CYP2A6 and ERCCI polymorphisms correlate with efficacy of S-1 plus cisplatin in metastatic gastric cancer patients
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BACKGROUND: We evaluated the association between polymorphisms of cytochrome P450 2A6 (CYP2A6)/excision repair cross-complementation group 1 (ERCCI)/X-ray repair cross-complementing group 1 (XRCC1) and treatment outcomes of metastatic gastric cancer (MGC) patients treated with S-1/cisplatin.

METHODS: Among MGC patients (n = 108), who received S-1 (40 mg m⁻² b.i.d., days 1–14) and cisplatin (60 mg m⁻², day 1) every 3 weeks, we analysed the wild-type allele (W) and variants (V) of CYP2A6 (*4, *7, *9, *10), and the polymorphisms of ERCCI (rs11615, rs3212986) and XRCC1 (rs25487).

RESULTS: Patients having fewer CYP2A6 variants had better response rates (W/W vs W/V or V/V or W/W vs W/W: 66.7 vs 58.3 ± 32.3%; P = 0.008), time to progression (TTP) (7.2 vs 6.1 ± 3.5 months, P = 0.021), and overall survival (23.2 vs 15.4 ± 12.0 months, P = 0.004). ERCCI 19442C>A (rs3212986 was also associated with response rate (C/C, 46.7% vs C/A, 55.3% vs A/A, 87.5%); P = 0.048) and TTP (4.4 vs 7.6 ± 7.9 months) (P = 0.012). Patients carrying both risk genotypes of CYP2A6 (V/V or V/W vs V/W or V/W) and ERCCI 19442C>A (C/C vs those carrying none showed an adjusted odds ratio of 0.113 (P = 0.004) for response, and adjusted hazard ratios of 3.748 (P = 0.0001) for TTP and 2.961 (P = 0.006) for death.

CONCLUSION: Polymorphisms of CYP2A6 and ERCCI 19442C>A correlated with the efficacy of S-1/cisplatin.
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Gastric cancer is the second most common cause of cancer death worldwide and the most common cancer in Korea (Parkin et al, 2005; Shin et al, 2007). As unresectable or metastatic gastric cancer (MGC) patients have a dismal prognosis with a median survival of less than 1 year despite chemotherapy, more effective treatment is urgently needed.

Until recently, the most common combination chemotherapies for MGC were based on infusional fluorouracil (5-FU) and/or cisplatin, with cisplatin/5-FU and epirubicin/cisplatin/5-FU regarded as reference treatments. Interest in oral fluoropyrimidines has been increasing, however, because of the convenience and safety they offer, and a novel oral fluoropyrimidine, S-1 is being actively investigated. In recent phase III trial, S-1 alone, or combined with cisplatin, showed promising activity as both a palliative and an adjuvant therapy in advanced gastric cancer (Sakuramoto et al, 2007; Koizumi et al, 2008; Boku et al, 2009; Ajani et al, 2010). Now that several phase III trials have demonstrated that both capcitabine and S-1 are not inferior to 5-FU in the setting of a platinum-containing combination, oral fluoropyrimidine plus platinum combinations are being widely used in clinical practice or as novel reference regimens in clinical trials (Cunningham et al, 2008; Kang et al, 2009; Ajani et al, 2010).

In addition, S-1 plus cisplatin shows a better safety profile than 5-FU plus cisplatin (Ajani et al, 2010). On the basis of these efficacy and safety data, S-1 plus cisplatin has become one of the most commonly used regimens in MGC, yet little is known about which subset of patients is most likely to benefit from the therapy. Identification of predictive markers for efficacy and/or toxicity could lead to more tailored therapy and, ultimately, improved treatment outcomes. An oral fluoropyrimidine, S-1, consists of 5-hydroxy-2,4-dihydroxypyridine, potassium oxonate, and tegafur, which is converted to 5-FU in the liver mainly by cytochrome P450 2A6 (CYP2A6) (Shirasaka et al, 1996; Ikeda et al, 2000). The enzyme CYP2A6 has polymorphic variants; CYP2A6*2, *4, *5, and *20 show no enzyme activity, whereas CYP2A6*6, *7, *9, *10, *11, *12, *17, *18, and *19 show reduced activity (http://www.cypalleles.ki.se). Recent pharmacokinetic studies showed the plasma concentrations and clearances of 5-FU and/or tegafur differed according to the CYP2A6 polymorphisms in patients treated with S-1 (Fujita et al, 2008; Kaida et al, 2008; Kim et al, 2009).

Here we hypothesise that CYP2A6 polymorphisms affect the clinical outcomes of patients who are undergoing S-1-containing chemotherapy for MGC – poorer efficacy and/or lower toxicity in patients with defective variant alleles.
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Cisplatin is cytotoxic mainly through formation of DNA adducts that cause inter- or intrastrand crosslinking. Nucleotide excision repair (NER) and base excision repair (BER) systems are involved in the repair of such damage. Key and rate-limiting enzymes include excision repair cross-complementation group 1 (ERCC1) in NER and X-ray repair cross-complementing group 1 (XRCRC) in BER, and they could lead to less cisplatin-induced DNA damage and thus to a poor drug response (Bosken et al, 2002; Furuta et al, 2002; Reed, 2005; Clausen et al, 2006). Polymorphisms of those DNA repair enzymes are associated with altered functional activity and variations in clinical outcome in patients treated with cisplatin-based chemotherapy (de las Penas et al, 2006; Krvik et al, 2008; Bradbury et al, 2009; Kallikaki et al, 2009; Shim et al, 2010). Although there are other important factors in DNA repair pathways, we selected ERCC1 rs11615 and rs3212986 and XRCRC1 rs25487 for genotyping, based on their frequencies of minor alleles >0.1 in Asian populations according to the Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP), and previous findings of associations with treatment outcomes of gastric cancer patients treated with platinum (Liu et al, 2007; Goekkurt et al, 2009; Huang et al, 2009).

In this study, we investigated association between CYP2A6, ERCC1, and XRCC1 polymorphisms and clinical outcomes of MGC patients who received S-1 plus cisplatin chemotherapy.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study population and treatment

We prospectively collected clinical data on 134 consecutive MGC patients who had received palliative S-1 plus cisplatin as first-line chemotherapy in the Center for Gastric Cancer of the National Cancer Center, Korea, between April 2006 and April 2010. Of those, we excluded 10 patients who did not have measurable lesions, 9 who were lost to follow-up during the first cycle, and 7 in whom blood samples were not available, leaving 108 patients eligible for analysis. Other eligibility criteria included Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status ≤2, age ≥18 years, no concurrent uncontrolled medical illness, and adequate hematological (absolute neutrophil count ≥1500 per µl, platelet count ≥100 000 per µl), hepatic (aminotransferase ≤2.5× the upper limit of normal (ULN)) (<5 × ULN in the presence of liver metastases), total bilirubin ≤1.5 × ULN), and renal (creatinine ≤1.5 × ULN) function.

Treatment consisted of 40 mg m⁻² oral S-1 twice daily (within the hour following morning and evening meals) on days 1 to 14, and a 15-min intravenous infusion of cisplatin 60 mg m⁻² on day 1 of a 3-week cycle. Prophylactic administration of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor was not allowed. To prevent nausea and vomiting, 5-hydroxytryptamine-3 receptor antagonists and dexamethasone and/or aprepitant were administered before chemotherapy. Treatment was continued in the absence of disease progression or unacceptable toxicity.

All patients provided written informed consent, and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Research Institute and Hospital, National Cancer Center. All information was obtained with appropriate Institutional Review Board waivers.

Assessment of efficacy and toxicity

Computed tomography scans were performed every 2–3 cycles or if clinically indicated to evaluate tumour response to treatment, which was assessed according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (Therasse et al, 2000). Objective responses were confirmed by a second evaluation 4 to 6 weeks later. The response rate and time to progression (TTP) were assessed by investigators. A complete blood cell count with differential, serum chemistry profile, and electrolyte level analysis were performed every 3 weeks. Toxicity was graded according to National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria (version 3.0).

CYP2A6, ERCC1, and XRCC1 genotyping

Using genomic DNA extracted from 3 ml peripheral blood with a Qiagen DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), we identified the common variant alleles that affect CYP2A6 activity or expression in Asian populations (CYP2A6*4, *7, *9, and *10), as well as the wild-type allele (CYP2A6*1), as previously described (Kong et al, 2009). Briefly, we used polymerase chain reaction (PCR)–restriction fragment length polymorphism, sequencing, and primer extension methods to determine three polymorphic sites (–48T>G, 6558T>C, and 6600G>T) and deletion of the CYP2A6 gene. We used a GeneAmp PCR system 9700 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and performed electrophoresis with an ABI Prism 3100 analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

We genotyped ERCC1 polymorphisms, including rs11615 [NG_015839.1]: g.8525C>T p.Asn118Asn) and rs3212986 [NG_015839.1]:g.19442C>A, at 3 untranslated region), using the TaqMan SNP assay (Applied Biosystems) following the manufacturer’s directions. We performed sequence analysis for rs25487 of the XRCC1 polymorphism ([(NM_006297.2:c.1196G>A, p.Arg399Gln), using the PCR product, which was reacted with a mixture of HF taq premix (Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea), primers (F: 5’-CTCTCTGTTCCTCCTTGTG-3’ and R: 5’-AGGTCTCTGCCTT CCTCATCT-3’), and sample DNA.

Statistical analysis

We assessed associations between variables using the Pearson χ²-test or the Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. We performed multivariate logistic regression analyses to ascertain whether the genetic polymorphisms are independently associated with treatment responses after adjusting for other relevant variables. We defined TTP as the time from the initiation of treatment to the date of documented disease progression, and defined overall survival (OS) as the time from the initiation of treatment to the date of death from any cause or the last follow-up visit. We used the Kaplan–Meier method and the log-rank test to estimate and compare survival distribution, and used Cox-regression models for survival multivariate analysis. We used trend tests to assess statistical significance of changes in the relationship between treatment efficacy and genetic polymorphism. We categorised genetic polymorphisms on an ordinal scale, according to genotypes (0 for homozygous non-risk allele, 1 for heterozygous risk allele, and 2 for homozygous risk allele), with risk alleles defined as those associated with poorer treatment efficacy. We considered the results as statistically significant when two-sided P-values were <0.05.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of the 108 eligible patients. Their median age was 57 years (range, 26–72), and the median follow-up period was 19.9 months (range, 1.4–59.1 months). Most (88.9%) of the patients had an ECOG performance status of 0–1, and all had metastatic disease, with 24 (22.2%) of them having recurrent metastatic disease after previous curative gastrectomy. Among the characteristics listed in Table 1, ECOG performance status (0–1 vs 2) was significantly associated with tumour response rate (Pearson χ²-test, P = 0.008), TTP (log-rank P < 0.001), and OS (log-rank P < 0.001); sex was significantly associated with tumour response rate (Pearson χ²-test,
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W/V other than enzyme activity or expression than those with other allele (patients homo- or heterozygous for the deletion of the entire gene and thus loss of enzyme activity variant (variant/variant (CYP2A6*7 polymorphisms on treatment outcomes, we classified et al with metastases. Prior treatment 12 1.9 Subtotal gastrectomy 27 25.0 Total gastrectomy 13 12.0 Recurrent 24 22.2 Prior treatment Total gastrectomy 13 12.0 Subtotal gastrectomy 27 25.0 Palliative gastrojejunostomy 2 1.9 Adjuvant chemotherapy 12 11.1

Tumour histology
W/D or M/D adenocarcinoma 30 27.8 P/D, signet ring cell, or mucinous carcinoma 78 72.2

Tumour location in stomach
Upper one third 17 15.7 Lower two thirds 70 64.8 Whole stomach 21 19.4

Organ with metastases
Peritoneum 67 62.0 Abdominal distant lymph node 37 34.3 Liver 30 27.8 Bone or lung 12 11.1 Others 40 37.0

No. of patients with metastases
1 49 45.4 2 38 35.2 3 21 19.4

Disease status
Initial metastatic 84 77.8 Recurrent 24 22.2

Abbreviations: ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; M/D = poorly differentiated; W/D = well differentiated.

The frequencies were 0.76 and 0.24 for the C and T alleles, respectively, of rs11615 (Asn118Asn) of ERCC1, 0.75 and 0.25 for the C and A alleles at 19442C>A of ERCC1 (rs3212986), and 0.63 and 0.37 for the G and A alleles of rs25487 (Arg399Gln) of XRCC1. We found no significant association between any polymorphisms and sex, age, ECOG performance status, disease status, histology, tumour location, or number of organs with metastases (data not shown).

Association between genotype and tumour response
Two of the eligible patients were lost to follow-up after the first chemotherapy cycle, leaving 106 patients, who could be evaluated for tumour response. Of those, 56 (52.8%) achieved partial response, 28 (26.4%) had stable disease, and 22 (20.8%) showed disease progression. The objective tumour response rate was 52.8% (95% confidence interval (CI), 43.3–62.3). In univariate analysis, the CYP2A6 genotype was significantly associated with tumour response rates; 66.7% for W/W patients vs 58.3% for W/V other than *1/*4 and *2/*4, relative to patients with W/W, were 0.771 (95% CI, 0.262–2.268; P = 0.038) (Table 3). In multivariate logistic regression analysis, the CYP2A6 genotype was significantly associated with the tumour response after adjustment for ECOG performance status and sex. The adjusted odds ratios (ORs) of patients with W/V other than *1/*4 and *2/*4, relative to patients with W/W, was also associated with tumour response rate in univariate analysis (C/C, 46.7% vs C/A, 55.3% vs A/A, 87.5%) (Fisher’s exact test, P = 0.093; trend test, P = 0.048) (Table 3). When we investigated the combined effect of CYP2A6 and ERCC1 association was significant (63.6% (95% CI, 47.2–80.0) for group with 0 risk
Table 3
Univariate analysis of association between genotypes and RR, TTP, and OS

| Genotype          | No. (%) | RR (%), HR (95% CI) | TTP (months), P-value | OS (months), P-value |
|-------------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| CYP2A6            |         |                     |                      |                     |
| Wild-type allele  | 33 (31)| 1 (reference)       | 7.6 (6.0–9.2), 0.004 | 21.2 (16.1–26.3), 0.004 |
| *1/*4              | 37 (34)| 0.953 (0.420–2.164) | 1.144 (0.732–1.788), 0.556 | 15.5 (13.3–17.7), 0.816 |
| T/T               | 4 (4)  | 1 (reference)       | 1 (reference)        | 1 (reference)       |
| CYP2A6/ERCC1 19442C |       |                     |                      |                     |
| No risk genotype  |         |                     |                      |                     |
| 0                 | 19 (18)| 1 (reference)       | 7.9 (4.9–10.9), 0.032 | 24.3 (5.2–43.4), 0.032 |
| 1                 | 21 (19)| 0.871 (0.311–2.439) | 0.829 (0.431–1.597), 0.576 | 0.532 (0.159–1.776), 0.305 |
| The number of risk genotypes of CYP2A6 and ERCC1 19442C (Table 4).

Abbreviations: HR = Hazards ratio; OR = Odds ratio; OS = Overall survival; RR = Response rate. TTP = time to progression; V = variant allele that abolishes or reduces CYP2A6 activity (*1/*4 and *4/*4) vs wild-type allele of CYP2A6 (*1).

Association between genotypes and TTP and OS

Time to progression varied significantly with CYP2A6 genotype (median TTP, 7.2 months for W/W patients vs 6.1 months for W/V other than *1/*4 vs 3.5 months for V/V or *1/*4) (log-rank P = 0.032; trend test P = 0.021) (Table 3, Figure 1A), and the CYP2A6 genotype was a significant independent risk factor for TTP after adjustment for ECOG performance status, sex, and number of organs with metastases (Table 4). The adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) of patients with W/W, other than *1/*4, and those with V/V or *1/*4, relative to patients with W/W were 1.165 (95% CI, 0.686–1.981; P = 0.572) and 2.288 (95% CI, 1.245–4.207; P = 0.008), respectively (Table 4). Overall survival also varied significantly with CYP2A6 genotype (median OS, 23.2 months for W/W patients vs 15.4 months for W/V other than *1/*4 vs 12.0 months for V/V or *1/*4) (log-rank P = 0.016; trend test P = 0.004) (Table 3, Figure 1B), and the CYP2A6 genotype was a significant independent predictor for OS after adjustment for ECOG performance status. The adjusted HRs of patients with W/V other than *1/*4 and those with V/V or *1/*4, relative to patients with W/W, were 1.806 (95% CI, 0.906–3.598; P = 0.093) and 3.118 (95% CI, 1.483–6.558; P = 0.003), respectively (Table 4).

Among the ERCC1 and XRCC1 polymorphisms, ERCC1 19442C was significantly associated with TTP, with risk in the order of C/C > C/A > A/A (median TTP, 4.4 months for C/C patients vs 7.6 months for C/A vs 7.9 months for A/A) (log-rank P = 0.041; trend test P = 0.012) (Table 3, Figure 2A). In a multivariate analysis, the ERCC1 19442C > A genotype was an independent predictor for TTP with borderline significance after adjustment for ECOG performance status, sex, and number of organs with metastases (adjusted HR for patients carrying C/C compared with patients carrying A/A genotype as a reference, was 2.365 (95% CI, 0.909–6.155; P = 0.078) (Table 4). The adjusted HR of patients with A/A or C/C relative to patients with C/C was 1.546 (95% CI, 0.990–2.413; P = 0.055).

The combination of CYP2A6 and ERCC1 19442C > A genotypes showed the number of risk genotypes had cumulative effects on TTP (median TTP, 7.6 months (95% CI, 5.979–9.221) for group with 0 risk genotype; 5.0 months (95% CI, 2.971–7.029) for group with one; and 3.2 months (95% CI, 2.376–4.024) for group with both) (log-rank P = 0.0001; trend test, P = 0.0005) (Table 3, Figure 3A). Adjusted HRs for disease progression for patients carrying one and two risk genotypes were 1.396 (95% CI, 0.851–2.290; P = 0.187) and 3.748 (95% CI, 1.900–7.393; P = 0.0001), respectively (Table 4). Similarly, the number of risk genotypes had cumulative effects on OS (median OS, 21.2 months (95% CI, 16.1–26.3) for group with 0 risk genotype; 15.0 months (95% CI, 11.8–18.2) for group with one; and 7.7 months (95% CI, 0.157) for group with both) (log-rank P = 0.008; trend test, P = 0.007) (Table 3, Figure 3B). Adjusted HRs for death for patients carrying one and two risk genotypes were 1.374 (95% CI, 0.750–2.517; P = 0.303) and 2.961 (95% CI, 1.371–6.393; P = 0.006), respectively (Table 4).

Association between genotypes and toxicity

The CYP2A6 polymorphisms showed no significant association with grade 3 or 4 haematological toxicity during the first cycle.
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expression level of mRNA or protein of 5-FU metabolic pathway genes, efficacy of S-1-based chemotherapy. suggesting that or two variant alleles (ERCC1 19442C). Moreover, in advanced biliary cancer patients, treated with S-1 patients homozygous for wild-type CYP2A6 than in those with one or two variant alleles (*4, *7, or *9) (Kim et al, 2009), again suggesting that CYP2A6 genotype is a strong predictor of the efficacy of S-1-based chemotherapy.

Another predictor that has been suggested is tumour tissue expression level of mRNA or protein of 5-FU metabolic pathway genes, such as thymidylate synthase, orotate phosphoribosyltransferase, (leukopenia, P = 1.000; neutropenia, P = 0.784; anaemia, P = 0.353; thrombocytopenia, P = 0.192). Nor was there an association between ERCC1 19442C > A genotypes and grade 3 or 4 haematological toxicity during the first cycle (leukopenia, P = 0.273; neutropenia, P = 0.290; anaemia, P = 1.000; thrombocytopenia, P = 1.000). The same was true for ERCC1 8525C > T (leukopenia, P = 1.000; neutropenia, P = 0.909; anaemia, P = 0.310; thrombocytopenia, P = 0.625), and for XRCC1 variation (leukopenia, P = 0.672; neutropenia, P = 0.600; anaemia, P = 0.158; thrombocytopenia, P = 0.590). We also found no significant association between any genotype and non-haematological toxicity during the first cycle (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In this retrospective study of MGC patients given S-1 plus cisplatin as first-line chemotherapy, we demonstrated that CYP2A6 and ERCC1 19442C > A polymorphisms correlated with treatment efficacy, and that CYP2A6 polymorphism was an especially strong independent predictor for all efficacy endpoints – response rate, TTP, and OS. Response, TTP, and OS were significantly poorer in patients with two variant alleles or *1/*4 whose enzyme product has reduced or no activity and that could result in a reduced conversion rate of tegafur to 5-FU. Our finding that patients with a W/W or *1/*4 genotype compared with those with a W/W genotype had a probability of response of only 0.22 and a 2.29-fold risk of progression, and a 3.12-fold risk of death are consistent with finding in MGC patients treated with S-1 plus docetaxel (Kong et al, 2009). In addition, our present results are supported by the findings that CYP2A6*4 reduces plasma 5-FU concentration and increases the area of under the concentration-time curve (AUC) and Cmax for tegafur in non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with S-1 alone or in combination with cisplatin (Kaida et al, 2008). Moreover, in advanced biliary cancer patients, treated with S-1 plus oxaliplatin, the AUC and Cmax for 5-FU and the metabolic ratio (exposure ratio of 5-FU to tegafur) are significantly higher in patients homozygous for wild-type CYP2A6 than in those with one or two variant alleles (*4, *7, or *9) (Kim et al, 2009), again suggesting that CYP2A6 genotype is a strong predictor of the efficacy of S-1-based chemotherapy.

Another predictor that has been suggested is tumour tissue expression level of mRNA or protein of 5-FU metabolic pathway genes, such as thymidylate synthase, orotate phosphoribosyltransferase, (leukopenia, P = 1.000; neutropenia, P = 0.784; anaemia, P = 0.353; thrombocytopenia, P = 0.192). Nor was there an association between ERCC1 19442C > A genotypes and grade 3 or 4 haematological toxicity during the first cycle (leukopenia, P = 0.273; neutropenia, P = 0.290; anaemia, P = 1.000; thrombocytopenia, P = 1.000). The same was true for ERCC1 8525C > T (leukopenia, P = 1.000; neutropenia, P = 0.909; anaemia, P = 0.310; thrombocytopenia, P = 0.625), and for XRCC1 variation (leukopenia, P = 0.672; neutropenia, P = 0.600; anaemia, P = 0.158; thrombocytopenia, P = 0.590). We also found no significant association between any genotype and non-haematological toxicity during the first cycle (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In this retrospective study of MGC patients given S-1 plus cisplatin as first-line chemotherapy, we demonstrated that CYP2A6 and ERCC1 19442C > A polymorphisms correlated with treatment efficacy, and that CYP2A6 polymorphism was an especially strong independent predictor for all efficacy endpoints – response rate, TTP, and OS. Response, TTP, and OS were significantly poorer in patients with two variant alleles or *1/*4 whose enzyme product has reduced or no activity and that could result in a reduced conversion rate of tegafur to 5-FU. Our finding that patients with a W/W or *1/*4 genotype compared with those with a W/W genotype had a probability of response of only 0.22 and a 2.29-fold risk of progression, and a 3.12-fold risk of death are consistent with finding in MGC patients treated with S-1 plus docetaxel (Kong et al, 2009). In addition, our present results are supported by the findings that CYP2A6*4 reduces plasma 5-FU concentration and increases the area of under the concentration-time curve (AUC) and Cmax for tegafur in non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with S-1 alone or in combination with cisplatin (Kaida et al, 2008). Moreover, in advanced biliary cancer patients, treated with S-1 plus oxaliplatin, the AUC and Cmax for 5-FU and the metabolic ratio (exposure ratio of 5-FU to tegafur) are significantly higher in patients homozygous for wild-type CYP2A6 than in those with one or two variant alleles (*4, *7, or *9) (Kim et al, 2009), again suggesting that CYP2A6 genotype is a strong predictor of the efficacy of S-1-based chemotherapy.

Another predictor that has been suggested is tumour tissue expression level of mRNA or protein of 5-FU metabolic pathway genes, such as thymidylate synthase, orotate phosphoribosyltransferase,
and thymidine phosphorylase (Ichikawa et al, 2006; Choi et al, 2010; Koizumi et al 2010). Results from those studies, however, have not been consistent. In addition, genotyping peripheral blood cells, which we did in the present study, is more optimal than evaluating tumour expression levels of mRNA or protein, in which there may not be clinical accessibility or the assay may not be applicable because of arbitrary cutoff levels, lack of standard criteria, or observer variation.

In the current study, we categorised CYP2A6 polymorphism into three groups (W/W vs W/V other than *1/*4 vs V/V or *1/*4) according to the CYP2A6 enzyme activity. As CYP2A6*4 allele and the other alleles do not have the same enzyme activity – CYP2A6*4 allele causes a CYP2A6 gene deletion, which lacks activity and CYP2A6*7, *9, and *10 cause the decreased enzymatic activity – we combined these *7, *9, and *10 defective alleles into a variant allele, but differentiated *4 from other variant alleles. Previous studies have shown that the serum nicotine/cotinine ratio (nicotine is metabolised primarily by CYP2A6 by C-oxidation to cotinine) was higher in the subjects with CYP2A6*4/*4, *7/*7, or *9/*9 rather than in those with CYP2A6*1/*1, and that the inhibitory effects of CYP2A6*7 on nicotine metabolism were comparable to those by CYP2A6*9 (Yoshida et al, 2002, 2003). In vitro measurement of nicotine metabolism, CYP2A6*4/*7 and CYP2A6*4/*10 demonstrated similar enzyme activity to CYP2A6*4/*4 (Xu et al, 2002). The cotinine/nicotine ratios (± s.d.) in the subjects with CYP2A6*9/*9 (4.3 ± 2.4) were significantly lower than those in the subjects with CYP2A6*1/*1 (7.4 ± 5.6) and CYP2A6*1/*1 (10.4 ± 9.2) (Yoshida et al, 2003). The subjects with CYP2A6*1/*4 had the lower cotinine/nicotine ratios (4.79 ± 3.17) than either those with CYP2A6*1/*1 (7.42 ± 6.56), or with CYP2A6*1/*7 (6.27 ± 4.76) (Yoshida et al, 2002). In addition, the mean in vitro coumarin 7-hydroxylase activities, which are catalysed by CYP2A6, in subjects carrying CYP2A6*1/*4, CYP2A6*1/*9, and CYP2A6*4/*9 were 41, 71, and 12%, respectively, compared with those of the subjects with wild-type alleles (Kiyotani et al, 2003). Furthermore, when patients were classified into three groups (group 1 = wild-type vs group

![Graphs and Data](image-url)

**Figure 2** Kaplan–Meier curves of (A) time to progression and (B) overall survival according to ERCC1 19442C>A (rs3212986) genotype, (C) time to progression, (D) overall survival according to ERCC1 8525C>T (rs1615) genotype, (E) time to progression, and (F) overall survival according to XRCC1 1196G>A (rs25487) genotype.
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11.7 plasma clearance of nicotine was 18.8 compared with group 1 (Benowitz cotinine was about 80% in group 2, and about 50% in group 3 might affect mRNA stability and result in lower expression levels than other CYP2A6*1/*4 polymorphism have yet to be elucidated. The 3 (h), the fractional clearance of nicotine to group 3, respectively. These results might be attributable to variation in patient or tumour characteristics and treatments delivered across studies.

Our finding that the number of risk genotypes of CYP2A6 and ERCC1 19442C>A (V/V or *1/*4 and C/C) was significantly associated with treatment efficacy, and especially, the group with both showed very poor clinical outcomes (response rate 16.7%, median TTP 3.2 months, and median OS 7.7 months) suggests that CYP2A6 polymorphisms predict primarily efficacy of S-1-based chemotherapy and ERCC1 19442C>A polymorphisms have an additive predictive role in the S-1 plus cisplatin setting. Further investigation is warranted to determine whether patients with CYP2A6 and ERCC1 19442C>A risk genotypes would have better outcomes if they were treated with fluoropyrimidines that do not require CYP2A6 activation, such as S-FU itself or capecitabine, and/or non-platinum agents.

The present study had the following limitations: (1) Although we collected clinical data prospectively, this was a retrospective analysis, so our findings should be validated in prospective studies; (2) As pharmacokinetic data were not available, we could not evaluate the association between CYP2A6 genotype and the pharmacokinetic parameters of S-1 components and their active metabolites; (3) As the current study is not a prospective, randomised trial, it cannot be excluded that CYP2A6 gene polymorphism could be a prognostic factor of gastric cancer independent of the effect of S-1; (4) We had no functional data for the ERCC1 19442C>A polymorphisms; (5) We did not analyse polymorphisms in other genes, such as thymidylate synthase that might influence S-FU efficacy.

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that the CYP2A6 and ERCC1 19442C>A genotypes correlated with the efficacy of S-1 plus cisplatin in MGC patients. These results are consistent with the findings of our previous study, which reported for the first time that the CYP2A6 genotype was associated with the treatment efficacy of S-1 plus docetaxel in MGC patients (Kong et al, 2009). Large-scale randomised prospective studies are warranted to validate our findings, which might provide useful information for selecting appropriate candidates for S-1-based chemotherapy, ultimately leading to a more tailored approach to chemotherapy.
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