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Abstract Recently proposed common hardware Trojan detection methods can detect wide range of Trojan types, however, there is no explicit detection method for specific Trojan types. These types of Trojans lead to low detection efficiency due to variety of categories and complex features. Keeping in view the special characteristics of Fault Attack Hardware Trojan (FAHT), a method for detection of FAHT is proposed in this letter. Proposed methodology uses ring Oscillator detection circuit that can detect extra logic gate on original cipher circuit where each byte is not required to be injected into the circuit. The experiments show that when the Trojan circuit of area 0.0495% is implanted into the original AES circuit, the detection method in this paper can detect them successfully. In addition, the proposed detection approach has high flexibility to implement in other cipher circuit. 
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1. Introduction

With the development of the Integrated Circuit (IC), silicon chips have been used in almost every field like military, aerospace and mobile communication industry etc. In order to cope with the fierce competition in the IC market and reduce the costs, IC designers utilize third-party wafer factory to produce the chip. The fabrication process provides attacker great opportunities to insert malicious logic i.e. Hardware Trojan (HT). Generally, HT are composed of trigger circuit and payload circuit. The trigger circuit is in charge of activating the payload circuit through monitoring original circuit states. The payload circuit is responsible for performing malicious function [1].

On the other hand, fault attack is a popular method to analyze cryptographic circuit and attack the key in the field of information security. The adversary can utilize voltage glitch techniques, laser or Hardware Trojan to inject error bits while the cipher circuit is running. Then they extract the key by analyzing the correct and faulty ciphertexts [2]. Compared with other approaches, HT has good controllability and high accuracy to realize fault attack. Besides, no matter symmetric or asymmetric encryption all threatened by fault attack [2, 3, 23]. So the Fault Attack Hardware Trojan (FAHT) becomes one of the most dangerous HT for cipher circuit. It is critical and significant to do more researches on FAHT detection methods.

We have presented a brief review on related work have been done for detection of FAHT. The previous work can be grouped into three major categories: logic test, side-channel signal analysis and trusted hardware design. First of all, it is hard to trigger the HT by using traditional logic test because of HT keep silence in most of the time. Traditional logic test is only efficient to detect small and combinatorial logic HT. For solving the problem of aforementioned logic test, in 2009, Chakraborty et al. proposed a statistical Trojan detection approach to activate rare value of internal nodes by generate special test patterns [4]. Lots of research on improving activation probability of HT based on statistical Trojan detection is being done [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. But it is still hard to detect sequential logic HT. Side-channel signal analysis is another popular HT detection method. In 2007, D. Agrawal et al. proposed the side-channel signal analysis detection method by using power, temperature and electromagnetic profiles to reflect HT effects [10]. Improving the detection precision by changing the profiles process algorithm [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 26, 27, 28]. In 2011, Zhang. proposed an on-chip Ring Oscillator Network (RON) for HT detection as a trusted hardware design. By adding the RON and analyzing the power supply fingerprint, improving the HT detection efficient and precision [16]. Zhong use the temperature of RON to detect HT presented in [17]. In 2017, F. Pirpilidis test the effects of RO length and HT size for on AES [18]. Boneh proposed fault attack to access the key of RSA and CRT algorithm [19]. This attack method can be used in different cipher algorithms, such as DES, AES, PRESENT and SIMON and corresponding anti-fault attack method has been proposed [20, 21, 22, 23]. Debdeep and Michael implement a fault attack on AES-128 by inject error bits on the eighth round, and proposed the hack equations about ninth and tenth rounds injection [24, 25]. Different cipher algorithm has different hack equation, but the fault injection location generally in the last few rounds [2, 3, 29, 30].
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Oscillator (RO). By implementing the detection circuit on SMIC 0.18 process, we prove that our proposed detection circuit has the ability to detect small logic without considering the noise. By inserting detection circuit in AES-128 on FPGA, we verify the high detection effectiveness of proposed detection circuit.

2. Proposed FAHT Detection Circuit

A comprehensive analysis on fault attack revealed that it commonly occurs in the last few rounds [2, 3, 29, 30]. In order to defend against most existing fault attacks and improving the HT detection flexibility, we propose a FAHT detection circuit, as shown in Fig.1.

As the Fig.1 shows, our FAHT detection circuit has 3 invertors that can generate oscillations and 8 multiplexers are used to transfer common data or oscillating signal. When RO_en is high, data transfer from input to output through the multiplexers. When RO_en is low, the 8 multiplexers will connected as a ring oscillator, for FAHT detection function. And the number of invertor can be changed to any odd number for different output frequency of ring oscillator. This detection circuit can be directly insert into any cipher circuits where there is a sensitive location which can be threatened by fault attacks. This design allows designer not to modify the original circuit, hence provides higher flexibility.

3. Simulation and Analysis

In order to test the performance of proposed FAHT detection circuit for series or parallel connected design with extra logic gates, we use SMIC 0.18um process to simulate the circuit frequency in virtuoso. Every test gate is built by using two invertors in series. The test circuit is shown in Fig.2.

As shown in fig.2 (a) and (b), these are extra logic which may be injected by malicious third party. And the main connection of logic is (a) in series and (b) in parallel. The malicious logic may be more complex, but as long as we can detect the smallest gate in series and in parallel, we can detect the complicated logic. Actually, the location of malicious injection may be in anywhere on the ring. So the Fig.2 just give one example.

The simulation results of gates in series are shown in Fig.3. As shown in Fig.3, with the increase of extra gates in series connection, the output frequencies are getting lower. It is obvious that when we add one gate the frequency is decrease from 1037.1187MHz to 915.3156MHz. Difference is 121.8031MHz. So if the number of gates in series is increasing, the difference of frequency will be greater than 121.8031MHz.

Similarly, the results of test performance for parallel connected design with extra logic gates are shown in Fig.4.

As shown in Fig.4, with the increase of gates in parallel connection, the output frequencies are getting lower. It is obvious that when we add one gate the frequency difference is 34.9678MHz. So if the number of gates in parallel is increasing, the difference of frequency will be greater than 34.9678MHz. So without considering the noise, our proposed FAHT detection circuit can distinctly reflect the injection of extra circuit.

4. Implementation on FPGA

In order to verify the actual detection performance of proposed detection circuit on cipher circuit, we use none-feedback mode AES-128 as the test cipher circuit. By analyzing the existing fault attacks on AES, we have concluded that those attack mainly occurred in the last three rounds
Based on this observation, we insert FAHT detection circuit in eighth, ninth and tenth rounds of AES. The detection location of eighth round is shown in Fig.5.

![Detection location of AES circuit](image1)

**Fig. 5.** Detection location of AES circuit.

The insert location in the last three rounds are after SUBBYTES operation. SUBBYTES are used as one of the sub-modules in AES [18]. Because the structure of last three rounds are almost same, except the tenth round has no Mix-Column operation, we only give the eighth round structure in the Fig.5. As shown in Fig.5 the detection location is marked. And the data width is 128 bits, so we commonly use state matrix to describe the data transfer process. The state matrix includes 16 bytes, every byte has 8 bits. So the total number of bits are 128. And we extract the state matrix of the detection location in last three rounds and mark the location of detection circuits, as shown in Fig.6.

![Insert location of FAHT detection circuit](image2)

**Fig. 6.** Insert location of FAHT detection circuit.

As we can see in Fig.6, RO1-RO21 are detection circuits. In order to reduce the resource consumption of the circuit, we have done some optimization at the injection, i.e. not every byte have to insert detection circuit. Because of the fault attack on tenth round must inject at least one error bit in different 16 bytes, the tenth round only needs to inject one detection circuit. In ninth round fault attack, HT has to be injected in different 4 columns to generate 4 error bits [24], so the detection circuit can insert in one of the 4 columns. By parity of reasoning in eighth round the adversary use only one bit error that can attack the key, so all 16 bytes need to be inserted in the detection circuit. The experiments are performed on Altera cyclone IV EP4CE22F17 FPGA. For easy measurement of frequency we change the number of invertor to 11.

After implementation, we use oscilloscope to measure the output frequency. As shown in Fig.7.

![Results of FAHT detection circuit on FPGA](image3)

**Fig. 7.** Results of FAHT detection circuit on FPGA.

This experiment prove that our FAHT detection HT can detect the FAHT successfully.

5. Comparison and Analysis

In order to compare the advantage of proposed method with the other HT detection method, we evaluate the hardware consumption of proposed FAHT detection circuit on FPGA. As shown in Table I.

**Table I.** Recourse Consumption

| Name          | Original AES | Detection Circuit | HT | Total |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|----|-------|
| LEs           | 14147        | 273               | 7  | 14427 |

Our original AES consume 14147 logic elements (LEs). Proposed detection circuit increase 1.93% for original AES area. And the FAHT consumes 7 LEs increasing area almost 0.0495%.

Compared with logic test detection method [8, 9], ours do not need any time to generate the test pattern and activate the Trojan. Compared with the side-channel HT detection method [28], ours can detect the Trojan circuit of area 0.0495%. It it better than 0.6% in [28]. Compared with normal ring oscillator detection method [18], our detection method do not need any "golden" chip. Because detection circuit in [18] only based on inverter or NAND gate, the distance between HT and detection circuit impact the performance. But ours directly insert in the cipher circuit, as long as the FAHT inject it will impact the frequency.

6. Conclusion

In this letter, we proposed a FAHT detection method. Based on RO the detection circuit can detect the FAHT on original cipher circuit. The experiments show that when the Trojan circuit of area 0.0495% is implanted into the circuit, the detection method in this paper can detect them successfully. And the experiment results show that without the "golden
“chip” proposed detection method still can detect the HT.
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