Quality of Life and Physical Activity in 629 Individuals With Sarcoidosis: Prospective, Cross-sectional Study Using Smartphones (Sarcoidosis App)
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Abstract

Background: Large gaps exist in understanding the symptomatic and functional impact of sarcoidosis, a rare multisystem granulomatous disease affecting fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States. Smartphones could be used for prospective research, especially for rare diseases where organizing large cohorts can be challenging, given their near ubiquitous ownership and ability to track objective and subjective data with increasingly sophisticated technology.

Objective: We aimed to investigate whether smartphones could assess the quality of life (QoL) and physical activity of a large cohort of individuals with sarcoidosis.

Methods: We developed a mobile app (Sarcoidosis App) for a prospective, cross-sectional study on individuals with sarcoidosis. The Sarcoidosis App was made available on both Apple and Android smartphones. Individuals with sarcoidosis were recruited, consented, and enrolled entirely within the app. Surveys on sarcoidosis history, medical history, and medications were administered. Patients completed modules from the Sarcoidosis Assessment Tool, a validated patient-reported outcomes assessment of physical activity, fatigue, pain, skin symptoms, sleep, and lungs symptoms. Physical activity measured by smartphones was tracked as available.

Results: From April 2018 to May 2020, the App was downloaded 2558 times, and 629 individuals enrolled (404, 64.2% female; mean age 51 years; 513, 81.6% White; 86, 13.7% Black). Two-thirds of participants had a college or graduate degree, and more than half of them reported an income greater than US $60,000. Both QoL related to physical activity (P<.001, ρ=0.250) and fatigue (P<.01, ρ=–0.203) correlated with actual smartphone-tracked physical activity. Overall, 19.0% (98/517) of participants missed at least 1 week of school or work in an observed month owing to sarcoidosis, and 44.4% (279/629) reported that finances “greatly” or “severely” affected by sarcoidosis. Furthermore, 71.2% (437/614) of participants reported taking medications for sarcoidosis, with the most common being prednisone, methotrexate, hydroxychloroquine, and infliximab. Moreover, 46.4% (244/526) reported medication side effects, most commonly due to prednisone.

Conclusions: We demonstrate that smartphones can prospectively recruit, consent, and study physical activity, QoL, and medication usage in a large sarcoidosis cohort, using both passively collected objective data and qualitative surveys that did not
require any in-person encounters. Our study’s limitations include the study population being weighted toward more educated and wealthier individuals, suggesting that recruitment was not representative of the full spectrum of patients with sarcoidosis in the United States. Our study provides a model for future smartphone-enabled clinical research for rare diseases and highlights key technical challenges that future research teams interested in smartphone-based research for rare diseases should anticipate.
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**Introduction**

Sarcoidosis is a multisystem granulomatous disease that most commonly affects the lungs, skin, eyes, and lymph nodes. In the United States, over 185,000 patients with sarcoidosis seek medical care annually, and 25,000 new cases of sarcoidosis are diagnosed [1]. While many cases appear mild, the disease can cause substantial functional morbidity including exertional dyspnea, generalized pain, and decreased physical activity [2]. Fatigue is common among patients with sarcoidosis and can be debilitating. Given the variable clinical presentation of sarcoidosis, large gaps remain in understanding the daily impact of sarcoidosis.

Sarcoidosis is a rare disease, which is defined as affecting fewer than 200,000 individuals. Clinical research of rare diseases is challenging—nearly one-third of rare disease clinical trials are discontinued with insufficient patient accrual being the most common reason [3]. Large cohorts are difficult to recruit and may not be representative of the patient population owing to overrepresentation of patients with access to academic medical centers. However, more than 85% of Americans currently own a smartphone, and manufacturers are increasingly including sophisticated health-tracking technology [4]. For example, Apple ResearchKit allows investigators to not only measure the number of daily walking steps and distance traveled, but also estimate cardiac fitness levels and walking stability [5]. In addition, mobile apps allow patients to complete validated survey instruments on smartphones. Therefore, smartphones are a compelling channel to conduct clinical research on rare disease populations.

The goal of our study was to develop and launch a smartphone app to assess patient-reported quality of life (QoL) in a large population of patients with sarcoidosis and to characterize patients’ symptomatology and functional status. We designed this app to describe physical activity and correlate physical activity data with self-reported characteristics through QoL surveys, medication use, and adverse effects and comorbidities. Here, we describe the development and launch of the app and present results from the surveys and physical activity data collected from patients.

**Methods**

**Smartphone App Development and Launch**

The Sarcoidosis App [6], developed by authors DMO and MR, is a smartphone app that measures physical activity levels and records patient-reported responses to questions (Figure 1) [7]. The Sarcoidosis App was initially designed using the open-source Apple ResearchKit framework. The app was then ported onto the Medable trial platform [8], to allow for distribution on both Android and Apple operating systems. Data were automatically encrypted, deidentified, and uploaded directly to secure servers, adhering to guidelines specified by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act. Study data were not shared with any organization including Apple, Alphabet, Medable, or with nonstudy personnel. The Sarcoidosis App was made available on the Google Play and the Apple App Store in April 2018. Data were collected through May 2020, though the length of time spent using the App varied by participant. The Foundation for Sarcoidosis Research shared an announcement about the Sarcoidosis App’s launch with their patient email list.
Patients with sarcoidosis were recruited via outpatient dermatology clinic visits at the University of Pennsylvania Health System, the Foundation for Sarcoidosis Research mailing list, and targeted social media advertisements. After prospective participants downloaded the app, they were presented with an inclusion and exclusion criteria questionnaire to provide multiple means of participant recruitment. Participants were eligible for study enrollment if they were aged greater than 18 years, lived in the United States, and self-reported a diagnosis of sarcoidosis. Participants were asked for permission to enable the app to read HealthKit or Google Fit data. To ensure participants understood the risks, benefits, and options of study participation, they were required to pass a quiz concerning these issues before digitally signing the informed consent document (Multimedia Appendix 1).

Patient-Reported Outcome Measures: Survey Design and Data Collection

The Sarcoidosis App administered baseline surveys of (1) sarcoidosis history, (2) pertinent medical history, (3) sarcoidosis treatment medications, and (4) items from modules of the Sarcoidosis Assessment Tool (SAT). The SAT is a validated...
patient-reported assessment that comprises select generic measures from the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System, as well as several sarcoidosis-specific item banks, including physical functioning, satisfaction with roles and activities, fatigue, pain interference, sleep disturbance, lung concerns, skin concerns, and skin stigma and embarrassment [7]. After the intake process, several surveys were administered. Participants could skip questions that they did not wish to answer and could be completed at any time. The app also asked participants for permission to import certain smartphone physical activity data, including daily counts of steps, distance walked or run, flights of stairs climbed, and exercise time.

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were calculated for demographic information, patient-reported outcomes, and physical activity data. Missing data were excluded from analyses except where described. Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated for correlating responses to the SAT modules for physical activity, lungs, and fatigue with average daily steps and traveled distance from smartphone-recorded data, as much of the survey and physical activity measures were not normally distributed. In addition, the use of ranks through Spearman correlation diminishes the influence of outliers in some of the physical activity measures. All statistics were calculated using Stata (version 16.1; StataCorp) and R (version 3.6.1; The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). The survey data and physical activity data used in this study is available upon reasonable request to BC and MR.

**Ethical Considerations**

This study was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Pennsylvania (824080). This paper adheres to STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology) reporting guidelines. Participants who met eligibility criteria proceeded to provide electronic informed consent.

**Results**

**Study Enrollment and Background Information**

From April 2018 to May 2020, the app was downloaded 2558 times: 1603 from the Apple App Store and 955 from Google Play. A quarter (629/2558) of downloads converted to study participation, with 629 unique participants completing at least one component of the background survey concerning basic demographic data (Table 1). Of them, 64.2% (n=404) of participants were female, and 81.6% (n=513) were White. Two-thirds (n=416, 66.1%) of participants had a college or graduate degree, and more than half reported full-time employment (n=316, 50.2%) and annual incomes greater than US $60,000 (n=360, 57.2%). In terms of background information on sarcoidosis disease and QoL, 60.1% (n=378) of participants reported that their sarcoidosis was diagnosed within the past 5 years. Subjectively, 19.9% (n=125) of participants reported “poor” health and 39.7% (n=250) reported “fair” health. Overall, 44.4% (n=279) of participants reported that their family’s finances were “greatly affected” or “severely affected” by sarcoidosis.
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants in the Sarcoidosis App study (N=629).

| Characteristic                        | Value |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Gender                                |       |
| Female                                | 404 (64.2) |
| Male                                  | 199 (31.6) |
| Missing                               | 26 (4.1) |
| Mean age (years), mean (median; SD)   | 51.0 (50; 10.95) |
| Race, n (%)                           |       |
| White                                 | 513 (81.6) |
| Black or African American             | 86 (13.7) |
| Other/Unknown                         | 27 (4.3) |
| Missing                               | 3 (0.5) |
| Ethnicity, n (%)                      |       |
| Hispanic/Latino                       | 32 (5.1) |
| Non-Hispanic                          | 587 (93.3) |
| Missing                               | 10 (1.6) |
| BMI\(^a\), mean (SD)                  | 32.12 (7.75) |
| Years since diagnosis, n (%)          |       |
| <1                                    | 127 (20.2) |
| 1-5                                   | 251 (39.9) |
| 5-20                                  | 197 (31.3) |
| >20                                   | 52 (8.3) |
| Missing                               | 2 (0.3) |
| Education, n (%)                      |       |
| High school                           | 8 (1.3) |
| General Educational Development       | 205 (32.6) |
| College                               | 266 (42.3) |
| Graduate                              | 150 (23.8) |
| Employment status, n (%)              |       |
| Student                               | 6 (1.0) |
| Part-time                             | 44 (7.0) |
| Full-time                             | 316 (50.2) |
| Unemployed                            | 61 (9.7) |
| Disabled                              | 114 (18.1) |
| Retired                               | 82 (13.0) |
| Missing                               | 6 (1.0) |
| Income (US $), n (%)                  |       |
| <15,000                               | 49 (7.8) |
| 15,000-30,000                         | 58 (9.2) |
| 30,000-60,000                         | 139 (22.1) |
| 60,000-100,000                        | 166 (26.4) |
| >100,000                              | 194 (30.8) |
| Missing                               | 23 (3.7) |
| Financial impact, n (%)               |       |
| Characteristic             | Value            |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| No financial impact       | 124 (19.7)       |
| Slightly affected         | 223 (35.5)       |
| Greatly affected          | 178 (28.3)       |
| Severely affected         | 101 (16.1)       |
| Missing                   | 3 (0.5)          |

aBMI was obtained from 605 participants.

**SAT Results**

In total, 597 unique participants filled out at least one of the SAT modules. The mean scores of each SAT module and their SDs are reported in Table 2. Owing to technical issues with the app, there were fewer reported outcomes for the skin symptoms module of the SAT.

Table 2. Sarcoidosis Assessment Test (SAT) survey results at baseline. A score of 50 represents the mean score of the original calibration sample of the SAT.

| SAT module                        | Participants, n | Module score, mean (SD) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Activity [+]


| Fatigue [-]


| Lungs [-]    | 572            | 45.87 (8.46)  |
| Pain [-]     | 544            | 60.48 (10.63) |
| Skin symptoms [-] | 208         | 57.80 (6.99)  |
| Sleep [-]    | 567            | 58.38 (9.51)  |
| Stigma/embarrassment/skin impact [-] | 535       | 49.73 (8.65)  |

aA higher score representing a higher quality of life.
bA higher score representing a lower quality of life.

**Correlational Data**

Where data were available for both SAT survey responses and device-measured physical activity, correlational analyses were performed. SAT physical activity scores positively correlated with average daily steps (n=226), and SAT fatigue scores negatively correlated with average daily steps (n=245) (Figure 2). SAT lung symptoms scores did not correlate with average daily steps (n=238). These trends were replicated when comparing SAT survey responses to device-tracked daily distance moved (Figure 3).
Figure 2. Correlation between Sarcoidosis Assessment Test surveys of physical activity, lung symptoms, and fatigue with device-reported physical activity data. [+] indicates that a higher score represents a higher quality of life. [-] indicates that a higher score represents a lower quality of life. P and ρ are the P value and Spearman correlation coefficient, respectively.
Figure 3. Correlation between Sarcoidosis Assessment Test surveys of physical activity, lung symptoms, and fatigue with device-reported average daily distance traveled. [+] indicates that a higher score represents a higher quality of life. [-] indicates that a higher score represents a lower quality of life. P and ρ are the P value and Spearman correlation coefficient, respectively.

Sarcoidosis Medical Resource Usage

Medical resource usage was assessed among 517 unique participants who completed at least one question of an initial survey (Table 3). Overall, 59.8% (n=309) of participants reported at least one regularly scheduled clinic visit in the previous month, while 23.6% (n=122) reported one or more unscheduled clinic visits for sarcoidosis. Furthermore, 11.0% (n=57) of participants reported an emergency room visit for sarcoidosis in the previous month, and 7.4% (n=38) reported a hospitalization related to sarcoidosis. Moreover, 12.4% (n=64) of participants reported missing the entire previous month of school or work because of sarcoidosis, and another 29.4% (n=152) reported missing at least one day of school or work in the previous month.
Table 3. Initial response to survey of medical resource usage (N=517).

| Variables                                                                 | Value, n (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| How many regularly scheduled clinic visits for your sarcoidosis have you had in the last month? |              |
| None                                                                     | 206 (39.8)   |
| 1                                                                        | 153 (29.6)   |
| More than 1                                                               | 156 (30.1)   |
| In the past month, how many unscheduled clinic visits have you had for your sarcoidosis? |              |
| None                                                                     | 392 (75.8)   |
| 1                                                                        | 70 (13.5)    |
| More than 1                                                               | 52 (10.1)    |
| In the past month, how many visits to the ER\(^a\) have you had for your sarcoidosis? |              |
| None                                                                     | 442 (85.5)   |
| 1                                                                        | 57 (11.0)    |
| More than 1                                                               | 18 (3.5)     |
| In the past month, how many times have you been hospitalized for your sarcoidosis? |              |
| None                                                                     | 478 (94.5)   |
| 1                                                                        | 31 (6.0)     |
| More than 1                                                               | 7 (1.4)      |
| How many days did you miss school/work in the past month because of your sarcoidosis? |              |
| None                                                                     | 279 (54.0)   |
| 1 day                                                                    | 45 (8.7)     |
| 2-6 days                                                                 | 73 (14.1)    |
| 7-14 days                                                                | 22 (4.3)     |
| >Half month                                                              | 12 (2.3)     |
| Entire month                                                             | 64 (12.4)    |

\(^a\)ER: emergency room.

Medication Use and Side Effects

In total, 614 unique participants completed at least one question from the baseline medication survey (Table 4). Overall, 71.2% (437/614) of participants reported using medications to treat their sarcoidosis; of them, 58.8% (257/437) were being treated with prednisone and 81.0% (354/437) were being treated with medications other than prednisone for sarcoidosis. The most common specified medications other than prednisone were methotrexate, hydroxychloroquine, and infliximab. Participants were also asked to complete a survey concerning medication adverse effects within the prior month (Table 5). Overall, 64.4% (244/379) of participants reported major (requiring changes in medications) or minor side effects from their sarcoidosis medications, with another 20.6% (78/379) of participants reporting possible side effects. The most common medication causing side effects was prednisone. In a follow-up question, participants were most often recommended to continue medications at the same dose when side effects were discussed with physicians.
### Table 4. Responses to survey of baseline medication usage (N=614).

| Variables                                                                 | Participants, n/N (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Are you currently being treated with medications for your sarcoidosis? (Yes) | 437/614 (71.2)         |
| Are you taking prednisone for sarcoidosis?                                | 257/437 (41.9)         |
| **Daily prednisone dose (mg)**                                            |                        |
| 1-10                                                                      | 131/257 (50.9)         |
| 11-20                                                                     | 65/257 (25.3)          |
| 21-60                                                                     | 57/257 (22.2)          |
| >61                                                                       | 4/257 (1.6)            |
| Are you taking any medications other than prednisone for sarcoidosis?    | 354/437 (57.7)         |
| **What other medications are you taking?**                               |                        |
| Hydroxychloroquine                                                        | 71/354 (20.1)          |
| Methotrexate                                                              | 134/354 (37.9)         |
| Chloroquine                                                               | 4/354 (1.1)            |
| Azathioprine                                                              | 29/354 (8.2)           |
| Leflunomide                                                               | 6/354 (1.7)            |
| Mycophenolate mofetil                                                     | 33/354 (9.3)           |
| Infliximab                                                                | 51/354 (14.4)          |
| Adalimumab                                                               | 28/354 (7.9)           |
| Other medications                                                         | 182/354 (51.4)         |

### Table 5. Medication adverse effects survey (N=526).

| Variables                                                                 | Participants, n/N (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Have you taken any medications for sarcoidosis in the past month?        | 379/526 (72.1)         |
| Did you have any side effects from sarcoidosis medications in past month?|                        |
| Yes, major side effects requiring change in medications                   | 104/379 (27.4)         |
| Yes, minor side effects                                                   | 140/379 (36.9)         |
| Possibly/unsure                                                           | 78/379 (20.6)          |
| No                                                                        | 70/379 (18.5)          |

### Discussion

In this study, we demonstrate the novel use of smartphones to prospectively recruit, consent, and study physical activity and QoL in a large cohort of individuals with sarcoidosis, using both objective health tracking data and qualitative survey responses. We were able to demonstrate a strong correlation between the assessment of physical state and the activity level of participants with sarcoidosis, measured by smartphone apps. Specifically, participants who were more active, as measured by daily steps and distance traveled as tracked by their smartphones, also had physical activity and fatigue scores, representing a smaller impact of their disease on these domains on the SAT—a previously-defined patient-reported QoL metric [7]. Nearly half of the participants missed at least a day of school or work monthly, and nearly one-fifth missed at least a week, reflecting the poor QoL related to physical activity and fatigue reported on the SAT, and demonstrating the profound impact of sarcoidosis on patients. The challenge of pharmaceutical management of sarcoidosis was highlighted by the finding that 6 in 10 participants reported medication side effects, of whom 43% required changes in their medications. Furthermore, 4 in 5 participants reported taking medications for sarcoidosis other than prednisone. Together, these data provide a detailed view into how individuals live with sarcoidosis and demonstrate that smartphones are a compelling method of prospective research for rare diseases, where such wide-scale data collection would otherwise be unfeasible.

The findings of this study suggest that smartphone technology may have advantages in the conduct of prospective clinical research in sarcoidosis and other rare diseases, though robust human and technical resources are critical. First, these data suggest smartphones have potential to enroll patients with sarcoidosis in clinical trials and reliably assess them without requiring a traditional in-person clinic visit. In this way, the clinical research study can be brought directly to participants, bypassing financial and geographic barriers of many socially disadvantaged patients with sarcoidosis, who are often...
unrepresented in clinical trials. However, these methods cannot replace studies that require laboratory testing or imaging. Second, as these assessments can be made in real time without investigator prompting, this method may avoid significant recall bias and investigator influence respectively. Third, the capability of integrating objective health tracking data with subjective survey data offers a multidimensional assessment of patients with sarcoidosis. In this way, studies using smartphones could provide alternative channels of demonstrating construct validity of patient-reported outcomes.

The strengths of our study were tempered by the technical challenges of developing and maintaining a mobile app. Clinical research teams without strong technical experience will encounter many obstacles in designing and launching mobile apps, and partnerships with technology companies are essential to the success of these projects. However, lack of cross-disciplinary understanding presents substantial challenges to meaningful collaboration with external stakeholders; clinical research teams may not even be able to envision what is technically possible, and developers may lack perspective on how these products are actually delivered to patients [10]. Even with industry partnership, research teams require members skilled in computer science, database management, and data analysis to process the large amount of complex data generated by apps and sensors. For instance, our study lacked longitudinal data collection owing to the challenge of long-term maintenance of the app without dedicated program management or use of participant-engagement rewards systems. Another limitation is that physical activity data could only be tracked if participants were consistently carrying their smartphones, which could not be enforced remotely. As a result, for some participants, physical activity data were sporadically recorded and may not be representative of their actual activity.

There were several limitations regarding the background of participants. First, individuals self-reported a diagnosis of sarcoidosis, which introduces the possibility of participants without a true diagnosis, even though participants were recruited directly from sarcoidosis clinics and advocacy groups for patients with sarcoidosis. Another limitation of our study is that our study population was weighted toward more educated and wealthier individuals. It is possible that the rate of response and familiarity with smartphone apps in our cohort was not representative of the full population of patients with sarcoidosis in the United States, particularly older individuals and those with low technology literacy. Future mobile app studies of sarcoidosis and other rare diseases should prioritize recruiting from a diverse set of sarcoidosis clinics that would provide a more representative sample, in addition to patient advocacy groups. Given that sarcoidosis results in disparate outcomes by race, sex, and socioeconomic class [11] and an annual health care cost of US $20,000 [12], more work is necessary to realize the benefits of the ubiquitous smartphone ownership across all socioeconomic groups.

Future apps could also integrate environmental data, such as location, weather, and air quality to provide additional dimensions of analysis. Wearable devices, such as smartwatches, can also provide valuable data, though ownership is not as prevalent. Beyond observational research, smartphones also present opportunities for digital therapeutics, which are evidence-based interventions driven by software. For example, one group has demonstrated that a smartphone-based stress management tool significantly reduced stress and fatigue in patients with sarcoidosis compared to control patients [13]. In an era of rapid adoption of telehealth driven by the COVID-19 pandemic, clinicians and patients may be more accepting of such tools [14]. The future management of rare chronic diseases such as sarcoidosis may evolve toward using patient-owned devices to actively monitor symptomatology and medication side effects in real time outside of medical centers, allowing rapid treatment adjustment. At the same time, they can also serve as trusted patient education and community platforms, which are highly desired by patients.

Acknowledgments
We acknowledge Kathleen Chen, the University of Pennsylvania Patient Centered Outcomes Research pilot grant, Siegel Family philanthropic support, the Foundation for Sarcoidosis Research, Medable (Stephanie Adamkiewicz), and the Department of Dermatology Clinical Studies Unit.

Authors’ Contributions
DMO and MR developed the Sarcoidosis App with advice from MJ. BC, DMO, and MW performed statistical analyses. HS and IB provided statistical advice. BC and DMO acted as cofirst authors for the manuscript. All authors contributed to the drafting, editing, and submission of the manuscript, led by BC, DMO, MR, and MJ. BC and MR take responsibility for the content of the manuscript, including the data and analysis.

Conflicts of Interest
None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1
Consent quiz to ensure participants understood the risks, benefits, and options of study participation. [PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 3487 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

References
1. Baughman RP, Field S, Costabel U, Crystal RG, Culver DA, Drent M, et al. Sarcoidosis in America. Analysis Based on Health Care Use. Annals ATS 2016 Aug;13(8):1244-1252. [doi: 10.1513/annalsats.201511-760oc]

2. Drent M, Crouser ED, Grunewald J. Challenges of Sarcoidosis and Its Management. N Engl J Med 2021 Sep 09;385(11):1018-1032. [doi: 10.1056/nejmra2101555]

3. Mitani AA, Haneuse S. Small Data Challenges of Studying Rare Diseases. JAMA Netw Open 2020 Mar 02;3(3):e201965 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.1965] [Medline: 32202640]

4. Mobile Fact Sheet. Pew Research Center. 2021 Apr 07. URL: https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/fact-sheet/mobile/ [accessed 2021-10-21]

5. ResearchKit and CareKit: Empowering medical researchers, doctors, and you. Apple. URL: https://www.apple.com/lae/researchkit/ [accessed 2021-10-21]

6. The Sarcoidosis App. URL: http://sarcoidosisapp.com/ [accessed 2022-07-20]

7. Judson MA, Mack M, Beaumont JL, Watt R, Barnathan ES, Victorson DE. Validation and Important Differences for the Sarcoidosis Assessment Tool. A New Patient-reported Outcome Measure. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2015 Apr 01;191(7):786-795. [doi: 10.1164/rccm.201410-1785oc]

8. Medable. URL: https://www.medable.com/ [accessed 2022-07-20]

9. Marcu G, Ondersma SJ, Spiller AN, Broderick BM, Kadri R, Buis LR. Barriers and Considerations in the Design and Implementation of Digital Behavioral Interventions: Qualitative Analysis. J Med Internet Res 2022 Mar 30;24(3):e34301 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/34301] [Medline: 35353043]

10. Gerke AK, Judson MA, Cozier YC, Culver DA, Koth LL. Disease Burden and Variability in Sarcoidosis. Annals ATS 2017 Dec;14(Supplement_6):S421-S428. [doi: 10.1513/annalsats.201707-564ot]

11. Rice JB, White A, Lopez A, Conway A, Wagh A, Nelson WW, et al. Economic burden of sarcoidosis in a commercially-insured population in the United States. J Med Econ 2020 Oct 21;23(10):1048-1055 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1080/13696998.2017.1351371] [Medline: 28678623]

12. James W, Chandler J, Brunner-Jackson B, Sox L, Swem M, Neely A, et al. Acceptability and Efficacy of a mHealth App for Sarcoidosis Associated Fatigue. 2019 Presented at: American Thoracic Society 2019 International Conference; May 17-22, 2019; Dallas, TX. [doi: 10.1164/ajrccm-conference.2019.199.1_meetingabstracts.a3059]

13. Weiner JP, Bandeian S, Hatef E, Lans D, Liu A, Lemke KW. In-Person and Telehealth Ambulatory Contacts and Costs in a Large US Insured Cohort Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Netw Open 2021 Mar 01;4(3):e212618 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.2618] [Medline: 33755167]

Abbreviations

QoL: quality of life
SAT: Sarcoidosis Assessment Test
STROBE: Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology

Edited by L Buis; submitted 29.03.22; peer-reviewed by R Dellavalle, D Sherif; comments to author 09.05.22; revised version received 12.05.22; accepted 13.05.22; published 10.08.22

Please cite as:
Chu B, O'Connor DM, Wan M, Barnett I, Shou H, Judson M, Rosenbach M

Quality of Life and Physical Activity in 629 Individuals With Sarcoidosis: Prospective, Cross-sectional Study Using Smartphones (Sarcoidosis App)

JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2022;10(8):e38331
URL: https://mhealth.jmir.org/2022/8/e38331
doi: 10.2196/38331
PMID: 33755167

©Brian Chu, Daniel M O’Connor, Marilyn Wan, Ian Barnett, Haochang Shou, Marc Judson, Misha Rosenbach. Originally published in JMIR mHealth and uHealth (https://mhealth.jmir.org), 10.08.2022. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR mHealth and uHealth, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://mhealth.jmir.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.