Identification of the powdery mildew resistance gene in wheat breeding line Yannong 99102-06188 via bulked segregant exome capture sequencing
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Powdery mildew of wheat (Triticum aestivum), caused by Blumeria graminis f.sp. tritici (Bgt), is a destructive disease that seriously threatens the yield and quality of its host. Identifying resistance genes is the most attractive and effective strategy for developing disease-resistant cultivars and controlling this disease. In this study, a wheat breeding line Yannong 99102-06188 (YN99102), an elite derivative line from the same breeding process as the famous wheat cultivar Yannong 999, showed high resistance to powdery mildew at the whole growth stages. Genetic analysis was carried out using Bgt isolate E09 and a population of YN99102 crossed with a susceptible parent Jinhe–YTU/one.tnum/three.tnum–/nine.tnum/seven.tnum to different genetic backgrounds using marker-assisted selection (MAS). A closely linked markers were tested for their availability in different genetic backgrounds for MAS, and all markers expect for YTU103–97 can be used in MAS for tracking pmYN99102 when it transferred into those susceptible cultivars.
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Introduction

Common wheat (Triticum aestivum) is one of the most important crops to food security for it provides ~20% of the calories consumed by humans (Isham et al., 2021). With an estimated global population of more than nine billion by 2050, wheat production is needed an ~70% growth to meet the food demands (International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC), 2014). However, powdery mildew, a global devastating wheat disease caused by Blumeria graminis f. sp. tritici (Bgt), can significantly reduce wheat yield and affect flour quality (Li et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021). It typically decreases wheat yield by 10–15% and up to 62% in severe cases (Singh et al., 2016).

To control this disease, utilization of host resistance is regarded as the most effective, and environmentally friendly way (Chen, 2013; Ma et al., 2015). Nevertheless, it cannot be ignored that Bgt isolates have complex and highly variable virulence structures, so their frequent evolution will lead to the continuous breakdown of resistance genes, particularly in the areas where race-specific resistance genes were widely used. A well-known example was the “boom-bust” of Pm8, which led to severe epidemics after extended periods of use in the main wheat production regions of China (He et al., 2011, 2015; An et al., 2019). On the other hand, despite more than 80 formally designated Pm genes (Pm1-Pm68, noting that Pm8=Pm17, Pm18=Pm1c, Pm22=Pm1c, Pm23=Pm4c, and Pm31=Pm21) having been reported (Li H. H. et al., 2020; McIntosh et al., 2020; He et al., 2021), most of them cannot be directly applied in wheat production due to undesirable linkage drag, such as a broad-spectrum gene Pm16 which caused up to 15% yield loss when introduced into wheat backgrounds (Summers and Brown, 2013; Tan et al., 2018). In the modern wheat breeding programs of China, only a few Pm genes including Pm2, Pm4, Pm5, Pm8, and Pm21 have been extensively applied in wheat improvement (Jia et al., 2020; Jin et al., 2021), making them face huge selective pressure. Therefore, unceasingly exploring and utilizing the novel Pm genes/alleles that could balance the broad resistance and comprehensive agronomic performance is an ongoing and essential process.

Once the effective gene was identified, its accurate and rapid transfer or pyramiding is the key point in breeding practice. In comparison to conventional breeding based on phenotypic selection, marker-assisted selection (MAS) is more effective because it combines both genotypic and phenotypic identification. Using the tightly linked or diagnostic markers, the targeted genes could be selected or excluded in fewer generations and thus promote the breeding process (Jiang et al., 2016). Therefore, the isolation of target genes/loci and the development of their tightly linked markers are the two key factors for MAS. Recent advances in the whole-genome sequencing of wheat and corresponding high-throughput sequencing techniques have significantly accelerated the identification and isolation of the resistance genes (Zhu et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2021). A newly-developed strategy bulked segregant exome capture sequencing (BSE-Seq), which combines bulked segregant analysis (BSA) and the exome sequence strategy, has great potential to accelerate gene mapping, particularly in polyploid species with large and complex genome properties such as common wheat. BSE-Seq could effectively identify the linked interval which is not limited to the multiple gene copies, high similarity among the homoeologs, and various types of mapping segregant populations. More importantly, most of the variations obtained from BSE-Seq are existed in the coding regions, making it an economical but effective method for constructing linkage maps and also analyzing the differentially expressed genes associated with the targeted traits (Dong et al., 2020).

Wheat genotypes carrying high-resistance genes but with poor agronomic performance will be greatly limited in breeding because of multigeneration of backcrossing needed, which is not preferred by breeders (Summers and Brown, 2013; Yu et al., 2022). Genes identified in wheat cultivars/breeding lines can be more easily applied in breeding practice compared with those originated from wheat relatives or landraces (Xu et al., 2015). In this case, wheat breeding lines are of high breeding priority as the donor to improve powdery mildew resistance. Yannong 99102-06188 (YN99102), is an elite wheat breeding line developed by the Yantai Academy of Agricultural Sciences (Yantai, China). It exhibited both high resistance to powdery mildew and elite agronomic traits for consecutive years of observation in the field. To better clarify and use the powdery mildew resistance in YN99102, the objectives of this study were to (i) assess the powdery mildew resistance of YN99102 and determine its inheritance; (ii) rapidly map the Pm gene(s) using BSE-Seq; (iii) evaluate and develop the tightly linked markers suitable for MAS.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

Wheat breeding line YN99102, a derivative line from the same breeding process as the elite wheat cultivar Yannong 999, was derived from the multiple crosses of Lumai 14 and Lin 9,511 through space mutation breeding (Supplementary Figure 1). YN99102 showed high resistance to powdery mildew at both seedling and adult plant stages. To determine the genetic analysis and map the Pm gene(s) at the seedling stage in YN99102, the wheat line Jinhe 13–205 (JH13–205) was used as the susceptible parent to cross with YN99102 to generate F1 hybrids, F2 populations, and F2:3 families. Wheat cultivar Mingxian 169 without any known Pm gene, was used as the susceptible control for phenotypic evaluation and served as the Bgt inoculum.
spread. Eight wheat genotypes with known \( Pm \) genes on chromosome 2BL, Coker 747 (with \( Pm6 \)) (Wan et al., 2020), Am9/3 (with \( Pm33 \)) (Zhu et al., 2005), CH7086 (with \( Pm51 \)) (Zhan et al., 2014), Liangxing 99 (with \( Pm52 \)) (Zhao et al., 2013), WE35 (with \( Pm64 \)) (Zhan et al., 2014), LS5082 (with \( PmLS5082 \)) (Wu et al., 2019), KN0816 (with \( PmKN0816 \)) (Wang et al., 2021) and Qingxinmai (with \( PmQ \)) (Li Y. H. et al., 2020) were tested with different \( Bgt \) isolates in order to compare their reactions with that of YN99102 (Table 1). Forty-two susceptible wheat cultivars from different regions of China were used to evaluate the usefulness of the closely linked markers for MAS of the \( Pm \) gene(s) in YN99102 (Supplementary Table 1).

**Phenotypic assessment of reactions to powdery mildew**

At the adult stage, YN99102 was inoculated with a mixture of 11 \( Bgt \) isolates including A3, A10, E05, E09, E18, E20, E21, E23–1, E32, E23, and E31 in the field nurseries with three replicates. The assessments were performed from 2018 to 2021 at Yantai University, Yantai City, Shandong Province, China (121.39’E, 37.52’N). For each replicate, YN99102 was planted with 30 seeds per row in four 1.2-m rows, with Mingxian 169 planted on each side of YN99102 as susceptible control and inoculum spreader. When Mingxian 169 showed severe disease symptoms, the disease reaction of YN99102 was assessed using a 0–9 scale for infection types (ITs), in which 0–4 were considered resistant and 5–9 were susceptible (Sheng and Duan, 1991). Each plant was assessed twice for confirmation.

To determine the inheritance of the powdery mildew resistance in YN99102 at the seedling stage, the \( Bgt \) isolate E09, which is prevalent in the main wheat producing regions of North China (Zhou et al., 2005), was used to inoculate YN99102, susceptible parent JH13–205, and their \( F_2 \) hybrids, \( F_2 \) population, and \( F_2:3 \) families at the one-leaf stage. Each of the \( F_2:3 \) families was tested with 30 seeds to confirm the phenotypic reaction of the \( F_2 \) plants. The resistance assessment was carried out in a greenhouse in a high humidity environment with a daily cycle of 14 h of light at 22°C and 10 h of darkness at 18°C. The tested seeds were planted in rectangular trays (54 × 28 × 4.2 cm) with 128 wells (3.2 × 3.2 × 4.2 cm) and then inoculated at one leaf stage by dusting the fresh conidia of \( Bgt \) isolate E09, and Mingxian 169 was planted randomly in the trays as the susceptible control. When the pustules were fully developed on the first leaf of Mingxian 169 about 14–15 days after inoculation, each plant was assessed on a 0–4 scale, plants with ITs 0–2 were regarded as resistant and those with ITs 3 and 4 as susceptible (Sheng, 1988; Wang et al., 2005). Goodness-of-fit was analyzed using the chi-square \((\chi^2)\) test to investigate deviations of the observed phenotypic data of \( F_2 \) populations and \( F_2:3 \) families from theoretically expected segregation ratios.

**BSE-Seq**

BSE-Seq was used to rapidly located \( Pm \) gene(s) in the targeted interval by Oebiotieh (Shanghai, China). After the susceptible control Mingxian 169 showed serious powdery mildew symptoms on the first leaf, equal leaf tissues from 30 homozygous resistant and 30 homozygous susceptible \( F_2:3 \) plants of YN99102 × JH13–205, respectively, were randomly collected to construct resistant and susceptible bulks. These two DNA bulks were subjected to exome capture sequencing with deep coverage (~70×). The construction, assessment, and sequencing of the libraries were performed as described by (Dong et al., 2020).

Raw sequence reads were filtered using Fastp (v0.12.4) to remove the low-quality reads and adapters used. The high-quality reads were then aligned to IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 genome. After that, raw cohort vcf was worked out with GATK (v4.0.10.1) (McCormick et al., 2015). The minimum-mapping-quality parameter was set as 30 for only high-quality alignment reads used to call variants. SNP calling and density analysis were carried out using sliding window calculation based on the reference of Takagi et al. (2013) The data filtering parameters were set as AF (Allele Frequency) <0.3 or >0.7. Bcf tools (v1.9) (Narasimhan et al., 2016) was performed for variants quality filtering with “QUAL > 30” and “DP ≥5.” The statistical model varBScore was carried out to determine the candidate interval. SnpEff (v4.3T) (Cingolani et al., 2012) was used to generate customized databases containing IWGSC v1.1 HC/LC genes for the annotation of the variants.

**Molecular markers analysis**

Based on the candidate interval obtained from BSE-Seq, 98 molecular markers linked to the known \( Pm \) genes in the candidate interval were firstly used to test for polymorphisms between resistant and susceptible parents and bulks (Table 2). Then, the polymorphic markers between the parents and the bulks were used to genotype the \( F_2:3 \) families of YN99102 × JH13–205 for a preliminary mapping of the \( Pm \) gene(s) in YN99102. Moreover, 70 new markers in the target interval were developed based on the simple sequence repeat (SSR) and small insertion-deletion (InDel) that were discovered by BSE-Seq (Supplementary Table 2).

PCR amplification was performed as described by Han et al. (2022a,b) with minor modification. The PCR products were then separated in 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels with a 29:1 ratio of acrylamide and bisacrylamide, finally visualized by silver staining.
TABLE 1 Comparative responses of Yannong 99102-06188 and wheat genotypes with known powdery mildew resistance genes on chromosome arm 2BL to 11 isolates of *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici* (*Bgt*) with different virulence.

| Genotypes | *Pm* gene | A3 | A10 | E05 | E09 | E18 | E20 | E21 | E23-1 | E32 | E23 | E31 |
|-----------|-----------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| Yannong 99102-06188 | pmYN99102 | 3  | 1   | 1   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 2   | 0     | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| Jinhe 13–205 |          | 4  | 4   | 4   | 3   | 3   | 0   | 3   | 0     | 0   | 0   | 2   |
| Coker747 | *Pm6* | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 4   | 0     | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| Am9/3 | *Pm3* | 4  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2   | 0     | 0   | 0   | 4   |
| CH7086 | *Pm51* | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 4   | 0   | 0     | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| Liangxing 99 | *Pm52* | 3  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0     | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| WE35 | *Pm64* | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 4   | 0     | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| LS5082 | *PmLS5082* | 0  | 0*  | 0   | 0   | 3   | 0   | 0   | 0     | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| KN0816 | *PmKN0816* | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0     | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| Qingxinmai | *PmQ* | 0  | 4   | 4   | 3   | 3   | 4   | 0   | 4     | 0   | 4   | 3   |

0–4 scale was used to score the infection types: 0, 0; 1 and 2 were regarded as resistant phenotypes and 3 and 4 were susceptible phenotypes.

Map construction and functional annotation

After obtaining phenotyping data from the evaluation of disease resistance and the genotyping data of the F2:3 families from molecular marker analysis, the linkage map of the *Pm* gene in YN99102 was constructed using MAPMAKER 3.0 (Lincoln et al., 1992) and the Kosambi function as reported previously (Kosambi, 1944). Functional annotation was performed based on the information from IWGSC RefSeq [version 1.0; The International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium (IWGSC, 2018)].

Comparison with the known *Pm* genes on the chromosome arm 2BL

Considering that the *Pm* gene in YN99102 was assigned to the chromosome 2BL, YN99102 and eight wheat genotypes also carrying known *Pm* genes on chromosome 2BL, including Coker 747 (with *Pm6*), Am9/3 (with *Pm3*), CH7086 (with *Pm51*), Liangxing 99 (with *Pm52*), WE35 (with *Pm64*), LS5082 (with *PmLS5082*), KN0816 (with *PmKN0816*) and Qingxinmai (with *PmQ*), were tested against 11 *Bgt* isolates that were collected from the diseased wheat fields in different wheat growing areas of China to compare their resistance spectrum (Table 1). Each isolate was developed through single-spore purification and separately stored in glass tubes with three layers of gauzes. The methods of inoculation and incubated conditions were described previously (Wu et al., 2019).

To further distinguish the *pmYN99102* from the documented *Pm* genes on chromosome arm 2BL at the level of genetic diversity, 98 markers closely linked to those *Pm* genes were tested for polymorphisms between resistant and susceptible parents and bulks derived from the F2:3 families of YN99102 × JH13–205 to investigate the genetic diversity of the candidate interval of *Pm* gene in YN99102 and the known *Pm* genes in chromosome arm 2BL (Table 2).

Evaluation of the closely linked markers for MAS

To evaluate the applicability of the markers for MAS breeding, 42 susceptible wheat cultivars from different regions of China were tested with the closely linked or co-segregated markers. The markers which were able to consistently amplify polymorphic band(s) between YN99102 and these susceptible cultivars were regarded as effective for MAS in those genetic backgrounds (Supplementary Table 1). To transfer the *Pm* gene(s) in YN99102 to applicable backgrounds, these cultivars were crossed with YN99102 to construct BC1F2 and F3 segregation populations for MAS.

Results

Evaluation and inheritance of powdery mildew resistance in YN99102

For the adult plant investigations with powdery mildew in the field, YN99102 showed high resistance with ITs 0–1 to the *Bgt* mixture including *Bgt* isolates A3, A10, E05, E09, E18, E20, E21, E23–1, E32, E23 and E31 over the consecutive growing seasons from 2018 to 2021.

Then, the *Bgt* isolate E09 was used to determine the inheritance of powdery mildew resistance in YN99102 at the seedling stage. When inoculated with this isolate, YN99102 was highly resistant with IT 0, whereas JH13–205 was highly susceptible with IT 4. All the 10 F1 plants of the cross YN99102 × JH13–205 were susceptible with IT 4, indicating the resistance
TABLE 2  Polymorphic and linkage analyses of the markers linked to the powdery mildew resistance genes located on chromosome arm 2BL using the mapping population derived from the cross of Yannong 99102-06188 × Jinhe 13–205.

| Marker      | Resistance genes | Physical location (Mb) | Polymorphism Parents | Polymorphism F<sub>2</sub> 3 bulks | Linkage to pmYN99102 | References  |
|-------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| CITB2g-1    | Pm6              | 711.0                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-2    | Pm6              | 722.1                  | +                     | +                                 | +                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-3    | Pm6              | 699.2                  | +                     | +                                 | +                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-4    | Pm6              | 730.2                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-5    | Pm6              | 724.6                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-6    | Pm6              | 694.1                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-7    | Pm6              | 694.1                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-8    | Pm6              | 710.3                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-9    | Pm6              | 710.3                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-10   | Pm6              | 722.1                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-11   | Pm6              | 709.1                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-12   | Pm6              | 722.3                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-13   | Pm6              | 708.2                  | +                     | +                                 | +                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-14   | Pm6              | 694.1                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-15   | Pm6              | 722.1                  | +                     | +                                 | +                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-16   | Pm6              | 709.8                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-17   | Pm6              | 697.7                  | +                     | +                                 | +                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-18   | Pm6              | 698.3                  | +                     | +                                 | +                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-19   | Pm6              | 731.0                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-20   | Pm6              | 699.2                  | +                     | +                                 | +                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-21   | Pm6              | 730.9                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CITB2g-22   | Pm6              | 715.6                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CISSR02g-1  | Pm6              | 704.2                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CISSR02g-2  | Pm6              | 701.8                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CISSR02g-3  | Pm6              | 701.1                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CISSR02g-5  | Pm6              | 699.1                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CISSR02g-6  | Pm6              | 700.4                  | +                     | +                                 | +                     | Wan et al., 2020 |
| CINAU117    | Pm6              | 614.9                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU118    | Pm6              | -                      | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU119    | Pm6              | -                      | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU120    | Pm6              | -                      | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU121    | Pm6              | -                      | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU122    | Pm6              | -                      | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU125    | Pm6              | 653.3                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU126    | Pm6              | -                      | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU127    | Pm6              | 677.3                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU128    | Pm6              | -                      | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU129    | Pm6              | -                      | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU131    | Pm6              | 689.1                  | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU132    | Pm6              | -                      | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU133    | Pm6              | -                      | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU134    | Pm6              | -                      | -                     | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU135    | Pm6              | 690.2                  | -                      | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |
| CINAU136    | Pm6              | 695.8                  | -                      | -                                 | -                     | Qin et al., 2011 |

(Continued)
| Marker       | Resistance genes | Physical location (Mb) | Polymorphism | Linkage to pmYN9102 | References                        |
|-------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
|             |                  |                        | Parents      | F2 : 3 bulks         |                                   |
| CINAU137    | Pm6              | -                      | -            | -                    | Qin et al., 2011                  |
| CINAU138    | Pm6              | -                      | -            | -                    | Qin et al., 2011                  |
| CINAU139    | Pm6              | 753.0                  | -            | -                    | Qin et al., 2011                  |
| CINAU140    | Pm6              | 747.2                  | -            | -                    | Qin et al., 2011                  |
| CINAU141    | Pm6              | 710.9                  | -            | -                    | Qin et al., 2011                  |
| CINAU142    | Pm6              | 723.0                  | -            | -                    | Qin et al., 2011                  |
| CINAU143    | Pm6              | 715.0                  | -            | -                    | Qin et al., 2011                  |
| CINAU144    | Pm6              | 710.9                  | -            | -                    | Qin et al., 2011                  |
| NAU/STSBCD135-2 | Pm6          | 738.6                  | -            | -                    | Qin et al., 2011; Tan et al., 2018 |
|             |                  |                        |              |                      |                                   |
| CINAU123    | Pm6              | -                      | -            | -                    | Qin et al., 2011                  |
| CINAU124    | Pm6              | -                      | -            | -                    | Qin et al., 2011                  |
| Xcsc1172    | Pm52             | 595.7                  | +            | +                    | Wu et al., 2019                   |
| Xcsc1174    | Pm52             | 595.7                  | +            | +                    | Wu et al., 2019                   |
| Xcsc1326    | Pm52             | 581.0                  | -            | -                    | Wu et al., 2019                   |
| Xcsc1795    | Pm52             | 585.0                  | -            | -                    | Wu et al., 2019                   |
| Xcsc1663    | Pm52             | 596.4                  | -            | -                    | Wu et al., 2019                   |
| Xcsc224     | Pm52             | 556.6                  | -            | -                    | Wu et al., 2019                   |
| Xcsc275     | Pm52             | 382.9                  | -            | -                    | Wu et al., 2019                   |
| Xcsc306     | Pm52             | 607.2                  | -            | -                    | Wu et al., 2019                   |
| Xcsc334     | Pm52             | 564.8                  | -            | -                    | Wu et al., 2019                   |
| Xcsc66      | Pm52             | 556.6                  | -            | -                    | Wu et al., 2019                   |
| Xcsc89      | Pm52             | 603.6                  | -            | -                    | Wu et al., 2019                   |
| Xcsc234     | Pm52             | 596.6                  | +            | +                    | Wu et al., 2019                   |
| Xgwm120     | Pm52             | 615.7                  | -            | -                    | Zhao et al., 2013                 |
| Xwmc175     | Pm52, Pm63       | 670.6                  | -            | -                    | Zhao et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2018|
| Xgwm120     | Pm52, Pm63       | 615.8                  | -            | -                    | Zhao et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2018|
| Xwmc441     | Pm52, Pm63       | 598.0                  | -            | -                    | Zhao et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2018|
| WGGBHJ1212  | Pm64             | 656.6                  | -            | -                    | Zhang et al., 2019                |
| WGGBHJ1260  | Pm64             | 695.1                  | -            | -                    | Zhang et al., 2019                |
| WGGBHJ1314  | Pm64             | 670.6                  | -            | -                    | Zhang et al., 2019                |
| WGGBHJ1364  | Pm64             | 695.4                  | -            | -                    | Zhang et al., 2019                |
| WGGBHJ1366  | Pm64             | 699.2                  | -            | -                    | Zhang et al., 2019                |
| WGGBHJ252   | Pm64             | 732.3                  | -            | -                    | Zhang et al., 2019                |
| WGGBHJ612-5 | Pm64             | 710.3                  | -            | -                    | Zhang et al., 2019                |
| WGGBHJ686   | Pm64             | 680.0                  | -            | -                    | Zhang et al., 2019                |
| WGGBH913    | Pm64             | 715.0                  | -            | -                    | Zhang et al., 2019                |
| WGGBH1099   | Pm64             | 705.5                  | -            | -                    | Zhang et al., 2019                |
| stars419    | Pm63             | 710.3                  | -            | -                    | Tan et al., 2019                  |
| Xbcd135-2   | Pm63             | 723.4                  | -            | -                    | Tan et al., 2019                  |
| BE405017    | Pm51             | 767.1                  | -            | -                    | Zhan et al., 2014                 |
| BE444894    | Pm51             | 765.3                  | -            | -                    | Zhan et al., 2014                 |

(Continued)
TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Marker         | Resistance genes | Physical location (Mb) | Polymorphism Parents | Polymorphism F$_2$:3 bulks | Linkage to pmYN99102 | References               |
|----------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| BQ246670       | PmS1             | 709.8                  | -                    | -                           | -                    | Zhan et al., 2014         |
| Cost66         | PmS1             | 747.2                  | -                    | -                           | -                    | Zhan et al., 2014         |
| Xbarc159       | PmS1             | 793.0                  | -                    | -                           | -                    | Zhan et al., 2014         |
| Xwmc332        | PmS1, Pm63       | 739.4                  | -                    | -                           | -                    | Zhan et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2018 |
| Xwmc332        | PmS1, Pm63       | 739.4                  | -                    | -                           | -                    | Zhan et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2018 |
| Xgwm526        | Pm33             | 774.1                  | -                    | -                           | -                    | Zhu et al., 2005          |
| Xwmc337        | Pm33             | 784.3                  | -                    | -                           | -                    | Zhu et al., 2005          |
| Xcsc405        | PmQ              | 750.0                  | -                    | -                           | -                    | Li H. H. et al., 2020     |
| Xcsc253        | PmQ              | 730.6                  | -                    | -                           | -                    | Li H. H. et al., 2020     |
| Xcsc347        | PmQ              | 720.9                  | -                    | -                           | -                    | Li H. H. et al., 2020     |
| Xcsc405        | PmQ              | 710.7                  | -                    | -                           | -                    | Li H. H. et al., 2020     |
| Xcsc453        | PmQ              | 730.8                  | -                    | -                           | -                    | Li H. H. et al., 2020     |

In the polymorphism column, "+" represents polymorphic or linked, and "-" represents non-polymorphic or unlinked, and in physical location, "-" represents no data.

Molecular mapping of PmYN99102 and prediction of the candidate genes

With the candidate interval confirmed, 98 previously reported markers linked to the candidate interval on 2BL (Table 2) and 70 newly developed markers based on the results of BSE-Seq (Supplementary Table 2) were used to screen polymorphism between the resistant and susceptible parents and bulks. Among them, 19 markers, including 10 newly developed markers (Table 4), amplified consistent polymorphisms between the resistant and susceptible parents and bulks, which were used to genotype the F$_2$:3 families of the cross of YN99102 × JH13–205 to construct the linkage map and further narrow down the candidate interval of pmYN99102 (Figure 3). The result showed that pmYN99102 was flanked by markers CIT02g-3/CIT02g-20 and CIT02g-13/CIT02g-2/CIT02g-15 with genetic distances of 0.5 and 1.0 cM, corresponding to 699.2–708.2 Mb physical interval, and co-segregated with CISSR02g-6 (700.4 Mb) according to the IWGSC Chinese Spring reference genome v1.0 (Figure 4). In this interval, we obtained a total of 76 high confidence genes based on the gene annotation results. Among them, four genes TraeCS2B01G505200, TraeCS2B01G507000, TraeCS2B01G507100 and TraeCS2B01G509000 were related to disease resistance and were regarded as the candidate genes of pmYN99102 (Supplementary Table 3).
TABLE 3  Segregation ratios of $F_2$ and $F_2:3$ generations of Yannong 99102-06188 (YN) and Jinhe 13-205 (JH) following inoculation with *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici* (*Bgt*) isolate E09 at the seedling stage.

| Parent and cross | Generation | Observed ratio | Expected ratio | $\chi^2$ | $P$ |
|------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----|
| YN               | RP         | R:S=10:0       |                |        |     |
| JH               | SP         | R:S=0:10       |                |        |     |
| YN × JH $F_1$    | $F_1$      | R:S=0:10       |                |        |     |
| YN × JH $F_2$    | $F_2$      | R:S=31:77      | 1:3            | 0.79   | 0.37|
| YN × JH $F_3$    | $F_2:3$    | HR:Seg:HS=31:52:25 | 1:2:1 | 0.48 | 0.49|

$^a$ YN, Yannong 99102-06188; JH, Jinhe 13-205; RP, Resistant parent; SP, Susceptible parent.  
$^b$ R, Resistant; S, Susceptible; HR, homozygous resistant; Seg, segregating; HS, homozygous susceptible.

Comparisons of *PmYN99102* and the known *Pm* genes on chromosome arm 2BL

To identify the relationship between *pmYN99102* and the known *Pm* genes on chromosome arm 2BL, YN99102 (with *pmYN99102*), Coker747 (with *Pm6*), Am9/3 (with *Pm33*), CH7086 (with *Pm51*), Liangxing99 (with *Pm52*), WE35 (with *Pm64*), LS5082 (with *PmLS5082*), KN0816 (with *PmKN0816*) and Qingxinmai (with *PmQ*) were tested against 11 *Bgt* isolates to evaluate their resistance spectrum. The results showed that YN99102 was resistant to 10 of 11 (90.9%) isolates, while Coker747, Am9/3, CH7086, Liangxing99, WE35, LS5082, KN0816 and Qingxinmai was resistant to 8 of 11 (72.7%), 8 of 11 (72.7%), 10 of 11 (90.9%), 10 of 11 (90.9%), 10 of 11 (90.9%), 9 of 11 (81.8%), 11 of 11 (100%), 3 of 11 (27.2%), respectively (Figure 5; Table 1). Even though YN99102, CH7086, and Liangxing 99 were all resistant to 10 out of 11,
they showed significantly different phenotypes against different isolates. Therefore, YN99102 had different resistance spectrum from the known \( Pm \) genes on 2BL.

To further distinguish \( pmYN99102 \) from the known \( Pm \) genes on 2BL, 98 previously reported markers that were closely linked to the documented \( Pm \) genes on chromosome arm 2BL were test the polymorphisms between the resistant and susceptible bulks derived from the \( F_2 \):3 families of YN99102 × JH13–205 (Table 2). Among them, 12 markers, including eight markers for \( Pm6 \) (\( CIT02g−2 \), \( CIT02g−3 \), \( CIT02g−13 \), \( CIT02g−15 \), \( CIT02g−17 \), \( CIT02g−18 \), \( CIT02g−20 \), \( CISSR02g−2 \)) and four markers for \( Pm52 \) (\( Xicsl172 \), \( Xicsl174 \), \( Xicsl326 \), and \( Xicsl234 \)) amplified polymorphisms between the resistant and susceptible parents and bulks, while other 86 markers showed no polymorphism. Four markers closely linked to \( Pm52 \) were not linked to \( pmYN99102 \) (Table 2). Molecular markers analysis combined with different resistance spectrum demonstrated that \( pmYN99102 \) is most likely different from the known \( Pm \) genes on chromosome arm 2BL.

### Molecular markers for MAS

To better use \( pmYN99102 \) in MAS, 18 markers closely linked to \( pmYN99102 \) were tested for their availability in the 42 susceptible wheat cultivars for MAS (Figure 6; Supplementary Table 1). All markers except for \( YTU103–97 \) could amplify polymorphic bands between YN99102 and most of the 42 susceptible cultivars, suggesting that these markers can be used in MAS for tracking \( pmYN99102 \) when transferred into those cultivars. \( YTU103–97 \) amplified consistent bands in 38 out of 42 susceptible cultivars, meaning that these two markers were less appropriate for MAS of \( pmYN99102 \).

### Discussion

In the present study, using genetic analysis, BSE-Seq, and molecular marker analysis, we accurately and rapidly...
identified a recessive \( Pm \) gene \( pmYN99102 \) in \( YN99102 \) and localized it to a 0.9 Mb interval (699.2–708.2 Mb) on chromosome arm 2BL, an enrichment region carrying many \( Pm \) genes (Wu et al., 2019). Eleven \( Pm \) genes have been reported to be located on chromosome arm 2BL from various gene donors, including \( Pm6 \) from \( Triticum timopheevii \) (Wan et al., 2020), \( Pm33 \) from \( T. persicum \) Var. (Zhu et al., 2020), \( Pm51 \) from a \( Thinopyrum ponticum \) introgression line (Zhan et al., 2014), \( Pm52 \) from Chinese wheat cultivar Liangxing 99 (Wu et al., 2019), \( Pm63 \) from Iranian wheat landrace PI 628024 (Tan et al., 2019), \( Pm64 \) from wild emmer (Zhang et al., 2019), \( PmQ \) from Chinese wheat landrace Qinxinmai (Li H. H. et al., 2020), \( MI\text{Zec}1 \) and \( MI\text{AB}10 \) both from \( T. dicoccoides \) (Mohler et al., 2005; Maxwell et al., 2010). \( Pm\text{KN0816} \) from the Chinese wheat breeding line KN0816 (Wang et al., 2021) and \( Pm\text{LS5082} \) from the Chinese wheat breeding line LS5082 (Wu et al., 2019), suggesting chromosome arm 2BL is a potential \( R \) gene-rich region and complex molecular modules and mechanisms may be involved in the chromosome arm 2BL. Compared with those documented genes, \( pmYN99102 \) (699.2–708.2 Mb) could be clearly distinguished from eight of them: \( Pm33 \) (773.2–784.3 Mb), \( Pm51 \) (709.8–739.4 Mb), \( Pm52 \) (581.0–585.0 Mb), \( Pm63 \) (710.3–723.4 Mb), \( PmQ \) (710.7–714.7 Mb), \( MI\text{Zec}1 \) and \( MI\text{AB}10 \) (both 796.7–780.0 Mb), and \( Pm\text{LS5082} \) (710.3–711.0 Mb) based on their physical locations and/or origins. However, the physical intervals of three genes \( Pm6 \) (698.3–699.2 Mb), \( Pm64 \) (699.2–710.3 Mb), and \( Pm\text{KN0816} \) (700.4–710.3 Mb) overlapped that of \( pmYN99102 \) (699.2–708.2 Mb) and hence it is necessary to clarify their relationships.

\( T. timopheevii \) derived \( Pm6 \) was the first \( Pm \) gene identified on chromosome 2BL and was transferred into the wheat genetic background in the form of wheat-\( T. timopheevii \) 2B/2G introgression lines (Jorgensen and Jensen, 1973; Bennett, 1984; Ji et al., 2008). The 2G chromosome introgression segment carrying \( Pm6 \) has strong recombination suppression in the wheat genome, so seven of the eight \( Pm6 \)-linked markers used in this study had no recombination between the 2G chromosome introgression segment carrying \( Pm6 \) and the corresponding wheat segment, while they showed normal recombination frequency as common wheat in the \( pmYN99102 \) interval. Moreover, only 8 of 55 \( Pm6 \)-linked markers showed polymorphisms between \( YN99102 \) and \( JH13–205 \), and their derivative \( F_{2:3} \) resistant and susceptible bulks (Table 2), which revealed a distinct genetic diversity between the intervals of \( pmYN99102 \) and \( Pm6 \). Meanwhile, \( YN99106 \) had a broader resistance spectrum than \( Coker 747 \) (with \( Pm6 \)). Critically, \( YN99106 \) was resistant to \( Bgt \) isolate E09 and \( pmYN99102 \) was identified by inoculating the \( Bgt \) isolate E09, whereas \( Coker 747 \) (with \( Pm6 \)) was susceptible to the \( Bgt \) isolate.
E09 (Table 1). It was reported that Coker 747 was moderate effectiveness at the one-leaf stage to the two-leaf stage, but showed gradually increased resistance from the third leaf stage and reached complete resistance at the fourth leaf stage and later (Qin et al., 2011). Whereas, YN99102 exhibited high resistance to Bgt isolates from the one-leaf stage and continued through all growth stages. Therefore, the combined evidence indicated that pmYN99102 was different from Pm6. Pm64, derived from a wheat-T. dicoccoides introgression line showed a different resistance spectrum from pmYN99102 (Table 1). When tested with 10 Pm64-linked markers, none of them amplified polymorphisms in YN99102 and JH03–125 and their mapping population. PmKN0816 was a broad-spectrum resistance gene and also discovered in a Chinese wheat breeding line, and its donor KN0816 was resistant to all the 11 tested Bgt isolates, but YN99102 was susceptible to the Bgt isolate A03. In addition, all of the three Pm genes Pm6, Pm64, and PmKN0816 followed dominant inheritance pattern, while pmYN99102 was distinctively recessive. Taken together, pmYN99102 is most likely a new gene different from the cataloged Pm genes on chromosome 2BL based on their origins, chromosome intervals, resistance spectrum, and inheritance pattern. Of course, allelism tests and cloning of these genes are necessary in the future to finally determine their relationships in such a mysterious interval containing multiple and complex resistance genes. Further validation on the four candidate genes of pmYN99102 that was directly related to disease resistance (Supplementary Table 3) will be our focus in the near future.

When a resistance gene was identified, less linkage drag is the critical factor associated with its easy use in wheat breeding programs, but often, disease resistance is at the expense of some agronomic traits and reduced plant adaptation (Deng et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2018; Han et al., 2022b). Fortunately, YN99102 is an elite derivative line from the same breeding process as a famous wheat cultivar Yannong 999 in China, which is the first wheat cultivar to exceed 800 kilograms per mu yield in China. In the breeding process of Yannong 999, two prominent breeding lines, Yannong 99102-06072 and Yannong 99102-06188 (YN99102) were selected as the
lines. Of the two lines, Yannong 99102-06072 has favorable synergy between yield and quality, making it the current official registration which met the breeding goal of high yield and quality; whereas YN99102 has the advantages of both powdery mildew resistance and high yield, making it a valuable resistance resource for both wheat breeding and genetic study. To facilitate the transfer of pmYN99102 in MAS, we evaluated the applicability of 17 markers including 10 newly developed markers and seven reported markers in 42 susceptible cultivars (Supplementary Table 1). The results showed that all markers except for YTU103-97 were polymorphic between YN99102 and most of the 42 susceptible cultivars, suggesting that these markers can be used in MAS for detecting pmYN99102 once it was introduced to those susceptible cultivars. In fact, we have obtained the BC1F2 and F3 segregation populations from the cross of some applicable cultivars and YN99102 currently. We believe that pmYN99102 will release its full potential following the selection for resistance and agronomic performance in wheat breeding programs.

Conclusion

In conclusion, using BSE-Seq and molecular markers, we identified a powdery mildew resistance gene pmYN99102 in the wheat breeding line YN99102. Based on the analysis of physical location, origin, resistant spectrum, and inherited pattern, pmYN99102 is most likely a new Pm gene. Molecular markers available for marker-assisted selection were also selected for tracking pmYN99102 in breeding. Our study can be valuable for enhancing the genetic diversity of powdery mildew resistance in breeding.
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