Experiences of Percutaneous Endoscopic Gastrostomy in our Neurology Intensive Care Unit Patients
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Abstract

Objective: Nutritional support has significant clinical importance in patients with poor or no oral intake admitted to neurology intensive care units (NICU). Requirement for, administration methods, and benefits of active enteral feeding with feeding tubes remain a matter of dispute, particularly with respect to patients with impaired swallowing function following central nervous system involvement. In this study, we evaluated the patient characteristics and percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG)-related problems in a group of patients in the NICU.

Materials and Methods: Patients undergoing PEG and admitted to our NICU between May 2016 and March 2018 were retrospectively examined. Age, sex, duration of NICU stay, need for mechanical ventilation, occurrence of pneumonia, and PEG-related complications were recorded.

Results: A total of 789 patients admitted to the NICU were screened. PEG use was identified among 41 (5.2%) of these patients, of whom 23 (56.1%) were female, with a mean age of 73.66±17.67 (range: 32-94) years. Twenty-nine (70.7%) of these patients with PEG use were diagnosed as having an ischemic etiology, and 7 (17.1%) had hemorrhagic cerebrovascular disease. The mean duration of NICU stay was 48.8±30.6 (range: 13-150) days. On average, PEG was used 29.12±7.97 (range: 13-42) days after admission. Twelve patients (29.3%) received mechanical ventilation, and 8 (19.5%) required a tracheostomy due to prolonged mechanical ventilator support. Prior to PEG, 25 (61.4%) patients had a diagnosis of pneumonia, and 15 (36.6%) patients developed pneumonia after PEG. PEG-associated nutritional intolerance developed in five (12.2%) patients.

Conclusion: In agreement with the published literature, PEG-related complications were low in frequency and there were no cases of PEG-related mortality. In neurologic conditions associated with chronic and severe sequela requiring long-term nutritional support, PEG may be preferred on the basis of its ability to provide safe and physiologic nutrition, ease of use, and a low rate of complications.
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Öz

Amaç: Beslenme desteği, oral alımı olmayan ya da yetersiz olan nöroloji yoğun bakım ünitesi (NYBÜ) hastalarında oldukça önemlidir. Santral sinir sistemi etkilenimi sonrasında yutma fonksiyonu bozulan hastalarda beslenme tüpleri yardımı ile aktif enteral beslenme uygulamalarının gerekliliği, nasıl uygulanacağı ve uygulanacak işlemin kazanımları hala tartışmalıdır. Bu çalışmada nöroloji yoğun bakım olgularında perkütan endoskopik gastrostomi (PEG) uyguladığımız hastaların özellikleri ve PEG ile ilişkili sorunları incelendik.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Mayıs 2016-Mart 2018 tarihleri arasında hastanemiz NYBÜ’de yatan ve PEG uygulanan hastalar retrospektif olarak incelendi. Cinsiyet, yaş, yoğun bakımda kalış süresi, mekanik ventilatör desteği, pnömoni gelişimi ve PEG ile ilgili olabilecek komplikasyonlar kaydedildi.

Bulgular: NYBÜ’de takip edilen 789 hastanın dosyası incelendi. Yirmi üçü (%56,1) kadın ve 18'i (%43,9) erkek, yaş ortalaması 73,66±17,67 (32-94) olan 41 (%5,2) hastaya PEG takılıdı saglandı. PEG takılan hastaların 29’u (%70,7) eskiyi, yedi (%17,1) hemorajik serebrovasüler hastalık tanısı almıştı. Hastaların yoğun bakımda yaşılı süresi 48.8±30.6 (13-150) gün idi. PEG takılanların orttara 29.12±7.97 (13-42) gün sonra takıldı. Hastaların 12’si (%29,3) mekanik ventilatör desteği altındaydı. Hastaların 15 (%36,6) hastada pnömoni saptanırken, 8 (19.5%) hastadaki komplikasyonlar ise PEG ile ilişkili olabileceği tespit edildi. 

Sonuç: Çalışmamızda PEG uygulamasına bağlı komplikasyonlar literatür ile uyuşmu olarak düğük bulundu ve PEG ile ilişkili mortalite öngörüldü. Kronik ve ağır sequeleler yol açan nörolojik hastalıklarda; uzun süreli nütrisyonel destek gerektirirken PEG uygulamanın güvenli ve fiziyojik beslenmenin sağlanması, uygulamanın kolaylığı ve dijijek komplikasyon oranlarını nedeniley tescil edilmesi gerekir bir yöntem olduğu kanıtlandı. 

Anahtar Kelimeler: Nöroloji yoğun bakım ünitesi, perkütan endoskopik gastrostomi, enteral beslenme
Introduction

One of the basic requirements in patients admitted to neurology intensive care units (NICUs) relates to nutrition. Oral feeding is the preferred route of enteral nutrition. The enteral route should be used as soon as possible in patients with low oral intake in the NICU. Gastric nutrition is a physiologic means of enteral feeding, and the gastric route is the first-line option in patients in the NICU unless contraindicated. The objectives of enteral feeding include the preservation of gastrointestinal mucosal integrity in order to maintain intestinal immune responses and normal flora (1,2).

Currently, gastric tubes are widely used for enteral nutrition. If the requirement for nasogastric (NG) tube feeding is expected to last longer than four weeks, gastrostomy should be scheduled. Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG), originally described by Ponsky and Gauderer (3), is recommended for long-term enteral nutritional support in patients enduring a wide range of chronic neurologic and systemic conditions such as head trauma, cerebrovascular disease, and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (4,5,6,7,8,9). PEG does not require the transfer of the patient to the operating room, can be applied on patients on mechanical ventilation, and is associated with a low rate of complications. Therefore, it is the most preferred long-term enteral nutrition strategy (9,10). However, PEG is rarely associated with complications at the time of application, as well as during the course of its use (7,11). Herein, we present our results on PEG-related outcomes, as well as the patient characteristics, in a group of patients admitted to our NICU.

Materials and Methods

The medical records of a total of 41 patients who received PEG during a NICU stay between May 2016 and March 2018 were retrospectively assessed. Age, sex, primary condition, Glasgow Coma score (GCS), Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation-2 (APACHE-2) scores, days spent on PEG, the need and timing for invasive mechanical ventilation and tracheotomy, nutritional status prior to PEG, and complications occurring during and after PEG were recorded. PEG was established by a general surgeon with expertise on this field using the “pull” technique, and percutaneous placement of a 20-F gastrostomy tube with a “Roll” type bumper. After the study protocol was approved by the Usak University Faculty of Medicine Institutional Ethics Committee (date: 20.02.2019, protocol number: 17), the data were retrieved from patient files.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS v.20.0; IBM Corp. Armonk, NY, USA. Released 2011). The normality of the variables was determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Normally distributed continuous measurements are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). Categorical data are shown as percentage (%). The relationship between PEG and pneumonia were investigated using the chi-square test. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Of the 41 patients included in the study, 23 (56.1%) were female and 18 (43.9%) were male. The mean age of the patients was 73.66±17.67 (range: 32-94) years, and the mean duration of NICU stay was 48.8±30.6 days. At the time of admission, the mean±SD GCS and APACHE-2 scores were 8.5±1.6 and 17.4±4.0, respectively. Twelve (29.3%) patients were intubated for mechanical ventilator support at a mean duration of 4.4±1.5 (range, 1-12) days after admission. Eight (19.5%) patients required tracheotomy after a mean of 19.8±2.6 days. Twenty-eight (68.3%) patients were discharged, and 13 (31.7%) died. All deaths were due to the primary conditions requiring NICU admission and were unrelated to the PEG procedure. Table 1 shows a summary of the diagnoses and demographic characteristics of the patients.

An NG tube was placed on the first day of admission in the NICU and enteral feeding was started in all patients except one (2.4%), in whom nutritional support involved total parenteral

| Table 1. Clinical and demographic data of the patients |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **n** (41) (%) |
| Age (mean±SD) | 73.66±17.67 |
| Sex (F/M) | 23/18 | 56.1/43.9 |
| Diagnosis |
| Ischemic stroke | 29 | 70.7 |
| ICH | 5 | 12.2 |
| SAB | 2 | 4.9 |
| Dementia | 3 | 7.3 |
| Status epilepticus | 1 | 2.4 |
| Encephalitis | 1 | 2.4 |
| GCS (mean±SD) | 8.5±1.69 |
| APACHE-2 (mean±SD) | 17.46±4.00 |
| Duration of ICU stay (mean±SD) | 48.85±30.66 |
| Duration of intensive care stay prior to PEG (mean±SD) | 29.12±7.97 |
| Mechanical ventilatory support (Yes/No) | 12/29 | 29.3/70.7 |
| Tracheostomy (Yes/No) | 8/33 | 19.5/80.5 |
| PEG-related complications |
| Feeding intolerance | 5 | 12.2 |
| Tube displacement | 4 | 9.8 |
| Local ulceration, bleeding | 1 | 2.4 |
| Procedural difficulties | 0 | 0 |
| Prognosis |
| Survival | 28 | 68.3 |
| Death | 13 | 31.7 |

F: Female, M: Male, SD: Standard deviation, N: Number of patients, ICH: Intracerebral hematoma, SAB: Subarachnoid bleeding, GCS: Glasgow Coma scale, APACHE-2: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation-2, PEG: Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy, ICU: Intensive care unit
Informed consent was neither required

Ethical consent was obtained

Before PEG (%)

| Complication          | Yes | No | p      |
|-----------------------|-----|----|--------|
| Pneumonia             | 25  | 16 | 0.218  |
| Abdominal abscess      | 15  | 26 |        |
| Necrotizing fasciitis  | 26  | 26 |        |
| Diarrhea               | 15  | 26 |        |
| Other complications    | 26  | 26 |        |

PEG: Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy

Table 2. Incidence of lung infections before and after percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy

Discussion

Patients admitted to NICUs have a significantly increased risk of malnutrition, requiring nutritional support in a great majority of these subjects. The link between nutritional support and the rate of mortality and morbidity has been clearly established. Several methods have been developed to place feeding tubes to the stomach or jejunum for patients with no prospect of transition to oral feeding within 3 days (11,12,13,14). In the late 1980s, PEG procedures gained widespread acceptance, with increasingly higher popularity since then, mainly based on the rapidity and safety of the procedure compared with other surgical approaches (9,15).

Long-term percutaneous enteral feeding is used in patients with life expectancy who have no chance of returning to oral feeding. Parenteral nutrition is generally not preferred in such cases due to a number of shortcomings including the associated metabolic disorders, high cost, difficulty of application, as well as patient comfort. Enteral nutrition, as well as enteral feeding with PEG, are recommended in patients with chronic neurologic conditions such as head trauma, cerebral palsy, neuromuscular disorders, and motor neuron disorders (4,5,6,7,8,9,13,16,17,18,19). In a study by Tokunaga et al. (19), 75.3% of their patients with PEG procedures were reported to have cerebrovascular disease. In coherence with the literature, 87.1% of our subjects required PEG secondary to cerebrovascular disease.

Despite the general safety of PEG, procedural or post-procedural complications may rarely develop (20,21). The main complications associated with the procedure include bleeding into the abdominal wall or intraperitoneal space. Post-procedural complications include peristomal pain, wound site infection or abscess, necrotizing fasciitis, gastric outlet obstruction, diarrhea, and aspiration (22). In a study by Löser et al. (8), 15% of patients were reported to have local wound site infection, which was the most frequent early complication in this series. Schurink et al. (20) reported wound site infection and bleeding in 18.7% and 3% of their patients undergoing PEG, respectively. The reported 30-day mortality after PEG exhibits a wide variability between 0% and 28% (7,23,24). The discrepancy between reported rates of mortality may be related to a number of factors such as the type of neurologic disorder involved, the presence of weight loss exceeding 10% of the bodyweight, and a forced vital capacity of less than 65% in respiratory function tests (7,24). In our patient group, there were no deaths or major complications related with PEG. The low rate of complications observed in the present study might be related to the small sample size.

PEG is a preferred method on the basis of the reduced risk of colonization, gastroesophageal reflux, and aspiration, which are commonly observed during long-term use of NG tubes, as well as on the basis of facilitation of patient care and comfort (13,25). Pulmonary aspiration is a common complication in patients receiving nutritional support with NG tubes in the supine position (14,26). The reflux is associated with the impaired relaxation of the lower esophageal sphincter, inadequate esophageal contractions, and the presence of the tube crossing the gastric cardia (14). On the other hand, PEG reduces the risk of aspiration (27). Previous studies have documented a 50% to 55% reduction in the growth rates in cultures obtained from tracheal aspiration fluids (2,27). Similarly, many studies have shown that PEG is associated with a reduced likelihood of the aspiration of gastric content, with an associated decrease in hospital admissions due to infection and total hospital costs (7,14,26,27). Likewise, in contrast with 61% of the patients with lung infections requiring antibiotherapy before PEG, only 36.6% of our patients required such therapy after PEG.

Conclusion

In conclusion, although PEG is a more invasive method than NG and nasoenteral routes of nutrition, it may be preferred on the basis of lowered infection risk and treatment costs, in addition to providing more efficient nutrition to patients. We believe that PEG is an effective nutritional strategy that can reduce morbidity and mortality in patients who are unable to receive oral nutrition and who have no prospect of returning to oral nutrition in the long term.
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