Fatty acid composition and tocopherol content of processed marine fish and contribution of omega-3 fatty acids
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ABSTRACT

The present study analysed fatty acid composition and tocopherol content of raw, curried and fried fish. Of the saturated fatty acids, C12:0, C14:0 and C16:0 had significant increase in fried and curried fish than raw fish. Of monounsaturated fatty acids, C18:19c significantly increased in fried and cooked form whereas polyunsaturated fatty acids, C20: 5n3 (EPA) and C22:6n3 (DHA) showed significant decrease in fried and curried fish. In cooked and fried fish there was significant reduction of tocopherol content. There was increase in hypocholesterolaemic and hypercholesterolaemic fatty acid (HH) ratio in fried and curried fish. Fish fried in coconut oil and fish curry in coconut cream were not found to be healthy processing methods and both processing methods lead to significant reduction in potential health benefits of omega-3 fatty acids in the fishes.
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Introduction

Fish in human diet provides beneficial health effects due to presence of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (omega-3PUFAs) such as eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA; C20: 5n-3) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; C22: 6n-3) (Kocatepe et al., 2011). These fatty acids have beneficial effects in the prevention of atherosclerosis, heart attack, coronary heart diseases (Harris and Shacky, 2004), cancer (Gerber et al., 2005) and inflammatory diseases (Billuzzi, 2001). Fish is consumed as fish curry made with coconut cream or fried in coconut oil in Sri Lanka and South Asia. Fish is usually cooked in different ways for consumption in the world except the far east countries where raw fish is eaten. Cooking (boiling, baking, roasting, grilling and frying) improves hygienic quality of the food by inactivation of pathogenic microorganisms and enhances digestibility and bio-availability of nutrients in the digestive tract (Kocatepe et al., 2011). During cooking, chemical and physical reactions take place which either improves or impairs the nutritional value of food, while the content of thermolabile compounds and fat-soluble vitamins or polyunsaturated fatty acids are often reduced (Tokur, 2007). The effect of processing on the stability of omega-3 PUFA fatty acids in fish has been reported (Garcia-Arias et al., 2003; Aro, et al., 2005). The culinary practices during cooking, makes them very prone to lipid oxidation and loss of long chain omega-3 PUFAs, which reduces the nutritive value of fish (Aubourg, et al., 2010). Fat content and fatty acids (FAs) of fish lipids are extremely variable, even within species, depending upon different abiotic and biotic factors such as the species, capture area, temperature, fishing season, pH, salinity and reproductive cycle (Kaushik, et al., 2006; Lei, et al., 2013). Furthermore, saturated fatty acids (SFAs) and monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) change with cooking and frying and its amount differs and depends primarily on the total lipid content that can be affected by numerous biological factors (Dundstan, et al., 1996). SFAs are dominated by palmitic acid (C16:0), followed by myristic acid (C14:0) and stearic acid (C18:0), which are more abundant in warm water fish than in cold water fish and also present pentadecylic acid (C15:0), margaric acid (C17:0) and arachidic acid (C20:0) occurring at about 1% or less and generally considered to be of limited nutritive value (Ackman, 1974). The MUFAs are dominated by oleic acid C18:1n9, followed by three times less abundance of C16:1n7. In carnivorous species, DHA are most abundant than EPA and marine fish have more PUFAs than freshwater fish (Dundstan, et al., 1996). The changes in lipid content and fatty acid composition in the frying process are higher than in other cooking
processes (Larsen et al., 2010). The tocopherol contents of seafood has been reported by Gotoh et al. (2009). Many studies have reported that suboptimal levels of alpha-tocopherol in plasma are associated with several health problems such as coronary heart disease, atherogenesis, stroke, cancer and other degenerative and neurological diseases (Ribarova et al., 2003).

Materials and methods

Marine fish (eight species viz., Phototectoralis (=Lepognathus) bindus, Mugil cephalus, Rasterligier kanagurata, Chirocentrus dorab, Salar crumenophthalmus, Katsuonous pelamis, Sphyraena jello and Dussumieria acuta) were purchased from the local market and were used to prepare curry in coconut cream using traditional Sri Lankan recipe and also fried in coconut oil (Turkey brand, Sri Lanka). The ingredients used for making fish curry were: fish (2 kg), tomatoes (chopped, 100 g), onion (sliced, 100 g), garlic (10 cloves sliced), curry leaves (2 sprigs), green chillies (3), chilli powder (25 g), turmeric powder (5 g), cumin powder (10 g), coriander powder (25 g), coconut milk (2 l), salt (50 g), tamarind pulp (25 ml), water (3 l), coconut oil (25 ml), fenugreek seeds (10 g), cinnamon (1 stick), mustard seeds (10 g) and fennel seeds (10 g). Fish curry and fried fish were made three times consistently using the ingredients and triplicate samples (100 g) were taken for lipid analysis. The raw fish (100 g), the processed or cooked fish (100 g) and fried fish (100 g) were taken for further lipid and tocopherol analysis. Muscle tissue from each fish was homogenised using a grinder. Approximately 3 g samples of homogenised muscle from each fish were weighed in triplicate using an analytical balance (AG204, Mettler, Toledo) and placed in conical flasks. Muscle tissue samples were hydrolysed by adding 8 ml of distilled water and 10 ml of concentrated HCl and incubated at 95°C in a boiling bath for 45 min. The samples were cooled and transferred to Mojonnier flasks. Fat was serially extracted three times with 25 ml volumes of petroleum ether: diethyl ether (1:1 v/v) according to modified method of AOAC (2000). The upper phase containing the lipids was evaporated to dryness and weighed for further analysis. The FAs in the total lipid were esterified into methyl esters by saponification with 0.5 N methanolic NaOH and trans-esterified with 14% BF3 (v/v) in methanol.

The fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were analysed on a Shimadzu-14A model gas chromatograph (GC) (Shimadzu, Japan), equipped with a flame ionisation detector (FID) and fitted with a capillary column (Superlowax-10 polyethylene glycol, length =100 m, ID = 0.25 μm) (Sigma-Aldrich Co LLC, St. Louis, MO). Injector and detector temperatures were 200 and 220°C. The oven program was initially held at 60°C for 10 min, then increased at a rate of 1°C min⁻¹ to 200°C over 10 min and then held at 200°C for 55 min. Total run time was 55 min. The flow rate of the N₂ carrier gas was 1°C min⁻¹. GC analysis of FAMEs was repeated three times for each sample. FAMEs were identified by comparison of peak retention times to those of standards (NU prep check-SD 461, USA). Samples were run in split mode (50:1). Results were expressed as FID response area as relative percentages of peak area obtained from GC-FID chromatogram. The results are presented as mean±SD (Table 1).

Ratio between hypocholesterolemic and hypercholesterolemic fatty acids (Santos-Silva, et al., 2002) was calculated using the equation:

\[ HH = \frac{(C18:1n-9+C18:2n-6+C20:4n-6+C18:3n-3+C20:5n-3 +C22:5n-3+C22:6n-6)/(C14:0+C16:0)}{C18:1n-9+C18:2n-6+C20:4n-6+C18:3n-3+C20:5n-3 +C22:5n-3+C22:6n-6} \]

The basic extraction procedure and fast HPLC method were used as described by Nirungsan and Thongnopnua (2006) to determine the level of tocopherol in freshwater and marine fish.

Means were compared using Tukey’s multiple comparison. Data were analysed statistically using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), p<0.05 or Student’s t-test. SAS 9.1.3. was used for statistical analyses.

Results and discussion

All eight varieties of processed fish viz., P. (=L.) bindus, M. cephalus, R. kanagurata, C. dorab, S. crumenophthalmus, K. pelamis, S. jello and D. acuta are commonly consumed fish species in Sri Lanka. All fish cooked as curry or fried showed a significantly high level of SFA owing to the presence of lauric acid (C12:0) and palmitic acid (C16:0) in coconut milk and coconut oil, respectively (Table 1). The fatty acid composition of raw (fresh) fish was compared to that of fish curry made with coconut cream and fish fried in coconut oil. The fatty acid composition during the cooking and frying processes are given in Table 1.

Fish fried with coconut oil showed a significant rise in MUFA content in all samples, whereas the omega-3 PUFA (EPA and DHA) content decreased in both fried and curried fish in most samples (Fig.1). Saturated SFA content also showed an increase in both fried and cooked fish in all eight samples and these results are in agreement with those described by Memon et al. (2014). The change in omega-3 fatty acid during processing showed significant difference (Table 1) and this may be due to oxidation of omega-3 fatty acid in fried fish than in curried fish. The fatty acid composition of cooked fish showed a decrease in SFA, MUFA and PUFA contents. However, the omega-3 fatty acid content did not
increase in curried samples but decreased in fried samples (Memon et al., 2014). The results show that the major fatty acids among SFAs and MUFAaS in each cooked fish sample were palmitic (C16:0) and oleic (C18:1) acids. In addition, linoleic acid (C18:2) and DHA (C22:6) were the predominant PUFAs in both cooked and fried fish (Table 2). The lauric acid (C12:0) content was markedly high in the curry because it was made with coconut cream, which contains a high amount of this fatty acid (45.98 g 100 g⁻¹). In contrast, fried fish did not show a high level of lauric acid (Table 2) because it was oxidised at the frying stage. Table 3 shows the fatty acid composition of coconut cream and oil, where the lauric acid (C12:0) and myristic acid (C14:0) were found significantly high. These fatty acids were significantly high in fried and curried fish (Table 2).

The omega-3:omega-6 fatty acids ratio has been suggested as a useful indicator of the nutritional value of fish oils. As stated by Kalyoncu et al. (2009), this ratio is a key factor for synthesis of hormones known as eicosanoids which is essential for the normal growth and development

| Species                     | Omega-3 FA (mg 100 g⁻¹) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
|                             | Raw fish    | Fried fish  | Curried fish |
| *P. (=L.) bindus* (Orangefin pony fish) | 23.65±0.73 | 2.44±0.36 | 11.27±0.59 |
| *M. cephalus* (Grey mullet)     | 15.53±0.42 | 5.38±0.77 | 13.20±1.01 |
| *R. kanagurata* (Indian mackerel) | 23.90±0.08 | 5.40±1.01 | 24.29±1.49 |
| *C. dorab* (Wolf herring)       | 25.04±0.70 | 6.96±0.92 | 15.50±0.74 |
| *S. crumenophthalmus* (Bigeye scad) | 23.68±3.12 | 4.09±1.26 | 4.35±0.34 |
| *K. pelamis* (Skipjack tuna)    | 33.91±2.25 | 7.61±0.63 | 15.65±1.16 |
| *S. jello* (Pickhandle barracuda) | 22.82±0.16 | 5.21±1.13 | 22.13±1.00 |

Data presented as Mean±SD

| Species                     | Type of fish | SFA | MUFA | PUFA -PUFA | Omega-3 -PUFA | Omega-6 -PUFA | PUFA/ SFA | Omega3- PUFA | Omega6-PUFA | SFA : PUFA | AI   | TI  |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-----|------|------------|---------------|---------------|----------|--------------|-------------|-----------|------|-----|
| *P. (=L.) bindus*           | R            | 40.99 | 16.88 | 31.22 | 23.65 | 6.77 | 0.76 | 3.49 | 0.29 | 1.31 | 1.02 | 0.93 |
|                             | F            | 42.92 | 41.27 | 12.55 | 2.44  | 9.81 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 4.02 | 3.42 | 0.89 |       |
|                             | C            | 56.49 | 21.07 | 19.11 | 11.27 | 7.03 | 0.34 | 1.6  | 0.62 | 2.96 | 1.86 | 0.96 |
| *M. cephalus*               | R            | 34.91 | 20.94 | 15.03 | 7.29  | 5.28 | 0.43 | 1.38 | 0.72 | 2.32 | 0.91 | 1.29 |
|                             | F            | 43.13 | 38.37 | 15.46 | 5.38  | 9.38 | 0.36 | 0.57 | 1.74 | 2.79 | 0.88 | 0.91 |
|                             | C            | 50.38 | 16.3  | 29.15 | 13.2  | 15.68 | 0.58 | 0.84 | 1.19 | 1.73 | 1.54 | 0.58 |
| *R. kanagurata*             | R            | 24.4  | 18.3  | 51.2  | 25.2  | 26.1 | 2.1  | 0.96 | 1.04 | 0.48 | 0.14 | 0.26 |
|                             | F            | 47.48 | 35.42 | 13.54 | 5.4   | 7.85 | 0.29 | 0.69 | 1.45 | 3.51 | 1.13 | 0.91 |
|                             | C            | 32.43 | 18.96 | 42.29 | 23.48 | 18.31 | 1.3  | 1.28 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.61 | 0.56 |
| *C. dorab*                  | R            | 30.18 | 15.17 | 25.35 | 10    | 15.34 | 0.84 | 0.44 | 2.49 | 1.04 | 0.54 | 1.09 |
|                             | F            | 40.76 | 38.77 | 19.15 | 5.21  | 13.21 | 0.47 | 0.76 | 1.32 | 2.47 | 0.75 | 0.86 |
|                             | C            | 37.1  | 20.52 | 36.42 | 22.13 | 13.63 | 0.98 | 0.77 | 1.3  | 1.02 | 0.9  | 0.65 |
| *S. crumenophthalmus*       | R            | 42.82 | 21.78 | 31.47 | 23.68 | 7.28  | 0.73 | 3.25 | 0.31 | 1.36 | 0.87 | 0.9  |
|                             | F            | 37.36 | 37.79 | 16.82 | 4.09  | 11.95 | 0.45 | 0.34 | 2.93 | 2.22 | 0.69 | 0.79 |
|                             | C            | 42.43 | 40.17 | 14.5  | 4.35  | 9.83  | 0.34 | 0.44 | 2.26 | 2.93 | 0.75 | 0.88 |
| *K. pelamis*                | R            | 28.22 | 18.25 | 46.05 | 33.91 | 11.22 | 1.63 | 3.23 | 0.31 | 2.41 | 0.38 | 0.62 |
|                             | F            | 39.14 | 38.47 | 17.54 | 7.61  | 9.61  | 0.45 | 4.29 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.67 | 0.86 |
|                             | C            | 37.35 | 22.16 | 33.24 | 15.65 | 17.26 | 0.89 | 0.23 | 4.26 | 1.08 | 0.91 | 0.57 |
| *S. jello*                  | R            | 37.87 | 24.63 | 25.35 | 10    | 15.34 | 0.67 | 3.02 | 0.33 | 0.61 | 1.11 | 1.03 |
|                             | F            | 38.72 | 39.31 | 19.15 | 5.21  | 13.21 | 0.49 | 0.79 | 1.26 | 2.23 | 0.67 | 0.78 |
|                             | C            | 41.5  | 17.18 | 36.42 | 22.13 | 13.63 | 0.88 | 0.91 | 1.1  | 1.12 | 1.03 | 0.65 |
| *D. acuta*                  | R            | 37.87 | 11.49 | 32.48 | 17.24 | 6.13  | 0.86 | 2.81 | 0.36 | 1.17 | 1.06 | 1.1  |
|                             | F            | 38.7  | 40.96 | 17.92 | 3.97  | 13.5  | 0.46 | 0.29 | 3.4  | 2.16 | 0.72 | 0.85 |
|                             | C            | 73.6  | 8.58  | 14.21 | 8.03  | 5.55  | 0.19 | 1.45 | 0.69 | 5.18 | 3.53 | 0.6  |

Data presented as mean (mg 100 g⁻¹) and ratio; SFA - Saturated fatty acid, MUFA - Monounsaturated fatty acid, PUFA - Polysaturated fatty acid, AI - Atherogenic index, TI -Thrombogenic index, R- Raw fish, F- Fried fish, C- Curried fish
of the human body. According to current World Health Organisation (WHO) recommendations, the total daily omega-3:omega-6 ratio in the human diet should not be higher than 1.5. Results of the present study reveal that pony fish had the highest omega-3:omega-6 ratio. Of the eight fish species tested, the omega-3:omega-6 ratio, in the descending order (from 4.0 to 0.40), are as follows: Orangefin ponyfish > Bigeye scad > Skipjack tuna > Pickhandle barracuda > Rainbow sardine > Grey mullet > Indian mackerel > Wolf herring (Table 2). The omega-3:omega-6 ratio in tissues of marine fishes varies from 5 to 10 and in freshwater fishes from 1 to 4 as stated by Gladyshev et al. (2006). The traditional method of Sri Lankan cooking (oil fry and curry) showed an omega-3:omega-6 ratio of less than 1 compared to raw fish. The decrease in PUFA:SFA ratio from raw to fried and curried fish and the omega-3:omega-6 ratio in raw fish to fried and curried fish indicated an increase in C20:4n6 and C22:6n6 content (Table 2). The minimum PUFA:SFA ratio is 0.45 as stated by Domingo (2007).

The PUFA:SFA ratio is less than 1 (Table 2) in most fried and cooked fish samples. The Sri Lankan style of fish preparation led to a decrease in overall EPA and DHA content (Fig. 1) which could be interpreted due to two mechanisms that may occur during frying: absorption of culinary fat by the fish and leaching of soluble fat molecules out of the fish. Therefore, it is noted that when an exchange of fat between the fish and culinary fat takes place, loss of specific fatty acids such as EPA and DHA takes place as observed by Sioen et al. (2006) that, during frying, a decrease in total fatty acid content in oily fish changed the fatty acid profile of culinary fat. Recommended intake of EPA plus DHA for humans by the WHO is 1 g day⁻¹ (Gladyshev et al., 2006).

The total EPA and DHA to C16:0 ratios is meant as a good index to assess lipid oxidation as stated by Osman et al. (2001). Our data showed that processed fish made into curry (particularly mackerel and barracuda) had higher lipid oxidation activities than fried samples. Overall, fish processed into curry had higher hypocholesterolaemic:hypocholesterolaemic (HH) ratios (Table 4). The HH ratio is useful in relating PUFA, considered hypocholesterolaemia. The two saturated fatty acids, namely, myristic acid (C14:0) and palmitic acid (C16:0), are considered hypercholesterolaemic (Testi et al., 2006). Asghari et al. (2012) showed that HH value in fried samples increased while decreased significantly in cooked samples. The HH index was higher in all processed fish (fried and curried) compared to raw fish in these findings. Testi et al. (2006) found higher values of HH in fish, varying from 2.03 to 2.46. The present study showed that the HH ratio in fried and curried fish ranged from 1.12 to 1.84 (Table 4) and revealed a decrease in cholesterol levels. The content of health beneficial FAs (EPA and DHA) decreased significantly by the traditional cooking methods. By using coconut oil in frying and coconut cream in curry, the nutritional quality decreased.

| No. of carbons | Fatty acid     | Coconut cream | Coconut oil |
|----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| C6:0           | Caproic acid   | 0.64±0.03     | 0.33±0.12   |
| C8:0           | Caprylic acid  | 7.97±0.39     | 5.53±1.21   |
| C10:0          | Capric acid    | 5.36±0.20     | 4.24±0.37   |
| C12:0          | Lauric acid    | 45.98±0.88    | 40.86±1.68  |
| C14:0          | Myristic acid  | 20.91±0.17    | 19.55±0.78  |
| C16:0          | Palmitic acid  | 8.89±0.47     | 11.91±0.71  |
| C18:0          | Stearic acid   | 4.11±0.49     | 3.63±0.27   |
| C18:1n9        | Oleic acid     | 5.04±0.45     | 10.79±0.79  |
| C18:2n6        | Linoleic acid  | 0.95±0.15     | 2.77±0.20   |
| C18:3n3        | Linolenic acid | 0.13±0.03     | 0.13±0.01   |
| C22:0          | Behenic acid   | ND            | 0.03±0.01   |
| C24:0          | Lignoceric acid| ND            | 0.06±0.01   |

ND: Not determined; C22:6 and C20:5 were not detected in coconut oil and milk.

Fig. 1. EPA and DHA content in raw, fried and curried fish.
Table 4. Hypocholesterolaemic:hypercholesterolaemic fatty acid (HH) ratio in raw, fried, and curried fish

| Fish                  | Raw (HH) | Fried (HH) | Curried (HH) |
|-----------------------|----------|------------|--------------|
| Orangefin pony fish   | 0.68     | 1.30       | 0.70         |
| Grey mullet           | 0.51     | 1.22       | 1.41         |
| Indian mackerel       | 4.17     | 1.12       | 2.05         |
| Wolf herring          | 0.55     | 1.25       | 1.72         |
| Bigeye scad           | 0.86     | 1.55       | 1.31         |
| Skipjack tuna         | 1.32     | 1.29       | 1.84         |
| Pickhandle barracuda  | 0.96     | 1.56       | 1.33         |
| Rainbow sardine       | 0.24     | 1.41       | 0.5          |

R value: -0.5 -0.45 0.64
p value: 0.21 0.26 0.09

compared to raw fish, and the content of health beneficial fatty acids and tocopherol was significantly lowered in processed fish (Fig. 2).

The high tocopherol content of raw fish reduced upon cooking in coconut milk, but the reduction was lower when the fish was fried in coconut oil (Fig. 2). This may be due to the presence of plant tocopherol in coconut oil. Tocopherol is a vital nutrient, but it is unstable and can be lost during processing, heating and cooking. An interrelated series of reactions, including hydrolysis, oil oxidation and polymerisation of fat molecules can occur (Saguy and Dana, 2003). Our results show that tocopherol content was markedly high in raw grey mullet (2322 µg 100 g⁻¹), wolf herring (1468 µg 100 g⁻¹), skipjack tuna (1084 µg 100 g⁻¹), Indian mackerel (470 µg 100 g⁻¹) and rainbow sardine (980 µg 100 g⁻¹). Fried mackerel (1711 µg 100 g⁻¹) and rainbow sardine (1410.87 µg 100 g⁻¹) showed markedly high level of tocopherol. Tocopherol content in fried grey mullet was reduced by half compared to raw fish (923.45 µg 100 g⁻¹). Curry prepared from all fish samples showed very low levels of tocopherol ranging from 468.88 to 661.29 µg 100 g⁻¹ (Fig. 2). In contrast, the tocopherol content of fried Indian mackerel and rainbow sardine increased significantly, which could be because of the coconut oil and other ingredients added for marination particularly turmeric powder. Our results agreed with those obtained by Kulas et al. (2002), which showed that the total tocopherol content varied in raw and cooked fish. In our results, tocopherol content was low in all curried and fried fish except Indian mackerel and rainbow sardine (Fig. 2).

It has been reported that α-tocopherol is lost due to hot oven-cooking followed by refrigeration for 7 days in trout fed high levels of dietary vitamin E. But, hot smoking showed no effect on the α-tocopherol content of smoked fillets compared to that of raw fillets. Similarly, heating processes such as boiling, grilling and frying significantly decreased α-tocopherol content of fish meat (Gotoh, et al., 2011). Loss of tocopherol (vitamin E) content is positively related to lipid degradation, which is affected by cooking temperature, cooking time and oxidative conditions (Wyatt, et al., 1999). Raw horsemackerel has higher vitamin E (tocopherol) content than fried and grilled fish, whereas cooked seabass and hake contains considerably higher vitamin E levels than raw fish (Dias, et al., 2003).

It is concluded that the lipids in fish fillets play an important role in providing taste, flavour, smell and texture to the fish. Our results show that fatty acid compositions changed significantly during fish preparation by either cooking in coconut cream or frying in coconut oil and resulted in lower nutritive values than raw fish. Particularly, the healthy lipids EPA and DHA were lost during fish preparation. Likewise, tocopherol being heat sensitive, showed significant loss after both cooking methods. The H/H ratio significantly increased in fried fish, adding to the evidence that consuming fried fish is less beneficial to human health than eating fish cooked in coconut cream.
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