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Abstract

Over the past 30 years lung imaging has greatly contributed to the current understanding of the pathophysiology and the management of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). In the past few years, in addition to chest X-ray and lung computed tomography, newer functional lung imaging techniques, such as lung ultrasound, positron emission tomography, electrical impedance tomography and magnetic resonance, have been gaining a role as diagnostic tools to optimize lung assessment and ventilator management in ARDS patients. Here we provide an updated clinical review of lung imaging in ARDS over the past few years to offer an overview of the literature on the available imaging techniques from a clinical perspective.

Introduction

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is characterized by diffuse alveolar damage, associated with an increase in alveolar and capillary permeability leading to accumulation of interstitial and alveolar edema that requires mechanical ventilation [1].

Over the past 30 years, the repertoire of lung imaging has evolved from just chest X-ray to include functional imaging techniques that have increased the understanding of the relationship between lung structure and function in ARDS. Furthermore, the recent ‘Berlin’ definition of ARDS attempted to clarify the role of lung imaging in the definition of the syndrome in order to increase agreement between intensivists and radiologists and to facilitate the application of the definition in clinical practice [2–4]. The aim of this review is to provide an updated overview of the literature from the past few years, focusing on the role of chest X-ray, computed tomography (CT), lung ultrasound (LUS), positron emission tomography (PET), electrical impedance tomography (EIT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in lung assessment, ventilator management and outcome in ARDS patients. We underline the role of each lung imaging technique in the evaluation of recruitment, overdistension and lung perfusion that result in, or are influenced by, mechanical ventilation. In addition, we summarize the main findings on the outcome and possible risks of intra-hospital transport to the radiology department and X-ray irradiation. Our review completes and extends two recent reviews on lung imaging in ARDS [5, 6].

Review

Lung assessment and technical aspects

Chest X-ray

For almost 20 years, the evaluation of the extension and distribution of lung opacities in ARDS patients had been limited to only chest X-rays. In the first 24 hours following insult, when there is little alveolar edema, chest X-rays can appear quite normal [7]. When ARDS evolves, a widespread opacification becomes apparent and during the following 2 to 3 days the chest X-ray can show bilateral, more or less homogeneous air space opacifications due to exudation of inflammatory fluid into the interstitium and air spaces independent of its etiology [8]. This finding was also confirmed during the recent H1N1 pandemic infection, where a patchy multifocal consolidation was the most frequent abnormality presenting in patients who developed ARDS [9]. Lichtenstein and colleagues [10] reported lower accuracy with bedside chest X-ray in detecting pleural effusion (47%), alveolar consolidation (75%) and alveolar interstitial syndrome (72%) compared to LUS. Similarly, Xiouchaki and colleagues [11] demonstrated that bedside chest X-ray had lower diagnostic accuracy for pleural effusions (69%), pneumothorax (89%) and alveolar consolidation (49%) compared to LUS and CT. Also, Endo and colleagues [12] found that ARDS subsequently developed within 3 days in up
of 50% of patients admitted with acute respiratory failure but without any lung abnormalities on chest X-ray, suggesting that chest X-ray might be insufficient to detect lung alteration in the initial stages of the disease. In the new ARDS definition the radiographic criterion is more explicit, specifying that it should include bilateral opacities, consistent with pulmonary edema not fully explained by effusions, lobar/lung collapse or nodules. In fact, when the radiological criteria of the previous ARDS definition were strictly applied (bilateral chest X-ray infiltrates), the sensitivity was good but specificity was low [3].

A possible additional use of chest X-ray in guiding fluid management, when intravascular pressure measurements are unavailable, could be the measurement of the vascular pedicle width, which correlates with the pulmonary artery occlusion pressure and changes in intravascular volume status [13].

Computed tomography
CT has been used to study the inhomogeneous pattern of lung lesions in ARDS since the 1980s [14]. The classical morphological CT description includes the recognition of normally aerated lung, poorly inflated areas, characterized by increased density but with still recognizable vessels (ground-glass opacifications) and uninflated areas with increased density and no recognizable vessels (consolidation) [15]. In the acute phase of ARDS there is a combination of alveolar flooding (edema), interstitial inflammation and compression atelectasis [16] with an increase in lung tissue and a reduction in lung gas volume [17]. The degree of lung aeration depends on the transpulmonary pressure and surfactant [18-20]. The transpulmonary pressure is affected by the anteroposterior and cephalocaudal gradients, which are influenced by lung weight, abdominal pressure and the weight of the mediastinum [18,21]. ARDS patients can present with different lung morphologies: diffuse bilateral attenuations (‘white lung’) or focal CT attenuations (‘white lung’ in the lower lobes with ‘black lung’ in the upper lobes). Lung CT mainly reflects the intensity of lung aeration loss; in fact, patients with diffuse or lobar attenuations present comparable amounts of excess lung tissue (that is, edema and inflammation) despite differences in radiological presentation. The only difference between the two groups was the degree of aeration in the upper lobes, which was significantly reduced in patients with lobar attenuations (Figure 1) [17]. Lung morphology clearly determines the response to positive end-expiratory airway pressure (PEEP) [22], recruitment maneuvers [6,23-25] and prone position [15,26]. Subsequently, the architecture of the lung can show extensive modifications due to diffuse parenchymal fibrosis and pulmonary bullae, which have been correlated with prolonged ventilation [27]. In addition to higher sensitivity compared to chest X-ray [15], CT scan can measure the volume/weight of lung abnormalities (masses, nodules, contusions and edema). Wang and colleagues [28] reported that the volume of pulmonary contusions in blunt chest trauma was an independent predictor factor for ARDS. Similarly, Strumwasser and colleagues [29] found that a pulmonary contusion volume greater than 20% of the total lung volume predicted, with good sensitivity and specificity, the development of ARDS. However, quantitative analysis of the whole lung is labor intensive and time consuming, as it requires the manual delineation of each lung section. To shorten the time required for lung CT analysis and favor more frequent application in critically ill patients, Reske and colleagues [30] showed that analysis of 10 thoracic CT sections provided similar results to analysis of the whole lung. This could be a further step for a more widespread clinical use of CT [31].
Although CT presents several advantages, its use was not demanded as a criterion for the definition of ARDS because of considerations about cost, safety, lack of widespread availability and feasibility. Conversely, in the new ARDS definition, bilateral opacities seen on CT scan, where available, could substitute for the criteria of chest X-ray opacities [3]. However, the benefits of CT scan imaging in the clinical setting have to be weighed against the risks associated with transporting a patient from the ICU to the radiology department, the economic costs and the burden of radiation exposure.

**Lung ultrasound**

Transthoracic LUS is a noninvasive, easily repeatable and reproducible bedside technique [32]. It allows the diagnosis of alveolar-interstitial syndrome, lung consolidation, pleural effusion, and pneumothorax more accurately than auscultation and chest X-ray in critically ill patients [10,33-35]. Recently, evidence-based and expert consensus recommendations for LUS with a focus on emergency and ICU settings were provided [36].

The creation of an ultrasound image is based on the physical properties of ultrasound pulse formation, the propagation of sound in matter and the interaction of sound waves with reflective interfaces [34]. Normally, lung parenchyma is not visible beyond the pleura. Thus, the ability to obtain real images of lung parenchyma always indicates pathology [37]. Basic LUS signs can be grouped into A lines, B lines (comet tails) and consolidation [36]. To summarize, four patterns corresponding to various degrees of lung aeration can be described [36]: first, the presence of artifactual horizontal A lines beyond the pleural line characterizes normal pulmonary aeration; second, the presence of multiple and well separated vertical B lines corresponds to a moderate decrease in lung aeration resulting from interstitial syndrome; third, the presence of coalescent B lines less than 3 mm apart corresponds to a more severe decrease in lung aeration resulting from partial filling of alveolar spaces by pulmonary edema or confluent bronchopneumonia [38]; and fourth, the presence of lung consolidation containing white points characterized by an inspiratory reinforcement - dynamic bronchograms - corresponds to complete loss of lung aeration with persisting aeration of distal bronchioles.

The heterogeneous distribution of LUS patterns, including well separated or coalescent B lines, spared areas and presence of posterior lung consolidations with dynamic bronchograms, is typical of ARDS and allows its early distinction from acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema [39].

LUS is performed at the bedside, avoiding the possible risks associated with transporting the patient outside the ICU. Cianchi and colleagues [40] reported the experience of daily LUS in a group of patients with severe ARDS due to H1N1 infection. Alveolar interstitial syndrome (moderate or severe) and consolidation were present in all ultrasound examinations. LUS significantly reduced the number of chest X-rays and CT scans performed. However, LUS is of limited usefulness in obese patients and in the presence of subcutaneous emphysema or large thoracic dressings and it requires an adequate period of training.

**Positron emission tomography**

PET is a functional imaging technique based on the administration of a molecule labeled with a radioactive isotope that decays with the emission of a positron. PET with [18F]-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (18-FDG) has been used to monitor cellular metabolic activity believed to reflect the presence and activity of inflammatory cells in the setting of lung inflammation [41,42]. There are different methods for quantifying FDG uptake in the lung: the simplest are based on static indices, such as the standardized uptake value, defined as the tissue concentration of tracer as measured by the PET scanner divided by the activity injected divided by the body weight [41]. Dynamic indices are also derived from the kinetics of FDG, typically over a period of 60 to 75 minutes starting from the endovenous injection of the radio-tracer [43]. These dynamic indices, based on lung-specific compartmental models, account for the presence of the extracellular compartment and are influenced by the number of metabolically active cells and the diffusion of radio-tracer into edematous tissue. Bellani and colleagues [44] showed that, in a cohort of 10 mechanically ventilated patients with ARDS, inflammation was not confined to the regions with density abnormalities on the CT scan (non-aerated or poorly aerated regions), but also involved normally aerated regions, confirming previous data from quantitative lung CT scans in ARDS patients [17]. Previously, Rodrigues and colleagues [45] observed only moderate 18-FDG uptake in non-aerated and poorly aerated regions in blunt chest trauma patients who did not develop ARDS, while in subjects who subsequently developed ARDS, diffuse 18-FDG uptake was identified 1 to 3 days before clinical manifestation of disease. PET has made important contributions to understanding of the relationship between lung imaging and lung injury (Figure 2).

Among the principle mechanisms suggested to lead to ventilator-induced lung injury, excessive pressure (barotrauma) or volume (volutrauma) and cyclic recruitment and derecruitment with different intensities have been proposed [46-50]. Using PET, Bellani and colleagues [51] found that the amount of tissue undergoing cyclic recruitment and derecruitment was quite low, around 3% of the total lung weight. No difference was found in
metabolic activity between these regions and the collapsed regions. However, the metabolic activity of the normally aerated tissue significantly increased at an airway plateau pressure higher than 26 cmH\textsubscript{2}O.

PET has also been used to measure the effect of anti-inflammatory interventions, suggesting its applicability for testing novel drugs [52]. Similarly to CT, PET requires the transportation of patients outside the ICU with a long stay in the PET facility.

**Electrical impedance tomography (EIT)**

EIT is a non-invasive, bedside monitoring technique that provides semi-continuous, real-time information about the regional distribution of changes in the electrical resistivity of lung tissue due to variations in ventilation (or blood flow/perfusion) in relation to a reference state [53,54]. EIT data can only indirectly quantify the relative changes in local lung impedance. From this, it is obvious that only functionally active lung structures are displayed, whereas structures - either normal or pathological (for example, stable pneumothoraces or pleural effusions) - that do no change over time are functionally mute and cannot be represented as an image [55].

Several studies have validated EIT against other methods that measure global (for example, nitrogen washout-washin) or regional lung volume (for example, PET, CT, and single-photon emission CT) [56,57]. However, this linear correlation is crucially dependent on electrode position, conformational changes of the chest wall and diaphragm and the proportion of tidal ventilation distributed in the lung areas falling inside and outside the EIT image during tidal breathing [58].

**Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)**

MRI is a functional technique that offers the possibility to investigate the pathophysiology of pulmonary disease. Although conventional lung MRI offers poor signal intensity due to low proton density and the short T2* relaxation time of lung tissue, hyperpolarized noble gas MRI can provide information about ventilation-perfusion heterogeneity, pulmonary end-capillary diffusion of oxygen and lung microstructure with high spatial resolution [59,60].

Noble gases such as Helium-3 and Xenon-129 can act as contrast agents, diffusing rapidly into airspaces to enable visualization and quantification of ventilated
airways and alveolar spaces [61]. Hyperpolarized Xenon-129 follows the same pathway as oxygen, diffusing from alveolar gas spaces to septal tissue and blood, and therefore allows the calculation of gas exchange parameters, including alveolar surface area, septal thickness and vascular transit time [61-63]. Visualization of ventilation is also possible using oxygen-enhanced MRI [61]. Recent studies have shown that MRI can enable calculation of alveolar size based on the MRI apparent diffusion coefficient [60,63]. This can be used to assess the effects of atelectasis and alveolar recruitment maneuvers [59].

Recruitment

Chest X-ray

Wallet and colleagues [64], in a group of ARDS patients, showed a good correlation between changes in lung density computed from digitally processed chest X-ray by a visual scale (that is, an estimation of lung recruitment) and lung recruitment measured from the pressure volume curve at two different levels of PEEP. However, this method requires manual delineation of seven polyonal regions of interest in the posterior intercostal spaces, using dedicated software in anesthetized and paralyzed patients and only the ratio of densities between each PEEP can be calculated [64]. At the present time, therefore, this method can not be routinely used [65].

Computed tomography

Lung recruitment can be computed as the decrease in non-inflated lung tissue, which represents the amount of non-inflated tissue that regains inflation [66], or as the increase in gas volume in the poorly and non-aerated regions [67]. Comparison of these two methods, although not considering the same lung size, showed that they were not related. The first method underestimated lung recruitment compared to the second one, probably because, as stated by the authors, it did not take into account lung recruitment in the poorly aerated lung regions [66,67].

Lung morphology (focal, diffuse or patchy loss of aeration) can significantly affect the response to lung recruitment. Following PEEP or a recruitment maneuver, patients with a diffuse loss of aeration showed significantly higher lung recruitment and long-lasting improvement in oxygenation compared to patients with a focal loss of aeration [6,22-25].

Several previous studies using CT to compute lung recruitment found that the amount of recruitable lung tissue, following recruitment maneuvers or the application of PEEP, was highly variable and it was difficult to predict at the bedside based on respiratory physiological variables [5,68]. Consequently, application of similar PEEP levels could induce significant differences in lung recruitment and lung strain [69]. Caironi and colleagues [46] found that the application of high PEEP levels in patients with high lung recruitability significantly reduced the amount of opening and closing lung tissue without changing alveolar strain compared to patients with low lung recruitability. The opening and closing lung tissue was distributed mainly in the dependent lung regions and was an independent mortality risk factor. In addition to the PEEP, the size of tidal volume could also modulate the amount of opening and closing lung tissue. Bruhn and colleagues [70] compared the effect of high versus low tidal volume on the opening and closing lung regions. Increasing tidal volume from 6 to 12 ml/kg of body weight induced a significant reduction in non-aerated lung tissue with a higher amount of opening and closing lung regions. In addition to the application of PEEP, the lung could be recruited by the administration of exogenous surfactant. Lu and colleagues [71] showed that surfactant induced a significant re-aeration of poorly and non-aerated lung regions compared to a control group.

Although quantitative CT scan analysis remains the reference method for computing lung recruitment, it requires dedicated software and manual delineation of the perimeter of the lungs in each CT image [72]. To increase the feasibility of the use of CT, Chiumello and colleagues [72] demonstrated that visual anatomical analysis of lung CT had a good accuracy in detecting patients with high or low recruitability.

CT could also be applied to evaluate the possible changes in lung aeration with different types of mechanical ventilation. Yoshida and colleagues showed [73] that airway pressure release ventilation significantly increased and decreased the normally aerated and non-aerated lung regions, respectively, compared to pressure support ventilation. Mentzelopoulos and colleagues [74] compared high frequency oscillation ventilation with and without tracheal gas insufflation. The addition of tracheal gas insufflation significantly decreased the poorly and non-aerated regions mainly located in the lower dependent part of the lung.

Lung ultrasound

Similar to CT, LUS could be an alternative technique for assessing changes in lung morphology during a PEEP trial. Stefanidis and colleagues [75] estimated the size of non-aerated tissue in dependent lung regions at different PEEP levels. Similar to the estimation of lung recruitment from CT scans, only non-inflated lung regions were considered. The LUS analysis showed good intra/inter-observer agreement, with a mean bias of $0.002 \pm 0.34$ and $-0.06 \pm 0.47 \text{ cm}^2$. Increases in PEEP caused a reduction in non-aerated lung regions and an increase in oxygenation. In addition, LUS, using scores based on the number of B lines, can monitor extension of pulmonary
edema, the amount of extravascular lung water, and the corresponding decrease in lung aeration [76,77]. In pulmonary edema, the ultrasound score is based on the number of vertical B lines detected from the anterior and lateral parts of the chest wall [78,79] on four intercostal spaces on the right side, and three in the left side; coalescent vertical B lines are considered present if there are 10 B lines. The LUS score is significantly correlated to extravascular lung water measured by gravimetry [80], the indicator dilution method [76] or CT [81].

A high correlation was found between the LUS re-aeration score and quantitative CT analysis in patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia [38]. In patients with ARDS and/or pneumonia, consolidated lung areas predominating in dependent lung regions coexist with interstitial and alveolar edema in anterior and lateral

![Figure 3](See legend on next page.)
lung regions. The LUS re-aeration score should include dependent lung regions, which are frequently consolidated. LUS examination is performed on 12 regions of interest, including upper and lower posterior regions. In each region examined, changes in ultrasound pattern following therapeutic intervention are detected and numbers are attributed as follows: from multiple B lines to normal, from coalescent B lines to multiple B lines, and from consolidation to coalescent B lines, 1 point; from coalescent B lines to normal, or from consolidation to multiple B lines, 3 points; from consolidation to normal, 5 points. The mean LUS re-aeration score is then calculated for the 12 regions examined [38,82]. This score takes into account not only consolidated lung regions but also lung regions with moderate and severe loss of alveolar function [67]. Using this score, Mentzelopoulos and colleagues [74] and Bouhemad and colleagues [82] showed that a lung aeration score computed by LUS was able to predict lung recruitment similarly to the pressure-volume curve analysis. Figure 3 shows the different LUS patterns after PEEP-induced lung recruitment.

Electrical impedance tomography

By computing the potentially recruitable lung volume as the difference between the open, fully recruited and the not recruited lung volume at 40 cmH₂O, Lowhagen and colleagues [83] observed a mean potentially recruitable lung volume of 26 ± 11% (range 11 to 47%) in ARDS patients. In this line, Grychtol and colleagues [84] proposed a fuzzy logic-based algorithm to analyze EIT images obtained during stepwise changes of mean airway pressure to identify alveolar opening of collapsed regions, overdistension of previously functional areas, collapse of previously opened alveolar regions and the recovery of previously overdistended alveoli. The EIT could also be used to evaluate intratidal regional gas distribution, offering additional information on lung recruitment at different PEEP levels. Lowhagen and colleagues [85] analyzed intratidal regional gas distribution with changes in regional lung mechanics using EIT and airway pressure data at different levels of PEEP. Independent of PEEP level, the tidal volume was mainly distributed in the mid-ventral regions, although when PEEP was increased there was a mean redistribution of gas from ventral to dorsal regions, with great variability among subjects. Although these data are not entirely new, they suggest the use of EIT at the bedside could be a clinical tool for following, breath by breath, regional ventilation distribution [86]. However, no study has compared lung recruitment measured with EIT and lung CT at different PEEP levels. In an experimental animal model of ARDS comparing lung recruitment estimated by EIT during constant flow inflation as the slope of global impedance time and the dynamic CT scan, only a moderate correlation was found. In an animal model of lung injury, EIT-guided ventilation, where regional EIT-derived compliance was used to maximize recruitment of dependent lung and minimize overdistention of nondependent lung areas, resulted in improved respiratory mechanics, greater recruitment, improved gas exchange, and reduced histologic evidence of ventilator-induced lung injury [87]. A comparison between a CT scan and an EIT image for the detection of regional distribution of ventilation is shown in Figure 4.

Overdistension

Computed tomography

Quantitative lung CT defined lung overdistensions as lung regions with a density threshold below ~900 Hounsfield units [88]. This value comes from healthy subjects in whom, at total lung capacity, the large increase in lung volume was characterized by CT-derived values below this threshold. In addition, this threshold was also related to function tests and histologic findings in patients with emphysema [89]. However, both modifying the size of the voxel by changing the CT section thickness and the type of reconstruction filter can significantly affect the quantitative computation of lung
overinflation [88,90]. A thick CT section (10 mm) underestimates the overinflated lung volume by 3 to 5% compared to thin CT sections (1.5 mm) [88]. Lung overinflation has been reported in up to 44% of ARDS patients, usually located in the caudal and non-dependent lung regions [91]. Lung overdistension during mechanical ventilation is a risk factor for ventilator-induced lung injury, and it can promote the generation of air cystis and bronchiectasis in the same anatomical lung regions [92].

In ARDS, hyperinflation (that is, strain) is related to the amount of tidal volume and PEEP applied to the resting lung volume. In patients with focal loss of lung aeration, high PEEP and recruitment maneuver induce predominantly hyperinflation rather than lung recruitment [6,22,25]. Consequently, by applying the same tidal volume in patients with different resting lung volumes, different strain will be generated [69]. Terragni and colleagues [50] evaluated the effect of a ventilatory strategy (according to ARDSnet) involving lung hyperinflation in ARDS patients with large dependent non-aerated lung regions. Although the airway plateau pressure was always lower than 30 cmH₂O, one-third of the patients presented a substantial tidal hyperinflation, especially patients with an airway plateau pressure between 28 and 30 cmH₂O.

**Figure 4** Comparison between a computed tomography scan (left upper panel) and an electrical impedance tomography (EIT) image (right upper panel). The EIT image depicts regional changes in electrical resistivity (impedance) represented by a colour thermal scale. The scale ranges from the colour red (indicating maximal tidal impedance change) to blue (indicating no change in impedance). The lower panels show tidal variations of impedance for each lung region. The regional inhomogeneity in impedance, with a loss of ventilation mainly in the right dorsal region, is due, in this example, to consolidation of the lung parenchyma. The advantage of EIT is that the image might change following recruitment maneuvers, physiotherapy or prone positioning, indicating a response to such treatments.
Lung perfusion

**Lung ultrasound**

Color Doppler sonography applied in the chest can assess qualitative parameters (direction and characteristics of blood flow) and quantitative parameters (resistive and pulsatility index) [93,94]. Quantitative analysis of blood flow has been used to assess the etiology of pulmonary lesions. Pneumonia is characterized by a marked tree-like vascularity with increased resistive index [93]. However, the flow signal in pulmonary lesions is limited by cardiac pulsation and respiratory movements [94]. No flow signal can be detected by color Doppler sonography in up to 20% of pulmonary lesions [94].

**Computed tomography**

An important objective in the setting of mechanical ventilation is to optimally match ventilation with lung perfusion in order to improve gas exchange. Using an electron beam CT scanner, Dakin and colleagues [95] analyzed the distribution of parenchymal consolidation and tissue perfusion in healthy and ARDS patients. Contrary to healthy subjects, in whom perfusion showed a gravitational bias, increasing along the anterior-posterior ordinate, perfusion was quite inhomogeneously distributed in ARDS patients. In ARDS a greater proportion of blood flow was directed towards the collapsed area, as quantified by dynamic CT. This amount of shunt was significantly related to the severity of hypoxemia.

**Electrical impedance tomography**

As perfusion-related changes in thoracic impedance are about one order of magnitude smaller than the changes induced by ventilation, it is much more difficult to extract information on lung perfusion. A promising method to quantify lung perfusion (pulsatile and non-pulsatile components) involves the injection of a contrast agent that possesses much higher electrical conductivity than lung tissues (for example, hypertonic saline). Through mathematical calculations of first-pass kinetics of the impedance-time dilution curve, it has been possible to estimate pulmonary perfusion in a way that correlated with single-photon emission computed tomography [96]. This technique is limited, however, because assessment of perfusion cannot happen continuously but only by a bolus and the frequency of the measurement is restricted by the potential side effects caused by the use of saline at high concentration.

Outcome

**Computed tomography**

The influence of lung morphology on outcome was clearly demonstrated 13 years ago [97]. Although several studies have described the lung morphology in ARDS, only a few have evaluated the relationship between CT findings and patient survival [27]. Among a large series of ARDS patients with an overall mortality of 46%, patients with diffuse attenuations on lung CT had a significantly higher mortality rate compared to those with lobar and patchy attenuations (75% versus 41% and 42%) [97]. Chung and colleagues [98], exploring the extension of lung abnormalities in the early phase of ARDS, found that non-survivors presented a higher amount of lung involvement without any differences in consolidation and ground area opacity extensions. Similarly, in severe ARDS due to H1N1 infection, non-survivors had a significantly higher CT score (computed from the extension and type of lung abnormalities) compared to survivors, with an optimal cutoff of 100 to predict short-term mortality [99].

In addition to high short-term mortality, ARDS patients are also characterized at 6 and 12 months by a possible reduction in quality of life and functional status [100]. Masclans and colleagues [101] evaluated lung abnormalities in ARDS survivors at 6 months using high resolution CT scans. Morphologic alterations were detected in 76% of the patients, with reticular and ground-glass patterns the most frequent abnormalities; however, these alterations were limited to less than 25% of lung parenchyma. Similar results were also reported at 12 months by Chiumello and colleagues [100], who found that non-aerated and poorly aerated lung regions were limited to 8% and 16% of the total lung. The almost complete regression of lung parenchyma involvement was also found in survivors of ARDS due to H1N1, in whom lung fibrosis was present in less than 5% of patients [102].

**Risks of intra-hospital transport to the radiology department**

Lung imaging techniques that cannot be provided in the ICU (CT, PET or MRI) require the transport of patients to the radiologic department. Consequently, possible benefits obtained from the diagnostic information should be higher compared to the possible adverse events arising from the transport. Despite significant improvements in intra-hospital transport, the incidence of adverse events has been found to be between 8% and 68% depending on the estimated seriousness of the patient's condition [103]. In a cross-sectional analysis of intra-hospital transport incidents collected over 6 years in 93 ICUs, 191 incidents were reported. However, only 31% of the cases resulted in a significantly adverse outcome, with major physiological derangement in 15% and cardiac arrest in 3% [104]. Among related factors, transport equipment failure, lack of supervision by the transport team and the seriousness of patients’ conditions were the most significant predictor factors [104]. Consequently, several scientific societies have developed
guidelines for the intra-hospital transport of critically ill patients [105-107]. Dedicated equipment and specific training are crucial to reduce the occurrence of possible adverse events during transport, especially in intubated and ventilated patients.

**Risks of X-ray irradiation**

The development of spiral CT in the late 1980s significantly contributed to an increase in the number of CT examinations [108]. However, the use of CT is associated with X-ray radiation exposure. Exposure to low-dose radiation, although not able to cause immediate harm, can be associated with long-term harmful effects [109]. A straightforward link between low-level radiation exposure from CT and potential radiation risks has not been established. As an example, the International Commission on Radiological protection suggested 50 additional fatal cancers are induced per million people exposed to 1 mSv of medical radiation (standard lung CT is associated with 8 mSv) [110]. In contrast the French Academy of Science did not find enough evidence to make an association between increased cancer risk and the low radiation dose used for chest CT [111]. A quite recent observational study in 15 countries reported an excess relative risk of cancer mortality that was dose-dependent [112]. At the present time, it should be safe to discourage unnecessary CT testing. The new multi-detector row CT will contribute to further increase the quality of the images with less radiation dose [113].

**Conclusion**

Lung imaging has significantly changed our understanding and management of ARDS. In addition to classic radiologic techniques that require the transportation of patients outside the ICU, new techniques such as thoracic LUS and EIT could offer, at the bedside, an easy, alternative way to monitor the respiratory impact of mechanical ventilation and to select the most protective ventilator settings.
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