Microscopic energy flows in disordered Ising spin systems

E Agliari\(^{1,2,3}\), M Casartelli\(^{1,2}\) and A Vezzani\(^{1,4}\)

1 Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Parma, Viale G.P. Usberti n.7/A (Parco Area delle Scienze), Parma 43100, Italy
2 INFN, gruppo collegato di Parma, Viale G.P. Usberti n.7/A (Parco Area delle Scienze), Parma 43100, Italy
3 Theoretische Polymerphysik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Straße 3, Freiburg 79104, Germany
4 Centro S3, CNR-Instituto di Nanoscienze, via Campi 213/A, Modena 41125, Italy
E-mail: elena.agliari@fis.unipr.it and mario.casartelli@fis.unipr.it

Received 30 June 2010
Accepted 28 September 2010
Published 21 October 2010

Abstract. An efficient microcanonical dynamics has been recently introduced for Ising spin models embedded in a generic connected graph even in the presence of disorder, i.e. with the spin couplings chosen from a random distribution. Such a dynamics allows a coherent definition of local temperatures also when open boundaries are coupled to thermostats, imposing an energy flow. Within this framework, here we introduce a consistent definition for local energy currents and we study their dependence on the disorder. In the linear response regime, when the global gradient between thermostats is small, we also define local conductivities following a Fourier discretized picture. Then, we work out a linearized ‘mean-field approximation’, where local conductivities are supposed to depend on local couplings and temperatures only. We compare the approximated currents with the exact results of the nonlinear system, showing the reliability range of the mean-field approach, which proves very good at high temperatures and not so efficient in the critical region. In the numerical studies we focus on the disordered cylinder but our results could be extended to an arbitrary, disordered spin model on generic discrete structures.
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1. Introduction

The transport properties featured by systems in stationary states far from equilibrium is of both theoretical and practical interest: On the one hand, there exist non-trivial problems (e.g. validity bounds of the Fourier description at microscopic scale, influence of spatial and topological inhomogeneities featured by the substrate, etc), which still lack an exhaustive solution; on the other hand, from nanoscales to biological matter, the emergence of new materials poses a challenging number of practical problems (e.g. fluctuations, clusterizations, correlations, role of geometrical irregularities, etc), where the previous, theoretical approaches get an applicative relevance [1]–[4]. Discrete models, from simple or interactive random walks to classical spin models, play an important role for all such questions [5]–[15]. In particular, here we deal with an Ising system coupled with thermostats imposing an energy flow, and we study its behavior at microscopic scales.

The dynamics we adopted in order to simulate the system evolution is a microcanonical dynamics, recently introduced in [6], which has allowed for important enhancements in the study of heat flow in a spin lattice coupled to thermostats at arbitrary fixed temperatures. The advantages of this dynamics include the simple definition of a local temperature on each link, the capability of a direct computation of the conductivity (instead of mere diffusivity), the possibility of applying to an ample variety of discrete spin systems ranging from models with random couplings to models defined on inhomogeneous networks [15]. In particular, the cases of two-dimensional cylindrical systems, with ordered or random distributions of couplings $J_{ij} > 0$, have been considered in [6]. The cylindrical geometry of the lattice suggested to average observables (temperature, magnetic energy, etc) not only in time but also along the ‘columns’, i.e. periodic rings at equal distance from the thermostats, and hence orthogonal to the flux flowing along ‘rows’. This procedure clearly accelerates the numerical convergence to well stabilized stationary values. In this frame, the validity of the Fourier picture for the energy transport is ensured only on the average, by verifying that the average energy flux through a column is proportional to the average temperature difference between the sides of the column. However it is clear that,
due to quenched disorder, the local temperature fluctuates even within a single column. A natural question is then whether such spatial fluctuations influence the local transport properties.

In this perspective, a basic problem, constituting a non-trivial task in itself, is a self-consistent definition of microscopic currents able to account for the substrate inhomogeneity (here generically referring to topological disorder and/or non-constant couplings). In the present paper we give a consistent solution to this problem which, remarkably, applies to arbitrary, connected supports. The microscopic link currents originating in each microscopic move can indeed be defined independently of the subjacent geometry.

Afterward, this very general definition is used to check the validity of the Fourier law at microscopic scale, in the case of the disordered ferromagnetic cylinder, where couplings \( J_{ij} \) are extracted randomly within the interval \([1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]\), \(0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1\) being the amplitude of disorder. First, we verify to this end that, locally, the currents depend on the disorder in a non-trivial way. More precisely, local currents and temperatures depend not only on the relative coupling \( J_{ij} \), but also on the whole configuration of magnetic couplings. Second, we show that, notwithstanding such a complex behavior, a local conductivity can be defined on each link. In fact, in a linear response regime (i.e. for small enough temperature differences \( \Delta T \) at the borders), the ratio between the local currents and the local temperature gradients are independent of \( \Delta T \), providing a good definition for the local conductivities \( K_{ij} \). Clearly, each \( K_{ij} \) also depends in a non-trivial way on the system parameters, its value being indeed determined not only by the local coupling and temperature but also by the actual realization of the global disorder.

Despite such a complex situation, a simple correlation between local conductivities and local couplings is present, since on the average the conductivities increase with \( J_{ij} \). Hence, we introduced an approximate linear fitting \( K_{ij}^{\text{MF}} = AJ_{ij} + B \), where \( K_{ij}^{\text{MF}} \) are the approximate ‘mean-field’ conductivities and the constants \( A \) and \( B \) depend on the system parameters only, i.e. they are independent of the disorder realization. Within this approach the conduction properties (i.e. the currents) can be simply evaluated by solving the discretized linear Fourier equations with suitable boundary conditions. The approximated currents obtained in this way may be compared with the exact results of the fully nonlinear system. We show that the approach works quite well at large enough temperatures, while near \( T_c \) (the critical temperature of the equilibrium Ising lattice) correlations between local conductivities and currents play a much more important role, and the local ‘mean-field’ approach becomes less efficient. This linear approach for the evaluation of local currents allows one for example to detect links which, due to the particular disorder realization, are expected to be traversed by large currents and therefore to locate the possible critical bonds where the system may fail because of too large a load.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we briefly review the microcanonical dynamics exploited to simulate the evolution of the system and in section 3 we introduce a consistent definition of local currents. Then, in section 4 we present the numerical results focusing on local currents, on local conductivities and on their dependence on the coupling pattern, while in section 5 we show a mean-field approach to get an estimate of local currents as a function of a given, arbitrary set of couplings; finally section 6 is left for conclusions and perspectives.
2. Microcanonical dynamics

The very novelty of the dynamics introduced in [6] consists in assigning to the links, beside the usual magnetic energy $E_{ij}^m$ due to the spin configuration, a ‘kinetic’ energy $E_{ij}^k$, i.e. a non-negative definite quantity whose variation can compensate the positive or negative gaps of magnetic energy determined by the spin flips of the adjacent nodes. This is clearly different from the Creutz microcanonical procedure [16,17], where a bounded kinetic energy is defined on each node. Moreover, in the Creutz procedure both the energy boundedness and the connectivity of the nodes could entail many limitations, ranging from geometrical or topological constraints to the non-ergodicity of the system at low energy density. As shown in [6], the microcanonical dynamics used here allows one to overcome such limitations.

Precisely, for our dynamics, an elementary move consists in the following:

(i) starting from a random distribution of link energies, extract randomly a link $(i,j)$ and one of the possible four spin configurations for it;

(ii) evaluate the variation $\Delta E^m$ of the magnetic energy due to this choice, checking the whole neighborhood of the link. Obviously, if couplings are ferromagnetic, being $J_{nk} \in [1 - \varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon]$ for any link $(n,k)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\Delta E^m$ is a real number, and if $\varepsilon = 0$ then $\Delta E^m$ is an integer whose value depends on the connectivity of the $k$ and $n$ nodes;

(iii) if $\Delta E^m \leq 0$, accept the choice and increase the link kinetic energy $E_{ij}^k$ of $\Delta E^m$;

(iv) if $\Delta E^m > 0$, accept the choice and decrease the link kinetic energy of $\Delta E^m$ only if the link energy remains non-negative.

The unit time step will be a series of $N$ moves, where $N$ is the number of links. Since a link is defined only by the adjacent nodes, and nothing in the rule above refers to a definite structure (e.g. a lattice), the move is implementable on every non-oriented connected graph. This ensures the great generality of the dynamics.

Starting from the observation that magnetic and kinetic energies behave as non-correlated observables, in [6] many points have been supported by theoretical arguments and tested numerically, for both homogeneous and disordered links. In particular, at equilibrium the Boltzmann distribution is recovered and the system is ergodic at all temperatures. This opens the possibility to extend the definition of temperature $T_{ij}$ as a local observable, in fact a link observable, which recovers the averaged kinetic energy $\langle E^k_{ij} \rangle$. This holds also in non-equilibrium, stationary states, i.e. states forced by thermostats at different temperature.

As for thermostats, their definition requires in general the presence of contact boundaries, which for the cylinder are the first and last columns. In this case, every thermostat consists in a number of additional columns (two are enough) regulated at every step by the usual Metropolis equilibrium dynamics with the desired inverse temperature $\beta$.

3. Definition of local currents

In order to calculate local conductivities also in the presence of disorder (due to either topological and coupling inhomogeneity) it is necessary to define a current for each link.
We now introduce the scheme through which we are able to consistently assign a current to any arbitrary link in the structure considered. Such a scheme can be applied to a generic structure, as envisaged in figure 1.

In our dynamics energies are naturally assigned to each link; however in order to define link currents it is useful to assign one half of the link energy to each of its relevant nodes. Let us consider the link \((i, j)\) connecting \(i\) and \(j\); Let \(V_i\) and \(V_j\) their neighborhoods, \(z_i = |V_i|\) and \(z_j = |V_j|\) their respective coordinations. Then, \(k \in V_i\) and \(h \in V_j\) are the neighboring site labels for \(i\) and \(j\), respectively. We fix a direction for currents on adjacent links: currents on links connected through \(i\) are incoming while those connected through \(j\) are outgoing.

Now, given a spin-flip involving the link under consideration, namely either the \(i\)th spin, or the \(j\)th spin or both, for adjacent links as well as for \((i, j)\) we have a possible energy variation denoted with \(\Delta E_{ki}\), \(\Delta E_{jh}\) and \(\Delta E_{ij}\) respectively. For links \((k, i)\) and \((j, h)\) half of such variations contribute to the current on the link itself, since it represents the energy flow outgoing and incoming from the sites \(k\) and \(h\). Therefore we have

\[
I_{ki} = -\frac{\Delta E_{ki}}{2}, \quad I_{jh} = +\frac{\Delta E_{jh}}{2},
\]

where different signs derive from the flow direction we have chosen (see figure 1).

As for \(I_{ij}\) and the energy variation \(\Delta E_{ij}\), they satisfy the following

\[
\sum_{k \in V_i} I_{ki} - I_{ij} = \sum_{k \in V_i} \frac{\Delta E_{ki}}{2} + \frac{\Delta E_{ij}}{2}, \quad -\sum_{h \in V_j} I_{jh} + I_{ij} = \sum_{h \in V_j} \frac{\Delta E_{jh}}{2} + \frac{\Delta E_{ij}}{2}.
\]

In each of the above equations, the left-hand side represents the currents arriving and departing from \(i\) (and \(j\)) while the right-hand side is the consequent energy variation. Hence, we get \(\Delta E_{ij} = -\sum_{k \in V_i} \Delta E_{ki} - \sum_{h \in V_j} \Delta E_{hj}\), as consistent with energy conservation, and

\[
I_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\sum_{k \in V_i} \Delta E_{ki} + \sum_{h \in V_j} \Delta E_{jh} \right].
\]
This scheme works for any arbitrary topology and, of course, even in the presence of a disordered distribution of couplings: It only requires the knowledge of the local energy variations consequent to any spin-flip.

4. Numerical results

In the following we report and discuss the results obtained by means of Monte Carlo simulations performed on squared cylinders of $N = L \times L$ sites, for different realizations of disorder (encoded by the $N \times N$ matrix $J$); sites are labeled by an index ranging from 1 to $N$. The first and last columns composed of $L$ sites are open, in contact with thermostats at temperatures $T_1$ and $T_2$ (to fix ideas $T_1 < T_2$), and different choices of temperatures are considered. Since we are interested in local quantities, we especially focus on small sizes, which allow fast thermalization though displaying the relevant features of the nonequilibrium behavior (see [6]).

First of all, let us consider local currents and local temperatures. For a single realization of the disorder in the window $(1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)$, currents $I_{ij}$ may be calculated according to the scheme described in the previous section; one can also measure the local temperatures $T_{ij}$, which, for randomly distributed couplings, equal the relevant average kinetic energies $\langle E^k_{ij} \rangle$ [6]. Then, from such local temperatures $T_{ij}$, we can estimate a temperature $T_i$ to associate with each node, namely

$$T_i = \frac{1}{|V_i|} \sum_{j \in V_i} T_{ij}. \quad (3)$$

Therefore, the local temperature gradient among nodes $i$ and $j$ is naturally given by $\Delta T_{ij} = T_i - T_j$.

We remark that links perpendicular to the flow turn out to display very small (even comparable with numerical error) temperature gradients and average currents, therefore for the remainder of the section we focus on links parallel to the flow and belonging to the ‘bulk’ (where bulk is constituted by links between nodes not belonging to thermostats). In particular, numerical data for the average currents $\langle I_{ij} \rangle$ and $\Delta T_{ij}$, as a function of the pertaining coupling strength $J_{ij}$, are shown in figure 2; similar results are obtained for different realizations $J$. We notice that, being $\Delta T = T_2 - T_1$ the global difference of temperature, local gradients are distributed around the expected value $\Delta T/(L - 2)$, with large spread especially for low temperatures $T_1, T_2$ and a slight correlation with the pertaining couplings, that is, large interaction strengths $J_{ij}$ correspond to smaller gradients $\Delta T_{ij}$. Local currents display a larger degree of correlation: large interaction strengths $J_{ij}$ correspond to larger magnitudes for currents $\langle I_{ij} \rangle$. We also notice that different temperatures for thermostats give rise to similar, though shifted, distributions of data points. Other realizations of the same disorder give, of course, different point distributions, but the linear interpolation and the value of the fluctuations prove to be very robust, so that the definition of interpolating currents $\bar{I}_{ij}$ is reliable at every fixed $\epsilon$ and $T_1, T_2$. It is also noteworthy that, for a fixed $\Delta T$, currents are not monotonic in $T$: referring to figure 2 (left panel), their magnitude is maximum at $T = 3$, i.e. around the critical temperature expected for the (disordered) two-dimensional Ising model [6].

Moreover, when $\Delta T \ll 1$, the linear response theory holds: both the local currents $\langle I_{ij} \rangle$ and the local temperature gradients $\Delta T_{ij}$ are proportional to the global difference
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Figure 2. Local currents $\langle I_{ij} \rangle$ (left panel) and local temperature gradients $\Delta T_{ij}$ (right panel) versus the corresponding coupling $J_{ij}$ for a cylindrical lattice with linear size $L = 10$, $\Delta T = 0.2$ and different choices for $T_2$, as shown by the legend. The coupling pattern $\mathbf{J}$ is the same for all sets of data points depicted. Here and in the following figures 3, 4 and 6 only link $(i, j)$ parallel to the flow and belonging to the bulk (i.e. not in the first/last two columns making up the thermostat and affected by boundary effects) have been depicted.

of temperature $\Delta T$, as corroborated by the collapse of data points in figure 3, where the values relevant to different temperatures are compatible with the numerical error. In this perspective, given local currents and local gradients, we can introduce the local conductivities according to

$$K_{ij} = \frac{\langle I_{ij} \rangle}{T_i - T_j}, \quad (4)$$

which are well defined quantities describing the microscopic conduction of the system. We expect that equation (4) works also in the direction perpendicular to the flow (even if its numerical check is awkward due to the high instabilities of ratios between very small currents and temperature differences) and, moreover, it could be extended to generic topologies, at least in the regime of small gradients.

From energy conservation and equation (4) we obtain the local Fourier equation characterized by link dependent conductivities

$$\sum_{j \in V_i} K_{ij}(T_i - T_j) = -\frac{\partial \langle E_i \rangle}{\partial t}, \quad (5)$$

doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2010/10/P10021
Figure 3. Local currents $\langle I_{ij} \rangle$ divided by the global temperature difference $\Delta T$ as a function of the pertaining coupling $J_{ij}$. As explained by the legend, different gradients, namely $\Delta T = 0.05, 0.1$ and $0.2$, are compared, while $T_2 = 5$, the coupling pattern $\mathbf{J}$ and $L = 10$ are kept fixed. Notice that the average difference between data pertaining to $\Delta T = 0.05$ and $0.1$, and data pertaining to $\Delta T = 0.1, 0.2$ is, respectively, $0.3$ and $0.4$, therefore below the error $\approx 4\%$.

where $\langle E_i \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in V_i} \langle E_{ij} \rangle$ is the total energy relevant to site $i$; the continuum notation is used for convenience, with the usual warning about the meaning of derivatives in these discrete-time systems (see for instance [18]). In general terms, the expression in equation (5) describes a system where an external field, or gradient, along one axis and a fluctuating local field, or disorder, have been applied; the former makes the temperature increase by a constant amount per row of nodes, while the latter gives rise to currents non-trivially depending on the whole environment. Indeed, the same equation is also used in the context of random resistor networks [19], where the voltage and the conductance play the role of the temperature and of the conductivity, respectively.

We remark that conductivities $K_{ij}$ depend on system parameters in a very complex way, indeed their values are determined by the temperature $T$, by the degree of disorder $\epsilon$ and by the whole coupling pattern $\mathbf{J}$. Moreover, we verified that the dependences on the three arguments have intrinsic interplay, namely that given $K_{ij} = f(\mathbf{J}, T, \epsilon)$, factorizations such as $f(\mathbf{J}, T, \epsilon) = f_1(\mathbf{J}) \cdot f_2(T, \epsilon)$ are ruled out.

As shown in figure 4, local conductivities are correlated on the average with the local couplings, and we notice that the fitting curve has larger slope for smaller values of $\epsilon$. The ‘ordered system limit’, i.e. $\epsilon \to 0$, is in a sense singular, since the distributions of the $J_{ij}$ shrink in a single point. This behavior is consistent with a sublinear dependence of the range spanned by $K_{ij}$ with respect to $\epsilon$. Otherwise stated, as $\epsilon \leq 1$, the dispersion of the conductivities is more sensitive to the degree of disorder for smaller $\epsilon$.

Now, from such local conductivities it is possible to derive an estimate for the conductivity $K(T, \mathbf{J})$ expected for a system at a temperature $T$ and in the presence of...
Figure 4. Local conductivities $K_{ij}$ versus pertaining local couplings $J_{ij}$ for a square cylinder with linear size $L = 10$ and thermostats at temperatures $T_1 = 4.8$ and $T_2 = 5.0$, respectively; different degrees of disorder $\epsilon = 0.05, 0.1$ and $0.3$, have been considered and represented with different symbols, as shown in the legend. Linear curves represent the best fits, whose angular coefficients are approximately $358$, $279$ and $226$ respectively.

5. Mean-field approach

Within the linear response approach, local temperatures can be evaluated by solving the Fourier equation (5) imposing the stationarity of local energy at every node:

$$\sum_{j \in V_i} K_{ij}(T_i - T_j) = 0.$$  (7)

Suitable boundary conditions should be chosen forcing the temperatures at the boundaries to be fixed at $T$ and $T + \Delta T$ respectively. Then currents can be evaluated from the temperatures as $\langle I_{ij} \rangle = K_{ij}(T_i - T_j)$. Clearly, equation (7) is useless for practical calculations, as $K_{ij}$ has to be obtained from the (numerical) solution of the whole spin dynamics. Moreover, we have already evidenced that $K_{ij}$’s depend on the whole...
configuration of local couplings $J_{ij}$ in a non-trivial way. However figure 4 suggests that a simple ‘mean-field’ approximation should be possible by imposing $K_{ij}$ to be dependent only on the local coupling $J_{ij}$, in such a way that local conductivities are defined as

$$K_{ij}^{MF} = AJ_{ij} + B,$$

(8)

where $A$ and $B$ are the fitting parameters used in figure 4, depending on $T$ and $\epsilon$ only. It should be remarked that the fitting parameters $A$ and $B$ have been derived by working out data pertaining to links parallel to the flow, but the isotropy implicit in the mean-field approximation suggests that they hold also for perpendicular links.

Clearly, this approach is much simpler since, once $A$ and $B$ are known, the mean-field, local conductivities can be inferred for any realization of the disorder. Then, using the linear equations (7), one can obtain mean-field, local temperatures and currents by solving, with the suitable boundary conditions, the following equations:

$$\sum_{j \in V_i} K_{ij}^{MF} (T_{i}^{MF} - T_{j}^{MF}) = 0,$$

$$I_{ij}^{MF} = K_{ij}^{MF} (T_{i}^{MF} - T_{j}^{MF}).$$

In figure 6 we show that local, mean-field currents are indeed a good approximation of the exact results. More precisely, once the local deviations $\phi_{ij}$ and $\phi_{ij}^{MF}$ are defined as

$$\phi_{ij} = \langle I_{ij} \rangle - \bar{I}_{ij},$$

(9)

$$\phi_{ij}^{MF} = I_{ij}^{MF} - \bar{I}_{ij},$$

(10)
Figure 6. Main figure: $\bar{I}$ (line), $I_{ij}^{MF}$ (□) and $I_{nk}$ (●) versus the related coupling strength for $T_1 = 4.8$ and $T_2 = 5$; analogous results are found also for other temperatures. Inset: temperature dependence for the correlation parameter $C$.

we can quantify the correlation between the real values $\langle I_{ij} \rangle$ of currents, i.e. those obtained from numerical simulations, and the estimate values $I_{ij}^{MF}$, i.e. those obtained from the mean-field approach, by means of the correlation coefficient

$$C = \frac{\mathbb{E}(\phi_{ij} \cdot \phi_{ij}^{MF}) - \mathbb{E}\phi_{ij}\mathbb{E}\phi_{ij}^{MF}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\phi_{ij}^{MF})^2 - (\mathbb{E}\phi_{ij}^{MF})^2}},$$

(11)

where averages $\mathbb{E}$ are obtained summing over the whole set of links and $\bar{I}_{ij}$ is the value of the local current obtained with the linear fit of figure 2, left panel. Notice that $C$ ranges from $-1$ (anticorrelation) to $+1$ (correlation) and $C = 0$ means no correlation. We measured the quantity $C$ finding strictly positive values for all the temperatures considered. The positivity of correlation evidences that $I_{ij}^{MF}$ approximates currents $\langle I_{ij} \rangle$ better than $\bar{I}_{ij}$. This remarkable property is easily explained since the mean-field approach not only takes into account the correlations between local couplings, currents and conductivities highlighted in figures 2–4, but also takes into account the local conservation of energies encoded in equation (7) and representing one of the basic features of the microscopic spin dynamics. In particular, in contrast to $\bar{I}_{ij}$, currents $I_{ij}^{MF}$ are conserved at every node. It is also worth noting that larger values of $C$, and therefore a better efficiency of the mean-field approximation, are found for large temperatures. For instance, at $T = 5$ we get $C = 0.63$; as the temperature is lowered the correlation decreases, displaying a possible minimum around $T_c$. Critical effects apart, the mean-field approach seems to provide good estimates, especially for large temperatures: indeed for $T$ approximately larger than 3.5 one has $C > 0.5$ and we checked that at $T = 500$, $C$ is close to 0.94. We also underline that $C = 1$ means that local conductivities are purely local quantities, independent of the neighborhood.
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We remark that the links crossed by large currents may be sharply identified within this approximation, which, for example, captures the link evidenced in figure 6: although it has intermediate coupling value, it carries a large current. In other words, our approximation is able to locate the regions characterized by high currents which, in realistic scenarios, could lead to a failure of the link itself.

6. Conclusions and perspectives

In this work we addressed the general problem of a spin model on arbitrary discrete structures with a microcanonical dynamics, focusing on the conduction properties at microscopic scales. First, we introduced a consistent definition of local currents. Then we applied such a general definition in the case of a disordered ferromagnetic Ising model on a cylindrical structure, where boundaries are coupled with thermostats at different fixed temperatures. We highlighted that the local microscopic currents depend non-trivially on the whole distribution of quenched couplings and a consistent definition of local conductivity was introduced, at least in regime of linear response, i.e. when the temperature gradient $\Delta T$ is small.

In spite of the aforementioned dependence of local quantities (currents, temperatures and conductivities) on the whole disorder arrangement, numerical results evidenced a simple correlation between local conductivities and the pertaining local couplings. These correlations suggested the development of an approximate mean-field like approach, where local conductivities depend on local couplings only, and the conduction properties (i.e. the currents) can be easily evaluated by solving the discretized linear Fourier equations with suitable boundary conditions. Such an approximation is especially effective at large temperatures. With respect to previous work on the cylindrical spin model, the strict requirement on the smallness of $\Delta T$ is due precisely to the fact that in the present case we focus on the microscopic aspects.

This pattern of results naturally indicates preferential lines for future developments. First of all, the definition of currents holds on a general geometrical substrate. In a complex topological structure, some aspects of the framework we have worked out are expected to be still valid, in particular the presence of a linear response regime and the definition of local conductivities whose values may depend, however, in a non-trivial way on the topology of the underlying structure.

Moreover, an important point to investigate concerns the extent of correlation length, as a function of temperature, degree of disorder and topology. In other words, it would be interesting to determine the length of the radius such that the external pattern constitutes a practically uniform background, without any influence on the local currents and conductivities. Along the same line, the size $N$, which here was a fixed parameter, can be varied in order to study scaling of correlation length and the onset of mesoscopic effects. Also the response of local conductivity to small coupling perturbations would be in order. This kind of problem, at finite and low temperatures, obviously has to do with the correct definition of the mesoscopic scale we spoke about. At $T \gg 1$, analytical and numerical estimates say that this radius possibly reduces to one link, which means that the conductivity is a local property.

We also stress the interest of a more precise characterization of the behavior of the system both at low temperatures and for $T \approx T_c$, where the linear approximation has some...
difficulties, possibly not only of numerical nature. Finally, the whole set of results clearly indicates that we have an extremely flexible calculation engine ready for more complex realizations of disorder, including the case of null and negative couplings, i.e. diluted and spin-glass systems.
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