Predication of Dry Rubber Content in Hevea Latex based on SPSS Multiple Regression Model
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Abstract. The Dry Rubber content (DRC) in hevea latex is affected by a great many of factors, including producing area, strains, tree age, cutting system, origins and so on. Each factor was discussed and evaluated to confirm the influence degree. A predication model based on SPSS multiple regression models was constructed to analyse the factors influencing the DRC, and the regression equation was used to forecast the DRC in natural rubber latex. The results show that the predication model has a good achievement and the average relative error was 7.4%

1. Introduction

Natural rubber (NR) is an important industrial raw material, which is widely used in aerospace, military project, heavy vehicles, aircraft tyres and rail transit and other fields. Natural rubber latex is a biological product of a complex composition, including dry rubber (about 20-45%), protein (1.5%), inorganic matter (2%), carbohydrate (1%), resinous substances(2%), water (22-48%) and other substances. However, the dry rubber content (DRC) plays a key role in the latex and it determined the price of the latex [1].

The predication of DRC can provide scientific data for both plantation and processing, which may also have a positive impact on the allocation of this material and the sustainable of NR industry [2]. Generally speaking, the predication data can be obtained by empirical analogy such as comprehensive index method and average growth rate method. However, many factors for determination the DRC of hevea latex have been confirmed, such as producing area, strains, tree age, modification and strength of Rubber Cutting, and there are irregular changes in the data of these above factors. As a result, the use of these methods cannot achieve the desired results [3].

In this article, an attempt is made to find an alternative method of predication of DRC of field latex. The multiple regression models were utilized to help to construct the predication of DRC model. Using this method, we need to test the regression coefficient, and to discuss and study the prediction and hypothesis test. After that, we should consider the relationship between the independent variables and to test whether there is a collinearity problem. If there is, variables need to be screened in order to overcome collinearity problem and increase the accuracy of prediction.

2. Univariate analysis

Rubber tree is affected by many nature factors during its growth process, and its composition of fresh latex also varies greatly in latex output and dry rubber content (DRC). As the DRC is determined the
price of hevea latex, it is necessary to study its condition of production. According to what we mentioned above, the DRC can be affected by many factors. So in this section, we will analysis the relationship between five factors, including strains, tree age, cutting time, cutting style and producing area, and DRC.

2.1 Relationship between strains and DRC
Five strains of PR107, Reyan 7-33-97, Reyan 7-20-59, RRIM600 and Reyan 88-13 were sampled from the experimental site of the Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, all this sample was made in May, 2018. The DRC testing standard method was used to analyze and test the rubber processing plant of the experimental site of the Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences. The test results are shown in Table 1. And the result of Table 1 shows that the absolute content of dry latex of different strains can reach 12.9%.

| No. | Strain     | DRC (%) |
|-----|------------|---------|
| 1   | PR107      | 34.1    |
| 2   | Reyan7-33-97 | 36.6    |
| 3   | Reyan7-20-59 | 23.7    |
| 4   | RRIM600    | 32.8    |
| 5   | Reyan88-13 | 28.0    |

2.2 Relationship between cutting time and DRC
The cutting time and month will greatly affect the DRC, as it closely related to the temperature. According to the relevant research reports, the correlation coefficient between DRC and temperature is about 0.852. Based on this, the difference of dry rubber content between different months in 2018 of the 5 teams of the experimental site of the Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences was analyzed. The experimental data were obtained from the rubber processing factory of the experimental site of the Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences. Table 2 shows the change between month, temperature and DRC. As we can see that, the absolute difference of DRC between different months is 6%.

| months | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|--------|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| Maximum temperatures | 35 | 35 | 31 | 30 | 33 | 28 | 29 | 28 |
| Minimum temperatures  | 25 | 27 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 17 | 16 | 21 |
| Average temperature   | 30 | 31 | 27.5 | 27 | 28.5 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 24.5 |
| DRC(%)               | 34.1 | 33.9 | 32.0 | 31.4 | 29.7 | 27.6 | 28.1 | 29.9 |

2.3 Relationship between cutting system and DRC
Studying the influence of different cutting systems on DRC, a series of sampling experiments were designed. Sampling subjects were 12 teams from the experimental site of Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences. The latex strain was PR107. Sampling time was October-December. The cutting system was divided into liquid and gas stimulation. The interval of each sampling was 3-4 days. The results of the influence of different tapping systems on dry rubber content were shown in Table 3. In Table 3, LS2 represents the second cutting after liquid stimulation and GS1 represents the first cutting after gas stimulation.

| Cutting system | LS2 | LS3 | LS4 | GS1 | GS2 | GS3 |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| DRC(%)         | 28.0 | 24.0 | 27.8 | 30.3 | 30.0 | 29.0 |

2.4 Relationship between producing area and DRC
In view of the dry rubber content of latex of the same strain from different origins in China, a comparative experiment was designed in this study. PR107 strains were studied in Dunzhou (Hainan), Xishuangbanna (Yunnan) and Gaozhou (Guangdong) respectively. The sampling time was July. The data in Table 4 showed the influence of the average DRC of each producing area.
2.5 Relationship between tree age and DRC

This study compared the effects of tree age on dry gum content in PR107 strain. The data were collected from the above-mentioned comparative experiments and the data recorded by rubber processing factories in the experimental site of the Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences over the years, as shown in Table 5.

| Table 5. Changes of DRC in different tree ages |
| age  | 10 years | 15 years | 20 years | 25 years |
|------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| DRC(%) | 27.3     | 29.8     | 31.1     | 33.9     |

From Table 5, we can easily see that the DRC presents an increasing trend with the growing of tree age.

3. Predication Model Based on SPSS Multiple Regression Model

3.1 The principle of SPSS

The principle of SPSS multivariate linear regression model is that, when analyzing a dependent variable of statistical analysis, there is often more than one independent variable affecting the dependent variable, then we need to consider the relationship between k independent variables, including $X_1, X_2, X_3,...$, and $X_k$, and dependent variable $y$, after that the regression equation is established as follows [4].

$$ y_i = b_0 + b_1 x_{i_1} + b_2 x_{i_2} + ... + b_k x_{i_k} + u_i $$

Formula: $b_0, b_1, ..., b_k$ is the regression coefficient with estimation; $i = 1,2,... N$ ($n$ is sample size) and $u_i$ is random error.

Assuming that the total distribution of random errors $N (0, \sigma^2)$ is independent of each other, we can estimate $b_0, b_1, ...$ and $b_k$ with the least square method under the observation samples of X and Y, then the form of matrix can be described as $Y = XB + u$, where:

$$ Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & x_{i1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & x_{ik} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad u = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_i \end{bmatrix} $$

The least squares estimator of the total parameters can be obtained by using the least squares method.

$$ BLS = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y $$

Among them, $X^T$ is the transposition of X matrix.

3.2 Analysis based on SPSS

The SPSS (version 21) used in this study is mainstream statistical analysis software. Its basic functions...
include descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, regression analysis, clustering analysis, time series analysis and so on. It has the characteristics of simple operation, convenient operation and powerful function.

According to above content, we used SPSS to analyse five factors that affect the DRC in latex. These five factors can be suggested as seven independent variables, including the maximum and minimum temperature of the cutting day and these five factors. From Table 6, X1 stands for strain, X2 stands for months, X3 stands for the highest temperature (Celsius degree) on the day of extracting latex, X4 stands for the lowest temperature (Celsius degree) on the day of extracting latex, X5 stands for cutting system (1 for liquid stimulation, 2 for gas stimulation and 3 for no stimulation), X6 stands for producing area(1 for Hainan, 2 for Yunnan and 3 for Guangdong), X7 stands for tree age (year), Y stands for DRC.

Table 6. The Prepared Data between factors and DRC

| No. | X1   | X2   | X3   | X4   | X5    | X6    | X7    | Y   |
|-----|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| 1   | 1    | 1    | 5.18 | 36   | 26    | 1.1   | 1.2   | 15  | 36.6|
| 2   | 2    | 2    | 5.21 | 35   | 25    | 1.1   | 1.1   | 20  | 34.1|
| 3   | 1    | 1    | 12.04| 30   | 21    | 1.2   | 1.3   | 23  | 30.0|
| 4   | 1    | 1    | 11.02| 22   | 17    | 2.1   | 1.3   | 23  | 28.0|
| 5   | 3    | 3    | 12.01| 28   | 22    | 2.3   | 1.3   | 23  | 28.0|
| 6   | 2    | 2    | 7.17 | 31   | 24    | 1.1   | 1.1   | 20  | 33.9|
| 7   | 1    | 1    | 8.28 | 30   | 24    | 1.3   | 1.2   | 15  | 34.2|
| 8   | 2    | 2    | 9.25 | 33   | 24    | 1.1   | 1.2   | 20  | 30.0|
| 9   | 2    | 2    | 7.15 | 33   | 27    | 1.1   | 2.1   | 15  | 32.01|
| 10  | 2    | 2    | 7.20 | 34   | 28    | 1.3   | 2.1   | 13  | 21.08|
| 11  | 1    | 1    | 10.12| 26   | 19    | 1.2   | 3.1   | 10  | 27.4|
| 12  | 1    | 1    | 11.05| 29   | 15    | 1.2   | 3.1   | 10  | 26.5|
| 13  | 3    | 3    | 11.12| 27   | 17    | 1.3   | 3.1   | 15  | 26  |
| 14  | 1    | 1    | 12.05| 30   | 21    | 2.1   | 1.3   | 23  | 30.0|

After inputting the above data into SPSS, we built the prepared model based on SPSS Multiple Regression Model to analysis it. Figure 1. shows the summary of multiple regression models. And a coefficients table related to Model 1 has been showed in Figure 2.

![Model Summary](image)

Figure 1. Model Summary
From Fig.1 and Fig.2, we can see that each independent variable is related to the dependent variable. Though the value of R and R square in Fig.1 is low to 0.9, and the value of sig is over 0.1, an approximate optimal model can be acquired from it. And this Stepwise regression equation can be written as follows.

\[ Y = 29.842 - 0.170X_1 - 1.686X_2 + 0.371X_3 - 2.280X_4 - 1.068X_5 - 3.712X_6 - 0.603X_7 \]

### Error Analysis

According to the above model, we can calculate the predication value of DRC when we know the basic information of the fresh latex. The error analysis result shows in table 7.

| No. | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| DRC(%) | 36.6 | 34.1 | 30.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 33.9 | 34.2 | 30.0 | 32.0 | 21.1 | 27.4 | 26.5 | 26.0 | 30.0 |
| Predication DRC( %) | 34.7 | 34.7 | 30.6 | 30.5 | 26.6 | 33.6 | 32.6 | 31.9 | 28.1 | 27.3 | 25.9 | 27.9 | 25.2 | 28.3 |
| Relative error(%) | 5.19 | 1.76 | 2.00 | 8.93 | 5.00 | 8.85 | 4.68 | 6.33 | 12.21 | 29.50 | 5.47 | 5.25 | 3.07 | 5.67 |

From Table 7, we can see that the predication value of DRC can be obtained approximately. The maximum relative error was Sample 10 at 29.50%, compared with only 1.76% on Sample 2. The average relative error of all these samples was 7.4%.

### 4. Conclusion

This article has presented five factors that affect the DRC in fresh latex. In order to investigate the degree of influence, the relationship between various factors, including strains, tree age, cutting time, cutting style and producing area, and DRC was analyzed one by one. Then a predication model based on SPSS Regression analysis was built to predict the value of DRC. Error analysis shows this model can approximately acquire the DRC of hevea latex, and the average relative error was 7.4%.

Directions for future work include getting more accurate model by SPSS Curve Regression and Nonlinear Regression model, and constructing a mature predication system.
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