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**INTRODUCTION**

Archaea are prevalent in many extreme environments but are also found in vast numbers in mesophilic marine and terrestrial environments where they contribute substantially to carbon, phosphorus, sulfur, and nitrogen cycles (Jarrell et al., 2011; references cited therein). Their importance in these global cycles is newly appreciated and demands a more complete understanding of archaeal encoded biochemistries and metabolic pathways. Advances have been limited due in large part to the difficulties associated with cultivating many archaea in the laboratory and the severe bottleneck resultant from the lack of genetic systems for most archaea. The dearth of genetic resources not only restricts our understanding of archaea in their natural environments, but also constrains the utility of this Domain for biomedical, biochemical, and industrial applications. This knowledge gap is perhaps most poignant for the hyperthermophilic archaea wherein the commercial utility of thermostable enzymes has been long recognized (Fujii et al., 1998; Hashimoto et al., 2001; Imanaka et al., 2001; Izumi et al., 2008; Hotta et al., 2002; Imanaka and Atomi, 2002; Cho et al., 2007; Griffiths et al., 2007; Blumer-Schuette et al., 2008; De Stefano et al., 2008; Bae et al., 2009; Kelly et al., 2009; Gaidamaviciute et al., 2010).

Within just the past decade, the recalcitrance of the archaea has dramatically declined due to advances in genetic techniques for select model organisms, and our understanding of archaeal physiology has resulted in exponential expansion (Tumbula and Whitman, 1999; Rother and Metcalf, 2005; Albers and Driessen, 2008; Santangelo and Reeve, 2010a; Leigh et al., 2011; Lipscomb et al., 2011). Essentially all barriers have been removed, and arguably the most complete set of genetic techniques has been developed for the globally abundant Thermococcales (Endoh et al., 2008; Santangelo and Reeve, 2010b; Bridger et al., 2011; Farkas et al., 2011; Takemasa et al., 2011). The model organism *Thermococcus kodakarensis* (*T. kodakarensis*; formally Pyrococcus kodakarensis or *T. kodakaren- sii*; Morikawa et al., 1994; Atomi et al., 2004b), for which the most complete suite of genetic techniques is available, is the subject of this review.

New avenues, based on advances in *T. kodakarensis* genetics, permit direct characterization of innumerable archaeal enzymes and their chemistries (Atomi et al., 2001, 2004a; Shiraki et al., 2003; Fuku et al., 2004, 2008; Rashid et al., 2004; Sato et al., 2004, 2007; Imanaka et al., 2006; Murakami et al., 2006; Orita et al., 2006; Kanai et al., 2007, 2010, 2011; Danno et al., 2008; Fujiwara et al., 2008; Louvel et al., 2009; Yokooji et al., 2009; Bore et al., 2010; Kobori et al., 2010; Morimoto et al., 2010; Matsuura et al., 2011), provide industrially relevant alternative biofuel platforms (Atomi et al., 2005, 2011; Chou et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010; Atomi et al., 2011; Santangelo et al., 2011; Bae et al., 2012; Davida et al., 2012), unlock the largely untapped reservoir of archaeal encoded natural products (Atomi, 2005; Kim and Peeples, 2006; Littlechild, 2011; Matsumi et al., 2011; Sato and Atomi, 2011), and offer the opportunity to dissect euukaryotic-like information processing systems composed of minimal components (Yamamoto et al., 2002; Santangelo and Reeve, 2006, 2010a; Kanai et al., 2007, 2010, 2011; Santangelo et al., 2007, 2008a, 2009; Hirata et al., 2008; Dev et al., 2009; Yamaji et al., 2009; Fujikane et al., 2010; Li et al., 2010, 2011; Ishino et al., 2011; Nunoura et al., 2011; Pan et al., 2011; Santangelo and Artismovich, 2011).

*Thermococcus kodakarensis* is a marine, anaerobic, heterotrophic, hyperthermophilic archaeon (85°C), planktonic euryarchaeon, and thrives in medium supplemented with peptides, starch, or chitin, using S- or H+ as a terminal electron acceptor, generating H2S or H2, respectively. *T. kodakarensis* grows rapidly (doubling rate ~40 min in rich media) to high cell densities and produces defined colonies on solid media, allowing overnight selections reliant on prototrophic markers (i.e., tryptophan, arginine, uracil, or agmatine) or antibiotic resistance (i.e., mevinolin, simvastatin) on defined or rich plates. Counter-selective procedures have been developed that facilitate repetitive modification of *T. kodakarensis’* small 2.08 Mb genome (52% GC; Fukui et al., 2005) that readily
incorporates exogenous DNA via homologous recombination with high efficiency (Sato et al., 2003). *T. kodakarensis* is naturally competent, requires no special techniques for transformation, accepts linear and circular DNAs, and via homologous recombination through short sequences of complementarity yields transformants at a frequency of ∼1 in 10^7 cells plated (∼100 transformants/10^9 cells/μg of transforming DNA). *T. kodakarensis* can support maintenance of autonomously replicating plasmids that provide ectopic expression platforms (Santangelo et al., 2008b), and a strong knowledge base of transcription regulation allows selective expression of native or introduced genes.

This multitude of selective markers and genetic techniques allow for essentially limitless genomic alterations including gene deletion, gene insertion, gene modification (allelic modifications as well as addition of affinity and epitope tags), promoter exchange, reporter gene expression, and any combination therein. Simple preservation techniques permit long-term frozen strain storage and the pace of strain construction is now limited only by molecular biology considerations. Here we briefly review existing genetic techniques available for *T. kodakarensis* and present a universally applicable platform and strategy developed to generate the first comprehensive archaeal strain collections.

**GENETIC TECHNIQUES ALLOWING MODIFICATION OF THE *T. KODAKARENSIS* GENOME**

Protocols for *T. kodakarensis* growth and genetic manipulation, as well as the underlying basis of each selection have recently been reviewed (Santangelo and Reeve, 2010b) and here we instead focus on the advantages and limitations of each technique and selective system. Table 1 provides an overview of the genetic selections available and highlights the utility and limitations of each system. Each selective marker can be deployed as a single expression cassette, allowing the marker to be incorporated into and retained within the genome while coincidently modifying the genome in some manner, for example to generate a strain deleted for a specific gene (Figure 1). With the exception of the uracil-based marker (see below), use of any marker in isolation necessarily eliminates reuse of the same marker for any subsequent modification of the same genome. Individual markers have been employed consecutively to produce strains with multiple modifications, with each modification resulting in an additional marker retained in the genome of the final strain. More often, counter-selective pressures applied to recover the marker through a recombination based excision from the genome, allowing for markerless and repetitive modifications to be made to a single genome. Such counter-selective strategies are available for the uracil and 6-methyl purine (6MP) based markers, but only the uracil marker is functional for both positive- and counter-selection in isolation. The 6MP-based marker provides no useful positive selection, but can be paired with any of the positive selection cassettes to provide a two-gene cassette capable of positive selection into and counter-selective excision from the *T. kodakarensis* genome (Figure 2).

Regardless of the modification, all genetic manipulations are directed to specific loci through homology between the donor DNA and the *T. kodakarensis* chromosome, and recombination is efficient with ∼200 or more base pairs (bp) of sequence homology (Figures 1 and 2). Smaller regions of complementarity are also functional, but constraints of this manner are atypical of most transformations. Donor DNAs are most commonly circular DNAs that cannot autonomously replicate in *T. kodakarensis*, although linear DNA is also suitable for transformation. Expression cassettes containing a single selectable marker are most commonly flanked by sequences with homology to the locus of choice and transformants resultant from double-homologous recombination.

Table 1 | Selective markers available for modification of Thermococcus kodakarensis.

| Selectable marker | Gene(s) | Gene function | Strain (required genotype) | Advantages | Limitations/disadvantages | Reference |
|-------------------|---------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Uracil | TK2276 | Orotidine-5'-phosphate decarboxylase | KU216 (ΔpyrF), KUV1 (ΔpyrF, ΔtrpE) | Easily paired with 5-FOA-based counter-selection for markerless modifications | Uracil contamination yields high backgrounds; limited to minimal media; limited host range | Sato et al. (2003, 2005) |
| Tryptophan | TK0254 | Large subunit of anthranilate synthase | KW128 (ΔpyrF; ΔtrpE::pyrF) | Rigid selection requiring no media additions | Limited to minimal media; limited host range | Sato et al. (2005) |
| Arginine/ citrulline | PF0207, PF0208 | Argininosuccinate synthase, argininosuccinate lyase | Any strain | No strain restrictions | Limited to minimal media; requires supplementation with citrulline | Santangelo and Reeve (2010b) |
| Agmatine | TK0149 | Pyrrolysyl-dependent arginine decarboxylase | TS559 (ΔpyrF; ΔtrpE::pyrF, ΔTK0664, ΔTK0149) | Provides selective pressure in rich media | Limited host range | Santangelo and Reeve (2010b) |
| Simvastatin/ mevinolin | PF1848 | HMG-CoA reductase | Any strain | Provides selective pressure in rich media; no strain restrictions | Spontaneous SimyMev resistance provides a high background | Matsumi et al. (2007), Santangelo et al. (2007), Santangelo et al. (2011) |
| 6-methyl purine | TK0664 | Hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase | TS517 (ΔpyrF; ΔtrpE::pyrF, ΔTK0664) | Provides counter-selective pressure | Provides no positive selection; counter-selection requires minimal media | |
into the *Thermococcus kodakarensis* genome are identified via diagnostic PCRs. Recombination of an entire circular donor DNA molecule into the genome via only a single homologous crossover does result in transformants that survive selective pressures, but under most circumstances such transformants are non-desirable and easily identified via diagnostic PCRs.

**FIGURE 1** | Integration of a selection cassette into the *Thermococcus kodakarensis* genome provides a mechanism for genomic modification. A general scheme for integration of a selectable marker cassette (gene providing positive selection, gray; promoter, bent arrow) into the genome of a recipient strain. The resultant genome of the transformant results from two homologous recombination events (dashed lines) between flanking regions contained in both the donor DNA and targeted genomic locus. For simplicity, a single gene replacement of a target locus (yellow) by the selectable marker is shown, although different genomic alterations including allelic exchanges, promoter alterations, and additions of epitopes can similarly be introduced using the same technique. Each marker in Table 1, with the exception of 6MP, can be employed to generate strains that retain the marker at or near the modified locus.

**FIGURE 2** | Markerless-modification of the *Thermococcus kodakarensis* genome via sequential positive selection and subsequent counter-selection. Donor DNA, a hypothetical target locus in the recipient genome, the resultant intermediate, and final genomes are shown. The scheme diagrammed generates an intermediate strain wherein both the selectable (gray) and counter-selectable marker (TK0664; orange) are flanked by a direct repeat (cyan), and the intermediate strain is deleted for the target gene. Alternative donor DNAs can result in intermediate strains that retain the target gene, necessitating excision of the selectable markers in addition to the target gene to via recombination between direct repeats to generate the desired final markerless-deletion strain. The same protocol can be used with the uracil (pyrF) cassette that will serve as both the positive and counter-selective marker. Any combination of a positive selection cassette (Table 1) and TK0664-based 6MP counter-selection can be employed in a two-gene mechanism to introduce markerless modifications to the *T. kodakarensis* genome.

**PROTOTROPIC SELECTIONS**

**ARGININE/CITRULLINE-BASED SELECTION**

In contrast to several members of the *Thermococcales*, *T. kodakarensis* is an arginine auxotroph (Fukui et al., 2005). Introduction and expression of two genes from *P. furiosus*, PF0207 and PF0208 that encode argininosuccinate synthase and argininosuccinate lyase respectively, to the *T. kodakarensis* genome, or on a replicative plasmid (see below), were shown to provide any *T. kodakarensis* strain the capacity to combine citrulline and aspartate to form arginine, and thus provide arginine prototrophy. *T. kodakarensis* makes abundant aspartate, but cultures must be supplemented with citrulline for introduction of the exogenous *P. furiosus* genes to confer arginine prototrophy; citrulline supplementation in the absence of PF0207/PF0208 expression is insufficient to impart arginine prototrophy.

Arginine/citrulline-based selections are rigid, with no spontaneous arginine-prototrophic colony formation. Initial selection is limited to growth on minimal media (19 amino acids plus citrulline), but once confirmed, strains can be passage and plated on rich media without concern for retention of the marker; spontaneous excision has not been reported for any marker employed for *T. kodakarensis* genetic manipulations. The greatest advantage of arginine/citrulline-based selections is the lack of any genotypic strain requirements. This flexibility is unmatched by the other available prototrophic markers, and although the arginine/citrulline-based selection has only recently been developed, it is compatible with all other selections. The only potential disadvantage is the necessity to supplement defined media with citrulline, however addition is not of significant concern based on
cost, availability, or stability, nor is addition of citrulline necessary for growth in rich media.

**TRYPTOPHAN-BASED SELECTION**

Only specific strains of *Thermococcus kodakarensis* are amenable to tryptophan-based selection, although these strains are widely available and selections based on tryptophan have been employed in the most diverse *T. kodakarensis* strain constructions (Sato et al., 2003, 2005; Imanaka et al., 2006). Most reported tryptophan auxotrophic strains are non-reverting as the result of an insertion in TK0254 (*trpE*), encoding the large subunit of anthranilate synthase. Tryptophan selection, like arginine/citrulline-based selections is rigid, and no spontaneous tryptophan-prototrophic colonies have been recovered in the absence of donor DNA. Initial selections are still limited to defined media, but in contrast to arginine/citrulline-based selections, the tryptophan-based selection does not require medium supplementation. Integration of the *trpE* cassette is similarly stable and does not require selective pressure once established, allowing growth of confirmed strains in rich media without concern for loss of the marker.

**AGMATINE-BASED SELECTION**

Polyamines serve as essential counter-ions in all Domains and are typically derived from the precursor agmatine (Morimoto et al., 2010). Agmatine is decarboxylated arginine, and although *T. kodakarensis* is an arginine auxotroph, it does encode a pyruvoyl-dependent arginine decarboxylase (TK0149; Fukuda et al., 2008). Deletion or inactivation of TK0149 results in the agmatine-dependent growth, allowing selections in specific strains wherein TK0149 was previously deleted (Santangelo and Reeve, 2010b). The major advantage of agmatine-based selections, despite their limited host range, is that agmatine auxotrophy is lethal even in rich media, thus initial selections to agmatine prototrophy can be performed on rich media. This pronounced difference from uracil-, arginine/citrulline-, or tryptophan-based prototrophic selections provides a means for selection of transformants overnight in contrast to the 3–4 days typically required for colony formation on defined media.

Agmatine-based selections are as rigid as those for tryptophan or arginine/citrulline, but do require strains deleted for TK0149 as well as supplementation of these strains with agmatine prior to transformation. These concerns are minor as agmatine is inexpensive and widely available. The selective pressure provided by the agmatine-marker is particularly useful for retention of replicative plasmids in rich media (see below).

**URACIL-BASED SELECTION AND 5-FOA-BASED COUNTER-SELECTION**

The first reported selections (Sato et al., 2003, 2005) that were established into useful genetic techniques were based on spontaneous resistance to 5-fluoro-orotic acid (5-FOAR), a cytotoxic pyrimidine analog. 5-FOAR strains were isolated and shown to contain mutations disrupting the sequence of, or limiting the expression of TK2138 or TK2276 (*pyrE* or *pyrF*, respectively), consistent with the conserved roles of *pyrE* and *pyrF* in pyrimidine metabolism (Sato et al., 2003). Strains containing non-reverting mutations of *pyrF* serve as the host for donor DNAs that introduce targeted gene disruptions at remote loci while restoring *pyrF* expression, and thus uracil prototrophy, from this same location.

Uracil-dependent techniques are limited to defined media, and while uracil auxotrophy/prototrophy is still used to isolate transformants, many media components contain trace or greater amounts of uracil that routinely complicate isolation of mutants using this method. The technology is retained in large part due to its simplicity, and perhaps more importantly, the ability to counter-select against *pyrF* function, allowing repetitive manipulation of the genome via an initial selection and sequent counter-selection (pop-in/pop-out) mechanism (Figure 2). By integrating a *pyrF* cassette while at the same time introducing duplicate sequences flanking *pyrF*, researchers can develop so-called intermediate strains with the desired phenotype. Exposure of this intermediate strain to 5-FOA most commonly results in 5-FOAR colonies resultant from recombination between the direct repeats flanking *pyrF*, and thus excision of the marker from the chromosome while the desired modification is retained in the genome. The excision event produces restored uracil auxotrophy, allowing reuse of the uracil marker to generate a second, third, fourth, etc., genomic alteration. Proper planning allows for exquisite precision during initial integration and subsequent excision, permitting markerless deletions, or alternative genomic modifications.

**ANTIBIOTIC-BASED SELECTIONS**

Few antibiotics/antimicrobials have demonstrated efficacy against archaea, and of those, only a few are stable enough at high temperature to be employed for use with hyperthermophiles. Antibiotic selections remain highly desirable as they are typically robust, effective on many media, and provide continued selective pressure when transformants are transferred to liquid media. A class of statins, developed to inhibit cholesterol biosynthesis and typified by simvastatin (Sim) and mevinolin (Mev), is effective in the low μM range at limiting *T. kodakarensis* growth (Matsumi et al., 2007; Santangelo et al., 2008a). Archaeal strains spontaneously resistant to Sim/Mev (Sim/MevR) were shown to have mutations that mapped to the locus encoding 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMG-CoA reductase), and these mutations generally lead to overexpression of HMG-CoA reductase (Lam and Doolittle, 1992). It was hypothesized and subsequently shown that increased expression of, rather than modifications to, HMG-CoA reductase provided a level of resistance to Sim/Mev. Selections based on the introduction of an additional and highly expressed copy of HMG-CoA reductase, provided to generally increase the in vivo levels of HMG-CoA reductase soon followed, with the HMG-CoA reductase from *P. furiosus* employed to limit unwanted recombination between the native *T. kodakarensis* HMG-CoA reductase and the introduced copy of HMG-CoA reductase.

Selections based on Sim/MevR are advantageous in that they are not limited to a select genotype and provide selective pressure in all media allowing rapid selection on rich media. However, Sim/Mev-based selections are disreputably weak and are cost prohibitive on a large scale. Spontaneous Sim/MevR colonies are readily recovered, and this incidence presents real and significant challenges when working with slow growing strains that may be overwhelmed in liquid culture by spontaneous Sim/MevR cells. Plasmid maintenance based on Sim/MevR is possible, with the noted caveats of spontaneous resistance.
TWO-GENE SELECTION/COUNTER-SELECTION MODIFICATION OF THE T. KODAKARENSIS CHROMOSOME

The uracil-based selection and 5-FOA-based counter-selection are advantageous for generating strains with markerless modifications to the T. kodakarensis chromosome and for repeated manipulation of the same chromosome at multiple loci, but is hamstrung by the lack of rigidity in generating uracil free media. The uracil contamination of most commercially available medium components is sufficient to support weak growth of ΔpyrF strains over the course of several days.

To circumvent these concerns, a more rigid selection/counter-selection procedure was developed based on the sensitivity of all T. kodakarensis strains to the cytotoxic compound 6-methyl purine (6MP). T. kodakarensis encodes a complete purine biosynthetic pathway, but like many organisms also encodes a purine-scavenging pathway to recycle purines and nucleotides from the environment. 6MP is a nucleotide base analog that, once imported and converted to a modified nucleotide- or deoxynucleotide-triphosphate and incorporated into macromolecules, overwhelms DNA repair pathways and inhibits the information processing machinery. Spontaneous 6MPR T. kodakarensis strains were isolated, and the mutations resulting in 6MPR were mapped. All mutations were at the TK0664 locus, and it was subsequently shown that inactivation or deletion of TK0664, encoding a hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase, conferred 6MPR.

A 6MP-based counter-selection against TK0664 was established, but required pairing with an initial prototrophic or antibiotic-based positive selection to generate initial transformants. Combining any of the selection cassettes with a cassette encoding TK0664 provides a two-gene based selection/counter-selection procedure (Figure 2) that functionally mimics the single pyrF-based uracil prototrophy selection, 5-FOA counter-selection protocol. The limitations of this two-gene system include the necessity to introduce two expression cassettes during initial strain construction, the reasonable expense of 6MP, the very limited host range, and the requirement of a different host strain for each gene pair. These complications are largely outweighed by the efficiency of the system compared to the uracil-based selection/counter-selection, but are still laborious.

FIGURE 3 | Replicative vectors provide platforms for exogenous and ectopic expression in Thermococcus kodakarensis. T.k. E. coli shuttle vectors are the result of merging the entire Thermococcus nautilus-derived pTN1 sequence with a common E. coli plasmid (pCR2.1-Topo; Invitrogen). The marker additions provide the means to select T. kodakarensis transformants on any media, and the expression cassette provides a mechanism to ectopically express any gene of choice in T. kodakarensis.
**REPLICATIVE EXPRESSION VECTORS**

*Thermococcus kodakarensis* does not naturally contain any extrachromosomal elements, but *T. kodakarensis* does support replication of plasmids derived from other *Thermococcales*. *T. nautilus* was shown to contain three distinct plasmids (Soler et al., 2007), and the smallest of these, pTN1, was converted into an *E. coli-* *T. kodakarensis* shuttle vector (Figure 3; Santangelo et al., 2008b). Variants of this vector carrying most combinations of selective markers are available, and necessarily retain at least one marker (Sim/Mev or agmatine) that exerts selective pressure in rich media.

When these vectors are used to express essential genes, the chromosomal locus for the same gene can be modified or deleted, and viability may then be dependent on retention of the plasmid. Replicative vectors also permit protein expression under native conditions, at controlled levels, and allow *T. kodakarensis* to serve as a host of exogenous gene expression (Santangelo et al., 2008b, 2010; Takemasa et al., 2011). The latter may impart novel capacities to *T. kodakarensis*, or fulfill industrial needs for thermostable protein expression. These shuttle vectors can be easily modified in *E. coli* to introduce sequences encoding epitopes and affinity tags that facilitate downstream purification of the encoded products. Finally, collections of plasmids, for example, a plasmid library containing randomly mutagenized variants of a gene, can be quickly produced in *E. coli* and the efficiency of *T. kodakarensis* transformation supports introduction of this library for use in screens and selections.

**A UNIVERSAL PLATFORM FOR CONSTRUCTION OF COMPREHENSIVE STRAIN COLLECTIONS**

The existing genetic techniques for *T. kodakarensis* were recently combined into a universally applicable strategy to generate the first comprehensive strain libraries for any archaeon. Two *T. kodakarensis* strain libraries, one wherein each non-essential gene is individually deleted, and a second wherein each protein-encoding gene is modified to encode an epitope and affinity tagged isoform, are under construction. We outline some details of the streamlined procedure for construction of the library vectors and associated strains (Figures 4–6). This platform can be adapted to generate any *T. kodakarensis* strain of choice and provides an alternative to the often laborious molecular biology manipulations that are required to generate standard vectors for integration into, and subsequent excision from, the *T. kodakarensis* genome. The use of single crossover integrations provides for the possibility of excision of the plasmid to restore the TS559 genome without modification.

---

**FIGURE 4 | Construction of foundation plasmids by a ligation-independent technique.** (Left) Each target gene as well as ∼500–700 bp of both upstream and downstream adjacent DNA is amplified from *T. kodakarensis* chromosomal DNA with a pair of primers that introduce unique 13 bp terminal extensions to the amplicon. Incubation of the purified amplicon with T4 DNA polymerase (DNAP) and only dGTP leads to a 3′-5′ exonuclease recession of the 3′ ends to produce non-complementary 12-nt sticky ends. (Right) pTS700 is linearized through a unique *Swa*I site, producing 3′ ends that are similarly recessed with T4 DNAP and dCTP to yield sticky ends complementary to the sticky ends of each amplicon permitting directional cloning of the amplicon in a ligation-independent reaction. Amplicons for each *T. kodakarensis* gene, regardless of gene orientation, gene length, or sequence can be cloned in an identical manner to yield foundation plasmids (A-plasmids). The TATA-box of PhmtB is boxed and the site of transcription initiation marked with a bent arrow; the Shine–Dalgarno sequence (SD) is underlined; the *Swa*I recognition sequence is shown in gray; the selectable and counter-selectable expression cassettes, TK0149 and TK0664, are shown in pink and orange, respectively; the plasmid origin of replication (oriC) and *E. coli* resistance cassette (*bla*) are shown in gray. The color scheme is conserved in Figures 4–7.
as would be necessary when targeting an essential gene. Continued recovery of only the restored TS559 genome provides a statistical measure that can be applied to determine essentiality of individual genes.

The collections are all based on a single *T. kodakarensis* strain and vector, but the technologies employed are nearly identical to the platforms described above. pTS700 provides the vehicle for introduction of donor DNA complementary to the *T. kodakarensis* genome and carries the selectable and counter-selectable markers facilitating the most rapid and rigid integration into and excision from the *T. kodakarensis* genome, but will not autonomously replicate in *T. kodakarensis* ([Figure 4](#fig4)). The host strain, TS559, has the complementary genotype (ΔpyrF; ΔtrpE::pyrF, ΔTK0664, ΔTK0149) for the selectable markers carried on pTS700. The strain libraries so constructed are markerless, and as such the resultant strains are isogenic while importantly permitting continued modification of the strains using the library of vectors so established. The strains are compatible with every replicative vector, further increasing their utility for complex genetic manipulations and their use in selections and screens to isolate strain variants of choice. The use of a single platform provides for economical and rapid construction of the necessary vectors to generate ∼4,600 unique strains.

Construction begins with amplification of a target gene (shown in yellow, [Figure 4](#fig4)) with ∼500–700 bp of flanking DNA. This amplicon is cloned via a ligation-independent mechanism into pTS700 generating the initial vector termed an “A” plasmid. The ligation-independent mechanism permits all amplicons to be cloned using the same procedure, thus simplifying construction of ∼2,300 A-plasmids, one for each *T. kodakarensis* protein-encoding gene. Each A-plasmid serves as a foundation plasmid, from which additional vectors of choice can be generated. Two plasmid variants, termed “B-” and “C-plasmids,” are typically generated from the A-plasmid that respectively provide the donor DNAs to generate the deletion and epitope/affinity tagged *T. kodakarensis* strains for each protein-encoding gene. A-plasmids can undergo additional or combinatorial modifications for construction of more unique strains (i.e., “Q-plasmids” contain modified promoters; “M-plasmids” contain allelic modifications), but for this review we concentrate on construction of the deletion and epitope/affinity tagged libraries.

B-plasmids are constructed in a single-step PCR-based procedure wherein the original target gene (yellow) is deleted from the plasmid, while leaving the flanking DNA that will target integration of the entire B-plasmid to the TS559 genome ([Figure 5](#fig5)). Two separate initial integration events are possible for incorporation of the entire B-plasmid to the genome, and each generates an intermediate strain that, when 6MP-based counter-selective pressure is applied, can undergo an internal recombination event to either restore the original genome or produce a strain containing...
a genome with the desired, targeted deletion (Figure 6). The ratio of WT versus desired deletion recovered 6MPR strains provides a statistical measure identifying essential genes; failure to recover a strain with the desired deletion from a large number of 6MPR final strains implies essentiality.

C-plasmids are similar constructed from A-plasmids via a PCR-based procedure that introduces the 45 bp encoding the His6 and 9-amino acid hemagglutinin (HA; YPYDVPDYA) tag to the target gene, whilst retaining the entire original amplicon of the foundation plasmid (Figures 5 and 7). Both the His6 and HA-tags have been used successful to facilitate protein identification and ease protein purification with minimal background. C-plasmids can similarly integrate and excise from the genome to generate the desired tagged strain or restore the TS559 genome.

The donor plasmids also contain the constitutively expressed $P_{hmbB}$ promoter immediately upstream of the site wherein the initial amplicon is cloned to generate the A-plasmid. $P_{hmbB}$ provides an expression platform for genes that may become separated from their promoter, as would be common for an integration event that disrupts an operon.

**CONCLUSION**

The genetic techniques and tools for *T. kodakarensis* provide a potent arsenal of mechanisms to precisely and repetitively modify the chromosome, as well as ectopically introduce and express exogenous or modified genes *in vivo*. The selectable markers and host strains developed to date provide the means for essentially any modification in any strain background. Although not the subject of this review, the developed reporter constructs (Santangelo et al., 2008a, 2010) and *in vitro* transcription (Santangelo et al., 2007) and translation systems (Endoh et al., 2006, 2007; Yamaji et al., 2009) using *T. kodakarensis* components provide complementary *in vivo* and *in vitro* platforms to dissect regulation of transcription and translation regulatory mechanisms.

The possibility of generating comprehensive strain libraries is now a reality, and a common platform is in place to speed construction of such libraries and provide the community the first comprehensive strain collections with the most isogenic background possible. Furthering their value, the plasmid and strain libraries so constructed retain value for additional strain modification, including strains containing multiple deletions or multiple genes with tagged isoforms. More specific and imaginative variants
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**FIGURE 7 | Use of epitope/affinity tag plasmids (C-plasmids) to generate markerlessly tagged strains of *Thermococcus kodakarensis*.** A hypothetical region of the genome of *T. kodakarensis* strain TS559 is shown at top, with the left and right panels depicting the two possible integration events yielding agmatine-prototrophic intermediate strains from the diagramed C-plasmid. Both intermediate strains #1 and #2 contain direct repeats flanking the target locus, and dependent on recombination responsible for excision upon counter-selection with 6MP, either the original TS559 genome can be restored or the desired tag- and epitope-containing genome generated.

are quickly feasible through minor modification of the foundation plasmids for each protein-encoding gene; for example, strains with promoter alterations and allelic modifications can now be combined with select deletions, and these modifications can be made in backgrounds wherein other protein-encoding genes are tagged or modified. This depth of genetic flexibility will permit entire pathways to be introduced into, or deleted from, the *T. kodakarensis* genome, and provides a mechanism to probe biochemical pathways using complementation and screens and selections to isolate mutants with desired phenotypes.

The toolkit for *T. kodakarensis* genetics is impressive, but still incomplete. Specifically, transduction would further speed strain construction, but is not yet possible. The isolation of the first virus capable of replication in *T. kodakarensis* (Gorlas et al., 2012) may facilitate development of a transduction method. Replicative expression vectors currently all share the same origin (pTN1-based; Soler et al., 2007), and the development of additional shuttle vectors with complementary origins of replication derived from plasmids newly identified in *Thermococcal* species (Gonnet et al., 2011; Soler et al., 2011) would permit addition of several plasmids to the cell at once, or allow plasmid shuffle experiments as may be required when analyzing the function of essential genes. Constructs and promoters allowing easily regulated *in vivo* expression would be a welcome addition, providing a simply mechanism to turn gene expression on and off to monitor the effects of loss or gain of function on phenotype under dynamic conditions. Transformation efficiency should be improved, and insights may be garnered from the recently developed genetic system for the closely related organism *P. furiosus* wherein transformation efficiency is several orders of magnitude greater than that of *T. kodakarensis* (Lipscomb et al., 2011). These endeavors, as well as the ability to currently probe the form and function of each *T. kodakarensis* encoded gene, will undoubtedly continue to add to our knowledge and understanding of archaeal physiology, provide the basis for new technologies and production of commercial-relevant products, and underlie the basic research exploiting the component-simplified information processing machinery shared by archaea and eukaryotes.
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