Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Symptoms and Its Associated Factors Among Residents of Gondar Town During the Early Stage of COVID-19 Pandemic
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Purpose: An acute respiratory disease caused by the novel coronavirus disease (COVID) was identified in late 2019. COVID-19 triggered a wide range of psychological problems, such as anxiety, depression, and stress. However, studies on mental health status in developing countries including Ethiopia related to COVID-19 are very limited. Therefore, this study was aimed at determining the magnitude of depression, anxiety, and stress, and their associated factors among Gondar town population during the early stage of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Patients and Methods: A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted among 660 residents of Gondar town in April 2020. A multi-stage sampling technique was employed to select study participants. A 21 item depression, anxiety, and stress scale (DASS-21) was used. Variables with a p-value <0.05 in the final model were declared as statistically significant. Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit test was used to check the model fitness.

Results: In this study, the prevalence of depression was 32.0% (95% CI: 28.4–35.5), anxiety 25.8% (95% CI: 22.4–29.1), and stress 14.7% (95% CI: 12.0–17.4), respectively. The odds of developing depression was higher among female respondents (AOR=2.30, 95% CI: 1.01, 3.83) and ever smokers (AOR=2.8, 95 CI: 1.23, 6.28) as compared to their counterparts. Besides, history of medical illness and ever smoking increased the odds of anxiety by 2.3 (AOR=2.3; 95% CI: 1.42–3.76), and 2.8 (AOR=2.8; 95% CI: 1.23–3.83), respectively. Furthermore, being unemployed and family size of <5 increase the odds of stress by 2.1 (AOR=2.1; 95% CI: 1.17–3.83) and 1.8 (AOR=1.8; 95% CI: 1.09–2.81), respectively.

Conclusion: In this study, the overall depression, anxiety, and stress were significantly high. There are number of factors associated with depression, anxiety, and stress. Designing and implementing tailored strategies for COVID-19 prevention and control could be supremely important to reduce mental health problems in the community.
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Background
The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) has emerged in Wuhan city, Hubei province of China, spread nationwide alarmingly on top of half a dozen in other countries between December 2019 and early 2020. On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 as a global pandemic disease and call for countries to work jointly and coordinate international responses towards the disease.
According to Johns Hopkins University report, as of July 31, there are 17,600,740 cases and 679,600 deaths with 10,342,311 recoveries of COVID-19 globally.\(^3\) In the time of the outbreak, individuals are commonly stressed and worried\(^4\) and resulted in an economic crisis.\(^5\) Since COVID-19 is an emerging suddenly and highly infectious, it causes serious threats to peoples' physical health and lives. Besides, data from different countries highlighted that the COVID-19 outbreak and associated quarantine measures negatively affect mental health and triggered a wide variety of psychological problems and increase symptoms of psychological distress such as anxiety, depression, suicidal attempts and post-traumatic stress among the general population.\(^6\)–\(^8\) The stressful conditions of COVID-19 pandemic also lead to psychological distress and clinical worsening of affective symptoms in individuals with mental disorders.\(^9\) According to the recent meta-analysis, the prevalence of depression, anxiety and stress during COVID-19 pandemic was 33.7\%, 31.9\%, and 29.6\%, respectively.\(^10\)

A study done in China among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic reported that 35.1\% of the study participants had Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7), and 20.1\% had depressive symptoms.\(^11\) Another report in Spain during the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic identified that 36\% of the study participants had moderate to severe psychological problems; of which 25\% had a mild to severe anxiety, 41\% had depression and 41\% had stress symptoms.\(^12\)

During the COVID-19 pandemic, younger age and being female were associated with the higher prevalence of psychological distress symptoms like depression and anxiety.\(^13\) Socioeconomic status, early childhood trauma, conducting leisure activities, sex, age, job status, and the perception of being in good health were factors associated with mental health outcomes.\(^12\)\(^,\)\(^14\) Studies in Italy showed that the higher number of lockdown days, living alone during the lockdown, smoking habits, and long duration of illness during the acute phase of COVID-19 pandemic were associated with a higher prevalence of psychological distress and post-traumatic stress symptoms.\(^14\)–\(^16\) Biological factors like low serum vitamin-D were also found to be associated with higher psychological distress in patients with mood disorders during the COVID-19 outbreak.\(^9\)

Even though countries across the globe design different strategies including full/partial lockdown, social distancing measures, closure of schools, cancelation of public gatherings, and use of a mask to control the spread of the pandemic and to minimize the catastrophic effect of COVID-19, the spread of the pandemic and its disastrous effect is become worsen. However, studies on mental health status in developing countries like Ethiopia are very limited. Hence, understanding and investigating public psychological problems during this tumultuous time has practical significance. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the magnitude of depression, anxiety, and stress, and their associated factors among Gondar town residents’ during the early stage of the COVID-19 pandemic. Policymakers, health care planners, medical practitioners, and other concerned bodies might use the information from this study to develop a diagnosis, treatment, and preventive strategies of COVID-19 induced depression, anxiety, and stress.

**Methods**

**Study Design, Area, and Period**

A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in April 2020. This study was conducted in Gondar town, located 728 km far from Addis Ababa, and the capital city of Ethiopia. According to the 2018 population projection, the estimated population size is 430,178. It is subdivided into 12 sub-cites. The town has 24 kebeles, the smallest administrative units in the country. According to the town’s health office report of 2018, the town has 1 referral hospital, 8 government health centers, and 14 health posts (the lowest health care unit in Ethiopian health care system). On the other hand, there is 1 primary hospital, 41 different level clinics, one international laboratory, and 23 pharmacy units and drug stores owned by private sectors.

**Population and Sample**

All adults (≥18 years) who were volunteers to participate after informed consent was given have participated. The sample size was calculated using a single population proportion formula based on a previous study from China\(^17\) using the following assumptions: 95% confidence level, and \(\alpha\) = level of significance (5\%), and margin of error (d) =0.05, design effect of 2, and non-response 10\%. The sample was calculated using Epi-info version 7 and the maximum sample size used in this study was 693. From 20 kebeles of Gondar town, 6 kebeles were selected randomly using the lottery method. A multi-stage sampling technique was employed (Table 1).
Variable of the Study

In this study, the outcome variables were the presence or absence of symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress. Independent variables include socio-demographic characteristics: age, sex, educational status, religion, marital status, occupation, family size, and the number of people with close contact, clinical factors: history of medical and known mental illness, and behavioral factors: ever alcohol drinking, current alcohol drinking, ever cigarette smoking, current cigarette smoking, ever chat chewing, and current chat chewing.

A modified version of the self-reported Depression Anxiety, and Stress Scale (DASS) that contains 21 items was used to measure depression, anxiety, and stress. Each domain comprises 7 items assessing symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress. These items include, for example, for depression: “I found it difficult to work up the initiative to do things”; for anxiety: “I experienced trembling”; and for stress: “I tended to over-react to situations”. Participants were asked to indicate the presence of symptoms in each domain over the past week and responses ranged from 0 to 3 with 0 indicating “did not apply to me at all”; 1 indicating “applied to me to some degree, or some of the time”; 2 indicating “applied to me to a considerable degree or a good part of the time”, and 3 indicating “applied to me very much or most of the time”. The tool has 21 items, 3 subscales of 7 items for each outcome variables: depression, anxiety and stress. Therefore, each outcome variables has 7 questions. Because the DASS 21 is a short form version of the DASS 42 items, the final score of each outcome variable: Depression, Anxiety and Stress were multiplied by two (x2), and then categorized according to the DASS manual as normal, mild, moderate, severe, and extremely severe. The individual item scores range from a minimum of 0 to a maximum of 42. A higher score indicates a greater level of depression, anxiety, and stress. Accordingly, depression score of 0–9 was considered as normal, 10–13 as mild, 14–20 as moderate, 21–27 as severe, and 28 and above as extremely severe depression. For anxiety, participants with anxiety score of 0–7 was considered as normal, 8–9 as mild, 10–14 as moderate, 15–19 as severe, and 20 and above as extremely severe. For the case of stress, stress score of 0–14 were considered as normal, 15–18 as mild, 19–25 as moderate, 26–33 as severe, and 34 and above as extremely severe.¹⁸

Chronic medical illness was defined as those respondents who had at least one chronic illness that is diagnosed before from any private and public health institution and currently on follow up. Past mental illness was defined as a respondent who was previously diagnosed with mental illness and treated in the past or currently on treatment. Current use was defined as use of alcohol, chat, and/or cigarette in the last three months. Ever use was defined as use of alcohol, chat, and/or cigarette even once in one’s lifetime.¹⁹

Data Collection Instrument and Quality Control

English version standardized questionnaires DASS-21 was prepared initially and translated to local language (Amharic) then back to English to ensure its consistency. The interviewer-administered method was applied to collect the data. A total of 11 Bachelor of Science (BSC) psychiatry and professional nurses were recruited to collect the data. Five individuals were assigned as a supervisor in controlling the overall data collection process. The training was given for data collectors and supervisors. The pre-test was done on 5% of the sample size out of the study area.

Data Processing and Analysis

The data was entered into Epi-info version 7 and exported to Stata 14 for analysis. Descriptive statistics like frequencies, percentages, and mean were determined and presented in the form of tables and texts. Chi-square assumption was checked. Bi-variable binary logistic regression was carried out first for each variable and those with p-value <0.2 were taken into the multivariable analysis to control the effect of confounders. Then the multivariable logistic regression model was fitted to identify associated factors for depression, anxiety, and stress. Variables with a p-value < 0.05

| Variable | Prevalence | Sample Size (Including Non-Response and Design Effect) |
|----------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Depression | 16.5 | 466 |
| Anxiety | 28.8 | 693 |
| Stress | 8.1 | 228 |

---
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Table 1 Sample Size Calculation Using Epi-Info Version 7
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were reported as significant and declared as associated factors. Crude odds ratio (COR) and adjusted odds ratios (AOR) with a 95% confidence level were reported to show the strength of the association between the outcome and the independent variables. Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit was used to check the model fitness.

Results
Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Study Participants
In this study, 660 (95.2%) study participants were included. The median age of participants was 32 years with interquartile range (IQR) of 26 to 40 years. Female respondents account for 58.9% of the study participants. Regarding the marital status of the respondents nearly half (52.4%) were married and 552 (83.6%) were Orthodox Christian followers. Three hundred sixty-two (54.9%) of the participants had a family size of less than five (Table 2).

Table 2 Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Gondar Town Adult Population, Northwest Ethiopia, 2020 (N=660)

| Variables       | Category       | Frequency (%) |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Sex             | Male           | 271 (41.1%)   |
|                 | Female         | 389 (58.9%)   |
| Age (in years)  | <26            | 149 (22.6%)   |
|                 | 26–60          | 477 (72.3%)   |
|                 | ≥61            | 34 (5.1%)     |
| Marital status  | Married        | 346 (52.4%)   |
|                 | Single         | 245 (37.1%)   |
|                 | Divorced       | 36 (5.5%)     |
|                 | Widowed        | 33 (5.0%)     |
| Religion        | Orthodox       | 552 (83.6%)   |
|                 | Muslim         | 82 (12.4%)    |
|                 | Other*         | 26 (3.9%)     |
| Occupation      | Unemployed     | 324 (49.1%)   |
|                 | Government employed | 203 (30.8%) |
|                 | Private employed | 133 (20.2%) |
| Educational status | Unable to read and write | 70 (10.6%) |
|                  | Able to read and write | 101 (15.3%) |
|                  | Primary education | 178 (27.0%) |
|                  | Secondary education and above | 311 (49.1%) |
| Family size     | <5             | 362 (54.9%)   |
|                 | ≥5             | 297 (45.1%)   |

Note: *Catholic and protestant.

One hundred six (16.1%) respondents had a history of medical illness. Of these, hypertension and diabetes mellitus accounts for 31.19% and 26.6%, respectively. Two hundred twenty-eight (34.6%) respondents had a history of close contact with 2–5 individuals. Two hundred sixteen (32.7%) individuals reported that other people showed a lot of concern to what they were doing while 76 (11.5%) respondents stated that other people did not show any concern and interest to what they were doing. Getting practical help from friends was perceived as easy by 230 (34.9%) and very difficult by 43 (6.5%) respondents (Table 3).

Table 3 Clinical Characteristics and Physical Contact History of Gondar Town Adult Population, Northwest Ethiopia, 2020 (N=660)

| Variables                        | Category      | Frequency (%) |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| History of medical illness       | Yes           | 106 (16.1%)   |
|                                  | No            | 554 (83.9%)   |
| Type of medical illness          | Hypertension  | 34 (51.2%)    |
|                                  | Diabetes      | 29 (43.3%)    |
|                                  | Mellitus      | 203 (30.9%)   |
|                                  | HIV           | 111 (16.8%)   |
|                                  | Heart disease | 111 (16.8%)   |
|                                  | (CHF)         | 16 (2.4%)     |
|                                  | Bronchial     | 2 (0.3%)      |
|                                  | asthma        | 7 (1.1%)      |
|                                  | Cancer        | 1 (0.2%)      |
|                                  | Epilepsy      | 2 (0.3%)      |
|                                  | Other         | 15 (2.3%)     |
| Family history of mental illness | Yes           | 2 (0.3%)      |
|                                  | No            | 658 (99.7%)   |
| Number of people with close      | 0             | 47 (7.1%)     |
| contact                          | 1–2           | 213 (32.3%)   |
|                                  | 3–5           | 228 (34.6%)   |
|                                  | >5            | 172 (26.1%)   |
| How much concern people give to  | No concern    | 76 (11.5%)    |
| you in any situation             | and interest  | 83 (12.6%)    |
|                                  | Little concern| 81 (12.3%)    |
|                                  | and interest  | 204 (30.9%)   |
|                                  | Uncertain     | 216 (32.7%)   |
|                                  | Some          | 216 (32.7%)   |
|                                  | A lot         | 181 (27.4%)   |
| How easy is it to get practical  | Very easy     | 181 (27.4%)   |
| help from friends or dorm-mates  | Easy          | 230 (34.9%)   |
|                                  | Possible      | 111 (16.8%)   |
|                                  | Difficult     | 95 (14.4%)    |
|                                  | Very difficult| 43 (6.5%)     |
Table 4 Prevalence and Level of Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Among Gondar Town Population, Northwest Ethiopia, Ethiopia (N=660)

| Status     | Depression | Anxiety | Stress |
|------------|------------|---------|--------|
| Yes        | 211        | 170     | 97     |
| No         | 449        | 490     | 563    |

Substance Use Characteristics of Respondents in Gondar Town

Lifetime history of cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, and khat chewing was reported by 46 (7.0%), 267 (40.4%), and 78 (11.8%) participants, respectively. Regarding substance use history in the last 3 months, 31 (4.7%), 196 (29.7%), and 45 (6.8%) respondents had a history of cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, and khat chewing, respectively.

Prevalence of Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Among Gondar Town Population

The prevalence of depression, anxiety and stress was 32.0% (95% CI: 28.4–35.5), 25.8% (95% CI: 22.4–29.1), and 14.7% (95% CI: 12.0–17.4), respectively. The mean depression, anxiety, and stress score was 7.53±8.83 (ranging 0–42), 4.6 ±6.35 (ranging 0–36), and 7.2±8.7 (ranging 0–40), respectively. Regarding the level of depression, anxiety, and stress, moderate depression 92 (13.9%), moderate anxiety 46 (7.0%), and mild stress 46 (7.0%) was the most common patterns (Table 4).

Factors Associated with Depression Among Gondar Town Adult Population

In the bivariate analysis age, marital status, religion, occupation, educational status, number of person close contacts, history of medical illness, ever cigarette smoking, current cigarette smoking, ever chewing chat, and current chewing chat. However, in the multivariable analysis number of people in close contact, history of medical illness, and being ever smoker were significantly affected anxiety. Study participants with a history of close contact with 1–2 people had 2.3 (AOR=2.3, 95% CI: 1.01–5.19) higher odds of anxiety than those with a history of no close contact. The odds of anxiety among participants with a history of medical illness were 2.3 times (AOR=2.3, 95% CI: 1.42–3.76) that of those without a history of medical illness. The odds of anxiety among respondents with a history of lifetime cigarette smoking were 2.8 times (AOR=2.8, 95% CI: 1.23–6.43) that of respondents without a history of cigarette smoking (Table 6).

Factors Associated with Stress Among Gondar Town Adult Population

In the bivariate analysis age, marital status, religion, occupation, family size, history of medical illness, ever cigarette smoking, current cigarette smoking, ever chat chewing, and current chat chewing. The odds of stress among individuals with the occupation of unemployed were 2.1 times (AOR=2.1, 95% CI: 1.17–3.83) higher than governmental employees. Respondents with a family size of less than five were 1.8 times (AOR=1.8, 95% CI: 1.09–2.81) that of those with a family size of five or more. Study participants with a history of medical illness had 2.2 times (AOR=2.2, 95% CI: 1.24–3.89) higher odds of stress than those without a history of medical illness (Table 7).

Discussion

In this study, nearly one-third (32.0%), about a quarter (25.8%), and one in every seventh study participants had depression, anxiety, and stress, respectively. Being female and ever smoking negatively affect depression. On the other hand, a history of medical illness, the number of people in close contacts between 1 and 2, and ever smoking are contributing factors for anxiety. Moreover, unemployment, having <5 family sizes, and having a history of medical illness were the main contributing factors for stress.
In the current study, about one in every three study participants had depression. This finding is higher than the study from India which showed that 25% of study participants were depressed. The possible reason could be in the former study more than half (54%) of study participants were under the age of 25 years. Pieces of evidence suggest that age causes body changes that increased the occurrence of depression and an increase in age is highly correlated with low physical exercise that triggered the development of depression. The second

| Variable                              | Depression | 95% CI       | 95% CI       |
|---------------------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                       | Yes        | No           | AOR          |
| Sex                                   |            |              |              |
| Male                                  | 79         | 192          | 1            |
| Female                                | 132        | 257          | 1.2 (0.89–0.74) | 1.2 (0.89–0.74) | 81.7 (1.14–2.51) |
| Age in years                          |            |              |              |
| <26                                   | 47         | 102          | 1            |
| 26–60                                 | 149        | 328          | 0.9 (0.7–1.46) | 0.9 (0.59–1.48) |
| ≥61                                   | 15         | 19           | 1.7 (0.80–3.6) | 1.9 (0.79–4.65) |
| Marital status                        |            |              |              |
| Married                               | 96         | 250          | 1            |
| Single                                | 84         | 161          | 1.4 (0.95–1.93) | 1.5 (0.98–2.22) |
| Widowed and divorced                  | 31         | 38           | 2.1 (1.25–3.60) | 1.7 (0.95–3.00) |
| Family size                           |            |              |              |
| <5                                    | 127        | 235          | 1.4 (0.98–1.91) | 1.2 (0.87–1.78) |
| ≥5                                    | 84         | 213          | 1            |
| Number of people in close contact     |            |              |              |
| 0                                     | 17         | 30           | 1            |
| 1–2                                   | 87         | 126          | 1.2 (0.63–2.34) | 1.5 (0.77–3.10) |
| 3–5                                   | 70         | 158          | 0.8 (0.40–1.51) | 1.0 (0.49–1.98) |
| >5                                    | 37         | 135          | 0.5 (0.24–0.97) | 0.6 (0.30–1.33) |
| Ever smoke                            |            |              |              |
| Yes                                   | 29         | 17           | 4.0 (2.17–7.55) | 2.8 (1.23–6.28) |
| No                                    | 182        | 432          | 1            |
| Current cigarette smoking             |            |              |              |
| Yes                                   | 19         | 12           | 3.6 (1.71–7.57) | 1.5 (0.57–3.89) |
| No                                    | 192        | 437          | 1            |
| Ever drink alcohol                    |            |              |              |
| Yes                                   | 109        | 158          | 2.0 (1.41–2.74) | 1.2 (0.70–2.06) |
| No                                    | 102        | 291          | 1            |
| Current drink alcohol                 |            |              |              |
| Yes                                   | 84         | 112          | 2.0 (1.40–2.82) | 1.6 (0.90–2.76) |
| No                                    | 127        | 337          | 1            |
| Ever chew khat                        |            |              |              |
| Yes                                   | 35         | 43           | 1.9 (1.16–3.03) | 1.2 (0.58–2.34) |
| No                                    | 176        | 406          | 1            |
| Current chew khat                     |            |              |              |
| Yes                                   | 20         | 25           | 1.8 (0.96–3.27) | 1.4 (0.57–3.20) |
| No                                    | 191        | 424          | 1            |
Table 6 Factors of Anxiety During COVID-19 Pandemic Among Gondar Town Community, Northwest Ethiopia, 2020 (N=660)

| Variable                        | Anxiety | COR 95% CI | AOR 95% CI |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------|------------|
|                                 | Yes     | No         |            |
| **Age in years**                |         |            |            |
| <26                             | 32      | 117        |            |
| 26–60                           | 122     | 355        | 1.3 (0.81–1.95) | 1.2 (0.72–1.99) |
| ≥61                             | 16      | 18         | 3.3 (1.49–7.08) | 1.8 (0.72–4.65) |
| **Marital status**              |         |            |            |
| Married                         | 88      | 258        |            |
| Single                          | 57      | 188        | 0.9 (0.61–1.30) | 1 (0.66–1.61) |
| Widowed and divorced            | 25      | 44         | 1.7 (0.96–2.9) | 1.5 (0.81–2.68) |
| **Religion**                    |         |            |            |
| Orthodox                        | 137     | 415        |            |
| Muslim                          | 27      | 55         | 1.5 (0.90–2.5) | 1.5 (0.82–2.59) |
| Others*                         | 6       | 20         | 0.9 (0.36–2.31) | 0.9 (0.34–2.4) |
| **Occupation**                  |         |            |            |
| Unemployed                      | 95      | 229        | 1.3 (0.90–2.0) | 1.4 (0.83–2.21) |
| Government employed             | 48      | 155        |            |
| Private employed                | 27      | 106        | 0.8 (0.48–1.4) | 0.7 (0.39–1.36) |
| **Educational status**          |         |            |            |
| Unable to read and write        | 22      | 48         | 1.5 (0.83–2.6) | 1.1 (0.56–2.17) |
| Able to read and write          | 23      | 78         | 0.9 (0.55–1.6) | 0.8 (0.42–1.44) |
| Primary education               | 51      | 127        | 1.3 (0.85–1.9) | 1.2 (0.76–0.98) |
| Secondary and above             | 74      | 237        |            |
| **Number of people close contact** |         |            |            |
| 0                               | 10      | 37         |            |
| 1–2                             | 65      | 148        | 1.6 (0.76–3.5) | 2.3 (1.01–5.19) |
| 3–5                             | 52      | 176        | 1.1 (0.51–2.4) | 1.5 (0.65–3.42) |
| >5                              | 43      | 129        | 1.2 (0.57–2.7) | 1.6 (0.71–3.82) |
| **History of medical illness**  |         |            |            |
| Yes                             | 45      | 61         | 2.5 (1.64–3.9) | 2.3 (1.42–3.76) |
| No                              | 125     | 429        |            |
| **Ever smoke**                  |         |            |            |
| Yes                             | 24      | 22         | 3.5 (1.90–6.4) | 2.8 (1.23–6.43) |
| No                              | 146     | 468        |            |
| **Current cigarette smoking**   |         |            |            |
| Yes                             | 15      | 16         | 2.9 (1.39–5.9) | 1.4 (0.54–3.63) |
| No                              | 155     | 474        |            |
| **Ever chew khat**              |         |            |            |
| Yes                             | 29      | 49         | 1.9 (1.1–3.0) | 1.1 (0.51–2.23) |
| No                              | 141     | 441        |            |
| **Current chewing khat**        |         |            |            |
| Yes                             | 17      | 28         | 1.8 (0.98–3.44) | 1.2 (0.53–0.95) |
| No                              | 153     | 462        |            |

Note: *Catholic and protestant.
reason could be in the former study more than 86% of study participants attained at least graduate-level study. This finding is fostered by a randomized controlled trial that showed behavioral and education therapy has a significant role in improving the health status of the participants and minimize the burden of depression.\textsuperscript{24} However, in the current study, only small proportion of respondents attained education. Besides, the current finding is higher than the study from Spain (18.7%). The possible explanation could be in the previous study depression was measured within 1 week preceding the survey and used Impact of Events Scale-Revised (IES-R) tool which is a 22-item self-administered questionnaire.\textsuperscript{25} However, in the recent study depression was measured using the DASS-21 tool within weeks preceding the survey. According to works of literature using DASS-21 is

| Table 7 Factors Affecting Stress During COVID-19 Pandemic Among Gondar Town Population, Northwest Ethiopia, 2020 (N=660) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variable | Stress | COR 95% CI | AOR 95% CI |
|-----------|--------|------------|------------|
|           | Yes    | No         |            |            |
| Age in years |        |            |            |            |
| <26       | 17     | 132        | 1.3 (0.76–2.35) | 1.2 (0.64–2.30) |
| 26–60     | 70     | 407        | 3.2 (1.32–7.91) | 1.6 (0.55–0.73) |
| ≥61       | 10     | 24         |            |            |
| Marital status |      |            |            |            |
| Married   | 49     | 297        | 0.9 (0.54–1.42) | 0.9 (0.56–1.69) |
| Single    | 31     | 214        | 2 (1.06–3.70) | 1.6 (0.80–3.09) |
| Widowed and divorced | 17 | 52 |            |            |
| Religion  |        |            |            |            |
| Orthodox  | 76     | 476        | 1.4 (0.76–2.58) | 1.1 (0.54–2.22) |
| Muslim    | 15     | 67         | 1.9 (0.73–4.82) | 2.1 (0.80–5.75) |
| Others\textsuperscript{*} | 6     | 20         |            |            |
| Occupation |        |            |            |            |
| Unemployed | 6     | 268        | 1.8 (1.06–0.09) | 2.1 (1.17–3.83) |
| Government employed | 21 | 182 | 1.5 (0.80–2.95) | 1.7 (0.83–3.40) |
| Private/self-employed | 20 | 113 |            |            |
| Family size |        |            |            |            |
| <5        | 60     | 302        | 1.4 (0.90–2.17) | 1.8 (1.09–2.81) |
| ≥5        | 37     | 260        |            |            |
| History of medical Illness |      |            |            |            |
| Yes       | 27     | 79         | 2.4 (1.42–3.9) | 2.2 (1.24–3.89) |
| No        | 70     | 484        |            |            |
| Ever smoke |        |            |            |            |
| Yes       | 15     | 31         | 3.1 (1.62–6.07) | 1.6 (0.65–4.11) |
| No        | 82     | 532        |            |            |
| Current cigarette smoking |      |            |            |            |
| Yes       | 11     | 20         | 3.5 (1.61–7.50) | 2.3 (0.82–6.47) |
| No        | 86     | 543        |            |            |
| Ever chew khat |      |            |            |            |
| Yes       | 21     | 57         | 2.5 (1.41–4.27) | 2.0 (0.90–4.65) |
| No        | 76     | 506        |            |            |
| Current chewing khat |      |            |            |            |
| Yes       | 11     | 34         | 2.0 (0.97–4.07) | 1.0 (0.38–2.72) |
| No        | 86     | 529        |            |            |

Note: \textsuperscript{*}Catholic and protestant.
a more appropriate and measuring depression with a sensitivity and specificity of 86% and 64%, respectively. In contrast, the IES-R tool is mainly recommended in people experiencing trauma and less frequently advisable in assessing depression without a crisis.

In this study, one in every fourth respondent had anxiety. This finding is consistent with a study from China (24%) and Mexico 22.6%. In contrast, this finding is lower than a study done from the United States which showed that 45.4% of participants had anxiety. The difference is attributed to a measurement difference. In the former study, Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) was used and in the current study, DASS-21 was used. Evidence spotted out GAD-7 is an appropriate and valid tool for measuring anxiety among students, but its use in health care and research is limited. On the other hand, the current study is higher than the study done in Jordan, only 8% of the general population had anxiety symptoms. The possible variation could be the use of different tools in which the former study used GAD-7 and the current study used DASS-21 for measuring anxiety.

Nearly 15% of the study participants had stress in the current study. This finding is lower than a study from Spain which showed that 41% of study participants had stress. This could be Spain was the second most affected country during the early phase of the pandemic at the end of February 2020 by case and death. However, the disease does not spread across the globe and it introduced to Ethiopia since March 4. On the other hand, this finding is higher than a study from the general population in India which showed that 11% of the population had stress.

Being female increased the odds of depression compared to male counterparts. This finding is in agreement with a study done among the general population in Mexico and China. This might be because females are more likely to develop depression due to the intersect effect of genetic, biological, hormonal, social, and psychological factors. The second reason could be the presence of androgen receptors in males that may give protection, and testosterone hormone does not cycle as estrogen in women that has also a protection in males. Besides, in the male brain testosterone is converted into estrogen that mediates protective actions through estrogen receptors.

Moreover, smokers were at higher odds of developing depression and anxiety than non-smokers. This finding is supported by a study from Australia. This is because smokers are at higher risk of developing respiratory problems like lung cancer and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) that worsen the effect of COVID-19. Consequently, they will develop depression and anxiety. Besides, it is due to the fact that smoking reduces the dopamine hormone released in the brain that triggers happiness or pleasure. Hence, they become easily depressed and it gives a clue to offer proper support for these vulnerable populations to minimize its burden.

Having more number of close contacts was associated with higher odds of anxiety than having no contact. This finding is concurrent with a study from Mexico. This could be because of possible misinformation or information overload when there was a large number of close contacts. Likewise, more close contact increases the likelihood of exposing the deadly virus. Therefore, it is highly recommended to apply precaution measures like social distancing, frequent hand washing, using masks, and sanitizer.

In this study, unemployed study participants were at higher odds of developing stress. This could be because unemployment causes financial crises due to the national lockdown and family members may be unable to cover all the expenses. A piece of evidences suggest that family economic status negatively affects mental health status. Besides, the finding from Spain noted that because of unemployment, economic crises was the most common cause of suffering that causes stress.

In this study, participants with chronic diseases had higher odds of anxiety and stress than the counterparts. This finding is in line with a study conducted in Israel, Spain, and Greek. This is because patients with chronic diseases have an increased risk of complications and mortality from COVID-19. As a result, patients with chronic diseases are getting anxious and stressed. Hence, it is good to provide psychological support, particularly to vulnerable groups to halt the psychological effect and to keep the adherence to their treatment for the chronic diseases as well.

This finding can be generalized to the Gondar town population. However, it has the following limitations. The first one is the finding was conducted in Gondar town only and may not be generalized to the whole of Ethiopia and other low- and middle-income countries. Secondly, it lacks the temporal relationships between the exposure and outcome variables because of the cross-sectional nature of the data. Finally, the self-reported nature of the questionnaires administered and the lack of information about substance use, other than alcohol, khat and smoke were the other limitations of this study.
The findings of this study have paramount importance to the public and clinical setups. In this study, magnitude and factors affecting depression, anxiety, and stress were well spotted. Hence, persons with the problem will identify early which is helpful to get early treatment. Besides, the finding of the study highlighted the need to improve mental health care planning, treatment, and design preventive measures.

**Conclusion**

In this study, the overall depression, anxiety, and stress were significantly high. There are number of predictors associated with depression, anxiety, and stress. Designing and implementing tailored strategies with COVID-19 prevention and control could be supremely important to reduce these problems in the community.
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