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Abstract. A Zoll metric is a Riemannian metric whose geodesics are all circles of equal length. Via the twistor correspondence of LeBrun and Mason, a Zoll metric on the sphere $S^2$ corresponds to a family of holomorphic disks in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with boundary in a totally real submanifold $P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$. In this paper, we show that for a fixed $P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$, such a family is unique if it exists, implying that the twistor correspondence of LeBrun and Mason is injective. One of the key ingredients in the proof is the blow-up and blow-down constructions in the sense of Melrose.

Introduction

Since their introduction by Roger Penrose [9] (see also [1]), twistor spaces have proven to be very successful in the study of Riemannian manifolds with special properties. They allow one to encode subtle geometric information into holomorphic objects. In this way, powerful tools of complex and algebraic geometry can come into play and reveal in a rather unexpected way new properties of the original geometric situation. A recent example of such a phenomenon was discovered by LeBrun and Mason [7] in their study of Zoll metrics on a compact surface.

In general, a Zoll metric on a manifold is a metric whose geodesics are simple closed curves of equal length. The terminology is in honor of Otto Zoll [10], who discovered that the 2-dimensional sphere $S^2$ has many such metrics besides the standard one. Later on, it was speculated by Funk [3] and proven by Guillemin [5] that the tangent space of the moduli space of Zoll metrics on $S^2$ (modulo isometries and rescaling) at the standard round metric is isomorphic to the space of odd functions $f : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. In particular, this indicates that the moduli space of Zoll metrics on $S^2$ is infinite dimensional. The only other compact surface admitting a Zoll metric, $\mathbb{R}P^2$, has a very different behavior in that respect since, as was conjectured by Blaschke and proved by Leon Green [4], it admits only one Zoll metric modulo rescaling and isometries.

Via the use of twistor theory, LeBrun and Mason were able in [7] to recover all these results in a very elegant and novel way. In the case of the sphere $S^2$, their twistor correspondence associates to a Zoll metric on $S^2$ a family of holomorphic disks in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with boundary in a totally real submanifold $P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ such that there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}P^2 \to \mathbb{C}P^2$ identifying $P$ with the standard...
\( \mathbb{R}P^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \). Moreover, the correspondence is such that each holomorphic disk of the family represents a generator of \( H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2, P) \cong \mathbb{Z} \). For instance, the standard round metric on \( S^2 \) corresponds to a family of holomorphic disks with boundary lying on the standard \( \mathbb{R}P^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \).

In the case of the standard \( \mathbb{R}P^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \), one can check rather directly that there is a unique family of holomorphic disks associated to it. This is because considering the involution

\[
\rho : \mathbb{C}P^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2 \\
[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : x_2]
\]

having the standard \( \mathbb{R}P^2 \) as a fixed set, we can double any holomorphic disk \( D \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \) with \( \partial D \subset \mathbb{R}P^2 \) and \( D \setminus \partial D \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}P^2 \) to obtain a holomorphic curve

\[
\Sigma = D \cup \rho(D) \subset \mathbb{C}P^2.
\]

In the case of a Zoll family, \( D \) has to represent a generator of \( H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{R}P^2) \), which means that \( \Sigma \cong \mathbb{C}P^1 \) is a curve of degree 1 in \( \mathbb{C}P^2 \). Thus, \( \Sigma \) is the zero locus of a homogeneous polynomial of degree 1. Furthermore, the coefficients of this polynomial can be chosen to be real since \( \rho(\Sigma) = \Sigma \). This indicates that \( D \) has to be a member of the family of holomorphic disks corresponding to the standard Zoll metric on \( S^2 \).

However, in the more general situation where \( P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \) does not come from the fixed set of an antiholomorphic involution, the above argument has no obvious generalization. In principle, one could therefore imagine situations where two distincts Zoll metrics on \( S^2 \) would lead to two distinct families of holomorphic disks with boundary on the same totally real submanifold \( P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \). This would mean the totally real submanifold \( P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \) would not be sufficient to recover the Zoll metric from which it comes.

In this paper, we rule out this possibility by showing that for a totally real submanifold \( P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \) such that there exists a diffeomorphism \( \varphi : \mathbb{C}P^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2 \) identifying \( P \) with the standard \( \mathbb{R}P^2 \), there is at most one family of holomorphic disks coming from a Zoll metric on \( S^2 \). In particular, the twistor correspondence of LeBrun and Mason, which to a Zoll metric on \( S^2 \) associates a totally real submanifold \( P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \), is injective. In fact, we show that given such a family, any holomorphic disk \( D \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \) with \( \partial D \subset P \), \( D \setminus \partial D \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus P \) and such that it is a generator of \( H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2, P) \) necessarily has to be a member of the family (see Theorem 1 in section 3).

Our proof is by contradiction. We suppose that there is such a holomorphic disk \( D \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \) which is not in the family. Then we show that there exists a holomorphic disk \( D_b \) in the family such that \( D \) and \( D_b \) intersect transversally and have a non-empty intersection in \( \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus P \). Since \( D \) and \( D_b \) have boundaries, the intersection number one gets is not a homotopy invariant. Our strategy is to look at the lifts \( \tilde{D} \) and \( \tilde{D}_b \) of \( D \) and \( D_b \) in the blowup (in the sense of Melrose)

\[
\tilde{\mathbb{C}P}^2 := [\mathbb{C}P^2; P].
\]

Since the family of holomorphic disks defines a circle fibration on \( \partial \tilde{\mathbb{C}P}^2 \), we have an alternative way of blowing down \( \tilde{\mathbb{C}P}^2 \). Let

\[
\alpha : \tilde{\mathbb{C}P}^2 \rightarrow Y
\]

denote the corresponding blow-down map. In this blow-down procedure, each disk becomes a sphere, thus eliminating the boundary. We can also get a sphere out of
D by deforming it before blowing down with $\alpha$. Doing it carefully, we can insure that the intersection number between $D$ and $D_b$ remains positive. One can also check that the corresponding spheres $\alpha(D)$ and $\alpha(D_b)$ in the blow-down picture are homologous. By construction, the oriented intersection number of these two spheres will be positive, which leads to a contradiction, since the self-intersection number of the corresponding homology class is shown to be equal to zero.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 1, we review standard results of differential topology. In section 2, we introduce the notion of a complete family of $J$-holomorphic curves, while in section 3, we explain how it naturally arises in the twistor correspondence of LeBrun and Mason. In section 4, we state and prove the main result.

1. Preliminaries

Unless otherwise stated, the manifolds and maps considered in this paper will be assumed to be smooth. To clarify our conventions with orientation, let us review briefly some basic concepts of differential topology. Let $X$ and $Y$ be smooth manifolds, possibly with a boundary. Let $W \subset X$ be a smooth submanifold, possibly also with a boundary. Then a smooth map $f: Y \to X$ is said to be transversal to the submanifold $W$ if for each $y \in f^{-1}(W)$,

$$f_*(T_y Y) + T_x W = T_x X, \quad x = f(y).$$

In that case, and when $Y$ and $W$ do not have a boundary, the preimage $S := f^{-1}(W)$ is a submanifold of $Y$ of codimension equal to the codimension of $W$ in $X$. Moreover, if $X, Y$ and $W$ are oriented manifolds, then $S$ has a natural induced orientation. More precisely, we will follow the convention of [6], p.101. Let $NS$ be the normal bundle of $S$ in $Y$. Choosing a Riemannian metric on $Y$, we can think of $NS$ as a subbundle of $TY|_S$. Then, for $y \in S$, we have

$$f_*(N_y S) \oplus T_x W = T_x X, \quad x = f(y),$$

$$N_y S \oplus T_y S = T_y Y. \quad (1.2)$$

Let $\beta_X$, $\beta_Y$ and $\beta_W$ be the oriented bases of $T_x X$, $T_y Y$ and $T_z W$, respectively. The first equation (1.1) determines an orientation on $N_y S$ by declaring a basis $\beta_N$ of $N_y S$ oriented if $(f_*(\beta_N), \beta_W)$ is an oriented basis of $T_x X$. Using the second equation (1.2), this in turn determines an orientation on $T_y S$ by declaring a basis $\beta_S$ of $T_y S$ to be oriented if $(\beta_N, \beta_S)$ is an oriented basis of $T_y Y$ whenever $\beta_N$ is an oriented basis of $N_y S$.

A particularly interesting case occurs when the dimension of $Y$ is the same as the codimension of $W$ in $X$. In that case, the submanifold $S := f^{-1}(W)$ is a discrete set of points which is finite if $Y$ is compact. Each of these points has an orientation $\pm 1$ provided by the preimage orientation. We define the oriented intersection number between $f$ and $W$, denoted $I(f, W)$, to be the sum of their orientation numbers. Defined this way, it turns out (see for instance [6]) that the oriented intersection number only depends on the homotopy class of the map $f$ when both $Y$ and $W$ are compact manifolds without boundary. More generally, when $X$, $Y$ and $W$ are not oriented, the intersection number modulo 2 still only depends on the homotopy class of $f$. 
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The transversality of a map is a natural and generic property which is usually easy to satisfy modulo small perturbations of the map. In this paper, we will in particular use the following standard results of differential topology.

**Transversality Theorem 1.1.** Suppose \( \phi : Y \to B \) is a (locally trivial) smooth fibration of manifolds with boundary, the base \( B \) being a compact manifold without boundary. Let \( F : Y \to X \) be a smooth map of manifolds where \( X \) has no boundary, and let \( W \subset X \) be a boundaryless submanifold. If both \( F \) and \( \partial F \) are transversal to \( W \), then for almost all \( b \in B \), both \( \partial f_b : F|_{\phi^{-1}(b)} \) and \( \partial f_b := \partial F|_{\phi^{-1}(b)} \) are transversal to \( W \).

**Remark 1.2.** In [6], the theorem is stated for trivial fibrations, but the proof generalizes immediately to locally trivial fibrations.

**Extension Theorem 1.3.** Suppose that \( W \) is a closed submanifold of \( X \), both boundaryless. Let \( Y \) be a compact manifold with boundary and \( C \subset Y \) a closed subset. Let \( f : Y \to X \) be a smooth map transversal to \( W \) on \( C \) such that \( \partial f : \partial Y \to X \) is transversal to \( W \) on \( C \cap \partial Y \). Then there exists a smooth map \( g : Y \to X \) homotopic to \( f \) and arbitrarily \( C^\infty \)-close to \( f \) such that \( \partial g = \partial f \) and \( g \) is transversal to \( W \).

When \( C = \emptyset \), this gives the transversality homotopy theorem. Another important special case of the extension theorem is the following.

**Corollary 1.4.** If for \( f : Y \to X \), the boundary \( \partial f : \partial Y \to X \) is transversal to \( W \subset Y \), then there exists a map \( g : X \to Y \) homotopic to \( f \) and arbitrarily \( C^\infty \)-close to \( f \) such that \( \partial g = \partial f \) and \( g \) is transversal to \( W \).

If \( X \) is an oriented manifold with boundary, then its boundary has a natural induced orientation modulo a choice of convention. This has to do with the fact that the normal bundle of \( \partial X \) is orientable. We will follow the convention of [6]. Let \( x \in \partial X \) be given and let \( n_x \in T_x^\perp X \) be a vector pointing outside \( X \). Then we declare a basis \( \beta_{\partial X} \) of \( T_x^\perp \partial X \) to be oriented if \((n_x, \beta_{\partial X})\) is an oriented basis of \( T_x X \). This defines an orientation on \( \partial X \).

In this paper, manifolds with boundary will mostly arise from a blow-up procedure introduced by Melrose (see for instance [8]). Let \( Z \) be a closed manifold and assume \( P \subset Z \) is an embedded closed submanifold. Both \( Z \) and \( P \) are possibly not orientable. Let

\[
NP := T_P Z / TP, \quad SNP := (NP \setminus \{0_{NP}\}) / \mathbb{R}^+
\]

be the normal bundle of \( P \) in \( Z \) with its associated (abstract) unit normal bundle, \( 0_{NP} \) denoting the zero section of \( NP \). Let

\[
\nu : NP \xrightarrow{-1} \mathcal{U} \subset Z
\]

be a tubular neighborhood of \( P \) in \( Z \) identifying the zero section of \( NP \) with \( P \subset Z \). Let \( L \to SNP \) be the (trivial) tautological line bundle, that is, \( L \subset \pi^*NP \), where \( \pi : SN \to P \) is the canonical projection, having fibre at \([v] \in SNP \) given by \( \text{span}(v) \). With respect to the canonical ‘outward pointing’ orientation of \( L \to SNP \), let \( L^+ \to SNP \) be the \([0, \infty)\)-bundle consisting of vectors which are not inward pointing. Then the map \( \nu \) has a natural lift \( \tilde{\nu} \) so that one has the commuting
Let $Z$ be a smooth closed 4-manifold equipped with an almost complex structure $J$. Suppose that $P \subset Z$ is a totally real submanifold, that is,

$$T_pP \cap J(T_pP) = 0 \quad \forall p \in P, \quad TZ|_P = TP \oplus J(TP).$$

Let

$$\Sigma \longrightarrow M \quad \xrightarrow{\phi} \quad B$$

be a (locally trivial) $C^\infty$-fibration of manifolds, where the base $B$ is a closed manifold, the total space $M$ is a compact manifold with boundary and the typical fibre $\Sigma$ is a compact Riemann surface with boundary. For $b \in B$, let

$$\Sigma_b := \phi^{-1}(b)$$
denote the fibre above $b$. Assume $j \in C^\infty(M; \text{End}(T(M/B)))$ is a family of complex structures on the fibres and denote by 

$$j_b \in C^\infty(\widetilde{\Sigma}_b; \text{End}(T\widetilde{\Sigma}_b))$$

the associated complex structure on $\widetilde{\Sigma}_b$.

**Definition 2.1.** A complete family of $J$-holomorphic curves with boundary associated to the pair $(Z, P)$ is a locally trivial fibration (2.2) with total space

$$M := \widetilde{Z} = \left[ Z ; P \right]$$

such that for each $b \in B$ the restriction of the blow-down map $\beta : \widetilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ gives an embedded $J$-holomorphic curve

$$\beta_b : (\widetilde{\Sigma}_b, j_b) \hookrightarrow (Z, J).$$

When the base $B$ of a complete family (2.2) is connected, each $J$-holomorphic curve in the family defines the same relative homology class in $H_2(Z, P)$. In that case, we denote by $h_\phi \in \left[ (\widetilde{\Sigma}_b, \partial\widetilde{\Sigma}_b) ; (Z, P) \right]$ the homotopy class defined by the embedding $\nu_b : \widetilde{\Sigma}_b \hookrightarrow Z$ for $b \in B$ which maps $\partial\widetilde{\Sigma}_b$ into $P$ and we denote by $[h_\phi] \in H_2(Z, P)$ the corresponding relative homology class. Since $B$ is connected, the element $h_\phi$ does not depend on the choice of $b \in B$.

Given a complete family of $J$-holomorphic curves with connected boundary associated to a pair $(Z, P)$, there is an alternative way of blowing down $\widetilde{Z} := [Z; P]$ in the sense of Melrose. Indeed, the fibration $\phi : \widetilde{Z} \rightarrow B$ associated to such a family gives the boundary $\partial\widetilde{Z}$ of $\widetilde{Z}$ the structure of a circle bundle

$$(2.3) \quad \partial\widetilde{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \partial\widetilde{Z} \hookrightarrow \phi \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow B.$$ 

In other words, this is an $SO(2)$-principal bundle, so one can associate to it an oriented vector bundle $V \rightarrow B$ of rank two equipped with an inner product on each fibre. Then the circle bundle (2.3) corresponds to the unit circle bundle of $V$. The zero section of $V$ gives an inclusion $B \subset V$. Consider the blowup à la Melrose of $B$ in $V$,

$$(2.4) \quad \widetilde{V} := [V; B].$$

Then the boundary $\partial\widetilde{V}$ of $\widetilde{V}$ has an induced circle bundle structure

$$S^1 \longrightarrow \partial\widetilde{V} \hookrightarrow \phi \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow B,$$

which is canonically isomorphic to the circle bundle (2.3). We can choose a collar neighborhood

$$(2.5) \quad c : \partial\widetilde{Z} \times [0, 1) \rightarrow \widetilde{Z}$$
of $\partial \tilde{Z}$ in $\tilde{Z}$ inducing a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{V} & \xrightarrow{c} & \tilde{Z} \\
\downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \\
B & &
\end{array}
\]
with $\partial \tilde{V} = \partial \tilde{Z}$ and $\tilde{V} \setminus \partial \tilde{V} \subset \tilde{Z} \setminus \partial \tilde{Z}$. Thus, we can consider the compact $C^\infty$-manifold without boundary
\[
Y := V \cup_c (\tilde{Z} \setminus \partial \tilde{Z})
\]
obtained by identifying $V \setminus B = \tilde{V} \setminus \partial \tilde{V}$ with $c(\tilde{V} \setminus \partial \tilde{V}) \subset \tilde{Z} \setminus \tilde{Z}$. This construction gives an inclusion $B \subset V \subset Y$ and a canonical identification $Z = [Y; B]$

with blow-down map $\alpha : \tilde{Z} \to Y$. The commutative diagram induces a fibration $\psi : Y \to B$ and a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{Z} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y \\
\downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \psi \\
B & &
\end{array}
\]
Under the blow-down map $\alpha$, the Riemann surface with boundary $\tilde{\Sigma}_b = \phi^{-1}(b)$ is mapped to its blow-down version $\Sigma_b := \psi^{-1}(b)$, which is a compact Riemann surface with empty boundary,
\[
\tilde{\Sigma}_b = [\Sigma_b; b]
\]
with blow-down map $\alpha_b : \tilde{\Sigma}_b \to \Sigma_b$, where $b \in B$ is seen as a point on $\Sigma_b$ via the inclusion $B \subset V \subset Y$.

**Remark 2.2.** When the base $B$ is oriented and when the fibres of the fibration are consistently oriented, notice that this induces a natural orientation on $Y$.

To summarize, given a complete family of $J$-holomorphic curves with connected boundary associated to the pair $(Z, P)$, we get a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & \tilde{Z} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Z \\
\downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \\
B & & Z \setminus P & &
\end{array}
\]
where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are blow-down maps. When we have a family of $J$-holomorphic curves with disconnected boundary instead, there is a similar construction. We leave the details to the interested reader.

### 3. Zoll manifolds and complete families of holomorphic disks

A Zoll metric on a smooth manifold $M$ is a Riemannian metric $g$ whose geodesics are all simple closed curves of equal length. This terminology is in honor of Otto Zoll’s result that $S^2$ admits many such metrics besides the obvious metrics of constant curvature. Later on, it was speculated by Funk via a perturbation argument and proved by Guillemin using a type of Nash-Moser implicit function...
theorem that the general Zoll metric on $S^2$ depends modulo isometries and rescaling on one odd function $f : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ (i.e., a function such that $f(-\vec{r}) = -f(\vec{r})$ for all $\vec{r} \in S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$). In other words, odd functions on $S^2$ describe the tangent bundle of the moduli space of Zoll metrics on $S^2$ at the standard metric. In particular, this moduli space is infinite dimensional. In contrast, on $\mathbb{RP}^2$, there is only one such metric modulo rescaling and isometries, as was conjectured by Blaschke and proved by Leon Green [4].

More recently, LeBrun and Mason [7] were able to recover all these results and to generalize them to Zoll symmetric affine connections (not necessarily the Levi-Civita connection of a Riemannian metric) by using an approach involving twistor theory. For instance, on $S^2$, they established a twistor correspondence between Zoll connections and families of holomorphic disks in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ having their boundary contained in a totally real submanifold $P \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ related by a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ to the standard $\mathbb{RP}^2 \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$.

More precisely, the twistor correspondence goes as follows. Recall first that two torsion-free affine connections $\nabla$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ on a manifold $M$ are said to be projectively equivalent if they have the same geodesics, considered as unparametrized curves. A projective structure on a smooth manifold is the projective equivalence class $[\nabla]$ of some affine torsion-free connection. A projective structure $[\nabla]$ is said to be a Zoll projective structure if the image of any maximal geodesic of $\nabla$ is an embedded circle $S^1 \subset M$.

Now, let $[\nabla]$ be a Zoll projective structure on $S^2$. Let $T_cS^2 := TS^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be the complexified tangent bundle of $S^2$. Denote by $PT_cS^2$ the complex projectivization of the complexified tangent bundle $T_cS^2$ and by $PTS^2$ the real projectivization of the tangent bundle $TS^2$. Then

\begin{equation}
U = Z \setminus Z = PT_cS^2 \setminus PTS^2
\end{equation}

can be identified with the space of all pointwise almost-complex structures on $S^2$. Indeed, given a pointwise complex structure $J$ on $T_sS^2$, $s \in S^2$, we let $[(v + iJv)] \in U$ be the corresponding element in $U$, where $v \in T_sS^2$ is any non-zero vector. Thus,

$$U = U_+ \cup U_-,$$

where $U_+$ (respectively $U_-$) consists of the point-wise almost complex structures compatible (respectively incompatible) with the orientation of $S^2$. Both $U_+$ and $U_-$ are connected. Consider the manifold with boundary

$$Z_+ := U_+ \cup PTS^2, \quad \partial Z_+ = PTS^2.$$

The bundle projection $PT_cS^2 \to S^2$ induces by restriction a locally trivial fibration of disks on $Z_+$,

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{D} & \longrightarrow & Z_+ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^2 & & 
\end{array}
\end{equation}

with zero section having self-intersection 4. Now, the geodesics of the Zoll structure naturally lift to $PTS^2$ to give a foliation of $PTS^2$ by circles. As discussed in [7], this
is in fact a locally trivial fibration

\[ (\mathbb{S}^1 \to \partial Z_+) \to \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^2 \]

over \( \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^2 \) which is isomorphic to the unit tangent bundle \( \mathcal{S} \mathbb{T} \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^2 \). Thus, as in the discussion following (3.3), there is a corresponding blow-down procedure (in the sense of Melrose)

\[ \beta : Z_+ \to Z, \]

where \( Z \) is a compact manifold with no boundary. It turns out to be diffeomorphic to \( \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^2 \). In fact, \( Z \) comes equipped with a natural complex structure induced by the Zoll projective structure \( \nabla \) on \( \mathbb{S}^2 \). The complex structure arises as follows. The \((0, 1)\)-tangent bundle of the fibres of the fibration (3.2) defines a complex line bundle

\[ L_1 := T^{0, 1}(Z_+/\mathbb{S}^2) \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}Z_+ = T_{\mathbb{C}}Z_+ \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}. \]

On the other hand, a choice of representative \( \nabla \) for the Zoll projective structure \( \nabla \) defines a horizontal lift of \( T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}^2 \) to \( \mathbb{P}T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}^2 \), and so a horizontal lift

\[ H_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mu^* T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{S}^2 \]

to \( Z_+ \). In particular, one can define a tautological line subbundle \( L_2 \subset H_{\mathbb{C}} \) by

\[ L_2|_{[w]} = (\mu_* [w])^{-1} (\text{span} \, w) \]

for \([w] \in Z_+\). In this way, one gets a \( C^\infty \)-distribution

\[ D := L_1 \oplus L_2 \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}Z_. \]

LeBrun and Mason showed in [7] that the distribution \( D \) is involutive on \( Z_+ \), in the sense that

\[ [C^1(D), C^1(D)] \subset C^0(D), \]

and that it is independent of the choice of representative \( \nabla \) of the Zoll projective structure \( \nabla \). Since

\[ \dim(D_z \cap \overline{D_z}) = \begin{cases} 0, & z \in Z_+ \setminus \partial Z_+, \\ 1, & z \in \partial Z_+, \end{cases} \]

one can apply the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem to get an integrable complex structure away from the boundary. Notice also that (3.6) also works perfectly well if the projective structure is not Zoll. However, when it is Zoll, one can consider the image of \( D \) under the blow-down map (3.4). LeBrun and Mason showed that

\[ \beta_* (D) \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}Z \]

is still involutive and such that \( \beta_* (D) \cap \beta_* (\overline{D}) = 0 \), so that it can be interpreted as the \((0, 1)\)-tangent bundle of an appropriate (integrable) complex structure.

With this complex structure, \( Z \) is biholomorphic to \( \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^2 \). Most importantly, the disk fibration (3.2) together with the blow-down map (3.4) defines a complete family of holomorphic disks associated to the pair \( (\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^2, P) \), where \( P = \beta(\partial Z_+) \subset \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^2 \) is diffeomorphic to \( \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^2 \). With this notation, the manifold with boundary \( Z_+ \) can be naturally identified with the blowup in the sense of Melrose of \( \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^2 \) at \( P \),

\[ \tilde{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{P}^2 := [\mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^2; P]. \]
In this context, the commutative diagram (2.9) takes the form

\[
Y \xleftarrow{\psi} \tilde{\mathbb{CP}}^2 \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{CP}^2 \xleftarrow{\beta} \mathbb{CP}^2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y
\]

where \(Y\) is the \(S^2\)-bundle associated to the disk fibration (3.2).

In this correspondence, holomorphic disks correspond to points on \(S^2\), while points on \(P\) correspond to geodesics of the projective structure of \([\nabla]\) on \(S^2\). Moreover, the points of intersection between two holomorphic disks correspond to the geodesics passing through the two points on \(S^2\) associated to the two holomorphic disks. In [7], it is shown that there exists a diffeomorphism \(\phi : \mathbb{CP}^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^2\) mapping \(P\) diffeomorphically onto the standard \(\mathbb{RP}^2 \subset \mathbb{CP}^2\). The complete family arising in this way is also such that the relative homology class \([h_\mu]\) represented by a disk of the family is a generator of \(H_2(\mathbb{CP}^2, P) \cong \mathbb{Z}\).

For the standard round metric on \(S^2\), the totally real submanifold \(P \subset \mathbb{CP}^2\) coming from the twistor correspondence is the standard \(\mathbb{RP}^2 \subset \mathbb{CP}^2\). It is precisely the set of fixed points of the involution

\[
[\mu] \mapsto [\nu] = [\rho(\mu)]
\]

where \(\rho : [\mathbb{CP}^2] \to [\mathbb{CP}^2]\) is a locally trivial circle fibration, \(\mu\) being the standard bundle projection, while the fibres of \(\nu\) are the geodesics of \([\nabla]\) lifted to \(P\). The maps \(\mu\) and \(\nu\) take the form

\[
\mu(x_0 : x_1 : x_2) \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : x_2]
\]

Each projective line \(\Sigma \subset \mathbb{CP}^2\) invariant under this involution is such that \(\Sigma \cap \mathbb{RP}^2\) is a real projective line in \(\mathbb{RP}^2\). In particular, \(\Sigma = D_+ \cup D_-\) is the union of two holomorphic disks \(D_+\) and \(D_-\) with common boundary \(\partial D_+ = \partial D_- = \Sigma \cap \mathbb{RP}^2\).

The following lemma will turn out to be useful in the next section.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \([\nabla]\) be a Zoll projective structure on \(S^2\) and let \(p \in S^2\) be given. Then, for \(q \in S^2 \setminus \{p\}\) sufficiently close to \(p\), there exists a unique geodesic circle of \([\nabla]\) joining \(p\) and \(q\).

**Proof.** The diagrams (3.2) and (3.3) combine to give a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{P}T S^2 & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathbb{RP}^2 \\
\downarrow{\mu} & & \downarrow \\
S^2 & & \mathbb{RP}^2
\end{array}
\]

where we have used the identification \(\mathbb{P}T S^2 \cong \partial \mathbb{Z}_+\). The maps \(\mu\) and \(\nu\) are both locally trivial circle fibrations, \(\mu\) being the standard bundle projection, while the fibres of \(\nu\) are the geodesics of \([\nabla]\) lifted to \(\mathbb{P}T S^2\). In \(\mathbb{P}T S^2\), consider the union

\[
\hat{X} = \nu^{-1}(\nu[\mu^{-1}(p)])
\]
of the lift of the geodesics of $[\nabla]$ passing through $p$. As discussed in ([7], lemma 2.8), $\hat{X}$ is a compact smooth 2-manifold which can be blown down (in the usual projective sense) along $\mu^{-1}(p)$ since the normal bundle of $\mu^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{R}P^1$ in $\hat{X}$ is isomorphic to the universal line bundle of $\mathbb{R}P^1$. The blowdown of $\hat{X}$ produces a new manifold $X$ and the map $\mu$ induces a smooth map

$$\varrho : X \to S^2.$$ 

If $\hat{p} \in X$ is the blowdown of $\mu^{-1}(p)$, then $\varrho$ is modeled on the exponential map of $\nabla$ near $\hat{p}$. In particular, there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $p$ in $S^2$ such that

$$\varrho : \varrho^{-1}(U) \to U$$

is a diffeomorphism. But then, since $[\nabla]$ is a Zoll projective structure,

$$p \notin \varrho(X \setminus \varrho^{-1}(U)).$$

Thus, there exists an open neighborhood $V$ of $p$ contained in $U$ such that

$$V \cap \varrho(X \setminus \varrho^{-1}(U)) = \emptyset.$$ 

The result then follows by noticing that for all $q \in V \setminus \{p\}$, we have $\varrho^{-1}(q) \subset \varrho^{-1}(U)$, so that for each $q \in V \setminus \{p\}$, there is a unique geodesic circle of $[\nabla]$ joining $p$ to $q$. 

\[ \square \]

4. Uniqueness of Zoll families

In this section, we will establish a uniqueness statement concerning complete families of $J$-holomorphic disks corresponding to projective Zoll structures on $S^2$ via the twistor correspondence of LeBrun and Mason.

**Definition 4.1.** Let $P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ be a totally real submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with respect to the standard complex structure $J$ of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and suppose that there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}P^2 \to \mathbb{C}P^2$ identifying $P$ with the standard $\mathbb{R}P^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$. Then a complete family $\phi : \mathbb{C}P^2 \to S^2$ associated to the totally real embedding $P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ is called a Zoll family of holomorphic disks if it comes from a projective Zoll structure on $S^2$ via the twistor correspondence of LeBrun and Mason.

**Theorem 1.** Let $P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ be a totally real submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with respect to the standard complex structure $J$ of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and suppose that there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}P^2 \to \mathbb{C}P^2$ identifying $P$ with the standard $\mathbb{R}P^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$. Suppose that $\phi : \mathbb{C}P^2 \to S^2$ is a Zoll family associated to the pair $(\mathbb{C}P^2, P)$. In particular, $[h_\phi] \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2, P)$ is a generator of $H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2, P)$. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ be any embedded holomorphic disk such that $\partial D \subset P$, $\partial D \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus P$ with $[D] \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{R}P^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ a generator. Then $[D] = [h_\phi]$ and there exists $b \in S^2$ such that $\beta_b : \phi^{-1}(b) \to D$ is a biholomorphism where $\beta : \mathbb{C}P^2 \to \mathbb{C}P^2$ is the blow-down map and $\beta_b := \beta|_{\phi^{-1}(b)}$.

An immediate consequence of this theorem is the following.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let $P \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ be a totally real submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with respect to the standard complex structure $J$ of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and suppose that there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}P^2 \to \mathbb{C}P^2$ identifying $P$ with the standard $\mathbb{R}P^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$. Then there is at most one Zoll family of holomorphic disks $\phi : \mathbb{C}P^2 \to S^2$ associated to the pair $(\mathbb{C}P^2, P)$. 
We will prove this theorem in a series of lemmas.

**Lemma 4.3.** Suppose that \( \phi : \mathbb{C}P_2 \to S^2 \) is a Zoll family of holomorphic disks. Suppose also that \( D \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \) is a holomorphic disk with \( \partial D \subset P \), \( (\partial D) \cap P = \emptyset \). Then either \( \beta_b : \phi^{-1}(b) \to \mathbb{C}P_2 \) is transversal to \( D \) and has a non-empty intersection with \( D \setminus \partial D \).

**Proof.** Given a holomorphic disk \( D \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \) with \( \partial D \subset P \) and \( (D \setminus \partial D) \cap P = \emptyset \), consider its lift \( \tilde{D} := \beta^{-1}(D) \) to \( \mathbb{C}P_2 \). Since \( \beta : \partial \mathbb{C}P_2 \to P \) is a submersion, by the Transversality Theorem \ref{thm:transversality} for almost all \( b \in S^2 \), \( \beta : \partial \phi^{-1}(b) \to P \) is transversal to \( \partial D \subset P \). Since \( J \) identifies \( TP \) with \( NP \) and since \( D \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \) and \( \beta(\phi^{-1}(b)) \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \) are embedded holomorphically, this means that for almost all \( b \in S^2 \), \( \partial \tilde{D} \cap \phi^{-1}(b) = \emptyset \). Applying the transversality theorem to the identity map \( \mathbb{C}P_2 \setminus \partial \mathbb{C}P_2 \to \mathbb{C}P_2 \setminus \partial \mathbb{C}P_2 \), we also see that for almost all \( b \in B \), \( \phi^{-1}(b) \setminus \partial \phi^{-1}(b) \) is transversal to \( \tilde{D} \setminus \partial \tilde{D} \). Thus, for almost all \( b \in S^2 \), \( \phi^{-1}(b) \) is transversal to \( \tilde{D} \).

Again, since \( J \) identifies \( TP \) with \( NP \) and since \( D \) and \( \beta(\phi^{-1}(b)) \) are embedded holomorphically, this also means that \( \beta_b : \phi^{-1}(b) \to \mathbb{C}P_2 \) is transversal to \( D \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \) for almost all \( b \in S^2 \).

Now, suppose that \( D \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \) does not belong to the complete family \( \phi : \mathbb{C}P_2 \to S^2 \). Since \( \beta : \mathbb{C}P_2 \setminus \partial \mathbb{C}P_2 \to \mathbb{C}P_2 \setminus P \) is a diffeomorphism, this means that there exists \( x \in D \setminus \partial D \) such that \( \ker(\phi_x) \cap T_xD \neq T_xD \). Since \( D \) and \( \beta(\phi^{-1}(b_x)) \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \) are holomorphic disks, where \( b_x = \phi(x) \), this means in fact that \( \ker(\phi_x) \cap T_xD = \{0\} \). In particular, \( \phi_x(T_xD) = T_{b_x}S^2 \), so for all \( b \in S^2 \) sufficiently close to \( b_x \), we will have that

\[
\beta(\phi^{-1}(b)) \cap (D \setminus \partial D) \neq \emptyset.
\]

In particular, we can choose such a \( b \) so that \( \beta : \phi^{-1}(b) \to \mathbb{C}P_2 \) is transversal to \( D \).

To prove Theorem \ref{thm:main}, we need to show that the second possibility in Lemma \ref{lem:4.3} cannot occur, namely, that the holomorphic disk \( D \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \) cannot intersect transversely a holomorphic disk \( \beta(\phi^{-1}(b)) \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \) of the complete family \( \phi : \mathbb{C}P_2 \to S^2 \) with a non-empty intersection in the interior. The idea of the proof will be to derive a contradiction from the existence of such a disk.

Hence, suppose that there is a holomorphic disk \( i : D \to \mathbb{C}P_2 \) such that \( i(\partial D) \subset P \), \( i(D \setminus \partial D) \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \setminus P \) and such that there exists a complete family \( \phi : \mathbb{C}P_2 \to S^2 \) of holomorphic disks as in Theorem \ref{thm:main}. Suppose also that \( [D] \in H_2(\mathbb{C}P_2, P) \) is a generator, that there exists \( b \in S^2 \) such that \( D_b := \beta(\phi^{-1}(b)) \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \) intersects transversely with \( D \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \) and that \( (D \setminus \partial D) \cap (D_b \setminus \partial D_b) \neq \emptyset \). Then the two disks \( D \) and \( D_b \) have a well-defined intersection number

\[
I(D, D_b) = \#\{D_b \cap D \subset \mathbb{C}P_2\}.
\]

Since \( D \) and \( D_b \) are \( J \)-holomorphic curves, each intersection point \( x \in D_b \cap D \) has a positive induced orientation. Hence, counting with orientation, we get the same intersection number as in \( I(D, D_b) \). In differential topology, the purpose of counting intersection points taking into account the orientation is to get a homotopy invariant and sometimes even a homological invariant. However, in our case, due to the presence of boundaries, there is no direct hope for such a homotopy invariance. Indeed, one can easily construct examples where an intersection point in the interior
is gradually moved to the boundary via a smooth homotopy until it completely disappears.

To get an intersection number which is a homotopy invariant, our strategy consists in getting rid of the boundaries of $D$ and $D_b$ by using the blow-down map $\alpha: \mathbb{C}P_2 \rightarrow Y$ of (2.8). This requires first to deform $i: D \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P_2$ to put it in a suitable position with respect to this blow-down map.

Now, since both $D$ and $D_b$ give a generator of $\mathbb{H}_2(\mathbb{C}P_2, P)$ by hypothesis, their boundaries in $P \cong \mathbb{R}P^2$ give the generator of $\mathbb{H}_1(P) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. In particular, this means that $D$ and $D_b$ necessarily intersect on their boundaries (in $\mathbb{C}P_2$) and that

$$I(\partial D, \partial D_b) = \#(\partial D \cap \partial D_b) = 1 \mod 2.$$

We would like to deform the embedding $i: D \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P_2$ (not necessarily through holomorphic embeddings) in such a way that $\partial D$ intersects $\partial D_b$ in exactly one point in $P$.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $\gamma_i: S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, be two embedded circles in $\mathbb{R}P^2$ which intersect transversely and such that each of them gives a generator of $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then there exists a smooth isotopy of embeddings

$$F: S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2$$

such that

1. $F(\cdot, 0) = \gamma_1$;
2. the function $f(t) := \#(F(\cdot, t) \cap \gamma_2)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ is decreasing on $[0, 1]$ and $f(1) = 1$;
3. except for a finite subset of $t \in [0, 1]$ not containing 0 or 1, $F_t: S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2$ with $F_t(x) := F(x, t)$ is transversal to $\gamma_2$.

**Proof.** Since $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ both give the generator of $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, we know that their intersection number is odd, that is,

$$I(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) := \#(\gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2) = 2n + 1$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. To construct the isotopy $F$, we can proceed by recurrence on $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If $n = 0$, we can take the identity isotopy

$$F(x, t) = \gamma_1(x), \ \forall x \in S^1, \ t \in [0, 1].$$

If $n \geq 1$, let $p \in \mathbb{R}P^2$ be one of the intersection points of $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$. Identify $S^1$ with the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$,

$$S^1 = \{e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{C} \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that for $\theta = 0$, we have

$$\gamma_1(e^{i\theta}) = \gamma_1(1) = p = \gamma_2(1).$$

Let $\theta_1 \in (0, 2\pi)$ be the smallest angle such that

$$\gamma_1(e^{i\theta_1}) \cap \gamma_2(S^1) \neq \emptyset.$$

This angle exists because $n \geq 1$. Let $q = \gamma_1(e^{i\theta_1})$ be the corresponding point of intersection. Then there exists $\theta_2 \in (0, 2\pi)$ such that $\gamma_2(e^{i\theta_2}) = q$. Consider the
two loops

\[
\nu_+ = \begin{cases} 
\gamma_1 \circ \exp(2it\theta_1), & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\
\gamma_2 \circ \exp(it\theta_2 + i(2t - 1)(2\pi - \theta_2)), & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1,
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\nu_- = \begin{cases} 
\gamma_1 \circ \exp(2it\theta_1), & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\
\gamma_2 \circ \exp(it\theta_2(-2t + 2)), & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

As elements of the fundamental group of \(\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2\), we have

\[
[\nu_+] \circ [\nu_-]^{-1} = [\gamma_2] = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2.
\]

Thus, one of the loops \(\nu_+\) and \(\nu_-\) is contractible in \(\mathbb{R}P^2\), while the other is the generator of \(\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^2)\). Let us denote by \(\nu\) the contractible loop among the two of them, so

\[
\nu(t) = \gamma_1 \circ \exp(2it\theta_1), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2},
\]

\[
\nu(t) \in \gamma_2\{S^1\}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1,
\]

and \(\nu : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}P^2\) is embedded in \(\mathbb{R}P^2\). Since \(\nu\) is contractible and embedded, the loop \(\nu\) divides \(\mathbb{R}P^2\) into two distinct regions, the ‘inside’ and the ‘outside’ (see Figure 1). For instance, one can see this by lifting \(\nu\) to the universal cover \(S^2\) of \(\mathbb{R}P^2\) and by applying the classical Jordan curve theorem. Let \(D \subset \mathbb{R}P^2\) denote one of these regions so that \(\partial D = \gamma(0, 1])\), more precisely the one such that \(\gamma_1(e^{i\theta}) \notin D\) for \(-\epsilon < \theta < 0\) and \(\epsilon > 0\) small. If for all \(\theta \in (\theta_1, 2\pi)\), \(\gamma_1(e^{i\theta}) \notin D\), then for some \(\epsilon > 0\), we can find an isotopy of embeddings from \(\gamma_1\) to \(\tilde{\gamma}_1\) which is the identity on \(e^{it}\) for \(\theta \in (\theta_1 + \epsilon, 2\pi - \epsilon)\) with \(\epsilon > 0\) small and such that \(\tilde{\gamma}_1\) is transversal to \(\gamma_2\) and

\[
\tilde{\gamma}_1\{S^1\} \cap D = \emptyset, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_1\{S^1\} \cap \gamma_2\{S^1\} = (\gamma_1\{S^1\} \cap \gamma_2\{S^1\}) \setminus \{p, q\}.
\]

Taking \(\tilde{\gamma}_1\) instead of \(\gamma_1\) decreases \(n\) by one in this case and so achieves the inductive step of our construction.

\[\text{Figure 1} \quad \text{Figure 2}\]

Otherwise, \(\gamma_1\) intersects \(\nu(1, 1)\) an even number of times since \(\gamma_1\) has to get out of \(D\) each time it gets inside (see Figure 2). In particular, we can find \(p_1, q_1 \in \nu(\frac{1}{2}, 1)\) with

\[
\gamma_1(e^{i\varphi_1}) = p_1, \quad \gamma_1(e^{i\varphi_2}) = q_1, \quad \theta_1 < \varphi_1 < \varphi_2 < 2\pi,
\]

\[
\nu(t_1) = p_1, \quad \nu(t_2) = q_1, \quad t_1, t_2 \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1),
\]

and such that the loop

\[
\nu_1(t) := \begin{cases} 
\gamma_1 \circ \exp(i\varphi_1 + 2it(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)), & t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\
\nu((2t - 1)(t_1 - t_2) + t_2), & t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1],
\end{cases}
\]
divides $D$ into two distinct regions $D_1$ and $D_1'$, $D_1$ denoting the region not containing $\gamma_1(e^{i\theta})$ for $\theta \in [0, \theta_1]$. By construction,

$$\#\gamma_1(S^1) \cap \nu_1((1/2, 1)) < \#\gamma_1(S^1) \cap \nu((1/2, 1)).$$

Since $\#\gamma_1(S^1) \cap \nu((1/2, 1))$ is finite, we see that proceeding recursively, we can in fact assume that

$$\gamma_1(S^1) \cap \nu_1((1/2, 1)) = \emptyset.$$ 

In that case, we can find an isotopy of embeddings from $\gamma_1$ to $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ which is the identity on $e^{i\theta}$ for $\theta \in (\varphi_1 - \epsilon, \varphi_2 + \epsilon)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, and such that $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ is transversal to $\gamma_2$ with

$$\tilde{\gamma}_1(S^1) \cap D_1 = \emptyset, \quad \gamma_1(S^1) \cap \gamma_2(S^1) = \gamma_1(S^1) \cap \gamma_2(S^1) \setminus \{p_1, q_1\}.$$ 

Replacing $\gamma_1$ by $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ decreases $n$ by 1 in this case, also achieving the inductive step of our construction, which concludes the proof.

\[\square\]

**Figure 3.** Local model

**Remark 4.5.** Later on, we will need a more precise description of the homotopy achieving the inductive step of the previous proof. To this end, we choose a particular local model for the isotopy $F$ around points where $F_t$ is not transversal to $\gamma_2$, that is, a local model for each of the inductive steps of the previous proof. Let $p$ and $q$ (or $p_1$ and $q_1$) be the two points involved in the inductive step. After performing a preliminary isotopy of embeddings to $\gamma_1$, we can assume that there are suitable coordinates $(x, y)$ on an open set $U \subset P$ containing $p$ and $q$ such that in these coordinates, $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are locally given by (see Figure 3)

\begin{align}
\gamma_1(S^1) \cap U &= \{u \in U \mid y(u) = -\epsilon x^2(u) + \delta\}, \\
\gamma_2(S^1) \cap U &= \{u \in U \mid y(u) = 0\}, \\
y(p) = y(q) = 0, \quad x(p) = -\sqrt{\delta/\epsilon}, \quad x(q) = \sqrt{\delta/\epsilon}.
\end{align}

Assume also that the open set $U$ contains the disk of radius $\delta + \sqrt{2/\epsilon}$ centered at $(x, y) = (0, 0)$. To achieve the inductive step, we can then consider the isotopy...
given locally by translating in the y direction

\[ x(F(e^{i\theta}, t)) = x(\gamma_1(e^{i\theta})), \]
\[ y(F(e^{i\theta}, t)) = y(\gamma_1(e^{i\theta})) - t \]

with \( t \in [0, 2\delta] \). Of course, we also need to adjust this isotopy near \( \partial \mathcal{U} \) so that it continues to agree with \( \gamma_1 \) outside of \( \mathcal{U} \). For each time \( t \) where \( F_t \) is not transversal to \( \gamma_2 \), we will assume that the isotopy achieving the inductive step is given locally by (4.2) in a suitable choice of coordinates around the point where \( F_t \) is not transversal to \( \gamma_2 \).

Going back to the holomorphic disks \( D \) and \( D_b \) intersecting transversely and having a non-empty intersection in \( \mathbb{CP}_2 \setminus P \), we can apply Lemma 4.4 to the embedded circles

\[ \partial I : \partial D \hookrightarrow P, \quad \partial \beta_b : \partial \tilde{D}_b \hookrightarrow P, \]

where \( \tilde{D}_b \) is the lift of \( D_b \) to \( \mathbb{CP}_2 \). Thus, identifying \( \partial D \) with \( \mathbb{S}^1 \), there exists a smooth isotopy of embeddings

\[ \partial I : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow P \]

satisfying the three properties of Lemma 4.4 namely

(i) \( \partial I(\cdot, 0) = \partial I \);

(ii) the function \( f(t) := \#(\partial I_t(\mathbb{S}^1) \cap \partial D_b) \) is decreasing on \([0, 1]\) and \( f(1) = 1 \), where \( \partial I_t(e^{i\theta}) = \partial I(e^{i\theta}, t) \);

(iii) except for a finite subset of \( t \in [0, 1] \) not including 0 or 1, \( \partial I_t : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow P \) is transversal to \( \partial D_b \).

Let \( p = \partial I(\mathbb{S}^1, 1) \cap \partial D_b \) be the unique point of intersection between \( \partial I_1 \) and \( \partial D_b \). Let \( b_1 \in \mathbb{S}^2 \) be an element of \( \mathbb{S}^2 \) such that \( p \in \partial D_{b_1} \) and such that \( \partial D_{b_1} \) is transversal to \( \partial D_b \). Assume also that \( T_p \partial D_b \oplus T_p \partial D \) and \( T_p \partial D_{b_1} \oplus T_p \partial D_{b_1} \) have the same orientation. Choosing \( b_1 \) close enough to \( b \), we can also assume that \( \partial D_{b_1} \cap \partial D_{b_1} = p \). This is because via the twistor correspondence, the set \( \partial D_b \cap \partial D_{b_1} \) identifies with the set of geodesics passing through \( b \) and \( b_1 \) and if \( b_1 \) is sufficiently close to \( b \), there is only one such geodesic by Lemma 3.1.

Hence, both \( \partial I_1 \) and \( \partial D_{b_1} \) are embedded circles in \( (P \setminus \partial D_b) \cup \{p\} \). This is a contractible space, as well as \( P \setminus \partial D_b \), which is diffeomorphic to an open disk in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). Thus, it is possible\(^1\) to change the isotopy of embeddings \( \partial I \) so that besides satisfying the three properties of Lemma 4.4 it is also such that \( \partial I_1 = \partial \beta_{b_1} \) (see Figure 4 where \( \mathbb{RP}^2 \) is represented as a disk whose boundary is given by two copies of \( \partial D_b \) identified via the antipodal map). By our choice of \( b_1 \in \mathbb{S}^2 \), we can choose the orientation on \( \mathbb{S}^1 \) such that \( \partial I_0 : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \partial D \) and \( \partial I_1 : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \partial D_{b_1} \) are orientation-preserving, where \( \partial D \) and \( \partial D_{b_1} \) have their orientations induced by those of \( D \) and \( D_{b_1} \).

If \( \theta \) represents the usual angular coordinate on \( \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C} \), let \( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1, T\mathbb{S}^1) \) be the corresponding vector field on \( \mathbb{S}^1 \). Denote by

\[ u_j : TP \rightarrow NP \]
\[ v \quad \mapsto \quad JV \]

\(^1\)This is intuitively not surprising and can be justified rigorously by invoking the \( h \)-principle, for instance theorem 19.4.1, p.176 in [2].
the isomorphism of vector bundles induced by the standard complex structure $J$ on $CP_2$. Since $\partial I_t$ is an isotopy of embeddings,

$$u_J \left( (\partial I_t)_* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \right)$$

is a non-vanishing section of $NP_{\partial I_t(S^1)}$, so it defines a section of the unit vector bundle $SNP$, this space being canonically identified with $\partial \tilde{CP}_2$. Thus, the section \((4.4)\) defines a lift of $\partial I$ to $\partial \tilde{CP}_2$,

$$\partial \tilde{I} : S^1 \times [0, 1] \to \partial \tilde{CP}_2, \quad \beta \circ \partial \tilde{I} = \partial I.$$

If $D$ and $D_{b_1}$ are the lifts of $D$ and $D_{b_1}$, then by our choices of orientation, we have that

$$\partial \tilde{I}_0 : S^1 \to \partial D, \quad \partial \tilde{I}_1 : S^1 \to \partial D_{b_1},$$

are orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms.

Now, working locally in a neighborhood of $P$ in $CP_2$, there is no problem in extending the isotopy $\partial \tilde{I}$ into a smooth homotopy

$$\tilde{I} : \mathbb{D} \times [0, 1] \to \tilde{CP}_2, \quad \tilde{I}_t(e^{i\theta}) = \tilde{I}(e^{i\theta}, t), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

such that $\tilde{I}_0 : \mathbb{D} \to \tilde{D}$ is a biholomorphism and the restriction of $\tilde{I}$ to $\partial \mathbb{D} \times [0, 1]$ is precisely $\partial \tilde{I}$. Let us denote by

$$I := \beta \circ \tilde{I} : \mathbb{D} \times [0, 1] \to CP_2$$

the corresponding map in $CP_2$, its restriction to $\partial \mathbb{D} \times [0, 1]$ being precisely $\partial I$.

**Lemma 4.6.** We can choose $\tilde{I}$ so that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\tilde{I}(\mathbb{D} \times [0, \epsilon]) \cap \partial \tilde{D}_b = \emptyset$ and for all $t > \epsilon$, $I_t = \beta \circ \tilde{I}_t$ is orthogonal to $P$ with respect to the Fubini-Study metric.

**Proof.** Let $\rho \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$ be a non-decreasing function such that

$$r < \frac{1}{4} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho(r) = r,$$

$$r > \frac{3}{4} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho(r) = 1.$$
Consider the smooth homotopy \([0,1] \ni t \mapsto \varphi_t\) with \(\varphi_0(0) = 0\) and
\[
\varphi_t(z) = (\rho(t)\rho(|z|) + (1 - \rho(t))|z|) \frac{z}{|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \{0\}.
\]

Given \(\epsilon > 0\) such that \(\bar{I}(\mathbb{D}^2 \times [0,\epsilon]) \cap \bar{D}_b = \emptyset\), we can then consider instead of \(\bar{I}\) the smooth homotopy
\[
\hat{I} = \begin{cases} 
\bar{I} \circ \varphi_\epsilon^1, & t \leq \epsilon, \\
\bar{I} \circ \varphi_1, & t \geq \epsilon.
\end{cases}
\]

The homotopy is such that \(\partial \hat{I} = \partial \bar{I}\) and \(\hat{I}_0 = i\). Now, let \(c : \partial \mathbb{C}P^2 \times [0,1)_u \to \mathbb{C}P^2\) be a collar neighborhood of \(\partial \mathbb{C}P^2\) induced by the exponential map at \(P\) of the Fubini-Study metric. Let \(\xi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C}P^2, T\mathbb{C}P^2)\) be a smooth vector field with \(c_* \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} = \xi\) in a neighborhood of \(\partial \mathbb{C}P^2\). Also let \(\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{D}^2 \times [0,1])\) be boundary-defining functions for \(\mathbb{D}^2 \times \{0\}\) and \((\partial \mathbb{D}^2) \times [0,1]\), respectively, and let \(\bar{I}\) evolve according to the ordinary differential equation
\[
\frac{d}{ds} \bar{I}(s; d, t) = \psi_1(d, t)\psi_2(d, t)\xi(\bar{I}(s; d, t)), \quad (d, t) \in \mathbb{D}^2 \times [0,1], \quad \bar{I}(0; d, t) := \bar{I}(d, t).
\]

Then we get the desired homotopy by considering \(\bar{I}(s; \cdot, \cdot)\) for \(s > 0\) small. \(\square\)

**Lemma 4.7.** We can choose the smooth homotopy \(\bar{I} : \mathbb{D}^2 \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}P^2\) such that it is transversal to \(\bar{D}_b \subset \mathbb{C}P^2\) and satisfies the property of Lemma 4.4 still demanding that \(\bar{I}_0 : \mathbb{D}^2 \to \bar{D}\) is a biholomorphism and that
\[
\partial \bar{I} : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,1] \to P
\]
satisfies the three properties of Lemma 4.4 and is such that \(\partial \bar{I}_1 : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \partial \bar{D}_{b_1}\) is a diffeomorphism.

**Proof.** Let us first investigate the transversality of \(\partial \bar{I}\) with \(\bar{D}_b\) at the boundary. For \(t \in [0,1]\), a point \(\tilde{p} \in \partial \bar{I}_1(\mathbb{S}^1) \cap \partial \bar{D}_b\) corresponds to a point \(p := \beta(\tilde{p}) \in P\), where \(\partial \bar{I}_t\) is not transversal to \(\partial \bar{D}_b\). Notice however that the converse is not always true. At a point \(p \in \partial \bar{I}_t(\mathbb{S}^1) \cap \partial \bar{D}_b\), where \(\partial \bar{I}_t\) is not transversal to \(\partial \bar{D}_b\), there exists \(\tilde{p} \in \partial \bar{I}_t(\mathbb{S}^1) \cap \partial \bar{D}_b\) such that \(\beta(\tilde{p}) = p\) if and only if the orientations of \(\partial \bar{I}_t\) and \(\partial \bar{D}_b\) at \(p\) are the same (so that they give the same lift of \(p\) in \(\partial \mathbb{C}P^2\)). In any case, we have that
\[
\partial \bar{I}_t_j(\mathbb{S}^1) \cap \partial \bar{D}_b \neq \emptyset
\]
for a finite number of values of \(t\) in \([0,1]\), say \(t_1, \ldots, t_k \in [0,1]\). Let us say that \(\tilde{p}_1, \ldots, \tilde{p}_k \in \partial \bar{I}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,1]) \cap \partial \bar{D}_b\) is an exhaustive list of points and let \((s_1, t_1), \ldots, (s_k, t_k) \in \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,1]\) be the unique points such that \(\partial \bar{I}_j(s_j, t_j) = \tilde{p}_j\). In fact, by the second property in Lemma 4.4, each non-empty intersection \(\partial \bar{I}_j(\mathbb{S}^1) \cap \partial \bar{D}_b\) consists of exactly one point, namely \(\tilde{p}_j\). For each such point, let \(p_j := \beta(\tilde{p}_j)\) be the corresponding point in \(P\). To determine whether or not \(\partial \bar{I}\) is transversal to \(\partial \bar{D}_b\) at \(\tilde{p}_j\), we need to go back to Remark 4.5 pertaining to our choice of a local model for the isotopy \(\partial \bar{I}\) near the point \(p_j\). In the coordinates \((x, y)\) of the local model of Remark 4.5, the point \(p_j\) corresponds to the origin. If \(\theta = \arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)\) corresponds to the angular coordinates of the fibres of \(\mathbb{S}(TP)\) with the obvious trivialization in
the coordinate patch of $(x, y)$, then the lifts of $\partial I$ and $\partial D$ to $S(TP)$ at the origin are given respectively by

$$
\partial \hat{D} = \{(x, y, e^{i\theta}) \in U \times S^1 \mid y = 0, \ e^{i\theta} = 1\},
\partial \hat{I}_1 = \{(x, y, e^{i\theta}) \in U \times S^1 \mid y = -cx^2 + \delta - t, \ \tan \theta = -2x\epsilon\}.
$$

Thus, at $\hat{p}_j = (0, 0, 1) \in S(TP)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\hat{p}_j} \partial \hat{D} &= \mathbb{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, & T_{\hat{p}_j} \partial \hat{I}_1 &= \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \right\}
\end{align*}
$$

so that $\partial \hat{I}$ is transversal to $\partial \hat{D}$. Identifying $S(TP)$ with $SNP$ using the complex structure $J$ of $\mathbb{CP}_2$, this means that $\partial \hat{I}$ is transversal to $\partial \hat{D}_b$ at $\hat{p}_j$. Since we have this local model for each intersection point $p_1, \ldots, p_k$, we conclude that $\partial \hat{I} : S^1 \times [0, 1] \to \partial \hat{CP}_2$ is transversal to $\partial \hat{D}_b$ in $\hat{CP}_2$.

It suffices then to apply the Extension Theorem\footnote{\cite{13}} for transversal maps. Strictly speaking, we would need $\hat{D}_b$ to be a closed manifold (compact with no boundary), but this can be remedied by considering its double $\hat{S}_b^2$ in the double $\hat{M}$ of $\hat{CP}_2$. Defining the double of $\hat{CP}_2$ in a suitable way (e.g., taking $\hat{M} := \mathbb{PTC} \mathbb{S}^2$ in \footnote{\cite{34}} via the twistor correspondence of LeBrun and Mason), we can assume that $\hat{S}_b^2 \subset M$ is $C^\infty$-embedded in $\hat{M}$. Then we can first apply the extension theorem to make $\hat{I}_1$ transversal to $\hat{D}_b$ keeping $\partial \hat{I}_1 = \partial \hat{D}_b$, fixed. But then, clearly the fact that $\partial \hat{I}$ is transversal to $\partial \hat{D}_b$ in $\hat{CP}_2$ implies that $\partial \hat{I}$ is transversal to $\hat{D}_b$ in $\hat{CP}_2$. Hence, we can apply the extension theorem a second time to make $\hat{I}$ transversal, $I_0$, $\hat{I}_1$ and $\partial \hat{I}$ being fixed. In these two applications of the extension theorems, we can of course preserve the property of Lemma\footnote{\cite{4}}.

The purpose of the homotopy $\hat{I}$ is to deform $\hat{D}$ so that its boundary coincides with the boundary of one of the holomorphic disks of the complete family $\phi : \hat{CP}_2 \to \mathbb{S}^2$. This is because we want to be able to blow down the boundary of $\hat{D}$ using the blow-down map $\phi$. However, in order to get a contradiction, we need the oriented intersection number between $\hat{I}_1$ and $\hat{D}_b$ to be positive. Even though the homotopy $\hat{I}$ is transversal to $\hat{D}_b$, it does not keep track of the intersection number since $\hat{D}_b$ has a boundary. Thus, knowing that the oriented intersection number between $\hat{D}$ and $\hat{D}_b$ is positive does not insure that the oriented intersection number between $\hat{I}_1$ and $\hat{D}_b$ is positive. What saves us is our careful choice of the homotopy $\hat{I}$.

Let $g$ be the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{CP}_2$. Then the exponential map induces a tubular neighborhood $\nu : \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}_2$ of $P$ in $\mathbb{CP}_2$, where $\mathcal{U} \subset NP$ is a sufficiently small open set containing the zero section, the map $\nu$ identifying the zero section of $NP$ with $P \subset \mathbb{CP}_2$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathcal{U}$ is invariant under the involution

$$
\begin{align*}
n \in NP, \ p \in P.
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, there is a corresponding involution

$$
\rho : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}
$$
in the tubular neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{CP}_2$. Let $\tilde{NP}$ be the Melrose blowup of the zero section of $NP$ and let $\alpha_N : \tilde{NP} \to NP$ be the blow-down map. Let $c : \partial \tilde{NP} \times [0, \epsilon) \to \bar{U} \subset \tilde{NP}$ be a collar neighborhood of $\partial \tilde{NP}$ in $\tilde{NP}$ induced by the tautological $[0, \infty)$-bundle over $\partial \tilde{NP} \cong S NP$, whose fibre above $n \in S NP$ is the ray spanned by $n \in N_pP$, where $p = \pi(n) \in P$ and $\pi : S NP \to P$ is the bundle projection. Taking $U$ smaller if needed, we can assume that for $\bar{U} := \alpha_N^{-1}(U)$ there is a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{U} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{NP} \\
\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_N \\
U & \longrightarrow & NP.
\end{array}
$$

Since the Fubini-Study metric is compatible with the complex structure $J$ of $\mathbb{CP}_2$, $D_b := (D_b \cap U) \cup \rho(D_b \cap U) \subset U$ has a natural structure of a $C^1$-submanifold in $U$. Here, taking $U$ small enough, we can assume that $(D_b \cap U) \cap \rho(D_b \cap U) = \partial D_b \subset P$. Let $I_{\bar{U}} : I^{-1}(U) \to \bar{U}$ be the restriction of the homotopy $I$ to $I^{-1}(U) \subset \mathbb{D} \times [0, 1]$. Let $S^2 \times [0, 1] = (\mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{D}_-) \times [0, 1]$ be the double of $\mathbb{D} \times [0, 1]$ induced by some collar neighborhood of $\partial \mathbb{D}$ in $\mathbb{D}$, and let $V := I^{-1}(U) \cup (I^{-1}(U))_-$ be the corresponding double of $I^{-1}(U)$ on $S^2 \times [0, 1]$. Then on $V$, we can define a homotopy $I_V : V \to U \subset \mathbb{CP}_2$ by

$$(4.6)\quad I_V(v) := \begin{cases} I_{\bar{U}}(v), & v \in I^{-1}(U), \\ \rho \circ I_{\bar{U}}(v), & v \in (I^{-1}(U))_- \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.6, the map $I_V$ is of class $C^1$ on $V \cap S^2 \times (\epsilon, 1]$. In fact, directly from the proof of Lemma 4.6 one can check that it is even of class $C^\infty$.

**Proposition 4.8.** Let $\bar{I} : \mathbb{D} \times [0, 1] \to \tilde{\mathbb{CP}}_2$ be the homotopy of Lemma 4.7. Then the oriented intersection number between $I_1 : \mathbb{D} \to \tilde{\mathbb{CP}}_2$ and $D_b \subset \tilde{\mathbb{CP}}_2$ is positive with intersection points only in $\tilde{\mathbb{CP}}_2 \setminus \partial \tilde{\mathbb{CP}}_2$. In fact, it is greater than or equal to the oriented intersection number between $\bar{D}$ and $D_b$, which is positive by assumption.

**Proof.** Let $\bar{S} := \bar{I}^{-1}(\bar{D}_b) \subset \mathbb{D} \times [0, 1]$ be the preimage of $\bar{D}_b$ in $\mathbb{D} \times [0, 1]$. Since the map $\bar{I}$ is transversal to $\bar{D}_b$, it is a compact one-dimensional manifold with boundary. The boundary of $\bar{S}$ lies in $\mathbb{D} \times \{0\}, \mathbb{D} \times \{1\}$ and $\partial \mathbb{D} \times [0, 1]$, but not in the corners $\partial \mathbb{D} \times \{0\}$ and $\partial \mathbb{D} \times \{1\}$ of the manifold with corners $\mathbb{D} \times [0, 1]$. A choice of orientation of $\mathbb{D} \times [0, 1]$ together with the orientation of $\bar{D}_b$ imposes an orientation on $\bar{S}$. Clearly, the part of the boundary of $\bar{S}$ contained in $\mathbb{D} \times \{0\}$ gives the intersection points between $\bar{D}$ and $\bar{D}_b$, while the part of the boundary of $\bar{S}$ contained in $\mathbb{D} \times \{1\}$ gives the intersection points of $\bar{I}_1$ with $\bar{D}_b$. If on the other hand $\partial \bar{S} \cap \partial \mathbb{D} \times [0, 1] = \emptyset$, then a standard argument of differential topology using the manifold $\bar{S}$ (see Figure 4) shows that the oriented intersection numbers $I(\bar{I}_0, \bar{D}_b)$ and $I(\bar{I}_1, \bar{D}_b)$ are the same, the manifold $\bar{S}$ establishing a cobordism between $I(\bar{I}_0, \bar{D}_b)$ and $I(\bar{I}_1, \bar{D}_b)$. More generally, if
\( \partial \tilde{S} \cap (\partial \mathbb{D} \times [0,1]) \neq \emptyset \) (see Figure 5), then the points of \( \partial S \) lying on \( \partial \mathbb{D} \times [0,1] \) exactly measure the difference between the oriented intersection numbers \( I(\tilde{I}_0, \tilde{D}_b) \) and \( I(\tilde{I}_1, \tilde{D}_b) \).

Let us agree that we choose the orientation of \( \mathbb{D} \times \{0\} \) so that the induced orientation on \( \mathbb{D} \times \{0\} \) is such that \( \tilde{I}_0 : \mathbb{D} \times \{0\} \rightarrow \tilde{D} \) is orientation-reversing. Since the oriented intersection number between \( \tilde{D} \) and \( \tilde{D}_b \) is positive, this means that, following the convention of \[6, \text{p. 101}\] for the orientation of \( \tilde{S} \), the induced orientation of each point of \( \partial \tilde{S} \cap (\partial \mathbb{D} \times \{0\}) \) is negative. To prove the proposition, it suffices to show that each point of \( \partial \tilde{S} \cap (\partial \mathbb{D} \times [0,1]) \) has a negative induced orientation, since then

\[
I(\tilde{I}_1, \tilde{D}_b) = \#[\partial \tilde{S} \cap (\mathbb{D} \times \{0\})] + \#[\partial \tilde{S} \cap (\partial \mathbb{D} \times [0,1])] > 0.
\]

Intuitively, the reason why these points have a negative induced orientation is because, in the blow-down picture, they come from pairs of intersection points on the boundary at \( t = 0 \) with positive intersection numbers. The details are as follows.

Let \( s_j = (d_j, t_j) \in \partial \tilde{S} \cap (\partial \mathbb{D} \times [0,1]), \) \( j \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \) be an exhaustive list of all the points of the finite set \( \partial \tilde{S} \cap (\partial \mathbb{D} \times [0,1]) \). Near these points, the map \( I_V \) is of class \( C^1 \), so it makes sense to discuss transversality there. To show that each of these points has a negative induced orientation from that of \( \tilde{S} \), we will use the blow-down map \( \beta : \tilde{\mathbb{CP}}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}_2 \). Let \( \tilde{p}_j := \tilde{I}(s_j) \) be the corresponding points in \( \partial \tilde{\mathbb{CP}}_2 \) and \( p_j := \beta \circ \tilde{I}(s_j) = I(s_j) \) the corresponding points in \( P \subset \mathbb{CP}_2 \). By our assumptions, \( I \) is transversal to \( D_b \) at \( p_j \). On the other hand, this non-empty intersection indicates that \( I = \beta \circ \tilde{I} \) is not transversal to \( D_b \) at \( p_j \). This means that at the point \( p_j \), the \( C^1 \)-map \( I_V \) of \([1,0] \) is not transversal to \( \partial D_b \subset \mathcal{U} \). By the construction of the homotopy \( I \), for each point \( s_j = (d_j, t_j) \), there are two arcs...
(d_j'(t), t) and (d_j''(t), t), where d_j'(t), d_j''(t) ∈ ∂D and 0 ≤ t ≤ t_j, that are mapped by I into ∂D_b. These two arcs correspond to a pair of intersection points on the boundary that are annihilated via the homotopy ∂I.

As pointed out in the beginning of the proof of Lemma 4.7, s_1, ..., s_k is not an exhaustive list of the values where I fails to be transversal to D_b. Let s_{k+1}, ..., s_{k+ℓ} ∈ ∂D × [0, 1] be the remaining values for which I fails to be transversal to D_b, and so for which I_V fails to be transversal to ∂D_b. These extra points are such that I(s_j) ∉ D_b for j > k.

Now, both I_V and ∂D_b are invariant under the involution ρ : U → U, which implies that intersection points in U \ P come in pairs of the form (q, ρ(q)), q ∈ U \ P. The point p_j is on P, but it comes from the inductive step of Lemma 4.4 to annihilate two intersection points between ∂I and ∂D_b in P.

Let B_{s_j} be a small ball around s_j in V for j ∈ {1, ..., k + ℓ}. By the Transversality Theorem 4.1, we can assume that I_V|_{∂B_{s_j}} is transversal to ∂D_b. This is because near p_j, the map I_V is transversal to ∂D_b except at p. Thus, by the Extension Theorem 4.3, we can juggle I_V inside B_{s_j} keeping it fixed outside B_{s_j} so that I_V|_{B_{s_j}} becomes transversal to ∂D_b. Notice that this deformation does not have a counterpart for I.

![Figure 7. Before jiggling](image1)

![Figure 8. After jiggling](image2)

But before doing that, consider the oriented one-manifold with boundary

\[ \bar{S}_j := \bar{S} \cap B_{s_j}, \bar{S}_{j} = \{s_j\} \cup \{s'_j\}, \quad j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, \]

with s'_j ∈ ∂B_{s_j} (see Figure 7). Then the orientation induced by \( \bar{S}_j \) to s_j is the same as the one induced by \( \bar{S} \). Moreover, the orientation induced by \( \bar{S}_j \) on s'_j is opposite to the one of s_j. On the other hand, when we juggle I_V inside each ball B_{s_j} for j ∈ {1, ..., k + ℓ} to get a new homotopy I_V transversal to ∂D_b, we get a new oriented one-manifold (see Figure 8)

\[ \mathcal{S} := I_V^{-1}(\partial D_b) \subset V. \]

The manifold \( \mathcal{S} \cap (D × [0, 1]) \) is the same as \( \bar{S} \) away from \( (\partial D × [0, 1]) \cup S \), where \( S = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k+\ell} B_{s_j} \). Moreover, \( \mathcal{S} \setminus S \) contains additional points that are mapped transversally to ∂D_b (in P) by ∂I. The manifold \( \mathcal{S} \) is such that for each j ∈ {1, ..., k},

\[ \partial(\mathcal{S} \cap B_{s_j}) = \{r_j, q_j, s'_j, s''_j\} \]

consists of exactly four points, where r_j, q_j ∈ ∂D × [0, 1] ⊂ V and \( I_V(s''_j) = \rho(I_V(s'_j)) \). Counted with the orientation induced from \( \mathcal{S} \cap B_{s_j} \), these four points
give zero since they are the boundary of the oriented one-manifold. Moreover, \( s''_j \) and \( s'_j \) have the same induced orientation, since the involution \( \rho : U \to \bar{U} \) preserves the orientation of \( U \) and reverses the orientations of \( \bar{D}_b \) and of \( \bar{I}_V \). Thus, \( r_j \) and \( q_i \) have the same orientation and it is opposite to the one of \( s'_j \) and \( s''_j \). Let \( \bar{S}_{r_j} \) be the connected component of \( \bar{S} \setminus (B_{s_j} \cap \bar{S}) \) containing \( r_j \). By construction, \( \bar{S}_{r_j} \) is contained in \( \partial D \times [0,1] \subset \bar{V} \). Moreover, it is an oriented one-manifold with boundary such that

\[
\partial \bar{S}_{r_j} = \{ r_j \} \cup \{ \rho_j \}, \quad \rho_j \in \partial D \times \{ 0 \}.
\]

With our choice of orientation, the orientation of \( \rho_j \) induced from that of \( \bar{S}_{r_j} \) is negative since it corresponds to an intersection point between \( D_b \) and \( I_0 \), which are holomorphic disks. Thus, when induced by \( \bar{S}_{r_j} \), the orientation of \( r_j \) is positive, which means it is negative when induced by \( I_V^{-1}(D_b) \cap B_{s_j} \). Thus, we conclude that \( s'_j \) has a positive orientation so that \( s_j \) has a negative orientation. Therefore, each point of \( \partial S \cap (\partial D \times [0,1]) \) has a negative induced orientation, which implies that the intersection number between \( I_1 \) and \( \bar{D}_b \) is positive, in fact greater than or equal to \( I(\bar{I}_0, \bar{D}_b) \). \( \square \)

Let \( \alpha : \bar{CP}_2 \to Y \) be the blow-down map of \( \bar{S} \) associated to the complete family \( \phi : \bar{CP}_2 \to S^3 \). By Remark 2.2 \( Y \) comes with a natural orientation. Since \( \partial \bar{I}_1 : \partial D \to \partial \bar{D}_b \) is a diffeomorphism, there is a \( C^0 \)-map \( I^*_1 : S^2 \to \bar{Y} \) and a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{I_1} & \bar{CP}_2 \\
\downarrow{\alpha_D} & & \downarrow{\alpha} \\
S^2 & \xrightarrow{I^*_1} & \bar{Y},
\end{array}
\]

where \( \alpha_D : D \to S^2 \) is the blow-down map associated to \( D \) and the disk \( D \) is identified with the Melrose blowup of \( S^2 \) at a point \( s_0 \in S^2 \). Of course, the map \( I^*_1 \) is smooth everywhere except possibly at \( s_0 \) where it is at least continuous. Similarly, there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{D}_b & \xrightarrow{\tilde{I}_1} & \tilde{CP}_2 \\
\downarrow{\alpha} & & \downarrow{\alpha} \\
S^2_b & \xrightarrow{I^*_1} & \bar{Y},
\end{array}
\]

where the vertical map on the left is a blow-down map. Because the boundaries of \( \bar{I}_1(D) \) and \( \bar{D}_b \) are disjoint, the oriented intersection number of \( I_1 \) with \( \bar{D}_b \subset \bar{CP}_2 \) is the same as the one between \( I^*_1 \) and \( S^2_b \subset \overline{Y} \). Now, staying in the same homotopy class, one can approximate \( I^*_1 \) by a smooth map. We can do so by just changing \( I^*_1 \) in a small neighborhood of \( s_0 \) so that the intersection points between the new smooth map and \( S^2_b \) are the same as those between \( I^*_1 \) and \( S^2_b \). In this smooth version of \( I^*_1 \), there is no boundary and the oriented intersection number is a **homotopy invariant**. In fact, it only depends on the homology classes of \( I^*_1 \) and \( S^2_b \) in \( H_2(Y) \).

**Lemma 4.9.** The map \( I^*_1 : S^2 \to Y \) defines the same homology class in \( H_2(Y) \) as the one associated to \( S^2_b \subset Y \) and \( [D] = [h_\phi] \).
Proof. Let us first show that \( [D] = [h_\phi] \). Since \( \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2 \) is a disk bundle over \( S^2 \),
\( H_1(\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2) \cong H_1(S^2) \cong \langle 0 \rangle \), so we see from the long exact sequence in homology
associated to the pair \((\mathbb{C}P_2, \partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2)\) that a generator of \( H_2(\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2, \partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \) is sent
to a generator of \( H_1(\partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \) under the boundary homomorphism
\[
\partial : H_2(\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2, \partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2) \to H_1(\partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2).
\]
From the homotopy \( \tilde{I} \) of Lemma 4.7 we see that
\[
\partial[D] = \partial[D_b] = \partial[h_\phi].
\]
This shows that \([D] = [h_\phi]\), since otherwise we would get \( \partial[D] = -\partial[h_\phi] \), a contradiction.
Thus, \( \tilde{I}_1 \) and \( i_{b_1} : \tilde{D}_{b_1} \to \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2 \) define the same relative homology class in
\( H_2(\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2, \partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2) \).
To see that \( I_1^\alpha \) defines the same homology class as \( S^2 \) in \( H_2(Y) \), notice that there is a natural map
\[
(4.9) \quad \alpha_r : H_2(Y) \to H_2(\overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2, \partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2)
\]
onobtained by composing the maps \( H_2(Y) \to H_2(\mathbb{C}P_2, \alpha(\partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2)) \) and
\[
\alpha_s^{-1} : H_2(Y, \alpha(\partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2)) \to H_2(\mathbb{C}P_2, \partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2).
\]
The homology classes \([I_1^\alpha], [S^2]_2 \) \in \( H_2(Y) \) are such that
\[
\alpha_r([I_1^\alpha]) = \alpha_r([S^2]_2) = [h_\phi] \quad \text{in} \quad H_2(\mathbb{C}P_2, \partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2).
\]
To see that \([I_1^\alpha] = [S^2]_2 \) in \( H_2(Y) \), it suffices to check that the map (4.9) is injective.
This follows from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence obtained by interpreting \( Y \) as the
union of \( \mathbb{C}P_2 \) with a disk bundle \( D \) over \( S^2 \) with \( \partial D = \partial \mathbb{C}P_2 \),
\[
(4.10) \quad \cdots \to H_2(\mathbb{C}P_2, \partial \mathbb{C}P_2) \to H_2(Y) \to H_2(\mathbb{C}P_2, \partial \mathbb{C}P_2) \oplus H_2(D, \partial D) \to \cdots
\]
together with the fact that \( H_2(\partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2) = 0 \) (which follows from the fact that
the space \( \partial \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2 \) is the lens space \( S^3/\mathbb{Z}_4 \)); cf. the proof of theorem 4.4 in [7]. \( \Box \)

Proof of Theorem \[1\]. We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem \[1\]. Let \( D \subset \mathbb{C}P_2 \) be a holomorphic disk as in the statement of the theorem, such that \( \partial D \subset P \), \( D \setminus \partial D \subset P \) and such that its relative homology class \([D]\) is a generator of \( H_2(\mathbb{C}P_2, P) \cong \mathbb{Z} \). Suppose for a contradiction that \( D \) is not one of the holomorphic
disks of the complete family. Then, by Lemma 4.6 there exists \( b \in S^2 \) such that
the holomorphic disks \( D \) and \( D_b := \beta(\phi^{-1}(b)) \) intersect transversely with a positive
oriented intersection number in the interior. Let \( \alpha : \overline{\mathbb{C}P}_2 \to Y \) be the blow-down map of (2.8). By Lemma 4.7
Proposition 4.8 and Lemma 4.9 there exists a smooth map \( I_1^\alpha : S^2 \to Y \) having a positive intersection number with \( S^2_b = \alpha(D_b) \subset Y \)
and corresponding to the same homology class as \( S^2_b \) in \( H_2(Y) \). But the oriented self-
intersection number of \( S^2_b \) in \( Y \) is given by
\[
I(S^2_b, S^2_b) = I(S^2_b, S^2_{b_1}) = 0, \quad b_1 \in S^2,
\]
since \( S^2_b \cap S^2_{b_1} = \emptyset \) for \( b_1 \neq b \). This contradicts the fact that the oriented intersection number
between \( I_1^\alpha \) and \( S^2_b \) is positive. \( \Box \)
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