Analysis of the Effect of Anode Porosity on Temperature Distribution on Planar Radial Type SOFC
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Abstract. Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) is an electrochemical equipment that converts gas into electricity directly. The waste products resulting from SOFC are water vapor and heat when using hydrogen gas. The electrode of the SOFC is the anode, electrolyte and cathode. The performance of SOFC is influenced porosity of the electrode. This study explained the relationship between porosity of the anode and temperature distribution using computational fluid dynamics modeling approach (CFD). In this study, CFD modeling was done by using Fluent software. The geometry model of computational modeling is a planar radial-type SOFC. The assumptions of some boundary conditions used from the study of literature and the object of study. The standard deviation and the different of temperature of the anode-electrolyte surface used to analyse the result. Non-homogenous temperature distribution rise if the anode porosity and gas flow rate is increasing. This indicates the gradient of temperature is bigger in the higher porosity, which may cause thermal stress and degrades the materials of electrode.
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1 Introduction

Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) is a device that converts fuel and oxygen directly into electrical energy [1]. SOFC technology development is very important to support low emission policies such as EURO 4 and Environmental Protecton Agency (EPA) policies, because the emissions produced by SOFC are zero when using hydrogen fuel, even though SOFC fuel is not limited to hydrogen but other fuels can be used like methane, ethanol, and many others hydrocarbon fuels.

Solid Oxide Fuel Cell is a very environmentally friendly energy conversion. The principle of fuel cell work is based on an electrochemical process that produce the heat and water vapor when using hydrogen fuel, where the water vapor is very enviromentally friendly [1].

There are several type of fuel cell. One type of fuel cell that use the ceramic material is Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC). Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) is one of several types of fuel cell technology operating at temperatures between 600°C - 1000°C [2] and developed for the use of power station systems and vehicle [3].

SOFC performance is affected by the quality of the anode. The SOFC anode component must have porosity to perform its function [4]. The SOFC anode serves as a transport medium and hydrogen gas oxidation process. The anode also acts as a catalyst in the electrochemical process occurring within the SOFC system. The famous of SOFC anode material is NiO / YSZ (Nickel Oxide / Yttria Stabilized Zirconia) [5].

The SOFC anode porosity will form an area that was called the three phase boundary (TPB). Electrical energy can be generated by SOFC from the electrochemical reaction occurring in the TPB area [6]. The SOFC anode must have a large size of TPB to facilitate the electrochemical reaction that can produce more electron in the process. The higher porosity will increase the number of TPB [5] [7]. The porosity can also affect a conductivity and a strength of the anode [7]. To facilitate mass transfer anodes typically have porosities ranges from 0.2 to 0.4 [5].

Figure 1 show an illustration of the basic SOFC scheme. The main component of SOFC consists of electrodes, that is anode, electrolyte and cathode.

![SOFC Scheme](image)

**Fig.1.** The basic Solid Oxide Fuel Cell scheme.

The reaction of the fuel in the SOFC are:

- Basic reaction processes at the anode
  \[ \text{H}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \]
- Reaction processes at the cathode
  \[ \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} \]
- Over all reaction
  \[ \text{H}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

Currently, the challenges of the develop SOFC is to minimize non-homogenous temperature distribution, which cause the thermal stress. The thermal stress which can degrades the material of electrode, so the performance of SOFC will decrease. The purpose of this study is to know which porosity value has the smallest gradient temperature which can be reduce thermal stress in this SOFC model, although the thermal stress distribution was not study.

2 Methodology of study
2.1 Flow chart of study
The flow chart used for this study is shown in Figure 2 below.

![Flow chart of study](image)

Fig. 2. Computational flowchart methods.

2.2 SOFC modeling
Geometry model is used to solve the problems. It was simulated based on fluid dynamics computational method (CFD) using Fluent software as processor and post-processor. Figure 3 shows the geometry model used in this study. The model of geometry was planar radial-type SOFC. The geometry model was used as the first study object that it was observed and will be carried out in the direct measurement.

The computational methods of the simulation process can be explained through the flowchart diagram in Figure 2. The computation process begins using the creation of geometry with the appropriate size of the object. The mesh-making and the determination of boundary conditions are performed by using geometry model. The results of CFD Fluent simulation process is influenced by the meshing process and the determination of boundary conditions. The next computing process is called a processing and a post processing. The post processing data will be used as the basis of analysis of the study after the simulation reach convergent.

![Geometry model](image)

Fig. 3. Geometry model.

2.3 Basic equation
The problem will be solve using Fluent SOFC addon module. The fluent is one of the CFD software that is able to simulate fluid flow phenomena, conduction and convection heat transfer [4] [8]. CFD Fluent solves the solution of thermodynamic fluid problems with volume adjusting techniques related to the continuity, momentum, and energy equations [8].

The study explained the relationship between anode porosity and temperature distribution. The equilibrium of porous media was assumed that there is no temperature difference between the fluid phase and solid phase and it can be written as follows [6] [9]:

- Continuity equation:
  \[ \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} = 0 \]  
  (1)

where \( U, V \) and \( W \) is velocity components, \( x, y \) and \( z \) is spatial coordinates [6].
The mass transfer equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = D \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} \right) - \left( 1 \epsilon \right) \frac{\partial \rho_f}{\partial t}
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is porosity, \( \psi \) is absolute humidity, \( t \) is time, \( x \) and \( y \) are spatial coordinates, \( D \) is diffusion coefficient, \( \rho_f \) is mass density solid phase, \( \rho_f \) is mass density fluid phase, \( \bar{c} \) is mean value of the moisture content [6].

Momentum equation (Darcy’s Law):

\[
U = -\frac{\kappa}{\mu} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \text{ and } V = -\frac{\kappa}{\mu} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}
\]

where \( U \) and \( V \) is velocity component, \( \kappa \) is permeability, \( \mu \) is dynamic viscosity, \( p \) is pressure, \( x \) and \( y \) are spatial coordinates [6].

Energy equation:

\[
\bar{V} \left( \bar{v} \left( \sum_i Y_i h_i + p \right) \right) = \bar{V} \left( k_{eff} \bar{V}T + \sum_i h_i \left( \sum_{n=1}^{m} \rho_{Yn} \bar{v} Y_n \right) \right) + S_h
\]

The porous medium is an anode electrode with a certain porosity, so \( k_{eff} \) can be calculated by the following equation:

\[
(\rho \cdot C_p)_{eff} = \epsilon (\rho \cdot C_p) + (1 - \epsilon) (\rho \cdot C_p)
\]

\[
k_{eff} = \epsilon k_f + (1 - \epsilon) k_s
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is the porosity of the porous medium, \( k_{eff} \) is the effective thermal conductivity of the medium, \( k_f \) is the thermal conductivity of fluid phase, \( k_s \) is the thermal conductivity of solid phase [9].

2.4 Boundary condition and assumptions

The SOFC anode is assumed to be an isotropic and homogeneous porous medium. The gas fluid is assumed to be incompressible with laminar flow due to its low velocity and pressure [8] [10].

Fluent computational modeling use an ideal mixture gas at the inlet side of the cell with 270°C. Heating equipment is a homogeneous source of heat. The assumption of heat transfer in the porous anode occurred by conduction, while the electrochemical reactions, convection heat transfer and radiation were ignored. The simulation was performed by on three-dimensional geometric anode model. The model used a radial planar geometry which the size was made according to the object of study. Modeling uses several assumptions of boundary conditions derived from a literature sources and an actual conditions. Modeling boundary conditions are mentioned in table 1 and table 2.

Table 1. Anode material

| Boundary condition | Anode (Ni/YSZ) | References |
|--------------------|----------------|------------|
| Diameter (mm)      | 32             | Based on material specimen |
| Thickness (mm)     | 0.8            | [5]        |
| Porosity           | 0.2 - 0.4      | [8]        |
| Thermal conductivity (W m⁻¹K⁻¹) | 6          | [5]        |
| Specific heat (J kg⁻¹K⁻¹) | 600   |            |
| Density (kg m⁻³)   | 7740           |            |
| Viscous resistance (m²s⁻¹) | 1.00 x 10⁴ |            |

Table 2. Casing material

| Boundary condition | Alumina 94% | Reference |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Thermal conductivity | 18         | [11]      |
| Specific heat (J kg⁻¹K⁻¹) | 880 |            |
| Density (kg m⁻³)     | 3.69 x 10⁶  |           |
| Porosity            | -           |           |
| Thickness (mm)       | 6           |           |

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Simulation result

Computational method is done based on the principle of finite volume method. Fluent is the solver of the simulation. Assumptions have been established based on the literature and geometry sources from the study specimens. The geometry grid is shown in Figure 4.

The simulations in this study use boundary conditions: gas flow is assumed laminar, incompressible ideal gas. The gas velocity inlet is 1 m/s and 2 ms⁻¹ [12], constant temperature of heating wall is 800°C, simulation is done under steady state conditions. Verify the results of computing based on convergence criteria and flux report. The convergence criterion for continuity and fluid flow is 10⁻³, while for energy equation is 10⁻⁶ [13] [14].

Standard deviation data of temperature distribution was taken based on 20 points along the line A and B (see in Figure 4).
Based on Figure 5 shows contours of temperature distribution at velocity inlet the gas is 1 ms\(^{-1}\). Maximum temperature at porosity 0.2 is 799.706\(^\circ\)C and the minimum temperature is 792.106\(^\circ\)C. The different of temperature (\(\Delta T\)) is 7.6\(^\circ\)C.

Predicted temperature distribution at velocity inlet gas is 1 ms\(^{-1}\) when porosity is 0.3 shows in Figure 6. Minimum temperature is 791.647\(^\circ\)C and the maximum temperature is 799.705\(^\circ\)C. The different of temperature (\(\Delta T\)) is 8.058\(^\circ\)C.

Based on Figure 7 shows contours of temperature distribution at velocity inlet the gas is 1 ms\(^{-1}\) and the porosity of anode is 0.4. Maximum temperature is 799.702\(^\circ\)C and the minimum temperature is 791.126\(^\circ\)C. The different of temperature (\(\Delta T\)) is 8.576\(^\circ\)C.

**Fig. 5.** Temperature contours effect of velocity inlet 1 ms\(^{-1}\) with anode porosity 0.2.

**Fig. 6.** Temperature contours effect of velocity inlet 1 ms\(^{-1}\) with anode porosity 0.3.

**Fig. 7.** Temperature contours effect of velocity inlet 1 ms\(^{-1}\) with anode porosity 0.4.

Based on Figure 8 shows contours of temperature distribution at gas flow inlet is 2 ms\(^{-1}\). Maximum temperature at porosity 0.2 is 798.885\(^\circ\)C and the minimum temperature is 764.339\(^\circ\)C. The different of temperature (\(\Delta T\)) is 34.546\(^\circ\)C.

Predicted temperature distribution at gas flow inlet is 2 ms\(^{-1}\) when porosity is 0.3 shows in Figure 9. Minimum temperature is 762.524\(^\circ\)C and the maximum temperature is 798.879\(^\circ\)C. The different of temperature (\(\Delta T\)) is 36.355\(^\circ\)C.

Based on Figure 10 shows contours of temperature distribution at gas flow inlet is 2 ms\(^{-1}\) and the porosity of anode is 0.4. Maximum temperature is 798.871\(^\circ\)C and the minimum temperature is 760.467\(^\circ\)C. The different of temperature (\(\Delta T\)) is 38.404\(^\circ\)C.

**Fig. 8.** Temperature contours effect of gas flow inlet 2 ms\(^{-1}\) with anode porosity 0.2.

**Fig. 9.** Temperature contours effect of gas flow inlet 2 ms\(^{-1}\) with anode porosity 0.3.
Fig. 10. Temperature contours effect of gas flow inlet 2 ms\(^{-1}\) with anode porosity 0.4.

Table 3. Average Temperature and Standard Deviation

| Boundary Condition | Anode Porosity | \(T_{ave}\) at Anode-Electrolyte Surface (°C) | Standard Deviation |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Velocity inlet     |                |                                             |                    |
| gas 1 ms\(^{-1}\)  | 0.2            | 795.33                                      | 2.46               |
|                    | 0.3            | 795.07                                      | 2.61               |
|                    | 0.4            | 794.77                                      | 2.77               |
| Velocity inlet     |                |                                             |                    |
| gas 2 ms\(^{-1}\)  | 0.2            | 779.96                                      | 10.32              |
|                    | 0.3            | 778.93                                      | 10.87              |
|                    | 0.4            | 777.75                                      | 11.50              |

Standard deviation of temperatur is very important to calculate temperatur difference on the surface area. It can be used to investigated dispersion of the selected value from the average temperature of anode-electrolyte surface.

Average temperatur and standard deviation of temperatur was taken from anode and electrolyte surface. Based on Table 3 can be expressed that the lowest standard deviation is 0.2 porosity with gas flow rate 1 ms\(^{-1}\), while the highest standard deviation value is 0.4 porosity with gas flow rate 2 ms\(^{-1}\).

3.2 Simulation result analysis

High porosity values can be increased TPB, also they can facilitate the gas transport more higher. However, high porosity will also rise the standard deviation of temperature. Anode porosity will effects temperatur distribution but not significant, that shows the standard deviation of temperatur is very small. The rate of gas flow greatly effects the temperatur distribution. The higher rate of gas flow will have an impact on the increasing value of temperature gradient, this means that the rising gas flow rate will have a high impact on the possibility of thermal stress on the material. Degrades the materials of SOFC electrode rise when the standard deviation of temperatur increasing, so it is predicted that SOFC performance will also decrease.

4 Conclusion

The standard deviation of temperatur can be used to investigated the gradient temperatur and predicted degrades the material electrode. Degrades the material electrode that indicate the SOFC performance will decrease. The anode porosity will affect the temperatur distribution but not significant because the differences of standard deviation of temperatur is very small. Lower porosity of anode will reduce the number of TPB, and the lower porosity anode also affect the transport gas process. Higher porosity of anode will increase number of TPB but gradient temperatur will increase too. The higher gas flow rate can be effect on the temperature distribution become non-homogenous.
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