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Crystal Structure, Vibrational, Spectroscopic and Thermochemical Properties of Double Sulfate Crystalline Hydrate [CsEu(H_2O)_3(SO_4)_2]·H_2O and Its Thermal Dehydration Product CsEu(SO_4)_2

Yuriy G. Denisenko 1, Maxim S. Molokeev 2,3,4, Aleksandr S. Oreshonkov 5,6, Alexander S. Krylov 5,6, Aleksandr S. Aleksandrovsky 7,8, Nikita O. Azarapin 1, Oleg V. Andreev 1,9, Illaria A. Razumkova 1 and Victor V. Atuchin 10,11,*

Abstract: Crystalline hydrate of double cesium europium sulfate [CsEu(H_2O)_3(SO_4)_2]·H_2O was synthesized by the crystallization from an aqueous solution containing equimolar amounts of 1Cs^+:1Eu^{3+}:2SO_4^{2-} ions. Anhydrous salt CsEu(SO_4)_2 was formed as a result of the thermal dehydration of the crystallohydrate. The unusual effects observed during the thermal dehydration were attributed to the specific coordination of water molecules in the [CsEu(H_2O)_3(SO_4)_2]·H_2O structure. The crystal structure of [CsEu(H_2O)_3(SO_4)_2]·H_2O was determined by a single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, and the crystal structure of CsEu(SO_4)_2 was obtained by the Rietveld method. [CsEu(H_2O)_3(SO_4)_2]·H_2O crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group P2_1/c (a = 6.5574(1) Å, b = 19.0733(3) Å, c = 8.8364(2) Å, β = 93.931(1)°, V = 1102.58(3) Å³). The anhydrous sulfate CsEu(SO_4)_2 formed as a result of the thermal dehydration crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group C2/c (a = 14.327(1) Å, b = 5.3838(4) Å, c = 9.5104(6) Å, β = 101.979(3)°, V = 6201.37 Å³). The vibration properties of the compounds are fully consistent with the structural models and are mainly determined by the deformation of non-rigid structural elements, such as H_2O and SO_4^{2-}. As shown by the diffused reflection spectra measurements and DFT calculations, the structural transformation from [CsEu(H_2O)_3(SO_4)_2]·H_2O to CsEu(SO_4)_2 induced a significant band gap reduction. A noticeable difference of the luminescence spectra between cesium europium sulfate and cesium europium sulfate hydrate is detected and explained by the variation of the extent of local symmetry violation at the crystallographic sites occupied by Eu^{3+} ions, namely, by the increase in inversion asymmetry in [CsEu(H_2O)_3(SO_4)_2]·H_2O and the increase in mirror asymmetry in CsEu(SO_4)_2. The chemical shift of the ^3D_0 energy level in cesium europium sulfate hydrate, with respect to cesium europium sulfate, is associated with the presence of H_2O molecules in the vicinity of Eu^{3+} ion.
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1. Introduction

The unusual electron configuration of rare-earth elements (REE) results in the specific chemical and physical properties of their compounds widely applied in glass and ceramic industries [1–10], nuclear engineering [11–13], electronic and photonic systems [14–26]. The 4f electrons of rare-earth elements are completely shielded by the filled 5s and 5p shells. The screening effect leads to the fact that the binding field only slightly affects the electrons of the 4f shell and it leads to the appearance of narrow absorption bands in the electronic spectra [7,27–30]. Unpaired f electrons determine not only the valence characteristics of rare-earth elements and spectroscopic parameters of their compounds, but also magnetic properties. Accordingly, in many REE compounds, paramagnetic and ferromagnetic effects were observed [31–36].

Among the REE elements, europium compounds are of particular interest, since Eu3+ ions provide efficient photoluminescence in the red spectral range highly needed for creating white LEDs with similar to daylight emission characteristics [37–46]. In recent years, a large number of studies related to the synthesis and properties of crystal phosphors doped with Eu3+ ions have appeared. However, in such systems, the doping level is usually very low and, often, the distribution of Eu3+ ions in the corresponding crystallographic positions is not obvious. For this reason, in complex compounds, it is difficult to clearly determine the relation between the coordination and spectroscopic parameters of Eu3+ ions in the host lattice. In such a situation, the compounds with a stoichiometric content of europium ions have attracted the increasing attention of researchers [37,38,47–53]. Self-activated phosphors are characterized by an almost complete absence of structural defects, and the precise determination of the crystal structure makes it possible to evaluate the relations between the Eu3+ ion coordination in the lattice and spectroscopic characteristics of the compound. Simple europium stoichiometric compounds with tetrahedral MO4 units, where M = Mo, W and S, were thoroughly studied and their applicability as highly efficient polyfunctional materials was shown [54–67]. At the same time, the properties of complex compounds of monovalent cations and rare-earth elements with tetrahedral anions are presented quite sporadically in the literature [37,48–51,53,68–72]. The structures and some properties of several double molybdates and tungstates with general composition AEu(MO4)2 (A = Li, Na, K, Cs, Rb, Ag+; M = Mo, W) were investigated in the past and the examples of such contributions can be found elsewhere [72–76]. Contrary to that, the characterizations of the complex sulfate compounds of europium and monovalent cations are very scarce in the literature [53,68,69,71,77–81]. The present study is aimed at the observation of structural, thermal and spectroscopic characteristics of [CsEu(H2O)3(SO4)2]·H2O and CsEu(SO4)2. To the best of our knowledge, these sulfates have not been considered up to now. However, a structural similarity can be assumed between [CsEu(H2O)3(SO4)2]·H2O and earlier reported sulphate tetrahydrates ALn(SO4)2·4H2O (A = Rb, Cs, Tl, NH4) because of the similarity in the ionic radii of Cs+ and these A+ ions [69,80–86].

2. Methods and Materials

In the synthesis, the solutions of CsNO3, Eu(NO3)3 and H2SO4 were used as starting materials. For the solution preparation, twice distilled deionized water was used. The volumes of liquids were measured using glass pipettes and cylinders with the accuracy of 0.1 mL. Solid reagents were weighed on an analytical balance with the accuracy of 0.1 mg.

An europium nitrate solution was prepared using Eu2O3 (99.995%, TDM-96 Ltd., Russia). To remove carbonate and europium hydroxide impurities occasionally formed during their storage, the commodity oxide was calcined at 900 °C for 12 h, after which it was cooled to room temperature in a desiccator over silica gel. Subsequently, the calcined europium oxide, mass: 17.5963 g was transferred into a flask, and the calculated amount of distilled water was added to it. The mixture was stirred with a magnetic stirrer for 2 h to obtain a solution of Eu(NO3)3·6H2O. The desired amount of CsNO3 was then added to the solution, and the mixture was heated to 60 °C for 1 h. The solution was then cooled to room temperature, and the precipitate was washed with distilled water until the pH value is approximately 7. The precipitate was then dried at 110 °C for 24 h.

The obtained samples were then used for the characterization of their structural, thermal and spectroscopic properties. The X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed using a Rigaku SmartLab diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation. The Raman spectra were recorded using a Smart Raman spectrometer with an excitation wavelength of 532 nm. The thermal analysis was carried out using a Netzsch STA 409 PC apparatus under a nitrogen atmosphere. The photoluminescence spectra were measured using a Horiba Jobin Yvon Fluorolog-3 spectrophotometer.
concentrated nitric acid (C(HNO$_3$) = 14.6 mol/L, $\rho = 1.3956$ g/cm$^3$, ultrapure, Vekton Ltd., Nizhegorodsky, Russia) was added in small portions to the europium oxide. The mixture was carefully stirred until the oxide was completely dissolved according to equation:

$$\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3 + 6\text{HNO}_3 \rightarrow 2\text{Eu(NO}_3)_3 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

After the dissolution, the solution volume in the flask was adjusted to the mark with deionized water and mixed well for homogeneity. A sulfuric acid solution with the molar concentration of 2 mol/L was prepared by diluting concentrated sulfuric acid. To make this, 50 mL of water was poured into a 100.00 mL volumetric flask, then 11.17 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid (C(H$_2$SO$_4$) = 17.9 mol/L, $\rho = 1.8349$ g/cm$^3$, ultrapure, Vekton Ltd., Nizhegorodsky, Russia) was carefully poured in small portions, avoiding a strong heating of the solution. After this, the solution was naturally cooled to room temperature and the volume was adjusted to the mark with deionized water.

[CsEu(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]$\cdot$H$_2$O was obtained by a slow crystallization of the solution containing stoichiometric amounts of ions. For this, in a glass beaker, 10 mL of the CsNO$_3$ (C(Cs$^+$) = 1 mol/L) solution, 10 mL of the Eu(NO$_3$)$_3$ (C(Eu$^{3+}$) = 1 mol/L) solution and 10 mL of the H$_2$SO$_4$ (C(SO$_4^{2-}$) = 2 mol/L) solution were mixed. The mixed solution was inserted into a desiccator over silica gel at 25$^\circ$C. In 12 h, the crystals precipitated from the mother liquor fell out in the reaction mixture. They were extracted, washed with ice water, pressed between filter paper sheets and dried in an empty desiccator to a constant weight. Anhydrous sulfate CsEu(SO$_4$)$_2$ was obtained by calcining the hydrate [CsEu(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]$\cdot$H$_2$O in a muffle furnace at the temperature of 500$^\circ$C for 10 h in the air. The CsEu(SO$_4$)$_2$ double sulfate was synthesized only in the powder form.

The photo images of the obtained single crystals of [CsEu(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]$\cdot$H$_2$O, as observed with the use of optical microscope, are shown in Figure 1a. As it is seen, the crystals were transparent and they were well faceted, and that was a robust indicator of their high structural quality, as it was earlier observed for different materials [79,87–89]. The crystals were partly twinned due to the existence of several crystallization centers. The SEM pattern of CsEu(SO$_4$)$_2$ particles is given in Figure 1b. The product mainly contained loose aggregates. Such type of the particle micromorphology is commonly formed in powder compounds fabricated by the high-temperature decomposition process due to gas release effects [90,91].

The optical microscopy images of the crystals were fixed with an MS-2 microscope (State Optical Institute, Saint Petersburg, Russia) in reflected unpolarized light. The SEM patterns were exhibited using a JEOL JSM-6510LV scanning electron microscope. To avoid the surface charging effects, the powder samples were deposited on a conductive substrate (carbon tape) and covered with a nanometer gold layer (99.9%).

The single crystal X-ray diffraction data from [CsEu(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]$\cdot$H$_2$O were recorded by a SMART APEXII diffractometer (Mo K$_\alpha$, $\lambda = 0.7106$ Å) at T = 102(2) K. The orientation matrices and cell parameters were calculated and refined by 45,124 reflections. The main information about the crystal data, data collection and refinement are reported in Table 1. The program APEXII (Bruker) was used to integrate the reflex intensities. Space group $P2_1/c$ was obtained by the analysis of extinction rules and intensity statistics obtained from all reflections. The multiscan absorption correction of reflection intensities was performed by the APEXII software (Bruker, 2003–2008, Karlsruhe, Germany). Then, the intensities of equivalent reflections were averaged. The structure was solved by the direct methods using package SHELXS and refined in the anisotropic approach for non-hydrogen atoms using the SHELXL program [92]. All hydrogen atoms of H$_2$O molecules were found via Fourier difference maps and, further, they were refined in a constrained mode. The structure test for the presence of other missing elements of symmetry and possible voids was produced using the PLATON program [93]. The DIAMOND program was used for the crystal structure plotting [94].
Figure 1. (a) Photo images of selected \([\text{CsEu(H}_2\text{O)}_3\text{(SO}_4\text{)}_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) crystals and (b) an SEM pattern of the \(\text{CsEu(SO}_4\text{)}_2\) powder.
Table 1. Main parameters of processing and Rietveld refinement of the [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O and CsEu(SO₄)₂ powder samples.

| Compound | [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O | CsEu(SO₄)₂ |
|----------|-------------------------|------------|
| Space group | P2₁/c | C2/c |
| T, K | 300 K |  |
| a, Å | 6.5574(1) | 14.327 (1) |
| b, Å | 19.0733(3) | 5.3838 (4) |
| c, Å | 8.8364(2) | 9.5104 (6) |
| β, ° | 93.931(1) | 101.979 (3) |
| V, Å³ | 1102.58(3) | 717.58 (9) |
| Z | 4 | 4 |
| Rₑₓₓ | 5.50 | 6.58 |
| Rₑ | 4.32 | 5.04 |
| Rₑₓₓ | 2.93 | 3.00 |
| χ² | 1.87 | 2.19 |
| Rₑₜ | 6.01 | 2.46 |

The powder diffraction data of [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O and CsEu(SO₄)₂ for Rietveld analysis were collected at room temperature with a Bruker D8 ADVANCE powder diffractometer (Cu-Kα radiation) and linear VANTEC detector. The step size of 2θ was 0.02°, and the counting time was 5 s per step. The Rietveld refinement was performed by using TOPAS 4.2 [95]. The structural parameters of [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O determined by the single crystal analysis were used as a basis in powder pattern Rietveld refinement. For the CsEu(SO₄)₂ sample, all peaks were indexed by monoclinic cell (C2/c) with the parameters close to those of RbEu(SO₄)₂ [96]. Therefore, the crystal structure of RbEu(SO₄)₂ was taken as a starting model for Rietveld refinement, and, in the structure, the Rb ion was replaced by the Cs ion. The refinement was stable and gave low R-factors. The crystallographic data were deposited in Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CSD# 2102324-2102325). The data can be downloaded from the site (www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif) (accessed on 10 August 2021).

The Fourier-transformed infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) measurements were carried out with the use of a Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer FSM 1201 (Infraspek Ltd., Saint Petersburg, Russia). The sample for the investigation was prepared as a tablet with the addition of annealed KBr. The Raman spectra were recorded using an i-Raman Plus spectrometer (B&W Tek, Lubeck, Germany) at a laser excitation wavelength of 785 nm. The diffuse reflectance spectra were measured on a UV-2600 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped by the ISR-2600Plus attachment with an integrating sphere. The optical bandgap was estimated on the base of the measurements of diffuse reflectance spectra.

The calculation of electronic bandgap structures of [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O and CsEu(SO₄)₂ was performed by using the DFT (density functional theory) method as implemented in the CASTEP code [97]. On-the-fly generated norm-conserving potentials were used and 5s5p6s, 4f5s5p6s, 3s3p, 2s2p and 1s electrons were treated as the valence ones for Cs, Eu, S, O and H, respectively. The self-consistent field tolerance was set to 2.0 × 10⁻⁷ eV/atom. The energy cutoff was chosen as 1143 eV for both compounds and superimposed by the 3 × 1 × 2 k-point grid, in the case of [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O, and by the 4 × 4 × 2 k-point grid in the case of CsEu(SO₄)₂. The local density approximation based on the Perdew and Zunger [98] parameterization of the numerical results of Ceperley and Alder [99] was used. The Hubbard U energy term Uᵢ = 6 eV for the Eu 4f orbital was applied.
The thermal analysis was carried out in the argon flow with the use of a Simultaneous Thermal Analysis (STA) equipment 499 F5 Jupiter NETZSCH (NETZSCH Holding, Selb, Germany). The powder samples were inserted into alumina crucibles. The heating rate was 3 K/min. For the enthalpy determination, the equipment was initially calibrated with the use of standard metal substances, such as In, Sn, Bi, Zn, Al, Ag, Au, Ni. The heat effect peaks were determined with the package Proteus 6.2012.

The luminescence spectra under room temperature were registered on a HORIBA Jobin Yvon T64000 triple spectrometer with the spectral resolution 2.1 cm$^{-1}$ using the excitation from the GaN laser at 410 nm and the power of 5 mW on the sample. The microscope based on Olympus BX-41 with the Olympus LMPlanFl 50 × objective lens $f = 10.2$ mm with numerical aperture N.A. = 0.5 was used. The unfocused laser radiation illuminated the small sample powder quantity tangentially. The angle between incident laser light and the registered luminescence was about 60 degrees.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Crystal, Vibrational and Electronic Structure

According to the single crystal and powder diffraction analysis (Figure 2a, Tables 1 and S1–S5), [CsEu(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O crystallized in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$. The grown crystals did not contain any foreign crystalline impurity. The asymmetric part of the unit cell contained one Cs$^+$ ion, one Eu$^{3+}$ ion, two S$^{2-}$ ions, eight O$^{2-}$ ions and four H$_2$O molecules. The Cs$^+$ ion in [CsEu(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O was coordinated by 13 O$^{2-}$ ions forming a complex polyhedron. The Cs$^+$ ion was coordinated by four Eu$^{3+}$ ions, six SO$_4$ tetrahedra and two H$_2$O molecules. Each Eu$^{3+}$ ion was coordinated by six O$^{2-}$ ions and three H$_2$O molecules forming a EuO$_6$(H$_2$O)$_3$ three-capped trigonal prism (Figure 3a). The EuO$_6$(H$_2$O)$_3$ polyhedron was joined with two SO$_4^{2-}$ tetrahedra by nodes and edges, respectively, forming, in total, a 2D net. The tridentate bridge–chelate µ2 coordination of the anion towards Eu atoms was observed. One H$_2$O molecule was not coordinated to any metal and it should be considered as an isolated one. It was interesting to consider the stability of this type of structure in reference to the metal ion substitution. The collection of the known compounds [A(Ln,Ac)(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O is presented in Table S6 (see Supplementary Materials) and the dependence of unit cell volume $V_A$ on the ion radius IR of the Ln or Ac element is shown in Figure 4 [69,80–86]. It was evident that only such big-sized cations as A = NH$_4$, Tl, Rb and Cs provided a stable monoclinic structure. In this crystal family, the upper limit of $V_A = 1142.11$ Å$^3$ was reached in [CsLa(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O, but the lower limit was unclear. At least, it was below or equal to $V_A = 1040.5$ Å$^3$ obtained in [RbEr(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O. Up to now, the dominant part of the [A(Ln,Ac)(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O crystals was synthesized for lanthanide elements and only three compounds were reported on for actinide elements. However, the monoclinic crystals [AAC(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O were reported on for all A cations, except for Cs, and it indicated that the search for new compounds among actinides is a promising field for future research activities. As seen in Figure 4, the $V_A$(IR) dependences could be well approximated by the linear functions specific for each A element: $V_{NH_3} = 506.67$ IR + 433.28, $V_{Tl} = 677.64$ IR + 222.52, $V_{Rb} = 438.36$ IR + 515.74 and $V_{Cs} = 420.25$ IR + 570.12. These functions could be used for the prediction of unit cell volumes of other presently unknown crystals [ALn(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O. For example, in [ALn(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O, all possible $V_{Cs}$ values should be in the range of $V_{Cs} = 1062.69–1142.11$ Å$^3$. 

The thermal analysis was carried out in the argon flow with the use of a Simultaneous Thermal Analysis (STA) equipment 499 F5 Jupiter NETZSCH (NETZSCH Holding, Selb, Germany). The powder samples were inserted into alumina crucibles. The heating rate was 3 K/min. For the enthalpy determination, the equipment was initially calibrated with the use of standard metal substances, such as In, Sn, Bi, Zn, Al, Ag, Au, Ni. The heat effect peaks were determined with the package Proteus 6.2012. 

The luminescence spectra under room temperature were registered on a HORIBA Jobin Yvon T64000 triple spectrometer with the spectral resolution 2.1 cm$^{-1}$ using the excitation from the GaN laser at 410 nm and the power of 5 mW on the sample. The microscope based on Olympus BX-41 with the Olympus LMPlanFl 50 × objective lens $f = 10.2$ mm with numerical aperture N.A. = 0.5 was used. The unfocused laser radiation illuminated the small sample powder quantity tangentially. The angle between incident laser light and the registered luminescence was about 60 degrees.
specific for each A element: \( V_{\text{NH}_3} = 506.67 \text{ IR} + 433.28 \), \( V_{\text{Tl}} = 677.64 \cdot \text{IR} + 222.52 \), \( V_{\text{Rb}} = 438.36 \cdot \text{IR} + 515.74 \) and \( V_{\text{Cs}} = 420.25 \text{ IR} + 570.12 \). These functions could be used for the prediction of unit cell volumes of other presently unknown crystals \([\text{ALn(H}_2\text{O)}_3(\text{SO}_4)_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\). For example, in \([\text{ALn(H}_2\text{O)}_3(\text{SO}_4)_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\), all possible \( V_{\text{Cs}} \) values should be in the range of \( V_{\text{Cs}} = 1062.69 - 1142.11 \text{ Å}^3 \).

**Figure 2.** Difference Rietveld plots of (a) \([\text{CsEu(H}_2\text{O)}_3(\text{SO}_4)_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and (b) \(\text{CsEu(SO}_4)_2\).
Figure 3. Crystal structures of (a) $[\text{CsEu(H}_2\text{O)}_3\text{(SO}_4\text{)}_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and (b) $\text{CsEu(SO}_4\text{)}_2$. The unit cells are outlined.
According to the results of the powder diffraction analysis (Figure 1b, Tables 1, S7 and S8), CsEu(SO₄)₂ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c. As it is seen in Figure 3b, the structure was of layered type. There were a half of Eu, a half of Cs ions and one SO₄ group in the asymmetric part of the unit cell. The Cs⁺ ion in CsEu(SO₄)₂ was coordinated by 14 O⁻ ions forming a hexagonal dipyramid. In the CsEu(SO₄)₂ structure, the Cs⁺ ion was coordinated by six Eu ions and eight SO₄ tetrahedra. Each Eu³⁺ ion was coordinated by six sulfate groups SO₄²⁻ via oxygen atoms. Two sulfate groups were chelately coordinated, while the rest were monodentate, resulting in the formation of a two-capped trigonal prism, and the coordination number of europium was equal to eight (Figure 3b). The tetradeinate bridge–chelate μ3 coordination mode of the anion towards Eu³⁺ was observed for CsEu(SO₄)₂. The structure of CsEu(SO₄)₂ was isostructural to that of RbEu(SO₄)₂ [96].

The vibrational spectra of [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O and CsEu(SO₄)₂ are shown in Figure 5a,b, respectively. The normal vibrational modes of free (SO₄)²⁻ ions had the wavenumbers of 450, 611, 983 and 1105 cm⁻¹ for ν₂, ν₄, ν₁ and ν₃ vibrations, respectively [100]. The correlation for the internal vibrational modes of free sulfate ion and its site symmetry in the lattice and crystal symmetry for the investigated compounds are given in Table 2. Both sulfates had the same factor group symmetry and (SO₄)²⁻ units occupied the identical symmetry sites. According to the structure refinement results, CsEu(SO₄)₂ was characterized by only one crystallographically independent SO₄ tetrahedron, while [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O had two independent SO₄ units in its structure. Thus, the number of bands in the Raman and Infrared spectra in the regions of (SO₄)²⁻ vibrations should have been twice as big in [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O than in CsEu(SO₄)₂. This relation is clearly seen in Figure 6, where one strong band was found in the region of ν₁ symmetric stretching vibrations (970-1100 cm⁻¹) of SO₄ tetrahedra in the case of CsEu(SO₄)₂ and two bands in the case of [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O. The ν₃ vibrations were observed in the range of 1020–1250 cm⁻¹. The ν₄ vibrational modes (antisymmetric bending) were located between 575 and 690 cm⁻¹. The ν₂ symmetric bending vibrations were found in the range of 400–520 cm⁻¹. The strong multicomponent band of H₂O vibrations was observed in the Infrared spectrum of [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O, as seen in Figure 5a. The spectral bands
from 1550 to 1750 cm\(^{-1}\) were related to the H–O–H bending vibrations, while a wide band over 3000–3700 cm\(^{-1}\) appeared due to the symmetric O–H stretching. The total set of the Raman and Infrared modes observed in the experiment and their wavenumbers are presented in Table S9.

Figure 5. Infrared and Raman spectra of (a) [CsEu(H\(_2\)O)\(_3\)(SO\(_4\))\(_2\)]·H\(_2\)O and (b) CsEu(SO\(_4\))\(_2\).
Table 2. Correlation between molecular symmetry, site symmetry and factor group symmetry for \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) vibrations in \([\text{CsEu(H}_2\text{O)}_3(\text{SO}_4)_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{CsEu(SO}_4)_2\).

| Molecular Symmetry | Site Symmetry | Group Symmetry |
|--------------------|---------------|----------------|
| \(T_d\) \(A_1\) \((\nu_1)\) | \(C_1\) \(A\) | \(A_g + A_u + B_g + B_u\) |
| \(E\) \((\nu_2)\) | \(2A\) | \(2(A_g + A_u + B_g + B_u)\) |
| \(F_2\) \((\nu_3)\) | \(3A\) | \(3(A_g + A_u + B_g + B_u)\) |
| \(F_2\) \((\nu_4)\) | \(3A\) | \(3(A_g + A_u + B_g + B_u)\) |

Figure 5. Infrared and Raman spectra of (a) \([\text{CsEu(H}_2\text{O)}_3(\text{SO}_4)_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and (b) \(\text{CsEu(SO}_4)_2\).

Figure 6. Comparison of the Raman spectra of \([\text{CsEu(H}_2\text{O)}_3(\text{SO}_4)_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (purple curve) and \(\text{CsEu(SO}_4)_2\) (black curve) in the range of sulfate tetrahedra stretching.

The band gap energies of \([\text{CsEu(H}_2\text{O)}_3(\text{SO}_4)_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{CsEu(SO}_4)_2\) were determined from the UV reflectance spectra with the use of the Kubelka–Munk function: \(F(R) = K/S = (1 - R)/2R\), where \(K\) is the absorption coefficient, \(S\) is the scattering coefficient and \(R\) is the material reflectance. The Tauc plots [101], where the Kubelka–Munk function \((F(R)hv)^n\) was dependent on photon energy \(hv\), are shown in Figure 7. The nature of electronic transition was determined by the exponent factor \(n = 2\) or \(1/2\) for direct or indirect electronic transitions, respectively. As it is seen in Figure 7a,b, the linear function extrapolation to the abscissa axis was successfully reached in the case of \(n = 2\), and the direct band gap values were determined as those equal to 4.51 and 4.34 eV for \([\text{CsEu(H}_2\text{O)}_3(\text{SO}_4)_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{CsEu(SO}_4)_2\), respectively.
The paths along the Brillouin zone for the investigated compounds (Figure 8), chosen as a set of specific line segments connecting distinctive BZ points, should be written as: Γ–Z–D–B–Γ–A–E–Z–C2–Y2–Γ for [CsEu(H2O)3(SO4)2]·H2O and Γ–C1–C2–Y2–Γ–M2–D1–D2–A–Γ–L2–Γ–V2 for CsEu(SO4)2 [102]. The coordinates of these points were: Γ(0, 0, 0), Z(0, 0.5, 0), D(0, 0.5, 0.5), B(0, 0, 0.5), A(−0.5, 0, 0.5), E(−0.5, 0.5, 0.5), C2(−0.5, 0.5, 0), Y2(−0.5, 0, 0) for [CsEu(H2O)3(SO4)2]·H2O (Figure 8a) and Γ(0,0,0), C(−0.287, 0.287, 0), C2(−0.713, −0.287, 0), Y2(−0.5, −0.5, 0), M2(−0.5, −0.5, 0.5), D(−0.725, −0.275, 0.5), D2(−0.275, 0.275, 0.5), A(0, 0, 0.5), L2(−0.5, 0, 0.5), V2(−0.5, 0, 0) for CsEu(SO4)2 (Figure 8b).
The calculated electronic band structures of \([\text{CsEu(H}_2\text{O)}_3\text{(SO}_4\text{)}_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{CsEu(SO}_4\text{)}_2\) are shown in Figure 9. The bandgap calculated value was determined as the difference between the valence band top (VBT) and the conduction band bottom (CBB). As europium is a lanthanide, the band structure was presented as spin up and spin down components. The VBT and CBB points of \([\text{CsEu(H}_2\text{O)}_3\text{(SO}_4\text{)}_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{CsEu(SO}_4\text{)}_2\) were located in the center of the Brillouin zone (see Figure 9) and, thus, we can say that both compounds were direct band gap materials. The calculated bandgap value for \([\text{CsEu(H}_2\text{O)}_3\text{(SO}_4\text{)}_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\) was 5.09 eV, while, for \(\text{CsEu(SO}_4\text{)}_2\), \(E_g = 3.30\) eV. Thus, the transformation from the hydrate to the anhydrous compound reduced the bandgap value in the pair of sulfates.
Figure 9. The electronic band structures of (A) [CsEu(H\(_2\)O)\(_3\)(SO\(_4\))\(_2\)]·H\(_2\)O and (B) CsEu(SO\(_4\))\(_2\).

The partial density of states (PDOS) for [CsEu(H\(_2\)O)\(_3\)(SO\(_4\))\(_2\)]·H\(_2\)O and CsEu(SO\(_4\))\(_2\) are shown in Figure 10 and the contribution of each type of atoms can be considered. It can be stated that the valence band top in both compounds was governed by the p electrons of oxygen, while the conduction band bottom was formed by the d electrons of Eu\(^{3+}\) ions. The small peak related to the f-electron state of Eu\(^{3+}\) ions appeared near the Fermi level in both cases.

3.2. Thermochemical Properties

Since anhydrous sulfate CsEu(SO\(_4\))\(_2\) was formed as a result of the [CsEu(H\(_2\)O)\(_3\)(SO\(_4\))\(_2\)]·H\(_2\)O dehydration, a full-scale study of thermochemical properties can be performed based only on the thermal analysis data shown for [CsEu(H\(_2\)O)\(_3\)(SO\(_4\))\(_2\)]·H\(_2\)O in a wide temperature range (Figure 11, Table 3). The [CsEu(H\(_2\)O)\(_3\)(SO\(_4\))\(_2\)]·H\(_2\)O dehydration proceeded in three stages and led to the formation of anhydrous sulfate CsEu(SO\(_4\))\(_2\). In the first stage, three water molecules were pinched off (effect A). The remaining water molecule was firmly bound in the structure and the dehydration process occurred in two stages, which corresponded to the formations of a hemihydrate (effect B) and anhydrous salt (effect C), respectively. Anhydrous sulfate CsEu(SO\(_4\))\(_2\) was stable up to 800 °C, and, at higher temperatures, a two-stage decomposition was observed. At the first stage (effect D), the decomposition into simple sulfates and decomposition of europium (III) sulfate occurred with the formation of europium oxysulfate Eu\(_2\)O\(_2\)SO\(_4\). At the second stage (effect E), the europium oxysulfate decomposition took place. Thus, the final thermal destruction product at ~1200 °C was a mixture of cesium sulfate and europium oxide. This destruction mechanism resembled that of AgEu(SO\(_4\))\(_2\) [53], but, in the case of AgEu(SO\(_4\))\(_2\), the decomposition effects of the complex sulfate and those of europium sulfate were differentiated.
3.2. Thermochemical Properties

Since anhydrous sulfate CsEu(SO₄)₂ was formed as a result of the [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O dehydration, a full-scale study of thermochemical properties can be performed based only on the thermal analysis data shown for [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O in a wide temperature range (Figure 11, Table 3). The [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O dehydration proceeded in three stages and led to the formation of anhydrous sulfate CsEu(SO₄)₂. In the first stage, three water molecules were pinched off (effect A). The remaining water...
molecule was firmly bound in the structure and the dehydration process occurred in two stages, which corresponded to the formations of a hemihydrate (effect B) and anhydrous salt (effect C), respectively. Anhydrous sulfate CsEu(SO₄)₂ was stable up to 800 °C, and, at higher temperatures, a two-stage decomposition was observed. At the first stage (effect D), the decomposition into simple sulfates and decomposition of europium (III) sulfate occurred with the formation of europium oxysulfate Eu₂O₂SO₄. At the second stage (effect E), the europium oxysulfate decomposition took place. Thus, the final thermal destruction product at ~1200 °C was a mixture of cesium sulfate and europium oxide. This destruction mechanism resembled that of AgEu(SO₄)₂ [53], but, in the case of AgEu(SO₄)₂, the decomposition effects of the complex sulfate and those of europium sulfate were differentiated.

![Figure 11. DSC/TG curves recorded for [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O.](image_url)

| Table 3. Thermal effects in [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Effect | Reaction | References | Loss of Mass, % |
|--------|----------|------------|-----------------|
| A      | [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O → CsEu(SO₄)₂·H₂O + 3H₂O↑ | This work | Theoretical: 9.85  Experimental: 9.57 |
| B      | CsEu(SO₄)₂·H₂O → CsEu(SO₄)₂·1/2H₂O + 1/2H₂O↑ | | Theoretical: 11.48  Experimental: 11.02 |
| C      | CsEu(SO₄)₂·1/2H₂O → CsEu(SO₄)₂ + 1/2H₂O↑ | | Theoretical: 13.13  Experimental: 12.92 |
| D      | CsEu(SO₄)₂ → Cs₂SO₄ + Eu₂O₃SO₄ + 2SO₂ + O₂ | | Theoretical: 27.71  Experimental: 27.31 |
| E      | Eu₂O₃SO₄ → Eu₂O₃ + SO₂ + 1/2O₂ | [48,67] | Theoretical: 35.00  Experimental: 34.99 |

The most interesting feature of the [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O dehydration process was the unusual water molecules evaporation order, which seemed to be impossible on the base of the crystal structure, where three water molecules were coordinated to the europium atom, and one water molecule was in the void of the crystal structure. Commonly, in solids under heating, water molecules in voids are lost first and, then, coordinated water molecules are evaporated. However, in [CsEu(H₂O)₃(SO₄)₂]·H₂O, the order was opposite. To explain this phenomenon, it is necessary to consider in detail the coordination of water molecules in the structure and the system of hydrogen bonds shown in Figure 12. It was obvious that the detachment of an uncoordinated water molecule would cause the destabilization of the molecules bound to the O10 and O15 atoms, and it determined the pinching off of these three molecules in one stage. At the same time, the water molecule bound to the O9 atom was very tightly coordinated by the europium polyhedron and two sulfate tetrahedra, and this fact determined its increased stability. It could be intriguing...
to compare the thermal dehydration processes in [CsEu(H2O)3(SO4)2]·H2O and other isostructural compounds listed in Table S6. However, to our best knowledge, the results of the thermochemical analysis are available only for [Tl(Ln,Ac)(H2O)3(SO4)2]·H2O [69]. In [Tl(Ln,Ac)(H2O)3(SO4)2]·H2O, three water molecules were evaporated first, and, at the second stage, the fourth water molecule was lost. Thus, the dehydration routes in [Tl(Ln,Ac)(H2O)3(SO4)2]·H2O and [CsEu(H2O)3(SO4)2]·H2O were different. Unfortunately, a detailed analysis of the different behavior of these crystals was impossible because only the cell parameters were reported for [Tl(Ln,Ac)(H2O)3(SO4)2]·H2O [69], and their crystal structures remain unknown.

3.3. Luminescence Properties

The exciting radiation at 410 nm used for luminescent measurements fell into the resonance with the transition from the ground state \( ^7F_0 \) to the \( ^5D_3 \) state of the Eu\(^{3+} \) ion. The luminescence from \( ^5D_3, ^5D_2 \) and \( ^5D_1 \) states was negligible, as compared to that from the \( ^5D_0 \) state. The spectra of luminescence from the \( ^5D_0 \) state are presented in Figure 13 for both cesium europium sulfate and cesium europium sulfate hydrate. Both crystals belong to the monoclinic symmetry class but to different space groups (\( \text{C}2/c \) and \( \text{P}2_1/c \), correspondingly), and the luminescent spectra of the Eu\(^{3+} \) ion drastically differed. The local symmetry of the Eu\(^{3+} \) ion in cesium europium sulfate was \( \text{C}2 \), while in cesium europium sulfate hydrate it was \( \text{C}1 \). This difference seemed to be of minor importance; however, from the spectra, additional features of the local environment could be deduced. The amplitudes of luminescent bands at the magnetic dipole \( ^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_1 \) transition and at the crystal-field-induced \( ^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_2 \) transition were almost equal, and that indicated a relatively
low deviation from the inversion symmetry at the Eu\(^{3+}\) ion site in cesium europium sulfate (Figure 14a). Alternatively, the crystal-field-induced \(^5\)D\(_0\)\(\rightarrow\)^7F\(_2\) transition confidently dominated in cesium europium sulfate hydrate, indicating a much larger violation of the inversion symmetry at the Eu site in this hydrate crystal (Figure 14b). Using the Judd–Ofelt analysis (see, e.g., paper by Kolesnikov et al. [103]), the radiative lifetime of Eu ion in cesium europium sulfate hydrate was 2.27 times smaller than in cesium europium sulfate due to a larger violation of inversion symmetry specified above. At the same time, the ultranarrow line amplitude of at the \(^5\)D\(_0\)\(\rightarrow\)^7F\(_0\) transition in cesium europium sulfate was of the same order of magnitude as the amplitude of magnetic dipole transition that evidenced a relatively stronger extent of the mirror symmetry violation at the Eu site in cesium europium sulfate, with respect to that in cesium europium sulfate hydrate.

Figure 13. Emission spectra of [CsEu(H\(_2\)O)\(_3\)(SO\(_4\))\(_2\)]H\(_2\)O (blue) and CsEu(SO\(_4\))\(_2\) (red).
Figure 14. Local environment of the Eu$^{3+}$ ion in (a) CsEu(SO$_4$)$_2$ and (b) [CsEu(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O.

The extent of the chemical shift of the ultranarrow Eu line induced by the presence of H$_2$O molecules in the vicinity of the Eu site in cesium europium sulfate hydrate, with respect to cesium europium sulfate, is illustrated in more detail in Figure 15. The ultranarrow line position in cesium europium sulfate was at 578.8 nm, while in cesium europium sulfate hydrate it shifted to 579.3 nm.
4. Conclusions

Thus, two new double sulfates [CsEu(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O and CsEu(SO$_4$)$_2$ were obtained and systematically investigated. The method of simple crystallization of their aqueous solution made it possible to obtain high quality single crystals of [CsEu(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O. The thermal dehydration provided the powder of anhydrous double sulfate CsEu(SO$_4$)$_2$ with a high stoichiometry, which is unattainable in a solid-phase reaction between simple sulfates. Both sulfates crystallized in a monoclinic system, but in different space groups, and it led to a significant difference in their vibrational, optical and luminescent properties. The band gap decreased on the transition from [CsEu(H$_2$O)$_3$(SO$_4$)$_2$]·H$_2$O to CsEu(SO$_4$)$_2$.

The thermochemical behavior of crystalline hydrate, which seemed illogical at first sight, was well explained by a detailed examination of the coordination of water molecules in the structure. A decisive aspect was found by the consideration of a system of hydrogen bonds, leading to an increased stability of one water molecule in the structure. The noticeable difference of the luminescence spectra between cesium europium sulfate and cesium europium sulfate hydrate was found and explained by the variation of the extent of local symmetry violation at the crystallographic sites occupied by Eu$^{3+}$ ions, namely, the inversion symmetry and mirror symmetry. The chemical shift of the $^5$D$_0$ energy level in cesium europium sulfate hydrate, with respect to cesium europium sulfate, was associated with the presence of H$_2$O molecules in the vicinity of the Eu$^{3+}$ ion.
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