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Abstract The purpose of this review is to assess the most recent evidence in the management of primary hyperparathyroidism (PHPT) and provide updated recommendations for its evaluation, diagnosis and treatment. A Medline search of “Hyperparathyroidism. Primary” was conducted and the literature with the highest levels of evidence were reviewed and used to formulate recommendations. PHPT is a common endocrine disorder usually discovered by routine biochemical screening. PHPT is defined as hypercalcemia with increased or inappropriately normal plasma parathyroid hormone (PTH). It is most commonly seen after the age of 50 years, with women predominating by three to fourfold. In countries with routine multichannel screening, PHPT is
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identified earlier and may be asymptomatic. Where biochemical testing is not routine, PHPT is more likely to present with skeletal complications, or nephrolithiasis. Parathyroidectomy (PTx) is indicated for those with symptomatic disease. For asymptomatic patients, recent guidelines have recommended criteria for surgery, however PTx can also be considered in those who do not meet criteria, and prefer surgery. Non-surgical therapies are available when surgery is not appropriate. This review presents the current state of the art in the diagnosis and management of PHPT and updates the Canadian Position paper on PHPT. An overview of the impact of PHPT on the skeleton and other target organs is presented with international consensus. Differences in the international presentation of this condition are also summarized.
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Introduction and methods

Primary hyperparathyroidism (PHPT) has evolved in its clinical presentation over the past 40 years and is now usually diagnosed at an asymptomatic stage [1]. Parathyroidectomy (PTx) is the only curative approach to this disease. It is indicated in those with symptomatic PHPT and advised for those who meet the surgical guideline criteria. It is also a reasonable option among those who request surgery, even if they do not meet surgical guidelines and have no contraindications [2]. Advances in medical management have also taken place over the past decade. For individuals in whom surgery is not possible or desirable, valuable approaches for skeletal protection and lowering of serum calcium have become available [3].

In this Canadian position paper, we update our previously published guidelines on PHPT with international consensus [4]. Canadian participation was invited at the Fourth International Workshop held in Florence Italy 2013. Canadian representatives met international colleagues in Florence and developed key questions addressing evaluation, imaging, diagnosis, and management of PHPT. Canadian representatives collaborated with international colleagues and formalized evidence-based recommendations to each of the key questions listed. A detailed section on parathyroid imaging was developed as this was highlighted as a need by Canadian endocrinologists. This position paper was supported and endorsed by Canadian Endocrine Update, McMaster University, and Western University. We summarize recent evidence and provide recommendations pertaining to the evaluation, diagnosis, presentation, and management of PHPT.

We searched Medline from April 15, 2010, to June 14, 2016, using the MeSH search term “Hyperparathyroidism, Primary” [Mesh], excluding reviews, letters, and editorials and specifying only English language papers dealing with humans. Of the 728 citations that were obtained, we included those articles with the highest levels of evidence available, as well as those reflective of international consensus. Papers identified by content experts (senior authors AK, DH) as being essential for enhanced understanding, but published before the search period, were also included as decided by expert opinion. Not all articles are included in this review due to the limit on the number of references; however, articles with the highest level of evidence are included as well as key consensus papers.

What is the natural history of PHPT with or without parathyroid surgery?

Five prospective studies, four of which were randomized control trials and one long-term observational study, evaluated the effect of PTx on the skeletal and renal manifestations of PHPT [5–9]. PTx is associated with significant improvements in bone mineral density (BMD). Without surgical intervention, BMD is stable or decreases with long-term follow-up [5–8]. Data from a 5-year randomized controlled study demonstrated a lower rate of vertebral fracture post-PTx (0 vs 5 fractures) in comparison to no surgery [9]. The difference in the frequency of the fractures between observation and PTx was not statistically significant (p = 0.058); however, it is suggestive of skeletal protection with PTx. Observation may also be safe as the overall frequency of fracture was low. The individuals with fracture had similar age, BMD, and laboratory profile to the remaining study group subjects suggesting that BMD may not be as predictive of future fracture risk in PHPT patients as compared to patients without PHPT. The longest natural history study with 15 years of follow-up enrolled 121 patients, of whom 85 % were asymptomatic, 51 % had PTx during follow-up, and 49 % were followed without surgery [8]. BMD was stable during the first 8 years in those who did not receive PTx and subsequently declined at the femoral neck and distal one-third radius (mean losses of 10 and 35 %, respectively). This study has several limitations including the fact that only six patients with long-term follow-up demonstrated progressive decreases in BMD between year 10 and 15. However, the eventual decline in BMD following a long period of stability suggests that long-term monitoring is essential in those who do not undergo PTx.

Renal stone risk declines significantly following PTx, with a reduction in the risk of recurrent renal stones, although the risk remains higher than the risk in the general population [10]. Following surgical cure by PTx, the relative risk of renal stones in comparison to eucalcemic controls is still increased among patients with stones prior to PTx (incidence rate ratio...
TBS predicts fracture risk independent of BMD [23]. TBS microstructure and increased fracture risk [24]. Analysis in PHPT is consistent with deteriorated trabecular bone in patients with PHPT [20, 21]. HRpQCT indices correlate that both trabecular and cortical bone compartments are affected.

High-resolution peripheral quantitative tomography has shown increases in fracture risk at all skeletal sites [17, 19]. Further, low BMD is especially prevalent at sites with high proportions of cortical bone, such as the distal one-third radius [14]. The lumbar spine BMD appears to be relatively well preserved in PHPT [15].

Fracture data from cohort studies suggest an increased risk of all fractures including vertebral fractures, and this risk of fracture appears to decrease following PTx [16, 17]. The lumbar spine BMD may not be a useful predictor of fracture risk in PHPT. Accordingly, BMD should be measured at the one-third radius site in all individuals with PHPT [18].

Epidemiological studies of patients with PHPT report increases in fracture risk at all skeletal sites [17, 19]. Further, high-resolution peripheral quantitative tomography has shown that both trabecular and cortical bone compartments are affected in patients with PHPT [20, 21]. HRpQCT indices correlate with fracture prevalence in PHPT, and this correlation is independent of BMD as measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) [20]. Post-PTx improvement in microarchitecture, cortical thickness, bone density, and estimated bone strength is seen [22]. The trabecular bone score (TBS) analysis evaluates bone microarchitecture from the lumbar spine DXA image and measures bone strength [23]. TBS predicts fracture risk independent of BMD [23]. TBS analysis in PHPT is consistent with deteriorated trabecular microstructure and increased fracture risk [24].

TBS is lower in individuals with PHPT in comparison to eucalcemic controls [25] and improves following parathyroidectomy [26]. In PHPT, the combination of TBS and BMD may provide a more accurate assessment of bone strength in the central skeleton than the LS BMD as measured by DXA alone.

### Renal involvement

Renal stones are a major complication of PHPT [1]. In Western countries, the prevalence of clinically overt stone disease has decreased from approximately 80% of patients in earlier series to 7–20% presently [1]. However, in systematically screened patients the diagnostic prevalence may be higher (25–55%) [27]. Generally, patients with PHPT who develop renal stones are younger and more often male [1].

Hypercalciuria is a known risk factor for renal stones [10]. Hypercalciuria likely contributes to an increased risk of renal stones.

The relationship between nephrolithiasis and PHPT is not well understood at this time. It appears that 24-h urine calcium is higher in stone formers with PHPT in comparison to non-stone formers with PHPT [28–30]. However, this has not been observed consistently [31–33] and requires further prospective evaluation. Following PTX, the risk of renal stones is lowered and the presence of marked hypercalciuria is therefore included as a guideline for surgery.

The pathogenesis of increased renal stone formation in PHPT has not yet been fully elucidated. Hypercalciuria by itself does not fully explain the increased risk, and only limited data is currently available on the potential impact of other biochemical abnormalities, such as renal acidification abnormalities on the risk of stones in PHPT [10, 34]. There is no reliable data available on the feasibility of performing metabolic evaluation of stone formers with PHPT, but due to a persistent increased risk after surgical cure of PHPT, it is reasonable to consider screening for other common causes for kidney stones. This includes measurements of serum uric acid and urinary pH as well as assessment of urine volume and the presence of hyperuricosuria, hypomagnesuria, hyperoxaluria, hypocitraturia, or cystinuria [35]. The effect of renal function on PTH secretion has been extensively evaluated [36, 37]. Reductions in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) are inversely correlated with serum intact PTH [38]. Decreases in renal function (GFR <70 vs >70 mL/min) have been associated with more severe impact on bone strength with greater reductions in BMD particularly at cortical skeletal sites in individuals with PHPT [39]. These data support the recommendations for parathyroidectomy in those with GFR of <60 mL/min. Successful PTx appears to prevent decline in renal function in those with chronic kidney disease (eGFR <60 mL/min) as observed in a recent retrospective cross-sectional study in 109 consecutive PHPT patients evaluated...
before and after PTx [13]. These data also support the recommendation for PTx in those with an eGFR of <60 mL/min.

Non-classical manifestations of PHPT

While PHPT has been associated with neurocognitive changes and depression [1], these cognitive changes have not consistently improved following PTx [40].

Severe PHPT characterized by higher serum calcium levels (calcium ≥11.2 mg/dl) has been associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular mortality [41]. PHPT appears to be associated with an increased risk of developing left ventricular hypertrophy, impaired diastolic filling, and myocardial calcification [42–44]. Yu et al. [45] observed in a retrospective population-based study in Tayside, Scotland, that mild PHPT (calcium <2.9 mmol/L) also was associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease in comparison to the age- and sex-matched general population and that this increase in mortality was similar to those with symptomatic or severe disease.

Further, Yu et al. [46] have also recently observed that baseline calcium levels were not significantly related to fatal cardiovascular disease or non-fatal cardiovascular disease in PHPT. However, serum PTH rather than serum calcium appeared to be associated with an increased risk of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular disease. In this study, vitamin D levels were not completed and vitamin D inadequacy may have contributed to elevations in PTH and also impacted mortality.

Studies to date have not all shown improvements in cardiovascular disease following PTx, and this may depend on the presence and severity of preexisting cardiovascular disease [47–49].

A clinical evaluation of cardiovascular risk for the purpose of evaluating perioperative risk of cardiovascular complications may be undertaken. However, currently there is insufficient evidence to recommend proceeding with PTx in order to lower the risk of cardiovascular events. Large randomized controlled trial data will need to be completed in order to determine if PTx lowers cardiovascular and all-cause mortality.

International presentations of PHPT (Table 1)

In USA, Canada, and Europe, asymptomatic disease has been the predominant presenting clinical phenotype over the past 40 years [53]. Nephrolithiasis prevalence has decreased and overt skeletal manifestations are uncommon. Normocalcemic hyperparathyroidism (NPHPT) may be identified during the evaluation of patients with low BMD [63].

NPHPT may progress to hypercalcemic PHPT. Currently, most of the data regarding NPHPT has been obtained from evaluation of patients referred for assessment of complications including osteoporosis, fracture, and nephrolithiasis [64–68].

Very limited prospective data is available on the natural history of NPHPT.

In Latin America, large case series as well as epidemiologic studies have reported up to 44 % of patients with renal stones [59]. In the early 2000s in China, serum calcium levels were higher at presentation than seen in the Western world and 60 % had radiological alterations. The prevalence of asymptomatic PHPT has since increased in China [60, 61]. In contrast to China, the clinical presentation of PHPT in India does not appear to have changed over the past 50 years [62]. The predominant presenting features continue to be skeletal involvement and renal complications as well as symptoms of hypercalcemia with less than 5 % asymptomatic presentation [62].

This global survey of PHPT documents substantial differences in the presentation of the condition in the various regions of the world with varying prevalence of target organ involvement.

Diagnosis and imaging

How is primary hyperparathyroidism diagnosed today?

The combination of hypercalcemia and an elevated or inappropriately normal parathyroid hormone (PTH) level makes PHPT the most likely diagnosis [69] (please see Fig. 1, normal sigmoidal relationship between calcium and PTH). Measured total serum calcium should be adjusted for albumin [69]. If the corrected serum calcium is normal and PTH is elevated, serum ionized calcium should be measured, as PHPT can present with an elevated ionized calcium despite a normal albumin-adjusted serum calcium [69]. Ionized calcium measurements are reliable if samples are collected under anaerobic conditions maintaining the original pH of the specimen, as acidosis is associated with an increase in ionized calcium. The specimen should be stored or centrifuged at 4 °C for up to 2 h, as longer time periods can result in changes in Ca²⁺ concentration. The ionized calcium result can be corrected mathematically for changes in pH due to exposure of the specimen to air; however, this will only be an approximation of the true anaerobic ionized calcium [70]. The differential diagnosis of hypercalcemia includes conditions which mimic PHPT, including familial hypocalciuric hypercalcemia (FHH) and certain medication use, such as hydrochlorothiazide or lithium [69]. Both second- and third-generation PTH assays are of value in diagnosing PHPT and provide comparable results [71].

NPHPT has been increasingly recognized over the past decade [1], typically following PTH measurement for assessment for secondary osteoporosis. An elevated serum PTH in the presence of consistently normal albumin-adjusted calcium and ionized calcium, normal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD >20 ng/mL or 50 nmol/L), and well-maintained renal
function (eGFR >60 mL/min/1.73 m²) supports this diagnosis [69]. Other secondary causes for an elevated PTH should be excluded, such as primary hypercalciuria, malabsorption syndromes, use of loop diuretics, bisphosphonates, or denosumab therapy [1]. Over time, NPHPT may progress to classical PHPT with its complications [72].

In the evaluation of PHPT, the calcium to creatinine clearance ratio is completed in order to exclude FHH, a rare, autosomal-dominant disorder with three identified variants [73]. It usually presents with very low urinary calcium excretion and mild hypercalcemia with normal or slightly elevated PTH levels [74]. The most common variant, FHH1, is due to an inactivating mutation of the calcium-sensing receptor (CaSR) gene [69]. FHH2 is caused by inactivating mutations of the G-protein alpha 11 subunit (Gα11) [73], and FHH3 is due to inactivating mutations of the adaptor protein 2 sigma subunit (AP2S1) [75]. DNA sequencing can confirm the presence of CaSR, Gα11, or AP2S1 gene mutations and is advised in the following patients [73]: history of unsuccessful parathyroid surgery, age of onset of hypercalcemia under 30 years in the patient or relative, family history of hypercalcemia, or hypocalcemia and inappropriately high-normal or elevated PTH and calcium to creatinine clearance ratio (CaCrCR) <0.02.

FHH is unlikely if the CaCrCR is higher than 0.02 [74]. The majority (80 %) of individuals with FHH will have a CaCrCR of <0.01; however, approximately 20 % have a CaCrCR between 0.01 and 0.02 and can overlap those with PHPT [74].

A low CaCrCR may be observed in PHPT with renal insufficiency or severe calcium and/or vitamin D deficiency. Racial differences also impact the laboratory profile. In those of African descent, the prevalence of low urine calcium excretion in patients with PHPT is very high, with approximately 44 % of patients presenting with calcium excretion of ≤100 mg/day in one study [74].

**Table 1** International presentation of PHPT.

| Overall Incidence/Prevalence | Incidence/Prevalence In Women | Incidence/Prevalence In Men | Comments |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| United States [50–52]       | 0.86% General Population     | 34-120 (Mean: 66) *        | Higher incidence in Blacks than Caucasians than Asians |
| Canada [53]                 | 0.4-3.1%                     | 196 (70–79 Yrs)            | Predominant presentation: asymptomatic disease 74% postmenopausal |
|                             |                               | 3.3%                       |          |
|                            |                               | 13-36 (Mean: 25) *         |          |
|                             |                               | 95 (70–79 Yrs)             |          |
|                             |                               | 1.4%                       |          |
| Europe [54, 55, 56]         | 1.07%                         | 1.6%                       | Increase of prevalence over time |
|                             | 9.95 *                        | 0.3%                       | Surgery proposed: 32% (Italy), 66% (Spain), 64% (France), 53% (UK) |
| Latin America [57–59]       | 0.78%                         |                           |          |
| Asia – China [60, 61]       |                               |                            | Asymptomatic disease: 47-82% (18-44% kidney stones) (6.1% osteitis fibrosa cystica) 90% postmenopausal |
| Asia – India [2, 62]        |                               |                            |          |

*: per 100,000 person-years

**Fig. 1** Normal sigmoidal relationship between calcium and PTH [73]
Patients with FHH should not undergo PTx, as the CaSR defect remains in the kidney and remaining parathyroid tissue, resulting in persistent hypercalcemia [74]. Mutations of the CaSR gene affecting both alleles can cause parathyroid hyperplasia with severe hypercalcemia and other classical features of PHPT [74, 76]. In this condition, PTx is recommended.

In children and young adults, PHPT is more commonly associated with hereditary forms of PHPT. Familial disorders, such as multiple endocrine neoplasia (MEN) types 1, 2A, and 4, hyperparathyroidism jaw tumor syndrome, and familial isolated hyperparathyroidism (FIH), should be considered in individuals under 35 years old. Hereditary forms of PHPT should also be suspected in patients with other endocrine disorders or tumors as described below.

MEN-1 mutations are transmitted in an autosomal dominant manner and involve multiple endocrine tumors involving the parathyroids, pancreatic islets, and anterior pituitary and/or duodenal endocrine cells [71]. For MEN-1, most endocrine surgeons recommend subtotal PTx at the initial exploration because of the high risk of persistent and recurrent hyperparathyroidism [77].

Identification of mutations in the RET proto-oncogene is diagnostic of MEN-2a. Early diagnosis is imperative as MEN-2a is associated with medullary thyroid carcinoma. Confirmation of this germ line mutation should lead to prophylactic thyroidectomy, ideally before 6 years of age.

Hyperparathyroidism-jaw tumor syndrome (CDC73 gene mutation) is associated with tumors in the parathyroids, kidneys, uterus, mandible, and/or maxilla. As the parathyroid tumors can be malignant, the presence of a mutation in the CDC73 gene in a patient with PHPT emphasizes the need for early PTx.

Thus, family history and DNA sequencing are of value in confirming the diagnosis as early as possible and preventing malignancies associated with hereditary hyperparathyroidism.

What is the role of preoperative imaging in PHPT?

Parathyroid glands are usually located at the four poles of the thyroid gland. Upper mediastinal tumors, while not in their expected location, are readily identified. However, parathyroid glands can be found in other locations and may be difficult to locate.

Parathyroid imaging has become a standard preoperative procedure to locate abnormal parathyroid tissue [69]. Parathyroid imaging is not a diagnostic procedure and is not advised unless surgical intervention is planned. Parathyroid adenomas or hyperplastic parathyroid glands are not always definitively identified. Lack of positive imaging should not preclude PTx in diagnosed patients as experienced parathyroid surgeons will find abnormal parathyroid glands regardless of imaging. The value of imaging rests with accurate identification of abnormal parathyroid tissue in order to assist in planning the appropriate parathyroid surgery. No level of imaging prowess substitutes for the expert parathyroid surgeon.

Preoperative parathyroid imaging studies have great utility during repeat neck surgery where scar tissue and distorted anatomical landmarks from previous operations make subsequent operations more difficult [78]. The imaging techniques most frequently used are 99mTc-sestamibi scintigraphy, ultrasound, and computed tomography (CT) [78]. In the presence of concordance between scintigraphy and ultrasound, the positive predictive value for correct side localization of a parathyroid adenoma can be as high as 97% [78].

99mTc-sestamibi scintigraphy

99mTc-sestamibi scintigraphy is based on the preferential uptake of sestamibi in the mitochondria-rich parathyroid adenoma cells. Physiologic uptake is also observed in the thyroid gland, the salivary glands, thymus (in young individuals), liver, mammary glands (during lactation), bone marrow, and brown adipose tissue. 99mTc-sestamibi scintigraphy is very sensitive (90%) and highly accurate (97.2%) in PHPT [78], with greater experience resulting in more reliable results [79]. Larger parathyroid adenoma (>1.8 cm) and higher preoperative ionized calcium levels (>1.49 mmol/L) are more reliably identified by sestamibi scan [78]. Low-dose 99mTc-sestamibi are as effective as high-dose protocols, but without the disadvantages of the higher doses [80]. There are several options for 99mTc-sestamibi scans available:

Washout scintigraphy using a single isotope

99mTc-sestamibi has a faster washout from thyroid tissue than from enlarged parathyroid glands, and tracer retention identifies the presence of hyperfunctioning parathyroid tissue. Anteroposterior scans are performed at 10–15 min post-isotope administration and following washout (90–120 min). Delayed washout in a well-defined area suggests the location of the parathyroid adenoma.

Subtraction scintigraphy

Two isotopes are used to distinguish between uptake in the thyroid gland (99mTc-pertechnetate and 123I) and both the thyroid and the parathyroids (99mTc-sestamibi). Subtraction of the images may be visual or digital. A parathyroid adenoma may appear as an area of increased uptake or as a new upcoming configuration change on the 99mTc-sestamibi scintigraphy. Subtraction scintigraphy is superior to dual-phase scintigraphy for identification of single- or multiple-gland disease [81].

Addition of a single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) study alone or in combination with low-dose CT can improve localization of the parathyroid lesion [82]. The combination has been found to be superior to either
Ultrasound of parathyroid glands

By ultrasound, a parathyroid adenoma is usually seen as a round or oval well-defined, hypoechogenic structure delineated by an echogenic line and contrasting the overlying hyper-echogenic thyroid tissue (Fig. 3). Calcifications and cysts may be found in larger parathyroid adenomas. Normal parathyroid glands are usually approximately 4 mm in size and not detected by ultrasound. Ultrasound is most useful in identifying parathyroid adenoma close to the thyroid gland or the upper cervical portion of the thymus. Ultrasound is less useful in identifying parathyroid adenomas located behind the trachea or esophagus or ectopic glands in the mediastinum. Ultrasound may be useful as a supplemental study to confirm the localization of a parathyroid adenoma identified by 99mTc-sestamibi scintigraphy. The sensitivity of ultrasoundography is 76–87 % with a positive predictive value of 93–97 % and a diagnostic accuracy of 88 % [87]. Additionally, ultrasound can identify concurrent thyroid nodules that may require biopsy prior to PTx.

Magnetic resonance imaging

A parathyroid adenoma appears as a soft tissue mass with high signal intensity on T2-weighted frames but low to moderate in T1-weighted frames. The signal intensity of the parathyroid adenoma is enhanced after gadolinium injection on T1-weighted frames compared with thyroid tissue with a sensitivity of approximately 50 %. Lymph nodes may have the same appearance. Gadolinium should not be used in patients with decreased renal function. This study is less commonly used except in certain circumstances such as during pregnancy due to lack of ionizing radiation as it has a lower sensitivity in comparison to four-dimensional CT (4D-CT) scans (see below). MRI may identify adenomas missed by sestamibi analysis [89]. The combination of the two modalities is superior to either alone [89].

Conventional CT

CT after contrast injection is a valuable imaging tool of particular benefit in localizing ectopic mediastinal parathyroid glands [90]. The sensitivity of CT is approximately 46–87 %. CT imaging allows rapid assessment of the parathyroids. Disadvantages include exposure to radiation, cost, and need for iodinated contrast. Two-phase CT was found to be as accurate as four-phase CT for diagnostic accuracy in localizing abnormal parathyroid glands, and with less radiation exposure [90]. 4D-CT can be a useful imaging tool. The fourth dimension refers to time (please refer to Fig. 2 for 4D-CT images). This technique is the initial imaging of choice over ultrasound and sestamibi scans at a few centers in North America. The increased sensitivity of CT in identifying normal and abnormal parathyroid tissue has significantly enhanced the localization of abnormal tissue preoperatively [91].

Selective venous sampling with PTH measurement

This technique is expensive and requires an experienced angiographer. It is only appropriately performed in the setting of a remedial exploration (in patients with previously unsuccessful surgery) when non-invasive imaging studies are non-informative [92]. In one study, preoperative venous sampling had a significantly higher sensitivity (75 %) than 99mTc-sestamibi–SPECT (30 %) [92].

Management and treatment

What is the role of surgery in PHPT?

Patients with symptomatic PHPT as well as asymptomatic patients who meet any one of the guideline criteria should be advised to undergo PTx, unless contraindications exist (see
Surgical consultation can be offered to those who do not meet guidelines for surgery. Data from cohort studies have demonstrated reductions in the risk of all fractures post-PTx [16, 17]. Also renal stone risk decreases following PTx [11, 93]. Normalization of serum calcium and PTH may have additional long-term benefits which have not yet been confirmed in
large long-term randomized controlled trials. For these reasons, PTx is always appropriate if the diagnosis has been confirmed and in the absence of contraindications or significant co-morbidity. Cure rates following PTx in the hands of an experienced surgeon exceed 95% with a very low complication rate (<1–3%) [94]. In individuals with a single adenoma, (seen in ~85% of PHPT patients), surgery can be curative. In the 15% of patients with hyperplasia, the risk of recurrence is greater and often requires a subtotal PTx. These patients are at higher risk of recurrent disease as the remnant left in situ is, by definition, abnormal and subject to further growth.

Parathyroid carcinoma occurs in <1% of patients with PHPT. A parathyroid gland suspicious for a carcinoma discovered during the initial operation should immediately undergo en bloc resection of the parathyroid lesion and the ipsilateral thyroid lobe together with any invaded muscles [94].

In addition to improvements in bone remodeling and BMD post-PTx, benefits may include improvements in cardiac and vascular function [95]. Recurrent urolithiasis is reduced post-PTx but not eliminated, particularly in patients previously categorized as idiopathic stone formers [96]. Persistently increased fractional excretion of calcium post-PTx was seen in one study which may explain the sustained increased risk of stone disease for many years after successful PTx [97]. Even asymptomatic PHPT patients display clinical improvement in some symptoms a year following PTx, particularly patients with higher preoperative calcium levels (>2.6 mmol/L) and those who are younger (<70 years) [94].

**Who should have surgery?**

All individuals with symptomatic PHPT should have surgery. The benefits include relief of hypercalcemic symptoms and improvements in skeletal [94] and renal [10] health. Additional benefits may include cardiovascular, neurologic, and gastrointestinal health; however, these potential benefits require further confirmation in large randomized controlled trials [94].

In asymptomatic PHPT, the value of PTx is emphasized, as surgery corrects the underlying abnormality and improves BMD, lowers bone turnover, and improves fracture-free survival [2]. The indications for surgery according to the 4th International Guidelines for the Management of Asymptomatic PHPT [2] are presented in Table 2.
Table 2 Indications for surgery for the treatment of primary hyperparathyroidism.

| Criteria                                                                 | Increase BMD |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1) Age <50 years.                                                       |              |
| 2) Serum calcium > 1 mg/dL or >0.25 mmol/L of the upper limit of the reference interval for total calcium and >0.12 mmol/L for Ca^{2+}. |              |
| 3) BMD T-score ≤−2.5 at the lumbar spine, the total hip, or the 1/3 radius for postmenopausal women or males >50 yrs. A prevalent low-energy fracture (i.e., in the spine) is also considered an indication for surgery, which requires a routine X-ray of the thoracic and lumbar spine (or vertebral fracture assessment by DXA). |              |
| 4) A glomerular filtration rate (GFR) of <60 ml/min. Further evaluation of asymptomatic patients with renal imaging (X-ray, CT or ultrasound) in order to detect silent kidney stones or nephrocalcinosis is advised [2]. A complete urinary stone risk profile should be performed in those individuals whose urinary calcium excretion is >400 mg/day. If stone(s), nephrocalcinosis, or high stone risk is determined, surgery should be recommended. |              |

Younger and older populations

Parathyroidectomy is advised for those with PHPT under 50 years of age, including children. In children, PTx has similar success rates with rapid increases in BMD [98]. In adolescents, the disease was more severe than in adults in one study [98]. Similar surgical success rates were seen in adolescents in comparison to adults [99]. In patients younger than 45 years, minimally invasive PTx has a recurrence rate similar to older individuals [94]. Surgery is recommended in older individuals meeting criteria for PTx if they are medically stable with no contraindications for surgery and/or the benefits of surgery outweigh the risks [100]. Advancing age is associated with greater morbidity, intraoperative and post-operative complications, and higher mortality rates following PTx [101].

Indications for minimally invasive parathyroidectomy

With successful preoperative localization of a parathyroid adenoma, minimally invasive parathyroid (MIP) surgery has become widely used. MIP has high surgical success rates (95–98 %) and low complication rates (1–3 %) similar to those seen with the classical open PTx [94]. Reduced operative time, outpatient surgery, reduced costs, and fewer complications are all benefits of the MIP [94].

An “open” PTx is preferred if preoperative imaging has not identified a parathyroid adenoma [94] or if hyperplasia or familial disease is suspected [94]. A bilateral neck exploration and subtotal PTx is usually performed in patients with MEN-1 or lithium-induced PHPT.

The MIP approach can be extended to a bilateral neck exploration if a parathyroid adenoma cannot be found or if the patient has unsuspected multiglandular disease. Multiglandular PHPT may not be excluded before surgery and is seen in approximately one in ten patients with sporadic PHPT [94]. In selected patients with MEN-1, MIP with excision of only the abnormal parathyroid glands may provide an acceptable outcome [102]. However, recurrence rates are expected to be higher.

Measuring PTH intraoperatively (IOPTH) with a rapid assay is of value in confirming adequate resection of abnormal parathyroid tissue and increases surgical success rates [94, 103]. The half-life of PTH is approximately 3 to 5 min. PTH is measured 5 and 15 min following resection of the parathyroid adenoma. IOPTH is obtained with a rapid PTH assay [94]. If the PTH level decreases by at least 50 % and falls into the normal range following resection, this confirms that adequate tissue has been resected and further exploration is not necessary [94]. A highly experienced surgeon can comfortably conduct a bilateral procedure visualizing all four parathyroid glands if the PTH level does not decrease into the normal reference range [94].

Repeat surgery is necessary in up to 5 % of individuals with persistent PHPT defined as the development of hypercalcemia within 6 months of PTx and is due to incomplete resection of abnormal parathyroid tissue. Recurrent disease as compared to persistent disease reflects the development of PHPT anew and occurs in up to 8 % of individuals with a sporadic parathyroid adenoma after 3–11 years [94]. Patients with double parathyroid adenomas have a higher rate of recurrent PHPT (4.0 %) as compared to patients with a single adenoma (1.3 %) or hyperplasia (2.2 %) [94]. Localization studies should be performed before reoperations to identify ectopic glands, although in most cases the abnormal glands are located in eutopic positions. The reoperation is best planned as a focused intervention when the presumed tumor is localized or as a unilateral exploration on the side that has not been explored previously [94]. Employment of an IOPTH assay is very helpful in remedial cases. Reoperation has a high success rate (>90 %) [94], especially when the pathologic parathyroid tissue is localized preoperatively. However, the risk of complications is higher in connection with a reoperation [94]. Cryopreservation of resected parathyroid tissue should be considered and used for subsequent auto-transplantation when permanent postoperative hypoparathyroidism is a real consideration. The success of PTx is influenced by patient age, surgeon’s expertise, and hospital endocrine surgery volume, as well as the results of preoperative imaging [94].
Who should be monitored without surgery and what are the medical options?

Monitoring appears to be a safe option for possibly up to 8 to 10 years for patients with asymptomatic PHPT who do not meet guidelines for surgery or are unable or unwilling to undergo PTx. BMD assessments on a 1-to-2-year basis in addition to yearly monitoring of the biochemical profile are recommended. Calcium intake should be recommended without restrictions according to the Institute of Medicine Guidelines [104], and vitamin D levels should be sufficient.

Vitamin D

Vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency occur in many patients with PHPT [69]. In Southern Europe, vitamin D insufficiency (<50 nmol/L) was present in approximately one third of patients with PHPT (higher than that of matched controls at 11 %) and was related to more severe skeletal disease [105]. As there is a 1000:1-M ratio between serum 25OHD and serum 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25(OH)₂D), it is unlikely that PTH-stimulated conversion of 25OHD to 1,25(OH)₂D explains the reduction in serum 25OHD in PHPT. It is probable that elevated 1,25(OH)₂D levels stimulate 24-hydroxylase gene expression and enhanced conversion of 25OHD to 1,24-dihydroxy vitamin D [106]. Co-existing vitamin D insufficiency has been associated with increased parathyroid gland weight; higher plasma levels of PTH, calcium, and alkaline phosphatase; lower plasma phosphate levels; and lower total hip and forearm BMD and cortical BMD [107, 108].

Vitamin D inadequacy appears to be associated with a more severe bone disease [109] and, consequently, a greater risk of hungry bone syndrome following PTx. In a 1-year study of 21 patients, vitamin D supplementation in PHPT was safe and effective in lowering PTH levels by up to 26 % without increasing mean serum calcium or urinary calcium excretion, although two patients had urinary calcium excretion above 400 mg [110]. The effects on bone markers and BMD are not conclusive [106]. Occasionally, vitamin D treatment may increase serum calcium levels and renal calcium excretion. A randomized, placebo-controlled study of vitamin D supplementation (2800 IU daily) for 26 weeks prior to PTx showed a significant 17 % decrease in serum PTH and a 2.5 % increase in lumbar BMD in the treated group without side effects [108].

In a randomized clinical trial, vitamin D supplementation for a year lowered PTH levels with no effects on serum calcium [108]. It is recommended that vitamin D deficiency/insufficiency be corrected and optimal vitamin D levels >50 nmol/L be maintained [2], or even at >75 nmol/L (30 ng/mL) [2].

Antiresorptive therapy

Amino-substituted bisphosphonates and hormone replacement therapy (HRT) improve BMD and lower biochemical markers of bone turnover in patients with PHPT. However, fracture studies are not available.

Table 3 provides a summary of the clinical trials evaluating amino-bisphosphonate therapy in PHPT. A meta-analysis has shown increases in hip and lumbar spine BMD with antiresorptive therapy to be similar to the improvements seen post-PTx [118]. In very limited studies, raloxifene has been associated with BMD improvements in subjects with PHPT [119].

Estrogen therapy decreases bone turnover, reduces urinary calcium excretion, and increases BMD throughout the skeleton in post-menopausal women with mild PHPT [120]. Reductions in ionized calcium or PTH have not been seen with estrogen therapy. There are no fracture data with estrogen therapy in PHPT.

Antiresorptive treatment should be considered in those with T-scores equal to or below −2.5 at the lumbar spine, hip, or one-third radius, or in the presence of fragility fractures in patients who are unable or unwilling to undergo PTx.

Cinacalcet

Cinacalcet, a calcimimetic agent, lowers serum calcium and PTH by increasing the sensitivity of the CaSR to extracellular calcium, thereby decreasing serum PTH and reducing the renal tubular reabsorption of calcium. With cinacalcet, serum calcium normalizes in 70–80 % of patients with PHPT [121]. The effect is maintained over 5 years; however, serum calcium increases to baseline levels when treatment is stopped. This drug does not impact BMD or lower biochemical markers of bone turnover [121]. There are no documented effects on hypercalcemic symptoms, renal stones, or quality of life.

Combination therapy with alendronate and cinacalcet has been evaluated retrospectively over 12 months and resulted in greater improvements in BMD at the lumbar spine and total hip sites in comparison to cinacalcet alone. Reductions in serum calcium to normal were noted in both the combination as well as the cinacalcet-only arms [122].

In a phase 3, multicenter RCT, 67 subjects with moderate PHPT unable to undergo PTx were randomized (1:1) to cinacalcet or placebo for 28 weeks [123]. Serum Ca normalized in 75.8 % of cinacalcet-treated vs 0 % of placebo-treated subjects (P < 0.001). The drug was well tolerated with similar adverse events in both groups. Side effects were limited to nausea and muscle spasms. Table 4 provides a summary of the trials evaluating cinacalcet in the treatment of PHPT.
Table 3  Summary of amino-bisphosphonate trials in the medical management of primary hyperparathyroidism.

| Lead author, year | Study design                  | Patient n | Therapy                  | Duration Tx | Serum calcium (mmol/L) | Baseline Vit D | BMD Lumbar spine %Δ | Femoral neck %Δ | Total hip %Δ | Radial %Δ | PTH               |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Schmidli, 1990    | Single-blind, placebo controlled, randomized cross-over | 10        | Pamidronate 30 mg or saline infusion | Single infusion, repeated 1–5 weeks post PTx | 2.49±0.0 post ADP vs 2.70±0.06<sup>2</sup> | NA              | NA                   | NA              | NA           | NA        | 56 ng/L increase  |
| Reasner, 1993     | Open label (dose blinded)     | 19        | Risedronate 20 mg or 40 mg/d for one week; repeated after three weeks off | =0.16±2.6; transient rise off Tx | NA              | NA                   | NA              | NA           | NA        | +35 ng/L<sup>2</sup>; transient rise with decline in Ca+ |
| Rossini, 2001     | RCT                            | 26        | Alendronate 10mg every other day vs no Tx | 24 months | Tx; Transient fall in Ca++, Pi, UCa+ from 3–6 months | NA              | NA                   | NA              | NA           | NA        |                    |
| Parker, 2002      | Open-label, controlled        | 32        | Alendronate 10mg/d       | 24 months  | No significant change  | NA              | 7.3±1.7%<sup>a</sup> | NA              | NA           | NA        | No significant change |
| Chow, 2003        | Double-blinded, RCT           | 40        | Alendronate 10mg/d or PBO | 48 w Tx, withdrawal 24 w | −0.09 vs 0.01<sup>2</sup> | 41.4 mmol/L | 3.79±4.04% vs 0.19±2.80%<sup>3</sup> | 4.17±6.01% vs 0.25±3.35%<sup>3</sup> | NA          | No significant change | No significant change |
| Khan, 2009        | Double-blinded, RCT           | 9         | Alendronate 10mg/d       | 12 months  | NA                    | NA              | 4.4%<sup>a</sup> | NA              | 2.95%<sup>a</sup> | 2.13%      | No significant change |
| Khan, 2004        | Double-blinded, RCT           | 44        | Alendronate 10mg/d vs PBO | 2 years; in 2nd yr PBO cross-over to alendronate | No significant change | 46 mmol/L | 6.85±0.94% after 2 yr in Tx group<sup>4</sup> | 3.67±1.63% after 2 yr in Tx group<sup>4</sup> | 4.01±0.77% after 2 yr in Tx group<sup>4</sup> | No significant change | No significant change |

<sup>1</sup> significant difference between groups (p<0.05)<br><sup>2</sup> significant difference between groups (p<0.01)<br><sup>3</sup> significant change from baseline (p<0.05)<br><sup>4</sup> significant change from baseline (p<0.01); Tx: treatment group.
| Author            | Study design                        | Patient n | Duration of treatment (months) (range) | Final daily dose (mg) (range) | Serum calcium (mg/dL) | Plasma PTH (pg/mL) | % change |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Sajid-Corckett et al. [124] | Retrospective chart review          | 18        | 8 (1–19)                               | Median 60 (30–90)           | 10.6±0.5               | 9.5±0.3           | <0.001 −10.8 |
| Iglesias et al. [125]      | Prospective data collection         | 4         | 12                                     | 60                          | 10.5±0.6 c             | 9.5±0.2 c         | NA −10.2  |
| Arranz Martin et al. [126] | Prospective open label              | 17        | 9.4±6.4                                | 30–60                       | 11.5±0.6               | 9.9±0.9           | <0.001 −13.9 |
| Faggiano et al. [122]      | Retrospective data collection       | 13        | 12 d                                   | 30–90                       | 11±0.2 c               | 9.7±0.1 c         | NA −11.8  |
| Cetani et al. [127]        | Prospective, open label             | 6         | Median 12 (3–21)                       | Median 60 (30–120)          | 12.2±1.2               | 9.7±1.2           | 0.002 −20.4 |
| Filopanti et al. [128]     | Prospective, open label             | 20        | 3 d                                    | Median (30–60)              | 11.7±0.5               | 9.5±0.4           | <0.001 −11.8 |
| Luque-Fernandez et al. [129] | Prospective, open label            | 20        | 12 d                                   | Mean 60 (30–180)            | 11.7±0.8               | 10.2±0.9           | <0.001 −12.8 |
| Saponaro et al. [130]      | Retrospective data collection       | 100       | Median 9 (1–26)                        | 15–120                      | 11.6±1.1               | 10.2±0.9           | <0.001 −12%  |
| Khan et al. [123]          | Double blinded, RCT                  | 67        | Tx: 5.83 (0.7–7.0)                     | Mean Tx: 82.7 (17–212)      | 11.7±0.5               | NA                | <0.001 −15.2% |
|                        |                                     |           |                                        | Tx: 158 (121–186)           | NA                     | PBO: −1.66%       |           |
|                       |                                     |           |                                        |                            |                        | PBO: 167 (136–248)  | NA                     |           |

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; NA, not available; Tx: treatment group; PBO: placebo group.

These studies included patients with previously failed parathyroidectomy or patients in whom parathyroidectomy was either contraindicated, inappropriate or refused.

**a** Unless otherwise indicate data are presented as mean, mean±SD, and range

**c** Mean±SE

**d** All patients were treated for the indicated times.

Modified with permission from Marcocci C et al. JCEM September 2014 [121].
At this time, cinacalcet is not recommended as a first-line treatment choice for the management of PHPT. Cinacalcet is a useful option in symptomatic patients where the disease cannot be controlled by surgical intervention or in circumstances where surgery is contraindicated and in patients with unresectable parathyroid cancer.

Currently, the only alternative to surgery demonstrated to lower serum calcium in PHPT is cinacalcet. The costs of cinacalcet may limit its access in certain patients and need to be taken into consideration in determining management strategies (Table 5).

How should PHPT be evaluated and treated during pregnancy?

PHPT is far less common in women of childbearing age, with an estimated prevalence of 8/100,000 women [131]. Hypercalcemia in pregnancy may be masked by several factors including increased extracellular fluid volume, low serum albumin, and increased transport of calcium to the fetus. PHPT in pregnancy has been associated with hyperemesis, miscarriage, nephrolithiasis, life-threatening hypercalcemic crisis, and pancreatitis. PHPT may be associated with intrauterine growth retardation and fetal death, preterm delivery,

Table 5 PHPT: Key points and what is new.

A. Diagnosis
1. Confirmed if serum calcium is elevated (total calcium corrected for albumin or elevated ionized calcium) in the presence of an elevated or inappropriately normal PTH in the absence of conditions mimicking PHPT (thiazide diuretics or lithium) and FHH.
2. FHH – Three variants now identified. Confirmed by DNA analysis of CaSR gene, Gα11 gene or AP2S1 genes. Suspected if CaCrCR <0.01. However in 20% of FHH cases CaCrCR can overlap with PHPT and is 0.01-0.02.
3. Consider familial PHPT in children and adults <35 years of age and DNA analysis (MEN1 gene, RET oncogene, HRPT2 gene) in the presence of:
   a. Family History of hypercalcemia
   b. Prior unsuccessful parathyroid surgery in patient or relative
   c. Hypercalcemia identified at young age (<25yrs) in patient or relative
   d. Absence of symptoms of hypercalcemia
   e. CaCrCR <0.02

B. Imaging:
1. Imaging is not used for the diagnosis of PHPT, which is based on biochemical profile.
2. Identification of abnormal parathyroid tissue is enhanced with single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) study in combination with a computed tomography (CT) study and is particularly valuable in repeat surgical cases.
3. Ultrasound, 99m Tc-sestamibi scintigraphy continue to be useful localization tools, however, they can miss small adenomas and hyperplasia.
4. Additional imaging or localization tools for those failing surgery or suspected of having an ectopic parathyroid gland include CT scans, MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging), 11C-Methionine PET/CT Parathyroid Scintigraphy. Selective venous sampling should only be performed when required for remedial exploration.

C. Presentation:
1. In developed countries – approximately 85% of patients present with asymptomatic disease. Twenty % present with renal complications (kidney stones, nephrocalcinosis), skeletal complications (fracture, osteitis fibrosa cystica, bone pain) or symptomatic hypercalcemia.
2. In developing countries the majority of patients present with symptomatic disease.

D. Indications for Parathyroid Surgery
1. All symptomatic PHPT
2. Asymptomatic PHPT – surgery is a valuable option particularly in those meeting criteria for surgical intervention.

E. Medical Management
1. Vitamin D deficiency/insufficiency should be corrected and is effective in lowering serum PTH without further elevating serum calcium. Correct serum 25OHD to >50nmol/L.
2. Amino-bisphosphonates are effective in preventing decreases in BMD and lowering bone remodelling.
3. Cinacalcet is effective in lowering serum calcium and should be considered for symptomatic PHPT when surgery is not an option. Amino-bisphosphonates may be used in combination with cinacalcet in selected patients.
4. Data with medical therapy currently is short-term and insufficient to justify medical therapy as an alternative to surgery. There is no fracture data with any of the existing medical therapies.
neonatal hypocalcemic tetany, or seizures [132]. The most common cause of PHPT in pregnancy appears to be a single parathyroid adenoma.

Ultrasoundography is the preferred modality for localizing the parathyroid lesion. PHPT in pregnancy requires close monitoring of both the mother and the fetus. Treatment of PHPT in pregnancy is recommended if the serum calcium >12 mg/dL (3 mmol/L), and intervention is determined by the stage of gestation, severity and symptoms of hypercalcemia, and patient preference. All patients should be advised to ensure adequate hydration. If the ionized calcium is above 0.12 mmol/L of upper normal levels [133], PTx in the second trimester is recommended [132]. Other treatment options include cinacalcet; however, fetal safety and outcome data are not available at this time.

Summary

PHPT presents at an asymptomatic stage in developed countries, while it remains a symptomatic disease in the developing world, although a larger number of individuals are now presenting with asymptomatic disease in Asia. PTx is curative in experienced hands and remains essential in those with symptomatic disease. In those with asymptomatic disease, surgery is also appropriate, particularly in those who meet surgical guidelines. Cohort data have demonstrated reductions in the risk of all fractures post-PTx. Surgery has also been shown to reduce the risk of renal stones and offers a cure if successful. Similar benefits have not yet been demonstrated with the existing medical options available. Medical monitoring appears to be safe for individuals who do not meet surgical guidelines and is required for those with contraindications for surgery or who are unwilling to undergo surgery. Targeted medical therapy includes amino-bisphosphonates for skeletal protection and cinacalcet for lowering serum calcium. There are no data showing fracture risk reduction with either antiresorptive therapy or with cinacalcet.

Future research should focus on the effects of PHPT on skeletal strength as well as pharmacologic approaches to lowering fracture risk. The effects of PHPT on cognition and the cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, and musculoskeletal systems in patients with mild asymptomatic disease require further evaluation with well-designed prospective cohort studies. The impact of attaining vitamin D sufficiency on PHPT also requires study. A greater understanding of the pathophysiology and natural history of NPHPT is an area of future research. Further progress in preoperative imaging will facilitate appropriate utilization of minimally invasive surgical interventions. The potential benefits of surgery as well as medical intervention are also areas requiring further exploration.
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