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ABSTRACT

Background: Most of the women die during pregnancy and following childbirth which results due to complications in pregnancy. These complications develop during pregnancy and most are preventable or treatable. Most of the complications remain unassessed. Severe morbidity during pregnancy has been overlooked, and prevention has been neglected despite its vital importance in improving outcomes. Mother complications may exist before or during pregnancy but are worsened during the peripartum period, especially if not managed as part of the antenatal care or not detected early in labour.

Aim: To evaluate the effectiveness of Antenatal Mother’s Overview List (ANMOL) Mobile Application in terms of Maternal And Fetal Outcome as compare to contemporary protocol.

Methods: This paper describes a randomized controlled trial, which aims to recruit 300 participants in this trial study. In each group, 150 women who are in labour (>4 cm cervical dilatation) will be selected for the study. The study will be conducted in the Labour room of the department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. The first group (experimental group) will be assessed maternal and fetal outcome by using ANMOL mobile application. And second group (control group) will be assessed maternal and fetal outcome by following contemporary protocol. Then the comparison will be done among two groups in terms of maternal and fetal outcome. Ethics approval was obtained from IEC, DMIMS (DMIMS(DU)/IEC/2018-19/7131). The conclusion will be drawn from the results and will be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

Results: This study is registered with the clinical trial registry- India. (Registration No. CTRI/2020/07/026846) The trial will be conducted in eight months. Recruitment started in August 2020 will continue until March 2021.

Conclusion: Once ANMOL mobile application utilization is validated, these can be introduced in other remote area or low economic resources with a lack of trained manpower and facilities. This mobile application can be utilized without an internet facility.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the great wonders of nature is the growth of a foetus within its mother. The growth and development of the baby are dependent upon the health and nutrition of the mother (not the father) because she is both the seed as well as the soil where the baby is nurtured for nine months. Pregnancy and childbirth are special events in women’s lives and indeed, in the lives of their families. This can be a time of great joy and joyful anticipation. It can also be a time of fear, suffering and even death. Even though pregnancy is not a disease; but a normal physiological process, it is associated with certain risks to the health and survival both for women and fetuses.¹ Maternal death and disability are the leading causes of healthy life years lost for developing country women of reproductive age, accounting for more than 28 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYS) lost and at least 18% of the problem of disease in these women. Most women survive in childbearing but who was suffering from serious disease, disability or physical damage caused by pregnancy-related complications.²
Maternal mortality is a neglected tragedy and it has been neglected because those who suffer are neglected people with the least power and influence, they are the poor, the rural peasants and above all women. Globally, levels of maternal mortality have remained stable since 1995. About 510,000 maternal deaths occurred worldwide during the year 2002. In addition to maternal mortality, seven million more women suffer serious health problems related to childbearing, and 50 million suffer adverse health effects. Maternal morbidity and maternal deaths are a significant cause of death in women in the 15-49 years age group, and they make up a larger proportion of all-cause deaths in the rural areas of poorer states, compared to other regions of India.

Definition: The maternal mortality rate (MMR) is the annual number of female deaths per 100,000 live births from any cause related to or aggravated by the pregnancy or its management (excluding accidental or incidental causes). The MMR includes deaths during pregnancy, childbirth, or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy, irrespective of the duration and site of the pregnancy, for a specified year.

Pregnancy and labour are physiological events and should have a joyful culmination with a healthy mother and a healthy baby, however, the potential for dramatic and even catastrophic complications during pregnancy, labour or postpartum are real, either because of aggravation of a pre-existing illness or disorder arising during pregnancy, labour, postpartum. It is estimated that maximum maternal deaths could be prevented or avoided through actions that are proven to be effective and affordable, and none of the interventions is complex or beyond the capacity of a functional health system even in resource-poor countries. The number of deprived is likely to be much higher in India because of its vast population and diversity in the literacy levels and health facilities available. Non-availability of accessible, acceptable, quality health care, including emergency obstetric care during pregnancy and childbirth, compounded by the inability of women to recognize the need and seek health care during pregnancy and childbirth is the cause of high morbidity rates in developing countries. Swanton et al. have reported that diastolic blood pressure was included in all 9 obstetric specific EWS that they reviewed. Goldhill et al. noted the most common abnormalities to be tachypnoea and altered level of consciousness in patients admitted to ICU.

In published literature by Singh et al. 2012, the MEOWS chart in the UK population is 89% sensitive, 79% specific with a positive and negative predictive value of 39% and 98% respectively. Though the results of our study 86.4% sensitive and 85.2% specific are comparable to the study by Singh et al. the few minor differences could be explained by the difference in the prevalence of obstetric morbidity for the Indian subcontinent.

Baskett et al. have reported that delay in seeking care and transfer is one of the main factors leading to morbidity.

Baja et al. in Banur, India also found poor transport facility, poor rural health infrastructure, custom and traditions to be contributing factors towards increase morbidity.

The major complications during labour are severe bleeding, infection, high blood pressure, unsafe abortion. While admitting women in the labour room for safe confinement, she ensures that her delivery should be done safely without any complications. Earlier there is the protocol of making the written formats for her all assessment which is starting from the admission. While assessing the patient there was an inability to focus most of the parameters. As the labour process is the crucial events, the timely decision is very much important.

RATIONALE OF STUDY

Deterioration of maternal health can occur at a very rapid rate, with catastrophic consequences therefore early recognition is essential. ANMOL mobile application is a simple objective useful tool to record and aid in recognition of maternal morbidity at an early stage, ultimately halting the cascade of severe maternal morbidity and mortality. By doing this study we will be able to test the effectiveness of the Antenatal Mother Overview List mobile application to enhance the maternal and fetal outcome time before the patient goes into critical condition.

AIM OF THE STUDY

The aim of this study to evaluate the effectiveness of the Antenatal Mother’s Overview List (ANMOL) Mobile Application in terms of Maternal And Fetal Outcome as compare to contemporary protocol.

METHODOLOGY

This study will be based on an experimental approach. The study will be conducted in the Labour room of the department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of AVBRH, Sawangi.

Inclusion Criteria

- Pregnant Women in Labour (>4 Cm Cervical Dilation).
- Pregnant Women with More Than 34 Weeks Gestation.

Exclusion Criteria

- Pregnant Women With High-Risk Pregnancies (Pregnancy Induced Hypertension, Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, Heart Diseases, Renal Diseases, IUGR).
• Pregnant Women with Preterm Labor.

**Sample size:**

Sample Size Formula: \[ N = \frac{X^2 \cdot N \cdot P(1-P)}{C^2(N-1) + X^2 \cdot P(1-P)} \]

Total number of deliveries in last year in AVBRH = 1288

- \( X^2 \) = Chi-square value = 3.84
- \( P = 50\%\) proportion = 0.5
- \( Q = 100 – P = 50 \)
- \( C = \) Confidence interval = 0.05

Sample Size = \( 3.84 \times 1288 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \)

\[ = 295.98 \approx 300 \]

Total number of patients to be surveyed = 300

**Randomization** – All the participants will be assigned simple randomly by the coin toss method. Method of Concealment will used sequentially numbered, sealed, opaque envelopes.

**Blinding**- Participant Blinded

**Interventions** - ANMOL mobile application the tools used for assessing the physiological parameters in terms of maternal and foetal outcome. For collecting data in ANMOL mobile application, the women admitted in the labour room with >4 cm cervical dilation and with more than 34 weeks gestation will be enrolled for the using ANMOL mobile application. Control group which follows the contemporary protocol for assessing maternal and foetal outcome.

**Outcome measures**

For each pregnancy, the outcome was dichotomized as ‘good’ or ‘adverse’. The outcome of pregnancy was coded as adverse if there was either an adverse maternal outcome or an adverse foetal outcome.

The outcomes of pregnancy studied are:-

1. **Maternal outcomes**
   - Mortality - Maternal death
   - Morbidity - Abnormal type of delivery-i.e., Caesarean section, forceps delivery, vacuum delivery, postpartum haemorrhage, puerperal pyrexia, seizures, shock.
2. **Neonatal outcomes** –
   - Mortality Perinatal mortality, stillbirth and neonatal death.
   - Morbidity. Birth injuries, neonatal infection, neonatal jaundice, respiratory distress, hypothermia, hypoglycemiala,
from normal monitoring of labouring women and enhance the labour outcome by ANMOL mobile application.

**CONCLUSION**

A conclusion will be drawn from the statistical analysis.
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**Figure 1:** Schematic diagram of Study methodology.
Table 1: The time points of evaluation of Outcome measures

| Outcome                      | Timepoints                                                                 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Primary outcome              |                                                                             |
| 1. Maternal outcome          |                                                                             |
| A. Maternal severe complications during delivery | Baseline data from the time admission in the labour room, Then every hourly till the delivery. After delivery every three hourly for 48 hours. |
| a. Seizures                  |                                                                             |
| b. Loss of consciousness     |                                                                             |
| c. High-grade fever with foul smell |                                                                             |
| d. Haemorrhage               |                                                                             |
| B. Mode of delivery          |                                                                             |
| a. Normal delivery           |                                                                             |
| b. LSCS                       |                                                                             |
| c. Assisted delivery (forceps / venturous) |                                                                             |
| Fetal outcome                |                                                                             |
| 1. APGAR score in 1 min      | After delivery of the baby                                                  |
| 2. APGAR score in 5 min      |                                                                             |
| 3. Weight in gram            |                                                                             |
| 4. NICU admission Days in NICU|                                                                             |
| Secondary outcome            |                                                                             |
| Maternal outcome: Maternal death | 6 weeks                                                                       |
| Fetal outcome: Stillbirth and Early neonatal death | At the time of delivery due to any complication |