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Abstract

We parametrize quartic commutative algebras over any base ring or scheme (equivalently finite, flat degree four $S$-schemes), with their cubic resolvents, by pairs of ternary quadratic forms over the base. This generalizes Bhargava’s parametrization of quartic rings with their cubic resolvent rings over $\mathbb{Z}$ by pairs of integral ternary quadratic forms, as well as Casnati and Ekedahl’s construction of Gorenstein quartic covers by certain rank 2 families of ternary quadratic forms. We give a geometric construction of a quartic algebra from any pair of ternary quadratic forms, and prove this construction commutes with base change and also agrees with Bhargava’s explicit construction over $\mathbb{Z}$.

1 Introduction

1.1 Definitions and main result

A $n$-ic algebra $Q$ over a scheme $S$ is an $\mathcal{O}_S$-algebra $Q$ that is a locally free rank $n \mathcal{O}_S$-module, or equivalently Spec $Q$ is a finite, flat degree $n$ $S$-scheme. For $n = 3, 4$, we call such algebras cubic and quartic respectively. Given a quartic algebra, we can define a cubic resolvent which is a model over $S$ of the classical cubic resolvent field of a quartic field. For a quartic algebra $Q$ over $S$, a cubic resolvent $C$ of $Q$ is a cubic algebra $C$ over $S$, with a quadratic map $\phi : Q/\mathcal{O}_S \to C/\mathcal{O}_S$ and an isomorphism $\delta : \wedge^3 Q \cong \wedge^3 C$, such that for any sections $x, y$ of $Q$ we have $\delta(1 \wedge x \wedge y \wedge xy) = 1 \wedge \phi(x) \wedge \phi(y)$ and also $R$ is the cubic algebra corresponding to Det$(\phi)$ (see Section 3 for more details).
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An isomorphism of a pair \((Q, C)\) is given by isomorphisms of the respective algebras that respect \(\phi\) and \(\delta\).

A double ternary quadratic form over \(S\) is a locally free rank 3 \(\mathcal{O}_S\)-module \(W\), a locally free rank 2 \(\mathcal{O}_S\)-module \(U\), and a global section \(p \in \text{Sym}^2 W \otimes U\), and an isomorphism \(\wedge^3 W \otimes \wedge^2 U \cong \mathcal{O}_S\) which is called an orientation. An isomorphism of double ternary quadratic forms \((W, U, p)\) and \((W', U', p')\) is given by isomorphisms \(W \cong W'\) and \(U \cong U'\) that send \(p\) to \(p'\) and respect the orientations.

The main theorem of this paper is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** There is an isomorphism between the moduli stack for quartic algebras with cubic resolvents and the moduli stack for double ternary quadratic forms. In other words, for a scheme \(S\) there is an equivalence between the category of quartic algebras with cubic resolvents and the category of double ternary quadratic forms (with morphisms given by isomorphisms in both categories), and this natural equivalence commutes with base change in \(S\).

The moduli stack of double ternary quadratic forms is simply \([\mathbb{A}^{12}/\Gamma]\), where \(\Gamma\) is the sub-group scheme of \(\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_3\) of elements \((g, h)\) such that \(\det(g) \det(h) = 1\). In particular, we have a parametrization of quartic algebras with cubic resolvents.

**Corollary 1.2.** Over a scheme \(S\), there is a bijection between isomorphism classes of double ternary quadratic forms over \(S\) and isomorphism classes of pairs \((Q, C)\) where \(Q\) is a quartic algebra over \(S\) and \(C\) is a cubic resolvent of \(Q\).

**Remark 1.3.** The geometric language of this paper makes it more natural to work over a scheme \(S\), but all of our work includes the case \(S = \text{Spec} \, R\), in which case we are simply working over a ring \(R\). The reader mainly interested in a base ring can replace \(\mathcal{O}_S\) with \(R\) and “global section” with “element” throughout the paper.

### 1.2 Background and previous work

It has been known since the work of Delone and Faddeev [9] (see also Section 2 of this paper, [4], [11], and [2]) that cubic rings are parametrized by binary cubic forms. A cubic ring is a ring whose additive structure is a free rank 3 \(\mathbb{Z}\)-module, and a binary cubic form is a polynomial \(f = ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3\) with \(a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\). Cubic rings, up to isomorphism, are in natural discriminant-preserving bijection with \(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\)-classes of binary cubic forms. If we prefer to think geometrically, a cubic ring is a finite, flat degree three cover of \(\text{Spec} \, \mathbb{Z}\). A parametrization analogous to that of Delone and Faddeev [9] was proven by Miranda [14] for finite, flat degree three covers of an irreducible scheme over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2 or 3. Though these correspondences were originally given by writing down a multiplication table for the cubic ring (or sheaf of functions on the cubic cover), when \(f\) is a non-zero integral binary cubic form, the associated cubic ring is simply the ring of global functions of the subscheme of \(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}}\) cut out by \(f\) (see [7], [18, Theorem 2.4], [4]).

In this paper, we study quartic (commutative) algebras, or equivalently, finite, flat degree four covers of a base scheme. Casnati and Ekedahl [4] found that finite, flat degree four Gorenstein covers of an integral base scheme are given by global sections...
of certain double ternary quadratic forms, with a codimension condition on the section at every point of the base. Recently, quartic algebras over \( \mathbb{Z} \) have been parametrized by Bhargava [2]. More precisely, Bhargava proved that isomorphism classes of pairs \((Q, C)\), where \( Q \) is a quartic ring (i.e. isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}^4 \) as a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module) and \( C \) is a cubic resolvent of \( Q \), are in natural bijection with \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}) \)-classes of pairs of integral ternary quadratic forms. (We could view a pair of ternary quadratic forms over \( \mathbb{Z} \) as a double ternary quadratic form \( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3} a_{ij}x_i x_j + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3} b_{ij}x_i x_j z \).) In [2], Bhargava introduced cubic resolvents as models of the classical cubic resolvent field of a quartic field. All quartic rings over \( \mathbb{Z} \) have at least one cubic resolvent, and many quartic rings (for example, maximal quartic rings over \( \mathbb{Z} \)) have a unique cubic resolvent [2, Corollary 4]. This has allowed Bhargava [3] to count asymptotically the number of \( S_4 \) number fields of discriminant less than \( X \) (as well as the number of orders in \( S_4 \) number fields). Casnati [5] has also given a construction of a finite, flat degree three “discriminant cover” corresponding to a finite, flat degree four Gorenstein cover of an integral scheme over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not equal to 2, but since he was only considering quartic covers that turn out to have unique cubic resolvents, the importance of the cubic resolvent to the moduli problem was not apparent. Bhargava [2] realized that to obtain a nice parametrization of quartic rings over \( \mathbb{Z} \), one must parametrize them along with their cubic resolvents.

In this paper, we generalize Bhargava’s work [2] from \( \mathbb{Z} \) to an arbitrary scheme, and Casnati and Ekedahl’s work [4] from the case of Gorenstein covers and special forms to all quartic covers and forms, as well as to an arbitrary base scheme. Moreover, we prove our correspondence between quartic algebras with resolvents and double ternary quadratic forms commutes with base change.

Bhargava, in [2], describes the relationship between quartic rings with cubic resolvents and pairs of ternary quadratic forms by giving the multiplication tables for the quartic and cubic rings explicitly in terms of the coefficients of the forms. In this paper, we give a geometric, coordinate-free description of a quartic ring \( Q \) given by a pair of integral ternary quadratic forms. For the nicest forms, the pair of ternary quadratic forms gives a pencil of conics in \( \mathbb{P}_2^S \) and the quartic ring is given by the global functions of the degree four subscheme cut out by the pencil. In Section 4, we give a global, geometric, coordinate-free construction over a quartic algebra from a double ternary quadratic form over any scheme \( S \). The construction that works for all forms is taking the degree 0 hypercohomology of the Koszul complex of the double ternary quadratic form. This agrees with the intuitive geometric description given above for nice cases, but unlike the above description, always gives a quartic algebra. Casnati and Ekedahl [4] have given an analogous geometric construction over an arbitrary scheme in the case when the quartic algebra is Gorenstein. Deligne, in a letter [8] to Bhargava, gives an analogous geometric construction when the generic conic in the pencil is non-singular over each geometric point, and proves that it extends (without giving a geometric construction in the extended case) to all pairs of ternary quadratic forms. The geometric construction in this paper works for all double ternary quadratic forms, for example when the form is identically 0 in some fiber, when the conics given by the ternary quadratic forms share a component, or even when both forms are identically 0!

In Section 5, we explain how the quartic algebra associated to a double ternary
quadratic form over $S$ can be defined locally in terms of the multiplication tables given in Bhargava’s work [2], and prove that these constructions agree. The calculations showing this agreement are not straightforward and are given in Section 5.1.

In Section 2 we review the parametrization of cubic algebras. This is not only motivation for our study of quartic algebras, but also is important background for the results in this paper because the cubic resolvent $C$ is a cubic algebra. In Section 3 we give the definition of a cubic resolvent in more detail. In Section 6, we give the construction of a cubic resolvent from a double ternary quadratic form. In Section 7, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Notation. If $F$ is a sheaf, we use $s \in F$ to denote that $s$ is a global section of $F$. If $V$ is a locally free $O_S$-module, we use $V^*$ to denote the $O_S$-module $\text{Hom}_{O_S}(V, O_S)$. We use $\text{Sym}^n V$ to denote the usual quotient of $V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$, and $\text{Sym}_n V$ to denote the submodule of symmetric elements of $V \otimes_n$. Note that when $V$ is locally free we have $\text{Sym}_n V = (\text{Sym}^n V)^*$ (see Lemma 8.4). We define $\mathbb{P}(V) = \text{Proj} \text{Sym}^* V$.

Normally, in the language of algebra, one says that an $R$-module $M$ is locally free of rank $n$ if for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of $R$, the localization $M_\mathfrak{p}$ is free of rank $n$. However, if we have a scheme $S$ and an $O_S$-module $M$, we normally say that $M$ is locally free of rank $n$ if on some open cover of $S$ it is free of rank $n$; in the algebraic language this is equivalent to saying that for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $R$, there is an $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that the) localization $M_f$ is free of rank $n$. In this paper we shall use the geometric sense of the term locally free of rank $n$. The geometric condition of locally free of rank $n$ is equivalent to being finitely generated and having the algebraic condition of locally free of rank $n$.

2 The parametrization of cubic algebras

In this section, we review the parametrization of cubic algebras. A binary cubic form over a scheme $S$ is a locally free rank 2 $O_S$-module $V$ and an $f \in \text{Sym}^3 V \otimes \wedge^2 V^*$. An isomorphism of binary cubic forms $(V, f) \cong (V, f')$ is given by an isomorphism $V \cong V'$ that takes $f$ to $f'$. (Normally, we would call these twisted binary cubic forms but since they are the only binary cubic forms in this paper, we will use the shorter name for simplicity.) Of course, if $V$ is the free rank 2 $O_S$-module $O_Sx \oplus O_Sy$, then the binary cubic forms $f \in \text{Sym}^3 V \otimes \wedge^2 V^*$ are just polynomials $(ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3) \otimes (x \wedge y)^*$, where $a, b, c, d \in O_S$.

Over an arbitrary base, Deligne wrote a letter [7] to the authors of [11] giving the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 ([7], [15]). There is an isomorphism between the moduli stack for cubic algebras and the moduli stack for binary cubic forms. That is, there is an equivalence of categories between the category of cubic algebras over $S$ where morphisms are given by isomorphisms and the category of binary cubic forms over $S$ where morphisms are given by isomorphisms, and this equivalence commutes with base change in $S$. Thus, over a scheme $S$, there is a bijection between isomorphism classes of cubic algebras.
and isomorphism classes of binary cubic forms. If a cubic algebra \( C \) corresponds to a binary cubic form \((V,f)\), then as \( O_S \)-modules, we have \( C/O_S \cong V^* \).

This theorem is also proven in [15, Proposition 5.1]. Miranda [14] gives the bijection between isomorphism classes over a base which is an irreducible scheme over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not equal to 2 or 3. Also, this isomorphism of stacks is studied and proven as part of a series of such isomorphisms involving binary forms of any degree in [18].

In [1, Footnote 3], the following algebraic, global, coordinate free description of the construction of a binary cubic form from a cubic algebra is mentioned. Given a cubic algebra \( C \), we can define an \( O_S \)-module \( V = (C/O_S)^* \). (Note that \( V \) is a locally free rank 2 \( O_S \)-module, see e.g. [16, Lemma 1.3].) We can then define an \( O_S \)-module homomorphism \( \text{Sym}^3 C/O_S \rightarrow \wedge^2 C/O_S \) given by \( xyz \mapsto x \wedge yz \). One can check that this map is well-defined, and so it gives a binary cubic form \( f \in (\text{Sym}^3 C/O_S)^* \otimes \wedge^2 C/O_S \cong \text{Sym}^3 V \otimes \wedge^2 V^* \). Deligne in [7] gives a different, geometric construction in the case when \( C \) is Gorenstein and then argues that the construction extends across the non-Gorenstein locus.

It is often useful to also have the following local, explicit version of the construction. Where \( C \) is a free \( O_S \)-module, we can choose a basis \( 1, \omega, \theta \) for \( C \) and then shift \( \omega \) and \( \theta \) by elements of \( O_S \) so that \( \omega \theta \in O_S \). Then, the associative law implies that we have a multiplication table

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega \theta &= -ad \\
\omega^2 &= -ac + b \omega - a \theta \\
\theta^2 &= -bd + d \omega - c \theta,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( a, b, c, d \in O_S \). Let \( x, y \) be the basis of \( V \) dual to \( \omega, \theta \). Then we can define a form \((ax^3 + bx^2y + cxy^2 + dy^3) \otimes (x \wedge y)^* \in \text{Sym}^3 V \otimes \wedge^2 V^* \). We can check that if we pick another basis \( 1, \omega', \theta' \) (also normalized so that \( \omega' \theta' \in O_S \)) and another corresponding \( x' \) and \( y' \) we would define the same form in \( \text{Sym}^3 V \otimes \wedge^2 V^* \). Thus the form is defined everywhere locally in a way that agrees on overlapping open sets, and we have constructed a global binary cubic form \((V,f)\).

One construction of a cubic algebra from a form (i.e. the inverse to the above construction) simply gives the cubic algebra locally by the above multiplication table. This gives the bijection locally in terms of bases with explicit formulas. However, it is hard to see where the formula for the multiplication table came from or why the local constructions are invariant under change of basis. The following global description is given by Deligne in his letter [7]. Given a binary form \( f \in \text{Sym}^3 V \otimes \wedge^2 V^* \) over a base scheme \( S \), the form \( f \) determines a subscheme \( S_f \) of \( \mathbb{P}(V) \). Let \( \pi : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow S \). Let \( O(k) \) denote the usual sheaf on \( \mathbb{P}(V) \) and \( O_S(k) \) denote the pullback of \( O(k) \) to \( S_f \). Then we can define the \( O_S \)-algebra by the hypercohomology

\[
(2) \quad C := H^0R\pi_* \left( O(-3) \otimes \pi^* \wedge^2 V \xrightarrow{f} O \right),
\]

where \( O(-3) \otimes \pi^* \wedge^2 V \xrightarrow{f} O \) is a complex in degrees -1 and 0. The product on \( C \) is given by the product on the Koszul complex \( O(-3) \otimes \pi^* \wedge^2 V \xrightarrow{f} O \) with itself and the
\( \mathcal{O}_S \)-algebra structure is induced from the map of \( \mathcal{O} \) as a complex in degree 0 to the complex \( \mathcal{O}(-3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \) (see Appendix Section \( \mathcal{O} \) for more details on the inheritance of the algebra structure). (Note that \( H^0 \mathcal{R} \pi_* (\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}_S \).)

Given a map of schemes \( X \rightarrow S \), the construction of global functions of \( X \) relative to \( S \) is just the pushforward \( \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \). So the natural notion of global functions of \( S \) relative to \( S \) would be \( \pi_* \mathcal{O}_S \). We have that \( \mathcal{O}_S = \mathcal{O}_S / f (\mathcal{O}(-3)) \otimes \pi^* \wedge^2 V \). When \( f \) is injective, then \( \mathcal{O}_S = \mathcal{O}_S / f (\mathcal{O}(-3)) \otimes \pi^* \wedge^2 V \) has the same hypercohomology as \( \mathcal{O}(-3) \otimes \pi^* \wedge^2 V \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \) as a complex in degrees -1 and 0. Thus we see when \( f \) is injective that \( C \) is just \( \pi_* (\mathcal{O}_S) \). When \( f \) gives an injective map and \( S = \text{Spec} R \) then \( C \) is just the ring of global functions of \( S \). Unfortunately, this simpler construction does not give a cubic algebra when \( f \equiv 0 \). When \( f \equiv 0 \), then \( \mathcal{O}_S = \mathbb{P}^1 \) and the global functions are a rank 1 \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-algebra, i.e. \( \mathcal{O}_S \) itself. Hypercohomology is exactly the machinery we need to naturally extend the construction to all \( f \).

### 3 Cubic resolvents

We now give the definition of a cubic resolvent, given first in \cite{2} Definition 20) over \( \mathbb{Z} \). The definition might seem complicated at first, but we will explain each aspect of it.

**Definition.** Given a quartic algebra \( Q \) over a base scheme \( S \), a cubic resolvent \( C \) of \( Q \) is

- a cubic algebra \( C \) over \( S \)
- a quadratic map \( \phi : Q/O_S \rightarrow C/O_S \), and
- an isomorphism \( \delta : \wedge^4 Q \sim \wedge^3 C \) (or equivalently \( \delta : \wedge^3 Q/O_S \sim \wedge^2 C/O_S \)), which we call the **orientation**

such that

1. for any open set \( U \subset S \) and for all \( x, y \in Q(U) \), we have \( \delta (1 \wedge x \wedge y \wedge xy) = 1 \wedge \phi(x) \wedge \phi(y) \)
2. \( C \) is the cubic algebra corresponding to \( \text{Det}(\phi) \).

Note that \( Q/O_S \) and \( C/O_S \) are locally free \( O_S \)-modules of ranks 3 and 2, respectively (see e.g. \cite{16} Lemma 1.3). A **quadratic map** from \( A \) to \( B \) is given by an \( O_S \)-module homomorphism \( \text{Sym}_2 A \rightarrow B \) evaluated on the diagonal (see the Appendix Section \( S \)). (In \cite{19} Proposition 6.1) it is shown this is equivalent to the more classical notion of a quadratic map.) The map \( \phi \) models the map from quartic fields to their resolvent fields given by \( x \mapsto xx' + x''x''' \), where \( x, x', x'', x''' \) are the conjugates of an element \( x \). In \cite{2} Lemma 9) it is shown that condition 1 above holds for such classical resolvent maps, and it turns out that condition 1 is the key property of resolvent maps that allows them to be useful in the parametrization of quartic algebras. So the definition of resolvent allows all quadratic maps that have this key property.

Another important property of the cubic resolvent over \( \mathbb{Z} \) is that the discriminant of the cubic resolvent is equal to the discriminant of the quartic ring. In \cite{2}, this is
a crucial part of the definition of a cubic resolvent over the integers. With the above formulation of the definition of a cubic resolvent, the equality of discriminants follows as a corollary of properties 1 and 2. However, since the discriminant of an algebra $R$ of rank $n$ lies in $(\wedge^n R)^{\otimes -2}$, we need the orientation isomorphism to even state the question of the equality of discriminants. The orientation is a phenomenon that it is hard to recognize the importance of over $\mathbb{Z}$ because $GL_1(\mathbb{Z})$ is so small, however it appears in Bhargava’s [2] choice of bases for a quartic ring and its cubic resolvent.

The quadratic map $\phi$ is equivalent to a double ternary quadratic form in the module $\text{Sym}^2(Q/\mathcal{O}_S)^* \otimes C/\mathcal{O}_S$. The determinant of a double ternary quadratic form is given by a natural cubic map from $\text{Sym}^2 W \otimes V$ to $(\wedge^3 W)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \text{Sym}^3 V$. We have a natural cubic determinant map from $\text{Sym}^2 W$ to $(\wedge^3 W)^{\otimes 2}$. For free $W$ and an element of $\text{Sym}^2 W$ represented by the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

the map is given by the polynomial $4 \det(A)$, and since this is invariant under $GL_3$ change of basis, it defines a determinant map for all locally free $W$. We use 2’s in the denominator of our expression for $A$ because it allows us a convenient way to express the polynomial $4 \det(A)$, but note that the polynomial given by $4 \det(A)$ does not have any denominators, and thus we do not need to require that 2 is invertible to construct the determinant of a double ternary quadratic form. We can extend to a cubic determinant map from $\text{Sym}^2 W \otimes V$ to $(\wedge^3 W)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \text{Sym}^3 V$ by using the elements of $V$ as coefficients (see Appendix Section 3.2). Thus the determinant of $\phi$ lies in $(\wedge^3 Q/\mathcal{O}_S)^{\otimes -2} \otimes \text{Sym}^3(C/\mathcal{O}_S)$, which is isomorphic to $(\wedge^2 C/\mathcal{O}_S) \otimes \text{Sym}^3(C/\mathcal{O}_S)^*$ by the orientation isomorphism (see also Corollary 3.3 in the Appendix). From Theorem 2.1 we have that $C$ corresponds to a global section of $(\wedge^2 C/\mathcal{O}_S) \otimes \text{Sym}^3(C/\mathcal{O}_S)^*$, and condition 2 above is that $C$ corresponds to the section $\det(\phi)$.

When we speak of a pair $(Q, C)$ of a quartic algebra $Q$ and a cubic resolvent $C$ of $Q$, the maps $\phi$ and $\delta$ are implicit. An isomorphism of pairs is given by isomorphisms of the respective algebras that respect $\phi$ and $\delta$.

4 The geometric construction

In this section, we will construct a quartic algebra from a double ternary quadratic form $p \in \text{Sym}^2 W \otimes U$ over a base $S$. We consider the map $\pi : \mathbb{P}(W) \to S$, and the usual line bundles $\mathcal{O}(k)$ on $\mathbb{P}(W)$. We can view $p$ as a two dimensional family of quadratic forms on $\mathbb{P}(W)$ (the two dimensions being given by $U$). More precisely, since $p$ is equivalent to a map $U^* \to \text{Sym}^2 W$, we have a naturally induced map $\pi^* U^* \to \mathcal{O}(2)$, which is equivalent to a map $p_1 : \pi^* U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2) \to \mathcal{O}$. The image of $p_1$ is functions that are zero on the space cut out by the forms of $p$. The regular functions on the scheme cut out by $p$ are just given by $\mathcal{O}/ \text{im}(p_1)$. From $p$ we can construct one more map to make the Koszul complex of $p$, given as follows

$$K_p : \wedge^2 \pi^* U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-4) \xrightarrow{p_2} \pi^* U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{p_1} \mathcal{O}.$$
The complex $K_p$ has $\mathcal{O}$ in degree 0, and the other two terms in degrees $-1$ and $-2$. We can construct $p_2$ similarly to $p_1$ since $p$ is also equivalent to a map $\wedge^2 U^* \otimes U \to \text{Sym}^2 W$. (Recall $\wedge^2 U^* \otimes U \cong U^*$; see Lemma 8.2.) One can read about the construction of all the maps in the Koszul complex in Appendices A2F and A2H.

**Example 4.1.** Suppose $U$ is free with basis $x, y$, and dual basis $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$. Then we can write $p = f_1 \otimes x + f_2 \otimes y$. The map $p_1$ just sends $\hat{x} \otimes g \mapsto f_1 g$ and $\hat{y} \otimes g \mapsto f_2 g$. We can write how $p_1$ acts on a general element as $a \otimes g \mapsto gp(a)$, where $p$ acts on an element of $U^*$ by evaluating the $U$ components of $p$ at the given element of $U^*$. The map $p_2$ sends $\hat{x} \wedge \hat{y} \otimes g \mapsto gf_1 \otimes \hat{y} - gf_2 \otimes \hat{x}$. We can write how $p_2$ acts on a general element as $a \wedge b \otimes g \mapsto b \otimes gp(a) - a \otimes gp(b)$. From this we see that $K_p$ is a complex.

For sufficiently nice $p$ the Koszul complex will be exact in all places except the last and thus give a resolution of $\mathcal{O}/\text{im}(p_1)$. For example, this it true when $p$ is the universal double ternary quadratic form over the polynomial ring in twelve variables. In this well-behaved case, $p$ will cut out four (relative) points in $\mathbb{P}(W)$ (i.e. a finite, flat degree four $S$-scheme) and the pushforward of the global functions of those points will give us a quadratic algebra over the base $S$.

When the Koszul complex of $p$ is not a resolution, instead of taking the pushforward of the global functions of the scheme cut out by $p$, we will take the 0th hypercohomology of the complex $K_p$. We define $Q_p$ to be $H^0 R\pi_*(K_p)$, where $R\pi_*$ denotes the pushforward of the complex in the derived category. Alternatively, we can view the construction as the hypercohomological derived functor of $\pi_*$, where the hypercohomology is necessary since we are operating on a complex and not just a single sheaf. If $p$ is nice enough that its Koszul complex $K_p$ is a resolution of $\mathcal{O}/\text{im}(p_1)$, then $Q_p$ will just be $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}/\text{im}(p_1))$. However, what is convenient about the hypercohomology construction is that $Q_p$ will be a quartic algebra even when $K_p$ is not a resolution (as we’ll see in Section 4.2). So far we have constructed $Q_p$ as an $\mathcal{O}_S$-module, however, the Koszul complex has a natural differential graded algebra structure, and that gives the cohomology an inherited algebra structure (see Appendix Section 13 for more details on the inheritance of the algebra structure). The map from $\mathcal{O}$ as a complex in degree 0 to the complex $K_p$ induces a map from $H^0 R\pi_*(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}_S \to Q_p$. This gives $Q_p$ the structure of an $\mathcal{O}_S$-algebra.

### 4.1 Examples when $K_p$ is not a resolution

When constructing the cubic algebra from a binary cubic form, we took

$$H^0 R\pi_*(\mathcal{O}(-3) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O})$$

on $\mathbb{P}(V)$, which, as long as the cubic form $f$ gives an injective map above is the same as $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}/\text{im } f)$. For example, when the base $S$ is integral, whenever $f \not\equiv 0$ then $\mathcal{O}(-3) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}$ is injective. However, when $f \equiv 0$, of course $\mathcal{O}(-3) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}$ is not injective, and $H^0 R\pi_*(\mathcal{O}(-3) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O})$ is not the same as $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}/\text{im } f)$. When $f \equiv 0$, the latter is an $\mathcal{O}_S$-module of rank 1.

Again, when constructing our quartic algebra as $H^0 R\pi_*(K_p)$, if $p \equiv 0$ the complex will not be a resolution and $H^0 R\pi_*(K_p)$ won’t agree with $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}/\text{im } p_1)$. This is the
In this section, we determine the exact sequence of complexes $\mathcal{K}_p$ is not a resolution. The geometric constructions of Casnati and Ekedahl [1] and Deligne [8] for certain nice quartic algebras are in cases when $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}/\text{im} p_1)$ simply gives the quartic algebra.

We now give several examples in which $\mathcal{K}_p$ is not a resolution.

**Example 4.2.** Let $p \equiv 0$. Then $Q_p = \mathcal{O}_S \oplus W^*$, with the multiplication given by $W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_S W^* \to 0$.

Let $U$ be free with the notation of Example 4.1.

**Example 4.3.** If $f_2 \equiv 0$, then $Q_p = \mathcal{O}_S \oplus W^*$, with the multiplication given by $W^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_S W^* \to 0$.

Now, let $W$ be free on $w_1, w_2, w_3$.

**Example 4.4.** If $f_1 = w_1 w_2$ and $f_2 = w_1 w_3$, then $Q_p \cong \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_2^2)$, which is the case where the two conics share a linear component, and the pencil of second lines all go through a point on the shared line.

**Example 4.5.** If $f_1 = w_1 w_2$ and $f_2 = w_2^2$, then $Q_p \cong \mathcal{O}_S[z_1, z_2]/(z_1^2, z_1 z_2, z_2^2)$. This is the case where the two conics share a linear component, and the pencil of second lines all go through a point not on the shared line.

**Example 4.6.** If $f_1 = w_1^2 + w_1 w_3$ and $f_2 = w_2^2 + w_2 w_3$, then $Q_p$ is a resolution and $Q_p \cong R := \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S$, where $S$ is Spec $\mathbb{Z}$, and let $R$ be a prime. Then if $f_1 = q(w_1^2 + w_1 w_3)$ and $f_2 = q(w_2^2 + w_2 w_3)$, the global functions of the subscheme cut out by $p$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \oplus p \mathbb{Z} \subset R$ (a quartic $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra) but $Q_p \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus p \mathbb{Z} \subset R$.

### 4.2 Module structure of $Q_p$

In this section, we determine the $\mathcal{O}_S$-module structure of $Q_p$. We consider the short exact sequence of complexes $O \to A \to \mathcal{K}_p \to D \to 0$, where

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
A : \\
\mathcal{K}_p : \\
B : \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\rightarrowpi^*U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2)
p_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}
\rightarrow \wedge^2 \pi^*U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-4)
p_2 \rightarrow \pi^*U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2)
p_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}
\rightarrow \wedge^2 \pi^*U^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-4)
\rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]

From this short exact sequence we obtain a long exact sequence of hypercohomology sheaves on $S$, of which we consider the following part

\[
\begin{array}{c}
H^{-1}R\pi_*(B) \rightarrow H^0R\pi_*(A) \rightarrow H^0R\pi_*(\mathcal{K}_p) \rightarrow H^0R\pi_*(B) \rightarrow H^1R\pi_*(A).
\end{array}
\]
This sequence will allow us to determine the modules structure of $Q_p$ once we compute the other terms. It is natural to shift the term in $B$ to degree 0 and obtain

$$R^1\pi_*(\wedge^2\pi^*U^* \otimes O(-4)) \to H^0R\pi_*(A) \to Q_p \to R^2\pi_*(\wedge^2\pi^*U^* \otimes O(-4)) \to H^1R\pi_*(A).$$

We can analyze the $A$ terms by putting the complex $A$ in its own short exact sequence of complexes $0 \to D \to A \to E \to 0$, given by the following diagrams:

$$\mathcal{D} : \quad 0 \to \mathcal{O}$$

$$\mathcal{A} : \quad \pi^*U^* \otimes O(-2) \xrightarrow{p_1} \mathcal{O}$$

$$\mathcal{E} : \quad \pi^*U^* \otimes O(-2) \to 0.$$

Taking the long exact sequence for this short exact sequence of complexes gives

$$H^{-1}R\pi_*(\mathcal{E}) \to H^0R\pi_*(D) \to H^0R\pi_*(A) \to H^0R\pi_*(E) \to H^1R\pi_*(D) \to H^1R\pi_*(A) \to H^1R\pi_*(E),$$

or

$$R^0\pi_*(\pi^*U^* \otimes O(-2)) \to R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{O}) \to H^0R\pi_*(A) \to R^1\pi_*(\pi^*U^* \otimes O(-2))$$

and

$$R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{O}) \to H^1R\pi_*(A) \to R^2\pi_*(\pi^*U^* \otimes O(-2)).$$

Thus, we conclude that $H^0R\pi_*(A) \cong \mathcal{O}_S$ and $H^1R\pi_*(A) = 0$.

Going back to our original long exact sequence, we have

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_S \to Q_p \to W^* \to 0.$$

This proves that $Q_p$ is a locally free rank 4 $\mathcal{O}_S$-module. Also, it gives us the necessary map $\mathcal{O}_S \to Q_p$ for our algebra to have a unit. (We can check this map respects the algebra structures because it is induced from the map of complexes $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{K}_P$ that respects the differential graded algebra structures on $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{K}_P$.)

**Theorem 4.7.** The construction of $Q_p$ commutes with base change in $S$.

**Proof.** To prove this theorem, we will compute all of the cohomology of $\mathcal{K}_P$. The complex $\mathcal{K}_P$ has no cohomology in degrees other than 0. We have $R^k\pi_*(O(-4)) = 0$ for $k \neq 2$, and $R^k\pi_*(O(-2)) = 0$ for all $k$, and $R^k\pi_*(O) = 0$ for $k \neq 0$. Thus $H^kR\pi_*(\mathcal{K}_P) = 0$ for $k \neq 0$. We have just seen that $H^0R\pi_*(\mathcal{K}_P)$ is locally free. Thus since all $H^1R\pi_*(\mathcal{K}_P)$ are flat, by [12, Corollaire 6.9.9], we have that cohomology and base change commute. \qed
5 Local construction by multiplication table

Given a double ternary quadratic form \( p \in \text{Sym}^2 W \otimes U \) (with a given \( \wedge^3 W \cong \wedge^2 U^* \)), now that we know that there is a natural quartic algebra \( Q_p \) we could define the structure locally where \( W \) and \( U \) are free by giving multiplication tables, as in the case of cubic algebras from binary cubic forms.

For a double ternary quadratic form over \( \mathbb{Z} \) (and therefore with \( W \) and \( U \) necessarily free), Bhargava \[2\] Equations (15) and (21) gives a ring structure on \( \mathbb{Z}^4 \) whose multiplication table is given in terms of the coefficients of \( p \). Each entry in the multiplication table is a polynomial in the coefficients of \( p \). This, of course, is the multiplication table we would impose for free \( W \) and \( U \) in the above local construction. We will now see that this local construction agrees with the geometric construction we have given in Section 4. We will show this by working over the universal algebra \( R = \mathbb{Z}[\{a_{ij}, b_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3}] \) for double ternary quadratic forms, and with the universal free form \( u = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3} a_{ij}x_ix_jy_1 + b_{ij}x_ix_jy_2 \).

**Theorem 5.1.** For the universal form \( u \), the quartic algebra \( Q_u \) is isomorphic to the quartic algebra over \( R \) that is constructed above using Bhargava’s multiplication tables.

In particular, since our geometric construction of \( Q_u \) is invariant under change of basis of \( W \) and \( U \) (respecting \( \wedge^3 W \cong \wedge^2 U^* \)), this gives a proof of the invariance of Bhargava’s multiplication table under change of basis, as long as the correct \( \text{GL}_3 \times \text{GL}_2 \) action is used. Since all double ternary quadratic forms are locally pull-backs from the universal form, and both the local construction by multiplication tables and the global geometric construction of Section 4 respect base change, Theorem 5.1 implies that the two constructions of quartic algebras from double ternary quadratic forms agree. We now prove Theorem 5.1.

**Proof.** For the universal form \( u \), the complex \( \mathcal{K}_u \) used to define \( Q_u \) is exact, and therefore \( Q_u \) is just the global functions on the scheme \( S_u \) in \( \mathbb{P}^2_R \) cut out by \( A = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3} a_{ij}x_ix_j \) and \( B = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3} b_{ij}x_ix_j \). (We can just work in terms of global functions instead of the pushforward to the base since the base \( \text{Spec} R \) is affine. Moreover, the multiplicative structure of the global functions of \( S_u \) is the same as the induced multiplicative structure on the hypercohomological construction of \( Q_u \).) We cover \( S_u \) with open sets \( \mathcal{U}_{x_i} \) coming from the usual open sets in \( \mathbb{P}^2_R \). As a first step, we will find \((f, g) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{x_i}) \times \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{x_j})\) such that \( f = g \) in \( \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{x_i} \cap \mathcal{U}_{x_j}) \). This will find all regular functions on \( \mathcal{U}_{x_i} \cup \mathcal{U}_{x_j} \), and it will turn out that they all extend uniquely to global functions on \( S_u \). Thus, we will have found all the regular functions on \( S_u \). We will identify these regular functions with the basis in Bhargava’s quartic ring construction, and then it can be checked that the multiplication tables agree.

Let \( i, j, k \) be some permutation of 1, 2, 3. We have that

\[
\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{x_i}) = R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i]/(A/a_i^2, B/a_i^2).
\]

Let \( I_i \) be the ideal \((A/a_i^2, B/a_i^2)\) of \( R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i] \), and similarly for \( I_j \). Also,

\[
\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{x_i} \cap \mathcal{U}_{x_j}) = R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i, x_i/x_j]/(A/a_i^2, B/a_i^2).
\]
If we have \((f, g) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_x) \times \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_x)\) such that \(f = g\) in \(\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_x \cap \mathcal{U}_x)\), then \(f\) and \(g\) are represented by polynomials \(\hat{f} \in R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i]\) and \(\hat{g} \in R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i]\) such that the element \(\hat{f} - \hat{g} \in R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i, x_2/x_j]\) is in the ideal \(I = (A/x_i^2, B/x_j^2)\). However, \(\hat{f} - \hat{g}\) will not have any terms with an \(x_i\) and an \(x_j\) in the denominator. We define \(T_1\) to be the sub \(R\)-module of \(I\) of elements that do not have any terms with both an \(x_i\) and an \(x_j\) in the denominator. The set \(T_1\) gives all the relations between polynomials representing elements in \(\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_x)\) and polynomials representing elements in \(\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_x)\). We define \(T_2\) to be the sub \(R\)-module of \(T_1\) generated by the images of \(I_i\) and \(I_j\) under their natural inclusion into \(R[x_j/x_i, x_k/x_i, x_2/x_j]\). The set \(T_2\) gives all the relations of \(T_1\) that come from relations already in \(\mathcal{U}_x\) and already in \(\mathcal{U}_x\). We now seek to determine \(T_1/T_2\), which gives rise to all pairs \((f, g) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_x) \times \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_x)\) such that \(f = g\) in \(\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_x \cap \mathcal{U}_x)\) that are not functions on the base \(\text{Spec} R\).

We first define some notation to help us write down elements of \(T_1/T_2\). Let \(A_{i,mj^n} = A_{i,mj^n}^{m+n-2}x_i^{m+n}x_j^n\), where the subscript \(i,mj^n\) is a product of formal symbols, where a missing exponent denotes an exponent of 1. We define \(B_{i,mj^n}\) analogously.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let \(t \in T_1/T_2\). We can write

\[
t = \sum_{m,n \geq 1 \atop m+n \leq 3} c_{m,n}A_{i,mj^n} + d_{m,n}B_{i,mj^n} \quad \text{with } c_{m,n}, d_{m,n} \in R.
\]

**Proof.** Clearly we can write any \(t\) in \(I\) as such sum over \(m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\) with \(m + n \geq 2\). Any term with \(m \leq 0\) is in the image of \(I_j\) and thus in \(T_2\), and any term with \(n \leq 0\) is in the image of \(I_i\) and thus in \(T_2\). It remains to show that we do not need terms with \(m + n \geq 4\) in order to represent \(t\).

We suppose for the sake of contradiction that a term with \(m + n \geq 4\) was required, and we take a term with \(m + n\) maximal for this condition, and \(m\) maximal given that. Then \(c_{m,n}A_{i,mj^n}\) contributes a \(x_i^{m+n}/x_j^n\) term with coefficient \(c_{m,n}a_{kk}\) and \(d_{m,n}B_{i,mj^n}\) contributes \(x_i^{m+n}/x_j^n\) term with coefficient \(d_{m,n}b_{kk}\). No other terms of the summand for \(t\) can contribute a term with \(x_i^{m+n}/x_j^n\) in the denominator, and so we must have \(c_{m,n} = rb_{kk}\) and \(d_{m,n} = -ra_{kk}\) for some element \(r \in R\).

Now we claim we did not need to use the terms \(rb_{kk}A_{i,mj^n} - ra_{kk}B_{i,mj^n}\) in the sum that represents \(t\). To prove this claim, we use the following identity

\[
\begin{align*}
b_{kk}A_{i,mj^n} - a_{kk}B_{i,mj^n} &= b_{jk}A_{i,m-1j^n} + a_{jk}B_{i,jm-1j^n} - b_{jk}A_{i,mj^n-1} + a_{jk}B_{i,mj^n-1} + a_{ij}B_{i,mj^n-1} - b_{ij}A_{i,m-1j^n} - b_{ij}A_{i,mj^n-1} - b_{ij}A_{i,jm-1j^n} - a_{ij}B_{i,mj^n-1} - b_{kk}A_{i,mj^n-2} + a_{kk}B_{i,mj^n-2} - b_{kk}A_{i,m-2j^n} + a_{kk}B_{i,m-2j^n}
\end{align*}
\]

This proves the lemma. \(\square\)

The above lemma tells us that every element of \(T_1/T_2\) can be written as an \(R\)-linear combination of \(A_{i,j}, B_{i,j}, A_{i,j}x_j, B_{i,j}x_j, A_{i,j}x_j^2,\) and \(B_{i,j}x_j^2\). Since only \(A_{i,j}x_j\) and \(B_{i,j}x_j\) have terms with \(x_i^2x_j\) in the denominator, we must have that \(A_{i,j}x_j\) and \(B_{i,j}x_j\) appear with coefficients \(c_{2,1}\) and \(d_{2,1}\) so as to cancel those terms out. We can argue similarly for
$A_{ij}$ and $B_{ij}$. Thus, every element of $T_1/T_2$ can be written as a $R$ linear combination of $A_{ij}, B_{ij}, b_{kk}A_{i'j'}$, and $b_{kk}A_{ij^2} - a_{kk}B_{i'j'}$. We note that all four of $A_{ij}, B_{ij}, b_{kk}A_{i'j'}$, and $b_{kk}A_{ij^2} - a_{kk}B_{i'j'}$ have terms with a $x_i x_j$ denominator.

We define some notation so we can write combinations of these elements down more easily. For $i < j$, let $a_{ij} = a_{ij}$. Let $\lambda^{\ell_1 \ell_2} = a_{\ell_1 \ell_2}b_{ij} - b_{i'j}a_{\ell_1 \ell_2}$. We note that

$$H_{ij} = b_{kk}A_{i'j'} - a_{kk}B_{i'j'} + b_{jk}A_{ij} - a_{jk}B_{ij}$$

$$= \lambda^{ij}_{kk}x_jk/x_i + \lambda^{ij}_{kj}x_jx_i + \lambda^{ij}_{ki}x_j/k + \lambda^{ij}_{jk}x_j/x_i$$

and

$$H_{ij} = b_{kk}A_{i'j'} - a_{kk}B_{i'j'} + b_{jk}A_{ij} - a_{jk}B_{ij}$$

do not have any terms with both $x_i$ and $x_j$ in the denominator. Every element of $T_1/T_2$ can be written as a $R$ linear combination of $A_{ij}, B_{ij}, H_{ij}$ and $H_{ji}$, because this is just a unipotent triangular transformation of the last list of four generators. We have seen that $H_{ij}$ and $H_{ji}$ have no $x_j$ in the denominator, so that $f = g$ in $\Gamma(U_{\mathfrak{g}})$, and $H_{ji}$ and $H_{ij}$ remain $R$-module by four generators $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in \Gamma(U_{\mathfrak{g}}) \times \Gamma(U_{\mathfrak{g}})$, such that $f = g$ in $\Gamma(U_{\mathfrak{g}})$ can be written in terms of four $R$-module generators:

$$(1, 1), (h_{\mathfrak{g}}, -h_{\mathfrak{g}}), (h_{ji}, -h_{ij}), (f_{ji}, -f_{ij} + \lambda^{ij}_{kk})$$

Letting $i$ and $j$ vary, this information is enough to determine the global functions on $S_a$. In this case, it turns out that the regular functions on $U_{\mathfrak{g}}$ that can be extended to $U_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are exactly the same as the regular functions on $U_{\mathfrak{g}}$ that can be extended to $U_{\mathfrak{g}}$. In particular, in the polynomial ring $R[x_i, x_{ij} + x_{jk}/x_i]$, we can compute that

$$h_{ij} = h_{ij} + a_{kj}B/x_i^2 - b_{jk}A/x_i^2$$

and

$$h_{ij} = -f_{jk}.$$
We now show that the $g_i$ are generators for a free $R$-module of rank 4. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there was a relation among these generators. Then over the generic point of $R$ the global functions of $S_u$ would be a vector space of at most dimension 3. But we know from Section 4.2 that the global functions of $S_u$ are locally free four dimensional $R$ module, and thus will be a four dimensional vector space over the generic point of Spec $R$.

To construct the multiplication table on our four generators $g_i$ of the global functions on $S_u$, we can reduce to finding a multiplication table in the $\Gamma(U_1)$ component, since the $g_i$ are $R$-linearly independent even in this component. We can further reduce to finding the multiplication table over the generic point of Spec $R$. We first construct a multiplication table on $1, x_2/x_1, x_3/x_1, x_2x_3/x_1^2$ over the generic point of Spec $R$. To do this, we replace $A$ and $B$ by linear combinations of $A$ and $B$, one of which has no $(x_2/x_1)^2$ term, and one of which has no $(x_3/x_1)^2$ term. Then on $U_1$ over the generic point of Spec $R$, we can write all functions in terms of $1, x_2/x_1, x_3/x_1, x_2x_3/x_1^2$, and just apply this change of basis to the multiplication table to obtain a multiplication table for the $g_i$. If we take $\alpha_1 = -g_2$, $\alpha_2 = -g_3$, and $\alpha_3 = -g_4$, we obtain exactly the multiplication tables given by Bhargava in [2, Equations (15) and (21)].

In Section 4.2, we found that $Q_p/O_S$ is canonically isomorphic to $W^*$. However, we also have explicit basis for $Q_p/O_S$ when we have a basis for $W$. We see how these bases are related.

**Theorem 5.3.** For the universal form $u$, in the map $Q_p \to W^*$ from Section 4.2 we have

\begin{align*}
g_2 &\mapsto x_1^* \\
g_3 &\mapsto x_3^* \\
g_4 &\mapsto x_2^*.
\end{align*}

**Proof.** We compute the map in two steps. We first find the map

$$R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{O}/u(\mathcal{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2})) \to R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2}/u(\mathcal{O}(-4)))$$

and then the map

$$R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2}/u(\mathcal{O}(-4))) \to R^2\pi_*(\mathcal{O}(-4)).$$

We compute each of the individual maps by using the snake lemma on the Cech complex with the usual affine cover of $\mathbb{P}^2$. We summarize the computation in the charts below, which should be read from upper right to lower left.
| $\mathcal{O}(-4)$ | $\mathcal{O}(-2)^\otimes$ | $\mathcal{O}(-2)^\otimes/\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(-4))$ | $\mathcal{O}$ | $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(-2)^\otimes)$ |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| $\Gamma(U_{x_1})$ | \multirow{3}{*}{$\times\Gamma(U_{x_2})$} | \multirow{3}{*}{$\times\Gamma(U_{x_3})$} | \multirow{3}{*}{$h_{1,2}$} | \multirow{3}{*}{$g_2$} |
| $\times\Gamma(U_{x_2})$ | $(a_{11}/x_1x_2', b_{11}/x_1x_2')$ | $(a_{11}/x_1x_2', b_{11}/x_1x_2')$ | $h_{1,2} + h_{1,2} = H_{1,2}$ |
| $\times\Gamma(U_{x_3})$ | \multirow{3}{*}{$\times\Gamma(U_{x_2})$} | \multirow{3}{*}{$\times\Gamma(U_{x_3})$} | \multirow{3}{*}{$h_{1,2} + h_{1,2} = H_{1,2}$} |
| $\times\Gamma(U_{x_3})$ | \multirow{3}{*}{$\times\Gamma(U_{x_2})$} | \multirow{3}{*}{$\times\Gamma(U_{x_3})$} | \multirow{3}{*}{$h_{1,2} + h_{1,2} = H_{1,2}$} |
| $\Gamma(U_{x_1}x_2x_3)$ | $\frac{1}{x_1'x_2x_3}$ | $\frac{A + B}{x_1'x_2x_3}$ | $-h_{1,3} - h_{1,3}$ | \multirow{3}{*}{$g_2$} |

- $\frac{1}{x_1'x_2x_3}$
- $\frac{A + B}{x_1'x_2x_3}$
- $-h_{1,3} - h_{1,3}$
- $g_2$
\[
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
\Gamma(U_{x_1}) & \mathcal{O}(-4) & \mathcal{O}(-2)^{\otimes 2} & \mathcal{O}(-2)^{\otimes 2}/u(\mathcal{O}(-4)) & \mathcal{O} \\
\times \Gamma(U_{x_2}) & & & h_{2,1} & -h_{2,1} \\
\times \Gamma(U_{x_3}) & & & f_{2,1} + \lambda_{22}^{13} & g_3 \\
\Gamma(U_{x_1,x_2}) & (\frac{a_{11}x_1}{x_1x_2} + \frac{b_{11}}{x_1x_2}, \frac{b_{12}x_3}{x_1x_2}, + (\frac{a_{12}x_1}{x_3x_2}, \frac{b_{13}}{x_3x_2}) & h_{2,1} + h_{2,1} = H_{2,1} & -h_{2,1} + f_{2,1} - \lambda_{22}^{13} & h_{2,3} + h_{2,3} \\
\times \Gamma(U_{x_2x_3}) & & -a_{13} \frac{B}{x_2} + b_{13} \frac{A}{x_2} & = H_{2,3} & \mathcal{O}/u(\mathcal{O}(-2)^{\otimes 2}) \\
\Gamma(U_{x_3,x_1}) & (\frac{a_{12}x_1}{x_3x_2} + \frac{b_{12}}{x_3x_2}, \frac{b_{13}x_3}{x_3x_2}, + (\frac{a_{13}x_1}{x_2}, \frac{b_{11}}{x_2}) & -a_{13} \frac{B}{x_2} + b_{13} \frac{A}{x_2} & h_{2,1} - f_{2,1} - \lambda_{22}^{13} & \mathcal{O}/u(\mathcal{O}(-2)^{\otimes 2}) \\
\Gamma(U_{x_1x_2x_3}) & \frac{1}{x_1x_2x_3} & \frac{A+B}{x_1x_2x_3} & & \\
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
 & \mathcal{O}(-4) & \mathcal{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2} & \mathcal{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2}/u(\mathcal{O}(-4)) & \mathcal{O}/u(\mathcal{O}(-2)^{\oplus 2}) \\
\hline
\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_1) & & & & -h_{3,1} \\
\times \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_2) & & & -f_{2,1} + \lambda_{12}^{33} & g_4 \\
\times \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_3) & & & h_{3,1} & \\
\hline
\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{1,2}) & & & -h_{3,1} + f_{2,1} - \lambda_{12}^{33} & \\
\times \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{2,3}) & & \left(\frac{a_{33}}{x_1 x_2}, \frac{b_{33}}{x_1 x_2}\right) & f_{2,1} + f_{1,2} + \lambda_{33}^{12} & F_{12} \\
\times \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{3,1}) & & \left(\frac{a_{11} x_1 + a_{13}}{x_1 x_3}, \frac{b_{11} x_1 + b_{13}}{x_2 x_3}\right) & h_{3,1} & H_{3,2} \\
\times \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{1,2,3}) & & \left(-\frac{a_{22} x_2}{x_3^2}, \frac{a_{23}}{x_1 x_3}\right) & \left(-\frac{a_{22} x_2}{x_3^2}, \frac{b_{22} x_2}{x_1 x_3}\right) & -a_{12} \frac{B}{x_3^2} + b_{12} \frac{A}{x_3} \\
\hline
\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{1,2,3}) & & \frac{1}{x_1 x_2 x_3} & \frac{A + B}{x_1 x_2 x_3} & \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

6 Construction of the cubic resolvent

In Section 2, we have already given a geometric construction of a cubic algebra from a binary cubic form. In Section 3, we defined the determinant of a double ternary quadratic form \( p \) to be a binary cubic form \( \det(p) \in \text{Sym}^3 U^* \otimes (\wedge^2 U) \). The cubic algebra \( C \) of this binary cubic form can be constructed as described in Section 2 and is the desired cubic resolvent.

We have \( C/\mathcal{O}_S \cong U \) (see [18], Section 3.1) for a similar, but simpler argument to the one in Section 4.2. Thus, \( p \) gives the required quadratic map from \( Q/\mathcal{O}_S \) to \( C/\mathcal{O}_S \). The orientation isomorphism \( \delta : \wedge^3 Q/\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \wedge^2 C/\mathcal{O}_S \) comes from the orientation on the double ternary quadratic form. On any open set, we can check that \( \delta(x \wedge y \wedge xy) = p(x) \wedge p(y) \) by looking on a open subcover on which \( W \) and \( U \) are trivial and pulling back from the universal form on each open set in that subcover. It remains to check that \( \delta(x \wedge y \wedge xy) = p(x) \wedge p(y) \) when \( p \) is the universal ternary quadratic form, which can be checked explicitly given the multiplication table of \( Q_p \). In particular, at the end of the proof of the Main Theorem in Section 7, we lay out a plan to determine
the multiplication table of $Q_p$ in terms of $p$. The result agrees with the multiplication table given explicitly in [2, Equations (15) and (21)]. The expressions $\delta(x \wedge y \wedge xy)$ and $p(x) \wedge p(y)$ both represent linear maps from $\text{Sym}_2(Q_p/\mathcal{O}_S) \otimes \text{Sym}_2(Q_p/\mathcal{O}_S)$ to $\wedge^3 Q_p$. Thus it suffices to check that these maps agree on a basis of global sections of $\text{Sym}_2(Q_p/\mathcal{O}_S) \otimes \text{Sym}_2(Q_p/\mathcal{O}_S)$, since in this case $Q_p/\mathcal{O}_S$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_S$ module. This is easily checked, especially exploiting the symmetry of the situation.

7 Main Theorem

In this section, we prove the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 7.1.** There is an isomorphism between the moduli stack for quartic algebras with cubic resolvents and the moduli stack for double ternary quadratic forms. In other words, for a scheme $S$ there is an equivalence between the category of quartic algebras with cubic resolvents and the category of double ternary quadratic forms (with morphisms given by isomorphisms in both categories), and this natural equivalence commutes with base change in $S$.

**Proof.** Given a double ternary quadratic form $p$ over a base $S$, we have shown how to construct a pair $(Q_p, C_p)$, and all aspects of the construction commute with base change in $S$. Given a pair $(Q, C)$ over $S$, we can just take the quadratic map $\phi$ from $Q/\mathcal{O}_S$ to $C/\mathcal{O}_S$ to be our double ternary quadratic form with $W = (Q/\mathcal{O}_S)^*$ and $U = C/\mathcal{O}_S$ (using the orientation $\wedge^3 Q/\mathcal{O}_S \overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} \wedge^3 C/\mathcal{O}_S$). This construction clearly commutes with base change.

It remains to prove that the compositions of these two constructions (in either order) are the identity. To prove this, we rigidify the moduli problems. A *based double ternary quadratic form* is a ternary quadratic form $p \in \text{Sym}^2 W \otimes U$ and a choice of bases $w_1, w_2, w_3$ and $u_1, u_2$ for $W$ and $U$ respectively as free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules, such that $(w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge w_3) \otimes (u_1 \wedge u_2)$ corresponds to the identity under the orientation isomorphism. A *based pair* $(Q, C)$ of a quadratic algebra and cubic resolvent is a a pair $(Q, C)$ of quadratic algebra and cubic resolvent and choices of basis $q_1, q_2, q_3$ and $c_1, c_2$ for $Q/\mathcal{O}_S$ and $C/\mathcal{O}_S$ as free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules, such that $(q_1 \wedge q_2 \wedge q_3)$ corresponds to $(c_1 \wedge c_2)$ under the orientation isomorphism. We see that our constructions above extend to the moduli stacks for these rigidified moduli problems. In particular, we obtain a basis for $Q/\mathcal{O}_S$ as a dual basis for the basis of $W$ and vice versa.

It now suffices to show that these constructions compose to the identity on the rigidified moduli stacks. If we start with a double ternary quadratic form $p \in \text{Sym}^2 W \otimes U$, we obtain a pair $(Q, C)$ whose quadratic map is given exactly by the form, and then the construction of a form from $(Q, C)$ gives back exactly our original form. The choices of bases for $W$ and $U$ and the orientation are clearly preserved under this composition.

We can start with a based pair $(Q, C)$, and then build another based pair $(Q_{\phi}, C_{\phi})$ from the quadratic map $\phi$ of $(Q, C)$, and we wish to show that $(Q, C)$ and $(Q_{\phi}, C_{\phi})$ are equal. (We can use the notion of equal instead of isomorphic since all of the objects are based.) We have that $C$ and $C_{\phi}$ are both given as the cubic algebra corresponding to $\text{Det}(\phi)$ and thus are equal. The quadratic resolvent maps are the same, since $\phi$ carries through the two constructions. The orientation isomorphism are clearly the same since
they also carry through the constructions. It remains to show that the multiplication on $Q$ agrees with the multiplication on $Q_\phi$. To do this, we will show that the condition $\delta(1 \wedge x \wedge y \wedge xy) = \phi(x) \wedge \phi(y)$ determines the multiplication table on $Q$ from the resolvent map $\phi$. Since $Q$ and $Q_\phi$ have the same resolvent map, this will show that they are isomorphic as $\mathcal{O}_S$-algebras.

We let the quadratic map $\phi$ be written as $Ac_2 + Bc_1$, where $A = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3} a_{ij} x_i x_j$, and $B = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 3} b_{ij} x_i x_j$, and the $x_i$ are a dual basis for $q_i$ in $Q/\mathcal{O}_S$. We recall the notation $\lambda_{ij} = a_{ij} - b_{ij}$. We lift the basis $q_i$ of $Q/\mathcal{O}_S$ to a basis of $Q$ uniquely so that $q_1 q_2$ has no $q_1$ or $q_2$ term and so that $q_1 q_3$ has no $q_1$ term. Let $m_{ij}^k$ be the coefficient of $q_k$ in the $q_i q_j$. From Equation (23) in [2], we know that the constant coefficient of $q_i q_j$ in given as a polynomial in the various $m$ coefficients. Thus, it remains to show that the $m_{ij}^k$ are determined by $\phi$. We plug various $x$ and $y$ into $\delta(1 \wedge x \wedge y \wedge xy) = \phi(x) \wedge \phi(y)$. In the below, we always let $i, j, k$ be a permutation of $1, 2, 3$ and let $\pm$ be the sign of this permutation. First, letting $x = q_i$ and $y = q_j$ gives $m_{ij}^k = \pm \lambda_{ij}^j$. Then, letting $x = q_i + q_j$ and $y = q_i$ gives $m_{ii}^k = \pm \lambda_{ii}^j$. Next, letting $x = q_i + q_k$ and $y = q_j$ gives $m_{ik}^j - m_{ij}^k = \pm \lambda_{ik}^j$. Using the choice of lift, which gives $m_{12}^1 = m_{21}^1 = m_{13}^3 = 0$, this determines all $m_{ij}^k$. Finally, letting $x = q_i + q_k$ and $y = q_i + q_j$ determines $m_{ii}^k$ in terms of the $\lambda$’s and the $m$’s that we have already determined.

\section{Appendix: Maps between locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules}

Let $S$ be a scheme. In this appendix we will give several basic facts about maps between locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules.

\begin{lemma}
If $L$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module and $V$ is a locally free rank $n$ $\mathcal{O}_S$-module, then $\text{Sym}^k(V \otimes L) \cong \text{Sym}^k V \otimes L^\otimes k$.
\end{lemma}

\begin{proof}
We have the canonical map

\[
\text{Sym}^k(V \otimes L) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k V \otimes \text{Sym}^k L,
\]

\[
(v_1 \otimes \ell_1) \cdots (v_k \otimes \ell_k) \mapsto v_1 \cdots v_k \otimes \ell_1 \cdots \ell_k,
\]

which we can check is an isomorphism on free modules and thus is an isomorphism on locally free modules. Moreover, we have that $L^\otimes k \cong \text{Sym}^k L$. We have the canonical quotient map $L^\otimes k \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k L$ which is clearly an isomorphism for $L$ free of rank 1 and thus locally free of rank 1.
\end{proof}

\begin{lemma}
If $V$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module of rank two then $V \otimes \wedge^2 V^* \cong V^*$.
\end{lemma}

\begin{proof}

\[
V \otimes \wedge^2 V^* \rightarrow V^*
\]

\[
v \otimes (V_1 \wedge V_2) \mapsto V_1(v)V_2 - V_2(v)V_1
\]

We can define the canonical map which is an isomorphism for free and thus locally free modules of rank 2.
\end{proof}
We combine these two lemmas to obtain a corollary that is used throughout this paper.

**Corollary 8.3.** If \( V \) is a locally free \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-module of rank two then \( \text{Sym}^3 V \otimes (\wedge^2 V) \otimes -2 \cong \text{Sym}^3 V^* \otimes (\wedge^2 V^*) \otimes -1 \).

**Lemma 8.4.** If \( V \) is a locally free \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-module, we have \( (\text{Sym}_n V)^* \cong \text{Sym}^n V^* \).

*Proof.* We give a map from \( \text{Sym}^n V^* \) to \( (\text{Sym}_n V)^* \) as follows

\[
\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 \cdots \mathcal{V}_n \mapsto (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \mapsto \mathcal{V}_1(v_1) \mathcal{V}_2(v_2) \cdots \mathcal{V}_n(v_n)).
\]

If we permute the \( \mathcal{V}_i \) factors, we see the result does not change because the elements of \( \text{Sym}_n V \) that we evaluate on are invariant with respect to this permutation. When \( V \) is free, we can explicitly see that this map is an isomorphism. \( \square \)

### 8.1 Degree \( k \) maps

Let \( M \) and \( N \) be locally free \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-modules. A linear map from \( M \) to \( R \) is equivalent to a global section of \( M^* \). In other words, sections of \( M^* \) are the degree 1 functions on \( M \).

We define the *degree \( n \)* functions on \( M \) as the global sections of \( \text{Sym}^n M^* \), symmetric polynomials in linear functions on \( M \).

**Definition.** A *degree \( n \)* map from \( M \) to \( N \) is a global section of

\[
\text{Sym}^n M^* \otimes N \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Sym}_n M, N).
\]

Note that the identity map on \( \text{Sym}_n M \) gives a canonical degree \( n \) map from \( M \) to \( \text{Sym}_n M \).

The language “degree \( n \) map from \( M \) to \( N \)” suggests that we should be able to evaluate such a thing on elements of \( M \).

**Definition.** Given a degree \( n \) map from \( M \) to \( N \) as an element \( f \in \text{Hom}(\text{Sym}_n M, N) \), the *evaluation* of \( f \) on an element of \( M \) is \( f(m \otimes \cdots \otimes m) \).

When \( M \) is free, say with generators \( m_1, \ldots, m_k \) and dual basis \( \mathfrak{m}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_k \) of \( M^* \), then we defined a degree \( n \) map \( f \) from \( M \) to \( R \) to be a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( n \) in the \( m_1, \ldots, m_k \). If we evaluate \( f \) on \( (c_1 m_1 + \cdots + c_k m_k) \) for arbitrary sections \( c_i \) of \( \mathcal{O}_S \), we will have a degree \( n \) polynomial in the \( c_i \). Replacing the \( c_i \) in this polynomial by \( m_i \), we obtain the homogeneous polynomial of degree \( n \) in the \( \mathfrak{m}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_k \) which is the realization of \( f \) as an element of \( \text{Sym}^n M^* \).

When \( M \) is free, we may have a non-linear map \( \rho : M \to \mathcal{O}_S \) (or \( \rho : M \to N \), but we take \( N = \mathcal{O}_S \) for simplicity) and wish to realize it as the evaluation of a degree \( n \) map. We can consider \( \rho(c_1 m_1 + \cdots + c_k m_k) \) for arbitrary \( c_i \in R \) and if \( \rho(c_1 m_1 + \cdots + c_k m_k) \) is a degree \( n \) polynomial in the \( c_i \), we have an \( f \in \text{Sym}^n M^* \) (given by replacing the \( c_i \) by \( \mathfrak{m}_i \)) of which \( \rho \) is the evaluation).

Since \( M \) is locally free, we locally have \( f \in \text{Sym}^n M^* \) and see that the above recipe is invariant under change of basis and so we have a global \( f \in \text{Sym}^n M^* \) (as long as...
everywhere locally where $M$ is free $\rho(c_1m_1 + \cdots + c_km_k)$ is a degree $n$ polynomial in the $c_i$).

As an example, we explicitly realize the determinant as a distinguished element of

$$\text{Hom}(\text{Sym}_n \text{Hom}(M, N), \text{Hom}(\wedge^n M, \wedge^n N)).$$

Let $\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n \in \text{Hom}(M, N)^\otimes n$. Then we can map $\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n$ to the element of $\text{Hom}(\wedge^n M, \wedge^n N)$ which sends $m_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge m_n$ to $\phi_1(m_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_n(m_n)$. This will not be well-defined for $\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n \in \text{Hom}(M, N)^\otimes n$, but it will be well-defined when restricted to $\text{Sym}_n \text{Hom}(M, N)$.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Sym}_n \text{Hom}(M, N) & \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge^n M, \wedge^n N) \\
\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_n & \mapsto (m_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge m_n \mapsto \phi_1(m_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_n(m_n))
\end{align*}
\]

This is our realization of the determinant function (as opposed to the determinant of a specific homomorphism) as an element of $\text{Hom}(\text{Sym}_n \text{Hom}(M, N), \text{Hom}(\wedge^n M, \wedge^n N))$.

When we evaluate the determinant on a map $\phi \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$, we have $\phi(m_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi(m_n)$. For example, let $N$ and $M$ be free of rank 2. Evaluating our degree 2 determinant map on a generic element of $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ that sends $m_1$ to $an_1 + cn_2$ and $m_2$ to $bn_1 + dn_2$, we see that we obtain the element of $\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 M, \wedge^2 N)$ that sends $m_1 \wedge m_2$ to $(an_1 + cn_2) \wedge (bn_1 + dn_2) = (ad - bc)n_1 \wedge n_2$.

### 8.2 Degree $k$ maps with coefficients

Recall that we have defined a degree $k$ map from a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module $M$ to a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module $V$ to be a linear map from $\text{Sym}_k M$ to $V$. This is equivalent to a global section of $\text{Sym}_k M^* \otimes V$. We use the following proposition to show that we can “add coefficients” to a degree $k$ map.

**Proposition 8.5.** In the natural map

$$\text{Sym}_k (M \otimes N) \rightarrow M^\otimes k \otimes \text{Sym}_k N,$$

the image of $\text{Sym}_k (M \otimes N)$ is inside $\text{Sym}_k M \otimes \text{Sym}_k N$.

**Proof.** We prove this proposition by checking the statement locally where the modules are free. If we symmetrize a pure tensor of basis elements in $(M \otimes N)^\otimes k$, we see that when we forget the terms from $N$ we still obtain an element of $\text{Sym}_k M$. Since all of the terms in the symmetrization will have the same factor in $\text{Sym}_k N$, this completes the proof.

Thus, given a degree $k$ map from $M$ to $V$, we naturally obtain a degree $k$ map from $M \otimes N$ to $V \otimes \text{Sym}_k N$ (by composing $\text{Sym}_k (M \otimes N) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_k M \otimes \text{Sym}_k N \rightarrow V \otimes \text{Sym}_k N$). We call this construction using $V$ as coefficients, because it is as if we treat the elements of $V$ as formal ring elements.
9 Appendix: Inherited algebra structure

Let $X$ be a scheme. An algebra structure on a chain complex $C$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules is given by a map $C \otimes C \to C$, which we call the multiplication. (See [17, 2.7.1] for the definition of the tensor product of two chain complexes.) Associativity and commutativity are given by the commutativity of the expected diagrams built out of the multiplication map. A unit for the algebra is given by a map $\mathcal{O}_X \to C$ that satisfies the expected properties with respect to the multiplication.

If $\pi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of schemes, such an algebra structure on $C$ is inherited by $R\pi_* C$ in the derived category of $Y$. To be more precise, we let $Q$ be the localization functor that maps complexes of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules to the associated objects in the derived category of $X$. From the universal property of the derived tensor (see, e.g. [17, 10.5.1]), we have a morphism

\[(4) \quad Q(C) \otimes Q(C) \to Q(C \otimes C),\]

where the $\otimes$ of the left denotes the total tensor in the derived category (see [17, 10.6] or [13, II.4]). From Equation (4) composed with $Q(C \otimes C) \to Q(C)$ from the multiplication map, we see that the algebra structure on $C$ is inherited by $Q(C)$ in the derived category of $X$.

Next we see there is a map

\[(5) \quad R\pi_* Q(C) \otimes R\pi_* Q(C) \to R\pi_* (Q(C) \otimes Q(C))\]

which can be obtained from the morphism $R\pi_* Q(C) \otimes R\pi_* Q(C) \to R\pi_* (Q(C) \otimes L\pi^* R\pi_* Q(C))$ of the projection formula (see [17, 10.8.1] [13, II.5.6]) and the morphism $L\pi^* R\pi_* Q(C) \to Q(C)$ that comes from the adjointness of $L\pi^*$ and $R\pi_*$ and the identity map $\pi_* \to \pi_*$ (c.f. [17, 10.7.1] [13, II.5.10, II.5.11]). Thus the algebra structure is inherited by $R\pi_* Q(C)$. Finally, the natural map

\[(6) \quad H^0(R\pi_* Q(C)) \otimes H^0(R\pi_* Q(C)) \to H^0(R\pi_* Q(C) \otimes R\pi_* Q(C))\]

shows how the algebra structure is inherited by $H^0(R\pi_* Q(C))$. One can follow the diagrams to see that the associativity and commutativity of the multiplication map is inherited, along with the structure of the unit.
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