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Abstract

This paper describes the winning system for subtask 2 and the second-placed system for subtask 1 in SemEval 2021 Task 4: Reading Comprehension of Abstract Meaning. We propose to use pre-trained ELECTRA discriminator to choose the best abstract word from five candidates. An upper attention and auto denoising mechanism is introduced to process the long sequences. The experiment results demonstrate that this contribution greatly facilitates the contextual language modeling in reading comprehension task. The ablation study is also conducted to show the validity of our proposed methods.

1 Introduction

Reading Comprehension of Abstract Meaning (ReCAM) (Zheng et al., 2021) is a cloze-style task, which takes a document and related human written abstract with one word replaced by a placeholder as input. The model is required to choose the best word from five candidates. The ReCAM consists of three subtasks. In subtask 1 and 2, participating systems are required to choose the best imperceptible concept and hyper-nyms concepts word respectively. Subtask 3 aims to evaluate performance of a system trained on one definition and test on the other.

Traditional cloze-style reading comprehension model (SA reader) (Kadlec et al., 2016) uses attention to directly pick the answer from the context, which makes model incapable to answer the question where the answer does not appear in passage. Furthermore, GA reader (Dhingra et al., 2017) adopts multi-hop attention mechanism to build query-specific representation of answer for ranking the candidates which is not part of passage.

Pre-trained language models (Radford et al., 2018; Devlin et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019; Lan et al., 2020) have been widely adopted for context modeling in many Natural Language Processing tasks. These models are pre-trained on huge corpora with plain texts and can better model contextual dependencies of tokens, thus enhance the performance of downstream approaches. As described in (Lai et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2018), they improved the performance of single-choice reading comprehension tasks by introducing pre-trained model, but they takes excessive memory for concatenating each option with the question and the passage.

GPT2 (Radford et al., 2018) has outperformed the SOTA result on cloze-style task CBT (Hill et al., 2016). As stated in (Radford et al., 2018), GPT2 computes the probability of each choice and the rest of the sentence conditioned on this choice according to the pre-trained model, the answer is the choice with highest probability. GPT2 outperforms RNN-based models without fine-tuning on CBT task, we assume that pre-trained language model has better potential to address the cloze-style problem than fine-tuning the pre-trained model with an additional ranking network.

The most relevant work to our model is Pattern-Exploiting Training (PET) (Schick and Schütze, 2020a,b), which proposed to reformulate the sentence classification task to cloze-style task with defined golden answer word as supervising signal. The comparison between PET and our proposed method is reported in section 5.

Different with PET, We propose a novel Auto Denoising Discriminator for Abstract Concept in reading comprehension (ADDAC) by fine-tuning the pre-trained discriminator of ELECTRA (Clark et al., 2020). Auto Denoising is introduced while processing long sequences. By fine-tuning the pre-trained model on its own structure with the original pre-training loss, the tasks results is significantly improved even with small train dataset, we suppose the representations stored in the pre-trained model has been maximum reserved in this way.
2 Background

2.1 Task Description

The task intends to answer a cloze-style question, the answer to which depends on the understanding of a context document provided with the question. The model is also provided with a set of possible answers from which the correct one is to be selected. This can be formalized as follows:

The training data consists of tuples \((q, d, a, A)\), where \(q\) is an abstract sentence of document \(d\) and one word in \(q\) is replaced with a placeholder. \(A\) is a set of possible options and \(a \in A\) is the golden answer. Both \(q\) and \(d\) are sequences of words and golden answer \(a\) does not appear in the article \(d\).

2.2 ELECTRA vs ALBERT

Since the success of BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), pre-trained language models have adopted a large amount of parameters to achieve better modeling performance. ALBERT (Lan et al., 2020) uses factorized embedding parameterization and cross-layer parameter sharing to greatly reduce the amount of model parameters and achieved SOTA in multiple natural language understanding task. ALBERT outperforms other pre-trained language models which is trained by MLM (masked language model) in combination with PET method for this task.

ELECTRA (Clark et al., 2020) proposed the RTD (replaced token detection) task with adversarial learning as an alternative to the MLM task. A smaller generator is used to replace the special token [MASK] in training samples, and then a discriminator is trained to predict each word in the input is real or generated by generator. In section 3.1, we will elaborate the details about our proposed discriminator mechanisms based on ELECTRA. The performance comparison between ALBERT with PET and our proposed discriminator model on ReCAM task is reported in Section 5.

3 System overview

3.1 Pre-trained Discriminator

We approach the competition tasks as cloze-style task, which can be reformulated to masked language modeling (Devlin et al., 2019) problems. As shown in Figure 1, we replace placeholder with golden and negative options in question \(q\) denoted as \(q_A\), which is further concatenated with corresponding document \(d\) in pre-trained model input style \((\text{CLS})q_A\text{[SEP]}d\text{[SEP]}\). We ignore the part of sequence which exceed the maximum length of input sequence. The input sequence is forward to ELECTRA discriminator and the hidden states are calculated by Equation 1

\[
H_{qA} = F([qA;d])
\]  

(1)

\[
\text{logit}_p = D(H^P)
\]  

(2)

where \(F\) is the pre-trained 24-layer transformers and \(D\) is a linear layer which classify the hidden states of replaced word from ELECTRA Discriminator. \(H_{qA} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}\) are the hidden states of input sequence, in which \(N\) and \(d\) are the maximum length of input sequence and the dimension of hidden states. Then we only use the hidden states of placeholder \(H^P \in \mathbb{R}^d\) selected from \(H_{qA}\) as the input to \(D\). \(BCE\) (Binary Cross Entropy) loss, which measures the Binary Cross Entropy between the golden label and the output, is used for binary classification as Equation 3.

\[
L_{BCE} = BCE(\text{Sigmoid}(\text{logit}_p), l_p)
\]  

(3)

where \(l_p\) is the binary label of option word (replacing placeholder in input sequence). The label is set to 0 for golden option, 1 for negative options, which is the same as ELECTRA pre-training setting (Clark et al., 2020). While in inference, the option with lowest \(\text{logit}_p\) is regard as the right answer to the question. The experiment results show that discriminator outperforms the ranking and PET implementation on this task (see section 5).
We also implement a ranking model based on ELECTRA for single-choice reading comprehension task to compare with the Discriminator approach. The question and document is combined with each option as the input of ELECTRA encoder, which is denoted as $S \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times m}$, where $N$ is the length of sequences and $m$ is the number of options. A linear layer and a softmax layer is added after ELECTRA encoder as the ranking network, which use the hidden states of [CLS] in $S$ as input.

### 3.2 Processing Long Input Sequence

Most of the pre-trained models have a limitation in processing long sequences. The maximum sequence length of ELECTRA is 512, which is much shorter than the maximum length of input sequence (i.e. concatenation of question and document). We propose two methods for processing long input sequences: 1) document is segmented to shorter passages, which leads to the problem of mislabel samples. We introduce an auto denoising mechanism to address the problem. 2) We adopt an upper attention upon transformers.

**Auto Denoising** The whole document $d$ is segmented into a set of fragments $\{s^d_1, s^d_2, \cdots, s^d_k\}$ with a fixed window size. Then, these fragments combine $q_a$ to form model input sequence. In the inference phase, the lowest predicted logit is selected from all results of fragments as Equation 4.

$$
\text{logit}_p = \min_{i=1}^{k} D(F([q_A; s^d_i]))
$$

where $q$ with placeholder replaced by a specific option from $A$ denote as $q_A$. However, this method causes the noisy-label problem. Supposed that the answer $a$ just finds proof from fragment $s^d_1$, which results in other samples $(0, [q_a; s^d_{i\neq1}])$ to be mislabeled samples, which significantly impact training of model and decrease the prediction accuracy. Therefore, we take the advantage of a noise-tolerant loss (bi-tempered logistic loss, BT (Amid et al., 2019)) and a noise detection method (over-fitting, O2U (Huang et al., 2019)) in this work. The BT logistic loss lowers gradient on noisy samples which relieve the negative effects on model training via adjusting bi-temperature. The O2u makes full use of the property that model is easier to forget the mislabeled samples than the clean samples, to identify and filter the mislabeled samples.

**Upper Attention** The long sequence of input is segmented into small segments with the length of 512 tokens and each segments are concatenated with same question to form the input sequences, which are encoded into hidden states $H_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 512}$, where $i$ is the index of segments of passage, the $d$ is the dimension of hidden states and 512 is the sequence length. The hidden states of placeholder is denoted as $H^p_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We use a 1-layer multi-head self-attention block to fuse the hidden states of placeholder from multiple segments output.

$$
H^p_{\text{fuse}} = A_g(H^p_1, H^p_2 \ldots H^p_k)
$$

where $k$ is the number of segments, $A_g$ is 1-layer multi-head-attention, without residual connection. $H^p_{\text{fuse}}$ is applied in Equation 2 and Equation 3 for training.

### 3.3 Optimizer

The ELECTRA-large which has large amount of parameters tends to over-fit on small training dataset. We utilize RecAdam (Chen et al., 2020) to fine-tune the pre-trained model to address the over-fitting problem. RecAdam optimizer is proposed to address the catastrophic forgetting problem of sequential transfer learning paradigm by introducing a recall and learn mechanism into Adam optimizer, which maintain the learned knowledge in pre-trained model while learning a new task.

### 3.4 Data augmentation

To further boost the performance of our proposed model, we conduct data augmentation. We random pick 3000 articles in CNN/DailyMail (Hermann et al., 2015), and craw 824 latest articles from BBC news website. The CNN news is much longer than the training samples, while the length of BBC news is approximately same. The extra training samples are generated in following steps: 1) The title of news article is used as abstract. 2) We pick one most meaningful word from abstract by TF-IDF scores and the origin word is used as golden option. 3) We use words with same category of POS (Part Of Speech) from other documents as negative options. We train models on the extra to dataset as warming up and further train the models with training dataset. Unfortunately, extra training data did not effectively improve the performance of our model on this task. We just use extra data in models ensemble phase.
4 Experimental setup

4.1 Data

We use the official released dataset of SemEval2021 Task4 for experiments. The dataset of subtask 1 contains 3227/837/2025 samples for train, dev and test data. The subtask 2 dataset contains 3318/851/2017 samples for train, dev and test data. The maximum/mean length of subtask 1 and subtask 2 training data are 2275/374.34 and 2274/578.31, respectively. The statistics of sample length shows that length of article in subtask 2 is much longer than the length in subtask 1. The rate of the sequences exceeding 512 tokens is 13.95% in subtask 1, 42.46% in subtask 2, which may cause that the methods for processing long sequences are more effective in subtask 2.

Since the provided dataset is small, we apply data augmentation in model ensemble to further improve generalization of the model (see Section 3.4).

4.2 Parameter settings

Our implementation is based on the Pytorch framework for transformer-based models (Wolf et al., 2020). We trained our model based on the pre-trained ELECTRA-Large discriminator, and adopt the same model structure for subtask 1 and subtask 2. We use Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 1e-5, batch-size of 32 to train the baseline model, which is actually the ELECTRA discriminator. The max sequence length is 512 and the epoch of training is set to 5. To address the overfitting problem, we apply RecAdam with sigmoid annealing function, where the annealing rate is 0.01 and the annealing time-step is 500. The coefficient of the quadratic penalty is set to be 5,0000. For Auto Denoising, the two temperatures and label smoothing of BT are equal to 0.9, 1.5 and 0.1 respectively. The maximum learning rate, minimum learning rate and epochs in cyclical round about O2U are set to be 5e-5, 1e-6 and 5.0 respectively.

Since only 5 submissions are permitted in submitting phase, we trained multiple models under different settings for model ensemble. We also adopt 8-fold cross-validation training to improve the model generalization.

4.3 Ensemble

Two strategies are used for our final submissions on test data: 1) we ensemble all 8 models from 8-fold cross-validation training by averaging their outputs, which is trained on the train data of each subtask; 2) we trained multiple models on the train data and the augmented data with different model structures. 7 top different models are selected based on the dev accuracy for models ensemble, then average their outputs as the final output. Moreover, the model trained in cross-validation is Discriminator with Auto Denoising and RecAdam optimizer for subtask 2, and Discriminator with RecAdam for subtask 1. While in top ensemble, the techniques of Discriminator, Auto Denoising, RecAdam and Upper Attention are applied in different models.

5 Results and Analysis

5.1 Single Model Performance

We implement two baseline models for comparison with our proposed method. The ALBERT PET is the combination of PET method (Schick and Schütze, 2020a,b) and ALBERT-xxlarge model, which is trained by the MLM. The golden answer of training dataset is used as the target for MLM, but the negative ones are omitted in training. The ELECTRA Rank reformulates the cloze-style task to single-choice task, which is described in section 3.1.

Label accuracy is the official metric of the tasks and Table 1 shows the results on development dataset. Task3(1-2) is the subtask 3 which is trained on subtask 1 dataset and test on subtask 3(1-2) / subtask 1 dataset and test on subtask 1. It is obvious that our proposed ELECTRA Discriminator significantly improve the performance of all tasks and outperform the best baseline by 4.7%/1.16%/3.76%/8.85% in subtask1/subtask2/subtask3(1-2)/subtask3(2-1) respectively. This confirms our hypothesis that pre-trained language model has more potential for cloze-style task. The PET with ALBERT is not suitable for this task because it can not utilize the negative options. The ELECTRA Rank performance is unsatisfactory, suggesting that fine-tuning on ranking network damage the knowledge stored in the pre-trained model.

The results of ablation study are also reported in Table 1. Disc (Discriminator) with RecAdam achieves further improvement in all tasks by 0.25% / 0.53% / 0.25% / 0.26% in subtask1 / subtask2 / subtask3(1-2) / subtask3(2-1) respectively, which prove the RecAdam optimizer is more effective for pre-trained model and also promotes the model generalization. Disc+Upper,
Table 1: Single Model Performance on dev dataset, the ablation study is demonstrated below.

| Method                  | Task1 | Task3(1-2) | Task2 | Task3(2-1) |
|-------------------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|
| ALBERT PET              | 89.25 | 83.31      | 90.48 | 82.80      |
| ELECTRA Rank            | 88.53 | 88.13      | 90.24 | 83.75      |
| ELECTRA Discriminator   | 93.42 | 90.71      | 93.88 | 91.16      |
| +RecAdam                | 93.66 | 91.19      | 94.12 | 91.40      |
| +Upper                  | 93.54 | 89.54      | 94.35 | 91.39      |
| +Upper+RecAdam          | 93.31 | 91.42      | 94.12 | 91.51      |
| +AutoDenoise+RecAdam    | 93.55 | **91.42**  | 94.01 | **91.88**  |

Table 2: Ensemble Performance on dev and test dataset, where 8-fold is the models from 8-fold cross-validation training, top ensemble means that ensemble the models with top dev accuracy.

| Method                  | Task1 | Task3(1-2) | Task2 | Task3(2-1) |
|-------------------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|
| Dev set                 |       |            |       |            |
| 8-fold ensemble         | 92.47 | -          | 92.94 | -          |
| top ensemble            | 94.50 | -          | 95.53 | -          |
| Test set                |       |            |       |            |
| 8-fold ensemble         | **93.04** | 93.90 | 94.99 | 91.35      |
| top ensemble            | 92.74 | 94.19      | **95.29** | 91.65      |

Disc+AutoDenoise+RecAdam achieve the best results in task2 and task3(2-1) respectively. This prove the validity of the two methods we proposed for long sequences. The Upper Attention achieve the best result on task2, while AutoDenoise achieve the best result on task3. We ensemble them to produce a stronger system. The Upper and AutoDenoise do not effectively improve the baseline in subtask 1, since the mean length of subtask 1 is 374.34 which is much shorter than the max sequence length of original pre-trained model.

5.2 Ensemble Performance

The performances of ensemble models are shown on Table 2, which is obtained from the competition leader-board. Our system got the first place in subtask 2 and the second place in subtask 1. For the subtask 3, our ranking is first place in subtask3(2-1), and second place in subtask3(1-2), that indicates our system has strong transfer capability in abstractive reading comprehension tasks. Ensemble results on dev dataset are exhibited for comparison, and we do not experiment model ensemble on dev data of subtask 3.

5.3 Memory-Efficiency

We trained all compared models on 16GB Tesla-V100 GPU, except for ELECTRA Rank which takes 17GB with batch size set to 1. Our proposed Discriminator only take 9GB, since one option with article and question is considered as single training sample for binary classification task. In contrast, ELECTRA Rank is required to encode the input sequence with different options at once to learn the ranking function between positive and negative options. ELECTRA Discriminator is much faster than ELECTRA Rank to converge. The epoch of training ELECTRA Discriminator is less than 5 and ELECTRA Rank needs at least 10 train epochs to converge.

Conclusion

In this paper, we propose an effective framework of combining ELECTRA discriminator with denoising learning method to boost the performance of cloze-style reading comprehension task. Our proposed model outperforms all others participating system on subtask 2 and gets the second-placed on subtask 1. We have conducted an ablation study, demonstrating the validity of Discriminator, Upper attention and Auto denoising. Pre-trained models have made great performance gain compared to traditional neural network models in many natural language tasks and is able to build comprehensive hidden representation of input text. The above experiment results may suggest that the current pre-trained model mechanism still has room for improvement.
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