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Abstract
This study analyzes the literature on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) disclosure by applying a bibliometric analysis of documents published in the Scopus database. The bibliometric analysis allows researchers to highlight the theoretical foundations of a specific research field, identify the main findings of previous studies, and determine future research ideas. This analysis was based on bibliometric authors’ citation analysis, bibliometric papers’ co-citation analysis, bibliometric references’ co-citation analysis, bibliometric journals’ co-citation analysis, co-occurrence keywords cartography analysis, trend and evolution analyses of ESG disclosure publications over the years, and qualitative content analysis. This study reviews 161 documents on ESG disclosure published in the Scopus database. Bibliometric analysis was conducted using VOSviewer, evolution analysis was performed using CiteSpace, and content analysis was performed using Wordstat. The study identified four major clusters: corporate social responsibility, corporate strategy, financial performance, and environmental economics. It also highlights the increasing number of citations and documents related to ESG disclosures. In addition, the journal ‘Business Strategy and the Environment’ significantly contributes to the ESG disclosure research field in terms of number of papers and citations. Additionally, this study highlights various future research opportunities in this field. The findings of this study have practical implications for ESG disclosure, such as the impact of integrating ESG into a company’s business strategy on corporate and financial policies. This study is the only one to review key topics on ESG disclosure that can be largely used for ESG practices. This study provides an overview of how the literature on ESG disclosure has developed, as well as a summary of the most influential authors along with countries, organizations, and journal sources. This offers the opportunity for future research to focus on this topic.

1. Introduction

This study focuses on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) disclosure, an area of great interest because of cumulative environmental and natural resource constraints. In the last decade, increasing environmental awareness of international legal bodies has prompted many countries to raise questions about sustainable development, and sustainability disclosure has attracted the interest of researchers and policymakers to examine the concept of a green economy [1–4].

At the international level, many organizations have developed various frameworks and procedures to help companies meet their disclosure obligations related to ESG issues. These organizations include the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC), Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP), and the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC). The developed frameworks provide companies with clear guidance on how to report their non-financial issues and help them identify and address their corporate social responsibility and environmental responsibilities.
In the last decade, ESG disclosure has become an integral part of investors’ financial decisions, because of its ability to provide strong evidence of companies’ commitment to environmental and social disclosure [5–9]. This concept has also attracted the attention of rating agencies such as Standard and Poor’s, Moody’s, and Fitch, which incorporate companies’ ESG assessments to help them improve their scores and ratings.

Various studies have analyzed various aspects of ESG disclosure. A group of studies examined the extent of ESG disclosure and revealed that, although the level of ESG disclosure is still low, it has increased significantly over the years [10–12]. Other studies investigated the determinants of the extent of ESG disclosure [13–16]. Most studies focus on the impact of ESG disclosure on financial performance [17–21].

In recent years, bibliometric analysis has seen a significant growth in interest due to the increase of the number of software programs and multidisciplinary methods. This analytical method can help researchers identify trends in different research fields as well as journal performance. Through a bibliometric analysis, this study identified the most frequent ESG topics covered in the literature, identified various gaps in the literature, and identified paths for future research.

This study has six research questions. (1) What has been the trend in ESG disclosure publications over the years? (2) What authors, organizations, and countries have contributed the most to the research on ESG disclosure? (3) What are the most cited papers on ESG disclosure? (4) What are the most cited references in papers on ESG disclosure? (5) What are the most cited reference journals of papers on ESG disclosures? (6) What are the most frequent keywords and topics of ESG disclosure documents and their evolution over time? Questions two–five were answered by conducting bibliometric analyses, whereas question six was answered by employing two cartography analyses using VOSviewer and CiteSpace.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no single study on the bibliometric analysis of ESG disclosure papers published in the Scopus database. Therefore, this study sheds light on this topic. This study contributes to the literature by evaluating the most relevant topics in ESG disclosure research. The results identified four major clusters: corporate social responsibility, corporate strategy, financial performance, and environmental economics. In addition, the results reveal that the Journal of Business Strategy and the Environment makes an important contribution to the ESG disclosure research field in terms of papers and citations. Furthermore, this study identifies the authors, countries, organizations, and references that have been the most influential in publishing ESG disclosure studies in Scopus.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the methodology and data. Section 3 interprets the bibliometric results, section 4 presents the current topics and future research recommendations, and section 5 presents the conclusions.

2. Methodology and data

2.1. Methodology

This study applied trend, bibliometric, and content analyses using both quantitative and qualitative approaches [22]. Thus, the following analyses were conducted: (1) trend analysis, (2) bibliometric authors’ citation analysis, (3) bibliometric papers’ co-citation analysis, (4) bibliometric references’ co-citation analysis, (5) bibliometric journals’ co-citation analysis, (6) keywords and evolution analyses, and (7) qualitative content analysis. Bibliometric and evolution analyses were conducted using VOSviewer and Cite Space, respectively, whereas content analysis was performed using Wordstat.

2.2. Data

The Scopus database was selected because it is the world’s most comprehensive overview of research outputs and is considered to be the largest repository of academic research documents that are of acceptable quality [23]. In this study, we use the keyword ‘ESG disclosure’ or ‘Environmental, Social and Governance disclosure’ in the article title, abstract, or keywords. This yielded a total of 234 documents. The data were screened in multiple stages, as shown in figure 1.

After the data were screened, they were exported to CSV Excel and uploaded to VOSviewer for bibliometric analysis. In addition, CSV Excel was converted to the Web of Sciences format and uploaded to CiteSapce. The data extraction and conversion steps are illustrated in figure 2.

3. Results of trend, bibliometric, evolution, and content analyses

This section summarizes the 161 documents on ESG disclosure included in this study by applying different trend, bibliometric, evolution, and content analyses.
3.1. Trend in publications on ESG disclosure

Figure 3 shows the number of articles published in the ESG disclosure research field. The first study on ESG disclosures was published in 2010. The development of this field was very slow during 2010–2017 with a maximum of 8 papers published per year. The number of ESG disclosures published documents increased in 2018, reaching 17 papers, and then continuing to increase until 2020 to reach the highest number of 48. In the following year, the number of papers witnessed a decrease, reaching a number of papers of 39. The increasing number of articles suggests that academic researchers are becoming more interested in ESG disclosure and are publishing their documents in the Scopus database. In this trend analysis, the increasing number of publications in the field of ESG disclosure is attributed not only to the growing recognition of the importance of this field but also to the increasing integration of ESG disclosure into business strategies and corporate reporting practices.
3.2. The most influential authors, organizations, and countries
The top five cited authors, along with their respective organizations and countries, are presented in table 1. It shows that the UK has two authors from the top five list (Buallay A. and Yu E), and Greece has two most-cited authors (Giannarakis and Konteos). The remaining country in table 1 is Australia, which is emerging in research on ESG disclosure.

3.3. The most cited papers
This section presents an analysis of the top ten cited documents on ESG disclosure published in the Scopus database from 2010 to 2021. Table 2 shows that the topics of the most cited papers were mainly related to the impact of ESG disclosure on financial performance [18, 35–38]. Other studies have considered ESG disclosure as a practice of integrated reporting [1, 39, 40].

3.4. Most cited reference papers
This section presents the most cited references included in published documents on ESG disclosure. Table 3 lists the ten most cited reference papers. These studies focus on the following topics: (1) the relationship between corporate social responsibility disclosure and financial aspects [43–48], (2) the determinants and motives of corporate social responsibility disclosure [49, 50], (3) the impact of corporate governance on corporate social responsibility disclosure [51], and (4) agency theory explaining engagement with stakeholders [52].
### Table 1. Most cited authors, organizations and countries.

| No | Author     | Papers | Total papers’ citations | Samples of papers | Organization                                                   | Country          | Author’s google scholar citations |
|----|------------|--------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1  | Buallay A. | 6      | 214                     | [20, 24–28]       | Brunel University, London, Ahlia University, Manama.          | UK, Bahrain.     | 958                              |
| 2  | Giannarakis G. | 6  | 326                     | [13–15], [29–31]  | University of Western Macedonia                               | Greece           | 1855                             |
| 3  | Konteos G.  | 3      | 105                     | [29–31]           | Technological Educational Institute of Western Macedonia      | Greece           | 301                              |
| 4  | Luu B.     | 3      | 131                     | [32–34]           | University of Sydney                                          | Australia        | 152                              |
| 5  | Yu E.      | 3      | 131                     | [32–34]           | Birkbeck University of London                                 | UK               | 994                              |
3.5. Most cited references journals

This section presents the top ten cited reference sources by employing a bibliographic coupling analysis. Table 4 lists the top journals that published papers on ESG disclosures. Nine of the ten journals were ranked as Q1, with high SNIP factors. Emerald has the highest number of papers on ESG disclosure, followed by Wiley. Business Strategy and Environment was the top journal with the highest number of papers (15) and citations (743).

3.6. The most frequent keywords

Co-occurrence analysis of all keywords was applied to conceptualize the development and growth of ESG studies. To arrive at a meaningful analysis [22], a minimum threshold of two for the occurrence of a particular
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Table 2. Top 10 cited documents on ESG disclosure topic.

| Paper | Citations | Title |
|-------|-----------|-------|
| [36]  | 190       | ESG performance and firm value: The moderating role of disclosure |
| [35]  | 185       | The effects of environmental, social and governance disclosures and performance on firm value: A review of the literature in accounting and finance |
| [38]  | 180       | Corporate social responsibility and financial performance: A non-linear and disaggregated approach |
| [37]  | 169       | The impact of environmental, social, and governance disclosure on firm value: The role of CEO power |
| [41]  | 165       | Diversity of Board of Directors and Environmental Social Governance: Evidence from Italian Listed Companies |
| [18]  | 139       | Do environmental, social, and governance activities improve corporate financial performance? |
| [42]  | 137       | Sensitive industries produce better ESG performance: Evidence from emerging markets |
| [39]  | 137       | Environmental, social and governance disclosure, integrated reporting, and the accuracy of analyst forecasts |
| [1]   | 121       | Corporate Sustainable Development: Is ‘Integrated Reporting’ a Legitimation Strategy? |
| [40]  | 118       | Integrated reporting and integrated thinking in Italian public sector organizations |

Table 3. Most cited reference papers in documents on ESG disclosure.

| Cited Reference | Citations | Title |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|
| [49]            | 17        | ESG in Focus: The Australian Evidence |
| [43]            | 15        | The Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Investment Recommendations |
| [50]            | 14        | Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure ratings by Spanish listed firms |
| [44]            | 13        | Corporate social responsibility and access to finance |
| [45]            | 13        | Voluntary nonfinancial disclosure and the cost of equity capital: The initiation of corporate social responsibility reporting |
| [46]            | 12        | Corporate Social and Financial Performance: A Meta-analysis |
| [51]            | 11        | The Impact of Board Diversity and Gender Composition on Corporate Social Responsibility and Firm Reputation |
| [47]            | 11        | Corporate Social Responsibility and Firm Financial Performance |
| [48]            | 11        | Environmental risk management and the cost of capital |
| [52]            | 10        | Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure |

Table 4. Most cited reference journals.

| Source | Papers | Citations | Publisher | Scopus quartile | SNIP factor |
|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|
| 1      | Business Strategy and the Environment | 15       | 743       | Wiley           | Q1          | 2.289 |
| 2      | Sustainability (Switzerland) | 14       | 121       | MDPI            | Q1          | 1.31  |
| 3      | Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management | 8        | 396       | Wiley           | Q1          | 2.044 |
| 4      | Sustainability accounting management and Policy Journal | 6        | 250       | Emerald         | Q1          | 1.063 |
| 5      | Management Decision | 5        | 195       | Emerald         | Q1          | 1.458 |
| 6      | Journal of Sustainable Finance and Investment | 4        | 56        | Taylor and Francis | Q1   | 1.241 |
| 7      | British Accounting Review | 3        | 485       | Elsevier        | Q1          | 2.525 |
| 8      | Corporate Governance (Bingley) | 3        | 64        | Emerald         | Q1          | 1.403 |
| 9      | Journal of Applied Accounting Research | 3        | 125       | Emerald         | Q2          | 1.216 |
| 10     | Journal of Business Ethics | 3        | 171       | Springer Nature | Q1          | 2.366 |
keyword was required and filtered. This resulted in 138 keywords for 568. The results are reported in figure 4 and show that the most frequently used words are corporate social responsibility, corporate governance, sustainable development, financial performance, and environmental economics. The frequent occurrence of these keywords among studies reflects more analyses applied to the impact of corporate governance mechanisms (including the ownership structure and board of directors) on ESG disclosure, as well as the impact of ESG disclosure on financial performance. The most commonly used theories are the agency, legitimacy, information asymmetry, and stakeholder theories.

As shown in figure 4, there are four major clusters: corporate social responsibility (red), corporate strategy (blue), financial performance (green), and environmental economics (yellow).

In the large corporate social responsibility cluster, there are four groups of studies:

1. In the first group, studies consider corporate social responsibility disclosure as a measure of corporate sustainability initiatives and practices [13, 53, 54].
2. In the second group, studies have elaborated on different ESG disclosure theories such as agency theory [55–57] and information asymmetry theory [58, 59].
3. The third group of studies investigates the relationship between corporate governance, CSR disclosure [1,14–60], and ESG disclosure [41, 61].
4. In the fourth group, studies explored the role of ESG disclosure in sustainable development [1, 2, 62, 63] and transparency [32, 64–66].

Studies in the corporate strategy cluster have considered ESG disclosure as a strategic approach integrated into a business strategy to improve corporate engagement with stakeholders [21, 67] and corporate commitments toward the environment [2, 63].

In the financial performance cluster, studies have mainly focused on analyzing the impact of ESG disclosure on corporate performance [17–21]. Some studies have focused only on developed countries [68, 69] or emerging markets [5, 42, 60], whereas others have compared developed and developing countries [26, 70].

There are two groups of studies on environmental economics clusters. The first group explained the necessity of disclosing an ESG report using legitimacy theory [1, 71–74] and stakeholder theory [61, 75, 76]. The second group elaborated on the company’s efforts to reduce carbon emissions and climate change [67, 77].

In addition to VOSviewer, CiteSpace was used to analyze the most used keywords in the different stages and development patterns in the papers published by ESG disclosure. The most-cited keywords were calculated and arranged in CiteSpace by time and frequency to form the time view shown in figure 5. The figure shows the most frequently used keywords during 2010–2021. The two keywords of ‘corporate social responsibility’ and
corporate governance’ were frequently included in papers till 2013, suggesting that early interest in ESG disclosure was closely related to corporate social responsibility and corporate governance. For instance, Giannakis [13] explored the extent of corporate social responsibility information disclosed by US companies, and the ESG scores were considered determinants of the extent of this disclosure. Murphy and McGrath [78] explained the different motivations of ESG reports by considering, among others, the reduction of litigation in corporate governance. In the following three years, new keywords emerged, which can be divided into five main groups: (1) corporate governance mechanisms: institutional investors [79] and board gender diversity [41, 80], (2) corporate environmental commitments: global reporting initiative [81], integrated reporting [40, 82, 83], and environmental economics [14, 30], (3) theoretical framework: legitimacy theory [72–74, 84, 85], and stakeholder theory [61, 76, 80], (4) impacts of ESG disclosure: sustainable development [1, 2], and performance [18, 25], and (5) empirical approach: content analysis [86, 87], bank [25, 81], and developing countries [5, 88, 89]. In 2021, researchers were interested in examining the integration of ESG investment in business strategy [90, 91] as well as the impact of ESG disclosure on the cost of debt [92–96]. The evolution of the topics reflects the fact that researchers have started to legitimize ESG disclosure through theories, understand its determinants, explore its extent, and then examine its potential associations with corporate governance, financial performance, and sustainable development in different institutional contexts. Most of these studies applied content analysis.

3.7. Content analysis of the previous research topics

In addition to bibliometric analysis, qualitative content analysis of titles and abstracts of ESG disclosure papers published in Scopus was conducted using Wordstat. The major themes were corporate governance mechanisms, methodology approach, performance, sample, corporate social responsibility, financial leverage, integrated reporting, sustainable development, green innovations, stakeholder engagement, and theory. Table 5 presents the results.

Table 5 reveals a high similarity with the bibliometric keywords’ clusters and the sub-cluster analysis included in section 3.6. It indicates that the most frequent research themes in ESG disclosure are related to the association of ESG disclosure with corporate governance as well as with the corporate performance. The two additional themes are methodological approach and sample. Table 5 indicates that the panel data are the most common and the methodological approach applied in determining the extent of ESG disclosure relies on a proxy measured by the content analysis [72, 86, 87] or Bloomberg score [32, 64, 95, 97]. With regard to the sample, the industry varies from one study to another. For instance, studies have focused on oil and gas companies [98–100], while others have analyzed the supply chain industry [64, 101].

Figure 5. Evolution in ESG disclosure research documents over the years.
Table 5. Content analysis of the previous research topics on ESG disclosure.

| No | Topic                                | Keywords                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Cases | % cases |
|----|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| 1  | Corporate governance mechanisms      | Institutional investors; investment decisions; CEO; duality; compensation; independence; women; size; board; committee; audit; directors; diversity; gender; transparency; efficiency; determinants; board size; board composition; ownership. | 357   | 22.27%  |
| 2  | Methodology approach                 | Proxy; score; extent; Bloomberg; CSR; social; governance; environmental, regression; analysis; multiple; linear; panel data; panel regression; data analysis.                                                                 | 338   | 21.09%  |
| 3  | Performance                          | Negative; positive; association; significant; effect; disclosure; profitability; firm; level; relationship; performance; shows; results; ordinary; firm performance.                                                                 | 295   | 18.40%  |
| 4  | Sample                               | Oil; sector; Oil and gas; exchange; stock; listed; period; stock exchange; listed companies; supply chain; developing; developed.                                                                                                                                   | 258   | 16.09%  |
| 5  | Corporate social responsibility      | Benefits; sustainability; social responsibility; corporate social responsibility; corporate sustainability.                                                                                                                                                    | 95    | 5.93%   |
| 6  | Financial leverage                   | Leverage; financial leverage.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 67    | 4.18%   |
| 7  | Integrated reporting                 | Organizations; integrated; reporting; GRI; institutions; standards; framework; integrated reporting; sustainability reporting; integrated thinking; reporting standards.                                                                                                               | 56    | 3.49%   |
| 8  | Sustainable development              | Development; sustainable; current; economic; standards; sustainable development.                                                                                                                                                                                      | 43    | 2.68%   |
| 9  | Environmental economics              | Green; innovation; enterprises; climate; change; impacts; strategies; issue; lead; climate change; green innovation; GHG; emissions; company; initiatives; industry; policy.                                                                                                                                 | 38    | 2.37%   |
| 10 | Stakeholder engagement               | Pressure; society; practices; quality.                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | 33    | 2.06%   |
| 11 | Theory                               | Legitimacy; theory; institutions; stakeholder; legitimacy theory; stakeholder theory.                                                                                                                                                                                   | 23    | 1.43%   |
|    | Total                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 1603  | 100%    |
4. Current topics and recommendations for future research

In addition to the analysis of titles and abstracts of previous ESG disclosure papers, another content analysis was conducted on the current topics of the most recent papers published until July 25th, 2022. The results are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Content analysis of the current research topics on ESG disclosure.

| No | Topic                              | Keywords                                                                 | Cases | % cases |
|----|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| 1  | Sample                             | Listed companies; panel data; global; airline industry.                  | 156   | 24.22%  |
| 2  | Stakeholders engagement            | stakeholders; information; sustainability; practices.                   | 77    | 11.96%  |
| 3  | Performance                        | Influence; profitability; differences; association; significant; financial performance; corporate financial performance. | 74    | 11.49%  |
| 4  | Corporate governance mechanisms    | Size; board; women; investors; board size; women on board.               | 66    | 10.25%  |
| 5  | Investment efficiency              | Investment; efficiency; investment efficiency; intangible capital.      | 59    | 9.16%   |
| 6  | ESG rating                         | rating; accounting; ESG rating.                                         | 49    | 7.61%   |
| 7  | Methodology approach               | Methodology; design; approach; regressions; design methodology approach. | 49    | 7.61%   |
| 8  | Environmental economics            | Climate; change; risk; responsibility; risks; literature; climate change. | 41    | 6.37%   |
| 9  | Sustainable development            | Sustainable; finance; development; business; responsibility; sustainable development; sustainable finance. | 39    | 5.06%   |
| 10 | Corporate social responsibility    | Corporate social responsibility; mechanisms; responsibility; practices.  | 34    | 5.28%   |
|    | Total                              |                                                                         | 644   | 100%    |

1- Future research on ESG disclosure may include the following topics: the COVID-19 pandemic, which has caused a global crisis, and it would be interesting to consider it as a recent topic to examine its impact on the extent of ESG disclosure and analyze the commitment of the companies towards not only their stakeholders but also the whole community.

2- Earnings management: Earnings management practices relate to information manipulation. It would be interesting to analyze the impact of ESG disclosure on these practices to assess corporate transparency.

3- Diverse samples: Most studies on ESG disclosure consider listed companies in different financial markets. It would be interesting to include other types of organizations (such as family businesses, small and medium enterprises) as well as other types of industries (such as education, tourism, and real estate).

4- External corporate governance mechanisms: In the analysis of the association between ESG disclosure and corporate governance, there was only consideration of internal mechanisms (such as ownership structure and board of directors), while further studies should include external mechanisms (such as market competition) because of their potential impact on ESG disclosure.

5- Moderating role: The previous studies have investigated the direct relationships between ESG disclosure and other corporate and financial aspects, while it would be interesting to analyze the moderating role that could be played by ESG disclosure in other relationships, such as: the relationship between corporate governance and financial performance.

5. Conclusion

This study analyzes published ESG disclosure papers in Scopus to determine their contributions to the ESG literature, identify the key concepts and ideas related to this field, and propose recommendations for future research.

By applying several bibliometric, trend, and content analyses, the most productive authors, along with organizations and countries, have been identified. In addition, the results identify five major clusters: sustainability, ESG disclosure, corporate social responsibility, environmental, and environmental disclosure.
Moreover, the results reveal that the Journal of Business Strategy and the Environment has experienced increasingly important growth in the ESG disclosure research papers and citations, reflecting its significant contribution to the ESG research field.

This study has theoretical and practical implications. First, it aims to provide a comprehensive overview of ESG literature by identifying the most significant studies and topics related to this field. Second, it helps researchers gain an idea about the most recent ESG topics as well as the most cited papers and relevant references. Third, the findings of this study can be used by ESG disclosure researchers to identify areas of future research that they should focus on. For instance, they can analyze various issues related to the COVID-19 pandemic to manage ESG disclosure efficiently. In addition, it has been observed that there is a gap in the literature regarding the associations between ESG disclosure and earnings management practices which is considered an unexplored research field. In addition, most studies have relied on legitimacy and stakeholder theories. Because the dynamics of the business environment can change over time, it is important for researchers to constantly evolve their theories to improve their findings. Moreover, the main thrust of ESG disclosure theories has been adopted as a uniform approach, but it can also be applied in different ways depending on the characteristics of corporate governance and institutional frameworks.

This study also highlights the importance of optimizing ESG disclosure to address critical corporate decisions, such as strategic investment decisions and earnings management. This field has been acknowledged as leading research exploring the various characteristics of strategic ESG integration into a company’s business. Much research should be conducted in this field, as most studies have focused on the impact of ESG disclosure on financial performance, as well as the impact of corporate governance (including the attributes of the board of directors) on the extent of ESG disclosure.

The importance of ESG practices is acknowledged by society and investors who are not only interested in financial performance, but also in corporate environmental and social performance. Companies should not only focus on achieving financial success but should also contribute to achieving development goals at all levels of a company’s strategic and financial decisions. This will be of great interest to future research. The internal ESG policies of companies should not only be designed to maximize financial performance, but also to promote sustainable development goals in preventing climate change, pollution, and social and gender inequality.

Future studies should examine other databases to analyze trends in the ESG disclosure field. This study focuses only on documents published in the Scopus database. Despite these limitations, this study provides a useful overview of the previous and current literature on ESG disclosure.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the author.

Funding statement

This study was not supported by any funding source.

Conflict of interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

Paper type

Literature Review.

ORCID iDs

Nejla Ould Daoud Ellili @ https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1032-3965

References

[1] Lai A, Melloni G and Stacchezzini R 2016 Corporate sustainable development: is ‘integrated reporting’ a legitimation strategy? *Business Strategy and the Environment* 25 165–77

[2] Lokuwaduge CS D S and Heenetigala K 2017 Integrating environmental, social and governance (ESG) disclosure for a sustainable development: an Australian study *Business Strategy and the Environment* 26 438–50
[3] de Silva Lukuwaduge C S, Smark C and Mir M 2022 The surge of environmental social and governance reporting and sustainable development goals: some normative thoughts Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal 16 3–11
[4] Cheng L T W, Sharma P and Broadstock D C 2022 Interactive effects of brand reputation and ESG on green bond issues: a sustainable development perspective Business Strategy and the Environment
[5] Chauhan Y and Kumar S B 2018 Do investors value the nonfinancial disclosure in emerging markets? Emerging Markets Review 37 32–46
[6] Ety D C and Karlpoil Q 2019 Harnessing investor interest in sustainability: the next frontier in environmental information regulation Yale Journal on Regulation 36 625–92
[7] Aluchna M, Roszkowska-Menkes M, Kamiński B and Bosek-Rak D 2022 Do institutional investors encourage firm to social disclosure? The stakeholder salience perspective Journal of Business Research 142 674–82
[8] Ellili N O D 2022 Impact of ESG disclosure and financial reporting quality on investment efficiency Corporate Governance (Bingley) 22 1094–111
[9] Ellili N O D 2022 Impact of environmental, social, and governance disclosure on dividend policy: what is the role of corporate governance? Evidence from an emerging market Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management
[10] Ellili N O D 2020 Environmental, social, and governance disclosure, ownership structure and cost of capital: evidence from the UAE Sustainability (Switzerland)12 7706
[11] Sharma P, Panday P and Dangwal R C 2020 Determinants of environmental, social and corporate governance (ESG) disclosure: a study of Indian companies International Journal of Disclosure and Governance 17 208–17
[12] Suttipun M and Yordumot T 2022 Impact of environmental, social and governance disclosures on market reaction: an evidence of Top50 companies listed from Thailand Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting 20 753–67
[13] Giannarakis G 2013 Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosures: the case of the US companies Int. J. Inf. Syst. Change Manage. 6 205–21
[14] Giannarakis G 2014 The determinants influencing the extent of CSR disclosure International Journal of Law and Management 56 393–416
[15] Giannarakis G 2014 Corporate governance and financial characteristic effects on the extent of corporate social responsibility disclosure Social Responsibility Journal 10 569–90
[16] Baldini M, Maso L D, Liberatore G, Mazzi F and Terzani S 2018 Role of country- and firm-level determinants in environmental, social, and governance disclosure Journal of Business Ethics 150 79–98
[17] Pullino S C, Ciaburri M, Magnanelli B S and Nasta L 2022 Does ESG disclosure influence firm performance? Sustainability 14 7595
[18] Xie J, Nozawa W, Yagi M, Fujii H and Managi S 2019 Do environmental, social, and governance activities improve corporate financial performance? Business Strategy and the Environment 28 286–300
[19] Özcan İ Ç 2021 Do the Companies Benefit from Improved Disclosure Performance? Evidence from the Airport Industry
[20] Buallay A 2021 Sustainability reporting and agriculture industries’ performance: worldwide evidence Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies
[21] Matakatyane R M, van der Poll H M and Muchara B 2021 Do companies in different industries respond differently to stakeholders’ pressures when prioritising environmental, social and governance sustainability performance? Sustainability 13 12022
[22] Khan A, Goodell J W, Hassan M K and Paltrinieri A 2022 A bibliometric review of finance bibliometric papers Finance Research Letters 47 102520
[23] Elsevier 2020 ‘Content Coverage Guide,’ Accessed: Jul. 22, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://elsevier.com/__data/assets/pdf_file/0007/69451/Scopus_ContentCoverage_Guide_WEB.pdf
[24] Buallay A 2019 Between cost and value: investigating the effects of sustainability reporting on a firm’s performance Journal of Applied Accounting Research 20 481–96
[25] Buallay A 2019 Is sustainability reporting (ESG) associated with performance? Evidence from the European banking sector Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal 30 98–115
[26] Buallay A M 2020 Sustainability reporting and bank’s performance: comparison between developed and developing countries World Review of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development 16 187–203
[27] Buallay A 2020 Sustainability reporting and firm’s performance: comparative study between manufacturing and banking sectors International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management 69 131–45
[28] Buallay A, Fadel S M, Alajmi J and Saudagaran S 2020 Sustainability reporting and bank performance after financial crisis: evidence from developed and developing countries Competitiveness Review 31 747–70
[29] Giannarakis G, Konteos G and Sarianidin N 2014 Financial, governance and environmental determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure Manage. Decis. 52 1928–51
[30] Sarianidin N, Konteos G and Giannarakis G 2015 The effects of greenhouse gas emissions and governance factors on corporate socially responsibility disclosure Corporate Ownership and Control 12 92–106
[31] Giannarakis G, Konteos G, Zafeiriou E and Partalidou X 2016 The impact of corporate social responsibility on financial performance Investment Management and Financial Innovations 13 171–82
[32] Yu E P Y and Lui B V 2021 International variations in ESG disclosure—Do cross-listed companies care more? International Review of Financial Analysis 75 101731
[33] Yu E P Y, Guo C C and Lui B V 2018 Environmental, social and governance transparency and firm value Business Strategy and the Environment 27 987–1004
[34] Yu E P Y, Lui B V and Chen C H 2020 Greenwashing in environmental, social and governance disclosures Research in International Business and Finance 52 101192
[35] Brooks C and Oikonomou I 2018 The effects of environmental, social and governance disclosures and performance on firm value: a review of the literature in accounting and finance British Accounting Review 50 1–15
[36] Fatemi A, Glasm M and Kaiser S 2018 ESG performance and firm value: the moderating role of disclosure Global Finance Journal 38 45–64
[37] Li Y, Gong M, Zhang X Y and Koh I 2018 The impact of environmental, social, and governance disclosure on firm value: the role of CEO power British Accounting Review 50 60–75
[38] Nollet J, Filis G and Mitrokostas E 2016 Corporate social responsibility and financial performance: a non-linear and disaggregated approach Economic Modelling 52 400–7
[39] Bernardi C and Stark A W 2018 Environmental, social and governance disclosure, integrated reporting, and the accuracy of analyst forecasts British Accounting Review 50 16–31
[40] Guthrie J, Manes-Rossi I and Orelli R L 2017 Integrated reporting and integrated thinking in Italian public sector organizations *Meditari Accountancy Research* **25** 553–73

[41] Cucari N, Esposito De Falco S and Orlando B 2018 Diversity of board of directors and environmental social governance: evidence from Italian listed companies *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* **25** 250–66

[42] Garcia A S, Mendes-Da-Silva W and Orsato R 2017 Sensitive industries produce better ESG performance: evidence from emerging markets *J. Clean. Prod.* **150** 135–47

[43] Ioannou I and Serafeim G 2011 The impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Investment Recommendations: Analysts’ Perceptions and Shifting Institutional logics *Strategic Management Journal* **36** 1033–1041

[44] Cheng R, Ioannou I and Serafeim G 2014 Corporate social responsibility and access to finance *Strategic Management Journal* **35** 1–23

[45] Dhaliwal D S, Li O Z, Tsang A and Yang Y 2011 Voluntary nonfinancial disclosure and the cost of equity capital: the initiation of corporate social responsibility reporting *Accounting Review* **86** 59–100

[46] Orlitzky M, Schmidt F L and Rynes S L 2003 Corporate social and financial performance: a meta-analysis *Organization Studies* **24** 403–41

[47] Mcguire J B, Sundgren A and Schneeveis T 1988 Corporate social responsibility and firm financial performance *The Academy of Management Journal* **31** 854–72

[48] Sharfman M P and Fernando C S 2008 Environmental risk management and the cost of capital *Strategic Management Journal* **29** 569–92

[49] Galbreath J 2013 ESG in the focu: the Australian evidence *Journal of Business Ethics* **118** 529–541

[50] Reverte C 2009 Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure ratings by Spanish listed firms *Journal of Business Ethics* **88** 351–66

[51] Bear S, Rahman N and Post C 2010 The impact of board diversity and gender composition on corporate social responsibility and firm reputation *Journal of Business Ethics* **97** 207–21

[52] Jensen M C and Meckling W 1976 Theory of the firm: managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure *Journal of Financial Economics* **3** 305–40

[53] Hamrouni A, Uyar A and Boussaada R 2020 Are corporate social responsibility disclosures relevant for lenders? Empirical evidence from France *Manage. Decis.* **58** 267–79

[54] Hamrouni A, Boussaada R and ben Farhat Tourni N 2019 Corporate social responsibility disclosure and debt financing *Journal of Applied Business Research* **20** 394–415

[55] Schieffel E and Kolaghar S 2021 Financial materiality in the informativeness of sustainability reporting *Business Strategy and the Environment* **30** 840–55

[56] Chakraborty A, Gao L and Sheikh S 2019 Corporate governance and risk in cross-listed and Canadian oilty companies *Manage. Decis.* **57** 5740–57

[57] Baraibar-Diez E and Odrizola M D 2019 CSR committees and their effect on ESG performance in UK, France, Germany, and Spain *Sustainability* **11** 5077

[58] Reber B, Gold A and Gold S 2022 ESG disclosure and idiosyncratic risk in initial public offerings *Journal of Business Ethics* **179** 867–886

[59] Dunne T C and Mcbrayer G A 2019 In the interest of small-business’ cost of debt: a matter of CSR disclosure *Journal of Small Business Strategy* **29** 56–71

[60] Fahad P and Busru S A 2020 CSR disclosure and firm performance: evidence from an emerging market *Corporate Governance (Bingley)* **21** 533–48

[61] Ismail A M and Latiff I H M 2019 Board diversity and corporate sustainability practices: evidence on environmental, social and governance (ESG) reporting *International Journal of Financial Research* **10** 31–50

[62] Bravo F and Reguera-Alvarado N 2019 Sustainable development disclosure: environmental, social, and governance reporting and gender diversity in the audit committee *Business Strategy and the Environment* **28** 418–29

[63] Campaella F, Serino L, Crisi A and D’Ambra A 2021 The role of corporate governance in environmental policy disclosure and sustainable development. Generalized estimating equations in longitudinal count data analysis *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* **28** 474–84

[64] Gualandris I, Longoni A, Luzzini D and Pagell M 2021 The association between supply chain structure and transparency: a large-scale empirical study *J. Oper. Manage.* **67** 803–27

[65] Oncioiu I et al 2020 The role of environmental, social, and governance disclosure in financial transparency *Sustainability* **12** 6757

[66] Tamimi N and Sebastianelli R L 2017 Transparency among S&P 500 companies: an analysis of ESG disclosure scores *Management Decision* **55** 1660–80

[67] Ng A W and Leung T C H 2020 Relevance of SEA to a global financial centre under one country two systems: engaging stakeholders for sustainability and climate change *Social and Environmental Accountability Journal* **40** 140–8

[68] Chiaramonte L, Dressii A, Girardone C and Piserà S 2022 Do ESG strategies enhance bank stability during financial turmoil? Evidence from Europe *European Journal of Finance* (https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2021.1964556)

[69] Conca L, Manta F, Morroni D and Toma P 2021 The impact of direct environmental, social, and governance reporting: Empirical evidence in European-listed companies in the agri-food sector *Business Strategy and the Environment* **30** 1080–93

[70] Singhania M and Saini N 2021 Institutional framework of ESG disclosures: comparative analysis of developed and developing countries *Journal of Sustainable Finance and Investment* **11** 1

[71] el Khoury R, Nasrallah N and Alareeni B 2021 ESG and financial performance of banks in the MENAT region: concavity–convexity patterns *Journal of Sustainable Finance and Investment* **11** 1

[72] Jasni N S, Yusoff H, Zain M M, Md Yusoff N and Shaffee N S 2020 Business strategy for environmental social governance practices: evidence from telecommunication companies in Malaysia *Social Responsibility Journal* **16** 271–89

[73] Kee H W, Li N S, Sidik V N M, Song N F and Suppiah S D K 2020 Corporate characteristics and ESG disclosures in Malaysian public-listed companies *Humanities and Social Sciences Letters* **8** 91–109

[74] Minutolo M C, Kristjanpoller W D and Stakeley J 2019 Exploring environmental, social, and governance disclosure effects on the S&P 500 financial performance *Business Strategy and the Environment* **28** 1083–95

[75] Qureshi M A, Kirkerud S, Theresa K and Ahsan T 2020 The impact of sustainability (environmental, social, and governance) disclosure and board diversity on firm value: the moderating role of industry sensitivity *Business Strategy and the Environment* **29** 1199–214

[76] Kengkathran S 2019 Environmental, social and governance (ESG) disclosure and its impact on financial performance of top 100 companies in Malaysia and Australia *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology* **9** 3579–84
[77] Garzón-Jiménez R and Zorio-Grima A 2021 Effects of carbon emissions, environmental disclosures and csr assurance on cost of equity in emerging markets *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 13 1–11

[78] Murphy D and McGrath D 2013 ESG reporting - class actions, deterrence, and avoidance *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal* 4 216–35

[79] Siew R Y J, Balbat M C A and Carmichael D G 2016 The impact of ESG disclosures and institutional ownership on market information asymmetry *Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting and Economics* 23 432–48

[80] Manita R, Bruna M G, Dang R and Houanti L 2018 Board gender diversity and ESG disclosure: evidence from the USA *Journal of Applied Accounting Research* 19 206–24

[81] O’Sullivan N 2015 *The Global Reporting Initiative Guidelines and External Assurance of Investment Bank Sustainability Reports: Effective Tools for Financial Sector Social Accountability?*

[82] Conway E 2019 Quantitative impacts of mandatory integrated reporting *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting* 17 604–34

[83] Turturro M 2015 Social and environmental reporting as a part of the integrated reporting *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis* 63 2611–70

[84] Albarrak M S, Elnahass M and Salama A 2019 The effect of carbon dissemination on cost of equity *Business Strategy and the Environment* 28 1179–98

[85] Camilleri M A 2015 Environmental, social and governance disclosures in Europe *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal* 6 224–42

[86] Giles O and Murphy D 2016 SLAPPed: the relationship between SLAPP suits and changed ESG reporting by firms *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal* 7 74–79

[87] Atan R, Razali F A, Said J and Zainun S 2016 Environmental, social and governance (esg) disclosure and its effect on firm’s performance: a comparative study *International Journal of Economics and Management* 10 355–75

[88] Aboud A and Diab A 2018 The impact of social, environmental and corporate governance disclosures on firm value: Evidence from *Egypt Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies* 8 442–58

[89] Farooq O 2015 Financial centers and the relationship between ESG disclosure and firm performance: evidence from an emerging market *Journal of Applied Business Research* 31 1239–44

[90] Park S R and Jang J Y 2021 The impact of ESG management on investment decision: institutional investors’ perceptions of country-specific ESG criteria *International Journal of Financial Studies* 9 48

[91] Young-Ferris A and Roberts J 2021 ‘Looking for something that isn’t there’: a case study of an early attempt at ESG integration in investment decision making *European Accounting Review*

[92] Atif M and Ali S 2021 Environmental, social and governance disclosure and default risk *Business Strategy and the Environment* 30 3937–59

[93] Nowlan A, Fine J, O’Connor T and Burget S 2021 Pollution accounting for corporate actions: quantifying the air emissions and impacts of transportation system choices case study: food freight and the grocery industry in Los Angeles *Sustainability* 13 10194

[94] Raimo N, Caragnano A, Zito M, Vitolla F and Mariani M 2021 Extending the benefit of ESG disclosure: the effect on the cost of debt financing *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* 28 1412–21

[95] Maaloul A, Zeghal D, ben Amar W and Mansour S 2021 The effect of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance and disclosure on cost of debt: the mediating effect of corporate reputation *Corporate Reputation Review*

[96] Feng Z and Wu Z 2021 ESG disclosure, REIT debt financing and firm value *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*

[97] Bermejo Climent R, Garrigues I F-F, Paraskevopoulos I and Santos A 2021 ESG disclosure and portfolio performance *Risks* 9 172

[98] Oluseyi S O, Owolabi A A and Iyoha F O 2019 Corporate sustainability reporting and financial performance of oil and gas companies in Nigeria *Proc. of the 13rd Int. Business Information Management Association Conf.*, IBIMA 2019: Education Excellence and Innovation Management through Vision 2020 pp 1040–50

[99] Vetoshkina E, Ivanovskaya A, Kazykhanao E and Semenikhina N 2020 Analysis of impact of non-financial information disclosure on capitalization of Russian oil and gas sector companies *International Journal of Financial Research* 11 267–74

[100] Lavoie M, MacKay K, Stirling J and Risk D 2022 Methane inventories, but not regulatory submissions, show major variations in methane intensity for Canadian oil and gas producers *Cleaner Environmental Systems* 3 100081

[101] Whitelock V G 2015 Environmental social governance management: a theoretical perspective for the role of disclosure in the supply chain *International Journal of Business Information Systems* 18 399–405

[102] Abdi Y, Li X and Càmara-Turull X 2022 How financial performance influences investment in sustainable development initiatives in the airline industry: the moderation role of state-ownership *Sustainable Development*

[103] Abdi Y, Li X and Càmara-Turull X 2022 Exploring the impact of sustainability (ESG) disclosure on firm value and financial performance (FP) in airline industry: the moderating role of size and age *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 24 5052–79

[104] Hai M, Fang Z and Li Z 2022 Does business group’s conscious of social responsibility enhance its investment efficiency? Evidence from ESG disclosure of China’s listed companies *Sustainability* 14 4817

[105] Kimbrough M D, Wang X, Wei S and Zhang J 2022 Does voluntary ESG reporting resolve disagreement among ESG rating agencies? *European Accounting Review*

[106] Eng L L, Fikru M and Vichitsarawong T 2022 Comparing the informativeness of sustainability disclosures versus ESG disclosure ratings *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal* 13 494–518

[107] Christensen D M, Serafeim G and Sikochi A 2022 Why is corporate virtue in the eye of the beholder? The case of ESG ratings *Accounting Review* 97 147–75

[108] Li S, Yin P and Liu S 2022 Evaluation of ESG ratings for Chinese listed companies from the perspective of stock price crash risk *Frontiers in Environmental Science* 10 933639