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Abstract

A fractional Hamiltonian formalism is introduced for the recent combined fractional calculus of variations. The Hamilton–Jacobi partial differential equation is generalized to be applicable for systems containing combined Caputo fractional derivatives. The obtained results provide tools to carry out the quantization of nonconservative problems through combined fractional canonical equations of Hamilton type.
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1. Introduction

The conservative physical systems imply frictionless motion and are simplification of the real dynamical world. The systems in Nature always contain internal damping and are subject to some external forces that do not store energy and which are not equivalent to the gradient of a potential. For nonconservative dynamical systems the energy conservation law is broken and, as a consequence, the standard Hamiltonian formalism is no longer valid for describing the behavior of the system. Moreover, not only the energy, but also other physical quantities such as linear or angular momentums, are not conserved: Noether’s classical theorem ceases to be valid [11].

In order to model nonconservative dynamical systems, a novel approach to variational calculus was proposed by Riewe in 1996 and 1997, [24, 25]. The idea is based on the concept of fractional differentiation and fractional integration, [2, 9]. Roughly speaking, the appearance of fractional equations is natural due to physical reasons of long-range dissipation, fractional wave propagation in complex media and long memory. Fractional-order Euler–Lagrange equations and the Hamilton formalism with fractional derivatives are investigated in [16, 23]. For a fractional Hamiltonian analysis of irregular systems, see [8].

The fractional calculus of variations is nowadays an emerging field — see [4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 17] and references therein. A general fractional variational calculus, based on a combined Caputo derivative, was recently introduced by the authors in [19] and further developed in [20] and [21]. In [3], a generalization of the fractional variational calculus is given by considering generalized Hilfer’s fractional derivatives with two and three parameters. Here we study the importance of the new combined variational calculus in fractional mechanics, describing nonconservative dynamic systems.

In Section 2 we recall the combined fractional Euler–Lagrange equations. Our results are then given in Section 3. In Section 3.1 we show that combined fractional Hamiltonian equations of motion can be obtained for nonconservative systems. The constants of motion cease to be valid and a new notion is introduced in Section 3.2. Canonical fractional transformations of first and second kind are studied in Section 3.3 and Section 3.4 respectively. Subsequently, in Section 3.5 an Hamilton–Jacobi type equation is derived and a fractional quantum wave equation suggested.

The understanding of the Hamiltonian dynamical systems, classical or quantum, has been a long standing theoretical question since Dirac’s quantization of the classical electromagnetic field. The combined fractional Hamiltonian approach here introduced seems to be a promising direction of research.
2. Preliminaries

Let \( \alpha, \beta \in (0, 1) \) and \( \gamma \in [0, 1] \). The fractional derivative operator \( CD_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta} \) was introduced in Malinowska and Torres [19], as

\[
CD_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta} := \gamma CD_x^{\alpha} + (1 - \gamma) CD_b^{\beta}.
\]

For \( \gamma = 0 \) and \( \gamma = 1 \) we obtain the standard Caputo operators: \( CD_0^{\alpha,\beta} = CD_x^{\beta} \) and \( CD_1^{\alpha,\beta} = CD_a^{\alpha} \).

Consider a fractional Lagrangian

\[
L(t, q, CD_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta} q)
\]

depending on time \( t \), the coordinates \( q = [q_1, \ldots, q_N] \) and their fractional velocities \( CD_{\gamma}^{\alpha,\beta} q = [CD_{\gamma_1}^{\alpha_1,\beta_1} q_1, \ldots, CD_{\gamma_N}^{\alpha_N,\beta_N} q_N] \). The Euler–Lagrange equations corresponding to (1) were studied in [20] and form the following system of \( N \) fractional differential equations:

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} + D_{1-\gamma_i}^{\beta_i,\alpha_i} \frac{\partial L}{\partial CD_{\gamma_i}^{\alpha_i,\beta_i} q_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N,
\]

where

\[
D_{1-\gamma_i}^{\beta_i,\alpha_i} := (1 - \gamma_i) a D_x^{\beta_i} + \gamma_i x D_b^{\alpha_i}
\]

with \( a D_x^{\beta_i} \) and \( x D_b^{\alpha_i} \) denoting the classical left and right Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives.

**Remark 2.1.** With the notation from [20, 21],

\[
\partial_{i+1} L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{N+1+i} L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial CD_{\gamma_i}^{\alpha_i,\beta_i} q_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.
\]

In contrast with [20, 21], we adopt here the notation used in mechanics [14, 25].

More general necessary optimality conditions than (2) are found in [20, 21]. However, all our previous works [19, 20, 21] on combined fractional derivatives consider the Lagrangian approach only. In contrast, here we develop the combined fractional Hamiltonian formalism. We show that (2) can be reduced to a special Hamiltonian system of \( 2N \) fractional differential equations: the combined canonical fractional equations.
3. Main Results

In analogy with the classical mechanics, let us introduce the canonical momenta \( p_i \) by

\[
p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{C}^{\alpha_i,\beta_i}_{\gamma_i} q_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.
\]

(3)

3.1. Combined Euler–Lagrange equations in Hamiltonian form

Assume that

\[
\left| \frac{\partial \left( C^{\alpha_{1,\ldots,\gamma_{1,\ldots,N}} {\alpha_1,\ldots,\gamma_{1,\ldots,N}} q_{1,\ldots,N} \right)} }{\partial (p_1, \ldots, p_N)} \right| \neq 0.
\]

Then, by the implicit function theorem, we can locally solve equations (3) with respect to \( C^{\alpha_1,\beta_1 q_1, \ldots, C^{\alpha_N,\beta_N q_N}} \). The fractional Hamiltonian is defined by

\[
H(t, q, p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i C^{\alpha_i,\beta_i q_i} - L(t, q, C^{\gamma_i,\beta_i q_i}),
\]

(4)

where \( C^{\alpha_i,\beta_i q_i} \) are regarded as functions of variables \( t, q_1, \ldots, q_N, p_1, \ldots, p_N \). Therefore, the differential of \( H \) is given by

\[
dH = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} dq_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} dp_i + \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} dt.
\]

(5)

From the defining equality (4), we can also write

\[
dH = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C^{\alpha_i,\beta_i q_i} dp_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i dC^{\alpha_i,\beta_i q_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} dq_i
\]

\[
- \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial L}{\partial C^{\alpha_i,\beta_i q_i}} dC^{\gamma_i,\beta_i q_i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} dt.
\]

(6)

The terms containing \( dC^{\gamma_i,\beta_i q_i} \) in (6) cancel because of the definition of canonical momenta. Applying relations (2), we get

\[
dH = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C^{\alpha_i,\beta_i q_i} dp_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} D^{\beta_i,\alpha_i}_{1-\gamma_i} p_i dq_i - \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} dt.
\]

Comparison with (5) furnishes the following set of 2N relations:

\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} = C^{\alpha_i,\beta_i q_i}, \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} = D^{\beta_i,\alpha_i}_{1-\gamma_i} p_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N,
\]

(7)

which we can call the combined fractional canonical equations of Hamilton. They constitute a set of 2N fractional order equations of motion replacing
the Euler–Lagrange equations (2). Moreover,
\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}.
\] (8)

For integer-order derivatives, it can be shown that
\[
\frac{dH}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}
\]
(see, e.g., [14, p. 220]). Hence, if \( L \) (and, in consequence of (8), also \( H \)) is not an explicit function of \( t \), i.e., in the autonomous case, then \( H \) is a constant of motion. This is a well-known consequence of Noether’s theorem [27, 29]. With noninteger-order derivatives this is not the case, see [12, 13].

Observe that using equations (7), we can write
\[
\frac{dH}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( C D_{\alpha_i,\beta_i,\gamma_i}^{\alpha_i,\beta_i,\gamma_i} \frac{dp_i}{dt} + D_{1-\gamma_i}^{\beta_i,\alpha_i} p_i \frac{dq_i}{dt} \right) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}.
\]

In contrast with the integer-order case, the terms containing fractional and classical derivatives of coordinates and momenta do not cancel. Therefore, in general we obtain nonconservative systems and classical constants of motion cease to be valid [11, 24]. To deal with the problem we introduce the notion of fractional constant of motion.

### 3.2. Fractional constants of motion

To account for the presence of dissipative terms, we propose the following definition of fractional constant of motion.

**Definition 3.1.** We say that a function \( C(t, q, p) \) is a fractional constant of motion of order \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) if \( D_{1-\gamma_i}^{\beta_i,\alpha_i} \left[ t \mapsto C(t, q(t), p(t)) \right] \) is the null function along any pair \((q, p)\) satisfying the \( 2N \) combined fractional canonical equations (7).

It follows from (7) that if \( q_i \) is absent in the fractional Hamiltonian, then \( D_{1-\gamma_i}^{\beta_i,\alpha_i} p_i = 0 \), i.e., if \( \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = 0 \), then
\[
p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial C D_{\alpha_i,\beta_i,\gamma_i}^{\alpha_i,\beta_i,\gamma_i} q_i}
\]
is a fractional constant of motion of order \((\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i)\).

### 3.3. Canonical fractional transformations of first kind

We now look for transformations under which the combined fractional canonical equations of Hamilton (7) preserve their canonical form. Consider the simultaneous transformation of independent coordinates and momenta, \( q_i \) and \( p_i \), to a new set \( Q_i \) and \( P_i \) with transformation equations
\[
Q_i = Q_i(t, q, p), \quad P_i = P_i(t, q, p), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.
\]
The new \( Q_i \) and \( P_i \) are canonical coordinates provided there exists some function \( K(t, Q, P) \) such that the equations of motion in the new set are in Hamiltonian form:

\[
\frac{\partial K}{\partial P_i} = C D^{\alpha_i, \beta_i} Q_i, \quad \frac{\partial K}{\partial Q_i} = D^{\beta_i, \alpha_i} P_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.
\] (9)

Transformations for which equations (9) are valid are said to be canonical. Function \( K \) plays the role of the Hamiltonian in the new coordinates set. If \( Q_i \) and \( P_i \) are to be canonical coordinates, then they must satisfy the modified fractional Hamiltonian principle of form

\[
\delta \int_a^b \left( \sum_{i=1}^N p_i C D^{\alpha_i, \beta_i} Q_i - K(t, Q, P) \right) dt = 0.
\] (10)

At the same time, the original coordinates satisfy the similar principle

\[
\delta \int_a^b \left( \sum_{i=1}^N p_i C D^{\alpha_i, \beta_i} q_i - H(t, q, p) \right) dt = 0.
\] (11)

The simultaneous validity of equations (10) and (11) means that integrands of the two integrals can differ at most by a total derivative of an arbitrary function \( F \), sometimes called a gauge term [28]:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} F + \sum_{i=1}^N P_i C D^{\alpha_i, \beta_i} Q_i - K(t, Q, P) = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i C D^{\alpha_i, \beta_i} q_i - H(t, q, p).
\]

The arbitrary function \( F \) works as a generating function of the transformation, and is very useful in developing direct methods to solve problems of the calculus of variations [5, 18] and optimal control [26, 30]. Function \( F \) is only specified up to an additive constant. In order to produce transformations between the two sets of canonical variables, \( F \) must be a function of both old and new variables. For mechanics involving fractional derivatives (cf. [24]) we need to introduce variables \( \bar{q}_i \) and \( \bar{Q}_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), satisfying

\[
\frac{d\bar{q}_i}{dt} = C D^{\alpha_i, \beta_i} q_i, \quad \frac{d\bar{Q}_i}{dt} = C D^{\beta_i, \alpha_i} Q_i.
\]

For \( \gamma_i = 1, \alpha_i = 1, \beta_i = 0, \ i = 1, \ldots, N \), these new coordinates are the same as the usual canonical coordinates. However, when dealing with fractional derivatives, the coordinates \( \bar{q}_i \) and \( \bar{Q}_i \) will not be canonical, so all canonical expressions must be written in terms of \( C D^{\alpha_i, \beta_i} q_i \) and \( C D^{\alpha_i, \beta_i} Q_i \). For a generating function \( F_1(t, q, Q) \), the integrands of (10) and (11) are
connected by the relation

\[
\frac{d}{dt} F_1(t, \bar{q}, \bar{Q}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i C^{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i} q_i - H(t, q, p) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i C^{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i} Q_i + K(t, Q, P).
\]

Because

\[
dF_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \bar{q}_i} d\bar{q}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \bar{Q}_i} d\bar{p}_i + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t} dt,
\]

we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t} = K - H, \quad p_i = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \bar{q}_i}, \quad -P_i = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \bar{Q}_i},
\]

\(i = 1, \ldots, N\). We call to (13) the canonical fractional transformations of first kind.

### 3.4. Canonical fractional transformations of second kind

We shall now introduce canonical transformations of second type with a generating function \(F_2(t, \bar{q}, P)\). We begin by noting that the transformation from \(\bar{q}_i\) and \(\bar{Q}_i\) to \(\bar{q}_i\) and \(P_i\) can be accomplished by a Legendre transformation. Indeed, by equations (13), \(-P_i = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \bar{Q}_i}\). This suggests that the generating function \(F_2\) can be defined by

\[
F_2(t, \bar{q}, P) = F_1(t, \bar{q}, \bar{Q}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i \bar{Q}_i.
\]

From equation (12) we obtain

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \frac{d\bar{q}_i}{dt} - H(t, q, p) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i \frac{d\bar{Q}_i}{dt} + K(t, Q, P) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( F_2(t, \bar{q}, P) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i \bar{Q}_i \right) = \frac{d}{dt} F_2(t, \bar{q}, P) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i \frac{d\bar{Q}_i}{dt} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{Q}_i \frac{dP_i}{dt}.
\]

Hence,

\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \frac{d\bar{q}_i}{dt} - H \right) dt + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{Q}_i \frac{dP_i}{dt} + K \right) dt = dF_2(t, \bar{q}, P).
\]
Repeating the procedure followed for $F_1$ in Section 3.3 we obtain the transformation equations

$$\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial t} = K - H, \quad p_i = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \bar{q}_i}, \quad \bar{Q}_i = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N. \tag{14}$$

### 3.5. Fractional Hamilton–Jacobi equation

Under the assumption that $K$ is identically zero, for integer order derivatives we know, from equations (9), that the new coordinates are constant. The same situation occurs, under appropriate assumptions, in the fractional setting when in presence of Riemann–Liouville or Caputo derivatives [15, 22]. In our general combined setting this is not the case, in particular for the new momentum $P_i$. To solve the problem we proceed as follows. Assume that the transformed Hamiltonian, $K$, is identically zero. Then the equations of motion are

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial P_i} = C D_{\gamma_i}^{\alpha_i, \beta_i} Q_i = 0, \quad \frac{\partial K}{\partial Q_i} = D_{1-\gamma_i}^{\beta_i, \alpha_i} P_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N.$$

The function $K$ is related with the old Hamiltonian $H$ and the generating function $F$ by $K = H + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}$. Hence, $K$ will be zero if, and only if, $F$ satisfies the equation $H(t, q, p) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 0$. It is convenient to take $F$ as a function of $\bar{q}_i$ and $P_i$. Then, from equations (14), we can write

$$H \left( t, q, \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \bar{q}} \right) + \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial t} = 0. \tag{15}$$

Equation (15) is a fractional version of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. Therefore, the quantum wave equation with the combined $C D_{\gamma_i}^{\alpha_i, \beta_i}$ fractional derivative is suggested to be

$$H \left( t, q, -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{q}} \right) \psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t},$$

where $\psi$ is a wave equation.

### 4. Conclusion

In this paper we give an Hamiltonian formulation to the general fractional Euler–Lagrange equations obtained in [19]. Motivated by the recent results in the literature of Physics dealing with fractional mechanics and nonconservative systems [11,15,22], we illustrate some possible applications of our combined variational calculus in mechanics and quantization.
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