Design and identification of novel annomontine analogues against SARS-CoV-2: An in-silico approach
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A B S T R A C T

Aims: COVID-19 has currently emerged as the major global pandemic affecting the lives of people across the globe. It broke out from Wuhan Province of China, first reported to WHO on 31st December 2019 as “Pneumonia of unknown cause”. Over time more people were infected with this virus, and the only tactic to ensure safety was to take precautionary measures due to the lack of any effective treatment or vaccines. As a result of unavailability of desired efficacy for previously repurposed drugs, exploring novel scaffolds against the virus has become the need of the hour.

Main methods: In the present study, 23 new annomontine analogues were designed representing β-Carboline based scaffolds. A hypothesis on its role as an effective ligand was laid for target-specific binding in SARS-CoV-2. These molecules were used for molecular docking analysis against the multiple possible drug targets using the Maestro Interface. To ensure the drug safety of these molecules ADME/Tox analysis was also performed.

Key findings: The molecular docking analysis of the 23 novel molecules indicated the efficiency of these derivatives against COVID-19. The efficiency of molecules was computed by the summation of the docking score against each target defined as LigE Score and compared against Hydroxycholoquine as a standard. Based on the docking score, the majority of the annomontine derivatives were found to have increased binding affinity with targets as compared to hydroxycholoquine.

Significance: Due to the lack of efficiency, effectiveness, and failure of already repurposed drugs against the COVID-19, the exploration of the novel scaffold that can act as effective treatment is much needed. The current study hence emphasizes the potential of Annomontine based - β-Carboline derivatives as a potential drug candidate against COVID-19.

1. Introduction

COVID-19 pandemic broke out from Wuhan Province of China, first reported to WHO on 31st December 2019 as “Pneumonia of unknown cause”. As of 16th August 2020, there were confirmed 21,260,760 infective cases and 761,018 death cases globally (Table 1). In India, according to situation update report-27, updated on 2nd August 2020, there are 1,695,988 confirmed cases and 36,511 deaths in around 32 states/UTs with numbers increasing at an exponential rate both in India and at global level. Severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 also referred to as SARS-COV-2, which is a 26.7 kb–31.7 kb positive-sense RNA virus covered with an envelope, causes COVID-19. It is spherical or pleomorphic in shape bearing projection of glycoprotein on its surface [1].

The symptoms of COVID-19, which appear post-incubation period of approximately 5.2 days include common symptoms such as cough, fever, fatigue, and soar throat while other symptoms are diarrhea, dysphonia, headache, coughing up blood, low level of lymphocytes and production of sputum [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The pathogenesis of COVID-19 infection is severe pneumonia, RNAemia with co-occurrences of acute cardiac injury and GGO (ground-glass opacities). Patients infected with COVID-19 show significantly increased blood levels of chemokine and cytokine such as IL7, IL8, TNF-alpha, VEGFA, and others. In severe cases, increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL2, IL10, and others can be found in blood reasoned for its severe progression [3].

SARS – CoV-2 belongs to β-CoV genera, showing 88% similarity with bat-SL-CoVZC45 and bat-SL-CoVZXC21 and 50% similarity with
MERS-CoV sequence [7]. The genome consists of about 10 ORFs, ORF1a and ORF1b which contribute to approximately 75% of its viral RNA are translated into two viral replicate polyproteins PP1a and PP1b [8]. PP1a/b are further processed into sixteen non-structural proteins forming viral replicate transcription complex [8, 9]. The remaining 25% genome composed of other ORFs encode the structural proteins i.e. spike (S), envelop (E), membrane (M), nucleocapsid (N), and other accessory proteins which do not play any role in its viral replication [8, 9]. Various studies have reported the entry of the COVID-19 virus into the host cell through the interaction of spike (S) glycoprotein binding with angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE 2) [10]. After entry of virus RNA genome into the host cell cytoplasm, it is translated into PP1a/b and structural proteins initiating the process of viral replication, envelop glycoproteins are inserted in the membrane of either golgi bodies or endoplasmic reticulum. The nucleocapsid formation takes place by the combination of genomic RNA and nucleocapsid RNA, after which the viral particles replicate in an intermediate compartment between the endoplasmic reticulum and golgi known as ERGIC. Finally, these viral particle fuses with the plasma membrane to release the virus [11, 12].

Currently, SARS-CoV-2 has no specific clinically proven antiviral agent to protect against its infection just like SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV [12, 13]. However, several potential therapeutic targets are available for either repurposing of already existing antiviral drugs or to develop novel and effective intervention methods.

A recent report has identified 322 high confidence SARS-CoV-2 – Human Protein-Protein interaction (PPI) among those, 66 are identified as druggable human protein or host factors [14]. Various existing drugs (Figure 1) are under trial for protection against SARS-CoV-2, among them remdesivir, which is administrated intravenously was expected to possess significant potential. Similarly, a couple of other drugs such as chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) in combination with azithromycin are under investigation [15, 16].

However, both hydroxychloroquine and remdesivir performed poorly in trials against COVID-19, therefore, further uprising the demand for new drugs and vaccines. In this study, we have designed novel natural product alkaloid based annomontine derivatives, which have been known for various biological activities over decades. In continuation to our ongoing research on the design and synthesis of bioactive molecules related to β-carboline derivatives, diversely functionalized annomontines are developed based on Figure 2 [17, 18].

Annomontine is a class of heterocyclic alkaloids extracted from the trunk and root bark of certain plants belonging to the Annonaceae family and structurally represented by pyrimidine substituted β-carbolines [19, 20]. The β-carboline alkaloids in turn can be categorized as an indole alkaloid that contains a mutual tricyclic pyrido indole ring structure. β-carbolines can be further subdivided and identified according to the degree of saturation in the N-containing fused six-membered ring. Complete unsaturation in the six-membered heterocyclic ring leads to fully aromatic β-carbolines (βCs), whereas the partial or complete saturation in the same ring generates dihydro-β-carbolines (βDHCs) and tetrahydro-β-carbolines (THCs), respectively [21, 22]. In general, β-carbolines are practically devoid of a wide range of pharmacological activities in addition to antiparasitic, particularly against Plasmodium falciparum, Trypanosoma cruzi. Furthermore, harmaline and harmine which are also derived from β-carboline alkaloid show anxiolytic activity in the elevated pulse and maze [23, 24]. In the vast category of naturally occurring βCs, the pyrimidine-β-carboline alkaloids are the rarest class of compounds that has only three existing members, structurally interpreted by a 2-aminopyrimidine unit linked to a harmal moiety and interesting from both structural and biological points of view.
general, the $\beta$-carboline analogs have been synthesized enormously and widely explored for bioactivity. However, to date, limited report exists on the synthesis of annomontine derivatives which represents a special class of $\beta$-carboline [25, 26].

We have designed 23 novel annomontine analogs (Figure 3) and docked them against the various molecular targets for SARS-CoV-2 and humans such as MPRO, PLPRO, spike protein, ACE2, and TMPRSS2 respectively to validate them as potential molecules for future investigation against SARS-Cov-2.

| Protein      | PDB ID | Resolution (Å) |
|--------------|--------|----------------|
| MPRO         | 6Y2F   | 1.95 Å         |
| PLPRO        | 6W9C   | 2.7 Å          |
| ACE2         | 6M0J   | 2.45 Å         |
| Spike Protein| 6M0J   | 2.45 Å         |
| NSP 9        | 6W4B   | 2.95 Å         |
| TMPRSS2      | Homology Modelled |

We have designed 23 novel annomontine analogs (Figure 3) and docked them against the various molecular targets for SARS-CoV-2 and humans such as MPRO, PLPRO, spike protein, ACE2, and TMPRSS2 respectively to validate them as potential molecules for future investigation against SARS-Cov-2.

| Protein      | Size     | Grid Coordinates (x,y,z) (Å) | X          | Y          | Z          |
|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| MPRO         | 20,20,20 | 10.9166 -0.50212 20.81104  | 20.81104   |
| ACE2         | 20,20,20 | -24.7760 35.20782 -17.43447 |            |
| Spike Protein| 20,20,20 | -38.6562 43.2729 12.51189  | 12.51189   |
| NSP 9        | 20,20,20 | 57.79818 -0.7736 21.9645    | 21.9645    |
| PLPRO        | 20,20,20 | -44.6608 35.2642 12.4375    | 12.4375    |

Table 2. List of PDB Protein Structures used in the study.

Table 3. Grid Size and Grid center Coordinates used for molecular docking.
2. Material and methods

2.1. Ligand design and preparation

The ligand structures of annomontine derivatives were designed using ChemDraw (Figure 3). The 3D structure generation, preparation, and geometry optimization with energy minimization of a total of 23 ligands were executed using the LigPrep module of Schrodinger suite. All the ligands were prepared by adding hydrogen atoms, salt removing, and ionizing at physiological pH 7 (\(\text{pH} \pm 2\)). OPLS3e force field was employed for energy minimization and RMSD cut-off 0.01 Å was selected to generate low energy state isomers.

2.2. Protein structure retrieval

3D structures of target proteins for COVID-19 were retrieved using protein data bank. The list of structures retrieved are given in Table 2 along with their respective PDB IDs. The protein structures were shortlisted based on the literature review.

2.3. Homology Modelling and structure validation of TMPRSS2

TMPRSS2 is one of the upcoming potential targets considered for SARS-CoV-2. The catalytic domain of protein was homology modelled using expasy Swiss-Model [27]. Two models were constructed using different templates with a sequence identity of 44.87% and 42.92% respectively. The structure assessment was executed using MolProbity and Verify3D server for both the models (https://services.mbi.ucla.edu/Verify3D/) [28].

2.4. Protein preparation and grid generation

Structures retrieved from protein data bank (https://www.rcsb.org), were prepared using the inbuilt protein preparation module of Schrodinger. During the process of protein preparation, hydrogen atoms were added to the protein structure, further water molecules were removed with 3-angstrom distance from the nearby hetgroups. The structures were minimized and active sites of the proteins were predicted using the sitemap module for protein structures lacking co-crystallized ligand. However, the co-crystallized structure containing the bound ligand at the active site above step was skipped. Grid for molecular docking was generated either based on the predicted active site or using bound the co-crystallized ligand (Table 3). The internal grid size for docking purposes was \(20 \times 20 \times 20\) Å.

2.5. Molecular docking

The molecular docking approach is used for the identification and screening of potential lead molecules. The molecular docking studies

![Figure 4. Structure validation of modelled TMPRSS2: (A) Local quality estimate of the residues of the predicted TMPRSS2 model 1.](image)

![Figure 5. (A) Structure validation of Model 1 of TMPRSS2 using MolProbity (B) Structure validation of Model 2 of TMPRSS2 using MolProbity.](image)
provided us the information of key interacting residues between the protein of interest and generated ligands. It also helps in screening the large scale ligand data sets for drug development and repurposing. In this study SP protocol of Glide was used for molecular docking using the OPLS3e force field without making changes in the default parameter to predict the affinity of designed ligands against the multiple targets of the COVID-19. Ligand sampling was kept flexible. The ligand cut-off value for good binding interaction was selected as more than -5.5 kcal/mol. Any ligand with a score less than the mentioned score were considered to have weak interaction. The efficient ligand against COVID-19 was selected using the following formula:

\[
\text{LigE Score} = \sum \text{Dock Score (ACE2} + \text{NSP9 + MPro + PL-Pro + SP + TMPRSS2)}
\]

Validation of docking protocol was done through redocking of co-crystallized ligand present in MPro PDB file and superimposing the two crystal structures.

2.6. ADMET studies

ADMET studies play a crucial role in drug development, helping in the screening of ligands on their ADMET properties thus reducing the cost and time. 5 ligands with the highest LigE Score were shortlisted for the ADMET studies. The ADMET studies were executed using the ADMET LAB Server (http://admet.scbdd.com/home/index/).

3. Result and discussion

3.1. Homology modeling and structure validation

Two 3D structure models were built using Swiss-Model with GMQE score of 0.78 and 0.76, and QMEAN score of -0.67 and -2.46 respectively with a percentage identity of 42.92% and 44.87% suggesting the models developed are reliable and of good quality. The structure validation of the modelled protein structures was plotted graphically, the residues with a value below 0.6 were considered of poor quality. The two modelled structures also lied with the range of other protein structures hence confirming its reliability. The local quality estimate of the residues of predicted model 1 is given in Figure 6.

Ramachandran plot and its statistics for both the TMPRSS2 models were obtained using the MolProbity. Ramachandran plot statistics for model 1 implied that it has 93.10% of residues in the favored region and 0.0% in the outlier region with a clash score of 4.43 and MolProbity Score of 1.67. Similarly, Model 2 Ramachandran plot assessment implied 91.85% of residues are in the favored region, while residues A32 THR, A120 PRO, A46 PRO, A4 LYS, A49 ASN, and A114 PRO residues lied in the outlier region contributing to 2.58%. The clash score and MolProbity score for model 2 were 2.49 and 1.52 respectively (Figure 5).

Also, Verify3D of both modelled structures was obtained for the assessment and validation of structures and both the modelled showed result as PASS (Figures 6 and 7). Henceforth both developed models were validated.

3.2. Designed ligands and molecular docking analysis

In this study, a multiple target approach was utilized as it is desirable to consider such targets associated with infection or diseases [29, 30]. The molecular docking approach is also used for the prediction of targets against synthesized compounds, mi-rna or peptide based target inhibitors and also used for drug repurposing [30, 31, 32, 33]. We have designed annomontine based derivatives and performed molecular docking analysis against multiple targets of SARS-CoV-2 such as MPRO, ACE2, spike protein, etc. The study was designed to predict the ability of
annomontine derivatives binding to the targets, the efficiency of these derivates was computed by the summation of the docking Score against each target defined as LigE Score, based on the score predicted order of Table 4.

| Molecule | MPRO | ACE2 | SP | NSP9 | PLPRO | TMPRSS2 | LIG SUM |
|----------|------|------|----|------|-------|---------|---------|
| P3U      | -6.555 | -7.313 | -4.201 | -5.097 | -4.572 | -4.8345 | -32.57  |
| P3V      | -7.575 | -5.118 | -3.947 | -5.553 | -4.041 | -4.797  | -31.03  |
| P3A      | -6.14  | -8.3  | -3.117 | -5.556 | -4.387 | -3.31   | -30.81  |
| P3C      | -5.879 | -6.338 | -4.723 | -5.308 | -3.749 | -4.5285 | -30.52  |
| P3W      | -6.944 | -5.946 | -3.237 | -4.967 | -4.623 | -4.795  | -30.51  |
| P3E      | -6.36  | -7.187 | -3.885 | -4.629 | -3.801 | -4.215  | -30.07  |
| P3R      | -5.878 | -7.12  | -3.197 | -5.019 | -4.232 | -4.6255 | -30.07  |
| P3L      | -6.028 | -5.098 | -4.037 | -5.719 | -4.165 | -4.942  | -29.98  |
| P3H      | -5.921 | -3.999 | -4.196 | -5.448 | -5.021 | -5.2345 | -29.81  |
| P3B      | -5.608 | -6.49  | -4.09  | -5.138 | -3.792 | -4.465  | -29.58  |
| P3O      | -5.818 | -6.494 | -3.66  | -4.938 | -4.055 | -4.9465 | -29.46  |
| P1A      | -6.331 | -6.736 | -2.841 | -6.186 | -2.794 | -4.49   | -29.37  |
| P1K      | -5.196 | -5.754 | -3.493 | -5.364 | -4.42  | -4.892  | -29.11  |
| P1F      | -6.055 | -5.484 | -4.381 | -4.849 | -3.899 | -4.374  | -29.04  |
| P1M      | -5.879 | -4.924 | -4.068 | -5.545 | -3.859 | -4.702  | -28.97  |
| P1Q      | -6.707 | -6.003 | -3.025 | -4.582 | -3.949 | -4.6555 | -28.53  |
| P1D      | -5.689 | -6.345 | -3.103 | -4.934 | -3.658 | -4.296  | -28.02  |
| P1N      | -5.51  | -5.803 | -3.568 | -4.645 | -3.699 | -4.172  | -27.39  |
| P1J      | -5.343 | -5.334 | -3.128 | -5.102 | -3.892 | -4.497  | -27.29  |
| P1P      | -5.676 | -6.429 | -3.185 | -4.97  | -3.01  | -3.99   | -27.26  |
| P1G      | -5.75  | -5.457 | -4.087 | -4.4   | -3.52  | -3.96   | -27.17  |
| P1S      | -4.949 | -5.853 | -3.08  | -4.776 | -3.803 | -4.2895 | -26.75  |
| HCQ      | -5.344 | -5.733 | -3.158 | -4.276 | -3.661 | -4.45   | -26.62  |
| P1T      | -5.44  | -4.395 | -2.507 | -5.317 | -3.938 | -4.6275 | -26.22  |
| P1H      | -5.569 | -5.041 | -2.302 | -4.152 | -3.431 | -3.7915 | -24.28  |

Figure 8. Validation of Docking protocol by redocking of crystal ligand and superimposition over the original crystal structure. The RMSD value of 2.0 Å.

Table 5. The molecular Docking Score of Known Inhibitors with their Target Protein.

| PROTEIN   | Inhibitor    | Dock Score | Reference |
|-----------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| Ace2      | Hydroxychloroquine | -5.733      | [34]      |
| Mpro      | GC-376       | -7.4       | [35]      |
| Pl-Pro    | Pl-Pro inhibitor | -4.0       | [32, 36]  |
| TMPRSS2   | Cemostat     | -4.53      | [37]      |

Figure 9. 3D and 2D interaction Diagram of MPRO with P3V.
docking efficiency for derivatives was found to be $P3U > P3V > P3A > P3C > P3W$ (Table 4).

To validate the docking protocol, redocking of co-crystallized ligand present in $M_{\text{PRO}}$ PDB file and superimposing the two crystal structures. The calculated RMSD was found to be 2.0 Å (Figure 8). Also molecular docking analysis was performed against known inhibitors of target protein to act as a standard for reference (Table 5).

$M_{\text{PRO}}$, also known as 3CLPro plays a crucial role in viral replication, as it controls its activities of viral replication complex acting as the cysteine protease that helps in proteolytic cleavage of the precursor Polyproteins into functional proteins necessary for viral replication. $M_{\text{PRO}}$ is composed of 3 structural domains, domain I consist of residues 8 to 101 while domain II consists of residues 102 to 184 residues having an anti-parallel $\beta$-sheet structure. Domain III consists of residues 201 to 303, structurally it consists of 5 $\alpha$-helices organized into anti-parallel globular cluster connected to domain II with help of a loop region consisting of 15 residues from 185 to 200 [38]. The visualization of the co-crystallized ligand active site revealed the interaction of the ligand with HIS41, GLY143, CYS145, HIE163, HIE164, and GLU166 amino acid residues of the active site. The molecular docking analysis against $M_{\text{PRO}}$ suggested increased molecular interaction of derivate $P3V$ (Dock score -7.575), docking interaction diagram of $P3V$ given in Figure 9 shows H-bond Interactions with GLU1166 and GLN192. In this case $-\text{NH}_2$ of amide interacts with GLU166 and the carbonyl oxygen of the amide group shows H-bond interaction with GLN192 and THR190. Additionally, an H-bond was formed between Hydrogen of Amine and HIE164. However, increasing the length of H- Bond from 2.8Å to 3.5Å showed the formation of additional interactions with CYS145 that are also found in the co-crystallized ligand. Further emphasizing the potential of $P3V$ as an $M_{\text{PRO}}$ inhibitor.

ACE2, also known as Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 interacts with the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 and is considered to be the main Host receptor for its entry into cells [7]. It is expressed across various tissues in
the body, with a recent study reporting its high expression in mucosal tissue in the oral cavity [39]. Other tissues include lungs, kidney, etc [40]. The docking interaction of ACE2 with P3A (Dock Score -8.30) appeared to be more favorable compared to all others. The 3D and 2D interactions are shown in Figure 10 reveals H-bond interactions with GLU 208 and ASP206 (Figure 10). In this case, indole –NH and outer –NH2 substituent of guanidine form H-bond interaction with the GLU208 in addition to the similar interaction of –NH2 group of guanidine with ASP206. An additional two Aromatic H-bond were formed with Glu564. The Pi- Cat, and Pi-Pi interaction were completely missing in this case.

Instead, Spike Protein interacts with ACE2 which ensures the fusion of viral membrane and entry of viral load into the host cells. The spike protein docking analysis suggested P3C be a better derivative. However, the interaction score of -4.73 (Dock score), suggests comparatively weak binding of annomontine derivative against viral spike protein. The interaction diagram of P3C shown in Figure 11 shows H-bond interaction with GLU471, aromatic H-bond type interaction was found with PHE456. In this case outer –NH2 group of guanidine forms two H-bonds and the indole –NH forms a single H-bond with GLU471 respectively. Based on molecular docking scores of annomontine derivates it can be concluded these derivates are not suitable for Spike Protein targeting and further structural modification should be considered in the existing framework.

NSP 9 is a non-structural protein considered to play a role in both viral replication and its virulence. Based on literature evidence, it is suggested that NSP 9 is indeed involved and plays a crucial role in the reproduction of the viral RNA genome, binding RNA via fold unique to the genre of β-coronavirus family, associated with alpha-helical GxxxG motif. The motif is considered to play an important role in coronavirus viral replication. However, the molecular mechanism is unclear at this stage. The disruption of this viral dimer interface is considered crucial for the prevention of its replication [41, 42]. The molecular docking results for NSP9 and annomontine derivatives suggested P3L best interacting derivate, interaction diagram revealed the formation of a single H-bond involving outer –NH2 of guanidine and PRO58 residue of NSP9 protein (Figure 12). Similar to spike protein, annomontine derivatives bound weakly to the target and hence need further consideration in terms of structural modification to improve the overall binding affinity.

PLPRO also referred to as Papain-Like protease, plays a role in the processing of three cleavage sites of SARS-CoV-2 viral polyproteins that result in the formation of nsp1, nsp2, and nsp3. It also possesses the deubiquitinase and deISGylating activity. Various attempts have been made to develop its inhibitor [43, 44, 45, 46, 47]. The annomontine docking with PLPRO suggested P3I as the best possible derivative with a docking score of -5.021 kcal/mol. However, the score is below the cut-off score of -5.50 kcal/mol and thus, the interaction can be considered weak. The interaction diagram revealed H- bond interaction between the –NH2 of outer guanidine and LYS 91, TRP 93 (Figure 13). Furthermore, additional pi-cation interaction and pi-pi interaction was formed with LYS91.
Inhibition of TMPRSS2 results in the inactivation of proteolytic activation of progeny virus thus inhibiting the spread of the virus [52, 53, 54, 55]. Activation of viral protein occurs at a monobasic cleavage site after TMPRSS2 cleaves at single arginine or lysine residue [56]. A recent study also indicated the role of TMPRSS2 in the activation of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, [56, 57]. therefore, implying the potential of TMPRSS2 as a drug target for inhibition of viral infection from SARS-CoV-2. The molecular docking analysis was performed against the two generated homology models for TMPRSS2. The dock score for annomontine derivatives was taken as an average score against each model, the top 5 derivatives with the highest LigE score were selected and evaluated for the ADME/TOX analysis (Table 6), revealing that all the derivatives have the potential of being hepatotoxic and induce damage to the liver. The derivatives P3V and P3W have the potential to be HERG blocker, however further validation and in vitro and in vivo studies are warranted for validation of efficiency and efficacy of annomontine derivative as a potential drug against COVID-19.

4. Conclusion

The study design is based on in silico approach that has successfully established a novel class of annomontine derivatives consisting of β-carboline architecture as potential lead molecules against SARS-CoV-2 targets. The global COVID pandemic has motivated the detailed computational analysis based on evaluation of docking scores rendered by the interaction of target proteins (MPRO, PLPRO, spike protein, ACE2, and TMPRSS2) with all the 23 ligands specifically designed for this purpose. The naturally present annomontine like methoxyannomontine, annomontine, and N-hydroxyannomontine were previously reported for having anti-malarial, anti-leishmanial activity, and anti-infective properties [26, 58]. These molecules were designed in accordance with the naturally occurring analogues like P3K, P3L, P3M, P3N are derivatives of methoxyannomontine while P3O, P3P, P3Q, P3R, P3S, P3T are derivatives of hydroxynannomontine. Rest other 13 molecules are derivatives of annomontine. The derivatization of annomontine was done according to the synthesis, feasibility, and interaction ability. Amide and ester groups were known to exist in several drugs and have high reactivity because of the presence of hydrogen and oxygen that quickly bind with other molecules and form hydrogen bonds. The results validate our hypothesis as the derivatives having amide in their side chains show high interaction with different targets of SARS-CoV-2. Interestingly, the majority of these ligands displayed a better binding affinity with the target proteins as compared to the reference hydroxychloroquine which has been recently considered as an effective alternative in combination with azithromycin to combat COVID 19, and also compared to their known inhibitors while maintaining the similar binding interactions of those inhibitors. Among these 23 ligands, the binding studies of the top 5 novel annomontine analogs (P3U > P3V > P3A > P3C > P3W) against the multiple targets co-related to COVID-19 and its immunopathogenesis for their exceptional potentiality for further exploration in near future. Additionally, ADME/TOX study for these top 5 candidates against various biological descriptors ensured and supported their potential safety for biological administration. The outcome potentiates further in-vitro studies of the proposed annomontine derivatives and hence will be carried out to further validate the efficacy and efficiency of the novel annomontine derivatives against SARS-CoV-2.
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