Viscous magnetotransport and Gurzhi effect in bilayer electron system
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We observe a large negative magnetoresistance and a decrease of resistivity with increasing temperature, known as the Gurzhi effect, in a bilayer electron (BL) system formed by a wide GaAs quantum well. A hydrodynamic model for the single fluid transport parameters in narrow channels is employed and successfully describes our experimental findings. We find that the electron-electron scattering in the bilayer is more intensive in comparison with a single-band well (SW). The hydrodynamic assumption implies a strong dependence on boundary conditions, which can be characterized by slip length, describing the behavior of a liquid near the edge. Our results reveal that slip length in a BL is shorter than in a SW, and that the BL system goes deeper into the hydrodynamic regime. This is in agreement with the model proposed where the slip length is of the order of the electron-electron mean free path.

I. INTRODUCTION

Transport of finite size conductors is strongly affected by electron-electron interactions. In dissipative hydrodynamic phenomena, the key physical parameters, controlling the Poiseuille-like flow profile, are shear stress relaxation time $\tau_e$ due to electron electron collisions and the slip length $l_s$, which characterizes the behavior of a liquid near the edge (Fig.1). The hydrodynamic regime requires $l/l_e \gg 1$ and $l_s/W \ll 1$, where $l$ is the mean free path of electrons with respect to momentum changing scattering by impurities and phonons, $W$ is the channel width, and $l_e$ is the mean free path for electron-electron collision $[1]-[38]$. In addition, while the flow is Poiseuille-like for $l_s/W \ll 1$, it becomes Ohmic for $l_s/W \gg 1$ $[22, 23]$.

The most prominent manifestation of electron-electron interaction associated with hydrodynamic electron flow has been predicted in the pioneering theoretical study by Gurzhi [1]. It has been shown that resistance decreases with the square of sample width $\rho \sim l_e \sim T^{-2}$ and with the square of sample width $\rho \sim W^{-2}$. The Gurzhi effect is in apparent contradiction with semiclassical transport theory because it results in a decrease in the electrical resistivity, where collisions become more frequent. In a two-dimensional (2D) system, a temperature-induced decrease of differential resistivity due to heating by the current has been observed in GaAs wires $[2]$, and a decrease of low current linear resistivity with $T$ in H-shaped bar geometry samples $[24]$, both attributed to the Gurzhi effect. Many other features related to electron viscosity in 2D systems have been found in the presence of a magnetic field $[10, 14, 24, 25, 32-36]$.

The parameter that is more difficult to control is the slip length. It is expected that, in GaAs material, the slip length is dependent on the etching technique, however, more insight into this issue has shown that, in both diffusive and nearly specular boundary scattering conditions, $l_s$ depends on electron-electron scattering length $[22, 23]$. In particular, it has been shown that $l_s = \alpha l_e$, where $\alpha \approx 1$ for diffusive boundary scattering and $\alpha \ll 1$ for specular scattering $[23]$. For this reason, studies of systems with more intensive e-e scattering that differ from standard Fermi gas and liquid are of crucial interest.

In a bilayer system, electrons occupy two closely spaced subbands and an additional channel for scattering - intersubband scattering - is opened up. Moreover, the doubling of the phase space for the intrasubband rate and more effective screening for interaction may reduce the electron-electron scattering length and improve boundary conditions for hydrodynamic flow.

In the present paper, we study magnetotransport in narrow channels fabricated from a high-quality bilayer electron system in a wide quantum well. Owing to the charge redistribution, a wide well forms a bilayer electron configuration, where the two wells are separated by an electrostatic potential barrier (Fig.2, top) $[39]$ near the interfaces. We observe the resistance drop in a wide
interval of temperature, consistent with the prediction of viscous transport in narrow channels. In the presence of a transverse magnetic field, the samples display a Lorentzian-shaped magnetoresistance in good agreement with magnetohydrodynamic theory \[10\].

II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS: MACROSCOPIC SAMPLE

Our samples are high-quality, GaAs quantum wells with a width of 46 nm with electron density \(n_s = n_{\text{total}} = 6.7 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}\) and a mobility of \(\mu = 2 \times 10^6 \text{cm}^2/V\text{s}\) at \(T=1.4\text{K}\). The charge distribution in a wide single quantum well is more subtle than the one in a double quantum well. Here the Coulomb repulsion of the electrons in the well leads to a soft barrier inside the well, which in turn results in a bilayer electron system. The calculated confinement potential profile of our wide quantum wells and electron wave functions for the first two subbands is shown in Figure 2a. The small energy separation and the symmetry of the wave functions for the two lowest subbands show that corresponding (symmetric and antisymmetric) states are formed as a result of tunnel hybridization \(\Delta\) of the states in the two quantum wells near the interfaces. Figure 2b shows the dependence of the \(\Delta\) on total electron density. One can see that the energy separation drops with density. This value of intersubband separation is close to 1 meV at density \(6 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}\).

In quantum wells with two occupied 2D subbands, the magneto-resistance exhibits oscillating behavior in a magnetic field below where the conventional Shunikov de Haas oscillations appear - the so-called magneto-intersubband (MIS) oscillations \[39, 40\]. These oscillations arise from the periodic modulation of the probability of transitions between the Landau levels (LL) belonging to different subbands. The MIS oscillation is periodic in \(\Delta/\hbar\omega_c\), where \(\omega_c = eB/mc\) is the cyclotron frequency. Since the origin of the MIS oscillations is related to the alignment between the different Landau levels (LL) of the two subbands and not to the position of the LL with respect to Fermi energy, these oscillations survive at high temperatures when SdH oscillations are suppressed.

Figure 3 shows magneto-resistance as a function of the magnetic field at \(T = 1.5\text{K}\). The magneto-resistance exhibits MIS oscillations together with SdH oscillations. The red line shows the theoretical MISO, which allows us to determine subband separation \(\Delta = 1.1\text{meV}\) by the oscillation frequency.

Figure 4(a) shows the longitudinal magnetoresistivity \(\rho(B)\) measured in the local configuration for a macroscopic Hall bar sample (500\(\mu\)m \times 200\(\mu\)m) as a function of magnetic field and temperature. One can see an increase in zero field resistivity \(\rho_0\) with increasing \(T\) (Fig. 4(b)), and a positive parabolic magneoresistance.

Assuming the viscosity effect is small in macroscopic samples, we are able to fit an \(\rho(T)\) dependence by the linear line: \(\rho(T)/\rho(4.2) = 1 + \beta T\) above 4.2 K, with \(\beta = 0.07\text{K}^{-1}\) due to the contribution of electron-phonon scattering into the transport.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS: MESOSCOPIC SAMPLE

We present experimental results on mesoscopic Hall-bar devices. They consists of three, 6\(\mu\)m wide consecutive segments of different length (6, 20, 6\(\mu\)m), and 8 voltage probes. The measurements were carried out in a VTI cryostat, using a conventional lock-in technique to measure the longitudinal \(\rho_{xx}\) resistivity with an ac current of 0.1 – 1\(\mu\)A through the sample, which is sufficiently low to avoid overheating effects. 2 Hall bars from the same wafers were studied and showed consistent behaviour.

The temperature dependence of resistance at \(B=0\) in macroscopic 2D samples was linear, with the coefficient given in previous section. For these parameters, the mean free path \(l\) is larger than \(W\) even at \(T \sim 30\text{K}\). The parameters characterizing the electron system are given in Table 1. For comparison we also show parameters for one of the typical single well samples studied previously.
FIG. 3: (Color online) Magnetointersubband oscillations (MISO) and Shubnikov de Haas oscillations of resistance in wide macroscopic QW samples, T = 1.5K. Comparison of the measured magnetoresistance with the calculated one (red line) allows us to determine subband separation Δ = 1.1meV by the oscillation frequency.

TABLE I: Parameters of the electron system in mesoscopic samples at T = 1.4K. The mean free paths \( l = v_F \tau_{macrosc} \). Other parameters are defined in the text.

| W (\( \mu m \)) | \( n_s \) (10\(^11\)cm\(^2\)) | \( v_F \) (10\(^7\)cm/s) | \( l \) (\( \mu m \)) | \( l_2 \) (\( \mu m \)) | \( \eta \) (\( \mu m^2/s \)) | Properties |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| 6               | 6.7              | 2.5             | 19              | 0.45            | 0.07            | BL        |
| 5               | 7.4              | 3.7             | 28              | 2.5             | 0.23            | SW        |

Fig. 5 shows a few representative curves for different current configurations. To underline the difference in the magnetoresistance shape for narrow and wide QWs, we plot the longitudinal magnetoresistance for a single well for the same configurations. When the current was applied between the source and the drain, the voltage was measured between the side probes (further referred to as conventional or C1 configuration), and the corresponding Lorentzian curve is wide and shows characteristic peaks in the region of small B in a single band well. When the current was applied between the side probes, the voltage was measured between the opposite side probes (further referred to as C2 configuration), the corresponding Lorentzian curve is narrow for both single and two subband wells. The characteristic peaks at small B tend to disappear for C2 configuration in both systems. The local minimum at B=0 is attributed to the classical size effect \[38\]. However, it is not clear why this effect is more pronounced in the bilayer in comparison with a single well. The shoulder disappears at \( T > 10K \) because the classical size effect is smeared out by temperature. In order to emphasize the hydrodynamic effects, we focus on high T measurements.

Figures 6 and 7 show the evolution of longitudinal magnetoresistance with temperature for configurations C1 and C2, consecutively. The temperature increase leads to broader peaks and, consequently, to a better agreement with Lorentzian shaped curves at high T. Remarkably, the zero-B peak decreases with T. As the electron-electron scattering increases, it is expected that the electron system shifts towards the hydrodynamic regime, where the Gurzhi effect \[1\] is possible.

A careful inspection of the magnetoresistance curves for bilayer samples reveals that the curves’ shape is distorted with respect to a Lorentzian curve and shows a small shoulder near \( B \approx 0.1T \). This weak modification of the Lorentz shape could be attributed to the size effect \[38\]. However, it is not clear why this effect is more pronounced in the bilayer in comparison with a single well.

It is worth noting that it is necessary to not only understand the role of viscous effects in a clean electron
FIG. 5: (Color online) Longitudinal resistance in the 6-µm-wide mesoscopic Hall bar for different configurations of measurements and for different devices fabricated from a single subband GaAs well (SW) (dashes) and a wide GaAs well or bilayer (BL) (solid lines), T=14 K.

system, but also to resolve the ballistic regime in the presence of a confining channel, impurities, and electron-electron scattering. The analytical solutions for transport equations in the ballistic regime have been obtained in model [27, 28]. The relaxation-time approximation for the electron-electron collision integral allows for either an analytical or a numerical solution of the kinetic equation [2], [3], [14], [17], [19], [27], [28], [29], [31], however, in the presence of a magnetic field, the problem still remains complicated.

Fig. 8a demonstrates the temperature dependence of zero-B peak resistance for a GaAs bilayer. One can see that the resistance decreases with T for both current configurations, but the minimum in the $\rho(T)$ curve for configuration C2 is more pronounced. We attribute this behaviour to a manifestation of the Gurzhi effect [1].

FIG. 6: (Color online) Temperature-dependent magnetoresistance of a mesoscopic GaAs bilayer for configuration C1. The circles are examples illustrating magnetoresistance calculated from Eq. (2) for different temperatures T(K): 14, 27, 43.9, 80.

Below we apply the models [10, 13] because it captures all major hydrodynamic features, including the subtle effects related to electron-electron scattering temperature dependence [41]. The resulting resistivity of a 2D system in constrained geometry is given by

IV. THEORY AND DISCUSSION

Application of the methods developed in the model [38] to a two-subband system is a very challenging task, and has not yet been established theoretically. Since the energy separation $\Delta$ in our bilayer is much smaller than Fermi energy, we propose that the electron-electron scattering is essentially the same in both subbands. In a two subband system $\rho_{total} = (\rho_1^{-1} + \rho_2^{-1})^{-1}$, and $\rho_1 = m/e^2 n_1 \tau_1$, $\rho_2 = m/e^2 n_2 \tau_2$, where $1/\tau_i$ is the scattering rate which includes both intrasubband and intersubband scattering and $m_i$, $n_i$ is the effective mass and the density for the $i$th subbands, respectively. For simplicity, we propose $m_1 \approx m_2$, $n_1 \approx n_2$, $1/\tau_1 \approx 1/\tau_2 \approx 1/\tau$, $\rho_{total} \approx m/e^2 n_{total} \tau$. Below we apply the models [10, 13] because it captures all major hydrodynamic features, including the subtle effects related to electron-electron scattering temperature dependence [41]. The resulting resistivity of a 2D system in constrained geometry is given by
$\rho(T) = \rho_0 \frac{1}{1 - 2D \frac{D}{2W} \tanh(W/2D)}$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\sigma_0 = e^2 n \tau / m = 1 / \rho_0$ is the Drude conductivity, $\tau$ is momentum relaxation time due to interaction with phonons and static defects, $D = \sqrt{\eta \tau_{ee}}$, $\xi = l_s \sinh(W/2D) + D \cosh(W/2D)$ is characteristic length which depends on the boundary slip length $l_s$. It has been shown that the equation for resistivity in zero magnetic field can be reduced to \cite{10, 13, 21}: $\rho(T) \approx \rho_0 \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau^*}\right)$, and that, in these conditions, the viscosity effect is regarded as a parallel channel of electron momentum relaxation with the characteristic time $\tau^*$. The approach allows the introduction of the magnetic field dependent viscosity tensor and the derivation of the magnetoresistivity tensor \cite{10}:

$$\rho_{xx} = \rho_{total} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau^*} \frac{1}{1 + (2\omega_c \tau_{ee})^2}\right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

where, $\tau^* \approx \frac{W(W+6l_s)}{12\eta}$, viscosity $\eta = \frac{1}{4} \frac{e^2 F}{\tau_{ee}}$. The relaxation rate $\frac{1}{\tau^*}$ relating to the process responsible for relaxation of the second moment of the distribution function, such as the head-on collisions of pairs of quasiparticles and scattering by static defect, gives rise to viscosity \cite{10, 41}.

The momentum relaxation rate is expressed as $\frac{1}{\tau} = A_{ph} T + \frac{1}{\tau_{ee, imp}}$, where $A_{ph}$ is the term responsible for phonon scattering, and $\tau_{ee, imp}$ is the scattering time due to static disorder (not related to the second moment relaxation time) \cite{41}. Slip length is proportional to the electron - electron scattering length: $l_s = \alpha l_e$, where coefficient $\alpha$ depends on the boundary roughness parameters \cite{23}.

We fit the resistance in zero magnetic field with the
fitted parameters: $\tau(T)$, $l_s(T)$. The magnetoresistance is fitted by Lorentzian curves (Eq. 2) with adjustable parameter $\tau_{2,ee}$.

It is worth comparing the results obtained in a single subband system with those of a bilayer because, in a wide well, we observe the Gurzhi effect in both configurations, while in a narrow well, $R(T)$ decreases with $T$ only for the C2 arrangement [24]. Table 2 shows the parameters extracted from the comparison of Eq. 2 and the experiment for bilayer (BL) and single well systems.

TABLE II: Fitting parameters of the electron system for a single subband well (SW) and a bilayer (BL) mesoscopic system for configuration C1, $T = 14K$. Parameters are defined in the text.

| Well width (nm) | $\tau_{2,ee}$ $(10^{-12}s)$ | $\tau_{2,imp}$ $(10^{-12}s)$ | $\tau^*$ $(10^{-11}s)$ | Properties |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| 16              | 5.9                         | 6.9                         | 6.3                      | SW        |
| 46              | 2.35                        | 4.4                         | 15                       | BL        |

A notable distinction between a single and a two subband electron system is the presence of additional intersubband scattering in the BL. Electron-electron scattering in coupled quantum wells has been considered in ref. 12.

The total inelastic scattering rate results from the intersubband transitions and that from the intrasubband processes, $\left(\frac{1}{\tau_{ee}}\right)_{tot,i} = \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{ee}}\right)_{inter,i} + \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{ee}}\right)_{intra,i}$, where $i = 1, 2$ is the subband number. One expects that the electron-electron scattering is more intensive than the screening effect because of the doubling of the phase space for the intrasubband process compared to the single-band one [12].

The inelastic scattering rate for the intrasubband processes is given by:

$$
\left(\frac{\hbar}{\tau_{ee}}\right)_{intra,i} = -A_1 \frac{(kT)^2}{E_F} + A_2 \frac{(kT)^2}{E_F} \ln \left(\frac{4E_F}{kT}\right)
$$

And for intersubband scattering:

$$
\left(\frac{\hbar}{\tau_{ee}}\right)_{inter,i} = -B_1 \frac{(kT)^2}{E_F} + B_2 \frac{(kT)^2}{E_F} \ln \left(\frac{4E_F}{\Delta}\right) + B_3 \frac{(kT)^2}{E_F} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta}{kT}\right)
$$

Everywhere $A_1$ and $B_2$ are positive numerical constants of order unity with $B_1 > B_3$. Indeed the two rates are almost equal $\left(\frac{\hbar}{\tau_{ee}}\right)_{tot,1} = \left(\frac{\hbar}{\tau_{ee}}\right)_{tot,2}$.

Inter electron collisions, given by Equations (2) and (3), are an oversimplification of perturbation in a Fermi gas considered in all theoretical models [11]-[17]. Stress relaxation rate may have slightly different parameters and logarithmic temperature behaviour [10]. However, it is useful to compare our results with the existing theory. We compare the temperature dependence of $\frac{1}{\tau_{ee}(T)}$ with Equations (3) and (4), as shown in Fig 8b. The following parameters are extracted: $\tau_{2,imp} = 3.1 \times 10^{-12}$ s, for C1, and $\tau_{2,imp} = 4.2 \times 10^{-12}$ s, for C2. In the single well, we compare the results for relaxation rate with $A_{ee} (kT)^2$, and find parameter $A_{ee} = 0.2$ [33]. Figure 8b shows the theoretical predictions for parameters $A_1 = B_1 = 0.12$.

More intensive e-e scattering may lead to diffusive boundary scattering and improve the hydrodynamic regime. The Poiseuille type flow supports a very small slip length if compared to the characteristic size of the system. Figure 8c shows the slip length as a function of temperature extracted from the fit with experimental results. One can see that $l_s$ for configuration C2 roughly follows $T$-dependence for $l_{2,ee}$ below 25 K. The black line represents curve 0.5$l_{2,ee}$, which corresponds to conditions for diffusive boundary scattering. At higher temperatures the deviation requires additional explanations. We find that $l_s$ for configuration C1 is negligibly small and can not be reliably extracted from comparison with the theory. Figure 8c shows also the slip length for a single well. One can see that the extracted $l_s$ in a SW is longer than $l_s$ in a BL and follows to dependence 0.8$l_{2,ee}$. For shorter slip length, the velocity profile becomes more parabolic, as is shown in Figure 1. Let us compare the second (hydrodynamic) term in Equation (2) for both SW and BL systems at $B=0$. The ratio is given by

$$
\frac{\rho_h^{BL}}{\rho_h^{SW}} \approx 6 \left(\frac{\tau_{BL}}{\tau_{SW}}\right) \left(\frac{\tau_{2,ee}}{\tau_{2,ee}}\right) \left(\frac{v_{BL}}{v_{SW}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{l_{SW}}{W}\right)
$$

where we consider that $6\ll 1$ and $l_{SW}^2/W \gg 1$. In a real system, the parameter $\tau(T)$ in a mesoscopic sample ($\tau_{mesosc}$) was found to be larger than in a macroscopic structure ($\tau_{macrosc}$). The same tendency has been observed in SL. For example we obtain the ratio $\tau_{mesosc}/\tau_{macrosc} = 2.9$ for a BL and $\tau_{mesosc}/\tau_{macrosc} = 1.3$ for a SW at 4.2 K. The distance between probes and the width of the sample was much smaller than the ballistic mean free path, and a direct comparison and interpretation of the data extracted from the macroscopic and mesoscopic samples is not well established. In the hydrodynamic approximation, $\tau$ describes relaxation of all angular harmonics of the distribution function except the zero one (ref. [10]). The introduction of the unified times for all harmonics is a crude approximation, but it simplifies the solution of the kinetic equation. For parameters $\tau_{BL}/\tau_{SW} \approx 2$, $\tau_{2,ee}/\tau_{2,ee} = 0.5$ and $v_{BL}/v_{SW} \approx 0.7$ we obtain $\frac{\rho_h^{BL}}{\rho_h^{SW}} \approx 1.8$. Therefore, the less viscous BL system becomes more favorable to following a Poiseuille type flow when $l_{SW}^2 \sim W$.

We conclude that the two subband system in general offers stronger viscous flow effects. First, this is because the hydrodynamic effect can be realized in a BL
in a wider temperature range in comparison with a SW. As was indicated in the introduction, the hydrodynamic regime requires $l/l_e \gg 1$ and $l_e/W \ll 1$. In a BL with the same total density, we obtain $l_e/W \approx 0.1$ at $T=4.2$ K, while in a single subband system the ratio $l_e/W \approx 0.2$ is reached at $T=30$ K. Second, the other key physical parameter controlling the Poiseuille-like flow profile, the slip length $l_s$, is smaller in a BL. The slip length can be controlled by e-e scattering, which is more intensive in a BL due to the doubling of the phase space and inter-subband scattering. The hydrodynamic regime requires $W/l_s \gg 1$, which indeed is realized in a BL at low temperature $T=4.2$K, while in a SW $W/l_s = 2$, even at high temperatures.

It is worth noting that the advantage of a BL in comparison with a SW with the same total density is smaller kinematic viscosity $ν$. It allows the achievement of large Reynolds numbers for relatively small injected current in order to observe electronic pre-turbulence in a mesoscopic scale.\[43\]

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we report the appearance of the Gurzhi effect in a mesoscopic two-dimensional electron system in a wide GaAs quantum well with two occupied subbands. We observe a large negative magnetoresistance in a wide temperature range. By comparing theory and the experiment, we determine the characteristic relaxation time of electrons caused by electron-electron scattering. In addition we demonstrate that the slip length is shorter in a BL, which results in a more parabolic flow profile in comparison with a SW.
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