Effect of Carbon Depth in Diminution of Physico-Chemical Pollution Parameters in Cassava Processing Wastewater Treated by Aeration-Peroxide Oxidation Method
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Abstract: This study investigated the effect (attenuation of physico-chemical pollution characteristics) of carbon depth in vertical flow adsorption-filter system of the peroxide oxidation-aeration treatment process for cassava wastewater treatment. Results revealed that although the combined peroxide oxidation, aeration and adsorption-filter treatment process was successful in the reducing pollution characteristics of wastewater from cassava processing, the adsorption-filter part of the treatment process with carbon depths 0, 5, 10 and 15 cm carbon depths were observed to have been selective in removal of physico-chemical pollution characteristics. Parameters such as colour, SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, Ca²⁺, Zn²⁺, TDS and TSS were observed to have exhibited increased decontamination efficiency as the depth of carbon increased in the treatment process while Fe²⁺ and CN ions exhibited delayed adsorption. Depth of carbon filter was however observed to have had no effect on Ni²⁺ and Phenol content removal.
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1. Introduction

Water is perhaps the most essential tangible substance required for sustenance of life on earth. However, it is not readily available in some regions due to climatic conditions while in other areas it exists in contaminated form [1]. In most situations the origin of water pollution has been linked to anthropogenic factors. [2] observed that water represents the most abused, poorly managed and polluted resource by human activities. Research has shown that although Africa has about 5 trillion m³ of fresh water resources available annually, only 3.8% of this supply has been developed, leaving 300 million Africans without access to safe drinking water [3]. This situation has been noted to be endemic in most parts of sub Saharan Africa particularly, Sudan, Chad, Niger and Nigeria where majority of their populations are resident in the rural areas and are dependent on seasonal water supply sources such as rivers, streams and ponds. One of the numerous sources of surface and groundwater pollution in developing countries is cassava processing industries. According to [4], these industries have been observed to discharge their effluent indiscriminately into nearby water courses as well as land thereby polluting surface and groundwater sources. In addition, [5] opined that the scale of production determines the level of pollution thus, large-scale processing if left unchecked will have the largest impact on the environment. It is therefore important to ensure that the limited water resources available is adequately preserved, thus the reduction of land and water pollution by effluent from cassava processing communities is essential. To this end, the study focused on the effectiveness of a three staged treatment process in the decontamination of physico-chemical pollution agents in effluent from cassava processing activities.
2. Materials and Methods

A three staged treatment process (Figure 1) consisting of a peroxide oxidation, aeration and simultaneous filtration and adsorption-filtration processes was used in the treatment of effluent collected from a cassava processing mill. The treatment setup was sited at the Department of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

2.1. Collection of Effluent for Treatment

A 40 litre sample of effluent from a commercial cassava processing plant was obtained in opaque plastic material and kept under ice while transporting to the treatment site. This was to ensure that the condition of the wastewater at source was maintained after transportation as recommended by the [6].

2.2. Peroxide Oxidation Process

The pH of the collected wastewater was adjusted to a range between 10 and 11 by adding 0.5 M of sodium hydroxide; hydrogen peroxide at 50% concentration was then added at 0.025 ml/l of wastewater (about 0.5 g H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}/g of CN\textsuperscript{-}) for the oxidation process. The wastewater was thereafter left for a period of 3 h to ensure a reasonable level of oxidation and cyanide destruction. A sample of the effluent from the aeration chamber was obtained in a clean sterilised PET bottle and stored in an ice bucket to preserve it until end of the treatment process.

2.3. Aeration Process

The oxidised wastewater was then taken through aeration in a specially designed agitator with water displacement mechanism for a period of one hour. The effluent in the chamber was displaced by fresh effluent which was introduced from the inlet of the aeration chamber at a rate of 0.25 l/min. The flow rate was carefully monitored to ensure that the system does not become overloaded. A sample of the effluent from the aeration chamber was obtained in a clean sterilised PET bottle and also stored in an ice bucket to preserve it until end of the treatment process.

2.4. Filtration and Adsorption-Filtration Processes

The oxidized-aerated wastewater was then introduced into the control sand filter column (C\textsubscript{0}) of length 65 cm. The oxidized-aerated wastewater was also introduced into three dual filter media columns at a flowrate of $1.0 \times 10^{-2}$ l/s as recommended for intermediate slow sand filter media by [7]. The configuration of the dual filter media were:

- \textbf{i.} C\textsubscript{1} = 50 cm sand followed by 5 cm carbon followed by 10 cm sand.
- \textbf{ii.} C\textsubscript{2} = 45 cm sand followed by 10 cm carbon followed by 10 cm sand.
- \textbf{iii.} C\textsubscript{3} = 40 cm sand followed by 15 cm carbon followed by 10 cm sand.

Filtrates collected from filtration and adsorption filtration treatments were stored in clean sterilized PET bottles then kept in an ice bucket for preservation before analysis.

2.5. Water Analysis

The water samples collected from the sampling points as indicated in figure 1 were analysed using appropriate methods. Physical parameters such as colour, Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), were determined in accordance with WHO/EC combined standards, following the methods described by [8]. The analysis of cyanide content of the water was carried out with the aid of a spectrophotometer (Spectrumlab 23A) using the alkaline picrate method. The sample was first be subjected to
centrifuging at the speed of 4,200 rpm for about 6 min. The obtained clear supernatant was separated and used for the test using a spectrophotometer at the recommended wavelength and pH range. Blanks which contained pure distilled water were also placed together with the samples in the spectrophotometer; these were used for calibration and quality check. Other chemical parameters such as light and heavy metals like Cadmium (Cd), Zinc (Zn), Nitrogen (N), Chlorine (Cl), Phosphorus (P), Iron (Fe), Nickel (Ni), Magnesium (Mg), Manganese (Mn), Mercury (Hg) and Calcium (Ca) were also determined by spectrophotometry using AAS machine with HNO₃ as the reagent.

2.6. Process decontamination Efficiency

The decontamination efficiency is a measure of effectiveness of a treatment process in removal of a given parameter from the wastewater. This was calculated using the formula given in equation 1 as recommended by [9].

\[ D_{%} = \frac{C_{i}-C_{f}}{C_{i}} \times 100 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where \( D_{%} \) is the percentage decontamination, \( C_{i} \) is the initial concentration of parameter in wastewater (mg l⁻¹) and \( C_{f} \) is the final concentration of parameter in treated wastewater (mg l⁻¹).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Decontamination Efficiency

Analysis of the result obtained from the treatment process revealed that the decontamination efficiency (Table 1) for the treatment lines with adsorption-filter columns exhibited selective adsorption for the observed parameters. Parameters such as colour, \( \text{SO}_{4}^{2-} \), \( \text{NO}_{3}^{-} \), Cl, Ca, Zn, TDS and TSS were observed to have exhibited increased decontamination efficiency as the depth of carbon increased in the treatment process. This trend may have been due to the effect of increased contact with active sites on the carbon material as observed by [10]. The carbon material in the treatment process was observed to have exhibited delayed adsorption of Fe and CN as concentration of effluent drawn from \( C_{0} \) and \( C_{1} \) were observed to be equal while increase was observed for \( C_{2} \) and \( C_{3} \) treatments. However parameters such as \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) and Phenol content of the oxidized-aerated wastewater were not affected by the depth of carbon present in the treatment process.

Table 1. Decontamination efficiency of the control and treatment lines.

| Parameter* | Decontamination Efficiency (%) |
|------------|--------------------------------|
|            | \( C_{0} \) | \( C_{1} \) | \( C_{2} \) | \( C_{3} \) |
| Colour (Lovibond units) | 35.0 | 46.5 | 52.0 | 57.4 |
| \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) | 34.8 | 40.7 | 48.2 | 48.2 |
| \( \text{CN}^{-} \) | 95.0 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| \( \text{SO}_{4}^{2-} \) | 74.6 | 84.5 | 85.8 | 86.1 |
| \( \text{NO}_{3}^{-} \) | 74.1 | 80.0 | 85.2 | 87.6 |
| \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) | 41.2 | 41.2 | 44.7 | 49.4 |
| \( \text{PO}_{4}^{3-} \) | 74.2 | 82.5 | 82.5 | 86.6 |

* All units are in mg/l except where otherwise stated.

The presence of cyanide in water bodies has been observed to produce inimical side effects on the sustenance of aquatic life and biodiversity. It has been reported [11] that presence of cyanide inhibits the mitochondrial enzyme cytochrome oxidase in the respiratory electron transport chain, thus impairing both oxidative metabolism and the associated processes of oxidative phosphorylation in aquatic animals. The effluent drawn from the treatment processes as revealed in Table 2 were all below the FEPA/NESREA standards for cyanide discharge to water course.

3.2. Treatment Effectiveness in Abatement of Effluent Pollution Characteristics

According to [12], high level of nitrate in water has been known to induce methaemoglobinaemia (Blue baby Syndrome) in infants less than 6 months old as well as infection diseases such as cyanosis and cancer of the alimentary canal. Nitrate pollution has also been known to cause environmental problems like eutrophication of waterbodies. Phosphates on the other hand are considered as the growth limiting nutrient for plants and microbial growth [13]. They are responsible for controlling freshwater and terrestrial ecosystems productivity [14]. From the Table 2 which shows the summary of results for effectiveness of the treatment in decontamination for the physico-chemical parameters considered, it can be observed that the concentration of phosphate and nitrate ions in the effluent from the four treatment processes were below the FEPA/NESREA standard for discharge to watercourse.

Suspended solids by nature are unsettled solid materials in water which can be trapped by passing through a filter or precipitated, thus high concentrations of suspended solids can cause many problems for stream health and aquatic life. [15] observed that the delivery of excessive levels of suspended solids into waterbodies can have significant deleterious impacts on the physical, chemical and biological properties of the waterbody. The TSS concentration values of effluents drawn from the treatment lines (Table 2) were observed to be above the FEPA/NESREA standards for discharge to waterbodies. This implies that there is a need for some form of additional treatment stage aimed at mitigating this issue. All other considered parameters were however observed to have been below the FEPA/NESREA standards for discharge to waterbodies.
Table 2. Summary of treatment process effectiveness in abatement of effluent pollution characteristics for the four treatment lines.

| Parameter* | FEPA/NESREA Standard | C₀ | C₁ | C₂ | C₃ |
|------------|----------------------|----|----|----|----|
| Colour m³ | 7                    | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| Mg²⁺ | 200                  | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| CN⁻ | 0.1                  | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| SO₄²⁻ | 500                  | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| NO₃⁻ | 20                   | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| PO₄³⁻ | 5                    | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| Mn²⁺ | 5                    | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| Cl⁻ | 600                  | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| Cd²⁺ | < 1                  | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| Ni²⁺ | <1                   | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| Ca²⁺ | 200                  | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| Zn²⁺ | < 1                  | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| Phenol | 0.2                  | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| TDS | 2,000                | √  | √  | √  | √  |
| TSS | 30                   | X  | X  | X  | X  |

* Met FEPA's (NESREA) standard
* All units mg/l unless otherwise stated
X Did not meet FEPA'S (NESREA) standard
m Lovibond Units

4. Conclusion

Although the combined peroxide oxidation, aeration and adsorption-filter treatment process was successful in the abatement of pollution characteristics of wastewater from cassava processing, the adsorption-filter part of the treatment process was observed to be selective in removal of physico-chemical pollution characteristics. Depth of carbon was observed to have had no effect on Ni²⁺ and Phenol removal while the other parameters were affected.
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