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Abstract

The importance of user-friendly, inexpensive, sensitive, and selective detection of viruses has been highlighted again due to the recent Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Among the analytical tools, paper-based devices (PADs) have become a leading alternative for point-of-care (POC) testing. In this review, we discuss the recent development strategies and applications in nucleic acid-based, antibody/antigen-based and other affinity-based PADs using optical and electrochemical detection methods for sensing viruses. In addition, advantages and drawbacks of presented PADs are identified. Current state and insights towards future perspectives are presented regarding developing POC diagnosis platform for COVID-19. This review considers state-of-the-art technologies for further development and improvement in PADs performance for virus detection.

1. Introduction

Viruses can cause serious diseases including rabies [1], dengue [2], hepatitis [3], Ebola [4], AIDS [5], avian influenza [6], SARS, MERS, etc. [7]. Viral load can have a great impact on the severity of the diseases [8]. In addition to acute diseases, some viruses, such as hepatitis B and C, can lead to chronic infections or cancer [9]. Viruses are spread by infected carriers, contact with contaminated surfaces, and exposure to aerosolized virus particles [10,11]. Viral infections are currently responsible of one third of the deaths annually worldwide [12]. Recently, the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2 virus) has caused a pandemic leading to millions of deaths and a huge economic crisis around the world [13].

There are more than 1500 identified human and animal viruses to date, exhibiting wide variety in structure, composition and genome [14]. Viruses include single- or double stranded RNA and DNA variants and have an outer protein called the capsid which consists of one or more structural proteins, surrounding the viral genome [15]. The nucleocapsid, which is composed of the genome along with the nucleic acid-associated proteins, can also be surrounded by an envelope in some virus families. The envelope consists of glycoproteins and glycosylated-membrane proteins that takes the form of spikes or knobs. Viruses can be classified based on the replication strategies of their genomes. Also, they can be separated into different categories according to their shape as enveloped, filamentous, icosahedral, or head-and-tail viruses. Enveloped viruses such as avian influenza viruses, SARS Coronavirus-1 (SARS-CoV), Ebola virus, Zika virus, Middle East respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus (MERS-CoV), and SARS-CoV-2 have caused outbreaks so far [16–18]. A recent global outbreak, SARS-CoV-2, spread rapidly, affecting hundreds of millions of people and was deemed a pandemic by the World Health Organization [19,20].

Various respiratory infections are caused by coronaviruses, SARS-CoV, and MERS Coronavirus (MERS), and can be transmitted through animals to humans [21]. Although the spread of COVID-19 is faster than former coronavirus infections, global mortality rate is 6%, less than SARS-CoV (10%) and MERS-CoV (35%) [22]. The protein components of SARS-CoV-2 include the spike glycoprotein, envelope proteins, and matrix and nucleocapsid proteins [23]. The spike protein is the most abundant transmembrane protein, showing
high immunogenicity. SARS-CoV-2 can be distinguished from other coronaviruses through nucleic acid sequence that produces the protein sequence. The nucleocapsid protein (also called the N protein), which is a structural protein, is responsible for the viral replication cycle [24]. To diagnose COVID-19, S and N proteins have been used as the most valuable antigen biomarkers [25]. In addition, Immunoglobulins IgG, IgM, and IgA are generated in response to SARS-CoV-2 infections starting on the fourth to seventh days of the disease have been used to detect current or previous SARS-CoV-2 in recent studies [26].

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is the infectious disease which is caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. While COVID-19 is a current focus, many other viruses are also of significant concern. Influenza, which targets the respiratory system, is considered to be the most common infectious disease, causing three pandemics in twentieth century alone [27]. Due to the high risk of transmission and epidemics/pandemics, it is important to rapidly detect influenza infections. The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), which is a retrovirus, is another important virus that causes immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), attacking the immune system [28]. Mortality can be reduced by early diagnosis and clinical treatment of the infection [29]. Human noroviruses (HuNoVs) are the leading cause of foodborne illnesses with around 21 million cases of acute gastroenteritis and $5.8 billion costs annually in the US [30]. HuNoVs have a wide range of genetic variation, resulting in at least nine genotypes of HuNoVs consisting of 150 strains. Among them, GII.4 is the most common genotype, which is responsible for about 80% of all norovirus outbreaks since 2002 [31]. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), which has a single-stranded negative-sense RNA encoding 11 proteins, lead to mild to moderate respiratory illnesses such as bronchiolitis and pneumonia, particularly in infants and elderly people [32]. Characteristics of RSV are similar to other viral respiratory infections with transmission through aerosols due to early symptoms including coughing and sneezing [33]. Zika virus, which is a flavivirus, is spread by mosquitoes. The virus causes congenital malformations and microcephaly during pregnancy, and neurologic complications such as neuropathy and Guillain-Barre syndrome [34]. Chikungunya and dengue viruses are both enveloped positive-stranded RNA genome arboviruses which cause epidemics in countries of Latin America and the Pacific [35]. These viruses are also transmitted by mosquitos and result in life threatening infectious diseases [36]. According to WHO reports, no effective vaccines or antivirals are available for chikungunya virus [37]. Hepatitis B virus, which is a small DNA virus of the Hepanaviridae family, infects approximately two billion people worldwide every year [38]. This virus causes acute hepatitis, chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma [39]. Porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV), which is a member of the coronavirus family, disrupts epithelial cells of the small intestine, resulting in an acute intestinal infectious disease in piglets and fattening pigs [18,40]. Pseudorabies virus (PRV), which is a neurotrophic alpha-herpes virus with a double-stranded DNA genome, infects swine and has several secondary hosts such as cattle, dogs, and cats [41]. The presence of PRV infection in humans causes respiratory distress and neurologic disorders and has been shown in recent reports [42]. To avoid pandemics and viral diseases, timely and sensitive detection of viruses become crucial for both developed and developing countries. The recent studies on developing PADs for detection of viruses are related to aforementioned viruses and they have been discussed in detail in applications section.

Viruses can be detected using various methods including electrochemical, optical, and biosensor-based techniques. Some of these methods are based on techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) and can be used for detecting various viruses. They can be used for detecting and identifying various viruses, including SARS-CoV-2, HIV, and Hepatitis B virus. These methods are highly sensitive, requiring laborious and time-consuming steps to be completed over days and, they rely on expensive reagents/equipment. Thus, it is necessary to develop rapid, low-cost sensing platforms for viral detection to control outbreaks in a timely manner. Paper-based analytical devices (PADs) were first used in the 17th century and have become popular due to their properties of biocompatibility, porosity, ease of modification, flexibility, chemically inertness, eco-friendly, and ease of storage and transportation [44,45]. Since the working principles of PADs are based on power-free fluid transport by capillary action, PADs are easily used with various sample types. When the Whitesides group introduced microfluidic patterns on a paper using a photoresist for fluidic control in 2007, a milestone was achieved for the development of microfluidic paper-based analytical devices (µPADs) [46]. The same group proposed a wax printing technology to create microfluidic patterns and various µPADs using colorimetric detection [47]. However, the assays and analytes were limited to chemical reactions that produce colored products. In 2009, the first electrochemical paper-based analytical device (ePAD) was develop by Henry group to overcome this issue and improve analytical performance of µPADs [48]. A review covering the first ten years of ePADs has recently been presented in Ref. [49].

Paper is less expensive than poly(ethylene terephthalate) and glass and other microfluidic substrates [50]. Although there are various paper substrates including chromatography paper, filter paper, office paper, cardboard, etc., filter paper has been the most used in the microfluidic sensor development [51]. Selection of paper type varies based on the desired hydrophilicity, pore size, and thickness, all of which impact the analytical performance of PADs. Paper has also been used in lateral flow assays (LFAs), dipsticks and microfluidic µPADs for applications including clinical, food, environmental, and healthcare monitoring [52]. PADs are suitable for point-of-care (POC) diagnosis of viral diseases, especially in developing countries confront with limited resources.

In this review, fabrication and detection methods of PADs for virus sensing are summarized and also, recent developments and applications from January 2020 to May 2021 are presented in detail. Moreover, challenges and future technologies are identified in conclusions and future prospects section.

2. Fabrication methods

Paper has been employed in LFAs, dipsticks, and µPADs [53]. According to the complexity of the application, two- (2D) or three-dimensional (3D) PADs can be fabricated. Specific regions of the cellulose paper are changed from hydrophilic to hydrophobic for both types of devices [54]. 2D- and 3D-PADs have been fabricated by wax printing [47], inkjet printing [55], photolithographic printing [46], flexographic printing [56], plasma treatment [57], laser treatment [58], wet etching [59], ink stamping [60], lacquer spraying [61], and screen printing [62] on paper substrates. Since wax is inexpensive and non-toxic, wax printing has been widely used to fabricate PADs compared to other methods. Alternatively, a desktop digital craft plotter/cutter and technical drawing pens have been introduced as low-cost PAD fabrication method [63]. PADs typically have regions assigned for sample introduction, liquid flow, and chemical or biochemical reactions [64]. Hydrophobic barriers are commonly defined to govern control flow of analytes and reagents through microchannels, prevent leakage, and stop cross-contamination between multiplex assays.

LFAs are a type of 2D-PADs and were first reported in 1956 [65]. LFAs consist of a sample pad, a conjugate pad, a flow membrane (typically nitrocellulose), and an absorbant pad. The sample pad can be exploited for adjusting pH and/or pretreating the sample since it is the first region that sample contacts. Cellulose, glass fibre, Rayon and modified filtration substrates can be selected as sample pads to
properly deliver the sample to the conjugate pad, which is commonly made of glass fibre, polyester or rayon [66]. Generation of a signal at the test (T-line) and control (C-line) lines in LFAs depends on covalent or passive modification of the conjugate pad with labels such as gold [67], magnetic nanoparticles [68], fluorescence labels [69], quantum dots [70], carbon nanotubes [71], enzymes [72] and liposomes [73]. After the sample passes the conjugate pad, analyte is captured at the T-Line and excess antibody at the C-line. Nitrocellulose is typically used as the flow membrane due to the presence of charged nitro groups that help immobilize proteins [66]. Finally, the sample arrives to the absorbent pad which is used to wick the liquid for through the device. The materials used for absorbent pad are the same as the sample pad [74]. In addition, backing materials made of polystyrene, polyester or adhesive polymer and tape are used to maintain device integrity during the assay. LFAs have advantages such as long shelf-life (up to 1–2 years), low-cost (~USD 0.10–2), and the need of small volume of sample. The sensitivity can be improved by manipulating the membrane materials, reagents, and labels, and optimizing flow [75]. However, LFAs have some drawbacks in that their reproducibility is poor because of the variation of cellulose-based materials from batch-to-batch and, analytical or numerical models are not useful for prediction of flow in the porous membrane [53].

In addition to 2D-PADs, 3D-PADs allow liquid flow in X, Y, and Z directions by folding or stacking paper layers typically using double-sided adhesive tape. 3D-PADs differ from 2D-PADs with the use of multiple paper layer for the device assembly. The configuration of 3D-PADs provides precise time-control of the fluid flow and multiplex detection [64,76]. Also, it is possible to control incubation time of the target and integrate various components. For example, the Chailapakul group recently developed a pop-up paper device for detection of hepatitis B virus DNA [77]. The pop-up device includes a reagent zone, a sample zone, working, counter, and reference electrodes, and a conducting pad (Fig. 1A). The PAD was patterned using wax-printing. The counter and reference electrodes were printed on the front side of the PAD, and the working electrode on the back side of the PAD. After the sample zone was modified with (1S,2S)-2-aminocyclopentanecarboxylic acid (acpcPNA) probe, the device was cut and folded to generate a pop-up architecture. The fluidic path was able to be controlled due to the open and closed state of the device, allowing separation of reagent and detection zones. Using this design, contamination can be eliminated during washing since the target DNA is hybridized before hexacyanoferrate (III)/(II) is introduced to the reagent zone. Another 3D origami PAD was developed for detection of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) by Chen et al. [78]. The 3D-PAD includes a strip, a detection pad, an indication pad, and three absorbent pads consisting of hydrophilic channels, wells, holes or hydrophobic zones generated by wax printing. Whereas 3D design was used to decrease manual operation steps and PAD size, a “timer” function was developed for indicating the timing of the ELISA steps. To initiate the timer, washing buffer is added to the detection wells. Then, the fluid is transported to the absorbent pads and the dye wells at a controlled flow rate. The signal is based on the solution turning green color in indication pad owing to its pretreated wells. The proposed configuration enables controlling of the time at which different steps are to be performed due to color formation. Fabrication methods for PADs with recent developments have been highlighted in Refs. [45,79–81].

3. Detection and signal read-out methods

Optical and electrochemical detection methods have been used for viral detection [15]. Optical detection is based on measuring optical signals such as fluorescence, chemiluminescence, and color generated due to binding of analytes with their probes. Colorimetric methods, which are based on colorimetric reactions between target analytes and chromogenic substances, are the most popular among optical methods due to their simplicity. Although fluorescence detection gives more sensitive detection among other methods, an excitation light source, fluorescent dyes, intrinsic fluorophores, and a detector are necessary to measure the emission intensity versus analyte concentrations, making the approach more complex compared to colorimetric methods. The signal generated
from analytes in fluorescence detection is read using a microscope, camera, laser, or charged-coupled device. On the other hand, colorimetric methods have an advantage that the signal can be read by the naked eye without the necessity of bulky instruments [82]. In recent years, alternative readout formats such as distance, text, and time have been used with PADS [83]. They have some advantages over colorimetric-based readouts due to easier analysis of quantitative results by the end-user [84].

Electrochemical methods can provide more sensitive results compared to optical methods. The detection of target molecules occurs due to specific interactions between bio-recognition elements and target analytes via covalent or non-covalent bonds, and acquisition of digital signals from a transducer into a digital detector [85]. Biorecognition elements can be antibodies, aptamers and peptide for viral detection. A variety of electrochemical methods including cyclic voltammetry (CV), linear-sweep voltammetry (LSV), differential-pulse voltammetry (DPV), square wave voltammetry (SWV), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), conductometry, potentiometry and amperometry have been used for virus sensing [86,87]. Whereas DPV and LSV are both able to measure small potential and current differences, amperometry can detect the changes in current in response to a redox reaction during biological interaction. The change in electrical resistance based on the change in the ionic strength of a solution is measured by conductometry whereas potential difference between the working and reference electrodes is measured by potentiometry due to the changes in ion activity generated via biochemical interactions.

Smartphone-based technology as a processor and detector has become attractive for viral sensing due to its portability, wireless telecommunication, rapid acquisition of results, allowing data transfer and POC diagnosis [88]. Small optical elements such as lenses, apertures, prisms, optical fibers, waveguides, and mirrors, which offer magnification, filtering, and image improvement, are used for optical systems [89]. Smartphone-based optical sensing devices use image processing sensors for capturing images of results and, subsequently, images are processed [90]. However, the variations between cameras among various mobile phone models can cause variations in results [91]. The issues with image resolution can be overcome using deep learning (DL) methods [92]. In addition to capturing images and data acquisition, smartphones can share and store the data with the use of wireless connections via cloud servers in a secure and automated fashion. In addition to optical sensing, smartphones can couple to portable potentiostats via near field communication (NFC) technology for electrochemical detection of viruses (Fig. 1B) [93]. NFC is able to transfer electrical power (~10 mW) to electronics and sensors without the need of batteries. Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) or paper is used to power (~10 mW) to electronics and sensors without the need of batteries. Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) or paper is used to

4. Recent applications of paper-based analytical devices for virus detection

The sensitivity of PADS requires efficient immobilization of biomolecule probes such as aptamers, antibodies, ssDNA, and antigens on the electrode surface. The modification process is achieved by physical adsorption, covalent attachment, or entrapment, and should be simple, effective, and avoid impacting the recognition elements [97]. In terms of the classification, PADS have been presented into three groups, antibody/antigen-based, nucleic acid-based, and other affinity-based PADS based on type of biorecognition element and classified according to their detection methods.

4.1. Antibody/antigen-based PADS for viral detection

Antigens or antibodies can be used as biorecognition elements for the development of immunosensors for viral detection. Whereas antigens bind to the host cell receptor, antibodies are glycoproteins produced after a few days and are specific to virus antigens [98]. Antibodies are also widely used as the recognition element in virus sensors. For virus detection, monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), polyclonal antibodies (pAb) and antibody single-chain variable fragments (scFv) have been used [99]. mAbs are more specific than pAb because mAbs bind to a single epitope while pAbs bind to different epitopes on a single antigen [100]. However, mAbs are more expensive than pAbs due to their longer time for production [101]. On the other hand, scFv fragments are smaller and have less variability compared to intact antibodies but require additional processing steps to be used [102].

Both label-free and labeled (sandwich) immunoassay formats are used with PADS. While label-free PADS can directly detect virus particles, label-based methods rely on sandwiching antigen between capture and detection antibodies with a label such as an enzyme, a nanomaterial, or biotin. mAbs are mostly used as the capture antibody whereas pAb is frequently used as the detection antibody. Electrostatic, hydrophobic, and van der Waals interactions, and covalent bonding strategies have been used for immobilization of antibodies. Although non-covalent strategies are simple to perform, they result in reduced antigen-binding capacity, stability and reproducibility due to random orientation of antibodies on the electrode [103]. Covalent immobilization of antibodies is employed due to reaction between modified electrode surfaces and amine, carboxyl, or thiol functional groups of the antibodies using cross-linkers such as glutaraldehyde, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC), and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS). While glutaraldehyde is used to activate amino groups on surface to attach antibodies via imine bonds [104], EDC/NHS coupling is used to activate either amine or carboxyl-functionalized electrode surface for more stable immobilization of antibodies via amide bonds [105]. Immobilization strategies of antibodies are reviewed in detailed in Refs. [106–109]. Blocking reagents such as bovine serum albumin (BSA) are used to block non-specific hydrophobic binding sites on the surface [110].

4.1.1. Optical detection

Although lateral flow immunoassays (LFIs) are rapid, they usually lack quantitative results. Fluorescence-based LFIs provide quantitative or semi-quantitative detection, however, traditional fluorescent dyes have low stability which is not ideal [111]. In addition, fluorescence-based LFIs require a dedicated reader. The issue of dye stability can be overcome by using lanthanide-doped polystyrene nanoparticles (LPNs) [112]. Chen et al. developed an LFA based on LPNs for detection of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG [113]. A nitrocellulose membrane was treated with recombinant nucleocapsid phosphoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 and goat anti-rabbit IgG. Mouse anti-human IgG antibody labeled with synthesized LPNs via EDC/NHS cross-linker was used as a fluorescent agent. Diluted serum samples were tested in 10 min. Another LFA based on a signal-amplifiable mesoporous silica nanoparticles (MSNs) was developed to detect avian influenza viruses (AIV) and other viral avian-origin diseases by Jung et al. (Fig. 2A) [114]. Although conventional MSNs have been utilized owing to their properties such as porosity, high stability and biocompatibility, they have small pores (~3 nm) which led to obtaining blocked surface during immobilization process due to the large size of biomolecules. In this
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study, the issue was overcome by generating MSNs with controlled pore sizes to effectively bind biomolecules of different sizes including antibody, HRP, and BSA. After thiol-terminal modified porous silica nanoparticles were prepared, anti-AIV Ab was immobilized on NPs via sulfo-SMCC as a cross-linker. Then, maleimide-modified HRP was prepared using sulfo-SMCC and, conjugated to the Ab-PSNPs solution followed by blocking with BSA. Since random immobilization of HRP occurred due to the addition of HRP first and decreased the ability of antibody attachment on the MSNs, the antibodies were first immobilized to the external thiol groups of MSNs followed by HRP attachment to the remaining thiol groups between the pores. Anti-AIV capture Ab and goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin (IgG) were dispersed on NC membrane LFA strip. In the meantime, AIV samples were incubated with the Ab-HRP-PSNP nanoprobe in the well plates for 15 min. Finally, LFA test strips were dipped into the wells and luminol
solution was added to the signal zone. Generated chemiluminescence signals were analyzed using a smartphone and 'Image J' software. This conjugation strategy enables large amount of antibody loading for improved binding to the target antigen and signal intensity. The optimized LFA was used to detect LPAI H5N2, H1N1, and HPAI H5N9 viruses and LODs were found as $10^{1.5}, 10^{2.5}, 10^{4}, 10^{5}$ EID$_{50}$/mL, respectively, which is 20–100 times lower than that of a commercial AIV rapid test kit. The LFA was used to test 37 clinical samples with high sensitivity and specificity.

Although LFA readers have been used directly with smartphone cameras to capture images and digitally process test results using software, the variation in pixelation and focal lengths between various smartphone cameras limits accuracy. To address this issue, Xiao et al. developed a LFA reader based on the ambient light sensor of a smartphone device, which is more sensitive and consistent compared to conventional readers, for detection of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV) [46]. The device was composed of a switch, a resistor, three batteries and a 520 nm LED, which were integrated into a 3D-printed holder with two light transparent holes. While the top hole was attached to the lateral flow immunossay (LFIS)’s light transparent hole, the other hole was attached to the ambient light sensor. The 3D-printed also includes a holder slot which can be easily adapted to any smartphone. After AuNPs-colored bands occurred on the NC membrane, the analyte concentration was analyzed with the LFIS reader. The operation of the reader is based on projecting emission of the light by the LED over the NC membrane. Then, the ambient light sensor of the smartphone was used to acquire the light intensity signals and free android app Light Meter was used to display. A commercial PEDV LFIS was successfully used as a proof-of-concept and LOD was found as $s 0.055$ ng/mL in spiked swine fecal samples using the Light Meter app for transmission of light intensities. It takes 2 h to fabricate the reader with total cost of $1.30. The reader has advantages of being low-cost and compact without the necessity of a large dark field. The same group further improved the sensing system for diagnosing wild-type PRV infection versus vaccine immunization in swine (Fig. 2B) [115]. Here, PRV-gE antibodies were used as an indicator of PRV presence since they distinguish individual serum infected by wild-type PRV from vaccine immunization. At first, PRV was labeled with latex beads (LBs). Whereas a mixture of LBs-PRV and LBs-antigen chicken IgY mixture was dispersed onto the conjugation pad, PRV-gE Ab and chicken IgY were used coat the T-line and C-line, respectively. Once the serum is introduced to the sample pad, the PRV-gE Ab first binds to the LBs-PRV followed by migration to the adsorbent pad. Positive sample results in combination of the LBs-goat anti-chicken IgY and the chicken IgY on the C-line whereas the LBs-PRV do not bind the PRV-gE Ab on the T-line. Once the reaction was completed, light intensity from the T-line were analyzed with the smartphone reader due to the use of ambient light sensor. Swine clinical samples were tested in 15 min and found good agreement (98%) between commercial gE-ELISA kit.

In addition to fluorescence and chemiluminescence detection methods, colorimetric detection methods have also been used to detect viruses. Due to COVID-19 pandemic, simple and portable sensing devices are highly desirable for screening the disease. Recently, it has been suggested that serum IL-6 levels are a prognosis biomarker for COVID-19, which is between 5.1 and 18.8 pg mL$^{-1}$ for mild cases and 22.5–198 pg mL$^{-1}$ for moderate/severe cases [116,117]. Adrover-Jaume et al. developed a paper-based colorimetric immunosensor for detection of IL-6 in blood and respiratory samples from COVID-19 patients (Fig. 2C) [118]. A reservoir was created by using paraffin to define hydrophobic barrier on a square piece of paper and filled with polystyrene sulfonate (PSS). Then, AuNP-conjugated antibodies were introduced to the reservoir. The origami configuration was generated by folding a filter paper in 3 layers and samples were added to three capture zones. Finally, antibody loaded paper was combined with 3D origami paper to transfer NPs to the detection zones. The sum of three signals formed at each capture zone was analyzed with a smartphone app using densitometry. The device enables rapid screening of COVID-19 (less than 10 min) at PON with LOD of $10^{-3}$ pg mL$^{-1}$.

### 4.1.2. Electrochemical detection

Electrodes can be also modified with nanomaterials to enhance conductivity of electrochemical PADS (ePADs). Nanomaterials have been employed to modify electrode surface to detect viruses owing to their biocompatibility and high sensitivity [119]. Nanomaterials have a significant impact on efficient immobilization of bio-recognition elements on PADS. Nanoparticle-modified electrodes are extensively presented in Ref. [120]. Carbon-based nanomaterials including carbon dots (CDs), carbon nanotubes (CNTs), and graphene are rich of carbon element and classified as zero-(0D), one- (1D), and two- (2D) dimensional carbon nanomaterials [121]. The 2D carbon allotrope graphene can be covalently functionalized to obtain graphene oxygen derivatives such as graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (rGO) [122]. GO and rGO have been widely used to modify electrochemical devices via drop-casting, spin coating, and ink-jet printing due to their low cytotoxicity, hydrophilicity, and reactive functional groups [123]. In this context, Yakoh et al. developed an electrochemical PAD (ePAD) for detection of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies (Fig. 3A) [124]. The 3D origami device consists of three folding layers including a working ePAD, a counter ePAD and a closing ePAD. While the electrodes were screen-printed, the hydrophilic center of each zone was created by a wax barrier to allow the solution flow through to the test area. The graphene oxide (GO) solution was prepared and drop-cast on working ePAD and, the spike protein receptor-binding domain (SP RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 was immobilized via EDC/NHS. SARS-CoV-2 antibodies IgM and IgG were individually detected by SWV and the LODs were found as 1 ng mL$^{-1}$ in the linear range from 1 to 1000 ng mL$^{-1}$ in 30 min. The device configuration has advantage that the closing ePAD prevent the biohazard sample being exposed to the environment after analysis. The obtained LOD using ePAD was found to be three orders of magnitude more sensitive than colorimetric LFAs. However, LOD of the device was not sufficient to test SARS-CoV-2 in real nasal swab specimens. Another carbon-based nanomaterial was used to develop an electrochemical immunosensor for detection of SARS-CoV-2 by Eissa and Zourobto [125]. At first, screen-printed electrodes were modified with carbon nanofibers (CNF) via drop-casting. Next, diazonium salt was produced and used to functionalize CNF-modified electrode by electrografting. Finally, SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (N) protein was immobilized on the carboxyethyl-modified-CNFelectrodes using EDC/NHS coupling. A piece of cotton fiber was used to fabricate the cotton-tipped immunosensor for rapid and low-cost virus detection by combining sample collection and detection in a single platform without the need of sample preparation. A competitive assay was used to detect virus antigen in the presence of a fixed amount of N-protein antibody in the sample solution. The higher the concentration of free antigen causes the smaller amount of antibody available to attach to the antigen on the electrode surface, which was detected using SWV. The interaction between the antibody and antigen on the immunosensor resulted in an increase in the reduction peak current of the ferro/ferricyanide redox solution since the positively charged antibodies attracted to the redox anions, leading to improved signal. The immunosensor had an LOD of 0.8 pg mL$^{-1}$ for the N antigen with a linear range 1–1000 ng mL$^{-1}$, showing no cross-reactivity with antigens from...
influenza A and HCoV. The PAD could be connected to a portable potentiostat to acquire signal read-out via smartphone for POC diagnosis of COVID-19.

In addition to carbon-based nanomaterials, other nanomaterials have been used for developing electrochemical ePADs to detect viruses due to their advantages such as portability, low-cost and high sensitivity [126]. Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) are used to rapidly separate targets from a complex mixture of matrix substances by facilitating mass transport to the sensing area via magnetic control. Owing to the use of MNPs along with electrochemical devices, the preconcentration of the sample is achieved, allowing decreased analysis time and increased sensitivity [127]. For example, a MNP-based immunosensor was developed by Fabiani et al. to detect SARS-CoV-2 [128]. The electrodes were fabricated via screen printing using a transparent and flexible polyester support and then modified with carbon black. Antibodies for both SARS-CoV-2 proteins, S protein and N protein, were immobilized on MNPs followed by attachment of detection antibody labeled with alkaline phosphatase enzyme to generate the antibody-antigen sandwich. Preconcentrated MNPs provide enhanced enzymatic by-products that have a close contact with electrode surface resulting in improved sensitivity. In the presence of alkaline phosphate enzyme, 1-naphthol was obtained as enzymatic by-product from 1-naphthyl phosphate as the substrate. The electrochemical measurement was performed using DPV via a commercial portable potentiostat (PALM SENS). S- and N-protein in untreated saliva were analyzed and the LODs were found as 19 ng mL$^{-1}$ and 8 ng mL$^{-1}$ for S- and N-protein in 30 min, respectively.

Recently, the use of smartphones along with electrochemical sensors has gained interest for POC tests. Smartphones can wirelessly transfer data to a computer for analysis and storage. A smartphone functionalized with advanced computing functions and data transmission could be adapted to sensing platforms for obtaining POC devices to enhance clinical decision making and decrease treatment delays [129]. Near Field Communication (NFC) is a wireless technology that enables communication between smartphones and electronic tags [130]. NFC sensors provide to acquire data without physical connections; thus, it is possible to perform real-time monitoring of analytes. Recently, Teengam et al. presented a NFC-enabling smartphone-based immunosensor to detect hepatitis B virus [96]. The device includes an NFC antenna, a potentiostat circuit made in silicon, and connections to an

Fig. 3. (A) Schematic illustration of the (i) device components and (ii) detection procedure of the COVID-19 ePAD. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [124]. (B) (i) The developed multiplex hepatitis B test strip, and (ii) the processing steps of electrode modification. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [132].
electrode, which is operated with an app. After screen-printed graphene electrodes (SPGE) were functionalized with gold nanoparticles (AuNPs), β-cyclodextrin (β-CD) were used to modify the electrode surface via electropolymerization and for immobilization of antibodies. The modification steps were verified by EIS and CV. The label-free immunosensor showed linear response between 10 and 200 μg mL⁻¹ with a LOD of 0.17 μg mL⁻¹. Hepatitis B surface antigen (HbsAg) in the presence of (Fe(CN)₆)₃⁻/⁴⁻ redox couple using amperometric detection. The developed NFC potentiostat-based sensing platform overcome the POC challenges due to its rapid response. In addition, it is low-cost (less than $10 each) and easily be adapted for detection of COVID-19. Another HbsAg electrochemical immunosensor with lower LOD than previously mentioned report was developed by Rezki et al. [131]. Here, an amine-functionalized metal-organic framework (MOF) nanospheres were synthesized via solvothermal method. MOF nanomaterials provide sensitive detection since they have open micro- and mesopores for enhanced functionalization and produce electrochemical signal due to its electroactive characteristics. The antibodies were covalently linked to aminated Cu-MOF nanospheres via EDC/NHS coupling. CV, EIS and DPV were used to perform electrochemical measurements and linear range was found as 1 ng mL⁻¹ - 500 ng mL⁻¹ with a LOD of 730 pg mL⁻¹ HbsAg. In another study, Akkapinyo et al. developed a multiplex immunochromatographic strip test and electrochemical immunosensor for screening hepatitis B virus [Fig. 3B] [132]. Three hepatitis B serological markers including HbsAg, hepatitis B surface antibody (Anti-HBs) and hepatitis B core antibody (Anti-HBc) are used for clinical diagnosis of hepatitis B [133]. While HbsAg is the indicator of positive hepatitis B infection, the presence of anti-HBs shows the recovery from the infection. Anti-HBc, which is an antibody the hepatitis B core protein, exist either in the phase of infection or recovery from HBV [134]. The strip test develops a straight diagonal line of a Nyquist plot at lower frequencies shows a diffusion-controlled redox process whereas the semicircle of a Nyquist plot shows at higher frequencies shows charge transfer resistance (Rct) from the electrode surface to the redox couple solution. Among electrochemical methods, EIS is commonly utilized due to its ability to reveal interaction between different layers of PAD during surface modification of the electrode [135]. Since EIS is highly sensitive, it is frequently used for label-free immunosensors [136]. Principles of these electrochemical detection techniques have been broadly reviewed [49,137].

LFA strips have the advantage of enables simultaneous analysis of multiple biomarkers, allowing POC diagnosis in developing countries at low-cost. The electrochemical detection approach can be used for label-free detection of HBV with cost-effective and easily mass producible way. In a recent study, a label-free electrochemical immunosensor based on bimetallic Au@Pt nanoparticles, Prussian blue (PB), and reduced graphene oxide-tetraethylene pentamine nanocomposite (rGO-TEPA) for detection of HbsAg was presented by Wei and coworkers [138]. rGO/PB-Au@PtNPs composite was produced by mixing Au@PtNPs with rGO-TEPA/PB nanocomposites prepared via in-situ reduction. Here, rGO-TEPA was used to enhance electrocatalytic function of PB NPs and adsorption of noble metal (Au@Pt) NPs owing to their abundant amino groups on the surface. After the screen-printed electrode was modified with the nanocomposite, hepatitis B antibody (HbsAb) was immobilized on the electrode surface. Au@PtNPs provide efficient antibody attachment and analyze contact with active sites on the working electrode surface due to their large surface area. The immunosensor exhibited a linear range from 0.25 pg mL⁻¹ to 400 ng mL⁻¹ with a LOD of 0.08 pg mL⁻¹ using DPV.

Although a variety of immunosensors have been proposed, there are still challenges. Non-specific adsorption may occur because of poor quality of antibodies immobilized on electrode surface and lack of control of electrode surface chemistry [139]. Engineered recombinant antibodies offer modifications with tags or labels and antibody fragments, which attach to single antigen epitopes. On the other hand, antibodies can be affected by pH, salt concentration and/or temperature changes [140]. In addition, antibodies used for whole virus particles can target epitopes that change due to changes in the viral genome [141]. Recently, antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 S protein of the viral envelope have been used in construction of immunosensors against SARS-CoV-2 [142]. There are commercial antibodies against the S, E, M and N proteins of SARS-CoV-2, however, their specificity has not been presented so far. Thus, antibodies should be screened carefully during virus immunosensor development.

4.2. Nucleic acid-based PADS for viral detection

Unlike immunoassay-based methods, nucleic acid amplification-based methods are not based on detection of antibody or antigens produced by host cells. Viral pathogens include genomes, which encode them, can be used as a marker of the infectious diseases due to their specific genetic information. To detect target nucleic acids, target-specific primers are designed based on complementary base pairing. DNA/RNA probes are used as recognition elements to construct nucleic acid-based devices due to specific hybridization reactions between DNA-DNA or DNA-RNA [143]. However, extraction of the DNA/RNA sequences in a viral pathogen is not straight forward and should be performed efficiently. High temperature, chemical reagents and mechanical force are used for virus lysis to obtain the nucleic acid [144]. Nucleic acid amplification methods including thermal cycling amplification, isothermal amplification, and rolling circle amplification are used to improve the concentration of viral nucleic acid for detection [145]. Thermal cycling has drawbacks because temperature control is necessary with the use of complex heating elements, limiting POC applications. Isothermal
techniques have been developed to overcome this problem by eliminating thermocycling steps. Nucleic acid amplification approaches for biosensing are discussed in Refs. [146,147].

4.2.1. Optical detection

In fluorescence detection of viruses, nucleic acids are modified with fluorescein derivatives such as ethidium bromide dye, SYBR green or TaqMan probe, which emit fluorescence under LED light sources [146]. Recently, entropy-driven amplification strategy as a novel isothermal nucleic acid amplification method has been presented for highly sensitive detection of nucleic acids. In a recent study, an entropy-driven amplification strategy-assisted LFA was developed for detection of influenza virus H1N1-RNA [148]. At first, the fluorescent nanospheres (FNs)-DNA conjugates were prepared. Then, entropy-driven reaction sample was obtained using the biotin labeled substrate (S) which is a three stranded hybridization complex (R/L/β-product, P strands). Whereas the reporter DNA (strand R) was labeled with a FN, the linker strand (L) was modified with biotin to attach streptavidin. Streptavidin-biotinylated capture DNA and streptavidin were dispensed on the NC membrane to generate L-lines and C-lines, respectively. The cascade strand displacement reaction occurred in the presence of target, producing strand R. After the hybridization reaction, amplified fluorescence of the nanosphere was captured using a UV lamp and a digital camera. The device LOD was 2.02 pM influenza virus H1N1 RNA in human serum sample, which is 746-times larger than the non-amplified assay. The stability of the device was up to 6 months at 4 °C. Due to the use of the entropy-driven amplification, the total number of base pairs remained constant, decreasing circuit leakage and obtaining high sensitivity for the target nucleic acid detection. The proposed sensing strategy is advantageous over traditional nuclelease-based signal amplification because the system does not require enzymes. Another isothermal amplification method, loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), is used for nucleic acid amplification. Four primers including the forward inner primer and backward inner primer (FIP and BIP), and forward and backward outer primers (F3 and B3) are used to bind to six unique sequences on the target. LAMP is based on Bst polymerase which is operated at 55–65 °C. LAMP shows high amplification capacity up to 109-fold, producing signal with improved specificity [149,150]. Therefore, LAMP has become a promising method particularly for paper-based systems for viral detection. Seak et al. developed a lab-on-paper for all-in-one molecular diagnostics (LAMDA) of zika, dengue, and chikungunya virus from human serum (Fig. 4A) [151]. The 3D-PAD was designed by integrating lateral and vertical flows. Sampling, extraction, amplification, and detection were combined on a single paper chip, which includes loading pad, serum loading pad, connection pad, absorbent pad, and amplification, by stacking. A polyethersulfone membrane was wax-printed and used as a fluidic channel pad. While functional parts were treated chemical solutions, the transfer pad was treated with LAMP reagents. After serum sample containing target virus RNA was introduced to the serum loading pad, target RNA molecules were obtained owing to dried lysis buffer. The loading pad was used for the washing step and transferring the target RNA to the amplification area via lateral flow. Then, purification and concentration of nucleic acids was achieved due to the presence of chitosan on the binding pad. Finally, target specific reverse transcription-LAMP amplification occurred in the reaction pad with increased pH via vertical flow, obtaining fluorescence signal by hydroxynaphthol blue (HNBP) molecule in the presence of green light source. Zika, dengue, and chikungunya virus RNA in human serum was simultaneously detected in different zones on the reaction pad due to the fluidic separation by wax printing in 1 h at 65 °C. Serum containing 5400 copies of zika virus, 5700 copies of dengue virus, and 4500 copies of chikungunya virus was successfully tested. The paper chip offers a portable, mass-producible, and low-cost platform for virus detection in an automated fluidic flow. However, the platform requires a portable reader and heater is necessary for signal analysis and incubation step, respectively, which limit the devices use for POC diagnosis. This issue can be overcome by using a proper algorithm during real-time signal read-out.

Colorimetric detection, which is based the color change of chemical reaction, is an alternative detection technique for nucleic acids. For example, a paper-based nucleic acid detection assay has been developed by Noviana et al. [152]. The sample was pretreated on-chip using chitosan-modified paper to increase selectivity due to the elimination of possible interferents from the reaction and pre-concentrate the nucleic acids. After a 5’-digoxigenin- and 3’-biotin-labeled oligonucleotide probe and the target were hybridized, unhybridized probe, target, and single-stranded non-target DNA were cleaved by digestion of P1 nuclease (from Penicillium citrinum). Nitrocellulose membrane, which was modified with an anti-digoxigenin antibody, was utilized to capture the labeled probe-target hybrids. Since streptavidin-conjugated horseradish peroxidase (Strep-HRP) and, tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) and hydrogen peroxide as an enzyme-substrate pair were applied on the membrane, a blue line was observed as colorimetric signal due to the presence of the target DNA. The colorimetric signal was quantified using a cellphone camera and image analysis software. It is possible to detect sub-femtomole of target DNA with high specificity via the proposed assay within 2–3 h. The advantage of the paper-based detection platform is that the detection limit was improved by ~5-fold due to sample pretreatment chitosan-modified paper on-chip. Although, the sensor had higher LOD compared to the amplification-based techniques, the developed colorimetric sensing system is promising for POC screening of COVID-19 especially in resource-limited areas. Moreover, chemical coloration and enzyme cleavage substrate coloration techniques have become popular due to the advancements in nucleic acid detection technology. For example, Sun et al. developed a colorimetric PAD based on a simple and low-cost colorimetric assay based on a cell-free system and toehold-switch technique for detection of GII.4 and GII.17 genotypes of norovirus (Fig. 4B) [153]. Toehold-switch sensor is an RNA-based translational regulator, which is able to regulate protein synthesis including the enzyme β-galactosidase (LacZ). The switch RNA includes a hairpin module with a start codon. While its 5’ end consists of a complementary sequence to the target sequence, its 3’ end consists of the coding sequence of the regulated gene. The codon is activated to initiate the translation of the lacZ gene after the target sequence is encountered by the switch RNA. The detection is based on cleavage of a yellow color substrate namely Chlorophenol red-β-o-galactopyranoside (CPRG) via LacZ protein, producing a purple product. The paper was first pretreated with BSA. Then, the paper was cut into paper disks followed by treating with the cell-free reaction mixture and placed in 96-wells plate. To obtain cell-free system, the paper disks were dried in a lyophilizer. Plasmid DNA containing the target sequence was dropped onto the paper and a color change was observed with naked eye. The assay generated an LOD of 0.5 pM and 2.6 fM for the GII.4 and GII.17 genotype, respectively. The colorimetric signal was quantified using a cellphone camera and image analysis software. Although the cost of the developed assay is around $20 per reaction, the costs can be reduced under $6 per reaction using self-made nucleic acid sequence-based amplification reagents instead of using commercial reagent kits. This method should be further
developed for POC diagnosis especially in hot climate since the enzymes should be kept at low temperature. Similarly, the same group presented another colorimetric toehold switch sensor in a paper-based cell-free system for detection of respiratory syncytial virus subgroups A (RSVA) and B (RSVB) [154]. The same concept was used for construction of the PADs, and the expressed LacZ hydrolyzed chromogenic substrates due to the presence of target trigger RNA. The colorimetric signal was analyzed by a microplate reader and the LODs for RSVB and RSVA were obtained as 91 aM and 5.2 fM, respectively. The specificity of the PAD was evaluated with human coronavirus HKU1 (HCoV-HKU1) and SARS-CoV-2. The assay has an advantage that it can distinguish RSVA and RSVB without the need of sophisticated equipment.

Advancements in magnetic nano/micro beads structures has driven their use for enrichment of the target DNA from complex matrixes. To enhance sensitivity of nucleic acids, isothermal strand-displacement amplification (SDA) can be used. Two target-specific primers, a polymerase enzyme and a nicking exonuclease enzyme are necessary for amplification, which can cleave the synthesized strand between the primer and target sequence. SDA can be operated at 21–65°C, depending on the polymerase selected [155]. With the use of magnetic beads and nicking enzyme-assisted SDA, Zhao et al. demonstrated a LFA to detect hemorrhagic fever viruses including hantavirus (HTNV), chikungunya (CHIKV), dengue (DENV), and Ebola (EBOV) [156]. After biotin was used to modify the capture probe for magnetic bead separation of RNA, reverse transcription of total RNA was performed. The SDA reaction was carried out by adding the primer sequence and the nicking enzyme, Nb.BbvCI, to the reverse transcription products of each virus. The incubation process was performed at 37°C for 1 h. As a result of the amplification reaction, the products were introduced to the sample pad of the LFA, which was treated with AuNPs conjugated DNA probe. Thus, SDA-amplified products modified with AuNPs were utilized as the capture probes. A sandwich link was generated at the T-line and the LOD of this assay was 10 fM in 5 min. The LFA does not require thiolated DNA extraction and purification steps and is suitable for POC diagnosis of hemorrhagic fever virus.

Fig. 4. (A) The lab-on-paper for all-in-one molecular diagnostics (LAMDA). Reproduced with permission from Ref. [151]. (B) (i) The mechanism of paper-based colorimetric method, and (ii) images of paper-based reactions toehold switch SN8 for GII.4 and SN24 for GII.17 detection. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [153].
For nucleic acid amplification, the degradation of nucleic acids by enzymes (nucleases) is common [157]. In recent years, Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR)-associated nuclease (Cas)-based sensing systems have shown promise for POC sensing. Exogenous invasive genetic sequences are processed and kept in the form of short DNA sequences when they are integrated. After that, RNAs attach to the Cas nuclease enzyme, degrading the corresponding target disease nucleic acids [158]. DNA Endonuclease-Targeted CRISPR Trans Reporter (DETECTR) DETECTR technology is a potential method to detect infectious diseases [159]. Sensitive POC detection of nucleic acids can be employed with the use of RNA-guided target-recognition-triggered collateral cleavage as an amplifier. Cas effector proteins such as Cas9, Cas12, Cas13 and Cas14 have been used as target sequence recognition elements along with a variety of LFAs for nucleotide sensing with LODs down to zeptomolar (10⁻²¹ M) [160]. Broughton et al. reported a CRISPR-Cas12 based LFA using SARS-CoV-2 DETECTR platform combined with reverse transcription-LAMP (RT-LAMP) for detection of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples [161]. Cas12 gRNAs were designed for detection of three SARS-like coronaviruses including SARS-CoV-2, bat SARS-like coronavirus and SARS-CoV in the E gene after priming the matching E (envelope) and N (nucleoprotein) genes of SARS-CoV-2 were designed. The DETECTR assay consists of RT-LAMP reaction for extraction of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from swabs at 62°C, generating dsDNA products, and Cas12 detection reaction of pre-defined coronavirus sequences at 37°C. After trans-cleavage assay with Cas12a, a lateral flow strip was then dipped into the reaction tube. The assay can be performed in less than 40 min. The signal produced at the T-line was read out in 2 min using a FAM-biotinylated reporter molecule, which captured labeled nucleic acids. The signal intensity was analyzed using ImageJ for quantification and the LOD of the assay was 10 copies of SARS-CoV-2 RNA per microliter of RNA extract. The method was validated using patient samples positive for COVID-19 and other viral respiratory infections. The proposed CRISPR-based DETECTR assay offers an alternative platform to the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention SARS-CoV-2 real-time RT-PCR assay. Also, CRISPR-Cas-based systems are advantageous over PCR-based methods because specific primers are used during isothermal amplification. POC detection could be achieved by incorporating portable microfluidic-based cartridges with the assay in the future. CRISPR sensing technology is at the early stage of development, however, POC devices can be developed by coupling with paper-based lateral flow and isothermal amplification, achieving sensitive viral detection. In addition to DETECTR, specific high-sensitivity enzymatic reporter unlocking (SHERLOCK) technology is presented for paper-based CRISPR-Cas diagnostics [162]. Nucleic acid detection based on CRISPR/Cas technology is reviewed in detail in Refs. [163–165].

4.2.2. Electrochemical detection

Paper-based electrochemical biosensing platforms enable advancements in POC devices for the rapid detection of viral nucleic acids. Due to the interaction between probes and targets, the electrochemical signal generated on the electrode surface is measured in the presence of redox-active probes are [Fe (CN)₆]³⁻/⁴⁻ and [Ru (NH₃)₆]³⁻²⁺ complexes via electrochemical techniques [166]. Methylene blue (MB), which is a cationic dye, is used for electrochemical detection of target DNA due to the interaction between free guanine base and the cationic amino groups of MB. As a result of hybridization between ssDNA and the target DNA, the signal is decreased due to the reduced availability of free guanine [167]. Although nucleic acid-based ePADs show high specificity and stability, efficient hybridization is a challenging task to obtain desired sensitivity [168,169]. While small amounts of probe immobilized on the nucleic acid-based PAD surface can limit analyte binding, excessive probe density can decrease hybridization efficiency due to the saturation of the device surface [170].

Loading of a high amount of capture DNA has been achieved by exploiting the graphene-based nanoparticles owing to their high surface area. For example, Rana et al. developed an ePAD based on oxidized (Ox) graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) for detection of norovirus-specific DNA [171]. g-C₃N₄, which is a semiconducting material, has a large surface area, is inexpensive, possesses excellent chemical stability and improves electron transfer due to its nitrogen content [172]. The electrodes were fabricated using carbon ink on cellulose paper via screen-printing. To create hydrophobic barriers, wax printing was used. Ox-g-C₃N₄ NPs were drop-caste and the capture probe DNA was immobilized by adsorption. The device had a LOD of 100 IM using MB via DPV and showed specificity over a non-complimentary DNA. Another nanomaterial-based ePAD was developed by Singhal et al. for a multiplexed detection of dengue virus (DENV) subtypes using graphene oxide-silicon dioxide (GO-SiO₂) nanocomposites (Fig. 5A) [173]. The multiplexed PAD was fabricated using the stencil printing and, included four working electrodes and a counter electrode [174]. GO-SiO₂ nanocomposite was synthesized and used to modify working electrodes. DNA probes were immobilized via adsorption onto the electrode surface. Amplification in the signal response occurred due to conductivity of the nanocomposite. The device showed linearity between 100 pM and 1.0 μM with a LOD of 100 pM target DNA of the four serotypes of the dengue virus (DENV), DENV 1, DENV 2, DENV 3, and DENV 4. The PAD can easily be adapted for mass production and early prediction of dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) or dengue shock syndrome (DSS). In a recent study, Zhao et al. developed an ePAD based on calixarene functionalized graphene oxide to detect RNA of SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 5B) [175]. AuFe₃O₄ nanoparticles were produced and immobilized to the capture probe (CP) labeled with thiol (HT). p-Sulfocalix [8]arene (SCX8) functionalized graphene (SCX8-RGO) was prepared and used for enrichment of the electrochemical mediator, toluidine blue (TB), followed by modification with AuNPs to generate Au@SCX8-RGO-TB nanocomposites. The nanocomposite was incubated with labeled signal probe (LP) and auxiliary probe (AP), respectively. CP-Au@Fe₃O₄ was incubated with target viral RNA which was extracted using commercial kits. Au@SCX8-RGO-TB-LP-AP was incubated with target HT-CP-Au@Fe₃O₄. The final composite was drop-casted on a screen-printed carbon electrode and analyzed with DPV using a smartphone giving a linear range between 10⁻¹⁰ and 10⁻¹² M target RNA. While the 5' - and 3' -terminals of target sequence are complementary to CP and LP, the 5' - and 3' -regions of AP have complementary sequences with two different LP areas, respectively. Thus, high sensitivity could be achieved with the use of CP and LP, and AP based on sequence-specific detection. The real patient samples including throat swabs, urine, plasma, feces, serum, whole blood, and saliva were tested and the LOD was obtained as 200 copies mL⁻¹ SARS-CoV-2 RNA. The proposed plug-and-play diagnostic system based near-POC test is promising for sensitive detection of SARS-CoV-2. Graphene-based materials for electrochemical detection of viruses is presented in Ref. [8].

Recently, a variety of MOFs have been conjugated with DNA to electrochemically detect target DNA owing to their properties such as low-cost, large surface area and controllable porosity [176]. Although MOFs provide efficient immobilization of the target probe, they usually suffer from low electrical conductivity. Therefore, the proper design and preparation of composites is necessary to obtain enhanced conductivity. Lu et al. developed a paper-based nickel metal-organic framework (Ni-MOF) composite/Au nanoparticles/carbon nanotubes/polyvinyl alcohol (Ni–Au composite/
CNT/PVA) film electrode for HIV DNA detection [177]. A mixture of CNT and PVA was deposited on a cellulose membrane by vacuum filtration to fabricate the working electrode. Ni-MOF composite/AuNPs was produced via one-step calcination method and drop-casted on the working electrode. Then, single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) was immobilized on the electrode surface owing to large specific surface area of MOF with hydrogen bond sources. Target HIV DNA was detected using DPV through DNA hybridization in the presence of methylene blue (MB) as a redox indicator. The current signal of MB decreased with the increase of target DNA concentration. The PAD exhibited a LOD of 0.13 nM target HIV DNA within linear range of 10 nM-1 μM. The CCP film remained after bending 200 times, showing good flexibility. The device had long stability (~20 days) and was successfully used in serum samples. Functional groups, geometry structure, size, porosity, stability of MOFs are important parameters for designing concept and the detection performance of the device. MOFs based biosensing of viruses has been extensively presented in Refs. [178,179].

4.3. Other affinity-based PADs for viral detection

In addition to nucleic acid and antibody/antigen-based PADs, other biomarkers such as glycoproteins, peptide-nucleic acids and aptamers have been used for detection of viruses. Glycoproteins contains oligosaccharide chains linked to polypeptides, which are useful for disease diagnostics [180]. Although methods such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), capillary electrophoresis, high-performance anion exchange chromatography and liquid chromatography are used for identification of glycoproteins as biological markers of pathogens, they suffer from high-cost, complicated and time-consuming pre-treatment steps, the need for skilled personnel and expensive equipment [181]. Thus, a rapid and reliable screening method is important for detection of glycoproteins, particularly for SARS-CoV-2. For example, GO and gold nanostars (AuNPs) were utilized to modify screen printed electrodes by Hashemi et al. for detection of viral glycoproteins of SARS-CoV-2 [182]. Functional groups of GO were activated using 8-hydroxyquinoline (8H), EDC and NHS to attach synthesized AuNPs. The active functional groups of proteins such as –NH2, –OH, CH3 and carbonyl functional groups were trapped on electrode surface resulting in sensitive detection of target viral glycoprotein. The detection mechanism was based on the interaction with active functional groups of viral glycoprotein, obtaining different voltage positions as a fingerprint for each virus using DPV. The LOD of 1.68 × 10⁻²² μg mL⁻¹ with sensitivity 0.0048 μA/g mL⁻¹ cm⁻² toward detection of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples was obtained using DPV in 1 min. The device has the advantage that any extraction step prior to analysis or biomarker such antibody, DNA, antigen is not necessary to detect viruses.

Peptide nucleic acids (PNAs), which are a non-degradable DNA mimic having a neutral N-(2-aminoethyl) glycine backbone, have been used as a probe in PAD development. The specificity can be improved with the use of PNA probe owing to their strong target-binding affinity to DNA or RNA. Since there is no electrostatic repulsion between uncharged PNA backbone and nucleic acid counterpart, PNAs exhibit high affinity towards complementary DNA and RNA sequences [183]. PNAs are advantageous over nucleic acid oligomers in that they are thermally stable and resistant to degradation by nucleases and proteases [184]. In a recent study, an automated paper-based lateral flow electrochemical device (eLFA) was developed to detect by the Vilaivan and Chailapakul group (Fig. 6) [185]. A sequence of the acpcPNA (TCC TGG AAT TAG AGG) complementary with the HBV DNA target was synthesized and used as a probe due to its higher specificity and lower background currents than those of traditional probes. Wax-printing was used to pattern the nitrocellulose membrane, followed by the immobilization of acpcPNA on the detection zone. The system was assembled with other components including screen-printed electrode, sample pad and adsorbent pad using a plastic backing card. The LFA device consists of a non-delayed channel, a straight a zigzag delayed channel and a trapezoid-shaped zone for sample delivery, Au⁺⁺ delivery, and detection step, respectively. A gold metallization strategy was used for the signal-on electrochemical detection of the target DNA. Once the HBV DNA sample was introduced to the sample zone, the solution flowed through the non-delayed channel, hybridizing with the acpcPNA probe at the detection zone. The solution was delayed and merged with the solution flowed through the delayed channel due to the wax-printed barriers, leading to automatic and sequential application of the assay. After DNA hybridization occurred, Au⁺⁺ attached to the captured DNA via electrostatic interactions. Finally, Au⁺⁺-metallized DNA was generated using electrochemical reduction and the HBV DNA concentration was determined with an anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV). A linear range between 10 pM and 2 μM HBV DNA with a LOD of 7.23 pM was obtained in 7 min with single sample loading. The developed PAD was applied to real patients’ samples without the need of amplified DNA. The design of PNAs-based devices has been reviewed in Ref. [186].
Aptamers, which are single-stranded oligonucleotides or peptides, can be used for detection of whole viral particles. They are advantageous over antibodies because they are inexpensive and easily synthesized and modified [187]. RNA aptamers are degraded by nuclease activity of RNases, which makes it difficult to develop antiviral aptamers. Since full-length membrane protein purification of viral particles is challenging, Cell-SELEX (systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment), which uses whole cells instead of target proteins, has been developed to selectively bind to the target [188]. In a recent study, Liu et al. demonstrated a LFA based on magnetic enrichment and SDA to detect coat protein of red-spotted grouper nervous necrosis virus (RGNNV-CP) [189]. After AuNPs were synthesized and conjugated to DNA, AuNPs-DNA was immobilized on the conjugate pad. Streptavidin-modified magnetic beads (MBs) were coated with the C-aptamer and A-aptamer, which were incubated with RGNNV. Then, magnetic separation was performed to concentrate the target molecules. After washing, the magnetic beads were incubated with biotinylated detection antibodies, which were then detected using a streptavidin-HRP conjugate.

| Target | Fabrication Method | Detection Method | Label/Biorecognition element | Linear Range | LOD | Ref. |
|--------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----|------|
| Hepatitis B virus (HBV) | screen printing wax printing | DPV | Label free/arpCPNA anti-HIV-1 p24/anti-HIV-1 p24-HRP | 0.05–100 nM | Not specified | [77] |
| HIV type 1 (HIV-1) p24 antigen | wax printing | Colorimetric | | | 1.45 pM | [78] |
| anti-SARV-CoV-2 IgG | LFA | Fluorescence | lanthanide-doped polyelectrolyte nanoparticles (LNPs) PSNPs/antibody/HRP | Not specified | | [113] |
| avian influenza viruses (AIV), H5N2, H1N1, H9N9 | LFA | chemiluminescent | | | 10^1.5 | [114] |
| Porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV) Pseudorabies virus (PRV)-gE-Ab IL-6 | LFA | Fluorescence | AuNPs/LFIS | Not specified | | [40] |
| SARS-CoV-2 antibodies IgG and IgM | Wax printing and screen printing | SWV | GO/spike protein receptor-binding domain (SP RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 | 1–10^{-3} ng mL^{-1} | | [124] |
| SARS-CoV-2 antigen | Screen printing | SWV | carbon nanotube/diazonium/nucleocapsid (N) protein/antibody | 1–1000 ng mL^{-1} | 0.8 pg mL^{-1} | [125] |
| SARS-CoV-2 S protein and N protein | Screen printing | DPV | Carbon black/MNPs-anti-mouse IgG anti/SARS-CoV/Alkaline Phosphatase-labeled Anti-Rabbit IgG Antibody | 0.01–0.6 µg/mL | 19 ng mL^{-1} for S protein and 8 ng mL^{-1} for N protein | [128] |
| Hepatitis B surface antigen (HbsAg) | Screen printing Amperometry | AuNP/[β-cyclodextrin ([β-CD])/ | 10–200 µg/L | 0.17 µg mL^{-1} | [96] |
| Hepatitis B surface antigen (HbsAg) | DPV | Cu-MOF/NH2/antibody | 1 ng mL^{-1} | 730 pg mL^{-1} | [131] |
| Hepatitis B surface antigen (HbsAg), HbsAg, Anti-Hbs and Anti-Hbc | Screen printing | EIS Colorimetric | BSA/anti-HbsAg Ag/AuNPs-antibody | 5–1000 ng mL^{-1} | 2.1 ng mL^{-1} | [132] |
| Hepatitis B surface antigen (HbsAg) S1 and S2 parts of SARS-CoV-2 | Screen printing | DPV | rGO/PB-Au@PtNPs/antibody | 0.25–400 ng mL^{-1} | 0.08 pg mL^{-1} | [138] |
| S spike glycoproteins | Screen printing | DPV | GO-8H-EDC—NHS—Au NS | Not specified | 1.68 × 10^{-22} µg mL^{-1} | [182] |
applied to collect A-apt/RGNV-CP/C-apt-biotin—streptavidin MBs complex, followed by performing the SDA reaction via Nt.BbvCl enzyme at 37 °C for 30 min. After the amplification reaction, the produced single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) was introduced to the sample pad. Then, they were captured by AuNPs-DNA conjugates due to the complementary reaction in the presence of the RGNV-CP. The red color was visually observed in 5 min and the LOD was 5 ng mL⁻¹ for RGNV-CP. This work is the first sensing platform for virus detection in aquaculture, which combines LFA and aptamer. The developed PAD has an advantage that RNA extraction and purification steps are not necessary. Another aptamer-based PAD was developed by for p24-HIV protein detection [190]. Graphene quantum dots were produced and used to modify screen-printed electrode surface via electrodeposition by CV. Then, the aptamer was immobilized via EDC/NHS coupling due to the formation of a covalent bond between the carboxylic groups of GQDs and the amino group of the aptamer. BSA was used to block nonspecific sites on the electrode surface, followed by label-free detection of p24-HIV due to the decrease in the electrochemical probe anodic peak current using. The LOD obtained was 51.7 pg mL⁻¹ with a linear range from 0.93 ng mL⁻¹ to 93 mg mL⁻¹ using CV. The aptasensor was stable for four weeks.

All discussed articles were summarized in Table 1 and Table 2 with the detection technique, recognition element/assay, working linear range and LOD of the PDAs.

5. Conclusions and future prospects

In this review, the current state-of-the-art approaches for electrochemical and optical-based PDAs for detection of viruses were described based on the bio-recognition elements including nucleic acids, antibodies, antigens, aptamers, and peptide nucleic acids used to provide selectivity. We also specifically highlighted work that addressed the COVID-19 pandemic as well.

Paper has many advantages for virus detection including its capability to store active biomolecules for long periods, pump free flow, and low-cost. Among the many applications, colorimetric LFAs for virus detection have become common with improved sensitivity and specificity owing to recent improvements in both labels and flow control. Among aforementioned colorimetric LFAs based on antibody as a recognition element, the immunosensor developed by Adrover-Jaume et al. for detection of IL-6 is promising as POC diagnosis for future viral diseases due to its applications in blood and respiratory samples from COVID-19 patients and fast response time (less than 10 min) [118]. However, LOD could be further improved and stability studies should be performed to be used in possible pandemics in the future. Although antibodies are widely used incorporation with LFAs for viral detection, they have disadvantages compared to nucleic acids due to their high-cost of production, low stability, and potential for cross-reactivity with other diseases. Emerging technologies like CRISPR along with isothermal amplification methods will pave the way for POC detection of viral nucleic acids, providing an alternative for future pandemics as well as addressing on-going needs in common infectious diseases. The LFA developed for detection of SARS-CoV-2 using CRISPR technology by Broughton et al. can be adapted for sensitive detection of future pandemics [161] due to its successful application in clinical samples. In addition, molecular imprinting strategies that mimic the interaction between antibodies and antigens has been recently used for the detection of viruses [191]. However, virus size, variability in structure, and low stability in organic solvents may limit applications. “Monoclonal-type” plastic antibodies based on molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) were demonstrated by Parisi et al. for selective detection of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein [192].

Although PDAs are often successfully analyzed in either buffered solutions or real samples spiked with target viruses, the performance can be adversely affected by complex sample matrices. The limitations in the stability and accuracy of ePDAs may be overcome with the application of nanomaterials and various antifouling layers such as polyethylene glycol, zwitterionic polymers, synthetic peptides, and carbon nanomaterials. In addition,
PNAs hold great promise for electrochemical sensing platforms due to their low detection limits, typically in the attomolar range, and stability against high ionic strength and temperature. In aforementioned study carried out by Srismowat et al., PNA as recognition element for label-free HPV detection strategy could be useful for future viruses as well [77]. Moreover, aptamers should be exploited for simultaneous detection of viruses by immobilizing multiple aptamers on a single device. The aptamer-based electrochemical PAD developed for p24-HIV protein detection is promising to detect viruses in the future due its low LOD and long stability (four weeks), which is crucial for distribution of the detection kits worldwide during pandemics [190]. However, this biosensor requires a portable read-out device for electrochemical detection. To tackle with this issue, wireless and portable NFC-Potentiotstat has been introduced by the Henry and Chaiapakul group [96].

In the future, machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI) approaches with wireless communication will be trending for viral sensing, enabling rapid transfer of analysis results to decision makers in hospitals [193]. Besides, timely decision in viral diagnostics could be achieved by AI-supported sensing strategies along with IoT and bioinformatics-big data analysis. In this context, significant efforts have been made for COVID-19 management [194,195].
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