Eggshell as a calcium source replacing limestone meal in mink (Neovison vison) diets
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Introduction

Dietary adequate intake of available Ca is essential for normal bone growth and development and many metabolic reactions. Recent literature on mineral requirements in mink is very limited, but the requirement for Ca and P in mink is estimated at 0.4% on a dry matter (DM) basis in the growing mink and 0.6% in lactating mink, assuming a Ca:P ratio of 1:1–2:1 (NRC, 1982). In mink fed large amounts animal by-products with contents of bone, diets are likely to contain Ca levels above the requirements and to exhibit a favourable Ca:P ratio. However, diets based on soft-tissue animal by-products as fish, meat and organ tissues, and cereal grain sources, may contain abundant P but are likely to be deficient in Ca. In addition, high levels of P and phytate in plant ingredients may inhibit Ca absorption (Denstadli et al., 2010). These diets must be balanced by a Ca source with low contents of P to ensure fulfilled dietary Ca requirement and adequate Ca:P ratio.

Eggshell is a large natural source of Ca with a potential to cover dietary Ca requirements. Eggshell contains about 34% Ca, mainly as calcium carbonate (94%), together with minor amounts of...
calcium phosphate, magnesium carbonate and organic substances (Murakami et al., 2007; Ray et al., 2017). Large amounts of eggshells are discarded as residues with an environmental impact challenge. Thus the required Ca to secure nutritional adequacy may be acquired from eggshell as a readily available low-cost alternative rather than using common commercial supplements (Kingori, 2011; Waheed et al., 2019). Furthermore, the use of eggshell as a dietary Ca source may contribute to reduce draining of limestone reserves, a non-renewable natural Ca resource (Oliveira et al., 2013).

Limestone meal is a common inorganic Ca supplement in animal diets. The aim of the present work was to study the effects of replacing limestone meal with ground chicken eggshell in moist mink diets on the apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of Ca and P, growth performance, and physical measures and mineral content of femur bone. Moreover, nutrient digestibility in mink has been shown to correlate with digestibility in dogs and the mink model (Ahlstrøm and Skrede, 1998; Vhile et al., 2005; Tjernsbekk et al. 2014) and also may provide indications of Ca availability in canines.

**Material and methods**

**Ethical approval**

The study was in accordance with the institutional and national guidelines for the care and use of animals (Norwegian Ministry of Agriculture and Food, 1996, 2009). A specific permission to perform the study was not required since no sampling were done in live animals. The research farm laboratory has a general permission to carry out digestibility determinations in mink as the size of cages are identical to those approved for production animals.

**Animals, facilities and management**

The experiment was carried out on two treatment groups of six multiparous lactating females of black genotype fed diets containing either ground eggshell or limestone meal from May 9 to weaning on June 15 (lactation period) and continued with 10 male kits from each respective group until termination on November 28 (growing period, 164 days). The lactating females were chosen among animals that gave birth in the period of April 25–May 3. The females were distributed to each group to balance litter size (average 6.2), sex ratio (approximately 50% males and 50% females) and birth date (average April 30). The females were kept in semi-outdoor houses in climbing cages (bottom cage: length 77 cm, width 39 cm, height 46 cm; top cage: length 66 cm, width 39 cm, height 46 cm). The bottom cage was equipped with a nest box and both cages contained activity objects. Feed was provided two times a day in a bowl placed into the bottom cage. Leftovers were registered at every feeding. Daily feed intake was recorded for each litter, including female and pre-weaning kits. Females and kits were weighed after weaning of the kits on June 16. The same day the females were euthanized with CO2 gas and both femurs were dissected and cleaned of soft tissues. The bones were boiled and dried before length and thickness were measured and ground preceding preparation for ash and mineral analyses.

In the follow up growth study with mink kits, groups were balanced according to body weight. The feeding procedure and housing conditions were the same as in the lactation period. Body weights were recorded every three weeks. At the end of the study the kits were euthanized with CO2 gas and both femurs were dissected, measured and analysed as for the females.

**Digestibility study**

Apparent digestibilities of Ca and P in the growth diets were determined a week after weaning (starting on June 24) with 7–8-week-old male kits, with an average body weight of 0.80 kg. Six kits from each group were randomly chosen and kept in metabolic cages (length 77 cm, width 39 cm, height 46 cm) designed for collection of faeces and separation of urine. The study lasted for seven days, starting with an adaptation period of three days followed by a four-day period of daily faecal collection. To the feed was added 0.2% yttrium oxide as an inert marker for calculating Ca and P digestibilities. Feed allowance was adjusted to cover the metabolizable energy requirement for maintenance and growth of 1140 kJ/day (Lassén et al., 2012).

**Diets**

The moist basal feeds contained mainly raw slaughterhouse by-products and fish together with maize starch and soyabean protein concentrate (Table 1). Ingredients with relatively low Ca content were chosen. The basal feeds were produced by a commercial feed plant (Vom og Hundemat AS, Trøgstad, Norway). The basal feeds were packed in portions of 1 kg and stored frozen at −20 °C pending thawing at room temperature for about 16 h before fed.

Eggshell was provided as a finely ground powder from the production plant of Nortura at Revetal, Norway. The eggshell membrane was removed mechanically before grinding. The eggshell was
Table 1. Formulation and analysed chemical composition of basal diets, calculated content of metabolizable energy (ME), and percent of ME derived from protein, fat and carbohydrate (P:F:C). Limestone and eggshell supplementation are given in Table 3.

| Indices             | Lactation period | Growth period |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Formulation, g/kg   |                  |               |
| cattle rumen        | 250              | 250           |
| swine lungs         | 300              | 310           |
| salmon scrap        | 40               |               |
| pangasius filet     | 67               | 50            |
| blood meal          | 50               | 30            |
| soybean protein concentrate | 30   | 30            |
| maize starch        | 80               | 120           |
| soybean oil         | –                | 30            |
| cellulose powder    | 5                | 5             |
| vitamin/mineral premix | 2          | 1             |
| sodium phosphate    | 10               | –             |
| water               | 106              | 124           |
| Chemical composition|                  |               |
| dry matter (DM), g/kg | 37            | 35            |
| ash, g/kg DM        | 43               | 45            |
| crude protein, g/kg DM | 435          | 439           |
| crude fat, g/kg DM  | 262              | 265           |
| carbohydrates, g/kg DM | 260        | 245           |
| Calculated ME       |                  |               |
| total ME content, MJ/kg DM | 20.0    | 19.9          |
| ME distribution, P:F:C, % | 36:46:18       | 37:46:17      |

1 contained per kg: IU: vit. A 2 000 000, vit. D$_3$ 200 000; mg: vit. E 50 000, vit. B$_6$ 15 000, vit. B$_2$ 3 000, vit. B$_3$ 3 000, vit. B$_1$ 19.5, pantothenic acid 3 332, niacin 5 004, biotin 30, folic acid 300, Fe II sulphate 610, Fe fumarate 15 280, Fe chelated 4 110, Cu II sulphate 1 250, Mn oxide 7 502, Zn oxide 9 998, I (Ca-iodine) 63.5, Se (Na selenite) 99.9, Co (Co carbonate) 60, carrier substance: Ca carbonate 564 g.

ground to <0.5 mm to ensure homogenous particle size. The limestone was a commercial product from Visnes Kalk AS (Lyngstad, Norway) with a particle size <0.5 mm. Analysed chemical composition of limestone and eggshell is shown in Table 2. Table 3 shows analysed contents of Ca and P in complete diets, and contribution of Ca from the experimental Ca sources.

Table 2. Chemical composition of limestone and eggshell, g/kg

| Indices    | Limestone | Eggshell |
|------------|-----------|----------|
| Dry matter | 1000      | 994      |
| Ash        | 1000      | 957      |
| Crude protein | nd       | 31       |
| Calcium    | 388       | 286      |
| Phosphorus | 0.05      | 0.73     |

nd – not detected

Chemical analyses

Chemical analyses except for minerals were performed at the laboratory at Faculty of Biosciences, Norwegian University of Life Sciences.

Feeds, eggshell powder and limestone were analysed for DM (ISO 6496, 1999), ash (ISO 5984, 2002), and crude protein (CP) as Kjeldahl-N × 6.25 (AOAC International, 2002; method 2001.11). Crude fat (CF) was determined with petroleum ether and acetone extraction in an Accelerated Solvent Extractor (ASE 200) from Dionex (Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Carbohydrate was calculated by difference: carbohydrates = DM – (CP + CF + ash).

For determination of minerals (Ca, P, Mg, K, Y), methods described in NS EN ISO 17294-2 were applied. For determination of Y, samples were digested with concentrated ultrapure HNO$_3$ at 250 °C using a Milestone microwave UltraClave III (Milestone Srl, Sorisole, Italy). Samples were then diluted to 10% HNO$_3$ concentration. All elements were analysed by inductively coupled plasma optical mission spectrometry (ICP-OES analysis) with a Perkin Elmer Optima 5300 DV (PerkinElmer Inc., Shelton, CT, USA) at Eurofins Food and Feed Testing (Moss, Norway).

Calculations and statistical analysis

Apparent Ca and P digestibilities were determined by using the formula:

\[
Yij = \mu + ai + \varepsilon ij
\]

where: \( \mu \) – general mean, \( ai \) – fixed effect of diet, \( \varepsilon ij \) – random error component.

The results are presented as least-square means, and significant differences between means (\( P < 0.05 \)). Measure of variance is presented as the standard error of the mean (SEM).

Results

Chemical composition of diets

A similar basal feed proximate composition, metabolizable energy (ME) content and distribution of ME between protein, fat and carbohydrate in the lactation and growing periods were noted (Table 1). The contents of Ca in the complete diets were about three times higher in the lactation period in comparison with the growth period. Within periods, levels of Ca and proportion of Ca from the supplemental Ca sources were similar for the limestone and eggshell groups (Table 3).
Table 3. Analysed dietary Ca and P content and Ca:P ratio, and supplemental Ca from limestone and eggshell as g/kg dry matter (DM) and as proportion of analysed dietary content

| Experimental Ca source | Lactation period | Growth period |
|------------------------|------------------|---------------|
|                        | limestone       | eggshell      | limestone | eggshell   |
| Ca analysed, g/kg DM   | 0.76            | 0.72          | 0.21      | 0.25       |
| P analysed, g/kg DM    | 0.49            | 0.33          | 0.26      | 0.26       |
| Ca:P ratio             | 1.55            | 2.18          | 0.80      | 0.96       |
| Supplemented Ca, g/kg DM | 0.513        | 0.449         | 0.077     | 0.065      |
| Proportion of dietary Ca from supplemental sources, % | 67          | 63           | 27        | 26         |

Lactation period

All females kept good body condition during the lactation period and there was no significant difference in body weight at weaning (Table 4). The body weight of kits at weaning was higher in the eggshell group than in the limestone group. The weight difference was significant in male kits ($P < 0.02$) and approached significance in female kits ($P < 0.06$). Physical measures and mineral content of female femurs revealed no significant differences between diets.

Table 4. Mean mink female and kit body weights at weaning, and weight, length, thickness and mineral content of female femur at weaning

| Indices                     | Limestone | Eggshell | SEM | P-value |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|-----|---------|
| Body weight (BW), g         |           |          |     |         |
| females                     | 1248      | 1186     | 68  | 0.54    |
| male kits                   | 586       | 650      | 18  | 0.02    |
| female kits                 | 521       | 562      | 15  | 0.06    |
| Femur, females              |           |          |     |         |
| weight, g                   | 2.20      | 2.28     | 0.12| 0.62    |
| length, mm                  | 46.9      | 48.3     | 0.81| 0.26    |
| thickness, mm               | 4.3       | 4.3      | 0.1 | 0.96    |
| weight, % of BW             | 0.18      | 0.19     | 0.01| 0.30    |
| ash, g/kg dry matter        | 621       | 628      | 0.47| 0.39    |
| Ca, g/kg ash                | 366       | 367      | 2.4 | 0.78    |
| P, g/kg ash                 | 193       | 192      | 1.6 | 0.67    |
| Mg, g/kg ash                | 5.3       | 5.4      | 0.1 | 0.62    |
| K, g/kg ash                 | 1.1       | 1.3      | 0.1 | 0.50    |

SEM – standard error of the mean

Growing period

Feed intake in June/July was higher in the limestone group than in the eggshell group, but the pattern changed, and the eggshell group had the highest feed intake from August to termination of the study (Figure 1). Total feed intake was slightly, but not significantly, higher in the eggshell group in comparison with the limestone group, whereas feed intake per unit body weight gain (FCR) was significantly ($P < 0.004$) lower in the eggshell group (Table 5). Body weights from June to August did not significantly differ between groups.

Table 5. Mean body weights, body weight gain, final body length, feed consumption, and weight, length, thickness and mineral content of femurs in mink kits

| Indices                     | Limestone | Eggshell | SEM | P-value |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|-----|---------|
| Body weight (BW), g         |           |          |     |         |
| June 24                     | 824       | 815      | 17  | 0.72    |
| August 9                    | 1688      | 1722     | 45  | 0.41    |
| September 19                | 2073      | 2336     | 68  | 0.01    |
| October 31                  | 2295      | 2666     | 90  | 0.01    |
| November 28                 | 2382      | 2772     | 98  | 0.01    |
| BW gain, g                  | 1558      | 1958     | 88  | 0.005   |
| Final body length, cm       | 42.2      | 43.7     | 0.45| 0.04    |
| Total feed intake kg        | 31.2      | 32.7     | 1.2 | 0.35    |
| FCR, g/g gain               | 20        | 17       | 0.6 | 0.004   |
| Femur, weight, g            | 3.48      | 3.77     | 0.13| 0.13    |
| length, cm                  | 50.1      | 53.3     | 0.6 | 0.002   |
| thickness, mm               | 4.73      | 4.82     | 0.09| 0.51    |
| weight, % of BW             | 0.15      | 0.14     | 0.004| 0      |
| Ash, g/kg DM                | 568       | 564      | 0.80| 0.73    |
| Ca, g/kg ash                | 372       | 372      | 0.95| 0.99    |
| P, g/kg ash                 | 182       | 183      | 0.53| 0.94    |
| Mg, g/kg ash                | 6.2       | 6.5      | 0.2 | 0.25    |
| K, g/kg ash                 | 1.59      | 1.55     | 0.1 | 0.75    |

SEM – standard error of the mean

All measures of body weights from September 19 to termination on November 28, final body length, and length of femur were significantly higher for the eggshell group than for the limestone group (Table 5). There were no differences in Ca, P, Mg and K concentrations in femur bone ash. However, since femur weight was slightly higher in animals fed eggshell, the retained mineral quantities were highest on the eggshell diet.

Digestibility study

The ATTD of Ca (Figure 2) showed a tendency towards higher values for the eggshell diet than for the limestone diet ($P < 0.06$). There was no difference between diets in the ATTD of P. The Ca from the supplemental sources only accounted for
27% (limestone) or 26% (eggshell) of total dietary Ca. Assuming similar digestibility of Ca from the basal ingredients in both diets, it was indicated that the digestibility of Ca from eggshells was higher than corresponding value for limestone.

**Discussion**

As a carnivorous species with short digestive tract, rapid passage and minor intestinal microbial activity, the differences in mineral utilization among different dietary sources may be greater in mink than in most other species. Chicken eggshell is widely available and can be converted to an animal feed supplement by low investment processes. Still, it is a relatively unknown Ca source in animal diets. Eggshell powder has shown, however, to be a good source of Ca for young growing pigs (Schaafsma and Beelen, 1999) and can replace calcium carbonate mined from non-renewable sources. An effective use of eggshell as a Ca source in mink diets depend on assessment of effects on digestibility and growth performance when fed to mink. To our knowledge, this study is the first to report the comparison of eggshell and limestone meal as Ca sources in mink diets.

The digestibility of Ca in different calcium sources is a crucial factor affecting Ca requirements. Ca is absorbed in the ionic state, i.e. Ca 2+ ions (Bronner and Pansu, 1999), by active or passive absorption mainly from the small intestine. Gastric acids and enzymes promote the release of ionic Ca. In dogs, active Ca absorption plays a dominant role in Ca deficient animals, whereas passive absorption is dominant during Ca excess (Tryfonidou et al., 2002). The eggshell and limestone meal used in our study had similar particle size, and particle size and solubility of Ca sources have minor influence on Ca absorbability in rats (Shahnazari et al., 2009), pigs (Ross et al., 1984; Merriman and Stein, 2016) and humans (Heaney et al., 1990).

As pointed out by Cargo-Froom et al. (2019), there is a scarce of knowledge on mineral digestibility in canines fed commonly used feed ingredients. The digestibility of Ca and P in mink fed different supplemental Ca sources has not been reported yet. Studies on pigs indicate similar digestibility of Ca in calcitic limestone and calcium carbonate, the main component of eggshell (Stein et al., 2011). In our study, the ATTD of Ca in diets with added ground eggshell tended to be higher than in diets with limestone meal. The high ATTD of both Ca and P was probably related to dietary contents below requirement and the high requirement for bone growth in rapidly growing young kits. The supplemental Ca from eggshell or limestone comprised less than 30% of the total Ca levels in the growing period. Thus, about 70% of total dietary Ca came from other sources, and higher ATTD of Ca in the eggshell diet than in the limestone diet can be explained by considerably higher digestibility of Ca from eggshell than from limestone. This may indicate easy ionization of eggshell Ca in the stomach. The diets used in our study had identical ingredient and nutrient composition except for the supplemental Ca sources, and the digestibility trials were carried out during a period of rapid growth and high Ca need for mineralization of the growing skeleton. Moreover, the kits had been fed their respective diets from weaning at six weeks of age and were thus well adapted to their diets prior to the digestibility trial.

The growing diets were formulated to contain suboptimal Ca levels to increase sensitivity to availability differences. According to Hazewinkel et al. (1991) and Hill et al. (2001) there is an inverse relationship between Ca content in the diet and Ca digestibility in dogs. Other studies with dogs have shown a lack of adaptation of Ca absorption when challenged with different Ca intake (Mack et al., 2015; Schmitt et al., 2018). However, the ATTD of Ca in dogs may decrease if Ca levels are below the requirement due to a greater proportion of endogenous losses (González-Vega et al., 2013). Increasing Ca levels may not influence the ATTD of Ca in pigs, but decrease P digestibility (Stein et al., 2011). In our study, the difference in total level of Ca in the diets was moderate and may not have influenced the comparison of Ca and P digestibility between diets.
It is well known that dietary Ca deficiency can reduce bone formation and bone mineral density. In our study, dietary eggshell increased femur length of mink kits in comparison with limestone, while femur weight, thickness and contents of Ca and P were unaffected, indicating no disturbances of skeletal development. The increased femur length may not be indicative of differences in bone growth related to experimental Ca sources but was rather due to increased body size of animals fed eggshell.

In the present study it was shown that supplemental ground eggshell tended to improve feed intake, body weight gain and feed efficiency in comparison with limestone meal. However, both groups showed lower energy intake and body growth than recommended in practical farming in male mink kits (Lassén et al., 2012) during the last part of the study. The reason was probably the suboptimal dietary fat content. Commercial feeds normally have about 15% higher ME content and 55% average ME from fat in the last part of the growing-furrying period. Higher dietary fat content will give higher energy intake and body weights due to higher fat deposition. Typical final body weights in males is around 3600 g (Lassén et al., 2012). It is well known that Ca deficiencies has negative effects on body growth, but the data on bone parameters did not indicate the animals suffered from a deficiency. Furthermore, we are not aware of previous studies indicating effects of Ca sources on growth performance in mink. The differences in growth performance are thus difficult to explain, although the diets used in the growing period had suboptimal Ca contents in comparison with requirement figures (NRC, 1982). Conceivably, the high ATTD of Ca for the eggshell diet may have had a compensatory beneficial effect on animal performance. The ground eggshell used in our study contained 3.1% CP, indicating a considerable content of eggshell membranes. This minor amount would not be expected to influence dietary protein supply, but the membranes are known to contain bioactive compounds and are used in sports nutrition to increase performance of athletes (Kingori et al., 2011). Thus, the positive effects of chicken eggshell on growth performance in mink may deserve further studies.

Conclusions

Eggshells derived from egg processing are waste products with a potential as a natural source of calcium carbonate in animal diets. The obtained values of apparent Ca and P digestibility, bone density and growth performance in mink, have shown that eggshell was a similar or better source of Ca than limestone meal. It can be concluded that eggshell may be preferred over limestone as a Ca source in mink diets. It is suggested that ground eggshell is a promising Ca source to support an adequate Ca intake in canines.
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