Effect of Environmental Parameters on hydrogen Production using *Clostridium Saccharoperbutylacetonicum* N1-4 (ATCC 13564)
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Abstract: Problem statement: Hydrogen gas production by Clostridium can be improved by several ways through media formulation, or suitable environment condition. This study was carried out to investigate the environmental factors effects on hydrogen production using *Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum* N1-4 (ATCC 13564). Approach: The environmental factor studied includes initial substrate concentration, initial medium pH, temperature, sparging nitrogen and addition of Fe^{2+}. Results: The result showed that the best yield of hydrogen produced (Y_{P/S}) was 3.10 molL (molL glucose)^{-1} when an initial glucose concentration was 10 g L^{-1}, initial pH 6.0±0.2 at temperature 37°C. The volume of hydrogen produced was decreased when higher initial glucose concentration was applied. The yield of hydrogen increased when Fe^{2+} added to medium at concentration of 25 mg L^{-1}. The yield and growth were further increased by sparging with nitrogen gas. Conclusion: It was observed that the best condition for highest hydrogen yield when initial pH 6.0±0.2 at 37°C and enhanced by adding ferrous sulfate in anaerobic process.

Key words: Hydrogen production, clostridium, anaerobic fermentation, renewable energy

INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen gas has been suggested as a promising alternative to fossil fuels as an energy carrier for transportation needs. Hydrogen gas has a high energy capacity per unit mass (118.2 kJ g^{-1}), in contrast, methane has a relatively lower energy capacity (36.3 kJ g^{-1}) and CO2 is released during its combustion in conventional engines. However, most hydrogen is usually produced from stored methane reserves and other nonrenewable materials, resulting in net increases of CO2 in the environment. Shifting a fossil fuel economy to a hydrogen economy offers few environmental advantages if both are based on the net consumption of fossil fuels[1]. Thus, it is essential for reducing CO2 emissions that hydrogen production not release a net amount of CO2 into the atmosphere and that the technologies and materials used to produce hydrogen are sustainable.

At present, hydrogen is produced mainly from fossil fuels, biomass and water using chemical or biological processes. The production of hydrogen using mixed anaerobic bacterial cultures has attracted substantial interest recently due to its high conversion and non-polluting nature features as an ideal, clean and sustainable energy in contrast to chemical processes[1-4].

The concept comprises hydrogen production from non-renewable and renewable energy sources, hydrogen transportation and storage, hydrogen utilization and hydrogen safety issues[5]. Hydrogen can be produced from non-renewable (coal, nuclear energy) and renewable energy sources (sun, hydro, wind, biomass, tides and so forth) the process of hydrogen production from non-renewable sources still has a chance to release environmentally unfriendly or hazardous wastes. However, renewable energy sources are unlimited and the process of hydrogen production has little impact on the environment[6-8].

Anaerobic fermentation is a promising method of sustainable hydrogen production since organic matter, including waste products, can be used as a feedstock for the process. The highest yields of hydrogen have been reported with strains of clostridia in pure cultures or mixed cultures where clostridia are predominant[1]. Therefore, Biological processes evolving hydrogen gas are categorized as a renewable energy source. This has been the subject of basic and applied research for several decades.
In these biological processes, hydrogen production is carried out by microorganisms; those that can split water into hydrogen and oxygen molecules or those different that can ferment organic materials into hydrogen\[9\]. Based on the metabolic pathways performed by different groups of microorganisms, biological hydrogen production processes can be classified as: biophotolysis of water using algae and cyanobacteria, Photo fermentation of organic materials by photosynthetic bacteria and Dark fermentation of organic materials using fermentative bacteria\[10\]. A novel hybrid system of combining dark and photo fermentations has also been investigated to enhance hydrogen production\[11\].

Among a large number of microbial species, pure cultures of the genera *Entrobactor*, *Bacillus* and *Clostridium* are the efficient producers of hydrogen\[12,13\]. Glucose is the preferred carbon source for fermentation processes predominantly producing acetic acid or butyric acid and hydrogen gas, according to the following reactions\[13,14\]:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2H_2O & \rightarrow 2 \text{CH}_3\text{COOH} + 2\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 \\
C_6H_{12}O_6 & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{COOH} + 2\text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2
\end{align*}
\]

From the above reactions, it’s evident that the maximum of 4 mol H\(_2\)/mol glucose could be obtained when acetic acid is the byproduct and half of this yield per molecule of glucose is obtained with butyrate as the fermentation end product.

Since very few studies were conducted on hydrogen production using *Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum* N1-4 (ATCC 13564) (here after referred to as CS N1-4), further work is needed on this field to enhance yield of hydrogen. Since number of studies were conducted using this microorganism for fermentative Acetone, Butanol and Ethanol (ABE) from defined media. This research was conducted to investigate the effects of size inoculum, initial substrate concentration, initial medium pH, temperature, Fe\(^{2+}\) addition and nitrogen sparing on hydrogen production.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Microorganism and pre culture development:** CS N1-4 culture stock was obtained from a culture collection maintained at the Chemical Engineering Department, UKM. The culture stock was grown on TYA agar medium, Single colonies three to five of CS N1-4 from TYA agar culture were transferred anaerobically into 10 mL 15% Potato-Glucose (PG) containing (150 g fresh mesh potato, 0.5 g (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)SO\(_4\), 10 g glucose and 3 g CaCO\(_3\)) medium and these were incubated anaerobically condition at 30°C for 30 h\[15\]. A 1 mL aliquot of this culture was transferred into 9 mL fresh PG medium, incubated in the same conditions at 37°C for 18 h and used as inoculum.

**Culture media:** A solution of 15% PG medium per liter of distilled water was used as a growth medium for inoculum. This medium was incubated in boiling water for 1 h and then filtered with cotton cloth. The filtrate was sterilized in an autoclave at 121°C for 15 min. Tryptone-Yeast Extract- Acetate (TYA) medium was used for the pre-culture and main culture and the composition of this medium per liter of distilled water\[16\] was used. The medium contains 40 g glucose, 2 g yeast extract, 6 g Bact-Tryptone, 3 g ammonium acetate, 10 mg FeSO\(_4\).7H\(_2\)O, 0.5g KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) and 0.3 g MgSO\(_4\).7H\(_2\)O per liter of distilled water. The concentration of glucose was varied from 1-40 g in this experiment to investigate the substrate effect on hydrogen production. The pH of the TYA medium was adjusted to 6±0.2 with 5 M NaOH and sterilized at 121°C for 15 min\[17\]. TYA agar medium was prepared by adding 15 g Agar Bacteriological No.1.

**Experimental procedure:** Batch culture was carried out at 30°C in a 500 mL Duran bottle. At the top of the bottle there was an inlet for the medium and outlets for gases. The fermentation temperature was maintained at 37°C using a water bath. Initial glucose concentrations of 1, 5, 10, 20 and 40 g L\(^{-1}\) were evaluated. The initial pH was adjusted to 6.0 during fermentation with 5 M NaOH and sterilized at 121°C for 15 min. Aliquots containing 10% (v/v) seed culture of CS N1-4 grown for 30 h in PG and transferred to Petri dish medium agar for 48 h, were used as the inoculum. The evolved gas mixture from the reactor, containing both hydrogen and carbon dioxide was passed through 5 M KOH solution to absorb most of carbon dioxide\[18\] and then subjected to analysis.

**Analysis methods:** The composition of the gas was analyzed using gas chromatography (SRI 8610C, USA), equipped with a helium ionization detector (15 m length). The temperatures of the oven, injector and detector were 50, 100 and 200°C respectively. The cell biomass concentration was estimated as the Dry Weight Cell (DWC) by spectrophotometrically measuring the optical density at the 660 nm wavelength and then relating the optical density to DWC. The reducing-sugar (glucose) content of the medium was also estimated using the Miller method\[19\]. The glucose
concentration in the medium was measured using the 3, 5 dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) assay for total reducing sugars. A 1 mL aliquot of the sample and 2 mL aliquot of the DNS reagent mixture were mixed together in a test tube. The mixtures were placed in a boiling water bath for 5 min and then diluted with 10ml of distilled water. The absorbencies at OD550nm for all samples were recorded and the glucose concentration was calculated from a standard curve.

**RESULTS**

**Effect of inoculum size:** The effect of the inoculum sizes of 5, 10 and 20 % (v/v) were investigated in a 100 mL culture containing TYA medium at 37°C. Table 1 show that the bacteria grew fairly well in the TYA medium with 10% inoculum. The absorbance optical densities at 660 nm were 0.945, 1.361 and 1.031 for the 5, 10 and 20% cases respectively.

**Effect of initial glucose concentration:** effect of glucose content in the culture media on fermentation is presented in Table 2. The highest yield of hydrogen was observed when initial glucose concentration was 10 g L$^{-1}$ with consumption of 81.8%, as shown in Fig. 1a. The best yield of hydrogen production on varying glucose concentration with time was 10 g L$^{-1}$ as shown in Fig. 1b and this decreased with increasing glucose concentration.

**Effect of initial medium pH:** Result shows that the maximum rate of hydrogen production was measured at initial pH 6.0±0.2, while the min rate of hydrogen production was recorded at initial pH 4.0±0.2 as shown in Fig. 2a. As shown in Fig. 2b, lower consumption was observed at an initial pH of 4.0±0.2 and higher consumption was observed with an initial pH of 6.0±0.2.

The profile of biomass and glucose concentration during the course of growth of CS N1-4 in a batch system is shown in Fig. 2c. The biomass was increased with time during the experiment, while the glucose concentration decreased during time for 10 g L$^{-1}$ glucose.
Table 1: Effect of inoculum size by CS N1-4

| Parameters                        | Inoculum 5% (v/v) | Inoculum 10% (v/v) | Inoculum 20% (v/v) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Final pH                         | 4.4000            | 4.5800             | 4.6500             |
| Specific growth rate, h-1 (µ)    | 0.0192            | 0.0541             | 0.0330             |
| Initial growth as measured by OD 660 nm | 0.0320 | 0.0560 | 0.0610 |
| Final growth as measured by OD 660 nm | 0.9450 | 1.3610 | 1.0310 |
| Max. Time H₂ produced           | 32.0000           | 28.0000            | 22.0000            |
| Y_p/s, mL H₂ (g glucose)^1       | 203.0000          | 290.0000           | 232.0000           |

Table 2: Effect of initial glucose concentration on hydrogen production by CS N1-4

| Initial glucose, g L⁻¹ concentration | Duration of gas production, h | Volume of Hydrogen Production, mL | Residual glucose concentration | Glucose consumption (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1                                    | 24                            | 232                               | 0.13                          | 87.00                   |
| 5                                    | 34                            | 255                               | 0.90                          | 82.00                   |
| 10                                   | 48                            | 388                               | 1.82                          | 81.80                   |
| 20                                   | 48                            | 203                               | 8.20                          | 59.00                   |
| 40                                   | 49                            | 110                               | 26.50                         | 33.75                   |

Fig. 2c: Profile of biomass concentration and glucose consumed during fermentative in TYA medium.

**Effect of temperature:** The effect of temperature on the rate of hydrogen production was also investigated in this study. The range of temperatures studied was from 25-40°C. The initial glucose concentration and initial pH were 10 g L⁻¹ and 6.0±0.2, respectively. The effect of temperature on fermentation medium for hydrogen production is shown in Fig. 3. It was observed that the rate of hydrogen production increased with increasing temperature. The best production was recorded at 37°C. Further increases in temperature resulted in a subsequent reduction of hydrogen production. When comparing this result with previous study on other microorganisms, it was found that the maximum hydrogen production was at 38°C[24], 40.5°C[25], 40°C[20] and 37°C[23].

**Effect of nitrogen sparging:** Since CS N1-4 is anaerobe bacteria precaution was controlled to minimize the introduction of oxygen into the culture after medium sterilization and during inoculation[17].

**Effect of Fe²⁺ concentrations:** In hydrogen production fermentation processes several researcher reported on the effect of iron on the bacterial metabolism. It was reported that iron- sulfur has effect on protein functions primarily as an electron carrier and is involved in pyruvate oxidation to acetyl-CoA, CO₂ and H₂.
Iron could induce metabolic change and be involved in Fe-S and non Fe-S proteins operating in hydrogenase\cite{27}. The addition of iron sulfate has some effects on growth and the rate of hydrogen production. In this work the effect of iron on hydrogen production, ferrous sulphate heptahydrate (1-100 mg L\(^{-1}\)) was investigated. Hydrogen production and production rate with the growth parameters were shown in Table 3. It was observed that the best condition for highest hydrogen yield when initial pH 6.0±0.2 at 37°C and enhanced by adding ferrous sulfate in anaerobic process. Highest yield of hydrogen was observed to be collected when FeSo\(_4\).7H\(_2\)O concentration 25 mg L\(^{-1}\).

**DISCUSSION**

In Table 1, these results reflect that a higher hydrogen yield, doubling time and specific growth rate were observed when the inoculum size was 10%.

The initial glucose concentration plays an important role in the quality and rate of hydrogen production during the fermentation at the relatively low initial glucose levels. The rate of fermentation was low according to the law of mass action as reported in the literature\cite{13,20}.

pH is an important controlling factor of anaerobic fermentation processes. Many researchers have reported that pH could affect the metabolic pathway of dark fermentation in pure culture\cite{3,21,22,26}. A set of tests in this study was performed by varying the initial pH from 4.0±0.2-8.0±0.2 and the result was in the range with previous work.

In effect of temperature the result was in agreement with the results reported by references\cite{13,23} using other microorganisms. By comparing this result with previous study on other microorganisms, it was found that the maximum hydrogen production was at 38°C\cite{24}, 40.5°C\cite{23}, 40°C\cite{20} and 37°C\cite{22}.
CONCLUSION

This study investigated the effects of environmental factors on formative hydrogen production using CS N1-4 in batch reactor. The best concentration of glucose for a higher production of hydrogen was studied and the highest yield of hydrogen was 3.10 mol (mol glucose)$^{-1}$ when an initial glucose concentration is 10 g L$^{-1}$ as a substrate in TYA medium while by increasing the initial substrate the hydrogen production rate decreased. A set of tests in this study was performed at varying initial pHs from 4.0±0.2-8.0±0.2. The highest rate of hydrogen production was at initial pH 6.0±0.2, while the lowest rate of hydrogen production was recorded at initial pH 4.0±0.2. The effect of temperature of fermentation medium on hydrogen production rate was studied and the best production was recorded at 37°C. It was observed that the best condition for highest hydrogen yield when initial pH 6.0±0.2 at 37°C and enhanced by adding ferrous sulfate in anaerobic process. The yield of hydrogen was observed to increase by adding Fe$^{2+}$ concentration 25 mg L$^{-1}$ and the yield of hydrogen enhanced further by sparging the fermentation medium with nitrogen gas to eliminate any oxygen in the system.
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