The androgen receptor (AR) is a ligand-activated transcription factor required for male sex development and virilization and contributes to prostate cancer initiation and progression. High affinity androgen binding triggers conformational changes required for AR transactivation. Here we characterized naturally occurring AR gene mutations in the region of activation function 2 (AF2) that decrease or increase AR transcriptional activity by altering the region bounded by AF2 and the ligand binding pocket without affecting equilibrium androgen binding affinity. In the androgen insensitivity syndrome, germ line AR mutations increase the androgen dissociation rate and reduce AR FXXLF motif binding and the recruitment of steroid receptor coactivator (SRC)/p160 coactivator LXXLL motifs. In prostate cancer, somatic AR mutations in AF2 or near the bound ligand slow androgen dissociation and increase AR stabilization and coactivator recruitment. Crystal structures of the AR ligand binding domain bound to R1881 and FXXLF or LXXLL motif peptide indicate the mutations are proximal to the AF2 bound peptide, adjacent to the ligand pocket, or in a putative ligand gateway. The results suggest a bidirectional structural relay between bound ligand and coactivator that establishes AR functional potency in vivo.

Steroid receptors comprise a subgroup of the nuclear receptor family of transcriptional activators that regulate gene expression by recruiting coactivator proteins (1). Like other steroid receptors, the androgen receptor (AR) mediates transcriptional activation primarily through two domains. Activation function 1 (AF1) in the NH2-terminal region is the major transactivation domain (2) but is not well conserved across species (3). Activation function 2 (AF2) in the ligand binding domain is a highly conserved hydrophobic surface that is stabilized by agonist binding and required for SRC/p160 coactivator recruitment. AF2 is formed by helices 3, 3’, 4, and 12 and is flanked by clusters of oppositely charged residues (4). AR binding of the biologically active androgens testosterone and dihydrotestosterone (DHT) is thought to stabilize the AF2 helix 12 to complete the coactivator binding surface (5–7).

The human AR gene is expressed at chromosome Xq11-12 as a single allele in the 46,XY genetic male. More than 300 different AR single amino acid mutations or partial gene deletions cause the androgen insensitivity syndrome (AIS). Partial loss of AR function results in male infertility and varying degrees of incomplete masculinization. Complete loss of AR function results in an external female genital phenotype in the genetic male (8, 9). Mutations in the AR gene occur in the human population with a frequency of ~0.002%, with single base changes overwhelmingly positioned in the highly structured ligand binding domain. Mutations in the AF2 region of the ligand binding domain can cause AIS without altering equilibrium androgen binding affinity (10, 11).

For the steroid receptors as a group, AF2 serves as a critical if not essential binding site for the LXXLL motifs of SRC/p160 coactivators that have histone acetyltransferase activity (12). However, AR is unique because AF2 displays different affinities for multiple LXXLL-related motifs. Like other steroid receptors in the presence of agonist, AR AF2 binds the LXXLL motifs of SRC/p160 coactivators but with an affinity 5–10 times weaker than that of the AR NH2-terminal FXXLF motif sequence 23FQNLF27 (10, 13, 14). AR FXXLF motif binding to AF2 mediates the androgen-dependent NH2- and carboxyl-terminal (N/C) interaction that has been shown to be important for androgen-regulated gene expression (11, 15). AR has in addition an NH2-terminal WXXLF-binding motif (H3WHTLF23) that contributes to the N/C interaction by binding AF2 (14, 16, 17). AR transactivation through AF2 in the presence of agonist appears to be limited not only by the lower binding affinity for the coactivator LXXLL motifs but also through competitive inhibition of coactivator binding by the AR FXXLF motif.

Beneath the exterior surface of the AR AF2 coactivator-binding site is a shallow interface juxtaposed against the ligand binding pocket. This close structural arrangement provides a platform for potential communication between AF2 and bound ligand in regulating AR transcriptional activity. Support for structural communication at this boundary region is evident from the slower androgen dissociation that results from FXXLF motif binding to AF2 (11, 15, 18). Coactivator LXXLL motif binding may similarly induce conformational changes in AF2 and impact ligand binding kinetics (13, 16). Here we investigated structural features and functional consequences of the naturally occurring AR germ line and somatic mutations in or near the AF2 site in search of evidence for a structural relay between AF2 and the ligand binding pocket. In the AR-linked disorders of androgen insensitivity and prostate cancer, AR gene mutations have opposite effects on AR activity that...
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EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Plasmids—pCMVhAR vectors expressing full-length human AR with the following mutations were described: T377T and T725L (10, 19); V889M (20); E897K and I898T (10); K720A (4, 10); H874Y (21); and T877A (22). Other vectors used were as follows: VP-AR—(1–660) (11, 14); transcriptional intermediary factor 2 (TIF2)-AR fusion protein TIF2(LXXLL)AR—(172–919) (18); pSG5-TIF2 and VP-TIF2—(624–1287) (VP-TIF2.1) (23); wild-type and mutant pcDNA3-AR—(624–919) (10, 14); and 5XGAL4Luc3 (16). GST-SRC1-IV (GST-SRC1—(1139–1441)) was created by digesting GAL-SRC1 with XbaI and Xhol, and subcloning into pGEX-4T-1 digested with the same enzymes. AIS and prostate cancer mutations were introduced into GAL-AR—(624–919) by PCR amplification of the corresponding mutant pCMVhAR and cloning the XbaI and Ndel fragments into GAL-AR—(624–919) digested with the same enzymes. pCMVhAR—(507–919) vectors (2) containing the indicated mutations were created by digesting full-length mutant pCMVhAR with KpnI and BamHI and cloning the fragment into pCMV5 digested with the same enzymes. pCMVhAR vectors containing AIS mutations G743V, Q733A, L712F, and V715M were created using a double PCR strategy: TIF2(LXXLL)AR—(172–919)-AXXA containing the V715M or H874Y mutation was constructed by digesting the corresponding pCMVhAR mutant with HindIII and XbaI. The fragments were subcloned into similarly digested TIF2(LXXLL)AR—(172–919)-AXXA. TIF2(LXXLL)AR—(172–919)-AXXA-T877A was created by digesting GAL-AR—(624–919)-T877A with Csp45I and XbaI and inserting the fragment into TIF2(LXXLL)AR—(172–919)-AXXA digested with the same enzymes. TIF2(LXXLL)AR—(172–919) vectors containing V715M, H874Y, and T877A were created by digesting the corresponding pCMVhAR mutant with Csp45I and XbaI and inserting the fragment into similarly digested TIF2(LXXLL)AR—(172–919). All PCR amplified regions were verified by DNA sequencing.

Crystal Structure Analysis—Coordinates of the wild-type AR ligand binding domain bound with R1881 and either AR—(20–30)—FXLL peptide RGAQNLFQSV, TIF2 LXXLL peptide KENALRLLDKDD, or no peptide, Protein Data Bank access codes 1XOW, 2A06, and 1XQ3, respectively (13), were examined with regard to the functional data from AR mutations in AIS and prostate cancer. The structures were viewed with QUANTA (Accelrys, Inc.) and rendered and annotated with PyMol version 0.98 (Delano Scientific, www.pymol.org) and Adobe Photoshop.

Cell Transfections—Transcriptional activities of wild-type and mutant full-length human AR (pCMVhAR) were determined in transient cotransfection assays. Monkey kidney CV1 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 10% bovine calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.2, penicillin, and streptomycin. CV1 cells (4.2 × 10⁶/6 cm dish) were plated in the same medium except containing 5% bovine calf serum, and the next day the cells were transfected with 0.1 μg of wild-type or mutant pCMVhAR and 5 μg of the PSA-Enh-Luc reporter (Michael Carey, University of California Los Angeles) (4). For the effects of SRC/p160 coactivators, pSG5-TRAM1 (2 μg DNA/6 cm dish) was included. After incubating with the calcium phosphate-DNA precipitate for 4 h at 37 °C, cells were shocked with 15% glycerol-containing medium, washed, and transferred to serum-free medium lacking phenol red in the absence and presence of the indicated steroids. Cells were incubated overnight and the next day placed in serum-free medium with and without the indicated hormones. The medium was exchanged and incubated for an additional 24 h at 37 °C with or without hormone. Cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline and harvested in 0.5 ml of lysis buffer containing 25 mM Tris phosphate, pH 7.8, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100. After 30 min rocking at room temperature, 100-μl aliquots were analyzed for luciferase activity using an automated Lumistar Galaxy (BMG Labtech) multwell plate reader luminometer.

Two-hybrid interaction assays were performed using human epitheloid cervical carcinoma HeLa cells. HeLa cells were maintained in Eagle’s minimum essential medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma), 2 mM L-glutamine, penicillin, and streptomycin. HeLa cells were plated in 12-well plates (5 × 10⁶ cells/well) and transfected using Effectene (Qiagen) or FuGENE (Roche Applied Science) with 50 ng/well GAL-AR—(624–919), 50 ng/well VP-AR—(1–660), and 0.1 μg of 5XGAL4Luc3 reporter vector. For the Effectene procedure, the DNA transfection mixture contained 50 μl of transfection buffer (Qiagen), 1 μl of Enhancer, and 1 μl of Effectene per well (4). To each DNA mixture was added 0.4 ml of serum-containing medium, and 0.4 ml was added to each well containing 0.8 ml of fresh serum-containing medium. For the FuGENE transfection, 43 μl of serum-free medium and 0.6 μl of FuGENE were combined per well, and after a 15-min incubation with the DNA, 40 μl of the mixture was added per well. After 24 h, cells were washed, and serum-free medium (2 ml) lacking phenol red was added with and without the indicated steroids. Cells were incubated overnight, and 100 μl of the cell lysate was assayed for luciferase activity after harvesting in 0.25 ml of lysis buffer as described above. Transcription assays of AR activity were performed in HeLa cells as described above using per well of 12-well plates the following: 10 ng of wild-type or mutant pCMVhAR, 0.25 μg of PSA-Enh-Luc, in the absence and presence of 50 ng/well pSG5-TIF2.

In Vitro Binding Assays—Competitive binding and ligand dissociation studies were performed at 37 °C in whole cell binding assays by plating 4 × 10⁶ COS cells/well of 6-well plates and transfecting 1 μg of wild-type or mutant pCMVhAR or pCMVhAR—(507–919) per well using DEAE-dextran (4). Cells were incubated for 2 h at 37 °C in 0.6 ml of serum-free medium lacking phenol red and containing 5 nm [3H]R1881 (17α-methyl-[^3H]methyltrienolone; 82 Ci/mmol), [1,2,4,5,6,7-[^3H]dihydrotestosterone (DHT, 124 Ci/mmol), or [1,2,6,7-[^3H]testosterone (78.5 Ci/mmol) (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). Nonspecific binding was determined by the addition of a 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled androgen. For competitive binding studies, increasing concentrations of unlabeled ligands were added during the 2-h incubation. For ligand dissociation studies, unlabeled androgen was added to the labeling media in 0.1 ml of medium to a final concentration of 50 μM, and ligand dissociation was determined at 37 °C, and at increasing time intervals up to 2.5 h. Cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline, and total bound radioactivity was determined by lysing cells in 0.5 ml of 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, and 20 mM Tris pH 6.8. Dissociation half-times were determined as the mean ± S.E. of the time required to reduce specific androgen binding activity by 50%.

Equilibrium binding constants were determined by transfecting 2 × 10⁵ COS cells/well of 12-well plates with 0.05 μg of wild-type and mutant pCMVhAR expression vector DNA using DEAE-dextran. Cells were incubated with 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.5, and 3 μM [3H]R1881 in the absence and presence of a 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled R1881 to assess nonspecific binding. Free [3H]R1881 was determined after the 2-h incubation by counting an aliquot of the medium. Cells were washed and harvested, and radioactivity was determined. In the in vitro
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**TABLE 1**  
[^3H]R1881 dissociation and affinity constants from AR AF2 mutants

| Helix         | AIS grade | Diss t_1/2 min | K_d (nM) | AR-(507–919), Diss t_1/2 min | Codon change | Ref. |
|---------------|-----------|----------------|----------|-----------------------------|--------------|------|
| AR-WT         | 3/4 loop  | 3–5            | 107 ± 5  | 33 ± 9                      | ATT→ACT      | 19, 20 |
| F725L         | 4         | 1–3            | 86 ± 5   | 31 ± 2                      | GGG→GTC      | 76–78 |
| I737T         | 5         | 4–5            | 58 ± 6   | 19 ± 3                      | CAT→CAT      | 79, 80 |
| G743V         | 4         | 3–4            | 34 ± 3   | 30 ± 4                      | CCT→GTC      | 81, 80 |
| L712F         | 3         | 3              | 26 ± 3   | 20 ± 1                      | ATT→ACT      | 10, 80 |
| I898T         | 12        | 6              | 21 ± 2   | 6 ± 2                       | GTG→ATG      | 82–86 |
| V889M         | 11/12 loop| 6              | 9 ± 1    | 4 ± 2                       |              |      |
| K720A         | 3         | 10 ± 4         | 0.54 ± 0.15 | 27 ± 4                  |              |      |
| E897K         | 12        | 38 ± 4         | 0.52 ± 0.18 | 34 ± 5                  |              |      |

**Figure 1.** Scatchard plots of[^3H]R1881 binding to wild-type AR and AR AIS and prostate cancer mutants. Equilibrium androgen binding affinities were determined at 37 °C in cultured COS cells transiently expressing wild-type (WT) and mutant AR as described under “Experimental Procedures.” A and B, representative data are shown for full-length WT AR and AR AIS mutants I737T, F712L, I898T, Q733H, V889M, and G743V. C, representative data are shown for full-length WT AR and AR prostate cancer mutants H874Y, T877A, and V715M. Apparent equilibrium androgen binding affinities (see Table 1) were determined from the means of at least three independent experiments. B/F, bound/free.

affinity matrix binding assays, GST fusion proteins were expressed, isolated, and incubated with[^35S]methionine-labeled AR ligand binding domain residues 624–919 as described (10, 14).

**Degradation Assays**—Wild-type and V715M, H874Y, or T877A pCMVhAR (10 μg/10-cm dish) was expressed in COS cells (2 × 10^6/10-cm dish) plated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 10% bovine calf serum (Hyclone) and transfected using DEAE-dextran. After 24 h, transfected cells were placed in serum-free medium. 48 h from transfection, cells were incubated for 20 min at 37 °C in serum-free medium lacking phenol red and methionine. To the NEG-772 Easytag Express protein labeling mixture, L-[[^35S]methionine (1175 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer Life Sciences) was added at 80 μCi/dish, and cells were incubated for 20 min at 37 °C. The medium was changed to serum-free without phenol red and containing 2 mM cold methionine and 1 mM testosterone, and cells were incubated for the indicated times. At each time point the medium was replaced on all plates. At the indicated times, cells were washed and harvested in phosphate-buffered saline and lysed in freshly prepared RIPA buffer (1 ml/dish) containing 1% Triton X-100, 1% deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 0.15 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, proteinase inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science), 1 mM dithiothreitol, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (Sigma). Cell extracts were incubated with 25 μg of AR52 IgG antibody and 10 μl of protein A-agarose beads (Sigma) for 2 h at 4 °C. Affinity beads were centrifuged and washed with RIPA buffer, and protein was eluted in 50 μl of sample buffer containing 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 0.2% 2-mercaptoethanol, and 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, and separated on 10% acrylamide gels containing SDS. The gel proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and exposed to x-ray film. Degradation half-times were determined from semi-logarithmic plots of optical density of the scanned AR bands versus time and are expressed as the mean half-time and standard error to reduce AR levels by 50% from three independent experiments.
RESULTS

Rapid Dissociation of Bound Androgen Correlates with Reduced AR Activity in Vivo—The relationship between androgen dissociation rate and AR functional activity was investigated by characterizing naturally occurring ligand binding domain germ line mutations in AF2 and flanking regions that cause partial or complete AIS. AR mutations L712F, F725L, Q733H, I737T, G743V, V889M, and I898T result from single base changes in the coding sequence. Equilibrium binding affinities (Kd) for [3H]R1881 (a synthetic androgen) were similar to that of wild-type AR (Table 1). Representative Scatchard plot analysis of the AR AIS mutants is shown in Fig. 1, A and B. On an AIS grading scale of 1–7 (9), the 46,XY genetic males had phenotypes that ranged from the mildest grade 1 with normal male external genitalia and gynecomastia (I737T), moderate grades 3–5 with ambiguous genitalia (F725L, I737T, G743V, Q733H, and L712F), and complete AIS grade 6 with external female phenotype (I898T and V889M) (9).

The dissociation half-time (t1/2) of [3H]R1881 for full-length wild-type AR expressed in COS cells at 37 °C was 107 min (Table 1). More rapid androgen dissociation was observed for AR with mutations F725L and I737T (t1/2 = 81–86 min), G743V, Q733H, and L712F (t1/2 = 26–58 min), and I898T and V889M (t1/2 = 9–21 min) (Fig. 2A). Mean half-times of [3H]R1881 dissociation (Table 1) suggest that faster androgen dissociation correlates with a more severe AIS phenotype. By comparison, AF2 charge clamp mutation K720A did not alter androgen dissociation, whereas E897K caused more rapid androgen dissociation. Neither charge clamp mutation has been reported in AIS.

Androgen dissociation rates were also measured for AR-(507–919), an AR DNA and ligand binding domain fragment that lacks the NH2-terminal region. Androgen dissociation from AR-(507–919) (t1/2 = 33 min) is ~3 times faster than from full-length AR (Table 1), reflecting the absence of the N/C interaction (14). Apparent equilibrium binding affinity of AR-(507–919) for [3H]R1881 is nevertheless similar to that of wild-type full-length AR (20). Naturally occurring mutations can therefore alter androgen dissociation kinetics through different mechanisms. Mutations in AF2 can directly interfere with FXXLF motif binding in the N/C interaction, alter a ligand entry and exit gateway, or have indirect effects through structural changes in AF2 and the ligand binding pocket. Studies using AR-(507–919) allowed us to distinguish these possibilities.

Androgen dissociation from AR-(507–919) containing F725L, I737T, or Q733H (t1/2 = 30–38 min) was similar to that of wild-type AR-(507–919) (Fig. 2B and Table 1), indicating this subgroup of AR mutations in AIS does not alter ligand dissociation rate or exit from the binding pocket. AR-(507–919) containing G743V or L712F increased androgen dissociation (t1/2 = 19–20 min), and I898T and V889M caused the most rapid rates of androgen dissociation (t1/2 = 4–6 min) (Fig. 2B and Table 1) (10).

The results suggest that F725L, I737T, and Q733H located in helices 3–5 alter ligand dissociation indirectly in the ligand binding pocket through changes at the AF2 surface that interfere with the N/C interaction. This subgroup of mutations cause partial AIS with phenotypic variation (Table 1). The rapid androgen dissociation rate of AR-(507–919) I898T suggests AF2 residue Ile-898 also impacts the ligand binding pocket from the AF2 surface. The fast androgen dissociation caused by V889M in the 11/12 loop at the base of helix 12 outside the ligand binding pocket suggests Val-889 is involved as gatekeeper for ligand entry and exit. Both I898T and V889M caused grade 6 complete AIS.

**TABLE 1.** Dissociation half-times (see Table 1) were calculated from three independent experiments and are the mean times required for 50% reduction in binding activity.

| AR Mutations | Dissociation Half-Times (min) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|
| WT           | 107                           |
| I898T        | 4–6                           |
| F725L        | 33                            |
| Q733H        | 30–38                         |
| I737T        | 26–58                         |
| G743V        | 38                            |
| L712F        | 30–58                         |

FIGURE 2. Increased androgen dissociation of AR AIS mutations. Dissociation rates of [3H]R1881 were measured at 37 °C in cultured COS cells transiently expressing wild-type (WT) and mutant AR and AR-(507–919) as described under “Experimental Procedures.” A, representative data are shown as indicated for full-length WT AR (AR-(1–919)) and AR AIS mutants I737T, G743V, F725L, Q733H, L712F, I898T, and V889M. B, representative data are shown as indicated for AR-(507–919), a DNA and ligand binding domain fragment, with WT sequence and AR-(507–919) mutants I737T, F725L, Q733H, L712F, G743V, I898T, and V889M. Dissociation half-times (see Table 1) were calculated from three independent experiments and are the mean times required for 50% reduction in binding activity.

AR AF2 Mutations That Cause AIS Interferes with AR FXXLF and Coactivator LXXLL Motif Binding—A mammalian two-hybrid assay revealed that these AR AIS AF2 mutations inhibited FXXLF and LXXLL binding to a similar extent. GAL-AR-(624–919) containing the AR ligand binding domain was coexpressed with VP-AR-(1–660) containing AR NH2-terminal FXXLF motif sequence 23FQNLFG27 (Fig. 3A) or VP-TIF2-(624–1287) containing the three LXXLL motif regions of TIF2 (Fig. 3B). Relative to the wild-type AR ligand binding domain interaction, only 5–30% luciferase activity remained for the AIS mutants in the presence of 0.1 and 1 nM DHT. Similarly, charge clamp mutation
Androgen Receptor Mutations at the AF2-Ligand Boundary

E897K disrupted both FXLF and LXXLL motif binding, whereas K720A selectively inhibited LXXLL motif binding.

Structure Analysis and Predictions for AR Mutations in AIS—Cocrystals of the R1881-AR ligand binding domain complex bound to the FXLF or LXXLL motif peptide demonstrated similar yet distinctive properties (13). The sites of 5 of the 7 residues mutated in AIS lie in close proximity to the bound FXLF peptide (magenta in Fig. 4 and 5) or LXXLL peptide (not shown). Interatomic distances between wild-type residues mutated in AIS (cyan in Figs. 4 and 5) and the bound FXLF or LXXLL peptide motifs are summarized in Table 2.

Our structural analysis began with mutations near the Phe-23 i + 1 residue of FXLF that cause a spectrum of AIS phenotypes (Table 1), where i + 1 to i + 5 designate residues of the FXLF and LXXLL motifs. AF2 residues Leu-712 (helix 3, H3), Ile-737 (H4), and Ile-898 (H12) form a close hydrophobic cluster (designated AIS group 1) proximal to i + 1 nearest the ligand binding pocket (Figs. 4 and S4). AIS group 1 mutations weakened motif binding and caused faster androgen dissociation kinetics, both of which interfere with AR activity in vivo as evidenced by the AIS phenotype.

L712F in helix 3 increased androgen dissociation from full-length AR, with a half-time similar to that of AR-(507–919) with the same mutation. This suggests the principal effect of L712F is to disrupt favorable FXLF motif binding to AF2, with secondary effects in the ligand binding region. Leu-712 is 4.1 Å from Phe-23 and 3.6 Å from a prominent helix 5 residue Trp-741 situated above and close to the B-, C-, and D-rings of R1881 (Fig. 5A). Leu-712 is surrounded by hydrophobic residues i + 4 Leu-26, Val-716 (H3), Ile-737 (H4), and Met-894 (H12).

Structure analysis of the R1881 crystallographic data was most consistent with the Trp-741 side chain conformation that differs from previously reported AR structures bound with DHT. This further confirms the protection of bound ligand afforded by the N/C interaction. L712F may also influence Met-894, a residue adjacent to helix 12 residues Met-895, Glu-897, and Ile-898, and reduce a stabilizing effect of helix 12 on the bound ligand. Unfavorable interactions between L712F and Trp-741 independent of the N/C interaction may account for the moderately faster ligand dissociation from AR-(507–919) L712F.

In contrast, I898T in helix 12 caused complete AIS and rapid androgen dissociation from full-length AR and AR-(507–919). Ile-898 is 4.4 Å from Phe-23 of the bound peptide, 5.3 Å from Trp-741, and is surrounded by Leu-712 (H3), Gln-738 (H4), Met-895 (H12), and Glu-897 (H12). I898T introduces a polar side chain near residue Gln-738, a side chain conformed in roughly equal occupancy with one rotamer very similar to that shown here with R1881 and the other similar to that seen with DHT. This further supports the ligand-dependent dynamic properties of Trp-741 in the ligand binding pocket.
chain in wild-type AR that rearranges to stack against Phe-23 upon binding the FXLFL motif. I898T may contribute to a hydrogen bonding network involving side chains from Gln-738, Gln-902, and Lys-905 (H12) as evident in the peptide-free structure (13). This contribution may influence the helix 12 equilibrium to favor an unbound AF2 with reduced motif binding affinity and thus shorten the lifetime of the bound ligand. Alternatively, I898T may simply disrupt favorable hydrophobic contacts in the region by presenting too much polarity in the region near Phe-23.

In I737T, threonine again replaces isoleucine. However, I737T is less disruptive than I898T based on androgen dissociation kinetics and the AIS phenotype. Unlike Ile-898 and Leu-712, Ile-737 is centered between i + 1 and i + 5 (3.7 Å from Phe-23 and 3.9 Å from Phe-27) and more distant (6.4 Å) from Trp-741 in the ligand binding pocket compared with Leu-712 (3.6 Å) and Ile-898 (4.2 Å) (Table 2 and Fig. 5, A and B). I737T in helix 4 introduces a polar side chain into a richly hydrophobic region comprised of Leu-712, Val-715, Val-716, Ala-719, Phe-725, Val-736, Leu-812, Ile-898, and the i + 1 Phe-23 and i + 5 Phe-27 residues. The near wild-type ligand dissociation half-time for AR-(507–919) I737T but the faster dissociation half-time for full-length AR I737T compared with wild-type AR suggests that I737T interferes with FXLFL motif binding at the i + 1 and i + 5 sites by disrupting local hydrophobic interactions with adjacent AF2 residues rather than greatly disturbing residues in the ligand binding domain interior.

A second AF2 hydrophobic center (designated AIS group 2) is comprised of residues Phe-725 (H3), Gln-733 (H4), and Ile-737 (H4) from AIS group 1. These residues reside within 4.6 Å of i + 5 of either bound peptide near the Lys-720 charge clamp (Figs. 4 and 5A), and Gln-733 and Phe-725 are more remote to the ligand-binding site than is Ile-737. AIS group 2 mutations account for reduced motif binding and shorter androgen dissociation half-time, both of which interfere with AR activity in vivo evidenced by the AIS phenotype. AR F725L and I737T modestly shortened the R1881 dissociation half-time compared with Q733H. The greater effect of Q733H may arise from a change in the 3 Å hydrogen bond contributed by Gln-733 N to the Leu-728 backbone carbonyl, as well as the introduction of the polar aromatic imidazole side chain near i + 5 Phe-27 and Phe-725 (Fig. 5B). F725L preserves the hydrophobic character of the region, even though a large planar phenyl ring is replaced by the smaller branched leucine side chain that would alter the shape complementarity and regional hydrophobic contacts between Phe-725 and the i + 5 site. Given their close proximity to i + 5 and remote location to the ligand binding pocket and near wild-type ligand dissociation rate of AR-(507–919), AIS mutations F725L and Q733H most likely decrease androgen retention times by directly interfering with FXLFL motif binding in the i + 5 region.

The remaining two AIS mutations, G743V and V889M, respectively, cause moderately severe and complete AIS in correlation with the ligand dissociation kinetics in Table 1. Gly-743 in helix 5 close to Trp-741 in the ligand binding pocket core. Val-889 in the helix 11–12 linker may serve a gatekeeper function in a possible ligand gateway near helices 3, 6, 11, and 12.

FIGURE 5. Close up views of wild-type AR AF2 and ligand binding pocket bound with R1881 and AR-(20–30) FXLFL peptide (color scheme from Fig. 4). A, wild-type residue side chains for group 1 AIS mutations L712F, I737T, and I898T near i + 1 Phe-23 of the 2FQNLF motif. Leu-712 and Ile-898 are proximal to Trp-741, whereas the more distal Ile-737 lies between Phe-23 and Phe-27 as seen in B. Intermolecular distances (Å, dashed black lines) are indicated between Trp-741 to Ile-898, Leu-712, Met-895, and Ile-898. B, wild-type residue side chains for group 2 AIS mutations F725L, Q733H, and I737T near the i + 5 Phe-27 of the 2FQNLF motif. Phe-725 and Gln-733 are located near the Lys-720 and the carboxyl-terminal end of the FXLFL motif, whereas Ile-737 is centered between Phe-23 and Phe-27. C, wild-type residue side chains for AIS mutants G743V and V889M. Gly-743 resides in helix 5 close to Trp-741 in the ligand binding pocket core. Val-889 in the helix 11–12 linker may serve a gatekeeper function in a possible ligand gateway near helices 3, 6, 11, and 12.
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outside AF2 in the hydrophobic core near Trp-741, Met-745, and the ligand binding pocket (Fig. 5C) faces Val-866 in helix 10 and is surrounded by Met-742 (H5), Val-746 (H5), Leu-811 (H8), Val-866 (H10), Gln-867 (H10), and Ala-870 (H10). The 2-fold more rapid androgen dissociation half-time caused by G743V in full-length AR suggests destabilization of the ligand binding pocket and interference with ligand-dependent FXLF motif binding. Replacing a flexible glycine with valine may render helix 5 too rigid to allow neighboring residues to adjust and contact the ligand optimally or it may simply destabilize the helix 5 coil and/or key packing interactions near helices 10 and 11.

Ligand trafficking through an opening assembled by the NH2 terminus of helix 3, helix 11, the 11–12 linker, and AF2 helix 12 was supported by the effects of V889M, a mutation that caused complete AIS. Val-889 is near the linker region preceding the AF2 helix 12 distant from AF2 and the bound peptide motif (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5C and Table 2). Val-889 is near the ligand binding pocket. ~6 Å from D-ring substituents of the bound ligand and 3.5 Å from Asn-705, a residue that hydrogen bonds with the ligand C-17 hydroxyl group and is adjacent to Phe-876 (H10), Phe-891, Leu-880 (H11), Leu-701 (H3), and Phe-697 (H3). Methionine residues are notable for their flexible and accommodating side chain. However, in this case it appears that the longer side chain and large sulfur atom of V889M disturbs the largely hydrophobic region and destabilizes the linker region and equilibrium position of the AF2 helix 12. A key interaction between Asn-705 and the steroid D-ring may also be disrupted and reduce the lifetime of the bound ligand without significantly altering the equilibrium binding constant.

**TABLE 2**

| Interatomic distances (Å) between bound AR FXLF peptide, TIF2 LXXLL peptide, and AR Tp 741 to AR ligand binding domain residues |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| AR LBD atom                                 |
| F27 A LBD                                   |
| L56 A LBD                                   |
| F23 A LBD                                   |
| C terminal                                  |
| TIF2 LXXLL peptide, or AR Trp 741 to the indicated ligand binding domain atoms. The first residue of the FXLF or LXXLL motif is i+1. Atom designations adhere to standard IUPAC nomenclature. |

**TABLE 3**

| [\(^{3}H\)]Androgen dissociation and affinity constants for AR prostate cancer mutants |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| AR Helix | Diss \(t_{1/2}\) min | Full-length AR | K\(_{d_{p}}\) R1881 |
|----------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Wild-type | 107 \(\pm\) 5 | 206 \(\pm\) 30 | 59 \(\pm\) 3 | 0.40 \(\pm\) 0.20 | 31 \(\pm\) 3 | GTG\(\rightarrow\)ATG |
| V715M    | 3 \(\times\) 207    | 249 \(\pm\) 78 | 105 \(\pm\) 1 | 0.79 \(\pm\) 0.25 | 70 \(\pm\) 8 | CAT\(\rightarrow\)TAT |
| H874Y    | 10 \(\times\) 212    | 324 \(\pm\) 60 | 266 \(\pm\) 15 | 0.56 \(\pm\) 0.37 | 60 \(\pm\) 7 | ACT\(\rightarrow\)CAT |
| T877A    | 10 \(\times\) 208    | 240 \(\pm\) 48 | 143 \(\pm\) 15 | 0.75 \(\pm\) 0.30 | 82 \(\pm\) 12 |
The effects of the prostate cancer mutations on AR transcriptional activity were determined in the absence and presence of SRC/p160 coactivator coexpression. With transient coexpression of p160 coactivator TRAM-1 in CV1 cells, AR V715M, H874Y, and T877A increased transcriptional activity relative to wild-type AR in response to 0.01 nM testosterone (Fig. 7A). Transcriptional activity was also greater with the more potent androgen DHT; however, the effects of the mutations were less evident in the presence of DHT. In the absence of transient coactivator expression or at higher levels of androgen, no major differences in AR transcriptional activity were observed in CV1 cells (data not shown). However, in HeLa cells that express higher endogenous levels of SRC/p160 coactivators (32), prostate cancer mutations V715M, H874Y, and T877A increased AR activity in the presence of testosterone (Fig. 7B). For AR V715M, transcriptional activity also increased with coexpression of TIF2. Increases in transcriptional activities of the three mutants over wild-type AR were noted in both cell lines in the presence of 1 nM 4-androstene-3,17-dione (data not shown).

The increase in AR transcriptional activity and slower dissociation of bound androgen by two of the mutants was associated with increased binding of the FXXLF or LXXLL motifs to the AR carboxyl-terminal region in GAL-AR-(624–919). In two-hybrid assays, V715M and H874Y increased FXXLF (Fig. 8A) and LXXLL (Fig. 9A) motif binding over that of the wild-type AR in the presence of DHT or testosterone. For the FXXLF motif in VP-AR-(1–660), greater increases in binding indicative of the AR N/C interaction were observed using 0.1 nM testosterone or DHT. For the LXXLL motif in VP-TIF2-(624–1287), increases in transcriptional activity were apparent in response to low levels of androgen. Increased FXXLF and LXXLL motif binding by the mutants relative to wild-type AR was also observed in response to the adrenal androgens, 4-androstene-3,17-dione and 5α-androstane-3α,17β-diol (Figs. 8B and 9B). However, no increase in motif binding was detected with AR T877A in the presence of these androgens (Figs. 8 and 9).

Competitive ligand binding assays confirmed that V715M, H874Y, and T877A did not alter AR binding affinity for R1881, DHT, testosterone, 4-androstene-3,17-dione, or 5α-androstane-3α,17β-diol (Fig. 10). However, as reported previously (21, 27), LNCaP cell line mutant AR T877A increased binding of hydroxyflutamide, estradiol, and progesterone (data not shown).
AR transcriptional activity can therefore increase as a result of AR mutations in prostate cancer through mechanisms that include increased FXXLF and LXXLL motif binding and slower dissociation rates of bound androgen. The absence of a prominent increase in FXXLF or LXXLL motif binding by T877A suggests it was the structural effects imposed by V715M and H874Y rather than the slow androgen dissociation rate per se that stabilized motif binding.

**TIF2 LXXLL-AR Chimeras**—Previously, we showed that the AR N/C interaction between the AR NH$_2$-terminal FXXLF motif and AF2 slows the dissociation of bound androgen. However, slower androgen dissociation does not occur in the presence of the LXXLL motif when AR was coexpressed with TIF2 (14) or in LXXLL-AR chimeras (18). Because the V715M and H874Y mutations increased AR FXXLF and TIF2 LXXLL motif binding to the AR carboxyl-terminal fragment, we determined whether LXXLL motif binding influences androgen dissociation of these mutants. Dissociation half-times of $[^{3}H]$R1881 were determined for TIF2-AR chimeras in which the AR NH$_2$-terminal region (residues 1–171) containing the FXXLF motif was replaced by the LXXLL motif region of TIF2 (residues 627–780), and the V715M, H874Y, or T877A mutation was introduced into the ligand binding domain (Table 4). For some of the chimeras, LXXAA replaced the TIF2 LXXLL motifs to establish LXXLL motif dependence. In others, AXXAA replaced the AR WXXL motif at residues 433–437, a motif that contributes only weakly to the dissociation rate of bound androgen (18).

TIF2-AR chimeras with LXXL or LXXAA TIF2 sequence had faster dissociation half-times ($t_{1/2} = 40 – 48$ min) compared with full-length AR ($t_{1/2} = 107$ min) (Table 4). This reflects the absence of the AR N/C interaction and a weaker interaction of LXXLL motifs to AR AF2. Introducing each of the prostate cancer mutations, V715M, H874Y, and T877A, slowed androgen dissociation, but this was independent of LXXLL motif binding. A notable exception was V715M, which increased binding of LXXAA, suggesting this mutation alters motif binding specificity.

Slow dissociation of androgen from the AR prostate cancer mutants therefore appears to result from inherent changes in the ligand binding domain rather than from increased coactivator LXXLL motif binding. The mutations influence the AF2 boundary with the ligand binding pocket to stabilize ligand and for two of the mutants, LXXLL motif binding.

**Increased Motif Binding in Vitro**—GST affinity matrix binding assays were used to examine further the effect of the AR prostate cancer mutations on LXXLL and FXXLF motif binding. In this assay, the fourth LXXLL motif of SRC1 was selected because of its relatively strong binding to the AR ligand binding domain compared with other LXXLL motifs (33). $^{35}$S-Labeled AR ligand binding domain fragments AR-(624–919) with wild-type or V715M, H874Y, and T877A mutant sequences were incubated with GST-SRC1-IV (SRC1 residues 1139–1441) or GST-AR-FXXLF (AR residues 4–52) (Fig. 11). In the presence of DHT or testosterone, prostate cancer mutants AR V715M and H874Y bound the LXXLL and FXXLF motifs to a greater extent than AR T877A or wild-type AR. The data support the increase in intracellular LXXLL motif binding shown in Figs. 7–9 and provide further evidence that prostate cancer mutants V715M and H874Y increase LXXLL and FXXLF motif binding.

**Structure Analysis and Predictions for AR Mutations in Prostate Cancer**—Structure of the AR ligand binding domain complexed with R1881 and FXXLF or LXXLL peptide was analyzed in light of the AR prostate cancer mutations. The Val-715 side chain in AF2 helix 3 faces toward the ligand binding pocket and at its closest distance is $\sim 5.2$ Å from Trp-741, a hydrophobic residue in helix 5 that lies directly above and contacts the bound ligand (Fig. 12). A hydrophobic space surrounding Val-715 created by residues Leu-712, Trp-718, Ile-737, Trp-741, Leu-744, and Met-745 appears to readily accommodate the V715M mutation. Compared with valine, the longer, flexible methionine side chain may better fill the space and stabilize interactions with Trp-741, possibly strengthening interactions with the ligand that are further
transmitted toward the AF2 floor through Ile-898. Altered structural changes between Trp-741 and AF2 floor residues may enhance shape complementarity at the AF2 floor and facilitate FXXLF and LXXLL motif binding.

Located in helix 10, His-874 is on the opposite side of Trp-741 from Val-715 and farther from the bound peptides (Table 2) but about equidistant (5.5 Å) to Trp-741. Through a conserved water, His-874 engages in a solvent-mediated hydrogen bond to the backbone of Trp-741 in helix 5. The H874Y mutation provides more hydrophobicity with a longer hydroxylated phenyl ring that may displace the conserved water and directly hydrogen-bond to a helix 5 backbone atom. Direct hydrogen bonding could stabilize helix 5, strengthen interactions between Trp-741 and the bound ligand, and transmit stabilizing effects to the AF2 floor that enhance FXXLF and LXXLL motif binding.

Helix 10 residues Thr-877 and His-874 are similar distances from the AF2-bound peptide (Table 2) and like Val-715 are 5.0 Å from Trp-741. However, in contrast to Val-715 and His-874, Thr-877 has direct contact with the D-ring hydroxyl of bound DHT (34) and R1881 (13) through a 2.9 Å hydrogen bond. In contrast, the slower androgen dissociation rates caused by T877A are associated with a hydrophilic to hydrophobic residue change, loss of a hydrogen bond to the ligand, and no substantial increase in FXXLF or LXXLL motif binding. It therefore appears that T877A has a different conformational effect on AF2 than that caused by the V715M and H874Y mutations.

The data indicate that all three AR prostate cancer mutations that slow the dissociation rate of bound androgen are positioned near (V715M and H874Y) or in (T877A) the ligand binding pocket and may influence the conformation of Trp-741, a hydrophobic residue that directly contacts the bound ligand. The data suggest that V715M and H874Y impact not only the ligand binding pocket to slow androgen dissociation but also the AF2 binding surface to facilitate LXXLL and FXXLF motif binding.
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**FIGURE 11. Increased binding of LXXL and FXXLF motifs to AR prostate cancer mutants V715M, H874Y, and T877A in vitro.** GST affinity matrix binding assays were performed using GST-O empty parent vector (lanes 1–4), GST-SRC1IV containing the fourth carboxyl-terminal LXXL motif region expressed in fusion proteins with AR-(172–919), and without the V715M, H874Y, and T877A mutations. LXXXX replaced the three TIF2 LXXL motifs, and AQNAA replaced the WQNLF residues 433–437 AR region. The bands represent 35S-labeled HA-AR-(624–919) with wild-type or indicated mutant sequence.

---

**TABLE 4**

| Dissociation of TIF2-AR prostate cancer mutation chimeras |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| **[3H]R1881 Dissociation** of TIF2-AR prostate cancer mutation chimeras |
| Half-times \( \left( t_{1/2} \right) \) in min of [3H]R1881 dissociation (Diss) were determined at 37 °C in COS cells for AR and TIF2-AR chimeras containing TIF2-(627–780) LXXL motif region expressed NH2-terminal in fusion proteins with AR-(172–919), with and without the V715M, H874Y, or T877A mutation. LXXXX replaced the three TIF2 LXXL motifs, and AQNAA replaced the WQNLF residues 433–437 AR region. The data are the mean ± S.E. from three independent assays. |
| **AR (wild-type)** | 107 ± 5 |
| TIF2(LXXL)AR-(172–919)-AXXXAA | 48 ± 6 |
| TIF2(LXXL)AR-(172–919) | 40 ± 5 |
| TIF2(LXXL)AR-(172–919)-AXXXAA-V715M | 77 ± 5 |
| TIF2(LXXL)AR-(172–919)-V715M | 135 ± 24 |
| TIF2(LXXL)AR-(172–919)-AXXXAA-H874Y | 134 ± 6 |
| TIF2(LXXL)AR-(172–919)-H874Y | 137 ± 18 |
| TIF2(LXXL)AR-(172–919)-AXXXAA-T877A | 126 ± 16 |
| TIF2(LXXL)AR-(172–919)-T877A | 119 ± 21 |

---
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**FIGURE 10. Steroid binding specificity of AR V715M, H874Y, and T877A mutants in prostate cancer.** Competitive binding studies were performed in COS cells (4 × 10^5/ well of 6 well plates) using the DEAE-dextran transfection method as described under "Experimental Procedures" with 2 μg/well pCMVhAR containing wild-type or V715M, H874Y, and T877A mutant sequences. Cells were incubated with 5 nM [3H]R1881 in the absence and presence of increasing concentrations of unlabeled R1881 (A), DHT (B), testosterone (T) (C), 4-androstene-3,17-dione (D), and 5α-androstane-3α,17β-diol (E). Shown are the average percent competitive binding determinations from three independent experiments.
Increased AR Stability—The FXXLF motif-mediated AR N/C interaction slows the dissociation rate of bound androgen and stabilizes AR (11, 18, 35). This link between androgen dissociation rate and AR stability is evident with potent androgens such as DHT (20) compared with weaker androgens such as testosterone. AR nevertheless binds testosterone with an equilibrium affinity similar to DHT, but testosterone dissociates more rapidly and is a less effective androgen in vivo (20, 31). We therefore investigated whether slower dissociation of testosterone from the AR prostate cancer mutants increased AR stabilization.

AR degradation rates were determined in COS cells at 37 °C by 35S-methionine pulse-chase labeling in the presence of 1 nM testosterone (Fig. 13). The half-time of degradation of wild-type AR (t1/2 = 1.8 ± 0.3 h) was faster than that of AR V715M (t1/2 = 2.8 ± 0.4 h) and AR H874Y (t1/2 = 3.2 ± 0.4 h) but similar to AR T877A (t1/2 = 2.0 ± 0.5 h). The results indicate that even though all three mutations prolonged the androgen dissociation half-time, only V715M and H874Y increased AR stability in the presence of testosterone.

DISCUSSION

Naturally Occurring Mutations Alter the AR AF2 and Ligand Binding Interface—We have characterized naturally occurring AR mutations in the region of the ligand binding pocket and AF2 binding surface for AR FXXLF and coactivator LXXLL motifs. The mutations increased or decreased AR functional activity in vivo without causing significant changes in equilibrium androgen binding affinity. Germ line mutations in AF2 that cause a phenotypic spectrum of AIS increased androgen dissociation rate and decreased AR FXXLF and SRC/p160 coactivator LXXLL motif binding to AF2. Conversely, three AR somatic mutations in prostate cancer slowed the dissociation rate of R1881 by 2-fold and testosterone by up to 4.5-fold. Slower androgen dissociation by the prostate cancer mutants was associated with increased AR transcriptional activity, and for AR V715M and H874Y with increased FXXLF and LXXLL motif binding to AF2. The location and effect of AIS mutation V889M on ligand dissociation suggest a hormone gateway at the base of AF2 helix 12 between helices 3, 6, 10, and 12, where Val-889 serves as gatekeeper. Moreover, the effects of the AIS and prostate cancer mutations implicate Trp-741 as a critical residue in a structural interplay between AF2, bound ligand, and residues neighboring the ligand.

FXXLF and LXXLL Motif Binding to AF2—The AF2 site in steroid receptors is a hydrophobic surface in the ligand binding domain required for hormone-dependent SRC/p160 coactivator LXXLL motif binding (4, 5). AR AF2 has a higher affinity for the AR NH2-terminal FXXLF motif than the coactivator LXXLL motifs (13). Differences in the apparent relative AR binding affinities for these helical motifs are attributed in part to flanking residues that interfere with motif binding depending on peptide length (4, 36, 37).

Structural studies have shown subtle induced fit differences between the AR AF2 binding surfaces with bound FXXLF and LXXLL peptides (13). The i + 1 motif side chains contact nearly the same side chains in AF2, as do the i + 5 side chains, even with the ~2-A ˚ shift in relative position of the two bound peptides. It was therefore not unexpected that AR mutations in AIS and prostate cancer studied here had similar effects on the two motifs, i.e. AIS mutations decreased FXXLF and LXXLL binding, and two of the prostate cancer mutations increased binding of these motifs.

Despite similarities in the AF2 binding surface, it is FXXLF motif binding that slows androgen dissociation (18). This is evident by the ~3 times faster androgen dissociation rate of AR-(507–919) compared with full-length AR. AR-(507–919) retains high affinity equilibrium androgen binding but lacks the AR NH2-terminal region and thus lacks an N/C interaction. Disrupting the AR N/C interaction by mutations in the NH2-terminal FXXLF motif (14) or FXXLF motif binding of melanoma antigen gene protein MAGE-11 (36) also increases intracellular androgen dissociation.

The inability of coactivator LXXLL motif binding to slow androgen dissociation from AR was evident in previous studies where TIF2 and AR were coexpressed (14) and from the TIF2-AR chimera studies reported here. TIF2-AR chimeras with wild-type AR ligand binding domain had androgen dissociation rates similar to AR-(507–919) and ~2.5 faster than full-length AR. Prostate cancer mutations V715M, H874Y, and T877A slowed androgen dissociation in the chimeras but not in an LXXLL motif-dependent manner.

Slower androgen dissociation resulting from FXXLF motif binding to AF2 suggests that structural changes are transmitted from AF2 to the ligand binding pocket. However, induced fit conformational changes noted for the side chains of AF2 charge clamp residues Glu-897 and Lys-720, along with Met-734 and Met-894 (13, 38), do not visibly alter the structure of the ligand binding domain core. Clues that Leu-712 and Ile-898 serve as a conduit for transmission of FXXLF binding to the ligand binding pocket exist in the ligand dissociation and structural data. Both L712F and I898T in full-length AR and AR-(509–919) increase androgen dissociation. Leu-712 is located 4.0 A ˚ and Ile-898 5.8 A ˚ from the Met-894 sulfur atom in helix 12. The fully engaged charge...
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**FIGURE 14. Two-state model of steroid receptor ligand binding and coactivator recruitment.** High affinity agonist binding induces subtle conformational changes in the ligand binding domain that allow for FXXL or LXXL motif binding to AF2. A full agonist effect requires slow ligand dissociation, which is achieved as a result of inherent properties of the ligand and is increased by high affinity motif binding to AF2. In the two-state model, high affinity slow dissociating ligands stabilize the active closed state. Faster dissociating ligands that are weaker agonists or antagonists favor the open state. Steroid receptor activity depends on an equilibrium between open and closed states. Naturally occurring AR AF2 mutations impact AR activity in vivo by shifting the equilibrium between open and closed states without altering equilibrium agonist binding affinity. AF2 mutations that decrease AR transcriptional activity and cause the androgen insensitivity syndrome (AIS) favor the open state, causing more rapid androgen dissociation through effects at the AF2 surface, at the boundary between AF2 and the ligand binding pocket, or more directly at the ligand gateway at the base of AF2 helix 12. In contrast, prostate cancer mutations can increase AR transcriptional activity by favoring the closed state, slowing ligand dissociation and improving FXXL (FX) and LXXL (LX) motif binding. The effect of AIS and prostate cancer mutations demonstrate ligand-dependent structural communication between AF2 and the ligand binding pocket mediated by subtle changes that the inherent stability of the ligand binding domain. The model suggests steroid receptor activity in vivo increases by prolonged retention time of bound ligand.

clamp residues with higher affinity FXXL motif binding may provide a greater stabilizing influence on helix 12 residues such as Met-894 than the more weakly bound LXXL motif that lacks an NH₂-terminal hydrogen bond to charge clamp residue Gln-897 (13, 38). Stabilizing effects passed from helix 12 to Leu-712 and Ile-898 may propagate to Trp-741, which lies above the ligand pocket, resulting in slower ligand dissociation.

In contrast, residues Phe-725, Gln-733, and Ile-737 (group 2) mutated in AIS are situated near Lys-720 in AF2 and i + 5 of FXXL and are more remote to the ligand binding pocket. AIS mutations F725L, Q733H, and I737T increase androgen dissociation from full-length AR but not from AR-(507–919), in agreement with two-hybrid assays that the AIS group 2 mutations interfere with FXXL motif binding. Aside from the notable rearrangement of Lys-720, no remarkable structural differences are seen between the i + 5 region and the ligand binding pocket when FXXL is bound. This result and their more remote location from the ligand binding pocket suggest that these residues, particularly Phe-725 and Gln-733, stabilize AR primarily by maintaining the bound FXXL motif, which in turn stabilizes the ligand binding core. Residue Ile-737 lies closer to Trp-741 and may more directly influence the ligand binding pocket in response to higher affinity FXXL motif binding. FXXL motif binding also influences the position of the Met-895 side chain that lies close to the steroid D-ring, providing another link in the signal conduit between bound peptide and ligand binding core.

The results from AR and other steroid receptors indicate that motif binding must be high affinity to prolong the half-time of ligand dissociation. The AR FXXL motif peptide binds AF2 with higher affinity than the LXXLL motif peptide (13), and it is FXXXL motif binding that slows ligand dissociation from AR (14). High affinity coactivator LXXL motif binding to estrogen receptor-α AF2 or in a TIF2-glucocorticoid receptor chimera also slows ligand dissociation (18, 39). This suggests a reciprocal relationship in which ligand binding stabilizes AF2 for motif binding, and high affinity motif binding slows ligand dissociation. The lack of large, defining motif-specific structural differences in the intervening region between AF2 and ligand binding pocket supports the hypothesis that high affinity motif binding imparts subtle stabilizing changes that favor ligand retention, the N/C interaction, coactivator binding, and ultimately receptor activity in vivo. Some of the subtle dynamic changes may not be resolved in crystal structures due to rapid kinetics or to the limitations of resolution, precision accuracy in the structure data, and superpositions.

Slow androgen dissociation caused by AR V715M and H874Y in prostate cancer is associated with increased binding of the LXXL and FXXL motifs. T877A, on the other hand, slows androgen dissociation without increasing motif binding. This apparent disconnect for T877A indicates that androgen dissociation slower than that of wild-type AR is not sufficient to increase LXXL motif binding. The structural changes from T877A appear to be limited to the local environment of the ligand binding pocket and are not transmitted to neighboring regions. Similarly, the previously described effects of V730M positioned in AF2 were limited predominantly to the AF2 surface because coactivator LXXL motif binding increased but V730M did not alter androgen dissociation (13). On the other hand, V715M and H874Y are positioned between AF2 and the ligand binding pocket and have bidirectional stabilizing effects on ligand and motif binding. Each of these four mutations increases AR activation in response to the weaker adrenal androgens. Ligand binding domain mutations in prostate cancer can therefore increase AR transcriptional activity through subtle structural changes that favor ligand binding and/or coactivator recruitment.

Structures of the AR ligand binding domain bound to R1881 and FXXL or LXXL motif peptides suggest an induced fit mechanism whereby changes are limited predominantly to side chains in the coactivator binding surface (13). Equilibrium androgen binding affinity was maintained by the AIS and prostate cancer mutants in this study, indicating absence of major structural changes in the interior of the ligand binding domain. We nevertheless observed effects on ligand retention, coactivator recruitment, and receptor activity. A recent computer simulation of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) investigated the effects of V571M, a residue in GR positioned three residues NH₂-terminal relative to V715M in AR. GR V571M increased transcriptional activity and binding specificity for aldosterone (40). Like V715M and H874Y in AR, V571M is positioned between the coactivator and ligand binding surfaces in GR. The in silico molecular dynamic studies of GR V571M predicted changes of less than 0.03 nm, supporting increased coactivator recruitment through subtle structural remodeling in AF2.

A model (Fig. 14) is suggested whereby different functional states of the receptor arise from global changes in structural stability of the ligand binding domain. Ligand binding increases AR stability that is necessary for motif binding to AF2. Receptor activity is augmented by AR mutations such as V715M and H874Y in AF2 and ligand binding boundary region that favor a more stable structural state and slower ligand disso-
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Ligand (10). H874Y and V715M introduce extended hydrophobic side chains that improve interactions with Trp-741 that may prolong ligand retention time by stabilizing Trp-741 and optimizing contacts between Trp-741 and the ligand. T877A also lies ~5 Å from Trp-741. The surprisingly well compensated alanine substitution of T877A forfs a hydrogen bond to the D-ring of testosterone and DHT (34, 64), supporting the importance of hydrophobic interactions in stabilizing bound ligand. Interactions between Trp-741 and bound ligand may have a role in establishing the DHT and testosterone dissociation rates from wild-type AR.

Prostate cancers are initially androgen-dependent, regress after androgen deprivation therapy, and resume growth after a period of remission despite low circulating androgen (48, 49, 65). AR is expressed in most recurrent prostate cancers (66) and likely contributes to recurrent tumor growth (67, 68). Recent studies have shown DHT levels decline in recurrent prostate cancer tissue following androgen deprivation therapy, whereas testosterone persists at tissue levels similar to benign prostatic hyperplasia (69). AR mutations that slow dissociation of testosterone or weaker adrenal androgens may stabilize the ligand binding domain and facilitate growth of recurrent prostate cancer through AR mechanisms in concert with enhanced mitogen signaling.

Why DHT Is a More Potent Androgen than Testosterone—Our characterization of AIS and prostate cancer AR mutants indicates a functional link between androgen dissociation rate and AR activity that parallels the known physiological potencies of testosterone and DHT. AR binds these two biologically active androgens with similar equilibrium binding affinity ($K_d$) determined from the ratio of association and dissociation rate constants (35). Yet testosterone dissociates ~2 times faster than DHT. Dissociation of both androgens is slowed by the AR N/C interaction mediated by FXXLF motif binding to AF2, which decreases AR degradation and increases androgen-dependent gene activation (11, 16). The importance of prolonged ligand retention for steroid receptor activity is also applicable to estrogen receptor-α, which binds estriol and 17β-estradiol with similar high affinity, but like the relationship between testosterone and DHT, estriol dissociates more rapidly and is a weaker estrogen than 17β-estradiol (70). More recent evidence indicates that removal of the ligand-bound estrogen receptor-α from chromatin through degradation in a transcription-coupled manner is another important mechanism of transcriptional control (71, 72).

A full or amplified agonist response mediated by AR and perhaps other steroid receptors appears to depend on sufficient ligand retention time to maintain structural stability in the ligand binding domain. The human 5α-reductase deficiency syndrome indicates that DHT is required for AR functional activity during embryonic development. Naturally occurring mutations in the human 5α-reductase type 2 gene reduce the conversion of testosterone to DHT, cause ambiguous external sex phenotypes, and reduce prostate size in 46,XY genetic males (73). AR mutations in prostate cancer can slow the dissociation of testosterone to a rate similar to that of DHT, which increases AR stability and transcriptional activity in response to testosterone or other weaker androgens in this disease. Ligand retention time as a key determinant of AR functional activity is supported by the rapid dissociation rates of high affinity AR antagonists (35).

Requirements for AR Stabilization—Most steroid receptors are degraded subsequent to agonist-induced gene activation, presumably as a feedback mechanism to limit the hormone response. AR appears an exception because it is degraded more slowly in the presence of bound androgen (74), and stabilization is linked to increased transcriptional activity in vivo. AR degradation increases in response to mutations that increase androgen dissociation and cause AIS (20). Conversely, AR

Increased Coactivator Recruitment in Prostate Cancer—A number of mechanisms have been proposed to account for AR-mediated increases in cell growth in prostate cancer. These include AR gene amplification (41), increased cross-talk through growth factor signaling pathways (32), increased SRC/p160 coactivator expression (42), and AR mutations. The reported AR mutation rate in prostate cancer varies (43–47) with recent studies suggesting a frequency of ~5% (43, 48–52) that increases in later stages of the disease following androgen withdrawal or anti-androgen therapy (48, 53–55). The low incidence of AR mutations in early stage clinical specimens argues against their role in prostate cancer initiation (54). On the other hand, functional mutations that arise during tumor progression associated with genetic instability suggest positive selection for tumor cell survival (21, 56). Most AR mutants in prostate cancer retain AR transcriptional activity in response to testosterone or DHT, and nearly half the reported mutants are active in response to a range of ligands, including adrenal steroids (21, 25, 28, 52, 57, 58). AR mutations described here can contribute to increased AR functional activity and favor prostate cancer cell survival and expansion through mechanisms that include increased retention time of bound androgen or other steroids, increased SRC/p160 coactivator recruitment, and increased AR stability.

The prostate cancer mutations studied in this report were identified previously in prostate cancer specimens and cell lines (21, 22, 25, 27, 28, 44, 52, 59). T877A occurs in the LNCaP cell line (22, 27) and in multiple specimens of prostate cancer (52). Thr-877 is in the linker region before AF2 helix 12 and in the ligand pocket close to rings C and D of the bound steroid. The T877A mutation increases the space around the steroid D-ring to accommodate other steroids, consistent with the promiscuous ligand binding properties of this mutant (34, 57, 59–62). His-874 in helix 10 is between AF2 and the ligand binding pocket (61, 63) above rings C and D of the bound steroid. H874Y was identified in the CWR22 human prostate cancer xenograft and also displays reduced ligand specificity (21). V715M is in helix 3 at the boundary between AF2 and the steroid A-ring side of the binding pocket and was identified in a poorly differentiated recurrent late stage prostate cancer (25).

The similar effects of H874Y and V715M on androgen dissociation and FXXLF and LXXLL motif binding may result from different structural influences. H874Y would displace a conserved structural water shown in Fig. 12, which might allow direct hydrogen bonding to the helix 5 backbone. V715M may increase hydrophobic interactions to stabilize ligand binding. It is noteworthy that 6 other methionine residues reside directly in the ligand binding pocket and that His-874 and Val-715 are on opposite sides of AF2, each within ~5 Å of Trp-741, a hydrophobic residue that contacts the ligand and has a role in retaining ligand (10). H874Y and V715M introduce extended hydrophobic side
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mutations in prostate cancer can further slow androgen dissociation, stabilize AR, and contribute to increased androgen-dependent and independent AR activity in prostate cancer. The cancer-testis protein MAG-11 selectively binds the AR NH2-terminal FXXL motif and competes for the AR N/C interaction. Binding of MAG-11 relieves the competitive inhibition of SRC/p160 coactivator LXXL motif binding to AF2 caused by AR FXXL motif binding in the N/C interaction, thereby increasing androgen dissociation and AR turnover. As a result, AR functional activity increases by way of greater SRC/p160 coactivator recruitment (36).

A number of mechanisms involving increased SRC/p160 coactivator recruitment appear to contribute to greater AR activity in clinically recurrent prostate cancer. These include increased expression levels of TIF2 and SRC1 (42) and a broader spectrum of active androgens resulting from mutations like T877A (21, 27) and H874Y (21, 26) and mutations such as V730M that increase coactivator LXXL motif binding without altering the androgen dissociation rate (13). Here we report that AR mutations can provide inherent structural stability to the ligand binding domain, slow androgen dissociation, and improve SRC/p160 coactivator recruitment. SRC/p160 coactivator binding increases receptor-mediated gene activation through the recruitment of CBP/p300 and PCAF for histone acetylation and chromatin remodeling.
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