Spinochrome Identification and Quantification in Pacific Sea Urchin Shells, Coelomic Fluid and Eggs Using HPLC-DAD-MS
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Abstract: The high-performance liquid chromatography method coupled with diode array and mass spectrometric detector (HPLC-DAD-MS) method for quinonoid pigment identification and quantification in sea urchin samples was developed and validated. The composition and quantitative ratio of the quinonoid pigments of the shells of 16 species of sea urchins, collected in the temperate (Sea of Japan) and tropical (South-China Sea) climatic zones of the Pacific Ocean over several years, were studied. The compositions of the quinonoid pigments of sea urchins Maretia planulata, Scaphechinus griseus, Laganum decagonale and Phyllacanthus imperialis were studied for the first time. A study of the composition of the quinonoid pigments of the coelomic fluid of ten species of sea urchins was conducted. The composition of quinonoid pigments of Echinarchaeus parma jelly-like egg membrane, of Scaphechinus mirabilis developing embryos and pluteus, was reported for the first time. In the case of Scaphechinus mirabilis, we have shown that the compositions of pigment granules of the shell epidermis, coelomic fluid, egg membrane, developing embryos and pluteus are different, which should enable a fuller understanding of the functions of pigments at different stages of life.
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1. Introduction

The red granules discovered by MacMunn in the perivisceral fluid of the sea urchin Echinus esculentus over a century ago inspired research on the composition, structure, function and biological activity of the quinonoid pigments they contained, and still attract the attention of chemists and biologists [1]. Today, it is known that pigment granules are mostly concentrated in the epidermis, covering the shell and needles of the sea urchin, and its pigment pattern is characteristic of the species [2,3]. Pigment granules have been found in red spherule cells in the coelomic fluid (CF) for all species of sea urchins. In healthy specimens, the rest of the CF is not colored. For a long time, it was assumed that echinochrome A was the main pigment in the composition of red spherule cells, and plays a protective role against bacteria [4]. Thus, during bacterial or viral infection, as well as during injury and other damage to the organs of the sea urchin, pigments are released from red spherulocytes and the encapsulation of foreign objects occurs. Pigment granules were found in the jelly-like membrane covering the eggs of many, but not all, species of sea urchins. The number of granules and their location in the egg membrane (at the edge or in the centre) can also be a species characteristic. Thus, sea urchins of the order Clypeasteroidea (Scaphechinus griseus, Scaphechinus mirabilis, and Echinarchaeus parma) have from 15 to 40 pigment-containing chromatophores in the jelly-like egg membrane, the largest number being found in S. mirabilis eggs [5]. The brightly colored granules are easily
detectable under an optical microscope in eggs, CF, gastrulating embryos and the larvae of *S. mirabilis* [6,7].

Spinochromes, the quinonoid pigments of sea urchins, are known for their diverse pharmacological effects: antioxidant [8–11], antimicrobial [12,13], antiviral [14], cardioprotective [15], neuroprotective, among others [16–18]. Despite numerous biochemical studies of spinochromes and examples of their use in medicinal practice, the physiological functions of these compounds in sea urchins are far from understood. The reason for this is the lack of sufficient information on the qualitative and quantitative composition of spinochromes in the body of sea urchins at different life stages, and on the influence of environmental factors on their content. At present, the capabilities of various mass spectrometric analytical techniques make it possible to study the composition and quantitative content of compounds, with high sensitivity for small amounts of natural samples. This work is the result of many years of research on quinonoid pigments of 16 species of sea urchins, collected in various regions of the Pacific Ocean, using the validated high performance liquid chromatography method coupled with diode array and mass spectrometric detector (HPLC-DAD-MS) method. We also present an attempt to analyze the structural diversity of spinochromes described in the literature.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. *Spinochrome Isolation*

In this work, aqueous ethanol (70%) containing sulfuric acid (10%) was used to extract pigments from the shells of sea urchins. From the crude extracts concentrated under reduced pressure, quinonoid pigments were sequentially extracted, first with chloroform and then ethyl acetate. This extraction was used in order to separate the sum of pigments into two fractions, each containing groups of pigments with different polarities, and to provide a fuller extraction of minor quinonoid pigments. Chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts were combined and analyzed, to determine the composition and quantitative content of spinochromes in the samples.

2.2. *HPLC-DAD-MS Method Development and Validation*

To identify quinonoid pigments and evaluate their content in sea urchins extracts, HPLC-DAD-MS was used. In the HPLC-DAD-MS method, we have developed HPLC conditions to successfully separate more than 20 quinonoid pigments of sea urchins during one short analysis. For its development, we used standard samples of spinochromes, the structures of which were previously established by us using NMR spectroscopy and high-resolution mass spectrometry [10,19–23]. The use of a diode array detector (DAD) makes it possible to obtain absorption spectra of spinochromes in the wavelength range from 200 to 700 nm, with characteristic absorption maxima for each compound. A mass spectrometric detector with electrospray ionization (ESI-MS) and a single quadrupole mass analyser was used, allowing [M + H]+ and [M – H]– ion signals to be obtained. Validation of the HPLC method was performed, during which the following parameters were checked: specificity, linearity, limit of detection (LOD), and limit of quantification (LOQ) for standard samples, as well as accuracy and reproducibility of the method (Table 1 and Table S1).

The detection wavelength (λ) of 254 nm was selected based on all target compounds having intense absorption within the range λ = 235–280 nm. The high specificity and stability of the method is confirmed by extensive statistical analysis of real sample extracts from over 20 species of sea urchin. Due to preliminary preparation of the samples, the peaks of the target compounds did not overlap with the impurity peaks, and a sufficient pH level of the eluting system and column temperature control (40 °C) made it possible to obtain stable retention times of spinochromes with the columns used.

The linearity of the method was confirmed by the regression equations presented in Table 1 and by the correlation coefficients (R2), the values of which were greater than 0.99. The analytical region for echinochrome A (16) was defined as the range of 72–600 ng/mL, spinochrome D (3) within 134–800 ng/mL, spinochrome E (1) within
160–760 ng/mL, and 7,7’-anhydroethylidene-6,6’-bis(2,3,7-trihydroxynaphthazarin) (11) within 240–1500 ng/mL. The accuracy and reproducibility of the method for the quantitative determination of standard samples 1, 3, 11 and 16 are confirmed by the results shown in Table S1 (Supplementary Material).

Table 1. Validation parameters of the main components of sea urchin extracts at λ 254 nm.

| Standard Sample | Equation of Peak Area Versus Concentration | R²     | LOD * (ng/mL) | LOQ ** (ng/mL) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------|--------|---------------|----------------|
| 1               | y = 7.3003x − 0.1007                      | 0.9992 | 48            | 159            |
| 3               | y = 6.5882x − 0.2588                      | 0.9990 | 40            | 134            |
| 11              | y = 4.5986x − 0.4385                      | 0.9984 | 72            | 240            |
| 16              | y = 9.5797x − 0.2320                      | 0.9991 | 22            | 72             |

* LOD: limit of detection; ** LOQ: limit of quantification.

Therefore, the analysis of chromatograms allows the expression of the relative content of each pigment in the extract as a molar percentage of the total pigment composition. To calculate the content of each compound, the peak area was used as the main parameter.

In our laboratory, the library of HPLC-MS parameters of spinochromes and their synthetic analogues was created and constantly updated. Identification of quinonoid pigments was performed on the basis of retention time, absorption spectra and [M − H]– ions m/z values, in comparison with data obtained from standard samples. HPLC-MS parameters of all compounds detected during this work are shown in Table 2, and the structures of known pigments are shown in Figure 1.

Table 2. HPLC-MS parameters of quinonoid pigments of sea urchins.

| No | Rt, min | m/z [M − H] | λ_max, nm | Formula | Compound |
|----|---------|-------------|-----------|---------|----------|
| 1  | 5.56    | 253         | 264, 390, 478 | C_{10}H_{16}O_{8} | Spinochrome E |
| 2  | 6.67    | 252         | 275, 370, 473 | C_{10}H_{16}O_{8} | Spinamine E |
| 3  | 7.09    | 227         | 251, 327, 463 | C_{10}H_{14}O_{7} | Spinochrome D |
| 4  | 7.45    | 221         | 265, 320, 409, 471 | C_{10}H_{14}O_{7} | Spinochrome B |
| 5  | 7.53    | 229         | 256, 321, 471 | C_{12}H_{12}O_{6} | Dehydroechinochrome |
| 6  | 8.11    | 535         | 261, 333, 439, 473 | - | Not identified |
| 7  | 8.40    | 221         | 270, 315, 515, 559 | C_{10}H_{16}O_{8} | Mompain |
| 8  | 8.49    | 262         | 272, 319, 471 | C_{12}H_{12}O_{6} | Acetylanthroti-naphthoquinone |
| 9  | 8.86    | 483         | 264, 325, 452 | C_{22}H_{22}O_{13} | Mirabiquinone |
| 10 | 9.77    | 279         | 290, 456 | C_{12}H_{12}O_{8} | Spinochrome C |
| 11 | 9.83    | 483         | 265, 316, 470 | C_{22}H_{22}O_{13} | 7,7’-Anhydroethylidene-6,6’-bis(2,3,7-trihydroxynaphthazarin) |
| 12 | 10.14   | 499         | 264, 404, 469, 532 | - | Not identified |
| 13 | 10.40   | 247         | 219, 268, 299 | C_{12}H_{12}O_{6} | 2-Acetyl-3-hydroxynaphthazarin |
| 14 | 10.42   | 501         | 254, 339, 471 | C_{22}H_{22}O_{14} | Ethylidene-3,3’-bis(2,3,7-trihydroxynaphthazarin) |
| 15 | 10.46   | 765         | 217, 273, 344, 473 | - | Not identified |
| 16 | 10.62   | 265         | 254, 338, 471 | C_{12}H_{12}O_{7} | Echinochrome A |
| 17 | 10.64   | 263         | 266, 312, 470 | C_{12}H_{12}O_{7} | Spinochrome A |
| 18 | 11.06   | 527         | 260, 335, 465, 482 | - | Not identified |
| 19 | 11.35   | 264         | 276, 352, 477 | C_{13}H_{12}O_{6} | Echinamide A |
| 20 | 11.56   | 279         | 252, 330, 491, 525 | C_{13}H_{12}O_{7} | 7-Ethyl-3,5,6,8-tetrahydroxy-2-methoxy-1,4-naphthoquinone |
| 21 | 11.66   | 264         | 274, 352, 477 | C_{12}H_{12}O_{7} | Echinamide B |
| 22 | 11.73   | 279         | 256, 332, 474, 497, 538 | C_{13}H_{12}O_{7} | 7-Ethyl-2,5,6,8-tetrahydroxy-3-methoxy-1,4-naphthoquinone |
2.3. Quinonoid Pigments of Sea Urchin Shells and Spines

When discussing the contents of individual spinochromes in extracts of sea urchins, integer average values are given in the text, and statistically processed values are given in Table 3.

2.3.1. Order Camarodonta

We have studied the composition of quinonoid pigments of representative sea urchins from two families of the Camarodonta order—Strongylocentrotidae and Toxopneustidae. From the Strongylocentrotidae family, the composition of pigments was determined for sea urchins from a temperate climatic zone, *Mesocentrotus nudus* and *Strongylocentrotus intermedius*. The Toxopneustidae family in this work was represented by two tropical species, namely *Toxopneustes pileolus* and *Tripneustes gratilla*.
### Table 3. Occurrence and content of quinonoid pigments in the shells of sea urchins.

| Family      | Species                        | Content of Main Spinochromes, % of Pigment Sum | Other Pigments, % | Total PHNQ Content, µg/g |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
|             |                                 | Ech A (16) A (17) B (4) C (10) D (3) E (1) |                   |                          |
| REGULAR SEA URCHINS |                               |                                               |                   |                          |
| Order Camarodonta       | Strongylocentrotidae           |                                              |                   |                          |
|                         | Mesocentrotus nudus            | 21.0 ± 3.7                                    | 2 (2.2 ± 1.8), 18 (4.4 ± 3.5) | 89.9 ± 13.3              |
|                         | Strongylocentrotus intermedius | 3.3 ± 0.3                                    |                   |                          |
|                         | Toxopneustidae                 |                                              |                   |                          |
|                         | Toxopneustes pileolus          | 79.5 ± 5.3                                    | 14 (5.6 ± 2.2)    | 90.2 ± 7.3               |
|                         | Tripneustes gratilla           | 26.1 ± 4.3                                    | 67.2 ± 11.8       | 93.3 ± 6.8               |
| Order Cidaroida         | Cidaridae                      | 74.7 ± 5.7                                    |                   |                          |
|                         | Phyllacanthus imperialis       | 23.1 ± 3.8                                    |                   |                          |
| Order Diadematoida      | Diadematidae                   |                                              |                   |                          |
|                         | Diadema savignyi               | 80.2 ± 6.9                                    |                   | 1129.9 ± 63.8            |
|                         | Diadema setosum                | 92.1 ± 4.2                                    |                   | 1267.1 ± 88.1            |
|                         | Echinolohix calamaris          | 65.3 ± 9.6                                    |                   | 138.8 ± 7.3              |
|                         | Echinolohix diadema            | 39.9 ± 11.3                                   |                   | 116.6 ± 5.9              |
| Order Stomopneustoida   | Stomopneustidae                |                                              |                   |                          |
|                         | Stomopneustes variolaris       | 81.4 ± 9.1                                    | 5 (3.3 ± 0.3), 11 (2.9 ± 2.5), 12 (3.0 ± 0.9) | 116.6 ± 5.1              |
|                       |                                 | 10.3 ± 6.8                                    | 5 (9.3 ± 0.8)     | 66.7 ± 5.1               |
| IRREGULAR SEA URCHINS  |                                 |                                               |                   |                          |
| Order Clypeasteroida    | Echinarcheniidae               |                                              |                   |                          |
|                         | Echinarcheniidae variculris    | 14.6 ± 5.2                                    |                   | 116.6 ± 7.4              |
|                         | Laganidae                      | 13.3 ± 1.9                                    |                   |                          |
|                         | Lagunum decagonale             | 28.3 ± 8.1                                    |                   |                          |
|                         | Scutellidae                    | 11.7 ± 4.7                                    |                   |                          |
|                         | Scaphechinus mirabilis         | 27.6 ± 4.3                                    |                   |                          |
|                         | Scaphechinus griseus           | 9 (2.0 ± 0.2), 11 (2.3 ± 1.1), 14 (1.8 ± 0.4) | 27.9 ± 8.8        |
|                       | Maretiidae                     |                                              |                   |                          |
|                         | Maretiidae                     | 89.1 ± 8.7                                    |                   | 1525.9 ± 93.4            |
|                       | Maretiidae                     | 18.3 ± 6.2                                    |                   | 87.3 ± 5.5               |
| Order Spatangoida       | Loveniidae                     |                                              |                   |                          |
|                         | Echinocardium cordatum         | 78.1 ± 6.7                                    |                   | 37.8 ± 6.8               |
|                         | Maretiidae                     | 94.3 ± 5.4                                    |                   | 42.6 ± 3.7               |
|                         | Maretiidae                     | 17 ± 0.2                                      |                   |                          |
|                         | Maretiidae                     | 20.2 ± 10.9                                   |                   |                          |
Strongylocentrotidae

Sea urchins of the species *Mesocentrotus nudus* belong to the Strongylocentrotidae family, and are a dominant sea urchin species in the northwest Pacific. The main component of the total extract of *M. nudus* from the Sea of Japan was spinochrome E (1) (up to 54%), echinochrome A (16) (up to 18%), spinochromes A (17) (8.5%), B (4) (1%), C (10) (4%), D (3) (3%), and spinamine E (2) (approximately 3%), along with unknown pigment 18 (up to 8%) (Table 3). In the mass spectrum of this compound, a peak \([M - H]^+\) of \(m/z\) 527 can be seen, and its absorption spectrum was distinguished by the presence of an additional absorption band in the long-wavelength region, at 542 nm (Table 2). The retention time, absorption and mass spectra of pigment 18 coincided with those of the synthetic sample of 6,7,8,9-tetrahydroxy-4-methyl-2-(3,5,6,7,8-pentahydroxy-1,4-dioxo-1,4-dihydronaphthalen-2-yl)-3,4-dihydro-2H-benzo[g]chromene-5,10-dione [24].

Previously, 3-acetyl-2,7-dihydroxy-6-methylnaphthazarin was isolated from the test and spines of *M. nudus* [25]. In addition, acetylaminotrihydroxynaphthoquinone was detected in *M. nudus* by using ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) [26].

Sea urchins of the species Strongylocentrotus intermedius are widespread in the Sea of Japan and in the southern part of the Sea of Okhotsk, at shallow depths (up to 25 m) [27]. In the total extract of *S. intermedius* from the Sea of Japan, the main pigment was binaphthoquinone 11 (up to 40%), and spinochromes D (3) (up to 19%), E (1) (10%), A (17) (about 1%), and binaphthoquinones 14 (7%) and 9 (6%) were also identified (Table 3, Figure S1). In addition to the known compounds, the extract contained compound 18 (up to 7%), same as in *M. nudus*. Previously, spinochromes A, B, C and ethylidene-6,6′-bis(2,3,7-trihydroxynaphthazarin) were isolated from this species of sea urchin, in samples also collected from the Sea of Japan [28]. Li et al., using macroporous resin extraction, isolated only spinochrome B from *S. intermedius* crude pigment extract [29]; however, this may be due to a low pigment desorption rate.

Toxopneustidae

Tropical sea urchins of the species *Tripneustes gratilla* and *Toxopneustes pileolus* have similar habitats in the seas of the Indo-Pacific, the Red Sea, near the Bahamas, and in Hawaii [30,31]. Both are “collector urchins”—they cover themselves with fragments of shells, corals and pieces of algae.

The total obtained extract of *T. pileolus* from the South China Sea contained echinochrome A (16) (80%) as the main component, as well as spinochromes B (4), C (10), D (3) and binaphthoquinone 14, in amounts of 4–6% (Table 3, Figure S2). Earlier, Koltsova et al. investigated the butanol extract of *T. pileolus* from the South China Sea [32], which was found to contain spinochromes A, B and C, and their content was dependent on the colour of the sea urchin: spinochrome B prevailed in green-brown individuals, and spinochrome A in bluish individuals. Brasseur et al. investigated the composition of pigments in the ether extract of *T. pileolus* collected near Madagascar, the main pigment was spinochrome B, while the minor components were spinochrome A-Iso 2, spinochrome D-Iso 1, spinochromes C and E, and echinochrome A [12].

In the chloroform extract of *T. gratilla* collected from the South China Sea, the main compound was spinochrome A (17) and small amounts of mompain (7) were also present, consistent with published data [3] (Figure S3). In the ethyl acetate extract of *T. gratilla*, spinochrome E (1) was first identified, and then its aminated analogue 2 (Figure S4). In the total extract, the pigment content was as follows: spinochrome E (1) (up to 67%), spinochrome A (17) (up to 26%), spinamine E (2) (up to 5%) and mompain (7) (approximately 2%) (Table 3). Brasseur et al., in the ether extract of *T. gratilla* collected near Madagascar, reported the presence of spinochrome D-Iso 3, spinochrome E, and echinochrome A [12].
2.3.2. Order Cidaroida

The quinonoid pigments of the tropical sea urchin *Phyllacanthus imperialis* were studied here for the first time. According to HPLC-MS analysis, the *P. imperialis* ethyl acetate extract did not contain quinonoid pigments. Spinochromes A (17) (77%) and C (10) (23%) were present as the main compounds in the chloroform extract of *P. imperialis* (Table 3, Figure S5), as well as trace amounts of acetylaminotrihydroxynaphthoquinone 8 (up to 0.2%), previously detected by Zhou et al. in *S. nudus* [26].

2.3.3. Order Diadematoida

**Diadema Genus**

*Diadema savignyi* and *D. setosum* are sympatric species of sea urchins with reproductive isolation; that is, they originate from the same population and occupy the same habitat, but have different breeding periods [33]. Due to their common origin, these species have a highly similar pigment composition.

The total extract of *D. savignyi* contained mainly echinochrome A (16) (83%), as well as seven minor pigments, five of which were identified as spinochromes E (1) (4%) and D (3) (2%), binaphthoquinone 11 (3%) and two echinochrome A monomethyl ethers 20 and 22 (approximately 1.5% each) (Table 3, Figure S6). In addition to the known pigments, an oxidation product of echinochrome A dehydroechinochrome (5) was discovered (approximately 3%). Pigment 12 (up to 1%) was also detected, with a typical naphthazarin spectrum and m/z [M − H]− of 499, likely a spinochrome dimer (Table 2).

In the *D. setosum* extract, as in *D. savignyi*, the main pigment was echinochrome A (16) (92%), and spinochrome E (1) (up to 4%) and echinochrome A methyl ether 20 (up to 4%) were also present in small quantities (Table 3, Figure S7).

In the first study of the quinonoid pigments of *D. setosum*, only echinochrome A was detected [34]; afterwards, Anderson et al. found that the pigments present in the Hawaiian sea urchin *D. setosum* are echinochrome A, spinochrome A and an unknown pigment [3]. Koltsova et al. isolated this unknown pigment, and identified it as echinochrome A methyl ether 7-ethyl-2,6-dihydroxy-3-methoxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (22) [35]. In addition, Koltsova et al. discovered echinochrome A and its methyl ether 22 in *D. savignyi*. Recently, Brasseur et al. discovered, in *D. savignyi* from Madagascar, echinochrome A as the main pigment and small amounts of spinochrome D-Iso 1 [12].

**Echinodrithrix Genus**

The total extracts of sea urchins of closely related species *Echinodrithrix calamaris* and *E. diadema* contained three main components, echinochrome A (16) and spinochromes E (1) and D (3) (Table 3, Figures S8 and S9). Two more compounds were present in the extract of *E. calamaris*, namely binaphthoquinone 11 and a pigment with m/z [M − H]− of 247. Moore et al. previously isolated three pigments having the same molecular weight from the same species of sea urchin [36]. The absorption spectrum of the pigment found in this work turned out to be closest to that of compound 13 (Table 2). The extract of *E. diadema* also contained binaphthoquinone 11 and two minor pigments, dehydroechinochrome (5) and pigment 12.

2.3.4. Order Stomopneustoida

The total extract of the sea urchin *Stomopneustes variolaris*, the only representative of its genus, contained three compounds—echinochrome A (16) as the main pigment (81%), as well as insignificant amounts of its oxidation product 5 (approximately 9%) and spinochrome E (1) (approximately 10%) (Table 3, Figure S10). Previously, only echinochrome A was isolated from *S. variolaris* [37].

2.3.5. Order Clypeasteroida

From the order Clypeasteroida, the composition of quinonoid pigments of flat sea urchins of four species was studied: *Echinarchnium parma* from the family Echinarchniidae,
Laganum decagonale from the family Laganidae, and Scaphechinus griseus and S. mirabilis from the family Scutellidae.

The amphiboreal species Echinarchaeus parma is distributed in the Pacific Ocean, from the Bering Sea to the Japanese Islands, and in the Atlantic Ocean it is common along the eastern coast of North America [38]. The total extract of E. parma from the Sea of Japan contained three main pigments, binaphthoquinone 11 (up to 52%), echinochrome A (16) (up to 25%) and spinochrome D (3) (up to 14%); as well as mirabiquinone (9) (up to 8%) and insignificant amounts of spinochrome E (1) (up to 5%), pigment 18 (up to 4%), and binaphthoquinone 14 (up to 2%) (Table 3, Figure S11). The composition and ratio of pigments of the extract of E. parma from the Sea of Japan were similar to those in E. parma from the Sea of Okhotsk studied previously; however, neither echinamines A and B were found, and pigment 18 was present [23].

Quinodoid pigments of Laganum decagonale were investigated here for the first time. Only the ethyl acetate extract of L. decagonale contained the following compounds: echinochrome A (16) (up to 45%), spinoochromes C (10) (up to 37%) and E (1) (up to 17%) (Table 3, Figure S12).

Flat sea urchins Scaphechinus mirabilis are common in the Sea of Japan and the Sea of Okhotsk, on the Commander Islands on the eastern coast of Kamchatka, and live on sandy soils to a depth of 150 m, slightly sprinkled with sand, or burrow into the ground [39]. Earlier, only echinochrome A was found in S. mirabilis [40]. According to HPLC-MS analysis, the chloroform extract of S. mirabilis collected in the Sea of Japan contained predominantly echinochrome A (16), as well as small amounts of echinamines A (19) and B (21), binaphthoquinone 11 and spinochrome D (3) (Table 3, Figure S13). The ethyl acetate extract of S. mirabilis contained spinochrome D (3) and binaphthoquinones 9, 11, and 14 (Table 3, Figure S14).

Representative specimens of S. griseus, related to S. mirabilis species, live in the Sea of Japan and in the southern part of the Sea of Okhotsk, at depths from 1 to 50 m; similar to S. mirabilis, they prefer sandy soils. The quinoid pigments of this species of flat sea urchins have not been previously studied. In the chloroform extract of S. griseus collected from the Sea of Japan, the main pigment was echinochrome A (16), similar to S. mirabilis, and spinochrome E (1) and binaphthoquinones 9 and 11 were also identified (Figure S15). The ethyl acetate extract of S. griseus contained spinochromes E (1) and D (3) and binaphthoquinones 11 and 14 (Figure S16).

2.3.6. Order Spatangoida

The compositions of the quinoid pigments of two heart-shaped sea urchins of various families of the Spatangoida order have been studied, collected from different climatic zones: Echinocardium cordatum, which lives in temperate latitudes, and the tropical species Maretia planulata.

E. cordatum is representative of heart-shaped sea urchins, at depths from littoral to 230 m in temperate latitudes of the Atlantic and Pacific oceans [41]. In the total extract of E. cordatum collected from the Sea of Japan, echinochrome A (16) (up to 88%), as well as spinochromes E (1) (approximately 8%) and D (3) (up to 4%) were mainly present (Table 3, Figure S17). Previously, only one pigment, echinochrome A, was found in this species of sea urchin [3].

The pigment composition of the tropical sea urchin M. planulata was studied here for the first time. The main pigment in the total extract of M. planulata was echinochrome A (16) (94%), and spinochrome E (1) (up to 6%) was also found (Table 3).

As a result, a number of conclusions can be drawn from Table 3.

It is well known that the composition of secondary metabolites in marine organisms may differ significantly, due to geographical, ecological, seasonal and gender differences, among other variables. Therefore, it is highly likely that reported differences in spinochrome compositions in sea urchins of the same species may be due to these variables.
Interestingly, in many cases, the spinochrome composition determined in this work differs from that published previously, which will be reasoned in the text (vide infra).

Already, fifty years has passed since Anderson et al. [3] summarized the data on the distribution of spinochromes in nearly 60 species of sea urchins, and found that echinochrome A and spinochromes A–E are the most common pigments found in sea urchins.

In 1966, the research group led by Richard E. Moore and Paul J. Scheuer isolated 11 new spinochromes, besides echinochrome A and spinochromes A–D, from the spines of two species of Echinolithrix sea urchins, *E. diadema* and *E. calamaris*: 2-hydroxy-3-acetyl-naphthazarin, 2-hydroxy-6-ethyljuglone, 2-hydroxy-6-ethylnaphthazarin, naphthopurpurin, 2,7-dihydroxy-6-acetyljuglone, 2,7-dihydroxy-3-ethylnaphthazarin, 2,5-dihydroxy-3-ethylbenzoquinone, 2-hydroxy-6-acetyl naphthazarin, 2,3,7-trihydroxy-6-acetyljuglone, and 2,3,7-trihydroxy-6-ethyljuglone [36]. In 1968, the structure of the first pigment containing a four-carbon unit attached to a naphthoquinone system was described: 2-methyl-8-hydroxy-2H-pyrano[3,2-q]naphthazarin [42]. The structures of these compounds have been proven by spectral methods and synthesis; however, the nativeness of these compounds raises doubts, as for the past half century (and earlier), there has been no other report on the detection of these compounds in sea urchins. It is assumed that the juglone and naphthazarin derivatives described by Moore et al. may be artifacts of isolation, as, to isolate these pigments, the authors used extreme procedures, such as long-term separation on acidic silica gel and vacuum sublimation.

For over 15 years, the drug substance Echinochrome has been produced from the sea urchin *Scaphechinus mirabilis*; therefore, outcoming control of the content and composition of pigments in natural raw materials and analysis of accompanying impurities (their rate is not more than 2%) is performed in the finished product. Our experience shows that, at one of the stages of the technological process (vacuum sublimation stage), at least 10 degradation products of echinochrome A are formed, the structures of which were identified as derivatives of juglone (3,5,6,7-tetrahydroxy-2-ethyl-1,4-naphthoquinone; 2,5,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-ethyl-1,4-naphthoquinone) and naphthazarin (2,5,8-trihydroxy-3-ethyl-1,4-naphthoquinone; 2,5,6,8-tetrahydroxy-3-ethyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (ethylmompain); 2,5,7,8-tetrahydroxy-3-ethyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (ethylisomompain); 2,3,5,8-tetrahydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (spinazarin); 6-ethyl-2,3,5,8-tetrahydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (ethylspinarazin) [19,43]. Similarly, 3-acetyl-2,7-dihydroxy-6-methylnaphthazarin was isolated from the test and spines of *M. nudus* after vacuum sublimation [25]. Moreover, the properties of the unprecedented 2,5-dihydroxy-3-ethylbenzoquinone, obtained from Echinolithrix sea urchins as reported by Moore, fully correspond to the structure of benzoquinone forming as a product of the oxidative destruction of echinochrome A, isolated recently [44]. However, none of the aforementioned compounds were found in the original samples of sea urchin extracts for many years.

Therefore, Table S2 (Supplementary Material) is presented, containing quinonoid pigments with established structures found in various sea urchin species, as well as Table S3 (Supplementary Material), containing compounds that have been found at least once in sea urchins, but whose nativeness has not been proven.

Recently, Brasseur et al. in several reports have described three potentially new quinonoid pigments with established structures found in various sea urchin species, as well as Table S3 (Supplementary Material), containing compounds that have been found at least once in sea urchins, but whose nativeness has not been proven.

Figure 2A shows the HPLC chromatogram of one fraction obtained after chromatographic separation of the *S. mirabilis* ethyl acetate extract containing spinochrome D [20].
together with the chromatogram obtained from the MS-detector in selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode, with the targeted mass \( m/z \) \([M - H]^-\) of 237. The compound with retention time 6.67 min has the same \( m/z \) \([M - H]^-\) value (237) as spinochrome D; however, it does not have the quinonoid structure, as seen from Figure 2B,C which shows the absorption spectra of spinochrome D and the compound with retention time 6.67 min.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** (A) HPLC chromatogram of one of the fractions obtained after chromatographic separation of the *S. mirabilis* ethyl acetate extract containing spinochrome D, together with the chromatogram obtained from the mass spectrometric (MS) detector in selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode with the targeted mass \( m/z \) \([M - H]^-\) of 237. (B) Absorption spectrum of spinochrome D. (C) Absorption spectrum of the compound detected by SIM, with retention time 6.67 min.

Another example is the compound 2,3,4,5,7-pentahydroxy-6-ethylinden-1-one, which also has \( m/z \) \([M - H]^-\) at 237 and is a product of the oxidative destruction of echinochrome A (measured \( m/z \) \([M - H]^-\) of 237.0397, compared to that calculated for C11H9O6, \( m/z \) \([M - H]^-\) of 237.0405) [44]. Thus, considering the example of spinochrome D, we have doubts that reported by Brasseur et al. isomers of spinochromes A, B, and D have quinonoid nature.

Spinochromes easily fragment via the removal of H2O, CO, and CO2 [48,49]. In the experimental sections in previous reports by Brasseur et al. [12,45–47], it was indicated the mass spectrometric equipment used allows the obtaining of high-resolution mass spectra and of MS/MS fragmentation of quinonoid pigments. However, these data, as well as the absorption spectra, were not presented.

It is known that any assumption cited many times is eventually presented as fact. This is confirmed by the fact that the compounds claimed to be spinochrome A-Iso 2, spinochrome D-Iso 1 and spinochrome D-Iso 3, the structures of which were suggested in previous reports [12,45–47] from only their retention times and low-resolution mass spectra, are already included in other reviews in the list of known quinonoid metabolites of sea urchins [17,48].

Taking all of this into account, in addition to Tables S2 and S3 is presented Table S4 (Supplementary Material), containing quinonoid pigments found in sea urchins that have no established structure, but have at least absorption spectra and high-resolution mass spectra, and Table S5 (Supplementary Material), containing compounds found in sea urchins without proof of any quinonoid nature.

### 2.4. Total Quinonoid Pigments Content in Shells of Sea Urchins

The literature describes various methods for determining the quantitative content of pigments in sea urchins. Amarovich et al. calculated the total content of quinonoid pigments based on the ratio of the mass of the obtained extract to the mass of dry shells of sea urchins [50]. Powell et al. expressed the content of pigments in sea urchins as gallic acid equivalents, by the method of determination of total phenols [51]. A group of
Belgian scientists used the MS method, using 2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone as an internal standard, to quantitatively evaluate the content of each pigment in the extract [12]. In this work, the total quantitative content of quinonoid pigments in sea urchins was determined spectrophotometrically, and expressed as the equivalent of echinochrome A (µg/g dry shell), as shown in Table 3.

Heart-shaped sea urchins Echinocardium cordatum and Mareta planulata were characterized by their low content of quinonoid pigments (38–42 µg/g), as reported for the boreal heart-shaped sea urchin Brisaster latifrons [23].

Among flat sea urchins, the content of quinonoid pigments was highly different between species. L. decagonale had a low content of quinonoid pigments (27.9 ± 8.8 µg/g). S. griseus were characterized by a moderate content of quinonoid pigments (87.3 ± 5.5 µg/g), and their related species S. mirabilis was characterized by a high content of pigments (1525.9 ± 93.4 µg/g). The content of pigments in samples of E. parma collected in the Sea of Japan (116.6 ± 8.6 µg/g) was almost four times higher compared to that of samples of E. parma from the Sea of Okhotsk (31.6 ± 0.6 µg/g) [23].

Regular sea urchins of the Strongylocentrotidae family M. nudus and S. intermedius had moderate pigment contents (89.9 ± 13.3 µg/g and 175.7 ± 11.3 µg/g, respectively), comparable to that of their related species S. droebachiensis [23]. In regular tropical sea urchins of the families Toxopneustidae, Cidaridae and Stomopneustidae, and in the genus Echinolhostrix from the Diadematidae family, the content of quinonoid pigments was moderate (70 to 140 µg/g). Sea urchins of the genus Diadema from the Diadematidae family differed, with a high content of quinonoid pigments (between 1129.9 ± 63.8 to 1267.1 ± 88.1 µg/g).

Thus, among the 16 species of Pacific sea urchins studied, the most promising sources of quinonoid pigments (in addition to S. mirabilis, which is already used to obtain the substance for Histochrome preparation) are sea urchins D. savignyi and D. setosum.

2.5. Quinonoid Pigments of CF of Sea Urchins

In the CF of sea urchins, various types of freely circulating cells have the common name of coelomocytes, and are divided into four subpopulations, the functions of which are still unclear: amoebocytes, red and colorless spherulocytes (morulocytes), and vibratil cells [52]. Coelomocytes are considered immune effectors of the sea urchin, due to their ability to respond to injuries, the invasion of viruses, germs, parasites and other factors. Coelomocytes respond to these interventions by phagocytosis, encapsulation and release of cytotoxic agents [53]. When studying the antimicrobial effect of the CF of the sea urchin Echinus esculentus towards marine bacteria, it was found that the main bactericidal agent of the CF is echinochrome A (16), which is contained in pigment granules of red spherulocytes [34]. In many later works devoted to the study of the functions of the CF of sea urchins, the authors refer to this study and indicate that red spherulocytes contain echinochrome A (16) [55–57]; however, chemical studies of the composition of the pigment granules have not been carried out. In several studies, the absorption spectra of CF extracts were presented as confirmation of the presence of echinochrome A (16) in spherulocytes [58].

We first conducted a study of the composition of the quinonoid pigments of the CF, from ten species of the following sea urchins: Strongylocentrotus intermedius, S. pallidus, S. polyacanthus, Mesocentrotus nudus, Echinocardium cordatum, Scaphechinus mirabilis, Echinarchinus parma, Astrophyga radiata, Diadema setosum and Echnothrix calamaris.

According to HPLC-MS analysis, the main pigment of the CF of sea urchins S. mirabilis, E. parma, E. cordatum, A. radiata, D. setosum and E. calamaris was echinochrome A (16), as well as minor amounts of its oxidation product dehydroechinochrome (5). In the CF of sea urchins D. setosum and E. calamaris, spinochrome E (1) was present (Figure 3) in addition to compounds 5 and 16.

The absorption spectra of ethanol extracts of the CF of sea urchins M. nudus, S. pallidus, and S. polyacanthus differed from that of echinochrome A (Figure S18). When comparing the obtained data with the absorption spectra of standard samples of spinochromes, it was
found that the spectrum of *M. nudus* CF extract coincided with the spectrum of spinochrome E (1), also confirmed by HPLC-MS analysis (Figure 3).

The absorption spectrum of *S. intermedius* CF extract is identical to the spectrum of echinochrome A (Figure S18); however, according to HPLC-MS analysis, the extract contained mainly binaphthoquinone 14, as well as spinochromes E (1) and D (3), and three unidentified pigments 6, 15 and 18 with m/z [M−H]− of 535, 765 and 527, respectively (Figure 3). Based on this, it is clear that it is insufficient to use data on the absorption spectrum of the extract to determine the composition of pigments.

The composition of the quinonoid pigments of *S. droebachiensis* CF was close to that of *S. intermedius*; however, *S. droebachiensis* CF contained more spinochrome E (1), echinochrome A (16) was present, and pigments 15 and 18 were not detected (Figure 3). Previously, Hira et al. identified spinochromes C, D and E and binaphthoquinones 6 and 14 [48], in CF of *S. droebachiensis* from the coast of Tromsø, Norway.

Thus, it has been found that: the composition of the quinonoid pigments of the CF from sea urchins of different species may differ; they are not limited, as often believed, to echinochrome A; and they also correlate with the set of pigments present in the shells of the corresponding species of sea urchins.

It is shown in Table 4 that the content of quinonoid pigments in the CF of individual sea urchins varies within a fairly wide range. The distribution of the values of spinochrome content in the CF of individual animals was highly heterogeneous, and did not form
a maximum peak as in the previous report [54]. In this study, sea urchins were not distinguished by health status, age, sex and other characteristics, as this is a difficult task.

Table 4. Content of quinonoid pigments in the CF of sea urchins (n is the number of individuals).

| Species        | E. cordatum (n = 63) | E. parma (n = 77) | M. nudus (n = 61) | S. intermedius (n = 39) | S. mirabilis (n = 85) |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Quinonoid pigments content, µg/mL | 8–38                 | 4–31              | 14–103           | 5–27                   | 10–93                |

2.6. Quinonoid Pigments of Eggs and Embryos of Sea Urchins

Previously, Koltsova et al., using thin layer chromatography (TLC), found only one pigment, echinochrome A, in extracts of non-fertilized eggs, embryos at the gastrula stage, and pluteus of sand dollar Scaphechinus mirabilis [59]. Recent mass spectrometric studies have shown that the pigment composition in the eggs and embryos of this sea urchin is more diverse. Thus, Drozdov et al., using the enzymatic method of pigment extraction, which does not require sample preparation of the crude extract, and analyzing the mass spectra of anions obtained by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI-TOF-MS), found that pigment granules of S. mirabilis eggs contain spinochrome E, and a small amount of spinochrome D; while echinochrome A and spinochrome D have also been found in shells of adult sea urchins [60].

In acidic ethanol extracts of S. mirabilis eggs, spinochrome E and a small amount of spinochrome D were also found using ESI-MS; however, in shells of this sea urchin, in addition to echinochrome A and spinochrome D, echinamines A and B and binaphthoquinones were identified (Table 3). For the first time, red pigment granules appear in S. mirabilis embryos at the stage of early gastrula. At the stage of pluteus, the number of pigment granules increases significantly [61].

According to our data, on days 3 and 21 of the development of the S. mirabilis pluteus, the pigment composition remains the same: echinochrome A and spinochrome E, and trace amounts of spinochrome D (Figure S19). The same composition of pigments was found by Ageenko et al. in 3-day blastula-derived primary cell cultures of S. mirabilis [62].

In another species of sea urchins of the order Clypeasteroida E. parma in a jelly-like egg membrane, a wide range of quinonoid pigments with compositions similar to those of the shell pigment was found, mainly binaphthoquinones 14 and 6 and spinochrome D (3), and small contents of echinochrome A (16) and spinochrome E (1) were identified (Figure S20).

From this, it follows that, in the same species of sea urchins, the composition of quinonoid pigments in the shell epidermis, red spherulocytes of the CF, the jelly-like egg membrane, and in developing embryos may be different, as they perform different functions in the development and the preservation of the species, but it is usually more diverse in the epidermis of the shell of adult sea urchins, as this region is most susceptible to aggressive environmental influences.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

HPLC-grade water and acetic acid were purchased from Panreac Quimica (Barcelona, Spain). MeCN (grade 0) was sourced from Cryochrom (Saint Petersburg, Russia). Other solvents used in this study were of analytical grade. Ethanol (LLC “Bifarm”, Moscow, Russia) was distilled prior to use. The standard sample of echinochrome A (registration number P N002362/02-2003) was produced by G.B. Elyakov Pacific Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry (Vladivostok, Russia). Mompain was isolated as an impurity of the drug substance echinochrome A [19]. Mirabiquinone [20] and echinamines A and B [21] were isolated previously from Scaphechinus mirabilis. Dehydroechinochrome [22] and echinochrome A monomethyl ethers 20 and 22 [23] were obtained synthetically from echinochrome A. Spinochromes A, B, C and E and spinamine E were isolated
from *St. pallidus* and *M. nudus* [10]. Spinochrome D, 7,7′-anhydroethylidene-6,6′-bis(2,3,7-trihydroxynaphthazarin), and ethylidene-3,3′-bis(2,6,7-trihydroxynaphthazarin) were isolated from *Astropyga radiata* [23].

### 3.2. HPLC-DAD-MS Analysis

HPLC-DAD-MS was performed using a system consisting of a CBM-20A system controller (Shimadzu USA Manufacturing Inc., Canby, OR, USA), two LC-20 CE pumps (Shimadzu USA Manufacturing Inc., Canby, OR, USA), a DGU-20A3 degasser (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan), a SIL-20A autosampler (Shimadzu USA Manufacturing Inc., Canby, OR, USA), a diode-matrix SPD-M20A (Shimadzu USA Manufacturing Inc., Canby, OR, USA), and mass-spectrometric detector LCMS-2020 (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan). The separation was carried out on a Discovery HS C18 column (150 × 2.1 mm, 3 µm particle size, Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) with a Supelguard Ascentis C18 pre-column (2 × 2.1 mm, 3 µm particle size, Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) using a binary gradient of H2O (A): MeCN (B) with the addition of 0.2% AcOH, at a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min and column temperature of 40 °C. The gradient was as follows: 0–6 min, 10–40% (B); 6–11 min, 40–100% (B); 11–12 min, 100% (B); 12–13 min, 100–10% (B); and 13–17 min, 10% (B). The chromatograms were recorded at 254 nm. Mass spectra were taken in ESI mode at atmospheric pressure, recording negative ions (1.50 kV) in the m/z range of 100–900, with N2 as drying gas (10 L/min) and N2 nebulizer gas flow (1.5 L/min), temperature for the curved desolvation line (CDL) at 200 °C and for the heat block at 250 °C, and interface voltage of 3.5 kV. Prior to analysis, samples were filtered through a 0.2 µm PTFE syringe filter. The injection volume was 3 µL.

### 3.3. HPLC Method Validation

The linearity of the method was established by using methanolic solutions of standard samples of echinochrome A, spinochromes D and E, and 7,7′-anhydroethylidene-6,6′-bis(2,3,7-trihydroxy-naphthazarin) at concentrations of 50–1500 ng/mL. Each sample was injected at least in triplicate. Calibration curves were constructed as a linear regression analysis of the peak area versus concentration. The limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) of standard samples were calculated as concentrations at which the signal-to-noise ratio is below 3 and 10, respectively. The accuracy of the method was established by recovery studies of standard samples (100–1300 ng/mL), and this data is provided in Table S1. Accuracy was expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD) and recovery (%). Selectivity was confirmed via peak purity studies using a DAD detector.

### 3.4. Animal Material

Sea urchins of the Sea of Japan were harvested by scuba divers at depths of 1–20 m in Trositsa Bay, at the Marine Experimental Station (Risovaya Pad, Primorsky Krai, 692707) (Table 5). Taxonomic identification was provided by Prof. A.L. Drozdov of the A.V. Zhirmunsky Institute of Marine Biology, of the Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences. Voucher specimens of the above-mentioned sea urchins were deposited in the collection of the Laboratory of Chemistry of Natural Quinonoid Compounds, of the G.B. Elyakov Pacific Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Vladivostok, Russia.

Samples of sea urchins of the South China Sea were collected by both dredging and scuba divers, during the 45th and 49th scientific cruises of R/V Academic Oparin, in June 2013 and November 2016, respectively. The details are presented in Table 5. The species of sea urchins were identified by Dr. Nguyen Thi My Ngan, Department of Museum, Institute of Oceanography, Nhatrang, Vietnam, and deposited in the Nhatrang Institute of Technology Research and Application, Nhatrang, Vietnam.

Immediately after collection of the animal material, the internal organs of the sea urchins were removed; shells and spines were crushed (except for *S. mirabilis, S. griseus, E. parma*, and *L. decagonale*, which were frozen whole) and stored in a −20 °C freezer prior to extraction.
The CF of sea urchins *M. nudus*, *S. intermedius*, *S. pallidus*, *S. polyacanthus*, *S. droebachiensis* and *E. cordatum* was withdrawn through the peristomial membrane using a syringe, into a 50 mL plastic tube, according to [58]. CF from *S. mirabilis* and *E. parma* was collected as described in [63]. No anti-coagulant was used; CF from each sea urchin was left in plastic tubes for clotting for 2 h at room temperature. Clots were separated from serum by centrifugation (3000 rpm, 10 °C, 20 min), and stored in a −20 °C freezer prior to extraction.

**Table 5.** List of the collected sea urchin samples.

| Species                  | Collection Area and Period | Coordinates                | Depth, m |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| **South China Sea**      |                            |                             |          |
| *Astropyga radiata*      | Nha Trang Bay, June 2014   | 12°11′59″ N, 109°15′55″ E   | 12       |
| *(Leske, 1778)*          |                            |                             |          |
| *Diadema savignyi*       | Hon Tre I, July 2013       | 12°13′58.5″ N, 109°14′02.0″ E | 5        |
| *(Audouin, 1829)*        |                            |                             |          |
| *Diadema setosum*        | Hon Tre I, July 2013       | 12°13′58.5″ N, 109°14′02.0″ E | 5        |
| *(Leske, 1778)*          | Ly Son I, November 2016    | 15°22′09″ N, 109°06′62.5″ E | 15       |
| *Echinothrix calamaris*  | Nha Trang Bay, July 2013   | 09°55′26.7″ N, 104°10′57.7″ E | 8        |
| *(Pallas, 1774)*         | Ly Son I, November 2016    | 15°22′06.9″ N, 109°06′62.5″ E | 15       |
| *Echinothrix diadema*    | Nha Trang Bay, July 2013   | 12°11′47.3″ N, 109°16′01.7″ E | 10       |
| *(Linnaeus, 1758)*       | Con Co I, November 2016    | 17°08′95.3″ N, 107°20′56.8″ E | 10       |
| *Lagena decagonale*      | Con Co I, November 2016    | 17°02′55″ N, 107°36′2″ E    | 55       |
| *(Blainville, 1827)*     | Mareta planulata (Lamarck, 1816) | Hon Tre I, July 2013 | 12°11′47.3″ N, 109°16′01.7″ E | 17       |
| *Phyllacanthus imperialis* | Hon Tre I, July 2013     | 12°11′47.3″ N, 109°16′01.7″ E | 11       |
| *(Lamarck, 1816)*        | Hon Tre I, July 2013       | 12°13′58.5″ N, 109°14′02.0″ E | 8        |
| *Stomopneustes variiolaris* (Lamarck, 1816) | Ly Son I, November 2016 | 15°22′06.9″ N, 109°06′62.5″ E | 9        |
| *Toxopneustes pileolus*  | Nam Du I, July 2013        | 09°44′43.8″ N, 104°21′72.6″ E | 7        |
| *(Lamarck, 1816)*        | Ly Son I, November 2016    | 17°02′55″ N, 107°36′2″ E    | 55       |
| *Tripneustes gratilla*   | Ca Na Bay, July 2013       | 11°13′92.4″ N, 108°50′28.0″ E | 20       |
| *(Linnaeus, 1758)*       | Cu Lao Cham I, November 2016 | 15°54′14.4″ N, 108°32′03.0″ E | 7        |
| **Sea of Japan**         |                            |                             |          |
| *Echinarchius parma*     | Troitsa Bay, August 2014–2020 | 42°37′29.8″ N, 131°07′29.1″ E | 1–16     |
| *(Lamarck, 1816)*        |                            |                             |          |
| *Echinocardium cordatum* | Troitsa Bay, August 2014–2020 | 42°37′29.8″ N, 131°07′29.1″ E | 1–20     |
| *(Pennant, 1777)*        |                            |                             |          |
| *Mesocentrotus nudus*    | Troitsa Bay, August 2014–2020 | 42°37′29.8″ N, 131°07′29.1″ E | 1–12     |
| *(A. Agassiz, 1864)*     |                            |                             |          |
| *Scaphechinus griseus*   | Troitsa Bay, August 2014–2020 | 42°37′29.8″ N, 131°07′29.1″ E | 1–12     |
| *(Mortensen, 1927)*      |                            |                             |          |
| *Strongylocentrotus intermedius* (A. Agassiz, 1864) | Troitsa Bay, August 2014–2020 | 42°37′29.8″ N, 131°07′29.1″ E | 1–10     |

3.5. Quinonoid Pigments Extraction

The defrosted shells and spines (50 g) of each sea urchin sample were extracted in three replicates, using 70% ethanol containing 10% H₂SO₄ at room temperature for 4 h. The acidified EtOH extract (200 mL) was centrifuged (3000 g, 20 min, 10 °C), and the supernatant was concentrated in vacuo at 55 °C. The viscous residue was diluted with an equal volume of distilled water, and subsequently extracted with chloroform and ethyl acetate. After evaporation of the solvents, the chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts were stored in a freezer at −20 °C prior to HPLC-DAD/MS analysis.

Defrosted CF clots were extracted with 3 mL EtOH containing 5% H₂SO₄, at room temperature for 5 h. The EtOH extract was centrifuged (3000 g, 10 min) using a High Speed Centrifuge type 310b (Mechanika Precyzyjna, Warsaw, Poland), and supernatant aliquots
of 1 mL were filtered through 0.2 µm syringe filters, and used for HPLC-DAD-MS analysis and total PHNQ content determination.

3.6. Total Quinonoid Pigments Content

The content of quinonoid pigments in sea urchin shells was determined spectrophotometrically using a UV-mini 1240 (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan), and expressed as µg of echinochrome A equivalent per g of dry shells, as described elsewhere [23] (details in Supplementary Material).

Similarly, the optical density of a solution of 200–500 µL of CF extract in 2.5 mL of ethanol was measured; the content of quinonoid pigments was calculated from the calibration curve and expressed as the equivalent of echinochrome A to the volume of CF of sea urchin (µg/mL).

3.7. Statistical Analysis

All measurements for quinonoid pigments content determination both using HPLC-DAD-MS and spectrophotometer were conducted at least in five replicates, which suggests results from at least five extractions of sea urchin samples. Data were presented as means ± standard errors. Statistical analysis was performed using STATISTICA v.10, Stat. Soft Inc. software.

4. Conclusions

The developed HPLC-DAD-MS method and the obtained properties of spinochromes will be highly useful, not only for determination of composition and content of quinonoid pigments in various sea urchin samples, but also for monitoring the quality of drugs and food additives based on sea urchin pigments, in addition to studying their stability.

Using the validated HPLC-DAD-MS method, composition and content of quinonoid pigments of 16 species of sea urchins collected in various regions of the Pacific Ocean over several years was investigated. Based on these results, an attempt has been made to analyze the structural diversity of spinochromes described in the literature, in order to distinguish compounds with accurately established structures from unknown and likely non-existent compounds. Firstly, a study was conducted of the composition of quinonoid pigments in the CF of ten species of sea urchins, and it was found that this composition correlates with the set of pigments present in the shells of the corresponding sea urchin species. The composition of quinonoid pigments of E. parma jelly-like egg membrane was reported first, and appeared to be similar to the shell pigment composition. In the case of the sea urchin Scaphechinus mirabilis, it was shown that the compositions of pigment granules in the shell epidermis, CF, egg membrane, developing embryos and pluteus are different. It is assumed that different spinochromes each perform different functions in the development and the life support of sea urchins, explaining the changes in pigment composition over the entire life cycle.

It is expected that the accumulated reliable information on the composition and quantity of spinochromes, at various stages of the sea urchin life cycle, will assist biologists studying developmental problems, as well as specialists studying the immune system and the influence of environmental factors on it. Ultimately, as quinonoid pigments of sea urchins exhibit pronounced biological effects, this work may help to identify new molecular targets and give preconditions for the creation of new drugs.
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