Retinal vascular biomarkers for early detection and monitoring of Alzheimer’s disease
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The earliest detectable change in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the buildup of amyloid plaque in the brain. Early detection of AD, prior to irreversible neurological damage, is important for the efficacy of current interventions as well as for the development of new treatments. Although PiB-PET imaging and CSF amyloid are the gold standards for early AD diagnosis, there are practical limitations for population screening. AD-related pathology occurs primarily in the brain, but some of the hallmarks of the disease have also been shown to occur in other tissues, including the retina, which is more accessible for imaging. Retinal vascular changes and degeneration have previously been reported in AD using optical coherence tomography and laser Doppler techniques. This report presents results from analysis of retinal photographs from AD and healthy control participants from the Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle (AIBL) Flagship Study of Ageing. This is the first study to investigate retinal blood vessel changes with respect to amyloid plaque burden in the brain. We demonstrate relationships between retinal vascular parameters, neocortical brain amyloid plaque burden and AD. A number of RVPs were found to be different in AD. Two of these RVPs, venular branching asymmetry factor and arteriolar length-to-diameter ratio, were also higher in healthy individuals with high plaque burden (P = 0.01 and P = 0.02 respectively, after false discovery rate adjustment). Retinal photographic analysis shows potential as an adjunct for early detection of AD or monitoring of AD-progression or response to treatments.
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Introduction

The primary neuropathological hallmark of Alzheimer’s Disease (AD) is the presence of cerebral amyloid deposits (plaques). The disease leads to cerebral (cortical and particularly hippocampal) atrophy and is identified clinically by a progressive decline in memory, learning and executive function. In addition, the disease imposes a huge social and economic burden on society.

Although post-mortem examination of the brain is required for confirmation of AD, a diagnosis of ‘probable AD’ can be made in patients, fulfilling the criteria set down by the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke/Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association AD.1 Currently, a diagnosis of probable AD is only possible when the condition has progressed, and considerable neurological damage has already occurred. The increasing prevalence of AD in the population, along with the need to treat the disease before the brain is irreversibly damaged, calls for a sensitive and specific screening technology to identify high-risk individuals before cognitive symptoms arise. Although current treatments are limited in their efficacy, earlier detection of AD would assist the development of interventions aimed at preventing or delaying the neurodegenerative process, and could contribute to development and evaluation of new treatments.

Candidates for AD diagnostic or prognostic biomarkers are being sought from many fields including genetics, blood biomarkers, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) proteomics and neuroimaging.2–11 One major genetic risk factor for sporadic AD has been known for some time, the Apolipoprotein E e4 allele (APOE e4).5 Two biomarkers are showing particular promise, firstly, CSF concentrations of β amyloid (Aβ), total τ and phosphorylated τ peptides,6,7,11,12 and secondly, brain Aβ plaques imaged using Positron Emission Tomography (PET) with C-11 PiB or F18 ligands.7,9–11 However, although these are valuable diagnostic and secondary screening biomarkers, they are not suited to population screening.

Cortical amyloid plaque burden can be evaluated in vivo using PET neuroimaging with injected ligands such as Pittsburgh compound-B (PiB), which selectively bind to Aβ plaques.7,9–11 PET-PiB imaging studies have revealed that not only do AD-diagnosed individuals exhibit high PiB
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The retina is a developmental outgrowth of the brain and is often referred to as nature’s brain slice as its laminar structure of neural tissue can easily be imaged in vivo. Alzheimer’s pathology in the retina could be a potential screening measure, particularly since visual disturbance has often been detected as an early complaint of AD patients. In addition, many studies have reported reduced visual performance in AD. However, as none of these visual deficiencies are specific to AD, a newer field of research is investigating the hypothesis that there might be specific pathological changes in the eye that accompany the disease. There is hope that the eye might yet yield biomarkers that are either highly specific for AD, or can contribute to an AD-specific risk-profile analysis, in combination with genetic, blood and/or other tests.

Retinal morphology reported in AD involves changes to the vasculature and optic disc (optic nerve head), retinal cell loss and thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer. The only study reporting retinal vascular changes in AD was a small participant study by Berisha et al., finding that AD participants had narrower blood column diameter in the major superior temporal retinal venule and decreased blood flow in this venule. These findings were made with the use of a laser Doppler device; no study to date has verified retinal vascular changes in AD using retinal photography, which is more widely available. Detection of retinal vascular changes in AD using retinal photography could lead to a more practically applicable AD screening test.

Advances in digital retinal imaging have facilitated accurate and reliable measurements of the optimality of the retinal vasculature. This includes vascular attenuation, branching geometry and measures of how effectively the vascular network fills the retinal space. The present study investigated whether vascular analysis of retinal photographs could identify any retinal vascular parameters (RVPs) that may be altered in AD. An additional question that was addressed by this study was whether retinal changes occur late in the disease process when AD is clinically diagnosed or earlier in the disease process and therefore have prognostic potential before conventional diagnosis is possible.

Methods

Participants. Participants for the retina study were recruited from the Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle (AIBL) study of ageing. A full description of the AIBL cohort is reported elsewhere. AIBL participants were excluded from the retinal screening study if they had history or evidence of glaucoma, significant cataract or cataract surgery within the prior 6 months. All retina study participants were white Caucasians.

The AD participants fulfilled the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke/Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria for probable AD. To address possible undiagnosed hypertension in this study, the definition of hypertension was extended to include both physician-diagnosed and identified by elevated blood pressure (systolic pressure > 140 mm Hg or diastolic pressure > 90 mm Hg) on the day of retinal imaging.

Neuroimaging methodology is reported elsewhere. Briefly, participants were neuroimaged for the presence of fibrillar brain amyloid using PET-PiB. A bimodal distribution of PET-PiB Standardized Uptake Value Ratio (SUVR) was observed in the healthy control (HC) group of the AIBL study. Consequently, hierarchical cluster analysis yielded a cutoff for neocortical SUVR of 1.5, separating high from low plaque burden. Subjects were classified as PiB negative (HC−) if their neocortex SUVR was < 1.5, and PiB positive (HC+) if their neocortex SUVR was > 1.5.

All participants or legal guardians provided their written informed consent, and all retinal imaging experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Western Australia, according to the Helsinki Declaration. The Ethics approval for the parent AIBL study was obtained from the Austin Health Human Research Ethics Committee and the Hollywood Private Hospital Ethics Committee.

In total, 148 participants entered the retinal vascular parameter study (123 healthy control and 25 AD). The study had two components: (i) a ‘clinical status study’ investigating RVP differences between the 25 AD and 123 HC participants, and (ii) a ‘neuroimaging study’ investigating RVP with respect to neocortical plaque burden in HC participants with AIBL neuroimaging data available (n = 45).

Retinal photography and grading. Digital retinal color photographs (disc centered, 45° field) were collected with a Canon CR-1 non-mydriatic camera (Canon USA, Lake Success, NY, USA) in a darkened room. Retinal photographs were analyzed with Singapore I Vessel Assessment (SIVA) semiautomated software from the Singapore Eye Research Institute. The analytical principles and reproducibility of measurements using the SIVA software have been described previously. Briefly, the RVPs were measured from the width and branching geometry of the retinal vessels. Nineteen RVPs were calculated for each retinal photograph (see Table 1 for a description of RVPs).

The measured retinal zones of interest for the RVPs were 0.5–1.0 disc diameters away from the disc margin (zone B, Figure 1) or 0.5–2.0 disc diameters away from the disc margin (zone C, Figure 1). Measurement in these zones ensured that the vessels had attained arteriolar status. The measured zone for each parameter is listed in Table 1. Trained graders followed a standardised protocol and performed corrections to automated procedures as necessary.

Vascular calibers were calculated for the six largest arterioles and six largest venules. Standard deviation of the width in zone B (BSTD) was calculated for the arteriolar and...
Parameter Description Retinal zone

CRAE Central retinal arteriolar equivalent caliber B
CRVE Central retinal venular equivalent caliber B
AVR Arteriole–venular Ratio (CRAE/CRVE) B
FDa Fractal dimension of arteriolar network C
FDv Fractal dimension of venular network C
BSTDa Zone B standard deviation Arteriole B
BSTDv Zone B standard deviation Venule B
TORTa Curvature tortuosity arteriole C
TORTv Curvature tortuosity venule C
Num1stBa Number of first branching arterioles C
Num1stBv Number of first branching venules C
BCa Branching coefficient arteriole C
BCv Branching coefficient venule C
AFa Asymmetry factor arteriole (or asymmetry ratio) C
AFv Asymmetry factor venule (or asymmetry ratio) C
JEa Junctional exponent deviation for arterioles C
JEv Junctional exponent deviation for venules C
LDRa Length diameter ratio arteriole C
LDRv Length diameter ratio venule C

Table 1: Description of the 19 retinal vascular parameters (RVPs) measured for each retinal photograph, along with the retinal zone of interest (see Figure 1) for calculation of each parameter.

Figure 1: Retinal zones utilized for retinal vascular analysis. Zone A is defined as the region from 0 to 0.5 disc diameters away from the disc margin, zone B is defined as the region from 0.5 to 1.0 disc diameters away from the disc margin and zone C is defined as the region from 0.5 to 2.0 disc diameters away from the disc margin. Retinal photograph from a healthy individual.

venular networks. Summary measures of vascular equivalent caliber were also calculated (central retinal arterial (CRAE) and venular (CRVE) equivalent caliber), based on the improved Knudson–Parr–Hubbard formula. CRAE and CRVE represent the equivalent single-vessel parent caliber (width) for the six arterioles and venules respectively. From these indices, the arteriole-to-venule ratio (AVR) was calculated (AVR = CRAE/CRVE).

Natural patterns such as vessel networks often exhibit fractal properties, whereby they appear the same when viewed over a range of magnifications. The fractal dimension (FD) describes the range of scales over which this self-similarity is observed. In this study, the fractal dimension of the retinal vascular network was calculated using the box-counting method. Larger values reflect a more complex branching pattern.

Retinal vascular tortuosity is defined as the integral of the curvature squared along the path of the vessel, normalized by the total path length. All vessels in the zone of interest with a width >40 μm were measured. The estimates were summarized as the average tortuosity of the measured vessels. A smaller tortuosity value indicates straighter vessels.

The number of vessels with a first bifurcation (branch) in zone C (Num1stB) was counted. Average metrics of these branches were then calculated; branching coefficient (BC), asymmetry factor (AF) and junctional exponent deviation (JE). The branching coefficient at each vascular bifurcation is defined as BC = (D12)/(D02) where D1 and D2 are the mean vessel widths of each daughter vessel and D0, the mean width of the parent vessel. The AF is defined as AF = (D13)/(D23) where D1 ≥ D2). JE expresses the deviation from optimality of the ratio of vessel widths at a bifurcation. It is defined as JE = (D03 – (D13 + D23))1/3/D0. In terms of minimizing shear stress and work over a bifurcation, the optimum values for BC and JE are BC = 21/3 = 1.26 and JE = 0. All vessels with their first bifurcation within the measured zone were analyzed, with the average value for all vessels reported.

LDR is defined as the vessel length from the midpoint of one vascular bifurcation to the midpoint of the next bifurcation, expressed as a ratio to the diameter of the parent vessel at the first bifurcation. For all RVP names, a lowercase ‘a’ or ‘v’ at the end of the name indicates a measurement of the arteriolar or venular network respectively.

Statistical analysis. Demographic comparisons were performed using a χ² test for categorical variables (gender, hypertension, diabetes, smoking status and APOE ε4 carrier status), and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the continuous age variable (P < 0.05 considered significant).

Across-group RVP scores were compared using analysis of variance (ANCOVA), correcting for confounders (age, gender, hypertension, diabetes, smoking status and APOE ε4 carrier status). The likelihood of false positive results was minimized by adjusting P-values according to the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) method.

Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was also performed to further illustrate the classification accuracy of the RVPs. The area under the curve (AUC) of the ROC curves was calculated; an AUC of 1 indicates perfect classification ability into AD or HC, whereas an AUC near 0.5 indicates poor (random) classification ability. Logistical models combining RVPs were created to assess combined classification performance.
All statistical analyses were conducted in XLstat 2011 (Microsoft Excel).

Results

Clinical status study. The clinical status cohort consisted of 25 probable AD patients (age 72.4 ± 7.5 years, 12 male and 13 female individuals) and 123 healthy control participants (age 71.6 ± 5.6 years, 55 male and 68 female individuals). The demographics of this cohort are presented in Table 2. Comorbid medical conditions considered relevant to retinal vascular changes were hypertension and diabetes mellitus. Participant-reported smoking (current or past history) was also considered due to previous reports linking smoking with possible retinal vascular changes.60 HC and AD groups did not differ significantly in age, gender, hypertension, diabetes or smoking status. There was a higher percentage of APOE ε4 carriers in the AD group (P = 0.019).

After FDR adjustment, significant differences in 13 of 19 RVPs were found between the AD and HC groups (Table 2 and Figure 2). Logistical models combining parameters were created for combined AD classification. A logistic model combining these 13 RVP’s provided good classification performance (81.2% sensitivity, 75.7% specificity and 87.7% AUC), compared with the logistic model including only age and APOE ε4 carrier status (68.0% sensitivity, 61.8% specificity and 63.7% AUC).

Neuroimaging study. AIBL neuroimaging data was available for 45 HC participants. This neuroimaging cohort was grouped according to high (SUVR > 1.5) or low (SUVR < 1.5) neocortical amyloid plaque burden (HC+ and HC− respectively). The demographics of the neuroimaging cohort are presented in Table 3. There were 15 participants in the HC+ group and 30 participants in the HC− group. The HC+ group had a higher percentage of APOE ε4 carriers than the HC− group (P = 0.04); there were no significant differences in the other demographic variables.

ANOVA analysis revealed larger venular branching asymmetry factor (AFv) and arteriolar length-to-diameter ratio (LDRa) in the HC+ group (P = 0.01 and P = 0.02 respectively, after FDR adjustment, see Figure 2D). These two parameters were also larger in AD compared with HC, hence these results are consistent with the hypothesis that RVP changes may precede AD diagnosis.

Combined in a logistic model, AFv and LDRa could identify high plaque burden in the HC group with 76.9% sensitivity, 69.2% specificity and 74.6% AUC. When combined with age and APOE ε4 carrier status, the classification performance improved to 84.7% sensitivity, 69.2% specificity and 82.8% AUC (compared with a logistic model with only age and APOE ε4 carrier status; 66.7% sensitivity, 73.3% specificity and 73.8% AUC).

Discussion

This study has demonstrated retinal vascular abnormalities in AD, and has found an association between some retinal abnormalities and neocortical amyloid plaque burden. The results indicate that retinal photography might provide a sensitive method (or adjunct to blood or other tests) for detecting preclinical AD, allowing the possibility of population screening.

Table 2: Demographics and descriptive RVP analysis for HC and AD groups, with ANCOVA and ROC analysis

|                | Healthy control | Alzheimer's disease | P-value | FDR adj. P | ROC: AUC% (s.d.%) |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|------------|------------------|
| Number of participants (N) | 123             | 25                  |         |            |                  |
| Age: years (mean (s.d.))      | 71.6 (5.6)      | 72.4 (7.5)          | 0.557a  |            |                  |
| Gender; Males: (N (%))        | 55 (45)         | 12 (48)             | 0.764b  |            |                  |
| Hypertension: (N (%))         | 44 (36)         | 11 (44)             | 0.439c  |            |                  |
| Diabetes: (N(%))              | 6 (5)           | 2 (8)               | 0.533d  |            |                  |
| History of Smoking: (N(%))    | 5 (4)           | 2 (8)               | 0.407d  |            |                  |
| APOE ε4 Carrier: (N (%))      | 38 (31)         | 14 (56)             | 0.019d  |            |                  |
| CVRE (mean (s.d.))            | 182.7 (15.8)    | 169.7 (15.3)        | 0.000256e | 0.0049d   | 0.703 (0.067)    |
| FDV (mean (s.d.))             | 1.210 (0.05)    | 1.171 (0.048)       | 0.000350f | 0.0033d   | 0.716 (0.074)    |
| BSTDa (mean (s.d.))           | 4.101 (0.504)   | 4.538 (0.984)       | 0.00135g | 0.0086d   | 0.595 (0.070)    |
| BSTDv (mean (s.d.))           | 3.983 (0.575)   | 4.433 (1.333)       | 0.00186h | 0.0089d   | 0.541 (0.081)    |
| Num1stBv (mean (s.d.))        | 3.618 (1.052)   | 2.960 (1.136)       | 0.00560i | 0.021d    | 0.660 (0.121)    |
| Num1stBa (mean (s.d.))        | 3.675 (1.075)   | 3.040 (0.978)       | 0.00710j | 0.022d    | 0.675 (0.142)    |
| FDA (mean (s.d.))             | 1.235 (0.052)   | 1.201 (0.061)       | 0.00799k | 0.021d    | 0.644 (0.075)    |
| CRAE (mean (s.d.))            | 129.1 (10.3)    | 122.9 (12.4)        | 0.0115c  | 0.027d    | 0.612 (0.082)    |
| AFA (mean (s.d.))             | 0.778 (0.086)   | 0.824 (0.081)       | 0.0176c  | 0.037d    | 0.578 (0.081)    |
| BCV (mean (s.d.))             | 1.253 (0.165)   | 1.347 (0.240)       | 0.0186c  | 0.035d    | 0.556 (0.084)    |
| Tortv (× 10⁻¹) (mean (s.d.))  | 7.660 (1.554)   | 6.952 (2.601)       | 0.0244c  | 0.042d    | 0.706 (0.073)    |
| AIV (mean (s.d.))             | 0.701 (0.097)   | 0.748 (0.095)       | 0.0301c  | 0.047d    | 0.616 (0.074)    |
| LDRa (mean (s.d.))            | 17.05 (7.87)    | 21.72 (9.55)        | 0.0333c  | 0.049d    | 0.651 (0.068)    |
| JE (mean (s.d.))              | -0.110 (0.378)  | -0.272 (0.338)      | 0.0483c  | 0.066d    | 0.539 (0.074)    |

Only RVPs that were significantly different between groups (P < 0.05) in ANCOVA analysis are shown. Significant results after FDR adjustment shown in bold type. Classification accuracy of RVP parameters from ROC analysis, AUC (area under the curve): AUC = 0.5 implies random separation of groups, AUC = 1.0 implies perfect separation.

Refer to Table 1 for a description of the retinal vascular parameters. APOE ε4 carrier status refers to carrier/non-carrier of an Apolipoprotein E ε4 allele.

*Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the continuous age demographic variable (P < 0.05 considered significant). t² test for categorical demographic variables (gender, hypertension, diabetes, smoking status and APOE ε4 carrier status) (P < 0.05 considered significant). P-value from ANCOVA analysis of differences between groups (including confounders). ANCOVA P-values adjusted for false discovery rate (FDR) (P < 0.05 considered significant).
Many studies have reported retinal degeneration in AD, particularly thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer and loss of ganglion cells. However, only one previous study has reported retinal vascular abnormalities in AD, involving thinning of the major superior temporal venule blood column diameter and reduced blood flow in this vessel, using a laser Doppler device. Retinal photography is a more widely available technology for investigating the retina, with eye clinics and many optometrists now utilizing the technique to provide regular retinal health checks. In addition, advances in digital retinal imaging have facilitated accurate and reliable measurements of the optimality of the retinal vasculature. To the authors’ knowledge, this is the first study to report retinal vascular abnormalities in AD using retinal photography.

The retinal vascular abnormalities found in AD in the present study can be broadly summarized as: (1) vascular attenuation (CRVE, CRAE and LDRa), (2) increasing standard deviation of vessel widths (BSTD), (3) reduced complexity of the branching pattern (FD, Num1stB), (4) reduced optimality of the branching geometry (AF, BCv) and (5) less tortuous venules (Tortv). These findings add to the growing evidence that retinal changes occur in AD. We demonstrate for the first time that these changes can be detected using noninvasive, readily available retinal photography. Models combining RVPs perform well at distinguishing diagnosed AD patients from healthy controls. However these models are optimized for the present data set and should be tested on other cohorts in future.

Table 3 Demographics of the neuroimaging subgroups

|                      | HC – | HC + | P-value |
|----------------------|------|------|---------|
| Number of participants: (N) | 30   | 15   |         |
| Age: years (mean (s.d.)) | 70.4 (5.3) | 73.7 (6.3) | 0.08*   |
| Gender; Males: (N (%))    | 15 (50) | 9 (60) | 0.53*   |
| n(hypertension)         | 11 (37) | 6 (40) | 0.52*   |
| n(diabetes)             | 1 (3) | 2 (13) | 0.99*   |
| n(smokers)              | 2 (7) | 0 (0) | 0.99*   |
| APOE ε4 carrier: (N (%)) | 14 (47) | 12 (75) | 0.04*   |

HC – : healthy controls with low plaque burden, HC + : healthy controls with high plaque burden, s.d.: standard deviation. No demographic was significantly different between groups. Significant results in bold type.

*Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the continuous age demographic variable (P<0.05 considered significant). \(^2хи^2\) test for categorical demographic variables (gender, hypertension, diabetes, smoking status and APOE ε4 carrier status) (P<0.05 considered significant).

Many studies have reported retinal degeneration in AD, particularly thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer and loss of ganglion cells. However, only one previous study has reported retinal vascular abnormalities in AD, involving thinning of the major superior temporal venule blood column diameter and reduced blood flow in this vessel, using a laser Doppler device.Retinal photography is a more widely available technology for investigating the retina, with eye clinics and many optometrists now utilizing the technique to provide regular retinal health checks. In addition, advances in digital retinal imaging have facilitated accurate and reliable measurements of the optimality of the retinal vasculature. To the authors’ knowledge, this is the first study to report retinal vascular abnormalities in AD using retinal photography.

The retinal vascular abnormalities found in AD in the present study can be broadly summarized as: (1) vascular attenuation (CRVE, CRAE and LDRa), (2) increasing standard deviation of vessel widths (BSTD), (3) reduced complexity of the branching pattern (FD, Num1stB), (4) reduced optimality of the branching geometry (AF, BCv) and (5) less tortuous venules (Tortv). These findings add to the growing evidence that retinal changes occur in AD. We demonstrate for the first time that these changes can be detected using noninvasive, readily available retinal photography. Models combining RVPs perform well at distinguishing diagnosed AD patients from healthy controls. However these models are optimized for the present data set and should be tested on other cohorts in future.
An additional question addressed by the present study was whether these changes occur late in the disease process when AD is clinically diagnosed, or earlier in the disease process, providing prognostic potential before conventional diagnosis is possible. To address this question, RVPs were compared between healthy individuals with high (HC+) and low (HC-) neocortical plaque burden. High plaque burden is predictive of progression to AD, so the HC+ group is believed to represent those participants in the preclinical stage of AD.

Two of the RVPs that were found to be elevated in AD, venular branching AFv and LDRa, were also higher in the HC+ group compared with the HC- group. These results indicate that changes to retinal vascular widths and branching may be occurring early in AD pathogenesis, during the asymptomatic plaque deposition stage before subsequent cognitive decline. Hence, retinal photography combined with vascular analysis indicates potential as an adjunct to detect preclinical AD.

Our findings indicate a relationship between RVPs, neocortical amyloid plaque load and AD. It is of interest to evaluate the possible pathophysiological basis of these results. Although cerebral amyloid plaques and neurodegeneration (particularly hippocampal) are the main hallmarks of AD, cerebral vascular changes are also known to occur in the disease. In particular, vascular disease was also evident in the original and disease defining cases of Alzheimer and cerebral amyloid angiopathy, characterized by deposition of amyloid in vessel walls, has been well documented in AD. Given the homology between the retinal and cerebral microvasculature, concomitant amyloid angiopathy in AD might extend to the retina, with associated destruction of the vessel walls, resulting in changes to vascular widths and topology.

As vascular changes and neurodegeneration appear to be occurring in both the brain and retina in AD, there is some suggestion that AD-specific pathology could also be occurring in the retina. Fascinatingly, preliminary evidence is emerging that Aβ plaques may occur in the human AD retina, possibly providing a more accessible location to assess AD-specific neuropathology. However, further research is needed to determine the nature of these retinal plaques and their relationship with AD and possible concomitant ocular disease. In addition, potential relationships between retinal degeneration reported in AD, retinal Aβ plaques and the retinal vascular changes reported in the present study are intriguing, but require further investigation.

Interestingly, a previous study examining RVPs in dementia reported that wider retinal venules are associated with an increased risk of vascular dementia. As AD and vascular dementia are the most common forms of dementia, our contrasting results demonstrating lower venular caliber in AD encourage further research into retinal vascular changes that show potential to discriminate between these forms of dementia.

Hypertension is a significant risk factor for AD and causes arteriolar narrowing and venular widening in the retinal circulation. Some studies have reported that these vascular changes may precede clinical hypertension, a possibility that must be considered in this study. However, the opposing results for CRVE in hypertension and AD suggest that this is unlikely to be the cause of the observed results. Although, FD has been found to be lower in nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy of the macular region, all diabetic participants in the present study were controlled and did not exhibit diabetic retinopathy.

Measurements of ocular refractive error were not available for this study. Dimensional parameters (CRAE, CRVE and BSTD) were therefore subject to refractive error, unlike the remaining RVPs which are dimensionless. Bias from magnification differences is not profound in most eyes within the refractive power range of ±3 diopter and refractive errors are not likely to be associated with AD and hence are unlikely to confound the associations assessed. The vessel width reduction observed in AD, in contrast with the increase in the standard deviation of vessel widths, lends support to vessel width changes in AD that are independent of magnification effects, as magnification effects alone would be expected to influence both parameters in the same manner. It is possible that vessel narrowing in AD affects vessels selectively, hence increasing the standard deviation of vessel widths.

The major limitation of this study is the size of the AD and neuroimaging cohorts. Future studies with larger cohorts are needed to further examine associations between RVPs and AD or neocortical plaque burden. The major strength of the study are the well-characterized cohorts, including neuroimaging data that enable deeper interrogation of associations between RVPs and AD.

The results of the present study indicate that retinal photography combined with vascular analysis might provide an adjunct for detecting preclinical AD, or for monitoring disease progression and response to intervention. The study also found retinal abnormalities in AD that oppose those previously reported in vascular dementia, suggesting potential for retinal vascular analysis to distinguish between these most common forms of dementia. Natural variation in RVPs between individuals may limit the utility of a single retinal photography screening test for AD, hence it is possible that retinal monitoring, allowing longitudinal analysis of retinal changes, might facilitate more accurate preclinical detection or monitoring of AD. Future longitudinal studies are planned to further explore this possibility and to determine the time course of retinal changes in AD.
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