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The Proteome of the Differentiating Mesencephalic Progenitor Cell Line CSM14.1 In Vitro
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Abstract

The treatment of Parkinson’s disease by transplantation of dopaminergic (DA) neurons from human embryonic mesencephalic tissue is a promising approach. However, the origin of these cells causes major problems: availability and standardization of the graft. Therefore, the generation of unlimited numbers of DA neurons from various types of stem or progenitor cells has been brought into focus. A source for DA neurons might be conditionally immortalized progenitor cells. The temperature-sensitive immortalized cell line CSM14.1 derived from the mesencephalon of an embryonic rat has been used successfully for transplantation experiments. This cell line was analyzed by unbiased stereology of cell typespecific marker proteins and 2D-gel electrophoresis followed by mass spectrometry to characterize the differentially expressed proteome. Undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells only expressed the stem cell marker nestin, whereas differentiated cells expressed GFAP or NeuN and tyrosine hydroxylase. An increase of the latter cells during differentiation could be shown. By using proteomics an explanation on the protein level was found for the observed changes in cell morphology during differentiation, when CSM14.1 cells possessed the morphology of multipolar neurons. The results obtained in this study confirm the suitability of CSM14.1 cells as an in vitro model for the study of neuronal and dopaminergic differentiation in rats.

1. Introduction

The motoric cardinal symptoms (rigor, tremor, akinesia, and postural instability) in Parkinson’s disease (PD) are caused by the degeneration of dopaminergic (DA) neurons. Most of these dopaminergic neurons are located in the substantia nigra pars compacta. The classical, symptomatic treatment of the disease includes the use of pharmaceuticals like L-DOPA or the more invasive deep brain stimulation. Furthermore, over the last three decades the concept of cell replacement has been brought into focus. In various clinical trials postmitotic DA neurons from human embryonic mesencephalic tissue have demonstrated to be the most prospective cells for transplantation in human PD brains [1, 2].

However, the origin of these cells from human embryos causes their major limitation concerning tissue availability and standardization of the graft. Therefore, to establish cell replacement therapy as an available therapeutic option for many PD patients, other ways to generate DA neurons in unlimited number and consistent quality have to be found. Over the last years various protocols for the production of DA neurons, for example, from embryonic stem cells or foetal neuronal stem cells, have been used. Another approach is the generation of DA neurons via induced pluripotent stem cells [3]. However, the use of conditionally immortalized progenitor cells is also a promising approach due to nearly unlimited access of material [4].

The temperature-sensitive immortalized mesencephalic progenitor cell line CSM14.1 derived from a 14-day-old rat embryo [5–8] differentiates in vitro in tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) and aldehyde-dehydrogenase-2 (ALD2)-expressing neurons. Undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells also contain the stem cell marker nestin and also the expression of Nurr-1—a member of the superfamily of orphan nuclear retinoic
acid receptors—which plays an important role in the differentiation of dopaminergic neurons, has been described [9]. During differentiation the cells also show a change from an epithelial fibroblast-like phenotype to a morphology resembling multipolar neurons. After transplantation into the striatum of neonatal hemiparkinsonian rats the differentiation into TH-expressing cells and an improvement in motoric function could be demonstrated [10].

In contrast to the above mentioned results concerning the characterization of CSM14.1 cells in vitro obtained by using immunocytchemistry and western blotting, by the use of proteomic approaches important issues such as protein amount, protein stability, subcellular localization of proteins, posttranslational modifications, and protein-protein interactions can be elucidated [11]. Therefore, in this study we investigated the ability of the cell line CSM14.1 to function as a model for the neuronal and dopaminergic differentiation in rats by combining unbiased stereological evaluation of a model for the neuronal and dopaminergic differentiation from an epithelial fibroblast-like phenotype to an amorphic morphology [9]. During differentiation the cells also show a change from an epithelial fibroblast-like phenotype to a morphology resembling multipolar neurons. After transplantation into the striatum of neonatal hemiparkinsonian rats the differentiation into TH-expressing cells and an improvement in motoric function could be demonstrated [10].

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Cell Culture and Immunocytochemistry. Immortalized CSM14.1 cells [5] were cultivated and expanded as described by Haas and Wree [9] in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calfserum (FCS), 100 Units mL⁻¹ penicillin, and 100 μg mL⁻¹ streptomycin in a humidified incubator (95% air, 5% CO₂, 33°C). Cell passage was done every third day. To induce differentiation the amount of FCS was reduced to 1% and the temperature was raised to 39°C—nonpermissive temperature [12, 13]. The media was routinely changed every third day. All cell tissue reagents were obtained from Gibco Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA.

2.2. For Immunocytochemistry CSM14.1 Cells Were Cultivated in 24 Well Plates. Undifferentiated cells and cells after 14 days and 28 days of differentiation, respectively, (see above) were washed with 0.1M PBS (pH 7.4) and fixed in 3.7% paraformaldehyde solution (solved in 0.1M PBS, pH 7.4) for a minimum of one hour. After three washes with PBS (pH 7.4) the cells were preincubated for 60 minutes in PBS (pH 7.4) containing 3% bovine serum albumine (BSA), 0.025% Triton X-100, and 3% normal horse serum (NHS) to block unspecific binding sites.

Incubation with the primary antibodies directed against the neural stem cell protein (nestin, mouse monoclonal, 1:500, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP, mouse monoclonal, 1:400, Sigma-Aldrich Corporation, St. Louis, MO, USA), neuronal nuclei antigen (NeuN, mouse monoclonal, 1:1000, Chemicon, Billerica, MA, USA), and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH clone 2, mouse monoclonal, 1:500, Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in 0.1M PBS containing 0.025% Triton X-100 and 1% BSA was done at 4°C overnight. For each time point and antibody four independent experiments were performed. After washing for three times with PBS (pH 7.4) the cells were incubated with the Cy3-conjugated secondary antibody (Donkey antimouse IgG + IgM, 1:500, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., West Grove, PA, USA) dissolved similar to primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. For cell counting the cell nuclei were stained with 4.6-diamidino-2-phenylindol dihydrochloride (DAPI, Carl Roth GmbH + Co.KG, Karlsruhe, Germany).

For the various cell type specific markers four different culture wells per marker were examined for each of the three different groups, leading to the examination of 48 cell culture wells.

2.3. Cell Counting and Statistics. Microphotography and cell counting were performed with an Olympus BX 51 microscope and the Stereo Investigator v8.0 (MicroBrightField Bioscience, Vermont, USA) software. Cells were counted using the 10x objective and an unbiased counting frame [14]. A characteristic point of a cell was applied to decide if the cell should be counted. Hereby the cell nuclei were chosen. Quantification was performed in region of the whole cell culture well that was placed under the circular cover slip (diameter 1.2 cm). Counting frames had a dimension of 500 × 500 μm² and a distance to each other of 1000 μm. A systematic random sampling, controlled by the Stereo Investigator software, ensured that frame regions were not double counted. An average of about 500 cells per culture well were examined during the counting procedure. The Chi-Quadrat test and Fisher’s exact test were used (SPSS v11.01, SPSS Inc. IBM Company Headquarters, Chicago, IL, USA) to compare cell counts.

2.4. Proteomics. For proteomics CSM14.1 cells were cultivated and differentiated in tissue culture dishes as described above. After removal of the culture medium undifferentiated cells (day 0) and cells after 28 days of differentiation (day 28) were washed twice with ice cold PBS (pH 7.4). Afterwards the cells were mechanically removed from the bottom of the tissue culture dishes in 1mL ice cold PBS each. The cell suspension was fractionated in 1.5 mL reaction tubes which underwent centrifugation for 5 min at 4°C and 5000 rpm (Heraeus Megafuge 1.0R, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA, Rotor 3041). The remaining mass of each cell pellet was approximately 150 mg. After freezing at −80°C (9 × probe mass (mg)) μL lysis buffer (containing 7 M urea (Sigma-Aldrich), 2 M thiourea (Sigma-Aldrich), 70 mM DTT (Sigma-Aldrich), 4% w/v CHAPS (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5% ampholyte high resolution ph 3–10 (Sigma-Aldrich)), (0.4 × mass probe (mg)) μL Complete (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Basel, Swiss), (0.1 × mass probe (mg)) μL PMSF (Sigma-Aldrich), and (0.1 × probe mass (mg)) μL PepA (Sigma-Aldrich) were added. The tubes were quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen, warmed up at room temperature, sonificated in an ice cold ultrasound bath for 5 min and then centrifuged for 20 min at 4°C and 15,000 rpm (Megafuge 1.0R, Rotor 3041). Protein concentration was measured using a Bradford solution by Sigma-Aldrich. Sample aliquots were stored at −80°C.
The isoelectric focussing (IEF) procedure was performed with 18 cm nonlinear Immobiline DryStrip pH 3–10 (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden) which was rehydrated at 20°C for 20 h. Each strip was loaded with 500 µg protein using cup-loading technology at anode and cathode. Electric focussing was performed with a Protean IEF Cell (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) at 8000 V resulting in approximately 100 kV h. Furthermore, IEF strips were incubated with equilibration buffer containing 1.5 M Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 6 M urea, 30% glycerol, 2% SDS, and 64.8 mM DTT for 30 min followed by a second incubation with the same buffer containing 20 mM 2-VP instead of DTT. Second dimension was carried out on 12% SDS gels using a Protein Plus Dodeca Cell (BioRad) at 125 V and 12°C for approximately 16 hrs. Afterwards gels were fixed with 45% methanol and 1% acetic acid for 24 hrs. Staining was performed using Brilliant-Blue Coomassie G250 (Carl Roth). For digitization at 300 dpi/2 bit a Heidelberg Nexscan F4100 (Heidelberger Druckmaschinen, Heidelberg, Germany) was used. For further analysis the program Progenesis PG 200 version 2006 (Nonlinear Dynamics Ltd., Newcastle, Great Britain) was applied.

Image analysis was performed using Progenesis PG200 Version 2006 (Nonlinear Dynamics Ltd., Newcastle upon Tyne, U.K.). Two groups of experimental gels (6 gels from day 0 and 6 gels from day 28) were registered to a reference gel chosen from day 0 gels. Spots showing a 2.5-fold larger or lower spot volume in 5 or 6 different gels of each group were considered up- or downregulated. Absent spots were defined as spots found in 5 or 6 gels of the day 28 group and not found in any gel of the day 0 group and the reference gel.

Spot picking, in-gel enzymatic digestion of proteins, and MALDI-TOF-MS analysis were performed as described circumstantially in Lessner et al. [15]. For protein identification the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database was used.

3. Results

3.1. Immunocytochemistry and Cell Counting. The type VI intermediate filament (IF) protein nestin is a widely-used marker for neuronal progenitor cells. As compared to previous studies [9, 16], here we also demonstrate that a large portion of undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells was immunoreactive for nestin (Figure 1(a)) and that during differentiation the number of nestin-immunoreactive cells decreased (Figures 1(b), 1(c), and 2(a)). The results of unbiased stereological cell counting revealed a significant decrease of nestin-containing cells from 38.74% ±0.62 at day zero to 11.46% ±0.53 at 14 days of differentiation (P < 0.001). The number of nestin-immunoreactive cells after 28 days of differentiation was 15.09% ±3.72 (Figure 2(a)) and was significantly lower than at day zero (P < 0.001) but did not significantly differ from day 14 (Figure 2).

GFAP, a member of type III IF proteins, is known as an important and obligatory protein of astrocytes [17]. Undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells were not immunoreactive for GFAP in this study (Figure 1(d)), whereas after 14 and 28 days of differentiation, respectively, an increase in GFAP-immunoreactivity was observed (Figures 1(e) and 1(f)). The amount of GFAP-containing cells 14 days after differentiation was 18.72% ±2.54 and this number increased to 19.66% ±2.04 28 days after differentiation (Figure 2(b)). At both time points of differentiation the number of GFAP-containing cells was significantly different (P < 0.001) from the starting point but not significantly different between 14 and 28 days of differentiation. However, an increase in fluorescence intensity in GFAP-immunoreactive cells over time was observed indicating higher contents of GFAP after 28 days of differentiation.

A commonly used marker for postmitotic nerve cells is the neuronal nuclear protein NeuN with unknown function. In undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells the expression of NeuN could not be detected (Figure 1(g)) but its content in the CSM14.1 cells increased constantly during differentiation (Figures 1(h) and 1(i)). After 14 days of differentiation the number of 27.56% ±3.31 of cells was immunoreactive for NeuN (Figure 2(c)) and a further increase up to 64.06% ±2.74 after 28 days of differentiation could be shown (Figures 1(i) and 2(c)). At both differentiation time points the NeuN-immunoreactive cell numbers were significantly higher compared to the starting point (P < 0.001) and the increase between 14 and 28 days of differentiation was also significantly different (P < 0.001).

A similar result was also found for TH, the pacemaker enzyme of dopamine biosynthesis, which is a widely used protein to identify dopaminergic neurons. Undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells did not show any immunoreactivity for TH (Figure 1(j)). During differentiation TH was detectable after 14 days (Figure 1(k)) and the number of TH-containing cells increased after 28 days (Figure 1(l)). Like for the GFAP immunofluorescence signal, the TH-containing cells seemed to contain more of the epitope due to an increase of fluorescence intensity. After 14 days of cultivation at 39°C 12.07% ±1.71 of the cells were TH-positive and a significant increase up to 55.69% ±2.92 after 28 days of differentiation was detected (Figure 2(d)). At both differentiation time points the TH-immunoreactive cell numbers were significantly higher compared to the starting point (P < 0.001) and the increase between 14 and 28 days of differentiation was also significantly different (P < 0.001).

3.2. Proteomics. In the reference gel 506 spots could be detected and 70.2% ±5.3 of the spots from the experimental gels at day 0 could be matched onto the reference gel (Figure 3(a)). In contrast, only 49.2% ±3.37 of the spots from the experimental gels at day 28 found a match on the reference gel (Figure 3(b)). Using the selection criteria as shown above, 27 spots were found upregulated in differentiated CSM14.1 cells, 24 spots downregulated, and 46 spots were detected as absent (i.e., only found in differentiated CSM14.1 cells). Via MALDI-TOF MS analysis 64 proteins could be identified (Table 1). The majority of proteins only detected in differentiated CSM14.1 cells (Figure 4(a)) were classified as regulating proteins (17%), chaperons (17%), and proteins against oxidative stress.
Figure 1: Results from ICC-staining of CSM14.1 cells during differentiation are shown. Images do not represent counting frame pictures and the numbers and distribution of immunoreactive cells should not be compared with the stereological results. However, morphological changes and different contents of cell type specific markers are recognizable. In the first row ((a), (b), (c)) images of staining against nestin (red) and DAPI (blue) are merged. A significant decrease of nestin-expression between undifferentiated cells (a) and cells that differentiated for 14 days (b) or 28 days (c) could be observed. In the second row ((d), (e), (f)) images of staining against GFAP (red) and DAPI (blue) are merged. Undifferentiated cells do not express GFAP (d). After 14 days of differentiation GFAP-positive cells could be detected (d) and an increase of positive cells could be shown after 28 days of differentiation (f). In the third row ((g), (h), (i)) images of staining against the neuronal marker NeuN (red) and DAPI (blue) are merged. No NeuN-positive cells could be detected in undifferentiated cells (g), whereas NeuN expressing cells could be found after 14 days of differentiation (h) and an increase in NeuN-positive cells could be observed after 28 days of differentiation (i). In the fourth row ((j), (k), (l)) images of staining against TH (red) and DAPI (blue) are merged. Undifferentiated cells do not express TH (j). TH-positive cells could be found after 14 days of differentiation (k) and an increase of TH-expressing cells was observed after 28 days of differentiation (l). Scale bars = 200 μm ((a)–(l)).
Figure 2: Results from unbiased cell counting are shown. In undifferentiated cells an amount of 38.74% (±0.62) nestin-positive cells (a) was found. During differentiation a significant decrease in the amount of positive cells could be observed. After 14 days 11.46% (±0.53) and after 28 days 15.09% (±3.72) of all cells were nestin-positive. GFAP (b) could not be detected in undifferentiated cells. After 14 days of differentiation 18.72% (±2.54) of all cells were GFAP-immunoreactive. The amount of GFAP-immunoreactive cells did not change significantly after 28 days of differentiation up to 19.66% (±2.04). Undifferentiated cells did not contain NeuN (c). After 14 days of differentiation 27.56% (±3.31) were NeuN-positive and a significant increase up to 64.06% (±2.74) could be observed after 28 days of differentiation. TH (d) could not be found in undifferentiated cells, but a significant increase in the amount of TH-immunoreactive cells from 12.07% (±1.71) after 14 days up to 55.69% (±2.92) after 28 days of differentiation could be observed. Error bars show SEM. * Significant difference from the respective time point; $P < 0.001$. For statistical analysis Chi-Quadrat test and Fisher’s exact test were applied.

4. Discussion

4.1. Immunocytochemistry and Cell Counting. As a parallel approach by characterising the changes in the proteome of differentiating CSM14.1 cells we used various cell type specific antibodies to document the morphological and phenotypical alterations over time. Hereby we also used unbiased stereology to quantify the differences in immunoreactive cell numbers. Undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells were known to express the stem cell marker nestin [9]. In this study we were able to show that only 38.74% (±0.62) of all cells are immunoreactive for nestin which is a contrary finding to the clonal origin of the cell line [5] and might be explained by proliferating cells in different phases of the cell cycle. After 28 days of differentiation 15.09% (±3.72) of all cells still express nestin which indicates that differentiation may not be complete in all cells at this time point [18]. In this study GFAP could not be detected via immunocytochemistry in undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells which is a contrary finding to Vernon and Griffin [16], who demonstrated immunoreactivity in western blots and immunocytochemistry by using polyclonal antibodies against GFAP. Moreover, Vernon and Griffin [16] showed, after an initial increase of GFAP after two weeks of differentiation, a constant decrease below the GFAP content of undifferentiated cells. Our findings, by using a monoclonal primary antibody directed against GFAP, indicate that in undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells GFAP is not detectable but that under differentiation conditions about 20 percent of the cells contain GFAP. This discrepancy between the findings of Vernon and Griffin and our recent results could be explained by the use of polyclonal antibodies. The use of polyclonal antibodies in vivo and also in vitro is problematic due to the cause of the unspecific cross reactivity of antibodies produced...
Figure 3: Comparison of 2DE images of undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells (a) and of CSM14.1 cells after 28 days of differentiation (b). For spot visualization Coomassie-staining was used. Two groups of experimental gels (6 gels from day 0 and 6 gels from day 28) were registered to a reference gel chosen from day 0 gels. Spots showing a 2.5-fold larger or lower spot volume in 5 or 6 different gels of each group were considered up- or downregulated. Absent spots were defined as spots found in 5 or 6 gels of the day 28 group and not found in any gel of the day 0 group and the reference gel. In the reference gel 506 spots could be detected and 70.2% (±5.3) of the spots from the experimental gels day 0 could be matched onto the reference gel. In contrast, only 49.2% (±3.37) of the spots from the experimental gels day 28 found a match on the reference gel. Using the selection criteria as shown above, 27 spots were found upregulated in differentiated CSM14.1 cells, 24 spots downregulated, and 46 spots were detected as absent (i.e., only found in differentiated CSM14.1 cells). Via MALDI-TOF analysis 64 proteins could be identified.

from the serum of immunized animals [19]. The increase of neuronal markers and TH in differentiating CSM14.1 cells is in line with our previous studies [9] and with findings from other groups [16, 20].

Vernon and Griffin [16] showed that differentiated CSM14.1 cells express the neuron-specific, soluble nuclear protein NeuN at a significantly higher degree than undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells. In vivo NeuN-positive CSM14.1 cells were detectable after transplantation into the striatum of neonatal rats [10] or into the substantia nigra of adult hemiparkinsonian rats [21]. Assuming that CSM14.1 cells at permissive culture conditions (33° C, 10% FCS) are undifferentiated, these cells should not show immunoreactivity against NeuN, which could be demonstrated in the present study. Moreover, after 14 days of differentiation 27.56% of all cells were NeuN-positive. After 28 days of differentiation, there was a significant increase in immunoreactivity for NeuN up to 64.06%. These results point to an increasing differentiation of CSM14.1 cells into neurons over the observed time period.

In differentiated CSM14.1 cells TH, the pacemaker enzyme of dopamine biosynthesis, could be detected by western blotting [9, 16] and Vernon and Griffin [16] also achieved this by immunocytochemistry using a polyclonal antibody. In both works, a weak immunoreactivity for TH was also found in undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells by immunocytochemistry and western blots. In vivo TH-positive CSM14.1 cells were only detected after intrastriatal transplantation in neonatal rats [10].

In this study undifferentiated CSM14.1 cells showed no immunoreactivity for TH. This result is congruent with the results of NeuN and GFAP as shown above. There is also a significant increase in the amount of TH immunoreactive cells over the observed time period (12.07% at day 14 and 55.69% at day 28). The differences between our recent findings and the observations made by Vernon and Griffin in 2005 [16] might be explained by the use of a monoclonal antibody in our study. The detection of TH alone does not characterize a cell as dopaminergic, because dopamine can also be metabolized to the catecholamines epinephrine and norepinephrine [22, 23]. However, the expression of the enzyme ALDH2 by differentiated CSM14.1 cells [9] makes a dopaminergic differentiation most likely, because ALDH2 could be used for the detection of differentiated dopaminergic cells [24–26]. Nevertheless, in the future the expression of the dopamine transporter in CSM14.1 cells or the content of dopamine itself in culture of differentiated CSM14.1 cells should be proofed.

4.2. Proteomics. In this study it was shown that the expression of the protein annexin A5 by the cell line CSM14.1 between day 0 (undifferentiated cells) and day 28 (differentiated cells) is upregulated. The same result has also been shown for the neuronal progenitor cell line ST14A derived from the
Table 1: Differentially expressed proteins in CSM14.1 cells after 28 days of differentiation.

| Acc. No. | Entry name | Protein name | Expression | Score | MW   | pI   | Qm  | Sc  | Mixed |
|----------|------------|--------------|------------|-------|------|------|-----|-----|-------|
| Q6AYZ1   | TBA1C_RAT  | Tubulin alpha 1C-chain | Absent     | 56    | 50590| 4.96 | 4   | 11  | -     |
| P31000   | VIME_RAT   | Vimentin     | Up         | 205   | 53757| 5.06 | 24  | 36  | -     |
| P70615   | LMNB1_RAT  | Lamin-B1     | Up         | 188   | 66794| 5.16 | 21  | 33  | -     |
| P60711   | ACTB_RAT   | Actin, cytoplasmic 1 | Up | 110   | 42052| 5.29 | 9   | 25  | -     |
| Q4V7C7   | ARP3_RAT   | Actin related protein 3 | Up | 168   | 47783| 5.61 | 15  | 36  | -     |
| P85108   | TBB2A_RAT  | Tubulin beta 2A chain | Up         | 92    | 50274| 4.78 | 14  | 40  | +     |
| P48679   | LMNA_RAT   | Lamin-A      | Landmark   | 401   | 74564| 6.54 | 48  | 51  | -     |
| Q63610   | TPM3_RAT   | Tropomyosin-alpha 3 chain | Landmark | 202   | 29217| 4.75 | 18  | 43  | -     |
| P09495   | TPM4_RAT   | Tropomyosin-alpha 4 chain | Landmark | 253   | 28549| 4.66 | 19  | 47  | -     |

| Regulating proteins | |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| Q5BKC9   | NGEF_RAT | Ephexin-1 | Absent | 55 | 81527 | 5.85 | 6  | 9   | -   |
| P62142   | PP1B_RAT | Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase PPI-beta catalytic subunit | Absent | 66 | 37961 | 5.84 | 5  | 19  | -   |
| O35763   | MOES_RAT | Moesin | Absent | 88 | 67868 | 6.16 | 10 | 16  | -   |
| P14668   | ANXA5_RAT | Annexin A5 | Up | 288 | 35779 | 4.93 | 20 | 54  | -   |
| Q668P1   | GELS_RAT | Gelsolin | Up | 227 | 86413 | 5.76 | 24 | 31  | -   |
| O35814   | STIPL_RAT | Stress induced phosphoprotein 1 | Down | 160 | 63158 | 6.4  | 14 | 22  | -   |
| B3GNI6   | SEPI1_RAT | Septin-11 | Down | 102 | 50005 | 6.24 | 8  | 21  | -   |
| P38983   | RSSA_RAT | 40S ribosomal protein SA, laminin receptor 1, LRP/LR; laminin-binding protein precursor p40 | Down | 51 | 32917 | 4.8  | 4  | 17  | -   |
| P85515   | ACTZ_RAT | Alpha-centractin | Down | 158 | 42701 | 6.19 | 11 | 40  | -   |
| Q99MZ8   | LASP1_RAT | Lim and SH3 domain protein 1 | Landmark | 126 | 30351 | 6.61 | 9  | 28  | -   |

| Transport proteins | |
|-------------------|----------------|
| Q9Z2L0   | VDAC1_RAT | Voltage dependent anion selective channel protein 1 | Absent | 61 | 30851 | 8.62 | 4  | 19  | -   |
| P02793   | FRIL1_RAT | Ferritin light chain 1 | Absent | 140 | 20793 | 5.99 | 11 | 58  | -   |

| Chaperones | |
|------------|----------------|
| Q5XHZ0    | TRAP1_RAT | Heat shock protein 75 kDa, mitochondrial | Absent | 118 | 80639 | 6.56 | 12 | 18  | -   |
| P28480    | TCPA_RAT  | T-complex protein 1 subunit alpha | Absent | 115 | 60835 | 5.86 | 9  | 17  | -   |
| P52555    | ERP29_RAT | Endoplasmic reticulum protein ERP29 | Absent | 127 | 28614 | 6.23 | 8  | 31  | -   |
| Q66HD0    | ENPL_RAT  | Endoplasm, heat shock protein 90 kDa beta member 1 | Up | 190 | 92998 | 4.72 | 25 | 31  | -   |
| P06761    | GRP78_RAT | 78 kDa glucose-regulated protein, heat shock 70 kDa protein 5 | Up | 307 | 72473 | 5.07 | 38 | 56  | -   |
| P18418    | CALR_RAT  | Calreticulin | Landmark | 192 | 48137 | 4.33 | 18 | 46  | -   |
| P63018    | HSP7C_RAT | Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein | Landmark | 157 | 71055 | 5.37 | 22 | 39  | +   |
| P63039    | CH60_RAT  | 60 kDa heat shock protein, mitochondrial | Landmark | 200 | 61088 | 5.91 | 20 | 42  | -   |
| P48721    | GRP75_RAT | Stress 70 protein | Landmark | 161 | 74097 | 5.97 | 25 | 41  | +   |
| Q68FQ0    | TCPE_RAT  | T-complex protein 1 subunit epsilon | Landmark | 119 | 59955 | 5.51 | 18 | 28  | -   |

| Apoptosis | |
|-----------|----------------|
| Q9QZA2    | PDC6I_RAT | Programmed cell death 6-interacting protein | Landmark | 299 | 97141 | 6.15 | 28 | 32  | -   |
| Acc. No. | Entry name | Protein name | Expression | Score | MW | pI | Qm | Sc | Mixed |
|---------|------------|--------------|------------|-------|-----|----|----|----|-------|
| P10719  | ATPB_RAT   | ATP synthase subunit beta, mitochondrial | Absent     | 146   | 56318 | 5.19 | 24 | 56 | +     |
| P50516  | VATA_MOUSE | Vacuolar ATP synthase catalytic subunit A | Up         | 196   | 68625 | 5.42 | 23 | 36 | –     |
| P62815  | VATB2_RAT  | Vacuolar ATP synthase subunit B, brain isoform | Up         | 118   | 56857 | 5.57 | 13 | 28 | –     |
| P13803  | ETFA_RAT   | Electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha, mitochondrial | Landmark   | 49    | 35272 | 8.62 | 4  | 13 | –     |
|         |            |              |            |       |      |     |    |    |       |
|         |            |              |            |       |      |     |    |    |       |
| P11980  | KPYM_RAT   | Pyruvate kinase isoenzyme M1/M2 | Absent     | 175   | 58294 | 6.63 | 15 | 25 | –     |
| O88989  | MDHC_RAT   | Malate dehydrogenase, cytoplasmic | Down       | 69    | 36631 | 6.16 | 5  | 14 | –     |
| P07943  | ALDR_RAT   | Aldose reductase | Down       | 103   | 36230 | 6.26 | 10 | 26 | –     |
| P04797  | G3P_RAT    | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | Landmark   | 111   | 36090 | 8.14 | 9  | 31 | –     |
| P04764  | ENOA_RAT   | Alpha enolase | Landmark   | 233   | 47440 | 6.16 | 24 | 58 | –     |
|         |            |              |            |       |      |     |    |    |       |
| P0C2X9  | AL4A1_RAT  | Delta-1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate dehydrogenase, mitochondrial | Absent     | 166   | 62286 | 7.14 | 13 | 25 | –     |
| Q01205  | ODO2_RAT   | Dihydrolipoamide-residue succinyltransferase component of 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase complex | Absent     | 84    | 49236 | 8.89 | 9  | 21 | –     |
| Q9JLJ3  | AL9A1_RAT  | 4-trimethylaminobutyraldehyde dehydrogenase | Up         | 69    | 54530 | 6.57 | 9  | 21 | –     |
| P10860  | DHE3_RAT   | Glutamate dehydrogenase 1, mitochondrial | Landmark   | 192   | 61719 | 8.05 | 27 | 36 | –     |
|         |            |              |            |       |      |     |    |    |       |
| P35571  | GPDM_RAT   | Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, mitochondrial | Absent     | 123   | 81549 | 6.18 | 12 | 19 | –     |
| P15650  | ACADL_RAT  | Long-chain specific acyl-CoA dehydrogenase | Up         | 90    | 48242 | 7.63 | 10 | 18 | –     |
|         |            |              |            |       |      |     |    |    |       |
| P07632  | SODC_RAT   | Superoxide dismutase [Cu-Zn] | Absent     | 134   | 16073 | 5.88 | 8  | 48 | –     |
| Q63081  | PDDA6_RAT  | Protein disulfide isomerase A6 | Absent     | 109   | 48542 | 5   | 8  | 23 | –     |
| Q8R4A1  | ERO1A_RAT  | ERO1-like protein alpha | Absent     | 92    | 54839 | 5.83 | 7  | 19 | –     |
| P35704  | PRDX2_RAT  | Peroxiredoxin 2 | Landmark   | 91    | 21941 | 5.34 | 7  | 31 | –     |
| P11598  | PDI3_RAT   | Protein disulfide isomerase 3 | Landmark   | 320   | 57044 | 5.88 | 33 | 56 | –     |
| P54001  | P4HA1_RAT  | Prolyl 4-hydroxylase subunit alpha-1 | Landmark   | 237   | 61202 | 5.63 | 20 | 36 | –     |
|         |            |              |            |       |      |     |    |    |       |
|         |            |              |            |       |      |     |    |    |       |
| Q9JHW0  | PSB7_RAT   | Proteasome subunit beta type-7 | Absent     | 61    | 30250 | 8.13 | 5  | 12 | –     |
| Q6AXR4  | HEXB_RAT   | Beta-hexosaminidase subunit beta | Up         | 92    | 61888 | 8.02 | 11 | 23 | +     |
|         |            |              |            |       |      |     |    |    |       |
|         |            |              |            |       |      |     |    |    |       |
| P62260  | 1433E_RAT  | 14-3-3 Protein epsilon | Landmark   | 127   | 29326 | 4.63 | 13 | 48 | +     |
Annexin V is in the presence of calcium ions with high affinity to the surface by energy-dependent processes. Annexin V binds cells prevent the exposure of phosphatidylserine on their cell membrane, which serves as a signal for phagocytosis by macrophages [41]. However this process is not only limited solely to apoptotic cells but also occurs in the context of nonapoptotic cell death programs [42] as well as in the aging of red blood cells and the activation of platelets [43]. Healthy cells prevent the exposure of phosphatidylserine on their cell surface by energy-dependent processes. Annexin V binds in the presence of calcium ions with high affinity to the negatively charged phosphatidylserine [40]. Annexin A5 is therefore used widely as a marker for the study of apoptosis in vitro, in animal models, and even in vivo in patients with cardiovascular disease or cancer.

Annexins I and V were also found to have neurotrophic effects on cultured neurons [44, 45]. Han et al. [46] studied the effects of the annexins II and V on the survival of neurons and astrocytes in vitro. They could show that these two proteins are essential for the survival and the growth of neurites of developing cortical neurons, for the survival of glial cells, and for the protection of neurons and glial cells against peroxides and hypoxic injury. Whether this observation is related to the inhibition of PLA2 by annexin V has to be clarified.

In the present study, the absolute number of CSM14.1 cells per petri dish is reduced by more than half during differentiation (see also Haas et al. [21]). The observed increase in the expression of annexin A5 during differentiation indicates that cell death might occur by apoptosis. However, this increase might also be explained by the previously described effect of annexin A5 for the development and survival of neurons and glia cells. The cell line CSM14.1 seems to be able to respond to an elevated level of cell stress due to the change of the environment with an increased expression of annexin V.

Another protein with increased expression in differentiated CSM14.1 cells is cytoplasmic actin, a globular protein (G-actin), which forms in the presence of magnesium and calcium ions a microtubule independent cytoskeleton and is a fundamental part of the contractile apparatus of muscle cells. It also occurs in high concentrations in nonmuscle cells [47]. There is a dynamic equilibrium between monomeric and polymeric (filamentous) actin (F-actin). The polymerization is carried out at the positive end of a actin filament by addition of ATP complexed G-actin and the cleavage of G-actin occurs from the minus end. The structure of the actin

---

**Table 1: Continued.**

| Acc. No. | Entry name | Protein name | Expression | Score | MW  | pI  | Qm | Sc  | Mixed |
|----------|------------|--------------|------------|-------|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|
|         |            |              | Transcription |       |     |     |    |     |       |
| Q8VHV7  | HNRH1_RAT  | Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H | Up         | 72    | 49442 | 5.7 | 11 | 28  | -     |
| P60123  | RUVB1_RAT  | RuvB like 1, 49 kDa TATA box-binding protein-interacting protein | Down      | 88    | 50524 | 6.02 | 6  | 19  | -     |
| Q9JM4   | PRP19_RAT  | Pre-mRNA-processing factor 19 | Down      | 129   | 55661 | 6.14 | 13 | 28  | -     |
|         |            |              | Translation |       |     |     |    |     |       |
| Q4KM49  | SYYC_RAT   | Tyrosyl-tRNA synthetase | Down      | 175   | 59420 | 6.57 | 16 | 29  | -     |
| P38983  | RSSA_RAT   | 40S ribosomal protein SA, laminin receptor 1, LRP/LR; laminin-binding protein precursor p40 | Down      | 51    | 32917 | 4.8  | 4  | 17  | -     |
| P05197  | EF2_RAT    | Elongation factor 2 | Landmark   | 135   | 96192 | 6.41 | 18 | 22  | -     |
| Q6P9U8  | EIF3H_RAT  | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit H | Landmark  | 132   | 40051 | 6.2  | 10 | 36  | -     |
|         |            |              | Unknown function |     |     |     |    |     |       |
| Q4FZT0  | STML2_RAT  | Stomatin like protein 2 | UP       | 85    | 38504 | 8.74 | 6  | 21  | -     |
 filament was described by Holmes et al. [48]. Several proteins regulate the dynamic equilibrium through the stabilization of F-actin or the promotion of G-actin cleavage. One of these proteins is gelsolin (86 kD) which shows in this study an increased expression in differentiated CSM14.1 cells. It was first isolated in macrophages from the rabbit lung [49] and plays an important role in actin-based cell motility.

Depending on calcium ions gelsolin prevents further actin polymerization by covering the plus ends [49–53]. On the other hand it may encourage the formation of filaments by binding two monomers and therefore functions as a nucleus. The binding of phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate or phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate to gelsolin solves its binding to the plus end of the actin filament, so that a quick attachment of further monomers to this filament is possible [54,55]. Gelsolin also plays an important role in the formation of neurites by regulation of actin polymerisation. PCI2 cells that overexpress gelsolin develop longer neurites with a greater motility than PCI2 wild type cells [56].

Gelsolin seems to ensure the stability of actin filaments [57]. Dong et al. showed that after entorhinal deafferentation of the hippocampus a significant increase of gelsolin expression could be observed in activated microglia and astrocytes [58]. Another protein with increased expression in differentiated CSM14.1 cells is the 48 kD actin related protein 3 (Arp3). A complex of Arp3 and actin related protein 2 (Arp2/3) allows the formation of branched actin filaments [59].

Physiologically associated with actin is the 68 kD protein moesin (membrane-organizing extension spike protein), which is detectable only in differentiated CSM14.1 cells. Moesin belongs together with ezrin and radixin to the family of ERM (ezrin-radixin-moesin) proteins which are highly conserved during evolution [60]. The ERM proteins play
an important role in the formation and maintenance of cell shape within growth and motility of cells [61, 62]. The C-terminal domain of these proteins binds to actin [63–66]. The N-terminal domain, called the FERM (band 4.1, ezrin, radixin, moesin homology domain [67]) binds to the cytoplasmic domain of numerous integral membrane proteins. By intramolecular combination of the N- and C-terminus an inactive conformation is created, which prevents the binding of other proteins, such as F-actin [64]. At the C-terminus, there is a conserved threonine residue whose phosphorylation results in a conformational change and allows the association with other proteins [68]. This phosphorylation is executed, for example, by the Rho kinase [69]. The ratio between phosphorylated (pERM) and nonphosphorylated ERM proteins is important for the formation of neurites by neurons. In addition to the Rho kinase the LRRK2 (leucine-rich repeat protein kinase 2) is of great importance. Mutations in this enzyme are the cause of autosomal dominant forms of PD [70, 71]. This kinase is closely related to the preservation and the growth of neurites and therefore important for the development of neurons [72]. It is assumed that the formation of an axon from a neurite occurs when the stability of the F-actin decreases and the stability of microtubules increases [73, 74].

Taken together, proteins associated with the cytoskeleton which are necessary for morphological differentiation (cell processes) as well as migration in vivo after intracerebral transplantation [10, 21] are correlated with an increase of their differential expression. In further experiments protein validation and interactions could be performed with regard to the proteins detected here.

5. Conclusion

The changes in the expression pattern of the proteins discussed above are consistent with the previous findings for the cell line CSM14.1. The increased expression of actin, gelsolin, and Arp3 by differentiated cells and the expression of moesin only by differentiated CSM14.1 might be related and could be evidence for a neuronal development of these cells. These findings are in agreement with the morphological change of the cells during differentiation.

The detection of the proteins nestin (dimer: 198–260 kDa), GFAP (50 kD), NeuN (46–48 kD), and TH (60–68 kD) was not achieved with the selected differential experimental setting. Thus, a direct comparison of the results of both methods used in this study is not possible. Further investigation should include a protein mapping of undifferentiated and differentiated CSM14.1 cells to analyze spots which did not fulfill our established criteria. A fractional analysis of the individual cell compartments might also be a promising approach. Especially integrated membrane proteins might be a promising research objective in terms of neuronal and especially dopaminergic differentiation.

The results obtained in this study confirm the suitability of the cell line CSM14.1 as a model for the study of neuronal and dopaminergic differentiation in rats.
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