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Abstract

The application of accounting conservatism in presenting and disclosing financial information is important and necessary due to the tendency of management in presenting financial statements with an overstated inclination which can mislead users. The purpose of this research is to examine and analyse the effect of growth opportunity and capital intensity on accounting conservatism and to consider managerial ownership as a moderating variable. The population of the research is the manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange during the period of 2016-2019. This research uses a purposive sampling method in the selection of the sample based on detailed criteria. This method obtains samples of as many as 53 companies during the period of observation. The research uses moderated regression analysis. The results of this research find that capital intensity has a positive effect on accounting conservatism, but the growth opportunity has influences negatively significant to accounting conservatism. The study also finds that managerial ownership successfully manages to become a moderating variable between growth opportunities and capital intensity on accounting conservatism.
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1. Introduction

The purpose of financial statements is to provide information regarding the financial position and performance and all changes regarding the financial position, performance and changes in the financial position of a company that provides benefits to users of financial reports for making economic decisions. Financial reports are a source of information on company performance that is prepared based on guidelines for accounting principles, one of which is the principle of accounting conservatism in preparing financial statements. [1] revealed that financial reports that adhere to the principle of accounting...
conservatism are able to prevent information asymmetry which can be done by limiting management in manipulating financial reports. However, accounting conservatism can also cause its own problems because it is based on the theory of [2] about agency theory which shows a conflict of interest between company owners or shareholders (principal) and management as an agent. The principle of conservatism is a principle related to company earnings information, [3] states that the conservatism principle is assumed to be based on future economic uncertainty because conservatism recognizes possible costs or losses but does not immediately recognize profit even though it is likely to occur. Thus, the existence of the principle of accounting conservatism needs to be considered in its application as a careful measure in measuring and recognizing the value of income and profits.

Growth Opportunity is an important hope desired by company management as an internal party as well as by creditors and investors. High sales growth often increases market expectations of future cash flows so that it will affect accounting conservatism. The growth of the company in the future indicates that the company is able to achieve a high level of profit, the higher the sales growth indicates that the company is more conservative, [4].

High sales growth often increases market expectations of future cash flows so that it will affect conservatism. The company’s future growth indicates that the company has reached a high level of profit. The higher the sales growth indicates that the company is getting more conservative.

Based on the theoretical basis and the results of previous research, the following hypotheses can be formulated:

H1: Growth opportunity has a positive effect on accounting conservatism
H2: Capital intensity has a positive effect on accounting conservatism
H3: Managerial ownership moderates the relationship between growth opportunity and accounting conservatism
H4: Managerial ownership moderates the relationship between capital intensity and accounting conservatism

2. Research Method

The type of research use quantitative research method. The population in this study are all manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI) for the
2016-2019 period. The sampling technique in this study used a purposive sampling method.

The variables used in this study are as follows:

2.1. Accounting Conservatism

Conservatism is the principle that most influences valuation in accounting, therefore conservatism still has an important role in accounting practice. The calculation of accounting conservatism in this study uses the [5] model, accounting conservatism is measured by looking at the difference between operating profit before depreciation/amortization and operating cash flows divided by total assets.

\[
\text{CONACC} = \frac{(\text{NIO} + \text{DEP} - \text{CFO}) \times (-1)}{\text{TA}}
\]

Information:
- CONACC: Accounting conservatism based on accrual calculations
- NIO: Operating profit (business) for the year
- DEP: Depreciation expense for fixed assets and amortization expense for intangible assets for the current year
- CFO: Cash flows from operating activities during the year
- TA: Total assets at the end of the period

2.2. Growth Opportunity

Growth opportunity is a company opportunity to increase the amount of investment. Management that applies conservative accounting to report quality earnings is characterized by a relatively permanent underestimation of earnings and net assets, which is shown through financial reports, which is a positive signal from management to investors, [6]. Growth opportunities can be measured using measurements based on the Market to Book Value of Equity. With the calculation formula as follows:

\[
\frac{\text{number of outstanding shares} \times \text{closing price}}{\text{Total of equity}}
\]

Sumber: [7]
2.3. Capital Intensity

Capital intensity describes how much the company’s capital is in the form of assets to generate company revenue. The higher the capital intensity, the greater the company’s income generated from the company’s capital in the form of assets. A high capital intensity ratio indicates that the company is a capital intensive company.

\[
\text{Capital Intensity} = \frac{\text{total asset}}{\text{total sales}}
\]

Source: [8]

2.4. Managerial ownership

In this study, using a moderating variable, namely managerial ownership. Managerial ownership structure is an arrangement of the number of shares owned by management in a company. According to [9], conflicts of interest between managers and shareholders usually also occur in relation to investment decisions made by companies.

In this study, managerial ownership is measured using the following measurements:

\[
MOWN = \frac{\text{The number of shares owned by commissioners and directors}}{\text{number of shares outstanding}}
\]

Source: [7]

3. Results and Discussion

Hypothesis testing in this study was tested using moderated regression analysis with a significance level (alpha) of 5%, and the following results were obtained:

The test results show that the R2 value is 0.585. This R square value means that 58.5% of the stock return variable can be explained by the variable growth opportunity, capital intensity, and managerial ownership. This means that the remaining 41.5% is explained by other variables outside of this study. From the Anova test or F test, the calculated F value is 15.141 with a significance level of 0.005, the regression model can be used in this study. The variables of growth opportunity, capital intensity, and managerial ownership moderation together can influence accounting conservatism. Based on the individual parameter significance test or t test, it shows that the dependent variable growth opportunity has a significance value of 0.148 and a regression coefficient of -0.058. By
Table 1

| Variabel | Koef. regresi | T | Probabilitas, Sig |
|----------|--------------|---|------------------|
| C        | 0,125        | 1,287 | 0,172 |
| X1       | -0,058       | 0,150 | 0,148 |
| X2       | 0,292        | 2,969 | 0,004 |
| X1_Z     | 0,021        | 2,985 | 0,017 |
| X2_Z     | 3,210        | 2,537 | 0,013 |

R square (R\(^2\)) = 0,586
F statistic = 15,141
Probabilitas F Statistic = 0,005

using a 5% alpha level, the value of growth opportunity is greater than the significance level, namely 0.148 > 0.05. This shows that there is no influence of growth opportunity on accounting conservatism, this is in line with the research of [10] which states that growth opportunities have no effect on accounting conservatism, but it is not in line with the research results of [11] and the research of [12] which has proven that growth opportunity has succeeded in influencing accounting conservatism. However, when the managerial ownership variable is included as an intermediary or the interaction of the relationship between growth opportunity and accounting conservatism shows a significance value of 0.017 which is smaller than 0.05, in the sense that managerial ownership is able to positively moderate the relationship between growth opportunity and accounting conservatism, H3 is successfully accepted. Meanwhile, the H2 test results show that the capital intensity variable has a significance value of 0.004 and a regression coefficient of 0.292. This proves that the second hypothesis is accepted which indicates that capital intensity has a positive influence on accounting conservatism. Likewise, when the managerial ownership variable is included as a moderation between capital intensity and accounting conservatism, it shows a significance value of 0.013 which is smaller than the 0.05 significance level, which proves that managerial ownership is able to moderate the relationship between capital intensity and accounting conservatism.

4. Conclusion

This study aims to examine the effect of growth opportunity and capital intensity on accounting conservatism, and include managerial ownership as a moderating variable. Based on the results of the study, it shows that capital intensity has a positive effect on accounting conservatism, which is indicated by a significance value smaller than 0.05. However, growth opportunity did not succeed in influencing accounting conservatism. Not all managers apply the principle of conservatism by minimizing profits to meet
investment needs. The opportunity to grow a company requires funds, most of which come from external parties so that the company does not reduce profits, [7]. This study also succeeded in proving that the managerial ownership variable succeeded in becoming a moderating variable capable of interacting the relationship between growth opportunity and capital intensity with accounting conservatism, as evidenced by the significance value of both below 0.05.

5. Suggestion

a. For further researchers, it can take a broader scope not only in the manufacturing sector but can be expanded to other sectors such as the service sector listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange.

b. For further researchers, they can add independent variables related to accounting conservatism, such as company size variables. The larger the size of the company will pay a greater political cost, so that managers will choose to reduce profits to be more conservative, [13].
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