Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/141127/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Clifford, R. E., Harji, D., Poynter, L., Jackson, R., Adams, R. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3915-7243, Fearnhead, N. S. and Vimalachandran, D. 2021. Rectal cancer management during the COVID-19 pandemic (ReCaP): multicentre prospective observational study. British Journal of Surgery 108 (11), pp. 1270-1273. 10.1093/bjs/znab129 file

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bjs/znab129
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bjs/znab129>

Please note:
Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher’s version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See
http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.
Rectal cancer management during the COVID-19 pandemic (ReCaP): multicentre prospective observational study

R. E. Clifford 1,2,*, D. Harji 2, L. Poynter 3, R. Jackson 4, R. Adams 5, N. S. Fearnhead 6 and D. Vimalachandran 2,7, on behalf of the ReCaP Steering Committee and Collaborators

1Institute of Cancer Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
2Population Health Science, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
3Imperial College London, London, UK
4Liverpool Clinical Trials Unit, Liverpool, UK
5University of Cardiff, Cardiff, UK
6Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK
7The Countess of Chester Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Chester, UK
*Correspondence to: Institute of Cancer Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GE, UK (e-mail: rachael.clifford@nhs.net)
Members of the ReCaP Steering Committee and Collaborators are co-authors of this study and are listed under the heading Collaborators.

Introduction
Over 8000 patients are diagnosed with rectal cancer in the UK each year 1. Treatment has improved over recent years as a result of incremental advances in optimized surgical technique, clinical staging, pathological quality control, and multidisciplinary management 2. Neoadjuvant therapy is often required in patients with locally advanced tumours, and is usually delivered according to a long-course strategy (long-course radiotherapy, LCRT). Hypofractionated short-course strategies (short-course radiotherapy, SCRT) may also be used, traditionally with immediate surgery, but recently have been combined with strategies such as delayed surgery 3,4 and or systemic chemotherapy 5. Such regimens offer potential benefits for patients in terms of reducing treatment time and access to systemic therapy, but may also be of use in areas with limited healthcare resources or geographical access to specialist services 3,6.

The COVID-19 pandemic has created a unique situation in the UK, with multidisciplinary teams balancing the risks of perioperative COVID infection against those of disease progression. Coupled with altered patient behaviour in accessing healthcare, constrained diagnostic and critical care facilities, there has been rapid change in the traditional multimodal treatment strategy for rectal cancer 7. The aim of the prospective ReCaP study was to follow patients with rectal cancer managed in the UK during the pandemic, and to determine short-term, long-term, and patient-reported outcomes. This article presents the short-term results for the first 500 patients recruited.

Methods
A multicentre, prospective observational study was performed across the UK from 23 March 2020 (national governmental lockdown) and is ongoing. The study was performed as a sub-study of the IMPACT portfolio 8 through the Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland. The primary objective was to determine the short-term clinical and pathological outcomes associated with each management strategy. Anonymized data were collected for short-term outcomes and so ethical approval was not required. Any hospital managing patients with rectal cancer through a formal multidisciplinary team (MDT) was eligible for inclusion; rectosigmoid tumours were excluded.

Results
The first 500 patients were recruited from 42 sites between 23 March 2020 and 28 September 2020. The demographics of the entire cohort are reported in Table S1.

A summary of the initial MDT outcomes for patients, divided into those diagnosed before the date of national lockdown on an established treatment pathway versus those diagnosed during and after the lockdown, is shown in Table 1. A change in MDT primary outcome owing to COVID-19 was declared for 22.3 per cent of those with a new diagnosis. The temporal change in treatment strategy before, during, and after lockdown is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Some 114 patients (22.8 per cent) received neoadjuvant chemotherapy alone or as part of a radiotherapy regimen. SCRT and delay increased significantly immediately after commencement of lockdown (from 15.4 to 45.2 per cent; P < 0.001), dropping back after its lifting to 19.1 per cent. Fourteen patients received concurrent systemic therapy, with only six (6 per cent) receiving consolidation chemotherapy after SCRT. Eight patients (8.2 per cent) required a stoma before completion of neoadjuvant therapy. At the time of analysis, only 41 patients (39.4 per cent) had undergone surgery after SCRT, with many procedures delayed because...
of COVID-19 restrictions. A total of 158 patients (31.6 per cent) received LCRT, and the rate significantly decreased after lockdown (from 56.3 to 14.0 per cent; P < 0.001). Thirty-three patients (20.9 per cent) required a stoma before completion of LCRT.

To date, 225 patients have undergone surgical resection, 51.6 per cent without any neoadjuvant therapy. Anterior resection (38.7 per cent) or abdominoperineal resection (25.3 per cent) were the most common operations performed. There was a significantly higher rate of admission to level 2 care after operation before compared with after lockdown (36.0 versus 10.8 per cent; P < 0.001). Some 50.3 per cent of operations were performed or attempted laparoscopically, with a 30-day re-operation rate of 5.8 per cent. Thirty-three patients (14.7 per cent) were deemed to have had a different operative approach. In 49 patients (21.8 per cent) a stoma was created owing to COVID-19 alone, and the overall stoma formation rate was 86.7 per cent. The 30-day mortality rate was 1.3 per cent, with only two patients declared as being COVID-19-positive within 30 days. A best supportive care strategy was adopted in 10.2 per cent of the cohort; the rate was significantly higher in patients diagnosed before lockdown (17.1 per cent versus 0.5 per cent).

A comparison of tumour characteristics, and clinical and pathological outcomes of patients who underwent SCRT or LCRT, or proceeded straight to surgery is summarized in Table 2.

### Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic presented unprecedented challenges in the treatment of rectal cancer. National lockdown, resource reallocation, and rapidly emerging data showing the unacceptably high morbidity of perioperative COVID-19 resulted in a sudden and dramatic change in multimodal management. Although many of these COVID-adapted strategies have understandably been undertaken out of necessity, their safety remains unclear. However, this dramatic change may offer the potential opportunity for a paradigm shift in the management of rectal cancer.

Following national lockdown, 22.3 per cent of patients underwent a change in initial MDT outcome. There was a rapid shift to hypofractionated radiotherapy regimens, with SCRT increasing from 15.4 per cent to 45.2 per cent during the first 8 weeks, and LCRT dropping from 56.3 per cent to 14.0 per cent. Compared with recently published national bowel cancer audit (NBOCA) data, which reports an overall SCRT rate of just 10 per cent, these rates represent a significant deviation from standard UK practice.

Short-term clinical and pathological outcomes of SCRT appear to be similar to those of LCRT; however, the omission of systemic chemotherapy in many of these patients (80.7 per cent) needs to be monitored carefully. Some 22.1 per cent of patients entered an active monitoring pathway, potentially reflecting the increased rate of T2 tumours in the LCRT cohort (25.1 versus 5.0 per cent). Although not all of this cohort underwent surgical resection, the reported pathological complete response (pCR) rate of 2.9 per cent is much lower than the pCR rate of 30 per cent in the recently reported radical surgery versus organ preservation via short-course radiotherapy followed by transanal endoscopic microsurgery for early-stage rectal cancer (TREC) study. Interestingly, the surgical complication rate was much lower (6.7 per cent) than that in the LCRT group (25.4 per cent) or among those who proceeded directly to surgery (24.1 per cent). The reasons for this are unclear, but this result is worthy of note given the potential logistical and geographical benefits SCRT may offer.

Despite concerns over perioperative COVID-19 infection, there was perhaps a surprising increase in the number of patients proceeding straight to surgery. Notably, despite reduced critical care availability, surgery was still associated with low mortality and re-operation rates, comparable to NBOCA data (5.8 versus 8.4 per cent for re-operation). This finding is likely to reflect the rapid establishment of green, elective ‘ring-fenced’ beds. Despite concerns over viral transmission and aerosolization during laparoscopic surgery, 50.3 per cent of procedures were still undertaken laparoscopically. Reassuringly, although 19.0 per cent of patients had a threatened circumferential resection margin on preoperative staging, the R1 resection rate was only 3.4 per cent, with 30.7 per cent nodal positivity.
The overall rate of best supportive care was 10.2 per cent across the cohort, but there was significant change between patients diagnosed after versus before lockdown (17.1 versus 0.5 per cent). The overall rate is lower than the non-operated rate of 39.7 per cent reported in the NBOCA, but includes patients with advanced disease. The reasons behind the dramatic change are unclear, but may represent MDT uncertainty regarding treatment safety and resource allocation, patient choice, or may relate to a more subtle acknowledgement of pessimism in the face of the pandemic regarding patients who would be considered on the borders of a curative versus palliative approach.

Limitations of this study include potential site participation, patient selection, and reporting bias. Some 78.6 per cent of the cohort had an ASA fitness grade of I–II, potentially highlighting an under-representation of more vulnerable patients. Cohorts receiving SCRT and delay and LCRT were non-randomized, with poor completion of MRI tumour regression grading by clinicians to enable accurate assessment of radiological response.

This report has demonstrated a rapid and reactive adaptation in the multimodal management of rectal cancer in the UK in response to COVID-19. A move to shorten treatment regimens appears to have been safe in the short term; however, close surveillance should be undertaken by early imaging and clinical review. Although patients may have experienced benefit in terms of organ preservation, and low stoma and complication rates, this has to be balanced against the significant rise in best supportive care and uncertain long-term oncological outcomes. The ReCaP study will continue to monitor this cohort and subsequently managed patients, and provide further long-term oncological and qualitative outcomes.
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