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We report the discovery of a first-order phase transition at around 125 K in NbCrP, which is a nonsymmorphic crystal with the Pnma space group. From the resistivity, magnetic susceptibility, and nuclear magnetic resonance measurements using crystals made by the Sn-flux method, the high-temperature (HT) phase is characterized to be metallic with a non-negligible magnetic anisotropy. The low-temperature (LT) phase is also found to be a nonmagnetic metallic state with a crystal of lower symmetry. In the LT phase, the spin susceptibility is reduced by ∼30% from that in the HT phase, suggesting that the phase transition is triggered by the electronic instability. The possible origin of the phase transition in NbCrP is discussed based on the electronic structure by comparing it with those in other nonsymmorphic compounds, RuP and RuAs.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Phase transitions in metallic systems are generally driven by the cooperation of the Fermi surface property and electronic degrees of freedom such as spin, charge, and orbital. Therefore, crystal symmetry can be a key ingredient for phase transition, particularly for a nonmagnetic origin. One of the examples is the charge density wave (CDW), which originates from the nesting property of the Fermi surface and typically appears in low-dimensional compounds. Orbital ordering is another example where orbital degeneracies play an important role, associated with the lowering of crystal symmetry.

Recently, the nonsymmorphicity of crystals has attracted much attention since it is also considered to affect phase transitions. In nonsymmorphic crystals, band degeneracies protected by glide or screw operations are realized along specific directions on the Brillouin zone boundary [1]. When the degenerate band is located near the Fermi level, the physical properties of materials will be strongly affected. An example is the band Jahn-Teller effect, which has been pointed out in RuP and RuAs as the origin of metal-insulator transitions at 270 and 250 K, respectively [2–4]. RuP and RuAs crystallize in a three-dimensional orthorhombic MnP-type structure with a space group of nonsymmorphic Pnma, and all the ions in the structures occupy c sites, which are locally noncentrosymmetric (here the local symmetry is m). NbCrP is known to be a compound which crystallizes in the TiNiSi-type orthorhombic structure at room temperature [9]. It is interesting to note that, similar to the case of RuP and RuAs, where Ru ions form zigzag chains, the Cr atoms in NbCrP also form zigzag chains along the b axis with a bond length of ∼2.62 Å, as shown in Fig. 1. Since, to our knowledge, the physical properties of NbCrP have not been investigated so far, it is very interesting and important to investigate the electronic and magnetic properties of the compound.

In this paper, we carry out resistivity, magnetic susceptibility, and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements of NbCrP. From the experimental results, we found that a first-order phase transition to a nonmagnetic ground state occurs at 100–150 K. Clear suppressions of magnetic susceptibility and the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate in the low-temperature...
II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Single crystals of NbCrP were grown with the Sn-flux method. Starting materials of Nb:Cr:P:Sn = n:n:1:20n (n = 2−4) were sealed in an evacuated quartz tube, which was heated up to 1050 °C−1100 °C and then slowly cooled down to 600 °C at a rate of −5 °C/h. After centrifugation, small needlelike crystals with a maximum length of about 0.5 mm were obtained. The obtained crystals are not single-domain crystals but twinned crystals, as mentioned in the next section. To check the physical properties of NbCrP, a polycrystalline sample was made by solid-state reaction. Nb and Cr powder and P flakes were sealed in an evacuated quartz tube with a stoichiometric ratio and then heated for 100 h at 1250 °C, followed by 100 h at 1000 °C after being ground.

Single-crystal x-ray diffraction measurements were carried out by using a Rigaku Saturn 724 diffractometer with multi-layer mirror monochromated Mo Kα radiation. The data were collected by θ-2θ scans with a maximum 2θ value of ~62°. The program suite SHELX was used for the structure solution and least-squares refinement [10]. PLATON was also used to check for missing symmetry elements in structures [11]. In addition to single-crystal x-ray diffraction measurements which will be described in Sec. III, we also carried out x-ray diffraction measurements using polycrystalline samples.

The electrical resistivity of a crystal was measured using the four-probe method where electrical contacts of wires were made with the spot-welding method. Magnetic susceptibility \( \chi \) was measured in a superconducting quantum interference device by utilizing a magnetic property measurement system (Quantum Design). NMR measurements were performed with the conventional pulsed spin-echo method using a phase-coherent NMR spectrometer for the \(^{31}\)P (nuclear spin \( I = 1/2 \) and gyromagnetic ratio \( \gamma_{N} / 2\pi = 17.237 \) MHz/T) and \(^{93}\)Nb (\( I = 9/2 \), \( \gamma_{N} / 2\pi = 10.405 \) MHz/T, and the quadrupole moment \( Q = -0.22 \) barn) nuclei. The NMR spectra were obtained by recording the integrated spin-echo intensity by changing the resonance frequency or magnetic field. \(^{31}\)P spin-lattice relaxation time \( T_{1} \) was measured with the saturation recovery method. The observed recovery curves of the spin-echo intensity were well fit by a single exponential function, as expected for a nuclear spin with \( I = 1/2 \). For NMR measurements, we used two different types of samples. One was the many tiny crystals which are loosely packed into a sample case. The other sample was the oriented sample prepared by fixing the powdered crystals with Stycast 1226 at room temperature under a magnetic field of ~7.4 T, which allows the crystallites to be oriented along the applied magnetic field direction. From the NMR measurements, it turns out that, in the loosely packed sample, some of the crystals aligned along the applied magnetic field direction (that is, a partially oriented sample). Band structure calculations were performed with the full-potential linear augmented plane wave method within the local density approximation.

III. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE AND CHARACTERIZATION

Crystallographic characterization of the crystals made using the Sn-flux method was performed by a single-crystal x-ray diffraction measurement. Figure 2 shows the x-ray diffraction pattern for a 0.05×0.05×0.04 mm\(^3\) crystal, where the superposition of two sets of reciprocal lattice vectors are shown by the arrows (the view is from the b axis direction).
TABLE I. Crystallographic data at room temperature for NbCrP grown by the Sn-flux method.

| Crystal systems | orthorhombic | Formula | NbCr$_{0.995}$P | Space group | No. 62, $Pnma$, $D^{2h}_{16}$ |
|-----------------|--------------|---------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| $a$ (Å)         | 6.2275(6)    | $b$ (Å) | 3.5255(3)      | $c$ (Å)     | 7.3775(6)                  |
| Cell volume (Å³)| 161.973      | $Z$     | 4              |             |                             |

appears. Each is consistent with the orthorhombic structure reported for the polycrystalline samples [9]. This result indicates that the crystal is not single domain but twinned. As shown in Fig. 2, we found an $\sim 60^\circ$ difference in the $a$ (and $c$) axes between the two domains, and the $b$ axes (corresponding to the needle direction of the crystal) are aligned in the two domains. We checked several different crystals and always observed the twinned structure. The analysis shows that the deficiency at each site is estimated to be less than 1%, ensuring the high quality of the crystal. The structural parameters are summarized in Tables I and II. The lattice parameters determined by the single-crystal x-ray diffraction measurements are consistent with those for our polycrystalline sample within an error of $\pm 0.3\%$, although they are slightly different from the previously reported values [9]. We also confirmed there is no intrinsic difference in physical properties such as electrical resistivity and magnetic susceptibility between the twinned and polycrystalline samples, although the data for the polycrystalline sample are not shown in this paper. This suggests that the twinning of the crystal does not influence the electronic state of NbCrP.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Resistivity and magnetic susceptibility measurements

Figure 3(a) shows the temperature dependence of $\rho$ below 300 K for NbCrP, where the current flows along the needle direction (the $b$ axis). With decreasing temperature from room temperature, $\rho$ decreases and shows a clear increase at around 125 K due to a phase transition and then decreases again below 100 K. These results clearly show that the low-temperature (LT) and high-temperature (HT) phases are metallic states. As shown in Fig. 3(a), a clear hysteresis in $\rho$ is observed. Thus, one can conclude that the phase transition is a first-order type. The different values of $\rho$ in the HT region between cooling and heating processes are most likely due to cracks in the crystal caused by the phase transition. In fact, we always observed cracks in the crystal after the thermal process, which changes the current path, producing the change in resistivity. Such behavior is often observed when a phase transition accompanies a large change in the volume of compounds [12]. Figure 3(b) shows the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility $\chi$ measured under a magnetic field of 1 T. In this measurement, many small crystals are tightly packed, so the crystals will be randomly oriented like powdered samples. We were not able to measure $\chi$ using a piece of crystal because of the smallness of each crystal. $\chi$ increases gradually with decreasing temperature and starts to decrease around 125 K and then increases again at low temperatures. The upturns observed at low temperatures below $\sim 75$ K are not intrinsic and are due to paramagnetic impurities, as clearly evidenced by the nearly temperature independent behavior of the $^{31}$P NMR shift, as will be described below. The open symbols in Fig. 3(b) are the corrected $\chi$ by subtracting the Curie-Weiss contribution (blue dashed line) of paramagnetic impurities from observed $\chi$. The clear hysteresis is also observed in $\chi$, ensuring again a
The 31P NMR Knight shift transition temperature indicates that the density of states at oriented, measured at the loosely packed NbCrP sample, which is most likely partially calculated gives a density of states at Fermi energy increase in first-order phase transition. It is important to point out that the dashed lines are drawn for the LT phase assuming that K
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1 states be shown below, it turns out that the crystals in the loosely packed single crystals of NbCrP. In the LT phase, the double-peak line is observed at around 87 MHz. On the other hand, in the HT phase, a single peak with a slightly asymmetric shape is observed at around 86.8 MHz. As will be shown below, it turns out that the crystals in the loosely packed sample are not totally random but partially oriented in the HT phase. Thus, the slightly asymmetric shape of the spectra observed in the HT phase is due to the anisotropic Knight shift and the partial orientation of the crystals. We observe only the signal from the LT phase at low temperatures, we observe the signal from the LT phase up to 120 K. Then, the NMR signals from the HT phase start to appear around 140 K, where the coexistence of the two phases is clearly observed, consistent with the first-order phase transition. With increasing temperature, the signal intensity of the NMR line from the HT phase increases, and that from the LT phase decreases, although the tiny signals from the remnant LT phase were observed even at room temperature. The linewidth (full width at half maximum) in the LT phase is about \(\Delta f \sim 80\text{ kHz} \sim 50\text{ Oe}\), which is comparable to that in the HT phase. In addition, we confirmed the spacing between the two peaks in the LT phase is proportional to the applied magnetic field, evidencing that the two-peak structure originates from two inequivalent P sites but not from static internal magnetic fields produced by magnetic ordering. Thus, we conclude the LT phase of NbCrP is nonmagnetic.

Figure 4(b) shows the temperature dependence of the 31P NMR Knight shift \(K\), which is determined by the peak positions of the spectra. \(K\) is negative in both LT and HT phases. In the HT phase, \(|K|\) increases with decreasing \(T\). On the other hand, \(|K|\) is nearly independent of temperature with a smaller magnitude in the LT phase. This result indicates that the upturns in \(\chi\) observed at low temperatures are not intrinsic and evidently arise from a small number of paramagnetic impurities. The hyperfine coupling constant is estimated to be \(A_{\text{HT}} = -2.5\text{ T}/\mu_B\) for the HT phase from the slope of the \(K-\chi\) plot [Fig. 4(c)] with the relation of \(A_{\text{HT}} = \frac{N_A (\mu_B K(T))}{\chi(T)}\), where \(N_A\) is Avogadro’s number. Here we used the corrected \(\chi\). From the vertical-axis intercept of the \(K-\chi\) plot, the temperature-independent part of \(K\) is estimated to be \(K_{\text{orb}} = 0.100\% \pm 0.007\%\). We also plot the data for the LT phase in Fig. 4(c), where only the data below 80 K are used. As shown, the data for the LT phase are not on the line for the HT phase data. This indicates that the hyperfine coupling in the LT phase is different and is changed by the phase transition. It is also possible to have a change in the orbital part of the Knight shift; however, as we will see below, \(K_{\text{orb}}\) does not seem to be much changed in the LT phase. Since the spin part in \(|K|\) is proportional to the density of states at the Fermi energy \(D(E_F)\), the abrupt change in \(K\) at around 150 K indicates that \(D(E_F)\) decreases in the LT phase.

The reduction in \(D(E_F)\) in the LT phase is also observed in the temperature dependence of \(1/T_1T\) shown in Fig. 5(a), where \(1/T_1T\) is nearly independent of \(T\) in the LT phase, while it depends on \(T\) in the HT phase. As \(1/T_1T\) is proportional to the square of \(D(E_F)\), the large suppression of \(1/T_1T\) in the LT phase compared with that in the HT phase indicates that \(D(E_F)\) in the LT phase, consistent with the \(K\) data. Figure 5(b) show the temperature dependence of \((1/T_1T)^{1/2}\), together with that of the spin part of the Knight shift \(K_{\text{spin}} = (K - K_{\text{orb}})\), which is also proportional to \(D(E_F)\). The values of \((1/T_1T)^{1/2}\) and \(K_{\text{spin}}\) are normalized by the corresponding values at 295 K. By taking \(K_{\text{orb}} = 0.1\%\) estimated from the \(K-\chi\) plot for the HT phase, we found that the temperature dependence of \((1/T_1T)^{1/2}\) and \(K_{\text{spin}}\) are well scaled. This indicates that \(K_{\text{orb}}\) does not change much in the LT phase. Assuming \(K_{\text{orb}} = 0.1\%\), we estimate the hyperfine coupling constants for the two P sites in the LT phase to be \(A_{\text{LT}} = -1.6\) and \(-1.4\text{ T}/\mu_B\) from the slope of the dashed line in Fig. 4(c). Utilizing the values of \(A_{\text{HT}}\) and the average \(A_{\text{LT}}\) deduced from the \(K-\chi\) plot, we can estimate an ~30%
reduction of the spin susceptibility for the LT phase from the HT phase by the phase transition.

C. $^{31}$P NMR measurements for the oriented sample

To investigate further details of magnetic correlations in NbCrP, we performed NMR measurements for the oriented sample. Here the powdered crystals were oriented under a magnetic field and fixed with Stycast 1266 at room temperature. Figure 6(a) shows the temperature dependence of field-swept $^{31}$P NMR spectra measured for the oriented sample under magnetic fields parallel, $H_{\|}$ (red), and perpendicular, $H_{\perp}$ (blue), to the oriented direction. The observation of the different spectra for the two magnetic field directions clearly indicates that most crystals in the oriented sample are aligned along the magnetic field direction corresponding to the magnetic easy axis of NbCrP, revealing a non-negligible magnetic anisotropy in the HT phase. The double-peak structure of the spectra in the LT phase is similar to the case of the loosely packed sample, but it is interesting to point out that we observed the signals from the HT phase down to 4.3 K. Since we do not observe such signals in the loosely packed sample, we consider that it is most likely due to inhomogeneous local pressure produced by the different thermal expansion properties between NbCrP and epoxy (Stycast 1266). This indicates that the whole temperature dependence of $\chi$ for the HT phase exhibits a broad maximum around 125 K. This is similar to the case of the loosely packed sample, implying that it is partially oriented in the HT phase. $K_{\perp}$ and $K_{\|}$ for the HT phase exhibit broad maxima around 125 K, which can be obtained only with the oriented sample fixed by the epoxy. Similar broad maxima are also observed in the temperature dependence of $1/T_1T$, as shown in Fig. 6(c). It is interesting to point out that $K$ and $1/T_1T$ are anisotropic in the HT phase, while no anisotropy is observed in the LT phase. This means that the bands inducing magnetic anisotropy, which are most likely Cr 3$d$ bands, are responsible for the phase transition to the nonmagnetic ground state.

The characteristic temperature dependence of $\chi$ and $1/T_1T$ is different from that of simple metals. The enhancement of $\chi$ from the estimation of the band structure calculation suggests the presence of moderate magnetic correlations. However, the good scaling between $1/T_1T^{1/2}$ and $K_{\text{spin}}$, shown in Fig. 5(b), contradicts either ferromagnetic (FM) or antiferromagnetic (AFM) correlations developing in NbCrP. Therefore, the temperature dependence of $\chi$ and $1/T_1T$ can be interpreted in two ways. One is the coexistence of AFM and FM correlations, which was reported in SrCo$_2$As$_2$ [13–15]. Another is a contribution from a sharp peak in the density of states near the Fermi energy, which has been discussed in the skutterudite compounds CePt$_4$Ge$_{12}$ [16] and SrFe$_2$As$_{12}$ [17].

As is known, it is useful to estimate the quantity $T_1TK_{\text{spin}}^2$ to discuss the magnetic correlations [18,19]. The so-called Korringa ratio $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) = \frac{\xi S}{T_1TK_{\text{spin}}^2}$ is unity for uncorrelated...
metals and greater (smaller) than unity for AFM (FM) correlated metal. Here $S = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi h} (\frac{\gamma_e}{\gamma_n})^2$, where $\gamma_e$ and $\gamma_n$ are the electron and nuclear gyromagnetic ratios, respectively, and $z = 4$ is the number of nearest-neighbor Cr ions with respect to a P atom. Using the values of $1/T_1 T$ and $K_{spin}$ in the HT phase for the loosely packed sample, we found that $K(\alpha) \approx 0.8$, close to unity. This suggests that either the magnetic correlations are weak in NbCrP or AFM and FM correlations coexist, consistent with the above interpretation of the temperature dependences of $\chi$ and $1/T_1 T$. To elucidate the magnetic correlations in the HT phase as well as the LT phase of NbCrP, further experiments, especially neutron diffraction measurements, are highly necessary. In any case, the NMR results clearly suggest that the magnetic correlations in NbCrP are not dominated by the AFM correlations. One of the possibilities for the phase transition to the nonmagnetic ground state in NbCrP is a dimerization of the Cr spin on the Cr zigzag chain through the AFM interaction, as discussed for RuP [6], although a full gap is not opened in NbCrP. The present NMR results seem to contradict this scenario.

D. $^{93}$Nb NMR measurements for the oriented sample

In order to determine the magnetic easy axis and also to gain more insights into the magnetic and electronic properties of NbCrP, we have carried out $^{93}$Nb NMR measurements. Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show the typical field-swept $^{93}$Nb NMR spectra observed using the oriented sample in the LT ($T = 4.3$ K) and HT ($T = 160$ K) phases for $H_{||}$ and $H_{\perp}$, respectively. The well-separated lines observed in the HT phase for $H_{||}$ [Fig. 7(a)] indicate the degree of orientation of the oriented sample is relatively high. The spectrum is well explained by the following nuclear spin Hamiltonian, which produces a spectrum with a central transition line flanked by four satellite peaks on both sides for $I = 9/2$:

$$\mathcal{H} = -\gamma_N \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{H} + \hbar \nu_Q \left[ 3I_I^2 - I^2 + \frac{1}{2} \eta(I_{I}^2 + I_{\perp}^2) \right],$$

where $\hbar$ is Planck’s constant and $\nu_Q$ is the nuclear quadrupole frequency defined by

$$\nu_Q = \frac{3e^2 QV_{ZZ}}{2(2I - 1)\hbar} = \frac{e^2 QV_{ZZ}}{24h}$$

for $I = 9/2$, where $Q$ is the electric quadrupole moment of the Nb nucleus, $V_{ZZ}$ is the maximum electric field gradient (EFG) at the Nb site, and $\eta$, defined by $(V_{XX} - V_{YY})/V_{ZZ}$ (here $|V_{ZZ}| \gg |V_{YY}| \gg |V_{XX}|$), is the asymmetry parameter of the EFG [20]. In order to determine the principal axes of the EFG at the Nb site for the HT phase, we have carried out the electronic structure band calculation using the lattice parameters shown in Tables I and II. As shown in Fig. 7(c), the maximum principal axis $V_{ZZ}$ is found to be tilted from the $c$ axis to the $a$ axis by $16^\circ$, and $V_{YY}$ is directed along the $b$ axis.

The light pink areas shown in the top panel of Fig. 7(a) are the calculated $^{93}$Nb NMR spectrum using the parameters $\nu_Q = 1.2$ MHz, $\eta = 0.44$, $\theta = 90^\circ$, and $\phi = 0^\circ$. Here $\theta$ and $\phi$ are the polar and azimuthal angles between $V_{ZZ}$ and the direction of $H$, respectively. As shown by the red curves, the observed $^{93}$Nb spectrum was well reproduced by the calculated one. From the analysis of the spectrum, it turns out that the magnetic easy axis for the HT phase is along the $b$ axis, corresponding to the direction of the zigzag chains formed by the Cr ions (see Fig. 1). On the other hand, one expects a two-dimensional powder pattern for $H_{\perp}$ since the crystallites are aligned only along the $b$ axis and no order is expected in the $ac$ plane in the oriented sample. In fact, we observed the powder pattern $^{93}$Nb NMR spectrum as shown in Fig. 7(b), which is also reasonably reproduced by the calculated spectrum (shown in light blue) using the same set of parameters with appropriate anisotropy in $K$. Thus, one can conclude that the magnetic easy axis points along the $b$ axis.

At low temperatures, the spectrum for $H_{||}$ shows a drastic change, although such an obvious change in the spectrum is not observed for $H_{\perp}$, as shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b). Here one needs to be careful in interpreting the spectrum. As described in Sec. IV C, we found that the HT phase remains even at low temperatures due to the effects of the epoxy. From the detailed measurements of the temperature dependence of the spectrum, we figured out that the spectrum is well explained by a superposition of two spectra from the HT and LT phases, as shown in the case of $H_{||}$. The remaining spectrum from the HT phase produces clear quadrupolar split lines depicted as B in the bottom panel of Fig. 7(a). On the other hand, the spectrum from the LT phase shows no clear splitting of the satellite lines, whereas the central transition line is relatively sharp, as shown by A in the third panel of Fig. 7. As shown by the light pink area in the second of Fig. 7(a), the superposition of the calculated spectra A and B reproduces reasonably the observed spectrum. The sharp central line for A suggests no significant internal field at the Nb site, consistent with the nonmagnetic state of the LT phase. The broadened satellite lines...
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E. Electronic band structure calculation

Our experimental results show that the first-order phase transition to the nonmagnetic ground state in NbCrP is characterized by the decrease in the density of states at the Fermi level, a symmetry lowering of the crystal, and the loss of the magnetic anisotropy. The band structure calculation was performed to check what induces the electronic instability in NbCrP. Figures 8(a) and 8(b) show the energy dispersion and the density of states of NbCrP calculated for an orthorhombic TiNiSi-type structure in the Pnma space group in the HT phase, respectively. The dispersion and the density of states near the Fermi level $E_F$ originate mainly from Cr 3d orbitals, with a secondary contribution from Nb 4d orbitals. $D(E_F)$ is estimated to be $\sim 193$ states/Ry for the primitive cell in the HT phase. Just below $E_F$, the nearly flat bands are partially seen along the Y-S, Z-T, T-R, and S-R axes (for the notation for the high-symmetry points, see Fig. 9). The bands along the Y-S and T-R axes are fourfold degenerate by the nonsymmorphic symmetry of the Pnma space group. The bands along the Z-T and S-R axes are slightly lifted by the spin-orbit coupling; they are nearly degenerate, however. The band structure of NbCrP shows some similarities to those of RuAs and RuP, which undergo the nonmagnetic metal-insulator transition, although the overall feature does not resemble them. Figure 8(c) shows the band structures for NbCrP and RuAs near $E_F$. For both systems, the fourfold degeneracy can be seen along the same direction, shown by the red lines, and the slight splitting of the bands due to the spin-orbit coupling is also seen along the same direction shown by the green circles. The flat bands $\sim 0.05$ eV [3]. In the case of NbCrP, the nearly flat bands are located below $E_F$ by 0.006 Ry $\simeq 0.08$ eV, which is comparable to the case of RuP. It is also interesting to point out that the similar bands are located below $E_F$ by 0.2 eV, and the flatness is weakened for RuSb [3], in which no phase transition is observed.

FIG. 8. (a) The energy dispersion and (b) the density of states of NbCrP calculated for orthorhombic TiNiSi-type structure in the Pnma space group in the HT phase. The partial DOS is shown by different colors (red: Cr 3d; green: Nb 4d). (c) A comparison of the energy dispersions between NbCrP and RuAs near the Fermi level. The fourfold degeneracy is protected along the directions shown by the red lines, and they are slightly lifted along the directions shown by the blue lines due to the spin-orbit coupling. The nearly flat degenerate bands near $E_F$ are shown by the green circles.

FIG. 9. Fermi surface of NbCrP calculated for the orthorhombic TiNiSi-type structure in the Pnma space group in the HT phase. They consist of several three-dimensional sheets.

indicate the large distributions in $v_Q$ and/or in the directions of the principal axes of the EFG, suggesting the appearance of multiple Nb sites in the LT phase. Together with the result for the $^{31}$P NMR where the single P site in the HT phase splits into two P sites in the LT phase, these results suggest that the lowering of the crystal symmetry occurs in the LT phase.
Compared with the case for RuAs, the band dispersion crosses the Fermi level at many points in NbCrP. This is because it includes contributions from both Cr 3d and Nb 4d orbitals, resulting in a more complicated Fermi surface, as shown in Fig. 9. Almost degenerate parts in three pairs of Fermi surfaces (55–56 holes, 57–58 electrons, and 59–60 electrons) appear on the Brillouin zone boundary. This is similar to the case for RuAs [4]; however, the Fermi surfaces for NbCrP are more complicated inside the Brillouin zone. This complexity may cause the remaining of the Fermi surface in LT phase, whereas RuAs is fully gapped in the low-temperature insulating phase. It is noted that the nesting property can hardly be found in NbCrP. Therefore, it is unlikely that the phase transition in NbCrP is related to the nesting of the Fermi surface, like the CDW.

Another important aspect is the density of states at $E_F$. In RuAs and RuP, the Fermi level is located near the peak of the density of states [3,4], indicating that the metallic phase is fairly unstable. This is most likely the origin for the disappearance of the Fermi surface with lifting the degeneracy by forming the superlattice structure. In NbCrP, however, the Fermi level is located at the local minimum of the density of states, as shown in the Fig. 8(b), although the sharp peaks are present in the vicinity of $E_F$. Therefore, whether or not the phase transition in NbCrP is, indeed, triggered by the same origin as in RuAs and RuP is still puzzling. A determination of the crystal structure in the LT phase of NbCrP is essential to elucidate the mechanism of the phase transition.

V. SUMMARY

We have carried out x-ray diffraction, electrical resistivity, and magnetic susceptibility measurements on synthesized NbCrP crystals to investigate their physical properties. We also performed $^{31}$P and $^{93}$Nb NMR studies to gain insight into the electronic and magnetic properties of NbCrP from a microscopic point of view. From the measurements, non-symmetric NbCrP was found to show the first-order phase transition, the nonmagnetic ground state in NbCrP and the role of the magnetic ordering in NMR data indicates that the LT phase is nonmagnetic, while the change in the NMR spectra suggests the lowering of crystal symmetry through the phase transition. The magnetic susceptibility and NMR data suggest that the spin susceptibility in the LT phase is reduced by ~30% from that in the HT phase, suggesting that the phase transition is triggered by the electronic instability of the HT phase. We found that part of the HT phase can be stabilized down to very low temperatures in the oriented sample. This indicates that the phase transition is very sensitive to pressure and requires a significant expansion of either the lattice constants or the volume. The measurement of the oriented sample also revealed the characteristic broad maxima in the temperature dependences of the Knight shift and $1/T_1T$. This behavior can be interpreted by either the coexistence of FM and AFM interactions or a peak structure of $D(E)$ near the Fermi level.

We also carried out the electronic structure calculations for the HT phase of NbCrP. The calculated band structure shows some similarities to those for the nonsymmetric compound RuAs (with the same space group, Pnma) which show the nonmagnetic metal-insulator transition. Especially, nearly flat degenerate bands in NbCrP were found to be located just below the Fermi level. Although the bands may be related to the phase transition, the Fermi surface instability is not obvious due to the lack of the sharp peak in the density of states at $E_F$ in NbCrP. Further investigations, including a determination of the crystal structure of the LT phase, are desired. It would also be interesting to investigate the pressure effects on NbCrP to reveal important information about the competition between LT and HT phases at low temperatures. Understanding the more detailed physical properties of the LT and HT phases is crucial to uncover the origin of the phase transition to the nonmagnetic ground state in NbCrP and the role of the nonsymmetric property in it.
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