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ABSTRACT:
This paper presents an approach which combines LiDAR sensors and cameras of a mobile multi-sensor system to obtain information about pedestrians in the vicinity of the sensor platform. Such information can be used, for example, in the context of driver assistance systems. In the first step, our approach starts by using LiDAR sensor data to detect and track pedestrians, benefiting from LiDAR’s capability to directly provide accurate 3D data. After LiDAR-based detection, the approach leverages the typically higher data density provided by 2D cameras to determine the body pose of the detected pedestrians. The approach combines several state-of-the-art machine learning techniques: it uses a neural network and a subsequent voting process to detect pedestrians in LiDAR sensor data. Based on the known geometric constellation of the different sensors and the knowledge of the intrinsic parameters of the cameras, image sections are generated with the respective regions of interest showing only the detected pedestrians. These key points are finally projected from 2D image coordinates to 3D world coordinates using the assignment of the original LiDAR points to a particular pedestrian.

1. INTRODUCTION
Pedestrians belong to the group of particularly vulnerable road users, especially in an urban environment where pedestrians and vehicles have to share the traffic space. Therefore, it is helpful for the development of driver assistance systems, for autonomous driving, but also for traffic or urban planning to have automatic capabilities for immediate or long-term information acquisition about pedestrians in the vicinity of vehicles and in urban areas. Among the sensor equipment discussed for future vehicles, a number of established sensor technologies can be found. These include cameras for visible or infrared light, LiDAR sensors, or RADAR. The aforementioned sensor technologies have quite different characteristics with their respective advantages and disadvantages. Visible light cameras, for example, rely on external light sources, which limits their ability to be used at night. LiDAR sensors constitute their own light source and are able to directly acquire three-dimensional geometric information. However, they typically provide a much lower data density than cameras and are not capable of capturing color-based features. This limits their ability to distinguish individual pedestrians based on their appearance and hampers the determination of detailed features, such as the pose of different body parts of a pedestrian. Multi-sensor systems, i.e., vehicles equipped with different types of sensors, ideally allow the advantages of the different sensor types to be combined to provide as much information as possible about pedestrian activity in the vehicle’s vicinity.

This paper presents an approach which uses data acquired by multiple LiDAR sensors and cameras on a mobile multi-sensor system to gather information about pedestrians. The LiDAR sensors are used for the initial detection and the tracking of pedestrians, while the cameras are employed to provide data about their body pose.

2. RELATED WORK
This section is divided into two parts. First, we refer to some related work dealing with pedestrian detection or, more generally, object detection in LiDAR data. The second part addresses image-based approaches for pose estimation.

2.1 Pedestrian detection in LiDAR data
Many methods for the detection of pedestrians or, more generally, the detection of specific objects in LiDAR data use a two-step approach. First, segments of the processed data are extracted which are supposed to contain individual objects. To achieve this, methods can be used which collate contiguous regions in the processed data, such as region growing (Velizhev et al., 2012) or DBSCAN (Asvadi et al., 2017). Then, a classifier is used to determine which type of object each segment contains. A weakness of these approaches is the risk of over- or under-segmentation, i.e. cases where multiple objects are part of a segment or a single object is split into multiple segments. Although some classifiers are able to handle such situations to some extent, it may still limit the performance of such approaches.

Voting-based approaches do not rely on a prior segmentation of the processed data, hence they circumvent the risk of over- or under-segmentation. Such methods perform a feature extraction and afterwards use these features to fill a voting space. Objects to be detected cause maxima in the voting space by
locally accumulating multiple matching voting scores, so they can be detected by searching for such maxima. One way to implement such an approach is to use handcrafted features and a dictionary (Knopp et al., 2010, Knopp et al., 2011). The extracted features are matched to the dictionary which contains the information needed to cast a vote. For example, this information can be the relative position of an object’s center. More recently, voting-based approaches which use deep neural networks instead of handcrafted features and a dictionary have been proposed (Qi et al., 2019).

Traditional classifiers used for the object recognition in 3D data often rely on a set of handcrafted features. Support vector machines (Navarro-Serment et al., 2010), bag-of-words (Behley et al., 2013), or random forests (Fukano and Masuda, 2015) are examples of such classifiers. More recently, the use of deep neural networks became common. Such networks automatically learn the features they use, which usually leads to features which are better suited for the task at hand than handcrafted ones.

A difficulty of using neural networks on 3D point clouds is their typically unstructured appearance. While camera images have an inherent regular pixel structure, such a grid does not exist for the point clouds resulting from, e.g., mobile LiDAR scanning. This makes it difficult to directly use neural network architectures which rely on such a structure. This concerns, for example, convolutional neural networks (CNN), which are currently used very prominently in image processing. One way to handle this is to convert the 3D point clouds to a structured format like depth images (Asvadi et al., 2017, Socher et al., 2012) or voxel grids (Maturana and Scherer, 2015, Garcia-Garcia et al., 2016, Zhou and Tuzel, 2017).

The conversion of 3D point clouds into a structured format can be problematic. The ideal size for the pixel or voxel of such a representation to a certain degree depends on the data density present in the point cloud. If the data density is too high for the chosen pixel or voxel size, information gets lost since many points get grouped together. If the data density is too low, it is difficult to properly fill the grid since there is not enough data available for every voxel or pixel. Usually the data density of single scans of a LiDAR sensor depends on the distance between the sensor and the captured scene, which varies significantly in mobile LiDAR scanning (MLS) use cases. There are approaches which circumvent such issues by using neural network architectures which do not rely on an organization structure of the processed data. (Liu et al., 2019) presented a continuous convolutional neural network which does not depend on structured data, using a specially designed convolution operator PConv. PointNet (Qi et al., 2017a), later extended to PointNet++ (Qi et al., 2017b), is another approach which is also able to directly process unstructured point clouds. Their neural networks learn a symmetric function to extract features from the processed data which can then be used for various tasks like classification or semantic labeling.

In our own work (Borgmann et al., 2020) we use a neural network inspired by PointNet to process local point neighborhoods, i.e. small subsets of the point clouds surrounding a certain center point. The output of the network is used to fill a voting space to detect objects. By using relatively small local point neighborhoods, a less complex neural network can be used that requires less training. The work presented in this paper uses this approach for the detection of pedestrians.

2.2 Image-based pose estimation

A wide variety of approaches exists to perform an estimation of human body poses based on images. They can be categorized in different ways. One is to differentiate between approaches which assume that the processed data only shows a single person (Yang and Ramanan, 2013, Dantone et al., 2013, Ke et al., 2018) and ones which can handle multiple persons at once. In case of multiple persons the task becomes more complicated since body parts have to be detected and grouped together for each individual person. In our approach, we already detect individual persons using the LiDAR sensor data. In theory, we could provide the pose estimation component only with image sections of individual persons, but this becomes problematic if multiple persons are in close proximity to each other. In such cases it is difficult to generate image sections which only show a single person. This is especially the case if the sensor placement on the multi-sensor platform leads to greatly different viewing angles for the different types of sensors. Hence, we preferred a multi-person pose estimation for camera image analysis in our approach as well.

For multi-person pose estimation there exist top-down and bottom-up approaches. Top-down approaches detect persons in the processed data and then perform an estimation of body parts and poses for each detected person individually (He et al., 2017, Fang et al., 2017). Bottom-up approaches on the other hand directly detect the body parts of a person and connect the parts belonging to the same person in a later step of the processing. OpenPose (Cao et al., 2017, Cao et al., 2019) is a fast and well performing bottom-up multi-person pose estimation approach. It uses a CNN to jointly determine confidence maps for body parts detection as well as Part Affinity Fields (PAFs). These are 2D vector fields which model the location and orientation of limbs which connect two individual body parts. The PAFs are later used to connect the multiple body parts belonging to the same person using a greedy method. In the work presented in this paper we use OpenPose for the estimation of body poses in image data.

3. METHOD

Our approach has two main components: The detection of pedestrians in MLS point clouds and the estimation of body poses for the detected pedestrians in corresponding image sections from multiple cameras. The idea is that MLS point clouds are well suited for the detection and position estimation of pedestrians in the vicinity of a multi-sensor platform. However, the comparatively low data density provided by LiDAR sensors makes them less suitable for determining certain details such as the pose of different body parts. This can better be done using camera images. The approach generates image sections for each detected pedestrian and processes these sections with a state-of-the-art method for human body pose estimation. The resulting poses, which are initially in 2D image coordinates, are then converted back to the 3D coordinate frame.

The approach is designed to be used with data of a mobile multi-sensor system including one or more LiDAR sensors, which are able to provide georeferenced 3D point clouds. The input for the pedestrian detection method is assumed to be a sequence of single LiDAR scans (e.g., single 360° rotations of LiDAR sensors with a rotating scanner head) which share a common world coordinate frame. One axis of this coordinate frame is aligned with the height axis, and we call this axis the z-axis.
Furthermore, the sensor system is assumed to be equipped with one or more cameras. Their intrinsic parameters (e.g., the camera matrix and distortion coefficients) have to be known as well as the geometric constellation of the sensors, i.e. the extrinsic parameters of the whole sensor system. Hence, coordinate transformations between the different involved coordinates frames are possible. While acquiring data, the trajectory and orientations of the sensor platform are measured, which is typically achieved by using an inertial navigation system (INS). This allows the trajectory and orientations of each imaging sensor to be associated with the data it records. The system’s sensors also share a common clock, which puts their recordings into a common temporal context.

The technical realization of such a mobile multi-sensor system, which can fulfill these requirements, is a complex topic and is not addressed in this paper. We have described our own experimental system “MODISSA” in a previous paper (Borgmann et al., 2018). In the following, we first describe the pedestrian detection in MLS point clouds and then the image-based body pose estimation used in our approach.

3.1 Pedestrian detection

In this work we use an approach for LiDAR-based detection of pedestrians which was the result of our earlier work (Borgmann et al., 2020, Borgmann et al., 2019). Figure 1 gives an overview of the processing steps of this approach. It generates small local subsets from the acquired 3D point clouds which we call local point neighborhoods. These neighborhoods are processed in a neural network inspired by PointNet (Qi et al., 2017a). The network decides whether the neighborhood is part of an object of interest, which in the context of this paper means whether it is part of a pedestrian. If this is the case, the network also estimates where the center of the object is located in relation to the local point neighborhood. These outputs of the neural network are used in a subsequent voting process. The voting process accumulates the results of multiple processed local point neighborhoods and thus mitigates sporadic incorrect estimates made by the neural network. The output of the voting process are the positions of detected pedestrians. We supplement the detection process with a basic tracking method using a Kalman filter. The tracking method handles occlusions and provides additional information about the movement speed and direction of previously detected pedestrians. Certain important aspects of our approach are described in the following subsections.

3.1.1 Pre-processing and local point neighborhoods

Although the approach does not necessarily require any previous data filtering, a ground removal can be used to reduce the overall execution time. The approach detects objects mainly based on their appearance and not their surroundings. Therefore, excluding parts of the surroundings from the further processing can be a benefit in terms of execution time, provided that distinguishing between the object of interest and the background is computationally less demanding than the full processing of the data. This is the case for the data points representing the ground. We use a fast method to estimate the ground level which generates a ground grid based on the height represented by the z-coordinate of the points in a grid cell. A subsequent region-growing based validation is used to decide if a certain grid cell actually contains any ground points. By calculating the distance between a point and the ground grid, it is possible to decide whether the point belongs to the ground.

After the ground removal, local point neighborhoods are generated. A local point neighborhood is defined by a center point and contains all the points in a certain radius around this point. For optimal results, this radius has to fit the data being processed and the specific application. Ideally, the local point neighborhoods should provide an implicit segmentation of the data. Therefore, it is preferable if all points of a local point neighborhood belong only to a small number of objects or only to a single object. In our earlier work, we found that 0.5 m is a good neighborhood radius for the detection of objects in MLS data of our experimental system, and we use this radius for experiments and results shown in this paper.

Local point neighborhoods have a well defined coordinate frame with the center point as its origin. The orientation of this coordinate frame is defined using the z-axis of the point cloud’s coordinate frame as well as the line of sight between the recording sensor and the center point. The approach can generate a local point neighborhood for either every point in the processed cloud or a randomly selected subset of them, depending on a chosen sub-sampling parameter. Again, sub-sampling can significantly improve the execution time and we have demonstrated that low amounts of sub-sampling only have minor influence on the quality of the detection results. Sub-sampling parameters we usually use are the parameters for the removal of every first, second, third, fourth, or every fifth point of the original point cloud.

3.1.2 Neural network

Figure 2 shows the topology of the neural network used in our approach which follows the design paradigms of PointNet (Qi et al., 2017a). Therefore the network is able to process an unordered set of 3D points. Our local point neighborhoods are significantly smaller than whole point clouds or large point cloud segments normally processed with PointNet. This allows us to use a neural network that is less complex than the original PointNet and therefore easier to train.

The neural network processes local point neighborhoods indi-
3.1.3 Voting and post-processing

The actual voting process searches for local vote weight maxima. This is done by re-evaluating the weight of each vote by considering the weight of neighboring votes for the same object type. Depending on the distance between the re-evaluated and the neighboring vote, a part of the weight of the neighboring vote is added to the weight of the re-evaluated one. After that a threshold is applied. Votes with a sufficient vote weight are considered as object detections. There can be multiple of such votes for the same object at roughly the same position. Therefore, votes in a close proximity are merged. This results in the output of the object detection as position, type, and score of the detected objects. To additionally determine bounding boxes, all local point neighborhoods whose votes have contributed to the detection of a certain object are considered. The bounding box of an object detection envelopes the center points of all these local point neighborhoods.

3.2 Human body pose estimation

Our approach uses an image-based estimation of human body poses which is performed after the pedestrian detection in 3D point clouds. Figure 3 gives an overview of the processing steps for pose estimation, which are conducted individually for each detected pedestrian. Figure 3a is an example for the output of the pedestrian detection and the input of the pose estimation: A pedestrian detected in an MLS point cloud with a position and estimated 3D coordinates of the object’s center. Batch normalization is used for all MLP (multi-layer perceptron) layers, except for the layer directly before the output layer. The last batch normalization of each output is followed by a dropout layer with a dropout rate of 0.2.

The votes are determined by the regression part of the neural network, which gets transformed from the neighborhood coordinate frame to the coordinate frame of the point cloud. Hence, the generated votes and the vote space have the same coordinate frame as the processed point cloud.

The parameter $W_c$ is used to approximate the local point density. This should ensure that the overall vote weight in areas of the point cloud with a higher point density is roughly the same as in areas with a lower point density. The position attribute of the votes is determined by the regression part of the neural network, which gets transformed from the neighborhood coordinate frame to the coordinate frame of the point cloud. Hence, the generated votes and the vote space have the same coordinate frame as the processed point cloud.

For each camera of the multi-sensor system, the camera image is selected whose acquisition time best matches the acquisition time of the 3D point cloud. LiDAR sensors with a rotating scan head do not acquire data at a specific point in time, but scan continuously. It is therefore not possible to perfectly synchronize the recording of a pedestrian in image data and LiDAR data. Small discrepancies between the recording time of the pedestrian in the 3D point cloud and the assigned 2D camera images have to be accepted. Figure 3b gives an example for a camera image assigned to the 3D point cloud.

The 3D corner points of the pedestrian’s bounding box are projected onto coordinates of the matched images, utilizing the known geometric constellation of the sensors and knowledge of...
(a) Pedestrian detected in a LiDAR point cloud with bounding box.

(b) Full camera image acquired synchronously with the point cloud.

(c) Image section generated based on 3D bounding box, superimposed with the result of the image-based body pose estimation.

(d) Body pose projected into 3D space.

Figure 3. Processing steps for (a) and (b) image section generation based on detected pedestrians, (c) image based pose estimation, and (d) projection of the poses back to 3D space.

the intrinsic parameters of the cameras. By selecting the corner coordinates which result in the maximum spatial expansion in image coordinates, a 2D bounding box is generated. This does not result in valid image coordinates for every camera, since the different cameras usually have fields-of-view covering only part of the sensor system’s surroundings. For the further processing, only camera images with well-overlapping bounding boxes are considered.

The 2D bounding boxes are used to generate image sections which ideally only show the pedestrian to be processed, i.e., whose pose is to be estimated. However, due to different viewing angles of the cameras and the LiDAR-sensors as well as inaccuracies in the determination of the bounding boxes, it is possible that other pedestrians are at least partially visible in the same image section, especially if they are in close proximity to each other. The image sections are processed by a state-of-the-art method for the image-based estimation of human body poses. We use OpenPose (Cao et al., 2019) with the body and foot model for this purpose, but also other equivalent methods can be used in a similar way. Figure 3c shows an image section superimposed with the result of the pose estimation.

OpenPose provides multiple keypoints for the head and the feet of a person, which are more than we need. Therefore, we opted to merge the multiple head keypoints (nose, eyes, ears) to a single combined head keypoint by using their average image coordinate. We did the same for both feet (big toe, small toe, heel).

Based on the intrinsic camera parameters and the known geometric constellation of the sensors, the body keypoints in 2D image coordinates are assigned to 3D rays which originate at the position of the corresponding camera in 3D space at the time the image was acquired. Based on these rays, 3D coordinates are determined for the body pose keypoints by using the 3D points of the point cloud which supported the detection of the processed pedestrian. This means that center points of local point neighborhoods that casted votes for this pedestrian (see Section 3.1) are considered, and 3D pose keypoints are found as the positions on the 3D rays which are closest to one of these center points of the relevant local point neighborhoods.

As mentioned earlier, it is possible that other pedestrians are partially visible in the generated image sections. When processing such an image section, there are pose estimation results for more than one visible pedestrian. To decide which result applies to the correct pedestrian, the one is preferred whose body keypoints in 3D space are on average closer to the supporting points. It is also possible that a specific pedestrian is visible in
the images of multiple cameras. This leads to multiple pose estimation results for the same pedestrian but from different camera images. In such cases, for each type of body keypoint the one with the higher confidence during pose estimation is preferred. With these two heuristics, Figure 3d shows results for different body pose keypoints in 3D coordinates.

4. RESULTS

We conducted experiments with the main focus on the combination of LiDAR sensors and cameras. We already evaluated the pedestrian detection component of our approach in an earlier work (Borgmann et al., 2020). The performance of the body pose estimation method we apply (OpenPose) has already been analyzed by the authors of the original paper (Cao et al., 2019).

For our experiments we used data recorded with a multi-sensor vehicle which we have presented in our earlier work (Borgmann et al., 2018). During our experiments, only one of the vehicle’s Velodyne HDL-64E LiDAR sensors was geometrically configured in a way to capture the complete 360° surroundings of the vehicle. We used data of that sensor for the pedestrian detection. During the experiments, the LiDAR sensor was operating with 10 rotations per second, hence a single 360° scan took place in a time period of 0.1 s. Such a scan contains about 130,000 measurements on average, distributed over 64 scan lines. The vehicle also has a panoramic camera setup consisting of two global-shutter RGB video cameras on each corner of the vehicle’s roof. All eight cameras combined are able to cover the complete surroundings of the vehicle. We used images from these cameras for the body pose estimation. For the experiments, they were triggered to a frame rate of 10 images per second. The vehicle is equipped with an INS which is used to georeference all acquired data and, in particular, to generate the motion-corrected 3D LiDAR point clouds.

For the experiments we analyzed data which were recorded in an urban street environment. There are multiple pedestrians present either walking along a sidewalk or crossing the road at an intersection. In order to train the neural network for the pedestrian detection, additional short data sequences have been used, most of them also recorded in an urban environment but in a different area than the data used for the evaluation. In total 1300 labeled point clouds have been used for the training and additionally 226 for the validation of the training progress. For the body pose estimation, the body and foot model provided by the developers of OpenPose has been used 1.

1 https://github.com/CMU-Perceptual-Computing-Lab/openpose

Figure 4. Exemplary results. Pedestrians detected in a 3D point cloud with bounding box and generated image sections superimposed with body pose estimation results. Body pose keypoints have been projected back into the point cloud (light green dots).
The 3D points whose local point neighborhoods and subsequent bounding boxes are generated in a way that they include all pedestrians are considered which can cause wrong results. The coordinates of the body pose keypoints, 3D points of both pedestrians are too large. The other is that while determining the 3D tation method may generate bounding boxes which at least par-able to detect these persons individually, our pedestrian detec-tion approach is able to determine 3D coordinates for the 2D results of the pose estimation. Although some weaknesses exist, the approach has shown promising results for its intended use cases.

For the future, we plan to use a camera mounted on a pan-tilt unit that has a narrow field-of-view and provides a locally higher level of detail. By pointing such a camera in the direc-tion of LiDAR-based pedestrian detections, we expect to be able to acquire and process high-resolution images of individual detected pedestrians.

5. CONCLUSION

We presented an approach which combines multiple sensor types of a vehicle-based multi-sensor system and uses them to gather information about pedestrians in the vicinity of the vehicle. The LiDAR sensors are used for the detection and tracking of pedestrians while the cameras are used for a detailed body pose estimation.

The approach is able to combine the specific advantages of LiDAR sensors and cameras to provide more comprehensive information about pedestrians in the vehicle’s vicinity. It aims to minimize computational overhead by performing image analysis only for image sections which are regions of interest and actually contain pedestrians. This lowers the overall execution time, especially if multiple cameras are used. By using the knowledge which 3D points of the point cloud belong to a particular detected pedestrian, the approach is able to determine 3D coordinates for the 2D results of the pose estimation. Although some weaknesses exist, the approach has shown promising results for its intended use cases.
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