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Extrahepatic portacaval shunt via a magnetic compression technique: A cadaveric feasibility study
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**Abstract**

AIM: To explore the anatomical feasibility of portacaval shunt using a magnetic compression technique (MCT) in cadavers.

METHODS: Computed tomography (CT) images of 30 portal hypertensive patients were obtained. The diameters of the portal vein (PV), the inferior vena cava (IVC), and distance between the two structures were measured. Similar measurements were performed on 20 adult corpses. The feasibility of portacaval shunt based on those measurements was analyzed. First stage of the extrahepatic portacaval shunt using MCT was performed on five cadavers. Specifically, the PV and IVC were exposed through an abdominal incision of the cadavers. The parent magnet was introduced from the femoral vein and was delivered into the IVC by an anchor wire and a 5F Cook catheter. The daughter magnet was introduced into the PV through the splenic vein using an
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interventional guide wire. When the daughter magnet met the parent magnet, they automatically clipped together and the first stage of the portacaval shunt was set up.

RESULTS: The average diameters of the PV and the IVC measured from the 30 CT image were 14.39 ± 2.36 mm and 18.59 ± 4.97 mm, respectively, and the maximum and minimum distances between the PV and the IVC were 9.79 ± 4.56 mm and 9.50 ± 4.79 mm, respectively. From 20 cadavers, the average diameters of the PV and the IVC were 14.48 ± 1.47 mm and 24.71 ± 2.64 mm, and the maximum and minimum distances between the PV and the IVC were 10.14 ± 1.70 mm and 8.93 ± 1.17 mm, respectively. The distances between the PV and the IVC from both the CT images and the cadavers were within the effective length of portacaval anastomosis using MCT (30.30 ± 4.19 mm). The PV and IVC are in close proximity to each other with no intervening tissues or structures in between. Simulated surgeries of the first stage using MCT on five cadavers was successfully performed.

CONCLUSION: Anatomically, extrahepatic portacaval shunt employing MCT is highly feasible in humans.
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Core tip: The portacaval shunt using magnetic compression technique (MCT) was first established by our group in a canine model. Here, we assessed its feasibility in humans by analyzing computed tomography images and the anatomical parameters of cadavers. The average diameters of the portal vein (PV), the inferior vena cava (IVC) and the distances between the PV and the IVC were all within the parameters that allowed the setup of portacaval shunt using MCT. Finally, first stage simulation of the portacaval shunt was successfully set up in five cadavers. Our results indicated that the portacaval shunt is highly feasible in humans.
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INTRODUCTION

Surgical shunting is an important treatment option for portal hypertension\[1\]. The traditional, hand-sewn surgical shunt currently used is time-consuming, highly technical and subject to postoperative complications. Side-to-side portacaval shunt has a high incidence of hepatic encephalopathy\[2,3\]. H-graft portacaval shunt, as a limited portacaval shunt, can effectively reduce the incidence of hepatic encephalopathy\[4\]. However, it is also technically challenging and patients who undergo the procedure must be put on long-term use of anticoagulants.

The magnetic compression technique (MCT) exploits the attraction between magnets to pull together an area of ischemic necrosis and its surrounding tissue to promote healing. The major advantages of the MCT are that it is simple, minimally invasive and reliable. Currently, MCT is applied in esophageal atresia\[5,6\], biliary stenosis\[7-12\], vascular anastomosis\[13-19\] and gastro-intestinal anastomosis\[20-27\]. Previously, we applied Roux-en-Y choledochojjunostomy incorporating MCT for obstructive jaundice in a canine model\[28\]. We also confirmed that magnetic rings could be used for rapid vascular reconstruction in a canine liver transplantation model\[19\].

We first reported the establishment of a portacaval shunt using MCT in dogs, the significant advantage of which is that no stent or any other foreign device was installed\[29\]. In the present study, we assessed some anatomical parameters that might affect the establishment of extrahepatic portacaval shunt using MCT in humans, and performed five preliminary cadaveric surgeries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical statement

The entire study was carried out in strict accordance with protocols approved by the Xi’an Jiaotong University Biomedical Ethics Committee (Ethics Permit Number: 2014-0303). The cadavers were obtained from the Department of Anatomy, Health Science Center, Xi’an Jiaotong University, Shaan Xi Province, China. Written consent was obtained from the immediate family members of the deceased for educational and scientific research purposes. The format of the consent form is in accordance with the guidelines of the China Organ Donation Administrative Center.

The Xi’an Jiaotong University Biomedical Ethics Committee approved the use of the computed tomography (CT) data collected from patients. The project was explained to the patients. The privacy of the patients in this study was strictly protected and only age and CT data essential for the study were collected.

Human cadaver and gross anatomy

Twenty adult cadavers (14 male, 6 female) embalmed with formaldehyde were obtained. Cadavers of people with a history of abdominal surgery; abdominal tumor that might change the anatomical location of portal vein (PV) or inferior vena cava (IVC); diseases of PV or IVC system; prolonged storage after death, or improper
handling that might interfere with the measurements were excluded.

We first opened the abdomen of the cadaver and assessed the abdominal organs, and verified the absence of structural abnormalities or obvious lesions. We then incised the hepatoduodenal ligament, blunt dissected and observed the PV, IVC, common bile duct, and hepatic proper artery. The lower boundary of the effective area of portacaval anastomosis was defined as the plane of the splenic-mesenteric confluence (S, Figure 1). The upper boundary was defined as the plane of PV bifurcation (P, Figure 1A) or the inferior margin of the liver caudate lobe (C, Figure 1B). The effective length of the portacaval anastomosis was defined as the distance between S and P (L1, Figure 1A) or S and C (L2, Figure 1B). Twenty cadavers were measured.

CT images of patients with portal hypertension
From 2012 to 2013, 30 adult patients with portal hypertension underwent abdominal CT scans. All CT studies were performed with a 16-slice CT scanner (Somatom Sensation 16; Siemens, Germany). Patients with a history of abdominal surgery, portal venous system thrombosis, or other factors that might lead to distinct anatomical changes of the PV or IVC were excluded. The diameters of the PV and IVC at the position of the splenic-mesenteric confluence (S, Figure 1) and PV bifurcation (P, Figure 1A) or inferior margin of the liver caudate lobe (C, Figure 1B) were each measured as illustrated in Figure 1.

Magnetic device
Two neodymium (Nd-Fe-B) permanent magnets (parent and daughter) were custom-manufactured for vascular experimental use (Northwest Institute for Nonferrous Metal Research, Xi’an, China; Figure 2). The parent magnet was a semi-cylinder with round ends, 15 mm L x 4 mm W x 3 mm H (Figure 2A-C). The parent magnet was attached to a special anchor wire, which was modified from a 2-0 prolene wire (Ethicon; Johnson and Johnson, Somerville, NJ, United States) by cutting off the suture needles from its ends. The daughter magnet, without wire, has the same dimensions as the parent magnet but with a long-axis paralleled foramen (diameter, 1.2 mm) inserted through the center.

The parent magnet was introduced from the femoral vein and delivered into the IVC by an anchor wire and a 5F Cook catheter (Cook, Bloomington, IN, United States). An interventional guide wire was inserted through the foramen of the daughter magnet. The daughter magnet was introduced into the PV through the splenic vein using an interventional guide wire (0.035 in; TERUMO, Japan) and a 5F Cook catheter (Cook; Figure 2D).

The force of the parent and daughter magnet was 6.8 N with 0-mm intermagnet separation and magnetic density 2400 G. The weights of the parent and daughter magnet were 0.80 g and 0.75 g, respectively.

Shunt procedure
Shunt surgery was performed with reference to our previous procedure[29]. The PV and IVC were exposed through an abdominal incision, and the splenic vein and 15 mm of the right femoral vein were exposed. The proline wire affixed to the parent magnet was inserted into a 5F Cook catheter, and the end of the proline wire was tightly drawn to ensure that the parent magnet was fixed to the other end of the Cook catheter. An incision was made at the right femoral
A portal-IVC shunt was set up (Figure 3).

In this study, only the first stage shunt procedures were performed on five cadavers.

Statistical analysis
The statistical methods of this study were reviewed by Qian Li from First Affiliated Hospital, Xi'an Jiaotong University. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. Gross anatomy and CT scan data were analyzed using an independent samples t-test. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed with SPSS 17.0 software.

RESULTS
In this cadaveric study, the IVC was at the right-posterior position of the PV; the hepatoduodenal ligament, a small amount of connective tissue and the retroperitoneum lay between them. The hepatic proper artery was located at the left-inferior side of the PV and the common bile duct was right anterior to the PV (Figure 4). There was no important structure in the effective area of the portacaval anastomosis.

From 30 CT imaging measurements, the average diameters of the PV and the IVC in portal hypertensive patients were 14.39 ± 2.36 mm and 18.59 ± 4.97 mm, respectively.
respectively (Table 1). The maximum and minimum distances between the PV and the IVC were 9.79 ± 4.56 mm and 9.50 ± 4.79 mm, respectively (Table 1).

From 20 cadavers, the average diameters of the PV and IVC were 14.48 ± 1.47 mm and 24.71 ± 2.64 mm, respectively (Table 1). The maximum and minimum distances between the PV and the IVC were 10.14 ± 1.70 mm and 8.93 ± 1.17 mm, respectively (Table 1). The distances between the PV and the IVC from both the CT image analysis and that of the cadavers are within the effective length of portacaval anastomosis using MCT (30.30 ± 4.19 mm).

The average diameters of the IVC determined from CT image measurements and those from the cadavers were significantly different ($P = 0.000$). The cross sections of the IVCs were highly variable on CT images: some were oval rather than round shaped. In such cases, we measured the length and width of the oval-shaped cross section and took the average as the value of its diameter. However, this measurement might not be accurate and could account for the deviation between CT and cadaveric measurements. Nevertheless, despite the discrepancy, the value of the IVC diameter measured from CT images was still within the parameters that allow the performance of portacaval anastomosis using MCT.

### Table 1 Parameters of the portal vein and the inferior vena cava from cadavers and computed tomography scan of portal hypertension patients

|                       | CT scan¹ | Cadaver² | $P$ value³ |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|------------|
| Male, $n$             | 17       | 14       |            |
| Female, $n$           | 13       | 6        |            |
| Age, yr               | 50.2 ± 11.0 | 53.9 ± 10.7 |          |
| Diameter of PV, mm    | 14.39 ± 2.36 | 14.48 ± 1.47 | 0.871     |
| Diameter of IVC, mm   | 17.17 ± 5.94 | 24.71 ± 2.64 | 0.000     |
| Interval space 1, mm² | 9.50 ± 4.79 | 10.14 ± 1.70 | 0.509     |
| Interval space 2, mm² | 9.79 ± 4.56 | 8.93 ± 1.17 | 0.332     |
| Effective length, mm  | -        | 30.30 ± 4.19 | -         |

¹$n = 30$; ²$n = 20$; ³$P$ value < 0.05 was considered significant; ⁴at the plane of S; ⁵at the plane of P or C. PV: Portal vein; IVC: Inferior vena cava; CT: Computed tomography.
that these structures cannot interfere with the anatomical analysis of 20 adult cadavers indicated in the lower part of the portacaval space node, the portacaval vessels, and the cystic duct are part of the portacaval space, and the portacaval caudate lobe of the liver is generally in the upper PV and the IVC. Many studies have shown that the portacaval space, defining it as the gap between the parent and daughter magnets because of the compression. More importantly, the magnetism was maintained at the satisfactory force and overcame the tension of the blood vessels (Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Although we previously verified the reliability, feasibility, safety and efficiency of MCT in dogs, three essential issues remain to be resolved before it can be applied in humans. Firstly, whether there are intervening structures between the PV and the IVC that will be compressed when the parent and daughter magnets join. Secondly, whether the magnetic force between the parent and daughter magnets is strong enough to pull the two structures together. Thirdly, whether human anatomical characteristics allow this operation. The present study addressed all three of these key issues.

Zirinsky et al. first proposed the concept of a portacaval space, defining it as the gap between the PV and the IVC. Many studies have shown that the caudate lobe of the liver is generally in the upper part of the portacaval space, and the portacaval node, the portacaval vessels, and the cystic duct are in the lower part of the portacaval space. Our anatomical analysis of 20 adult cadavers indicated that these structures cannot interfere with the portacaval anastomosis and would not be affected by the procedure. The hepatic PV lies left-inferior to the IVC; thus, when the parent and daughter magnets join, the right-posterior wall of the hepatic PV and the left-anterior wall of the IVC are compressed. Our autopsies showed that the proper hepatic artery and the bile duct are located left-inferior and right-inferior of the hepatic PV, respectively. However, the simulation procedure on the corpses confirmed that the magnets hardly compress these two structures.

One prerequisite for the procedure is that the distance between the PV and the IVC is within the working range of the magnets. According to our previous studies, the maximum distance that will allow attraction between the magnets is 3.5 cm. However, the ideal interval in experimental dogs was < 1.6 cm, because of the tension of the hepatic PV and the IVC vessel. The mean minimum distance between the hepatic PV and the IVC, based on measurements in the 20 adult corpses, was 0.96 cm ± 0.17 cm. Therefore, we assumed that the parent and daughter magnets are also able overcome the resistance of the blood vessels in the human body. We concluded that the magnetic attraction is sufficient to pull the PV and the IVC together.

In our previous study in dogs, the designed magnet was 12 mm in length and 2.5 mm in width. In humans, the diameter of the transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) stent and prosthetic H-graft portacaval shunt is from 8 to 10 mm. In our current study, the dimension of the magnet used was 15 mm × 4 mm × 3 mm. Our anatomical analysis revealed that the dimension of the hepatic PV and the IVC is sufficient to accommodate this size. Therefore, we suggest that the optimum length of a magnet used for a human portacaval shunt should be around 10 to 15 mm.

To better visualize the progress of the surgery, we opened the cadavers’ abdomen in this study. We reset the daughter magnet to the hepatic PV via the splenic vein. However, it is highly feasible clinically to implement minimally invasive surgery in humans by introducing the daughter magnet to the hepatic PV via the transjugular and transhepatic path.

Taken together, the results presented in the present study addressed the three essential issues regarding the feasibility of portacaval shunt with MCT in humans.

Based on our preliminary studies, the automatic assembly of the magnets was the critical problem. We emphasized the importance of a lack of previous abdominal surgeries, because postoperative adhesion might lead to extra resistance and impede attachment of the magnets. In the procedure, once the magnets had successfully clipped together, detachment was unavailable under interventional guidance; thus, it was crucial to hold still one magnet (the daughter one or the parent one) at the suitable compressed spot till the other one came for assembling. Also, during the
withdrawal of the magnets, it required the assistance of a RUPS-100 needle to detach the device and the risk of puncturing IVC should be avoided. A limitation of this study is that we only performed the first stage of the entire procedure. This is because the second stage requires the formation of copious fibrous connective tissue around the anastomosis, which is impossible to simulate in cadavers. In addition, the timing of the second surgery is crucial to the success of MCT - if there is not enough time remold, hemorrhage will be inevitable during the second surgery. On the other hand, if the second surgery is performed after a prolonged interval after the first, the magnets will become embedded in the adhesive tissues and will be difficult to remove. Based on our experience, 7 d after the first surgery is the optimum working window for the second surgery in dogs. The optimum timeframe for portacaval remolding in humans requires further study.

In conclusion, through preliminary trials on cadavers we confirmed the anatomical feasibility of implementing extrahepatic portacaval shunt using magnetic compression in humans. However, this pioneering technique requires further clinical validation.
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