Spontaneous and evolutionary changes in the antibiotic resistance of *Burkholderia cenocepacia* observed by global gene expression analysis

Andrea Sass¹, Angela Marchbank¹, Elizabeth Tullis², John J LiPuma³ and Eshwar Mahenthiralingam¹*

**Abstract**

**Background:** *Burkholderia cenocepacia* is a member of the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex group of bacteria that cause infections in individuals with cystic fibrosis. *B. cenocepacia* isolate J2315 has been genome sequenced and is representative of a virulent, epidemic CF strain (ET12). Its genome encodes multiple antimicrobial resistance pathways and it is not known which of these is important for intrinsic or spontaneous resistance. To map these pathways, transcriptomic analysis was performed on: (i) strain J2315 exposed to sub-inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics and the antibiotic potentiator chlorpromazine, and (ii) on spontaneous mutants derived from J2315 and with increased resistance to the antibiotics amikacin, meropenem and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Two pan-resistant ET12 outbreak isolates recovered two decades after J2315 were also compared to identify naturally evolved gene expression changes.

**Results:** Spontaneous resistance in *B. cenocepacia* involved more gene expression changes and different subsets of genes than those provoked by exposure to sub inhibitory concentrations of each antibiotic. The phenotype and altered gene expression in the resistant mutants was also stable irrespective of the presence of the priming antibiotic. Both known and novel genes involved in efflux, antibiotic degradation/modification, membrane function, regulation and unknown functions were mapped. A novel role for the phenylacetic acid (PA) degradation pathway genes was identified in relation to spontaneous resistance to meropenem and glucose was found to repress their expression. Subsequently, 20 mM glucose was found to produce greater than 2-fold reductions in the MIC of multiple antibiotics against *B. cenocepacia* J2315. Mutation of an RND multidrug efflux pump locus (BCAM0925-27) and squalene-hopene cyclase gene (BCAS0167), both upregulated after chlorpromazine exposure, confirmed their role in resistance. The recently isolated outbreak isolates had altered the expression of multiple genes which mirrored changes seen in the antibiotic resistant mutants, corroborating the strategy used to model resistance. Mutation of an ABC transporter gene (BCAS0081) upregulated in both outbreak strains, confirmed its role in *B. cenocepacia* resistance.

**Conclusions:** Global mapping of the genetic pathways which mediate antibiotic resistance in *B. cenocepacia* has revealed that they are multifactorial, identified potential therapeutic targets and also demonstrated that putative catabolite repression of genes by glucose can improve antibiotic efficacy.

**Background**

*Burkholderia cepacia* complex (Bcc) bacteria are antibiotic resistant opportunistic pathogens known for their ability to infect individuals with cystic fibrosis (CF). The complex currently consists of 17 formally named species, of which *B. multivorans* and *B. cenocepacia* most often cause infection in CF [1]. The *B. cenocepacia* ET12 strain is one of the most problematic Bcc strains infecting patients with CF. It was most likely recognised as early as 1984 in the pioneering studies of Isles et al. [2] that showed that “*P. cepacia*” could cause an invasive, frequently fatal infection that became known “cepacia syndrome.” Subsequent studies demonstrated that ET12 strains could transmit between CF patients by social contact [3], had spread intercontinentally across North America and Europe, and could replace infection with other Bcc species (reviewed [4]). Isolates of the ET12 strain can be identified using a number of characteristics including presence of the cable
pilus gene and the Burkholderia cepacia epidemic strain marker, a RAPD 02 genotype fingerprint, a recA subgroup III-A phylotype (reviewed [5]), and most recently as possessing the multilocus sequence type (MLST) ST-28 [6]. As a result of the devastating CF infections caused by the B. cenocepacia ET12 strain, it has become one of the most studied Bcc bacteria in terms of virulence [7] and antimicrobial resistance [8,9].

The B. cenocepacia ET12 isolate, J2315, was recovered from an infected CF patient in Edinburgh, UK, in 1989 [3] and has been subject to complete genome analysis [6]. This genomic resource enabled a B. cenocepacia microarray to be designed that has greatly enhanced our molecular understanding of this CF pathogen [10,11]. B. cenocepacia encodes multiple pathways that it uses to resist killing by antimicrobial agents, including efflux pumps [12], lipopolysaccharide, beta-lactamases and a trimethoprim resistant dihydrofolate reductase enzyme [6]. A problematic feature of chronic Bcc infection in CF is the ability of the infecting strain to adapt to very high levels of antibiotic resistance. The emergence of pan resistant Bcc strains, which are either untreatable or require combinations of multiple antibiotics to suppress exacerbations of infection, is of great concern [8]. The molecular mechanisms behind the evolution of spontaneous antimicrobial resistance in B. cenocepacia are not known and how the multiple resistance pathways function on a global scale to allow B. cenocepacia to survive antibiotic therapy is poorly understood. Cationic drugs such as chlorpromazine and theophylline have been shown to reduce the minimal inhibition concentration (MIC) of certain antibiotics that are otherwise ineffective against Bcc bacteria [13] and a more complete understanding of how these non-antibiotics drugs act may also provide novel therapies.

In this study, we employed a transcriptomic, microarray-based strategy to investigate the following (Figure 1): (i) how exposure to sub-inhibitory concentrations of three antibiotics (amikacin, meropenem and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole) that are widely used to treat CF infections [14] and sub-inhibitory exposure to the cationic drug chlorpromazine affect gene expression in B. cenocepacia, (ii) how B. cenocepacia alters gene expression after the selection of spontaneous resistant mutants by the three latter antibiotics, and finally, (iii) how the antimicrobial resistance characteristics and global gene expression of the strain have naturally evolved during the 19 years it has circulated within the CF community since the isolation of the genome sequenced isolate, B. cenocepacia J2315 in 1989.

Results and discussion
Antibiotic susceptibility of B. cenocepacia J2315 and derived mutants with spontaneous resistance

Using the clinically defined MIC breakpoints, wild type B. cenocepacia J2315 was resistant to 15 of the 17 antibiotics tested; susceptibility to meropenem and ceftriaxone was classified as intermediate (Table 1). Synergy testing indicated no synergy in any of the combination of two antibiotics tested against J2315. The antibiotic potentiator chlorpromazine reduced the MIC of amikacin (200 to 100 mg/L), tobramycin (150 to 50 mg/L) and azithromycin (50 to 15 mg/L) at a concentration of 0.2 mM; the same concentration of prochlorperazine produced slightly greater reductions in antibiotic MIC (amikacin to 20, tobramycin to 20 and azithromycin to 10 mg/L). These reductions were consistent with previous data obtained for “B. cepacia” strain ATCC 13945 [13] which is a B. cenocepacia IIIA strain, but not from the ET12 lineage (see http://pubmlst.org/bcc. As theophylline was not found to alter the susceptibility of strain J2315, chlorpromazine in combination with amikacin was selected as the optimal potentiator-antibiotic combination to examine from the B. cenocepacia global gene expression perspective because it had a good additive effect, did not present solubility problems in growth medium as seen with prochlorperazine, and allowed reproducible growth curves to be obtained for strain J2315.

Spontaneous mutants with elevated resistance to amikacin (J2315-A), meropenem (J2315-M) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (J2315-T) were generated by plating on selective agar containing each respective antibiotic (see Methods). The mutants had MICs that were increased over the wild type, 2.3-, 2.1- and 5-fold, for each respective antibiotic (Table 1). The growth rate of each mutant was unaltered in comparison to J2315 and the resistant phenotype of each mutant was stable after 15 transfers on agar without antibiotics. The spontaneous mutants appeared at a frequency of 18.1 (± 7.9) × 10⁻⁶ for amikacin, 4.5 (± 0.5) × 10⁻⁷ for meropenem, and 14.4 (± 4.1) × 10⁻⁸ for trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; no difference in mutation frequency was observed between J2315 cultures that were plated in log phase or at the beginning of stationary phase. Mutant J2315-A showed an increased resistance to all other aminoglycosides tested, and interestingly also to the beta-lactams meropenem, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime and aztreonam (Table 1). The meropenem-resistant mutant, J2315-M, demonstrated elevated resistance to all beta-lactams tested, as well as increases in tobramycin, chloramphenicol and tetracycline resistance. Mutant J2315-T was more resistant to fluoroquinolones, chloramphenicol, rifampicin and tetracycline (Table 1).

Antibiotic susceptibility and features of clonal outbreak strains circulating 19 years after isolation of B. cenocepacia J2315

Two isolates from two CF patients involved in a recent ET12 outbreak [15], BCC1616 and BCC1617, were
compared to J2315 in terms of phenotype and global gene expression genotype. They represent the natural evolution of this epidemic strain as an infectious agent circulating in CF community since the recovery of J2315 in 1989. BCC1616 and BCC1617 were both found to be clonally identical with J2315 (MLST ST-28). Each was more resistant to aminoglycosides, macrolides, fluoroquinolones and tetracycline, than J2315 (Table 1); BCC1616 was less susceptible to all beta-lactams tested. This is in concordance with previous observations of rapid evolution of elevated drug resistance within sequential ET12 CF isolates, where isolates from episodes of clinical exacerbation were more resistant than those recovered during stable clinical conditions [9].

The growth rate of BCC1616 and BCC1617 on Iso-sensitest broth (doubling time = 3.0 and 2.4 hours, respectively) was also slower than J2315 (doubling time = 1.8 hours). *P. aeruginosa* isolates recovered from CF infection have reduced growth rates compared to those from other sources [16] and a slower growth rate is also linked to increased antibiotic resistance in bacterial pathogens [17]. Both of the recent ET12 clones were not motile in contrast to J2315 (Additional file 1, Figure S1). Loss of motility has been observed among *P. aeruginosa* isolates recovered from chronic CF infection [18]. Both J2315 and BCC1616 were auxotrophic for tyrosine and phenylalanine. Auxotrophy for amino acids has also been observed in CF isolates of *P. aeruginosa* and *B. cepacia* [19] and is attributed to the CF lung environment being rich in amino acids and other nutrients, selecting for auxotrophic variants. J2315 and BCC1616 both formed a brown pigment at the beginning of stationary phase on Iso-sensitest and on LB medium.

**Figure 1**  *B. cenocepacia* antimicrobial resistance traits examined by global gene expression. The flow chart shows the three major questions addressed in regard to the antimicrobial resistance of *B. cenocepacia* (orange boxes). The microarray experiments performed to investigate these questions are shown with the control condition given in the blue box and test condition indicated as follows: amikacin (green boxes); meropenem (yellow boxes), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (tan boxes); chlorpromazine (pink boxes); and the clonal outbreak strains (turquoise). The exception to the latter designation was that each spontaneously resistance mutant was used as the control condition when they were exposed to antibiotics.
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melanin production being correlated to increased resistance to oxidative stress. In contrast to J2315 and BCC1616, strain BCC1617 was not auxotrophic and did not produce a brown pigment, illustrating the known phenotypic variability of these bacteria [21].

### B. cenocepacia global gene expression in the presence of antibiotics

Transcriptomic analysis of strain J2315 was performed on mid-log phase cultures exposed to amikacin, meropenem, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, chlorpromazine and a combination of amikacin and chlorpromazine (Table 2). Expression analysis of the spontaneous mutants was performed with and without each respective antibiotic on which the mutant had been selected; antibiotic exposure was performed at the same antibiotic concentration as used for J2315 and levels above this to induce further responses in the spontaneous mutants (Table 2). Validation of the microarray results was carried out by qPCR analysis of 17 protein coding genes of interest (Additional file 1, Table S1). In all cases the up- or downregulation observed by qPCR correlated to the microarray results corroborating previous studies using the B. cenocepacia J2315 microarray [10,11,22].

Very few significant alterations in the expression of features on the microarray were observed after exposure of J2315 to amikacin (19 of 8740 probes; Table 2), while exposure to meropenem and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole resulted in approximately three times this number of features altering in expression (Table 2). The presence of 0.05 mM chlorpromazine also altered a limited number of features (18/8740), and this doubled with the combination of chlorpromazine and amikacin (Table 2). Overall, a greater number of genes were upregulated than downregulated in each antimicrobial exposure condition (Table 2). The number of differentially expressed genes was significantly higher in all experiments where the antibiotic resistant mutants were compared to J2315 (Table 2). The number of differentially expressed genes was highest in the outbreak isolates BCC1616 and BCC1617, with 255 and 198 differentially expressed genes compared to J2315 (Table 2).

### Gene expression in J2315 and J2315-A in response to amikacin

The upregulation of tRNAs dominated the transcriptomic response of J2315 to amikacin (15 of the 19 altering genes; Table 2); since this aminoglycoside targets protein synthesis, the alteration of tRNA expression may be correlated to the mode of action of amikacin but, further research will be needed to validate this assumption. In terms of protein encoding genes only two were significantly upregulated in the presence of 50 µg/ml amikacin: BCAL1755, a protein with unknown function and the adjacent gene, BCAL1756, a CDS with homology to metal-dependent phosphohydrolases (Table 3). Both were also upregulated in J2315-A (Table 3). The induction of BCAL1756 by the presence of amikacin was

---

### Table 1 Antibiotic susceptibility (mg/L) of B. cenocepacia J2315, resistant mutants and outbreak isolates

| Antibiotic class | Antibiotic name | wild type J2315 | J2315-A (AMK*) | J2315-M (MER*) | J2315-T (TMP*) | BCC 1616 | BCC 1617 |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Beta-lactams    | Meropenem      | 7              | 13             | 15             | 6              | 30        | 7         |
|                 | Imipenem       | 125            | 125            | 175            | 100            | 175       | 100       |
|                 | Cefazidime     | 10             | 30             | 40             | 10             | 60        | 5         |
|                 | Cefotaxime     | 250            | 500            | 1200           | 250            | >1500     | 15        |
|                 | Aztreonam      | 250            | 500            | 1500           | 200            | >1500     | 40        |
|                 | Piperacillin    | 450            | 450            | 900            | 350            | 1500      | 40        |
| Aminoglycosides | Amikacin       | 200            | 450            | 200            | 200            | 450       | 900       |
|                 | Gentamicin     | 700            | 5000           | 450            | 400            | 10000     | >10000    |
|                 | Tobramycin     | 150            | 500            | 300            | 125            | 1500      | 3000      |
| Macrolides      | Azithromycin   | 50             | 40             | 40             | 30             | 200       | >500      |
|                 | Erythromycin   | 150            | 150            | 200            | 150            | 500       | 800       |
| Folate synthesis inhibitors | Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole | 30/150 | 20/100 | 30/150 | 150/750 | 10/50 | 20/100 |
| Fluoroquinolones | Ciprofloxacin | 7              | 7              | 8              | 30             | >50       | 13        |
|                 | Levofloxacin   | 7              | 7              | 8              | 30             | >50       | 13        |
| Rifamycins      | Rifampicin     | 90             | 70             | 100            | >200           | 60        | 80        |
| Chloramphenicol | Chloramphenicol| 10             | 10             | 25             | >400           | 15        | 15        |
| Tetracyclines   | Tetracycline   | 60             | 70             | 180            | 120            | 160       | >300      |
confirmed by qPCR (Additional file 1 Table S1). BCAL1756 contains nucleotidyltransferase and phospho-transferase motifs that could possibly confer similar enzyme activities as those present in aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes, and which have been implicated in aminoglycoside resistance [23]. Introduction of the BCAL1755/BCAL1756 region into B. vietnamiensis G4 (see Additional file 1 Table S2 for construct information) which lacks homologous genes in its genome did not result in transformants with an elevated resistance to amikacin. Mobilization of the same construct into B. cenocepacia K56-2 (Additional file 1 Table S2), a genetically amenable B. cenocepacia strain that is frequently used as a surrogate model for genetic manipulation due to difficulties associated with J2315 [7], did not increase the amikacin resistance of this strain by insertion of additional copies this locus. The function of these genes was also tested by creating an isogenic knockout mutant in B. cenocepacia strain, K56-2 (Additional file 1 Table S2).

In correlation with the gene transfer experiment deletion of genes BCAL1755-6 in mutant K56-2ΔL1755-6 did not result in increased susceptibility to aminoglycosides or any other antimicrobial tested (Table 4).

The amikacin-resistant mutant J2315-A demonstrated upregulation of different genes/gene clusters compared to the wild type, regardless of the present or absence of the antibiotic (Table 3). Most conspicuous was the upregulation of a gene cluster on the large chromosome, BCAL3148-3153. One of these genes, BCAL3152 encoded a sigma factor (extracytoplasmatic function subfamily; ECF) and an adjacent anti-sigma factor, BCAL3151. The BCAL3152 sigma factor is highly conserved among Burkholderia species [24]. ECF sigma factors are involved in sensing and regulating the response to changes in the environment, including maintaining cell envelope integrity under stress [25]. The BCAL3148-3153 cluster was not induced in the wild type by sub-inhibitory concentrations of amikacin, but its constitutive upregulation in J2315-A suggested it may play a major role in the general stress response linked to the spontaneous adaptation to an elevated state of antimicrobial resistance.

Another gene cluster found to be constitutively upregulated in J2315-A was a putative acetyltransferase, BCAM0829a, and a nearby ABC transporter gene, BCAM0831 (Table 3). Limited upregulation of BCAM0829a was observed when J2315 was exposed to amikacin (Table 3) but this was confirmed by qPCR (Additional file 1 Table S1). Acetyltransferases are known mediators of aminoglycoside resistance [23] and ABC transporters often contribute towards multi-drug resistance in E. coli [26], hence, both genes, BCAM0829a and BCAM0831 have plausible roles in functionally mediating resistance to amikacin. However, transfer of the acetyltransferase BCAM0829a into B. vietnamiensis G4 or B. cenocepacia K56-2 failed to alter their
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**Table 2 Number of B. cenocepacia genes with a >2-fold change in expression**

| B. cenocepacia strain and growth condition | Number of genes significantly altered in expression (>2 fold): |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Exposure of J2315 to sub-MIC antimicrobials: | Up-regulated | Down-regulated | Total |
| J2315 wt + 50 mg/L AMK | 17 | 2 | 19 |
| J2315 wt + 2 mg/L MEM | 53 | 6 | 59 |
| J2315 wt + 10/50 mg/L TMP/SMX | 39 | 17 | 56 |
| J2315 wt + 0.05 mM CPZ | 13 | 5 | 18 |
| J2315 wt + 0.05 mM CPZ + 50 mg/L AMK | 19 | 16 | 35 |
| **J2315 resistant mutants:** | | | |
| J2315-A | 26 | 28 | 54* |
| J2315-A + 50 mg/L AMK | 32 | 50 | 82* |
| J2315-A + 250 mg/L AMK | 37 | 38 | 75* |
| J2315-M | 39 | 59 | 98* |
| J2315-M + 2 mg/L MEM | 67 | 24 | 83* |
| J2315-M + 8 mg/L MEM | 39 | 74 | 113* |
| J2315-T | 41 | 39 | 80* |
| J2315-T + 10/50 mg/L TMP/SMX | 44 | 38 | 82* |
| J2315-T + 60/300 mg/L TMP/SMX | 52 | 53 | 105* |
| **ST28 outbreak isolates:** | | | |
| BCC1616 | 89 | 166 | 255 |
| BCC1617 | 83 | 115 | 198 |

* The number of genes differentially expressed were significantly different (p < 0.001; one-way analysis of variance with square root transformation) in the resistant mutants compared to the corresponding condition of J2315 exposed to each respective antibiotic.
### Table 3: Differentially expressed *B. cenocepacia* genes selected from all conditions tested

| Gene name | Annotation | Exposure to amikacin | J2315 wt + 50 mg/L AMK | J2315-A | J2315-A + 50 mg/L AMK | J2315-A + 250 mg/L AMK |
|-----------|------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------|------------------------|
| BCAL1233  | Putative heat shock Hsp20-related protein | - 1.95               | 3.47                   | 4.92    |
| BCAL1234  | Putative heat shock protein | - | - | 3.00 | 4.43 |
| BCAL1755  | Conserved hypothetical protein | 2.44 | - | 4.74 | 3.47 |
| BCAL1756  | Putative metal dependent phosphohydrolase | 1.78 | - | 2.95 | 3.14 |
| BCAL1919  | ClpB heat-shock protein | - | - | - | 3.20 |
| BCAL2442  | Chaperone protein HtpG | - | - | - | 2.39 |
| BCAL3146  | 60 kDa chaperonin 1 | - | - | - | 2.47 |
| BCAL3147  | 10 kDa chaperonin 1 | - | - | - | 2.96 |
| BCAL3148  | Polyketide cyclase/dehydrase and lipid transport Family | - | 2.14 | 3.27 | 1.95 |
| BCAL3149  | Outer membrane lipoprotein carrier protein LoxA | - | 2.86 | 4.22 | 2.31 |
| BCAL3150  | Putative exported protein | - | 3.15 | 4.34 | - |
| BCAL3151  | Putative transmembrane anti-sigma factor | - | 2.76 | 4.43 | 2.31 |
| BCAL3152  | Putative RNA polymerase sigma factor, ECF subfamily | - | 2.94 | 4.77 | 3.40 |
| BCAL3153  | Putative lipoprotein | - | 3.47 | 5.82 | 4.45 |
| BCAL3270  | Putative DnaK chaperone protein | - | - | - | 2.76 |
| BCAM0829a | RimL, Acetyltransferases, including N-acetylases of ribosomal proteins | - | 2.51 | 2.46 | 2.14 |
| BCAM0831  | ABC transporter ATP-binding membrane protein | - | 1.91 | 2.43 | 3.01 |
| BCAS0637  | 60 kDa chaperonin 3 | - | - | - | 6.62 |
| BCAS0638  | 10 kDa chaperonin 3 | - | - | - | 7.88 |
| Exposure to meropenem | | J2315 wt + 2 mg/L MER | J2315-M | J2315-M + 2 mg/L MER | J2315-M + 8 mg/L MER |
| BCAL0121  | Putative phenylacetic acid degrada-dation NADH oxidoreductase PaaE | - | - | - | 3.32 |
| BCAL0213  | Phenylacetic acid degradation protein PaaD | - | - | - | 6.61 |
| BCAL0214  | Phenylacetic acid degradation protein PaaC | - | - | - | 3.14 |
| BCAL0215  | Phenylacetic acid degradation protein PaaB | - | 8.01 | 6.48 | 3.81 |
| BCAL0216  | Phenylacetic acid degradation protein PaaA | - | 14.83 | 10.03 | 6.79 |
| BCAL0404  | Phenylacetate-coenzyme A ligase | - | - | - | 2.58 |
| BCAL0405  | Phenylacetic acid degradation protein PaaL | - | - | - | 2.75 |
| BCAL0406  | Probable enoyl-CoA hydratase PaaG | - | 6.28 | - | 3.63 |
| BCAL0407  | Beta-ketoacylpyl CoA thiolase | - | - | - | 4.48 |
| BCAL0408  | Putative phenylacetic acid degradation oxidoreductase paaZ | - | 9.93 | 7.93 | 5.75 |
| BCAL0409  | Putative phenylacetic acid degrada-dation enoyl-CoA hydratase PaaF | - | 2.67 | - | - |
| BCAL1804  | Major Facilitator Superfamily protein | - | -3.45 | -2.70 | -1.85 |
| BCAL1805  | Putative sugar kinase | - | -4.76 | -4.35 | -2.22 |
| BCAL1806  | Conserved hypothetical protein | - | -14.29 | -9.90 | -5.26 |
| BCAM1356  | Putative gluconate 2-dehydrogenase subunit 3 | - | -25.64 | -29.50 | -12.85 |
| BCAM1357  | Gluconate 2-dehydrogenase flavoprotein subunit | - | -7.14 | -7.30 | -5.52 |
Table 3 Differentially expressed *B. cenocepacia* genes selected from all conditions tested (Continued)

| Gene ID     | Description                                                        | J2315 wt + 10/50 mg/L TMP/SMX | J2315-T | J2315-T + 10/50 mg/L TMP/SMX | J2315-T + 60/300 mg/L TMP/SMX |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| BCAM1358    | Gluconate 2-dehydrogenase cytochrome c subunit                     | -                             | -24.69  | -25.38                      | -9.80                         |
| BCAM1710    | Putative enoyl-CoA hydratase/sorcerer                              | -                             | 3.23    | -                           | 4.32                          |
| BCAM1711    | Phenylacetate-coenzyme A ligase paaK                               | -                             | 12.48   | 11.01                       | 5.24                          |
| BCAM2165    | Putative beta-lactamase, class A                                   | 53.35                         | -       | 60.30                       | 60.62                         |
| BCAS0128    | ABC transporter ATP-binding protein                                | -2.27                         | -2.04   | -                           | -3.70                         |
| BCAS0129    | Putative binding-protein-dependent transport system component      | -2.56                         | -2.13   | -                           | -4.98                         |
| BCAS0130    | Putative ABC transporter substrate-binding protein                  | -2.94                         | -       | -2.48                       | -6.25                         |
| BCAS0156    | Beta-lactamase, class C                                            | 116.10                        | -       | 133.10                      | 61.12                         |
| BCAS0292    | Conserved hypothetical protein                                      | 3.41                          | -6.02   | -4.03                       | -2.67                         |
| BCAS0409    | ZmpA                                                               | 1.99                          | -       | -                           | -                             |
| BCAL0145    | Adenosylhomocysteinase                                              | 1.77                          | -       | 2.26                        | -                             |
| BCAL0147    | 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase                          | 1.61                          | -       | 1.99                        | -                             |
| BCAM1862    | Lectin                                                             | 2.90                          | -       | -                           | -                             |
| BCAM1869    | Conserved hypothetical protein                                      | -                             | -3.68   | -4.13                       | -2.09                         |
| BCAM1870    | N-acylhomoserine lactone synthase Cepl                             | -                             | -15.48  | -14.95                      | -3.51                         |
| BCAM1871    | Conserved hypothetical protein                                      | 2.03                          | -14.88  | -12.90                      | -3.73                         |
| BCAM2549    | Multidrug efflux system outer membrane protein                     | -                             | 138.20  | 111.80                      | 35.80                         |
| BCAM2550    | Multidrug efflux system transporter protein                        | -                             | 108.80  | 103.60                      | 30.79                         |
| BCAM2551    | Multidrug efflux system transport protein CeoA                     | -                             | 296.90  | 341.50                      | 55.38                         |
| BCAM2552    | Putative hydrolase                                                 | 187.40                        | -       | 167.50                      | 45.93                         |
| BCAM2554    | LysR family regulatory protein                                      | 3.41                          | 4.34    | 4.36                        | 2.40                          |
| BCAS0292    | Conserved hypothetical protein                                      | 3.41                          | -6.02   | -4.03                       | -                             |
| BCAS0293    | Nematocidal protein AidA                                            | 8.56                          | -6.02   | -5.65                       | -2.67                         |
| BCAS0409    | ZmpA                                                               | 1.99                          | -       | -                           | -                             |
| Exposure to chlorpromazine | J2315 wt + 0.05 mM CPZ | J2315 wt + 0.05 mM CPZ + 50 mg/L AMK |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| BCAL1233    | Putative heat shock Hsp20-related protein                          | -                            | 2.98    | -                           | -                             |
| BCAL1234    | Putative heat shock Hsp20-related protein                          | -                            | 2.40    | -                           | -                             |
| BCAL1755    | Conserved hypothetical protein                                      | -                            | 2.07    | -                           | -                             |
| BCAM1756    | Conserved hypothetical protein                                      | 2.04                          | 1.82    | -                           | -                             |
| BCAM0923    | Putative lipoprotein                                               | 11.00                         | 11.33   | -                           | -                             |
| BCAM0924    | Two-component regulatory system, response regulator protein         | 4.85                          | 4.63    | -                           | -                             |
| BCAM0925    | Multidrug efflux system outer membrane protein                     | 12.80                         | 16.51   | -                           | -                             |
| BCAM0926    | Multidrug efflux system transporter protein                        | 17.75                         | 27.45   | -                           | -                             |
| BCAM0927    | Multidrug efflux system transport protein                          | 13.75                         | 22.43   | -                           | -                             |
| BCAM2186    | Putative macrolide-specific efflux system transport protein        | 1.96                          | 2.04    | -                           | -                             |
| BCAM2187    | Putative Thi/Pfp family protein                                    | 1.60                          | 2.02    | -                           | -                             |
| BCAS0167    | Squalene-hopene cyclase                                            | 4.36                          | 5.35    | -                           | -                             |
| BCAS0168    | TetR family regulatory protein                                      | 2.33                          | 2.81    | -                           | -                             |
| BCAS0638    | 10 kDa chaperonin 3                                                 | 4.18                          | -       | -                           | -                             |
The global gene expression response of *meropenem* 
Gene expression in J2315 and J2315-M in response to 
ABC transporter gene BCAM0831 in mutant K56-2 
or without chloropromazine), and deletion of the associated 
susceptibility to amikacin, gentamicin and tobramycin (with 
or without chloropromazine), and deletion of the associated 
ABC transporter gene BCAM0831 in mutant K56-2ΔM0831 also did not reveal an antimicrobial phenotype (Table 4).

**Table 4. B. cenocepacia K56-6 deletion mutants and their phenotype**

| Mutant name | Gene(s) deleted | Gene annotation | Relevant experiment/microarray observation | Phenotype* |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------|------------|
| K56-2ΔL1755-6 | BCAL1755, BCAL1756 | Hypothetical protein, Phosphohydrolase | Upregulated in the presence of amikacin, in J2315-A and in BCC1617 | None observed |
| K56-2ΔM0831 | BCAM0831 | ABC transporter | Upregulated in the presence of amikacin | None observed |
| K56-2ΔS0293-2 | BCAS0292, BCAS0293 | Hypothetical protein, Nematocidal protein AidA | Upregulated in the presence of TMP/SMX | None observed |
| K56-2ΔM0924 | BCAM0924 | Response regulator protein | Upregulated in the presence of chloropromazine | None observed |
| K56-2ΔM0925-7 | BCAM0925, BCAM0296, BCAM0297 | Multidrug efflux system OMP, Multidrug efflux system protein | Upregulated in the presence of chloropromazine | Increased susceptibility for chlorhexidine (14 μg/ml, wt 22 μg/ml) |
| K56-2ΔM2186-8 | BCAM2186, BCAM2187, BCAM2188 | Efflux system transport protein, ABC-type efflux carrier protein, Outer membrane efflux protein | Upregulated in the presence of chloropromazine | Increased susceptibility for azithromycin (100 μg/ml, wt 150 μg/ml), Decreased growth rate in the presence of 1.0 mM chlorpromazine (μ = 0.49, wt μ = 0.55) |
| K56-2ΔS0167 | BCAS0167 | Squalene-hopene cyclase | Upregulated in the presence of chloropromazine | Increased susceptibility for chlorhexidine (13 μg/ml, wt 22 μg/ml), Decreased growth rate in the presence of 1.0 mM chlorpromazine (μ = 0.62, wt μ = 0.80) |
| K56-2ΔS0081 | BCAS0081 | ABC transporter | Upregulated in outbreak strains BCC1616 and BCC1617 | Increased susceptibility for chlorhexidine (14 μg/ml, wt 22 μg/ml), Increased susceptibility for tetracycline (100 μg/ml, wt 150 μg/ml) |

*The following antibiotics and antimicrobials were tested on all mutants, except K56-2ΔS0167: amikacin, tobramycin, meropenem, ceftazidime, trimethoprim, azithromycin, erythromycin, levofloxacin, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, chlorhexidine.*

susceptibility to amikacin, gentamicin and tobramycin (with or without chloropromazine), and deletion of the associated ABC transporter gene BCAM0831 in mutant K56-2ΔM0831 also did not reveal an antimicrobial phenotype (Table 4).

**Gene expression in J2315 and J2315-M in response to meropenem**

The global gene expression response of *B. cenocepacia* to meropenem was characterised by upregulation of predictable factors such as beta-lactamases and of novel enzymes like those linked to the phenylacetic acid (PA) degradation pathway (Table 3). BCAS0156, a class C beta-lactamase encoded on the small chromosome was the most upregulated gene seen when the wild type was exposed to meropenem; a class A beta-lactamase, BCAM2165, encoded on the medium chromosome was also highly expressed (Table 3). Interestingly, neither beta-lactamase was significantly altered in expression in the meropenem resistant mutant in the absence of the antibiotic (Table 3), indicating that these enzymes were not primarily involved in the elevated resistance phenotype of J2315-M (Table 1).

Located 135 bp upstream of the class A beta-lactamase BCAM2165 was a LysR family regulator BCAM2166, with 90% homology to and in a location corresponding to that of penR previously described in *P. cepacia* [27] (now known to be a *B. multivorans* strain). This region is conserved in all completed *Burkholderia* genomes and Trépanier et al. [27] showed that penR controlled the transcription of the class A beta-lactamase penA with a putative binding site mapped to a region 130 bp upstream of the beta-lactamase, directly adjacent to the regulator sequence. A motif search revealed the same binding site in J2315, upstream of both BCAM2165 and BCAS0156, suggesting that they may be co-regulated and possibly under the control of the BCAM2166 regulator.

Although both beta-lactamases were upregulated in the presence of meropenem, it was not known which, if any, is active against the antibiotic. Carbapenem hydrolyzing class A beta-lactamases have been reported for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* [28]. A class A penB beta-lactamase has been characterised in *B. cenocepacia* [29] that is active against penicillin and cephalosporins, but has only a marginal ability to degrade meropenem and imipenem.
A plasmid-encoded class C beta-lactamase with weak imipenemase activity has also been reported [30]. To clarify the involvement of BCAM2165 in meropenem inactivation by *B. cenocepacia*, clavulanic acid was tested as a class A-specific beta-lactamase-inhibitor, but it failed to reduce the MIC for meropenem even at concentrations as high as 64 mg/L (data not shown). The role of the class C beta-lactamase, BCAS0156 was tested by complementation into *B. vietnamiensis* G4 which lacks this gene (see Additional file 1 Table S2 for construct). The resulting transformant showed an increase in MIC for cefotaxime (from 8 to 35 mg/L), ceftazidime (from 7 to 50 mg/L), imipenem (from 8 to 40 mg/L) and piperacillin (from 4 to 15 mg/L), but the MIC for meropenem (0.35 mg/L) and aztreonam (6 mg/L) remained unchanged from that of wild type *B. vietnamiensis* G4. When the same construct was mobilized into *B. cenocepacia* K56-2, the MIC for cefotaxime (300 to 400 mg/L) and ceftazidime (40 to 45 mg/L) increased, but the remaining antibiotic MICs were unaltered. Addition of chlorpromazine to the complemented strains did not alter their antibiotic susceptibility further. A functional role for BCAS0156 in mediating high level beta-lactam resistance was therefore supported, but interestingly not for meropenem as a specific substrate for this class C beta-lactamase.

Three gene clusters annotated as phenylacetic acid degradation enzymes [31,32] were upregulated in mutant J2315-M regardless of the presence or absence of the meropenem (Table 3). In *B. cenocepacia*, these genes are organised as follows: two gene clusters on the large chromosome (BCAL0212-0216, BCAL0404-0409) and one gene cluster on the medium chromosome (BCAM1710-1712). All three clusters appeared largely co-regulated from the microarray data and a MEME search revealed a common regulatory motif [33] for all three locations, upstream of BCAL0216, BCAL0408 and BCAM1712 respectively. A MAST analysis revealed the same regulatory motif to be present upstream of the PA degradation genes in all genome sequenced *Burkholderia* species. Uprogulation of BCAL0216, BCAL0408, and BCAL1711/12 was confirmed by qPCR (Additional file 1 Table S1).

Two sets of genes involved in glucose transport and metabolism via the pentose phosphate pathway were substantially down regulated in the resistant mutant J2315-M: BCAL1804-1806 and BCAM1356-1358 (Table 3). Growth curve analysis showed that J2315-M grew at less than half the growth rate on glucose than J2315. The PA catabolic enzymes have been shown to be under glucose catabolite repression in *B. cenocepacia* [33]. Our data therefore suggested that for J2315-M to achieve the upregulation of PA genes and increase its meropenem resistance, it had to down regulate the glucose transport and metabolism genes to lower the intracellular glucose concentration. The resulting slower growth rate of J2315-M in presence of glucose may also be an advantageous phenotype for surviving antimicrobial exposure, analogous to the alterations seen in small colony variants [34].

To elucidate if the PA catabolic enzymes aid resistance to antibiotics, MIC values in the presence and absence of glucose (20 mM) in LB medium were determined. The presence of glucose resulted in reductions of ≥ 2-fold in J2315’s MIC for meropenem (50 to 15 mg/L), ceftazidime (275 to 160 mg/L), chloramphenicol (16 to 8 mg/L) and tetracycline (50 to 10 mg/L); no change was observed for amikacin, tobramycin, erythromycin, levofloxacin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. A panel of 17 closely related *B. cenocepacia* were also tested and 7 out of 17 also demonstrated a reduction in meropenem MIC in the presence of 20 mM glucose (data not shown). Analysis of PA gene expression directly correlated to these phenotypic observations, being considerably reduced in J2315 (48-fold by qPCR for BCAL0216) in the presence of glucose. Expression of this gene was unaltered by addition of glucose for *B. cenocepacia* K56-2, which did not demonstrate the same MIC reduction for meropenem.

The strain to strain variability of this putative catabolite repression response suggest that the regulation of central metabolism in *B. cenocepacia* is highly complex; given the number of paralogous metabolic pathways present in *Burkholderia* genomes [35] such plasticity is not surprising. qPCR showed that the expression of the beta-lactamas (BCAM2165 and BCAS0156) was not affected by the presence of glucose in J2315 indicating that these degradative antibiotic resistance enzymes were not catabolite repressed. The role of the PA degradation enzymes in *Burkholderia* is not yet fully understood, but recently it was shown that PA pathway is necessary for full pathogenicity during *Caenorhabditis elegans* infection [36]. The linkage of PA degradation to the phenomenon of spontaneous antibiotic resistance in *B. cenocepacia* adds further complexity to the central role aromatic metabolism plays in the biology of these microorganisms.

**Gene expression in J2315 wt and J2315-T in response to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole**

The gene encoding a homologue of AidA (BCAS0293), a quorum sensing regulated gene required for full virulence of *B. cenocepacia* H111 during *C. elegans* infection [37] was the most upregulated gene when wild type J2315 was exposed to sub-inhibitory levels of TMP-SMX (Table 3). Other quorum sensing regulated genes such as the zinc metalloprotease [38], ZmpA (BCAS0409), and the lectin encoding BCAM0186 [11] were also upregulated. Few other significant alterations were made by J2315 in response of sub-lethal amounts of TMP-SMX (Table 3). Deletion of BCAS0293 together with the adjacent...
BCAS0292 in mutant K56-2ΔS0293-2 did not alter its susceptibility to trimethoprim, sulfamethoxazole, or any other antimicrobials (Table 4).

The TMP-SMX resistant mutant J2315-T also altered the expression of a limited number of genes, however, the most conspicuous change it made was a strong and constitutive upregulation of an efflux pump of the RND family, BCAM2549-2552 (Table 3). This is the J2315 homologue of the ceo multidrug efflux pump operon described by Nair et al. [39] consisting of the three parts of the efflux pump (BCAM2549-2551) and a hydrolase/lipase (BCAM2552). The MIC data for J2315-T showed increased resistance to trimethoprim, fluoroquinolones, chloramphenicol and tetracyclines (Table 1; all are known substrates for this pump), a phenotype consistent with the substrate spectrum of the ceo efflux pump described by Nair et al. [39] and thus corroborating the microarray expression data. Upstream and divergently transcribed from the ceo efflux pump is a LysR family regulatory protein, BCAM2554, that is a homologue of the ceoR transcriptional activator [39]. This regulator was constitutively upregulated in mutant J2315-T (Table 3). Nair et al. [39] had also shown that the pump was upregulated in the presence of salicylate. In contrast to their findings made on B. cenocepacia strain PC121 [39], where salicylate and chloramphenicol were found to induce expression of the ceo efflux pump, we found that both the efflux pump and ceoR in B. cenocepacia J2315 and K56-2 were not inducible by salicylate (as tested by qPCR, data not shown) or sub-inhibitory levels of TMP-SMX (Table 3).

**Gene expression in J2315 wt in response to chlorpromazine**

Exposure of B. cenocepacia to this potentiator of aminoglycoside activity resulted in significant upregulation of two efflux gene clusters, BCAM0923-0927 and to a lesser extent, BCAM2186-2188 (Table 3). No specific link to amikacin-related gene expression, the antibiotic most potentiated by chlorpromazine, was observed (Table 3). The BCAM2186-2188 pump had homology to a macro-lide-specific ABC-type efflux pump system in E. coli [26]. Transfer of the efflux pump genes to B. vietnamiensis G4 or B. cenocepacia K56-2 (Additional file 1 Table S2) did not alter the antimicrobial susceptibility of these strains (either with or without the addition of chlorpromazine).

Likewise creation of a B. cenocepacia deletion mutant K56-2ΔM2186-8 did not produce an observable phenotype in relation to the antibiotics (with or without chlorpromazine) examined in this study (Table 4).

In relation to the other chlorpromazine activated efflux system, BCAM0926 and BCAM0927, were closely related to MexD and MexC, respectively, components of the MexCD-OprJ efflux pump in P. aeruginosa [40]. BCAM0925, an outer membrane protein, is most similar to OprM, which is part of the MexAB-OprM efflux pump in P. aeruginosa [40]. BCAM0924 is the response regulator part of a two component regulatory system that may control expression of the efflux pump; its role was investigated by the construction of a deletion mutant K56-2ΔM0924 (Table 4). The mutation did not alter its chlorpromazine or antibiotic MIC (Table 4). The expression of the structural pump genes, BCAM0926-0927, was also still induced by chlorpromazine in the deletion mutant suggesting that BCAM0924 was not critical for regulation of the adjacent efflux pump (data not shown).

To further investigate the role of the efflux pump structural genes BCAM0925-0927, a K56-2 deletion mutant spanning all 3 genes was constructed (Table 4). The K56-2ΔM0925-7 mutant demonstrated increased susceptibility to the disinfectant chlorhexidine and the antibiotic azithromycin, but no alteration in susceptibility to other antibiotics. Growth curve analysis of the K56-2ΔM0925-7 mutant revealed a 10% slower growth rate in the presence of chlorhexidine compared to the parent strain. qPCR analysis of the K56-2 parent strain showed that chlorhexidine, as well as chlorpromazine induced the efflux pump gene BCAM0927, 345-fold in the presence of 5 µg/ml chlorhexidine and 125-fold in the presence of 0.05 mM chlorpromazine. Chlorhexidine, like chlorpromazine is a cationic compound known to interact with and damage cellular membranes [41], hence it is logical that both compounds induce the efflux pump and that the efflux mutant demonstrated sensitivity to this disinfectant. Chlorhexidine is also known to induce the Pseudomonas aeruginosa MexCD-OprJ efflux pump [41]. Since the BCAM0925-0927 efflux operon shares considerable homology with latter P. aeruginosa system, the mutant phenotype also suggests that the pump may play a role in chlorhexidine efflux in B. cenocepacia. Why deletion of the pump led to an alteration in susceptibility to azithromycin is not known.

Another gene cluster upregulated in the presence of chlorpromazine was a squalene-hopene cyclase, BCAS0167, and an adjacent, divergently transcribed response regulator, BCAS0168 (Table 3). Squalene-hopene cyclase is involved in the synthesis of hopanoids, the prokaryotic equivalent of steroids [42] which may function to counter the effect of membrane disrupting agents on bacterial cells. A deletion mutant of BCAS0167, K56-2ΔS0167, demonstrated reduced growth rate in the presence of chlorpromazine and chlorhexidine, as well as an increased susceptibility to chlorhexidine (Table 4). Since the squalene-hopene cyclase gene and surrounding region is highly conserved in several Bcc genomes (B. cenocepacia, B. multivorans, B. lata and B.
ambifaria) these data suggest it plays an integral role in the intrinsic resistance of Burkholderia to membrane disrupting antimicrobial agents.

Gene expression in the pan-resistant CF outbreak isolates BCC1616 and BCC1617

The most pronounced change in expression profiles of both ET12 outbreak isolates was the downregulation of genes involved in flagella production and in chemotaxis (Table 5) compared to J2315. BCC1616 and BCC1617 also downregulated expression of their cable pilus genes (Table 5). The lack of flagella and chemotaxis gene expression correlated directly to the non-motile phenotype of the outbreak isolates, in contrast to J2315 which was motile (Additional file 1, Figure S1). During rapid growth in a sputum-based medium J2315 upregulates genes involved in production of the flagellum [10]. This may reflect a short term response of B. cenocepacia to early growth during infection, where flagella and motility serve as important virulence factors [43]. Lack of motility has been observed in P. aeruginosa CF isolates from chronic infection [18] and as a global gene expression adaptation to growth in sputum [44]. The stable non-motile phenotype and genotype of the recent ET12 clinical strains suggest that B. cenocepacia may in time also adapt to the same phenotype associated with P. aeruginosa from chronic CF infection.

Both the outbreak isolates had upregulated genes involved in transport and efflux, restriction modification, and transposition as follows (Table 5). An ABC membrane transport protein (BCAS0081; 41-fold) and adjacent hypothetical protein with possible hydrolytic activity (BCAS0082; 35-fold) were highly expressed. The transport protein BCAS0081 contains both an ATP binding cassette and transmembrane components, and has homology to the mdIB gene of E. coli which is implicated in multidrug resistance [45]. Deletion of BCAS0081 in mutant K56-2ΔS0081 resulted in increased susceptibility to tetracycline and chlorhexidine, but did not alter the mutant K56-2 ΔS0081 resulted in increased susceptibility to tetracycline and chlorhexidine, but did not alter the multidrug resistance [46]. The DNA modification conferred by the gene cluster may also play a role in surviving the damage caused by hydroxyl radicals which are released when bacteria are exposed to bactericidal antibiotics [47]. Since the type I restriction modification cluster is clearly transcriptionally activated in the outbreak strains, it will be interesting to follow up if their expression has provided them with a resistance and virulence advantage compared to J2315 as an ancestral ET12 isolate.

Another response conserved between the two outbreak isolates was the upregulation of transposase encoding genes within ISBcen9 (multiple probes were on the microarray; see BCAL0044 and BCAM2637, Table 5). The IS profiles of B. cenocepacia isolates of the same MLST strain type have been recently shown to vary markedly and oxidative stress was linked to this IS movement [48]. The upregulation of the ISBcen9 transposase in these recent outbreak isolates may indicate that these strains are hypermutable with regard to this IS. This may allow very rapid phenotypic switches to occur within individual bacteria in the infecting population and alter their pathogenicity.

When examined individually, the two outbreak isolates demonstrated several global gene expression characteristics that had been observed in the antibiotic resistant B. cenocepacia J2315 derivatives. BCC1616 upregulated the PA degradation enzyme genes (Table 5), that were also constitutively upregulated in J2315-M (Table 2). These data re-enforce the hypothesis that the ability of B. cenocepacia to switch metabolism to alternative pathways in terms of aromatic hydrocarbon degradation plays a critical role in its spontaneous antibiotic resistance. It had also upregulated the class A beta-lactamase (BCAM2165) that was induced in J2315 and J2315-M upon meropenem exposure (Table 3). Phenotypically, BCC1616 possessed the same elevated resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics that J2315-M had developed after meropenem selection (Table 1), and its meropenem MIC could be reduced by growth in the presence glucose (data not shown). Genes found upregulated in the amikacin-resistant J2315-A derivative (BCAL3148-3152; Table 5) were also constitutively over-expressed in BCC1616, again correlated to high aminoglycoside resistance of this isolate (Table 1).

Several differentially expressed genes were specific to isolate BCC1617. Genes involved in the p-hydroxybenzoate degradation pathway were highly upregulated (BCAL0057-0063, BCAM2568, and the IclR family positive regulator protein BCAM2569; Table 5). Since the PA pathway genes were not significantly altered in BCC1617, it would be interesting to follow up if the p-hydroxybenzoate degradation pathway may provide an alternative metabolic shift for B. cenocepacia to evolve...
Table 5: Selected genes differentially expressed in the recent *B. cenocepacia* outbreak strains BCC1616 and BCC1617 compared to *B. cenocepacia* J2315

| Functional class and gene name | Annotation | Fold change in expression |
|--------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| **Motility and chemotaxis**    |            |                          |
| BCAL0113                        | B-type flagellar hook-associated protein 2   | -18.80 -11.33              |
| BCAL0114                        | flagellin (type II)                           | -38.91 -24.51              |
| BCAL0126                        | chemotaxis protein MotA                       | -3.22 -2.41                |
| BCAL0127                        | chemotaxis protein MotB                       | -5.68 -                             |
| BCAL0128                        | chemotaxis two-component response regulator CheY  | -6.76 -2.95                |
| BCAL0129                        | chemotaxis two-component sensor kinase CheA   | -6.80 -3.26                |
| BCAL0130                        | chemotaxis protein CheW                       | -3.11 -2.82                |
| BCAL0521                        | flagellar FlJ protein                         | -4.31 -3.28                |
| BCAL0576                        | flagellar hook-associated protein 1 (HAP1)    | -11.57 -5.38               |
| BCAL0577                        | flagellar hook-associated protein 3 (HAP3)    | -14.33 -6.21               |
| BCAL0762                        | putative methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein  | -3.32 -2.99                |
| BCAM1503                        | putative methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein  | -16.92 -9.90               |
| BCAL1662                        | putative methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein  | -4.98 -2.57                |
| BCAM1804                        | methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein           | -12.56 -6.71               |
| BCAM2564                        | putative aerotaxis receptor                   | -6.45 -3.52                |
| **Cable pilus biosynthesis**    |            |                          |
| BCAM2758                        | two-component regulatory system, sensor kinase protein | -2.19 -2.63               |
| BCAM2759                        | putative minor pilin and initiator            | -3.69                       |
| BCAM2760                        | putative outer membrane usher                 | -2.15 -2.96                |
| BCAM2761                        | giant cable pilus                             | -2.97 -6.90                |
| BCAM2762                        | giant cable pilus chaperone protein           | -4.44 -10.00               |
| **Transport, efflux and antimicrobial resistance** | | |
| BCAL1674                        | multidrug efflux system AmrA protein          | -2.49                       |
| BCAL1756                        | Putative metal dependent phosphohydrolase     | -1.97                       |
| BCAM2165                        | putative beta-lactamase, class A              | 2.45                        |
| BCA50081                        | ABC transporter ATP-binding membrane protein  | 35.39 33.37                |
| BCA50082                        | Hydrolase of the alpha/beta superfamily       | 41.13 30.37                |
| **Restriction modification**    |            |                          |
| BCAL0414_J_1                    | type I modification component of restriction-modification system (pseudogene) | 23.04 24.84               |
| BCAL0418                        | type I restriction enzyme specificity protein | 12.59 10.77               |
| BCAL0420                        | type I restriction component of type I restriction-modification system | 3.79 3.04                 |
| **Transposition**              |            |                          |
| BCAL0044                        | putative transposase                          | 3.75 2.91                  |
| BCAM2637                        | putative transposase                          | 7.42 3.04                  |
| **Aromatic hydrocarbon catabolism** | | |
| BCAL0212                        | putative phenylacetic acid degradation NADH oxidoreductase PaaE | 2.29 -                   |
| BCAL0213                        | phenylacetic acid degradation protein PaaD    | 5.19 -                     |
| BCAL0215                        | phenylacetic acid degradation protein PaaB    | 3.31 -                     |
| BCAL0216                        | phenylacetic acid degradation protein PaaA    | 3.06 -                     |
| BCAL0405                        | phenylacetic acid degradation protein PaaI    | 2.49 -                     |
| BCAL0406                        | probable enoyl-CoA hydratase PaaG            | 2.54 -                     |
| BCAL0407                        | beta-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase                    | 4.38 -                     |
| BCAM1711                        | phenylacetate-coenzyme A ligase paaK          | 3.44 -                     |
| BCAM0058                        | 3-oxoadipate CoA-transferase subunit A        | - 61.45                   |
its antibiotic resistance. A specific resistance determinant upregulated in BCC1617 was an efflux pump (BCAL1674; Table 5) that is a homologue of the AmrAB [49] and of BpeAB [50] systems encoded by B. pseudomallei. Both the latter systems mediate resistance to aminoglycosides and macrolides in B. pseudomallei and it was notable that BCC1617 possessed very high levels of resistance to tobramycin, azithromycin and erythromycin (Table 1). An adjacent gene BCAL1672, encoding a transcriptional regulator and inactive in J2315 due to a frameshift mutation, does not have the frameshift in BCC1617 as revealed by sequence analysis. Hence, this could in turn allow the upregulation of the efflux pump in the outbreak strain compared to J2315.

BCC1617 also over expressed the phosphohydrolase BCAL1756 which was stably upregulated in the J2315-A amikacin exposed mutant. Other factors possibly contributing to the overall antibiotic resistance in BCC1617 compared to J2315 is the absence of a frameshift mutation in gene BCAL3259, a transport protein annotated as tetracycline resistance protein, and absence of an insertion sequence in BCAM1251, annotated as multidrug resistance transporter. Both latter genes are pseudogenes in J2315 [6] and also in BCC1616 (data not shown). Although their expression did not change in BCC1617, by just being functionally expressed they may mediate increased resistance to antibiotics.

**Conclusions**

Our novel transcriptomic analysis of the response of B. cenocepacia to antibiotic exposure has demonstrated that it expresses a multitude of genes and different pathways to achieve high levels of resistance. B. cenocepacia J2315 and the clonally related strains we studied are phenotypically and transcriptomically very versatile and can adapt quickly to environmental conditions. A key finding was that once certain antibiotic resistance phenotypes in B. cenocepacia had been selected by a single antibiotic, the changes in the transcriptome were not transient, and were stably maintained in the spontaneous antibiotic resistant mutants. This finding suggests that mutations selected in the spontaneously resistant B. cenocepacia J2315 mutants are stably inherited and do not revert at a high frequency. A change in antibiotic therapy may therefore not reverse a previously acquired B. cenocepacia spontaneous antibiotic resistance trait. Our molecular analysis corroborates the results of antibiotic susceptibility testing that demonstrate focussing on a single antibiotic or antibiotic class for therapy has limited efficacy and that multiple antibiotic combinations [14] offer the best means to combat the spontaneous resistance of this pathogen. We have identified multiple novel molecular pathways B. cenocepacia utilises to resist sub-MIC levels of antibiotic. However, for many of the genes altering expression in relation to antibiotic exposure we could not prove a direct involvement resistance, with only 3 of the 8 B. cenocepacia antibiotic resistance gene deletion mutants demonstrating increased antimicrobial susceptibility. For spontaneous resistance to single antibiotics, B. cenocepacia expresses set of genes which are distinct from those altered by transient sub-MIC exposure, and which are stably altered in expression irrespective of the presence of the priming antibiotic.

**Table 5 Selected genes differentially expressed in the recent B. cenocepacia outbreak strains BCC1616 and BCC1617 compared to B. cenocepacia J2315 (Continued)**

| Gene          | Description                                                   | J2315 | BCC1617 |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| BCAM0059      | 3-oxoadipate CoA-transferase subunit B                        |       | 70.43   |
| BCAM0060      | 3-carboxy-cis, cis-muconate cycloisomerase                    |       | 30.44   |
| BCAM0061      | putative 3-oxoadipate enol-lactonase I                       |       | 37.36   |
| BCAM0062      | 4-carboxymuconolactone decarboxylase                          |       | 48.08   |
| BCAM0063      | putative 4-hydroxybenzoate transporter                        |       | 5.79    |
| BCAM2568      | putative beta-ketoacidyl CoA thiolase                        |       | 35.88   |
| BCAM2569      | IclR family regulatory protein                               |       | 13.08   |
| BCAL0297      | putative thiamine biosynthesis oxidoreductase                 | 5.69  | 2.50    |
| BCAL1104      | thiamine biosynthesis protein                                 | 4.24  | 3.01    |
| BCAL1756      | Putative metal dependent phosphohydrolase                    |       | 1.97    |
| BCAL3152      | putative RNA polymerase sigma factor, ECF subfamily           | 5.26  | -8.93   |
| BCAL3153      | putative lipoprotein                                          | 6.89  | -13.04  |
| BCAM0186      | lectin                                                        | 7.89  | -       |
| BCA50125      | conserved hypothetical protein                                | 31.75 | -       |
| BCA50126      | MarR family regulatory protein/acetyltransferase              | 9.18  | -       |
| BCA50292      | conserved hypothetical protein                                | 3.98  | -       |
These findings suggest that spontaneous antibiotic resistance in *B. cenocepacia* selects multiple individual as well as pleiotropic mutations, stably altering the expression of many genetic pathways; uncovering the location of these mutations by genome re-sequencing and polymorphism analysis in future studies would shed light on why these changes are stably inherited traits in *B. cenocepacia*. Of the *B. cenocepacia* resistance mechanisms identified, those such as the beta-lactamas, phosphohydrolases, novel efflux pumps and phenylacetate acid pathways genes may be targeted to improve the efficacy of current antibiotics. We may also be able to harness the phenomenon of bacterial catabolite repression by glucose as a novel means to improve the efficacy of aerosolised antibiotics against certain *B. cenocepacia* during CF infection.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains and growth**

*B. cenocepacia* strains J2315, K56-2, BCC1616 and BCC1617, *B. vietnamiensis* G4, *Escherichia coli* strains and plasmids used in this study are shown in Additional file 1, Table S2. Bacteria were grown on Iso-sensitest agar/broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK), Tryptic Soya agar/broth (TSA/TSB; Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) Luria Bertani agar/broth (LBA/LB; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) or minimal medium (BSM [51]) as required. Strains BCC1616 and BCC1617 were from an outbreak of *B. cenocepacia* ET12 infection among CF patients that occurred in 2008 [15] and were confirmed to be the same sequence type as J2315 (ST-28 [6]) by sequence analysis of the phaC, gyrB and trpB genes [52]. The presence or absence of pseudogenes in BCC1616/1617 in comparison to strain J2315 was also determined using PCR (primer sequences are shown in Additional file 1, Table S3) and sequencing. *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 was used as an antibiotic susceptible reference strain for antimicrobial analysis. LB was supplemented with 20 mM glucose for testing of catabolite repression and antibiotic susceptibility as described below. Bacterial stocks were maintained at -80°C as suspensions of fresh plate growth in TSB containing 8% dimethyl sulphoxide.

Microbial growth characteristics were determined using a Bioscreen Automated Microbial Growth Analyzer (Bioscreen C, Oy Growth Curves AB, Finland). Cells were grown in honeycomb microtiter plates at 37°C with 200 μl medium per well and an inoculum of 5 × 10⁵ colony forming units (CFU) per ml. Optical density was measured every 5 min at 420-580 nm wideband after shaking for 5 seconds. Swimming motility [18] was determined in Iso-sensitest medium with 0.3% agar. Triplicate cultures were grown in each experiment and data from two biological replicates analyzed.

Spontaneous mutants with elevated antibiotic resistance were selected by plating strain J2315 onto Iso-sensitest agar containing antibiotic concentrations that were approximately double the MIC as follows: Amikacin (AMK) 300 mg/L; meropenem (MEM) 15 mg/L; and trimethoprim (TMP) in combination with sulfamethoxazole (SMX), 100 and 500 mg/L, respectively. The mutation frequency was calculated by dividing the total number spontaneous mutants by the total number of viable cells plated onto each selective agar. Single colonies were purified by plating, and five subsequent growth passages on agar containing the elevated antibiotic concentrations were performed prior to storage of the spontaneous antibiotic resistant mutant.

**Determination of mimimum inhibitory concentrations**

MICs of antibiotics were determined using a broth micro-dilution technique in 96-well microtiter plates according to the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (BSAC) guidelines [53]. Antibiotics were obtained from Sigma (amikacin, clavulanic acid potassium salt, erythromycin, gentamycin, levofloxacin, piperacillin sodium, rifampicin, sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline, tobramycin, trimethoprim), Fluka (azithromycin, ciprofloxacin) or MP Biomedicals (chloramphenicol). Meropenem was obtained from Chemos and cefazidime from Sandoz. Clarofan from Aventis was used as a source of cefotaxime, Primaxin IV from Merck as a source of imipenem, and Azactam from Bristol-Myers Squibb for aztreonam. The non-antibiotic cationic drugs theophylline, chlorpromazine and perchlorperazine were all obtained from Sigma. For the spontaneous J2315 mutants, the antibiotic on which they were selected was not included in the MIC assays.

Microtiter plates containing 200 μl of Iso-sensitest broth per well were inoculated with 5 × 10⁵ CFU/ml of bacteria obtained by dilution of a fresh overnight culture. After incubation (routinely 24 h, but up to 40 h for slow growing isolates) at 37°C (with rotary shaking at 200 rpm) growth was monitored spectrophotometrically (630 nm) using a microtiter plate reader (MRX-TC Revelation, Dynex Technologies, Worthing, UK). Wells with an O.D. >0.1 were scored as positive for growth. The BSAC guidelines were followed for determining breakpoint sensitivities [54]. To evaluate additive or synergistic antibiotic effects of two compounds in combination, a checkerboard microdilution assay with 1.5-fold dilution steps was used. The mean fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) index was calculated according to standard procedures. Synergy was defined as an FIC index of ≤0.5, additivity or indifference was defined as an FIC index of >0.5 to 4 [55].

**Microarray analysis**

Bacteria were cultivated for the microarray experiments in 25 ml Iso-sensitest medium held in 250 ml flasks; each was inoculated with 5 × 10⁶ CFU and incubated at 37°C on a rotary shaker (150 rpm). Antibiotics and
chlorpromazine were added at sub-inhibitory concentrations at the time of inoculation (the concentrations are given in Table 2 and Figure 1). The concentrations were chosen because they resulted in a 20% reduction of growth rate, this ensuring the bacteria were affected by the antibiotics but not in a lethal way. In the case of spontaneous mutants, experiments were performed at two different antibiotic concentrations: the same concentrations as used for the wild type (which did not alter the growth rate of the mutants significantly), and a higher concentration, again chosen to reduce the growth rate of each mutant by 20%. Growth was monitored spectrophotometrically and the bacteria harvested at an O.D. of 0.5 (approximately $5 \times 10^8$ CFU/ml). Cultures were swiftly aliquoted into microcentrifuge tubes and snap-cooled in liquid nitrogen before centrifuging at 20,000 × g at 4°C for 1 min. Pellets were immediately frozen at -80°C and RNA was extracted within one week of harvest using the RiboPure Bacteria Kit (Ambion/Applied Biosystems) including the optional DNase I treatment of the kit. LiCl precipitation (Ambion/Applied Biosystems) was used to concentrate the RNA and its quality was assessed with a Bioanalyzer using the RNA 6000 Nano kit (Agilent).

RNA was indirectly labelled with the CyScribe Post-Labelling Kit (GE Healthcare), with the first strand cDNA generated using random nonamers and incorporating amino allyl-dUTPs, which were then chemically labelled in a second step to minimise any dye bias. 10 μg of total RNA were used per labelling reaction and Spike-In controls (Agilent) were added to the labelling mix. B. cenocepacia genomic DNA was extracted as described [56] and labelled with the CyScribe Array CGH Genomic DNA Labeling System (GE Healthcare) at 1 μg per labelling reaction. A reference design was used for the microarray experiments with Cy3 labelled reference RNA or genomic DNA run as the control channel for all experiments. All experiments were performed with three biological replicates, and compared to three biological replicates of a J2315 control grown on Iso-sensitest medium without any antibiotics.

A custom microarray for B. cenocepacia J2315 was used; [10] however, the design was updated with each probes printed four times in a randomised distribution using the Agilent SurePrint 4 × 44K microarray platform (design AMADID#017397; ArrayExpress http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress accession number A-MEXP-1613). Microarrays were hybridized according to the Two-Colour Microarray Based Gene Expression Analysis protocol (Agilent), except that it was adjusted for the use of cDNA by omitting the fragmentation step. Labelled experimental cDNA was used at 825 ng per microarray and labelled control genomic DNA was used at 200 ng per microarray. Hybridization, washing and scanning was performed as described in the Two-colour Microarray protocol and the data analysed using GeneSpring GX version 7.3.1.

8740 probes (7251 coding sequences [CDS] and 1489 intergenic [IG]) specific to J2315 were evaluated as follows: (i) unreliable features were filtered out (features had to have a p-value ≤ 0.05 in at least half of the samples to be used for analysis); (ii) a filter on 1.5-fold change in expression in the test condition compared to the control condition (wild type without antibiotics) was applied, and (iii) a one-way ANOVA was carried out on the resulting gene lists, using a Welch t-test with 5% false discovery rate (and without multiple testing correction). An initial cut-off of 2-fold change was used for global analysis of differential gene expression. To verify co-regulation of adjacent encoded genes, those within clusters, or those with known roles in antibiotic resistance, a more relaxed cut-off of 1.5-fold change was used and reported if found to be statistically significant. The microarray raw data is accessible in ArrayExpress (accession numbers E-MEXP-2708, -2738 and -2747) and the complete gene expression dataset (including the statistical confidence data) is available as a supplementary data spreadsheet (Additional file 2).

qPCR for validation of microarray results
qPCR primers and annealing temperatures are listed in Additional file 1, Table S3. The correct size of amplification product and absence of unspecific product was confirmed by PCR on genomic DNA and subsequent agarose gel electrophoresis. RNA was extracted with the RiboPure Bacteria Kit (Ambion/Applied Biosystems) from newly generated biological replicates as follows: (i) one set mimicking the same culture conditions and concentrations of antibiotics used for microarray experiments; (ii) two sets of biological replicates where the culture conditions were modified and J2315 was grown on Iso-sensitest medium to O.D.600 0.5 without drugs, before adding higher but still sub-inhibitory concentrations of drugs (AMK 250 mg/L, MEM 20 mg/L, TMP-SMX 50 and 250 mg/L, chlorpromazine 0.25 mM). This reduced the doubling time by 10 to 20%, and then the control and test cultures were harvested after further growth to O.D.600 1.0. Extracted RNA was diluted to 150 ng/μl and treated for a second time with DNase (RQ1, Promega). cDNA was generated with the ImProm-II Reverse Transcription System (Promega) using 200 ng RNA per reaction. Real-time qPCR was performed as described in Drevinek et al. [10] using 250 ng cDNA per reaction; non-amplification, non-template, and no reverse transcriptase controls were included in all assays. Multiple reference genes were evaluated as controls for qPCR (data not shown) and BCAM0918, encoding RpoD, selected as the most stably expressed control gene as it showed minimal changes in expression across all conditions used in this study. Fold changes were calculated according to the delta delta CT method. qPCR was also
used for investigating expression of selected genes in different strains (J2315, K56-2 and J2315-M; see below) under the following conditions: LB medium with and without glucose (20 mM), and with and without salicylate (10 mM). Growth, RNA extraction and qPCR was performed exactly as described above.

Genetic manipulation

*B. vietnamiensis* G4 was used as an antibiotic susceptible complementation host for genes or gene clusters implicated in antibiotic resistance and with no close homologues in this strain. Genes were cloned into the arabinose inducible pMLBAD vector and introduced into *B. vietnamiensis* G4 by triparental mating [57]. The correct insert identity was confirmed by sequencing. Primer sequences for construction of the vectors are listed in Additional file 1, Table S3. Selection after triparental mating was performed on tryptone soya agar containing 75 mg/L trimethoprim and 400 U/ml polymyxin. MIC tests were performed on two transformants of each gene and gene cluster tested and compared to *B. vietnamiensis* G4 transformed with pMLBAD. The test medium was supplemented with arabinose at 0.5% final concentration, no trimethoprim selection was applied during the MIC tests. *B. cenocepacia* K56-2 was used for mutagenesis experiments and unmarked deletions were constructed using the yeast homing endonuclease I-SceI, as described by Flanagan et al. [58]. Transformation was performed by electroporation. Primer sequences for construction of pGPI derivatives are listed in Additional file 1, Table S3. All mutations were confirmed by PCR and subsequent phenotypic testing was carried out on two independently isolated mutants.

Bioinformatic analysis

Putative promoters and regulator binding sites were predicted by analysing the upstream region of upregulated genes with the motif discovery tool MEME [59]. The binding sites predicted by MEME were used for a search against genomes of other *Burkholderia* species using the MAST tool [60]. Signal peptides were predicted using SignalP 3.0 [61] and subcellular location of proteins was predicted with PSORT-B [62]. Homologues for genes across the genus *Burkholderia* were searched for by BLASTp [63] or the *Burkholderia* Genome Database [64].

Additional file 1: Supplementary tables and figures. A single document containing the following supplementary material: (i) Table S1: Fold changes in *B. cenocepacia* gene expression determined by qPCR; (ii) Table S2: Strains and plasmids used in this study; (iii) Table S3: PCR primers used in this study; and (iv) Figure S1: Swimming motility of *B. cenocepacia* J2315 and the outbreak isolates.
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