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Abstract

Background: The outcome of CMV/HIV co-infection in infants treated early with combined antiretroviral therapy (cART) in resource-limited settings has not been described. We aimed to estimate the prevalence and identify factors associated with early CMV infection in HIV-infected and non-infected infants included in a study in Cameroon, and to compare HIV disease progression and survival after 1 year of early cART, following infants’ CMV status.

Methods: HIV-infected infants followed from birth or from HIV diagnosis before 7 months old and HIV-uninfected infants born to HIV-infected or uninfected mothers were tested for CMV at a median age of 4.0 months [Interquartile range (IQR): 3.4–4.9]. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to identify factors associated with CMV infection. Early cART was offered to HIV-infected infants: mortality, immunological and virological outcomes were assessed.

(Continued on next page)
Background
African children infected with human cytomegalovirus (CMV) usually acquire it during the first year of life [1]. It is one of the major congenital infections worldwide and a significant opportunistic pathogen for immune-compromised individuals [2, 3]. In most immunocompetent infants, CMV infection is asymptomatic; however, HIV-infected children are at risk of developing CMV disease [4]. Several studies report that HIV-infected newborns are at higher risk of symptomatic congenital CMV infection than uninfected newborns. CMV may act as a cofactor for HIV disease progression, and the risk of infant mortality is increased in HIV/CMV co-infected infants [5–7].

The consequences of prenat al antiretroviral (ARV) prophylaxis on CMV transmission from HIV-infected women to their babies and of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) to halt the progression of CMV disease are still unclear. In the French perinatal cohort, the incidence of vertical CMV transmission from HIV-infected mothers declined with the introduction of HAART [7]. Nevertheless, no significant decrease was observed in the prevalence of congenital CMV infection in children born to HIV-infected mothers receiving prenatal antiretroviral therapy in a recent study, [8]. Little is known about congenital CMV infection among HIV-exposed infants in sub-Saharan Africa [9–11].

We estimated the prevalence of early CMV infection in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected infants included before 7 months of age in three urban hospitals in Cameroon. We identified risk factors and compared disease progression and survival during the first year after early cART following CMV status.

Methods
The ANRS 12140—Pediacam study
The ANRS 12140-Pediacam is an ongoing prospective observational cohort coordinated at the Centre Pasteur of Cameroon, designed to assess the feasibility of early cART in HIV-infected infants in three referral hospitals: the Mother and Child Center of the Chantal Biya Foundation (MCC-CBF), the Hospital Center Essos (HCE) both in Yaoundé; and Laquintinie Hospital (LH) in Douala. Infant inclusion into the Pediacam was conducted in two consecutive phases. The first phase, planned from birth to 14 weeks, included all infants born live to HIV-infected mothers and an equivalent number of infants born to HIV-uninfected mothers matched individually on gender and recruitment site. The newborn pairs were followed, tested for HIV (where needed) and given routine vaccinations.

All identified HIV-infected infants (Group 1i) and selected controls of uninfected infants followed from birth, born to HIV-infected mothers (Group 1ni) or to HIV-uninfected mothers (Group 2ni) were included in the second phase that was planned for children from 14 weeks to 2 years old. Inclusion into the second phase was also offered to HIV-infected children not included from birth but aged less than 7 months at diagnosis of HIV infection (Group 3i). After inclusion in the second phase, infants underwent biological assessment including CMV testing and HIV-infected infants were offered systematic cART according to the Cameroonian guidelines at the time of this study. First-line treatment was zidovudine (or stavudine for infants with anemia) and lamivudine associated with lopinavir/ritonavir if nevirapine (NVP) had been used for the Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV (PMTCT), or with NVP if it had not been used for PMTCT. Biological analyses (including whole blood counts, CD4 T-lymphocyte cell counts, liver function tests, amylases, blood sugar levels, tuberculosis and malaria) were subsequently planned every 3 months or every 6 months until 2 years of age for HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected infants, respectively.
Study population
All the infants participating in the ANRS 12140-Pediacam prospective study and screened for CMV infection at inclusion into the second phase were considered in the present analysis.

From November 2007 to October 2013, a total of 611 infants were included in the second phase of the ANRS 12140-Pediacam study: 69 were HIV-infected followed from birth (Group 1i); 141 were HIV-infected not followed from birth and diagnosed before age 7 months (Group 3i); 205 were HIV-uninfected born to HIV-infected mothers (Group 1ni); and 196 were HIV-uninfected born to HIV-uninfected mothers (Group 2ni) (Fig. 1). Of these infants, 242 were not screened for CMV, including 140 (57.9%) in Douala where there was no system in place to collect and transfer samples to Centre Pasteur of Cameroon in Yaounde for analysis, and 102 in Yaounde.

Outcomes definition and covariates
The main outcome was the CMV infection status defined as the presence or absence of any CMV genomes in the blood at inclusion into the second phase of the ANRS 12140-Pediacam study. The CMV test was repeated at various time points during the first year of antiretroviral treatment to assess disease progression.

Secondary outcomes were measured during the year following initiation of antiretroviral therapy: Kaplan-Meier probability of death, viral suppression defined as viral load <400 copies/mL at two consecutives routine tri-monthly visits, and mean difference in CD4 percentage difference between inclusion and the last follow-up measure.

Risk factors of CMV infection recorded included those that were related to infant characteristics both at birth and at inclusion, maternal characteristics and socioeconomic status, quality of antenatal care and obstetrical context at delivery.

Cytomegalovirus diagnosis and quantification
CMV testing was planned at inclusion into the second phase and every 3 or 6 months according to infant HIV status (see above). At each time point, 200 μL of whole blood was drawn and stored at -80 °C for CMV analysis. Viral nucleic acids (DNA) were extracted using the QIAamp DNA mini kit (QIAGEN®, Hilden, Germany) and eluted from the columns with 200 μL of DNase/RNase free water. Aliquots of 5 μL were used for PCR. Primers and probe for the real-time PCR quantification corresponded to the UL 123 exon 4 gene as previously described [12, 13]. A plasmid containing the amplified sequence of strain AD 169 (Tebu France) was used as an external standard. For each batch, serial dilutions of plasmid (range, 50 to 500,000 copies) were amplified to establish a standard curve and allow the quantification of CMV in clinical samples. Appropriate negative and positive controls were included in each run. The results are reported as the number of CMV genome copies/mL of whole blood.

---

**Fig. 1** Study population and description of infant age at CMV screening in the phase 2 of the ANRS-PEDIACAM study, Cameroon, 2007–2012

| Study population and description of infant age at CMV screening in the phase 2 of the ANRS-PEDIACAM study, Cameroon, 2007–2012 | 
| --- | 
| **Infants included in phase 2 of the ANRS-PEDIACAM study (n= 611)** | 
| • 69 in Group 1i (HIV-infected, included during the first week of life) | 
| • 141 in Group 3i (HIV-infected included at diagnosis before 7 months) | 
| • 196 in Group 2ni (HIV-uninfected included during the first week of life, born to HIV- mothers) | 
| • 205 in Group 1ni (HIV-uninfected included during the first week of life, born to HIV- mothers) | 
| **N=242** | 
| • 28 in Group 1i | 
| • 36 in Group 3i | 
| • 89 in Group 2ni | 
| • 99 in Group 1ni | 
| **HIV-infected infants** | 
| N=146 | 
| **Group 1i** | 
| (n=41) | 
| 2.6 [2.2-3.4] | 
| **Group 3i** | 
| (n=105) | 
| 4.3 [3.2-5.8] | 
| **HIV-uninfected Infants** | 
| N=223 | 
| **Group 1ni** | 
| (n=107) | 
| 3.9 [3.6-4.8] | 
| **Group 2ni** | 
| (n=116) | 
| 3.3 [2.7-4.8] | 

*CMV: cytomegalovirus. †Median age in months at CMV screening
Statistical analysis
Maternal socio-economic and demographic data, antenatal care and obstetrical context, and infant characteristics are described using proportions for categorical variables, or medians and interquartile ranges for continuous variables. Bivariable analysis was used to study associations between baseline factors and CMV infection, both overall and according to infant HIV status. Multivariable logistic regression models were used to identify independent factors, including initially non-collinear covariates associated with CMV infection (as a dependent variable) if the p-value was ≤ 0.25 in the bivariable analysis. The final model was obtained by successively removing variables not associated at a p-value <0.05 and only if the odds ratios for the remaining variables were unchanged and taking interactions into account. Known risk factors (breastfeeding) and sampling variables (infant group) were maintained in the final model.

Among HIV-infected children treated with cART, the relation between CMV status and various outcomes of HIV disease progression, censored at 52 +/- 6 weeks after ART initiation, was studied. The risk of death or death/loss to follow up in the two CMV status groups was estimated by Kaplan-Meier survival analysis using log rank test to test for significance. The proportion of infants with adequate viral load suppression and mean difference of CD4 percentage were compared between the two groups using Fisher’s exact tests and Student’s t test, respectively. All statistical analyses were performed using R 2.15 software.

Ethical considerations
The ANRS 12140-PEDIACAM study received ethical approval in Cameroon from the National Ethics Committee. Administrative authorization was obtained from the Ministry of Public Health before the start of the study. Written informed consent from one of the parents or guardians was required for inclusion into either phase 1 or phase 2 of the ANRS-Pediacam study.

Results
Characteristics of the study population by infant group
Of the 611 infants included in the second phase of Pediacam, 369 were tested for CMV. There were no significant differences in the following characteristics between infants tested and not tested for CMV in the Yaounde sites: feeding practice, recruitment site, maternal marital status, and infant age at inclusion. However, the proportion of uninfected infants born to HIV-uninfected mothers was higher in “the not screened” than “screened” for CMV group (47.1% (48/102) vs 29.0% (107/369); p < 0.001). Table 1 reports and compares the characteristics of the 369 infants according to HIV status and mode of inclusion: 41 HIV-infected infants followed from birth (group 1i), 105 HIV-infected infants diagnosed later in life before age of 7 months (group 3i), 116 HIV-uninfected infants born to HIV-infected mothers (group 1ni), and 107 HIV-uninfected infants born to HIV-uninfected mothers (group 2ni). These groups were significantly different when maternal education level and breastfeeding were considered. The proportion of breastfed infant was 99.1% among HIV+ infants born to HIV uninfected mothers, 58.7% among HIV+ infants not followed from birth but diagnosed before age of 7 months, 27.5% among HIV+ infants followed from birth, and 12.9% among HIV- infants born to HIV+ mothers.

The overall median age at CMV screening was 4.0 months [Interquartile range (IQR): 3.4–4.9], and was 2.6 months [IQR: 2.2–3.4] for HIV+ infants followed from birth to 4.3 months [IQR: 3.2–5.8] for HIV+ infants not followed from birth (Fig. 1).

Prevalence and factors associated with CMV infection at baseline
The overall CMV prevalence was 41.5% (95% CI: 36.4–46.7): 15.5% (9.5–23.4) for HIV+ infants born to HIV+ mothers, 34.1% (20.1–50.6) for HIV+ infants followed from birth, 45.8% (36.1–55.7) for HIV+ infants born to HIV+ mothers and 68.6% (58.8–77.3) for HIV+ infants not followed from birth but diagnosed before age of 7 months (p < 0.01) (Table 1). The prevalence of CMV infection and the median CMV viral load were both significantly higher among HIV+ (58.9%; 3.7 log copies/mL [IQR: 2.5–3.9]) than HIV- (30.0%; 2.8 log copies [IQR: 2.1–3.4]) infants.

In the HIV+ groups of infants, breastfeeding was more prevalent among infants who were CMV+ than CMV- (70.6% vs 20.3%; p < 0.001); CMV+ infants compared to CMV- infants were more underweight (50.0% vs 28.3% with weight-for-age Z scores < -2SD; p = 0.01); more sick (55.8% vs 26.7% with HIV clinical stage 3 or 4; p < 0.001); and more immunosuppressed (74.4% vs 47.5% with lymphocytes TCD4 < 25%; p = 0.002) respectively.

Significant differences were observed when comparing CMV-infected infants, who were exposed but uninfected with HIV versus those who were unexposed and uninfected with HIV in the following characteristics: female gender (83.3% vs 49%, p = 0.02), proportion of mothers who attended higher education (22.2% vs 36.7%, p < 0.01) and proportion of breastfed infants (38.9% vs 100%, p < 0.01). Apart from gender, the same differences were observed between the two groups among the CMV-uninfected group (Table 2).

Table 3 shows in bivariable analysis that among HIV+ infants, CMV infection was associated with: infant inclusion group (frequent among HIV+ infants included later but before 7 months); recruitment site (being less frequent in the Essos Hospital Center than at the MCC-CBF); married or cohabiting maternal status; and history of breastfeeding. Infants screened for CMV after 4 months
Table 1 Comparison of characteristics of infants screened for CMV infection at inclusion into phase 2 of the ANRS-PEDIACAM study according to HIV status and mode of inclusion, 2007–2013, Cameroon

| HIV-infected infants | HIV-uninfected infants |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Followed from birth (group 1i, n = 41) | Born to HIV-infected mothers (group 1ni, n = 116) |
| Diagnosed before 7 months of age (group 3i, n = 105) | Born to HIV-uninfected mothers (group 2ni, n = 107) |
| Total (N = 146) | Total (N = 223) |
| n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | P1 | P2 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Recruitment site | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MCC-CBF | 25 | 61.0 | 70 | 66.7 | 95 | 65.1 | 74 | 63.8 | 64 | 59.8 | 138 | 61.9 | 0.76 | 0.61 |
| EHC | 16 | 39.0 | 35 | 33.3 | 51 | 34.9 | 42 | 36.2 | 43 | 40.2 | 85 | 38.1 |   |   |
| Gender: Female | 26 | 63.4 | 55 | 52.4 | 81 | 55.5 | 61 | 52.6 | 54 | 50.5 | 115 | 51.6 | 0.56 | 0.53 |
| Maternal marital status (n = 104) (n = 145) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Married/Cohabiting | 28 | 68.3 | 63 | 60.6 | 91 | 62.8 | 76 | 65.5 | 71 | 66.4 | 147 | 65.9 | 0.86 | 0.67 |
| Single/Divorced/Widow | 13 | 26.8 | 41 | 36.5 | 54 | 33.8 | 40 | 31.9 | 36 | 31.8 | 76 | 31.8 |   |   |
| Maternal Level of education (n = 40) (n = 104) (n = 144) (n = 114) (n = 221) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Higher education | 5 | 12.5 | 3 | 29 | 8 | 5.6 | 28 | 24.6 | 49 | 45.8 | 77 | 34.8 | <.001 | <.001 |
| Secondary education | 27 | 67.5 | 63 | 60.6 | 90 | 62.5 | 61 | 53.5 | 53 | 49.5 | 114 | 51.6 |   |   |
| None or primary education | 8 | 20.0 | 38 | 36.5 | 46 | 31.9 | 25 | 21.9 | 5 | 4.7 | 30 | 13.6 |   |   |
| Maternal professional activity (n = 39) (n = 104) (n = 143) (n = 113) (n = 220) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Paid activity | 18 | 46.2 | 32 | 30.8 | 50 | 35.0 | 58 | 51.3 | 47 | 43.9 | 105 | 47.7 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Housewife/student/unemployed | 21 | 53.8 | 72 | 69.2 | 93 | 65.0 | 65 | 58.7 | 60 | 56.1 | 115 | 52.3 |   |   |
| Existence of a functional fridge at home (n = 39) (n = 99) (n = 138) (n = 111) (n = 214) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 19 | 50.0 | 34 | 34.3 | 53 | 38.7 | 57 | 51.4 | 69 | 67.0 | 126 | 58.9 | <.001 | <.001 |
| No | 19 | 50.0 | 65 | 65.7 | 84 | 61.3 | 54 | 48.6 | 34 | 33.0 | 88 | 41.1 |   |   |
| Premature delivery (n = 85) | | | | | | | | | | |   |
| ≥ 37 weeks | 37 | 90.2 | 72 | 84.7 | 109 | 86.5 | 110 | 94.8 | 94 | 87.9 | 204 | 91.5 | 0.10 | 0.20 |
| < 37 weeks | 4 | 9.8 | 13 | 15.3 | 17 | 13.5 | 6 | 5.2 | 13 | 12.1 | 19 | 8.5 |   |   |
| Mode of delivery (n = 102) (n = 143) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vaginal | 40 | 97.6 | 100 | 98.0 | 140 | 97.9 | 102 | 87.9 | 95 | 88.8 | 197 | 88.3 | <.001 | <.001 |
| Cesarean | 1 | 2.4 | 2 | 2.0 | 3 | 2.1 | 14 | 12.1 | 12 | 11.2 | 26 | 11.7 |   |   |
| Feeding practice (n = 40) (n = 104) (n = 144) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No breastfeeding | 29 | 72.5 | 43 | 41.3 | 72 | 50.0 | 101 | 87.1 | 1 | 0.9 | 102 | 45.7 | <.001 | 0.49 |
| Any history of breastfeeding | 11 | 27.5 | 61 | 58.7 | 72 | 50.0 | 15 | 12.9 | 106 | 99.1 | 121 | 54.3 |   |   |
| CMV infection | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Negative | 27 | 65.9 | 33 | 31.4 | 60 | 41.1 | 98 | 84.5 | 58 | 54.2 | 156 | 70.0 | <.001 | <.001 |
| Positive | 14 | 34.1 | 72 | 68.6 | 86 | 58.9 | 18 | 15.5 | 49 | 45.8 | 67 | 30.0 |   |   |

MCC-CBF Mother and Child Center of the Chantal Bya Foundation; EHC Essos Hospital Center in Yaounde P1: p-value for comparison of characteristics between groups (1i, 3i, 1ni, 2ni). P2: p-value for comparison of characteristics between HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected infants.
more likely to be CMV infected than those screened at a younger age. All these associations, except age at CMV screening, remained significant and of the same magnitude in multivariable logistic regression analysis. Inclusion group and breastfeeding act as confounders of the relation between age at CMV screening and CMV infection. No interaction was identified between these factors or with other factors included in the multivariable analysis.

None of the surrogates of economic status (water supply at home, presence of a functional fridge at home, electricity at home, professional activity of the mother and maternal level of education) listed in Table 3 was associated with CMV infection in either bi or multivariable analysis.

Relation between CMV infection and various disease outcomes after 1 year of early cART in HIV-infected infants

Among the 132 HIV-infected infants who initiated cART, 58.3% (n = 77) had CMV co-infection. Other than continuation of the cART, no specific anti-CMV treatment was given and no major clinical event was recorded. Overall, 99 HIV infected infants (61 CMV+ and 38 CMV−) were retested for CMV after a median duration of cART of 7.1 months (range [4.8–9.5], similar in both groups). The mean number of CMV tests was 2 (range [1–4]). CMV was undetectable on retest in 37 (60.7%) of the 61 initially HIV+CMV+ infants. CMV was detected on retest in 8 (21.1%) of the 38 initially HIV+CMV− infants.

The proportion of infants not followed from birth was higher in CMV+ than CMV− group (81.8% vs 56.4%; p = 0.001). cART was initiated later in CMV+ infants than CMV− infants (median age of 4.7 months, IQR: 3.5–6.2 vs 3.6 months, IQR: 2.8–4.8; p = 0.03).

Kaplan-Meier estimates of probability of death after 1 year of cART treatment did not differ significantly between CMV+ HIV+ and CMV− HIV+ infants [18.5%, 95% CI: 11.4–29.2 vs 20.3, 95% CI: 11.8–33.7]; log rank test, p = 0.75). Taking into consideration age at cART initiation (<4 months (early) and ≥4 months (late)), the survival rate were similar for HIV/CMV co-infected treated early [0.129, 95% CI: 0.050–0.308], HIV/CMV co-infected treated later [0.225, 95% CI: 0.128–0.378], HIV infected/CMV uninfected treated early [0.249, 95% CI: 0.138–0.424], and HIV infected/CMV uninfected treated later [0.111, 95% CI: 0.030–0.376] (log rank test, p = 0.45) groups. This was also true of death/loss to follow up as composite outcomes (p = 0.38). The proportion of cases with adequate HIV RNA viral suppression was similar in HIV-CMV co-infected and HIV infected groups (75.5% vs 61.5%; p = 0.17). The mean difference in CD4 percentage (change between initiation of cART and the last follow up) was similar CMV+ and CMV− groups (10.97%, standard deviation (SD): 13.66% vs 6.88%; SD: 15.25%; p = 0.15).
Table 3  Factors associated with CMV infection in HIV-infected infants enrolled into phase 2 of the ANRS-PEDIACAM study, Cameroon, 2007–2013 (bivariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis)

|                          | CMV infected infants | Bivariable analysis | Multivariable analysis |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                          | N = 146              | % (n)               | cOR (95% CI)  | p     | N = 142              | aOR (95% CI)  | p     |
| HIV infected group in Pediacam (n = 146) |                      |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| Identified during first week of life | 41                  | 34.1 (14)           | 1                    | 1     |                     |                     |       |
| Identified later at diagnosis before 7 months of age | 105                | 68.6 (72)           | 4.2 (1.8–9.8)        | <.01  | 2.9 (1.0–7.8)        | 0.04                      |       |
| Recruitment site (n = 146) |                      |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| MCC-CBF                  | 95                   | 66.3 (63)           | 1                    | 1     |                     |                     |       |
| EHC                      | 51                   | 45.1 (23)           | 0.4 (0.2–0.9)        | 0.02  | 0.4 (0.2–0.9)        | 0.03                      |       |
| Gender (n = 146)         |                      |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| Female                   | 81                   | 55.6 (45)           | 1                    |       |                     |                     |       |
| Male                     | 65                   | 63.1 (41)           | 1.4 (0.7–2.8)        | 0.45  |                     |                     |       |
| Mode of delivery (n = 143) |                     |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| Vaginal                  | 140                  | 59.3 (83)           | 1                    |       |                     |                     |       |
| Cesarean                 | 3                    | 0.0 (0)             | 0.0 (0.0–1.7)        | 0.07  |                     |                     |       |
| Age at CMV screening (n = 146) |                     |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| < 4 months               | 82                   | 48.8 (40)           | 1                    |       |                     |                     |       |
| ≥ 4 months               | 64                   | 71.9 (46)           | 2.7 (1.3–5.7)        | <.01  | 1.3 (0.5–3.2)        | 0.62                      |       |
| Feeding practice (n = 144) |                     |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| No breastfeeding         | 72                   | 34.7 (25)           | 1                    |       |                     |                     |       |
| Any history of breastfeeding | 72              | 83.3 (60)           | 9.2 (4.0–22.6)       | <.01  | 6.7 (2.8–15.9)       | <.01                      |       |
| Water supply at home (n = 139) |                     |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| Yes                      | 61                   | 60.7 (37)           | 1                    |       |                     |                     |       |
| No                       | 78                   | 56.4 (44)           | 0.8 (0.4–1.8)        | 0.74  |                     |                     |       |
| Existence of a functional fridge at home(n = 137) |                     |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| Yes                      | 53                   | 60.4 (32)           | 1                    |       |                     |                     |       |
| No                       | 84                   | 58.3 (49)           | 0.9 (0.4–2.0)        | 0.95  |                     |                     |       |
| Electricity supply at home (n = 139) |                     |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| Yes                      | 117                  | 56.4 (66)           | 1                    |       |                     |                     |       |
| No                       | 22                   | 68.2 (15)           | 1.7 (0.6–5.2)        | 0.43  |                     |                     |       |
| Professional activity of the mother (n = 143) |                     |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| Housewife/student/unemployed | 93                | 63.4 (59)           | 1                    |       |                     |                     |       |
| Paid activity            | 50                   | 52.0 (26)           | 0.6 (0.3–1.3)        | 0.25  | 0.7 (0.3–1.6)        | 0.33                      |       |
| Maternal level of education (n = 144) |                     |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| Higher education         | 8                    | 37.5 (3)            | 1                    |       |                     |                     |       |
| Secondary education      | 90                   | 55.6 (50)           | 2.1 (0.4–14.1)       | 0.13  | 1.2 (0.2–6.6)        | 0.96                      |       |
| None or primary education | 46                 | 69.6 (32)           | 3.7 (0.6–27.2)       | 1.3   | 1.0 (0.2–8.4)        |                     |       |
| Maternal marital status (n = 145) |                     |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| Single/divorced/widow   | 54                   | 42.6 (23)           | 1                    |       |                     |                     |       |
| Married/cohabiting      | 91                   | 68.1 (62)           | 2.9 (1.4–6.1)        | <.01  | 3.4 (1.4–8.2)        | <.01                      |       |
| Maternal age at inclusion (n = 144) |                     |                     |                     |       |                     |                     |       |
| ≥ 25 years              | 102                  | 55.9 (57)           | 1                    |       |                     |                     |       |
| < 25 years              | 42                   | 64.3 (27)           | 1.4 (0.6–3.2)        | 0.46  |                     |                     |       |
Discussion

Little is known about the prevalence of early CMV infections according to the HIV status of infants in resource-limited settings and even less about its potential role in HIV progression in infants treated early with cART. We compared the prevalence of CMV infection in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected infants born to HIV-infected mothers and a control group of infants born to HIV-negative mothers, all in an urban area of Cameroon. We also report the first comparison of the prognosis of HIV infection during first year after early cART initiation between HIV+ infants with and without CMV co-infection.

Consistent with other studies, we observed that CMV infection prevalence was higher among HIV-infected than non-infected infants [6, 9, 14]. One study showed a higher prevalence of CMV at birth in Kenyan HIV-infected than HIV-exposed uninfected infants but did not find any difference by 3 months of age [9]. In another study, CMV prevalence was similar at birth but significantly different by 6 months [6]. CMV viremia peaks at 3–4 months of age [15], indicating that direct CMV diagnostic testing could be particularly sensitive at this age. Note that most infants in sub-Saharan Africa are already infected with CMV by 1 year of age [1].

We report here that CMV was associated with breastfeeding. This was the only single factor independently associated with CMV infection among HIV-uninfected infants (born to HIV-infected or HIV-uninfected mothers, data not shown). In Cameroon, 98% of children under 6 months old are breastfed, either getting breast milk exclusively or in mixed feeding [16]. CMV can be shed into breast milk and infects breastfeeding children [17]. CMV shedding into breast milk has been detected as early as the first week after delivery and peaks between 3 to 5 weeks after delivery [18].

Most breastfed infants of HIV-infected mothers in resource-limited settings are infected with CMV by 24 weeks of age [19]. The two groups in our study with high proportions of breastfed infants (HIV+ infants born to uninfected mothers and HIV+ infants not followed from birth but diagnosed at an age <7 months) also had high prevalence of CMV infection (45.8 and 68.6% CMV infection, respectively). This suggests a postnatal transmission of CMV through breast milk. Surprisingly, a recent study did not find an association between breastfeeding and CMV infection in infants [11], but this may have been due, in part, to the limited number of CMV-infected infants they included.

Non-single marital status of the mother was also associated with a higher risk of CMV infection. Frequent sexual exposure, as part of the relationship of a couple may increase the risk of maternal infection and reinfection with CMV and subsequently its transmission to the newborn. Very different observations have also been reported [20, 21], where CMV infection was more frequent among children born to young and unmarried women.

We found no evidence that CMV infection was associated with the lack of PMTCT prophylaxis in mothers of HIV-infected infants. Similarly, Manicklal and colleagues did not find any relationship between ARV prophylaxis and congenital CMV infection in HIV-infected infants [11]. However other studies have suggested that there is an effect of ARV prophylaxis on CMV infection [7, 22, 23], cART reduces serological biomarkers of inflammation and immune activation [24, 25]. ARV could impede CMV re-activation in HIV-infected pregnant women and thereby reduce the possibility of CMV transmission to infants born to mothers who took prophylactic regimens. The difference observed in our study could be explained by the fact that we carried out our CMV analyses starting at a median age of 4 months; consequently we could not distinctly identify congenital infections and therefore could not establish the link between prophylactic cART during pregnancy and congenital CMV infections. Bivariable analysis showed that among HIV+ infants, CMV infection was associated with: infant inclusion group; recruitment site, married or cohabiting maternal status, history of breastfeeding and age at CMV screening. All these associations, except age at CMV screening, remained significant and of the same magnitude in multivariable logistic regression analysis. Though the identification and inclusion of infants of group 3i (n = 105) were in average done later than all other groups, they were in details not all diagnosed at 7 months as 47 were diagnosed at less 4 months and 58 at 4 months or later. In contrast, infants of group 1i were mostly diagnosed at less than 4 months. Only 6 out of 41 were diagnosed at 4 months or later. Bringing these two groups of HIV infected infants together probably had an
impact on the effect age at CMV screening could have with CMV infection in multivariable analyses.

Among HIV-infected infants, those with CMV coinfections were mostly immunosuppressed, showed poorer growth, and had more advanced disease. A rapid progression of HIV disease in the presence of CMV co-infection has been described [5, 6, 26–28]. Kovacs et al. observed that infants with both HIV and CMV infections were more likely than those with HIV infection alone to have class C symptoms (severe immune suppression), to have died by or to have HIV-1 associated encephalopathy by 18 months [6]. Therefore, we considered in our analyses that clinical signs associated with CMV infection in infants were consequences rather than causes of the infection and thus these signs were not included in the multivariable analysis to identify independent risk factors associated with CMV.

We compared the two groups of HIV-infected infants (CMV infected and CMV uninfected) 1 year after initiation of cART. This subgroup of HIV infected infants (CMV+ and CMV-) under cART was particularly studied in line with the objective of Pediacam which was set up to study all factors that could be related with early treatment of HIV in resource limited setting like Cameroon. Normally, CMV infection is not a major problem in HIV-uninfected children, as most of them remain asymptomatic. However, CMV has been described to have a negative impact in disease progression in HIV-infected infants. In resource limited settings CMV treatment is not always available and even when available could be very toxic. Here, we sought to know if early cART could reduce HIV disease progression and/or improve survival in these children. These two groups did not differ significantly regarding the probability of death, composite outcomes (death and lost to follow-up), adequate viral suppression and mean change in CD4 percentage since cART initiation. This is consistent with early cART having counterbalanced the potential negative role of CMV/HIV co-infections through immune restoration and control of HIV replication [29]. O’Sullivan observed that adult patients (mean age, 38 years) who were HIV/CMV co-infected and treated with cART with no specific anti-CMV therapy showed a significant drop in CMV viral load [30]: after 1 year, cART was associated with the complete suppression of CMV viremia in all patients who returned for follow-up (23 of 38). We observed no such effect in HIV/CMV co-infected infants on cART. However, 21.1% (8/38) of early treated HIV-infected infants who were CMV negative at inclusion in Pediacam (before cART) presented subsequent positive tests. This may correspond to recent infection, common in HIV-uninfected infants.

The strength of this study is the inclusion of four groups of infants based on their HIV infection and/or exposure status; all were recruited at the same sites the same time frame, and were followed in a similar manner. However, there are also some limitations. The prevalence estimates cannot be generalized to other regions or rural areas in Cameroon, as all the mothers were living in urban areas of central Cameroon. Blood samples were not collected immediately after delivery and for this reason it was not possible to identify in-utero transmissions of CMV. Also, 20% of the infants were not tested for CMV on inclusion into phase 2, and their characteristics did not differ from those tested. According to these observations, we hypothesized that this group of CMV screened infants participating in this study could be representative of the study population. However there was a higher proportion of non-tested infants among HIV-uninfected infants born to HIV-uninfected mothers. Consequently, any selection bias should be limited to results concerning the HIV-infected group.

Conclusion
We observed a high prevalence of CMV infection among HIV-infected infants with associated breastfeeding exacerbating this prevalence. However early initiation of cART was shown to limit the negative impact of CMV on HIV disease progression in CMV/HIV co-infected infants even in the absence of anti-CMV specific treatment. This study underscores the benefits of early cART in the case of CMV/HIV coinfection and further reinforces the recommendations to offer early antiretroviral therapy to all HIV infected infants.
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