Clinical significance of LSECtin and its association with PVR in non-small-cell lung cancer patients
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Background: Liver and lymph node sinusoidal endothelial cell C-type lectin (LSECtin) is one of the new generation immune checkpoint ligand molecules and plays an important role in the immune environment. Poliovirus receptor (PVR), as another immunosuppression-related molecule, is upregulated in various malignant tumors. However, the clinical value of LSECtin and the correlation of LSECtin with PVR in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) remain to be elucidated. In this study, a retrospective study was performed to address these issues.

Methods: This retrospective study included 98 patients with NSCLC. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was used to detect the expression of LSECtin and PVR in the paraffin-embedded tumor tissue specimens. LSECtin was analyzed for associations with the survival rate and overall survival (OS) of the subjects. The mRNA expression of LSECtin and PVR was assessed using the expression data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. Clinical characteristics, prognosis, and the expression of LSECtin and PVR were included in the statistical analysis.

Results: High positive rates of LSECtin were found in the patients with NSCLC who were nonsmokers, at advanced stages, or had lung adenocarcinoma. Patients with positive LSECtin expression had a significantly lower survival rate (P=0.008) and shorter OS (P=0.017) than those with negative LSECtin. Significant correlation was found between the LSECtin and PVR expression in the patients with NSCLC (P<0.001).

Conclusions: The increased expression of LSECtin was related to the poor prognosis of patients with NSCLC after tumor resection and has the potential value for predicting the prognosis of these patients. The positive correlation between LSECtin and PVR in NSCLC provides a theoretical basis for the future combination therapy of immune checkpoints.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is one of the cancer types with the highest morbidity and mortality worldwide. As the most common histologic subtype of lung cancer, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) has various treatments and strategies (1), but the 5-year survival rate for patients with NSCLC is not favorable. In cancer therapy, immune checkpoint inhibitors are used to block or activate the relevant pathways to suppress tumor immune escape or tumor progression. Extensive studies have been performed on the functions of classic immune checkpoint regulating the pro-inflammatory T cell response and maintaining self-tolerance (2). The successful blockade of cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) or programmed death 1 (PD-1)/programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) pathways in clinical trials has greatly enhanced the strategies for NSCLC treatment in long-term drug resistance environment (3). Despite the encouraging results from immune checkpoint therapy, recent studies have shown that the overall efficiency of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy in patients with NSCLC remains unsatisfactory. The response rate is only approximately 20%, and most patients show acquired resistance even during the early treatment stage (4). These records indicate that new inhibitory pathways beyond CTLA-4 and PD-1/PD-L1 are urgently needed to treat patients with NSCLC. Lymphocyte-activation gene 3 (LAG-3), T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3 (TIM-3), and T cell immunoglobulin and ITIM domain (TIGIT) pathways have attracted the most attention in the hot topic of next-generation immune checkpoint (5).

LAG-3, TIM-3, and TIGIT are receptors expressed in the plasma membrane of immune cells. Similar to PD-1, these receptors have corresponding ligands expressed in the tumor cell membrane. The ligand on the tumor cell surface that binds to the receptor of the immune cell membrane will also promote tumor cell escape from immune surveillance (5). Blocking these pathways of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) could enhance antitumor immune responses. Coexpression of LAG-3 and PD-1 is found to be upregulated on several tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), resulting in immune exhaustion and promotion of tumor cell proliferation (6-8). This reaction could also improve other kinds of immunotherapy because of its various action mechanisms primarily by preventing the cell cycle process (9). Similar to PD-1 and PD-L1 pair, blocking the ligands of the ICIs on the tumor cell surface is also crucial to reduce tumor immune suppression. These ligands could be important biomarkers in clinical diagnosis, treatment selection, and prognosis.

As one of the ligands of the immune checkpoint molecule LAG-3, the liver and lymph node sinusoidal endothelial cell C-type lectin (LSECtin) mediated the mechanism of tumor immune escape in melanoma (10). LSECtin was first discovered to be expressed in sinusoidal endothelial cells of the liver and lymph nodes (11). LSECtin belongs to the calcium-dependent lectin family (11). Studies had confirmed that LSECtin not only acted as an adhesion factor for exogenous pathogens (12,13) but also played an important role in autoimmune regulation (14). Physiologically, LSECtin inhibited activated T cells in the liver and protected the liver from excessive immune responses (14). LSECtin could also suppress CD4(+)/CD8(+) T cell proliferation and interleukin-2 (IL-2) production by upregulating the expression of casitas B-lineage lymphoma B (Cbl-b) (15). Moreover, LSECtin-Butyrophilin Subfamily 3 Member A3 (BTN3A3) axis was involved in the mediation of the differentiation of macrophages and had an important role in enhancing the stemness of breast cancer in the tumor environment (16). However, the role of LSECtin in the progression of NSCLC remains unclear.

The poliovirus receptor (PVR) is another molecule related to the immunosuppression caused by tumor cells. In the authors’ previous studies, PVR, the ligand of TIGIT, was positively expressed in most patients with NSCLC and significantly related to the overall survival (OS) (17). Moreover, PVR expression was positively correlated with CTLA-4 in clinical surgically resected NSCLC, exploring the important role of PVR in immune response (17). TILs often highly express high levels of TIGIT, consistent with a dysfunctional phenotype (18,19). The expression of LAG-3 and TIGIT on TILs was significantly related to PD-1 in cutaneous melanoma (20). Anti-LAG-3 monoclonal antibody (BMS-986016) is one of the anti-LAG-3 antibodies under investigation, and the clinical
trials of BMS-986016 alone or the combination of BMS-986016 and Nivolumab for several malignant tumors are still in the clinical evaluation stage (21). Crosstalk between the regulatory pathways of the ICI ligands on the tumor cells could also be a key immune-modulating mechanism. Understanding the relationship between different ligands on tumors can provide additional information for the clinical application of their inhibitors as a treatment for cancer. In this study, the expression and prognostic significance of LSECtin in patients with NSCLC were investigated. At the same time, the correlation between the expression of LSECtin and PVR was explored in the NSCLC samples. The following article is presented in accordance with the REMARK reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-3665).

**Methods**

**Patients**

Tumor tissue samples were collected from 98 patients with NSCLC who successfully underwent tumor resection in Hubei Taihe Hospital from 2014 to 2017. The samples were paraffin-embedded tumor sections and stored at 4 °C. Relevant patient statistics, including the time of diagnosis, gender, age, smoking history, pathological pattern, degree of tumor differentiation, and tumor stage, were collected using an electronic medical record system. According to the pathological examination, all NSCLC cases were diagnosed into two types, namely, 50 cases of lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) and 48 cases of lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC). The tumor stage was determined according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer and Union for International Cancer Control. The standard for age classification was 65 years old. Meanwhile, long-term follow-up of all patients was conducted through clinical observation or telephone communication. The median follow-up time for all cases was 56.95 months. The follow-up deadline for all cases was January 2020. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013) and had been approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee of Hubei Taihe Hospital (2020KS014). All patients signed informed consent.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Paraffin-embedded sections (4 µm thick) were dewaxed in xylene and hydrated with a graded ethanol solution. All samples were stained with an immunohistochemistry kit (K8002; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). The primary antibodies used were anti-LSECtin (1:200 dilution; Abcam) and anti-PVR (1:200 dilution; Cell Signaling). Each section was counterstained with hematoxylin.

**Immunohistochemical (IHC) scoring**

The IHC staining results were blindly evaluated by two independent pathologists using an optical microscope. According to the percentage of positively stained cells and staining intensity, the IHC score was determined by a semi-quantitative method. The percentages of positively stained cells were scored as 0 (<5%), 1+ (6–25%), 2+ (25–50%), 3+ (50–75%), and 4+ (>75%). The staining intensity was categorized as follows: 0, no staining; 1, weak staining; 2, moderate staining; and 3, strong staining. Two results were multiplied to obtain an IHC score. Finally, high expression (score ≥2 or 3) and low expression (score >3) were defined as positive, and the expression was negative when the score was 0.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS statistical software version 25.0. The χ²-test was used to assess the relationship between categorical variables and the correlation between LSECtin and PVR expression levels. The capability of LSECtin expression to identify pathological types in NSCLC was evaluated by the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. The effect of clinical variables on the LSECtin expression was determined by logistic regression analysis. The OS of patients was defined as the time from diagnosis to death or last follow-up time. Survival results were analyzed by Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate analyses of the survival data were performed by using Cox models. All statistical tests were two-sided, and P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**TCGA database analysis**

The data of mRNA expression in several types of tumor were collected from TCGA databases. These tumors included LUAD (n=576), LUSC (n=553), liver cancer (n=423), and colon cancer (n=329). The data were normalized in log 2 (norm_count 1). Then, RStudio (1.2.5033) library ggpubr (0.0.2) was used to analyze and
plot all data with Pearson correlation method. In addition, P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 98 patients with NSCLC, including 78 males (79.6%) and 20 females (20.4%), were included in this study. The average age of patients at the time of diagnosis was 58 years (range, 35–77 years). Clinicopathological diagnosis showed that 50 patients had LUAD and 48 patients had LUSC. In terms of the tumor node metastasis (TNM) stage, 49 (50.0%), 30 (30.6%), 17 (17.4%), and 2 (2.0%) patients were determined to be in stages I, II, III, and IV, respectively. A total of 68 cases had moderate differentiation, accounting for the largest proportion of all cases. The numbers of poorly and highly differentiated cases were 22 and 8 cases, respectively. In addition, the smoking history, which was a peculiar factor affecting lung disease, was investigated. The results showed that 68.4% of patients had a smoking history (n=67). Finally, 25 patients died, and 4 patients lost contact.

Association of LSECtin expression with the clinicopathological characteristics

The immunohistochemistry images showed that the positive staining of LSECtin was mainly located in the cytoplasm of cells, with a small amount of nuclear staining (Figure 1). First, the association of LSECtin expression was evaluated with the clinicopathological information of the patients with NSCLC, and the results are summarized in Table 1. The positive rate of LSECtin in patients with LUAD was significantly higher than that in LUSC (P<0.001). The data showed that the degree of tumor progression was also related to the expression of LSECtin,
and the LSECtin positive rate of patients with advanced NSCLC was significantly increased (P=0.045). The positive rate of LSECtin in nonsmokers was interestingly higher than in smokers (P=0.048). No significant statistical difference was found between LSECtin expression and other clinical information (including gender, age, and tumor differentiation). Then, a logistic regression analysis of clinical factors was performed (Table 2). Univariate results showed that smoking history (P=0.050) and pathological pattern (P<0.001) were related to tumor LSECtin expression. In multiple regression analysis, pathological classification (P<0.001) and tumor stage (P=0.010) were independently correlated with tumor LSECtin expression.

**Significance of pathological pattern and smoking history on LSECtin expression in different conditions**

The pathological pattern is an important indicator of the early diagnosis of cancer and instructive for subsequent cancer treatment. Given its specificity, smoking history has become one of the factors used as foci in clinical lung-related diseases. The results show that the expression of LSECtin was associated with pathological patterns and smoking history in patients with NSCLC (Tables 1,2). To further explore the interaction between the clinical factors, a multilayer χ²-test was performed to compare the effects of various factors on the LSECtin expression with different pathological types (Table 3) and smoking habits (Table 4).

### Table 1 Association of the expression of LSECtin and clinicopathological characteristics in NSCLC patients

| Characteristics          | LSECtin expression | χ²   | P value |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------|---------|
|                          | Positive (%)       | Negative (%) |      |
| Gender                   |                    |      |         |
| Male                     | 39 (50.0)          | 39 (50.0) | 1.438  | 0.230   |
| Female                   | 13 (65.0)          | 7 (35.0)  |       |
| Age(years)               |                    |      |         |
| ≤65                      | 42 (53.8)          | 36 (46.2) | 0.095  | 0.758   |
| >65                      | 10 (50.0)          | 10 (50.0) |       |
| Smoking history          |                    |      |         |
| Smoker                   | 31 (46.3)          | 36 (53.7) | 3.924  | 0.048   |
| Nonsmoker                | 21 (67.7)          | 10 (32.3) |       |
| Pathological pattern     |                    |      |         |
| LUAD                     | 38 (76.0)          | 12 (24.0) | 21.567 | <0.001  |
| LUSC                     | 14 (29.2)          | 34 (70.8) |       |
| Cell differentiation     |                    |      |         |
| Poorly                   | 10 (45.5)          | 12 (54.5) | 0.659  | 0.417   |
| Moderate and Well        | 42 (55.3)          | 34 (44.7) |       |
| TNM stage                |                    |      |         |
| I+II                     | 38 (48.1)          | 41 (51.9) | 4.025  | 0.045   |
| III+IV                   | 14 (73.7)          | 5 (26.3)  |       |
| Survival status          |                    |      |         |
| Alive                    | 33 (45.2)          | 40 (54.8) | 7.090  | 0.008   |
| Dead                     | 19 (76.0)          | 6 (24.0)   |       |

LSECtin, liver and lymph node sinusoidal endothelial cell C-type lectin; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; TNM, tumor node metastasis.
The effects of TNM stage on the expression of LSECtin in the samples were significantly different in the two NSCLC pathological types. LSECtin expression was not affected by the TNM stage in patients with LUAD. However, in patients with LUSC, the positive rate in early-stage patients was lower than that in advanced patients (P=0.016). The LSECtin positive rate of nonsmokers was previously found to be higher than that of smokers, and this phenomenon should be mainly affected by the pathological type of the patients with NSCLC. Most patients with smoking history were diagnosed with LUSC (P<0.001), and the positive expression of LSECtin in patients with LUAD was dominant. The capability of LSECtin was assessed from the ROC curve to identify the pathological typing of NSCLC (Figure 2). The results showed that the area under the curve was 0.756 (P<0.001), and the LSECtin expression could be used to distinguish the pathological types with 70.8% specificity and 76.0% sensitivity.

### Relationship between the expression of LSECtin and PVR

Blocking of classical immune checkpoint PD-L1 ligands on multiple cancer types had produced promising clinical results. LSECtin and PVR were the ligands of LAG-3 and TIGIT, respectively. To investigate the expression of the two molecules in NSCLC, the PVR expression was simultaneously detected in this batch of tumor tissues by IHC staining. PVR was found to be mainly expressed in the tumor cells, and a small amount of positive staining was distributed in the lymphatic infiltrating cells (Figure 1). Then, χ² analysis was used to describe the association between the expression of LSECtin and PVR in patients with NSCLC (Table 5). Interestingly, the expression of LSECtin was positively correlated with PVR in patients with NSCLC (P<0.001).

The mRNA expression of LSECtin and PVR was analyzed in several cancers by using the TCGA database.
In the correlation analysis, the expression of LSECtin and PVR mRNA was found to have a trend of positive correlation in LUSC (P=0.066). The correlation analysis of LSECtin and PVR in the samples from patients with NSCLC with different pathological patterns is shown in Table S1. The expression of LSECtin and PVR was positively correlated in patients with LUSC, which was consistent with the results from the TCGA database. Although the correlation between these two molecules in LUAD had no significant statistical correlation, the data showed a certain positive correlation. Meanwhile, the probability of double-positive LSECtin and PVR in patients with LUAD was greater than that in patients with LUSC. These results still need to be verified by expanding the sample size in subsequent studies.

### Association among LSECtin expression and survival outcome with NSCLC

The patients were followed up regularly, and 25 patients had died by January 2020. The $\chi^2$ results showed that, in all 98 NSCLC cases, the survival rate of patients with positive LSECtin expression was significantly lower than those with negative LSECtin (P=0.008, Table 1). Meanwhile, Figure 3 also shows that the survival time of the LSECtin-positive group was significantly shorter than that in the negative group.

| Clinicopathological Characteristics | Pathological pattern |  |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|
|                                   | LUAD LSECtin positive | LSECtin negative |
|                                   | LUSC LSECtin positive | LSECtin negative |
| Gender                            |                     |   |
| Male                              | 25 | 6 | 14 | 33 |
| Female                            | 13 | 6 | 0  | 1  |
| P value                           | 0.326                    | 0.517                  |
| Age                               |                     |   |
| ≤65                               | 32 | 10 | 10 | 26 |
| >65                               | 6  | 2  | 4  | 8  |
| P value                           | 0.942                    | 0.714                  |
| Smoking history                   |                     |   |
| Smoker                            | 17 | 5  | 14 | 31 |
| Non-smoker                        | 21 | 7  | 0  | 3  |
| P value                           | 0.852                    | 0.251                  |
| Cell differentiation              |                     |   |
| Poor                              | 6  | 2  | 4  | 10 |
| Moderate and Well                 | 32 | 10 | 10 | 24 |
| P value                           | 0.942                    | 0.954                  |
| TNM stage                         |                     |   |
| I+II                              | 30 | 11 | 8  | 30 |
| III+IV                            | 8  | 1  | 6  | 4  |
| P value                           | 0.317                    | 0.016                  |

LSECtin, liver and lymph node sinusoidal endothelial cell C-type lectin; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; TNM, tumor node metastasis.
The mean survival time of patients with NSCLC was 65.67 months in the negative group and was only 50.92 months in the positive group. Given that the effects of pathological typing and smoking history on LSECtin expression had been confirmed, pathological typing and smoking history were also included in the OS analysis (Figure 4). The OS of patients in the adenocarcinoma group (P=0.033) and the nonsmoker group (P=0.011) were evidently susceptible to LSECtin positive expression. As shown in Table 6, univariate analysis indicated that the TNM stage and LSECtin expression were key indicators related to OS. Moreover, multivariate analysis showed that pathological typing (P=0.030) and tumor stage (P<0.001) could be identified as independent predictors of OS.

### Discussion

In this study, the expression of LSECtin in the tumor tissues of 98 patients with NSCLC was evaluated. The results showed that LSECtin could be detected in the tumor cells of the patients, and LSECtin positive expression was related to several clinical factors, including smoking history, pathological typing, and TNM stage. In addition, the patients with positive expression of LSECtin had a significantly lower OS rate than the negative group. Furthermore, the results indicated a positive correlation...
between the expression of LSECtin and PVR in the tumor cells of patients with NSCLC. These data suggested that LSECtin could be a clinical immunotherapy biomarker for NSCLC.

Previous studies have reported that CD44 was an “endogenous” ligand of LSECtin (22), while the CD44 family was involved in the regulation of the extracellular matrix and cell migration (23,24). LSECtin has been associated with tumor migration. In colon cancer, the liver metastasis of LS174T and LoVo cells in LSECtin-knockout nude mice was significantly reduced compared with the control group (25). In addition, the study also showed that the serum levels of soluble LSECtin in patients with metastatic colon cancer was higher than in nonmetastatic colon cancer (25). Another type C lectin DC-SIGNR, which was also homologous to LSECtin, had also been proved to present the same role in cancer (26). Local recurrence and distant metastasis had important impact on the prognosis of Chinese patients with NSCLC. In the data statistics of all NSCLC tumor samples, the positive rate of LSECtin increased in LUAD and advanced patients, and LSECtin positive expression was associated with poor prognosis. Patients with adenocarcinoma had a high risk of metastasis (27), and most advanced cancer patients may have long-distance-organ metastasis when they were diagnosed. Therefore, LSECtin could be used as a potential biomarker to predict the prognosis of NSCLC.

The important role of LSECtin in the immune system has been highlighted in recent studies. LSECtin mediated the release of anti-inflammatory factors from macrophages to direct apoptotic cell clearance and intestinal repair in experimental colitis (28). In a melanoma study, the combination of LSECtin and LAG-3 suppressed tumor-specific T cell immune responses (10). In the present study, analysis of the IHC results showed that the expression of LSECtin and PVR was positively correlated in the tumor tissues. PVR and LSECtin belonged to the immune co-inhibitory ligands in tumor cells, and the immunosuppressive receptors of PVR included TIGIT and CD96 (5). PVR had been reported to be highly expressed in various malignancies (29). PVR could cause immune suppression by regulating the activity of T cells and natural killer (NK) cells (29,30). Myeloid-derived cells had a wide range of immunosuppressive effects, and PVR and LSECtin could be found in myeloid-derived cells. LSECtin existed in various myeloid-derived cells, which are related to antigen capture and recognition in the human body (31). The expression of PVR in the melanoma cells and tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells influenced the efficacy of anti-PD-1 or anti-CTLA4 immunotherapy (32). Moreover, the LAG-3 and TIGIT pathways involved in LSECtin and PVR had been analyzed in some related immunotherapy

Table 5 Association of LSECtin and PVR expression in NSCLC patients

| PVR        | LSECtin | \( \chi^2 \) | \( P \) |
|-----------|---------|-------------|-------|
| Positive  | 38      | 14          | 17.820 | <0.001 |
| Negative  | 14      | 32          | 17.820 | <0.001 |

LSECtin, liver and lymph node sinusoidal endothelial cell C-type lectin; PVR, poliovirus receptor; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer.
Figure 3 Kaplan-Meier survival analysis for OS in different LSECtin expression. LSECtin, liver and lymph node sinusoidal endothelial cell C-type lectin; OS, overall survival.

Figure 4 Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of OS in LSECtin expression with pathological pattern (A,B) and smoking history classification (C,D). LSECtin, liver and lymph node sinusoidal endothelial cell C-type lectin; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; OS, overall survival.
studies. The expression of highly inhibitory co-receptors, such as TIGIT and LAG-3, in lung NK cells has been correlated with mouse tumor lung metastasis (33). In immunotherapy resistance research, the exhausted T cells mediated by TIGIT and LAG-3 pathways were one of the important factors for the carboplatin chemoresistance in lung cancer (34). Therefore, future studies of ligands, including LSECtin and PVR, on different tumor cells will increase the selectivity and safety of the new-generation immune checkpoint blocking therapy. In the tumor microenvironment, the effect of each immune checkpoint pathway in tumor progression is not independent. The nonredundancy of multiple immunosuppressive pathways and the increasing accumulated clinical experience suggest that optimizing immunotherapy requires improvements by multiple aspects and pathways.

Smoking history has long been considered as a driving factor for lung cancer. Nonsmokers have accounted for 10–30% of all cases of lung cancer, and the cancer prevalence of nonsmokers exhibited an increasing trend in recent years (35,36). The epidemiological study of lung cancer showed that nonsmokers had remarkable differences in clinical and molecular characteristics compared with smokers (37). Thus, this special group should be paid attention. In this study, LSECtin expression was more likely to be found in nonsmokers, and the LSECtin expression was more

### Table 6 Univariate and multivariate analyses of overall survival in patients with NSCLC.

| Variable                        | Overall survival | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                 |                  | OR (95% CI)         | P value               | OR (95% CI)         | P value               |
| Age (years)                     |                  |                     |                       |                      |                      |
| ≤65                             |                  | 1                   |                       |                      |                      |
| >65                             |                  | 1.247 (0.497–3.128) | 0.638                 |                      |                      |
| Gender                          |                  |                     |                       |                      |                      |
| Male                            |                  | 1                   |                       |                      |                      |
| Female                          |                  | 0.716 (0.245–2.087) | 0.540                 |                      |                      |
| Smoking history                 |                  |                     |                       |                      |                      |
| Smoker                          |                  | 1                   |                       |                      |                      |
| Non-smoker                      |                  | 0.693 (0.276–1.737) | 0.434                 |                      |                      |
| Pathological pattern            |                  |                     |                       |                      |                      |
| LUAD                            |                  | 1                   |                       | 1                    |                       |
| LUSC                            |                  | 0.662 (0.297–1.473) | 0.312                 | 0.314 (0.111–0.892) | 0.030                 |
| Cell differentiation            |                  |                     |                       |                      |                      |
| Poor                            |                  | 1                   |                       |                      |                      |
| Moderate and Well               |                  | 0.865 (0.345–2.169) | 0.758                 |                      |                      |
| TNM stage                       |                  |                     |                       |                      |                      |
| I+II                            |                  | 1                   |                       | 1                    |                       |
| III+IV                          |                  | 5.980 (2.700–13.244) | <0.001               | 8.870 (3.177–24.765) | <0.001               |
| LSECtin expression              |                  |                     |                       |                      |                      |
| Positive                        |                  | 1                   |                       |                      |                      |
| Negative                        |                  | 0.345 (0.138–0.865) | 0.023                 |                      |                      |

LSECtin, liver and lymph node sinusoidal endothelial cell C-type lectin; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; TNM, tumor node metastasis; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
detrimental to OS in patients who never smoked than in smokers. Thus, subsequent studies focusing on LSECtin may help enhance the understanding of the mechanism of cancer progression in nonsmokers.

The results should be considered in the light of some limitations. First, this research was a regressive study based on a single center with small sample size, and the sample selection had unavoidable selection bias. Large sample sizes or multicenter studies should be required to validate current findings in the future. Second, this study did not classify oncogenic mutations in all cases. Many known targets, such as EGFR and ALK, have been related to prognosis and treatment options of patients with NSCLC. The joint analysis of LSECtin and these molecular markers will help further understand the effect of LSECtin on NSCLC. Finally, although the impact of LSECtin on the clinical prognosis and relationship between LSECtin and PVR in the protein level had been confirmed, studies on LSECtin are still very scarce in tumor research. The present results require further in vivo and in vitro experiments to explore its underlying mechanism.

In conclusion, LSECtin expression is an important potential indicator of prognosis in patients with NSCLC. In addition, a positive correlation was found between LSECtin and PVR, which are co-immunosuppressive ligands in NSCLC cells. Thus, a theoretical basis is provided to clinicians for follow-up strategy and future combination therapy of immune checkpoints.
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