Inborn Errors of Immunity Associated With Type 2 Inflammation in the USIDNET Registry
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Background: Monogenic conditions that disrupt proper development and/or function of the immune system are termed inborn errors of immunity (IEIs), also known as primary immunodeficiencies. Patients with IEIs often suffer from other manifestations in addition to infection, and allergic inflammation is an increasingly recognized feature of these conditions.

Methods: We performed a retrospective analysis of IEIs presenting with allergic inflammation as reported in the USIDNET registry. Our inclusion criteria comprised of patients with a reported monogenic cause for IEI where reported lab eosinophil and/or IgE values were available for the patient prior to them receiving potentially curative therapy. Patients were excluded if we were unable to determine the defective gene underlying their IEI. Patients were classified as having eosinophilia or elevated IgE when their record included at least 1 eosinophil count or IgE value that was greater than the age stratified upper limit of normal. We compared the proportion of patients with eosinophilia or elevated IgE with the proportion of samples in a reference population that fall above the upper limit of normal (2.5%).

Results: The query submitted to the USIDNET registry identified 1409 patients meeting inclusion criteria with a monogenic cause for their IEI diagnosis, of which 975 had eosinophil counts and 645 had IgE levels obtained prior to transplantation or gene therapy that were available for analysis. Overall, 18.8% (183/975) of the patients evaluated from the USIDNET registry had eosinophilia and 20.9% (135/645) had an elevated IgE. IEIs caused by defects in 32 genes were found to be significantly associated with
INTRODUCTION

Monogenic conditions that disrupt proper development and/or function of the immune system are termed inborn errors of immunity (IEIs), also known as primary immunodeficiencies (1, 2). IEIs are heritable conditions with more than 400 genetically unique forms (3). Early diagnosis of IEIs is critical, as timely intervention can improve outcomes and prevent mortality and morbidity (2). Clinical heterogeneity amongst IEIs contributes to delayed diagnosis, which can span several years, complicating treatment and increasing the risk of serious infection (4–6). Depending on the underlying molecular defect, those with IEIs may suffer from infection, autoimmunity, inflammation and/or cancer (7–9). Allergic inflammation is an increasingly acknowledged manifestation of immune dysregulation in IEI (10). This subcategory of IEI conditions associated with type 2 inflammation can be referred to as Primary Atopic Disorders (PADs) (11).

PADs involve an underlying interplay between sufficient effector cells for an allergic response, with inadequate protection from infection (11). Allergic inflammation, however, may be the dominating or sole clinical manifestation when a patient initially seeks medical care, highlighting the importance of allergy providers in evaluating for PADs (10, 12). Identifying patients suffering from PADs is crucial so that they may have access to life-changing treatments such as hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, immunoprophylaxis and/or targeted biologics/small molecules tailored to their specific molecular defect (1, 7, 13, 14). Eosinophilia and elevated serum IgE levels are two laboratory indicators of type 2 allergic inflammation, and are classically associated with PADs such as Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome (WAS), dedicator of cytokinesis 8 (DOCK8) deficiency, and immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked (IPEX) syndrome (15–19).

As the number of known monogenic immune disorders increase, it is important to identify which IEIs are associated with allergic inflammation and could be considered a PAD (20). To investigate this, we queried laboratory results from patients enrolled in the United States Immunodeficiency Network (USIDNET) registry with a diagnosed monogenic IEI and available eosinophil and IgE laboratory data. The USIDNET registry is a source of clinical and laboratory data on patients with IEIs from across North America and has led to important discoveries on the manifestations of IEIs (21). Here we identify a number of IEIs associated with type 2 inflammation, revealing that the spectrum of PADs may be broader than previously recognized.

METHODS

USIDNET Registry Dataset

We performed a retrospective analysis of IEIs presenting with allergic inflammation as reported in the USIDNET registry (date of data release was 5/14/2020). The USIDNET is an Immune Deficiency Foundation program that is funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Physicians and healthcare providers from different sites across North America provide clinical data on their own patients with IEIs, which is compiled to form a large registry accessible for research. Informed consent is provided for all patients in the database. We submitted a query to the USIDNET requesting laboratory data, specifically eosinophil and IgE levels, for patients with a diagnosed IEI within the registry. Of the information provided by the USIDNET, the data fields surveyed included demographics, the patient’s IEI diagnosis and affected gene, gene variant molecular information, hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) or solid organ transplant history, gene therapy history, complete blood counts (CBCs), specifically eosinophils, immunoglobulins, specifically IgE, allergic conditions and allergic reactions.

Identification of Eligible Case Data

The USIDNET data were reviewed and processed to create an analytical dataset for this study (Figure 1). Our inclusion criteria comprised of patients with a reported monogenic cause for IEI where reported lab eosinophil and/or IgE values were available for the patient prior to them receiving potentially curative therapy (either gene therapy or hematopoietic stem cell/organ transplant). Patients were excluded if we were unable to determine the defective gene underlying their IEI or they did not have a reported laboratory date. Patients were classified as having eosinophilia or elevated IgE based on the respective age stratified upper limit (22, 23). Patient age was calculated by the difference between the date that their eosinophil or IgE level was measured and June 15th of their birth year, as birth month and day are not available for confidentially of the registry patients. If the difference calculated was smaller than 0, we considered the patient’s age as 0. This method of imputing the patient age minimizes error to ≤6 months.

Identification of Reference Values

We identified two references from the literature to compare IgE and eosinophil laboratory values of our USIDNET study
population. Tahmasebi and colleagues evaluated eosinophil values in 566 healthy children and adolescents under 21 years of age. This study reported the upper limit of normal for children under 1 year of age as 900 cells/μL, and the upper limit of normal for children or adolescents over the age of 1 as 500 cells/μL (22). We extrapolated the upper limit of normal for patients over the age of 21 to be 500 cells/μL. Martins and colleagues evaluated IgE in 1376 healthy children between 6 months and 17 years of age as well as 128 adults between 19 and 69 years of age (23). The upper limit of normal for IgE was stratified by age groups: 6 to 12 months, 34 IU/ml; 1 to 2 years, 97 IU/ml; 3 years, 199 IU/ml; 4 to 6 years, 307 IU/ml; 7 to 8 years, 403 IU/ml; 9 to 12 years, 696 IU/ml; 13 to 15 years, 629 IU/ml; 16 to 17 years; 537 IU/ml; 18 years and older, 214 IU/ml (23). We extrapolated the upper limit for infants less than 6 months to be 34 IU/ml.

Statistical Analysis
Two proportion z-test was applied to compare the proportion of patients with a specific IEI who had eosinophilia, elevated IgE or both versus the proportion of samples in a reference population that fall above the upper limit of normal (2.5%). Only genes with lab records for at least 3 patients were included in the analysis. Adjusted p-values were calculated using Benjamini-Hochberg method and genes with adjusted p-value < 0.05 were selected (24). To confirm the robustness of our imputed age estimate, sensitivity analysis was performed by repeating our primary analysis with the estimated age either 6 months younger or 6 months older than June 15 of the birth year (Supplemental Table 1). All statistical analyses were done with R software (version 4.0.4).

RESULTS
The query submitted to the USIDNET registry identified 1409 patients meeting inclusion criteria with a monogenic cause for their IEI diagnosis, of which 975 had eosinophil counts and 645 had IgE levels obtained prior to transplantation or gene therapy that were available for analysis (Figure 1). Table 1 outlines the demographics of the cohort studied. We evaluated eosinophilia and elevated IgE independently and therefore a patient may be represented in one or both evaluations. Each eosinophil and IgE record was compared to the age stratified upper limit (Figure 2). Overall, 18.8% (183/975) of the patients evaluated from the
USIDNET registry had eosinophilia and 20.9% (135/645) had elevated IgE.

IEIs Associated With Eosinophilia
There were 975 patients meeting inclusion criteria who had eosinophil data prior to undergoing transplantation or gene therapy available for analysis. To determine which IEIs are associated with eosinophilia, we evaluated each monogenic IEI’s proportion of patients in the registry with eosinophilia compared to 2.5%, which is the proportion of values falling above the age stratified upper limit of normal in the reported reference population (22). With correction for multiple testing, IEIs caused by defects in the following 29 genes were significantly associated with eosinophilia:

- STAT3
- DOCK8
- WAS
- CYBB
- NFKB2

TABLE 1 | Demographic characteristics of selected “Eosinophils” and “IgE” cohorts.

| Variable                  | Eosinophil | IgE |
|---------------------------|------------|-----|
| Total Patients            | 975        | 645 |
| Sex                       | n(%)       | n(%)|
| Male                      | 665 (68.2%)| 421 (65.3%)|
| Female                    | 310 (31.8%)| 224 (34.7%)|
| Ethnicity                 | n(%)       | n(%)|
| White/Caucasian           | 685 (70.3%)| 464 (71.9%)|
| Black/African American    | 75 (7.7%)  | 39 (6%)|
| Asian or Pacific Islander | 36 (2.7%)  | 19 (2.9%)|
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 2 (0.2%) | 2 (0.3%)|
| Other or More Than One Race | 52 (5.3%) | 34 (5.3%)|
| Unknown or Not Reported   | 135 (13.8%)| 87 (13.5%)|
| Living                    | n(%)       | n(%)|
| Yes                       | 883 (90.6%)| 581 (90.1%)|
| No                        | 84 (8.6%)  | 61 (9.4%)|
| Unknown                   | 8 (0.8%)   | 3 (0.5%)|

Ages * (years) n(%), reference upper limit (cells/μL) n(%), reference upper limit (IU/mL)

- <1: 93 (9.5%), 900 (8.1%), 34
- 1-3: 61 (6.3%), 500 (5.7%), 97
- 4-7: 25 (2.6%), 500 (2.2%), 199
- 7-9: 73 (7.5%), 500 (6.8%), 307
- 9-13: 48 (4.9%), 500 (4.7%), 403
- 13-16: 114 (11.7%), 500 (9.9%), 496
- 16-18: 84 (8.6%), 500 (7.4%), 629
- >18: 52 (5.3%), 500 (6.2%), 537

*Patient age was calculated by the difference between the date of the patient’s last Eosinophil or IgE record and June 15th of their birth year, as exact birth month and date are not available due to confidentiality and anonymity of the patients in the registry.

Figure 2 | Eosinophils and IgE stratified by age. Scatter plots show all patients included in the analysis with every reported Eosinophil (A) or IgE (B) value. Patient age was calculated as the time interval between their laboratory date and June 15th of their birth year, as exact birth month and date were not provided for patient confidentiality and anonymity. Dashed line represents Eosinophil and IgE upper limits stratified by age based on reference populations (22, 23). Eosinophil upper limits correspond to 900 cells/μL in those <1 years, and 500 cells/μL for those 1 years of age and older (with values for individuals aged greater than 21 extrapolated to be 500 cells/μL). IgE level upper limits are: 6 to 12 months, 34 IU/ml; 1 to 2 years, 97 IU/ml; 3 years, 199 IU/ml; 4 to 6 years, 307 IU/ml; 7 to 8 years, 403 IU/ml; 9 to 12 years, 698 IU/ml; 13 to 15 years, 629 IU/ml; 16 to 17 years, 537 IU/ml; 18 years and older, 214 IU/ml (23). For individuals less than 6 months of age, the IgE level upper limit was extrapolated as 34 IU/ml.
NLRP3, ADA, IFNGR1, IKBKG, FOXP3, MAGT1, CARD9, SPINK5, RAG1, CTLA4, PIK3CD, TNFRSF13B, NCF1, PIK3R1, BTK, FAS, CD40LG, CXCR4, IL12RB1, PNP, LRBA, TBX1, CARD11, and RAG2 (Figure 3). As these results were determined by imputing birth month to June 15th of the known birth year, sensitivity analysis was performed by repeating the primary analysis twice, using an estimated birth month 6 months younger or older than June 15th. The results were unchanged except for TBX1, which remained significant in one of the two analyses (Supplemental Table 1). These conditions spanned 7 of the 10 categories of the International Union of Immunological Societies (IUIS) classification for IEIs (Figure 4). Within these IEIs, the proportion of patients with eosinophilia ranged from 8.5 to 100%, with the highest proportion identified in NFKB2 (Figure 3 and Supplemental Table 1). The eosinophil value ranged from 0 to 53100 cells/μL, with the highest value identified in DOCK8 (Figure 5). Moderate eosinophilia (above 1500 cells/μL) was observed in PNP, LRBA, TNFRSF13B, CD40LG, IKBKG, NCF1, FOXP3, WAS, STAT3, CYBB, and DOCK8, with severe eosinophilia (above 5000 cells/μL) observed in CXCR4, PIK3CD, CARD9 and DOCK8 (Figure 5 and Supplemental Table 1). We then evaluated the registry data to look for reported allergic clinical disease in these same patients whose IEI genes were found to be significantly associated with

FIGURE 3 | Inborn errors of immunity associated with eosinophilia and elevated IgE. Volcano plots show the proportion of eosinophilia and elevated IgE on the x-axis. The y-axis shows the -log10 of the adjusted p-value based on the two-proportion z-test, performed by comparing the proportion of eosinophilia/elevated IgE for each gene to the proportion of values in the reference population falling above the upper limit of normal (2.5%). Dotted line indicates the significance threshold of the adjusted p-value at 0.05 and genes above the line are statistically significant. HGNC gene symbols used. (A) IEIs caused by monogenic defects in 29 genes (red) were found to be significantly associated with eosinophilia compared to the reference population age stratified upper limit. (B) IEIs caused by monogenic defects in 15 genes (red) were found to be significantly associated with elevated IgE compared to the age stratified upper limit.

FIGURE 4 | Inborn errors of immunity genes associated with type 2 inflammation by disease category. IEI genes found to be associated with type 2 inflammation in our study are categorized according to IUIS Phenotypic Classification. HGNC gene symbols are used.
eosinophilia. Among the 29 IEI genes associated with eosinophilia, 27 had at least one allergic clinical manifestation (eczema, asthma, allergic rhinitis, or food allergy) present in 10% or more of patients (Supplemental Table 1).

**IEIs Associated With Elevated IgE**

In order to evaluate IEIs associated with an elevated IgE, we studied 645 of the 1409 USIDNET patients who met study inclusion criteria and had an IgE value obtained prior to undergoing transplantation or gene therapy with a reported IgE evaluation date. We evaluated each monogenic IEI’s proportion of patients in the registry with an elevated IgE compared to 2.5%, which is the proportion of values falling above the age stratified upper limit of normal in the reported reference population (23). With correction for multiple testing, IEIs caused by defects in the following 15 genes were significantly associated with elevated IgE: DOCK8, CYBB, SPINK5, STAT3, WAS, NCF1, FOXP3, ADA, CYBA, IKBKG, FAS, IL12RB1, JAK3, NCF2, and CARD9 (Figures 3 and 4). All of these genes were significant in sensitivity analysis (Supplemental Table 1). Within these IEIs, the proportion of patients with an elevated IgE ranged from 23 to 100%, with the highest proportion identified in SPINK5 (Figure 3 and Supplemental Table 1). The IgE value ranged from 0 to 60000 IU/ml, with the highest proportion identified in DOCK8 (Figure 5). We then evaluated the registry data to look for reported allergic clinical disease in these same patients whose IEI genes were found to be significantly associated with elevated IgE. At least one allergic clinical manifestation (eczema, asthma, allergic rhinitis, or food allergy) was found in 10% or more of patients belonging to 13 of the 15 IEI gene categories (Supplemental Table 1).

**IEIs Associated With Eosinophilia and Elevated IgE**

Building on these insights, we then sought to determine which of these IEIs were associated with elevations in both eosinophil and IgE levels. A total of 612 patients meeting inclusion criteria had both eosinophil and IgE levels obtained prior to transplantation or gene therapy. Among them, 72 (12%) patients had both eosinophilia and elevated IgE, and eosinophilia was significantly associated with elevated IgE by fisher exact test (p < 0.001, Supplemental Table 2). We evaluated each IEI gene’s proportion of patients in the registry with elevations in both eosinophil and IgE levels compared to 2.5%, which is the proportion of patients in the age stratified reference populations that fall above the upper limit (22, 23). After correcting for multiple testing, IEIs caused by defects in the following 10 genes were associated with both eosinophilia and elevated IgE levels: ADA, CARD9, CYBB, DOCK8, FOXP3, IKBKG, NCF1, SPINK5, STAT3, WAS. Ten percent or more of patients from each category had at least one clinical allergic manifestation (eczema, asthma, allergic rhinitis or food allergy) (Supplemental Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

IEIs are often difficult diagnoses to make, requiring careful consideration of the patient’s personal and family history, physical exam findings, as well as laboratory and genetic testing. Patients may suffer complications for several years before the definitive investigations are performed (6, 25). Diagnostic delay can be attributed to many reasons including barriers to genetic testing, the perception that recurrent infections or allergy are expected components of childhood, insufficient awareness around clinical presentations of IEIs, as well as the lack of professional guidance on recognizing and managing these patients in primary care (25, 26). Consequences of delayed recognition may include suboptimal management, unnecessary complications, inaccurate genetic counselling, and anguish for both the patient and their family (27). PADS may be particularly difficult to identify owing to the high prevalence of
polygenic allergic disease (28–30). Clinical red flags for PADs that have been suggested in the literature include severe atopy, autoimmunity, malignancy, connective tissue disease, growth failure/short stature, and recurrent infections (31, 32). In this study we looked for laboratory evidence of type 2 inflammation in the USIDNET registry and found that approximately one in five patients with an IEI attributable to a monogenic defect had eosinophilia and/or an elevated IgE level.

Determining which IEIs are significantly associated with type 2 inflammation is critical to inform the differential diagnosis of clinicians evaluating patients with allergic disease. It also provides powerful insights into particular genes and pathways that may be implicated in driving allergic immune dysregulation. This could lead to new therapeutic targets for both IEIs and polygenic allergic conditions. Furthermore, type 2 inflammation may be more than a laboratory indicator for allergic phenotypes. Eosinophilia and elevated IgE could potentially serve as diagnostic clues of an underlying IEI, and future studies should evaluate the time course between laboratory findings of allergic inflammation, presenting clinical features, and IEI diagnosis.

Our results show that type 2 inflammation spans multiple categories of IEIs from combined immunodeficiencies to autoinflammatory disease, outlining how allergic immune dysregulation occurs in a diverse range of IEIs (Figure 4) (3). As expected, our study identified several well-known PADS such as STAT3, WAS, DOCK8, SPINK5, and CARD11 that are classified according to the IUIS as combined immunodeficiency with or without syndromic features. Mechanisms underlying the allergic immune dysregulation seen in these conditions range from impaired epithelial barrier (SPINK5), to actinopathy (WAS, DOCK8), to altered antigen receptor (CARD11) and cytokine signaling (STAT3). While the highest absolute eosinophil values were observed in DOCK8 deficiency, roughly one quarter of patients with this condition had eosinophil values within the normal range, highlighting that a normal eosinophil count should not exclude this IEI from ones’ differential diagnosis.

The category of immune dysregulatory syndromes contained both anticipated findings: FOXP3 (IPEX syndrome) and FAS (autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome)—both known to be associated with eosinophilia/elevated IgE—and surprises: identifying conditions in which allergic inflammation is less prominent (CTLA4 and LRBA) or to our knowledge, unreported, as a clinical feature (MAGT1) (33–36). The transcription factor FOXP3 is critical for regulatory T cell function and the maintenance of immune tolerance; allergists should be familiar with IPEX syndrome, as patients may initially present with dermatitis, and some are diagnosed with allergic diseases before the condition is recognized (37). Interestingly, several genes identified in our study including CTLA4, STAT3, IFNGRI, FOXP3, DOCK8, SPINK5, PIK3CD, TNFRSF13B, CXC4, CARD11 and NLRP3 have been reported as candidate genes for allergic disease in genetic population studies (Figure 4) (38–46).

An unexpected finding was the association of type 2 inflammation with genes implicated in Chronic Granulomatous disease (CGD). CGD is typically characterized by neutrophilic granulocyte and monocyte impairment predisposing patients to recurrent and severe infection, autoimmunity, and dysregulated inflammation (47). In our study, we found associations with 4 CGD genes, CYBA, CYBB, NCF1 and NCF2, with CYBB previously linked to allergic inflammation in the literature (48). While peripheral eosinophilia has been rarely reported in CGD, tissue eosinophilia is a known feature. Examples include eosinophil infiltrates in CGD-associated inflammatory bowel disease and eosinophilic cystitis. In one reported case of peripheral eosinophilia in CGD, the patient’s eosinophil levels normalized after successfully treating a Nocardia nova infection, which is a known pathogen associated with CGD and eosinophilia (48). This highlights the possibility that some IEIs may be associated with peripheral eosinophilia or elevated IgE because of specific infections that affected patients are predisposed to. Another possibility in CGD is that deficient NADPH oxidase activity causes skewing towards type 2 inflammation; this possibility is supported a gp91phox−/− mouse model of allergic asthma, which showed increased peripheral and tissue eosinophils and production of Th2-specific cytokines compared to wild-type mice following allergen challenge (49).

Predominantly antibody deficiencies are another IUIS phenotypic category of interest where we identified 5 IEI genes associated with type 2 inflammation (NFKB2, BTK, PIK3CD, PIK3R1, TNFRSF13B). X-linked agammaglobulinemia (XLA) caused by pathogenic BTK variants typically presents clinically around 4 to 6 months of age when the IgG antibodies transferred through the placenta begin to diminish (50). Patients commonly present with oto-sino-pulmonary infections secondary to encapsulated bacteria (51). Laboratory findings in XLA patients are predominately severe hypogammaglobulinemia and absent peripheral B lymphocytes, though atypical presentations can occur (50, 51). Although counterintuitive and not consistently reported in literature, XLA patients can experience clinical and laboratory features of allergic inflammation such as allergic rhinitis, elevated IgE and/or eosinophilia (52). In particular, those with hypomorphic BTK variants that facilitate the production of some immunoglobulins may have an allergy phenotype with no history of severe infection (52). Kaneko and colleagues hypothesize that elevated IgE in XLA patients may be a critical marker for identifying leaky XLA phenotypes (53).

Four genes categorized as defects in intrinsic and innate immunity were identified as being significantly associated with eosinophilia: IFNGR1, CARD9, CXC4, and IL12RB1. Interferon-γ (IFN-γ) receptor 1 deficiency caused by loss-of-function variants in IFNGR1 predisposes to mycobacterial infections (54). Interestingly, IFNGR1 variants were reported in one study as the most prevalent genetic risk factor for atopic dermatitis complicated by eczema herpeticum (ADEH+) (42). In addition, Aoki et al. identified a novel variant of the IFNGR1 gene that was present in 6 of 89 allergic patients (bronchial asthma or allergic rhinitis) and none of the non-allergic patients. Patients with this variant were also found to have elevated serum IgE levels when compared to non-allergic counterparts (55). This association between IFNGR1 variants and allergic inflammation may be explained by impaired IFN-γ axis signaling causing
enhanced Th2 effector responses. In contrast to deficiencies in innate immunity, we also identified an association between eosinophilia and NLRP3-mediated autoinflammatory syndromes. The NLRP3 inflammasome has been implicated in human eosinophilic disorders, as well as eosinophil recruitment and Th2 cytokine production in a mouse model of asthma (56, 57).

We acknowledge that our study has several limitations. Our study is limited to patients reported in the USIDNET, and therefore it is likely that the small sample size may have influenced our statistical analysis. The numbers of patients varied by condition, for example, while NFKB2 was associated with the highest proportion of patients with eosinophilia (100%), there were only 3 patients with this condition included in our analysis. The list of genes identified in our study is also not exhaustive, as it reflects only those conditions reported in the registry. Recently described IEIs associated with allergic inflammation such as T-bet deficiency caused by TBX21 variants, or the actinopathy ARPC1B deficiency, are therefore not captured in this study (58, 59). Our analysis also does not distinguish loss-of-function from gain-of-function IEIs caused by defects in the same gene. Our age estimation was limited by the lack of data on birth month, however, sensitivity analysis confirmed the robustness of our imputed birth month estimate, as all of the same genes remained significant apart from TBX1, which was still significant in one of the two sensitivity analyses performed. In addition, due to the nature of this study being registry-based, there is no information regarding the method of testing and diagnosis of IEI. Furthermore, as with many retrospective, registry-based studies, a limitation that should be recognized is the reliance on data entered by different healthcare providers across numerous institutions which may be subject to inaccuracies. Nevertheless, the USIDNET registry has provided the opportunity to develop great insight that otherwise could not be acquired for IEIs that may present infrequently at any one center. There is a need for more studies similar to this that investigate the spectrum of clinical manifestations in IEIs and PADs in order to develop more sensitive diagnostic tools.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In conclusion, laboratory evidence of type 2 inflammation, whether eosinophilia or elevated IgE, amongst patients with IEIs in the USIDNET registry appears to be more common and widespread than previously reported. Given our findings, clinicians in the community including allergists, dermatologists, and primary care providers should consider an IEI when investigating eosinophilia or elevated IgE.
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