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The Supplement includes text passages, figures, and data tables supporting the abovementioned study. The text discusses in higher detail the ecology of the selected foraminiferal species, and diverse aspects relevant to the Mg/Ca-paleothermometry.
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Ecology, calcification depths, and seasonality of proxy formation

Planktonic foraminifera are marine protists living in the photic zone. They produce calcitic tests from calcium carbonate from the surrounding water. To reconstruct surface ocean conditions, we selected the near-surface species *Orbulina universa* (d'Orbigny, 1839). *O. universa* is a spinose planktonic foraminiferal species that inhabits surface waters throughout the tropical, subtropical and transition zones of the world ocean (Bé and Tolderlund, 1971). Early studies of their habitat preferences and morphology regard their morphotypes as belonging to the same genetic species, but showing phenotypic variations under different environmental conditions (Bé et al., 1973; Hecht et al., 1976; Colombo and Cita, 1980). These studies reveal that *O. universa* has a preference for dwelling within the photic zone between the surface mixed layer and the shallow thermocline, which is ~30-80 m water depth in our study areas (c.f. Fig. 2). *O. universa* has a 2-staged growth in their life cycle (Caron et al., 1987; Lea et al., 1995). In the juvenile stage, they build a multi-chambered trochospiral form covered with calcite spines. In the adult stage, they develop a final, large, spherical chamber that hosts 90-95% of its total calcite (Spero and Parker, 1985). The final chamber continues to thicken until gametogenesis, during which their spines are shed (Hamilton et al., 2008).

Based on sediment trap studies, Deuser et al. (1981) proposed different calcification depths for different morphotypes of *O. universa*: thin-walled (5-10 µm) and thick-walled (up to 30 µm) morphotypes, with the thick-walled morphotypes secreting shells having ~0.5‰ higher δ¹⁸O than the thin-walled variants. Marshall et al. (2015) pointed out that the different isotopic compositions of both morphotypes cannot be explained by seasonal variation, as they are both present year-round. For this study, we made no distinction between morphotypes, as both morphotypes of *O. universa* show resembling calcification depths (Anand et al., 2003; Farmer et al., 2007). The issue of a seasonal bias of proxies generated on *O. universa* is discussed further below.

To support the *O. universa* analytical results, we additionally analyzed *Globigerinoides ruber* white, which is a symbiont-bearing near surface dwelling species, living in the upper 50 m of the mixed layer (Bé and Hutson, 1977). It occurs in warmer regions, predominantly in subtropical regions. Several studies confirmed that *G. ruber* records reflect warmest water
conditions of the seasonal cycle (Regenberg, et al., 2009). Andrijanic (1988) showed omnipresent *G. ruber* in austral summer off the eastern Australian coast. We presume that *G. ruber* did not change habitat significantly over time, as it is a very shallow dwelling, symbiont-bearing species dependent on high light levels.

To reconstruct subsurface ocean properties, we selected calcitic tests of the planktonic foraminiferal species *Globorotalia truncatulinoides* (d’Orbigny, 1839). *G. truncatulinoides* is a deep-dwelling planktonic, subtropical species, which occurs over a broad range of water temperatures and salinities (e.g., Lohmann and Schweitzer, 1990; Ganssen and Kroon, 2000).

For *G. truncatulinoides*, a coiling dimorphism is apparent, separating the species into left-coiled (sinistral) and right-coiled (dextral) morphotypes. The preferred habitats of both morphotypes, however, are rather similar (Jentzen et al., 2018; Cléroux et al., 2008). Friedrich et al. (2012) and Ganssen and Kroon (2000) found that both morphotypes have similar stable oxygen ($\delta^{18}O$) and carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}C$) compositions, and Mg/Ca signatures. We therefore made no distinctions between morphotypes.

*G. truncatulinoides* exhibits a complex life cycle, beginning in the upper meters of the water column in the photic zone. It continues to grow and calcify new chambers in deeper waters until it reaches the adult stage, thereby pursuing a reproductive strategy that requires annual vertical migration of several hundred meters, with greater living depths during spring and summer (Cléroux et al., 2009). Different encrustation stages of *G. truncatulinoides*, in this respect, may point to different calcification depths (Reynolds et al., 2018). In the Gulf of Mexico, non-encrusted and encrusted specimens reveal mean calcification depths of 66 ± 9 m (with a range between 0-150 m) and 379 ± 76 m (with a range between 170 and 700 m), respectively (Reynolds et al., 2018). As the majority of the *G. truncatulinoides* specimens in cores 2614 and 2609 are encrusted, we assume a rather deep habitat depth range.

Various studies reported that a higher abundance of *G. truncatulinoides* is associated with a very deep (permanent) thermocline and/or thick water thermostads (Lohmann and Schweitzer, 1990; Ravelo and Fairbanks, 1992; McKenna and Prell, 2004; Schiebel and Hemleben, 2005). In Tobago basin (tropical W-Atlantic), Nürnberg et al. (2021) assigned a calcification depth of ~200-250 m to *G. truncatulinoides*, which corresponds to a depth nearly below the main thermocline in this area. This notion is in good agreement with findings from the eastern Caribbean, where *G. truncatulinoides* apparently prefers a habitat at ~180-300 m (Jentzen et al., 2018). Cléroux et al. (2008) proposed that at mid-latitudes and high latitudes, the isotopic temperature of *G. truncatulinoides* exceeds winter temperatures, but coincide with summer temperatures around the base of the summer thermocline. In our study area, the base of the
summer thermocline is between ~350 and 400 m (Fig. 2), which is rather deep compared to other ocean areas. Jonkers and Kučera (2015) projected that the flux pattern of the deep-dwelling *G. truncatulinoides* is rather regular over the year, with a high percentage of the annual flux occurring in a single high-flux pulse. For our derived subSST$_{Mg/Ca}$ records we reckon that the seasonal range at the base of the thermocline is minimal (Fig. 2), hence a seasonal bias for the proxy records, if any, is minimal.

**Sample contamination**

Marine sediment contains a significant proportion of clay (~1-10 % Mg by weight), which may perturb the foraminiferal Mg/Ca ratios, when tests are not cautiously cleaned prior to the analyses. By monitoring the foraminiferal samples for their Fe/Ca, Al/Ca and Mn/Ca ratios, the effect of cleaning efficiency, post depositional contamination, and diagenetic alteration on foraminiferal Mg/Ca was examined. Barker et al. (2003) and Them et al. (2015) proposed contamination-indicative threshold values for Fe/Ca, Al/Ca and Mn/Ca (<0.1 mmol mol$^{-1}$).

Meanwhile, numerous studies have shown that these threshold values - defined in the North Atlantic - are often exceeded as they largely depend on the sediment type the foraminiferal tests were removed from (e.g. Nürenberg et al., 2021).

In many of our foraminiferal samples, the Al/Ca, Fe/Ca and Mn/Ca ratios are higher than the given threshold values, and at times reach values of up to ~0.7 mmol mol$^{-1}$, ~0.5 mmol mol$^{-1}$, and ~0.007 mmol mol$^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. S1; S2). Notably, these high contaminant values do not consistently have extremely high foraminiferal Mg/Ca ratios. Also, the correlation of Mg/Ca$_{O.universa}$ to either Al/Ca, Fe/Ca, or Mn/Ca for the core 2614 is insignificant ($R^2= 0.0047, 0.0095$ and 0.0497), suggesting that samples were not contaminated (Fig. S1). A high covariance between Mg/Ca and Mn/Ca, Fe/Ca and/or Al/Ca would imply insufficient clay removal during cleaning (Barker et al., 2003). Low correlation coefficients are also present in *O. universa* ($R^2= 0.24, 0.32$ and 0.14) and *G. truncatulinoides* samples from core 2609 ($R^2= 0.62, 0.58$ and 0.02) (Fig. S2).

In Figures S3–S5, the downcore comparison of Mg/Ca ratios to the contaminant element ratios Al/Ca, Fe/Ca and Mn/Ca are shown. From the comparisons, unusually high Mg/Ca ratios relative to contaminant element ratios were excluded from the downcore records, as they led to unrealistically high temperature estimates (Table S1).
**Figure S1.** Contamination plots. Foraminiferal Mg/Ca vs. Al/Ca (a; blue), Fe/Ca (b; red) and Mn/Ca (c; green) for *O. universa* from core 2614. Al/Ca, Fe/Ca and Mn/Ca partly exceed threshold values (>0.1 mmol mol⁻¹, grey shading) proposed by Barker et al. (2003). $R^2 =$ correlation coefficients.

**Figure S2.** Contamination plots. Foraminiferal Mg/Ca vs. Al/Ca (blue), Fe/Ca (red) and Mn/Ca (green) for *O. universa* (left; a, b, c) and *G. truncatulinoides* (right; d, e, f) from core 2609. Al/Ca, Fe/Ca and Mn/Ca partly exceed threshold values (>0.1 mmol mol⁻¹, grey shading) proposed by Barker et al. (2003). $R^2 =$ correlation coefficients.
Figure S3. Downcore Mg/Ca\textsubscript{O.universa} of core 2614 (d) in comparison to contaminant elemental ratios Al/Ca (c), Fe/Ca (b), and Mn/Ca (a) from the same samples. Correlation coefficients are given in Figure S1. Threshold values provided by Barker et al. (2003) indicative of sample contamination (>0.1 mmol mol\textsuperscript{-1}) are indicated by the dashed lines, but should be viewed cautiously. Grey shaded bars mark the excluded samples (c.f. Table S1).

Table S1. Defined outliers with unusually high contaminant ratios taken out from further interpretations.

| Core  | Sample depth (cm) | Sample species | Mg/Ca (mmol mol\textsuperscript{-1}) | Al/Ca (mmol mol\textsuperscript{-1}) | Fe/Ca (mmol mol\textsuperscript{-1}) | Mn/Ca (mmol mol\textsuperscript{-1}) |
|-------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2614  | 142              | O. universa    | 2.74                                | 0.05                                 | 0.53                                | 0.007                               |
|       | 258              |                | 2.57                                | 0.05                                 | 0.53                                | -0.009                              |
|       | 374              |                | 5.78                                | 0.05                                 | 0.53                                | 0.004                               |
|       | G. trunca.       | no data        | no data                             | no data                              | 0.07                                | no data                             |
|       |                   |                |                                     |                                      | 0.03                                |                                     |
| 2609  | 64               | O. universa    | 7.38                                | 3.96                                 | 1.96                                | 0.019                               |
|       | 166              |                | 4.92                                | 3.96                                 | 1.96                                | 0.08                                |
|       | 190              |                | 6.05                                | 3.96                                 | 1.96                                | 0.015                               |
|       | G. trunca.       | no data        | no data                             | no data                              | no data                             |                                     |
|       |                   |                |                                     |                                      |                                     |                                     |
| 26    | 52               | G. trunca.     | 2.46                                | 0.56                                 | 0.46                                | 0.03                                |
|       | 96               |                | 3.04                                | 0.87                                 | 0.54                                | 0.03                                |
|       |                   |                | 3.14                                | 0.96                                 | 0.58                                | 0.03                                |
Figure S4. Downcore Mg/Ca\textsubscript{\textit{deep\textit{versus}}} of core 2609 (d) in comparison to contaminant elemental ratios Al/Ca (c), Fe/Ca (b), and Mn/Ca (a) from the same samples. Correlation coefficients are given in Figure S2. Threshold values provided by Barker et al. (2003) indicative of sample contamination (>0.1 mmol mol\(^{-1}\)) are indicated by the dashed lines, but should be viewed cautiously. Grey shaded bars mark the excluded samples (c.f. Table S1).

Calcite dissolution effects and preferential Mg-ion removal

Calcite dissolution in fact lowers foraminiferal Mg/Ca-based temperature estimates (e.g., Nürenberg et al., 1996; Regenberg et al., 2006). Nonetheless, many studies prove the large potential of the Mg/Ca-paleothermometry even in calcite-unsaturated waters (e.g., Nürenberg et al., 2015; Tapia et al., 2015). Approaches were introduced to correct for the Mg\textsuperscript{2+}-ion loss, either by correcting for water depth (e.g., Regenberg et al., 2006; Dekens et al., 2002) or by correcting for the degree of undersaturation with respect to calcite ion concentration (e.g., Regenberg et al., 2006; 2014). In the study area, the calcite saturation state \(\Delta (\text{CO}_3^{2-})\), which is the difference between the \textit{in situ} carbonate ion concentration (\text{CO}_3^{2-}) and (\text{CO}_3^{2-}) at saturation, is 0 \(\mu\)mol kg\(^{-1}\) at >3700 m water depth today (Regenberg et al., 2006). The \(~21.3 \pm 6.6 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}\) threshold being considered as critical for selective Mg\textsuperscript{2+}-removal (Regenberg et al., 2006; 2014) is clearly shallower at ~1500 m water depth in the study area. While our western core 2614 from a water depth of 1070 m is above this critical threshold level, the
eastern core 2609 is ~500 m below this threshold level, making the dissolution-related perturbation of the Mg/Ca-signal possible. Nonetheless, the Holocene mean SST_{Mg/Ca} and subSST_{Mg/Ca} estimates appear close to the modern temperatures at the respective water depths (Fig. 2) suggesting that selective Mg$^{2+}$-ion removal due to calcite dissolution processes is rather negligible.

**Figure S5.** Downcore Mg/Ca_{G.trunca} of core 2609 (d) in comparison to contaminant elemental ratios Al/Ca (c), Fe/Ca (b), and Mn/Ca (a) from the same samples. Correlation coefficients are given in Figure S2. Threshold values provided by Barker et al. (2003) indicative of sample contamination (>0.1 mmol mol$^{-1}$) are indicated by the dashed lines, but should be viewed cautiously. Grey shaded bars mark the excluded samples (c.f. Table S1).

**Analytical results: Oxygen isotopes ($\delta^{18}$O) and Mg/Ca ratios**

**Western core 2614**

The $\delta^{18}$O_{G.ruber} record of core 2614 (van der Kaars et al., 2017) is rather similar to the $\delta^{18}$O_{O.universa} record with respect to downcore variations and the deglacial amplitude change, although absolute $\delta^{18}$O_{G.ruber} values are on average lighter by ~0.5 %. The $\delta^{18}$O_{O.universa} record is generally lighter than the $\delta^{18}$O_{G.trunca} record, with $\delta^{18}$O_{O.universa} showing a range between 0.1
and 1.5 ‰, while $\delta^{18}O_{G.trunca}$ values are heavier ranging between 0.6 and 3.5 ‰ (Fig. S6). The species-specific $\delta^{18}$O-values hence, reflect the according living depths of the three species. The downcore variations in Mg/Ca$_{O.universa}$ are broadly reflected by Mg/Ca$_{G.ruber}$, although the amplitude fluctuations appear to be larger in Mg/Ca$_{O.universa}$. Mg/Ca$_{O.universa}$ is overall higher (~3-7.5 mmol mol$^{-1}$) than Mg/Ca$_{G.trunca}$, (~0.8-5.2 mmol mol$^{-1}$) (Fig. S6). Notably, Mg/Ca$_{G.trunca}$ exhibits various prominent excursions to extremely high values $>$4.5 mmol mol$^{-1}$ and amplitudes of $>$4 mmol mol$^{-1}$.

**Figure S6.** Analytical results for western core 2614. (a) Mg/Ca ratios of *G. ruber* (red), *O. universa* (blue) and *G. truncatulinoides* (green). (c) Species-specific $\delta^{18}$O records. The $\delta^{18}$O$_{G.ruber}$ data are from van der Kaars et al. (2017).

**Eastern core 2609**

Similar to core 2614, the absolute $\delta^{18}$O values in the eastern core 2609 reflect the increasing calcification depths of the studied species, with $\delta^{18}$O$_{G.trunca} > \delta^{18}$O$_{O.universa} > \delta^{18}$O$_{G.ruber}$. The $\delta^{18}$O$_{G.ruber}$ record is lighter by on average ~0.5 ‰ than the $\delta^{18}$O$_{O.universa}$ record, while their downcore amplitude variations are quite similar (Fig. S7). Both records are lighter by ~0.7-2 ‰ than the $\delta^{18}$O$_{G.trunca}$ record. Notably, the downcore $\delta^{18}$O$_{G.trunca}$ variations are larger than
those of the surface-dweller. They resemble those of core 2614, but are clearly heavier (Fig. S6).

The Mg/Ca_{O.universa} and Mg/Ca_{G.trunca} records range between ~3-5-7 mmol mol^{-1}, and downcore variations are rather similar not exceeding ~2 mmol mol^{-1} (Fig. S7). The Mg/Ca_{G.trunca} record is on average ~4 mmol mol^{-1} lower than those of the shallow-dweller, and exhibits significantly lowered Mg/Ca_{G.trunca} below ~1.4 m core depth. Compared to core 2614, the core 2609 Mg/Ca_{G.trunca} record shows only small-scale amplitude variations of >1 mmol mol^{-1}.

**Figure S7.** Analytical results for eastern core 2609. (a) Mg/Ca ratios of *G. ruber* (red), *O. universa* (blue) and (b) *G. truncatulimoides* (green). (c) Species-specific δ^{18}O records.

*Foraminiferal Mg/Ca-paleothermometry*

*O. universa*

The Mg/Ca ratios of *O. universa* were converted into sea surface temperatures (SST_{Mg/Ca}) using available species-specific temperature calibrations (c.f. Fig. S8, S9). We finally chose the calibration equation of Hathorne et al. (2003). This calibration function (Mg/Ca = 0.95 exp(0.086 SST)) is based on *O. universa* specimens recovered from a latitudinal transect in the North Atlantic to monitor their Mg-uptake. The calibration of Hathorne et al. (2003) provides a mean Holocene SST_{Mg/Ca} of ~19.6° C in the western area, which is in broad agreement with the modern austral summer SST range in the upper thermocline/mixed layer (~30-80 m water depth) (Fig. S8, S9; c.f. Fig. 2). In the eastern area,
the same calibration provides a mean Holocene SST$_{\text{Mg/Ca}}$ of $\sim 20.5^\circ$ C, which exceeds the modern austral summer SST range. A discussion on this issue can be found in Chapter 4.3.

The Russell et al. (2004) equation ($\text{Mg/Ca} = 0.85 \exp(0.096 \ \text{SST})$) provides results rather similar to the Hathorne et al. (2003) equation (Fig. S8). Other calibration functions from Lea et al. (1999), Anand et al. (2003a), and Regenberg et al. (2009) ($\text{Mg/Ca} = 1.36 \exp(0.085 \ \text{SST})$; $\text{Mg/Ca} = 0.38 \exp(0.09 \ \text{SST})$; $\text{Mg/Ca} = 0.29 \exp(0.101 \ \text{SST})$) provide either by several degrees too warm or too low SST-estimates.

**Figure S8.** Calculated Mg/Ca-based temperatures from 0-600 cm core depth for western core 2614. The Mg/Ca data of *O. universa* (a), *G. ruber* (b), and *G. truncatulinoides* (c) were converted using species-specific temperature calibrations (c.f. legend). Modern annual SST at $\sim 30$-80 m, $< 50$ m and $\sim 350$ m water depth are indicated (WOA, Locarnini et al., 2018), which are the most likely habitats of the studied species.
Although the Mg/Ca ratios of *G. ruber* follow in course and amplitude the according records of *O. universa*, and can be therefore taken as reliable support, we refrained from calculating SST\textsubscript{Mg/Ca} from Mg/Ca\textsubscript{*G. ruber*} due to the following reasons: All temperature equations available for *G. ruber* (Lea et al., 2000: Mg/Ca = 0.30 exp (0.089 SST); Anand et al., 2003: Mg/Ca = 0.38 exp(0.09 SST), Mg/Ca = 0.342 exp(0.09 SST); Dekens et al., 2002: Mg/Ca = 0.37 exp(0.09(SST-0.36(core depth in km) - 2.0° C); McConnell and Thunell et al., 2005: Mg/Ca = 0.69 exp(0.068 SST); Regenberg et al., 2009: Mg/Ca = 1.43 exp(0.047 SST); Whitko et al., 2002: Mg/Ca = 0.57 exp(0.074 SST)) provide SSTs, which are warmer by several degrees than the modern austral summer SST at <50 m water depth, and reach unrealistic paleo-SST of even >30° C in the western core 2614 (Fig. S8, S9). Only the Elderfield and Ganssen (2000) equation (Mg/Ca = 0.52 exp (0.10 SST)) provides a late Holocene SST\textsubscript{Mg/Ca}, which comes close to the modern austral summer SST at <50 m water depth (15-16° C at core location 2609; 17-19° C at core location 2614). The core-top SST\textsubscript{Mg/Ca}-estimates derived from *G. ruber* are hence, quasi equally warm than those of *O universa*. As the Elderfield and Ganssen (2000) equation, however, is a non-species-specific calibration but relies on various planktonic foraminiferal species, we assess this equation not applicable and hence, do not use the *G. ruber* proxy data for further interpretation.

The Mg/Ca ratios of the deep-dwelling *G. truncatulinoides* were converted into subsurface temperatures (subSST\textsubscript{Mg/Ca}) using the calibration equation of Regenberg et al. (2009) (Mg/Ca = 1.32 exp(0.05 TT)). The Regenberg et al. (2009) study was based on calibrating Mg/Ca ratios of multiple planktonic foraminifera species (including *G. truncatulinoides*) obtained from (sub)tropical Atlantic sediment-surface samples with δ\textsuperscript{18}O-derived calcification temperatures. The calibration provided Holocene subSST\textsubscript{Mg/Ca} estimates, which agree with the modern annual thermocline temperatures at the preferred depth of *G. truncatulinoides* in our study area (Fig. S8, S9). The error (standard deviation 2\(\sigma\)) is ±1.0° C. Other existing paleotemperature calibrations specific to *G. truncatulinoides* (e.g., McKenna and Prell, 2004: Mg/Ca = 0.355 exp(0.098 TT); Cléroux et al., 2008: Mg/Ca = 0.62 exp(0.074 TT); Regenberg et al., 2009: Mg/Ca = 0.84 exp (0.083 TT) and Mg/Ca = 1.32 exp (0.05 TT)) provide TT\textsubscript{Mg/Ca} estimates that are >7° C warmer than modern annual subsurface temperatures.
Figure S9. Calculated Mg/Ca-based temperatures from 0-400 cm core depth for eastern core 2609. The Mg/Ca data of *O. universa* (a), *G. ruber* (b) and *G. truncatulinoides* (c) were converted using species-specific temperature calibrations (c.f. legend). Modern annual SST at ~30-80 m, <50 m and ~350 m water depth are indicated (WOA, Locarnini et al., 2018), which are the most likely habitats of the studied species.

Growth seasonality is a relevant factor, which influences planktonic foraminiferal proxies and creates seasonal biases in the proxy signal recorded in a fossil assemblage (Jonkers and Kučera, 2015). The Holocene SST\(_{\text{Mg/Ca}}\) estimates from the eastern core region are ~3-5° C warmer than the modern annual temperature range in the region. We take this as indication that the derived
SST\textsubscript{Mg/Ca} values represent the austral summer range during the Holocene. A seasonal bias for the reconstructed \textsubscript{TT}Mg/Ca records is considered minimal, although Jonkers and Kučera (2015) noted that the flux pattern of \textit{G. truncatulinoides} is focused towards winter and spring. Overall, we presuppose that the habitat depths of the selected planktonic foraminifera are relatively stable through time.

\textit{pH-effect on foraminiferal Mg/Ca}

Gray and Evans (2019) showed by culture experiments that the Mg/Ca-ratios of some planktonic species are sensitive to carbonate chemistry: Foraminiferal Mg/Ca declines with increasing pH (−5 to −9 % per 0.1 pH units). These results are mainly in accordance to earlier studies. Lea et al. (1999) claimed that seawater pH changes shell Mg/Ca by -6 % per 0.1 pH unit increase. Russell et al. (2004) stated: “Below ambient pH (pH < 8.2), Mg/Ca decreased by 7 ± 5 % (\textit{O. universa}) to 16 ± 6 % (\textit{G. bulloides}) per 0.1 unit increase in pH. Above ambient pH, the change in Mg/Ca was not significant for either species (Russell et al., 2004)”.

Congruently, Kisakürek et al. (2008) found that the influence of pH on Mg/Ca ratios is negligible at ambient seawater pH (8.1 to 8.3). Below a seawater pH of 8.0, instead, pH has a dominating control on shell Mg/Ca. Hence, Russell et al. (2004) concluded that Mg/Ca-based paleotemperatures for the Quaternary, during which surface-ocean pH has been at or above modern levels, have not been biased by variations in surface-water pH.

The negative Mg/Ca vs. pH relationship is balanced by the fact that foraminiferal Mg/Ca is positively correlated with salinity: Nürnberg et al. (1996) already showed from culture experiments that Mg/Ca in \textit{T. sacculifer} changes by 7-10 % per salinity unit. Lea et al. (1999) described a 4 ± 3 % change in Mg/Ca per salinity unit for \textit{G. bulloides}, which is rather consistent to the 4 ± 3 % change per salinity unit for \textit{G. ruber}. The Arbuszewski et al. (2010) study referred to an even higher salinity dependence (27 ± 4 %). Taken all data together, these results point to a strongly non-linear, positive salinity effect on shell Mg/Ca ratios.

Following Sanyal et al. (1995), who suggested an increase in salinity (by 1 unit) and pH (by 0.2 ± 0.1) in the oceans during the LGM, Lea et al. (1999) concluded that their opposing effects on shell Mg/Ca should partially cancel each other (also pointed out in Nürnberg, 2000).

Gray and Evans (2019) undertook new efforts in this respect. They claim that “the (pH) effect on Mg/Ca is considerably greater than that of salinity, resulting in a large bias in reconstructed temperature if unaccounted for... (Gray and Evans, 2019)”.

They presented the new software package “MgCaRB”, which allows to correct foraminiferal Mg/Ca for pH down-core using
either atmospheric CO$_2$ or (preferably) boron isotopes (https://willyrgray.shinyapps.io/mgcarbv1/).

To better assess a possible bias of changed ocean pH on our reconstructed SST reconstructions off southern Australia, we applied the MgCaRB routines (Gray and Evans, 2019) to our Mg/Ca datasets. When using the program’s CO$_2$ approach, the relevant input parameters “modern salinity” and “modern alkalinity” where taken from the Ocean Data View (ODV) database from the respective core locations and species living depths (Table S2; Goyet et al., 2000). The resulting SST$_{\text{Mg/Ca}}$ records calculated with these modified salinity and alkalinity parameters (Table S2; grey and blue curves in Fig. S10) deviate within error for SST$_{\text{Mg/Ca}}$ estimates ($\pm 1^\circ$ C) from those calculated with the MgCaRB default settings. We hence consider these modifications of minor importance.

**Table S2.** For the assessment of the ph-effect on foraminiferal Mg/Ca, MgCaRB requires the input parameters “modern salinity”, “modern alkalinity”, and the assumed “modern pCO$_2$ disequilibrium” at the study sites. Modern salinity and alkalinity from the respective core locations and species living depths are from Goyet et al. (2000). For the modern pCO$_2$ disequilibrium, we tested 3 scenarios (see text).

| Habitat depth (m) | Salinity (psu) | Total alkalinity ($\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$) | pCO$_2$ disequil. (µatm) | Species |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| MD03-2614        | 30-80          | 34.7                                | 2288                   | -70 / 0 /+70 | *O. universa* |
| 34.7$^\circ$S 123.4$^\circ$E | 350-400       | 34.6                                | 2290                   | -70 / 0 /+70 | *G. truncata* |
| MD03-2609        | 30-80          | 34.7                                | 2279                   | -70 / 0 /+70 | *O. universa* |
| 39.4$^\circ$S 141.5$^\circ$E | 350-400       | 34.8                                | 2286                   | -70 / 0 /+70 | *G. truncata* |
| MgCaRB default settings | 35.0           | 2300                                | 0                      |         |

MgCaRB offers 3 Mg/Ca vs. temperature calibrations, which might be applied to the *O. universa* Mg/Ca values. The “multispecies” calibration produces unreasonable SST$_{\text{Mg/Ca}}$ $>24^\circ$ C far above modern conditions and is hence not considered. The “generic calibration” provides SST$_{\text{Mg/Ca}}$, which are $\sim$1-2$^\circ$ C cooler than those calculated with the “species-specific” calibration. Both calibrations provide core-top SST$_{\text{Mg/Ca}}$, which refer to austral winter SST. Instead, the Hathorne et al. (2003) calibration specifically established for *O. universa* and used in our study, provides warmer-by-3$^\circ$ C core-top SST$_{\text{Mg/Ca}}$, which is basically consistent with the austral summer SST in the area (see above why we opted for the calibration of Hathorne et al., 2003).

Notably, the MgCaRB-derived SST$_{\text{Mg/Ca}}$ records exhibit clearly cooler LGM conditions and higher amplitude variations (by 2-3$^\circ$ C) through time than the non-ph-corrected SST$_{\text{Mg/Ca}}$ record presented in our study (Fig. S10), which we assume less likely. Further, all MgCaRB calculations provide core-top ($\sim$1.3 ka BP) pH-estimates of $\sim$8.18, which are definitely higher
than the modern surface ocean pH-value south of Australia (8.105-8.11; Gregor and Gruber, 2021; Raven et al., 2005). Downcore, the pH changes from 8.18 to 8.32 (at MgCaRB default settings). If Kisakürek et al. (2008) are correct, the influence of pH on Mg/Ca ratios in this pH-range is negligible.

For the deep-dwelling *G. truncatulinoides*, MgCaRB only offers the “multispecies” calibration, which produces unrealistic core-top subSST$_{Mg/Ca}$ values being higher-by-3-4° C than the modern subSST conditions. We hence will not continue to discuss the ph-corrected subSST$_{Mg/Ca}$ records.

![Figure S10](image)

**Figure S10.** (a) Comparison of differently calculated SST records based on foraminiferal Mg/Ca$_{O.universa}$ from Site MD03-2614. Red: SST$_{Mg/Ca}$ record used in the manuscript, based on the Mg/Ca vs. T-relationship of Hathorne et al. (2003). Grey: SST$_{Mg/Ca}$ record calculated with MgCaRB using the default program settings and the *O. universa* species-specific calibration. Blue and light blue: SST$_{Mg/Ca}$ records calculated with MgCaRB using modified salinity and alkalinity settings (Table S1), and the species-specific (blue) and generic calibrations (light blue) for *O. universa*. (b) West Antarctic Ice Sheet Divide Core δ$^{18}$O record (WAIS Divide Project Members, 2015) as reference for the southern hemisphere climate signal.

In a further step, MgCaRB offers to include a value for the “modern pCO$_2$ disequilibrium” at the study site. The “modern pCO$_2$ disequilibrium” and its effect on the SST$_{Mg/Ca}$ estimates is difficult to assess due to the sparse database south of Australia. We first opted for the MgCaRB default setting of 0 µatm, pointing to equal pCO$_2$ concentrations in surface water and atmosphere. In a second step, we varied the pCO$_2$ disequilibrium conditions from -70 µatm (suggesting that the surface ocean is a CO$_2$-sink) to 70 µatm (surface ocean is a CO$_2$-source). These values are considered as reasonable endmember values for our evaluation (c.f. Takahashi et al., 2009). For the study area, in fact, monthly mean values for sea–air pCO$_2$ differences are clearly lower and range between ~10 to ~40 µatm (Takahashi et al., 2009) pointing to overall CO$_2$ absorbing (sink) conditions.
When applying MgCaRB, the more negative the pCO$_2$ disequilibrium is, the more positive will be the according SST$_{Mg/Ca}$ and pH estimates. Fig. S11 shows the core 2614 and core 2609 pH-corrected SST$_{Mg/Ca}$ records at the three different “modern pCO$_2$ disequilibrium” conditions outlined above: -70 µatm, 0 µatm (default), and +70 µatm. The according errors in SST$_{Mg/Ca}$ amount to on average ±0.9°C.

When assuming that the surface waters at the western Site 2614 originate mainly from tropical ocean areas (CO$_2$-source; releasing 0.5-1 mol C m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$; McKinley et al., 2017; more positive pCO$_2$ disequilibrium; c.f. Takahashi et al., 2009; Greenop et al., 2017) while the eastern site is not, the western core would become even cooler at seasurface, thereby enhancing the SST$_{Mg/Ca}$ difference between the two sites. Instead at subsurface level: When assuming that the subsurface waters at the eastern Site 2609 are fed by subducted southern-sourced surface waters (CO$_2$-sink; absorbing CO$_2$ by -1 mol C m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$; McKinley et al., 2017; more negative pCO$_2$ disequilibrium; c.f. Takahashi et al., 2009; Greenop et al., 2017), then the subSST$_{Mg/Ca}$ at the eastern location would likely become warmer, reducing the subSST$_{Mg/Ca}$ gradient to the western location. This effect is likely very small, as the deglacial pH of subantarctic surface water never fell below 8.0 (Shuttleworth et al., 2021), with almost negligible effects on foraminiferal Mg/Ca.

**Figure S11.** The core 2609 (a) and core 2614 (b) pH-corrected SST$_{Mg/Ca}$ records (using MgCaRB) at the three different “modern pCO$_2$ disequilibrium” conditions outlined above: -70 µatm (upper grey record), 0 µatm (red and green), and +70 µatm (lower grey record). The hatched lines mark the modern annual range in SST at 30-80 m water depth.
We cannot clarify all the issues raised by the Gray and Evans (2019) study, but our considerations imply that the pH-effect on our temperature reconstructions remains such small (<0.9°C; see above) that it has no major implication for our paleoceanographic interpretations. We note, instead, that it is the chosen Mg/Ca vs. temperature calibration, which is most crucial to our study. The choice of an inadequate (not regionally and species-specific calibrated) calibration equation may introduce errors. With respect to the warmer late Holocene SST$_{Mg/Ca}$ at the eastern site compared to the western site – which is basically opposite to what is initially expected from the modern situation - we give to consider that the late Holocene raw Mg/Ca$_{O.universa}$ ratios at the eastern site are undoubtedly higher than at the western location, affording a more differentiated interpretation.

Age model of sediment core MD03-2609 northwest of King Island.

The age model of core MD03-2609 is primarily based on the tuning of multiple planktonic δ$^{18}$O records to those of the well-dated reference core 2614 (van der Kaars et al., 2017). The tuning is further supported by 3 radiocarbon (AMS$^{14}$C) datings (Fig. 3; c.f. Table S3), for which a mix of shallow-dwelling planktonic foraminiferal tests was selected. The measurements were accomplished by Beta Analytic Radiocarbon Dating Laboratory, Florida, USA (info@betalabservices.com). All AMS$^{14}$C dates were calibrated applying the BetaCal4.20 software, using the MARINE20 database. The marine calibration incorporates a time-dependent global ocean reservoir correction of ~ 550 $^{14}$C yrs at 200 cal BP to ~410 $^{14}$C yrs at 0 cal BP (Heaton et al., 2020). To account for local effects, the difference ΔR in reservoir age of the study area south of Australia and the model ocean was additionally considered. The Calib7.1 marine reservoir correction database provides a ΔR-value of -84 ± 65 years (Stuiver and Reimer, 1993).

### Table S3. Radiocarbon (AMS$^{14}$C) datings performed on sediment core MD03-2609.

| Core MD03-2609 | Remark | Lab code | Sample type | $^{14}$C age | Age error | Calibrated median age | Age error | Reference |
|---------------|--------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Depth (cm)    |        |          |             | (yrs BP)     | (±/ (yrs))| (yrs BP)             | (±/ (yrs))|           |
| 164           | AMS$^{14}$C | BETA-626880 | mixed planktic | 18610        | 60        | 19040                | 60        | this study |
| 230           | AMS$^{14}$C | BETA-626881 | mixed planktic | 32530        | 230       | 32970                | 230       | this study |
| 304           | AMS$^{14}$C | BETA-626882 | mixed planktic | 40820        | 530       | 41250                | 530       | this study |
| 314           | AMS$^{14}$C | BETA-626883 | mixed planktic | 40820        | 520       | 41240                | 520       | not considered |
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