Intricate dynamics of a deterministic walk confined in a strip

D. Boyer

Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México - Apartado Postal 20-364, 01000 México D.F., México

received 1 May 2008; accepted in final form 2 June 2008
published online 1 July 2008

PACS 02.50.-r – Probability theory, stochastic processes, and statistics
PACS 05.40.Fb – Random walks and Lévy flights
PACS 89.75.-k – Complex systems

Abstract – We study the dynamics of a deterministic walk confined in a narrow two-dimensional space randomly filled with point-like targets. At each step, the walker visits the nearest target not previously visited. Complex dynamics is observed at some intermediate values of the domain width, when, while drifting, the walk performs long intermittent backward excursions. As the width is increased, evidence of a transition from ballistic motion to a weakly non-ergodic regime is shown, characterized by sudden inversions of the drift velocity with a probability slowly decaying with time, as $1/t$ at leading order. Excursion durations, first-passage times and the dynamics of unvisited targets follow power law distributions. For parameter values below this scaling regime, precursory patterns in the form of “wild” outliers are observed, in close relation with the presence of log-oscillations in the probability distributions. We discuss the connections between this model and several evolving biological systems.
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Introduction. – Deterministic walks in disordered environments have received an increasing attention over the past years. They describe diffusion processes following non-random rules and have applications, among others, to the study of the displacements of individuals in complex landscapes. Examples are human travels [1,2], human displacements in a city [3], movement patterns of hunter-gatherer [4] or foraging animals [5].

From a given position, the next site visited by a purely deterministic walker is assigned from a given set of rules and not stochastically. These walks still have probabilistic and fluctuating features if the environment is random or heterogeneous. Interesting dynamics have been observed, such as normal [6] or anomalous diffusion [7], behaviors analogous to that of the Lorentz gas [8], cycles with power law distributed periods [1,9] or Lévy-like step length distributions [10,11]. Complex behavior can emerge from very simple rules, e.g., when each step optimizes a given cost function. Deterministic walks have also been used to process large data sets in galaxy surveys [12], thesaurus graphs [13] or for pattern recognition [14].

In many situations, in particular biological, the deterministic walker itself changes the medium, which introduces memory [8]. An important case is the self-avoiding walk (SAW), which can be implemented to model biological systems with negative feedbacks that tend to avoid past behaviors. A simple example is that of a foraging animal relying on mental maps to navigate an environment composed of food patches that are not revisited after they have been depleted [5]. In a different context, the brain activity has been modeled by random walks keeping memory of their complete history in order to avoid persistent patterns; recent memory loss producing pathological repetitions, like in the Alzheimer’s disease [15]. In evolutionary ecology, the well-known Red-Queen principle assumes that any organism must constantly evolve in order to prevent its predators or preys to adapt to an otherwise predictable behavior. Similar considerations can apply to the dynamics of technological innovations [16]. Freund and Grassberger introduced some time ago a self-avoiding deterministic walk model in disordered two-dimensional domains, mimicking evolving organisms in phenotype landscapes [7]. These kinds of models are very difficult to handle analytically; they are firstly dynamical and not equivalent to canonical SAWs [17].

Here, we study a minimal model of a deterministic walk with a SAW constraint (in the infinite memory limit) and confined in a nearly one-dimensional random medium. In an evolutionary context, whereas SAWs can be justified by natural selection, organisms also have developmental
constraints due to limited phenotypic variability [18]. Similarly, the development of human artifacts is restricted by design limits. We model this important constraint by a narrow random medium where the walker can evolve without bounds only in one direction. In narrow landscapes, the model exhibits very rich dynamical features not observed in unbounded ones, such as intermittent behavior, scaling laws, discrete scale invariance and very large events (outliers). A discussion of these results is then presented.

Model description. – Consider a two-dimensional strip of width $l$ and infinite length along the horizontal direction. The strip is randomly filled with fixed point-like targets with uniform number density $\rho_0$, representing, say, food patches for a foraging animal or phenotypes for an evolving species. The only control parameter is the reduced domain width, defined as $\delta = l/l_0$, with $l_0 = \rho_0^{-1/2}$ the characteristic distance between neighboring targets. At time $t = 0$, a walker is located at some target with coordinates $(x_0, y_0)$, taken as the origin. Two rules of motion are then recursively applied: the walker i) moves to the nearest available target, ii) does not visit a previously visited target. When the new target is reached, $t$ is updated to $t + 1$

The medium can be made one(two)-dimensional in the limit $\delta \ll 1$ ($\delta \gg 1$), respectively. We will focus here on values of $\delta$ of $O(1)$, typically in the range $(2,5)$, such that the walker has a some vertical degree of freedom but a practically one-dimensional motion on large scales, described by the horizontal coordinate $x(t)$. In the simulations, the medium is a rectangle of area unity containing $N$ targets and of width $l = \delta/\sqrt{N}$. Each run start near the middle of the domain and is stopped before the walker reaches the lateral vertical walls.

Trajectories. – In the one-dimensional case ($\delta \ll 1$), the targets are randomly distributed on a line and motion is simply ballistic. After a possible short transient, the walker breaks the right-left symmetry and always moves to the nearest target to its right (or left) so that $x(t)$ is a sum of same-sign independent random variables with Poisson distribution. The $2d$ case ($\delta \gg 1$) is sometime called the “tourist walk” [1,2]: the trajectories are not very different from $2d$ random walks, although slightly superdiffusive [19].

For the cases $\delta = O(1)$ of interest here, the situation is quite different and trajectories exhibit a rich structure. As shown in fig. 1 at $\delta = 4.1$, the motion is on average ballistic due to the confining effect of the horizontal walls. The numerically calculated root-mean-square displacement $(x(t)^2)^{1/2}$ follows a linear behavior with time (not shown here). Note that the walker horizontal velocity $x(t) - x(t-1)$ often changes sign: the walker performs many “backward excursions” while drifting along the strip. These excursions, that were observed in a preliminary study of the model [10], can be explained qualitatively.

Trajectories. – In the one-dimensional case ($\delta \ll 1$), the targets are randomly distributed on a line and motion is simply ballistic. After a possible short transient, the walker breaks the right-left symmetry and always moves to the nearest target to its right (or left) so that $x(t)$ is a sum of same-sign independent random variables with Poisson distribution. The $2d$ case ($\delta \gg 1$) is sometime called the “tourist walk” [1,2]: the trajectories are not very different from $2d$ random walks, although slightly superdiffusive [19].

For the cases $\delta = O(1)$ of interest here, the situation is quite different and trajectories exhibit a rich structure. As shown in fig. 1 at $\delta = 4.1$, the motion is on average ballistic due to the confining effect of the horizontal walls. The numerically calculated root-mean-square displacement $(x(t)^2)^{1/2}$ follows a linear behavior with time (not shown here). Note that the walker horizontal velocity $x(t) - x(t-1)$ often changes sign: the walker performs many “backward excursions” while drifting along the strip. These excursions, that were observed in a preliminary study of the model [10], can be explained qualitatively.

Inversion probability and first-passage times. – We define the explored interval at time $t$ as $[x_{\text{min}}(t), x_{\text{max}}(t)]$, where $x_{\text{min}}(t)$ ($x_{\text{max}}(t)$) is the coordinate of the leftmost (rightmost) visited target after $t$ steps, respectively. A inversion (say, from right to left) occurs during the $t$-th step if $x_{\text{min}}(t) - x_{\text{min}}(t-1) < 0$ and if there exists a time $t' < t$ such that $x_{\text{max}}(t) - x_{\text{max}}(t'-1) > 0$ and such that $x_{\text{min}}(t'') - x_{\text{min}}(t''-1) = x_{\text{max}}(t'') - x_{\text{max}}(t''-1) = 0$ for $t' < t'' < t$.

We then define $P_{\text{inv}}(t)$ as the probability that an inversion (to the left or right) occurs during the $t$-th step,
Despite that the walker crosses less frequently the origin than a 1d random walker (where $P_0(t) \sim t^{-1/2}$), the return probability at large times in narrow strips ($\delta \ll t$) remains very high instead of being exponentially small as for usual ballistic motion (e.g., a 1d random walker with a bias).

Contrary to random walks, sign changes in $x(t)$ are abrupt and not strongly correlated to the evolution of $x(t)$ during the preceding steps (see fig. 1). It is therefore useful to make a connection between this result and a simpler two-state stochastic problem consisting of a walker moving ballistically on a line with two possible velocities, $v$ and $-v$. Starting in one state, the walker changes its velocity in the time interval $[t, t+1]$ with probability $p_{inv}(t)$, that is given. It is well known that if $p_{inv}(t)$ decays faster than $1/t$, there is a finite probability that the walker remains indefinitely in a same state ($v$ or $-v$) after reaching this state. If $p_{inv}(t)$ decays as $1/t$ or slower, the probability that the walker remains in a same state forever is zero. The behavior of the system is non-ergodic in the former case, as the left-right symmetry is asymptotically broken, while it is weakly non-ergodic\(^1\), or ergodic in the latter case. Obviously, the above two-state problem only provides an approximate description of our model and makes sense only in the regime where inversions are abrupt.

We investigate more in details the possibility of a non-ergodic/weakly non-ergodic transition as the strip width $\delta$ is increased across some critical value $\delta_c$, where $P_{inv}(t) \simeq c/t$. Making an analogy between the behavior of $P_{inv}$ (or $P_0$) and that of a correlation function near a critical point, for $\delta$ slightly below $\delta_c$ one may look for a standard scaling form: $P_{inv}(t) \simeq t^{-1}g(t/\tau(\delta))$, with $g(x)$ a scaling function rapidly decaying to zero at large $x$ and $\tau(\delta)$ a diverging timescale as $\delta \to \delta_c$. The inset of fig. 3 displays $tP_{inv}(t)$ vs. $t$ for different values of $\delta$ near 4.1, showing that the above ansatz does not hold.

Interestingly, the probability exhibits an unusual behavior instead. First, the different curves cannot be rescaled onto a single curve. Second, a pure power law behavior was never obtained for $P_{inv}(t)$ (nor $P_0(t)$) for the values of $\delta$ considered in this study. Intricate corrections to scaling in the form of logarithmic oscillations are observed. Log-oscillations have been observed in a variety of systems and are a manifestation of the phenomenon of discrete scale invariance \cite{21}. Our log-oscillations have a large period, of order 2 ln 10, which complicates the observation of several periods: we cannot conclude whether they converge toward a finite amplitude or are amplified. However, the amplitude of the oscillations is minimum at $\delta_c \simeq 4.1$.

The leading $1/t$ decay of $P_{inv}$ at $\delta_c$ is probably not a coincidence. From a renormalization group (RG) perspective, the model has a trivial attracting fixed point, $\delta^* = 0$, corresponding to simple ballistic motion in very narrow strips. The $1/t$ law indicates that $\delta_c$ should lie at the

\(^1\)In this context, weakly non-ergodic means that both states always remain accessible to the walker, although the time interval between two visits diverges asymptotically \cite{20}.

---

\[ P_0(t) \] as the probability that the walker crosses $x_0$ during the $t$-th step. In the random walk language, $P_0$ is analogous to the probability of presence at the origin. If motion is essentially ballistic between two inversions, a trajectory crosses its origin at large times only during an inversion: $P_{inv}$ and $P_0$ have the same asymptotic behavior.

In fig. 2, the numerically computed $P_{inv}(t)$ decays very slowly with time for several $O(1)$ values of the strip width $\delta$. In domains as narrow as $\delta = 1.5$, after an initial steep decay, $P_{inv}(t)$ exhibits a surprising fat tail. At the larger value $\delta = 4.1$, $P_{inv}(t)$ can be well fitted by the simple inverse power law $c/t$, with $c$ a constant. The same curves are replotted in fig. 3 as $tP_{inv}(t)$ vs. $t$: for $\delta = 4.1$, the curve remains remarkably constant during almost 6 decades, while strong corrections to scaling are present below and above that parameter value ($\delta = 3$ and 5). Very similar results are obtained for $P_0(t)$.

---

**Fig. 2:** Probability that the drift velocity changes its sign at time $t$, as a function of $t$ and for various strip widths. The probabilities are calculated from $8 \times 10^4$ independent random media.

**Fig. 3:** Same data as in fig. 2, replotted as $tP_{inv}(t)$ vs. $t$. Inset: details of $tP_{inv}(t)$ for $\delta = 4$ (℃), 4.1 (△), 4.2 (●).
boundary of the basin of attraction of that fixed point. Besides, the increasing corrections from power law behavior for \( \delta \) slightly above \( \delta_c \) (see fig. 3 at \( \delta = 5 \)) suggest that RG trajectories above \( \delta_c \) flow towards an other attracting fixed point (that could be \( \delta^* = \infty \)). This argument supports the idea that the transition is not a cross-over and that \( \delta_c \) might be a non-trivial repelling fixed point.

An other possibility is that the walk may become asymptotically ballistic without inversions after extremely large times, unreachable with standard numerical methods. In this case, the results above would describe a very long transient preceding an asymptotic regime of limited practical relevance.

Other insights into inversion processes can be gained from the distribution \( P_1(t) \) of first-passage times. The first-passage time is defined here as the step number when the walker crosses \( x_0 \) for the first time. As shown in fig. 4a, a \( t^{-\alpha} \) law with \( \alpha \simeq 3/2 \) holds remarkably well over nearly 6 decades in the vicinity of \( \delta_c \). As for \( P_{inv}(t) \) and \( P_0(t) \), log-oscillations were detected in \( P_1 \) at (and near) \( \delta_c \).

This exponent value can be qualitatively explained with the help of the simple two-state approximation described above, where the probability that the velocity changes its sign for the first time at time \( t \) reads: \( p_1(t) = p_{inv}(t) \exp[-\int_0^t p_{inv}(t')dt'] \). If \( p_{inv} \simeq c/t \) at large times, then \( p_1(t) \sim t^{-\alpha} \) with \( \alpha = 1 + c \). The numerical value of \( c \) calculated from \( P_{inv} \) at \( \delta = 4.1 \) yields \( \alpha \simeq 1.33 \). This value is close to, but lower than the observed 3/2. Therefore, inversion events are not independent but probably long-range correlated. It is actually surprising (and most likely coincidental) that the first-passage exponent is close to the simple value of the 1d random walk \([22]\).

**Backward excursions and unvisited sites.** – We now come back to the description of backward excursions, that are much more frequent than inversion events. The probability distribution of excursion durations, \( P_e(\tau) \), can be obtained from the sizes of the time intervals when \( x_{min}(t) \) or \( x_{max}(t) \) remains constant. As shown in fig. 4b, in the vicinity of \( \delta_c \), this distribution is also well fitted by a power law behavior, \( P_e(\tau) \sim t^{-\beta} \), with \( \beta \simeq 2.7 \). This distribution has finite first moment but infinite variance. On average, the walker remains “trapped” in an excursion during a finite number of steps, but its progression is quite intermittent. For strip widths well below \( \delta_c \), excursions are still observed and \( P_e(\tau) \) remains fairly broad, although it can no longer be fitted with a power law. Generally speaking, backward excursions tend to restore the right-left symmetry of the system. For this reason they are reminiscent of the effect of thermal fluctuations on a broken symmetry phase in equilibrium.

Another quantity of interest related to excursion dynamics is the number of unvisited sites in the explored interval \([x_{min}(t), x_{max}(t)]\), denoted as \( N_u(t) \). As shown in fig. 5a, for values of \( \delta \) below \( \delta_c \), \( N_u(t) \) displays cycles of irregular durations analogous to oscillations in excitable systems. The cycles are composed of i) a slowly increasing part on average and ii) a fast decay down to zero. This behavior reflects the fact that a small fraction of sites are left as unvisited while the walker is drifting in the disordered medium, leading to an increase in \( N_u(t) \). These sites can be visited later, in a long backward excursion, leading to an “avalanche-type” relaxation of
\(N_a(t)\). (Note that many smaller excursions also occur during the ascending part of \(N_a(t)\).) The distribution of the time intervals \(\Delta t\) between two successive zeros of \(N_a(t)\) is displayed in fig. 4a. One expects \(P(\Delta t)\) and the first-passage time distribution \(P_1(t)\) to have the same asymptotic behavior, as observed. At \(\delta = 4.1\), one finds \(P(\Delta t) \sim (\Delta t)^{-3/2}\), implying that \(\langle \Delta t \rangle = \infty\): \(N_a(t)\) grows asymptotically unbounded. In the transition region, the walker is therefore unable to visit all the targets of the explored interval at large time (it is “inefficient”). Below \(\delta_c\), the distribution \(P(\Delta t)\) decays faster (fig. 4a) and the evolution of \(N_a(t)\) seems to have a characteristic cut-off period. In fig. 5a, where \(\delta\) is well below \(\delta_c\), this characteristic time is still very long (~10^4). Large avalanches, where \(N_a\) drops from about 600 to 0, are present.

The walker is a priori “efficient” below \(\delta_c\), since it regularly leaves no sites as unvisited (fig. 5a). However, this behavior is not persistent on very large time scales, as shown in fig. 5b. Surprisingly, at a given time that can be of order 10^6 or more, \(N_a(t)\) does not come back to zero and starts to oscillate above a finite value. This happens when a large excursion fails to visit some of the unvisited targets left behind. After such an incomplete excursion, \(\langle \Delta t \rangle\) obviously starts to grow with time. This behavior is observed in a whole range of parameter values below \(\delta_c\), down to about \(\delta \sim 1.30\). The walk is therefore efficient during a finite time, until a “catastrophic” event with very large \(\Delta t\) occurs.

One can draw an analogy between these “outliers” and very large earthquakes or financial crisis in other contexts [23,24]: their magnitude cannot be explained from a simple extrapolation of the distribution \(P(\Delta t)\), as displayed in fig. 4a, to larger arguments. Here, outliers are unusual precursory patterns of the power law distribution (in sharp contrast with common critical phenomena) and can be attributed to the presence of log-oscillations in \(P(\Delta t)\): As noticeable in fig. 4a, after an initial steeper decay, the distribution for \(\delta = 2.45 < \delta_c\) very closely approaches the critical curve for a range of values around \(\Delta t_1 \sim 5 \times 10^3\), before decaying fast again. Although computational limitations do not allow to observe more log-periods, it is very likely that the next oscillation can reach (or even cross) the critical distribution, for some values \(\Delta t_2 \gg \Delta t_1\) (see fig. 3 for a similar behavior at \(\delta = 3\)). Therefore, the emergence of very large intervals of order \(\Delta t_2\) between the zeros of \(N_a\) becomes as probable as at \(\delta_c\). This explains qualitatively the time scales \(\Delta t \sim 5 \times 10^3\) followed by a single one of much larger size, precursor of the critical regime with diverging \(\langle \Delta t \rangle\).

Discussion. – We have shown that a simple self-avoiding process taking place in a confined Poissonian random medium can display complex dynamics and broad distributions in a wide parameter range. Quenched disorder introduces randomness in the model, that otherwise follows simple deterministic rules. Similar results as reported here should be observed in a semi-infinite strip with the walker initially located at one end, with the difference that the walker would drift without inversions.

In ref. [7], the trajectories generated by the Red-Queen rules can be similar to random walks after time scales that depend strongly on the lattice size and geometry [7]. In contrast with the Red-Queen Walk, where sites can be revisited after a very long time, our model has infinite memory, leading to intermittent and complex behavior.

The evolution of single species is known to be intermittent and not gradual, long period of stasis being “punctuated” by burst of rapid biological changes [25]. Such active periods might be driven by the internal dynamics of evolution. According to the fossil record, the number of genera with a lifetime \(\tau\) follow a power law \(N \sim 1/\tau^\beta\), with \(\beta \approx 2\) [26]. The Bak-Sneppen model [27] considers interacting species with high mutation barriers, leading to self-organized critical states with \(\beta = 1.1\) [26]. This evolution is slower than observed because it occurs by collective modes, or avalanches. Changes are easier in our model (where explicit interactions are ignored), but still intermittent. The distribution of time intervals between successive changes in \(x_{\text{max}}\), for instance, is fitted with an exponent \(\beta = 2.7\). One may speculate that phenotypic restrictions could play a role on the punctuated dynamics of evolution, in addition to species interactions.

Our system does not become critical in an ordinary way. At \(\delta_c\), the inversion probability of the drift velocity decays as a power law with small log-periodic corrections. In a first-harmonic approximation [21], \(P_{\text{av}}(t) \sim ct^{-1}[1 + a_1 \cos(2\pi ln t/ln \lambda)]\), with \(a_1 < 1\) and \(\lambda \approx 100\). This asymptotic regime is numerically hard to reach, as observed in other problems with log-oscillations [15,28]. The leading term above represents the law that separates, in analogy with a two-state stochastic process, asymptotically ballistic (non-ergodic) trajectories and walks that keep changing direction indefinitely.

The log-oscillations present in various distribution functions indicate the presence of a hierarchy of time scales related to each other by a particular scaling factor \(\lambda\) such that \(P(\lambda) \approx \lambda^{\alpha} P(t)\). These oscillations are often displayed by cooperative phenomena taking place on hierarchical structures (spin models near criticality [21], contact processes [29]), or by random walk models with memory [15,30], among other examples. In ref. [28] log-oscillations appear in a simple biased 1d random walk model in a disordered medium containing a small fraction of “slow” sites, where the walker jumps in the direction opposite to the bias with a probability close to one. These slow sites are somehow analogous to our (dynamically generated) backward excursions. A crucial ingredient leading to log-oscillations in [28] is spatial discreteness, where clusters of slow sites trap the walker during a time that increases exponentially with the cluster size. In our model, no such discreteness is apparent. Instead, inversion events are correlated in a complicated way: if the strip is sufficiently narrow, an inversion cannot occur in the
interval $[t_1, 2t_1]$ if an inversion occurred at time $t_1$. The time intervals separating inversions might introduce a particular scaling factor, although its precise origin is unclear.

Well below the critical region, the distributions exhibit log-oscillations of irregular amplitudes whose maxima can be identified with outliers, that are “wild” precursors of critical fluctuations. From the above discussion, the characteristic size of these events can be roughly extrapolated as being of order $\lambda^n$ ($n = 1, 2 \ldots$), and as probable as at criticality. At $\delta_c$, these specific scales are mixed with all the others ($a_1 \ll 1$), in a practically scale-invariant distribution. A detailed study the behavior near $\delta_c$ remains to be done. Correlation functions (e.g. velocity) other than $P_{rev}$ might exhibit clearer scaling relations.

In this scenario, it is however clear that outliers exist in a wide parameter range and do not even require that the bulk of the distribution follows a power law. On the contrary, they are off-critical events by nature. This property has to be contrasted with more common views in seismology, for instance, where outliers are either considered as coming from the tail of power law distributions [31], or, in a more refined way, as coming from a bump at large sizes in a otherwise power law distribution of bulk events [32].

***
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