The Cyclase-Associated Protein Cap1 Is Important for Proper Regulation of Infection-Related Morphogenesis in Magnaporthe oryzae
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Abstract

Surface recognition and penetration are critical steps in the infection cycle of many plant pathogenic fungi. In Magnaporthe oryzae, cAMP signaling is involved in surface recognition and pathogenesis. Deletion of the MAC1 adenylate cyclase gene affected appressorium formation and plant infection. In this study, we used the affinity purification approach to identify proteins that are associated with Mac1 in vivo. One of the Mac1-interacting proteins is the adenylate cyclase-associated protein named Cap1. CAP genes are well-conserved in phytopathogenic fungi but none of them have been functionally characterized. Deletion of Cap1 blocked the effects of a dominant RAS2 allele and resulted in defects in invasive growth and a reduced intracellular cAMP level. The Δcap1 mutant was defective in germ tube growth, appressorium formation, and formation of typical blast lesions. Cap1-GFP had an actin-like localization pattern, localizing to the apical regions in vegetative hyphae, at the periphery of developing appressoria, and in circular structures at the base of mature appressoria. Interestingly, Cap1, similar to LifeAct, did not localize to the apical regions in invasive hyphae, suggesting that the apical actin cytoskeleton differs between vegetative and invasive hyphae. Domain deletion analysis indicated that the proline-rich region P2 but not the actin-binding domain (AB) of Cap1 was responsible for its subcellular localization. Nevertheless, the AB domain of Cap1 must be important for its function because CAP1 ΔAB only partially rescued the Δcap1 mutant. Furthermore, exogenous cAMP induced the formation of appressorium-like structures in non-germinated conidia in CAP1 ΔAB transformants. This novel observation suggested that AB domain deletion may result in overstimulation of appressorium formation by cAMP treatment. Overall, our results indicated that CAP1 is important for the activation of adenylate cyclase, appressorium morphogenesis, and plant infection in M. oryzae. CAP1 may also play a role in feedback inhibition of Ras2 signaling when Pmk1 is activated.

Introduction

Magnaporthe oryzae, a heterothallic ascomycete, is the causal agent of rice blast, which is one of the most destructive diseases of rice in the world. It infects the rice plant in a manner typical of many foliar pathogens. Germ tubes differentiate into specialized infection structures called appressoria. The fungus generates turgor pressure as high as 8 MPa in mature appressoria for plant penetration [1]. In M. oryzae, appressorium formation can be induced by attachment to hydrophobic surfaces that mimic the rice leaf surface. On hydrophilic surfaces, treatments with cAMP, cutin monomers, or primary alcohols induce the formation of melanized appressoria. To date, at least three putative sensor genes, PTH11, CBP1, and MSB2, have been reported to be involved in the recognition of physical and chemical signals of plant leaves [2,3,4]. In M. oryzae, cyclic AMP (cAMP) is known to be the intracellular secondary messenger. Molecular studies have further confirmed the role of the cAMP-PKA pathway in surface recognition [5,6,7]. The CPE1 gene encoding a catalytic subunit of PKA is required for normal appressorium formation and plant infection [8,9]. The Mac1 adenylate cyclase responsible for the synthesis of intracellular cAMP is required for appressorium formation and pathogenesis [5,6]. The surface attachment and recognition signals must be somehow relayed from the surface sensors to the activation of MAC1, which may involve changes in the actin cytoskeleton because germ tube tip deformation is the initiation stage of appressorium formation. In mammalian cells, adenylyl cyclase AC8 orchestrates its downstream activity to yield a high output signaling by its association with actin cytoskeleton [10]. Although it is dispensable for surface recognition, the Pmk1 MAP kinase pathway is known to regulate late stages of appressorium formation, appressorial penetration, and invasive growth in M. oryzae [11,12]. In a number of plant pathogenic fungi, the orthologous MAPK cascade is conserved for the regulation of various infection or developmental processes [13].
In *M. oryzae*, the surface recognition signals must be conveyed by cAMP signaling to the Pmk1 MAPK pathway for appressorium formation and plant penetration, but the exact molecular mechanism is not clear. The adaptor protein of the Pmk1 MAPK cascade, Mst50, is known to interact with both Mst11 and Mst7. It also interacts with the two Ras proteins in *M. oryzae*, Ras1 and Ras2, in yeast two-hybrid assays. Ras2 is likely involved in the activation of both cAMP-PKA and Pmk1 MAP kinase pathways [12,14]. Transfomers expressing the dominant active allele of *RAS2* form melanized appressoria on both hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces.

In the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Ras2 regulates the activation of adenyl cyclase (AC). The yeast Cyr1 adenyl cyclase is associated with a 70-kDa cyclase-associated protein (CAP) that was identified in a RAS-responsive adenyl cyclase complex [15]. The CAP gene also was identified as *SRV2* in a genetic screen for suppressors of a constitutive active complex [15]. The Δ*cap* mutant was defective in germ tube growth and appressorium formation and failed to cause typical blast lesions. Like LifeAct, Cap1 localized to apical patches in vegetative hyphae but not in invasive hyphae. The P2 proline-rich region was important for Cap1 localization but the actin-binding domain played a role in feedback inhibition of Ras signaling. To our knowledge, functional characterization of CAP genes has not been reported in filamentous fungi. Our results indicate that CAP1 is important for regulating adenylate cyclase activities, appressorium morphogenesis, and plant infection. Further characterization of CAP1 will be important to better understand the interaction between cAMP signaling and the PMK1 pathway in *M. oryzae*.

**Results**

**Identification of proteins associated with Mac1 in vivo**

To identify genes interacting with *MAC1* in *M. oryzae*, we generated the 3×FLAG knock-in construct and transformed it into the wild-type strain 70-15 (Table 1). In the resulting transformant MFG1, a 242-kDa band of expected size of Mac1-3×FLAG fusion was detected by an anti-FLAG antibody (Fig. S1A). For affinity purification, proteins bound to anti-FLAG M2 beads were eluted and digested with trypsin. Proteins that co-purified with Mac1-3×FLAG were identified by mass spectrometry (MS) analysis as described [28]. One of the Mac1-interacting genes (Table 2) is *MGG_01722* that encodes a protein highly similar to Srv2 in *S. cerevisiae* [29]. Srv2 is a cyclase-associated protein (CAP) involved in the Ras/cAMP pathway. Other proteins that co-immunoprecipitated with Mac1 included several components of the protein phosphatase PP2A and a number of hypothetical proteins unique to *M. oryzae* (Table 2). In mammalian cells, catalytic and regulatory subunits of PP2A also were co-immunoprecipitated with the N-terminal region of adenyl cyclase 8 [30].

**Cap1 physically interacts with Mac1**

MGG_01722.6 was named *CAPI* (for cyclase-associated protein 1) and selected for further characterization in this study. It has an AC-binding (ACB) domain (2–166 aa), two proline-rich regions P1 (257–290 aa) and P2 (355–377 aa), and a C-terminal actin-binding (ACB) domain (378–534 aa) (Fig. 1A). In *S. cerevisiae*, Srv2 interacts with the C-terminal alpha helix region of Cyr1 adenyl cyclase via its tandem repeats of the heptad motif aXXxAxxx [31], which are conserved in *CAPI* and its orthologs in other fungi (Fig. S1B). In *M. oryzae*, Cap1 interacted with the C-terminal region of Mac1 (1926–2160 aa, Mac1CT) in yeast two-hybrid assays (Fig. 1B), suggesting the direct association between Cap1 and Mac1.

To confirm their interaction, the *MAC1*-3×FLAG and *CAPI*-GFP fusion constructs were co-transformed into protoplasts of strain 70-15. One of the resulting transformant was CMT8 (Table 1). In western blot analysis with total proteins isolated from the transformant CMT8, the anti-FLAG and anti-GFP antibodies detected a 30-kDa and a 85-kDa band, respectively. In proteins eluted from anti-FLAG M2 beads, the 85-kDa Cap1-GFP band
was detected with an anti-GFP antibody in transformant CMT8 but not in transformant MCF12 (Fig. 1C). Transformant MCF12 expressing the MAC1FLAG-3×FLAG construct only (Table 1) was the negative control. These results indicate that Cap1 interacts with Mac1 in M. oryzae.

To determine whether the N-terminal ACB domain is important for the interaction of Cap1 with Mac1, the CAP1ΔMACB-GFP and MAC1Δ3×FLAG constructs were co-transformed into the wild type strain 70-15. In the resulting transformants, both Cap1ΔMACB-GFP and MAC1Δ3×FLAG were expressed. In proteins eluted from anti-FLAG M2 beads, the 30-kDa MAC1Δ3×FLAG band was detected by an anti-FLAG antibody. However, we failed to detect the 67-kDa Cap1ΔMACB-GFP band (Fig. S2), indicating that deletion of the ACB domain eliminated the interaction between Cap1 and Mac1. These results indicate that the N-terminal ACB domain of Cap1 is essential for its interaction with Mac1.

To further characterize the Cap1-Mac1 interaction in vivo, the CAP1-NYFP and MAC1Δ3×CYFP fusion constructs were generated and transformed into protoplasts of the wild type strain 70-15 for bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays. In the resulting transformant CMB14 (Table 1), weak YFP signals were observed in the cytoplasm of vegetative hyphae and conidia. In appressoria, stronger YFP signals were observed in the cytoplasm and on cytoplasm membrane (Fig. 2). The presence of YFP signals on the cytoplasm membrane is consistent with the membrane association of adenylyl cyclase in mammalian cells, yeast, and other organisms [32,33,34]. These results confirmed

---

### Table 1. Wild-type and mutant strains of *Magnaporthe oryzae* used in this study.

| Strain | Genotype description | Reference |
|--------|----------------------|-----------|
| 70-15  | Wild-type (MAT1-1, AVR-Pita) | Chao & Ellingboe, 1991 |
| Guy11  | Wild-type (MAT1-2, AVR-Co39) | Leung et al. 1988 |
| Ku80   | *Mgyku80* deletion mutant of Guy11 | Villaalba et al., 2008 |
| m78    | Δpmk1 mutant | Xu & Hamer, 1996 |
| HC82   | Δcap1 deletion mutant of Ku80 | This study |
| HC83   | Δcap1 deletion mutant of Ku80 | This study |
| HF12   | Δcap1 mutant of Guy11 | This study |
| HF13   | HF12 transformed with CAP1 | This study |
| HF14   | HF12 transformed with CAP1ΔACB | This study |
| HF15   | HF12 transformed with CAP1Δ3×FLAG | This study |
| HC10   | CAP1Δ375–534 knock-in transformant of Ku80 | This study |
| CH07   | CAP1 complementation transformant (Δcap1/CAP1) | This study |
| CH10   | CAP1Δ375–534/CAP1 complementation transformant | This study |
| HZ90   | RAS2Δ transformant of Guy11 | This study |
| XY22   | RAS2Δ transformant of the Δcap1 deletion mutant | This study |
| X160   | CAP1-3×FLAG transformant of the Δcap1 mutant | This study |
| X161   | CAP1-GFP transformant of the Δcap1 mutant | This study |
| X1109  | CAP1ΔACB-3×FLAG transformant the Δcap1 mutant | This study |
| X194   | CAP1ΔACB-GFP transformant of the Δcap1 mutant | This study |
| X1110  | CAP1ΔACB-3×FLAG transformant the Δcap1 mutant | This study |
| X105   | CAP1ΔACB-GFP transformant of the Δcap1 mutant | This study |
| X195   | CAP1ΔACB-GFP transformant of the Δcap1 mutant | This study |
| X244   | CAP1ΔACB-GFP transformant of the Δcap1 mutant | This study |
| MCF12  | MAC1-3×FLAG transformant of 70-15 | This study |
| CMT8   | CAP1-GFP and MAC1Δ3×FLAG transformant of 70-15 | This study |
| DCN22  | CAP1ΔACB-GFP and MAC1Δ3×FLAG transformant of 70-15 | This study |
| CMB14  | CAP1-NYFP and MAC1Δ3×CYFP transformant of 70-15 | This study |
| MF01   | MAC1-3×FLAG knock-in transformant of Guy11 | This study |
| CG5    | Transformant of mutant HC10 expressing GAS2-GFP | This study |
| HC1-6  | CAP1ΔACB Δpmk1 mutant | This study |
| HC1-39 | CAP1ΔACB Δpmk1 mutant | This study |
| MC20   | LifeAct-GFP and CAP1-mCherry transformant of Guy11 | This study |
| LA31   | LifeAct-GFP transformant of Guy11 | This study |
| XY001  | CAP1ΔACB-GFP transformant of the Δcap1 deletion mutant | This study |
| XY002  | CAP1ΔACBΔΔ2–166 knock-in transformant of Ku80 | This study |
| XY111  | CAP1ΔACBΔΔ2–166 knock-in transformant of Ku80 | This study |
that Cap1 interacts with Mac1 in vivo. The interaction between Cap1 and Mac1 may be weak during vegetative growth but enhanced during appressorium formation.

**CAP1 rescues the defects of the srv2 mutant**

The amino acid sequence of *M. oryzae* Cap1 shares 40% identity with yeast Srv2. To test whether CAP1 is functional in *S. cerevisiae*, the CAP1 ORF was cloned into pYES2 and transformed into the srv2 mutant, which is hypersensitive to osmotic and oxidative stresses [35]. The resulting Trp + yeast transformants containing the pYES2-CAP1 construct grew better than the original srv2 mutant on medium containing 5 mM H2O2 or 1 M NaCl (Fig. 1D). In contrast, strains transformed with the empty pYES2 vector were as sensitive as the original srv2 mutant. The resulting transformant CH07 (Table 1) was normal in growth and conidiation (Table 3). It also was normal in appressorium formation and plant infection (see below), indicating that the reintroduction of the wild-type CAP1 allele fully complemented the defects of the *Acap1* mutant.

**Abnormal germ tube growth and appressorium morphology in the Δcap1 mutant**

On hydrophilic surfaces, like the wild type, the *Acap1* mutant failed to form appressoria. It still formed melanized appressoria on hydrophobic surfaces but the efficiency of appressorium formation per germ tube was significantly reduced (Table 3). In addition, germ tubes of the *Acap1* mutant had abnormal morphology and growth patterns. Besides producing branching germ tubes with uneven width (Fig. 3A), 54.0 ± 1.9% of the conidium compartments produced more than one germ tubes in the *Acap1* mutant. Subapical swollen bodies similar to those observed in the *pmk1* mutant [37] were often formed by germ tubes of the *Acap1* mutant (Fig. 3A). We also assayed appressorium formation with hyphal tips on hydrophobic surfaces. Whereas less than 10% of the hyphal tips in the *Acap1* mutant developed appressoria, majority of hyphal tips formed appressoria by 48 h in *Ku80* (Fig. 3B). Most of the *Acap1* hyphal tips that attached to hydrophobic surfaces formed irregular apical and intercalary swellings (Fig. 3B), further indicating the importance of CAP1 in appressorium formation.

**Deletion of CAP1 suppresses the effects of RAS22DA on appressorium formation**

Expressing the dominant active allele RAS22DA in the wild type resulted in the over-activation of the cAMP and Pmk1 pathways and formation of appressoria on both hydrophilic and hydrophilic

| Gene ID   | Predicted function                                           |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| MGG_01722.6 | Adenylate cyclase-associated protein, orthologous to yeast SRV2 |
| MGG_05671.6 | Regulatory subunit A of PP2A, orthologous to yeast TPD3      |
| MGG_05637.6 | Regulatory subunit B of PP2A, orthologous to yeast CDC55     |
| MGG_06099.6 | Catalytic subunit A of PP2A, orthologous to yeast PPH22      |
| MGG_12130.6 | Regulatory subunit B of PP2A, orthologous to RTS1            |
| MGG_09935.6 | Activator of the phosphotyrosyl phosphatase activity of PP2A, orthologous to yeast RRD2 |
| MGG_12118.6 | Serine/threonine-protein kinase, orthologous to IKC1          |
| MGG_06482.6 | MAP kinase kinase, orthologous to MKK1                       |
| MGG_02846.6 | Orthologous to yeast SRB8                                     |
| MGG_03174.6 | Orthologous to yeast Srv2, with a GYF domain                 |
| MGG_03232.6 | Orthologous to yeast SOG2, with a leucin rich repeat          |
| MGG_03942.6 | Orthologous to yeast BOP1                                     |
| MGG_04190.6 | Orthologous to yeast SRP68                                    |
| MGG_07112.6 | Hypothetical protein unique to *M. oryzae*                    |
| MGG_01805.6 | Hypothetical protein unique to *M. oryzae*                    |
| MGG_09997.6 | Hypothetical protein unique to *M. oryzae*                    |
| MGG_10480.6 | Hypothetical protein unique to *M. oryzae*                    |
| MGG_10588.6 | Hypothetical protein unique to *M. oryzae*                    |
| MGG_10688.6 | Hypothetical protein unique to *M. oryzae*                    |
| MGG_10588.6 | Hypothetical protein unique to *M. oryzae*                    |
| MGG_03216.6 | Conserved hypothetical protein in filamentous fungi           |
| MGG_11259.6 | Conserved hypothetical protein in filamentous fungi           |
| MGG_08391.6 | Conserved hypothetical protein in filamentous fungi           |

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002911.t002

Table 2. Putative Mac1-interacting genes identified by affinity purification.
Figure 1. Assays for the interaction of CAP1 with MAC1 and its function in yeast. A. The domain structure of *M. oryzae* Cap1, *S. cerevisiae* Srv2, and human Cap1(hCap1). ACB, AC-binding domain; P1 and P2, proline-rich regions; AB, actin-binding domain. B. Yeast transformants expressing the CAP1 prey and MAC1CT bait constructs were assayed for growth on SD-Trp-Leu and SD-His plates and β-galactosidase activities (LacZ). The Mst11-Mst50 and Pmk1-Mst50 interactions were the positive and negative controls. C. Co-IP assays. Western blots of total proteins and proteins eluted from anti-FLAG M2 beads from transformant CMT (CAP1-GFP and MAC1CT-3xFLAG) and transformant MCF (MAC1CT-3xFLAG) were detected with anti-FLAG or anti-GFP antibodies. D. Yeast cells (10^6 to 10^8 cells/ml) of BY4741, Δsrv2 mutant, and Δsrv2-CAP1 or Δsrv2-pYES2 transformants were assayed for growth on YPGal (galactose) plates with or without 5 mM H2O2 or 1 M NaCl.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002911.g001
Because SRV2 was first identified as a suppressor of the dominant active mutation of Ras, we introduced the RAS2DA allele into the Dcap1 mutant. The resulting Dcap1 RAS2DA transformant XY22 (Table 1) failed to form appressoria on hydrophilic surfaces (Fig. 4). In contrast, the RAS2DA transformant of wild type Guy11 [14,38] formed appressoria on hydrophilic surfaces. On hydrophobic surfaces, the Dcap1 RAS2DA transformant, similar to the original Dcap1 mutant, formed melanized appressoria.

![Figure 2. BiFC assays for the Cap1-Mac1 interaction.](image)

Vegetative hyphae, conidia, and appressoria of transformant CMB14 expressing the CAP1-NYFP and MAC1CT-CYFP constructs were examined by DIC and epifluorescence microscopy. Bar = 10 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002911.g002

**Table 3.** Phenotypes of the cap1 mutant in growth, conidiation, and plant infection.

| Strain       | Growth rate (mm/day) | Conidiation (×10⁵ spores/plate) | Appressoria/germ tube (%) | Lesions/5 cm leaf tip (%) | Appressorium penetration (%) |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Ku80 (wt)    | 3.3±0.1              | 296.3±28.8                       | 96.2±1.8 A*               | 51.3±4.5 A               | 68.4±5.4 A                   |
| HC83 (Δcap1) | 1.7±0.2              | 112.1±13.4                       | 513±1.7 C                 | 94±2.1 D                 | 152±3.2 D                   |
| CH07 (Δcap1/CAP1) | 3.3±0.1           | 310.5±21.2                       | 95.0±2.0 A               | 47.3±5.2 A               | 62.5±4.8 A                   |
| HC10 (CAP1ΔA) | 2.7±0.1              | 259.7±5.9                        | 91.5±1.4 A               | 31.5±1.3 B               | 49.6±5.1 B                   |
| XY111 (CAP1ΔCΔB) | 1.8±0.1            | 153.6±11.6                       | 59.6±2.7 C               | 11.5±2.9 D               | NA                           |
| XY244 (CAP1ΔDΔO) | 3.1±0.2             | 307.3±25.3                       | 69.0±1.2 C               | 17.3±4.3 C               | 332±1.9 C                    |

*aGrowth rate and conidiation were measured on complete medium (CM). Mean and standard deviation were calculated with results from three replicates.
*bAppressorium formation is expressed as the percentage of germ tubes producing appressorium.
*cLesion formation was examined on infected rice leaves 7 days post-inoculation.
*dMeans and SD values were calculated from at least three independent experiments.
*eAppressorium penetration was assayed on onion epidermis at 48 h after inoculation.
*fThe same letter indicated there was no significant difference. Different letters were used to mark statistically significant differences (P = 0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002911.t003
The Δcap1 mutant has a reduced intracellular cAMP level
To determine whether CAP1 is functionally related to the activation of MAC1 in M. oryzae, we assayed the intracellular cAMP content in vegetative hyphae. The Δcap1 mutant had a higher cAMP level than the Δmac1 mutant. However, its intracellular cAMP level was significantly reduced in comparison to that of strain Ku80 or Guy11 (Fig. 5A). MAC1 is the sole adenylate cyclase gene in M. oryzae. Detection of cAMP in the Δmac1 mutant likely was from the background. These results indicate that Cap1 is not essential for Mac1 activation but plays an important role in the full activation of Mac1 in M. oryzae.

Exogenous cAMP partially suppresses the defects of the Δcap1 mutant
Because the Δcap1 mutant had a reduced intracellular cAMP level, we assayed the effect of exogenous cAMP. On hydrophobic surfaces, cAMP treatment suppressed germ tube branching and growth defects of the Δcap1 mutant (Fig. 5B). Melanized appressoria were formed on short germ tubes in the presence of 5 mM cAMP.

On hydrophilic surfaces, 43.0 ± 2.3% of the mutant germ tubes formed appressoria by 24 h in the presence of 5 mM cAMP. Under the same conditions, 80.1 ± 3.7% of the wild-type germ tubes formed appressoria. In addition, the majority of appressoria induced by cAMP treatment in the Δcap1 mutant had abnormal morphology (Fig. 5C). These results indicate that the Δcap1 mutant still responds to cAMP treatment. CAP1 must function upstream of cAMP by regulating the activation of Mac1. However, the defects of the Δcap1 mutant in appressorium morphology suggest that CAP1 also plays a role in the proper regulation of responses to exogenous cAMP for appressorium morphogenesis.
The Δcap1 mutant is significantly reduced in virulence

In spray infection assays with two-week-old rice seedlings of cultivar CO-39, numerous blast lesions were observed on leaves sprayed with Ku80 or the complemented transformant at 7 dpi (Fig. 6A). Under the same conditions, fewer and smaller lesions were observed on leaves sprayed with the Δcap1 mutant (Fig. 6A). The number of lesions caused by the Δcap1 mutant was reduced about 6-fold in comparison with Ku80 (Table 3). More importantly, typical blast lesions with extensive necrotic zones were rarely or not observed on leaves inoculated with the Δcap1 mutant (Fig. 6A). On wound-inoculated leaves, the Δcap1 mutant also caused fewer and smaller lesions outside the wound sites than Ku80 and the complemented transformant (Fig. 6A). Even at the wounding sites, the Δcap1 mutant caused no or only limited necrosis. These results indicate that the CAP1 gene plays a critical role in lesion development and spread of blast infection.

CAP1 is important for appressorial penetration and invasive growth

To further characterize the defects of the Δcap1 mutant in plant infection, we conducted penetration assays with rice leaf sheaths. Penetration by appressoria formed by the Δcap1 mutant was reduced over 4 folds. Even for those appressoria that successfully penetrated, invasive hyphae formed by the Δcap1 mutant inside plant cells were narrower than those of Ku80. At 48 hpi, approximately 15% of the appressoria penetrated into rice leaf sheath cells and had limited growth of unbranched, non-bulbous invasive hyphae. Most of invasive hyphae were restricted to the penetrated cells. Under the same conditions, invasive hyphae formed by Ku80 grew extensively in the penetrated and neighboring cells (Fig. 6B). Even at 72 hpi, invasive hyphae of the Δcap1 mutant were restricted to the initial penetrated cells at 41.2±1.6% of penetration sites examined (Fig. 6B). At the sites
where invasive hyphae had spread into neighboring plant cells (56.2±2.9%), the Δcap1 mutant still had limited growth and rarely branched (Fig. 6B). These data indicate that the Δcap1 mutant was defective in invasive growth, which may be directly responsible for the reduced lesion size or limited necrotic zones. Failure to develop branching and bulbous invasive hyphae indicates that switching to pseudohyphal growth from primary invasive hyphae may be blocked or significantly attenuated in the Δcap1 mutant.

The expression and subcellular localization of Cap1-GFP proteins

A CAP1-GFP fusion construct was generated and transformed into the Δcap1 mutant. In the resulting transformant XY61 (Table 1), GFP signals were mainly in the apical regions of hyphae or germ tubes (Fig. 7A). The localization pattern of Cap1-GFP was similar to that of actin patches in A. nidulans [39]. In developing appressoria, GFP signals were distributed in the peripheral regions (Fig. 7A). However, in mature appressoria, Cap1-GFP fusion proteins were mainly localized in globular structures in the appressorium pore area (Fig. 7A; Video. S1). The transition of Cap1-GFP localization from the periphery to the base of the appressoria may be related to actin cytoskeleton rearrangement that occurs during appressorium morphogenesis. Because CAP proteins bind to G-actin, the globular structures at the base of appressoria may serve as the deposits of G-actins prior to penetration.

When the CAP1-GFP transformant XY61 was treated with cytochalasin A (CytA), an inhibitor of actin elongation, the subcellular localization pattern of Cap1-GFP in hyphae was completely disrupted. Instead of forming apical cortical patches, GFP signals were mainly observed in large cytoplasmic aggregates in the presence of CytA (Fig. 8A; Video S2, S3). CytA treatment also disrupted the normal localization pattern of Cap1 during appressorium formation (Fig. 8B; Video S4, S5), indicating that subcellular localization of Cap1 was changed from the actin-like pattern to the cytoplasm in both hyphae and appressoria.

We then examined the localization of Cap1-GFP in invasive hyphae. In rice leaf sheath cells, invasive hyphae formed by the CAP1-GFP contained bright spots of GFP signals in the cytoplasm.
However, we failed to observe actin-like patches at the apical region of invasive hyphae. The difference between vegetative and invasive hyphae in the localization of Cap1-GFP may be related to differences in the role of actin cytoskeleton in hyphal growth. Bulbous invasive hyphae are morphologically distinct from vegetative hyphae and may lack the typical hyphal tip elongation mechanism.

Localization of LifeAct-GFP proteins

To further confirm whether Cap1 has the actin-like distribution pattern, we generated a LifeAct-GFP construct and transformed it into the wild-type strain Guy11. In the resulting transformant LA31 (Table 1), LifeAct-GFP mainly localized to patches in the apical region of germ tubes and hyphal tips. It also displayed a localization pattern similar to Cap1-GFP in developing and mature appressoria (Fig. 7A). GFP signals were mainly observed in the periphery of developing appressoria and globular structures at the base of mature appressoria (Fig. 7A). In invasive hyphae formed by the transformant LA31 inside rice leaf sheath cells, we failed to observe actin-like patches or Cap1-like bright spots, further indicating that vegetative and invasive hyphae differ in the actin cytoskeleton organization at the tip.

While LifeAct binds to F-actin, the C-terminal domain of CAP1 proteins binds to G-actin. In this study, we observed that Cap1-GFP and LifeAct-GFP had a similar localization pattern. To distinguish their subcellular localization patterns, we generated the CAP1-mCherry construct and co-transformed it with LifeAct-GFP into Guy11. In the resulting transformant MC20 (Table 1) expressing both constructs, LifeAct-GFP and Cap1-mCherry had similar localization patterns, but they were not co-localized in most of the cytoplasmic regions in vegetative hyphae, conidia, and appressoria (Fig. 9).

The ACB domain is essential for the function of CAP1

To determine its function, we generated the AB domain knock-in deletion construct in which residues 378-533 of CAP1 were deleted. After transforming into the wild-type strain 70-15, the resulting CAP1AB transformant HC10 (Table 1) was confirmed by Southern blot analysis (Fig. S4). On OTA plates, transformant HC10 grew faster than the Δcap1 mutant but still slower than Ku80 (Fig. 10A). Conidiation was normal in the CAP1AB transformant HC10 (Table 3), suggesting that the actin-binding domain is dispensable for the function of CAP1 in conidiation. In infection assays with rice seedlings, transformant HC10 caused more lesions than the Δcap1 mutant, but it was still reduced in virulence compared with Ku80 (Fig. 10B, Table 3). Similar results were observed in infection assays with barley seedlings. Therefore, although it is dispensable for conidiation, the AB domain of CAP1 is important for the normal function of Cap1 and plays a role in plant infection and hyphal growth.

We also generated and transformed the CAP1ACB knock-in deletion construct into protoplasts of the wild-type strain Ku80. The phenotype of the CAP1ACB transformants XY111 and XY002 (Table 1) was similar to that of the Δcap1 mutant. All the defects associated with deletion of CAP1 were observed in the CAP1ACB transformants, including reduced appressorium formation, production of branching germ tubes (Fig. 10A), and reduced virulence (Fig. 10B). Thus, the ACB domain is essential for Cap1 function in M. oryzae.

The P2 region, not the AB domain, is required for the subcellular localization of Cap1

We then generated the CAP1AB-GFP construct and transformed it into protoplasts of the Δcap1 mutant. In the resulting
CAP1DAB-GFP transformant XY105 (Table 1), GFP signals had the Cap1WT-like localization pattern in appressoria (Fig. 11A) and hyphal tips (Fig. 11B). Therefore, the C-terminal AB domain is not essential for the subcellular localization of Cap1 in *M. oryzae*.

Because the AB domain is dispensable for Cap1 localization, we transformed the CAP1DACB-, CAP1DP1-, and CAP1DP2-GFP constructs that were deleted of residues 2–166, 257–290, and 355–377, respectively, into protoplasts of the cap1 mutant. In transformants expressing the CAP1DACB-GFP or CAP1DP1-GFP constructs (Table 1), the localization of GFP signals was the same as the Cap1WT-GFP transformant in appressoria (Fig. 11A) and hyphal tips (Fig. 11B). In the CAP1DP2-GFP transformants XY244 and XY001 (Table 1), GFP signals were observed in the cytoplasm in appressoria (Fig. 11A) and vegetative hyphae (Fig. 11B). The Cap1WT-like localization pattern of GFP-signal was not observed in conidia or invasive hyphae of the CAP1DP2-GFP transformants (Fig. S5). These results indicate that the P2 region is responsible for the subcellular localization of Cap1 in *M. oryzae*. On hydrophobic surfaces, CAP1DP2/cap1 transformants produced long, branching germ tubes and were reduced in appressorium formation efficiency (Fig. 12A). In spray infection assays, the CAP1DP2/cap1 transformant XY244 formed 17.3±6.4 lesions/5 cm leaf tip, which was significantly lower than 51.3±4.5 lesions/5 cm leaf tip caused by the wild type (Fig. 12B). These results indicated that the P2 region

Figure 8. Cytochalasin A (CytA) treatment disrupted normal subcellular localization of Cap1 in vegetative hyphae (A) and during appressorium formation (B). A. Hyphae of the CAP1-GFP transformant treated with (c and d) or without (a and b) CytA were examined by DIC and fluorescence microscopy. Panels a and c were GFP images. Panels b and d were composites of GFP and DIC images. B. Conidia harvested from the CAP1-RFP transformant were incubated on hydrophobic surfaces for 16 h and examined by DIC and fluorescence microscopy. Panels a and b were non-treatment controls. Panels c and d were samples treated with CytA for 15 min. before examination. Panels b and d were composites of GFP and DIC images. Bar = 5 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002911.g008
of Cap1 is required for normal appressorium formation and virulence.

Melanized compartments of conidia are induced by exogenous cAMP in the CAP1ΔAB transformant

Because the AB domain of CAP1 is important for full virulence, we assayed appressorium formation in the CAP1ΔAB transformant HC10 (Table 1). On hydrophobic surfaces, melanized appressoria were formed by transformant HC10 by 24 h (Fig. 13A). On hydrophilic surfaces, it produced branching germ tubes and subapical swollen bodies but not melanized appressoria (Fig. 13B). On hydrophilic surfaces, cAMP treatment induced appressorium formation on short germ tubes in the CAP1ΔAB strain (Fig. 13C). Some of the melanized appressoria were formed immediately adjacent to the germinating conidia without visible germ tubes (Fig. 13C). Interestingly, approximately 40% of the CAP1ΔAB conidia became melanized in one of the conidium compartments in the presence of 5 mM cAMP (Fig. 13C). In hundreds of conidia examined, only one conidium compartment was melanized. The other two compartments in the same conidium often were empty and dead by 24 h, which is similar to what has been observed in conidia after appressorium formation [40]. However, exogenous cAMP failed to induce the formation of melanized conidium compartments in the CAP1ΔAB transformant on hydrophilic surfaces (Fig. 13C), indicating that the surface recognition signal could suppress the effect of cAMP treatment on improper melanization of conidium compartments.

PMK1 is required for the melanization of conidium compartments induced by cAMP

To determine whether melanized conidium compartments were similar to appressoria, we introduced the GAS2-GFP construct [41] into the CAP1ΔAB transformant. GAS2 encodes a cytoplasmic protein specifically expressed during late stages of appressorium formation (Fig. S6A) [41]. The resulting transformant CGS (Table 1) expressed GFP signals in the melanized conidium compartments, suggesting that those were appressorium-like structures (Fig. 14A). When stained with DAPI, melanized conidium compartments induced by 5 mM cAMP contained a single nucleus (Fig. 14B). The other two compartments were devoid of DAPI staining at 24 h. These results indicate that exogenous cAMP induced the formation of appressorium-like structures in conidia without germination.

We also transformed the CAP1ΔAB construct into the Δpmk1 mutant mn78 that was defective in appressorium formation on hydrophobic surfaces [37]. In the resulting Δpmk1/CAP1ΔAB transformant HC1-39, cAMP treatment failed to induce the formation of melanized conidium compartments (Fig. 14C). Exogenous cAMP also failed to induce appressorium formation in the Δpmk1/CAP1ΔAB transformant on hydrophilic surfaces (Fig. S6B). Therefore, like appressorium formation, the development of melanized conidium compartments is under the control of Pmk1.

Discussion

The cAMP-PKA pathway is involved in surface recognition, appressorium turgor generation, and invasive growth in M. oryzae [8,15,37]. In other plant pathogenic fungi, cAMP signaling also has been implicated in the regulation of various differentiation and infection processes [42,43]. In this study, proteins associated with the Mac1 adenylate cyclase in M. oryzae were identified by affinity purification. One of the Mac1-interacting proteins was the adenyl cyclase associated protein Cap1. CAP proteins are well

Figure 9. Assays for co-localization of LifeAct and Cap1. Vegetative hyphae, conidia, and appressoria (16 h) of transformant MC20 expressing the LifeAct-GFP and CAP1-mCherry constructs were examined under DIC and epifluorescence microscopy with GFP and mCherry-specific filters. The bottom panel was generated by merging the GFP and mCherry images.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002911.g009
conserved from yeast to humans [44]. The Cap1 protein of *M. oryzae* has the typical structural features (Fig. 1A) of CAPs. The well-conserved N- and C-terminal regions of CAP1 are rich in α-helix and β-sheet structures, which are known to interact with adenyly cyclase and actin monomers, respectively [45,46]. Recently, the N-terminal region of yeast and mammalian CAP proteins were reported to bind to the cofilin-actin complex, which promotes the actin turnover [19,47]. We also noticed that the C-terminal region of Mac1 has the heptad motif that is sufficient for coiled-coiled interactions with Cap1 (Fig. 1A; Fig. S1B). Unlike their association with actin cytoskeleton, the function of CAP proteins in regulating the adenylyl cyclase activity was not conserved in animals and plants [10,44,48].

Adenylyl cyclase was reported to be an integral transmembrane protein in mammalian cells [32] and a peripheral membrane protein in the budding yeast [33]. In BiFC assays, Cap1 and Mac1 appeared to weakly interact with each other in vegetative hyphae, conidia, and appressoria. Their interaction was enhanced during appressorium formation and localization of YFP signals to the cytoplasmic membrane was observed (Fig. 2). In *S. cerevisiae*, Cyr1 adenylyl cyclase is activated by Ras2 and enriched in a subset of G-protein-containing fractions of cytoplasm membrane [49]. Although it is not essential, the interaction of Srv2 with Cyr1 and posttranslationally modified Ras2 [50] is important for the Ras-dependent activation of Cyr1. Ras proteins are known for their membrane-anchoring functions in recruiting effector molecules to the cytoplasmic membrane [51]. In yeast, disruption of the IRA1 Ras GTPase gene released the majority of adenylylate cyclase activities (90%) to the cytosol, which was significantly more than 20% in the wild-type cells [52]. In *M. oryzae*, the interaction of Cap1 with Ras2 and Mac1 may also play a role in the recruitment of Mac1 to the cytoplasm membrane and its activation.

The Δcap1 mutant had a reduced intracellular cAMP level, indicating that CAP1 is involved in the cAMP-PKA pathway, possibly by regulating the activity of adenylyl cyclase. Cap1 interacted with Mac1 in yeast two-hybrid and co-IP assays (Fig. 1B, 1C). In *S. cerevisiae*, a small N-terminal region of Srv2 is sufficient for its association with Cyr1 and function in the Ras-adenylyl cyclase pathway [31]. L16P and R19T mutations of Srv2 resulted in attenuated cAMP signaling and reduced cortical actin patch localization [16]. Our data showed that the N-terminal region of CAP1 is essential for its interaction with Mac1 but not its actin-like localization pattern in *M. oryzae* (Fig. S2). The phenotype of the CAP1ΔACB/Δcap1 transformant was similar to that of the Δcap1 mutant, further confirming the importance of the ACB domain in Cap1 function. Because the Δcap1 mutant had a higher intracellular cAMP level than that of the mac1 mutant and it still recognized hydrophobic surfaces for appressorium formation, we conclude that CAP1 is not essential for surface recognition. The full activation of Mac1 was reduced but not completely blocked in the Δcap1 mutant. In *S. cerevisiae*, Srv2 plays an important role in normal activation of Cyr1 and the direct interaction between Cyr1 and
Ras2. Srv2 was first identified as a suppressor of a hyper-activated RAS2V19 allele in yeast, indicating their genetic association [29].

We also found that deletion of CAP1 suppressed the improper formation of melanized appressoria on hydrophilic surfaces in the RAS2DA transformant (Fig. 4). Therefore, Cap1 may also facilitate the interaction of Ras2 with Mac1 in M. oryzae.

On hydrophobic surfaces, most of the germ tubes of the Δcap1 mutant were morphologically abnormal (Fig. 3A). It appeared that these germ tubes attempted to form appressoria but failed to be arrested in tip growth. In addition, branching germ tubes were often observed in the mutant. Because exogenous cAMP suppressed these defects, maintaining the normal intracellular cAMP level must be important for the regulation of germ tube growth and branching (Fig. 5C). It is likely that Cap1 plays a critical role in the proper activation of Mac1 and regulation of normal germ tube growth and appressorium formation. The Δcap1 mutant may be defective in actin cytoskeleton reorganization in response to surface recognition signals and maintaining polarized tip growth in germ tubes. However, the CAP1ΔAB transformant produced relatively normal germ tubes and appressoria (Fig. 13). It did not form branching germ tubes, suggesting that the AB domain is dispensable for the suppression of germ tube branching after the initiation of appressorium formation. Deletion of the AB domain had some minor effects on but did not eliminate the cortical patch localization of Cap1 (Fig. 11).

Domain deletion analysis indicated that the P2 region but not the AB domain is responsible for the subcellular localization of Cap1 in M. oryzae. Furthermore, the P2 deletion mutant produced branching germ tubes on hydrophobic surfaces and had a reduced virulence, indicating that loss of the actin-like localization pattern resulted in phenotypes similar to those of the CAP1ΔACB transformant although to a less degree. In S. cerevisiae and C. albicans, the AB domain of CAPs also is dispensable for its association with actin cortical patches and cytoskeletal organization [24,53]. The P2 region likely binds to the SH3 domain of Abp1, which may facilitate the localization of Cap proteins to sites of actin rearrangement. In yeast, the P2 motif is important for the binding of Srv2 with G-actin and directing its localization to cortical actin patches [47,54]. The P1 region of Srv2 may be responsible for profilin-binding but dispensable for actin-binding.

**Figure 11. Functions of different domains in the localization of Cap1.** Appressoria (16 h, A) and vegetative hyphae (B) of transformants of the Δcap1 mutant HC83 expressing the CAP1ΔAB-, CAP1ΔP2-, CAP1ΔAC-, or CAP1ΔP1-GFP construct were examined under DIC or epifluorescence microscopy. Bar = 10 μm. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002911.g011

Ras2, Srv2 was first identified as a suppressor of a hyper-activated RAS2V19 allele in yeast, indicating their genetic association [29]. We also found that deletion of CAP1 suppressed the improper formation of melanized appressoria on hydrophilic surfaces in the RAS2DA transformant (Fig. 4). Therefore, Cap1 may also facilitate the interaction of Ras2 with Mac1 in M. oryzae.
Consistent with these reports, we found that the P1 region was dispensable for the actin-like localization pattern of Cap1 in M. oryzae.

In human pathogens, the cap1/cap1 mutant of C. albicans and the Δaca1 mutant of C. neoformans are non-pathogenic [23,24]. The Δcap1 mutant of M. oryzae was significantly reduced in virulence but still incited few small lesions on rice and barley leaves. In the rice blast fungus, MAC1 is essential for virulence. It is likely that reduced virulence of the Δcap1 mutant is directly related to the function of CAP1 in the cAMP-PKA pathway. Lesions caused by the Δcap1 mutant rarely had extensive necrosis indicating its defects in invasive growth in plant cells (Fig. 6B).

M. oryzae is a hemibiotrophic pathogen that has been used as a model for studying fungal–plant interactions [55]. However, it is not clear when and how the transition from the biotrophic phase to necrotrophic growth occurs. CAP1 may play a role in regulating the biotrophic-necrotrophic transition. It is also possible that CAP1 plays a role in maintaining normal invasive growth. Bulbous invasive hyphae of M. oryzae are morphologically distinct from vegetative hyphae and may involve different hyphal growth mechanisms.

In yeast, Srv2 functions as an adaptor protein for the translocation of adenylate cyclase to actin cortex patches but this translocation is not essential for the cAMP signaling pathway [16]. In higher eukaryotes, the localization of CAP is species specific. In Dictyostelium discoideum, CAP localizes near the plasma membrane in resting cells but is remobilized during cell movement [56]. In mammalian cells, hCAP1 is distributed throughout cytoplasm but concentrated at actin-rich membrane ruffles and lamellipodia of migrating fibroblasts [20,57]. In cells induced for apoptosis, Cap1 was rapidly translocated to the mitochondrion independent of caspase activation [58]. In this study, we found that Cap1 in M. oryzae also had an actin-like localization pattern in hyphae and germ tubes. Cap1 mainly localized to patches in the apical region of vegetative hyphae or germ tubes and the base of appressoria surrounding the appressorium pore area. LifeAct had similar localization patterns with Cap1-GFP, but they usually were not colocalized to the same cytoplasmic regions, which is consistent with their differences in binding to different forms of actins [27,47]. Cap1 may also function as an adaptor protein for translocating Mac1 to the actin cortex in M. oryzae. Interestingly, localization of Cap1 or LifeAct to the apical region of invasive hyphae was not observed in the same transformants that displayed actin-like patterns in vegetative hyphae. The role of the actin cytoskeleton in hyphal tip growth may differ between vegetative and invasive hyphae.

Expression of the CAP1ΔAB allele partially suppressed the Δcap1 deletion mutant in germ tube growth and virulence. Interestingly, the CAP1ΔAB transformant formed melanized conidial compartments when treated with 5 mM cAMP on hydrophilic surfaces. Because GAS2 [41] was specifically expressed in appressoria, those melanized compartments appeared to be appressorium-like structures. In M. oryzae, mitosis is known to be a prerequisite for appressorium development [59], and DNA replication is necessary for the initiation of appressorium formation [40,60]. In the CAP1ΔAB transformant, only one nucleus was observed in the melanized compartments, suggesting that mitosis may not occur before these compartments become melanized in response to exogenous cAMP. In S. cerevisiae, activation of the cAMP-dependent pathway causes cells to undergo unipolar growth, a process coupling with elongated growth that is controlled by the filamentous MAPK pathway [61]. It is likely that PMK1 was over-activated by exogenous cAMP when the AB domain of CAP1 was deleted in M. oryzae. Deletion of the AB domain of CAP1 in the pmk1 mutant failed to cause the formation of melanized conidium compartments, indicating that the formation of melanized conidium compartments requires the presence of functional Pmk1 (Fig. 13C).
Melanized conidium compartments were not observed in the $\Delta cap1$ mutant when treated with 5 mM cAMP. When the entire $CAP1$ gene was deleted, exogenous cAMP stimulated appressorium formation on germ tubes. Therefore, deletion of the AB domain or the entire $CAP1$ gene had different effects on responses to cAMP treatment. One possible explanation for this puzzling observation is that, in addition to being involved in the activation of Mac1 and Ras2 for appressorium formation, Cap1 is involved in the feedback inhibition or down-regulation of Ras2 signaling when Pmk1 is activated (Fig. 15). Ras2 likely functions upstream from both the cAMP signaling and Pmk1 MAPK pathway in $M. oryzae$. The Cap1$^{\Delta AB}$ protein may be defective in the feedback inhibition of Mac1 and Ras2, but retains the ability to regulate appressorium morphogenesis in response to exogenous cAMP. Therefore, cAMP treatment may overstimulate Ras signaling in the Cap1$^{\Delta AB}$ transformant and result in the inappropriate activation of the Pmk1 pathway, which may be responsible for the formation of melanized conidium compartments and appressoria without visible germ tubes (Fig. 15). Interestingly, serine residue 450 of Cap1 was predicted to be a putative MAPK phosphorylation site (over 50% probability) by Kinasphos2.0. This serine residue is conserved in its orthologs from S. cerevisiae, C. albicans, mammalian cells, and other few filamentous fungi examined. It is possible that Pmk1, when activated, phospho-

Figure 13. Appressorium formation assay with the $CAP1^{\Delta AB}$ transformant. Conidia from Ku80 and the $CAP1^{\Delta AB}$ transformant were incubated for 24 h on the hydrophobic (A) or hydrophilic (B) surface of GelBond membranes. C. The presence of 5 mM cAMP stimulated appressorium formation in the $CAP1^{\Delta AB}$ transformant on hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces. Bar = 10 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002911.g013
rylates or interacts with Cap1 at the AB domain, which is involved in the down-regulation of Ras signaling. Therefore, further characterization of the functional relationships among Ras2, Mac1, and Cap1 may provide necessary information to better understand the interactions between the cAMP and PMK1 signaling pathways during appressorium morphogenesis and plant infection.

**Materials and Methods**

**Strains and culture conditions**

The wild type and mutant strains of *M. oryzae* (Table 1) were cultured on oatmeal agar (OTA) plates at 25°C under fluorescent light for conidiation and preserved on filter paper at −20°C as described [37]. For transformation selection, hygromycin (Calbio-
respectively. The resulting PCR products were digested and ligated with the hygromycin-phosphotransferase (hph) cassette released from pCX63 as described [36]. The final gene replacement construct was amplified with primers 1F and 4R and directly transformed into protoplasts of Ku80 [64]. The putative Cap1 mutants were identified by PCR and confirmed by DNA blot analysis. For complementation assays, the full-length Cap1 gene amplified with primers CF and CR was cloned between the NotI and XhoI sites of pYK11 [36] as pXY63.

The same ligion PCR approach [36] was used to generate the Cap1AAB construct and transformants. The upstream and downstream flanking sequences were amplified with primer pairs AB1F/AB2R and 3F/4R, respectively. After ligation with the hph gene, the ligion PCR product was transformed into Ku80. The Cap1AAB transformants were confirmed by Southern blot analysis to be deleted of the actin-binding domain (378-533 aa).

Appressorium formation, penetration, and plant infection assays

Conidia were harvested from 10-day-old OTA cultures and resuspended to 5×10⁴ conidia/ml in H₂O. For appressorium formation assays, 50-μl droplets of conidal suspensions were placed on glass cover slips (Fisher Scientific, St. Louis, IL) or GelBond membranes (Cambrex, East Rutherford, NJ) and incubated at 25°C. To assay its stimulatory effect on appressorium formation, cAMP was added to the final concentration of 5 mM to conidium suspensions. Penetration assays with onion epidermis and rice leaf sheaths were performed as described [8,65,66]. The growth of invasive hyphae was examined 48-72 h post-inoculation (hpi).

For infection assays, conidia were resuspended to 5×10⁴ conidia/ml in 0.25% gelatin. Two-week-old seedlings of CO-39 were used for spray or injection infection assays as described [62,67]. Lesion formation was examined 7-day post-inoculation (dpi).

Complementation of the yeast srv2 mutant

The S. cerevisiae srv2 deletion mutant was derived from MATα strain BY4741 (Open Biosystems, Huntsville, AL). The entire Cap1 open reading frame (ORF) was amplified from 1st strand cDNA of strain 70-15 and cloned into pYES2 (Invitrogen). The resulting construct, pYES2-Cap1, was transformed into the srv2 mutant with the alkali-cation yeast transformation kit (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH). Ura³ transformants were isolated and assayed for invasive growth and sensitivity to 5 mM H₂O₂ or 1 M NaCl as described [35].

Generation of GFP or 3×FLAG fusion constructs and Cap1 domain deletion alleles

The entire Cap1 gene was amplified and cloned into the pHZ126 and pDL2 [4,68] vectors by the yeast gap repair approach [69]. Similar approaches were used to generate the Cap1ACB, Cap1AAB, Cap1API, and Cap1AP2 alleles that were deleted of amino acid residues 2-166, 378-534, 257-290, and 355-377, respectively. All of the primers used in the construction of these mutant alleles are listed in Table S1. All of the resulting Cap1-3×FLAG (pXY60), Cap1-GFP (pXY61), Cap1ACB, Cap1API, and Cap1AP2 constructs were confirmed by sequencing analysis and transformed into the Δcap1 mutant HC83.
Co-immunoprecipitation and affinity purification

To confirm the interaction between MAC1 and CAP1 in vivo, the C-terminal region of MAC1 (1898–2016 aa, Mac1[C]) was cloned into pHZ126 by the yeast gap repair approach [69]. The resulting construct, pX165, was co-transformed with pXY61 into protoplasts of strain 70-15. It also was co-transformed with pXY94 (CAP1[ACB]-GFP) into 70-15 to detect the Mac1-Cap1 interaction. Total proteins were isolated from transformants expressing both Mac1[C]-3×FLAG and Cap1-GFP/Cap1[ACB]-GFP and incubated with anti-FLAG M2 affinity resins (Sigma). Proteins bound to M2 resins were eluted after a series of washing steps as described [67,70]. Western blots of total proteins and elution from the M2 resins were detected with anti-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich) and anti-GFP (Roche) antibodies using the ECL Super signal system (Pierce, Rochford, IL).

For affinity purification, total proteins were isolated from transformants expressing the CAP1[3×FLAG], CAP1[ACB]-3×FLAG, and CAP1[AB]-3×FLAG constructs and incubated with anti-FLAG M2 affinity resins. After washing three times with 1×TBS (pH 7.4) buffer, three times with 50 mM TMAB, and three times with ddH₂O, proteins were eluted with 0.1% Rapigest as described [28]. The elution proteins were digested with trypsin [71] and analyzed by NanoF low liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (nLC-MS/MS) as described [70]. The resulting MS/MS data were used to search against the non-redundant M. oryzae protein database at NCBI.

Construction of the LifeAct-GFP and CAP1-mCherry transfectants

The first 17 amino acid residues (MGVADLIKKFESISKEE) of Abp140 of S. cerevisiae, named LifeAct, is an F-actin marker for higher eukaryotes [27]. The LifeAct sequence was amplified with primers LifeActF and LifeActR (Table S1) and cloned into pDL2 and pFL1 by yeast gap repair [69]. The resulting LifeAct-Gly (10)-GFP constructs pXY198 (HygR) were confirmed by sequencing and analysis into Gyu11. The CAP1-mCherry construct pXY210 was generated by cloning the CAP1 fragment into pXY201, which was a vector generated in this study by replacing the GFP sequence on pYP1 [68] with the mCherry sequence.

Yeast two-hybrid assays

The bait construct of CAP1 was generated by cloning full-length CAP1 ORF amplified with primers CAP1-5′F and CAP1-3′R (Table S1) into pAD-GAL4 (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). The C-terminal region of MAC1 (1926–2160 aa) was amplified with primers MAC1-FLF and MBR and cloned into pBD-GAL4-2.1 as the prey construct. The resulting prey and bait constructs were transformed into Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain PMK1[prey] and PMK1[bait] and proteins eluted from anti-FLAG M2 beads from total proteins isolated from transformant DCN22 (expressing the MAC1-3×FLAG construct and sequence) were detected with an anti-FLAG antibody. ACB: Putative N-terminus AC-binding domain of Cap1.

Co-immunoprecipitation assays. Western blots of total proteins isolated from transformant DCN22 expressing the CAP1[ACB]-GFP and MAC1[3×FLAG] constructs and proteins eluted from anti-FLAG M2 beads were detected with an anti-FLAG or anti-GFP antibodies. ACB: Putative N-terminus AC-binding domain of Cap1.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 The MAC1-3×FLAG transformant and sequence alignment of CAPs from selected fungi. A. Western blots of total proteins and proteins eluted from anti-FLAG M2 beads from transformant MCF12 expressing the MAC1-3×FLAG construct were detected with an anti-FLAG antibody. B. The amino acid alignment of N-terminal CAP and C-terminal adenylate cyclase (AC). Mo: Magnaporthe oryzae; Fg: Fusarium graminearum; Nc: Neurospora crassa; An: Aspergillus nidulans; Sp: Schizosaccharomyces pombe; Sc: Saccharomyces cerevisiae; Ca: Candida albicans.

Figure S2 Co-immunoprecipitation assays. Western blots of total proteins isolated from transformant DCC22 (expressing the CAP1[ACB]-GFP and MAC1[3×FLAG] constructs) and proteins eluted from anti-FLAG M2 beads were detected with an anti-FLAG or anti-GFP antibodies. ACB: Putative N-terminus AC-binding domain of Cap1.

Figure S3 Generation of the CAP1 gene replacement construct and mutant. A. The genomic region of the CAP1 gene and PCR fragments used for constructing the gene replacement mutants and hybridization. PCR primers are marked with small arrows. N, Norl. B. Blots of Norl-digested genomic DNA of Ku80 and the Δcap1/mutant were hybridized with probe 1 and probe 2 (see Fig. S3A). Probe 1 detected a 6.2-kb band in Ku80 but a 5.7-kb band in the Δcap1 mutant. When hybridized with probe 2, only strain Ku80 had the 6.2-kb band. C. One-week-old CM cultures of Ku80, Δcap1 mutant, and Δcap1/CAP1 transformant.

Figure S4 Generation of the CAP1[AB] mutant. A. Small arrows mark the PCR primers used to amplify genomic fragments for generating the CAP1[AB] allele and probes for hybridization. N, Norl. B. Blots of Norl-digested genomic DNA of Ku80 and CAP1[AB] mutants were hybridized with probe 1 and probe 2. Probe 1 detected a 6.2-kb band in Ku80 but a 5.0-kb band in the CAP1[AB] mutant. When hybridized with probe 2, only strain Ku80 had the 6.2-kb band.

Figure S5 Localization of Cap1[AP2]-GFP in germ tubes, young appressoria, and invasive hyphae. a–b) Germ tubes and appressoria of the CAP1[AP2]-GFP transformant examined by DIC and epifluorescence microscopy. c–d) Invasive hyphae of the CAP1[AP2]-GFP transformant formed inside rice epidermal cells. a: appressorium; c: onion cell wall. Bar = 10 µm.

Figure S6 A. Localization of GAS2-GFP in appressoria formed on hydrophobic (upper panel) and hydrophilic surfaces in the presence of 5 mM cAMP. B. Appressorial formation assays with...
the CAP1\textsuperscript{AB}/\Delta mkl transformant on hydrophobic surfaces with or without exogenous cAMP. (TH)

**Table S1** PCR primers used in this study.

**Video S1** GFP signals in an appressorium (from top to bottom) formed by the CAP1-GFP transformant on the hydrophobic surface. Bar = 5 μm. (MPG)

**Video S2** Dynamic movement of Cap1-GFP in hyphae with CytA treatment. Time, HH:MM:SS. Bar = 5 μm. (AVI)

**Video S3** Dynamic movement of Cap1-mCherry during appressorium formation with CytA treatment. The appressoria, after inoculated on hydrophobic surfaces for 16 h were treated with CytA. Time, HH:MM:SS. Bar = 5 μm. (AVI)

**Video S4** The localization of Cap1-mCherry during appressorium formation without CytA treatment. Time, HH:MM:SS. Bar = 5 μm. (AVI)
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