Photoinduced absorption spectroscopy, PIAS, is used to determine the order of reaction and so identify the rate determining step in the reduction of \( \text{O}_2 \) by a sacrificial electron donor, photocatalysed by a nanoparticulate film of CdS. This is the first report on the use of PIAS to probe the kinetics of photocatalysis exhibited by a non-oxide semiconductor.

Cadmium sulfide (CdS) is one of the most commonly employed, visible-light absorbing semiconductor photocatalysts (\( E_{\text{bg}} = 2.4 \) eV) due to the highly reducing nature of its photogenerated conductance band electrons (\( E_{\text{CB}}(e^-) = -0.93 \) V vs. NHE at pH 7) and the highly oxidising nature of its photogenerated valence band holes (\( E_{\text{CV}}(h^+) = 1.47 \) V vs. NHE at pH 7). Unfortunately, CdS, like most visible-light-absorbing photocatalysts, undergoes photoanodic corrosion, i.e.,

\[
2h^+ + \text{CdS} \rightarrow \text{Cd}^{2+} + S\downarrow
\]  

particularly in air-saturated, aqueous solution, since dissolved oxygen is an effective scavenger of photogenerated electrons, i.e.,

\[
e^- + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2^-
\]

where superoxide, \( \text{O}_2^- \), is subsequently further reduced to water, leaving the photogenerated holes to drive reaction (1). However, despite this feature, CdS is often used to photocatalyse reduction reactions with electron acceptors such as water,\(^7,8\) organics,\(^9,10\) oxygen\(^11\) and metal ions,\(^7,8,12\) but without exhibiting signs of photocorrosion; this is achieved by adding a sacrificial electron donor (SED), such as EDTA,\(^13\) cysteine,\(^14\) ascorbic acid/ascorbate,\(^15\) or sodium sulfide,\(^16\) which reacts efficiently and irreversibly with the photogenerated holes, thereby preventing reaction (1), via the following reaction,

\[
h^+ + \text{SED} \rightarrow \text{SED}^+
\]

where SED\(^+\) is the oxidised form of the SED that decomposes irreversibly. When the electron acceptor in question is oxygen, the overall photocatalytic process can be summarised as follows:

\[
\text{O}_2 + \text{SED} \xrightarrow{h\geq 2.4 \text{ eV}} \text{O}_2^- + \text{SED}^+
\]

Recently, photoinduced absorption spectroscopy, PIAS, coupled with transient photocurrent (TC) measurements i.e., PIAS/TC, has been used to probe the kinetics of water oxidation exhibited by different semiconductor oxide photoanodes, such as \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \), \( \text{TiO}_2 \) and \( \text{BiVO}_4 \).\(^17-19\) In these PIAS/TC studies the optical absorption, \( \Delta\text{Abs}_\text{ss} \), exhibited by photogenerated holes accumulated at the surface of the semiconductor photoanode and the photocurrent, \( J_{\text{ss}} \), are monitored simultaneously under ultra-bandgap, steady-state irradiation conditions and a large anodic bias. The latter ensures that the steady-state level of photogenerated electrons is so low that the reaction of the surface-accumulated photogenerated holes can be considered to be due to the oxidation of water exclusively. In all the PIAS/TC studies reported to date the values of \( \Delta\text{Abs}_\text{ss} \) and \( J_{\text{ss}} \), are measured as a function of incident irradiance and the order of reaction of the photogenerated holes taken as the value of the gradient of the plot \( \log(J_{\text{ss}}) \) vs. \( \log(\Delta\text{Abs}_\text{ss}) \).

PIAS has never been used to probe a photocatalysed reduction reaction by an n-type semiconductor, nor probe a non-oxide photocatalyst. However, interestingly, it has been shown that nanoparticulate CdS exhibits a blue shift in its absorption edge upon electronic excitation. The effect increases with incident irradiance and decreasing particle size. This shift in absorption edge is usually interpreted as a Burstein shift i.e., an increase in the optical band gap due to the population of the conduction band with photogenerated electrons.\(^20-24\) Liu and Bard also found the same shift can be produced by an excess of holes.\(^25\)

In studies of this effect\(^21,23\) using CdS colloids, the kinetics of decay of the resulting flash-induced change in absorption are complex and short-lived, i.e. \(< 12 \) ns, but this lifetime is
increased markedly when a SED is present. Thus, Albery et al.,24 in a microsecond flash photolysis study of a CdS colloid (17 nm particles) in the presence of a SED (0.02 M Na₂S), reported a half-life of ca. 50 ms in the transient bleaching due to a blue shift in its absorption spectrum! These workers also found that, in the additional presence of methyl viologen, which acts as an electron acceptor, there exists a direct correlation between the kinetics of decay in the transient absorbance, ΔAbs, and those for the concomitant photogeneration of the reduced methyl radical,24 which suggests that ΔAbs is directly related to the concentration of the surface accumulated (i.e. trapped) conductance band electrons, [e⁻], photogenerated by the flash. This feature is exploited in this PIAS-based study of reaction (4), photocatalysed by CdS.

The CdS films on microscope glass used in this work were made from a CdS nanopowder (Sigma Aldrich; average particle size ca. 50 nm) using a modified version of a method reported by Ito et al. for making screen-printed TiO₂ films26 details of which are given in S1 in the ESI,† file. The thickness of the dried film was ca. 1.1 μm, yellow and slightly opalescent in appearance, as illustrated by the photograph of the CdS film in Fig. 1(a). The absorption spectrum of the film, illustrated in Fig. 1(b), revealed an absorbance onset at ca. 534 nm, i.e. 2.3 eV, which corresponds closely with the known bandgap of 2.4 eV for CdS.1

In almost all previously reported PIAS studies, the semiconductor photocatalysis films were photoanodes and the steady-state photocurrent taken as a measure of the rate of the photocatalysed oxidation reaction. This approach allows the order of the photoelectrochemical oxidation to be determined, provided the faradaic efficiency is unity, which is not always the case.27 In contrast, in this work a quite different approach was employed in the application of PIAS; one in which, under steady-state irradiation conditions, the measured value of ΔAbs₅₅₅ due to the surface-accumulated photogenerated electrons, [e⁻]₁₅₅₅, was related to the actual, independently measured rate of the photocatalytic process, and not a photocurrent. In addition, the values of ΔAbs₅₅₅ and rates were not varied by altering the incident irradiance, ρ, as is the usual practice, but rather by changing the reactant concentration. In this work the photocatalytic reaction probed in this way was the reduction of oxygen by an SED, photocatalysed by CdS, i.e. Reaction (4), where SED = 0.1 M solution of 1:1 molar ratio sodium ascorbate and ascorbic acid (NaA/AA). The latter was selected as the SED, since a previous study reported it to be highly effective in preventing the photocorrosion of CdS in an air-saturated solution.15 Details of the PIAS system used in this work are given in S2 in the ESI,† which was used to determine the variation in the steady-state irradiation induced change in absorbance, ΔAbs₅₅₅, exhibited by a CdS nanoparticulate film in 20 mL of an Ar-purged, 0.1 M NaA/AA aqueous solution, with ρ (365 nm) = 29.3 mW cm⁻², as a function of monitoring wavelength, λᵣ. The results of this work are illustrated in Fig. 2 and reveal a very similar spectral profile to that reported by Albery et al. for a CdS colloid,24 although with an absorption maximum at 505, rather than 485 nm, and with a smaller shift in absorption spectrum (ca. 0.8 instead of 3 nm). The spectral differences are probably due to the differences in CdS particle size, i.e. 17 cf. 50 nm.

In a subsequent PIAS study of the CdS film carried out using λᵣ = 505 nm in aqueous solution with 0.1 M NaA/AA, and ρ (420 nm) = 15.0 mW cm⁻², the change in absorbance, i.e. ΔAbs, was recorded before during and after steady state irradiation in the presence of different saturation levels of dissolved O₂ spanning the range 0 to 21%; the results of this work are illustrated in Fig. 3(a). The plot of the data generated from this work in the form of ΔAbs₅₀₀ vs. %O₂, illustrated in Fig. 3(b), shows that the steady-state transient absorbance, ΔAbs₅₀₀, due to photogenerated conductance band electrons, decreases in magnitude with increasing %O₂. As illustrated by the solid line in Fig. 3(b), the variation in ΔAbs₅₀₀ vs. %O₂ fits an equation with the following form,

\[
\Delta \text{Abs}_{500} \text{(in mOD)} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta \%O_2 + 1} \tag{5}
\]

where α and β are fitting constants with best fit values of 2.9 and 0.035%O₂⁻¹, respectively. An explanation for this variation is provided by a kinetic analysis of the measured dark decays which are shown in greater detail in Fig. S3(a) in the ESI.† The value of ΔAbs(dark) at any time during the decay process is assumed to be a measure of the dark concentration of the surface-accumulated photogenerated conductance band electrons, [e⁻].

Fig. 1 (a) Photograph and (b) UV/Vis absorption spectrum of the CdS nanoparticulate film.

Fig. 2 Transient bleaching in the difference absorption spectrum exhibited by CdS as (a) a colloid, no SED, 10 ms after flash24 (broken line) and (b) the CdS nanoparticle film on glass, measured using PIAS, with a NaA/AA SED (0.1 M) and ρ = 29.3 mW cm⁻², 365 nm, solid line. Both solutions were O₂ free.
et al. and reducing the reduction of O₂ to the role of a relatively minor side reaction. Evidence that this is indeed the case for the CdS films comes from a simple study of the variation of ΔAbs as a function of irradiance, the results for which are illustrated in Fig. 4 and reveal a direct dependence of ΔAbs on ρ^0.5. The same dependence of ΔAbs upon ρ^0.5 was found by Albery et al. in their flash photolysis study of a CdS colloid in the presence of 0.05 M cysteine as the SED and is taken here as indicative that electron–hole recombination dominates the fate of the photogenerated electron–hole pairs.24

As illustrated by Fig. S3(b) in the ESI† and eqn (6), the above study also shows that there is a direct dependence of k₁ upon %O₂, which indicates that, although reaction (4) is a minor process, the rate-determining step, rds, in the reduction of O₂ by photogenerated electrons on the CdS film particles is first order with respect to ΔAbs [i.e. (e⁻)], which strongly suggests that it is reaction (2), the initial production of superoxide that is the rds. This finding and conclusion are consistent with those made by others studying the reduction of O₂ by photogenerated conductance band electrons on TiO₂.28

In the CdS film/SED system, given the above, it follows that under steady-state irradiation conditions the rate of photogeneration of surface accumulated conductance band electrons, which is proportional to ρ^0.5, will be matched by the rate of their loss, so that,

$$\delta \rho^{0.5} = (k_O^\ast %O_2 + k_0)\Delta Abs_{ss}(or[e^-]_{ss})$$

(7)

where δ is a proportionality constant. Reassuringly, the above steady-state equation is consistent with the ΔAbs ss vs. %O₂ plot illustrated in Fig. 3(b) and described by eqn (5) since the two equations, eqn (5) and (7), are mathematically equivalent assuming α = δρ^0.5/k₀ and β = k_O^\ast/k₀.

If reaction (2) is the rate determining step in the photocatalysed reduction of O₂, then the rate of reduction of O₂, r, will be equal to k_O^\ast %O₂[e^-]ss. If the latter term is combined with eqn (7) the following expression can be derived,

$$r = \gamma(%O_2)\rho^{0.5} / (\beta(%O_2) + 1)$$

(8)

where γ = k_O^\ast δ/k₀ and β = k_O^\ast/k₀. Interestingly, this form of the rate equation is very similar to the empirical, apparent Langmuir–Hinshelwood rate equation, which is so often used to fit the kinetics of photocatalysis exhibited by most powder photocatalysts in the photocatalysed mineralisation of a test pollutant, P, by dissolved O₂, i.e.

$$d[P]/dt = k^\ast \left( \frac{K_P[P]}{1 + K_P[P]} \right) \left( \frac{K_O^\ast [O_2]}{1 + K_O^\ast [O_2]} \right)$$

(9)

where k* is the maximum rate for a fixed irradiance, ρ, and K_P and K_O^\ast are apparent Langmuir adsorption coefficients. Usually, in studies of the photocatalytic mineralisation of...
organics the concentration of O₂ is fixed and high, by sparging the reaction solution continuously with O₂, and the rate of change in [P] is monitored as a function of %O₂. Encouragingly, under such conditions, eqn (9) reduces to the same form as eqn (8), with the difference that the fitting constants in eqn (9) are replaced with parameters, such as ρ, kO₂ and k₉, that are related to a particular reaction mechanism and rate determining step.

In order to test the validity of eqn (8), derived from the PIAS study of the CdS film in 0.1 M NaA/AA, the variation of the rate of reduction of O₂, r, was measured as a function of %O₂, using a set up that was very similar to that used in the PIAS study, with the exception that the system was sealed and the dissolved level of O₂ was measured using an O₂xyDot™ (OxySense31). Further details of the steady-state irradiation system are given in S4 in the ESL.† The results of this work are illustrated in Fig. 5 with a solid line of best fit based on eqn (8) with ρ, kO₂ = 1.42%O₂ min⁻¹ and β = 0.038%O₂⁻¹. Reassuringly, the latter value (β = 0.038%O₂⁻¹) is near identical to the value of β = 0.035%O₂⁻¹ reported earlier, see Fig. 3(b), and eqn (5), despite the fact that they were derived by two very different methods, namely from Δabsₘₐₜ (PIAS) and initial rate (r) measurements. The strong similarity in the two values for β provides further support that the rate of reduction of O₂ in reaction (4) by the CdS films used in this work, when the SED = 0.1 M NaA/AA, is first order with respect to the steady-state, concentration of surface-accumulated photo-generated electrons, [e⁻]ₘₐₜ, making it likely that reaction (2) is the rate determining step.

In conclusion, PIAS, combined with steady-state reaction rate studies, can be used to probe the reduction of O₂ by a SED, photocatalysed by a film comprised of CdS nanoparticles. This is the first report of the use of PIAS to study the kinetics of photocatalysis of a non-oxide semiconductor and the first use of PIAS to study a photocatalysed reduction reaction. This work suggests that PIAS can be used more widely to provide invaluable mechanistic information for many different photocatalyst materials, including non-oxides, in powder form.
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