TRACIAL MOMENT PROBLEMS ON HYPERCUBES

CONG TRINH LE

Abstract. In this paper we introduce the tracial $K$-moment problem and the sequential matrix-valued $K$-moment problem and show the equivalence of the solvability of these problems. Using a Haviland’s theorem for matrix polynomials, we solve these $K$-moment problems for the case where $K$ is the hypercube $[-1, 1]^n$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}[X] := \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ denote the algebra of polynomials in $n$ variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ with real coefficients.

For a real-valued linear functional $L$ on $\mathbb{R}[X]$ and a closed subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, the $K$-moment problem asks when does there exist a positive Borel measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ supported on $K$ such that

$$L(f) = \int f(x) d\sigma(x), \forall f \in \mathbb{R}[X]?$$

Each functional of this form is called a $K$-moment functional.

Another kind of $K$-moment problems for sequences of numbers is stated as follows. For a multi-index sequence $s = (s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$, the $K$-moment problem for sequences asks when does there exist a positive Borel measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ supported on $K$ such that

$$s_\alpha = \int x^\alpha d\sigma(x), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n,$$

where $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$. Each sequence of this form is called a $K$-moment sequence.

For each sequence $s = (s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$, the Riesz functional $L_s$ on $\mathbb{R}[X]$ associated to $s$ is defined by

$$L_s(x^\alpha) = s_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n.$$}

It is easy to check that $s$ is a $K$-moment sequence if and only if $L_s$ is a $K$-moment functional.

In the case $K = \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $[0, +\infty)$, $[0, 1]$), we go back to the Hamburger (resp. Stieltjes, Hausdorff) moment problem which was solved completely by many authors (see, for example, a very excellent book of Schmüdgen [10].

Date: April 10, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 44A60; 30E05; 13J30; 47A57; 11E25.

Key words and phrases. Moment problem; matrix polynomial; moment functional; moment sequence; hypercube.
on the moment problem). If $K$ is a compact basic closed semi-algebraic set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the $K$-moment problem was solved by Schmüdgen (1991, [9]).

One of the power tools to solve $K$-moment problems is the Haviland theorem, which was proved by E.K. Haviland (1935) and stated as follows.

Given a linear functional $L$ on $\mathbb{R}[X]$ and a closed subset $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then $L$ is a $K$-moment functional if and only if $L(f) \geq 0$ for all $f \geq 0$ on $K$.

Here the notation $f \geq 0$ on $K$ means that $f(x) \geq 0$, $\forall x \in K$. A similar meaning is defined for the notation $f > 0$ on $K$.

For a fix integer $t > 0$, we denote by $M_t(\mathbb{R}[X])$ the algebra of $t \times t$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{R}[X]$, and by $S_t(\mathbb{R}[X])$ the subalgebra of symmetric matrices. Each element $A \in M_t(\mathbb{R}[X])$ is a matrix whose entries are polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[X]$, which is called a polynomial matrix. $A$ is also called a matrix polynomial, because it can be viewed as a polynomial in $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ whose coefficients come from $M_t(\mathbb{R})$. Namely, we can write $A$ as

\[ A(X) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^d A_\alpha X^\alpha, \]

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n$, $X^\alpha := X_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots X_n^{\alpha_n}$, $A_\alpha \in M_t(\mathbb{R})$. To unify notation, throughout the paper each element of $M_t(\mathbb{R}[X])$ is called a matrix polynomial.

Let $A(X) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^d A_\alpha X^\alpha$ be a matrix polynomial. Denote

\[ A_d(X) := \sum_{|\alpha|=d} A_\alpha X^\alpha. \]

If $A_d \neq 0$, the matrix polynomial $A$ is called of degree $d$. In particular, when $n = 1$ and $A(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d A_ix^i$ is a univariate matrix polynomial of degree $d$, the non-zero matrix $A_d$ is called the leading coefficient of $A$.

Recently, there are some researchs on (truncated) noncommutative $K$-moment problems, e.g. Dette and Studden (2002, [4]), Burgdorff and Klep (2011, [1]), Burgdorff, Klep and Povh (2013, [2]), Cimprič and Zalar (2013, [3]), Kimsey and Woerdeman (2013, [6]), Kimsey (2011, [5]) and the references therein. In particular, in [3], Cimprič and Zalar proved a version of the Haviland theorem for matrix polynomials, and they applied this development to solve the matrix-valued moment problem on compact basic closed semi-algebraic sets.

In the case where $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a basic closed semi-algebraic set defined by a subset $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ of $\mathbb{R}[X]$, almost all solutions of the matrix-valued $K$-moment problems are obtained using the positivity of the given linear functional on the quadratic module $M(G)^t$ in $M_t(\mathbb{R}[X])$, where $M(G)$ is the
tracial moment problems on hypercubes

The quadratic module in \(\mathbb{R}[X]\) generated by \(G\) and

\[
M(G)^\dagger = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r m_i A_i^T A_i | r \in \mathbb{N}, m_i \in M(G), A_i \in M_t(\mathbb{R}[X]) \right\}.
\]

The main aim of this paper is to introduce some kinds of \(K\)-moment problems for matrix polynomials and solve these matrix-valued \(K\)-moment problems in the case where \(K\) is the hypercube \([-1,1]^n\). The most advantage to work on the hypercube \([-1,1]^n\) (and in general, on convex, compact polyhedra with non-empty interior) is that any matrix polynomial which is positive definite on \([-1,1]^n\) can be represented in terms of positive definite scalar matrices (see Theorem 3.1 for the case \(n = 1\) and Corollary 4.2 for \(n \geq 1\)). Then the solution of the matrix-valued \([-1,1]^n\)-moment problem is obtained basing on the positivity of the given linear functional on the cone of positive definite scalar matrices, which is well described.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the definition of the tracial \(K\)-moment problem and the sequential matrix-valued \(K\)-moment problem and establish an equivalence of the solvability of these problems (Proposition 2.8). Moreover, we also prove in this section a version of Haviland’s theorem for matrix polynomials which was proved mainly by Cimprič and Zalar in [3]. Next, in Section 3 we solve the tracial (resp. sequential matrix-valued) \([-1,1]\)-moment problem, which is established based on a representation of univariate matrix polynomials positive definite on \([-1,1]\). A solution of the tracial (resp. sequential matrix-valued) \([0,1]\)-moment problem is also given in this section. Finally, in Section 4 we solve the tracial (resp. sequential matrix-valued) moment problem on the general hypercube \([-1,1]^n\).

Notation. For any matrix \(A \in M_t(\mathbb{R}[X])\), the notation \(A \geq 0\) means \(A\) is positive semidefinite, i.e. for each \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n\), \(v^T A(x) v \geq 0\) for all \(v \in \mathbb{R}^t\); \(A > 0\) means \(A\) is positive definite, i.e. for each \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n\), \(v^T A(x) v > 0\) for all \(v \in \mathbb{R}^t \setminus \{0\}\).

2. Haviland’s theorem for matrix polynomials

2.1. Matrix-valued measures and integrals. In this section we recall some basic notions of the matrix-valued measures and integrals on a closed subset of \(\mathbb{R}^n\), which can be seen from the thesis of Kimsey [3].

Throughout this subsection, let \(X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n\) be a non-empty closed set.

Definition 2.1. Denote by \(\mathcal{B}(X)\) the smallest \(\sigma\)-algebra generated from the open (or equivalently closed) subsets of \(X\). A measure \(\sigma\) defined on \(\mathcal{B}(X)\) is called a Borel measure. A Borel measure \(\sigma\) on \(\mathcal{B}(X)\) is called finite if \(\sigma(X) < +\infty\). Denote by \(m(X)\) the set of all finite measures on \(X\).

A measure \(\sigma \in m(X)\) is called positive if \(\sigma(E) \geq 0\) for all \(E \in \mathcal{B}(X)\). The set of all finite positive Borel measures on \(X\) is denoted by \(m^+(X)\).

For each \(\sigma \in m(X)\), the support of \(\sigma\) is defined by

\[
supp(\sigma) := \{ E \in \mathcal{B}(X) : |\sigma|(E) > 0 \},
\]
The matrix-valued measure

**Problem 2.5.** Let $\sigma_{ij} \in m(X), i, j = 1, \ldots, t$.

Define the matrix-valued function $E : \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow M_t(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$E(A) := (\sigma_{ij}(A))_{i,j=1,\ldots,t} \in M_t(\mathbb{R}), \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

The matrix-valued function $E$ defined by this way is called a *matrix-valued measure* on $X$. The set of all matrix-valued measure on $X$ is denoted by $M(X)$. The set

$$\text{supp}(E) := \bigcup_{i,j=1}^{t} \text{supp}(\sigma_{ij})$$

is called the *support of the matrix-valued measure* $E$.

If $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ji}$ for all $i, j = 1, \ldots, t$, we say that $E$ is a *symmetric measure*. In addition, if for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^t$ we have $v^T E(A)v \geq 0$, then $E$ is called a *positive semidefinite* matrix-valued measure. The set of all positive semidefinite matrix-valued measures on $X$ is denoted by $M^+(X)$.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $E = (\sigma_{ij}) \in M(X)$. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called $E$-measurable if $f$ is $\sigma_{ij}$-measurable for every $i, j = 1, \ldots, t$.

Let $E = (\sigma_{ij}) \in M(X)$ and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $E$-measurable. The *matrix-valued integral* of $f$ with respect to the matrix measure $E$ is defined by

$$\int_X f(x)dE(x) := \left( \int_X f(x)d\sigma_{ij}(x) \right)_{i,j=1,\ldots,t} \in M_t(\mathbb{R}).$$

**2.2. Tracial $K$-moment problems.** Let $L$ be a real-valued linear functional on $M_t(\mathbb{R}[X])$, where $\mathbb{R}[X] := \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ a closed subset.

**Definition 2.4** (cf. [3]). $L$ is called a *tracial $K$-moment functional* if there exists a positive semidefinite matrix-valued $E \in M^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\text{supp}(E) \subseteq K \quad \text{and} \quad L(F) = \int \text{tr}(F(x)dE(x)), \forall F \in M_t(\mathbb{R}[X]). \quad (2.1)$$

The matrix-valued measure $E \in M^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying (2.1) is called a *representing measure* of the tracial $K$-moment functional $L$.

**Problem 2.5.** Let $L$ be a linear functional on $M_t(\mathbb{R}[X])$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ a closed set. The *tracial $K$-moment problem* asks when does there exist a matrix-valued measure $E \in M^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the conditions (2.1)?

The following definition of matrix-valued $K$-moment sequences is learned from Kimsey in [5].

**Definition 2.6.** Let $S = (S_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$ be a multi-indexed sequence of symmetric matrices in $M_t(\mathbb{R})$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ a closed subset. The sequence $S$ is called a *matrix-valued $K$-moment sequence* if there exists a positive semidefinite matrix-valued $E \in M^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\text{supp}(E) \subseteq K \quad \text{and} \quad S_\alpha = \int x^\alpha dE(x), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad (2.2)$$
where \( x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \) with \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \) and \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \).

The matrix-valued measure \( E \in M^+(\mathbb{R}^n) \) satisfying (2.2) is called a representing measure of the matrix-valued \( K \)-moment sequence \( S \).

**Problem 2.7.** Let \( S = (S_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \) be a multi-indexed sequence of symmetric matrices in \( M_t(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) a closed set. The sequential matrix-valued \( K \)-moment problem asks when does there exist a matrix-valued measure \( E \in M^+(\mathbb{R}^n) \) satisfying the conditions (2.2)?

For each matrix-valued sequence \( S = (S_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \), let us consider the real-valued function \( L_S \) on \( M_t(\mathbb{R}[X]) \) defined as follows. For each \( F(X) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^d F_\alpha X^\alpha \in M_t(\mathbb{R}[X]) \), define

\[
L_S(F) := \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^d \text{tr}(F_\alpha S_\alpha).
\]

It is easy to check that \( L_S \) is linear, which is called the Riesz function associated to the sequence \( S \).

**Proposition 2.8.** Let \( S = (S_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \) be a sequence of symmetric matrices in \( M_t(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) a closed set. Then \( S \) is a matrix-valued \( K \)-moment sequence if and only if \( L_S \) is a tracial \( K \)-moment functional.

**Proof.** Assume that \( S \) is a matrix-valued \( K \)-moment sequence. Then there exists a matrix-valued measure \( E \in M^+(\mathbb{R}^n) \) supported in \( K \) and

\[
S_\alpha = \int x^\alpha dE(x), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n.
\]

Then, for each \( F(X) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^d F_\alpha X^\alpha \in M_t(\mathbb{R}[X]) \), by definition of \( L_S \), we have

\[
L_S(F) = \sum_\alpha \text{tr}(F_\alpha S_\alpha) = \sum_\alpha \text{tr}\left( \int x^\alpha F_\alpha dE(x) \right) = \int \text{tr}\left( \sum_\alpha F_\alpha x^\alpha dE(x) \right) = \int \text{tr}(F(x)dE(x)).
\]

This implies that \( L_S \) is a tracial \( K \)-moment functional.

Conversely, assume that \( E \) is a matrix-valued measure in \( M^+(\mathbb{R}^n) \) satisfying

\[
supp(E) \subseteq K \text{ and } L_S(F) = \int \text{tr}(F(x)dE(x)), \quad \forall F \in M_t(\mathbb{R}[X]).
\]
In particular, for each coordinate matrix $W_{k,l}$ of the algebra $M_t(\mathbb{R})$ and each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_S(X^\alpha W_{k,l}) = \int x^\alpha \text{tr}(W_{k,l} dE(x)) = \int x^\alpha dE_{l,k}.$$

Here we use the fact that for each $k, l = 1, \ldots, t$ and for each matrix $A = (A_{i,j})_{i,j} \in M_t(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\text{tr}(W_{k,l} A) = A_{l,k}.$$

On the other hand, by definition of the associated Riesz functional, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_S(X^\alpha W_{k,l}) = \text{tr}(W_{k,l} S_\alpha) = (S_\alpha)_{l,k}.$$

It follows that

$$S_\alpha^T = ((S_\alpha)_{l,k})_{k,l} = \left( \int x^\alpha dE_{l,k}(x) \right)_{k,l} = \left( \int x^\alpha dE(x) \right)^T_{k,l}.$$

Equivalently, $S_\alpha = \int x^\alpha dE(x)$, i.e. $S$ is a matrix-valued $K$-moment sequence. \qed

2.3. Haviland’s theorem for matrix polynomials.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a real-valued linear functional on $M_t(\mathbb{R}[X])$ and $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ a closed set. Then the following are equivalent.

1. $\mathcal{L}$ is a tracial $K$-moment functional, i.e. there exists a positive semi-definite matrix-valued measure $E$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose support is contained in $K$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}(F) = \int \text{tr}(F dE).$$

2. $\mathcal{L}(F) \geq 0$ for all $F \geq 0$ on $K$.

3. $\mathcal{L}(F + \epsilon I) \geq 0$ for all $F \geq 0$ on $K$ and for all $\epsilon > 0$.

4. $\mathcal{L}(F) \geq 0$ for all $F > 0$ on $K$.

**Proof.** The equivalence of (1) and (2) was proved by Cimprič and Zalar [3, Theorem 3 and Remark 5].

(2) $\rightarrow$ (3) is obvious, since $\mathcal{L}(I) \geq 0$ by hypothesis. Now we check (3) $\rightarrow$ (2).

Take $F \geq 0$ on $K$. Consider $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by the assumption of (3),

$$0 \leq \mathcal{L}(F + \frac{1}{n} I) = \mathcal{L}(F) + \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{L}(I),$$

where $\mathcal{L}(I) \geq 0$ by hypothesis. Letting $n \to +\infty$, we get $\mathcal{L}(F) \geq 0$, i.e. we have (2).

It is obvious that (2) $\rightarrow$ (4). Now we verify (4) $\rightarrow$ (3). Take $F \geq 0$ on $K$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then $F + \epsilon I > 0$ on $K$. Hence by the assumption we have $\mathcal{L}(F + \epsilon I) \geq 0$, i.e. we have (3). The proof is complete. \qed
3. Tracial moment problems on the intervals $[-1,1]$ and $[0,1]$

Firstly we propose a version of the Bernstein theorem (cf. [10, Prop. 3.4]) for matrix polynomials, representing a matrix polynomial positive definite on the interval $[-1,1]$. This is a special case of the Handelman's Positivstellensatz for matrix polynomials established in [7], but the proof given here is special for the case of one-variable matrix polynomials.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $F \in M_t(\mathbb{R}[x])$ be a matrix polynomial of degree $d > 0$. Assume $F(x) > 0$ for all $x \in [-1,1]$. Then there exists a positive number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and positive definite matrices $G_i, i = 1, \ldots, N + d$ such that

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N+d} G_i (1 + x)^i (1 - x)^{N+d-i}.$$ 

The main idea of the proof is learned from the proof [10, Prop. 3.4] for polynomials, using the Goursat transform and the Pólya theorem for homogeneous matrix polynomials established in [8].

Let $F$ be as in Theorem 3.1. The Goursat transform of $F$ is defined by

$$\tilde{F}(x) := (1 + x)^d F \left( \frac{1 - x}{1 + x} \right).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** $\deg(\tilde{F}) = d$, $\tilde{F}_d > 0$, and $\tilde{F}(t) > 0$ for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$, where $\tilde{F}_d$ denotes the leading coefficient of the matrix polynomial $\tilde{F}$.

**Proof.** Let $F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} F_i x^i$, with $F_d \neq 0$. Then we can write $\tilde{F}$ as

$$\tilde{F}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} F_i (1 - x)^i (1 + x)^{d-i}.$$ 

The leading coefficient of $\tilde{F}$ is

$$\tilde{F}_d := \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i F_i = F(-1)$$

which is positive definite by the assumption of $F$.

For every $t \in [0, +\infty)$, $x := \frac{1 - t}{1 + t} \in (-1,1]$. Observe that $t = \frac{1 - x}{1 + x}$, and hence

$$\tilde{F}(t) = (1 + t)^d F(x).$$

It follows from the assumption of $F$ that $\tilde{F}(t) > 0$. $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $G \in M_t(\mathbb{R}[x])$ be a matrix polynomial of degree $d$ with a positive definite leading coefficient $G_d$. If $G(x) > 0$ for all $x \in [0, +\infty)$ then there exists a positive integer $N$ and positive definite matrices $H_i, i = 0, \ldots, N + d$ such that

$$(1 + x)^N G(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N+d} H_i x^i.$$
Proof. Assume $G(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} g_{i}x^{i}$. Denote by $\Delta$ the standard simplex in $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\Delta = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x \geq 0, y \geq 0, x + y + 1\}.$$ 

Let $G^{h}(x, y) \in M_{t}(\mathbb{R}[x, y])$ be the homogenization of $G$ with respect to the new variable $y$, defined by

$$G^{h}(x, y) := \sum_{i=0}^{d} g_{i}x^{i}y^{d-i}.$$ 

For any $(x, y) \in \Delta$, if $y = 0$, we have $x = 1$, then 

$$G^{h}(1, 0) = g_{d} > 0.$$ 

If $y > 0$, we have

$$G^{h}(x, y) = y^{d}G\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) > 0,$$

since $\frac{x}{y} \in [0, +\infty)$. In summary, we have $G^{h}(x, y) > 0$ for all $(x, y) \in \Delta$.

It follows from the Pólya theorem for homogeneous matrix polynomials proved by Scherer and Hol [8, Theorem 3] that there exist a positive integer $N$ and positive definite matrices $G_{k,l}$, with $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, $k + l = 0, \ldots, N + d$ such that

$$(x + y)^{N}G^{h}(x, y) = \sum_{k+l=N+d} G_{k,l}x^{k}y^{l}.$$ 

Substituting $y = 1$ in both sides of the expression (3.1), observing that $G^{h}(x, 1) = G(x)$, we get

$$(1 + x)^{N}G(x) = \sum_{k+l=N+d} G_{k,l}x^{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{N+d} G_{k,N+d-k}x^{k}.$$ 

For each $k = 0, \ldots, N + d$, the matrices $H_{k} := G_{k,N+d-k}$ satisfy conclusion of the lemma. \hfill \Box

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Let $F$ satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that the Goursat transform $\tilde{F}$ satisfy the following properties

- $\text{deg}(\tilde{F}) = d$;
- $\tilde{F}_{d} > 0$;
- $\tilde{F}(t) > 0$ for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

It follows from Lemma 3.3 that there exist a positive integer $N$ and positive definite matrices $H_{i}, i = 0, \ldots, N + d$ such that

$$(1 + t)^{N}\tilde{F}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N+d} H_{i}t^{i}, \forall t \in [0, +\infty).$$ (3.2)
For any $x \in (-1, 1]$, there exists a unique $t \in [0, +\infty)$ such that $x = \frac{1 - t}{1 + t}$. Then
\[ t = \frac{1 - x}{1 + x}, \quad (1 + t)^{-1} = \frac{1 + x}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{F}(t) = (1 + t)^d F(x). \]
Substituting into (3.2), we get
\[ (1 + t)^N (1 + t)^d \tilde{F}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N+d} H_i \left( \frac{1 - x}{1 + x} \right)^i. \]
It follows that
\[ F(x) = (1 + t)^{-d} \sum_{i=0}^{N+d} H_i \left( \frac{1 - x}{1 + x} \right)^i = \frac{1}{2^{N+d}} (1 + x)^{N+d} \sum_{i=0}^{N+d} H_i \left( \frac{1 - x}{1 + x} \right)^i = \sum_{i=0}^{N+d} \left( \frac{1}{2^{N+d} H_i} \right) (1 - x)^i (1 + x)^{N+d-i}. \]
Hence the matrices $G_i := \frac{1}{2^{N+d} H_i}, i = 0, \ldots, N + d$, satisfy the conclusion of the theorem.

It follows from the Haviland theorem for matrix polynomials (Theorem 2.9) and Theorem 3.1 the following solution of the tracial $[-1, 1]$-moment problem.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a real-valued linear functional on $M_t(\mathbb{R}[x])$. Then the following are equivalent.

1. $\mathcal{L}$ is a tracial $[-1, 1]$-moment functional.
2. $\mathcal{L}((1 + x)^k (1 - x)^l G) \geq 0$ for all positive definite matrices $G \in M_t(\mathbb{R})$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

**Proof.** If (1) holds, for each $G$ positive definite and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, since $(1 + x)^k (1 - x)^l G \geq 0$ on $[-1, 1]$, it follows from Theorem 2.9 (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), that $\mathcal{L}((1 + x)^k (1 - x)^l G) \geq 0$. Hence we have (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2).

Conversely, assume (2) holds. Then for each $F \in S_t(\mathbb{R}[x])$ of degree $d$, $F > 0$ on $[-1, 1]$, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that
\[ F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N+d} G_i (1 + x)^i (1 - x)^{N+d-i}. \]
for some $N > 0$ and $G_i > 0$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, N + d$. Then
\[ \mathcal{L}(F) = \sum_{i=0}^{N+d} \mathcal{L}(G_i (1 + x)^i (1 - x)^{N+d-i}) \geq 0. \]
It follows from Theorem 2.9 (4) ⇒ (1), that \( \mathcal{L} \) is a tracial \([-1, 1]\)-moment functional, i.e. (2) → (1). The proof is complete. □

As a consequence of this result, we obtain the following solution of the tracial \([0, 1]\)-moment problem, which was also solved by Cimprič and Zalar [3, Coro. 1], using sums of Hermitian squares.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let \( \mathcal{L} \) be a real-valued linear functional on \( M_t(\mathbb{R}[x]) \). Then the following are equivalent.

(1) \( \mathcal{L} \) is a tracial \([0, 1]\)-moment functional.

(2) \( \mathcal{L}(x^k(1-x)^l G) \geq 0 \) for all positive definite matrices \( G \in M_t(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \).

**Proof.** Observe that there is a bijection from the interval \([-1, 1]\) onto the interval \([0, 1]\) given by

\[ x \mapsto \frac{x + 1}{2}, \forall x \in [-1, 1]. \]

Using this bijection, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that for \( F \in S_t(\mathbb{R}[x]) \) of degree \( d \), if \( F > 0 \) on \([0, 1]\) then

\[ F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N+d} G_i x^i (1-x)^{N+d-i} \]

for some \( N > 0 \) and \( G_i > 0 \) for all \( i = 0, \ldots, N + d \). Then the result follows from this representation and Theorem 2.9. □

For the sequential matrix-valued \([-1, 1]\)-problem, we have the following solution.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let \( S = (S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) be a sequence of symmetric matrices in \( M_t(\mathbb{R}) \). Then \( S \) is a matrix-valued \([-1, 1]\)-moment sequence if and only if

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^j \binom{k}{i} \binom{l}{j} \text{tr}(GS_{i+j}) \geq 0 \]

for all positive definite matrices \( G \in M_t(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{L}_S \) be the Riesz functional on \( M_t(\mathbb{R}[x]) \) associated to the sequence \( S \). For each \( G \in S_t(\mathbb{R}[x]) \), \( G > 0 \), and \( k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), we have

\[ \mathcal{L}_S((1 + x)^k(1 - x)^l G) = \mathcal{L}_S(\sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^j \binom{k}{i} \binom{l}{j} x^i G) \]

\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^j \binom{k}{i} \binom{l}{j} \mathcal{L}_S(x^i G) \]

\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^j \binom{k}{i} \binom{l}{j} \text{tr}(GS_{i+j}). \]
By Proposition 2.8, $S$ is a matrix-valued $[-1, 1]$-moment sequence if and only if $\mathcal{L}_S$ is a tracial $[-1, 1]$-moment functional. It follows from Corollary 3.4 that this is equivalent to $\mathcal{L}_S((1 + x)^k(1 - x)^l G) \geq 0$ for all positive definite matrices $G \in M_t(\mathbb{R})$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. This implies the result. □

Similarly, using Corollary 3.5, we have the following solution of the sequential matrix-valued $[0, 1]$-moment problem.

Corollary 3.7. Let $S = (S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of symmetric matrices in $M_t(\mathbb{R})$. Then $S$ is a matrix-valued $[0, 1]$-moment sequence if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} \text{tr}(GS_{i+l}) \geq 0
$$

for all positive definite matrices $G \in M_t(\mathbb{R})$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

4. Tracial moment problems on the hypercube $[-1, 1]^n$

In this section we consider a convex, compact polyhedron $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with non-empty interior. Assume that $K$ is the basic closed semi-algebraic set defined by linear polynomials $L_1, \ldots, L_m \in \mathbb{R}[X] := \mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, i.e.

$$
K = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n | L_1(x) \geq 0, \ldots, L_m(x) \geq 0 \}.
$$

The following version of Handelman’s Positivstellensatz for matrix polynomials was proved by the authors in [7].

Theorem 4.1. Let $F \in S_t(\mathbb{R}[X])$ be a matrix polynomial of degree $d > 0$. If $F(x) > 0$ for all $x \in K$, then there exist a positive integer $N$ and positive definite matrices $G_\alpha \in M_t(\mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$, $|\alpha| = 0, \ldots, N + d$, such that

$$
F(X) = \sum_{|\alpha| = 0}^{N+d} G_\alpha L_{1}^{\alpha_1} \ldots L_{m}^{\alpha_m}.
$$

Applying Theorem 4.1 for the linear polynomials

$$
l_1 = 1 + X_1, l_2 = 1 - X_1, \ldots, l_{2n-1} = 1 + X_n, l_{2n} = 1 - X_n
$$

we obtain the following representation for matrix polynomials positive definite on the hypercube $[-1, 1]^n$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $F \in S_t(\mathbb{R}[X])$ be a matrix polynomial of degree $d > 0$. If $F(x) > 0$ for all $x \in [-1, 1]^n$, then there exist a positive integer $N$ and positive definite matrices $G_\alpha \in M_t(\mathbb{R})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n}$, $|\alpha| = 0, \ldots, N + d$, such that

$$
F(X) = \sum_{|\alpha| = 0}^{N+d} G_\alpha l_{1}^{\alpha_1} l_{2}^{\alpha_2} \ldots l_{2n-1}^{\alpha_{2n-1}} l_{2n}^{\alpha_{2n}}.
$$

Now, applying the Haviland theorem for matrix polynomials (Theorem 2.9) and Corollary 4.2 we obtain the following solution of the tracial $[-1, 1]^n$-moment problem.
Corollary 4.3. Let $L$ be a real-valued linear functional on $M_t(\mathbb{R}[X])$. Then the following are equivalent.

1. $L$ is a tracial $[-1, 1]^n$-moment functional.
2. $L(l_1^\alpha_1 l_2^\alpha_2 \ldots l_{2n-1}^\alpha_{2n-1} l_{2n}^\alpha_{2n} G) \geq 0$ for all positive definite matrices $G \in M_t(\mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2n}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n}$.

Observe that the solution of the tracial $K$-moment problem, where $K$ is a convex, compact polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with non-empty interior, can be solved by the same way, using the linear polynomial $L_i$ instead of $l_j$ in Corollary 4.3.

Finally, applying Proposition 2.8 and Corollary 4.3 we obtain the following solution of the sequential matrix-valued $[-1, 1]^n$-moment problem.

Corollary 4.4. Let $S = (S_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$ be a sequence of symmetric matrices in $M_t(\mathbb{R})$. Then $S$ is a matrix-valued $[-1, 1]^n$-moment sequence if and only if

$$
\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \beta \leq \alpha} (-1)^{e(\alpha)} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \text{tr}(GS_\beta) \geq 0
$$

for all positive definite matrices $G \in M_t(\mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2n}$.

Here, for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2n})$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{2n})$, $\beta \leq \alpha$ means $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, 2n$; $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} := \binom{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} \ldots \binom{\alpha_{2n}}{\beta_{2n}}$; and $e(\alpha) := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{2i}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Corollary 3.6, using Proposition 2.8, Corollary 4.3 and the identity

$$(1+X_1)^{\alpha_1}(1-X_1)^{\alpha_2} \ldots (1+X_n)^{\alpha_{2n-1}}(1-X_n)^{\alpha_{2n}} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \beta \leq \alpha} (-1)^{e(\alpha)} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} X^\beta,$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2n})$. \qed
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