Generation of Transgenic Self-Incompatible
Arabidopsis thaliana Shows a Genus-Specific Preference for Self-Incompatibility Genes

Tong Zhang 1,2,†, Guilong Zhou 1,†, Daphne R. Goring 2,3, Xiaomi Liang 1, Stuart Macgregor 2, Cheng Dai 1, Jing Wen 1, Bin Yi 1, Jinxiong Shen 1, Jinxing Tu 1, Tingdong Fu 1 and Chaozhi Ma 1,*

1 National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, National Center of Rapeseed Improvement in Wuhan, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China; tong.zhang@webmail.hzau.edu.cn (T.Z.); zhouguilong@webmail.hzau.edu.cn (G.Z.); 15071303711@163.com (X.L.); cdai@mail.hzau.edu.cn (C.D.); wenjing@mail.hzau.edu.cn (J.W.); yibin@mail.hzau.edu.cn (B.Y.); jxshen@mail.hzau.edu.cn (J.S.); tujx@mail.hzau.edu.cn (J.T.); futing@mail.hzau.edu.cn (T.F.)
2 Department of Cell & Systems Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 3B2, Canada; d.goring@utoronto.ca (D.R.G.); s.macgregor@mail.utoronto.ca (S.M.)
3 Centre for Genome Analysis & Function, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 3B2, Canada
* Correspondence: yuanbeauty@mail.hzau.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-27-8728-18-07
† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Received: 11 September 2019; Accepted: 3 December 2019; Published: 4 December 2019

Abstract: Brassicaceae species employ both self-compatibility and self-incompatibility systems to regulate post-pollination events. Arabidopsis halleri is strictly self-incompatible, while the closely related Arabidopsis thaliana has transitioned to self-compatibility with the loss of functional S-locus genes during evolution. The downstream signaling protein, ARC1, is also required for the self-incompatibility response in some Arabidopsis and Brassica species, and its gene is deleted in the A. thaliana genome. In this study, we attempted to reconstitute the SCR-SRK-ARC1 signaling pathway to restore self-incompatibility in A. thaliana using genes from A. halleri and B. napus, respectively. Several of the transgenic A. thaliana lines expressing the A. halleri SCR13-SRK13-ARC1 transgenes displayed self-incompatibility, while all the transgenic A. thaliana lines expressing the B. napus SCR1-SRK1-ARC1 transgenes failed to show any self-pollen rejection. Furthermore, our results showed that the intensity of the self-incompatibility response in transgenic A. thaliana plants was not associated with the expression levels of the transgenes. Thus, this suggests that there are differences between the Arabidopsis and Brassica self-incompatibility signaling pathways, which perhaps points to the existence of other factors downstream of B. napus SRK that are absent in Arabidopsis species.

Keywords: self-incompatible; SCR (S-locus Cysteine-Rich), SRK (S locus receptor kinase), ARC1 (Arm repeat containing), Arabidopsis thaliana; genus-specific preference

1. Introduction

Self-incompatibility is one of the most important breeding systems present in many hermaphroditic flowering plants as it causes self-pollen rejection to promote out-crossing. Self-incompatibility systems under investigation include species in Brassicaceae, Solanaceae, Rosaceae, Scrophulariaceae and Papaveraceae [1–4]. In the Brassicaceae (crucifer family), self-incompatibility is controlled by tightly linked multi-allelic genes at the S-locus region, which rarely recombine and so have collectively been named S-haplotypes [4]. Three S-locus-linked genes have been identified in Brassica species. The S-locus glycoprotein (SLG) gene encodes an abundant, secreted glycoprotein that is located in...
the cell wall of stigma papillae, and SLG has been reported to be connected to pollen adhesion on stigmatic surfaces [5,6]. The S-locus receptor kinase (SRK) is the female determinant in stigma, and mediates the self-incompatibility signaling pathway [7–9]. Finally, the S-locus Protein 11/S-locus Cysteine-Rich (SP11/SCR) gene encodes the male determinant and is expressed in the anther tapetum and pollen [10–12]. SP11/SCR is recognized by SRK as a ligand in an S-haplotype-specific manner, and SRK is then autophosphorylated to induce various signaling cascades [13,14].

Models of the downstream self-incompatibility signaling pathways have been proposed in *Brassica* species [15,16]. The M locus protein kinase (MLPK) was identified as a positive regulator of self-incompatible response, since the *B. rapa* *mlpk* mutant lost its self-incompatibility phenotype and knocking out the *B. napus* MLPK genes by CRISPR/Cas9 completely knocked out the self-incompatibility response [17,18]. MLPK is tethered on the plasma membrane and can be phosphorylated by SRK by forming a complex with SRK to mediate signal transduction in self-incompatibility response [19,20].

ARC1 (Armed Repeat Containing 1) and THL1 (thioredoxin-h like 1/2) were isolated for interacting with the kinase domain of SRK in yeast two-hybrid analysis [21,22]. THL1/2 were believed to regulate self-incompatibility response negatively [21,23]. Down-regulation of ARC1 expression in the stigma caused a breakdown of self-incompatibility in the *B. napus* line ‘W1’ [22,24]. ARC1 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that can direct the degradation of target proteins required for compatible pollen responses [25]. One target is EXO70A1, which directly interacts with ARC1 and can be ubiquitinated by ARC1 in vitro. This action is proposed to block the transfer of secretory vesicles to the pollen-stigma contact site resulting in pollen rejection [26]. GLO1 (glyoxalase I), a key enzyme involved in detoxification of methylglyoxal, and has been identified as another ARC1 target. ARC1 was proposed to negatively regulate GLO1 in self-pollen rejection, leading to the accumulation of the methylglyoxal toxin in papillar cells [27].

In addition to *Brassica* species, *Arabidopsis* species have been used for self-incompatibility studies in *Brassicaceae*. By analyzing the sequences of *Arabidopsis* S-locus genes, naturally self-incompatible species, such as *Arabidopsis lyrata* and *Arabidopsis halleri*, were shown to have intact SRK and SCR/SP11 genes. *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotypes are self-compatible and do not carry functional SRK or SCR/SP11 genes [28–32]. Efforts have been made to reconstruct the self-incompatibility response in *A. thaliana* by introducing functional SCR and SRK genes, and significant differences were observed in the stability and strength of the self-incompatibility traits among various ecotypes tested [31,33–37]. When *B. napus* self-incompatibility stigma genes (SLG, SRK and ARC1) were transformed into *A. thaliana*, the transgenic plants still produced seeds, and their stigmas failed to reject the corresponding self-incompatible *B. napus* W1 pollen [38]. Similarly, transgenic *A. thaliana* ecotype Col-0 plants expressing the *A. lyrata* SCRb-SRKb genes were fully self-fertile [33,35,39]. However, when the same experiments were conducted with the *A. thaliana* C24 ecotype, the transformation of the *AlSCRb-AlSRKb* genes resulted in transgenic plants showing a strong self-incompatibility response [33,35,40]. Self-incompatible *A. thaliana* C24 lines were also created using the *A. halleri* AhSCR1 and AhSRK1 genes of the most recessive S1 haplotype [41]. Finally, ARC1 was found to be a pseudogene in *A. thaliana*, and a homolog of MLPK, AtAPK1b, did not appear to be involved in regulating self-incompatibility in transgenic *A. thaliana*, suggesting that SRK-mediated signaling in transgenic *A. thaliana* might be different from the *Brassica* models [37,42]. This is also supported by differences in the post-pollination cellular responses of *Brassica* and *Arabidopsis* stigmas [15,16]. Nevertheless, stable self-incompatible *A. thaliana* were finally generated in the Col-0 ecotype when AlARC1 or BnARC1 were expressed along with the *AlSCRb-AlSRKb* transgenes [43].

Here, we further examine the question of whether *Brassica* and *Arabidopsis* self-incompatibility genes can share a common self-incompatibility signaling pathway in transgenic *A. thaliana* using a new set of self-incompatibility genes from *B. napus* and *A. halleri*. The *B. napus* S1-haplotype SCR/SP11 and SRK genes [44–46] along with BnARC1 were transformed into two *A. thaliana* accessions, Col-0 and C24. As both the pollen (BnSCR/SP11) and stigma (BnSRK1, BnARC1) factors were included for this study, this differs from a previous study [38] where only stigma *B. napus* factors were transformed. A new set of
Arabidopsis self-incompatibility genes from A. halleri S\textsubscript{13}-haplotype SCR/SP11 and SRK genes [47], along with AhARC1, were also tested into Col-0 as a comparison. The S\textsubscript{1}- and S\textsubscript{13}-haplotypes were previously found to be the most dominant S-haplotypes in B. napus and A. halleri, respectively [41,44,45]. Our results show that self-incompatibility genes from B. napus were not sufficient to restore the self-incompatibility in both the Col-0 and C24 ecotypes, while self-incompatibility was successfully transmitted into Col-0 with the A. halleri self-incompatibility genes.

2. Results

2.1. Reconstruction of ARC1-Mediated Self-Incompatibility in A. thaliana Col-0 using A. halleri Self-Incompatibility Genes

To examine if the SCR-SRK-ARC1 model is conserved using genes from another naturally self-incompatible Arabidopsis species, A. halleri SCR\textsubscript{13}-SRK\textsubscript{13} genes were introduced into A. thaliana Col-0 plants in the presence or absence of AhARC1, with all three transgenes in a single construct. Thirty and 34 independent T\textsubscript{1} Col-0 lines were generated with the addition of AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13} and AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13}-AhARC1, respectively (Table 1). The self-incompatibility phenotype of the transgenic plants was examined by staining their stigmas with aniline blue to visualize the pollen grains and the pollen tubes growing into papillar cells. Pollinated pistils from the different transgenic lines showed variations in the levels of rejection/acceptance of self-pollen, which was also reported by Indriolo et al. [43]. Accordingly, the phenotypes were defined as self-compatible (similar to Col-0), moderately self-incompatible (>5 pollen tubes/pistil, but visibly reduced compared to Col-0) or strongly self-incompatible (<5 pollen tubes/pistil).

| Transgenes       | Strongly Self-Incompatible | Moderately Self-Incompatible | Self-Compatible | Total No. T\textsubscript{1} Plants Tested |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------|
| AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13} | 0                          | 0                          | 30              | 30                                        |
| AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13}-AhARC1 | 4                          | 16                         | 14              | 34                                        |
| BnSCR\textsubscript{1}-BnSRK\textsubscript{1}     | 0                          | 0                          | 21              | 21                                        |
| BnSCR\textsubscript{1}-BnSRK\textsubscript{1}-BnARC1 | 0                          | 0                          | 12              | 12                                        |

All 30 independent transgenic T\textsubscript{1} plants with AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13} were found to be fully self-compatible, as they could accept self-pollen similarly to that observed for wild-type self-pollinated Col-0 plants in stage-14 stigmas (Table 1 and Figure 1A–D). In contrast, four out of 34 transgenic T\textsubscript{1} plants carrying AhARC1 along with AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13} showed strong self-incompatibility (Table 1 and Figure 1E,F). To ensure that the strong self-incompatibility phenotype was not caused by other reproduction factors, reciprocal crosses were performed between the transgenic lines and wild-type Col-0 plants, leading to complete self-compatibility (Supplementary Figure S1). In addition, 16 of the 34 AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13}-AhARC1 transgenic T\textsubscript{1} plants displayed moderate self-incompatibility, and the final 14 AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13}-AhARC1 transgenic T\textsubscript{1} plants were fully self-compatible (Table 1 and Figure 1G–J). The fact that only the combination of AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13}-AhARC1 transgenes could reconstruct self-incompatibility in the A. thaliana Col-0 ecotype confirms a role for ARC1 in this self-pollen rejection signaling pathway, as previously reported by Indriolo et al. [43].
Figure 1. Pollen tube germination and growth in transgenic *A. thaliana* Col-0 plants. (A,B) Wild-type *A. thaliana* Col-0 self-pollinated stigma. (C,D) Transgenic *A. thaliana* Col-0 *AhSCR*<sub>13</sub>-*AhSRK*<sub>13</sub> line-4 self-pollinated stigma. (E–J) Transgenic *A. thaliana* Col-0 *AhSCR*<sub>13</sub>-*AhSRK*<sub>13</sub>-*AhARC1* line-2, -1 and -18 self-pollinated stigmas. Differential interference contrast (DIC) and aniline blue-stained images are shown for each sample. SC: self-compatible; SI: self-incompatible. Bars = 50 µm.

2.2. Self-Incompatibility Related Genes of *B. napus* are Not Sufficient to Restore Self-Incompatibility in both *A. thaliana* Col-0 and C24 Ecotypes

To test whether the *B. napus SCR<sub>T</sub>-SRK<sub>T</sub>* genes were sufficient to restore self-incompatibility in *A. thaliana* plants, and to test if *BnARC1* was also required, the *BnSCR<sub>T</sub>*-*BnSRK<sub>T</sub>* genes were transformed with or without *BnARC1* into the *A. thaliana* Col-0 and C24 ecotypes. Independent transgenic plants were obtained for each transformation event, and the pollination phenotypes were noted (Tables 1 and 2). Twenty-one independent T<sub>1</sub> Col-0 plants were obtained with the transformation of *BnSCR<sub>T</sub>*-*BnSRK<sub>T</sub>* and all of them exhibited a self-compatible phenotype in stage-14 self-pollinated pistils stained with aniline blue (Table 1 and Figure 2A–D). Similarly, 13 independent T<sub>1</sub> C24 lines were created with the *BnSCR<sub>T</sub>*-*BnSRK<sub>T</sub>* transgenes and showed a similar level of self-pollen acceptance to wild-type C24 plants at developmental stage 14 (Table 2 and Figure 2G–J). Previous studies have reported that *A. thaliana* Col-0 plants carrying the *A. lyrata SCRb-SRKb* transgenes were fully self-compatible, while for *A. thaliana* C24 plants transformed with the *SCRb-SRKb* transgenes, self-incompatibility was successfully reinstated [33,35,40]. However, the introduction of *B. napus BnSCR<sub>T</sub>*-*BnSRK<sub>T</sub>* into C24 plants failed to restore self-incompatibility, indicating that *BnSCR<sub>T</sub>*-*BnSRK<sub>T</sub>* may need other unknown factors in the self-incompatibility pathway that do not exist in the C24 ecotype.

Table 2. Phenotypes of transgenic *A. thaliana* C24 plants.

| Transgenes         | Self-Incompatible | Self-Compatible | Total No. T<sub>1</sub> Plants Tested |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| *BnSCR<sub>T</sub>*-*BnSRK<sub>T</sub>* | 0                 | 13              | 13                                    |
| *BnSCR<sub>T</sub>*-*BnSRK<sub>T</sub>*-*BnARC1* | 0                 | 9               | 9                                     |
To examine if the transformation of \( \text{BnARC1} \) along with \( \text{BnSCR1-BnSRK1} \) could produce a functional self-incompatible pathway in Col-0 and C24 plants, 12 and 9 independent \( T_1 \) Col-0 and C24 plants were generated, respectively. The phenotypes of these transgenic lines were again scored for self-pollen rejection by aniline blue staining. Unexpectedly and in contrast to the \( \text{AhSCR13-AhSRK13-AhARC1} \) transgenic Col-0 plants, all Col-0 lines carrying \( \text{BnSCR1-BnSRK1-BnARC1} \) genes showed self-compatible phenotypes (Table 1 and Figure 2E,F). In addition, no self-incompatible or moderately self-incompatible phenotypes were observed in the \( \text{BnSCR1-BnSRK1-BnARC1} \) transgenic C24 lines (Table 2 and Figure 2K,L). These results indicate that the addition of \( \text{BnARC1} \) with \( \text{BnSCR1-BnSRK1} \) also does not work to restore the self-incompatibility signaling pathway in the \( \text{A. thaliana} \) Col-0 and C24 ecotypes.

### 2.3. Seed Production is Significantly Reduced in Col-0 Lines Expressing \( \text{AhSCR13-AhSRK13-AhARC1} \)

As the transformation of \( \text{SCRb-SRKb-ARC1} \) was previously found to lead to an approach herkogamous phenotype in \( \text{A. thaliana} \) Col-0 and Sha plants [43], we examined whether the transgenic \( \text{SCR-SRK-ARC1} \) Col-0 and C24 lines displayed any changes in their floral morphology. The approach herkogamous phenotype was only exhibited in the strongly self-incompatible Col-0 plants carrying the \( \text{AhSCR13-AhSRK13-AhARC1} \) transgenes (Figure 3J,K and Supplementary Figure S2). The physical stigma-anther separation (SAS) was measured in flowers from wild-type and representative self-incompatible Col-0 plants. A positive SAS value was indicative of approach herkogamy which is consistent with the self-incompatible phenotype in the \( \text{AhSCR13-AhSRK13-AhARC1} \) plants (Figure 3L).
However, all the self-compatible transgenic lines showed a normal flower trait with the anthers positioned above the stigmas, similar to wild-type Col-0 and C24 plants, which ensures that the released pollen falls onto the stigmas (Supplementary Figure S2). Therefore, the approach herkogamous phenotype correlates with the degree of self-incompatibility in the transgenic A. thaliana plants.

**Figure 3.** Branches with siliques from transgenic A. thaliana Col-0 and C24 plants following self-pollination and the approach herkogamy phenotype in AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13}-AhARC1 line 2. (A,G) Wild-type Col-0 and C24 branches with well-developed siliques. (B–F) Branches with siliques from transgenic A. thaliana Col-0 lines: BnSCR\textsubscript{1}-BnSRK\textsubscript{1} line 86, BnSCR\textsubscript{1}-BnSRK\textsubscript{1}-BnARC1 line 3, AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13} line 5, AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13}-AhARC1 lines 12 and 21. (H,I) Branches with siliques from transgenic A. thaliana C24 lines: BnSCR\textsubscript{1}-BnSRK\textsubscript{1} line 61 and BnSCR\textsubscript{1}-BnSRK\textsubscript{1}-BnARC1 line 5. SC: self-compatible; SI: self-incompatible. Bars = 1 cm. (J) Wild-type Col-0 and (K) AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13}-AhARC1 line-2 flowers. Petals and sepals have been removed to allow a clearer view of the anther positions relative to the stigma. (L) Stigma-anther separation (SAS) measurements. Col-0 flowers typically have a reverse herkogamy phenotype, which is represented by a negative SAS value. In contrast, the positive SAS value for AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13}-AhARC1 line-2 flowers indicates an approach herkogamy phenotype. SAS measurements were taken as described in Luo and Widmer 2013 [48] and Indriolo et al. 2014 [43]. \( n = 10 \) flowers.

Since self-incompatibility has been defined as a reproductive mechanism that prevents self-fertilization, seed production of representative transgenic Col-0 and C24 lines was examined. The plants were allowed to self-fertilize naturally, and photographs of branches with siliques from plants with each combination of transgenes are shown in Figure 3. The wild-type A. thaliana Col-0 and C24 plants are self-compatible and should produce well-developed siliques full of seeds with self-pollination (Figure 3A,G). The transgenic Col-0 plants with AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13}, AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13}-AhARC1, BnSCR\textsubscript{1}-BnSRK\textsubscript{1} or BnSCR\textsubscript{1}-BnSRK\textsubscript{1}-BnARC1 that did not display self-incompatibility produced siliques with similar sizes to the wild-type Col-0 plants (Figure 3B–D,F). Regular-sized siliques were also observed in the self-compatible transgenic C24 plants carrying the BnSCR\textsubscript{1}-BnSRK\textsubscript{1} or BnSCR\textsubscript{1}-BnSRK\textsubscript{1}-BnARC1 transgenes (Figure 3H,I). However, the strongly self-incompatible transgenic Col-0 plants with the AhSCR\textsubscript{13}-AhSRK\textsubscript{13}-AhARC1 transgenes displayed much smaller silique sizes, as a result of very reduced seed production with self-pollination (Figure 3E).
Fully developed siliques from each of these lines were harvested and dissected to record the number of seeds per silique (Figure 4). Siliques from wild-type A. thaliana Col-0 plants produced an average of 44.7 seeds/silique via self-pollination (Figure 4A). Similarly, the siliques from the three self-compatible AhSCR13-AhSRK13 transgenic Col-0 plants contained 40.4, 42.5 and 46.1 seeds/silique on average, respectively, showing no significant difference with wild-type Col-0 (Figure 4A). The siliques of the three self-compatible BnSCR1-BnSRK1 and BnSCR1-BnSRK1-BnARC1 transgenic Col-0 plants generated similar averages of 48.5, 47, 47.3, and 47.2, 47.3, 48.5 seeds/silique, respectively (Figure 4A). Thus, these self-compatible transgenic Col-0 lines displayed an equivalent ability to accept self-pollen compared to the wild-type Col-0 plants. The addition of BnARC1 with BnSCR1-BnSRK1 did not promote the self-pollen rejection in A. thaliana Col-0. In contrast, some reduction in the number of seeds/silique was observed for the three moderately self-incompatible AhSCR13-AhSRK13-AhARC1 transgenic Col-0 lines, with averages of 28.6, 23.8, and 26.5 seeds/silique, respectively (Figure 4A). In addition, significant reduction in seed production was observed for the three strong self-incompatible AhSCR13-AhSRK13-AhARC1 transgenic Col-0 lines following self-pollination, with the lowest value of 1.5 seeds/silique scored for AhSCR13-AhSRK13-AhARC1 line 2 (Figure 4A). Therefore, the expression of AhARC1 along with AhSCR13-AhSRK13 in A. thaliana Col-0 induced a strong self-incompatibility response resulting in very reduced seed production.

Figure 4. Seed set of transgenic A. thaliana Col-0 and C24 plants following self-pollination. (A) Mean seeds/silique of self-pollinated wild-type A. thaliana Col-0 and transgenic Col-0 AhSCR13-AhSRK13 and AhSCR13-AhSRK13-AhARC1 lines. Means with significant differences at p < 0.05 are shown with different letters (one-way ANOVA with Tukey-HSD post-hoc tests). n = 10 siliques. (B) Mean seeds/silique of self-pollinated wild-type A. thaliana Col-0 and C24 plants, as well as transgenic Col-0 and C24 BnSCR1-BnSRK1 and BnSCR1-BnSRK1-BnARC1 lines. Significant difference at p < 0.05 calculated by one-way ANOVA with Tukey-HSD post-hoc tests. n = 10 siliques. Error bars indicate SE. SC: self-compatible; SI: self-incompatible.

Mature siliques of natural self-pollination transgenic A. thaliana C24 lines were also examined for seed set and compared to wild-type A. thaliana C24 plants, which produced an average of 48.9 seeds/silique (Figure 4B). All the self-compatible BnSCR1-BnSRK1 and BnSCR1-BnSRK1-BnARC1
transgenic C24 lines showed similarly high numbers of seeds/ silique following self-pollination, which ranged from 44.3 to 47.5 seeds/ silique (Figure 4B). Accordingly, the *B. napus* SCR₁-SRK₁ transgenes in the *A. thaliana* C24 ecotype could not elicit a self-incompatibility response, and the addition of BnARC₁ with BnSCR₁-BnSRK₁ did not result in any reduction of seed set in the C24 ecotype.

2.4. The Expression Levels of the SCR-SRK-ARC₁ Transgenes Are Not Associated with the Intensity of Self-Incompatibility Response in *A. thaliana*

Given the differences observed in the ability of the AhSCR₁₃-AhSRK₁₃-AhARC₁ and BnSCR₁-BnSRK₁-BnARC₁ transgenes to confer self-incompatibility in *A. thaliana*, the transgene expression levels were investigated to reveal if they correlated with the observed phenotypes. Mature buds from representative transgenic lines were harvested to test the relative expression levels of each transgene using quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). Overall, the transgene expression levels were quite variable, and there was no correlation between a particular transgene expression level and the self-incompatibility trait (Figure 5). For example, the strongly self-incompatible AhSCR₁₃-AhSRK₁₃-AhARC₁ transgenic Col-0 plants tended to show lower levels of relative expression for all three transgenes (Figure 5A–C). In fact, the highest relative expression level for each transgene was detected in the fully self-compatible AhSCR₁₃-AhSRK₁₃-AhARC₁ transgenic lines: line 18 (AhSRK₁₃), line 21 (AhSCR₁₃) and line 28 (AhARC₁) (Figure 5A–C). Thus, the expression level of these transgenes did not account for the intensity of self-incompatibility in transgenic *A. thaliana* Col-0 lines.

![Graphs showing relative expression levels of AhSCR13, AhSRK13, AhARC1, BnSCR1, BnSRK1, and BnARC1 in transgenic A. thaliana Col-0 and C24 plants.](image_url)
Although the addition of the \( BnSCR_1-BnSRK_1 \) and \( BnSCR_1-BnSRK_1-BnARC1 \) transgenes did not restore the self-incompatibility in the \( A. thaliana \) Col-0 and C24 ecotypes, the expression levels of these transgenes were also quantified to confirm that they were successfully being expressed in the transgenic lines (Figure 5D–F). The \( BnSCR_1 \) transgene tended to show quite high relative expression levels, particularly in the C24 ecotype (Figure 5D), and the relative levels in both Col-0 and C24 showed similar ranges to that observed for \( AhSCR_{13} \) (Figure 5A). Conversely, the \( BnSRK_1 \) relative expression levels were generally lower than those observed for several of the transgenic lines carrying the \( AhSRK_{13} \) transgene (Figure 5B,E). However, the \( BnSCR_1-BnSRK_1-BnARC1 \) transgenic lines did have \( BnSRK_1 \) expression levels that were comparable to the \( AhSRK_{13} \) transgene in the strongly self-incompatible \( AhSCR_{13}-AhSRK_{13}-AhARC1 \) transgenic lines (Figure 5B,E). Finally, the \( BnARC1 \) expression levels were generally higher than the observed relative expression values for the \( AhARC1 \) (Figure 5C,F). Thus, no trend was seen in the various expression levels for the \( BnSCR_1-BnSRK_1-BnARC1 \) transgenes that could account for lack of self-pollen rejection in both the \( A. thaliana \) Col-0 and C24 transgenic plants. This suggests that the \( B. napus \) self-incompatibility signaling pathway cannot be reconstructed in the \( A. thaliana \) Col-0 and C24 ecotypes.

3. Discussion

The transition to selfing has made the model plant \( A. thaliana \) an ideal system for the reconstruction of self-incompatibility. It is believed that the loss-of-function mutations in \( SRK \) and \( SCR \) genes has led to self-fertility in all \( A. thaliana \) ecotypes \cite{28,30–32,35,49–51}. Self-incompatibility has been restored in some \( A. thaliana \) ecotypes by introducing functional \( A. lyrata \) SCR and \( SRK \) genes, while several other ecotypes have remained self-fertile \cite{31,33,35,40,43}. Notably, transgenic \( A. thaliana \) expressing \( A. lyrata \) \( SCRb-SRKb \) in the C24 ecotype were self-incompatible and produced very few seeds, while the same combination in the Col-0 ecotype resulted in full seed production with selfing \cite{33,35,40}. On the other hand, the addition of \( ARC1 \) with \( A. lyrata \) \( SCRb-SRKb \) in the Col-0 ecotype resulted in self-incompatible plants with reduced seed set \cite{43}.

In this study, we tested the reconstitution of the \( SCR-SRK-ARC1 \) signaling pathway in self-compatible \( A. thaliana \) using new sets of self-incompatibility genes from two other species, self-incompatible \( B. napus \) and \( A. halleri \). Our results showed that self-pollen rejection was achieved only in the \( A. thaliana \) \( AhSCR_{13}-AhSRK_{13}-AhARC1 \) Col-0 lines. The other combination of the \( AhSCR_{13}-AhSRK_{13} \) transgenes was not sufficient to restore self-incompatibility in \( A. thaliana \) Col-0 ecotypes, similar to that previously observed \cite{43}. Interestingly, only flowers from the \( AhSCR_{13}-AhSRK_{13}-AhARC1 \) strongly self-incompatible Col-0 plants displayed an approach herkogamous phenotype, which had been previously detected with the \( SCRb-SRKb-ARC1 \) transgene combination \cite{43}. The self-compatible \( A. thaliana \) Col-0 \( AhSCR_{13}-AhSRK_{13}-AhARC1 \) plants maintained the same floral phenotype as wild-type Col-0 plants, indicating that the approach herkogamous phenotype is associated with the intensity of self-incompatibility of the transgenic plants. The expression of \( SRKb \) was previously found to enhance pistil elongation and stigma exertion in the \( rdr6 \) mutant background \cite{52}. Thus, the self-incompatibility signaling transduction mediated by \( AhSCR_{13}-AhSRK_{13}-AhARC1 \) might also activate downstream components that are regulating pistil development.

As nearly half of the \( A. thaliana \) Col-0 \( AhSCR_{13}-AhSRK_{13}-AhARC1 \) plants still exhibited a self-compatible phenotype, quantitative RT-PCR was conducted to test if the expression levels of the transgenes were correlated with the ability of self-pollen rejection. However, there was no clear relationship between the relative expression levels of the three transgenes and the intensity of self-incompatibility response. Thus, the trigger for self-pollen rejection in the transgenic \( A. thaliana \) Col-0 \( AhSCR_{13}-AhSRK_{13}-AhARC1 \) lines did not appear to be dependent on the expression levels of the transgenes. Unexpectedly, no self-incompatible lines were generated in both the \( A. thaliana \) Col-0 and C24 ecotypes with the transformation of \( BnSCR_1-BnSRK_1 \) or \( BnSCR_1-BnSRK_1-BnARC1 \) genes. These \( B. napus \) transgenes displayed similar expression patterns to the \( A. halleri \) transgenes, and so their
relative expression levels did not appear to account for the lack of a self-incompatibility phenotype. A potential reason may be the design of these transgenes, where only coding sequences were cloned and driven by promoters from other genes, potentially impacting their expression. As the BnARC1 transgene was previously shown to be functional in the A. thaliana Col-0 background [43], it is more likely that BnSCR1 or BnSRK1 are not functional in A. thaliana. Similar, the BnSCR1 construct was found to be functional in B. napus [46], and so BnSRK1 would be the only previously untested construct.

One other possible reason is that Arabidopsis and Brassica species may not completely share the same SRK-mediated self-incompatibility signaling pathway. These differences may potentially be due to B. napus SRK\(^1\) activity, as B. napus ARC1 was previously shown to interact with A. lyrata SRK, and the A. lyrata SCR\(b\)-SRK\(b\) and B. napus ARC1 transgenes produced self-incompatible Col-0 transgenic plants [43,53]. Ideally, the potential activity of the B. napus transgenes could be tested by reciprocal pollinations between the A. thaliana Col-0 BnSCR1-BnSRK1-BnARC1 lines and the B. napus S1 haplotype transgenic line [46]. However, large physical differences in pollen and pistil sizes between these species (Supplementary Figure S2, [38]) as well as potential complications from interspecies crosses may obscure any self-incompatibility reactions.

There are several pieces of evidence that would support the idea of differences in the Brassica and Arabidopsis SRK-mediated signaling pathways. A. thaliana diverged from A. lyrata about 10 MYA and diverged from Brassica approximately 24 MYA [54–58]. As would be expected within the Arabidopsis genus, the inactivated SCR and SRK alleles in A. thaliana share high sequence similarity with the functional S-haplotype genes in A. lyrata and A. halleri [28,30,31,34,35,50,59,60]. In contrast, there is high variation in their sequences when compared to Brassica SP11/SCR and SRK alleles, and so this variation could lead to differences in SRK interactions with other downstream components (the ARC1 interaction is conserved). The ability of the SCR\(b\)-SRK\(b\) transgenes to restore self-incompatibility in the C24 ecotype (i.e., no ARC1) supports the idea that C24 plants might employ other unknown self-incompatibility signaling players; for example, a strong calcium flux mediated by glutamate receptor-like channels has been shown to occur in self-incompatible C24 stigmas [33,35,40]. In addition, while MLPK is required for self-incompatibility both in B. rapa and B. napus [17,18], an A. thaliana homolog of MLPK (APK1b) was found to be unfunctional in regulating the transient self-incompatibility response observed in SCR\(b\)-SRK\(b\) Col-0 lines [42]. Finally, there are differences in the cellular responses that take place in the stigmatic papillae of Brassica and Arabidopsis species, such as autophagy and the trafficking of vesicles and multivesicular bodies [16,61]. The potential redundancy shaped by genome triplication and the formation of distinct subgenomes in Brassica species may have increased robustness in the self-incompatibility system, allowing further evolution of the underlying signaling pathways and distinction from Arabidopsis species [62,63].

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the previously untested A. halleri S13-haplotype genes, along with AhARC1, can restore the self-incompatibility phenotype in A. thaliana Col-0 plants, and that AhARC1 is required along with AhSCR\(13\)-AhSRK\(13\) for self-pollen rejection in the Col-0 ecotype. The generation of these self-incompatible A. thaliana AhSCR\(13\)-AhSRK\(13\)-AhARC1 Col-0 plants will be a very useful resource for further studies on sporophytic self-incompatibility in A. thaliana. Our results also show that the equivalent set of self-incompatibility genes from Brassica (BnSRK\(1\), BnSCR\(1\) and BnARC1) was not sufficient to establish self-incompatibility in both the A. thaliana Col-0 and C24 ecotypes. These observations indicate that the transfer of self-incompatibility into A. thaliana is based on the phylogenetic relationships with the transgene donor, and it would be good to verify this by testing other combinations of SP11/SCR and SRK alleles from different Brassicaceae species. Since the Brassica-specific MLPK gene has been proven to be necessary for self-incompatibility in Brassica species [17,18], it would be worth transforming B. napus SCR-SRK-MLPK-ARC1 all together into A. thaliana to determine if MLPK is the missing component for reconstructing the Brassica self-incompatibility pathway. As well, the reciprocal experiment of transferring Arabidopsis SCR and SRK genes into self-compatible B. napus would be quite interesting in order to see if the Arabidopsis SCR and SRK genes can restore
self-incompatibility in a *Brassica* species, or if the diversification of the self-incompatibility pathway extends in both directions.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Materials

The wild-type *A. thaliana* Col-0 and C24 plants and the transgenic lines were grown under long-day conditions in a greenhouse with a 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod at 22 °C. The transgenic T1 plants were selected from seeds collected following floral dipping by being plated on 1/2 MS medium containing kanamycin.

4.2. Vector Construction and Plant Transformation

The functional promoter and CDS of *BrSP11-47* (the CDS sequence is the same as *BnSCR1*) was amplified from the pCAMBIA2301-1+4 vector [46]. And the Nopaline synthase polyadenylation signal (NosT) was amplified from the pCAMBIA2301 vector used as the terminator of *BnSCR1* [64]. These two fragments were subcloned into the Bsp120I and Psp1406I sites of the pORE_O4 binary vector, respectively [65]. The SLR1 promoter is expressed in particular in stigma of Arabidopsis, and was amplified from *B. napus* ‘Westar’ genome DNA using forward and reverse primers with *KpnI* and *XbaI* sites [66,67]. The full-length CDS of *BnSRK1* was cloned from ‘Westar’ cDNA with primers carrying *XbaI* and *BstEII* sites. The SLR1 promoter and *BnSRK1* CDS were joined using the *XbaI* site by being cloned into the pCAMBIA2301 vector, then the SLR1pro-*BnSRK1* fragment was amplified from the medium vector with primers with *Cfr9I* and *NotI* sites for directional cloning into the pORE_O4 vector. A 989 bp-terminator of *BnSRK1* was obtained from ‘Westar’ genome DNA and was cloned into the pORE_O4 vector. For the reconstitution of the *BnSCR1*-*BnSRK1*-*BnARC1* construct, the full-length CDS of *BnARC1* was amplified from ‘Westar’ cDNA with primers with *SalI* and *KpnI* restriction sites. *BnARC1* was also driven by the SLR1 promoter, which was amplified using primers with *HindIII* and *SalI* sites that were then cloned into the pCAMBIA2301 vector to be joined. The SLR1pro-*BnARC1* fragment was further cloned by primers carrying the *KpnI* site and ligated into the pORE_O4 vector.

All promoters and genes from the *A. halleri* S13 haplotype [47] and *AhARC1* together with its promoter were synthesized by Invitrogen GeneArt (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). It should be noted that *AhSRK1* was driven by *AhSRK20* promoter, since *AhSRK13* and *AhSRK20* were codominant in *A. halleri* [41]. *AhSRK20* promoter, *AhARC1pro-AhARC1*, *AhSCR13pro-AhSCR13* and *AhSRK13* were ligated into the pORE_O4 vector using the *Cfr9I-NotI*, *XbaI*, *Bsp120I* and *NotI-Access* sites or pairs of sites in the order they were mentioned (see Supplementary File S1 for further details and sequences). *AhARC1* was not cloned into the pORE_O4 vector for the combination of *AhSCR13-AhSRK13* transgenes. All PCR products were amplified with PrimeSTAR HS DNA polymerase (Takara, Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan), and the PCR products were then ligated to pGEM-T Easy (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and sequenced. These constructs were introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* GV3101 host cells. PCR primers used in vector construction steps are listed in Supplementary Table S1. The plant transformation was performed following the floral-dip method [49,68].

4.3. Pollination Assays

All the floral buds of the *A. thaliana* Col-0 and C24 transgenic plants were emasculated and covered with a paper bag one day before anthesis to avoid pollen contamination. Pollinations were performed the next day (day of anthesis). The pistil was cut at the peduncle 4 h after pollination, then fixed for 2 h in ethanol–acetic acid (3:1), softened in 1 N NaOH at 60 °C for 1 h and stained with 0.01% (w/v) decolorized aniline blue for 2.5 h in 2% (w/v) *K3PO4*. Pistils were gently squashed onto a microscopic slide glass by placing the cover glass over the pistils. Samples were examined under a fluorescence microscope (Eclipse 80i, Nikon, Minato, Tokyo, Japan).
4.4. Quantitative RT-PCR Assays

Total RNAs were extracted using the SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega Madison, WI, USA). The RNA samples were quantified using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA), and 1 µg RNA of each sample was used to synthesize the first-strand cDNA with a Thermo RT kit (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). The cDNA samples were used as templates of the qRT-PCR assays. The qRT-PCRs on the transgenic lines were performed using cDNA of the mature buds and 2× Power SYBR green (Toyobo, Osaka, Osaka Prefecture, Japan). qRT-PCRs were then conducted on the CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), and the conditions used were a pre-denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by a three-step cycle of 10 s denaturation at 95 °C, 10 s annealing at 65 °C and a 30 s extension at 72 °C for 47 cycles with a melt curve. The results were calculated with CFX Manager Software (Bio-Rad Hercules, CA, USA). Primers used in qRT-PCR analysis are shown in Supplementary Table S2.

4.5. Accession Numbers

*BnSCR*$_1$ gene (Genbank accession AB270773), *BnSRK*$_1$ gene (Genbank accession AB270771), *BnARC1* gene (Genbank accession AF024625). Sequence data and accession numbers of *B. napus* can be found in http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/brassicanapus/. Sequence and accession numbers information for *A. thaliana* and *A. halleri* genes used in this study were obtained from TAIR and Phytozome.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2223-7747/8/12/570/s1. **Figure S1.** Pollen tube germination and growth on stigmas of wild-type *A. thaliana* Col-0 and transgenic Col-0 plants following reciprocal crossings. (A,B) Wild-type *A. thaliana* Col-0 stigma pollinated with pollen from transgenic strong self-incompatible Col-0 *AhSCR*$_{13}$-*AhSRK*$_{13}$-*AhARC1* line 2. (C,D) Transgenic strong self-incompatible Col-0 *AhSCR*$_{13}$-*AhSRK*$_{13}$-*AhARC1* line 2 stigma pollinated with pollen from wild-type *A. thaliana* Col-0 plant. Differential interference contrast (DIC) and aniline blue-stained images are shown for each sample. SC: self-compatible; SI: self-incompatible. Bars = 100 µm. **Figure S2.** Flowers from wild-type *B. napus* ‘Westar’, *A. thaliana* Col-0 and C24, and transgenic *A. thaliana* Col-0 and C24 plants. (A,B,H) Flowers of wild-type *B. napus* ‘Westar’, *A. thaliana* Col-0 and C24 plants. (C-G) Flowers of transgenic *A. thaliana* Col-0 lines: *BnSCR*$_1$-*BnSRK*$_1$ line 12, *BnSCR*$_1$-*BnSRK*$_1$-*BnARC1* line 9, *AhSCR*$_{13}$-*AhSRK*$_{13}$ line 5, and *AhSCR*$_{13}$-*AhSRK*$_{13}$-*AhARC1* lines 2 and 21. (I,J) flowers of transgenic *A. thaliana* C24 lines: *BnSCR*$_1$-*BnSRK*$_1$ line 90, and *BnSCR*$_1$-*BnSRK*$_1$-*BnARC1* line 16. SC: self-compatible; SI: self-incompatible. Bar = 1 mm in (A) and bars = 200 µm in (B-J). **Table S1.** Primers used in qRT-PCR assay. **Supplementary File S1.** Map and sequences for *AhSCR*$_{13}$-*AhSRK*$_{13}$-*AhARC1*.
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