Demonstration of the role of cell wall homeostasis in Staphylococcus aureus growth and the action of bactericidal antibiotics
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Bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan is essential, maintaining both cellular integrity and morphology, in the face of internal turgor pressure. Peptidoglycan synthesis is important, as it is targeted by cell wall antibiotics, including methicillin and vancomycin. Here, we have used the major human pathogen Staphylococcus aureus to elucidate both the cell wall dynamic processes essential for growth (life) and the bactericidal effects of cell wall antibiotics (death) based on the principle of coordinated peptidoglycan synthesis and hydrolysis. The death of \textit{S. aureus} due to depletion of the essential, two-component and positive regulatory system for peptidoglycan hydrolysis activity (WalKR) is prevented by addition of otherwise bactericidal cell wall antibiotics, resulting in stasis. In contrast, cell wall antibiotics kill via the activity of peptidoglycan hydrolases in the absence of concomitant synthesis. Both methicillin and vancomycin treatment lead to the appearance of perforating holes throughout the cell wall due to peptidoglycan hydrolases. Methicillin alone also results in plasmolysis and misshaped septa with the involvement of the major peptidoglycan hydrolase Atl, a process that is inhibited by vancomycin. The bactericidal effect of vancomycin involves the peptidoglycan hydrolase SagB. In the presence of cell wall antibiotics, the inhibition of peptidoglycan hydrolysis activity using the inhibitor complestatin results in reduced killing, while, conversely, the deregulation of hydrolase activity via loss of wall teichoic acids increases the death rate. For \textit{S. aureus}, the independent regulation of cell wall synthesis and hydrolysis can lead to cell growth, death, or stasis, with implications for the development of new control regimes for this important pathogen.

As a single macromolecule that surrounds the cell, PG can increase in surface area to permit growth and division while maintaining cellular integrity. It has been proposed that areal PG growth occurs as a consequence of both synthesis and hydrolysis (4, 13, 14), with new material being covalently bound to the existing macrostructure and hydrolysis of existing bonds allowing expansion. This leads to a simple set of hypotheses for growth but also makes predictions as to the effects of inhibition of PG homeostasis activities, including cell wall antibiotics (Fig. L4). The lack of either PG synthesis or hydrolysis will result in cell death because of the continued activity of the other, but the loss of both will lead to stasis.

\textbf{Staphylococcus aureus} is a major human antimicrobial-resistant pathogen. As a spheroid cell with a simple growth and division

**Significance**

The bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan is essential for maintenance of viability and yet is dynamic, permitting growth and division. Peptidoglycan synthesis is inhibited by important antibiotics, including \(\beta\)-lactams and vancomycin. Using the human pathogen \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, we have examined peptidoglycan homeostatic mechanisms and how their interruption leads to cell death. This has revealed two antibiotic-induced killing mechanisms mediated by specific peptidoglycan hydrolases, both involving the appearance of holes that span the entire thickness of the cell wall. One of the mechanisms is associated with growth and the other with cell division. This study supports a simple model for how cells grow via a combination of peptidoglycan synthesis and hydrolysis and how antibiotic intervention leads to cell death.
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cycle, it forms an excellent subject to demonstrate the basic principles underlying growth, division, and the action of antibiotics. Many organisms have multiple PBPs, but *S. aureus* has only four, of which PBP1 and PBP2 are essential for growth and division (15–19). *S. aureus* also has many PG hydrolases (PGHs), including SagB, which is involved in cell growth (20, 21). The bifunctional Atl is involved in generalized cell lysis and cell separation after septation and contains both amidase and glucosaminidase domains (22, 23). PGHs often show functional redundancy with several enzymes involved in the same process (20, 24). In *S. aureus*, no individual PGH alone has been shown to be required for either growth or division, but multiple PGHs are positively regulated by an essential two-component system, WalKR (25–27), further suggesting that their collective activity is required.

Recently, using atomic force microscopy (AFM), we have revealed that the molecular architecture of the PG is that of an expanded hydrogel whose mature external surface is a porous open network but with an interior surface characterized by a much smoother and denser mesh of PG material (28). This provides an architectural framework from which to begin to elucidate the roles of PG synthesis and hydrolysis. Here, we have taken an integrated approach to determine the role of PG homeostasis in *S. aureus* growth, division, and the bactericidal action of cell wall antibiotics.

**Results**

**Role of PGHs in Growth and the Action of Antibiotics.** *S. aureus* has many putative PGHs, mostly of unknown function (20, 24, 29, 30), many of which are positively regulated by an essential two-component system, WalKR (25–27). The loss of WalKR results in growth inhibition and cell morphology defects and...
absence of lysis in the presence of penicillin (26, 31). We first verified the essentiality of WalKR using a conditional S. aureus strain (P_{spaC-walKR}) (32), where depletion of WalKR via removal of the inducer isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) led to >95% cell death within 3 h (Fig. 1B and SI Appendix, Fig. S1 A and B). Using the P_{spaC-walKR} strain and growth in the presence, or absence, of IPTG for 3 h, the role of WalKR was determined in cell wall dynamics (Fig. 1). We observed that death is concomitant with continued PG synthesis as measured by continued transglycosylase (14C-GlcNAc incorporation; Fig. 1C) and transpeptidase (Atto488 dipeptide incorporation; Fig. 1D) activities. Electron microscopy (EM) and AFM revealed this is associated with a thickening of the cell wall and aberrant septum formation (Fig. 1 E–H and SI Appendix, Fig. S2). We have recently shown that S. aureus PG has surface pores that do not penetrate the full thickness of the PG (28). The depletion of WalKR led to the maintenance of the pores, but they spanned a smaller fraction of the cell wall thickness (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 H–M). These data support the model whereby lack of processing by PGH leads to aberrant cell walls that do not permit growth and division, potentially contributing to cell death (Fig. 1A). We next tested whether prevention of PG synthesis in the absence of PGH activity would lead to stasis and rescue bacterial viability, as suggested by the model (Fig. 1A). Cell wall antibiotics, such as methicillin (a β-lactam) and vancomycin (a glycopeptide), are well-established inhibitors of PG synthesis. Vancomycin addition for 3 h led to stasis of the walKR-depleted cells, with significant reduction in cell death (Fig. 1B; P = 6.9 × 10⁻³), coincident with the inhibition of PG synthesis and lack of cell wall thickening (Fig. 1C–H and SI Appendix, Fig. S2), as predicted (Fig. 1A). A significant reduction in cell death in walKR-depleted cells also occurred with the addition of methicillin (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D; P = 6.3 × 10⁻³), although this is only apparent at 3 h after antibiotic addition. That is, when both PG synthesis and hydrolysis are inhibited, bacterial cell death is avoided (stasis). Previously, it has been shown that the constitutive expression of several PGH can complement the growth defect due to loss of WalKR, including ScdD (SsaA) (26). The scdD gene was chosen as it is regulated by WalKR (26) and sagB, as this is a major PGH (20) that has not been shown to be WalKR transcriptionally controlled. Notably, although constitutive expression of either PGH could complement the growth defect due to loss of WalKR, neither reversed the cell wall antibiotic stasis phenomenon, suggesting that multiple enzymes act collectively in antibiotic-associated cell death (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 E–H).

**Effect of Cell Wall Antibiotics on Cellular Morphology.** The simple model for growth (Fig. 1A) predicts that bactericidal antibiotics kill because of the inhibition of PG synthesis only when growth-associated PGH activity is present. To explore the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved, we characterized the impact of cell wall synthesis inhibiting antibiotics on morphology. Treatment with vancomycin, methicillin, or a combination of both antibiotics led to 93 ± 5, 97 ± 2, and 92 ± 4% death after 6 h, respectively (Fig. 2A). Both antibiotics led to a significant increase in cell volume after 60 min treatment (Fig. 2B; P = 5.835 × 10⁻⁵ [methicillin] and P = 3.262 × 10⁻³ [vancomycin]), and this was not due to PG synthesis as, within 15 min, the incorporation of D-amino acids into PG was reduced to basal levels for both treatments (Fig. 2C). A similar inhibition of N-acetyl glucosamine incorporation into insoluble material was found for vancomycin, while residual incorporation was found for methicillin (Fig. 2D). The addition of methicillin led to swollen “bulbous” septa with apparent plasmolysis, where the membrane retracted away from the cell wall (Fig. 2E and F and SI Appendix, Fig. S3A). These bulbous septa have been observed previously and have been associated with the killing mechanism of β-lactams (8). Conversely, vancomycin or a combination of both antibiotics did not lead to major morphological

**Fig. 2.** The bactericidal action of cell wall antibiotics. Effect of 10 × MIC methicillin and/or vancomycin on S. aureus SH1000. (A) Survival of bacterial cells after addition of antibiotic(s). (B) Cell volume as measured by structured illumination microscopy (SIM) after N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS-ester AlexaFluor 405 labeling. After t test with Welch’s correction: P (control – methicillin 60 min, ****) = 5.835 × 10⁻⁵, P (control – vancomycin 60 min, ****) = 3.262 × 10⁻³. For each sample, n > 100. (C and D) PG synthesis and transpeptidase activity measured by Atto488 dipeptide and 14C-GlcNAc incorporation. (E) TEM. (scale bars, 300 nm). (F) Quantification of bacterial phenotypes (SI Appendix, Fig. S3; dark green: no septum, mid-green: incomplete septum, light green: complete septum, and orange: plasmolysis). For samples shown, the number of individual cells quantified was n > 300. (G) Effect of 5 × MIC complestatin on S. aureus SH1000 survival rate after 6 h, treated with methicillin or vancomycin. CFU relative to T = 0. After t test with Welch’s correction: P (methicillin – methicillin + complestatin, *) = 3.45 × 10⁻², P (vancomycin – vancomycin + complestatin, *) = 4.01 × 10⁻². For sample size and data reproducibility, see Materials and Methods.
alterations in the septa (Fig. 2 E and F). Pulse-chase PG labeling and superresolution fluorescence microscopy showed that the addition of either antibiotic prevents subsequent cell division (SI Appendix, Fig. S3B). Cryoelectron microscopy (cryo-EM) tomography independently confirmed the bulbous septa and plasmolysis effects of methicillin, with membrane invagination and blebs observed (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 and Movies S1–S5). Bulbous septa were still present when growth inhibitory concentrations of cerulenin (33) or moenomycin (34) were used in the presence of mepheticin, suggesting that this phenotype is not due to continued lipid production or glycan synthesis via PBP2, respectively (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A–F). The role of teichoic acids was determined by analysis of tarO and ltaS mutants that are missing wall teichoic or lipoteichoic acids, respectively (35, 36). The loss of either of these polymers did not reduce the plasmolysis phenotype after mepheticin treatment (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 G–L). In both mutants the plasmolysis was mepheticin specific, as it was not seen in the presence of vancomycin or when cells were treated with both antibiotics (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 G–L). We suggest that the plasmolysis occurs because of lesions in the cell wall that allow an explosive release of turgor, the release of cell contents, and the pulling away of the membrane from the cell wall. In the presence of mepheticin, PGH activity leads to a presumptive cell scission without the synthesis of a complete septum. This in turn leads to loss of turgor around the cell emanating from this point of weakness. The observed effects were not mepheticin specific, as treatment with oxacillin also resulted in cell death, volume increase, and bulbous septa, nor strain specific, as NewHG (37) treated with vancomycin or mepheticin and COL (38) with vancomycin gave the same responses as SH1000 (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Thus, β-lactams and vancomycin have different effects on cell morphology, suggesting that they might elicit alternative bactericidal mechanisms.

**Effect of Cell Wall Antibiotics on PG Architecture.** The model (Fig. 1A) predicts that it is continued PGH activity that leads to cell death in the presence of antibiotics, but what changes in the cell wall (e.g., general thinning, catastrophic failure etc.) is unclear. We utilized our recently developed AFM approach (28) to explore this further (Fig. 3 A and B). During the first 60 min after the addition of antibiotics, there was only a small reduction in thickness of the cell walls suggesting that overall lysis has not occurred (control = 21.19 nm, mepheticin = 14.71 nm, and vancomycin = 16.04 nm) (Fig. 3B; P = 5.5 × 10^-6 [mepheticin] and P = 3.4 × 10^-4 [vancomycin]). However, this was accompanied by dynamic changes to PG architecture at its inner surface (Fig. 3A). In contrast to healthy cells, which have a continuous fine mesh architecture characterized by a pore size of less than 10 nm (28), we observed the appearance of a significant number of holes in the fine mesh of the PG that penetrated the entire cell wall thickness (Fig. 3 A and C–E and SI Appendix, Fig. S7; P = 4.3 × 10^-3 [mepheticin] and P = 1.5 × 10^-3 [vancomycin]), which are inconsistent with maintenance of turgor (28). The mesh architecture surrounding the holes appeared identical to that in untreated cells (see black and white arrows in Fig. 3A), implying that the holes are nucleated via PGH activity, within the existing PG mesh architecture. After 120 min, cells are dying likely through the combination of perforating holes and reduced cell wall thickness (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 G and H). The effect of β-lactams was corroborated by treating the cells with oxacillin (SI Appendix, Fig. S7I). It appears that PGH activity without synthesis leads to the formation of a large number of wall-spanning holes which compromise cell viability.

To test if the action of cell wall antibiotics leads to changes in PG architecture in other species, we studied the rod-shaped, gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis. B. subtilis has multiple PGHs (39) and a PG architecture similar to that in S. aureus, exhibiting a tight mesh at the inside of the wall with the outside having large deep pores (28). Here, mepheticin led to rapid death but without an increase in cell volume (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). Within 30 min, significant changes to B. subtilis PG architecture had occurred, with a large number of wall-spanning holes appearing at the inside surface of the wall as in S. aureus (SI Appendix, Fig. S8), establishing a likely common overarching mechanism for the action of cell wall antibiotics.

To further explore the model outlined in Fig. 1A, we looked for an alternative approach for interfering with PGH activity. Recently, a novel antibiotic, complestatin, has been described that binds to the cell wall and inhibits PGHs (40). While the addition of complestatin alone had some bactericidal activity, its coadministration with mepheticin or vancomycin led to a significant reduction in bacterial killing by those antibiotics (Fig. 2G and SI Appendix, Fig. S9A). Thus, the loss of the PG synthesis leading to cell death, by the action of cell wall antibiotics, can be commuted to stasis via the inhibition of PGH, in agreement with the model (Fig. 1A).

**Differential Antibiotic Action Reveals Two Modes of Bactericidal Activity.** Mepheticin and vancomycin both kill S. aureus, associated with a cell volume increase and the appearance of wall-spanning holes in the PG. However, mepheticin alone leads to plasmolysis and a reduction in cells with complete or incomplete septa. Vancomycin treatment stops cell cycle progression as soon as it is added. Simultaneous addition of vancomycin and mepheticin (or oxacillin) led to an outcome identical to vancomycin alone (Fig. 2 A and F and SI Appendix, Figs. S5 and S6). Also, vancomycin inhibits Triton X-100-induced cell lysis in the presence or absence of mepheticin (SI Appendix, Fig. S9B). This suggested an underlying, common bactericidal mechanism between the antibiotics overlayed by another mepheticin-dependent process that is inhibited by vancomycin. This second killing mode may require PGHs that are also involved in Triton X-100-induced cell lysis. Vancomycin directly inhibits the activity of Atl, the major PGH, which is also involved in lysis and septal scission (23, 41, 42). The loss of Atl led to a reduction in the rate of killing by mepheticin but not vancomycin, with the mepheticin-associated appearance of plasmolysis, cell swelling, and the loss of cells with complete and incomplete septa (Fig. 4 A and B and SI Appendix, Fig. S9 C–E). After 60 min of mepheticin treatment, the cell wall of atl was thicker than SH1000 (SI Appendix, Fig. S7J). Also, in the atl mutant, wall-spanning holes appeared with greatly reduced area, although at the same frequency in the presence of mepheticin compared to its parent (Fig. 4 C–E).

Vancomycin treatment leads to wall-spanning holes appearing within the fine mesh at the inside of the sacculus, where those PGHs with membrane-spanning tethers are situated. SagB is a major glucosaminidase, membrane anchored, with a pleiotropic role in physiology and growth (20, 21). A sagB mutation resulted in an increase of survival after vancomycin but not mepheticin treatment compared to SH1000 (Fig. 4A and SI Appendix, Fig. S9F), although there was a reduction in cell swelling in the presence of mepheticin when compared to the wild type (Fig. 4B). This rules out simple cell expansion and bursting as the bactericidal mechanism. After 60 min treatment with either mepheticin or vancomycin, the isolated cell wall of sagB was thicker than the wild type (SI Appendix, Fig. S7J; P = 0.0016 and P = 0.0003, respectively). Treated with mepheticin, the sagB mutant developed the same number and area of wall-spanning holes as SH1000, while vancomycin resulted in both fewer and smaller holes (Fig. 4 C–E; P = 1.24 × 10^-3). These results demonstrate two modes of cell death associated with growth and division that, respectively, involve the major PGHs, SagB, and Atl.
Effect of PGH Deregulation on the Bactericidal Action of Antibiotics. As the diminution of PGH activity led to a reduced bactericidal rate for cell wall antibiotics (Figs. 2G and 4), their deregulation was explored as a mode of enhancing killing. It has been previously established that PGH activity is negatively regulated by wall teichoic acids (WTAs), which are covalently bound to the PG. The loss of WTAs in a tarO mutant (43) increases cell lysis because of the deregulation of endogenous PGH activity (44, 45). Here, we found that a tarO mutant dies more rapidly on treatment with either methicillin or vancomycin (SI Appendix, Fig. S10A), consistent with deregulated PGH activity. In fact, no viable tarO cells were detectable after 12 and 24 h exposure (>10^7-fold colony-forming unit [CFU] reduction, methicillin, or vancomycin, respectively), thereby removing persisters that can clinically lead to the breakdown of antibiotic treatment regimes (46). WTA levels can be reduced by the addition of synthesis inhibitors such as tarocin A1, which also resensitizes methicillin-resistant S. aureus strains to β-lactams (47–49). To test if tarocin can increase the killing activity of methicillin in vivo, independent of effects on antibiotic resistance, these compounds were coadministered using the established murine sepsis model of infection with S. aureus NewHG (a methicillin-sensitive strain) (SI Appendix, Fig. S10 B–D). Indeed, tarocin had no effect on disease in this model, but its addition increased methicillin efficacy, with a significant reduction in the bacterial burden in the liver (SI Appendix, Fig. S10D). Thus, tarocin, as an adjunct therapy, increases methicillin effectiveness, potentially reducing the length of treatment for both antibiotic-resistant and sensitive strains.
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Fig. 3. The effect of cell wall antibiotics on PG architecture. (A) AFM images of the internal surface of isolated sacculi after 60 min treatment of cells with antibiotics. From left to right columns, black panels are the control (SH1000), red panels are methicillin-treated SH1000, and blue panels are vancomycin-treated SH1000. (i) In all of the columns, height channel of individual sacculi with the internal surface facing upwards, data scales (DS) = 202, 82, and 24 nm. In control, the zoomed image from the squared white area marked in (i), showing the internal coarse architecture displaying a smooth surface, DS = 13 nm; in methicillin and vancomycin, internal coarse architecture displaying a surface perforated by some holes that span all the way on the cell wall (ii), DS = 41 and 15 nm. In control, the zoomed image from the squared white area marked in (ii), showing the finer internal architecture, which consists of a randomly oriented glycan fibrous mesh (see black arrows) with small pores between the fibers, DS = 12 nm; in methicillin and vancomycin, randomly oriented glycan fibrous mesh (see white arrows) with small pores between the fibers next to some of the perforating holes (marked in blue) (iii), DS = 10 and 16 nm. (B) The mean height of individual sacculi in air measured by AFM after 60 min treatment of antibiotics; error bars show SD; for all samples, n = 28. After t test, P (control – methicillin, ****) = 2.364 × 10^-17, P (control – vancomycin, ****) = 5.323 × 10^-17, and P (methicillin–vancomycin, **) = 4.816 × 10^-5. (C) Binary images using the threshold technique (SI Appendix, Fig. S7) where white = PG, black = background, the black areas inside the white sacculi correspond to holes spanning the cell wall. (i) Insets are the following: AFM topography images in which the binary image analysis was performed; DS = 51, 92, and 104 nm. (D) Holes per surface area of sacculus (micrometer squared), sample size n = 3, 8, and 12 from left to right; black lines represent mean value and SD. After t test with Welch’s correction; p (control – methicillin, **) = 4.3 × 10^-3, p (control – vancomycin, **) = 1.5 × 10^-3, and P (methicillin – vancomycin, not significant [ns]) = 0.65. (E) Cumulative fraction of total area plotting individual perforating holes: vancomycin, n = 899 and methicillin, n = 678. For sample size and data reproducibility, see Materials and Methods.
Discussion

We have investigated bacterial growth and death due to antibiotics within the context of an overall framework of multiple components responsible for PG synthesis and hydrolysis (Fig. L4). Growth requires the synthesis of new PG and its subsequent hydrolysis. Here, we have separated these two processes to establish their roles. WalKR positively regulates multiple hydrolyses (26), which together permit growth during the exponential phase. The (initially counterintuitive) discovery that bactericidal antibiotics prevent death of walKR-depleted cells can be explained, as without WalKR, the cells have reduced PG but continued PG synthesis, resulting in death due to thickened and aberrant cell walls coupled with an inability to divide. The concomitant inhibition of PG synthesis through the action of antibiotics results in stasis (Fig. L4). This explanation for cell growth establishes a clear hypothesis for the bactericidal activity of cell wall antibiotics, in which the loss of PG synthesis in the presence of PGHs would lead to cells continuing growth-associated processing of PG until it can no longer support turgor (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). The maturation of synthesized material via PGHs occurs without a cell volume increase per se, as the sagB mutant did not increase greatly in volume but died, with holes appearing at the inside surface of the cell wall.

Multiple PGHs with collective, essential roles in bacterial growth and the bactericidal activity of antibiotics underline the difficulties in identifying molecular mechanisms. This is compounded by the different effects of methicillin and vancomycin (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). In the presence of methicillin, those PGHs involved in cell separation, including Atl, can cleave incomplete septa, resulting in catastrophic failure, plasmolysis, and cell death. However, vancomycin inhibits some PGH activity, including Atl, preventing early septal scission and the plasmolysis observed with methicillin (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). Both antibiotics kill S. aureus with the appearance of cell wall–perforating holes, which involves the membrane-anchored PGH, SagB. The model of cell wall antibiotic action is further supported by the effect of complestatin, which, while showing some bactericidal activity itself, significantly reduces the bactericidal activity of both methicillin and vancomycin by inhibiting PGH in the absence of PG synthesis. This provides the final permutation of the original model where loss of PG synthesis or hydrolysis alone is lethal, but both is not (Fig. L4). Here, we have demonstrated a simple framework for understanding both bacterial growth and the action of antibiotics in S. aureus, providing avenues for the development of control regimes for important antimicrobial-resistant pathogens.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial Growth Conditions. Strains used in this study are listed in SI Appendix, Table S1. Plasmids and oligonucleotides are listed in SI Appendix, Tables S2 and S3, respectively. The construction of strains used in this study are detailed in SI Appendix. All S. aureus strains were grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB), Escherichia coli strains in lysogeny broth, and B. subtilis in nutrient broth unless otherwise indicated, with appropriate antibiotics at 37 °C with agitation. For solid media 1.5% weight/volume agar was added. Plasmids were cloned using E. coli NEB-SS following previously described methods (50) and directly electroporated into electroporative S. aureus RN4220. The transformation and phage transduction of S. aureus were carried out as described previously (51, 52). Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: vancomycin (40 μg/mL), methicillin (40 μg/mL), oxacillin (10 μg/mL), cefti-lin (100 μg/mL), moenomycin (2 μg/mL), complestatin (100 μg/mL), erythromycin (5 μg/mL) for SH1000; 10 μg/mL for LAC+), tetracycline (5 μg/mL), kanamycin (50 μg/mL) for SH1000; 90 μg/mL for LAC+), spectinomycin (100 μg/mL), and ampicillin (100 μg/mL). Completast was produced as previously described (40). Strains with genes under the control of the P<sub>spa</sub> promoter were grown in the presence of IPTG at 10 μM or 1 mM as specified. azido-D-alanine (ADA) and azido-D-alanyl-D-alanine;
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dipeptide (ADA-DA) were produced as previously described and used at 500 μM or 1 mM concentrations, respectively (53).

Antibiotic Activity Assays. *S. aureus* strains, apart from those containing P WALK, were grown overnight in TSB. The overnight cultures were used to inoculate fresh TSB media to an optical density at 600nm (OD600) of ~0.05 and grown to an OD600 of 0.2 to 0.3. At this point, antibiotics were added, and the change of bacterial count in the cultures was monitored. The CFU measures were normalized to the initial CFUs at the time of the antibiotic intervention, time 0.

Survival (%) = \frac{\text{CFU}_t}{\text{CFU}_0} × 100%

There were at least three biological replicates of each assay.

Trigon X-100-Induced Lysis. *S. aureus* strains were grown to midlog phase (OD600 ~0.4), washed by centrifugation, and resuspended in 0.1% Triton X-100 volume/volume Trigon X-100 in phosphate-buffered saline to a final OD600 of ~0.8, with or without addition of antibiotic. Cell lysis was monitored by OD600 measurements.

Radioactive 14C-GlcNAc Incorporation. *S. aureus* strains were grown, and 1 mL of cell culture was added to 2.5 μL of 14C-GlcNAc for a final concentration of 5 μM 14C-GlcNAc. The sample was incubated shaking at 37°C for 5 min, collected, and prepared for analysis of 14C-GlcNAc incorporation via Liquid Scintillation as previously described (55).

ADA or ADA-DA Labeling of PG. *S. aureus* cells were incubated with ADA or dipeptide [produced as previously described (53)] on a rotary shaker at 37°C for 5 min. Cells were then fixed and labeled by Click chemistry. Dipeptide containing an azide functional group required chemical attachment of a fluorophore via the Click reaction (copper (I)-catalyzed alkyne-azide cycloaddition). This was carried out using the Click-IT Cell Reaction Buffer Kit (Thermo Fisher) as per the manufacturer's protocol. Alkyne dyes were added at 5 μg/mL.

Microscopy Approaches. The study used a combination of fluorescence, atomic force, transmission electron, and cryo-EM approaches throughout. Methods and analyses and detailed in SI Appendix.

Ethics Statement. Animal work was completed in accordance with UK law in The Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 under Project License P386D06D89 for murine experiments with approval of the Sheffield Ethical Review Committee.

Murine Sepsis Infection. In all experiments, 6 to 8-wk-old female BALB/c mice (Charles River Laboratories) were used. Mice were housed in designated animal facilities following standard husbandry protocols at the University of Sheffield. Bacteria were prepared for murine infection (56), in which 100 μL of *S. aureus* (1 × 107 CFU/mL) was injected intravenously into the tail vein of each mouse, with 10 mice in each experimental group. Mice were monitored daily (health and weight) and euthanized at the experimental end point. Organ CFU at the experimental endpoint was calculated (57) for livers and kidneys. For the analysis of methicillin and tetracycline treated mice (58), *S. aureus* (107 CFU/mL) was injected intravenously into the tail vein of each mouse, with 10 mice in each experimental group. Mice were monitored daily (health and weight) and euthanized at the experimental end point. Organ CFU at the experimental endpoint was calculated (57) for livers and kidneys. For the analysis of streptomycin and clindamycin treated mice (59), *S. aureus* (107 CFU/mL) was injected intravenously into the tail vein of each mouse, with 10 mice in each experimental group. Mice were monitored daily (health and weight) and euthanized at the experimental end point. Organ CFU at the experimental endpoint was calculated (57) for livers and kidneys.

Data Availability. All study data are included in the article and/or supporting information. The data that support the findings of this study are available in the Online Research Data Fidgath from the University of Sheffield with the identifier DOI: 10.15131/shef.data.c.5656564.
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