Robust and rapidly tunable light source for SRS/CARS microscopy with low-intensity noise
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Abstract. We present a fully automated laser system with low-intensity noise for coherent Raman scattering microscopy. The robust two-color system is pumped by a solid-state oscillator, which provides Stokes pulses fixed at 1043 nm. The tunable pump pulses of 750 to 950 nm are generated by a frequency-doubled fiber-feedback femtosecond optical parametric oscillator. The resulting pulse duration of 1.2 ps provides a viable compromise between optimal coherent Raman scattering signal and the necessary spectral resolution. Thus a spectral range of 1015 to 3695 cm\(^{-1}\) with spectral resolution of <13 cm\(^{-1}\) can be addressed.
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1 Introduction

Coherent Raman scattering (CRS) imaging is based on a multi-photon scattering process that employs two near-infrared laser pulses to excite Raman modes in the midinfrared spectral range. Owing to its chemical selectivity without labeling, it has found a wide scope of applications in biomedical microscopy, such as live cell, tissue, or DNA imaging,\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\) over the past years. Its most prominent representatives are coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering (CARS) and stimulated Raman scattering (SRS).\(^6\) In the case of CARS, two beams, the so-called pump and Stokes beam, with an energy difference matching the Raman resonance \(\Omega\), interact according to a four-wave-mixing process. Thus coherent radiation at the anti-Stokes frequency \(\omega_{\text{CARS}} = 2\omega_p - \omega_S\) is generated, as depicted in Fig. 1. In contrast to CARS, SRS is an inelastic scattering process, where energy is transferred from the pump to the Stokes beam, thus either the change in pump [stimulated Raman loss (SRL)] or Stokes intensity [stimulated Raman gain (SRG)] can be detected. SRS, unlike spontaneous spectroscopy and CARS,\(^7\) is therefore free from fluorescent and nonresonant background. In addition, SRS provides quantitative information about the chemical constituents, due to its linear signal scaling.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^10\)

Although in the case of CARS a very weak but spectrally easy to separate third beam is generated, a tiny change of either the pump or the Stokes beam has to be measured for SRS. Thus lock-in-based detection is required for the latter.\(^1\) This implies that for SRS an ultralow-intensity laser noise, ideally at the shot-noise limit, is required. Simultaneously, excellent long-term average power stability and reproducibility are required for laser scanning imaging, and at least one color must be rapidly tunable while maintaining its spatiotemporal properties. The main regions of interest are the H-stretching vibrational region (2500 to 3500 cm\(^{-1}\)) as well as the fingerprint region (500 to 1700 cm\(^{-1}\)). In order to face real-world applications, the source is required to be cost-effective and easy to use, and thus to be fully automated.

The vast majority of work in CRS in the past decade was based on picosecond, green-pumped optical parametric oscillators (OPOs),\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^11\) as well as electronically synchronized Ti:sapphire laser systems.\(^9\) Recent demonstrations of novel CRS sources include Fourier-transform spectral retrieval,\(^12\) chirped pulse spectral focusing,\(^13\)\(^,\)\(^14\) as well as photonic time stretch,\(^15\) ps-laser diodes,\(^16\) and swept-source SRS.\(^17\) These techniques are able to achieve higher information volume\(^6\) but to date are still quite complex to operate and exhibit alignment sensitivity of the detection system.
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Although avoiding the aforementioned complexity, fiber lasers possess high-relative intensity noise in the MHz regime\textsuperscript{18–20} due to amplified spontaneous emission in the rather long gain region of the fiber. Balanced detection is typically used to overcome this issue, at the cost of significant complication of the detection system to handle spatially variable transmission of scattering specimens. Last but not least, fiber laser and amplifier technology is limited to certain spectral ranges in the near-infrared around 1050 nm (Yb), 1540 nm (Er), and 1880 nm (Tm), thus also limiting the accessible Raman bands from a single system.

Here we present a CRS source that combines a broad tuning range, a low-intensity noise, and rapid broadband tunability. Our approach employs the high efficiency and broad tunability of a fiber-feedback OPO operating in the few hundred femtoseconds pulse regime at several tens of MHz.\textsuperscript{21,22} Pumped by a solid-state Yb-oscillator those devices exhibit excellent stability on all timescales.\textsuperscript{21,22} To achieve the desired spectral resolution as well as the desired frequency range for CRS, we employ spectral compression second-harmonic generation (SHG).\textsuperscript{23} This allows us to cover a spectral range of 1015 to 3695 cm\textsuperscript{-1} with spectral resolution of below 13 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and average powers above 50 mW for the pump and 600 mW for the Stokes beam.

### 2 Optical Setup

As depicted in Fig. 1(a), our CRS system is based on an Yb-solid-state oscillator, which provides 6-W average output power at 1043-nm central wavelength. About 390-fs pulses are measured at a pulse repetition rate of 75 MHz. A 2.5-W fraction of this oscillator is then used to pump a fiber-feedback OPO, in which tunable infrared light between 1380 and 2000 nm is generated.\textsuperscript{21,22} In such a device, the synchronized optical cavity is divided in a short-free space part, constituted by the nonlinear optical crystal and its focusing optics, and a long single-mode fiber. Using a single-mode fiber for the optical feedback, the optical path length of 4 m, given by the pump laser repetition rate, can be implemented in a very compact setup. As the free-space part is minimized to a length of few tens of centimeters, it becomes less sensitive to misalignment compared to entire free space cavities. Furthermore, the optical fiber intrinsically acts as a mode filter and determines the pointing.\textsuperscript{21,22} The OPO is passively stable and does not require an active feedback loop, thus avoiding any kind of additional noise or power fluctuations, which could deter the coherent Raman images. Also the fiber feedback technology allows one to employ alternative Yb-femtosecond lasers in the range of 10 to 100 MHz. To generate the Raman pump beam, this infrared light is frequency doubled and temporally stretched to about 1.1-ps pulse duration by spectral compression SHG in a periodically poled lithium niobite crystal with a length of 12.5 mm to tailor the bandwidth.\textsuperscript{23} To achieve a fast accessible, broad tuning range, the crystal consists of 19 different poling periods and its temperature is adjustable. An air-spaced etalon is used to spectrally narrow the pulses of the remaining fraction of the Yb-solid-state oscillator beam, leading to a Raman Stokes beam with an average power of 600 mW and a pulse duration of 1.3 ps. The Raman pump and Stokes beams are spatially combined using a dichroic mirror. To ensure temporal synchronization, a delay stage is inserted into the Stokes beam with a mechanical resolution of 1.5 μm, which translates to a temporal delay of <5 fs. To modulate the Raman pump beam at external triggerable frequencies of
up to 20 MHz, the beam is tightly focused into an AOM. In order to prevent a change of the beam pointing due to wavelengths changes, the 0' th order diffraction is employed, which provides a modulation depth of 50% to 90% depending on the wavelength. The AOM could in principle also be used for the Stokes beam to operate the setup in SRL configuration.

This system is fully automated and can be controlled specifically for a CRS designed controller or via a computer interface. The entire tuning range is accessible within 20 s by a single command in steps of 9 nm. The step width is a result of the phase-matching arising from the different poling periods of the crystal. If fine tuning is required, the pump wavelength can be adjusted by temperature tuning with subnanometer precision. The temperature adjustment takes <1 min. The wavelength shift with respect to the crystal temperature reads only 0.08 nm/K. Thus the wavelength is very precisely and reproducibly determined by the crystal temperature and the poling period. This allows one to implement the wavelength control via a simple look-up table without the need for a subsequent spectral analysis. Due to the high-average pump and Stokes power, this system is suited for both CARS and SRS. For validation experiments, a simple confocal setup is employed, which will be described later.

3 System Performance

In Fig. 2(a), the near-infrared signal output spectra of the OPO are depicted along with the corresponding output powers. Although not depicted in Fig. 1, these near-IR wavelengths are available via a second output port in the featured device and usable for applications such as multiphoton fluorescence microscopy. The signal can be tuned between 1.38 and 2.02 μm with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the individual spectra of 9 to 20 nm, with nearly constant pulse durations of 200 to 300 fs. Up to 500 mW is generated at 1600 nm and more than 200 mW power is achievable between 1.38 and 1.86 μm. All spectra exhibit minor sidelobes, which exhibit an amplitude of <15% of the peak intensity.

In Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), the combined pump and Stokes output spectra are presented. The spectrum of the filtered Yb-laser used as Stokes beam in CRS experiments is depicted in Fig. 2(c) together with the unfiltered spectrum (dashed line). The filtering leads to a maximum Stokes power of 600 mW at 1043.1 nm, with FWHM bandwidth of 0.71 nm, which corresponds to a resolution for the CRS measurements of 6.5 cm⁻¹. As expected, the etalon provides a perfect Lorentzian lineshape. The entire tuning range, realized by exploiting spectral compression SHG, is presented in Fig. 2(b), together with a representative spectrum at 788 nm in Fig. 2(d), where in our case only a rough tuning grid has been used. From 753 up to 943 nm, more than 50 mW average output power can be achieved with a maximum level of 245 mW. The FWHM bandwidth is linearly increasing with wavelength from 0.5 to 1.2 nm; taking the medial value into account, a spectral resolution for CRS of better than 13.5 cm⁻¹ is obtained. Again, small sidelobes reaching only 15% intensity of the main peak are visible. The measured conversion efficiency reaches 33% to 50%.

The pulses of the pump beam are very close to the Fourier-limit, thus featuring durations below 1.4 ps. As visible in Fig. 2(d), the spectra of the pulses exhibit a sinc² shape resulting from the employed phase matching. The resulting pulses
should exhibit a rectangular pulse-shape, which in turn leads to a triangular autocorrelation, as visible in Fig. 3(a). The Stokes pulses displayed in Fig. 3(b), follow their spectral form leading to a Fourier-limited pulse duration of 1.26 ps. As coherent Raman scattering is a nonlinear process, the high-intensity resulting from close to Fourier-limited pulses is in fact preferable. Both spectral engineering techniques, the etalon filtering and the spectral compression SHG are of course adaptable to obtain a higher spectral resolution with longer and thus less intense pulses or the other way around if required for specific investigations.

The stable performance is demonstrated by recording the free running temporal output power traces of pump (SHG), OPO, and Stokes beams [see Fig. 4(c)] with a thermal power meter having a response time of 0.1 s, revealing an excellent stability below 0.5% rms. The Stokes beam exhibits the highest stability, which is most likely due to the spectral filtering, as comparable measurements of the Yb-oscillator itself (not shown) revealed a two times higher noise level.

Comparable results can be deduced from relative intensity noise measurements presented in Fig. 3(d), where also the unfiltered Yb-oscillator is investigated. For all measurements, the optical intensities were adjusted such that a photocurrent of 1.5 mA was detected. Between 5 and 50 kHz, the OPO and the SHG show a similar noise level, which is 3 to 5 dB higher than that of the pump laser. In this frequency range, the stabilizing effect of the spectral filtering is even more obvious as the Stokes beam shows a more than 10 dB lower noise level than the unfiltered Yb-oscillator. The origin of the narrow spikes visible at 4.6 kHz and higher harmonics of that frequency in all beams are the driver electronics of the Yb-oscillator. Between 50 and 800 kHz, the noise level of all beams is decreasing, finally reaching the calculated electronic shot-noise level for a photocurrent of 1.5 mA within 2 dB. Again, the Stokes beam exhibits a superior performance as shot-noise limited operation is already achieved at 200 kHz. The small spikes between 1 and 20 MHz are an artefact of the detection electronics.

4 SRS Measurements

In order to evaluate the system performance, i.e., the tuning width and resolution, we recorded the SRS response from a 1:1 volume mixture of D$_2$O and H$_2$O by spectrally scanning with the same steps as in Fig. 2(b). Average powers of 30 mW have been employed for the pump beam modulated
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The beams have been focused to a diameter of \( \sim 15 \mu m \) in a cuvette with the help of aspheric lenses. For detection, a standard single-channel Si-photodiode (Thorlabs PDA100A2) has been employed. The depicted SRS signal intensity values are given by the demodulated signal voltages. Compared to the average detected signal of 3 V, this means that relative signals below \( 10^{-6} \) have been resolved. The resulting spectrum clearly resolves the stretching vibrations of OH and OD at 3425 and 2500 cm\(^{-1}\). From spontaneous Raman measurements,\(^{24}\) it is known that both bands should exhibit weak shoulders at 3650 / 3250 and 2690 / 2395 cm\(^{-1}\), which can already be discerned from this spectrally coarse scan. Finally, the ratio of the Raman signal between OD and OH band matches the expectations from the literature.\(^{24}\)

To judge the accuracy of the retrievable Raman response, the spectrum of pure acetone has been measured and is compared to a spontaneous Raman signal in Fig. 4(b). Here average powers of 75 mW have been employed for the pump beam. The SRS spectrum clearly resolves the CH-stretch band in acetone at 2923 cm\(^{-1}\). Compared to the spontaneous Raman spectrum, a slightly broader lineshape is obtained, which is however, in good agreement with the spectral resolution of below 13 cm\(^{-1}\) discussed in the previous paragraph. Nevertheless, all spectral features are clearly visible, and the relative strength of the shoulders compared to the main peak is exactly resolved.

![Fig. 4](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Advanced-Photonics)

(a) SRS spectrum of a 1:1 volume mixture of D\(_2\)O and H\(_2\)O recorded by employing the whole tuning range of the presented system. (b) SRG spectrum of acetone showing the characteristic resonance at 2923 cm\(^{-1}\). A spontaneous Raman spectrum is overlaid for comparison. The individual measurement points are connected as guide to the eye.

![Fig. 5](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Advanced-Photonics)

(a) 94 \( \mu m \times 94 \mu m \) raster scan image of 6-\( \mu m \) diameter polymer beads. The contrast mechanism is the scattering by the beads, which is lowering the DC-value of the detected Stokes beam. (b) SRG signal images from the raster scan in (a), recorded for a Stokes-pump energy difference of 3067 cm\(^{-1}\) (PS-band) and 2953 cm\(^{-1}\) (PMMA-band), revealing two different kinds of beads. (c) Signal-to-noise ratio extracted from images taken at 2953 cm\(^{-1}\) as a function of the pixel integration time. (d) SRG signal images recorded at 2953 cm\(^{-1}\) with ascending pixel integration times.
To demonstrate the capability of the presented light source with respect to imaging applications, we employed a basic confocal scanning microscope setup consisting of just two objectives with a numerical aperture of 0.7 and a 2-D stage with a step width of 0.3125 μm. The detection is again performed with a standard single-channel Si-photodiode and a modulation of 1 MHz. As test sample, we investigated polymer beads with a diameter of 6-μm spread on a microscope coverslip. To avoid laser damage of those beads, the total incident optical power was kept at 15 mW. By recording the DC value of the detected Stokes beam, we can construct a conventional laser raster scan image as shown in Fig. 5(a). Here the beads appear as dark rings due to the pronounced scattering at the edges. Recording the demodulated signal for the very same field of view with a Stokes-pump energy difference of 3067 cm\(^{-1}\), we can only find a few of the beads [see left panel of Fig. 5(b)]. This time they appear as intense spots on a dark background, which clearly identifies them as polystyrene (PS), as this exhibits a strong Raman mode at this particular frequency.\(^{25}\) By tuning to 2953 cm\(^{-1}\), the PS beads become nearly invisible, whereas the other beads appear again, unmasking them as polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA).\(^{55}\) In Fig. 5(c), the signal-to-noise ratio achieved for different pixel integration times is evaluated, revealing that detection with <1-μs pixel integration time is possible. This becomes likewise evident by an inspection of the underlying images shown in Fig. 5(d). With more sophisticated microscope setups employing galvo mirrors, 300 x 300 pixel images, as presented in Fig. 5(d), could thus be recorded within <270 ms, which means that video frame rate imaging is possible.

5 Conclusion

In this letter, we present a fully automated, user-friendly coherent Raman excitation light source, based on optical parametric frequency conversion of a solid-state oscillator. Using this system, resonances between 1015 and 3695 cm\(^{-1}\) can be detected at a spectral resolution of at least 13 cm\(^{-1}\). Due to the robust fiber-feedback design, no active stabilization electronics are required, which usually affect the system stability, noise, and handling in a negative manner. Owing to the advanced mechanical setup, this CRS system is insensitive regarding ambient conditions and thermal changes. Furthermore, the Stokess and pump beams are shot-noise limited well below 1 MHz, which enables low-noise Raman spectroscopy. Thus no sophisticated detection techniques, such as balanced heterodyning are required, and a standard single-channel silicon photodiode is applied to detect SRS signals. Our system is fully automated and allows wavelength tuning with subnanometer precision via its hardware control panel or by remote access. We believe that our system significantly simplifies the entrance of nonexperts in optics and laser technology to stimulated Raman microscopy and spectroscopy, bringing this technique closer to biologists and medical experts and to real-world applications in biomedical research and diagnostics.
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