Single Stage Reconstruction of Finger Gunshot Injury: A Case Report
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Gunshot injuries (GSI) produce complex wounds on fingers, involving segmental loss of multiple tissue layers and significant contamination.1 Such defects are challenging to reconstruct and achieve good functional outcome.1-4 The traditional approach involves multistaged reconstruction that is fraught with significant delay, scarring and morbidity.1-4 The expected adhesions between tissue layers make a strong case for single-stage reconstruction followed by early mobilization.1-4

We report an 85-year-old gentleman who presented with an accidental GSI to his left ring finger, resulting in near total amputation at the proximal phalangeal level (Fig. 1 a). The ulnar neurovascular bundle was intact, perfusing the distal part. The X-ray revealed significant bone loss with severe comminution (Fig. 1 b). The patient requested all attempts be made to salvage the finger.

During exploration, we noted segmental loss of extensor tendon and radial neurovascular bundle. There was a soft-tissue defect over the dorsal and radial side of the proximal phalanx and metacarpophalangeal joint area. Both flexor tendons were intact. To maximize function and start early active motion, we decided to proceed with single stage reconstruction.

The skeletal defect was managed by acute shortening of the proximal phalanx, fixation with 0.8 mm plate and screw, and application of bone allograft (Fig. 2 a). Radial digital nerve was reconstructed with 2 cm long nerve allograft. Extensor tendon could be repaired primarily following a reduction in bone length. The resultant skin defect measured 5 cm × 3.5 cm (Fig. 2 b). A free flow though the venous flap measuring 5 × 3.5 cm was marked over two parallel veins on the volar forearm with a communication between them. The flap was raised suprafascially with adequate length of veins on both ends (Fig. 3a). The afferent radial-sided vein inflowing into the flap was connected to the proximal cut end of radial digital artery available in the wound. The outflow was channeled through the efferent ulnar-sided vein anastomosed to the dorsal hand vein (Fig. 3b). The patient was discharged on the first postoperative day without anticoagulants.

Early mobilization was started on postoperative day 3. At 12 weeks, he had good range of motion of his hand, and total active motion of the injured finger was 140 degrees.
Palm to pulp distance was 1 cm, and there was approximately 20 degrees of extensor lag at the proximal interphalangeal (PIP) joint. His other digits had a full range of motion. (►Fig. 4; Video 1).

GSI of fingers often produce segmental defects in multiple tissue planes. Such complex injuries in elderly patients, single-digit involvement at flexor zone 2, are relative indications for amputation. Getting rid of problem digit and preserving function of intact digits takes priority in such situations. However, not all patients are keen on amputation. Retained vascularity, sensation and tendon function can make the patient reluctant for amputation. The challenge for the surgeon is to ensure there would be no further loss of function of other digits due to reconstructive attempt. To achieve these objectives, initiation of early active motion is paramount. The prerequisites for early active motion include a stable bone fixation, nerve repair without tension, strong tendon repair, and supple skin coverage. We achieved these objectives by utilizing a very low-profile 0.8 mm plate, bone allograft, nerve allograft, and venous flow through flap.5

Traditional reconstruction in such cases would be multi-staged, involving an external fixator to stabilize the skeleton, followed by soft-tissue cover. Subsequently, bone grafting and tendon reconstruction would be performed.1,2 Often, an additional stage of tenolysis may be needed.2 A single stage reconstruction has the benefit of starting early active motion as well as achieving maximal function with minimal morbidity. This also limits potential stiffness of other fingers.
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