Abstract: The present study was an attempt to access and evaluate the status and distribution of waders associated to wetlands of Ujani Reservoir with special reference to north-west region. Waders are the birds generally observed along shorelines and mudflats that wade in order to forage for food in mud or sand. Ujani wetlands provide feeding and roosting grounds for resident and migratory waders. This study was conducted from December 2015 to November 2017 including seasonal visits to five wetland sites i.e. Kumbhargaon, Diksal, Kondhar-Chincholii, Rajegaon (Bhigwan) and Khanota. During study, about 38 species of waders were identified belonging to 14 families viz. Ardeidae, Charadriidae, Ciconiidae, Jacanidae, Laridae, Rallidae, Motacillidae, Phalacrocoracidae, Phoenicopteridae, Recurvirostridae, Rostratulidae, Scolopacidae, Threskiornithidae and Glariolidae.
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INTRODUCTION

Birds (Aves) also referred to as masters of air, are homeothermic or warm-blooded egg-laying vertebrates characterized by the presence of feathers and modification of forelimbs as wings for flight. Jaw bones are prolonged into a toothless beak to serve like hands and mouth concurrently (Verma and Prakash, 2020). Natural ecosystems have been overexploited and even destroyed by the rapidly increasing activities and industries. When consequent environmental changes exceed the tolerance limit, species habitat change also becomes ultimate cause for long term changes in the bird distribution (Puri and Virani, 2016). Abundance of birds represents healthy ecosystem. Aquatic biodiversity and healthy ecosystem both are dependent on hydrologic regime and geological conditions. The maintenance of healthy aquatic ecosystem is required for ecological balance, agriculture, widespread biodiversity and human survival (Verma, 2017, 2018a, 2018b). The goal of this irreplaceable biodiversity is to minimize its loss through sustainable management, conservation practices and environmental ethics (Kumar and Verma, 2017; Prasad et al., 2002; Verma, 2019).

As per the Ramsar Convention signed in 1971 by 169 parties, wetlands have great importance to conserve vast number of biota includes flora and fauna. Wetlands vary according to their origin, geographical location, water regime, chemistry,
dominant plants and soil or sediment characteristics (Verma et al., 2001). Wetlands are often described as 'Kidneys of landscape' (Prakash, 2020) that support vast biodiversity of flora and fauna including sarus crane (Verma and Prakash, 2017; Verma, 2018c, 2018d; Prakash and Verma, 2019). It also provides food and shelter to organisms that thrive in (Roy et al., 2016). They occur where the water table is at or near the surface of the land, or where the land is covered by water. Wetlands are among the world's most productive environments (Ranjan and Kushwaha, 2020) that are extremely suitable ecosystems to assess the effect of climate change. The climate change has a huge impact on biodiversity (Prakash and Srivastava, 2019).

Wading birds are found all over the world in every continent except Antarctica. They can be found in fresh, brackish and saltwater areas, though most of the species tend to prefer freshwater habitats. Open water, shallow water, marshes, mudflats, water banks, ponds and flooded areas are all popular habitats for wading birds, and they can even be found in urban and suburban areas such as along the permanent ponds, retention ponds or seasonal swamps. While most species of waders prefer wet habitats, some, especially cranes, are found in areas with widely varying water levels.

Wading birds share several physical characteristics as well as certain ethological features which distinguish them from other group of birds. The legs are long and thin that not only help them to keep the balance in wet areas, muddy grounds and but also help to forage in deeper areas. Many wading birds have long bills having sharp pointed tips or distinct curves or spatulate shapes according to types of food they consume. Neck is long which helps them to change the shape of their neck. Powerful neck muscles help them to hunt effectively. These characters assist them for foraging, vocalization, flight and social behaviour. As far as behaviour is concerned; wading birds share a variety of behavioural traits that help in identifying the bird family. To identify wading birds, unique feature is the first step toward proper classification of all the world's beautiful and unusual waders.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area Ujani Reservoir (map) is located in Solapur district of MS state of India. Authors selected 5 wetland sites of Ujani Reservoir with special reference to north-west region including Kumbhargaon (N- 18° 16' 29.4", E- 74° 48' 55.4", Alt.494 m.), Diksal (N- 18° 17' 56.2", E- 74° 48' 05.7", Alt.488 m.), Kondhar-Chincholii (N- 18° 17' 40.6", E- 74° 49' 18.6", Alt.497 m.), Rajegaon (Bhigwan) (N- 18° 17' 56.2", E- 74° 48' 27.3", Alt.528 m.) and Khanota (N- 18° 19' 14.3", E- 74° 49' 56.9", Alt.489 m.)

Map showing study area: North- West region of Ujani Reservoir.
The study was conducted from December 2015 to November 2017 that included regular field trips, 1-2 days a week during all months of the year to prepare check list. Photography and observation was done by using Canon 700D, 100-400 mm lens and Olympus 10x50 binocular. The time selected for survey was early morning (06:30 to 09:30 am) and evening (04:00 to 06:00 pm). Mostly visual encounter survey method was used for direct observation and counting of birds by walking along the bank of reservoir (Crump and Scott, 1994; Joshi, 2014). Presence of waders species in a particular microhabitat like open water, shallow water, marshy, mudflat and dry bank was observed and recorded (Karikar et al., 2017). The status of the recorded bird species was established on the basis of frequency of sightings (Kumar and Gupta, 2009).

Bird species was identified with the help of field guides (Ali and Ripley, 1987; Grimmett et al., 1999) and only those species were recorded which were confirmed and identified. The common names, zoological names and families of the birds recorded were ascertain as per the BirdLife International (2013) and Grimmett et al. (1999).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

During present study, a sum of 38 species of waders were identified (table 1) belonging to 14 different families viz. Ardeidae, Charadriidae, Ciconiidae, Jacanidae, Laridae, Rallidae, Motacillidae, Phalacrocoracidae, Recurvirostridae, Rostratulidae, Scolopacidae, Threskiornithidae and Glariolidae.

| Sr. No. | Common Name           | Zoological Name         | Family               |
|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 1       | Grey Heron            | *Ardea cinerea*         | Ardeidae             |
| 2       | Indian Pond Heron     | *Ardeola grayii*        | Ardeidae             |
| 3       | Cattle Egret          | *Bubulcus ibis*         | Ardeidae             |
| 4       | Little Egret          | *Egretta garzetta*      | Ardeidae             |
| 5       | Purple Heron          | *Ardea purpurea*        | Ardeidae             |
| 6       | Red Wattled Lapwing   | *Vanellus indicus*      | Charadriidae         |
| 7       | Little Ringed Plover  | *Charadrius dubius*     | Charadriidae         |
| 8       | Asian Openbill        | *Anastomus oscitans*    | Ciconiidae           |
| 9       | Painted Stork         | *Mycteria leucocephala* | Ciconiidae           |
| 10      | Asian Wooly Neck      | *Ciconia episcopus*     | Ciconiidae           |
| 11      | Small Pranticole      | *Glariola lacteal*      | Glariolidae          |
| 12      | Pheasant Tailed Jacana| *Hydrophasianus chirurgus* | Jacanidae          |
| 13      | Black headed Gull     | *Larus ridibundus*      | Laridae              |
| 14      | Brown headed Gull     | *Larus brunnicephalus*  | Laridae              |
| 15      | Gull billed Tern      | *Gelochelidon nilotica* | Laridae              |
| 16      | River Tern            | *Sterna aurantia*       | Laridae              |
| 17      | White browed Wagtail  | *Motacilla maderaspatensis* | Motacillidae       |
| 18      | Grey Wagtail          | *Motacilla cinera*      | Motacillidae         |
| 19      | Yellow Wagtail        | *Motacilla flava*       | Motacillidae         |
| Sr. No. | Common Name                  | Habits preferred |
|--------|------------------------------|------------------|
|        | Open water | Shallow water | Marshy | Mudflat | Mudflat |
| 1.     | Grey Heron  | -             | +      | +       | -       |
| 2.     | Indian Pond Heron | -             | +      | +       | -       |
| 3.     | Cattle Egret   | +             | -      | +       | +       |
| 4.     | Little Egret   | -             | -      | +       | -       |
| 5.     | Purple Heron   | -             | -      | +       | -       |
| 6.     | Red Wattled Lapwing | -             | -      | +       | +       |
| 7.     | Little Ringed Plover | -             | -      | +       | +       |
| 8.     | Asian Openbill | -             | +      | -       | +       |
| 9.     | Painted Stork   | -             | -      | +       | -       |
| 10.    | Asian Wooly Neck | -             | -      | +       | -       |
| 11.    | Small Pranticole | -             | -      | +       | -       |
| 12.    | Pheasant Tailed Jacana | -             | -      | +       | -       |
| 13.    | Black headed Gull | +             | -      | -       | +       |
|   | Species                |   |   |   |   |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|
|14.| Brown headed Gull     | + | - | - | + |
|15.| Gull billed Tern      | + | - | - | + |
|16.| River Tern            | + | - | - | + |
|17.| White browed Wagtail  | - | - | - | + |
|18.| Grey Wagtail          | - | - | - | + |
|19.| Yellow Wagtail        | - | - | - | + |
|20.| Indian Cormorant      | + | + | - | - |
|21.| Little Cormorant      | + | + | - | - |
|22.| Greater Flamingo      | - | + | - | + |
|23.| Eurasian Coot         | + | - | - | - |
|24.| Common Moorhen        | - | + | + | - |
|25.| Purple Swamp Hen      | - | + | + | - |
|26.| White Breasted Water Hen | - | + | + | - |
|27.| Black Winged Stilt Hen | - | + | + | - |
|28.| Greater Painted Snipe | - | - | + | + |
|29.| Eurasian Curlew       | - | + | - | - |
|30.| Black Tailed Godwit   | + | + | - | - |
|31.| Common Sandpiper      | - | - | + | + |
|32.| Green Sandpiper       | - | - | + | + |
|33.| Marsh Sandpiper       | - | - | + | + |
|34.| Wood Sandpiper        | - | - | + | + |
|35.| Black Headed Ibis     | - | + | + | + |
|36.| Glossy Ibis           | - | - | + | + |
|37.| Red naped Ibis        | - | - | + | + |
|38.| Eurasian Spoonbill    | - | - | + | + |

Family-wise percent distribution of waders species observed

Graph 1: Family-wise percent distribution of waders species observed.
Among 38 bird species recorded, family Scolopacidae was found dominant with six species, followed by family Ardeidae having five species and families Laridae, Rallidae and Threskiornithidae having four species each. Families Ciconiidae and Motacillidae included three species each. Families Charadriidae and Phalacrocoracidae having two species each, while least observed families were Jacanidae, Phoenicopteridae, Recurvirostridae, Rostratulidae and Glariolidae with one species each (Graph 1).

Thus, it can be concluded that wetlands are the kidneys of landscape; it provides all the basic requirements for wetland birds having large number of waders. The waders are also considered as the bio indicators as they are very specific towards their microhabitat, so they directly reflect the health of an ecosystem. Due to some anthropogenic activities like sand mining, improper irrigation practices, unplanned tourism, weed infestation, agricultural expansion, fishing, poaching and pollution, these wetlands are on the verge of extinction (Kumbhar and Mhaske, 2017). Because of utmost significance, wetlands must be conserved (Wetlands International, 2012). Intensive use of chemical fertilizers, weedicides, insecticides and other pesticides by farmers along the lentic water body and bank of reservoir to get best quality of crops are adversely affecting the biodiversity (Joshi and Krishna, 2014). The IUCN (International Union of Conservation of Nature) proved itself as a powerful agency to create awareness and suggest measures for biodiversity conservation and policy implementation. It also provides information about range, population size, habitat and ecology and threats to biodiversity (IUCN, 2016).
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