Abstract: The removal of contaminants of emerging concern from urban wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) remains a challenge to promote safe wastewater reuse practices. Macrolides are the most abundant antibiotics detected in untreated wastewater and their concentration in WWTPs effluents is only partially reduced by conventional treatments. Among several advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), photocatalysis has demonstrated the capability to effectively remove pharmaceuticals from different aqueous matrices. Recently, ZnO has emerged as an efficient, promising, and less expensive alternative to TiO$_2$, due to its photocatalytic capability and attitude to exploit better the solar spectrum than TiO$_2$. In this study, the behaviors of ZnO photocatalysis were evaluated using a representative macroclide antibiotic, spiramycin (SPY), in aqueous solutions and urban wastewater. After 80 min of photocatalysis, 95–99% removal of SPY was achieved at 1 g L$^{-1}$ ZnO concentrations in aqueous solutions and wastewater, respectively. After treatment, the effluent toxicity, evaluated using the bacterium *Aliivibrio fischeri*, the green alga *Raphidocelis subcapitata*, and the crustacean *Daphnia magna* ranged between slight acute and high acute hazard. Filterable and ultrafilterable Zn concentrations were quantified in treated effluents and shown to be high enough to contribute to the observed toxicity.
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1. Introduction

Many contaminants of emerging concern (CECs) in wastewaters (WWs) and surface waters (SWs) are recalcitrant to conventional wastewater treatment processes and hence persistent in the environment [1,2]. Antibiotics are among the main CECs detected in aquatic environments at concentrations ranging from nanograms per liter (e.g., in river water) up to milligrams per liter (e.g., in hospital wastewater) [3] and hundreds of milligrams per liter in antibiotic production wastewater [4]. The benefits they produce for controlling infectious diseases have often led to underestimate their adverse one-health effects. Their amount for human and veterinary use has increased year by year, with growing concerns for human health due to the enhancement of bacterial antibiotic resistance, concomitantly with damage to beneficial bacteria [5]. According to the World Health Organization [6], 80% of the total antibiotic consumption can be attributed to veterinary use. Considering a total consumption of 200,000 tons/year, the United Nations General Assembly has recognized the veterinary use of antibiotics as one of the primary causes for the increase of antimicrobial resistance [7]. Macrolides, particularly clarithromycin, spiramycin (SPY), and quinolones are the most abundant antibiotics in untreated wastewater. The European
Center for Disease Control [8] estimated that macrolides are the third class of antibiotics consumed in Italy after penicillin and quinolones.

The presence of antibiotics may limit agricultural wastewater reuse due to their possible uptake by crops irrigated with WWTPs effluents [9]. The rising competition for water resources increasingly pushes societies toward water reuse which, without the necessary precautions, may result in potential health risks for humans and the environment. Water scarcity, poor wastewater management, and high water demand for irrigation may transfer significant contaminant loads to farms and possibly to foodstuffs [10]. The spreading of antibiotic resistance including antibiotic-resistant bacteria and antibiotic resistance genes is also of utmost concern. At very low antibiotics concentrations, bacteria are not killed and can develop genes to protect themselves against antibiotics. These genes can then propagate across bacterial strains [11–13]. Without further action, ten million people may die each year worldwide due to infectious diseases caused by multi-resistant bacteria by 2050 [14].

In this framework, the availability of reliable technologies for antibiotic removal from water and wastewater is a top priority to guarantee access to safe water for people worldwide [15].

The development of new methods to address antibiotic pollution is an active research field. Conventional physico-chemical methods usually show low pollutant removal rates, because of expensive reagents with low stability and poor recycling potential. Biodegradation techniques are more interesting from a sustainability point of view but hampered by the recalcitrant nature of these contaminants. Advanced oxidation processes are therefore currently considered the most valid alternative for antibiotics removal from wastewater. In particular, photocatalysis is an economic, efficient, green technology for degrading antibiotics using sunlight and ambient conditions [16,17].

TiO$_2$-assisted photocatalysis has been widely studied for the degradation of several classes of antibiotics. However, its application in full-scale treatment is strongly limited by the cost associated with the use of UV light and the difficulty of removing TiO$_2$ nanoparticles from treated effluents. Zinc oxide (ZnO), with a direct bandgap of approximately 3.3 eV has been suggested as an effective and cheaper alternative to TiO$_2$ because of its strong oxidation ability, good photocatalytic properties, and a large free-excitation binding energy. Moreover, ZnO-based catalysts are considered more suitable for solar-driven photocatalysis because ZnO can absorb a larger fraction of the solar spectrum than TiO$_2$ thanks to its direct and wide bandgap in the near-UV spectral region. ZnO is also a good antibacterial agent being stable under harsh processing conditions [18,19]. ZnO-assisted photocatalytic degradation showed its ability to remove several wastewater contaminants including 1,4-dioxane, trihalomethanes (THMs), triclosan (TCS), triclocarban (TCC), antibiotic resistance bacteria (ARB), and antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) under a low intensity of UV exposure [20]. Other studies investigated the potential of ZnO for the photodegradation of perfluoroalkyl acids [21], caffeine [22], or several dyes [23]. Most literature studies established the optimum reaction conditions on the basis of pH, temperature, light intensity, morphological structure, and photocatalyst amount [24]. However, complete degradation of the target chemicals does not ensure that final effluents are free from residual toxicity [25], possibly due to the formation of toxic transformation products [26,27].

In this study, ZnO-assisted photocatalysis to degrade spiramycin (SPY) was investigated using a range of ZnO concentrations in both spiked aqueous solutions and urban treated wastewater samples looking for process optimization. A battery of bioassays was used to fully characterize the performance of the treatment process.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Procedure

A 200 mL aliquot of the antibiotic aqueous solution (10 mg L$^{-1}$ of SPY) was placed in a 250 mL magnetically stirred cylindrical Pyrex vessel and illuminated with a xenon arc lamp (450 W, Lot Oriel Group, Italy). The illumination chamber was equipped with
special glass allowing the transmission of wavelengths below 320 nm; i.e., the radiation able to activate ZnO for photocatalysis experiments. The effects of ZnO dose (0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 g ZnO L\(^{-1}\)) were evaluated at 20 °C and pH = 5.5 in aqueous solutions (ultrapure water spiked with SPY). Samples were taken at reaction times of 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 120 min using a syringe and filtered through 0.45 μm membrane filters for the determination of SPY concentration by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The degradation of SPY due to UV irradiation per se was also studied. Preliminary experiments to determine the potential adsorption of SPY were carried out in the dark. Experiments were performed at least in duplicate and are reported as arithmetic mean and semi-dispersion. After process optimization in ultrapure water, biologically treated wastewater (BTW) taken from a municipal wastewater treatment plant (pH = 6.5; BOD\(_5\) = 18 mg/L; COD = 48.5 mg/L; Total Suspended Solids TSS = 46 mg/L; N-\(\text{NH}_3\) = 1.42; N-\(\text{NO}_3^-\) = 0.25 mg/L; N-\(\text{NO}_2^-\) = 3.34 mg/L; total P = 1.23 mg/L) was spiked with 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) of SPY to evaluate the effect of a real matrix on the process efficiency. BTW samples were taken from the effluent of the biological treatment step just upstream of the disinfection unit. Samples were collected in 25 L tanks and stored at about 4 °C during transport and prior to experiments.

2.2. Chemicals and Analytical Methods

Zinc oxide (ZnO, m.w. 81.39, purity ≈ 80%) and SPY (C\(_{43}\)H\(_{74}\)N\(_2\)O\(_{34}\); 443.053 g mol\(^{-1}\); solid appearance: white or yellow-white powder) were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO, USA). Sigma Aldrich also supplied high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade water, methanol, acetonitrile, and formic acid. The degradation of SPY dispersed in ultrapure water was followed by HPLC-UV (Finnigan Surveyor LC Pump Plus, Carlsbad, CA, USA) equipped with a reversed-phase C18 analytical column (Phenomenex Luna, 3 μm, 2.1 mm × 150 mm) with UV–Vis spectrophotometer (Finnigan Surveyor UV-VIS Plus Detector, USA). The compound was eluted using a mixture of 0.1% formic acid in water (eluent A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile-methanol (1:1 v:v) (eluent B) at a flow rate of 0.2 mL min\(^{-1}\) as mobile phase. The initial concentration was 10% B followed by a linear gradient from 10 to 70% B over the course of 3 min and to 80% over the next 2 min. Finally, eluent B was lowered to 10% in 1 min. The system was left to equilibrate for 9 min between injections. The injection volume was 20 μL and the wavelength set for the quantification was 230 nm corresponding to the maximum light absorption of SPY. Under these conditions, the retention time of SPY was about 9.7 min (Figure 1). The limit of quantification (LOQ) was 0.1 μg mL\(^{-1}\). Data were collected using ChromQuest software version 3.1.6 (Thermo Electron 2003).

![Figure 1. UV spectra of spiramycin (SPY) (1 mg L\(^{-1}\)) in distilled water.](image-url)
Zinc concentrations (Zn) were determined by Flame Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (FAAS) after filtration at 0.45 µm (Millex HA syringe filters, mixed cellulose esters, Millipore, reference SLHA035SS, lot R5EA08092) and, for selected samples, ultrafiltration at 3kDa (Amicon® Ultra-15 centrifugal filter units, reference UFC900396). Before use, filters were rinsed twice with 150 mL of ultrapure water and a volume of the second rinse were collected for Zn blank determinations. Ultrafiltration units were cleaned by introducing 10 mL of ultrapure water in their upper parts and centrifuged for 20 min at 4000 rpm (3500 g) using a HERMLE Z300 centrifuge with a swinging bucket rotor. A second aliquot of ultrapure water was subsequently ultracentrifuged and used for blank determination.

2.3. Ecotoxicity

A battery of acute (A) and chronic (C) toxicity tests were performed with biological models belonging to various trophic levels: the bacterium Aliivibrio fischeri (A), the green alga Raphidocelis subcapitata (C), and the crustacean Daphnia magna (A). Tests were carried out on (i) 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) SPY solution after the photocatalytic treatment with various ZnO concentrations (0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 4.0 g L\(^{-1}\)) for 120 min, (ii) biologically treated wastewater (BTW) and, (iii) BTW spiked with 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) of SPY and treated by ZnO photocatalysis. Toxicity tests on untreated 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) SPY solution (pure substance) were previously carried out. Readers are referred to [26] the corresponding quality assurance/quality control procedures. All toxicity tests included negative and positive controls in accordance with the specific reference methods. Toxicity was expressed as a percentage of effect or as effective concentration causing the 5% (EC\(_{5}\)), 20% (EC\(_{20}\)), and 50% (EC\(_{50}\)) effect to the exposed population. After verification of homoscedasticity (F test, \(p < 0.05\)) and normality (Shapiro-Wilk test, \(p < 0.05\)) of toxicity data, the presence of significant differences between different experimental treatments and controls was assessed by analysis of variance (ANOVA, \(p < 0.05\)). When ANOVA revealed significant differences among treatments, post-hoc comparisons were carried out with Tukey’s test (\(p < 0.05\)). Statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot version 11.0 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose CA, USA). Since ZnO nanoparticles are known to be progressively dissolved in aqueous matrices, aliquots of each test solution were assayed for their filterable (0.45 µm) and ultrafilterable (3 kDa) Zn concentrations.

3. Results

3.1. Kinetic Studies in Distilled Water

Experiments carried out in the dark in distilled water at a concentration of 1 g L\(^{-1}\) of ZnO proved that SPY removal by adsorption was negligible. According to previous studies [26], a slight decrease in SPY concentration (10%) could be observed during the photolysis experiments. The rather poor SPY removal kinetics and efficiencies obtained via photolysis and dark processes were improved by increasing ZnO concentration in the photocatalysis process due to increased OH\(^*\) production (Table 1). As shown in Figure 2, a percentage SPY removal of 76%, 81%, 95%, 97%, and 91% was achieved after 80 min irradiation at ZnO concentrations of 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 4.0 g/L, respectively. After 120 min of photocatalysis, a > 99% removal of SPY was achieved at all ZnO concentrations except 0.1 g L\(^{-1}\).

| C\(_{ZnO}\) (g L\(^{-1}\)) | \(k\) (min\(^{-1}\)) | \(t_{1/2}\) (min) |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 0.1                      | 0.0186 (0.0008)     | 37.3 (1.6)      |
| 0.5                      | 0.049 (0.0002)      | 14.1 (0.6)      |
| 1.0                      | 0.0471 (0.0009)     | 14.7 (0.3)      |
| 2.0                      | 0.060 (0.0004)      | 11.6 (0.8)      |
| 4.0                      | 0.085 (0.0006)      | 8.2 (0.6)       |

Table 1. Photocatalytic kinetic constants of SPY (10 mg L\(^{-1}\)) at 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 4.0 g L\(^{-1}\) of ZnO at pH = 5.5. The numbers in brackets are the kinetic constants standard errors.
The increase of ZnO concentration above 1 g L\(^{-1}\) did not produce any significant improvement in antibiotic degradation and the rate of degradation remained almost unchanged. This may be due to reduced UV light penetration because of increasing turbidity and, thus, a corresponding decrease in the photoactivated volume of the suspension. The photocatalytic reaction approximately followed a pseudo-first-order kinetics according to Equation (1) [28,29]:

\[
\frac{C_t}{C_0} = e^{\frac{-kt}{t}}
\]

(1)

where \(C_t\) and \(C_0\) are the concentrations of SPY at time \(t\) and time zero, respectively; \(k\) is the pseudo-first-order rate constant for the SPY degradation \(\text{min}^{-1}\) and \(t\) is time \(\text{min}\). The photocatalytic degradation rate constants are reported in Table 1 with the half-life \((t_{1/2})\) values.

Comparing the results from [26], the TiO\(_2\) assisted photocatalytic degradation of 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) SPY had higher photocatalytic performance, which is mainly attributed to the lowest transfer barrier of electrons as well as the low recombination rate of the charge carriers [24]. These results agree with studies available in the scientific literature [25]. For TiO\(_2\), superoxide radicals (O\(_{2}^\cdot\)) and holes (h\(^{+}\)) play a key role in the degradation process, while for ZnO superoxide radicals (O\(_{2}^\cdot\)) and hydroxyl (OH\(^{\cdot}\)) radicals are the predominant reactive species in the degradation process.

The chemical structure of the target molecule can influence the reaction at the surface and determine a slower removal rate. Thus, while efficiency in the generation of reactive oxygen species is of high importance in evaluating the efficacy of the photocatalysts, it is not the sole substantial contributor [30,31]. Zammit et al. [13] observed a very different behavior in the degradation of two antibiotics by TiO\(_2\) and ZnO photocatalysis, reporting different degradation rates for the two photocatalysts with respect to trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole.

### 3.2. Kinetic Studies in Wastewater

Considering the results obtained with aqueous solutions, a ZnO amount of 1 g L\(^{-1}\) was used to investigate SPY removal in BTW. The observed trend was similar to those of experiments carried out in distilled water with 99% of SPY removal after 80 min of photocatalytic treatment (Figure 3). This result compares favorably with the 95% SPY degradation in ultrapure water (see Section 3.1), confirming the technical validity of the
proposed procedure for real-world effluents. The high percent degradation of SPY likely reflects a very low concentration of scavenger compounds, including organics, in the BTW. Under such conditions, the radical species remained available for the oxidation of the target pollutant.

![Figure 3. SPY (10 mg L⁻¹) removal efficiency trend after 5, 10, 20, 40, and 80 min at 1.0 g L⁻¹ of ZnO.](image)

### 3.3. ZnO Residue Studies

Filtration and ultrafiltration Zn blank values (n = 8) were 30 ± 20 µg L⁻¹, and below the detection limit, respectively. Concentrations for all samples, including ultrafiltered sample aliquots, were therefore corrected for the corresponding filterable blank values (Figure 4). Blank correction for ultrafiltered samples was necessary because ultrafiltration was performed after filtration at 0.45 µm. Blank corrected Zn concentrations in the effluent of the municipal wastewater treatment plant were 150 µg L⁻¹ (<0.45 µm) and 99 µg L⁻¹ (<3 kDa). Duplicate measurement of filtered sample aliquots differed by less than 10%.

![Figure 4. Total Zn recovery after filtration and ultrafiltration from distilled water spiked with 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 g L⁻¹ ZnO and biologically treated wastewater (Eff) with (1.0 g L⁻¹ ZnO) and without Zn spiking.](image)

The use of ZnO nanoparticles for effluent treatment clearly resulted in the release of a significant amount of Zn into the final solution. However, the amount of Zn released in distilled water after photocatalysis was not directly proportional to the added ZnO.
concentrations, probably because aggregation phenomena limited nanoparticle dissolution for concentrations equal to or above 0.5 g L\(^{-1}\). It is noteworthy that ultrafilterable Zn concentrations were practically constant between 0.5 and 4 g L\(^{-1}\) of added ZnO, indicating the increasing presence of colloidal Zn (20–30% of the total filterable concentrations) in these solutions. The percentages of colloidal Zn in the municipal effluent sample with and without added ZnO (1 g L\(^{-1}\)) were about 35 and 50%, respectively.

3.4. Toxicity Data

Toxicity data for treated distilled water and real wastewater spiked with SPY are reported in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. Only the lowest investigated ZnO concentration (0.1 g L\(^{-1}\)) presented relatively low toxicity effects, especially in the distilled water scenario. For all the other exposure scenarios in aqueous solutions, tests with A. fischeri, R. subcapitata, and D. magna highlighted effects greater than 45%. These results suggest that the addition of ZnO could impair by itself the quality of the treated water, independently from the concentration of SPY or the presence of its degradation by-products (Figure 5). Previous investigations report EC\(_{50}\) for SPY of approximately 8000 mg L\(^{-1}\) for A. fischeri [26], 4 mg L\(^{-1}\) for R. subcapitata [26,32], and 500 mg L\(^{-1}\) for D. magna [26]. Thus, only R. subcapitata could have been directly impaired by the initial experimental SPY concentration (10 mg L\(^{-1}\)). The residual SPY content after 80 min was about 2.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) in the worst-case scenario (i.e., treatment with 0.1 g L\(^{-1}\) ZnO) and below 1 mg L\(^{-1}\) for the other concentrations of ZnO. These residual SPY concentrations were well below the EC\(_{50}\) and EC\(_{5}\) values established by [26] for A. fischeri (8263 and 1348 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and D. magna (503 and 70 mg L\(^{-1}\)), while could be of ecotoxicological significance for R. subcapitata (4.0 and 0.02 mg L\(^{-1}\)). In the case of the green alga, SPY concentrations of about 0.3 mg L\(^{-1}\) are expected to cause a 20% toxic effect, in agreement with the increase in toxicity between ZnO and ZnO+SPY samples for the 0.1 g L\(^{-1}\) treatment.

On a species-by-species basis, statistical comparison of toxicity data (Tukey’s test) suggests that toxicity levels differed only slightly across the range of ZnO concentrations. Most importantly, significant levels of toxicity were already detectable at the lowest investigated ZnO concentration (0.1 g L\(^{-1}\)). Integrating toxic effects across the testing species according to [33], the overall hazard of the tested samples ranged between slight acute (score = 2) and high acute (score = 3) (Table 2). The observed effects can be explained by Zn levels in the exposure media as suggested by previous authors [34–37].

The toxicity of the BTW spiked with 1 g L\(^{-1}\) of ZnO was significantly reduced compared to the distilled water scenario (Figure 6). We surmise that part of the Zn released from the catalyst could adsorb onto the organic matter contained in the BWT, thus becoming biologically unavailable. After SPY addition, the toxicity of BTW increased from less than 20% (for all testing species) to approximately 50% (A. fischeri) and 35% (D. magna), while no toxicity was registered from R. subcapitata. Following the photocatalytic treatment of BTW spiked with ZnO and SPY, the toxicity disappeared for D. magna, decreased to 35% for A. fischeri, and increased to > 40% for R. subcapitata. These results suggest that UVA degraded the compounds toxic for the water fleas and, partly, bacteria, but generated some others impairing the green algae. Effects were significantly different from [38] for A. fischeri nonetheless the considered concentrations were quite similar, considering that the EC\(_{50}\) for A. fischeri was 17 mg Zn L\(^{-1}\) according to [39]. The observed toxicity could be kept to a minimum or completely removed opting for an immobilized form of ZnO. In a previous study [26] where the SPY degradation was achieved by TiO\(_2\) photocatalysis, the hazard for individual organisms was scored from no hazard (mainly for A. fischeri) to slight acute (mainly D. magna) and acute (i.e., R. subcapitata). As in the present study, a residual slight acute hazard persisted in the treated samples without any difference linked to the amount of TiO\(_2\) used for the treatment process. Besides the by-products’ formation, eliminating photocatalysts release to treated effluents, therefore, represents an important challenge for developing environmentally-friendly antibiotic degradation processes, especially when using ZnO-based photocatalysis.
Table 2. Integration of toxicity results from *A. fischeri* (Af), *R. subcapitata* (Rs), and *D. magna* (Dm) according to [29].

| UVA/nZnO (mg L⁻¹) + 10 mg SPY L⁻¹ | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|---|---|---|
| Very high acute hazard            |     |     | 4 |   |   |
| High acute hazard                 |     |     | 3 | 3 |   |
| Acute hazard                      | 2   | 2   | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Slight acute hazard               |     |     |   |   |   |
| No acute hazard                   |     |     |   |   |   |
| Final class weight score          | 2   | 3   | 3 | 2 | 3 |

Figure 5. Toxicity trend in distilled water of *A. fischeri*, *R. subcapitata*, and *D. magna* exposed to ZnO and spiramycin (SPY) nZnO treated solutions/suspensions at 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 g ZnO L⁻¹. Data with different letters (a–d) are significantly different (Tukey’s test, *p* < 0.05).
Figure 6. Toxicity trend of A. fischeri (Af), R. subcapitata (Rs) and D. magna (Dm) exposed to A = BTW, B = BTW + 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) SPY + 1 g ZnO L\(^{-1}\), C = BTW + 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) SPY-UVA+1 g ZnO L\(^{-1}\); BTW = biologically treated wastewater.

4. Conclusions

The degradation of SPY in both spiked aqueous solutions and urban treated wastewater samples by ZnO-assisted photocatalysis was investigated. A high removal rate was reported in both cases, confirming that ZnO photocatalysis can effectively degrade antibiotics. Treated effluents were tested using a battery of bioassays to verify their actual environmental compatibility. Despite the highly efficient SPY removal, treated effluents posed a significant risk for aquatic organisms because of high residual filterable and ultrafilterable Zn concentrations. These results confirm the need to systematically combine the development of advanced treatment processes with an ecotoxicological evaluation of the treated water. Moreover, the use of different bioassays at different trophic levels is strongly recommended to achieve an integrated assessment of potential impacts on ecosystems.

The future environmental compatibility of ZnO-based nanomaterials in photocatalytic advanced oxidation processes will largely depend on avoiding the release of soluble Zn during the selected treatment options.
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