Cholestasis-Associated Pruritus and Its Pruritogens
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Pruritus is a debilitating symptom of various cholestatic disorders, including primary biliary cholangitis (PBC), primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) and inherited progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis (PFIC). The molecular mechanisms leading to cholestasis-associated pruritus are still unresolved and the involved pruritogens are indiscernible. As a consequence of pruritus, patients suffer from sleep deprivation, loss of daytime concentration, auto-mutilation and sometimes even suicidal ideations. Current guideline-approved therapy of cholestasis-associated pruritus includes stepwise administration of several medications, which may alleviate complaints in some, but not all affected patients. Therefore, also experimental therapeutic approaches are required to improve patients’ quality of life. This article reviews the current state of research on pruritogens and their receptors, and shortly discusses the most recent experimental therapies.
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INTRODUCTION

Cholestasis is the term for diminished or impaired bile flow generated by hepatocytes and cholangiocytes. The cause of cholestasis can be intra- or extrahepatic, and can be genetic or the consequence of an inflammatory or malignant hepatobiliary disease. Next to fatigue, pruritus is the most frequent symptom in patients with chronic cholestatic disorders and may affect more than half of patients with fibrosing cholangiopathies such as primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) or primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) at least transiently during their disease course. Depending on the cause of cholestasis, 30–90% of patients suffer from chronic pruritus, which is unresponsive to antihistamines. Chronic pruritus can lead to loss of concentration, sleep deprivation and auto-mutilation or prurigo nodularis due to scratching (1). In most serious cases, suicidal ideations may occur and the burden of pruritus can become the primary indication for liver transplantation. Cholestasis-associated pruritus shows a diurnal rhythm with increased intensity in the late evening and early night. The itch is typically localized at the limbs and soles of the feet and at the forearms and palms of the hands, but may also be generalized.

Liver diseases over a wide range of prevalences are associated with chronic pruritus including PBC, PSC and secondary sclerosing cholangitis (SSC), cholangiocarcinoma, viral hepatitis, drug-induced cholestasis, sarcoidosis hepatitis, and rare inherited forms of cholestasis. For intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy (ICP) pruritus is one of the mandatory diagnostic criteria and is observed in 0.5–2.0% of pregnant women, PBC is found in about 0.1% of women at an age of 50 years, whereas Alagille syndrome as an example of rare genetic diseases is found in only one of 100,000 children and adolescents. In some cases, such as PBC, pruritus can be the first symptom of the disease. Since this is a relatively rare disease, diagnosis by general practitioners or dermatologists may be difficult.
It has not been established whether environmental, geographical or life-style factors influence the prevalence or severity of cholestasis-associated itch. However, for ICP there are indications for possible environmental factors that influence the occurrence of ICP in genetically susceptible individuals. It has been postulated that the long-chain monounsaturated fatty acid erucic acid and/or selenium may play a role. Furthermore, the occurrence of ICP was exceptionally high in Chile a number of decades ago, but has drastically decreased more recently, suggesting that a change in diet or unknown lifestyle factor might play a role in ICP (2, 3).

The pathogenesis of cholestasis-associated pruritus is still poorly understood, however, based on research of the past 40 years and therapies that give relief of pruritus in a subset of patients, there is common understanding that:

- Potential pruritogens are located in the systemic circulation, as indicated by (partial) relief of pruritus after treatment with plasmapheresis, albumin dialysis or plasma separation/albumin absorption.
- Direct or indirect pruritogens are excreted into bile and undergo enterohepatic circulation, as suggested by attenuation of pruritus in some patients after oral administration of anion exchange resins, and by inhibitors of the apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter (ASBT) or effectively, but only transiently by nasobiliary drainage.
- Pruritogens are thought to be formed or biotransformed in the liver and/or the gut as indicated by effective treatment with the potent pregnane X receptor (PXR) agonist, rifampicin.
- Pruritogens affect the endogenous opioidergic and serotoninergic system, as suggested by antipruritic activity of opioid antagonists such as naltrexone and serotonin reuptake inhibitors such as sertraline.

In this review, we will discuss the current literature on cholestasis-associated pruritus, with a specific disquisition of the possible pruritogens. We will briefly deliberate the receptors involved in pruritus and current treatment options.

**Abbreviations:** 5-HT, serotonin; γGT, γ-glutamyltransferase; ANIT, α-naphthilisothiocyanate; AP, alkaline phosphatase; ASBT, apical sodium-dependent bile salt transporter; ATX, autotaxin; BSEP, bile salt export pump; CMH, mechno-heat-sensitive C-fiber; CMI, mechno-insensitive C-fiber; DC, deoxycholate; DRG, dorsal root ganglion; EASL, European Association for the Study of the Liver; ENPP, ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; GCD C, dorsal root ganglion; EASL, European Association for the Study of the Liver; LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; LPC, lysophosphatidylcholine; Mrgprx3, mas-related G-protein a3; Mrgprx1, mas-related G-protein X1; Mrgprx4, mas-related G-protein X4; NASH, non-alcoholic steatosis hepatitis; Nppb, natriuretic peptide B; Ntcp, Na⁺-taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide; PPAR, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; PAR2, protease-activated receptor 2; PAR4, protease-activated receptor 4; PBC, primary biliary cholangitis; PBD, partial external biliary diversion; PKC, protein kinase C; PLC, phospholipase C; PM3S, progestrone metabolite 3 sulfat; PSC, primary sclerosing cholangitis; PFIC, progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis; PXR, pregnane X receptor; SSR1, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; Tgdc, taurochenodeoxycholate; TGR5, G-protein coupled bile salt receptor; TRPA1, transient receptor potential ankyrin 1; TRPV1, transient receptor potential vanilloid 1; UDCA, ursodeoxycholic acid.

**Itch Signaling**

Signaling of itch is often measured after provocation with histamine, chloroquine or cowhage, which activate the histamine 1 (H1R) or 4 (H4R) receptor (4), the Mas-related G-protein X1 in humans (Mrgprx1) or a3 in mice (mrgpra3) (5), and the protease-activated receptor 2 (PAR2) or 4 (PAR4) (6), respectively.

Histamine-induced itch signaling occurs through mechano-insensitive C-fibers (CMI) with unmyelinated nerve endings in the skin (7, 8). However, the majority of chronic itch types including cholestasis-associated pruritus cannot be relieved by anti-histamine treatment, and are therefore classified as non-histaminergic itch (9–13). Non-histaminergic itch in humans possibly signals through mechano-heat-sensitive C-fibers (CMH), as measured with cowhage provocation (14, 15).

Itch signals run from primary itch neurons in the skin through the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) to a secondary neuron in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord (16) with natriuretic peptide B (Nppb) and glutamate as the neurotransmitters (16–19). In the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, the secondary neuron crosses to the contralateral side and transmits the signal by gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP) to a tertiary neuron (16, 20, 21). This third neuron projects through the spinothalamic tract to the ventromedial nucleus of the thalamus.

In order to reduce itch, the central nervous system provokes an urge to create a local pain signal by scratching. This demonstrates that pain has an inhibitory effect on itch (22, 23). When pain is pharmacologically reduced, for example by morphine administration, itch frequently sets in at the region of reduced pain transmission (24, 25). This contra-mechanism is very likely fulfilled by inhibitory interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, which create a constant tonus of inhibition by nociceptive to pruricceptive neurons. In genetic experiments with mice, it was shown that when these inhibitory interneurons are not formed, due to deletion of the gene for the transcription factor Bhlhb5, mice suffer from severe chronic itch (26).

Many receptors that are involved in pruritus are G-protein coupled receptors, which, after activation, cause an increase in intracellular calcium release and thereby activate PLC and PKC [reviewed by (27)]. PLC and PKC are often coupled to TRP-channels that amplify the intracellular cation wave and together with Na⁺ channels initiate an action potential, leading to itch sensation (28–31). Next to their role in coupled cation influx, these TRP-channels can also be activated directly by chemical, thermal and mechanical noxious stimuli (32–34).

**Pruritogens That Are Potentially Involved in Cholestatic Itch**

**Bile Salts**

The most abundant components of bile are bile salts, which for a long time were and still are thought by some to act as pruritogens in cholestasis. There are several subspecies of bile salts with different properties. They can bind to the intracellular farnesoid X receptor (FXR) which is a nuclear receptor that regulates a considerable transcription network, and to the transmembrane G protein-coupled receptor TGR5 that upon activation induces
cAMP synthesis. A specific subset of bile salts can even cause a response through the pregnane X receptor, vitamin D receptor and constitutive androstane receptor, thereby influencing bile salt synthesis and progression of cholestasis (35).

Bile salt levels are elevated in serum of cholestatic patients (36, 37). Elimination of bile with all its substances by nasobiliary drainage, or removal of albumin-bound substances (including bile salts) by albumin dialysis, often cause a tremendous diminution in the perception of itch, although this only lasts for a few weeks to months after the intervention (38–41). The results of these therapies clearly indicate that pruritogen(s) or progenitors of pruritogens are excreted in bile, but not necessarily that bile salts are causing cholestasis-associated pruritus.

Early research aimed at binding bile salts in the gut by using anion exchange resins (and, thereby, also bile salt sequestrants) like cholestyramine, which resulted in some reduction of pruritus (42–44). However, a more recent report indicates that the later developed, more potent anion exchange resin colesevam does not improve pruritus more than placebo, despite the fact that bile salt levels were reduced by nearly 50% (45).

The group of Bunnett intensely studied itch signaling by bile salts. They proposed that itch signaling is mediated by the bile salt receptor TGR5. TGR5 expression was detected in the somata of DRG neurons of mice (but not in nerve fibers in the skin or the dorsal horn). Activation of DRG neurons led to the release of the neuropeptide gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP) and the opioid peptide leucine-enkephalin, which are thought to be itch and analgesia transmitters (46). A year later, the same group showed that the TGR5 receptor was co-expressed with the itch channel TRPA1 and activation of this axis induced scratch activity in mice (47). Indications for the involvement of TGR5 in itch signaling seem strong, but the role for bile salts as candidate pruritogens in relation to cholestasis-associated pruritus remains inconclusive. Several clinical studies have shown that there is no correlation between bile salts in serum, urine or skin and the intensity of pruritus (48–51). Pruritus is often one of the first symptoms of cholestatic liver disease, while bile salt levels are still relatively low (52, 53). During late stages of the disease, or in obstructive cholestasis, when bile salt levels can increase to high concentrations, pruritus is sometimes reported to subside (54).

Another receptor that is postulated to be involved in bile salt-induced itch signaling is the mas-related G protein receptor X4 (MRGPRX4). Mouse Mrgrp orthologs were not activated by bile salts and therefore the human receptor was expressed in mice and scratch activity was reported to be increased upon subcutaneous injection of the pruritogen chloroquine and the bile salt deoxycholate (DC) (55). However, injection with unconjugated bile salts is not very representative for cholestasis, since conjugated primary bile salts [like taurochenodeoxycholate (TCDC) and glycochenodeoxycholate (GCDC)] are increased during cholestasis whereas the pool size of conjugates of the secondary bile salt DC is reduced in cholestasis (56). Moreover, unconjugated bile salts diffuse into cells and cause increases in cytosolic free calcium (57, 58). In the same report, mice were treated with the hepatotoxicant α-naphthylisothiocyanate (ANIT), which is a known inducer of cholestasis, serious liver damage, including hepatocellular necrosis and liver fibrosis (59–62). Scratch activity was found to be increased in mice expressing MRGPRX4 compared to control animals, but only during the first 2 days of cholestasis (56), suggesting that this signaling undergoes fairly rapid desensitization. Such a short period of scratch behavior is not representative of the human situation, in which pruritus usually lasts for months and years. Moreover, in two other reports ANIT treatment did not lead to increased scratch activity [(63] and Langedijk et al., submitted).

A second, independent, report on MRGPRX4 showed that intradermal injection of 500 μg DC induced an acute itch sensation in healthy volunteers (64). These researchers showed that MRGPRX4 mRNA is expressed in 6–8% of human DRG neurons and co-expressed with H1R, TRPV1, and Na1.7 voltage-gated sodium channel; which are all established itch-related channels. Since high concentrations of administered bile salts can lead to direct activation and degranulation of mast cells, and thereby release of histamine (65), the authors included the administration of antihistamines, which did not reduce DC-induced itch, indicating a non-histaminergic mechanism. However, DC, which showed to be the most potent ligand for MRGPRX4 among all tested bile salts, was not present in a different concentration in plasma of itchy vs. non-itchy patients with cholestasis (64). Other bile salts that showed a bigger difference were only weak agonists for MRGPRX4 (64).

Together, these results indicate that bile salts are involved in many processes, by binding to several receptors that are, among others, located on sensory neurons. However, as pruritogens for cholestatic itch, plasma bile salt concentrations do not correlate with clinical complaints of itch. The most prominent example against the theory of bile salts as pruritogens is represented by patients suffering from Na1+-taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide (NTCP)-deficiency. The plasma bile salt levels of these patients can be extremely high, but patients do not complain of pruritus (66–69).

In conclusion, human clinical observations overrule all in vivo research and pose the strongest argument against bile salts as dominant pruritogens in cholestatic itch.

**Endogenous Opioids**

The role of endogenous opioids in cholestasis-associated pruritus has recently been reviewed by Bergasa (70). Here, we want to discuss the most important arguments that support or dispute the role of endogenous opioids as causative pruritogens in cholestatic liver disease.

The central mechanism of pruritus is thought to include upregulation of the μ-opioid receptor system and suppression of the κ-opioid receptor system (71). One of the first observations in relation to opioids and pruritus is the fact that the μ-receptor agonist morphine can induce pruritus in humans (24, 72), while the μ-receptor antagonist naloxone can inhibit this reaction (73, 74). Endogenous opioid levels, including methionine enkephalin, leucine enkephalin and β-endorphin (μ-receptor agonists), were increased in plasma of bile duct ligated (BDL) animals and cholestatic patients with cirrhosis (75–77). However, in a more recent study the plasma levels of these μ-receptor agonists were comparable between cholestatic patients with and without
pruritus, and were not increased in women with ICP compared to regular pregnancies (48). As was shown before in PBC patients, methionine-enkephalin concentrations correlate with the stage of disease but not with the severity of pruritus (75). Most studies into the beneficial effects of opioid antagonists involved few patients (in some cases even case reports) and/or were not placebo-controlled. Although treatment with µ-receptor antagonists like naloxone and naltrexone could reduce the level of pruritus in cholestatic patients, it can also lead to an opiate withdrawal-like reaction (73, 77–81).

Next to inhibiting the µ-opioid receptor, studies have been performed on activating the κ-opioid receptor system in mice, thereby reducing scratch activity induced by substance P, histamine or morphine (82, 83). The κ-receptor agonist nalfurafine has been studied in Japan, to treat pruritus in patients with chronic kidney disease (84, 85) and chronic liver disease (71, 86, 87). Treatment showed a partial decrease in levels of pruritus without any severe adverse events. The κ-opioid receptor agonist asimadoline is being tested in a phase II clinical trial to assess its efficacy on the relief of pruritus in patients with atopic dermatitis (88). For an overview on κ-opioid receptor agonists, see (89).

LPA/ATX

We have reported in earlier studies that lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) is a potential pruritogen in cholestasis (48, 90). LPA in serum of pregnant women with ICP and in serum of PBC patients with pruritus induced an increased Ca2+ response when applied to neuronal cells (48). Intradermal injection of LPA in the skin of mice initiated a scratch response, which confirmed a previous independent study (48, 91). The main production of LPA comes from the phospholipase D autotaxin (ATX), which catalyzes the hydrolysis of lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) into LPA and choline (92–94). ATX (ENPP2) belongs to the family of ectonucleotide pyrophosphatases/phosphodiesterases (ENPP1-7) and promotes multiple functions like cell migration, angiogenesis and metastasis (95). A direct causal relation between plasma ATX and production of LPA is seen in ATX heterozygous mice, which show a reduction of 50% in ATX activity and plasma LPA levels, while ATX-deficient mice die prematurely due to vascular defects (96). High ATX mRNA expression in human tissues has been described for brain, ovary, lung and kidney, while enzyme activity has been detected in blood, cerebrospinal and seminal fluid, urine and saliva [reviewed in (97)]. So far, it is still unclear which of these organs contribute to the circulating ATX levels in human plasma and cerebrospinal fluid. Recently, our group has shown that human enteroendocrine cells of the small intestine are also a source of ATX (98).

LPA can activate neuronal cells, satellite glia cells and other cell types via six different LPA-specific receptors (LPA1-6) (99–101). It has been described that LPA can induce neuropathic pain via LPAR1, LPAR3 and LPAR5 (102). However, in a study using the cheek injection mouse model Kittaka et al. (103) showed that LPA is a mediator of only itch rather than of pain. In this study, LPA was found to activate LPAR5 which, via intracellular phospholipase D activation, generates intracellular LPA that can activate TRPA1 and TRPV1, and thereby induce itch sensation.

The crystal structure of ATX shows an active site containing a hydrophilic groove, a hydrophobic lipid-binding pocket and a hydrophobic channel (tunnel) (104–106). This tunnel structure is able to selectively bind steroids and bile salts that lead to inhibition of ATX activity (107). The question remains whether more compounds involved in cholestasis can bind in this tunnel, and this way influence ATX activity.

Serotonin

Serotonin (5-HT) is a neurotransmitter in the central nervous system that can directly activate sensory neurons (120–122). Serotonin can be released from mast cells in the skin and can act as a pruritogen (123–125). The serotonergic system might be deregulated in cholestatic patients (126) and in patients with atopic dermatitis (127), leading to chronic pruritus. In rats with cholestasis induced by BDL, serotonin levels in the skin and spinal cord were significantly increased compared to sham mice, and enhanced scratching behavior was noted after mechanical and heat stimulation (128). In the absence of mechanical and heat stimuli, however, these mice showed no increased spontaneous scratching activity while they were clearly cholestatic.

Seven classes of 5-HT receptors have been identified (5-HT1-7) that comprise at least fifteen subtypes, of which all but one are metabotropic GPCRs (129, 130). 5-HT2 receptors are suggested to be important for itch perception (131, 132), by signaling through $G_{i/o}$11, which activates PLC (124, 129). Many pruritogens bind to metabotropic receptors on primary sensory neurons and are coupled to ionotropic channels via intracellular signaling
pathways to allow sufficient current influx to generate action potentials (133). The cation channels TRPV1 and TRPA1 are often involved in itch transmission (134). A similar mechanism of a metabotropic receptor coupling to an ionotropic channel was found by Morita et al., where serotonin induces itch via activation of 5-HT7, which was shown to be coupled to TRPA1 (135). In a mouse model of atopic dermatitis, where mice were lacking 5-HT7 or TRPA1, the animals displayed reduced scratching. The authors suggest a role for 5-HT7 antagonists in the treatment of a variety of pathological itch conditions (135). By investigating the peripheral neuronal mechanisms underlying pruritus, Imamachi et al. showed that serotonin-induced pruritus in mice required the presence of PLCβ3 and TRPV1-expressing neurons, although not the TRPV1-channel itself (30). The TRPV4-channel, however, does seem to be involved as shown by reduced serotonin-evoked scratch bouts in TRPV4 knockout mice and wild type mice treated with a TRPV4 antagonist (131).

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) have shown some effect against pruritus in cholestatic patients (136–139). They inhibit pre-synaptic reuptake of serotonin and might dull transmission of nociceptive stimuli through unmyelinated C-fibers (120, 140). When standard therapies have no effect on the reduction of pruritus, the SSRI sertraline is advised as a fourth line treatment (141). Notably, chronic pruritus is often associated with psychopathology, including anxiety and depression, where SSRIs may improve pruritus by treating underlying psychiatric comorbidities (142).

**Histamine**

Histamine is the best established pruritogen during acute allergic reactions, by activating histamine receptors (which are GPCRs) and TRP channels on sensory nerve endings. Antagonists of H1R are useful for the treatment of acute allergic pruritus, but are ineffective in the treatment of cholestasis-associated pruritus (141). Although plasma histamine levels have been reported to be slightly increased in cholestatic disease (143), in the study of Kremer et al., no correlation was found between plasma histamine levels and itch intensity in pruritic patients with ICP, PBC and other cholestatic disorders (48). Also skin changes consistent with histamine-mediated effects, like axon-reflex erythema (144), are not seen in cholestatic disease. Sedation with antihistamines may have a non-specific beneficial effect by improving sleep at night, but they reduce concentration during the day (145). Primary afferent neurons, responsible for histamine-induced itch in humans, belong to the group of mechano-insensitive unmyelinated C-fibers (7, 23, 146). However, a second group of C-fibers exist, that are insensitive to histamine, but sensitive to low intensity, high frequency electrical stimulation, which could explain itch without accompanying axon-reflex erythema (23, 144).

**Bilirubin**

Bilirubin is an essential component in bile and is the direct cause of jaundice in cholestatic diseases. It was recently hypothesized that bilirubin could act as a pruritogen by stimulating human MRGPRX4 and mouse mrgrp1 (147). MRGPRs are a family of GPCRs expressed in DRGs on primary sensory neurons (148, 149). In the study of Meixiong, the mouse receptor mrgrp1 and the human receptor MRGPRX4 were expressed in mouse DRGs that lacked expression of any other MRGPR (Mrgrp cluster KO mice). Bilirubin could activate 14 and 32% of the respective DRGs. Induction of cholestasis in mice by treatment with the hepatotoxicant ANIT led to hyperbilirubinemia and increased scratch activity, which was reduced in mrgrp1 KO and biliverdin reductase KO mice. From these results, the authors suggest that high serum bilirubin levels are involved in cholestasis-associated pruritus by binding to MRGPRX4 on sensory neurons (147).

Results from clinical observations, however, suggest otherwise. It has been shown multiple times that plasma bilirubin correlates poorly with pruritus intensity in cholestatic patients (50, 150). Pruritus is often observed while at the same time serum bilirubin levels are hardly elevated (141). Furthermore, many patients that suffer from pruritus are not jaundiced and vice versa, many jaundiced patients do not complain of itch. Patients with Dubin Johnson syndrome or Crigler Najjar syndrome type I have medium to very high plasma levels of bilirubin (conjugated and unconjugated, respectively) and hardly ever complain about itching (151). These results suggest that bilirubin is not a dominant pruritogen in cholestasis-associated pruritus.

**Steroid Metabolites**

Steroids like progesterone and estrogen might be involved in the pathogenesis of cholestasis-associated pruritus, particularly in the case of ICP. Progesterone and estrogen metabolites can be converted into steroids with a cholestatic potential (152). In women with ICP, serum concentrations of progesterone metabolites (pregnane-diol sulfates) are elevated at 35–41 weeks of gestation (153, 154). These progesterone metabolites have been implicated as potential pruritogens from analyses of urine samples from ICP cases (155, 156). Progesterone metabolites can interact with the bile salt receptor FXR (153, 156), the bile salt transporter NTCP (157) and the bile salt export pump (BSEP) (158), thereby affecting bile salt homeostasis pathways and inhibiting hepatic bile salt uptake and efflux. This could possibly result in or contribute to cholestasis and hypercholanemia.

Three pregnane-diol sulfates are found at increased concentrations in plasma during gestational weeks 9–15, prior to symptom onset of ICP (156). These concentrations were (weakly) associated with itch severity and were able to differentiate between women with ICP compared to those with benign pruritus gravidarum (156). One of these progesterone sulfates, 5β-pregn-3α,20α-diol-3-sulfate (PM3S), evoked a Tgr5-dependent scratch response in mice (156). Together, these results suggest that steroid metabolites might influence prurition in cholestasis. Further research into steroid metabolites is needed to evaluate their mechanism in cholestasis-associated pruritus.

**PAR2-Agonists**

Protease-activated receptors (PARs) are implicated in somatosensory functions like itch and pain (159). They have been studied in relation to chronic itch in atopic dermatitis patients. PARs consist of four members, where PAR2 is highly expressed in keratinocytes and is thought to be involved in pruritus caused by atopic dermatitis (159–162). Activation
of PAR2 in keratinocytes induces the release of pruritogenic cytokines that can induce itch through channels on sensory neurons (159). A recent study of Zhao et al. suggested that PAR2 mediates itch via TRPV3 signaling. Both PAR2 and TRPV1 were shown to be upregulated in the skin of patients with atopic dermatitis and in mouse models for atopic dermatitis (163).

PAR2 can be activated by the agonist SLIGRL and the proteases trypsin and tryptase. Skin application of those compounds have been shown to induce itch and scratch behavior in humans and in mice, respectively (162, 164–167). However, the shorter peptide, SLIGR, which also activates PAR2, did not induce scratching behavior but rather induced thermal hyperalgesia (168). Tryptase is a mast cell derived protease, which has been evaluated in cholestasis-associated pruritus, but a correlation with pruritus intensity could not be found (48). However, other proteases might be involved in cholestasis-associated pruritus that could signal through PAR2 and illicit itch sensation.

Gut Microbiome
Since cholestasis-associated pruritus is significantly improved by treatment with the antibiotic rifampicin (169), it seems plausible that gut microbiota are involved in cholestatic liver disease. The first link between gut microbiota and liver pathogenesis was made by Pereira et al., who hypothesized that neutrophil activators involved in inflammatory bowel disease, pass from the inflamed colon to the liver via the enterohepatic circulation (170). Biliary lactoferrin concentrations were increased in active ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease, and fell with colectomy and disease remission. Patients with PSC are often also identified with inflammatory bowel disease. This suggests a potential role for gut-derived factors.

In a review of Li et al., several studies are discussed that suggest the important role of intestinal microbiota in the etiopathogenesis of cholestatic liver diseases by regulating metabolism and immune responses (171). A study in 2016 showed a reduction of several potential beneficial microbiota and enrichment of opportunistic pathogens in early-stage PBC patients compared to healthy controls (171, 172). Species richness was reduced in PBC patients, resulting in a shift in overall microbial diversity (171, 173). These results were ameliorated after ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) treatment. However, in both of these studies, cholestasis-associated pruritus was not measured. Probiotic studies have shown antipruritic effects in patients with atopic dermatitis (174, 175).

Recently, Hegade et al. performed a study in which they compared PBC-patients suffering from pruritus with non-symptomatic PBC patients and healthy volunteers (113). The gut microbiota showed no significant difference between those groups, suggesting that cholestasis-associated pruritus is not associated with a specific gut bacterial composition. However, after treatment with the ASBT-inhibitor linerixibat (GSK2330672), which reduces pruritus scores in PBC patients, fecal bacterial composition significantly changed from baseline. These changes might be due to the increased bile salt load in the colon resulting from ASBT inhibition (112, 113). Together, these studies do not support a major role for gut microbiota in the pathology of cholestasis-associated pruritus.

Treatment of Cholestasis-Associated Pruritus
Evidence-Based Treatments
Evidence-based and experimental treatments for cholestasis-associated pruritus have recently been reviewed (176). Here, we will provide a brief overview. In general, treatment of pruritus can be associated with a considerable placebo effect when using subjective patient-reported outcomes (177, 178). In line with European Association for the Study of the Liver (141), patients with PBC, but also various other cholestatic liver diseases are treated with ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA). UDCA exerts potent anticholestatic effects and improves biochemical surrogate markers of prognosis such as serum bilirubin or alkaline phosphatase (ALP), histological features (179–181) and liver transplantation-free survival in PBC and, according to most recently reported preliminary data, also in PSC. A beneficial effect of UDCA on pruritus, however, was not reported in large trials.

The non-specific peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) agonist bezafibrate has most recently been shown to effectively improve severe to moderate pruritus in 74 patients with PSC and PBC in a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial (182, 183). Bezafibrate has first been introduced as 2nd line treatment of PBC in Japan (184) in combination with UDCA in patients incompletely responding to UDCA alone. Its anticholestatic effect and that of the PPARα agonist fenofibrate has since then been documented in various small trials (185), and finally in a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 100 patients with PBC treated over 2 years (108, 186) convincingly confirmed. In this trial, a minor antipruritic effect of bezafibrate represented one of the favorable secondary observations in PBC patients with mild pruritus. A case series describing an antipruritic effect of bezafibrate was published in 2018 (187). It remains unclear at present whether bezafibrate is superior to the selective PPARα agonist fenofibrate, which also exerts anticholestatic and antipruritic effects in PBC. With its antipruritic, anticholestatic, and anti-inflammatory effects in fibrosing cholangiopathies such as PSC or PBC and its safety profile, bezafibrate—and potentially other PPAR agonists—should become the 1st line treatment in cholestasis-associated pruritus in the future.

The antibiotic rifampicin is a potent pregnane X receptor (PXR) agonist, and, thereby, inducer of phase 1 and phase 2 biotransformation enzymes including cytochrome P450 3A4, a major drug-metabolizing enzyme. Rifampicin is widely used to reduce cholestasis-associated pruritus and is recommended as a second line antipruritic agent (81, 188). It induces biotransformation of many endogenous and exogenous compounds by phase 1 (e.g., hydroxylation) and phase 2 (e.g., glucuronidation) reactions (189–191) to more water-soluble molecules which can then be excreted via the renal route. The antibacterial effects of rifampicin on intestinal flora may alter metabolization and absorption of primary and secondary bile salts and pruritogens (188). Additionally, rifampicin can
downregulate ATX expression via PXR-dependent mechanisms and, thereby, reduce formation of the pruritogen LPA (90). Currently, it is still unclear whether one or the other of these effects plays a prominent role in the anti-pruritic action of rifampicin. Adverse effects of rifampicin include discoloring of body fluids and teeth and resistance against rifampicin-sensitive bacteria. Use of rifampicin for over more than 2 weeks may enhance the risk of hepatotoxicity and therefore requires strict guidance in patients with liver disease (141).

As third-line treatment for pruritus, oral opioid antagonists like naltrexone and naloxone are used (81, 192, 193). Endogenous opioids were found to be elevated in plasma of patients with acute liver disease and cirrhosis with ascites (77) but Kremer et al. did not observe a correlation between itch and plasma opioid activity (48). Opioid antagonists are able to reduce pruritus (77, 193); however, they also can cause severe side effects similar to opioid withdrawal symptoms (79) and should be started at low daily doses.

Patients that are resistant to above-mentioned treatments can receive the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) sertraline as a fourth-line treatment (137). This antidepressant can alter potential pruritic pathways involving serotonin.

In the past, cholestyramine was used as the first-line recommended treatment against pruritus. Cholestyramine is an anion-exchange resin that can bind and sequester numerous amphiphilic compounds including bile salts and (other?) potential pruritogens in the intestine (43, 194, 195). Despite the long history of use, evidence for efficacy of this treatment modality is moderate at best (141, 196). In addition, cholestyramine quite often causes gastrointestinal complaints. In a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled trial, a more potent anion-exchange resin, colesevelam, was able to reduce bile salt levels by nearly 50%, but no effect was observed on intensity of pruritus when compared to placebo (45). Therefore, we conclude that anion-exchange resins should not anymore be ranked among evidence-based, recommended treatment options and rather should have a role as escape medication when other (above discussed) antipruritic medications fail or are not tolerated.

**Invasive Treatments**

Invasive treatments are indicated for patients that do not respond to abovementioned medical drug therapies. Patients can undergo extracorporeal albumin dialysis (41, 182), plasmapheresis (110, 183–185) and biliary drainage (38–40). These treatments, if effective, only last for a few or for weeks and in exceptional cases months, after which pruritus returns. Surgical partial internal/external biliary diversion can be a permanent solution in children when performed before advanced liver disease has developed (186). Liver transplantation can be performed as a last resort for severe cholestasis-associated pruritus refractory to medical and invasive therapeutic approaches.

**Experimental Treatments**

Pruritogens might undergo enterohepatic circulation in a similar way as bile salts do. The reuptake of bile salts and other compounds in the ileum, through the intestinal apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter (ASBT), can be reduced by the use of ASBT-inhibitors and can give a strong reduction of itch sensation in patients. Several inhibitory pharmaceuticals have been developed, which have minimal systemic absorption, thereby only affecting absorption in the intestine. More recent ASBT inhibitors studied include linerixibat (GSK2330672) (112, 113), maralixibat (SHP625) (178) and odevixibat (A4250) (111). Clinical trials with cholestatic patients suffering from pruritus showed promising, but equivocal, results in reducing pruritus (111–113, 178). Frequent adverse events were diarrhea and abdominal discomfort possibly due to increased bile salt load in the large intestine. Notably, the majority of these adverse events resolved over time in one of the studies (178).

In a placebo-controlled trial the effect of the κ-opioid antagonist nalfurafine was found to mildly reduce cholestasis-associated itch in 318 patients with chronic cholestasis-associated itch without major side effects (86). Since serum ATX levels correlate well with itch intensity in cholestatic patients, and the ATX product LPA can act as a pruritogen (48, 90), future therapy for cholestasis-associated pruritus might include ATX-inhibitors [reviewed in (187)]. Current research on ATX and ATX-inhibition is predominantly performed in the cancer and inflammation fields (197, 198). The ATX-LPA axis is involved in multiple functions, including cell migration, angiogenesis and metastasis (95). Future studies will have to study the safety and efficacy of ATX-inhibitors and their potential role in cholestasis-associated pruritus.

**CHALLENGES AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES OF CHOLESTASIS-ASSOCIATED PRURITUS RESEARCH**

Patients with cholestatic diseases often suffer from severe, chronic pruritus, which impairs their quality of life. It is up to researchers to unravel the mechanism of cholestasis-associated pruritus, and even more importantly, to provide a treatment to reduce or resolve this burden.

This review highlights the fact that for cholestasis-associated pruritus there are many possible pruritogens, of which several are likely to play a role simultaneously. Due to different theories on the cause of cholestasis-associated pruritus, also different treatments exist of which none has yet shown to represent the final solution. Research in this field will have to continue in order to clarify the mechanism and to provide a satisfying treatment for patients with cholestasis-associated pruritus.

One of the biggest challenges in cholestasis-associated pruritus research is the possible multi-organ aspect. Besides the affected liver, multiple other organs play a potential role in cholestasis-associated pruritus, including the intestine with its enterohepatic circulation of multiple unknown compounds, the blood with all its proteins, hormones and lipids, the skin with its receptors, barriers and nerves, and the brain of which still a lot is unknown. All of these aspects can affect the production,
transport, accumulation, binding, metabolism, and excretion of potential pruritogen(s).

The next questions arise; are pruritogens produced in a higher amount in patients with cholestatic disease as compared to healthy people as a consequence of cholestasis, or are they normally present and do they accumulate due to cholestatic circumstances? Is it possible that there is increased production of pruritogens as a secondary effect of accumulation of non-pruritogens? Bile formation is an important way of eliminating waste products; however, many compounds in bile are recirculated in the enterohepatic circulation, which opens the possibility of accumulation during cholestasis. We can interrupt the complete enterohepatic circulation [by anion-exchange transport, accumulation, binding, metabolism, and excretion of potential pruritogen(s).

Another challenge in pruritus research is the way of measuring pruritus (in mice and men), which is best analyzed in a mechanic or sensory way, but mental experience is also a potent factor in human patients with pruritus. The amount or intensity of pruritus needs to be expressed in an objective value which is comparable between individuals, and not affected by side effects like emotions, mental stress or fatigue. An important challenge in pruritus research is correcting for the placebo effect of possible treatment. Several studies have shown that treatment with placebo already improves itch sensation by a great deal. Hence, a placebo group should always be included.

Detection of pruritogens involved in cholestasis might find its future in untargeted metabolomic research. All metabolites of bile, serum and possibly skin can be measured and compared between groups. The question remains whether all metabolites can yet be identified and traced back to their origin, but future research will expand the pool of identified metabolites. This approach might reveal a more specific target to unravel the mechanisms and develop a better treatment for cholestasis-associated pruritus.
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