Bounds on area and charge for marginally trapped surfaces with a cosmological constant
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Abstract

We sharpen the known inequalities $A A \leq 4\pi (1 - g)$ (Hayward et al 1994 Phys. Rev. D 49 5080, Woolgar 1999 Class. Quantum Grav. 16 3005) and $A \geq 4\pi Q^2$ (Dain et al 2012 Class. Quantum Grav. 29 035013) between the area $A$ and the electric charge $Q$ of a stable marginally outer-trapped surface (MOTS) of genus $g$ in the presence of a cosmological constant $\Lambda$. In particular, instead of requiring stability we include the principal eigenvalue $\lambda$ of the stability operator. For $\Lambda^* = \Lambda + \lambda > 0$, we obtain a lower and an upper bound for $\Lambda^* A$ in terms of $\Lambda^* Q^2$, as well as the upper bound $Q \leq 1/(2\sqrt{\Lambda^*})$ for the charge, which reduces to $Q \leq 1/(2\sqrt{\Lambda})$ in the stable case $\lambda \geq 0$. For $\Lambda^* < 0$, there only remains a lower bound on $A$. In the spherically symmetric, static, stable case, one of our area inequalities is saturated iff the surface gravity vanishes. We also discuss implications of our inequalities for ‘jumps’ and mergers of charged MOTS.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Bw, 04.20.Ex, 02.40.Ky

We take marginally outer trapped surfaces (MOTS) to be smooth, connected, orientable 2-surfaces on which one of the orthogonally outgoing null geodesic congruences has a vanishing expansion. A key calculation in the theory of MOTS is the variation of the outgoing null expansion in arbitrary directions. Applications of this calculation are the arguments leading to restrictions for the topology of MOTS when an energy condition is assumed (see e.g. [4–7]). In the cosmological case, for either sign of $\Lambda$, Hayward, Shiromizu and Nakao [1] and Woolgar [2] refined this calculation to obtain the bound

$$A A \leq 4\pi (1 - g)$$

[1, 2], where $A$ is the area and $g$ the genus of this surface. On the other hand, in the presence of an electric field and for $\Lambda \geq 0$, Dain, Jaramillo and Reiris found the inequality

$$4\pi Q^2 \leq A$$
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for the charge (theorem 2.1 of [3]), extending a previous observation by Gibbons (formula (47) in [8]) in the time-symmetric case. This means that for $\Lambda > 0$, there is a lower as well as an upper bound for the area of a stable, charged MOTS, and hence the bound

$$Q \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}$$

(3)

for the charge.

By revisiting the original variational calculation, we have obtained an improvement of the above-mentioned inequalities which we formulate as follows.

**Theorem.** Let $S$ be a MOTS in a spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, g_{ab})$ where the Einstein tensor $G_{ab}$ and the Maxwell and matter contributions to the energy–momentum satisfy

$$G_{ab} + \Lambda g_{ab} = T_{ab}^{\text{Max}} + T_{ab}^{\text{mat}} = 2 \left( F_{ab} F^c_c - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} F^{cd} F_{cd} \right) + T_{ab}^{\text{mat}}$$

(4)

and the latter satisfies the dominant energy condition. Let $\lambda$ be the principal eigenvalue of the stability operator (8) defined in [9, 10]. Then, in terms of $\Lambda^* = \Lambda + \lambda$, the electric charge $4\pi Q = \int_S F_{ab} dS^{ab}$ and the rescaled charge $q^* = 2\sqrt{\Lambda^*} Q$, there hold the bounds (note that $q^*$ is imaginary when $\Lambda^* < 0$!)

$$|q^*| \leq 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \Lambda^* > 0,$$

(5)

$$2\pi [1 - \sqrt{1 - q^*}] \leq \Lambda^* A \leq 2\pi [1 + \sqrt{1 - q^*}] \quad \text{for} \quad \Lambda^* > 0,$$

(6)

$$2\pi [(g - 1) + \sqrt{(g - 1)^2 - q^*}] \leq -\Lambda^* A \quad \text{for} \quad \Lambda^* < 0.$$  

(7)

In the stable case $\lambda \geq 0$, all inequalities remain valid when $\Lambda^*$ and $q^*$ are replaced by $\Lambda$ and $q = 2\sqrt{\Lambda} Q$, respectively.

**Proof.** We recall the terminology of [3], [9] and [10]: we denote by $l^a$ and $k^b$ the outgoing and ingoing null normals to $S$, and by $\theta^{(i)}$, $\Omega^{(i)}_a$ and $\sigma^{(i)}_{ab}$ the expansion, the torsion and shear of $l^a$, respectively. The covariant derivative $D_a$, the Laplacian $\Delta_S$ and the scalar curvature $R_S$ refer to $S$. We also recall the variation $\delta \psi, \theta^{(i)}$ resp. the stability operator $L_a(\psi)$ with respect to a normal direction $v^a = \beta l^a - k^a$, defined by (8), and rewritten in [3] as (9)

$$\psi^{-1} \delta \psi, \theta^{(i)} = \psi^{-1} L_a(\psi) = -\psi^{-1} \Delta_S \psi + D^a \Omega^{(i)}_a + 2 \psi^{-1} \Omega^{(i)}_a D_a \psi$$

$$- \Omega^{(i)}_a \Omega^{(i)}_a + \frac{1}{2} R_S - \beta [\sigma^{(i)}_{ab} \sigma^{(i)ab} + G_{ab} \Gamma_l^l] - G_{ab} k^a k^b$$

(8)

$$= -\Delta_S \ln \psi + D^a \Omega^{(i)}_a - (D_a \ln \psi - \Omega^{(i)}_a) (D_a \ln \psi - \Omega^{(i)}_a)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} R_S - \beta [\sigma^{(i)}_{ab} \sigma^{(i)ab} + G_{ab} \Gamma_l^l] - G_{ab} k^a k^b.$$  

(9)

For any fixed $v^a$, this linear elliptic (but in general non-self-adjoint) operator acting on the function $\psi$ has a real ‘principal’ eigenvalue (whose real part is lowest among all eigenvalues), and a corresponding real positive eigenfunction $\phi$, namely $L_a(\phi) = \lambda \phi$. We now insert this eigenfunction and Einstein’s equations in (9), integrate over $S$ and perform the manipulations of theorem 2.1 of [3] to estimate $\int_S T_{ab}^{\text{Max}} dS^{ab}$ in terms of $Q^2 / A$. We obtain

$$\Lambda^* A^2 - 4\pi (1 - g) A + 16\pi^2 Q^2 \leq 0$$

(10)

which yields the bounds (5), (6) and (7). The final assertion of the theorem holds since (10) remains valid if $\Lambda^*$ is replaced by $\Lambda$ for $\lambda \geq 0$.  

$\square$
Remarks.

(i) On comparing with [1] and [3], the stability requirement on the MOTS has now been removed at the expense of introducing the principal eigenvalue $\lambda$ of the stability operator. This can of course be done even in the absence of cosmological or Maxwell terms.

(ii) A cosmological constant (of either sign) then just shifts the spectrum of the stability operator via $\delta \rightarrow \delta^* = \Lambda + \delta$ for every eigenvalue $\delta$.

(iii) The eigenvalue of the stability operator does not seem to have any direct physical meaning. If there is any relation to quantum mechanical instability via Hawking radiation, it is an ‘inverse’ one: for the bifurcate horizon sphere in the Schwarzschild spacetime with mass $M$, surface gravity $\kappa$, and temperature $T$, we have $\lambda = 1/(4M^2) = 4\kappa^2 \propto T^2$. Hence, the hotter the black hole, the more stable it is in our sense. We also note that (10) can be rewritten as an upper bound for $\lambda$.

(iv) For $\Lambda^* > 0$, we obtain spherical topology $g = 0$, which is a slight reformulation of the standard results on topology of MOTS [7].

(v) Again for $\Lambda^* > 0$, the charge (5) which ‘fits into a black hole’ is lower by a factor of 2 compared to the estimate (3) following directly from (1) and (2) in the stable case.

(vi) The left half of (6) turns into (2) for $q^* \ll 1$ since $\sqrt{1 - q^2} \approx 1 - q^2/2$, while (7) and the right half of (6) imply (1) for $q^* = 0$.

(vii) In the spherically symmetric (hence static) case, it is easily checked that the explicitly known (‘Reissner–Nordström–deSitter–’) solutions with stable MOTS (cf Carter [11]) saturate either one of (6) for $\Lambda^* > 0$ or (7) for $\Lambda^* < 0$ iff the surface gravity vanishes (in which case the MOTS in fact degenerates to the well-known cylindrical end). Without the assumption of spherical symmetry, the analogous result can be conjectured.

(viii) We can also introduce a generalized Christodoulou mass [12] and a generalized surface gravity via the relations $M_g = M(\mathcal{A}, Q, \Lambda)$ and $\kappa_g = \kappa(\mathcal{A}, Q, \Lambda)$ satisfied by the spherically symmetric, static solutions. Then, the ‘first law of black hole mechanics’ in the form given in [13] implies $\kappa_g = \partial M_g/\partial \mathcal{A}$. For the general (dynamical) case in which the area increases monotonically with time, this yields monotonicity properties for $M_g$ depending on the sign of $\kappa_g$ as exposed in [14] and [15].

(ix) Magnetic and Yang–Mills charges can be included straightforwardly in the above bounds (cf [3, 16]).

(x) In the axially symmetric case, the cosmological constant would be a highly interesting and non-trivial addendum to the bound

$$\frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \geq 4J^2 + Q^4$$

for the area in terms of the angular momentum $J$ and $Q$ obtained in [17, 18] when $\Lambda^* \geq 0$. In addition to $q^* = 2\sqrt{\Lambda^2Q}$, the parameter $j^* = \Lambda^*J$ will play a crucial role in this case as can already be seen from combining (11) with (1) or (6). Here the natural task is, however, to obtain bounds on $\Lambda$, $q^*$ and $j^*$ which are sharp in the extreme cases (cf remark vii). This will be described elsewhere.

As an application of our bounds, we consider a spacetime foliated by spacelike hypersurfaces, with a trapped region and an untrapped outer barrier on each leaf. Then, as shown by Andersson and Metzger [19] and Eichmair [20], each such leaf contains a single, unique, smooth and stable ‘outermost MOTS’. Upon time evolution, MOTS are capable of ‘jumping’ [21] (which includes ‘merging’ if there are several MOTS present initially). Accordingly on a slice corresponding to a ‘jump time’ there are at least two homologous MOTS. However, electric charges as well as a positive cosmological constant provide repulsive forces which loosely speaking restrict the options for jumping or forbid jumps at all. Consider,
in particular, a foliated spacetime with $\Lambda > 0$ and an untrapped barrier on each slice. Then, two MOTS with charges $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ such that $Q_1 + Q_2 > 1/(2\sqrt{\Lambda})$ cannot merge on such a spacetime, irrespective of their stability, as the stable outermost target of the jump would violate (5).

We note that a restriction for the merging of charged black holes for $\Lambda > 0$ was also obtained by Shiromizu, Nakao, Kodama and Maeda [22]. However, this result is based on the existence of an event horizon and an area law for it, which hinges on several assumptions. On the other hand, in the present setting, a general area law for MOTS under jumps is unknown, except that the initial and the final MOTS have to respect the area bounds (6) and (7). For stable MOTS, this restriction can be formulated as follows.

**Corollary.** We consider a spacelike hypersurface $N$ in the spacetime $M$ satisfying the requirements of the theorem with $\Lambda > 0$.

(i) Let $N$ contain two homologous, stable MOTS $S_i, S_f$ with areas $A_i$ and $A_f$ such that the domain bounded by $S_i, S_f$ is free of charges. Then, for $\Delta A = A_i/A_f$ and in terms of the rescaled total charge $q$, we find

$$\frac{1-\sqrt{1-q^2}}{1+\sqrt{1-q^2}} \leq \Delta A \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{1-q^2}}{1-\sqrt{1-q^2}}.$$ (12)

(ii) Let $N$ contain three stable MOTS $S_{i,1}, S_{i,2}$ and $S_f$ with areas $A_{i,1}, A_{i,2}$ and $A_f$, $S_{i,1} \cup S_{i,2}$ homologous to $S_f$, and the intermediate domain free of charges. Let $q_1, q_2$ be the charges of $S_{i,1}, S_{i,2}$ such that $q_1 q_2 > 0$. Then, for $\Delta A = (A_{i,1} + A_{i,2})/A_f$, we obtain

$$\frac{2-\sqrt{1-q_1^2} - \sqrt{1-q_2^2}}{1+\sqrt{1-(q_1^2 + q_2^2)}} \leq \Delta A \leq \frac{2 + \sqrt{1-q_1^2} + \sqrt{1-q_2^2}}{1-\sqrt{1-(q_1^2 + q_2^2)}}.$$ (13)

**Proof.** Equation (12) is obvious from (6) in which $q^*$ can be replaced by $q$ due to stability. To get (13), we have used charge conservation $q = q_1 + q_2$ for homologous surfaces, as well as $q^2 \geq q_1^2 + q_2^2$ for charges of equal sign.

**Remarks.**

(i) A generalization to more MOTS components is trivial. On the other hand, upon relaxing the stability conditions, the explicit appearance of $\lambda$ in the area bounds seems inevitable.

(ii) Compared to (12), a simpler but rougher bound on $\Delta A$ (under the same requirements) reads $(4q^{-2} - 1)^{-1} \leq \Delta A \leq 4q^{-2} - 1$. This is obvious from (12) and $\sqrt{1-q^2} < 1-q^2/2$. Equation (13) can be simplified in the same manner.
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