Role of glutathione S-transferase M1, T1 and P1 gene polymorphisms in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia susceptibility in a Turkish population
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Abstract

The variations between different individuals in the xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes' activity were shown to modify susceptibility to childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). Polymorphisms associated with genes coding for the glutathione S-transferase (GST) enzyme were known to affect the metabolism of different carcinogens. The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of the GSTM1 and GSTT1 deletion polymorphisms, and the GSTP1 Ile105Val single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) on the susceptibility to childhood ALL. The study was conducted in 95 children with ALL and 190 healthy control subjects from the Turkish population. The data revealed no difference in the prevalence of the GSTM1 and GSTT1 null genotypes between the childhood ALL patients and the controls. No association was found between GSTP1 Ile105Val variants and the susceptibility to childhood ALL separately or in combination. Our findings suggested that the status of heritable GST polymorphism might not influence the risk of developing childhood ALL. Studies with a larger sample size are needed to evaluate and confirm the validity of our results.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) is one of the most prevalent types of childhood cancers, accounting for about 30% of all childhood cancer cases (Pui, 2000). Although significant improvements in both the diagnosis and treatment of ALL have been made over the past decades, the etiology of ALL has not been fully clarified (López-Terrada, 2006). Both genetic and environmental factors are suspected to contribute to the development of pediatric acute leukemia (Francis et al., 2014). DNA damage in the hematopoietic precursor cells is essential for the development of leukemia, and the body has developed a series of mechanisms to prevent and repair the DNA damage (Boehrer et al., 2009). One mechanism which can result in DNA damage is mediated by reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated by environmentally encountered carcinogens or endogenously as a result of oxidative mechanism (Udensi and Tchounwou, 2014). The carcinogenic effect of xenobiotics is influenced by a series of genes coding enzymes involved in the conjugation/detoxification of these compounds. The enzymes involved in the metabolism of these carcinogens have thus received a reasonable level of attention (Croom, 2012).

Genetic polymorphisms in the carcinogen-metabolizing genes are extremely common and may contribute to the risk of developing different cancers, including hematologic malignancies. These polymorphisms could explain the differences between the individuals' ability to metabolize different chemical agents (Krajinovic et al., 2002a). The glutathione S-transferase (GST) enzymes are crucially involved in the detoxification of a variety of exogenous carcinogens. Furthermore, GSTs play a critical role by protecting against the ROS caused by the breakdown of the peroxidased lipids and they are capable of oxidizing DNA and generating damage (Singh and Michael, 2009). It is believed that the polymorphisms in the GST genes may play a role in the susceptibility to leukemogenesis (Rollinson et al., 2000). GST polymorphisms may also affect the treatment of leukemia as the GSTs play an important role in detoxifying the active metabolites of cytotoxic chemotherapeutic agents used to kill tumor cells (Takashing et al., 2003).

GSTs are crucially involved in phase II metabolism, catalyzing the conjugation of soluble glutathione with reactive intermediates...
produced during the bioactivation of procarcinogens and detoxification of carcinogens (Board and Menon, 2013). The GST isoenzymes expressed in human tissues comprise the alpha, mu, pi, theta, kappa, sigma, zeta and omega gene families (Hayes et al., 2005). Of these classes of GSTs, five (GSTM1, GSTM3, GSTT1, GSTP1 and GSTZ1) have been shown to be poly morphologically distributed (Board et al., 1990). Polymorphisms identified in GSTM1 are GSTM1*0, GSTM1*A and GSTM1*B. When GSTM1*0 is deleted, homozygotes (GSTM1 null genotype) express no protein. GSTM1*A and GSTM1*B differ by a single base, and the catalytic effectiveness of the enzymes encoded by these alleles is similar. GSTT1 is represented by two alleles: a functional or wild allele (GSTT1*1), and a nonfunctional or null allele (GSTT1*0). Studies have shown that the GSTT1*0 allele corresponds to total or partial deletion of the gene, causing a deficiency in its enzymatic activity (Pembie et al., 1994). The glutathione S-transferase pi gene (GSTP1) plays a central role in the inactivation of toxic and carcinogenic electrophiles. The 1578A → G substitution in GSTP1 creates the Ile105Val polymorphism that leads to expression of an enzyme with reduced activity (Zimmniak et al., 1994).

In the current study, we investigated the distribution of the GSTM1, GSTT1, and GSTP1 polymorphisms in a sample of Turkish children with ALL and controls to explore the possible association between different GST variants and the incidence of childhood ALL.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Childhood ALL patients were diagnosed in the Department of Pediatric Hematology–Oncology of Cerrahpasa Medical Faculty of Istanbul University. The patients comprised 49 males and 46 females. The age at diagnosis ranged between 1 to 17 years (mean age: 6.08 ± 3.83 years). The diagnosis of ALL was made by using French–American–British (FAB) diagnostic criteria, which was performed after conventional cytochemical and surface-marker analysis. The people in the control group (n = 190) were randomly selected from healthy controls with any hematologic or other malignancy were excluded. There were 91 male and 99 female children in the healthy control group. They were between the ages of 1 and 18 years (mean age: 7.24 ± 4.75 years). All participating subjects were of Turkish nationality. Informed consent was obtained from all the participating individuals and/or their parents. The study was conducted with the approval of the ethical committee from our institution and in keeping with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Blood samples and DNA isolation

We collected 3 ml of venous blood from ALL patients, who were in remission, and children in the control group. Immediately after collection, the whole blood samples were stored in aliquots at −20 °C until use. Genomic DNA was extracted from leukocytes using Roche DNA purification kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Analysis of GSTM1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms

The GSTM1 and GSTT1 genetic polymorphisms were evaluated using the multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique as described previously (Arand et al., 1996). Primers for GSTM1 were 5′-GAACCTCCC TGAAAAGCTAAAGC-3′ and 5′-GTGGGCTCAAAATACGCTGG-3′, and those for GSTT1 were 5′-TCTCTAGAGTGGTCATCA CATCTC-3′ and 5′-TCACGGCATCGGCCAGCA-3′. The β-globin locus was used as an internal control to avoid false negative readings. Primers for β-globin were 5′-GAACCTCATCAGCCACAGGCAGTAC-3′ and 5′-GAAGGCAAGGCAAGGAGGATAC-3′. PCR was carried out in a total volume of 25 μl containing 10 pmol/l of each primer, 2.5 mmol/l of MgCl2, 0.2 mmol/l of each dNTP, 1 U of Taq polymerase and 100 ng of genomic DNA. Amplification was performed with initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, 64 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. The amplified products were identified by electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel and stained with 0.5 mg/ml ethidium bromide. The product lengths were 215 bp, 480 bp, and 268 bp for GSTM1, GSTT1 and β-globin, respectively. The absence of PCR product for GSTM1 or GSTT1 in the presence of the β-globin band was indicative of a null genotype for GSTM1 or GSTT1. Individuals with one or two copies of the relevant gene were classified as the “present” genotype and those with homozygous deletions as the “null” genotype. Experiments were repeated at least twice using both of the standard genotyping protocols.

Analysis of GSTP1 polymorphism

The genotyping of polymorphisms of GSTP1 was performed by using real-time PCR with a Light Cycler instrument (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany). All real-time PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 20 μl, which contained 4 mM MgCl2, 0.5 pmol of each hybridization probe, 10 pmol of each PCR primer, 2 μl of FastStart DNA Master HybProbe (Roche Diagnostics, GmbH), and 100 ng genomic DNA. The PCR primers (F: 5′-ACCCAGGCTCTATGGGAA-3′ and R: 5′-TGAGGGGACAAGAGCT CCT-3′) and hybridization probes (5′-LCR640- TTGACAGTCCACCGAGTGGCCG-3′ and 5′-TGCAAAATTAC TCTCCCTCCTACCAAC-3′) were synthesized by TIB MOLBIOL (Berlin, Germany). The amplification programs for each allele were as follows: initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation (95 °C for 5 s), annealing (55 °C for 10 s), and extension (72 °C for 25 s). Melting curve analysis was one cycle denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by an increase in temperature from 50 to 80 °C at a slope of 0.4 °C/s. One cycle of a cooling-down step at 40 °C for 30 s followed.

Statistical analysis

Mean and standard deviations (SDs) were presented in the case of continuous variables. Differences between the means of the two continuous variables were evaluated by the Student’s t-test. Chi-square (χ2, two-sided) statistics were used to compare the gender distribution, test the association between the genotypes and alleles in relation to the cases and controls, and test for the deviation of genotype distribution from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). p values of < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. The odds ratio (OR) and their 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated to estimate the strength of the association in the polymorphism genotype alleles between patients and controls. The post hoc power analysis was performed by using PS Power.

Table 1

| Parameter                        | Value          |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| WBC count (× 10^3 mm^-3)         | 9.0 (1.2–280)  |
| Bone marrow blast cell count (%) | 93.0 (60–100)  |
| Peripheral blast cell count (%)  | 38.0 (0–100)   |
| FAB classification, n (%)        |                |
| L1                               | 75 (79)        |
| L2                               | 20 (21)        |
| Immuno phenotype, n (%)          |                |
| Common-ALL                       | 78 (82)        |
| Pre-B-ALL                        | 6 (6)          |
| T-ALL                            | 11 (12)        |

WBC: white blood cell.
FAB: French–American–British.

* Median (range).
Results

Characteristics and clinical prognostic factors for 95 childhood ALL cases at the time of diagnosis were given in Table 1. The demographic data of ALL cases and controls were presented in Table 2. There was no statistically significant difference (p > 0.05) in gender and age between groups.

Table 3 showed the genotype and the allele distributions of the polymorphisms in GST genes for both cases and controls. No statistically significant difference was found for the genotypic distributions of the polymorphisms in the GSTM1, GSTT1, and GSTP1 genes between ALL cases and controls. As shown in Table 3, no statistical difference in the allele frequency was detected between ALL cases and controls for GSTP1 polymorphism (Table 3). The distribution of GSTP1 genotypes within cases and controls was not significantly different from that under HWE (p = 0.94 and 0.40, respectively). As shown in Table 4, gender stratification did not result in any significant change in the risk, among males and females with respect to GSTM1, GSTT1, and GSTP1 polymorphisms.

Table 5 showed the frequency of combined genotypes. There was no significant statistical difference for the genotypic distribution of the polymorphisms in the GST genes between ALL cases and controls.

Discussion

Genetic polymorphism had been described in the enzymes involved in the metabolism of carcinogens and cancer risk. The GSTs are involved in the metabolism of many environmental carcinogens, drugs and other xenobiotics. The polymorphisms result in a lack of enzymatic activity in the metabolism of carcinogens and cancer risk. The GSTs are involved in xenobiotics. The polymorphisms lead to a reduced detoxification role for GSTs. Thus the polymorphisms in the GST genes may be the factors contributing to the differences in leukemia and susceptibility to other cancer types (Rollinson et al., 200; Cong et al., 2014).

In this case–control study, we determined the effects of genetic polymorphisms of GSTM1, GSTT1, and GSTP1 on the risk of childhood ALL in the Turkish population. Our data showed no significant differences in genotype frequencies between the patients with ALL and the controls for the GSTM1, GSTT1, and GSTP1 polymorphisms. There was no difference in the frequencies of the combined GSTM1, GSTT1, and GSTP1 genotypes in the patients and the controls.

Several previous studies focused on the possible association between the polymorphisms of GSTM1 and GSTT1 genes and the risk of childhood ALL development but the results are variable. In the most recent meta-analysis, it was shown that the GSTM1 genotype, but neither the GSTT1 nor the GSTP1 genotype, was associated with risk of childhood ALL (Moulak et al., 2014). Krajinovic et al. (1999) reported a statistically significant association between the presence of the null GSTM1 genotype (64.9% in the ALL group and 51.3% in the control group) and an increased risk of childhood ALL. For the GSTT1 gene (the null genotype, 15.9% in the ALL group and 17.2% in the control group) the authors had not detected any apparent role in the etiology of ALL (Krajinovic et al., 1999). In accordance with this study, Alves et al. (2002) found that the null GSTM1 genotype (68.1% in the ALL group and 49% in the controls) was correlated with an increased risk of developing ALL. No statistically significant difference between the ALL patients and the healthy controls due to frequency of the GSTT1 genotype (for the null genotype, 19.2% ALL and 25.5% in the controls) was observed (Alves et al., 2002). Similar results were obtained by Suneetha et al. for the null GSTM1 genotype (39% ALL and 24.6% controls) and the risk of developing childhood ALL (Suneetha et al., 2008). A study from India found an association with the GSTT1 gene deletion (40.7% ALL and 24.6% controls) and the risk of developing ALL. For the GSTT1 gene, the null genotype (14.4% ALL and 8.5% controls) did not show statistical significance (Joseph et al., 2004). However, in contrast to these studies, Davies et al. (2002) did not find any association between the null GSTM1 and the null GSTT1 genotype frequencies and childhood ALL [24]. Sala et al. (2003) had shown that there was no association between genotypes of the GSTM1 and the GSTT1 genes with the outcome in childhood ALL. Another study from Brazil found that the null GSTM1 and the null GSTT1 genotype frequencies were not associated with the risk of developing childhood ALL (Canale et al., 2004). A study on the Turkish population, performed by Aydin-Sayitoglu et al. (2006) could not find an association between the frequency of the null GSTM1 and the null GSTT1 genotypes (65.5% in the ALL group and 55% in the controls; 24.4% in the ALL group and 20.7% in the controls, respectively) and the risk of developing childhood ALL.

There are several studies about the role of the GSTP1 Ala105Val polymorphism in susceptibility to risk of developing childhood ALL. In accordance with our results, Suneetha et al. (2008) found that no significant risk was associated with the GSTP1 gene for the development of ALL. Similar results were obtained by Zheng and Honglin (2005) in childhood ALL patients. On the other hand, in contrast to these results, Krajinovic et al. (2002b) reported that there was an association between the GSTP1 variants and increased risk of developing childhood ALL. Canale et al. (2004) found that there was an increased risk of developing ALL associated with the carriers of the rare GSTP1 105Val allele.

Many studies have determined the effects of genetic polymorphisms of GSTs on the risk of a variety of common cancers including other hematologic malignancies such as Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML), Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL), and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL). There have been few studies that linked the GST genetic variations to the development of AML. Rollinson et al. (2000) reported that there was an association between the null GSTT1 and the null GSTM1 genotypes and the risk of developing AML. In a meta-analysis, it was suggested that the presence of the dual null genotype GSTM1–GSTT1 increased the risk of developing AML in both the Caucasians and the East Asians (He et al., 2014). Lemos et al. (1999) showed that there was no statistically significant relationship between the GSTM1 gene status and the development of CLL. However, Yuille et al. (2002) reported a relationship between GSTM1 null genotype and risk of CLL. Multiple studies reported higher risk of developing NHL associated with the null GSTM1 genotype ([Dieckvoss et al., 2002; Gra et al., 2008]). Another study
showed that the null GSTT1 genotype was associated with increased risk of developing NHL (Kerridge et al., 2002).

The differences between these results on the risk of developing leukemia and the gene polymorphisms could be the result of two situations. The first is that the influences of the GSTM1, the GSTT1, and the GSTP1 genotypes on genetic susceptibility of developing leukemia vary between populations. The second is that there are different patterns of carcinogen exposure involved in leukemogenesis due to specific gene–gene and gene–environment interactions. The differences in the number of subjects studied in genetic studies also could have led to different outcomes. The null genotype with no enzymatic activity in the GSTM1 gene was reported in 38–62% of the Caucasians and the null GSTT1 genotype was present at a frequency of 27–73% in the Caucasian population (Magni et al., 2009). The prevalence of the GSTP1 Val105 in Caucasians ranges from 24% to 37% (Sharma et al., 2014). We observed that the null genotype frequencies were 52% and 27%, respectively, while the GSTP1 allicle frequency was 0.68 for the Ile allele and 0.32 for the Val allele in our control group. The results in our control population were similar to those that are found in Caucasians and the Turkish population that were found in other studies. In a recent study that was performed in the Turkish population, by Karaca et al. (2015), it was reported that the frequencies of the null genotype of the GSTM1 and the GSTT1 genes were 52% and 23%, respectively, and the frequency of the Val105 allele of the GSTP1 was 29%.

In conclusion, the results of this study indicate that the individual GSTM1, GSTT1, and GSTP1 genetic polymorphisms and a combination of polymorphisms in these genes may not play a role in the development of childhood ALL. The statistical power was 35% to detect an OR of 1.4. The main limitation of our study was the small sample size, which would reduce the statistical power to find the difference between the groups, especially when separated by gender. Therefore, further studies with larger sample size are greatly needed to confirm the association between the GST gene polymorphisms and the risk of developing ALL.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the research fund of Istanbul University. Project number: 3743.

References

Alves, S., Amorim, A., Ferreira, F., Norton, L., Prata, M.J., 2002. The GSTM1 and GSTT1 genetic polymorphisms and susceptibility to acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children from north Portugal. Leukemia 16, 1565–1567.

Ardà, M., Möbllauer, R., Hengstler, J., Jüger, E., Fuchs, J., Winkler, L., Oesch, F., 1996. A multiplex polymerase chain reaction protocol for the simultaneous analysis of the glutathione S-transferase GSTM1 and GSTTI polymorphisms. Anal. Biochem. 236, 184–186.

Aydin-Sayitoglu, M., Hatimaz, O., Erensoy, N., Ozbek, U., 2006. Role of CYP2D6, CYP1A1, CYP2E1, GSTP1, GSTM1, and GSTT1 genes in the susceptibility to acute leukemias. Am. J. Hematol. 81, 162–170.

Board, P.G., Menou, D., 2013. Glutathione transferases, regulators of cellular metabolism and physiology. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 830, 3267–3288.

Bohrer, S., Adès, L., Tadjedine, N., Hofmann, W.K., Kriener, S., Bug, G., 2009. Suppression of the DNA damage response in acute myeloid leukemia versus myelodysplastic syndrome. Oncogene 28, 2205–2218.

Canalle, R., Burim, R.V., Tone, L., Takahashi, G. C. S., 2004. Genetic polymorphisms and susceptibility to childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 43, 100–109.

Cong, N., Liu, L., Xie, Y., Shao, W., Song, J., 2014. Association between glutathione S-transferase T1, M1, and P1 genotypes and the risk of colorectal cancer. J. Korean Med. Sci. 29, 1488–1492.

Croom, E., 2012. Metabolism of xenobiotics in human environments. Prog. Mol. Biol. Transl. Sci. 112, 31–88.

Davis, S.M., Bhatia, S., Ross, J.A., Kifflmeyer, W.R., Gaynon, P.S., Radloff, G.A., Robison, L.L., Perentesis, J.P., 2002. Glutathione S-transferase genotypes, genetic susceptibility and outcome of therapy in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Blood 100, 67–71.

Dieckvoss, B.O., Stanulla, M., Schrappe, M., Beier, R., Zimmermann, M., Welte, K., Reiter, A., 2002. Polymorphisms within glutathione S-transferase genes in pediatric non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Haematologica 87, 709–713.

Francis, S.S., Selvin, S., Metayer, C., Wallace, A.D., Crouse, V., Moore, T.B., Wiemels, J.L., Buffler, P.A., 2014. Mode of delivery and risk of childhood leukemia. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 23, 876–881.

Gra, O.A., Glotov, A.S., Nikitin, E.A., Glotov, O.S., Kuznetsova, V.E., Chudinov, A.V., Sudarikov, A.B., Nasedkina, T.V., 2008. Polymorphisms in xenobiotic metabolizing genes and the risk of chronic lymphocytic leukemia and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma in adult Russian patients. Am. J. Hematol. 83, 279–287.

Hayes, J.D., Flanagan, J.U., Jowsey, I.R., 2005. Glutathione transferases. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 45, 51–88.

He, H.R., You, H.S., Sun, J.Y., Hu, S.S., Ma, Y., Dong, Y.L., Lu, J., 2014. Glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms and susceptibility to acute myeloid leukemia: meta-analyses. Jpn. J. Clin. Oncol. 44, 1070–1081.

Joseph, T., Kusumakumary, P., Chacko, P., Abraham, A., Radhakrishna, Pillai, M., 2004. Genetic polymorphism of CYP1A1, CYP2D6, GSTM1 and GSTT1 and susceptibility to acute lymphoblastic leukemia in Indian children. Pediatr. Blood Cancer 43, 560–567.

Karaca, S., Karaca, M., Cesuroglu, T., Erge, S., Polimanti, R., 2015. GSTM1, GSTP1, and GSTT1 genetic variability in Turkish and worldwide populations. Am. J. Hum. Biol. 27, 310–316.
Association between xenobiotic gene polymorphisms and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma risk. Br. J. Haematol. 118, 477–481.

Krajinovic, M., Labuda, D., Richer, C., Karimi, S., Sinnett, D., 1999. Susceptibility to childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: influence of CYP1A1, CYP2D6, GSTM1 and GSTT1 genetic polymorphisms. Blood 93, 1496–1501.

Krajinovic, M., Labuda, D., Mathonnet, G., Labuda, M., Moghaddas, A., Champagne, J., Sinnett, D., 2002a. Polymorphisms in genes encoding drugs and xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes, DNA repair enzymes, and response to treatment of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Clin. Cancer Res. 8, 802–810.

Krajinovic, M., Labuda, D., Sinnett, D., 2002b. Glutathione S-transferase P1 genetic polymorphisms and susceptibility to childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Pharmacogenetics 12, 655–658.

Lemos, M.C., Cabrita, F.J., Silva, H.A., Vivan, M., Placido, F., Regateiro, F.J., 1999. Genetic polymorphism of CYP2D6, GSTM1 and NAT2 and susceptibility to haematological neoplasias. Carcinogenesis 20, 1225–1229.

López-Terrada, D., 2006. Integrating the diagnosis of childhood malignancies. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 587, 121–137.

Magno, L.A., Talbot, J., Talbot, T., Borges Santos, A.M., Souza, R.P., Marin, L.J., Moreli, M.L., de Melo, P.R., Corrêa, R.X., Rios Santos, F., Di Pietro, G., 2009. Glutathione S-transferase theta (GSTT1) variants in a Brazilian population. Pharmacology 83, 231–236.

Moulid, N.R., Parveen, F., Kumar, A., Agrawal, S., 2014. Glutathione S-transferase polymorphism and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) in north Indian children: a case-control study and meta-analysis. J. Hum. Genet. 59, 529–535.

Pindh, S., Schroeder, K.R., Spencer, S.R., Meyer, D.J., Hallier, E., Bolt, H.M., Ketterer, B., Taylor, J.B., 1994. Human glutathione S-transferase theta (GSTT1): cDNA cloning and the characterization of a genetic polymorphism. Biochem. J. 300, 271–276.

Pui, C.H., 2000. Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in children. Curr. Opin. Oncol. 12, 3–12.

Rollinson, S., Roddam, P., Kane, E., Roman, E., Cartwright, R., Jack, A., Morgan, G.J., 2000. Polymorphic variation within the glutathione S-transferase genes and risk of adult acute leukemia. Carcinogenesis 21, 43–47.

Sala, A., Lanciotti, M., Valecchi, M.G., di Michele, P., Dufour, C., Haupt, R., Basso, G., Rizzari, C., Biondi, A., 2003. Genotypes of the glutathione S-transferase superfamily do not correlate with outcome of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leukemia 17, 981–983.

Sharma, A., Pandey, A., Sharma, S., Chatterjee, I., Mehrotra, R., Sehgal, A., Sharma, J.K., 2014. Genetic polymorphism of glutathione S-transferase P1 (GSTP1) in Delhi population and comparison with other global populations. Metab. Genet. 2, 134–142.

Singh, M.S., Michael, M., 2009. Role of xenobiotic metabolic enzymes in cancer epidemiology. Methods Mol. Biol. 472, 243–264.

Suneetha, K.J., Nirmala, Nancy.K., Rajalekshmy, K.K., Sagar, T.G., Rajkumar, T., 2008. Role of GSTM1 (present/null) and GSTP1 (Ile105Val) polymorphisms in susceptibility to acute lymphoblastic leukemia among the South Indian population. Asia-Pacific J. Cancer Prev. 9, 733–736.

Takanashi, M., Morimoto, A., Yagi, T., Kuriyama, K., Kano, G., Imamura, T., Hibi, S., Todo, S., Imashuku, S., 2003. Impact of glutathione S-transferase gene deletion on early relapse in childhood B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Haematologica 88, 1238–1244.

Udensi, U.K., Tsoumouwou, P.B., 2014. Dual effect of oxidative stress on leukemia cancer induction and treatment. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 33, 106.

Yuille, M., Condie, A., Hudson, C., 2002. Relationship between glutathione S-transferase M1, T1, and P1 polymorphisms and chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood 99, 4216–4218.

Zheng, Ye, Honglin, Song, 2005. Glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms (GSTM1, GSTT1 and GSTP1) in acute leukemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur. J. Cancer 41, 980–989.

Zimniak, P., Nanduri, B., Pikula, S., Bandorowicz-Pikula, J., Singhal, S.S., 1994. Naturally occurring human glutathione S-transferase GSTP1-1 isoforms with isoleucine and valine in position 104 differ in enzymic properties. Eur. J. Biochem. 224, 893–899.