The effect of cyclic knee motion on the elongation of four-strand hamstring autograft in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: an in-situ pilot study
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Abstract

Background: Pretension of the viscoelastic graft by cyclic knee motion has been confirmed to decrease the graft creep and improve the outcome of anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction. The purpose of the present study was to investigate the effect of cyclic knee motion on the elongation of the four-strand hamstring tendon autograft in situ and to explore the stable level cycle, in which the tendon length achieved a stable level.

Methods: The study was performed with 53 consecutive patients undergoing transtibial ACL reconstruction with four strand hamstring tendon from Aug 2013 to Apr 2015. 43 males and 10 females were included with mean age of 29 ± 10 years. The pretension of the tendons was operated by cyclical knee motion ranging from 0 to 110°after the femoral fixation with Endo-button. The tendon length after 10, 20, 30 and 40 cycles was measured respectively and compared by repeated measure ANOVA. Then multivariate logistic regression was used to investigate the effect of the patients’ parameters (age, gender, height, body weight, tendon length, etc.) on the elongation of the graft and the stable level cycle.

Results: The mean lengthening of the graft at 10, 20, 30 and 40 times was 3.0 ± 1.4 mm, 4.3 ± 1.5 mm, 4.8 ± 1.7 mm and 4.8 ± 1.8 mm respectively. No significant correlation was found between the elongation and the patients’ parameters. There was significant difference of the tendon length from 0 to 30 cycles (F = 264.8, df = 1.95, p<0.001). However, the tendon length achieved a stable level after 30 cycles and the median elongation from 30 cycles to 40 cycles was 0 (0–1) mm with no significant difference (F = 2.039, p = 0.159). The male and female tendon length achieved to a stable level at 20 cycles and 30 cycles respectively but with no significant difference (p = 0.074).

Conclusions: The four-strand hamstring tendon was elongated after cyclic knee motion and the elongation achieved a stable level after 30 cycles for the transtibial technique. Both of the tendon elongation and the stable level cycle were not correlated with patients’ gender, age, preoperative duration, graft diameter and length.
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Background
Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture is one of the most common sports injuries of the knee joint, leading to pain, swelling, giving way and instability [1]. ACL reconstruction restores joint stability but the outcome was affected by many factors, of which the initial strength of the graft is important [2]. Several studies showed that the initial graft tension would influence the results of ACL reconstruction [3–5]. In fact, the viscoelastic creep is associated with collagenous soft tissues under sustained tensile load and the graft elongation that occurs after fixation is a key factor in mechanical failure [6]. According to literatures, pretension of the viscoelastic graft before fixation could decrease the amount of elongation and the pretension treated graft has been shown to have significantly greater stiffness than the control group [7]. Thus applying tension to the ACL graft has been a technically important aspect of ACL reconstruction to prevent post-implantation graft creep [8, 9].

For the graft pretension, it could be operated by applying a cyclic or static load to the graft during fixation. The repeated flexion and extension of the knee joint has been a simple and practical procedure for pretension [10]. Lee CH et al. also found that the intra-articular pretension grafts have significantly less displacement than grafts subjected to other 2 extra-articular pretension methods in an animal experimental study [11]. However, the previous studies were mainly focused on the bone-patellar tendon-bone grafts (BPTB) [4, 12, 13] or the semitendinosus and gracilis plus polyesters [3] or fixed with interference screw [14]. As a widely used ACL reconstruction procedure, four strand hamstring autograft with Endo-button as femoral fixation has been rarely studied about its pretension in situ. Thus it’s necessary to provide evidence for the best approach of pretention, which also helps in creating new guidelines.

In the present study, 53 ACL reconstruction patients were included and the pretension of the grafts was operated by cyclic knee motion ranging from 0 to 110° after the femoral fixation with Endo-Button. The purpose of this study is (1) to investigate the lengthening of the four-strand hamstring tendon autograft after cyclic knee motion during ACL reconstruction and establish the optimal number of cycles; (2) to analyze the factors related to the tendon elongation and the stable level cycle, in which the tendon length achieved a stable level. It was hypothesized that the length of the tendon would increase and achieve a stable level after certain cyclic knee motion. The graft lengthening and the stable level cycle might be related to patients’ parameters including age, gender, injured side, height, body weight, pre-operative duration, bone tunnel diameter, tendon length and Endo-button loop length.

Methods
Patients
This study was approved by the board of research ethics in our hospital (IRB00006761–2011097). The patients underwent arthroscopic ACL reconstructions in our institute from August 2013 to April 2015. The inclusion criteria included: transtibial bone tunnel positioning technique; four-strand hamstring tendon (semitendinosus and gracilis, STG) autograft with a sufficient length to make sure that the distal graft protruding the distal tibial tunnel outlet is more than 2.5 cm; Endo-button as the femoral side fixation; being done by the same surgeon. The exclusion criteria included contaminant other ligament injuries.

The patients were continuously enrolled during the study and there was a total of 53 patients meeting the inclusion criteria including 43 males and 10 females with 24 left knees and 29 right knees. The mean age was 29.4 ± 10.2 years. The preoperative duration from the initial injury to the surgery was 48 (0.1–240) months. The ACL rupture was diagnosed by Lachman test and MRI and confirmed by arthroscopic exploration.

Surgical technique and measurement
The patient’s informed consent was obtained before surgery. The surgical procedures have been described in our previous study [15]. Under general or spinal anaesthesia, the patients were positioned supine on the operating table. Standard diagnostic arthroscopy was performed to confirm ACL rupture and other injuries. Then the autogenous STG tendons were harvested through a 2–3 cm oblique incision. After tendons were cleaned of muscle tissue, the length of semitendinosus tendon (ST) and gracilis tendon (GT) and the diameter of quadrupled STG were measured. Then ends of each tendon were whip-stitched for 2.5 cm with No.5 Ethibond sutures (Ethicon, Somerville, NJ) at the preparation board and then pretensioned for 15 min to reduce the influence between tendon and suture.

In order to directly measure the total length of the femoral and tibial tunnels, the transtibial technique was used for ACL reconstruction. The exact tibial tunnel placement was at the intersection of the free margin extension of the lateral meniscus anterior horn and the lateral slope of the medial intercondylar spine. In this way, the tibial tunnel was placed at the second part from anterior by Harner’s quadrant evaluation [16]. The anterior edge of the PCL fibers was also taken as reference to avoid individual variability. The 2 mm metallic Kirschener wire was inserted with the help of a tibial guide (Smith & Nephew Endoscopy, Andover MA) transferred to 45° marker and 30° medially away from the tibia tubercle. Through trans-tibia approach with a 5-6 mm femoral guide (Smith & Nephew Endoscopy, Andover MA), the femoral tunnel was positioned at the
most proximal high deep point of the lateral intercondy-
lar cartilage edge, a little bit inferior to the site identified
in the over-the-top technique. Following the guidance of
Kirschner wire, the bone tunnel was drilled with 4.5 mm
and 7-8 mm drill according to the graft diameter.

With the knee flexed at 90°, the length of the femoral
bone tunnel was measured to determine the Endo-
button (Smith & Nephew Endoscopy, Andover MA)
loop length (making graft in the femoral bone tunnel ≥2
cm). The mean Endo-button loop length was 25(15–35)
mm. Then the distance between the proximal outlet of
the femoral tunnel and the distal outlet of the tibial
tunnel (ie total bone tunnel length) was measured. Thus
the length of the intra-body tendon (IBT) was calculated
by the total bone tunnel length minus Endo-button loop
length. The IBT actually included the tendon of both
intra-bone tunnel and intra-articular parts.

The STG autografts were quadrupled at the Endo-
button loop and pulled into the bone tunnel. The Endo-
button was confirmed to fasten at the femoral cortical
surface by arthroscopic lateral gutter visualization. Then
the knee was placed at flexion 90° and the first mark was
made at the 10 cm distal to the tibial tunnel outlet. The
graft was tightened by a tensometer (DePuy Mitek Inc.,
Raynham, MA) and then the pretension was operated by
repeated flexing and extending the knee joint between 0
and 110° with the tension maintained at 20 lb. by the
tensometer (Fig. 1). After 10, 20, 30 and 40 cycles, the
length from the 10 cm mark to the distal tibial tunnel
outlet was measured with vernier caliper respectively.

Then the INTRAFIX absorbable screw system (DePuy
Mitek Inc., Raynham, MA) was used for tibial fixation.

Statistics
All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS
Statistics software, version 22.0 (IBM Corporation,
Armonk, NY). The tendon length at different flexion
cycles was compared by repeated measure ANOVA.

Results
The mean length of ST, GT and IBT was 272 ± 32 mm,
246 ± 32 mm and 85 ± 8 mm respectively. The mean
diameter of the four-strand STG autograft was 7.8 ± 0.4
mm. The elongation of the 4 strand STG graft was
shown in Table 1 and Fig. 2. The relative lengthening
percentage to the ITT was also calculated to exclude the
influence of tendon length. The repeated measure
ANOVA was used to compare the elongation at each
point (including the initial length). Mauchly sphericity
test indicated that the data did not meet the sphericity
(w = 0.152, P < 0.001). Using Greenhouse-Geisser correc-
tion, significant difference was shown for the tendon
elongation (F = 264.8, df = 1.95, P < 0.001). According to
the comparison of intra-cyclic elongation, there was
significant difference for the 0 vs 10 cycles (F = 243.176,
P < 0.001), 10 vs 20 cycles (F = 143.307, P < 0.001) and 20
vs 30 cycles (F = 43.505, P < 0.001). However, the median
elongation from 30 cycles to 40 cycles was only 0(0–1)
mm with no significant difference (P > 0.05). In fact, the
tendon lengthening occurred in only 2 patients (3.8%)
after 30 cycles (Table 1).

The correlation of the tendon elongation and the pa-
tients’ parameters at each point was analyzed in Table 2
and no significant correlation was found (P > 0.05). In
terms of gender (Z = -1.189, P = 0.234) and injured side
(Z = -0.897, P = 0.370), there was no significant correl-
ation either.

As shown in Table 1, the graft length achieved to a
stable level (ie. stable length) with a mean of 25+/−6
cycles, in which the tendon length achieved the stable
level. Since the vast majority of patients (51 cases,
96.4%) achieved to the stable length at 20 or 30 cycles,
the parameters were compared between the two groups
(28 cases for 20 cycles and 23 cases for 30 cycles) to
investigate the related factors of the stable level cycle.

Fig. 1 Graft pretension procedure. The graft was tightened by a tensometer with the tension of 15 lb. Then the pretension was operated by repeated flexing and extending the knee joint between 0°(b) and 110°(a)
The results showed no significant difference between the two groups ($P > 0.05$). Then the correlation was also analyzed by multivariate logistic regression (Table 4). Similar to univariate analysis, no significant correlation was found between the stable level cycle and the patients’ parameters. However, the male and female tendon length achieved to a stable level at 20 cycles and 30 cycles respectively but with no significant difference ($P = 0.074$).

In terms of the effect of gender, the tendon was lengthened by repeated knee motion in both male and female patients (Fig. 3) with a significant difference of intra-cyclic lengthening ($F = 197.981$, df = 1.655, $P < 0.001$). There was a similar tendency for the tendon lengthening among different sex groups. Although the female tendon was lengthened more than the male’s, no significant difference was found at each point ($F = 0.272$, $P = 0.605$) (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

This study investigated the effect of cyclic knee motion on the elongation of the four-strand hamstring tendon autograft with suspensory fixation during ACL reconstruction. The graft was indeed lengthened after pretension by the cyclic knee motion, but no significant correlation was found between the elongation and the patients’ parameters. The tendon length achieved a stable level after 30 cycles.

The 4 strand STG autograft of the present study was significantly lengthened with repeated knee flexion and extension, which might be associated with postoperative mechanical failure [6]. Beynnon BD et al. [12] compared the 5-year follow-up results with or without pretension in ACL reconstruction and found that the graft elongation values produced by flexion of the knee that are outside the limits of the ACL result in significant increases in anterior knee laxity at long-term follow-up, while grafts with elongation values similar to the normal anterior cruciate ligament do not. Yasuda et al. [3] also demonstrated that relatively high initial tension (up to 80 N) reduced the postoperative anterior laxity of the knee joint after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using the doubled autogenous hamstring tendons connected in series with polyester tapes. Thus applying tension to the ACL graft has been a technically important aspect of ACL reconstruction to prevent postimplantation graft creep [8, 9]. However, the effect of the pretension on the outcome of the ACL reconstruction could not be concluded from the present study and a long-term comparative research was needed in the future.

Four-strand hamstring autograft with Endo-button has been a widely used ACL reconstruction procedure in recent years. Biomechanical studies found that equally tensioned four-strand hamstring-tendon grafts have higher initial tensile properties than those in the original and BPTB graft [17, 18]. However, compared to direct bone fixation tendon interface, such as interference screw, the loop of the cortical button fixation device might easily produce wiper effect or elastic cord effect.
leading to postoperative bone tunnel widening and ligamentous laxity [19–21]. Therefore, for the Endo-button hamstring ACL reconstruction, the graft pretension becomes more important. Brand et al. found that the Endo-button fixation is not as stiff as either of the femoral interference fixation options, but the addition of more than 20 loading cycles could remove laxity from the graft fixation-graft cruciate ligament complex and improve its stiffness [19]. Based on the result of the present study, after 30 cycled knee flexion and extension, the graft could be fully pretensioned.

In the present study, the elongation of the 4 strand STG graft achieved to 4.8 ± 1.8 mm after 40 cycles, which seemed less than other studies with the same graft. Kawano CT et al. [14] pretensioned the grafts of the STG in situ with range of flexion and extension of 0–110°. The lengthening was 3.57 mm/3.97 mm with ten, 6.30 mm/7.03 mm with 25, and 6.83 mm/7.7 mm with 50 cycles in the interference and transcondylar groups, respectively. The difference might be explained by the different femoral fixation, patient race and composition. It should be noted that the source of the elongation was still unclear in spite that the elongation value has been investigated. Höher J et al. indicated that the interfaces between the different materials such as tendon to tape, tape to button, tendon to suture, and suture to screw are the primary sites of constructed elongation [22]. In the present study, the distal graft protruding the tibial tunnel outlet was more than 2.5 cm, which excluded the effect of the suture. Thus the elongation of graft might mainly come from the tendon to tape and the tendon itself.

Although the pretension may increase the initial tension of the graft, excessive pretension may also lead to postoperative articular cartilage injury, long-term instability and delayed recovery [23]. Thus it is essential to determine the stable level cycle of flexion and extension. Boylan et al. found that the tension of hamstring tendon fell 50.2% after 1000 cycled knee flexion and extension between 0 and 90° and the anterior laxity significantly decreased [24]. However, it seemed with poor

| Table 2 Correlation analysis between graft elongation and patients’ parameters |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | 10 cycles       | 20 cycles       | 30 cycles       | 40 cycles       |
|                                | CC P            | CC P            | CC P            | CC P            |
| Age                            | 0.020 0.885     | 0.028 0.840     | 0.030 0.829     | 0.031 0.823     |
| Height                         | 0.014 0.919     | 0.07 0.616      | 0.057 0.688     | 0.075 0.593     |
| Body weight                    | 0.081 0.562     | 0.056 0.689     | 0.036 0.795     | 0.047 0.740     |
| Pre-op duration                | 0.161 0.251     | 0.142 0.311     | 0.174 0.212     | 0.181 0.195     |
| Graft diameter                 | −0.117 0.404    | 0.002 0.991     | −0.011 0.936    | 0 0.982        |
| ST length                      | −0.023 0.868    | −0.040 0.776    | −0.134 0.337    | −0.13 0.364     |
| GT length                      | −0.006 0.966    | −0.030 0.832    | −0.108 0.441    | −0.11 0.434     |
| IBT length                     | 0.037 0.794     | 0.012 0.933     | −0.001 0.993    | −0.02 0.862     |
| Loop length                    | 0.061 0.662     | −0.039 0.784    | −0.014 0.921    | −0.01 0.962     |

CC correlation coefficient, ST semitendinosus tendon, GT gracilis tendon, IBT intra-body tendon

| Table 3 Univariate analysis of stable level cycle with patients’ parameters |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | Stable level cycle = 20(n = 28) | Stable level cycle = 30 (n = 23) | t/z/χ² | P |
| Age                            | 29.8 ± 11.4     | 28.5 ± 8.8      | 0.45    | 0.655 |
| Height(cm)                     | 174.0 ± 8.6     | 173.7 ± 9.0     | 0.137  | 0.891 |
| Body weight(kg)                | 77.3 ± 13.5     | 75.6 ± 15.6     | 0.414  | 0.681 |
| ST length(cm)                  | 27.7 ± 3.5      | 26.5 ± 2.8      | 1.381  | 0.173 |
| GT length(cm)                  | 25.1 ± 3.5      | 24.0 ± 2.7      | 1.325  | 0.191 |
| IBT length(mm)                 | 85.0 ± 8.4      | 84.3 ± 7.6      | 0.325  | 0.746 |
| Endo-button loop(mm)           | 23.0 ± 4.2      | 23.5 ± 4.9      | −0.35  | 0.728 |
| Pre-op duration(month)         | 7.5(0.1–144.0)  | 6.0(0.5–240.0)  | −0.142 | 0.887 |
| Male (%)                       | 24(85.7%)       | 17(73.9%)       | 1.094  | 0.296 |
| Left side (%)                  | 14(50.0%)       | 10(43.5%)       | 0.216  | 0.642 |
| Graft diameter (%)             | 5(17.9%)        | 5(21.7%)        | 0.121  | 0.728 |

ST semitendinosus tendon, GT gracilis tendon, IBT intra-body tendon
operability to perform 1000 cycles in ACL reconstruction. Arnold et al. [25] found that only 50% of the initial tension applied to a patellar tendon graft remained after 1500 cycles of knee flexion, with the most rapid decrease occurring in the first 100 cycles. Kawano CT etc. [14] also found that the lengthening from 25 times to 50 times were only 0.53 mm and 0.64 mm respectively, thus the authors recommend 25 cycles of intra-operative flexions to be appropriate. Those results indicated that most of the tendon elongation occurred at the early cycles. Our study showed the similar result. More elongation of the graft was found at the first 30 cycles and there was only 2 patients (3.8%) whose tendon lengthened after 30 cycles with median elongation of 0(0–1) mm. Thus it could be believed that 30 cycles achieved a relatively stable elongation of the 4 strand STG graft with the Endo-button as femoral fixation.

In terms of related factor affecting the tendon elongation, this study indicated no significant correlation of the tendon elongation with the patient’s parameters. Meanwhile, no correlation was found in terms of the stable level cycle. However, Kawano CT found that the greater the length of the graft, the greater the lengthening on pretensioning throughout the substance; the shorter the length, the earlier the end of the lengthening was achieved [14]. The reason might be due to the difference in the femoral fixation. In addition, there are only 53 patients included in this study, out of which 43 are males and 10 are females. Maybe the insufficient sample size leads to the results that there are no significant correlation between the tendon elongation and the stable level cycle with patients’ parameters.

The pretension methods in ACL reconstruction included static and dynamic cycle pretension. Other than the static pretension of 60-80 N for 10–20 min, which was usually used for graft pretention, the method of repeated knee flexion and extension was performed in the present study. Pilia M et al. [7] confirmed that a simple pull up to 80 N before fixation does not impart sufficient tension to a graft to prevent it from failing and they recommend precondition or pretension the tendons before final tibial fixation to achieve greater retained tension in the graft after placement. Lee CH et al. [11] compared different commonly used pretension techniques for ACL reconstruction (manual, extra-, and intra-articular pretension) and the results suggested that the intra-articular pretension of the graft before final

### Table 4 Multivariate logistic regression of stable level cycle and patients’ parameters

|          | B     | S.E. | P     | OR    | 95% OR Cl | lower limit | upper limit |
|----------|-------|------|-------|-------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Age      | .014  | .038 | .704  | 1.015 | .942      | 1.093       |
| Height   | .148  | .096 | 1.244 | .964  | 1.400     |
| Body weight | -.011 | .035 | .744  | .988  | .924      | 1.058       |
| ST length| -.143 | .216 | .507  | .866  | .567      | 1.323       |
| GT length| -.191 | .208 | .358  | .826  | .550      | 1.242       |
| IBT length | .015  | .059 | .803  | 1.015 | .904      | 1.139       |
| Endobutton loop | .018  | .092 | .843  | 1.018 | .850      | 1.219       |
| Pre-op duration | .004  | .008 | .583  | 1.004 | .989      | 1.020       |
| Gender (male) | 2.277 | 1.273 | .074  | 9.745 | .804      | 118.076     |
| Side (left) | .491  | .699 | .483  | 1.633 | .415      | 6.429       |
| Graft diameter | -.826 | 1.115 | .459  | .438  | .049      | 3.894       |
| Constant | -.1294 | 12.469 | .904  | 1.018 | .850      | 1.219       |

OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval, ST semitendinosus tendon, GT gracilis tendon, IBT intra-body tendon

| Fig. 3 | Graft elongation of different gender patients |
fixation can significantly minimize graft elongation at time 0 compared with the other methods. Thus they recommended the intra-articular or in vivo pretension of the graft to minimize the graft elongation in the early period of rehabilitation after ACL reconstruction. Meanwhile, the cyclic pretension of the graft was operated in the bone tunnel after femoral fixation to ensure the optimum level of graft tension for the knee joint [14]. Furthermore, compared to tensioning on the graft preparation board for 20–30 min [6, 26], the cycled motion pretension seemed relatively more practical to save operative time. On the other hand, Nishizawa Y et al. [27] found that the intra-articular graft tension was significantly lower than the applied tension from the outside of the joint, even after cyclic loading and pretensioning. However, the author also stated that it is difficult to evaluate the intra-articular graft tension precisely on the basis of the extra-articular tension at time zero in ACL reconstruction.

The present in-situ study evaluated and highlighted the effect of cycled knee flexion on the elongation of four strand STG with Endo-button. Several previous similar studies were performed with BPTB [4, 12, 13], fixation with interference screws [14] or in cadaveric [6, 10, 17, 19, 28]. The biomechanics of BPTB was significantly different with that of the STG. The cadaveric results could not be directly used for clinical practice. The actual elongation might be better reflected though an in-situ study by live tendon graft in the live bone tunnels. The related factors of the graft have been rarely studied, which was important for more accurate pretension to each patient.

There are still some limitations for the present study. First, the present study is just to measure the tendon lengthening at surgery, the finding of the best cycles in the present study should be proved effective with follow-up. In addition to the graft inherent viscoelasticity, the reconstructed graft degeneration, fixation, bone tunnel widening and the postoperative rehabilitation may also affect the tension of the graft [29]. Second, more male patients and those with diameter of 8 mm were included in the study. The uneven proportion of gender and graft diameter might affect the result. In addition, the ACL reconstruction was performed with transtibial technique for the measurement needs of this study, the appropriate number of flex/ext. cycles in anteromedial portal femoral tunnel positioning technique is still unclear. Despite the fact that the transtibial technique has become less popular for ACL reconstruction, clinically important outcomes are actually comparable to literature figures for all the reported drilling techniques [30]. Furthermore, as the graft preparation board has been widely used nowadays, the comparison of the elongation and the clinical outcome between the static and the cyclic pretension might be also needed.

Conclusions
The present in-situ pilot study indicated that the four-strand hamstring tendon was elongated after cyclic knee motion and the elongation achieved a stable level after 30 cycles for transtibial technique. Both of the tendon elongation and the stable level cycle were not correlated with patients’ gender, age, preoperative duration, graft diameter and length. Thus at ACL reconstruction, the four-strand hamstring tendon could achieve a relatively stable length by 30 cycles of repeated knee motions.
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