The crystal structure of unmodified tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe} from \textit{Escherichia coli}
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ABSTRACT

Post-transcriptional nucleoside modifications fine-tune the biophysical and biochemical properties of transfer RNA (tRNA) so that it is optimized for participation in cellular processes. Here we report the crystal structure of unmodified tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe} from \textit{Escherichia coli} at a resolution of 3Å. We show that in the absence of modifications the overall fold of the tRNA is essentially the same as that of mature tRNA. However, there are a number of significant structural differences, such as rearrangements in a triplet base pair and a widened angle between the acceptor and anticodon stems. Contrary to previous observations, the anticodon adopts the same conformation as seen in mature tRNA.

INTRODUCTION

Transfer RNA (tRNA) has the vital role of delivering amino acids to the ribosome allowing the synthesis of proteins as coded by mRNA. After synthesis by RNA polymerase, each tRNA transcript is converted to a mature tRNA through processing and nucleoside modification. The mechanisms utilized for processing vary not just between the kingdoms of life but also between species, but nonetheless ensure that excess nucleotides in the form of introns and 5′ and 3′ extensions are removed and that the correct 3′ CCA extension required for aminoacylation is present (1,2). Nucleoside modification is an equally important process, bringing about the modification of around 10% of the nucleosides in a typical tRNA such that the final mature tRNA contains not only the four canonical nucleosides but a number of modified nucleosides (3). While nucleoside modification occurs in other RNAs, it is noteworthy that 92 of the 107 known modified nucleosides exist in tRNA making it the most diversely modified RNA (4).

Since the elucidation of the first crystal structure of yeast tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe} in 1974, several crystal structures of free mature tRNAs in the absence of interaction partners have been determined (5,6). At the time of writing structures were available for: (i) yeast tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe} in monoclinic (7–10) and orthorhombic forms (11), (ii) yeast tRNA\textsuperscript{Asp} in two differing conformations (12), (iii) two crystal forms of \textit{Escherichia coli} tRNA\textsuperscript{fMet} in differing conformations (13), (iv) yeast tRNA\textsuperscript{Met} (14) and (v) \textit{Bos taurus} tRNA\textsuperscript{Lys} (15). X-ray structures revealed that the predicted cloverleaf structure of the tRNA formed by Watson–Crick base pairs folds into an L-shaped tertiary structure, which is further stabilized by a number of tertiary and triplet base pairs (16) and interactions with Mg\textsuperscript{2+} ions. The two structures of yeast tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe} determined independently in 2000 benefited from the advances in macromolecular crystallography made since the initial 1970s study. The higher resolution of these structures led to a greater understanding of the roles played by water molecules and divalent metal ions like Mg\textsuperscript{2+} in stabilizing the tertiary structure of tRNA (7,8).

Mature \textit{E. coli} tRNA\textsuperscript{Phe} is 76-nt long and contains 10 modified nucleosides: 4-thiouridine (s\textsuperscript{4}U) at position 8, dihydouridine (D) at positions 16 and 20, pseudouridine (Ψ) at positions 32, 39 and 55, 2-methylthio-N\textsuperscript{6}-isopentenyladenosine (ms\textsuperscript{2}i6A) at position 37, 7-methylguanosine (m\textsuperscript{7}G) at position 46, 3-(3-amino-3-carboxypropyl) uridine (acp\textsuperscript{3}U) at position 47 and 5-methyluridine (m\textsuperscript{5}U) at position 54 (3). The effects of nucleoside modifications have been deduced from comparisons of the biochemical and biophysical characteristics of mature tRNA with (i) unmodified tRNA transcripts (produced \textit{in vitro} with T7 RNA polymerase) (17–21) and (ii) partially modified tRNAs (produced in mutant
cell strains lacking the tRNA-modifying enzyme required for a particular modification) (22, 23). These comparisons showed that nucleoside modifications serve to fine-tune and stabilize the structure of the tRNA such that it is optimized for participation in cellular processes (24). During aminoacylation, for example, nucleoside modifications serve as positive and negative determinants (25), while during translation they stabilize the conformation of the anticodon loop (26).

Initial biophysical studies with unmodified and mature yeast tRNA\text{Phe} revealed that nucleoside modifications stabilize the tertiary structure of the tRNA with the transcript displaying a higher degree of conformational flexibility as demonstrated by thermal denaturation, UV crosslinking and Pb(II) cleavage experiments (21, 27–29). The same trend has also been observed for other tRNAs (30, 31). Imino proton NMR studies revealed that while crosslinking and Pb(II) cleavage experiments (21, 27–29). The same trend has also been observed for other tRNAs (30, 31). Imino proton NMR studies revealed that while crosslinking and Pb(II) cleavage experiments (21, 27–29).

Our understanding of the structure of unmodified tRNA is largely based upon crystal structures of tRNA transcripts in complex with protein molecules (such as aminoacyl tRNA-synthetases and, editing and modifying enzymes) and ribosomes, which not only stabilize but also alter the conformation of tRNA. Surprisingly, to date there is no structure available for a standalone full-length unmodified tRNA\text{Phe}. In the absence of such information, a direct comparison of mature and unmodified tRNA structures is complicated by the fact that differences in unmodified tRNA may be induced by interacting protein partners rather than the lack of modifications. Here, we present the crystal structure of the transcript of \textit{E. coli} tRNA\text{Phe} which permits this issue to be addressed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**tRNA transcription**

Plasmid pCF0 containing the gene for \textit{E. coli} tRNA\text{Phe} (carrying C3–G70 to G3–C70 transversion in the acceptor stem (19) under the T7-RNA polymerase promoter was donated by O.C. Uhlenbeck (Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA). Transcripts of tRNA\text{Phe} were prepared as described (37) and purified from nucleotides by gel filtration. Transcripts of tRNA\text{Phe} were aminated and Phe-tRNA\text{Phe} was purified to homogeneity by reverse-phase HPLC. Purified Phe-tRNA\text{Phe} was deacylated in 0.5 M Tris–HCl buffer pH 9.0 for 1 h at 37°C. The resulting preparation of \textit{E. coli} tRNA\text{Phe} transcript was ethanol-precipitated, dissolved in water and stored at −80°C in small aliquots.

**Crystallization**

Crystals of the tRNA transcript were obtained as a result of efforts to crystallize its complex with \textit{Bacillus anthracis} Thil (purified as described previously) (38). The complex comprised 70 μM of Thil and 230 μM of tRNA\text{Phe} transcript in 100 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES–NaOH pH 8.0, 1 mM ATP and 1 mM MgCl\text{2}. Crystals were grown by sitting drop vapour diffusion after mixing 150 μl of the protein–RNA mixture with 150 μl of the reservoir solution (0.3 M KCl, 0.1 M HEPES–NaOH pH 7.5 and 35% v/v MPD). Crystals were grown by sitting drop vapour diffusion after mixing 150 μl of the protein–RNA mixture with 150 μl of the reservoir solution (0.3 M KCl, 0.1 M HEPES–NaOH pH 7.5 and 35% v/v MPD). Crystals were grown by sitting drop vapour diffusion after mixing 150 μl of the protein–RNA mixture with 150 μl of the reservoir solution (0.3 M KCl, 0.1 M HEPES–NaOH pH 7.5 and 35% v/v MPD) and equilibrating this against 54 μl of the reservoir solution. Hexagonal crystals grew after a period of 6 months at 20°C. Prior to data collection, the crystals were vitrified in liquid nitrogen straight from the drop. Diffraction data were collected on beamline 104 at Diamond Light Source (Didcot, UK).

**Crystallography**

The data set was integrated using iMosflm (39) and then imported into the CCP4 suite for all subsequent steps (40).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crystal structure

An unmodified transcript of E. coli tRNA^{Phe} has been crystallized and its structure was determined by molecular replacement using the structure of yeast tRNA^{Phe} (PDB 1EHZ) (7). Crystals belong to the space group P 6_{2}2_{2} with one molecule per asymmetric unit and the final model was refined at 1\AA resolution (Table 1). Nucleotides 1–73 are the triplet base pairs U8–A14–A21, A9–U12–A23 Watson–Crick G19–C56 base pair. Also preserved are the triplet base pairs U8–A14–A21, A9–U12–A23 Watson–Crick G19–C56 base pair. Also preserved are the triplet base pairs U8–A14–A21, A9–U12–A23 and C13–G22–G46. The absence of the U18–C56 and A26–G44 tertiary base pairs and the G10–C25–G44 triplet base pair will be discussed below.

Crystallographic 2-fold symmetry results in the formation of an almost continuous helix comprised of the acceptor stem of one tRNA molecule and the acceptor stem of a symmetry-related tRNA, an arrangement similar to that previously observed in the crystal structure of modified tRNA^{Pmet} from E. coli (13). In the present structure, the G1–C72 base pair of one tRNA stacks with its symmetry equivalent (Figure 2). Such packing and absence of a clear electron density for the 3’-terminal CCA nucleotides indicates that this segment is either disordered or has been lost through partial degradation, which might explain why crystals took 6 months to grow.

Conformational differences between modified and unmodified tRNA

In the absence of the crystal structure of modified E. coli tRNA^{Phe}, we compared the present structure with the available structure of the mature yeast tRNA^{Phe} which

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics

| Data collection | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Wavelength (\AA) | 0.9704 | |
| Space group | P 6_{2}2_{2} | |
| a, b, c (\AA) | 99.5, 99.5, 110.3 | |
| Resolution* (\AA) | 46.5–3.00 (3.16–3.00) | |
| Number of reflections | 107 790 (15 965) | |
| Total | 6886 (971) | |
| Completeness (%) | 99.8 (100.0) | |
| Multiplicity | 15.7 (16.4) | |
| R_{merge} | 0.226 (0.312) | |
| R_{free} | 0.261 (0.236) | |
| RMSD bond length (\AA) | 0.006 | |
| RMSD bond angle (\degree) | 0.8 | |
| RMSD chiral | 0.05 | |
| Wilson B-factor (\AA^2) | 70.9 | |
| Mean B-factor (\AA^2) | 81.0 | |
| Overall | 88.4 | |
| tRNA | 66.6 | |
| Metals | 71.1 | |
| Water | 35.8 | |

*Figures in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.

After scaling the data with Scala (41) it became apparent from calculation of the Matthews’ coefficient (42) that, given the 622 point group symmetry, the asymmetric unit would be unable to contain the entire Thi–tRNA complex. Phaser (43) was used to perform a number of searches covering all potential combinations of space groups and search models but the only acceptable solution (RFZ = 4.4 and TFZ = 9.9) was obtained in the space group P 6_{2}2_{2} using chain A of yeast tRNA^{Phe} (PDB 1EHZ) (7). Assisted by a composite omit map from Phenix (44) to aid rebuilding and overcome bias, the model was improved through iterative cycles of manual rebuilding with Coot (45) and refinement with Refmac (46) using individual isotropic B-factors. In the later stages of refinement, a single TLS group (47) was used within the stems of the tRNA (Figure 1). Most of the tertiary interactions observed in previously determined crystal structures of mature tRNAs are observed in the present structure: the trans Watson–Crick Hoogsteen U8–A14 and U54–A58 base pairs, the trans Watson–Crick G15–C48 ‘Levitt’ base pair, the trans Watson–Crick sugar-edge G18–U55 base pair and the cis Watson–Crick G19–C56 base pair. Also preserved are the triplet base pairs U8–A14–A21, A9–U12–A23 and C13–G22–G46. The absence of the U18–C56 and A26–G44 tertiary base pairs and the G10–C25–G44 triplet base pair will be discussed below.

Crystallographic 2-fold symmetry results in the formation of an almost continuous helix comprised of the acceptor stem of one tRNA molecule and the acceptor stem of a symmetry-related tRNA, an arrangement similar to that previously observed in the crystal structure of modified tRNA^{Pmet} from E. coli (13). In the present structure, the G1–C72 base pair of one tRNA stacks with its symmetry equivalent (Figure 2). Such packing and absence of a clear electron density for the 3’-terminal CCA nucleotides indicates that this segment is either disordered or has been lost through partial degradation, which might explain why crystals took 6 months to grow.

Conformational differences between modified and unmodified tRNA

In the absence of the crystal structure of modified E. coli tRNA^{Phe}, we compared the present structure with the available structure of the mature yeast tRNA^{Phe} which
has been refined at 1.93 Å (monoclinic crystal form, PDB 1EHZ) (7). This tRNA shares 63% sequence identity with the *E. coli* tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) prior to the nucleoside modifications made to the yeast tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\). Least-square superposition using the backbone P and C1’ atoms of nucleotides 1–72 resulted in an RMSD of 2.0 Å. While the overall conformation and fold of the two structures are similar, there are differences which are distributed along the tRNA chain. When the superposition was repeated using either the acceptor stem (nucleotides 1–9 and 66–72) or the ASL (positions 28–42) the RMSD values decreased to 1.1 Å and 0.7 Å, respectively (Figure 3). Inspection of aligned structures revealed that while both regions are structurally similar, the relative orientations of the two arms of the tRNA differ between the two structures, with the angle defined by atoms P35, P56, P72 being 73° in the present structure and 67° in mature yeast tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) in both the monoclinic (PDB 1EHZ) and orthorhombic (PDB 4TRA) crystal forms. The analysis was extended to include all eight structures of yeast tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) available in the PDB and this resulted in a mean angle of 68° and a standard deviation of 1°, indicating that the difference between the transcript *E. coli* tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) and yeast tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) is significant (Supplementary Table S1). We also assessed the variation in the angle within *E. coli* tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) transcripts crystallized in complex with other macromolecules (four structures in total). This resulted in a mean angle of 58° and a standard deviation of 1° indicating the degree to which transcripts are remodelled, relative to the present structure, during their interactions with other macromolecules (Supplementary Table S2).

**Base pairing**

Comparison with the structure of modified yeast tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) also shows that differences in base pairing occur in the core of the tRNA where the most striking feature is the formation of the triplet base pair comprised of G10, C25 and G44 while A26 is left unpaired (Figure 4a).

---

Figure 1. Structure of unmodified *E. coli* tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\). The nucleotides of the acceptor stem (red), D stem loop (green), ASL (blue) and TΨC loop (yellow) are coloured according to the region they belong to. Molecular surface is shown in grey.

Figure 2. Crystal packing of the acceptor stem. G1–C72 base pairs from two tRNA molecules (yellow and green) are related by a crystallographic 2-fold axis. Nucleotides in one of the symmetry mates (yellow) are denoted with a star. Corresponding 2Fo−Fo and Fo−Fc electron density maps are contoured at 1.5σ.

Figure 3. Difference in conformation between unmodified and modified tRNAs. Superposition of unmodified *E. coli* tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) (blue) and yeast tRNA\(^{\text{Phe}}\) in its monoclinic form (yellow, PDB 1EHZ) and orthorhombic form (red, PDB 4TRA). The P atoms of residues 35, 56 and 72 (blue spheres) were used for the calculation of inter-arm angles.
In yeast tRNA^{Phe}, the equivalent triplet is formed by m^2G10 (N^2-methylguanosine), C25 and G45, and a *cis* Watson–Crick base pair is formed between m^3G26 (N^3, N^2-dimethylguanosine) and A44 (Figure 4b). Other conformational changes in the transcript tRNA are seen in the vicinity of this triplet—the pyrimidine ring of U45 is flipped out of the tRNA core such that it interacts with the core region of a symmetry-related tRNA. The ribose O4’ of the same nucleotide interacts with the exocyclic N2 amine of a symmetry-related G27 and the N3 amine of U45 interacts with the N1 imine of a symmetry-related A25. In the absence of additional structural information, we cannot rule out the possibility that differences between the present structure and mature yeast tRNA^{Phe} are a consequence of crystal packing and/or differences in the nucleotide sequences.

Unmodified *E. coli* tRNA^{Phe} has also been crystallized in complex with the *Thermus thermophilus* 70S ribosome (36) and *E. coli* MiaA (50,51). In both the 70S ribosome (PDB 2J02) and one structure of MiaA (PDB 3FOZ), the base pairing in the core of the tRNA molecule is the same as observed in modified yeast tRNA^{Phe}. In these structures, the triplet base pair is formed between G10, C25 and G45 while a further base pair is formed between A26 and G44. Different base pairing is however observed in other structures of unmodified tRNA^{Phe} bound to MiaA (PDBs 2ZM5 and 2ZXU). In these structures, a triplet base pair is formed between C11, G24 and G44, a second base pair is formed between G10 and C25 while A26 is left unpaired. Neither arrangement of base pairs is identical to that observed in the present structure, suggesting that the remodelling of the tRNA by proteins or ribosomes results in conformational changes which are facilitated by plasticity in the core of the tRNA.

**D-loop**

The electron density for the D-loop, in particular positions 16–20, and the B-factors of nucleotides in this region indicate that this is one of the most flexible regions of the tRNA. It has been reported that flexibility of the D-loop in mature tRNA is increased by the presence of dihydrouridine (8). What the present structure shows is that the D-loop is flexible even without dihydrouridine at positions 16 and 20, although the lack of crystal contacts may also contribute to its flexibility. Comparisons of the present structure with yeast tRNA in its monoclinic (PDB 1EHZ) and orthorhombic (PDB 4TRA) crystal forms indicate that the major differences in the loop are the conformations of nucleotides 16 and 17 (Figure 5). Shi and Moore (7) noted that the conformation of D16 in yeast tRNA^{Phe} was dependent on the presence or absence of particular divalent cations. In the presence of only Mg^{2+} (equivalent to the orthorhombic crystal form) the pyrimidine ring of D16 pointed away from U59. In the presence of Mg^{2+} and either Mn^{2+} or Co^{2+} (monoclinic crystal form), however, the pyrimidine ring pointed towards U59. We calculated omit maps through refinement of the structure without U16. The resulting m|Fo|–D|Fc| map had a positive density peak which could not be explained by just one of the two conformations of D16 described above (Supplementary Figure S1). Given the resolution, we modelled a single conformer in which U16 points away from U59 as this gave the best overall fit to the electron density (Supplementary Figure S1).

**ASL**

The electron density maps for the ASL are well defined allowing the accurate positioning of all nucleotides (Figure 6a). When the structure was re-refined with residues 28–42 omitted from the model, clear density appeared at a 2σ contour level in the difference maps calculated with coefficients m|Fo|–D|Fc|, indicating that the positions are correct and not a consequence of model bias (Supplementary Figure S2). In the final model, the ASL adopts the U-turn motif in which the anticodon loop contains 7 nt. This is the same conformation observed in mature tRNAs and also in unmodified tRNAs when it is bound at the A site of the ribosome (36). Superposition of nucleotides 31–39 of the transcript tRNA compared with (Figure 6b) the triplet base pair in mature yeast tRNA^{Phe} (PDB 1EHZ). Nucleotides are shown as sticks with oxygen atoms in red, nitrogen atoms in blue and phosphorus atoms in magenta. Water molecules are shown as red spheres and hydrogen bonds are depicted as blue dashed lines.

Figure 4. The rearrangement of triplet base pairs. (a) The triplet base pair in the unmodified *E. coli* tRNA^{Phe} compared with (b) the triplet base pair in yeast tRNA^{Phe} (PDB 1EHZ). Nucleotides are shown as sticks with oxygen atoms in red, nitrogen atoms in blue and phosphorus atoms in magenta. Water molecules are shown as red spheres and hydrogen bonds are depicted as blue dashed lines.
transcript resulted in an RMSD of 5.3 Å (Figure 6b) reflecting the difference. Thus, the isolated ASL and ASL within the tRNA molecule have different conformations, even though both have the same sequence and lack nucleoside modifications.

Of the 15 nucleotides that make up the ASL, those at positions 34, 36, 37 and 38 are involved in crystal packing interactions. In A36, the 2'OH makes hydrogen bonds with the backbone O3' and O2' atoms of a symmetry-related G71, while the N3 atom of the base accepts a hydrogen bond from the same O2'. In A37, the 2'OH can make hydrogen bonds with the ribose O2' and base O2 of a symmetry-related C70. A single hydrogen bond occurs between the 2'OH of A38 and the 2'OH of C4. The purine ring of G34 is stabilized through stacking with the purine ring of a symmetry-related A73.

While crystal packing interactions may stabilize the conformation of the anticodon loop, it is more likely that the U-turn motif was induced by other factors. These might include the torsional and positional restraints imposed by the core of the tRNA molecule and/or the presence of monovalent and divalent cations. The concentrations of monovalent and divalent cations present during crystallization have been demonstrated to have a considerable influence on the folding of tRNA (52,53) and are comparable to the intracellular concentrations of K⁺ and Mg²⁺ reported for *E. coli* (54). In contrast, the NMR study of the ASL was performed at low concentrations of Na⁺ and K⁺ and in the absence of Mg²⁺ (10 mM NaCl, 10 mM potassium phosphate pH 6.8 and 0.05 mM EDTA) (33).

It is pertinent that substantial differences also exist between the structural information obtained for tRNA²lys,³ by NMR and X-ray crystallography. The NMR structure of an ASL lacking modifications except Ψ39 showed that the stem was extended by the base pairs

---

**Figure 5.** Conformation of the D-loop. Stereo view of the structures of unmodified *E. coli* tRNA^{Phe} (blue) and yeast tRNA^{Phe} in its monoclinic (yellow, PDB 1EHZ) and orthorhombic (red, PDB 4TRA) forms. In yeast tRNA^{Phe} dihydrouridine is present at positions 16 and 17.

**Figure 6.** Anticodon stem loop conformation. (a) Electron density map for nucleotides 34–38. The 2m|Fo|–D|Fc| map is contoured at 1.5σ. (b) The conformation of these nucleotides (left) is essentially the same as in modified tRNA (middle) but differs from the conformation observed in the lowest energy conformer of the unmodified ASL fragment (right). All three ASLs are shown in the same orientation after superposition using the backbone P and C1' atoms of nucleotides 31–39.
C32–A38 and U33–A37 and that the loop was comprised of only three nucleotides (U34–U36) (55). The crystal structure of the full-length mature tRNALys,3, however, revealed that the ASL adopted the U-turn motif. Differences between the two structures were attributed to the absence of modifications in the ASL segment used in the NMR study. However, our data on unmodified E. coli tRNAPhe show that the ASL adopts the U-turn motif and this is in agreement with the observation that this tRNA is functional even in an unmodified state (18,19). In view of these results, it could be thought that the full structure of the tRNA may be necessary to stabilize a canonical ASL structure (with or without modifications), while a more relaxed isolated ASL favours a non-canonical structure. It was however shown that full-length unmodified tRNA^{Lys,3} cannot bind a ribosome programmed with codons AAA or AAG (56).

CONCLUSIONS

We have determined the crystal structure of a transcript of E. coli tRNAPhe. As expected, the structure adopts the same overall fold as mature tRNAs. Comparisons of the present structure with previously determined structures of mature yeast tRNAPhe revealed a number of significant differences, such as the increased angle between the acceptor and anticodon stems, and the rearrangement of a triplet base within the core of the tRNA. These differences could be attributed to the absence of modifications or variations between the nucleotide sequences. In contrast to previous studies performed with an isolated ASL, the anticodon loop in the present structure adopts the U-turn motif comprised of a 5-bp stem and a 7-nt loop. The conformation of the anticodon loop is thus the same as seen in mature tRNAs and also in unmodified tRNAs bound at the A site of the ribosome.
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