Estimation of Energetic and Charge Transfer Properties of Iridium(III) Bis(2-phenylpyridinato-N,C'2')acetylacetonate by Electrochemical Methods
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ABSTRACT

Iridium(III) bis(2-phenylpyridinato-N,C'2')acetylacetonate ((ppy)2Ir(acac)), a green dopant used in organic light-emitting devices (OLEDs), was subjected to electrochemical characterization to estimate its formal oxidation potential ($E^\circ$'), HOMO energy level ($E_{\text{HOMO}}$), electron transfer rate constant ($k'$), and diffusion coefficient ($D_o$). The employed combination of voltammetric methods, i.e., cyclic voltammetry (CV), chronocoulometry (CC), and the Nicholson method, provided meaningful insights into the electron transfer kinetics of (ppy)2Ir(acac), allowing the determination of $k'$ and $D_o$. The quasi-reversible oxidation of (ppy)2Ir(acac) furnished information on $E^\circ$' and $E_{\text{HOMO}}$, allowing the latter parameter to be easily estimated by electrochemical methods without relying on expensive and complex ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopic (UPS) measurements.
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1. Introduction

Charge transfer characteristics of organic semiconductors are essential for the understanding and design of organic light-emitting devices (OLEDs) that are generally composed of various stacked organic film layers, with energetic characteristics and interlayer charge transfer kinetics being highly important for understanding their performance [1-3]. Voltammetry is a common method for determining the energetic characteristics of molecules contained in the above films [4]. In particular, cyclic voltammetry (CV) provides information on the formal oxidation potential ($E^\circ$'), which is indirectly correlated to the energy level of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO). However, the seemingly easy determination of energetic parameters often leads to misinterpreted voltammetric data and scientific misconduct [5]. Voltammetric methods can be used to estimate various electron-transfer parameters formal oxidation potential ($E^\circ$'), HOMO energy level (EHOMO), electron transfer rate constant ($k'$), and oxidation diffusion coefficient ($D_o$), but careful consideration in a
limited condition is required [6]. In this study, voltammetric analyses were utilized to characterize electron transfer in iridium(III) bis(2-phenylpyridinato-N,C')acetylacetonate ((ppy)_2Ir(acac)), a representative green dopant for organic light-emitting devices (OLEDs) (Fig. 1), affording parameters such as \(E^{\circ}\), \(E_{\text{HOMO}}\), \(E_{\text{LUMO}}\), and \(D_0\). Due to the quasi-reversible electrochemical oxidation of (ppy)_2Ir(acac), the above thermodynamic and even kinetic properties could be easily estimated. CV, chronocoulometry (CC), and the Nicholson method were used, and an in-depth explanation of voltammetric techniques is provided.

2. Experimental Section

2.1 Chemicals

\(^1\text{H}\) and \(^13\text{C}\) NMR spectra were recorded using an AV-300 (Bruker, Germany) NMR spectrometer. Although the investigated Ir(III) complexes were air-stable, all related manipulations were carried out under nitrogen due to the possible oxidation and thermal decomposition of transient intermediates. Electrochemical characterization was performed using a CH Instruments 650B analyzer (CH Instruments, Inc., TX, USA). Individual solutions were characterized using CV and CC to determine the electron transfer characteristics of (ppy)_2Ir(acac).

2.2 Synthesis of \([(ppy)\text{Ir(acac)}]_2\)

The cyclometalated Ir(III) \(\mu\)-chloro-bridged dimer, (ppy)_2Ir(μ-Cl)_2(ppy)_2, was synthesized by the method reported by Nonoayama [7], which involved refluxing IrCl_3·3H_2O with two equivalents of the cyclometalating ligand in a 3:1 mixture of 2-ethoxyethanol and water. The target product was obtained as a yellow precipitate upon cooling the reaction mixture to room temperature.

2.3 Synthesis of (ppy)_2Ir(acac)

The synthesis of (ppy)_2Ir(acac) was performed according to a previous report [8]. Briefly, [(ppy)_2Ir(μ-Cl)]_2 (0.078 mmol), acetylacetone (0.2 mmol), and Na_2CO_3 (90 mg) were refluxed in 2-ethoxyethanol for 12-15 h in an inert gas atmosphere. After cooling the mixture to room temperature, the produced colored precipitate was filtered off and washed with water and two portions of hexane and ether. The crude product was purified by flash column silica gel chromatography (CHCl_3) to afford (ppy)_2Ir(acac) in 80-90% yield after evaporation and drying. \(^1\text{H}\)-NMR (CDCl_3, 300 MHz): \(\delta\ 8.53\ (d, J = 5.7\ \text{Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.91\ (d, J = 8.0\ \text{Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.81\ (t, J = 7.3\ \text{Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.61\ (d, J = 7.5\ \text{Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.22\ (t, J = 6.1\ \text{Hz}, 2\text{H}), 6.98\ (t, J = 7.3\ \text{Hz}, 2\text{H}), 6.72\ (t, J = 7.3\ \text{Hz}, 2\text{H}), 6.27\ (d, J = 7.6\ \text{Hz}, 2\text{H}), 5.34\ (s, 1\text{H}), 1.84\ (s, 6\text{H})\).

2.4 Electrochemical characterization

The electrochemical redox behavior of individual solutions was investigated using CV and CC. The studied solutions commonly contained 0.1 M tetra-n-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAFP_a) in HPLC-grade acetonitrile as a supporting electrolyte and were purged with ultrapure N_2 before measurements. A 3-mm-diameter glassy carbon (GC) electrode was employed for CV experiments, and a Ag/Ag^+ reference electrode (3 M AgNO_3) was used. The GC working electrode was polished with 0.05-μm alumina (Buehler, IL, USA) on a felt pad, followed by 5-min sonication in a 1:1 mixture of deionized water and absolute ethanol. The sonicated electrode was blown dry with N_2 gas for 1 min.

3. Results and Discussion

Prior to the characterization of (ppy)_2Ir(acac), CV measurements were performed for the ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc^+) couple (1.0 mM) in acetonitrile. The formal oxidation potential of Fc was determined as \(E^{\circ}\)(Fc/Fc^+) = 0.090 V vs. Ag/Ag^+ and was later used as an internal reference for estimating the \(E_{\text{HOMO}}\) of (ppy)_2Ir(acac). CV measurements were subsequently performed for a 1.0 mM solution of (ppy)_2Ir(acac), which exhibited quasi-reversible oxidation (Fig. 2) corresponding to the redox conversions of the (ppy)_2Ir(acac)^+^- couple [9]. The formal oxidation potential of the above couple was determined as \(E^{\circ}\)(ppy)_2Ir(acac)^+^- = 0.444 V (vs. Ag/Ag^+), being almost equal to the value reported previously [10]. At a scan rate (ν) of 20 mV/s, the (ppy)_2Ir(acac)^+^- couple showed a peak potential separation (\(\Delta E_{pp} = E_{pa} - E_{pc}\)) of 77 mV, indicating a stoichiometric number of trans-
ferred electrons (namely one) in each process. With increasing $\nu$ (from 20 to 500 mV/s), $\Delta E$ gradually increased, whereas $E_o'$ (of $(ppy)_2Ir(acac)$ remained constant, and the ratio of anodic and cathodic peak currents ($i_{pc}/i_{pa}$) slightly decreased from unity.

According to Forrest et al. [11], the formal potential of $(ppy)_2Ir(acac)$ is related to its $E_{HOMO}$:

$$E_{HOMO} = -(1.4 \pm 0.1)E_{CV} - (4.6 \pm 0.08)eV$$

(1)

where $E_{CV}$ is the relative oxidation potential of $(ppy)_2Ir(acac)^{0/+}$ calibrated against the Fe$^{0/+}$ couple. The $E_{CV}$ of $(ppy)_2Ir(acac)^{0/+}$ was calculated as $0.354 \text{ V} (= 0.444 - 0.090)$, and $E_{HOMO}$ was estimated as -5.10 eV, being identical to previously reported values determined by ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopic (UPS) measurements [12].

The oxidative diffusion coefficient ($D_o$) of $(ppy)_2Ir(acac)$ was also determined based on CC measurements. In our approach, a double-step potential was applied to the solution, inducing an instantaneous oxidation of $(ppy)_2Ir(acac)$ in the vicinity of the electrode in the forward step, followed by rapid reduction of the oxidized form to $(ppy)_2Ir(acac)$ in the reverse step. Potentials sufficiently more positive than 0.444 V were applied in the forward step (and vice versa), and almost identical charge ($Q$) vs. time ($t$) profiles were obtained at $|E_{app} - E^o'| = 0.591 \text{ V}$ (Fig. 3a).

The applied overpotential accompanied the changes of the ratio of the concentration of $(ppy)_2Ir(acac)$ and $(ppy)_2Ir(acac)^+$ at the surface of electrode. According to the Nernst equation, the $[(ppy)_2Ir(acac)]/[(ppy)_2Ir(acac)^+]$ ratio at the electrode surface rapidly changed to $1/10^{10}$ under the condition of $E_{app} - E^o' = 0.591 \text{ V}$, with the diffusion of $(ppy)_2Ir(acac)$ being the only mode of subsequent mass transport. A strictly linear relationship between $Q$ and $t^{1/2}$ ($Q = 1.34 \times 10^{-5} \times x$, $R^2 = 0.999$) was obtained (Fig. 3b), and $D_o$ was calculated using the Anson equation:

$$Q = \frac{2nFAD_o^{1/2}C^*}{\pi^{1/2}x^{1/2}}t^{1/2}$$

(2)

where $n$ is the number of electrons, $d$ is the electrode area, and $C^*$ is the bulk concentration of $(ppy)_2Ir(acac)$.
The $A$ value of glassy carbon was previously measured as $7.64 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm}^2$ using 1.0 mM Fc in acetonitrile (not shown here), and thus, the diffusion coefficient of (ppy)$_2$Ir(acac) was determined as $D_o = 1.35 \times 10^{-5} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$, being slightly lower than that of Fc under similar conditions ($2.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$). CV data pertaining to reversible and quasi-reversible electron transfer not only provides thermodynamic information, but also allows the estimation of kinetic parameters for the redox process. For diagnostic purposes, Nicholson et al. [13] suggested using a dimensionless kinetic parameter $\Psi$, which is a function of $\nu$, $D_o$, and $k^{o}$:

$$\Psi = \frac{k}{\left[\pi D_o (F/\sqrt{RT})\right]^{1/2}} = f(\Delta E_{pp}) \quad (3)$$

The quasi-reversible one-electron oxidation exhibited by (ppy)$_2$Ir(acac) implies that $\Delta E_{pp}$ is close to 59 mV for slow potential scan rates, increasing concomitantly with the scan rate in the case of fast-scan CV measurements. According to Nicholson’s method, $\Psi$ is correlated with $\Delta E_{pp}$ (Fig. 4a) [14] and can therefore be determined by measuring $\Delta E_{pp}$ values at various scan rates. As shown in Fig. 4b, $\Psi$ values were extracted from CV curves at various scan rates, being linearly dependent on $\nu^{-1/2}$ ($y = 1.80 \times 10^{-1}x$, $R^2 = 0.985$). Finally, the $k^{o}$ of (ppy)$_2$Ir(acac) was calculated as $2.68 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm/s}$ based on eq. (3), being comparable to that of the Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ couple ($1.91 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm/s}$) under similar conditions [15].

4. Conclusions

Two voltammetric techniques, CV and CC, were used to investigate the redox characteristics of iridium(III) bis(2-phenylpyridinato-η$_2$C$^2$)acetylacetonate ((ppy)$_2$Ir(acac)), a typical green dopant for OLEDs. Although voltammetry is one of the most versatile analytical techniques for the study of electroactive materials, it requires precision, an appropriate level of understanding, and suitable experimental conditions. The quasi-reversible oxidation of (ppy)$_2$Ir(acac) allowed to estimate the HOMO energy levels, and the electron-transfer parameters such as diffusion coefficients ($D_o$) and electron-transfer rate constants ($k^{o}$) were successfully determined using a combination of CV and CC measurements.
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