The Origin and Migration of Primordial Germ Cells in Sturgeons
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Abstract

Primordial germ cells (PGCs) arise elsewhere in the embryo and migrate into developing gonadal ridges during embryonic development. In several model animals, formation and migration patterns of PGCs have been studied, and it is known that these patterns vary. Sturgeons (genus Acipenser) have great potential for comparative and evolutionary studies of development. Sturgeons belong to the super class Actinopteri, and their developmental pattern is similar to that of amphibians, although their phylogenetic position is an out-group to teleost fishes. Here, we reveal an injection technique for sturgeon eggs allowing visualization of germplasm and PGCs. Using this technique, we demonstrate that the PGCs are generated at the vegetal pole of the egg and they migrate on the yolky cell mass toward the gonadal ridge. We also provide evidence showing that PGCs are specified by inheritance of maternally supplied germplasm. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the migratory mechanism is well-conserved between sturgeon and other remotely related teleosts, such as goldfish, by a single PGCs transplantation (SPT) assay. The mode of PGCs specification in sturgeon is similar to that of anurans, but the migration pattern resembles that of teleosts.

Introduction

The Acipenseriformes, including sturgeons, is the oldest order within the Actinopteri other than the Polypteriformes. This order is frequently referred to as “living fossils” in the literature. The fossil record of sturgeons dates back to the Upper Cretaceous [1], and mitochondrial DNA analysis suggested that they had diverged from an ancient, pre-Jurassic teleost lineage approximately 300 million years ago (Mya) [2].

Studies of sturgeon embryogenesis provide us a valuable point of comparison for teleosts and amphibians, since their phylogenetic position is that of an out-group of teleosts [3]. Sturgeon eggs have holoblastic cleavage, as in anurans [4]. Development of sturgeon embryos is more similar to Xenopus than a teleost, not only in their cleavage pattern but also in many other aspects [5,6]. Sturgeon embryos form a distinct blastocoeel and archenteron, and the neural tube develops from formation of a neural fold and its subsequent closure [7]. In contrast, most teleosts do not show any structure corresponding to a significant segmentation cavity, referred to as a blastocoeel and archenteron, and the neural rod is from a neural keel [8].

The yolk volume is a major factor in differences in early embryonic patterning among animals [9]. Vertebrates would have increased their egg size to store yolk during their divergence from ancestral protochordates into fishes. The significant increase in yolk volume of an embryo would have altered the cleavage pattern and germ layer formation. In Actinopteri,ichthyosaurs (Polypterus) embryos, which also belong to a phylogenetically ancient group, undergo holoblastic cleavage, similar to amphibians and sturgeons [6,10–12]. In contrast, teleosts embryos such as the zebrafish, belonging to the most modern group of Actinopteri, undergo meroblastic cleavage; the vegetal half of the egg is the nutritive cell mass, whereas the animal half of the egg, i.e., blastodisc, undergoes cleavage to form three germ layers. Gar (Lepisosteus) and bowfin (Amia), ancient species, are considered transition phases from the holoblastic to meroblastic cleavage. They generate giant yolk cells in the vegetal side, and cleavage takes place mostly in the animal hemisphere [13–15]. Meroblastic cleavage is thought to be established by the fusion of vegetal blastomeres in holoblastic cleavage, as yolk contents increase during their divarication [9,12].
The mode of primordial germ cells (PGCs) formation is altered according to the transition of cleavage from holoblastic to meroblastic (Figure 1). PGCs in anurans and teleosts are specified by inheritance of maternally supplied “germplasm”, a particular region of cytoplasm that specifies the germ-cell fate. In these animals, the germplasm is associated with cleavage furrows [16–21]. In Xenopus, germplasm containing the “mitochondrial cloud” aggregates in association with the reorganization of microtubules after fertilization [22]. The movement of germplasm can be traced by staining mitochondria with vital dyes during early embryonic development, and the germplasm was reported to aggregate along the forming cleavage furrows [23,24]. Their aggregation associates directly with the polymerized microtubule network at the vegetal pole [25]. A similar mechanism is involved in the germplasm localization in zebrafish. Here, germplasm becomes enriched at the distal ends of the first and second cleavage furrows and this process requires microtubule function [26,27]. However, the topology of the germplasm localization is different between Xenopus and zebrafish, with localization at the vegetal pole region in Xenopus, and at the distal ends of the first and second cleavage furrows of the blastodisc located at the animal hemisphere in zebrafish. In urodeles, also with holoblastic cleavage, PGCs are presumably induced in the primordial ectoderm by cellular interactions between cells located at the vegetal and animal hemispheres during blastula stage [28]. Therefore, the sturgeon is a good model in studying the evolutionary transition of the cleavage pattern from holoblastic to meroblastic division, germplasm localization and the diversification of the determination pattern of PGCs between the inductive and the predetermined (germplasm) mode.

The migration pattern of PGCs toward the gonadal ridge seems to be altered by the position specified for them (Figure 1). In Xenopus, the specified PGCs at the vegetal pole region are translocated passively to the center of endoderm, and then they start active migration within the ventral part of the gut endoderm dorsally, and reach the dorsal wall of the body cavity and the gonadal ridge via the dorsal mesentary [16]. It has been reported that SDF-1/CXCR4 chemokine signaling is involved in the directional migration of PGCs toward the genital ridge [29]. Furthermore, contact guidance seems to be involved in this migration to orient the direction of PGCs movement. PGCs adhesion and migration are inhibited by treating the mesentery with anti-fibronectin antibody, suggesting that an orienting adhesion and migration are inhibited by treating the mesentery migration to orient the direction of PGCs movement. PGCs in anurans and teleosts are specified according to the transition of cleavage from holoblastic to meroblastic (Figure 1): 1) the presence or absence of maternally supplied germplasm in the sturgeon egg, 2) the position where PGCs are specified, and 3) migratory pattern of PGCs. In this study, we took advantage of PGCs transplantation techniques to elucidate whether or not the migratory mechanism of PGCs was conserved between sturgeon and teleosts. Our results clearly show that the specification pattern of the sturgeon PGCs closely resembles that of anurans, and the mechanisms governing migration of PGCs are conserved widely among Actinopterygian fishes.

Materials and Methods

Ethics

All experimental procedures were performed in accordance with National and Institutional guidelines on animal experimentation and care, and were approved by the Animal Research Committee of Hokkaido University (approval ID: 22-1) and the Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic (reference number: 53100/2013-MZE-17214).

Preparation of Embryos

In this study, we used embryos from pairs of F2 bester (hybrid between beluga (Hucho huso) and sterlet (Acipenser ruthenus) (HY)), wild-type sterlet (WS), and albino sterlet (AS). HY were kept at the Nanae Fresh-Water Laboratory, Hokkaido University, Japan. WS and AS were kept at the Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters, Research Institute of Fish Culture and Hydrobiology, University of South Bohemia in Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic. Fish were held in tanks at 13°C. Ovulation and spermatiation of HY were induced by an intramuscular injection of 100 μg/kg body weight (b.w.) of the gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue; des-gly10[des-Ala6]-LHRH (Sigma). The eggs and sperm were stripped and fertilized one day after injection. To induce spermatiation of WS and AS, males were injected by a single intramuscular injection of carp pituitary homogenized extract (CPE) at a dose of 40 mg/kg b.w. Sperm was collected 48 h after hormonal injection by use of a catheter from the urogenital papilla of males, transferred to a separate cell culture container (250 ml), and stored at 4°C until sampling. Ovulation of WS and AS was induced with CPE in two steps: the first, 5 mg/kg b.w. and the second, 45 mg/kg b.w., 12 h after the first injection. The ovulated eggs were collected 18–20 h after the second injection. The eggs were fertilized with sperm in dechlorinated water at 15°C.

Stickiness of the fertilized eggs was removed by treating with 0.04% tannic acid solution or clay water. An outer layer of chorion was removed using forceps in order to manipulate embryos. Embryos were cultured in dechlorinated tap water containing 0.01% penicillin and 0.01% streptomycin at 15°C until hatching. Up to 20 embryos were kept in 100 ml dechlorinated tap water and water was replaced every 24 hours. Embryos from HY were mainly used for GFP-nos3 3’UTR mRNA injection for labeling PGCs, FITC-labeling for cell tracing, electron microscopy analysis of unfertilized egg, and PGCs transplantation. Embryos from WS were used for GFP-nos3 3’UTR mRNA injection for labeling
PGCs and electron microscopy of early cleavage embryos. Embryos from AS were used for observation of GFP aggregation in GFP-buc mRNA injected embryos by taking advantage of their unpigmented characteristic. Developmental stages were described as in [7], which describes 45 developmental stages from unfertilized egg to pre-larva. The embryonic staging was determined based on Acipenser stellatus, Acipenser gueldenstaedti, and H. huso. However, early embryonic development of sturgeons is remarkably similar among species and even genera, therefore, the one species’ development is applicable to others, and this information can be generalized [39].

Microinjection
An artificially synthesized GFP-nos3 3′UTR mRNA or GFP-buc mRNA was injected into the animal or vegetal pole of the fertilized eggs to investigate the origin of PGCs [40–42]. Capped sense mRNAs were synthesized in vitro using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE kit (Ambion). The artificially synthesized mRNAs were dissolved in 0.2 M KCl at a concentration of 300 μg/ml. To study the cell lineage, FITC-biotin-dextrans (MW = 10,000) was injected into a single vegetal blastomere at the 64- to 128-cell stage. Labeled cells were analyzed and photographed using a Leica M165 MC imaging system.

PGC Transplantation Assay
To examine the area where PGCs were generated, GFP-nos3 3′UTR mRNA, which is known to efficiently visualize teleost PGCs [41], was injected into 1- to 4-cell stage embryos. Chimeras were cultured in Ringer’s solution containing 0.01% penicillin and 0.01% streptomycin at 15°C, and observed and photographed under the Leica M165 MC imaging system. The photos taken through filters for GFP and RFP fluorescence were merged into one image using Adobe Photoshop CS5.1.

Histology and Microscopy
For electron microscopy, unfertilized eggs and embryos at early cleavage stages were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS, rinsed three times with PBS, and fixed again with osmium tetroxide for 2 h. Samples were dehydrated through an acetone series, and finally embedded in Spurr’s resin (TAAB Laboratories Equipment Ltd.). Samples were oriented so that sections were cut along the animal-vegetal axis. Sections were cut with a diamond knife on a Porter-Blum MT-1 ultramicrotome (Du Pont Sorval) and mounted on formvar-coated slot grids. Sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and observed with a JEOL 1011 electron microscope (JEOL).

Results
Visualization of PGCs by Injecting GFP-nos3 3′UTR mRNA into the Vegetal Pole region
To examine the area where PGCs were generated, GFP-nos3 3′UTR mRNA, which is known to efficiently visualize teleost PGCs [41], was injected into the animal or vegetal pole region of 1-cell stage embryos. At the blastula stage, GFP expression was observed only at the injected hemisphere. This indicated that the injected mRNA could not diffuse by itself to the opposite hemisphere because of its high molecular weight (about 44,000). In embryos where mRNA was injected into the animal pole,
PGCs-like cells were not observed, whereas somatic cells in an embryonic body were labeled with weak GFP expression (Figure 2A, Table 1). On the other hand, in embryos labeled at the vegetal pole, PGC-like cells that had stronger GFP fluorescence than surrounding cells appeared frequently during development (Figure 2B–F; Table 1). In these embryos, weak GFP expression was seen mainly in the ventral yolky position (Figure 2F, arrowhead), while PGC-like cells were observed initially near the tailbud on the yolk-rich endoderm after the closed neural tube stage (Figure 2B–C). These cells detached from the region with weak GFP expression toward the embryonic body. At this stage, these cells distributed in a crescent shape around the developing tail bud (Figure 2C). After that, PGC-like cells continued to move more axially and were split into two populations: left and right side of the embryonic body, as the yolk extension develops during somitogenesis (Figure 2C–E). During this migration, time-lapse imaging showed an active migration of PGC-like cells by the generation of cellular protrusions. At stage 30, PGC-like cells continued to migrate dorsally and most of them settled on both sides of developing yolk cell extensions (Figure 2E). By stage 32, these cells aligned along the border between the embryonic body and the yolk cell extensions (Figure 2F). At this stage, PGC-like cells showed less active behavior for migration, suggesting that they are thereafter moved passively by the surrounding somatic cells. Subsequently, PGC-like cells moved axially on the alimentary canal reaching the dorsal part of the body cavity via the dorsal mesenchyme. An average of 23.5 PGC-like cells were found in each embryo (Table 1). Finally, the PGC-like cells formed two lines of the genital ridge; therefore we concluded that visualized PGCs-like cells are in fact PGCs.

Location of PGCs Production

By injecting GFP-nos3 3′UTR mRNA, we demonstrated that PGCs originate from the vegetal hemisphere. Next, to identify the region of PGCs production, we traced the lineage of a single blastomere located at the vegetal pole at the 64- to 128-cell stage by injecting FITC-dextran (MW; 10,000)(Figure 3A). The FITC-labeled cells largely remained at the ventral position of the embryo at 5 dpf, and it appeared that this location was used as extra-embryonic nutrition after hatching, since FITC-labeled cells were mainly localized within the intestine and the degraded products with FITC were excreted from the excretory pore during development. On the other hand, PGCs were observed as FITC-labeled cells indicating these cells originated from the labeled blastomere. As the embryo develops, FITC-labeled PGCs detached themselves from the main cluster of the FITC-labeled vegetal cells. After that, PGCs develop toward the yolky extension and localized at the gonadal ridge, as seen in GFP-labeled PGCs (Figure 3B and C). The FITC-labeled PGCs could be observed after hatching. The average number of FITC-labeled PGCs was 17.0 in these embryos (Table 1).

The Islands of Germplasm Localized at the Cortical Region of the Sturgeon Blastula Vegetal Pole

We examined whether PGCs are specified by inheritance of germplasm or induction of cellular signaling and then investigated the existence of germplasm in sturgeon. GFP-zlbuc mRNA was injected into either the animal or vegetal pole of fertilized eggs derived from the albino strain. GFP-zlbuc protein expressed uniformly at the animal pole at around 32- to 64-cell stage (Figure 4A and B). In contrast, aggregations of GFP-zlbuc protein were observed at the vegetal pole at the same stage (Figure 4C and D). These aggregations were localized mainly along the cleavage furrows (Figure 4D). Then, to examine the location of GFP-zlbuc

Figure 2. Migration of visualized PGCs in sturgeon embryo. An embryo injected with the GFP-nos3 3′UTR mRNA at the animal pole (A) and at the vegetal pole (B–F). (A) The animal pole labeled embryo at stage 32. (B) The vegetal pole labeled embryo at stage 24. PGCs were initially found around the marginal region of the posterior developing embryonic body at this stage (C) The embryo at stage 26. The PGCs migrated dorsally as the tail rudiment bulged out. Until this stage, the distribution of the labeled PGCs is crescent-like surrounding the developing tail bud. (D) The embryo at stage 28. The PGCs were divided into two populations, at the left- and right-side of the embryonic body. At this stage, fluorescence of PGCs was stronger than during stages 24 and 26. Most PGCs are still localized on the yolk ball. (E) The embryo at stage 30. Most PGCs are located on the yolk extension, but some more ventral were still on the yolk ball. (F) The embryo at stage 32. PGCs are localized at the position where the gonads will develop. Some of these cells migrated axially. Note that PGCs migrated a long distance from their position of origin (arrowhead). The upper, middle, and lower columns indicate bright views, fluorescent views, and magnified fluorescent views of the boxes in the middle column, respectively. B–E are posterior views. E and F are lateral views. The scale bars indicate 500 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086861.g002
protein aggregations in the vegetal blastomeres at early blastula stage, blastomeres were dissociated. These aggregations were localized at the cortical regions that are contacting neighboring blastomeres, in the inner part of the embryo (Figure 4F, white arrowheads). At the same stage, we observed that some small cells located around the vegetal pole also inherited the aggregation (Figure 4F, red arrowhead). Transmitted electron microscopy (TEM) revealed the presence of a distinct histological structure, similar to “nuage” in an egg. Electron-dense amorphous structures were only found within the cytoplasm in close proximity to the cell membrane around the cleavage furrow, with many mitochondria at the vegetal region (Figure 4G). These structures, however, were not directly attached to the cell membrane, and the distance between them was approximately 0.5–1.0 μm (Figure 4G). These structures were composed of distinct amorphous inclusions with a granular fine structure, lacking a surrounding membrane (Figure 4H). These features were identical to those of the “germplasm” observed in many animal species [16–21].

**Table 1. Efficiency of visualized PGCs in sturgeon at 4 dpf following microinjection of GFP-nos3 3’UTR mRNA or FITC-Biotindextran into 1- to 4-cell stage embryos.**

|                | Total no. of embryos | No. of embryos developed normally (%) | No. of embryos with labeled PGC (%) | Average no. of labeled PGC (±SD) | Range |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| AP*            | 44                   | 33 (75.0)                            | 0 (0.0)                           | 0.0 (±0.0)                      | 0–0   |
| VP*            | 47                   | 35 (74.5)                            | 33 (94.3)                         | 23.5 (±17.1)                    | 0–59  |
| FITC           | 68                   | 33 (48.5)                            | 32 (97.0)                         | 17.0 (±13.0)                    | 0–52  |
| Cont.          | 42                   | 39 (92.9)                            | –                                 | –                               | –     |

*AP, animal pole; VP, vegetal pole.

**Mechanism Governing PGCs Migration is Conserved among Actinopterygii Species**

Sturgeon PGCs could be visualized with GFP/FITC fluorescence as described above. One simple and direct approach in studying PGCs migration is an interspecific PGC transplantation and observation of its behavior in the host embryo. It has already been shown that allo- and xenogeneic transplantation of a single visualized PGC from an embryo of one species to that of another will produce a germ-line chimera [43–46]. To demonstrate that the migratory mechanism is conserved between sturgeons and teleosts, we transplanted a GFP-labeled sturgeon PGC into a goldfish blastula embryo, in which endogenous PGCs were labeled with RFP by injecting RFP-nos3 3’UTR mRNA (Figure 5A–C). Thirty-six chimeras were produced, of which 2 embryos contained a transplanted donor PGC at the gonadal region at 3 dpf (Table 2). The size difference of PGCs between sturgeon and goldfish was clear. Although a significant number of donor PGCs migrated along with a group of host PGCs during early somitogenesis, some of them disappeared before arriving at the gonadal region. Donor-derived PGCs, which localized at the gonadal region, were

![Figure 3. The lineage of a single blastomere at the vegetal pole at blastula stage.](image-url)
positioned side by side with host PGCs (Figure 5D–F), indicating that sturgeon PGCs could recognize the guidance signals for goldfish PGCs during migration. However, transplanted PGCs that successfully migrated to the gonadal region disappeared after 4 days post-fertilization (dpf).

**Discussion**

**Germplasm is Supplied Maternally and Deposited in the Vegetal Pole of the Sturgeon Egg**

In this study, we showed that PGCs were successfully visualized by injecting the GFP-nos3 3’UTR mRNA into the vegetal hemisphere of the sturgeon embryo. Localization of the vegetal pole of the sturgeon egg is supplied maternally and deposited in the vegetal pole during migration. However, transplanted PGCs that successfully migrated to the gonadal region disappeared after 4 dpf.

We next conducted GFP-zfBuc mRNA injection into eggs of the albino strain. Usually sturgeon eggs are heavily pigmented on the cortical region and these pigments block observation of faint GFP signals. By using the albino strain, however, we solved this problem and the GFP fluorescence could be clearly observed. The islands of GFP-zfBuc protein, the mRNA product, were observed when the RNA was injected into the vegetal pole but not the animal pole. The *bucky ball* gene is a well-conserved gene in animals, although the function of the gene and protein as regards germplasm formation has not been well-studied except in zebrafish.[42,53]. In zebrafish, Buc protein has an important role in assembling the germplasm in an oocyte and early stage embryo, and it is possible to visualize developing germplasm in an embryo by injecting chimeric RNA composed of GFP and *buc* ORF, GFP-zfBuc mRNA [42]. Namely, Buc protein has a germplasm localization signal [42]. The GFP-buc protein aggregations resembled in form zebrafish germplasm [27]. In zebrafish, the small aggregations of germ cell specific RNAs distribute at the animal pole and, as an embryo cleaves, the aggregations form rod-like structures.

Figure 4. Expression of GFP-Buc protein in an embryo and observation of germplasm with transmission electron microscopy. (A) Expression of GFP-Buc protein at the animal pole. Insert indicates the diagram of this view. (B) The magnified image of the box in (A). (C) Expression of GFP-Buc protein at the vegetal pole. Insert indicates the diagram of this view. Yolk vegetal blastomeres are bigger than those of the animal hemisphere. (D) The magnified image of the box in (C). (E) Vegetal view of a blastula stage embryo injected with GFP-Buc mRNA at the vegetal pole. Blastomeres were semi-dissociated in order to observe the expression of GFP-Buc protein in the embryo. (F) The magnified and fluorescent image of the boxed area in (E). Arrowheads indicate accumulations of GFP-Buc protein. Note that one accumulation is inherited by a small cell (red arrowhead). (G) Ultrastructure of embryo at the vegetal pole region at 8-cell stage. Mitochondrial cloud and “nuage” were observed near the cleavage furrow (arrow). (H) The magnified image of the box in (G). The “nuage” of the sturgeon appears as distinct amorphous inclusions without the surrounding membrane. This structure is very similar to that is seen in other species [20]. C, Chorion; CF, cleavage furrow; YG, yolk granule. Scale bars in (C) and (E) indicate 500 μm, and 100 μm in (D) and (F); the scale bar in (G) indicates 5 μm, and 1 μm in (H).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086861.g004
shaped accumulations at the cleavage furrows, as seen in the sturgeon. This result suggests that, in a sturgeon embryo, the mechanism of localization of Buc protein to germplasm is conserved, even though the position where the protein accumulates is different. Furthermore, with electron microscopy, we found that germplasm was composed of “nuage” and mitochondria near the cleavage plane at the same position, where GFP-zfBuc aggregations were observed during early cleavage. The structure

Figure 5. Transplantation of a single PGC from a sturgeon embryo into a goldfish embryo. (A) A removed fragment containing PGCs from sturgeon embryo at stage 26. (B) Dissociated cells from the fragment. Arrow indicates a GFP-labeled PGC. (C) A goldfish embryo at the blastula stage showing the location of an isolated single PGC following transplantation (arrow). (D) A PGC transplanted chimera at 3-dpf. (E) Fluorescence view of (D). (F) The magnified image of the box in (E). RFP-labeled cells indicate endogenous goldfish PGCs and GFP-labeled cell indicates the transplanted sturgeon PGC. Note that the sturgeon PGC localized together with goldfish PGCs at the gonadal region. Scale bars in (C) and (E) indicate 500 μm; the scale bar in (F) indicates 100 μm.

Table 2. Migration rate of transplanted sturgeon PGCs toward gonadal region of goldfish embryo.

| Exp.   | Total no. of embryos | No. of embryos developed normally (%) | No. of embryos which have transplanted PGCs | No. of embryos which have transplanted PGCs at gonadal region |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Exp. 1 | 8                   | 7 (87.5)                              | 1 (14.3)                                  | 1                                                         |
| Exp. 2 | 18                  | 15 (83.3)                             | 1 (6.7)                                   | 1                                                         |
| Total  | 26                  | 22 (95.7)                             | 2 (9.1)                                   | 2                                                         |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086861.t002
of the nuage in the sturgeon egg closely resembled that of *Xenopus laevis* [52]. Similarly, sturgeon nuage is essentially similar to that of zebrafish, goldfish, medaka and goby, although the structure is more abundant in the sturgeon egg than the other teleosts [20,21]. This is direct evidence that the sturgeon egg has germplasm at the vegetal pole, and it is reasonable to conclude that the GFP-zBac expression should reflect the localization of germplasm in the early embryonic stage. Taken together, these findings strongly suggest that the sturgeon PGCs should be specified by the inheritance of germplasm, which is maternally supplied and deposited at the vegetal pole region of the egg. In fact, Zelazowska et al have reported that “balbiani cytoplasm”, which contains nuage-like structures, was observed in stage I to III oocytes of Russian sturgeon (*Acipenser gueldenstaedtii*) [54]. However, the “balbiani cytoplasm” that they observed was interspersed around the nucleus in the oocytes and accumulation at the vegetal pole was not observed at these stages [54]. How the germplasm localizes at the vegetal pole after stage III remains to be explained.

It is assumed that the epigenetic mode of PGCs specification, in which inductive signals from somatic cells specify germ cells, might be the original pattern in the animal kingdom [47,55]. Johnson and colleagues hypothesized that induction as seen in urodeles and mammals may be the ancestral pattern of PGCs formation, while predetermined PGCs formation, as seen in teleosts and anurans, may have evolved independently [56–58]. According to this hypothesis, the close similarity of the patterns between sturgeon and anurans must be a result of convergent evolution. To confirm this, PGCs development should be analyzed in other animals, such as Sarcopterygii and Lepisosteiformes.

**Migration of Sturgeon PGCs**

In our study, we revealed the origin and migration pattern of PGCs in sturgeon (Figure 6). The migratory route of sturgeon PGCs from their formation site to the gonadal ridge differs from that of anurans, although these cells were specified in a similar manner to anurans. In *Xenopus*, PGCs specified at the vegetal pole migrate toward the gonadal ridge within the endoderm [16]. In contrast, sturgeon PGCs migrate after Stage 22 on the yolk ball and the extension toward the gonadal ridge via the mesenchyme. Such a migration pattern resembles that observed in many teleosts, in which PGCs migrate on the yolk ball around the border of the lateral plate mesoderm [41]. In fact, transplanted sturgeon PGCs migrate toward the gonadal ridge of the goldfish, along with the endogenous goldfish PGCs. This result clearly demonstrates that the mechanisms governing migration of PGCs are widely conserved and are common between goldfish and sturgeon, regardless of the considerable differences in embryonic characters, including size, cleavage type, developmental pattern, and the position where PGCs are specified. However, the survival rate of transplanted PGCs was quite low, and most of them disappeared during migration. The PGCs that successfully arrived at the gonadal ridge also disappeared as the embryo developed further. It has been reported that in the chimera produced between closely related species, the transplanted PGCs can contribute to gonadal development and gave rise to functional gametes [43]. However, when transplantation was performed between remotely related fishes from different orders, donor PGCs disappeared during development [45]. Disappearance of the sturgeon PGCs in the goldfish gonad cannot be explained by an immune response, but rather by the loss of maintenance ability of the transplanted germ cells due to the surrounding environment. For example, transplanted PGCs disappeared prior to the morphological and functional differentiation of the thymus gland. The incompatibility between host somatic cells and donor PGCs might result in disappearance of transplanted PGCs in a chimeric animal.

**Fate of Vegetal Yolky Cells and PGCs Development in Sturgeon**

Germplasm in *Xenopus* concentrates near the vegetal pole by the time the second cleavage furrow reaches there at the 4- to 8-cell stage [17,22,23,59–63]. Germplasm is translocated from the surface to inner portions of the embryo along the furrows by
division at the vegetal pole. Compared to
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