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Most microwave readout architectures in quantum computing or sensing rely on a semiconductor amplifier at 4 K, typically a high-electron mobility transistor (HEMT). Despite its remarkable noise performance, a conventional HEMT dissipates several milliwatts of power, posing a practical challenge to scale up the number of qubits or sensors addressed in these architectures. As an alternative, we present an amplification chain consisting of a kinetic-inductance traveling-wave parametric amplifier (KI-TWPA) placed at 4 K, followed by a HEMT placed at 70 K, and demonstrate a chain-added noise of 6.3 ± 0.5 K between 3.5 and 5.5 GHz. While, in principle, any parametric amplifier can be quantum limited even at 4 K, in practice we find the KI-TWPA’s performance limited by the temperature of its inputs, and by an excess of noise T_{ex} = 1.9 K. The dissipation of the KI-TWPA’s rf pump constitutes the main power load at 4 K and is about one percent that of a HEMT. These combined noise and power dissipation values pave the way for the KI-TWPA’s use as a replacement for semiconductor amplifiers.

I. INTRODUCTION

Superconducting parametric amplifiers have been studied and refined for decades [1–8], yet they have always been used in the same configuration: as preamplifiers placed at millikelvin temperatures, followed by a 4 K stage low noise amplifier, conventionally a high-electron mobility transistor (HEMT). While Al-based parametric amplifiers can only operate well below the critical temperature of aluminum (T_{c} ∼ 1.2 K), Nb-based Josephson amplifiers (T_{c} ∼ 9 K) [2, 5, 9], or NbTiN-based kinetic amplifiers (T_{c} ∼ 14 K) [4, 8, 10–12] can operate at much higher temperatures, in particular at 4 K.

At 4 K, HEMTs are commercially available and typically achieve input noise temperatures of a just few kevls, with bandwidths spanning several gigahertz. They are integral to superconducting quantum computer architectures [13], to dark matter searches [14–16], and to the readout of superconducting transition-edge sensors or microwave kinetic inductance detectors [17, 18]. However, cryogenic HEMTs require several milliwatts of power, and the dissipated heat load can quickly become a serious challenge when designing experiments that require scaling to massive detector or qubit channel counts. For example, in both the Lynx [19, 20] and Origin Space Telescope [21–23], two of the four large mission concepts for observatories presented in the 2020 Astronomy and Astrophysics Decadal Survey [24], about 10 HEMTs are planned to measure signals from roughly 10,000 readout channels, and the power dissipation from the HEMTs is the single largest power load on the 4 K stage of the instrument. In space, achieving 10 mW of cooling power at 4 K is extremely challenging, therefore techniques for measuring gigahertz signals that can reduce this heat load are of great interest.

At millikelvin temperatures, the NbTiN-based kinetic-inductance traveling-wave parametric amplifier (KI-TWPA) has shown promising performance [4, 8, 10, 11, 25, 26]. In particular, its gigahertz bandwidth along with nanowatt input saturation power makes it compatible with high channel count applications, and it can operate close to the quantum limit [8, 25]. Furthermore, NbTiN resonators have internal quality factors Q > 10^3 at 4 K due to their high T_{c} [27], so the KI-TWPA chip should be almost dissipation-less. In addition, the three-wave mixing (3WM) mode of operation has reduced its pump power requirements to the few microwatts range [8, 28], suggesting that the power dissipation at 4 K can be made much smaller than that generated by common HEMTs.

In this article, we ask– can a KI-TWPA replace a conventional 4 K stage semiconductor amplifier? Although one might expect parametric gain at 4 K, can one also expect it to retain its noise performance at such high temperature? Here we show that, in principle, a “hot” parametric amplifier can be quantum-limited, as long as its input fields are well-thermalized to a cold bath. Using a shot-noise tunnel junction (SNTJ), we measure the total chain-added noise of an amplification chain configured with the KI-TWPA at 4 K, followed by a HEMT placed at 70 K, and find T_{ex} = 6.3 ± 0.5 K between 3.5 and 5.5 GHz. This noise level is comparable to that of a well-optimized chain with a single HEMT placed at 4 K. Accounting for the contribution of each stage in the amplification chain, we estimate that the KI-TWPA alone generates 1.9 ± 0.2 K of excess noise, on par with the noise added by most commercial HEMTs [29]. Meanwhile, the power load at 4 K, predominantly due to dissipating the rf pump, is currently about 100 μW, or one percent that of a HEMT. Together, these measurements pave the way toward a more power efficient and lower noise alternative to semiconductor amplifiers at 4 K.
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II. THEORY AND EXPERIMENTAL PRINCIPLE

The fundamental limit on the noise added by a lossless, phase-insensitive parametric amplifier is often described as originating from an internal mode [30–32]. But this mode is not an inaccessible internal degree of freedom. Rather, it refers to the input “idler” mode, and therefore this ideal amplifier should properly be described as a 4-port device: two inputs and two outputs, at the signal and idler frequencies (the idler output is usually not monitored). In this context, the mean amplifier output signal power is, in units of photons [8]:

\[ N_{\text{out}}^s = GN_{\text{in}}^s + (G - 1)N_{\text{in}}^i, \]

where \( G \) is the amplifier signal power gain and \( N_{\text{in}}^s (N_{\text{in}}^i) \) is the input power at the signal (idler) frequency. Here, regardless of its physical temperature, the quantum-limited nature of the amplifier only depends on the input idler state: when it is vacuum \( N_{\text{in}}^i = 1/2 \), in the high gain limit the amplifier adds half a photon worth of noise energy to the input-referred signal \( N_{\text{in}}^i \).

To test whether a “hot” parametric amplifier can remain quantum-limited, we design the amplification chain illustrated in Fig. 1, where a KI-TWPA is placed at 4 K, but whose signal and idler inputs are connected to the millikelvin stage. Then, provided that (i) the KI-TWPA’s gain is enough overcome the following loss and amplifier-added noise, (ii) the KI-TWPA’s inputs are cold, and (iii) the KI-TWPA is lossless, i.e. does not add any excess of noise on top of that from its inputs, the noise added by the entire chain should reach the quantum limit (half a photon), see appendix A 1.

\[ \frac{r}{G} = \frac{N_\Sigma + N_c}{N_\Sigma' + N_c}, \]

where \( G \) is the KI-TWPA gain and \( N_c \) the vacuum noise, see appendix A 2.

III. PERFORMANCE AT 4 K

The results of such a measurement are presented in Fig. 2, performed when using a commercial HEMT and a KI-TWPA, whose design and millikelvin performance have been described elsewhere [8]. With the MS actuated toward the DC and BT, we operate the KI-TWPA at various gains, from an average of 2.5 dB, to 18 dB between 3.5 and 5.5 GHz, see Fig. 2(a); the higher gain profile remains flat, with less than 3 dB ripples in that band. For each operating gain \( G \), we record the noise rise \( r \) on a spectrum analyzer (SA) and form \( T_\Sigma = N_\Sigma' h \omega / k_B \) (with \( h \) the reduced Planck constant and \( k_B \) the Boltzmann constant) using Eq. 2. In Fig. 2(b), we show \( T_\Sigma \) as a function of frequency, when the KI-TWPA is operated at low and high gain (gray and black curves, respectively), along with \( T_\Sigma' = N_\Sigma'' h \omega / k_B \), obtained when the MS is actuated toward the SNTJ (purple curve). At high gain, \( T_\Sigma = 6.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ K} \) between 3.5 and 5.5 GHz (with uncertainty dominated by that of the chain’s output power, known within \( \pm 0.3 \text{ dB} \)). To our knowledge, it is the first time that such a low and broadband noise performance has been obtained without the use of a semiconductor amplifier at 4 K.

As discussed in Sec. II, three possible sources of noise prevent \( T_\Sigma \) to reach the quantum limit: (i) insufficient KI-TWPA gain, (ii) warm KI-TWPA inputs, and (iii) KI-TWPA-excess noise. Although from a user perspective only \( T_\Sigma \) matters, knowing the separate contribution of these sources is interesting from an amplifier-design perspective, because it indicates if and how \( T_\Sigma \) may be employed a bias tee (BT) and a directional coupler (DC) to deliver respectively a dc current and an rf pump to its physical input port. Both BT and DC are placed at 30 mK, behind a hypothetical device under test (DUT) coupled to the readout line thereby minimizing insertion loss between DUT and KI-TWPA. This configuration is particularly suitable when the DUT represents an array of resonators, such as microwave kinetic-inductance detectors [25, 34], or a microwave superconducting quantum interference device multiplexer [35, 36].

To measure the added noise of such a chain, we insert a microwave switch (MS) that allows us to alternate between the KI-TWPA biasing components and a calibrated noise source, consisting of a shot noise tunnel junction (SNTJ) [8, 37]. We first obtain the added noise \( N_\Sigma \) of the chain when the MS is toggled toward the SNTJ (see appendix E 1). Then, after actuating the MS, we turn on the KI-TWPA and measure the level \( r \) to which the output noise rises. We then deduce the chain-added noise \( N_\Sigma \) using

\[ N_\Sigma' = \frac{N_\Sigma + N_c}{N_\Sigma' + N_c}, \]

where \( G \) is the KI-TWPA gain and \( N_c \) the vacuum noise, see appendix A 2.
improved. In Fig. 2(c) we present the variation of $T_\Sigma$ as a function of gain shows that the lowest noise temperature (square) reaches an asymptote.

To evaluate the noise coming from the KI-TWPA inputs, we separately measure at 4 K the transmission efficiencies of each stage in the amplification chain, see appendix F. Each efficiency acts as an effective noise source, whose temperature is governed by a beamsplitter interaction (see appendix A 1). In Tab. I we report the transmission efficiencies and corresponding noise temperatures of the various stages, either when considered separately (intrinsic noise) or within the amplification chain (chain-input-referred noise). Clearly, the temperature of the KI-TWPA inputs is dominated by the effect of $\eta_{th} = 0.8$, the transmission efficiency at 4 K between the DUT and the KI-TWPA. It generates 2.1 K of noise at the input of the amplifier (and 2.6 K when referred to the input of the chain). Practically, $T_\Sigma$ may be significantly decreased with increasing $\eta_{th}$: for example with $\eta_{th} = 0.9$, $T_\Sigma$ would drop from 6.3 K to 4.5 K. We believe this performance achievable, because most of our warm insertion loss originates in the KI-TWPA packaging, whose printed-circuit boards and connectors may be made less dissipative.

Subtracting the (input-referred) noise generated by all the inefficient transmissions from $T_\Sigma$, we deduce the KI-TWPA-excess noise: at the chain’s input, it amounts to 2.9 K, equivalent to an intrinsic excess noise temperature $T_{ex} = 1.9 \pm 0.2$ K, on par with that of the HEMT at 4 K [29]. This noise is associated to some internal loss, see appendix B.

| sources of noise          | $\eta_{th}$ | $\eta_{th}$ | $G$ | $\eta_2$ | $G\eta$ |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|----------|---------|
| transmission efficiency  | 0.8          | 0.8         | -   | 0.51     | -       |
| insertion loss (dB)      | 1            | 1           | -   | 2.9      | -       |
| intrinsic noise (K)      | 0.16         | 2.1         | 1.9 | 3.9      | 10.7    |
| input-referred noise (K) | 0.16         | 2.6         | 2.9 | 0.1      | 0.6     |

TABLE I. Noise contribution of each stage of the amplification chain, averaged between 3.5 and 5.5 GHz. DUT signals are routed to the amplifier’s signal input with efficiency $\eta_{signal}$ at 30 mK (i.e. there is $-10 \log(\eta_{signal})$ dB of insertion loss) and with efficiency $\eta_{th}$ at 4 K. After the KI-TWPA, signals are routed with efficiency $\eta_2$ to the HEMT input, see appendix A 1. From the transmission efficiencies, we calculate the intrinsic and chain-input-referred noise temperatures, using the expressions reported in Tab. III. Note that for the noises related to $\eta_{th}$ and $\eta_{th}$, the contribution of the signal and idler paths have been added. The intrinsic HEMT-added noise at 70 K (first stage of our dilution refrigerator) $T_\eta = 13.4 \pm 0.4$ K lies between the HEMT-added noise at 4 K and that at 296 K [29].

As a fair comparison to $T_\Sigma$, we measured the noise temperature $T_{\Sigma 2}$ added by a well-optimized chain, employing only a HEMT at 4 K (see appendix D). Between 3.5 and 5.5 GHz, $T_{\Sigma 2} = 3.5 \pm 0.3$ K (with uncertainty here...
dominated by that of the SNTJ impedance, 48.2±3.5 Ω). Therefore, while not surpassing it, the KI-TWPA-based solution approaches the HEMT-based performance.

IV. POWER CONSUMPTION

In combination with having a competitive amplification and noise performance compared to that of a HEMT, the 4 K stage KI-TWPA is expected to consume much less power. In fact, it typically requires an rf pump power \( P_p \sim -30 \text{ dBm} \) (i.e., 1 µW), several orders of magnitude lower than the power requirement for a standard (\( \sim 10 \text{ mW} \) [29]) or even state-of-the-art (300 µW [38]) HEMT. Note however that \( P_p \) does not account for the dissipation along the pump line. In our current setup, the pump travels to the KI-TWPA via a 10 dB attenuator at 4 K, and through the weakly coupled port of a 10 dB DC at the millikelvin stage, see appendix C.1. Therefore in our experiment, a typical \(-30 \text{ dBm} \) pump tone delivered to the KI-TWPA translates into dissipating 99 µW at 4 K (the DC being terminated at 4 K). But such a heavy attenuation is not mandatory: in principle, the high-pass filter (HPF) we employ at the millikelvin stage suffices to prevent the room temperature 300 K noise to directly contaminate the signal and idler bands. At the pump frequency, this noise is negligible compared to the tone’s power and therefore does not affect the dynamics of the KI-TWPA (in the limit of non-diverging gain [12]). So instead of being injected through the 4 K stage attenuator and millikelvin stage DC, the pump could simply pass through the HPF and enter the rf port of the input bias tee. Furthermore, at 4 K, \( P_p \) is currently dissipated in the isolator placed before the HEMT, which could also be avoided by using a diplexer to redirect the pump to higher temperature. With these strategies, the dissipation associated with the pump can be reduced below 1 µW at 4 K. Further possible improvements of the amplification chain, in particular targeted for qubit readout applications, are discussed in appendix C.2.

But the KI-TWPA also requires a non-negligible dc bias \( I_d \sim 1 \text{ mA} \), which may dissipate and generate heat. We measured the corresponding dissipated power \( P_d \) with a four-point probe setup (see appendix G): reading the voltage drop \( V_d \) across the KI-TWPA, we then retrieve \( P_d = V_d I_d \). In Fig. 3 we show \( P_d \) as function of \( I_d \), when the rf pump is off (solid black line) or instead, very strong \( P_p = -20 \text{ dBm} \), dashed black line). In both cases, at \( I_d = 1 \text{ mA} \) we obtain \( P_d \simeq 100 \text{ nW} \), several orders of magnitude lower than what a HEMT consumes. We also show the resistance \( R_d = V_d/I_d \) (right y-axis) as a function of \( I_d \). Under normal operation, for \( I_d < 1.5 \text{ mA} \), the resistance across the four-point probes (comprising the KI-TWPA, its packaging, and the BT at 4 K) is \( R_d \approx 80 \text{ mΩ} \). Conversely, \( R_d \) sharply increases to \( \sim 1 \text{ kΩ} \) when \( I_d > 1.5 \text{ mA} \), because superconductivity breaks down inside the KI-TWPA, probably at a weak link [8, 39]. Note that the transition to this dissipative regime happens at slightly reduced \( I_d \) when \( P_p = -20 \text{ dBm} \), suggesting that both the dc and rf currents can activate the weak link. Voltage biasing the KI-TWPA with a small (\( \sim 100 \text{ Ω} \)) shunting resistor would alleviate transitioning to this regime.

FIG. 3. Characterization of the KI-TWPA dc power dissipation. The dissipated power \( P_d \) (left y-axis) is shown as a function of the bias current \( I_d \) for two situations: when the KI-TWPA’s pump is off (solid black line) and when \( P_p = -20 \text{ dBm} \) (dashed red line). In the pump-off situation, the resistance \( R_d \) (right y-axis) is also shown as a function of \( I_d \) (blue curve).

V. CONCLUSION

Despite their remarkable noise performance at 4 K, semiconductor amplifiers remain power hungry. This dissipation may limit the scale of future applications, for which large arrays (> 10⁵) of detectors or qubits would require tens to hundreds of low noise amplifiers. As an alternative, we investigated the use of a parametric amplifier at 4 K: the KI-TWPA. Using a SNTJ as a calibrated noise source, we measured the noise added by an amplification chain where the KI-TWPA is the sole 4 K stage amplifier. In principle, this chain can remain quantum limited; in practice, when the KI-TWPA is operated at an average gain of 18 dB within a 2 GHz bandwidth (and with less than 3 dB gain ripples in that band) we measured an average chain-added noise of 6.3 ± 0.5 K, comparable to that of a chain where the HEMT is the 4 K stage amplifier. This performance is limited mostly by the insertion loss at 4 K preceding the KI-TWPA, and by an excess of noise \( T_{ex} = 1.9 ± 0.2 \text{ K} \). Furthermore, the heat load at 4 K, currently in the 100 µW range, is due to dissipating the rf pump. It is two orders of magnitude lower than what a conventional HEMT generates, and can straightforwardly be reduced below 1 µW. To our knowledge this work is the first successful implementation of a broadband, high-gain, low noise, and power-efficient microwave parametric amplifier at 4 K. As such, our work constitutes a shift in the readout architecture for large numbers of microwave resonators.
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Appendix A: Added noise

1. Chain-added noise

Figures 4(a) and 4(b) recast the amplification chain presented in Fig. 1 in a diagram of cascaded transmission efficiencies and gains, when the microwave switch (MS) is activated toward the KI-TWPA biasing components [Fig. 4(a)], or toward the SNTJ [Fig. 4(b)]. Considering the situation in Fig. 4(a) first, a signal with photon number $N_{in}^s$ at the chain’s input (that would be generated by the hypothetical DUT), undergoes amplification and loss when propagating to the chain:

\[
N_{1c}^s = \eta_{1c} \left( N_{in}^s + \frac{1 - \eta_{1c}}{\eta_{1c}} N_c \right) \quad (A1)
\]

\[
N_{1h}^s = \eta_{1h} \left( N_{1c}^s + \frac{1 - \eta_{1h}}{\eta_{1h}} N_h \right) \quad (A2)
\]

\[
N_{1h}^i = \eta_{1h}^i \left( N_c + \frac{1 - \eta_{1h}^i}{\eta_{1h}^i} N_h \right) \quad (A3)
\]

\[
N_2^s = G(N_{1h}^s + N_{ex}^s) + \left( G - 1 \right)(N_{1h}^i + N_{ex}^i) \quad (A4)
\]

\[
N_2^i = \eta_2 \left( N_2^s + \frac{1 - \eta_2}{\eta_2} N_h \right) \quad (A5)
\]

\[
N_4^s = G_H(N_3^s + N_H), \quad (A6)
\]

where the variables are all defined in Tab. II.

TABLE II. List of the variables pertaining to the amplification chain, Figs. 1b and c. The variables designating a power (named with $N$) are in units of quanta. The transmission efficiencies are dimensionless, and the gains are linear.

| Variable name | Definition |
|---------------|------------|
| $N_{in}^s$    | chain’s input signal |
| $N_c$         | Vacuum noise     |
| $N_{1c}^s$    | Cold stage output signal |
| $N_h$         | 4 K stage thermal noise |
| $N_{1h}^s$    | KI-TWPA input signal |
| $N_{1h}^i$    | KI-TWPA input idler |
| $N_{ex}^s$    | Signal-to-signal path KI-TWPA-excess noise |
| $N_{ex}^i$    | Idler-to-signal path KI-TWPA-excess noise |
| $N_{ex}$      | overall KI-TWPA-excess noise |
| $N_H$         | HEMT-added noise |
| $N_2^s$       | KI-TWPA output signal |
| $N_3^s$       | HEMT input signal |
| $N_4^s$       | HEMT output signal |
| $\eta_{1c}$   | cold stage signal transmission efficiency |
| $\eta_{1h}$   | 4 K stage signal transmission efficiency |
| $\eta_{1h}$   | 4 K stage idler transmission efficiency |
| $\eta_2$      | KI-TWPA to HEMT transmission efficiency |
| $G$           | KI-TWPA signal power gain |
| $G_H$         | HEMT signal power gain |

Assuming that the HEMT gain is sufficient to overcome any following loss and amplifier-added noise, the power at the signal frequency reaching the spectrum analyzer (SA) is directly proportional to $N_4^s$. Using Eqs. A1 to A6, and in the simpler case where $G \gg 1$ and where idler and signal transmission efficiencies at 4 K are equal, $\eta_{1h}^i = \eta_{1h}$, we have

\[
N_4^s = G_H \eta_2 G_{1h} \eta_{1c} (N_{in}^s + N_\Sigma), \quad (A7)
\]

where

\[
N_\Sigma = \frac{2 - \eta_{1c}}{\eta_{1c}} N_c + \frac{1 - \eta_{1h}}{\eta_{1h} \eta_{1c}} N_h + \frac{1}{\eta_{1h} \eta_{1c}} N_{ex}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1 - \eta_2}{\eta_2 G_{1h} \eta_{1c}} N_h + \frac{1}{\eta_2 G_{1h} \eta_{1c}} N_H, \quad (A8)
\]

is the chain-added noise. The first line on the right-hand side of Eq. A8 can be identified to the KI-TWPA-added noise; it depends not only on the KI-TWPA-excess noise $N_{ex} = N_{ex}^s + N_{ex}^i$, but also on the cold and warm transmission efficiencies between the KI-TWPA and the chain’s input. The second line represents the contributions of the elements placed after the KI-TWPA: transmission efficiency $\eta_2$ (first term) and HEMT-added noise (second term); they are damped at sufficiently high gain $G$. In the high gain limit, with perfect transmission efficiencies $\eta_{1c} = \eta_{1h} = 1$ (i.e. the KI-TWPA’s inputs are perfectly thermalized to the cold bath) and without excess noise

FIG. 4. Diagram of cascaded transmission efficiencies and gains, when the MS is activated toward the KI-TWPA biasing components (a), or toward the SNTJ (b).

\[
\eta_{1h} = \eta_{1h}^i = \eta_2 = \eta_{1c} = \eta_{1h} = 1
\]
(\(N_{\text{ex}} = 0\)), we verify that \(N_{\Sigma} = 1/2\), the minimum chain-added noise.

All the terms in Eq. A8 are referred to the chain’s input: the noise from each source is divided by the transmission efficiencies and gain preceding it. Conversely, the noise intrinsic to each stage is chain-independent. The expressions for the chain-input-referred and intrinsic noise for each stage is reported in Table III.

| sources of noise | chain-input-referred noise | intrinsic noise |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| \(\eta_{hc}\)    | \(\frac{2-\eta_{hc}}{\eta_{hc}} N_{hc} + \frac{2-\eta_{hc}}{\eta_{hc}} N_{h}\) |
| \(\eta_{hh}\)    | \(2 \cdot \frac{1-\eta_{hh}}{\eta_{hh}} N_{h} + 2 \cdot \frac{1-\eta_{hh}}{\eta_{hh}} N_{h}\) |
| \(G\)            | \(\frac{1}{\eta_{hh} \eta_{hc}} N_{ex} + \frac{1}{\eta_{hh} \eta_{hc}} N_{h}\) |
| \(\eta_{l}\)     | \(\frac{1-\eta_{l}}{\eta_{l} \eta_{hc} \eta_{hh}} N_{h} + \frac{1}{\eta_{l} \eta_{hc} \eta_{hh}} N_{H}\) |

TABLE III. Noise generated by each stage in the amplification chain.

To calculate \(N_{\Sigma}^{s}\) (the chain-added noise when the KI-TWPA is off and the MS actuated toward the SNTJ), we propagate the SNTJ calibrated noise \(N_{\text{in}}^{s}\) (which is our ‘signal’) through the chain shown in Fig. 4(b). Here, \(\eta_{hc}\) accounts for the cold loss coming in particular from the SNTJ packaging and from the following BT. Meanwhile, the unpumped KI-TWPA acts as a passive element of gain 1, therefore we need not keep track of the noise entering the idler port [8, 40], because the KI-TWPA output signal does not contain the idler component, as can be seen from Eq. 1. We thus obtain the HEMT-output signal power

\[N_{4}^{s} = G_{H} \eta_{2} \eta_{hh} \eta_{hc} (N_{\text{in}}^{s} + N_{\Sigma}^{'})\]  

(A9)

with

\[N_{\Sigma}^{' \prime} = \frac{1-\eta_{hc}}{\eta_{hc}} N_{hc} + \frac{1-\eta_{hh}}{\eta_{hh} \eta_{hc}} N_{h} + \frac{1-\eta_{l}}{\eta_{l} \eta_{hc} \eta_{hh}} N_{H}\]  

(A10)

Varying \(N_{\text{in}}^{s}\), we retrieve \(N_{\Sigma}^{' \prime}\). Then, knowing the transmission efficiencies from the loss budget (see appendix F), we can calculate \(N_{H}\).

2. Noise rise

The noise rise measurement consists of comparing the output noise power, recorded on the SA, when the KI-TWPA is on and off, knowing \(G\) and \(N_{\Sigma}^{'}\), we can retrieve \(N_{H}\).

With the MS actuated toward the KI-TWPA, the HEMT-output noise obtained with the KI-TWPA off (pump off, no dc bias) is equal to that of Eq. A9 (assuming the loss between the two MS paths equal, and that signals from the DUT would be transmitted with efficiency \(\eta_{hc}\) at 30 mK). But here, \(N_{\text{in}}^{s} = N_{c}\), because there is vacuum noise at the chain’s signal input. Similarly, the HEMT-output noise obtained with the KI-TWPA on is equal to that of Eq. A7, with \(N_{\text{in}}^{s} = N_{c}\), such that the ratio of output noises \(r\) is

\[r = G_{H} \left( N_{\Sigma} + N_{c} \right) \left( N_{\Sigma} + N_{c} \right)^{-1}\]  

which gives Eq. 2.

Appendix B: Excess of noise and internal loss

The excess of noise in the KI-TWPA is associated with internal loss. Here we evaluate this loss, and show that it generates a noise commensurate with our observed excess noise of 1.9 K. We then discuss the possible origins of this loss.

1. From internal loss to transmission efficiency

Material loss can be characterized by the internal quality factor \(Q_{i}\) that a resonator fabricated in that material would have, or equivalently by its loss tangent \(\tan \delta = 1/Q_{i}\). In our case, we measured \(Q_{i} \approx 5000\) on an NbTiN resonator at 4 K whose characteristics (line width, dc bias) are similar to that of the KI-TWPA.

In turn, \(Q_{i}\) can be expressed as a function of the field propagation constant \(\alpha + i \beta\) in that material, as \(Q_{i} = \beta/(2 \alpha)\). Here, \(\beta = k \omega/v_{p}\) (excluding any dispersion engineering) with \(v_{p}\) the phase velocity, and \(\alpha\) is the (amplitude) attenuation constant. In our KI-TWPA, \(v_{p} = \lambda_{s} f_{s} \simeq 1000\) cells/ns, where \(\lambda_{s}\) is the wavelength of the periodic loading pattern that creates a stopband at frequency \(f_{s}\) [8]. Thus, with \(Q_{i} \approx 5000\) and at \(\omega = 2 \pi \times 4.5\) GHz, we obtain \(\alpha \approx 2.8 \times 10^{-6}\) cell\(^{-1}\).

Our KI-TWPA contains \(C_{i} \approx 66000\) cells, therefore the total transmission efficiency is \(\eta_{0} = \exp (-2 \alpha C_{i}) \approx 0.69\). In other words, the total insertion loss in the KI-TWPA is equal to 1.6 dB. If we were to place this entire loss at the KI-TWPA signal and idler inputs, it would generate an excess noise \(T_{ex} = 3.6\) K for an effective gain \(G = 18\) dB. However, this loss is distributed along the line, and that is why this naive calculation clearly over-estimates the noise generated by this loss.
2. Excess noise from a distributed loss

The effect of distributed (and potentially asymmetric) loss on the ideal exponential gain of a traveling-wave parametric amplifier has been treated in theory [30, 41]. Here, we distribute a known effective power gain $G$ (that need not be perfectly exponential) and total transmission efficiency $\eta_0$ within several stages, to evaluate the excess of noise associated with this distribution.

When the phase matching condition is achieved in a traveling-wave parametric amplifier of length $x$, the output currents $A(x)$ and $B^*(x)$ at the signal and idler frequencies are [8, 42]

$$A(x) = A(x)e^{i2\chi k_0 x}$$
$$B^*(x) = B^*(x)e^{i2\chi k_0 x},$$

where $\chi$ is the cross-phase modulation term, and $k_0$ is the wavenumber at the signal (idler) frequency. The amplitudes $A(x)$ and $B^*(x)$ are given by

$$\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{A(x)/\sqrt{G_0}}{B^*(x)/\sqrt{G_0}} \right) &= \\
&= \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\cosh (g_3 x) & i \sinh (g_3 x) \\
-i \sinh (g_3 x) & \cosh (g_3 x)
\end{array} \right) \left( \frac{A(0)/\sqrt{G_0}}{B^*(0)/\sqrt{G_0}} \right) .
\end{align*}$$

(B2)

In other words, for a given length of line $x$, the amplitude (i.e. gain) and phase of the currents are separately determined.

We now describe the signal and idler modes in terms of number operators. Their phase is determined by Eq. B1. Then, for an amplifier with signal power gain $G_0$, preceded by a transmission transmission efficiency $\eta_0$ (modeled as a beamsplitter interaction) the input modes’ amplitudes $a_{in}$ and $b_{in}$ are transformed into the output modes’ amplitudes $a_{out}$ and $b_{out}$ according to

$$\begin{align*}
\left( \begin{array}{c}
a_{out} \\
b_{out}
\end{array} \right) &= \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{\eta_0 G_0} & i \sqrt{\eta_0 (G_0 - 1)} \\
-i \sqrt{\eta_0 (G_0 - 1)} & \sqrt{\eta_0 G_0}
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
a_{in} \\
b_{in}
\end{array} \right) .
\end{align*}$$

(B3)

The effective signal gain power $G$ (as observed on the VNA) is therefore equal to $\eta_0 G_0$. Considering now that the line has been divided into $n$ identical stages (see Fig. 5) each characterized by a transmission efficiency $\eta_n$ and gain $G_n$, the amplitude of the output modes is given by:

$$\begin{align*}
\left( \begin{array}{c}
a_{out} \\
b_{out}
\end{array} \right) &= \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{\eta_n G_n} & i \sqrt{\eta_n (G_n - 1)} \\
-i \sqrt{\eta_n (G_n - 1)} & \sqrt{\eta_n G_n}
\end{array} \right)^n \left( \begin{array}{c}
a_{in} \\
b_{in}
\end{array} \right) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} g_{aa}(n) & g_{ab}(n) \\ g_{ba}(n) & g_{bb}(n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{in} \\ b_{in} \end{pmatrix} ,
\end{align*}$$

(B4)

where

$$g_{aa}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \sqrt{\eta_n G_n} + \sqrt{\eta_n (G_n - 1)} \right)^n + \left( \sqrt{\eta_n G_n} - \sqrt{\eta_n (G_n - 1)} \right)^n \right]$$

and

$$g_{ab}(n) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \sqrt{\eta_n G_n} + \sqrt{\eta_n (G_n - 1)} \right)^n - \left( \sqrt{\eta_n G_n} - \sqrt{\eta_n (G_n - 1)} \right)^n \right] ,$$

(B5)

and

$$g_{ba}(n) = g_{ab}(n)$$

and $g_{bb}(n) = -g_{aa}(n)$. These relations define, for any number of stages $n$, the relation between $\{\eta_n, G_n\}$ and $\{\eta_0, G_0\}$, because for any $n$, the overall signal and idler amplitude gain is always equal to $\eta_0 G_0$ and $i \sqrt{\eta_0 (G_0 - 1)}$, respectively. But we can more easily calculate $\eta_n$ and $G_n$ in a recursive manner when $n = 2^p$ where $p \in \mathbb{N}$, because then

$$\eta_{2p} = \sqrt{\eta_{2p-1}}$$

and

$$G_{2p} = \frac{\sqrt{G_{2p-1} + 1}}{2} .$$

(B7)

(B8)

FIG. 5. A model for distributed amplification and loss along the KI-TWPA. Starting with an amplifier with power gain $G_0$ and a power transmission efficiency $\eta_0$, we divide the gain and loss along the KI-TWPA in $n$ identical stages, each with transmission efficiency $\eta_n$ and gain $G_n$.

We now introduce the loss modes $\xi_{a}(k)$ and $\xi_{b}(k)$ for $k \in \{1, n\}$, see Fig. 5. These modes are complex, each with a random phase. The output of stage $k$ is then given by:
\begin{align}
a(k) &= \sqrt{\eta_n G_n} a(k-1) + \sqrt{\eta_n (G_n - 1)} b^\dagger (k-1) + \sqrt{(1 - \eta_n) G_n} \xi_n (k) + \sqrt{(1 - \eta_n) (G_n - 1)} \xi_n^\dagger (k) \\
b^\dagger (k) &= \sqrt{\eta_n (G_n - 1)} a(k-1) + \sqrt{\eta_n G_n} b^\dagger (k-1) + \sqrt{(1 - \eta_n) (G_n - 1)} \xi_n (k) + \sqrt{(1 - \eta_n) G_n} \xi_n^\dagger (k).
\end{align}

Thus, we obtain recursively
\begin{align}
a(n) &= g_{aa}(n) a(0) + g_{ab}(n) b^\dagger (0) \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(c_a(j) \xi_n (j) + c_b(j) \xi_n^\dagger (j)\right), \tag{B11}
\end{align}

where, for \( j \in \{1, n\}, \)
\begin{align}
c_a(j) &= g_{aa}(n - j) \sqrt{(1 - \eta_n) G_n} \\
&+ g_{ab}(n - j) \sqrt{(1 - \eta_n) (G_n - 1)} \tag{B12}
\end{align}

and
\begin{align}
c_b(j) &= g_{aa}(n - j) \sqrt{(1 - \eta_n) (G_n - 1)} \\
&+ g_{ab}(n - j) \sqrt{(1 - \eta_n) G_n}. \tag{B13}
\end{align}

We now need to calculate \( \langle a^\dagger (n) a(n)\rangle \). Here, we assume that the loss modes are all uncorrelated, and that they are all in equilibrium with a bath at temperature \( T_b \), i.e. \( \langle \xi_n (k) \xi_n^\dagger (l)\rangle = \delta_{kl} \delta_{kl} \bar{n}_b \), where \( \bar{n}_b \) is the average photon number in each mode. We also assume that \( a(0) \) and \( b(0) \) are neither correlated to each other, nor to any of the loss modes. With these conditions, we have
\begin{align}
\langle a^\dagger (n) a(n)\rangle &= |g_{aa}(n)|^2 \left( \langle a^\dagger (0) a(0)\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \right) + |g_{ab}(n)|^2 \left( \langle b^\dagger (0) b(0)\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} (c_a(j))^2 \left( \langle \xi_n (j) \xi_n (j)\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \right) + (c_b(j))^2 \left( \langle \xi_n^\dagger (j) \xi_n^\dagger (j)\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left( |g_{ab}(n)|^2 - |g_{aa}(n)|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (c_b(j))^2 - |c_a(j)|^2 \right)^2. \tag{B14}
\end{align}

Finally, the last term of Eq. B14 is equal to \(-1/2\) because \( g_{ab}^2(n) - g_{aa}^2(n) = -\eta_n^2 \) and because \( |c_b(j)|^2 - |c_a(j)|^2 = (1 - \eta_n) \eta_n \), for \( j \in \{1, n\} \). We thus obtain
\begin{align}
N_{\text{out}}^s &= g_{aa}^2 (n) N_{\text{in}}^s + g_{ab}^2 (n) N_{\text{in}}^i + N_{\text{ex}}, \tag{B15}
\end{align}

where
\begin{align}
N_{\text{ex}} &= N_h \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(c_a(j))^2 + |c_b(j)|^2 \right). \tag{B16}
\end{align}

Here, \( N_{\text{out}}^s = \langle a^\dagger (n) a(n)\rangle + 1/2, N_{\text{in}}^s = \langle a^\dagger (0) a(0)\rangle + 1/2, \)
\( N_{\text{in}}^i = \langle b^\dagger (0) b(0)\rangle + 1/2, \) and \( N_h = \langle \xi_n (j) \xi_n (j)\rangle + 1/2 = \langle \xi_n^\dagger (j) \xi_n^\dagger (j)\rangle + 1/2, \) for \( j \in \{1, n\} \). Equation B15 is the equivalent of Eq. 1 when accounting for a distributed loss within the traveling-wave parametric amplifier.

In practice, the excess noise quickly converges to an asymptotic value, as we increase the number of divisions \( n \), because gain and loss equilibrate. At \( n = 1024 \) it can be considered as asymptotic. Starting with \( \eta_0 = 0.69 \) and \( G = 18 \text{ dB} \), we thus estimate that \( T_{\text{ex}} = 0.5 \text{ K} \). Therefore, it shows that the excess noise implied by the non-zero loss along the line is clearly non negligible. The discrepancy between this theoretical value and the experimental one (1.9 K) may come from uncertainties in the line’s true attenuation constant (i.e. \( Q_s \)), but also in the possible inhomogeneous distribution of the loss, which may be greater at the beginning of the line.

3. Possible origin for the internal loss

Several phenomena can give rise to internal loss, including an excess of quasi-particles, two-level systems in the dielectric, or itinerant vortices. A recent study on an NbTiN transmission line embedded in an amorphous silicon matrix [11] suggests that itinerant vortices arise when dc-biasing the line. Further study is required to identify and quantify the various possible sources of loss.
Appendix C: Full experimental setup

1. Existing setup

The full experimental setup used to measure the chain-added noise containing the KI-TWPA is shown in Fig. 6. It is composed of three main parts: the KI-TWPA control electronics (top), the amplification chain (middle) and the SNTJ control electronics (bottom). At the top, a current source and a microwave generator output respectively the dc and rf KI-TWPA biases. The high-pass filter on the pump line (ZHSS-8G-S+) provides over 30 dB of insertion loss at 6.5 GHz, and over 80 dB at 4 GHz. In addition, a vector network analyzer (VNA) is connected to the input and output of the amplification chain, allowing to measure the KI-TWPA gain.

In the middle, the amplification chain contains three amplifiers: the KI-TWPA at 4 K, the HEMT at 70 K, and a room temperature amplifier (LNA-30-00101200-17-10P). Signals from the millikelvin stage, either vacuum noise or calibrated noise from the SNTJ, depending on the microwave switch position, travel through these amplifiers and are read on the spectrum analyzer at room temperature.

Because of cable resistance between the SNTJ and its drive generator (the AWG), we cannot directly voltage bias the SNTJ. Instead, we current bias it with $I_b$ (through a 10 kΩ polarization resistor) and retrieve $V = R_{SNTJ} I_b$ by first measuring the SNTJ resistance $R_{SNTJ}$. To do that, we send a known dc current with the AWG and measure the dc voltage across the SNTJ with the oscilloscope (OSC), mounted in a 4-point probe configuration (and set with the 1 MΩ input impedance).

2. Possible improvements

Ultimately, the bias tee (BT) and directional coupler (DC) presently placed at 30 mK and used to inject respectively the dc bias and rf pump, should be made more compatible with the scarce real estate of the millikelvin stage. That means, ideally, placing them 4 K. With off-the-shelf components, that would bring an additional 0.5 to 1 dB of insertion loss in front of the KI-TWPA, which would degrade the noise performance by a few Kelvins: the chain-added noise would then be around 10 K. This noise may still be suitable for qubit readout, where a quantum-limited pre-amplifier is often placed at the millikelvin stage, typically a Josephson device, which routinely achieves 20 to 25 dB of gain \cite{13, 43}. This pre-amplifier would bring the 10 K chain-added noise below that of vacuum at the chains input. In the future, the BT and DC could be implemented on chip, together with the KI-TWPA, thereby reducing their insertion loss. Ultimately, this seems like a natural path forward. Note that any other traveling-wave parametric amplifier faces the same issue of pump delivery.

Note also that the 3.5-6.5 GHz range over which the KI-TWPA has gain depends on the periodic loading inside the KI-TWPA’s transmission line, which determines the position of the pump frequency at which exponential gain is achieved \cite{8}. This range can be adjusted by changing the periodic loading’s design (its period and impedance). As such, there is no fundamental obstacle to
FIG. 7. Characterization of a HEMT-only amplifier chain (mounted at 4 K). (a) The output noise temperature is referred to the chain’s input (i.e., divided by the chain’s gain), and varies as a function of the SNTJ dc-bias voltage $V$. We illustrate the output noise recorded on the SA, in a 5 MHz window around two frequencies: 3.6 GHz (red curve) and 5.5 GHz (blue curve). We then retrieved the chain-added noise temperature $T_{\Sigma^2}$ from a fit (black curves). (b) Two techniques allow us to measure $T_{\Sigma^2}$ as a function of frequency: one using the shot noise generated by the dc-biased SNTJ (black curve), and one using the temperature-dependent Johnson noise generated by the unbiased SNTJ, mounted on a VTS (purple curve). Two squares underline the values of $T_{\Sigma^2}$: at 3.6 GHz, obtained with the shot noise method (red) and at 5.5 GHz, obtained with the Johnson noise method (blue). (c) The input-referred output noise temperature varies as a function of the VTS temperature. We illustrate this variation for two frequencies, 3.6 GHz (red points) and 5.5 GHz (blue points). We then recover $T_{\Sigma^2}$ from a fit (black lines).

FIG. 8. Amplification chain where the HEMT is the first amplifier, placed at 4 K. The SNTJ (and its packaging) is mounted on a VTS.

have the same technology operate in the 6-10 GHz range, that is more favorable for qubit readout. Although dielectric loss will increase with frequency, so will the gain, for a fixed KI-TWPA length. Therefore, we don’t expect the noise performance to be drastically different in the 6-10 GHz range, compared to what is shown in the present work.

Appendix D: 4 K HEMT amplification chain

We present in Fig. 8 an amplification chain, where the 4 K HEMT is the first amplifier. Compared to that of Fig. 6, several components have been removed from the signal path, notably the bias tees used to deliver the dc current $I_d$ to the KI-TWPA, and the low-pass filter that protects the HEMT from the strong KI-TWPA rf pump tone. We chose to keep the isolator, because it is often placed before the HEMT in qubit experiments to avoid back-action [44] and in satellite mission concepts [19, 21], but we placed it at millikelvin temperatures to minimize its noise contribution. The unavoidable SNTJ packaging and bias tee remain in the chain.

Generating shot noise with the dc-biased SNTJ and fitting the output noise recorded on the SA [see Fig. 7(a)], we obtain $T_{\Sigma^2}$ as a function of frequency, shown in Fig. 7(b). To validate this result, we employed another, independent technique: in fact, at the chain’s input the SNTJ (with its packaging) is mounted on a variable temperature stage (VTS), allowing us to generate a temperature-dependent Johnson noise with the unbiased SNTJ. Fitting the output noise [see Fig. 7(c)] we obtain a second estimate of $T_{\Sigma^2}$. Here, the chain’s reference plane advances to the SNTJ packaging output, because the packaging’s temperature also varies. Then, comparing the chain’s gains between the two measurements (SNTJ and VTS), we estimate the SNTJ packaging insertion loss to be $0.3 \pm 0.3$ dB in the band of interest (see appendix F), similar to previous evaluations [45]. The quantitative agreement between both methods validate our use of the SNTJ as a calibrated noise source.

Appendix E: Fit of noise curves

1. Shot noise curves

We follow the same fitting procedure as in Ref. [8], but in the simpler case where we don’t include the idler noise contribution. In short, the SNTJ delivers noise to the chain [8, 43], whose power is

$$N_{in}^s = \frac{k_B T}{2\hbar \omega_s} \left[ \frac{eV + \hbar \omega_s}{2k_B T} \coth \left( \frac{eV + \hbar \omega_s}{2k_B T} \right) + \frac{eV - \hbar \omega_s}{2k_B T} \coth \left( \frac{eV - \hbar \omega_s}{2k_B T} \right) \right],$$

(E1)

where $T$ is the SNTJ temperature, $V$ is the voltage across the SNTJ, and $\omega_s$ the signal frequency. The output noise
recorded on the SA is proportional to that of Eq. A9.

In practice, we first fit the output noise at high voltage \( V \), for \( |eV/(2\hbar\omega_s)| > 3 \) quanta. In that case, Eq. E1 reduces to

\[
N^s_{in} = \frac{eV}{2\hbar\omega_s}. \tag{E2}
\]

We obtain the chain’s gain \( G_c \) and first estimation of \( N^s_2 \).

Then we fit the central region, with \( G_c \) fixed. We let \( N^s_2 \) vary within \( \pm 25\% \) of its first estimation, and because the AWG has a slight voltage offset \( V_{off} \), we include it as a fit parameter: we write \( V - V_{off} \) instead of \( V \) in Eq. E1. Finally, we bound \( T \) to a maximum value of 1 K.

2. Johnson noise curves

When varying the VTS temperature, we deliver noise to the chain whose power is

\[
N^s_{in} = \frac{1}{2} \coth \left( \frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_BT_{VTS}} \right). \tag{E3}
\]

Knowing \( T_{VTS} \), we fit the output noise recorded on the SA to get \( N^s_2 = T_{VTS}k_B/(\hbar\omega) \), the added noise of the chain containing only the HEMT at 4 K, see in appendix D.

Appendix F: Loss budget

We measured at 4 K the transmission of each part of the amplification chain with a VNA, to retrieve \( \eta_{1c} \), \( \eta_{1h} \) and \( \eta_2 \) as a function of frequency see Fig. 9(a). To find \( \eta_{1c} \), we first measured the transmission \( \eta_{ac} \) of the chain from the output of the SNTJ packaging to the input of the NbTi cable that connects the 30 mK stage to the 4 K stage. The SNTJ being a one-port device, we cannot measure directly the transmission of the SNTJ packaging \( \eta_{SNTJ} \). Instead, we find it from the noise measurements performed on the chain solely containing the HEMT at 4 K: \( \eta_{SNTJ} = 0.93 \pm 0.07 \) is the ratio between the chain’s gain obtained when using the SNTJ (proportional to \( G_H\eta_2\eta_1h\eta_{ac}\eta_{SNTJ} \)) to the gain obtained when using the VTS (proportional to \( G_H\eta_2\eta_1h\eta_{ac} \)). We then have \( \eta_{1c} = \eta_{ac}\eta_{SNTJ} \). The KI-TWPA packaging transmission \( \eta_p \) is measured separately at 4 K with a low probe tone power. It is then equally divided between \( \eta_{1h} \) and \( \eta_2 \). We report in Tab. IV the values of the various transmission efficiencies, along with their sub-components.

Knowing all the transmission efficiencies, we use Eq. A10 to deduce \( N_H \), the HEMT-added noise at 70 K, from \( N^s_2 \), the noise added by the chain presented in Fig. 1(b) (and measured with the SNTJ). In Fig. 9(b) we present \( T_H = N_H\hbar\omega/k_B \) as a function of frequency, along with the manufacturer specifications for the HEMT-added noise, when the HEMT is at 4 K and 296 K [29]. Unsurprisingly, \( T_H \) lies between these two reported performances, with \( T_H = 10.7 \pm 0.4 \) K between 3.5 and 5.5 GHz (uncertainty dominated by that of the transmission efficiencies).

| transmission efficiency | sub-component |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| \( \eta_{1c} = 0.8 \pm 0.1 \) | \( \eta_{SNTJ} = 0.93 \pm 0.07 \) |
| \( \eta_{ac} = 0.86 \pm 0.05 \) | |
| \( \eta_{1h} = 0.8 \pm 0.1 \) | \( \eta_{1h} = 0.94 \pm 0.05 \) |
| \( \sqrt{\eta_p} = 0.85 \pm 0.1 \) | \( \sqrt{\eta_p} = 0.85 \pm 0.1 \) |
| \( \eta_2 = 0.51 \pm 0.1 \) | \( \eta_{1h} = 0.6 \pm 0.05 \) |

TABLE IV. Transmission efficiencies at the various stages of the amplification chain and their sub-components, averaged between 3.5 and 5.5 GHz. In addition to the ones defined in the text, \( \eta_{1h} \) is the cable’s transmission at 4 K, before the KI-TWPA, and \( \eta_{1h} \) is the transmission of all the components between the KI-TWPA and the HEMT (see Fig. 6).

FIG. 9. Loss budget and inferred noise temperatures. (a) The transmission efficiencies \( \eta_{1c} \) (purple line), \( \eta_{1h} \) (green), \( \eta_2 \) (red) have been measured at 4 K. The SNTJ packaging transmission \( \eta_{SNTJ} \) (orange line) has been deduced from the ratio of chain’s gains, obtained when using the SNTJ and the VTS. (b) The HEMT-added noise temperature \( T_H \) (blue line) is then deduced using Eq. A10. In comparison, we also show the noise temperature of the HEMT when it is at 4 K (solid black line) and 296 K (dashed black line). (c) The KI-TWPA-excess noise temperature \( T_{ex} \) (blue line) is then calculated with Eq. A8 using the data presented in (a) and (b), and using \( T_\Sigma \) (black line). (d) Both the measured (black line) and inferred (blue line) chain-added noise temperature \( T_\Sigma \) agree quantitatively well. The inference is made from the manufacturer specification of the HEMT-added noise at 4 K and from transmission efficiency measurements on the parts of the chain shown in Fig. 8.
Then, using Eq. A8 we deduce $N_{\text{ex}}$, the KI-TWPA-excess noise. In Fig. 9(c) we show $T_{\text{ex}} = N_{\text{ex}} h \omega / k_B$, along with $T_S$, as a function of frequency. In the 3.5-5.5 GHz band we have $T_{\text{ex}} = 1.9 \pm 0.2 \, \text{K}$.

Finally, we also measured (at 4 K) the transmission efficiencies of each parts of the chain presented in Fig. 8, where the HEMT is mounted at 4 K. Then, from the manufacturer specification of the HEMT-added noise at 4 K [see Fig. 9(b)] we calculated the expected chain-added noise, and compared it to the measured one, see Fig. 9(d). Both are in good quantitative agreement, validating our overall methodology for the loss budget.

**Appendix G: Four-point probe setup**

We present in Fig. 10 the experimental setup used to evaluate the dc power consumption of the KI-TWPA. With the KI-TWPA under dc and rf biases, we measure the voltage drop between components at 4 K required to operate the KI-TWPA, consisting of the KI-TWPA itself, the BT, and the LPF placed after the dc input of the BT. At room temperature, a voltmeter reads the voltage across these components. Note that we have terminated the rf amplification chain at 4 K, because it is not properly matched to 50 Ω anymore: in fact, we inserted a subminiature version A (SMA) T-junction at the KI-TWPA input in order to read the potential at this point.
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