High frequency VLBI observations of the scatter broadened quasar B 2005+403
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Abstract.
The quasar B 2005+403 located behind the Cygnus region in our Galaxy, is a suitable object for studying the interplay between propagation effects, which are extrinsic to the source and source intrinsic variability. On the basis of VLBI experiments performed at 1.6, 5, 8, 15, 22, and 43 GHz during the years 1992 – 2003 and parallel multi-frequency monitoring of the total flux density (between 5 – 37 GHz), we investigated the variability of total flux density and source structure. Below 8 GHz, the point-like VLBI source is affected by scatter-broadening of the turbulent interstellar medium (ISM), which is located along the line of sight and likely associated with the Cygnus region. We present and discuss the measured frequency dependence of the source size, which shows a power-law with slope of $-1.91 \pm 0.05$. From the measured scattering angle at 1 GHz of $\theta = 77.1 \pm 4.0$ mas a scattering measure of $SM= 0.43 \pm 0.04 \, m^{20/3}$ kpc is derived, consistent with the general properties of the ISM in this direction. The decreasing effect of angular broadening towards higher frequencies allows to study the internal structure of the source. Above 8 GHz new VLBI observations reveal a one-sided slightly south-bending core-jet structure, with stationary and apparent superluminally moving jet components. The observed velocities range from $6.3 - 16.8 \, c$. The jet components move on non-ballistic trajectories. In AGN, total flux density variations are often related to the emergence of new VLBI components. However, during almost eleven years no new component was ejected in B 2005+403. A striking feature in the flux density variability is a trough observed at 5 – 37 GHz and between 1996 and 2001. This trough is more pronounced at higher frequencies, where it shows a prominent flux density decrease of $\sim 50 - 60 \%$ on a time scale of $2 - 3 \, yr$. The trough can be explained as a blending effect of decreasing and increasing jet component fluxes. Dense in time sampled flux density monitoring observations with the 100 m Effelsberg telescope reveal intra-day variability (IDV) at 1.6 GHz with a modulation index of $m = 1.0 \%$. At 5 GHz less pronounced variations are seen ($m = 0.5 \%$). This and a relatively short variability time scale of $\sim 0.1 \, days$ imply a second, less dense or turbulent scattering screen at nearby (few to hundred parsec) distance.
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1. Introduction

The propagation of radio waves through the ionized interstellar medium causes several effects, such as Faraday rotation and depolarization of polarized emission, dispersion of pulsar signals, scatter broadening of compact radio sources and intensity fluctuations caused by diffractive (DISS) and refractive (RISS) interstellar scintillation (ISS). The effect of scatter broadening plays an important role in the understanding of the density fluctuations caused by diffractive (DISS) and refractive (RISS) interstellar scintillation (ISS). The other prominent propagation effect addressed in this paper is the so called Intra-Day Variability (IDV, [Witzel et al. 1986, Heeschen et al. 1987]), and the question if and how it is related to the interstellar scintillation. Recent IDV-surveys show that a large fraction (up to 30%) of all compact flat spectrum radio sources show this effect, which is characterized by variability amplitudes of up to 20 – 30% and variability timescales ranging from less than one hour to several days (e.g. [Kraus et al. 2003, Heeschen et al. 1987, Kedziora-Chudczer et al. 2001, Lovell et al. 2003]). If interpreted via source intrinsic incoherent emission processes, such short variability timescales imply – via the light travel time argument – apparent brightness temperatures of...
\( T_B = 10^{16-19} \text{K} \) far in excess of the inverse-Compton limit (Kellermann & Pauliny-Toth 1969). With the assumption of relativistic Doppler-boosting the brightness temperatures can be reduced, which however, would imply uncomfortably large Doppler boosting factors of \( \delta \approx 20 \) – 200.

AlTERNATLy, the IDV in the radio-bands is also explained extrinsically via scintillation of radio waves in the turbulent interstellar medium (ISM) of our Galaxy (e.g. Rickett 1990; Dennet-Thorpe & de Bruyn 2000). The main problem in this interpretation is that it lacks the explanation of observed radio-optical broad-band correlations in at least some sources (Quirrenbach et al. 1991), see also Wagner & Witzel (1995). The recent detection of diffractive ISS in the source J 1819+3845 leads to micro-arcsecond source sizes and brightness temperatures of \( \sim 10^{14} \text{K} \), which again requires Doppler boosting factors of \( \delta \approx 10^2 \) (Mauquardt & de Bruyn 2005). It is therefore likely that the IDV phenomenon invokes both, a combination of source intrinsic and extrinsic effects (e.g. Krichbaum et al. 2002; Qian et al. 2003).

In this paper we present new measurements for the scatter broadened quasar B 2005+403, combining Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) and flux density variability measurements obtained at various frequencies over the last decade. B 2005+403 is a flat spectrum quasar \( \langle S_{5\text{GHz}} \rangle = 2.5 - 3.5 \text{Jy}, \alpha_{0.3/5\text{GHz}} = 0.3 \), with \( S \sim \nu^x \) (Becker et al. 1991) at a redshift of \( z = 1.736 \) (Boksenberg et al. 1976). It is located close to the Galactic plane at \( \ell = 76.82^\circ, b = 4.29^\circ \) behind the Cygnus super-bubble region. Earlier studies showed that interstellar scattering affects the VLBI image of the source, causing angular broadening at frequencies below 5 GHz (Fev et al. 1989; Mutel & Lestrade 1991; Desai & Fey 2001). The high value of the scattering measure \( \text{SM} = \int_0^L C_n^2(\alpha) d\alpha \), derived for the line of sight of B 2005+403 by Fev et al. 1989, reflects the strong influence of interstellar medium.

In order to further investigate the scatter broadening and the amount of scintillation in B 2005+403, we combined all available flux density monitoring data with our VLBI observations. The wide frequency coverage of our data facilitates a study of the interplay of the frequency dependent scattering effects, which dominates below 8 GHz and the source intrinsic variability, which is best seen at and above 15 GHz. At these higher frequencies B 2005+403 has not been intensively studied with VLBI before. The VLBI data cover a time range of 11 yrs (from 1992 – 2003) and allow us to study and monitor the structural evolution of VLBI-core and pc-scale jet. The scatter broadening observed in the VLBI images at the lower frequencies is measured and gives further constraints to the properties of the intervening ISM. Additional parameters for the ISM are obtained from the IDV monitoring of the source, performed with the Effelsberg 100 m telescope.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we present details of the VLBI observations and corresponding data reduction and introduce the long-term trends of the flux density variability. In Sect. 3 we discuss the source structure, the kinematics of the inner jet components and the possible location of the nucleus (VLBI core). In Sect. 3.4, we focus on the results of the low frequency VLBI observations and discuss the scatter broadening. In section 3.5 we discuss the long-term variability of B 2005+403 and relate it to changes of the VLBI structure. In Sect. 3.6 we discuss the implications from the observed intraday variability. Sect. 4 gives a concluding summary.

The following cosmological parameters are used throughout this paper: \( H_0 = 71 \text{km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1} \), \( \Omega_m = 0.27 \) and \( \Omega_{\text{vac}} = 0.73 \).

2. Data and data reduction

2.1. VLBI data

We analyzed 17 VLBI datasets of B 2005+403 from 14 different observing epochs obtained during 1992 – 2003. These observations were performed with different VLBI networks (EVN, VLBA, Global) at various frequencies ranging from 1.6GHz to 43 GHz. In our analysis we also included several data sets from the 2 cm-survey (see Kellermann et al. 2004) and one observation of the source in the MOJAVE survey (Lister & Homan 2005). In Table I we summarize the VLBI experiments.

After correlation at the VLBI correlators in Socorro (NRAO) or Bonn (MPIfR), the VLBI data were calibrated and fringe-fitted using the standard procedures within AIPS (Astronomical Image Processing System) software package. The post-processing of the data invoked the usual steps of editing, phase and amplitude self-calibration and imaging. These tasks were performed within AIPS and the Caltech DIFMAP packages. For previously published datasets (from the 2 cm-survey and the MOJAVE survey and the datasets from epoch 2003.04), we reanalyzed the data. Starting from pre-calibrated visibilities, we redid the self-calibration, imaging and Gaussian model fitting. For comparison of the results from this reanalysis with the original maps in the 2 cm Survey we refer the reader to Kellermann et al. (2004).

In three VLBI experiments the source was also observed in full polarization. Column 4 of Table II summarizes the recorded polarization. In the polarization analysis, the feed leakage terms for the antennas (D-terms) were determined in the usual way using the AIPS task “LPCAL” (Leppänen et al. 1995). At 15 GHz, we could use the University of Michigan (UMRAO) database to calibrate the orientation of the electric vector position angle (EVPa). We verified that total and correlated flux density (in total intensity and in polarization) matched within 10% accuracy, excluding a large miscalibration of the EVPa owing to overseen polarized large scale structure. At 22 and 43 GHz, we had no possibility to calibrate the EVPa. At these frequencies, the absolute orientation of the EVPa is therefore unknown.

In Fig. II we present the results from the VLBI imaging, showing the CLEAN maps obtained at 15 GHz, 22 GHz, and 43 GHz. Figure II also shows the polarization images with the electric vectors superimposed.

To facilitate measurement of structural changes, the VLBI maps were parameterized in the usual manner using Gaussian components. We fitted Gaussian components to the calibrated
visibility data using the DIFMAP program. The resulting model fits are shown in a separate table which is available online. The table contains the following information: Col. 1 gives the observing epoch, frequency and VLBI array, Col. 2 identifies the VLBI components by letters and numbers, Col. 3 gives the flux density of each VLBI component \((S)\), Col. 4 its radial distance \(r\) relative to component C1, Col. 5 the position angle \((\theta)\), Col. 6 the FWHM major axis \((a)\), Col. 7 the ratio of minor to major axis \((b/a)\), Col. 8 the position angle of the major axis \((\phi)\), and Col. 9 the reduced \(\chi^2\) to characterize the goodness of the model fit. The positional information (Columns 4 and 5) are relative to the westernmost component, denoted as C1. It is further assumed that the position of C1 is stationary with time. The measurements errors listed in the table were estimated from the internal scatter obtained during the process of imaging and model fitting and is described in more detail e.g. in Krichbaum et al. (1998). During the process of model fitting we reduced the number of independent variables by fitting only circular Gaussian components. For those cases where circular Gaussian model fits gave not satisfactory results and produced unacceptable large reduced \(\chi^2\) values, elliptical Gaussian components were fitted. Most of the 22 GHz, and 43 GHz data were fitted best with elliptical Gaussians.

In Figure 2 we show the VLBI images resulting from the Gaussian model fitting. The circles seen in this figure denote for the positions and sizes of the individual model fit components. The contour lines result from the convolution of the model fit components with the observing beam and the addition of the underlying (noise limited) residuals.

### 2.2. Total flux density monitoring

B 2005+403 is included in the flux density monitoring programs performed at the University of Michigan Radio Astronomy Observatory (UMRAO) in the USA and at the Metsähovi Radio Observatory in Finland. This allows to study the long-term flux density variability. In Figure 4 we show the lightcurves at 5 GHz, 8 GHz and 15 GHz (Aller et al. 1999) and at 22 GHz and 37 GHz (Terasranta et al. 1998; Terasranta et al. 2004). The covered time range is 1990 – 2004. A more detailed discussion of the variability and its possible relation to the structural changes seen in the VLBI maps is given in Sect. 3.5.

In the context of ongoing systematic studies of Intraday Variable radio sources, B 2005+403 was observed with the Effelsberg 100 m radio telescope of the Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie. During two observing runs especially dedicated to search for IDV in sources located in the Cygnus region (the observed sources are those studied by Fey et al. 1989; Desai & Fey 2001), we measured the flux density variability of B 2005+403 at 6 cm (4.85 GHz) and 18 cm (1.67 GHz) with the Effelsberg 100 m radio telescope. The observations were performed on November 30 and December 6, 2003. The flux densities were measured adopting the method of repeated and subsequently averaged cross-scans. The measurement method and the data analysis is described in more detail e.g. in Kraus et al. (2003). The duty cycle of the measurement resulted in 1 – 2 flux density measurements per source and hour. For calibration purposes, several steep spectrum sources were included and observed with the same duty cycle as the target source B 2005+403. From these additional sources, the source B 2021+614 showed the least variability and therefore could be used as secondary calibrator to monitor and correct for residual short time-scale fluctuations of the antenna gain. The absolute flux density scale was determined from repeated observations of the primary calibrators NGC 7027, 3C 48 and 3C 286 and the flux density scale of \(\text{Baars et al. (1977); Ott et al. (1994)}.\)

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Kinematics

In Fig. 1 we show the VLBI CLEAN maps obtained at 15 GHz, 22 GHz, and 43 GHz. The corresponding maps of the Gaussian modelfits are presented in Fig. 2.

The maps of B 2005+403 show an east-west oriented core-jet structure with several embedded components. The central 1 mas region is best described by three Gaussian components C1, C2, and C3. The relative alignment of these 3 components seems to vary over the time spanned by the observations. In most images, the relative alignment of C1 – C3 suggests a slight bending to the north. Beyond 1 mas core separation and oriented more to the south-east, a faint and partially resolved region of diffuse and extended emission is visible. It can be fitted by one or two Gaussian components of larger extend (C4 and C5).

In Fig. 3 we plot the relative separation from C1 for the components C2 to C5 versus time. Owing to its inverted spectrum, compactness and variability, we assume that C1 is the VLBI core and that its position is suitable for use as a stationary reference point (Sect. 3.2 for a discussion). In the figure, we also superimpose a straight line resulting from a linear fit to the motion of each component. The slope of each line measures the angular separation rate. These rates and the corresponding apparent velocities are summarized in Table 2.

With the exception of component C2, which appears to be stationary within the measurement errors, the components C3 to C5 move with apparent superluminal velocities. For these three components we observe a systematic increase to their apparent velocities from 6.3 c for component C3, to 9.9 c for C4 and 16.8 c for C5. In the 2 cm survey (Kellermann et al. 2004), B 2005+403 is characterized by a two component model, with the secondary component moving at an apparent speed of 12.3 ± 3.0 c. Based on its core-separation, this component may be identified with a blending of components C4 and C5 in our analysis.

The minimum Lorentz factor \(\gamma_{\text{min}}\) of the jet could be estimated from the maximum observed component speed. Adopting the usual equations for

\[
\gamma_{\text{min}} = \sqrt{1 + \beta_{\text{app}}^2}
\]

and the inclination angle of the jet, which leads to maximum apparent speed

\[
\psi_{\text{max}} = \cot^{-1} \beta_{\text{app}}.
\]
both effects. As from a systematic bending of the jet, or from a combination of C5 may either result from an intrinsic acceleration of the jet, or of less than a few degrees could easily explain the observed increase of the apparent velocities. To investigate this further, we move on non-ballistical and spatially bent trajectories. We therefore conclude that at least the components C2 and C3 position angles are observed and the scatter in the data is larger. The situation is less clear, as much smaller variations of the position angles increases, indicating still similar but spatially offset paths. For components C4 and C5 the situation is less clear, as much smaller variations of the position angles are observed and the scatter in the data is larger. We therefore conclude that at least the components C2 and C3 move on non-ballistical and spatially bent trajectories.

Table 1. The epochs, frequencies and arrays of the VLBI observations described in this paper. In Col. 4 we indicated the polarization mode of the observation. In Col. 5 references for previously published data are given.

| Epoch   | Frequency | Instrument | Pol. | Reference               |
|---------|-----------|------------|------|-------------------------|
| 1992.44 | 22 GHz    | EVN (8 stations) | LL   | this paper               |
| 1994.17 | 22 GHz    | VLBA (8 stations) + VLA + EVN (4 stations) | LL   | this paper               |
| 1995.27 | 15 GHz    | VLBA (2 cm Survey) | RR   | Kellermann et al. (2004) |
| 1995.96 | 15 GHz    | VLBA (2 cm Survey) | LL   | Kellermann et al. (2004) |
| 1996.73 | 43 GHz    | VLBA+EB    | full | this paper               |
| 1996.73 | 22 GHz    | VLBA+EB    | full | this paper               |
| 1996.73 | 15 GHz    | VLBA+EB    | full | this paper               |
| 1996.82 | 5 GHz     | EVN (8 stations) | LL   | this paper               |
| 1996.83 | 8 GHz     | EVN (8 stations) | RR   | this paper               |
| 1997.19 | 15 GHz    | VLBA (2 cm Survey) | LL   | this paper               |
| 1998.14 | 1.6 GHz   | EVN (9 stations) | LL   | this paper               |
| 1999.01 | 15 GHz    | VLBA (2 cm Survey) | LL   | Kellermann et al. (2004) |
| 2001.17 | 15 GHz    | VLBA (2 cm Survey) | LL   | Kellermann et al. (2004) |
| 2001.98 | 15 GHz    | VLBA (2 cm Survey) | LL   | Koval et al. (2005)     |
| 2003.04 | 22 GHz    | VLBA       | full | Bach (2004)             |
| 2003.04 | 15 GHz    | VLBA       | full | Bach (2004)             |
| 2003.16 | 15 GHz    | VLBA (MOJAVE) | full | Lister & Homan (2005)   |

The variation of the flux density of the individual jet components is plotted versus time in Fig. [4] Since most data are available at 15 GHz, we connect the flux density measurements at this frequency with lines. The variations of the flux density of components C1, C2 and C3 appear completely uncorrelated, with the flux density of C1 mainly decreasing, that of C2 increasing and the flux density of C3 showing some oscillatory behavior with at least two local maxima. In Section 3.5 we will discuss the combined flux density variability of the VLBI components with the variations seen in the total flux density of the source.

3.2. The position of the VLBI core

From our simultaneous multi-frequency VLBI observations the identification of the VLBI core and the measurement of individual component spectra is facilitated. For those components, where quasi-simultaneous flux density measurements were available at different frequencies, we calculated the spectral indices and show in Table [5] the results. In 1996.73 and 2003.04, component C1 shows between 15 GHz and 22 GHz an inverted spectrum. Between 22 and 43 GHz, the spectrum steepen. Components C2 and C3, both show an optically-thin synchrotron spectrum, with similar spectral indices of $\sim -0.7$ consistently measured in both observations. The spectral shape of C1 can be explained via synchrotron-selfabsorption. This,
Fig. 1. VLBI CLEAN maps of B 2005+403 at 15 GHz, 22 GHz, and 43 GHz shown at the different observing epochs. Epoch, frequency, peak flux density and the lowest (positive) contour are given in the upper left corner of each image. Contours are in percent of the peak flux and increase by factors of two. The beamsize is shown in the bottom left corner of each image. 1 mas length of the superimposed polarization vectors corresponds to 12.5, 25, 10, 25, 31.3, 31.3 mJy/beam in images (h) to (n), respectively. (Images were created in AIPS.)

its flux density variability and the high compactness seen in the model fits indicate, that C1 has to be identified with the synchrotron-selfabsorbed jet base, which commonly is called the VLBI core.

We note that despite its optically thin spectrum, component C2 shows the most pronounced flux density variability (see Figure 4). This is somewhat atypical, as pronounced flux density variability is usually seen in jet components with much flatter spectra. The apparent flux density increase of $\Delta S_{15\text{GHz}} = 1.3 \text{Jy}$ in $\Delta t_{\text{var}} = 2.16 \text{yrs}$ leads via the light travel time argument to an apparent brightness temperature of $T_B = 2.2 \cdot 10^{12} \text{K}$, which apparently violates the inverse Compton limit. The cal-
Fig. 2. Maps of Gaussian modelfits for B 2005+403. Circles characterize positions and sizes of the individual modelfit components. Contours result from the convolution with the observing beam. Epoch, frequency, peak flux density and the lowest (positive) contour level are given in the upper left corner of each image. Contours correspond to the modelfits, they are in percent of the peak flux and increase by factors of two. As in Fig. 1 the VLBI observations are at 15 GHz, 22 GHz, and 43 GHz. (Images were created in DIFMAP.)
Fig. 3. Top panel: core separation as a function of time for components: C2 (circles), C3 (triangles), C4 (diamonds), C5 (left triangles). Filling of a symbol denotes the frequency of the observation: open for 22 GHz, filled for 15 GHz, larger and striped for 43 GHz. Solid lines indicate linear regression to reliable identifications (C2 and C3). The dashed-dotted lines show regression to tentatively suggested identifications. Bottom panel: components' position angle as a function of time. Same symbols and lines are used as above. Lines are used just to guide the eye.

On the basis of their spectral shapes with a steep spectral index of $\sim 0.7$, we rule out that components C2 or C3 can be identified with the VLBI core. An implication of this is, that B 2005+403 is a one-sided core-jet source and that it exhibits no counter-jet. The lack of a counter-jet is usually explained as a consequence of Doppler-boosting, consistent with our observations.

The spectral shape of C1 indicates the existence of a spectral maximum near 22 GHz (Table 3). If this is identified with the synchrotron-turnover of a homogeneous synchrotron self-absorbed component, we can estimate the magnetic field strength using the measured size of component C1: 0.34 mas.

Following (e.g. Marscher 1983), the magnetic field strength is:

$$B = 10^{-8} \delta b(\alpha) \theta^3 \nu^5 \frac{T}{S^2 (1 + z)}$$

where $\delta$ is the Doppler factor, $\theta$ is the component size in units of mas, $\nu$ is the turnover frequency in GHz, $S$ (in Jy) is the flux density at the turnover frequency and $b(\alpha)$ is a spectral index dependent tabulated parameter (see Table 1 in Marscher 1983). Here we adopt $\alpha = -0.35$. This yields for C1 $B = 1.7 \delta \cdot 10^{-4} T$.
Table 4. Polarization characteristics of B 2005+403 obtained from the VLBI maps. Col. 1 gives the epoch, Col. 2 the frequency of the observation. Col 3 gives the name of the component. Col. 4 gives the polarized flux density in mJy, Col. 5 the polarization angle in degrees. The EVPA is not calibrated the 22 GHz and 43 GHz datasets; the given values are arbitrary.

| Epoch  | ν [GHz] | ID  | P [mJy] | χ(◦) |
|--------|---------|-----|---------|------|
| 2003.04| 15      | C2  | 66 ± 14 | 131 ± 3 |
| 2003.04| 22      | C2  | 74 ± 14 | 131 ± 3 |
| 2003.16| 15      | C2  | 67 ± 14 | 121 ± 6 |
| 1996.73| 15      | C3  | 42 ± 5  | 75 ± 8  |
| 1996.73| 22      | C3  | 35 ± 4  | 49 ± 8  |
| 1996.73| 43      | C3  | 13 ± 3  | 90 ± 4  |
| 2003.04| 15      | C3  | 38 ± 4  | 90 ± 4  |
| 2003.04| 22      | C3  | 21 ± 3  | 90 ± 4  |
| 2003.16| 15      | C3  | 28 ± 5  | 90 ± 5  |

3.3. Polarization

In Fig. the polarization vectors are superimposed on the VLBI maps for those epochs where we have polarization data. The lengths of the vectors represent the polarized flux density. The polarized flux and the polarization angles derived from the VLBI observations are displayed in Table Owing to the lack of absolute polarization angle calibration at 22 GHz, and 43 GHz, the position angles of the electric vectors are arbitrary at these frequencies. The measured polarization characteristics at 15 GHz are in agreement with the UMRAO single dish measurements.

Component C2 was unpolarized in 1996.73, however this was the most prominent polarized feature in 2003.04 and 2003.16, with comparable polarized flux density at 15 GHz and 22 GHz. The polarized flux density of C3 decreased through all the epochs and it also decreased by increasing frequency.

The polarization angles of C2 did not change significantly with time. The polarization angle of C3 changed by ≈ 15° and 40°, at 15 GHz, and 22 GHz respectively, from 1996.73 to 2003.04. In 2003.04 and 2003.16 it remained at the same value, roughly parallel with the jet direction implying that the magnetic field is perpendicular to the jet, as one expects from an optically thin component.

The absolute angle of polarization is unknown at 22 GHz and 43 GHz however the angles in all epochs for both components are not significantly different from the calibrated EVPA at 15 GHz (one exception is 1996.73 at 22 GHz). This is consistent with the low Rotation Measure(RM) values determined by Zavala & Tayl Jos (2003).

3.4. Low frequency VLBI observations

The central part (denoted as M1 in Table I) of B 2005+403 cannot be resolved at 1.6 GHz, 5 GHz, and 8 GHz. However, at 5 GHz and 8 GHz two and one extended features appear in the jet direction, towards south-east (M2 and M3). M2 can be tentatively identified with C5 in the 15 GHz and 22 GHz data.

Our low frequency VLBI observations at 1.6 GHz, 5 GHz and 8 GHz confirm the effect of angular broadening reported earlier by Mutel & Lestrade (1990), Fey et al. (1989) and Desai & Fey (2001). In Figure 5 we show 1.6 GHz map obtained with the EVN in 1998.14. The image illustrates the effect of scatter broadening: the measured source size (36 mas) is three times larger than the size of the observing beam (13 mas).

Similar to Fey et al. (1989), we analyze the measured total angular source size as a function of the observing frequency. For this, we fitted the visibility data of B 2005+403 at all available frequencies with one Gaussian component. In Figure 6 we plot the FWHM size of this component versus frequency, using our data obtained at 1.6 GHz, 5 GHz, 8 GHz, 15 GHz, 22 GHz, and 43 GHz and including the previous measurements from the literature (Fey et al. 1989, Mutel & Lestrade 1990, Desai & Fey 2001), the corresponding values are tabulated in Table 5. The figure clearly shows a deviation from a simple power-law. In order to quantify the scatter broadening, which dominates at lower frequencies, we fitted a power law to the data in the frequency range of 0.67 – 8 GHz. In this range we obtain the following result:

\[ \theta = (77.1 ± 4.0) \cdot (\nu/1 \text{ GHz})^{−1.91±0.05} \]

The dashed line in Figure 6 shows this fit. We note that the slope does not change significantly, if the data points at 8 GHz are excluded from the fit. In this case one obtains:

\[ \theta = (77.7 ± 4.0) \cdot (\nu/1 \text{ GHz})^{−1.92±0.06} \].

In both cases the slope

\[ RM = 812 \mu B \cdot [\mu m \text{Jy} \text{beam}]^{-2} \]

where \( B \) is the parallel component of the magnetic field in mG.

Fig. 5. Clean map obtained in EVN observation (at 1.64 GHz) in 1998.14. The peak flux density is 0.46 Jy/beam. The contour levels are 4.64 mJy/beam \( \times (3.2, 3.2, 6.4, 12.8, 25.6, 51.2) \). The beam FWHM is 13 mas, in contrast to the apparent angular size of the source of 36 mas.

Fig. 6. FWHM size of the source of B 2005+403 versus frequency. The data points are shown as symbols, the solid line is the best fit according to the power-law model, and the dashed line is the best fit excluding the data points at 8 GHz.
of the power-law differs significantly from the slope of $-2.2$ one would expect for Kolmogorov type density fluctuations in the ISM.

Above 8 GHz the source is significantly larger than the extrapolated scattering size. This indicates that towards higher frequencies scattering effects are less dominant and that the intrinsic structure of the sources shines through. The differences between the extrapolated scattering size and the measured source size are as follows: $\Delta \theta_{15\,\text{GHz}} = (0.4 \pm 0.1)\,\text{mas}$, $\Delta \theta_{22\,\text{GHz}} = (0.4 \pm 0.1)\,\text{mas}$, and $\Delta \theta_{34\,\text{GHz}} = (0.4 \pm 0.1)\,\text{mas}$. For the three size measurements above 8 GHz, we fit another power law, which to first order can be used to characterize the frequency dependence of the intrinsic source size: 

$$\theta_{\text{int}} = (1.7 \pm 0.4) \cdot (\nu/1\,\text{GHz})^{-0.31 \pm 0.07}\,\text{mas}.$$ 

The solid line in Fig. 6 shows this fit. With this curve we are able to obtain an upper limit of the intrinsic source size also at lower frequencies, were direct size measurements with VLBI are not possible.

Because of its heavily scattered line of sight, B 2005+403 was incorporated by Cordes and Lazio in their model of describing the distribution of the Galactic free electrons (Cordes & Lazio 2002). To account for the regions of intense scattering, they included “clumps”, which are regions of enhanced electron density and/or electron density fluctuation. Adopting the scattering measure of Fey et al. (1989), this thickness $d \approx 18\,\text{pc}$ located at a distance of $L \approx 2.35\,\text{kpc}$ was derived. In their model, a Kolmogorov spectrum of the interstellar turbulence was assumed.

At a given frequency, the size of the scattering disk can be estimated via the deconvolution formula: 

$$\theta_{\text{scat}} = \sqrt{\theta_{\text{obs}}^2 - \theta_{\text{int}}^2}.$$ 

For the scattering size at 1 GHz, we obtain $\theta_{1\,\text{GHz}} = (77.1 \pm 4.0)\,\text{mas}$. Following Taylor & Cordes (1993) and assuming Kolmogorov turbulence, we obtain for the scattering measure:

$$\text{SM} = \left(\frac{\theta_{\text{scat}}}{128\,\text{mas}}\right)^{5/3} \cdot \frac{11}{\nu^{1/3}}.$$ 

The derived value of $\text{SM} = (0.43 \pm 0.04)\,\text{m}^{-20/3}\,\text{kpc}$ is in good agreement and consistent with the previous measurement of Fey et al. (1989), however now has a smaller uncertainty. Our slightly lower scattering measure implies either a somewhat reduced electron density fluctuation than that given in the Cordes & Lazio (2002) model or a shorter path length through the screen. For the electron density fluctuation as given in Cordes & Lazio (2002), we formally obtain a path length of $11.7\,\text{pc}$, instead of the $18\,\text{pc}$ mentioned above.

Spangler & Reynolds (1991) reports on the measurements of H$\alpha$ intensity towards the Cygnus region. They studied lines of sight towards extragalactic radio sources which are affected by interstellar scattering. In the direction of B 2005+403, the reported H$\alpha$ intensity is 130 Rayleigh, which corresponds to an emission measure of $EM = 293.8\,\text{cm}^{-6}\,\text{pc}$ (assuming an electron temperature of 8000 K). The EM corresponds to the path integral of the squared electron density along the line of sight. For a thin screen it can be approximated by: $EM = n_e^2 \cdot d$. Assuming that the probed HII region causes the scatter broadening using equation (16) and (18) from Cordes & Lazio (2002) we calculated from the EM and SM values the outer scale to be $l_0 = 25.5\,\text{AU}$, and the fractional variance of the electron density to be 6.9. Using the thickness of 11.7 pc the electron density can be calculated: $n_e = 5.0\,\text{cm}^{-3}$.

The exponent of the power-law of the angular broadening ($-1.91 \pm 0.05$) indicates a wavenumber spectrum of the electron density turbulence, which is flatter than the usually assumed Kolmogorov type turbulence with spectral slope of $-2.2$. This can be produced in a medium consisting of random superpositions of discontinuities such as a collection of shock fronts (Rickett 1990, Romani et al. 1986) tabulate numerical estimates of scintillation parameters for different power-law spectra of electron density fluctuations. We used the equation with a power law index of -2 from Table 1 of Romani et al. (1986) that describes most adequately our angular broadening observation. From this we obtain $C_0^2 \cdot d = 15.16\,\text{m}^{-20/3}\,\text{kpc}$. With a thickness of the screen in the range of $d = 12 - 18\,\text{pc}$ we obtain a range for $C_0^2 = (842...1266)\,\text{m}^{-20/3}$. However, we note that this is not an entirely consistent approach, as Cordes & Lazio (2002) used a Kolmogorov spectrum.

From the power-law fitted to the source size at high frequencies, an upper limit for the intrinsic source size and hence lower limit for the brightness temperature can be calculated. At 1.6 GHz, 5 GHz, and 8 GHz the intrinsic source sizes are $\theta_{\text{int}} \leq (1.5 \pm 0.6)\,\text{mas}$, $\theta_{\text{int}} \leq (1.0 \pm 0.3)\,\text{mas}$, and $\theta_{\text{int}} \leq (0.9 \pm 0.2)\,\text{mas}$, respectively. The corresponding lower limits to the brightness temperatures are $T_B \geq (0.6 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^{12}$ K, $T_B \geq (0.14 \pm 0.09) \cdot 10^{12}$ K, and $T_B \geq (0.08 \pm 0.04) \cdot 10^{12}$ K. These numbers are in accordance with typical brightness temperatures measured in VLBI (e.g. Kovalev et al. 2005) and neither strongly violate the inverse Compton limit nor indicate excessive Doppler-boosting.
Table 5. The measured angular sizes of B 2005+403 at different frequencies. Col. 1 shows the observing frequency, Col. 2 the observing epoch, Col. 3 the major axis size of the fitted Gaussian component. In Col. 4 references for previously published data are given.

| $\nu$ [GHz] | Epoch    | $\theta$ [mas] | Reference                  |
|------------|----------|----------------|----------------------------|
| 0.61       | Oct 1986 | 225 $\pm$ 58   | Fey et al. (1989)          |
| 1.6        | 1998.14  | 29.8 $\pm$ 0.5 | this paper                 |
| 1.66       | Mar 1986 | 26.4 $\pm$ 4.7 | Fey et al. (1989)          |
| 1.7        | Jan 1997 | 31 $\pm$ 0.6   | Desai & Fey (2001)         |
| 2.3        | Jan 1997 | 176 $\pm$ 0.5  | Desai & Fey (2001)         |
| 4.99       | Oct 1985 | 3.5 $\pm$ 0.4  | this paper                 |
| 5          | 1996.82  | 3.5 $\pm$ 0.1  | Fey et al. (1989)          |
| 5          | Jan 1997 | 3.4 $\pm$ 0.1  | Desai & Fey (2001)         |
| 8          | 1996.83  | 1.6 $\pm$ 0.1  | this paper                 |
| 15         | 1996.73  | 0.8 $\pm$ 0.1  | this paper                 |
| 22         | 1996.73  | 0.6 $\pm$ 0.1  | this paper                 |
| 43         | 1996.73  | 0.5 $\pm$ 0.04 | this paper                 |

Table 6. The mean flux density, the standard deviation and the modulation indices for the flux density variability of B 2005+430 at different frequencies between 1990 and 2004.

| Frequency (GHz) | $\langle S \rangle$ (Jy) | $\sigma$ | $m$ (%) |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------|--------|
| 5              | 2.86 $\pm$ 0.02          | 0.217   | 7.61   |
| 8              | 3.03 $\pm$ 0.02          | 0.369   | 12.15  |
| 15             | 2.63 $\pm$ 0.02          | 0.374   | 14.24  |
| 22             | 1.95 $\pm$ 0.03          | 0.500   | 25.67  |
| 37             | 1.84 $\pm$ 0.03          | 0.363   | 19.81  |

3.5. Long-term flux density variations

In Figure 7 we show the radio light-curves of B 2005+403 at 5 GHz, 8 GHz, 15 GHz, 22 GHz, and 37 GHz during the time interval covered by our VLBI observations. The data between 5 GHz and 15 GHz are from the Michigan (UMRAO) monitoring program, the data at 22 GHz and 37 GHz are from the Metsähovi monitoring.

The data clearly show the longterm variability of the source. A feature of particular interest is the apparent decrease of the flux density observed between 1992 and 1999. Between 1999 and 2001, the flux increased again. This flux density ‘trough’ is best seen and more pronounced at the higher frequencies. At 5 GHz, the decline begins at 1996.5 and the flux density drops by 16% reaching its lowest value around 1999.4. At 8 GHz, a flux density decrease of 30% is observed during approximately the same time interval. At 15 GHz, the flux density drops by 47% from 1996.6 till the beginning of 1999. At the two highest observed frequencies (22 GHz and 37 GHz), the decrease is even more pronounced: 54% and 60% respectively. This systematic frequency dependence of the variability amplitude is accompanied by a systematic and frequency dependent shift of the time of the flux density minimum. It is obvious that the flux density minimum and the subsequent rise of the flux density appear earlier at the higher frequencies. In Fig. 7 we illustrate this frequency dependence by a solid line, which is not a fit and only meant to guide the eye.

To quantify the variations at the different frequencies we use the modulation index, defined as follows:

$$m = 100 \frac{\sigma}{\langle S \rangle}$$

%, were $\sigma$ denotes for the rms variation and $\langle S \rangle$ for the mean flux density. The mean flux density and the corresponding variability indices are summarized in Table 6. It is seen that at 22 GHz the modulation index is largest.

In a number of AGN, short time flux density troughs are explained via an occultation by ISM clouds moving through the line of sight. This are the so called “extreme scattering events” (ESE, see Fiedler et al. 1994). Depending on size, distance and relative velocity of the scatterer, timescale between a few days, months and perhaps even years are possible (Cimó et al. 2002, Pohl et al. 1995). Since B 2005+403 is located in a region of the sky with prominent scattering effects, the interpretation of the observed flux density trough via such an extreme scattering event provides an attractive possibility for an interpretation. However, the frequency dependence and variability time scales seen in B 2005+403 are different than those expected for an ESE. Typical ESE events show more pronounced and more rapid variations towards longer wavelengths, opposite to what is observed in B 2005+403. Also the frequency dependence of the flux density minimum observed in the trough of B 2005+403 is not consistent with an ESE event, which owing to the geometrical occultation should not show any frequency shifts.

The observing dates of the low frequency VLBI observations performed in 1996.8 at 5 GHz and 1998.1 at 1.6 GHz coincide with the times of the decrease of the total flux density. The observed angular source sizes at these times, however, are not significantly different than the sizes observed previously (1985 & 1986) by Fey et al. (1989) and long before the flux density trough. This indicates that the amount of the observed angular broadening is persistent and therefore is not related to the observed flux density variability.

In an alternative interpretation we argue that the observed flux density trough can be explained by the sum of the flux densities of the variable VLBI components. In Figure 7 we display the sum of the flux density of the modelfit components C1+C2+C3 from the VLBI observations at 15 GHz and 22 GHz. Particularly at 15 GHz, were the time coverage of the VLBI experiments covers the full time range of the trough, there is an excellent agreement between the single dish flux density measurements and summed VLBI component fluxes. Examining the flux densities of the individual components (see Fig. 4), it appears as if the combination of the declining trend of C1 and the increasing trend of C2 are mainly responsible for the shape of the flux density trough. We therefore conclude that the peculiar shape of the total flux density lightcurve of B 2005+403 is a result of a blending of the independently varying flux of the inner jet components.

3.6. Short time-scale flux density variations

In November and December 2003 the flux density variability of B 2005+403 was monitored with the Effelsberg 100 meter
Fig. 7. Light-curves of B 2005+403 at 5 GHz, 8 GHz, 15 GHz, 22 GHz, and 37 GHz from the monitoring data of the University of Michigan Radio Astronomy Observatory, and the Metsähovi 14 meter radio telescope. The straight line connects the minima. The dark circles and lines denote the sum of flux density of the modelfit components from the VLBI observations at 15 GHz and 22 GHz.

We measure a modulation index of $m = 1.01\%$ at 1.67 GHz and of $m = 0.45\%$ at 5 GHz. At this frequency the detection of IDV is marginal. We note that the model of refractive interstellar scintillation in the weak regime predicts a decrease of the modulation index with increasing frequency (e.g. Rickett 1990). This is consistent with our observations.

The characteristic variability timescales derived from the light curves in Figures 8 and 9 range between $t_{\text{var}} = 0.09 - 0.13$ days at 18 cm and $t_{\text{var}} = 0.05 - 0.12$ days at 6 cm. Adopting a source intrinsic interpretation for the variability we may apply the light travel time argument and derive – via the source size – an apparent brightness temperature. Following Wagner et al. (1996) we obtain for the apparent “variability brightness tem-
The variability parameters of B 2005+403 and the secondary calibrators at 1.67 GHz (top) and 4.85 GHz (bottom). The modulation indices of the secondary calibrators are a measure of the calibration uncertainty, which is $m_0 \leq 0.25 \%$. The modulation index of B 2005+403 is $m = 1.01 \%$.

Table 7. The variability parameters of B 2005+403 and the secondary calibrators at 1.67 GHz (top) and 4.85 GHz (bottom). Col. 2 shows the mean flux density in Jy, col. 3 its standard deviation, col. 4 the modulation index, col. 5 the noise-bias corrected variability amplitude and col. 6 the reduced $\chi^2$.

| Source name | $\langle S \rangle$ (Jy) | $\sigma$ | $m$ (%) | Y (%) | red.$\chi^2$ |
|-------------|---------------------|---------|---------|-------|-------------|
| $\lambda = 18$ cm, $m_0 = 0.25 \%$ | | | | | |
| B 2005+403 | 2.430 | 0.024 | 1.01 | 2.93 | 5.593 |
| NGC 7027 | 1.906 | 0.004 | 0.19 | 0 | 0.197 |
| B 2021+614 | 2.193 | 0.006 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.365 |
| $\lambda = 6$ cm, $m_0 = 0.20 \%$ | | | | | |
| B 2005+403 | 2.905 | 0.013 | 0.45 | 1.18 | 3.107 |
| NGC 7027 | 5.489 | 0.011 | 0.20 | 0 | 0.621 |

$$T_B = 4.5 \cdot 10^{10} \Delta S \left( \frac{\lambda D_L}{t_{\text{var}} (1 + z)^2} \right)^2 \text{K}$$

where $\Delta S$ is the amplitude of the flux density variations [Jy], $\lambda$ [cm] the wavelength, $D_L$ [Mpc] the luminosity distance, and $t_{\text{var}}$ [day] is the variability time-scale. The resulting apparent brightness temperatures is in the range of $T_B = 10^{18.21}$ K. This can be reduced to the inverse-Compton limit with Doppler-factors in the range of a few hundred of up to one thousand. Since these values appear unreasonably high and are not consistent with the results from VLBI nor with theoretical expectations (e.g. Regelman et al. 1994), we probably can rule out that source intrinsic effects are responsible for the observed rapid variations.

The source size and the apparent brightness temperature can be also determined from the interstellar scintillation model, assuming that the main reason for the observed variability is the motion of the Earth through the scintillation pattern (see for example Goodman 1997 and references therein). In this scenario the variability timescale (in [days]) is given by: $t_{\text{var}} = 347.16 \theta_{\text{scat}} L \nu^{-1}$ with the scattering size $\theta_{\text{scat}}$ in [mas], the screen distance $L$ in [kpc] and the relative velocity between screen and earth $v$ in [km/s]. It is clear that a screen located at kpc distance, as proposed by Cordes & Lazio (2002) is not able to explain the observed short variability timescale. With a scattering size at 1.67 GHz of $\theta_{\text{scat}} = 30$ mas, a screen distance of 2.4 kpc and typical galactic velocities $v \leq 220$ km/s, one would expect to see variations on timescales $t_{\text{var}} > 100$ days.

In order to reproduce a variability time scale of 0.1 day at 1.67 GHz we obtain with the above equation the following constraint for scattering size and screen distance: $\theta_{\text{scat}} L \leq 6.34 \cdot 10^{-2}$. For the measured scattering size of $\sim 30$ mas, this leads to an unreasonable nearby screen distances of $\leq 2$ pc. The only way out of this dilemma is a smaller scattering size. Adopting a minimum screen distance of at least 10-20 pc as required for the interpretation of the ultrafast scintillators (e.g. Dennett-Thorpe & de Bruyn 2002, 2003), we would obtain a scattering size of $\theta_{\text{scat}} \leq 6.3$ mas. A source with such a size would have an apparent brightness temperature at 1.6 GHz of $T_B \geq 10^{10}$ K, fully consistent with our VLBI measurements (see Section 3.4).

An upper limit to the distance of the screen is obtained from the restriction of the source size via the inverse Compton limit of $T_B^C = 10^{12}$ K. The requirement that the brightness temperature has to be lower than this, leads to a source size of $\theta = \sqrt{\frac{1.22 \cdot 10^{12} S_\nu v^2 T_B^C \delta^{-1}(1 + z)}{D}} \geq 0.5$ mas, where we adopted $S_{1.6 \text{GHz}} = 2.4$ Jy from Table 7 and for the Doppler factor $\delta \approx 10$. This leads with the above equations to an upper limit of the screen distance of $D \leq 0.58 v/pc$ or with $(10 \leq v \leq 220)$ km/s ($6 \leq L \leq 127$) pc.

We therefore conclude that the observed IDV can be explained with a screen, which is located at a distance of $L \leq 130$ pc and which can be characterized by a scattering size of $0.5 \leq \theta_{\text{scat}} \leq 6.3$ mas. The corresponding scattering measure at 1.6 GHz then is of order $6.4 \cdot 10^{-4} \leq S M \leq 4.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$, measured in the conventional units of SM. These values are considerably
lower than the scattering measure of the more distant screen, which is responsible for the scatter broadening in B 2005+403. We therefore conclude that the observed IDV is not caused by this distant screen. Most likely one has to deal with the situation of multiple scattering by at least two spatially and physically very different plasma screens. In this scenario the first screen leads to a significant scatter broadening of the source image, which in the second screen is only very weakly scattered due to large quenching effects ($\theta_{\text{source}} >> \theta_{\text{scat}}$). This quenched scattering (e.g. Rickett [1986]) by the second screen could explain the relatively low variability amplitudes of $\lesssim 1\%$ observed in our IDV experiments at 6 and 18 cm. Quantitatively this can be verified using equation (20) of Goodman (1997), which relates the variability index, the scattering measure, the effective source size and the screen distance. With the parameters from above a modulation index of $m \lesssim 1\%$ are obtained, in good agreement with our observations.

4. Discussion and summary

B 2005+403 is located in the Cygnus-region and previously was known as one of the most scattered broadened extragalactic sources in this region of the sky. In this paper we combined multi-frequency flux density monitoring and VLBI imaging observations. We also used high time resolution flux density monitoring observations, in order to search for possible Intra-Day Variability. The combination of these complementary data yields new and more accurate than previously published estimates of (i) the source structure and its kinematics and of (ii) the properties of the interstellar medium, which is responsible for the observed propagation effects.

High frequency VLBI imaging observations (at 15 - 43 GHz) spanning 11 years (1992 - 2003) reveal a one sided and bent core jet structure, with at least 5 embedded VLBI components. The inner jet components separate from the stationary assumed core C1 with apparent superluminal speeds of 6 - 17 c. The component nearest to the core (C2), however, appeared stationary ($\beta_{\text{app}} \lesssim 0.3$). It is remarkable that the flux density variation of this stationary and steep spectrum component apparently violates the inverse Compton limit, indicating curved and their motion is not ballistical, suggesting a spatially increasing of the component speeds with increasing core separation. The paths of the inner-most jet components is observed. The paths of the inner-most jet components is oriented at a smaller angle to the line of sight than those of the other jet components. A systematic increase of the component speeds with increasing core separation is observed. The paths of the inner-most jet components is curved and their motion is not ballistical, suggesting a spatially curved (helical) jet. Beyond 2 mas core-separation, however, the components seem to move on linear (ballistical) trajectories. Continued future VLBI monitoring will be necessary to determine their paths more accurately.

The VLBI images at longer wavelengths (1.6 - 8 GHz) show a scatter broadened nearly point-like source. Combining our new size measurements with published data from earlier observations allowed to study the frequency dependence of the source size in greater detail. Below 8 GHz this dependence is best described by a non-Kolmogorov power law with slope of -1.9. For the size of the scattering disk at 1 GHz we obtain ($77.1 \pm 4.0$) mas. Above 8 GHz the measured sizes are increasing larger than the prediction from the scattering law, and the internal source structure becomes visible. Based on the observed scatter broadening, several parameters of the scattering medium (scattering size, scattering measure, electron density) were determined and found to be in accordance with previously published estimates (NE2001 model, Cordes & Lazio, 2002). The NE2001 model places the scattering screen at a distance of 2.35 kpc. We however note that towards the direction of B 2005+403 there is a degree-size extended supernova remnant G78.2+2.1. It exhibits a patchy, inhomogeneous structure at optical, X-ray and radio wavelengths (Bvkv et al. 2004, and references therein). Its distance is (1.5 ± 0.5) kpc (Landecker et al. 1980), still compatible with the scattering model.

We discuss the observed long term flux density variability curves (5 - 37 GHz), which during 1996 - 2001 showed a remarkable flux density 'trough'. A tentative interpretation via a possible 'scattering event', similar to the 'extreme scattering events' observed in other sources, could be abandoned on the basis of the observed frequency dependence of the variability, which appeared more pronounced at higher frequencies. Instead, we show that the 'trough' is well explained by the summed flux density variability of the (independently) varying VLBI components (C1 - C3). In contrast to other sources, which often show correlations between jet component ejection and flux density variability (e.g. Savolainen et al. 2002, and references therein), such a relation is not seen B 2005+403. In this source at least some (if not most) of the observed flux density variability results from the blending of the evolving jet components.

Dense in time sampled variability measurements performed with the 100 m Effelsberg telescope showed only weak intra-day variability in B 2005+403. This was in contrast to naive expectations, in which more pronounced variability was anticipated. The low variability indices ($m = 1\%$ at 18 cm, $m = 0.5\%$ at 6 cm) and the short variability time scale of $\sim 0.1$ days cannot be explained by scattering effects from the kpc-screen, which is responsible for the scatter broadening. Instead, another and much closer scatterer is required. Using the thin screen approximation for refractive interstellar scintillation we determined the likely distance of the scattering screen to be ($6 \leq L \leq 128$) pc. At 18 cm, its scattering size would lie in the range of $0.5 \leq \theta_{\text{scat}} \leq 6.3$ mas. For B 2005+403 one therefore has to deal with the situation that a scattered broadened image (kpc-screen) is scattered a second time (pc-screen) and that the combination of both effects limits the accuracy of low frequency flux density variability measurements to about 0.5-1 $\%$ and the angular the resolution of VLBI observations to a few milliarcseconds. We note that weak refractive interstellar scintillation from a very nearby and ionized ISM (which most likely covers larger portions of the sky), may therefore also limit the calibration accuracy and sensitivity of planned large radio telescopes operating and low frequencies, like LOFAR or the square-kilometer array (SKA).
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Table 1. The parameters of the Gaussian models. Column 1 gives the observing epoch, the VLBI array and the frequency, column 2 the component identification. Column 3 shows the flux density of each component. In Col. 4, the relative separation to C1 and in Col. 5 the position angle are listed. Columns 6, 7 and 8 list the major axis, the axial ratio of minor to major axis, and the major axis position angle. The last column shows the reduced $\chi^2$ of the model.

| Epoch, array and frequency (1) | Comp. (2) | S (Jy) (3) | r (mas) (4) | $\theta$ (°) (5) | a (mas) (6) | b/a (7) | $\phi$ (°) (8) | $\chi^2$ (9) |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|----------------|------------|--------|--------------|------------|
| 1998.14 EVN 1.6 GHz Errors   | M1        | 2.365      | 0.00        | –              | 29.76      | 0.8    | 37.5         | 4.5        |
| 1996.82 EVN 5 GHz Errors     | M1        | 2.572      | 0.00        | –              | 3.48       | 0.70   | 32.1         | 2.6        |
| 1996.83 EVN 8 GHz Errors     | M1        | 3.418      | 0.00        | –              | 1.51       | 0.86   | 65.43        | 1.0        |
| 1995.27 VLBA 15 GHz Errors   | C1        | 0.852      | 0.00        | –              | 0.40       | 1.00   | –            | 1.0        |
| 1995.96 VLBA 15 GHz Errors   | C1        | 0.510      | 0.00        | –              | 0.31       | 1.00   | –            | 0.3        |
| 1996.73 VLBA+EB 15 GHz Errors| C1        | 0.260      | 0.00        | –              | 0.28       | 1.00   | –            | 0.3        |
| 1997.19 VLBA 15 GHz Errors   | C1        | 0.262      | 0.00        | –              | 0.25       | 1.00   | –            | 2.0        |
| 1999.01 VLBA 15 GHz Errors   | C1        | 0.396      | 0.00        | –              | 0.38       | 1.00   | –            | 0.5        |
| 2001.17 VLBA 15 GHz Errors   | C1        | 0.024      | 0.00        | –              | 0.17       | 1.00   | –            | 3.5        |
| 2001.98 VLBA 15 GHz Errors   | C1        | 0.091      | 0.00        | –              | 0.20       | 1.00   | –            | 1.6        |
Table 1. continued

| Epoch, array, frequency | Comp. | $S$ (Jy) | $r$ (mas) | $\theta$ (°) | $a$ (mas) | $b/a$ | $\phi$ (°) | $\chi^2$ |
|-------------------------|-------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------|-----------|---------|
| 2003.04 VLBA 15 GHz | C1 | 0.188 | 0.00 | - | 0.2 | 1.0 | - | 0.3 |
| 2003.16 VLBA 15 GHz | C1 | 0.223 | 0.00 | - | 0.34 | 0.45 | 30.3 | 1.5 |
| 1992.44 EVN 22 GHz | C1 | 0.337 | 0.00 | - | 0.21 | 0.63 | 88.3 | 2.5 |
| 1994.17 VLBA+ 22 GHz +VLA+EVN | C1 | 0.570 | 0.00 | - | 0.27 | 0.68 | -54.3 | 6.3 |
| 1996.73 VLBA+EB 22 GHz | C1 | 0.434 | 0.00 | - | 0.29 | 0.65 | -53.1 | 4.1 |
| 2003.04 VLBA 22 GHz | C1 | 0.329 | 0.00 | - | 0.20 | 1.0 | - | 0.9 |
| 1996.73 VLBA+EB 43 GHz | C1 | 0.343 | 0.00 | - | 0.30 | 0.57 | -36.3 | 0.6 |
| Errors | | 5% | 10% | 3° | 6% | 4% | 4° | |
| Errors | | 6% | 15% | 3° | 6% | 4% | 4° | |
| Errors | | 4% | 12% | 3° | 4% | 5% | 4° | |
| Errors | | 10% | 10% | 3° | 10% | 5° | |
| Errors | | 3% | 10% | 3° | 18% | 4° | |
| Errors | | 11% | 3% | 18% | 5° | |
| Errors | | 6% | 15% | 3° | 8% | 3° | |
| Errors | | 7% | 6% | 3° | 12% | 5° | |