Clickable Substrate Mimics Enable Imaging of Phospholipase D Activity
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**ABSTRACT:** Chemical imaging techniques have played instrumental roles in dissecting the spatiotemporal regulation of signal transduction pathways. Phospholipase D (PLD) enzymes affect cell signaling by producing the pleiotropic lipid second messenger phosphatidic acid via hydrolysis of phosphatidylcholine. It remains a mystery how this one lipid signal can cause such diverse physiological and pathological signaling outcomes, due in large part to a lack of suitable tools for visualizing the spatial and temporal dynamics of its production within cells. Here, we report a chemical method for imaging phosphatidic acid synthesis by PLD enzymes in live cells. Our approach capitalizes upon the enzymatic promiscuity of PLDs, which we show can accept azidoalcohols as reporters in a transphosphatidylation reaction. The resultant azidolipids are then fluorescently tagged using the strain-promoted azide–alkyne cycloaddition, enabling visualization of cellular membranes bearing active PLD enzymes. Our method, termed IMPACT (Imaging Phospholipase D Activity with Clickable Alcohols via Transphosphatidylation), reveals pools of basal and stimulated PLD activities in expected and unexpected locations. As well, we reveal a striking heterogeneity in PLD activities at both the cellular and subcellular levels. Collectively, our studies highlight the importance of using chemical tools to directly visualize, with high spatial and temporal resolution, the subset of signaling enzymes that are active.

Signal transduction pathways allow cells to translate biochemical cues from the extracellular environment into changes in metabolism, gene expression, and behavior. Second messengers are key signaling intermediates in these pathways whose downstream effects depend greatly on cell type, physiological state, and, importantly, the intracellular location of their production. Because the spatial regulation of signaling is so critical for ensuring desired physiological outcomes, imaging-based tools have become indispensable for studying the dynamics of signaling events within live cells. Phospholipase D (PLD) enzymes impact intracellular signaling by synthesizing the pleotropic lipid second messenger phosphatidic acid (PA). PLD-mediated synthesis of PA leads to diverse physiological changes, including modifications to membrane curvature, vesicle trafficking, and the actin cytoskeleton as well as activation of protein kinases. These changes ultimately cause modulations in cell growth, division, migration, and other behaviors. To achieve such a diverse set of physiological outcomes from a sole signaling agent, cells use multiple upstream signals to selectively activate different PLD isozymes at specific locations to control PA production spatiotemporally.

Several strategies exist to image PLD signaling, each with its strengths and drawbacks. Fluorescent protein fusions have been reported to change upon activation, suggesting that the localization of total enzyme pools often does not correlate well with the subpopulations that are active. Conversely, there exist several genetically encoded probes to directly visualize PA, consisting of positively charged, PA-binding peptides fused to fluorescent proteins; however, they cannot distinguish between different biosynthetic pools of PA originating from PLDs, diacylglycerol kinases, or lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferases. Furthermore, these probes can perturb signaling by masking the target lipid, and their binding often depends on additional ligands or membrane bilayer properties, leading to biased localizations.

We set out to develop a universal, unbiased imaging strategy to identify and track discrete pools of PLD-generated PA within live cells. We focused our efforts on PA generated by PLD for several reasons. First, PLDs are upregulated in several pathological scenarios, including cancer, neurodegeneration, autoimmunity, and infectious disease. As well, PLD1 has low basal but highly inducible activity and a localization that has been reported to change upon activation, suggesting differences between total and active pools of enzyme that may have major implications for signaling.

**Received:** May 26, 2017
**Published:** October 4, 2017
Using fluorescent protein fusions, localizations of PLD1 and PLD2 have been reported in the membranes of several organelles, most prominently the Golgi apparatus, endosomes, lysosomes, and the plasma membrane. Surprisingly, we reveal here, using a chemical imaging technique that can directly monitor PLD activity, that the bulk of endogenous PLD activity, both basal and stimulated, appears to occur at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and Golgi apparatus, as well as small but distinct endosomal and lysosomal pools. These results have important implications for understanding cellular control of PLD signaling. Furthermore, they highlight the importance of using approaches to visualize active populations of signaling enzymes rather than total pools of enzyme or signaling agents for dissecting metabolic and signaling pathways.

To image the dynamics of PLD-dependent PA synthesis, we capitalized on the ability of PLD enzymes, which normally hydrolyze PC to generate PA, to accept small primary alcohols in a transphosphatidylation reaction to produce phosphatidyl alcohols.1,17 Transphosphatidylation with ethanol or 1-butanol has been widely used to assay PLD activity in vitro by thin-layer chromatography or mass spectrometry.17,18 High concentrations of alcohols can be used (1%, or approximately 150 mM), to block the PLD-mediated production of PA, and lower concentrations may be used as tracers that do not substantially inhibit its production.17,18

Figure 1. Azidoalcohols can be used as reporters of PMA-stimulated, endogenous PLD activity in HeLa cells. (A) Schematic of method to monitor PLD activity using azidoalcohols and click chemistry. (B, C) Azidoalcohols can report on PLD activity in mammalian cells. HeLa cells were first treated with DMSO (solid lines) or a PLD inhibitor (B, FPI (750 nM, dashed lines); C, VU0359595 (250 nM, dashed lines) or VU0364739 (350 nM, dotted lines)) for 30 min, then with the indicated azidoalcohol (1 mM) or vehicle (B, dotted lines) for 20 min, and then stimulated with PMA (100 nM) for 20 min, followed by lipid extraction, SPAAC tagging with BODIPY-cyclooctyne 1, and analysis by fluorescence-coupled HPLC. (D–F) AzProp faithfully reports on PLD-mediated PA synthesis and does not inhibit its production. (D, E) HeLa cells were labeled with AzProp (1 mM) for 20 min (D and E, white lines) or no alcohol (E, black lines) and then stimulated with PMA (100 nM) for 20 min, followed by lipid extraction, CuAAC labeling with alkynl ammonium salt 2a, and analysis by LC/ESI-TOF MS. Shown are relative amounts of the individual phosphatidyl alcohol (D) or endogenous phosphatidic acid (E) species, indicated as number of carbons:degree of unsaturation in lipid tails. (F) HeLa cells were first treated with FPI (PLD, 750 nM) or DMSO vehicle for 30 min, then with AzProp (1 mM), butanol (1% w/v), or no alcohol for 20 min, and then stimulated with PMA (100 nM) for 20 min, followed by lipid extraction, CuAAC labeling with 2a and analysis by LC/ESI-TOF MS. Shown are the relative total amounts of all phosphatidic acid species detected. *, p < 0.01; ns, not significant. For D–F, n = 3 (3 technical replicates each of 3 independent biological experiments), and the horizontal bar represents the mean.
perturb rates of PA synthesis. However, these methods do not reveal the subcellular localization of where the PA is being generated. Antibodies to image phosphatidyl ethanol exist but have not been widely employed, perhaps due to issues of sensitivity and specificity. We recently reported that PLDs can accept alkynols as substrates, with detection of PLD activity enabled at much lower alcohol concentrations due to subsequent probe tagging using the copper-catalyzed azide−alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC).\textsuperscript{19} While this approach could be used to image cellular PLD activity, due to the toxicity of copper, alkynols are not suitable PLD probes to follow the dynamics of PLD activity and signaling within live cells.

Here we present a chemical method termed IMPACT (Imaging Phospholipase D Activity with Clickable Alcohols via Transphosphatidylation) to image pools of PA produced by PLD. Our two-step strategy involves stimulation of endogenous PLD activity in the presence of an azidoalcohol to generate phosphatidyl azidoalcohols, followed by tagging using copper-free click chemistry to append imaging or other detection probes (Figure 1A). Importantly, our approach does not significantly perturb endogenous PA levels, and the cytocompatibility of copper-free click chemistry enabled the imaging of the subcellular locations of PLD activity within live cells, revealing unexpected localizations for PLD-mediated production of PA.

We began by treating HeLa cells with a panel of azidoalcohols (2-azidoethanol (AzEt), 3-azido-1-propanol (AzProp), 4-azido-1-butanol (AzBut), and 5-azido-1-pentanol (AzPent)) and stimulating endogenous PLD activity using phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA). Following lipid extraction and tagging by strain-promoted azide−alkyne cycloaddition (SPAAC) with BODIPY-cyclooctyne \textsuperscript{1},\textsuperscript{20} we present a chemical method termed IMPACT (Imaging Phospholipase D Activity with Clickable Alcohols via Transphosphatidylation) to image pools of PA produced by PLD. Our two-step strategy involves stimulation of endogenous PLD activity in the presence of an azidoalcohol to generate phosphatidyl azidoalcohols, followed by tagging using copper-free click chemistry to append imaging or other detection probes (Figure 1A). Importantly, our approach does not significantly perturb endogenous PA levels, and the cytocompatibility of copper-free click chemistry enabled the imaging of the subcellular locations of PLD activity within live cells, revealing unexpected localizations for PLD-mediated production of PA.

We began by treating HeLa cells with a panel of azidoalcohols (2-azidoethanol (AzEt), 3-azido-1-propanol (AzProp), 4-azido-1-butanol (AzBut), and 5-azido-1-pentanol (AzPent)) and stimulating endogenous PLD activity using phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA). Following lipid extraction and tagging by strain-promoted azide−alkyne cycloaddition (SPAAC) with BODIPY-cyclooctyne, 1\textsuperscript{20}
HPLC analysis revealed the presence of fluorescent lipid species for all azidoalcohols tested (Figures 1B and S1). Importantly, treatment of cells during the labeling procedure with the pan-PLD inhibitor 5-fluoro-2-indolyl deschlorohalopemide (FPII, or PLD)\textsuperscript{21} led to complete loss of the fluorescent lipid species (Figure 1B), confirming that the fluorescently labeled lipids were derived exclusively from PLD activity. We then used isoform-selective PLD inhibitors to assess the relative contributions of PLD1 and PLD2. Treatment with isoform-selective PLD1 (VU0359595)\textsuperscript{22} or PLD2 (VU0364739)\textsuperscript{23} inhibitors led to decreases in approximately 75\% and 25\%, respectively, of the signal (Figure 1C). These data demonstrate that our method can report on both PLD1 and PLD2 activity and is consistent with the majority of PMA-stimulated PLD activity deriving from the PLD1 isozyme.\textsuperscript{24} In terms of efficacy, AzProp, AzBut, and AzPent all performed roughly equivalently, while AzEt was a poorer PLD substrate (Figure 1B). Given its commercial availability and synthetic tractability, as well as its superior performance in imaging experiments (vide infra), we elected to focus our efforts on AzProp.

We then turned to liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LCMS) based lipidomics analysis to unequivocally confirm the identity of the labeled lipids as phosphatidyl azidoalcohols. We were initially unable to detect either the underivatized or BODIPY-labeled phosphatidyl azidoalcohols from HeLa cell extracts, presumably due to a combination of poor ionization under electrospray conditions and, for the former, an overlap with the mass range of abundant cellular phospholipids. To overcome these issues, we tested a panel of clickable derivatization tags to enhance LCMS detection following electrospray ionization.

We first synthesized a phosphatidyl azidoalcohol lipid standard, phosphatidyl azidopropanol, via an in vitro chemoenzymatic reaction between 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) and AzProp catalyzed by a commercially available PLD. We then subjected this azidolipid standard to copper-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) labeling with a variety of alkynes bearing charged, polar, and nonpolar functional groups, followed by electrospray ionization time-of-flight (ESI-TOF) analysis (Figure S2A). We found that reagent 2a, which endowed the azidolipid with a quaternary ammonium group, a known enhancer of signal in ESI-MS,\textsuperscript{25} performed optimally (Figure S2B and Table S1).

LCMS analysis of liposomes from cells treated with AzProp and stimulated with PMA, followed by CuAAC labeling with 2a, revealed labeling of several phosphatidyl alcohols differing in lipid tail length and degree of unsaturation. Importantly, the relative abundances of the different phosphatidyl alcohol species mirrored those of the natural PA species in the cell and, as expected for PLD-derived PA,\textsuperscript{18,26} were enriched in lipids with shorter lipid tail lengths and lower levels of unsaturation (Figure 1D and Table S2). As well, the lower alcohol concentrations enabled by our two-step labeling procedure resulted in no diminishment in the levels of natural PA species in the cell, confirming that our approach does not perturb endogenous PLD signaling (Figures 1E,F and Table S3).

Hmmm...
on Golgi membranes, we were surprised to observe such strong and striking fluorescent phosphatidyl alcohol labeling of the ER. The ER is the principal cellular site of de novo phospholipid biosynthesis, in which PA is a central intermediate. However, PA pools in the ER are generally thought to be produced from glycerol 3-phosphate via acyltransferase activities, though a single study has proposed functions for PLD-generated PA in promoting vesicle trafficking from the ER to the Golgi via the Sar1 GTPase. Our data suggest, however, that a substantial fraction of inducible PLD activity may occur at ER membranes, which is surprising given limited evidence for functions and localizations of PLDs at ER membranes.

Additionally and importantly, we noticed a small number of IMPACT-derived bright puncta in each cell. These puncta exhibited partial colocalization by confocal microscopy and SR-SIM with markers of both lysosomes (LAMP1) and endosomes (Rab5), consistent with known roles for PLD1-generated PA on these organelles, including in mTOR activation and macroautophagy (Figures 2D,E and S5C,D and Movies S5 and S6). Interestingly, only a subset of these organelles contained the labeled phosphatidyl alcohols.

We reasoned that if the fluorescent phosphatidyl alcohols are indeed faithful reporters of PLD activity, then performing the AzProp/SPAAC labeling in cells overexpressing a fluorescently tagged PLD1 construct should result in increased IMPACT labeling on PLD1-positive structures. Therefore, we generated an mCherry-tagged PLD1 and found that, as expected for an overexpressed, tagged PLD1, it localized predominantly to puncta corresponding to lysosomes and endosomes (Figure S8 and Movies S7 and S8).

When we incubated mCherry-PLD1-expressing cells with AzProp, followed by PMA stimulation and SPAAC labeling with 1, we observed an increase in IMPACT fluorescence in bright puncta that indeed colocalized with mCherry-PLD1 (Figure 2F and Movie S7). Strikingly, while virtually every fluorescent phosphatidyl alcohol spot was positive for mCherry-PLD1, only a small subset of mCherry-PLD1-positive puncta were positive for fluorescent phosphatidyl alcohol (Figure 2F and Movie S7). These results suggest an unappreciated spatial heterogeneity in PLD activation at the subcellular level, wherein, even under strong stimulation with PMA, only a subset of PLD enzymes are activated.

Up to this point, we had focused our efforts on monitoring acute PLD activation in response to a stimulus, using PMA as a model pharmacological agent to mimic activation of several signal transduction pathways. In the absence of a stimulus, however, PLD enzymes do display a much lower but appreciable level of basal activity. The ability to detect this much lower level of basal PLD activity could enable the study of the consequences of aberrant PLD levels that occur in disease, notably in several cancers. Our data suggest, however, that a substantial fraction of inducible PLD activity may occur at ER membranes, which is surprising given limited evidence for functions and localizations of PLDs at ER membranes.

To image sites of basal PLD activity within live cells, we treated HeLa cells with 1 mM AzProp, followed by lipid extraction, SPAAC labeling with 1, and HPLC analysis. While short incubations of AzProp (e.g., 20 min) did not result in appreciable labeling, slightly longer labeling times of 2 h led to detection of fluorescent phosphatidyl alcohols (Figure 3A). Treatment with FPI prevented phosphatidyl alcohol production, and use of the isoform-selective inhibitors VU0359595 and VU0364739 revealed that roughly half of the unstimulated PLD activity in HeLa cells can be attributed to each of PLD1 and PLD2 (Figure 3A).

To image sites of basal PLD activity within live cells, we treated cells with 1 mM AzProp for 2 h, rinsed the cells, and then performed the SPAAC reaction with 1. Analysis of populations of labeled cells by flow cytometry revealed a modest amount of fluorescence that was 4-fold higher in cells treated with AzProp than in cells treated with no alcohol (Figure 3B). Importantly, the use of FPI and the isoform-selective inhibitors in these flow cytometry experiments gave results that were consistent with the in vitro lipid analysis shown in Figure 3A, indicating that the AzProp-dependent...
cellular fluorescence was due entirely to PLD enzymes and split evenly between the activities of PLD1 and PLD2 (Figure 3B).

We then examined the distribution of basal PLD activity at both the cellular and subcellular levels. At the cellular level, we observed a striking heterogeneity, wherein a small subset of cells exhibited high IMPACT-derived fluorescence (Figure 3C), while the majority of cells exhibited much lower fluorescence (Figure S9). While an examination of the flow cytometry data did not reveal a discernible, separate IMPACThigh population, we did notice an asymmetry in the histogram of IMPACT fluorescence, with a larger tail at higher fluorescence values. Skewness is a statistical measure for quantitation of this asymmetry, with positive skewness reflecting an increase at the high end of the distribution and negative skewness reflecting an increase at the low end. The flow cytometry data indeed exhibited a positive skewness value, potentially reflecting the contributions of the IMPACThigh cells to the overall population distribution (Figure S10).

When we performed similar IMPACT labeling of basal PLD activity in the presence of the PLD1 isoform-selective inhibitor VU0359595, we observed the disappearance of the high-fluorescence cells by confocal microscopy (Figure 3C), while treatment with the PLD2 inhibitor VU0364739 did not eliminate the high-fluorescence population (Figure 3C). Thus, at the cellular level, we attribute the high fluorescence of a minority of cells to PLD1 activity and the moderate fluorescence in the majority of cells to PLD2. These data are consistent with the constitutive but modest activity of PLD2 compared to the highly inducible activity of PLD1. Further, these conclusions are supported by a decrease in population skewness observed by flow cytometry analysis upon treatment with VU0359595 but an increase in skewness upon treatment with VU0364739 (Figure S10). Notably, the heterogeneity of PLD1 at the cellular level is unexpected and quite different from the case where PLD1 activity is stimulated by PMA, where virtually all cells exhibited high and roughly equivalent levels of fluorescence (e.g., Figure 2B).

The subcellular localization of AzProp-marked basal PLD activity appeared to be similar to that of AzProp-marked, PMA-stimulated PLD enzymes, that is localized to a mixture of ER, Golgi, endosomal, and lysosomal membranes (Figure 3D). We used isoform-selective inhibitors to probe the relative contributions of each PLD isoform to PA biosynthetic activity on different organelle membranes. Use of the PLD1-selective inhibitor VU0359595 led to selective disappearance of most of the ER-derived fluorescence but only a portion of the Golgi-derived fluorescence (Figure 3D). By contrast, the PLD2-selective inhibitor VU0364739 caused a decrease in Golgi-derived fluorescence but had minimal effect on ER-derived fluorescence (Figure 3D). Thus, we conclude that, in HeLa cells, the bulk of ER-localized, basal PLD activity is due to PLD1, whereas PLD activity on Golgi membranes is due to a roughly equal mix of PLD1 and PLD2.

Our observation of largely similar labeling patterns between acutely stimulated and tonic PLD activity in separate experiments raised the question of whether we could, within the same cell, tag membranes orthogonally to distinguish these distinct biochemical activities temporally and spatially. To accomplish this, we developed a sequential, two-color labeling protocol to mark basal PLD activity with one fluorophore and stimulated PLD activity with a second fluorophore (Figure 4A). We first treated cells with AzProp for 3 h in the absence of a PLD stimulus to generate phosphatidyl azidoalcohols. Followed by a brief rinse, we then added 5-hexyn-1-ol (hexynol) in the presence of a PMA stimulus to mark membranes bearing acutely stimulated PLD enzymes with phosphatidyl alkynols. After fixation, we performed sequential SPAAC and CuAAC.
reactions with 1 and then a tetramethylrhodamine–azide conjugate, respectively, followed by imaging by confocal microscopy.

Consistent with our live-cell imaging (Figure 3C), in this experiment the nonstimulated PLD displayed high AzProp-derived fluorescence only in a minority of cells, whereas hexynol marked equally high PMA-stimulated PLD activity in all cells (Figure 4B). Various negative controls, including the omission of PMA and the addition of FIP1, validated the specificity of each alcohol probe in this sequential labeling protocol (Figure 4B). At the subcellular level, the two different phosphatidyl alcohol populations exhibited a substantial colocalization, suggesting that basal and active PLD pools occupy similar membrane compartments (Figure 4C). Given that both AzProp and hexynol are expected to report on PLD1 and PLD2 activities under our labeling conditions, we were pleased to observe strong colocalization. As an important control, when we switched the order of AzProp and hexynol labeling, i.e., using a 3-h hexynol treatment to mark unstimulated PLD activity and a 20 min AzProp incubation in the presence of PMA to report on stimulated PLD, we observed identical results (Figure S11).

Collectively, these IMPACT imaging experiments offer several potential insights regarding the localization and activity of PLD enzymes. First, the localization of endogenous PLD activity, both basal and PMA-stimulated, appears different from the localization of fluorescently tagged PLD enzymes. Second, our data suggest that a major pool of PLD activity may reside in the ER, indicating underappreciated and potentially novel functions for PLD-dependent PA production in this organelle. Third, we observe a striking heterogeneity in IMPACT labeling at both the cellular and subcellular levels. At the cellular level, basal PLD1 activity appears low, but a minority of cells within a given population exhibit what appears to be stochastically high PLD1 levels. At the subcellular level, even under strong PMA stimulation, only a subset of overexpressed, endosomally and lysosomally localized PLD1 enzymes colocalize with IMPACT labeling, suggesting that endogenous PLD1 enzymes may have highly variable activity across these compartments as well.

In sum, we have developed a nonperturbative, chemical approach for imaging and profiling endogenous cellular PLD activity within live cells termed IMPACT that both recapitulated known localizations of PLD-mediated PA production and also highlighted the existence of underappreciated cellular pools of active PLD. We anticipate that this method, which is specific to PLD-generated PA, will be an important part of the growing toolset for studying PA, which includes complementary genetically encoded sensors that bind to total cellular PA independent of its biosynthetic origins. Collectively, our study underscores the importance of using chemical imaging tools that can directly report on enzymatic activity to study dynamic lipid signaling events.
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