An updated review of cribriform carcinomas with emphasis on histopathological diagnosis and prognostic significance
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Abstract

Cribriform is a histopathological term used to describe a neoplastic epithelial proliferation in the form of large nests perforated by many quite rounded different-sized spaces. This growth pattern may be seen in carcinomas arising in different organs, and shows important prognostic implications. Therefore, recent data in literature suggest that cribriform carcinoma is a histologically and clinically distinctive type of tumour that should be separated from other similar tumour types. In this article, the pathology of cribriform adenocarcinoma of the prostate, lung, breast, stomach, colon, thyroid, and skin is discussed with particular reference to morphologic and immunohistochemical features, differential diagnosis, and clinical behaviour.

Introduction

In histopathology practice, the term cribriform (from the ancient Latin cribrum i.e. sieve) refers to neoplasms showing an architectural pattern of growth made up of straight packed glands endowed with unequally distributed lumina, lacking interposed stromal tissue (Figure 1). A cribriform pattern has been identified in invasive neoplasms arising in different organs. Recently, cribriform neoplasms have been reclassified as more aggressive entities than previously reported (e.g., carcinoma of the lung, prostate, stomach, and colon). Therefore, the identification of a cribriform pattern may have practical prognostic implications for pathologists and oncologists. Our aim is to review morphologic and immunohistochemical features, as well as discuss the differential diagnosis, and prognostic implications of this histologic type.

Cribriform pattern in invasive prostate cancer

Several studies have demonstrated that a cribriform pattern in radical prostatectomy specimens was associated with extraprostatic extension, positive surgical margins, distant metastases, and cancer-specific mortality. In 2005, the International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) assigned a Gleason grade 4 rather than Gleason grade 3 for a cribriform pattern. In 2009, Lotan et al showed that there was often histologic continuity between glomeruloid glands and cribriform pattern. Glomeruloid pattern is a term used to describe dilated glands containing intraluminal cribriform structures with a single point of attachment, reminiscent of a renal glomerulus. Two recent studies suggest that glomeruloid structures could be considered as an early stage of cribriform Gleason pattern 4. Moreover, Lotan et al. showed that 84% of cases with glomeruloid glands were associated with Gleason grade 4, or higher, cancer. At the 2014 ISUP grading conference, assigned for glomeruloid pattern was assigned a Gleason grade 4. Solid nests or cribriform structures with comedonecrosis are considered Gleason pattern 5.

The differential diagnosis for atypical cribriform lesions of the prostate has become increasingly complex and includes intraductal carcinoma of the prostate (IDC-P), high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HG-PIN), ductal carcinoma, and acinar cell carcinoma with cribriform pattern. Invasive acinar adenocarcinoma may closely mimic IDC-P when it has a cribriform or solid architecture. The key difference between IDC-P and invasive acinar adenocarcinoma with cribriform/glomeruloid pattern is a lack of any basal cell layer present in invasive acinar adenocarcinoma. IDC-P must be distinguished from HG-PIN. Usually, in HG-PIN tumour cells are uniformly atypical with nuclear size 2-3 times normal adjacent nuclei, whereas in IDC-P there is a marked pleomorphism with nuclear size >6 times normal adjacent nuclei. Moreover, HG-PIN involves few glands, whereas IDC-P frequently involves >6 glands. Comedonecrosis and mitoses are rarely seen in HG-PIN, whereas they are easily identifiable in IDC-P. Immunohistochemical staining for PTEN may be useful in difficult cases, as it remains positive in HG-PIN and is negative in IDC-P. Table 1 summarizes the...
morphologic and immunohistochemical features that are useful in the differential diagnosis between HG-PIN, IDC-P, ductal carcinoma and acinar cell carcinoma with cribriform architecture.

**Invasive cribriform carcinoma of the breast**

Invasive cribriform carcinoma is a rare histological type of invasive breast cancer accounting for less than 4% of all breast cancers. Histologically, it is characterized by angulated epithelial nests composed of mild to moderate atypical cells arranged in a sieve-like pattern, which are surrounded by a fibroelastic stroma. Typically, neoplastic cells with apical snouts as well as lumina occupied by microlcification and mucin-like substance can be recognized. Immunohistochemically, cancerous nests are positive for cytokeratins, and strongly for estrogen, as well as for progesterone receptors, but myoepithelial markers such as p63 and smooth muscle actin are not expressed. Breast invasive cribriform carcinoma is subdivided into three forms: the pure invasive type (whenever >90% of a cribriform pattern of the entire lesion), the invasive type (i.e., cribriform pattern plus a component of tubular carcinoma <50%), and the mixed type (characterized by 10-49% of a carcinoma with histotype other than tubular). Generally, this neoplasm has a better prognosis compared to invasive carcinoma of no special type (10-year overall survival results between 90% and 100%) even if the prognosis compared to invasive carcinoma of no special type (10-year overall survival results between 90% and 100%) even if the mixed type showed a worse prognosis between the two forms. Pleomorphism is minimal or absent, and nuclear grade 1 is present in at least 90% of cells. Mitotic figures are unusual. According to biomolecular classification of breast neoplasms, invasive cribriform carcinoma is mainly of the luminal A-type (luminal B-type has also been described), since estrogen and progesterone receptors are positively immunoexpressed in contrast to a negative hyperexpression/amplification for Her2 receptors.

Table 2 shows the main differential diagnostic considerations on adenoid cystic carcinoma, neuroendocrine tumours, and cribriform ductal carcinoma in situ and invasive.

---

**Table 1. Differential diagnosis among cribriform neoplasms of the prostate.**

| HGPIN | IDC-P | Ductal carcinoma | Acinar carcinoma (cribriform pattern) |
|-------|-------|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Growth pattern or loose cribriform. | Usually micropapillary or Loose cribriform/ micropapillary | Solid/dense cribriform slitlike spaces (endometrioid pattern) | Papillary, solid or cribriform with pattern (Gleason pattern 4) |
| Comedonecrosis | No | Frequent non-local | Present (invasive cribriform carcinoma) |
| Basal cell layer | Intact or fragmented | At least partial | Absent |
| Gland size | Similar to adjacent normal glands | Expanded, branching | Large gland |
| Cytological features | Cuboidal | Cuboidal cells | Tall columnar cells |
| Nuclear features | 2-3 times normal | >6 times normal | Elongated |
| Nucleoli | Prominent | Prominent | Prominent |
| Mitoses | Rare | Few/frequent | Frequent |
| Immunohistochemistry | | | |
| PSA | Positive | Positive | Positive |
| AMACR | Positive | Positive | Positive |
| PTEN | Positive | Negative in 61-84% | Data unavailable |
| Basal markers | Positive | Positive | Negative |

---

**Cribriform pattern in lung adenocarcinoma**

Histology-based 2015 World Health Organization (WHO) classification of lung tumours identifies five histotypes: e.g., lepidic, acinar, papillary, micropapillary, and solid, whereas a cribriform pattern is considered a rare variant of the acinar adenocarcinoma. Warth et al identified predominant and minor patterns of lepidic, acinar, micropapillary, solid and cribriform components in a series of 674 resected adenocarcinomas. Only 28 cases (4.2%) were cribriform predominant, although a minor component was frequently observed (28.6% of all cases). Moreover, a cribriform pattern must be distinguished by metastatic cribriform neoplasms of other sites, including breast, prostate, ovary, pancreas, uterus, colon, and thyroid. Histologically, primary cribriform adenocarcinoma...
nomas could show structures similar to: i) cribriform prostatic or breast adenocarcinomas, such as roman bridges and comedonecrosis; ii) mucinous adenocarcinomas of the pancreas or ovary such as garland-like distribution at the tumour periphery; iii) endometrioid adenocarcinomas such as subnuclear vacuoles and comedonecrosis; iv) colocolctal adenocarcinomas such as dirty necrosis and scattered goblet cells; v) papillary thyroid carcinoma such as clearing of nuclear chromatin and overlapping of the nuclei. However, a primary lung phenotype can be confirmed by immunohistochemistry (i.e., TTF1+/CK7+/napsin A+) and negative results for other markers.

The correlation between cribriform pattern and ALK expression, EGFR or KRAS mutations is a controversial issue. Mackinnon was unable to find a specific molecular signature for cribriform predominant carcinomas, whereas Warth et al. showed high rates of KRAS and no EGFR mutations. ALK-positive pulmonary adenocarcinomas were more frequently observed in tumours characterized by mixed growth pattern including acinar, solid, micropapillary, and cribriform. Due to its rarity and controversy over classification, further studies are needed to verify specific associations of molecular signatures with a cribriform pattern. Recent studies suggest that cribriform pattern is associated with aggressive behavior. Kadota et al. showed that cribriform predominant adenocarcinoma is characterized by a higher mitotic rate, vascular invasion, and tissue necrosis compared to acinar predominant adenocarcinoma. In particular, Warth et al. showed that cribriform histotype heavily influences outcome, and propose that a cribriform pattern would be better included in high-grade tumours such as solid and micropapillary patterns rather than in the acinar group, as currently suggested by WHO classification. Based on promising data demonstrating peculiar clinicopathologic features, several authors suggest the identification of a cribriform pattern as a specific histotype in future WHO lung tumours classifications.

### Table 2. Differential diagnosis of invasive cribriform carcinoma of the breast.

| **Histological type** | **Microscopy** | **Immunohistochemistry** |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Adenoid cystic carcinoma | Round-shaped spaces containing dPAS positive basement membrane-like substance surrounded by two cell types (myoepithelial basaloid and eosinophilic cells) No ductal carcinoma in situ | cKit positive cells; p63, calponin, CK 5/6 positive myoepithelial cells Estrogen and progesterone receptors generally negative |
| Invasive neuroendocrine tumours | Nests/trabeculae of spindle, plasmacytoid or large clear cells | Synaptophysin and chromogranin positivity |
| Cribriform adenocarcinoma | Absence of dPAS positive cores Ductal carcinoma in situ may be present | No cKit positivity Myoepithelial cells absent on immunohistochemical stains Estrogen and progesterone receptors positive |
| Cribriform ductal carcinoma in situ | Presence of surrounding myoepithelial cells | p63, calponin, CK 5/6 positive myoepithelial cells |
Instead, the columnar variant is typically characterized by supra and/or subnuclear vacuolization of pseudostratified hyperchromatic elongated cells, resembling those observed in early secretive stage of endometrial glands, which are well decorated by TTF1 antigen, but variably for thyroglobulin. Moreover this variant of PTC is devoid of any cribriform-morular pattern, and shows an aggressive behavior.3,31,33,34

Gastric carcinoma with cribriform component

A cribriform component in gastric adenocarcinoma morphologically similar to mammary intraductal carcinoma, is a rare pattern of growth recognized in this organ, which to date has been described only in a small cohort of intestinal type adenocarcinomas by Lino-Silva et al.4 Moreover this histopathological pattern was not described in the last WHO Classification of Tumours of Gastrointestinal tract.37 Gastric carcinoma with a cribriform component is mainly diagnosed in adult men in an age similar to that observed for common gastric adenocarcinoma, always associated with an intestinal type carcinoma component, and not correlated with histological hallmarks of Helicobacter pylori infection in the adjacent mucosa (e.g., follicular gastritis, gastric atrophy, intestinal metaplasia).5 Furthermore, gastric carcinoma with a cribriform pattern shows a major tendency to possess a higher stage, lymphovascular and perineural invasion, and a lower disease free survival rate, in comparison to conventional gastric carcinoma. Figure 2 shows a gastric carcinoma with a cribriform component characterized by atypical mitosis (Figure 2). From an immunohistochemical viewpoint, tumour cells are diffusely decorated with CK7 and CK19, but focally for CK20.6 Positivity for MUC5AC has also been described, whereas the estrogen and progesterone receptors, CDX2, MUC1, MUC2, and GCDFP-15 are not expressed.7 The most important differential diagnosis for gastric carcinoma with cribriform component is with a metastatic breast cribriform carcinoma.1,8 However, the presence of an in situ adenocarcinoma component together with an immunonegative expression for the usual markers of breast carcinoma such as mamoglobin, progesterone and estrogen receptors, and GCDFP-15, are all useful elements for diagnosing primitive gastric adenocarcinoma with cribriform component.8

Colonic cribriform comedo-type adenocarcinoma

This histotype has been briefly described in the current WHO Classification of Tumours of the Digestive System, and defined as an adenocarcinoma in which is recognized a cribriform pattern with a central area of comedo-like necrosis similar to that observed in mammary intraductal carcinoma.2 Recently, a study by Lino-Silva et al. has highlighted a negative outcome for this entity.11 Moreover, the majority of the cases enrolled in that study showed adverse prognostic findings such as high stage, lymphovascular invasion, lymph nodes metastasis in >5 of the harvested ones, and lower 5-year survival than conventional adenocarcinomas histotype.11 Moreover, Kim et al. demonstrated a negative prognostic impact for those colorectal carcinomas with a cribriform component characterized by microsatellite instability high (MSI-H), in terms of shorter disease-free survival.38

Primary cutaneous cribriform carcinoma

Cutaneous cribriform carcinoma, recently described in 1998, is considered as a variant of sweat gland carcinoma, characterized by excellent outcome without recurrence and/or metastasis.39,40 The main histopathological findings of this tumour are the following: i) partially or relatively well-circumscribed, non-encapsulated dermal nodule; ii) nests of epithelioid cells owning lumina; iii) pleomorphic elongated nuclei; iv) desmoplastic stroma; v) rare mitoses; vi) none lymphovascular or perineural invasion.39,40 Immunohistochemical stains for CK5/6 and 7, CEA and EMA (luminal), C-kit (CD117) BerEp4, and S100 reveal positivity in tumour cells; CK20 invariably shows negativity.39 Primary cutaneous cribriform carcinoma should be distinguished from primary cutaneous adnexal cystic carcinoma.39,40,41 However, the latter histologic type is characterized by high recurrence risk, infiltrative growth pattern, rare lymph node and lung metastases, perineural infiltration.39,40,41 Moreover, the tumour consists of basaloid cells surrounding hyaline, periodic acid-Schiff positive basement membrane-like substance or alcinian blue (pH 2.5) positive mucins.41 Immunohistochemistry is not helpful for the differential diagnosis against cribriform carcinoma.

Conclusions

The diagnostic approach of pathologists in their daily practice is based on the analysis of architectural and cytological features, immunohistochemical stains and other ancillary techniques in the contest of knowledge of the clinical background of the case. Recently, cribriform pattern has emerged as a paradigmatic example of an additional adverse prognostic factor in adenocarcinomas of various organs, such as prostate, lung, stomach, colon. Instead, patients with breast cribriform carcinoma have significantly better survival rates than patients with invasive ductal cancer (not otherwise specified), whereas the prognosis of a cribriform-morular variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma is similar to that of classical papillary thyroid carcinoma. Thus, cribriform carcinoma is not only a morphologic tumour growth pattern, but also a prognostic factor. More research is required to evaluate the prognostic implications of a diagnosis of cribriform carcinoma in other organs such as in the skin. However, practicing pathologists should be aware that cribriform tumours of prostate, lung, stomach and colon are clinically aggressive, in order to diagnose and treat an affected patient correctly.
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