Non-ossifying fibroma of the radius: A rare case report

Dr. Mayur Rai and Dr. Shetty Suraj Ravindra

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/ortho.2019.v5.i1i.87

Abstract
The non-ossifying fibroma (NOF) is a benign, non-neoplastic lesion most commonly seen in the metaphyses of the long bones in children. While rare, the NOF has been reported in the radius as well. Radiographically, it is well delineated multi or uniloculated lesions with sclerotic margins. It is commonly found in children and adolescents and is the most common fibrous bony lesion. Therefore, it is important to recognize the clinical and radiographic characteristics of this benign lesion.

Keywords: Non-ossifying fibroma, non-neoplastic, metaphyses

Introduction
The non-ossifying fibroma (NOF) was first recognized by Sontag and Pyle around 1941 [1]. The following year, Jaffe and Lichtenstein formally identified the lesion and termed it the “non-osteogenic fibroma of bone” [2]. The NOF is classified as a tumor-like lesion grouped according to the histologic classification of bone tumors by the World Health Organization (WHO) [3, 4]. A number of synonyms for the NOF have been used which are descriptive and reflect the natural evolution of the lesion. These names include histiocytic fibrous defect, metaphyseal fibrous defect, fibrous cortical defect [5], fibrous xanthoma and histiocytic xanthogranuloma [6].

Currently, the two most frequently used terms to describe these lesions are the “fibrous cortical defect” (FCD) and the “non-ossifying fibroma” (NOF) [7]. The FCD and the NOF are the most common benign lesions of the skeletal system [1, 8], arising most frequently in the metaphyseal region of the distal femur, proximal and distal tibia and fibula in children and adolescents [9]. Radiographic studies in the orthopaedic literature have shown that 33 % of otherwise normal children have one or more small fibrous cortical defects [1].

Case Report
An 18 year old male patient, student by profession had an alleged history of fall on an outstretched hand while playing kabaddi following which he complained of pain in the left forearm. Following fall he was taken to a local hospital in Kasargod where x-ray was taken and was said to have a fracture (Figure 1). Above elbow slab was applied, analgesics were given and he was referred to a higher centre in Mangalore. One week later he came to our hospital for further management where a repeat x-ray was taken (Figure 2 and 3). On admission MRI of the left forearm (Plain and contrast) was done for further evaluation which showed enhancing expansile cortical-medullary lesion in the diaphysis of radius associated with pathological fracture of shaft of radius suggestive of benign bone tumour (Figure 4). Patient was posted for surgery on the following day. Left radius curettage and bone grafting with open reduction and internal fixation with plating was done (Figure 5 and 6). The curetted bone was sent for histopathology. The bony bits measured 1.6X1X0.5cm. The sections showed bony trabeculae, dense fibrous tissue along with numerous proliferating capillaries and large areas of haemorrhage. Periphery showed reactive bone transformation with osteoblast rimming along with multinucleate giant cells suggesting a non-ossifying fibroma (Figures 7, 8, 9).

Patient was discharged on the fifth post operative day. Sutures were removed two weeks post operatively. After 3 weeks, above elbow slab was removed and above elbow cast was put. The cast was removed after 3 weeks and physiotherapy in the form of elbow ROM exercises and pronation and supination exercises were initiated.
At the final follow up of three months, patient had satisfactory results and was able to carry out his daily activities without difficulty and final radiographs showed good fracture healing.

**Fig 1**: Pre-op xray  
**Fig 2**: After slab application - AP view

**Fig 3**: After slab application - lateral view  
**Fig 4**: MRI image showing lesion

**Fig 5**: Post op xray - A Pview  
**Fig 6**: Post op xray - lateral view

**Fig 7, 8, 9**: Histopathology slides

**Discussion**

The main entity that is often included in the histologic differential diagnosis of NOF is the Focal Cortical Dysplasia (FCD). The FCD is a small, lytic, intracortical lesion found in children. It is typically eccentrically located and sharply outlined and represents an osteolytic defect with a thin shell of reactive bone. Generally, because of their small size, FCDs are asymptomatic and are likely to undergo spontaneous regression. Occasionally, the FCD will enlarge, become progressively more separated from the growth plate and extend into the medullary cavity [6]. While the NOF and the FCD refer to the same histopathological process, the NOF is distinguished from the FCD by its larger size (3 cm) and extension from the cortex into the medullary cavity [2, 10]. While the majority of NOFs are asymptomatic, those that are particularly large may cause chronic pain and/or pathologic fracture in the long bones [11, 12]. Diagnosis of NOF in the long bones is based upon the characteristic radiographic and clinical appearance, typically the NOF appears as an asymptomatic multiloculated lesion often identified incidentally during radiographic evaluation indicated for another reason [1, 11, 12].

When arising in a large, tubular bone, the NOF is always eccentric and ovoid and often results in thinning and expansion of the overlying cortex. There is usually no periosteal reaction [13] and no overt violation of the cortex [14]. While the clinical and radiographic features are pathognomonic for the NOF in the long bones [13], the rarity of this lesion in the mandible makes preoperative diagnosis unlikely. Therefore correlation of the histopathologic features with the radiographic and clinical presentation is imperative. There are reports of NOF in the long bones with clonal rearrangements in chromosomes 1, 3, 4, 11, and 14. The presence of clonal chromosomal changes may suggest genetic events associated with tumorigenesis in the reported NOFs. Further study is required to determine whether there are
consistent karyotypic aberrations associated with the NOF\(^{[12]}\). Histologically, the NOF consists of spindle-celled fibrous tissue in a storiform pattern with a variable number of multinucleated giant cells, hemosiderin pigment within fibroblasts, and lipid-laden histiocytes (xanthoma cells) \(^{[3,4]}\).

### Association with Jaffe-Campanacci Syndrome

Patients with Jaffe-Campanacci syndrome (disseminated multiple NOF in association with café-au-lait spots, mental retardation, hypogonadism, and congenital ocular or cardiac anomalies) often have mandibular lesions of NOF \(^{[5,15,16]}\). Campanacci et al. \(^{[17]}\) hypothesized that this syndrome may represent an atypical presentation of neurofibromatosis. The lesions of NOF in the long bones in this syndrome are reported to be more aggressive with a higher rate of associated pathologic fracture and resolution of the lesions at the completion of skeletal maturity \(^{[15]}\).

### Conclusion

In conclusion, nonossifying fibromas are the most common fibrous bony lesion found usually in metaphysis of long bones like femur, tibia and fibula and rarely found in diaphysis of radius and therefore, it is very important to recognize and suspect the clinical and radiographic characteristics of this benign lesion in radius also and treat accordingly.
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