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ABSTRACT

The impact of COVID-19 control on air quality have been prevalent for the past two years, however few studies have explored the toxicity of atmospheric particulate matter during the epidemic control. Therefore, this research highlights the characteristics and sources of oxidative potential (OP) and the new health risk substances environmentally persistent free radicals (EPFRs) in comparison to city lockdown (CLD) with early days of 2019–2020. Daily particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) samples were collected from January 14 to February 3, 2020, with the same period during 2019 in Xi’an city. The results indicated that the average concentration of PM_{2.5} decreased by 48% during CLD. Concentrations of other air pollutants and components, such as PM_{10}, NO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{2}, WSIs, OC and EC were also decreased by 22%, 19%, 2%, 17%, 6%, and 4% respectively during the CLD, compared to the same period in 2019. Whereas only O\textsubscript{3} increased by 30% during CLD. The concentrations of EPFRs in PM_{2.5} was considerably lower than in 2019, which decreased by 12% during CLD. However, the OP level was increased slightly during CLD. Moreover, both EPFRs/PM and DTTv/PM did not decrease or even increase significantly, manifesting that the toxicity of particulate matter has not been reduced by more gains during the CLD. Based on PMF analysis, during the epidemic period, the contribution of traffic emission is the primary source and secondary processing of EPFRs and OP during the lockdown period in Xi’an. The COVID-19 lockdown had a significant impact on both social and economic aspects. The city lockdown, however, had a positive impact on the environment and improved air quality, however, no significant health benefits were observed in Xi’an, China.

1. Introduction

The presence of fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) in the atmosphere adversely affects human health by inducing reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. ROS are oxygen-containing substances with higher oxidative toxicity. Studies have shown that the environmentally persistent free radicals (EPFRs) likewise contain single electron such as oxygen-containing or oxygen-centered EPFRs, and recently has been analyzed as long-lasting and hazardous substances (Qian et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2019). The catalysis mechanism of EPFRs continuously converts O\textsubscript{2} molecules into reactive oxygen species (ROS), indicated as one of the mechanisms underlying health problems (Chen et al., 2018b; Vejerano et al., 2018). Furthermore, toxic products damage the human lungs by deposition in the respiratory system or enhancing the ROS level (Xu et al., 2020). In general, a toxicological mechanism is oxidative stress caused by excessive accumulation of oxidative potential (OP) in the human body. Therefore, characterizing the sources is an essential need to control the production process and minimize their adverse impact on our environment. Previous studies have highlighted the origin of atmospheric PM_{2.5} and EPFRs by primary sources and secondary sources. The primary sources include cigarette tar, various fly ashes, biomass, automobile exhaust, and coal combustion; secondary sources...
include adsorption and photochemical reactions.

The global coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak posed a serious threat to public health (Wang et al., 2022). China as the first country to experience the COVID-19 pandemic, took the lead in carrying out epidemic control to protect people’s lives and health in the whole world (Li et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). The quarantine city lockdown (CLD) measures by China’s government included immediate lockdown of schools, offices, industries, and travel restrictions in order to control the spread of the pandemic (Wang and Zhang, 2021). The controlling pandemic measures lead to improve the air quality of China and other countries by reducing anthropogenic emissions and substantially decrease in human activity positively (Tobias et al., 2020). Although studies relating the influence of COVID-19 controlling measures on air quality have been prevalent in the past two years (Hong et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020), but few studies have explored the toxicity of atmospheric particulate matter during the epidemic control. Therefore, the investigation to characterize the oxidative potential (OP), EPFRs and other chemical components are worthy to understand the toxicity change of particulate matter before and during CLD.

The present study is the first to investigate the influence of quarantine lockdown on the oxidative toxicity of fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) in Xi’an, China. The study conducted three weeks of observation from January 14 to February 3, 2020, with the same period in 2019. The oxidative stress level and EPFR concentrations were analyzed by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR). Examining the EPFR sources in Xi’an city is also helpful to understand the hazardous impact of EPFRs on the environment and formulate initiatives measures to control the air pollution from these sources. Moreover, the findings of this research will lead to enable the pathway for future studies on OP and EPFRs in PM$_{2.5}$.

2. Materials and method

2.1. Samples collection

Daily PM$_{2.5}$ samples were collected from January 14 to February 3, 2020, with the same period in 2019 at the Weiylig district of Xi’an, China. This sampling site is rooftop (~40 m above the ground level) of Shaanxi university of science and technology (34°22′35.07″N, 108°58′34.58″E). The samples were taken using a PM$_{2.5}$ high-volume sampler (XT-1025, Shanghai Xintuo, China). The collection of all samples started at 7:00 local time (Beijing time) and continued for 23 h 30 min. The samples were collected on a quartz filter (TISSUQUARTZ 2500QAT-UP, PALL, USA) and kept at −20 °C until further investigation. The flow rate was 1000 L/min. The daily PM$_{2.5}$ concentration were obtained from the Shaanxi provincial monitoring station at Shaanxi University of Science and Technology. In total, 42 atmospheric PM$_{2.5}$ samples were analyzed in this study.

2.2. Chemical compositions

The concentration of water-soluble ions (i.e., anions and cations), organic carbon (OC), and elemental carbon (EC) were carried out based on the methods briefly outlined below.

Water-soluble ions including Na$^+$, NH$_4^+$, K$^+$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, F$^-$, Cl$^-$, NO$_3^-$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ analysis were performed on 12 mm filters via ultrasonic extraction with 15 mL of highly purified water, followed by filtering (PTFE filter, 0.45 μm). Finally, the level of these ions was determined through ion chromatography (940 Professional IC Vario, Metrohm) (Zhang et al., 2017).

The concentration of organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) in PM$_{2.5}$ samples were determined by using an OC/EC analyzer (Model-4, Sunset Laboratory Inc.) with IMPROVE_A heating method detailed method is provided by Chen et al. (2019).

2.3. EPFR analysis and data processing

The EPFR analysis of collected samples was determined by placing the quartz filters in an EPR spectrometer (MSS000, Freiberg Instruments Inc., Germany). G-factor correction and absolute numbers of spins in collected samples were performed on standards containing Cr$^{3+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ (Freiberg Instruments Inc., Germany). The EPFR analysis was accomplished as described previously by Chen et al. (2018a). In this study, the established measuring parameters were set to scan time, 180 s; strength of the magnetic field, 330–345 mT; microwave power: 8 mW; modulation amplitude, 0.2 mT. Moreover, the concentration of EPFRs in the quartz filters was analyzed directly by using an EPR spectrometer.

The EPFR signal for the samples was baseline corrected, and the Gaussian function was placed to fit the EPR signal. Later, the characteristic parameters of the EPFRs were obtained, including g-factor, ΔHpp and peak height. The formula used to calculate the spin number is shown in Eq. (1):

$$S_{EPFR} = F \times S_{OC3.1} \times \frac{Amorph}{Cr3.1}$$

where F represents the shape correction coefficient (1.06), $S_{OC3.1}$ indicates the total chromium spin number, $Amorph$ and Cr$_{3.1}$ presents the area of the integral curve in the EPR absorption curve of the sample and the chromium standard, respectively. The detailed methodology is described elsewhere (Chen et al., 2019).

2.4. Oxidative toxicity analysis

The dithiothreitol (DTT) assay is a popular noncellular method to measure the toxicity level in PM$_{2.5}$. In this process, the active oxygen consumes the DTT assay and is oxidized to produce disulfides. Consequently, this analysis is a quantitative evaluation of PM$_{2.5}$ to investigate the DTT consumption rate. In this study, the DTT consumption rate represents the concentration of ROS. The DTT activity detection was carried out the same as mentioned somewhere (Chen et al., 2019; Cho et al., 2005). Firstly, DTT assay was conducted by using the filter (5 mm) and 2 mL of ultra-pure water by vortexing (model MX-S, SCILLOGEX, USA) for 5 min, followed by filtering (polytetrafluoroethylene filter, 0.45 μm). Secondly, the filtrate (0.5 mL) was mixed in DTT solution (0.5 mL, 1 mM DTT, ≥98%, Sigma-Aldrich, prepared with a phosphate buffer at pH = 7.4) and placed in a water bath at 37 °C, followed by sample collection at 0, 5, 10, 15, 25, and 40 min. Then, 1 mL tri-chloroacetic acid (1%, w/v) was added to stop the reaction, followed by a color reaction with 2 mL 0.08 M Tris buffer and 4 mM EDTA and 0.5 mL 0.2 mM 5,5 ’-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB, ≥98%, Sigma-Aldrich). Finally, the absorbance of the sample at 409 nm was utilized using an ultraviolet–visible Spectrophotometer (AquaLog, HORIBA, Japan). The final DTT consumption rate (unit: μM/min) was calculated according to the measured absorbance at various time periods. The experiment was performed in the dark and measured absorbance was verified by detecting the solution absorbance by adding various DTT concentrations. The parallel analysis of the sample and background collected during the city lockdown provided in SI Fig. S5.

2.5. Source attributes with PMF

In this study, the factors contributing to EPFR in PM$_{2.5}$ were examined through the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) source apportionment PMF model (version 5.0) (Hwang and Hopke, 2007; Zhang et al., 2008) To identify the sources, the total obtained results of PM$_{0.1}$, SO$_2$, PM$_{2.5}$, CO, O$_3$, NO$_2$, DTVs, and other chemical components (OC, EC, 9 water-soluble ions) were added in the PMF model (Brown et al., 2015; Paatero et al., 2014). This model enables estimation of the chemical concentration data such as signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) the specified importance of the chemicals (bad, weak, or strong) (Jaeckels
In this model, the chemical concentrations below method detection limits (MDLs) are assumed to be excluded. The fundamental operation, imputation of uncertainties and missing values, and practical applications of PMF analysis and modeling can be found elsewhere (Reff et al., 2007; Xie et al., 2012).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. General overview of air quality

Epidemic control has significantly changed air quality and contributed to improved air quality by limiting production and transportation. Fig. 3a and b is demonstrating a total of 31 national and provincial control stations before the pandemic control (2020.01.20–2020.01.27) and during the CLD (2020.01.28–2020.02.03). During the pandemic, the weekly average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration decreased dramatically on a national scale. The time series comparison of air pollutants during the targeted time zone of 2019, 2020 and CLD is illustrated in Fig. 2a and b. The calculated mean value of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration from January 14 to February 3, 2019, was 87 μg/m$^3$ and showed a significant increase to 150.85 μg/m$^3$ before the city lockdown (CLD). Conversely, a rapidly decreasing trend was observed during the CLD with a value of 72.85 μg/m$^3$. Moreover, the calculated concentrations of other air pollutants including PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$ and CO showed significant variations while comparing 2019 and 2020, as demonstrated in Fig. 2c. The detailed information is likewise provided in Table S1. Comparatively these pollutants including PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$ and CO presented a diminishing trend (by 84.73 μg/m$^3$, 24.63 μg/m$^3$, 0.89 μg/m$^3$, and 0.45 mg/m$^3$) during the CLD, while only O$_3$ increased (by 33.4 μg/m$^3$). As mentioned elsewhere, the reduced anthropogenic emission was observed in the temporal pattern of air pollutants during CLD. Other cities of China including Beijing, Wuhan, and Shanghai have reported similar results (He et al., 2020; Hong et al., 2021). During CLD, the local governments implied various controlling measures including restricting transport and public gatherings. Moreover, the industries were closed during this CLD. The higher concentration of O$_3$ during CLD was attributed to the reduction in NOx by increasing atmospheric oxidation and formation of secondary aerosols.

The satellite atmospheric overview also verified the reduction of these pollutant emissions during CLD. In China, Wuhan was the first city to adopt the lockdown measures and resulted in improved air quality. In our findings, the air quality in Xi’an improved significantly during the COVID-19 lockdown period. Thus, it can be stated that improved air quality attributed to controlling measures by the government of China during CLD. As mentioned elsewhere, secondary organic aerosol and combustion increased their contribution to PM$_{2.5}$. (Huang et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2020).

3.2. Chemical composition of PM$_{2.5}$

In order to better understand the main factors influencing air quality during targeted time zone in 2019, 2020, and CLD, this study analyzed the chemical compositions of PM$_{2.5}$, including WSIs, OC, and EC.

3.2.1. Water-soluble ions (WSIs)

Water-soluble ions (WSIs) as one of the major components of PM$_{2.5}$, it is valuable to understand the chemical characteristics and sources of PM$_{2.5}$. In this study, the water-soluble ions (WSIs) in PM$_{2.5}$ during the targeted time zone of 2019, 2020 and CLD is shown in Fig. 3a, Table S2. The mean of total WSIs concentrations in 2019, 2020 and CLD was 48.73 μg/m$^3$, 50.86 μg/m$^3$, and 34.15 μg/m$^3$, respectively. The most abundant ions including NH$_4^+$, NO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, and Cl$^-$ concentrations in the targeted time zone of 2019, 2020 and CLD were 10.23–14.29 μg/m$^3$, 14.78–15.01 μg/m$^3$, 11.05–15.52 μg/m$^3$, and 4.19–2.82 μg/m$^3$, respectively. While concentrations of Na$^+$, K$^+$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, and F$^-$ were relatively lower. During the CLD, the mean concentration of NH$_4^+$, NO$_3^-$, and SO$_4^{2-}$ dropped dramatically from 14.29 μg/m$^3$ to 8.75 μg/m$^3$, 15.01 μg/m$^3$ to 9.33 μg/m$^3$, 15.52 μg/m$^3$ to 11.93 μg/m$^3$, respectively.

Moreover, the box plot in Fig. 3b is illustrating the total concentrations of WSIs in PM$_{2.5}$ in 2019, 2020 and CLD. The percentage of NO$_3^-$ in 2019 was the biggest 30.3%, followed by SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$ (22.7% and 21.0%). Significantly higher values of NO$_3^-$ in winter can be assigned to local sources, such as traffic (Paraskevopoulou et al., 2015). During CLD, the lower concentrations of NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ were attributed to the reduced flow of motor vehicles. During 2020, the concentration of SO$_4^{2-}$ was high prior to the epidemic control and was probably caused by different anthropogenic activities, as well as poor dispersal of particles (He et al., 2020). However after the epidemic control, the concentration of SO$_4^{2-}$ decreased first and then increased. During the CLD, SO$_4^{2-}$ was the most abundant species with a proportion of 34.9% of total WSIs, followed by NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ (25.6% and 27.3%) respectively. The relative increasing trend in SO$_4^{2-}$ could be attributed to an increase in gaseous SO$_2$ emission due to coal-burning for heating system in winter (Hong et al., 2021).

According to Fig. 3c, the total percentage of WSIs concentrations in PM$_{2.5}$ during 2019 were 49%, others 51%. During 2020, before the pandemic control increased by 51%, others 49%. As a result, during the CLD, significantly decreased to 34%, with others 66% due to the reduction of the primary emissions.

3.2.2. Carbonaceous constituents (OC/EC)

The carbon component is an important part of atmospheric particulate matter and is responsible for the toxicity of particulate matter. Anthropogenic activities are the main reason for the high emissions of
carbon aerosols, such as combustion emissions, motor vehicle emissions, and factory pollution emissions. The organic carbon (OC) (from primary emissions and secondary formation) (Huang et al., 2014) and elemental carbon (EC) from primary emissions (Bond et al., 2013) level and OC/EC ratio during targeted time zone in 2019, 2020 and CLD are demonstrated in Fig. 4a and b, Table S3.

Fig. 4c and d shows the total OC and EC level during 2019, 2020 and CLD were 33.73 ± 4.24 μg/m³, 24.22 ± 1.67 μg/m³ and 20.82 ± 1.47 μg/m³, respectively. The OC/EC ratio is a significant indicator for assessing potential sources, such as biomass combustion and diesel or gasoline vehicle emissions. The mean OC/EC ratio in 2019 was 10.56, in 2020 before the pandemic control was 16.45, and during the CLD was 30.63 (OC/EC = 90), as illustrated in Fig. 4e. It shows that potential secondary organic aerosols are generated in large quantities. In this research, the OC and EC concentrations in 2020 and during the CLD (20.82 ± 1.47 μg/m³ and 24.22 ± 1.67 μg/m³) were determined slightly lower than the time zone of 2019 (33.73 ± 4.24 μg/m³) due to the control of air pollution sources, such as bulk coal burning and biomass burning. Generally, OC/EC ratio is utilized to separate and characterize the sources of emissions. Normally, the higher value of OC/EC ratio...
leads to emissions through biomass burning, whereas the lower values lead to the dominance of emissions through fossil fuels (Chatterjee et al., 2021). In this study, the OC/EC ratio of 2020 compared to 2019 was higher, suggesting the reduced primary emissions and increased secondary aerosols in 2020. However, during the epidemic control (CLD), the EC compared to OC concentration showed a peak level at 5.74 μg/m³, which demonstrates that combustion is the primary contributor, then rapid decrease was observed. Fig. 4 demonstrates the proportion of carbonaceous components (OC1-OC4; EC1-EC3) during the targeted time zone. The observed components OC1-OC4 during the CLD were much higher and EC1-EC3 were much lower than in 2019 and 2020, indicating the influence of secondary formation, which demonstrates that the reduced combustion emissions and atmospheric conditions in Xi’an is accountable for generation of more secondary organic matter.

3.3. EPFRs in PM$_{2.5}$

The COVID-19 controlled measures were expected to control the concentration and type of EPFRs in the atmosphere, as a novel health risk substance. EPFRs are mostly derived from combustion source, EC is the tracer of combustion source. The obtained concentration of EPFRs and EC in PM$_{2.5}$ from Xi’an during 2020 (before and CLD period) were significantly lower than the same period in 2019, as illustrated in Fig. 5a. EPFRs and EC trendlines are primarily used to compare the contribution of combustion sources. In detail, the mean atmospheric concentrations of EPFRs in PM$_{2.5}$ from January 14, 2020 to February 3, 2020 and January 14, 2019 to February 3, 2019 were $1.55 \times 10^{14}$ spins/m$^3$ and $3.17 \times 10^{14}$ spins/m$^3$. The obtained concentration of EPFRs during 2020 was approximately 1.62 times lower than the results of 2019. During the CLD, the concentration of EPFRs diminished more to $1.13 \times 10^{14}$ spins/m$^3$. The obtained lower concentration of EPFRs during CLD was found $7.17 \times 10^{13}$ spins/m$^3$ ($1.28 \times 10^{18}$ spins/g) on January 28, 2020. Conversely, the obtained highest concentration of EPFRs during CLD was $1.70 \times 10^{14}$ spins/m$^3$ ($1.45 \times 10^{18}$ spins/g) on January 31, 2020. In our previous study, the EPFRs concentration during winter of 2017 was $3.17 \times 10^{14}$ spins/m$^3$, which increased to a higher level as illustrated in present research (Chen et al., 2019). According to Xi’an Ecology and Environment Bureau 2018, haze episodes and central warming system could cause to EPFRs concentration in PM$_{2.5}$ in Xi’an city. The higher EPFRs concentration during 2019 can be attributed to these reasons. As mentioned elsewhere, the higher concentration of EPFRs during winters (ranged $1.0 \times 10^{14}$ spins/m$^3$-$2.92 \times 10^{14}$ spins/m$^3$ (PM$_{2.5}$ range: 30–307 μg/m$^3$) are highly associated with bad weather conditions and coal burning for central heating (Chen et al., 2018c). However, decreased EPFRs concentration were observed due to proper lockdown initiatives by Government. These results demonstrated that the replacement of coal-burning for heating and a series of special measures and policies at public living area can help to purify the air quality and reduced concentration of EPFRs.

In this study, the magnetic movement of EPFRs was identified by EPR spectra. The signal characteristics, g factor and ΔHp-p of EPFRs in atmospheric PM$_{2.5}$ samples during the targeted time zone are exemplified briefly in Table S4. According to the results, the calculated mean EPR spectra of EPFRs in atmospheric PM$_{2.5}$ samples during 2019 and 2020 were 2.0028 and 2.0030, respectively. The g factor of EPFRs in PM$_{2.5}$ samples during 2019 and 2020 was in the range of 2.0025–2.0033 and 2.0028 to 2.0033, respectively (Fig. 6a and b). During CLD, the EPR spectra were 2.0031, and the g factor was 2.0029–2.0032. In the comparative analysis of signal intensity, the end of 2019 and the start of 2020 including CLD presented weak signals. Conversely, strong signals were observed during the targeted time zone in 2019. The reason to explain the weak signals at the end of 2019 and the start of 2020 can be combustion source emissions are effectively controlled or the formation of secondary EPFRs is affected (Chen et al., 2018c). During CLD, the
lockdown control explains the weak signals of EPFRs. Generally, the value of g factor $< 2.0030$ are associated to C-centered radicals, range $2.0030 – 2.0040$ associated with a mixture of O- and C-centered radicals, and $> 2.0040$ are associated with O-centered radicals (Ruan et al., 2019). Herein, substantially greater g factor during 2020 and CLD, as compared to 2019, indicating different types of EPFRs, it’s probably an increase in the O-centered radicals. During CLD, the g factor $< 2.0030$ presented that the EPFRs during this time zone belonged to carbon-centered radicals. The relative greater peak values indicated the probability of multiple radicals or a more robust matrix.

Compared with the PM$_{2.5}$ samples in 2019, before the pandemic control in 2020 and during the CLD, the EPFRs had a substantially greater g factor throughout the three weeks observation before and during the lockdown than the EPFRs in PM$_{2.5}$ in 2019, indicating that the types of EPFRs may be different (Chen et al., 2018a). Moreover, the highest obtained value of $\Delta H_p$-p of EPFRs in PM$_{2.5}$ was 6.2 G (range: 4.4 – 6.2), during CLD. The comparatively higher value of $\Delta H_p$-p likewise approved the presence of multiple organic radicals in PM$_{2.5}$ during CLD. Furthermore, the analyzed EPFRs in PM$_{2.5}$ during the CLD were long-lived organic free radicals.

The characterizing research to identify the factors that influence the EPFRs in the atmosphere is fundamental to take initiative against the health risks proposed by EPFRs. In this study, correlation analyses were adopted to analyze the relationship among the concentrations of common atmospheric pollutants (OC, OC3, OC4, EC, and PM$_{2.5}$) and EPFRs and to emphasize the environmental behaviors of EPFRs during the targeted time zone Figs. 5b, c, d, e, f, and S1, 2. The results presented a strong correlation between the EPFRs and OC3 ($r = 0.72, p < 0.0001$), OC ($r = 0.70, p < 0.0001$), OC4 ($r = 0.67, p < 0.0001$), and weak correlation with EC ($r = 0.48, p < 0.0012$). The strong relationship of EPFRs with OC3, OC4, and EC speculated that the formation of EPFRs might be from larger-molecular-weight and nonvolatile organic compounds (Chen et al., 2018b). Moreover, the positive correlation was observed inconsistently in between PM$_{2.5}$ and EPFRs Fig. S1. The ratio of average values between EPFRs and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations shows the EPFRs/PM$_{2.5}$ ratio values are slightly lower during the CLD with a concentration of $1.59 \times 10^{12}$ spins/m$^3$, than in 2019 ($4.50 \times 10^{12}$ spins/m$^3$) and 2020 ($1.74 \times 10^{12}$ spins/m$^3$). In general, the emission of PM$_{2.5}$ containing atmospheric primary particles is from power plants, vehicles, metallurgical plants. The formation of secondary particles is via the chemical and physical reaction of atmospheric components. Various scientists believe that the primary emission is a major source of EPFRs than secondary inorganic reaction (Wang et al., 2019). Consequently, it can be stated that the variation of sources and their role to PM$_{2.5}$ have a significant impact on the correlation of EPFRs and PM$_{2.5}$, suggesting the useability of EPFRs to determine the sources of PM$_{2.5}$ for the categorization of the emitted particles from vehicles and biomass/coal combustion.

Fig. 5. Concentration of EPFR during targeted time zone in 2019, 2020, the city lockdown (CLD) (a) Comparisons of the EPFRs and EC concentration; Correlation analysis of EPFRs with (b) OC, (c) OC3, (d) OC4, (e) PM$_{2.5}$, and (f) EC.
the concentration of 4.78 nmol/min/m³ a reason for higher oxidative toxicity according to our view. Cigarettes (Lewis et al., 2020). Thus, indoor activities during CLD might be highlighted the toxic effect by increasing pandemics, people spent all the time at home as instructed by the health activities (Thakur et al., 2020). Fig. 6 is caused by the percentage of PM$_{2.5}$ per unit mass, which can be calculated using DTTv/PM$_{2.5} \times 1000$, as shown in Fig. 8c. According to our findings, the highest concentration of DTTv/PM$_{2.5}$ was in 2019. Before the pandemic control, PM$_{2.5}$ and toxicity level were lower. Despite this, during the CLD, the toxicity level sharply increased. Household emissions may have been a major contributor to the increased toxicity level during the city lockdown. Furthermore, during the CLD, both DTTv/EC ratio and EPFRs/EC ratio increased compared to 2019 and before the pandemic control. In conclusion, although motor vehicle emissions were reduced, burning coal contributed to an increase in toxicity.

The Spearman’s correlation coefficient heat map between the DTTv with other pollutants and chemical components visualizes in Fig. 7b, as described above. In this study, the DTTv had the strong correlation with OC ($r = 0.7$), EPFRs ($r = 0.6$), SO$_2$ ($r = 0.6$), Cl$^-$ ($r = 0.6$), and moderate correlation with F$^-$ ($r = 0.5$), weak correlation with CO, WSIs such as Ca$^{2+}$, NH$_4^+$, NO$_3^-$ ($r = 0.3$), and weak negative correlation with O$_3$ ($r = -0.2$), and no positive correlation with PM$_{2.5}$, EC, Na$^+$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ was observed as demonstrated in Fig. 7b.

In this study, the examined significant correlations between OP and OC were likewise approved in previous studies. Certain combustion sources such as biomass burning and coal combustion significantly contributed to waste soluble organic carbons are enlisted as a major contributor to OP (Fang et al., 2016). According to these results, the organic carbon (OC) made a significant contribution to the DTTv, which was consistent with previous research (Brehmer et al., 2019). In addition, there was a significant correlation between OP and EPFRs implying that EPFRs made contributions to the formation of these ROS species (Zhu et al., 2019). Fig. S3. Another study also showed that EPFRs concentration was positively correlated with DTTv, implying that the formation of ROS might be generated EPFRs. The EPFRs play a significant role catalyzing in the conversion of oxygen (O$_2$) to superoxide radicals (O$_2^-$) via electron transfer and the generation of hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) via disproportionation with hydrogen ions (H$^+$) and hydroxyl radicals (OH) through the Fenton reaction with ferrous ions (Fe$^{2+}$), according to Khachatryan and Dellinger (2011). SI provides the information about correlation analysis between DTTv with PM$_{2.5}$, OC, and EC, in contrast, the DTTv level during CLD increased to 4.38 nmol/min/m³. During 2020, the concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ (150.85 μg/m³) was significantly greater than in 2019 (4.78 nmol/min/m³) was lower than in 2019 (4.78 nmol/min/m³). As shown in Fig. 7a, the DTT activity levels of PM$_{2.5}$ samples with atmospheric volume, and results showed that the average levels of DTTv during the targeted time zone of 2020 (4.32 nmol/min/m³) was lower than in 2019 (4.78 nmol/min/m³). DTTv, nmol/min/m³ per unit mass causes toxicity calculated by DTTv/PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was positively correlated with DTTv, implying that the formation of ROS might be generated.

3.4. Oxidation potential of PM$_{2.5}$

In this study, the DTT assay was optimized to quantify the OP of PM$_{2.5}$ during the targeted time. The OP of PM$_{2.5}$ samples was normalized by air volume (DTTv, nmol/min/m³). Fig. 7 is shown the DTT activity levels in PM$_{2.5}$ during the targeted time zone of 2019, 2020 and CLD. During CLD, the quarantine measures were implied to slow down the spread of the virus. As illustrated in Fig. 7a, the DTT activity levels of PM$_{2.5}$ samples derived from the domestic interiors rather than the usual outdoor activities (Lewis et al., 2020). This explains why the toxicity level did not decrease during the lockdown period but rather increased. In addition, the EPFRs concentrations significantly reduced during the CLD compared to 2019 and 2020 concentrations, indicating a reduction in primary emissions Fig. 8b. Toxicity was consistent with previous research (Brehmer et al., 2019). In addition, there was a significant correlation between OP and EPFRs implying that EPFRs made contributions to the formation of these ROS species (Zhu et al., 2019) Fig. S3. Another study also showed that EPFRs concentration was positively correlated with DTTv, implying that the formation of ROS might be generated EPFRs. The EPFRs play a significant role catalyzing in the conversion of oxygen (O$_2$) to superoxide radicals (O$_2^-$) via electron transfer and the generation of hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) via disproportionation with hydrogen ions (H$^+$) and hydroxyl radicals (OH) through the Fenton reaction with ferrous ions (Fe$^{2+}$), according to Khachatryan and Dellinger (2011). SI provides the information about correlation analysis between DTTv with PM$_{2.5}$, OC, and EC.

Fig. 6. EPR spectra during targeted time zone in 2019, 2020, the city lockdown (CLD) (a, b) average EPR spectra of the PM$_{2.5}$ samples. (c) the EPR signal intensity and g-factor in 2019, (d) during 2020 and CLD.
before and during the CLD, as compared in 2019 Fig. S4. These findings suggested that the organic components are not the only contributor to induced oxidative toxicity; other PM$_{2.5}$ components, such as transition metals must have played a more active role to induce ROS activity (Xu et al., 2020).

3.5. Source apportionment of PM$_{2.5}$, DTTv, EPFRs by PMF model

The PMF model was used in our study to quantify the sources of PM$_{2.5}$, EPFRs, and DTTv before and during the city lockdown. Based on the factor contributions, coal combustion sources, photochemical oxidation, secondary aerosols, vehicle emissions, and dust were the main sources of PM$_{2.5}$, DTTv, and EPFRs during the targeted time (Figs. 9 and 10). Moreover, the percentage analysis of these resources is ascribed in Fig. 11.

Species such as OC, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, Cl$^-$, and K$^+$, as well as EPFRs, were dominant in the first factor with high proportions and identified as coal combustion sources (Kundu et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2008). The detailed study about the contribution sources of PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m$^3$) are described in Figs. S5, 6, 7, EPFRs (spins/m$^3$) contributions and percentages in Figs. S8 and 9, DTTv (nmol/min/m$^3$) in Figs. S10 and 11. In China, coal combustion is a prominent source for heating system, lasting nearly half a year. In the northern part of China, during the cold season, residential daily stir-frying activities for cooking and solid fuel (e.g., crop residues, wood, and coal) burning for heating are likewise significant combustion sources (Kong et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2020). According to the results, the contribution of coal combustion to EPFRs in 2019 was comparatively lower (50.10%) than the same period in 2020 (62.06%). Moreover, a significant increasing trend was observed during CLD 64.24%. Conversely, in the case of coal combustion attributed to DTTv, a decreasing trend was observed in 2020 (42.49%) as compared to 2019 (57.16%), and further reduced during CLD (29.02%). According to this observation, it can be stated that coal combustion was the dominant source of EPFRs species and have less contribution to DTTv during the targeted time period.

The photochemical oxidation with a high concentration of O$_3$ was identified as factor 2. Secondary organic aerosols can be produced by the oxidation of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) by atmospheric oxidants such as ozone O$_3$, hydroxyl radicals OH, and nitrate radicals NO$_3$ (Fadel et al., 2021). Reduced nitrogen oxides caused O$_3$ enhancement, further facilitating the secondary aerosol formation and increased atmospheric oxidizing capacity (Le et al., 2020). Because of the significant contribution of traffic combustion sources in urban areas, NO$_2$ is frequently used as a tracer of road traffic emissions (Dutheil et al., 2020; Zoran et al., 2020). The emitted NOx contributes to the formation of secondary airborne pollutants like O$_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$. According to our results in the case of EPFRs, the increasing trend of photochemical oxidation attribution was observed from 2019 to 2020 (2.94–10.81%). Moreover, a significant increase was observed during CLD (28.81%). In the case of DTTv, the same increasing trend was observed in 2019 and 2020 (15.16%–34.40%) however increased more during CLD (62.12%). Thus, the contribution of photochemical oxidation was the main source of DTTv during the city lockdown.

High levels of EC, Na$^+$, Mg$^{2+}$, and Ca$^{2+}$ are indicators of motor
Vehicle emissions and dust identified as Factor 3. A similar factor was resolved in previous studies, which was identified as vehicle emissions (Liu et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2020). High levels of Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ were also identified as a dust source (An et al., 2015; Banerjee et al., 2015). In 2019, both EPFRs and DTTv contributions were significantly higher 45.30% and 18.51%. During CLD, the observed influence of motor vehicle emissions and dust on both EPFRs and DTTv was significantly reduced. The contribution to DTTv on motor vehicle emissions was nearly negligible (0.66%) during the CLD. Lockdown had a considerable impact on reduced vehicle travel resulting in less contribution of traffic both for EPFRs and DTTv, which had a significant effect on dust as well.

High sulfate and nitrate concentrations identify the presence of secondary aerosols. Factor 4 is distinguished as a secondary aerosol with high contributions of SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, and NH$_4^+$ (Liu et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2020). Aside from their primary emissions from coal combustion vehicle emissions and dust on both EPFRs and DTTv was significantly reduced. The contribution to DTTv on motor vehicle emissions was nearly negligible (0.66%) during the CLD. Lockdown had a considerable impact on reduced vehicle travel resulting in less contribution of traffic both for EPFRs and DTTv, which had a significant effect on dust as well.

Fig. 8. Box-plot of the concentrations of DTTv nmol/min/m$^3$ (a), EPFRs spins/m$^3$ (b), DTTv/PM$_{2.5}$*1000 (c), EPFRs/PM$_{2.5}$ ratio (d), DTTv/EC ratio (e), and EPFRs/EC ratio (f) during 2019, 2020, and the city lockdown (CLD).

Fig. 9. Analysis of EPFRs source contributions by PMF model before and during the city lockdown (CLD). The bars represent the concentrations of various species to the factors.

Fig. 10. Analysis of DTTv source contributions by PMF model before and during the city lockdown (CLD). The bars represent the concentrations of various species to the factors.
(Dai et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2020), secondary aerosols can be produced by atmospheric homogenous and heterogeneous reactions (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). However, secondary sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium showed diminution during the CLD due to reduced emissions of SO$_2$ and NO$_2$. Decreased percentages of secondary aerosol attributed both to EPFRs and DTTv, according to the PMF analysis. During the CLD, secondary aerosols contribution to EPFRs was statistically insignificant, indicating less contribution by secondary aerosols. Consequently, DTTv contribution decreased by 8.20%, respectively. In another study, it was found that secondary sulfate and nitrate factors marginally influence the EPFRs (Wang et al., 2019). In our study, we have found that both EPFRs and DTTv barely contribute to secondary aerosols contributions.

4. Conclusion

On the basis of chemical components and a PMF model, we analytically figured the characterization and sources of toxic substances including PM$_{2.5}$, EPFRs, and other pollutants that cause oxidative potential and impact during the pandemic lockdown over Xi’an city. Compared to the same period in 2019, the average concentrations of various air pollutants, including PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_x$, SO$_2$, and CO, clearly reduced by 2%–22%, whereas only O$_3$ increased by 30%. The higher concentration of O$_3$ during CLD was attributed to the reduction in NOx by increasing atmospheric oxidation and formation of secondary aerosols. The main chemical components of PM$_{2.5}$, WSIs, OC and EC, during the city lockdown also diminished by 17%, 5%, and 3% as a result of anthropogenic emission reductions. Due to pandemic measures, the quality of air improved all over China. However, the oxidative potential increased excessively as compared with the same period in 2019. Secondary emissions, coal combustion were major identified factors affecting the toxicity of the pollutants in Xian city. The obtained concentration of EPFRs during 2020 was approximately lower than the results of 2019. During the CLD, the concentration of EPFRs diminished more by 12%. During CLD, the g factor <2.0030 presents that the EPFRs belonged to carbon-centered radicals. Furthermore, the analyzed EPFRs in PM$_{2.5}$ during the CLD were long-lived organic free radicals. By comparing the OP level of PM$_{2.5}$ in Xi’an before and during the city lockdown, the OP level of PM$_{2.5}$ during the lockdown was deemed to be significantly higher than before the pandemic control, whereas the concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ was lower. The PMF analysis determined that coal combustion (64.24%) contributed significantly to EPFRs during the CLD by cause of heating systems, emphasizing the importance of coal combustion for EPFRs over other influencing factors. The contribution of photochemical oxidation to PM$_{2.5}$, EPFRs, and DTTv increased significantly by 39.89%, 28.21%, and 62.12%, while motor vehicle emissions and dust decreased dramatically, indicating a reduction in anthropogenic emissions. Factors of the secondary nitrate and secondary sulfate barely contributed both to EPFRs (3.76%) and DTTv (8.20%), while PM$_{2.5}$ (48.14%) showed significant contribution due to complete lockdown. The city lockdown, however, had a positive impact on the environment, although no significant health benefits were observed. However, it was suggested that the increased secondary formation of PM$_{2.5}$ was a significant source of toxicity.

Based on the aforementioned results, recommendations for future research are to visualize the formation pathway of OP and EPFRs from secondary emissions. Furthermore, future research should focus on the formation of EPFRs and OP in PM$_{2.5}$ by coal combustion and increased oxidation in the atmosphere. Finally, strategical measures to control the adverse health effect on human health by toxicity of EPFRs and OP induced by atmospheric particulate matter should be clearly highlight in future studies.
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