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Abstract

Background: Second generation RNA sequencing technology (RNA-seq) offers the potential to interrogate genome-wide differential RNA splicing in cancer. However, since short RNA reads spanning spliced junctions cannot be mapped contiguously onto the chromosomes, there is a need for methods to profile splicing from RNA-seq data. Before the invention of RNA-seq technologies, microarrays containing probe sequences representing exon-exon junctions of known genes had been used to hybridize cellular RNAs for measuring context-specific differential splicing. Here, we extend this approach to detect tumor-specific splicing in prostate cancer from a RNA-seq dataset.

Method: A database, SPEventH, representing probe sequences of under a million non-redundant splice events in human is created with exon-exon junctions of optimized length for use as virtual microarray. SPEventH is used to map tens of millions of reads from matched tumor-normal samples from ten individuals with prostate cancer. Differential counts of reads mapped to each event from tumor and matched normal is used to identify statistically significant tumor-specific splice events in prostate.

Results: We find sixty-one (61) splice events that are differentially expressed with a p-value of less than 0.0001 and a fold change of greater than 1.5 in prostate tumor compared to the respective matched normal samples. Interestingly, the only evidence, EST (BF372485), in the public database for one of the tumor-specific splice event joining one of the introns in KLK3 gene to an intron in KLK2, is also derived from prostate tumor-tissue. Also, the 765 events with a p-value of less than 0.001 is shown to cluster all twenty samples in a context-specific fashion with few exceptions stemming from low coverage of samples.

Conclusions: We demonstrate that virtual microarray experiments using a non-redundant database of splice events in human is both efficient and sensitive way to profile genome-wide splicing in biological samples and to detect tumor-specific splicing signatures in datasets from RNA-seq technologies. The signature from the large number of splice events that could cluster tumor and matched-normal samples into two tight separate clusters, suggests that differential splicing is yet another RNA phenotype, alongside gene expression and SNPs, that can be exploited for tumor stratification.

Background

Until recently, the extent of RNA diversity resulting from alternative splicing had been consistently underestimated. In the early 90s, researchers projected that only 5% of the human genes were alternatively spliced based on PCR methods, which was revised upward to 35% by the end of the decade using mining EST database [1,2]. The estimate rose to 74% in 2003 based on exon-exon junction microarrays [3] and then all the way to 94% in 2008 by the use of second generation RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) [4]. What was once the exception has now become the norm [5], a fact that may be especially significant given that the human genome contains only a few more genes than C. elegan. As highlighted by the ENCODE project [6], RNA splicing is complicated and has called into question the very definition of the gene as a unit of heredity. Since the majority of human genes contain multiple exons and express at least two splice...
products, gene expression cannot be fully meaningful without considering alternative splicing as well.

It is known that alternatively spliced transcripts of a given gene can code for protein variants with varied biological functions or cellular localizations. Human splicosome is a complex dance between trans-acting and cis-acting signatures. Regulation, disruption and mutations in any one of these elements has the potential to provide tumor cells with selective advantage during cancer evolution. Numerous gene-by-gene studies have linked splicing to cancer. Genome-wide profiling of splicing in cancer using microarray technologies has revealed that differential splicing may play a key role in cancer progression and metastasis. A comprehensive review of systematic profiling of splicing in various cancer types using genome-wide microarray technologies suggest that differential exon inclusion or skip events may drive cancer and can be used as biomarkers in cancer [7].

The exposure of the entire RNA content within samples by RNA-seq technologies, including novel splice isoforms, has encouraged the development of methods for de novo identification of splice events expressed in samples [8-10]. The use of these de novo methods has been attractive, because a large number of splice events are believed to be yet unidentified. More recently, these methods are used to discover disease-specific splicing from RNA-seq data [11,12].

Genome-wide profiling of alternative splicing is not new. Before the invent of RNA-seq technologies, genome-wide profiling of RNA splicing in biological samples included exon arrays [13], splice junction arrays [14,15], and genome-wide tiling arrays [16]. Use of these technologies to profile known splicing events in various biological contexts has already revealed the importance of splicing in cancer research. A recent review of genome-wide profiling of splicing in cancer using various microarray platforms suggests that splicing in cancer is prevalent, regulated and that novel therapeutic strategies are emerging [17,18].

The success of microarrays in profiling known splicing in cancer can be extended to identifying tumor specific splicing events in reads from RNA-seq using virtual microarray experiments. In such an experiment, short RNA reads from RNA-seq can be considered virtual equivalent of cellular RNA, in silico mapping of reads can be considered virtual equivalent of hybridization and the sequences of exon-exon junction probes equivalent of virtual microarray platform. Hence, a non-redundant reference database of known splice junctions can be used to directly map RNA reads to detect and measure expression levels of known splice events. Although such an approach is limited to detection, by augmenting the database with predicted junctions, one could also infuse discovery into this approach [4].

Here we have profiled less than a million known and predicted splice events to identify tumor-specific splicing in prostate tumor using a RNA-seq dataset of matched tumor-normal from ten individuals downloaded from NCBI public repository.

**Results and discussion**

**Validation of SPEeventH based prediction**
Since majority of the human genes are multi exon genes, a large number of constitutive splice junctions for highly expressed genes should be expressed irrespective of the splice variants. Methods to predict splice events can be validated by its ability to predict constitutive junctions for highly expressed genes within a sample. To assess the ability of SPEeventH to detect constitutive junctions of highly expressed genes, we used ten most highly expressed genes from sample T11 (SRX022067). Table 1 lists the total number of exons in these genes and the number of junctions predicted by both methods SPEeventH and Tophat. SPEeventH has been able to predict majority of the constitutive junctions. On the other hand, to our surprise, Tophat failed to detect constitutive junctions for any of these genes. Even for MYH11 gene, for which Tophat predicted many junctions, the junctions are found inconsistent with known exon locations for this gene (Figure 1).

To test the ability of SPEeventH in identifying differential splicing in cancer, we used the splice variants of the gene CD44, which are the most extensively studied for differential splicing in cancer. It is well known that a short variant, CD44s, is ubiquitously expressed in majority of the normal human tissues and a long variant, CD44v5.

**Table 1 Shows the total number of junctions predicted by SPEeventH and Tophat for the top ten most highly expressed genes in T11**

| Genes   | Number of exons | SPEeventH predicted/represented | Tophat predicted/junctions | Gene expression |
|---------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| KLK3    | 5               | 21/38                           | 0                           | 210099          |
| KLK2    | 5               | 23/36                           | 0                           | 135249          |
| MYH11   | 42              | 24/115                          | 21                          | 56872           |
| EEF2    | 15              | 43/104                          | 0                           | 38561           |
| MSMB    | 4               | 21/39                           | 5                           | 25208           |
| LTF     | 17              | 15/71                           | 1                           | 36365           |
| FLNA    | 48              | 71/151                          | 0                           | 27648           |
| SRRM2   | 15              | 34/60                           | 0                           | 23211           |
| ACPP    | 11              | 15/34                           | 2                           | 21250           |
| NDRG1   | 16              | 43/131                          | 0                           | 20155           |
| MLPH    | 15              | 28/72                           | 1                           | 19556           |
| ACTG2   | 8               | 17/35                           | 5                           | 18356           |

SPEeventH predictions in column 3 are also augmented with the total number of events represented in the SPEeventH database for the respective gene.
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CD44v1-10, is specific to cancer tissues [19,20]. Short RNA reads from several normal human tissues including brain, liver, heart, skeletal muscle, colon (SRP000302) and matched tumor-normal samples from OSCC (SRP002009) are mapped onto SPEventH using bowtie allowing for two mismatches. At the coverage of sequencing in these datasets, CD44 is not expressed in brain and liver (Tracks A and B of Figure 2). However, in the normal tissues, where the gene is expressed, for example in heart, skeletal muscle, colon and normal OSCC, the wild type variant (CD44s) represented by the probe JHS30395041 is expressed. The Track G of Figure 2 for OSCC tumor sample, the only tumor sample in this Figure, shows expression of the long form CD44v1-10 represented by several probes (JHS30395033, 065, 090, 100, 116, 128, 141). Interestingly, while the variant CD44v1-10 is missing in OSCC normal but expressed in the respective tumor, the CD44s variant is not expressed in OSCC tumor although it is expressed in the respective normal. To our knowledge, this is the first report of tumor-specific differential splicing of CD44 gene in oral squamous cell carcinoma.

Comparison of SPEventH and Tophat predictions

The numbers of splice events in SPEventH mapped by RNA reads from the twenty samples used in this study, as listed in Table 2, range from 75,000 to 150,000 (Table 3, Column 2). Comparable numbers of splice events are also predicted by Tophat in all twenty samples (Table 3, Column 3). Also, twenty to thirty percent (20-30%) of the splice events in each sample are found to be predicted by both the methods after normalizing for chromosomal coordinates (Table 3, column 4).

As shown in Figure 3, roughly 40,000 splice events from SPEventH are commonly mapped by all ten tumor samples. Majority of the common events must be constitutive splice junctions of genes expressed in a prostate-specific or tumor-specific manner, which are expected to be expressed irrespective of the splice isoforms. In Figure 3, although the number of common junctions among tumor samples appear to saturate at 40,000 events after addition of 5th or 6th sample, the number of events common to all twenty samples including the ten normal drops to ~20,000 (not shown). This drop is suggestive of the presence of both tumor-specific constitutive and alternative splice events. In comparison, splice events common to all ten tumor samples predicted by Tophat is only 404 (Figure 3), which drops to only 30 events when all twenty samples are compared. This is despite the fact that 25-40% of Tophat events and SPEventH events are found common in individual samples (Table 3, column 4). This could be because Tophat attempts to predict all junctions for each sample de novo.
and many of the constitutive junctions may be predicted in some samples but missed in others. All further analysis is carried out using SPEventH approach.

Table 2 Lists the accession IDs of the 20 samples from 10 individuals used in this study along with read coverage

| Samples | Accession ID | Depth         |
|---------|--------------|---------------|
| T11/N11 | SRX022067/SRX022085 | 21993400/29523906 |
| T13/N13 | SRX022068/SRX022086 | 31061605/29495276 |
| T15/N15 | SRX022069/SRX022087 | 32535354/28473963 |
| T19/N19 | SRX022072/SRX022088 | 3259639/23807013  |
| T23/N23 | SRX022073/SRX022089 | 30499793/29323060 |
| T02/N02 | SRX022060/SRX022080 | 10751725/8174610  |
| T03/N03 | SRX022061/SRX022081 | 8100448/8011774   |
| T06/N06 | SRX022063/SRX022082 | 13137600/8002207  |
| T08/N08 | SRX022065/SRX022083 | 8338185/5278786   |
| T09/N09 | SRX022066/SRX022084 | 7551225/5630881   |

Prostate cancer specific splicing from SPEventH

Figure 4 shows the volcano plot of SPEventH-based analysis using R-package for the 20 matched tumor-normal samples from the ten individuals. Table 4 lists the 61 splice events that are up- and down regulated in a tumor-specific fashion with a p-value of <0.0001 and a fold change of >1.5. Forty-one of these 61 events are validated by measuring base level expression on either side of the junctions as shown in Table 4, Column 7. Figure 5 shows base level expression of one of the junctions from the gene PPP3CA across all 20 samples.
Table 5 lists PCR primers including amplicon size for all events listed in Table 4 except for those that had no evidence in public transcriptome databases.

The p-value cutoff used to generate Table 4 is rather very stringent. In order to cross check the significance of the 765 events with p-values of less than 0.001 and a fold-change of >1.5, the twenty samples are clustered using RPKM values for these events in all 20 samples. As shown in Figure 6, there are three major clusters including two clusters representing tumor and normal. The normal cluster includes eight (8) normal samples. The tumor cluster includes seven (7) tumor samples and one normal sample of low sequence coverage. All the samples in the outlier cluster are low sequence coverage.

One of the challenges in the identification of tumor-specific splice variant is to filter out splice events from differentially expressed genes in tumor. To identify such splice events among the 765 events, tumor-specific gene expression for the corresponding genes across the twenty samples are computed. Splice events from genes displaying tumor-specific expression levels with p-values <0.01 are considered as resulting from overall gene expression changes. Out of the 765 events a total of ninety-three (93) events are found to be belonging to genes that are considered differentially expressed.

Figure 7 shows results from clustering the ten samples with high sequence coverage using the RPKM of the 672 remaining splice events. Figure 7 shows two tight clusters of normal and tumor samples. Note that since all the samples in the outlier cluster in Figure 6 are samples with low coverage, only the ten samples from the five individuals with high sequence coverage are used.

In order to computationally validate the findings with samples from other individuals, not included during the discovery process, we profiled splicing in another RNA-seq dataset independently generated by another group.

Table 3 shows the number of exon-exon junctions on which reads were uniquely mapped from each sample listed in column 1 by the two methods SPEventH (Column 2) and TopHat (Column 3).

| Sample | SPEventH | TopHat | Common |
|--------|----------|--------|--------|
| T02    | 117076   | 120664 | 43891  |
| T03    | 97898    | 101032 | 36109  |
| T06    | 118972   | 145448 | 41827  |
| T08    | 99557    | 101261 | 38229  |
| T09    | 86033    | 103793 | 31510  |
| T11    | 134996   | 135378 | 26818  |
| T13    | 167250   | 166213 | 26758  |
| T15    | 164348   | 192518 | 28383  |
| T19    | 168447   | 198934 | 27790  |
| T23    | 164983   | 188546 | 30043  |
| N02    | 102874   | 92880  | 39396  |
| N03    | 97750    | 92234  | 36411  |
| N06    | 89427    | 99376  | 31770  |
| N08    | 75131    | 65474  | 26844  |
| N09    | 78205    | 68111  | 29759  |
| N11    | 153994   | 155169 | 24175  |
| N13    | 155390   | 175640 | 26132  |
| N15    | 148237   | 167555 | 26825  |
| N19    | 151464   | 149138 | 36617  |
| N23    | 154390   | 157457 | 30576  |

Last column lists the number of junctions commonly predicted in each sample by both methods.

Table 5 lists PCR primers including amplicon size for all events listed in Table 4 except for those that had no evidence in public transcriptome databases.

Figure 3 Histogram showing the decline of TopHat and SPEventH hits as we incrementally add tumor samples one by one.
Two splice events in genes PPP3CA and SLC20A2 are found to be significantly up- and down-regulated in both datasets with a p-value of less than 0.001 in both sets (shown bold in Table 4). This is despite the fact that the sample preparation protocols for both datasets are different. Also, the only evidence in the public EST transcript database, (BF372485), for a tumor-specific splice event connecting an intron from KLK3 gene to that of KLK2 gene is derived from prostate tumor.

**Conclusions**

Deep sequencing of RNA provides a promising means of understanding the role of alternative splicing in cancer. A reference database of splice events, such as SPEventH, provides a useful tool to expedite the analysis of RNA-seq data, while also providing a ready link between raw data and existing body of knowledge necessary for biological interpretation and downstream validation. To our knowledge SPEventH database is the only splice event database that includes splice junctions resulting from alternative 5’ and 3’ events, a class of splicing that is also prevalent, and understudied, in human. The key attributes of this database are high-confidence, non-redundancy, detailed annotations, and simple format for ease of use.

We have demonstrated the value of SPEventH in the identification of prostate tumor specific splicing from RNA-seq datasets. We have identified a large number of tumor-specific splice events in prostate cancer and have authenticated the findings by computing base-level expression immediately around the donor and acceptor sites for each differentially expressed splice event. Also, the significance of the hundreds of splice events with p-values less than 0.001 was addressed by clustering the 20 samples using the RPKM values for these events. Our observation is that despite normalizing for sequence coverage using RPKM, samples with low coverage could not be clustered using the signature events. This suggests that for profiling splicing at least 30–40 million reads may be necessary.

Here, RNA-seq datasets generated by two independent groups have been compared to validate the findings. We find that the most significant events from the two datasets are quite divergent, suggesting either heterogeneity in the cancer types or differences in sample preparation protocols by the two groups. Since many of the most up-regulated genes from the validation dataset (SRP003611) had many snoRNAs ([21]) than from the discovery dataset (SRP002628), we believe that the validation set may not have been selected for protein coding RNAs. Despite such discrepancies, we found two splice events from genes PPP3CA and SLC20A2 that are significantly up- and down-regulated in a tumor–specific fashion.

Identification of tumor-specific splice events is complicated by the expression of a large number of constitutive junctions from differentially expressed genes. In order to separate those events that are purely from differential splicing, all events from differentially expressed genes were removed from the final signature. It is likely that many differential cancer driving splice events from differentially expressed genes may have been removed by this crude approach. Better methods are needed to address this issue.

This is perhaps one of the first efforts to compare the performance of a de novo splice prediction method such as Tophat to a splice detection method such as SPEventH in the identification of tumor-specific splice signatures. The de novo method like Tophat is considered attractive for their capacity to discover novel events. However, we see that Tophat performs poorly in predicting known splice events including constitutive junctions of highly expressed genes consistently across large number of samples. On the contrary, detection methods like SPEventH are not only sensitive for known events but are amenable for comparison across large number of samples. Also, by augmenting predicted splice events from gene prediction algorithm, discovery is also built into SPEventH-based profiling of splicing across large number of samples. With advancing sequencing technologies, improving bioinformatics tools, and proliferating public data sets a reference database of annotated splice events in human will mature and will become critical for profiling alternative splicing in biological samples.
| Sl.No | Gene name | Source of evidence | Are they from alt. splicing region? | P-value | Fold-change (log2) | Base level expression around splice sites |
|-------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1     | TARP      | BC105589.J1-2      | TRUE                              | 9.08E-05| 1.65501           | ✓                                        |
| 2     | SH3RF1    | NM_020870.J11-12.  | FALSE                             | 2.32E-05| 1.602221          | -                                        |
| 3     | PPP3CA    | NM_000944.J5-6     | TRUE                              | 2.60E-05| 1.52093           | ✓                                        |
| 4     | MARCH6    | NM_005885.J9-10    | TRUE                              | 2.48E-05| 1.501025          | -                                        |
| 5     | ARFGAP3   | NM_014570.J2-3     | TRUE                              | 6.28E-05| 1.441878          | ✓                                        |
| 6     | TAOK3     | NM_016281.J18-19   | FALSE                             | 4.00E-05| 1.379249          | ✓                                        |
| 7     | EF2AK4    | NM_001013703.J37-38| TRUE                              | 3.15E-06| 1.346129          | ✓                                        |
| 8     | TMEM87A   | NM_015497.J-8      | TRUE                              | 3.81E-05| 1.318233          | ✓                                        |
| 9     | TOM1L1    | NM_005486.J5-6     | TRUE                              | 1.14E-05| 1.222369          | ✓                                        |
| 10    | FERMT3    | NT_033903.311.J10-11| FALSE                            | 5.09E-05| 1.211549          | -                                        |
| 11    | RPL7L1    | NM_198486.J2-3     | TRUE                              | 4.14E-05| 1.200866          | -                                        |
| 12    | TTC3      | NM_003316.J18-19   | FALSE                             | 6.18E-05| 1.183933          | -                                        |
| 13    | ACSL3     | NM_004457.J12-13   | TRUE                              | 6.56E-05| 1.158874          | ✓                                        |
| 14    | SF3B1     | NM_012433.J4-5     | TRUE                              | 8.85E-05| 1.147913          | ✓                                        |
| 15    | KLK3      | BF372485.J1-2.     | TRUE                              | 8.13E-05| 1.14738           | -                                        |
| 16    | CTBP2     | NM_001083914.J2-3  | TRUE                              | 6.29E-05| 1.114432          | ✓                                        |
| 17    | SR140     | NM_001080415.J25-26| TRUE                              | 6.82E-05| 1.109745          | -                                        |
| 18    | GTF3C1    | NM_001520.J29-30   | FALSE                             | 5.11E-06| 1.080653          | -                                        |
| 19    | CHEK1     | NT_033899.609.J6-7 | TRUE                              | 6.83E-05| 1.071581          | ✓                                        |
| 20    | C15orf44  | NM_030800.J11-12.  | TRUE                              | 2.45E-05| 1.058924          | -                                        |
| 21    | CCDC14    | NM_022577.J6-7     | TRUE                              | 1.26E-05| 1.018248          | -                                        |
| 22    | KIAA0368  | NM_001080398.J30-31| TRUE                              | 6.62E-05| 1.009773          | ✓                                        |
| 23    | C15orf44  | NM_016057.J3-4     | TRUE                              | 1.92E-05| 1.001943          | ✓                                        |
| 24    | ECH1,HNRNPL| NM_001533.J4-5     | TRUE                              | 1.64E-05| 0.991637          | ✓                                        |
| 25    | CNDP1     | chr18.71.004.a.J2-3| TRUE                              | 4.40E-05| 0.97475           | -                                        |
| 26    | NULL      | CN480760.J3-4      | FALSE                             | 5.32E-07| 0.954029          | ✓                                        |
| 27    | BCLAF1    | NM_014739.J8-9     | TRUE                              | 2.87E-05| 0.905132          | ✓                                        |
| 28    | SON       | NM_138927.J10-11   | TRUE                              | 2.17E-05| 0.902497          | ✓                                        |
| 29    | HSP90B1   | NM_003299.J11-12   | TRUE                              | 1.11E-05| 0.881922          | ✓                                        |
| 30    | TTC19     | NM_017775.J3-4     | TRUE                              | 8.24E-05| 0.87852           | -                                        |
| 31    | TSPAN1    | NM_005727.J6-7     | TRUE                              | 9.96E-05| 0.873753          | ✓                                        |
| 32    | NET1      | NM_001047160.J6-7  | TRUE                              | 1.90E-06| 0.869833          | ✓                                        |
| 33    | ATXN10    | NM_012326.J4-5     | TRUE                              | 8.27E-05| 0.826939          | ✓                                        |
| 34    | CANT1     | NM_001159772.J3-4  | TRUE                              | 1.80E-05| 0.793932          | -                                        |
| 35    | CCDC53    | NM_016053.J3-4     | TRUE                              | 2.60E-05| 0.780735          | -                                        |
| 36    | HADHA     | HM_000182.J7-8     | TRUE                              | 8.58E-05| 0.758566          | -                                        |
| 37    | CBS       | NT_030188.38.J3-4  | FALSE                             | 4.96E-05| 0.745529          | -                                        |
| 38    | SLTM      | NM_024755.J4-5     | TRUE                              | 6.69E-05| 0.675305          | ✓                                        |
| 39    | DDXS      | NM_004396.J3-4     | TRUE                              | 9.69E-05| 0.671894          | ✓                                        |
| 40    | HSPA8     | BG699643.J2-3      | TRUE                              | 1.46E-05| 0.609402          | ✓                                        |
| 41    | LYNX1     | NM_023946.J2-3     | TRUE                              | 4.67E-05| -1.56988          | ✓                                        |
| 42    | KLHL29    | NM_052920.J10-11   | FALSE                             | 7.16E-05| -1.33184          | ✓                                        |
| 43    | ITGA3     | NM_002204.J17-18   | TRUE                              | 1.59E-05| -1.23949          | ✓                                        |
| 44    | CNN2      | NM_004368.J6-7     | TRUE                              | 2.77E-05| -1.19031          | ✓                                        |
Materials and methods

Results reported in this manuscript did not involve any experimental work on human or animal samples. All reported findings are obtained by bioinformatics analysis on the data downloaded from NCBI repository of Short Read Archive as listed in Table 2.

Construction of SPEventH database

A reference splice event database, SPEventH, containing probes of optimal length representing exon-exon and exon-intron junction sequences for 731,954 splice events is derived from a database of non-redundant splice junctions in human, SEHS1.0 [22], which is validated for genome-wide profiling of alternative splicing using microarray technology [23]. The SEHS1.0 was created using the alignment of 8.5 million transcripts including 8,089,335 ESTs, 287,440 mRNAs, 34,389 Refseq, 66,803 known genes and 99,128 predicted genes onto the hg18 assembly of the human genome [24]. Construction of SEHS1.0 involved parsing and processing of 8.5 million aligned full-length and partial transcript sequences, identifying the splice sites, applying an alignment quality

Table 4 Splice events up-and down-regulated in a tumor specific fashion with a p-value of < 0.0001 (Continued)

|   | Gene       | RefSeq  | Status | p-value | p-value  |
|---|------------|---------|--------|---------|----------|
| 45 | SIN3A      | NT_010194.1124,J12-13 | FALSE   | 6.71E-05 | -1.15772 |
| 46 | LAMA5      | NM_005560,J78-79    | FALSE   | 5.75E-05 | -1.13839 |
| 47 | DPM2       | NM_003963,J2-3     | TRUE    | 2.18E-05 | -1.11538 |
| 48 | PINK1      | NM_032409,J6-7     | TRUE    | 1.10E-05 | -1.09024 |
| 49 | NCPD2,SCARNA10 | NT_009759.130,J28-29 | FALSE    | 4.16E-05 | -1.06536 |
| 50 | EML2,MIR330 | NM_012155,J17-18   | TRUE    | 3.73E-05 | -1.04227 |
| 51 | HEATR7A    | NM_032450,J42-43   | TRUE    | 5.59E-05 | -0.97289 |
| 52 | HEATR7A    | NM_032450,J18-19   | TRUE    | 1.80E-05 | -0.96627 |
| 53 | ARAP1,STARD10 | NM_001040118,J12-13 | FALSE | 6.18E-05 | -0.95743 |
| 54 | ALS2CL     | NM_147129,J15-16   | FALSE   | 9.60E-05 | -0.94678 |
| 55 | RAB25      | chr1.155.009,J2-3  | FALSE   | 2.75E-05 | -0.91566 |
| 56 | NULL       | NT_030188,JJ5-6    | FALSE   | 5.90E-05 | -0.88101 |
| 57 | ATF6B,TNXB | NM_019105,J22-23   | FALSE   | 9.19E-05 | -0.85967 |
| 58 | RUVBL2     | NM_006666,J11-12   | FALSE   | 3.78E-05 | -0.75383 |
| 59 | MAP3K12    | NM_006301,J4-5     | TRUE    | 6.44E-05 | -0.72161 |
| 60 | AIFM2      | NM_032797,J7-8     | FALSE   | 2.12E-05 | -0.72049 |
| 61 | ATP2A2     | NM_001681,J19-20   | FALSE   | 7.93E-05 | -0.66293 |

Figure 5 Base level expression around the donor and acceptor sites of a splice junction from gene PPP3CA gene in all 20 samples.
| Sl. no | SpliceJunctionID_GeneName | Amplicon | Forward primer | Reverse primer |
|-------|--------------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| 1     | JHS32215053_TARP          | 119      | TCCCGGAACAAAGCTTATCAG | AAGACGAGGGTTCCAGTCCCT |
| 2     | JHS31939933_SH3RF1        | 113      | AGTCCCATCCGCTTCCACCTC | GAGGAGGATAGAAACACCA |
| 3     | JHS31912679_PPP3CA        | 106      | GGTGTTGCTATGTTGGTTTGT | CAGGATATACACATAGTGTCCA |
| 4     | JHS31958799_march6        | 108      | GGGAAAGAATGCTTATGCAAGTGA | GTTAAGGGGGAATAGAAAAA |
| 5     | JHS31704238_ARFGAP3       | 121      | GGGCAACATGAACTTATGGA | TGAACCAATGACAGTGGGA |
| 6     | JHS30608278_TAO1K         | 132      | AAAGCATGTATGACTGTCGCA | TCAACGTGATGTTCTGTTG |
| 7     | JHS30807650_EF2AK4        | 103      | TGAAATTCTGCTATGTCGATCT | CAGCCTGCTTCACAGTTGTT |
| 8     | JHS30813189_TMEM87A       | 114      | TGCCATTTCTCTCCTAAGAG | AAGGAGATGCTTCAAGTGGAG |
| 9     | JHS31115850_TOM1L1        | 117      | CAGAAGCAGAGGCTGAAACA | CAGTCGACGTCTGTGAGG |
| 10    | JHS32114870_RPL7L1        | 148      | CGGAGCAAGAGCAAGAAAAA | CGCATTAAACTGAGCCTCC |
| 11    | JHS31615632_TTC3          | 116      | GCTGACAGTTGTTAGTCTTCA | CAGGCTGTCTCTTCACAGG |
| 12    | JHS31498135_SF3B1         | 118      | AGGATATACAGGCTGATAGA | TCCCTTGATCTGACCTAC |
| 13    | JHS31332823_KUK3          | 146      | ACAGCAGTGGCCACTTGGAG | CAGGCGTGCTGGGAGATAG |
| 14    | JHS30347840_CBTP2         | 106      | TCCCTTGATCTGACCTAC | AGGGGAGTCCGGAGGAGT |
| 15    | JHS31820386_SIRI40        | 100      | GCCCAAGTCTTTCAGGAGC | TCTTTCTGTTCTTCTGTT |
| 16    | JHS30945721_GTF3C1        | 123      | GGAGTTCTTGGAGCATGTC | AGGGGATCAGACAGAGT |
| 17    | JHS30838314_C15orf44      | 117      | GCCAGGGCTTCAGGAGGAA | TTTTGAGCTAGTGCTACG |
| 18    | JHS31803136_CDCDC1        | 125      | TCTGACAGTTGTTAGTCTTCA | GCAACGCTGTGTCGTCAT |
| 19    | JHS32427328_KIAA0368      | 107      | GGAGAAGAATGCTGACTACGG | GGGAGAAGACGAGTGTT |
| 20    | JHS30556221_COPZ1,MIR148B | 104      | AGAATGGAGTTCAGGCGATG | CAGATGTCAGACAGCCAT |
| 21    | JHS31292790_TCH1,HNRNPL   | 116      | AGCATAGGCGGACCATGTTA | TTGTTCTGCTTCTTCATC |
| 22    | JHS32163374_BCLAF1        | 107      | CCAATCTCCCTAGGAGAAGC | CGAAGGTCAGGCAGCATG |
| 23    | JHS31612151_SON           | 126      | GGAGAATCTCAGCTGCTAAT | ATCACGAGCCACTATCAG |
| 24    | JHS30594323_HSP90BI       | 118      | GCTAAGGGTTGGTGAGTCT | TCAATGGTCAGGTTCTGAG |
| 25    | JHS31048200_TTC19         | 103      | ATTTTGGGTACAGCTCTGCT | GCTAGCCCGCTTAAAGTCT |
| 26    | JHS30077792_TSPANI        | 103      | CAATGTTGGGTCACCACCAT | GGGGGAAGACGAGTCTTC |
| 27    | JHS30255522_NET1          | 101      | CCCAGAGTGGTACAGGAGTATT | TGTGAGTCTCCTTGACAT |
| 28    | JHS31707588_ATXN10        | 130      | ATGTGTTGGGTGAGTGTTTCT | CTCCTGAGGCTTCTTCTCC |
| 29    | JHS31151584_CANT1         | 116      | TGGATGCTGAGGAAGTCTCAG | ATCGGAGGGATGCTAGAT |
| 30    | JHS30592849_CCDC53        | 112      | TGAAGAGGAAACTGCGACACC | TTAACGTGACATCACTCAT |
| 31    | JHS31375495_HADHA         | 115      | TGGACATGATGCTAGCTTGTT | GTCGGTTCCTGCTGAGTTT |
| 32    | JHS30803023_S_LTM         | 113      | TCTGACAGAAGAAGAACAGAGC | TCACTTCACTCTTGTAGT |
| 33    | JHS31129340_DDX5          | 124      | GCCGGAAGCCATTTCTCAAT | CTTAGAAGCACCAGGCCATC |
| 34    | JHS30485135_HSPA8         | 109      | AGTACGAATAGACCCCACCA | CACCATAAAGGGCAGAAA |
| 35    | JHS30035592_PINK1         | 112      | CGGAAACGGCGCTGTCTGAT | AGCCGCGAGATTCTGAGC |
| 36    | JHS31111518_JTG3A         | 117      | CTGCACCAACAGGACCTTCA | TGTAACGAGGGTCTTGAGT |
| 37    | JHS32407856_HEATR7A       | 112      | GTCAGCTTGGGAAACAGCT | TCTCGAGAAACATCACAG |
| 38    | JHS30295263_AIFM2         | 122      | AAGATCAACAGCTGCGCTA | AGACCTCAACCTGGCGTA |
| 39    | JHS32486835_DPM2          | 134      | TACATACGGCTGGTCTGAGT | AGTCCGACAAACAGGACG |
| 40    | JHS31214980_CNN2          | 116      | AGATGGGCACGAAACAGTG | CAGGAGGCTAGGAGGAGT |
| 41    | JHS31314031_TML2,MIR330   | 122      | GAATGAGGCGAGCAGTCTG | AAGGACCGAATCCGCTCAC |
| 42    | JHS31324130_RUVBL2        | 119      | CCGTGAGTATGCCACGAC | TGGATGCTAGGAGGAC |
| 43    | JHS32401588_LYNX1         | 128      | CTGATCTGTGGTGCTCTCAT | GTGGTCATGAGTGGCAAAC |
| 44    | JHS32407084_HEATR7A       | 136      | TCAAGAGCAGCTGCGAGAC | TCCCTTGAGCAGATCTGAG |
filter, making the set non redundant, selecting sequences spanning the splice sites, and attaching detailed annotations to the results. The quality filter has removed misalignments arising from low sequence quality. Key parameters enforced that each splice site span canonical donor and acceptor motifs; however, splice events with multiple sequences as evidence were included regardless of the intron motifs. Uniqueness of a splice site was defined by the intron start and end coordinates. Annotations include the data source (such as RefSeq or GENSCAN), list of accessions of sequences that provide evidence for each splice event, intron donor and acceptor motifs, as well chromosomal coordinates and gene symbols.

The probes in the SPEventH database are of length 56 bases including 28 bases from either exons participating in the junctions. This length is optimized to reliably exclude mapping of RNA reads of length 36 bases pair, which do not span a junction. Meaning, when 36mer reads of length 36 bases are mapped to probes containing 28 bases on either side of the junction, minimum mapping of 8 bases into the adjoining exon is ensured even for reads mapped staring at position one of the probe or ending at position 56 of the probes.

Owing to the varying sequence quality of the underlying data sources, splice events in SPEventH differ in evidentiary support. Approximately 58.2% of splice events in SPEventH have experimental evidence in the public sequence repositories. Spot checks of individual genes such as kinesin 1A against the UCSC genome browser provides quality assurance for the completeness and accuracy of the data in SPEventH (Figure 8). Although kinesin 1A contains 46 exons, according to its RefSeq transcript, SPEventH reveals at least 50 exons, including ESTs and predictions, and 91 distinct splice events. SPEventH is available for download as a FASTA file with annotations stored as key/value pairs in the header line (http://resource.ibab.ac.in/SPEventH/). A BED file from SPEventH can also be downloaded from the same site. The count of each splice event in the BED

Table 5 PCR primers for events for which a transcript sequence was available in the public databases (Continued)

| Event ID | Accession | Primer Sequence 1 | Primer Sequence 2 |
|----------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 45       | JHS31597126_LAMA5 | GGGAGGTCTCCACGTCAGTG | CACGGTGTTGTTGCTCTG |
| 46       | JHS30447530 _ARAP1,STARD10_ | TCAACCTCTGTGTTATATGCTC | CCAACACTTCCGTCCATCT |
| 47       | JHS30554360_MAPK12 | AGCACCCCCCATCATCCTACT | AGCCCGCAGTACCTCATACA |
| 48       | JHS31372783_KHLY29 | GTGAGTCTACAGGGGAACAAC | TCCAGTTATCAAGCAGGACA |
| 49       | JHS30600491_ATF2A2 | TGTCACTCCACTCTGATCC | GGCAGAGAGATTTCAGCAG |
| 50       | JHS32096926 _ATF6B,XX_ | CTGGAAGCAGTTACAGATAG | GTGATGTTAGGCACCTCGT |
| 51       | JHS31749149_ALS2CL | ACCTTCACAGGGACCTGAC | CTGTTGTTGCAAGTCCTC |

Figure 6 Clustering of all 20 samples using all the 765 splice events with p-value <0.001 and a fold change of >1.5.
format corresponds to the number of public sequences providing evidence for the respective splice event.

Mapping short RNA reads to SPEventH

The dataset of short RNA reads derived from 20 samples including tumor and matched normal prostate tissues from 10 individuals is downloaded from NCBI repository with accession IDs listed in Table 2. Tens of millions of short reads from each sample are mapped to junction spanning probes in SPEventH using bowtie. Two mismatches are allowed while mapping and only uniquely mapped reads are considered. Parsing the bowtie output was done by uploading the bowtie fields on to MySQL database, creating one table per sample. Using a SQL query, number of reads mapped to each SPEventH junctions was computed and stored in another table. A mega table is then generated from the twenty individual tables that includes read counts of all the splice events in the database of all samples used in the study (SRP002628). The read counts are normalized across samples by computing RPKM values. The p-value and fold change (log2) are computed by comparing RPKMs for normal and tumor for all events in SPEventH using R statistical

Figure 7 Clustering of ten samples from the patients with high sequence coverage using the 672 events with p-values of <0.001 and a fold change of >1.5 left after removing 93 events that may have been from differential gene expression.

Figure 8 An anecdotal example of all splice junctions represented in SPEventH database for the gene KIH1A shown on UCSC browser. The two vertical bars in each fat ‘H’ are exons connected by introns.
package. A reduced table of events with \( p \)-value <0.001 for all normal and tumor samples is created. A hierarchical clustering of all 20 samples based on the RPKM values for selected events in each sample is performed.

**Mapping short RNA reads to hg18 assembly**

Tophat program, version 1.1.2, with default parameters is used to map reads from all 20 samples onto hg18 genome assembly. The default parameters of Tophat and the genome assembly versions are consistent with mapping of reads to SPEventH. The junction coordinates are normalized to those of SPEventH for comparison by using a perl script and MySQL database.
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