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I. INTRODUCTION.

Canonical Perturbation Theory (CPT) was historically the first perturbation theory in quantum mechanics\(^1\). It iteratively constructs a unitary transformation of the Hamiltonian matrix into a block-diagonal form. The resulting effective Hamiltonian\(^2,3\) then allows for a solution of the eigenvalue problem.

Nowadays, Van Vleck\(^4\) CPT in Primas’ superoperatorial formalism\(^5\) is widely used in quantum chemistry and molecular spectroscopy\(^6,7\). Contemporary precise models demand time-consuming computations up to very high perturbative orders\(^8\). This is why new efficient algorithms are important.

We present here a noniterative construction of the block-diagonalizing transformation for CPT. Our approach follows the recent application of Kato perturbation expansion to classical mechanics\(^9\). It uses the remarkable analogies between mathematical formalisms of perturbation expansions in classical and quantum mechanics.

The method is based on a Kato series\(^10\) for the resolvent of the Liouville superoperator and the Dyson ordered exponentials. We demonstrate a regular structure in perturbation series and derive an explicit expression for the generator of a block-diagonalizing transformation in any perturbation order:

\[
\hat{G} = i \hat{S}_H \hat{H}_1.
\]

Here, \(\hat{S}_H\) is the partial pseudo-inverse of the perturbed Liouville superoperator. The corresponding perturbative algorithm is effective for high-order computations. Unitary intertwining of perturbed and unperturbed averaging superprojectors allows for a description of ambiguities in the generator and block-diagonalized Hamiltonian.

Note that all calculations here are only formal, in a sense that neither a discussion of power series convergence nor conditions for the existence of constructed superoperators are present.

The downloadable “Supplementary data files”\(^11\) contain demonstrations and large formulae. The demonstrations use the freeware computer algebra system FORM\(^12\).
II. BASIC PERTURBATION SUPEROPERATORS.

Consider the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} \) that differs from an exactly solvable one by a perturbation:

\[
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \alpha \hat{H}_1.
\]

We will discuss here only time-independent Hamiltonians that have a discrete spectrum.

The goal of canonical perturbation theory is to transform the perturbed Hamiltonian into a simpler block-diagonal operator by a near-identity unitary transformation. Such transformations may be handled conveniently using superoperator formalism\(^5\). Here we will outline only some basics of it.

For any \( \hat{G} \), one may introduce a quantum Liouville superoperator acting on the operator space:

\[
\hat{L}\hat{G} = [\hat{G}, \cdot], \quad \hat{L}\hat{F} = [\hat{G}, \hat{F}].
\]

We will use the bold calligraphic-style letters here to denote the superoperators. Due to the Jacobi identity, the Liouvillian is the “derivation” of a commutator:

\[
\hat{L}\hat{G}[\hat{F}, \hat{H}] = [\hat{L}\hat{G}\hat{F}, \hat{H}] + [\hat{F}, \hat{L}\hat{G}\hat{H}].
\]

Because it is linear, \( \hat{L}\hat{H}_0 \) admits the decomposition of its domain into the direct sum \( \mathfrak{N} \oplus \mathfrak{R} \), where \( \mathfrak{N} \) or \( \mathfrak{R} \) are the kernel and the range space, respectively. In order to realize this decomposition, Primas\(^5\) introduced the following averaging (block-diagonalizing) superoperator:

\[
\hat{P}_{\hat{H}_0} \hat{F} = \lim_{\lambda \to +0} \lambda \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} e^{\lambda t \hat{H}_0} \hat{F} e^{-\lambda t \hat{H}_0} dt = \lim_{\lambda \to +0} \lambda \int_0^{+\infty} dt e^{-\lambda t} e^{\lambda t \hat{L}\hat{H}_0} \hat{F}. \quad (1)
\]

This superoperator is the projector \( \hat{P}_{\hat{H}_0}^2 = \hat{P}_{\hat{H}_0} \). It projects the operator \( \hat{F} \) onto the kernel space \( \mathfrak{N} \) of block-diagonal operators commuting with \( \hat{H}_0 \).

The complementary projector \( 1 - \hat{P}_{\hat{H}_0} \) extracts the non-commuting with the \( \hat{H}_0 \) (off-diagonal) part of the \( \hat{F} \). It projects on the range space \( \mathfrak{R} \) where the inverse of \( \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_0} \) exists. This inverse is the integrating operator \( \hat{S}_{\hat{H}_0} \), which is also called the solution of the homological equation, tilde operation, zero-mean antiderivative, Friedrichs \( \hat{\Gamma} \) operation, \( \frac{1}{k} \) operator, division operation, etc. Its invariant definition by Primas\(^5\) is as follows:

\[
\hat{S}_{\hat{H}_0} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \lim_{\lambda \to +0} \int_0^{+\infty} dt e^{-\lambda t} e^{\lambda t \hat{L}\hat{H}_0} \left( 1 - \hat{P}_{\hat{H}_0} \right). \quad (2)
\]
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It is easy to check that:

\[ \hat{L}_{H_0} \hat{S}_{H_0} = \hat{S}_{H_0} \hat{L}_{H_0} = 1 - \hat{P}_{H_0}, \]
\[ \hat{S}_{H_0} \hat{P}_{H_0} = \hat{P}_{H_0} \hat{S}_{H_0} \equiv 0. \]

Further canonical identities were discussed in our work on perturbation theory of classical mechanics.

The superoperators \((\hat{L}_{H_1}, \hat{P}_{H_0}, \hat{S}_{H_0})\) are the building blocks of the canonical perturbation series. Quantum mechanics uses the following methods for their computation:

- The Energy Representation of an unperturbed Hamiltonian provides the eigenbasis of the Liouville superoperator. The action of the basic superoperators on any operator \(\hat{F}\) can be directly computed in this representation as follows:

\[ \hat{P}_{H_0} \hat{F} = \sum_{E_m = E_n} F_{mn} |m\rangle \langle n|, \quad \hat{S}_{H_0} \hat{F} = \sum_{E_m \neq E_n} \frac{F_{mn}}{E_m - E_n} |m\rangle \langle n|. \]

These well-known expressions are used frequently as the definitions of \(\hat{P}_{H_0}\) and \(\hat{S}_{H_0}\).

- The method of Contact Transformations (quantum Birkhoff-Gustavson normal form) applies to the perturbed harmonic oscillator

\[ \hat{H} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{\omega_k}{2} (\hat{p}_k^2 + \hat{q}_k^2) + \alpha \hat{H}_1(\hat{p}, \hat{q}). \]

It uses the representation of ladder operators:

\[ \hat{q}_k = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2}} (\hat{a}_k^\dagger + \hat{a}_k), \quad p_k = i \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2}} (\hat{a}_k^\dagger - \hat{a}_k), \quad [\hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_l^\dagger] = \delta_{kl}. \]

The action of basic superoperators on a Wick-ordered polynomial operator \(\hat{F}(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) = \sum \hat{F}(\vec{m}, \vec{n}) \hat{a}^{\dagger \vec{m}} \hat{a}^{\vec{n}}\) is as follows:

\[ \hat{P}_{H_0} \hat{F} = \sum_{(\vec{m}, \vec{n}) = 0} \hat{F}(\vec{m}, \vec{n}) \hat{a}^{\dagger \vec{m}} \hat{a}^{\vec{n}}, \quad \hat{S}_{H_0} \hat{F} = \sum_{(\vec{m}, \vec{n}) \neq 0} \frac{\hat{F}(\vec{m}, \vec{n})}{\hbar(\vec{m} - \vec{n})} \hat{a}^{\dagger \vec{m}} \hat{a}^{\vec{n}}. \]

III. THE SUPERRESOLVENT

Consider the resolvent of the Liouville superoperator:

\[ \hat{R}_{H}(z) = \frac{1}{\hbar \hat{L}_H - z}. \]
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This superoperator-valued function of the complex variable $z$ is the Laplace transform of the evolution superoperator

$$
\hat{\mathcal{R}}_H(z) = -\int_0^{+\infty} dt \ e^{-zt} e^\hbar t \hat{L}_H.
$$

Resolvent singularities are the eigenvalues of the Liouvillian. Typically, its spectrum $\sigma(\hat{L}_H) = \sigma(\hat{H}) - \sigma(\hat{\mathcal{L}})$ has a richer structure than that of the corresponding Hamiltonian\(^\text{17}\).

Let us begin with the simple case of the pure point spectrum of a quantum system with isolated energy levels. The singularities of corresponding Liouvillian superresolvent are located at $E_k - E_m$ and are separable from the origin.

The existence of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\hat{H}_0}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\hat{H}_0}$ means that the unperturbed superresolvent has a simple pole in 0. The averaging superoperator is its residue in this pole:

$$
\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\hat{H}_0} \equiv -\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0},
$$

while the integrating superoperator $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\hat{H}_0}$ is its holomorphic part:

$$
\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\hat{H}_0} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \lim_{z \to 0} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}(z)(1 - \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\hat{H}_0}).
$$

Therefore, the Liouvillian superresolvent combines both basic perturbation superoperators\(^9\).

This allows us to apply the powerful formalism of complex analysis to perturbation theory.

It is well known\(^\text{10}\) that, due to the Hilbert resolvent identity

$$
\hat{\mathcal{R}}_H(z_1) - \hat{\mathcal{R}}_H(z_2) = (z_1 - z_2) \hat{\mathcal{R}}_H(z_1) \hat{\mathcal{R}}_H(z_2),
$$

the Laurent series for the unperturbed resolvent has the form of a geometric progression

$$
\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}(z) = -\frac{1}{z} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\hat{H}_0} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\hat{H}_0}\right)^{n+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\right)^n \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(n)} z^{n-1}.
$$

Here, we have denoted $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(0)} = -\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\hat{H}_0}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(n)} = \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\hat{H}_0}^n$.

The perturbed resolvent may be more singular. The Laurent series for a general resolvent with an isolated singularity at the origin has the following form\(^\text{10}\):

$$
\hat{\mathcal{R}}_H(z) = -\frac{1}{z} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_H + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \hat{\mathcal{S}}_H\right)^{n+1} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^{-n} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{\mathcal{D}}_H\right)^{n-1}.
$$

Here, $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_H$ is the eigen-nilpotent superoperator, which does not have an unperturbed analogue.
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A. Kato series

If the perturbation \( \hat{H}_1 \) is relatively bounded with respect to \( \hat{H}_0 \), then the Rayleigh–Schrödinger series converges\(^{10} \) and the perturbed superresolvent can be expanded into the Neumann series as follows:

\[
\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0 + \alpha \hat{H}_1}(z) = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0} - \alpha \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0} \mathcal{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0} + \alpha^2 \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0} \mathcal{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0} \mathcal{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0} + \ldots \\
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( -\alpha \frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^n \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}(z) \left( \mathcal{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}(z) \right)^n.
\]

The integration around a small contour results in the Kato series\(^{10} \) for the “perturbed averaging superoperator”:

\[
\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z| = \varepsilon} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}(z)} dz \\
= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oint_{|z| = \varepsilon} \left( -\alpha \frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^n \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}(z) \left( \mathcal{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}(z) \right)^n dz \\
= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( -\alpha \frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^n \oint_{|z| = \varepsilon} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \right)^m \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}(z) \mathcal{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}(z) \right)^n dz,
\]

the “perturbed integrating superoperator”, and the “perturbed eigen-nilpotent”:

\[
\hat{\mathcal{S}}_\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{|z| = \varepsilon} z^{-1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}(z)} dz, \quad \hat{\mathcal{D}}_\hat{H} = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \oint_{|z| = \varepsilon} z \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}(z)} dz.
\]

Only coefficients of \( z^{-1} \) in these expansions will contribute to the result:

\[
\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\hat{H} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \alpha^n \sum_{\sum_{j=n}^{n} p_j \geq 0} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_0)} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_1)} \cdots \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_n)} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_1)} \],
\]

\[
\hat{\mathcal{S}}_\hat{H} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \alpha^n \sum_{\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+1} p_j \geq 0} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_0)} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_1)} \cdots \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_n)} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_1)} \], \quad (4)
\]

\[
\hat{\mathcal{D}}_\hat{H} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \alpha^n \sum_{\sum_{j=n-1}^{n-1} p_j \geq 0} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_0)} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_1)} \cdots \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_n)} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_1)} \] .

Because the superoperator \( \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\hat{H}_0}^{(p_j)} \) consists of \( p_j \) superoperators \( \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\hat{H}_0} \), the summation in the above expressions should be done by all possible placements of \( n \) (or \( n + 1 \), or \( n - 1 \))
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\( \hat{S}_{\hat{R}_0} \) in \( n + 1 \) sets. There are \( C_{2n}^n \) terms of order \( \alpha^n \) in \( \hat{P}_{\hat{H}} \) and \( C_{2n+1}^n \) terms in \( \hat{S}_{\hat{H}} \). For the Hermitian \( \hat{G} \), the operators \( \hat{S}_{\hat{R}} \hat{G} \) and \( \hat{D}_{\hat{R}} \hat{G} \) are anti-Hermitian.

The properties of unperturbed superoperators can be extended to their analytic continuations as follows:

\[
\mathcal{P}_{\hat{H}} \hat{H} = \hat{H}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{\hat{H}} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}} = 1 - \mathcal{P}_{\hat{H}}, \quad \hat{L}_{\hat{H}} \mathcal{P}_{\hat{H}} = \mathcal{P}_{\hat{H}} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}} = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{H}}, \text{ etc.}
\]

For the details, see our work\(^9\) on an application of the Kato series to classical mechanics.

To avoid misunderstanding, it should be noted that \( \mathcal{P}_{\hat{H}} \hat{F} \) will not be commuting with the perturbed Hamiltonian. This is because \( \hat{L}_{\hat{H}} \mathcal{P}_{\hat{H}} = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{H}} \neq 0 \) in general. Actually, the “perturbed superprojector” \( \mathcal{P}_{\hat{H}} \) projects onto some analytic continuation of the algebra of integrals of the unperturbed Hamiltonian. This may not coincide, in general, with the algebra of integrals of the perturbed system, because of a destruction of symmetries. In other words, the zero eigenvalue of the Liouville superoperator may be split by the perturbation.

**B. Canonical structure of the Liouvillian superresolvent**

Because \( \hat{L} \) is a derivative, there exists an integration by parts formula for its superresolvent\(^9\). For any \( z_1, \ z_2, \) and \( z_3 \) outside of the spectrum of \( \hat{L}_{\hat{H}} \) and any operator \( \hat{F} \), the following holds true:

\[
\hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_1)\hat{L}_{\hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_2)}\hat{F} - \hat{L}_{\hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_2)}\hat{F} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_3) = (z_1 - z_2 - z_3) \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_1)\hat{L}_{\hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_2)}\hat{F} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_3).
\]

This results from the application of the identical superoperator \( \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_1)(\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{L}_{\hat{H}} - z_1) \equiv 1 \) to the commutator \( \hat{L}_{\hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_2)}\hat{F} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_3) \) and the expansion of the Jacobi identity.

Consider the derivative of the Liouvillian superresolvent with respect to the perturbation

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z) = - \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z) \hat{L}_{\hat{H}} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z).
\]

Substituting \( z_1 = z_3 = z \), and \( \hat{F} = \hat{H}_1 \) into the canonical superresolvent identity (5) yields

\[
\hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z) \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z) = \hat{L}_{\hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_2)H_1} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z) - \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z) \hat{L}_{\hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_2)H_1} - z_2 \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z) \hat{L}_{\hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z_2)H_1} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z).
\]

Look at the coefficient of \( z_2^0 \) in the Laurent series of the above expression:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z) = \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z) \hat{L}_{\hat{S}_{\hat{H}} \hat{H}_1} - \hat{L}_{\hat{S}_{\hat{H}} \hat{H}_1} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z) - \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z) \hat{L}_{\hat{P}_{\hat{H}} \hat{H}_1} \hat{R}_{\hat{H}}(z).
\]
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Proceeding similarly for coefficients of $z^{-n}$ ($n \geq 1$) in (6), we obtain

$$\hat{R}_H(z) \hat{L}_{\hat{P}_H H_1} = \hat{L}_{\hat{P}_H H_1} \hat{R}_H(z) - \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{R}_H(z) \hat{L}_{\hat{D}_H H_1} \hat{R}_H(z),$$

$$\hat{R}_H(z) \hat{L}_{\hat{D}_H H_1} = \hat{L}_{\hat{D}_H H_1} \hat{R}_H(z) - \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{R}_H(z) \hat{L}_{\hat{D}_H^{n+1} H_1} \hat{R}_H(z).$$

This allows us to rewrite the expression for the superresolvent derivative as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{R}_H(z) = \hat{R}_H(z) \hat{L}_{\hat{S}_H H_1} - \hat{L}_{\hat{S}_H H_1} \hat{R}_H(z) - \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{L}_{\hat{P}_H H_1} \frac{\partial \hat{R}_H(z)}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \hat{L}_{\hat{D}_H H_1} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{R}_H(z)}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^3 \hat{L}_{\hat{D}_H H_1} \frac{\partial^3 \hat{R}_H(z)}{\partial z^3} + \ldots$$

Actually, this is a power series because $\hat{D}_H^n = O(\alpha^n)$.

It follows from the Hilbert resolvent identity that

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} \hat{R}_H(z) = n! \hat{R}_H^{n+1}(z).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{R}_H(z) = \hat{R}_H \hat{L}_{\hat{S}_H H_1} - \hat{L}_{\hat{S}_H H_1} \hat{R}_H(z) - \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{L}_{\hat{P}_H H_1} \frac{\partial \hat{R}_H(z)}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \hat{L}_{\hat{D}_H H_1} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{R}_H(z)}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^3 \hat{L}_{\hat{D}_H H_1} \frac{\partial^3 \hat{R}_H(z)}{\partial z^3} + \ldots$$

The derivative of superprojector $(\partial/\partial \alpha) \hat{P}_H$ can be obtained as the residue of this expression at $z = 0$. In our case of an isolated point spectrum, the superresolvent is a meromorphic function, and therefore the residue of any of its derivatives with respect to $z$ vanishes identically. As a result, the superprojector $\hat{P}_H$ transforms canonically under perturbation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{P}_H = \hat{P}_H \hat{L}_{\hat{S}_H H_1} - \hat{L}_{\hat{S}_H H_1} \hat{P}_H.$$  

This identity holds to all perturbation orders.

**IV. AN EXPLICIT EXPRESSION FOR A GENERATOR**

**A. Ordered exponentials**

Ordered exponentials were introduced in quantum field theory by Dyson in 1949. They parametrize an $\alpha$-dependent unitary transformation $\hat{U}(\alpha)$

$$|\psi(0)\rangle = \hat{U} |\tilde{\psi}(\alpha)\rangle, \quad \hat{H} = \hat{U}^{-1} \hat{H} \hat{U},$$
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and its inverse $\hat{U}^\dagger = \hat{U}^{-1}$ using direct and inverse Hamiltonian flows in “time” $\alpha$ with some Hermitian generator $\hat{G}(\alpha)$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{U} = i \hat{G}(\alpha) \hat{U}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{U}^\dagger = -i \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{G}.$$  

In classical mechanics the ordered exponentials are known as Lie-Deprit transforms$^{19}$. Their applications to quantum canonical perturbation theory are discussed by Ali$^{20}$ and Scherer$^{21}$. We adopt the notation by Suzuki$^{22}$ for the direct and inverse ordered exponentials:

$$\hat{U} = \exp_+ \left( i \int_0^\alpha \hat{G} \, ds \right), \quad \hat{U}^\dagger = \exp_- \left( -i \int_0^\alpha \hat{G} \, ds \right).$$

The integral signs here have only notational meaning as a reminiscence of the Dyson series.

We will mostly use the superoperatorial ordered exponentials that satisfy the equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{U}(\alpha) = i \hat{L}_{\hat{G}(\alpha)} \hat{U}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{V}(\alpha) = -i \hat{V} \hat{L}_{\hat{G}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Analogous to the Hausdorff identity, they are factorizable as follows$^{23}$:

$$\hat{U}(\alpha) \hat{F} = \exp_+ \left( i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}} \, ds \right) \hat{F} = \exp_+ \left( i \int_0^\alpha \hat{G} \, ds \right) \hat{F} \exp_- \left( -i \int_0^\alpha \hat{G} \, ds \right),$$

$$\hat{V}(\alpha) \hat{F} = \exp_- \left( -i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}} \, ds \right) \hat{F} = \exp_- \left( -i \int_0^\alpha \hat{G} \, ds \right) \hat{F} \exp_+ \left( i \int_0^\alpha \hat{G} \, ds \right).$$

Therefore, the transformed Hamiltonian can be written as $\hat{H} = \exp_- \left( -i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}} \, ds \right) \hat{H}$.

In quantum physics the ordered exponentials are usually computed by the Dyson series$^{18}$. But canonical perturbation theory uses their representation as a power series:

$$\exp_+ \left( i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}} \, ds \right) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha^n \hat{U}_n, \quad \exp_- \left( -i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}} \, ds \right) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha^n \hat{V}_n.$$  

Substituting these series and $\hat{G}(\alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha^n \hat{G}_n$ into the equations (9), Deprit obtained the relations for the coefficients:

$$\hat{U}_n = \frac{i}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_{n-k-1}} \hat{U}_k, \quad \hat{V}_n = -\frac{i}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{V}_k \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_{n-k-1}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

and developed the “triangular” computational algorithm$^{19}$.

Iterations of these relations yield non-recursive formulas$^{24}$:

$$\hat{U}_n = \sum_{\substack{(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \ni n > m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_r}} \left( (-i)^{r+1} \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_{m_r-1}} \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_{m_1-1}} \cdots \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_{m_{r-1}}-1} / m_r \right) / n,$$

$$\hat{V}_n = \sum_{\substack{(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \ni n > m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_r}} \left( (-i)^{r+1} \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_{m_r-1}} \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_{m_1-1}} \cdots \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_{m_{r-1}}-1} / m_r \right) / n.$$
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Here, the sum runs over all sets of integers \( (m_1, \ldots, m_r) \), satisfying \( n > m_1 > \cdots > m_r > 0 \).

In the first orders:

\[
\exp_+(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_G ds) = 1 + i \alpha \hat{L}_0 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} (\hat{L}_0^2 - i \hat{L}_1) - i \frac{\alpha^3}{6} (\hat{L}_0^3 - i \hat{L}_0 \hat{L}_1 \hat{L}_0 - 2i \hat{L}_1 \hat{L}_0 \hat{L}_1 - 2 \hat{L}_2) + O(\alpha^3),
\]

\[
\exp_-(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_G ds) = 1 - i \alpha \hat{L}_0 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} (\hat{L}_0^2 + i \hat{L}_1)
+ i \frac{\alpha^3}{6} (\hat{L}_0^3 + 2i \hat{L}_0 \hat{L}_1 + i \hat{L}_1 \hat{L}_0 \hat{L}_1 - 2 \hat{L}_2) + O(\alpha^3).
\]

B. Intertwining transformation

The identity (8) demonstrates that the ordered exponential with the generator \( i \hat{S}_R \hat{H}_1 \):

\[
|\psi(0)\rangle = \exp_+(i \int_0^\alpha i \hat{S}_R \hat{H}_1 ds) |\tilde{\psi}(\alpha)\rangle,
\]

\[
\hat{H} = \exp_-(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_i \hat{S}_R \hat{H}_1 ds) \hat{H},
\]

unitarily connects the perturbed and unperturbed superprojectors:

\[
\hat{P}_\hat{H} = \exp_+(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_i \hat{S}_R \hat{H}_1 ds) \hat{P}_\hat{H}_0 \exp_-(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_i \hat{S}_R \hat{H}_1 ds).
\]

Since this transformation intertwines the superprojectors

\[
\exp_-(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_i \hat{S}_R \hat{H}_1 ds) \hat{P}_\hat{H} = \hat{P}_\hat{H}_0 \exp_-(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_i \hat{S}_R \hat{H}_1 ds),
\]

and \( \hat{P}_\hat{H} \hat{H} = \hat{H} \), the transformed Hamiltonian becomes block-diagonal:

\[
\tilde{H} = \exp_-(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_i \hat{S}_R \hat{H}_1 ds) \hat{P}_\hat{H} \hat{H} = \hat{P}_\hat{H}_0 \exp_-(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_i \hat{S}_R \hat{H}_1 ds) \hat{H} = \hat{P}_\hat{H}_0 \tilde{H}.
\]

We have thus shown that the ordered exponential with the generator

\[
\hat{G} = i \hat{S}_R \hat{H}_1 = i \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^{n+1} \alpha^n \left( \sum_{\sum p_j = n+1} \hat{R}^{(p_n)}_{\hat{H}_0} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{R}^{(p_n)}_{\hat{H}_0} \cdots \hat{R}^{(p_2)}_{\hat{H}_0} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{R}^{(p_1)}_{\hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_1 \right)
\]

formally block-diagonalizes the Hamiltonian in all orders in \( \alpha \).

The above formula can be extended to systems with degenerate energy levels, or to unbounded perturbation in the form of an asymptotic series. It may be shown\(^9\) that an expression for \( \hat{G} \) truncated at order \( N \) results in a block-diagonal Hamiltonian up to \( O(\alpha^{N+1}) \).
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For clarity, we here use formal “analytic” expressions. But for degenerate systems, it must always be remembered that these expressions must be converted straightforwardly into truncated sums, and all equalities hold up to $O(\alpha^{N+1})$.

In the first perturbative orders, the generator

$$\hat{G} = i \hat{S} \hat{H}_1 - i\alpha \left( \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} - \hat{S}^2 \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} - \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S}^2 \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} + \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S}^2 \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} - \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S}^2 \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} - \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S}^2 \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} \right) \hat{H}_1$$

yields the following block-diagonal effective Hamiltonian up to $\alpha^4$:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \alpha \hat{P} \hat{H}_0 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{H}_1 + \alpha^3 \left( \frac{1}{3} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} - \frac{1}{6} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S}^2 \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} \right) \hat{H}_1$$

$$+ \alpha^4 \left( \frac{1}{6} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S}^2 \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} - \frac{1}{4} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S}^2 \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} + \frac{1}{12} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} \right) \hat{H}_1$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S}^2 \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} + \frac{1}{4} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S}^2 \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} + \frac{1}{4} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} - \frac{1}{6} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S}^3 \hat{L}_{\hat{H}_1} \hat{S} \hat{P} \right) \hat{H}_1 + O(\alpha^5). \quad (13)$$

Here we omitted the indices $\hat{H}_0$ for unperturbed operators $\hat{P}_{\hat{H}_0}$ and $\hat{S}_{\hat{H}_0}$. These expressions are more regular than corresponding formulas\(^6,7,25\) for standard CPT methods.

C. General form of the generator

Knowing that $\hat{P}_{\hat{H}_0}$ and $\hat{P}_{\hat{H}}$ are unitary connected and that this transformation block-diagonalizes the Hamiltonian, the procedure of canonical perturbation theory can be viewed as the construction of unitary transformation, which connects unperturbed and perturbed superprojectors.

Let us now determine the general form of the generator of such a transformation. The perturbed $\hat{P}_{\hat{H}}$ should satisfy the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{P}_{\hat{H}} = i \hat{L}_{\hat{G}} \hat{P}_{\hat{H}} - i \hat{P}_{\hat{H}} \hat{L}_{\hat{G}}.$$

Application of this expression to the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ and the identities

$$\hat{P}_{\hat{H}} \hat{H} = \hat{H}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} (\hat{P}_{\hat{H}} \hat{H}) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{P}_{\hat{H}} \right) \hat{H} + \hat{P}_{\hat{H}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{H}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{H} = \hat{H}_1,$$
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yield

$$(1 - \hat{P}_\hat{H}) \hat{L} \hat{G} = i (1 - \hat{P}_\hat{H}) \hat{H}_1.$$  

To solve this equation, it is sufficient to apply the $\hat{S}_\hat{H}$ operator. Therefore, the general form of the generator of intertwining unitary transformation is

$$\hat{G} = i \hat{S}_\hat{H} \hat{H}_1 + \hat{P}_\hat{H} \hat{F},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $\hat{F}(\alpha)$ may be any Hermitian operator. This is the main result of this work. This formula provides a non-recursive expression for the generator of the block-diagonalizing transformation and defines its ambiguity.

The choice of $\hat{P}_\hat{H} \hat{F}$ is the uniqueness condition\textsuperscript{26}. It is natural to choose $\hat{F} \equiv 0$ or $\hat{P}_\hat{H} \hat{G} = 0$. This is not equal to the “off-diagonal” condition $\hat{P}_\hat{H}_0 \hat{G}_P = 0$ traditionally used in canonical perturbation theory\textsuperscript{5}. Because $\hat{L} \hat{P}_\hat{H} \hat{G} = \hat{P}_\hat{H} \hat{L} \hat{P}_\hat{H} \hat{G}$, the superprojector $\hat{P}_\hat{H}$ is itself insensitive to this choice.

We can conclude that the generators of block-diagonalizing transformations can differ by a function belonging to a continuation of the algebra of integrals of unperturbed system.

Effective Hamiltonians that were block-diagonalized using different uniqueness conditions $\hat{F}_1$ and $\hat{F}_2$ are connected by unitary transformation:

$$\hat{U}_{21} = \exp(-i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_2} ds) \exp_{+}(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_1} ds).$$

Let us find its generator:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \hat{U}_{21} = i \exp_{-}(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_2} ds) \left( \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_1} - \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_2} \right) \exp_{+}(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_1} ds)$$

$$= i \exp_{-}(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_2} ds) \hat{L}_{\hat{P}_\hat{H}(\hat{F}_1 - \hat{F}_2)} \exp_{+}(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_1} ds)$$

$$= i \hat{L}_{\exp_{-}(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_2} ds) \hat{P}_\hat{H}(\hat{F}_1 - \hat{F}_2)} \hat{U}_{21}. $$

Because of the intertwining relation (11), the generator of $\hat{U}_{21}$ is always block-diagonal:

$$\hat{G}_{21} = \exp_{-}(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{G}_2} ds) \hat{P}_\hat{H}(\hat{F}_1 - \hat{F}_2) = \hat{P}_\hat{H}_0 \exp_{-}(i \int_0^\alpha \hat{L}_{\hat{P}_\hat{H} \hat{H}_1 + \hat{P}_\hat{H} \hat{F}_2} ds) (\hat{F}_1 - \hat{F}_2).$$

This is the well-known result that the effective Hamiltonian is determined up to block-diagonal transformation\textsuperscript{2}. Such ambiguity does not affect the perturbed eigenvalues.
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V. COMPUTATIONAL ASPECTS

A major difference between this and standard canonical perturbation algorithms by Van Vleck\textsuperscript{4}, Primas\textsuperscript{5}, etc., is the explicit non-recursive formulas. Traditionally, perturbation computations block-diagonalize the Hamiltonian order by order. In contrast, we compute the generator $\hat{G} = i \hat{S}_H \hat{H}_1$ up to the desired order directly. Then, the ordered exponential block-diagonalizes the Hamiltonian.

A. The algorithms

Let us introduce the superoperators

$$\hat{Z}_n^m = (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{p_1 + \ldots + p_{n+1} = m, p_j \geq 0} \mathcal{R}_{H_0}^{(p_{n+1})} \hat{L}_{H_1} \mathcal{R}_{H_0}^{(p_{n})} \ldots \mathcal{R}_{H_0}^{(p_2)} \hat{L}_{H_1} \mathcal{R}_{H_0}^{(p_1)}.$$

Here, the sum runs over all possible placements of $m$ superoperators $\hat{S}_H \hat{H}_0$ in $n + 1$ sets. The simplest such expressions are $\hat{Z}_0^0 = \hat{P}_{H_0}$, and $\hat{Z}_0^m = -\hat{S}_H^m \hat{H}_0$ for $m > 0$. We have already discussed their computation in section II.

The following recursive relation

$$\hat{Z}_n^m = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \hat{Z}_0^{m-k} \hat{L}_{H_1} \hat{Z}_{n-1}^k$$

leads to an efficient algorithm for the generator $\hat{G} = i \hat{S}_H \hat{H}_1$. We consider the square table:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\hat{F}_0^0 & \hat{F}_1^0 & \hat{F}_2^0 & \ldots & \hat{F}_0^N & \hat{F}_0^{N+1} \\
\hat{F}_1^0 & \hat{F}_1^1 & \hat{F}_1^2 & \ldots & \hat{F}_1^N & \hat{F}_1^{N+1} \\
& & \cdots & & \\
\hat{F}_{N-1}^0 & \hat{F}_{N-1}^1 & \hat{F}_{N-1}^2 & \ldots & \hat{F}_{N-1}^N & \hat{F}_{N-1}^{N+1} \\
& & & \hat{F}_N^{N+1} & \\
\end{array}$$

Here the first row is

$$\hat{F}_0^0 = \hat{Z}_0^0 \hat{H}_1 = \hat{P}_{H_0} \hat{H}_1, \quad \hat{F}_0^m(x) = \hat{Z}_0^m \hat{H}_1 = -\hat{S}_H^m \hat{H}_1,$$

and each subsequent row is generated from the previous row according to the rule

$$\hat{F}_n^{m+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \hat{Z}_0^{m-k} \hat{L}_{H_1} \hat{F}_n^k.$$
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The generator truncated at order $\alpha^{N+1}$ is given by
\[ \hat{G}_{[N]} = i \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha^n \hat{F}_n. \]

In classical mechanics the ordered exponentials are usually computed using the Deprit “triangle”\textsuperscript{19}. However, we have observed that for all of our examples the repeated application of the relations (10) is significantly faster than the “triangular” algorithm. Such a method allows computation of superoperatorial ordered exponentials with an efficiency comparable to that of ordinary superoperator exponents.

B. Comparison with other methods

The explicit expression is important from a general mathematical standpoint because it systematizes and simplifies the perturbation expansion. Moreover, it is computationally efficient. Here we compare the computer times of block-diagonalization using the explicit expression (12) with those of the Van Vleck\textsuperscript{4} and Magnus\textsuperscript{27,28} approaches.

The Van Vleck method block-diagonalizes the Hamiltonian using a chain of exponents:
\[ \hat{H} = e^{-i \alpha \hat{G}_{n-1}} \ldots e^{-i \alpha \hat{G}_0} \hat{H} e^{i \alpha \hat{G}_n} \ldots e^{i \alpha \hat{G}_{n-1}} = e^{-i \alpha \hat{L} \hat{G}_{n-1}} \ldots e^{-i \alpha \hat{L} \hat{G}_0} \hat{H}. \]

In classical mechanics it is known as the Dragt-Finn transformation\textsuperscript{29}.

The Magnus method uses the superoperator exponent with an $\alpha$-dependent generator\textsuperscript{5}:
\[ \hat{H} = e^{-i \sum \alpha \hat{G}_{k-1}} \hat{H} e^{i \sum \alpha \hat{G}_{k-1}} = e^{-i \sum \alpha \hat{L} \hat{G}_{k-1}} \hat{H}. \]

In classical mechanics this is known as the Hori algorithm\textsuperscript{30}.

We have evaluated the efficiency of these methods for the following model systems:

- The one-dimensional oscillator with the quartic anharmonicity:
\[ \hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{p}^2 + \hat{q}^2) + \frac{\alpha}{4} \hat{q}^4. \]

The first orders of the block-diagonalized Hamiltonian are:
\[ \hat{H} = h(\hat{N} + \frac{1}{2}) + \alpha h^2 \left( \frac{3}{8} (\hat{N} + \frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{3}{32} \right) - \alpha^2 h^3 \left( \frac{17}{64} (\hat{N} + \frac{1}{2})^3 + \frac{67}{256} (\hat{N} + \frac{1}{2}) \right) + O(\alpha^3). \]

Here $\hat{N} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$. This is the typical structure of the perturbation series for nondegenerate systems.
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TABLE I. Block-diagonalization time (s).

| Order | Van Vleck | Magnus | Explicit | Van Vleck | Magnus | Explicit |
|-------|-----------|--------|----------|-----------|--------|----------|
| 4     | 0.4       | 0.1    | 0.2      | 1.0       | 0.3    | 0.4      |
| 8     | 12.0      | 3.7    | 3.0      | 70.0      | 14.0   | 9.0      |
| 16    | 685.0     | 303.0  | 150.0    | 17567.0   | 2552.0 | 1167.0   |
| 24    | 8697.0    | 5023.0 | 2194.0   | 669772.0  | 99983.0| 40203.0 |

- The Hénon-Heiles system. This is a two-dimensional system with the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{p}_1^2 + \hat{q}_1^2 + \hat{p}_2^2 + \hat{q}_2^2) + \alpha(\hat{q}_1^2 \hat{q}_2 - \frac{1}{3} \hat{q}_2^3).$$

The block-diagonalized effective Hamiltonian is:

$$\tilde{\hat{H}} = \left( h - \frac{1}{9} \alpha^2 \hbar^2 - \frac{11}{108} \alpha^4 \hbar^3 \right) + \left( h - \frac{2}{3} \alpha^2 \hbar^2 - \frac{61}{54} \alpha^4 \hbar^3 \right) \left( \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \right)$$

$$- \frac{5}{12} \alpha^2 \hbar^2 + \frac{47}{48} \alpha^4 \hbar^3 \right) \left( \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \right)$$

$$- \frac{7}{12} \alpha^2 \hbar^2 - \frac{7}{48} \alpha^4 \hbar^3 \right) \left( \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \right)$$

$$+ \left( \alpha^2 \hbar^2 - \frac{9}{4} \alpha^4 \hbar^3 \right) \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2$$

$$+ \alpha^4 \hbar^3 \left( - \frac{101}{432} \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 - \frac{161}{144} \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 - \frac{65}{16} \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2$$

$$+ \frac{175}{144} \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + 175 \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \right)$$

$$- \frac{235}{432} \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger$$

$$- \frac{161}{144} \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \frac{47}{16} \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \frac{175}{144} \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + O(\alpha^6).$$

This expression could be further diagonalized using finite canonical transformations.

All the methods build near-identity unitary block-diagonalizing transformations. Such transformations are equivalent to ordered exponentials with different conditions $P_F R \hat{F}$. As a result, all three effective block-diagonal Hamiltonians differ from each other starting from the 8th perturbation order, and are connected by block-diagonal unitary transformations.

Table I compares the computational times of block-diagonalization for both systems on an Intel Xeon X5675 (3.06 GHz) processor.

We see that the explicit algorithm is more efficient for high-orders computations than the ones commonly used. It performs better then the Van Vleck method, even if we take
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into account the Wigner $2n + 1$ theorem\textsuperscript{7}. The root cause of this efficiency is the fast computation of the ordered exponentials. We can expect that a suitably modified, classical Deprit method\textsuperscript{19} will perform even more rapidly, because its generator in the off-diagonal uniqueness condition contains fewer terms. This will be discussed in a separate article.

VI. SUMMARY

We have presented here an application of Kato resolvent expansion to quantum superoperatorial canonical perturbation theory. The canonical identity for the superresolvent allowed us to demonstrate unitary intertwining of perturbed and unperturbed averaging superprojectors. This leads to the explicit expression for the generator of the block-diagonalizing transformation in any perturbation order and systematic description of ambiguities in the generator and block-diagonalized Hamiltonian.

We have also compared the computational efficiency of the explicit expression with the efficiencies of the Van Vleck and Magnus methods.
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