Adverse Drug Reactions and associated factors among adult HIV-positive patients taking ART at the Yaoundé Central Hospital, Cameroon

Carine Nguefeu Nkenfou-Tchinda¹*, Barbara Atogho Tiedeu¹, Celine Nguefeu Nkenfou², Akindeh M. Nji¹, Aristid Ekollo Mbange¹, Jean Paul Kengne Chedjou¹, Calvino Tah Fomboh³, Charles Kouanfack⁴, Wilfred F. Mbacham¹

¹Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon.
²Systems Biology Laboratory, Chantal Biya International Reference Centre for Research on HIV and AIDS Prevention and Management, Yaoundé, Cameroon.
³The Biotechnology Center, University of Yaoundé I, Yaoundé, Cameroon.
⁴Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Dschang, Dschang, Cameroon.

ARTICLE INFO
Received on: 18/06/2020
Accepted on: 14/09/2020
Available online: 05/11/2020

Key words:
Adverse drug reactions, associated factors, ART.

ABSTRACT
Highly Active Anti-Retroviral Therapy has considerably enhanced the life span and quality of life of people living with Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)/Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS). Unfortunately, these drugs have been associated with some Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs). This study assessed the general profile and prevalence of ADRs and their associated factors at the Yaoundé Central Hospital in Cameroon. Data were obtained from patients clinical records. Statistical analyses were done using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software version 25. The medical files of 1,254 HIV/AIDS patients who initiated antiretroviral therapy (ART) were included in this study, 306 (24.40%) of whom had reported to have developed at least one ADR. The most common biological systems affected were the hematological, systemic, gastro-intestinal, dermatological and central nervous system with 37.58%, 12.75%, 12.75%, 12.75% and 10.78% respectively. Factors that were significantly associated with the development of ADRs included age (p = 0.003), CD4 cell count (p = 0.004), Cotrimoxazole prophylaxis (p = 0.029) and ART regimen (p < 0.001). Additionally we found that about 88.56% of patients who developed ADR were within their first 3 months of treatment. It is important to put in place a good reporting system for the early detection and prevention of ADRs.

BACKGROUND
Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) is a disease caused by the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV). The World Health Organization (WHO) recently reported an estimated 37.9 million people infected worldwide in 2018. Africa is seriously affected, accounting for approximately 70% of all the people infected around the world (UNAIDS/WHO, 2019). Cameroon is not excluded from this picture, with an estimated average prevalence of 3.8% among the young adult population aged between 15 and 49 years (UNAIDS/WHO/UNICEF, 2017). Up until now, no effective cure or vaccine against HIV/AIDS exists; nevertheless, it is controlled by the administration of Antiretroviral Therapy (ART).

Since its introduction, the therapy has considerably reduced the morbidity and mortality caused by HIV infection (Anlay et al., 2016). Anti-HIV drugs act by preventing viral multiplication, thereby improving the immune system’s response and decreasing the risk of transmission to sexual partners and children (Cohen et al., 2016). Unfortunately, alongside these gains, antiretrovirals, like many other administered drugs, are reported to be associated with Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs). Anti-HIV-related ADRs have been particularly found to occur in higher proportions at the beginning of ART (Tadesse et al., 2014). An ADR is any noxious, unintended, and undesired effect of a drug, which occurs at normal doses used in humans (Ejigu et al., 2018). The outcome of ADRs is observed in both adults and children (Oumar et al., 2012).
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Many studies have been carried out around the world, and the results from these studies have shown that the incidence of ADRs among patients on ART ranged between 4.3% and 90% (Kindie et al., 2017; Mehari et al., 2017; Roshni et al., 2016; Shet et al., 2014). Some factors including the age of the patient, gender, ART regimen, duration of treatment, opportunistic infection prophylaxis, WHO’s clinical stage, disease biomarkers, and body mass index were shown to be associated with the development of ADR (Bhatnagar et al., 2013; Ejigu et al., 2018; Kindie et al., 2017; Kumari et al., 2017; Lartey et al., 2014; Masenytsete et al., 2015; Raikar et al., 2018). The weakness of the immune system due to the infection and the complexity of anti-HIV drugs can also affect the occurrence of ADRs (Hawkins, 2010). Previous studies have described associations between ART use and a large spectrum of ADRs. These included lipodystrophy, abdominal pains, fatigue, nausea and vomiting, diarrhea, hepatotoxicity, hypersensitivity syndrome reactions (rashes), Central Nervous System (CNS) adverse events, and pancreas and kidney toxicities (Neuman et al., 2012; Reust, 2011; Shubber et al., 2013). The severity of ADRs ranges from mild to life-threatening and may occur following a single dose or prolonged administration of the medicine. The combination of two or more medicines may also aggravate the condition (Eluwa et al., 2012). Drug toxicities can add to the complexity of the disease’s management by affecting patient compliance with the treatment. The plausible resulting consequences could be the poor response to treatment associated with higher costs to the public health system (Fagbami et al., 2015; Hansana et al., 2013; Mehari et al., 2017; Rajesh et al., 2012). Generally, about 6% of all admissions into medical hospital wards have been shown to be due to ADRs (Ibrahim Elnagar, 2017). Some pieces of evidence have shown that, in up to one-quarter of patients, there was a modification of the initial ART regimen due to ADRs (Kindie et al., 2017; Lima et al., 2012).

It is important to note that the chosen ART regimen should not only maintain viral suppression but also ensure that this regimen is safe so that the patient complies with the therapy. Very few studies have been conducted on adverse events associated with the therapy and the predicting factors in ART programs across Cameroon. This study was hence carried out to gain knowledge on the profile of ADR associated with Anti-Retroviral (ARV) drugs and their prevalence in the Central Hospital of Yaounde. Factors associated with ADRs were also investigated, with the overall goal of improving the management of patients harboring the HIV infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and setting

A retrospective study was conducted in the outpatient ART Center (Day Care Hospital) of the Central Hospital of Yaounde, the second largest city and political capital of Cameroon. This center is one of the largest centers in the country, with approximately 200 HIV-positive individuals enrolling themselves in the program each month. The data for this study were obtained by reviewing the medical records of patients who had been enrolled in the center between 1st January 2013 and 31st December 2013.

Study population

The study population included all HIV-positive patients recruited into or enrolled in the program at the ART Center between 1 January 2013 and 31 December 2013 and who had had at least one follow-up clinical visit after commencing treatment. According to the standard procedure at the center during that period, once the HIV status was confirmed to be positive, medical information was obtained by health workers during the initial visit through a standardized interview (including detailed socioeconomic and demographic data) and entered into the patient’s cards. The patient then underwent a clinical consultation by a medical doctor to determine his/her WHO’s clinical stage with regard to the condition. After this, a set of baseline laboratory tests (hematology, biochemistry, and CD4+ cell count) was required from the patients. The test results were then analyzed by the physician and the patient was classified as eligible or not eligible for ART initiation. Once declared eligible, the patients were initiated on a combination ART consisting of two nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) (zidovudine (AZT)/lamivudine (3TC) or tenofovir (TDF)/3TC) plus a non-NRTI (NNRTI) (either Nevirapine (NVP) or Efavirenz (EFV)). All patients were generally given a 30-days supply at initiation. Thereafter, they were seen again once more and interviewed. After the first prescription pick-up, a maximum of 3 months treatment at a time was dispensed to the patient depending on his/her tolerance and compliance with the medication. Follow-up tests were done every 6 months, unless otherwise indicated. All patient data and test results were kept in their medical records.

Data collection procedure

ADRs were identified based on patient complaints and/or observations made and reported in the patient’s record by physicians during the routine clinical examination. Physicians considered that an adverse effect was associated with ART if it was absent prior to its initiation and to which other causes could not be attributed. ADRs were considered as minor if the patient continued with the same medicines or serious if he was given other medications.

Data for this study were retrieved from available patient medical records found in standardized data collection forms. Baseline demographic characteristics (age, marital status, sex, employment status, geographic site of residence, and educational level) and clinical/immunological factors (WHO’s clinical stage, ART regimen, hemoglobin level, weight, TB coinfection, cotrimoxazole prophylaxis, poor compliance history, and CD4+ cell count) were collected. The baseline was defined as taken at the time of ART initiation. The onset time of ADRs was evaluated by estimating the time between the treatment initiation date and the date of complaints reported or the date of the test results for laboratory-based ADRs.

Ethical considerations

Direct patient identifiers, like names, were not collected during this retrospective study; instead unique codes were used. All the data obtained during the course of the study were kept confidential. Ethical committee approval was obtained from the
National Ethics Committee for Research in Human Health of Cameroon (No. 2014/12/670/CE/CNERSH/SP).

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 25 to relate variables and/or compare groups in terms of variables. Descriptive and univariate analyses were carried out on quantitative data. The association between different factors and ADRs was estimated with a 95% confidence interval, using the chi-square test. A p-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Sociodemographic characteristics

A total of 2,108 patients were enrolled in the ART program between January and December 2013. Only 1996 medical files were available and screened, while 112 were missing or unavailable. Among the files reviewed, 645 were excluded which belonged to patients who never initiated the treatment and 97 belonged to patients who were transferred in (who initiated treatment elsewhere and were transferred to the Central Hospital for diverse reasons). This last category was excluded from the analysis because most of the time, the data on their past clinical/medical history were insufficient. For this study, therefore, we finally included 1,254 patients’ records. Table 1 shows the sociodemographic characteristics of the study population. The percentage of females was relatively larger than that of males, 845 (67.4%) versus 409 (32.6%). The age group of 25 and 34 years yielded the highest number of patients, with 430 (34.3%) participants. Concerning the level of education, 654 (52.2%) participants had a secondary school education. The number of people who were reported to be single was 547 (43.6%); 469 individuals (37.4%) were unemployed; and 1,106 (88.2%) reported to be living in Yaounde.

Clinical and immunological characteristics

Out of the 1,254 patients who initiated treatment, more than half (55.7%, 699) of them had a bodyweight of more than 60 kg. One-third (33.2%) of them were at WHO’s stage III at the moment of treatment initiation. Study subjects with a CD4<200 cells/μl accounted for 57.7%. The number of patients who had received cotrimoxazole prophylaxis was 463 (36.9%) and 7.5% had TB coinfection. The two predominant ART regimens initially prescribed for the patients during the data collection period were AZT/3TC/NVP (46.6%, 584), followed by TDF/3TC/EFV (40.9%, 513). Individuals classified under “Others” for the ART regimen were those who were included in a clinical trial research study that was going on at the Day Care Hospital during that period. One-fifth (21.9%) of the patients had a history of self-reported poor compliance (defined here as skipping doses or interrupting the treatment for a short or a long period of time) in their medical files (Table 2).

General profile of ADRs in the study population

The profile of ADRs in this study is shown in Table 3. A broad variety of specific ADRs was reported and they were classified into eight different biological systems or groups, namely gastrointestinal (GI), dermatological (DMT), CNS, peripheral...

Table 1. General or socio-demographic characteristics of patients at treatment initiation.

| Variables          | Category  | Number | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|-----------|--------|----------------|
| Sex                | Female    | 845    | 67.4           |
|                    | Male      | 409    | 32.6           |
| Age                | 15–24     | 90     | 7.2            |
|                    | 25–34     | 430    | 34.3           |
|                    | 35–44     | 398    | 31.7           |
|                    | ≥45       | 336    | 26.8           |
| Marital status     | Married   | 294    | 23.4           |
|                    | Cohabiting| 225    | 17.9           |
|                    | Single    | 547    | 43.6           |
|                    | Widowed   | 145    | 11.6           |
|                    | Divorced  | 43     | 3.4            |
| Employment status  | Employed  | 361    | 28.8           |
|                    | Self-employed | 424    | 33.8          |
|                    | Unemployed | 469    | 37.4           |
| Site of residence  | In Yaoundé| 1106   | 88.2           |
|                    | Out of Yaoundé | 148    | 11.8          |
| Educational level  | None      | 40     | 3.2            |
|                    | Primary   | 438    | 34.9           |
|                    | Secondary | 654    | 52.2           |
|                    | Tertiary  | 122    | 9.7            |

Table 2. Clinical and immunological characteristics of the study population.

| Variables                  | Category | Number | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------|----------|--------|----------------|
| Weight (Kg)                | <50      | 122    | 9.7            |
|                            | 50-60    | 433    | 34.5           |
|                            | >60      | 699    | 55.7           |
| WHO stage                  | I        | 407    | 32.5           |
|                            | II       | 351    | 28.0           |
|                            | III      | 416    | 33.2           |
|                            | IV       | 80     | 6.4            |
| CD4 cell count (cells/μl)  | ≤200     | 724    | 57.7           |
|                            | 201-350  | 411    | 32.8           |
|                            | >351     | 119    | 9.5            |
| TB at initiation           | Yes      | 94     | 7.5            |
|                            | No       | 1160   | 92.5           |
| Cotrimoxazole              | Yes      | 463    | 36.9           |
|                            | No       | 791    | 63.1           |
| ART regimen at initiation  | AZT/3TC/EFV | 99     | 7.9            |
|                            | AZT/3TC/NVP | 584   | 46.6           |
|                            | TDF/3TC/EFV | 513   | 40.9           |
|                            | TDF/3TC/NVP | 41    | 3.3            |
|                            | Others   | 17     | 1.4            |
| Self-reported poor compliance history | Yes  | 274    | 21.9           |
|                            | No       | 980    | 78.1           |
nervous (PNS), musculoskeletal (MSK), hematological (HMT), hepatic and renal (HR), and systemic symptoms (SS).

### Occurrence of ADRs

Among the 1,254 patients who initiated treatment at the Day Care Unit of the Yaounde Central Hospital during the study period, a total number of 306 (24.40%) individuals had at least one ART-associated ADR reported; this represented the overall prevalence. Of these, 134 (43.8%) reported at least two ADRs and three of them reported three ADRs. The most common biological systems affected by the first adverse reactions were HMT, systemic, GI, DMT, and the CNS with 37.58%, 12.75%, 12.75%, 12.75%, and 10.78%, respectively (Table 4).

### Proportion of ADRs in different systems as per ART regimen

Table 5 shows that the highest numbers of ADRs were observed with AZT/3TC/NVP (65.3%), followed by TDF/3TC/EFV (20.91%). This cross-tabulation between the ADRs in different biological systems by ART regimen showed that there is an association between the distribution of ADRs according to the initial ART regimen and system affected ($p < 0.001$).

### Onset time of ADRs

Based on clinical records, the onset of ADR for each patient was determined as the time that elapsed between ART initiation and the date of complaint or date reported on test results. Table 6 shows the onset time of ADR, in 88.56% of individuals who reported ADRs; it had occurred between 0 and 3 months of treatment.

### Association between selected variables and ADRs

Age was a statistically significant independent predictor of ADRs in our study ($p = 0.003$). Individuals older than 44 years of age were more susceptible to develop these conditions compared to the other age groups. Having a relatively low CD4 count of 200 cells/mm$^3$ or less was significantly associated with occurrences ($p = 0.004$). In the study, it was also found that cotrimoxazole prophylaxis intake and initial ART regimen were associated with the occurrence of ADR ($p = 0.029$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively).

Sex, weight, and WHO’s clinical stage were not significantly associated with the development of ADRs in our study population (Table 7).

### DISCUSSION

The development of ADRs among HIV patients undergoing ARV treatment is one of the most limiting factors that compromise compliance and adherence and is the major cause of treatment changes as shown by many studies. Moreover, ADRs are one of the reasons for loss to follow-up of ART and deaths.

| System | GI | DMT | CNS | MSK | SS | HMT | HR | PNS |
|--------|----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|
| Specific ADRs | -abdominal pains | -rash | -headache | -myalgia | -fatigue | -mild to severe anemia | -increased ALAT/ASAT | -tingling |
| -diarrhea | -pruritus | -dizziness | -arthralgia | -asthenia | | | |
| -nausea | -blue nails | -insomnia | -parathesia | -dysnoea | | | |
| -vomiting | -hyper-pigmentation | -drowsiness | | | | | |
| -anorexia | -Steven Johnson syndrome | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Table 3. General profile of specific ADRs by systems or groups.

| Number of patients with ADR by system |
|--------------------------------------|
| GI | DMT | CNS | MSK | SS | HMT | HR | PNS |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|
| 39 (12.75%) | 39 (12.75%) | 33 (10.78%) | 3 (0.98%) | 39 (12.75%) | 115 (37.58%) | 18 (5.88%) | 20 (6.53%) |

Table 4. Number of ADRs in different biological systems.

| Number of patients with ADR by system |
|--------------------------------------|
| ART regimen | Others | AZT/3TC/EFV | AZT/3TC/NVP | TDF/3TC/EFV | TDF/3TC/NVP |
|---------------------|---------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| GI | 0 | 3 | 24 | 10 | 2 |
| DMT | 0 | 3 | 27 | 17 | 2 |
| CNS | 0 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| MSK | 0 | 0 | 18 | 19 | 2 |
| SS | 0 | 16 | 98 | 0 | 0 |
| HMT | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| HR | 0 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 5 |
| PNS | 0 | 33 (10.78%) | 199 (65.03%) | 64 (20.91%) | 306 (100%) |

Table 5. Preferential distribution of the ADRs as per the ART regimen.

AZT = Zidovudine; 3TC = Lamivudine; EFV = Efavirenz; NVP = Nevirapine; TDF = Tenofovir.

$p = 0.000$. 
Table 6. Onset of ADR from ART initiation.

| Onset of ADRs | n (%) |
|---------------|-------|
| 0–3 months    | 271(88.56) |
| 4–6 months    | 23(7.52) |
| 7–12 months   | 8(2.61) |
| >12 months    | 4(1.31) |

Table 7. Logistic regression analysis of selected variables and ADR.

| Variables or categories | ADRs | p value |
|-------------------------|------|---------|
|                         | NO   | YES     |       |
| Sex                     |      |         |       |
| F                       | 643  | 202     | 0.556 |
| M                       | 305  | 104     |       |
| Age                     |      |         |       |
| 15–24                   | 74   | 16      | 0.003 |
| 25–34                   | 341  | 89      |       |
| 35–44                   | 302  | 96      |       |
| >44                     | 231  | 105     |       |
| Weight                  |      |         |       |
| <50                     | 96   | 26      | 0.604 |
| 50–60                   | 322  | 111     |       |
| >60                     | 530  | 169     |       |
| WHO stage               |      |         |       |
| I                       | 316  | 91      | 0.712 |
| II                      | 262  | 89      |       |
| III                     | 310  | 106     |       |
| IV                      | 60   | 20      |       |
| CD4 count at initiation |      |         |       |
| ≤200                    | 528  | 196     | 0.004 |
| 201–350                 | 317  | 94      |       |
| >350                    | 103  | 16      |       |
| Cotrimoxazole prophylaxis |     |         |       |
| Yes                     | 334  | 129     | 0.029 |
| No                      | 614  | 177     |       |
| Initial ART regimen     |      |         |       |
| Others                  | 16   | 1       | 0.000 |
| AZT/3TC/EFV             | 66   | 33      |       |
| AZT/3TC/NVP             | 385  | 199     |       |
| TDF/3TC/EFV             | 449  | 64      |       |
| TDF/3TC/NVP             | 32   | 9       |       |

A total of 1,254 HIV/AIDS patients who initiated ART were included in this study, and among them, 306 had developed at least one ADR, resulting in an overall prevalence of 24.5%. Among the 306 individuals, 134 (43.8%) reported two ADRs and three reported three ADRs. The prevalence reported in this study was lower than that obtained in many African and non-African countries. Several studies in India have reported a very high prevalence of 75.65% (Bhatnagar et al., 2013), 81.42% (Kumari et al., 2017), and 90% (Shet et al., 2014). Such high values were equally found in Iranian and Ethiopian populations with 87.6% and 89.8%, respectively (Khallili et al., 2009; Tadesse et al., 2014). Some research groups in Ghana, Nigeria, and Ethiopia reported values of 9.4%, 4.6%, 6.4%, and 4.3%, respectively, which were considerably lower than what was found in the present study population (Eluwa et al., 2012; Kindie et al., 2017; Lartey et al., 2014; Lorio et al., 2014). Some studies carried out in India and Brazil reported a prevalence very close to what was found in this study, with 21% and 20.2%, respectively (Roshni et al., 2016; Santini-Oliveira et al., 2014). A Cameroonian study carried out at the Douala Reference Hospital found the prevalence of ADR to be 19.5% (Luma et al., 2012), which was also very close to what we found at the Yaounde Central Hospital. There is a possibility that not all patients' complaints were reported as adverse reactions, thus leading to an underestimation of their real prevalence in the study population.

From the study population, it was observed that the HMT system was most frequently affected, with a prevalence of 37.58% recorded from 115 cases of ADRs that consisted mainly of mild-to-severe anemia. These results were in line with many other studies which also found that anemia was the most frequently reported ADR (Bhatnagar et al., 2013; Lartey et al., 2014; Malalur et al., 2016; Roshni et al., 2016). On the other hand, these results were in contrast with other studies that reported GI disorders as the most frequent ADRs (Khallili et al., 2009; Kumari et al., 2017; Raikar et al., 2018). Yet, other studies regarding the most common side effects reported pains (Eluwa et al., 2012), peripheral neuropathy (Luma et al., 2012), and CN system ADRs (Lorio et al., 2014). It is important to implement intense laboratory monitoring to quickly diagnose drug toxicity, in addition to the clinical diagnosis.

The vast majority of adverse reactions occurred during the first 6 months of treatment; these findings are consistent with some previous studies (Eluwa et al., 2012; Ibrahim Elnagar, 2017; Kindie et al., 2017). An explanation was proffered that early advent of ADRs is host-dependent. It is important to closely monitor patients within this time frame to prevent and avoid failure in compliance. This goes with the need to improve documentation of ADR occurrences as well (Duval et al., 2004).

There was an association between the initial ART regimen and the occurrence of ADRs in general and according to the distribution in different systems (p < 0.001). These results are in line with those reported in other studies (Eluwa et al., 2012; Luma et al., 2012; Maseneytse et al., 2015; Shet et al., 2014). EFV was mainly associated with the CNS ADRs, AZT with anemia, NVP with DMT problems and liver toxicity, and TDF with renal toxicity. Similar associations were found elsewhere (Ejigu et al., 2018; Kumari et al., 2017).

In this study, HIV-positive patients older than 45 years of age were more at risk of these reactions than patients in the other age groups. These findings are in line with studies carried out in India (Bhatnagar et al., 2013; Kumari et al., 2017; Maseneytse et al., 2015) where the patient’s age was significantly associated with the developed ADRs. They were, however, in contradiction with the results published in Nigeria and India (Eluwa et al., 2012; Malalur et al., 2016; Shet et al., 2014).

CD4+ T-cell count was the other important factor. Patients with CD4 below 200 cells at the beginning of the treatment were more likely to develop ADRs than patients with cell counts higher than that. This result is in agreement with others studies in India (Bhatnagar et al., 2013; Kumari et al., 2017; Shet et al., 2014), suggesting that the initiation of treatment at low CD4
cell counts could have a negative impact on treatment outcomes. On the contrary, it was found in Ethiopia and Nigeria that CD4 cell count was not associated with the development of ADRs (Ejigu et al., 2017; Kindie et al., 2017).

Cotrimoxazole, which is used in the prevention of opportunistic infections, was found to impact on the development of ADRs. Patients who did not receive it were more susceptible to developing ADRs compared to those who received it. This is in agreement with a study carried out in Ethiopia (Kindie et al., 2017), which revealed that this prophylaxis helps in preventing adverse reactions. The explanation can be that prophylactic therapy successfully lessens the incidence of ADRs and ameliorates the quality of life for people infected. Nevertheless, a study carried out in India revealed that this treatment was not associated with ADRs (She et al., 2014).

Sex, weight, and WHO’s stage were not statistically associated with the development of ADRs in this study. These results are in line with those reported by Eluwa et al. (2012), Malalur et al. (2016), and She et al. (2014), but contradict those conducted in Ethiopia and Ghana (Ejigu et al., 2018; Larrey et al., 2014). Some studies also showed that female HIV patients were more at risk of developing nevirapine-related skin rash and hepatotoxicity (Bersoff-Matcha et al., 2000). Another study showed that 90% of HIV patients with ART-related ADR were females (Pitt et al., 2009). However, regarding the WHO’s clinical stage, it was found in Ethiopia that patients in the advanced stage were more susceptible to develop ADRs (Kindie et al., 2017). This contrariness can be due to possible differences in the study settings, regimen combination, and time gap during which the studies were conducted.

Despite the results obtained, the main limitation of this study was its retrospective nature that may have led to the underestimation of the actual prevalence of ADRs in the facility. Also, some other important predictors that might be associated with study outcome (ADR) are not included.

CONCLUSION

The spectrum of adverse events in this study was wide and varied, with an overall prevalence of 24.40%. Important information on the prevalence of antiretroviral ADRs and their associated factors has been given for treatment guideline review, pharmaceutical planning, and decision-making. It is important to implement a pharmacovigilance system, which assesses and monitors the safety profiles and the impact of antiretroviral medicines in Cameroon. More research is needed to be carried out to help in developing algorithms for the prediction of adverse effects of the existing regimens. The management of adverse reactions may be done by dose adjustment and the choice of an appropriate regimen. These are key strategies to improve compliance for patients starting ART and to subsequently reduce the patient suffering and improve their quality of life.
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