Quantitative proteomics of *Xenopus laevis* embryos: expression kinetics of nearly 4000 proteins during early development
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While there is a rich literature on transcription dynamics during the development of many organisms, protein data is limited. We used iTRAQ isotopic labeling and mass spectrometry to generate the largest developmental proteomic dataset for any animal. Expression dynamics of nearly 4,000 proteins of *Xenopus laevis* was generated from fertilized egg to neurula embryo. Expression clusters into groups. The cluster profiles accurately reflect the major events that mark changes in gene expression patterns during early *Xenopus* development. We observed decline in the expression of ten DNA replication factors after the midblastula transition (MBT), including a marked decline of the licensing factor XCdc6. Ectopic expression of XCdc6 leads to apoptosis; temporal changes in this protein are critical for proper development. Measurement of expression in single embryos provided no evidence for significant protein heterogeneity between embryos at the same stage of development.

*Xenopus laevis* has a long history as a model organism for studying early vertebrate development. Landmark advances in cell, molecular, and developmental biology using this animal include nuclear transfer experiments that demonstrated the totipotency of the nucleus from a differentiated cell, the first isolation of a gene from any organism, the first complete nucleotide sequence of a gene, and the purification of the first eukaryotic transcription factor.

*Xenopus* has been especially important for studies of early vertebrate development because in vitro fertilization yields synchronized embryos that mature outside the mother, so that embryogenesis can be easily monitored in real time. Fate maps for organ development have been determined and major regulators of these processes have been identified and characterized, providing an abundance of tissue- and organ-specific markers to track embryo formation. Development of embryos is rapid (the gastrula stage is reached 9 hours post fertilization and a nearly fully developed nervous system at 4 days). The relatively large size of the egg (1.2 mm) allows for facile microinjection of material of all types and for microsurgery.

A number of techniques have been used to monitor changes in transcription expression during early stages of development in *Xenopus*. These studies have revealed a number of subtle mechanisms by which the organism controls protein expression. Only maternal mRNAs are present at fertilization and during the first seven stages of development. Post-transcriptional regulation controls protein translation, both by the destruction of mRNA and by activating “masked” or dormant mRNA through polyadenylation. Zygotic transcription begins at the midblastula transition (MBT - developmental stage 8); only then does the embryo begin to translate its own mRNA into protein.

Although there is a rich literature on transcript analysis, the analysis of *Xenopus* protein expression changes during development is much more poorly documented. Many researchers have applied western blotting and other traditional methods to study protein expression changes during development. However, these methods are only able to characterize expression changes for a small number of proteins and are limited to the available antibodies.

Large-scale qualitative and quantitative proteomic technologies have matured over the past two decades. The vast majority of proteomic studies employ a bottom-up protocol, wherein proteins are digested to peptides. These peptides undergo one or more stages of chromatographic separation, are detected using electrospray
ionization-tandem mass spectrometry, and are identified through database searching algorithms\textsuperscript{17,26}. A number of quantitative proteomic techniques have been developed based on stable isotope labeling\textsuperscript{18,29–25}. Isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation (iTRAQ) is an isotopic labeling method that produces protein identification from peptide fragments and protein quantitation from low mass reporter ions at the MS/MS level\textsuperscript{22}. It has several benefits. First, it can analyze up to eight pools of peptides in a single analysis (iTRAQ 8plex)\textsuperscript{26}, significantly speeding comparisons of protein abundance across many samples. Second, iTRAQ labeling does not increase the complexity of parent ion spectra because the mass increase produced by each label is the same. Since its introduction, iTRAQ has been widely used for quantitative proteomics\textsuperscript{20,26}.

There have been only a few reports determining protein expression changes in model systems of animal developmental biology\textsuperscript{26}, including Drosophila\textsuperscript{27}, Danio\textsuperscript{28}, and Xenopus\textsuperscript{29,30} embryos and mouse oocytes\textsuperscript{28}. In this work, we employed iTRAQ-8plex chemistry to monitor protein expression kinetics of Xenopus laevis embryos at six early stages of development. We generated quantitative data on the expression changes of nearly 4,000 proteins during four or more stages of Xenopus development. These are by far the largest Xenopus proteomic dataset, and the largest dataset on developmental proteomics for any organism. All the data related to this work was deposited in Peptide Atlas\textsuperscript{29}, http://www.peptideatlas.org/PASS/PASS00436.

The mRNA expression of roughly 5,000 genes has been detected in Xenopus laevis early development (stages 2–33)\textsuperscript{3}. Our observation of expression changes of nearly 4,000 proteins suggests that our dataset includes a large fraction of the proteome of the organism at early stages of development.

This work included single zygotes in two iTRAQ experiments. The resulting datasets are by far the largest protein expression studies performed on single cells.

Results and discussion

Deep proteome analysis of Xenopus laevis embryos. We performed three independent iTRAQ experiments on Xenopus laevis embryos during early development. Micrographs of embryos at the stages of development employed in these experiments are shown in Fig. 1A, and the experimental design is shown in Fig. 1B. Experiments I and II each employed single embryos and 8plex chemistry. In Experiment I (E1), single embryos at stages 1, 5, 8, and 11 were used; two embryos from each stage were analyzed in separate iTRAQ channels as biological duplicates. In Experiment II (E2), single embryos from stages 1, 5, 13, and 22 were used; again, two embryos from each stage were analyzed as biological duplicates. To provide more material for analysis and to average any embryo-to-embryo heterogeneity in protein expression, experiment III (E3) employed homogenates of four embryos each at stages 1, 8, 13, and 22, which were labeled using four channels of 8plex chemistry. Following digestion with trypsin and subsequent labeling using iTRAQ chemistry, the pooled peptides from each of the experiments were separated into 20 fractions by strong cation exchange liquid chromatography, followed by UPLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis with a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer. The .raw files were converted to .mgf files with RAW2MSM software\textsuperscript{44} using the default settings, followed by ProteinPilot\textsuperscript{45} (AB Sciex) analysis with database searching as “Thorough”. Because RAW2MSM software filters out relatively low signal intensity fragment ions in tandem spectra, peptide identification is improved.

Roughly 1 million MS/MS spectra were acquired over 150 hours in the three experiments, identifying an average of 3,403 ± 157 proteins per experiment, with a protein-level false discovery rate (FDR) < 1% (Supplementary Fig. S1A). The union of the three experimental results generated a total of 4,455 non-redundant protein features, which is the largest Xenopus laevis proteome dataset generated to date. The mRNA from ~5,000 genes has been detected at early stages of X. laevis development\textsuperscript{*}. Our dataset captures proteins translated from 90% of the genes listed in the published mRNA dataset of Xenopus embryos at these early developmental stages.

When we filtered the peptide identification with 95% confidence (peptide-level FDR as ~0.5%), 23,618 (E1), 21,956 (E2), and 29,422 (E3) distinct peptides were identified; the union of three experiments produced 36,977 peptides with confidence higher than 95%. Peptides with 95% or higher confidence are candidates for use in single/multiple reaction monitoring experiments (SRM/MRM); the large peptide datasets generated in this work can be used as a library for further targeted proteomic analysis\textsuperscript{29}. SRM/MRM analysis can replace western blotting, which is particularly valuable for high throughput quantitation of selected protein and for quantifying proteins for which no antibody is available. Protein and peptide lists are provided in supplementary material II.

We analyzed the proteins using the DAVID Bioinformatics Resource 6.7\textsuperscript{26}. The official gene symbols were obtained for 4,065 proteins; 390 putative proteins have not yet been annotated. We determined the biological process, molecular function, and cellular localization of these proteins (Supplementary Fig. S1 B–D). The highly enriched biological processes (Supplementary Fig. S1B) are related to DNA replication, transcription, translation, energy generation, and post-translational operations (i.e. protein transport, protein localization, and protein folding). The highly ranked molecular functions (Supplementary Fig. S1C) are related to nucleotide, nucleoside, and RNA binding; helicase activity; ATP binding and ATPase activity; ribosome structural constituents; and protein transporter activities. Biological processes and molecular functions reflect cell division, transcription, and protein expression and organization during the early stages of development. Cellular localization information of the proteins (Supplementary Fig. S1D) mirrors the biological processes; many proteins are associated with ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes, ribosome, mitochondrion, nuclear pore, Golgi-associated vesicle, translation initiation factors, and proton-transporting ATP-synthase complex. The DAVID bioinformatics results are also included in supplementary material II.

Expression kinetics of 3,983 proteins during early Xenopus development. Nearly 90% of the identified proteins were also quantified in the three iTRAQ experiments. The high quantitation percentage is a result of high iTRAQ labeling efficiency and high specificity (100% at lysine and 99.33% at N-termini for the first 20,000 peptide matches per experiment). There were no identified labels on Tyr, His, Ser, or Thr residues in the 20,000 peptide sets. Quantitation was further enhanced by the Q-Exactive mass spectrometer, which generated high intensity iTRAQ reporter ion signals (Supplementary Fig. S2). For charge 2 and 3 parent ions, the iTRAQ reporter ions were quite intense (Supplementary Fig. S2A); the median reporter ion signal intensities range from 5.2E + 04 (channel 116) to 9.6E + 04 (channel 121) for E1 data, Supplementary Fig. S2B, which helps ensure high quality quantitation estimates.

2,889 (E1), 2,948 (E2), and 3,259 (E3) proteins were quantified in the three independent iTRAQ experiments, with identification FDRs of less than 1%. The union of the results for the three experiments yields quantitation data for 3,983 proteins, where each protein generated expression information at four or more embryonic stages (supplementary material III). This is by far the largest database of protein expression kinetics during the embryonic development of any organism. We were able to calculate pValues for more than 80% of our reported quantitations. Using a target-decoy approach by comparing ratios on duplicate iTRAQ channels, the calculated FDR for our quantitation data is less than 20%.

Biological replicates provide insight on the precision of the protocol. E1 and E2 generate an iTRAQ replicate based on the ratios between stage 5 and stage 1 from the two experiments.
Figure 1 | Experimental design. Micrographs of *Xenopus laevis* embryos at developmental stages used for iTRAQ measurements (A). Design and workflow of three independent iTRAQ experiments (B). Three experiments were performed. In experiment 1 (E1), two embryos at stage 1, two embryos at stage 5, two embryos at stage 8, and two embryos at stage 11 were separately lysed and digested with trypsin. The first embryo at stage 1 was labeled with the iTRAQ reagent channel 113, the second embryo at stage 1 was labeled with the iTRAQ reagent channel 114, the two embryos at stage 5 were labeled with the iTRAQ reagents channels 115 and 116, the two embryos at stage 8 were labeled with the iTRAQ reagents channels 117 and 118, and the two embryos at stage 11 were labeled with the iTRAQ reagents channels 119 and 121. These labeled peptides were pooled, subjected to strong cation exchange chromatography fractionation, and each fraction was analyzed using reversed-phase liquid chromatography and detection with a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer. Tandem mass spectra were analyzed both to identify the peptide and to quantitate the abundances of each peptide from each of the eight embryos. A similar procedure was performed in experiment 2 (E2), except that the biological duplicates consisted of single embryos taken from stages 1, 5, 13, and 22. Finally, experiment 3 (E3) employed four pools of four embryos, where each pool was taken embryos at stages 1, 8, 13, and 22.
The log2 ratio distribution is very narrow with a standard deviation of 0.19. E1 and E3 generate another biological replicate based on stage 8 embryos; E2 and E3 yield two more replicates based on stages 13 and 22. The excellent correlations between those replicates (slope 0.91–0.99; r 0.96–0.99) further confirm the method’s reproducibility (Supplementary Fig. S3B).

The distributions of iTRAQ ratios are plotted in Supplementary Fig. S4. In all cases, the means of the log2 protein ratio distributions are very close to 0, which demonstrates that total protein abundances vary little during early development. These results are consistent with our BCA data (Supplementary Table S1). The protein abundance ratio distributions become broader at later stages (stage 11 (E1) and 22 (E2, E3)) as more proteins undergo a significant expression change.

### Cluster analysis

We performed cluster analysis based on abundance changes during development for all the quantified proteins from the three experiments (E1–E3), segregating proteins into those with no significant expression change (cluster 0), and into six others that showed significant changes (clusters 1–6). Fig. 2 presents the clustering results from experiment E3; a total of 3,259 proteins were quantified in this experiment. Biological process information for the proteins in clusters 1–6 is presented in Supplementary Fig. S5. Results for E1 and E2 are presented in Supplementary Fig. S6–S9, and are also listed in supplementary material III.

Proteins with expression ratios higher than 1.2 or lower than 0.8 are considered as significantly up- and down-regulated, corresponding to log2 values of regulation thresholds as 0.26 and −0.32, respectively. 2,028 proteins showed no significant expression changes in experiment E3 (cluster 0), whereas 1,231 proteins were recognized as significantly up- or down-regulated during early development (clusters 1–6).

The cluster profiles accurately reflect the three major events that mark changes in gene expression patterns during early *Xenopus* development. Owing to the rapid cell divisions that occur immediately following fertilization, protein synthesis in early stage embryos relies on maternal transcripts that accumulated during oogenesis. After 12 cell divisions, the cell cycle lengthens and zygotic transcription begins during stage 8 at what is known as the midblastula transition (MBT). Many mRNAs synthesized in the oocyte are dormant or “masked” and become activated by cytoplasmic polyadenylation upon hormone-dependent maturation of the egg or upon fertilization. Organ and system specific proteins appear following the transcriptional reprogramming that occurs at stage 13.
Figure 3 | Expression levels of DNA replication factors and histones. The expression levels of several DNA replication factors and histones change at the mid-blastula transition. (A) Xcdc6 exhibits a marked decline after the mid-blastula transition relative to other replication factors. (B) Levels of Cdc6 protein measured by western blot for control embryos and embryos injected with Cdc6 mRNA (1 ng). (C) Overexpression of Cdc6 triggers increased levels of apoptosis. Apoptosis was detected by staining late blastula (stage 9) embryos with PSS-380 for the fluorescent detection of phosphatidylserine. Left panel is water-injected control embryo; center panel is Cdc6 mRNA (1 ng) injected embryo; right panel is embryo incubated with 0.1 μM staurosporine to induce apoptosis. (D) Levels of maternal histone H1 (H1M/B4) decline while levels of adult H1 and core histones increase. Data from experiments E1 and E3 were used to generate (A) and (D), and the error bars for stage 8 were based on the results from experiments E1 and E3.

Clusters 1 and 2. Proteins in clusters 1 and 2 are down-regulated during early development. These proteins are maternal in origin and their functions are mostly limited to oocytes and early stage embryos. The difference between these two groups is the time at which levels begin to decline. Proteins in cluster 1 decrease immediately after fertilization; whereas, those in cluster 2 remain constant up to the MBT and then decline. Proteins found in cluster 1 include those that bind to and mediate translocational repression of maternal mRNAs in Xenopus oocytes such as the Y box proteins (frgy 2 a/b) and Zygote arrest protein38,39 as well as proteins such as Maskin and RAP55 that are constituents of the protein complex that binds to the cytoplasmic polyadenylation element and controls activation of masked mRNA through lengthening the poly(A) tail10,40. Since this mechanism of translational control is limited to oocytes, we can easily rationalize the loss of these proteins following fertilization.

Recently, it was demonstrated that the lengthening of the cell cycle and the resulting asynchronous cell division that begins at the MBT is due, at least in part, to a decline in the amounts of four replication factors (Cut5, RecQ4, Treslin, and Drf1) at the MBT41. Overexpression of these four proteins in Xenopus embryos resulted in a two-fold increase in DNA replication that could be correlated with increased initiation. We, likewise, detect a decrease in the amounts of RecQ4 and Cut5 at the MBT that places them in cluster 2; Treslin and Drf1 were not detected, most likely due to their low abundance. However, we also observe a much more pronounced decline during this period in the level of the replication licensing factor, XCd6 (Fig. 3A). We confirmed the changes in XCd6 by western blot (Fig. 3B). Cd6 acts early in the initiation of replication by binding to the origin recognition complex and recruiting Mcm2–7 to form the licensing or prereplicative complex; the other four factors are active later during kinase-dependent formation of the replisome.

We questioned whether the marked decline in XCd6 also accounts for lengthening of the cell cycle at the MBT. Injection of mRNA encoding XCd6 into one-cell embryos results in elevated levels of the factor that persist beyond stage 13 (Fig. 3B). However, overexpression of XCd6 did not lead to a measureable increase in replication (results not shown). Caspase cleavage of Cdc6 can generate truncated forms of the factor that are incorporated into the prereplicative complex, but cannot support the recruitment of the Mcm complex42. This incorporation, in turn, activates p53-mediated apoptosis. We asked whether overexpression of the licensing factor could be triggering a similar response in Xenopus embryos. Notably, the combined overexpression of Cut5, RecQ4, Treslin, and Drf1 inhibits gastrulation and also leads to cell death at stage 1741. Embryos injected with XCd6 mRNA were compared to water injected controls and to embryos incubated with the pro-apoptotic drug, staurosporine43. Stage 9 embryos were incubated with a stain (PSS-380) for phosphatidylserine, an early marker of apoptosis (Fig. 3C). Embryos overexpressing XCd6 exhibit an appreciable amount of apoptosis that would mask detection of any increase in replication. The immediate effect of XCd6 overexpression relative to the other replication factors may reflect their different roles (licensing vs. initiation) in replication or the means through which they signal replication defects. Notwithstanding this point, iTRAQ accurately identified a critical change in the amounts of a licensing factor that triggers apoptosis when perturbed (stage 8).
We note that two XCdc6 isoforms (XCdc6A and XCdc6B) have been identified, and XCdc6A is down-regulated after the MBT\(^8\). The two isoforms, which are named as XCdc6 protein and Cdc6B protein in the protein database, can be placed into two different protein groups in the protein identification lists (supplementary material II). However, in the protein quantitation list (supplementary material III), only XCdc6 protein (isoform A) was confidently quantified, which is most likely due to the two different data analysis pipelines employed for protein identification and quantitation in this work. In addition, the XCdc6A expression kinetics discovered in this work also agree with results from the Coleman group\(^8\).

Clusters 3 and 5. Clusters 3 and 5 are comprised of over 300 proteins, including G10 protein and Stat1, encoded by maternal mRNAs that become activated upon fertilization. These proteins are classic examples of the discordance between transcript and protein expression. This discordance arises due to an appreciable amount of post-transcriptional regulation that controls expression of maternal mRNAs beyond the MBT.

The notable difference between cluster 3 and 5 is that zygotic transcription appears to sustain protein levels in the former; whereas, a marked decline in expression of proteins in cluster 5 is clearly coincident with the resumption of transcription and is presumably due to the decay of maternal transcripts. The diminished protein levels in cluster 5 coincide with progression of the embryo into the gastrula stage when organization of the three primary germ layers occurs, so the reduced levels of proteins in cluster 5 can also be a consequence of restricted, tissue-specific expression as more cells become differentiated, which is indeed the case for Stat1\(^8\).

Cluster 6. Cluster 6 is by far the largest in our dataset (351 proteins). Expression increases at the MBT for proteins in this cluster, which would indicate that this heightened expression results from the initiation of zygotic transcription at the MBT. For many of these proteins, this simple scenario appears correct, ranging from very large increases in mRNA levels (e.g., alkaline phosphatase, lin28a) to more moderate changes (e.g., fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase, fus, YAP, U2 auxiliary factor 2).

However, comparison of protein and mRNA levels reveal a far greater complexity for this cluster that, in some cases, reflects contributions from both maternal and zygotic transcripts. Unlike clusters 3 and 5, some members of cluster 6 appear to be expressed initially from maternal transcripts whose activation is delayed until the MBT. For the BMP signaling agonist, Twisted gastrulation (xTsg), maternal transcripts decrease and zygotic transcripts increase well after the MBT at late gastrulation\(^6\); yet, we measure a continuous increase of xTsg protein from the MBT through stage 22. Thus, there must be a coordinated transition from one pool of mRNA to the other that likely reflects the increasingly restricted, tissue-specific expression of the protein following gastrulation. Fibronectin, which is also a member of cluster 6, provides a particularly good example of delayed activation of a maternal transcript. In accord with our data, Lee et al. measured a pronounced increase in the synthesis of fibronectin beginning around the MBT that is unaffected by inhibition of zygotic transcription using \(\alpha\)-amanitin\(^6\), demonstrating that some maternal mRNAs are activated even as zygotic transcription is initiated.

Several members of cluster 6 exhibit a disparity between mRNA and protein levels that provide additional evidence for post-transcriptional regulation. In these instances there is little change in mRNA levels that can account for the elevated levels of protein (i.e., RAC1, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1, Sbp2, Snap29, chromobox homolog 3). An especially important lesson from these data is that increased protein expression that commences at the MBT should not be immediately attributed to zygotic transcription. Regulation of maternal transcripts at or even beyond the MBT can be used to transition into zygotic gene expression patterns. While there appears to be little regulation of protein expression by miRNAs in Xenopus oocytes and early embryos\(^8\), it would not be surprising if some members of cluster 6 are controlled by this mechanism as well.

The amount of RNA-binding protein VgRBP71 shows a pronounced increase beginning at the MBT. VgRBP71 is associated with localized mRNAs in Xenopus oocytes; in somatic cells isoforms of the protein function in alternative mRNA splicing, mRNA decay, and in transcriptional regulation\(^9\). The prominent increase during gastrulation (from stage 8 to 13) is confirmed in a western blot analysis of staged embryos (Supplementary Fig. S10).

Cluster 4. Major changes in gene expression occur at the gastrula-neurula transition (stage 13), which immediately precedes organogenesis\(^30\).\(^31\).\(^32\). This period should mark the appearance of tissue specific proteins, which is clearly reflected in cluster 4 where a constant level of protein through the first 15 hours of embryogenesis is followed by a marked increase beginning at stage 13. Proteins in this cluster that reflect the imminent anatomical changes include: globin \(Y\), multiple skeletal troponins, skeletal myosins, myosin 10 (neural cells), neurofilament protein, SPARC/osteonectin (cilia cells), and Na\(^+\)-K\(^+\)-ATPase (kidney).

Histone variants. We also identified eight histone variants that show a significant expression change during development, Fig. 3D. Two variants, H1M (B4 protein) and H2A.X-F\(^-\) (histone cluster 1, H2aa), undergo a similar down-regulation at stage 11. Six histone variants (H1A, H1B, H2A, H2B, H3, and H4) show an increase in expression beginning at stage 8. These data suggest that H2A.X-F is a maternal histone H2 subtype due to its similar expression profile to H1M, which has been shown to be a maternal histone H1 subtype\(^30\).\(^31\). These data also suggest that histone H1M and H2A.X-F are the main histone variants before the MBT and that they are gradually replaced by H1A, H1B, and H2A, at later stages of development. Because H1M binds to nucleosomal core and linker DNA with much lower affinity than somatic H1 variants\(^34\), replacement of H1M with somatic linker histones fixes the position of nucleosomes on the DNA\(^36\), resulting in chromatin compaction and formation of higher-order structure\(^36\). The data support the hypothesis that the higher-order chromatin structure established during embryogenesis\(^36\) restricts the binding of initiation factors to the DNA, limiting origin use\(^27\).

Heterogeneity of protein expression in single *Xenopus laevis* embryos. Experiments E1 and E2 employed a single embryo for each iTRAQ channel, and biological duplicates were included for each embryonic stage, Fig. 1B. These results allow us to investigate stochastic variation in protein expression between embryos at the same stage of development at the single embryo level.

We first calculated the Expression Ratio for proteins quantitated in two embryos in the same experiment at the same stage of development, eq. 1:

\[
\text{Expression Ratio (stage } n \text{)} = \frac{\text{Expression embryo 1}}{\text{Expression embryo 2}} \tag{1}
\]

where the ratio is calculated for each protein at the \(n\)th stage of development. The distributions of \(\log_{10}(\text{Expression Ratio})\) for embryos are presented in Supplementary Fig. S11. These distributions are centered at zero, corresponding to equal average expression in the two embryos, at each stage of development. The standard deviations of the \(\log_{10}\) distributions are \(\approx 0.25\), corresponding to a \(\approx 15\%\) relative standard deviation in protein expression; embryo-to-embryo differences in protein expression at the same stage of development are very low in *Xenopus laevis*.

The small variation that was observed in the expression of some proteins could either be due to noise in the experimental protocol or
due to noise in protein expression. If the variation is due to experimental noise, then the variation should not be correlated between experiments E1 and E2. We used the standard deviation of the absolute value of log$_2$ (Expression ratio) for each protein in each experiment as our measure of variation (Supplemental figure S12). This arithmetic procedure generates the standard deviation of the relative change and ensures that expression ratio always has the larger value in the numerator. The standard deviations are clustered at very low levels and are essentially uncorrelated (r = 0.40), which supports the interpretation that experimental noise rather than biological processes dominate any embryo-to-embryo variations in protein expression.

**Single cell proteomics.** Embryos at stage 1 are zygotes that have not undergone division; they are single cells. While there have been efforts to characterize protein expression in single cells, the data presented here for Experiments E1 and E2 include the largest dataset for single cell proteomics. These amphibian zygotes are roughly three orders of magnitude larger than mammalian zygotes and six orders of magnitude larger than somatic cells. Significant instrumental advances will be required to obtain a similar proteomic depth on those cells. Recent advances in electrospray technology and on-column digestion provide some hope that comprehensive single cell proteomics may be extended to those cells.

**Methods**

**Materials and reagents.** Bovine pancreas TPCK-treated trypsin, urea, ammonium bicarbonate (NH$_4$HCO$_3$), diithiothreitol (DTT), and iodoacetamide (IAA) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Acetonitrile (ACN) and formic acid (FA) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). Water was deionized by a Nanopure Direct Plus Water Purification System (Thermo scientific-Marietta, OH). iTRAQ 8-plex kits were purchased from AB Sciex (Foster City, CA).

**Xenopus laevis embryo culture and collection.** All animal procedures were performed according to protocols approved by the University of Notre Dame Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Female *Xenopus laevis* were induced to lay eggs by injection with 600 units of human chorionic (C1063, Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) into the peritoneal cavity of anesthetized females. Eggs were isolated from females by aseptic techniques. Eggs and miniced testis were combined in 1/3 MMR (pH 8.0) was used. Then, the labeled samples in each experiment were mixed, and three tubes of labeled digest (E1, E2 and E3) were obtained. After lyophilization, the samples were dissolved in 500 μL of 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) and further diluted to 24 μL.

**Embryo lysis and protein sample preparation.** Each collected embryo was suspended in 50 μL of mammalian cell-PE LB$^{16+}$ buffer containing complete protease inhibitor. After shaking for 30 s at room temperature, the tubes were sonicated for 3 min on ice with a Branson Sonifier 250 (VWR Scientific, Batavia, IL) to lyse the embryos completely. The lysates were then kept on ice for half an hour. The tubes were next centrifuged at 12,000 g for 10 min. Finally, the supernatants were collected into fresh Eppendorf tubes and stored at −80°C before use.

**Embryo lysis and protein sample preparation.** The experiment (E1) was performed according to protocols approved by the University of Notre Dame Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Female *Xenopus laevis* were induced to lay eggs by injection with 600 units of human chorionic (C1063, Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) into the peritoneal cavity of anesthetized females. Eggs and miniced testis were combined in 1/3 MMR (pH 8.0) was used. Then, the labeled samples in each experiment were mixed, and three tubes of labeled digest (E1, E2 and E3) were obtained. After lyophilization, the samples were dissolved in 500 μL (E1 and E2) or 800 μL (E3) of 2% ACN and 0.1%FA solution, followed by desalting by Sep-Pak C18 1 cc Vac Cartridge (Waters). The digests were lyophilized again, and then redissolved in 250 μL of 0.1% FA, followed by strong cation exchange (SCX) liquid chromatography fractionation.

**SCX fractionation.** The labeled samples from three experiments (E1, E2 and E3) were fractionated by SCX liquid chromatography using a Waters Alliance HPLC system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) at a flow rate of 0.25 mL/min. About 150 μL of the labeled fractions were loaded onto an SCX guard column, followed by a gradient into an SCX column (2mm i.d. × 12.5 mm length. Agilent Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA), and then separated with a Zorbax 300-SCX column (2 mm i.d. × 50 mm length, 5 μm particles, Agilent Technologies). The mobile phase gradient was generated using buffer A (10 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 20% ACN, pH 2.85) and buffer B (1 M KCl in A, pH 2.85). The samples in 0.1% FA were loaded, followed by 10 min washing with 100% A to remove excess iTRAQ reagent. Then, the peptides were separated by a 25 min linear gradient from 100% A to 100% B. Finally, the column was washed by 100% B for 5 min, followed by column equilibration with 100% A. Fractions were collected from 12 min to 42 min as follows. Eluate from 12 min to 18 min was collected to one fraction and from 36 min to 42 min as one fraction. From 18 min to 36 min, the eluate was collected as 1 min/fraction. In total, 20 fractions were collected from each sample.

**Peptide desalting.** The collected SCX fractions were first lyophilized. Then, the fractions from E1 and E2 were redissolved in 30 μL of 2% ACN and 0.1% FA, followed by peptide desalting by a C18 ZipTip (ZTC185096, Millipore, USA). The fractions from E3 were redissolved in 30 or 50 μL of 2% ACN and 0.1% FA, followed by desalting by a C18 ZipTip (Millipore) or a C18 spin column (Pierce Biotechnology) based on the sample amount in each fraction. The eluates were lyophilized and redissolved in 6 μL or 8 μL (E1 and E2) and 12 μL (E3) of 2% ACN and 0.1% FA solution, followed by ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC)-ESI-MS/MS analysis.

**UPLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis.** A nanoACQUITY UltraPerformance LC® (UPLC®) system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) was used for peptide separation. Buffer A (0.1% FA in ACN) and buffer B (1% FA in ACN) were used as mobile phases for gradient separation. Peptides were automatically loaded onto a commercial C18 reversed phase column (Waters, 100 μm × 100 mm, 1.7 μm particle, BEH130C18, column temperature 40°C) with 2% buffer B for 10 min at a flow rate of 1 μL/min, followed by 3-step gradient separation, 2 min from 2% to 8%, 114 min to 28% B, 3 min to 85% B, and maintained at 85% B for 13 min. The column was equilibrated for 12 min with 2% B before analysis of the next sample. The eluted peptides from the C18 column were pumped through a capillary tip for electrospray, and analyzed by a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For each sample, 2 μL of peptides were used for analysis.

The electrospray voltage was 1.6 kV, and the ion transfer tube temperature was 280°C. The S-Lens RF level was 50.00. The data acquisition was programmed in data dependent acquisition (DDA) mode. A top 12 method was used. Full MS scans were acquired in Orbitrap mass analyzer over m/z 380–1800 range with resolution of 70,000 (m/z 200) and the number of microscans set to 1. The target value was 1.00E + 06, and maximum injection time was 250 ms. For MS/MS scans, the twelve most intense peaks with charge state ≥ 2 were sequentially isolated and further fragmented in the higher-energy-collisional-dissociation (HCD) cell following full MS scan. To reduce the interference of peptide co-fragmentation to the iTRAQ quantitation, the isolation window was set as 1.0 m/z. The normalized collision energy was 33%, and tandem mass spectra were acquired in the Orbitrap mass analyzer with resolution 35,000 (m/z 200). The fixed first mass was m/z 100.0. The target value was 1.00E + 06 and maximum injection time was 120 ms. The number of microscans was 1 and the ion selection threshold was 5.0E + 04 counts. Peptide match and exclude isotopes were turned on. Dynamic exclusion was set as 30 s.

**Data analysis.** The raw .mz files were converted to Mascot generic format (.mgf) files via RAW2MSM software® with default settings for deep proteinome analysis, and via Proteome Discoverer 1.3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with default settings for protein quantitation analysis. Protein Pilot® 4.5 (AB Sciex, Foster City, CA, USA) was used for protein quantitation, the isolation window was set as 1.0 m/z. The normalized collision energy was 33%, and tandem mass spectra were acquired in the Orbitrap mass analyzer with resolution 35,000 (m/z 200). The fixed first mass was m/z 100.0. The target value was 1.00E + 06 and maximum injection time was 120 ms. The number of microscans was 1 and the ion selection threshold was 5.0E + 04 counts. Peptide match and exclude isotopes were turned on. Dynamic exclusion was set as 30 s.
for deep proteome analysis and protein quantitation analysis with .mgf files as input. Paragon™ algorithm (v. 4.5.0.0, 1654(1)) integrated in the Protein Pilot™ 4.5 was used for database searching. The Xenopus laevis database (12/28/2012 version) downloaded from the Xenbase website (ftp://ftp.xenbase.org/pub/Genomics/Sequences/). The Xenopus database was then combined with common contaminants and used for database searching. The parameters for database searching were as follows: T-RAPX (peptide label) was set as sample type. Iodoacetamide was set as the cysteine alkylation, trypsin as the digestion enzyme, Orbitrap MS (1–3 ppm) and Orbitrap MS/MS as instrument and urea denaturation as special factors. In addition, search effort was set as “thorough” for deep proteome analysis, and “rapid” for protein quantitation. The database searching for the reversed database was also performed in order to evaluate FDRs at the peptide and proteins levels.
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