Change in Soil Particle Size Distribution and Erodibility with Latitude and Vegetation Restoration Chronosequence on the Loess Plateau, China
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Abstract: Analyzing the dynamics of soil particle size distribution (PSD) and erodibility is important for understanding the changes of soil texture and quality after cropland abandonment. This study aimed to determine how restoration age and latitude affect soil erodibility and the multifractal dimensions of PSD during natural recovery. We collected soil samples from grassland, shrubland, and forests with different restoration ages in the steppe zone (SZ), forest-steppe zone (FSZ), and forest zone (FZ). Various analyses were conducted on the samples, including multifractal analysis and erodibility analysis. Our results showed that restoration age had no significant effect on the multifractal dimensions of PSD (capacity dimension ($D_0$), information dimension ($D_1$), information dimension/capacity dimension ratio ($D_1/D_0$), correlation dimension ($D_2$)), and soil erodibility. Multifractal dimensions tended to increase, while soil erodibility tended to decrease, with restoration age. Latitude was negatively correlated with fractal dimensions ($D_0$, $D_2$) and positively correlated with $K$ and $D_1/D_0$. During vegetation restoration, restoration age, precipitation, and temperature affect the development of vegetation, resulting in differences in soil organic carbon, total nitrogen, soil texture, and soil enzyme activity, and by affecting soil structure to change the soil stability. This study revealed the impact of restoration age and latitude on soil erosion in the Loess Plateau.

Keywords: vegetation restoration; vegetation zone; particle size distribution; multifractal dimensions; soil erodibility

1. Introduction

Excessive and unregulated land reclamation, intensive cultivation, and the loss of vegetation have led to the degradation of millions of hectares of arable land on the Loess Plateau of China. Severe soil erosion has resulted in most of the topsoil being lost in many locations, leading to the degradation of vegetation and the deterioration of the ecological environment [1]. To restore the eco-environment, the Chinese government launched the state-funded “Grain to Green” project in 1999 [2], through which many cropland areas were converted back to forestland or grassland, or were abandoned to allow natural recovery. The large-scale implementation of returning farmland to forests over several years has brought the soil erosion problem under control in some areas of the Loess Plateau [3].
The soil restoration process improved the soil properties and effectively protected soil from erosion, which greatly affects the particle size distribution (PSD) of soil [4]. PSD is a fundamental physical attribute of soil, affecting the movement of moisture in the soil and soil stability [5]. Thus, it is important to characterize variation in soil PSD to understand and quantify the structure and dynamics of soil [6]. The size distribution of soil microaggregates is also a good indicator of changes to soil structure. PSD is often characterized by multifractal analysis [7,8]. The Rényi (Dq) spectrum is useful for obtaining precise information on PSD [9,10]. Many studies have successfully applied multifractal spectra and associated parameters to characterize changes to PSD in soil during the succession process [8,11]. Wang et al. reported that the rank series of multifractal parameters among land uses strongly contrasts with the degree of soil erosion on the Loess Plateau [12]. Sun et al. also reported that information dimension (D1) and correlation dimension (D2) can be used as indicators to quantify changes in soil erosion [13]. Therefore, multifractal analysis can be used to detect changes to soil properties caused by land-use change.

Many studies have reported that the transformation from sloping farmland to forestland and grassland has helped improve soil conditions [13,14]. Vegetation cover has a positive effect on preventing soil erosion, possibly because it causes direct changes to surface runoff or because it indirectly improves soil infiltration performance and reduces erodibility [15,16]. These positive effects of transformations strongly influence the fraction of fine particles by changing soil conditions [4,17]. Many studies have evaluated how vegetation succession processes affect specific soil properties over time [6,18]. However, changes to precipitation and temperature in different vegetation zones also influence soil properties. Temperature and precipitation affect plant development, microbial activity, and organic carbon storage [19,20]. Previous studies have reported that the number of clay particles in soil also increase with latitude [21]. Thus, it is important to clarify the mechanisms regulating how latitude and restoration age influence soil texture and erodibility.

In the hilly region of the Loess Plateau, the terrain is complex and with diverse vegetation types. Based on bioclimate and erosion capacity, the Loess Plateau can be divided from south to north into forest and forest-steppe water erosion zones, grass prototype water erosion wind erosion zones, and desert steppe and desert wind erosion zones [22]. The landscape characteristics of forest vegetation area, forest grassland vegetation area, and grassland vegetation area are formed successively [23]. In order to determine the impact of restoration age and latitude on soil erodibility and the multifractal dimensions of PSD, we collected soil samples from 210 plots and eight sloping cropland plots spanning three vegetation zones. We analyzed soil particle size distribution, soil microaggregate distribution, soil erodibility, and the multifractal dimensions of PSD. We hypothesized that: (1) with increasing time after cropland abandonment, soil erodibility would decrease, and the multifractal dimensions of PSD would increase; and (2) different latitudes have different mechanisms of action on soil erodibility.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

According to the latitudinal gradient of the Loess Plateau, seven study areas were selected, including the steppe zone (SZ; Shenmu and Yulin), the forest-steppe zone (FSZ; Suide and Ansai), and the forest zone (FZ; Yichuan, Fuxian, and Chunhua; Figure 1). These areas were located along the north-south latitude belt of the Loess Plateau, China (37.01–40.41° N, 109.626–111.78° E). The mean annual precipitation (MAP) and mean annual temperature (MAT) have pronounced gradient characteristics from north to south. MAP ranges from 405.4 to 610.8 mm, while MAT ranges from 8.4 to 10.0 °C. The soil type of FSZ and FZ is mainly loessial soil, while the soil type of SZ is mainly aeolian sandy soil.
2.2. Experimental Design

This study used the approach of substituting space for time to investigate the multifractal characteristics and erodibility of PSD in different vegetation zones across the vegetation restoration chronosequence. Seventy sampling sites of different vegetation restoration types were selected in the three vegetation zones as experimental sites (the sampling sites had not been affected by human and herbivore disturbance). The steppe zone (SZ) grassland included 10 sampling sites that had been abandoned for 1, 5, 6, 8, 10, 15, 25, and 30 years. The shrubland and forest of SZ included six sampling sites (abandoned for 10, 25, 30, and 35 years) and seven sampling sites (abandoned for 30, 35, 43, and 45 years), respectively. The forest-steppe zone (FSZ) grassland included six sampling sites that had been abandoned for 7, 17, 20, 25, and 30 years. The shrubland and forest in the FSZ included four sampling sites (abandoned for 10, 25, 30, and 35 years) and 18 sampling sites (abandoned for 8, 12, 14, 24, 25, 26, 28, 30, 33, 38, and 45 years), respectively. The forest zone (FZ) grassland included three sampling sites that has been abandoned for 9, 21, and 30 years. The FZ forest included 16 sampling sites that had been abandoned for 5, 9, 15, 21, 30, 34, 35, 40, and 44 years. Grassland, shrubland, and forest sites in all three vegetation zones were divided into three 10 × 10 m², 10 × 10 m², and 20 × 20 m² plots, respectively. These plots were separated by at least 50 m and were considered to be independent. The selected sites were considered to be representative, typical, and consistent (sites selected in different vegetation zones had similar environmental conditions, such as similar farming practices, topography, slope gradients, slope position, and slope aspects). In addition, two slope cropland plots in SZ, and three slope cropland plots in FSZ and FZ, were selected as reference sites. The basic conditions of the sampling sites are shown in Table S1.

2.3. Soil Sampling

In each plot, 10 soil core samples (0–20 cm) were collected by using a soil auger (4 cm diameter), after removing the litter layer, and then the cores were mixed to form a composite sample. After removing roots, stones, and other debris, mixed samples were halved. One half of the sample was naturally air-dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve to determine PSD and microaggregates.
An aliquot was then ground and passed through a 0.25 mm sieve to determine soil organic carbon (SOC), total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP). The other half of the sample was stored at 4 °C to determine soil enzyme activity (catalase activity (CAT), polyphenol oxidase activity (PPO), phosphatase activity (PHO), urease activity (URE), and saccharase activity (SA)).

2.4. Laboratory Analysis

SOC was determined using dichromate oxidation [24]. TN was determined by the Kjeldahl method [25], and TP was determined by molybdenum antimony blue colorimetry [26]. The PSD of soil and microaggregate distribution was measured according to Xiao [3]. When determining soil PSD, samples were pretreated with 6% H2O2 and 10% HCL, and soaked in distilled water for 24 h. After removing the distilled water, the samples were chemically dispersed with 0.4% Calgon, and were mechanically dispersed in an ultrasonic bath for 5 min. For microaggregates, the samples were soaked in distilled water for 24 h, and placed in an ultrasonic bath for 5 min for mechanical dispersion. PSD and microaggregate distribution were measured by laser diffraction using a Longbench Mastersizer 2000 (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, England). Soil PSD was described in terms of the percentage of clay (<0.001 mm), silt (0.001–0.050 mm), and sand (0.050–1.0 mm). Soil microaggregate distribution was classified into <0.001 mm, 0.001–0.050 mm, and 0.050–1.0 mm grades. Soil enzyme activity was measured using assay techniques modified from Xue et al. [27].

2.5. Multifractal Analysis

The generalized fractal dimension (Dq) was applied to express the multifractal characteristics of the soil PSD. The range $I = [0.1, 2000]$ is the measurement interval, which was divided into 64 subintervals $I_i = [\phi_i, \phi_{i+1}]$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 64$. The sampling intervals were logarithmic arithmetic increments of soil particle size, and $\log(\phi_{i+1}/\phi_i)$ is constant, the particle size of the first subinterval $I_1 = [0.100, 0.117]$, and $I_{64} = [1709.07, 2000]$. The transformation $\phi_j = \log(\phi_{i+1}/\phi_i)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, 65$ creates a new dimensionless interval $J = [0, 4.301]$, partitioned into 64 subintervals of equal length [28]. $\epsilon$ then has a value of $J \times 2^{-k}$ for $k$, ranging from 1 to 6, where $\epsilon = 2.15–0.07$.

The generalized fractal dimension, $D_q$, is calculated as [29]:

$$D_q = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{q-1} \log \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N(\epsilon)} \frac{n_i^q(\epsilon)}{\log \epsilon} \right)$$ (1)

and

$$D_1 = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N(\epsilon)} \mu_i(\epsilon) \log \mu_i(\epsilon)}{\log \epsilon}$$ (2)

For $D_q$, when $q = 0, 1, 2$, the corresponding $D_0, D_1, D_2$ are known as capacity dimension, information dimension, and correlation dimension, respectively [9]. $D_0$ reflects the distribution range of PSD [30]. $D_1$ is a measure of the heterogeneity of PSD [12]. $D_1/D_0$ reflects more singularity information of soil PSD. The closer $D_1/D_0$ is to 1, the more concentrated the surface particles are in the dense area. In comparison, the closer $D_1/D_0$ is to 0, the more concentrated the particles are in the sparse area. $D_2$ describes the uniformity of the measured values among intervals.

2.6. Erodibility (K)

Soil erodibility was measured by the $K$ factor in the EPIC model using SOC content and soil PSD [31] and was calculated as:

$$K = (0.2 + 0.3 \exp[-0.02566 \times (1 - 0.01 \times \text{SIL})]) \times \left( \frac{\text{SIL}}{\text{CLA} + \text{SIL}} \right)^{0.3} \times \left( 1.0 - \frac{0.25 \times \text{C}}{\text{C} + \exp(3.72 - 2.95 \times \text{C})} \right) \times \left( 1.0 - \frac{0.75 \times \text{SNI}}{\text{SNI} + \exp(-5.51 + 22.9 \times \text{SNI})} \right)$$ (3)
where SAN is the sand content, SIL is the silt content, and CLA is the clay content. SNI = 1 - SAN/100, C is the SOC content.

2.7. Percentage Contribution

The output from a univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to statistically assess the influence that each investigated factor had on multifractal dimensions and soil erodibility. The percentage contribution (PC) of each factor was calculated by using the equation:

\[
PC = \frac{SS_F - (DF \times V_{Er})}{SS_T} \times 100
\]

where SS\(_T\) is the total sum of squares, SS\(_F\) is the factorial sum of squares, V\(_{Er}\) is the variance of error, and DF is the degrees of freedom. Analysis of Variance output gives the values of DF, SS\(_T\), SS\(_F\), and V\(_{Er}\) [32].

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Linear regression analysis was used to analyze the relationship of multifractal dimensions and erodibility factors with restoration age and latitude. All statistical analyses used IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 (International Business Machines Corporation, Armonk, USA). \(p < 0.05\) indicated that the difference was statistically significant. Figures were drawn using Origin 2018 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, USA). Multifractal dimensions were calculated and drawn in R version 3.6.1 (R Core Team, 2019). (R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org). Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to compare the difference of soil particle size distribution in different vegetation zones using R packages “FactoMineR” and “factoextra”, and the multiresponse permutation procedures (MRPP significance) test using the R “vegan” package. Redundancy analysis (RDA) was used to determine the relationships among environmental variables (soil physicochemical and biological factors and environmental factors) and species variables (soil erodibility factor and multifractal dimensions) at different latitudes. Before the RDA, gradient lengths were measured by detrended correspondence analysis (DCA). As the first gradient length was \(<3 (0.89)\), a linear method was applied. The red line represents environmental variables and the blue line represents species variables. Acute, obtuse, and right angles between arrows indicate positive, negative, and no correlation, respectively. The RDA was performed using CANOCO 5.0 (Biometris, Plant Research International, Wageningen University and Research Centre, Wageningen, the Netherlands and Petr Šmilauer, Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic).

3. Results

3.1. Changes to the PSD of Soil and Soil Microaggregate Distribution in the Vegetation Zones after Cropland Abandonment

Vegetation restoration had a significant impact on the PSD of soil and the distribution of soil microaggregates following cropland abandonment (Figures 2 and 3). In the forest-steppe zone and forest zone, silt had the highest particle size fraction (49.39–74.05%), followed by sand (3.73–45.58%) and clay (5.06–31.09%). In comparison, sand content was highest in the steppe zone, followed by silt and clay. The distribution of soil microaggregates followed the same trend as soil particle size. Soil microaggregates of 0.001–0.05 mm had the highest fraction (34.0–74.40%), followed by soil microaggregates of 0.05–1 mm (18.88–54.93%). Size classes of \(<0.001\) mm diameter (1.06–17.32%) contained the least material in the forest-steppe zone and forest zone. However, 0.05–1 mm microaggregates had the highest content, followed by 0.001–0.05 mm, and \(<0.001\) mm in the steppe zone. Clay and \(<0.001\) mm microaggregates were ordered: FZ > FSZ > SZ. Sand and \(<0.001\) mm microaggregates were ordered: SZ > FSZ > FZ. The proportion of clay-sized particles increased with vegetation restoration; however, the proportion
of sand-sized particles decreased with restoration age. The distribution of soil microaggregates in different vegetation areas and restoration age showed the same trend.

Figure 2. Changes to the PSD of soil in different vegetation zones across the vegetation restoration chronosequence. (a–c) show changes to particle size distribution (PSD) of soil with restoration age in the steppe zone grassland (SZG), steppe zone shrubland (SZS), and steppe zone forest (SZF), respectively; (d–f) show changes to PSD with restoration age in the forest-steppe zone grassland (FSZG), forest-steppe zone shrubland (FSZS), and forest-steppe zone forest (FSZF), respectively; (g–h) show variation in the PSD of grassland (FZG) and forest (FZF) with restoration age in the forest zone, respectively. Note: values are mean ± standard error.
3.2. Changes to Multifractal Parameters and Erodibility Factors in the Vegetation Zones Across the Vegetation Restoration Chronosequence

D1 and D2 had an increasing tendency with the succession proceeded (Figure 4). The effect of restoration age on D0 was not significant but increased significantly in SZF and FSZS. D1/D0 increased for all vegetation types in SZ and FZ but decreased for all vegetation types in FSZ. The $K$ factor did not change consistently with age; however, significant negative linear relationships occurred between the $K$ factor and restoration age for shrubland and forest in FSZ and forest in FZ, respectively (Figure 5). Grassland in all vegetation zones, and shrubland and forest in the SZ did not change significantly after cropland abandonment.

Correlation analysis showed that latitude significantly affected multifractal dimensions and the erodibility factor. The soil type of FSZ and the FZ in the latitude range form 34.7–37.4 is mainly loessial soil, and the soil type of SZ in the latitude range form 38.2–38.8 is mainly aeolian sandy soil. Because soil texture differs between the high-latitude steppe zone and low-latitude forest-steppe zone and forest zone, we divided latitude into two parts when analyzing multifractal parameters and the erodibility factor. The D0 and D2 of multifractal dimensions in the low latitude zones had a significantly negative correlation with latitude ($p < 0.001, p = 0.001$). In comparison, D1/D0 and $K$ were positively correlated with latitude ($p < 0.001, p < 0.001$). D1 did not significantly change after cropland abandonment (Figure 6).
Figure 3. Changes to soil microaggregate content in different vegetation zones across the vegetation restoration chronosequence. (a–c) show changes to the soil microaggregate content of SZG, SZS, and SZF with restoration age, respectively; (d–f) show changes to the soil microaggregate content of FSZG, FSZS, and FSZF with restoration age, respectively; (g–h) show variation in soil microaggregate content of grassland and forests with restoration age in the forest zone, respectively. Note: values are mean ± standard error.

3.2. Changes to Multifractal Parameters and Erodibility Factors in the Vegetation Zones Across the Vegetation Restoration Chronosequence

D1 and D2 had an increasing tendency with the succession proceeded (Figure 4). The effect of restoration age on D0 was not significant but increased significantly in SZF and FSZS. D1/D0 increased for all vegetation types in SZ and FZ but decreased for all vegetation types in FSZ. The K factor did not change consistently with age; however, significant negative linear relationships occurred between the K factor and restoration age for shrubland and forest in FSZ and forest in FZ, respectively (Figure 5). Grassland in all vegetation zones, and shrubland and forest in the SZ did not change significantly after cropland abandonment.

Figure 4. Changes to the soil multifractal parameters of the vegetation zones across the vegetation restoration chronosequence. (a–c) show changes to the soil multifractal parameters of SZG, SZS, and SZF with restoration age, respectively; (d–f) show changes to the soil multifractal parameters of FSZG, FSZS, and FSZF with restoration age, respectively; (g–h) show variation in soil multifractal parameters of grassland and forests with restoration age in the forest zone, respectively. D0: capacity dimension; D1: information dimension; D1/D0: information dimension/capacity dimension ratio; D2: correlation dimension.
3.3. Factors Influencing Soil Erodibility and Multifractal Dimensions

PCA was used to compare the difference of soil particle size distribution in different vegetation zones (Figure 7), and there were significant differences in soil particle size distribution in different vegetation zones. Univariate ANOVA determined the statistical significance of each factor (latitude and restoration age) on the multifractal dimensions of PSD and soil erodibility (Table 1). According to Equation (4) and the results of the Univariate ANOVA, the percentage contribution (PC) of latitude and restoration age to the multifractal dimensions of PSD and soil erodibility was determined. The factors were ranked in the order of their PC as follows: (1) latitude (58.5%, 16.3%, 18.5%, 21.6%, and 17.1%); (2) restoration age (6.2%, 3.55%, 7.0%, 4.8%, and 3.4%). The relationships among the soil erodibility factor, multifractal dimensions, soil physicochemical properties index, soil biological factors, and environmental factors were explored by RDA (Figure 8). Environmental factors and soil physicochemical and biological properties explained 76.2% of variation in soil erodibility and multifractal dimensions. The first two axes explained 70.9% and 3.6% of variation, respectively. CAT explained 62.0% of variation while SOC, MAP, TN, URE, and TP explained 9.7%, 1.5%, 0.6%, 0.7%, and 0.5% of variation, respectively. The RDA model showed that MAP, MAT, SOC, TN, TP, URE, SA, PHO, and CAT had positive correlations with D0, D1, D1/D0, K, and D2. In comparison, restoration age (AGE) and PPO were negatively correlated with D0, D1, D1/D0, K, and D2.

Figure 5. Changes to the erodibility factor of the vegetation zones across the vegetation restoration chronosequence. (a–c) show changes to the K factor of SZG, SZS, and SZF with restoration age, respectively; (d–f) show changes to the K factor of FSZG, FSZS, and FSZF with restoration age, respectively; (g–h) show changes to the K factor of grassland and forests varied restoration age in the forest zone, respectively.

Figure 6. Changes to multifractal dimensions and the erodibility factor with latitude. (a–e) show the variation of D0, D1, D2, D1/D0, and K with latitude respectively.
3.3. Factors Influencing Soil Erodibility and Multifractal Dimensions

PCA was used to compare the difference of soil particle size distribution in different vegetation zones (Figure 7), and there were significant differences in soil particle size distribution in different vegetation zones. Univariate ANOVA determined the statistical significance of each factor (latitude and restoration age) on the multifractal dimensions of PSD and soil erodibility (Table 1). According to Equation (4) and the results of the Univariate ANOVA, the percentage contribution (PC) of latitude and restoration age to the multifractal dimensions of PSD and soil erodibility was determined. The factors were ranked in the order of their PC as follows: (1) latitude (58.5%, 16.3%, 18.5%, 21.6%, and 17.1%); (2) restoration age (6.2%, 3.55%, 7.0%, 4.8%, and 3.4%). The relationships among the soil erodibility factor, multifractal dimensions, soil physicochemical properties index, soil biological factors, and environmental factors were explored by RDA (Figure 8). Environmental factors and soil physicochemical and biological properties explained 76.2% of variation in soil erodibility and multifractal dimensions. The first two axes explained 70.9% and 3.6% of variation, respectively. CAT explained 62.0% of variation while SOC, MAP, TN, URE, and TP explained 9.7%, 1.5%, 0.6%, 0.7%, and 0.5% of variation, respectively. The RDA model showed that MAP, MAT, SOC, TN, TP, URE, SA, PHO, and CAT had positive correlations with D0, D1, D1/D0, K, and D2. In comparison, restoration age (AGE) and PPO were negatively correlated with D0, D1, D1/D0, K, and D2.

![Figure 7. The difference of soil particle size distribution in different vegetation zones.](image)

**Table 1.** Significance and percentage contribution of the factors’ effects on the multifractal dimensions of soil particle size distribution and soil erodibility based on univariate analysis of variance. D0: capacity dimension; D1: information dimension; D1/D0: information dimension/capacity dimension ratio; D2: correlation dimension.

| Variable | Source          | Type III Sum of Squares | Degree of Freedom | Mean Sum of Square | F value | p     | Percentage Contribution/% |
|----------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|-------|---------------------------|
| K        | Latitude        | 1.386                   | 6                 | 0.231              | 63.675  | <0.01 | 58.5                      |
|          | Restoration age | 0.162                   | 5                 | 0.032              | 8.915   | <0.01 | 6.2                       |
|          | Error           | 0.722                   | 199               |                    |         |       | 32.7                      |
|          | Total           | 28.209                  | 211               |                    |         |       |                           |
| D0       | Latitude        | 0.029                   | 6                 | 0.005              | 8.061   | <0.01 | 16.3                      |
|          | Restoration age | 0.008                   | 5                 | 0.002              | 2.841   | 0.017 | 3.5                       |
|          | Error           | 0.118                   | 199               |                    |         |       | 80.9                      |
|          | Total           | 175.658                 | 211               |                    |         |       |                           |
was attributed to the increase in fine particle content. With the cessation of agricultural practices, clay content and microaggregate content of <0.001 mm increased with the number of recovery years [33]. The increase of fine soil particles might be attributed to the overall effect of improved soil quality and reduced soil erosion reduction after vegetation restoration [13, 34]. In general, plant coverage, root system development, the number of root systems, and plant productivity gradually increase with recovery time [35]. High vegetation coverage after farmland returning to a more natural state could facilitate the accumulation of organic matter and improve soil structure, thus enhancing soil stability. Returning farmland to a more natural state could facilitate the structuring of soil and higher infiltration capacity. In turn, this phenomenon increases the amount of soil nutrients released by residues [38, 39]. SOC binds to soil particles to form a "spring" effect soil fertility and is an important component of soil quality. The current study showed that the multifractal dimensions D1, D2, D1/D0 in SZ and FSZ, and D0 in FSZ, SZS, SZF, and FZ, slightly increased. In comparison, the erodibility factor decreased with increasing restoration age in certain vegetation zones. These findings reflect those of Sun et al. [4]. The decrease in D1/D0 in FSZ with number of years of recovery meant that PSD density declined due to an increase in clay and sand content, which was not only concentrated in areas of silt. The increase in D0, D1, and D2 meant that PSD covered a wider range, with higher heterogeneity and uniformity of measurement intervals, which was attributed to the increase in fine particle content. With the cessation of agricultural practices, clay content and microaggregate content of <0.001 mm increased with the number of recovery years [33]. The increase of fine soil particles might be attributed to the overall effect of improved soil quality and reduced soil erosion reduction after vegetation restoration [13, 34]. In general, plant coverage,

### Table 1. Cont.

| Variable | Source       | Type III Sum of Squares | Degree of Freedom | Mean Sum of Square | F value | p    | Percentage Contribution/% |
|----------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|------|---------------------------|
| D1       | Latitude     | 0.033                   | 6                 | 0.005             | 9.314   | <0.01| 18.5                      |
|          | Restoration age | 0.014                 | 5                 | 0.003             | 4.764   | <0.01| 7.0                       |
|          | Error        | 0.116                   | 199               |                   |         |      |                           |
|          | Total        | 148.718                 | 211               |                   |         |      |                           |
| D2       | Latitude     | 0.063                   | 6                 | 0.011             | 11.136  | <0.01| 21.6                      |
|          | Restoration age | 0.018                 | 5                 | 0.004             | 3.715   | 0.003| 4.8                       |
|          | Error        | 0.188                   | 199               |                   |         |      |                           |
|          | Total        | 136.451                 | 211               |                   |         |      |                           |
| D1/D0    | Latitude     | 0.033                   | 6                 | 0.006             | 8.638   | <0.01| 17.1                      |
|          | Restoration age | 0.009                 | 5                 | 0.002             | 2.833   | 0.017| 3.4                       |
|          | Error        | 0.127                   | 199               |                   |         |      |                           |
|          | Total        | 178.913                 | 211               |                   |         |      |                           |

Figure 8. Ordination plot of the redundancy analysis (RDA) for soil erodibility and multifractal dimensions with soil properties as constraining variables. D0 is the capacity dimension; D1 is the information dimension; D2 is the correlation dimension; D1/D0 is the information dimension/capacity dimension ratio; K is the erodibility factor; SOC is soil organic carbon; TN is total nitrogen; TP is total phosphorus; AGE is restoration age; MAP is mean annual precipitation; MAT is mean annual precipitation; CAT is soil catalase activity; PPO is soil polyphenol oxidase activity; PHO is soil phosphatase activity; URE is soil urease activity; SA is soil saccharase activity.

4. Discussion

4.1. Response of Soil Erodibility and Multifractal Dimensions to Restoration Age and Driving Factors

PSD affects soil fertility and is an important component of soil quality. The current study showed that the multifractal dimensions D1, D2, D1/D0 in SZ and FSZ, and D0 in FSZ, SZS, SZF, and FZ, slightly increased. In comparison, the erodibility factor decreased with increasing restoration age in certain vegetation zones. These findings reflect those of Sun et al. [4]. The decrease in D1/D0 in FSZ with number of years of recovery meant that PSD density declined due to an increase in clay and sand content, which was not only concentrated in areas of silt. The increase in D0, D1, and D2 meant that PSD covered a wider range, with higher heterogeneity and uniformity of measurement intervals, which was attributed to the increase in fine particle content. With the cessation of agricultural practices, clay content and microaggregate content of <0.001 mm increased with the number of recovery years [33]. The increase of fine soil particles might be attributed to the overall effect of improved soil quality and reduced soil erosion reduction after vegetation restoration [13, 34]. In general, plant coverage,
root system development, the number of root systems, and plant productivity gradually increase with
recovery time [35]. High vegetation coverage after farmland abandonment strongly affects soil erosion.
The vegetation layer affects erosion by intercepting rainfall and reducing the capacity for wind and
water to be transported to the sediment, thus retaining fine particles [12,36,37]. SOC increased over
time, which was mainly due to the large amount of soil nutrients released by residues [38,39]. SOC
binds to soil particles to form a “spring” that prevents mechanical deformation within and between
soil aggregates, in addition to promoting the structuring of soil and higher infiltration capacity. In turn,
this phenomenon increases the amount of microaggregates and fine particles [40–43]. The increase of
soil organic matter and decrease of soil erosion generally improves soil structure and increases the
amount of microaggregates and fine particles. These phenomena might result in the wider distribution
of PSD in the soil, with higher irregularity and heterogeneity. Returning farmland to a more natural
state could facilitate the accumulation of organic matter and improve soil structure, thus enhancing
soil stability.

4.2. Response of Soil Erodibility and Multifractal Dimensions to Latitude and Driving Factors

Our results showed that there were significant differences in particle size distribution in SZ,
FSZ and FZ, and the comparison of contribution percentages indicated that the zonal change was
greater than the change brought by vegetation restoration. There are typical regional differences
in soil particle distribution because soil particle size distribution on the Loess Plateau is mainly
controlled by the eolian deposit of loess parent material and the slow soil-forming process under
cold and dry conditions [44–46]. Although the contribution of vegetation restoration is small, it has
a significant impact on the multifractal dimensions of PSD and soil erodibility. Soil erodibility and
D1/D0 significantly increased with increasing latitude, whereas D0 and D2 significantly decreased
with increasing latitude, in the forest-steppe zone and the forest zone. The decrease of D0 and D2
with increasing latitude resulted in the particle distribution range becoming narrow and nonuniform.
In comparison, the increase of D1/D0 with latitude resulted in an increased concentration of soil in
dense areas. Secondary recovery is a complicated process. During vegetation restoration, precipitation,
temperature, and the vegetation fraction could alter the texture and erodibility of soil. Changes to
precipitation affect soil wind erosion through soil moisture content, leading to differences in soil texture
and other soil properties [47–49]. Water is a limiting factor for the development of vegetation on
the Loess Plateau. Carbon and nitrogen inputs are limited in water-limited areas [50], while litter
decomposition and microbial activity in soil water are strongly affected by soil water [51]. Changes to
precipitation and temperature gradients led to a wider, and more uniform, distribution of soil PSD
with increasing latitude. SOC appears to be an important soil property regulating soil erodibility [52].
As a binder, soil organic matter promotes soil structure and increases its permeability. As a result,
the content, range, and density of fine particles increases, which reduces soil erodibility [43]. RDA
showed that soil enzyme activity was also the dominant factor driving changes to soil erodibility and
multifractal dimensions. The soil surface water and temperature conditions in different vegetation
zones vary greatly, and the types and quantities of soil microorganisms are different due to the
total amount of litters, resulting in great differences in soil enzyme activities in different vegetation
zones [53,54]. Increased soil enzyme activity improves soil fertility and soil microbial biomass to
some extent, thus improving soil structure and accelerating the succession of converted vegetation
positively [55]. Furthermore, soil stability was improved.

5. Conclusions

In the present study, the effect of restoration age on the multifractal dimension and soil erodibility
was not significant. Multifractal dimensions tended to increase, while and soil erodibility tended to
decline with restoration age. Latitude was negatively correlated with fractal dimensions (D0, D2)
and positively correlated with K and D1/D0. SOC, TN, TP, soil enzyme activity, precipitation, and
temperature had a combined effect on soil erodibility and multifractal dimensions. This study revealed the impact of restoration age and latitude on soil erosion in the Loess Plateau.
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