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Background: Partial-thickness rotator cuff tears (PTRCTs) often progress to full-thickness rotator cuff tears (FTRCTs). Thus, it is important to analyze the risk factors for tear progression to determine the proper timing of repair.

Purpose: To identify the risk factors associated with progression of PTRCT.

Study Design: Case-control study; Level of evidence, 3.

Methods: Included were 89 patients diagnosed with PTRCT on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans who underwent non-operative treatment at the authors’ institution between August 2012 and August 2019. Patient characteristics, shoulder stiffness (compared with the contralateral shoulder; work level [classified as high [heavy manual labor], medium [manual labor with less activity], and low [sedentary activity]]; and radiological factors including initial tear size, acromion type (flat, curved, hooked, or heel-shaped), and initial tear involvement (as a percentage of the rotator cuff tendon footprint length) were analyzed to assess their association with tear progression, defined as >20% increase in tear involvement.

Results: The mean MRI follow-up period was 22.3 ± 17.2 months (median, 16.1 months; range, 6.4-89.5 months), and tear progression was observed in 12 patients (13.5%). In these 12 patients, tear involvement increased by 60% of the rotator cuff footprint, while mediolateral (ML) and anteroposterior (AP) tear sizes progressed by 1.1 and 1.8 mm, respectively. Univariate regression analysis showed that shoulder stiffness (P = .031), work level (P = .001), initial tear involvement (P < .001), ML and AP tear sizes (P < .001 and P = .005, respectively), and acromion type (P = .003) were significantly associated with tear progression. Multivariate regression analysis showed that initial tear involvement (odds ratio [OR], 1.053; 95% CI, 1.006-1.102; P = .026) and high work level (OR, 15.831; 95% CI, 1.150-217.856; P = .039) were independent risk factors for tear progression. The cutoff value for initial tear involvement was 47.5% (sensitivity, 81.8%; specificity, 85.7%).

Conclusion: Tear progression was observed in 14% of patients with PTRCT in this study. To predict tear progression, evaluating the tear involvement during initial MRI is essential. The risk of tear progression increased with initial tear involvement >47.5% and a heavy work level.
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Partial-thickness rotator cuff tear (PTRCT) is as common as full-thickness rotator cuff tear (FTRCT) and is often associated with more pain than FTRCT, leading to functional limitations. However, compared with FTRCT, the footprint of the supraspinatus muscle is partially preserved in PTRCT; thus, the retraction of the torn tendon is relatively less severe. Moreover, several previous studies have reported satisfactory outcomes with nonoperative treatment. On the other hand, there is an increased likelihood of progression to FTRCT in high-grade PTRCT, and the torn rotator cuff tendon does not spontaneously heal.

Clinicians may consider the risk of tear progression as an important factor while choosing between nonoperative and operative treatment for patients with PTRCT. Compared with FTRCT, PTRCT is known to have a relatively low risk of tear progression. However, only a limited number of studies have analyzed the tear progression rate, which yielded various progression rates varying from 15% to 80%. Thus, there is no clear consensus on progression rate of PTRCT. In addition, while a number of studies have analyzed the risk factors of tear progression in
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For PTRCT, there is a lack of studies involving PTRCT. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the risk factors associated with tear progression of PTRCT.

METHODS

This was a retrospective study to identify risk factors of tear progression in PTRCT. Informed consent was obtained from all patients at the time of follow-up MRI, and final approval was obtained from our institutional review board. Eligible patients were those diagnosed with PTRCT of the supraspinatus tendon using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) between August 2012 and August 2019.

Initial nonoperative treatment included physical therapy, medications (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), and standard rehabilitation. When symptoms did not improve after the initial treatment, steroids were injected once into the patients by a single senior author (S.H.K.). If symptoms such as pain and limited range of motion (ROM) persisted, then patients were advised to refrain from lifting heavy objects over the shoulder or playing sports activities. If the symptoms did not improve and the PTRCT depth was >50% after at least 3 months of nonoperative treatment, surgical treatment was recommended. However, patients who refused surgical treatment continued to receive nonoperative treatment. All patients diagnosed with PTRCT, except those who underwent surgical treatment, were recommended for follow-up MRI at 6 months after nonoperative treatment to assess tear progression.

Included in this study were patients with symptomatic PTRCT of the supraspinatus confirmed via MRI scans and who agreed to undergo follow-up MRI. We excluded patients who (1) underwent surgical treatment within the follow-up period, (2) previously underwent shoulder surgery on the ipsilateral side, (3) had arthritis of the glenohumeral joint (eg, osteoarthritis or postinfectious sequelae), and (4) had a full-thickness subscapularis tendon tear graded as Lafosse type ≥2.

During the study period, 118 patients underwent nonoperative treatment for a PTRCT of the supraspinatus tendon. Of these patients, 29 did not meet the inclusion criteria, leaving a total of 89 patients enrolled in the study. Figure 1 provides a summary of the patient enrollment process.

Patient Characteristics and Clinical Evaluation

At the first outpatient visit, characteristics of patients including age, sex, affected side (right or left), body mass index (BMI), symptom duration, comorbidities (eg, diabetes mellitus [DM] and hypercholesterolemia), history of trauma before symptom onset, smoking and alcohol status, and patient’s physical work level were collected. Symptom duration was defined as the time from the onset of pain to the first outpatient visit. Patients with DM were classified into 3 groups according to their serum glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels: patients with controlled DM with HbA1c <7.0%, patients with uncontrolled DM with HbA1c ≥7.0%, and patients without DM. Although no study has shown an association between hypercholesterolemia and RCT progression, hypercholesterolemia is known to affect the development of RCT and its integrity after repair. Therefore, hypercholesterolemia was assessed.

Smoking status was classified into nonsmokers, current smokers, and former smokers. Alcohol status was classified into 3 groups according to the frequency of drinking: nondrinkers (less than once a month), light drinkers (more

---

Figure 1. Flow diagram of patient enrollment. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; OA, osteoarthritis; PTRCT, partial-thickness rotator cuff tear.
Radiologic and MRI Evaluations

All radiologic parameters were measured independently by 2 orthopaedic surgeons in a blinded setting (M.S.K. and Y.D.J.). Interobserver reliability was calculated, and to evaluate intraobserver reliability, one of the observers (M.S.K.) repeated all measurements within 3 months of the original evaluation. Radiographs (true anteroposterior [AP] view and outlet view) were taken at the first outpatient visit to measure critical shoulder angle (CSA),

| Parameter | Description | Measurement |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| CSA       | Lateral acromial angle (LAa), acromial slope (AS) | |
| AHI       | Acromiohumeral interval (AHI) | |

The inter- and intraobserver reliability of the radiologic parameters were determined using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) with a 2-way random-effects model and the Cohen kappa (κ). The ICC values were interpreted as poor (<0.1), slight (0.1 to 0.2), fair (0.2 to 0.4), moderate (0.4 to 0.6), good (0.6 to 0.8), or excellent (0.8 to 1.0). The κ values were interpreted as poor (<0.2), fair (0.2 to 0.4), moderate (0.4 to 0.6), good (0.6 to 0.8), excellent (0.8 to 1.0), or perfect (1.0).

An a priori analysis performed using G*Power (Version 3.1.9.7) indicated that the required sample size was 11 patients in each group; thus, the sample size in this study was sufficient to have a power >0.80.

Statistical Analyses

The Mann-Whitney U test, Wilcoxon signed rank test, and chi-square or Fisher exact test were used to compare descriptive variables and radiologic parameters between groups. Any significant predictive values in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis to identify independent risk factors for PTRCT progression. To calculate the optimal cutoff value for predicting tear progression, we used receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves; the value with the highest sensitivity and specificity was set as the cutoff value. Significance was set at P < .05, with associated 95% CIs. The SPSS software package (Version 21.0; IBM Corp) was used for all statistical analyses.

The inter- and intraobserver reliability of the radiologic parameters were determined using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) with a 2-way random-effects model and the Cohen kappa (κ). The ICC values were interpreted as poor (<0.1), slight (0.1 to 0.2), fair (0.2 to 0.4), moderate (0.4 to 0.6), good (0.6 to 0.8), or excellent (0.8 to 1.0). The κ values were interpreted as poor (<0.2), fair (0.2 to 0.4), moderate (0.4 to 0.6), good (0.6 to 0.8), excellent (0.8 to 1.0), or perfect (1.0).

An a priori analysis performed using G*Power (Version 3.1.9.7) indicated that the required sample size was 11 patients in each group; thus, the sample size in this study was sufficient to have a power >0.80.

Figure 2. Coronal and sagittal T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans from a 54-year-old man with a high work level. (A) A bursal-side partial-thickness rotator cuff tear was observed on the initial visit; tear involvement was calculated as the percentage of the mediolateral tear size (red line) to the length of the rotator cuff tendon footprint (white line). The initial tear involvement of the supraspinatus muscle was 60.8%. (B) On follow-up MRI scan 13 months later, a larger rotator cuff tear was observed (red line), indicating tear progression.

Figure 2. Coronal and sagittal T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans from a 54-year-old man with a high work level. (A) A bursal-side partial-thickness rotator cuff tear was observed on the initial visit; tear involvement was calculated as the percentage of the mediolateral tear size (red line) to the length of the rotator cuff tendon footprint (white line). The initial tear involvement of the supraspinatus muscle was 60.8%. (B) On follow-up MRI scan 13 months later, a larger rotator cuff tear was observed (red line), indicating tear progression.

than once a month but less than twice a week), and heavy drinkers (more than twice a week). Physical work level was divided into high (heavy manual labor), medium (manual labor with less activity), and low (sedentary work activity). Clinical assessment included pain level and stiffness. Pain level at the first outpatient visit was compared with that during follow-up MRI and was classified as “improved,” “no change,” and “aggravated.” Stiffness was defined as ≥0.4 in tear involvement was considered as no change, and a decrease ≥20% was defined as improvement. Articular- and bursal-side PTRCTs were defined as focal defects or discontinuities in the rotator cuff tendon in the articular and bursal sides, respectively. Accompanied subscapularis tendon tears were analyzed for Lafosse.
Overall Patient Characteristics and Radiologic Parameters (N = 89 Patients)

| Variable                      | Value         |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Age, y                        | 55.9 ± 9.6    |
| Sex, male/female              | 26/63         |
| Side, right/left              | 66/23         |
| Symptom duration, mo          | 25.7 ± 33.5   |
| BMI                           | 23.7 ± 3.0    |
| Comorbidities                 |               |
| DM, controlled/uncontrolled   | 6/3           |
| Hypercholesterolemia          | 8             |
| Smoking status, none/current/former | 75/10/4       |
| Alcohol use, none/light/heavy | 72/14/3       |
| Trauma history                | 17            |
| Stiffness                     | 21            |
| Work level, low/medium/high   | 28/48/13      |
| Time to follow-up MRI, mo     | 22.3 ± 17.2   |
| Articular/bursal tear         | 60/29         |
| Subscapularis tear, intact/partial | 70/19       |
| Acromion type, flat/curved/hooked/heel | 12/66/8/3 |
| Radiologic parameters         |               |
| CSA, deg                      | 33.5 ± 3.5    |
| LAA, deg                      | 75.1 ± 11.5   |
| AS, deg                       | 28.0 ± 8.9    |
| AHI, mm                       | 8.9 ± 1.5     |

RESULTS

The characteristics and radiologic parameters of the 89 enrolled patients are summarized in Table 1. Pain was improved in 52 patients (58.4%), unchanged in 33 patients (37.1%), and aggravated in 4 patients (4.5%) at the time of the follow-up MRI compared with the initial outpatient visit. The mean MRI follow-up duration was 22.3 ± 17.2 months (median, 16.1 months; range, 6.4-89.5 months).

The tear involvement on follow-up MRI scans was 38.4% ± 48.5% (range, 3.0%-281.0%) of the length of the rotator cuff tendon footprint, which was significantly higher than that on initial MRI scans (28.2% ± 28.1% [range, 3.0%-99.0%]; P < .001). The footprint length, which was measured on the initial and follow-up MRI scans, was not significantly different (P = .862). A >20% progression of tear involvement was observed in 12 patients (13.5%). On the other hand, a ≤20% increase or decrease was observed in 73 patients (82.0%). Tear involvement was improved by >20% in 4 patients (4.5%), including 3 patients and 1 patient with tears on the articular and bursal sides, respectively. The ML tear size was 4.6 ± 5.0 mm on the follow-up MRI scans, which was significantly different from the size of 3.9 ± 4.4 mm noted on the initial MRI scans (P = .017). The AP tear sizes were 5.1 ± 4.1 and 5.5 ± 4.8 mm on the initial MRI and follow-up MRI scans, respectively; there was no significant difference in AP tear size (P = .413). For fatty infiltration, there were no significant differences in the supraspinatus, subscapularis, and teres minor muscles between the initial and follow-up MRI scans. However, fatty infiltration of the infraspinatus muscle was 0.9 ± 0.3 on the follow-up MRI scans, which was significantly different from 0.8 ± 0.4 on the initial MRI scans (P = .002) (Table 2).

The interobserver ICCs were excellent: 0.96 for initial tear involvement, 0.95 for the initial ML tear size, 0.91 for the initial AP tear size, 0.90 for CSA, 0.96 for LAA, 0.92 for AS, and 0.87 for AHI. The k values for fatty infiltration in the rotator cuff muscle between the independent observers were also good: 0.73 for the subscapularis, 0.70 for the supraspinatus, 0.74 for the infraspinatus, and 0.71 for the teres minor. The intraobserver ICCs were excellent: 0.96 for initial tear involvement, 0.97 for the initial ML tear size, 0.87 for the initial AP tear size, 0.90 for CSA, 0.96 for LAA, 0.97 for AS, and 0.86 for AHI. The intraobserver k values for fatty infiltration were also good to excellent: 0.82 for the subscapularis, 0.79 for the supraspinatus, 0.74 for the infraspinatus, and 0.82 for the teres minor.

Risk Factors for Tear Progression

Univariate analysis showed that physical work level (P = .001) and stiffness (P = .031) were associated with PTRCT progression (Table 3). Among radiologic factors, initial tear involvement (P < .001), ML (P < .001) and AP (P = .005) tear sizes, and acromion type (P = .003) were associated with tear progression (Table 4).

In multivariate logistic regression analysis, initial tear involvement (odds ratio [OR], 1.053; 95% CI, 1.006-1.102; P = .026) and high physical work level (OR, 15.831; 95% CI, 1.150-217.856; P = .039) were significant independent predictors of tear progression (Figure 2 and Table 5).

The ROC curve was used to evaluate the optimal cutoff value for initial tear involvement, while the area under the curve was 0.883. The cutoff value for initial tear involvement with the highest sensitivity and specificity was 47.5% (sensitivity, 81.8%; specificity, 85.7%).

We performed a post hoc power analysis using the value of initial tear involvement, which showed significant association with tear progression. The effect size was 1.870.
### Table 3
Comparison of Patient Factors According to Tear Progression at Follow-up

| Univariate Analysis          | Tear Progression, n = 12 | No Tear Progression, n = 77 | P  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| Age, y                      | 55.7 ± 9.7               | 56.8 ± 8.6                  | .709|
| Sex, male/female            | 3/9                      | 23/54                       | .730|
| Side, right/left            | 7/5                      | 59/18                       | .178|
| Symptom duration, mo        | 25.3 ± 35.1              | 25.8 ± 33.5                 | .965|
| BMI                         | 25.9 ± 2.2               | 23.4 ± 2.9                  | .081|
| Comorbidities               |                          |                             |    |
| DM, controlled/uncontrolled | 1/1                      | 5/2                         | .323|
| Hypercholesterolemia        | 0                        | 8                           | >.999|
| Smoking status, none/current/former | 2/9/1                | 8/66/3                      | .387|
| Alcohol use, none/light/heavy | 9/3/0                  | 63/11/3                     | .616|
| Trauma history              | 3                        | 14                          | .624|
| Stiffness, n (%)            | 6 (50)                   | 15 (19)                     | .031|
| Work level, low/medium/high, n (%) | 5 (42)/1/8/6 (50)     | 23 (30)/47 (61)/7 (9)       | .001|
| Time to follow-up MRI, mo   | 20.3 ± 11.4              | 22.6 ± 17.8                 | .670|
| Shoulder pain status        |                          |                             | .906|
| Improved                    | 1                        | 4                           |    |
| Similar                     | 4                        | 29                          |    |
| Aggravated                  | 7                        | 41                          |    |

*Data are reported as No. or mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. Boldface P values indicate a statistically significant difference between groups (P < .05). BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

### Table 4
Comparison of Radiologic Factors According to Tear Progression

| Univariate Analysis                        | Progression, n = 12 | No, n = 77 | P  |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|----|
| Initial tear involvement, %                | 67.2 ± 28.3         | 22.5 ± 23.2| <.001|
| Initial tear size, mm                      |                     |            |    |
| ML                                         | 9.8 ± 6.8           | 3.0 ± 3.1  | <.001|
| AP                                         | 9.1 ± 5.2           | 4.2 ± 3.4  | <.005|
| Follow-up tear involvement, %              | 126.0 ± 70.0        | 24.5 ± 23.5| <.001|
| Follow-up tear size, mm                    |                     |            |    |
| ML                                         | 10.9 ± 5.9          | 3.7 ± 4.0  | <.001|
| AP                                         | 10.9 ± 3.9          | 4.2 ± 3.4  | <.001|
| Radiologic parameters                      |                     |            |    |
| CSA, deg                                   | 35.1 ± 3.6          | 33.3 ± 3.5 | .107|
| LAA, deg                                   | 79.4 ± 11.2         | 74.4 ± 11.5| .166|
| AS, deg                                    | 33.2 ± 10.1         | 27.2 ± 8.5 | .070|
| AHI, mm                                    | 9.1 ± 2.2           | 8.9 ± 1.4  | .563|
| Acromion type, n (%)                       | Flat                | 5 (41.7)   | 7 (9.1) |
| Curved                                     | 4 (33.3)            | 62 (80.5)  |    |
| Hooked                                     | 1 (8.3)             | 7 (9.1)    |    |
| Heel                                       | 2 (16.7)            | 1 (1.3)    |    |
| Articular/bursal tear                      | 11/1                | 49/28      | .094|
| Subscapularis, intact/partial              | 11/1                | 59/18      | .237|
| Initial fatty infiltration<sup>b</sup>     |                     |            |    |
| Subscapularis                              | 0.9 ± 0.8           | 0.9 ± 0.4  | .946|
| Supraspinatus                              | 0.8 ± 0.6           | 0.9 ± 0.3  | .779|
| Infraspinatus                              | 0.8 ± 0.5           | 0.8 ± 0.4  | .483|
| Teres minor                                | 0.9 ± 0.3           | 0.7 ± 0.5  | .171|
| Follow-up fatty infiltration<sup>b</sup>   |                     |            |    |
| Subscapularis                              | 1.0 ± 0.7           | 0.9 ± 0.4  | .665|
| Supraspinatus                              | 0.8 ± 0.6           | 0.9 ± 0.4  | .665|
| Infraspinatus                              | 0.9 ± 0.3           | 0.9 ± 0.4  | .976|
| Teres minor                                | 0.9 ± 0.3           | 0.8 ± 0.5  | .267|

<sup>a</sup>Data are reported as No. or mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. Boldface P values indicate a statistically significant difference between groups (P < .05). BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

<sup>b</sup>Goutallier classification, modified by Fuchs et al.®
DISCUSSION

In the current study, tear progression was observed in 13.5% of patients with PTRCT after a mean follow-up period of 22.3 ± 17.2 months (median, 16.1 months; range, 6.4-89.5 months). Overall, in all patients with PTRCT involved in this study, the initial tear involvement progressed by approximately 10%. In patients with tear progression, the initial tear involvement, ML tear size, and AP tear size increased by approximately 60%, 1.1 mm, and 1.8 mm, respectively. Independent risk factors that could predict the progression of PTRCT were the initial tear involvement and high work level. PTRCT is observed in 5% to 30% of patients with shoulder pain and is a common disease with an incidence similar to that of FTRCT. However, there are a limited number of studies on the natural history of PTRCT, especially on disease progression. In 1994, Yamanaka and Matsumoto reported that tears progressed in 80% of patients with PTRCT over a mean follow-up period of 1.1 years. Keener et al analyzed tear progression of PTRCT via ultrasonography over a mean follow-up period of 2.8 years and observed tear progression in 44% of patients. However, Yamanaka and Matsumoto only analyzed patients with articular-side PTRCT. Moreover, both studies reported by Keener et al and Yamanaka and Matsumoto only analyzed patients with high-grade PTRCT during a mean follow-up period of 1 year, reporting a relatively lower progression rate compared with previous studies. Furthermore, in a study by Maman et al, tear size progressed by >5 mm in only 2 (8%) of 26 patients with PTRCT over a mean follow-up period of 20 months, suggesting a low tear progression rate despite the small sample size. Tear progression was observed in approximately 14% of the patients, which was similar to that reported by Kong et al and was lower than that reported in other previous studies. During the follow-up period, the ML tear size progressed significantly; however, the mean difference was 0.7 mm, which may not have clinical significance.

Kim et al followed up patients with PTRCT for approximately 2 years and observed that fatty infiltration in the supraspinatus muscle did not change in 90% of the patients and that degeneration was aggravated in only 10% of patients. However, in this study, fatty infiltration in the supraspinatus muscle was classified only into the following: improved, no changes, and aggravated. Thus, the severity of fatty infiltration could not be properly assessed. In another study by Keener et al, the fatty infiltration of the supraspinatus and infraspinatus muscles was more aggravated in patients with tear progression than in those without tear progression. Moreover, patients with PTRCT or FTRCT were included in their study; thus, changes in fatty infiltration of rotator cuff muscles according to tear progression in PTRCT were not reported. We observed that after a mean follow-up period of 22.3 ± 17.2 months (median, 16.1 months; range, 6.4-89.5 months), only the fatty degeneration of the infraspinatus muscle worsened; however, the mean difference was 0.1, which may not have clinical significance. There were no differences in fatty infiltration between the initial and follow-up MRI scans in both patient groups with and without tear progression. This finding may be attributed to the patient group, as only patients with PTRCT were analyzed.

A torn rotator cuff is known to not spontaneously heal over time in patients with PTRCT. However, in the natural history of PTRCT, tear size may decrease in 4% to 25% of patients, although this rate is not high. Such a decrease in tear size may be related to the formation of scar or fibrotic tissues in the tear defects or healing of the torn rotator cuff. However, the detailed mechanisms are unknown. Additionally, factors that may reduce tear size have not been reported. Consistent with the findings of previous studies, we observed that tear size was significantly reduced in a small proportion of patients with PTRCT (approximately 5%). However, since the tear size was decreased in a small number of patients, factors that affect tear size reduction could not be analyzed; this must be investigated in further studies.

Lo et al reported that tear progression was observed in 55% of patients with PTRCT with a tear thickness >50%, suggesting that this may predict tear progression. Furthermore, the dominant side and trauma history were also independent risk factors for PTRCT progression. Although, Yamamoto et al did not evaluate patients with PTRCT, they reported that the dominant arm was a risk factor for RCT, which was similar to what was reported by Lo et al. Kong et al had reported that the initial tendinosis grade was the only factor that was significantly associated with tear progression in patients with high-grade PTRCT. However, no other studies have reported risk factors associated with the progression of PTRCT. Although we did not analyze the dominant side and tendinosis, analysis of descriptive and radiologic variables showed that the initial tear involvement and a high work level were independent risk factors for tear progression.

### TABLE 5

Independent Risk Factors for PTRCT Progression

| Risk Factor                  | OR (95% CI)   | P     |
|------------------------------|---------------|-------|
| Multivariate Analysis        |               |       |
| Stiffness                    | 0.610 (0.101-3.676) | .590  |
| Work level (reference: low)  |               |       |
| Medium                       | —             | .996  |
| High                         | 15.831 (1.150-217.856) | .039  |
| Initial tear involvement     | 1.053 (1.006-1.102) | .026  |
| Initial AP tear size         | 1.015 (0.754-1.367) | .920  |
| Acromion type (reference: flat) |           |       |
| Curved                       | —             | .996  |
| Hooked                       | —             | .997  |
| Heel                         | 20.054 (0.611-658.537) | .092  |

Boldface P values indicate statistical significance (P < .05). AP, anteroposterior; OR, odds ratio; PTRCT, partial-thickness rotator cuff tear. Dashes indicate not applicable.

*Revealed by multinomial logistic regression.*

(95% CI, 1.197-2.534), and at an alpha of .05, the power of this study was 0.961.

### TABLE 4

Independent Risk Factors for PTRCT Progression

| Risk Factor                  | OR (95% CI)   | P |
|------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Acromion type (reference: flat) |               |   |
| Curved                       | —             | .996 |
| Hooked                       | —             | .997 |
| Heel                         | 20.054 (0.611-658.537) | .092 |

**Boldface P values indicate statistical significance (P < .05).**
factors for PTRCT progression. Additionally, we calculated the cutoff value of the initial tear involvement with the highest sensitivity and specificity using the ROC curve, and the optimal cutoff value was 47.5%. The findings of Lo et al suggest that the increased use of the dominant side may increase the probability of tear progression. In our study, a high work level indicated heavy manual labor with abundant physical activity. Therefore, these findings suggest that repetitive physical work may increase the likelihood of tear progression.

Limitations

This study has some limitations. First, as this study was retrospective in design, there might be an inherent bias in determining which patients had follow-up MRI. Second, since the average follow-up period (approximately 22 months) was not long enough, long-term data were insufficient. In addition, there was a wide range of follow-up MRI, which may confound observations of tear progression. Third, as tear size was reduced only in a small number of patients, factors related to PTRCT improvement could not be analyzed thoroughly. Finally, previous studies reported no differences in functional scores between patients with and without tear progression. In our study, functional scores according to tear progression, other than changes in pain, were not compared. Despite these limitations, our study is significant in the field because it is the first to identify the risk factors of PTRCT and to analyze the predictive cutoff value for the initial tear involvement.

CONCLUSION

Tear progression was observed in 14% of patients with PTRCT over a 2-year follow-up period. To predict tear progression, it is important to evaluate the tear involvement during the initial MRI. Moreover, the risk of tear progression increases with tear involvement >47.5% and a heavy physical work level.
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