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Abstract

The evidence-based paradigm has changed and evolved medical practice. Phlebotomy, which dates back to the age of ancient Greece, has gained experience through the evolution of medicine becoming a fundamental diagnostic tool. Nowadays it connects the patient with the clinical laboratory dimension building up a bridge. However, more often there is a gap between laboratory and phlebotomist that causes misunderstandings and burdens on patient safety. Therefore, the scope of this review is delivering a view of modern phlebotomy to “bridge” patient and laboratory. In this regard the paper describes devices, tools and procedures in the light of the most recent scientific findings, also discussing their impact on both quality of blood testing and patient safety. It also addresses the issues concerning medical aspect of venipuncture, like the practical approach to the superficial veins anatomy, as well as the management of the patient’s compliance with the blood draw. Thereby, the clinical, technical and practical issues are treated with the same relevance throughout the entire paper.
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Introduction: Historical and etymological perspective

Medicine has considerably changed over the years. Since the age of Hippocrates and Galen, through the evidence-based paradigm, many medical practices have been renewed. However, some of them, thanks to more advanced devices, have been improved but not changed, such as phlebotomy, a kind of “prehistoric survivor”.

The term phlebotomy comes from ancient Greek, and literally means “lancing (tomia from témno) a vein (fléba from flés)”. Sensu strictu, it indicates the practice of causing a loss of blood (bloodletting) for therapeutic purposes (1). In this respect, the term “venipuncture” is considerably more recent, since it refers to the practice of drawing blood (by penetrating the vein’s wall with a needle rather than cutting it with a lancet) for collection and analyses. Nowadays the terms phlebotomy, venipuncture and blood draw are synonymous. In the present paper these terms will be used interchangeably for our convenience. However, the first should be used to generally address any procedure which involves the iatrogenic accessioning to the vein lumen, the second for special cases in which the procedure is performed by means of a needle, and the third for vein accessioning aimed to blood collection. Notwithstanding that, the term phlebotomist is suitable to designate the operator in all of the aforementioned situations.

If we compare ancient phlebotomy versus modern venipuncture, we found a clear relationship with modern medicine. In the past phlebotomy was essentially a therapeutic resource to cure many ailments, whereas nowadays it is the diagnostic basis which medicine relies on to approach most of the known diseases (1,2). Surprisingly, though centuries have passed and paradigms have changed,
medicine seems unable to leave this practice out of consideration.

Due to the relevance gained by phlebotomy for the modern laboratory professionals, the purpose of this review is to deal with this practice in the light of the most recent findings. Our aim is also to raise the awareness of the curtain which very often separates laboratories and phlebotomists, unavoidably opposing excessively theoretical to extremely practical approaches to common issues. This divide inevitably involves patient safety which relies on both of these professionals. Therefore, we propose an approach for laboratory professionals by including all the criticalities proper of this craft.

The review will guide the reader through the procedure of phlebotomy, introducing at first basic tools of the phlebotomist (needle, tourniquet, blood collection system and disinfectant), and addressing their choice and the way they impact the reliability of blood testing. Secondly we will discuss the compliance of the patient to the blood draw, and the way to approach and manage it with respect to the success of the procedure and the safety of the individual. Thirdly we will describe the anatomy of the superficial veins, the identification of the most suitable site of phlebotomy, as well as the issues related to vein accessioning. Finally, in the last paragraph we will illustrate by a preanalytical standpoint how the various steps of the blood draw can be associated with erroneous blood testing results.

**The (good) phlebotomist’s tools**

The basic tools for venipuncture are represented by the tourniquet, the needle with the blood collection device and the stick squeezed by the patient to dilate the veins (which is no longer in use). The needle and the blood collection device represent the tools that have undergone a considerable evolution through the years, and which will be discussed in detail later on. An overview on venipuncture is provided in Table 1.

For drawing blood by venipuncture, a needle with hollow shaft is used (Figure 1A) (3). Because the needle’s tip has a cutting sharp bevel end with a concentric hole, it pierces the skin and breaches the vein wall, so that it is often defined as “epicranial” (which means “on the edge of the head” with respect to the hole position) or “hypodermal” (which means “under the skin” with respect to the penetration depth). Epicranial needles are made of stainless-steel, and differ in the length and gauge (G) of the shaft, with smaller values indicating larger diameters (4). Usually, in adult populations with regular veins, a 21 G needle, which corresponds to 0.82 mm outer diameter and 0.51 mm inner diameter shaft on average, is used for venipuncture. The 23 G needle, which corresponds to 0.64 mm outer diameter and 0.34 mm inner diameter shaft on average, is instead a better choice for paediatric venipuncture or in case of small and fragile veins. Epicranial needles can range in length between 1.9 cm and 5.1 cm on average, but 4 cm long needles are usually used for routine venipuncture with the shaft inserted for about 2 cm into the tissues (5).

There are two kinds of needles for phlebotomy, which can be recognized by their manufacturing, and in turn by the mode of action through which they are inserted (4). A regular or “straight” needle is represented by a metallic hollow shaft with a small body through which it connects to the blood collecting device. Hence, the insertion depends on an indirect mode of action, and how the handler directs the needle. Conversely, the so-called winged or “butterfly” has its own body provided with a pair of wing-like flexible tabs and PVC tubing that connects to the collecting device. The wings can be bended upward becoming a handle for the needle during insertion, and then can be flattened on patient’s skin to stabilize the shaft once it lies within the vein (Figure 1B). Therefore, the insertion depends on a direct mode of action, as the needle is handled regardless of the collecting device. Noteworthy, the wings provide a means to secure the needle’s body to the patient’s skin with strips of adhesive tape or transparent membrane dressings. This makes it possible to use the winged needle also to infuse solutions, although for short periods due to the stiff metallic shaft which can harm the vein with its sharp end. Due to the connecting tubing the void volume of
Table 1. Routine venipuncture at glance.

| Tools            | Pain                     | Pre-analytics              |
|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| **Torniquet**    |                         |                            |
| > elastic lace   | > Pre-procedural         | > Pseudohyperkalemia      |
| > belt           | > trypanophobia          | o torniquet                |
| > Needle         | > vasovagal reaction     | § torniquet pressure (> 60 mm Hg) |
| > regular        | > distraction            | § venous stasis (> 1 min)  |
| § 23 G           | > cough trick            | o fist clenching           |
| § 21 G           |                           | o needle                   |
| > winged         |                           | § mechanical hemolysis     |
| § 23 G           |                           | » bore size                |
| § 21 G           |                           | » winged needle with tubing|
| > Collecting device |                         | o collecting device       |
| > piston syringe |                           | § syringe                  |
| > o elastic lace |                           | » aspiration force         |
| > o trypanophobia|                           | » dispensing pressure      |
| > o torniquet    |                           | § evacuated tube           |
| > o vasovagal    |                           | » vacuum pressure          |
| > o reaction     |                           | » mixing shake             |
| > o torniquet    |                           | » tube order               |
| > o 23 G         |                           | o EDTA contamination       |
| > o 21 G         |                           | § pseudohypocalcemia       |
| > Needle         |                           |                            |
| > distraction    | > Pre-procedural         |                            |
| > o cough trick  | > MACP                    |                            |
| > o fist clenching|                         |                            |
| > o nerve injury | > LACN                    |                            |
| > o LACN         | > MACN                    |                            |
| > o MACN         |                           |                            |
| > Post-procedural|                           |                            |
| > o skin piercing|                         |                            |
| > o MACP         | > LACN                    | o aspirator                |
| > o skin injury  | > MACN                    | o dispensing pressure      |
| > o MACN         | > pseudo-aneurism         | § evacuated tube           |
| > o pseudo-aneurism|                       | » vacuum pressure          |
| > o aneurism     |                           | » mixing shake             |
| > o nerve injury | > pseudo-aneurism         | » tube order               |
| > o aneurism     |                           | o EDTA contamination       |
| > Spurious hemolysis |                       |                            |
| > o torniquet    | > Pre-procedural         | > Pseudohypocalcemia       |
| > o venous stasis(> 1 min) |                   |                            |
| > o needle       | > MACP                    |                            |
| > o mechanical hemolysis |             |                            |
| > o CV (type i, ii, iv) |            |                            |
| > o BV (type i-iv) |                         |                            |
| > o MABV (type i) |                         |                            |
| > o MCV (type ii) |                         |                            |
| > o MCV (type iii) |                        |                            |
| > o MCV (type iv) |                         |                            |
| > o traumatic venipuncture |           |                            |
| > o aspirator    |                           |                            |
| > o dispensing pressure |              |                            |
| > o 23 G         |                           |                            |
| > o 21 G         |                           |                            |
| > Disinfectant   |                           |                            |
| > o alcoholic    |                           |                            |
| > § ethanol      |                           |                            |
| > § isopropyl alcohol |            |                            |
| > § chlorhexidine 2% |              |                            |
| > o non-alcoholic|                           |                            |
| > § povidone-iodine |             |                            |
| > § benzalkonium chloride |         |                            |
| > Anesthetic     |                           |                            |
| > o chemical     |                           |                            |
| > § topic cream  |                           |                            |
| > § intradermic needle |            |                            |
| > o gate control-based |           |                            |
| > § mechanical   |                           |                            |
| > » high frequency |                         |                            |
| > o thermal      |                           |                            |
| > § hot pad      |                           |                            |
| > § cold spray   |                           |                            |
| > Veins          |                           |                            |
| > o cubital fossa|                           |                            |
| > Search         |                           |                            |
| > o torniquet    |                           |                            |
| > o transillumination |             |                            |
| > Characteristic |                           |                            |
| > o elevation    |                           |                            |
| > o depth        |                           |                            |
| > o cross section area |             |                            |
| > Haemocoencentation |                        |                            |
| > o torniquet    |                           |                            |
| > o venous stasis(> 1 min) |          |                            |

Roots (>) are main topics, branches (o) are relevant issues and sub-items (§, », ◊) are details.

MACP - motivational anticipatory cortical process; LACN - lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve; MACN - medial antebrachial cutaneous nerve; CV - cephalic vein; BV - basilic vein; MABV - median antebrachial vein; MCV - median cubital vein. Variations in vein distribution and connections are classified as types i to iv (see Figure 3).
the collecting device is increased. Consequently, especially with large G needles, the time blood flow spends to reach the additives is prolonged, activating platelets and leukocytes (6). However, with large bore size needles (like 21 G) the PVC tubing does not affect significantly the quality of laboratory testing (6). Moreover, if properly unfolded and held, the connecting tubing attenuates the involuntary movements of the needle inserted in the vein, which are due to pulling the plunger or switching the evacuated sampling tube into the holder (5,7). The needles can have a retractile, sliding or a safety shield system to secure the blood shaft once extracted from the patient’s skin (8). Such security systems are of great relevance for the phlebotomist, in that the needle recapping reduces to a sensible risk (odds ratio 1.78, 95% confidence interval 1.11 - 2.86) of percutaneous injuries. Noticeably, the adoption of medical devices incorporating safety-engineered protection mechanisms is explicitly required by the European Council Directive on the prevention of sharps injury in the health care sector (Directive 2010/32/EU) (9). The main collecting devices are the piston syringe and the evacuated-tube system (4). A piston syringe is a simple device in use since the second half of the 19th century. It is made with a plunger that draws the blood into a barrel, to which the needle can be directly locked. This system helps to measure the strength applied to draw blood, preventing collapsing veins or rupture in case of fragility. However, the barrel contains no additives, and it is disadvantageous as the drawn blood has to be successively dispensed into the tubes containing the specific additives. The evacuated-tube system is a more recent device introduced by Beckton and Dickinson in 1949 under the trade name of Vacutainer™ (formerly Evacutainer). In such a system the plunger is absent, and the barrel is replaced by a plastic or glass tube sealed with a rubber stop provided with preformed vacuum (10). By an adaptor it is possible to collect the blood directly in tube in which the required additive is already included. This is achieved by means of a needle which is in the tube holder and that pierces the rubber stop of the evacuated tube (Figure 1C). After drawing blood, the pierce in the rubber stop is resealed when the tube is removed from the holder, thus preventing the sample from leaking out. If the blood testing demands different additives, this system allows switching between multiple tubes without interrupting the blood withdrawal. The colour-code used for the tube stop guides the operator to choose the appropriate additive (11). The evacuated tubes system grants a higher safety for the operator and its environment because the blood specimen always circulates in a closed system (12,13). It also ensures the quality of many lab tests avoiding the mechanical stress of the blood components produced by the dispensing, and ensuring to fill the tube with the appropriate quantity of blood for the required additive. As for the collecting devices, it is noteworthy to mention a third kind represented by the hybrid collecting system introduced by Sarstedt in 1975 and distributed under the trade name of S-Monovette™ (14). In such a device the collecting tube has a plunger which can be pulled during venipuncture to withdraw blood as in a typical syringe (Figure 1D). Alternatively, in order to use it as an evacuated tube, the plunger can be pulled and locked before venipuncture leaving a vacuum

**Figure 1.** A) The piercing needle used for venipuncture has a stainless-steel hollow shaft with a concentric hole on the bevel end; B) a winged needle or “butterfly” has a pair of winglets attached at the base of the shaft, that fold for handling or lay flat for stabilization, and a connection tubing which carries the blood to the collecting tube; C) the dispensing needle, which is fixed into the inner side of tube holder, pierces the rubber stop of the tube allowing the blood to be drawn; D) the Sarstedt’s hybrid system has a collecting tube with a plunger that can be used to withdrawn the blood during venipuncture or pulled before to evacuate the tube.
within. Since the tube includes an additive, there is no need of dispensing the blood afterwards. The Sarstedt’s system is highly flexible, as it allows choosing the collecting technique according to the specific characteristic of the patient. This feature reduces significantly the incidence of haemolysis, allowing halving the rate of unsuitable samples even in a critical setting like the emergency department (15,16). We would like to point out that even with evacuated tubes and hybrid systems a cross-contamination between different additives can happen if the device is not correctly used (as discussed in the last section of this paper).

Another topic which deserves a discussion in this section concerns the disinfectant, a basic but essential tool for the phlebotomist. Skin disinfection can be carried out using diluted alcoholic solutions (ethanol or isopropyl alcohol at 70%), aqueous or alcoholic chlorhexidine solutions or aqueous povidone-iodine solution (17-19). All these agents, or their various combinations, are effective for venipuncture, nevertheless their appropriateness depends on collected kind of samples. Thus, non-alcoholic solutions should be preferred when performing a blood alcohol test whereas alcoholic solutions (especially those with chlorhexidine) should be used for blood cultures (20-22). However, the avoidance to use alcoholic solutions for blood alcohol test seems to be quite controversial, since some authors have shown that swabbing the venipuncture site with 70% isopropyl alcohol or ethanol does not significantly alter the blood alcohol level (23-25). In this regard, it should be said that alcohol tends to evaporate quite rapidly from the skin, reducing the quantity applied of about 50% within 10 seconds (26). Hence, it is likely that contamination may be due to an incorrect procedure, like the excessive wetting of the skin, a too early piercing before the evaporation of the disinfectant, or the needle withdrawal with the alcohol-soaked swab pressed over the puncture site and the evacuated tube still connected (27). Thus, non-alcoholic disinfectants would prevent the false-positive testing regardless of the correctness of the venipuncture procedure. It is noteworthy addressing the role of alcoholic skin disinfectants for percutaneously blood drawn cultures. Alcohol exerts its strong disinfectant activity by denaturing proteins, thus it has a broad range of antimicrobial activity except for spores. The evaporation shortens the duration of this antiseptic effect, nonetheless this is enough to prevent the bacterial carryover due to the skin piercing (28). Regardless of the disinfectant used (alcoholic, aqueous or mixed), contamination is most likely a consequence of the poor compliance to the established procedure, the choice of an unsuitable site or the device used to collect blood (29-31). This is remarkable, as the use of 70% isopropyl alcohol solution is highly cost-effective and ensures the suitability of percutaneously drawn blood samples.

We would finally advise that to effectively reduce contamination, the phlebotomist’s hands hygiene (washing and wearing gloves) is essential as much as the patient’s appropriate skin cleansing. This has been shown by the rate of bacterial contamination found in tourniquets used for routine venipuncture, of which 25% of species found resulted to be multi-antibiotic resistant. In this regard the single-use disposable tourniquet seems to be a valuable alternative, as such kind of device shows the same comfort and usability as the traditional device (32,33).

Dealing with distress, pain and fear of needles

Although minimal, venipuncture is an invasive procedure. Thus, piercing skin can cause distress, pain and even extreme reactions especially in children, mentally ill patient, and sometimes also in adults. The reduced compliance to venipuncture is a major issue for a phlebotomist, and demands a discussion about its management. The pain or intra-procedural discomfort is a major factor in phlebotomy compliance, and depends on the mechanical force that the needle exerts on the skin to penetrate it, given the vein’s wall has no nociceptive innervations. The reduction of the needle diameter, the thickness of the shaft’s wall and the sharpness of the tip’s profile have been shown to effectively improve the penetration (34). This in turn reduces the painful sensation to a
small pinch (35-37). However, the thickness and consistency of skin layers, and thus the resistance opposed to needle penetration, determine the painful sensation as well (37). It should be noticed that the studies on needle penetration involve large G needles used for subcutaneous insulin injection, which usually traumatize a very small surface. In these studies a gross difference of 0.07 mm², corresponding to that between a 27 G and 30 G, was enough to sensibly reduce the occurrence of pain during the skin puncture (35,36). Therefore, due to the gross difference of 0.2 mm² in their respective cross-section area, the 23 G needle, which pierces an area of about 0.32 mm², can be regarded as naturally more comfortable and less painful than the “larger” 21 G. Nonetheless the reduced inner diameter of smaller G needles limits the blood flow, and slows the withdrawal of blood. This increases discomfort and distress, and thus the risk of autonomous reactions (38,39). Thereby a 23 G needle should be chosen to sample a small quantity of blood, or at least be used in a limited number of selected cases where a less traumatic penetration of the vein is required. Particularly the latter condition should correspond to that of small, fragile and easily collapsing vessels of elder patients, infants or subjects with a significant vasospasm, or for accessioning veins of certain body districts like the dorsal side of the hand.

Local anaesthetics, like lidocaine or prilocaine, have been successfully introduced to relieve the intra-procedural pain in children and in adults (40-42). The topical application produces anaesthesia in more than 15 minutes, requires an appropriate application and can cause side effects like vasocostriction, and erythema (43). However, the intra-dermal infiltrated needle-free lidocaine powder has been shown to have a quick onset time (less than 5 minutes), to be cost-effective, broadly applicable and well tolerated by patients (41,44-46). Alternatively, mechanical or thermal (hot or cold) stimulation of venipuncture neighbouring site can be used to relieve pain both in adults and children (47). Such approach relies on the gate control theory of pain, according to which the transmission of peripheral nociception can be reduced by a “competing” concomitant mechanic stimulus incoming from the same somatic district (Figure 2) (48,49). In this regard, a device using high frequency vibrations and refrigeration has been devised for the use in children (50,51). Particularly, it is a small (8 x 5 x 2.5 cm) bee-shaped plastic casing containing a battery-powered vibrator and a cold icepack, which has to be applied 5 cm above the venipuncture site and held in place throughout the entire procedure. Such a device has been shown to be well tolerated, significantly reducing the pain in 4 to 18 years old children, as well as considerably increasing the opportunity of a successful procedure (odds ratio 3.05, 95% confidence interval 1.03 - 9.02) (51). Noteworthy, it has been shown to increase the compliance to venipuncture also in children with cognitive impairment (52). The use of this device demands a strict adherence to manufacturer instructions, or otherwise some haematological and biochemical parameters may result biased (53-55). In fact, the vibrating/refrigerating device is held in place by means of an elastic strap which acts as a tourniquet, and which can produce the same effects on the sample quality done with a regular tourniquet if fasted too tight or for too long.

**Figure 2.** Schematic representation of the neuronal circuit involved in the gate control theory of pain; a nociceptive fibber (NF) transmits a signal incoming from a certain body area, to the central nervous system through a target cell (TC) located in the spinal cord; a fibber of a mechanoreceptor (MF) located in the same area transmits its signalling to either an inhibitory inter-neuron (IN) and to the central nervous system; the IN stimulated by the MF inhibits the TC to transmit the nociceptive signalling to the brain, thus attenuating the painful perception.
None of such remedies has an effect over the so-called “pre-procedural” or anticipatory pain, which is a psychosomatic reaction that onsets for the fear of being hurt, to lose blood or to faint. As a consequence, the patient experiences anxiety and thus a reduced compliance to venipuncture. Age seems to be a main determinant in this context, with younger individuals showing higher self-related and phlebotomist-related anxiety (56). The adults can show an anxious behaviour with respect to phlebotomy, and in about 2% of the cases a clear phobia toward needles (defined as trypanophobia) can be recognized. Trypanophobia, as well as the more general blood-injury-injection phobia, can cause an autonomic reaction which accompanies the exposure to needles. It can produce in almost 5% of the cases a vasovagal reaction (VVR), in which the heart rate and blood pressure increase resembling a “fight-or-flight” response, and then suddenly drops precipitating into fainting (vasovagal syncope) (56,57). The VVR is a harmless affection, which can lead to injuries due to the loss of control over body position, but which very rarely causes more severe consequences like death (56,58). Besides the blood-injury-injection phobia, the other risk factors for VVR are small body size, low estimated blood volume, poor sleep (< 6 hours), as well as the female gender and the Caucasian race (39). Moreover, the perceived or the believed blood loss can trigger the autonomous decompensation which is typical of VVR (59). Notably, a psychosomatic component, in which motivational an anticipatory cortical process toward the cause of the expected pain alters the nociceptive perception, can be recognized for the “intra-procedural” pain as well. This phenomenon can enhance the discrimination of the painful stimulus and in turn can magnify its intensity (60,61).

Particular behavioural techniques can be applied against VVR. In this regard, the applied tension technique is a strategy which involves the body muscles tensing in order to raise the blood pressure and contrast the fainting (62,63). Although it can be done by simply crossing and tightening the legs, the efficacy of such procedure relies on a fully cooperative patient. In case of children the so called “cough-trick” should be used instead (64). In this kind of approach, the child is asked to moderately cough one time just before the needle insertion (“warm-up cough”) and then again at the skin piercing. Alternatively, the simpler distraction which can be obtained by playing with toys, viewing cartoons or listening to music can also be used, in order to successfully contrast the enhancement of the intra-procedural pain perception (65-68).

Noteworthy, the same effect on the patient’s compliance can be obtained by means of local anaesthetics, that reduce the physical perception of pain and can help in overcoming the needle issue, especially if they are delivered by a needle-free system (46,69).

Lastly, a post-procedural pain can be found as a consequence of traumatic venipuncture. The main reasons are the formation of a large hematoma, a pseudo or true aneurisms due to the accidental puncture of the brachial artery, or the onset of a superficial phlebitis (70). In all those circumstances, the onset of pain is delayed respect to phlebotomy, and in some cases it can require a vascular surgery intervention (71,72). However, such complications are very rare in routine venipuncture (< 3%), except for the formation of small harmless ecchymosis which occur in 15% of phlebotomies, and have a diameter of < 20 mm in 90% of the cases (70,73).

As a personal remark, experience suggests that in those subjects that do not show any particular anticipatory sign, a reduced compliance to venipuncture can still occur. A typical condition is in case of a missed first attempt which requires a “searching” by needle probing of the bloodstream. Probably, the sight of the needle moved by the operator under the skin, or the longer than expected procedure may trigger an autonomic decompensation.

**Human anatomy and the approach to the veins**

A proverb of the ancient Romans, famous for having won most of the battles they fought, says that “amat victoria curam” which translates into “victory lies in a careful preparation”. The care taken to recognize the most appropriate site to insert the needle is a key to determine the successful outcome.
of the procedure and reduces the risk of injuries. Therefore, the knowledge of anatomy, alongside with tools used to enhance their visualization, is mandatory and deserves an appropriate discussion, with the awareness that the goal is a suitable rather than a quick phlebotomy.

The main site to draw blood is represented by the cubital fossa of the forearm, which has the shape of an isosceles triangle with the biceps forming the base, and the lateral and medial groups of the antebrachial muscles forming the sides respectively (74). Although in the large part of individuals the running pattern of superficial veins appears well evident in this region, the path and distribution can be irregular and may require a careful inspection before attempting the needle insertion. The main veins in this area are represented by the cephalic (CV), the basilic (BV), the median cubital (MCV), the median antebrachial (MABV), and their various tributaries and anastomosis. Their distribution and connections can be classified into four types (I to IV), according to the dominance of CV or BV with respect to the calibre of the vessel (Figure 3) (75).

Notably, it is important to know the spatial relationship between the path of veins and cutaneous nerves that can be accidentally pinched during needle insertion, causing intense pain and nerve damage (76). For instance, the lateral cutaneous nerve of the forearm usually descends deeply along the CV while the medial cutaneous nerve of the forearm descends superficially along the BV (Figure 4) (77). The most common nerve injury related to venipuncture involves the lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve (LACN), which can lead to the so-called “causalgia” or complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) (78-80). The CRPS can range from a mild and temporary harm, which resolves within few months, up to a severe and permanent damage with chronic pain and the need of complex therapies (81). Noteworthy, the CRPS may be favoured by peculiar anatomical relationships between nerves and veins, as well as by the needle probing in case of a missed attempt (5). However some authors have reported that the use of winged needles may contribute to lower the risk of nerve injury at the upper limb site (82).

Due to congenital causes, extreme leanness or in children, the brachial artery can be found to run superficially, passing closely under the ulnar side of both MCV and BV (Figure 4) (83). In this case an excessive penetration into the vein can cause the needle to pass the vein from side to side, reaching the artery underneath. Although this happens in less than 0.01% of blood donors, it can cause sudden large hematoma with serious bleeding for the
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high arterial pressure, or afterwards lead to a false or true aneurism of the artery (71,72).

Inspection and especially palpation represent the basic approach to identify the site for venipuncture (Figure 5) (74). Palpation allows phlebotomist to recognize the vessel elasticity, depth and consistency of the surrounding tissues. Superficial veins can be poorly evident to sight and palpation in some coloured or obese people, so the tourniquet must be applied several inches above the site of venipuncture in order to enhance their path by inducing venous stasis. To achieve satisfactory result, a moderate pressure (60 mm Hg) for a less than a minute is enough to suitably dilate the vein, avoiding the risk of inducing excessive venous stasis that can alter haematological and biochemical parameters. In terms of width of the venous vessel this condition corresponds to the achievement of the maximal venous cross-section area (VCSA), as it is shown by the ultrasonography imaging (84).

Interestingly, an alternative to tourniquet application can be “stimulating” the vein by tapping the vessel, although its effect may be quite small on average producing just a 4% increase (85).

Sometimes, neither tourniquet nor tapping is effective. In all such cases, a technological help is provided by the trans-illuminating devices, which use the cold near infrared light-emitting diodes to light erythrocyes flowing inside the vessels (75). With such devices, the path of the vein appears as a colourless pattern drawn on a bright surface, as the infrared light which is absorbed by the erythrocyes is instead reflect by the neighboring tissues. In certain trans-illuminating devices the infrared image is not directly shown, but rather it is read by the device and then projected onto the skin surface to produce a guiding pattern for the operator (86). Such devices have been shown to improve the venipuncture procedure without affecting haematological parameters (87,88). However, they do not produce any effect over the VCSA, so that small deep veins remain still hard to pierce although well visible.

Actually, there are alternative sites for venipuncture (89). They are mainly represented by the dor-sal surface of the hand, where veins lay superficially because of the poor connective tissue and muscles. It must be noticed that this site has also the greater pain tolerance threshold among the upper limb sites, thus resulting in the lowest perceived pain intensity (90). Therefore, it may be eligible in those subjects with deep or small veins or with a particular issue of painful reactions. However, veins at this site have a greater mobility due to the poor surrounding connective tissue, and thus they

---

**Figure 4.** Topographic anatomy of the cubital fossa (cross-section at the elbow); vessels: CV) cephalic vein; RA) radial artery; BV) basilic vein; tendons: a) biceps brachii tendon; b) triceps brachii tendon); nerves: a) lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve; b) medial antebrachial cutaneous nerve; c) median nerve; d) ulnar nerve; e) posterior lateral antebrachial nerve; f) radial nerve; muscles and bones: 1) brachioradialis; 2) brachialis; 3) pronator teres; 4) trochlea (humerus); 5) olecranon (ulna); 6) anconeus.

**Figure 5.** A superficial vein can be characterized according to its elevation (e) above the neighbouring skin surface, its depth (d) with respect to the thickness of the surrounding tissues, and its cross-section area (VCSA) with respect to its calibre (adapted from reference 84).
more easily “roll” under the needle’s tip or collapse, causing a missed attempt or a rupture of the vessel.

We would like to mention that a guidance on the good practice in phlebotomy (correct patient positioning, how to wear gloves, labelling samples, disposing used devices, etc.) can be found in the World Health Organization (WHO) issue on blood draw, as well as in the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) H3-A6 issue (91,92). Note-worthy, also some national societies have issued their own guidelines and recommendations, like the Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and the Italian Society of Clinical Biochemistry/Italian Society of Laboratory Medicine (93,94).

The issue of preanalytics: what makes the difference after the blood has been drawn

Collecting blood is the primary objective of venipuncture. Therefore the quality of the specimen, which rules the laboratory preanalytics, is crucial to ensure patient safety (95,96). Indeed, the burden of preanalytical issues is well known to labora-
torians, but sometimes it is underestimated by the phlebotomists which are rather focused on the immediate patient management. There are three major preanalytical issues arising from an incorrect blood draw procedure: haemoconcentration, spurious hyperkalemia and spurious haemolysis (97).

The tourniquet application causes the inner pressure of the vein to increase artificially. If prolonged or excessive, this constriction raises the hydrostatic pressure within the vessel, forcing the water to pass into the outer connective tissue. Thereafter, the collected sample can show haemoconcentration, an activated pro-coagulant response, as well as an altered platelets function (98-100). The prolonged venous stasis, which also favours tissue hypoxia, produces a change in pH which locally affects the electrolytes balance, especially potassium (101).

Since potassium is mainly intracellular, a false elevation in its blood level can be easily achieved through various processes. For instance, a strenuous or prolonged fist clenching or pumping leads to the increase of extracellular potassium due to the depolarization of the skeletal muscle cells (102). Nonetheless, mechanical stress on cells can similarly induce an increase of blood potassium through a membrane leakage. In this regard there are two major mechanical causes related to phlebotomy procedure: the shear stress on red blood cells flowing through a small bore needle which causes haemolysis and the needle probing of the bloodstream which damages the tissue neig-
bouring the venipuncture site (103-105). Under a clinical and laboratory standpoint, the condition in which a patient is found to have hyperkalemia with no other biochemical signs or relevant causes in the medical history is said spurious or pseudo-

A remarkable cause of PHK associated with the phlebotomy procedure is the sample cross-con-
tamination due to tube additives. As the standard haemochromocytometric analysis is carried out on anticoagulated blood sample, the sampling tubes with di- or tri-potassium salt of the ethylen-
ediaminetetraacetic acid (K₂-EDTA and K₃-EDTA) are routinely used. In this regard, it is noteworthy to address two distinct ways through which a sam-
ple can be contaminated by EDTA. If a syringe is used for drawing blood, it can happen when the blood is dispensed in the tube containing the an-
ticoagulant. In this case, the syringe’s needle is thought to carry the contamination after it has ac-
cidentally touched the inner side of the tube coated with the anticoagulant. Instead, if an evacuated tubes system is used, the contamination can be due to the anticoagulated blood which stains the inner needle that perforates the tube stop. In this regard, the order in which the tubes containing different additives are drawn is relevant to prevent contamination. According to the correct order of drawing, an EDTA-containing tube should always be collected after a serum tube or a citrate-anticoagulated whole blood tube (91). Noteworthy, some authors have shown that with both Sarstedt’s and evacuated tubes the recommendation of a precise tube order does not affect the blood testing (109,110). However, it should be noted that draw-
ing an EDTA tube after all the others physically prevents any possible contamination, regardless of the way the system is used as already mentioned in this paper. With respect to PHK it should be finally remarked that tube mixing, necessary to achieve the proper preservation of the collected blood, should be performed only after the tube has been removed from the holder (111).

Whenever an EDTA contamination happens, an abnormal reduction of serum calcium can be shown in the factitious electrolytes imbalance (112). Such a condition, which is defined pseudo-hypocalcemia (PHC), is due to the strong chelating action EDTA exerts on divalent cations and ferric iron (Fe3+). Noteworthy, the strong chelation of calcium can also interfere with coagulation testing, significantly biasing both prothrombin time (PT) and activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) (113). Therefore, the advice to draw EDTA tubes at the end should be followed whenever any other weaker anti-coagulant is used to collect blood, as in case of lithium-heparin (114,115).

Spurious haemolysis (SH) is another common condition associated with venipuncture, and the most frequent cause of sample unsuitability (116,117). The needle bore size along with the withdrawal force is the main factors of SH, since them both induce a mechanical stress which causes the rupture of the cell membrane. In this regard, the needle bore size, and in turn the gauge, may be regarded as a reliable predictor of haemolysis, with 21 G needles showing half the risk of producing an unsuitable sample respect to 23 G (118). However, it should be noticed that the effectiveness of larger bore needles could be mitigated by the choice of the venipuncture site, and that such a lower estimated risk of haemolysis would be reliable only when the phlebotomy is performed on large veins of the antecubital fossa (119). Moreover, a 23 G needle could be as safe as a 21 G if handled correctly, whereas smaller bore size than 23 G needle should be avoided or used in very rare and extreme circumstances (104). Actually, SH can affect several laboratory tests as the red blood cells contain analytes which are routinely tested in serum, like lactate dehydrogenase, aspartate aminotransferase and potassium (116,120,121). Furthermore, SH can cause the release of haemoglobin, whose iron-containing heme group can produce a spectrophotometric interference or, in turn, can react with some of the assay reagents. It is noteworthy to mention that swabbing the venipuncture site with alcohol-containing solutions does not cause any significant haemolysis (122). Haemolysis can be readily detected by visual inspection after sample centrifugation, and directly quantified through a spectrophotometric reading even at low concentration. However, for whole blood samples, haemolysis can be neither observed nor directly measured. Nonetheless, in recent times some authors have proposed an indirect assessment of haemolysis in whole blood samples using the automated cell blood count parameters to compute suitable and reliable indexes (123,124).

Although not strictly related with the procedure of phlebotomy, there are some other issues which deserve a discussion, as they are among the most frequent causes of pre-analytical errors (125,126). The misidentification of the patient as well as the incorrect labelling of the tubes were found to be a critical step in the quality of phlebotomy with respect to the burden of preanalytical errors (127). In this regard, the use of bar coding test tubes, along with the adoption of educational programs which helped to increase the compliance of the operators to the best practice guidelines, resulted successful in lowering the rate of unsuitable samples (128). During venipuncture, the choice of the appropriate tube to use with respect to the additive contained was another relevant factor found to significantly affect the quality of collected samples. Although the colour-code scheme was devised to help the operator in recognizing the additive within the tube, the broad heterogeneity in the scheme adopted by the various manufacturers and the lack of standardization resulted in a serious issue (11). Lastly, we would like to mention body positioning, which is a fundamental but often overlooked factor in laboratory preanalytics. Very recently it has been shown that changing the position from sitting to lying (and vice versa) causes a significant bias in several laboratory values, due to the gravitational adaptation which changes the hydrostatic pressure along the various body
districts (129,130). Noteworthy, the effect that the shift in plasma volume has on hematocrit is regarded as postural pseudoanemia for the consequence it has on haematological testing (131). Although there can be several ways through which phlebotomy can affect the laboratory preanalytics, not all of them produce a significant bias as it can be seen in Table 2.

Following our reasoning on preanalytics issues, we would like to point out that any deviation from the correct blood drawn procedure should be always reported to the laboratory. Therefore, the phlebotomist should record such events like a poor patient preparation, the failure to rest or fast before blood collection, a body position different from sitting, the presence of a venous line in a neighbouring vascular district, a difficult vascular access, etcetera (127).

**Conclusion: crossing the bridge**

Phlebotomy might be erroneously considered a very simple and brief task to undertake. In this respect, a large multicenter study, which reported an average completion time of 6 minutes in about 50% of procedures, also showed that in 10% of cases the blood draw required at least 21 minutes or even a hour to be accomplished, with a third of the patients experiencing more distress or pain than expected (73).

It is thought that to achieve a good skill, corresponding to a rate of successful venipunctures close to 99% at first attempt, about one year of practice is necessary (132). However, the phlebotomist’s success is not only in its numbers. The occurrence of VVR, which is one of the mostly feared complications follows a negative trend decreasing to a minimum in about one year (132). Interestingly, it is not possible to say whether such a coincidence between length of training and fall in the rate of VVR may come from the actual experience gained by the operator in promptly accessing suitable veins, or rather be due to the psychological effect exerted on patients by an “experienced-looking” phlebotomist.

Through the years technology has provided some useful devices to aid the phlebotomists in their craft, increasing the quality of collected specimens

---

**Table 2. Preanalytical bias of routine venipuncture.**

| Venipuncture factor | Needle gauge | Needle type | Toruniquet application | Body positioning |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Lab parameter       | 23 G vs. 21 G| Winged vs. regular | 1 minute | 3 minute | Sitting vs. lying |
| Clinical chemistry  | negligible   | negligible  | Albumin (+1.58%; ±1.3%) | ALR (+15.7%; ±12.0%) | Albumin (+2.0%; ±1.4%) |
|                     |              |            | Calcium (+1.6%; ±0.8%)  | Albumin (+8.6%; ±1.3%) | Protein (+2.9%; ±1.4%) |
|                     |              |            | Chloride (-0.5%; ±0.5%) | Calcium (+3.6%; ±0.8%) | |
|                     |              |            | Potassium (-2.8%; ±1.8%) | Chloride (-1.1%; ±0.5%) | |
|                     |              |            |                        | Cholesterol (+9.1%; ±4.0%) | |
|                     |              |            |                        | Glucose (-3.7%; ±2.2%) | |
|                     |              |            |                        | Iron (+8.8%; ±8.8%) | |
|                     |              |            |                        | Potassium (-4.8%; ±1.8%) | |
| Platelets and       | negligible   | negligible | ALR (-6.7%; ±5.6%)     | ALR (-10.1%; ±4.8%) | |
| coagulation         |              |            | RBC (+3.6%; ±1.7%)     | Fibrinogen (+10.1%; ±4.8%) | |
|                     |              |            | Haemoglobin (+3.0%; ±1.8%) | Haemoglobin (+6.2%; ±1.8%) | |
|                     |              |            | Haematocrit (+3.7%; ±1.7%) | Haematocrit (+7.3%; ±1.7%) | |
|                     |              |            | Lymphocytes (-7.9%; ±7.4%) | Lymphocytes (-10.7%; ±7.4%) | |
| Haematology         | n.a.         | negligible | WBC (+10.1%; ±5.6%)    | WBC (+10.1%; ±4.8%) | |
|                     |              |            | RBC (+7.4%; ±1.7%)     | RBC (+7.4%; ±1.7%) | |
|                     |              |            | Haemoglobin (+6.2%; ±1.8%) | Haematocrit (+7.3%; ±1.7%) | |
|                     |              |            | Haematocrit (+2.3%; ±1.8%) | Haematocrit (+1.7%; ±1.7%) | |

Data in brackets are the actual and desirable bias respectively; “n.a.” indicates factors for which bias was not measured regardless to a significant effect may exist.
and the safety of the patients. Moreover, the evidence-based medicine paradigm has codified the tricks of the trade into procedures and rules, giving precise references and guidance. However, there is no mathematical formula that can tell which is the right vein, the right needle, the right angle and the right force that will give the perfect blood draw. The only certainty is that all the efforts made to grant the safety of the customers and the quality of the service allows phlebotomy to bridge the gap between patient and laboratory.
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