Reason for tooth material loss among FMR patients - A retrospective study
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ABSTRACT

Tooth wear is a general term describing the loss of dental hard tissues, from the surface of the teeth caused by factors other than dental caries, trauma and developmental disorders. Attrition, abrasion and erosion usually cause alterations of the tooth surfaces and manifest as tooth wear. Tooth surface loss may be considered physiological or pathological. Physiological tooth surface loss occurs as a result of mastication and adjustment, which is required for the teeth to function correctly. Physiological tooth surface loss may also occur at interproximal tooth surfaces due to friction between the adjacent teeth. Inversely, pathological tooth surface loss represents unacceptable levels of dental hard tissue loss. It is characterized by abnormal destruction, which may require treatment. The aim was to assess the reason for tooth material loss among FMR diagnosis patients. A retrospective study sample of 65 cases was collected from DIAS (Dental Information Archiving Software). The data was converted into an excel sheet for tabulation and further statistical analysis were done in SPSS. The p-value was insignificant and the current study proves that the reason for tooth material loss is predominantly caused by attrition. Within the limits of the study, the reason for tooth material loss is due to attrition.
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is the condition resulting mainly from tooth to tooth contact without any foreign substance intervention. It may also be described as physiological wearing off and is defined as the action of rubbing against something (Briggs et al., 1996; Duraisamy, 2019). The term erosion is described exclusively as a surface phenomenon differs from caries in which there is the destruction of both the surface and the subsurface areas of the human teeth (Mehta, 2012; Selvan and Ganapathy, 2016). Tooth surface loss may be considered physiological or pathological. Physiological tooth surface loss occurs as a result of mastication and adjustment, which is required for the teeth to function correctly (Kreulen et al., 2010; Ganapathy, 2016). Physiological tooth surface loss may also occur at interproximal tooth surfaces due to friction between the adjacent teeth. Inversely, pathological tooth surface loss represents unacceptable levels of dental hard tissue loss. It is characterized by abnormal destruction, which may require treatment (Subasree et al., 2016; Restrepo, 2017). Pathological tooth surface loss is considered to be a common clinical finding in both children and adults, and its prevalence increases with age. Pathological tooth surface loss lesions may be found to affect any tooth surface. However, even though each type of tooth surface loss has its own clinical appearance when present on its own, the four types may occur concurrently and interact to create a mixed tooth surface loss lesion, which may make diagnosis difficult (Dahl, 1989; Jain et al., 2017). Tooth surface loss may be asymptomatic with patients who are thus unaware of it, and it is only revealed during a clinical examination. However, it may also be symptomatic and symptoms such as tooth hypersensitivity, function impairment and negative effects on the patient’s appearance may encourage the patient to seek dental advice. In physiological processes that occur as part of the ageing process, causing an enamel loss between 28-30 nm per annum ( Fareed et al., 1990; Vijayalakshmi and Ganapathy, 2016). Indeed, various forms of tooth wear that commonly occur are linked to diet, parafunctional study activity and so on. This leads to the loss of hard tissues because of a combination of mechanical and chemical processes. Tooth surface loss forms do not include trauma, or bacteria or developmental disorders. Since current study is more prevalent to attrition, the wear from attrition may be localized on the occlusal surface of posterior teeth, the palatal surface of anterior maxillary teeth, the labial surfaces of mandibular anterior teeth, the labial surfaces of mandibular anterior teeth, and the incisal edges of the anterior teeth ( Lyon et al., 1987; Ganapathy et al., 2017). The affected surfaces are of attrition may be associated with yellowish-brown discoloration if the wear has penetrated the enamel wear may also concern interproximal surfaces leading to mesial drifting and broadening of proximal contacts. Pathological tooth wear can trigger symptoms such as tooth hypersensitivity, pulpal complications, discoloration and loss of functions ( Øilo et al., 1990; Ashok and Suvitha, 2016). Additionally, the esthetics of patients can also be impaired when the severe loss of tooth substance changes the patient’s physiognomy, such as the position of the smile line, the horizontal occlusal plane and the incisal edge position (Addy and Shellis, 2006; Ashok et al., 2014). Therefore, prevention and clinical treatments are needed for pathological dental wear. That, both dentists and research professionals, are required to devise strategies to minimize and manage dental wear based on an understanding of associated wear mechanism (Khan et al., 1998; Venugopalan, 2014). Initial management of tooth surface loss depends on an accurate diagnosis of the condition, the identification of the etiology and frequent monitoring of the successive charges; hence to prevent further damage. Treatment planning is sometimes very challenging and it is very necessary that accurate analysis of the tooth surface loss is made at an early stage and that satisfactory preventive measures are carried out (Smith and Knight, 1984; Kannan and Venugopalan, 2018). The interrelationship of the four modes of tooth surface loss and individual susceptibility influence the degree of tooth wear. Recognition of the multifactorial nature of the condition is the first step in its management, as failure to appreciate this may lead to inappropriate management and ultimate failure of restorative therapy (Ökunseri et al., 2015). While considering all the above factors, our study aims at analysing the reason behind tooth material loss among FMR patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in an institutional setting with the advantage of flexible data available, and the disadvantage is limited population covered. The approval was granted by the Institutional Ethics Committee. The study involves two examiners. Internal validity- Random selection of participants, External validity- Defining the eligibility criteria of the sample Generalised to the south Indian population

Based on internal and external validity, data was collected. The data timeline included the patients who recorded with tooth material loss in an FMR diagnosis between 1st August 2019 to 31st December 2019. Data was collected from the patient records,
70 case sheets of the patients were reviewed. Cross verification of data was done by photographic and radiographic views to minimise the sampling bias. Data retrieved were chronologically recorded and tabulated in MS Excel sheet.

Parameters assessed and tabulated were:

1. Patient name
2. Age
3. Gender
4. Treatment name
5. Teeth no
6. Reason for tooth material loss

The variables were coded and imported to SPSS. Using SPSS version 20.0, descriptive statistics were carried out. Chi-square test was used for inferential statistics, p-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Graph 1, Shows the frequency of reason for tooth material loss based on age from 19-80 years. The X-axis represents the age distribution and the Y-axis represents the frequency of reason for tooth material loss according to age category. Out of which 46-60 years of age category are found to be high with tooth material loss which is about 40%. Graph 2, Shows the frequency of reason for tooth material loss based on gender. Among this category population of males are more prevalent to tooth material loss. The X-axis represents the gender distribution and the Y-axis represents the frequency of reason for tooth material loss. Out of which males are found to be high with tooth material loss which is about 52.3%. Graph 3, Shows frequency of reason for tooth material loss based on the treatment done. FMR diagnosis is the only treatment done for the entire population. The X-axis represents the treatment name and Y-axis represents the frequency of reason for tooth material loss. Out of which all the patients have undergone FMR Diagnosis, which is about 100%.

Graph 4, Shows the frequency of reason for tooth material loss based on the teeth number/arch. The X-axis represents the teeth number/arch, and the Y-axis represents the reason for tooth material loss according to the arches. Out of which most of the patient shave been recorded with tooth material loss in both arches which is about 89.3%. Graph 5, Shows the frequency of reason for tooth material loss.
old have significant loss of tooth material mainly attrition. Where blue colour denotes attrition, pink denotes abrasion, green denotes erosion and orange denotes others. The X-axis represents the age distribution and the Y-axis represents the frequency of reason for tooth material loss. Association between age and reason for tooth material loss was done using Chi-square test (P value=0.24) was found to be statistically not significant. Graph 7 - Comparison between gender and reason for tooth material loss. Among the comparison, males have significant loss of tooth material loss. Where blue colour denotes attrition, pink denotes abrasion, green denotes erosion and orange denotes others. The X-axis represents the gender distribution and the Y-axis represents the frequency of reason for tooth material loss. Association between gender and reason for tooth material loss was done using Chi-square test (P value=0.13) was found to be statistically not significant.

According to the current study, there were a total of 65 patients who reported to the OP during August 2019 to December 2019 of Saveetha dental college. Out of which, 26% of the patients in the age group of 46-60 years. 19% of the patients in the age group of 61-80 years, 13% of the patients in the age group of 31-45 years and 7% in the age group of 19-30 years. Distribution of patients based on gender and recorded with material tooth losses were 34% of the male population and 31% of them were females. Distribution of patients based on treatment undergone. All 65 patients have undergone FMR diagnosis that is about 100%. Distribution of patients based on teeth number/arch recorded with tooth material loss was, 88% of the patients had tooth material loss in both arch and 5% in the lower arch and 2% in upper arch alone. Distribution of patients based on the reason for tooth material loss, 95% of patients had attrition, 10% had erosion, and 5% had abrasion (Imfeld, 1996; Basha et al., 2018) to Ricca Chairunnisa et al. (Chairunnisa and Sihombing, 2017). By comparing age and reason for tooth material loss it is evident that 46-60 years of age have significant loss of tooth material where attrition is about 29.3%, abrasion is about 4.6% and erosion is about 6.1% and Chi-square test (P value=0.24) was found to be statistically not significant. By comparing gender and reason for tooth material loss it is evident that females have significant loss of tooth material loss where attrition is 35.3%, abrasion is 6.1% and erosion is 3.1% and Chi-square test (P value=0.13) was found to be statistically not significant. There was a significant loss due to material loss which results in imbalance occlusion of teeth so that teeth will receive a larger
load. The study also concluded there was partial tooth loss in patients who are aged above 18 years.

According to (Schmitt, 2019), even though there was a preventive measure to control tooth material loss, there was a significant increase in attrition with age. In this study, attrition was significant in the age group of 40-50 years. According to (McKee and Molnar, 1988; Ajay, 2017), there was relatively increased attrition in the middle-aged population due to relatively food habits and bruxism. In this study, attrition was predominantly found in males than in female population. According to (Jarkander et al., 2018), dental erosion is significant in younger adults due to consumption of soft drinks, juice and sports drinks, which causes tooth hypersensitivity when eating and drinking. Predominantly males were subjected to erosion, according to (Wetselaar et al., 2016). Tooth wear has significantly increased in the past few years. This study proves that tooth wear increases with age and the most commonly affected population is females. According to (Lewis and Smith, 1973), there is a significant relationship between erosion and attrition in extensive tooth tissue loss due to systemic diseases and personal and food habits, lack of oral dental hygiene also.

Moreover, most of the studies compared above proves that the reason for tooth material loss is commonly present in males and the main cause is due to attrition (Awasthi, 2018). These are also opposing studies to prove that erosion in the female population is prevalent. But still, this study has a significant p-value to prove that attrition is the main cause of teeth material loss. The current study possesses a few limitations like small sample size. The results go well-matched with existing literature evidence, making the overall consensus agreeable.

CONCLUSION

Within the limits of the study, the reason for tooth material is attrition. Due to the insignificant p-value concluded from the current study. Preventive measurements should be taken to reduce attrition by better food habits and good oral hygiene. Better treatment should be provided for the benefit of patients and satisfaction.

Funding Support

The authors declare that they have no funding support for this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest for this study.

REFERENCES

Addy, M., Shellis, R. P. 2006. Interaction between Attrition, Abrasion and Erosion in Tooth Wear’. Monographs in Oral Science, 20:17–31.
Ajay, R. 2017. Effect of surface modifications on the retention of cement-retained implant crowns under fatigue loads: An In vitro study. Journal of Pharmacy And Bioallied Sciences, 9(5):154–160.
Ashok, V., et al. 2014. Lip Bumper Prosthesis for an Acromegaly Patient: A Clinical Report. The Journal of Indian Prosthodontic Society, 14:279–282.
Ashok, V., Suvitha, S. 2016. Awareness of all ceramic restoration in rural population. Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology, 9(10):1691–1693.
Awasthi, M. 2018. Attrition, Abrasion and Erosion’ – Chapter 36. pages 151–153. ISBN-9789352702282.
Basha, F. Y. S., Ganapathy, D., Venugopalan, S. 2018. Oral Hygiene Status among Pregnant Women. Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology, 11(7):3099–3102.
Briggs, P., Bishop, K., Kelleher, M. 1996. Management of tooth wear. British Dental Journal, 181(4):123–123.
Chairunnisa, R., Sihombing, R. J. 2017. The Association between Number of Tooth Loss, Tooth Loss Quadrants, and Occlusal Support with Temporomandibular Disorders in Partially Edentulous Patients. In Proceedings of the International Dental Conference of Sumatera Utara (IDCSU). ISBN-978-94-6252-474-3.
Dahl, B. L. 1989. Differences in functional variables, fillings, and tooth wear in two groups of 19-year-old individuals. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, 47(1):35–40.
Duraisamy, R. 2019. Compatibility of Non-original Abutments With Implants: Evaluation of Micro gap at the Implant-Abutment Interface, With Original and Non-original Abutments. Implant dentistry, 28(3):289–295.
Fareed, K., Johansson, A., Omar, R. 1990. Prevalence and severity of occlusal tooth wear in a young Saudi population. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, 48(4):279–285.
Ganapathy, D. 2016. Effect of Resin Bonded Luting Agents Influencing Marginal Discrepancy in All-Ceramic Complete Veneer Crowns. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research, 10(12):67–70.
Ganapathy, D. M., Kannan, A., Venugopalan, S. 2017. Effect of Coated Surfaces Influencing Screw Loosening in Implants: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. World Journal of Dentistry, 8(6):496–502.
Imfeld, T. 1996. Dental erosion. Definition, classification and links. European Journal of Oral Sciences, 104(2):151–155.

Jain, A. R., Nallaswamy, D., Ariga, P. 2019. Determination of Correlation of Width of Maxillary Anterior Teeth with Extraoral Factor (Interpupillary Width) in Indian Population. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research, 13(7):10–17.

Jain, A. R., Ranganathan, H., Ganapathy, D. M. 2017. Cervical and incisal marginal discrepancy in ceramic laminate veneering materials: A SEM analysis. Contemporary Clinical Dentistry, 8(2):272–278.

Jarkander, M. S., Grindejord, M., Carlstedt, K. 2018. Dental erosion, prevalence and risk factors among a group of adolescents in Stockholm County. European Archives of Paediatric Dentistry, 19(1):23–31.

Jyothi, S. 2017. Periodontal Health Status of Three Different Groups Wearing Temporary Partial Denture. Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology, 10(12):4339–4342.

Kannan, A., Venugopalan, S. 2018. A systematic review on the effect of impregnated retraction cords on gingiva. Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology, 11(5):2121–2126.

Khan, F., Young, W. G., Daly, T. J. 1998. Dental erosion and bruxism. A tooth wear analysis from South East Queensland. Australian Dental Journal, 43(2):117–127.

Kreulen, C. M., et al. 2010. Systematic Review of the Prevalence of Tooth Wear in Children and Adolescents. Caries Research, 44:151–159.

Lewis, K. J., Smith, B. G. 1973. The relationship of erosion and attrition in extensive tooth tissue loss. Case reports. British Dental Journal, 135(9):400–404.

Litonjua, L. A., Bush, P. J., Andreana, S., Tobias, T. S., Cohen, R. E. 2004. Effects of occlusal load on cervical lesions. Journal of Oral Rehabilitation, 31(3):225–232.

Lussi, A., Jaeggi, T. 2006. Dental Erosion in Children. Monographs in Oral Science, 20:140–151.

Lyon, H. E., Mitchell, R. J., Patterson, T. 1987. A comparison of abrasion resistance of dental stones. Dental Materials, 3(2):49–51.

McKee, J. K., Molnar, S. 1988. Measurements of tooth wear among Australian aborigines: II. Intrapopulational variation in patterns of dental attrition. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 76(1):125–136.

Mehta, S. B. 2012. Current concepts on the management of tooth wear: part 2. Active restorative care