Domain structure, localization, and function of DNA polymerase η, defective in xeroderma pigmentosum variant cells
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DNA polymerase η carries out translesion synthesis past UV photoproducts and is deficient in xeroderma pigmentosum (XP) variants. We report that polη is mostly localized uniformly in the nucleus but is associated with replication foci during S phase. Following treatment of cells with UV irradiation or carcinogens, it accumulates at replication foci stalled at DNA damage. The C-terminal third of polη is not required for polymerase activity. However, the C-terminal 70 aa are needed for nuclear localization and a further 50 aa for relocalization into foci. Polη truncations lacking these domains fail to correct the defects in XP-variant cells. Furthermore, we have identified mutations in two XP variant patients that leave the polymerase motifs intact but cause loss of the localization domains.
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Ultraviolet (UV) light produces photoproducts in cellular DNA, which in normal individuals are repaired by nucleotide excision repair (NER). Most patients with the highly cancer-prone disorder xeroderma pigmentosum (XP) are defective in NER (Berneburg and Lehmann 2000; de Laat et al. 1999). Cells from one group of XP individuals, the XP variants (XP-V), are, however, not deficient in NER. Instead, they are deficient in postreplication repair (PRR), which enables cells to synthesize intact daughter DNA strands, despite the presence of persisting damage in the template strands (Lehmann et al. 1975). XP-V cell lines are highly mutable by UV light [McGregor et al. 1999], and the patients have a dramatically increased incidence of skin cancer, just like the NER-defective XPs. Thus, the ability to carry out PRR is crucial for cancer avoidance.

Until very recently, little was known about the mechanisms of PRR and the defect in XP-V cells, but a series of studies showed that cell-free extracts of XP-V cells were unable to replicate past DNA damage [Cordeiro-Stone et al. 1997; Ensch-Simon et al. 1998; Svoboda et al. 1998], pointing to a defect in translesion synthesis [TLS; Cordonnier et al. 1999]. These studies culminated in the cloning of the gene defective in XP-V patients [Johnson et al. 1999a; Masutani et al. 1999a,b]. The gene encodes a DNA polymerase, designated polη, that is a member of a recently discovered superfamily of novel DNA polymerases [Woodgate 1999] that are related in structure to each other but are unrelated to classical DNA polymerases. They have in common a highly distributive, rather than processive, mode of synthesis on undamaged templates and a relatively low stringency. This endows them with a high error rate when replicating undamaged templates [Maor-Shoshani et al. 2000; Matsuda et al., 2000; Tissier et al., 2000] but facilitates TLS past different types of DNA damage. This distinguishes them from the classical polymerases, which typically have a high processivity and high fidelity but are blocked by most DNA lesions.

Both yeast and human polη are able to replicate past T–T cyclobutane photodimers and undamaged T–T residues with the same efficiency [Johnson et al. 1999b, Masutani et al. 1999b], and in the majority of cases they insert the complementary bases [adenines] opposite the T–T photodimer [Johnson et al. 2000; Masutani et al. 2000]. There have been several reports on the enzymology of human polη describing its error proneness [Johnson et al. 2000; Masutani et al. 1999a, 2000; Matsuda et al. 2000], its mode of synthesis on damaged and undamaged templates, and its ability to carry out TLS on...
templates carrying different types of damage (Masutani et al. 2000, Yuan et al. 2000). Although these findings are of importance for understanding the mode of action of pol\(\eta\), they give only limited insight into the events that occur inside the cell when the replication fork encounters DNA damage. In this article, we show that following UV irradiation of human fibroblasts, pol\(\eta\) is relocalized into nuclear foci, we identify the sequences required for this relocalization, and we show that relocalization of pol\(\eta\) is vital for its biological function.

### Results

**Pol\(\eta\) is localized in the nucleus and relocalizes after UVC irradiation**

To study the cellular localization of pol\(\eta\), the cDNA-encoding enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) was fused in-frame to the amino-terminus of pol\(\eta\) (eGFP-pol\(\eta\)), and this construct was transfected into SV40-transformed MRC5 fibroblasts. In most cells, the tagged protein was distributed homogeneously within the nucleus [Fig. 1A, panel a]. In 10%–15% of transfected cells, however, eGFP-pol\(\eta\) was localized in many brightly fluorescent spots, suggesting the presence of high local concentrations of eGFP-pol\(\eta\). To determine whether the distribution of pol\(\eta\) changes after DNA damage, we irradiated the transfected cells with 7 J/m\(^2\) UVC and analyzed the pattern of eGFP-pol\(\eta\) 8 h later [Fig. 1A, panel b]. Strikingly, in >60% of transfected cells, eGFP-pol\(\eta\) was concentrated into foci throughout the nucleoplasm, the number varying from ~50 to several hundred per cell. This focal distribution of eGFP-pol\(\eta\) was also observed in transformed XP-V fibroblasts [see below]. Similar localization was detected in living cells or after paraformaldehyde fixation, ruling out the possibility of artefacts caused by the fixation [Fig. 1A, panels c,d]. It is unlikely that the eGFP-pol\(\eta\) foci were artefacts resulting from overexpression of the protein, both because we also observed them in stable transfected clones with low expression levels [see below] and because the number of cells with foci was much higher after UVC irradiation, whereas the protein level remained similar to that in unirradiated cells [data not shown]. To verify that the distribution of eGFP-pol\(\eta\) was not caused by tagging artefacts, we examined the distribution of untagged pol\(\eta\) using a pCDNA-pol\(\eta\) construct and anti-pol\(\eta\) antibodies. Similar foci were observed [Fig. 1A, panels c,f]. We conclude that this localization reflects the endogenous nuclear distribution of pol\(\eta\) and indicates that the protein is relocalized into intranuclear foci after UVC irradiation.

To investigate if the pol\(\eta\) foci are attached to nuclear structures, we treated the transfected cells with 1% Triton X-100 before fixation. In irradiated cells, eGFP-pol\(\eta\) foci were resistant to Triton X-100 extraction [Fig. 1B], whereas the uniform staining in both irradiated [Fig. 1B] and unirradiated cells [not shown] was greatly reduced. This suggests that the foci were tightly associated with nuclear substructures.

### Relocalization of pol\(\eta\) is a specific response to un repaired DNA lesions

To determine the kinetics of the relocalization of pol\(\eta\) after UV-irradiation, transfected MRC5 cells were irradiated with 7 J/m\(^2\) and then cultured for various times before analysis of foci formation. The maximal response (>60% of cells with foci) was obtained 8–12 h after UVC irradiation and declined slowly thereafter [Fig. 2A]. The localization of pol\(\eta\) into foci 8 h after irradiation was dose dependent [Fig. 2B].

We postulated that the relocalization of pol\(\eta\) into intranuclear foci after UV irradiation reflects its ability to function in the bypass of un repaired DNA lesions during DNA replication. To examine if the relocalization of eGFP-pol\(\eta\) was dependent on un repaired damage, we used XP-A cells (XP12RO), which are defective in NER and, thus, fail to remove UV lesions. The percentage of cells with intranuclear foci was significantly higher in XP-A cells than in normal cells [Fig. 2C], with the fraction of cells with eGFP-pol\(\eta\) foci reaching a maximum at a UVC dose of 5 J/m\(^2\) in XP-A cells compared with 15 J/m\(^2\) in normal cells [Fig. 2D]. Taken together, these data strongly suggest that in vivo pol\(\eta\) relocalizes to un repaired UV damage.

To rule out the possibility that the relocalization could be a nonspecific cellular response to DNA damage, we analyzed the distribution of eGFP-pol\(\eta\) after \(\gamma\) irradiation. Transfected cells were irradiated with 5 Gy, and the distribution of eGFP-pol\(\eta\) was examined after various times. We did not observe any relocalization of eGFP-pol\(\eta\) after \(\gamma\) irradiation [Fig. 2E]. This is consistent with the sensitivity of XP-V cells to UV but not to \(\gamma\) irradiation [Arlett and Harcourt 1980]. These results indicate that pol\(\eta\)-foci formation is not part of a nonspecific global response to DNA damage but is specific to certain classes of DNA lesions.

In vitro pol\(\eta\) is also able to bypass other DNA lesions such as acetylaminofluorene (AAF)-guanine adducts and abasic sites [Masutani et al. 2000]. We therefore tested the distribution of eGFP-pol\(\eta\) after NA-AAF and MMS [monofunctional DNA-alkylating agent that generates AP sites] treatment. Both carcinogens resulted in formation of pol\(\eta\)-foci [Fig. 2F,G]. These observations are in agreement with the biochemical data and consistent with the hypothesis that pol\(\eta\) foci colocalize with sites of replication forks blocked by several but not all types of DNA lesions.

**Pol\(\eta\) foci result from relocalization rather than de novo synthesis**

We have analyzed the formation of foci in living cells following UV irradiation using time-lapse microscopy. Figure 3A shows a single MRC5 cell at various times after UV irradiation with a dose of 10 J/m\(^2\). In this cell, foci appeared 2 h after irradiation; their intensity was maximum at 3 h and then subsided over the following 2 h. The formation of pol\(\eta\) foci was accompanied by a marked decrease in intensity of the uniformly distrib-
Figure 1. Pol$\eta$ relocates into intranuclear foci after UVC-irradiation. (A) MRC5 cells were transfected with plasmids encoding either eGFP-pol$\eta$ (a–d) or untagged pol$\eta$ (e–f). Twenty hours after transfection, cells were irradiated with 7 J/m$^2$ (b,d,f). Eight hours later, the distribution of eGFP-pol$\eta$ was examined after paraformaldehyde fixation (a,b) or in living cells (c,d). For cells transfected with pCDNA-pol$\eta$, pol$\eta$ distribution was revealed with anti-pol$\eta$ pAb and FITC-conjugated secondary antibody (e,f). Bar = 10 µm. (B) Twenty hours after transfection of MRC5 cells with plasmid encoding eGFP-pol$\eta$, cells were irradiated with 7 J/m$^2$ UV and then incubated for 8 h. Distribution of eGFP-pol$\eta$ was examined either after paraformaldehyde fixation followed by Triton X-100 permeabilization (a) or with permeabilization carried out before fixation (b). The diffuse staining of eGFP-pol$\eta$ disappeared when cells were preextracted in 1% Triton X-100 before fixation, whereas eGFP-pol$\eta$ foci remain.
uted pol\eta. Quantification of the intensity of the pol\eta image over the whole nucleus indicated that the total amount of nuclear pol\eta did not change significantly (data not shown). This result suggests that the foci result from relocalization of pol\eta rather than de novo synthesis. Consistent with these observations, we found that incubation of cells after UV irradiation with the protein synthesis inhibitor, cycloheximide, did not affect foci formation [Fig. 3B]. (We used XP12RO cells for this experiment because foci appear in a shorter time than in normal cells [see Fig. 2C]. In this way we were able to minimize the time that the cells spent in cycloheximide, thereby reducing any secondary effects of this general inhibitor.)
Pol\(\eta\) colocalizes with PCNA

In eukaryotes, DNA replication takes place in discrete replication foci that vary in morphology during S phase and contain proteins involved in replication such as PCNA (Bravo and Macdonald-Bravo 1987), RPA, and DNA ligase I (Montecucco et al. 1995). We have reported that eGFP-pol\(\eta\) is focally concentrated in 10%–15% of unirradiated cells. To determine if these foci might be coupled to the replication machinery, we have examined whether nuclear spots of pol\(\eta\) localize to replication foci. Unirradiated cells were transfected with pCDNA-pol\(\eta\), incubated for 20 h to allow expression of exogenous pol\(\eta\), and then pulse labeled for 15 min with BrdU. Replication foci and pol\(\eta\) were visualized using antibodies against BrdU [Fig. 4A, green staining] and against pol\(\eta\) (red staining; note that the endogenous levels of pol\(\eta\) are insufficient to be detected by our antibody). In the 10%–15% of cells with pol\(\eta\) foci, a substantial proportion of the foci colocalized with BrdU. In these cells, nearly all replication foci staining with anti-BrdU also contained pol\(\eta\) [Fig. 4A]. Likewise, in cells transfected with pEGFP-pol\(\eta\) (green staining, Fig. 4B), autofluorescent foci colocalized with PCNA (red staining). The overlapping of pol\(\eta\) with either BrdU or PCNA is visualized as yellow foci [Fig. 4A,B, right panels] and suggests that in 10%–15% of untreated cells, pol\(\eta\) localizes in replication foci. As only a proportion of cells are transfected, we cannot make conclusive statements, but our data are consistent with the suggestion that pol\(\eta\) is located in replication foci during DNA replication. Likewise, we found that after UV irradiation, in the much greater number of cells with eGFP-pol\(\eta\) foci, all the foci colocalized with PCNA [results not shown]. These findings suggest that during replication in UV-irradiated cells, pol\(\eta\) is localized at replication forks that may be at the sites of unrepaired UV damage.

Rad51 partially colocalizes with pol\(\eta\) after UV irradiation

Human Rad51, a structural homolog of the bacterial recombination repair protein RecA, relocates into multiple subnuclear foci after various DNA damaging agents such as UVC and \(\gamma\) irradiation, MMS, or hydroxyurea (Haaf et al. 1995; Scully et al., 1997). We asked whether pol\(\eta\) and Rad51 colocalize in the same complex. Twenty hours after transfection by peGFP-pol\(\eta\), cells were irra-

**Figure 3.** Foci result from relocalization of existing Pol\(\eta\). **A** MRC5 cells were transfected with peGFP-pol\(\eta\) and UV irradiated, and individual living cells were examined by time-lapse fluorescence microscopy. Accumulation of pol\(\eta\) into foci at 120–200 min is associated with a decrease in intensity of uniform staining throughout the nucleus. **B** XP12RO cells transfected with peGFP-pol\(\eta\) were UV irradiated (7 J/m\(^2\)) and incubated for different times with or without 20 \(\mu\)g/mL cycloheximide. The proportion of cells with foci was determined. [Black bars] Without cycloheximide, [gray bars] with cycloheximide.

**Figure 4.** Pol\(\eta\) is associated with the replication machinery and partially colocalizes with Rad51. **A** MRC5 cells transfected with pCDNA-pol\(\eta\) and pulse labeled with BrdU for 15 min before fixation were double stained with anti-BrdU mAb [green staining] and anti-pol\(\eta\) pAb [red staining]. **B** Cells transfected with eGFP-pol\(\eta\) were fixed and stained with anti-PCNA mAb and TRITC-conjugated secondary antibody. The staining pattern of PCNA [red staining] and the autofluorescent signal of GFP [green staining] in the same cell are shown. Colocalization between BrdU and pol\(\eta\) [A] or PCNA and eGFP-pol\(\eta\) [B] is indicated by a yellow pattern [right panels]. Bar = 5 \(\mu\)m. **C, D** Partial colocalization between pol\(\eta\) and Rad51 proteins after UV irradiation. Twenty hours after transfection with peGFP-pol\(\eta\), cells were UV irradiated with 15 J/m\(^2\). Eight hours later, they were fixed and stained with anti-Rad51 pAb and TRITC-conjugated secondary antibody (red staining). The distribution of pol\(\eta\) was detected by autofluorescence of the GFP [green staining]. In ~20% of transfected cells, complete colocalization between Rad51 and eGFP-pol\(\eta\) foci was observed as shown by yellow staining where red and green signals overlap [C; right panel]. In most of the cells, there was a significant but partial colocalization of Rad51 and eGFP-pol\(\eta\) foci, as shown by arrows in D. Bar = 5 \(\mu\)m.
Localization of human DNA polymerase $\eta$

Figure 4. (See facing page for legend.)
diated with 15 J/m² and fixed for immunostaining 8 h later. Rad51 foci were visualized by anti-Rad51 antibodies [Fig. 4C,D, red staining], and polH spots were detected by autofluorescence of eGFP [Fig. 4C,D, green staining]. In some cells, there was almost complete colocalization of Rad51 and polH foci [e.g., Fig. 4C] but in the majority, there was partial but not complete colocalization [Fig. 4D]. This suggests that unrepaired UV damage elicits the relocation of Rad51 and polH in the same areas. The distribution of Rad51 into foci in polH-deficient XP30RO cells was similar to that observed in normal cells, indicating that the relocation of Rad51 after UV irradiation is not dependent on functional polH [not shown].

PolH localizes at sites of unrepaired DNA damage

The data presented so far indicate that polH accumulates at replication forks stalled at damaged sites. However, it is well documented that UV damage results in a decreased rate of traverse through S phase, with a resulting substantial increase in the number of S-phase cells several hours after irradiation [Domon and Rauth 1969; Lehmann et al. 1979]. The increase in number of cells with foci might, therefore, merely reflect the increase in the number of S-phase cells. To exclude this possibility, we used a filter to induce local UV damage in the nucleus in cells transfected with pCDNA-polH. After incubation for 12 h and fixation, the sites of irradiation were visualized by immunofluorescence, using an antibody that recognized UV-induced cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers [CPDs]. [Note that even in normal cells, which are able to remove UV photoproducts, >50% of the CPDs remain after 12 h [van Hoffen et al. 1993].] The localization of polH was then visualized by means of the anti-polH antibodies. Strikingly, polH [Fig. 5, left panel] accumulates almost exclusively in the areas of the nucleus that have been exposed to UV [middle panel], as confirmed by the yellow staining in the right panel. These results strongly support the idea that polH accumulates at replication forks located at the sites of damage and argues against the idea that the foci solely reflect an increased proportion of S-phase cells.

Analysis of mutations in different XP-V cell lines

We have sequenced the PolH cDNA in many XP-V cell lines in our collection. Details of the mutations that we have found will be presented elsewhere. We were particularly intrigued by two mutations resulting in truncations close to the C terminus, both in XP37BR—a frameshift mutation at codon 556—and in XP1AB—a nonsense mutation in codon 548 [Fig. 6A]. PolH was originally isolated by Masutani et al. [1999a] on the basis of its activity, as a 511-aa C-terminally truncated protein whose activity was comparable with the full-length recombinant protein. Thus, the C-terminal 200 aa are entirely dispensable for polymerase activity and we anticipate that polH will be fully active in XP37BR and XP1AB. The mutation in these patients must therefore affect some other aspect of the enzyme’s function, defined by the C-terminal 200 aa.

Deletion analysis of polH

Two putative nuclear localization signals [NLS] have been described in polH at positions 251–256 and 682–698 [Masutani et al. 1999b]. To identify the sequences that are required for nuclear localization and UV-relocalization, a series of GFP-tagged deletion mutants were constructed as shown in Figure 6B. All fusion proteins were tested for stability in vivo: MRC5 cells were transfected with expression constructs, and protein extracts were analyzed by Western blotting [Fig. 6C]. All constructs gave stable fusion products whose apparent molecular weights are consistent with values deduced from the amino acid sequences. The mutation deleting amino acids 643–713 demonstrated unambiguously that the first putative NLS at codons 251–256 is inactive because the fusion protein eGFP-polH642n was distributed diffusely

![Figure 5. Recruitment of polH to DNA lesions after UV irradiation. Twenty hours after transfection of MRC5 cells with pCDNA-polH, cells were locally irradiated using a filter. Twelve hours later, polH was detected using anti-polH pAb and FITC-conjugated secondary antibody (green staining), and UV-induced damage in the same cell was revealed using anti-TMD2 mAb and TRITC-conjugated secondary antibody (red staining). Most of the polH foci colocalize with UV lesions, as shown in the right panel (yellow staining). Bar = 5 µm.](image-url)
in the whole cell (Fig. 6D, panels a, b). Two larger deletions (of amino acids 496–713 or 367–713) led to the same localization as eGFP-pol\textsubscript{H9257}642n (Fig. 7), demonstrating that this distribution is not caused by a cytoplasmic retention signal, as has been described for other proteins (e.g., Schmidt-Zachmann et al. 1993). Moreover, even though some of the eGFP-pol\textsubscript{H9257}642n was localized in the nucleus, it did not relocalize into nuclear foci after UV irradiation, showing that the catalytic domain (amino acids 1–352) by itself does not localize into foci (Fig. 6D, panel b). In striking contrast, GFP-tagged pol\textsubscript{H9257}362c, in which the catalytic N-terminal domain is deleted, displayed total nuclear localization, relocalized into multiple nuclear foci after UV irradiation (Fig. 6D, panels c,d), and colocalized with PCNA after UVC treatment (not shown). These results indicate that the C-terminal half of pol\textsubscript{H9257}, which is truncated in two XP-V patients, contains an active NLS and the domain required for UV relocalization. Consequently, we assume that the nuclear localization of pol\textsubscript{H9257} is caused by the bipartite NLS KRNPKSPLACTNKRPR that position 682–698.

We then tested if the C-terminal 70 amino acids containing the NLS were sufficient to direct the fusion protein (eGFP-pol\textsubscript{H9257}70c) into the nucleus in unirradiated cells and into foci after UVC irradiation. As shown in Figure 6D, panel e, the last 70 residues of pol\textsubscript{H9257} were
sufficient to target most but not all of the protein into the nucleus. A small fraction of eGFP-pol\(^*/\)H9257\(70c\) remained in the cytoplasm, suggesting that total nuclear localization requires sequences upstream of the NLS. More strikingly, however, no nuclear foci were seen in any unirradiated cells, and GFP-pol\(^*/\)H9257\(119c\) localization was not affected by UVC (Fig. 6D, panel f), demonstrating that the motif involved in UV-relocalization is distinct from the NLS. Localization analysis of two other mutant constructs in which aa 1–495 and 1–593 were deleted (mutants named eGFP-pol\(^*/\)H9257\(218c\) and eGFP-pol\(^*/\)H9257\(119c\), respectively) showed that they were not only localized to the nucleus but also formed foci after UVC irradiation (Fig. 6D, panels g,h; Fig. 7). These findings demonstrate that the domain required for UVC relocalization is contained within residues 594–713.

**Biological significance of pol\(^*/\)**

All the data corresponding to the localization of pol\(^*/\) were obtained with transiently transfected cells. To confirm these observations, we made a series of stable clones and verified the localization of the ectopic protein as well as its capacity to complement XP-V cells. We used SV40-transformed XP30RO fibroblasts, in which the pol\(^*/\) gene is mutated and encodes a truncated protein containing only 42 residues and can, therefore, be considered as a knock-out cell line [Masutani et al. 1999b; B.C. Broughton and A.R. Lehmann, unpubl.]. XP-V cells are only slightly sensitive to UV irradiation, but this sensitivity can be dramatically increased by postirradiation incubation in caffeine [UV + caffeine; Arlett et al. 1975]. Stable XP30RO transfectants expressing pol\(^*/\) protein were much more resistant to UV + caffeine compared with XP30RO cells transfected with vector alone [Fig. 8A]. UV resistance was not, however, totally restored to that of the normal MRC5 cells. Next, we checked the complementation and localization of GFP-tagged pol\(^*/\) protein in stable clones. The fusion cDNA (eGFP-pol\(^*/\)) was stably transfected into XP30RO cells. Autofluorescence analysis showed that these clones expressed low levels of the fusion protein. Nevertheless, eGFP-pol\(^*/\) relocalized into intranuclear foci after UVC irradiation, confirming that the pattern observed after transient transfection is not caused by overexpression of pol\(^*/\) [Fig. 8B]. Moreover, eGFP-pol\(^*/\) cDNA was able to correct the UV + caffeine sensitivity of XP-V cells [Fig. 8C], indicating that addition of the eGFP tag did not detectably interfere with pol\(^*/\) function in vivo. In contrast, as anticipated, no complementation was observed with clones stably transfected by peGFP-pol\(^*/\)\(362c\) [truncated in the N-terminal conserved domain of pol\(^*/\); see Fig. 6B], although the fusion protein was localized in the nucleus and formed foci after UVC irradiation [not shown]. This result demonstrates that the catalytic N-terminal domain of pol\(^*/\) is required for its UV-damage bypass activity.

We also stably transfected XP30RO with peGFP-pol\(^*/\)\(642n\), which expressed pol\(^*/\) lacking the C-terminal 70 aa required for nuclear localization. As after transient...
transfection, the fusion protein displayed diffuse staining throughout the cell and did not relocalize after UVC irradiation (not shown). The complementation assay showed that cells remained sensitive to UVC irradiation like the parental XP30RO fibroblasts (Fig. 8C).

Altogether, these results indicate that not only is the catalytic N-terminal domain of pol\(\eta\) required for its enzymatic activity but also that the correct localization of pol\(\eta\) is indispensable for complementation of UV + caffeine sensitivity. These observations suggest that in the two XP-V patients in which pol\(\eta\) protein is truncated in the C-terminal region, the XP phenotype is probably caused by the protein not being correctly localized in the cell. Therefore, in vitro, this protein will appear functional, whereas in vivo, it will not.

Discussion
The dramatic proneness to skin cancer of XP-V individuals, who are defective in pol\(\eta\), testifies to the importance of this novel DNA polymerase in cancer avoidance. The deficiency in XP-V cells in the ability to synthesize intact daughter strands after UV irradiation in vivo (Lehmann et al. 1975) is readily explained by the ability of pol\(\eta\) to carry out TLS past T–T cyclobutane dimers in vitro (Masutani et al. 1999b, 2000). The polymerase ac-
tivity of polH resides entirely in the first 511 aa of the 713-aa protein [Masutani et al. 1999a]. Two important questions are posed by these findings: What is the function of the C-terminal 200 aa? What is the nature of the events inside the cell that result in TLS and other possible damage avoidance processes being integrated into the DNA replication process in an orderly manner? In this article, we have provided answers to the first question and begun to shed light on the second. Our results are summarized in Figure 7.

Localization of polH is determined by sequences close to the C terminus

We have shown that DNA polymerase η has a dynamic cellular organization, that the C-terminal 70 aa containing a bipartite NLS are required for nuclear localization of polH, and that an additional 50 aa are needed for relocalization of polH into multiple intranuclear foci following UV irradiation [see Fig. 6]. These C-terminal 120 aa are necessary and sufficient to target the protein into foci after DNA damage and are also required for complementation of the defect in XP30RO cells [Fig. 8C].

The C-terminal 100 aa encompass a single postulated C2H2 zinc finger. In vitro, this motif is clearly not required for polymerase activity, but in vivo, when replication forks are blocked by UV lesions, it might play an important role by increasing the capacity of polH to bind to UV-damaged DNA. This domain is moderately well conserved from yeast to man [Fig. 9A]. In the human, mouse, Drosophila, Schizosaccharomyces pombe, and Saccharomyces cerevisiae orthologs, the amino acid sequence encompassing the putative zinc finger motif is very well conserved. However, although the two cysteines are separated from the histidines by 11 residues in human, mouse, Drosophila and S. pombe, in S. cerevisiae, the two cysteines are immediately adjacent to each other and separated from the two histidines by 14 residues. Furthermore, there is only one histidine and no cysteines in the Caenorhabditis elegans ortholog [not shown]. More work is required to understand the function of this motif. Apart from this putative zinc finger and the NLS, the C-terminal 100 aa do not show any sequence homology to other proteins in the sequence databases.

PolH is localized at unrepaired DNA-damage sites during DNA replication

We have shown that in untreated cells, polH is distributed uniformly in the nucleoplasm except in 10%–15% of cells, in which it is concentrated into intranuclear foci and colocalizes with BrdU and PCNA, suggesting that the foci occur exclusively at the sites of replication. This suggests that polH is associated with the replication machinery, as also proposed by Limoli et al. [2000]. Nevertheless, it does not appear to play a role in the replication process in undamaged cells, as XP-V cells replicate their

---

Figure 9. Alignment of the C-terminal sequences of polH and Rad30 orthologs. (A) The C-terminal 100 aa of human polH (hpolH) are aligned with those of orthologs from mouse (mpolH), Drosophila (dmpolH), Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Esol), and Saccharomyces cerevisiae (scrad30). Identical aa are indicated in black, conserved aa in gray. The NLS and putative C2H2 zinc finger motifs are indicated by bars above the alignment. (B) Putative PCNA binding motifs in polH are aligned with those from other PCNA-binding proteins [Montecucco et al. 1998].
DNA with the same efficiency as normal cells [Lehmann et al. 1975].

UV irradiation results in a marked time- and dose-dependent increase in the number of cells containing polη in foci. These foci must represent a substantial number of polη molecules to be visible as microscopic dots. We propose that the foci represent replication factories in undamaged cells and that the increased number of cells displaying polη foci results from replication forks stalled at UV photoproducts before effecting TLS. Several lines of evidence support this hypothesis. First, the polη foci colocalize with PCNA, showing that they are at the sites of replication forks. Second, foci were found after treatments with UV, MMS, and AAAF, which introduce lesions that result in stalling of replication forks.

Third, they were not observed after γ irradiation, which inhibits DNA synthesis almost exclusively by inhibition of DNA initiation by trans acting factors [Lamb et al. 1989]. There is minimal effect on chain elongation. Fourth, when cells were UV irradiated at localized sites within the nuclei, most of the foci were localized at the sites of UV damage.

There are several possible explanations for the specific involvement of polη in DNA replication after UV irradiation. First, during normal replication, polδ (and possibly polɔ also) synthesizes the new DNA strands in a highly processive manner. Because of this high processivity, polη may not be able to gain access to unimpeded replication forks and may only be loaded when the fork is stalled by damage. Second, polη may not be located right at the replication fork but may be sequestered close by in the replication factories. On this model, stalling of the replication fork might send a local signal to activate polη at the fork. Third, loading polη might require the degradation of stalled polδ following ubiquitination by the Rad6–Rad18 complex [Bailly et al. 1994; Tateishi et al. 2000]. Rad6p and Rad18p are essential for PRR in S. cerevisiae. This degradation might be necessary to allow access of polη at the replication forks, possibly assisted by PCNA. Goodman has proposed a model for DNA polymerase V-catalyzed error-prone translesion synthesis in Escherichia coli [Goodman 2000] in which β clamp is involved in loading polV at stalled replication forks in E. coli. By analogy, in mammalian cells, PCNA might be involved directly in loading polη when replication forks encounter UV-lesions but not during normal replication. A PCNA-binding motif has been postulated in several proteins involved in DNA replication, DNA repair, or cell cycle control, such as DNA-cytosine-5-methyl-transferase (MCMT; Chuang et al. 1997) or DNA ligase I [Montecucco et al. 1998]. There are three putative PCNA-binding motifs in the C-terminal half of polη [Fig. 9B].

Relationship between translesion synthesis and homologous recombination

Numerous proteins involved in DNA damage responses, such as Rad51 [Haaf et al. 1995], BRC1 [Scully et al. 1997], UNG2 [Otterlei et al. 1999], and XRCC1 [Taylor et al. 2000], are localized in replication sites and/or recruited into these foci after DNA damage. We have observed that polη foci partly colocalized with Rad51, indicating that Rad51 relocalized to unrepaird DNA lesions during the replication, as has been proposed previously by Scully et al. [1997]. The simplest explanation is that the Rad51 protein, involved in homologous recombination, might be recruited at the arrested replication forks that are recombination substrates, while polη is recruited at the same sites for its bypass activity.

Biological relevance of the localization of polη

We have shown that the localization of polη is crucial for its function inside the cell. Constructs lacking the C-terminal localization signals failed to correct the UV + caffeine sensitivity of XP-V cells, and in two of the XP-V patients that we have analyzed, polη was truncated upstream of the localization region. Polη activity should be normal in these individuals, as the S11 aa sufficient for activity are intact, but it cannot be recruited to replication forks stalled at DNA photoproducts because it lacks localization signals. These data emphasize that not only the activity of polη but also its localization is vital for its function.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture conditions

SV40-transformed human fibroblasts were used in all experiments. MRC5V1 [normal], XP30RO(sv) [XP-V; also designated GM3617; Cleaver et al. 1999] and XP12ROSV40 [XP-A] cells were grown in Eagle’s MEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS). The designations of the cell lines are abbreviated to MRC5, XP30RO, and XP12RO in this article.

DNA transfection and selection of stable transfectants

Plasmids were transfected into transformed fibroblasts using Fugene 6 according to the manufacturer’s protocol [Roche]. Cells were processed after 20–30 h incubation.

For stable transfectants, XP30RO cells were transfected with plasmids derived from pCDNA.3zeo [Invitrogen] or pcGFP-C3 (Clontech). Forty hours after transfection, cells were incubated in selection medium containing either 150 µg/mL Zeocin or 600 µg/mL G418. Selection was continued for 2 wk, and stable transfectants were isolated. Complementation of the defect in XP-V [XP30RO] cells was determined for UV resistance by exposing cells to a UVC dose of 7 J/m² and incubating for 4 d in medium containing 75 µg/mL caffeine. The plates were then stained with methylene blue.

Irradiation and drug treatments

UV irradiation at 254 nm was performed with a germicidal lamp at a fluence rate of 0.5 J/m²/sec. Localized UV irradiation within the nucleus was achieved with a filter, using a technique that will be described in detail elsewhere [M. Volk, L.H.F. Mullenders, and R. van Driel, in prep.]. The dose of irradiation was 30 J/m².

Cells in PBS were γ irradiated from a 60Co source at a dose rate of 1 Gy/min. For drug treatments, MMS was added to the
culture medium for 50 min at a final concentration of 0.01% cells were then washed twice with PBS and incubated in drug-free medium for various times. For NA-AAF treatment, cells were first incubated with 10^{-4} M paraaxon to inhibit deacetylase activity (thereby preventing the formation of unwanted non-acetylated metabolites of AAAF) for 15 min before addition of NA-AAF for 30 min at 37°C in complete medium. After incubation, cells were washed twice with PBS and fresh medium was added. Cells were fixed at the indicated time points.

**Construction of XPV expression vector**

Full-length cDNA encoding polI was obtained by PCR using pET21a-polI as a template, with *Pfu* DNA polymerase and primers: 5'-gtaacctATGCGCTTGACAGGATCGA-3' and 5'-gccgtagCTAATGTGTTAATGGCCTATAAAAATG-3'. The PCR product was digested with EcoRI and BamHI and inserted into the EcoRI-BamHI sites of pCDNA3.zeo downstream of the CMV promoter [Invitrogen], producing the plasmid pCDNA-polI. The sequence of polI cDNA was confirmed by DNA sequencing.

**Construction of GFP fusion proteins**

A series of GFP-tagged deletion mutants of polI was generated to study the cellular organization of polI. All polI deletion mutants were cloned in-frame downstream of the eGFP-cDNA in pEGFP-C3. We modified polI cDNA by deleting the first ATG to avoid undesirable translation products starting at the first methionine of polI. The modified polI cDNA was generated by PCR using pCDNA-polI as a template, with *Pfu* DNA polymerase and the primers: 5'-ctcctagctgcgtagCTCTGGA CAGGATCGA-3' and 5'-gccgtagCTAATGTGTTAATGGCCTATAAAAATG-3'. The product was digested with Xhol and BamHI and inserted into the Xhol-BamHI sites of hTERT or Xhol sites of CMV promoter [Invitrogen], producing the plasmid pCDNA-polI. The sequence of polI cDNA was confirmed by DNA sequencing.

**Sequencing**

Mutations in XP-V patients were identified using RT–PCR with RNA extracted from XP-V fibroblasts. The gene was amplified from cDNA using gene-specific primers, and the PCR products were sequenced directly, using procedures described previously (Broughton et al. 1994).

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

For visualization of eGFP-tagged proteins, cells were rinsed twice in PBS and examined without further fixation. Alternatively, cells grown on coverslips were rinsed in PBS and fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. To visualize polI or simultaneously detect Rad51 and eGFP-polI proteins, cells were fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, then permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 15 min. For detection of PCNA and eGFP-polI proteins, cells were fixed in cold methanol for 20 min at −20°C and then incubated for 30 sec with cold acetone to extract the soluble PCNA fraction. The detection of eGFP-polI foci was not affected by this latter fixation condition. Cells were subsequently washed twice with PBS and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with the primary antibody. Then, cells were washed with PBS and incubated for 45 min with TRITC-conjugated swine antirabbit IgG (Dako), FITC-conjugated goat antimouse IgG (Sigma), or FITC-conjugated goat antirabbit IgG (Sigma). After washing three times for 5 min with PBS, cells were mounted with Glycergel (Dako). No significant signal attributable to secondary antibody alone was detected. For the simultaneous visualization of polI protein and sites of DNA synthesis, cells were incubated in 50 μM BrdU for 15 min before fixation. Immunostaining for polI was performed as described above. After the final wash, antigen-antibody complexes were fixed with 2% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 min. Cells were treated with 2 M HCl at 37°C for 40 min, then neutralized in 0.1 M borate buffer (pH 8.5). After washing in PBS, cells were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with the FITC-conjugated anti-BrdU mAb (Roche). For the simultaneous detection of polI protein and UV-induced damage, cells locally irradiated were fixed with 3% formaldehyde containing 0.5% Triton X-100 for 30 min on ice. Immunostaining for polI was performed as described above. After the final wash, cells were again fixed with 2% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 min and treated with 2 M HCl at 37°C for 10 min. After extensive washes in PBS + 0.5% BSA, cells were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with antithymidine dimers antibody. After three washes in PBS + 0.5% BSA, cells were incubated for 45 min at room temperature with Texas-red conjugated affinityPure goat antimouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch laboratories), washed three times in PBS + 0.5% BSA, and mounted in Vectashield Mounting Medium (Vector Laboratories) containing DAPI.

Cells were photographed with a Zeiss Axioshot2 Photomicroscope. Images were captured using a CCD camera [Princeton Instruments] and colored using MetaMorph software [MetaMorph Imaging System]. A minimum of 200 nuclei were analyzed for each cell line and treatment.

**Time-lapse microscopy**

For observations with living cells, cells were plated onto dishes with coverslips [MatTek], transfected with pEGFP-pol, and UV irradiated as described above. The dishes were then assembled into a live-cell microscopy chamber set to 37°C, that was mounted onto a Zeiss Axiovert 125 inverted microscope. At 1-min intervals, images were captured with a CCD camera.

**Antibodies**

PolI protein was detected using a rabbit polyclonal antibody directed against a peptide corresponding to polI residues 19–34 QVEQERQNPHELHMKPC diluted 1 : 200. Anti-Rad51 protein, from Oncogene Research Products, was diluted 1 : 100; PC10 monoclonal anti-PCNA protein was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Thymidine dimers were detected using TDM2 mouse monoclonal antibody diluted 1 : 2000 [Mori et al. 1991]. All antibodies were diluted in PBS containing 3% BSA.

**Western blot analysis**

Cells scraped from culture dishes were washed with PBS, centrifuged and directly lysed in Laemmli buffer, boiled for 10 min,
and separated on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. Proteins were transferred onto PVDF membranes (Hybond P, Amersham), and probed for 1 h with rabbit anti-GFP antibodies diluted 1:200 (Clontech). After washing, the membrane was incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antirabbit-IgG (1:5000, Dako), and signals were visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL, Amersham).
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