The puzzling origin of the autophagosomal membrane
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Abstract

Autophagy is one of the newest and fastest emerging research areas in biomedical life sciences. Autophagosomes, large double-membrane vesicles enclosing cytoplasmic components targeted for degradation, are the hallmark of this catabolic pathway. The origin of the lipid bilayers composing these transport carriers has been the central enigma of the field since the discovery of autophagy. A series of recent studies has implicated several cellular organelles as the possible source of the autophagosomal membranes, if anything further clouding our view. In this compendium, we will discuss these apparently contradictory results and briefly emphasize the relevance of determining the lipid source used for autophagy for future translational research, for example in drug discovery programs.

Autophagy as a gatekeeper of cellular functions

The degradation of damaged and excess organelles as well as the elimination of invading pathogens is essential to maintain cell homeostasis. Autophagy is the principal catabolic pathway allowing the cell to survive the stress of these and other intrinsic and extrinsic insults. Because of its ability to rapidly eliminate unwanted structures, this conserved eukaryotic pathway plays a central role in a multitude of physiological processes including type II programmed cell death, development, cellular remodeling and differentiation [1-3]. In addition, it plays a protective role against aging, tumorigenesis, neurodegeneration and infections [4-7]. As a consequence of its crucial role in cell and organism physiology, impaired autophagy is correlated with various severe pathologies including cardiovascular and autoimmune diseases, neuro- and myo-degenerative disorders, and malignancies [8-10].

Autophagy in five stages

During autophagy, structures targeted for degradation are sequestered into large double-membrane vesicles called autophagosomes. Two characteristics make autophagosomes a unique type of cellular transport carrier. First, the cargo is surrounded by two lipid bilayers and second, these giant vesicles generally have an average diameter of approximately 700 nm, which can further expand to accommodate large structures such as cellular organelles and bacteria [11-13]. Autophagosome biogenesis and consumption can be divided in five discrete steps: induction, expansion, vesicle completion, fusion and cargo degradation (Figure 1) [11, 12]. The initial event upon autophagy induction is the formation of a membranous cistern called the phagophore or isolation membrane [14-16]. This compartment appears to be generated from what has been defined in yeast as the phagophore assembly site or pre-autophagosomal structure [11, 12, 17-19], a putative early autophagosomal precursor structure that is formed by the sequential association of at least a subset of the Atg (autophagy-related) proteins, proteins specifically involved in autophagy. The subsequent expansion of the phagophore through the acquisition of extra lipids permits the engulfment of the intracellular material targeted for destruction (Figure 1). The double-membrane vesicle is completed when the inner and outer bilayer fuse to form two distinct membranes, one inside the other. Then, complete autophagosomes first fuse with endosomal structures to form amphisomes, an event that appears not to occur in yeast, and then with the mammalian lysosome or...
the yeast and plant vacuole, allowing the degradation of the inner vesicle and its cargo by acid hydrolases residing in these lytic compartments (Figure 1). The basic metabolites generated from this catabolic processing of biological macromolecules are finally transported in the cytoplasm, where they are reused as either a source of energy or as building blocks for new proteins and lipids. 

To define the membranes of the autophagy pathway shown in Figure 1, we propose a working definition based on which Atg proteins characterize the different intermediate structures of autophagy (Figure 2).

The autophagosomal membranes and their promiscuous origin
During the last decade, an immense effort has been invested to try to understand how autophagosomes are formed. One of the key questions in the field that still lacks a clear answer is the identity of the source of the membranes composing these large transport carriers (Figure 3) [20, 21]. One major difficulty has been that phagophores as well as autophagosomes can be considered unique organelles because they do not contain any marker proteins of other subcellular compartments [19, 22-24]. Recently, several groups have approached this question using cutting-edge microscopy techniques combined with biochemical approaches. The results of their work are controversial because they point to different subcellular origins of the lipid bilayers composing the phagophores and/or the autophagosomes.

The endoplasmic reticulum
Originally, the first organelle proposed as the source of autophagosomal membranes has been the endoplasmic reticulum, through morphological studies performed in the 60’s [22, 25]. These observations were subsequently supported by immuno-ultrastructural observations made by Bill Dunn in 1990, in which integral membrane proteins of the rough endoplasmic reticulum were detected in both the inner and outer membranes of these vesicles [26]. More recently, using electron tomography, two groups have...
confirmed and emphasised these pioneering observations by revealing the existence of a physical connection between the endoplasmic reticulum and the forming autophagosomes [27, 28]. In the study of Hayashi-Nishino and co-workers, preparations from cells expressing (or not) the Atg4BC74A construct, which allows the accumulation of unsealed autophagosomes [29], were submitted to a 3-dimensional (3D) reconstruction. This analysis revealed that the endoplasmic reticulum and the growing phagophore are intimately associated, and form an endoplasmic reticulum-isolation membrane complex, suggesting that the nascent autophagosome is a subdomain of the endoplasmic reticulum. The most interesting observation was that the rough endoplasmic reticulum is connected to the outer as well as the inner membrane of the phagophore through a single point of contact, supporting the notion that lipids could be supplied via direct transfer at the sites of membrane contact. Ylä-Anttila and co-workers obtained similar findings in a different cell type (Table 1) but they have reported the existence of several points of contact between these two organelles [28]. This discrepancy could be due to either the different experimental systems used or the fact that the 3D membrane profile models are interpreted and hand drawn by a person, and variations can emerge if the same electron microscopy images are processed into a tomogram by different people.

Phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate, a phosphoinositide essential for autophagosome formation, is enriched in specific endoplasmic reticulum subdomains under autophagy-inducing conditions [30]. This finding has been corroborated by a new report revealing that Atg14L, a subunit of the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase complex involved in autophagy [60]. FIP200 associates with ULK1/2, Atg13, Atg101 into the ULK1/2 complex [61]. DFCP-1 (double FYVE-containing protein 1) could represent the marker protein that allows us to distinguish omegasomes from phagophores [30]. Atg12, Atg5 and Atg16 form a complex, e.g. Atg12-5-16, which predominantly localizes to the phagophore, but Atg16L may have an even earlier role in autophagy [41]. Lipidated LC3, e.g. LC3-II, and Lamp-1 (lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1) are well-characterized marker proteins of the late compartments of autophagy. Note that LC3-II is present in the interior of the amphisome/autophagosome and sensitive to lysosomal hydrolases while Lamp-1 is an integral membrane protein and resistant to these hydrolases. Abbreviations: Atg, autophagy-related; DFCP1, double FYVE-containing protein 1; LAMP1, lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1.

| Marker proteins | Atg14 | FIP200 | Atg12-5-16 | DFCP1 | LC3-II | LAMP1 |
|-----------------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|
| Phagophore      |       |        |           |       |        |       |
| Omegasome       |       |        |           |       |        |       |
| Autophagosome   |       |        |           |       |        |       |
| Amphisome       |       |        |           |       |        |       |
| Autolysosome    |       |        |           |       |        |       |
| Lysosome        |       |        |           |       |        |       |

A defined order for the Atg (autophagy-related) protein recruitment to the phagophore assembly site has been established in yeast [18] and recent results in mammalian cells suggest that this hierarchy is conserved [17]. Based on the available experimental data, it is possible to use a few proteins to begin to molecularly define the various autophagosomal intermediates. The distribution of the following marker proteins is shown: Atg14L is a subunit of the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase complex involved in autophagy [60]. FIP200 associates with ULK1/2, Atg13, Atg101 into the ULK1/2 complex [61]. DFCP-1 (double FYVE-containing protein 1) could represent the marker protein that allows us to distinguish omegasomes from phagophores [30]. Atg12, Atg5 and Atg16 form a complex, e.g. Atg12-5-16, which predominantly localizes to the phagophore, but Atg16L may have an even earlier role in autophagy [41]. Lipidated LC3, e.g. LC3-II, and Lamp-1 (lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1) are well-characterized marker proteins of the late compartments of autophagy. Note that LC3-II is present in the interior of the amphisome/autophagosome and sensitive to lysosomal hydrolases while Lamp-1 is an integral membrane protein and resistant to these hydrolases. Abbreviations: Atg, autophagy-related; DFCP1, double FYVE-containing protein 1; LAMP1, lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1.
Omegasomes represent expanding phagophores (Figure 1). In the absence of ATG5, phagophores can be observed by electron microscopy [16], but LC3 and DFCP1 are not recruited to these membrane cisternae [16, 17] suggesting that these two proteins are required for a step subsequent to the phagophore formation, very likely its expansion. As a result, DFCP1 and possibly LC3 could be bona fide marker proteins to differentiate the omegasome from the phagophores (Figure 2).

It has also been revealed that Rab1/Ypt1, a small GTPase mediating membrane transport in the early steps of the secretory pathway, is also involved in autophagy [33-36]. It remains to be established, however, whether Rab1/Ypt1 is regulating lipid bilayer delivery from the endoplasmic reticulum to the nascent autophagosomes or is present on membranes other than that from the endoplasmic reticulum during autophagy. All together, these data corroborate the original idea that autophagosomes emerge from the endoplasmic reticulum. Importantly, 30% of the autophagosome precursors observed in the 3D tomographic studies were not part of the endoplasmic reticulum-isolation membrane complex, raising the possibility that there may be at least one other membrane source for autophagy [27]. A careful analysis of the autophagosome membranes not in the endoplasmic reticulum-isolation membrane complex is required to determine if, for example, they contain DFCP1. It is interesting to note that yeast does not possess a DFCP1 homologue and that, in this organism, autophagosomes do not appear to form in proximity to the endoplasmic reticulum vacuole but rather close to the vacuole [37, 38].

**Mitochondria**

In 2010, the group of Lippincott-Schwartz proposed the outer membrane of the mitochondria as the main source of the autophagosomal lipid bilayers [39]. Their findings were obtained from extensive fluorescent microscopy analyses in cells stably expressing CFP-LC3 and in most cases overexpressed mitochondrial outer membrane proteins.
probes. Under amino acid starvation conditions (designed to induce autophagy), these two sets of marker proteins were found to co-localize on both mitochondria and autophagosomes suggesting that the membranes of this carrier and those of mitochondria are linked. The idea that there is a potential direct physical connection between these two organelles was reinforced by the visualization of growing autophagosomes in close proximity to mitochondria using live-cell imaging technology and electron microscopy. The model that the authors proposed is that there is lipid transfer between these two organelles, with the mitochondria supplying the forming autophagosomes with newly synthesized phospholipids, in particular the phosphatidylethanolamine required for LC3 lipidation [11, 12, 40]. While the co-localization of their mitochondrial marker proteins with LC3 indicates that mitochondria are involved in phagophore expansion, their experiments have not clarified whether the mitochondrial lipids are also necessary for generation of the phagophore.

In the same study the authors also showed that the connection between endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria is crucial because in its absence, starvation-induced autophagosomes are not formed [39]. This observation has led them to suggest that the endoplasmic reticulum contribution and the mitochondria could be equally important as membrane providers for autophagy. Another possible interpretation, however, is that disruption of endoplasmic reticulum homeostasis could affect the contribution of mitochondria (or an organelle connected to it) to phagophore biogenesis and/or expansion. Recently, it has been shown that Salmonella-containing autophagosomal structures are positive for DFCP1 and associated with the endoplasmic reticulum but negative for the mitochondrial marker protein used by the Lippincott-Schwartz group suggesting that mitochondrial lipids may not be involved in the biogenesis of all autophagosomes [33].

**The plasma membrane**

In parallel, the laboratory of David Rubinsztein has reported that lipids of the plasma membrane directly contribute to forming autophagosomes [41]. In particular, their study shows that the clathrin heavy chain, an element of the endocytic vesicle coat, binds to Atg16L1, a component of the Atg autophagy machinery. This association appears to be crucial for the generation of an early autophagosomal precursor, possibly a phagophore or an omegasome, because the formation of autophagosomes is reduced when the interaction between Atg16L1 and the clathrin heavy chain is disrupted [41].

### Table 1. An overview of organelles, tissues and type of autophagy induction used in different studies on membrane origin of autophagosome

| Organelle implicated in autophagy | Tissue | Autophagy induction | Starvation period | Reference |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Endoplasmic reticulum            | Primary cells isolated from rat livers | Fasted animals | Overnight | [22] |
| Endoplasmic reticulum            | Primary cells isolated from mice renal proximal convoluted tubules and hepatic parenchymal cells | Fasted animals | Overnight | [25] |
| Endoplasmic reticulum            | Primary cells from rat livers | i) Fasted animal ii) Amino acid starvation of isolated livers | i) 18-24h | [26] |
| Endoplasmic reticulum            | NIH 3T3 mouse embryonic fibroblasts expressing (or not) the Atg4B<sup>C74A</sup> construct | Amino acid, serum and glucose starvation | 1h | [27] |
| Endoplasmic reticulum            | i) Mouse embryonic stem cells ii) Normal rat kidney (NRK) cells iii) Rat renal proximal tubular (NRK-52E) cells | Amino acid starvation | 1h | [28] |
| Mitochondria                     | NRK cells | i) Amino acid and serum starvation ii) Serum starvation | 1h | [39] |
| Plasma membrane                  | Human cervical cancer (HeLa) cells | Amino acid and serum starvation | 6h | [41] |
| Plasma membrane                  | Human cervical cancer (Hela) cells | i) Amino acid and serum starvation ii) 1 μg/ml rapamycin | i) 1h to 4h ii) 1h | [42] |
| Plasma membrane/Golgi            | Yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae | Nitrogen starvation | 0.5h to 2h | [43] |
| Golgi                            | Yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae | Nitrogen starvation | 4h | [44] |
| Golgi                            | Rat livers | Fasted animals | 24h | [48] |
| Golgi/endosomal compartments     | Yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae | Nitrogen starvation | - | [49] |
| trans-Golgi network/endoosomal compartments | Human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells | Nutrient starvation | 2h | [47] |

Abbreviations: HEK293, human embryonic kidney 293; NRK, normal rat kidney.
investigations from the same group have emphasized this initial discovery by revealing that maturation of the Atg16L1-positive early autophagosomal precursors requires their homotypic fusion through the action of the plasma membrane-soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive-factor attachment receptor (SNARE) protein VAMP7 and its interacting partners [42]. The involvement of plasma membrane SNAREs in the early steps of autophagy has also been highlighted by work performed in yeast [43]. Additional support for the plasma membrane in autophagosome biogenesis has also indirectly provided through the discovery that components of the exocyst, a tethering complex that, in concert with SNAREs, mediates the fusion of post-Golgi vesicles with the plasma membrane, associates with nascent autophagosomes and is essential for nutrient starvation-induced autophagy [44, 45].

The Golgi
These last two studies have also raised the idea of the Golgi being a potential source for autophagosomal membranes because the exocyst complex is present at the trans-Golgi network as well as on post-Golgi vesicles [44, 45]. Also, the work on yeast revealed that plasma membranes SNAREs regulate the organization of Atg9-positive tubulovesicular membrane organelles [44]. Interestingly, Atg9, a transmembrane Atg protein essential for autophagy, localizes to the trans-Golgi network, post-Golgi and endosomal compartments [19, 46, 47] and in yeast plays a central role in generating the phagophore assembly site [19]. In the 90’s, the Golgi was implicated in autophagosome biogenesis because the growing extremities of the phagophores as well as complete autophagosomes were decorated with lectins that recognize glycans exclusively present in post-Golgi membranes [48]. This concept has recently been reinforced by work in yeast [49], where it has also been shown that large multi-subunit Golgi tethering complexes, lobe B of the conserved oligomeric Golgi complex and subunits of TRAPPII, a complex homologous to the TRAPP and TRAPPII oligomers, are essential for autophagy [34, 50].

Can all these membrane sources be reconciled in a single model?

While studies on the membrane origin of autophagosomes have started to provide some answers about the molecular mechanism and machinery, they have also created some confusion regarding the source of the lipids forming the membrane carriers mediating autophagy.

This apparent discrepancy between the conclusions reached by the different laboratories could be in part due to different experimental approaches and techniques used in the various laboratories. More importantly, the different contributions could vary depending on the tissues and conditions used to trigger autophagy (Table 1), with cells able to derive the membranes from the most suitable or expendable reservoirs.

This hypothesis could explain the reported contradictory results as different studies used different cell types, organisms and diverse conditions to induce starvation (Table 1). Thus, in a tissue with a defined function, in response to a specific stress stimulus, autophagy would be supplied with membranes from the most optimal reservoir: an organelle that could guarantee the delivery of a large amount of lipids, but ideally would not adversely affect the specific functions of the tissue. From a cursory look at the current available data, one might conclude that fasting animals utilize endoplasmic reticulum while nitrogen-starved yeast use Golgi (Table 1). However, accurate comparative studies are needed to determine whether, for example, NRK cells starved of amino acids indeed use the endoplasmic reticulum as the source for autophagosomal membranes [28] and if they turn to mitochondria when autophagy is induced by either serum, or serum and amino acid, deprivation [39]. Along the same lines, it would be important to study the various marker proteins used to implicate the different organelles in autophagy [26, 39, 41, 42] in parallel in the same experimental setup.

Another possibility that should not be discarded a priori is that autophagosomes could be mosaics of membranes derived from more than one organelle. For example, the phagophore could originate from one compartment and the additional lipid bilayers required for its expansion could be acquired from another source (Figure 3). For example, the plasma membrane and Atg9-positive membranes could contribute to the formation of the phagophore while the endoplasmic reticulum, mitochondria and/or Golgi might be necessary for its expansion. In this regard, it is important to note that the endosomal system provides the membranes required for the last steps of autophagy, i.e. amphisome and autolysosome formation (Figure 3) [51]. The advantage to the cell of having a spectrum of membrane sources to choose from is the availability of a large supply of lipids to sustain the progression of autophagy; autophagosomes are huge carriers and a multitude of them are produced upon induction of this degradative pathway. One could imagine that a single intracellular organelle could not provide enough membranes, especially during prolonged period of starvation or stress.

Finally, it still remains to be determined whether the different organelles implicated so far in autophagosome biogenesis, contribute to non-selective bulk autophagy or to selective forms of autophagy such as mitophagy (selective degradation of mitochondria), or pexophagy.
Is there any therapeutic value in determining, or at the very least understanding, the origin of the autophagosomal membranes? We believe this discovery will not have a significant therapeutic value per se but it will be a fundamental step forward in the investigation of autophagosome biogenesis. Therefore, defects in autophagy underlie various diseases, and the delivery of lipid bilayers from their source to the site of autophagosome formation is a highly regulated process that involves several of the proteins that have been shown to be essential for the progression of autophagy and these could be the target for drugs modulating autophagy [54]. It is also worth bearing in mind that the task of assigning a function to a protein is generally better facilitated when the step of a pathway in which it participates is defined.

A good example is the transmembrane protein Atg9. Because of its intrinsic association with lipid bilayers, it has been postulated that Atg9 could be involved in supplying constituents of the membranes necessary to the biogenesis of autophagosomes. This concept is supported by the finding that a change in Atg9 trafficking is directly associated with autophagy induction [19, 47], probably because of its key contribution to the phagophore assembly site/phagophore formation [19]. Not surprisingly, Atg9 transport is controlled by signaling cascades [55]. While Atg9 itself has not been to date associated to particular diseases, alterations of factors regulating the trafficking of this protein such as the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase complex involved in autophagy [47, 56] are the direct cause of tumorigenesis [57, 58]. In this context, the tumor-suppressor Bif-1 has also been linked to Atg9 [59]. These observations support the possibility that specific illnesses could be the phenomenological manifestation of a misregulation of lipid bilayer flux during autophagy. As a result, the factors modulating these pathways would be the optimal targets for drugs aimed to restore normal membrane supply and consequently proper progression of autophagy.

Conclusions and future directions
Since the discovery of autophagy, the membrane origin of the autophagosomes has been the subject of intense debate in the field. The recent studies employing advanced technologies have confirmed and extended the pioneering ultrastructural observations and have provided some insights on the membrane origin of these unique carriers. The diverse conclusions of the recent work, however, have not given an unequivocal answer yet, but rather they have raised new questions that now need to be addressed. The work on this phenomenon has just started.
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