A VIGS screen identifies immunity in the Arabidopsis Pla-1 accession to viruses in two different genera of the Geminiviridae
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SUMMARY

Geminiviruses are DNA viruses that cause severe crop losses in different parts of the world, and there is a need for genetic sources of resistance to help combat them. Arabidopsis has been used as a source for virus-resistant genes that derive from alterations in essential host factors. We used a virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) vector derived from the geminivirus Cabbage leaf curl virus (CaLCuV) to assess natural variation in virus–host interactions in 190 Arabidopsis accessions. Silencing of CH-42, encoding a protein needed to make chlorophyll, was used as a visible marker to discriminate asymptomatic accessions from those showing resistance. There was a wide range in symptom severity and extent of silencing in different accessions, but two correlations could be made. Lines with severe symptoms uniformly lacked extensive VIGS, and lines that showed attenuated symptoms over time (recovery) showed a concomitant increase in the extent of VIGS. One accession, Pla-1, lacked both symptoms and silencing, and was immune to wild-type infectious clones corresponding to CaLCuV or Beet curly top virus (BCTV), which are classified in different genera in the Geminiviridae. It also showed resistance to the agronomically important Tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV). Quantitative trait locus mapping of a Pla-1 X Col-0 F2 population was used to detect a major peak on chromosome 1, which is designated gip-1 (geminivirus immunity Pla-1-1). The recessive nature of resistance to CaLCuV and the lack of obvious candidate genes near the gip-1 locus suggest that a novel resistance gene(s) confers immunity.
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INTRODUCTION

The Geminiviridae is a large family of circular, single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) plant viruses named for their twinned particles (Hanley-Bowdoin et al., 2013). They are classified into different genera depending on their insect vector, genome structure and host range (Hanley-Bowdoin et al., 2013; Varsani et al., 2014). As a group, geminiviruses infect a broad range of crop plants primarily in tropical and subtropical regions of the world (Moffat, 1999). Their incidence and severity have increased over the past 20 years (Mansoor et al., 2006; Navas-Castillo et al., 2011), and some resistance strategies used to control them are no longer effective. Breakdown of resistance has been associated with novel disease agents, including ssDNA alphasatellites and betasatellites as well as host-derived sequences enhancing geminivirus symptoms (Nawaz-ul-Rehman and Fauquet, 2009; Ndunguru et al., 2016), which are of concern because of their recent emergence and unknown etiology.

Two resistance genes that provide resistance to Tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV), a monopartite member of the Begomovirus genus, were recently identified in tomato. Variations in the first gene, Ty-1/Ty-3, which encodes a
gamma-type RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RDR), were found to be responsible for resistance in two different lines of tomato (Verlaan et al., 2013). Resistance most likely involves augmentation of host gene silencing pathways, and has been associated with increased methylation of viral DNA (Butterbach et al., 2014). The second resistance gene, ty-5, encodes an altered version of Pelota (Pelo), which functions in ribosome recycling following translation (Lapidot et al., 2015). This recessive resistance is important because it identified an essential host factor and because it will be difficult for the virus to overcome. Identification of both of these genes has facilitated breeding for geminivirus resistance. However, neither resistance gene prevents the accumulation of viral DNA during infection, which can lead to the development of viral mutations that eventually overcome resistance (Arguello-Astorga et al., 2007; Richter et al., 2016). In addition, there is a risk that Ty-1/Ty-3 resistance will be overcome because geminiviruses encode anti-silencing proteins (Raja et al., 2010) and occur in mixed infections with pathogenic RNA viruses, such as Cassava brown streak virus (Mbanzibwa et al., 2009), which also interfere with silencing. Geminivirus-associated satellites frequently target host gene silencing pathways (Nawaz-ul-Rehman and Fauquet, 2009; Hanley-Bowdoin et al., 2013). There is a need for additional sources of resistance to augment the existing repertoire and enhance the possibility of creating durable resistance.

*Arabidopsis thaliana* has served as a model plant for studying virus–host interactions, and numerous genes impacting infection have been identified using mutagenized populations or by screening naturally occurring accessions (Ouibrahim et al., 2014). Because Arabidopsis is self-pollinating, the thousands of accessions collected from around the world function as inbred lines that have adapted to a wide range of environments (Consortium, 2016). These accessions can be used for genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in addition to quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping, and provide powerful tools for uncovering the genetic basis of defective virus–host interactions that can lead to resistance (Fagny et al., 2012). For example, the potyvirus resistance gene, elf4(iso)E, was first identified in an Arabidopsis mutant (Lellis et al., 2002), and then found to correspond to the broad spectrum potyvirus resistance allele (pvr) that is widely used for breeding (Ruffel et al., 2002; Kang et al., 2005). The product of this recessive resistance gene participates in translation initiation, and has been called the ‘weak link’ of potyvirus infection (Robaglia and Caranta, 2006). Three resistance genes that limit Tobacco etch virus to inoculated leaves were identified in a mutagenized population of Ler-1 after the trait was first uncovered in naturally occurring populations (Chisholm et al., 2000). Recently, the Cvi-0 accession was used to identify a variant form of a phosphoglycerate kinase gene that confers potyvirus resistance (Ouibrahim et al., 2014) and provided new insights into the involvement of metabolic enzymes in virus–host interactions.

Several years ago, we initiated a screen of Arabidopsis accessions to better understand geminivirus–plant interactions. We were interested in using Arabidopsis to study virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS). VIGS takes advantage of a major plant defense pathway, post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS), which results in degradation of the aberrant RNA associated with viral infection (Waterhouse et al., 2001). When a host gene fragment is inserted into the virus, mRNA from the host gene is also degraded. Previously (Turnage et al., 2002), we showed that Col-0 plants inoculated with a VIGS vector derived from the geminivirus *Cabbage leaf curl virus* (CaLCuV) carrying a fragment of the CH-42 gene (At4G18480; a.k.a. Chlorina-42, CHL-I), which encodes magnesium chelatase subunit I, developed yellow-white areas due to chlorophyll loss. However, viral symptoms were too severe for its use as a VIGS vector. We screened 190 accessions to identify lines with attenuated symptoms and accidentally identified one line, Pla-1, that showed durable and complete resistance to CaLCuV even when wild-type virus was used for infection. Because of the potential benefits of a natural source of immunity to geminiviruses, we chose to focus on Pla-1. This paper reports results of the VIGS screen and our initial characterization of Pla-1 immunity.

**RESULTS**

**Diverse responses to the VIGS vector**

We conducted a screen of Arabidopsis accessions to assess natural variation in response to a geminivirus VIGS vector. The original motivation was to find lines with reduced symptoms, but we were also interested in resistance. Preliminary experiments showed that VIGS in Col-0 was more extensive under short-day conditions (8 h light/16 h dark), which were used for the screen. To standardize results, Col-0 was included in each experiment as an internal control.

Initially, the CaLCuV vector with or without a non-homologous *Luciferase* fragment was used simply to assess symptoms. When it was realized that the extent of VIGS also varied among accessions, CaLCuV carrying a CH-42 fragment was used, and both symptoms and the extent of silencing were scored. Results from the first experiments are included because each of the 26 accessions tested showed symptoms (Figure S1; Table S1), indicating that they were not resistant to CaLCuV.

A total of 166 accessions were bombarded with CaLCuV: CH-42 to assess both symptoms and silencing. Each accession was placed into one of four classes based on the extent of silencing and symptom severity (Figure 1; Table S1): Class A, most of the accessions with significant symptoms and silencing, and those with a weak VIGS
response; Class B, accessions with low symptoms and high silencing – that would be a suitable host for VIGS; Class C, accessions with very low silencing but severe symptoms – interesting because of a lack of VIGS; and Class D, accessions with very low symptoms and very low silencing – candidates for resistance. Figure 1 shows examples of accessions from each of the classes, and individual photos of the phenotypic response of each accession, grouped by experiment, are shown in Figure S1. Col-0 consistently received high scores for both symptoms and the extent of silencing in each of the experiments (Table S1; Figure S1).

Class A had 144 members and included all accessions not placed in the other classes. These accessions had moderate to severe symptoms (138 accessions) or, if mild symptoms, limited silencing (six accessions). Only 20 of these lines had severe symptoms, but strikingly none of them showed an extensive VIGS response (Table S1; Figure S1). Class C had three accessions that also showed severe symptoms, but VIGS in these lines was greatly reduced or in some cases absent.

Class B contained 10 accessions with extensive silencing but minimal symptoms. Several accessions stood out for their robust silencing response and attenuated symptoms throughout development. The best VIGS responses were found in Kil-0 (Figure 1b), Le-0, Ka-0 and Gu-1, followed by Ra-0 and Sf-2 (Figure S1). Some accessions, in Class A because of their phenotype at ~25 dpi, showed attenuated symptoms at later time points, similar to recovery in wild-type virus infections, and are marked with an asterisk in
Table S1. Figure S2 shows three representative accessions that had stunted inflorescences at 26 dpi. At 45–60 days, symptoms attenuated, leaf sizes increased and inflorescences developed normally and the plants showed increased silencing.

Class D had six members that showed resistance to the VIGS vector but would need to be retested with wild-type CaLCuV to assess resistance. The bottom row of Figure 1 shows two exceptional examples of Class D resistance. In the first example, plants such as Li-2:1 showed an early VIGS response (Figure 1e) but then appeared to recover to become symptomless (Figure 1f). All five of the Li-2:1 plants showed the same pattern (Figure S1). Another accession, PNA-17, also showed a transient VIGS response except that silenced areas were light green rather than yellow (Figure S1). These were the only accessions that lost the VIGS phenotype.

The second example, the Pla-1 accession (ecotype ID 7301) from Playa de Aro in Spain, never showed symptoms or silencing. Moreover, no signs of infection were observed when Pla-1 plants were agroinoculated with wild-type CaLCuV, which contains the viral coat protein gene and produces much more severe symptoms than CaLCuVA:CH-42 (Figure 1g).

Lack of viral DNA accumulation in Pla-1

Successful infection by geminiviruses requires viral DNA replication and gene expression as well as nuclear, cellular and long-distance movement (Morra and Petty, 2000; Trejo-Saavedra et al., 2009). To identify the stage where infection was blocked, we inoculated individual leaves of Pla-1 and Col-0 (Figure 2a). A single leaf was inoculated by microprojectile bombardment with wild-type CaLCuV, and the process repeated until three mature leaves from the same plant were inoculated. Symptoms appeared in young, developing leaves of Col-0 at 13 dpi. Leaf curling, stunted development and mild chlorosis were present at 17 dpi and pronounced chlorosis at 22 dpi. In contrast, Pla-1 did not develop symptoms.

We tested for viral DNA accumulation using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers that were divergent in pCPCbLCV-0.03, a plasmid containing partial tandem copies of wild-type A DNA with duplicated 5’ intergenic regions. The primers were expected to produce a 936-bp product from replicated viral DNA in infected tissues and a -4.6-kb product from the input plasmid. A replication-deficient mutant containing a frameshift mutation in AL1, which is essential for viral DNA replication (Elmer et al., 1988; Sunter et al., 1990), was tested to distinguish between homologous recombination between the duplicated 5’ intergenic regions of input DNA and low levels of authentic viral DNA replication. The AL1 mutant did not replicate (Figure S3) in protoplast assays (Methods S1).
and deformation plus anthocyanin accumulation, but chlorosis was not apparent.

To test for immunity to BCTV, we used PCR (Figure 3b). Col-0 inoculated with CaLCuV and BCTV showed bands of the predicted sizes indicating that viral DNA was present in systemically infected leaves. However, neither CaLCuV nor BCTV produced detectable viral DNA in Pla-1. CaLCuV DNA accumulated in Cen-0 at levels comparable to Col-0, consistent with the symptoms. Despite the lack of symptoms in Cen-0, BCTV DNA was detected in systemic growth, confirming previous results that Cen-0 is tolerant to BCTV (Park et al., 2002). These results demonstrate that Pla-1 has broad-based immunity to geminiviruses and that Cen-0 tolerance is distinct from Pla-1 immunity.

Pla-1 shows resistance to TYLCV infection

Recently, Col-0 was shown to be susceptible to both the severe, Israel strain (IL), and the mild strains of TYLCV (Cañizares et al., 2014). Because of the severe crop losses...
caused by TYLCV globally (Diaz-Pendon et al., 2010), we decided to test for susceptibility in Pla-1. We agroinoculated eight Col-0 and seven Pla-1 plants with a variant of the Israel strain that came from the Dominican Republic (TYLCV-IL[DO]). Eight Nicotiana benthamiana plants, a known host for TYLCV, were also agroinoculated as a positive control for infection. TYLCV symptoms were clearly present in N. benthamiana by 10 dpi, but were not apparent in Col-0 or Pla-1. Mild symptoms appeared in Col-0 at 21 dpi, while Pla-1 did not show symptoms, even at 35 dpi when the plants were used for DNA extraction (Figure 3c). DNA:DNA blot hybridization of genomic DNA from the infected plants with a TYLCV probe showed that viral DNA was present in systemically infected leaves of both N. benthamiana and each of the eight inoculated Col-0 plants. In contrast, there was no hybridization with DNA from any of the seven inoculated Pla-1 plants (Figure 3d). Together with the lack of symptoms, we conclude that Pla-1 is resistant to TYLCV.

Pla-1 is susceptible to RNA viruses

To determine if Pla-1 immunity was specific to DNA viruses, we tested Pla-1 for infection with the RNA tobravirus Tobacco rattle virus (TRV). Because TRV infection is asymptomatic (Burch-Smith et al., 2006), and we were also interested in the VIGS response of Pla-1, we used TRV carrying a visible marker for silencing, a fragment of Phytoene Desaturase (AtPDS; At4G14210; Figure 4). PDS is needed for carotenoid biosynthesis, which protects chlorophyll from photobleaching. Although extensive AtPDS silencing was observed in Col-0, the extent of VIGS was reduced in Pla-1, and only five out of six plants showed silencing (Figure 4a). A second experiment showed that new growth in TRV:AtPDS-inoculated Pla-1 was green at later time points while the equivalent leaves in Col-0 plants remained white (Figure S4).

To determine whether the reduced TRV:AtPDS VIGS in Pla-1 was due to a defective silencing response or reduced TRV susceptibility, viral RNA accumulation was analyzed using TaqMan®. pTRV1 contains the viral RDR but lacks the AtPDS silencing fragment. The copy number of TRV1, which was normalized to total RNA (µg), was significantly higher in Col-0 plants (69 × 10^6) compared with Pla-1 plants (26 × 10^6; P < 0.05; Figure 4b). Therefore, Pla-1 shows some resistance to the TRV:AtPDS VIGS vector in comparison to Col-0. Because the reduced silencing could be a direct effect of reduced TRV:AtPDS levels, we were not able to conclude whether the silencing response was altered in Pla-1.

Because Pla-1 showed reduced susceptibility to TRV:AtPDS, we challenged Pla-1 plants with another RNA virus, the potyvirus Turnip mosaic virus (TuMV; Methods S2). All eight TuMV-inoculated Pla-1 plants showed severe symptoms at 18 dpi, indicating susceptibility (Figure S5).

Immunity maps to chromosome 1

To determine if Pla-1 immunity corresponded to previously identified resistance genes, we initiated QTL mapping with progeny from a Pla-1 × Col-0 cross. In the first set of experiments, 83 F2,3 families were agroinoculated with wild-type CaLCuV and scored for symptoms at 21 dpi in three replicates (Methods S3). Symptoms were scored on a scale of 1–5 (Figure S6), with 1 being no symptoms and 5 being severe chlorosis, stunting and growth arrest. A total of 20 simple sequence length polymorphism (SSLP) markers polymorphic for Pla-1 and Col-0 were used to construct a QTL map using R/qtl (Broman and Sen, 2009). Plots for the three replicates showed a major peak on chromosome 1 near nga280 (Figure S7c) with logarithm of odds (LOD) scores of about 5.5. A LOD threshold of 3.5 was calculated from 1000 permutations at 0.05 confidence, indicating that the peaks were significant. The consistency of results from different members of the F2,3 families in three replicates showed that resistance was
heritable and suggested that fine-mapping should concentrate on chromosome 1.

To identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for chromosome 1, the Pla-1 genome was sequenced to a depth of 39. Although coverage was low, many SNPs were supported by at least 5 reads. A total of 77 SNPs near nga280 were chosen from Pla-1 sequence and supplemented with 19 previously identified SNPs (Platt et al., 2010) to provide complete coverage of the genome. Illumina’s Golden Gate technology was used to identify and call SNPs, and oligonucleotides for the 96 putative SNPs passed Illumina’s proprietary criteria for inclusion in the assay. Unfortunately, more than 50% of the SNPs failed to produce useful data, largely due to incomplete data for the Pla-1 genome. Sequences and positions of the 42 successful SNPs are provided in Table S3.

A total of 440 F2 progeny were agroinoculated with CaLCuV, scored for symptoms at 28 dpi and used for the second QTL map. Of the 440 plants, 121 plants (27.5%) showed no symptoms (symptom score 1; Figure 5a). The ratio of resistant (121) to susceptible (319) plants was similar to the expected ratio (1:3) for recessive resistance with a chi square value of 1.47 (P = 0.23 that expected and observed were from different populations). These results were similar to the F2:3 families (Figure S7b), and indicate that immunity is recessive.

The positions of the 42 SNPs used to genotype 440 plants are shown in Figure 5b. R/qtl was used to create a QTL map using extended Haley–Knott regression (Feenstra et al., 2006). The results show a major QTL, designated gip-1 for geminivirus immunity, Pla-1, at 42.6 centiMorgan (cM) in chromosome 1 with a LOD score of 34 (Figure 5b). Following 1000 permutations, the LOD score for 0.05 significance was 2.85. Similar results were obtained using standard interval mapping (Lander and Botstein, 1989) and the original Haley–Knott regression method. These results located a major QTL on the left side of the centromere that contrasts with results from F2:3 families, which showed a peak to the right of the centromere. This can be explained by the paucity of SSLP markers in the center of chromosome 1, which likely skewed results from the F2:3 families. Both analyses found a major peak on chromosome 1. In addition, both studies showed that QTLs on other chromosomes do not have a strong impact on the production of CaLCuV symptoms. From these results, we conclude that Pla-1 immunity is distinct from the RDR-like Ty-1/Ty-3 or the Pelota-like ty-5 genes previously identified in tomato, which are located in chromosomes 2 and 4, respectively (Verlaan et al., 2013; Lapidot et al., 2015). Therefore, the gene(s) responsible for Pla-1 immunity are likely to be novel. Candidate genes near gip-1, whose sequences are altered compared with the geminivirus-susceptible accession Col-0 and the closely related susceptible accession Pla-0, are listed in Table S4. This list includes 582 SNPs unique to Pla-1 located in the open reading frames of 161 genes (Methods S4).

**DISCUSSION**

This work began as an effort to identify a suitable host for geminivirus-mediated VIGS in Arabidopsis, but ultimately focused on resistance. After screening 190 Arabidopsis accessions, we identified Pla-1 as the only accession immune to CaLCuV, a whitefly-transmitted bipartite member of Squash leaf clade in the Begomovirus genus of Geminiviridae. Pla-1 was also resistant to BCTV, a leafhopper-transmitted monopartite geminivirus in the Curtovirus genus, and to the agronomically important TYLCV, a whitefly-transmitted monopartite member of the Old World branch of the Begomovirus genus, thereby establishing the broad-based nature of the immunity.
Pla-1 was inoculated with wild-type CaLCuV by microprojectile bombardment into three leaves of the same plant (Figure 2) or by agroinoculation (Figure 3). In both cases, no viral DNA was recovered in inoculated or systemically infected leaves. In addition, no viral DNA was detected when Pla-1 was inoculated with BCTV. Furthermore, TYLCV could not be detected in any of the inoculated Pla-1 plants. This kind of broad-based immunity is desirable in plant breeding programs because the lack of viral DNA accumulation reduces the chances of viral variants with the potential to break resistance.

Recessive resistance, especially when it is to more than one genus of virus, suggests that a host protein(s) essential for infection is altered or unavailable to the virus (Pagny et al., 2012; Ouibrahim et al., 2014; Lapidot et al., 2015). DNA viruses exploit the host’s capacity for protein and nucleic acid synthesis, nuclear trafficking, and cell-to-cell and long-distance movement (Ascencio-Ibanez et al., 2008; Hanley-Bowdoin et al., 2013). Although we could not detect viral DNA in inoculated leaves, our assay may not have been sensitive enough to detect very low-level DNA replication. We included an AL1 frameshift mutant because episomes were detected when a similar construct for replication. We included an AL1 frameshift mutant because episomes likely from recombination across the duplicated 5′ intergenic regions of input plasmid, but it is not known whether episomes can be formed simply by homologous recombination in plant cells. Therefore, we do not know the lower limits of detection in our assay and cannot rule out the occurrence of very low levels of viral DNA replication. However, when wild-type CaLCuV A is bombarded into mature leaves in the absence of B DNA, which is essential for movement, viral DNA is easily detected. Therefore, at least part of the Pla-1 immunity must involve host processes that target early events in the viral life cycle.

The VIGS screen of Arabidopsis accessions resulted in a variety of responses, but two correlations were found—lines that showed reduced symptoms over time (recovery) also showed increased VIGS, and lines that showed the most severe symptoms lacked extensive silencing (Table S1). Figure S2 shows the extensive VIGS associated with recovery in some of the accessions at later time points. One explanation for the increased VIGS response is that transcriptional gene silencing (TGS), which methylates viral DNA, stops transcription of viral genes that inhibit silencing. Geminiviruses encode multifunctional anti-silencing proteins that target TGS as well as PTGS (Wang et al., 2005; Glick et al., 2008; Rodriguez-Negrete et al., 2009). Recovery from geminivirus infection has been correlated with increased methylation of viral DNA (Raja et al., 2008), but viral DNA is not entirely eliminated in new growth and not all DNAs are methylated (Paprotka et al., 2011). If only a few DNAs are transcriptionally active, anti-silencing protein levels may be reduced compared with early stages of infection. Any transcription of the CH-42 insert could be amplified by RDR6 and cause extensive silencing in new growth.

Viral anti-silencing activity may be especially strong against both TGS and PTGS in other accesses, such as those in Class C that show severe symptoms and very limited PTGS. Previous studies have identified RDR6, SGS3, DCL4 and Hen1 as necessary for VIGS from CaLCuV in Arabidopsis (Blevins et al., 2006). Plants mutant for RDR6 and SGS3, which encodes a known target of geminivirus anti-silencing proteins (Glick et al., 2008), also show more severe symptoms and reduced silencing (Muangsan et al., 2004). The strong correlation between severe symptoms and reduced VIGS suggests that the relative strength of the host gene silencing defense response determines symptom severity in this virus host pathosystem.

Several accessions showed minimal symptoms and extensive silencing. Accessions in Class B, especially Gu-1, Kil-0, Le-0, Sf-2, Mz-0 and Ra-0, could be suitable hosts for VIGS as a functional genomics tool, depending on the goals of the research (Flores et al., 2015). We used relatively cool (20–22°C) growth conditions. Because the extent of VIGS increases with temperature (Chellappan et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006; Tuttle et al., 2008), increased silencing might be obtained for some of these accessions if grown at higher temperatures.

The response of plants in Class D, which showed reduced VIGS as well as reduced symptoms, could reflect mutations in genes for essential host factors, such as Pelo. In ty-5, which has an alternative Pelo allele, TYLCV viral DNA levels are greatly reduced and infection is asymptomatic (Lapidot et al., 2015). However, the lines in Class D need to be screened with wild-type CaLCuV before they are considered to be resistant.

Only one out of 190 accessions (Pla-1) showed a complete lack of symptoms and silencing when inoculated with the VIGS vector, suggesting that VIGS is a sensitive method for distinguishing between resistance and immunity. Arabidopsis is susceptible to a variety of viruses and several screens for resistant accessions have been reported (Leisner and Howell, 1992; Martin Martin et al., 1997; Park et al., 2002; Rajakaruna and Khandekar, 2007; Ouibrahim et al., 2014). However, the only report of virus immunity in Arabidopsis described a Col-0 mutant already resistant to tobamoviruses that was subjected to further mutagenesis (Yamanaka et al., 2002). Further testing will be needed to determine whether one or more genes comprise the Pla-1 immunity to CaLCuV and whether this accession is unique in showing broad-based immunity to geminiviruses.

The only other study to test the response of Arabidopsis accessions to a VIGS vector, which used the same TRV: AtPDS as in Figure 4, found very little variation in symptoms or the extent of silencing (Wang et al., 2006).
contrast, we found wide variation in both symptoms and the extent of silencing. We also found a reduction in the extent of VIGS from TRV:AtPDS in Pla-1 compared with Col-0, but this may have been due to reduced levels of the TRV vector. Although resistant to CaLCuV, BCTV, TYLCV and perhaps to some extent TRV, Pla-1 has been shown to be very susceptible to Cauliflower mosaic virus, a DNA virus that replicates through RNA intermediates (Leisner and Howell, 1992), the potyvirus TuMV (Figure S5), and the comovirus Turnip ringspot virus (Khandekar et al., 2007).

A recessive susceptibility locus, sha3, that impacts long-distance movement of the Potyvirus Plum Pox Virus (PPV) was mapped to a 20-kb region on chromosome 3 by combining GWAS of 147 Arabidopsis accessions and traditional QTL mapping (Pagny et al., 2012). Six different accessions showed resistance to PPV and were allelic for sha3. Our initial attempts at using GWAS have not yielded clear results for gip-1, perhaps because the wild-type CaLCuV virus was not used or, more likely, because immunity is polygenic and one or more alleles are not prevalent among other accessions.

Accessions related to Pla-1, except for Pla-0, showed a reduction in either symptoms or silencing (Figure S1). Only Pla-0 has been sequenced (Consortium, T.G, 2016), and its genome will be useful for eliminating candidate genes. Pla-2 and Pla-3 both showed mild symptoms. Although Pla-4 had strong symptoms, it was placed in Class C due to the lack of a significant VIGS response (Table S1). These VIGS responses demonstrate significantly more viral DNA replication and movement than found in Pla-1. Efforts are currently underway to transfer gip-1 to Col-0 to determine if immunity can be retained in a different background. These efforts are complicated by the longer flowering time for Pla-1 and the need to vernalize F1 progeny to induce flowering.

Resistance genes in tomato have been identified for TYLCV, which is in the same genus as CaLCuV (Begomovirus), but has a single-component genome and is limited to the phloem during infection. The Ty-1/Ty-3 alleles have alterations in an RDR that shows high sequence similarity to Arabidopsis RDR3, RDR4 and RDR5 (Verlaan et al., 2013), all of which are located on chromosome 2. The recessive mutation in Pelo, at the ty-5 locus, confers strong resistance to TYLCV, probably by inhibiting or slowing down ribosome recycling and reducing protein synthesis in the infected cells (Lapidot et al., 2015). The corresponding gene in Arabidopsis is located in chromosome 4 and is distinct from the Pla-1 resistance locus on chromosome 1. The Ty-1 and Ty-3 alleles behave differently: Ty-1 is dominant and is specific for TYLCV; while Ty-3 is semi-dominant and also confers partial resistance to the bipartite Tomato mottle virus, and the combination of alleles provides stronger resistance than either allele alone (Ji et al., 2007). The ty-5 allele is recessive and provides strong protection against TYLCV but may be associated with reduced growth in the absence of infection (Lapidot et al., 2015). Nevertheless, recessive resistance that involves alterations in essential host factors is hard for the virus to overcome. Identification of the genetic basis for the immunity found in Pla-1, which is also recessive, could provide information about another virus–host interaction that can be targeted for resistance. An advantage of using natural variation is that the genes conferring resistance have been selected for fitness, which is important because these viruses target essential host processes. The possibility of using CRISPR/Cas technologies to precisely modify the corresponding genes in crop plants without the need for traditional plant transformation (Puchta, 2016) may speed the deployment of these genes where they are most needed. Candidate genes for conferring geminivirus immunity in the gip-1 locus are listed in Table S4. The list includes genes that encode proteins involved in pathogen and stress responses, transcription, hormonal regulation and development. All of these pathways have been implicated in geminivirus infection (Hanley-Bowdoin et al., 2013) and their disruption has the potential to interfere with the geminivirus infection process.

Tagging CaLCuV with a marker for VIGS was useful in uncovering dynamic aspects of geminivirus–host interactions that would have been difficult to track in a wild-type virus infection. It was especially useful in identifying CaLCuV immunity in Pla-1. A single peak was identified by QTL mapping of CaLCuV-infected plants, but it still may consist of more than one gene. Still to be determined is whether the CaLCuV immunity in Pla-1 comprises a unique combination of genes that are also present in other accessions or if it includes a rare allele. It also needs to be established whether gip-1 participates in resistance to BCTV and/or TYLCV. Nevertheless, the high LOD score of gip-1, the block of viral DNA accumulation from two distinct geminiviruses in Pla-1 and the resistance shown against TYLCV all suggest that further analyses will be valuable. Efforts are currently underway to identify the molecular basis of Pla-1 immunity.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Plant growth

Seeds were stratified at 4°C for 3 days on moist autoclaved MetroMix 360 soil. For the VIGS screen, plants were grown at 22/20°C during an 8-h light/16-h dark photoperiod. For TYLCV agroinoculation, plants were grown in continuous light at 22°C. All other experiments used plants grown at 20°C under an 8-h light/16-h dark photoperiod with 50% humidity at a light intensity of 140 μmol m⁻² sec⁻¹.

Plasmid construction

All plasmids for geminivirus inoculation carried duplicated 5′ intergenic regions for replicational release in plant cells (Elmer
et al., 1998). Plasmids carrying the wild-type CaLCuV A DNA (pCPCbLCVA.003), the CaLCuV A DNA VIGS vector (CaLCuVA-CH-42 with a 362-bp fragment of CH-42 in antisense orientation in place of the coat protein gene, pMTCaLCuVA.008), the CaLCuV A DNA LUC vector (CaLCuVA:LUC with a 623-bp fragment of LUC, pNMCaLCuVA.LUC) and wild-type CaLCuV B DNA (pCPCbLCVB.002) have been described (Turnage et al., 2002; Muangsan et al., 2004). The replication-deficient CaLCuV A mutant (pCaLCuVA:FSAL1mut) carrying a frameshift mutation in AL1 was created by digesting pCPCbLCVA.003 with Ncol, repairing the cleaved ends and religating it. CaLCuV-containing Agrobacterium plasmids have been described (Egelkrout et al., 2006). BCTV DNA was detected using primers BCTV15-for 5'-CTCTTCCCTCCATATCCAGTA. All assays were run in triplicate

Quantitative trait locus analyses was performed using R/qtl (version 3.2.2 (2015-08-14); Broman and Sen, 2009) with extended processing and calling SNPs.
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