The deubiquitinase USP6 affects memory and synaptic plasticity through modulating NMDA receptor stability
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Abstract

Ubiquitin-specific protease (USP) 6 is a hominoid deubiquitinating enzyme previously implicated in intellectual disability and autism spectrum disorder. Although these findings link USP6 to higher brain function, potential roles for USP6 in cognition have not been investigated. Here, we report that USP6 is highly expressed in induced human neurons and that neuron-specific expression of USP6 enhances learning and memory in a transgenic mouse model. Similarly, USP6 expression regulates N-methyl-D-aspartate-type glutamate receptor (NMDAR)-dependent long-term potentiation and long-term depression in USP6 transgenic mouse hippocampi. Proteomic characterization of transgenic USP6 mouse cortex reveals attenuated NMDAR ubiquitination, with concomitant elevation in NMDAR expression, stability, and cell surface distribution with USP6 overexpression. USP6 positively modulates GluN1 expression in transfected cells, and USP6 down-regulation impedes focal GluN1 distribution at postsynaptic densities and impairs synaptic function in neurons derived from human embryonic stem cells. Together, these results indicate that USP6 enhances NMDAR stability to promote synaptic function and cognition.
USP6 regulates memory and synaptic function

Introduction

Humans have evolved an advanced cognitive capacity over other mammalian species, featuring quantitatively enhanced functional abilities, such as learning and memory, as well as qualitatively new abilities such as speech. Human intelligence has been attributed to expanded regions in the cerebral cortex and enhanced complexity of neuronal connectivity in the human central nervous system (CNS). Genetic factors are thought to be fundamental to the evolution of the human brain. Several genes, including the hominoid-specific gene TBC1 domain family member 3 (TBC1D3) [1], the primate-specific gene Transmembrane protein 14B (TMEM14B) [2], and the human-specific genes Ras homolog (Rho) GTPase activating protein 11B 2 (ARHGAP11B) [3] and Notch 2 N-terminal like (NOTCH2NL) [4,5], have been found to be important for cortical development. In addition, synaptic wiring within the complex neural network contributes to advanced cognition and social function. The human neocortex contains approximately 1.5 x 10^14 synaptic connections [6]. Pyramidal neurons in the human cortex form twice as many synapses as those in other primates, such as marmosets and macaques [7]. Several genes have been implicated in cognitive function through their involvement in synapse formation. SLIT-ROBO Rho GTPase activating protein 2C (SRGAP2C), a splice variant of SRGAP2, promotes synapse maturation by inhibiting the function of the SRGAP2 gene [8]. Dysfunction of Sushi repeat containing protein X-linked 2 (SRPX2), which regulates synaptogenesis in cortical neurons, is associated with intellectual disability and language disorder [9,10].

Proper physiological function and the regulation of excitatory synapses in the CNS strongly correlate with cognitive ability [11]. Glutamate is the primary neurotransmitter in excitatory glutamatergic neurons in the mammalian brain [12]. Ionotropic α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) glutamate receptors are the two major receptor types found within the postsynaptic density (PSD) of glutamatergic synapses, which transmit presynaptic signals to postsynaptic neurons [13]. NMDA-type glutamate receptor (NMDAR) stimulation triggers neuronal calcium influx through membrane-bound ion channels and activates intracellular calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinase II alpha (CamKIIa) or protein kinase C (PKC) signaling pathways. Stimulation of NMDAR-dependent calcium signaling pathways leads to the redistribution of AMPA receptors (AMPARs), resulting in synaptic changes associated with plasticity, such as long-term potentiation (LTP) or long-term depression (LTD), which form the physiological basis of learning and memory [14]. Modulation of GluN1 [15], GluN2B [16], and GluA1 [17] function through mutation or expression is associated with intellectual impairment. Distribution and regulation of NMDARs and AMPARs at PSDs is crucial to physiological synaptic function and cognition. Both NMDARs and AMPARs are degraded through the ubiquitin (Ub)-proteasome system (UPS) [18–22]. However, mechanisms underlying Ub targeting and the homeostatic maintenance of glutamate receptors in synaptic function remain largely unknown.

Usb-specific protease (USP6) is a hominoid-specific protein deubiquitinase (DUB) containing Tre-2/USP6, BUB2, and Cdc16 (TBC) and USP domains, and the USP6 gene is found specifically in humans and orangutans [23]. USP6 TBC and USP domains are highly homologous to TBC1D3 and USP32 [23], respectively. Perturbation of USP6 expression through aberrant chromosomal translocation is associated with mental retardation [24] and Asperger syndrome [25]. In addition, a recent study revealed that TBC1D3 may play a crucial role in human intelligence by enhancing neural progenitor cell generation and cortical folding during development [1]. These findings suggest that the USP6 gene may also be involved in the evolution of human intelligence.

Here, we generated a transgenic USP6-overexpression mouse model in which human USP6 is specifically expressed in excitatory neurons within the cerebral cortex and hippocampus.
USP6 transgenic mice exhibited enhanced learning and memory behavior in Morris water maze (MWM) and novel object recognition (NOR) tests. Moreover, transgenic USP6 expression increases synaptic function and NMDAR expression in USP6 transgenic mouse brains. Consistent with cognitive enhancement associated with USP6 gain of function, short hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated USP6 depletion in human embryonic stem cell (ESC)-derived neurons reduces NMDAR expression and function. We also found that USP6 binds to and deubiquitinates NMDARs, thereby enhancing NMDAR stability. In summary, we identified USP6 as a novel hominoid-specific regulator of NMDARs; USP6 enhances NMDAR stability through posttranslational homeostasis mechanisms, and its modulation can impact synaptic activity and memory formation.

Results

USP6 enhances learning and memory behavior in USP6 transgenic mice

Previous studies have shown that USP6 is expressed in multiple human tissues, and the highest expression is found in testes [23]. Using quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis, we found that USP6 expression was higher in the adult human cortex compared with fetal cortex (gestational week [GW] 22 to 27) (Fig 1A). To further characterize USP6 expression in different cell types in the CNS, we examined USP6 transcripts in H9 human ESCs, astrocytes, induced human excitatory neurons, and interneurons. USP6 mRNA levels are high in differentiated excitatory neurons and interneurons, whereas some expression was detectable in ESCs and astrocytes (Fig 1B).

To investigate the potential effects associated with USP6 gain of function in mouse brain, we generated a transgenic mouse model expressing C-terminal hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged human USP6 under the regulation of the CamK2a promoter (CAM-USP6) (Fig 1C). Six independent founder lines were analyzed to detect USP6 protein expression, and two lines featuring elevated USP6 expression levels—i.e., USP6#1 (line 1) and USP6#2 (line 2)—were selected for breeding and analysis. Consistent with the CamK2a expression pattern previously characterized in mouse brain [26], we observed transgenic USP6 expression in the cortex and hippocampus, whereas its expression was undetectable in the cerebellum (Fig 1D). The body weight of CAM-USP6 mice (lines 1 and 2) was comparable to that of wild-type (WT) littermates (S1A Fig). Moreover, no significant difference in locomotor activity or anxiety was observed in open field tests, and CAM-USP6 transgenic animals did not differ from WT littermates in cumulative distance traveled (S1B Fig) or time spent in the central area (S1C Fig).

Given that USP6 is a hominoid-specific gene and disruption of USP6 gene expression by chromosomal translocation is associated with mental retardation [24], USP6 may play a role in higher cognitive functions in humans. To test this hypothesis, we firstly evaluated learning and memory in CAM-USP6 animals and their WT littermates using the NOR test and observed that CAM-USP6 transgenic animals spent more time in the zone containing novel objects and feature a higher discrimination index compared with WT controls (Fig 1E). In addition, we next examined the mice for spatial reference memory and reversal learning in the MWM task (Fig 1F) and found that CAM-USP6 transgenic animals required less time to reach the hidden platform during training in acquisition and reversal phase of MWM tests (Fig 1G). During the probe tests of both MWM and reversal learning, CAM-USP6 mice spent significantly more time in the target quadrant than WT mice (Fig 1H), indicating that USP6 overexpression enhances spatial memory and cognitive flexibility in CAM-USP6 mice. Because we observed comparable MWM trends in an additional USP6 transgenic line (line 2) (S2 Fig), the effects observed were unlikely to be due to gene disruption events from random insertion of the human USP6 cDNA.
Fig 1. Transgenic USP6 expression enhances learning and memory in mice. (A) USP6 mRNA levels in the cortex of fetal and adult human brains were quantified by qRT-PCR. The data represent mean ± SEM, n = 5. ***P < 0.001 determined by Student t test. (B) USP6 mRNA levels in H9 hESCs, human astrocytes, induced human excitatory neurons, and interneurons were quantified by qRT-PCR. Data represent mean ± SEM, n = 15. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.0001 determined by nonparametric test (Kruskal-Wallis test) with Dunn’s post hoc analysis. (C) Schematic diagram of the USP6 transgene under the regulation of a CamK2a promoter (CAM-USP6). (D) Immunoblot analysis of USP6-HA expression in the cortex, hippocampus, and cerebellum of CAM-USP6 mice. (E) Schematic diagram and results from NOR test analysis. Data represent mean ± SEM. WT: n = 16 mice, CAM-USP6: n = 16 mice.
USP6 enhances social interaction and ultrasonic vocalization in vivo

Aberrant genetic disruption of the USP6 locus is also associated with social behavior disorders, such as Asperger syndrome [25]. To determine whether transgenic USP6 expression can affect social behavior in mice, we performed a three-chamber test (Fig 2A) and found that the CAM-USP6 mice spent more time approaching a "stranger" mouse compared with an empty cage during sociability test assays (Fig 2B). In social novelty tests, CAM-USP6 mice and littermate controls showed similar preference to a stranger mouse compared with a familiar animal (Fig 2C). We further characterized the communication behavior of CAM-USP6 pups through ultrasonic vocalization (USV) recordings. Pups separated from their mothers typically emit USV signatures that initiate a "search-and-retrieve" response in nearby mothers [9]. Isolation-induced pup USV behavior is an assay widely used to characterize mouse models of human diseases that involve deficits in language and social behavior [9,27,28]. CAM-USP6 pups vocalized more frequently than WT controls on postnatal day (P)7 (Fig 2D and 2E), indicating that transgenic USP6 expression can enhance communication between pups and dams. Together, these results indicate that USP6 up-regulation enhances spatial memory behavior and aspects of language and social interaction.

USP6 expression does not affect cortical development in USP6 transgenic mice

Expression of the USP6 paralog TBC1D3 has been previously observed to induce cortical folding during brain development by increasing the production of neural progenitor cells. To investigate the potential role of USP6 in embryonic neural development, we generated transgenic mice expressing USP6 using a Nestin promoter (Nestin-USP6) (S3A Fig) and confirmed USP6-HA expression in embryonic day (E)18.5 Nestin-USP6 mouse brains (S3B Fig). Compared with WT controls, E16.5 Nestin-USP6 brains showed minimal to no difference in the number of radial glial cells stained by Brain lipid-binding protein (BLBP) and overall brain physiology/anatomy (S3C Fig). Further characterization of the postnatal Nestin-USP6 mouse cortex (P0 and P60) by Nissl staining showed a typical smooth surface with no apparent gyrus-like structures (S3D Fig). Moreover, the cerebral cortex from Nestin-USP6 mice was comparable to WT at P0 and P60 (S3D and S3E Fig). Visualization of Cut like homeobox 1 (CUX1) and COUP-TF-interacting protein 2 (CTIP2) markers, which specify layer II/III and layer V cortical neurons, respectively, demonstrated clear laminar distinction in Nestin-USP6 mice; no differences in cortical CUX1+ - or CTIP2+ -labeled neurons were observed between Nestin-USP6 and WT mice on P60 (S3F Fig). We also observed no structural abnormalities in the cortex or hippocampus by Nissl staining in CAM-USP6 mice at 2 months (S4A Fig), and no differences in cortical thickness were observed (S4B Fig). Together, these results suggest that in contrast to previous results for TBC1D3, transgenic USP6 expression did not significantly alter gross brain anatomy or cortical lamination/folding.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000525.g001
To determine whether USP6 expression affects synaptic density and morphology, we performed transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and Golgi staining and observed increased dendritic spine density in cortical layer II and V pyramidal neurons from CAM-USP6 mouse brain (S5A and S5B Fig). Although dendritic spine density of hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons in CAM-USP6 mice was found to be higher than in WT littermates, these trends were not found to be statistically significant (S5A and S5B Fig).

Fig 2. USP6 enhances social behavior and USV vocalization in mice. (A) Schematic diagram of social behavior using a three-chamber test. (B) Percent time spent sniffing the cage containing the mouse (Stranger 1) and empty cage (Empty) during the sociability test. Data represent means ± SEM. WT: n = 16 mice, CAM-USP6: n = 16 mice. * P < 0.05, **** P < 0.0001 as determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc analysis. (C) Percent time spent sniffing the familiar (Stranger 1) and novel mice (Stranger 2) during the social novelty test. Data represent means ± SEM. WT: n = 16 mice, CAM-USP6: n = 16 mice. *** P < 0.001, **** P < 0.0001 as determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc analysis. (D) Representative spectrograph of USVs on P7 from CAM-USP6 and WT mice. (E) Number of USVs emitted within a 5-minute period by P7 CAM-USP6 pups. Data represent means ± SEM. WT: n = 9 mice, CAM-USP6: n = 9 mice. * P < 0.05 as determined by Student t test. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. CAM, CamK2a; NS, not significant; P, postnatal day; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease; USV, ultrasonic vocalization; WT, wild-type.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000525.g002
CAM-USP6 mice display enhanced synaptic function

Thus far, we established that USP6 plays a role in memory/social behavior. To determine whether transgenic USP6 expression can enhance NMDAR-dependent synaptic function in vivo, we performed electrophysiological recordings using acute hippocampal slices from WT and CAM-USP6 mice. We observed comparable input–output responses (Fig 3A) and paired-pulse ratios (Fig 3B) between CAM-USP6 mice and WT littermate controls, suggesting that USP6 expression had minimal to no effect on basal synaptic transmission. To determine whether USP6 expression influences synaptic plasticity in CAM-USP6 mice, we examined NMDAR-dependent LTP and LTD responses in CAM-USP6 mice. We found that hippocampal CA1 LTP (100 Hz for 1 second) was enhanced (Fig 3C) and LTD (1 Hz for 900 seconds) was attenuated (Fig 3D) in CAM-USP6 compared with WT controls. As both AMPARs and NMDARs are involved in synaptic plasticity, we first examined miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs) in hippocampal CA1 neurons from CAM-USP6 and WT mice and found that both mEPSC amplitude and frequency were unchanged between the two groups (S6 Fig). Given that AMPAR mEPSC recordings showed normal responses (S6 Fig), AMPAR function appears to be intact in both CAM-USP6 and WT mice. To characterize the potential differences in NMDAR function in the CAM-USP6 mice, we measured NMDA/AMPA ratios and evoked NMDA current (evoked NMDA receptor-mediated excitatory postsynaptic current [eEPSC]) in hippocampal CA1 neurons from CAM-USP6 and WT mice; we observed increased NMDA/AMPA ratios and NMDA-eEPSC amplitudes in hippocampal CAM-USP6 CA1 neurons (Fig 3E and 3F). Together, these results indicate that USP6 expression enhances NMDAR-dependent synaptic function in CAM-USP6 mice.

USP6 stabilizes NMDARs through its deubiquitinating activity

Thus far, mechanisms underlying USP6-mediated memory enhancement and social behavior appear to be independent of developmental events and changes in brain physiology. However, we observed USP6 distribution in synaptosome and PSD-enriched fractions using biochemical fractionation (S7 Fig), suggesting that USP6 may affect synaptic function through the deubiquitination of synaptic proteins. Therefore, we immunopurified trypsin-digested proteins extracted from mouse cortical tissue for peptides labeled with a di-Gly Ub-labeling signature and compared the relative abundance of these di-Gly peptides in cortical tissues from CAM-USP6 mice and WT controls (Fig 4A). Using this method, we identified 175 proteins (150 up-regulated and 25 down-regulated) that were differentially conjugated to Ub between CAM-USP6 and WT mouse brains (S1 Table).

Interestingly, we observed enrichment of di-Gly-labeled proteins involved in pathways related to synaptic function and plasticity, including LTP in WT versus CAM-USP6 transgenic mouse brain (Fig 4B and 4C). Differential Ub signatures were observed in the small Rho GTPase Rac family small GTPase 1 (Rac1), previously shown to be enriched in the hippocampus and contribute to LTP function [29], and synaptotagmin (Syt)1, which has been previously shown to work cooperatively with Syt7 in mediating LTP [30] (Fig 4D). Interestingly, we also observed differences in CAM-USP6 versus WT Ub signatures in postsynaptic components directly related to PSD function, including PSD95 and the NMDAR subunit GluN2B (Fig 4D). These results indicate that CAM-USP6 may drive changes in synaptic function to modulate/enhance memory, cognition, and social behavior.

To determine whether the expression of key targets identified in the Ub-associated proteome is altered in CAM-USP6 brain, we performed immunoblot analyses of proteins from CAM-USP6 and WT mouse brain. We observed up-regulation of GluN1, GluN2A, and GluN2B NMDAR subunits in CAM-USP6 mouse cortex and hippocampus, but little or no
Fig 3. USP6 enhances hippocampal LTP and attenuates LTD in an NMDAR-dependent manner. (A) Input–output curves for basal synaptic transmission in hippocampal slices from WT (n = 3 mice, 14 slices) and CAM-USP6 (n = 3
A difference was observed in GluA1 and GluA2 AMPAR levels (Fig 5A and 5B). We observed little or no change in glutamate receptor mRNA expression by qRT-PCR analysis of the CAM-USP6 mouse cortex, indicating that the differential NMDAR expression was due to modulation at the protein level as opposed to alterations in transcription (S8 Fig). To examine whether USP6 up-regulation can affect the distribution of NMDAR subunits to the cell surface, we subjected primary neurons from WT and CAM-USP6 mouse embryos to cell surface biotin-labeling assays. We observed significant up-regulation of cell surface GluN1, but no change was observed in the AMPAR subunit GluA1 or transferrin receptor (TfR), indicating that CAM-USP6 plays a potential role in enhancing cell surface NMDAR distribution (Fig 5C).

Moreover, compared with WT controls, increased GluN1, GluN2A, and GluN2B levels were observed in both synaptosome and PSD-enriched fractions from CAM-USP6 mouse brains (Fig 5D). Given that these results suggest a role for USP6 in mediating physiological GluN1 homeostasis and cell surface distribution, we examined the effects of USP6 depletion in a human HEK293T cell line expressing GluN1. We found that shRNA-mediated USP6 depletion markedly down-regulated GluN1 protein expression and increased levels of ubiquitinated GluN1 (S9 Fig and Fig 5E). In contrast, GluN1 levels were not perturbed by shRNA-mediated TBC1D3 or USP32 depletion (S10 Fig), suggesting that USP6 mediates specific effects in targeting GluN1 for deubiquitination.

**USP6 interacts with NMDARs to attenuate UPS-mediated NMDAR turnover**

After establishing a role for USP6 in modulating NMDAR ubiquitination and expression, we determined whether USP6 interacts with NMDAR subunits. Immunoprecipitation (IP) of USP6 from CAM-USP6 mouse brain lysates successfully demonstrates coprecipitation of USP6 with NMDAR subunits (GluN1 and GluN2B); no coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) interaction was detected with AMPAR subunits (GluA1 and GluA2) and amyloid precursor protein (APP) (Fig 6A). We further characterized NMDAR binding to TBC or USP domain USP6 homologs (i.e., TBC1D3 and USP32); we found that both USP6 and TBC1D3 coimmunoprecipitated with GluN1/GluN2B, but USP32 failed to interact with NMDAR (Fig 6B and 6C). These results indicate that the N-terminal TBC domain is required for NMDAR interaction.

Subsequently, we compared ubiquitination of GluN1 in WT and CAM-USP6 mouse cortex; GluN1 IP using a GluN1 antibody and subsequent Ub immunoblot analysis for higher-molecular-weight poly-Ub conjugates demonstrated that USP6 expression reduced the levels of
polyubiquitinated GluN1 in vivo (Fig 7A). Furthermore, Ub IP and detection of GluN1 by immunoblotting demonstrated a reduction in GluN1 coprecipitation with Ub in CAM-USP6 brain (Fig 7B). We observed similar results in HEK293T cells; expression of GluN1-Myc or GluN2B-Myc together with USP6 markedly decreased levels of Ub-conjugated GluN1 and GluN2B (S1 Fig). Because this finding suggests that down-regulation of USP6 may enhance accumulation of Ub-conjugated GluN1, we indeed confirmed that shRNA-mediated USP6
Fig 5. Characterization of NMDA receptors as potential USP6 substrates. (A and B) Immunoblot analysis of GluN1, GluN2A, GluN2B, GluA1, GluA2, Syn, PSD95, and NeuN in WT and CAM-USP6 mouse cortex (A) and hippocampus (B). Data represent means ± SEM. Signal intensities from immunoblots were calculated and normalized to β-actin. n = 4 mice. *P < 0.05 as determined by Student t-test. (C) Immunoblot analysis of cell lysates and cell surface proteins. (D) Immunoblot analysis of synaptosomal fraction and PSD fraction. (E) Immunoblot analysis of GluN1-Myc in control shRNA, USP6 shRNA1, and USP6 shRNA2 conditions.
depletion in GluN1-Myc-transfected HEK293T resulted in accumulation of polyubiquitinated GluN1 following USP6 knockdown (Fig 7C).

To determine whether USP6 influences GluN1 turnover, we performed cycloheximide chase assays in HEK293T cells overexpressing GluN1; we found that GluN1 degradation was markedly accelerated by shRNA-mediated USP6 down-regulation (Fig 7D). Together, these results indicate that USP6 can interact with NMDAR subunits and promotes their stabilization by reducing NMDAR ubiquitination.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000525.g005

**Fig 6.** USP6 interacts with NMDA receptors. (A) Characterizing interactions between USP6-HA and glutamate receptor subunits by co-IP. WT and CAM-USP6 mouse brain lysates were precipitated with anti-HA magnetic beads, and precipitates were subsequently immunoblotted with antibodies to detect GluN1, GluN2B, GluA1, GluA2, APP, and β-actin. (B) co-IP interactions between exogenously expressed GluN1-Myc and Flag-tagged USP6, TBC1D3, or USP32. GluN1-Myc and USP6-Flag/TBC1D3-Flag/USP32-Flag were detected in lysates from cotransfected HEK293T cells; anti-Flag complexes were immunoprecipitated from lysates with an anti-Flag antibody and immunoblotted with a Myc antibody. (C) co-IP interactions detected between exogenously expressed GluN2B-Myc and USP6-Flag/TBC1D3-Flag/USP32-Flag. GluN1-Myc and USP6-Flag/TBC1D3-Flag/USP32-Flag were cotransfected into HEK293T cells, and complexes were immunoprecipitated from lysates with an anti-Flag antibody and immunoblotted with a Myc antibody. APP, amyloid precursor protein; CAM, CamK2α; co-IP, co-immunoprecipitation; Glu, glutamate ionotropic receptor; HA, hemagglutinin; NeuN, neuronal nuclei antigen; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; PSD, postsynaptic density; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; Syn, synaptophysin; TFR, transferrin receptor; Tg, transgenic; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease; WT, wild-type.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000525.g006
USP6 depletion reduces NMDAR expression and function in ESC-derived human excitatory neurons

To investigate the effect of USP6 in a human neuronal model system, we differentiated human excitatory neurons from H9 ESCs (Fig 8A). To confirm successful differentiation of ESCs to excitatory neurons, we performed several experiments:

- **Fig 7. USP6 attenuates NMDA receptor ubiquitination and enhances NMDA receptor stabilization.** (A) IB analysis to quantify polyubiquitinated GluN1 in WT and CAM-USP6 mouse cortex. Brain lysates were immunoprecipitated with an anti-GluN1 antibody and immunoblotted for Ub. IB band intensities were normalized to β-actin. n = 3. *P < 0.05 as determined by Student t test. (B) IB analysis to quantify polyubiquitinated GluN1 in WT and CAM-USP6 mouse cortex. Brain lysates were immunoprecipitated with an anti-Ub antibody and immunoblotted for GluN1. IB band intensities were normalized to β-actin. n = 3. *P < 0.05 as determined by Student t test. (C) IB analysis of polyubiquitinated GluN1 in HEK293T cells cotransfected with USP6-targeting shRNAs and GluN1-Myc. IB band intensities were normalized to β-actin. n = 3. **P < 0.01 as determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc analysis. (D) Time course depicting GluN1 degradation with shRNA-mediated USP6 knockdown in GluN1-Myc transfected cells. Western blot analyses of GluN1-Myc and β-actin following CHX (500 μM) treatment for the time indicated. GluN1 levels were normalized to β-actin and set to 100% at time 0. Data represent means ± SEM. n = 3. *P < 0.05 as determined by repeated-measures ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc analysis. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. CAM, CamK2a; CHX, cycloheximide; Glu, glutamate ionotropic receptor; IB, immunoblot; IP, immunoprecipitation; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; TfR, transferrin receptor; Ub, ubiquitin; USP, Ub-specific protease; WT, wild-type.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000525.g007

USP6 depletion reduces NMDAR expression and function in ESC-derived human excitatory neurons

To investigate the effect of USP6 in a human neuronal model system, we differentiated human excitatory neurons from H9 ESCs (Fig 8A). To confirm successful differentiation of ESCs to
Fig 8. USP6 depletion reduces GluN1 expression in ESC-derived human excitatory neurons. (A) Schematic diagram depicting the differentiation timescale and experimental timeline in ESC-derived human excitatory neurons. (B) Immunostaining for vGluT1 and MAP2 at day 44 of differentiation. (C) Action potential at day 60 of differentiation in ESC-derived human excitatory neurons. (D) Knockdown efficiency of USP6 shRNAs in induced human excitatory neurons as quantified by qRT-PCR analysis. Data represent means ± SEM. n = 3. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 as determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc analysis. (E) Immunostaining for GluN1 and PSD95 in induced human excitatory neurons transduced with lentiviral USP6 shRNA. Quantification of GluN1 puncta: control shRNA (n = 43 neurites from 36 neurons), USP6 shRNA1 (n = 36 neurites from 19 neurons), and USP6 shRNA2 (n = 21 neurites from 19 neurons). (F) The effect of USP6 shRNA on action frequency and amplitude.
excitatory neurons, we performed Microtubule associated protein (MAP) 2 (dendritic marker) and Vesicular glutamate transporter (vGluT) 1 (excitatory neuron marker) costaining in mature differentiated neurons (Fig 8B). We also confirmed maturation by characterizing action potential response in differentiated neurons through patch-clamp recording in response to an increasing stimulus (Fig 8C).

To further determine whether USP6 loss of function negatively regulates GluN1 expression in ESC-derived human neurons, we used lentiviruses to transduce USP6-targeted shRNAs in differentiated neurons (Fig 8D). USP6 knockdown markedly diminished the formation of total dendritic GluN1 puncta and colocalized GluN1/PSD95 puncta (Fig 8E). In addition, shRNA-mediated down-regulation of USP6 diminished mEPSC frequency but had a minimal effect on mEPSCs in induced human excitatory neurons (Fig 8F). Together, these results indicate that USP6 down-regulation can reduce GluN1 clustering/distribution to PSD and impair mEPSC frequency in an ESC-derived human neuronal model.

Discussion

USP6 is a hominoid-specific gene that appeared during the evolution of the hominoid genome approximately 12–16 million years ago. The genomic USP6 locus is prone to frequent chromosomal breakage and translocation events in which genomic rearrangement and consequent down-regulation of USP6 expression likely leads to intellectual impairment and aberrations in social behavior, such as mental retardation and autism spectrum disorder [24,25]. Together, these findings suggest that USP6 plays an important role in the evolution of human intelligence.

Protein homeostasis and regulatory mechanisms underlying protein synthesis and degradation are crucial for normal CNS function. Specific regulation of functional components involved in synaptic transmission is also subject to modulation by protein degradation mechanisms. Recent studies have highlighted the function of UPS in synaptic plasticity and various processes related to learning and memory [18,31,32]. However, how synaptic plasticity is coupled to the regulation of specific Ub proteases and the ubiquitination of specific proteins remains largely unclear. Although previous studies have indicated that numerous Ub ligases, including ubiquitin protein ligase E3A (UBE3A) [33–36], Neural precursor cell expressed developmentally down-regulated protein 4 (NEDD4) [37,38], and Cadherin 1 (Cdh1) [39,40], play a fundamental role in synaptic remodeling and function, opposing roles for DUB enzymes in regulating synaptic function have not been well characterized. Within the hominoid genome, USP6 and USP41 represent the sole hominoid-specific DUB enzyme species, suggesting that potential Ub-dependent regulatory mechanisms involving DUBs play a role in neurodegeneration and/or intelligence in hominoids. Our research reveals the pivotal role of USP6 in regulating NMDAR ubiquitination and stability. Activation of NMDARs is required for
LTP and LTD at hippocampal CA1 synapses [41,42], implicating UPS-mediated synaptic remodeling in hominoid cognition. In addition to NMDARs, we identified other synaptic proteins as USP6 substrates, which can potentially contribute to enhancements in synaptic function. These substrates include the small Rho GTPase Rac1 and the synaptotagmin Syt1, which are components previously shown to be enriched in the hippocampus and important for LTP function [29,30]. In future studies, it will be of interest to determine whether mechanisms underlying synaptic plasticity are coupled to the activation or distribution of USP6 and its targets in learning and memory.

The UPS is a fundamental protein degradation system, and aberrant UPS function has been observed in several neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer disease, Parkinson disease, and Huntington disease [43]. Many neurodegenerative diseases feature the pathological appearance of intracellular Ub-positive inclusions that coincide with the proteostatic dysfunction of other aggregate-prone proteins in neurodegeneration [44]. Together, this suggests that UPS dysfunction in neurodegenerative disorders contributes to the accumulation of neurotoxic proteins that affect neurological decline and neurodegenerative onset. Based on our findings here, it will be interesting to determine the specific role of USP6-mediated protein turnover in neurodegenerative disease.

During human evolution, several primate- or human-specific genes have evolved, which may be fundamentally important to accommodate enhanced aspects of human cognition. Given that the USP6 gene locus is located on a breakage-prone region within chromosome 17, USP6 likely evolved through genomic translocation events resulting in the fusion of TBC1D3 and USP32. Given that mutations in NMDAR subunits, such as GluN1 and GluN2B, have been previously linked to intellectual impairment [15,16], it appears reasonable that hominoid-derived factors may have evolved to enhance downstream effectors associated with synaptic function and cognition/memory. USP6 has evolved a unique capacity to enhance NMDAR function; although TBC1D3 interacts with NMDARs, TBC1D3 lacks a domain with deubiquitinating protease activity. Although USP32 is a DUB, USP32 requires a TBC domain to interact with NMDARs. Therefore, the combinatorial evolution of USP6 may confer a unique function driving the enhancements in hominoid-specific synaptic function in the CNS.

Here, we also present a model system for studying hominoid-specific genes and characterizing their function in cognition; utilizing gain-of-function analysis in mouse models combined with shRNA-targeted gene depletion in human ESC-derived neurons, we established a systematic pipeline to determine how hominoid genes can affect cognition and intelligence. Furthermore, this system may enable subsequent studies investigating mutations in hominoid-specific genes manifesting disorders related to intelligence and social behavior. This system can also be used to recapitulate differential gene composition observed in various hominoid species, which may ultimately define how cognitive function evolved in humans.

In summary, we identified USP6 as a novel hominoid component that enhances NMDAR stability and function (Fig 9), in which USP6 plays a pivotal role in the evolution of intelligence. Given that NMDARs and their dysfunction have been implicated in human intelligence and cognition, enhancing USP6 function may be a potential option in future therapeutic studies.

**Materials and methods**

**Ethics statement**

All the protocols and procedures for the mice studies were approved by the School of Medicine, Xiamen University. The animal care and use protocol adhered to guidelines of Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Xiamen University. The human studies were
approved with informed consent by the ethical review board at the School of Medicine, Xiamen University (Project# XDYX2019011).

**Human brain collection**

Human fetal brain tissue was collected and curated by the Women and Children’s Hospital, School of Medicine, Xiamen University (Table 1). Patients provided informed consent in accordance with the legal and institutional ethical guidelines defined by the hospital. Donors comprised pregnant women discontinuing their pregnancies due to congenital heart defects in the fetuses. All donors provided the fetal brains voluntarily and gave informed consent. Karyotype analysis confirmed that all fetal samples used had normal karyotypes. Adult brain tissue was collected from Xiamen Humanity Hospital following trauma surgery with informed patient consent according to the legal and institutional ethical requirements of the hospital (Table 1). All brain tissues were stored on dry ice and transported to the laboratory for subsequent examination and processing.

**Fig 9. A schematic model depicting USP6-dependent regulation of synaptic function.** The hominoid-specific gene USP6 is required for maintaining synaptic function by stabilizing NMDARs. USP6 deubiquitinates NMDAR at the PSD, thereby facilitating NMDAR stabilization, resulting in increased NMDAR function and synaptic activity and enhanced cognition. AMPAR, α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor; LTP, long-term potentiation; NMDAR, N-methyl-D-aspartate-type glutamate receptor; PSD, postsynaptic density; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000525.g009
Animals

All experimental procedures and animal housing in this study were designed and conducted under the guidelines specified by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Xiamen University. CAM-USP6 and Nestin-USP6 transgenic mice were produced by Cyagen Biosciences. Briefly, the sequence of the CamK2a promoter (1,294 bp) or the Nestin promoter and the coding sequence of USP6 (NM_004505.2) with a C-terminal HA tag were inserted into a PiggyBac vector to generate a pPB[Exp]-Promoter\textless hUSP6/H A\textgreater construct. The plasmid was linearized and injected into the male pronucleus of C57BL/6 mice to generate heterozygous CamK2a or Nestin USP6 transgenic mice. All mice were housed and maintained at the institutional animal care facility under a 12:12-hour light/dark cycle.

Antibodies

Antibodies used in this study were as follows: NeuN (mouse monoclonal antibody [mAb], Millipore, MAB337, 1:1,000), GluN2A (rabbit polyclonal antibody [pAb], Millipore, 556308, 1:1,000), GluN1 (mAb, BD, 556308, 1:1,000), GluN2B (mAb, BD, 610416, 1:1,000), PSD95 (mAb, Millipore, MAB1598, 1:2,000), synaptophysin (Sigma, SVP-38, 1:200), Myc (Invitrogen, 13–2500, 1:3,000), USP6 (pAb, Sigma, U2010, 1:1,000), Flag (mAb, Immunoway, YM1438, 1:3,000), HA (mAb, CST, 2999, 1:1,000), GluA1 (mAb, Millipore, 2508914, 1:1,000), GluA2 (pAb, Millipore, AB1768, 1:1,000), β-actin (mAb, xmbcss, bc001, 1:2,000), and Ub (mAb, Santa Cruz, 2508914, 1:1,000). The rabbit pAb 369 against the APP C terminus was described previously [45]. Secondary antibodies include goat anti-mouse IgG conjugate HRP (Thermo Fisher, 31430, 1:3,000), goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugate HRP (Thermo Fisher, 31460, 1:3,000), goat anti-mouse conjugate IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher, A-11001, 1:3,000), goat anti-rabbit conjugate IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher, A-11034, 1:3,000), goat anti-mouse IgG conjugate Alexa Fluor 594 (Thermo Fisher, A-11032, 1:3,000), and goat anti-rabbit conjugate IgG Alexa Fluor 594 (Thermo fisher, A-11037, 1:3,000). DNA was stained with DAPI (Vector-labs, H-1200).

DNA constructs

cDNA fragments encoding USP6, TBC1D3, and USP32 were inserted into pCD513B-Flag, which was modified by inserting a Flag-tag into pCD513B-1 vector using EcoRI and BamHI.

Table 1. Tissue sample information from fetal and adult donors.

| Number | Group         | Age    | Gender | Tissue |
|--------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1      | Fetal brain   | 22 weeks | Male   | Cortex |
| 2      | Fetal brain   | 26 weeks | Male   | Cortex |
| 3      | Fetal brain   | 26 weeks | Male   | Cortex |
| 4      | Fetal brain   | 27 weeks | Male   | Cortex |
| 5      | Fetal brain   | 26 weeks | Male   | Cortex |
| 6      | Adult brain   | 38 years | Male   | Cortex |
| 7      | Adult brain   | 26 years | Male   | Cortex |
| 8      | Adult brain   | 24 years | Male   | Cortex |
| 9      | Adult brain   | 22 years | Male   | Cortex |
| 10     | Adult brain   | 23 years | Male   | Cortex |

Human brain tissue used for qRT-PCR analysis in Fig 1A.

Abbreviation: qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription PCR

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000525.t001
cloning sites. PCR fragments comprising GluN1, GluN2A, and GluN2B cDNA were cloned into pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen).

shRNA targeting sequences for human USP6 (shRNA1: GGAGCGGAAGGACAT ACTTAT, shRNA2: TCATGCCCAGGATCGTGA TAA) or scrambled shRNA (sequence: CCTAAG GTTAAGTCGCCCTCG) were inserted into a pLV-EGFP-Puro-U6 construct, and lentivirus was packaged by Cyagen Biosciences. siRNAs were purchased from Shanghai GenePharma Company, and sequence information is provided in Table 2.

Real-time PCR analysis

Tissue or cell samples were homogenized, and total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (TOYOBO) with random hexamers and OligdT primers. qRT-PCR was performed using a ROCHE 480 real-time LightCycler system and SYBR green reagent (ABI); primer sequence information is in Table 3. At least three independent experiments were performed for all experiments described, and all results presented were calculated from CT values derived from the qRT-PCR reactions. All values were normalized to β-actin.

Histology, immunofluorescence, and confocal imaging

Male mice were anesthetized with isoflurane followed by serial intracardial perfusion with PBS and 4% PFA [46]. Whole brains were rapidly dissected and postfixed in 4% PFA at 4°C overnight, dehydrated in PBS containing 30% sucrose at 4°C, and embedded and frozen in OCT at −80°C. Brain sections were sectioned into 15-μm slices using a Leica microtome. For histological analysis, frozen sections were stained with 1% cresyl violet (Beyotime) for Nissl staining. For immunofluorescence staining, brain sections were permeabilized using 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, blocked in 5% normal bovine serum in PBS, and incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. Fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibodies were then used to detect primary antibody signals, and stained sections were imaged using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope.

Neural differentiation from human ESCs

Human ESCs (H9, WiCell) were maintained on Matrigel (BD, 354480)-coated plates with Essential 8 (E8, GIBCO, A15171-01) medium. Neuronal differentiation from ESC lines was performed using a modified protocol [47]; H9 cells were trypsinized and maintained as floating spheres in low-adherence flasks in 15% KnockOut Serum (GIBCO, 10828028)-based medium (KSR/F12) (DMEM/F12, C11330500BT) with 100 nM LDN193189 (Stemolecule, Table 2. siRNA sequences.

| Target gene   | siRNA sequences                      |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| Control siRNA | 5'-UUCUCGGAAGUGUCACGUTT-3'          |
| TBC1D3 siRNA1 | 5'-CCACAUCUCUGCAUATT-3'             |
| TBC1D3 siRNA2 | 5'-GCUGCCUCAUCGGGAUAAUTT-3'         |
| TBC1D3 siRNA3 | 5'-GGCUUGAUGGCCGAUAAATT-3'          |
| USP32 siRNA1  | 5'-CCUCUUACAAAGGCAATTT-3'           |
| USP32 siRNA2  | 5'-GGGUUGAACUGAGAGCAUTT-3'          |
| USP32 siRNA3  | 5'-CCGGAAGAAGGAGACAAATTT-3'         |

Abbreviations: siRNA, small interfering RNA; TBC, Tre-2/USP6, BUB2, and Cdc16; TBC1D3, TBC1 domain family member 3; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000525.t002
04–0074) and 10 μM SB-431542 (Tocris, 1614) for 5 days. In the following 24 days (6 to 24 days in vitro), the medium was changed to neurobasal medium (GIBCO, 21103–049) containing 2% B-27 (GIBCO, 17504–044), 1% GlutaMax (GIBCO, 35050–061), 20 ng/ml FGF-basic (PEPROTECH, AF-100-18B), 20 ng/ml EGF (PEPROTECH, AF-100-15), and 1% penicillin streptomycin (GIBCO, 15140–122). The medium was changed daily for the first 10 days and every other day for the subsequent 9 days. Differentiation medium was used at day 25: neurobasal medium, 2% B-27, 1% GlutaMax, 20 ng/ml BDNF (PEPROTECH, AF-450-02), 20 ng/ml GDNF (PEPROTECH, AF-450-10), and 1% penicillin streptomycin (GIBCO, 15140–122).

After 6 to 7 weeks of differentiation, cells were trypsinized with TyrpLE Select (GIBCO, 12563–011) and seeded in 24-well or 6-well plates for 1–2 weeks, during which the medium was changed every 3 days. For the first 24 hours of seeding, cultures were supplemented with 1 μM ROCK inhibitor Y-27632 (Batch, 1254). Expression of the excitatory neuronal markers vGluT1 (Synaptic systems, 135511) and MAP2 (Cell Signaling Technology, 4542S) were evaluated by immunofluorescence staining at day 44. ESC-derived neurons were transduced with lenti-USP6 shRNAs at day 52 to down-regulate USP6 expression. At 7 days postinfection, cells were processed for immunofluorescence and electrophysiological analysis. Quantification of GluN1 and PSD95 puncta was characterized in neurites of equivalent density and equidistant from the cell body.

### Table 3. Primer sequences.

| Target gene | Primer sequences |
|-------------|-----------------|
| Human USP6 F | 5'-TGAGCCCGTGTGGAATCAACA-3' |
| Human USP6 R | 5'-ATCCACTTGCTGTGTGT-3' |
| Human USP32 F | 5'-AGATCAGGGCTCGACCACC-3' |
| Human USP32 R | 5'-AGATCAGGGCTCGACCACC-3' |
| Human TBC1D3 F | 5'-AGTGCTCTACAGCTTTACACA-3' |
| Human TBC1D3 R | 5'-GCTCGGTGTCCCTTCTTGTA-3' |
| Human β-actin F | 5'-CAACCACGGAGAGATGAC-3' |
| Human β-actin R | 5'-GTCCATCAGATGCCAGT-3' |
| Mouse GluA1 F | 5'-AGGGATCGACATCCAGAG-3' |
| Mouse GluA1 R | 5'-TGCACATTTCCGGTCAAACC-3' |
| Mouse GluA2 F | 5'-CAGTTTCCAGTCACAAATG-3' |
| Mouse GluA2 R | 5'-ACCCAAAAATCGCATAGACG-3' |
| Mouse GluN1 F | 5'-GGATACCCAGATGTCACAGACTAAAG-3' |
| Mouse GluN1 R | 5'-AAGCAGAAGCGATAACAGC-3' |
| Mouse GluN2A F | 5'-CGGTTCTCATTTTGACCTTTCAG-3' |
| Mouse GluN2A R | 5'-GGTGGTCTACCTGGTCACAGTCAG-3' |
| Mouse GluN2B F | 5'-GCATTTTGTTGTTCTGGGTC-3' |
| Mouse GluN2B R | 5'-GTCTCTGGAACTTCTTGGTACCTCAGG-3' |
| Mouse β-actin F | 5'-GCCAACCGTGAAAAGATGAC-3' |
| Mouse β-actin R | 5'-GAGGCATCAGGGACAGC-3' |

Abbreviations: F, forward; Glu, glutamate ionotropic receptor; R, reverse; TBC, Tre-2/USP6, BUB2, and Cdc16; TBC1D3, TBC1 domain family member 3; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000525.t003

04–0074) and 10 μM SB-431542 (Tocris, 1614) for 5 days. In the following 24 days (6 to 24 days in vitro), the medium was changed to neurobasal medium (GIBCO, 21103–049) containing 2% B-27 (GIBCO, 17504–044), 1% GlutaMax (GIBCO, 35050–061), 20 ng/ml FGF-basic (PEPROTECH, AF-100-18B), 20 ng/ml EGF (PEPROTECH, AF-100-15), and 1% penicillin streptomycin (GIBCO, 15140–122). The medium was changed daily for the first 10 days and every other day for the subsequent 9 days. Differentiation medium was used at day 25: neurobasal medium, 2% B-27, 1% GlutaMax, 20 ng/ml BDNF (PEPROTECH, AF-450-02), 20 ng/ml GDNF (PEPROTECH, AF-450-10), and 1% penicillin streptomycin (GIBCO, 15140–122). After 6 to 7 weeks of differentiation, cells were trypsinized with TyrpLE Select (GIBCO, 12563–011) and seeded in 24-well or 6-well plates for 1–2 weeks, during which the medium was changed every 3 days. For the first 24 hours of seeding, cultures were supplemented with 1 μM ROCK inhibitor Y-27632 (Batch, 1254). Expression of the excitatory neuronal markers vGluT1 (Synaptic systems, 135511) and MAP2 (Cell Signaling Technology, 4542S) were evaluated by immunofluorescence staining at day 44. ESC-derived neurons were transduced with lenti-USP6 shRNAs at day 52 to down-regulate USP6 expression. At 7 days postinfection, cells were processed for immunofluorescence and electrophysiological analysis. Quantification of GluN1 and PSD95 puncta was characterized in neurites of equivalent density and equidistant from the cell body.

**Interneuron differentiation from human ESCs**

The interneuron induction was performed as previously described [48]. Human H9 ESCs were trypsinized and cultured as floating spheres in low adherent flasks in KSR medium...
(DMEM, 15% knockout serum [GIBCO, 10828028]) replacement, 2 mM GlutaMax, and 10 μM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma, M3148) from day 0 to day 14. Rock inhibitor (Y-27632, 10 μM, Tocris,1254) was treated at day 1. For MGE-derived interneuron differentiation, from day 0 to day 7, LDN-193189 (100 nM Stemgent, 04–0074), SB431542 (10 μM, Tocris, 1614), SAG (0.1 μM, EMD Millipore, 566660), and IWP2 (5 μM, EMD Millipore, 681671) were treated in the culture medium. From day 8 to day 14, cells were treated with LDN-193189 and SAG. From day 15 to day 21, the culture medium was changed with B27 medium (neurobasal with B27-supplement [2%, GBICO, 17504–044], GlutaMax [1%, GIBCO, 35050–061], and ascorbic acid [200 μM, Sigma]), and we added FGF8 (100 ng/ml, PEPROTECH, AF-100-25) and SAG. After 3 weeks, B27 medium was supplemented with 10 ng/ml GDNF (PEPROTECH, AF-450-02) and 10 ng/ml BDNF (PEPROTECH, AF-450-10) for neuronal maturation. After 6–7 weeks of differentiation, cells were trypsinized and seeded for analysis.

**TEM**

TEM was used to detect synaptic ultrastructure as previously described [49]. Male mice were anesthetized with isoflurane followed by intracardial perfusion with PBS, followed by fixative containing 4% PFA and 2.5% glutaraldehyde. Tissue of frontal cortex and hippocampus were rapidly dissected, postfixed in fixative at 4˚C overnight, and then postfixed by immersion in 1% osmium tetroxide at 4˚C for 2 hours. The specimens were dehydrated in an ethanol gradient from 30% to 100% and embedded in Spurr’s resin. After dehydration and embedment, serial ultrathin sections (70-nm in thickness) were prepared and stained with lead citrate and uranyl acetate, and TEM images were captured using a Hitachi HT-7800 transmission electron microscope. The number of synaptic connections was quantified using NIH ImageJ software by a person who was blinded to the animal genotype.

**Golgi staining**

Golgi staining was performed in 2-month-old CAM-USP6 male transgenic mice using the FD Rapid Golgi Stain Kit (FD NeuroTechnologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions as previously described [50]. Dendritic spine density was imaged using a NIKON microscope in the CA1 region of the hippocampus and quantified using NIH ImageJ software [51]. Student t tests were used to determine statistical significance between WT and USP6 transgenic neurons.

**Ub-modified proteome**

Ubiquitinated proteins were detected using an IP-MS/MS strategy as previously described [52,53]. Briefly, 2-month-old male WT and CAM-USP6 mouse cortices were collected and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen samples were pooled and ground into a powder (1 g per sample) for total protein extraction using TCA-acetone. Proteins were resuspended in UA buffer (8 M urea, 150 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0), and lysates were sonicated, centrifuged, and filtered. Supernatants were collected and quantified by Bradford assay (Thermo Scientific Multiskan).

Protein (20 mg) was reduced using 1.25 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) for 30 minutes at 55˚C, and resulting free cysteines were alkylated with 10 mM iodoacetamide for 15 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Approximately 20 mg of DTT and iodoacetamide-treated protein was digested overnight at 37˚C with TPCK trypsin (Worthington) at an enzyme-to-substrate ratio of 1:50 after a 4-fold dilution in 20 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) (pH 8.0). Trypsin digestion was stopped by the addition of trifluoroacetic acid to a final concentration of 1%. After precipitates were removed by centrifugation for 20 minutes at
10,000g, supernatants were desalted using Sep-Pak Classic C18 Cartridges (Waters) followed by lyophilization.

Lyophilized peptides were dissolved in immunoaffinity purification (IAP) buffer (50 mM MOPS–NaOH, pH 7.2, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, and 50 mM NaCl) and centrifuged at 10,000g at 4°C for 10 minutes. For each sample, 250 µg of di-Gly-Lys antibody cross-linked on agarose beads (PTMScan Ub remnant motif K-ε-GG kit, Cell Signaling Technology) was used to immunopurify cleaved di-Gly Ub signatures, and di-Gly-Lys-containing peptides were enriched as previously described.

Fractions were individually injected for nanoLC-MS/MS analysis, and MS data were analyzed using MaxQuant software version 1.5.3.17 (Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry in Martinsried, Germany). Gene Ontology (GO) and KEGG pathway analysis was performed to characterize categorical gene function in the components identified.

**Preparation of synaptosome and PSD fractions**

Hippocampal tissues from male mice were dissected and homogenized on ice in 10 volumes of cold sucrose buffer (0.32 M sucrose and 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) with protease inhibitors. Homogenates were centrifuged at 710g for 10 minutes at 4°C to isolate supernatant (S1) from large debris and nuclei. The S1 fraction was centrifuged at 10,000g for 15 minutes at 4°C. Supernatants were retained (S2; light membrane and cytosolic fraction), and pellets were washed twice with cold sucrose buffer and resuspended in cold HEPES-buffered saline (HBS) containing 25 mM HEPES and 150 mM NaCl (pH 7.4) to obtain synaptosome fractions. PSD-enriched fractions were prepared by solubilizing synaptosomes in 1% Triton HBS at 4°C for 30 minutes and, subsequently, centrifuging at 10,000g for 20 minutes. Pellets were resuspended in 3% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in HBS to yield PSD-enriched fractions [50,54].

**Immunoblot analysis**

Brain tissues from male mice and samples comprising cultured cells were lysed on ice in RIPA lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 2 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate) or 0.5% TNEN lysis buffer (containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40) supplemented with protease inhibitors. Total protein concentration in brain homogenates or cell lysates was measured using a bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA) system (Thermo Fisher). Protein lysates were boiled in SDS loading buffer, and equivalent protein quantities (30–50 µg) were resolved by SDS-PAGE and western blotting, whereby blots were probed with primary antibodies and immunoreactive bands were quantified using NIH ImageJ software.

**co-IP assays**

CAM-USP6 male mouse brain or transfected HEK293T cells were lysed in 0.5% TNEN lysis buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors. For all experiments, total protein concentration was determined by BCA assay (Thermo Fisher). Lysates were immunoprecipitated using anti-Myc, HA, or Flag antibodies in the presence of Protein G Dynabeads (Thermo Fisher), followed by immunoblot analysis.

**Field potential recordings**

Female mice were anesthetized with isoflurane, and brains were rapidly removed and placed in ice-cold, high-sucrose cutting solution containing 120 mM sucrose, 64 mM NaCl, 26 mM NaHCO₃, 10 mM glucose, 2.5 mM KCl, 1.25 mM NaH₂PO₄, 10 mM MgSO₄, and 0.5 mM
CaCl\(_2\). Slices were sectioned on a Leica vibratome in high-sucrose cutting solution and immediately transferred to an incubation chamber with artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing 120 mM NaCl, 26 mM NaHCO\(_3\), 10 mM glucose, 3.5 mM KCl, 1.25 mM NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 1.3 mM MgSO\(_4\), and 2.5 mM CaCl\(_2\). Slices were allowed to recover at 32 \(^\circ\)C for 1 hour before equilibration at room temperature for 1 hour. During recordings, slices were placed in a recording chamber perfused with ACSF and continuously aerated with 95% O\(_2\)/5% CO\(_2\).

For LTP field recordings, the Shaffer collateral was stimulated with a concentric bipolar electrode placed in the CA3 stratum radiatum. Field potentials in the CA1 stratum radiatum were recorded using a micropipette filled with ACSF. Baseline responses were obtained every 20 seconds with a stimulation intensity that yielded a 30%–40% maximal amplitude (mV) response. LTP was induced by four trains of high-frequency stimulation (100 Hz, 1 second) separated by 30-second intervals. For LTD field recordings, concentric bipolar and patch electrodes were placed as described in the LTP protocol above. Baseline responses were obtained every 20 seconds with a stimulation intensity that yielded a 50%–60% maximal amplitude (mV) response. LTD stimulation was induced at a frequency of 1 Hz for 900 seconds. For input–output recordings, electrical stimulation in the CA3 stratum radiatum was applied sequentially in a gradient ranging from 0 to 0.8 mA in 0.1-mA steps. For paired-pulse ratio recordings, two pulses with stimulation intensities that yielded a 40% maximal amplitude (mV) response spaced at determined time intervals (20, 50, 100, 200, and 500 milliseconds) were administered.

**Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings**

Acute hippocampal slices from female mice were processed and maintained as described above. To obtain NMDAR- to AMPAR-EPSC ratios, a concentric bipolar electrode was placed in the stratum radiatum to evoke EPSCs in CA1 pyramidal cells. CA1 pyramidal cells were affixed to patch electrodes containing 140 mM CsCH\(_3\)SO\(_3\), 2 mM MgCl\(_2\)-6H\(_2\)O, 5 mM TEA-Cl, 10 mM HEPES, 1 mM EGTA, 2.5 mM Mg-ATP, and 0.3 mM Na-GTP (pH 7.2–7.4). AMPAR-EPSC was first recorded at \(-70\) mV in ACSF (containing 100 \(\mu\)M picrotoxin [PTX]). Mixed AMPAR-EPSC and NMDAR-EPSC outputs were then recorded at \(+40\) mV using the same stimulation pulse (100 \(\mu\)A). Peak NMDAR-EPSC was calculated at 50 milliseconds from the initial mixed EPSC output.

NMDAR-mediated eEPSCs (NMDA-eEPSCs) were recorded in the presence of 20 \(\mu\)M 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX) and 100 \(\mu\)M PTX. An additional 5 mM QX314 was added to the internal solution to block voltage-dependent sodium channels at the intracellular interface. NMDAR-eEPSC was recorded at \(+40\) mV. A concentric bipolar electrode was placed 200–250 \(\mu\)m from the neuron recorded. Synaptic currents were elicited by increasing stimulation intensities (ranging from 0–160 \(\mu\)A in 20-\(\mu\)A steps) administered at a frequency of 0.05 Hz. AMPAR-mediated mEPSCs (AMPA-mEPSCs) were recorded in the presence of 1 \(\mu\)M tetrodotoxin (TTX), 50 \(\mu\)M AP5, and 100 \(\mu\)M PTX at a holding potential of \(-70\) mV.

Action potentials in induced human excitatory neurons were recorded in current-clamp mode, in which recording pipettes were filled with intracellular solution containing (in mM): 122 K-gluconate, 5 NaCl, 0.3 CaCl\(_2\), 2 MgCl\(_2\), 1 egta acid (EGTA), 10 HEPES, 5 Na\(_2\)-ATP, and 0.4 Na\(_3\)-GTP; pH was adjusted to 7.2–7.3 with KOH, and the osmolarity was adjusted to 280 mOsm/kg with sucrose. Action potentials were generated by direct intracellular current injections (500 milliseconds) of increasing magnitude (in 10-pA steps) from \(-30\) pA to 120 pA. In current-clamp mode, NMDAR-mediated mEPSCs (NMDA-mEPSCs) were recorded in the presence of 1 \(\mu\)M TTX, 20 \(\mu\)M CNQX, and 100 \(\mu\)M PTX with a Cs-based internal solution at a holding potential of \(-70\) mV.
Open field test
In open field tests, the behavior of each mouse was characterized in an open field box (60 × 60 cm, height 60 cm), and total distance traveled was monitored to assess motor behavior. Percentage of the time spent in the center zone was recorded to determine anxiety-like behavior. To exclude estrogenic effects, only male mice were used in behavior tests.

MWM and reversal learning
MWM were performed as previously described [55,56]. The tests were conducted in a light-blue circular pool (diameter 1.2 m, height 0.5 m) filled with opaque water maintained at 22˚C. Four spatial markers comprising different shapes visible to the animals were affixed on the walls of the pool. A round fixed platform (diameter 10 cm) was submerged approximately 1–2 cm below the water level. On training days, the mouse was forced to swim in the water and allowed to search for 60 seconds to find the hidden platform, where the mouse remained for 10 seconds. For cases in which the mouse was unable to find the platform, the mouse was guided to the hidden platform and allowed to remain on the platform for 10 seconds. Each mouse was trained for 6 days with four trials per day from four different quadrants used to enter the pool. Mouse behavior was monitored and analyzed using Smart 3.0 software (Panlab), and escape latency was scored for each trial. On the last day, a probe test was performed without the platform, and mice were allowed to swim for 60 seconds; the probe test was performed with two trials; time spent in each quadrant and the target platform quadrant were subsequently analyzed [55]. Reversal learning was performed based on the MWM, with the following adjustments: each mouse was trained for 6 days with two trials per day from two different quadrants used to enter the pool, and the probe test was performed with one trial to reduce swimming intensity. After the probe test, the platform was moved to the opposite quadrant, and each mouse was trained for 3 days with 2 trials per day from two different quadrants used to enter the pool. On the last day, a second probe test was performed without the platform, and mice were allowed to swim for 60 seconds; time spent in each quadrant and the target platform quadrant were subsequently analyzed [56].

NOR
NOR was used to detect learning and memory according to a previous method [57]. At 24 hours before testing, each mouse was habituated for 5 minutes in a chamber (40 × 40 × 40 cm). During testing, the mouse was exposed to a set of three identical objects in the chamber for 10 minutes. The mouse was then removed from the chamber for 2 minutes while the chamber was cleaned with 70% ethanol and one of the objects was replaced with a novel object. The mouse was then returned to the chamber, and the interaction time with the two familiar or novel objects was recorded. Total interaction time was determined as the sum of interaction times (familiar 1 + familiar 2 + novel object). The discrimination index (%) was defined as time spent exploring the novel object/total interaction time × 100. An interaction was defined as active investigation of the object while the mouse was oriented toward and within 1 cm of the object. Mice with a total interaction time of less than 3 seconds were excluded from analysis.

Three-chamber test
Social communication behavior was performed using a three-chamber test as previously described [58]. The apparatus consisted of a plexiglass chamber comprising three equally sized compartments, where the mouse was allowed to explore three chambers using two sliding
doors. Smart 3.0 software (Panlab) was used to record and analyze mouse behavior. Two wire cages were placed in the left and right chamber, respectively. The test consisted of three phases: (1) During habituation, the test mouse was placed in the center chamber and allowed to freely explore chambers for 5 minutes. (2) Sociability tests were performed in which an unfamiliar mouse (stranger 1) was introduced into a wire cage in one side of the chamber as the stimulus mouse. Location of stranger 1 in the left- or right-side chamber was evenly balanced across subjects. The test mouse was allowed to freely explore the chambers for 10 minutes. The time sniffing the wire cage with stranger 1 or the empty cage was recorded. (3) In the social novelty test phase, a new unfamiliar mouse (stranger 2) was placed into the empty cage, and then the test mouse was allowed to freely explore each chamber for 10 minutes. The time sniffing the wire cage with stranger 1 or stranger 2 was recorded.

USV recording

Isolation-induced USV in infant pups was assessed as previously described [58] using hardware and software provided by Avisoft Bioacoustics. At 10 minutes prior to initiating the experiment, the dam was separated from its litter to create a stable pretest baseline. P7 pups were isolated from their housing cages and individually placed in a recording box situated in an anechoic chamber [8,9]. An ultrasonic microphone was positioned approximately 5 cm above the pup and set to record vocalizations of 50–100 kHz with a 40-db cutoff over a 5-minute recording period.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism. The data distribution was assessed by a Kolmogorov-Smirnov nonparametric test of equality. Differences between two means were assessed by Student t test. Differences among multiple means were assessed, as indicated, by one-way, two-way, or repeated-measures ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s, Dunnett’s, or Tukey’s post hoc analysis. Error bars represent the SEM. Null hypotheses were rejected at $P > 0.05$ ($P < 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant).
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S1 Data. Underlying numerical data and statistical analysis for figure panels Fig 1A, 1B, 1E, 1G and 1H; Fig 2B, 2C and 2E; Fig 3A, 3B, 3C, 3D, 3E and 3F; Fig 4C; Fig 5A, 5B, 5C, 5D and 5E; Fig 7A, 7B, 7C and 7D; Fig 8C, 8D, 8E and 8F; S1A, S1B and S1C Fig; S2A, S2B, S2C and S2D Fig; S3D, S3E and S3F Fig; S4B Fig; S5A and S5B Fig; S6 Fig; S8 Fig; S9A and S9B Fig; and S10A, S10B and S10C Fig.

S1 Raw Images. Original images supporting all blot and gel results reported in Figs 1D, 5A, 5B, 5C, 5D, 5E, 6A, 6B, 6C, 7A, 7B, 7C, 7D, S3B, S7, S9A, S10C and S11. The loading order, experimental samples, and molecular weight markers are indicated. The lanes used in the final figure are marked with a red box.

S1 Table. Significantly altered components in the ubiquitin-modified proteome in WT versus CAM-USP6 mice. CAM, CamK2a; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease; WT, wild-type.

S1 Fig. CAM-USP6 mice show no gross physiological or behavioral deficits. (A) Body weight of 2-month-old WT and CAM-USP6 mice in line 1 (USP6 Tg#1) and line 2 (USP6
Tg#2). USP6 Tg#1 (WT: n = 18 mice; CAM-USP6: n = 22 mice). USP6 Tg#2 (WT: n = 15 mice; CAM-USP6: n = 24 mice). Data represent means ± SEM. (B) Distance traveled in WT and CAM-USP6 mice from independent USP6 Tg#1 and USP6 Tg#2 lines in open field tests. USP6 Tg#1 (WT: n = 18 mice; CAM-USP6: n = 22 mice). USP6 Tg#2 (WT: n = 15 mice; CAM-USP6: n = 24 mice). Data represent means ± SEM. (C) Time spent in the center area during open field tests in WT and CAM-USP6 mice. USP6 Tg#1 (WT: n = 18 mice; CAM-USP6: n = 22 mice). USP6 Tg#2 (WT: n = 15 mice; CAM-USP6: n = 24 mice). Data represent means ± SEM. No significant difference was observed as determined by a Student t test. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. CAM, CamK2α; Tg, transgenic; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease; WT, wild-type.

**S2 Fig. Overexpression of USP6 enhances spatial memory of CAM-USP6 mice in Morris water maze tests.** (A) Morris water maze test results as determined by escape latency to find a hidden platform. Data represent means ± SEM. WT: n = 10 mice; CAM-USP6 (line 2): n = 18 mice. *P < 0.05 as determined by repeated-measure ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc analysis. (B) Swimming speed of mice subjected to the Morris water maze test. Data represent means ± SEM. WT: n = 10 mice; CAM-USP6 (line 2): n = 18 mice. No significance was observed, as determined by repeated-measures ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc analysis. (C) Morris water maze probe test results of WT and CAM-USP6 (line 2) mice. Data represent means ± SEM. WT: n = 10 mice; CAM-USP6 (line 2): n = 18 mice. *P < 0.05 determined by a Student t test. The target was situated in the SW quadrant. (D) Morris water maze probe test: percentage time spent in platform area in WT and CAM-USP6 (line 2) mice. Data represent means ± SEM. WT: n = 10 mice; CAM-USP6 (line 2): n = 18 mice. *P < 0.05 determined by a Student t test. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. CAM, CamK2α; SW, southwest; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease; WT, wild-type.

**S3 Fig. Nestin-USP6 mice feature no gross anatomical abnormalities.** (A) Schematic diagram of constructs used to generate USP6 transgenic mouse lines under the regulation of a Nestin promoter (Nestin-USP6). (B) Immunoblot analysis to detect USP6-HA expression in brain from E18.5 Nestin-USP6 mouse embryos. (C) Immunostaining for BLBP in E16.5 WT and Nestin-USP6 mouse brain. Scale bar = 200 μm. (D) Sagittal sections of P0 WT and Nestin-USP6 mouse brain were analyzed by Nissl staining. Data represent means ± SEM. n = 3 mice per genotype. No significance was observed as determined by a Student t test. Scale bar = 500 μm. (E) Sagittal sections from P60 WT and Nestin-USP6 mouse brain were analyzed by Nissl staining. Data represent means ± SEM. n = 3 mice per genotype. No statistically significant differences were determined by Student t test. Scale bar = 1,000 μm. (F) Immunostaining and quantification of CUX1* and CTIP2* in E16.5 WT and Nestin-USP6 mouse cortex. Scale bar = 100 μm. Data represent means ± SEM. n = 3 mice per genotype. No statistically significant differences were determined by Student t test. Scale bar = 100 μm. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. BLBP, Brain lipid-binding protein; CTIP2, COUP-TF-interacting protein 2; CUX1, cut like homeobox 1; E, embryonic day; HA, hemagglutinin; P, postnatal day; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease; WT, wild-type.

**S4 Fig. CAM-USP6 mice feature normal brain morphology.** (A) Sagittal sections from 2-month-old WT and CAM-USP6 mouse brain were analyzed by Nissl staining. Scale bar = 1,000 μm; scale bar (zoom in) = 200 μm. (B) Cortical thickness of 2-month-old WT and CAM-USP6 mice. Data represent means ± SEM. n = 3 mice per genotype. Not significant as
determined by a Student t test. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. CAM, CamK2a; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease; WT, wild-type.

**S5 Fig. USP6 increases spine density in CAM-USP6 mice.** (A) TEM analysis and synapse quantification of 2-month-old WT and CAM-USP6 mouse cortex and hippocampus. Scale bar = 500 nm. Data represent means ± SEM. n = 4 mice per genotype. ****P < 0.0001 determined by a Student t test. (B) Golgi staining and quantification of dendritic spines in the cortex and hippocampus of 2-month-old WT and CAM-USP6 mice. Scale bar = 5 μm. Data represent means ± SEM. n = 4 mice per genotype. ****P < 0.0001 determined by a Student t test. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. CAM, CamK2a; NS, not significant; TEM, transmission electron microscopy; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease; WT, wild-type.

**S6 Fig. AMPA receptor-mediated synaptic transmission in CAM-USP6 mice.** Representative mEPSC recordings in CA1 neurons from 1-month-old WT (n = 4 mice, 16 cells) and CAM-USP6 (n = 4 mice, 15 cells) hippocampal slices. Data represent means ± SEM. Not significant as determined by a Student t test. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. AMPA, α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid; CAM, CamK2a; mEPSC, miniature excitatory postsynaptic current; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease; WT, wild-type.

**S7 Fig. Distribution of USP6 in biochemical synaptic fractions.** Immunoblot analysis of USP6-HA in S1 (postnucleus), synaptosomal, and PSD fractions from WT and CAM-USP6 mouse cortex. CAM, CamK2a; HA, hemagglutinin; PSD, postsynaptic density; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease; WT, wild-type.

**S8 Fig. Glutamate receptor mRNA expression remains unchanged in CAM-USP6 mouse brains.** GluN1, GluN2A, GluN2B, GluA1, and GluA2 mRNA levels relative to β-actin from WT and CAM-USP6 mouse cortex were determined by qRT-PCR analysis. Data represent means ± SEM; n = 3 mice per genotype. Not significant as determined by Student t test. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. CAM, CamK2a; Glu, glutamate ionotropic receptor; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription PCR; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease; WT, wild-type.

**S9 Fig. Characterizing knockdown efficiency of USP6-targeting shRNA.** (A) USP6 depletion with USP6-targeting shRNAs in USP6-Flag-transfected HEK293T cells. Signal intensities from immunoblots were normalized to β-actin. n = 3. *P < 0.05 as determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc analysis. (B) Knockdown of endogenous USP6 using USP6--targeting shRNAs in HEK293T cells. USP6 mRNA expression levels were measured by qRT-PCR. Data represent means ± SEM. n = 9. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 as determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc analysis. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription PCR; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease.

**S10 Fig. Depletion of TBC1D3 and USP32 did not affect GluN1 expression.** (A) Knockdown efficiency of TBC1D3 siRNA in HEK293T cells was evaluated by qRT-PCR. Data
represent means ± SEM. n = 6. *P < 0.05, ****P < 0.0001 as determined by a Student t test. (B) Knockdown efficiency of USP32-targeting siRNAs in HEK293T cells was evaluated by qRT-PCR. Data represent means ± SEM. n = 6. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001 determined by Student t test. (C) Immunoblot analysis of GluN1 levels in GluN1-expressing HEK293T cells transfected with TBC1D3/USP32 siRNAs. Signal intensities were normalized to β-actin. n = 3. Not significant as determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc analysis. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. Glu, glutamate ionotropic receptor; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription PCR; siRNA, small interfering RNA; TBC, Tre-2/USP6, BUB2, and Cdc16; TBC1D3, TBC1 domain family member 3; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease.

(S1) Fig. USP6 reduces levels of polyubiquitin-conjugated GluN1 and GluN2B subunits in HEK293T cells. Immunoblot analysis of polyubiquitinated GluN1 and GluN2B levels in USP6-Flag- and GluN1-Myc/GluN2B-Myc-transfected HEK293T cells. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with Myc-magnetic beads and immunoblotted with anti-Flag, ubiquitin, or Myc antibodies as indicated. Glu, glutamate ionotropic receptor; USP, ubiquitin-specific protease.
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