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SCATTERING FROM A CORRUGATED THICK SCREEN
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¹College of Engineering, Univ. of Siena, Via Roma 56, Siena, Italy
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1. INTRODUCTION

A closed form, high-frequency solution is presented for the scattering in the near zone by a semi-infinite thick screen, when it is illuminated by a line source at finite distance. This solution is derived for a thick screen with perfectly conducting side walls, and either perfectly conducting or artificially soft boundary condition [1] on the top face joining the two wedges. This last condition is practically obtained by etching on this face a quarter of wavelength deep corrugations with a small periodicity with respect to the wavelength. Owing to the particular properties of the artificially soft surface, a strong decoupling effect in the shadow region is achieved for both polarizations; thus, an effective shielding from undesired interferences is obtained.

The formulation adopted in this paper, which is based on the spectral approach presented in [2], [3], is briefly summarized in Sect. 2. The artificially soft boundary condition is accounted for by the spectral Green's function derived in [4], [5]. The above procedure leads to a double spectral integral that is asymptotically evaluated in Sect. 3. Thus, a high-frequency solution is obtained, that is described as a superposition of different diffracted field contributions, including doubly diffracted rays. This solution uniformly describes the total field, including those aspects where the transition regions of the diffracted fields from the two edges overlap, and an ordinary application of standard UTD [6] fails. Numerical results are presented and discussed in Sect. 4 in order to emphasize the shielding effectiveness of the corrugated screen.

2. FORMULATION

The geometry of the problem is shown in Fig. 1. Let us define a cylindrical coordinate system (ρ, φ) [1] at each edge i = 1, 2. A uniform either electric (TM) or magnetic (TE) line source illumination is assumed. Also, let us denote by P' = (ρ', φ') the source point and by t the thickness of the screen. The incident field at any point P = (ρ, φ) is either

\[ E_\parallel = -jkI_\parallel \psi(P, P') \quad \text{or} \quad H_\parallel = -j\frac{k}{2} I_m \psi(P, P') \]  

(1)

for either TM or TE case, respectively, where

\[ \psi(P, P') = \frac{1}{4j} H_0^1(k|P - P'|), \]  

(2)

and I_\parallel, I_m are the amplitudes of the electric and magnetic currents.
For the sake of simplicity in the notation we deal with the normalized scalar potential $\psi$.

The total field is represented as the sum of the GO field plus singly diffracted fields from edges 1 and 2, and doubly diffracted fields. In order to calculate the doubly diffracted contribution, the same formulation as that used in [2],[3] is used, which is summarized hereinafter. First, the response of the first edge to the line source excitation is represented in terms of a cylindrical wave spectrum. Next, each cylindrical spectral source is used as the incident field at the second edge. Then, the near field response of the second wedge is employed to obtain, by spectral synthesis, a double integral representation of the doubly diffracted field

$$\psi_{12}^{dd} = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{p,q=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{p+q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H_0^{(1)}(kR(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)) \cdot F_1(\Phi_1, \sigma_1) \cdot F_2(\Phi_2, \sigma_2) \, d\sigma_1 \, d\sigma_2$$

in which

$$R(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \sqrt{\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 + 2\rho_1 \rho_2 \cos(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)}$$

In (3), the spectral functions $F_i$ have different expressions for the different cases that are shown in Fig. 1 [4],[5]; i.e.,

$$F_i(\Phi, \alpha) = \frac{1}{h_i} \frac{f_i(\Phi, \alpha)}{\cos \alpha_i - \cos \Phi}$$

in which

$$f_i(\Phi, \alpha) = \begin{cases} h_i \sin \Phi_i, & \text{for TM polarization} \\ h_i \sin \Phi_i, & \text{for TE polarization} \end{cases}$$

where superscripts $h, a$ denote the TE, polarization for hard (Fig 1a), artificially soft (Fig 1b), respectively, and $s$ the TM, polarization for both configurations. Furthermore, $\Phi_i = \phi_i + (-1)^i \pi$; $\Phi_j = \phi_j + (-1)^j \pi$. It is rather apparent that expression (8) explicitly satisfies reciprocity.

An analogous double diffraction contribution $\psi_{11}^{dd}$ arises from the reverse mechanism $2\rightarrow 1$.

![Fig. 1 Geometry of the problem](image-url)
3. HIGH-FREQUENCY SOLUTION.

The double spectral integral representation for $\psi_{12}^{dd}$ is now asymptotically evaluated to find a uniform high-frequency expression. To this end, it is seen that the integrand in (8) exhibits a two dimensional, stationary phase point at $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (0,0)$, that provides the dominant contribution. Furthermore, $F_1(\Phi^i, \alpha_1)$ and $F_2(\Phi^i, \alpha_2)$ exhibit pole singularities that independently occur in the two spectral variables. These poles may occur close to and at the stationary point; thus, they have to be appropriately accounted for. The uniform asymptotic evaluation of $\psi_{12}^{dd}$ is performed by considering the nearest poles to the saddle point. It is worth noting that the functions $F_1$ are either even or odd with respect to the integration variable for either hard $(h)$ or artificially soft $(a)$ and soft $(s)$ cases. In these latter cases, the integrand vanish at the saddle point; thus, requiring a more accurate asymptotic evaluation, as that in [3]. This leads to

$$\psi_{12}^{dd} \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}k} \frac{e^{-j(\rho_1 + \rho_2)}}{\sqrt{\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2}} D_{12}^{a,s}$$

where $D_{12}^{a,s}$ are the double diffraction coefficients for hard $(h)$, artificially soft $(a)$ and soft $(s)$ cases, that are expressed as

$$D_{12}^{h} = \frac{1}{4\pi^3k} \sum_{p,q=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p+q}}{n_1 n_2} \cos\left(\frac{\Phi^i}{2n_1}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\Phi^i}{2n_2}\right) F(a_p,b_q,w),$$

$$D_{12}^{a} = \frac{1}{4\pi^3k} \sum_{p,q=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p+q}}{n_1 n_2} \cos\left(\frac{\Phi^i}{2n_1}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\Phi^i}{2n_2}\right) F(a_p,b_q,w),$$

and

$$D_{12}^{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi^3k} \sum_{p,q=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p+q}}{n_1 n_2} \csc\left(\frac{\Phi^i}{2n_1}\right) \csc\left(\frac{\Phi^i}{2n_2}\right) F(a_p,b_q,w).$$

Expressions (8) involve the transition functions

$$F(a,b,w) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1-w^2}} \left[ G(a, \frac{w+1}{\sqrt{1-w}}) + G(b, \frac{w+1}{\sqrt{1-w}}) + G(a, \frac{w-1}{\sqrt{1-w}}) + G(b, \frac{w-1}{\sqrt{1-w}}) \right]$$

and

$$F(a,b,w) = -\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{1-w^2}} \left[ G(a, \frac{w+1}{\sqrt{1-w}}) - G(b, \frac{w+1}{\sqrt{1-w}}) - G(a, \frac{w-1}{\sqrt{1-w}}) + G(b, \frac{w-1}{\sqrt{1-w}}) \right].$$

in which $G$ is the Generalized Fresnel Integral defined as in [7] where a very simple algorithm is suggested for its numerical computation. The distance parameters involved in the transition functions are:

$$a_p = \sqrt{2k \rho_1^2 + \ell} \sin\left(\frac{\Phi^i - 2n_1 N_p \pi}{2}\right), \quad b_q = \sqrt{2k \rho_2^2 + \ell} \sin\left(\frac{\Phi^i - 2n_2 N_q \pi}{2}\right)$$

476
where $N^p, N^q$ are integers defined as in the standard UTD [6], and

\[ w = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{(\rho_1 + \delta)(\delta + \rho_2)}} \]  

(11)

4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Several numerical results have been calculated. One example is shown in Fig. 2 which refers to a thickness $\delta=\lambda/4$. There, the total field is plotted when the observation point moves from the lit to shadowed face as depicted in the inset. It is seen that in the soft and artificially soft cases the field in the shadow region is much weaker than that in the hard case. This emphasizes that the corrugations on the top face provides a strong shielding effect even for TE polarization. Indeed even for such a small thickness the shielding effect in the shadow region for the TE$^p_0$ case is improved by about 10 dB. Increasing the thickness of the corrugated face dramatically increases the shielding in the shadow region.

![Fig. 2 Electric field amplitude. TE$^p_0$, perfectly conducting (dashed line); TE$^p_0$, artificially soft (solid line); TM$^p_0$, (dotted line) ]
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