential donor-acceptor pair.

By using an excitation wavelength of 340 nm, which specifically excites BF1, the emission spectra of Aβ-(1–40) fibrils incubated with either Thio T or Thio T/BF1 were recorded. Calculation of the difference spectrum between the samples demonstrated the presence of an emission maximum at ~480 nm, corresponding to the expected wavelength for the Thio T emission (Fig. 4). This experiment was repeated by replacing BF1 with BTA-1, which has similar excitation/emission maxima but should directly compete with Thio T for BS1. No fluorescent signal was observed at 480 nm with the BTA-1.

These data clearly demonstrate that only BF1 is able to efficiently transfer energy to Thio T consistent with BS1 and BS2 representing spatially independent ligand-binding sites on the Aβ fibrils.

**Radioligand Assays Indicate a Third Class of Binding Site on the Aβ Fibrils**—In order to ascertain whether the observed differences in binding affinities between the literature and fluorescent assays reported here were because of the different assay formats, the binding of [3H]Me-BTA-1 to Aβ-(1–40) fibrils was determined by using a filter binding assay. The resulting binding isotherm was consistent with the presence of a single high affinity binding site on the polymer with an apparent $K_d$ of 4.2 nM and a maximal binding ($B_{max}$) of 0.13 nmol/mg at 40 nM Aβ monomer concentration (Fig. 5A). The $K_d$ value is slightly higher than the previously reported $IC_{50}$ value for this compound of 20 nM (7); and the low $B_{max}$ value, equating to ~1 ligand-binding site per 300 Aβ-(1–40) monomers, is consistent with that found for other similar compounds such as 6-OH-BTA-1/PIB and BTA-1 (8).

The data from this set of experiments were consistent with the identification of the previously described very low density site on Aβ-(1–40) fibrils. Given that the ligand-binding characteristics of this site were clearly differentiated from BS1 and BS2, it is suggested that this represents a third class of binding site, termed BS3.

In competitive binding assays, all of the ligands tested were able to displace [3H]Me-BTA-1 in a manner consistent with a single class of binding sites on the Aβ fibril (Fig. 5B). The $K_i$ values derived from these assays were significantly lower than the values derived from both the FLINT2 and Thio T fluorescent competition assays. However, again the relative order of binding affinities was conserved between the radioligand and fluorescent assays (Table I).
In addition, the radioligand competition assays have allowed a direct comparison, under a standard set of conditions, of ligand binding to this low density site on the Aβ-(1–40) fibrils. The $K_I$ values from the current set of experiments are broadly in agreement with the previously published values for these compounds both in terms of potency and rank order of binding affinity.

The inability to detect ligand binding at BS3 by using fluorescent techniques may be due to a number of related factors. The relative contribution of this site to a binding signal (whether FLINT or anisotropy) would be small relative to the more densely packed BS1 and BS2. By assuming that a ligand produced an identical FLINT increase when bound to any of the sites, the observed signal from BS3 would be a tenth or a hundredth smaller than that observed at BS1 and BS2, respectively. In addition, it is possible that ligand binding to BS3 may significantly quench or produce no change in ligand fluorescence making it difficult to detect by either FLINT or anisotropy measurements.

Evidence That BS1, BS2, and BS3 Are Uniformly Distributed on the Aβ Fibrils—Although the FRET data were able to demonstrate that BS1 and BS2 were in close proximity on the same Aβ fibril, the radioligand data do not directly imply that BS3 is also distributed on the same population of fibrils. To address this issue, we separated the Aβ fibrils by density gradient ultracentrifugation using a method adapted from Ward et al. (27), and we assayed the resulting fractions for Aβ immunoreactivity and thioflavin T and [3H]Me-BTA-1 binding (Fig. 6).

Based on the immunoreactivity of the anti-Aβ antibody 6E10, the centrifugation step split the fibrillar preparation into two peaks centered on fractions 3 and 5. The vast majority (~80%) of the signal from the blot is present in fraction 3, and this is consistent with the expected high molecular mass of the Aβ fibrils and similar to that found previously using this method (27). Fraction 5 is a small shoulder on the main peak of Aβ immunoreactivity indicative of a second population of high molecular mass species in the fibrillar preparation, although of lesser mass than fraction 3.

The ligand binding properties of both Thio T (BS1 probe) and [3H]Me-BTA-1 (BS3 probe) to these fractions display an identical pattern both to each other and also to the signal obtained from the Aβ immunoblot. These findings support the idea that the ligand-binding sites are uniformly distributed across the Aβ fibril preparation and are not restricted to morphologically distinct subpopulations of polymers.

**DISCUSSION**

The development of potentially useful PET ligands for the detection of SPs is being widely pursued because of the clinical importance such imaging would have (6). However, until now, the binding properties of these prospective PET ligands to Aβ fibrils have not been rigorously examined, raising doubts over the true significance of the clinical investigations performed to date. We have developed and performed a range of assays that, for the first time, have allowed a full analysis of the binding of a series of Thio T derivatives to Aβ-(1–40) fibrils in vitro. Our data are consistent with the presence of a previously described binding site (BS3) to which all of the compounds bind with high affinity, but it also demonstrates the presence of two additional high affinity and much more abundant binding sites (BS1 and BS2). The finding of these additional binding sites on the Aβ-(1–40) fibrils may help resolve issues surrounding the nature of the signal detected in vivo by PET with these ligands.

A number of lines of evidence support the presence of multiple ligand-binding sites on the Aβ-(1–40) polymers. First, both the $K_I$ and $K_I$ values from two independent fluorescence-based assay formats are significantly higher than the values reported from previous and the currently described radioligand binding assays. This provides direct evidence of additional li-
Thio T and A demonstrated that, in a fluorescent competition assay using Thio T (at relatively high concentrations (100 nM)), is not from BTA-1, but rather BS3. This finding would suggest that the fluorescent signal associated with Thio T’s interaction with BS1 and BS2 is not due to Thio T binding to BS3 but instead results from the high density of BS1 and BS2 in the fibrils. These findings are consistent with the presence of both independent and common/overlapping binding sites on the fibrils.

However, the significantly different binding constants for ligands with the two hydrophobic clusters (residues 17–21 and 30–40) may be targeting the “wrong” site on the fibrils, the low density BS3 but primarily from the large increase in FLINT associated with its interaction with BS1 and BS2 and would also suggest that fluorescence-based screens, such as those using FRET, will not be able to distinguish between BS1 and BS2.

An approximate rule for the success of a radioligand in vivo imaging experiments makes use of the term binding potential (BP) which is the sum of $B_{\text{max}}/K_i$; values >10 generally produce a sufficient signal for PET studies. The in vivo value of $B_{\text{max}}$ necessary for the calculation of the BP has not been determined, however, Näslund et al. (17) have calculated the regional distribution of total $\alpha$-amyloid (1–40) and $\alpha$-amyloid (1–42) levels (soluble and plaque) in formic acid extracts of post-mortem brains from both control and AD cohorts. Their findings suggest that levels of total $\alpha$-amyloid approached 1–3 μM in the cortical regions of AD brains. By using the mid-point of the data set together with the $K_i$ of 4.2 nM for Me-BTA (Ki, 110 nM), and Me-BTA (Ki, 4.2 nM) as model compounds for BS1, BS2, and BS3 and taking into account the stoichiometry of these sites (1:35, 1:4, and 1:30), the levels of $\alpha$-amyloid peptides required to produce a BP of 10 are 70, 4, and 12 μM respectively. The values for all of the compounds are clearly at the top end of the reported concentrations for $\alpha$-amyloid peptides but suggest that BS2 may make a more significant contribution than BS1 and potentially BS3 to the observed PET signals.

These data also suggest that the design of higher affinity compounds targeting BS2 may result in improved PET ligands for imaging plaque deposits. In addition, the radioligand assays currently widely in use for compound screening and selection may be targeting the “wrong” site on the fibrils, the low density BS3, and that fluorescence-based screens, such as those using Thio T, may identify compounds with more desirable properties for in vivo imaging studies.

In conclusion, our data support a complex model for the binding of PET radioligands being designed for tracking SPs in AD, with the presence of multiple ligand-binding sites on $\alpha$-amyloid fibrils. The development of therapy for AD is currently hampered by the fact that there is no sensitive test of the progression and regression of the underlying pathology before cognition is affected (6). Understanding the properties of $\alpha$-amyloid fibrils will assist in the more rational design of more effective specific PET radioligands to track the progression of this disease and accelerate the development of treatments.
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