Evaluation of Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Drug–Drug Interaction of Sacubitril/Valsartan (LCZ696) and Sildenafil in Patients With Mild-to-Moderate Hypertension
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Sacubitril/valsartan (LCZ696) is indicated for the treatment of patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). Since patients with HFrEF may receive sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil, both increasing cyclic guanosine monophosphate, the present study evaluated the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic drug interaction potential between sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil. In this open-label, three-period, single sequence study, patients with mild-to-moderate hypertension (153.8 ± 8.2 mmHg mean systolic blood pressure (SBP)) received a single dose of sildenafil 50 mg, sacubitril/valsartan 400 mg once daily for 5 days, and sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil coadministration. When coadministered with sildenafil, the AUC and Cmax of valsartan decreased by 29% and 39%, respectively. Coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil resulted in a greater decrease in BP (–5/–4/–4 mmHg mean ambulatory SBP/DBP/MAP (mean arterial pressure)) than with sacubitril/valsartan alone. Both treatments were generally safe and well tolerated in this study; however, the additional BP reduction suggests that sildenafil should be administered cautiously in patients receiving sacubitril/valsartan. Unique identifier: NCT01601470.

Study Highlights

WHAT IS THE CURRENT KNOWLEDGE ON THE TOPIC?
Sacubitril/valsartan is an angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor approved for treatment of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. Neprilysin inhibition by sacubitril/valsartan increased levels of neprilysin substrates such as natriuretic peptides, and their second-messenger cGMP. Sildenafil, a selective phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitor indicated for treatment of erectile dysfunction, inhibits degradation of cGMP generated by stimulation of soluble guanylyl cyclase.

WHAT QUESTION DID THIS STUDY ADDRESS?
Given the prevalence of erectile dysfunction in patients with heart failure, sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil may be coadministered. Sildenafil has the potential to enhance cGMP-related effects driven by activation of natriuretic peptide receptors. This study therefore evaluated the potential for a pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic drug interaction between both drugs.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS TO OUR KNOWLEDGE
Coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan with sildenafil decreased the drug exposure to valsartan but did not impact the pharmacokinetics of sacubitril or sildenafil. Administration of sildenafil in patients receiving sacubitril/valsartan resulted in an additive BP reduction.

HOW THIS MIGHT CHANGE CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY OR TRANSLATIONAL SCIENCE
Sildenafil administration to patients receiving sacubitril/valsartan should occur cautiously.
Sacubitrilat increases natriuretic peptide (NP) levels, thereby promoting natriuresis, diuresis, vasodilation, and inhibition of maladaptive fibrotic remodeling via their second-messenger cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP). Along with neprilysin inhibition, simultaneous blockade of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) by valsartan inhibits the deleterious cardiovascular and renal effects of sustained activation of angiotensin II and its effectors.

Sildenafil, a potent and selective inhibitor of the cGMP-degrading enzyme phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE5), increases the concentration of cGMP. Sildenafil augments nitric oxide (NO)-induced cGMP-dependent vasodilation in the corpus cavernosum and is indicated for the treatment of erectile dysfunction (ED). Given the high prevalence of ED (~62%) in patients with heart failure, there is a possibility for coadministration of sildenafil and sacubitril/valsartan.

Sacubitril/valsartan analytes do not interact with drugs metabolized by liver cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes that constitute the major metabolic pathway for sildenafil. Although sacubitril is a weak inhibitor of CYP2C9 (IC50: 40 μM), no impact on the pharmacokinetics of the CYP2C9 substrate warfarin was observed when sacubitril/valsartan and warfarin were coadministered. Thus, a CYP-mediated pharmacokinetic drug–drug interaction between sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil is not expected. However, both sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil increase levels of the second-messenger cGMP, which lowers

Table 1  Summary of primary pharmacokinetic parameters for sildenafil analytes

| Analyte                | PK parameter     | Treatment                        | Mean ± SD  | CV (%) | Adjusted geometric mean⁵ | GMR⁶ (90% CI) | Intrasubject CV (%) |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------|--------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Sildenafil             | AUC<sub>inf</sub> (h*ng/mL) | Sacubitril/valsartan + Sildenafil | 629 ± 303  | 48.1   | 576                      | 1.06 (0.96, 1.19) | 23.4                |
|                        |                  | Sildenafil alone                  | 601 ± 271  | 45.0   | 541                      |               |                     |
|                        | AUC<sub>last</sub> (h*ng/mL) | Sacubitril/valsartan + Sildenafil | 612 ± 297  | 48.5   | 559                      | 1.06 (0.95, 1.18) | 23.4                |
|                        |                  | Sildenafil alone                  | 587 ± 270  | 46.0   | 526                      |               |                     |
|                        | C<sub>max</sub> (ng/mL) | Sacubitril/valsartan + Sildenafil | 189 ± 99.6 | 52.6   | 168                      | 1.02 (0.87, 1.19) | 35.5                |
|                        |                  | Sildenafil alone                  | 185 ± 88.3 | 47.8   | 165                      |               |                     |
| N-desmethyl-sildenafil | AUC<sub>inf</sub> (h*ng/mL) | Sacubitril/valsartan + Sildenafil | 325 ± 143  | 43.9   | 305                      | 0.93 (0.86, 1.00) | 15.9                |
|                        |                  | Sildenafil alone                  | 359 ± 157  | 43.9   | 327                      |               |                     |
|                        | AUC<sub>last</sub> (h*ng/mL) | Sacubitril/valsartan + Sildenafil | 305 ± 133  | 43.5   | 278                      | 0.94 (0.87, 1.01) | 16.4                |
|                        |                  | Sildenafil alone                  | 331 ± 152  | 46.0   | 297                      |               |                     |
|                        | C<sub>max</sub> (ng/mL) | Sacubitril/valsartan + Sildenafil | 84.6 ± 39.3 | 46.5   | 75.9                      | 0.86 (0.76, 0.97) | 26.7                |
|                        |                  | Sildenafil alone                  | 95.1 ± 32.5 | 34.2   | 88.4                      |               |                     |

N = 27, unless otherwise mentioned.

AUC<sub>inf</sub>, area under plasma concentration-time curve from time zero to infinity; AUC<sub>last</sub>, area under plasma concentration-time curve from time zero to the last quantifiable concentration; CI, confidence interval; C<sub>max</sub>, maximum plasma concentration; CV<sub>%</sub>, coefficient of variation (%); GMR, geometric mean ratio; PK, pharmacokinetic; SD, standard deviation.

⁵N = 26; ⁶Back-transformed from log scale. Log-transformed pharmacokinetic parameter data were analyzed using a fixed effect model with subject and treatment (sacubitril/valsartan + sildenafil vs. sildenafil alone) as fixed effects.

Figure 1  Mean plasma concentration profile of sildenafil (a) and N-desmethyl-sildenafil (b) following administration of sildenafil alone and coadministration of sildenafil and sacubitril/valsartan.
blood pressure (BP) through its effects on basal vascular tone, indicating a potential for a pharmacodynamic interaction between sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil with respect to the magnitude of BP reduction (Supplemental Figure S1). Therefore, the present study was conducted to investigate the potential for pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic drug–drug interactions between sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil. The study was conducted in patients with mild-to-moderate hypertension to account for a potentially greater BP reduction following coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil compared with administration of sacubitril/valsartan or sildenafil alone.

RESULTS
A total of 28 Caucasian male patients with a mean (± standard deviation) age of 51.8 (± 8.5) years, a mean weight of 92.9 (± 13.1) kg, and a mean body mass index of 28.5 (± 4.0) kg/m² were enrolled in the study and included in the safety, pharmacokinetic, and pharmacodynamic analysis sets. One patient received concomitant medication for the treatment of hypertension starting at Day 2, which was not considered an adverse event (AE). The patient was discontinued from the study due to elevated SBP (175 mmHg) on Day 3, in accordance with protocol predefined discontinuation criteria, without receiving any dose of sacubitril/valsartan. In all, 54% of patients (n/N = 15/28) were receiving pretreatment with antihypertensive drugs at the time of enrollment in the study.

Effect of sacubitril/valsartan on pharmacokinetics of sildenafil and N-desmethyl-sildenafil
Plasma concentration–time profiles of sildenafil and its primary active metabolite N-desmethyl-sildenafil following administration of sildenafil alone and coadministration of sildenafil and sacubitril/valsartan are shown in Figure 1a,b, respectively. Following single-dose oral administration, plasma concentrations of sildenafil and N-desmethyl-sildenafil increased rapidly with a median time of 0.5 and 1 h, respectively, to reach maximum concentration (Tmax), which was not impacted by the coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan. The mean peak concentrations (Cmax) of sildenafil and N-desmethyl-sildenafil were 185 ng/mL and 95.1 ng/mL, respectively, when sildenafil was administered alone. Upon coadministration of sildenafil and sacubitril/valsartan, Cmax of sildenafil was unchanged, while that of N-desmethyl-sildenafil was decreased (84.6 ng/mL; Table 1). The total exposures (AUCs) of sildenafil and N-desmethyl-sildenafil were similar when sildenafil was administered alone or coadministered with sacubitril/valsartan. The terminal half-life (T1/2) of sildenafil and N-desmethyl-sildenafil were also comparable when sildenafil was administered alone (3.7 ± 1.0 and 5.3 ± 1.6 h, respectively) or coadministered with sacubitril/valsartan (3.8 ± 1.1 and 6.2 ± 1.9 h, respectively). Statistical analysis of pharmacokinetic data suggested no significant impact of sacubitril/valsartan on Cmax and total exposure (AUClast) of sildenafil, and total exposure of N-desmethyl-sildenafil (Table 1). A decrease of 14% was observed for Cmax of N-desmethyl-sildenafil. The intrasubject variability (CV%) of AUClast and Cmax for sildenafil was 23.4% and 35.5%, respectively, and for N-desmethyl-sildenafil was 16.4% and 26.7%, respectively.

Effect of sildenafil on pharmacokinetics of sacubitril/valsartan analytes
Steady-state plasma concentration–time profiles of sacubitril/valsartan analytes (sacubitril, sacubitrilat, and valsartan) following oral administration of sacubitril/valsartan either alone or with sildenafil are presented in Figure 2a–c, respectively. Following oral administration of sacubitril/valsartan, plasma concentrations of sacubitril, sacubitrilat, and valsartan increased rapidly and reached peak concentrations (Tmax) at 0.5, 2.0, and 2.0 h, respectively. The Tmax of sacubitril/valsartan analytes remained unchanged when sacubitril/valsartan was coadministered with sildenafil. Compared with sacubitril/valsartan alone, coadministration with sildenafil decreased the mean Cmax for sacubitril, sacubitrilat, and valsartan, with the greatest decrease observed for valsartan (Table 2). Following coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil, mean total exposure (AUC) increased for sacubitril and sacubitrilat, and decreased for valsartan (Table 2). As shown
in Table 2, statistical analysis of the pharmacokinetic data indicated that coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil decreased the $C_{\text{max}}$ of sacubitril by 10%, and the AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ of valsartan by 29% and 39%, respectively. The AUC of sacubitril and the pharmacokinetic exposure of sacubitrilat were unchanged. The valsartan by 29% and 39%, respectively. The AUC of sacubitril and decreased the $C_{\text{max}}$ of sacubitril by 10%, and the AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ of valsartan by 29% and 39%, respectively. The AUC of sacubitril and the pharmacokinetic exposure of sacubitrilat were unchanged. The intrasubject variability of $\text{AUC}_{\tau,\text{ss}}$ and $C_{\text{max,ss}}$ was 12.2% and 32.6% for valsartan, respectively. The $C_{\text{max}}$ of sacubitril and decreased the $C_{\text{max}}$ of sacubitril by 10%, and the AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ of valsartan by 29% and 39%, respectively. The AUC of sacubitril and the pharmacokinetic exposure of sacubitrilat were unchanged. The intrasubject variability of $\text{AUC}_{\tau,\text{ss}}$ and $C_{\text{max,ss}}$ was 12.2% and 32.6% for valsartan, respectively.

### Effect on ambulatory blood pressure measurements (ABPM)

The effects of sacubitril/valsartan, sildenafil, and their coadministration on BP were measured through monitoring of 24-h ABPM at baseline, Day 1 (sildenafil single dose), Day 7 (sacubitril/valsartan steady state), and Day 8 (sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil coadministration).

Single-dose administration of 50 mg sildenafil resulted in a reduction from baseline of mean ABPM including ambulatory diastolic blood pressure (aDBP), ambulatory systolic blood pressure (aSBP), and ambulatory mean arterial pressure (aMAP) during the daytime, night time, and over a 24-h period (Figure 3a–c). Administration of sacubitril/valsartan for 5 days also resulted in reduction from baseline of mean aSBP/aDBP/aMAP during daytime, night time, and over a 24-h period (Figure 3a–c). The BP-lowering effect of sildenafil was smaller than the BP reduction observed with sacubitril/valsartan.

Coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil resulted in further reduction from baseline of mean aSBP/aDBP/aMAP during daytime, night time, and over a 24-h period (Figure 3a–c). Therefore, coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil was associated with a greater reduction in mean aSBP/aDBP/aMAP by approximately $-5.4/-3.8/-4.4$ mmHg (24-h ABPM) compared with administration of sacubitril/valsartan alone (Table 3). This additional BP reduction was more pronounced during daytime, but not statistically significant for night time measurements (Table 3).

### Effect on urine biomarkers

Urine ANP (atrial natriuretic peptide) and cGMP excretion was measured to evaluate potential additive effects on biomarkers related to the mechanism of action of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil and are presented as geometric mean values obtained over a 24-h urine collection period and normalized to the amount of creatinine excreted during the same urine collection period.

Compared with baseline, sildenafil administration did not result in increased urinary cGMP excretion (Figure 4a). However, administration of sacubitril/valsartan resulted in increased urinary cGMP excretion compared with baseline following its first dose and at steady state (Figure 4a). Coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil did not result in any further increase in urinary cGMP excretion compared with cGMP excretion following administration of sacubitril/valsartan at steady state (Figure 4a).

No relevant increase in urinary ANP excretion was observed following administration of sildenafil compared with baseline (Figure 4b). Following administration of the first dose of sacubitril/valsartan and at steady state of sacubitril/valsartan, there were notable increases in urine ANP compared with baseline (Figure 4b). Coadministration of sildenafil and sacubitril/valsartan did not result in any further increase in urinary ANP excretion compared with administration of sacubitril/valsartan alone (Figure 4b).

---

**Table 2** Summary of primary pharmacokinetic parameters for sacubitril/valsartan analytes

| Analyte          | PK parameter       | Treatment                        | Mean ± SD          | CV (%) | Adjusted geometric mean$^a$ | GMR$^b$ (90% CI) | Intrasubject CV (%) |
|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Sacubitril       | $\text{AUC}_{\tau,\text{ss}}$ (h*ng/mL) | Sacubitril/valsartan + Sildenafil | 3700 ± 912         | 24.6   | 3592                        | 1.10 (1.04, 1.17) | 12.2                |
|                  |                    | Sacubitril/valsartan alone       | 3400 ± 1000        | 29.6   | 3259                        |                  |                     |
|                  | $C_{\text{max,ss}}$ (ng/mL)    | Sacubitril/valsartan + Sildenafil | 2310 ± 1020        | 44.3   | 2084                        | 0.90 (0.74, 1.10) | 45.3                |
|                  |                    | Sacubitril/valsartan alone       | 2470 ± 865         | 35.0   | 2314                        |                  |                     |
| Sacubitrilat     | $\text{AUC}_{\tau,\text{ss}}$ (h*ng/mL) | Sacubitril/valsartan + Sildenafil | 147000 ± 31000     | 21.0   | 144058                      | 1.02 (1.01, 1.04) | 3.7                 |
|                  |                    | Sacubitril/valsartan alone       | 143000 ± 26300     | 18.4   | 140803                      |                  |                     |
|                  | $C_{\text{max,ss}}$ (ng/mL)    | Sacubitril/valsartan + Sildenafil | 14000 ± 2420       | 17.3   | 13812                       | 0.94 (0.88, 0.99) | 13.0                |
|                  |                    | Sacubitril/valsartan alone       | 14900 ± 2250       | 15.1   | 14762                       |                  |                     |
| Valsartan        | $\text{AUC}_{\tau,\text{ss}}$ (h*ng/mL) | Sacubitril/valsartan + Sildenafil | 23600 ± 9500       | 40.3   | 21692                       | 0.71 (0.62, 0.80) | 28.0                |
|                  |                    | Sacubitril/valsartan alone       | 33000 ± 12400      | 37.5   | 30758                       |                  |                     |
|                  | $C_{\text{max,ss}}$ (ng/mL)    | Sacubitril/valsartan + Sildenafil | 3350 ± 1480        | 44.0   | 3044                        | 0.61 (0.53, 0.71) | 32.6                |
|                  |                    | Sacubitril/valsartan alone       | 5300 ± 1750        | 33.0   | 4994                        |                  |                     |

$^a$Back-transformed from log scale. Log-transformed pharmacokinetic parameter data were analyzed using a fixed effect model with subject and treatment (sacubitril/valsartan + sildenafil vs. sildenafil alone) as fixed effects.

$^b$GMR, geometric mean ratio; PK, pharmacokinetic; SD, standard deviation.

$N = 27$, unless otherwise mentioned.

$\text{AUC}_{\tau,\text{ss}}$, area under plasma concentration-time curve from time zero to the end of dosing interval (tau) at steady state; CI, confidence interval; $C_{\text{max}}$, maximum plasma concentration; $C_{\text{max,ss}}$, maximum plasma concentration following drug administration at steady state; CV%, coefficient of variation (%); GMR, geometric mean ratio; PK, pharmacokinetic; SD, standard deviation.
Safety results
Administration of single-dose sildenafil 50 mg, sacubitril/valsartan 400 mg once daily (q.d.), and coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil was generally safe and well-tolerated in this study. There were no deaths, serious adverse events (SAEs), or AEs leading to study discontinuation. A total of 33 AEs were reported in 17 (60.7%) patients during the study. The number of AEs was comparable across treatment periods. The most commonly reported AEs were headache, dizziness, and diarrhea. Most of the AEs reported during the study were of mild intensity, while eight AEs reported in three patients were of moderate intensity. Overall, 11 patients reported AEs that were suspected to be related to the study drug. Most of these AEs were of mild intensity, with the exception of three that were of moderate intensity (somnolence, dizziness, and headache). The suspected treatment-related AEs of moderate intensity were reported in the sildenafil single dose (1 AE), and sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil coadministration (2 AEs) study periods.

All AEs potentially related to the study drug were resolved by the end of the study, and no action was taken with respect to study conduct. The pattern of AEs was similar when sacubitril/valsartan was administered alone or coadministered with sildenafil. No clinically significant abnormalities in vital signs and electrocardiograms of patients were observed during the study. Routine clinical laboratory tests were performed during the study and found to be within the normal range in all patients (renal parameters are provided in Supplemental Table S1).

DISCUSSION
Sacubitril/valsartan has been recently approved for the treatment of patients with HFrEF. Sacubitril/valsartan exerts its effects by inhibiting neprilysin and blocking the angiotensin II receptor 1,2,3 Neprilysin inhibition results in increased cGMP, the second-messenger involved in mediating natriuresis, diuresis, vasodilation, and multiple other beneficial effects of the neprilysin substrates ANP, B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), and C-type natriuretic peptide (CNP).3 Sildenafil is a widely prescribed medicine for the treatment of ED and pulmonary hypertension, and exerts its effects by increasing cGMP levels through inhibition of its degradation by PDE5.7,14 The recommended dose of sildenafil for treatment of ED is 50 mg once daily but may be increased to 100 mg for improved efficacy, if tolerated.15 Since there is an overlap in the incidence of heart failure and ED, sildenafil may be coadministered with sacubitril/valsartan. Due to involvement of a second-messenger, cGMP, common to the mechanism of action of both medicines, this study investigated the potential for pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic drug–drug interaction when sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil are coadministered. Since sacubitril/valsartan is administered daily but sildenafil is likely to be taken intermittently, the study was designed to investigate the potential for a pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic interaction between sildenafil single dose and steady-state sacubitril/valsartan.

When coadministered with sacubitril/valsartan, the Cmax and AUC of sildenafil, and the AUC of N-desmethyl-sildenafil, were not significantly changed. However, the Cmax of N-desmethyl-sildenafil decreased by 14%. N-desmethyl-sildenafil is a pharmacologically active metabolite of sildenafil with similar terminal half-life, has ~50% potency and ~40% plasma concentration of its parent compound, and accounts for 20% of its pharmacological effects. Given the high degree of observed variability (46%), the marginal decrease in Cmax of N-desmethyl-sildenafil is not considered clinically relevant.16,17

Coadministration with sildenafil had no significant impact on either Cmax or AUC of sacubitril and sacubitrilat, while a decrease in Cmax and AUC of valsartan by 39% and 29%, respectively, were observed. Valsartan exhibits a high degree of intersubject variability (44%) in pharmacokinetic parameters.18,19

Consistent with the mechanism of action, multiple dose administration of sacubitril/valsartan lowered ABPM compared with baseline. A similar effect on ABPM reduction, albeit to a lower extent, was observed with single-dose administration of sildenafil. When sildenafil was coadministered with sacubitril/valsartan, there was an additional reduction in BP of approximately
Table 3  Statistical analysis of change in mean aSBP, aDBP, and aMAP from baseline over daytime, night time, and 24 h

| Time after dose | Sildenafil vs. sacubitril/valsartan + sildenafil | Sacubitril/valsartan vs. sacubitril/valsartan + sildenafil |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Mean difference (95% CI) | P value | Mean difference (95% CI) | P value |
| Mean aSBP (mm Hg) | | | |
| 0-24 h | −17.0 (−20.72, −13.32) | <0.001 | −5.4 (−9.08, −1.68) | 0.005 |
| Day time | −18.1 (−21.96, −14.56) | <0.001 | −6.4 (−10.07, −2.67) | <0.001 |
| Night time | −16.9 (−20.58, −13.18) | <0.001 | −2.4 (−6.06, 1.34) | 0.210 |
| Mean aDBP (mm Hg) | | | |
| 0-24 h | −9.8 (−12.22, −7.36) | <0.001 | −3.8 (−6.19, −1.34) | 0.003 |
| Day time | −10.3 (−12.72, −7.86) | <0.001 | −4.3 (−6.69, −1.84) | <0.001 |
| Night time | −10.5 (−12.90, −8.04) | <0.001 | −2.8 (−5.24, −0.38) | 0.024 |
| Mean aMAP (mm Hg) | | | |
| 0-24 h | −11.9 (−14.63, −9.20) | <0.001 | −4.4 (−7.10, −1.67) | 0.002 |
| Day time | −12.6 (−15.28, −9.84) | <0.001 | −5.1 (−7.85, −2.41) | <0.001 |
| Night time | −12.6 (−15.27, −9.83) | <0.001 | −2.5 (−5.25, 0.19) | 0.068 |

The 24-h ABPM data were analyzed using repeated measures analysis-of-covariance model with treatment, hours postdose, and treatment by hours postdose interaction as fixed factors, patients as random factor, and time-matched baseline as covariate.

aSBP, ambulatory systolic blood pressure; aDBP, ambulatory diastolic blood pressure; aMAP, ambulatory mean arterial pressure; CI, confidence interval.

−5/−4/−4 mmHg in 24-h mean aSBP, aDBP, and aMAP, respectively, compared with administration of sacubitril/valsartan alone. This effect was limited to daytime measurements, which is consistent with the observed higher plasma concentrations of sacubitril/valsartan than sildenafil following oral administration in the morning. It should be noted that peak concentrations of sildenafil were observed almost at the same time (T_{max} range: 0.5–4 h).

In healthy subjects and patients with hypertension, sildenafil's effect on ABPM reduction did not differ significantly between waking and sleeping hours, suggesting that daytime effects occurred following a morning dose in the present study may be extrapolated to night time. The ABPM reduction following evening dosing of 100 mg sildenafil in the pooled population of healthy and hypertensive subjects, −5.8/−4.5/−5.3 mmHg SBP/DBP/MAP, is consistent with the daytime ABPM reduction observed in the present study (−6.4/−4.3/−5.1 mmHg) with coadministration of sildenafil and sacubitril/valsartan. Further, in clinical use, the plasma concentrations of sacubitril/valsartan are expected to be 2-fold lower (morning or evening) than the concentrations observed in the current study due to use of twice the prescribed dose of sacubitril/valsartan (200 mg b.i.d.). Therefore, the evening dosing of sildenafil in patients treated with sacubitril/valsartan does not pose a relevant additional risk to patients beyond the risk identified in this study.

Consistent with its mechanism of action, sacubitril/valsartan administration increased urine cGMP levels following single and multiple-dose administration, as reported in earlier studies. However, urinary cGMP levels remained unchanged following sildenafil single-dose administration. Regardless, administration of sildenafil was associated with the expected reduction in blood pressure. Urine concentrations of cGMP and ANP were comparable following coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil compared with sacubitril/valsartan alone. This was expected for ANP, as it is not impacted by the mechanism of action of sildenafil. The lack of a greater increase in cGMP following coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil is consistent with the lack of cGMP increase following administration of sildenafil alone. A potential explanation for this observation could be the different subcellular compartmentalization of cGMP. Following administration of sacubitril/valsartan, cGMP generated through stimulation of membrane-bound natriuretic peptide receptors segregated at the plasma membrane as opposed to global cytosolic distribution of cGMP generated through inhibition of phosphodiesterase by sildenafil or stimulation of soluble guanylyl cyclase by NO. Furthermore, the intracellular cGMP concentrations differ in different cell types relevant to vascular relaxation and cardiac function. Natriuretic peptides activate different downstream signaling pathways, resulting in decreased intracellular calcium by regulating the activity of L-type calcium channels and phospholamban, compared with NO, which decreases calcium sensitivity of myofilaments. Thus, cGMP originating from natriuretic peptides and NO play distinct roles in the control of vascular relaxation, which is further modulated by the differential activity of PDE-5 inhibitors in different vascular beds. A common mechanistic basis for a pharmacodynamic drug interaction with respect to BP reduction observed in this study between sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil is not likely to be observed with nitrates. This hypothesis may require further investigation.

Sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil were well tolerated in this study when administered alone and in combination. Most AEs
were of mild to moderate intensity and similarly distributed between the different treatment groups. The additional BP reduction observed when sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil were coadministered did not lead to any clinically relevant safety findings. Safety results from this study are therefore consistent with the overall safety and tolerability profile of sacubitril/valsartan reported in previous studies.\(^5,6\)

Thus, this study reports that coadministration of sildenafil and sacubitril/valsartan did not impact the pharmacokinetics of sacubitril or sildenafil, and decreased the exposure to valsartan. A greater decrease in ambulatory BP was observed in patients with mild-to-moderate hypertension when sacubitril/valsartan was coadministered with sildenafil compared with the administration of sacubitril/valsartan alone.

The interaction between sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil was not investigated in patients with HFrEF, as the washout of other proven life-saving concomitant medications was not justifiable. However, a two-way crossover study with 50 mg sildenafil administered to patients with HFrEF resulted in increased cardiac output resulting from a decrease in peripheral vascular resistance, aortic stiffness, and peripheral wave.\(^30\) Sildenafil administered to patients with HFrEF was safe and well tolerated, and observed BP reductions comparable to those observed in the present study.\(^30\) These results, consistent with previous studies, suggest that sildenafil is a suitable treatment for ED also in patients with HFrEF.\(^31,32\) However, as indicated in the product label, initiation of sildenafil treatment or dose increase in patients with heart failure receiving treatment with sacubitril/valsartan should occur cautiously.

**METHODS**

**Study design and patients**

The study was performed in accordance with Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines and adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. This single-center study was conducted at Parexel International (Berlin, Germany) and approved by the local independent Ethics Committee (State Office of Health and Social Affairs, Berlin, Germany). All patients provided written informed consent prior to study participation.

This was an open-label, three-period, single sequence study in male patients with mild-to-moderate hypertension aged 18–65 years. Patients received a single dose of sildenafil 50 mg on Day 1, sacubitril/valsartan 400 mg q.d. for 5 days on Days 3 to 7, and sacubitril/valsartan 400 mg coadministered with a single dose of sildenafil (50 mg) on Day 8 (Supplemental Figure S2). The effect of sildenafil on BP are transient and not clinically significant in both hypertensive and normotensive patients.\(^20,33\) The BP-lowering effect of sildenafil (at 40 mg and 80 mg doses) in healthy subjects lasted 5 h postdosing.\(^34\) Therefore, a 1-day washout between periods 1 and 2 does allow for a 48-h separation between first dose of sildenafil and sacubitril/valsartan, which is adequate to avoid a carryover effect with regard to BP reduction. Steady-state levels of sacubitril/valsartan are achieved in 3 days with twice daily administration of sacubitril/valsartan 200 mg or 400 mg q.d. in healthy individuals.\(^13,35\)

Untreated patients (either newly diagnosed or with a history of hypertension but not receiving any antihypertensive treatment for ≥8 weeks prior to screening) with a mean SBP ≥140 mmHg and <170 mmHg, or pretreated patients (receiving stable treatment with not more than two antihypertensive agents for ≥8 weeks prior to screening) with a mean SBP ≤160 mmHg at screening were enrolled.

The key exclusion criteria were use of antihypertensive prescription drugs, herbal supplements, and/or over-the-counter (OTC) medications and dietary supplements (including vitamins) within 2 weeks prior to initial dosing severe hypertension (mean supine diastolic blood pressure (sDBP) ≥100 mmHg and/or mean supine systolic blood pressure (sSBP) ≥170 mmHg), or hypotension (mean sDBP <50 mmHg and/or mean sSBP <90 mmHg) at screening or at baseline. A 14-day washout period was sufficient to eliminate the potential for additional drug interactions, other than those under investigation in this study, as it is longer than five half-lives of all antihypertensive drugs used prior to screening.

**Pharmacokinetic assessments**

Plasma concentrations of sildenafil and its metabolite N-desmethyl-sildenafil were measured following a single dose of sildenafil (Day 1) and coadministration of sildenafil and sacubitril/valsartan (Day 8). Plasma concentrations of sacubitril/valsartan analytes (sacubitril, sacubitrilat, and valsartan) were measured at steady state of sacubitril/valsartan (Day 7) and following coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil (Day 8). Additional predose samples were collected during sacubitril/valsartan administration (Days 3, 4, 5, and 6). A validated liquid chromatography, tandem mass spectroscopy (LC-MS/MS) method was used for quantification of sildenafil, N-desmethyl-sildenafil, and sacubitril/valsartan analytes in plasma.\(^1,36\) The lower limit of quantification values were 1.00 ng/mL for sildenafil, N-desmethyl-sildenafil, and sacubitril; 20.0 ng/mL for sacubitrilat; and 10.0 ng/mL for valsartan.\(^2,16\)

The following pharmacokinetic parameters were determined using Phoenix (Build 6.2.0.495)/WinNonlin (62): area under plasma concentration–time curve (AUC) from time zero to infinity (AUC\(_{\text{inf}}\)) and to the last quantifiable concentration (AUC\(_{\text{last}}\)), terminal half-life...
(T1/2), observed maximum plasma concentration (Cmax), and time to reach maximum concentration (Tmax) for sildenafil and N-desmethyl-

sildenafil. The pharmacokinetic parameters for sacubitril/valsartan analy-
tes (sacubitril, sacubitrilat, and valsartan) were area under plasma concentration–time curve from time zero to the end of dosing interval τ (tau) at steady state (AUCτ,ss), observed maximum plasma concentra-
tion following drug administration at steady state (Cmax,ss), and time to reach Cmax,ss after drug administration in the dosing interval (Tmax,ss

Pharmacodynamic and biomarker assessments
Pharmacodynamic assessments, which comprised 24-h ABPMs, includ-
ing mean ambulatory diastolic blood pressure (aDBP), mean ambulatory systolic blood pressure (aSBP), and ambulatory mean arterial pressure (aMAP) were obtained every 30 min during daytime (6 AM to 10 PM) and every 2 h during night time (10 PM to 6 AM) prior to any drug treat-
ment (baseline, Day −1), following single dose of sildenafil administration (Day 1), steady state of sacubitril/valsartan (Day 7), and coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil (Day 8). Change in night time and daytime ABPM from baseline were calculated using respective baseline night time and daytime ABPM values during the three treatment periods. BP measurements were performed on the non-
dominant arm using a validated portable recording device and an appro-
piate cuff size.

For biomarkers (cGMP and ANP) and creatinine measurements, 24-h urine samples were collected in two intervals (0 to 6 h and 6 to 24 h) prior to drug treatment (baseline, Day −1), following single dose of sildenafil treatment (Day 1), single dose and steady state sacubitril/valsartan (Days 3 and 7), and following coadministration of sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil (Day 8). cGMP and ANP were measured at SGS Cephac (Saint-Benoit, France) by radioimmunoassay using commercial kits from Amersham International (Amersham, UK) and Izotop (Buda-
pest, Hungary), respectively.1 Urine creatinine was analyzed at Clinical Reference Laboratory (CRL, Cambridgeshire, UK). Urinary cGMP and ANP levels were normalized to creatinine levels, and reported as creatinine-indexed urine cGMP and creatinine-indexed urine ANP. The lower and upper limit of quantification values were 17.4 and 926.0 nmol/L, respectively, for urine cGMP, and 36.1 and 231.2 pg/mL, respectively, for urine ANP measurements.

Safety assessments
Safety assessments consisted of recording all AEs and SAEs with respect to their severity and relationship to the study drug, assessments of vital signs, physical examinations, hematology, blood chemistry, and urine lab-
oratory assessments.

Statistical analyses
Log-transformed pharmacokinetic parameters of sildenafil, N-desmethyl-
sildenafil, and sacubitril/valsartan analytes were analyzed using a fixed effects model with treatment and patient as fixed factors to study the pharmacokinetic interactions between sacubitril/valsartan analytes and sildenafil. The pharmacokinetic parameters derived following combination treatment were considered as test and those following monotherapy were considered as reference treatments for statistical evaluations. The estimated geometric mean ratio (sacubitril/valsartan and sildenafil coadministration/single drug treatment) and corresponding 90% confidence interval (CI) of treatment difference were back-transformed to obtain the geometric mean ratio (GMR) and 90% CI.

Descriptive statistics were provided for BP measurements, including ABPM (daytime, night time, and 24-h mean), and urine biomarkers (cGMP and ANP). The 24-h ABPM data were analyzed using repeated measures analysis-of-covariance model with treatment, hours postdose, and treatment by hours postdose interaction as fixed factors, patients as random factor, and time-matched baseline as covariate. The area under the effect vs. time curve (AUC0–24h) was calculated for cGMP. Test to reference (or baseline) ratios and CIs were calculated for cGMP, ANP, and ABPM.

Summary statistics were provided for all safety assessments of AEs by treatment and visit/time. The number and percentage of subjects with AEs were categorized by body system and treatment. Deviations from normal ranges were classified as abnormalities.

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.
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