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Abstract

Fig wasp has always been thought the species-specific pollinator for their host fig (Moraceae, *Ficus*) and constitute a model system with its host to study co-evolution and co-speciation. The availability of a high-quality genome will help to further reveal the mechanisms underlying these characteristics. Here, we present a high-quality chromosome-level genome for *Valisia javana* developed by a combination of PacBio long-read and Illumina short-read. The assembled genome size is 296.34 Mb from 13 contigs with a contig N50 length of 26.76 kb. Comparative genomic analysis revealed expanded and positively selected genes related to biological features that aid fig wasps living in syconium of its highly specific host. Protein-coding genes associated with chemosensory, detoxification and venom genes were identified. Several differentially expressed genes in transcriptome data of *V. javana* between odor-stimulated samples and the controls have been identified in some olfactory signal transduction pathways, e.g. olfactory transduction, cAMP, cGMP-PKG, Calcin, Ras and Rap1. This study provides a valuable genomic resource for a fig wasp, and sheds insight into further revealing the mechanisms underlying their adaptive traits to their hosts in different places and co-speciation with their host.
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1. Introduction

Mutualism is ubiquitous in nature and at the core of the ecosystem, which has a profound impact on evolution at all levels of the ecosystem.¹⁻³ Recently, specific mutualism, such as fig and fig wasp, yucca and yucca moth, has attracted extensive interest of researchers due to their high degree of interspecific adaptation and coevolution.⁴⁻⁵ The fig (Moraceae; *Ficus*) is pantropical and each species is likely pollinated by one to two wasps from the chalcid family Agaonidae. However, as many as nine pollinators can occur across a single host.⁶ The inflorescence of fig is an almost completely closed syconium, and has only one ostiole communicated with the outside. Only specialized fig wasps can enter it, lay eggs in the ovaries of the female flowers and pollinate some of female flowers at the same time. After some time, adults emerged and mated; then, the female fig wasps fly out of the syconium with pollen in search of new adaptive syconium to begin a new life cycle.⁷ Through a series of complex mechanisms,
the mutual benefits between fig and fig wasps are maintained, and a high degree of synchronization and strict consistency are formed in morphology, behavior, physiology and development between them.8

Chemosensitivity mainly acts on the aspects of foraging, oviposition, mating, avoiding natural enemies and searching for hosts of insects.9 Fig wasps mainly rely on the accurate identification of volatile odors (VOCs) released from receptive syconium of their obligate host to find host.10,11 Each Ficus species produces a specific volatile blend that is only attractive to its specific pollinators.12,13 In addition to olfactation, other sensing, such as touch, taste and vision can also judge whether the host is suitable or not,14 which is mainly involved in odorant binding protein (OBP), chemosensory protein (CSP), olfactory receptor (OR), ion receptor (IR) and gustatory receptor (GR).

The formation of olfactory sensation involves a series of complex transduction pathways. OBP transports VOCs to OR, and then OR activates.9 For insects, OR possess a distinct seven-transmembrane topology with the amino terminus located intracellularly and lack homology to G-protein-coupled chemosensory receptors in vertebrates.15,16 The activates of insects OR is either by the complex between it and its co-receptor (Orco) confers channel activity17 or by G-protein-mediated activated second messenger systems, such as cAMP and cGMP.17

Adult females of Nasonia vitripennis and other parasitic wasps in Chalcidoidea inject a venomous mixture into its host flies prior to oviposition to regulate host physiological processes, including immunity, developmental and metabolism, in order to protect and ensure offspring survival and successful development in the host.18–20 Although fig wasps are pollinators, they are actually parasitic in female flower ovary of the host. The developments of them are synchronous with that of host inflorescence.21,22 It has been reported that fig wasp inject venom into the ovary of female flowers to stimulate the production of endosperm to provide nutrition for the development of their eggs and larvae, and now the ovary is called gall.23,24 A gall is a proliferation of tissue abnormal for a site and identified chemosensory genes, detoxification, venom genes and several differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in V. javana related to olfactory sensing. This genome assembly serves as a useful resource for further research into insect biology, pollinator–host interactions, comparative genomics and coevolution.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Genome sequencing

For a receptive male syconium of F. birta, only one or a few female fig wasps can enter and oviposit therein.32 So, the pollinating wasps from one syconium are mainly the offspring of one or a few mothers. We have once checked the pollinator species of F. birta in Guangdong Province by DNA sequencing and morphology based on plenty of samples,6,33,34 and they are all V. javana complex sp. 1.6

Nearly, 500–1,000 female adult individuals of V. javana were collected from several figs of F. birta in a single tree in Baiyun Mountain, Guangdong Province of China for DNA extraction, libraries construction and whole-genome sequencing. DNA was extracted with Easy Pure Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (Beijing, China).33

The paired-end libraries with insert size of ~310 bp were constructed using VAHTS Universal DNA Library Prep Kit and then sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq4000 platform (San Diego, CA, USA). The raw Illumina reads were purified with Trimomatic 0.39 and then used for downstream genome alignment. In addition, more than 10–15 μg of sheared and concentrated DNA was applied to size-selection by BluePippin system. Long DNA fragments of ~20 kb SMRTbellTM libraries were constructed with Sequel Sequencing Kit 2.0. A total of four single-molecule real-time cells were sequenced on Pacbio RSII system.

2.2. Genome assembly

Canu,36 FALCON,37 DBG2OLC38 and NextDenovo (https://github.com/Nextonics/NextDenovo, 22 May 2022, date last accessed) were used for contig assembling. At last, N50 size of 26.74 Mb was got from NextDenovo for next genome optimization. After 18 duplicated contigs and 6 haplotype contigs were filtered by Purge_dups version 1.2.5,39 the retained 26 contigs were polished with full PacBio subreads and Illumina clean reads by NextPolish version 1.3.1 according to default parameter.40

2.3. Assessment of the genome completeness and quality

The completeness of the genome was evaluated through estimating the genome size, BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologues) analysis and genome coverage calculation.

The clean data of Illumina reads were used for K-mer distribution analysis by GenomeScope2.0 version 1.0.041 for genome survey.
With K-mer size set to 21 and ploidy set to haplotype, the genome size was estimated to be 304 Mb.

BUSCO version 4.1.2 was used to evaluate the completeness of the contigs assembly and whole-genome proteins separately based on the hymenoptera_odb10 database which including 5,991 BUSCOs. Besides, we also performed BUSCO analysis using whole-genome proteins of 29 other insect species based on corresponding databases (endopterygota_odb10 for Coleoptera species, diptera_odb10 for Diptera, hymenoptera_odb10 for Hymenoptera and hemiptera_odb10 for Hemiptera).

The genome coverage was calculated by mapping the Illumina reads to a reference genome using Bowtie2 version 2.3.5.

2.4. Repeat annotation
We detected repetitive sequences and transposable elements in the genome using a combination of de novo and homology-based approaches. Repetitive sequences appeared at least 16 times were searched by ab initio algorithm using RepeatModeler version 2.0.1. The conserved transposons were searched by RepeatMasker version 4.1.0 according to the Insecta repeats within RepBase database.

2.5. Non-coding genes annotation
The non-coding genes including tRNAs, rRNAs, snRNAs and miRNAs were mainly annotated by aligning the genomic sequence against the covariance models (CMs) of RFAM database version 14.5 with Infernal version 1.1.2. In contrast to only one CM of tRNA in Rfam database, more refined eukaryotic CMs were used by tRNAscan-SE version 2.0.2 for a more accurate prediction.

2.6. Gene prediction and functional annotation
Protein-coding genes were predicted by combing RNA sequencing (RNA-seq)-based, homolog-based and ab initio methods performed on the repeat masked genome. For the RNA-seq-based method, short reads from transcriptome sequencing were aligned to the genome with Hisat2 version 2.1.0 and the gene structures were built by Sam2transfrag, a module of GETA version 2.4.6 (https://github.com/chenlianfu/geta, 22 May 2022, date last accessed). The homologous proteins from the whole genome of three fig wasp species, W. pumila, Ceratosolen solmsi, Eupristina verticillata, jewel wasp N. vitripennis, Copidosoma floridanum and fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster were employed to predict gene structures by genewise version 2.4.1. Then the derived complete or partial gene models were used to train Augustus HMM (Hidden-Markov Model) parameters and predicted genes ab initio assisted by the hints of intron, CDS, exon, start and stop codon using AUGUSTUS version 3.3.3.

The results of three methods were integrated, and the gene models were filtered if it couldn’t match to a HMM model from eukaryotic Genome (GO). The KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes ad Genomes) annotation was employed by web tool KAAS.

2.7. RNA-Seq and analysis
In total, six RNA-Seq libraries were constructed, including three biological replicate samples of V. javana which were stimulated by odor emitted by B-phase male syconia of its host for at least 30 min and three biological replicates of controls. Approximate 50–60 female adult individuals for each sample were collected for RNA extraction, libraries construction and RNA-Seq. RNA was isolated using TRIzol™ (Tiangen). For each sample, a messenger RNA (mRNA)-Seq library was constructed using an Illumina TruSeq™ RNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s recommendations. The isolation of mRNA, fragment interruption, complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis, adaptor ligation, PCR amplification and RNA-Seq were performed by Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The transcriptomes were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform with paired-end libraries.

Low-quality reads were filtered using Trimomatic version 0.38. The RNA-seq clean reads were mapped to the V. javana genome using Hisat2 version 2.1.0. Then the alignments were processed for gene counts calculation by HTseq version 0.11.2. The raw counts of all samples were normalized across transfer matrix method (TMM) algorithm to get gene expressions. After low expression genes filtered with threshold, the false discovery rate (FDR) values of genes were calculated by EdgeR 3.30.3 and DESeq2 1.28.1, and then DEGs were identified. The analysis of GO and KEGG pathway enrichment for DEGs were performed by Fisher’s exact test. One of stimulated samples was an outlier in almost all the pairwise comparisons and made the number of DEGs very low. Therefore, an additional analysis was done including only two stimulated samples.

2.8. Identification of ortholog and phylogenetic analysis
To find orthologous genes, genome the coding sequence (CDS) and protein sequences of 14 Hymenoptera species (including four fig wasp species, V. javana, W. pumila, E. verticillata and C. solmsi), four Diptera species (including D. melanogaster), four Lepidoptera species, four Coleoptera species and four Hemiptera species were downloaded from NCBI (Supplementary Table S1).

Pairwise comparison of protein sequences was made using BLASTP in Diamond 2.0.9.147 and filtered by in-house perl script. Orthologous gene pairs were found using OrthoMCL 2.0.9 and clustered by MCL algorithm. Each orthologous cluster group (OCG) represents a gene family and contains genes from at least two species. Functional annotations including Nr, Swiss-Prot, KOG, eggNOG, Pfam, KAAS and GO were performed, and the OCG obtained responding functional annotations when ≥30% of its genes had the same annotation.

We reconstructed a phylogeny for 30 insects using the single-copy genes from OrthoMCL results. The protein sequences of every species in each OCG were independently aligned by MAFFT version 7.407. These sequences were then transferred to CDS sequences using in-house perl script and retained the conserved blocks ≥60 bp using Gblocks 0.91 b. At last, the aligned conserved codon sequences of 1,467 single-copy genes were concatenated to obtain the maximum likelihood (ML) tree using RAxML version 8.2.12 with bootstrap set to 300. The divergence time among species was calculated by MCMCTree in PAML version 4.9i. Three calibration time points from TimeTree database, stem Hemiptera (177–401 MYa), stem Diptera (217–301 MYa) and stem Lepidoptera (80–157 MYa) were used for divergence time calibration. The tree was visualized
using figtree version 1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/, 22 May 2022, date last accessed).

2.9. Genome synten

According to the topological structure of the phylogenetic tree, the evolutionary relationship between *V. javana* and *W. pumila* is the closest. So genome synteny between *V. javana* and *W. pumila* was detected by MCScanX\(^{73}\) with default parameters and plotted using Circos version 0.69-6.\(^{74}\)

2.10. Genes under positive selection

In total, 16,098 OCGs present in at least 4 species were analyzed potentially experienced positive selection. First, codon alignment was selected and an unrooted sub-tree was extracted from the phylogenetic tree for each OCG. Second, dN/dS was calculated for the pairwise comparison between all codon sequences of each OCG using in PAML version 4.9\(^{71}\) and choose the OCGs with dN/dS > 1.0 as candidate genes. Combining the union set of the above two algorithms, 66 candidate OCGs are obtained as candidate positive selection genes for the following analysis: for these genes, the subtree information containing only the corresponding species was extracted according to the phylogenetic tree. Then the positive selection analysis was carried out together with the results of codon multi sequence alignment. The branch-site model A (model = 2 && NSites = 2) and modified branch-site model A (null model, model = 2 && NSites = 2 && fix_omega = 1 && omega = 1) were performed to select the candidate genes under positive selection (PSGs) on target branches by codeml with the likelihood ratio test (P value ≤ 0.05) or a posterior probability (>0.95) in PAML.

2.11. Gene family expansion and contraction

In this study, the Pfam gene families were used to analyze expansion and contraction by CAFE 4.2.1.\(^{75}\) The global parameter λ, which described both the gene birth (λ) and death (μ = −λ) rate across all branches in the tree for all genes families, was estimated to be 0.00151763700272 using ML. The error model value which represented the error probability of gene family size was set to be 0.0125 through iterative computations by caferror.py in CAFE. A conditional P value was calculated for each gene family, and families with conditional P values < threshold (0.01) were considered as having an accelerated rate of gain or loss.

2.12. Gene family analysis

Five chemoensory gene families were focused on and identified through HMM models from Pfam A database, including GRs (PF06151 and PF08395), ORs (PF02949), IBS (PF00060), OBPs (PF01395), CSPs (PF03392). Then, we further manually annotated these genes in four fig wasp species by HMM model search (http://www.hmmer.org/, 22 May 2022, date last accessed) and Interproscan software screening.\(^{76}\) The five types of chemoensory genes of *D. melanogaster*, *A. mellifera* and *N. vitripennis* have been fully studied, so we used them as outgroup\(^ {77-81}\) to construct phylogeny by ML method in FastTree v2.\(^{82}\) and the confidence of each branch was tested by bootstrap 1,000 times. According to Orthomcl software\(^ {46}\) and the cladistic clustering relationship of the phylogenetic tree, the five chemoensory gene families, OBPs, CSPs, ORs, GRs and IBS of four fig wasp species were divided into different ortholgo groups.

The venom proteins of four fig wasp species and other Hymenoptera insects were also analyzed in this study. Seventy-nine venom protein sequences were reported in the model species of *N. vitripennis*,\(^ {83,84}\) of which 41 protein sequences can be downloaded in NCBI. We used these 41 protein sequences to construct venom genes in four fig wasp species and other ten Hymenoptera species (including *N. vitripennis* and *D. melanogaster*). Venom proteins belong to secretory proteins, so we retained the protein sequences with signal peptide but without transmembrane region.

In addition, three detoxification gene families were focused on and identified through HMM models from Pfam A database, including Glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs; PF00043; PF02798; PF13409; PF13410; PF13417; PF14497; PF17171), cytochrome P450s (P450s; PF00067), and carboxylesterases (CCEs; PF00135). The three types of detoxification genes of *A. mellifera* and *N. vitripennis* as outgroup to construct phylogeny by ML method in FastTree v2.\(^{82}\) and the confidence of each branch was tested by bootstrap 1,000 times.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Chromosome-level genome assembly

The genome of *V. javana* sequenced was generated 28.98 Gb PacBio long reads and 35.27 Gb Illumina short reads, with 96.26 × and 112.79 × genome coverage respectively (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2). Genome size was estimated to be 304.2 Mb based on 21 K-mer analysis according to Illumina reads (Supplementary Fig. S1). After genome assembly of the PacBio reads and correction with illumina reads, we obtained a reference of 296.34 Mb with contig N50 of 26.76 Mb (Supplementary Table S3). The genome size is similar to that of three other fig wasp species, *W. pumila* (318 Mb), *E. verticillata* (387 Mb) and *C. solmi* (277 Mb), respectively. Although the contig N50 length of *V. javana* is much longer than those of three other wasp species, *W. pumila* (10.9 Mb), *E. verticillata* (3.13 Mb) and *C. solmi* (0.075 Mb) (Table 1).

The assembly of *V. javana* genome is high-quality with 13 contigs assembled from genomes de novo (Fig. 1). We assessed the completeness of the assembly using BUSCO, and 5,516 out of 5,991 (92.1%) conserved Hymenoptera genes were found in the whole-genome nucleotide sequences of *V. javana* (Supplementary Fig. S2). In addition, we further compared the BUSCO integrity of the genome of other published fig wasp species, *W. pumila*, *E. verticillata* and *C. solmi*, and the results were all >92% (Supplementary Fig. S2). Although the genome assembly of *W. pumila* has reached chromosome level. This indicates that the Hymenoptera_ Odb10 provided by BUSCO is mainly constructed according to the protein sequences of some model species, such as *A. mellifera* and *Acromyrmex echinatior*, so it may be not suitable for fig wasps.

The telomere sequence of animal genome is usually simple repeat sequence. By analyzing the genomic sequence of *V. javana*, we found there are three kinds of repeat units in telomere sequence: ATTTGGGTT, ATCGGGTT and ATCTATTC (Supplementary Table S4). The first two telomeres are located at one end of the chromosome, and the third telomere is located at the other end of the chromosome. Among the 13 contigs, 7 of them have 2 telomeres at 2 ends; 4 of them were found 1 telomere at the end suggesting a good integrity of this genome assembly.

3.2. Genome annotation

In total, 7.14% of the *V. javana* genome consists of repeat sequences, of which transposons are 0.61%. The main repeat is simple repeats with
4.02% of the genome (Supplementary Table S5 and Fig. 1). The rate of repetitive sequences in genome of *V. javana* is similar to that of two fig wasps, *W. pumila* and *C. solmsi*, and smaller than that of most insects of the remaining Hymenoptera and other orders (Supplementary Table S6).

Non-coding genes in eukaryotes mainly include rRNA, tRNA, small nuclear RNA and miRNA. In genome of *V. javana*, we annotated 62 microRNAs, 119 tRNAs, 31 rRNAs and 30 small nuclear RNAs (Supplementary Table S7). In addition to the above four types...
of non-coding RNAs, 26 histone downstream element were found in the genome of V. javana, which is a stem-loop rich in purine in the untranslated region (UTR) region at the 3' end of histone. By combining with stem loop binding protein, the precursor of histone mRNA was processed to form a special stem loop at the tail of 3' end, and not the common PolyA tail.85

We predicted 12,797 protein-coding genes in the genome of V. javana based on three lines of evidence. The protein-coding gene number of V. javana is similar to that of most Chalcidoidea wasps which are from NCBI, such as E. verticillata, V. javana, N. vitripennis (parasitic wasp: 13,589), and C. floridanum (parasitic wasp: 12,136 genes; Supplementary Table S8). For V. javana, the length of gene, cDNA and CDS were 5,462, 1,915 and 1,002 bp, respectively. The median length of single exon and single intron were 214 and 412 bp, with an average of 5 exons per gene (Supplementary Table S8).

More than 80.53% of the predicted genes (10,306 genes) of V. javana have homology in public databases of Nr, Swissprot, KOG, EggNOG, InterPro, KEGG and GO (Supplementary Table S9).

3.3. Comparative genomics and phylogenetic reconstruction

We blasted 391,324 genes by blastp among V. javana and the other 29 insect species used in our analysis, of which 288,079 genes (37.51%) of all 30 species could be identified as orthologous genes among at least 2 species, and were divided into 27,219 OCGs. Among these OCGs, functions of 27,011 OCGs (99.23%) could be annotated. In genome of V. javana, 10,051 (70.12%) genes were distributed in 9,633 OCGS, 1,210 (8.44%) were identified as paralog and 3,072 (21.42%) were orphan genes.

The number of orthologous genes is from 2,448 to 2,827 across 30 insect species accounting for 14.58–25.03% of all their genome genes (Fig. 2). The number and proportion of orphan genes in different species vary greatly, whereas the number of orthologous genes in related species is very close.

The phylogenetic relationships between V. javana and the other 29 insect species were determined with a genome-wide set of 1,647 single-copy genes (Fig. 2). As expected, V. javana has a closer relationship to three other fig wasp species than the other two species of chalcidooids. The six chalcidooids (V. javana, W. pumila, E. verticillata, C. solmsi, N. vitripennis and C. floridanum) cluster together, with eight other Hymenoptera insects as a sister group. Estimated divergence times of V. javana and W. pumila (calculated using mcmctree) suggest that V. javana diverged from the common ancestor of the other members in the family Agaonidae ~39.22 Mya (28.1–30.7 Mya; Fig. 2). The lineage to which N. vitripennis belongs was estimated to have diverged from C. floridanum ~120 Mya, while 113 Mya was estimated for the divergence of the clade including V. javana, N. vitripennis and C. solmsi from C. floridanum in.86

3.4. Genome synteny

According to the topological structure of the phylogenetic tree, the evolutionary relationship between V. javana and W. pumila is the closest. So, synteny of the V. javana assembly was compared with W. pumila. The synteny analysis is based on the same distributed sequence of orthologous genes between two species. V. javana showed a high level of synteny with W. pumila which was consistent with their closer relationship in the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3).

There are 8,800 genes (59.30% of the genome) in V. javana and 8,612 genes in W. pumila distributed in 168 synteny blocks, of which 53 belong to large synteny blocks (containing ≥ 50 homologous genes), of which the largest block contains 293 homologous genes. For V. javana, three groups of contig 1 and contig 6, contig 2 and contig 9, contig 3 and contig 5 are matched to Chromosomes 1, 4, 3 of W. pumila, respectively.

3.5. Genes under positive selection

A total of 27 and 25 PSGs were identified in V. javana and Agaonidae respectively from the 66 candidate positive selection genes (Supplementary Table S10). There are 14 PSGs shared between the clade of V. javana and Agaonidae, which are mainly related to

---

Figure 2. A ML phylogenetic tree shown for V. javana (asterisk marker) with 13 insect species in Hymenoptera (including other three fig wasp species) and the other insect species in 4 orders, each with 4 species, along with the number of common orthologous genes across 30 insect species of all their genome genes with 1 (specific)–30 classes (exists in all 30 species). The phylogenetic tree was based on 1,647 single-copy proteins. The Hemiptera species were used as the outgroup. The bootstrap value of all nodes is supported at 100/100. Divergence times are indicated by bars at the internodes, and the bars indicates the 95% confidence interval of the divergence time. The family Agaonidae, superfamaly Chalcidoidea and four orders are shown on the branches.
signal transduction, genetic information processing, nervous system and endocrine system. For *V. javana*, the most significant PSGs (*P* = 0) were innexin, LIM domain transcription factor and LIM domain protein. Innexin belongs to hexameric protein family and can constitute gap junctions to perform the function of intercellular communication. Innexin plays an important role in the development and regulation of perception and movement. LIM domain transcription factor and LIM domain protein play an important role in the development and regulation of perception and movement. In Agaonidae, there is one PSG, pikachrin, which can help transmit electrical signals from retina to brain more quickly and efficiently, and maybe related to the light induction of fig wasps to the open of emission hole on syconium wall and during flight.

### 3.6. Gene family expansion and contraction

When compared with *W. pumila*, 8 and 10 gene families were expanded and contracted in the *V. javana* genome according to Pfam blasting (Supplementary Table S11). Among the expanded Pfam, there are OR, OBP, trypsin (PF00089), Kazal-type serine protease inhibitor domain (PF00050, PF07648), G protein-coupled chemoreceptor protein (PF10328) and transcription factor (PF13909). The expansion of OR, OBP and G protein coupled chemoreceptor protein suggests that *V. javana* may have stronger odor perception than *W. pumila*. Trypsin is an important digestive protein in most insects, which is widely distributed in the digestive tract of insects of different orders and feeding habits. The number of serine protease inhibitors in the genome of *V. javana* is as high as 235, which can inhibit the activity of serine protease and has antibacterial activity. Serine protease inhibitor may exist in the venom protein of insects, and protect their eggs. The contraction gene family in *V. javana* is mainly histone gene family: core histone H2A/H2B/H3/H4 (PF00125); histone-like transcription factor (CBF/NF-Y) and archaeal histone (PF00808); C-terminus of histone H2A (PF16211; Supplementary Table S11).

When compared with *N. vitripennis*, 2 and 82 gene families were expanded and contracted in the clade of Agaonidae which contained four fig wasp species according to Pfam blasting (Supplementary Table S11). Two expanded gene families are pao retrotransposon peptidase and trypsin. Among the contracted gene families, several are chemosensory genes (e.g. CSP, OBP, OR), detoxification genes (e.g. P450, CCE, ecdysteroid kinase-like), ANK, histone-related genes and cuticle protein which are major components of the insect cuticle-associated organs such as integument and wings.
3.7. Deg of *V. javana* between odor treatment and controls

In total, 22.71–30.03 M reads pairs were obtained from 6 samples of odor stimulated and the control for *V. javana* transcriptome, respectively. After quality control, 91.85–96.32% of reads pairs of data were left and 96.47–97.66% of them can be matched to its genome (Supplementary Table S12 and Fig. 1).

There were two genes were up-regulated and 60 genes were down-regulated after olfactory treatment (Fig. 4). The up-regulated genes are venom gene CCE and the unknown functional gene, respectively. Go and KEGG pathway enrichment showed down-regulated genes played an important role in signal transduction.

3.8. Chemosensory genes

Five chemosensory gene families were blasted by Pfam in 30 species genomes (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Table S13). We further identified these genes by manual annotation in four fig wasp species. The number of them in each family is the same as that obtained by Pfam except for ORs with one less in each of the three species, *V. javana*, *W. pumila* and *C. solmsi*, which implies that the genes got by blasting Pfam are reliable. OBPs are involved in the first step of olfactory signal transduction, carrying airborne semi-chemicals to the ORs. The number of OBPs in fig wasps is smaller than those in two other wasp species in the same super-family of Chalcidoidea, *N. vitripennis* and *C. floridanum* who are both semi-generalist parasitic wasps, which may relate to the relatively simple and closed living environment of fig host. The number of OBPs in four fig wasp species is from 6 to 20 (Supplementary Table S13), and divided into more than 17 groups, of which only 4 groups (1–4) contained genes of all four fig wasp species (Supplementary Fig. S3). Groups 2, 3 and 14 were clustered into one clade with DmelOBP83a and DmelOBP83b from *D. melanogaster* which are responsible for the detection of pheromones. Group 5 and 17 are related and contain five repeats OBPs with three of them highly expressed in *V. javana* transcriptome (Supplementary Fig. S3 and Table S14). These two groups clustered into one clade with DmelOBP69a, which has been predicted binding phenylalkylamine and pheromone involved both courtship and olfactory behavior and may have important functions in fig wasps. According to *V. javana* transcriptome, one OBP gene was highly expressed in Groups 7, 8, 9 and 12, respectively (Supplementary Table S14). These four groups clustered into one clade with DmelOBP56a, DmelOBP56d and DmelOBP56e which can bind to odor compound or pheromones.

CSPs is much more conserved across fig wasps, with fewer quantity differences among species and more homology groups contained genes from all four fig wasps (Fig. 5a, Supplementary Table S13 and Fig. S4). In total, there are eight CSPs for each of fig wasp species and divided into eight groups contained genes from all four fig wasps. Groups 1, 4, 5 and 7 can be clustered into one clade with CSPs of *A. mellifera* (Supplementary Fig. S4). Four CSPs with high expression in *V. javana* transcriptome and two of them in Groups 1 and 7 clustered with AmelCSP1 and AmelCSP5/AmelCSP2 respectively (Supplementary Fig. S4 and Table S14). AmelCSP1 has strong affinity to straight chain alcohols and esters, whereas AmelCSP2 has strong binding ability to aromatic compounds.

![Figure 4. Heatmap of DEGs in transcriptome of *V. javana* between odor-stimulated samples and the controls. The samples from A to C are the controls, whereas E and F are odor-stimulated. Sample D was removed because it was quite different from the other two biological replicates during correlation analysis.](image-url)
The number of ORs in fig wasp species was usually smaller than other insects in Hymenoptera (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Table S13). When compared with other chemosensory genes, ORs of fig wasps can be divided into more groups showing their olfactory sense system is more complex and olfactory recognition is more extensive (Supplementary Fig. S5). They can not only identify the subtle differences of volatile chemicals released by different fig species, but also facilitate the complex information exchange between fig wasps. Meanwhile, fewer groups can be clustered into one clade with ORs of *D. melanogaster* means fig wasp species has stronger specificity and faster evolution rate. Group 1 in ORs tree of fig wasps was clustered into one clade with Dmelor83b of *D. melanogaster*, which is a kind of co-receptor (Orco) remains in a complex with ORs in the sensory compartment to enhance the sensitivity of common ORs to the corresponding odor molecules.\(^\text{100}\) In Group 2, we found one OR gene having eight repeats in *V. javana*, whereas in Group 3 there is also one OR gene having two repeats and having four repeats in *C. solmsi* (Supplementary Fig. S5). The serial numbers of most repeats are consecutive which imply they may gained by tandem gene duplications.\(^\text{101}\) The number of OR in both species is a little higher than that of the other two species, *W. pumilae* and *E. verticillata*. We also found some ORs which have a relatively high expression in *V. javana* transcriptome (Supplementary Table S14).

The number of IRs varied little among insect species (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Table S13). IRs in fig wasp species can be divided into 22 groups in which several can be clustered with IRs of *A. mellifera* and *D. melanogaster* (Supplementary Fig. S6). The genes of Groups 7 and 8 in fig wasp IR gene tree converged with IR25a and IR8a from both *A. mellifera* and *D. melanogaster*, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S6). IR8a and IR25a are two kind of co-receptor and keep high sequence similarity among insect species.\(^\text{102,103}\) Five IRs were highly expressed in transcriptome of *V. javana* in five different groups, and none of them were in the same branch as the related genes of two model species.

We found more GRs in three fig wasp species than their published genomes according to Pfam codes PF06151 and PF08395 (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Table S13). GRs of fig wasps can be divided into several groups, of which only two groups (1 and 2) contained genes of all four fig wasp species and converged with DmelGR64e, DmelGR64f and DmelGR5a, which mainly involved in the detection of sweet chemicals (Supplementary Fig. S7).\(^\text{80,81,104}\) The adults of fig wasps do not need to eat, and the other life cycles, such as egg, larva and pupa stage are all spent in the host’s syconium and feed on the endosperm formed by galls enlargement.\(^\text{105,106}\) Therefore, the GRs of fig wasps should be mainly sensitive to sugars.

### 3.9. Venom genes

When compared with 41 venom genes in *N. vitripennis* downloaded from NCBI, we found 13, 12, 7 and 12 venom genes in *V. javana*, *W. pumilae*, *E. verticillata* and *C. solmsi*, respectively and divided into 10 functional categories (Fig 5b, Supplementary Tables S15 and S16) including proteases and peptidases, protease inhibitors, carbohydrate metabolism, DNA metabolism, glutathione metabolism, esterases, recognition/binding proteins, immune-related proteins, others and unknown.\(^\text{84}\) The number of venom genes of four fig wasp species is far less than that of *N. vitripennis*, which may due to *N. vitripennis* semi-generalists parasitic while fig wasps highly species-specific.\(^\text{96,97}\) From the annotated venom genes, three are present in all four species of fig wasps and *N. vitripennis*: chitinase 5 of carbohydrate metabolism, acid phosphatase and arylsulphatase b of esterases that may...
alter host physiology to support the developments of endoparasitoid by cellular degradation, cell signaling, hormone regulation or by inhibiting synthesis of certain proteins.107,108

3.10. Detoxification genes

The genes of GSTs, P450s and CCEs are mainly involved in the detoxification and metabolism of compounds by insects.109–111 In addition, CCE also plays a key role in insect development and behavior, and participates in the degradation of odor molecules and chemical pheromones, insect reproduction and digestion.112 P450 has a wide range of substrates and diverse catalytic functions, and can also participate in a series of physiological and biochemical reactions in insects except detoxification, such as the regulation of hormones, steroids and fatty acids.113,114

When compared with N. vitripennis, we observed some shared events of gene losses among four fig wasp species, such as Clades 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 in P450s family (Supplementary Fig. S8), Clade 2 in GSTs family (Supplementary Fig. S9) and three clades in CCE family (Supplementary Fig. S10), possibly attributable to ancestral divergence from other Chalcidoidea insects. The reductions of three gene families in fig wasps may be related to its strict host specificity (Fig. 5b) and thus most of its lifetime spending in a closed inflorescence.28 Although the fewer number gene of three detoxification enzymes in A. mellifera has been assumed relating with its specialized eusocial behavior and homeostasis of the nest environment.115

3.11. Olfaction-related pathways

From genome and comparative transcriptome sequencing, we found several DEGs between fig wasp samples stimulated by host odor and the controls, such as adenylyl cyclase, TIAM1, PLCε, adenylate cyclase and CaN, which may play important roles to regulate signal transduction.116–118 in cAMP, cGMP-PKG, Calcim, Ras and Rap1 signal transduction pathways which were believed to be involved in olfactory sensory transduction in both vertebrates and insects (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Figs. S11–S16).9,119,120 In insects, the exact olfactory signal transduction pathway is still elusive and must be highly asymmetric, as has also been demonstrated for vertebrate olfactory.17,121,122 Except the second messengers, the complex between OR and Orco in insects can confer channel activity16 (Fig. 6).

Most of these genes are down-regulated in odor stimulation samples than the control. The reason is that in contrast to vertebrates, invertebrates have both excitatory and inhibitory responses to odors.123,124 The response of insects to odor is a rapid process, while our fig wasp samples were exposed to odor for at least half an hour. Therefore, these down-regulated genes should be an inhibitory response to persistent odor stimulation. In order to have a detailed understanding of the induction and transduction of odor stimulation in fig wasps, we should give different periods of odor stimulation to fig wasps, and then compare the expression of these genes. In addition, transcriptome analysis focusing on the specialized olfactory organs (such as antennae) can find more DEGs.

4. Conclusions

We have provided a high-quality chromosome-level genome assembly of V. javana using a combined Illumina + PacBio assembly strategy. Basic genomic analyses revealed genome features, phylogenetic position, gene gains and loss and gene evolution of V. javana. We found chemosensory genes in four fig wasp species and compared the number, genetic relationship and try to predict some functions of them according to the predicted function of these genes in A. mellifera and D. melanogaster. We also found several DEGs in transcriptome data of V. javana, which play important roles in some olfaction related pathways. This genome assembly will serve as a useful resource for further research into insect biology, pollinator-host interactions, comparative genomics and coevolution.
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