Estimation of Renal Function Using Unenhanced Computed Tomography in Upper Urinary Tract Stones Patients
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Objectives: The aim of this study was to determine whether unenhanced computed tomography (CT) imaging can estimate differential renal function (DRF) in patients with chronic unilateral obstructive upper urinary tract stones.

Materials and Methods: This was a single-center retrospective study of 76 patients. All the patients underwent unenhanced CT and nuclear renography (RG) at an interval of 4 to 6 weeks due to chronic unilateral obstructive urinary stones. Renal CT measurements (RCMs), including residual parenchymal volume (RPV) and volumetric CT texture analysis parameters, were obtained through a semiautomatic method. Percent RCMs were calculated and compared with renal function determined by RG.

Results: The strongest Pearson coefficient between percent RCM and DRF was reflected by RPV ($r = 0.957$, $P < 0.001$). Combinations of RPV and other parameters did not significantly improve the correlation compared with RPV alone ($r = 0.957$ vs. $r = 0.957$, $0.957$, $0.887$, $0.815$, and $0.956$ for combination with Hounsfield unit, parenchymal voxel, skewness, kurtosis, and entropy, respectively; all $P < 0.001$). Percent RPV was subsequently introduced into linear regression, and the equation $y = -2.66 + 1.07x$ ($P < 0.001$) was derived to calculate predicted DRF. No statistically difference was found between predicted DRF using the equation and observed DRF according to RG ($P = 0.959$).

Conclusion: Unenhanced CT imaging can estimate DRF in patients with chronic unilateral obstructive upper urinary tract stones, and RG might not be necessary as a conventional method in clinical.
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INTRODUCTION

Urolithiasis is the most common disease that encountered in urology departments, and affects 1–20% of the adult population. In developed countries, such as Canada, Sweden and the United States, the prevalence of urolithiasis is greater than 10% (1). In developing countries, take China for example, kidney stones affect approximately one in 17 adults, corresponding to the prevalence of 5.8–6.5% in men and 5.1% in women (2). Owing to the lack of periodic physical examination, a large number of patients experience acute or chronic obstruction and renal failure. Estimation of differential renal function (DRF), which reflects the contribution of a single kidney to overall renal function, of the obstructed kidney is vital to decide whether it is worth saving. A cut-off value of 15% split DRF is commonly used by urologists when counseling patients to undergo lithotripsy vs. nephrectomy (3).

Nuclear renography (RG) is seen as the standard imaging modality for evaluating differential renal function. However, its clinical applications are limited due to some disadvantages (4, 5), including exposure to radiation, operator dependence, high costs, and prolonged examination time. In contrast, wide popularity and short acquisition time of unenhanced computed tomography (CT) make it a first-line examination method for imaging patients with ureteral stones (6, 7). Hence, exploring the relationship between renal CT measurements (RCM) and DRF has been an active area. Nevertheless, the results of previous research may not be applicable to the populations with upper urinary tract stones, given that previous studies primarily focused on patients with ureteral stones and included heterogeneous population with a wide range of causes of stones (8, 9). Furthermore, some studies may exposed patients to the risk for contrast nephropathy due to the use of iodinated contrast medium (10, 11). Therefore, the research that only focused on patients with upper urinary tract stones and based on unenhanced CT is valuable and urgently needed.

A major emerging trend in medical imaging research is CT texture analysis (CTTA), which is a novel technique used to assess internal structural heterogeneity by processing existing CT images (12). To our knowledge, few studies exploring the relevance of CTTA and DRF have been published. In the present study, residual parenchymal volume (RPV) and CTTA parameters were obtained very readily and accurately by common software and techniques. Therefore, this study, which focused on patients with chronic unilateral obstructive upper urinary tract stones, first aimed to correlate percent RPV measured by unenhanced CT with DRF estimated by RG, and second aimed to explore applications of volumetric CTTA in estimating DRF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This retrospective study was conducted under the approval of the Ethics Committee of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology (2019S1035) and the informed consent was waived. Data from 112 patients with upper urinary tract stones, who underwent unenhanced multidetector CT (MDCT) and nuclear RG at an interval of 4–6 weeks between April 2016 and May 2018, were reviewed. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) the age was more than 18 years; (b) patients had chronic unilateral obstructive upper urinary tract stones but with a normal contralateral kidney; (c) the stone history was more than 2 months. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (a) patents with a solitary kidney; (b) males with a serum creatinine level > 104 µmol/L, females with a serum creatinine level > 84 µmol/L; (c) patients with acute obstruction which were found on contrast-enhanced CT; (d) patients with serious urinary infection; (e) patients with obstruction due to ureteral stricture or ureteropelvic junction obstruction (UPJO). According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 76 patients were ultimately included in this study.

Unenhanced CT

All patients underwent abdominal and pelvic CT examinations (Discovery CT 750, GE Medical Systems, USA; or Aquilion One, Toshiba, Japan). The imaging parameters were as follows: slice thickness, 0.625 mm; pitch, 0.984; gantry rotation time, 0.5 s; tube voltage, 120 kV; and automatic tube current modulation, 100–200 mA. A 5-mm interval was used for CT image reconstruction.

All patients’ CT Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) images were transferred to a dedicated image analysis workstation equipped with an open source software (Fire Voxel, New York University, NY, USA), and then processed by an abdominal radiologist in a double-blinded manner. First, renal parenchyma of two sides were drawn on the superior and inferior layers of the axial kidney image. The software automatically filled the entire kidney according to the Hounsfield unit (HU) threshold to obtain a volume of interest (VOI), including the renal cortex and medulla. Second, the VOI was magnified, and the edges were manually modified to ensure that all functional renal parenchyma was contained while hydronephrosis, calculi, and cysts were avoided (Figure 1). Third, RCMs (morphological and CTTA parameters) were automatically calculated based on
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the final VOI, including RPV, HU, parenchymal voxel, skewness, kurtosis, and entropy.

**Nuclear RG**

RG values were recorded based on the reports compiled by a professional radiologist. All DRF on RG data were blindly obtained from an observer and processed using the Discovery NM670 SPECT/CT platform (GE Healthcare, USA).

**RCM Analysis**

RCMs were evaluated for their power of correlation to DRF. The percent RCM were calculated using the general format “100 [Left RCM / (Left RCM + Right RCM)]” as previously reported (8, 13). All percent RCMs used the left kidney, regardless of whether it was obstructed. The contralateral side can always be determined by assigning the remaining percentage because the two sides total 100. The percent RCM were then compared with the DRF, as determined by RG.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard variation. Pearson’s correlation coefficient and linear regression were used to evaluate correlations between percent RCM and DRF on RG. A p value < 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. SPSS version 24 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) was used to perform the statistical analyses. The difference in correlation coefficient between men and women was calculated using MedCalc (version 12.7, Mariakerke, Belgium).

**RESULTS**

A total of 31 men and 45 women, who underwent unenhanced CT and nuclear RG, were included in the analysis. Baseline patient characteristics were summarized in Table 1. The mean age of the patients was 51.14 ± 10.61 years, and the mean serum creatinine level was 76.05 ± 15.4 μmol/L. There were 45 cases of right-sided stones and 31 cases of left-sided stones. Eight patients had hypertension and five patients had diabetes, but all of them were well controlled. Ureteroscopic lithotripsy was performed in 16 cases, and percutaneous nephrolithotomy was performed in 33 cases, the remaining 27 patients underwent laparoscopic nephrectomy.

The percent RPV, HU, parenchymal voxel, skewness, kurtosis, and entropy were separately evaluated for their strength of correlation to DRF on RG. In addition, multiple combinations of these RCMs were also used to evaluate the correlation with DRF. For each RCM, a Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the percent RCM and the DRF was calculated (Table 2). The strongest correlation between RCM and the DRF was calculated (Table 2). The strongest correlation between RCM and DRF (r = 0.962 for female, r = 0.950 for male). Although the correlation coefficient of women is slightly larger than that of men, the difference is not statistically significant (P = 0.565).

Linear regression produced an equation for estimating DRF: 

\[ y = -2.66 + 1.07x \]  

(P < 0.001), in which “x” is the left percent RPV, and “y” is the equation-estimated DRF (Figure 2). The numerical values comparing the percent RPV, equation-estimated DRF, and actual reported DRF from RG were provided in Supplementary Table S1. No statistically significant difference was observed between equation-estimated DRF and actual reported DRF (P = 0.959).

**DISCUSSION**

Chronic urinary tract obstruction caused by stone often leads to renal impairment of the affected unit, and DRF of the obstructed kidney can impact the surgical decision. Urologists usually determine split DRF by nuclear RG. However, high cost of nuclear RG precludes some hospitals from performing this examination. Therefore, given increases in morbidity of urolithiasis (1), the need of using unenhanced CT (basic examination) to avoid nuclear RG is also on the rise. In this preliminary study, the percent RPV from unenhanced CT...
demonstrated a strong correlation with DRF from RG ($r = 0.957$). Moreover, DRF was easily and precisely evaluated using the equation from unenhanced CT.

In 2016, Jacob et al. (8) investigated 49 patients with UPJO and reported a strong correlation between percent cortical area multiplied by HU on CT and DRF of RG. Studies in 2014 by Hamed et al. (10) and in 2012 by Sarma et al. (14), including 42 and 21 patients, respectively, found that percent RPV on CT strongly correlated with DRF using $99mTc$-DTPA renal scan. As mentioned above, multiple studies have indicated that DRF can be adequately assessed using percent RCM, and previous results were compatible with those of this study. However, enhanced CT was used most frequently in previous studies. Despite the strong correlation, enhanced CT as a tool for assessing DRF is not without limitations, primarily because the exposure to contrast medium adversely affects renal function (15). Enhanced CT is prohibited in patients with worsening renal function (16) or allergy to contrast media. Furthermore, some studies (8, 17, 18) have applied HU to calculate CT-based DRF; however, it is difficult to standardize the data because tissue attenuation derived from enhanced CT is affected by a variety of factors (19, 20), including contrast medium type, injection concentration and rate, and image acquisition time. However, these disadvantages do not apply in unenhanced CT. Hence, a reasonable assumption could be drawn that the results of this study may be more easily adopted and validated by other medical centers, and easier to be generalized into clinical practice.

In 2010 Morrisroe et al. (13) and in 2015 Martinez-Suarez et al. (21), compared percent RPV on unenhanced CT with DRF on nuclear renal scan. The common limitation of their research, however, was the rather small sample size (10 and 19 patients, respectively). A relatively larger sample ($n = 72$) was studied by Feder et al. (9), and their results indicated a strong correlation between percent renal parenchymal area from unenhanced CT and DRF from renal scintigraphy, similar to the results of the present study (Pearson’s $r = 0.967$ vs. $r = 0.957$). However, the 72 patients had a wide range of disease types (and included kidney donors). In contrast, the inclusion criteria for this study were strictly controlled (only including patients with chronic unilateral obstruction upper urinary tract stones). Therefore, the statistical power of the results from the present study may be stronger, especially in patients with upper urinary tract stones. In addition to the classic morphological indicator (RPV), relatively novel CTTA parameters were also introduced to this study.

Numerous studies have demonstrated that CTTA can reflect heterogeneity in the microstructure (12, 22, 23). Given that it is not unusual for chronic renal obstruction to undergo the changes in kidney texture during functional impairment, this study sought to ascertain whether CTTA could accurately reflect

### Table 2: Evaluating differential CT measurements and their correlation to DRF on RG.

| Measurement/Calculation | Male ($n = 31$) | Female ($n = 45$) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                          | Pearson correlation | $p$-value | Pearson correlation | $p$-value |
| HU                       | 0.673 < 0.001 | 0.249 0.099 |
| Parenchymal Voxel        | 0.948 < 0.001 | 0.962 < 0.001 |
| Parenchymal Volume       | 0.950 < 0.001 | 0.962 < 0.001 |
| Parenchymal Volume x HU  | 0.949 < 0.001 | 0.962 < 0.001 |
| Parenchymal Voxel x HU   | 0.941 < 0.001 | 0.968 < 0.001 |

Parenchyma defined as both renal cortex and medulla. HU, Hounsfield Units. "P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

### Table 3: Gender subgroup analysis of the correlation between differential CT measurements and DRF on RG.

| Measurement/Calculation | Male ($n = 31$) | Female ($n = 45$) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                          | Pearson correlation | $p$-value | Pearson correlation | $p$-value |
| HU                       | 0.673 < 0.001 | 0.249 0.099 |
| Parenchymal Voxel        | 0.948 < 0.001 | 0.962 < 0.001 |
| Parenchymal Volume       | 0.950 < 0.001 | 0.962 < 0.001 |
| Parenchymal Volume x HU  | 0.949 < 0.001 | 0.962 < 0.001 |
| Parenchymal Voxel x HU   | 0.941 < 0.001 | 0.968 < 0.001 |

Parenchyma defined as both renal cortex and medulla. HU, Hounsfield Units.
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In conclusion, for patients with chronic unilateral obstructive upper urinary tract stones, unenhanced CT enabled preoperative DRF to be rapidly and accurately estimated, and the role of RPV is more important than CTTA parameters. Measuring RPV on unenhanced CT images may assist urologists in determining optimal treatment strategies. Probably in most chronic unilateral obstructive upper urinary tract stones patients, measuring RPV on unenhanced CT may be sufficient to evaluate renal function, and RG may not be necessary as a conventional method in clinical.
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