Ericoid mycorrhizal root fungi and their multicopper oxidases from a temperate forest shrub

Nina Wurzburger¹, Brian P. Higgins²³ & Ronald L. Hendrick⁴

¹Odum School of Ecology, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia
²Department of Microbiology, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia
³Georgia Health Sciences University - University of Georgia Medical Partnership, Athens, Georgia
⁴School of Environment and Natural Resources, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio

Keywords
Ericoid mycorrhizal fungi, ITS rDNA, Polyphenol oxidase, Rhododendron.

Abstract
Ericoid mycorrhizal fungi (ERM) may specialize in capturing nutrients from their host’s litter as a strategy for regulating nutrient cycles in terrestrial ecosystems. In spite of their potential significance, we know little about the structure of ERM fungal communities and the genetic basis of their saprotrophic traits (e.g., genes encoding extracellular enzymes). Rhododendron maximum is a model ERM understory shrub that influences the nutrient cycles of montane hardwood forests in the southern Appalachians (North Carolina, USA). We sampled ERM roots of R. maximum from organic and mineral soil horizons and identified root fungi by amplifying and sequencing internal transcribed spacer (ITS) ribosomal DNA (rDNA) collected from cultures and clones. We observed 71 fungal taxa on ERM roots, including known symbionts Rhizoscyphus ericae and Oidiodendron maius, putative symbionts from the Helotiales, Chaetothyriales, and Sebacinales, ectomycorrhizal symbionts, and saprotrophs. Supporting the idea that ERM fungi are adept saprotrophs, richness of root-fungi was greater in organic than in mineral soil horizons. To study the genetic diversity of oxidative enzymes that contribute to decomposition, we amplified and sequenced a portion of genes encoding multicopper oxidases (MCOs) from ERM ascomycetes. Most fungi possessed multiple copies of MCO sequences with strong similarities to known ferroxidases and laccases. Our findings indicate that R. maximum associates with a taxonomically and ecologically diverse fungal community. The study of MCO gene diversity and expression may be useful for understanding how ERM root fungi regulate the cycling of nutrients between the host plant and the soil environment.

Introduction
Soil microorganisms are largely responsible for the recycling of nutrients within terrestrial ecosystems (van der Heijden et al. 2008). Root-associating fungi such as mycorrhizal symbionts can acquire nutrients directly from soil organic matter yet they vary widely in their ability to do so (Read et al. 2004). Emergent patterns among the types of mycorrhizal associations suggest a functional relationship between the leaf litter traits of plant hosts and the nutrient-acquiring traits of their fungal symbionts. Across the mycorrhizal types arbuscular mycorrhizas (AM), ectomycorrhizas (ECM), and ericoid mycorrhizas (ERM), there is a decline in the decomposability of leaf litter from the plant host (Cornelissen et al. 2001) and a concomitant increase in the ability of the host’s mycorrhizal symbionts to obtain nutrients from organic substrates (i.e., saprotrophy) (Read et al. 2004 and references therein). These patterns suggest that mycorrhizal fungi facilitate nutrient feedbacks between plants and soils by specializing in nutrient acquisition from the soils promoted by their host.

ERM plants operate at one end of this functional spectrum. Ericaceous plants produce polyphenol-rich leaf litter that leads to accumulations of organic matter and recalcitrant forms nitrogen (N) in soils (Read et al. 2004). These leaf litter traits are complemented by the saprotrophic
nature of ERM fungi. In pure culture, ERM fungi produce a broad suite of extracellular enzymes (e.g., phosphatases, proteases, cellulases, and polyphenol oxidases) that contribute to decomposition and nutrient acquisition (Read et al. 2004). Of this group, polyphenol oxidases may be of particular significance, as they can facilitate the release of complexed-N from the organic matter that accumulates under ERM hosts (Bending and Read 1996, 1997). Although ERM hosts are distributed worldwide (Kron and Lutyen 2005), they often persist in ecosystems dominated by ECM and AM hosts (i.e., temperate and boreal forests; Read et al. 2004). If ERM hosts create pools of soil nutrients that are accessible to their own fungal symbionts but are less available to the AM and ECM fungi of neighboring plants, the mechanisms behind this are central to our knowledge of nutrient partitioning and biogeochemical cycling. In spite of the intriguing relationship between the traits of ERM host leaf litter and ERM fungi, we lack specific knowledge about the fungal assemblages on ERM roots and how these fungi acquire nutrients from organic matter.

The identities of ERM fungi have remained enigmatic for a variety of reasons. Not only are ERM fungi challenging to identify because they lack conspicuous fruiting bodies, but also these fungi are finely distributed within hair roots and are not universally culturable (Perotto et al. 2002; Allen et al. 2003). Oidiodendron maius and members of the Rhizoscyphus ericae complex are frequently cultured from roots of ERM hosts; however, direct molecular evidence indicates that these fungi are not dominants of ERM fungal communities (Allen et al. 2003; Bougoure and Cairney 2005a). In fact, taxa from the Sebacinales were the most frequently cloned fungi from ERM roots of Gaultheria shallon (Allen et al. 2003) and were ubiquitous in roots of ericaceous shrubs across the globe (Selosse et al. 2007). Several unknown fungi have been repeatedly observed on ERM roots (Bougoure and Cairney 2005a, b) leading to questions about the functional role of these diverse root fungi.

Direct evidence for how ERM fungi promote nutrient acquisition from organic matter is also limited. The ability of ERM fungi to oxidize polyphenols may be a key mechanism for releasing N from the polyphenol-rich organic matter that accumulates under ERM hosts. Polyphenol oxidases or multicopper oxidases (MCOs) are a diverse group of enzymes including laccases, L-ascorbate oxidases, and ferroxidases (Hoegger et al. 2006). Only the two well-studied species of ERM fungi (O. maius and R. ericae) have been tested to produce MCOs in pure culture (Bending and Read 1997) and there is no genetic information about MCOs from ERM fungi. Of MCOs, laccases may be particularly important for the decomposition of organic matter (Lyons et al. 2003; Kellner et al. 2007). The abundance of MCO genes and their diversity among ERM root-fungi could provide a valuable genetic perspective about how ERM fungi contribute to decomposition processes and nutrient acquisition for their hosts (Read and Perez–Moreno 2003).

Here, we examine fungi and their MCO genes from ERM roots of Rhododendron maximum in a southern Appalachian hardwood forest. Rhododendron maximum is a model ERM plant species because it is common in the forest understory (Monk et al. 1985), produces recalcitrant leaf litter (Hunter et al. 2003), and has a marked influence on plant community composition (Baker and Van Lear 1998; Lambers and Clark 2003) and patterns of soil carbon and N cycling (Boettcher and Kalisz 1990; Wurzburger and Hendrick 2007). We previously demonstrated that ERM roots of R. maximum can acquire more of the recalcitrant N derived from its leaf litter than can ECM and AM roots of neighboring plant species (Wurzburger and Hendrick 2009). We have also observed elevated activities of extracellular MCOs in soils under R. maximum, suggesting that ERM fungi are producing these enzymes and directly contributing to decomposition (Wurzburger and Hendrick 2007). These observations lead to questions about the fungal assemblages on ERM roots of R. maximum and the diversity of MCO genes among these fungi.

We first sought to document the composition of fungi associating with ERM roots of R. maximum and how the fungal community differs between organic and mineral soil horizons. Since southern Appalachian forests are remarkably species-rich in plants (Hardt and Swank 1997) and ECM fungi (Walker et al. 2005), we hypothesized that a taxonomically rich ERM fungal community associates with R. maximum. Because of the saprotrophic nature of ERM fungi, we hypothesized that the fungal richness on ERM roots would be greater in organic than in mineral soil horizons. Our second objective was to characterize MCO gene sequences from the fungi we cultured from ERM roots. We used fungal cultures to screen for MCO genes, allowing us to link the identity of the fungus to MCO gene sequences. Since we previously documented elevated extracellular MCO activities in R. maximum soils (Wurzburger and Hendrick 2007), we hypothesized that ERM fungi would possess multiple copies of MCOs, including a number of putative laccases.

Materials and Methods

We collected root samples in four (4 × 4 m$^2$) replicate plots in R. maximum L. thickets of mature hardwood forests along a high elevation (c.1450 m) ridge in Coweeta Hydrologic Lab and Nantahala National Forest, North Carolina, USA. Rhododendron maximum is an evergreen shrub with sclerophyllous foliage that forms dense thickets (up to 34 Mg/ha in above-ground biomass; Baker and Van Lear 1998) that spread by layering and root sprouts (Monk et al. 1985). These forests are characterized by northern hardwood species Quercus rubra L., Betula lenta L., B. alleghaniensis Britt., Acer rubrum L.,
and *Fraxinus americana* L. Soils are inceptisols derived from igneous and metamorphic rock. The average annual temperature is 9.4°C and the average annual precipitation is 250 cm (Swift et al. 1988).

**Culturing fungi from ERM roots**

In order to characterize the broad assemblage of fungi colonizing ERM roots, we cultured and cloned root fungi from ERM roots. Pure cultures of ERM root-fungi also provided a means to screen individual genomes for MCO genes. We collected *R. maximum* ERM hair roots from the O horizon from each plot in both spring and late summer. Hair roots were cleaned under a dissecting microscope, inspected for colonization of fungi, and rinsed with sterile water. From each sample, 20–30 1-cm root sections, (222 root sections in total), were surface sterilized, plated on potato dextrose agar, and maintained in the dark at 19°C (Allen et al. 2003). We discarded cultures dominated by rapidly growing, sporulating fungi. Genomic DNA was extracted from each fungal culture using Qiagen DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Valencia, CA). The ribosomal DNA (rDNA) internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region (ITS1, 5.8S rDNA gene, and ITS2) was amplified from each DNA preparation with fungal specific primers ITS4 and ITS1-F (White et al. 1990; Gardes and Bruns 1993). In order to pare down the number of samples to sequence, ITS products were typed by restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) using HinfI and AluI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), and amplified products from each RFLP type were sequenced in both directions. Clones exhibiting unique RFLP patterns (0.9–1 kb) were purified and cloned (as above). Plasmid DNA from each RFLP-type was purified using Qiagen Plasmid miniprep purification kits (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). From each sample, we purified plasmid DNA from 20–30 *Escherichia coli* colonies using lithium chloride minipreps (Ausubel et al. 1998). Plasmid inserts were amplified using M13For/Rev primers, and RFLP-typed using HinfI and AluI and one clone per RFLP type per core was sequenced. Plasmid DNA from each RFLP-type was purified using Qiagen Plasmid miniprep purification kits and sequenced in both directions. Sequences were aligned using SeqMan software (DNASTAR Madison, WI) and small subunit (SSU) Group I introns (Perotto et al. 2000) were removed. Sequences with at least 97% identity were assigned to the same sequence type (O’Brien et al. 2005). BLAST nucleotide searches (Altschul et al. 1997) against the GenBank nucleotide database (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) were conducted to determine taxonomic affinities. The fungal class that captured all the top BLAST hits was used as a putative classification for each fungal taxon. Taxa were classified to phylum if the 5.8s and at least half of the ITS1 or ITS2 regions provided similarity with known sequences. In several cases, unknown, uncultured fungi dominated the BLAST hits making classification problematic. Chimeric sequences, an artifact of cloning DNA from environmental samples (O’Brien et al. 2005), were identified when the ITS1 and ITS2 regions differed in their taxonomic identity and excluded from the analysis.

**Fungal community structure and richness**

We estimated fungal richness in the O and A horizons using EstimateS software from the frequency of cloned ITS sequences from each replicate sampling plot (n = 4) (Colwell 2005). We produced taxa accumulation curves, using plot-level data as the experimental unit (1000 bootstrap replicates with replacement using the Chao1 richness estimator [Chao 2004]). The relative frequency of each fungal taxon observed in culture and in each the O and A soil horizon was calculated on a plot-level basis and averaged across the four plots.

**MCO gene sequences**

To explore the genetic diversity of MCO genes from individual fungal genomes, we amplified DNA from cultures of ERM root fungi, which consisted of ascomycetes. We amplified the region between copper-binding domains II and III (*lcc2*) of MCOs from genomic DNA of cultured fungi using the degenerate primers LAC3FOR and LAC4REV (Lyons et al. 2003). PCR products were visualized on 2% agarose gels and bands (0.9–1 kb) were purified and cloned (as above). Plasmid DNA from 10 positive clones was purified, amplified, and RFLP-typed (as above). Clones exhibiting unique RFLP patterns from each isolate were sequenced, and the results submitted for BLASTX analysis (Altschul et al. 1997). We retained nucleotide sequences with similarity to known MCOs (or hypothetical proteins). Sequences from our study that were
less than 97% identical to each other were considered unique types. For each sequence we determined the correct reading frame and removed introns with Augustus gene prediction software (Stanke et al. 2004). Amino acid sequences were entered into a BLASTP search in Genbank. MCO protein sequences were aligned with 70 additional ascomycetous and basidiomycetous MCO sequences using CLUSTALX (BLOSUM62 cost matrix; [Larkin et al. 2007]). A maximum likelihood tree was constructed using PhyML (WAG substitution model; 1000 bootstrap replicates; Guindon and Gascuel 2003).

Results

Fungal ITS types

ERM roots of R. maximum support a rich fungal community; we observed 71 unique ITS types from our culture and clone collection. The observed fungi were taxonomically diverse and included members of the Ascomycota (47), Basidiomycota (14), Zygomycota (1), Chytridiomycota (1), or had an unknown identity (8). From 222 plated ERM root segments, we isolated 52 cultures of fungi, representing 17 unique ITS fungal taxa (Table 1). We identified 57 unique ITS fungal taxa from 352 screened fungal ITS clones (Table 1). Of 12 soil cores of the O and A horizon, we successfully amplified fungal DNA from 11 root samples from the O horizon, and although nine of 12 soil cores possessed ERM roots in the A horizon, only five of those samples provided us with amplified DNA. On average, we screened 25 clones per root sample from which we obtained an average of 20 fungal ITS products. The remaining clones contained no plasmid insert, an R. maximum ITS insert, or provided no sequence data after repeated attempts. We observed three cloned fungal ITS types among the cultured fungi. Four chimeric sequences were excluded from our analysis. Eight sequences among the 71 possessed poor matches to those in Genbank could not be assigned to a phylum or reliably screened for chimeras. ITS sequences are available in Genbank under accession numbers HM030566–HM030635.

Relative frequency of fungal taxa

Our collection of cultured fungi was dominated by c32 and c80, related to O. maius and a Cryptosporiopsis sp., respectively, which were observed from all four of the sampling plots. The remaining taxa were each observed from only one sampling plot (Table 1). In the clone collection, the most frequent fungal ITS type, c1 (related to R. ericae) accounted for 12% and 16% of the sampled clones in the O and A horizons, respectively (Table 1). The four next most frequent taxa included c13, c74, c2, and c6 from the Sebacinales, Helotiales, and Chaetothyriales.

Richness of ERM root fungi by soil horizon

We observed greater fungal richness on ERM roots in the O horizon (48 ITS types) than in the A horizon (23 ITS types). Since fungal ITS clones were not evenly sampled between horizons (228 vs. 124 in the O and A horizon, respectively), we generated taxa richness curves using plot-level data revealing a significantly greater rate of taxa accumulation in the O versus A soil horizon (Fig. 1).

Ascomycete MCO sequences

We observed 28 unique sequences (from the loc2 region) from the 17 cultures of ascomycetes (Table 2). Each taxon contained one to four MCO sequence types; the only MCO sequence we obtained from isolate c80 was substantially shorter than the others and was excluded from phylogenetic analysis. MCO gene sequences were diverse and possessed moderate to strong amino acid sequence similarities (55–85%) with previously identified MCO sequences of ascomycetes. Two fungal taxa (c14–1 and c61–1) possessed nearly identical protein sequences (90%), yet were classified in the Leotiomycetes and Sordariomycetes, respectively, based on their ITS sequences. In addition, c60–1 (Sordariomycetes) was 85% similar to a previously published MCO sequence from Pleospora spartiatae (strain SAP146), a saprotroph in the Dothidiomycetes (Lyons et al. 2003). From maximum likelihood analysis, our MCO sequences of ERM root fungi clustered into two main clades, and all the sequences of our analysis formed three clades (Fig. 2). Six MCO sequences from our study grouped in the ferroxidase clade and 22 grouped in the laccase clade; three taxa contained sequences in both clades.

Discussion

A rich and taxonomically diverse fungal community associates with ERM roots of R. maximum. Our findings contribute to a growing body of evidence from North America, Australia, and Europe, that ERM roots associate with fungal assemblages that are richer than what was previously observed using culture-based methods (Allen et al. 2003, Bougoure and Cairney 2005a, b; Bougoure et al. 2007; Selosse et al. 2007). Not only did we identify the classical ERM symbionts (e.g., R. ericae and O. maius; Read et al. 2004), we also observed several putative ERM symbionts, as well as documented ECM symbionts and saprotrophs. These findings on the broad composition of ERM root-fungi raise new questions about the functional diversity of these fungal communities.

We observed several taxa with strong identities to previously observed ERM-root-fungi. A Cryptosporiopsis taxon represented 10% of our culture collection and possessed strong similarity to Cryptosporiopsis ericae. This genus contains common root endophytes of oak and ericaceous plants...
Table 1. Internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequence types, classification, and closest BLAST match of fungi sampled from ERM roots of *Rhododendron maximum*. Relative frequencies (mean of four sampling plots) of fungal taxa expressed from clone samples from the O and A soil horizons and root cultures from the O horizon. ITS sequences are available in Genbank under accession numbers HM030566–HM030635.

| taxon | Putative classification | Closest BLAST match with known taxon | E-value | Identity (% | Overlap (bp) | OA horizon clone | A horizon clone | Culture |
|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|
| Ascomycota |                          |                                     |         |             |             |                 |                 |         |
| c6    | Eurotiomycetes          | Capronia sp. UBCTR A1522.6 (AF284126) | 0       | 98          | 377         | 12.7           | 0.9            | 0       |
| c2    | Eurotiomycetes          | Capronia sp. UBCTR A1322.11 (AF284128) | 0       | 98          | 377         | 11.1           | 1.5            | 0       |
| c3    | Eurotiomycetes          | Oidiodendron maius strain UAMH 8921 (AF062800) | 0       | 99          | 525         | 4.7            | 3.8            | 46.0    |
| c42   | Eurotiomycetes          | Capronia sp. 96003a (EU139159)      | 2e-173  | 86          | 513         | 0.7            | 1.0            | 0       |
| c52   | Eurotiomycetes          | Elaphomyces decipiens voucher Trappe 12436 (EU837229) | 0       | 94          | 632         | 0.4            | 0              | 0       |
| c50   | Eurotiomycetes          | Capronia sp. UBCTR A1322.11 (AF284128) | 0       | 98          | 375         | 0.3            | 0              | 0       |
| c1    | Leotiomycetes           | Rhizoscyphus ericae (AMB87700)      | 0       | 93          | 545         | 12.3           | 15.6           | 0       |
| c15   | Leotiomycetes           | Neofabraea malicortici (AF141189)   | 0       | 89          | 542         | 4.4            | 0              | 0       |
| c26   | Leotiomycetes           | Rhizoscyphus ericae (AMB87700)      | 4e-154  | 85          | 507         | 2.1            | 0              | 0       |
| c74   | Leotiomycetes           | Phialocephala fortinii isolate PFO-3 (EF093159) | 4e-150  | 82          | 600         | 2.0            | 16.7           | 0       |
| c18   | Leotiomycetes           | Alatospora acuminata strain ccm-F12186 (AY204588) | 0       | 88          | 516         | 1.2            | 0              | 0       |
| c10   | Leotiomycetes           | Rhizoscyphus ericae (EU221877)      | 0       | 88          | 793         | 0.9            | 0              | 0       |
| c40   | Leotiomycetes           | Rhizoscyphus ericae (EU221877)      | 0       | 91          | 514         | 0.9            | 0              | 0       |
| c7    | Leotiomycetes           | Phialocephala finlandia strain CBS 444.86 (AF486119) | 0       | 88          | 780         | 0.4            | 0              | 2.0     |
| c14   | Leotiomycetes           | Dermea viburni (AF141163)           | 0       | 96          | 533         | 0.3            | 0.5            | 10.0    |
| c19   | Leotiomycetes           | Arachnopeziza aurata (IJ57496)      | 0       | 95          | 542         | 0.3            | 0              | 0       |
| c59   | Leotiomycetes           | Arachnopeziza aurata (IJ57496)      | 0       | 91          | 516         | 0.3            | 0              | 0       |
| taxon | Putative classification | Closest BLAST match with known taxon | E-value | Identity (%) | Overlap (bp) | O horizon clone | A horizon clone | Culture |
|-------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| c9    | Leotiomycetes          | Rhizoscyphus ericae (EU221877)     | 8       | 97           | 857         | 0              | 10.4           | 0       |
| c16   | Leotiomycetes          | Articulospora tetracilium strain F-03680c (EU998926) | 1e^-159 | 84           | 514         | 0              | 17.7           | 0       |
| c80   | Leotiomycetes          | Cryptosporiopsis ericae isolate LLD-13-38a (EF413595) | 0       | 98           | 480         | 0              | 0              | 11.0    |
| c81   | Leotiomycetes          | Articulospora tetracilium strain F-4494 (EU998918) | 0       | 97           | 517         | 0              | 0              | 1.0     |
| c82   | Leotiomycetes          | Phialophora finlandia strain CBS 444.86 (AF486119) | 0       | 92           | 457         | 0              | 0              | 2.0     |
| c83   | Leotiomycetes          | Fulvoflamma eucalypti strain CRC 11243 (DQ195779) | 0       | 99           | 463         | 0              | 0              | 1.0     |
| c86   | Leotiomycetes          | Rlidium acerinum voucher BPI 843555 (AY487091) | 0       | 97           | 440         | 0              | 0              | 3.0     |
| c24   | Sordariomycetes        | Pochonia bulbillosa strain 38G272 (EU999952) | 0       | 100          | 569         | 3.3            | 1.4            | 0       |
| c48   | Sordariomycetes        | Chaetosphaeria chloroconia (AF178542) | 0       | 96           | 511         | 1.1            | 0              | 0       |
| c30   | Sordariomycetes        | Metarhizium flavoviride strain NH6171 (AY646392) | 0       | 85           | 574         | 0.9            | 0              | 0       |
| c23   | Sordariomycetes        | Cordyceps ophioglossoides strain CRC 32220 (AY245636) | 0       | 91           | 521         | 0.4            | 0              | 0       |
| c58   | Sordariomycetes        | Cephalotheca foveolata (AB278171) | 0       | 89           | 548         | 0.4            | 0              | 0       |
| c35   | Sordariomycetes        | Hypocreaw koningi (AJ301990) | 0       | 99           | 638         | 0.4            | 0              | 0       |
| c57   | Sordariomycetes        | Hypoxylon perforatum isolate M4 (FJ464593) | 0       | 96           | 583         | 0              | 0              | 3.0     |
| c17   | Sordariomycetes        | Phialophora sp. aurin712 (DQ069046) | 0       | 95           | 494         | 0              | 0.5            | 0       |
| taxon | Putative classification | Closest BLAST match with known taxon | E-value | Identity (%) | Overlap (bp) | O horizon clone | A horizon clone | Culture |
|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|--------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| c60   | Sordariomycetes         | Chloridium virescens strain ICMP15193 (EF029220) | 0       | 95           | 517         | 0              | 0              | 2.0     |
| c61   | Sordariomycetes         | CSP279468 Chaetomium sp. 697–38 (AJ279468) | 0       | 94           | 495         | 0              | 0              | 3.0     |
| c62   | Sordariomycetes         | Cephalotheca sulfurea (AB278194) | 4e-119  | 81           | 506         | 0              | 1.0            | 0       |
| c63   | Sordariomycetes         | Xylana persicina strain F-165174 (AY909022) | 0       | 99           | 500         | 0              | 0              | 3.0     |
| c64   | Sordariomycetes         | Monochaeta camelliae (AF377286) | 0       | 96           | 558         | 0              | 0              | 3.0     |
| c65   | Sordariomycetes         | Glomerella acuta strain DAOM214992 (EU400154) | 0       | 100          | 562         | 0              | 0              | 1.0     |
| c66   | Sordariomycetes         | Ophiostoma dentifundum strain CMW13016 (AY495434) | 0       | 100          | 535         | 0              | 0              | 1.0     |
| c41   | Dothideomycetes         | Ramichloridium cerophilum strain CBS 103.59 (EU041798) | 2e-162  | 85           | 511         | 0.9            | 0              | 0       |
| c44   | Dothideomycetes         | Cenococcum geophilum isolate cl2.19 (AY394919) | 0       | 99           | 531         | 0.9            | 0              | 0       |
| c27   | Dothideomycetes         | Mycosphaerella parkiiafinis strain CBS 120737 (EF394846) | 1e-119  | 87           | 516         | 0.4            | 0              | 0       |
| c38   | Dothideomycetes         | Trimmatostruma cordae (AJ244263) | 1e-119  | 82           | 522         | 0.3            | 0              | 0       |
| c79   | Dothideomycetes         | Coleophoma empetri isolate 38 (FJ480134) | 0       | 100          | 524         | 0              | 0              | 2.0     |
| c87   | Dothideomycetes         | Massarina corticola (AF383957) | 0       | 97           | 475         | 0              | 0              | 2.0     |
| taxon   | Putative classification | Closest BLAST match with known taxon                          | E-value | Identity (%) | Overlap (bp) | O horizon clone | A horizon clone | Culture |
|---------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| c11     | Lecanoromycetes         | *Furcaspora eucalypti* strain CBS 119111 (EF110613)             | 3e<sup>-152</sup> | 84           | 491          | 1.5            | 0               | 0       |
| c28     | Lecanoromycetes         | *Sarea* sp. BC16 (DQ317349)                                     | 2e<sup>-144</sup> | 86           | 454          | 0.4            | 0               | 0       |
| c13     | Hymenomycetes           | *Sebacina vermifera* AFTOL-ID 1877 (DQ520096)                   | 4e<sup>-120</sup> | 83           | 417          | 13.5           | 1.5             | 0       |
| c21     | Hymenomycetes           | *Sebacina vermifera* AFTOL-ID 1877 (DQ520096)                   | 4e<sup>-170</sup> | 85           | 596          | 1.7            | 0               | 0       |
| c25     | Hymenomycetes           | *Sebacina vermifera* AFTOL-ID 1877 (DQ520096)                   | 2e<sup>-152</sup> | 82           | 570          | 0.4            | 2.7             | 0       |
| c34     | Hymenomycetes           | *Sebacina vermifera* AFTOL-ID 1877 (DQ520096)                   | 2e<sup>-120</sup> | 85           | 596          | 0.7            | 0               | 0       |
| c46     | Agaricomycetes          | *Cortinarius* sp. Bear7 (FJ039690)                             | 2e<sup>-177</sup> | 87           | 499          | 0.3            | 0.5             | 0       |
| c56     | Agaricomycetes          | *Lactarius corrugis* voucher PC B82004–Z56 (EU598154)           |          | 95           | 578          | 0              | 1.0             | 0       |
| c73     | Agaricomycetes          | *Tomentella subilacina* voucher KHL8457 (AF272929)              |          | 97           | 568          | 0.4            | 0               | 0       |
| taxon     | Putative classification | Closest BLAST match with known taxon                  | E-value (%) | Identity (%) | Overlap (bp) | O horizon clone | A horizon clone | Culture |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| c55       | Agaricomycetes          | Lactarius camphoratus voucher JMP0039 (EU819480)  | 0           | 95           | 732          | 0              | 1.0             | 0       |
| c77       | Chytridomycota          | Chytridiales sp. KTP-2008 (FJ214803)              | 0           | 92           | 580          | 0.4            | 0               | 0       |
| c54       | Zygomycota              | Mortierella humilis (AJ878778)                    | 0           | 99           | 620          | 0.4            | 0               | 0       |
| c68       | unknown                 | Uncultured fungus (AM260905)                     | 0           | 91           | 487          | 2.0            | 0               | 0       |
| c70       | unknown                 | Uncultured fungus (AM260932)                     | 4e-41       | 90           | 263          | 0.9            | 0               | 0       |
| c65       | unknown                 | Uncultured fungus (AM260932)                     | 2e-83       | 78           | 322          | 0.7            | 1.8             | 0       |
| c71       | unknown                 | Uncultured fungus clone 652.13.F05 (EF619896)    | 1e-55       | 92           | 146          | 0.4            | 0               | 0       |
| c75       | unknown                 | Chytridiales sp. JEL187 (AY997035)               | 3e-173      | 95           | 170          | 0.4            | 0               | 0       |
| c66       | unknown                 | Uncultured fungus clone 1H_L102.2534 (EU292507) | 5e-173      | 88           | 460          | 0              | 1.1             | 0       |
| c67       | unknown                 | Ectomycorrhizal root tip 93-sepA_Ny1.EB-23.5 (AF476985) | 0           | 96           | 453          | 0              | 12.5            | 0       |
| c69       | unknown                 | Uncultured fungus (AM260905)                     | 0           | 90           | 482          | 0              | 2.7             | 0       |
in Europe (Sigler et al. 2005 and references therein), but has been reported as an infrequent taxon in ERM hosts of North America and Asia (Allen et al. 2003; Sigler et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2009). We observed four taxa from the Chaetothyriales (Eurotiomycetes), together representing 25% and 3% of the sampled clones in the O and A horizons, respectively. Fungi from the Chaetothyriales have been observed on ERM roots in western Canada (Allen et al. 2003), Italy (Bergero et al. 2003), Australia (Bougoure and Cairney 2005b), and Scotland (Bougoure et al. 2007), and while they colonize ERM root cortical cells in resynthesis trials, their effect on plant growth and nutrition is unknown (Bergero et al. 2000; Allen et al. 2003). Another group of putative ERM symbionts belongs to the newly defined order Sebacinales (Agaricomycotina), which are commonly cloned from roots of many plant species but have not been successfully cultured (Allen et al. 2003; Selosse et al. 2007; Weiβ et al. 2011). Sebacinalean fungi were present in 31% of root samples collected from ericaceous plants worldwide (Selosse et al. 2007), accounted for nearly 60% of fungal clones from G. shallon ERM roots (Allen et al. 2003) and accounted for 19% and 6% of fungal ITS clones from R. maximum ERM roots in the O and A horizon, respectively (this study). Therefore, many similarities in the composition of ERM root fungi have emerged from the handful of studies utilizing molecular methods in spite of the fact they have been conducted from disparate parts of the globe.

We also observed several taxa that are closely related to ECM fungi including Cenococcum geophilum and others in Elaphomyces, Tomentella, Scleroderma and Russulaceae. Previous research has described the colonization of ERM roots by ECM fungi (Smith et al. 1995), the appearance of fungal mantles on ERM roots of R. maximum (Dighton and Coleman 1992), as well as simultaneous colonization of ERM and ECM roots by a single mycelium of Cadophora sp. (Villarreal–Ruiz et al. 2004). Similar to the observations of Bougoure et al. (2007), we found that ECM taxa accounted for one-third of the sampled root-clones belonging to the Basidiomycota, and in our study, ascomycetous and basidiomycetous ECM taxa together represented 9% and 3% of the observed root fungi in the O and A horizon. Although it is unknown if ECM fungi play an important functional role when associating with ERM roots, the repeated documentation of ECM fungal taxa on ERM roots certainly warrants further investigation.

We compared the ERM fungal community between the organic and upper mineral soil horizons. Consistent with our expectation, we observed greater fungal richness on roots in organic than in mineral soil horizons, suggesting that ERM root fungi are favored in organic substrates. The relative frequencies of some fungal groups indicate a preference for one horizon over the other. For example, taxa from the Basidiomycota were sampled more frequently from the organic than from the mineral soil horizon (28% vs. 9%; paired t-test \( p = 0.03 \)), while taxa from Leotiomycetes tended to be more frequent in the mineral than the organic soil horizon (61% vs. 29%; paired t-test \( p = 0.09 \)). Interestingly, taxa with strong identities to R. ericae and O. maius, well-described ERM symbionts, were cloned from both soil horizons at frequencies that were not significantly different (paired t-tests, \( p = 0.70 \) and \( p = 0.74 \), respectively). Since several known saprotrophic fungi were observed on ERM roots in our study (e.g., c48, c57, c63, c81, and c83, Sánchez–Ballesteros et al. 2000; Nikolcheva et al. 2003; Crous et al. 2006; Han and Shin 2007), it is possible that we amplified fungi from soil particles despite our attempts to remove debris adhering to the sampled roots. Alternatively, if
ERM roots associate with a broad spectrum of soil fungi, the
patterns of fungal richness we observed in ERM roots may
be driven by the richness of soil fungi, which tends to de-
cline from organic to mineral horizons (O’Brien et al. 2005).
ECM fungi, saprotrophs and endophytes have been repeat-
edly documented to colonize ERM roots (Allen et al. 2003;
Bougoure & Cairney 2005; Selosse et al. 2007; Vohník et al.
2007; Zhang et al. 2009) providing further support that ERM
roots associate with a variety of soil fungi. These root–fungal
associations may not all represent mycorrhizal symbioses in

| MCO type | Closest BLASTX gene product (% identity/% similarity) | Length (nt) | E-value | Closest BLAST match (ITS) from Table 1 |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------------|
| c7_1     | Laccase-3, *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* Pb01 (47/61) | 930         | 1e−32  | *Phialophora finlandia* strain CBS 444.86 (AF486119) |
| c7_2     | Putative ferrooxidoreductase Fet3, *Ta-laromyces stipitatus* ATCC 10500 (70/84) | 927         | 1e−122 | |
| c14_1    | Laccase I, *Chaetomium thermophilum* var. *thermophilum* (70/79) | 925         | 7e−123 | *Dermea viburni* (AF141163) |
| c14_2    | Laccase 3, *Cryptonectria parasitica* (60/72) | 904         | 2e−102 | |
| c14_3    | Laccase 3, *Cryptonectria parasitica* (66/79) | 915         | 2e−102 | |
| c14_4    | Laccase, *Botryotinia fuckeliana* (44/61) | 907         | 1e−96  | |
| c32_1    | Lcc4, *Fusarium oxysporum* (55/73) | 905         | 7e−84  | *Oidiodendron maius* strain UAMH 8921 (AF062800) |
| c32_2    | Laccase 3, *Cryptonectria parasitica* (52/68) | 855         | 7e−87  | |
| c57_1    | Lcc4, *Fusarium oxysporum* (68/82) | 898         | 4e−130 | *Hypoxylon perforatum* isolate M4 (F464593) |
| c60_1    | Laccase, *Pleospora spartinae* (84/85) | 859         | 3e−133 | |
| c60_2    | Lcc3, *Fusarium oxysporum* (55/66) | 933         | 9e−88  | |
| c61_1    | Laccase I, *Chaetomium thermophilum* var. *thermophilum* (63/72) | 909         | 1e−133 | |
| c63_1    | Laccase, *Melanocarpus albomyces* (57/71) | 916         | 1e−88  | |
| c81_1    | Iron transport multicopper oxidase BET3, *Microsporum canis* CBS 113480 (47/64) | 772         | 2e−57  | |
| c81_2    | Laccase-3, *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* Pb01 (54/66) | 926         | 4e−51  | |
| c82_1    | Laccase-3, *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis* Pb01 (45/66) | 937         | 3e−57  | *Phialophora finlandia* strain CBS 444.86 (AF486119) |
| c83_1    | Lcc4, *Fusarium oxysporum* (67/78) | 914         | 5e−45  | |
| c84_1    | Laccase, *Xylaria polymorpha* (53/69) | 897         | 5e−47  | |
| c84_3    | Laccase, *Xylaria polymorpha* (48/63) | 910         | 1e−48  | |
| c85_1    | Laccase, *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritic* (50/69) | 939         | 8e−48  | |
| c86_1    | Lcc4, *Fusarium oxysporum* (67/78) | 918         | 4e−103 | *Pilidium acerinum* strain CPC 11243 (DQ195779) |
| c87_2    | Laccase, *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *graminis* (36/55) | 868         | 2e−41  | |
| c87_3    | Lcc4, *Fusarium oxysporum* (67/78) | 905         | 4e−122 | |
| c88_1    | Putative ferrooxidoreductase Fet3, *Ta-laromyces stipitatus* ATCC 10500 (58/70) | 934         | 2e−37  | |

© 2011 The Authors. *Ecology and Evolution* published by Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
Figure 2. Maximum likelihood tree of multicopper oxidase amino acid sequences generated using PhyML (WAG substitution model; 1000 bootstrap replicates). Previously submitted sequences used in the alignment are identified by their accession number; sequences generated in this study are identified in Table 2. Phylogenetic assignment of each sequence is indicated by a solid- (known sequences) or dashed-lined (clone sequences from this study) box; Sordariomycetes (blue), Dothideomycetes (red), Saccharomycetes (yellow), Eurotiomycetes (green), Leotiomycetes (purple), Pezizomycetes (orange), Agaricomycetes (pink), and unclassified Ascomycota (black). Values at nodes correspond to bootstrap support in %, only values >50% are shown. Vertical lines mark three clades: dotted line = ascomycete laccases; dashed line = ferroxidases; solid line = basidiomycete laccases. Scale bar: substitutions per site.
a strict sense, but they may still provide indirect benefits to the plant host by promoting decomposition and the release of nutrients from organic matter.

From our culture collection of ERM-root-ascomycetes, we isolated at least one, and as many as four, unique MCO sequence types per taxon. Multiple copies of MCOs are common among fungi and may be the result of initial duplication events followed by evolution (Valderrama et al. 2003). MCOs perform a variety of important functions including lignin degradation (Leonowicz et al. 2001), tissue development, and melanin biosynthesis (Hoeffer et al. 2006). From our phylogenetic analysis, MCOs from ERM root-associating ascomycetes did not group strictly by taxonomy, but rather, they clustered in two distinct clades representing ferroxidases and laccases. Some ferroxidases are membrane-bound proteins involved in iron uptake and cellular homeostasis (De Silva et al. 1995); however, a number of the sequences in this clade are observed from genomic DNA and are not functionally defined. Among MCOs, laccases are likely to be functionally important for decomposition processes in soils and to aid in releasing nutrients from organic matter (Leonowicz et al. 2001; Kellner et al. 2007).

The laccase clade of our phylogenetic tree contains sequences coding for laccase from ascomycetous plant pathogens and terrestrial and aquatic saprotrophs from previous studies. Twenty-two MCO sequences from 13 of our cultured ERM-root-fungi grouped closely among these characterized laccase sequences. We observed two copies of MCO gene sequences from *O. maius* (c.32 in our study), one of which grouped closely to a tannic acid inducible laccase from *Cryphonectria parasitica* (AAY9971; Chung et al. 2008). Cultures of *O. maius* have been verified to oxidize polyaromatic substrates (Bending and Read 1997) and produce extracellular polyphenol oxidases (Thormann et al. 2002). Other well-studied sequences from the laccase clade represent extracellular laccases from the saprotroph *Verpa conica* (CAK30043) and the ECM fungus *Morchella conica* (CAK30044) whose expressions were inducible by a phenolic compound (Kellner et al. 2007). Whether any of the diverse ERM root-fungi observed in our study are prolific producers of extracellular laccases is a unanswered and critical question. Although the function of these MCO gene sequences is not verified, they provide a means for further exploration of MCO diversity and expression among ERM root-fungi. This genetic approach could be useful for broadening our view of how ERM root-fungi, particularly those that are not classical symbionts, provide indirect benefits to host plants and contribute to biogeochemical cycling in terrestrial ecosystems.

ERM roots of *R. maximum* associate with a taxonomically and ecologically diverse assemblage of fungi. To date, only two verified ERM symbionts (or species complexes; *R. ericete* and *O. maius*) are known, and representatives of both groups were sampled in this study. We also observed a number of putative ERM symbionts, ECM symbionts, and saprotrophs that have been repeatedly observed on ERM roots from around the world (Allen et al. 2003, Bougoure and Cairney 2005a, b; Selosse et al. 2007; Bougoure et al. 2007). The significance of many of these relationships for the host plant remains largely unexplored. Since the saprotrophic ability of root-fungi is presumed to be a more crucial functional trait for ERM hosts than for ECM and AM hosts (Read et al. 2004), testing the ability of ERM root-fungi to produce extracellular enzymes may provide a mechanistic approach to understanding how they contribute to decomposition. We observed a number of MCO gene sequences from ascomycetous ERM root-fungi that group closely with known laccases. The ability of ERM root-fungi to produce these oxidative enzymes may be a key mechanism for ERM plants to acquire nutrients from the organic-rich soils in which they persist.
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