Spin-Coated Ag NPs SERS Substrate: Role of Electromagnetic and Chemical Enhancement in Trace Detection of Methylene Blue and Congo Red
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Abstract
This work demonstrates a simple low-cost and reproducible spin-coating method followed by thermal reduction for the uniform growth of silver nanoparticles (Ag NPs) as surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) substrate. In this work, cationic dye MB and anionic dye CR are selected as two different kinds of SERS probe molecules to investigate the differences between electromagnetic field enhancement (EME) and chemical enhancement (CE) mechanisms. The results indicate that SERS spectra of MB molecule on Ag NPs SERS substrate exhibit the shift in the Raman peak positions and high sensitivity (limit of detection, LOD ~ 10^-10 M) with large G_{SERS} (~ 10^9) value, which can be attributed to the contribution from both EME and CE mechanisms. It is found that Cl^- halide ions present in the MB molecule are forming surface complex (Ag NPs@Cl^-) on the surface of Ag NPs SERS substrate which is confirmed by the XPS investigation, which might be responsible for the CE enhancement in MB molecule. Whereas Congo red being anionic dye showed no shift in Raman peak positions and less sensitivity (LOD ~ 10^-8) with G_{SERS} (~ 10^7) value on Ag NPs SERS substrate, which can be attributed to EME mechanism only. The obtained results suggest that Cl^- halide ions present in the MB molecule play an important role by giving large SERS enhancement for MB molecule on Ag NPs SERS substrate. It is expected that this work will provide insight into role of EME and CE mechanisms for cationic dye MB and anionic dye CR.

Keywords SERS · Trace detection · Spin coating · Ag NPs · Industrial pollutants

Introduction
Observation of intense Raman spectrum of pyridine molecule adsorbed on the roughened Ag electrode substrate by Fleishmann et al. [1] opened up new means of exploring Raman spectroscopy in terms of surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS). Right from the discovery of SERS technique up till now, various groups have made lots of efforts so as to give an insight of SERS technique and to develop SERS substrate with maximum enhancement [2–9]. SERS enhances the Raman signal up to 10^{13}–10^{15} times which makes SERS an ultrahigh sensitive technique for the trace detection of various molecules [4, 7, 8, 10–12]. Electromagnetic field enhancement (EME) and chemical enhancement (CE) are considered to be the main mechanisms responsible for such huge enhancement in the Raman scattering [7, 10, 13]. Among the duo, EME has always been the center of interest because of its major contribution (10^{11}–10^{12}) as compared to CE (10^2–10^3) in the SERS [11, 12]. EME arises due to the localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) occurring in the plasmonic metal nanoparticles (NPs) such as silver (Ag), gold (Au), copper (Cu), etc. LSPR occurs due to confinement of plasmons (collective oscillations of the electron gas against the ion core in the metal) near the surface under light irradiation of the plasmonic metal NPs, which facilitates huge local electric field near the edges or in the vicinity [14]. This generated huge local electric field, then interacts with the molecule adsorbed in the proximity of plasmonic metal NPs and enhances its Raman scattering. Size, shape, inter-particle distance, and incident laser’s wavelength and intensity are crucial parameters deciding the strength of EME. Plasmonic metal NPs–based SERS substrates gained utmost priority due to their high sensitivity and reproducibility of the SERS signal [3]. Many studies show that
LSPR peak coinciding with the wavelength of incident laser produces huge local electric field in the proximity of plasmonic metal NPs [14–16]. Therefore, optimizing the size, shape, and inter-particle distance of appropriate plasmonic metal NPs with suitable coinciding excitation source greatly enhances SERS signal. Sensitivity of the SERS substrate depends on the so called “hot spot” on SERS substrate where plasmonic metal NPs are closely packed (typically 1–10 nm) to each other [3, 17]. Hot spot generates huge local electric field in the proximity of plasmonic metal NPs which enhances the SERS signal and hence detection limit of the probe molecule decreases. On the other hand, another important parameter reproducibility of the SERS signal of the probe molecule depends on uniform distribution of plasmonic metal NPs.

Interaction of probe molecule with the metal NPs surface facilitates transfer and/or exchange/sharing of electron between them which leads to the CE mechanism [13]. EME mechanism is not selective to the probe molecule; however, strength of CE depends upon the type of interaction between the probe molecule and the metal NPs surface. Naturally, the intrinsic structure of the probe molecule and the morphology of metal NPs surface decide the type of interaction and hence the strength of CE. Qualitatively, CE can be investigated by looking at the shift (5–30 cm⁻¹) in the Raman peak positions [18]. Jiang et al. studied the difference between EME and CE mechanism contribution for 4-mercaptobenzoic acid (4-MBA) molecule when adsorbed on two different SERS substrate, namely, semiconductor TiO₂ and Ag NPs SERS substrates [19]. They found evident difference in the spectral behavior, limit of detection (LOD), and Gₜₚ SERS values for 4-MBA molecule adsorbed on TiO₂ and Ag NPs SERS substrates and attributed to the differences between EME and CE mechanisms. Kneipp et al. reported that for the same average plasmonic field enhancement dyes having small structural variations, give the difference in the contributions of the CE to the total SERS enhancement [20]. It is also reported that adsorption of cationic dye (dye which carries net positive charge in the molecule [21]) on Ag-halide (Cl⁻, Br⁻, and I⁻) surface complex, facilitates charge transfer leading to CE mechanism [22–24]. Stefancu et al. have shown that AgNPs@Cl⁻ surface complex favors the adsorption of cationic dyes to the Ag NPs with the strongest affinity, and AgNPs@Mg²⁺ surface complex favors the adsorption of anionic dye (dye which carries net negative charge in the molecule [21]) to the Ag NPs with the strongest affinity [24]. They also showed that anionic and cationic dyes do not interact strongly with AgNPs@Cl⁻ and AgNPs@Mg²⁺ surface complex, respectively. Hence, both the mechanisms play a vital role to boost total SERS enhancement. Therefore, in order to get highly sensitive and reproducible SERS substrate plasmonic metal, NPs must be close to each other and uniformly distributed all over the large area support, and probe molecule must have greater affinity to the metal NPs surface.

Textile industrial wastages are considered to be one of the major causes of water pollution. Textile industry uses various types of dyes for coloring the fabrics, and many of them are toxic, mutagenic, and carcinogenic in nature [25]. Therefore, it makes bad impact not only on human beings but also on the ecosystem of the earth. Textile colorant released in the water harms the aquatic life, making the adverse effect on the food chain. Therefore, it is important to have a technique like SERS which can detect toxic dyes in trace amount.

Among the plasmonic metal NPs, Ag NPs gained tremendous popularity as SERS substrate due to its narrow plasmonic band width in the visible region. The resonance quality of the plasmonic metal NPs depends on the width of plasmon resonance which can be given by the term ‘ϒ(ε_b +3)’, where ϒ is the electronic scattering rate and ε_b is the contribution of inter-band transitions to the dielectric function [10]. The lower the plasmonic width, the higher is the quality of the resonance and vice versa. The values of ϒ and ε_b for Ag NPs in the visible region are comparatively lower than Au and Cu [10], and hence Ag NPs are the first choice to be used as a highly efficient SERS substrate. Many techniques have been adopted to grow large scale, highly sensitive, and reproducible Ag NPs–based SERS substrates such as low-energy ion beam sputtering, pulsed laser deposition, electron-beam lithography, magnetron sputtering, electrodeposition, etc. [26–32], but these methods are sophisticated and expensive. In this work, we have grown Ag NPs by simple and inexpensive spin-coating method. The advantage of spin-coating method is that one can easily tune the size, shape, and coverage (separation) of the grown metal NPs just by varying growth parameters (concentration of the precursors, rpm, ramp, drop volume, etc.) of spin-coating method [33–36].

In the present work, Ag NPs of different size and coverage were grown on glass substrate (~ 6 cm²) by varying rpm of spin-coating method to be used as a SERS substrate. To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on Ag NPs grown by spin coating followed by thermal reduction for the SERS application. Furthermore, the present work sheds light on the contribution of CE which plays a crucial role in deciding overall SERS enhancement and low detection limit (LOD) of the probe molecules. We have chosen two industrial pollutants, namely, methylene blue (MB) and Congo red (CR) which are cationic [37] and anionic [38] in nature in order to investigate their SERS activity on Ag NPs grown by spin coating followed by thermal reduction. Theoretical modeling in order to calculate the spatial local electric field in the proximity of Ag NPs grown at different rpm by spin coating followed by thermal reduction was performed by the commercial COMSOL multiphysics software. Theoretically,
and experimentally obtained enhancement factors distinguish the contribution of EME and CE mechanism in case of MB and CR.

Experimental

Experimental details to grow Ag NPs by spin coating followed by thermal reduction are discussed in our previous work [33, 39] and shown systematically in Fig. 1. In a typical experiment to grow Ag NPs, 50 μl of 0.01 M AgNO₃ ethanolic solution was dropped on glass substrate (~6 cm²) and then spun for 30 s at different rpm (1000, 3000, and 5000) achieved with 300 rpm/s ramp in order to get uniform coverage of AgNO₃ on the glass substrate. At the end, AgNO₃-coated glass substrates were kept in the tube furnace for the thermal reduction at 350 °C achieved with 3 °C/min heating rate for 1 h in H₂ (3% H₂ in Ar) environment to convert AgNO₃ into Ag NPs. Ag NPs grown at 1000, 3000, and 5000 rpm by spin coating followed by thermal reduction named as AgT1k, AgT3k, and AgT5k, respectively. Visible changes can be seen after and before the deposition of Ag NPs on glass substrate. Bare glass substrate turns metallic gray in color upon Ag deposition confirming successful growth of Ag NPs (see Supplementary information Fig. S1).

In contrast to our earlier work [33], we have used piranha solution to clean the glass substrates and used 350 °C as a thermal reduction temperature in order to grow Ag NPs suitable for SERS application. Glass substrates were immersed in mixed solution of H₂SO₄ (24 ml) and H₂O₂ (6 ml) in a 4:1 ratio for 3 h. It is well known that substrate cleaned in piranha solution becomes hydrophilic due to the formation of hydroxyl groups onto the substrate [40, 41]. For lower rpm (<1000), we observed that large-sized Ag NPs merged with each other, whereas for higher rpm (>5000), sparsely distributed tiny Ag NPs were observed. For SERS application, neither merged large nor tiny NPs with large separation are considered to be useful, hence, we studied the effect of rpm between 1000 and 5000 rpm.

JASCO UV–Vis-NIR spectrophotometer model V-670 was used to investigate the optical properties of the grown Ag NPs. Industrial pollutant MB was used as a probe molecule to optimize the spin-coating parameters. The inVia Renishaw micro-Raman spectrophotometer with an excitation source of 532 nm having a power 0.1% of 60 mW, spot size of 15 μm and 50× long working distance objective was used for Raman measurements. For SERS measurements, 10 μl aqueous solution of probe molecule (volume of the probe molecule solution for all concentrations of MB or CR was kept constant) was drop casted on Ag NPs grown on glass substrate with the help of micro-pipette and then dried in air. Surface topology and roughness of Ag NPs grown at various rpm (1000, 3000, and 5000 rpm) by spin-coating method were investigated using commercially available Multimode 8.0, Bruker, atomic force microscope (AFM) with scan assist mode. Surface morphology of optimized Ag NPs SERS substrate was studied using Jeol JSM-6360A scanning electron microscope (SEM) instrument having operating voltage 20 kV. PHI VersaProbe III having Al Kα X-rays was used for X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) investigation. The distributions of spatial electric field in the proximity of Ag NPs were investigated with the help of RF module of commercial COMSOL multiphysics software.

Results and Discussion

The plasmonic metal NPs (Au, Ag, Cu, etc.) show LSPR which can be easily detected by UV–visible absorption spectroscopy. Variations in the peak position and intensity of the LSPR indicate the changes in the size, shape, and coverage of the plasmonic metal NPs. Figure 2 shows UV–vis absorption spectra of AgT1k, AgT3k, and AgT5k. The absorption spectra of Ag NPs show blue shift in LSPR peak positions (441 to 428 nm) and decrease in the peak intensity with increase in rpm (1000 to 5000), suggesting decrease in the size and the coverage of Ag NPs. Obtained LSPR peak for Ag NPs grown at various rpm indicates narrow size distribution, suggesting major contributions of small-sized spherical Ag NPs.

Figure 3 shows SEM images of AgT1k, AgT3k, and AgT5k SERS substrates. It is evident from the SEM images that in all three SERS substrates, Ag NPs are uniformly grown all over the glass surface. Average size of Ag NPs determined by the histogram for AgT1k, AgT3k, and AgT5k SERS substrates were found to be 100, 65, and 58 nm, corroborating the UV–vis absorption
results. For all three SERS substrates, the grown Ag NPs are spherical in shape with narrow size distribution. Average size as well as size dispersion are found to be reduced with increase in the rpm.

The growth process of Ag NPs can be explained as follows; after dropping the solution (solute (AgNO₃) + solvent (ethanol)) onto the substrate, acceleration (ramp) is given to achieve desired rpm. At certain point of time, formation
of uniform film of the solution takes place on the substrate due to the balance setup between shear and centrifugal forces. Slowly, uniform film thickness starts decreasing due to dominance of evaporation. Evaporation leads to increase in the solute concentration which in turn supersaturates the solvent triggering nuclei formation. The nuclei then further start growing in the form of crystal leading to decrease in the concentration of the solution preventing further nuclei formation [35, 36, 42]. Uniformly coated AgNO₃ nanocrystals on the substrate are placed in the tube furnace at 350 °C in H₂ environment for 1 h to reduce Ag⁺ ions from AgNO₃ into the Ag⁰, resulting in growth of Ag NPs. It is well established that for a constant evaporation rate, amount of spin-coated material to be deposited on the flat substrate is the function of viscosity of precursor solution (η), initial concentration of active component in the dropped solution (C₀), and rotational frequency (ω). For fixed C₀ and η, the material to be spin coated on the substrate is proportional to ω⁻ₓ, where x (0 ≤ x ≤ 1) is the function of type of fluid and ramp [35, 36, 43]. Therefore, with increase in rpm, amount of spin-coated AgNO₃ and hence the size of the grown Ag NPs decreases. Figure 4 shows SERS spectra of MB (10⁻⁵ M) adsorbed on AgT₁k, AgT₃k, and AgT₅k. All the obtained Raman peaks matched well with the reported literature (see Supplementary information Table S1) with shift in peak positions suggesting chemisorption of MB molecule on the grown AgNPs [44]. In Fig. 4a, C–C ring stretching mode of MB molecule gives rise to the most intense peak around 1624 cm⁻¹. The peaks at about 447 and 499 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the C-N–C skeletal deformation mode. Skeletal deformation of C-S-C gives rise to the weak peak at 594 cm⁻¹. Out-of-plane and in-plane bending of C-H modes give rise to the medium and weak intense peaks around 669, 769, 858, 899, 950, 1038, 1071, and 1153 cm⁻¹. Similarly, the medium and strong intense peaks around 1300 and 1395 cm⁻¹ arise due to in-plane ring deformation of the C-H mode. In-plane ring deformation of C-N mode gives rise to a weak intense peak around 1179 cm⁻¹; also peaks around 1443 and 1468 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the asymmetric stretching of the C-N mode [44–46]. It is also evident that the SERS activity decreases with increase in the rpm. It is well known that the closer the LSPR peak of Ag NPs to the excitation source maximum will be the local electric field in the proximity of Ag NPs resulting in large SERS enhancement. Therefore, AgT₁k gives the largest SERS enhancement, and it is used for further studies. Careful examination of SERS spectra of MB molecule at lower wavenumbers (Fig. 4b) shows presence of a very weak Raman peak at 247 cm⁻¹ which can be assigned to the stretching mode of AgCl [47]. Presence of AgCl Raman

![Fig. 4 SERS spectra of MB (10⁻⁵ M) on AgT₁k, AgT₃k, and AgNPs-T₅k a 400 to 1800 cm⁻¹ and b 160 to 360 cm⁻¹ wavenumbers](image-url)
peak in the SERS spectra of MB molecule suggests the formation of AgNPs@Cl− surface complex on the surface of Ag NPs SERS substrate. Cl− halide ion is present in the structure of MB molecule. It is well known that halide ions show strong affinity to the Ag NPs. Therefore, there is a possibility that when aqueous solution of MB molecule drop casted on to the Ag NPs and dried in the air prior to SERS measurements, Cl− ions present in the MB molecule may get adsorbed on to the Ag NPs surface and form AgNPs@Cl− surface complex due to its high affinity to Ag NPs [24]. AgNPs@Cl− surface complex favors the charge transfer between cationic MB dye and Ag NPs surface [22–24]. Further, the intensity of Raman peak due to AgCl decreases with decrease in concentration of MB endorsing AgNPs@Cl− surface complex formation (see Supplementary information Fig. S2). Hence, shift in the peak positions and presence of the Raman peak at 247 cm−1 in SERS spectra of MB molecule confirm the chemisorption of MB molecule on Ag NPs surface.

Figure 5 shows AFM images of AgT1k, AgT3k, and AgT5k. From AFM images, it is observed that the Ag NPs are uniformly grown and their size decreases with increase in rpm, in line with optical absorption results [33]. For fixed viscosity and concentration of the precursor solution, the amount of material spin coated on the substrate is inversely proportional to the square root of the rotational frequency (ω) [48, 49]. Since the spin-coated material is less at higher rpm, decrease in the size of grown Ag NPs is expected. The size and separation between the Ag NPs taken from AFM images for simulation were average ones. We have used the RF module of the COMSOL multiphysics software in order to measure the spatial electric field distribution around the isolated and dimer Ag NPs (Fig. 4). For simulation, we have set incident electric field (Eincident) at 1 V/m with incident laser of wavelength 532 nm. The necessary optical constants of Ag required in simulation were taken from Johnson and Christy’s data of optical properties of metals [50]. Size and separation used in the COMSOL multiphysics simulation modeling and obtained local electric field (Elocal) for isolated and dimer Ag NPs grown at various rpm are summarized in Table 1.
E\textsubscript{local} around the isolated and in between Ag NPs was found to decrease as the size of Ag NPs gets decreased. Maximum E\textsubscript{local} enhancement for the dimer AgT1k is found to be E\textsubscript{local} = 81.2 V/m. Therefore, for E\textsubscript{incident} = 1 V/m (set in the COMSOL simulation), theoretical electromagnetic enhancement factor (\(e^{m} G_{\text{SERS}}\)) can be written as \(e^{m} G_{\text{SERS}} = \left| E_{\text{local}} \right|^4\) [8, 51]. For maximum E\textsubscript{local}, \(e^{m} G_{\text{SERS}}\) of AgT1k approaches to 4.3 \times 10^7. Thus, AgT1k found to be optimized SERS substrate demonstrating maximum SERS enhancement and used for further studies. Calculated \(e^{m} G_{\text{SERS}}\) value gives pure contribution of EME because no chemical interaction between the molecule and Ag NPs is considered.

Figure 6a shows sensitivity measurement of AgT1k. It can be observed that as MB concentration decreases, intensities of characteristic Raman peaks of MB molecule also decreases. We have checked the sensitivity of our SERS substrate up to 10\(^{-11}\) M concentration of MB. However, for 10\(^{-11}\) M concentration, no peaks of MB were clearly distinguishable, only a noisy peak at around 1624 cm\(^{-1}\) was detected; therefore, we considered 10\(^{-10}\) M concentration as LOD value where all the MB peaks are clearly distinguishable. Calculation for SERS enhancement factor was carried out for normal Raman spectra of MB having 0.1 M concentration on glass substrate and SERS spectrum of MB having 10\(^{-10}\) M concentration on AgT1k SERS substrate. SERS enhancement factor (\(G_{\text{SERS}}\)) equation (see Supplementary information) can be written as follows [16, 52],

\[
G_{\text{SERS}} \sim \frac{M_{\text{bulk}}}{M_{\text{SERS}}} \times \frac{I_{\text{SERS}}}{I_{\text{bulk}}}
\]

(1)

where \(M_{\text{bulk}}\) and \(M_{\text{SERS}}\) (LOD) denote the number of MB molecules adsorbed onto glass and AgT1k. \(I_{\text{SERS}}\) and \(I_{\text{bulk}}\) are the SERS and normal Raman peak intensities of the most intense peak of MB molecule, respectively. Normal Raman intensity of the MB (0.1 M) and SERS intensity of MB (10\(^{-10}\) M) at 1624 cm\(^{-1}\) were found to be 700 and 2250 counts (Fig. 6b, c), and the SERS enhancement factor was found to be \(G_{\text{SERS}} \sim 3.2 \times 10^9\). Likewise, sensitivity measurements were performed for AgT3k and AgT5k SERS substrates for MB with different concentrations (see Supplementary information Figure S3). The \(G_{\text{SERS}}\) values for the AgT3k and AgT5k SERS substrate were found to be 3.7 \times 10^8 and 1.9 \times 10^7 due to different LOD values (see Supplementary information Table S2). When compared to theoretically found \(G_{\text{SERS}}\) values of all three SERS substrates, an extra ~10^2 factor is obtained in the experimental value. The additional contribution (~10^2) is most probably from the CE mechanism which is confirmed by the observed shifts in the MB peak positions and presence of 247 cm\(^{-1}\) Raman peak of AgNPs@Cl\(^-\) surface complex in MB SERS spectra [53]. It is quite difficult to compare the obtained \(G_{\text{SERS}}\) value with the available literature due to the fact that different MB concentrations, laser sources with different powers were used in the literature to calculate the \(G_{\text{SERS}}\) values [16, 44, 54].

**Table 1** COMSOL multiphysics simulation modeling (size and separation) parameters obtained from AFM images and calculated local electric field (E\textsubscript{local}) for isolated and dimer of AgT1k, AgT3k, and AgT5k SERS substrates

| SERS substrate | Size (nm) | Separation (nm) | E\textsubscript{local} of isolated Ag NP (V/m) | E\textsubscript{local} of dimer Ag NPs (V/m) | SERS EF (\(e^{m} G_{\text{SERS}}\)) |
|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| AgT1k          | 100       | 3               | 9.98                                          | 81.2                                        | 4.3 \times 10^7                  |
| AgT3k          | 67        | 3               | 6.53                                          | 36.6                                        | 1.8 \times 10^6                  |
| AgT5k          | 58        | 4               | 5.41                                          | 22.1                                        | 2.4 \times 10^5                  |

**Fig. 6** a SERS spectra of MB having various molar concentrations (10\(^{-7}\)–10\(^{-11}\) M) on AgT1k SERS substrate, b Normal Raman spectrum of 10\(^{-1}\) M MB on glass substrate, and c SERS spectrum of 10\(^{-10}\) M MB on AgT1k SERS substrate
However, it is noteworthy with low laser power (60 μW), AgT1k SERS substrate shows excellent LOD ($10^{-10}$ M) and SERS enhancement ($G_{\text{SERS}} \sim 3.2 \times 10^9$) factor as compared with the literature.

The relative standard deviation (RSD) was calculated for AgT1k in order to demonstrate the homogeneity of the grown Ag NPs SERS substrate. We have recorded the SERS spectra for $10^{-5}$ M MB at 14 different spots on the entire area of AgT1k SERS substrate (see Supplementary information Fig. S4). It is noteworthy that no change in the characteristic peaks of the MB molecule at the different spots with only minute variations in the intensity was observed. RSD value at 14 different spots was found to be 6.72%. The found RSD value is under the acceptable value mentioned in scientific standards for practical use of SERS substrate [55]. Similarly, to check the substrate to substrate reproducibility of SERS signal of MB, we have made 8 different batches of the substrate for which RSD value was found to be 10.93% (see Supplementary information Fig. S5). The found RSD is under the acceptable scientific standards (>20%) for SERS substrate [56]. Obtained results show that AgT1k SERS substrate shows excellent SERS enhancement factor with good reproducibility of the SERS signal all over the SERS substrate.

We have also investigated the reusability property of AgT1k SERS substrate. AgT1k SERS substrate was washed in double distilled water after detection and then again aqueous solution of MB adsorbed on it for the next cycle of detection. Figure 7 shows the reusability SERS spectra of AgT1k SERS substrate for MB having a concentration of $10^{-5}$ M. Although with minimal intensity, characteristic Raman peak of MB molecule was observed even after washing, indicating that MB molecules were not completely rinsed off from the substrate. Obtained results confirm the chemosorption of MB molecule on AgT1k SERS substrate.

Further, we have detected another industrial pollutant, namely, CR in order to show the universality of our AgT1k SERS substrate. We have also carried out the sensitivity measurement up to $10^{-9}$ M concentration of CR. Figure 8a shows SERS spectra of CR at various concentrations ($10^{-5}$–$10^{-9}$ M). All the obtained characteristic Raman peaks of CR matched very well with the literature [57, 58]. There is no drastic shift in characteristic peaks observed in normal Raman and SERS spectra of CR (see Supplementary information Table S3) indicating no chemisorption of CR molecules on AgT1k SERS substrate.

SERS spectrum of CR having $10^{-8}$ M concentration (LOD) was used to calculate $G_{\text{SERS}}$. SERS enhancement measurement was carried out for the most intense peak of CR observed at 1376 cm$^{-1}$ which arises due to the N=N stretching. The normal Raman intensity of CR ($10^{-1}$ M) on glass substrate and SERS intensity of CR ($10^{-8}$ M) on AgT1k SERS substrate for most intense peak were found to be 1375 and 4671 counts (Fig. 8b, c). From Eq. (1), the $G_{\text{SERS}}$ value for $M_{\text{bulk}} (10^{-1} M)$ and $M_{\text{SERS}} (10^{-8} M)$ was found to be $\sim 3.4 \times 10^7$. Similarly, sensitivity measurements were performed for AgT3k and AgT5k SERS substrates for CR with different concentrations ($10^{-5}$–$10^{-8}$ M) (see Supplementary information Fig. S7). The $G_{\text{SERS}}$ values for AgT3k and AgT5k SERS substrate were found to be $2.8 \times 10^6$ and $2.2 \times 10^5$ due to different LOD values (see Supplementary information Table S4). Obtained experimental values are in good agreement with the theoretically calculated SERS enhancement factor values for AgT1k, Ag3Tk, and AgT5k SERS substrates endorsing only EME contribution in SERS enhancement in case of CR.
Also, reusability property of optimized SERS substrate was investigated for CR having 10^{-5} M concentration. Figure 9 shows the reusability measurement; it can be seen that after washing the substrate features of the CR are not visible, indicating complete washing of CR molecules from Ag NPs surface. No shift in the peak positions of CR in SERS spectra obtained SERS enhancement factor, and reusability measurements for CR molecule endorse that CR molecules do not chemosorb on Ag NPs and form any surface complex (see Supplementary information Fig. S6). Hence, SERS enhancement of CR does not involve CE mechanism and only show the contribution of pure EME mechanism to its
total SERS enhancement factor. In addition to EME, CE mechanism contributes more in case of MB as compared to CR in order to get SERS enhancement factor for MB molecule up to $10^9$. Thus, we believe that in addition to EME, if the probe molecule shows CE mechanism, we get higher SERS enhancement but due to chemisorption, reusability of SERS substrate is limited.

Further, to support the claim that MB is chemisorbed, whereas CR is physisorbed on Ag NPs surface, we have performed XPS measurements. Figure 10 shows XPS spectra of MB ($10^{-5}$ M) and CR ($10^{-5}$ M) adsorbed on AgT1k SERS substrate. In the deconvoluted XPS spectrum of MB adsorbed on AgT1k (Fig. 10a), it can be observed that Ag 3d peak composed of two components Ag and AgCl. Ag 3d$_{5/2}$ gives signal of two peaks at 367.14 and 368.03 eV, whereas Ag 3d$_{3/2}$ gives rise to 373.33 and 374.05 eV, corresponding to Ag$^+$ of AgCl and Ag$^0$, respectively [59–64]. The obtained XPS results reveal the formation of Ag@AgCl surface complex, confirming chemisorption of MB. On the other hand, in the XPS spectrum obtained for CR ($10^{-5}$ M) adsorbed on AgT1k (Fig. 9b), only peaks that correspond to Ag$^0$ are seen, indicating physisorption of CR. Hence, SERS spectrum at lower wavenumbers and XPS analysis confirm that MB is chemisorbed and CR is physisorbed on AgT1k SERS substrate.

**Conclusion**

Simple spin-coating method followed by thermal reduction is successfully utilized for the uniform growth of Ag NPs. Ag NPs grown at 1000 rpm (AgT1k) found to have optimized SERS substrate showing largest SERS activity. Sensitivity measurement shows capability of detecting trace amount of MB molecules down to $10^{-10}$ M concentration. The experimentally found SERS enhancement factor $G_{\text{SERS}}$ values for MB ($3.2 \times 10^8$) and CR ($3.4 \times 10^7$) are compared with the theoretically obtained $emG_{\text{SERS}}$ ($4.3 \times 10^7$) value. For MB, both EME and CE mechanisms are contributing, whereas for CR only EME mechanism is contributing in SERS enhancement. This difference in enhancement mechanism leads to different LOD values of MB ($10^{-10}$ M) and CR ($10^{-8}$ M). Spot to spot and substrate to substrate RSD values are found to be well below the acceptable limit (<20%) showing good homogeneity and reproducibility of AgT1k SERS substrate. SERS spectra at lower wavenumber and XPS analysis confirm that MB is chemisorbed on AgT1k SERS substrate, whereas CR is not. AgT1k SERS substrate is found to be highly sensitive, reproducible, and capable of detecting trace amounts of industrial pollutants; however, the detection limit, $G_{\text{SERS}}$ values, and reusability are decided by the contribution of CE SERS enhancement mechanism.
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