Influence of alcohol use on mortality and expenditure during hospital admission: a cross-sectional study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study was designed to investigate the effect of alcohol intoxication on clinical presentation of hospitalised adult trauma patients at a Level I trauma centre using propensity score matching.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: Taiwan.

Participants: Detailed data of 929 hospitalised adult trauma patients with alcohol intoxication, aged 20–65 years, and 10 104 corresponding patients without alcohol intoxication were retrieved from the Trauma Registry System between 1 January 2009 and 31 December 2014. Alcohol intoxication was defined as a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) ≥50 mg/dL.

Main outcome measures: In-hospital mortality and expenditure.

Results: Patients with alcohol intoxication presented with significantly higher short-term mortality (OR: 3.0, 95% CI 2.0 to 4.4; p<0.001) than patients without alcohol intoxication. However, on comparison with propensity score-matched patients with respect to sex, age, comorbidity, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), injury region based on Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) and Injury Severity Score (ISS), alcohol intoxication did not significantly influence mortality (OR: 0.8, 95% CI 0.5 to 1.4; p=0.563). This implied that the higher mortality of alcohol-intoxicated patients was attributable to patient characteristics such as a higher injury severity rather than alcohol intoxication. Even on comparison with sex-matched, age-matched and comorbidity-matched patients without alcohol intoxication, patients with alcohol intoxication still had significantly higher total expenditure (17.4% higher), cost of operation (40.3% higher), cost of examination (52.8% higher) and cost of pharmaceuticals (38.3% higher).

Conclusions: The associated higher mortality of adult trauma patients with alcohol intoxication was completely attributable to other patient characteristics and associated injury severity rather than the effects of alcohol. However, patients with alcohol intoxication incurred significantly higher expenditure than patients without alcohol intoxication, even on comparison with sex-matched, age-matched and comorbidity-matched patients without alcohol intoxication.

BACKGROUND

Alcohol consumption increases the likelihood of injury during activities.1–3 Consumption of three to four alcoholic drinks and five to six alcoholic drinks during the 6 hours preceding an accident led to a sixfold and a ninefold increase in the odds of injury, respectively.4 A previous case–control study5 and a case-crossover study, which compares injury between when patients drink before the event and when patients drink during an earlier control period,6 were conducted in emergency-room settings to estimate the risk of injury related to alcohol consumption. These studies revealed a 2.1-fold and 4.7-fold increase, respectively, in drinking-related injury.7 A multilevel analysis of 28 studies from 16 countries included 8423 patients with alcohol-related injuries who arrived in the emergency department (ED) within 6 hours of injury and showed that the overall prevalence of alcohol-related injuries was 24% for...
patients with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of ≥50 mg/dL. An alcohol intoxication prevalence of 18–80% has been reported at the time of admission, depending on the study design and inclusion criteria. In trauma patients, alcohol intoxication is associated with higher impact speed, which leads to higher injury severity and mortality. The relative risk of involvement in a fatal vehicle crash increases with increasing BAC of the driver in every age/gender group among fatally injured and surviving drivers. Among 16–20-year-old male drivers, a BAC increase of 0.02% was estimated to more than double the relative risk of fatal single-vehicle crash injury. In addition, a previous study has reported that the mortality rate associated with traffic crashes doubled in patients with alcohol intoxication as compared to that of sober patients. In the USA, alcohol-impaired driving crashes account for nearly 11 000 crash fatalities, or approximately one-third of all crash fatalities. A total of 35.2% of deaths worldwide were attributable to alcohol consumption in 2012, which resulted in 30.8% of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) from injuries.

Acute intoxication and dependence on alcohol are both associated with frequent utilisation of healthcare resources. National and international statistics on alcohol-related harm tend to emphasise estimates of the total numbers of deaths or total economic costs but rarely report the financial expenditure of healthcare per episode of injury. The effect of alcohol intoxication on the expenditure of caring for injured patients has important implications for trauma care and healthcare policy. Increased resource use and expenditure have been reported in a subset of minimally injured trauma patients who were BAC positive in trauma centres nationwide. In medical evaluations, physicians often use advanced techniques to rule out the presence of potentially unidentified injuries in drunken patients. Alcohol-intoxicated patients had significantly higher chances of undergoing evaluation by abdominal ultrasound and head CT during the first 24 hours of hospital arrival. In alcohol-intoxicated patients with less-severe injuries, brain CT was overused, with a higher proportion of negative findings for intracranial haemorrhage.

In an analysis of the sample of ED patient visits, representing ~13 million ED visits nationwide, BAC-positive patients underwent more diagnostic tests and had longer ED stays. Previous studies have reported alcohol use to be associated with higher hospital mortality and expenditure. Since the patient’s behaviour, the severity of the injury caused by the accident, and the response of the body to the traumatic injury are all influenced by alcohol, it is important to consider the differences in patient population in this assessment. In particular, alcohol has been reported to negatively influence the body’s response to injury. For example, some studies demonstrated a beneficial effect of alcohol on patients with traumatic brain injury, although the exact mechanism is unclear. In addition, a positive serum alcohol level was associated with a significantly lower pneumonia rate in patients with isolated, moderate-to-severe traumatic brain injury and may explain the observed reduced mortality. In contrast, observational studies have shown that an elevated BAC is associated with an increased susceptibility to pneumonia, infections and the development of adult respiratory distress syndrome. Therefore, by using propensity score matching to attenuate the confounding effects of various patient characteristics and associated injury severity, this study was designed to assess the effect of alcohol intoxication on clinical presentation of hospitalised adult trauma patients in a Level I trauma centre in Southern Taiwan.

METHODS

Ethics statement

This study was preapproved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (approval number 104-8665B). Informed consent was waived according to IRB regulations.

Study design

This retrospective study reviewed data of all 20 106 hospitalised patients registered in the Trauma Registry System from 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2014 (figure 1). The hospital is a 2400-bed facility and Level I regional trauma centre that provides care to trauma patients primarily from Southern Taiwan. All adult patients aged 20–65 years and hospitalised for treatment of traumatic injuries were included in the study. Patients who had incomplete registered data (n=182) or lacked information on hospital expenditure (n=3289) were excluded. In Taiwan, all drivers involved in traffic accidents are legally compelled to undergo testing for BAC. In trauma injuries other than traffic accidents, the physician at the ED may perform a BAC test when required or under strong suspicion. A BAC level of 50 mg/dL, which is the legal limit for drivers in Taiwan, was defined as the cut-off value. Therefore, patients with a BAC level ≥50 mg/dL at the time of arrival at the hospital were considered intoxicated and were included in the study as BAC (+). Patients for whom an alcohol test was not requested or who had a BAC level <50 mg/dL at the time of arrival at the hospital were considered to be non-intoxicated and BAC (−). Of the total 11 033 adult patients, 929 (8.4%) patients with BAC (+) and 10 104 (91.6%) patients with BAC (−) were enrolled in this study for further analysis. Detailed patient information was retrieved from the Trauma Registry System of our institution, including data on age; gender; trauma mechanism; initial Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) in the ED; Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) severity score for each body region; Injury Severity Score (ISS); rates of associated injuries; number of operations; hospital length of stay (LOS); LOS in intensive care unit (ICU); in-hospital mortality and total expenditure per patient including...
cost of operation (operation fee and operation supply fee), cost of examination (physical examination fee, haematology testing fee, examination fee for radiography, pathological examination fee, examination fee for electrocardiography, echo, endoscopy, electromyography, cardiac catheterisation and monitoring fee for electroencephalography), cost of pharmaceuticals (medicine service fee, medicine fee and narcotic drug fee) and other costs (registration fee, administrative fee, ward fees, nursing fee, blood/plasma test fees, haemodialysis fees, anaesthesia fees, rehabilitation-treatment fee, special material costs and personal expenses). The ISS is expressed as the median and IQR (Q1–Q3). Pre-existing comorbidities and chronic diseases including diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension (HTN), coronary artery diseases (CAD), congestive heart failure (CHF), cerebrovascular accident (CVA) and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) were also identified. Odd ratios of the associated conditions and injuries of the patients were calculated with 95% CIs. The data collected were compared using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, V20.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). Two-sided Fisher’s exact or Pearson $\chi^2$ test was used to compare categorical data. Unpaired Student’s t-test was used to analyse normally distributed continuous data, which were reported as mean±SD. Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare non-normally distributed data. To minimise confounding effects due to non-randomised assignment in the assessment of the effect of alcohol intoxication on mortality, propensity scores were calculated using a logistic regression model and the following covariates: gender, age, comorbidity, GCS, injuries based on AIS and ISS. A 1:1 matched study group was created by the Greedy method using NCSS software (NCSS V.10; NCSS Statistical software, Kaysville, Utah, USA). After adjusting for these confounding factors, binary logistic regression was used for evaluating the effect of intervention for alcohol intoxication on mortality. In addition, to assess the effect of alcohol intoxication on cost and number of surgeries, two comparable populations of BAC (+) and BAC (−) patients were selected in a 1:4 ratio by the Greedy method using NCSS software, according to the matched propensity scores, which were calculated using a logistic regression model with gender, age and comorbidity as covariates. p Values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Injury characteristics of patients with alcohol intoxication
A significant predominance in the percentage of men was noted among patients with alcohol intoxication (821 (88.4%) men and 108 (11.6%) women of total 929 patients with alcohol intoxication). The mean ages of the patients with alcohol intoxication and those without alcohol intoxication were 40.4±11.5 and 43.0±13.6 years, respectively (table 1). Among patients with alcohol intoxication, a greater number of patients were aged 30–39 and 40–49 years, but fewer patients were aged between 50–59 and 60–69 years. A greater number of patients with alcohol intoxication were younger than those without alcohol intoxication. Significantly lower incidence of pre-existing comorbidities and chronic diseases including HTN (OR: 0.7, 95% CI 0.6 to 0.8; p<0.001), DM (OR: 0.5, 95% CI 0.3 to 0.6; p<0.001) and ESRD (OR: 0.2, 95% CI 0.1 to 0.7; p=0.009) were found among patients with alcohol intoxication as compared to those without alcohol intoxication. On comparison with patients without alcohol intoxication, patients with alcohol intoxication and those involved in motorcycle accidents were most commonly admitted (48.5% vs 66.0%, respectively; p<0.001), followed by strike by/against objects (25.0% vs 11.8%, respectively; p<0.001), fall accidents (19.9% vs 10.0%, respectively; p<0.001).
and motor vehicle accidents (2.7% vs 7.1%, respectively; p<0.001). More patients with alcohol intoxication were injured in motorcycle and motor vehicle accidents than those without alcohol intoxication. In contrast, a smaller number of patients with alcohol intoxication were injured in strike by/against objects and fall accidents.

Injury severity of the patients with alcohol intoxication

GCS were significantly lower (by 1 point) in patients with alcohol intoxication than in patients without alcohol intoxication (12.6±3.7 vs 14.5±1.9, p<0.001). A significantly larger number of patients with alcohol intoxication had a GCS of ≤8 and GCS of 9–12 and a smaller number of patients had a GCS of ≥13 compared to those without alcohol intoxication. Analysis of AIS revealed that patients with alcohol intoxication had sustained significantly higher rates of head/neck, face, thoracic and abdomen injuries than patients without alcohol intoxication, whereas patients without alcohol intoxication had sustained significantly

| Variables       | BAC (+)   | BAC (-)   | OR (95% CI) | p Value |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Sex             |           |           |             |         |
| Male            | 821 (88.4)| 6113 (60.5)| 5.0 (4.0 to 6.1)| <0.001 |
| Female          | 108 (11.6)| 3991 (39.5)| 0.2 (0.2 to 0.2)| <0.001 |
| Age (years)     | 40.4±11.5| 43.2±13.6| –           | <0.001 |
| 20–29           | 197 (21.2)| 2302 (22.8)| 0.9 (0.8 to 1.1)| 0.287 |
| 30–39           | 242 (26.0)| 1847 (18.3)| 1.6 (1.3 to 1.8)| <0.001 |
| 40–49           | 262 (28.2)| 1986 (19.7)| 1.6 (1.4 to 1.9)| <0.001 |
| 50–59           | 181 (19.5)| 2656 (26.3)| 0.7 (0.6 to 0.8)| <0.001 |
| 60–64           | 47 (5.1)  | 1313 (13.0)| 0.4 (0.3 to 0.5)| <0.001 |
| Comorbidity     |           |           |             |         |
| DM              | 41 (4.4)  | 923 (9.1) | 0.5 (0.3 to 0.6)| <0.001 |
| HTN             | 102 (11.0)| 1546 (15.3)| 0.7 (0.6 to 0.8)| <0.001 |
| CAD             | 6 (0.6)   | 124 (1.2) | 0.5 (0.2 to 1.2)| 0.150  |
| CHF             | 2 (0.2)   | 27 (0.3)  | 0.8 (0.2 to 3.4)| 1.000  |
| CVA             | 5 (0.5)   | 127 (1.3) | 0.4 (0.2 to 1.0)| 0.057  |
| ESRD            | 3 (0.3)   | 138 (1.4) | 0.2 (0.1 to 0.7)| 0.009  |
| Alcohol level (mg/dL) | 191.1±74.6| 15.5±15.0| –           | –       |
| Mechanism       |           |           |             |         |
| Motor vehicle   | 66 (7.1)  | 269 (2.7) | 2.8 (2.1 to 3.7)| <0.001 |
| Motorcycle      | 613 (66.0)| 4900 (48.5)| 2.1 (1.8 to 2.4)| <0.001 |
| Bicycle         | 29 (3.1)  | 260 (2.6) | 1.2 (0.8 to 1.8)| 0.333  |
| Pedestrian      | 18 (1.9)  | 135 (1.3) | 1.5 (0.9 to 2.4)| 0.141  |
| Fall            | 93 (10.0) | 2010 (19.9)| 0.4 (0.4 to 0.6)| <0.001 |
| Strike by/against | 110 (11.8)| 2530 (25.0)| 0.4 (0.3 to 0.5)| <0.001 |
| GCS             | 12.6±3.7  | 14.5±1.9  | –           | <0.001 |
| ≤8              | 158 (17.0)| 337 (3.3) | 5.9 (4.9 to 7.3)| <0.001 |
| ≥12             | 122 (13.1)| 248 (2.5) | 6.0 (4.8 to 7.5)| <0.001 |
| ≥13             | 649 (69.9)| 9519 (94.2)| 0.1 (0.1 to 0.2)| <0.001 |
| AIS             |           |           |             |         |
| Head/neck       | 485 (52.2)| 2184 (21.6)| 4.0 (3.5 to 4.5)| <0.001 |
| Face            | 373 (40.2)| 1646 (16.3)| 3.4 (3.0 to 4.0)| <0.001 |
| Thorax          | 184 (19.8)| 1183 (11.7)| 1.9 (1.6 to 2.2)| <0.001 |
| Abdomen         | 117 (12.6)| 642 (6.4) | 2.1 (1.7 to 2.6)| <0.001 |
| Extremity       | 538 (57.9)| 7430 (73.5)| 0.5 (0.4 to 0.6)| <0.001 |
| ISS (median, IQR) | 10 (5.17) | 5 (4.9)  | –           | <0.001 |
| <16             | 626 (67.4)| 8905 (88.1)| 0.3 (0.2 to 0.3)| <0.001 |
| ≥25             | 209 (22.5)| 822 (8.1) | 3.3 (2.8 to 3.9)| <0.001 |
| Mortality       | 33 (3.6)  | 124 (1.2) | 3.0 (2.0 to 4.4)| <0.001 |
| LOS in hospital (days) | 11.4±11.2 | 9.1±10.0| –           | <0.001 |
| ICU admission, n (%) | 329 (35.4) | 1517 (15.0)| 3.1 (2.7 to 3.6)| <0.001 |
| LOS in ICU (days) | 7.1±8.5  | 9.4±12.1 | –           | <0.001 |

AIS, Abbreviated Injury Scale; BAC, blood alcohol concentration; CAD, coronary artery disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; CVA, cerebral vascular accident; DM, diabetes mellitus; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; HTN, hypertension; ICU, intensive care unit; ISS, Injury Severity Score; LOS, length of stay.
higher rates of extremity injury. Regarding the associated common injuries in each trauma region, a significantly higher percentage of patients with alcohol intoxication had sustained associated common major injuries of head, maxillofacial, thoracic, abdominal and extremity trauma (Table 2). In contrast, a significantly lower percentage of patients with alcohol intoxication had sustained humeral fracture and ulnar fracture. In addition, a significantly higher ISS was found in patients with alcohol intoxication (median (IQR: Q1–Q3), 10 (5–17) vs 5 (4–9), p<0.001) (Table 1). When stratified by ISS (<16, 16–24, or ≥25), among patients with alcohol intoxication, a larger number of patients had an ISS ≥25 and an ISS of 16–24 and a smaller number of patients had an ISS <16 as compared to patients without alcohol intoxication.

Outcome of patients with alcohol intoxication
Patients with alcohol intoxication had a significantly higher mortality than those without alcohol intoxication (OR 3.0, 95% CI 2.0 to 4.4; p<0.001). After propensity score matching, mortality outcome was compared in the 131 well-balanced pairs of patients (Table 3). In these propensity score-matched patients, there was no significant difference in sex, age, comorbidity (HTN, DM and ESRD), GCS, injury region based on AIS and ISS. The logistic regression analysis showed that alcohol intoxication did not significantly influence mortality (OR: 0.8, 95% CI 0.5 to 1.4, p=0.563), implying that the higher mortality of alcohol-intoxicated patients was attributable to the patient characteristics and associated with higher injury severity. Furthermore, compared to the patients without alcohol intoxication, the patients with alcohol intoxication had significantly longer hospital LOS (9.1 vs 11.4 days, respectively, p<0.001), higher proportion of patients admitted to the ICU (15.0% vs 35.4%, respectively, p<0.001) and shorter LOS in the ICU (9.4 vs 7.1 days, respectively, p<0.001).

Expenditure for patients with alcohol intoxication
To compare the expenditure for patients with and those without alcohol intoxication, 929 well-balanced pairs of patients were compared (Table 4). The expenditure for patients with alcohol intoxication was significantly higher than those without alcohol intoxication (median (IQR: Q1–Q3), $21,200 (14,000–30,000) vs $15,000 (10,000–20,000), p<0.001). Furthermore, compared to the patients without alcohol intoxication, the patients with alcohol intoxication had a significantly shorter hospital LOS (9.1 vs 11.4 days, respectively, p<0.001), higher proportion of patients admitted to the ICU (15.0% vs 35.4%, respectively, p<0.001) and shorter LOS in the ICU (9.4 vs 7.1 days, respectively, p<0.001).

---

**Table 2** Significant associated injuries among the adult trauma patients with and without alcohol intoxication

| Variables                  | BAC (+) N=929 | BAC (-) N=10,104 | OR (95% CI) | p Value |
|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| Head trauma, n (%)         |               |                 |             |         |
| Neurologic deficit         | 35 (3.8)      | 181 (1.8)       | 2.1 (1.5 to 3.1) | <0.001  |
| Cranial fracture           | 150 (16.1)    | 482 (4.8)       | 3.8 (3.2 to 4.7) | <0.001  |
| EDH                        | 98 (10.5)     | 298 (2.9)       | 3.9 (3.1 to 4.9) | <0.001  |
| SDH                        | 180 (19.4)    | 630 (6.2)       | 3.6 (3.0 to 4.3) | <0.001  |
| SAH                        | 186 (20.0)    | 716 (7.1)       | 3.3 (2.7 to 3.9) | <0.001  |
| ICH                        | 43 (4.6)      | 150 (1.5)       | 3.2 (2.3 to 4.6) | <0.001  |
| Cerebral contusion         | 89 (9.6)      | 407 (4.0)       | 2.5 (2.0 to 3.2) | <0.001  |
| Maxillofacial trauma, n (%)|               |                 |             |         |
| Orbital fracture           | 53 (5.7)      | 173 (1.7)       | 3.5 (2.5 to 4.8) | <0.001  |
| Nasal fracture             | 25 (2.7)      | 101 (1.0)       | 2.7 (1.8 to 4.3) | <0.001  |
| Maxillary fracture         | 147 (15.8)    | 557 (5.5)       | 3.2 (2.6 to 3.9) | <0.001  |
| Mandibular fracture        | 47 (5.1)      | 217 (2.1)       | 2.4 (1.8 to 3.4) | <0.001  |
| Thoracic trauma, n (%)     |               |                 |             |         |
| Rib fracture               | 122 (13.1)    | 825 (8.2)       | 1.7 (1.4 to 2.1) | <0.001  |
| Haemothorax                | 27 (2.9)      | 158 (1.6)       | 1.9 (1.2 to 2.9) | 0.004   |
| Pneumothorax               | 23 (2.5)      | 154 (1.5)       | 1.6 (1.1 to 2.6) | 0.030   |
| Haemopneumothorax          | 21 (2.3)      | 140 (1.4)       | 1.6 (1.0 to 2.6) | 0.044   |
| Lung contusion             | 20 (2.2)      | 107 (1.1)       | 2.1 (1.3 to 3.3) | 0.005   |
| Abdominal trauma, n (%)    |               |                 |             |         |
| Intra-abdominal injury     | 35 (3.8)      | 163 (1.6)       | 2.4 (1.6 to 3.5) | <0.001  |
| Hepatic injury             | 55 (5.9)      | 166 (1.6)       | 3.8 (2.8 to 5.2) | <0.001  |
| Splenic injury             | 20 (2.2)      | 96 (1.0)        | 2.3 (1.4 to 3.7) | 0.002   |
| Renal injury               | 10 (1.1)      | 47 (0.5)        | 2.3 (1.2 to 4.6) | 0.019   |
| Extremity trauma, n (%)    |               |                 |             |         |
| Scapular fracture          | 26 (2.8)      | 156 (1.5)       | 1.8 (1.2 to 2.8) | 0.006   |
| Clavicle fracture          | 106 (11.4)    | 839 (8.3)       | 1.4 (1.1 to 1.8) | 0.001   |
| Humeral fracture           | 21 (2.3)      | 482 (4.8)       | 0.5 (0.3 to 0.7) | 0.001   |
| Ulnar fracture             | 34 (3.7)      | 525 (5.2)       | 0.7 (0.5 to 1.0) | 0.042   |
| Pelvic fracture            | 38 (4.1)      | 276 (2.7)       | 1.5 (1.1 to 2.1) | 0.019   |
| Tibial fracture            | 72 (7.8)      | 497 (4.9)       | 1.6 (1.3 to 2.1) | <0.001  |

BAC, blood alcohol concentration; EDH, epidural haematoma; ICH, intracerebral haematoma; SDH, subdural haematoma; SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage.
patients, with a 1:4 ratio after propensity score matching of sex, age and comorbidity (HTN, DM, and ESRD), were used for outcome assessment (table 4). In these propensity score-matched patients, there was no significant difference in sex, age and comorbidity (HTN, DM and ESRD). On comparison with patients without alcohol intoxication, those who had alcohol intoxication spent a significantly higher total expenditure (28.3% higher), cost of operation (51.8% higher), cost of examination (71.7% higher) and cost of pharmaceuticals (63.8% higher) (table 5). On comparing the selected well-balanced pairs of patients with and those without alcohol intoxication, who had similar personal characteristics regarding sex, age and comorbidities, those who had alcohol intoxication still had significantly higher total expenditure (17.4% higher), cost of operation (40.3% higher), cost of examination (52.8% higher) and cost of pharmaceuticals (38.3% higher) (table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

This study compared the clinical outcome and expenditure in a broad group of adult trauma patients comprising those with alcohol intoxication and those without alcohol intoxication hospitalised at a Level I trauma centre. Patients with alcohol intoxication presented with significantly different body-injury patterns, higher injury severity, longer hospital stay, higher proportion of admission to the ICU and higher short-term mortality than those without alcohol intoxication. In addition, patients with alcohol intoxication had significantly higher total expenditure, cost of operation, cost of examination and

Table 3 Covariates of the adult trauma patients with and without alcohol intoxication adjusted for 1:1 greedy propensity score matching for mortality assessment

| Before | Death | Survival | After | Death | Survival |
|--------|-------|----------|-------|-------|----------|
|        | n=157 | n=10 876 | OR (95% CI) | p Value | n=131 | n=131 |
| Sex    |       |          |       |       |          |       |
| Male   | 122 (77.7) | 6812 (62.6) | 2.1 (1.4 to 3.0) | <0.001 | 111 (84.7) | 111 (84.7) | 1.0 (0.5 to 2.0) | 1.000 |
| Female | 35 (22.3)  | 4064 (37.4) | 0.5 (0.3 to 0.7) | <0.001 | 20 (15.3)  | 20 (15.3)  | 1.0 (0.5 to 2.0) | 1.000 |
| Age    | 46.7±13.5 | 42.7±13.5 |       | <0.001 | 45.8±13.5 | 44.8±13.3 |       | 0.560 |
| Comorbidity |       |          |       |       |          |       |
| HTN    | 23 (14.6)  | 1625 (14.9) | 1.0 (0.6 to 1.5) | 1.000 | 19 (14.5) | 19 (14.5) | 1.0 (0.5 to 2.0) | 1.000 |
| DM     | 16 (10.2)  | 948 (8.7)  | 1.2 (0.7 to 2.0) | 0.568 | 10 (7.6)  | 10 (7.6)  | 1.0 (0.4 to 2.5) | 1.000 |
| ESRD   | 9 (5.7)    | 132 (1.2)  | 5.0 (2.5 to 9.9) | <0.001 | 4 (3.1)  | 4 (3.1)  | 1.0 (0.2 to 4.1) | 1.000 |
| GCS    | 7.2±4.8    | 14.5±1.9   |       | <0.001 | 7.5±4.8 | 8.0±4.8 |       | 0.418 |
| AIS, n (%) |     |          |       |       |          |       |
| Head/neck | 128 (81.5) | 2541 (23.4) | 14.5 (9.7 to 21.7) | <0.001 | 105 (80.2) | 105 (80.2) | 1.0 (0.5 to 1.8) | 1.000 |
| Face   | 22 (14.0)  | 1997 (18.4) | 0.7 (0.5 to 1.1) | 0.177 | 19 (14.5) | 19 (14.5) | 1.0 (0.5 to 2.0) | 1.000 |
| Thorax | 54 (34.4)  | 1313 (12.1) | 3.8 (2.7 to 5.3) | <0.001 | 46 (35.1) | 46 (35.1) | 1.0 (0.6 to 1.7) | 1.000 |
| Abdomen | 26 (16.6)  | 733 (6.7)  | 2.7 (1.8 to 4.2) | <0.001 | 22 (16.8) | 22 (16.8) | 1.0 (0.5 to 1.9) | 1.000 |
| Extremity | 47 (29.9)  | 7921 (72.8) | 0.2 (0.1 to 0.2) | <0.001 | 38 (29.0) | 38 (29.0) | 1.0 (0.6 to 1.7) | 1.000 |
| ISS    | 30.8±17.8  | 7.7±6.5    |       | <0.001 | 27.0±13.3 | 25.0±13.4 |       | 0.247 |
| BAC (+) | 33 (21.0)  | 896 (8.2)  | 3.0 (2.0 to 4.4) | <0.001 | 29 (22.1) | 34 (26.0) | 0.8 (0.5 to 1.4) | 0.563 |

Mortality (OR: 0.81, 95% CI 0.46 to 1.432, p=0.470).
AIS, Abbreviated Injury Scale; BAC, blood alcohol concentration; DM, diabetes mellitus; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; HTN, hypertension; ISS, Injury Severity Score.

Table 4 Covariates of the adult trauma patients with and without alcohol intoxication adjusted for 1:4 greedy propensity score matching for cost assessment

| Before | BAC (+) | BAC (-) | OR (95% CI) | p Value | After | BAC (+) | BAC (-) | OR (95% CI) | p Value |
|--------|--------|---------|-------------|---------|-------|--------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Sex    |        |         |             |         |       |        |         |             |         |
| Male   | 821 (88.4) | 6113 (60.5) | 5.0 (4.0 to 6.1) | <0.001 | 821 (88.4) | 3284 (88.4) | 1.0 (0.8 to 1.3) | 1.000 |
| Female | 108 (11.6) | 3991 (39.5) | 0.2 (0.2 to 0.2) | <0.001 | 108 (11.6) | 432 (11.6) | 1.0 (0.8 to 1.3) | 1.000 |
| Age    | 40.4±11.5 | 43.0±13.6 |             | <0.001 | 40.4±11.5 | 40.4±11.5 |             | 0.989 |
| Comorbidity |       |          |             |         |       |        |         |             |         |
| HTN    | 102 (11.0) | 1546 (15.3) | 0.7 (0.6 to 0.8) | <0.001 | 102 (11.0) | 408 (11.0) | 1.0 (0.8 to 1.3) | 1.000 |
| DM     | 41 (4.4)  | 923 (9.1)  | 0.5 (0.3 to 0.6) | <0.001 | 41 (4.4)  | 164 (4.4)  | 1.0 (0.7 to 1.4) | 1.000 |
| ESRD   | 3 (0.3)   | 138 (1.4)  | 0.2 (0.1 to 0.7) | 0.009 | 3 (0.3)   | 12 (0.3)   | 1.0 (0.3 to 3.6) | 1.000 |

BAC, blood alcohol concentration; DM, diabetes mellitus; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; HTN, hypertension.
cost of pharmaceuticals than those without alcohol intoxication, regardless of whether the comparison was made among the total patients or among the selected propensity score-matched patients.

In this study, patients with alcohol intoxication were predominantly men, of younger age, and had lower incidence of pre-existing comorbidities and chronic diseases. In addition, patients with alcohol intoxication sustained significantly higher injury severity and rates of head/neck injury, face injury, thoracic injury and abdomen injury, but lower rate of extremity injury than patients without alcohol intoxication. In addition, the mortality was threefold higher in patients with alcohol intoxication than that of patients without alcohol intoxication. Notably, controlled experimental and epidemiologic studies have shown that alcohol exposure can increase the severity of injury, and the adjustment for injury severity in their analyses of outcomes from alcohol intoxication may have obscured the association of mortality and other outcomes with BAC.36 40 However, the descriptive study design prevented further analysis of the effects of additional factors (eg, a particular treatment and the judgement of discharge from the hospital or stay in the ICU) and required a high level of in-hospital care such as in a coronary care unit or ICU. 28 In contrast, previous studies have reported a reduction in the hospital LOS and lower overall costs of care associated with intoxicated patients.36 40 However, the descriptive study design prevented further analysis of the effects of additional factors (eg, a particular treatment and the judgement of discharge from the hospital or stay in the ICU) and relied on the assumption of uniform assessment and management of patients with and without alcohol intoxication.28 These alcohol-intoxicated patients were also more likely to have a delay in discharge due to alcohol withdrawal30 and require a high level of in-hospital care such as in a coronary care unit or ICU.28 In contrast, previous studies have reported a reduction in the hospital LOS and lower overall costs of care associated with intoxicated patients.36 40 However, the descriptive study design prevented further analysis of the effects of additional factors (eg, a particular treatment and the judgement of discharge from the hospital or stay in the ICU) and relied on the assumption of uniform assessment and management of patients with and without alcohol intoxication.

Our study has some limitations that should be acknowledged. First, owing to the retrospective design of the study with its inherent selection bias, it was impossible to fully account for potential confounders of important risk factors such as differentiation between alcohol-induced psychoses, alcohol dependence and alcohol abuse;41 between intentional and unintentional injuries and most importantly, between patterns of drinking and alcohol consumption. Second, the lack

| Table 5 | The cost during the hospitalisation of the adult trauma patients with and without alcohol intoxication |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------|
| BAC (+) | BAC (-) | Difference | p Value |
| Total expenditure (US$) | (n=929) 3656±5104 | (n=10 104) 2850±4355 | 28.3%↑ | <0.001 |
| Cost of operation (US$) | (n=601) 958±864 | (n=7558) 631±706 | 51.8%↑ | <0.001 |
| Cost of examination (US$) | (n=791) 249±353 | (n=8474) 145±289 | 71.7%↑ | <0.001 |
| Cost of pharmaceutical (US$) | (n=929) 285±773 | (n=10 103) 174±859 | 63.8%↑ | <0.001 |

Under the calculation of 33 New Taiwan Dollar (NTD) per US dollar.

BAC, blood alcohol concentration.

| Table 6 | The cost during the hospitalisation of the selected propensity score-matched adult trauma patients with and without alcohol intoxication |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------|
| BAC (+) | BAC (-) | Difference | p Value |
| Total expenditure (US$) | (n=929) 3656±5104 | (n=3716) 3113±5278 | 17.4%↑ | 0.004 |
| Cost of operation (US$) | (n=601) 958±864 | (n=2758) 683±860 | 40.3%↑ | <0.001 |
| Cost of examination (US$) | (n=791) 249±353 | (n=3037) 163±336 | 52.8%↑ | <0.001 |
| Cost of pharmaceutical (US$) | (n=929) 285±773 | (n=3715) 206±706 | 38.3%↑ | 0.005 |

Under the calculation of 33 New Taiwan Dollar (NTD) per US dollar.

BAC, blood alcohol concentration.
of data regarding indication of hospitalisation, type of surgery and the associated-patient costs at the referring hospital may have led to a bias. Third, the patients declared dead on hospital arrival or at the accident scene were not included in the Trauma Registry Database, and some outcomes such as late mortality were not analysed, which potentially led to bias in the assessment of mortality and overall cost. Further, in Taiwan, all drivers involved in traffic accidents are legally compelled to undergo BAC testing; however, a few patients may have refused to undergo an actual BAC test after alcohol consumption was confirmed using a breathalyser. Accordingly, these patients might have been placed in an incorrect category, because the breathalyser results were registered in the police report but not noted in the medical records. In addition, the combination of psychoactive drugs and alcohol use may have led to bias in the outcome assessment. However, in our experience, such cases are rare. Finally, considering that cognitive function may be impaired at a BAC level of <50 mg/dL and that the BAC level that de
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