Comparative study of urethroplasties to reduce urethral strictures in patients with severe hypospadias
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Abstract

Objective: Transverse island pedicle flap (TIPF) plus transected urethral plate-preserving urethroplasty is increasingly used for treatment of severe hypospadias. We aimed to reduce the occurrence of urethral strictures in patients undergoing such procedures.

Methods: Sixty-five patients with severe hypospadias were enrolled. Thirty-two patients underwent onlay-tube-onlay urethroplasty (Group A), and 33 patients underwent modified Duplay urethroplasty (Group B). Postoperative complications were recorded, including fistulas, urethral strictures, and diverticula.

Results: Three patients (9.4%) in Group A and 10 patients (30.3%) in group B had urethrocutaneous fistulas. Three patients (9.4%) in Group A and 0 patients (0%) in Group B had urethral strictures. No patient in the two groups had symptoms of diverticulum or penile chordee. The results of uroflowmetry were better in Group B than Group A, when comparing uroflow patterns.

Conclusions: TIPF plus transected urethral plate-preserving urethroplasty can lower the occurrence of stricture, which is a challenging complication. The occurrence of stricture was lower in patients who underwent modified Duplay urethroplasty, and neourethral function and quality were better in these patient. Thus, this modified procedure can be used for treatment of severe hypospadias.
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Introduction

The precise etiology of hypospadias is not yet known. However, recent studies indicate that the occurrence of proximal hypospadias is increasing. For patients with such defects, transection of the urethral plate (UP) is needed for penile straightening, and the use of tubularized flaps (Duckett technique) is common. Importantly, anastomotic strictures can occur, even during treatment by skilled pediatric urologists; these are difficult to repair. To preserve the UP, no cycling suture is made at anastomosis, which lowers the rate of stricture complications. Thus, transverse island pedicle flap (TIPF) plus transected UP-preserving urethroplasty is increasingly used for patients with severe chordee.

For onlay-tube-onlay urethroplasty, the two ends of TIPF are sutured over the transected UP and the middle portion of the flap is tubularized. For modified Duplay urethroplasty, a new UP is constructed, with a transected native urethral plate inserted into ends of the TIPF (V-shaped); the newly reconstructed neo-urethral plate is tubularized. Our experience with these two single-stage techniques has shown fewer complications. The purpose of this study was to compare these two procedures with regard to reducing the rates of urethral strictures.

Methods

A retrospective analysis was performed of consecutive patients with proximal hypospadias who were treated from May 2012 to September 2015 at Nanjing Children’s Hospital. All patients were diagnosed with proximal hypospadias with severe chordee. Patients that underwent onlay-tube-onlay urethroplasty comprised Group A, and patients that underwent modified Duplay urethroplasty comprised Group B. All surgeries were performed by the same senior pediatric urologist who specializes in hypospadias repair. The study was performed with approval from the Institutional Ethical Committee of Nanjing Children’s Hospital. Informed consent was obtained from each patient’s parents for participation in this study.

Surgical techniques

Group A (Onlay-tube-onlay urethroplasty). After general anesthesia, the UP was protruded and carefully cut along both sides in parallel, from the glans to the opening of the urethral meatus. A circumferential incision was performed 5–10 mm proximal to the coronary sulcus; the foreskin was then degloved to the penile base and the ventral side fibrous tissue was excised to release the chordee (Figure 1a,b). The glans was dissected as two wings and the UP was transected. If necessary, dorsal albuginea plication was performed to correct chordee. Straightening was confirmed by using artificial erection. TIPF was harvested on the basis of urethral defect. The width of the middle flap was approximately 12–15 mm, and both ends of the flap were approximately 6–8 mm (Figure 1c–f). A 6–8-Fr double lumen silicon catheter was placed into the bladder and the flap was transferred to the ventral side. The ends of flap were sutured over the transected UP to reconstruct the proximal ends of the neourethra, and the wider portion of the flap was tubularized to produce the middle section of the urethra. The distal urethral was made in a similar manner to the proximal section. The new constructed urethra was covered by using subcutaneous tissue. The wings of the glans were sutured together in the midline; residual foreskin was transferred and trimmed to cover the penile shaft.

Group B (modified Duplay urethroplasty). The first steps of the modified Duplay technique
(foreskin degloving, chordee correction, UP transection and TIPF harvest) were identical to those described above. The flap width was approximately 1.2–1.5 cm (Figure 2a). The length of midline incision on both ends of the flap was made according to the length of the transected UP. Preserved UP ends were inserted into the flap incisions and sutured together to reconstruct the neourethral plate (Figure 2b–d). Then, a 6–8-Fr double lumen silicon catheter was placed into the bladder, overlying the newly constructed UP. The newly reconstructed UP was tubularized in accordance with Duplay procedures (Figure 2e). The suture line was made in a ventral direction. The remaining steps were performed as for patients in Group A. The repair maneuver of modified Duplay urethroplasty is shown in Figure 3.

All 65 patients received the same postoperative treatment. The dressing was removed on the fifth postoperative day; the catheter was removed at 10–12 days postoperatively. The patients received intravenous infusion of antibiotics after surgery.
Follow-up

The patients visited our clinics at 1, 3, and 6 months postoperatively, then yearly thereafter. The patients’ outcomes were assessed. Urethral strictures were diagnosed on the basis of symptoms and uroflowmetry. Notably, uroflowmetry was performed in accordance with a published protocol.9

Statistical analysis

The results were analyzed using SPSS (Version 14.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software, and were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Pearson’s chi-squared test was used to compare differences between Group A and Group B. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results

Thirty-two patients underwent onlay-tuboonlay urethroplasty (Group A), and the remaining 33 patients underwent modified Duplay urethroplasty (Group B). These 65 patients had the same karyotypes (46, XY), and penile chordee was straightened by using transected UP and/or dorsal plication. The width of the glans of the 65 patients was > 14 mm and the UP developed well. The ages of the patients and the lengths of their urethral defects are summarized in Table 1. The mean age of the two groups was similar. The mean lengths of the urethral defect after chordee correction were 4.02 ± 0.59 cm and 3.87 ± 0.70 cm in the two groups. There were no statistically significant differences in the mean ages of the patients or lengths of urethral defects between the two groups.

The median follow-up durations in the two groups were 47 months and 40 months, respectively. Three patients in Group A and 10 patients in Group B demonstrated urethrocutaneous fistulas (\( P < 0.05 \)); all were minor urethrocutaneous fistulas, which were < 0.5 cm in size and could be repaired by minor surgeries. Three patients in Group A and no patients in Group B demonstrated strictures. No glandular dehiscence or

Table 1. Patient characteristics.

|                   | Group A     | Group B     | t or \( X^2 \) | P-value |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| N (patients)      | 32          | 33          | –              | –       |
| Age (years)       | 2.78 ± 3.25 | 2.58 ± 2.21 | 0.29           | 0.77    |
| Length of defect (cm) | 4.02 ± 0.59 | 3.87 ± 0.70 | 0.87           | 0.39    |
| 2–3               | 4           | 5           | –              | –       |
| 3–4               | 21          | 19          | 0.20           | 0.91    |
| 4–5               | 8           | 8           | 0.20           | 0.91    |

Figure 3. Cosmetic appearance of the penis at 6 months postoperatively. (a) Completely straightened chordee. (b) Opening of the new urethra at the tip of the meatus. (c) Urinary stream shown is a smooth, slit line.
diverticula occurred, and no recurrent penile curvature was observed (Table 2). The meatus was located at the tip of the glans and the patients' parents' satisfaction with penile structure/function was good (Figure 3).

Uroflowmetry data were successfully obtained for 11 and 17 patients from the two respective groups, all of whom had no complications and urinated > 50 mL. Qmax was 8.45 ± 3.72 mL/s in Group A and 7.58 ± 2.43 mL/s in Group B. Data regarding uroflow pattern are shown in Table 2. Statistically significant differences were found in the uroflow patterns between the two groups ($P < 0.05$).

**Table 2. Outcomes of surgical complications.**

| Complication     | Group A (N=32) | Group B (N=33) | $X^2$ | Odds ratio | 95% Confidence interval | P-value |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|------|------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Fistula          | 3              | 10             | 4.45 | 0.238      | 0.059–0.966             | 0.04    |
| Stricture        | 3              | 0              | 1.463| –          | –                       | 0.226   |
| Dehiscence       | 0              | 0              | –    | –          | –                       | –       |
| Diverticula      | 0              | 0              | –    | –          | –                       | –       |
| Uroflowmetry     | 11/32          | 17/33          | –    | –          | –                       | –       |
| Bell shaped      | 1              | 10             | 6.71 | 0.074      | 0.009–0.621             | 0.01    |
| High platform    | 4              | 3              | 0.002| 1.429      | 0.293–6.957             | 0.97    |
| Other forms      | 6              | 4              | 0.157| 1.673      | 0.425–6.594             | 0.692   |
| Qmax (mL/second) | 8.45 ± 3.72    | 7.58 ± 2.43    | 0.75 | –          | –                       | 0.46    |

**Discussion**

Although many urethroplasty techniques are available for proximal hypospadias, high rates of complications continue to occur, even after treatment by skilled pediatric urologists. For patients diagnosed with proximal hypospadias with severe chordee, transection of the UP is necessary to achieve penile straightening. In 1980, dorsal TIPF urethroplasty was reported by Duckett. Since then, Duckett urethroplasty has been widely used for severe hypospadias; with this approach, most cases of primary hypospadias can be repaired by single-stage surgery. An important disadvantage is that rates of complications are higher, especially those involving strictures. Notably, strictures occur in 10%–30% of patient who undergo Duckett urethroplasty and are particularly difficult to repair, compared with fistulas and other complications. Urethrotomy and second-stage urethroplasty are often needed; these complications have considerable impact on the use of Duckett urethroplasty.

Although the advantages of UP are well-known, including its ability to lower the incidence of stricture, the UP-preserving procedure is generally considered unsuitable for proximal hypospadias with severe chordee. Full straightening of the penis and UP transection is inevitable for such patients. In addition, full stripping and preservation of UP is needed to reduce the occurrence of stricture. Therefore, TIPF plus transected UP-preserving urethroplasty is increasingly used for proximal hypospadias.

Onlay-tube-onlay urethroplasty, which uses TIPF plus preservation of transected UP, was first described in the 1990s. In this procedure, the island flap is transferred to the ventral side and sutured over the proximal transected UP to construct the proximal portion of the neourethra; the wider middle portion of the flap is
tubularized to construct the middle portion of the neourethra. The distal portion of the neourethra is reconstructed in a manner similar to that of the proximal portion. Hence, there is no cycling suture needed at the anastomosis of the urethra, and the rate of strictures is lower. Thirty-two patients diagnosed with severe hypospadias underwent onlay-tube-onlay urethroplasty in our hospital, with an acceptable complication rate of 18.8% (6/32), as shown in Table 2. Three patients exhibited urethral stricture (9.4%) in Group A; this was much lower than the previously reported rates of urethral stricture in Duckett urethroplasty. However, urethral strictures continue to seriously affect the outcome of hypospadias repair, and the rates of such complications must be further reduced.

Duplay urethroplasty is well-known for its association with a few complications, especially strictures. However, Duplay urethroplasty is widely used for patients who exhibit mild or no penile chordee. For hypospadias with severe chordee, UP transection is needed. Therefore, we modified the Duplay technique with TPIF, to further lower the rate of stricture occurrence. In this procedure, native transected UP ends are sutured with the V-shaped ends of the island flap to reconstruct the neourethral plate. Urethroplasty is then performed by tubularizing the new UP. This modified urethroplasty reduces the gap between the preserved native UP ends, and avoids the presence of a circular suture line at the junction of the urethra and the tip of the glans. Using this approach, no urethral strictures occurred among patients in Group B. The UP is a very good platform that is fixed on the ventral side of the penis; notably, it can also serve as the back wall of the reconstructed urethra. The preserved UP ends can be used as a stent to improve the quality of the new urethra. When UP preservation is used, blood supply for neourethra is better than Duckett urethroplasty, and healing time of suture lines is shorter. What’s more, the back side of urethra attach to the corpora cavernosa of the penis, which is good for the reconstructed urethra.

This modified technique was found to lower the occurrence of stricture and improve the neourethral function. Huang et al. reported that no strictures occurred in their patients, using this approach. Similarly, we have not encountered patients with postoperative stricture, thus far. The uroflowmetry data of 17 patients in Group B were reviewed to analyze whether the neourethra reconstructed using modified Duplay urethroplasty exhibited superior function, compared with the neourethra reconstructed using onlay-tube-onlay urethroplasty. The Qmax of 17 patients in Group B was 7.58 ± 2.43 mL/s, which was better than that of patients in Group A (P < 0.05).

Before we conducted this study, we speculated that the rates of fistula formation might be higher among patients in Group B. Indeed, 10 fistulas (30.3%) occurred among patients in Group B; this rate was significantly greater than that among patients in Group A (P < 0.05). There are many potential causes for this disparity. Notably, the suture line of the neourethra faces the ventral side. Moreover, fistulas all occurred at the anastomosis of the urethra, where a more complex suture is used than in onlay-tube-onlay urethroplasty; in this location, blood supply is not optimal. However, all fistulas in Group B were small (<0.5 cm) and could be repaired with minor surgery. Compared with other complications, fistulas were easy to repair. During the follow-up period, no patients exhibited diverticulum in either group. This is likely because of the use of the transected UP, which served as a stent and ensured that the urethra remained smooth, which supported a robust urine stream.

There were some limitations in this study. First, the small patient sample size was
insufficient to appropriately assess the rates of complications. Second, although we achieved a good preliminary outcome, we acknowledge the need for a longer follow-up period. Therefore, future investigations with a longer follow-up period and a larger patient sample are needed to fully evaluate the outcomes of this modified urethroplasty technique.

Conclusion

The use of TIPF plus transected UP-preserving urethroplasty, a modified Duplay urethroplasty technique, lowered the occurrence of anastomotic stricture and distal meatal stenosis, compared with onlay-tube-onlay urethroplasty. The neourethra in patients who underwent TIPF plus transected UP-preserving urethroplasty was superior to that in patients who underwent onlay-tube-onlay urethroplasty, according to the results of uroflowmetry. Therefore, the modified urethroplasty might be a useful option for repairing proximal hypospadias with severe chordee.
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