A case of recurrent giant cell tumor of bone with malignant transformation and benign pulmonary metastases
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Abstract
Giant cell tumor (GCT) of bone is a locally destructive tumor that occurs predominantly in long bones of post-pubertal adolescents and young adults, where it occurs in the epiphysis. The majority are treated by aggressive curettage or resection. Vascular invasion outside the boundary of the tumor can be seen. Metastasis, with identical morphology to the primary tumor, occurs in a few percent of cases, usually to the lung. On occasion GCTs of bone undergo frank malignant transformation to undifferentiated sarcomas. Here we report a case of GCT of bone that at the time of recurrence was found to have undergone malignant transformation. Concurrent metastases were found in the lung, but these were non-transformed GCT.

Introduction
Giant cell tumor of bone is a locally destructive tumor that occurs predominantly in long bones of post-pubertal adolescents and young adults, where it occurs in the epiphysis. The majority are treated by aggressive curettage or resection. Histologically, giant cell tumor of bone classically shows many large multinucleated giant cells with interspersed haphazardly arranged mononuclear cells, and the nuclear features of both elements are described as similar. Some tumors also have areas with a fascicular or storiform pattern devoid of giant cells resembling a benign fibrous histiocytoma. Vascular invasion outside the boundary of the tumor can be seen. The rate of local recurrence varies among centers and is influenced by the completeness of surgical treatment, with high speed burring, adjuvants, and bone cement adding to the effectiveness of curettage treatment [1]. Unresectable tumors such as large sacral masses can be treated with radiation [2]. New therapies targeting the Receptor Activator of NF-κB (RANK) signaling pathway, such as with the anti-RANK ligand antibody denosumab are in early stages of investigation [3]. Metastasis, with identical morphology to the primary tumor, occurs in a few percent of cases, usually to the lung. These cases are treated with wedge resection with good long term outlook [4]. On occasion giant cell tumors of bone undergo frank malignant transformation to undifferentiated sarcomas. Here we report a case of giant cell tumor of bone that at the time of recurrence was found to have undergone malignant transformation. Concurrent metastases were found in the lung, but these were non-transformed giant cell tumor. Contemporaneous histologically benign pulmonary metastases and locally recurrent giant cell tumor of bone with transformation to sarcoma has not to our knowledge been previously reported.

Materials and methods
This study was performed with the approval of the Rush University IRB, ORA#: 09092501-CA01. The study included detailed clinical information, imaging and pathology. Tissue was fixed in 10% buffered formalin at room temperature and dehydrated and paraffin embedded in overnight processing. Immunohistochemical stains were performed as follows: The following antibodies were used on Ventana Benchmark and the manufacturer’s solution CC1 for antigen retrieval: CD4 (Biocare Medical, Concord, CA) 1:10 dilution, CD43 (Cell Marque, Rocklin, CA) prediluted, P63 (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) 1:500. Ki-67 immunostaining was performed on the Dako autostainer PLUS with FLEX Envision chemistry using clone MIB-1 (Dako, Dako, CA) 1:50 dilution.
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Carpentaria, CA), at 1:400 dilution after citrate buffer antigen retrieval under pressure in a microwave oven. Images were captured on an Olympus BX41 microscope with a Spot Insight color camera with Spot Advanced software.

Report of a case
A 29 year-old male was diagnosed with a giant cell tumor of the left proximal tibia at an outside institution in January 2005. He underwent intralesional curettage followed by heat cauterization and methacrylation. Eight months later, in September 2005, the patient had a local recurrence of the tumor which was treated with cement removal and repeat curettage followed by argon beam ablation and repeat cementing.

The patient presented at this institution in June 2009 with severe pain and disability of the left leg at the site of the previous excisions. Radiographs demonstrated a large lucency around the cement (Figure 1). Concurrent staging revealed nodules on chest radiograph, and computerized tomography revealed two nodules in each lung, up to 2.8 cm in dimension (Figure 2). In July 2009, the patient underwent bilateral thoracoscopy-assisted pulmonary resections. Frozen section revealed the pulmonary lesions to be histologically benign. As a result of the benign pulmonary histology, a repeat intralesional excision, cauterization with phenol and methacrylation with internal fixation of the proximal tibia was performed. Intraoperatively, the tumor was recurrent throughout (cephalad, caudad, medial and lateral to the cement) and bulging poster medially to the pes anserine tendons. Fibrous tissue was observed near the patellar ligament insertion. The post-operative course was unremarkable. The permanent paraffin sections confirmed the benign histology in the lung lesions; however, the tibial recurrence was frankly malignant. As a result, this patient received pre-operative chemotherapy given the poor prognosis [5]. Following the chemotherapy, he was taken to surgery, and an en-bloc resection of the upper tibia was performed. He was reconstructed with a modular oncology tibial and knee prosthesis. No residual tumor was seen in the resected specimen. Close follow-up, consisting of chest CT and tibial imaging every 3 months, is ongoing.

Pathological findings
Histological sections of the tumor from presentation showed classic giant cell tumor of bone with the typical histological patterns (Figure 3). Most areas showed multinucleated giant cells admixed with round and spindled mononuclear cells (A, B). In some areas the spindled...
stromal component predominated (C), and in one area spindled cells proliferated in the absence of giant cells. No atypia was seen.

The gross specimen from the tibial excision consisted of fragments of pink-tan and red-brown tissue with pieces of cement and bone fragments. The metastatic tumor nodules resected from the lung (Figure 4) grossly were well circumscribed mahogany nodules and histologically showed essentially identical morphology to the original tumor. Some areas of the recurrent tibial tumor also show classic histology of benign giant cell tumor of bone (Figure 5A). Immunostains distinguish between the monocyte lineage cells—both mononuclear cells and multinucleated giant cells, which are highlighted by the lineage specific markers CD4 and CD43 (Figure 5B, inset; the smaller, darker CD43+ cells are T cells) and the stromal component...
spindle cell component which is negative. About 50% of the tibial tumor showed undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma having large spindled cells with vesicular nuclei, prominent nucleoli and scattered mitoses (up to more than 20 per 10 standard high power fields) and abundant apoptotic debris (Figure 5C). The benign component showed nuclear P63 staining in a subset of cells, as has been reported (Figure 5D) [6]. Also, Mib-1 staining is low in the benign component and significantly increased in the malignant component (Figures 5E, F).

**Comment and discussion**

Giant cell tumors of bone are neoplasms of stromal cells that recruit a mononuclear population of hematopoietic origin [7]. These monocytes and/or multinucleated giant cells may in turn produce factors that support growth of the stromal cells, but this has not been explored. The onocytederived component is highlighted in immunohistochemical stains for the lysosomal marker CD68 and for monocyte lineage specific markers. The lineage of the stromal cells is still poorly characterized, but a subset variably expresses alkaline phosphatase, similar to osteoblasts [8]. Factors such as monocyte colony stimulating factor (m-CSF) and RANK ligand, which are important for osteoclastogenesis and for fusion of monocytes to form multinucleated giant cells, are expressed in giant cell tumors of bone [9]. Presumably the stromal cells are the source of m-CSF, akin to the situation in localized and diffuse giant cell tumors of tendon sheath/synovium where m-CSF is overexpressed by the neoplastic cells, sometimes secondary to a recurrent translocation [10,11]. Accordingly, cultured giant cell tumor stromal cells are chemoattractant to peripheral blood monocytes [12].

In karyotypic analysis of giant cell tumors of bone, end-to-end fusions of various chromosomes, termed telomeric associations, are seen in most tumors in a subset of cells, and these have been localized by FISH studies to the CD68 negative spindled stromal component [13]. At lower frequency than telomeric associations, clonal chromosome gains, deletions and translocations can also be found in GCT of bone [14]. Translocations occur more frequently in tumors that have more telomeric associations, and they probably result from ensuing problems in separation of dicentric chromosomes during telophase [14]. However, cells with chromosomal abnormalities do not have enough of a growth advantage to dominate the tumor population, and analyses of many metaphases is required to recognize that there are clonal subpopulations in the tumor [14]. In Goronova’s study [14] there was no association between karyotype and prognosis. Also, no dominant recurrent cytogenetic abnormality was seen that might give clues to pathogenesis. Thus, this peculiar low level chromosome instability reflects an underlying defect in chromosome maintenance, though probably not due to a generalized defect in telomerase activity [15]. The minor genomic instability seen in vitro is reflected by a low but definite probability of transformation clinically, as seen here. However, because of the current lack of a defined molecular or cytogenetic marker of GCT of bone, formal proof that the rare cases of malignant transformation are due to evolution of the spindle cells of GCT of bone is lacking. An alternative hypothesis would be that that GCT of bone predisposes to malignancy in an unrelated cell–akin to secondary malignancy in the setting of osteonecrosis or Paget’s disease of bone. Notably, cases are considered secondary
Figure 5. A, B, C, D, E and F. Recurrent tibial tumor (2009): area with classic histology of benign giant cell tumor of bone. The nuclei of the two components are similar, with smooth contours and relatively condensed chromatin (A, original magnification ×290). Immunostain for CD4 (B, original magnification ×290) and CD43 (B, inset; the smaller, darker CD43+ cells are T cells, original magnification ×290). Area with frank malignant transformation characterized by large and pleomorphic cells with vesicular and irregularly shaped nuclei, prominent nucleoli and frequent mitotic figures (C). Immunostain for P63 (D, original magnification ×290). Immunostain for MIB-1 in benign (E) and malignant (F) areas (original magnification ×145).
malignant giant cell tumors even if, on recurrence, only the malignant component is seen.

This case illustrates a sarcoma arising at the site of a locally recurrent GCT of bone, with concurrent non-sarcomatous metastases. Local recurrence of GCT of bone is common, occurring 10-20% of the time [16-18], and depends on the aggressiveness of the initial surgery [19]. Metastasis with “benign” morphology, most commonly to the lung, was reported in an average of 3% of cases (table 1), and more than half occurred in patients who also had local recurrence.

Diagnosis of transformation relies on overt malignant cytological features, as necrosis and scattered mitoses can be seen in the usual “benign” giant cell tumor of bone. Immunohistochemical studies are not required for the diagnosis of transformation. Usually there is no evident lineage of maturation, but cases of osteosarcoma have been reported [9,20-24]. The incidence of malignancy in GCT varies considerably among studies, with an overall rate of approximately 5% considering the largest series together (table 2). About half were associated with prior irradiation (table 2), but transformation was found in the initial resection in about one third of cases (table 2). Secondary transformation had a latency of up to 28 years [20] in a case that without radiotherapy. Given the wide range of reported incidence of malignancy in giant cell tumor of bone among studies, it is probable that this entity has been over diagnosed in the reported series. Of note, in the publications with the highest rates of malignancy, there was no pathological slide review. In Bertoni’s study, pathological review was performed [20], and as a result, the initial diagnosis was revised to something other than malignant transformation of giant cell tumor in 12 of 26 cases initially considered for which slides were available. Anract’s study, which did not include pathological review, includes 5 cases with “well differentiated fibrosarcoma” [5], which is much different from the more widely recognized high grade transformation. Likewise, in Domovitov’s report, 57% of the cases had the curious diagnosis of “focally” malignant histology. Accordingly, the outcomes in their study were optimistic, with 80% of patients predicted to be recurrence free at 5 years based on their data, compared to the 10-50% overall survival estimated in most other series. Thus, excluding radiation-induced transformation cases, and considering only series with pathological review, the incidence of malignant transformation of giant cell tumor of bone is only about 1%, which is the rate cited in the WHO publication [25].

### Conclusion

We report here the case of a giant cell tumor of the proximal tibia of a 29 year old man treated with aggressive curettage that recurred 4 years later with malignant transformation and “benign” metastases to the lung. Recurrence, malignant transformation, and metastasis with “benign” morphology all occur in giant cell tumor of bone. This is the first report of all three occurring in a single patient. The influence of local recurrence on malignant transformation and pulmonary metastases is largely unknown. Malignant transformation has been reported most frequently with radiation even in nonrecurrent tumors. Similarly, benign pulmonary metastases have been reported to occur in recurrent and nonrecurrent tumors. The current report adds little to the discussion of the fate of locally recurrent giant cell tumor of bone. The molecular pathways leading to giant cell tumors of bone are still largely uncharacterized.

### Consent

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this Journal.

### Table 1 Reported incidence of “benign” metastasis in giant cell tumor of bone.

| # Cases (total) | Metastatic (%) | Reference |
|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| 214            | 7              | [1]       |
| 624            | 14 (2.2)       | [4]       |
| 470            | 21 (4.5)       | [26]      |
| **Total**      | 1308           |           |
|                | 42 (3.2)       |           |

### Table 2 Reported incidence of malignancy associated with giant cell tumor of bone in large published series

| # Cases (total) | Total Malig (%) | 1° (%)       | 2° (%)      | Post radiation | Reference |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|
| 193            | 29 (15)         | 17 (9)       | 9 (5)       | 3 (2)          | [5]       |
| 924            | 17 (2)          | 5 (0.5)      | 6 (0.5)     | 6 (0.5)        | [20]      |
| 627            | 31 (5)          | ?            | ?           | 25 (4)         | [27]      |
| 195            | 17 (9)          | 3 (1.5)      | 2 (1)       | 12 (6)         | [28]      |
| 607            | 13 (2)          | 6 (1)        | 7 (1)       | ?              | [29]      |
| 275            | 26 (10)         | ?            | ?           | 5 (2)          | [30]      |
| **Total**      | 2821            | 133 (4.7)    |             |                |           |
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