Crystal Structure of the Ubiquitin-like Domain-CUT Repeat-like Tandem of Special AT-rich Sequence Binding Protein 1 (SATB1) Reveals a Coordinating DNA-binding Mechanism*

Received for publication, March 4, 2014, and in revised form, August 12, 2014

Published, JBC Papers in Press, August 14, 2014, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M114.562314

Zheng Wang‡§1, Xue Yang‡§1,‡‡, Shuang Guo‡, Yin Yang‡, Xun-Cheng Su‡, Yuequan Shen‡§2, and Jiafu Long‡§3

From the ‡State Key Laboratory of Medicinal Chemical Biology, the ‡§College of Life Sciences, and the ‡¶State Key Laboratory of Elemento-organic Chemistry, Nankai University and the ‡§1Synergetic Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering, Tianjin 300071, China

Background: SATB1 is a multidomain protein that acts as a global gene organizer.
Results: The newly identified CUTL domain contributes to the DNA binding ability of SATB1.
Conclusion: The DNA binding ability of SATB1 requires the contribution of the CUTL domain and the other DNA-binding domains.
Significance: These findings reveal a multiple-domain-coordinated mechanism whereby SATB1 recognizes DNA targets.

SATB1 is essential for T-cell development and growth and metastasis of multitype tumors and acts as a global chromatin organizer and gene expression regulator. The DNA binding ability of SATB1 plays vital roles in its various biological functions. We report the crystal structure of the N-terminal module of SATB1. Interestingly, this module contains a ubiquitin-like domain (ULD) and a CUT repeat-like (CUTL) domain (ULD-CUTL tandem). Detailed biochemical experiments indicate that the N terminus of SATB1 (residues 1–248, SATB1(1–248)), including the extreme 70 N-terminal amino acids, and the ULD-CUTL tandem bind specifically to DNA targets. Our results show that the DNA binding ability of full-length SATB1 requires the contribution of the CUTL domain, as well as the CUT1-CUT2 tandem domain and the homeodomain. These findings may reveal a multiple-domain-coordinated mechanism whereby SATB1 recognizes DNA targets.

Global gene regulation and epigenetic reprogramming are essential for cell fates and many important processes. The eukaryotic nucleus possesses varied architecture and spatial compartmentalization that is related to the cell type and the stage of differentiation (1, 2). Interphase heterochromatin forms functional domains and networks with the help of various transcriptional factors and can undergo macromolecular crowding (1, 3, 4). SATB1 (special AT-rich binding protein 1), which was first discovered in the thymus (5) and is important for T-cell development (6–8), is an important protein that contributes to the nuclear architecture (9). Nuclear matrix attach-
In this study, we determined the crystal structure of a SATB1 N-terminal fragment containing the ULD and CUTL domains (ULD-CUTL tandem). We proved that the ULD-CUTL tandem, together with the extremely 70 N-terminal amino acids, has the ability to bind DNA and that this binding depends on the CUTL domain. Moreover, we found that the N-terminal CUTL domain is essential for the DNA binding activity of full-length SATB1, which provides insight into the molecular basis of its coordination of gene expression.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Expression and Purification of Various N-terminal Fragments and Full-length SATB1**—The N-terminal fragment (residues 71–248, ULD-CUTL) of the mouse SATB1 gene was PCR-amplified from a mouse thymus cDNA library, cloned into an in-house-modified version of the pET32a (Novagen) vector and confirmed by DNA sequencing. The resulting protein contained a His$_6$ tag at its N terminus. The recombinant protein was expressed in BL21 (DE3) *Escherichia coli* cells at 16°C for 16–18 h, and the cells were collected by centrifugation and lysed with an AH-1500 high pressure homogenizer (ATS Engineering Limited).

The recombinant ULD-CUTL tandem protein was purified by nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Qiagen) affinity chromatography followed by size exclusion chromatography on a HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare) in 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 100 mM NaCl. After digestion with PreScission Protease to remove the N-terminal His$_6$ tag, the protein was purified using a Mono Q 10/100 GL anion exchange column (GE Healthcare) followed by size exclusion chromatography on a HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 200 column in 20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT.

We used similar expression and purification processes to prepare recombinant proteins of various N-terminal fragments of the wild type and mutant SATB1 (see Fig. 2A), including residues 1–248 (referred to as SATB1$^{1–248}$), similar nomenclature hereafter), SATB1$^{1–172}$, and SATB1$^{179–248}$). The full-length SATB1 and the mutants were expressed and purified as previously described (25). All point mutations of SATB1 that are described here were created using the standard, PCR-based mutagenesis method and confirmed by DNA sequencing.

**Crystallization and Data Collection**—The wild type protein was crystallized using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method equilibrated against a reservoir solution of 10% polyethylene glycol 3350, 0.1 M sodium malonate, pH 7.0, and 0.2 M glycine. The crystals grew at 20°C and were frozen in a cryoprotectant solution containing 15% glycerol. The crystal belonged to the space group $P4_2_2_2_1$, with unit cell dimensions as follows: $a = 42.98$, $b = 91.97$, $c = 100.24$, $\alpha = \gamma = 90^\circ$, and $\beta = 128.97^\circ$.

Diffraction data of the ULD-CUTL tandem were successfully obtained by molecular replacement using the PHASER program (33). The initial phases were obtained from search models. Four molecules of ULD and two molecules of SATB1$^{179–248}$ in one asymmetric unit were successfully found by the software. Additional residues were manually built into the electron density using the Coot program (35). The final tetramer model was refined iteratively using the PHENIX (36) and Coot (35) programs. The orientations of the amino acid side chains and bound water molecules were modeled based on sigma-weighted $2F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{calc}}$ and $F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{calc}}$ Fourier electron density maps. The final model had an $R_{\text{cryst}}$ value of 22.8% and an $R_{\text{free}}$ value of 27.1%.

**Analytical Gel Filtration**—Size exclusion chromatography was performed on an AKTA FPLC system using a Superdex 200 10/300 column (GE Healthcare). The protein samples were dissolved in buffer containing 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT. The column was calibrated with gel filtration standards from GE Healthcare.

**Analytical Ultracentrifugation**—Sedimentation velocity experiments were performed in a Beckman/Coulter XL-A analytical ultracentrifuge using double-sector and sapphire windows. An additional protein purification step on a HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 200 gel filtration column in 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT was performed before the experiments. Sedimentation velocity experiments were conducted at 42,000 rpm and 4°C using interference detection, and double-sector cells were loaded with ~1 mg/ml samples. The buffer composition (density and viscosity) and protein partial specific volume (V-bar) were obtained using the SEDNTERP program. The data were analyzed using the SEDFIT program (38, 39).

**Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay**—5'-FAM-labeled forward oligonucleotides were synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., and the forward and reverse oligonucleotides for a particular set were mixed together. The labeled oligonucleotides were annealed by heating to 95°C for 10 min and allowed to cool slowly to room temperature. Binding reactions were performed in a 10-μl total volume containing 10 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 2.5% glycerol, 0.05% Nonidet P-40, and the appropriate amount of annealed oligonucleotides and recombinant proteins. Samples were incubated on ice for 1 h and separated by electrophoresis on a 5% native polyacrylamide gel. The fluorescence polyacrylamide gels were exposed in a VersaDoc MP System (Bio-Rad), and the intensities of protein-bound DNA probes were calculated using Adobe Photoshop CS4 and normalized to that of the free DNA probe. As a correction, the background caused by misannealing probes was eliminated from the shifted bands.

**Structure Determination and Refinement**—The initial phases of the structure factors for the ULD-CUTL tandem were obtained by molecular replacement using the PHASER program (34). The ULD (Protein Data Bank code 3TUO) and SATB1$^{179–248}$ (Protein Data Bank code 3NZL) structures were used as search models. Four molecules of ULD and two molecules of SATB1$^{179–248}$ in one asymmetric unit were successfully found by the software. Additional residues were manually built into the electron density using the Coot program (35). The final tetramer model was refined iteratively using the PHENIX (36) and Coot (35) programs. The orientations of the amino acid side chains and bound water molecules were modeled based on sigma-weighted $2F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{calc}}$ and $F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{calc}}$ Fourier electron density maps. The final model had an $R_{\text{cryst}}$ value of 22.8% and an $R_{\text{free}}$ value of 27.1%.

**Analytical Gel Filtration**—Size exclusion chromatography was performed on an AKTA FPLC system using a Superdex 200 10/300 column (GE Healthcare). The protein samples were dissolved in buffer containing 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT. The column was calibrated with a gel filtration standard from GE Healthcare.

**Analytical Ultracentrifugation**—Sedimentation velocity experiments were performed in a Beckman/Coulter XL-A analytical ultracentrifuge using double-sector and sapphire windows. An additional protein purification step on a HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 200 gel filtration column in 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT was performed before the experiments. Sedimentation velocity experiments were conducted at 42,000 rpm and 4°C using interference detection, and double-sector cells were loaded with ~1 mg/ml samples. The buffer composition (density and viscosity) and protein partial specific volume (V-bar) were obtained using the SEDNTERP program. The data were analyzed using the SEDFIT program (38, 39).
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**TABLE 1**

Data collection and refinement statistics for ULD-CUTL structure

| Crystal name | ULD-CUTL |
|--------------|----------|
| Space group  | C 1 2 1  |
| Unit cell (Å) | a = 128.98, b = 91.97, c = 100.24 |
| Wavelength (Å) | 0.9791 |
| Resolution range (Å) | 42.5–2.60 (2.692.60) |
| Reduction in unique reflections | 27,709 |
| Redundancy | 3.7 (3.4) |
| Residual (R) (%) | 7.7 (58.0)* |
| Completeness (%) | 99.2 (94.8)* |
| Refinement | 22.8 |
| RMSD bond (Å) | 0.009 |
| RMSD angle (°) | 1.3 |
| Number of protein atoms | 4,025 |
| Number of solvent atoms | 13 |
| Most favored B-factor (Å²) | 87.2 |
| Additional allowed | 11.5 |
| Generously allowed | 1.3 |
| Disallowed | 0 |
| Average B factor (Å²) | 67.1 |

### Circular Dichroism

**CD spectra of various proteins** were collected using a MOS450 spectropolarimeter (Biologic) at room temperature. The protein samples (~1 mg/ml) were dissolved in 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT.

**Isothermal Titration Calorimetry**—Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) measurements were performed using a MicroCal® isothermal titration calorimeter iTC200 (GE Healthcare). Before the experiments were performed, various recombinant SATB1 proteins were buffer exchanged into 20 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 100 mM KCl, and 1 mM MgCl₂ through size exclusion chromatography using a HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 200 column.

For various recombinant SATB1 N-terminal fragments, except KK-2A(1–248), ~50 μM of a DNA probe was titrated into ~20 μM of the protein. For KK-2A(1–248), ~150 μM of a DNA probe was titrated into ~60 μM of the protein. For the full-length SATB1, ~240 μM of a DNA probe was titrated into ~24 μM of the protein. All concentration indicated here was the concentration of protein dimer or DNA double strand. The titration included an initial injection of 0.4 μl followed by 19 injections of 2 μl every 120 s at 16 °C for various recombinant N-terminal protein fragments and 25 injections of 1.5 μl for the full-length SATB1. To define the DNA probe was titrated into the same buffer without protein under the same conditions. The titration data and binding plots after the baseline were subtracted were analyzed using MicroCal Origin software with the one-site binding model for various SATB1 N-terminal fragments and the full-length SATB1 mutants, or the two-site-binding model for the full-length SATB1 wild type. After initial data refinements, the stoichiometry was fixed for each site to achieve the best overall fit to the two-site and one-site binding models for the full-length SATB1 wild type and mutants, respectively, whereas the stoichiometry of DNA binding of various SATB1 N-terminal fragments was not reported here.

**RESULTS**

**Overall Structure of the ULD-CUTL Tandem of SATB1**—The crystal structure of the N-terminal module of SATB1 (residues 71–248) (Fig. 1A) was solved using molecular replacement at a resolution of 2.6 Å. Overall, one asymmetric unit cell consists of four molecules (Fig. 1B). N-terminal domains of the four molecules are nearly defined, except for residues 83–91, 83–92, 83–93, and 85–93 in molecules a, b, c, and d, respectively, whereas a lack of electron density prevents modeling of the entire C-terminal domain of molecules b and c (Fig. 1B). Our crystal structure contains four molecules that seem to form a tightly packed tetramer in one asymmetric unit (Fig. 1B).

Consistent with this observation, analytical ultracentrifugation confirmed that the N-terminal module of SATB1 assembles into a tetramer with a molecular mass of ~82.9 kDa (Fig. 1C). We refer only to molecule a in the following discussion.

In the entire structure of the N-terminal module of SATB1, the structure of residues 71–172 comprises four antiparallel β-sheets that are flanked by four α-helices (Fig. 1, A and D), which is similar to the previously determined structure of the isolated ULD (Fig. 1F) (25). Interestingly, the structure of residues 186–248 resembles the CUT1 domain of SATB1, and this region was named a CUT repeat-like (CUTL) domain (Fig. 1, A, E, and G). Thus, the N-terminal module of SATB1 consists of a ULD (colored green) and a CUTL domain (colored red) that are connected by a loop and a linker-helix (colored yellow) (hereafter referred to as ULD-CUTL) (Fig. 1D).

The N Terminus of SATB1 (SATB1(1–248)) Specifically Binds to DNA Targets—It was noted that the CUT1 domain is involved in the DNA binding of SATB1 (28). To test whether the CUTL domain has DNA binding ability, we performed EMSAs of several truncations of the N terminus of SATB1 (Fig. 2A). A 37-bp DNA fragment (IL-2_37mer) from the human IL-2 promoter was chosen as the probe for the EMSAs (15) (Fig. 2B). To our surprise, no obvious protein-DNA complexes were found for SATB1(179–248), which contains the isolated CUTL domain, or SATB1(71–248) which included the ULD-CUTL tandem (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, clear DNA binding for SATB1(1–248), which contains the extreme 70 N-terminal amino acids and the ULD-CUTL tandem, was detected (Fig. 2C). Additionally, no shifted bands were observed in the lane.
added with SATB1(1–172) (Fig. 2C), excluding the DNA binding by the ULD or the extreme 70 N-terminal amino acids. We also quantitatively studied the DNA binding affinities of various SATB1 N-terminal truncations using the IL-2_37mer and ITC assays (Fig. 2, D–G). These ITC results indicate that SATB1(1–248) binds DNA with a Kᵣ value of ~0.24 μM (Fig. 2D), which is ~8-fold stronger than between SATB1(71–248) and the same DNA molecules (Kᵣ value of ~1.82 μM; Fig. 2F). The data indicate no protein-DNA complexes for SATB1(71–248), in contrast to SATB1(1–248) using the same EMSA (Fig. 2C). Notably, both SATB1(1–172) and SATB1(179–248) showed no binding to the same DNA molecule (Fig. 2, F and G). These ITC results are consistent with the EMSAs.

Next, we wanted to study the role of the extreme 70 N-terminal amino acids in SATB1(1–248) in DNA binding. Notably, the SATB1(1–70) amino acids are highly conserved among multiple species (Fig. 3A). We mutated two conserved, positively charged residues, Lys⁵¹ and Lys⁵⁸, from this extreme N-terminal region to glutamic acids in SATB1 (1–248) (referred to as KK-2E(1–248)) (Fig. 2A) and measured its binding affinity for the
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same DNA molecule using an ITC assay. The ITC data show that the KK-2E(1–248) mutant bound the DNA with a $K_d$ value of $\sim 16.58 \text{ M}^{-1}$ (Fig. 4A), which is $\sim 69$-fold weaker than between SATB1(1–248) wild type and the same DNA molecule, which indicates that the extreme 70 N-terminal residues in SATB1 contribute to high affinity DNA binding of SATB1(1–248).

Together, these results indicate that the CUTL domain, together with the extreme 70 N-terminal amino acids and ULD, are important for SATB1(1–248) binding to DNA targets. In the following study, we explore the mechanism of SATB1(1–248)-mediated DNA binding.

**ULD-mediated Oligomerization Is Required for DNA Binding of SATB1(1–248)**—SATB1 has been shown to form a stable tetramer through the ULD, and by mutating the Lys$^{136}$-Trp$^{137}$-Asn$^{138}$ motif in ULD to force the protein into a dimeric state (25), we investigated the roles of ULD-mediated oligomerization in the DNA binding activity of SATB1(1–248). Accordingly, we replaced the Lys$^{136}$-Trp$^{137}$-Asn$^{138}$ motif with an “AAA” cassette and refer to this mutant as KWN-3A(1–248) hereafter (Fig. 2A). The purified wild type SATB1(1–248) and mutant KWN-3A(1–248) eluted as a single peak from an analytical gel filtration column with a molecular mass corresponding to that of a tetramer and a dimer, respectively (Fig. 4B). We assessed the ability of wild type SATB1(1–248) and the KWN-3A(1–248) mutant to bind the IL-2_37mer via ITC. The ITC results show that the KWN-3A(1–248) mutant binds DNA with a $K_d$ value of $\sim 1.61 \text{ M}^{-1}$, which is $\sim 7$-fold weaker than between SATB1(1–248) wild type and the same DNA molecule (Fig. 4C). We used CD to confirm similar behavior between SATB1(1–248) wild type and the KWN-3A(1–248) mutant, which ensured that any loss in DNA binding activity was not due to disruption of the tertiary structure (Fig. 4D). Thus, our data indicate that ULD-mediated oligomerization of SATB1(1–248) is required for its target DNA binding.

**The DNA Binding Specificity of the CUTL Domain**—A prior study has proposed that residues Thr$^{410}$ and Gln$^{402}$ in the CUT1 domain are important for the DNA binding activity of SATB1 (28), and sequence alignment shows that these two residues are highly conserved in all CUT1 and CUT2 domains, as well as in CUTL domains from SATB1 and SATB2 (Fig. 3B). To test the roles of the corresponding residues, Ser$^{412}$ and Gln$^{413}$ of CUTL in SATB1(1–248) DNA binding, we replaced these two residues with an “AA” cassette (referred to as SQ-2A(1–248)) (Fig. 2A). In an ITC assay, the SQ-2A(1–248) mutant completely lost its DNA binding ability to the IL-2_37mer (Fig. 4E). When compared with wild type SATB1(1–248), the SQ-2A(1–248) mutant also assembled into a tetramer (red line in Fig. 4B) and exhibited a CD spectrum similar to wild type SATB1(1–248) (cyan line in Fig. 4D). Thus, these data suggest that the CUTL domain is essential for SATB1(1–248), coordinated DNA binding.

SATB1 prefers to bind palindromic AT-rich motifs containing MARs through ULD-mediated oligomerization of its C-terminal CUT1-CUT2 tandem and HD domains (25, 30). Notably, the IL-2_37mer DNA probe used for SATB1(1–248) binding is such a MAR, and specific mutations that diminish the unwinding property of MARs reduce the binding affinity of SATB1 (5). According to these observations, we wanted to test whether the CUTL domain in SATB1(1–248) recognizes DNA by a similar mode as the CUT1-CUT2 tandem and HD domains in SATB1.
To accomplish this, we synthesized the mutant probe Mut-IL-2_37mer (Fig. 2B), which binds the SATB1 weakly (15, 30). Data from an ITC assay show that SATB1(1–248) binds to the mutant DNA with a $K_d$ value of $1.64 \pm 0.26 \mu M$, which is 7-fold weaker than binding between SATB1$^{1–248}$ and the wild type IL-2_37mer (Fig. 4F). These results suggest that the CUTL domain has DNA binding specificity similar to that of the CUT1-CUT2 tandem and HD domains in SATB1.

FIGURE 4. DNA binding affinity for the N-terminal mutant of SATB1. A, C, E, and F, ITC assays. The IL-2_37mer DNA fragments were titrated into various N-terminal mutants of SATB1 (A, C, and E). The Mut-IL-2_37mer DNA fragments were titrated into the wild type SATB1$^{1–248}$ (F). The $K_d$ values calculated for each titration are shown in the corresponding insets. B, size exclusion chromatography of the SATB1$^{1–248}$ wild type and mutants was performed on a Superdex 200 10/300 column. The elution volumes of the protein markers used to calibrate the gel filtration column are labeled at the top. D, superposed CD spectra for SATB1$^{1–248}$, SQ-2A$^{1–248}$, and KWN-3A$^{1–248}$.
The CUTL Domain Is Required for the DNA Binding Ability of Full-length SATB1—The data in Fig. 4E data show that the Ser212–Gln213 motif in the CUTL domain is important for the contact between DNA and SATB1(1–248). We next investigated whether the CUTL domain contributes to the DNA binding ability of full-length SATB1. Accordingly, we replaced the Ser212–Gln213 motif with an AA cassette and converted Gln402, which is important for DNA binding of the CUT1 domain (28), to alanine in the full-length SATB1 (referred to as SQ-2A and Q402A, respectively, herein) (Fig. 1A). The purified, wild type SATB1 and the SQ-2A and Q402A mutants had similar tetrameric conformations in an analytical gel filtration column (Fig. 5A). As expected, the DNA binding affinity of the Q402A mutant to the IL-2_37mer (Fig. 5B) was obviously decreased when compared with the wild type SATB1, which is consistent with the conclusion from another study (28). Interestingly, the same EMSAs showed that the DNA binding affinity of the SQ-2A mutant was also lower than the wild type SATB1 (Fig. 5B).

We previously found that the SATB1 tetramer binds the IL-2_37mer through an allosteric two-site interactions with $K_d$ values of ~0.36 and ~1.99 $\mu$M (25). We used ITC to quantitatively study the binding between both the SQ-2A and Q402A mutants and the DNA targets. We repeated the ITC experiment to test the interaction between the SATB1 wild type and the IL-2_37mer. As expected, the SATB1 tetramer could bind to two IL-2_37mer simultaneously; the $K_d$ values for the two sites were ~0.32 and ~3.03 $\mu$M, respectively (Fig. 5C). The binding between the SQ-2A and Q402A mutants and the IL-2_37mer was consistent with a one-site binding model; the $K_d$ values were ~4.17 and ~5.38 $\mu$M, respectively, which suggests that the two binding sites in the SQ-2A and Q402A mutants are equivalent (Fig. 5, D and E). These ITC results show that the SQ-2A and Q402A mutants have comparable DNA binding affinities that are weaker than the wild type SATB1. Together, our data indicate that the N-terminal CUTL domain plays an important role in the DNA binding affinity of full-length SATB1.

**DISCUSSION**

The DNA binding affinity and specificity of SATB1 are of great importance for its physiological function. Based on the structure of the ULD-CUTL tandem that was determined in this work, we found that SATB1(1–248), which includes the ULD-CUTL tandem and the extreme 70 N-terminal amino acids, can bind to a specific DNA sequence and contributes to the DNA binding ability of full-length SATB1. Combined with previous studies, we propose a model for how SATB1 specifically binds to DNA targets (Fig. 6). Tetrameric SATB1 binds one or two DNA fragments via coordination of the CUTL domain, the CUT1-CUT2 tandem, and HD domains to act as a global chromatin organizer and gene expression regulator.

Previous biochemical studies have shown that SATB1 binds DNA motifs that consist of an AT-rich context with limited G

**FIGURE 5.** DNA binding of the SATB1 wild type and mutants, as measured by EMSA and ITC. A, size exclusion chromatography of the SATB1 wild type and mutants was performed on a Superdex 200 10/300 column. The elution volumes of the protein markers used to calibrate the gel filtration column are labeled at the top. B, EMSAs. The binding of various SATB1 wild type and mutants to the IL-2_37mer DNA probes is shown. The EMSAs were performed using various protein concentrations. Right panel, bar graph of the DNA binding affinity from the protein dose-dependent EMSAs. The fluorescence intensities of the protein-bound DNA bands were calculated using Adobe Photoshop CS4 and normalized to that of the free DNA probe. The $K_d$ values for the wild type SATB1 (C), SQ-2A mutant (D), and Q402A mutant (E) with IL-2_37mer were measured using ITC. The $K_d$ values of the two sites for the SATB1 wild type are ~0.32 and ~3.03 $\mu$M, respectively, whereas the stoichiometry for each site is ~0.91. The $K_d$ values for the SQ-2A and Q402A mutants are ~4.17 and ~5.38 $\mu$M, respectively, whereas the stoichiometry for DNA binding of the SQ-2A and Q402A mutants is ~0.65 and ~0.63, respectively.
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FIGURE 6. Schematic representation of a hypothetic model for coordinat-
ing DNA binding of SATB1. SATB1 assembles into a tetramer by oligom-
ization of the N-terminal ULD. The SATB1 tetramer can bind one or two palin-
dromic AT-rich motif-containing DNA fragments by coordination of the CUTL,
CUT1-CUT2 tandem, and HD domains. ULD-mediated tetramerization is
required, and the extreme N-terminal 70 amino acids also participate in the
DNA binding of SATB1. Some postmodifications, including phosphorylation
of Ser185 and acetylation of Lys136 and Lys175, influence DNA binding of SATB1
and thereby regulate gene expression.

or C bases through the CUT1-CUT2 tandem and HD domains
and that substitution of A/T to G/C in this motif disrupts the
DNA binding of SATB1 (5, 30). In this work, we show that the
newly identified CUTL domain has DNA binding specificity
similar to that of the CUT1-CUT2 tandem and HD domains
(Figs. 2 and 4). We note that the stoichiometry in binding
between SATB1(71–248) or the SATB1(1–248) wild type and
the IL-2_37mer is an unsuitable DNA probe for SATB1(1–248) and
SATB1(71–248), because this probe was initially identified for the
full-length SATB1 (15). Nevertheless, the $K_d$ values, together
with the EMSA results, are able to compare the DNA binding
affinities of various N-terminal fragments of SATB1. More, we
show that a full-length SATB1 protein harboring mutations of the Ser212-Gln213 motif within the CUTL domain disrupts the
DNA binding of SATB1 (Fig. 5D). Taken together, we speculate
that the specific DNA recognition of SATB1 requires the coor-
dination of the CUTL, CUT1-CUT2 tandem, and HD domains
(Fig. 6). Such a cooperative binding mode also exists in DNA
interaction of the POU domain of Oct-1 (40).

The tetrameric N terminus is essential for local and long
range gene organization by SATB1 (25). SATB1 is cleaved at its
VEMD motif, which is located downstream of the CUTL
domain, during early apoptosis, which disrupts the oligomeri-
ization of SATB1 and the coordination of the N and C termini
for its DNA binding, resulting in the dissociation of SATB1
from chromatin (29, 31, 32). Moreover, some postmodifica-
tions also influence the DNA binding of SATB1 (Fig. 6). Acety-
lation of Lys136 has been reported to impair the DNA affinity
of SATB1 (21). Some other modifications in the loop between the
ULD and CUTL domains, such as phosphorylation of Ser185
(21) and acetylation of Lys175 (24), also affect the DNA binding
affinity of SATB1. It would be interesting to explore the detailed
mechanism of SATB1-mediated gene expression by way of
these postmodifications in future studies.
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