STUDY ON APPLICATION OF MULTIPLEX LIGATION-DEPENDENT PROBE AMPLIFICATION (MLPA) ASSAY IN MOLECULAR DIAGNOSIS OF RETINOBLASTOMA
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SUMMARY

Retinoblastoma (Rb) is a malignant retinal tumor on young children which is often founded before the age of 5. This cancer disease appears when both of RB1 alleles on 13q14.2 chromosome are mutated. The aim of this research is to evaluate the ability of Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA) in screening of RB1 gene insertion/deletions in Vietnamese patients with Rb. Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood of the research subjects and subsequently analyzed by MLPA technique. To prove the results of MLPA, quantitative real-time PCR was used for determining the RB1 gene copy number for all samples. Two significant deletion mutations were identified on two different Rb patients, one is the deletion from exon 4 to exon 27 recorded on KVM38 sample, and the other is the complete removal of an allele on KVM21 sample. The MLPA showed a complete correlation with real-time PCR results. These are the disease causing mutations, can be inherited and they are important evidences for genetic counseling and clinical management. Those results have proven the high speed and reliability of MLPA method in identifying deletion/duplication mutations on Rb patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Retinoblastoma (Rb) is a malignant retinal tumor caused by mutations in both alleles of the RB1 gene and often encountered in young children under 5 years old. This tumor appears on both sexes with the ratio ranging from 1/15000 to 1/20000 regardless the races (Kivelä, 2009; Vogel, 1979). Approximately 40% of patients are heritable, and 60% cases are non-heritable Rb (Gao, et al., 2011). Both types of Rb are caused by the inactivation of both alleles of tumor suppressing genes RB1 which are located on chromosome 13 (Dimaras, et al., 2012). Heritable form of Rb is caused by first germinal mutation and the second one acquired in the somatic retina cells. RB1 protein plays an important role in regulating cell proliferation and differentiation, it involves in G1/S transition by inhibiting E2F transcription factors which are necessary to active S phase. The inactivation of RB1 has the most significant impact on a group of cone cell precursors in the development of retina. The high expression of RB1 gene in these cell groups proves its important role in the regulation of cell proliferation (Xu et al., 2009). Mutations in the RB1 gene are highly heterogeneous and scattered in the promoter and the 27 exons. To date, more than 1600 distinct mutations, ranging from small mutations to large deletions, have been registered in the RB1 Gene Mutation Database (He et al., 2014). Every year, Vietnam National Institute of Ophthalmology diagnosed about 40 new cases of Rb. Most of the cases, patients were hospitalized in the late stage and missed the chance of saving their eyes. Among those cases, the majority of patients were born in a family with Retinoblastoma anamnesis which indicated a tight relationship between heredity and this disease. In 2005, Nguyen Cong Kiet and Nguyen Tri Dung had studied about inherited characteristic of 30 RB1 cases in Viet Nam. Using karyotyping method, this research group had...
identified only one case that had a deletion on 13q14 chromosome (Cong Kiet N, Dung Tri N, 2005). In 2014, Nguyen Hai Ha and colleagues had identified two mutations in RB1 gene of 2 children with Rb (Hai Ha N et al., 2014). In 2016, Nguyen Hai Ha and colleagues had combined DNA and cDNA analysis in screening of RB1 gene mutation of a family with Rb. The result has shown that the healthy father and his two affected children carried a mutation resulting in aberrant RB1 pre-mRNA splicing. In the developed countries, RB1 gene testing has become a periodic test on Rb patients (Robson et al., 2015), due to RB1 mutation is a source of evidences for genetic counseling and clinical management. In Vietnam, although a high amount of budget has been invested for the development of clinical treatment technique, the development of molecular technique for early diagnose of Rb is almost still left open.

About 15%–25% of mutations detected in Rb cases were large deletion/duplication on RB1 gene (Ahani et al., 2011). Due to the limitation of Sanger sequencing that allows only the detection of missense mutation, small deletion/duplication, combining multiple technique to identify large deletion/duplication on RB1 gene is necessary. From 2002, Multiplex Ligation dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA) had been accepted as sufficiently sensitive technique for detecting copy number (gain or loss) of a single exon of human gene (Schouten, et al., 2002). This is a high throughput method developed to identify copy number of up to 50 DNA sequences using a multiplex PCR reaction. To date, the information of MLPA application in genetic testing for RB1 in Vietnam is still unknown. The present study aims to evaluate MLPA for detecting deletion/duplication mutations in molecular diagnosis of Rb. From here, it is more possible to avoid deficiencies in diagnosis and the data for genetic counseling for the patient’s family will be more complete.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study subjects

This study selected DNA samples from 4 children, one is a healthy child (REF) and three children (KVM21, KVM22 and KVM23) diagnosed with Rb by ophthalmologists from the Vietnam National Institute of Ophthalmology, Hanoi, Vietnam (Table 1). All of these samples were negative with RB1 gene point mutations screening by direct sequencing method. The research has been conducted at the Institute of Genome Research, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology.

Table 1. Summary of patient’s disease status

| Sample’s ID | Sex    | Tumor location | Family anamnesis |
|-------------|--------|----------------|------------------|
|             |        | Left eye       | Right eye        |                  |
| REF         | Female | No             | No               | No               |
| KVM21       | Male   | Yes            | Yes              | Affected Father  |
| KVM22       | Female | Yes            | Yes              | No               |
| KVM38       | Female | Yes            | Yes              | No               |

Genomic DNA isolation

Peripheral blood from patients and healthy people were stored in EDTA tubes in –20°C fridge until use. We used E.Z.N.A Blood DNA mini kit (Promega) to extract genomic DNA from peripheral blood samples according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After purification, genomic DNA samples were quantified by Qubit Fluorometer BR DNA kit (Broad-range). Fluorescent signal from the dye is proportional with concentration of bound DNA. From here, qubit fluorometer will receive the signal and calculate double stranded DNA concentration based on the standard curve built from standard samples (included in the kit).

Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) assay

To identify deletions/duplications on RB1 gene, MPLA technique was performed using SALSA MLPA P047-D1 RB1 Probmix kit (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, Netherlands) following the manufacturer’s protocol. P047-D1 RB1 probemix contain the probes for 26 over 27 RB1’s exons. There is not any probe for exon 15 since it is located very close to the adjacent exons.
Furthermore, this probemix contains several probes for RB1 gene’s junctions (48 kb upstream and 35 kb downstream of the gene) as well as one probe for DLEU1 gene and two probes for PCDH8 gene at the rear of RB1 gene which are located 1.6 Mb and 4.5 Mb, respectively. To prepare for MLPA reaction, total DNA was diluted to the concentration of 10ng/µl in TE 0.1. Fifty ng of genomic DNA with a total volume of 5µl was denatured and hybridized with SALSA probemix, following by incubation at 60°C for 16~20h. Subsequently, the annealed probes were ligated using Ligase65 at 54°C for 5min. In the next step, all ligated products were used as template for DNA amplification. The amplicons were run on Genetic Analyzer 3500 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The collected data was analyzed by Coffalyzer.net software. Subject having normal copy number was expected to produce a normalized signal value ratio of 0.8~1.2, 0.65 and 1.3 were used as cut-off values for heterozygous deletion and heterozygous duplication, respectively.

Real-time PCR assay

Gene dosage of different samples was performed with relative quantification real-time PCR method. Real-time PCR reaction was performed using Luna Universal qPCR Master Mix (M3003-NEB) and primers used for quantitative analysis of RB1 gene were referred to Ahani’s study (RB1-RT-E7, RB1-RT-E22, and RPPH1, a reference gene with single copy) (Ahani et al., 2013). The copy numbers of each exon in comparison to reference gene was determined according to equation: \[ \Delta \Delta Ct = Ct_{RPPH1(\text{reference sample})} - Ct_{RB1\text{exon(\text{reference sample})}} - \left( Ct_{RPPH1(\text{unknown sample})} - Ct_{RB1\text{exon(\text{unknown sample})}} \right) \]. Then the relative copy numbers of the gene were calculated following ratio equation \(2^{\Delta \Delta Ct}\). The expected values were about 1 for normal coy number, 0.5 for heterozygous deletions and 1.5 for heterozygous duplications.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification of RB1 gene deletions/duplications by MLPA assay

The genomic DNA concentrations from three Rb affected children and a healthy child, as a reference sample, were ranging from 20 to 37.5 ng/µl (Table 2). Electrophoresis of total DNA product on agarose gel showed clear and bright bands (data not shown), indicated that the product was not broken and reached purification level for the next experiment. As a result, heterozygous deletions were found in KVM21 (whole gene) and KVM38 (partially from exon 4 to exon 27) while KVM22 did not have any abnormal copy number compared to reference sample. The DQ values of KVM21 (exon 1-27) and KVM38 (exon 4-27) ranging from 0.44~0.6 and 0.41~0.67, respectively. In KVM21, the signal peaks of exon 1 to 27 were all half lower than the control samples (Figure 1A-B). Similar signal peaks pattern was observed from exon 4 to exon 27 of KVM38 (Fig. 1D). For KVM22, DQ value of all probes were about 0.8~1.2 similar to the control samples, indicating there were not any large deletion/duplication appear in the RB1 gene of this patient. The electropherogram of KVM22 was also illustrated the peaks with corresponding height to that of control samples (Fig. 1C).

| Sample | Concentration (ng/µl) |
|--------|-----------------------|
| REF    | 37.5                  |
| KVM21  | 34.1                  |
| KVM22  | 22.2                  |
| KVM38  | 20                    |

Identification of RB1 gene deletions/duplications by real-time PCR assay

Real-time PCR is a high throughput technique for determining gene copy number by measuring of PCR amplicon accumulation in real time. This study conducted real-time PCR as an additional method to validate the MLPA results. DNA samples of 3 patients and 1 healthy child above were applied to real-time PCR assay using specific primer pairs for exon 7 and exon 22 of RB1 gene. The comparative analysis results showed that the copy numbers (presented as \(2^{\Delta \Delta Ct}\) value) of exon 7 and exon 22 of KVM38 sample was equal to those of reference sample while the copy numbers of KVM21 and KVM38 were less than one-haft when comparing to the reference sample (Fig. 2). Those results correlated completely with MLPA results.
Figure 1. Electrophotogram of MLPA. KVM: patient samples; REF: healthy control sample.
Screening \(\text{RB1}\) genetic mutations is a critical step in clinical management as well as genetic counseling for patient’s family. Previously, gross rearrangements of \(\text{RB1}\) gene can be detected by several techniques such as karyotype, G-banding, FISH, QFM-PCR (quantitative fluorescent multiplex polymerase chain reaction), MLPA and real-time PCR (Houdayer et al., 2004; Lohmann et al., 1992; Lohmann et al., 1994; Zielinski et al., 2005). However, karyotype and FISH can only recognize huge re-combination such as the deletion of a whole genome. Real-time PCR has a high accuracy but is hard to carry out a high throughput in one single run. MLPA and QFM-PCR has an advantage that allows reading the whole gene in one reaction. QFM-PCR can usually have technical problem, has relatively low throughput and low-reproductively, while MLPA is easier to carry out because all the necessary reagents and probes are commercially available. Furthermore, MLPA is technically uncomplicated and suitable for processing large number of samples with short turnaround time. Some research results showed that combining sequencing and MLPA had increased the sensitivity of diagnosis. Specifically, a research from China demonstrated that the rate of mutation detection had increased from 78.6% (only Sanger sequencing) to 92.3% (combined sequencing with MLPA) (He et al., 2014). Research on Malaysian patients had also reported that the rate of mutation recognition is 52.6% (combine sequencing with MLPA), higher than that of sequencing only (36.8%) and MLPA only (15.8%) (Mohd Khalid et al., 2015). Direct sequencing of \(\text{RB1}\) exons and intron boundary regions combine with detection of large deletion/duplication by using MLPA is a standard method for identifying germline mutations. In this research, we have found 2/3 of children with Rb having large deletion on \(\text{RB1}\) gene, one had a complete loss of one allele and one had a partial deletion from exon 4-27 of \(\text{RB1}\) gene. These are germinal mutations, both of them had tumor developed in both eyes. For the KVM22 sample, both DNA sequencing and MLPA method could not identify any germline mutation in \(\text{RB1}\) gene despite this child is bilateral. This issue can be explained by three hypotheses. First, this patient carries mutations on both of the \(\text{RB1}\) alleles but it only appeared during the development of retina, these are somatic mutations and are not inheritable. In this case, \(\text{RB1}\) gene mutations in patient tumor can be continued to analyze. Second, this child carries mutations in \(\text{RB1}\) gene but in the somatic form which occurred during embryonic development so that not all cells in the body were mutated, thus the mutation could not be found in peripheral blood sample. In order to accurately detect somatic mutation, high sensitivity methods are required such as allele specific amplification or next generation sequencing (Chen et al., 2014). Third, this patient is unlikely to carry...
any mutation in \textit{RB1} gene, as this is reasonable since some previous studies have implied that somatic mutations in some other genes can also responsibility for the formation and development of retina tumor (Kooi et al., 2016).

We have succeeded in approaching analysis of Rb patient samples in order to identify deletions/duplications in \textit{RB1} gene by MLPA method. This research has contributed to build up a more comprehensive genetic analysis of Rb patients in Vietnam. Survivors of hereditary retinoblastoma have increased risk of developing other cancers later in life. Therefore, the collected results are an important source of evidences for clinical management and genetic counseling for patient’s family as well as prognosis for the occurrence of tumors on other organs of Rb patients, for instance, osteosarcoma and melanoma.

CONCLUSION

We were successful in identifying 2 of 3 Rb patients with large heterozygous deletion mutations in \textit{RB1} gene. The collected results indicated that MLPA is a fast, reliable and powerful method to assess the deletions/duplications of \textit{RB1} gene in patients with retinoblastoma. The results of this study contribute to the improvement of molecular analysis and technique in diagnosis of Retinoblastoma in Vietnam.
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NGHIÊN CỨ ÚNG DỤNG KỸ THUẬT KHUÊCH ĐẠI ĐẦU DÒ DA MỞ (MLPA) TRONG CHÂN DOÁN PHÁN TỬ BỆNH U NGUYÊN BAO VÔNG MẮC

Vũ Phương Nhung¹ ², Nguyễn Thị Thanh Hoa¹ ², Ma Thị Huyền Thương¹, Trần Thị Bích Ngọc¹, Nguyễn Đăng Tôn¹³, Nguyễn Thùy Dương¹ ², Nông Văn Hải¹², Nguyễn Hải Hà¹ ²

¹Viện Nghiên cứu hệ gene, Viện Hàn lâm Khoa học và Công nghệ Việt Nam
²Học viện Khoa học và Công nghệ, Viện Hàn lâm Khoa học và Công nghệ Việt Nam

TÓM TÀT

U nguyên bao vông mạc (Rb) là bệnh mắt đặc tính ở trẻ em, thường biểu hiện trước 5 tuổi. Bệnh phát triển khi cá 2 alen của gen RB1 trên 13q14.2 bị đột biến. Mục tiêu của nghiên cứu này là đánh giá khả năng sử dụng phương pháp MLPA (khuếch đại đầu đo đa mở) để phát hiện các đột biến mặt doan/lặp doan trên gen RB1 ở những bệnh nhân u nguyên bao vông mạc Việt Nam. DNA tổng so sánh đặc biệt từ mẫu ngoại vi của các bệnh nhân và được phân tích bằng phương pháp MLPA. Để kiểm định kết quả phân tích gen của MLPA, số lượng base so gen RB1 của các mẫu nghiên cứu đã được xác định bằng phương pháp real-time PCR định lượng. Hai đột biến mặt doan lớn được phát hiện trên bệnh nhân KVM21 (một toán bò 1 alen RB1) và KVM38 (một từ exon 4 đến exon 27). Kết quả thu được từ MLPA hoàn toàn phù hợp với kết quả kiểm tra bằng real-time PCR. Dây là những đột biến gây bệnh và dễ truyền được, do đó thông tin về những đột biến này rất có ý nghĩa đối với tư vấn di truyền và quản lý lâm sàng. Kết quả từ nghiên cứu này cho thấy MLPA là phương pháp nhanh chóng và đáng tin cậy trong việc phát hiện những đột biến mặt doan/lặp doan ở bệnh nhân u nguyên bao vông mạc.
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