Stable carbon isotope values of syndepositional carbonate spherules and micrite record spatial and temporal changes in photosynthesis intensity
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Abstract

Marine and lacustrine carbonate minerals preserve carbon cycle information, and their stable carbon isotope values (δ¹³C) are frequently used to infer and reconstruct paleoenvironmental changes. However, multiple processes can influence the δ¹³C values of bulk carbonates, confounding the interpretation of these values in terms of conditions at the time of mineral precipitation. Co-existing carbonate forms may represent different environmental conditions, yet few studies have analyzed δ¹³C values of syndepositional carbonate grains of varying morphologies to investigate their origins. Here, we combine stable isotope analyses, metagenomics, and geochemical modeling to interpret δ¹³C values of syndepositional carbonate spherules (>500 μm) and fine-grained micrite (<63 μm) from a ~1600-year-long sediment record of a hypersaline lake located on the coral atoll of Kiritimati, Republic of Kiribati (1.9°N, 157.4°W). Petrographic, mineralogic, and stable isotope results suggest that both carbonate fractions precipitate in situ with minor diagenetic alterations. The δ¹³C values of spherules are high compared to the syndepositional micrite and cannot be explained by mineral differences or external perturbations, suggesting a role for local biological processes. We use geochemical modeling to test the hypothesis that the spherules form in the surface microbial mat during peak diurnal photosynthesis when the δ¹³C value of dissolved inorganic carbon is elevated. In contrast, we hypothesize that the micrite may precipitate more continuously in the water as well as in sub-surface, heterotrophic layers of the microbial mat. Both metagenome and geochemical model results support a critical role for photosynthesis in influencing carbonate δ¹³C values. The down-core spherule–micrite offset in δ¹³C values also aligns with total organic carbon values, suggesting that the difference in the δ¹³C values of spherules and micrite may be a more robust, inorganic indicator of variability in productivity and local biological processes through time than the δ¹³C values of individual carbonate forms.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Carbonates from marine and lacustrine environments are key records of past environmental and carbon (C) cycle changes at local, regional, and global scales (Kump & Arthur, 1999; Leng & Marshall, 2004; Talbot & Kelts, 1990). In particular, carbonate stable carbon isotope values ($\delta^{13}C$) are commonly used as proxies for reconstructing past physiochemical conditions, productivity, organic carbon burial, and biotic/abiotic interactions (e.g., Kump & Arthur, 1999; Newell et al., 2017; Petryshyn et al., 2016; Solari et al., 2010). Additionally, since carbonate precipitation often involves minimal isotopic fractionation from the dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) pool, and the $\delta^{13}C$ values of precipitated carbonates are relatively insensitive to temperature changes, carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ values of stratigraphic units are considered close approximates of the ocean or lake DIC pool (Saltzman & Thomas, 2012 and references therein). However, carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ values remain challenging to interpret, as multiple processes influence the DIC $\delta^{13}C$ values that are ultimately recorded by carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ values. For example, organic matter remineralization (Teranes & Bernasconi, 2005), atmospheric and groundwater sources of DIC (Bade et al., 2004; Patterson & Walter, 1994; Talbot, 1990) and local biological processes (Cohen et al., 2000; Geyman & Maloof, 2019; Leng & Marshall, 2004), have all been shown to affect carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ values. Furthermore, certain carbonate grains and facies can be influenced by specific microbial and hydrological processes (Andres et al., 2006; Ingalls et al., 2020), resulting in grain-specific $\delta^{13}C$ values that can be rendered indistinguishable when $\delta^{13}C$ values are measured on bulk carbonate sediment samples.

Recently, carbonate facies- and mineral-specific $\delta^{13}C$ studies of lacustrine and marine sediments have revealed different local and regional impacts on $\delta^{13}C$ values. For example, in the Great Salt Lake, the $\delta^{13}C$ values of microbialites, intraclasts, and carbonate mud appear to be impacted by different local processes, such as microbial activity and hydrology, whereas ooid grainstones appear to be more faithful records of time-averaged lake chemistry (Ingalls et al., 2020). Furthermore, the different $\delta^{13}C$ values of calcite and aragonite in alkaline Lake Van (Turkey) indicate they precipitate in different seasons, with calcite predominantly precipitating during spring under near-freshwater conditions, and aragonite mainly precipitating in summer, when lake water is more evaporated (McCormack et al., 2019). In the Great Bahama Bank, the $\delta^{13}C$ values of different carbonate facies were found to be impacted by local platform processes and marine and meteoric diagenesis, resulting in the decoupling of carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ values from open ocean values (Geyman & Maloof, 2021). However, to date, studies that examine the $\delta^{13}C$ values of carbonate material that are bimodal with respect to microfabric and formation process in the same sedimentary horizons remain rare.

Shallow, near-marine lacustrine environments are ideal places for studying syndepositional carbonates with different microfabrics and formation processes, since the microbial mats often present in these environments can provide nuclei or binding sites that favor the growth of carbonate grains larger than sand size (Dupraz et al., 2009), while clay- to silt-size carbonate grains can also be transported, or form locally in the water column, water/sediment interface, or on the surface mat due to supersaturated conditions (Milliman et al., 1993; Morse et al., 2003). Thus, separating coarse- and fine-grained fractions of bulk carbonates and measuring their respective $\delta^{13}C$ values in these environments may refine interpretations of these values and help identify the processes that drive carbonate formation. The Kiritimati Atoll (Republic of Kiribati, 1.9°N, 157.4°W), one of the Northern Line Islands in the central tropical Pacific (Figure 1a), contains hundreds of brackish to hypersaline carbonate-rich lakes (Saenger et al., 2006) and is an ideal modern analog for restricted lagoonal and near-marine lacustrine carbonate facies (Read, 1985). It is also an ideal location to investigate microbial processes driving carbonate precipitation, as many of the lakes contain actively lithifying microbial mats (Arp et al., 2012; Ionescu et al., 2015; Schmitt et al., 2019; Schneider et al., 2013). Abundant, mm-scale single and aggregated subspherical particles (spherules), which have a morphology similar to ooids, and have also been referred to as ooids (Suarez-Gonzalez & Reitner, 2021), along with predominantly fine-grained carbonates, co-exist in a sediment core retrieved from one of these shallow hypersaline lakes (referred to as Lake 1) in the northeastern interior of the island (Figure 1b, Table S3).

In addition to the more traditional methods of petrography and stable isotope geochemistry, geochemical modeling and metagenomics are methods that can provide quantitative information on the biological processes recorded in carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ values (Geyman & Maloof, 2019, 2021; Ingalls et al., 2020; Wong et al., 2018). In this study, we use geochemical, petrographic, and molecular microbial information to assess how syndepositional carbonate spherules and micrite in a ~1600-year-long sediment core record C-cycle processes and their temporal variability. We also examine water chemistry data collected from a set of lakes in Kiritimati to support our inferences. We hypothesize that aragonitic spherules form in the surface of microbial mats during peak photosynthesis and thus record different $\delta^{13}C$ values than the syndepositional micrite, which may form more continuously in the water column, surface mat, and deeper in the mat. To test this hypothesis, we first present the carbonate sedimentology and mineralogy and evaluate the record for evidence of diagenesis. Next, we present and compare the stable carbon and oxygen isotope ratios of paired spherules and micrite from the same depth horizons of the sediment core. We then evaluate the potential drivers of the observed carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{carbon}}$) values using metagenomics and geochemical modeling results. Finally, we examine the $\delta^{13}C$ offset of the spherules and micrite throughout the sediment core, along with commonly measured total organic carbon and nitrogen values and their stable isotope ratios. We explain how this $\delta^{13}C$ offset may be an archive of local biological productivity, and therefore could ultimately be an additional tool to help deconvolve carbon cycle information in the geological record.
2 METHODS

2.1 Water and sediment sampling

We measured lake temperature (±0.2°C), specific conductivity relative to 25°C (μS/cm), pH (0.01 units), and dissolved oxygen (±0.02) in situ using a YSI Professional Plus multiparameter datasonde in July 2014, July 2017, and June 2019. We calculated salinity in ppt from these data using the Gibbs Sea Water (GSW) Oceanographic Toolbox (McDougall & Barker, 2011). We measured alkalinity in the field using a Hach Company alkalinity test kit. We filtered water samples for cation and anion analysis with 5 and 0.22 μm filters and stored the samples in pre-acidified amber plastic bottles in a dark, refrigerated environment until analysis. We measured major cation concentrations with ICP-OES (Perkin-Elmer, Optima 5300 DV) with a precision of ±2% and major anions with Dionex ICS 3000 gas chromatograph with a precision of ±5%. We filtered samples for water isotope analysis (δ18O and δ2H) and carbon isotope analysis of DIC (δ13C of DIC) using 5 and 0.2 μm filters and stored the samples in a 4°C freezer in 30-ml brown HPDE vials without headspace prior to analysis. We used Geochemist’s Workbench (Bethke et al., 2020) to calculate the saturation state (Ω) of carbonate minerals (aragonite and calcite) from measured values of temperature, pH, alkalinity, and ion concentration.

In July 2017, we retrieved a 48-cm core from near the southeastern shore of Lake 1 using an SDI mini-Vibe vibracorer. A green- and red-pigmented, un-lithified microbial mat covered the surface (0–5 cm) of the core (Figure S1). We extruded the core in the field at 2.5 cm intervals (20 sub-samples in total), sampling from the extruded core interior to avoid contamination. We placed pairs of samples in sterile whirlpak bags using sterile spatulas. We treated samples for nucleic acid extraction with RNAlater preservative (Thermo Fisher Scientific), homogenized them, and stored them on ice. Upon return, we stored sediment samples for geochemistry and mineralogy analyses at 4°C in the dark until further analysis, and stored sediment samples for nucleic acid extraction and metagenomics in a ~20°C freezer. In the lab, we used 500 and 63 μm sieves to separate the coarse and fine fractions for each sampling depth. We screened and described samples from different sieve pans (>500, 63, and <63 μm bases) at 10×–100x with a dissecting microscope and stored the dry samples in separate vials for subsequent measurement.
2.2 Petrographic and mineralogical measurements

We obtained thin sections from Wagner Petrographic (Linden, UT) of representative spherule samples from five depths of the 2017 core (2.5, 10, 20, 30, and 40.2 cm), sampling 10–15 carbonate spherules at each depth. We first assessed sample texture, preservation, and mineralogy using transmitted light (TL) microscopy at the Carl R. Woese Institute of Genomic Biology at the University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign (UIUC). We examined spherules with distinctive features (e.g., organic matter (OM) nuclei or laminae, post-depositional alterations, and embedded microfossils) with a Tescan Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) using environmental mode (Univac 20 Pa) in the UIUC Department of Geology. We generated elemental maps (C, O, Na, Mg, Si, P, S, Cl, Ca, and Sr) for selected spherules using an energy-dispersive spectrometer (EDS) attached to the SEM. We viewed another set of spherules and associated matrix on glass slides affixed with carbon tape under SEM to obtain information about the topography and mineral–OM relationships within the matrix.

To provide mineralogical context supporting the petrographic results, we collected X-ray diffraction (XRD) data on representative spherules and matrix samples from the Lake 1 core. To remove soluble salts, we centrifuged the wet samples of spherules (>500 μm) and matrix (<63 μm) in Milli-Q water for 10 min at 2800 RPMs, and repeated three times. We then transferred samples to Petri dishes, where we removed organics with 30% hydrogen peroxide. We then air-dried samples in the fume hood and ground them into powders with mortar and pestle. We ran pulverized spherule and matrix samples on a Siemens-Bruker D5000 at the Frederick Seitz Materials Research Laboratory at the UIUC with the following settings: incident angle of 5°–90°, an increment of 0.02, and a scan speed of 1°/min. We analyzed results in the Jade XRD software to obtain mineral identification and abundances.

2.3 Chronology

Our chronology of Lake 1 is built upon three acid–base–acid pretreated radiocarbon dates on organic matter (Chen et al., 2020). We created an age-depth model using Bacon 2.2 (Blaauw & Christen, 2011), after correcting for a surface radiocarbon reservoir effect of 350 years and using INTCAL13 (Reimer et al., 2013). Modeled ages are in calendar years.

2.4 Stable isotope measurements

Using a mortar and pestle, we crushed the rinsed spherules (>500 μm) and matrix (<63 μm) at each sampling depth. We measured the δ13C and δ18O values of these prepared carbonates and the δ13C of water DIC on a Kiel III automated carbonate preparation device coupled to a Finnigan MAT 252 in the Illinois State Geological Survey (ISGS) Stable Isotope Laboratory. We added silver foil to each run to remove potential sulfate contamination. After completely removing inorganic carbonate with 10% HCl, we measured organic carbon, along with total carbon and total nitrogen percentages of the organic matrix (TOC, δ13Corg and TN), on an EA-IRMS (CE Instruments NC 2500 elemental analyzer in series with a ConFlo IV universal interface coupled to a Delta V Advantage Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer) housed at the Illinois State Geological Survey (ISGS) (Chen et al., 2020). Precision is ±0.02‰ for δ13Corg (VPDB), ±0.02‰ for δ15N (VPDB), ±0.07‰ for δ18O (VPDB), and ±0.03‰ for δ13C (VPDB). We measured the water δ18O and δ2H on a Picarro L2130-i cavity ringdown isotopic analyzer in the UIUC Department of Geology. The average precision is ±0.1‰ for δ18O (VSMOW) and ±0.8‰ for δ2H (VSMOW).

2.5 Sequencing, taxonomic, and functional genes analyses

For the 2017 sediment core, we extracted DNA of representative sediment subsamples (0.5 g) from 17 individual sample depths, with duplicates, using a modified protocol for extraction of DNA and RNA from soil using phenol–chloroform methods. Protocol details with explicit modifications and related references are in the supplemental materials. The quality and quantity of extracted DNA were determined by agarose gel electrophoresis and fluorometry (Qubit 4.0). We sequenced five metagenomes (MET-1: 0–5 cm, MET-2: 5–22.5 cm, MET-3: 22.5–34.2 cm, MET-4: 34.2–38 cm, and MET-5: 38–40.2 cm) in the UI Carver Biotechnology Center using the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform (Chen et al., 2020). For each sequenced library, we obtained the taxonomic annotations from the DIAMOND-MEGAN pipeline (Buchfink et al., 2014; Huson et al., 2016) and the functional gene annotations from metagenomes deposited to JGI-Integrated Microbial Genomes and Microbiomes (JGI-IMG) (Chen et al., 2019). Detailed sequence analysis procedures can be found in Chen et al. (2020).

For each metagenome, we used the annotations to extract the cited taxa and signature functional genes associated with microbial activities that can directly or indirectly induce carbonate precipitation (Berg et al., 2010; Zhu & Dittrich, 2016; and references therein) (Table 2). We used the R package "phyloseq" (McMurdie & Holmes, 2013) to quality filter, normalize, and visualize the clustered operational taxonomic units (OTUs) from the DIAMOND-MEGAN pipeline. We normalized the relative abundance of each functional gene from KEGG annotations using the rpoB gene (the beta subunit of bacterial RNA polymerase) to obtain the relative abundance of different functional genes related to carbonate precipitation in each metagenome (Orellana et al., 2018). Additionally, we used Functional Annotation of Prokaryotic Taxa (FAPROTAX) to predict established metabolic functions from the valid 16S RNA sequences obtained from MEGAN-DIAMOND pipeline based on the characterized strains obtained via OTU tables with putative functional tables in the FAPROTAX database (Louca et al., 2016). Finally, we visualized the relative abundances of genes and metabolisms using a heatmap.
function from the R package “NMF” to observe the distributions of critical enzymes potentially involved in carbonate precipitation at different depths in the sediment core (Gaujoux & Seoighe, 2010).

### 2.6 Geochemical modeling

To simulate carbonate δ$^{13}$C values in Lake 1, we used a simple mass balance model of the diurnal carbon engine, modified from Geyman and Maloof (2019) (Figure 2). This model, which was developed to explain the anomalously high δ$^{13}$C values of modern carbonates on the Bahama Bank, shows how the daily cycle of photosynthesis and respiration can lead to the decoupling of global seawater δ$^{13}$C values and shallow water carbonate δ$^{13}$C values.

The primary forcing of the model is the daily organic CO$_2$ flux ($F_{org}$) from photosynthetic and aerobic respiration, resulting in the transfer of carbon between the inorganic and organic reservoirs. The diurnal periodicity of $F_{org}$ is approximated from several shallow marine settings from high-resolution (~hourly) DIC/TA/pH/pCO$_2$ data (Geyman & Maloof, 2019). The amplitude of the $F_{org}$ ($\kappa_p$) is estimated from a Deffeyes diagram by calculating the diurnal DIC change induced by photosynthesis (Geyman & Maloof, 2019). This value can range from 19 μmol/kg in the open ocean to ~600 μmol/kg in some shallow marine settings. Therefore, we used a range of $\kappa_p$ (from 200 to 600 μmol/kg) to simulate different amplitudes of $F_{org}$ in our system. For sensitivity tests, we used $\kappa_p = 247$ μmol/kg, which is the median photosynthetic forcing in the Geyman and Maloof (2019) compilation, as the default setting. The two other significant carbon fluxes in the model are carbonate precipitation/dissolution ($F_{carb}$) and gas exchange ($F_{gas}$). We used parameterizations for $F_{carb}$ and $F_{gas}$ that are widely used in the literature (Table S1). We divided each day into time steps of 5 min. In each timestep, we use the lake water DIC and TA to compute the lake water pCO$_2$ and saturation state of aragonite ($\Omega_{ar}$) and calcite ($\Omega_{calc}$) in CO2SYS (Lewis & Wallace, 1998). We then used pCO$_2$ and Ω to calculate $F_{carb}$ and $F_{gas}$ (Table S1). Next, we apply the forcings $F_{org}$ as well as the computed $F_{carb}$ and $F_{gas}$ fluxes, to track the evolving lake DIC and TA based on the stoichiometry of carbonate precipitation, photosynthesis, and air–sea CO$_2$ gas exchange (Geyman & Maloof, 2019). The detailed equations and the descriptions of all model variables are listed in Table S2 and Figure 2.

The input variables and the fractionation factors (ε) for carbon transfer between different reservoirs (atmosphere, organic carbon, and lake DIC) are listed in Table S2 and Figure 2. We estimated the fractionation factor between the DIC pool and organic matter (ε$_{org}$) from paired δ$^{13}$C$_{org}$ and δ$^{13}$C$_{carb}$ measurements for each sampling depth (see Supplemental Materials for details). We computed a median value of ε$_{org} = -10\%$, which is comparable to other hypersaline microbial mats (Houghton et al., 2014; Kelley et al., 2006). We used literature values for the fractionation factors between carbonate minerals and the DIC pool (ε$_{calc}$, ε$_{arag}$), as well as between the DIC pool and the atmosphere (ε$_{air-lake}$, ε$_{lake-air}$) (Table S2).

To understand how mineral composition influences carbonate δ$^{13}$C values, we tracked the δ$^{13}$C values of calcite and aragonite over 24 h of precipitation in the model. Additionally, we conducted a series of sensitivity tests (Table S2) to determine which variables may drive the high δ$^{13}$C values of spherules and the magnitude of the δ$^{13}$C offset between co-occurring spherule and micrite δ$^{13}$C values. Input parameters that can significantly shift due to environmental changes were included in the sensitivity tests (Table S2). For the sensitivity tests, we changed one parameter of interest at a time and chose the range of model inputs from either (1) end members of field observations or (2) calculations based on our field measurements. To evaluate the impact of different diurnal patterns of precipitation on the simulated carbonate δ$^{13}$C values, we also applied different precipitation
periods, varying from 2 to 24 h around peak photosynthesis. Peak diurnal photosynthesis was defined as 15:00, the time with the highest instantaneous δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{DIC}}\) values when running the model for a 24-h cycle (Figure S1). This timing of peak photosynthesis is supported by diurnal δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{DIC}}\) variability observed in shallow reefs, showing the highest δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{DIC}}\) values around 15:00 (Geyman & Maloof, 2019; Richardson et al., 2017). We calculated the weighted δ\(^{13}\)C average for each precipitation period (δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{weighted}}\) = (Σ δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{carb}}\)×F\(_{\text{carb}}\))/Σ F\(_{\text{carb}}\)). We ran all models for 50 days (20,000 timesteps) to reach a stable value for the weighted δ\(^{13}\)C average. We recorded the weighted δ\(^{13}\)C average for different precipitation hours around peak diurnal photosynthesis (2-24 h) for each sensitivity test and computed the δ\(^{13}\)C offset between each period and the daily average δ\(^{13}\)C value. We use contour maps to illustrate the δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{carb}}\) and δ\(^{13}\)C offsets for different sensitivity tests (see Supplemental Materials for code).

Finally, we make alternative calculations of the δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{carb}}\) and δ\(^{13}\)C offsets using pCO\(_2\) and saturation indices calculated with the PHREEQC program (Parkhurst & Appelo, 2013). The comparison of these two carbonate system modeling methods (PHREEQC and CO2SYS) showed good agreement for most physicochemical parameters. However, at high salinity, the PHREEQC modeling method likely provides more accurate carbonate system parameters, since CO2SYS does not contain a dataset for high salinity conditions (see Appendix S3).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Water chemistry

The surface water chemistry of Lake 1 varied substantially in different years, most notably in salinity, with values ranging from mesosaline (44.9 ppt, 2017) to hypersaline (147.3 ppt, 2014) (Table 1). The alkalinity of Lake 1 also varied from 4.42 meq/L (2014) to 1.32 meq/L (2019). The DO values in the lake varied from 61.6% (2014) to 90.5% (2019), and all three measurements fall within the hyperoxic range due to photosynthetic activity. As DO was not measured at the same time every day and also has significant diurnal variations (Geyman & Maloof, 2019), these values are not used as indicators of photosynthetic rates. The pH values (7.81 to 8.17) and water temperatures (29.7–31.9°C) for Lake 1 were relatively stable over measurement periods.

The 2014 δ\(^{18}\)O values of Kiritimati lake waters, taken from a suite of lakes, range from −0.77‰ (Lake 24) to 4.94‰ (Lake 27). The δ\(^{2}\)H values range from −6.59‰ (Lake 24) to 24.92‰ (Lake 26). The δ\(^{18}\)O values of the 2019 Kiritimati lake water range from 0.51‰ (Lake 150) to 2.40‰ (Lake F7). The δ\(^{2}\)H values range from 2.24‰ (Lake 18) to 13.68‰ (Lake F7). The δ\(^{13}\)C values of the 2019 Kiritimati lake water DIC range from −13.47‰ (Lake F6) to 1.73‰ (Lake 15), with a median value of −2.11‰ (Table S4). The measured δ\(^{18}\)O, δ\(^{2}\)H, and δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{DIC}}\) values of Lake 1 in 2019 (sampled at 9:36 AM) are 1.47‰, 6.51‰, and −4.74‰, respectively.

| Sample ID   | K14-01 | K17-01 | K19-01 |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|
| δ\(^{18}\)O (‰, VSMOW) | 1.81   | 2.66   | 1.47   |
| δ\(^{2}\)H (‰, VSMOW) | 3.75   | 12.31  | 6.51   |
| Temperature (°C) | 31.2   | 31.9   | 29.7   |
| DO (%)      | 61.6   | 84.9   | 90.5   |
| Conductivity (μS/cm) | 176384 | 75141  | 108305 |
| Salinity (ppt) | 147    | 44     | 72     |
| pH          | 8.04   | 7.81   | 8.17   |
| Alkalinity (meq/L) | 4.4    | 2.20   | 1.32   |
| Ca (mmol/kgw) | 1241   | 1613   | 2772   |
| Mg (mmol/kgw) | 6170   | 1917   | 4059   |
| Na (mmol/kgw) | 50890  | 17262  | 37388  |
| K (mmol/kgw)  | 1879   | 663    | 7689   |
| Sr (mmol/kgw) | 31.68  | N.D.   | 28.46  |
| Si (mmol/kgw) | 1.87   | N.D.   | N.D.   |
| B (μmol/kgw)  | 24432  | N.D.   | N.D.   |
| Mg/Ca        | 4.97   | 1.19   | 1.46   |
| Cl (mmol/kgw) | N.D.   | 25532  | 33000  |
| SO\(_4\) (mmol/kgw) | N.D. | 5844   | 8700   |
| Saturation State (Ω) Aragonite | 10.23 | 4.68 | 6.03 |
| Saturation State (Ω) Calcite | 14.79 | 6.92 | 8.71 |

ND, not detected.

3.2 | Sedimentary chronology, sedimentology, and mineralogy results

The interpolated bottom age of the core is 1590 ± 20 cal yr before present (BP), and the age at the bottom (5 cm) of the modern microbial mat is 130 ± 15 cal yr BP. The inferred sedimentation rate is 0.02 cm/yr from 2.5 to 22.5 cm and 0.04 cm/yr from 22.5 to 48 cm (Chen et al., 2020). A detailed description of the core sediment can be found in the previous literature (Chen et al., 2020). The core top consists of a green- and red-pigmented, un lithified microbial mat (~5 cm thick). Brown, thin-bedded carbonate aggregates and spherules (0.5–1 mm) are abundant. The sediments beneath the surface microbial mat consist of uncemented carbonate sediments of varying grain sizes (μm to mm), along with dispersive red- to brown-pigmented OM. There is abundant red-brown, thin-bedded micritic calcite and aragonite in the 5–10 cm section of the sediment core, along with carbonate spherules (0.5–2 mm) and red-pigmented OM. Between 10 and 34.3 cm in depth, the relative abundances of dark-brown micrite decrease substantially, whereas the abundance of coarse-grained spherules and plate-like carbonate fragments (0.5 and 2 mm in diameter) increases. From 34.3 to 40.2 cm, the sediment is dominated by brown, thin-bedded micritic carbonate with some sand-size carbonate particles, along with gastropods, bivalve...
and coral fragments, and a dark red–brown laminated microbial mat (located at 40.2 cm, referred to as buried mat). Underneath 40.2 cm to the bottom (48 cm) of the core, there is a sharp change to white, massive carbonate silt with knobby carbonate aggregates and gastropods, bivalves, and coral fragments. The interpretations and analyses that follow focus on sediments above this transition boundary.

The primary, inorganic coarse-grained carbonates (>500 μm) in the studied region of Lake 1 sediment core (0–40.2 cm) are single or aggregated subspherical particles with grain sizes ranging from 0.5 to 2 mm (Figure 3a,b), referred to as “spherulates” or “spherules” in the previous Kiritimati literature given their spherical shape (Arp et al., 2012; Schmitt et al., 2019; Schneider et al., 2013), and more recently considered as ooids (Suarez-Gonzalez & Reitner, 2021). Since our primary interest is in the C-cycle information encoded in different components of the sedimentary column, we do not take a stance on the semantic argument of whether these subspherical particles should be referred to as ooids, and instead use the broader term “spherules”. As shown in Figure 3a,b, the surfaces of the spherules are often irregular and pitted, similar to those found in other Kiritimati lakes (Suarez-Gonzalez & Reitner, 2021). The petrographic images of spherules show signs of diagenesis, including borings, dissolution (pitting), isopachous crusts of fibrous aragonite cement, and recrystallization (Figure S4), suggesting that they have undergone some alteration. Spherules are found in all depths of the sediment core (from 0 to 40.2 cm, Table S3), and are also found cemented together, forming irregular aggregates, similar to those found in other Kiritimati lakes (Schmitt et al., 2019; Suarez-Gonzalez & Reitner, 2021). The number of individual spherules and aggregated spherules does not differ substantially across the 40.2 cm core (Table S3). The spherules often consist of a nucleus and a cortex (Figures 3c–e), but some spherules without a clear nucleus are also found in the sediment (Figure 3f). The nuclei are always irregular micritic aggregates, potentially including organic matter, such as extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). The cortices of the particles have radial fibrous structures composed of aragonite minerals (Figure 3c, e), and some of the cortices also include internal laminations (Figure 3d). Several spherules have morphological features indicative of ooids (Suarez-Gonzalez & Reitner, 2021), such as radial fibrous and micritic laminae forming around a central nucleus (Figure 3d). Spherules with and without internal laminations are both consistently present across the sediment core, and there is no significant difference between the ratios of these two types of spherules. XRD results of powdered spherule samples show that they are aragonite, and elemental abundance results from EDS-XRF indicate spherules are predominantly Ca rich, with minimal Mg hotspots (Figure S5B-C, E-F). Skeletal grains (e.g., gastropods, fossil coral fragments, and bivalve shells) are also found in the coarse-grained carbonate fraction but are much less abundant and only found in 34.2 to 48 cm of the sediment core, adjacent to the lagoon transition zone at 48 cm.

Primary fine-grained carbonates (<63 μm) are mainly composed of needle-like aragonite and calcite crystal aggregates. SEM imagery of the matrix shows evidence of initial microcrystalline carbonate crystal aggregates (from <1 to 50 μm) associated with EPS, as well as silt-sized aragonite crystal aggregates (Figure 3g,h). XRD results of the fine-grained samples indicate a mixture of aragonite and calcite (aragonite: 86.2 ± 5.0%; calcite: 13.8 ± 5.0%, Table S3).

3.3 Stable isotope results

δ13Corg values decrease from 0 to 22.5 cm (from −14.25‰ to −18.26‰) and increase from 22.5 to 40.2 cm (from −18.26‰ to −10.53‰). Total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN) are highest in the mat regions (0–5 cm and 38–40.2 cm) and are relatively lower in the middle part of the core (Figure 4). Carbon and oxygen stable isotope values of spherules (δ13Corg, δ18Oorg) vary from 5.31‰ to 8.11‰ and −0.39‰ to 0.42‰, respectively. δ13C and δ18O values of the micrite (δ13Cm, δ18Om) from the sediment core range from 1.41‰ to 5.77‰ and -0.67‰ to 0.29‰, respectively. Both spherules and micrite show statistically significant (r = 0.60 and 0.75, N = 17, P < 0.05 and P < 0.001, respectively) positive covariances of δ13C and δ18O values (Figure 5a). The δ13Corg and δ18Oorg values are significantly higher than the δ13Cm and δ18Om from the same sampling depth (two-tailed paired t-test, p < 0.0001 and p < 0.001, respectively).

3.4 Taxonomic and functional gene results

Microbial taxa known to influence carbonate precipitation (Table 2) comprise 20.7% of the total microbial community for the surface mat (MET-1), which is dominated by bacterial oxygenic phototrophs (phylum Cyanobacteria, 14.5%) and specifically identified anoxicogenic phototrophs within the phyla Acidobacteria, Chlorobi, Gemmatimonadetes, and Proteobacteria (4.7%) (Figure 6a). Below the surface mat (MET-2, MET-3, MET-4, and MET-5), the relative abundance of microbial taxa that are involved in carbonate precipitation declines to less than 5% of the total microbial community with more archaeal methanogens (phylum Euryarchaeota) and methanotrophs (phylum Crenarchaeota, related to anaerobic methane oxidation) compared to the surface mat region. On the other hand, the relative abundance of sulfate-reducing bacteria (mainly from class Desulfbacterota, formerly Deltaproteobacteria) stays relatively stable (1.0 ± 0.2%) across the core.

Functional gene annotations show that genes related to electron transfer of photosynthesis (psbA/D, psaA/B) along with genes (rbcL, prkB, aclA, mch, and mchl) that encode key enzymes for carbon fixation pathways (Calvin cycle, reductive TCA, and 3-hydroxypropionate bicycle) used by phototrophs (Wong et al., 2018) are most abundant in the surface mat (Figure 6b). Additionally, functional genes related to sulfate reduction (cysNC, cysD, dsrB, and aprA), ammonification (echa), and carbonic anhydrase (cynT) are also dominant in this region. Functional genes of carbon fixation pathways used by anaerobic microbes (cooS, coxS, cdhA, acsB, and abD) dominate in deeper sediments, and most
FIGURE 3 Transmitted light and SEM images of typical coarse- and fine-grained carbonates in the Lake 1 sediment core. (a) SEM image of an individual spherule (2.5–5 cm). (b) SEM image of a spherule aggregate (20–22.5 cm). (c) Transmitted light photomicrograph of an individual spherule with a micritic nucleus potentially including OM (2.5–5 cm) and radial fibrous textures, but without strong concentric laminae. (d) Transmitted light photomicrograph of an individual spherule with nucleus (7.5–10 cm), radial fibrous laminae, and micritic laminae (white arrows). Arrows indicate locations of micritic laminae. (e) Transmitted light photomicrograph of spherule aggregates (20–22.5 cm) with a micritic nucleus potentially including OM (red arrows) and radial fibrous laminae. (f) Transmitted light photomicrograph of an individual spherule without an apparent nucleus (2.5–5 cm). Noted that diatoms (yellow arrows) are also trapped within the spherules due to the rapid carbonate precipitation. (g) Initial spherule-like aragonite matrix, showing association with EPS filaments (ar-s, 7.5–10 cm). (h) Needle-like structure of aragonite matrix (ar-n, 7.5–10 cm). Scale bars as indicated in the figures.
other genes are much less abundant in the deeper sediments. Functional genes from other pathways (e.g., denitrification, methanogenesis, ureolysis, and anaerobic methane oxidation) that can trigger carbonate precipitation directly or indirectly have much lower relative abundance than the functional genes mentioned above (Figure 6b).

3.5 Geochemical modeling

We simulate the diurnal cycle of $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$ to assess what environmental conditions can help to explain our down-core and grain-specific variability in $\delta^{13}$C (Figures 3 and 4). Sensitivity tests indicate that variations in temperature, salinity, wind speed, atmosphere CO$_2$ pressure, and carbonate precipitation rate only lead to minor changes (<1‰) in simulated carbonate $\delta^{13}$C values (Figure S6). However, changes in the amplitude of $F_{org}$ ($\epsilon_p$), the fractionation factor of photosynthesis ($\epsilon_p$), salinity, and the initial $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$ more substantially alter $\delta^{13}$C$_{carb}$ values (Figure 7). Varying $\epsilon_p$ between 200 and 600 μmol/kg can increase simulated $\delta^{13}$C$_{carb}$ by 2.7‰ (Figure 7a), while changes in $\epsilon_p$ from -14.7‰ to -7.4‰ drive a -1.5‰ shift in simulated $\delta^{13}$C$_{carb}$ (Figure 7c), depending on the duration of carbonate precipitation each day. In addition, the change in initial $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$ from -14‰ to 6‰ can lead to a ~20‰ change in simulated $\delta^{13}$C$_{carb}$ values (Figure 7e), and a change in salinity from 40 to 90 ppt can lead to a ~2‰ change in simulated $\delta^{13}$C$_{carb}$ values (Figure 7g). As for parameters that can lead to changes in the $\delta^{13}$C offset between the spherules and micrite, only $\kappa_p$ and the duration of precipitation can lead to substantial changes in the simulated $\delta^{13}$C offset (1.2‰) (Figure 7b). Changes to the initial $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$, salinity, and $\epsilon_p$ only cause changes in the simulated $\delta^{13}$C offset of <0.6‰ (Figure 7d, f, h). The different mineral composition (aragonite vs. calcite) of spherules and micrite can lead to a maximum of 0.5‰ daily difference in simulated $\delta^{13}$C$_m$ and $\delta^{13}$C$_{sp}$ values (Table S6).

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Evaluating carbonates in Lake 1: sources and diagenesis

The $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{18}$O values of bulk carbonates in lacustrine and marine systems have been widely used to interpret local, regional, and global environmental conditions (e.g., Kelts & Talbot, 1990; Kump & Arthur, 1999; Leng & Marshall, 2004). However, transport and diagenesis can complicate these isotope-based paleoenvironmental reconstructions. For example, the stable isotope values of detrital carbonates formed in other systems and transported to a lake or ocean do not represent in situ water chemistry (Gierlowski-Kordesch, 2010). Additionally, diagenetic alterations such as secondary mineralization, dissolution, and reprecipitation can alter primary stable isotope values (Higgins et al., 2018; McCormack et al., 2018; Newell et al., 2017; Talbot & Kelts, 1990). Therefore, in the following
section, we (1) evaluate the probable sources of the carbonate (in situ vs. transported), and (2) discuss evidence for potential diagenetic alteration.

The sources of fine-grained carbonates (i.e., micrite, also referred to as carbonate mud) have long been debated. Carbonate mud has been hypothesized to (1) precipitate directly from the water column (e.g., Milliman et al., 1993), (2) form as the result of the disintegration of calcifying green algae (e.g., Lowenstam & Epstein, 1957), (3) represent the abraded product of carbonate ooids (Trower et al., 2019) or shells (Gischler et al., 2013), or (4) precipitate in relation to the EPS matrix of microbial mat (e.g., Arp et al., 2012). Carbonate mud can also be transported in currents, floods, and storms in marine settings, which can result in mud layers carrying δ13C signatures from distant parts of the carbonate system (Geyman & Maloof, 2021). However, the relatively high elevation (maximum ~5.2 m) of the land between Lake 1 and the marine beach 1.3 km to the east (Figure 1c) suggests that the micrite sampled from Lake 1 is unlikely to have been transported in such a manner.

At our study site, the calculated saturation state values indicate that the lake water is consistently supersaturated with respect to calcite and aragonite (Table 1), so the Lake 1 micrite could directly precipitate from the water column. Based on the data we have gathered so far, there is no direct evidence either supporting or excluding this possibility. On the other hand, the δ13C offset between Lake 1 micrite (median δ13Cm = 4.72‰) and spherules (median δ13Csp = 6.46‰, Figure 5b) suggests these forms may precipitate in different locations within the microbial mat. For example, the micrite may form deeper in the mat, in association with EPS, where respiration produces lower DIC δ13C values. A similar conclusion was reached in a marine stromatolite study, where the authors found lower δ13C values for fine-grained aragonite in the EPS compared to other grains and attributed these lower values to microbial respiration (Andres et al., 2006). We also observed an association between micrite and EPS in the SEM images (Figure 3g,h), which is consistent with the conclusions made by others (Arp et al., 2012; Suarez-Gonzalez & Reitner, 2021) that the micrite is directly precipitated within the EPS of microbial mats.

Even though the origins of spherules in lacustrine and marine settings are debated, all proposed formation mechanisms suggest that spherules are formed in situ in the surface sediment or mat
| Biological processes                                | Pathways                        | Carbon isotope fractionation $^b$ | Gene      | Protein                                      |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|
| Oxygenic photosynthesis                           | Photosystem                     | NA$^b$                           | $psbA$    | Photosystem II protein D1                    |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $psbD$    | Photosystem II protein D2                    |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $psaA$    | Photosystem I P700 chlorophyll a apoprotein A1|
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $psaB$    | Photosystem I P700 chlorophyll a apoprotein A2|
| Oxygenic/anoxogenic photosynthesis                | Calvin cycle                    | -20 to -30‰                      | $rbcL$    | RubisCO                                      |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $prkB$    | Phosphoribulokinase                          |
| Anoxogenic photosynthesis                         | rTCA                            | -2 to -12‰                       | $ACLY$    | ATP citrate (pro-S)-lyase                    |
|                                                   | 3-Hydroxypropionate bicycle (HP) | -12.5 to -13.7‰                  | $mch$     | 2-methylumaryl-CoA hydratase                |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $mct$     | 2-methylumaryl-CoA isomerase                |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $meh$     | 3-methylumaryl-CoA hydratase                |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $K1446p$  | 3-hydroxypropionyl-CoA synthetase            |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $mcl$     | malyl-CoA/(S)-citramalyl-CoA lyase           |
| Other carbon fixation pathways                    | 3-Hydroxypropionate–4-hydroxybutyrate cycle (3HP-4HB) | -0.2 to -3.8‰                   | $bccA$    | acetyl-CoA/propionyl-CoA carboxylase         |
|                                                   | Wood-Ljungdahl                  | <30‰                            | $ccO5$    | Carbon-monoxide dehydrogenase catalytic subunit |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $coxS$    | Carbon-monoxide dehydrogenase small subunit |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $cdhA$    | acetyl-CoA decarboxylase/synthase complex    |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $acsB$    | acetyl-CoA synthase                          |
|                                                   | Dicarboxylate–4-hydroxybutyrate cycle (4HB) | -0.2 to -3.8‰                   | $abfd$    | 4-hydroxybutyryl-CoA dehydratase             |
| Sulfate reduction                                 | –                               | NA$^c$                           | $cysNC$   | Bifunctional enzyme CysN/CysC               |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $cysD$    | Sulfate adenylyltransferase subunit 2        |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $dsrB$    | Sulfite reductase beta subunit               |
|                                                   |                                 |                                  | $aprA$    | Adenylyl-sulfate reductase subunit alpha     |
| Anaerobic methane oxidation                       | –                               | -5.2 to -31.3‰                   | $pmoA$    | Methane monooxygenase                        |

(Continues)
region given their large sizes (Arp et al., 2012; Suarez-Gonzalez & Reitner, 2021; and references therein). We find EPS-rich cavities and filaments as nucleus or laminae in our spherule samples (Figure 3c-e), suggesting in situ growth of their cortices related to EPS, which shows good agreement with the proposed formation mechanisms for spherules from other Kiritimati lakes (Arp et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2013; Suarez-Gonzalez & Reitner, 2021).

Second, we consider whether these late Holocene sediments have undergone substantial diagenesis. Some common physical alteration signatures of ooids and spherules include dissolution (e.g., pitting and voids), cementation (e.g., sparry cement filling pore space, isopachous aragonitic crusts of original grains, and micritization), mineral replacement, and recrystallization (Scholle & Ulmer-Scholle, 2003). The petrographic images of spherules present evidence of borings, dissolution (pitting), micritization, isopachous crusts of fibrous aragonite cement, and recrystallization (Figure S4), suggesting that they have undergone some degree of alteration. Previous studies show that microbially mediated dissolution, such as driven by endolithic cyanobacteria, can drive small changes in $\delta^{13}C$ values of the shallow sediments, which can impact the $\delta^{13}C$ values of precipitated carbonates (Present et al., 2017). However, diagenesis is unlikely to cause significant changes in the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{carb}}$ values in our relatively young, late Holocene sediment core for the following reasons: First, evidence of diagenesis is observed consistently in both the shallowest as well as the deepest core samples (Figure S4), suggesting that alteration is likely happening syndepositionally before burial. Thus, altered carbonate likely is still recording surface, rather than porewater $\delta^{13}C$ values (Havig et al., 2018). Second, carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ values are more resistant to diagenesis than $\delta^{18}O$ values (Banner & Hanson, 1990). Our data do not show secular $\delta^{18}O$ changes beyond $\pm0.5\%$, suggesting that alteration has been minimal (Figure 4b). Lastly, diagenesis, especially meteoric diagenesis, often leads to more negative $\delta^{13}C$ values in altered carbonates (Allan & Matthews, 1982). However, the relatively high $\delta^{13}C$ values of both spherules and micrite, and the increase in $\delta^{13}C_{\text{carb}}$ values with depth (Figures 4 and 5), are opposite to the expected impacts of increasing diagenesis with depth/time. Therefore, we seek other explanations, besides diagenesis, to explain the observed $\delta^{13}C_{\text{carb}}$ values in the sediment core.

### 4.2 | $\delta^{13}C$ offset between spherules and micrite: the role of the diurnal carbon cycle engine

#### 4.2.1 | High $\delta^{13}C$ values in carbonates and metagenomic evidence of biological processes

Both $\delta^{13}C_{m}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{sp}$ values are significantly higher than the equilibrium aragonite $\delta^{13}C$ values calculated from the mean value of the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ distributions from Kiritimati lakes (mean $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}} = -1\%$, mean $\delta^{13}C_{\text{aragonite}} = 1.7\%$, Figure 5b). Therefore, it is likely that the precipitated carbonates are recording much higher local DIC $\delta^{13}C$ values, which can arise from both abiotic and biotic
processes. Abiotic processes such as CO₂ degassing from thermal springs and evaporation can cause non-equilibrium gas-transfer isotope fractionation, leading to very high δ¹³C values (>10‰) for precipitated carbonates in saline lake systems (Valero-Garcés et al., 1999). However, the lack of correlation of δ¹³CDIC with calculated salinity and Na concentration from the 2019 spatial survey of Kiritimati lakes suggests no clear relationship between high salinity, driven by evaporation (Wyman et al., 2021), and δ¹³CDIC (Figure 57). Thus, biological processes with significant isotope fractionation factors are more likely to lead to the observed high δ¹³C signatures of Kiritimati carbonates. Biological processes that can induce carbonate precipitation are comprehensively discussed in the literature and include photosynthesis, carbon fixation, sulfate reduction, denitrification, anaerobic methane oxidation, methanogenesis, ammonification, and ureolysis (Berg et al., 2010; Bergmann et al., 2013; Dupraz et al., 2009; Zhu & Dittrich, 2016) (Table 2). Carbon fixation processes and methanogenesis incorporate lighter isotopes in organic carbon or CH₄, increasing the δ¹³C value of the remaining DIC pool and subsequently precipitated carbonates. Heterotrophic processes can have different impacts on δ¹³C value of precipitated carbonates. On one hand, sulfate reduction and denitrification will produce bicarbonate from organic carbon, which generally has more depleted δ¹³C values, leading to a significant decrease in the δ¹³C values of the DIC pool and precipitated carbonates. For example, in freshwater and marine settings, respiration and sulfate reduction are hypothesized to lower δ¹³C values of carbonate grains found in deeper layers of microorganisms as well as the EPS of microbial mats (Andres et al., 2006; Nitti et al., 2012). On the other hand, anaerobic methane oxidation and ureolysis have distinctive fractionation factors that can lead to δ¹³C enrichment of DIC, thus driving higher δ¹³C values in precipitated carbonates (Barker & Fritz, 1981; Millo et al., 2012). Given the high δ¹³C values observed in this study, carbon fixation, methanogenesis, anaerobic methane oxidation, and ureolysis are the most likely biological processes influencing DIC δ¹³C values.

On the surface (0–5 cm, MET-1), both taxa (Cyanobacteria) and functional genes (photosystem: psbA/D, psaA/B, Calvin cycle: rbcL/prkB, reductive TCA: aclA, and 3-hydroxypropionate bicycle: mch, mcl) related to photosynthesis (oxygenic and anoxygenic) have the highest relative abundances and are more predominant than other functional groups that can trigger carbonate precipitation (Figures 6a, b, S4). Photosynthesis increases alkalinity and pH, and some phototrophs (e.g., Cyanobacteria) can also act as nucleation sites for carbonate minerals (Dupraz et al., 2009). Additionally, photosynthesis leads to the incorporation of lighter C isotopes into organic matter, which can significantly increase the δ¹³C values of the remaining DIC pool (Arp et al., 2012; Rosen et al., 1995), from which carbonates are precipitated. Therefore, the observed high δ¹³C values may result from high rates of photosynthesis that can both promote carbonate precipitation and increase δ¹³C DIC values (e.g., Bergmann et al., 2013; Geyman & Maloof, 2019). Heterotrophic processes that can trigger carbonate production, such as sulfate reduction, ammonification, and carbon anhydride activity, are also present in high abundance in the surface mat (Figure 6b). Therefore, carbonates formed in the heterotrophic layer of the microbial mat impacted by those heterotrophic processes can have distinctive δ¹³C signatures compared to carbonates formed in the photosynthetic layers. Even though the carbon isotope fractionation caused by heterotrophic activities is either often negligible (sulfate reduction: 0–2‰) (Londry & Des Marais, 2003), or currently unknown (ammonification and carbonic anhydride), these processes can remineralize ¹³C-depleted OM and result in lower δ¹³CDIC values in the local environment, causing more negative δ¹³C values for precipitated carbonates.

In the anoxic, subsurface sediments, functional genes of key enzymes (cooS, coxA, cdhA, acsB, and abfD) of several other carbon fixation pathways, including the Wood-Ljungdah pathway and the dicarboxylate/4-hydroxybutyrate (4HB) cycle, are dominant (Figure 6b). The Wood-Ljungdah pathway can use CO₂ as an electron acceptor to produce acetyl-CoA and pyruvate that can be used for biosynthesis, while the 4HB cycle can use bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) to generate acetyl-CoA and succinyl-CoA (Berg et al., 2010). Since both activities can incorporate bicarbonate and/or fix CO₂, they can potentially influence carbonate precipitation and increase δ¹³C DIC values (Berg et al., 2010). Therefore, these processes will increase the δ¹³C values of precipitated carbonates derived from the porewater (Table 2). However, the Wood-Ljungdah pathway generates acetate (CH₃COOH), which would decrease pore water alkalinity and inhibit the production of carbonate minerals. The actual impacts of such anaerobic carbon fixation on carbonate mineral formation are poorly explored, and porewater chemistry data are required to understand how these processes may influence bulk carbonate. Apart from the carbon fixation processes listed above, methanogenesis also has an extremely high δ¹³C signature in carbonates precipitated from pore waters of anoxic environments where ¹³C-depleted methane is generated (Gu et al., 2004). However, taxa (class Methanobacteria, Methanopyri, Methanomicrobia, and Methanococci) and the functional gene (mcrA) related to methanogenesis are rare in all metagenomes, which makes it unlikely to be a critical process driving the high δ¹³C values of precipitated carbonates.

In conclusion, both taxa and functional gene results from metagenomes suggest that phototrophs, which promote carbonate precipitation and result in high δ¹³C values in the precipitated carbonates, are abundant in the surface mat region. In addition, other functional genes related to sulfate reduction, ammonification, and carbonic anhydride are also present and might impact the δ¹³C values of carbonate forming in the heterotrophic layers. In deeper sediments, other anaerobic carbon fixation pathways are dominant and could impact the δ¹³C value of the pore water, a hypothesis that requires further investigation. However, given that the primary carbonate investigated here is formed in the surface environment (in the surface mat or water column), the anaerobic carbon fixation pathways are less likely to have contributed to the elevated δ¹³C values in our dataset.
FIGURE 6 Analysis of five metagenomes of Lake 1 (MET-1: 0–5 cm, MET-2: 5–22.5 cm, MET-3: 22.5–34.2 cm, MET-4: 34.2–38 cm, and MET-5: 38–40.2 cm) showing the relative abundances of (a) microbial taxa characterized according to phylum that contain representative members associated with metabolic activities that can trigger carbonate formation and (b) microbial functional genes in candidate metabolic pathways that can yield putative effects on carbonate formation. The representative taxa were extracted from the DIAMOND-MEGAN pipeline. The results represent KO gene counts from the JGI-IMG pipeline and normalized by rpoB gene counts.
4.2.2 δ¹³C offset: temporal and spatial variability of photosynthesis

Both the fine and coarse fractions of the Lake 1 sediment core have higher δ¹³C values than predicted from δ¹³DIC values, but the aragonitic spherules have δ¹³C values that are ~1.7‰ higher than the micrite (median δ¹³Csp = 6.46‰, median δ¹³Cmic = 4.72‰, Figures 4b, 5b). Mineralogy differences (εaragonite-water: +2.7‰, εcalcite-water: +1‰, Romanek et al., 1992) cannot explain the observed offset. The mineral composition of the micrite is predominantly aragonite (86.2%), whereas the spherules are 100% aragonite. The differences in mineral composition should thus lead to a 0.23‰ difference in δ¹³C values, an offset much lower than observed (average δ¹³Csp - δ¹³Cmic = 1.74‰). Moreover, any external forcing on lake water DIC would likely change the δ¹³Cm and δ¹³Csp values in the same direction, rather than varying the magnitude of the offset between the two grain-size fractions. We propose that biological processes and differences in the timing and location of carbonate precipitation impact the δ¹³C values of one carbonate grain fraction relative to the other. Given that the phototrophs and heterotrophs that can trigger carbonate precipitation are both dominant in the surface mat, we propose that spherules form during peak photosynthetic forcing in the photosynthetic region of the mat. In contrast, the micrite forms more consistently in the heterotrophic layer of the mat and/or in the water column, leading to significantly higher δ¹³C values of spherules relative to the micrite and producing the observed offset in δ¹³C values.

Our modeling results and the related conceptual model of the diurnal carbon engine (Geyman & Maloof, 2019) provide support for a temporal interpretation of the carbonate δ¹³C values and offset in our lake system (Figure 8). Spherules grow embedded within the microbial mats of Kiritimati lakes (Arp et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2013). Even though EPS inhibits the nucleation of carbonate minerals, this inhibition can be overcome during peak photosynthesis (Arp et al., 2012). Given the abundant oxygenic phototrophs (Cyanobacteria, 14.5%) and consistently high spherule δ¹³C values (5.31‰–8.11‰), we propose that spherules form primarily during the hours around peak photosynthesis (~15:00) in the top mat layer of Lake 1. During this time, the mat DIC pool δ¹³C values would be at their diurnal maximum, resulting in high δ¹³C values of precipitated carbonates. Micrite precipitation could occur either (1) in the water column, as lake water is supersaturated with respect to aragonite and calcite, or (2) in the lower layer of the microbial mat, where degradation of EPS can promote carbonate production (Dupraz et al., 2009). Given the consistent positive carbonate fluxes across the day (Figure S2), micrite may be able to precipitate more consistently in the water column throughout a diurnal cycle without restrictions from EPS, and thus record a signal closer to the time-averaged δ¹³C values of the water column/microbial mat DIC pool. Additionally, for micrite forming in the lower layers of the microbial mat, the remineralization of ¹³C-depleted OM can lower δ¹³C values of the local DIC pool and result in lower δ¹³C values (Andres et al., 2006). Both microbial communities and functional genes related to heterotrophy are present in the metagenome of the surface mat (Figure 6). In addition, FAPROTAX results also show high relative abundances of both phototrophy and aerobic chemoheterotrophy in the surface mat (Figure S8).

Our geochemical modeling also indicates that the high observed δ¹³C values are an indicator of high photosynthetic forcing (κp = 600 mmol/kg) with restricted precipitation hours around peak photosynthesis (2 h, 14:00–16:00) (Figure 7a). Changing precipitation hours around peak photosynthesis to 24 hours leads to a 1.2‰ decrease in simulated δ¹³C values using the same κp (Figure 7a). In conclusion, the high δ¹³C values of spherules are likely a result of high photosynthetic forcing and constrained precipitation timing during peak photosynthesis. In contrast, the relatively lower δ¹³C values of the micrite can result from both consistent production throughout the day and night and/or precipitation in the heterotrophic layers of the microbial mat, where local DIC δ¹³C values would be relatively depleted. Future studies, including a finer-scale sampling of the microbial mat and attached carbonate grains, will be able to provide better constraints on the formation of carbonate in this system.

In addition to the diurnal controls of carbonate precipitation, seasonal-to-interannual changes in the physiochemical conditions of lake water may also influence the δ¹³C values of lake water DIC and the precipitated carbonate fractions. We have observed substantial interannual variability in the physiochemical conditions of Lake 1 (Table 1), and many of the changes are linked to changes in precipitation and groundwater flow driven by El Niño-Southern Oscillation events (Higley & Conroy, 2019; Wyman et al., 2021). Groundwater often has low δ¹³C values (< -10‰) compared to our lake water due to the microbial respiration of organic carbon and the subsequent reactions with marine carbonate rock (Deines et al., 1974). On Kiritimati, δ¹³C values from nearby, groundwater-fed Lake 30 were as low as ~11.81‰ in 2019, when salinity was close to 0 (1.7 ppt) and high groundwater flow into the lake was observed, with the lake as an effectively open system with short residence time (Wyman et al., 2021). The addition of groundwater with such low δ¹³C values to Lake 1 could lower the δ¹³C values of the DIC pool and cause more negative δ¹³C values for all precipitated carbonates. Our model did not include groundwater due to the lack of constraints on the groundwater flow rate for Lake 1 and the lack of groundwater DIC/TA measurements. When using nearby Lake 30 groundwater values (TA = 6510.6 μmol/kg, DIC = 6390.2 μmol/kg, δ¹³C = −11.81‰) and considering a dry year condition (2017) with low groundwater flow rate (0.00023 kg/m²/s), the simulated δ¹³C values (~0.07–0.61‰) are lower compared to a simulation with no groundwater (3.23–3.77‰, Table S7). These simulated δ¹³C values also are lower than any measured spherule carbonate (5.31–8.11‰), not to mention that wet-year conditions with higher groundwater fluxes would lead to even more negative δ¹³C values. Also, while the groundwater flux affects the mean δ¹³C values, it has little impact on the δ¹³C offset between micrite and spherules (0.04–0.68‰ for the groundwater condition vs. 0.02–0.53‰ for the no groundwater condition, Table S7). Additionally, groundwater often has a much lower pH than lake water, resulting in undersaturated conditions for carbonate minerals (Table S5). Thus, an increase in groundwater flux could hinder mineral precipitation in this lake (Singurindy et al., 2004).
FIGURE 7  Contour maps for simulated $\delta^{13}C_{sp}$ (first column) and the $\delta^{13}C$ offset between precipitated carbonates and mean (time-averaged) DIC (second column) under a variety of forcing conditions. The y-axis records the number of hours of the day when carbonate precipitation is occurring (duration of precipitation hours). In our simulations, the carbonate saturation state is >1 during all hours of the day. However, as a sensitivity test, we explore what happens when precipitation only occurs for 2–24 h around “peak photosynthesis,” which occurs at 15:00, according to a compilation of water chemistry measurements from 21 shallow marine carbonate environments (Geyman & Maloof, 2019). We simulate the $\delta^{13}C_{sp}$ and $\delta^{13}C$ offset when changing (a,b) $\kappa_p$, (c,d) $\varepsilon_p$, (e,f) the initial $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$, and (g,h) salinity. $\delta^{13}C_{sp}$ represents the simulated $\delta^{13}C_{carb}$ values using mineral composition of spherules, $\kappa_p$ is the diurnal organic carbon transfer, and $\varepsilon_p$ is the isotopic fractionation for photosynthesis. Other input parameters are kept the same for each sensitivity test.
We thus conclude that seasonal and interannual variability in groundwater flux is unlikely to be the main cause of both the high $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ values and the observed $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ offset in this lake system.

In other hypersaline systems, microbial mats show periodic growth and decay phases linked to salinity, which sometimes result in different carbonate mineralogy (Cabestrero et al., 2018). Therefore, it is also possible that spherules precipitate only during periods of active microbial mat growth, when the high alkalinity helps to overcome the inhibitory effects of EPS. In this scenario, the spherules would preferentially record the higher values of water column $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ caused by intense photosynthesis. In contrast, during periods of microbial mat decay (dominated by anoxygenic phototrophs and heterotrophs), the micrite could continue to precipitate even while spherule growth is inhibited by the EPS. In this case, the dominant heterotrophic activity would decrease water column $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ causing the micrite to have lower average $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values (Gu et al., 2006). Recent studies of Kiritimati ooids suggest the radial fibrous lamina of ooids is formed during intense photosynthesis with rapid precipitation, whereas the micritic laminae are formed by EPS-controlled mechanisms in intervening periods without intense photosynthesis (Suarez-Gonzalez & Reitner, 2021). As our microbial mat has relatively young radiocarbon dates (130 cal yr BP at the bottom), as well as being photosynthetically active without multiple layers, it provides a perfect analogy to other photosynthetically active microbial mats in Lake 21 where only non-laminated ooids are found (Suarez-Gonzalez & Reitner, 2021). Additionally, many of our spherules (in both surface and deeper sections) in Lake 1 do not contain multiple micritic laminae (Figure 3), further supporting that those spherules are likely growing rapidly only in the phototrophic layer of the microbial mat during intense photosynthesis, thus recording high $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of the lake’s DIC. When multiple laminae are present in spherules, it is possible that they have multiple growth cycles representing seasonal or even interannual periods, which influence the water chemistry and biology of the lake. For example, interannual El Niño events cause high precipitation rates on Kiritimati Island and decrease the salinity of its lakes. As a result, the photosynthesis could become even more intense and favor the formation of radial laminae even more. During La Niña years and neutral states, the higher salinity of the lakes likely leads to weaker photosynthesis, which can promote the formation of micritic laminae of the spherules. In summary, the same temporal interplay between photosynthesis and respiration described by the diurnal carbon engine (section 2.6; Geyman & Maloof, 2019) may occur on longer (e.g., seasonal or interannual) timescales.

4.3 Interpreting time series of geochemical variables in Lake 1: $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ offset as a potential paleoproductivity indicator

Geochemical variables measured in the Lake 1 sediment core show pronounced variability over the last 1500 years (Figure 4b). $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sp}}$ values remain high from 1500 cal yr BP to present (averaging 6.56‰), with even higher values before 1170 cal yr BP (averaging 7.45‰), whereas $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sp}}$ values are lower from 452 cal yr BP to present (averaging 1.98‰) compared to 1411 to 452 cal yr BP (averaging 4.86‰, two-tailed t-test, p < .001). The $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sp}}$ time series demonstrates an increasing trend of ~1‰ over the last 1,500 years (Figure 4b). The $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{m}}$ time series, on the other hand, does not show a clear increasing or decreasing trend. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$ shows a decreasing trend from 1411 to 974 cal yr BP (from ~10.53‰ to ~18.26‰), and increases from around 974 cal yr BP to present (from ~18.26‰ to ~14.25‰). The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ offset, total organic carbon (TOC), and total nitrogen (TN) have similar patterns, with significantly higher values from 452 cal yr BP to present and 1411 to 1297 cal yr BP (averaging 3.51‰, 2.94‰, and 0.31%, respectively) compared to 1297 to 452 cal yr BP (averaging 2.03‰, 1.44‰, and 0.16‰, respectively, two-tailed t-test, p < .005 for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ offset, p < .001 for TOC and TN). We evaluate the potential implications of variability in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, the correlation of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$–$\delta^{18}\text{O}$, and the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ offset in the context of past environmental changes below.

Both the spherules and micrite have significant correlations between $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{carb}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$ (r = 0.60 and 0.75, N = 17, p < .05 and p < .001, respectively). Generally, significant correlations between carbonate $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values are found in hydrologically closed basin lakes (Talbot, 1990). The majority of lakes in Kiritimati are considered closed systems due to the substantial differences in lake levels even between adjacent lakes (Helfrich et al., 1973), although there is clearly subsurface hydrological flow in some lakes (Wyman et al., 2021). For both spherules and matrix, the variations in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values are smaller compared to $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values, resulting in a steeper slope (>1) of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}/\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{carb}}$ (Figure 5a). This could suggest that local biological mediation of carbonate chemistry (greater change in $\delta^{13}\text{C}$) has a greater impact on the carbonate formation than hydroclimate change (greater change in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{carb}}$) (Ingalls et al., 2020), highlighting biological processes as critical to the formation of carbonate in this system.

In the sediment core, we also observed significantly higher $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values of spherules relative to micrite (p < .00001, paired t-test result). Lacustrine carbonate $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values are often interpreted as proxies of precipitation minus evaporation (P-E) since they represent changes in lake water $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values, controlled by lake hydrology and moisture balance (Leng & Marshall, 2004). However, studies of Lake 30 carbonate $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values in Kiritimati show no correlation with reconstructed salinity over the last millennium (Wyman et al., 2021). Additionally, the Kiritimati lake water $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values measured in the 2019 spatial survey are not correlated with salinity (r = 0.18, p = .34, N = 31). With extremely high salinity, the thermodynamic activity of water decreases, resulting in lower kinetic fractionation and reducing the subsequent increase in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values of the residual water (Gonfiantini, 1986). Therefore, periodically hypersaline conditions of Lake 1 may obscure the P-E signal in lake water and carbonate $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values. However, the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ offset between syndepositional spherules and micrite supports potential temporal and spatial disparities in their precipitation. Unlike $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ that often shows an apparent diurnal cycle (e.g., Richardson et al., 2017), lake $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sp}}$ values are not expected to vary diurnally in such a pronounced manner, given lake water residence times are on the order of days to months.
to years, rather than hours. However, seasonal-to-interannual fluctuations of lake water $\delta^{18}O_w$ values could be recorded if spherules and micrite preferentially precipitate at different times. Measured Lake 1 temperatures (29.7–31.9°C) and $\delta^{18}O_w$ (1.47–2.66‰) from different field seasons would lead to ~1.2‰ changes in carbonate $\delta^{18}O$ values which are comparable to the observed $\delta^{18}O$ differences (median value +0.44‰). But again, these changes would impact both spherules and micrite in a similar manner, unless the micrite formed in warmer periods or with lower $\delta^{18}O_w$ and spherules formed in cooler periods or with higher $\delta^{18}O_w$. Lake water is generally warmer during peak photosynthesis, which would lead to lower $\delta^{18}O$ values for precipitated spherules. However, the $\delta^{18}O$ values of spherules are significantly higher compared to micrite. One possible explanation for this observation is that the evaporation during peak photosynthesis swamps temperature, increasing $\delta^{18}O_w$ and $\delta^{18}O_{\text{carb}}$ values. Another possible explanation is that the diurnal temperature changes in the

---

**FIGURE 8** (a) Conceptual models of spherule and matrix precipitation in Lake 1. During peak photosynthesis, the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ within the uppermost mat will increase and the saturation state of aragonite will also increase, resulting in precipitated spherules with higher $\delta^{13}C$ values. Micrite, which precipitates more consistently in the water column and in deeper layers of the surface mat will record a $\delta^{13}C$ value that is closer to the average $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ of the system through time, or lower due to increased respiration deeper in the mat. (b) Conceptual models for spherule and micrite precipitation in Lake 1 under higher photosynthetic forcing. In this scenario, the peak $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ will be higher, resulting in higher $\delta^{13}C_{\text{sp}}$. However, higher intensity of respiration at night may result in relatively lower $\delta^{13}C$ values of the precipitated micrite. (c) Conceptual models showing diurnal and average $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$ changes under different photosynthetic forcing conditions, which are recorded by the $\delta^{13}C$ values of precipitated spherules and micrite.
microbial mat are more muted compared to the changes in the lake water. Therefore, the δ18O offset between spherules and micrite supports the hypothesis that these two carbonate constituents form in different time periods with different δ18O and lake temperatures, along with different rates of photosynthetic forcing.

Carbonate δ13C values have been used to predict biological productivity in lacustrine settings (Talbot, 1990) and oceanic productivity and circulation in marine environments (Maslin & Swann, 2006). Thus, we expect to see a positive correlation between carbonate δ13C values and other proxies used to indicate past productivity in lake sediments like TOC and TN (Talbot et al., 2006). However, the highest carbonate δ13C values for both spherules and micrite do not coincide with the highest TOC and TN values, more direct archives of productivity that remain preserved in this relatively young sedimentary record (Figure 4b). Furthermore, δ13C sp values show no significant correlation with TOC ($r = -0.47, p > .05, N = 17$), and a slight negative correlation with TN ($r = -0.51, p = .03, N = 17$). δ13C m values also show a negative correlation with both TOC ($r = -0.68, p < .01, N = 17$) and TN ($r = -0.70, p < .01, N = 17$). On the contrary, the δ13C offset between spherules and micrite shows a different pattern across the sediment record, with higher values in 1411 to 1297 cal yr BP and 452 cal yr BP to present, and lower values in 1297 to 452 cal yr BP (Figure 4b). TOC also shows similar temporal patterns of change in the sediment core and positively covaries with the δ13C offset ($r = 0.57, p = .02, N = 17$), as does TN ($r = 0.57, p = .02, N = 17$). Given the observed covariances of the δ13C offset with productivity proxies, we hypothesize that the δ13C offset records temporal primary productivity changes in this lacustrine system.

During periods with higher gross primary productivity, increased photosynthetic forcing would increase the amplitude of the diurnal δ13C DIC cycle, so the peak value of δ13C DIC recorded by the spherules would be higher. However, the increase in respiration that accompanies increased gross primary productivity would also decrease the δ13C DIC values at night and below the surface layer of the mat (Nitti et al., 2012), leading to lower micrite δ13C values if it forms throughout the day and night or in in the deeper layers of the mat, ultimately increasing the δ13C offset. This model may also extend to seasonal and interannual timescales, if, for example, photosynthetic forcing is higher during a given season or ENSO event. The sensitivity tests for the δ13C offset supports this hypothesis, as the δ13C offset is only sensitive to changes in the photosynthetic forcing (Figure 7b), while other parameters that can significantly change the δ13C sp (e.g., the initial δ13C DIC) have little influence on the δ13C offset (Figure 7f). Therefore, the δ13C offset can be impacted by the amplitude of the photosynthetic forcing, which can have diurnal-to-seasonal-to-interannual fluctuations, and the timing of carbonate precipitation.

Note the TOC and TN patterns observed in the Lake 1 sediment core (Figure 4) could be influenced by microbial metabolic activities such as autotrophic phototrophy and heterotrophic respiration taking place at different depths in the core (e.g., Oni et al., 2015). However, we think it is unlikely that such microbial activities are the main drivers of the TOC and TN patterns in Figure 4 for the following reasons. First, we did not see significant covariances of TOC and TN with the relative abundances of autotrophic and heterotrophic activities obtained from FAPROTAX results (Table S8). Second, FAPROTAX results indicate that chemoheterotrophy (24.5%) is dominant among the metabolisms in the deep sediment (40.2 cm; Figure S8), so we would expect to see relatively low TOC and TN in this section if TOC and TN were significantly impacted by active microbial processes in the sediment core. To the contrary, we observed some of the highest TOC (3.87%) and TN (0.39%) concentrations in the deep sediment (Figure 4). In summary, the FAPROTAX results support the conclusion that the observed TOC and TN trends in Figure 4 do not simply record current microbial processes at different sediment depths, but rather archive the change over time in paleoenvironmental conditions (e.g., paleoproductivity), in line with their typical interpretation in paleoecologic research.

From a geological perspective, high δ13C values in marine carbonates often are linked to enhanced net productivity and carbon burial (Kump & Arthur, 1999). However, multiple processes, including local platform processes as well as marine and meteoric diagenesis, can decouple carbonate δ13C values from that of the global ocean (e.g., Geyman & Maloof, 2021). Here, we have demonstrated that analyzing δ13C variability of different carbonate constituents produced in the same stratigraphic intervals may help to constrain the role of local δ13C processes. In this work, the δ13C values of aragonite spherules and micrite are not correlated with productivity proxies such as TOC and TN, but one may potentially use the δ13C offset as a better indicator of gross paleoproductivity. Thus, the δ13C offset allows us to deconvolve the intensity of local biological processes in this particular environment, whereas the individual δ13C values alone do not provide such information. Potential applications of the δ13C offset could include investigating paleoproductivity in the carbonate rock record, where spherules or other coarse-grained forms and micrite co-occur in the same units (e.g., Cretaceous pre-salt lacustrine deposits, Pleistocene coralgal reef units, and hydrothermal travertine systems Guo & Riding, 1992; Chafetz et al., 2018; Jaramillo-Vogel et al., 2019; Della Porta et al., 2022).

## 5 CONCLUSION

In the sedimentary record of a near-marine hypersaline lake on Kiritimati, abundant aragonite spherules and micrite co-occur in the same sedimentary layers. However, these syngeneal carbonates have significantly different δ13C values that cannot be explained by differences in mineral composition alone. To explain the anomalously high δ13C values in the spherules, we hypothesize that the spherule fraction forms preferentially during peak photosynthesis, whereas the micrite fraction forms in the mat or the water column more consistently throughout diurnal and/or annual timescales. Metagenome results suggest that photosynthesis plays a dominant role in facilitating carbonate production in the surface mat. Even though some anaerobic carbon-fixation pathways are relatively abundant in the deep sediments, their participation in carbonate production remains elusive and is likely limited due to the lower pH and undersaturated...
carbonate mineral conditions of porewater (Arp et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2013). Geochemical modeling suggests that different durations of diurnal precipitation, coupled with changes in photosynthetic intensity, can lead to substantial changes in the δ13C values of precipitated carbonates. Our findings further support the idea that localized intense photosynthesis can lead to high δ13C values in the carbonate rock record (Geyman & Maloof, 2019). The δ13C offset between syndepositional spherule and micrite δ13C values, which is sensitive mainly to changes in photosynthetic forcing and covaries with primary productivity proxies such as TOC and TN, may serve as a better proxy of past primary productivity than the δ13C values of individual carbonate fractions, or bulk carbonate δ13C values.
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