Embeddings as representation for symbolic music.
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ABSTRACT
A representation technique that allows encoding music in a way that contains musical meaning would improve the results of any model trained for computer music tasks like generation of melodies and harmonies of better quality. The field of natural language processing has done a lot of work in finding a way to capture the semantic meaning of words and sentences, and word embeddings have successfully shown the capabilities for such a task. In this paper, we experiment with embeddings to represent musical notes from 3 different variations of a dataset and analyze if the model can capture useful musical patterns. To do this, the resulting embeddings are visualized in projections using the t-SNE technique.
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1. Introduction

The breakthroughs seen in last years in machine learning have attracted a lot of attention into the field, usually, a lot of effort is put into the models which correspond to the training phase of the project, however, the way the data is represented is not a trivial aspect and it can easily improve the results of the models without having to do any change in them.

Particularly in Natural Language Processing (NLP), the necessity of a good representation of the words that achieves some implicit context understanding is important \cite{Turian et al., 2010}. A typical representation to feed in a machine learning model is the binary one-hot vector, in this case, an array with as many positions as words in the vocabulary is created, and the words are represented by a version of the array containing a one digit in the position corresponding to the word. For example, the sentence "I like eating bread and eating cheese", would have as vocabulary the set "I", "like", "eating", "bread", "and", "cheese", thus the representation of this words would be 6-dimensional binary one-hot vectors like "I" = 100000, "like" = 010000, cheese = 000001. As you can imagine, this representation has no context understanding at all, since all words are completely independent, "bread" and "cheese" are as different between them as "I" and "like", which for a human is not the case.
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We can make a similar exercise with music and imagine a vocabulary were instead of words we have notes, similarly to NLP we would like to include in the representation some understanding of musically related notes.

There is a common representation technique used in NLP called ‘word embedding’ [Mikolov et al., 2013a] which has successfully solved this problem, it transforms words in points in a vectorial space. Embeddings can locate semantically close words in near spacial segments. The context can be interpreted using the words surrounding the target in the sentences or go further using the syntactic context [Levy and Goldberg, 2014]. Authors in [Baroni et al., 2014] shows how the approach of predicting the context instead of just counting words yields superior results.

Numerous ways to represent music has been used across the years in different research, the most predominant method is the use of representations based in arrays, which can be direct representations like in the 12-bit codification in [Hörnel, 1998] or the binary array used in [Johnson, 2017]. Another option is making dimensional transformations of the array like [Kaliakatsos-Papakostas et al., 2010] with its Dodecaphonic Trace Vector and [De Prisco et al., 2017] and its token.

Word embeddings [Mikolov et al., 2013a] fall in the latter category and has been already successfully used to represent music. In [Madjiheurem et al., 2016, Herremans and Chuan, 2017] the authors used it as a way to extract important patterns.

1.1. t-SNE algorithm

An important aspect with embeddings is the visualization, as the vectorial spaces where the points are located are high dimensional, we need some technique to make projections in 2 and 3 dimensions so that we can have a visual insight of the patterns being captured. t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE) [Van Der Maaten and Hinton, 2008] is a non-linear dimensionality reduction technique where the similarity in the low dimensional space (projection) is calculated based in conditional probabilities. Its non-linearity lets the method preserve the local structure of the data, which makes it good projecting clusters.

In this experiment we use a model thought originally for words [Mikolov et al., 2013b] with a monophonic music dataset and show the patterns and clusters that emerge using the t-SNE visualization algorithm with tensorboard, we also analyzed how the embeddings vary respect to the type of database (normal, augmented through transposition, and represented as intervals instead of notes). Section 3 analyse the resulting embeddings.

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows: Chapter 2 presents the dataset and procedure done. Chapter 3 analyses the patterns found in the projections and tables. Finally, chapter 4 give general conclusions.

2. Experiments

2.1. Dataset

We use the mono-midi-transposition-dataset, this is a dataset containing 3 variations of monophonic pieces from musescore: 1) The control dataset where each element $x_i$ in the $x$ array is each note of each piece and each element $y_i$ in the $y$ array is the
subsequent note of element xi. 2) The DB12 dataset which is a data augmentation of the control dataset created making a transposition of each piece in it to the other 11 tonalities, making this dataset 12 times bigger. 3) The interval dataset, where we take the relative semitone changes between notes instead of the notes itself i.e. (C4,D4,G4,F4) → (2,5,-2).

2.2. Procedure

A recurrent neural network based in LSTM cells was trained using the 3 datasets, in the first part of the neural network an embedding component containing an encoder transforms the elements of the sequence in embeddings with a dimension of 128, this means each note or interval will be represented as a point and positioned in a vectorial space with 128 dimensions, the embeddings are trained simultaneously with the neural network, and at the end, projections in 2D and 3D are created using the t-SNE algorithm.

3. Results

To understand which patterns are possible to learn with the embeddings, this section visualizes one sample of the embeddings and analyses some concrete cases for each dataset. Figure 1 shows a projection in 3d of the embeddings which have an original dimension of 128, for the selected model for the control dataset and LSTM cell. We can visually notice some groups: very low notes from octaves 2 and 3 (fig. 1A), high notes from octaves 8, 9 and 10 (fig. 1C), middle notes from the most common octaves 4 and 5 (fig. 1D) and finally, notes from very different octaves, but all with alterations (fig. 1B). This exemplifies the kind of semantic patterns that embeddings allow to catch.

![Figure 1.: Control dataset 3D projection of Embeddings (size 128)](image)

Table 1 and figures 2a, 2b and 2c summarises some information of the embeddings and shows the 10 nearest neighbours to a selected point for each dataset. In the case of the control and DB12 versions, the embeddings correspond to notes. It is interesting that while the control case has 111 embeddings, the DB12 (12 times bigger) only has 118, the reason is that even if the DB12 has 12 times more songs, the notes these songs have will be in the same possible range of midi notes (0-127).
For the embeddings of these 2 datasets, the selected point was central C (C5)\(^1\) in the control case, the nearest neighbors are from quite distant octaves (E10, E9 and C9) and from the same octave are the notes F and G (tab. 1a and fig 2a).

(a) Control data set
(b) DB12 data set
(c) Interval data set

Figure 2.: 2D Projection of 10 nearest neighbours to selected points

For DB12 (tab. 1b and fig 2b), the 3 nearest points in the vectorial space are the same note in different octaves (C4, C6 and C8). From the same octave are the notes F and G. The relation with C through the different octaves can be the consequence of a better understanding of the note because of the dataset augmentation.

In the case of the interval dataset, the embeddings represent the changes between notes; there are 76 of them in the data set (tab. 1c and fig 2c). In this case, the point selected was positive 3, this represents a change of 3 semitones up (a minor third), which is a typical interval in music. The nearest points are a minor second (1), a major second below (-2), a perfect fourth (5), a major third below (-4) and a unison (0), which means a repetition of the same note.

\(^1\)Here is important to clarify that even when the acoustical society of America (ASA) defines the central C as the one in the fourth octave (C4), there are other conventions for the number accompanying the note name. This is the case for the most midi hardware manufacturers who take the octave 0 (corresponding to the midi notes 0-11) as the lowest octave, in opposition, to ASA that defines octave -2 as the lowest. Therefore, the midi table assigns 60 (central C) to C5. Just keep in mind, that every time that central or middle C appears in the paper, it refers to the 261 Hz C.
4. Conclusions

The results of section 3 show that embeddings can capture semantic patterns in the musical context exactly as they do with words in NLP. No matter if the data used is based on notes like is the case with the control and DB12 dataset, or intervals, the vectorial space where the points are represented can capture features as octave relationship between pitch classes as shows figure 2b and table 1b, or notes with alteration clusters separated to natural notes as shown in figure 1B. It is also remarkable how the closest neighbors to the minor third interval in the case of the interval dataset are other members of the 12 main intervals like the minor second, the perfect fourth and the major third.

The potential of encoding the data as embeddings before feeding it into a predictive model like a neural network to improve the learning results is promising since this way the data is going to have already some implicit knowledge from scratch.
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