Knockdown of Alpha-1 Antitrypsin with antisense oligonucleotide does not exacerbate smoke induced lung injury
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Abstract

Alpha-1 Antitrypsin (AAT) is a serum protease inhibitor that regulates increased lung protease production induced by cigarette smoking. Mutations in the Serpina1 gene cause AAT to form hepatoxic polymers, which can lead to reduced availability for the protein’s primary function and severe liver disease. An AAT antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) was previously identified to be beneficial for the AATD liver disease by blocking the mutated AAT transcripts. Here we hypothesized that knockdown of AAT aggravates murine lung injury during smoke exposure and acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). C57BL/6J mice were randomly divided into 4 groups each for the smoking and smoke-flu injury models. The ASO and control (No-ASO) were injected subcutaneously starting with smoking or four days prior to influenza infection and then injected weekly at 50 mg/kg body weight. ASO treatment during a 3-month smoke exposure significantly decreased the serum and lung AAT expression, resulting in increased Cela1 expression and elastase activity. However, despite the decrease in AAT, neither the inflammatory cell counts in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) nor the lung structural changes were significantly worsened by ASO treatment. We observed significant differences in inflammation and emphysema due to smoke exposure, but did not observe an ASO treatment effect. Similarly, with the smoke-flu model, differences were only observed between smoke-flu and room air controls, but not as a result of ASO treatment. Off-target effects or compensatory mechanisms may account for this finding. Alternatively, the reduction of AAT with ASO treatment, while sufficient to protect from liver injury, may not be robust enough to lead to lung injury. The results also suggest that previously described AAT ASO treatment for AAT mutation related liver disease may attenuate hepatic injury without being detrimental to the lungs. These potential mechanisms need to be further investigated in order to fully understand the impact of AAT inhibition on protease-antiprotease imbalance in the murine smoke exposure model.
Introduction

Alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT) is a serum protease inhibitor that targets the proteases produced during injury that are ultimately responsible for structural destruction in the lung. The protease-antiprotease paradigm has long been recognized and earlier research has identified neutrophil elastase as one of the most potent proteases that mediates destruction in the lung [1, 2]. Alpha-1 antitrypsin inhibits neutrophil elastase activity [3] and prevents the degradation of elastin [4], which helps to maintain the integrity of the extra-cellular matrix in the lung. Imbalances between tissue damaging proteases and their inhibitors such as AAT leads to lung destruction and the development of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [5].

AAT deficiency (AATD) was the first identified genetic predisposition to COPD [6]. Broad screening studies suggest 2% of patients with COPD have AATD [7]. AATD carriers (Pi’MZ) can account for as high as 17.8% among patient populations [8, 9]. Moreover, the disease has several clinical manifestations; COPD that is attributed to a loss of anti-protease activity, and cirrhosis that is attributed to accumulation of misfolded Z-AAT protein within the hepatocyte [10].

Unlike the single gene Serpina1 that encodes AAT in humans, there are five genes (Serpina1a~f) that encode AAT in mice. Although a recent study illustrated a mouse model of AATD with CRISPR editing of all five murine AAT genes [11], the antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) approach provides insights about short-term effects and dose dependent responses by targeting the common coding sequences. This ASO approach already demonstrated efficient reduction of human AAT transcript and successfully attenuated the liver injuries associated with AATD [12].

Although beneficial effects were previously found with AAT ASO treatment of liver disease, the knockdown of normal AAT may prove to be detrimental for the lung in the event of an injury with the overproduction of proteases, including chymotrypsin-like elastase 1 (Cela1), a digestive protease that is expressed during lung development [13]. Cela1 was implicated with mouse lung development and human AATD [13, 21]. As the main etiology of COPD, smoking induces inflammation and tips the protease-antiprotease balance towards increased proteases activity. The underregulated protease activity leads to a distinct gene expression profile [14] and subsequent parenchymal destruction [15–17].

Another main trigger for rapid progression of COPD is respiratory viral or bacterial infection [18]. The viruses picornavirus and influenza are among the highest prevalence in patients with acute exacerbation of COPD [19]. Although both smoke exposure and additional influenza infection lead to increased protease production and result in parenchyma destruction and lung functional changes, the severity, cell types, and signaling pathways involved in initial inflammation and subsequent changes are quite distinct. Therefore, the impact of AAT ASO was assessed in both chronic smoke exposure as well as the smoke exposure with influenza injury models. Here we hypothesize that murine AAT ASO treatment decreases the expression of AAT and subsequently aggravates the smoke-induced lung injury and acute exacerbation modeled by influenza virus infection after smoke exposure.

With the mouse AAT ASO, we can understand if AAT knockdown significantly limits the availability of AAT in the lung. In addition, we can determine if AAT modulation affects the outcome of smoking-induced lung injury and acute COPD exacerbation in assessing the overall feasibility for using AAT ASO for treatment of liver disease in patients with a predisposition to proteolytic lung destruction.
Materials and methods

Alpha-1 antitrypsin antisense oligonucleotide treatment

Mouse AAT ASO and control ASO solution were prepared by Ionis Pharmaceutical in collaboration with Keith Blomenkamp and Dr. Jeff Teckman in Saint Louis University with the method described previously [12]. Two filter flasks were aliquoted upon arrival at 5 mg/ml concentration and administered via subcutaneous injection with a dose of 10 ml/kg body weight once a week, to make up a final concentration of 50 mg/kg.

Animal housing and smoke exposure

All Animal studies were approved by and performed in compliance with guidelines from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Columbia University. 60 male C57BL/6J mice, 10–12 weeks of age, purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME), were randomly divided into 4 groups, Air-NoASO (AN), Air-ASO (AA), Smoke-NoASO (SN), and Smoke-ASO (SA). All mice were acclimated to the animal facility for at least 48 hours prior to use and were given chow diet and water ad libitum. Smoke exposure was conducted on TE-10 Teague Smoking Apparatus (Teague Enterprises, Woodland, CA) with 3R4F Reference Cigarettes (University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY) for 5 days per week, 5 hours per day for a total of 3 months at a total particulate matter of 100~150 mg/m^3. Total particulate matter was monitored by aerosol monitor DustTrak II 8530 (TSI, Shoreview, MN) and confirmed by gravimetric analysis. After starting the smoke exposure, alpha-1 antitrypsin antisense oligonucleotide treatment was administered weekly (Fig 1). This weekly dose was previously shown to dramatically reduce AAT in circulation [12].

Influenza infection model

22 male C57BL/6J mice, 10–12 weeks of age, from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME), were randomly divided into 4 groups for another set of comparisons, Air-NoASO (AN), Air-ASO (AA), SmokeFlu-NoASO (FN), and SmokeFlu-ASO (FA). After smoke exposure for two weeks as described above, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (1–5%) and infected intranasally with a dose of 1000 TCID50 Influenza A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 H1N1 virus suspension in 30 μl of PBS. All laboratory personnel who perform infections and handle infected mice have

---

Fig 1. Experimental design. Alpha-1 antitrypsin antisense oligonucleotide treatment was tested in two lung injury models, smoke exposure for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and additional influenza infection for COPD exacerbation. Mice were randomly divided into 4 groups, first letter indicating the exposure or lung injury model (A for room air or S for smoke exposure; A for room air or F for smoke-flu exposure), second letter representing the treatment with or without ASO (A for AAT ASO or N for non-AAT control ASO).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246040.g001
undergone training for BSL-2 procedures to enter and use animals in this facility. Two oligonucleotide doses were given 1 and 4 days prior to influenza infection and continued once a week post-infection to reach the pre-defined endpoint of day 18 (Fig 1). As the infection dose may still result in animal death, starting from the first antisense treatment which is 4 days before the infection, animals were weighed and monitored for health on a daily basis by qualitative assessment of general health noting clinical signs of morbidity such as ruffled fur, hunched posture and shivering. The humane endpoint was defined as 20% weight loss and moribund appearance as assessed by lack of movement, hunched posture, and unkempt appearance. If within 24 hours mice did not recover and regain weight, they were euthanized.

**Lung function testing**

We assessed the lung function of 60 mice for smoke-antisense study. After 3 months of smoke exposure and weekly injections, lung function was measured with flexiVent FX2 (EMKA, Montréal, QC, Canada), a computer-controlled piston ventilator that measures lung function in small animals. The mice were sedated with pentobarbital 75 mg/kg, tracheostomized and intubated with an 18-gauge beveled tracheal tube to connect directly to flexiVent. After 3–5 min equilibration on the ventilator with a tidal volume of 8 ml/kg and frequency of 150 breaths/min and maintaining the mice at 37˚C with a homeothermic blanket (Homeothermic Blanket System; Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA), mice were given succinylcholine 0.5 mg every 14 min by i.p. injection. Perturbations, including deep inflation, snapshot-150, quick prime-3, and PV loop (PVs-P) were performed using the flexiVent system. Measurements were repeated until we obtained 3 consecutive consistent and valid (COD > 0.95) readings for each perturbation.

**Inflammation and emphysema assessment of the lung**

For both smoke-antisense and smoke-flu-antisense studies, lungs were lavaged during sacrifice once with 500 μl of PBS to obtain concentrated BAL supernatant, followed by two more lavages with 1 ml of sterile PBS to maximize cell yields. Total cells were counted with a TC20 automatic cell counter (Bio-Rad, Philadelphia, PA). Cytospin preparations were stained with Quick-Diff (Imeb), and cells were analyzed for differential counts using morphological criteria. Left lung was formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded, step-sectioned every 200 μm and stained by H&E method. Morphometric analyses, including the traditional gridline counting and parenchymal airspace profiling methods [20], were performed on the lung sections. We used whole slide scans in which each scan is equivalent to 20–100 fields of view under a microscope of the same magnification. With 13–15 biological replicates per group to examine, we randomly sampled two sections at different depths from each sample block. Parenchymal airspace profiling is a novel morphometric method that categorizes airspaces by size with machine learning algorithms into subpopulations of single alveolus, alveolar sac, and ductal-destructive airspaces [20]. Additional parameters, including representative size and area fraction, for each categories of airspace are calculated accordingly.

**Western blotting, ELISA, protease activity assay, and qRT-PCR**

The AAT protein expression in the lung was assessed with western blotting (alpha-1 antitrypsin antibody, #16382-1-AP, rabbit polyclonal, Proteintech, Rosemont, IL). Serum level of AAT was evaluated with Mouse Alpha-1 Antitrypsin ELISA Kit (ab205088, Lot Number: GR3272327, Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom). Elastase activity assays were performed per the manufacturer’s instructions using EnzChek Elastase Assay Kit (E-12056, ThermoFisher Invitrogen, Waltham, MA) from whole lung homogenates. PCR probe for Cela1
(chymotrypsin-like elastase family, member 1) was purchased from ThermoFisher (Assay ID: Mm00712898_m1, Cat #4331182, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

**Statistical analysis**

Two-way ANOVA (exposure \(\times\) treatment) followed by Tukey post hoc tests were performed to test for significant differences (alpha < 0.05) between exposure and treatment groups. A two-tailed student’s t-test with Bonferroni adjusted alpha was utilized for comparing experimental groups to the reference group in real-time PCR experiments. GraphPad Prism 8 was utilized to perform the log-rank test (Mantel-Cox) for survival curve analysis. All error bars indicate mean ± SEM.

**Results**

**ASO treatment effectively knocked down AAT protein expression, and increased both Cela1 expression and elastase activity in the lung**

Endogenous AAT protein expression in the serum (Fig 2A ANOVA, \(P[treatment] < 0.001, N = 8–10\) per group) and the lung (Fig 2B ANOVA, \(P[treatment] < 0.001; N = 6\) per group, from 3 western blots; S2 Fig) were significantly reduced in mice injected with ASO. Tukey’s HSD post hoc tests showed a significant reduction in AAT levels among air exposed and smoke exposed mice treated with ASO compared to control treated animals with matching exposures (\(P<0.001\) in each case). ASO injections also significantly increased the *Cela1* expression in the lungs of the air-exposed mice, but not for the smoke-exposed mice (Fig 2C student’s t-test *P* [Room Air-Placebo: Room Air-Antisense] = 0.144, *N* = 4 per group). Elastase activity was significantly increased by smoke exposure (Fig 2D ANOVA, \(P[exposure] = 0.020\) and ASO treatment (ANOVA, \(P[treatment] < 0.001\)). Tukey’s HSD post hoc tests showed a significant increase in elastase activity among air exposed (*P* [Room Air-Placebo: Room Air-Antisense] = 0.009) and smoke exposed (*P* [Smoke-Placebo: Smoke-Antisense] = 0.009) mice treated with ASO compared to control treated animals with matching exposures.

**ASO did not exacerbate smoke-induced lung injury**

Lung function testing results measured by flexiVent showed an overall significantly increased inspiratory capacity (Fig 3A ANOVA, \(P[exposure] = 0.017, N = 13–15\) per group) for mice.
ASO treatment did not contribute to any significant changes in inspiratory capacity or resistance regardless of the exposure conditions.

Inflammatory cell counts in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) were only significantly increased among antisense mice exposed to smoke compared to those exposed to room air (Fig 3C ANOVA, \( P[\text{exposure}] = 0.019 \); Tukey’s HSD \( P[\text{Room Air-Placebo: Smoke-Placebo}] = 0.03 \)). Analysis of the lung morphometry in the mice demonstrated emphysema development with smoke exposure alone for Mean Linear Intercept (Fig 3D ANOVA, \( P[\text{exposure}] = 0.011 \); Tukey’s HSD \( P[\text{Smoke-Placebo: Room Air-Antisense}] = 0.041 \) and \( P[\text{Smoke-Placebo: Room Air-Placebo}] = 0.022 \)) and with both exposure & treatment factors for Ductal/destructive Size (Fig 3E ANOVA, \( P[\text{exposure}] < 0.001 \), \( P[\text{treatment}] = 0.015 \), \( P[\text{treatment \& exposure}] < 0.004 \); Tukey HSD \( P[\text{Smoke-Placebo: Room Air-Antisense}] \leq 0.001 \), \( P[\text{Smoke-Placebo: Smoke-Antisense}] = 0.001 \), and \( P[\text{Smoke-Placebo: Room Air-Placebo}] < 0.001 \)). Although the ASO treatment successfully decreased the expression of AAT in the serum and the lung, no additional exacerbation of lung injury was observed as a result of treatment with ASO treatment with smoke exposure (Fig 3A–3F).

ASO did not significantly affect survival and lung responses of mice exposed to smoke and influenza infection

Two weeks after the infection, 4 out of 8 SmokeFlu-NoASO (FN) mice and 3 out of 8 SmokeFlu-ASO (FA) mice died abruptly before reaching pre-defined humane endpoint. The control groups, 3 Air-NoASO (AN) and 5 Air-ASO (AA) did not have adverse effects. Both groups exposed to smoke and influenza virus exhibited mortality of ~50% two weeks after the infection and had no significant difference in survival rate (Fig 4A Log-Rank \( p = 0.1754 \)).
Similar to smoke exposure alone, there was significantly increased inflammation due to inhaled exposure to smoke and flu (Fig 4B ANOVA, P[exposure] = 0.025). However, the ASO treatment did not contribute to any significant changes (ANOVA, P[treatment] = 0.810). A significant reduction in serum AAT concentration was also observed as a result of ASO treatment (Fig 4C ANOVA, P[treatment] < 0.001, Tukey’s HSD P[Room Air-Placebo: Room Air-Antisense] < 0.001, P[Smoke Flu-Placebo: Smoke Flu-Antisense] < 0.001, and P[Smoke Flu-Placebo: Room Air-Placebo] < 0.018).

**Discussion**

Consistent with the previous study [12], where an 80% reduction in circulating AAT was found in the liver after AAT ASO treatment, we also found more than 50% reduction in AAT protein expression in the serum and the lung (Fig 2A and 2B). As one of the digestive enzymes that can be covalently neutralized by AAT and important in emphysema development, we first examined the expression of chymotrypsin-like elastase 1 (Cela1) [21]. ASO treatment significantly up-regulated Cela1 expression in room air-exposed mice to a comparable level to those exposed to smoke. The treatment did not significantly change Cela1 expression levels among smoke exposed mice, suggesting partial knockdown of the protease inhibitor does favor further over-expression of Cela1 (Fig 2C). From another perspective, the AAT expression is still present at a detectable level (Fig 2A and 2B). This level of AAT may remain effective and functional, especially in comparison to a recently published paper showing complete knock-out of AAT resulted in significant changes in lung function and emphysema [11].

In the mouse smoke exposure model of COPD, we did not observe any significant differences in lung functional parameters, inflammatory cells, or lung structural changes attributable to ASO treatment. As expected the differences between smoke alone and air control can be found in degrees of inflammation and emphysema. Partial knockdown of AAT only significantly modulated ductal/destructive size among smoke exposed mice, but did not otherwise affect the inflammatory and emphysematous metrics measured in the smoke exposure model (Fig 3). In order to examine whether partial knockdown of AAT has an effect during acute COPD exacerbation we designed another set of experiments that utilize both smoke and influenza virus exposure. The exacerbation model itself clearly distinguished the mice exposed to...
smoke and influenza from room air controls even with limited survival rate (~50%). However, the ASO treatment did not have a statistically significant effect for mice exposed to both smoke and flu. A lower than anticipated survival rate in the smoke and flu exposed animals reduced the sample size. Subsequent studies should account for reduced survival when performing their power analysis. Further, future studies may also benefit from powering their experiments to allow stratification by gender when exploring sex specific effects of ASO on the lung [22].

This study was designed to identify the potentially harmful effects of AAT knockdown on the lung in the presence of acute exacerbation or chronic COPD. A potential explanation for the limited effect of ASO treatment on the lung may be a result of off-target binding and compensatory mechanisms in response to the treatment. The antisense design may target unintended proteins with similar nucleotide sequence. These potential mechanisms need to be investigated in order to fully understand the protease-antiprotease imbalance pertaining to AAT in the murine smoke exposure model [23].

In summary, ASO presents an effective method for partial knockdown of AAT expression and a valuable vehicle for mechanistic studies regarding AAT mediated protease-antiprotease balance. In both scenarios that we investigated, AAT modulation did not significantly alter the measured outcomes of smoke-induced lung injury and additional viral infection. Further, significantly reduced AAT expression was associated with increased neutrophil elastase activity and may impact the expression of other key proteases. Considering the Cela1 expression and lung elastase activity results, it is possible that the partial knockdown of AAT by ASO was not sufficient to promote significant worsening of lung injury during the timeframe observed. However, the observation that ASO knockdown of AAT led to an increase in elastase activity suggests that extended treatments might eventually lead to structural changes or damage in the lung.

Most importantly, this study did not demonstrate significant worsening of lung injury in murine models of COPD exacerbations as a result of reduced AAT expression. These results may support the idea that partial knockdown of AAT expression in PiZZ patients for liver protection may not have detrimental effects on the lung. Therefore, the use of AAT ASO treatment in models of AAT Z-polymer associated liver disease warrants further exploration to determine if there is a benefit of this therapy in combination with AAT augmentation therapy for individuals at risk for COPD development.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. AAT ASO treatment decreased AAT expression in the lungs of smoke exposed mice. Western blots contributing to Fig 2B. Western blot detecting AAT and β Actin in mouse lung homogenates.
(PDF)

S2 Fig. No significant differences in lung function in AAT ASO treated and smoke exposed mice. FlexiVent measurements were repeated at least 3 times, in order to obtain 3 consecutive consistent and valid (COD>0.95) readings. No significant differences as a result of exposure or treatment were observed. A) Quasi-Static Compliance. B) Newtonian Resistance. C) Resistance. D) Tissue Damping. E) Compliance. F) Tissue Elastance.
(PDF)

S3 Fig. Decreased AAT expression in the lungs of mice treated with AAT ASO and exposed to smoke and flu. A) Western blot detecting AAT (upper) and β Actin (lower) in mouse lung homogenates. B) Densitometry performed on the western blot.
(PDF)
S1 Data.
(XLSX)

S1 Raw images.
(PDF)

Acknowledgments
We thank former D’Armiento laboratory member Dr. Emilio Arteaga-Solis for insightful discussions.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Monica Goldklang, Rui Xiao, Keith Blomenkamp, Jeffery Teckman, Jeanine M. D’Armiento.

Data curation: Kyle Stearns, Monica Goldklang, Rui Xiao, Jeanine M. D’Armiento.

Formal analysis: Kyle Stearns, Monica Goldklang, Rui Xiao, Jeanine M. D’Armiento.

Funding acquisition: Monica Goldklang, Rui Xiao, Jeanine M. D’Armiento.

Investigation: Kyle Stearns, Monica Goldklang, Rui Xiao, Keith Blomenkamp, Jeanine M. D’Armiento.

Methodology: Kyle Stearns, Monica Goldklang, Rui Xiao, Keith Blomenkamp, Jeffery Teckman, Jeanine M. D’Armiento.

Project administration: Kyle Stearns, Monica Goldklang, Rui Xiao, Tina Zelonina, Jeanine M. D’Armiento.

Resources: Keith Blomenkamp, Jeffery Teckman, Jeanine M. D’Armiento.

Software: Kyle Stearns, Rui Xiao.

Supervision: Kyle Stearns, Monica Goldklang, Rui Xiao, Jeanine M. D’Armiento.

Validation: Kyle Stearns, Rui Xiao.

Visualization: Kyle Stearns, Rui Xiao, Jeanine M. D’Armiento.

Writing – original draft: Kyle Stearns, Rui Xiao.

Writing – review & editing: Kyle Stearns, Monica Goldklang, Rui Xiao, Jeanine M. D’Armiento.

References
1. Gadek JE, Pacht ER. The protease-antiprotease balance within the human lung: implications for the pathogenesis of emphysema. Lung. 1990; 168 Suppl:552–64. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02718178 PMID: 2117164.

2. Birrer P. Consequences of unbalanced protease in the lung: protease involvement in destruction and local defense mechanisms of the lung. Agents Actions Suppl. 1993; 40:3–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-7385-7_1 PMID: 8480553.

3. McCarthy C, Reeves EP, McElvaney NG. The Role of Neutrophils in Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2016; 13 Suppl 4:S297–304. https://doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.201509-634KV PMID: 27564664.

4. Ma S, Lin YY, Cantor JO, Chapman KR, Sandhaus RA, Fries M, et al. The Effect of Alpha-1 Proteinase Inhibitor on Biomarkers of Elastin Degradation in Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Deficiency: An Analysis of the RAPID/RAPID Extension Trials. Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis. 2016; 4(1):34–44. https://doi.org/10.15326/jcopdf.4.1.2016.0156 PMID: 28848909; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5560248.
5. Kalfopoulous M, Wetmore K, ElMallah MK. Pathophysiology of Alpha-1 Antitrypsin Lung Disease. Methods Mol Biol. 2017; 1639:9–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7163-3_2 PMID: 28752442.

6. Spurzem JR, Rennard SI. Pathogenesis of COPD. Semin Respir Crit Care Med. 2005; 26(2):142–53. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2005-869355 PMID: 16088433.

7. Lieberman J, Winter B, Sastre A. Alpha 1-antitrypsin Pi-types in 965 COPD patients. Chest. 1986; 89 (3):370–3. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.89.3.370 PMID: 3485034.

8. American Thoracic S, European Respiratory S. American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: standards for the diagnosis and management of individuals with alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003; 168(7):818–900. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.168.7.818 PMID: 14522813.

9. Fahndrich S, Herr C, Greulich T, Seibert M, Lepper PM, Bernhard N, et al. Sex differences in alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency lung disease-analysis from the German registry. COPD. 2015; 12 Suppl 1:58–62. https://doi.org/10.3109/15412555.2015.1023785 PMID: 25938294.

10. Silverman GA, Pak SC, Perlmutter DH. Disorders of protein misfolding: alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency as prototype. J Pediatr. 2013; 163(2):320–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2013.03.077 PMID: 23664631; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3725216.

11. Borel F, Sun H, Zieger M, Cox A, Cardozo B, Li W, et al. Editing out five Serpina1 paralogs to create a mouse model of genetic emphysema. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018; 115(11):2788–93. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1713689115 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5856518.

12. Guo S, Booten SL, Watt A, Alvarado L, Freier SM, Teckman JH, et al. Using antisense technology to develop a novel therapy for alpha-1 antitrypsin deficient (AATD) liver disease and to model AATD lung disease. Rare Dis. 2014; 2:e28511. https://doi.org/10.4161/rdis.28511 PMID: 25054094; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4091453.

13. Liu S, Young SM, Varisco BM. Dynamic expression of chymotrypsin-like elastase 1 over the course of murine lung development. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2014; 306(12):L1104–16. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplung.00126.2013 PMID: 24793170; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4060008.

14. Kukkonen MK, Tiili E, Vehmas T, Oksa P, Piirila P, Hirvonen A. Association of genes of protease-antiprotease balance pathway to lung function and emphysema subtypes. BMC Pulm Med. 2013; 13:36. https://doi.org/10.1186/1716-086X-13-36 PMID: 23734748; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3680142.

15. Kolodziejczyk J, Wojciechowska M, Bartuzi Z, Dziedziczko A. [Balance of proteinases and antiproteinases and smoking tobacco]. Pneumonol Alergol Pol. 2011; 79(3):207–14. PMID: 21509733.

16. Bodas M, Tran I, Vj N. Therapeutic strategies to correct proteostasis-imbalance in chronic obstructive lung diseases. Curr Mol Med. 2012; 12(7):807–14. https://doi.org/10.2174/156652412801318809 PMID: 22697347.

17. Chilliappagari S, Preuss J, Licht S, Muller C, Mahavadi P, Sarode G, et al. Altered protease and antiprotease balance during a COPD exacerbation contributes to mucus obstruction. Respir Res. 2015; 16:85. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-015-0247-x PMID: 26169056; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4501272.

18. Wedzicha JA, Singh R, Mackay AJ. Acute COPD exacerbations. Clin Chest Med. 2014; 35(1):157–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2013.11.001 PMID: 24507843.

19. Mohan A, Chandra S, Agarwal D, Guleria R, Broor S, Gaur B, et al. Prevalence of viral infection detected by PCR and RT-PCR in patients with acute exacerbation of COPD: a systematic review. Respirology. 2010; 15(3):536–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1843.2010.01722.x PMID: 20415983.

20. Xiao R, Goldklang MP, D’Armiento JM, Parenchymal Airspace Profiling: Sensitive Quantification and Characterization of Lung Structure Evaluating Parenchymal Destruction. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2016; 55(5):708–15. https://doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2016-0143OC PMID: 27373990; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5105186.

21. Joshi R, Heinz A, Fan Q, Guo S, Monia B, Schmelzer CEH, et al. Role for Cela1 in Postnatal Lung Remodeling and AAT-deficient Emphysema. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2017-0361OC PMID: 29420065.

22. Tam A, Churg A, Wright JL, et al. Sex Differences in Airway Remodeling in a Mouse Model of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2016; 193(8):825–834. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201503-0487OC PMID: 2659602

23. Evers MM, Toonen LJ, van Roon-Mom WM. Antisense oligonucleotides in therapy for neurodegenerative disorders. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2015; 87:90–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2015.03.008 PMID: 25797014.