Mild NaCl Stress Influences Staphylococcal Enterotoxin C Transcription in a Time-Dependent Manner and Reduces Protein Expression
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Enterotoxins (SEs) produced by Staphylococcus aureus are the cause of serious food intoxications. Staphylococcal enterotoxin C (SEC) is one of the main contributors, as it is often highly expressed. S. aureus possesses a competitive growth advantage over accompanying bacterial flora under stress conditions encountered in foods, such as high NaCl concentrations. However, the influence of NaCl as an external stressor on SEC expression is still unclear. We investigated the influence of 4.5% NaCl on sec mRNA and SEC protein levels. A qRT-PCR assay revealed that NaCl stress leads to time-dependently decreased or elevated sec mRNA levels for most strains. SEC protein levels were generally decreased under NaCl stress. Our findings suggest that NaCl stress lowers overall SEC concentration and time-dependently affects sec mRNA levels.
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INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus is one of the most common causes of foodborne intoxications. In the EU 2454 cases of Staphylococcal Food Poisoning (SFP), outbreaks were reported in 2015 (EFSA, 2016) and 1,400 cases in 2019 (EFSA, 2021). In the USA, the yearly number of cases is estimated at 241’148 (Scallan et al., 2011). Foods commonly implicated in SFP are meat and meat products, as well as dairy products (EFSA, 2017). SFP is caused by staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEs) that are produced by S. aureus directly in the food matrix during growth. These exotoxins are of particular interest due to their extreme resistance toward environmental stress conditions, such as heat, acidity, or irradiation. Thus, even heated foods, in which the organism itself has been inactivated, can lead to severe intoxications, as staphylococcal enterotoxins remain emetically and biologically active (Le Loir et al., 2003). To date, a broad range of SEs has been characterized, comprising the classical SEA-SEE and the newly described SEG-SEZ (Fetsch and Johler, 2018). The investigation of staphylococcal enterotoxin C (SEC) is of particular relevance since this toxin can be produced in up to 10 times higher amounts than other SEs (Spaulding et al., 2013).
In addition, SEC exists in different, often host-specific variants (Etter et al., 2020). This adds further complexity to the already highly complex interconnected regulatory system of SEs.

Hurdle technologies represent a combination of preservation methods. It is a highly effective tool in the inhibition of growth and toxin formation of pathogenic microorganisms (Leistner, 2000). This includes the addition of preservatives, changing pH, lowering the a_s value, and heating or cooling (Rahman, 2020). A common preservation method in foods is the addition of salt. Meat products that are commonly associated with SFP usually have NaCl concentrations ranging from 1–2% for ham or sausages, 2–4.5% for dry fermented sausages, and 5–10% for dried and cured meat (Lilic et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2021). Growth of S. aureus is usually inhibited by the surrounding microflora in most food matrices. However, in food matrices with such high salt concentrations, S. aureus possesses a remarkable growth advantage over competing bacteria. In fact, S. aureus was shown to grow at normal levels in media with up to 20% NaCl (Measures, 1975). While high NaCl concentrations do not effectively impede growth of S. aureus in foods, they might still act as a hurdle by influencing SE production. Thus, the presence and even growth of S. aureus in foods high in NaCl might not be dangerous to the consumer if enterotoxin formation is sufficiently inhibited. Deciphering the role of NaCl in enterotoxin formation is key to understand the risk related to the presence of S. aureus in various foods and could contribute to a reduction in food waste. The WHO aim of reducing sodium content in foods is certainly reasonable regarding dietary requirements (WHO, 2011). Diet-related non-communicable diseases are now the leading cause of mortality worldwide, accounting for more deaths than all other, non-diet-related mortality causes combined (Forouzanfar et al., 2016). Salt reduction may however entail food safety concerns if it facilitates pathogen activity, such as SE production (Doyle and Glass, 2010).

Salt stress acts on bacteria via two mechanisms: osmotic effects and specific ion effects (Oren, 1999; Chhabra, 2017). Some of the known stress adaptation mechanisms include accumulation of potassium, amino acids, or sugars to prevent sodium influx and reduce osmotic pressure (Doyle and Glass, 2010). How NaCl stress affects SE expression and regulation has not yet been elucidated. Previous experiments demonstrated a reduction in sec under 1.2 M NaCl (ca. 7% NaCl) in two strains (Regassa and Betley, 1993). In an older study on the effect of NaCl and pH on SEC protein production, it was not possible to detect SEC in broths with 12% NaCl (Genigeorgis et al., 1971). However, SEC expression has not yet been quantified for different toxin variants and strains from different isolation sources in more realistic circumstances with lower salt concentrations, mimicking those encountered during food production and preservation.

We investigated the influence of 4.5% NaCl stress compared to a low-salt containing control medium on sec expression in seven strains from different origins and with different SEC variants and sec gene promoters (promoter variants are labeled v1–v4). Potential influence of toxin variants or strain background was assessed on mRNA and protein levels. In addition, quantification on both mRNA and protein levels enabled us to determine whether gene regulation as a NaCl stress response is transcriptional or post-transcriptional. We used 4.5% NaCl containing medium to represent concentrations found in fermented meat products. Our findings contribute to a better understanding of matrix-pathogen interaction that is needed to adapt food production parameters and protect consumer health.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains, Growth Conditions, and Sample Collection for sec mRNA and SEC Protein Quantification**

All S. aureus strains and their respective SEC variants in this study are listed in Table 1. The strains were grown in LB medium (BD, Pont-de-Clai, France) (non-stress control conditions, 1% NaCl) and in LB supplemented with a total of 4.5% (w/v) NaCl (BD) (0.77 M). Mild salt stress conditions encountered in food were mimicked by adjusting to a salt concentration typical for dried fermented meat. All media were autoclaved before adding stress conditions and then sterile filtered (Bottle-top filter 0.2µm, Fisher Scientific, Reinach, Switzerland) and stored at 4°C.

Bacteria were grown and sampled according to procedures previously described in Etter et al., 2021. Briefly, colonies from 5% sheep blood agar were cultured in LB broth (16h at 37°C and 125rpm). After washing the cultures in 0.85% NaCl suspension, 50 ml of medium (LB and LB + NaCl) were inoculated (OD660=0.05). Cultures were incubated at 37°C at 125 rpm and sampled after 4h (exponential phase), 10h (early stationary phase), and 24h (late stationary phase). Three independent biological replicates were collected. RNAprotect®Tissue Reagent (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used for mRNA sample stabilization. Low protein binding micro-centrifuge tubes (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA USA) were used for protein sample collection.

Growth curves were evaluated by plating serial dilutions on plate count agar (Oxoid, Pratteln, Switzerland) as previously described (Etter et al., 2021).

**RNA Extraction**

RNA extraction was performed with the RNeasy mini Kit Plus (Qiagen) as previously described (Silto et al., 2014; Etter et al., 2021) and quantified with QuantiFluor (Promega, Madison, WI USA). Quality control was performed by the Agilent 2,100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). Samples were included in the study if they met the inclusion criteria of RNA integrity numbers >6. RNA integrity numbers ranged from 6.3–8.2.

**Reverse Transcription and Quantitative Real-Time PCR**

All RNA samples were subjected to reverse transcription and qRT-PCR as previously described (Etter et al., 2021). No reverse
transcription controls were included as controls in each qPCR run to ensure absence of DNA. Relative expression of the target gene sec was normalized using the housekeeping genes rho and rplD (Sihto et al., 2014). Ct values were determined using the Lightcycler® Software version 1.1.0.1320 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Data were expressed as Δct values. Statistical analysis was performed with RStudio 1.3.1093 and GraphPad Prism 9.2.0. For RNA analysis, a mixed effect linear model was fitted on the fold change, with a full three-way interaction between reference gene, strain, and time effects. Fold change was log2-transformed to ensure normal distribution in statistical analysis. To determine whether individual mRNA levels were increased (indicated by a fold change significantly larger than 1) we used lsmeans to perform a one-sided effect test, with Holm–Bonferroni-corrected p-values. Protein data were log-transformed to ensure normal distribution for statistical analysis. A two-way ANOVA and post-hoc Tukey’s multiple comparisons were performed. Results were regarded as significant if p<0.05. All relevant information according to MIQE guidelines are provided in Supplementary Table 2.

Protein Quantification

An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was performed as previously described (Etter et al., 2021). The protocol was based on Poli and colleagues (Poli et al., 2002) with some modifications according to (Wallin-Carquish et al., 2010). Antibodies and reference toxins were obtained from Toxin Technology Inc., Sarasota, FL, USA. ELISA measurements were performed in duplicates. Statistical analysis was performed with RStudio 1.3.1093 and GraphPad Prism 9.2.0. Results were regarded as significant if p<0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We measured relative sec mRNA levels and quantified SEC protein levels of seven S. aureus strains BW10, NB6, SAI3, SAI48, SAR1, SAR38, and OV20 at exponential (4 h), early stationary (10 h), and late stationary (24 h) phase during growth in LB under NaCl (4.5%) stress. Strains BW10 and NB6 (both SEC3, v1) were isolated from food, SAI3 (SEC1, v3) and SAI48 (SEC2, v1) from human infection cases, and SAR1 & SAR38 (both SECbovine, v2) and OV20 (SECovine, v4) were isolated from bovine and ovine mastitis cases, respectively.

sec mRNA Levels Observed Under NaCl Stress Correlates With Toxin Variant in a Time-Dependent Manner

sec mRNA levels were measured in exponential (4 h), early stationary (10 h), and late stationary phase (24 h) across 7 S. aureus strains and expressed normalized to strain growth level (Supplementary Figure 1). The expression of sec mRNA under NaCl stress tended to be lower compared to control conditions in early growth phases but reached or exceeded control levels in late stationary phase (Figure 1). Six out of seven strains (BW10, NB6, SAI48, SAR1, SAR38, OV20) exhibited significantly altered expression while in one strain (SAI3) sec mRNA level was not significantly affected by NaCl stress. Isolates BW10, NB6, and SAI48 who express toxin variant SEC2 and promoter variant v1 (Table 1) as well as strains SAR38 and OV20 harboring SECbovine and promoter variant v3 showed decreased levels of sec transcripts in exponential or early stationary phase. Strain SAI3 showed comparable sec mRNA level patterns to NB6, although differences observed were not statistically significant. In late stationary phase, all strains either reached (BW10, NB6, SAI3, SAI48, OV20) or surpassed (SAR1, SAR38) control levels. Bovine mastitis isolates SAR1 and SAR38 displayed significantly elevated transcription levels under NaCl stress after 24 h. When the results for the different strains were pooled, there was no significant difference in sec expression under NaCl stress at 4 h vs. 10 h. However, at 24 h sec transcription was significantly elevated under NaCl stress compared to 10 h as well as 4 h (Supplementary Table 2).

Previous experiments demonstrated a reduction in sec mRNA levels under 1.2 M NaCl stress (ca. 10% NaCl) in two strains carrying non-specified SEC variants after 24 h incubation (Regassa and Betley, 1993). In contrast, our study found equal or elevated sec mRNA levels under mild NaCl stress after 24 h for all seven investigated strains. The level of NaCl stress seems to play a role in transcriptional regulation in the observed strains with higher NaCl concentration leading to more pronounced downregulation. Here, we could show that even a minor increase
from 1 to 4.5% NaCl did influence sec expression. Therefore, reducing salt in foods could lead to upregulation of sec expression and therefore higher SEC concentration in food. The study by Regassa and Betley, 1993, further demonstrated that regulation of SEC occurred at the level of mRNA independently of an intact agr allele.

So far, it is not known whether all SEs harbored by a strain react congruently to an external stressor. We included two strains in this study that have previously been tested for transcription of another SE under NaCl stress. Strains BW10 and SAI48 have been investigated in terms of their sed transcription under 4.5% NaCl stress. BW10 showed a significant reduction in sed mRNA transcription after 24 h, while SAI48 was not affected by NaCl stress (Sihto et al., 2015). In contrast, in the present study sec transcription in both strains was significantly reduced in early growth phases but was not affected in late stationary phase. Strains USA300 and HG003 have also been tested for seb promoter activity under 4.5% NaCl stress. Promoter activity was significantly downregulated over all time points in both strains (Sihto et al., 2017). In contrast, we observed a downregulation in early growth phases and an upregulation in late stationary phase for sec. Comparing the different expression patterns in SEs suggests that each SE can respond differently and that the same stressor can trigger opposing responses in strains that express multiple toxins.

**SEC Protein Reduction Is Strain-Dependent Under NaCl Stress**

In addition to sec mRNA transcript levels, SEC protein concentrations were also measured by ELISA in exponential (4 h), early stationary (10 h), and late stationary phase (24 h). Strains BW10, NB6, SAI48 (all SEC2, promoter v1), SAR1 (SECbovine, promoter v2), and OV20 (SECovine, promoter v4) produced similar or less SEC under NaCl stress compared to control conditions in some or all growth phases (Figure 2). Reductions ranged from 0 to 1.1 log. For low toxin-producing strains, this observation aligns with a study on the effect of NaCl and pH on SEC protein production where it was not possible to detect SEC in broths with 12% NaCl (Genigeorgis et al., 1971). High toxin producers SAI48 and BW10 were affected by NaCl stress in all growth phases, but still expressed the highest amount of SEC (Table 2). SAI3 and SAR38 were not affected by salt stress, even though SAR38 had reduced and increased sec mRNA levels in early exponential and late stationary phase, respectively, under NaCl stress compared to control conditions. With the exception...
of SAR1 and SAR38, all other strains displayed similar patterns in mRNA and protein expression. These two bovine strains showed an increase in sec mRNA but not in protein concentration. Presumably, their SE regulation is fine-tuned differently from the other investigated strains. A previous study demonstrated that osmoregulation of SEC under 1.2 M NaCl stress (ca. 7% NaCl) occurred at the level of mRNA (Regassa and Betley, 1993). Our data suggest that SEC regulation under NaCl stress takes place at the mRNA level without additional post-transcriptional regulation under the tested conditions. Even though sec transcription was overall upregulated in late stationary phase, a downregulation in early growth phases seems sufficient to decrease final toxin concentration in our strains.

A previous study on SEC expression under lactic acid stress showed reductions in SEC protein expressions in a similar range (Etter et al., 2021). Exceptions were observed for strains SAI3 (SEC1, promoter v3) and SAI48 (SEC2, promoter v1). SAI3 was only marginally affected by NaCl stress with an overall reduction of −21%, but lactic acid stress had led to a pronounced depletion of −109%. SAI48 on the other hand was significantly affected by NaCl stress with −273% SEC expression reduction, but only showed −29% reduction under lactic acid stress (Table 2). Likely, different stress response pathways trigger alternate regulatory elements depending on the genetic background of the strain.

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

Staphylococcus aureus is commonly present in many foods. Whether presence and growth of this organism is harmful to the consumer heavily depends on its ability to form SEs. It has been shown that food matrix components can influence toxin expression (Alibayov et al., 2015; Sihto et al., 2015; Susilo et al., 2017). We demonstrated here that an increase in NaCl can decrease sec transcription mainly at the beginning of growth for many strains. Although, some strains showed elevated transcription levels in late stationary phase. Intriguingly, all strains (BW10, NB6, SAI48) carrying gene variant SEC1/promoter v1 downregulated sec transcription in exponential or early stationary phase. Strains harboring SECbovine/promoter v2 (SAR1, SAR38) did upregulate sec transcription after 24h. No influence of strain origin or clonal complex was apparent. Due to the limited number of strains used trends related to strain origin or genetic
background might not be detectable. SEC protein expression was overall lowered under NaCl stress conditions for all strains. Strains SAI3 and SAI48 showed a different behavior when exposed to NaCl stress than to lactic acid stress. These applied stressors likely trigger different stress response pathways. Different behaviors in sec and sed expression under NaCl stress might arise from different encoding regions of both SEs. sec is encoded on a Staphylococcal pathogenicity island (SaPI), while sed is usually encoded on a plasmid.

Our results show that findings on transcriptional regulation must not be extrapolated from one SE to another. The different behaviors highlight the importance of investigating a diverse strain set when it comes to virulence factor regulation in S. aureus. While transcriptional data does not provide reliable information on the final toxin concentration in foods, it is important to uncover transcriptional regulatory processes. Only by combining transcriptional data and protein expression can a clear picture of stress response and regulatory mechanisms as well as toxic potential be drawn. The investigation of transcriptional and protein expression enabled us to confirm that sec regulation under NaCl stress occurs at mRNA level without relevant post-transcriptional modifications in our strain set. Further studies are needed to clarify, which regulatory elements are triggered by the respective stressors. Sequencing of regulatory elements and transcriptomic studies could shed light on the complex interplay of regulators. Our study shows that NaCl could contribute as a hurdle to lower SE concentration in foods containing NaCl. In regard to food, it can be concluded that an increase to 4.5% NaCl leads to lower SEC concentrations for most S. aureus strains. Our study highlights the importance of matrix–pathogen interactions in foods and contributes toward a more conscious formulation of food products.
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