The intrinsically disordered SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein in dynamic complex with its viral partner nsp3a
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The processes of genome replication and transcription of SARS-CoV-2 represent important targets for viral inhibition. Betacoronaviral nucleoprotein (N) is a highly dynamic cofactor of the replication-transcription complex (RTC), whose function depends on an essential interaction with the amino-terminal ubiquitin-like domain of nsp3 (Ubl1). Here, we describe this complex (dissociation constant - 30 to 200 nM) at atomic resolution. The interaction implicates two linear motifs in the intrinsically disordered linker domain (N3), a hydrophobic helix (216LALLLLDRLNLQ236) and a disordered polar strand (243QGTVKKSAAEAS253), that mutually engage to form a bipartite interaction, folding N3 around Ubl1. This results in substantial collapse in the dimensions of dimeric N, forming a highly compact molecular chaperone, that regulates binding to RNA, suggesting a key role of nsp3 in the association of N to the RTC. The identification of distinct linear motifs that mediate an important interaction between essential viral factors provides future targets for development of innovative strategies against COVID-19.

INTRODUCTION

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the origin of the respiratory coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, is a member of the betacoronavirus genus, also including Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)–CoV and SARS-CoV. In response to the rapid spread of this virus, it has become urgent to develop viral inhibitors that can reduce or eradicate symptoms. The processes of replication and transcription of viral RNA represent important targets for viral inhibition, and the development of rational strategies to achieve this end requires a molecular understanding of the viral replication cycle.

Coronaviridae are enveloped positive-sense single-strand RNA viruses that express their own replication machinery. Replication of the SARS-CoV-2 genome is carried out by the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase complex, whose functional modes have been investigated by cryo–electron microscopy (1–3). Betacoronaviral replication-transcription complexes (RTCs) are associated with membrane networks in the form of viral replication organelles called double-membrane vesicles (DMVs) constituted from membranes participating in the host secretory pathway (4). DMVs have been shown to constitute active sites of viral RNA synthesis (5, 6).

The nucleoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 (N) is an essential cofactor of the replication machinery (7, 8), encapsidating the viral genome, providing protection from the host cell environment, and playing an essential role in regulating gene transcription (9). N is produced at high levels in infected cells, making it an important marker for infection, and has also been linked to the perturbation of numerous host processes (8). Understanding the conformational behavior and function of N is therefore important for both potential therapeutic and diagnostic treatment of COVID-19 (10) as well as vaccine development (11). N colocalizes to the RTC in betacoronaviruses (12–14), although the molecular basis of its role in regulation of replication and transcription remains poorly understood. It has been shown in mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) that this colocalization depends on an essential interaction with the N-terminal component of nonstructural protein 3 (nsp3) (15, 16).

Coronaviral N is highly dynamic, and three of the five domains (numbered N1 to N5 here; see Fig. 1A) are intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs), intercalated with two folded domains [N2 and N4, also known as N- and C-terminal domains (NTD and CTD)] (17). The structural features of betacoronaviral N are strongly conserved, with 90% sequence identity between SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. N is dimeric and highly basic, and RNA binding is thought to be cooperative and to involve all five domains of N from SARS-CoV, where it plays a role in both chaperoning and RNA packaging (8, 18).

Electron tomography identified numerous N–RNA complexes within SARS-CoV-2 virions, apparently associated with different segments of the 35-kb genomes (19, 20). The N3 IDR (175 to 263) comprises a serine-arginine (SR)–rich domain that is phosphorylated in infected cells, a modification that plays a role in both function and localization of SARS-CoV N (21).

The folded domains N2 and N4 are not thought to interact with each other in SARS-CoV (17). N4 is responsible for homodimerization (17) and, together with N5, is thought to contribute to the formation of higher-order assemblies that constitute the nucleocapsid (22). N2 has been investigated in isolation by x-ray crystallography (23, 24) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (25), and its interaction with RNA has been investigated by NMR (25–27). The structure of N4 has been determined by x-ray crystallography (24, 28), and N was shown to form dimers or higher-order oligomers under different experimental conditions (28–30). Molecular modeling and single-molecule fluorescence resonance energy transfer (31) were used to probe the dynamic nature of N. The disordered character of domains N1 and N3 was verified using NMR spectroscopy (32, 33), revealing the presence of a central helical element in N3 and the flexible nature of both domains in the presence of N2. A recent study also characterized the isolated SR region of N3 in its phosphorylated and nonphosphorylated forms (34). Mass spectrometry was used to identify a number of autacatalytic sites in N, two of
Fig. 1. Figurative representation of N and nsp3a SARS-CoV-2 proteins. (A) Domain representation of N. Domain N1 is intrinsically disordered. Domain N2 (otherwise known as N-terminal domain (NTD) or RNA binding domain), whose recently determined x-ray crystallographic structure is shown here [PDB code 6m3m (23)]. Domain N3 is also intrinsically disordered, comprising a serine-arginine (SR)–rich region, followed by a short helix. Domain N4 (also known as the C-terminal domain (CTD)) is responsible for dimerization of N [structure shown is taken from the crystal structure (28), PDB code 3wzo]. The basic domain N5 is also intrinsically disordered. (B) Nsp3a. The N-terminal domain of nsp3a has a ubiquitin-like fold [the NMR structure of nsp3a of SARS-CoV (PDB code 2idy) is shown here (49)]. The C-terminal domain of nsp3a is intrinsically disordered and strongly acidic. (C) ITC of sUbl1 (16 to 111) in complex with sN3 (191 to 263) (235F or alpha variant). These domains represent the necessary domains for interaction between N and nsp3a (fig. S1 and table S1).

which are present in N3 (30). N2 and full-length N have been shown to bind to different RNAs (25, 35, 36).

N from SARS-CoV-2 has been shown to undergo liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS), forming biomolecular condensates upon mixing with RNA (31, 34, 35, 37–41). LLPS, mediated by dynamic interactions involving IDRs or RNA, offers an efficient mechanism to spatially and temporally control biochemical processes in the cell (42). In negative-sense single-stranded RNA viruses, such as rabies (43) and measles (44), components involved in viral replication have been shown to form functional membraneless condensates. In positive-sense RNA coronaviruses, there is currently no direct evidence that LLPS is related to either replication or the formation of DMVs, although it has been shown that SARS-CoV-2 RNA polymerase can localize to N:RNA droplets (34). Phosphorylation of N3 in the SR region was also shown to modulate the biophysical properties of these droplets (35, 39–41).

The N cofactor nsp3 is the largest protein encoded in the SARS-CoV-2 genome, comprising 1945 amino acids, constituting up to 16 distinct domains (45), and playing an essential role in replication and transcription (46). The amino-terminal domains of nsp3, comprising a ubiquitin-like domain (Ubl1) and a highly acidic IDR, together constitute nsp3a (Fig. 1B) (47). Ubl1 was implicated in binding to the SR region of N in MHV (48), while a yeast two-hybrid approach implicated a hydrophobic linear motif slightly upstream of the SR region (16).

The three-dimensional (3D) structures of Ubl1 from SARS-CoV (49) and MHV (50) have been determined by NMR. Yeast two-hybrid and glutathione S-transferase (GST) pull-down experiments identified viral proteins nsp8 and nsp9—components of the RTC—and different domains of nsp3 as interaction partners (51). Notably, deletion of Ubl1 results in abrogation of viral replication (15) in MHV, while the conserved highly acidic IDR was dispensable for replication. The interaction between nsp3a and N from MHV was investigated using NMR (50), revealing apparent cooperative binding of N2 and N3, and a possible inhibitory role of N1. Nsp3a was also shown to bind single-stranded RNA in SARS-CoV (49), and interaction between the transcription regulatory sequence RNA (32) and N was suggested to inhibit the N:nsp3a interaction (50). Ubl1 from SARS-CoV-2 was recently found to partition into liquid droplets comprising N and RNA (39). X-ray crystallography revealed that the 3D structure of Ubl1 in SARS-CoV-2 [Protein Data Bank (PDB) code 7kag] is very similar to that found in SARS-CoV and MHV, while NMR shows that the structure is retained in solution and that the acidic domain is disordered (53).

Nsp3 is one of the nonstructural viral proteins that are essential for formation of DMVs in MERS-CoV (54), and is thought to play a role in trafficking N to the RTC, colocalizing with N in the vicinity of DMVs (12). Recent investigation of DMVs using cellular cryo–electron tomography (4) identified molecular pores that allow the import and export of the replication/transcription substrate and product, further highlighting the importance of this interaction. The pores are shown to be constituted of nsp3 oligomers, with nsp3a protruding into the cytosol in the vicinity of putative N oligomers, suggesting that interaction with N may regulate RNA exit and encapsidation (4).

Here, we use solution-state NMR, combined with isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) and small-angle x-ray scattering (SAXS),
to describe the interaction of N with nsp3a from SARS-CoV-2. We show that the interaction is mediated uniquely by the disordered N3 domain, which folds on the surface of Ubl1 via a bipartite interaction involving two distinct linear motifs. The two proteins form a high-affinity complex implicating a hydrophobic helix, which docks into a hydrophobic groove on Ubl1, and a second interaction site in the proximity of N4. The strand between the two binding sites and the remainder of N3 remain flexible in the complex, and domains N1, N2, N4, and N5, as well as the SR region, are not directly implicated in the interaction. Nevertheless, the wrapping of N3 around Ubl1 leads to the massive compaction of the otherwise highly flexible N protein, suggesting that nsp3a is involved in trafficking and chaperoning N before encapsidation. Binding of short RNAs is also found to be abrogated in the compact Ubl1-bound form of N. The identification of linear motifs and binding sites that are important for viral function will provide active targets for the development of peptide-based viral inhibitors.

RESULTS

ITC identifies the thermodynamic nature of the interaction

Interaction between N and nsp3a was initially investigated using ITC. Different constructs of N were tested, comprising domains N123, N3, N234, N45, and short N3 (sN3, 191 to 263), in interaction with full-length nsp3a (1 to 206), Ubl1 (1 to 111), and short Ubl1 (sUbl1, 16 to 111) (Fig. 1, A and B). In all cases where interaction is observed, the thermodynamic nature of complex formation is conserved (fig. S1). The interaction exhibits dissociation constants in the range of 30 to 200 nM, depending on the exact constructs used (table S1). The enthalpic component dominates (20 to 30 kcal mol⁻¹), with a much smaller and always negative entropic contribution (Fig. 1C and fig. S1). Very similar entropic and enthalpic contributions are measured for the complexes comprising constructs containing sN3 and Ubl1, suggesting that the interaction site present in sN3 dominates the thermodynamics of complex formation.

NMR identifies the interaction interfaces of the dynamic complex

The backbone resonances of free N1 and N3 have been assigned (32), confirming the disordered nature of the two domains. NMR revealed the existence of a helical region in N3 (α1), spanning residues 219 to 230 with local propensities varying between 35 and 70% [1 to 2 ppm (parts per million) secondary Cα shift] and no secondary structure elsewhere (six residues in α1 could not be assigned in the free form of N3). With the exception of the first 15 residues, including the SR region, resonances from N3 superpose with those from N123, suggesting that N3 is equally flexible in the isolated form and in the presence of N1 and N2.

The backbone resonance assignment of nsp3a was also recently published (33), confirming the presence of a folded domain exhibiting the same secondary structure as the crystal structure of Ubl1 and a C-terminal domain that is essentially devoid of secondary structure. 15N relaxation confirms the disordered nature of this domain (fig. S2). The 15-amino acid N-terminal region of Ubl1 is also highly flexible in solution.

All NMR experiments measured on N:nsp3a complexes were hindered by substantial exchange broadening. Highest-quality spectra were recorded on complexes comprising an N-terminal truncated Ubl1 (sUbl1: 16 to 111) and sN3, molecular constructs that comprise all binding sites in both proteins (vide infra). Unless stated, these components were therefore used in subsequent assignment, relaxation, and structure determination experiments.

NMR chemical shift perturbations (CSPs) were measured to map the interaction sites between the two proteins (Fig. 2). The interaction is in the slow exchange regime on the NMR chemical shift time scale, compatible with the relatively tight affinity observed by ITC. CSPs in N3 are localized to two continuous regions of the IDR, helix α1 and the region following the poly-glutamine (polyQ) strand, spanning residues 243 to 255 (βα2) (Fig. 2C). Assignment of the backbone resonances of sN3 in complex with sUbl1 reveals that α1 binds in an α-helical conformation, while βα2 adopts a less well-defined conformation followed by a binding-induced α-helix (Fig. 2D). 15N relaxation measured on sN3 in the presence of sUbl1 (Fig. 2, E and F) supports the existence of bipartite binding motifs, with elevated R2 and reduced R1 uniquely in α1 and βα2. The disordered regions, including the SR-rich and polyQ regions, therefore remain flexible in the complex and do not appear to be directly involved in binding.

Addition of sN3 to 15N-labeled sUbl1 reveals extensive CSPs that implicate helices αA (33 to 39) and αC (69 to 75), as well as the solvent-exposed strand of the β sheet and helix αB (51 to 66) (fig. S3).

Intrinsically disordered N3 folds around Ubl1 via two separate linear motifs

A series of 15N- and 13C-edited 3D NOESY (nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy) experiments were performed to identify inter- and intramolecular contacts (Fig. 2G). Although exchange occurring on different time scales affected spectral quality, it was possible to extract both intra- and intermolecular distance restraints that allowed us to determine the structure of the complex. Approximately 50% of side chains were assigned, and 54 intramolecular distance restraints and 543 intramolecular restraints were extracted. The alpha variant of SARS-CoV-2 comprises a mutation at position S235F in N. CSPs between sN3 and sUbl1 are not affected by this mutation (Fig. S4), although NOEYS were slightly higher quality. Data were therefore combined with those of the ancestral form to determine the structures of the complex. Additional distance information was derived from site-specific 13C labeling of A2201 (Fig. 2G). The Ubl1 domain is slightly better defined [root mean square deviation (rmsd), 1.41 ± 0.26 Å] compared to the two binding sites (1.80 ± 0.42 Å) (Fig. 3, A and B). The structured part of the complex, defined as Ubl1(17 to 106), N(219 to 232), and N(245 to 254), has a backbone rmsd of 0.70 Å with respect to the medoid structure.

The complex is mediated by two linear motifs of N3, the first comprises the central helix α1, that binds in the hydrophobic groove between αC (65 to 70) and αA (33 to 39) of Ubl1 (Fig. 3, C and D). The second motif, 13 amino acids downstream of α1, follows the polyQ strand and comprises a QTVT (glutamine-threonine-valine-threonine) motif followed by six residues (249 to 255) that fold into an α-helical conformation (α2) upon binding, located in the vicinity of the loop between the β sheet and the N terminus of Ubl1 helix αB. These same residues are seen to form an α helix in the N-terminal region of the crystal structure of N4 (24, 28) and were shown to adopt an α-helical conformation in solution studies of N4 (55). The structure of sUbl1 compares closely with the crystal structure (PDB 7kag) of Ubl1 (1.7 ± 0.2 Å; fig. S5), with the main differences located in the C and N termini of helices αA and αC, respectively.

The α1 N3 interaction site is stabilized by extensive hydrophobic contacts with Ubl1. Methyl groups in the N-terminal poly-leucine
motif (I21LALLLL224) of α1 interact with hydrophobic residues at the base of the groove, partially burying the helix (Fig. 3E) such that mainly polar and charged residues are exposed to the solvent. R225 and D226 of N3, situated on opposing sides of the α1 helix in Ubl1, point in the direction of Ubl1 E70 and K38, respectively, on either side of the groove, while the terminal residue E231 is adjacent to K63, suggesting that electrostatic interactions also play an important role in stabilizing binding (Fig. 3F). The second binding site involves the polar strand 244QTVT247 of N3, which binds in a weakly defined extended conformation, adjacent to the external β strand in Ubl1 (41 to 44), similar to mechanisms adopted by small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO)–interacting motifs (56).

Cooperative binding of the two linear motifs forming a highly dynamic complex

In total, the two interaction sites implicate a stretch of around 40 N3 amino acids when binding to Ubl1, although NMR spin relaxation shows that the two binding motifs are decoupled by a highly dynamic
N3 linker (234 to 243). Exchange spectroscopy (EXSY) experiments also reveal the presence of slow exchange of the second binding motif for nine consecutive amino acids (243 to 251) between free and bound states, on a time scale of 40 to 100 s⁻¹ (figs. S6 and S7 and Materials and Methods). Slow exchange is not seen for the first helix, indicating that the second binding site exchanges between free and bound states, while $\alpha_1$ is bound, consistent with the $\alpha_1$ site having a higher affinity, such that binding of the second site ($\beta_2$) is cooperative and dependent on binding of $\alpha_1$.

SAXS was also measured on the N3:Ubl1 complex. An ensemble of conformations was generated, on the basis of the NMR bundle with the remaining region of N3 (175 to 215) sampling coil conformations in agreement with NMR chemical shifts and relaxation. This pool of conformers represents a more extended complex than measured experimentally (fig. S8). Selection of a sub-ensemble of conformers in agreement with the experimental SAXS data demonstrates that the unfolded domain of N3 appears to transiently interact with the surface of Ubl1 to make a compact complex (fig. S8).

Validation of the sN3:sUbl1 structure

The orientation of helix $\alpha_1$ in the hydrophobic groove was independently verified using paramagnetic tags attached to cysteine labels in the flexible 232 to 243 linker (M234C and S235C), resulting in broadening observed on Ubl1 in the concave face formed between helices $\alpha_1$ (33 to 39) and $\alpha_C$ (65 to 70) and the $\beta$ sheet (fig. 3H and fig. S9). In addition, a truncated construct of sN3 (215 to 263) showed indistinguishable affinity for sUbl1 but induced slight CSPs relative to the longer construct, located uniquely on the opposing face of the protein (fig. S10), again supporting the determined orientation of the helix.

Interaction with Ubl1 results in large-scale compaction of N

We investigated the structural nature of longer constructs of N and the impact of the interaction with Ubl1 on intrinsic conformational sampling. NMR spectroscopy of dimeric N234, comprising both folded domains (636 amino acids in total), exhibits a well-resolved ¹⁵N-¹H correlation spectrum (Fig. 4A), allowing measurement of spin relaxation that identifies distinct dynamic properties of N2, N3, and N4 in the context of the longer construct (Fig. 4B). Not surprisingly, the RNA binding domain, N2, exhibits increased dynamics (lower transverse relaxation rates) compared to the dimerization domain N4, which shows the highest relaxation rates, while the disordered linker N3 exhibits the highest level of dynamics (considerably lower relaxation rates). The chemical shifts of N2 and N4 are indistinguishable from the chemical shifts of the isolated domains (fig. S11) (25, 55). These observations are consistent with the perception of N234 as folded domains tethered by a highly flexible.
linker (sampling statistical coil conformations except for the $\alpha_1$ helical element), with no significantly populated interaction between these domains in solution.

We investigated the interaction of Ubl1 with dimeric N234, forming a complex of 860 amino acids in total, by observing $^{15}$N-labeled N234 in complex with unlabeled Ubl1. Although ITC measurements show a slightly reduced affinity (Fig. 1), possibly due to reduced accessibility of N3 to the binding site in the presence of N2 and N4, the interaction is maintained in the context of this longer construct, with only amino acids preceding the $\alpha_1$ binding site and between the $\alpha_1$ and $\beta\alpha_2$ binding sites remaining visible by NMR (Fig. 4, A and C). The remainder of the complex is undetected under our conditions, consistent with binding to a much larger object, causing extreme line broadening of amide resonances from N2, N4, and the two binding sites.

The dynamic model of the unbound N234 dimer is supported by SAXS (Fig. 5A). The conformational behavior of free N234 was modeled using crystal structures of N4 (57) and N2 (24) and sampling of N3 in agreement with NMR chemical shifts (Fig. 5D). SAXS data were predicted from the ensemble of conformers representing this state. This direct comparison (nonfitted) broadly reproduces experimental data (Fig. 5A) (the data suggest that the ensemble may even be slightly more extended than this), again demonstrating that all experimental data measured on the unbound N234 dimer are consistent with extensive conformational sampling of the linker domain with negligible contacts between N2 and N4 (29). The distribution of simulated radii of gyration varies in a range from 40 to 95 Å with a maximum population around 52 Å (Fig. 5B).

On the basis of SAXS, binding of dimeric N234 to Ubl1 provokes a major reduction of radius of gyration (Fig. 5A) (from 50 to 39 Å according to Guinier analysis). The theoretically accessible conformational sampling of Ubl1-bound N234 was modeled by superimposing the common $\alpha_2$ helix from our NMR ensemble and the crystal structure of N4 (Fig. 5C), the crystal structure of N2, and building the flexible, NMR-visible domains (174 to 217 and 231 to 243) (Fig. 5, D and E). Ten thousand such conformers were initially compared individually to the experimental SAXS data. SAXS from the bound state can only be reproduced by highly compact individual models of the complex, exhibiting interdomain contacts between N2 and either Ubl1 or N4 (Fig. 5F). SAXS is not capable of distinguishing between such models, and the level of domain flexibility within this framework is still substantial in particular, N(174 to 217) and (231 to 243) remain highly dynamic. Notably, however, the available degrees of conformational freedom of the flexible region of N3 in the N234sUbl1 complex would in theory allow a broad distribution of radii of gyration (Fig. 5B), which is evidently not populated in solution. On the basis of the calculated distribution, the probability of such compact conformations being sampled by unbiased statistical coil sampling of the flexible region of N3 is estimated to be less than 1 in $10^3$. While further detail of the physical basis of this compaction will
require additional interdomain information, these data unambiguously reveal that Ubl1 binding results in a massive collapse of the conformational space available to N234.

We also observe CSPs and local NMR relaxation induced in the disordered domain of nsp3a in the presence of N234 (fig. S12). This indicates that the charged regions in the acidic domain of nsp3a interact transiently with N234, possibly implicating the SR region of N3 or RNA binding sites on N2 and N4 (57).

**DISCUSSION**

The molecular mechanisms underlying the function, intracellular transport, and molecular colocalization of the different components of the replication machinery of SARS-CoV-2 remain poorly described but represent major targets for inhibitory strategies to treat COVID-19. Using NMR, SAXS, and ITC, we describe the dynamic interaction between nsp3a and N from SARS-CoV-2 that plays a vital role in this process. Nsp3 is one of the viral proteins that are critical for formation of DMVs (54), while N is essential for genome encapsidation, protection of the viral genome from host immunity, as well as regulation of replication (5) and transcription (8). Nsp3 is thought to play a role in trafficking N to the RTC and colocalizes with N in the vicinity of DMVs (12). In MHV, N and nsp3a were shown to interact (15, 16, 50), an interaction mediated by the Ubl1 domain (15), which was also shown to be essential for viral replication.

ITC demonstrates that N1, N2, N4, and N5 do not contribute significantly to the binding enthalpy or entropy of interaction.

**Formation of the Ubl1:N complex abrogates binding to short RNA strands**

One of the key roles of N in viral function is to bind the viral RNA genome, forming nucleocapsid-like structures. We have investigated the impact of complex formation with Ubl1 on RNA binding to the N234 construct by adding short, polymeric RNA (polyadenosine, polyA). Interaction of RNA with N234 is observed by 1D NMR (Fig. 6A), an interaction that is abolished in the presence of Ubl1 (Fig. 6B).
between N and nsp3a, identifying N3 and Ubl1 as the essential components. The interaction has a relatively strong affinity, exhibiting dissociation constants between 30 and 200 nM depending on the exact constructs, and is dominated by enthalpic contributions. The essential N3 domain comprises a long intrinsically disordered SR-rich N terminus and a polar C terminus, flanking a central hydrophobic strand that exhibits strongly helical propensity ($\alpha_1$), which appears to be conserved over betacoronaviridae (58).

NMR CSP and spin relaxation map the interaction site of N for Ubl1 to two distinct linear motifs in the central and C-terminal regions of N3. The conformation of Ubl1 resembles that of the recently determined crystal structure (PDB code 7kag) and the NMR structures of SARS-CoV (49) and MHV (50), with local differences in the N-binding sites. The structure of the complex reveals a bipartite interaction, which folds N3 around Ubl1, with the $\alpha_1$ helix binding in the hydrophobic groove formed between helices $\alpha_C$ (65 to 70) and $\alpha_A$ (33 to 39). The $\alpha_1$ interaction is further stabilized by electrostatic contacts with surface residues of Ubl1. The extent of intermolecular interaction corroborates the strongly enthalpic nature of complex formation. The $\alpha_1$ binding site is linked to the second ($\beta_2$) binding site via a flexible linker. $\beta_2$ forms an extended conformation that binds to the external strand of the $\beta$ sheet of Ubl1 (41 to 44) and comprises a final helix whose orientation is less well defined. $\alpha_2$ coincides with the short helix found in the N terminus of the crystal structure of N4, suggesting that Ubl1 and N4 would lie in close proximity in the complex of dimeric N234 with Ubl1. In summary, $\alpha_1$ and $\beta_2$ mutually engage to form a bipartite interaction, folding N3 around Ubl1. The expected sampling radius of the remaining N-terminal SR-rich domain is also reduced, apparently due to transient interactions with Ubl1.

The $\beta_2$ site appears to bind weaker than $\alpha_1$, as revealed by the presence of exchange between bound and free states, while $\alpha_1$ remains bound, suggesting cooperative binding driven by the $\alpha_1$ binding site. We note that slow conformational exchange was also recently observed in helix $\alpha_2$ in the context of N4 in solution (55). It is not clear what role the two distinct binding sites play, although freeing the $\beta_2$ site of nsp3a temporarily from the surface of N4 would allow extensive remodeling of the complex, for example, upon RNA binding.

NMR measurements of domains N234, which is dimeric in solution, reveal a flexible multidomain molecule, with the RNA binding (N2) and dimerization (N4) domains connected by highly dynamic N3 linker domains. SAXS of the N234:Ubl1 complex reveals that Ubl1 binding causes a massive contraction of the conformational space sampled by dimeric N234 in solution (Fig. 7). Modeling of the possible conformational sampling of N234 in the N234:Ubl1 complex demonstrates that the N2 domains must lie predominantly in close proximity to the folded domains of N4 or Ubl1. Such conformations are statistically highly unlikely when the remainder of N3 is flexible in the complex and therefore must be stabilized by as-yet unidentified interactions between N234 and Ubl1 domains. NMR data demonstrate that the complex, while compact, still exhibits considerable flexibility, with the linker regions, including the SR region and the polyQ strand, remaining highly dynamic. It is not clear whether the exchange of the $\beta_2$ binding site is maintained in the large complex, although this would be compatible with the existence of visible signals in the loop between $\alpha_1$ and $\beta_2$.

It is interesting to speculate on the role of this interaction in trafficking N to the RTC. Two colocalization processes are thought to accompany replication of the viral genome and transcription of subgenomic regions. First, replication of betacoronaviral RNA has been shown to occur principally in DMVs (5, 6), formed from remodeling of host membranes upon interaction with viral proteins, including nsp3. A recent study using electron tomography revealed the presence of molecular pores in DMVs and identified nsp3 as a constituent of the architecture of the pore (4). Nsp3a was located at the terminus of prongs extending into the cytosolic side of the pore, with six copies of the protein protruding away from the pore at symmetry-related positions on the rim of the channel. Increased density in electron tomograms suggested accumulation of N localized at the exterior of the DMV, possibly to allow rapid encapsidation and/or participation in the RTC. In view of the narrow dimensions of the exit channel, it seems plausible that naked genomic RNA may exit the DMVs following synthesis, with encapsidation by N occurring in the immediate vicinity of the exit channel. An interaction between N and nsp3a may facilitate this process.

Second, N has been shown to colocalize in the vicinity of the RTC, forming membraneless organelles, either in the presence of viral RNA or under certain conditions in its isolated form. This process of LLPS has been associated with colocalization of other components of the replication machinery, for example, the RNA polymerase was recently found to partition into membraneless organelles comprising N and RNA (34). Although it is not yet known how droplet formation may be related to the formation of DMVs and SARS-CoV-2 replication, the concentration of components of the RTC may present advantages in terms of RNA synthesis and protection from host defense strategies, as well as efficient encapsidation. In this respect, it is interesting to note that Ubl1 can be recruited into droplets formed by N in vitro (39), suggesting a mechanism by which a highly concentrated reservoir of N could be maintained in the vicinity of the DMV pore. It has recently been suggested that the region comprising residues 210 to 246 is essential for phase separation (40) and that N4 and residues 210 to 246 provide the multivalency associated with LLPS. This is intriguing because this latter region coincides partially with the two linear motifs forming the interaction surface identified here (218 to 255), and tight interaction with nsp3a might be expected to interfere with the network of weak interactions stabilizing the droplet.
The remarkable transformation of the highly flexible N, into a much more compact conformation upon binding Ubl1, may suggest a role for nsp3 as a chaperone of N before encapsidation of the viral genome at the replication site, similarly to the role of phosphoproteins in the negative-sense RNA paramyxoviridae (59). This chaperoning role could be related to the observed abrogation of RNA binding upon formation of the complex. Our experimental data demonstrate that the behavior of the N2 domains is strongly affected by formation of the compact complex with nsp3a, placing them in the vicinity of the N3:Ubl1 core of the complex and possibly protecting available RNA binding sites. It is also possible that nsp3a binding inhibits assembly of N into higher-order structures that form the nucleocapsids. In this dynamic complex, the long disordered acidic tail of nsp3a also interacts transiently with either N4 or N2, a mechanism that may provide a protection against nonspecific, or untimely, binding to RNA.

In conclusion, we have determined the structure of the molecular complex between SARS-CoV-2 N and nsp3 proteins, which are both associated with viral replication and transcription. We identify two distinct linear motifs that combine to wrap N3 around Ubl1, resulting in substantial collapse in the dimensions of N when bound to nsp3a. N3 remains locally dynamic, with the β1α2 site exchanging between free and bound conformations while α1 is bound. Combination with the recently published structure of N4 dimer allows us to propose a model for the compaction of N resulting from interaction with Ubl1, providing a mechanism of chaperoning or colocalization of N before encapsidation. Formation of this complex regulates binding to RNA, which may again play a chaperoning role in trafficking of N to the RTC. These observations, allied with the ability of nsp3a to localize in condensates formed by N, allow us to speculate on the role of the interaction in the replication cycle. The identification of two linear motifs and two interaction surfaces that are important for viral function provides previously unidentified active targets for the development of peptide-based strategies to combat COVID-19.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Protein expression and purification**

All proteins were expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) Novagen) or AI (Invitrogen). All N constructs, N3 (175 to 263), sN3 (191 to 263), øsN3 (215 to 419), N4 (255 to 419), N (1 to 419), and N234 (47 to 364), were cloned into a pET21b(+) vector. Two constructs corresponding to residues 1 to 111 (Ubl1) or 1 to 206 (nsp3a) were synthesized. Hexahistidine, GST, and TEV cleavage tags were introduced at the N terminus for the purposes of protein purification. sUbl1 (16 to 111) was cloned into a pESPRIT plasmid as described for the N constructs. The plasmids were transformed into BL21 (DE3) *E. coli* cells. Cells were grown at 37°C until OD600 (optical density at 600 nm) of 0.6 to 0.8, induced with IPTG, and incubated for 12 hours at 22°C. Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation, and the cell pellet was resuspended in buffer A [50 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 150 mM NaCl] with protease inhibitors (cOmplete, Roche). Cell lysis was performed by sonication, followed by centrifugation (45 min, 18,000 rpm at 5°C). Supernatant was subjected to standard Ni purification. The protein was purified by affinity chromatography on Ni-NTA agarose (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 5 hours at 37°C after induction at an optical density of 0.6 with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Cells were harvested by centrifuging at 5000 rpm and resuspended in 20 mM tris (pH 8.0) and 500 mM NaCl buffer; 1 M NaCl was used for N1–419, lysed by sonication, and centrifuged again at 18,000 rpm at 4°C. The supernatant was subjected to standard Ni purification. Proteins were eluted with 20 mM tris (pH 8), 500 mM NaCl, and 500 mM imidazole. Samples were then dialyzed against 20 mM tris (pH 8), 500 mM NaCl, and 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol at room temperature overnight. Following TEV cleavage and removal of the excess N-terminal tag and TEV by Ni affinity, samples were concentrated and subjected to size exclusion chromatography (SEC; Superdex 75/200) in 50 mM Na-phosphate (pH 6.5), 250 mM NaCl, and 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) buffer (NMR and ITC buffer).

The primary sequence of nsp3a from SARS-CoV-2 was extracted from National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) genome entry NC_045512.2 [GenBank entry MN908947.3], and a gene codon-optimized for expression in *E. coli* was commercially synthesized (GenScript) and subcloned in a pET21b(+) vector. Two constructs corresponding to residues 1 to 111 (Ubl1) or 1 to 206 (nsp3a) were synthesized. Hexahistidine, GST, and TEV cleavage tags were introduced at the N terminus for the purposes of protein purification. sUbl1 (16 to 111) was cloned into a pESPRIT plasmid as described for the N constructs. The plasmids were transformed into BL21 (DE3) *E. coli* cells. Cells were grown at 37°C until OD600 (optical density at 600 nm) of 0.6 to 0.8, induced with IPTG, and incubated for 12 hours at 22°C. Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation, and the cell pellet was resuspended in buffer A [50 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and 150 mM NaCl] with protease inhibitors (cOmplete, Roche). Cell lysis was performed by sonication, followed by centrifugation (45 min, 18,000 rpm at 5°C). Supernatant was subjected to standard Ni purification. The protein was purified by affinity chromatography on Ni-NTA agarose (Thermo Fisher Scientific), washed with buffer A supplemented with 20 mM imidazole, and eluted with buffer A supplemented with 500 mM imidazole. Following TEV cleavage, samples were concentrated and subjected to SEC with a Hiloal 16/600 Superdex 75 column (GE Healthcare) in NMR buffer [50 mM Na-phosphate (pH 6.5), 250 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT]. For 15N and 13C isotope labeling, cells were grown in M9 minimal medium supplemented with 15N-NH4-Cl and
\(^{13}\)C\(_{6}\)-d-glucose. For selective labeling of the isoleucine C81 methyl group in the sN3 A220I mutant, 2-ketobutyrate-4-\(^{13}\)C (50 mg/liter; Sigma-Aldrich) was added to unlabeled M9 medium 1 hour before induction (60).

### Isothermal titration calorimetry

ITC was measured using MicroCal iTC200 (GE healthcare) at 25°C. The titration experiments were performed by adding 2.0 µl of aliquots of 200 µM of the different N constructs (sN3, N3, N45, N234, and N123) into the microcalorimeter cell filled with 20 µM of sUbl1 (16 to 111), Ubl1 (1 to 111), or Nsp3a (1 to 206). N constructs were titrated through 20 injections at 180-s intervals; the reaction mixture was continuously stirred at 750 rpm. The titration curve was fitted to the experimental data using Origin version 7.0 with the ITC plugin from MicroCal. All experiments were performed with both components in NMR and ITC buffer (see above).

### NMR experiments

All NMR experiments were done in 50 mM Na-phosphate (pH 6.5), 250 mM NaCl, and 2 mM DTT or 50 mM Na-phosphate (pH 6.5), 250 mM NaCl, and 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) at 25°C unless stated otherwise. Experiments were acquired on Bruker spectrometers with 1 H frequencies of 600, 700, 850, 950, and 1000 MHz. Spectra were processed with NMRPipe (61) and visualized using NMRFAM-Sparky (62).

\(^{15}\)N \(R_{1p}\) relaxation was measured at 298 K and a 1 H frequency of 950 MHz using a spin lock of 1.5 KHz (63). Typical relaxation delays of 1, 20, 50, 70, 70, 90, 120, 160, and 200 ms and 0, 0.08, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.6, 0.8, 1.04, 1.4, and 1.8 s were used for \(^{15}\)N \(R_{1}\) and \(^{15}\)N \(R_{2}\) and included repetition of one delay. Relaxation rates were calculated using in-house software, and errors were estimated with noise-based Monte Carlo simulation.

Paramagnetic relaxation enhancement (PRE) effects were measured from the peak intensity ratios by comparing \(^{15}\)N heteronuclear single-quantum coherence (HSQC) 2D spectra recorded on samples labeled with 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPO) and samples reduced by the addition of 2 mM ascorbic acid. Cysteine mutants of sN3 at positions 234 and 235 were reduced with 10 mM DTT at 4°C for 12 hours and diazoyzed into 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 250 mM NaCl without DTT. Tenfold molar excess of 4-maleimido-TEMPO dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide was added to the reduced cysteine mutants. The reaction was incubated for 2 hours at room temperature and then diazoyzed into NMR buffer at 4°C for 12 hours to eliminate the excess of TEMPO.

\(^{13}\)C- and \(^{15}\)N-edited 3D NOESY-HSQC experiments (64) were run with \(^{15}\)N and \(^{13}\)C-labeled sN3 in 1:1 complex with unlabeled sUbl, with \(^{15}\)N and \(^{13}\)C-labeled sUbl in 1:1 complex with unlabeled sN3 and \(^{15}\)N, \(^{13}\)C-labeled sN3 in 1:1 complex with unlabeled sUbl, and \(^{15}\)N and \(^{13}\)C, \(^{15}\)N, \(^{13}\)C-labeled Ubl1 in 1:1 complex with unlabeled N3. \(^{13}\)C-edited NOESY-HSQC was performed on selectively \(^{13}\)C isoleucine 81 methyl-labeled A220I mutant of sN3, and 2D NOESY experiments were performed on sUbl1 in 1:1 complex with sN3. NOESY mixing times of 75, 100, 120, and 150 ms were used. Side-chain assignment was achieved using HCC(CO)NNH (65) and HCCH-TOCSSY (66) experiments.

Backbone resonance assignment of resonances of the complex was initially obtained on \(^{15}\)N, \(^{13}\)C, \(^{2}\)H-labeled samples of sN3 and sUbl1 in 1:1 complex with their respective unlabeled partners, using band-selective excitation short-transient (BEST)—type triple resonance experiments (67). These assignments were directly transferrable to the shorter sN3 and sUbl1 constructs. To obtain a complete assignment of the \(\alpha_1\) helix of sN3 in the complex, the S235F mutant of sN3 was used, for which 3D correlation spectra were of highest quality. \(^{13}\)C\(^{\beta}\) chemical shifts were compared to random coil values using the program SSP (68).

Statistical analyses of the NMR ensemble were carried out by wwPDB dedicated software upon structure deposition in the PDB and Biological Magnetic Resonance Data Bank (BMRB). NMR structures have been deposited in the Brookhaven PDB under the accession code 7pku. Assignment of NMR resonances has been deposited in the BMRB under the accession codes 51052 and 34661.

### NMR EXSY

An NOE buildup curve was measured by repeating a 2D NOESY experiment with the mixing time varying from 10 to 700 ms. The NOE buildup was followed by measuring the intensity of resolved exchange cross peaks and fitted using standard expressions describing longitudinal exchange processes (69). Buildups of nine exchange cross peaks associated with residues in the \(\beta_2\) binding site were fitted simultaneously, extracting common apparent exchange rate of 42 ± 3 s\(^{-1}\) and \(^{1}\)H longitudinal relaxation rate (R\(_{1}\)) of 18 ± 3 s\(^{-1}\).

Using expressions in (69) to account for the effect on the low (~1%) population of unbound \(\beta_2\) on the apparent exchange rate, we estimate that on-off dynamics of the \(\beta_2\) binding site is characterized by a rate constant k\(_{ex}\) ~ 100 s\(^{-1}\).

### Small-angle x-ray scattering

Before measurement, concentrated samples were dialyzed into fresh NMR buffer overnight. Samples of 1:1 complexes of N3(175 to 263):Ub1(1 to 111) and N234(47 to 364):Ub1(1 to 111) and N234(47 to 364) were diluted to 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 mg/ml. SAXS measurements were acquired at the European Synchrotron Research Facility in Grenoble, France, on the beamline BM29 (see table S3) (70).

### Structure determination

NMR structure calculations were performed using CNS software, using previously described simulated annealing and restrained molecular dynamics protocols (71). Restraints were identified from \(^{15}\)N and \(^{13}\)C NOESY HSQC spectra in the presence of unlabeled partner proteins. Backbone dihedral angles in secondary structural elements were identified from \(^{13}\)C secondary chemical shift analysis, and hydrogen bonding in \(\beta\) sheet of sUb1 was identified from interstrand nOes. Statistical analyses of the NMR ensemble were carried out by wwPDB dedicated software upon structure deposition in the PDB and BMRB.

SAXS data measured on N3:Ub1 were simulated by constructing disordered regions (residues 1 to 15 for Ub1 and 175 to 217 and 258 to 263 for N3) onto the 10 members of the NMR ensemble (from residues 218 to 257 for N3), using the statistical coil algorithm flexible-meccano (72). SAXS curves were predicted using the program Crysol (see table S3) (73). Ensembles of conformations were selected from a pool of 10,000 such structures of the Ub1:N3 complex using the algorithm ASTEROIDS (74). Data were also calculated from the entire ensemble for comparison. SAXS data measured on N234:Ub1 were simulated by first constructing the core scaffold of the complex, comprising the sN3:sUb1 complex and N4 dimer (PDB code 6wzo) (28). The relative positions of N4 and sN3:sUb1 were assembled by superposition of helix \(\alpha_2\). The procedure was repeated for each of the 10 NMR models that have slightly different helical orientations. Disordered regions of N3 (175 to 217)
were built using the statistical coil algorithm flexible-meccano (72) and terminated with the known structure of N2. Twenty thousand conformations were individually compared to experimental SAXS data using Crysol (table S3) (73).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at https://science.org/doc/10.1126/sciadv.abm4034

View/request a protocol for this paper from sciadv.abm4034
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