Antibiogram of Biofilm Producing and Non-Producing Community Acquired-Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Isolated from Potential Risk Population of Dharan, Nepal
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Abstract
Background Staphylococcus aureus is one of the common cause of hospital acquired infection and community acquired infections. Nowadays these organisms became resistant towards variety of drugs. MRSA is the emerging antibiotic resistant bacteria that are resistant to methicillin antibiotic and known to be the infectious pathogen causing severe infection and a cause of fatal mortality.

Materials and methodology Altogether 200 nasal swabs and 200 hand swabs were obtained from participants and transported to microbiology lab in cold chain. The samples were swabbed in mannitol salt agar (MSA) containing oxacillin powder of 6mg/L and incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. Staphylococcus aureus colonies were identified based on growth characteristics on MSA plates (golden yellow colonies), Gram stain and positive results for coagulase tube test and catalase test. The pure isolated MRSA were subjected to antibiotic susceptibility tests and biofilm formation assays.

Results From our study the overall prevalence of CA-MRSA was 61.5%. Higher frequency of multi-drug resistant MRSA was isolated. The biofilm producing CA-MRSA were 51.2% which showed high drug resistance and rest (48.7%) were non-biofilm producers. There was significant association in biofilm production with multi-drug resistance (p<0.05). Ciprofloxacin was most sensitive drug against the isolates which was statistically significant (p<0.05). The resistant pattern of biofilm producers reported high ability of multi-drug resistance compared to non-biofilm producers (p<0.05). Microtitre plate method was found to be gold standard over tube and congo red agar method for screening biofilm formation. Surprisingly the emergence of VISA and VRSA strains were significantly reported from our study. The prevalence of VISA and VRSA among CA-MRSA was found to be 49.5% and 40.6% respectively among the isolates which indicates the failure of Vancomycin drug in clinical therapy.

Conclusions The prevalence of CA-MRSA was found more in barbers followed by beauticians and municipal waste workers in comparison to healthy controls. This study reported the higher carriage of CA-MRSA in potential risk population along with emergence of VISA and VRSA strains. Improvement in personal hygiene and formulation of appropriate health policy helps to prevent CA-MRSA infection. This study concludes that CA-MRSA is still emerging with multi-drug resistance.

Background
Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) strains or multidrug-resistant *S. aureus* is pathogenic bacteria responsible for rapid progressive fatal diseases including life-threatening pneumonia, necrotizing fasciitis, endocarditis, osteomyelitis, severe sepsis, and toxic shock syndrome [1]. MRSA bacteria are well studied organism of medical significance because of its wide resistance to many antibiotics of group’s aminoglycosides, macrolides, fluoroquinolones, chloramphenicol, and tetracycline [2]. CA-MRSA has been known to be the emerging superbug as a causative agent of skin and soft-tissue infections [3]. These strains became resistant to penicillin by producing a plasmid-encoded penicillinase, called β-lactamase that can break down the β-lactam ring of penicillin, making it ineffective [4]. Smyth et al. 2005 reported that MSA containing 6mg/liter cefoxitin allowed the isolation of all MRSA [5]. Biofilm producing MRSA infections are life threatening infection because of its multi-drug resistance and resistance of biofilm on the action of antibiotics biofilm and are of significance in medical science. Vancomycin has been a drug of choice for the treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infection. The limitation of other antibiotics induces the emergence of VRSA is making the treatment of MRSA difficult [6]. The emergence of VISA strains and VRSA strains has been reported where the higher MIC of Vancomycin resistant of MRSA has been known to be associated with drug resistance to many other antibiotics [7]. Hence, the main aim of this study was to find the prevalence and antibiogram of CA-MRSA colonizing hand and nostril of potentially risk population of eastern Nepal.

**Methods**

**Research Design**

The study was conducted from June to November 2018 after receiving Ethical approval (Reg. No-297/2018) from Nepal Health Research Council (NHRC) on 20 June 2018. This study was a laboratory based cross-sectional study. Potential risk population’s municipal waste workers, barbers, beauticians and physically healthy (controls) were participated in the research. All the sampling populations were selected from Dharan Sub-Metropolitan city by simple random sampling. All the work concerning this research was carried out in microbiology laboratory of Central Campus of Technology, Dharan.

**Laboratory methods**
Samples were collected from potential risk population of Dharan. Samples taken for this study were skin and nasal swabs. Nasal and skin swabs were taken by using sterile swabs in 10mL nutrient broth. Nasal swab and skin swab samples were collected with sterile disposable cotton swabs (HiMedia, India) and was stored in a sterile vial and transported in cold chain to microbiology Lab. The MRSA isolates were isolated and identified according to Smyth et al. 2005 [5]. The samples were swabbed in MSA containing oxacillin powder of 6mg/L and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. MRSA were identified based on evidence from growth on MSA media containing oxacillin powder, Gram’s staining, catalase test, and coagulase test. Further the isolates were confirmed to bae MRSA by cefoxitin (30μg) disk diffusion method according to Clinical standard Institute guidelines 2012 [8].

**Antibiotic susceptibility testing**

All MRSA isolated from clinical samples were subjected to in-vitro antibiotic susceptibility test by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion techniques using Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) (HiMedia Mumbai, India) containing 4% NaCl as recommended by CLSI 2012 [8]. Fresh colonies were selected and transferred into NB to obtain turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland barium sulfate standards (1.5x10^8 CFU/ml). MHA plates were inoculated with sterile cotton swabs then antibiotics were placed with sterile forceps and allowed to stand at room temperature for 15 minutes for pre-diffusion then incubated at 37°C for 16-18 hours. The zone of inhibition was interpreted as susceptible, intermediate and resistant according to CLSI “Diffusion Supplemental Table” (2012). In this study the antibiotics used Amoxicillin (AMX, 10mcg), Ampicillin (AMP, 10mcg), Cefoxitin (CX, 30mcg), Cefotaxime (CTX, 30mcg), Chloramphenicol (C, 30mcg), Ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5mcg), Co-T trimoxazole (COT, 25mcg), Erythromycin (E, 15mcg), Gentamicin (GEN, 10mcg), Norfloxacin (NX, 10mcg), Ofloxacin (OF, 5mcg), Teicoplanin (TEI, 30mcg), Trimethoprim (TR, 5mcg), Tetracycline (TE, 30mcg) (Himedia, Mumbai, India).

**Biofilm formation test**

**Microtitre plate method**

The quantification of biofilm by microtitre plate was performed according to Christensen et al. 1985 [9]. In this method, 5ml of overnight culture of MRSA was prepared. Then 100 microliter of diluted culture was inoculated in a microtitre well containing TSB with glucose. The plate was incubated at
37°C for 24 hours for biofilm production. The unbound cell was discarded and washes several times by PBS (pH-7.2). 125μl of 0.1% crystal-violet solution was added and left for 10-15 minutes incubation. The plate was washed and left inverted for dry. The quantitative determination was performed by solubilizing the biofilm by adding 125μl of 30% acetic acid to each well and incubated the plate for 10-15 minutes at room temperature and transfer to another microtitre plates and reading the absorbance at 570 nm by ELISA plate reader. The interpretation of biofilm production was done according to the criteria of Stepanovic et al. (2007) [10]. Interpretation is made on optical density (OD) of test wells. The optical density (ODₚ) of each strain was obtained by the arithmetic mean of the absorbance of three wells and this value was compared with the mean absorbance of negative controls (ODₙc). The following classification was used for the determination of biofilm formation: no biofilm production (ODₚ≤ODₙc), weak biofilm production (ODₙc<ODₚ≤2.0ODₙc), moderate biofilm production (2.0ODₙc<ODₚ≤4.0ODₙc) and strong biofilm production (4.0ODₙc<ODₚ) [10].

**Tube method**

A qualitative assessment of biofilm formation was done as described by Christensen et al. 1985 [9]. The TSB glucose (10 mL) was inoculated with a loop full of MRSA from overnight culture plates and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. The tubes were decanted and washed with PBS (pH-7.2) and dried. Then the tubes were stained by 0.1% crystal violet. Stain was removed by deionized water. Tubes were then dried in inverted position for biofilm formation. Biofilm formation was considered positive when a visible film lined the wall and bottom of the tube. Ring formation at the liquid interface was not indicative of biofilm formation. Experiments was performed in triplicate and repeated for three times.

**Congo red agar method (CRA)**

The congo red agar method was performed according to Freeman et al. 1989 [11]. The MRSA culture was streaked on surface of congo red agar and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hours. Black coloured colonies with dry crystalline consistency interpreted as positive biofilm producing strains. Red coloured colonies - interpreted as negative for biofilm production.
Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

The minimum inhibitory concentration of vancomycin (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) to MRSA isolates were screened by Microdilution method as suggested by CLSI 2012 [8]. The vancomycin powder was accurately weighed and stock solution of 128µg/ml was prepared. The known volume of 0.5 McFarland suspension of bacterial culture was added in each well containing the trypticase soya broth (TSB). From the stock solution the different concentrations of drug ranging from 64µg/ml to 0.125µg/ml was made in in round bottom microtitre plates by serial dilution. The wells for positive and negative controls were even maintained in the plates. The microtitre plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The well with concentration of drug in which the growth of bacteria was inhibited was known to be the MIC.

Data analysis

The information collected from schedule was documented and tabulated. The data were statistically analyzed at 5% level of significance by SPSS version 16. A p-value of less than or equal to 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant (p≤0.05).

Results

Gender-wise distribution of MRSA in sample population

Out of 400 samples taken, 123 were MRSA positive isolates out of which 67 (54.4%) were from males and 56 (45.5%) were from females.

| Gender          | Presence% (n) |
|-----------------|---------------|
| Male (105)      | 67 (63.80%)   |
| Female (95)     | 56 (58.94%)   |
| Total 200       | 123           |

Gender-wise distribution of MRSA positive in sample population

Out of 400 samples taken, 123 were MRSA positive isolates among which 34 MRSA were isolated from barber’s populations. Similarly, 32 MRSA were isolated from beautician’s populations. In municipal
waste worker’s population, 19 MRSA isolates were obtained from males whereas 10 were isolated from female’s population whereas in healthy population, 14 MRSA isolates were obtained from males and 14 were from female’s population.

**Comparative study of MRSA isolated from total samples**

In this study, all samples were analyzed for MRSA. Higher number of MRSA was isolated from hand (36.5%). The prevalence of MRSA isolates from nasal was 25% and from hand was 36.5%.

Table 2: Comparative study of MRSA isolated from total samples

| Samples | Total sample | MRSA | p-value |
|---------|--------------|------|---------|
| Hand    | 200          | 73 (36.5%) | 0.013   |
| Nasal   | 200          | 50 (25%)  |         |
| Total   | 400          | 123    |         |

**Comparative study of MRSA isolated from different samples**

The MRSA isolated from hand were 73 and from nasal were 50. In 24 individuals the MRSA was isolated from both the hand and nasal. The higher frequency of MRSA was isolated from hand than in nasal. Maximum MRSA isolates were obtained from skin surface of barber’s hand.

Table 3: Comparative study of MRSA isolated from different samples

| Sample population     | Source        | Hand (Only) | Nasal (Only) | Both | p-value |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------|---------|
| Barber                |               | 23          | 11           | 8    | 0.011   |
| Beauticians           |               | 19          | 13           | 4    | 0.086   |
| Municipal waste workers |             | 16          | 13           | 4    | 0.509   |
| Healthy               |               | 15          | 13           | 8    | 1.00    |
| Total                 |               | 73          | 50           | 24   |         |

**MRSA isolated from different age groups of male and female**

Among 123 MRSA isolated, MRSA from male were 67 (54.4%) and MRSA were from female 56 (45.5%). In male the highest number of MRSA, 27 (40.2%) was from the age group of 20-29 years
followed by 25 (37.3%) from 30-39 years of age. In female the highest number of MRSA, 18 (32.1%) was isolated from age group of 20-29 years and 30-39 years of age.

Table 4: MRSA isolated from different age groups of male and female

| Age Groups | Barbers Males | Barbers Females | Beauticians Males | Beauticians Females | Municipal Waste Workers Males | Municipal Waste Workers Females | Healthy Males |
|------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Below 20   | 10.4%         | -               | 5.3%              | -                   | 0.0                           | 0.0                           | 2.9%          |
| 20-29      | 17.9%         | -               | 17.8%             | 8.9%                | 1.7%                          | 13.4%                         |               |
| 30-39      | 16.4%         | -               | 21.4%             | 17.9%               | 10.7%                         |                               |               |
| 40-49      | 4.4%          | -               | 10.7%             | 1.4%                | 5.3%                          |                               |               |
| Above 50   | 1.4%          | -               | 1.7%              | 0.0                 | 0.0                           |                               | 1.4%          |

Comparative study of biofilm formation by MRSA in potential risk population

The biofilm formation assay showed that maximum isolates were biofilm producer. The biofilm producing MRSA was found maximum in beautician (56.2%), followed by barber (52.9%). Similarly, in municipal waste workers and healthy population biofilm producing MRSA were 48.2% and 46.4% respectively.

Table 5: Comparative study of biofilm formation by MRSA in potential risk population

| Biofilm | Barber Males | Beautician Males | Municipal waste workers Males | Healthy Males | p-value |
|---------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Strong  | 7 (20.5%)    | 5 (15.6%)        | 2 (6.8%)                       | 5 (17.8%)     | 0.032   |
| Moderate| 11 (32.3%)   | 13 (40.6%)       | 12 (41.3%)                     | 8 (28.5%)     | 0.033   |
| Weak    | 16 (47%)     | 14 (43.7%)       | 15 (51.7%)                     | 15 (53.5%)    | 0.045   |
| Total   | 34           | 32               | 29                             | 28            |         |

Biofilm formation assay

The biofilm forming ability of isolated MRSA was performed by three methods: microtitre plate method, tube method and congo red agar method.

Table 6: Biofilm formation by MRSA by three methods
Sensitivity and specificity of biofilm screening methods

The microtitre plate method was found to be most efficient standard method for studying biofilm formation as compared to tube method and congo red agar method. The parameters like sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value, positive predictive value and accuracy were calculated. True positives were biofilm producers by microtitre, tube and congo red agar method. False positive were biofilm producers by tube method (TM) and congo red agar (CRA) method and not by microtitre method. False negative were the isolates which were non-biofilm producers by microtitre plate and CRA but were biofilm producer by microtitre method. True negatives are those which were non biofilm producers by all three methods [12].

Table 7: Sensitivity and specificity of biofilm screening methods

| Biofilm screening method          | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | Accuracy (%) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|---------|--------------|
| Tube method                      | 75              | 74.6            | 58.8    | 86.1    |              |
| Congo red agar method             | 54.8            | 85.2            | 79      | 65      |              |

Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of MRSA isolates

The provided table shows the resistance and sensitivity pattern of isolated MRSA strains towards different antibiotics. It was found that MRSA was most sensitive towards ciprofloxacin (93.4%), chloramphenicol (80.4%) and co-trimoxazole (74.7%) which was statistically significant (P<0.05). The isolated MRSA were resistant to ampicillin (100%), amoxicillin (100%) and trimethoprim (23.5%).

Table 8: Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of MRSA isolates
| Antibiotics    | Resistant | Sensitive | p-value |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
|               | MRSA      | %         | MRSA    | %         |         |
| Ampicillin    | 123       | 100       | 0       | 0         | -        |
| Amoxicillin   | 123       | 100       | 0       | 0         | -        |
| Cefotaxime    | 65        | 52.8      | 58      | 47.1      | 0.00     |
| Chloramphenicol| 25       | 20.3      | 98      | 80.4      | 0.00     |
| Ciprofloxacin | 8         | 6.5       | 115     | 93.4      | 0.00     |
| Co-Trimoxazole| 31        | 25.2      | 92      | 74.7      | 0.00     |
| Erythromycin  | 90        | 73.1      | 33      | 26.8      | 0.01     |
| Gentamicin    | 42        | 34.1      | 81      | 65.8      | 0.04     |
| Norfloxacin   | 76        | 61.7      | 47      | 38.2      | 0.00     |
| Ofloxacin     | 38        | 30.8      | 85      | 69.1      | 0.00     |
| Teicoplanin   | 55        | 44.7      | 68      | 55.2      | 0.82     |
| Tetracycline  | 59        | 47.9      | 64      | 52        | 0.62     |
| Trimethoprim  | 94        | 76.4      | 29      | 23.5      | 0.00     |

**Resistance pattern of biofilm producing MRSA**

The biofilm producing MRSA showed resistance to cefotaxime, chloramphenicol, teicoplanin, co-trimoxazole, erythromycin, norfloxacin, trimethoprim. The non-biofilm producing MRSA showed resistance to tetracycline, ofloxacin, gentamicin, and ciprofloxacin. Ampicillin and amoxicillin were resisted by both biofilm producers and non-biofilm producers.

Table 9: Resistance pattern of biofilm producing MRSA
| Antibiotics         | % of biofilm producing resistant | % of non-biofilm producing resistant |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Ampicillin          | 100%                             | 100%                                |
| Amoxicillin         | 100%                             | 100%                                |
| Cefotaxime          | 56.6%                            | 38%                                 |
| Chloramphenicol     | 20.6%                            | 15%                                 |
| Ciprofloxacin       | 1.5%                             | 10%                                 |
| Co-trimoxazole      | 25.3%                            | 25%                                 |
| Erythromycin        | 73%                              | 65%                                 |
| Gentamicin          | 33.3%                            | 38.3%                               |
| Norfloxacin         | 66.6%                            | 60.3%                               |
| Ofloxacin           | 25.3%                            | 36.6%                               |
| Teicoplanin         | 48.3%                            | 34.9%                               |
| Tetracycline        | 30.1%                            | 55%                                 |
| Trimethoprim        | 78.3%                            | 77.7%                               |

**Multidrug resistant (MDR) CA-MRSA**

Multidrug resistant community-acquired methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (CA-MRSA) were identified by their antibiotic resistivity pattern on, three or more than three commonly prescribed antibiotics of different classes. The prevalence of MDR CA-MRSA was 91%.

**Table 10: Multidrug resistant (MDR) CA-MRSA**

| Isolates          | CA-MRSA |
|-------------------|---------|
| Total isolates    | 123     |
| Multi-drug resistant | 112 (91%) |

**Vancomycin sensitivity of total MRSA isolates**

The MIC of vancomycin to the MRSA isolates was screened by microbroth dilution assay performed in microtitre wells. In our study, out of 123 MRSA samples the VSSA isolates were 9.7%, VISA isolates were 49.5% and VRSA isolates were 40.6%. In our study the high prevalence of VISA and VRSA isolates were screened.
**MIC of vancomycin to MRSA isolates from different sample population**

In our study the VSSA, VISA and VRSA strains of MRSA were screened from all sample populations under study. The highest prevalence of VISA strain was found in healthy population (15.4%). The highest prevalence of VRSA was found in barbers (15.4%).

| Sample population | VSSA (≤2µg/ml) | VISA (4-8µg/ml) | VRSA (≥16µg/ml) | Total |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| MWW               | 2 (1.6%)       | 18 (14.6%)     | 9 (7.3%)       | 29 (23.5%) |
| Barbers           | 3 (2.4%)       | 12 (9.7%)      | 19 (15.4%)     | 34 (27.6%) |
| Beauticians       | 4 (3.2%)       | 12 (9.7%)      | 16 (13%)       | 32 (26%) |
| Healthy           | 3 (2.4%)       | 19 (15.4%)     | 7 (5.6%)       | 28 (22.7%) |
| **Total**         | **12**         | **61**         | **50**         | **123** |

**MIC of vancomycin to MRSA isolates from different gender population**

The highest prevalence of VISA and VRSA were found to be in male population than in female population. However, the sample populations of female were less than that of male in our study.

| MIC vancomycin       | Male | Female | Total | p-value |
|----------------------|------|--------|-------|---------|
| VSSA (≤2µg/ml)       | 5 (4%) | 7 (5.6%) | 12 | 0.348 |
| VISA (4-8µg/ml)      | 33 (26.8%) | 28 (22.7%) | 61 | 0.113 |
| VRSA (≥16µg/ml)      | 29 (23.5%) | 21 (17%) | 50 | 0.515 |
| **Total**            | **67** | **56** | **123** |        |

**MIC of vancomycin to MRSA isolates from hand and nasal sample**

In this study, the highest prevalence of VISA was found to be in hand (31.7%) than in nasal (17.8%). Similarly, the highest prevalence of VRSA was found to be in hand (21.9%) than in nasal (18.6%). However, VSSA isolates were found more in hand (5.6%) sample than in nasal (4%) sample.

| MIC vancomycin       | Male | Female | Total | p-value |
|----------------------|------|--------|-------|---------|
| VSSA (≤2µg/ml)       | 5 (4%) | 7 (5.6%) | 12 | 0.348 |
| VISA (4-8µg/ml)      | 33 (26.8%) | 28 (22.7%) | 61 | 0.113 |
| VRSA (≥16µg/ml)      | 29 (23.5%) | 21 (17%) | 50 | 0.515 |
| **Total**            | **67** | **56** | **123** |        |
| MIC vancomycin | Hand | Nasal | Total | p-value |
|----------------|------|-------|-------|---------|
| VSSA (≤2µg/ml) | 7 (5.6%) | 5 (4%) | 12 | 0.9 |
| VISA (4-8µg/ml) | 39 (31.7%) | 22 (17.8%) | 61 | 0.3 |
| VRSA (≥16µg/ml) | 27 (21.9%) | 23 (18.6%) | 50 | 0.3 |
| Total | 73 | 50 | 123 | |

**MIC of vancomycin to MRSA isolates from different age groups of sample population**

Among 123 MRSA isolates, the highest VISA isolates were screened from the age group 20-29 years (21.1%). Even the highest percentage of VRSA was screened from the age group of 20-29 years (14.6%).

Table 14: MIC of vancomycin to MRSA isolates from different age groups of sample population

| Age group       | VSSA (≤2µg/ml) | VISA (4-8µg/ml) | VRSA (≥16µg/ml) | Total |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Below 20 Years  | 0              | 10 (8.1%)       | 10 (8.1%)       | 20 (16.2%) |
| 20-29 Years     | 3 (2.4%)       | 26 (21.1%)      | 18 (14.6%)      | 47 (38.2%) |
| 30-39 Years     | 2 (1.6%)       | 15 (12.1%)      | 15 (12.1%)      | 32 (26%) |
| 40-49 Years     | 2 (1.6%)       | 8 (6.5%)        | 6 (4.8%)        | 16 (13%) |
| 50 and Above    | 5 (4%)         | 2 (1.6%)        | 1 (0.8%)        | 8 (6.5%) |
| Total           | 12             | 61              | 50              | 123 |

**Discussion**

*Staphylococcus aureus* is one of the common pathogens isolated in most microbiological laboratories [13]. It is responsible for a wide range of infections including superficial skin infections, food poisoning, osteomyelitis and septicemia [14].

Methicillin-resistant strains of staphylococci emerged by late 1970s and now have stood as prevalent as nosocomial pathogens [15]. The emergence of vancomycin resistant *S. aureus* has raised the concern that none of the antimicrobial drugs will be left to treat patients infected by these strains of staphylococci [16].

Our study was carried out at microbiology laboratory of Central Campus of Technology, Hattisar, Dharan, Sub-metropolitan city. During the study period, two types of samples were collected from single individual under study and processed for the isolation and identification of CA-MRSA. The sample included nasal swab and hand swab from each person. In our study 123 community acquired
methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA) was isolated from 400 samples in which 200 were nasal swab sample and 200 were hand swab sample. In this study the total identified MRSA was 123 (30.7%) isolated from 400 samples. In our study the prevalence of MRSA in 200 sample population was found to be 61.5%. The prevalence of MRSA in Nepal ranges from 39% to 69% [17, 18, 19, 20, 21] which showed similar result to our study.

In our study higher frequency of MRSA was isolated from males than in females, 67 (63.80%) from males and 56 (58.94%) from females. Prevalence of MRSA in male was higher than in female according to previous study done by Khanal and Jha. 2010 [20]. Hence, prevalence of MRSA was seen more in males as compared with females. Similar findings were obtained even in our study although the prevalence of MRSA in two gender was not found statistically significant, p>0.05.

In male, the prevalence of MRSA was highest with 27 (40.2%) in age group 20-29 years followed by 25 (37.3%) from 30-39 years of age. In female, the prevalence of MRSA was highest in age group of 20-29 years of age with 18 (32.1%) positive samples. However, the prevalence of MRSA among different age group was not statistically significant, p>0.05. In our study the highest percentage of MRSA was isolated from hand 73 (36.5%) and in nasal it was found to be 50 (25%). Lower frequency of nasal carriage of MRSA was found in the studies performed by Rai et al. 1990 that reported 7.5% nasal carriage [16]. The prevalence of CA-MRSA in hand and nasal was statistically significant, p<0.05.

From 50 barber’s population the total samples obtained were 100 (50 hand and 50-nasal). Out of total barbers samples 34 MRSA were isolated; 23 (46%) from hand and 11 (22%) from nasal. In beauticians, 32 MRSA were isolated; 19 (38%) from hand and 13 (26%) from nasal. In municipal waste workers the isolated MRSA were 29 out of which 16 (32%) were from hand swab and 13 (26%) were from nasal swab. In healthy population isolated MRSA were 28 out of which 14 (28%) were from hand swab and 14 (28%) were from nasal swab. The frequency of isolated MRSA was reported more from the barbers followed by beauticians and municipal waste workers. This study reported the carriage of CA-MRSA higher in potential risk population. The prevalence of MRSA in hand and nasal of Barber’s population was statistically significant (p<0.05).
Presence of MRSA in waste workers may be due to the direct contact with contaminated clinical wastes. There was statistical significant relation between presence of MRSA and workers exposure to clinical wastes (p-value<0.05). Municipal waste workers were under regular exposure to clinical and domestic wastes which could be the reason behind higher colonization of MRSA in municipal waste workers. Prevalence of MRSA with the previous history of skin infection in the population was found to be statistically significant (p-value<0.05).

Risk factors of CA-MRSA in skin infections include exposure to clinical wastes, occupations, skin to skin contact, irrational use of antibiotics, old age, etc. For the treatment of MRSA infection the most often used drug include vancomycin but the emergence of vancomycin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (VRSA) has become a great issue as it leads to failure of clinical therapy in treating infections. CDC estimated that about 12% of MRSA infections are now community associated but this percentage can vary by community and patient population due to the recent antibiotic use, sharing contaminating items, having active skin diseases or injuries, poor hygiene and living in crowded settings. The transmission of MRSA is largely from people with active MRSA skin infections and spread always by four routes of transmission of MRSA. They are direct contact with infected or colonized individuals, indirect contact through contaminated hands of health care workers, contaminated air and contaminated environmental surfaces or equipment.

In our study, the antibiotic susceptibility test of CA-MRSA reported that the most effective antibiotic was ciprofloxacin, chloramphenicol and co-trimaxole. Out of 123 CA-MRSA positive samples, ciprofloxacin was 93.4% sensitive, chloramphenicol was 80.4% sensitive and co-trimoxazole was 74.7% sensitive. The sensitivity of the MRSA against those drugs was statistically significant (p<0.05). Ampicillin and amoxicillin were 100% resistant. Other antibiotics such as trimethoprim (23.5%), erythromycin (26.8%), norfloxacin (38.2%) and cefotaxime (47.1%) showed less than 50% sensitivity whereas; tetracycline (52%), teicoplanin (55.2%), gentamicin (65.8%), and ofloxacin (69.1%) showed more than 50% sensitivity. In a study conducted by Wylie et al. 2005 erythromycin susceptibility was 40% to CA-MRSA [22]. Erythromycin susceptibility of CA-MRSA strains was 51.7% as done by Mandelia et al. 2012 [23]. Around 73.1% of CA-MRSA isolates were resistant to erythromycin in our study. Our
result supports the previous studies conducted in Nepal which reported increasing resistance of bacteria towards erythromycin and co-trimoxazole due to excessive use of their drugs to treat infections [21]. Even in our study the isolated superbug showed reduced sensitivity to erythromycin. The susceptibility of ciprofloxacin was 88% observed in study conducted by Khatri et al. 2017 [24]. In our study the susceptibility to ciprofloxacin was 93.4% which was found high in comparison to other studies. Isolates MRSA were most sensitive to ciprofloxacin drug which was statistically significant with p<0.05. In study conducted by Mandelia et al. (2012) the susceptibility to ciprofloxacin was only 18.3% [23]. Chloramphenicol, co-trimoxazole and ciprofloxacin were much sensitive drugs in our study with statistical significance (p<0.05). Khatri et al. (2017) reported that higher MRSA sensitive to vancomycin followed by co-trimoxazole (84.2%) [24].

In Nepal, due to unnecessary use of antibiotic without doctor’s prescription, the emergence of antibiotic resistance has been increasing. People can purchase antibiotic directly from pharmaceuticals, which has led to antibiotic resistant strains of microorganisms. However, the emergence of antibiotic resistant bacteria continues to threaten the ability to treat infections. Recently, antibiotic resistant pathogens have been emerging in community, which may increase the impact they have on populations.

Antimicrobial resistance is an innate feature of bacterial biofilms and biofilm formation is higher in MRSA [25]. The biofilm forming ability of isolated MRSA was performed by microtitre plate method, tube method and congo red agar method. The biofilm producing isolated MRSA by microtitre plate method was 51.2% and non-biofilm producer were 48.7%. On the other hand 41.4% of isolated MRSA were shown biofilm producer by tube method. Congo red agar method reported 34.1% MRSA isolate as biofilm producer.

In our study the strong, moderate and weak biofilm producers by microtitre plate methods were 15.4%, 35.7% and 48.7% respectively. The strong, moderate and weak biofilm producer by tube method was 11.3%, 30% and 58.5% respectively. The strong, moderate and weak biofilm producers by congo red agar method were 8.9%, 25.2% and 65.8% respectively. In overall the biofilm producing CA-MRSA were 51.2% and rest (48.8%) were non-producers. Number of false positive and false
negative was reported in the comparison. It was difficult to discriminate strong, moderate and weak biofilm producers in tube method and congo red agar method due to phenotypic variations. The Sensitivity and specificity of tube method was found to be 75% and 74.6% respectively. For congo red agar method the sensitivity and specificity was found to be 54.8 % and 85.2%. The statistical analysis of screening were similar even in our findings which supports different other similar findings done before. Hassan et al. 2011 concluded microtitre plate method as gold standard technique for screening biofilm as compared to tube method and congo red agar method [12]. In our study, the microtitre plate method was found to be most sensitive and efficient method for quantitative screening of biofilm as compared to tube and congo red agar method. The screening of biofilm by microtitre method, tube method and congo red agar method was statistically significant (p<0.05).

The study conducted by Rezaei et al. (2013) reported that 15.4% of CA-MRSA was strong biofilm producer and rest 19.2% and 65.4% were medium and weak biofilm producer respectively [26]. The biofilm producing MRSA showed high resistance to cefotaxime, chloramphenicol, teicoplanin, co-trimoxazole, erythromycin, norfloxacin, trimethoprim. The non-biofilm producing MRSA showed resistance to tetracycline, ofloxacin, gentamicin, and ciprofloxacin. The resistivity pattern of biofilm producer and non-biofilm producer was statistically significant (p<0.05). Ampicillin and amoxicillin were resisted by both biofilm producers and non-biofilm producers. The resistant pattern of biofilm producing reported the ability of biofilm formation in drug resistance. However, non-biofilm producing antibiotic resistant was even found in our study. It can be explained that other than biofilm formation many other contributory role of bacteria is responsible for drug resistance.

Ciprofloxacin is known to be most effective against biofilm forming bacteria. In our study ciprofloxacin showed effectiveness to both biofilm producing and non-producing MRSA strains. In our study, only 1.5% biofilm producers were resistant to ciprofloxacin and rests were all sensitive. In our study the sensitivity of MRSA to ciprofloxacin was statistically significant (p<0.05). The study conducted by ElShekh et al. (2013) reported that 99.9% of MRSA isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin and vancomycin [27]. Similar finding was seen even in our study. Most of the biofilm producing MRSA isolates showed higher resistance to trimethoprim and erythromycin. In overall 76.4% CA-MRSA were
resistant to trimethoprim. Pate et al. 2009 reported that trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole resistance associated with MRSA infections [28]. In our study the Trimethoprim resistance MRSA was 76.4% which shows increasing resistance pattern of CA-MRSA. The antimicrobial resistance seen in our study was higher among biofilm producing MRSA. The study conducted by Neopane et al. (2018) reported the higher drug resistance by biofilm producing *Staphylococcus aureus* than the non-producers (p<0.05) [29]. The study indicates that biofilm producing ability might be one of the crucial factors for resistance towards the antibiotics.

In our study, 91% of CA-MRSA isolates were known to be MDR. Multidrug resistant bacteria were higher among biofilm producers which was also statistically significant (p<0.05). The emergence of multi-drug resistant MRSA has further increased the need for search of alternative antimicrobial therapeutic agents in order to tackle with the infections caused by MDR CA-MRSA. The study strongly suggests adding up proper policy on sanitation, precaution and awareness to prevent the infections of CA-MRSA. Multidrug resistance pattern may limit option for clinical therapy.

Our study reported a significant prevalence of VISA in nasal swab as well as in hand swab from all potential and healthy population. However, the prevalence of VISA in hand and nasal was not statistically significant (p>0.05). In overall study population the 49.5 % of MRSA were identified as VISA strains (4-8µg/ml). Similarly, the study performed by Mendez et al. (2013) reported the nasal and hand surface colonized by VISA strains but showed lower prevalence of VISA (20%) [30].

VRSA and VISA were detected in all potential risk population as well as in healthy controls. In the study, 40.65 % of MRSA were identified as VRSA and the overall prevalence of VRSA in population was 25%. The prevalence of VRSA in nasal cavity of study population was 11.5%. The prevalence of VRSA was high in potential risk populations. In our Study 38% of MRSA isolates from barber population were identified as VRSA. The similar prevalence of VRSA strains were identified in beauticians (32%). In our study the prevalence of VRSA and VISA strains in nasal cavity were 11.5%. However, the study conducted by ElSayed et al. (2018) reported only one strain of VISA from nasal cavity of health care worker [31]. Ghoniem et al. 2014 reported higher resistance rate 20.68% VISA and 20.68% VRSA from clinical samples which indicates the rising prevalence of VRSA and VISA strains [32]. Although
vancomycin has been used as a drug of choice for treating MRSA, recently the VISA has been reported in CA-MRSA clones [33].

Detection of vancomycin resistance is essential not only for an optimal therapy, but also for infection control measures and epidemiological purposes. As recommended by the Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), the broth dilution method was used for MIC determination for the detection of VISA and VRSA strains in this study. Most of the microbiological laboratories in Nepal depend upon disk diffusion method to identify susceptibility of *S. aureus* to vancomycin, which however cannot differentiate VISA and VRSA strains because of similar zone of inhibition. Detection of VRSA raises the concern and issue not only in clinical therapy but even in epidemiological settings.

Conclusions
In our study significant rise of VISA and VRSA were reported from CA-MRSA. The pathogen is spreading in community, hospitals and in wide areas. It can be warned that lack of hygiene, exposure to wastes and unauthorized use of drugs lead in transmission of the MRSA. These results concludes that emergence of VISA and VRSA in community setting requires immediate collaborative effort to search for measures to fight with the superbug. Improvement in personal hygiene and formulation of appropriate antimicrobial policy will help to prevent CA-MRSA infection.
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**Figure 1**

Gender wise distribution of MRSA positive in sample population

**Figure 2**

Vancomycin sensitivity of total MRSA isolates
Different results of CA-MRSA. (A) Biofilm screening by congo red agar positive (black colonies). (B) Biofilm production of CA-MRSA in microtitre wells. (C) Antibiotic susceptibility test of CA-MRSA. (D) MIC of vancomycin by Microbroth dilution method.