ON THE SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF DIRAC OPERATORS WITH ELECTROSTATIC $\delta$-SHELL INTERACTIONS
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Abstract. In this paper the spectral properties of Dirac operators $A_\eta$ with electrostatic $\delta$-shell interactions of constant strength $\eta$ supported on compact smooth surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are studied. Making use of boundary triple techniques a Krein type resolvent formula and a Birman-Schwinger principle are obtained. With the help of these tools some spectral, scattering, and asymptotic properties of $A_\eta$ are investigated. In particular, it turns out that the discrete spectrum of $A_\eta$ inside the gap of the essential spectrum is finite, the difference of the third powers of the resolvents of $A_\eta$ and the free Dirac operator $A_0$ is trace class, and in the nonrelativistic limit $A_\eta$ converges in the norm resolvent sense to a Schrödinger operator with an electric $\delta$-potential of strength $\eta$.

1. Introduction

Singular $\delta$-interactions are often used as idealized replacements for strongly localized electric potentials; the spectral data, scattering properties, and the location of resonances for the original operator can be deduced then approximately. While Schrödinger operators with $\delta$-interactions supported on manifolds of small co-dimensions were investigated extensively, cf. the monographs [1, 11, 22] and the review article [21], much less attention was paid to Dirac operators with $\delta$-interactions.

Let us choose units such that $\hbar = 1$ and denote the speed of light by $c$. It is well-known that the free Dirac operator

$$A_0 := -ic \sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j \partial_j + mc^2 \beta = -ico \cdot \nabla + mc^2 \beta,$$

$$\text{dom } A_0 = H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4),$$

where $m > 0$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and $\beta$ denote the Dirac matrices (1.1), is self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ and that

$$\sigma(A_0) = (-\infty, -mc^2] \cup [mc^2, \infty).$$

The free Dirac operator describes the motion of a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particle with mass $m$ in vacuum taking relativistic aspects into account; cf. [32]. In the following let $\Sigma$ be the boundary of a bounded $C^\infty$-smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Then the Dirac operator
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with an electrostatic $\delta$-shell interaction supported on $\Sigma$ with constant interaction strength $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ is formally given by

$$A_\eta = -ic\alpha \cdot \nabla + mc^2\beta + \eta \delta_{\Sigma},$$

where $\delta_{\Sigma}$ stands for the $\delta$-distribution supported on the surface $\Sigma$ acting as

$$\delta_{\Sigma} f = \frac{1}{2}(f_+|_{\Sigma} + f_-|_{\Sigma}); \quad f_+ = f|_{\Omega}, \quad f_- = f|_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega}. $$

Note that $A_\eta$ is defined on functions that are weakly differentiable away from $\Sigma$, the $\delta$-interaction is then modeled, as usual, by a jump condition for these functions on $\Sigma$. It is the main objective of this paper to analyze the properties of Dirac operators with electrostatic $\delta$-shell interactions by applying the abstract technique of quasi boundary triples and their Weyl functions from extension theory of symmetric operators. Our investigations and some of our results are inspired by the very recent contributions $[2, 3, 4]$. The mathematical study of Dirac operators with $\delta$-interactions started in the 1980s. One dimensional Dirac operators with singular point interactions were studied in $[25]$; cf. also $[1, \text{Appendix J}]$, $[15]$ and the references therein, and the first mathematically rigorous contribution on a Dirac operator in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a $\delta$-shell interaction supported on a sphere was $[19]$. Using a decomposition into spherical harmonics and the results on the one dimensional Dirac operator with singular interactions self-adjointness of $A_\eta$ and a number of spectral properties were shown. The interest in the topic arose again with the discovery of a family of artificial materials where the Dirac equation can be approximately deduced from Schrödinger’s equation $[33]$. From a mathematical point of view the investigation of Dirac operators with $\delta$-interactions supported on more general surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ was initiated recently in $[2, 3, 4]$.

Our motivation is to show how the concept of quasi boundary triples and their Weyl functions can be used to introduce and study Dirac operators with electrostatic $\delta$-shell interactions. Quasi boundary triples are a slight generalization of the concept of (ordinary) boundary triples, which is a powerful tool in the analysis of self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators $[13, 14, 17, 27, 29]$. Quasi boundary triples were originally introduced in $[6]$ for the study of elliptic partial differential operators, they were applied in the investigation of Schrödinger operators with singular interactions in $[8]$, and they are easily applicable also to Dirac operators since in contrast to form methods no semi-boundedness is required. In this context let us briefly explain our approach to define the Dirac operator $A_\eta$ with an electrostatic $\delta$-shell interaction. Let $S$ be the restriction of the free Dirac operator $A_0$ to functions that vanish at $\Sigma$ and let $S^*$ be its adjoint. We then construct an operator $T$ which is dense in $S^*$ and define the $\delta$-operators $A_\eta$ as restrictions of $T$ to functions that satisfy certain jump conditions on $\Sigma$; cf. Section 4 for details. For $\eta \neq \pm 2c$ we conclude the self-adjointness of $A_\eta$ and a Krein type formula relating the resolvent of $A_\eta$ with the resolvent of the free Dirac operator $A_0$ from the general theory of quasi boundary triples and their Weyl functions. We remark that the self-adjointness of $A_\eta$ for $\eta \neq \pm 2c$ is also proven in $[2]$ using another approach.

Let us describe the main results of this paper. First, we discuss the spectral properties of the Dirac operator with an electrostatic $\delta$-shell interaction. Making use of some special properties of the Weyl function in the present situation the next result can be viewed as a consequence of the abstract resolvent formula and the
corresponding Birman-Schwinger principle; for more details and additional results see Theorem 4.4.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( \eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{ \pm 2c \} \) and let \( A_\eta \) be the Dirac operator with an electrostatic \( \delta \)-shell interaction of strength \( \eta \). Then the essential spectrum is given by

\[
\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_\eta) = (-\infty, -mc^2] \cup [mc^2, \infty)
\]

and the discrete spectrum in the gap \((-mc^2, mc^2)\) is finite, that is,

\[
\#\{\sigma_d(A_\eta) \cap (-mc^2, mc^2)\} < \infty.
\]

The next result on the trace class property of the difference of the third powers of the resolvents of \( A_\eta \) and \( A_0 \) has important consequences for mathematical scattering theory. In particular, it follows that the wave operators for the scattering system \( \{A_\eta, A_0\} \) exist and are complete and that the absolutely continuous parts of \( A_\eta \) and \( A_0 \) are unitarily equivalent. For more details see Theorem 4.6, where also a trace formula in terms of the Weyl function and its derivatives is provided.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( \eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{ \pm 2c \} \), let \( A_\eta \) be the Dirac operator with an electrostatic \( \delta \)-shell interaction and let \( \lambda \in \rho(A_\eta) \cap \rho(A_0) \). Then the operator

\[
(A_\eta - \lambda)^{-3} - (A_0 - \lambda)^{-3}
\]

belongs to the trace class ideal.

Our third and last main result in Theorem 5.3 concerns the nonrelativistic limit of the Dirac operator with an electrostatic \( \delta \)-shell interaction. We show that – after subtracting the rest energy of the mass from the total energy – \( A_\eta \) converges in the norm resolvent sense to the Schrödinger operator with an electric \( \delta \)-potential of strength \( \eta \) supported on \( \Sigma \) times a projection onto the upper components of the Dirac wave function, as \( c \to \infty \). Hence, the Dirac operator with an electrostatic \( \delta \)-shell potential is the relativistic counterpart of the Schrödinger operator with an electric \( \delta \)-interaction; cf. [32, Chapter 6]. Since it is known that the Schrödinger operator with a \( \delta \)-potential is a suitable idealized model for Schrödinger operators with strongly localized regular potentials, cf. [5], the nonrelativistic limit yields a justification for the usage of \( A_\eta \) as an idealized model for the motion of a spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) particle in the presence of such a potential. Furthermore, this theorem allows one to deduce spectral properties of \( A_\eta \) for large \( c \) from the well-known results on the Schrödinger operator with a \( \delta \)-potential. Similar statements are already obtained for the one dimensional Dirac operator with \( \delta \)-interactions; see [1, 15, 25]. In a slightly simplified form Theorem 5.3 reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( \eta \in \mathbb{R} \) and let \( A_\eta \) be the Dirac operator with an electrostatic \( \delta \)-shell interaction of strength \( \eta \). Then, for any \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \) it holds

\[
\lim_{c \to \infty} \left( A_\eta - (\lambda + mc^2) \right)^{-1} = \left( -\frac{1}{2m} \Delta + \eta \delta_\Sigma - \lambda \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( I_2 \) denotes the identity matrix in \( \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \) and the convergence is in the operator norm.

Finally, let us familiarize the reader with the structure of this paper. In Section 2 we provide a brief introduction to the general theory of quasi boundary triples and their Weyl functions. The abstract results are formulated in the way they are
needed to prove our main results. Then, in Section 3 we introduce and investigate a quasi boundary triple which is suitable to define and study the Dirac operator \( A_{\eta} \) with an electrostatic \( \delta \)-shell potential. Using this quasi boundary triple we conclude the self-adjointness of \( A_{\eta} \) and derive a Krein type resolvent formula, which is an important tool in the proofs of our main results in Section 4 and Section 5. Finally, we have added the short Appendix A on criteria for the boundedness of certain integral operators to ensure a self-contained presentation.

**Notations.** The identity matrix in \( \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \) is denoted by \( I_n \). The Dirac matrices \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \) and \( \beta \) are

\[
\alpha_j := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_j \\ \sigma_j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta := \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \sigma_j, j \in \{1, 2, 3\} \), are the Pauli spin matrices

\[
\sigma_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note that the Dirac matrices satisfy the anti-commutation relation

\[
\alpha_j \alpha_k + \alpha_k \alpha_j = 2\delta_{jk}I_4, \quad j, k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\},
\]

with the convention \( \alpha_0 := \beta \).

For vectors \( x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \) we sometimes use the notation \( \alpha \cdot x := \sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j x_j \).

Furthermore, \( m \) and \( c \) denote positive constants that stand for the mass of the particle and the speed of light, respectively. The square root \( \sqrt{\gamma} \) is fixed by \( \sqrt{\lambda} \geq 0 \) for \( \lambda \geq 0 \) and by \( \text{Im} \sqrt{\lambda} > 0 \) for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty) \).

Throughout the text \( \Sigma \) is the boundary of a bounded \( C^\infty \)-smooth domain in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) and \( \sigma \) denotes the Hausdorff measure on \( \Sigma \). We shall mostly work with the \( L^2 \)-spaces \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^n) \) and \( L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^n) \) of \( \mathbb{C}^n \)-valued square integrable functions, and more generally with \( L^2(X; \mu; \mathbb{C}^n) \), where \( (X, \mu) \) is a measure space. We denote by \( C^\infty_c(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^n) \) the space of \( \mathbb{C}^n \)-valued smooth functions with compact support in an open set \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \). \( H^k(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^n) \) stands for the usual Sobolev space of \( k \)-times weakly differentiable functions and \( H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Sigma; \mathbb{C}^n) \) is the closure of \( C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Sigma; \mathbb{C}^n) \) with respect to the \( H^1 \)-norm. In a similar manner, Sobolev spaces on \( \Sigma \) are denoted by \( H^s(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^n) \), \( s \geq 0 \).

For Hilbert spaces \( X \) and \( Y \) we denote by \( \mathfrak{B}(X, Y) \) the space of all everywhere defined and bounded linear operators from \( X \) to \( Y \), in the case \( X = Y \) we shall simply write \( \mathfrak{B}(X) \). We use \( \mathfrak{S}_{p, \infty}(X, Y) \) for the weak Schatten–von Neumann ideal of order \( p > 0 \). Recall that a compact operator \( K : X \to Y \) belongs to \( \mathfrak{S}_{p, \infty}(X, Y) \), if there exists a constant \( \kappa \) such that the singular values \( s_k(K) \) of \( K \) satisfy \( s_k(K) \leq \kappa k^{-1/p} \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \); cf. [26] or [9, Section 2.2]. When no confusion can arise we will suppress the spaces \( X, Y \) and simply write \( \mathfrak{S}_{p, \infty} \). For a linear operator \( T : X \to Y \) we denote the domain, range, and kernel by \( \text{dom} T, \text{ran} T, \) and \( \ker T \), respectively. If \( T \) is a closed operator in \( X \) then its resolvent set, spectrum, essential spectrum, discrete and point spectrum are denoted by \( \rho(T), \sigma(T), \sigma_{ess}(T), \sigma_d(T), \) and \( \sigma_p(T) \), respectively. Finally, \( |\sigma_d(T) \) denotes the number of discrete eigenvalues counted with multiplicities.
2. Quasi boundary triples and associated Weyl functions

In this section we provide a brief introduction to boundary triple techniques in extension and spectral theory of symmetric and self-adjoint operators in Hilbert spaces. Here we present the necessary abstract material that is used in the formulation and proofs of our main results on Dirac operators with electrostatic $\delta$-shell interactions; we refer the reader to [6, 7, 14, 16, 18, 27] for more details, complete proofs and typical applications of boundary triples and their Weyl functions in the theory of ordinary and partial differential operators.

In the following let $H$ be a Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$, let $S$ be a densely defined closed symmetric operator in $H$, and let $S^\ast$ be the adjoint of $S$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $T$ be a linear operator in $H$ such that $T = S^\ast$. A triple $\{G, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ is called a quasi boundary triple for $S^\ast$ if $(G, (\cdot, \cdot)_G)$ is a Hilbert space and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom} T \to G$ are linear mappings such that the following conditions (i)–(iii) hold.

(i) The abstract Green’s identity

$$(Tf,g)_H - (f,Tg)_H = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g)_G - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g)_G$$

is valid for all $f, g \in \text{dom} T$.

(ii) The range of the mapping $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)^T : \text{dom} T \to G \times G$ is dense.

(iii) The operator $A_0 := T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0$ is self-adjoint in $H$.

A quasi boundary triple is said to be a generalized boundary triple if $\text{ran} \Gamma_0 = G$ and it is called an ordinary boundary triple if $\text{ran} \Gamma = G \times G$.

The notion of quasi boundary triples was introduced in [6] and further studied in [7] and, e.g. [8, 9, 10]. It slightly extends the concepts of generalized boundary triples from [18] and ordinary boundary triples from [13, 29]. We note that the above definition of ordinary boundary triples is equivalent to the usual definition in [14, 17, 27]; cf. [6, Corollary 3.2]. We also mention that a quasi boundary triple for $S^\ast$ exists if and only if $S$ admits self-adjoint extensions in $\mathfrak{H}$, that is, if and only if the defect numbers $\dim \ker (S^\ast \pm i)$ coincide, and that the operator $T$ arising in Definition 2.1 is in general not unique (namely, when the defect numbers of $S$ are both infinite). Assume that $T \subset \mathcal{T} = S^\ast$ and let $\{G, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ be a quasi boundary triple for $S^\ast$. Then according to [6] one has

$$S = T \upharpoonright (\ker \Gamma_0 \cap \ker \Gamma_1)$$

and the mapping $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)^T : \text{dom} T \to G \times G$ is closable.

Next we recall a variant of [6, Theorem 2.3] which in many situations is an efficient tool to verify that a certain boundary space $G$ and boundary mappings $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1$ form a quasi boundary triple. We will make use of Theorem 2.2 in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let $T$ be a linear operator in $\mathfrak{H}$, let $G$ be a Hilbert space and assume that $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom} T \to G$ are linear mappings which satisfy the following conditions (i)–(iii).

(i) The abstract Green’s identity

$$(Tf,g)_H - (f,Tg)_H = (\Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_0 g)_G - (\Gamma_0 f, \Gamma_1 g)_G$$
holds for all \( f, g \in \text{dom} T \).

(ii) The kernel and range of \( \Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)^\top : \text{dom} T \to \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \) are dense in \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \), respectively.

(iii) The restriction \( T \mid \ker \Gamma_0 \) contains a self-adjoint operator \( A_0 \).

Then
\[
S := T \upharpoonright (\ker \Gamma_0 \cap \ker \Gamma_1)
\]
is a densely defined closed symmetric operator in \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( \{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\} \) is a quasi boundary triple for \( T = S^* \) such that \( A_0 = T \mid \ker \Gamma_0 \).

In the following assume that \( \{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\} \) is a quasi boundary triple for \( T = S^* \) with \( A_0 = T \mid \ker \Gamma_0 \). The definition of the \( \gamma \)-field and Weyl function associated to the quasi boundary triple \( \{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\} \) below is based on the direct sum decomposition
\[
\text{dom} T = \text{dom} A_0 + \ker(T - \lambda) = \ker \Gamma_0 + \ker(T - \lambda), \quad \lambda \in \rho(A_0).
\]
For ordinary and generalized boundary triples the \( \gamma \)-field and Weyl function were introduced in [17] and [18]. The definition for quasi boundary triples is formally the same.

**Definition 2.3.** The \( \gamma \)-field \( \gamma \) and Weyl function \( M \) corresponding to a quasi boundary triple \( \{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\} \) for \( T = S^* \) are defined by
\[
\rho(A_0) \ni \lambda \mapsto \gamma(\lambda) = (\Gamma_0 \mid \ker(T - \lambda))^{-1},
\]
and
\[
\rho(A_0) \ni \lambda \mapsto M(\lambda) = \Gamma_1 (\Gamma_0 \mid \ker(T - \lambda))^{-1},
\]
respectively.

It is immediate from the Definition 2.3 and (2.1) that \( \gamma(\lambda), \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \), is a linear operator defined on \( \text{ran} \Gamma_0 \) which maps onto \( \ker(T - \lambda) \). Since \( \text{ran} \Gamma_0 = \text{dom} \gamma(\lambda) \) is dense in \( \mathcal{G} \) by Definition 2.1 (ii) it is clear that \( \gamma(\lambda), \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \), is a densely defined operator from \( \mathcal{G} \) into \( \mathcal{H} \). It can be shown with the help of the abstract Green's identity in Definition 2.1 (i) that
\[
\gamma(\lambda)^* = \Gamma_1 (A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}), \quad \lambda \in \rho(A_0),
\]
and this yields \( \gamma(\lambda) = \gamma(\lambda)^* \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}) \) for \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \); cf. [6, Proposition 2.6] or [7, Proposition 6.13]. Furthermore, for \( \lambda, \mu \in \rho(A_0) \) and \( \varphi \in \text{ran} \Gamma_0 \) one has
\[
\gamma(\lambda) \varphi = (I + (\lambda - \mu)(A_0 - \lambda)^{-1}) \gamma(\mu) \varphi.
\]
In particular, for all \( \varphi \in \text{ran} \Gamma_0 \) the \( \mathcal{H} \)-valued function \( \lambda \mapsto \gamma(\lambda) \varphi \) is holomorphic on \( \rho(A_0) \). For \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \) we shall later also make use of the relations
\[
\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} \gamma(\lambda) \varphi = k!(A_0 - \lambda)^{-k} \gamma(\lambda) \varphi, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots,
\]
for \( \varphi \in \text{ran} \Gamma_0 \) and
\[
\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} \gamma(\lambda)^* = k! \Gamma_1 (A_0 - \lambda)^{-k-1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots,
\]
which were proved in [10, Lemma 2.4]. In the context of the \( \gamma \)-field we finally note that in the case of an ordinary or generalized boundary triple \( \{\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\} \) the property \( \text{ran} \Gamma_0 = \mathcal{G} \) implies \( \gamma(\lambda) = \gamma(\lambda) \). This leads to some obvious simplifications
in the above considerations, that is, (2.3) and (2.4) hold for all \( \varphi \in \mathcal{G} \) and they can be viewed as equalities in \( \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{H}) \).

Next we collect some useful properties of the Weyl function \( M \) associated to the quasi boundary triple \( \{ \mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \} \). Observe first that the values \( M(\lambda), \lambda \in \rho(A_0), \) are densely defined linear operators in \( \mathcal{G} \) with \( \text{dom}(M(\lambda)) = \text{ran} \Gamma_0 \) and \( \text{ran}(M(\lambda)) \subset \text{ran} \Gamma_1 \), and that

\[
M(\lambda) \Gamma_0 f_\lambda = \Gamma_1 f_\lambda, \quad f_\lambda \in \ker(T - \lambda).
\]

For \( \lambda, \mu \in \rho(A_0) \) the Weyl function and \( \gamma \)-field are connected via the identity

\[
M(\lambda) \varphi - M(\mu)^* \varphi = (\lambda - \mu) \gamma(\mu)^* \gamma(\lambda) \varphi, \quad \varphi \in \text{ran} \Gamma_0.
\]

This leads to \( M(\lambda) \subset M(\overline{\lambda})^*, \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \), and hence \( M(\lambda) \) is a closable, but in general unbounded operator in \( \mathcal{G} \). Furthermore, together with (2.3) one obtains from (2.7) that

\[
M(\lambda) \varphi = M(\overline{\lambda}) \varphi + (\lambda - \overline{\lambda}) \gamma(\mu)^* (I + (\lambda - \mu)(A_0 - \lambda)^{-1}) \gamma(\mu) \varphi, \quad \varphi \in \text{ran} \Gamma_0,
\]

and hence for each \( \varphi \in \text{ran} \Gamma_0 \) the \( \mathcal{G} \)-valued function \( \lambda \mapsto M(\lambda) \varphi \) is holomorphic on \( \rho(A_0) \). Moreover, due to (2.8) the operator-valued function \( \lambda \mapsto M(\lambda) \) can be viewed as the sum of a possibly unbounded operator \( M(\overline{\lambda}) \) and the function

\[
\lambda \mapsto (\lambda - \overline{\lambda}) \gamma(\mu)^* (I + (\lambda - \mu)(A_0 - \lambda)^{-1}) \gamma(\mu),
\]

whose values are densely defined bounded operators. Thus it is clear that for \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \) the derivatives of \( M \) are bounded operators and from [10, Lemma 2.4] and (2.2) one obtains for \( \varphi \in \text{ran} \Gamma_0 \)

\[
d^k \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} M(\lambda) \varphi = k! \Gamma_1 (A_0 - \lambda)^{-k} \gamma(\lambda) \varphi, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

For \( k = 1 \) and \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \cap \mathbb{R} \) it follows directly from (2.7) that

\[
\left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} (M(\lambda) \varphi, \varphi)_{\mathcal{G}} \right)_\mathbb{R} = (\gamma(\lambda) \varphi, \gamma(\lambda) \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}} > 0, \quad \varphi \in \text{ran} \Gamma_0 \setminus \{0\}.
\]

Similarly, as for the \( \gamma \)-field some of the above considerations simplify in the special case that \( M \) is the Weyl function corresponding to an ordinary or generalized boundary triple \( \{ \mathcal{G}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \} \). Since in both situations \( \text{ran} \Gamma_0 = \mathcal{G} \) it follows that the operators \( M(\lambda) \) are defined on the whole space \( \mathcal{G} \) and hence (2.7) yields \( M(\lambda) = M(\overline{\lambda})^* \), so that \( M(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{G}) \) for all \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \). Hence (2.9) holds for all \( \varphi \in \mathcal{G} \) and hence, as an equality in \( \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{G}) \) and by (2.10) the \( \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{G}) \)-valued operator function \( M \) is monotonously non-decreasing on intervals in \( \rho(A_0) \cap \mathbb{R} \).

We shall use quasi boundary triples and their Weyl functions to describe self-adjoint extensions of \( S \) and their spectral properties in Section 4. For a linear operator \( B \) in \( \mathcal{G} \) we consider the extension

\[
A_{[B]} = T \mid \ker(\Gamma_0 + B \Gamma_1),
\]

that is, \( f \in \text{dom}T \) belongs to \( \text{dom} A_{[B]} \) if and only if \( f \) satisfies the abstract boundary condition \( \Gamma_0 f = -B \Gamma_1 f \). We emphasize that the abstract boundary condition in (2.11) is different to the usual choice \( \ker(\Gamma_1 - \Theta \Gamma_0) \), but is formally related to it via \( \Theta = -B^{-1} \). Note that for a symmetric operator \( B \) in \( \mathcal{G} \) the abstract Green’s identity yields

\[
(A_{[B]} f, g)_\mathcal{H} - (f, A_{[B]} g)_\mathcal{H} = -\langle \Gamma_1 f, B \Gamma_1 g \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} + \langle B \Gamma_1 f, \Gamma_1 g \rangle_{\mathcal{G}} = 0,
\]
and hence the extension \( A_{[B]} \) is symmetric in \( \mathcal{H} \). However, it is important to note that a self-adjoint operator \( B \) does not automatically lead to a self-adjoint extension \( A_{[B]} \). In fact, in contrast to the theory of ordinary boundary triples in the more general situation of quasi boundary triples and generalized boundary triples there is not a one-to-one correspondence between self-adjoint parameters \( B \) (or \( \Theta \)) and self-adjoint extensions \( A_{[B]} \) of the symmetric operator \( S \) in \( \mathcal{H} \).

The next theorem contains a variant of Krein’s resolvent formula for canonical extensions which is useful to prove self-adjointness of such extensions; cf. [6, Theorem 2.8], [7, Theorem 6.16], and [10, Theorem 2.6].

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( S \) be a densely defined closed symmetric operator in \( \mathcal{H} \) and let \( \{G, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\} \) be a quasi boundary triple for \( T = S^\ast \) with \( A_0 = T \mid \ker \Gamma_0 \), \( \gamma \)-field \( \gamma \) and Weyl function \( M \). Let \( B \) be a linear operator in \( G \) and let \( A_{[B]} \) be the extension of \( S \) in (2.11). Then for all \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \) one has

\[
\ker(A_{[B]} - \lambda) = \{ \gamma(\lambda)\varphi : \varphi \in \ker(I + BM(\lambda)) \}
\]

and, in particular, \( \lambda \in \sigma_p(A_{[B]}) \) if and only if \(-1 \in \sigma_p(BM(\lambda)) \). Furthermore, if \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \) is not an eigenvalue of \( A_{[B]} \) then the following assertions (i)–(ii) hold.

(i) \( g \in \text{ran}(A_{[B]} - \lambda) \) if and only if \( B\gamma(\lambda)^\ast g \in \text{dom}(I + BM(\lambda))^{-1} \);

(ii) For all \( g \in \text{ran}(A_{[B]} - \lambda) \) we have

\[
(A_{[B]} - \lambda)^{-1}g = (A_0 - \lambda)^{-1}g - \gamma(\lambda)(I + BM(\lambda))^{-1}B\gamma(\lambda)^\ast g.
\]

If \( B \in \mathfrak{B}(G) \) is self-adjoint and \((I + BM(\lambda_\pm))^{-1} \in \mathfrak{B}(G) \) for some \( \lambda_\pm \in \mathbb{C}^\pm \), then \( A_{[B]} \) is a self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \) and (2.13) holds for all \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \cap \rho(A_{[B]}) \) and all \( g \in \mathcal{H} \).

3. **Quasi boundary triples and Weyl functions for Dirac operators with singular interactions supported on \( \Sigma \)**

In this section we construct a quasi boundary triple which turns out to be suitable for the definition of Dirac operators with electrostatic \( \delta \)-shell interactions supported on a compact \( C^\infty \)-surface \( \Sigma \). We pay special attention to the properties of the associated Weyl function; these in turn will lead to a better understanding of the spectral properties of Dirac operators with electrostatic \( \delta \)-shell interactions in Section 4. For \( \lambda \in (-mc^2, mc^2) \) the values \( M(\lambda) \) of the Weyl function are closely related with the operators \( C_\lambda^\Sigma \) in [2, 3, 4]; in this view the results on \( M(\cdot) \) in Proposition 3.5 (ii) and Proposition 3.6 for \( \lambda \in (-mc^2, mc^2) \) are known from [2, 3, 4].

Recall first that the free Dirac operator

\[
A_0f := -ic \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_j \partial_j f + mc^2 \beta f, \quad \text{dom } A_0 = H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4),
\]

where the Dirac matrices \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \) and \( \beta \) are given by (1.1), is self-adjoint in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \) and that

\[
\sigma(A_0) = (-\infty, -mc^2] \cup [mc^2, \infty)
\]
holds; cf. [32] or [35, Chapter 20]. Next, for \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \) the resolvent of \( A_0 \) acts as

\[
(A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} f (x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_\lambda (x - y) f(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ f \in L^2 (\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4),
\]

where the \( \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 4} \)-valued integral kernel \( G_\lambda \) is given by

\[
G_\lambda (x) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{c^2} I_4 + m \beta + \left( 1 - i \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{c^2} - (mc)^2} |x| \right) \frac{i (\alpha \cdot x)}{c |x|^2} \right) \frac{e^{i \sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2 - (mc)^2} |x|}}{4 \pi |x|};
\]

see [32, Section 1.E] or [3, Lemma 2.1]. The explicit form of this integral kernel will be particularly important in our further considerations. Moreover, if we denote by \( -\Delta \) the self-adjoint Laplacian in \( L^2 (\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}) \) defined on \( H^2 (\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}) \), then using (1.2) we get

\[
A_0^2 = (-c^2 \Delta + m^2 c^4) I_4, \quad \text{dom} \ A_0^2 = H^2 (\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4);
\]

cf. [35, Korollar 20.2] (here, the case \( m = c = 1 \) is considered, which is up to a scaling transform equivalent to our case). The operator \( (-c^2 \Delta + m^2 c^4) I_4 \) is understood as a \( 4 \times 4 \) block operator with diagonal structure, where each diagonal entry acts as \(-c^2 \Delta + m^2 c^4\).

In the following let \( \Sigma \) be the boundary of a bounded \( C^\infty \)-domain in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). For the definition of the quasi boundary triple in Theorem 3.2 below we first introduce two integral operators associated with the function

\[
G_0 (x) = \frac{e^{-mc|x|}}{4 \pi |x|} \left( m \beta + (1 + mc|x|) \frac{i (\alpha \cdot x)}{c |x|^2} \right).
\]

Note that there exist constants \( \kappa, R > 0 \) such that

\[
|G_0 (x)| \leq \kappa \begin{cases} |x|^{-2}, & |x| < R, \\ e^{-mc|x|}, & |x| \geq R. \end{cases}
\]

Now define the strongly singular integral operator \( M : L^2 (\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \to L^2 (\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) by

\[
M \varphi (x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} G_0 (x-y) \varphi (y) d\sigma (y), \quad x \in \Sigma, \ \varphi \in L^2 (\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4).
\]

It was shown in [2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.7] that \( M \) is a bounded self-adjoint operator (to see this, note that \( cM = C_\sigma \) in the notation of [2, Lemma 3.3], where \( m \) in [2, Lemma 3.1] is replaced by \( mc \)). Furthermore, we define the mapping \( \gamma : L^2 (\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \to L^2 (\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \) by

\[
\gamma \varphi (x) := \int_{\Sigma} G_0 (x-y) \varphi (y) d\sigma (y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ \varphi \in L^2 (\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4),
\]

and observe that (3.6) and Proposition A.4 imply that \( \gamma \) is bounded and everywhere defined.

The following auxiliary result ensures that the operator \( T \) in (3.9) below is well-defined.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( f, g \in H^1 (\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \) and \( \varphi, \psi \in L^2 (\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) such that \( f + \gamma \varphi = g + \gamma \psi \). Then \( f = g \) and \( \varphi = \psi \).
Proof. From \( f + \gamma \varphi = g + \gamma \psi \) it follows \( \gamma(\psi - \varphi) = f - g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) = \text{dom} \, A_0 \). Let \( h \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \). Then the self-adjointness of \( A_0 \) and \cite[Lemma 2.10]{BEHRNDT2010} yield

\[
(A_0 \gamma(\psi - \varphi), h)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} = (\gamma(\psi - \varphi), A_0 h)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} = (\psi - \varphi, (A_0^{-1} A_0 h)|_{\Sigma})_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)} = 0
\]

and, since \( H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) is dense in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \), we conclude \( A_0 \gamma(\psi - \varphi) = 0 \). Now \( 0 \in \rho(A_0) \) yields \( f - g = \gamma(\psi - \varphi) = 0 \).

It remains to show \( \varphi = \psi \). For \( k \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \) and \cite[Lemma 2.10]{BEHRNDT2010} we obtain

\[
0 = (\gamma(\psi - \varphi), k)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} = (\psi - \varphi, (A_0^{-1} k)|_{\Sigma})_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)},
\]

and since the range of the mapping \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \ni k \mapsto (A_0^{-1} k)|_{\Sigma} \) is \( H^{1/2}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) we conclude \( \varphi = \psi \). \( \square \)

Now, we define the operator \( T \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \) via

\[
T(f + \gamma \varphi) := A_0 f,
\]

\[
\text{dom} \, T := \{ f + \gamma \varphi : f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4), \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \}.
\]

In the following elements in \( \text{dom} \, T \) will always be written in the form \( f + \gamma \varphi \) with \( f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \) and \( \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \); this decomposition is unique because of Lemma 3.1 and hence \( T \) is well-defined.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( T \) be given by (3.9). Then, the operator

\[
S := A_0 \mid H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)
\]

is densely defined, closed and symmetric in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \) and \( \{ L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4), \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \} \), where

\[
\Gamma_0(f + \gamma \varphi) = \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_1(f + \gamma \varphi) = f|_{\Sigma} + M \varphi, \quad f + \gamma \varphi \in \text{dom} \, T,
\]

is a quasi boundary triple for \( \overline{T} = S^* \) such that \( T \mid \ker \Gamma_0 \) coincides with the free Dirac operator \( A_0 \) in (3.1).

**Proof.** We shall use Theorem 2.2 to prove the claim. Note that the mappings \( \Gamma_0 \) and \( \Gamma_1 \) are well-defined by Lemma 3.1. First, we check Green’s identity in Theorem 2.2 (i). For \( f + \gamma \varphi, g + \gamma \psi \in \text{dom} \, T \) it follows from (3.9) and the self-adjointness of \( A_0 \) that

\[
(T(f + \gamma \varphi), g + \gamma \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} - (f + \gamma \varphi, T(g + \gamma \psi))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} = (A_0 f, g + \gamma \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} - (f + \gamma \varphi, A_0 g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} = (A_0 f, \gamma \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} - (\gamma \varphi, A_0 g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)}.
\]

Since

\[
(A_0 f, \gamma \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} = (f|_{\Sigma}, \psi)_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\gamma \varphi, A_0 g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} = (\varphi, g|_{\Sigma})_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)}
\]

by \cite[Lemma 2.10]{BEHRNDT2010} and \( M \) is self-adjoint we obtain

\[
(T(f + \gamma \varphi), g + \gamma \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} - (f + \gamma \varphi, T(g + \gamma \psi))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} = (f|_{\Sigma}, \psi)_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)} - (\varphi, g|_{\Sigma})_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)}
\]

\[
= (f|_{\Sigma} + M \varphi, \psi)_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)} - (\varphi, g|_{\Sigma} + M \psi)_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)}
\]

\[
= (\Gamma_1(f + \gamma \varphi), \Gamma_0(g + \gamma \psi))_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)} - (\Gamma_0(f + \gamma \varphi), \Gamma_1(g + \gamma \psi))_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)}.
\]
that is, assumption (i) in Theorem 2.2 holds.

Next, we prove that \( \Gamma \) has dense range. To show this consider \( (\psi, \xi) \in (\mathrm{ran} \, \Gamma)^\perp \). Then, we have

\[
(\psi, \Gamma_0(f + \gamma \varphi))_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)} + (\xi, \Gamma_1(f + \gamma \varphi))_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)} = 0
\]

for all \( f + \gamma \varphi \in \mathrm{dom} \, T \). The special choice \( \varphi = 0 \) leads to

\[
0 = (\xi, \Gamma_1 f)_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)} = (\xi, f)_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)}, \quad f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4).
\]

Since the trace operator has dense range we conclude \( \xi = 0 \) and therefore (3.12) reduces to

\[
0 = (\psi, \Gamma_0(f + \gamma \varphi))_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)} = (\psi, \varphi)_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)}
\]

for all \( \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \). Thus \( \psi = 0 \) and it follows that ran \( \Gamma \) is dense. It is clear that \( \ker \Gamma = H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) is dense in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \). We have shown that assumption (ii) in Theorem 2.2 is satisfied. Finally, assumption (iii) in Theorem 2.2 holds, since \( T \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \) is the free Dirac operator.

Now it follows from Theorem 2.2 that \( \{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4), \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\} \) is a quasi boundary triple for \( T = S^* \), where \( S \) is the restriction of \( T \) onto \( \ker \Gamma = H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) in (3.10).

\[\square\]

Remark 3.3. Note that \( \mathrm{ran} \, \Gamma_0 = L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) in Theorem 3.2 and hence the triple \( \{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4), \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\} \) is even a generalized boundary triple in the sense of [18]; cf. Definition 2.1. In particular, it follows that the values of the corresponding \( \gamma \)-field and Weyl function (see Proposition 3.4) are everywhere defined and bounded operators. In the case that \( \gamma \) in (3.8) and the strongly singular integral operator \( M \) in (3.7) are only considered on a dense subspace of \( L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) the corresponding triple in Theorem 3.2 is still a quasi boundary triple.

Next we compute the \( \gamma \)-field and the Weyl function associated to the quasi (or generalized) boundary triple \( \{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4), \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\} \). It turns out that the operators \( \gamma \) and \( M \) introduced in (3.8) and (3.7), respectively, coincide with the values of the \( \gamma \)-field and the Weyl function at the point \( \lambda = 0 \).

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \( \{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4), \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\} \) be as in Theorem 3.2 and let \( G_{\lambda \Sigma} \) be the integral kernel of the resolvent of the free Dirac operator \( A_0 \) in (3.4). Then the following holds.

(i) The \( \gamma \)-field is holomorphic on \( \rho(A_0) = \mathbb{C} \setminus ((-\infty, -mc^2] \cup [mc^2, \infty)) \), the operators \( \gamma(\lambda) : L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \) are everywhere defined and bounded, and given by

\[
\gamma(\lambda)\varphi(x) = \int_{\Sigma} G_{\lambda \Sigma}(x - y)\varphi(y)\,d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4).
\]

Their adjoints \( \gamma(\lambda)^* : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \to L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) are

\[
\gamma(\lambda)^*f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_{\lambda \Sigma}^*(x - y)f(y)\,dy, \quad x \in \Sigma, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4).
\]

The operators \( \gamma(\lambda) \) and \( \gamma(\lambda)^* \) are compact for all \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \).
(ii) The Weyl function $M(\cdot)$ is holomorphic on $\rho(A_0) = \mathbb{C} \setminus ((-\infty, -mc^2] \cup [mc^2, \infty))$, the operators $M(\lambda) : L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \to L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)$ are everywhere defined and bounded, and given by

$$M(\lambda) \varphi(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} G_\lambda(x-y) \varphi(y) d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \Sigma, \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4).$$

Proof. (i) By (2.2) we have $\gamma(\lambda)^* = \Gamma_1(A_0 - \overline{\lambda})^{-1}, \lambda \in \rho(A_0)$, and this operator has the explicit representation

$$(3.13) \quad \gamma(\lambda)^* f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_{\infty}(x-y)f(y) dy, \quad x \in \Sigma, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4).$$

From the properties of the trace map we conclude that $\gamma(\lambda)^* = H^{1/2}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)$, which together with the closed graph theorem implies that $\gamma(\lambda)^*$ is bounded and everywhere defined as an operator from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ onto $H^{1/2}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)$. Since the embedding $H^{1/2}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)$ is compact it follows that $\gamma(\lambda)^*, \lambda \in \rho(A_0)$, is compact.

Next, we analyze $\gamma(\lambda), \lambda \in \rho(A_0)$. As $\Gamma_0$ is surjective it follows that $\gamma(\lambda)$ is everywhere defined and bounded (see Section 2) and since $\gamma(\lambda)^*$ is compact also $\gamma(\lambda) = \gamma(\lambda)^{**}$ is compact. Moreover, using (3.13) and $G_{\infty}(x-y) = G_\lambda(x-y)$ we obtain

$$\left(\gamma(\lambda)^* \varphi, f\right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)} = \left(\varphi, \gamma(\lambda)^* f\right)_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)} = \int_{\Sigma} \varphi(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_{\infty}(x-y)f(y)dy d\sigma(x)$$

for all $\varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$, which yields the integral representation of $\gamma(\lambda)$.

(ii) In order to compute $M(\lambda), \lambda \in \rho(A_0)$, we use $\gamma(\lambda) = (I_4 + \lambda(A_0 - \lambda)^{-1}) \gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(0) = \gamma$; cf. (2.3) and (3.8). It follows from the definition of $\Gamma_1$ in (3.11) that

$$(3.14) \quad M(\lambda) \varphi = \Gamma_1 \gamma(\lambda) \varphi = \Gamma_1 (I_4 + \lambda(A_0 - \lambda)^{-1}) \gamma \varphi = M \varphi + (\lambda(A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} \gamma \varphi)|_{\Sigma}.$$

We shall derive an integral formula for $((A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} \gamma \varphi)|_{\Sigma}$ next. First note that for all $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ and almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [G_\lambda(x-y) - G_0(x-y)] g(y) dy = (A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} g(x) - A_0^{-1} g(x)$$

$$= (A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} A_0^{-1} g(x)$$

$$= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_\lambda(x-y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_0(y-z) g(z) dz dy$$

$$= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_\lambda(x-y) G_0(y-z) dy dz$$

$$= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_\lambda(x-z) G_0(y-z) dz dy,$$

where Fubini’s theorem, a permutation of the variables $y$ and $z$ and the identity $G_0(y-z) = G_0(z-y)$ were used in the last two steps. Hence,

$$G_\lambda(x-y) - G_0(x-y) = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_\lambda(x-z) G_0(y-z) dz$$
is true for all almost \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). This can be extended by the continuity of \( G_\lambda \) for all \( x \neq y \). Employing again Fubini’s theorem, we deduce for \( x \in \Sigma \)

\[
\lambda(A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} \gamma \phi(x) = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_\lambda(x - y) \int_\Sigma G_0(y - z) \phi(z) d\sigma(z) dy \\
= \lambda \int_\Sigma \phi(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_\lambda(x - y) G_0(y - z) dy d\sigma(z) \\
= \int_\Sigma [G_\lambda(x - z) - G_0(x - z)] d\sigma(z).
\]

(3.15)

Since \( \lambda(A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} \gamma \phi |_\Sigma \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) the last term is finite for almost all \( x \in \Sigma \). Therefore, \( \varphi [G_\lambda(x - \cdot) - G_0(x - \cdot)] \in L^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) for almost all \( x \in \Sigma \). Hence, for these \( x \) we obtain from (3.14), (3.7), (3.15), and dominated convergence that

\[
M(\lambda) \phi(x) = \lambda \phi(x) + (A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} \gamma \phi(x) \\
= \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{|x - y| > \varepsilon} G_0(x - y) \phi(y) d\sigma(y) \\
+ \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{|x - y| > \varepsilon} [G_\lambda(x - y) - G_0(x - y)] \phi(y) d\sigma(y) \\
= \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{|x - y| > \varepsilon} G_\lambda(x - y) \phi(y) d\sigma(y);
\]

this shows the representation of \( M(\lambda) \) in (ii). Note that the operators \( M(\lambda) \), \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \), are everywhere defined and bounded since \( \text{ran} \Gamma_0 = L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) (see Section 2).

In order to show self-adjointness and to discuss the spectral properties of Dirac operators with \( \delta \)-shell interactions in the next section some more information on the Weyl function \( M(\cdot) \) is necessary. Most of the results in the next two propositions are already contained in [3, 4] in a similar form; for the convenience of the reader we collect and trace them back to those in [3, 4]. First, we show that the Weyl function \( M(\cdot) \) admits an extension to the points \( \lambda = \pm mc^2 \); this extension is in accordance with the integral representation of \( M(\cdot) \) in Proposition 3.4 (ii) in the sense that the functions \( G_{\pm mc^2} \) in Proposition 3.5 (i) below coincide with the integral kernels \( G_{\lambda} \) of the resolvent of the free Dirac operator \( A_0 \) in (3.4) at \( \lambda = \pm mc^2 \). The assertion in Proposition 3.5 (ii) is a variant of [3, Lemma 3.2].

**Proposition 3.5.** Let \( \{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4), \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\} \) be the quasi boundary triple in Theorem 3.2 with corresponding Weyl function \( M(\cdot) \). Then the following assertions hold.

(i) The limits

\[
M(mc^2) := \lim_{\lambda \searrow mc^2} M(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad M(-mc^2) := \lim_{\lambda \searrow -mc^2} M(\lambda)
\]

exist in the operator norm on \( \mathfrak{B}(L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)) \). The corresponding limit operators \( M(\pm mc^2) : L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \to L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) are given by

\[
M(\pm mc^2) \phi(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{|x - y| > \varepsilon} G_{\pm mc^2}(x - y) \phi(y) d\sigma(y),
\]

\( x \in \Sigma, \phi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \),
where the functions $G_{\pm mc^2}$ are defined by
\[
G_{\pm mc^2}(x) = \left( m(\beta \pm I_4) + \frac{i(\alpha \cdot x)}{c|x|^2} \right) \frac{1}{4\pi |x|}.
\]

(ii) The Weyl function $\lambda \mapsto M(\lambda)$ is uniformly bounded on $[-mc^2, mc^2]$, i.e.
\[
M_0 := \sup_{\lambda \in [-mc^2, mc^2]} ||M(\lambda)|| < \infty.
\]

Proof. (i) We discuss only the case $\lambda \not\sim mc^2$, the statement for $\lambda \not\sim -mc^2$ can be proved in exactly the same way. We define the singular integral operator
\[
T \left( \frac{i(\lambda \cdot x)}{c|x|^2} \right)_\pm mc^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}
\]
and show that $M(\lambda)$ converges in the operator norm as $\lambda \not\sim mc^2$. Note that for $\lambda \in (-mc^2, mc^2)$ we have
\[
C - M(\lambda) = T_1(\lambda) + T_2(\lambda) + T_3(\lambda),
\]
where for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ the operator $T_j(\lambda) : L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)$ is of the form
\[
T_j(\lambda) \varphi(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int \frac{G_{\pm mc^2}(x-y)\varphi(y)d\sigma(y)}{|x-y| > \varepsilon}, \quad x \in \Sigma, \ \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4),
\]
with
\[
T_1(x) := \left( m - \frac{\lambda}{c^2} \right) e^{-\sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2/c^2}|x|} I_4; \quad T_2(x) := -\sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2/c^2} \frac{i(\alpha \cdot x)}{c|x|} e^{-\sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2/c^2}|x|} I_4; \quad T_3(x) := \left( \frac{i(\alpha \cdot x)}{c|x|^2} + m(I_4 + \beta) \right) \frac{1 - e^{-\sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2/c^2}|x|}}{4\pi |x|}.
\]

We will see that the operators $T_1(\lambda), T_2(\lambda)$ and $T_3(\lambda)$ are bounded and everywhere defined, which yields then that also $C$ has this property.

First, since $|T_1(x)| \leq \left( m - \frac{\lambda}{c^2} \right) (4\pi|x|)^{-1}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, Proposition A.5 yields that there is a constant $\kappa_1$ (independent of $\lambda$) such that
\[
\|T_1(\lambda)\| \leq \kappa_1 \left( m - \frac{\lambda}{c^2} \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \lambda \not\sim mc^2.
\]

Similarly, as $|T_2(x)| \leq \kappa_2 \sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2/c^2} |x|^{-1}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and a constant $\kappa_2$ we obtain from Proposition A.5 a constant $\kappa_3$ (independent of $\lambda$) such that
\[
\|T_2(\lambda)\| \leq \kappa_3 \sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2/c^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \lambda \not\sim mc^2.
\]
Eventually, to get a suitable estimate for \( t_3^2 \) we note first that

\[
1 - e^{-\sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2/c^2}|x|} = \left| \int_{-1}^{0} \frac{d}{dt} e^{i \sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2/c^2}|x|} dt \right|
\]

\[
\leq \int_{-1}^{0} e^{i \sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2/c^2}|x|} \cdot \sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2/c^2} \, |x| \, dt
\]

\[
\leq \sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2} \, |x|.
\]

Thus, there exists a constant \( \kappa_4 \) such that \( |t_3^2(x)| \leq \kappa_4 \sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2/c^2} \, (1 + |x|^{-1}) \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). Therefore, we can apply Proposition A.5 and obtain some \( \kappa_5 \) (independent of \( \lambda \)) such that

\[
\| T_3(\lambda) \| \leq \kappa_5 \sqrt{mc} \, \sqrt{(mc)^2 - \lambda^2/c^2} \to 0, \quad \lambda \nearrow mc^2.
\]

Combing (3.16)–(3.18) we conclude

\[
\| C - M(\lambda) \| \leq \| T_1(\lambda) \| + \| T_2(\lambda) \| + \| T_3(\lambda) \| \to 0, \quad \lambda \nearrow mc^2,
\]

which shows the claim of statement (i).

(ii) In the same way as in [3, Lemma 3.2] (where the case \( c = 1 \) is treated) one verifies

\[
\sup_{\lambda \in (-mc^2, mc^2)} \| M(\lambda) \| < \infty.
\]

Finally, since \( M(mc^2) = \lim_{\lambda \nearrow mc^2} M(\lambda) \) and \( M(-mc^2) = \lim_{\lambda \searrow -mc^2} M(\lambda) \) by definition it follows that

\[
M_0 = \sup_{\lambda \in [-mc^2, mc^2]} \| M(\lambda) \| < \infty.
\]

In the following proposition we collect some spectral properties of the Weyl function \( M(\cdot) \). In particular, we give a detailed description of the spectrum of \( M(\lambda) \) for \( \lambda \in [-mc^2, mc^2] \), which is needed to prove that the discrete spectrum of the Dirac operator with an electrostatic \( \delta \)-shell interaction is finite. The results are mostly contained in [4, Lemma 3.2], but for the convenience of the reader we add their proofs here.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let \( \{ L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4), \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \} \) be the quasi boundary triple in Theorem 3.2 with corresponding Weyl function \( M(\cdot) \). Then the following assertions hold.

(i) For all \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \) there exists a compact operator \( K(\lambda) \) in \( L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) such that

\[
M(\lambda)^2 = \frac{1}{4c^2} I_4 + K(\lambda).
\]

(ii) Let \( M_0 := \sup_{\lambda \in [-mc^2, mc^2]} \| M(\lambda) \| \). Then, there exists an at most countable family of continuous and non-decreasing functions \( \mu_n : [-mc^2, mc^2] \to \mathbb{R} \), \( \frac{1}{4c^2} M_0 \) such that

\[
\sigma(M(\lambda)) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2c} \right\} \cup \{ \mu_n(\lambda) : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{4c^2} \mu_n(\lambda) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.
\]

Moreover, for any fixed \( \lambda \in [-mc^2, mc^2] \) the number \( \frac{1}{2c} \) is the only possible accumulation point of the sequence \( (\mu_n(\lambda)) \).
Proof. (i) First, it follows from [2, equation (22) and Lemma 3.5] that
\[ M(0)^2 = \frac{1}{4c^2}I_4 + K, \]
where \( K \) is a compact operator in \( L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \) (note that \( cM(0) = C_n \) in the notation of [2, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3], where \( m \) in [2, Lemma 3.1] has to be replaced by \( mc \)). For \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \) we have
\[ M(\lambda) = M(0) + \lambda\gamma(0)^*\gamma(\lambda) \]
by (2.7), and as all operators on the right hand side are bounded and everywhere defined we get
\[
M(\lambda)^2 = M(0)^2 + \lambda M(0)\gamma(0)\gamma(\lambda) + \lambda\gamma(0)^*\gamma(\lambda)M(0) + (\lambda\gamma(0)^*\gamma(\lambda))^2 = \frac{1}{4c^2}I_4 + K(\lambda),
\]
where
\[ K(\lambda) := K + \lambda M(0)\gamma(0)\gamma(\lambda) + \lambda\gamma(0)^*\gamma(\lambda)M(0) + (\lambda\gamma(0)^*\gamma(\lambda))^2 \]
is compact, as \( \gamma(0)^* \) and \( \gamma(\lambda) \) are compact by Proposition 3.4 (i). Hence, assertion (i) of this proposition is true.

In order to show (ii) assume first that \( \lambda \in (-mc^2, mc^2) \). By (i) there exist at most countable sequences of eigenvalues \( \mu_+^n(\lambda) \subset [0, \infty) \) and \( \mu_-^n(\lambda) \subset (-\infty, 0) \) such that
\[ \sigma(M(\lambda)) \subset \left\{ \pm \frac{1}{2c} \right\} \cup \{ \mu_+^n(\lambda) : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \cup \{ \mu_-^n(\lambda) : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \]
and the only possible accumulation point of \( \mu_+^n(\lambda) \) is \( +\frac{1}{2c} \). Since \( \lambda \rightarrow M(\lambda) \) is analytic and monotonously increasing on the interval \( (-mc^2, mc^2) \) according to (2.10) the functions \( \mu_+^n : (-mc^2, mc^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) can be chosen to be continuous and non-decreasing. In the proof of [3, Theorem 3.3] (observe that the operator \( C_2^\infty \) in [3, Theorem 3.3] coincides with \( cM(\lambda) \), when \( m \) in [3] is replaced by \( mc \) it is shown that
\[ \mu \in \sigma_p(cM(\lambda)) \Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{4\mu} \in \sigma_p(cM(\lambda)), \]
and hence
\[ \mu \in \sigma_p(M(\lambda)) \Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{4c^2\mu} \in \sigma_p(M(\lambda)). \]
Thus, it follows that
\[ \mu_n(\lambda) := \mu_+^n(\lambda) \in \left[ \frac{1}{4c^2M_0}, M_0 \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_-^n(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{4c^2\mu_n(\lambda)}. \]
In particular, both points \( \pm \frac{1}{2c} \) belong to \( \sigma(M(\lambda)) \) (they are accumulation points of \( \mu_+^n(\lambda) \) or eigenvalues). Finally, since the operators \( M(\pm mc^2) \) are the continuous extensions of \( M(\lambda) \), \( \lambda \in (-mc^2, mc^2) \), in the operator norm (see Proposition 3.5 (i)) it follows that the spectral properties of \( M(\lambda) \) extend by continuity to the endpoints \( \pm mc^2 \); cf. [34, Satz 9.24].
4. Dirac operators with δ-shell interactions and their spectra

In this section we define Dirac operators with electrostatic δ-shell interactions supported on surfaces in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) and study their spectral properties. The definition of the operator \( A_\eta \) for constant interaction strength \( \eta \neq \pm 2c \) is via the quasi boundary triple in Theorem 3.2.

**Definition 4.1.** Let \( T \) be given by (3.9) and let \( \{ L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4), \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \} \) be the quasi boundary triple in Theorem 3.2. The Dirac operator \( A_\eta \) with an electrostatic δ-shell interaction of strength \( \eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{ \pm 2c \} \) supported on \( \Sigma \) is defined by

\[
A_\eta := T \upharpoonright \ker(\Gamma_0 + \eta \Gamma_1),
\]

or, equivalently, admits the following more explicit representation:

\[
A_\eta(f + \gamma \varphi) = A_0 f, \quad \text{dom } A_\eta = \{ f + \gamma \varphi \in \text{dom } T : \eta(f|_\Sigma + M \varphi) = -\varphi \}.
\]

The boundary condition for \( f + \gamma \varphi \in \text{dom } A_\eta \) corresponds to a certain jump condition:

**Remark 4.2.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) be the bounded \( C^\infty \)-domain with \( \partial \Omega = \Sigma \), denote the outer unit normal vector field of \( \Omega \) by \( \nu \) and let \( h := f + \gamma \varphi \in \text{dom } A_\eta \). It is known that for \( x \in \Sigma \) the nontangential limits

\[
h_+(x) := \lim_{\Omega \ni y \to x} h(y) = f(x) + M \varphi(x) - \frac{i}{2c} \alpha \cdot \nu \varphi(x)
\]

and

\[
h_-(x) := \lim_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega \ni y \to x} h(y) = f(x) + M \varphi(x) + \frac{i}{2c} \alpha \cdot \nu \varphi(x)
\]

exist and define functions in \( L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \); cf. [2, Lemma 3.3] (note that \( c\gamma = \Phi(\cdot) \) and \( cM = C_\sigma \) with \( \Phi(\cdot) \) and \( C_\sigma \) in the notation of [2, Lemma 3.3]). Making use of \( (\alpha \cdot \nu)^2 = I_4 \) (this follows from (1.2)) one verifies that the boundary condition

\[
\eta(f|_\Sigma + M \varphi) = -\varphi
\]

is equivalent to the jump condition

\[
\frac{\eta}{2} (h_+ + h_-) = -ic \alpha \cdot \nu (h_+ - h_-).
\]

Note that Green’s identity for the quasi boundary triple \( \{ L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4), \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \} \) shows that \( A_\eta \) is symmetric; cf. (2.12). In the following we shall employ some abstract results on quasi boundary triples and their Weyl functions from Section 2, which together with the properties of the \( \gamma \)-field and Weyl function \( M(\cdot) \) in Propositions 3.4–3.6 are the main ingredients in the proofs of Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.6 below. We first verify that \( I_4 + \eta M(\lambda) \) is boundedly invertible.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let \( \eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{ \pm 2c \} \) and let \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \). Then, \( I_4 + \eta M(\lambda) \) has a bounded and everywhere defined inverse.

**Proof.** First, we note that \( I_4 + \eta M(\lambda) \) is injective for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \), as otherwise \( \lambda \) would be a non-real eigenvalue of the symmetric operator \( A_\eta \); cf. Theorem 2.4. It remains to prove that \( I_4 + \eta M(\lambda) \) is surjective. Observe that by Proposition 3.6 (i)

\[
(I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))(I_4 - \eta M(\lambda)) = I_4 - \eta^2 M(\lambda)^2 = \left( 1 - \frac{\eta^2}{4c^2} \right) I_4 - \eta^2 K(\lambda),
\]


\[
\frac{\eta}{2} (h_+ + h_-) = -ic \alpha \cdot \nu (h_+ - h_-).
\]
where \( K(\lambda) \) is a compact operator. Hence,

\[
(I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))(I_4 - \eta M(\lambda)) = \left(1 - \frac{\eta^2}{4\epsilon^2}\right) (I_4 + dK(\lambda)), \quad d = -\frac{4\epsilon^2 \eta^2}{4\epsilon^2 - \eta^2},
\]

and therefore \( \text{ran}(I_4 + dK(\lambda)) \subset \text{ran}(I_4 + \eta M(\lambda)) \). Since the left hand side in the above equation is injective (otherwise \( \lambda \) would be a non-real eigenvalue of one of the symmetric operators \( A_{\pm \eta} \) by Theorem 2.4) the same is true for the right hand side. Thus, the Fredholm alternative implies that \( \text{ran}(I_4 + dK(\lambda)) = L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \). Hence, \( I_4 + \eta M(\lambda) \) is also surjective, which yields the assertion. \( \square \)

In the next theorem we verify the self-adjointness of \( A_\eta \), provide a Krein type resolvent formula, and we investigate the discrete spectrum of \( A_\eta \) in the gap \((-mc^2, mc^2)\) of the essential spectrum. It turns out in (iii) that the discrete spectrum in \((-mc^2, mc^2)\) is finite (and non-trivial for a certain \( \eta \) by Corollary 5.5). Moreover, for sufficiently small and sufficiently large \( |\eta| \) the discrete spectrum of \( A_\eta \) is empty as by assertion (iv). While this behavior for small interaction strengths is similar as for Schrödinger operators with \( \delta \)-interactions, such an effect does not occur for large \( \eta \). This result and also assertion (ii) are known from [3]; here they follow immediately from Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 3.6.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( \{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4), \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\} \) be the quasi boundary triple in Theorem 3.2 with corresponding \( \gamma \)-field \( \gamma(\cdot) \) and Weyl function \( M(\cdot) \). As in Proposition 3.5 (ii) set

\[
M_0 := \sup_{\lambda \in [-mc^2, mc^2]} \|M(\lambda)\|.
\]

Then the Dirac operator \( A_\eta \) in Definition 4.1 is self-adjoint in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \) for all \( \eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\pm 2c\} \) and

\[
(A_\eta - \lambda)^{-1} = (A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} - \gamma(\lambda)(I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta\gamma(\lambda)^* 
\]

for all \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \cap \rho(A_\eta) \). Furthermore, the following assertions are true.

(i) \( \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_\eta) = (-\infty, -mc^2] \cup [mc^2, \infty) \).

(ii) \( \dim \ker(A_\eta - \lambda) = \dim \ker(I_4 + \eta M(\lambda)) \) for all \( \lambda \in (-mc^2, mc^2) \).

(iii) \( \sigma(A_\eta) \cap (-mc^2, mc^2) \) is finite for all \( \eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\pm 2c\} \).

(iv) \( \sigma(A_\eta) \cap (-mc^2, mc^2) = \emptyset \) either for \( |\eta| < \frac{1}{4M_0} \) or for \( |\eta| > 4\epsilon^2 M_0 \).

**Proof.** The fact that \( A_\eta \) is self-adjoint in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4) \) and that the resolvent of \( A_\eta \) is given by (4.1) are immediate consequences of Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 4.3.

(i) The resolvent formula (4.1) implies that \( (A_\eta - \lambda)^{-1} - (A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} \) is compact for all \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \cap \rho(A_\eta) \) since \( \gamma(\lambda) \) and \( \gamma(\lambda)^* \) are compact by Proposition 3.4 and \( (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \) is bounded by Lemma 4.3. This yields

\[
\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_\eta) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_0) = \sigma(A_0) = (-\infty, -mc^2] \cup [mc^2, \infty).
\]

(ii) This claim follows from Theorem 2.4.

Assertion (iii) will be shown by an indirect proof. Assume that for some interaction strength \( \eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\pm 2c\} \) there are infinitely many discrete eigenvalues of \( A_\eta \) in the gap \((-mc^2, mc^2)\) of the essential spectrum. Then \( mc^2 \) or \(-mc^2\) is an accumulation point and in the following we discuss the case \( \eta < 0 \) and that there is a sequence \( (\lambda_n) \subset \sigma(A_\eta) \cap (-mc^2, mc^2) \) which tends to \( mc^2 \); the cases with
\( \eta > 0 \) or eigenvalues accumulating to \(-mc^2\) can be treated analogously. Recall from Proposition 3.6 (ii) that

\[
\sigma(M(\lambda)) = \left\{ \pm \frac{1}{2c} \right\} \cup \{ \mu_n(\lambda) : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{4c^2\mu_n(\lambda)} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},
\]

where \( \mu_n : [-mc^2, mc^2] \to \left[ \frac{1}{4c^2M_0}, M_0 \right] \) are continuous and non-decreasing functions. Since \( 0 < -\frac{1}{\eta} \in \sigma_p(M(\lambda_n)) \) by (ii) and \( -\frac{1}{\eta} \neq \frac{2}{\eta} \) for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) there exists \( k(n) \) such that \( \mu_{k(n)}(\lambda_n) = -\frac{1}{\eta} \). By monotonicity we have

\[
\frac{1}{4c^2M_0} \leq \mu_{k(n)}(mc^2) \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{1}{\eta} \leq \mu_{k(n)}(-mc^2) \leq M_0
\]

for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and hence the infinite sequences \( (\mu_{k(n)}(mc^2)) \in \sigma(M(-mc^2)) \) and \( (\mu_{k(n)}(-mc^2)) \in \sigma(M(mc^2)) \) both have an accumulation point in \( \left[ \frac{1}{4c^2M_0}, \frac{1}{\eta} \right] \) and \( \left[ -\frac{1}{\eta}, M_0 \right] \), respectively. Since \( \frac{1}{4c^2} \) is the only possible accumulation point of \( \sigma(M(-mc^2)) \) and \( \sigma(M(mc^2)) \) in \( \left[ \frac{1}{4c^2M_0}, M_0 \right] \) this is a contradiction to \( \eta \neq -2c \). It follows that \( \sigma(A_\eta) \cap (-mc^2, mc^2) \) is finite.

(iv) For \( \eta \notin (0, \pm 2c) \) it follows from (ii) that \( \lambda \in (-mc^2, mc^2) \) is an eigenvalue of \( A_\eta \) if and only if \( -\frac{1}{\eta} \) is an eigenvalue of \( M(\lambda) \). Hence the assertion follows from the fact that \( \sigma(M(\lambda)) \subset [-M_0, -\frac{1}{4c^2M_0}] \cup \left[ \frac{1}{4c^2M_0}, M_0 \right] \), see Proposition 3.6 (ii). \( \square \)

Besides the qualitative properties of the spectrum of \( A_\eta \) in Theorem 4.4 we establish a trace class result important for mathematical scattering theory in Theorem 4.6 below. We keep the notations simple and skip the respective spaces in the symbols of (weak) Schatten-von Neumann ideals \( \mathfrak{S}_{p,\infty} \). We also note the useful property

\[
(4.2) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{1/x,\infty} \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{1/y,\infty} = \mathfrak{S}_{1/(x+y),\infty}, \quad x, y > 0,
\]

see, e.g. [9, Lemma 2.3 (iii)]. In the next preparatory lemma we first provide some useful Schatten-von Neumann estimates for the derivatives of the \( \gamma \)-field and Weyl function in Proposition 3.4.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \) and let the operators \( \gamma(\lambda) \) and \( M(\lambda) \) be given as in Proposition 3.4. Then for all \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) one has

\[
\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} \gamma(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{S}_{4/(2k+1),\infty}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} \gamma(\lambda)^* \in \mathfrak{S}_{4/(2k+1),\infty}.
\]

Moreover, it holds for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \)

\[
\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} M(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{S}_{2/k,\infty}.
\]

**Proof.** We shall use that for all \( \lambda \in \rho(A_0) \) the relations

\[
(4.3) \quad \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} \gamma(\lambda)^* = k! \Gamma_1(A_0 - \lambda)^{-k-1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots,
\]

and

\[
(4.4) \quad \frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} M(\lambda) = k! \Gamma_1(A_0 - \lambda)^{-k} \gamma(\lambda), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]
hold; see (2.4) and (2.9). It follows from (3.5) and dom $\Delta^l = H^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C})$ that

$$\text{dom } A_0^{k+1} = H^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C})^4$$

and hence $\text{ran}(A_0 - \lambda)^{-k-1} = H^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C})^4$. Therefore,

$$\text{ran}(\Gamma_1(A_0 - \lambda)^{-k-1}) = H^{k+1/2}(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)$$

and [9, Lemma 4.7] yields

$$\Gamma_1(A_0 - \lambda)^{-k-1} \in \mathcal{S}_{4/(2k+1),\infty}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

Now the second assertion of the lemma follows from (4.3) and taking adjoint shows the first statement. The assertion on $\frac{d^k}{d\lambda^k} M(\lambda)$ follows from (4.4), (4.5), $\gamma(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}_{4,\infty}$ and (4.2). \hspace{5cm} \Box

In the next theorem we prove that the difference of the third powers of the resolvents of $A_\eta$ and $A_0$ is a trace class operator, and we provide a formula for the trace in terms of the Weyl function $M(\cdot)$. Note that the trace on the left hand side in (4.6) is taken in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C})$, whereas the trace on the right hand side is in the boundary space $L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)$. We refer the reader to [10, 23, 24] and the references therein for related results on elliptic differential operators, Fredholm perturbation determinants and other types of trace formulae for Schrödinger operators.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let $\eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\pm 2c\}$ and let $M(\cdot)$ be as in Proposition 3.4. Then for all $\lambda \in \rho(A_\eta) \cap \rho(A_0)$ the operator

$$(A_\eta - \lambda)^{-3} - (A_0 - \lambda)^{-3}$$

belongs to the trace class ideal and

$$\text{tr} \left[ (A_\eta - \lambda)^{-3} - (A_0 - \lambda)^{-3} \right] = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \left( (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \gamma \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} M(\lambda) \right) \right) \right]$$

holds. In particular, the wave operators for the pair $\{A_\eta, A_0\}$ exist and are complete, and the absolutely continuous parts of $A_\eta$ and $A_0$ are unitarily equivalent.

**Proof.** For $\eta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\pm 2c\}$ and $\lambda \in \rho(A_\eta) \cap \rho(A_0)$ it follows from Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 that $(I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta$ is a bounded and everywhere defined operator. We shall use the symbol $\mathfrak{B}$ for the class of bounded and every defined operators in the following. The resolvent formula from Theorem 4.4 and [10, equation (2.7)] yield

$$(A_\eta - \lambda)^{-3} - (A_0 - \lambda)^{-3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \left[ (A_\eta - \lambda)^{-1} - (A_0 - \lambda)^{-1} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \left[ \gamma(\lambda)(I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \gamma \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} \right) \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{p+q+r=2} \frac{1}{p!q!r!} \left( \frac{d^p}{d\lambda^p} \gamma(\lambda) \right) \left( \frac{d^q}{d\lambda^q} (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \right) \left( \frac{d^r}{d\lambda^r} \gamma(\lambda)^* \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

Before we verify that each summand in the right-hand side in (4.7) is a trace class operator we first mention that

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta = -(I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} M(\lambda) \right) (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1}$$

$$\in \mathfrak{S}_{2,\infty}$$
and
\[
\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta = 2 (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} M(\lambda) \right) (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \right)^2
- (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \left( \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} M(\lambda) \right) (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \in \mathcal{S}_{1,\infty}
\]
hold by Lemma 4.5 and (4.2). It then follows from Lemma 4.5 that
\[
\left( \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \gamma(\lambda) \right) (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \gamma(\lambda) \right)^* \in \mathcal{S}_{4,5,\infty} \cdot \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{4,\infty},
\]
\[
\left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} \gamma(\lambda) \right) \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \gamma(\lambda) \right) \in \mathcal{S}_{4,3,\infty} \cdot \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{4,3,\infty},
\]
\[
\left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} \gamma(\lambda) \right) (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda} \gamma(\lambda) \right) \right)^* \in \mathcal{S}_{4,\infty} \cdot \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{4,5,\infty},
\]
\[
\gamma(\lambda) (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \left( \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \gamma(\lambda) \right) \right)^* \in \mathcal{S}_{4,\infty} \cdot \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{S}_{4,\infty},
\]
and using (4.2) we observe that each term is in the weak Schatten–von Neumann ideal \( \mathcal{S}_{2/3,\infty} \). Since \( \mathcal{S}_{2/3,\infty} \) is contained in the trace class ideal we then conclude from (4.7) the first claim of this theorem. Moreover, using the cyclicity of the trace it follows in the same way as in the proof of [10, Theorem 3.7 (ii)] from (4.7) that
\[
\text{tr} \left( (A_\eta - \lambda)^{-3} - (A_0 - \lambda)^{-3} \right)
= - \sum_{p+q+r=2} \frac{1}{p!q!r!} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{d^p}{d\lambda^p} \gamma(\lambda) \right) \left( \frac{d^q}{d\lambda^q} (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \right) \left( \frac{d^r}{d\lambda^r} \gamma(\lambda) \right) \right]
= - \sum_{p+q+r=2} \frac{1}{p!q!r!} \text{tr} \left[ \left( \frac{d^p}{d\lambda^p} (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \right) \left( \frac{d^q}{d\lambda^q} \gamma(\lambda) \right)^* \left( \frac{d^r}{d\lambda^r} \gamma(\lambda) \right) \right]
= - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \left( (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \gamma(\lambda) \right) \right]
= - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \left( (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda))^{-1} \eta \right) \frac{d}{d\lambda} M(\lambda) \right].
\]
This shows the trace formula in Theorem 4.6. The assertion on the wave operators and the absolutely continuous spectrum are well-known consequences of the trace class property; see, e.g. [36, Chapter 0, Theorem 8.2], [31, Problem 25], and the standard definition of existence and completeness of wave operators. \( \square \)

5. The nonrelativistic limit

In this section we show that the Dirac operator \( A_\eta \) with an electrostatic \( \delta \)-shell interaction of strength \( \eta \in \mathbb{R} \) converges in the nonrelativistic limit, i.e. when the energy of the rest mass \( mc^2 \) is subtracted from the total energy and the speed of light \( c \) tends to \( \infty \), to a Schrödinger operator with an electric \( \delta \)-potential of strength \( \eta \).
This shows that $A_\eta$ is indeed the relativistic counterpart of the Schrödinger operator with a $\delta$-interaction. Because of the convergence in the nonrelativistic limit one can also deduce spectral properties of $A_\eta$ for large $c$ from those of the Schrödinger operator with a $\delta$-interaction. As an illustration we show in Corollary 5.5 that for sufficiently large $-\eta > 0$ the number of eigenvalues of $A_\eta$ in the gap $(-mc^2, mc^2)$ of $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_\eta)$ becomes large.

First we recall the definition of the Schrödinger operator with a $\delta$-potential supported on $\Sigma$ of strength $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and some of its properties. For this consider the sesquilinear form

$$
(5.1) \quad b_\eta[f, g] := \frac{1}{2m} \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3)} + \eta \langle f|_{\Sigma}, g|_{\Sigma} \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C})}, \quad \text{dom } b_\eta = H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}),
$$

which is symmetric, bounded from below and closed, see [12, Section 4] or [8]. The corresponding self-adjoint operator $-\Delta_\eta$ is the Schrödinger operator with a $\delta$-potential supported on $\Sigma$ of strength $\eta$. In what follows, we want to state a suitable resolvent formula for $-\Delta_\eta$. For this purpose, we introduce for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ the function

$$
(5.2) \quad K_\lambda(x) := \frac{e^{i\sqrt{2m}\lambda|x|}}{4\pi|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}.
$$

Then, $K_\lambda$ is the integral kernel of the resolvent of $-\frac{1}{2m}\Delta$, i.e.

$$
(5.3) \quad \left( -\frac{1}{2m}\Delta - \lambda \right)^{-1} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\lambda(x-y)f(y)dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}).
$$

Furthermore, we define the operators $\tilde{\gamma}(\lambda) : L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}),$

$$
(5.4) \quad \tilde{\gamma}(\lambda)\varphi(x) := \int_{\Sigma} K_\lambda(x-y)\varphi(y)d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}),
$$

and $\tilde{M}(\lambda) : L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}) \to L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}),$

$$
(5.5) \quad \tilde{M}(\lambda)\varphi(x) := \int_{\Sigma} K_\lambda(x-y)\varphi(y)d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \Sigma, \ \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}).
$$

According to [8, Proposition 3.2 and Remark 3.3] the operators $\tilde{\gamma}(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{M}(\lambda)$ are bounded and everywhere defined. It is not difficult to check that the adjoint of $\tilde{\gamma}(\lambda)$ is given by $\tilde{\gamma}(\lambda)^* : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}) \to L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}),$

$$
(5.6) \quad \tilde{\gamma}(\lambda)^*f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\lambda(x-y)f(y)dy, \quad x \in \Sigma, \ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}).
$$

With these notations we recall a resolvent formula for $-\Delta_\eta$; cf. [8, Theorem 3.5] or [12, Lemma 2.3].

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Then the operator $I + \eta\tilde{M}(\lambda)$ has a bounded and everywhere defined inverse and

$$
(-\Delta_\eta - \lambda)^{-1} = \left( -\frac{1}{2m}\Delta - \lambda \right)^{-1} - \tilde{\gamma}(\lambda)(I + \eta\tilde{M}(\lambda))^{-1}\eta\tilde{\gamma}(\lambda)^*.
$$

It will be shown that the resolvents of the Dirac operators $A_\eta$ with $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed converge in the nonrelativistic limit to the resolvent of the Schrödinger operator.
with a $\delta$-potential times a projection to the upper components of the Dirac wave function, i.e. that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$
\[
\lim_{c \to \infty} \left( A_\eta - (\lambda + mc^2) \right)^{-1} = \left( -\Delta_\eta - \lambda \right)^{-1} P_+,
\]
where
\[
P_+ := \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Note that the Dirac operator $A_\eta$ is self-adjoint for all sufficiently large $c$ by Theorem 4.4. The resolvent formula in Theorem 4.4 indicates that it is sufficient to compute the limits of the operators $(A_0 - (\lambda + mc^2)^{-1}, \gamma(\lambda + mc^2), M(\lambda + mc^2)$ and $\gamma(\mathcal{X} + mc^2)^*$. This is done next in a preparatory proposition. The nonrelativistic limit of the free Dirac operator in (5.7a) is known from [32, Theorem 6.1].

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and let $\gamma(\lambda + mc^2), M(\lambda + mc^2)$ and $\gamma(\mathcal{X} + mc^2)^*$ be as in Proposition 3.4. Moreover, let $\gamma(\lambda), M(\lambda)$ and $\gamma(\mathcal{X})^*$ be as in (5.4)–(5.6). Then there exists a constant $\kappa = \kappa(m, \lambda)$ such that the following statements are true.

\begin{align}
(A_0 - (\lambda + mc^2))^{-1} - \left( -\frac{1}{2m} \Delta - \lambda \right)^{-1} P_+ & \leq \frac{\kappa}{c}; \\
\|\gamma(\lambda + mc^2) - \gamma(\lambda) P_+\| & \leq \frac{\kappa}{c}; \\
\|\gamma(\mathcal{X} + mc^2)^* - \gamma(\mathcal{X})^* P_+\| & \leq \frac{\kappa}{c}; \\
\|M(\lambda + mc^2) - M(\lambda) P_+\| & \leq \frac{\kappa}{c}.
\end{align}

**Proof.** Since all differences that shall be estimated in the operator norm are integral operators with the integral kernel $G_{\lambda + mc^2} - K\lambda P_+$ we consider first this function. Let $K\lambda$ be as in (5.2) and note that
\[
G_{\lambda + mc^2}(x) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{c^2} I_4 + 2m P_+ + \left( 1 - i \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{c^2} + 2m \lambda |x|} \right) \frac{i(\alpha \cdot x)}{|x|^2} \right) \frac{e^{i \sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2 + 2m \lambda |x|}}}{4\pi |x|}.
\]
We use the decomposition
\[
G_{\lambda + mc^2}(x) - K\lambda(x) P_+ = t_1(x) + t_2(x),
\]
where the functions $t_1$ and $t_2$ are defined by
\begin{align}
(t_1(x) & = \frac{e^{i \sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2 + 2m \lambda |x|}}}{4\pi |x|} \left( \frac{\lambda}{c^2} I_4 + \left( 1 - i \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{c^2} + 2m \lambda |x|} \right) \frac{i(\alpha \cdot x)}{|x|^2} \right) ; \\
t_2(x) & = \left( \frac{e^{i \sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2 + 2m \lambda |x|}}}{4\pi |x|} - e^{i \sqrt{2m \lambda |x|}} \right) \frac{2m}{4\pi |x|} P_+.
\end{align}
It is easy to see that there exist positive constants $\kappa_1(m, \lambda)$ and $\kappa_2(m, \lambda)$ independent of $c$ and an $R > 0$ such that
\[
|t_1(x)| \leq \frac{\kappa_1(m, \lambda)}{c} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
|x|^{-2}, & |x| < R, \\
e^{-\kappa_2(m, \lambda)|x|}, & |x| \geq R.
\end{array} \right.
\]
In order to estimate $t_2$ note that
\begin{equation}
(5.11) \quad \left| e^{i\sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2+2m\lambda}|x|} - e^{i\sqrt{2m\lambda}|x|} \right| = \left| \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} e^{i\sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2+2m\lambda}|x|} dt \right| \leq \frac{|x|}{c} \int_0^1 \left| e^{i\sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2+2m\lambda}|x|} \frac{i\lambda^2}{2c\sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2+2m\lambda}} \right| dt.
\end{equation}

Since $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ there exist constants $\kappa_3(m, \lambda), \kappa_4(m, \lambda) > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large $c$
\[ \frac{i\lambda^2}{2c\sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2+2m\lambda}} \leq \kappa_3(m, \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Re} \left( i\sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2+2m\lambda} \right) \leq -\kappa_4(m, \lambda) \]
hold for all $t \in [0, 1]$. This implies
\begin{equation}
(5.12) \quad |t_2(x)| = \left| \frac{2m}{4\pi|x|} \left( e^{i\sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2+2m\lambda}|x|} - e^{i\sqrt{2m\lambda}|x|} \right) P_+ \right| \leq \kappa_3(m, \lambda) \frac{2m}{4\pi c} e^{-\kappa_4(m, \lambda)|x|}.
\end{equation}

Eventually, because of the estimates (5.8), (5.10) and (5.12) there exist constants $\kappa_5(m, \lambda), \kappa_6(m, \lambda) > 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
(5.13) \quad |G_{\lambda+mc^2}(x) - K_\lambda(x)P_+| \leq |t_1(x)| + |t_2(x)| \leq \kappa_5(m, \lambda) c \begin{cases} \frac{|x|^2}{2}, & |x| < R, \\ e^{-\kappa_6(m, \lambda)|x|}, & |x| \geq R. \end{cases}
\end{equation}

Now, we are prepared to prove (5.7a)–(5.7c). By (3.3) and (5.3) we have
\[ \left( (A_0 - (\lambda + mc^2))^{-1} - \left( -\frac{1}{2m}\Delta - \lambda \right)^{-1} P_+ \right) f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (G_{\lambda+mc^2}(x-y) - K_\lambda(x-y)P_+) f(y) dy \]
for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$. Employing (5.13) and Proposition A.3 we find that
\[ \left\| (A_0 - (\lambda + mc^2))^{-1} - \left( -\frac{1}{2m}\Delta - \lambda \right)^{-1} P_+ \right\| \leq \frac{\kappa_7(m, \lambda)}{c} \]
for some constant $\kappa_7(m, \lambda)$ and hence (5.7a) holds. In order to prove (5.7b) recall from Proposition 3.4 (i) and (5.4) that
\[ (\gamma(\lambda + mc^2) - \gamma(\lambda)P_+) \varphi(x) = \int_{\Sigma} (G_{\lambda+mc^2}(x-y) - K_\lambda(x-y)P_+) \varphi(y) d\sigma(y) \]
for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)$. Here, the asymptotics in (5.13) and Proposition A.4 yield
\[ \|\gamma(\lambda + mc^2) - \gamma(\lambda)P_+\| \leq \frac{\kappa_8(m, \lambda, \Sigma)}{c}, \]
which is already the claimed estimate. Moreover, the relation (5.7c) follows by taking adjoints. Finally, we prove $M(\lambda + mc^2) \to \tilde{M}(\lambda)P_+$. For that purpose, we
use the decomposition
\[
(M(\lambda + mc^2) - \tilde{M}(\lambda)P_+)\varphi(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} (G_{\lambda+m \varepsilon^2}(x-y) - K_\lambda(x-y)P_+)\varphi(y)d\sigma(y)
\]
\[=(U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + U_4)\varphi(x), \quad x \in \Sigma, \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4),\]
where for \(j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\) the operators \(U_j : L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4) \to L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4)\) are integral operators of the form
\[
U_j\varphi(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} u_j(x-y)\varphi(y)d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \Sigma, \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^4),
\]
and the functions \(u_j\) are given by
\[
u_1(x) := \frac{e^{i\sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2 + 2m}\lambda|x|}}{4\pi|x|} \left(\frac{\lambda}{c^2}I_4 + \frac{\alpha \cdot x}{c|x|} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{c^2} + 2m\lambda}\right), \quad u_2(x) := t_2(x),
\]
\[
u_3(x) := \frac{i(\alpha \cdot x)}{4\pi|x|^3} \left(e^{i\sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2 + 2m}\lambda|x|} - 1\right), \quad u_4(x) := \frac{i(\alpha \cdot x)}{4\pi|x|^3}
\]
with \(t_2\) as in (5.9). Note that \(u_1 + u_3 + u_4 = t_1\) with \(t_1\) given by (5.9). It is easy to see that \(|u_1(x)| \leq \frac{\kappa_9(m, \lambda)}{|x|}\) for some constant \(\kappa_9(m, \lambda)\) and all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}\), and \(|u_2(x)| \leq \kappa_3(m, \lambda)\frac{2m}{|x|}\) for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^3\) by (5.12). Next, we observe that
\[
|e^{i\sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2 + 2m}\lambda|x|} - 1| = \left|\int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt}e^{it\sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2 + 2m}\lambda|x|}dt\right|
\]
\[\leq |x| \int_0^1 \left|e^{it\sqrt{\lambda^2/c^2 + 2m}\lambda|x|} \cdot i\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{c^2} + 2m\lambda}\right|dt,
\]
and hence there exists \(\kappa_{10}(m, \lambda)\) such that \(|u_3(x)| \leq \frac{\kappa_{10}(m, \lambda)}{|x|}\) for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}\). Therefore, we can apply Proposition A.5 and obtain
\[
\|U_j\| \leq \frac{\kappa_{11}(m, \lambda)}{c}, \quad j \in \{1, 2, 3\},
\]
for some constant \(\kappa_{11}(m, \lambda)\). Eventually, we note that \(U_4 = \frac{1}{c}C\), where \(C\) is the integral operator with integral kernel \(cu_4(x-y) = \frac{i(\alpha \cdot (x-y))}{4\pi|x-y|^3}\); this operator is independent of \(c\), everywhere defined and bounded, see the proof of [2, Lemma 3.3]. Therefore, \(\|U_4\| \leq \frac{\kappa_{12}}{c}\). This yields finally that
\[
\left\|M(\lambda + mc^2) - \tilde{M}(\lambda)P_+\right\| \leq \|U_1\| + \|U_2\| + \|U_3\| + \|U_4\| \leq \frac{\kappa_{13}(m, \lambda)}{c}
\]
and completes the proof of (5.7d). \(\square\)

The next theorem is the main result in this section and basically a consequence of the resolvent formulae for \(A_\eta\) and \(-\Delta_\eta\) from Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 5.1, respectively, and the estimates in Proposition 5.2.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \(\eta \in \mathbb{R}\) and let \(A_\eta\) be the Dirac operator with an electrostatic \(\delta\)-shell interaction in Definition 4.1. Furthermore, denote by \(-\Delta_\eta\) the Schrödinger
operator with a $\delta$-interaction of strength $\eta$. Then, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ there exists a constant $\kappa = \kappa(m, \lambda, \eta)$ such that
\[
\left\| (A_\eta - (\lambda + mc^2))^{-1} - (-\Delta_\eta - \lambda)^{-1} P_+ \right\| \leq \frac{\kappa}{c}.
\]

**Remark 5.4.** For the special case $\eta = 0$ the convergence of the free Dirac operator to the free Laplace operator in the nonrelativistic limit is well-known, see e.g. [32, Theorem 6.1], where it is shown that the order of convergence is $\frac{1}{c}$. Hence, the order of convergence in Theorem 5.3 is optimal for general interaction strengths $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Proof of Theorem 5.3.** First, recall that by Theorem 4.4 the resolvent of $A_\eta$ is given by
\[
(\lambda + mc^2)^{-1} = (A_0 - (\lambda + mc^2))^{-1}
= \gamma(\lambda + mc^2)(1 + \eta M(\lambda + mc^2))^{-1} \eta(\gamma(\lambda + mc^2))^*.
\]
From Proposition 5.2 we know that there exists a constant $\kappa_1 = \kappa_1(m, \lambda)$ such that
\[
\left\| (A_0 - (\lambda + mc^2))^{-1} - \left( -\frac{1}{2m} \Delta - \lambda \right)^{-1} P_+ \right\| \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{c};
\]
\[
\left\| \gamma(\lambda + mc^2) - \gamma(\lambda) P_+ \right\| \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{c};
\]
\[
\left\| \gamma(\lambda + mc^2)^* - \gamma(\lambda)^* P_+ \right\| \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{c};
\]
\[
\left\| M(\lambda + mc^2) - \tilde{M}(\lambda) P_+ \right\| \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{c}.
\]
Since the operators $I_4 + \eta M(\lambda + mc^2)$ and $I_4 + \eta \tilde{M}(\lambda) P_+$ are boundedly invertible, see Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 5.1, it follows from [30, Theorem IV 1.16] that
\[
\left\| (I_4 + \eta M(\lambda + mc^2))^{-1} - (I_4 + \eta \tilde{M}(\lambda) P_+)^{-1} \right\| \leq \frac{\kappa_2}{c}
\]
holds for some constant $\kappa_2 = \kappa_2(m, \lambda, \eta)$. Therefore, by using the resolvent formula for $-\Delta_\eta$ from Proposition 5.1 we obtain
\[
\lim_{c \to \infty} (A_\eta - (\lambda + mc^2))^{-1} = \lim_{c \to \infty} \left[ (A_0 - (\lambda + mc^2))^{-1}
= \gamma(\lambda + mc^2)(I_4 + \eta M(\lambda + mc^2))^{-1} \eta(\gamma(\lambda + mc^2))^* \right]
= \left( -\frac{1}{2m} \Delta - \lambda \right)^{-1} P_+ - \gamma(\lambda) P_+ (I_4 + \eta \tilde{M}(\lambda) P_+)^{-1} \eta \gamma(\lambda)^* P_+
= (-\Delta_\eta - \lambda)^{-1} P_+
\]
and the order of convergence in the operator norm can be estimated by $\frac{1}{c}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.3. $\Box$

Finally, we show that for large $c$ and $-\eta > 0$ sufficiently large the number of eigenvalues of $A_\eta$ in the gap $(-mc^2, mc^2)$ of $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A_\eta)$ is big. The proof is based on Theorem 5.3 and a result from [20] on the spectrum of $-\Delta_\eta$. In a similar way, one can derive also other results on the spectrum of $A_\eta$ from the well-known properties of $-\Delta_\eta$. 

Corollary 5.5. For any fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\eta < 0$ such that $\sigma_d(A_\eta) \geq j$ for all sufficiently large $c$.

Proof. Note first that $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_\eta P_+) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta_\eta \cup \{0\}) = [0, \infty)$ and recall from [8, Theorem 3.14] that $\sigma_d(-\Delta_\eta P_+) = \sigma_d(-\Delta_\eta)$ is finite. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed [20, Theorem 2.1] yields $\sigma_d(-\Delta_\eta P_+) \geq j$ for some $\eta < 0$. Next, choose $a < b < 0$ with $\sigma_d(-\Delta_\eta) \subset (a, b)$ and denote by $E_{-\Delta_\eta} P_+ ((a, b))$ and $E_{A_\eta - mc^2} ((a, b))$ the spectral projections of $-\Delta_\eta P_+$ and $A_\eta - mc^2$, respectively, corresponding to $(a, b)$. For $c \to \infty$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ Theorem 5.3 yields that the operators $(A_\eta - (\lambda + mc^2))^{-1}$ converge to $(-\Delta_\eta - \lambda)^{-1} P_+$. The latter operator is the resolvent of a self-adjoint relation (multivalued operator) and hence it follows in the same way as in [34, Satz 9.24b] together with [34, Satz 2.58a] that for all sufficiently large $c$ the dimensions of the ranges of $E_{-\Delta_\eta} P_+ ((a, b))$ and $E_{A_\eta - mc^2} ((a, b))$ coincide, i.e.

$$\dim \text{ran } E_{A_\eta - mc^2} ((a, b)) = \dim \text{ran } E_{-\Delta_\eta} P_+ ((a, b)) \geq j.$$ 

Hence, $A_\eta$ has at least $j$ eigenvalues (counted with multiplicities) in the interval $(a + mc^2, b + mc^2) \subset (-mc^2, mc^2)$ for sufficiently large $c$. \qed

Appendix A. Criteria for the boundedness of integral operators

In this appendix we discuss the boundedness of integral operators for some special integral kernels. The results are presented such that they can be applied directly in the main part of the paper. First we recall the Schur test, which is the abstract tool to prove these results; cf. [30, Example III 2.4] or [34, Satz 6.9] for the case of scalar integral kernels.

Proposition A.1. Let $(X, \mu)$ and $(Y, \nu)$ be $\sigma$-finite measure spaces and let $t : X \times Y \to \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be $\mu \times \nu$-measurable. Assume that there exist measurable functions $t_1, t_2 : X \times Y \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying $|t|^2 \leq t_1 t_2$ almost everywhere and constants $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int_X t_1(x, y) d\mu(x) \leq \kappa_1, \quad y \in Y, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_Y t_2(x, y) d\nu(y) \leq \kappa_2, \quad x \in X.$$

Then the operator $T : L^2(Y; \nu; \mathbb{C}^n) \to L^2(X; \mu; \mathbb{C}^n),$

$$T f(x) = \int_Y t(x, y) f(y) d\nu(y), \quad x \in X, \quad f \in L^2(Y; \nu; \mathbb{C}^n),$$

is everywhere defined and bounded with $\|T\|^2 \leq \kappa_1 \kappa_2$. In particular, if $(X, \mu) = (Y, \nu)$ and $t_1(x, y) = t_2(y, x)$ for all almost $x, y \in X$, then $\|T\| \leq \kappa_1$.

In the following the Schur test will be applied in the cases that $X$ and $Y$ are either $\mathbb{R}^3$ equipped with the Lebesgue measure or $\Sigma$ (the boundary of a $C^\infty$-smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$) equipped with the associated Hausdorff measure $\sigma$ and where the integral kernels satisfy $O(|x - y|^{-s})$ for small $x - y$ and some suitable $s > 0$. For that, we need the following integral estimates.

Lemma A.2. The following assertions (i)--(ii) hold.

(i) Let $\kappa, R > 0$ and $s \in (0, 3)$ and define the function

$$\tau(x) := \begin{cases} |x|^{-s}, & |x| < R, \\ e^{-\kappa |x|}, & |x| \geq R, \end{cases}$$

For any fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\eta < 0$ such that $\sigma_d(A_\eta) \geq j$ for all sufficiently large $c$. \qed
for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \). Then there is a constant \( K > 0 \) such that for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \)
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tau(x-y)dy \leq K.
\]

(ii) Let \( s \in (0,2) \). Then there is a constant \( K \) such that for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \)
\[
\int_\Sigma (1 + |x - y|^{-s})d\sigma(y) \leq K.
\]

Proof. (i) For \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) fixed the translation invariance of the Lebesgue measure shows
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tau(x-y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tau(-y)dy = \int_{B(0,R)} |y|^{-s}dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B(0,R)} e^{-\kappa |y|}dy,
\]
where the integrals on the right hand side are independent of \( x \) and finite for \( s \in (0,3) \).

In order to prove (ii) fix again some \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). It is clear that \( \int_\Sigma 1d\sigma(y) = \sigma(\Sigma) \) is finite independent of \( x \). Furthermore, since \( \Sigma \) is compact there exists \( R_1 > 0 \) such that \( \Sigma \subset B(0,R_1 - 1) \). If \( |x| > R_1 \), then \( |x-y| > 1 \) for all \( y \in \Sigma \) and therefore
\[
\int_\Sigma |x-y|^{-s}d\sigma(y) \leq \int_\Sigma d\sigma(y) = \sigma(\Sigma).
\]

If \( |x| \leq R_1 \), we need a slightly more sophisticated estimate which follows the ideas of [5, Proposition A.4]. Define
\[
A_n = \{ y \in \Sigma : 2^{-n} \leq |x-y|/R_1 < 2^{-n+1} \}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\]
so that \( \Sigma = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \). Moreover, for \( y \in A_n \) we have
\[
|x-y|^{-s} \leq R_1^{-s}2^{sn}
\]
and hence
\[
\int_\Sigma |x-y|^{-s}d\sigma(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n} |x-y|^{-s}d\sigma(y) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_1^{-s}2^{sn} \int_{A_n} d\sigma(y).
\]
Since \( \Sigma \) is a smooth and bounded surface there is a constant \( k = k(\Sigma) > 0 \) such that
\[
\sigma(B(x,\rho) \cap \Sigma) \leq k\rho^2
\]
independent of \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) and \( \rho > 0 \), cf. [28, Chapter II, Example 3]. Using the fact that \( A_n \subset B(x,R_1 \cdot 2^{-n+1}) \) it follows that
\[
\int_\Sigma |x-y|^{-s}d\sigma(y) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} kR_1^{-s}2^{sn}(R_1 \cdot 2^{-n+1})^2 = 4kR_1^{2-s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{(s-2)n}.
\]
Since \( s \in (0,2) \) the last sum is finite. Therefore, the claim is also true in the case \( |x| \leq R_1 \). The proof of Lemma A.2 (ii) is complete. \( \square \)

Finally, by applying the Schur test and the estimates from the previous lemma, we can show that integral operators with suitable integral kernels are bounded and everywhere defined and we get estimates for their operator norms. The results are formulated such that they can be applied directly in the main part of the paper.
**Proposition A.3.** Let $t : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be measurable and assume that there exist positive constants $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$ and $R$ such that

$$|t(x)| \leq \kappa_1 \begin{cases} |x|^{-2}, & |x| < R, \\ e^{-\kappa_2|x|}, & |x| \geq R, \end{cases}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. Then the operator $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^n)$,

$$Tf(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t(x-y)f(y)dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^n),$$

is everywhere defined and bounded with $\|T\| \leq \kappa_1 K$ for some $K > 0$.

**Proof.** We define for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$

$$\tau(x) := \kappa_1 \begin{cases} |x|^{-2}, & |x| < R, \\ e^{-\kappa_2|x|}, & |x| \geq R, \end{cases}$$

and $t_1(x, y) = t_2(x, y) := \tau(x-y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then, it follows from Lemma A.2 (i) that there exists a constant $K$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t_1(x, y)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tau(x-y)dx \leq \kappa_1 K$$

for almost every $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Hence, the Schur test (Proposition A.1) implies that $T$ is bounded and everywhere defined and that $\|T\| \leq \kappa_1 K$ holds. \qed

**Proposition A.4.** Let $t : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be measurable and assume that there exist positive constants $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$ and $R$ such that

$$|t(x)| \leq \kappa_1 \begin{cases} |x|^{-2}, & |x| < R, \\ e^{-\kappa_2|x|}, & |x| \geq R, \end{cases}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. Then the operators $T_1 : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^n) \to L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^n)$,

$$T_1 f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t(x-y)f(y)dy, \quad x \in \Sigma, \ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^n),$$

and $T_2 : L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^n)$,

$$T_2 \varphi(x) := \int_{\Sigma} t(x-y)\varphi(y)d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^n),$$

are everywhere defined and bounded with $\|T_1\|, \|T_2\| \leq \kappa_1 K$ for some $K > 0$.

**Proof.** We prove the statement for the operator $T_1$, the claim for $T_2$ follows then by taking adjoints. Let us define for an $s \in (0, 1)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$

$$\tau_1(x) := \kappa_1 \kappa_3 |x|^{-2+s}$$

and

$$\tau_2(x) := \kappa_1 \begin{cases} |x|^{-2-s}, & |x| < R, \\ e^{-\kappa_2|x|}, & |x| \geq R, \end{cases}$$

where the constant $\kappa_3$ is chosen such that $e^{-\kappa_2|x|} \leq \kappa_3 |x|^{-2+s}$ for $|x| \geq R$. Set $t_j(x, y) := \tau_j(x-y)$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $x \in \Sigma, \ y \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and note that the estimate
\[|t(x - y)|^2 \leq t_1(x, y)t_2(x, y)\] holds for almost all \(x, y\). By applying Lemma A.2 (ii) we see that there is a constant \(K_1\) such that
\[
\int_{\Sigma} t_1(x, y) d\sigma(x) = \int_{\Sigma} \tau_1(x - y) d\sigma(x) \leq \kappa_1 K_1
\]
for almost all \(y \in \mathbb{R}^3\). Similarly, Lemma A.2 (i) implies that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t_2(x, y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tau_2(x - y) dy \leq \kappa_1 K_2
\]
is true for almost all \(x \in \Sigma\) and a constant \(K_2\). Therefore, Proposition A.1 yields the assertions for \(T_1\). □

**Proposition A.5.** Let \(t : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\) be measurable and assume that there exists a constant \(\kappa > 0\) such that
\[
|t(x)| \leq \kappa (1 + |x|^{-1})
\]
for \(x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}\). Then, the operator \(T : L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^n) \to L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^n)\),
\[
T \varphi(x) := \int_{\Sigma} t(x - y) \varphi(y) d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \Sigma, \ \varphi \in L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}^n),
\]
is everywhere defined and bounded with \(|T| \leq \kappa K\) for some \(K > 0\).

**Proof.** We define the functions
\[
\tau(x) := \kappa (1 + |x|^{-1}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\},
\]
and \(t_1(x, y) = t_2(x, y) := \tau(x - y)\) for \(x, y \in \Sigma\). Lemma A.2 (ii) shows that there is a constant \(K > 0\) such that
\[
\int_{\Sigma} t_1(x, y) d\sigma(x) = \int_{\Sigma} \tau(x - y) d\sigma(x) \leq \kappa K
\]
for almost every \(y \in \Sigma\). Hence Proposition A.1 implies the statement. □
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