First birth cesarean proportion: A missed indicator in controlling policies
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Abstract

Background: Around one out of two mothers give births by cesarean section (CS) surgery in Iran and about half of this number is due to previous CS. Recently Health Sector Evolution (HSEP) program (started in April 2014) targets the high rate of CS in Iran. To assess the impact of the interventions, we emphasized that the First Birth Cesarean (FBC) proportion is one of the main indicators to assess the controlling programs.

Methods: Data on the mode of delivery were collected in Kerman province between 21 March and 20 March 2015 classified by hospital ownership. FBC proportion is defined as the number of CS in the first pregnancies divided by the total number of first births. Chi-square test for trend was used to assess the trends.

Results: Total number of births was around 34000. There were 8.9 and 13.1 percent reduction in CS and FBC proportion respectively. CS proportion was 54.5 at the end of the first quarter of the studied period and reached to 49.6 at the end of the period (p<0.0001). Also, FBC proportion was 54.1 percent at first and reached to 47 percent at the end of the study period. The main reason for CS was due to previous CS. At the hospital level, the highest reduction in CS and FBC proportion were in public hospitals.

Conclusion: Results suggested more reduction in FBC proportion than the CS proportion, so this is a very good sign since more potential CS cases will be prevented. As repeated CS is one of the main indications for the operation, in the short term, even effective policies may change the overall proportion slightly, while the FBC proportion is more sensitive to reflect the impacts. Therefore, it is necessary to target the main fuel to reduce CS proportion effectively.
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Introduction

Today world encounters a steadily increasing trend of Cesarean section (CS) proportion (1). CS has been a common surgical method to improve reproductive health around the world, while considerable numbers are unnecessary (1,2). However, it reflects high availability and access to health care it should be noted that most of the time it is not necessary and could endanger the health of mother and child.

Based on the latest report of WHO Iran is among the countries with high rates of CS. Forty-eight percent of births are by CS in Iran (3). Meaning one out of two mothers give births by CS surgery, which is much higher than the recommended range by WHO and also three times higher than
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middle east (4). This high rate and increasing trend need more attention (2,3,5-7). Recently researchers and Policymakers become interested in this health challenge.

CS is recommended in occasions such as dystocia, malpresentation, preeclampsia and some cases of infections like HIV, presence of active genital lesions of Herpes simplex, etc; but in low-risk women may cause serious complications (8). However, subsequent morbidities are more frequent in low risk and unnecessary CS cases compared to vaginal delivery (9-13). Based on recent evidences in countries with the rate of CS less than 15% as a life-saving surgery, there was a negative association between neonatal, infant and maternal mortality and cesarean section, in the case of exceeding more than 15% there was no additional gain (14). To improve maternal health and also reduce the economic burden on the health systems, planning and implementing the effective intervention to reduce CS proportion is necessary (6,15).

Health Sector Evolution Plan (HSEP) program which is recently implemented by Ministry of Health and Medical Organization (MOHME) of Iran, primarily intended to reduce the financial burden of treatment procedures on people. The first phase of HSEP initiated in May 2014. One out of seven parts of this guideline is about increasing natural vaginal delivery (NVD) by decreasing unnecessary CS cases. The other goals of this program are presented by others (16). The final goal of this part is to improve maternal and neonatal health. The ideal range of CS proportion is 25-30%. In each university 2.5% reduction to the baseline is recommended in a three month time intervals and finally 10 percent reduction by the first year. CS rate (number of CS cases divided by total births) has been determined as the main indicator. This study aimed to make a suggestion to this program.

To plan preventive programs to reduce CS rate effectively, precise data on the causes of CS is needed. Nowadays the most common indication for CS is due to previous CS, so the primary CS serves as a good resource for continuing the high rate of CS. In other words, the concern is primary CS especially the cesarean section in the first pregnancy. Therefore, if a sustainable reduction is a goal, addressing the CS cases in first pregnancies is needed. Despite the importance of such cases, there is no reliable data on it and its causes. In this study data on first birth, CS were collected alongside the other recommended items by MOHME in HSE program. This paper aimed to emphasize cesarean section in the first pregnancy versus in all pregnancies (FBC proportion) as an important issue in evaluating cesarean reduction policies. Also, evaluate FBC proportion in Kerman University of Medical Sciences (KMU) during a twelve month period (21 March 2014, 20 March 2015). The study period started 45 days earlier than the HSEP initiation.

**Methods**

Kerman as one of the largest province of Islamic Republic of Iran with a population of more than three million people is located in the southeast. Medical and Health services to this big province are provided by four medical universities. Kerman University of Medical Sciences (KMU) provides services to seven districts out of 26. CS surgery is a common mode of delivery in this region as the other parts of Iran. More than fifty percent (52%) of the births in the year previous this study commence were by CS surgery. Chi-square test for trend was used to assess the trends. Statistical significance was defined by a p-value of <0.05.

CS and FBC proportion were calculated at the end of the three month intervals. CS proportion is calculated as total number of CS cases divided by total number of births. First Birth Cesarean Section proportion (FBC) calculated as total number of cesarean section in the first pregnancy divided by total number of first births. Changes were calculated at the end of each interval compared to the first by hospital ownership.
First Birth Cesarean Proportion

FBS proportion = Number of cesarean section in first pregnancy / Total number of cesarean section

Results

Total number of births and births in first pregnancies were 33888 and 12383, respectively. Overall CS and FBC proportion were 51.2 and 47.7 in the study period, respectively. There were 8.9 and 13.1 percent reduction in CS and FBC proportion, respectively. CS proportion was 54.5 at the end of the first quarter of the studied period and reached to 49.6 at the end of the period (p<0.001). Also, FBC proportion was 54.1 percent at first and reached to 47 percent at the end of the study period (Table 1). The main reason for CS was due to previous CS. There was a declining trend for CS and a steeper one for FBC. At the hospital level, the highest reduction in CS and FBC proportion were in public hospitals.

Around 23 percent of the births and 27.2 of the cesarean case occurred in hospitals owned by private sector. On the other hand, 27.2 percent of nulliparous women deliver in this sector, but the portion of the cesarean section in the first pregnancies is higher. In other words, 33.7 percent of cesarean section in the first pregnancies occurred in the private sector.

Previous CS was the main reason for CS in near half of the CS cases (Fig. 1).

| Hospital Ownership | Indicator | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | p-value for trend |
|--------------------|-----------|---------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|
| Public Sector      | Births    | 6129          | 6594           | 6914         | 6548           | -                |
|                    | First Births | 2361          | 2251           | 2142         | 2260           | -                |
|                    | CS (%)     | 3127(51)      | 3101(47)       | 3322(48)     | 3065(46.8)     | <0.001           |
|                    | FBC (%)    | 1160(49.1)    | 962(42.7)      | 809(37.8)    | 1002(44.3)     | <0.001           |
| Private Sector     | Births    | 1865          | 2166           | 1844         | 1828           | -                |
|                    | First Births | 906           | 957            | 789          | 717            | -                |
|                    | CS (%)     | 1230(66)      | 1321(61)       | 1082(58.7)   | 1092(59.7)     | <0.001           |
|                    | FBC (%)    | 607(67)       | 553(57.8)      | 440(55.8)    | 398(55.5)      | <0.001           |
| Total              | Births    | 7994          | 8760           | 8758         | 8376           | -                |
|                    | First Births | 3267          | 3208           | 2931         | 2977           | -                |
|                    | CS (%)     | 4357(54.5)    | 4422(50.5)     | 4404(50.3)   | 4157(49.6)     | <0.001           |
|                    | FBC (%)    | 1767(54.1)    | 1515(46.4)     | 1249(42.6)   | 1400(47)       | <0.001           |

Fig. 1. Causes of CS at the end of each quarter
Around 13 percent of CS surgery cases were due to fetal distress and also seven percent because of prolonged labor.

Fifty-six out of ninety obstetricians gave services all the study period. On physician level, for the CS proportion indicator around fifty percent of them reduced CS proportion more than 10 percent and 5.4 percent experienced no change (Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

At the end of the study period, there was 8.9 percent reduction in CS proportion and more reduction was in FBC proportion. Since about half of the CS cases were due to previous CS, more reduction in FBC proportion than CS proportion is very good for future because more of the prospective CS cases will be prevented. Although, our study started in March 2014 (45 days before the HSEP initiation) so we can attribute some of this reduction to the implementation of this program. As this program installed just in the public sector further reduction in the public sector can be considered evidence for this claim.

Mode of delivery in Iran has changed substantially recently (3,7). CS proportion increased 30 percent in 2010 compared to 2000 in Iranian women with a higher increase in rural population (7). CS had been a common mode of birth, and this is not true just about Iran (1,2,17). Zizza, A. et al., declared that near half of the countries around the world exceeded the recommended range by WHO (2). In the United States during an eight-year period a 40 percent increase in CS has reported which mainly was due to increase in primary cases. In Ethiopia a ten-fold increase during a 15 years period is reported (18). In China around 55 percent of birth are by cesarean (19). Thailand experienced twofold increase between 1992 and 2011 (20). Although CS is a lifesaving surgery, postpartum maternal morbidity and mortality, neonatal complications are more frequent among the cases, and it will impose an additional burden on the health system due to cost and complications if it is not necessary nor medically indicated (1,9,11,12,14, 21-24).

If we want to reduce caesarean sections substantially, we should work on its causes. Most of the time, as in this study, previous CS is the most common reason for CS surgery (6,20,22,25,26). Therefore, it is a good source for future cases of CS. In the case of...
previous CS physician and health workers have two options for the mother, again CS surgery or Vaginal Birth after Cesarean (VBAC). Since VABC may fail and result in additional harm and costs the safer option is prevention of the primary CS (27). In other countries also a reduction in CS proportion along with a reduction in primary and first births is reported. In the United States, one of the Healthy People 2010 objectives was reducing CS in women giving birth for the first time to 15 percent. In this country, similar to the result of the present study, CS decreased more steeper in nulliparous, term, singleton in a vertex presentation in comparison to the CS in all women (28). Also, Roberts and his colleagues reported the increase in CS in multiparous following the increase in CS in nulliparous cases (29).

Conclusion
Unfortunately, there is no reliable data on birth order but as the findings show a considerable proportion of the CS cases were in first pregnancy cases. So based on a clear view of causes of primary CS and especially CS in first pregnancy substantial decline in CS cases is expected. Having a good picture about the causes of CS cases especially about the CS in first pregnancies is necessary to prevent prospective cases and plan effective strategies. Therefore, targeting the CS in first pregnancies and their causes is recommended to this HSEP program which is also emphasized by American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine and others (28,30-32). This study aimed to emphasis on addressing FBC proportion to achieve a considerable reduction in cesarean cases.

Limitations
One of the limitations of this study was lack of access to the data on CS in detail before the initiative of the HSEP. So we couldn’t assess the effect of this program. The other one was lack of data on the physician level to calculate FBC proportion. So calculation of the indicator at physician level was aborted. Data collection on these items to calculate FBC proportion and systematic monitoring is recommended. Alongside, development of educational programs to aware women about complications of CS and arrange a committee in each case for decision about mode of delivery specially for the primary cases and first births is recommended. The authors recommend providing and monitoring comprehensive data on the primary CS cases and cesarean section in the first pregnancies including causes at national, provincial, hospital and physician level in order to prevent prospective CS cases more effectively.
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