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Abstract: One of the most important strategies for preventative factory maintenance is anomaly detection without the need for dedicated sensors for each industrial unit. The implementation of sound-data-based anomaly detection is an unduly complicated process since factory-collected sound data are frequently corrupted and affected by ordinary production noises. The use of acoustic methods to detect the irregularities in systems has a long history. Unfortunately, limited reference to the implementation of the acoustic approach could be found in the failure detection of industrial machines. This paper presents a systematic review of acoustic approaches in mechanical failure detection in terms of recent implementations and structural extensions. The 52 articles are selected from IEEEExplore, Science Direct and Springer Link databases following the PRISMA methodology for performing systematic literature reviews. The study identifies the research gaps while considering the potential in responding to the challenges of the mechanical failure detection of industrial machines. The results of this study reveal that the use of acoustic emission is still dominant in the research community. In addition, based on the 52 selected articles, research that discusses failure detection in noisy conditions is still very limited and shows that it will still be a challenge in the future.
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1. Introduction

During collection, compression, and transmission, all collected signals and acquired images are unavoidably polluted by noise, resulting in distortion and loss of information. The quality of any signal processing activities is harmed by the presence of noise. As a result, signal denoising is critical in today’s signal processing systems, such as related to image processing [1], speech recognition [2], or biomedical signal processing for medical diagnostics [3]. In telecommunication, noise reduces the bandwidth of communication channels and leads to signal jitter and information loss [4]. In urban environments, noise affects negatively the health of citizens and leads to noise pollution [5]. Noise is also harmful in many industrial applications and construction engineering [6]. Industrial noise is acoustic noise that occurs at workplaces and enterprises as a result of the production process, during the operation of machines, equipment, and tools [7]. The result of industrial noise leads to a reduced lifetime of industrial machinery and/or industrial accidents. Structural vibration, which is conceptually similar to noise, can cause many noise-related problems: it can cause structural fatigue failure [8], cause discomfort to people using the product or bystanders [9], disrupt sensitive equipment, and so on [10]. The crucial initial stage in the actual engineering application of unit condition monitoring and fault diagnosis is to analyze vibration data in order to extract the most representative problem characteristics and increase the accuracy of diagnosis and analysis. As a result, efficient noise analysis of the gathered vibration signals is critical for properly judging the unit’s defective function.
In the large-scale industries, where plentiful industrial machines are involved, not every occurrence of mechanical failure on every single machine could be directly detected by commonly used sensors [11–13]. One of the causes of this disability is triggered by the high level of noise in the environment in which the machines are operated. In a very noisy condition, whether caused by light or sound pollution, the commonly used sensors, e.g., ultrasonic and infra-red sensors, will experience a large amount of distortion and encounter difficulties in disturbance or failure detection.

Several studies have been conducted to detect failures in industrial machines [14]. For example, deep-learning-based anomaly detection, a new detection method in another area of signal processing [15,16], can be used to detect such failures. In addition, one method that is also commonly used to detect mechanical damage to machines is the acoustic method. This method is used because it has a higher level of security compared to other methods, because measurements do not have to be performed via direct contact with the monitored equipment [17]. The use of acoustic methods to detect the irregularities in systems has a long history [18,19]. In general, the abnormal conditions that occur at the measured device or location can be detected by changes in the characteristics of the acoustic signal generated, such as frequencies and amplitude [20,21]. The advantage of using the acoustic method compared to other methods is that the features of the acoustic signal can be extracted and used for deeper failure detection [22]. Moreover, the use of acoustic methods is also applied to detect changes in the behavior of living creatures [23]. Considering the growing interest of the research community in the detection of failures by acoustic methods in general, and, in particular, failures in high-noise environments, a large number of relevant methods and equipment have emerged over the last few years. Several secondary studies have provided scope for this solution, but systematic studies in this research domain are still very limited.

The main contribution of this research is the systematic literature review (SLR) that was used to analyze and synthesize the relevant studies on failure detection by acoustic methods, and the technology that has been used and will be used for failure detection by acoustic methods. This research also aims to investigate the primary techniques and algorithms for acoustic-based failure detection, as well as to identify several methods that demonstrate the potential for using these techniques. This study also discusses several taxonomies. This study used an evidence-based systematic review methodology to cover the most recent literature and to follow a systematic and impartial selection and evaluation process as a form of transparency and to ensure the inclusion of all related studies.

The main purposes of this study are:
1. Classifying acoustic mechanical failure analysis approaches and techniques;
2. Analyzing the existing work conducted in this area of research;
3. Recognizing the main issues that need to be handled;
4. Identifying the potential areas of research in the future.

2. Related Work

This section presents a brief discussion of the relevant literature review and research on the detection of mechanical failures using acoustic methods. Table 1 shows a comparison of reviews and surveys on it. Delvecchio et al. [24] wrote a critical review of the use of the vibro-acoustic method to monitor internal combustion engines (ICM). Leaman et al. [25] wrote a review on using acoustic emission technology to detect failures in planetary gearboxes (PG). Lukonge and Cao [26] wrote a review on the utilization of acoustic emissions technology to detect offshore and onshore pipeline leaks. Raghav and Sharma [27] presented a review on condition monitoring techniques and fault and failure diagnosis on a gearbox based on the acoustic emission (AE) method.

Reviews were conducted and reported using the guidelines for systematic literature reviews and the systematic mapping study process and the Preferred Report Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis statements (PRISMA). This systematic review is based on a well-designed research process that ensures the comprehensive and impartial selection of all peer-reviewed publications related to published research material. This
protocol is used to collect relevant papers from credible scientific sources, which are then classified and mapped into several categories to reveal the true state of the ongoing research in the application of failure detection technology. This research map will be very useful for practitioners and researchers in determining state-of-the-art domains and topics for future research.

Table 1. Comparison of related reviews.

| References            | Research Method | Year | Citations | Timeline | Focus of Study                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------|-----------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Delvecchio et al. [24]| Traditional Review | 2017 | 179       | No       | The state-of-the-art strategies and techniques based on vibroacoustic signals that can monitor and diagnose malfunctions in internal combustion engines (ICEs) under both test bench and vehicle operating conditions. |
| Leaman et al. [25]   | Traditional Review | 2021 | 34        | No       | The use of acoustic emission technology to detect failures in planetary gearboxes |
| Lukonge and Cao [26] | Traditional Review | 2020 | 77        | No       | Utilization of acoustic emissions technology to detect offshore and onshore pipeline leaks |
| Raghav and Sharma [27]| Traditional Review | 2020 | 99        | No       | The techniques for the condition monitoring and fault diagnosis of gearboxes based on acoustic emissions (AE) |

Consequently, it is important to note that the aim of this review is not only to identify use cases or applications of acoustic methods to detect failures, but also to understand the limitations and challenges of using such methods. In addition, we examine the latest trends in terms of technical approaches, methodologies, and concepts used in the implementation of these methods.

3. Research Methodology

The goal of using an SLR is to distinguish, evaluate, and examine previous and related works that are relevant to the purpose of this paper. Reviewing studies with a logical and impartial research approach can result in SLR writing. The research strategy must be capable of ensuring the completion of the evaluation procedure as soon as possible, according to Kitchenham. Nonetheless, the primary goal of running an SLR is to fill in the gaps that exist in each area. Furthermore, the unique nature of this systematic review necessitates similar research to serve as a guide.

3.1. Research Design

In this subsection, the current research requirements are described by identifying the results of the preliminary research based on the research question and keywords related to the research question.

3.1.1. Literature Review Questions

It has taken a long time to develop methods for identifying and illustrating failure detection methods using acoustic methods. Various processes, methodologies, and techniques have been developed over the years to describe the elements involved in acoustical failure detection. As a result, the following questions will be addressed in this study:

1. What types of failures in industrial machines can be detected by acoustic methods?
2. What are the existing solutions and possible technologies for the detection of mechanical failures by acoustic methods?
3. What are the challenges faced by acoustical failure detection?
4. What are the future research trends and directions in mechanical failure detection using acoustic methods?

3.1.2. Research Process

Rather than resources drawn from scientific articles, this literature review process focuses on finding accredited main study articles. Furthermore, scientific conference proceedings are regarded as research sources. To continue the process of extracting SLR review articles, the following resources were used.

3.1.3. Search Terms

Several online database sources were involved to search for and collect papers related to this study. These sources were selected based on the establishment they have achieved to date. The sources of the papers used as references in this study can be seen in Table 2. This database can provide the highest impact and full text of the most important journals and conferences relevant to acoustical failure detection.

Table 2. Online databases.

| No | Database          | URL                                                 |
|----|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | IEEE Xplore       | https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/, (accessed on 24 December 2021) |
| 2  | Science Direct    | https://sciencedirect.com/, (accessed on 24 December 2021) |
| 3  | Springer Link     | https://link.springer.com/, (accessed on 24 December 2021) |

After performing the first search step by entering keywords in this database, an additional scanning step was performed to ensure the accuracy of the research process and that the selection of studies relevant to the current research question and work met the criteria. In this study, search engines were also involved to assist the search process for related research.

1. “Acoustic Mechanical Failure Detection Industrial Machine” OR “Acoustic Mechanical Fault Detection Industrial Machine”
2. “Acoustic Mechanical Failure”
3. “Acoustic Detection”
4. “Acoustic”
5. “Mechanic Failure”
6. Detection
7. Failure
8. Machine

The search terms were then aggregated into a search query using conjunction (AND) and disjunction (OR) operators.

(((“Industrial Machine”) AND “mechanical”) AND “Failure” OR “Fault”) AND “Acoustic”) AND “Detection”)

3.2. Review Conduction

This section describes the approaches involved in carrying out the systematic literature review process. The SLR search process depends on the rules and frameworks involved in producing this review article.

3.2.1. Selection of Relevant Papers

Following the acquisition of preliminary research studies related to the research objectives, the discovered papers should be evaluated for relevance. As a result, a second assessment was carried out in order to determine the relevance of the chosen initial study through an evaluation. In addition, after the initial screening, a systematic review of the selected studies was performed at random to ensure the consistency of the inclusion
and exclusion criteria. Figure 1 depicts the study selection procedure for the current systematic review.

The following steps were taken to identify relevant research studies:
1. Find the database and identify previous works related to the study using the defined terms.
2. Ignore papers that are not related to the given search criteria.
3. Exclude papers that have no clear relationship between title or abstract.
4. Evaluate the papers by reading the full context.
5. Evaluate the bibliography
6. Perform the initial study.

3.2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Exclusion criteria included research articles that were not related to an acoustic approach to detecting mechanical failure and were therefore outside the scope of this research paper. This research focused on SLR research articles that were relevant to this topic. Furthermore, similar studies on the same topic were not included in the study. As a result, Table 3 shows the inclusion and exclusion criteria used in writing the SLR. Figure 2 depicts the proportions of initial and final article selections from each online source listed in Table 3.
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**Figure 1.** Procedure of research selection for the present schematic review.

The following steps were taken to identify relevant research studies:

1. Find the database and identify previous works related to the study using the defined terms.
2. Ignore papers that are not related to the given search criteria.
3. Exclude papers that have no clear relationship between title or abstract.
4. Evaluate the papers by reading the full context.
5. Evaluate the bibliography
6. Perform the initial study.

**Figure 2.** Proportion of selected studies.
Table 3. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

| Inclusion Criteria |
|--------------------|
| 1. Peer-reviewed original articles |
| 2. Articles proposing an acoustical method for mechanical failure detection |
| 3. Articles that utilize acoustical method for failure detection |
| 4. Recency of articles in case of multiple repeated studies |

| Exclusion Criteria |
|--------------------|
| 1. Articles that are not written in English |
| 2. Studies with unvalidated techniques and algorithms |
| 3. Articles that utilize acoustical approach for other purposes |
| 4. Articles that do not utilize acoustical methods |
| 5. Articles that do not clearly mention acoustic/sound/noise approaches in the title |
| 6. Articles providing unclear results or findings |
| 7. Duplicated studies |

3.2.3. Data Extraction

Relevant information was extracted from the articles during the data extraction process and placed into a database. This database consisted of the items listed in Table 4.

Table 4. Data extraction.

| Data Item       | Description                                      |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Title           | Article title                                    |
| Year            | Year of publication                              |
| Author(s)       | The article author(s)                            |
| Publication type| Journal, proceeding, etc.                        |
| Publication medium| The medium via which the article is published |
| Country         | Researchers' affiliation country                 |
| Contribution    | The major contribution of the article            |
| Summary         | Summary of the article from our perspective       |

3.3. Demographic Data and Overview

The results of the systematic review are reported in this section. As shown in Figure 1, 2251 documents were extracted from the scientific database using a search methodology. In total, 2032 papers were eliminated after the initial screening, which was based on the article title and keywords, leaving 233 for additional screening. The publication did not commit to discussing the use of acoustic methods to detect failures; however, the content of the abstract, considered to be related to the method, led to the search protocol used to be included in the list of related publications. After reading the abstracts of the selected articles, as well as the introduction and conclusions in some cases, in the following screening stage, we screened the papers further using the criteria stated in Table 3. A total of 101 papers were selected as a consequence of this process. Another 49 articles were eliminated after reading all selected papers because they did not focus on detecting failures in industrial machines. After the screening procedure, 52 publications were selected for inclusion in the study. Table 5 contains a complete list of the selected publications, as well as some of the data elements retrieved.
Table 5. List of selected papers.

| No | Authors                          | Year | Publication Type | Case                |
|----|----------------------------------|------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1  | Al-Obaidi et al. [28]            | 2017 | Journal          | Valve               |
| 2  | Altaf et al. [29]                | 2019 | Journal          | Rotating machine    |
| 3  | Cruz et al. [30]                 | 2020 | Journal          | Gas pipeline        |
| 4  | Daraz et al. [31]                | 2018 | Conference       | Centrifugal Pump    |
| 5  | Delgado-Prieto and Zurita Millan [32] | 2017 | Journal          | Gear                |
| 6  | Eftekharnejad and Mba [33]       | 2009 | Journal          | Gear                |
| 7  | Fezari et al. [34]               | 2014 | Conference       | Rotating machine    |
| 8  | Firmino et al. [35]              | 2021 | Journal          | ICE                 |
| 9  | Gao et al. [36]                  | 2019 | Journal          | Grinder             |
| 10 | Gil et al. [37]                  | 2019 | Conference       | Bearing             |
| 11 | Glowacz and Glowacz [38]         | 2017 | Journal          | Induction Motor     |
| 12 | Glowacz et al. [39]              | 2021 | Journal          | Grider              |
| 13 | Griffin et al. [40]              | 2021 | Journal          | Metal Stamping      |
| 14 | Gu et al. [41]                   | 2011 | Journal          | Gearbox             |
| 15 | Heydarzadeh et al. [42]          | 2017 | Conference       | Gearbox             |
| 16 | Ibarra et al. [43]               | 2019 | Journal          | Bearing             |
| 17 | Jian et al. [44]                 | 2013 | Journal          | Bearing             |
| 18 | Jo et al. [45]                   | 2020 | Journal          | Turbine blade       |
| 19 | Karabacak and Ozmen [46]         | 2021 | Journal          | Gear                |
| 20 | Kothuru et al. [47]              | 2018 | Journal          | End Milling         |
| 21 | Liu et al. [48]                  | 2020 | Journal          | Gearbox             |
| 22 | Liu et al. [49]                  | 2020 | Journal          | Belt conveyor       |
| 23 | Liu et al. [50]                  | 2021 | Journal          | Turbine blade       |
| 24 | Lu et al. [51]                   | 2021 | Journal          | Gearbox             |
| 25 | Mad Juhani and Ibrahim [52]      | 2016 | Conference       | Control valve       |
| 26 | Medina et al. [53]               | 2019 | Conference       | Gear                |
| 27 | Merizio et al. [54]              | 2021 | Journal          | Pipe                |
| 28 | Motahari Nezad and Jafari [55]   | 2020 | Journal          | Bearing             |
| 29 | Nirwan and Ramani [56]           | 2021 | Journal          | Bearing             |
| 30 | Oh et al. [57]                   | 2019 | Conference       | Gear Reducer        |
| 31 | Omoreegbee and Heyns [58]        | 2019 | Journal          | Bearing             |
| 32 | Ono et al. [59]                  | 2013 | Conference       | Motor               |
| 33 | Orman et al. [60]                | 2015 | Conference       | Bearing             |
| 34 | Pandya et al. [61]               | 2013 | Journal          | Bearing             |
| 35 | Pan et al. [62]                  | 2019 | Journal          | Motor               |
| 36 | Park et al. [63]                 | 2017 | Journal          | Insulator           |
| 37 | Qiao et al. [64]                 | 2020 | Journal          | Bearing             |
| 38 | Qu et al. [65]                   | 2013 | Conference       | Gearbox             |
| 39 | Ramteke et al. [66]              | 2019 | Journal          | Diesel engine       |
| 40 | Rzeszucinski et al. [67]         | 2015 | Conference       | Bearing             |
| 41 | Seemuang et al. [68]             | 2018 | Conference       | Shaft               |
| 42 | Shang et al. [69]                | 2017 | Conference       | Switchgear          |
| 43 | Shukri et al. [70]               | 2011 | Conference       | Control valve       |
| 44 | Sun et al. [71]                  | 2020 | Journal          | Mill                |
| 45 | Taha and Widiyati [72]           | 2010 | Journal          | Bearing             |
| 46 | Tang et al. [73]                 | 2021 | Journal          | Bearing             |
| 47 | Toutountzakis et al. [74]        | 2005 | Journal          | Gear                |
| 48 | Volkovas and Dulevicius [75]     | 2006 | Journal          | Turbine pump        |
| 49 | Wu and Meng [76]                 | 2006 | Journal          | Rotor               |
| 50 | Yao et al. [77]                  | 2021 | Journal          | Gear                |
| 51 | Yun et al. [78]                  | 2021 | Journal          | Robot arm           |
| 52 | Zhang et al. [79]                | 2019 | Journal          | Bearing             |
The time span of the articles used is from 2006 to 2021. Figure 3 shows the distribution of the included articles, with most of the articles (11 articles) published in 2021. Of the 52 included papers, 37 were published in the last five years (2017–2021). This implies that research in the field of acoustical methods for detecting failures is still very new and interest in this area is growing rapidly as the number of publications continues to increase.
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**Figure 3.** Year of publication.

The location (country) of the institutions associated with the authors of the selected publications was also used to obtain an overview of the geographical distribution of members of the research community interested in research on acoustic methods for failure detection. The institution of origin of the author of the correspondence, or the first author if the author of the correspondence is unknown, is determined as the country of origin of the selected article. The geographical distribution of the article authors is shown in Figure 4. Based on the 52 articles reviewed, China was the largest contributor, with 11 articles, followed by South Korea and the United Kingdom with five articles. Malaysia, Poland, and the United States followed in the next position, with each contributing four articles. Meanwhile, Brazil and India contributed three articles, and 13 different countries contributed one article each.

![Article distribution by country of origin](image)

**Figure 4.** Article distribution by country of origin.

The type of publication determines whether the paper will be published in journals and conferences. The publishing categories of the publications collected are depicted in Figure 5. In this study, 73% or 38 of the selected articles came from publications in the
form of scientific journals. The rest, 27% or 14 of the selected articles, came from scientific conferences. The list of journals and conferences that become publication media can be seen in Table 6.

![Figure 5. Categories of publication.](image)

**Table 6. Medium of publication.**

| Medium of Publication | Reference |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| 1st International Conference on Electrical Materials and Power Equipment | [69] |
| 2nd International Conference on Engineering Innovation | [68] |
| 3rd International Conference on Computer Research and Development | [70] |
| 4th International Conference on Intelligent and Automation Systems | [52] |
| 10th IEEE International Symposium on Diagnostics for Electrical Machines, Power Electronics and Drives | [67] |
| 10th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology Convergence | [57] |
| 16th International Power Electronics and Motion Control Conference and Exposition | [34] |
| 24th International Conference on Automation & Computing | [31] |
| 42nd IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing | [42] |
| 2013 IEEE International Conference on Prognostics and Health Management | [65] |
| 2nd International Conference on Condition Assessment Techniques in Electrical Systems | [60] |
| 2019 Signal Processing Algorithms, Architectures, Arrangements, and Applications | [37] |
| 2019 Prognostics and System Health Management Conference | [53] |
| Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing | [59] |
| Acoustic Australia | [29] |
| Advance Powder Technology | [49] |
| Alexandria Engineering Journal | [28] |
| Applied Acoustic | [33,38,39,73] |
| Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering | [36,51] |
| Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy | [30] |
| Expert Systems with Application | [61] |
| IEEE Access | [64] |
| IEEE Sensors Journal | [48] |
| IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics | [32,63] |
| IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications | [50] |
| IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement | [77] |
| International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology | [76] |
| International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing | [44] |
| Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing | [78] |
| Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology | [41,45,62,79] |
| Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering | [35] |
| Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series C | [54] |
| Journal of Vibration Engineering & Technologies | [58,66] |
| Material Today: Proceedings | [56] |
| Measurement | [46,55] |
| NDT & E International | [74] |
| Russian Journal of Nondestructive Testing | [75] |
| The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology | [40,43,47,71,72] |
4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Results Obtained from Answering the Research Questions

In this section, the results obtained are the answers to the research questions given in Section 3.1.1. These questions were asked to determine the extent of research developments in the field of using acoustic methods to detect failures in industrial machines. The answers to these questions are compiled based on the description of the results of the selected scientific articles.

4.1.1. What Types of Failures in Industrial Machines Can Be Detected by Acoustic Methods?

Table 7 shows the types of damage to industrial machines that can be detected by the acoustic method. The table shows that, based on the collected references, mechanical failures that can be found by the acoustic method include defects, wear, fractures, leaks, and others. Grinding burn is caused by excessive heat generated during the grinding process. Gao et al. [36] designed a grinding burn monitoring system using acoustic emission signals and wavelet coherence analysis. Breakage is another example of a failure that can be detected by acoustic methods, as shown in research performed by Sun et al. [71] involving mechanical breakage analysis on milling machines. Other failure types that can also be found by the acoustic method, based on the selected articles, are corrosion [28], cracks [57,72], leakage [30,52], wear [46,55], rubbing [62], pitting [53], etc. Table 7 also shows that most of the detected failures were in bearings (17 articles) and gears (12 articles). This shows that the detection of failures with the acoustic method is very suitable for use on components that have a high level of movement.

In general, mechanical failure is a failure type that causes disruption or cessation of the work of a device. This failure can be caused by cracks [75], deformation, wear [46,47], leakage [30], bending, etc. Mechanical failure can be recognized by the increase in temperature or the appearance of an unusual sound when the engine is operating [80].

| Failure | Location | Accuracy | Reference |
|---------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Burn    | Grinder  | ≤100%    | [36]      |
| Breakage| Milling Machine | 91.18% | [71]      |
| Corrosion| Valve    | 98%      | [28]      |
| Crack   | Bearing  | 80–100%  | [72]      |
|         | -        | -        | [44]      |
|         | Gear     | 97%      | [57]      |
|         | -        | -        | [48]      |
|         | Propeller| -        | [75]      |
|         | Shaft    | -        | [68]      |
| Fracture| Gear     | ≥90%     | [77]      |
|         |          | 72%      | [32]      |
| Leakage | Pipeline | 99.6%    | [30]      |
|         | Control Valve | -     | [52]      |
| Misfire | Combustion Engine | 98.7–99.3% | [35] |
| Pitting | Gear     | 97.0–99.9% | [53] |
| Rubbing | Motor    | 80%      | [62]      |
Table 7. Cont.

| Failure               | Location    | Accuracy       | Reference     |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| Wear                  | Bearing     | 56.3–100%      | [55,43]       |
|                       | Gear        | 48.4–99.9%     | [46,51,65]    |
|                       | Metal       | 96%            | [40]          |
|                       | Stamping    | 97%            | [47]          |
|                       | Seeded      | 96.67%         | [61,60]       |
|                       | Gear        | -              | [33,74]       |
| Sealed                | Bearing     | 96.67%         | [61]          |
|                       | Gear        | -              | [60]          |
| Spall                 | Bearing     | 89.33–100%     | [39,64]       |
|                       | Gear        | 87.2–99.48%    | [29,37,50,56,58,73,76,79] |
| Another Failure       | Bearing     | 100%           | [54]          |
|                       | Turbine Blade| -             | [45]          |
|                       | Insulator   | 96.7–100%      | [63]          |
|                       | Belt Conveyor| 94.53%        | [49]          |
|                       | Diesel Engine| -             | [66]          |
|                       | Centrifugal Pump| -            | [31]          |
|                       | Control Valve| -             | [70]          |
|                       | Motor       | 82–100%        | [39,38]       |
|                       | Robot Arm   | 85%            | [78]          |
|                       | Rotating Machine| 91.5–94.5%    | [34]          |
|                       | Gear        | 97%            | [42,41]       |
|                       | Switchgear  | -              | [69]          |

4.1.2. What Are the Existing Solutions and Possible Technologies for the Detection of Mechanical Failures by Acoustic Methods?

In the field of acoustics, mechanical failure can be recognized by the appearance of an unusual signal when the engine is operating. This damage signal generally has a frequency and amplitude that are not the same as the frequency and amplitude under normal conditions. According to Tagawa et al. [22], acoustic data are easier to collect at the factory due to the relatively low cost of installing microphones in existing facilities.

Broadly speaking, the use of acoustic methods to detect mechanical failures in machines can be divided into two categories, namely the utilization of acoustic emission and the others (see Table 8). The acoustic emission method is the most commonly used acoustic analysis method in detecting mechanical failure. On the other hand, the other methods harvest the acoustic signal by utilizing a common sound sensor such as a microphone.
Table 8. Approach methods used to detect failures based on acoustic signals.

| Detection Method          | Analysis                                              | Reference |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Acoustic Emission         | Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System                  | [55]      |
|                           | Akaike Information Criterion                          | [73]      |
|                           | Cepstrum                                              | [43,44]  |
|                           | Chromatic monitoring                                  | [32]      |
|                           | Envelope                                              | [41]      |
|                           | Frequency                                             | [52]      |
|                           | Machine Learning                                      | [28,34,40,42,53,58,61,71,72] |
|                           | Root Mean Square                                      | [33,56,68,74] |
|                           | Sparse Augmented Lagrangian                           | [50]      |
|                           | Statistic                                             | [62,66,70,75] |
|                           | Time Synchronous Average                              | [65]      |
|                           | Variational Mode Decomposition                        | [48]      |
|                           | Wavelet                                               | [36]      |
| Microphone                | Envelope                                              | [31]      |
|                           | Modulation Signal Bispectrum                          | [51]      |
|                           | Machine Learning                                      | [29,30,35,37,38,46,47,49,54,57,63,64,77,78] |
|                           | Reverse Spectrum                                      | [69]      |
|                           | Shortened Method of Frequency Selection               | [39]      |
|                           | Nearest Frequency Components                          |           |
|                           | Special Kurtosis                                      | [60,67]   |
|                           | Statistic                                             | [59]      |
|                           | Stochastic Resonance                                  | [79]      |
|                           | Time-frequency                                        | [76]      |
| Ultrasonic                | Quantitative                                          | [45]      |

- Acoustic Emission-Based
  Acoustic emission (AE) is the term given to describe a physical phenomenon that occurs when a small amount of elastic energy is released into a structure through a mechanical process [20]. In simple terms, the acoustic emission signal is a combination of the deterministic signal and the failure signal. A deterministic signal is a signal that appears when the engine is running normally. Meanwhile, the failure signal is a signal that appears when there is an abnormality or disturbance when the engine is operating. Assuming that the deterministic signal and the failure signal are unrelated, Liu et al. [50] write the acoustic emission signal as Equation (1), where \( y(n) \), \( d(n) \), and \( \xi(n) \) are, respectively, acoustic emission signals, deterministic signals, and fault signals.

  \[
  y(n) = d(n) + \xi(n) \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, M + N
  \]  

- Microphone-Based
  Apart from the acoustic emission approach, there are various other ways to retrieve the acoustic signal from the component to be inspected. In general, acoustic signal retrieval involves using a microphone to pick up the signal. The microphone used can stand alone [22,47], with additional equipment involvement (such as a stethoscope) [78], or a microphone may be used that is installed on certain devices (such as cellphones) [60,67]. The use of a microphone is intended to take sound samples from the device under test when the equipment is working in accordance with its function. The frequency of the sound picked up by the microphone can be in the range of 10 Hz–10 kHz (the range of sound that can be heard by humans) [59], as well as the signals picked up by the microphone on a mobile phone sampling frequency of 44.1 kHz [47,67]. The advantage of using a microphone over other methods is the ease of installation and data collection [22]. However, careless placement of the microphone will affect the measurement results.
• Ultrasonic-Based
Another method used to detect faults is to utilize ultrasonic signals. Jo et al. [45] conducted research on failure detection on turbine blades by the ultrasonic method at a frequency of 300 kHz. They found that partially lost and distorted blades can be detected by acoustic diagnosis during the turbine’s operation.

Table 8 also shows that analysis using machine learning is the most preferred choice in determining failures with acoustic methods, both in acoustic emission-based studies and with microphones. Artificial neural networks, k-Nearest Neighbors, and SVM are the most common types of machine learning used in these studies. The use of these methods results in a detection system with an accuracy rate ranging from 80% to 100% [30,34,47,64,72].

Table 9 shows a list of examples of intelligent and classic methods used to determine failure in machines. On the other hand, Table 10 presents selected studies employing machine learning to perform machine failure detection. Both tables show that artificial intelligence in acoustic systems is still an attractive option for researchers.

Table 9. Algorithm or analysis method used to define failure.

| Intelligent | Classical |
|-------------|-----------|
| Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System | High-Order Statistics |
| Support Vector Machine (SVM) | Akaike Information Criterion |
| Decision Tree | Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients |
| Classification and Regression Tree | Sparse Augmented Lagrangian |
| Genetic Algorithm | Variational Mode Decomposition |
| k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) | Cepstrum Pre-Whitening |
| Kernel Liner Discriminant Analysis | Special Kurtosis |
| Negative Selection Algorithm | Envelope Analysis |
| Recursive Denoising Learning | Time-Frequency Analysis |
| Random Forest (RF) | Modulation Signal Bispectrum |
| Neural Network | |
| Sparse Discriminant Analysis | |

Table 10. Summary of the technical implementation of artificial intelligence aspect in mechanical failure detection.

| Author | Failure Location | Algorithm | Dataset | Environment |
|--------|-----------------|-----------|---------|-------------|
| Al-Obaidi et al. [28] | Valve | SVM | 142,035 samples of AE signal statistical parameters | Laboratory |
| Altaf et al. [29] | Rotating Machine | SVM, kernel liner discriminant analysis, KNN, sparse discriminant analysis | Audible sound frequency ranges from 20 Hz to 20 KHz | Laboratory |
| Cruz et al. [30] | Gas Pipeline | Logistic regression, KNN, SVM with linear kernel, SVM with radial basis kernel, random forest, adaptive boosting, extreme gradient boosting | 1680 samples (120 samples for each of the 14 experiments) and for regression of 840 samples (120 samples for each of the leakage experiments) in 7 orifices | Laboratory |
| Fezari et al. [34] | Rotating Machine | K-Nearest Neighbors | 10 recordings of 5 s duration with frequency sampling $f_s = 10,000$ Hz | Laboratory |
| Firmino et al. [35] | Internal Combustion Engine | Artificial neural network | Frequencies, amplitudes, and energy data gathered using acoustic acquisition system | Laboratory |
| Griffin et al. [40] | Metal Stamping | Classification and regression tree | A reduced short-time Fourier transform of top 10 absolute maximum component AE feature sets that correlates to wear measurement data | Laboratory |
| Author                        | Failure Location | Algorithm                                    | Dataset                                                                                                                                  | Environment |
|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Heydarzadeh et al. [42]       | Gearbox          | SVM                                          | Recording of gearbox acoustic emissions using an open field microphone at the rate of 5 KHz for 5 load conditions and four classes corresponding to fault-free, pinion, wheel, and simultaneous faults | Laboratory  |
| Karabacak and Ozmeri [46]     | Gear             | Artificial neural network                    | Artificially produced acoustic signal samples on machines that have failures caused by wear, pitting, and breakage                       | Laboratory  |
| Kothuru et al. [47]           | End Milling      | SVM                                          | Audio signal related to wear level                                                                                                     | Laboratory  |
| Liu et al. [49]               | Belt Conveyor    | Decision tree                                | 42 sets of acoustic data acquired from experiments with a belt velocity of 1 m/s, which is equivalent to 2.9 rpm for the idler rolls       | Laboratory  |
| Medina et al. [53]            | Gear             | Long short-term memory                       | Acoustic emission signal datasets                                                                                                     | Laboratory  |
| Merizio et al. [54]           | Pipe             | Negative selection algorithm                | Collection of sound pressure data in positions inside the tube using ISO10534-1(1996) standard                                            | Laboratory  |
| Motahari Nezad and Jafari [55]| Bearing          | Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system        | Acoustic emission signals                                                                                                             | Laboratory  |
| Oh et al. [57]                | Gear Reducer     | SVM                                          | A balanced data set of 300 acoustic signals to accommodate four cases of 60 signals and 60 signals each in normal operation              | Laboratory  |
| Omoregbee and Heyns [58]      | Bearing          | SVM, and genetic algorithm                   | A GA-based feature extractor from a raw acoustic emission dataset                                                                        | Laboratory  |
| Pandya et al. [61]            | Bearing          | Asymmetric proximity function KNN            | 180 data samples of the five bearing conditions                                                                                         | Laboratory  |
| Park et al. [63]              | Insulator        | Neural network                               | Samples of noise measurement results on insulators                                                                                     | Laboratory  |
| Qiao et al. [64]              | Bearing          | CNN, long short-term memory                  | Data of 10 different fault levels, including inner race, outer race, ball, and normal. Each fault type collects 800 samples, and 1200 signal points make a group of samples | Noisy       |
| Sun et al. [71]               | Mill             | SVM                                          | Acoustic signal samples from the engine during operation for normal and abnormal conditions                                             | Laboratory  |
| Taha and Widiyati [72]        | Bearing          | Artificial neural network                    | Acoustic signal samples from five bearing defect conditions                                                                             | Laboratory  |
| Yao et al. [77]               | Gear             | Recursive denoising learning                | The collection of clean acoustic signal and noise-disturbed acoustic signal                                                           | Laboratory  |
4.1.3. What Are the Challenges Faced by Acoustical Failure Detection?

At first, determining the failure that occurs in industrial machines without stopping the process is difficult. However, with the development of sensor technology, measurement, and computing, these problems have been overcome.

Industrial machine failures can occur in any machine or machine part. Failures can occur in bearings, gears, actuators, distributors, and others. With acoustic technology, failures can be measured even without the need for industrial process shutdowns, if needed, affordably and efficiently. This technology is very useful, especially for detecting early failures so that problems that occur can be handled immediately. However, behind these advantages, there are several challenges that must be faced in the application of the acoustic method. Table 11 aims to describe some of the problems encountered in the application of the acoustic method to detect failures.

Table 11. Challenges in acoustic-based detection.

| Challenges          | Explanation                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Environmental noise | The type of noise is very influential on the measurement results. Noise dominated by impulse signals will certainly make failure analysis difficult because the spectrum of the signal will be present and affect all observed frequencies. |
| Fragility           | Failure is very likely to occur in components that are already fragile. Failures such as defects or leaks can be detected, but because there is a tendency to change the size of the defect level in a short time, the measurement results will vary. |
| Multivariate failures | Failures that occur in a machine can come from several points and occur at the same time. In addition, the type of failure that occurs can also be a mixture of defects, cracks, leaks, wear, and others. Each failure will affect the measurement signal received and will affect the failure analysis method used. |
| Concurrent failure  | Failure may occur on more than one machine running at the same time. The sensor will be very easily affected by interference signals from equipment around the measuring object that also fails, especially for microphone-based measurements. |

4.1.4. What Are the Future Research Trends and Directions in Mechanical Failure Detection Using the Acoustic Method?

Based on the review and investigation of more than 100 articles, various research directions and possible research topics for consideration for further research have been generated.

First, the use of acoustic emission methods still dominates research in the field of acoustic-based failure detection. This shows that there are still many opportunities to find new methods for such detection. Furthermore, as hardware and software technology advances, the opportunity to discover new methods will be even greater.

Second, there is still little research on the detection of mechanical failure with acoustic methods in a certain level of environment. Most of the research conducted is research on a laboratory scale. This shows the opportunity to conduct research for certain cases that are still wide open. Moreover, in actual conditions, the noise level will affect the results of data acquisition by the acoustic method.

Third, technological advances have led to increasingly sophisticated hardware specifications on devices such as mobile phones. Research initiatives in this regard are still
very limited and can be taken as a future direction for portable failure detection devices. Extraction results from voice signal recordings on cell phones have been widely used for forensic purposes. Therefore, the use of mobile phones to replace existing sensors will remain an interesting discussion in the future.

Fourth, the use of artificial intelligence as a tool to analyze mechanical failures with acoustic methods is increasingly being selected. However, this does not rule out the possibility of implementing and developing other artificial intelligence algorithms for the failure detection case. Moreover, based on the reviewed papers, changes in location and the type of failure in equipment often require different analysis patterns.

Fifth, research on mechanical failure in industrial machines basically cannot be separated from research on work safety. Whenever there is an acoustically detected failure of an industrial machine, the control system must be able to set off an alarm with a certain level of vigilance. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct research that combines failure detection, severity, and decision making regarding the attitude that must be taken when the failure occurs in real time and centrally.

Lastly, the studies that have been done previously are generally only for detecting failures on individual machines. Research towards the detection of cumulative machine failures needs to be done. This is caused by the placement of machines in bulk in a room. Therefore, the design of a failure detection system for multi-device cases will be an interesting topic in the future.

4.2. Threats to Validity

Bias in the publication or selection process, errors in data extraction, and underestimation can undermine any systematic mapping research process.

The tendency of researchers to publish more positive results than negative results is known as publication bias. Positive results are more likely to be approved for publication and referred to by others. From a reviewer’s point of view, it is difficult to overcome publication bias. However, an attempt to overcome this has been made by scanning various respected scientific databases to find as many relevant papers as possible. As a result, several articles with positive results were eliminated and several studies with unsatisfactory results were published. However, by limiting the search of articles according to this method, there is a risk of neglecting important articles, such as reports from industry authorities. However, limiting the use of publications from selected databases is expected to increase the chances of finding high-quality scientific publications.

Selection bias, on the other hand, is more influenced by reviewers as it involves a tendency to leave certain relevant articles out of the analysis due to faulty search techniques. In this study, an attempt to create a search strategy was carried out and the results showed that it was able to find every relevant document. When determining the inclusion and exclusion criteria, efforts were made to ensure that the articles selected were a fair representation of all publications relevant to the research undertaken. However, because this research focused solely on peer-reviewed papers, material published on company websites, discussion forums, and other similar places could not be obtained, as previously discussed.

Failure of reviewers to extract information and data accurately and effectively from selected papers may result in data extraction errors and miscalculations. To address this issue, a combination of bibtex and JabRef, a reference management program, was used to organize and manage all the publications that we obtained for this study. The researchgate.net site is used to generate publication data in bibtex format. In addition, Microsoft Excel is also used to record and organize the extracted data items, as well as perform statistical analysis on the data.

5. Conclusions

Failure detection techniques on industrial machines using acoustic methods are very beneficial for the development of failure detection systems. Acoustic methods have emerged as the main means of detecting failures because of their low cost and ease of implementation.
Given the plethora of techniques, enabling technologies, and applications, it is critical to thoroughly review and analyze existing solutions to determine the degree of novelty. This SLR is an attempt to conduct a thorough review of the most recent studies on industrial engine failure detection techniques using the acoustic method. A systematic and unbiased selection process was used in 53 studies that met specific criteria for inclusion and quality of candidate studies. The findings of this study show that in a broader spectrum of acoustic failure detection methods, the use of acoustic emission remains dominant in the research community. Wear, cracks, and seeded failures continue to be the primary research topics in the context of the types of failure detected. On the other hand, the use of machine learning methods, such as SVM, k-Nearest Neighbors, artificial neural networks, and others is still the dominant choice for researchers. However, there are still challenges, such as fragility and concomitant failure, to be faced in research in this area.

According to the findings of this systematic review, several potential future research directions were also identified, including a much-needed emphasis on failure detection through the use of devices such as cell phones to process information, leading to failure recognition.
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