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**HIGHLIGHTS**

- Hyperekplexic mutant GlyRs interact with GABA_{A}Rs and disrupt the GABA_{A}R function.
- Pre- and extra-synaptic GABA_{A}Rs are deficient in the hyperekplexia disease.
- \( \alpha_5 \)-Containing GABA_{A}R is a potential therapeutic target for the hyperekplexia disease.
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SUMMARY

Hyperekplexia disease is usually caused by naturally occurring point mutations in glycine receptors (GlyRs). However, the $\gamma$-aminobutyric acid type A receptor (GABA$_A$R) seems to be also involved regarding the therapeutic basis for hyperekplexia using benzodiazepines, which target GABA$_A$Rs but not GlyRs. Here, we show that the function of GABA$_A$Rs was significantly impaired in the hypothalamic nucleus of hyperekplexic transgenic mice. Such impairment appeared to be mediated by interaction between GABA$_A$R and mutant GlyR. The GABA$_A$R dysfunction was caused only by mutant GlyR consisting of homomeric $\alpha_2$ subunits, which locate primarily at pre- and extra-synaptic sites. In addition, the rescue effects of diazepam were attenuated by Xli-093, which specifically blocked diazepam-induced potentiation on $\alpha_2$-containing GABA$_A$R, a major form of pre- and extra-synaptic GABA$_A$R in the brainstem. Thus, our results suggest that the pre- and extra-synaptic GABA$_A$R could be a potential therapeutic target for hyperekplexia disease caused by GlyR mutations.

INTRODUCTION

$\gamma$-Aminobutyric acid (GABA) and glycine are the major inhibitory neurotransmitters in the brain (Nemecz et al., 2016). Glycine receptor (GlyR) and GABA type A receptor (GABA$_A$R) are members of a large Cys-loop superfamily and are structurally similar ligand-gated ion channels (Langosch et al., 1990; Jacob et al., 2008). On activation, the GlyR and GABA$_A$R selectively conduct Cl$^-$ through central pores, leading to neuron hyperpolarization and inhibitory neurotransmission in the central nervous system (Nemecz et al., 2016). These receptors are usually localized at the synapse postsynaptically (Essrich et al., 1998; Langosch et al., 1988). Emerging evidence has suggested that certain isoforms of GABA$_A$R, including $\alpha_2$ subunit-containing receptors, can be found pre-synaptically and extra-synaptically (Brickley and Mody, 2012; Castro et al., 2011; Delgado-Lezama et al., 2013; Jia et al., 2005; Hauser et al., 2005). GlyR is widely distributed in the central nervous system, particularly in the brainstem and spinal cord (Hruskova et al., 2012; Xiong et al., 2014). To date, four $\alpha$-subunits ($\alpha_1$-$\alpha_4$) and one $\beta$-subunit of GlyR have been identified. All GlyR $\alpha$ subunits can form functional homomeric channels that are mainly located on the pre- and extra-synaptic membrane of a synapse (Hruskova et al., 2012; Xiong et al., 2014; McCracken et al., 2017; Turecek and Trussell, 2001). However, after co-assembling with the $\alpha$ subunits, the $\beta$ subunit can form functional post-synaptic heteromeric $\alpha\beta$ channels (Pribilla et al., 1992; Xiong et al., 2014).

Hyperekplexia is a human genetic neurological disorder usually caused by point mutations in $\alpha_1$ GlyRs (Shiang et al., 1993). Although rare, this disease can be life-threatening in children and is characterized by exaggerated startle response and muscle stiffness following an unexpected stimulus. Numerous point mutations in the GlyR $\alpha_1$ subunit have been identified and characterized as hyperekplexic mutations disrupting GlyR function (Bode and Lynch, 2014). Among them, the R271Q was the most common dominant GlyR$\alpha_1$ mutation identified in patients with hyperekplexia (Thomas et al., 2013). Despite strong evidence suggesting that the point mutations in the $\alpha_1$ GlyR are strongly associated with hyperekplexia, the primary therapeutic agent effectively used to treat hyperekplexia in humans is benzodiazepines (Dijk and Tijssen., 2010; Garg et al., 2008; Tijssen et al., 1997), which selectively enhances GABA$_A$R functioning (Dray and Straughan, 1976; Macdonald and Barker, 1978). Thus, GABA$_A$Rs seems to be the primary therapeutic target in hyperekplexia. Consistently, a previous investigation revealed a deficiency in both glycineergic and GABAergic transmission in the spinal cord of R271Q mutant mice (Becker et al., 2002; Von Wegerer et al., 2003). Unfortunately, the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the GABA$_A$R deficiency in
hyperekplexia remains unclear. Such deficiency is not caused by the posttranslational modification of either GlyR or GABA<sub>R</sub> protein since radioligand binding to these receptors was unaffected (Becker et al., 2002). The speculation that GlyR can cross-talk or interact with GABA<sub>R</sub> has been long-standing (Wojcik et al., 2006). These receptors are abundant in the spinal cord and brainstem where the neurotransmitters GABA and glycine are colocalized and co-released from the same vesicles at many motoneuron synapses (Jonas et al., 1998). Strong evidence suggests that a substantial proportion of spinal cord inhibitory synapses host both GlyRs and GABA<sub>R</sub>s. Nevertheless, direct evidence and the in vivo consequence of the potential GlyR-GABA<sub>R</sub> interaction have not been reported. Considering these questions, we conducted experiments using various approaches to explore the nature of the interaction through which hyperekplexic mutations in the GlyR<sub>α1</sub> subunits disrupt GABA<sub>R</sub> functioning at synapses.

**RESULTS**

**GABA<sub>R</sub> Deficiency in the Brainstem of Hyperekplexic Mutant Mice**

To determine whether the hyperekplexic point mutations in the α<sub>1</sub> GlyR could affect GABAergic transmission, we measured GABA release and GABA<sub>R</sub> functioning using patch clamp recording in the hypoglossal nucleus slices from two transgenic mouse lines carrying GlyR<sub>α1</sub> R271Q and S267Q hyperekplexic point mutations. Another mouse line carrying GlyR<sub>α1</sub> M287L point mutation was set as a negative control since this mutation was not found in patients with hyperekplexia and has been previously shown to scarcely change the function of GlyR in mice (Bode and Lynch, 2014; Xiong et al., 2014). Both the frequency and amplitude of GABAergic spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sIPSCs) were remarkably attenuated in the hypoglossal nucleus of GlyR<sub>α1</sub> R271Q and GlyR<sub>α1</sub> S267Q but not in GlyR<sub>α1</sub> M287L mutant mice (Figures 1A and S1). Consistently, the electrical stimulation-evoked GABAergic IPSCs (eIPSCs) and the puffing GABA-induced currents were both significantly reduced in the GlyR<sub>α1</sub> R271Q and GlyR<sub>α1</sub> S267Q mutant mice (Figures 1B and 1C).

Next, we separately examined the function of GABA<sub>R</sub> at various synaptic locations including the pre-, post-, and extra-synapses. Here the GlyR<sub>α1</sub> S267Q mice were used as a representative. The frequency but not the amplitude of the GABAergic mIPSCs was significantly decreased in the brainstem hypoglossal nucleus of GlyR<sub>α1</sub> S267Q mutant mice (Figures 1D and 1E).

**Figure 1. Dysfunction of GABA<sub>R</sub>s in the Hyperekplexic Mutant Mice**

(A) Trace records, average frequency, and amplitude of GABAergic sIPSCs in brainstem hypoglossal nucleus slices from WT, GlyR<sub>α1</sub> R271Q, and GlyR<sub>α1</sub> S267Q mutant mice.

(B) Trace records and average amplitude of GABAergic eIPSCs in brainstem hypoglossal nucleus slices from WT and GlyR<sub>α1</sub> R271Q mutant mice.

(C) Trace records and average values of GABA maximal current induced by puffing 1 mM GABA in brainstem hypoglossal nucleus slices from WT and GlyR<sub>α1</sub> S267Q mutant mice.

(D) Trace records, average frequency, and amplitude of GABAergic mIPSCs in brainstem hypoglossal nucleus slices from WT and GlyR<sub>α1</sub> S267Q mutant mice.

(E) Trace records and average values of BSTC in brainstem hypoglossal nucleus slices from WT and GlyR<sub>α1</sub> S267Q mutant mice.

All digits within the columns represent numbers of cells measured from at least three mice. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 based on unpaired t tests; ns, not significant (p > 0.05).
Mutant GlyRs may disrupt the function of GABAARs in the same neuron since the preponderance of evidence has indicated a wide colocalization of GlyRs and GABAARs in brainstem neurons (Muller et al., 2004, 2006; Lorenzo et al., 2006, 2007; Waldvogel et al., 2019). Next, we investigated whether the GlyRα1 mutations in the GlyRα1 hyperekplexic mutant GlyRs. The data were normalized to the Imax of the GlyRα1 WT group (D) or its own group (E). (F) The average values of glycine Imax in HEK-293 cells expressing WT or α1R305Q or α1R320Q GlyRs. (G) The average values of GABA Imax in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GABAARα1 GABAARα3 GlyRα2 GlyRα3 GlyRα2 and either WT, α1R305Q, α1S267Q, or α1M287L GlyRs. The data were normalized to Imax of the GlyRα1 WT group when co-expressed in HEK-293 cells. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 based on unpaired t tests; ns, not significant (p > 0.05).

**Figure 2. Impairment in GABAARs by Hyperekplexic GlyRα1 Mutations in HEK-293 Cells**

(A) Representative trace records of glycine Imax (up) and GABA Imax (down) separately induced by 1 mM glycine and GABA in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GABAARαRs (α1β2γ2) and various hyperekplexic mutant α1 GlyRs. (B) The average values of glycine Imax induced by 1 mM glycine in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GABAARαRs (α1β2γ2) and various hyperekplexic mutant α1 GlyRs. The data were normalized to the Imax of the GlyRα1 WT group. (C) Average values of GABA Imax induced by 1 mM GABA in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GABAARαRs and various hyperekplexic mutant α1 GlyRs. The data were normalized to their respective controls (GlyRα1 WT group). (D and E) Dose-response curves of GABAARαRs in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GABAARαRs (α1β2γ2) and either WT, α1R271Q, α1S267Q, or α1M287L GlyRs. The data were normalized to Imax of the GlyRα1 WT group (D) or its own group (E).

At high concentrations, GABA can also activate GlyRs (Singer, 2008). Thus, we examined the efficacy of muscimol, which is a full agonist specific for GABAARs but not GlyRs (Snodgrass, 1978) (Figure S3A), in activating GABAARs in the above-mentioned GlyRα1 mice compared with that in the wild-type (WT) littermates (Figure 1D). This suggests that a pre- but not post-synaptic impairment exists in GABAergic transmission. Then, we tested the bicuculline-sensitive tonic current (BSTC), which represents extra-synaptic GABAAR activity. The amplitude of the BSTC was also significantly reduced in the GlyRα1 S267Q mice compared with that in the WT littermates (Figure 1E).

**Hyperekplexic GlyRα1 Mutations Cause GABAAR Deficiency when Co-expressed in HEK-293 Cells**

Mutant GlyRs may disrupt the function of GABAARs in the same neuron since the preponderance of evidence has indicated a wide colocalization of GlyRs and GABAARs in brainstem neurons (Muller et al., 2004, 2006; Lorenzo et al., 2006, 2007; Waldvogel et al., 2019). Next, we investigated whether the GlyRα1 mutations could induce GABAAR deficiency if these receptors were co-expressed in HEK-293 cells. The GlyRα1 R271Q and GlyRα1 S267Q hyperekplexic point mutations significantly reduced the maximal amplitudes of the current (Imax) activated by puffing glycine (Figures 2A and 2B) and GABA (Figures 2A and 2C). GlyRα1 R271Q and GlyRα1 S267Q, but not GlyRα1 M287L, mutations shifted the dose-response curve of the GABA current to the right (Figures 2D and 2E) and increased the half-maximal effective concentration (EC50) values of the GABAARs (Figure 2E). Interestingly, the other two GlyR α subunits, including α2 and α3, exhibited the same characteristics as α1 subunit in impairing GABAAR functions. For instance, the point mutations in the GlyRα2 R305Q and GlyRα2 R320Q subunits corresponding to R271Q of GlyRα1 not only reduced the glycine Imax (Figure 2F) when expressed alone but also inhibited GABA Imax when co-expressed with GABAARs (Figure 2G).
protein co-immunoprecipitated with GABAAR proteins from whole cell lysates (Figures 3A, S5, and S6). The point mutations R271Q and S267Q, but not M287L, significantly increased the amount of GlyR significantly decreased in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GABAARs with GlyR

Subsequently, we conducted a molecular dynamic simulation to evaluate the interaction between the subunits of GABAARs (Miller and Aricescu, 2014) and hyperekplexic mutant GlyR subunits (Huang et al., 2017).

Figure 3. Identification of Interaction between GABAAR and Hyperekplexic Mutant GlyRs

(A) GlyRα1 was purified using GABAARα1 antibodies in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GABAARα1 (α1, β2, γ2) and WT/mutant α1 GlyRs, and the co-precipitating proteins were detected by immunoblotting. Inputs are immunoblots of the same protein in cell lysates before Co-IP. Quantification of WT and mutant GlyRα1 binding to GABAARα1 subunits (n = 3). The data were normalized to the WT group.

(B and C) Endogenous brainstem GlyRα1 of WT and GlyRα1, R271Q (B) or S267Q (C) KI mice was purified using GABAARα1 antibodies, and the co-precipitating proteins were detected by immunoblotting. Inputs are immunoblots of the same protein in tissue lysates before Co-IP. Quantification of WT and R271Q (B) or S267Q (C) mutant GlyRα1 binding to GABAARα1, (n = 3 mice).

(D) Endogenous brainstem GlyRα1 of WT and GlyRα1, M287L KI mice was purified using GABAARα1 antibodies, and the co-precipitating proteins were detected by immunoblotting. Inputs are immunoblots of the same protein in tissue lysates before Co-IP. Quantification of WT and M287L mutant GlyRα1 binding to GABAARα1, (n = 3 mice). The data were normalized to the WT group.

The Site R271 Is Critical for the Interaction between GABAAR and Hyperekplexic Mutant GlyR

Subsequently, we conducted a molecular dynamic simulation to evaluate the interaction between the subunits of GABAARs (Miller and Aricescu, 2014) and hyperekplexic mutant GlyR subunits (Huang et al., 2017) in
Figure 4. Molecular Dynamic Simulation, Mutagenesis, and Correlation Analysis

(A) Overview of residues forming H-bond between GB chain and GR chain in the GB/GR and GB/GRM complexes at the end of the simulation. GB chain and residue labels are colored in cyan. GR chain and residue labels are colored in pink. H-bonds are shown by the red dashed line.

(B) Binding energy (kcal/mol) between subunits in various composing form of dimers.

(C) Number of H-bonds formed between GB chain and GR chain in the GB/GR and GB/GRM complexes. The data are shown as averages of each 200 ps. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

(D) VDW contact surface between GB chain and GR chain in the GB/GR and GB/GRM complexes. Proteins are displayed in lines. Contact surfaces were mapped and colored according to the distances between two chains.

(E) Average values of GABA_{i}max induced by 1 mM GABA in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GABA_{R}α subunits. All data were normalized to their respective controls (WT group).

(F) Correlation analysis of CoMSIA values of various amino acids at 271 and the percentage inhibition of GABA_{i}max.

(G) GlyRα was purified using GABA_{R}α1 antibodies in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GABA_{R}α1 and GlyRα1 carrying various R271 mutations, and the co-precipitating proteins were detected by immunoblotting. Inputs are immunoblots of the same protein in cell lysates before Co-IP. Quantification of WT and R271 mutant GlyRα1 binding to GABA_{R}α1 (n = 3). Data were normalized to the WT group.
To test this hypothesis, we performed the electrophysiological experiments and mutagenesis to analyze the interrelationship between the function of GABA<sub>AR</sub>s and the biophysical properties of the amino acid residue at 271 of the Gly<sub>R</sub> subunit.

Among the four Gly<sub>R</sub> subunits, the R271L and R271E mutations appeared to enhance the binding of Gly<sub>R</sub> to GABA<sub>AR</sub>. The R271Q mutation promotes a conformational change in the GB and GR subunits, leading to more intensive H-bond formation and a larger contact surface area between the subunits (Figures 4C and 4D).

To obtain further molecular insight into the role of site R271 in the association between GABA<sub>AR</sub> subunits and Gly<sub>R</sub>s, we performed mutagenesis to analyze the interrelationship between the function of GABA<sub>AR</sub> subunits and the biophysical properties of the amino acid residue at 271 of the Gly<sub>R</sub> subunit. The mutation-induced decrease in glycine Imax (Figure S10A) and GABA Imax (Figure S10B) varied substantially. No correlation was observed between the percentage inhibition of glycine Imax and that of GABA Imax (Figure S10B), suggesting that the dysfunction in GABA<sub>AR</sub> does not depend on the efficacious levels of Gly<sub>R</sub>s. Then, to examine the biophysical properties of the amino acid residue at 271 of the Gly<sub>R</sub> subunit, we performed a comparative molecular similarity index analysis (CoMSIA), which is a comprehensive method evaluating polarity, electrostatic potential, and steric property. A strong correlation was observed between the CoMSIA values of various amino acids at 271 and the function of Gly<sub>R</sub>s (Figure S10C) and GABA<sub>AR</sub>s (Figure 4F). Combined with the results of the molecular dynamics simulation, the R271Q point mutation likely suppresses the function of Gly<sub>R</sub> by altering the protein conformational change required for channel gating. This point mutation also disrupted GABA<sub>AR</sub> functioning by enhancing the interaction between Gly<sub>R</sub> and GABA<sub>AR</sub>. To further test this hypothesis, we performed a Co-IP assay to examine the interaction between the mutant R271E/L/K/G Gly<sub>R</sub>s and GABA<sub>AR</sub>s co-expressed in HEK-293 cells. Both the GlyR<sub>x1</sub> subunits and GABA<sub>AR</sub>x<sub>1</sub> subunits were identified in the co-immunoprecipitants pulled down by the GABA<sub>AR</sub>x<sub>1</sub> antibodies (Figures 4G and S11A–S11C).

Among the four Gly<sub>R</sub> subunits, the R271L and R271E mutations appeared to enhance the binding of Gly<sub>R</sub> to GABA<sub>AR</sub>. The protein levels of GlyR<sub>x1</sub> bound to GABA<sub>AR</sub<x<sub>1</sub> were significantly and positively correlated with the extent of the GABA<sub>AR</sub> deficiency, although their levels substantially varied (Figure 4H).

**Gly<sub>R</sub> β Subunits Restore Dysfunction of GABA<sub>AR</sub>s Caused by Gly<sub>R</sub> α<sub>1</sub> Mutations**

The above-mentioned results have suggested that the pre- and extra- but not post-synaptic GABA<sub>AR</sub>s were impaired in hyperekplexia disease. It is worth mentioning that Gly<sub>R</sub> homomers (α/α) have been found to primarily reside at pre-extra-synaptic sites, whereas Gly<sub>R</sub> heteromers (α/β) are mostly post-synaptic (Betz et al., 1991; Hruskova et al., 2012; Xiong et al., 2014; McCracken et al., 2017; Turecek and Trussell, 2001). Thus, a possible scenario is that different combinations of Gly<sub>R</sub> subunits may have distinct abilities to interact with GABA<sub>AR</sub>s. To test this hypothesis, we performed the electrophysiological experiments and Co-IP assay. Addition of the Gly<sub>R</sub><sub>x1</sub> subunit indeed prevents the hyperekplexic point mutations in the α<sub>1</sub> subunit from hijacking the GABA<sub>AR</sub>s because no functional disruption in the GABA<sub>AR</sub> was observed after co-expressing the Gly<sub>R</sub> β subunits with the GlyR<sub>x1</sub> subunits (R271X/GB/GR complex, Figure 5A and 5B). Furthermore, the Gly<sub>R</sub> β subunits also significantly interrupted the association between the mutant Gly<sub>R</sub> α<sub>1</sub> and GABA<sub>AR</sub>s in HEK-293 cells (Figures 5C and 5D). These observations may hint at why only pre- and extra-synaptic GABA<sub>AR</sub>s have been impaired in hyperekplexia.

**Colocalization and Interaction of α<sub>5</sub>-Containing GABA<sub>AR</sub>s and Hyperekplexic Mutant Gly<sub>R</sub>s**

Emerging evidence suggests that α<sub>5</sub> subunits-containing GABA<sub>AR</sub> (α<sub>5</sub>B,γ<sub>2</sub>) is the primary form of pre- and extra-synaptic GABA<sub>AR</sub>s in several brain regions, including the hippocampus, spinal cord, and brainstem (Betz and Mody, 2012; Castro et al., 2011; Delgado-Lezama et al., 2013; Jia et al., 2005). Genetic deletion of the α<sub>5</sub> subunit impairs in hyperekplexia disease. To test this hypothesis, we performed the electrophysiological experiments and Co-IP assay. Addition of the Gly<sub>R</sub><sub>x1</sub> subunit indeed prevents the hyperekplexic point mutations in the α<sub>1</sub> subunit from hijacking the GABA<sub>AR</sub>s because no functional disruption in the GABA<sub>AR</sub> was observed after co-expressing the Gly<sub>R</sub> β subunits with the GlyR<sub>x1</sub> subunits (R271X/GB/GR complex, Figure 5A and 5B). Furthermore, the Gly<sub>R</sub> β subunits also significantly interrupted the association between the mutant Gly<sub>R</sub> α<sub>1</sub> and GABA<sub>AR</sub>s in HEK-293 cells (Figures 5C and 5D). These observations may hint at why only pre- and extra-synaptic GABA<sub>AR</sub>s have been impaired in hyperekplexia.
of α5-containing GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor could also cause severe convulsive seizure (Galanopoulou, 2008). Here, using RNAscope techniques, we conducted in situ hybridization and observed a high degree of colocalization of GlyR<sub>1</sub> and GABA<sub>A</sub>R<sub>β</sub> subunit mRNAs in neurons in the hypoglossal nucleus of the brainstem in both the GlyR<sub>1</sub> and WT mice (Figures 6A, 6B, and S13). Therefore, we next examined whether the hyperekplexic mutant GlyRs could also affect the α5-containing GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. The GABA<sub>A</sub> current was significantly decreased when the α<sub>5</sub>S267Q mutant GlyRs were co-expressed with α<sub>5</sub>β<sub>2</sub> GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in HEK-293 cells (Figure 6C). Compared with the WT, the S267Q point mutation significantly increased the amount of GlyRs co-immunoprecipitated with α<sub>5</sub>β<sub>2</sub> GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs in both the HEK-293 cells (Figures 6D and S14A–S14C) and the brainstem of GlyR<sub>α1</sub>S267Q mutant mice (Figures 6E and S14D–S14F).

**Pre- and Extra-synaptic α5-Containing GABA<sub>A</sub>R Is a Therapeutic Target of Diazepam for Hyperekplexia Disease**

Benzodiazepines (BZDs) have always been used as the first-line medication to treat patients with hyperekplexia in the clinic (Cijk and Tijssen, 2010; Garg et al., 2008; Tijssen et al., 1997). Therefore, we next assessed whether diazepam (DIA), the most common BZD, could rescue the pre- and extra-synaptic GABA<sub>A</sub>R deficiency in the brainstem hypoglossal nucleus of GlyR<sub>α1</sub>R271Q KI mice. We conducted the following electrophysiological recordings, Co-IP experiments, and behavioral tests using homozygous and heterozygous GlyR<sub>α1</sub>R271Q KI mice because most GlyR<sub>α1</sub>R271Q KI mice died within 2–3 weeks (Figure S15). DIA significantly rescued the reduced frequency of GABA miniPSCs (Figure 7A) and the attenuated amplitude of the BSTC (Figure 7B) in the brainstem hypoglossal nucleus of the GlyR<sub>α1</sub>R271Q mutant mice. Consistently, DIA also significantly restored the attenuated GABA<sub>A</sub> current in HEK-293 cells co-expressing α<sub>5</sub>-containing GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs and α<sub>1</sub>S267Q GlyRs (Figure S17A). These effects of DIA were remarkably diminished by Xli-093 (Figures 7A and 7B), which could specifically block DIA-induced potentiation on α<sub>5</sub>-containing GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (Clayton et al., 2015) (Figures S17B and S17C).

Next, we investigated whether the restoration of pre- and extra-synaptic GABA<sub>A</sub>R functioning by DIA in the brainstem hypoglossal nucleus was sufficient to treat hyperekplexia. An intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of DIA markedly alleviated hind feet clenching behaviors and exaggerated tremors in the GlyR<sub>α1</sub>R271Q KI mice when the animals were picked up by their tails (Figure 7C). The therapeutic effect of DIA was completely abolished by an intra-brainstem hypoglossal nucleus microinjection of Xli-093 (Figure 7C). The GlyR<sub>α1</sub>R271Q mutant mice displayed exaggerated startle reflexes in response to various acoustic stimuli (Figure 7D). The systemic administration of DIA significantly inhibited the exaggerated startle responses of the GlyR and GABA<sub>A</sub>R (expressed as normalized GlyR-GABA<sub>A</sub>R CO-IP) (Figure 7F). Altogether, our results...
reveal that the pre- and extra-synaptic α5-containing GABAAR may be the major acting target of BDZ to treat hyperekplexia disease.

**DISCUSSION**

Both GABAAR and GlyR mediate rapid synaptic transmissions in the central nervous system (Jacob et al., 2008; Langosch et al., 1990). Despite the widespread speculation that cross talk exists between these two types of receptors (Schmieden et al., 1993; Shrivastava et al., 2011; Maric et al., 2011), knowledge regarding the nature of such an interaction is limited. The data presented in this study provided several lines of evidence that primary hyperekplexic point mutations in the GlyRα1 subunit can suppress GABAAR functioning by hijacking GABAARs via protein interaction both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. This interaction underlies the pathological mechanism of hyperekplexia (Figure 8). First, hyperekplexic mutations in GlyRα1 subunits impair the functioning of both GlyRs and GABAARs in HEK293 cells and the mouse brainstem hypoglossal nucleus. Second, the mutant GlyRs are highly capable of forming hetero-oligomers with certain types of GABAARs. The R271Q point mutation increased the binding free energy, contact surface area, and number of hydrogen bonds between GABAARα1 and GlyRα1 protein. Third, the signal intensity of such GlyR-GABAAR complexes is highly correlated with the severity of the GABAAR deficiency and exaggerated startle responses in hyperekplexic mice.
In this study, weak binding between the WT GlyRs and GABAARs was observed in both HEK-293 cells and brainstem tissues. This weak bonding is unlikely to affect the functioning of both ion channels because the glycine and GABA-activated currents did not show differences when the WT GlyRs and GABAARs were either separately expressed or co-expressed in HEK-293 cells. In contrast, this weak binding may provide a possible explanation for the synergistic effects of glycine and GABA that have been observed in several previous reports (Li and Yang, 1998; Rogers et al., 2016). For instance, a strong synergistic interaction has been observed between GABA and glycine in acutely isolated crucian carp retina neurons. The co-application of both agonists resulted in much larger responses (current >400 pA) than either GABA or glycine alone (current <20 pA) (Li and Yang, 1998). Another report also demonstrated that GABA and glycine can act synergistically at the spinal cord to generate a tonic inhibition of the micturition reflex pathway (Rogers et al., 2016). However, such bonding between GlyR and GABAAR does not appear to always be a good thing. In fact, the hyperekplexic mutations in GlyR caused stronger binding with GABAAR but remarkably impaired the functioning of both channels.

Site mutations generally attenuate the interaction between two associated proteins (Salpietro et al., 2019; Smets et al., 2017; Bizarro and Meier, 2017). However, our findings reveal an entire opposite pattern in the modulation of protein-protein interactions, particularly under pathological conditions. For instance, several hyperekplexic site mutations in GlyR α1, such as R271Q and S267Q, enhance its bonding interaction with GABAAR and therefore induce dysfunction in GABAAR. This mechanism may be universal since a similar pattern has been observed in several previous studies investigating the molecular and cellular mechanisms of various diseases. For instance, the R882H mutation in DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferase 3a (DNMT3A) enhances its binding to polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) protein and causes transcriptional silencing.

Figure 7. DIA Rescues Dysfunction of Pre- and Extra-synaptic α5-Containing GABAARs and Exaggerated Startle Responses in Hyperekplexic Mutant Mice

(A) Trace records, average frequency, and amplitude of GABAergic mIPSCs in brainstem hypoglossal nucleus slices from WT and GlyR α1 S267Q mutant mice with or without diazepam (10 μM) and/or Xli-093 (1 μM) pre-incubation.

(B) Trace records and average values of bicuculline-sensitive tonic currents (BSTC) in brainstem hypoglossal nucleus slices from WT and GlyR α1 S267Q mutant mice with or without diazepam (10 μM) and/or Xli-093 (1 μM) pre-incubation.

(C) Hind feet clenching behavior in GlyR α1 S267Q mutant mice and effect of DIA (i.p. 10 mg/kg) and Xli-093 (intra-brainstem hypoglossal nucleus injection, 5 μg) on this behavior.

(D) Average values of startle responses induced by white noise at 85, 90, and 95 dB in WT (n = 8) and GlyR α1 S267Q (n = 8) mice.

(E) Average values of startle response activated by white noise at 85 dB in WT and GlyR α1 S267Q mutant mice with or without diazepam (i.p. 10 mg/kg) and/or Xli-093 (intra-brainstem hypoglossal nucleus injection, 5 μg) treatments.

(F) Correlation analysis of fold increases in startle response, percentage decreases in mIPSC frequency, and amount of mutant α1 GlyRs co-immunoprecipitated with GABAARs in hyperekplexic mutant mice.

All digits within the columns represent numbers of cells or mice measured. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 based on unpaired t tests; ns, not significant (p > 0.05).
suggesting that PRC1 favors R882 mutants over WT as binding partners in DNMT3A-mutated leukemia disease (Koya et al., 2016). Furthermore, the H443P mutant NOD-like receptor (NLR) protein NLRC4 more strongly interacts with 19S proteasome ATPase Sug1 and ubiquitinated proteins in auto-inflammatory syndrome. This enhanced interaction triggers the constitutive caspase-8-mediated cell death (Raghawan et al., 2017).

The hijacking of GABAARs by mutant GlyRs also results in a deficiency in major inhibitory neurotransmission. This finding is consistent with a previous study showing that the R271Q point mutation causes the hyperekplexia phenotype and impairs glycine and GABA transmission in mice (Becker et al., 2002; Von Wegerer et al., 2003). The GlyR _α_1 subunit greatly reduces the formation of the GlyR-GABAAR complex, suggesting that the hijacking of the GABAAR by the mutant GlyR _α_1 subunits likely occurs in pre- or extra-synaptic sites where the GlyR _β_ subunit is absent. Consistently, the low levels of the GlyR _β_ subunit were associated with the hyperekplexic phenotype in mice (Becker et al., 2000). This hypothesis was tested and supported by the subsequent electrophysiological recordings, which indicated that only pre- and extra-synaptic GABAARs were impaired in the brainstem hypoglossal nucleus of hyperekplexic mice. Therefore, this study reveals that the pre- and extra-synaptic GABAARs, specifically the _α_5 subunit-containing GABAARs primarily located in brainstem hypoglossal nucleus, are novel primary targets in hyperekplexia. This hypothesis is supported by our finding that the GABAAR _α_5 and GlyR _α_1 subunits are colocalized in the brainstem hypoglossal nucleus in GlyR _α_1, S267Q and WT mutant mice as revealed by RNAscope technology. DIA, which has been widely used to treat hyperekplexia in the clinic (Garg et al., 2008; Becker et al., 2000; Tijssen et al., 1997), indeed specifically rescued the deficiency of pre- and extra-synaptic _α_5-containing GABAARs in the HEK-293 cells and mouse brainstem hypoglossal nucleus and restored the exaggerated startle reflex behaviors in the hyperekplexic mutant mice. Thus, developing specific GABAAR _α_5 agonists or modulators may be critical for the treatment of hyperekplexia without producing the major psychoactive or sedative side effects that

---

**Figure 8. Schematic of Mechanisms in which Hyperekplexic Mutant GlyRs Disrupt Inhibitory Neurotransmission by Interacting with Pre- and Extra-synaptic GABAARs**

(A) Under normal conditions, presynaptic GABAARs facilitate GABA release from GABAergic neuron terminals, activating postsynaptic GABAARs to inhibit neurons in the brainstem hypoglossal nucleus. The extra-synaptic GABAARs mediate the chronic inhibition of postsynaptic neurons in the brainstem hypoglossal nucleus.

(B) In hyperekplexia disease, the mutant GlyR _α_1 binds to pre- and extra-synaptic GABAARs and, therefore, reduce GABA release and the chronic inhibition. The postsynaptic GlyR _β_ subunits prevent the mutant GlyR _α_1 from binding to the GABAARs.

(C) DIA exerts its therapeutic effect by allosterically potentiating pre- and extra-synaptic _α_5-containing GABAARs in the brainstem hypoglossal nucleus.
are associated with benzodiazepines, such as DIA. Such dynamic changes in pre- and extra-synaptic GlyR-GABAA,R complexes may also contribute to various physiological and pathological processes, such as pain, anxiety, and sleep disorders (Botta et al., 2015; Bravo-Hernandez et al., 2016; Crestani et al., 2002; Xiong et al., 2011, 2012). Thus, this GlyR-GABAA,R interaction not only leads to human hyperekplexia but also may contribute to various neurological disorders involving GlyR and GABAA,R deficiency.

Limitations of the Study
Although we identified the interaction between GlyR and GABAA,R in the brain of hyperekplexic transgenic mice, the detailed interaction pattern and interaction sites between both receptors remain unsolved in the present study. Future research should consider utilizing more advanced molecular biology approaches to clarify the detailed mechanisms involved.

METHODS
All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY
The raw data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors, upon request.
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Figure S1. Trace records, average frequency and amplitude of GABAergic sIPSCs in brain-stem hypoglossal nucleus slices from WT and GlyR$_{\alpha_{1}}^{M287L}$ mutant mice (related to Figure 1). All digits within the columns represent numbers of cells measured. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. ns, not significant ($P > 0.05$) based on unpaired t-tests.
Figure S2. GABA-activated currents on GlyRs (related to Figure 2). Average values of currents activated by 1 mM GABA in HEK-293 cells expressing WT and mutant α1 GlyRs. All digits within the columns represent numbers of cells measured. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. ns, not significant (P > 0.05) based on unpaired t tests.
Figure S3. Effects of hyperekplexic mutations of GlyRα1 on the currents activated by muscimol (related to Figure 2). (A) Trace records and average values of GABA and muscimol $I_{\text{max}}$ in HEK-293 cells expressing GABA$_\text{A}$Rs (α1β2γ2) and GlyRs separately. (B) Average values of muscimol $I_{\text{max}}$ activated by 100 μM muscimol in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GABA$_\text{A}$Rs (α1β2γ2) and mutant α1 GlyRs. All digits within the columns represent numbers of cells measured. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. * $P < 0.05$, *** $P < 0.001$ based on unpaired t tests.
Western blotting results showing protein expression levels of GlyR and GABA\(\alpha\)R in plasma membranes extracted from HEK-293 cells co-transfected with the cDNA of Gly\(\alpha\)1\textsubscript{WT}, Gly\(\alpha\)1\textsubscript{R271Q} and Gly\(\alpha\)1\textsubscript{S267Q} with GABA\(\alpha\)Rs (\(\alpha\)1\textsubscript{β2γ2}). ns, not significant \((P > 0.05)\) based on unpaired t tests.
Figure S5. (related to Figure 3). The GABA\(_\text{A}\)R\(_\alpha_1\) was purified using GlyR\(_\alpha_1\) antibodies in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GABA\(_\text{A}\)Rs (\(\alpha_1\beta_2\gamma_2\)) and WT/mutant GlyR\(_\alpha_1\), and co-precipitating proteins were detected by immunoblotting. Inputs are immunoblots of the same protein in cell lysates prior to co-IP. Quantification of WT and mutant GlyR\(_\alpha_1\) binding to GABA\(_\text{A}\)R\(_\alpha_1\). All digits within the columns represent numbers of cells measured. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. * \(P < 0.05\), *** \(P < 0.001\); ns, not significant (\(P > 0.05\)) based on unpaired t tests.
Figure S6. Whole gel images for Figure 3A (A-C) (related to Figure 3).
Figure S7. Identification of association between mutant GlyRα1 and GABAARα1 in the plasma membrane (related to Figure 3). Plasma membrane GlyR α1 was purified using GABAAR α1 antibodies in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GABAARs (α1β2γ2) and WT/mutant α1 GlyRs, and the co-precipitating proteins were detected by immunoblotting. Quantification of WT and mutant GlyR α1 binding to GABAAR α1 (n = 3). The data were normalized to the WT group. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. * P < 0.05, *** P < 0.001 based on unpaired t tests.
Figure S8. Whole gel images for Figure 3B (A-C); whole gel images for Figure 3C (D-F) and whole gel images for Figure 3D (G-I) (related to Figure 3).
Figure S9. Root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of three protein systems with respect to the starting structure (related to Figure 4). (A-C) Preparation and Molecular dynamic simulation of three protein bound systems. Protonation status of residues in three systems: Four histidine residues (His107, His 119, His 191 and His267 in chain A) and four histidine residues (His107, His 119, His 191 and His267 in chain B) were protonated at Nε in GB/GB system. Four histidine residues (His107, His 119, His 191 and His267 in chain A) and three histidine residues (His109, His 201 and His215 in chain B) were protonated at Nε in GB/GR system. Four histidine residues (His107, His 119, His 191 and His267 in chain A) and three histidine residues (His109, His 201 and His215 in chain B) were protonated at Nε in GB/GRM system. All other residues were configured under the standard protonation states at pH 7.

The optimization of the solvent, equilibration of the whole systems and the molecular dynamic simulation of the equilibrated systems were conducted following the steps listed below: After applying a position restraint of 100 mol$^{-1}$ Å$^{-2}$ on all solute atoms, solvent and ions were optimized by three steps: a. energy minimization for 1000 cycles; b. dynamic simulation of 10ps with the temperature increased from 10K to 298K; c. dynamic simulation of 10ps under pressure of 1 bar to equilibrate the density. After applying a restraint weight of 2.0 mol$^{-1}$ Å$^{-2}$ on proteins, the whole systems were equilibrated. First, 1000 cycles of energy minimization were applied. Second, the temperature was increased from 10K to 298 K over a period of 5ps dynamic simulation. Third, a dynamic simulation of 200ps under the constant pressure of 1 bar was applied. Finally, the whole system was equilibrated by 100ps dynamic simulation under constant temperature of 298 K and pressure of 1 bar. 1 ns MD production simulations were carried out under the constant temperature and pressure of 298K and 1 bar. Periodic boundary conditions were applied in the NPT ensemble using langevin dynamics. The SHAKE algorithm was applied to fix all bond lengths involving hydrogen atoms. A time step of 2 fs and a direct non-bond interaction cut off radius of 8.0 Å were used with particle-mesh Ewald for long-range electrostatic interactions. Three parallel runs were carried out for each system.
**Figure S10. Mutagenesis and correlation analysis of GlyRα1R271 site (related to Figure 4).**

(A) The average values of glycine \(I_{\text{max}}\) activated by 1 mM glycine in HEK-293 cells expressing various R271 site mutant GlyRα1 subunits. All data were normalized to their respective controls (WT group). All digits within the columns represent numbers of cells measured. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. *** \(P < 0.001\); ns, not significant (\(P > 0.05\)) based on unpaired t tests.

(B) Correlation analysis of R271 mutations-induced percentage inhibition of glycine and GABA \(I_{\text{max}}\) (linear regression).

(C) Correlation analysis of CoMSIA values of various amino acids at 271 and percentage inhibition of glycine \(I_{\text{max}}\) (linear regression).

Residues used for 3D-QSAR analysis were generated in SYBYL8.1 software. The structures were minimized and charged with MMFF94 force field. Comparative molecular similarity index analysis (CoMSIA) was conducted to model the correlation between residues structures and inhibition activity. Both electrostatic field and steric field were generated. Final computed CoMSIA value of residues were plotted with inhibition activity.
Figure S11. Whole gel images for Figure 4G (related to Figure 4).
Figure S12. Whole gel images for Figure 5C (related to Figure 5).
Figure S13. (related to Figure 6). Representative confocal imaging showing colocalization of GABAARα5 and GlyRα1 subunits mRNAs in the GlyRα1WT mouse brainstem hypoglossal nucleus using RNAScope technology. Scale bar, 25μm.
Figure S14. Whole gel images for Figure 6D (A-C) and whole gel images for Figure 6E (D-F) (related to Figure 6).
Figure S15. Survival curves of WT, S267Q and R271Q GlyRα1 mutant transgenic mice (related to Figure 7) (WT, n= 6; R271Q+/-, n=4; R271Q−/−, n=6; S267Q−/−, n=8; S267Q+/-, n=6). All R271Q+/-, R271Q−/− and S267Q−/− mice died within 4 weeks of life.
Figure S16. Average values of currents activated by 1 μM GABA in HEK-293 cells with or without pre-incubation of 0.1 or 1 μM Xli-093 in HEK-293 cells expressing GABA_4Rs (αβγ) (related to Figure 7). All digits within the columns represent numbers of cells measured. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. ns, not significant (P > 0.05) based on unpaired t tests.
Figure S17. Effects of Xli-093 on diazepam-induced potentiation of GABA_ARs (related to Figure 7). (A-C) Average values of currents activated by 1 mM GABA in HEK-293 cells co-expressing GlyR_α1S267Q and α_5 (A), α_1 (B) or α_2 (C) containing GABA_ARs with or without pre-incubation of 10 μM diazepam and 1 μM Xli-093. All digits within the columns represent numbers of cells measured. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. **P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001; ns, not significant (P > 0.05) based on unpaired t tests.
Transparent Methods

Animals.
GlyR$_{\alpha_1}^{S267Q}$ and GlyR$_{\alpha_1}^{M287L}$ transgenic mice were from Yuri Blednov and Adron Harris (University of Texas at Austin, Texas) (Findlay et al., 2003; Borghese et al., 2012). GlyR$_{\alpha_1}^{R271Q}$ transgenic mice were from Hans Weiher (University of Applied Sciences Bonn-Rhein-Sieg, Germany) (O'Shea et al., 2004). Hyperekplexic GlyR mutant mice and their wild-type littermates (P12-P21) were used in all recording, western blot and co-immunoprecipitation experiments. Hyperekplexic GlyR mutant mice and their wild-type littermates (7-8 weeks old) were used in startle reflex and RNAscope tests. All mice were housed under a semi-natural dark/light cycle of 12:12 h. All genetically engineered mice studied were homozygous and heterozygous for the mutant $\alpha_1$ subunit. Genotyping of the $\alpha_1$ M287L mutant mice was done using the following primers: forward: 5'-GAATCTTCCAGGCAACATTTCCAG-3'; reverse: 5'-AGTATCCCACCAA-GCC AGTCTTT-3'. Genotyping of the $\alpha_1$ S267Q mutant mice were done using the following primers: forward: 5'-GCTTTAACTTCTGCCCTATGG-3'; reverse: 5'-GTGTGTTAACTTGTATTG-3'. Genotyping of the $\alpha_1$ R271Q mutant mice was done using the following primers: forward: 5'-CTCATCTTTGAGTGCGCAGG A-3'; reverse: 5'-GCATCCATGTTGAT CAGAA-3'. Wild-type littermates and mutant ($\alpha_1$ M287L, $\alpha_1$ S267Q and $\alpha_1$ R271Q) homozygous mice used for the electrophysiological recording were produced from heterozygous breeding pairs. Mice used in this study are all male unless otherwise indicated. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee of School of Life Sciences, University of Science & Technology of China.

Site-directed mutagenesis.
All point mutations for $\alpha_{1-3}$ GlyR were introduced using a QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Takara, Inc.). Sequence of DNA mutants were confirmed through double-stranded DNA sequencing with Genetic Analysis System (Sangon, Inc.).

Electrophysiological recording.
HEK-293 cells (ATCC) were cultured using Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Media with 10% fetal bovine serum in 37°C and 5% CO2. Cells were plated at a density of 10^6 cells/ml in 35-mm dishes and allowed to grow to 70% confluence before transfection (Hu et al., 2006). Plasmids coding GABA\_R and GlyR were co-transfected into HEK-293 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) reagents. 2 days after transfection, electrophysiological recordings were carried out. HEK-293 cells were treated with 0.25% (w/v) Trypsin 2 hours before recording. HEK-293 cells were then lifted and recorded with external solution containing 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2.0 mM CaCl\_2, 1.0 mM MgCl\_2, 10 mM glucose and 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4 with NaOH, ~320 mOsm with sucrose). Patch pipettes (3–5 MΩ) were filled with intracellular solution contained 140 mM CsCl, 4 mM MgCl\_2, 10 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 0.5 mM Na-GTP and 2 mM Mg-ATP (pH 7.2 with CsOH, ~280 mOsm). Membrane currents were recorded in the whole-cell configuration using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon) at 20–25°C. Cells were held at −60 mV unless otherwise indicated. Data were acquired using pClamp 10.4 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Drugs were applied using a Warner fast-step stepper motor–driven system.

**Brainstem hypoglossal nucleus slice preparation and recording.**

For brainstem slice neuron recording, hyperekplexic GlyR mutant mice and their wild-type littermates (P12-P21) were used. Brainstem slices were prepared as followings: parasagittal brainstem slices (260-µm thick) were prepared from P12 to P21 mice with Leica Vibratome in ice-cold cutting solution containing (in mM) 30 NaCl, 26 NaHCO\_3, 10 glucose, 194 sucrose, 4.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH\_2PO\_4, 1 MgCl\_2 and continuously bubbled with carbogen (95% O\_2-5% CO\_2). Slices were transferred to a perfusion chamber containing artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) (in mM): 124 NaCl, 4.5 KCl, 1 MgCl\_2, 2 CaCl\_2, 1.2 NaH\_2PO\_4, and 26 NaHCO\_3, continuously bubbled in carbogen. After 60 min recovery at room temperature, slices were transferred to a recording chamber continuously perfused with ACSF (2-3ml/min). All recordings were performed at 34 °C using glass pipettes filled with internal solution containing 120 mM CsCl, 4 mM MgCl\_2, 10 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 0.5 mM Na-GTP and 2 mM Mg-ATP (pH 7.2 with CsOH,
~280 mOsm). For sIPSCs recording, 4 mM kynurenic acid and 1 µM strychnine were added in continuously perfused ACSF solution. For mIPSCs recording, 10 µM TTX was additionally added in continuously perfused ACSF. Maximum current of GABA$_A$Rs induced by 1mM GABA was recorded in brainstem slices of GlyR$_\alpha_1$ mutant mice and littermate wild type mice. Extra-synaptic current of GABA$_A$Rs was recorded with bicuculline. 80 µM bicuculline, 10 µM Diazepam and 1 µM Xli-093 was applied by puff application directly to the recorded neuron using a positive pressure system (4 PSI, 15 ms; Toohey Company, Fairfield, NJ). The input resistance was monitored continuously, and the recording was abandoned if the resistance changed more than 15 %. All brainstem slice recordings were performed under a double-blind condition.

**Computational investigation of three protein bound systems.**

Three protein bound systems were prepared to investigate the binding affinity between GABA$_A$R and GlyR. Crystal structures of protein GABA$_A$R $\beta_3$ obtained at a 2.7 Å resolution (Miller et al., 2014) (PDB ID: 4COF) and protein GlyR $\alpha_3$ obtained at a 2.5 Å resolution (Huang et al., 2017) (PDB ID: 5VDH) were obtained from the RCSB Protein Data Bank (RCSB PDB: www.rcsb.org). Each system contains the following two chains: GB/GB - two chains (A; B) extracted from the crystal structure of GABA$_A$R $\beta_3$ (PDB ID: 4COF); GB/GR - one chain (A) extracted from the GABA$_A$R and one chain extracted (A) from the GlyR; GB/GRM – same complex as GB/GR, except for Arg271 of GlyR is mutated to Glutamine. The initial binding conformation of the GB/GR complex was obtained using Z-dock software (Pierce et al., 2014).

All crystallographic water molecules and ligands were removed. The protonation states were investigated using the H++ Server (Anandakrishnan et al., 2012) (protonation status is listed in Supporting Information). The protein was charged using an AMBER ff12SB force field. The proteins were solvated in a rectangular box of TIP3P water with a minimum distance between the protein and the box edge of 11 Å. The initial density of the systems was set as 0.9 g·mL$^{-1}$.

The optimization of the solvent, equilibration of the whole systems and the molecular dynamic simulation of the equilibrated systems were conducted in all three systems.
The trajectory was sampled every 1 ps for the analysis using the ptraj and cpptraj programs. The protein structures and snapshots were visualized using VMD (Humphrey et al., 1996). The RMSF values of the protein systems were calculated after aligning to the first structure during the entire 1 ns. Using the MM/GBSA (Molecular Mechanics/Generalized Born Surface Area) method, the binding free energy of two chains was calculated during the entire simulation time. The distances between the residue and atom pairs were obtained using the WORDOM program (Seeber et al., 2007) and mapped using the Gnuplot program (http://www.gnuplot.info/).

**Western blotting.**

The GlyR and GABA<sub>A</sub>R plasmids were transfected into HEK-293 cells using lipo2000 (Invitrogen) reagents. After 48–72 h, whole cell proteins were prepared using buffer containing 1 M Tris-HCL (pH 7.5), 1 % protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), 1 M NaCl and 5 % sodium deoxycholate. The membrane protein was collected using a Membrane Protein Extraction Kit (89842, Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Equal amounts of protein were loaded on 12 % SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to PVDF membranes (NEN, Boston, MA, USA) for 90 min. After the transfer, the membranes were blocked by incubation with TBS containing 0.1 % Tween-20 and 5 % (wt/vol) nonfat milk for 1 h and with primary antibodies against GABA<sub>A</sub>R <sub>α</sub>1 (1:100, 06-868, Merck), GABA<sub>A</sub>R <sub>α</sub>5 (1:500, ab10098, Abcam), GlyR <sub>α</sub>1 (1:500, NB300-113, Novus), GAPDH (1:5000, 60004-1-AP, Proteintech), and Na, K-ATPase (1:1000, #3010, CST) overnight. After three 5-min washes with TBS plus Tween-20, the membranes were incubated with secondary antibodies against rabbit (1:5000, ab6721, Abcam) or mouse (1:5000, ab6789, Abcam) for 1 h at room temperature. The membranes were washed three times with TBS plus Tween-20 for 5 min, and the protein bands were imaged using ECL reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For western blot analysis of tissue samples, hyperekplexic GlyR mutant mice and their wild-type littermates (P12-P21) were used. The other procedures were consistent with those used for the HEK-293 cells.

**Co-Immunoprecipitation.**
The cell lysates were collected using methods similar to those used for the Western blotting. To show whether there is any change in protein expression level, 60 µL cell or tissue lysates was extracted and mixed with same volume loading buffer as input before immunoprecipitation. The “input” always performed as a necessary control in all co-immunoprecipitation experiments. IgG-agarose beads were incubated with primary antibodies against GABA\(_A\)R \(\alpha_1\) or GlyR \(\alpha_1\) protein overnight at 4°C. The mixture was washed and centrifuged 5 times for 1 min at 12,000 rpm with PBS. The samples were collected, and the centrifugal mixture with the cell lysates was blended and then incubated overnight. After washing and centrifuging the mixtures 5 times for 1 min with cell lysis buffer, 100 µL loading buffer were added, and then the mixture was boiled for 5 min. The samples and inputs were then used for the SDS-PAGE and Western blotting analysis. The primary antibodies were the same as those used in the Western blotting analysis. A mouse anti-rabbit IgG (light-chain specific) (L57A3) mAb reacting with the light chain of rabbit IgG was used to confirm the specific protein band. Normal rabbit IgG (sc-2027, Santa Cruz) was used as a negative control in the immunoprecipitation experiments. For co-immunoprecipitation of tissue samples, hyperekplexic GlyR mutant mice and their wild-type littermates (P12-P21) were used. To completely grind the tissue samples, an automatic lapping machine and ultrasonic homogenizers were used. The other procedures were consistent with those used for the HEK-293 cells.

**RNAscope method.**

For RNAscope tests, hyperekplexic GlyR mutant mice and their wild-type littermates (7-8 weeks old) were used. Whole brain tissues were removed and frozen on dry ice. The fresh frozen tissue sections (12 µm thick) were mounted on positively charged microscopic glass slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Both the GlyR\(\alpha_1\) (Ghra1) RNA probe (NM_001290821) and GABA\(_A\)R\(\alpha_5\) subunit (GABra5) probe (NM_176942) were designed and provided by Advanced Cell Diagnostics, Inc. (Hayward, CA). The experimental procedures followed the manufacturer's instructions of RNAscope Fluorescent Multiplex V2 Assay. Stained slides were cover-slipped with fluorescent mounting medium (ProLong Gold Antifade Reagent, P36930, Thermo Fisher...
Scientific, Waltham, MA) and scanned using Zeiss LSM880 confocal microscope (Zeiss, USA, San Diego, CA). For each sample, three adjacent sections were stained using the Glra1 and GABra5 RNAscope probes. “GlyRα1 mRNA–positive neurons and GABAARα5 mRNA–positive neurons were counted using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, NIH. https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/). The percentage of GlyRα1 mRNA–positive neurons that co-expressing GABAARα5 mRNA were calculated using the following formula: Proportion of GABAARα5+ neurons among GlyRα1+ neurons (%) = amount of neurons expressing both GABAARα5 and GlyRα1 / amount of neurons expressing GlyRα1 alone. The percentage of GABAARα5 mRNA–positive neurons that co-expressing GlyRα1 mRNA were calculated using the following formula: Proportion of GlyRα1+ neurons among GABAARα5+ neurons (%) = amount of neurons expressing both GABAARα5 and GlyRα1 / amount of neurons expressing GABAARα5 alone.”

The synthesis of Xli-093.

Xli-093 were synthesized according to a previous study (Li et al., 2003) as shown in the following steps. A solution of carbonyldiimidazole (90.7 mg, 0.56 mmol) and 8-ethynyl-5,6-dihydro-5-methyl-6-oxo-4H-imidazo[1,5-a][1,4]-benzodiazepine-3-carboxylic acid (148.9 mg, 0.53 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (10 mL) was stirred for 3 h at room temperature. After the starting material was converted by TLC (silica gel), to the solution was then added 1,3-propanediol (21.3 mg, 0.28 mmol) and DBU (102.1 mg, 0.67 mmol) in dry DMF (1 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight until the reaction was complete by TLC (silica gel). The reaction mixture was then poured into water (60 mL) and extracted with DCM (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (50 mL), brine and dried with Na2SO4. The solution was filtered and the filtrate was condensed. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, EtOAc/ petroleum ether (60-90°C), 1:2) to provide Xli-093 (81.3 mg) as a white solid in 51% yield. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 8.19 (2H, d), 7.90 (2H, s), 7.73 (2H, dd), 7.41 (2H, d), 5.29-5.15 (2H, br), 4.56 (4H, t), 4.37 (2H, br), 3.26 (6H, s), 3.24 (2H, s), 2.42-2.27 (2H, m).

Drugs.
Most chemicals including GABA and glycine were achieved from Sigma-Aldrich. All solutions were prepared the day before experiment with ultrapure water. Agonist, modulator and antagonist were diluted before experiment with external solution or ACSF. Diazepam and Xli-093 was dissolved in ethanol before further dilution by external solution. Diazepam was sourced from Sigma-Aldrich. All the final concentration of ethanol in working solution was less than 8 mM, which had no potential effect on $I_{Gly}$ and $I_{GABA}$. All the vehicles used in experiments had no latency responses when used alone.

**Startle reflex test.**

The mice were placed in Med Associates Startle Reflex System (Med Associates Inc.) chambers and allowed to habituate for 5 min. Then, the mice were tested to measure their level of startle using a series of pseudorandom white noise startle stimuli (10 presentations of each sound intensity, 85 dB, 90 dB, and 95 dB) with a 58-63 s intertrial interval (ITI). Male heterozygous S267Q transgenic mice and their wild-type littermates (7–8 weeks old) were used in the startle test. The mice were injected with diazepam (10 mg/kg, i.p.) and Xli-093 (5 µg/2 µL, intra-brainstem hypoglossal nucleus) before being placed in the startle device.

**Statistical analysis.**

In our study, no statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes, all experiments and data analysis were conducted in a blinded way. For behavioral experiments, animals from different genotypes were picked randomly for testing. For electrophysiological experiments, brainstem hypoglossal neurons or transfected HEK-293 cells were randomly picked for patch-clamp recordings. Statistical analysis of the concentration-response data is performed with the use of a nonlinear curve-fitting program. Data were fit using the Hill equation, $I/I_{max} = bottom + (top − bottom)/(1 + 10^{(\log EC_50 − \log[agonist])} \times Hill slope)$, where $I$ is the current amplitude activated by a given concentration of agonist ([agonist]), $Imax$ is the maximum response of the cell, and $EC_{50}$ is the concentration eliciting a half-maximal response. Correlation analysis were performed with linear regression. Data were statistically compared by unpaired t test using GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software), as indicated in the specific figure legends. Average
values are expressed as the mean ± SEM and mean ± SD. P < 0.05 was considered significant. The data distribution was assumed to be normal, but this was not formally tested.
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