Translational Regulation of the DOUBLETIME/CKIδ/ε Kinase by LARK Contributes to Circadian Period Modulation
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Abstract

The Drosophila homolog of Casein Kinase I δ/ε, DOUBLETIME (DBT), is required for Wnt, Hedgehog, Fat and Hippo signaling as well as circadian clock function. Extensive studies have established a critical role of DBT in circadian period determination. However, how DBT expression is regulated remains largely unexplored. In this study, we show that translation of dbt transcripts are directly regulated by a rhythmic RNA-binding protein (RBP) called LARK (known as RBM4 in mammals). LARK promotes translation of specific alternative dbt transcripts in clock cells, in particular the dbt-RC transcript. Translation of dbt-RC exhibits circadian changes under free-running conditions, indicative of clock regulation. Translation of a newly identified transcript, dbt-RE, is induced by light in a LARK-dependent manner and oscillates under light/dark conditions. Altered LARK abundance affects circadian period length, and this phenotype can be modified by different dbt alleles. Increased LARK delays nuclear degradation of the PERIOD (PER) clock protein at the beginning of subjective day, consistent with the known role of DBT in PER dynamics. Taken together, these data support the idea that LARK influences circadian period and perhaps responses of the clock to light via the regulated translation of DBT. Our study is the first to investigate translational control of the DBT kinase, revealing its regulation by LARK and a novel role of this RBP in Drosophila circadian period modulation.

Introduction

The Drosophila doubletime (dbt, a.k.a. discs overgrown, dco) gene encodes a protein homologous to human casein kinase I isofoms (CKI), in particular CKIδ and CKIε [3]. It is known that the Drosophila DOUBLETIME (DBT/CKIδ/ε, hereafter referred to as “DBT”) kinase regulates cell proliferation, differentiation and cell polarity by functioning in Wnt [4,5], Hedgehog [6–9], Fat [10–13] and Hippo signaling [14,15] pathways. Those studies demonstrated roles of DBT in growth, development, organ size determination, and tumor suppression. The kinase is also well known for its role in the core molecular mechanism of the circadian clock [1,2], reviewed in [16–18].

The molecular oscillator regulating locomotor activity rhythms is comprised of a transcription-translation feedback loop wherein accumulation of clock proteins regulates clock gene transcription and protein production. Transcriptional mechanisms are common to the circadian clocks of organisms ranging from cyanobacteria and fungi to plants and animals [18–22], although recent studies have indicated that conserved non-transcriptional clocks mediate certain types of circadian rhythms [23]. Casein kinase I (CKI) is required for period determination in vertebrates as well as insects. For example, in hamster and mouse, a gain-of-function mutation of CKIε (CKIεon), causes shortening of circadian period [24,25] whereas inhibition of CKIδ kinase activity in zebrafish disrupts circadian rhythmicity in locomotor activity [26]. In humans, a mutation in the key clock protein PERIOD 2 perturbs its phosphorylation by CKIε and is associated with Familial Advanced Sleep Phase Syndrome (FASPS), as a result of an abnormally short circadian period [27–31]. Interestingly, mutations in CKIδ were also found to cause FASPS in humans [32].

In Drosophila, the role of DBT in circadian period determination has been studied extensively. DBT was first shown to regulate PER accumulation [2], introducing a cytoplasmic lag into the circadian molecular loop. It was later established that DBT promotes progressive phosphorylation of PER, which facilitates interaction between PER and Slimb, an F-box/WD40-repeating protein that helps target PER for degradation in the proteasome [33–35]. Many DBT phosphorylation sites in the PER protein have been mapped [36–38]. Phosphorylation of residues in the so called “short-period domain” by DBT, gated by phosphorylation of a key residue by another kinase called NEMO/NLK, affects progression of the molecular cycle [39]. Phosphorylation of an N-terminal serine residue (S47) by DBT was identified as a key step in controlling the speed of the clock [40]. DBT is also required for phosphorylation of CLOCK (CLk), another key component of the Drosophila molecular clock [41,42], although it was later...
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Author Summary

The CKI family of serine/threonine kinase regulates diverse cellular processes, through binding to and phosphorylation of a variety of protein substrates. In mammals, mutations in two members of the family, CKε and CKη were found to affect circadian period length, causing phenotypes such as altered circadian period in rodents and the Familial Advanced Sleep Phase Syndrome (FASPS) in humans. The Drosophila CKI 5/6 homolog DOUBLETIME (DBT) is known to have important roles in development and circadian clock function. Despite extensive studies of DBT function, little is known about how its expression is regulated. In a previous genome-wide study, we identified dbt mRNAs as potential targets of the LARK RBP. Here we describe a detailed study of the regulation of DBT expression by LARK. We found that LARK binds to and regulates translation of dbt mRNA, promoting expression of a smaller isoform; we suggest this regulatory mechanism contributes to circadian period determination. In addition, we have identified a dbt mRNA that exhibits light-induced changes in translational status, in a LARK-dependent manner. Our study is the first to analyze the translational regulation of DBT, setting the stage for similar studies in other contexts and model systems.

found that DBT does not phosphorylate CLK directly but rather plays a non-catalytic role in CLK phosphorylation [43]. Despite extensive studies of DBT function, the mechanisms regulating expression of this protein are largely unknown. In a previous genome-wide study we identified dbt mRNA as a potential target of the LARK RBP, which has been implicated in translational control and clock function [44–52]. This suggested the possibility that dbt might be translationally regulated by LARK. Here we describe a detailed study of DBT regulation by LARK. We demonstrate that LARK can bind to and enhance translation of different transcript isoforms of dbt in clock cells of the adult fly head. The effect is most prominent with dbt transcripts RC and RE. Translation of dbt-RC undergoes circadian changes in free-running conditions, whereas translation of dbt-RE is light inducible. Consistent with the known role of DBT in circadian period determination, altered LARK expression in the PDF neurons affects period length, and this effect can be modified by dbt mutations. The role of LARK in modulating circadian period through DBT is further supported by the observation that increased LARK expression delays nuclear degradation of the PERIOD clock protein. Our study is the first to examine translational regulation of the DBT kinase and it supports a role of LARK in the modulation of circadian period.

Results

LARK binds dbt transcripts with high affinity

In a previous genome-wide study, we showed that dbt mRNA, but not other clock mRNAs, was associated with LARK in vivo [44]. The dbt gene produces multiple alternatively spliced transcripts. Earlier versions of genome annotation provided by FlyBase (up to Release 5.30) show three splice variants — dbt-RA, dbt-RB, and dbt-RC — that share protein-coding and 3’UTR sequence but differ at the 5’UTR (Figure S1A). However, the most recent annotation (release R5.49) included a fourth transcript, dbt-RD, that appears to be identical to dbt-RB but with a longer 3’UTR (Figure S1B). This difference is presumably based on recent genome-wide RNA sequencing data that includes sequence reads mapping to regions that extend beyond the previously annotated 3’UTR. However, we do not believe there is sufficient evidence to distinguish transcript D from transcript B; i.e., there may be only one transcript with a long 3’UTR. Thus we did not treat dbt-RD as an independent transcript, but instead focused our studies on the dbt RA, RB and RC transcripts. In addition, we found EST evidence suggesting the existence of an unannotated transcript with a unique 5’UTR, likely resulting from an alternative transcription start site. Two ESTs (GenBank gi 49381530 and gi 105609325) align perfectly to the 5’ region of the gene in a manner distinct from all previously annotated transcripts. We named this previously unannotated transcript dbt-RE. Studies described below demonstrate the expression of this novel transcript.

To determine if dbt transcripts are associated with LARK, in vivo, we quantified RNAs that co-immunoprecipitated specifically with LARK from head tissue lysates of adult flies. Quantitative Real-Time PCR (Q-RTPCR) using primers specific to each isoform demonstrated that dbt transcripts were enriched after anti-LARK immunoprecipitation (IP). Enrichment values, relative to transcript abundance after IP with an unrelated antibody (anti-EGFP) were 7.7, 4.5, 6.2 and 10.2 fold, respectively, for dbt-RA, RB, RC, and RE (Figure 1A). These results demonstrate an association between LARK and all dbt alternative transcripts in vivo.

These IP results do not distinguish between direct binding by LARK versus indirect association because of the presence of the RNA binding protein (RBP) and dbt mRNAs in the same complex. To test whether LARK can directly bind dbt mRNAs, we conducted UV cross-linking assays [53] using radio-labeled dbt transcripts produced by in vitro transcription (see Material and Methods) and a purified recombinant LARK protein containing both RNA Recognition Motifs (RRMs) [48]. This analysis showed that LARK binds to dbt mRNAs in a concentration-dependent manner and at concentrations as low as 100 nM (Figure 1B). In contrast, LARK binding to an unrelated mRNA (GlutR2) was barely discernible at a concentration of 1 μM protein, indicative of specificity (Figure 1B). Thus, LARK can directly bind dbt mRNAs.

LARK expression promotes translation of dbt mRNAs in clock cells and reveals a potentially new DBT isoform

To test the hypothesis that LARK regulates translation of the DBT protein, we examined the effect of altered LARK expression on DBT abundance. To our surprise, pan-neuronal overexpression of LARK (in elav-gal4; uas-lark/+ flies) revealed a novel immunoreactive DBT band that was of lower molecular weight than the previously described protein (Figure 2A). To our knowledge, such a DBT immunoreactive protein has not previously been reported. In our experiments, however, the novel DBT band was consistently observed in all LARK overexpression (OE) samples but never in control (OC) samples. Furthermore, the band was detected at three different zeitgeber times (ZTs): ZT2, ZT7 and ZT14. We note that higher molecular weight bands are also detected by the DBT antibody (Figure S6) with LARK or DBT OE (seen with DBT OE on a longer exposure). As these bands are too big to represent single proteins encoded by dbt mRNAs and only seen with LARK or DBT OE, we think they must represent aggregates of DBT (see Discussion). It is possible that the novel smaller DBT band represents an isoform that, in the absence of increased LARK expression, is normally present at a low undetectable level. To test this idea, we examined head tissue lysates of elav-gal4; uas-dbtl/+ flies, which overexpress DBT in all neurons. We found that the novel protein
was revealed by DBT overexpression (Figure 2B), indicating that it may represent a rare isoform of the protein. Interestingly, this novel isoform exhibits a diurnal oscillation; in LARK OE flies, it is more abundant at ZT2 than at ZT14 (Figure 2A). Similarly, in DBT overexpressing flies, it can be detected at ZT2 but not ZT14 (Figure 2B). In contrast to LARK OE, LARK knockdown (KD) does not produce a detectable effect on DBT protein level when assayed by Western analysis (Figure 2A). We attempted to show that the novel DBT band corresponded to a previously uncharacterized isoform of the kinase by examining null dbt mutants that survive to larval and early pupal stages (adult null mutants do not survive). However, LARK overexpression at these stages did not induce the novel band (Figure S7). Thus, it may represent an adult-specific form of DBT.

To directly assess the effect of altered LARK expression on translation of DBT, we used the Translating Ribosome Affinity Purification (TRAP) technique to isolate Ribosome bound RNAs from LARK OE, KD and the respective control flies (OC and KC). The TRAP technique was originally developed in mouse [54]. We and others have adapted the technique for use in Drosophila by constructing transgenic flies carrying a uas-EGFP-L10a construct that expresses EGFP-tagged ribosomes in target tissues when crossed to a GAL4 line; this permits isolation of translating mRNAs from target tissues [55,56]. A LARK is known to have a pan-neuronal expression pattern in the adult head [4], we first generated flies with altered LARK expression in all neurons using elav-gal4 in combination with uas-larkRNAi (for KD) or uas-lark (for OE). As indicated previously, knockdown or overexpression of wild-type LARK using these UAS constructs is associated with altered circadian behavioral rhythmicity [49,51]. We included the uas-EGFP-L10a transgene in the OE or KD flies to allow isolation of translating mRNAs from all neurons. We found that LARK OE or KD did not significantly affect translation of dbt-RA, RB or RE. However, translation of dbt-RC was significantly increased in these experiments (Figure 2C, left) by LARK OE. Based on the knowledge that LARK and DBT both have circadian functions, we next examined the effect of altered LARK level on the translation of dbt transcripts in clock cells. In these experiments, we expressed uas-lark and uas-EGFP-L10a in clock cells using the tim-uas-gal4 driver [57]. In contrast to pan-neuronal LARK OE, overexpression specifically in clock cells promoted translation of all dbt transcripts, with the effect on dbt-RC being the most dramatic (8 fold increased; Figure 2C, right). LARK KD caused a small but statistically significant decrease in the translation of all transcripts. To test whether the translational changes result from altered abundance of dbt transcripts or changes in translational status, per se, we examined dbt transcript levels in total RNA extracted from control and LARK OE flies. We found that overexpression of LARK in all clock cells of the fly head did not significantly affect the abundance of RA, RB or RE in total RNA samples. However, there was an approximate 2.6 fold increase in RC abundance (Figure S2). Such an increase in abundance cannot account for the observed 8.3 fold increase in translation of RC (Figure 2C, right). Thus, it is likely that LARK OE results in changes in dbt-RC translational status.

Taken together, the results of these experiments demonstrate that LARK promotes translation of DBT, in particular a previously unidentified DBT isoform. The observation that LARK expression in clock cells had more dramatic effects on dbt than pan-neuronal expression of the protein suggests that regulation of dbt translation by LARK may occur predominantly in clock neurons. An alternative but less likely explanation is that tim-uas-gal4 drives higher expression of LARK than elav-gal4. However, we observed a similar level of expression for the two drivers when they were used with a uas-GFP reporter transgene.

Circadian or diurnal changes in the translation of two low-abundance dbt transcripts

In wild-type flies, LARK shows a circadian oscillation in abundance; the level of LARK is high during the day and low at night [47]. If LARK promotes translation of DBT, then the translational profile of DBT might also display a circadian rhythm. To test this hypothesis, we sampled the translational profiles of the four different dbt transcripts at 4-hour intervals under entrained conditions (LD 12:12) and during the first 2 days of free-running conditions (DD). We emphasize that the endogenous LARK level was not manipulated in these experiments. We found that translation of dbt-RA displayed a low-amplitude rhythm in LD (peak to trough change is ~2 fold), whereas dbt-RB and dbt-RC did not display rhythmic changes in translation. In contrast, dbt-RE displayed robust diurnal changes, with an 8-fold difference between trough-to-peak levels in LD (Figure 3, left panel;
Light induced translation of \textit{dbt-RE}

The observations that translation of \textit{dbt-RE} displays a robust cycle under LD but not DD, and that peak translation occurs shortly after lights-on suggest that its translation might be induced by light. To test this hypothesis, we entrained \textit{tim-uas-gal4; uas-EGFP-L10a} flies for 4 days under LD 12:12 conditions and then released them into constant darkness (DD) on the fifth day. During the first day of DD, the flies were divided into two groups; at CT12 (i.e., the beginning of subjective night) one group received light stimulation while the other was maintained in darkness. We then performed TRAP analysis using head tissues from the two groups of flies and examined translation of \textit{dbt-RE} at 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 hours after CT12. As shown in Figure 4, translation of \textit{dbt-RE} steadily increased, peaking at 4 hours following light exposure. In contrast, translation of \textit{dbt-RE} remained relatively unchanged in the control group not exposed to light (Figure 4A). Statistical significance of the result was verified by a two-way ANOVA, which revealed light exposure as a factor influencing changes in translational level ($p = 2.91 \times 10^{-5}$). Together with the observation that \textit{dbt-RE} abundance does not cycle in total RNA, this experiment strongly suggests that translation of \textit{dbt-RE} is induced within clock cells of the adult head by light exposure.

We next examined whether the light-induced translation of \textit{dbt-RE} is affected by altering LARK expression. We asked this question by comparing differences in ribosome-bound \textit{dbt-RE} levels between flies receiving light stimulation at CT12 (the beginning of subjective night) and those maintained in constant darkness. Ribosome-bound RE transcript was examined in LARK knockdown, LARK OE, and control flies at CT12 and CT 16, with or without light stimulation. Relative to controls and LARK OE, LARK knockdown flies had significantly decreased light-induced \textit{RE} translation (Figure 4B). These results support a role for LARK in the light-induced regulation of \textit{dbt-RE}.

Altered LARK expression affects circadian period

The DBT kinase regulates PER phosphorylation and period of the circadian clock. Mutations that affect DBT level or its kinase function are known to alter period length of locomotor activity rhythms [1,2,59]. Given the observed effects of LARK expression on \textit{dbt}, we tested whether alterations of LARK affect circadian period. We employed fly strains carrying a \textit{uas-lark}RNAi transgene [51] for selective knockdown of LARK in specific subsets of neurons. This transgene was expressed throughout development, because we have not been successful in producing an adult-specific knockdown of LARK [50]. In order to achieve a more effective knockdown, the RNAi transgene was expressed in a background heterozygous for lark, a null mutation of the gene [45]. As shown in Figure 5 (A and B) and Table S1, knockdown of LARK in the PDF neurons – important circadian pacemaker cells – caused an approximate 0.85 h shortening of circadian period. This effect is caused by specific knockdown by LARK, because the introduction of a \textit{uas-lark} transgene into the LARK KD background reverted the period shortening (Figure S4, Table S1). Further, the effect is likely to be mediated by DBT because the period shortening was also corrected by introducing a \textit{uas-dbtl} transgene (Figure S4, Table S1). Predictably, conditional, adult-specific overexpression of LARK had the opposite effect, causing a 1.5 h lengthening of period (Figure 5, A, C, Table S1). It is of interest that LARK overexpression in this experiment caused period lengthening, because a previous study showed that conditional, high-level LARK overexpression, achieved using two copies each of \textit{pdf-gal4} and \textit{uas-lark} (Figure 5E, panel d), caused arrhythmic behavior [50]. We note that the present study utilized a “milder” level of LARK overexpression, achieved using only one copy each of \textit{pdf-}
gal4 and uas-lark, revealing an effect on period. In addition, overexpression of LARK in this study was conditional and restricted to the adult stage, in contrast to a previous study which showed that mild overexpression of LARK throughout development caused increased arrhythmicity rather than a lengthened period [49]. In the current study, the different levels of LARK OE and the effectiveness of LARK KD were validated by immunohistochemistry using anti-LARK antibody (Figure 5E). In contrast to wild-type LARK OE, a mutant LARK protein lacking function RRM domains [48], did not cause lengthening of period when overexpressed by pdf-Gal4 (Figure 5, A, D, Table S1). We note that a previous study demonstrated that the UAS-wild-type and UAS-mutant lark transgenes are expressed at similar levels when driven by the same Ga4 driver [48]. These results indicate that the RNA-binding activity of LARK is required for the observed effects on behavior.

To confirm an effect on circadian period in LARK OE and KD flies, we looked at the cycling of PERIOD protein in the PDF neurons in conditions of constant darkness (DD). Abundance and localization of the PERIOD protein were examined every 4 hours for a 24-hour period by immunohistochemistry and confocal imaging. Because the period altering effects are small, especially in the case of LARK KD, we allowed the effect to accumulate for 4 days in DD. On day 4, the phase of the oscillator should have advanced by almost 4 hours in LARK KD flies, allowing the difference to become detectable when sampling every 4 hours. Indeed, we found that the phase of PER cycling is advanced in KD flies and delayed in OE flies (Figure S5), consistent with results of the behavioral analyses.
Figure 4. Altered LARK expression affects light-induced translation of dbt-RE. A. Light-induced translation of dbt-RE in wild-type flies. Relative translational levels were analyzed by quantifying ribosome-associated transcripts using TRAP and Q-RT-PCR. n=3 for all data points. Error bars represent SEM, p=2.91x10^-5 analyzing the effect of light exposure by a two-way ANOVA of light condition and time. B. Altered LARK expression affects light-induced translation of dbt-RE. Light-induced translation of dbt-RE in flies with different LARK levels (KD, Control and OE) were analyzed by TRAP and Q-RT-PCR immediately after light exposure (0 hour) and 4 hours after light exposure. Amounts of ribosome-associated dbt-RE transcripts are regulated by a clock-controlled clocktranscripts and overexpression of the RBP LARK Regulates DBT to Modulate Period Length LARK promotes the appearance of the novel DBT immunoreactive band. and promotes the translation of PERIOD protein in complete arrythmicity [50]. Research by others has shown that overexpression of a wild-type form of DBT in clock cells has a minimal effect on period but causes a reduction in rhythmicity [60]. We asked whether the arrhythmic behavior caused by high-level LARK expression is mediated through DBT. To address this question, we generated pdf-gal4/+; uas-lark/uas-dbt flies that carry a single copy of each responder transgene. Such flies were arrhythmic compared to controls that only expressed the uas-dbt or uas-lark transgenes (Figures 5 and 7), indicative of an interaction between the genes. This interaction required DBT kinase activity, as overexpression of LARK and DBT\textsuperscript{D132N}, a mutant form of DBT devoid of kinase activity [4] did not cause significant arrhythmicity (Figure 7). In contrast, overexpression of DBT\textsuperscript{D132N} suppressed the period-lengthening effect of mild LARK OE, possibly due to a dominant-negative effect caused by competition of the kinase-dead protein with wild-type protein. The average period for flies overexpressing LARK alone and flies overexpressing both LARK and DBT\textsuperscript{D132N} were 25.1±0.06 hours and 22.67±0.11, respectively (Table S1).

We note that a previous study by Muskus et al. (2007) showed that expression of a different kinase-dead mutation of DBT (DBT\textsuperscript{K35R}) in clock cells caused a lengthened period or arrhythmicity [60]. Thus, it is surprising that expression of DBT\textsuperscript{D132N} alone did not have obvious effects on period length or rhythmicity in our experiments (Table S1). However, Muskus et al. drove expression of DBT\textsuperscript{K35R} in all clock cells throughout development using a tim-gal4 driver. In this study we used the pdf-gal4 driver to direct expression of DBT\textsuperscript{D132N} only in LNvs. More importantly, to avoid effects caused by potential developmental defects, we used the TARGET method [61] to confine expressing DBT\textsuperscript{D132N} to adulthood. These factors may explain the differences between our observations and those of Muskus et al. (2007).

**Increased LARK expression delays degradation of the PERIOD protein**

DBT kinase is involved in multiple steps of the sequential phosphorylation of PERIOD, priming the clock protein for ubiquitin-mediated degradation [reviewed in [18]]. PER degradation rate is a key determinant of circadian period length [reviewed in [18]]. To test the possibility that LARK modulates period length by regulating DBT-dependent PER degradation, we monitored PER nuclear degradation in the PDF-positive large ventral lateral neurons (l-LNvs) by immunohistochemistry and confocal imaging. We found that LARK OE caused a reduced rate of PER degradation during the initial 2.5 hours after lights on in an LD cycle (Figure 8). This result suggests that LARK modulation of DBT results in altered PER degradation.

**Discussion**

Despite many studies of DBT function in cellular signaling pathways and circadian period determination, little is known about the regulation of DBT itself. In this study we show that translation of dbt transcripts are regulated by a clock-controlled RBP called LARK. We provide direct evidence that LARK promotes the translation of dbt transcripts in clock cells. Western Blot analyses reveal a previously undescribed smaller isoform of DBT promoted by LARK overexpression (Figure 2). Although we could not examine this smaller protein in null mutants (see Results) to show specificity of the DBT antibody - three observations suggest that it corresponds to a novel DBT isoform. First, LARK can bind to dbt transcripts and overexpression of the RBP promotes the appearance of the novel DBT immunoreactive band.
Second, overexpression of \textit{dbt}, similar to \textit{LARK}, results in the appearance of the novel protein. Finally, the novel protein shows circadian changes in abundance that are in phase with those of \textit{LARK}. Together, these findings indicate the existence of a novel DBT isoform, encoded by one or more \textit{dbt} transcripts that are regulated by \textit{LARK}.

As previously mentioned, \textit{LARK} or \textit{DBT} OE are associated with the appearance of higher molecular weight DBT immuno-reactive bands in addition to the novel short isoform. (Figure S6). Individual proteins of these size classes cannot be encoded by known \textit{dbt} mRNAs. Therefore, they likely represent aggregates of DBT. Their formation might be facilitated by interaction with the short isoform, which we postulate may act as a scaffold due to its lack of a kinase domain. Although we hypothesize that the short isoform is responsible for the period altering effect, our results do not rule out the possibility that these higher molecular weight complexes contribute to the observed phenotypes.

As demonstrated by Western analysis, the novel isoform has a slightly lower molecular weight compared to the known isoform of DBT, indicating a shorter amino acid sequence. Since the four alternative transcripts encode the same Open Reading Frame (ORF) and differ only in their 5'UTR, it is possible that binding of \textit{LARK} promotes translation from an AUG, or an unconventional initiation sites such as CUG, GUG, UUG, or ACG, downstream of the conventional start codon. It is known that translation of another target of \textit{LARK}, E74A, utilizes at least three alternative initiator codons: two minor forms of the protein are initiated at a CUG and an AUG, while the most abundant form initiates at a CUG [62]. Similar to DBT, our previous studies of E74A show that \textit{LARK} overexpression dramatically increases E74A protein abundance, changing the level from barely detectable to very high [44]. Of note, the mammalian homolog of \textit{LARK}, RNA Binding Motif Protein 4 (RBM4), is known to promote cap-independent, internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-mediated translation when phosphorylated by the p38 MAPK pathway [63]. It is possible that the smaller isoform of DBT results from IRES-mediated translation.

At present, we do not know which \textit{dbt} transcript expresses the short DBT isoform although all four transcripts are capable of encoding it. We also note that our results do not rule out an alternative but unlikely possibility that \textit{LARK} OE results in DBT proteolytic cleavage resulting in the smaller isoform. However, the observations that \textit{LARK} binds \textit{dbt} RNA and promotes ribosome association of \textit{dbt} transcripts without causing a significant change in abundance of the larger DBT isoform indicates that \textit{LARK} may promote translation of the small isoform.

As the conserved kinase domain of DBT starts close to the 5' terminus at amino acid 15, any alternative initiation site downstream of the original AUG is likely to affect kinase activity. Thus, it is possible that the short DBT isoform has no kinase activity but rather plays a structural role. A non-catalytic role of DBT has been suggested by others in a recent study. Yu et. al. (2009) found that \textit{PER-DBT} binding, but not \textit{DBT} catalytic activity, is required for \textit{CLK} hyperphosphorylation and transcriptional repression and proposed a model in which \textit{DBT} plays a novel, noncatalytic role in recruiting additional kinases that phosphorylate \textit{CLK}, thereby repressing transcription [36]. Our results indicate that both the \textit{LARK}-induced short isoform and full
length wild-type DBT are required to exert the period lengthening effect, as co-expressing a kinase-dead form of full length DBT abolishes the period-lengthening effect of LARK OE (Figure 7). These results suggest that the short-isoform and full-length kinase may interact to set the speed of the clock. A plausible hypothesis is that the short DBT isoform serves as a non-catalytic subunit which modulates full-length DBT kinase. Thus, the ratio of short to full-length DBT may be important for modification of PER.

In a previous genome-wide study we identified many mRNAs that are associated with LARK in vivo [44]. Among these LARK-associated mRNAs, only three others encode proteins that are known to be involved in circadian function: flapwing (flw), no

**Figure 6. Interactions between lark and dbt modulate circadian period.** A and B, Quantification of average period lengths showing effects of LARK OE or KD in flies heterozygous for various dbt mutations. Numbers shown at the base of the bar chart represent samples sizes for each genotype. Error bars represent SEM. p<0.0001 for all comparisons. C and D, Representative activity plots. For interactions involving LARK OE, genotypes are: without LARK OE, w^{1118}; pdf-gal4/+; Tub-gal80^{ts}/dbt. With LARK OE, w^{1118}; pdf-gal4/+; Tub-gal80^{ts} uas-lark/dbt. For interactions involving LARK KD, genotypes are: without LARK KD, w^{1118}; pdf-gal4/++; +/dbt, with LARK KD, w^{1118}; pdf-gal4 uas-dicer2/++; lark^{1} uas-lark^{RNAi}/dbt (dbt here refers to dbt^{S}, dbt^{P}, or dbt^{AR}).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004536.g006

**Figure 7. DBT kinase activity is required for the LARK OE phenotype.** A: Representative actograms showing that overexpression of wild-type DBT protein (pdf>dbt) enhances the LARK OE phenotype (producing arrhythmicity) whereas overexpression of a mutant DBT protein lacking kinase activity (pdf>dbt^{D132N}) suppresses the period-lengthening effect of LARK OE. B: Quantification of percentage rhythmicity in flies overexpressing DBT proteins with or without LARK OE. Genotypes are pdf>dbt alone: pdf-gal4/++; uas-dbt/+ (n = 31). pdf>dbt with LARK OE: pdf-gal4/++; uas-dbt/Tub-gal80^{ts} uas-lark (n = 31). pdf>dbt^{D132N} alone: pdf-gal4/++; uas-dbt^{D132N}_/+ (n = 42). pdf>dbt^{D132N} with LARK OE: pdf-gal4/++; uas-dbt^{D132N}/Tub-gal80^{ts} uas-lark (n = 14). *** p<0.0001 by Chi-square test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004536.g007
Thus, enhanced or prolonged phosphorylation of this domain may lengthen period. We postulate that increased LARK expression and production of a short, non-catalytic DBT isoform leads to delayed PER degradation and lengthened circadian period by altering the timing of DBT-mediated phosphorylation of the per short domain. The observation that dbtAR, which is a hypomorph allele of dbt, enhances the period lengthening effect of LARK OE (compare Figure 6 with Figure 5, also see Table S1) suggests that alteration of the short to full-length DBT ratio may be responsible for period lengthening. Interestingly, a mutant form of DBT (DBTARK) that was suggested to play a non-catalytic, auxiliary role – similar to our proposal for the DBT short isoform – also causes period lengthening in heterozygotes [75].

Our analysis of DBT regulation revealed a dbt transcript showing light-inducible translation that is affected by LARK levels (Figure 4). This novel transcript, dbt-RE, shows a translational oscillation that is in phase with LARK abundance in LD conditions and can be induced by light in dark conditions. Together with the observation that LARK abundance is highest at the beginning of the day [47], these results suggest that this RNA-binding protein may be light inducible in addition to showing circadian variation. In LD conditions, the light-induced increase in LARK level may up-regulate translation of dbt-RE. Based on the observation that dbt-RE represents an extremely small fraction of all ribosome-associated dbt transcripts (~0.56%) captured by TRAP, it is possible that such a light-induced event occurs only in a small number of adult head clock cells, perhaps only in cells that mediate the light response. Although a role for LARK and DBT in pacemaker light sensitivity has not been reported, our study suggests it may be of interest to explore this possibility.

Materials and Methods

Drosophila strains, rearing conditions and genetic crosses

The following stocks were obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center (stock number in parenthesis): w1118 (5905), elav-gal4 (458), uas-dbi (26269 and 26274) dbtD (12164) and uas-dicer2 (24650). uas-lark, uas-larkRNAi and uas-larkRNAi were described previously [49,51]. uas-EGFP-L10a is a transgenic line generated in our lab that carries a UAS construct for expressing EGFP-tagged mouse ribosomal protein L10a [55]. tim-uas-gal4 was obtained from Dr. Justin Blau, pdf-gal4 was obtained from Dr. Patrick Emery, dbtD, dbtRE were provided by Dr. Paul Hardin, uas-dbl122D was provided by Dr. Marek Mlodzik. Flies were raised in incubators set at 25°C and 60% humidity and a light-dark cycle consisting of 12 hours of light and 12 hours of dark (LD 12:12) unless specified otherwise.

For Western Blot (Figure 2) experiments, genotypes are: KD, elav-gal4/+; uas-dicer2/+; uas-larkRNAi/+; KC, elav-gal4/+; uas-dicer2/+; OE, elav-gal4/+; uas-lark/+; OC, elav-gal4/+; DBT overexpression, elav-gal4/+; uas-dbi/+; Control for DBT overexpression, elav-gal4/+; +/+. Note that “elav-gal4/+” denotes the fact that male flies are hemizygous for elav-gal4 and female flies are elav-gal4/+

For TRAP experiments, genotypes for examining the effect of altered LARK expression in all neurons are: KD, elav-gal4/+; larkD uas-larkRNAi/uas-EGFP-L10a. C, elav-gal4/+; uas-EGFP-L10a/+; OE, elav-gal4/+; uas-lark/uas-EGFP-L10a. Genotypes for examining the effect of altered LARK expression in all clock cells are: KD, w1118; tim-uas-gal4/+; larkD uas-lark RNAi/uas-EGFP-L10a. C, u118; tim-uas-gal4/+; uas-EGFP-L10a/+; OE, w1118; tim-uas-gal4/+; uas-lark/uas-EGFP-L10a (Figure 2). The genotype for examining circadian (figure 3) or light-induced
Figure 4) translation of dbt transcripts is w118, tim-uas-gal4/+; uas-EGFP-L10a/+.

For locomotor behavior assays, genotypes are: KD, w118; pdf-gal4 uas-dicer2/+; lark1/+; lark-RNAi/+; UC, w118; pdf-gal4 uas-dicer2/+; OE, w118; pdf-gal4/+; Tub-gal80P/+; uas-lark/+; OC, w118, pdf-gal4/+; Tub-gal80P/+; OE; W118, pdf-gal4/+; Tub-gal80P/+; OE; W118, pdf-gal4/+; uas-tub-gal80P/+ uas-lark, pdf>dbt alone, pdf-gal4/+; uas-ddb/+; pcl>dbt with LARK OE: pdf-gal4/+; uas-ddb/Tub-gal80P/+; uas-lark, pdf>dbt alone; pdf-gal4/+; uas-ddb/+; pcl, pdf>dbt alone with LARK OE: pdf-gal4/+; uas-ddb; Tub-gal80P/+ uas-lark, OE; W118, pdf-gal4/+; uas-ddb/+; Tub-gal80P/+ uas-lark, OE; W118, pdf-gal4/+; uas-ddb/+; Tub-gal80P/+ uas-lark. To prevent developmental effects known to be caused by LARK OE, the crosses and progeny were reared at 23°C until the time of experiment, when they were transferred into 30°C to deactivate the protective effect of Tub-gal80P and allow OE to be achieved.

To examine genetic interaction between LARK OE or KD and various chromosomal mutations of dtb, virgin females from either the w118; pdf-gal4; uas-lark Tub-gal80P strain (for OE) or the w118, pdf-gal4; uas-dicer2; lark1; uas-lark-RNA/TM2 Ubx strain (for KD) were crossed to males of the dtb1, dtb3, dtb5, or dtb4R, respectively, and male progeny of the crosses were used for the behavioral analyses.

Co-IP assay

Polyclonal rabbit anti-LARK antibodies [47] were used for IP of LARK protein. A mono-clonal mouse anti-EGFP (clone 19C8 from MACF), was used as a control for unspecific bindings of RNAs to antibody-coupled Dynabeads. The antibodies were coupled to Dynabeads (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instruction. Flies of the w118 strain were entrained to LD 12:12 for 3 days and then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen at ZT2. Heads were harvested and homogenized in a mild lysis buffer containing 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 0.5% Igepal-CA630, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and 10 µg/ml protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). The homogenates were incubated on ice for 5 minutes and centrifuged at 14,000× g for 20 minutes at 4°C. Cleared lysates were incubated with antibody coupled Dynabeads at 4°C for 1 hour. Following incubation, the supernatants were removed and the beads were washed 6 times using a buffer containing 20 mMM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.4), 5 mM MgCl2, 350 mM KCl, 1% IGEPAL-CA630, and 0.5 mM DTT. RNAs were extracted from the immunoprecipitation using the Trizol LS reagent (Invitrogen) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) with random hexamers. The various dbt transcripts in the anti-LARK immunoprecipitated and anti-EGFP immunoprecipitated samples were analyzed by Q-RT-PCR using primers specific to each transcript (see below).

RNA binding assay

RNA transcripts used in the UV cross-linking assays were synthesized in vitro using [3H]-UTP and the MEGAscript Kit (Ambion). The cDNA template for dbt was obtained from the Drosophila Genomics Resource Center (EST clone LD 27173) and for GluR2 was obtained from Dr. Joel D. Richter. A LARK N-terminal GST fusion protein containing the N-terminal RNA-binding domains (two RRM domains and one RTZF) was synthesized and purified using the Pierce GST Purification Kit. RNA-protein binding reactions were carried out according to [53]. Briefly, 1×10^6 cpm of in vitro synthesized RNA transcript and varying amounts of LARK-GST fusion protein were added to 2X GR buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, 100 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM ZnCl2, 20% glycerol, 2 mM DTT), 10 ng t-RNA, 1.2U Rnase OUT (Life Technologies), and 1 mM DTT and incubated on ice for 10 min. followed by RT for 10 min.

50 mg of heparin was added to the mixture followed by UV exposure at 440 mJ for 3 min. RNase A (10 ng) was added and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. The products were resolved by SDS-PAGE and binding was detected using a Typhoon Phosphoimager (GE Healthcare).

Western blot analysis

Flies of designated genotypes were raised at 25°C under standard conditions. Newly emerged adult flies were transferred into an incubator and entrained to LD 12:12 at 30.5°C for 3 full days and then flash froze in liquid nitrogen at the appropriate zeitgeber times on day 4. Heads of the frozen flies were harvested and ground into fine powder in liquid nitrogen. The frozen powder was mixed with a mild lysis buffer (100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 0.5% IGEPAL-CA630, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and 10 µg/ml protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma), incubated on ice for 5 minutes, and centrifuged at 14,000× g for 20 minutes at 4°C. Cleared tissue lysate was obtained after the centrifugation and the concentration of total protein was determined. Approximately 10 µg samples of total protein were loaded onto 12% polyacrylamide gels. Electrophoresis and western blotting were carried out according to standard protocols. The DBT proteins were detected using anti-DBT antibodies provided by Dr. Jeffrey Price (University of Missouri-Kansas City).

Translating ribosome affinity purification (TRAP)

Flies carrying the uas-EGFP-L10a construct [55] were crossed to appropriate gal4 lines to express GFP-tagged ribosomes in desired cell types. Details of the TRAP method are described in [55]. Briefly, flies were homogenized in a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.4), 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 10 µg/ml protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma), 0.5 mM DTT, 20 unit/µl SUPERaseIn RNase inhibitor (Invitrogen), and 100 µg/ml cycloheximide. Thirty µM DHPC and 1% IGEPAL-CA630 were added to the cleared tissue lysates. The mixtures were incubated on ice for 5 minutes and cleared again by centrifuging at 14,000× g for 20 minutes. The cleared lysates were applied to magnetic beads covered by purified anti-EGFP antibodies and incubated at 4°C with gentle rotating for 1 hour. After the IP, the beads were washed with a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4, 5 mM MgCl2, 350 mM KCl, 1% IGEPAL-CA630, 0.5 mM DTT and 100 µg/ml cycloheximide. RNAs were extracted from the beads using the Trizol-LS Reagent (Invitrogen).

Quantitative realtime PCR

Total RNA samples were treated with DNase I (Invitrogen) to eliminate potential contamination with genomic DNA. RNAs isolated from TRAP experiments were used directly since these RNAs usually do not carry genomic DNA contamination. Treated total RNAs or TRAP RNAs were primed with random hexamers (Ambion) and reverse transcribed into cDNAs using the SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Quantification of the relative abundance of specific transcripts in the cDNA samples was conducted by Q-RT-PCR using 2X SYBR green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and specific primers. Data were collected with Strategene MX3000 or MX4000. A pair of primers specific for the Ribosomal Protein 49 (Rp49) gene, which is known to be transcribed and translated at a constant rate throughout the circadian cycle (Huang and Jackson, unpublished observation), was used as an internal reference to account for variation in the input cDNA amount. Sequences for specific primers were: Rp49-F: GCCCAAGATCGTGAAGAAGC, Rp49-R: CGACGCA
CTCGTTGTGCG, dbt-RA-F: GATGCAAAAACACCCCTTCG- 
GAATAC, dbt-RA-R: CCCAGGGATATTGTTFACC, dbt-
RB-F: AACGTAAAGTGCGAATTAGAAg, dbt-RB-R: CTGG-
CAGTGGCTTCTCTTGCTC, dbt-RC-F: GCGACTTGCGGCAAC-
TAACA, dbt-RC-R: CTGGAACGTGGCTTCTTGCTC, dbt-
RE-F: GCGCTGAGATGCGATAAAA, dbt-RE-R: GATT-
GCGGTGCGCTTTCTGG.

Behavioral analyses

Locomotor activity was assayed using 2- to 3-day-old males and the Drosophila Activity Monitoring (DAM) system (Triki-
netics, Waltham, MA). Flies were loaded into activity monitors 
and placed in incubators set at either 30°C (for flies carrying Tub-
galβ0’) or 23°C (for flies not carrying Tub-galβ0’); they were 
entrained to LD 12:12 for 4–5 days and then released into 
constant darkness (DD) for an additional 7–10 days. Visualization 
of actograms and the analysis of rhythmicity and period length 
were performed using a signal processing toolbox [76] within the 
MATLAB software package (MathWorks). The toolbox analyzes 
circadian rhythmicity of fly locomotor activity by applying an 
autocorrelation analysis. The Rythmicity Index (RI) is defined as 
the length of the third peak in the correlogram resulting from this 
analysis (counting the peak at lag 0 as the first peak). Period 
length is determined by Fourier analysis [76]. Flies were 
considered rhythmic if they had a high RI value (generally 
greater than 0.2) as well as obvious rhythmicity by visual 
inspection of the actogram.

Immunohistochemistry

To visualize PER cycling in the PDF neurons, adult flies were 
harvested at appropriate circadian times and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution. Brains were dissected from the heads 
and washed in PBS and PBS-T (0.05% Triton X-100). For 
assessing LARK abundance in PDF neurons, adult flies were 
harvested at ZT 2 and brains were dissected prior to fixation. 
After dissection, the brains were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde 
solution and then washed in PBS and PBS-T. Immunohisto-
chemistry was carried out according to standard procedure for 
staining whole mount fly brains. Primary antibodies were used 
at the following dilutions: Rabbit anti-PER (1:10,000, R. Stanewsky, 
mouse anti-PDF (1:10, DSHB), Rabbit anti-LARK (1:1000, [47]). 
Secondary antibodies, goat anti-mouse IgG (Alexa-488 conjugat-
ed, Molecular Probes) and goat anti-rabbit (Cy3 conjugated or 
Alexa-488 conjugated, Molecular Probes) were used at a dilution 
of 1:300 and an incubation time of at least 5 hours. Confocal 
images were acquired from brain whole mounts using a Leica TCS 
SP2 AOBS microscope within the Tufts Center for Neuroscience Research (CNR) Imaging Core. Blind scoring for 
PERS nuclear versus cytoplasmic localization in the s-LNvs was 
accomplished by using the following scoring system: 0 = no 
staining in nuclei, 1 = mixture of nuclear and cytoplasmic 
staining, and 2 = nuclear staining only. To assess the time course 
of PER degradation in the nuclei of l-LNvs, a custom ImageJ 
macro program was used to quantify PER immunoreactivity. 
All l-LNvs in a brain hemisphere of a particular animal were imaged 
as a 3D stack with optical sections in 1 μm steps under a 63x oil 
objective lens. The section with the largest cell diameter, i.e. the 
middle section of the cell, was identified and an ROI was drawn 
manually outlining the nucleus. Average pixel intensity within the 
ROI was calculated for each individual l-LNv cell in a brain 
hemisphere. The value obtained for individual cells were then 
进一步平均化 among all cells in a same brain hemisphere to 
get a value for each individual animal.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Different versions of genome annotation of the dco 
(i.e. dbt) region by Flybase, A, Previous annotation from Release 
5.3, showing only three alternative transcripts, dco-RA, dco-RB, 
and dco-RC. B, Current annotation from Release 5.49, showing 
an additional transcript named dco-RD with extended 3’UTR. C. 
Existing EST sequences aligning to the region of the genome. The 
two ESTs supporting our annotation of an additional 5’ variant, 
which we called “dbt-RE”, are indicated by arrows. Location of 
primers used to specifically amplify individual dbt transcripts in Q-
RTPCR experiments are indicated by red arrows. The forward 
primers for RA and RB each span a splice junction, thus were 
drawn across the respective introns, although their sequences does 
not include any intrinsic sequence. 
(TIF)

Figure S2 Increased LARK expression has minimal effect on 
the abundance of dbt transcripts in total RNA extracts. Average 
fold change in transcript abundance in total RNA samples isolated 
from LARK OE versus control animals is shown for each 
transcript. (n = 6, including 3 biological replicates with 2 technical 
replicates each; error bars represent the possible range of change 
calculated based on SEM, * p<0.02 Student’s t-test). 
(TIF)

Figure S3 The abundances of dbt-RC and dbt-RE in total RNA 
extracted from wild-type flies do not exhibit circadian changes. A. 
Abundance profile of dbt-RC in the first day of DD. B. Abundance 
profile of dbt-RE in LD. Abundances in the time series are 
normalized to that of the first time point. n = 6 (2 biological 
replicates, each with 3 technical replicates) for all data points; error 
bars represent the possible range of fold change calculated based 
on SEM. 
(TIF)

Figure S4 The period-shortening effect of LARK KD can be 
reverted by increasing either LARK or DBT level. Genotypes 
shown are: w1118; pdf-gal4 us-dicer2/+; lark1 us-larkRNAi/+ 
(alone, n = 11), w1118; pdf-gal4 us-dicer2/+; lark1 us-larkRNAi/+ 
us-lark (with us-lark, n = 26), w1118; pdf-gal4 us-dicer2/+; lark1 
us-larkRNAi/us-dbt (with us-dbt, n = 59). Error bars represent 
SEM. *** p<10^-5 based on Student’s t-test. 
(TIF)

Figure S5 LARK OE delays, whereas LARK KD accelerates 
PERS cycling in the s-LNvs neurons under free-running conditions. 
A-B, Representative images showing PER immunoreactivity at 
various circadian times (CTs) during DD day 4 in the s-LNvs of 
LARK OE, overexpression control (OC), LARK KD, and KD 
control (KC) flies. Genotypes for OE, OC, KD and KC are the 
same as those shown in Figure 5. C, Quantification of results from 
two independent experiments by blind scoring of PER using the 
following system: 0 = no nuclear staining, 1 = mixture of nuclear 
and cytoplasm staining, 2 = nuclear staining only. Each individual 
image was scored by two different observers and the two scores 
were then averaged. Scores of all images for the same genotype 
at the same time point were averaged and plotted. 
(TIF)

Figure S6 Western blot showing effect of altered LARK level on 
the expression of DBT protein. OE: overexpression. OC: control 
for overexpression. Times of sample collections (ZT2 or ZT14) are 
indicated. Overexpression of LARK or DBT was achieved by 
driving us-lark or us-dbt with elav-gal4. Higher molecular 
weight DBT-immunoreactive bands can be visualized with DBT 
OE on a longer exposure of the blot. Black arrow: known DBT
isofrom. Red arrow: novel short DBT isofrom. Arrow head: high molecular weight DBT-immunoreactive bands. *: a non-specific band serving as a loading control. Molecular weight standards (in KD) are shown on the left side of the image.

(TIF)

**Figure S7** Western blot showing that LARK overexpression does not induce the smaller isofrom at pupal stage. OE: overexpression. OC: control for overexpression. Time of sample collections are indicated. Overexpression of LARK was achieved by driving *uas-lark* with *elav-gal4*. Samples extracted from whole pupae are on the left (lanes 1–4), sample extracted from adult heads (as a positive control) are on the right (lanes 6–7). Lane 5: molecular weight ladder. Black arrow: known DBT isofrom. Red arrow: novel short DBT isofrom (only seen in adult head OE sample). Upper and lower panels show the same blot with different exposure times. Exposure time in the lower panel was reduced to allow a clear view of the novel short DBT isofrom in the adult OE sample.

(TIF)

**Table S1** Period and Rythmicity Index (RI) for all characterized genotypes. Abbreviated labels are the same as those used in Figures. The table shows genotypes, number of flies tested, the rhythmic fraction of flies tested, rhythmicity index, and circadian period.

(DOCX)
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