Josephson current signatures of the Majorana flat bands on the surface of time-reversal-invariant Weyl and Dirac semimetals
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A linear Josephson junction mediated by the surface states of a time-reversal-invariant Weyl or Dirac semimetal localizes Majorana flat bands protected by the time-reversal symmetry. We show that as a result, the Josephson current exhibits a discontinuous jump at $\pi$ phase difference which can serve as an experimental signature of the Majorana bands. The magnitude of the jump scales proportionally to the junction width and the momentum space distance between the Weyl nodes. It also exhibits a characteristic dependence on the junction orientation. We demonstrate that the jump is robust against the effects of non-zero temperature and weak non-magnetic disorder.

I. INTRODUCTION

Curious particles that are their own antiparticles and potential building blocks for quantum computing have drawn intense interest and motivated quite a few proposals for realization in various topological or nodal superconductor systems. For example, the setup obtained by proximitizing with a superconductor the edge of a 2D topological insulator (TI) is predicted to host Majorana zero modes (MZMs) at a Josephson junction implemented on the edge. When the phase difference across the junction is $\pi$, the lowest Andreev bound state (ABS) at the junction crosses zero energy and can be represented by two unpaired MZMs. For arbitrary phase difference the energy of the ABS is proportional to $\cos(\varphi/2)$. Since the ABS can have positive or negative energy depending on $\varphi$, naively thinking, the ABS would alternate between being occupied and unoccupied as $\varphi$ varies in order to minimize the energy. Nonetheless, because the ABS is nondegenerate, whether it is occupied or not is determined by the fermion number parity (an odd parity means it is occupied and vice versa) in the absence of quasiparticle poisoning. The many-body ground state of the system is thus $4\pi$-periodic because it has contributions from this special $4\pi$-periodic ABS, on top of the usual $2\pi$-periodic ABSs at higher energies and $\varphi$-independent quasiparticles above the gap $\Delta_0$. The $4\pi$-periodicity is even more obvious in the limit of short junction, where only the lowest ABS remains with energy given by

$$E(\varphi) = \Delta_0 \cos(\varphi/2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\Delta_0$ is the superconducting gap. As a consequence, the Josephson current exhibits a characteristic $4\pi$-periodicity, in contrast to the $2\pi$-periodicity for conventional Josephson junctions. This so-called fractional Josephson effect would be a substantial evidence for MZMs. So far the experiments have shown first signs of possible $4\pi$-periodicity.

Different frontiers, Weyl and Dirac semimetals have also drawn much attention lately as gapless topological phases beyond the usual classification of gapped TIs. Their appeal is further stimulated by recent experimental observations of their characteristic Fermi arcs surface states and negative magnetoresistance due to chiral anomaly. In this work, we show that the fractional Josephson effect has implications in a time-reversal-invariant Weyl semimetal (WSM) (time-reversal breaking case is discussed in ). For our purposes, a Dirac semimetal can be considered as a special case of Weyl semimetal with coinciding Weyl nodes due to point group symmetry. Hence the following argument on Weyl semimetals also holds for Dirac semimetals.

The argument goes as follows. The Fermi arcs can be regarded as helical edge states of 2D TIs embedded in the $T$-invariant WSM. Proximitizing the surface of the $T$-invariant WSM thus amounts to proximitizing the edges of the 2D TIs. As demonstrated by the previous work of two of the present authors, a short Josephson junction with $\pi$ phase difference on the surface of $T$-invariant WSM would localize many pairs of decoupled MZMs labeled by different momenta $k$, each pair due to an embedded 2D TI. Naturally it seems that the fractional Josephson effect should also carry over, but in this case it does not violate the fermion parity to change the occupancies of ABSs. Indeed, a Cooper pair can split and occupy ABSs at different $k$’s. The $4\pi$-periodicity is no longer protected by parity; instead we have a distinct Josephson current jump at odd-$\pi$ phase differences where many ABSs simultaneously change their occupancies in order to minimize the ground state energy.

This phenomenon is present in any $T$-invariant Weyl or Dirac semimetal. Among all candidates, the Dirac semimetal Cd$_3$As$_2$ has the advantage of being experimentally accessible and having the minimal number of four Weyl points. For concreteness and experimental implication, in the following we focus our discussion on this material.
Consider a linear Josephson junction obtained by proximitizing the top surface of a Cd$_3$As$_2$ slab with an open superconducting ring, as shown in Fig. 1. To study the lowest ABS, we work in the short-junction limit where the junction length $d \ll \xi$, the proximity-induced coherence length. An applied flux $\Phi$ through the ring creates phase difference $\varphi = 2\pi(\Phi/\Phi_0)$ across the junction, which leads to supercurrent measurable by a capacitively-coupled SQUID sensor. To study the lowest ABS, we assume $d \ll \xi$, the proximity-induced coherence length.

We predict that the current-phase relation (CPR) exhibits a discontinuous current jump at $\varphi = \pi$. The jump size is proportional to the number of MZMs, which is maximized when the junction width is oriented along the direction in which the Dirac nodes are separated, and decreases as $\cos(\theta)$ when the junction is rotated by an angle $\theta$. Low temperature and weak non-magnetic disorder smoothen the discontinuity to some extent, but the CPR profile remains highly skewed and the jump size is unaffected. We estimate that a current jump on the order of $1 \mu\text{A}$ can be observed in typical experiments.

Our prediction is supported by analysis in Sec. II where we demonstrate the existence of Majorana flat bands, compute and interpret the CPR, and investigate the effects of non-zero temperature and non-magnetic disorder.

Table I. Material parameters taken from [17] are used in our simulations. We let the effective lattice constants be $a_x = a_y = a_z = 20 \text{Å} \equiv a$ rather than the values $a_x = a_y = 3 \text{Å}$ and $a_z = 5 \text{Å}$ provided in [16], so that the relevant low-energy band structure is more numerically resolvable.

II. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

A. Majorana flat bands on the surface of Cd$_3$As$_2$

The low-energy effective Hamiltonian of tetragonal Cd$_3$As$_2$ has been extracted from symmetry considerations [16, 17]. In the basis $\{ | P_\uparrow, k_z = \frac{1}{2} \}, | S_\uparrow, -\frac{1}{2} \}, | P_\uparrow, -\frac{1}{2} \}$, where $S$ and $P$ refer to spin-orbit-coupled Cd-5s and As-4p states, the $4 \times 4$ Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H_0(k) = \epsilon_0(k) + \begin{pmatrix} M(k) & A(k)_- & 0 & 0 \\ A(k)_+ & -M(k) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -M(k) & A(-k)_- \\ 0 & 0 & -A(-k)_+ & M(k) \end{pmatrix}$$

up to second order in $k$ near the $\Gamma$ point. $\epsilon_0(k) = C_0 + C_1 k_x^2 + C_2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2)$, $M(k) = M_0 + M_1 k_x^2 + M_2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2)$, $k_\perp = k_x \pm ik_y$. The parameters in the Hamiltonian are best-fit values to the ab initio calculation [17], and are summarized in Table I.

Each diagonal block describes a Weyl semimetal. Diagonalizing this Hamiltonian gives the energy spectrum

$$E(k) = \epsilon_0(k) \pm \sqrt{M(k)^2 + A^2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2)}$$

The Weyl nodes are located at $(0, 0, \pm Q)$ where $Q = \sqrt{-M_0/M_1}$ such that the square-root term vanishes. Each location has two Weyl nodes of opposite chiralities, one from each diagonal block in Eq. (2), and the point group symmetry prevents them from mixing. In our analysis, the chemical potential $\mu$ is always set to the energy of Weyl nodes, $E_0 = C_0 - C_1 M_0 / M_1$ to minimize the influence of bulk states.

To model a lattice, we turn $H_0(k)$ into a tight-binding Hamiltonian $H_{\text{tb}}(k)$ via the substitutions $k_i^2 \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\tau}(1 - \cos(k, a))$ and $k_i \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\tau}\sin(k, a)$, where $a$ is the lattice constant for the low-energy effective model. $Q$ now satisfies $\cos(aQ) = 1 + (a^2/2)(M_0/M_1)$. We let $a = 20 \text{Å}$ even though $a_x = a_y = 3 \text{Å}$ and $a_z = 5 \text{Å}$ are the best-fit values to the ab initio calculation [16]. The larger lattice constants make the relevant low-energy band structure more numerically resolvable. This approach is not an issue because our numerics mainly serve illustrative purposes; later on the quantitative predictions will be made.
with realistic parameters. We consider a slab of Cd$_3$As$_2$ with $L_x \times L_y \times L_z$ sites. It is periodic in the $y$- and $z$-directions and finite in the $x$-direction. We Fourier transform $H_{tb}(k)$ along the $x$-direction in order to define individual layers. Then numerical diagonalization gives the normal-state energy spectrum, showing two Dirac cones in the bulk and two Fermi arcs on each surface. Fig. 2(a) projects the spectrum onto $k_y$-axis, so the Fermi arcs overlap and appear as curved bands (each corresponding to a different $k_y$) connecting the Dirac cones.

Next we verify that the surface states can be gapped out by $s$-wave pairing of time-reversed states. We introduce a pairing potential to both top and bottom surfaces. The matrix of Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian reads

$$H_{BdG} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{tb} - \mu & \Delta \\ \Delta^\dagger & -TH_{tb}T^{-1} + \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

where every entry is a $4L_x \times 4L_x$ matrix whose basis are tensor products of spin-coupled orbitals and $x$-layers. $H_{tb}$ is as discussed above, and its time-reversal conjugate $TH_{tb}T^{-1} = H_{tb}$ because it is time-reversal invariant. The pairing potential $\Delta$ is a diagonal matrix whose nonzero elements are $\Delta_0$ when the $x$-layer index is 1 or $L_x$. Diagonalizing $H_{BdG}$ gives a particle-hole symmetric band structure with gapped-out surface Fermi arcs and intact bulk Dirac cones, as shown by Fig. 2(b).

Lastly we Fourier transform $H_{tb}$ again along $y$; now each block in $H_{BdG}$ is a matrix whose basis are tensor products of spin-coupled orbitals, $x$-layers, and $y$-sites. Then we let the nontrivial diagonal elements of $\Delta$ be $\Delta_0$ when the $x$-layer index is $L_x$ and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_0 e^{i\varphi} & \text{for } L_y/4 < y/a \leq 3L_y/4 \\ \Delta_0 & \text{for } y/a \leq L_y/4 \text{ or } y/a > 3L_y/4 \end{cases}$$

when the $x$-layer index is 1. This defines two evenly spaced short junctions each of phase difference $\varphi$ on the top surface. Two evenly spaced junctions are needed to satisfy the periodic boundary condition along $y$. We keep the bottom surface uniformly superconducting so that the bottom surface states do not obstruct the zero-energy flat bands in the figures. Note that the junctions are periodic widthwise, but a realistic junction would have a finite width. In principle, sides of the sample in Fig. 1 could also carry supercurrent, which is not accounted for in our model. However, in the geometry where the junction width is much larger than the thickness of Cd$_3$As$_2$ slab, the contribution of the side modes is negligible. This is additionally substantiated by Ref. 19, a S/TI/S junction experiment set up like Fig. 1: the authors did not consider this geometric effect, yet found good agreement between their theoretical model and experimental data. The energy spectrum of $H_{BdG}(\varphi = \pi)$ in Fig. 2(c) clearly shows flat bands of zero-energy surface states, which are Majorana or self-conjugate for reasons explained in 51, 52. There are in total four Majorana flat bands. Each junction locally hosts two such bands, which hybridize and gain a non-zero energy when $\varphi$ moves away from $\pi$, as shown by Fig. 2(d). As long as the time-reversal symmetry $\mathcal{T}$ is respected, $\varphi$ must be either 0 or $\pi$, so we can say that the Majorana flat bands are protected by $\mathcal{T}$. Moreover, translational symmetry prohibits MZMs in the same band from mixing because $k_z$ is a good quantum number. Lastly, the flat bands at different junctions are decoupled due to the artificial
symmetry of evenly spaced junctions.

The number of MZM pairs, \( N \), in each junction is determined by the junction width, \( W = aL_z \), and the distance between the Dirac nodes, \( 2Q \):

\[
N = W Q / \pi
\]  
(6)

If the junction is rotated by an angle \( \theta \),

\[
N = W Q \cos(\theta) / \pi.
\]  
(7)

because the distance between the Dirac nodes, as projected onto the 1D Brillouin zone parallel to the junction width, becomes \( 2Q \cos \theta \). In our numerical model, \( aQ \sim 0.21\pi \) and \( L_z = 60 \), so \( N \sim 13 \) at each junction. In a realistic model of Cd\(_3\)As\(_2\), \( Q = \sqrt{-M_0/M_1} = 0.033\text{Å}^{-1} \). Assuming a typical junction width of \( W = 1\mu\text{m} \), one finds that \( N \sim 100 \). Hence a significant MZM population can be easily obtained in experiments, especially with a wide junction.

B. Current-phase relation at non-zero temperature

One can detect the Majorana flat bands by measuring the CPR. The MZMs cause a current jump at \( \pi \) phase difference. The jump size is proportional to \( N \). To demonstrate this in Cd\(_3\)As\(_2\), we compute the Josephson current given by

\[
J(\varphi) = \frac{2e}{\hbar} \frac{d\tilde{\epsilon}(\varphi)}{d\varphi}
\]  
(8)

where \( \tilde{\epsilon}(\varphi) \) is the many-body ground state energy of the system \[23\]. We diagonalize \( H_{\text{BdG}}(\varphi) \) for every fixed \( \varphi \). The positive energies, labelled by \( E_i(\varphi) \), are the excitation energies of Bogoliubov quasiparticles. Due to particle-hole symmetry, the negative energies of the filled states below \( E_F = 0 \) are simply \( -E_i(\varphi) \), so

\[
\tilde{\epsilon}(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i E_i(\varphi)
\]  
(9)

where the factor of \( \frac{1}{2} \) compensates the particle-hole doubling in the BdG construction. As shown in Fig. 3 the resulting \( J(\varphi) \) displays a \( 2\pi \)-periodic CPR with a current jump of magnitude \( \sim 60\text{meV} / h \) or \( 15\mu\text{A} \).

At non-zero temperature, quasiparticles below \( E_F = 0 \) can be thermally excited to occupy positive energy states. With the free energy \( F(\varphi) \) in place of \( \epsilon(\varphi) \), the Josephson current becomes \[54, 55\]

\[
F = -k_B T \ln Z = -k_B T \sum_i \ln \left( 2 \cosh \left( \frac{E_i(\varphi)}{2k_BT} \right) \right)
\]  
(10)

\[
J(\varphi) = \frac{2e}{\hbar} \frac{dF(\varphi)}{d\varphi} = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \sum_i \frac{dE_i(\varphi)}{d\varphi} \tanh \left( \frac{E_i(\varphi)}{2k_BT} \right)
\]  
(11)

which agrees with Eq. [5] when \( T \to 0 \) \[56\]. Fig. 3 shows that the current jump is slightly rounded at \( k_B T / \Delta_0 = 0.01 \) but significantly loses skewness when \( k_B T / \Delta_0 = 0.1 \).

In experiments, one should aim at lowering \( k_B T / \Delta_0 \) to 1\%. For a typical induced gap of 0.1meV, ideally the temperature should be 10mK or lower.

How do we understand this current jump? A slice of spin-up sector of the Cd\(_3\)As\(_2\) Hamiltonian at any fixed \( k_z \in (-Q, Q) \) combined with a slice of spin-down sector at \(-k_z\) can be regarded as a 2D TI. Although a short junction on the edge of 2D TI hosts a \( 4\pi \)-periodic ABS with energy given by Eq. [1], the 2D TI embedded in Cd\(_3\)As\(_2\) does not locally conserve the fermion parity, so its ground state energy evolves as

\[
\tilde{\epsilon}(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_0 \left| \cos \left( \frac{\varphi}{2} \right) \right|
\]  
(12)

following the lower of the two particle-hole-conjugate ABS bands in order to minimize the energy. Above-gap states are not included in \( \tilde{\epsilon}(\varphi) \) because they are phase-independent and do not contribute to the supercurrent. \( \tilde{\epsilon}(\varphi) \) has a kink at \( \pi \), resulting in a characteristic supercurrent jump of magnitude

\[
\delta J = \frac{e}{\hbar} \Delta_0
\]  
(13)

The current jump in Fig. 3 can thus be understood as the cumulative effect of many Josephson junctions mediated by the edge states of 2D TI. In Fig. 4 we confirm that a slice of our model at \( k_z = 0 \) indeed shows the same phase dependence as described.

With \( N \) ABSs, the total current jump is

\[
\delta J_{\text{total}} = N \delta J
\]  
(14)
θ is the angle between the junction width and the Dirac cones at different mZMs at different junction orientations and compare their CPR measurements. Figure 4. The first few quasiparticle excitation energies $E_i$ at $k_z = 0$ are shown at various $\varphi$. The subgap ABS (in red) has a kink at $\pi$ while the other states show little phase dependence. Same behaviour holds for any $k_z \in (-Q, Q)$; outside of this range there is no ABS.

For our numerics, $N \sim 13$ and $\Delta_0 = 10\text{meV}$, so $\delta J_{\text{total}} \sim 31\mu\Lambda$, on the same order of magnitude as what Fig. 3 suggests. It is an overestimation because the actual superconducting gap as seen in Fig. 2(b) is smaller than $10\text{meV}$ and shrinking as $k_z$ approaches the nodes. This happens because the opening of surface superconducting gap is interfered by the Dirac cones in the bulk, which is inevitable when we use an unrealistically large gap is interfered by the Dirac cones in the bulk, which happens because the opening of surface superconducting gap as seen in Fig. 2(b) is smaller than $10\text{meV}$, so $\delta J_{\text{total}} \sim 2.4\mu\Lambda$ assuming a typical induced gap of $\Delta_0 = 0.1\text{meV}$. Current jump of this magnitude is readily observable in experiments.

Due to Eq. (7), the jump size varies as $\cos(\theta)$ where $\theta$ is the angle between the junction width and the direction in which the Dirac nodes are separated ($z$-axis). To observe this, one can prepare multiple samples with different junction orientations and compare their CPR measurements.

C. Non-magnetic disorder

Disorder breaks the translational symmetry, allowing MZMs at different $k_z$’s to couple. We show that non-magnetic disorder broadens the Majorana flat bands to cover a range of near-zero energies. Consequently the discontinuity in Josephson current is rounded off but remains a robust signature for weak disorder.

Having in mind an experiment in which the chemical potential is tuned to the neutrality point, we consider only the subgap states, consisting of ABSs at the junction and bulk states on the Dirac cones. There are many ABSs; whereas the bulk density of states is vanishingly small at low energy: $D(\omega) \sim \omega^2$. Moreover, the bulk wavefunctions spread out over the entire bulk, so their probability densities near the surface are small. Based on the above arguments, we assume that any scattering between ABSs and bulk states is negligible in comparison to scattering among the ABSs, and neglect the presence of bulk states altogether.

At each $k_z \in (-Q, Q)$, there is a pair of particle-hole symmetric Andreev levels dispersing according to $E = \pm \Delta_0 \cos \varphi/2$. Non-magnetic disorder randomly couples $N$ pairs of ABSs such that the effective Hamiltonian reads:

$$ H = \begin{pmatrix} I \Delta_0 \cos \varphi/2 & M \\ M^\dagger & -I \Delta_0 \cos \varphi/2 \end{pmatrix}. $$

Here $M$ is a $N \times N$ random phase-independent matrix coupling the ABSs at different $k_z$’s, and $I$ is the $N \times N$ identity matrix. Note that we have chosen the shape of $H$ such that the disorder matrix resides in the off-diagonal blocks. One can show that the Hamiltonian matrix can be chosen this way by diagonalizing whichever part of the disorder matrix is in the diagonal part of the Hamiltonian and noting that the disorder potential is time-reversal invariant and cannot shift the phase difference at which the states cross zero from $\pi$. Let us also use time-reversal and particle-hole symmetries to further constrain $M$, one of the choices being $M = M^*$ and $M = -M^T$. Thus $M$ is real antisymmetric matrix and its eigenvalues are purely imaginary. The statistics of energy levels of such a random matrix are well known [57],

$$ P(m_i) = \frac{1}{\pi c^2} \text{Re} \sqrt{c^2 - m_i^2}, $$

where $c$ is a constant describing the disorder strength. We can now diagonalize $M$ and $M^\dagger$ to obtain the eigenvalues of the whole matrix $H$

$$ e_i = \sqrt{\Delta_0^2 \cos^2(\varphi/2) + m_i^2}. $$

By change of variables in the probability distribution [16], we obtain disorder-averaged density of states as a function of phase difference and disorder strength:

$$ \nu(E) \propto |E| \text{Re} \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{E^2 - \Delta_0^2 \cos^2(\varphi/2)} - 1}, $$

As shown by Fig. 3, $\nu(E)$ describes the broadening of Andreev levels, which diminishes as $\varphi$ deviates from $\pi$, so we expect the CPR to be perturbed mostly near the jump. To confirm this, we compute the Josephson current using the free energy integrated with $\nu(E)$. Fig. 6(a) shows that the discontinuity is rounded off but the
Figure 5. Panel (a) plots $\nu(E)$ at $\pi$ and shows that non-magnetic disorder broadens the Majorana flat bands by $c/\Delta_0$. Panel (b) shows $\nu(E)$ at $c/\Delta_0 = 0.1$ and different $\varphi$'s. The broadening diminishes as $\varphi$ deviates from $\pi$.

Figure 6. Panel (a) shows the disordered CPR near $\pi$ at $k_B T/\Delta_0 = 0.01$ and various $c/\Delta_0$. The discontinuity is rounded off but the jump size is unaffected. Panel (b) shows the CPR slope at $\pi$ as $c/\Delta_0$ increases (again at $k_B T/\Delta_0 = 0.01$). For comparison, the slope at $\pi$ of a conventional sinusoidal CPR is 1.

The overall jump size is not affected. The rounding is akin to that due to nonzero temperature as seen in Fig. 8 but to a lesser degree because $c/\Delta_0 = 0.1$ smoothes the discontinuity while $k_B T/\Delta_0 = 0.1$ almost completely destroys the skewness. We also measure the degree of rounding by the slope of CPR at $\pi$. It decreases with increasing $c/\Delta_0$, as shown in Fig. 6(b), but remains significantly larger than 1, the slope at $\pi$ of a conventional sinusoidal CPR.

The above RMT analysis does not consider non-averaged fluctuations, which can in principle obscure the jump. This is however not the case here. Supercurrent in the form of Eq. (11) is essentially a sum of $N$ random variables when the energy eigenvalues are randomized as in Eq. (17). By the Central Limit Theorem, the sum grows as $N$, but the standard deviation of the sum grows as $\sqrt{N}$.

III. CONCLUSION

We have shown that flat bands of MZMs occur in a linear Josephson junction mediated by the surface states of Cd$_3$As$_2$. Numerical simulation of CPR shows a significant supercurrent jump at $\pi$, the size of which is determined by Eqs. (7), (13) and (14). Low temperature ($\lesssim 10$ mK) and weak non-magnetic disorder mildly round off the jump.

This result applies to every $\mathcal{T}$-invariant Weyl/Dirac semimetal, which in general has an even number of Weyl nodes. With a junction of $\pi$ phase difference on the surface, each pair of Weyl nodes that used to terminate the same Fermi arc become the end points of a Majorana flat band, living in the 1D Brillouin zone parallel to the junction width. As before the CPR would have a jump, but the dependence of jump size on junction orientation varies. For example in TaAs, a $\mathcal{T}$-invariant WSM with 24 nodes, the jump size is the largest when the junction width is parallel to the [110]-direction onto which every pair of Weyl nodes projects to two distinct points.

Tunneling spectroscopy is another way to observe the Majorana flat bands. A tunnel probe weakly coupled to the junction region is expected to measure a strong zero-bias peak in the differential conductance. Such experiments have been done to probe the ABSs of conventional junctions. One might also be interested in measuring the Fraunhofer diffraction pattern, which has been proposed to detect the fractional Josephson effect because the $4\pi$-periodicity of supercurrent unconventionally lifts the zeros at odd-flux quanta. However, in our case the supercurrent is $2\pi$-periodic, so the Fraunhofer pattern appears conventional, as explained in the Appendix.

The authors are indebted to NSERC and CIFAR for support. In addition A.C. acknowledges support by the 2016 Boulder Summer School for Condensed Matter and Materials Physics through NSF grant DMR-13001648.

Appendix: Fraunhofer Pattern

An external magnetic flux into the top surface of the Cd$_3$As$_2$ slab gives the short junction a $z$-dependent phase difference $\varphi(z) = 2\pi n z/W + \varphi_0$, where $n = \Phi/\Phi_0$ is the number of flux quanta over the junction, $W$ is the width of the junction, and $\varphi_0$ is the phase difference at $z = 0$. When a constant current is driven through the junction, the junction self-tunes $\varphi_0$ so that the total supercurrent

$$I = \int_{-W/2}^{W/2} dz \ J(\varphi(z))$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

equals the applied current. The maximum current that the junction can accommodate is called the critical current, $I_c$. In other words, $I_c$ can be found by maximizing $I$ with respect to $\varphi_0$. The Fraunhofer diffraction pattern refers to the single-slit-like diffraction pattern shown by $I_c$ as $n$ varies. By changing the variable, we can rewrite
the integral with respect to $\varphi$:

$$I = \frac{W}{2\pi n} \int_{-\pi n + \varphi_0}^{\pi n + \varphi_0} d\varphi \ J(\varphi) \quad \text{(A.2)}$$

so the Fraunhofer pattern can be extracted from a given CPR. The limits of the integral imply that a conventional $2\pi$-periodic CPR leads to vanishing supercurrent at integer flux quanta. The Fraunhofer pattern is thus useful for detecting the $4\pi$-periodicity of a junction mediated by TI, dubbed the Fu-Kane junction, because the supercurrent vanishes only at even flux quanta [60].

The CPR in Fig. [3] leads to the Fraunhofer pattern in Fig. 7. It vanishes at every integer $n$ and matches the Fraunhofer pattern of a conventional junction.
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