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Abstract. Native wildflowers are important components of grassland communities and low-maintenance wildflower seed mixtures. Weed interference limits successful establishment of native wildflowers from seed. Experiments were conducted to determine the influence of the imidazolinone herbicides imazethapyr, imazapic, and imazaquin on the establishment of blackeyed susan (Rudbeckia hirta L.), upright prairieconeflower (Ratibida columnifera (Nutt.) Woot. and Standl.), spiked liatris [Liatris spicata (L.) Willd.], blanket flower (Gaillardia aristata Pursh.), purple coneflower [Echinacea purpurea (L.) Moench.], and spotted beebalm (Monarda punctata L.). Wildflower response to the herbicide treatments was variable and appeared to be influenced by the level of weed interference. Establishment of the native wildflowers after application of imazapic or imazathapyr at 70 g·ha⁻¹ a.i. was generally improved at sites with greater weed interference. Emergence and density of wildflowers was often reduced by imazapic in sites with low weed interference. Flower density during the second growing season was usually either improved or not reduced by either imazathapyr or imazapic. Based on these findings, imazathapyr and imazapic can reduce weed interference and improve the establishment of imidazolinone herbicides have the potential to be versatile weed management options that can enable establishment of diverse mixtures of tolerant wildflowers and native grasses. This research was conducted to determine the influence of imidazolinone herbicides on emergence, density, and flowering of native wildflowers.

Prairie ecosystems of the Great Plains were once among the most floristically diverse plant communities in North America (Jordan et al., 1988). Weaver (1954) recorded more than 200 species in the tallgrass prairie with the majority being forbs or wildflowers. Native wildflowers are an essential segment of prairie communities and are used for a variety of purposes. Wildflower mixtures containing a wide range of broadleaf and grassy weeds and possess both foliar and soil activity (Little and Shaner, 1991; Shaner and Mallipudi, 1991). By identifying wildflowers that are tolerant to imidazolinone herbicides, an important weed control option could be developed that would reduce weed interference and improve the reliability of wildflower establishment. The imidazolinone herbicides have the potential to be versatile weed management options that could enable establishment of diverse mixtures of tolerant wildflowers and native grasses.

Prairie ecosystems of the Great Plains were once among the most floristically diverse plant communities in North America (Jordan et al., 1988). Weaver (1954) recorded more than 200 species in the tallgrass prairie with the majority being forbs or wildflowers. Native wildflowers are an essential segment of prairie communities and are used for a variety of purposes. Wildflower mixtures containing a wide range of broadleaf and grassy weeds and possess both foliar and soil activity (Little and Shaner, 1991; Shaner and Mallipudi, 1991). By identifying wildflowers that are tolerant to imidazolinone herbicides, an important weed control option could be developed that would reduce weed interference and improve the reliability of wildflower establishment. The imidazolinone herbicides have the potential to be versatile weed management options that could enable establishment of diverse mixtures of tolerant wildflowers and native grasses.

Materials and Methods

Four experiments were initiated from 1994 to 1996 at the John Seaton Anderson Turfgrass and Ornamental Research Facility near Mead, Nebr. Soil at all sites was a Sharpsburg silty clay loam (fine, smectitic, mesic Typic Argudoll). Experiments were designed as randomized complete blocks with four replications in the 1994 and 1996 experiments, and three replications in the 1995 experiment. Four experiments were initiated from 1994 to 1996 at the John Seaton Anderson Turfgrass and Ornamental Research Facility near Mead, Nebr. Soil at all sites was a Sharpsburg silty clay loam (fine, smectitic, mesic Typic Argudoll). Experiments were designed as randomized complete blocks with four replications in the 1994 and 1996 experiments, and three replications in the 1995 experiment. Four experiments were conducted in 1994, one on an irrigated site and the other on a nonirrigated site; both had previously been maintained as tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea Schreb.) sod. The irrigated site received a minimum of 2.5 cm of irrigation each week and/or rainfall. Shortly after planting, a clean seedbed for planting. 60-cm-wide border of Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis L.) sod was planted around each 1 x 2-m plot. Within each plot, a single species was seeded to a maximum depth of 1.2 cm into three rows that were 20 cm apart. Pure live seed (PLS) of blackeyed susan (2.3 kg·ha⁻¹, 810 PLS/m²), spiked liatris (9.3 kg·ha⁻¹, 260 PLS/m²), and upright prairieconeflower (2.1 kg·ha⁻¹, 270 PLS/m²) were planted at both sites on 8 June 1994. Herbicide treatments were applied to individual plots on 10 June 1994. The herbicide treatments were no herbicide and imazathapyr or imazapic applied at 70 kg·ha⁻¹. Methylylated seed oil (SUN/IT II; Agsco, Grand Forks, N.D.) and 28% urea ammonium nitrate at 1.25% v/v were added to the spray solution as adjuvants.
Herbicides were applied with a backpack sprayer that delivered 163 mL·m⁻² at 240 kPa. The dominant weed species at both sites were smooth crabgrass [Digitaria ischaemum (Schreb. ex. Schweig) Schreb. ex Muhl.] and redroot pigweed (Amaranthus retroflexus L.).

1995 experiment. In 1995, an experiment was initiated on an irrigated site that had previously been maintained as a low-maintenance Kentucky bluegrass sod. Site preparation, plot size, planting method, and irrigation method were similar to those in the 1994 irrigated experiment. Blackeyed susan, upright prairieconeflower, blanket flower, purple coneflower, and spotted bee balm were seeded at 300 PLS/m² on 28 June 1995. The planting date was delayed and relatively late because of excessive rainfall during May and June 1995. Herbicide treatments were applied to individual plots on 29 June 1995. Treatments were no herbicide and imazapic, imazethapyr, or imazaquin applied at 70 g·ha⁻¹. Methylated seed oil and 28% urea ammonium nitrate were added to the spray solution and applied at 380 mL·ha⁻¹. The primary weed species present at the site were swamp smartweed (Polygonum coccineum Muhl. ex Willd.), horseweed [Conyza canadensis (L.) Cronq.], large crabgrass [Digitaria sanguinalis (L.) Scop.], and smooth crabgrass.

1996 experiment. In 1996, an experiment was initiated on an irrigated site that had previously been maintained as a tall fescue sod. Site preparation, plot size, planting method, and irrigation method were similar to those in the 1995 experiment. Blanket flower and purple coneflower were seeded at 300 PLS/m² on 19 May 1996. Herbicide treatments were no herbicide and imazethapyr and imazaquin applied at 70 g·ha⁻¹, and were applied on 20 May 1996. Dominant weeds at the site were common lambsquarters (Chenopodium album L.), redroot pigweed, and smooth crabgrass.

For all experiments, weed control and planted species emergence were recorded 4 weeks after herbicide treatment. These variables were expressed as percentages on a 0% to 100% scale, with 0 representing no weed control or no emergence and 100 representing complete weed control or complete emergence.

Stand establishment was assessed 1 year after planting. To reduce growth of annual grasses that would have interfered with sampling during the second growing season, a uniform application of fluazifop-P-butyl [butyl + R-2-[4-[[5-(trifluoromethyl)-2-pyrdinyl]oxy]phenoxy]propionate] was applied to all plots at 0.4 kg·ha⁻¹ with a backpack sprayer once annual grasses were indistinguishable. Flower density of blackeyed susan, upright prairieconeflower, blanket flower, and purple coneflower was determined by counting the number of composite flower heads arising from rooted plants within the quadrat, that of spiked liatris and spotted bee balm by counting the number of flowering stems rooted within the quadrat.

Due to different seedling rates within species and the inclusion of imazaquin in 1995, each year’s experiments were analyzed separately. Data from the irrigated and nonirrigated sites in 1994 were also analyzed separately and homogeneity of error variances tested with Hartley’s F-max test (Hartley, 1950) prior to pooling of the data. Within all experiments weed control data were combined across wildflower species while the other variables were analyzed within species. Arcsin transformation (Lentner and Bishop, 1993) was performed on the percentage weed control and emergence data. Nontransformed data are presented because the results for transformed and nontransformed data were similar. Means of the response variables were separated with Fisher’s protected LSD (P ≤ 0.05).

### Results and Discussion

1994 experiments. Error variances were homogenous and no species or site interactions were detected for weed control. Therefore, weed control means were averaged across wildflower species and the irrigated and nonirrigated sites. Weed interference from the dominant weed species, smooth crabgrass, was severe with >90% foliar cover in nonirrigated areas. Four weeks after treatment, imazapic provided greater weed control than did imazethapyr (Table 1). Competition and interference from the crabgrass resulted in low emergence and/or survival of blackeyed susan, upright prairieconeflower, and spiked liatris in the nonirrigated plots (Table 2). Herbicide by site interaction was significant for blackeyed susan emergence, whereas the responses of upright prairieconeflower and spiked liatris were similar in both irrigated and nonirrigated sites. Emergence of blackeyed susan in the irrigated site, and of upright prairieconeflower, and spiked liatris in both sites, was greater in

| Herbicide       | 1994  | 1995  | 1996  |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Imazapic        | 96    | 94    | 100   |
| Imazethapyr     | 68    | 95    | 96    |
| Imazaquin       | --    | 78    | --    |
| Nontreated      | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| LSD₀.₀₅        | 5     | 6     | 5     |

Means averaged across irrigated and nonirrigated; dominant weed species were smooth crabgrass and redroot pigweed.

Dominant weed species were smooth crabgrass, large crabgrass, marestail, and swamp smartweed.

Dominant weed species were smooth crabgrass, redroot pigweed, and common lambsquarters.

### Table 1. Native wildflower emergence 4 weeks after treatment with imidazolinone herbicides at sites near Mead, Nebr. All herbicides were applied at 70 g·ha⁻¹ a.i.

| Herbicide       | Species          | Emergence (%) | Plant density (no./m²) | Flower density (no./m²) |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                 | Blackeyed susan | Spiked liatris | Upright prairieconeflower |                      |
| Imazapic        | 6               | 1             | 5                      | 1                      |
| Imazethapyr     | 26              | 6             | 17                     | 5                      |
| Nontreated      | 0               | 1             | 1                      | 0                      |
| LSD₀.₀₅        | 7               | --            | 7                      | 4                      |

### Table 2. Native wildflower emergence 4 weeks after sowing seed, and plant and flower density =14 months after sowing from experiments initiated in 1994 at irrigated (IR) and nonirrigated (NIR) sites near Mead, Nebr. Both herbicides were applied at 70 g·ha⁻¹ a.i.

| Herbicide       | Species          | Emergence (%) | Plant density (no./m²) | Flower density (no./m²) |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Imazapic        | 27              | 9             | 1                      | 5                      |
| Imazethapyr     | 27              | 12            | 1                      | 5                      |
| Nontreated      | 8               | 0             | 0                      | 3                      |
| LSD₀.₀₅        | 17              | 7             | NS                     | NS                     |

Emergence data for blackeyed susan from both irrigated (IR) and nonirrigated (NIR) sites are presented because of a significant herbicide × site interaction.

Plant and flower density from irrigated and nonirrigated sites were analyzed separately due to nonhomogenous error variances.

Data averaged across irrigated and nonirrigated sites.

Nonsignificant at P ≤ 0.05.
imazethapyr-treated plots than in imazapic-treated and nontreated plots.

Fourteen months after planting, plant density of blackeyed susan was higher in herbicide-treated areas than in nontreated areas, but flower density was not affected (Table 2). Spiked liatris plant and flowering stem density were both improved by applications of imazethapyr or imazapic, but only in the irrigated site. Lack of moisture in the nonirrigated site appeared to limit the survival and establishment of spiked liatris. When data were averaged across irrigated and nonirrigated sites, imazethapyr increased emergence of upright prairieconeflower, but effects of the herbicides on plant and flower density were nonsignificant.

1995 experiment. Imazapic and imazethapyr provided greater control of crabgrass and marestail than did imazaquin 4 weeks after treatment (Table 1). Weed interference was lighter (<25% canopy cover) than that in the 1994 experiments, probably because of the late seedbed preparation and planting (28 June). The lack of weed interference resulted in >50% emergence ratings for blackeyed susan, upright prairieconeflower, blanket flower, and purple coneflower in the nontreated plots (Table 3). Treatment with imazapic, imazapic, or imazaquin reduced emergence of blackeyed susan and upright prairieconeflower. Injury symptoms for these species after emergence included seedling chlorosis, purpling, and stunting. Emergence of blanket flower and purple coneflower was >55% in all treatments and was not significantly affected by herbicide treatment. No spotted beebalm plants were observed in plots treated with imazapic or imazaquin 4 weeks after planting, but variability was great and the differences between treatments were not significant. Plant density of blackeyed susan, upright prairieconeflower, blanket flower, and purple coneflower was greatest in the nontreated areas (Table 3). However, only blackeyed susan flower density differences were significant, with imazapic and imazaquin treatments reducing flowering.

1996 experiment. Four weeks after application, both imazapic and imazapic provided >95% weed control (Table 1). Emergence of blanket flower was reduced by imazapic treatment, whereas that of purple coneflower was not (Table 4). Weed interference was low (<25% canopy cover) during this period but increased toward the end of the first growing season. Neither plant nor flower density of blanket flower and purple coneflower in 1997 was reduced by herbicide treatment (Table 4).

Imazapic and imazapic provided similar weed control 1 month after planting at two of the four sites. In 1994, imazapic provided superior weed control at sites where there was severe interference from smooth crabgrass. Imazapic and imazapic were least injurious and most beneficial in these environments. In the 1994 experiments, establishment of blackeyed susan and upright prairieconeflower was either improved or not reduced by treatment with imazapic or imazapic, as indicated by increased growth, flowering, and seed production. This study provides evidence that imidazolinone herbicides are a promising weed management option for establishing certain native forbs and wildflowers. Blackeyed susan, upright prairieconeflower, and spiked liatris establishment was not reduced when treated with imidazolinone herbicides in weedy sites, nor was flowering of upright prairieconeflower, blanket flower, and purple coneflower adversely affected by the imidazolinone herbicides used in this study. When establishing

![Table 3. Native wildflower emergence 4 weeks after sowing seed, and plant and flower density =14 months after sowing from an experiment initiated in 1995 at an irrigated site near Mead, Nebr. All herbicides were applied at 70 g·ha⁻² a.i.](image-url)

| Species            | Herbicide | Blanket flower | Purple coneflower |
|--------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|
| Emergence (%)      |           |                |                   |
| Imazapic           | 52        | 1167           | 17                |
| Imazapic           | 525       | 1096           | 27                |
| Imazapic           | 135       | 842            | 11                |
| Imazapic           | 708       | 1030           | 36                |
| Imazapic           | 310       |                |                   |
| LSDₜ₀.₀₅           | NS        | NS             | NS                |
| LSDₜ₀.₀₅           | NS        | NS             | NS                |
| LSDₜ₀.₀₅           | NS        | NS             | NS                |
| LSDₜ₀.₀₅           | NS        | NS             | NS                |
| Flower density (no./m²) |     |                |                   |
| Imazapic           | 285       | 92             | 15                |
| Imazapic           | 285       | 92             | 15                |
| Imazapic           | 285       | 92             | 15                |
| Imazapic           | 285       | 92             | 15                |
| Imazapic           | 285       | 92             | 15                |
| LSDₜ₀.₀₅           | NS        | NS             | NS                |
| LSDₜ₀.₀₅           | NS        | NS             | NS                |
| LSDₜ₀.₀₅           | NS        | NS             | NS                |
| LSDₜ₀.₀₅           | NS        | NS             | NS                |

*Plant density data were not collected for spotted beebalm.

*Nonsignificant at P ≤ 0.05.

![Table 4. Native wildflower emergence 4 weeks after sowing seed, and plant and flower density =14 months after sowing from an experiment initiated in 1996 at an irrigated site near Mead, Nebr. Both herbicides were applied at 70 g·ha⁻² a.i.](image-url)

| Species            | Herbicide | Blanket flower | Purple coneflower |
|--------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|
| Emergence (%)      |           |                |                   |
| Imazapic           | 430       | 84             |                   |
| Imazapic           | 428       | 114            |                   |
| Imazapic           | 106       | 22             |                   |
| LSDₜ₀.₀₅           | NS        | NS             | NS                |
| LSDₜ₀.₀₅           | NS        | NS             | NS                |

*Nonsignificant at P ≤ 0.05.
native wildflowers, the primary benefit of these herbicides is the reduction of weed interference in sites with significant weed competition, particularly from annual grasses.

Of the herbicides evaluated in this study, only imazapic is labeled for the establishment of certain wildflowers. The current label indicates that stand thinning and injury to wildflowers from imazapic may occur because of genetic variation within wildflower species and environmental conditions. Additionally, the label recommends that imazapic be used for establishing labeled wildflowers when they are seeded in mixtures with tolerant grasses.

A promising aspect of the imidazolinone herbicides is the tolerance exhibited by several native warm-season grasses, such as big bluestem, little bluestem, and indiangrass (Masters et al., 1996), that are often seeded in mixtures with native forbs and wildflowers. Seed mixtures containing native grasses and wildflowers are used extensively in roadides, parks, golf course roughs, wildlife habitat plantings, and prairie restoration projects. The imidazolinone herbicides have the potential to be a valuable weed control option for establishment of diverse mixtures of grasses and wildflowers in these areas.
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