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ABSTRACT

Many proteins require the addition of a hydrophobic prenyl anchor (prenylation) for proper trafficking and localization in the cell. Prenyl proteases play critical roles in modifying proteins for membrane anchorage. The type I prenyl protease has a defined function in yeast (Ste24p/Afc1p) where it modifies a mating pheromone, and in humans (Zmpste24) where it has been implicated in a disease of premature aging. Despite these apparently very different biological processes, the type I prenyl protease gene is highly conserved, encoded by a single gene in a wide range of animal and plant groups. A notable exception is Drosophila melanogaster, where the gene encoding the type I prenyl protease has undergone an unprecedented series of duplications in the genome, resulting in five distinct paralogs, three of which are organized in a tandem array, and demonstrate high conservation, particularly in the vicinity of the active site of the enzyme. We have undertaken targeted deletion to remove the three tandem paralogs from the genome. The result is a male fertility defect, manifesting late in spermatogenesis. Our results also show that the ancestral type I prenyl protease gene in Drosophila is under strong purifying selection, while the more recent replicates are evolving rapidly. Our rescue data support a role for the rapidly evolving tandem paralogs in the male germline. We propose that potential targets for the male-specific type I prenyl proteases include proteins involved in the very dramatic cytoskeletal remodeling events required for spermatid maturation.
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Prenylation is a posttranslational modification required by many different kinds of proteins to ensure their proper trafficking and localization. Prenylated proteins have in common a four amino acid C-terminal motif called a CaaX box (C = cysteine, a = aliphatic, and X = a wide but not unlimited range of residues). Prenylation involves the addition of a hydrophobic polyisoprenoid group to the CaaX-box cysteine, proteolytic cleavage of the terminal three amino acids (-aaX), and carboxymethylation of the now exposed cysteine hydroxyl group [reviewed in Nguyen et al. (2010)]. In addition, vertebrate A-type lamins and yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) a-factor mating pheromone undergoes further proteolytic cleavage on the N-terminal side of the CaaX motif, releasing a prenylated peptide. Yeast mating pheromones and A-type lamins share nothing in common other than this rather elaborate processing. In yeast, the cleaved prenylated peptide serves a downstream signaling function (Chen et al. 1997; Boyartchuk and Rine 1998). However, in vertebrates, it is not yet clear why A-type lamins exhibit only transient prenylation. The paralogous B type lamins maintain their prenylated anchor, suggesting a housekeeping supportive role in the lamina underlying the nuclear envelope (Capell and Collins 2006). It is possible that transient prenyl processing is required for A-type lamins so they can be released into the nuclear interior to regulate processes such as replication and gene expression (Dechat et al. 2010). Interestingly, a mouse genetically engineered to only express a CaaX-less splice alternative of the A-type lamin gene product called Lamin C is perfectly viable, which suggests the alternative hypothesis that transient prenylation of A-type lamins is a mechanism to remove excess lamin from the lamina (Fong et al. 2006). Loss-of-function mutations in the human A-type lamin gene segregate with a wide range of predominantly tissue-specific but nonlethal diseases, supporting a role in regulating gene expression (Worman et al. 2010).
A gain-of-function mutation in the A-type lamin that prevents the removal of the prenyl anchor causes a premature aging disorder called Hutchinson Gilford Progeria syndrome (HGPS) (Eriksson et al. 2003). Children with HGPS generally do not survive past adolescence, usually succumbing to a geriatric disease such as atherosclerosis (Pollex and Hegele 2004).

The enzyme in humans believed to be responsible for removing the prenyl anchor from the A-type lamin protein is the type I prenyl protease Zmpste24 (Barrowman and Michaels 2009). This enzyme functions as a zinc metalloprotease and is highly conserved, and it was discovered by its ability to complement the homologous function in yeast (Tam et al. 1998). The yeast homolog, Ste24p (also known as Af1p), overlaps in function with the type I prenyl protease Rce1p (Trueblood et al. 2000), and these two proteins may be responsible for most if not all proteolytic cleavage associated with prenyl processing (Boyartchuk et al. 1997; Krishnankutty et al. 2009). Genes encoding type I and type II prenyl proteases have been analyzed in C. elegans (Cadiñanos et al. 2003a), A. thaliana (Bracha et al. 2002; Cadiñanos et al. 2003b), mammals (Freije et al. 1999), and protozoans (Gillespie et al. 2007). In all of these organisms, single genes have been identified for each prenyl protease type. Typically, Rce1p is considered the major prenyl protease due to its well-studied role in processing small G-proteins critical for cell survival (Kim et al. 1999). Targets for the type I prenyl protease Ste24p include the yeast a-factor mating type pheromone and mammalian A-type lamin (Chen et al. 2007). In all of these organisms, single genes have been identified for each prenyl protease type. Typically, Rce1p is considered the major prenyl protease due to its well-studied role in processing small G-proteins critical for cell survival (Kim et al. 1999). Targets for the type I prenyl protease Ste24p include the yeast a-factor mating type pheromone and mammalian A-type lamin (Chen et al. 1997; Boyartchuk and Rine 1998; Corrigan et al. 2005), but additional targets are suggested because homologous mutations in human Zmpste24 cause a perinatal lethal disease called restrictive dermopathy, with phenotypic symptoms strongly resembling characteristics of HGPS (Navarro et al. 2005). A recent finding in Drosophila suggests the type I prenyl protease might also recognize a target serving as an attractant for germ-cell migration in the earliest stages of embryogenesis (Ricardo and Lehmann 2009). However, Drosophila is otherwise conspicuously absent from a survey of functional studies of type I prenyl proteases, likely due to the fact that the STE24 gene has undergone at least two tandem duplications on the second chromosome in this species. (Yeast nomenclature is used throughout this article for general references to type I prenyl protease function). These tandem duplications have created three paralogs called CG9000 (ste24a), CG9001 (ste24b), and CG9002 (ste24c); this arrangement is conserved throughout all Drosophilids so far fully sequenced (Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium 2007) but is absent in all other sequenced insect genomes. Indeed, triplication of the type I prenyl protease appears to be a unique occurrence in all taxa based on current annotated genome sequence data. If one assumes biological redundancy in addition to shared sequence changes, particularly in the critical regions of the protein containing the enzyme’s active site. In addition to completing a gap in the literature describing type I prenyl protease function in model organisms, our triple knockout lines provide valuable resources for further research on the biological process and consequence of prenylation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Drosophila stocks and culture conditions**

All of the fly stocks used for this study were obtained from Bloomington or Kyoto Drosophila stock centers or colleagues, or they were constructed for specific experiments. There are two independent PiggyBac elements in the promoter region of CG9000 (PBac[PB]ste24ac02930 and PBac[RB]ste24ac00442) and one in CG9002/CG30461 (PBac[PB]ste24c[c04444]). All three are viable and fertile. Additionally, there is a P element mutation in the promoter of CG9000 (y1[w67c23]; P[w+mc]=GSV6[GS14703]) that is also viable and fertile (although it possesses a second site female sterile mutation). All stocks were maintained on standard cornmeal agar medium. For fertility tests, newly eclosed virgin males were always used, and virgin females were never older than two days. All crosses were maintained at room temperature (20–22°C). As noted in Results, the genomic region containing the CG9000 cluster recombines readily with balancers. Stocks consisting of triple knockouts and Don Juan–GFP were constructed over apterousXa, a reciprocal translocation between the second and third chromosomes, which placed the second chromosome CG9000 region on the third chromosome, minimizing recombinatorial breakdown. For the rescue experiments, stocks could not be built over the apterousXa reciprocal translocation as many of the transgenes were lethal when overexpressed and thus precluded homology for the triple knockout deletion. Therefore, rescue stocks were built over CyO;TM6, enhancing balancer breakdown as described (see text). It was necessary to perform the heat shock in a dry incubator (monitored by a mercury thermometer) due to the large number of crosses that were performed simultaneously (approximately 200 individually tested males). Heat shocks were 37–38°C for approximately 60 min daily from day 6 to day 8 after egg lay and throughout adulthood, and they were stopped only when no more progeny were evident. Constructed rescue stocks were discarded after data were collected (due to instability) and were constructed anew for each experiment.

**Molecular biology**

**Targeting construct:** Primers used to amplify the flanking regions are described in supporting information, Table S1, and their locations in context are indicated in Figure 1A. The flanking regions were amplified from a wild-type stock [y1[w67c23]] using a high-fidelity Taq from NEB (Phusion M05030S) to minimize the chances of incorporating errors. The flanking regions were cloned into the vector pRK2, which uses a GMR-enhanced w+ (wild-type red eye) reporter that results in very strong w+ expression, facilitating selection of successful targeted events. Multiple sequencing runs (University of Arizona Genomics Core DNA sequencing) covering the entirety of both flanks was carried out to ensure fidelity. Targeting constructs were injected by Best Gene (BestGene Inc.), and the three-generation gene deletion procedure was carried out as described in Huang et al. (2008) and Figure 1B, except that for the third (mapping) generation, the w; apterousXa//In(2LR)Cy; TM6 stock was used.
Reverse transcriptase PCR: Total RNA was extracted from relevant somes that arise from balancer breakdown (see text). Primers used to distinguish between fusion and unique transcripts are script III First Strand Synthesis system, Cat. No. 18080051, Invitrogen). Genotypes using the Qiagen RNeasy Plus kit (Cat. No. 74134) and up to described in Table S1. Amplification cDNAs for Rescue constructs: were serially diluted and analyzed on a 2% agarose gel. The maintained stocks to ensure no in 70°C by 30 cycles of 95°C/5 min. Products were analyzed on a 2% agarose gel using an Alpha Gold standard cDNAs for Rescue constructs: were cloned (see selection of the correct intermediate genotypes. In addition, the donor construct includes another apoptosis promoter to the third chromosome to the second. The use of balancer and Y chromosomes with the apoptosis promoter refers to the donor construct pRK2 into which the regions flanking the CG9000 cluster were cloned (see Materials and Methods). Note that this construct is marked with an asterisk (*) in the third generation, indicating movement from the third chromosome to the second. The use of balancer and Y chromosomes with the apoptosis promoter HID driven by heat shock maximizes selection of the correct intermediate genotypes. In addition, the donor construct includes another apoptosis promoter REAPER driven by GAL4 in the mapping generation that minimizes selection of false positives (Huang et al. 2008).

Confirmation of targeted gene deletion: All primers were ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies. Primers were designed to amplify portions of CG9000, CG9001, and CG9002 (Table S1). As a positive control, we used primers for genes in the immediate vicinity, CG15609 and CG6805. Genomic DNA was extracted using 5 Prime ArchivPur DNA Cell/Tissue kit (Cat. No. 2300810). Genomic DNA was tested for portions of CG9000, CG9001, and CG9002 are shaded blue. The arrows with diagonal bends indicate the location of primers that were used in the design of the donor construct for gene deletion. The distances in base pairs below each primer position indicate the length of each flank that was cloned into the donor construct. Right-angled arrows indicate promoter regions for each gene. Inverted triangles indicate the location of P element or PiggyBac insertions. Note that CG30461 is indicated as dicistronic with CG9002, based on evidence from a fused CDNA from the Drosophila Genome Project (DGC:AT28654). (B) Crossing scheme showing the three generations required to isolate a targeted deletion of the CG9000 region. FLP:Flippase (a yeast recombinase); I-Sce-I: a restriction endonuclease; Pin is a dominant marker on the second chromosome; CyO, In(2LR)Cy and TM3 are balancer chromosomes. P(Donor) refers to the donor construct pRK2 into which the regions flanking the CG9000 cluster were cloned (see Materials and Methods). Note that this construct is marked with an asterisk (*) in the third generation, indicating movement from the third chromosome to the second. The use of balancer and Y chromosomes with the apoptosis promoter HID driven by heat shock maximizes selection of the correct intermediate genotypes. In addition, the donor construct includes another apoptosis promoter REAPER driven by GAL4 in the mapping generation that minimizes selection of false positives (Huang et al. 2008).

Molecular evolutionary analysis

Sequences and sequence alignment: We obtained complete coding sequences for the Drosophila melanogaster loci CG9000, CG9001, CG9002, CG7573, and CG30461 from FlyBase (Tweedie et al. 2009). We further used FlyBase to obtain full coding sequences for the identified orthologs of these genes from the other 11 fully sequenced Drosophila genomes. Some sequences were exuded: CG9001 in D. simulans, because no orthologous sequences for this gene could be identified; CG9002 and CG30461 in both D. simulans and D. sechellia due to apparent incomplete assembly; D. persimilis CG7573 due to apparent incomplete assemble. We used all five D. melanogaster STE4 paralogs to conduct tblastx searches (Altschul et al. 1990) of GenBank’s nr protein database, but we were only able to obtain matches for CG9000 outside the genus Drosophila. We obtained full-length coding sequences of STE4 orthologs from the outgroup taxa Anopheles gambiæ, Aedes aegyptii (both Diptera), Tribolium castaneum (Coleoptera), Mus musculus, and Homo sapiens. We aligned sequences by codons with the ClustalW algorithm as implemented in MEGA 5.0 (Tamura et al. 2011) using default settings.
Survey of the outgroup species Rhagoletis pomonella genome for related loci: We used tblastx (Altschul et al. 1990) to search the unassembled whole-genome sequence of Rhagoletis pomonella (apple maggot fly, Diptera:Tephritidae) for homologous loci to CG9000, CG9001, CG9002, and CG7573. The Rhagoletis pomonella genome sequence consists of short 180 bp next-generation Illumina sequence reads with 5–10X coverage (S. Berlocher, J. Feder, and H. Robertson, personal communication). Rhagoletis genomic sequence reads that were identified as similar to one of the D. melanogaster type I prenyl protease loci in the four separate tblastx searches were separately assembled using Sequencher (Gene Codes Corp.) We then annotated the resulting contigs via blastx searches (Altschul et al. 1990) of D. melanogaster nonredundant protein sequences in GenBank. We used CG4852, the type II Drosophila prenyl protease, as a positive control for the possibility that the absence of matching loci in the Rhagoletis genome is caused by uneven genome coverage. A search of Rhagoletis cDNA contigs (S. Berlocher, J. Feder, and H. Robertson, personal communication; Schwarz et al. 2009) produced a close match to CG9000, and we combined the Rhagoletis cDNA with the short reads to assemble the full CDS of a gene that most likely represents the Rhagoletis STE24 ortholog. (Contig sequences are available upon request from the authors.)

Phylogenetic analysis: We constructed a phylogeny that explores the relationships of CG9000, CG9001, CG9002, and CG7573. In a second phylogeny, we added the highly divergent CG30461 locus. Both phylogenies were constructed for nucleotide sequences with the “maximum likelihood” method as implemented in MEGA 5.0 (Tamura et al. 2011). Additional analyses explored the placement of the Rhagoletis STE24 ortholog. We used the Tamura-Nei model (Tamura and Nei 1993), included sites with gaps, and used “nearest neighbor interchange” as a heuristic search method. We tested the phylogenies with 500 bootstrap replicates.

Genetic distances and relative rates: We tested differences in evolutionary rates in D. melanogaster by Tajima’s relative rate test (Tajima 1993) as implemented in MEGA 5.0. CG9000 was also tested against Anopheles STE24 and the Rhagoletis pomonella ortholog of STE24. Homo sapiens Zmpste24 was served as the outgroup in all tests. We corrected for multiple tests using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995).

Detection of negative selection: We tested the codons of all five Drosophila loci for the signature of negative selection using the SLAC method (Kosakovsky Pond and Frost 2005) as implemented in the HyPhy package (Delport et al. 2010). To compare the proportion of negatively selected codons, we considered only regions in which the strongly divergent CG30461 aligned with the other four loci. To optimize the number of aligned codon positions, we excluded D. simulans from analysis at all loci due to reasons described above: the CG9000 region is either highly diverged or incompletely annotated in this species.

Immunohistochemistry and microscopy
For bright field microscopy, adult males were dissected in 0.7% saline or phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and immediately mounted (unfixed) under 1 mm glass coverslips and examined using phase optics on an Olympus CX41RF compound microscope. Images were collected using an Infinity 2-1C CCD camera. For immunofluorescence microscopy, adult males (for testes) or synchronized L3 larvae (salivary glands) were dissected in PBS, and whole testes or salivary glands were fixed for 20 min in 4% paraformaldehyde (testes) or 15 min in 2% paraformaldehyde (salivary glands), washed several times in PBS-Trition-X (130 mM NaCl, 7 mM Na₂HPO₄, 3 mM NaH₂PO₄, 10 mM EGTA; 0.1% Triton-X), and blocked in PBS-Trition-X and 3% BSA. Incubation in primary antibody (in PBS-Trition-X + 3% BSA) was overnight at 4°C, and secondary for 2 hr at room temperature. Lamin Dm₀ was detected using ADL84.12 obtained from the University of Iowa Hybridoma center (http://dshb.biology.uiowa.edu), diluted 1:400. Secondary was goat-anti-mouse Alexafluor 546 from Invitrogen (A21123), used at a dilution of 1:1000. DNA was detected using 10 mM DAPI diluted 1:1000 and added to the first wash after incubation with secondary. Actin was detected using phallolidin-TRITC or phalloidin-FITC at 1:200 (Sigma Cat. Nos. P1951 and P5282, respectively) for 2 hr at room temperature. Fluorescence microscopy was performed using a Zeiss AxioScope A1 compound microscope equipped with fluorescence objectives, LED excitation modules, and filter cubes for DAPI, GFP, and TRITC. Data were collected using a SPOT-cooled CCD camera (Model RT3), and image (channel) processing was carried out in Adobe Photoshop 7. Serial stacking for high-power GFP-only images was carried out using Combine ZP (Open Source Image Stacking Software by Alan Hadley).

RESULTS
Deleting CG9000, CG9001, and CG9002 from the genome using ends-out gene targeting
Gene duplications pose special problems for biological analysis due to the possibility of redundant function. In Drosophila, duplication of the STE24 function appears to have taken place multiple times, leading to the present set of three tandem paralogs (CG9000, CG9001, and CG9002) on the second chromosome that possess the canonical HEXXH zinc ion binding active site, and an additional potential paralog on the third chromosome, CG7573, which demonstrates sequence changes at this active site (Figure S1; Corrigan et al. 2005). There are a number of viable and fertile transposable element insertions stocks in all the Drosophila STE24 paralogs (except CG9001), and the smallest deficiency for the region (D(2R)Exel6065) (Tweedie et al. 2009) takes out 37 additional genes (including several known essential genes). Therefore, to accurately determine the loss-of-function phenotype for the most conserved STE24 functions in Drosophila, it was necessary to remove all three genes by a targeted process. Ends-out gene targeting (Rong and Golic 2000; Gong and Golic 2003) is a proven methodology; however, the advantage of targeting is labor intensive, because to obtain a successful targeted deletion event, many tens of thousands to hundreds of thousands of flies must be screened (Venken and Bellen 2005). As a result, a number of innovations have been developed, and we elected to use a recently refined protocol that includes two rounds of negative selection, minimizing labor by facilitating the identification of true positive events (Huang et al. 2008). The details as applied to the current experiment are outlined in Materials and Methods and Figure 1, which includes a diagram of the genomic region containing the three tandem prenyl protease genes (Figure 1A). These genes span a genomic region of approximately 6.2 kb, and CG9002 appears to be fused to another gene transcribed in the same direction (CG30461). To avoid disrupting any putative regulatory sequences for genes in the vicinity, we elected to delete just downstream of the start of transcription for CG9000 and just prior to the termination site in CG9002. We left CG30461 behind due to the fact that it does not appear to encode a prenyl protease function. We later learned that CG30461 is very likely a highly diverged (and truncated) additional duplication of from the CG9000 cluster, a probable
pseudogene. Figure 1B depicts the crossing scheme used to generate the targeted deletion.

The results are depicted in Table 1. Of the potential true positives (indicated by the wild-type red eye color (w+) reporter), females outnumbered males by approximately three to one. To establish breeding stocks, males were selected for further analysis, and approximately half were false positives. The remaining stocks were tested by PCR for the loss of the three STE24 homologs (see Materials and Methods and Figure S2 for details) resulting in a final targeting rate of eight confirmed independent events out of approximately 125,000 flies scored or about 1 in 5,000 gametes (corrected to include putative female events that were not characterized). Of those eight, three were singled out for further experimental analysis: 5R8, 6AR2 and 7AR7.

Almost as soon as the triple knockout deletion chromosomes were identified, we began to lose them. The triple knockout deletion was initially maintained in a heterozygous state, paired with a balancer for the second chromosome. Balancers are multiply rearranged chromosomes designed to suppress meiotic recombination. However, sometimes balancers fail, a process known as balancer breakdown. The balancer we used to isolate the triple knockout was in fact a balancer called In(2LR)Cy (Tweedie et al. 2009) consisting of a single inversion in each arm of the metacentric second chromosome. These two rearrangements were evidently not sufficient to stabilize the CG9000 region, and after a single generation, the w+ (red) eye color reporter that marked the deletion began to disappear from all eight triple knockout stocks. The loss of the reporter correlated with the reappearance of the three CG9000 genes as determined by PCR (data not shown), presumably due to recombination in the maternal germ-line between the triple knockout chromosome and the balancer (there is no meiotic recombination in Drosophila males). This problem was temporarily rectified by recovering the w+ heterozygous male siblings and crossing them to stronger balancers (with more rearrangements). On two occasions, we recovered a balancer onto which the triple knockout had been recombined (the reciprocal product), which was verified by PCR, proving that the source of breakdown is in fact from the balancer (see Figure S3). In sum, we have successfully deleted the triple tandem prenyl protease genes from the Drosophila genome and have determined that they reside in a region that is particularly susceptible to balancer breakdown.

### Table 1 Results from ends-out targeted gene deletion screen for CG9000, CG9001 and CG9002

| Total No. of F2 Flies Scored | Total No. of F2 w+ Males | Total No. F2 w+ Female | Total No. of w+ Males Segregating with Chromosome II | Total No. of Confirmed Triple Gene Knockouts |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 125,000^a                    | 110                      | 353                    | 40                                                  | 8^b                                         |

^a Estimate based on 26 F2 (screening generation) trays, approximately 4800 flies scored per tray.
^b Because entire trays were scored en masse, six lines had to be independent events (isolated from separate trays), and two were probable but not proven independent events (isolated from the same tray).

Loss of Drosophila STE24 function causes a male fertility defect

Homozygous triple knockout males and females were perfectly fertile and viable. However, it was not possible to construct a homozygous population, and this was true for all eight confirmed events. The most likely explanation was a fertility defect. To determine which sex was affected, we designed a genetic experiment consisting of four defined crosses in which heterozygote and homozygote males and females were crossed in all possible combinations. The results are depicted in Figure 2. All four crosses were carried out several times for each of three independent triple knockout genotypes (5R8, 6AR2, and 7AR7), which all behaved similarly, so the data were pooled. Figure 2 shows that the fertility defect is confined to the males: homozygous females are indistinguishable from homozygous females in terms of fertility. Five triple knockout males can typically produce about 17 progeny; under the same conditions, their heterozygous siblings produce at least 250. As an additional test for genetic background, males that were transheterozygous for a deficiency that removes all three genes (Df(2R)Exel6065) and the triple knockout chromosome were also tested: they produced on average 22 progeny, a slight but statistically insignificant increase.

Finally, to determine the penetrance and expressivity of the phenotype, 15 individual triple knockout males were each crossed to four homozygous female siblings and scored for adult progeny (see Table S2). About a third of this pool of males was completely sterile, and of the remainder, the average number of offspring was 5 (mode = 2; largest number of progeny from a single male = 16). Therefore, the male fertility defect is completely penetrant, but it shows variable expressivity.

**Drosophila STE24 triple knockout has a modest effect on male viability, and the fertility defect is age dependent**

As Zmpste24 has been implicated in a disease of premature aging in humans, we wanted to know whether loss of the three tandem paralogs in Drosophila affected life span. Although female life span appeared unaffected by the triple knockout, we did note a statistically significant reduction (approximately 20%) in triple knockout male life span relative to heterozygous siblings (Figure S4).

To determine whether the sterility defect was constant throughout the life of the male or whether it was a function of age, we performed a fertility curve analysis, in which five newly eclosed virgin homozygous males were mated to five (1–2 days old) virgin homozygous females in a series of crosses in which the virgin males differed in age by one day. The crosses were performed in triplicate, with each triplicate an independent but otherwise identical triple knockout line (5R8, 6AR2, and 7AR7). There were no differences between the genotypes, so the data were pooled (Figure 3). Triple knockout male fertility peaks at around day 1, but by day 10, the males are effectively sterile. Therefore, the loss of the three STE24 paralogs causes an age-dependent fertility defect in males only.

**Phylogenetic analysis of the Drosophila STE24 genes reveals additional paralogs in the genome and sequence diversification**

The Drosophila STE24 function has a demonstrated role in the male germline, and it appears to have undergone serial duplications in the genome. These two observations strongly suggest an evolutionary process that has led to a division of labor among the paralogs with sub-functionalization in the male germline. There is substantial literature describing duplicated genes with sex-specific evolutionary consequences (Torgerson and Singh 2004; Hollaway and Begun 2004; Haerty et al. 2007; Ding et al. 2010). It was therefore of interest to perform
a phylogenetic analysis to examine the evolutionary history of the type I prenyl protease genes in *Drosophila* to test the hypothesis that they originated via duplication, and show evolutionary patterns that are indicative of having acquired a new function in the germline. Our analysis was facilitated by the availability of the recently sequenced and annotated additional 11 *Drosophila* species (*Drosophila* 12 Genomes Consortium, 2007), which add power to evolutionary inferences.

A phylogenetic analysis revealed that all *Drosophila* loci form a well-supported monophyletic clade with a bootstrap (confidence) value of 99% (Figure 4). The *Drosophila* clade is further subdivided into equally well supported clades for *CG9000*, *CG9001*, *CG9002*, and *CG7573*. This pattern is consistent with a diversification of the STE24 prenyl protease gene in a common ancestor of the 12 *Drosophila* species. The phylogenetic order suggests that *CG9001* is ancestral to *CG9002* and *CG7573* (this order is supported in 89% of test replicates). This pattern implies a sequential duplication of *CG9000* giving rise to *CG9001*, which in turn gave rise to the ancestor of *CG9002* and *CG7573*. Duplication of the STE24 ortholog can only be found in one other species, also an insect, the flour beetle *Tribolium*, which based on the phylogenetic analysis, represents a separate, independent event.

To better resolve the time frame during which these gene duplications might have occurred, we searched the as-yet unassembled (5–10X) coverage genomic sequence reads of the entire *Rhagoletis pomonella* (apple maggot) genome (S. Berlocher, J. Feder, and H. Robertson, personal communication). *Rhagoletis* (family Tephritidae) and *Drosophila* both belong to the schizophoran clade of flies that shared a common ancestor as recently as 65 million years ago (Grimaldi and Engel 2005). We were able to obtain a virtually complete *Rhagoletis* coding sequence; however, when we queried the *Rhagoletis* genomic database with *Drosophila* *CG9001* and *CG9002* coding sequences, we obtained matches that all corresponded to the *Drosophila* ortholog, indicating sequence coverage from the *Rhagoletis* database was sufficient. Therefore, *Rhagoletis*, like almost all other species, appears to possess single genes for the type I and type II prenyl proteases.

Because *CG30461* shows no significant homology to any of the STE24 paralogs, and it has been classified as an unrelated function. However, when run in a carefully “manually” curated ClustalW alignment with *CG9002* *Drosophila* orthologs, *CG30461* shows sufficient homology to be a potential further duplication. When *D. melanogaster* *CG9002* and *CG30461* amino acid sequences (excluding indels) are aligned (a total of 190 amino acids), these two sequences demonstrate 16% sequence identity and 32% sequence similarity calculated from the ClustalW alignment in Figure S5. *CG30461* appears to terminate immediately prior to the presumed active site of the enzyme (HEXXH, Figure S5). This truncation and the high degree of sequence divergence

![Figure 2 Fertility test data for the triple knockout genotypes removing the three tandem STE24 paralogs. A fertility defect reveals itself only when males are homozygous for the triple knockout. “n” refers to the number of times a particular cross was set up. For each cross, five virgin males and females were mated to each other, and the crosses were turned into fresh vials every three to five days. Scoring of adult progeny was stopped after three vials. In all cases, where homozygous triple knock-out male parents were involved, no progeny were produced past the second vial and usually not past the first vial. DF refers to Df(2R)Exel6065, which removes the three CG9000 genes and 37 additional genes.](image)

![Figure 3 The fertility defect in triple knockout males is age dependent. Each data point represents the number of progeny resulting from a cross between five virgin homozygous males and females. The virgin male ages were as indicated (after eclosion) before exposure to the females. Fertility drops off to zero after about a week. Wild-type controls were set up for only three data points.](image)
may account for the failure to previously annotate CG30461 as a related prenyl protease gene. When we included the highly divergent CG30461 in the phylogenetic analysis, it formed a separate, well-supported clade that is part of the clade containing the paralogs of CG9000 (Figure S6), showing that it, too, likely originated via duplication in a common ancestor. In addition, we have confirmed by RTPCR that CG30461 can be expressed both as a fusion transcript with CG30461 and as an independent transcript in a triple knockout genetic background (Figure S7).

The branch lengths in both phylogenies further show that CG9001, CG9002, and CG7573 have evolved at approximately twice the rate of CG9000. This difference in evolutionary rate is statistically significant when tested rigorously solely in D. melanogaster. In contrast, the D. melanogaster CG9000 gene is not evolving any faster than the two other dipteran species for which sequence information is available (Anopheles gambiae and Rhagoletis pomonella, Table S4). Therefore, the increase in evolutionary rates after duplication is consistent with a model in which the paralogs became refunctionalized for a germline-specific role. Evolutionary rates were even higher in CG30461 (Table S4), a finding that is consistent with a much lower proportion of negatively selected codons under purifying selection (approximately 8%) when compared with the other loci (17–42%, Table 2).

### Table 2 Proportion of codons under negative selection

| Locus       | Negatively Selected Codons | Total No. of Codons | Proportion |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| CG9000      | 68                          | 163                 | 0.42 (a)   |
| CG9001      | 34                          | 163                 | 0.21 (b)   |
| CG9002      | 54                          | 163                 | 0.33 (a, b)|
| CG7573      | 27                          | 163                 | 0.17 (b, c)|
| CG30461     | 13                          | 163                 | 0.08 (c)   |

Analysis with the SLAC codon-based maximum likelihood method. Letters a–c indicate statistically significantly different proportions (Fisher exact test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction of alpha = 0.05 for multiple tests).

### Independent germline rescue with CG9000, CG9001, or CG9002 supports the evolutionary analysis of diverging functions

One way to determine the contribution to fertility of the three tandem prenyl protease genes is to restore them to the triple knockout genotype by germline transformation, and then test for rescue of fertility. A variety of rescue strategies are available, including genomic rescue with a single construct or multiple transgenes under heat shock or GAL4 induction (Brand and Perrimon 1993). Given the recombinatorial instability of the region that would render rescue construct construction challenging, we elected the simplest method that enabled independent assessment of each prenyl protease gene separately under heat shock induction (pCaSpeR-hs-act) (Thummel and Pirrotta 1992). Constructing these rescue stocks meant introducing another balancer into the genotype to ensure the transgene would be present, which enhanced the balancer breakdown issue we had noted earlier. Under these circumstances, there were no balancers strong enough to overcome this breakdown, which has been described as an interchromosomal effect (Schultz and Redfield 1951). This means that a test male (bearing a rescue transgene) that appears homozygous for the triple knockout chromosome might in fact be heterozygous, having received a recombinant gamete from his (balanced) mother. Therefore, we carried out the rescue experiments using single males that were heat shocked from 6 to 8 days after egg lay, and then crossed to their homozygous virgin siblings (bearing no rescue transgenes). The presence or absence of the prenyl protease genes were assessed in all progeny and parents of every cross by PCR (Figure S8). We chose the 6–8 day time frame because earlier heat-shock regimens appeared to negatively affect cross viability. Because the heat-shock promoter used in the germline transformation vector pCaSpeR-hs-act is reported to work only in early (mitotic) gonial cells (Michaud et al. 1997), we were relying on perdurance of the protein to supply the required dose of prenyl protease for rescue.

The data are shown in Table 3. Two classes of rescue were obtained. In the first class (Class I in Table 3), each male was shown by PCR to be a true homozygous triple knockout with a rescue transgene present (Figure S8). In none of these males was fertility fully restored; however, it is possible to reject the null hypothesis (that the rescue transgene had no effect) for crosses in which CG9001 or CG9002 expression was restored by heat shock. In these crosses, exceptional males (males that could produce 10 or more progeny) appeared at a higher frequency in a smaller sample size relative to controls. In addition, induction of either of two different CG9001 transgenes resulted in triple knockout males that were now capable of producing between 30 and 40 progeny (two males out of 18 and 15, respectively).

In the second rescue class (Class II in Table 3), the males were heterozygous as determined by PCR, having received a recombinant gamete from the maternal parent that restored the three paralogs (Figure S8). These males were fully fertile. On the basis of these results,
we determined that females heterozygous for the triple knockout and the balancer produced recombinant gametes at a rate of 27% on average. Although we cannot pinpoint the precise location of the crossover events, our rescue data show complete restoration of fertility as a result of 34 independent recombinant gametes, all of which had in common the restoration of all three genes. Therefore complete rescue of the fertility defect arising from a lack of the type I prenyl protease in the male germline occurred only in the presence of all three genes in their native genomic configuration.

There are no antibodies that can be used to determine whether and to what level the transgenes are expressing. However, the evidence for partial rescue strongly argues the transgenes are expressing, which is supported by the interesting finding that the transgene stocks alone (17 lines tested, multiples for each paralog), even at room temperature, are predominantly homozygous lethal or semilethal (data not shown). Therefore, we conclude that CG9001 and CG9002 are better able independently to rescue a knockout for all three tandem prenyl protease functions in Drosophila and that CG9000 may require higher levels of expression, or else, it encodes an enzyme that no longer functions efficiently in the germline.

**Loss of the three tandem STE24 functions in Drosophila causes a post-meiotic spermatogenesis defect**

Triple knockout males are almost completely sterile, and there were no obvious defects in courtship and mating (Greenspan and Ferveur 2000). Because homozygous triple knockout males are fertile for only a narrow window early in their adult life, they can make functional sperm. But do they simply make less of it, or do they make larger quantities of defective sperm? To establish when during spermatogenesis type I prenyl protease function might be required, we carried out phase contrast microscopy to examine all the stages of spermatogenesis in homozygous triple knockout males and their heterozygous siblings or wild-type controls. Each testis is a long coiled tubular organ, with a stem cell niche at the apical tip. Stem cells divide asymmetrically to produce a gonial cell that undergoes four incomplete mitotic divisions, resulting in a group of 16 interconnected primary spermatocytes encased in a cyst composed of two somatic cells. The cysts of maturing spermatocytes gradually move down the length of the testes as the spermatocytes undergo meiosis, followed by dramatic remodeling events that lengthen the spermatocyte nuclei, coalesce the mitochondria, and build flagella. Ultimately, 64 meiotic products mature into full-length individualized spermatids (Fuller 1993; Zhao et al. 2010). As can be seen in Figure 5, there are no notable differences in any of the principle stages when homozygous triple knockout males are compared with their heterozygous siblings (or wild-type). In addition, there appeared to be no reduction in premeiotic cell types as the males aged, arguing against a stem-cell defect. We did notice that sperm spilled by dissection from the triple knockout testes was less abundant than sibling controls (Figure 5, E and F). Interestingly, the sperm abundance seemed unchanged, regardless of male age. Compare Figure 5, E and F, in which sperm was spilled by dissection in 3-day-old males, with Figure 5, G and H, where the same procedure was carried out on 8- to 10-day-old males. At this time point, the homozygous triple knockout males are virtually sterile, but their capacity for producing mature sperm appears to be unchanged.

To see whether there were defects associated with particular proteins that might explain the fertility defect, we used fluorescence microscopy to determine whether the sterility could be explained by a failure to produce continuous quantities of germ cells or whether loss of a type I prenyl protease function caused a spermatid elongation or individualization problem. For analysis of germ cells, we confirmed our phase contrast data by using a constructed stock that marked the mitotic germ cells with GFP (Sano et al. 2002). No reduction in premeiotic germ cells was observed over a three-week period, long past the stage when triple knockout males are no longer fertile (data not shown). For individualization and spermatid elongation, we used phalloidin to mark the clustered group of actin-based cones (the individualization complex) that collect at the base of the spermatid nuclei and act to squeeze excess cytoplasm from the elongating spermatids, ultimately separating the interconnected spermatids into individualized mature sperm (Fabrizio et al. 1998). Male fertility defects that fall into this category include a paucity of individualization complexes, aberrant nuclear morphology, and scattering of actin cones (Fabrizio et al. 1998; Texada et al. 2008; Anderson et al. 2009). For fluorescence experiments, we dissected homozygous and heterozygous males from the fertility crosses described in Figure 2, thus ensuring synchronized aging, and identical maintenance and mating conditions. Figure 6 shows a representative selection of heterozygous and homozygous triple knockout testes dissected after 3 to 5 days (A–F), and 10 to 16 days (G–L). We observed two principle phenotypic differences between triple knockout males and their heterozygous siblings, and both phenotypes were age dependent. First, by counting mature individualization complexes (clearly distinct in the upper length of the testis as opposed to the cluster of maturing complexes located at the base of testes near the seminal vesicles), we observed...
a difference in the number of mature individualization complexes as the flies aged. We saw no difference in age-matched heterozygous or homozygous young males, but older triple knockout males showed a more than 3-fold reduction in mature individualization complexes relative to their age-matched heterozygous siblings (Figure S9). We did not see any scattering of actin cones above a background level also visible in wild-type or heterozygous males regardless of age. Therefore, we conclude that loss of the *Drosophila STE24* tandem paralogs does not affect the formation of the individualization complex per se, but it might play a role in either the preceding spermatid elongation or subsequent individualization process. Second, we observed an age-dependent gradual expansion or swelling at the base of the testes where they join the seminal vesicles, in which elongated spermatids appeared improperly coiled (Figure 6, J–L). This swollen phenotype...
became visible on about day 6, which is the approximate time point when a transition to virtually complete sterility is observed (Figure 3).

To better visualize the location of the mature spermatids in the testes and storage structures we constructed stocks that expressed a Don Juan–GFP fusion protein in a triple knockout background. Don Juan is a protein that is specifically expressed in elongated spermatids and mature sperm, where it localizes with the sperm tail, possibly with the uniquely structured mitochondrial derivatives that are arrayed along the entire length of the spermatid flagellum (Santel et al. 1998). As the triple knockout phenotype was indistinguishable in younger heterozygous and homozygous male siblings, older males were examined for Don Juan fluorescence to determine how loss of the type I prenyl protease might affect spermatid morphology. Figure 7, A and B confirms that the elongated spermatids in triple knockout males accumulate in the base of the testes, and they appear disordered or improperly coiled. Fluorescence for Don Juan is relatively unchanged throughout the length of the testes in both triple knockout homozygotes and heterozygotes, but it is consistently brighter in the seminal vesicles of the triple knockout heterozygotes, indicating less sperm in the seminal vesicles of homozygous triple knockout males (see also Figure S10). Figure 7, C and D show how the individualization complex forms on the sperm tails in both the triple knockout and a heterozygous sibling (or wild-type). The assembly of the individualization complex appears relatively unaffected by loss of the tandem STE24 paralogs, but there is a distinct difference in the way the Don Juan–GFP protein localizes on the elongated spermatids. While a mixture of granary and smooth GFP localization can be seen in both genotypes, the grainy appearance predominates in the triple knockout. This graininess is most evident in GFP-only images (Figure S11). The targeted triple gene deletion reported here also represents a successful implementation of a refinement of the ends-out gene targeting methodology that has been used routinely for single gene deletions and more rarely for multiple gene deletions (Matsuo et al. 2008; Gong and Golic 2004; Venken and Bellen 2005).

**DISCUSSION**

*Drosophila* has uniquely diversified its complement of type I prenyl proteases, possessing a tandem array of three paralogs, which are identified by the canonical HEXXH active site, and two additional paralogs, one of which shows substantial sequence divergence at this site (CG7573) and another that appears to have acquired a stop codon just prior to the canonical HEXXH active site (CG30461). We have generated the first targeted deletion of the three tandem *Drosophila* type I prenyl proteases, CG9000, CG9001, and CG9002. The targeted triple gene deletion reported here also represents a successful implementation of a refinement of the ends-out gene targeting methodology that has been used routinely for single gene deletions and more rarely for multiple gene deletions (Matsuo et al. 2007; Huang et al. 2008; Gong and Golic 2004; Venken and Bellen 2005).

Similar to yeast, loss of *Drosophila* type I prenyl protease function causes a fertility defect. Females are totally unaffected by loss of the three tandem STE24 orthologs, but males show a sharp reduction in fertility, which, while fully penetrant, varies in expressivity. Male germ-line specificity is also supported by the finding in FlyAtlas in which CG9000 is shown to be expressed in a wide range of tissues and developmental stages, whereas CG9001 and CG9002 are both shown to be upregulated solely in the testis (Chintapalli et al. 2007). In addition, CG9001 and CG9002, but not CG9000, are underexpressed in meiotic-arrest mutants, supporting their role in spermatogenesis (H. White-Cooper, personal communication). Triple knockout males also show
a decrease in viability relative to their heterozygous siblings, but the reason for this is not clear. Connections between fertility and life span have been reported [e.g. Hsin and Kenyon (1999)], and further exploration involving the prenylation pathway in the context of life span may be of interest.

In *Drosophila*, diversification of the type I prenyl proteases represents another example of gene duplication with specific functions devoted to the male germline (Ranz et al. 2003; Torgerson and Singh 2004; Ding et al. 2010). This hypothesis is supported by our data compiled on evolutionary rates, which demonstrate that although
CG9000 remains highly conserved, CG9001 and CG9002 (and CG7573) are evolving at a faster rate in the 12 sequenced *Drosophila* species. When each gene is added back to the triple knockout genotype one at a time, no rescue is complete, but the data provide evidence of divergent functions between the highly conserved CG9000 and the more rapidly evolving CG9001 and CG9002. Collectively, these data argue that CG9000 has undergone serial duplications before the diversification of the *Drosophila* genus, and that CG9001 and CG9002 have experienced evolutionary change that has resulted in a specific function in the male germline. The uncertain placement of the *Rhagoletis pomonella* STE24 ortholog in the phylogenetic tree makes it difficult to determine whether the origin of the CG9000 paralogs pre- or postdates the *Rhagoletis/Drosophila* split ca. 65 million years ago (Grimaldi and Engel 2005). However, the absence of any identifiable orthologs of CG9001, CG9002, or CG7573 in the *Rhagoletis* genome leads us to conclude that the serial gene duplications most likely occurred after the most recent common ancestor of *Rhagoletis* and *Drosophila*.

Although not tested in this study, CG7573 may also be included in this group of type I prenyl proteases that are required in the male germline, possibly explaining why knockout of CG9000, CG9001, and CG9002 does not result in complete sterility. CG7573 is also upregulated solely in testis according to FlyAtlas, and it underexpressed in meiotic-arrest mutants (H. White-Cooper, personal communication). CG7573 is also conserved throughout all the *Drosophila* species that have been sequenced (except *D. persimillis* where the sequence is incomplete), and it shows increased evolutionary rates when compared with CG9000 (Table S4). Interestingly, CG7573 may have arisen due to a retrotransposition event that precedes the diversification of the 12 sequenced *Drosophila* species: it resides on a different chromosome from the CG9000 cluster, is intronless in 6 out of 12 *Drosophila* species, with introns only appearing in the *Drosophila* group consisting of *D. melanogaster, D. simulans, D. yakuba, D. erecta*, and *D. sechellia*, suggesting that the intron presence is a derived feature of the melanogaster subgroup and is not ancestral (Tweedie et al. 2009).

It is not clear what role CG30461 may play in the male germline (or anywhere else). Although it can be expressed as an independent transcript, it bears the hallmarks of a nonprocessed pseudogene (D’Errico et al. 2004). Additional data supporting this hypothesis can be found in our negative selection analysis: CG30461 demonstrates a decrease in the purifying selection that presumably maintains the specific functions of the other paralogs (Table 2). Cotranscription with CG9002 may be due to a simple transcriptional read-through effect arising from proximity or perhaps due to something more complex, providing additional regulatory functions by lengthening a putative 3’ UTR or retarding expression of CG9002. Our data are inconclusive on this matter, and the evidence for distinct functional roles for pseudogenes is not abundant (but certainly not irrelevant) (Pink et al. 2011).

A close examination of all the stages of male germline development revealed that loss of the tandem type I prenyl protease genes in *Drosophila* appears to cause a block late in spermatogenesis. A large number of excellent studies have characterized many genes required for this stage (e.g. Fabrizio et al. (1998)). Triple knockout males can make functional sperm but most of it accumulates at the base of the testes; also the sperm tails show signs of a surface abnormality along the length of the axoneme. Based on the methods we have applied in this report, three mechanistic explanations come to mind. First, loss of type I prenyl protease activity in the male germline may cause defects in sperm packaging and transport. This is supported by evidence of improper coiling and packing of the mature spermatids at the base if the testes in mutant males and by the reduced levels of sperm in the seminal vesicles. Also, a dramatic reduction in mature individualization complexes in mutant males might be explained by a spermatid trafficking defect that slows down or stalls the production of new individualizing cysts of spermatids.

Second, cytoplasmic remodeling requires much reorganization and polarization of the actin cytoskeleton, processes in which low molecular weight GTPases of the Rho family are famously involved (Hall 1998). Rho proteins (Rac, Cdc42, and others) maintain their stable associations with membranes via prenylation (Michaelson et al. 2003). *Drosophila* Rac1 is a Rho GTPase family member that has a reported male semisterile phenotype that is enhanced in the presence of a mutation in *cdi* (center divided), a kinase that interacts with RacGAP84C (a Rac GTPase activating protein) (Raymond et al. 2004). Dissected testes from these double mutants strongly resemble the phenotype of the triple knockout males reported here, which suggests that the Rac1 GTPase might well be a target of a type I prenyl protease. Interestingly, *Drosophila* has three Rac GTPases (Rac1 or CG2248, Rac2 or CG8556, and Mtl or CG5588). All three proteins possess motifs that would be recognized by the prenyl processing machinery (Maurer-Stroh and Eisenhauber 2005). Do any of these potential targets for type I prenyl proteases also exhibit germline-specific subfunctionalization?

A third mechanistic possibility implicates mitochondria. The axonemes of sperm tails require large numbers of mitochondria to provide ATP fuel for their construction and motility. In *Drosophila*, the mitochondria begin a remarkable process of coalescence and fusion in early spermatids, where they form a structure called a nebenkern, consisting of two intertwined giant mitochondrial derivatives (Fuller 1993). As the spermatids elongate, the two mitochondrial derivatives unwrap and extend alongside the lengthening axoneme. Mitochondrial fusion is in fact mediated by a number of proteins, including a GTPase called fuzzy onions (Hales and Fuller 1997), but this GTPase has no known prenylation motifs. A recent study has demonstrated that interactions between the giant mitochondrial derivatives and the axoneme microtubule array actually drive sperm-tail elongation (Noguchi et al. 2011). We could not find any obvious mitochondrial abnormalities at the level of phase and fluorescence microscopy. Further ultrastructural analysis using transmission electron microscopy might well yield more conclusive findings. A mitochondrial mechanism to explain the specific defect along the lengths of the sperm tails is suggested by the punctuated localization of the Don Juan–GFP fusion protein. Don Juan is a small basic histone-like protein that localizes in haploid nuclei during chromatin condensation, and then becomes associated (via an unknown mechanism) with the mitochondria along the flagellum (Santel et al. 1998; Blumer et al. 2002). Perhaps the more punctuated Don Juan staining pattern in the prenyl protease triple knockout represents a defect along the length of the axoneme membrane, due to improper mitochondrial elongation or to defects in cytoplasmic remodeling. We favor the latter hypothesis, because an analysis of the *Drosophila* prenylated proteome reveals a large number of proteins involved with actin-based cytoskeletal reorganization and none that have any direct connection with mitochondrial function (Maurer-Stroh and Eisenhaber 2005). Of course, the two hypotheses are not mutually exclusive: mitochondrial and spermatid elongation processes are probably interdependent and involve complex driving forces.

A unifying explanation may be found in a closer examination of spermatid gigantism, an evolutionary strategy exploited by *Drosophila* species (Joly et al. 2008; Immler et al. 2011). *Drosophilids* are unusual in manufacturing enormous sperm—sometimes many times longer than absolute body length (Pitnick et al. 1995)—a strategy that
sacrifices sperm number for size. This strategy is connected to sperm competition and the ability of females to select sperm for fertilization after mating (cryptic female choice) (Birkhead 1998). *Drosophila* are also unique in having proliferated their type I prenyl protease genes (at least based on current genome sequences available), and the male germline subfunctionalization is striking. Our preliminary assessment of the *Rhagoletis* genome indicates that this very closely related genus does not have multiple type I prenyl proteases, and an examination of sperm from two *Rhagoletis* species demonstrates typically small sperm, approximately 1/16 the length of *D. melanogaster* sperm (data not shown). It is tempting to speculate that increased sperm length is not shown). It is tempting to speculate that increased sperm length is

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank John Belote for the Don Juan–GFP stock; Jeff Sekelsky and Yang Hong for materials and advice regarding targeted recombination; Helen White-Cooper for her unpublished microarray data; Stewart Berlocher, Jeffrey Feder, and Hugh Robertson for granting us access to the unpublished *Rhagoletis* genome; Julie Brill for her advice and emotional support when dealing with the balancer break-down issue; and Ben Miner for his assistance in statistical analysis. Research described in this article was supported by an internal Western Washington University grant (Professional Development Award) and an NIH ARRA (1R15AG033372-01) to S. R. Schulze.

**LITERATURE CITED**

Altschul, S. F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, and D. J. Lipman, 1990 Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215: 403–410.

Anderson, M. A., J. J. Nodoin, E. Lee, K. G. Hales, T. S. Hays et al., 2009 *asunder* is a conserved regulator of dynemin-dynactin localization during Drosophila spermatogenesis. Mol. Biol. Cell 20: 2709–2721.

Barrowman, J., and S. Michaelis, 2009 ZMPSTE24, an integral membrane protease unique in having proliferated their type I prenyl protease genes and an NIH ARRA (1R15AG033372-01) to S. R. Schulze.

Benjamini, Y., and Y. Hochberg, 1995 Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc., B 57: 289–300.

Birkhead, T. R., 1998 Cryptic female choice: criteria for establishing female sperm choice. Evolution 52: 1212–1218.

Blümer, N., K. Schreiter, L. Hempel, A. Santel, M. Hollmann et al., 2009 ZMPSTE24, an integral membrane zinc metalloprotease with a connection to progeroid disorders. Biol. Chem. 390: 761.

Brand, A. H., and M. N. Perrimon, 1993 Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. Development 118: 401–415.

Capell, B. C., and F. Collins, 2006 Human laminopathies: nuclei gone genetically awry. Nat. Rev. Genet. 7: 940–952.

Castrillon, D. H., P. Gonczy, S. Alexander, R. Rawson, C. B. Eberhart et al., 1993 Toward a molecular genetic analysis of spermatogenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*: characterization of male-sterile mutants generated by single P element mutagenesis. Genetics 135: 489–505.

Chen, P., S. K. Sappertstein, J. D. Choi, and S. Michaelis, 1997 Biogenesis of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mating pheromone a-factor. J. Cell Biol. 136: 251–269.

Chintapalli, V. R., J. Wang, and J. A. T. Dow, 2007 Using FlyAtlas to identify better Drosophila models of human disease. Nat. Genet. 39: 715–720.

Corrigan, D. P., D. Kusczczak, A. E. Rusinol, D. P. Thewke, C. A. Hrycyna et al., 2005 Prelamin A endoproteolytic processing in vitro by recombinant Zmpste24. Biochem. J. 387: 129–138.

D’Errico, L., G. Gadaleta, and C. Saccone, 2004 Pseudogenes in metazoa: origin and features. Brief. Funct. Genomics Proteomics 3: 157–167.

Dechat, T., S. A. Adam, P. Taimen, T. Shimi, and R. D. Goldman, 2010 Nuclear laminas. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2: a00547.

Deplort, W., A. F. Poon, S. D. Frost, and S. L. Kosakovsky Pond, 2010 Datamonkey 2010: a suite of phylogenetic analysis tools for evolutionary biology. Bioinformatics 26: 2455–2457.

Ding, Y., L. Zhao, S. Yang, Y. Jiang, Y. Chen et al., 2010 A young *Drosophila* duplicate gene plays essential roles in spermatogenesis by regulating several Y-linked male fertility genes. PLoS Genet. 6: e1001255.

Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium, 2007 Evolution of genes and genomes on the Drosophila phylogeny. Nature 450: 203–218.

Erber, A., D. Riemer, H. Hofemeister, M. Bovenschulte, R. Stick et al., 1999 Characterization of the *Hyla* lamina and its gene: a molecular phylogeny of myetoazoon lamins. J. Mol. Evol. 49: 260–271.

Eriksson, M., W. Tan Brown, L. B. Gordon, M. W. Glynn, J. Singer et al., 2003 Recurrent de novo point mutations in lamin A cause Hutchinson–Gilford Progeria syndrome. Nature 423: 293–298.

Fabrizio, J. J., G. Hime, S. K. Lemmon, and C. Bazinet, 1998 Genetic dissection of sperm individualization in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Develop. 152: 1833–1843.

Fong, L. G., J. K. Ng, J. Lammerding, T. A. Vickers, M. Meta et al., 2006 Prelamin A and lamin A appear to be dispensable in the nuclear lamina. J. Clin. Invest. 116: 743–752.

Freije, J. M. P., P. Blay, A. M. Pendás, J. Cadiñas, P. Crespo et al., 1999 Identification and chromosomal location of two human genes encoding enzymes potentially involved in the proteolytic maturation of farnesylated proteins. Genomics 58: 270–280.

Fuller, M. T., 1993 Spermatogenesis, pp 71–147 in *The Development of Drosophila melanogaster*, edited by M. Bate, and A. M. Arias. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.

Gillespie, J. R., K. Yokoyama, K. Lu, R. T. Eastman, J. G. Bollinger et al., 2007 C-terminal proteolysis of prenylated proteins in trypanosomatids and RNA interference of enzymes required for the post-translational processing pathway of farnesylated proteins. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 153: 115–124.

Gong, W. J., and K. G. Golic, 2004 Genomic deletions of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* a-factor. Genetics 150: 95–101.

Gong, W. J., and K. G. Golic, 2003 Ends-out, or replacement, gene targeting in *Drosophila* duplicate gene plays essential roles in spermatogenesis by regulating several Y-linked male fertility genes. PLoS Genet. 6: e1001255.

Gong, W. J., and K. G. Golic, 2004 Genomic deletions of the *Drosophila melanogaster* a-factor. J. Cell Biol. 136: 251–269.

Haerty, W., S. Jagadeeshan, R. J. Kulathinal, A. Wong, K. R. Ram et al., 2007 Evolution in the fast lane: rapidly evolving sex-related genes in *Drosophila*. Genetics 177: 1321–1335.

Hales, K. G., and M. T. Fuller, 1997 Developmentally regulated mitochondrial fusion mediated by a conserved novel predicted GTPase. Cell 90: 121–129.

Hall, A. H., 1998 *Rho GTPases and the actin cytoskeleton. Science* 279: 509–514.

Hollaway, A. K., and D. J. Begun, 2004 Molecular evolution and population genetics of duplicated accessory gland protein genes in *Drosophila*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21: 1625–1628.

Hollaway, A. K., and D. J. Begun, 2004 Molecular evolution and population genetics of duplicated accessory gland protein genes in *Drosophila*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21: 1625–1628.

Hollaway, A. K., and D. J. Begun, 2004 Molecular evolution and population genetics of duplicated accessory gland protein genes in *Drosophila*. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21: 1625–1628.
Huang, J., W. Zhou, A. M. Watson, Y. Jan, and Y. Hong, 2008  Efficient ends-out gene targeting in Drosophila. Genetics 180: 703–707.
Hsin, H., and C. Kenyon, 1999  Signals from the reproductive system reg-ulate the lifespan of C. elegans. Nature 399: 362–366.
Immler, S., S. Pitnick, G. A. Parker, K. L. Durrante, S. Lüpold et al., 2011  Resolving variation in the reproductive tradeoff between sperm size and number. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 5325–5330.
Joly, D., N. Luck, and B. Dejonghe, 2008  Adaptation to long sperm in Drosophila: correlated development of the sperm roller and sperm packaging. J. Exp. Zool. (Mol. Dev. Evol.) 310B: 167–178.
Kim, E., P. Ambroziaik, J. C. Otto, B. Taylor, M. Ashby et al., 1999  Disruption of the mouse Rce1 gene results in defective Ras processing and mislocalization of Ras within cells. J. Biol. Chem. 274: 8383–8390.
Kosakovsky Pond, S. L., and S. W. D. Frost, 2005  Not so different after all: a comparison of methods for detecting amino acid sites under selection. Mol. Biol. Evol. 22: 1208–1222.
Krishnakutty, R. K., S. S. Kukday, A. I. Castleberry, and S. R. Breevoort, and W. K. Schmidt, 2009  Proteolytic processing of certain CaaX motifs can occur in the absence of the Rce1p and Ste24p CaaX proteases. Yeast 26: 451–463.
Lenz-Bohme, B., J. Wismar, S. Fuchs, R. Reifegerste, E. Buchner et al., 1997  Insertional mutation of the Drosophila nuclear lamin Dmo gene results in defective nuclear envelopes, clustering of nuclear pore complexes, and accumulation of annulate lamellae. J. Cell Biol. 137: 1001–1016.
Matsu, T., S. Sugaya, J. Yasukawa, A. I. G. Aigaki, and Y. Fuyama, 2007  Odorant-binding proteins OBPF75d and OBPF5e affect taste perception and host-plant preference in Drosophila schellia. PLoS Biol. 5: e118.
Maurer-Stroh, S., and F. Eisenhaber, 2005  Refinement and prediction of protein prenylation motifs. Genome Biol. 6: R55.
Michaelson, D., M. Rush, and M. R. Philips, 2003  Intracellular targeting of Rho family GTPases: implications of localization on function, pp. 17–31 in Rho GTPases, edited by M. Symon, Klwer Academic, New York.
Michaud, S., R. Marin, J. Timothy Westwood, and R. A. M. Tanguay, 1997  Cell-specific expression and heat-shock induction of Hsps during spermatogenesis in Drosophila melanogaster. J. Cell Sci. 110: 1989–1997.
Navarro, C. M., J. Cadilhonos, A. De Sandre-Giovannoli, R. Bernard, S. Courtier et al., 2005  Loss of ZMPSTE24 (FACE-1) causes autosomal recessive restrictive dermopathy and accumulation of Lamin A precursors. Hum. Mol. Genet. 14: 1503–1513.
Nguyen, T. T. U., R. S. Goody, and K. Alexandrow, 2010  Understanding and exploiting protein prenyltransferases. ChemBioChem 11: 1194–1201.
Noguchi, T., M. Koizumi, and S. Hayashi, 2011  Sustained elongation of sperm tail promoted by local remodeling of giant mitochondria in Dro-sophila melanogaster. J. Cell Sci. 124: 3299–3309.
Riemer, D., N. Stuermann, M. Berios, C. Hunter, P. A. Fisher et al., 1995  Expression of Drosophila lamin C is developmentally regulated: analogies with vertebrate A-type lamins. J. Cell Sci. 108: 3189–3198.
Rong, Y. S., and K. G. Golic, 2000  Gene targeting by homologous recombination in Drosophila. Science 288: 2013–2018.
Sano, H., A. Nakamura, and S. Kobayashi, 2002  Identification of a transcriptional regulatory region for germline-specific expression of the vasa gene in Drosophila melanogaster. Mech. Dev. 112: 129–139.
Santel, A., N. Blümer, M. Kämpfer, and R. Renkawitz-Pohl, 1998  Flagellar mitochondrial association of the male-specific Don Juan protein in Drosophila spermatozoa. J. Cell Sci. 111: 3299–3309.
Schultz, J., and H. Redfield, 1951  Interchromosomal effect on crossing over in Drosophila. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. XVI: 175–197.
Schulze, S. R., B. Curio-Penny, Y. Li, R. A. Imani, L. Rydberg et al., 2005  Molecular genetic analysis of the nested Drosophila melanogaster Lamin C gene. Genetics 171: 1–12.
Schwarz, D., H. Robertson, J. F. Feder, K. Varala, M. Hudson et al., 2009  Sym pathetic ecological speciation meets pyrosequencing: extensive sampling of the transcriptome of Rhagolets pomonella. BMC Genomics 10: 63.
Tajima, F., 1993  Simple methods for testing molecular clock hypothesis. Genetics 135: 599–607.
Tam, A., F. J. Nouvet, K. Fujimura-Kamada, H. Slunt, S. S. Sisodia et al., 1999  Dual roles for Ste24p in yeast a-fator maturation: NH2-terminal proteolysis and COOH-terminal CaaX processing. J. Cell Biol. 142: 635–649.
Tamura, K., and M. Nei, 1993  Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 10: 512–526.
Tamura, K., D. Peterson, N. Peterson, G. Stecher, M. Nei et al., 2011  MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28: 2731–2739.
Taxada, M. J., R. A. Simonette, C. B. Johnson, W. J. Deery, and K. M. Beckingham, 2008  Yuri gagarin is required for actin, tubulin and basal body functions in Drosophila spermatogenesis. J. Cell Sci. 121: 1926–1936.
Thummel, C. S., and V. Pirrotta, 1992  Technical notes: new pCaSpeR P-element vectors. Drosophila Information Service. 71: 150–151.
Tongrson, D. G., and R. S. Singh, 2004  Rapid evolution through gene duplication and subfunctionalization of the testes-specific α4 proteasome subunits in Drosophila. Genetics 168: 1421–1432.
Trueblood, C. E., V. L. Boyarthuck, E. A. Picologlou, D. Rozena, C. Dale and Poulter et al., 2000  The Caα1p proteases, Caα1p and Rce1p, have overlapping but distinct substrate specificities. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20: 4381–4392.
Tweedie, S., M. Ashburner, K. Falls, P. Leyland, P. McQuilton et al., 2009  FlyBase: enhancing Drosophila Gene Ontology annotations. Nucleic Acids Res. 37: D555–D559.
Venken, K. J. T., and H. J. Bellen, 2005  Emerging technologies for gene manipulation in Drosophila. Nat. Rev. Genet. 6: 167–178.
Wakimoto, B. T., D. L. Lindsley, and C. Herrera, 2004  Toward a comprehensive genetic analysis of male fertility in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 167: 207–216.
Worman, H. J., C. Ostlund, and Y. Wang, 2010  Diseases of the nuclear envelope. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2: a000760.
Zhao, J., G. Klyne, E. Benson, E. Gudmannsdottir, H. White-Cooper et al., 2010  FlyTED: the Drosophila Testis Gene Expression Database. Nucleic Acids Res. 38: D710–D715.

Communicating editor: H. D. Lipshitz