Genetic diversity and selection within natural *dura* oil palm accessions collected in Ghana for oil palm productivity improvement
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Abstract. Darkwah DO, Blay E, Amoatey H, Sapey E, Bakoume C, Agyei-Dwarko D. 2020, Genetic diversity and selection within natural *dura* oil palm accessions collected in Ghana for oil palm productivity improvement. *Biodiversitas* 21: 3534-3538. The genetic base of oil palm breeding stock of the Ghanaian Oil Palm Research Institute is considered narrow after two selection cycles. Therefore, 79 accessions of natural *dura* oil palm collected in Ghana and conserved at Oil Palm Research Institute (OPRI) were field evaluated for 18 agro-morphological traits. ANOVA revealed differences among the 79 *dura* oil palm accessions for 14 traits. The most heritable traits were single fruit weight (h² = 0.85), leaf area index (h² = 0.63), bunch weight (h² = 0.52), total leaf area (h² = 0.51), and fresh fruit bunch (h² = 0.46). Genetic coefficients of variation ranged from 3.30% for frond dry weight to 68.74% for total bunch weight denoting possibility of selection of desirable traits for improvement. Five high fresh fruit bunch yielding accessions (FFB ≥ 10.81 t/ha) with good heritable bunch characteristics were selected for introduction in the breeding stocks. In fact, their bunch number, average bunch weight, and mesocarp to fruit were higher, in absolute terms, than the controls and trial mean obtained in the study. Although, the height increment was close to those of the controls, short progenies are expected among descendents from their selfings or crosses.
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INTRODUCTION

The oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) is a diploid, tropical perennial monocotyledonous species indigenous to Africa. It has 2n = 2x = 36 chromosomes. It is naturally cross-pollinated but is not self-incompatible. On the basis of the shell (Sh) thickness, three types of oil palm are distinguished. Notably (i) dura with a thick shell (2-8 mm) and homozygous for the trait (Sh²Sh²), (ii) pisifera shell-less and homozygous (Sh-Sh), and (iii) tenera a hybrid from the cross between the *dura* and *pisifera* (Sh²Sh) characterized by a thin shell (0.5-2 mm). Following the discovery of the genetic inheritance of shell thickness character (Beiraert and Vanderweijen 1941) since the early1960s, *tenera* was adopted worldwide as planting material (Bakoumé et al. 2017). Oil palm is cultivated for its oils including palm oil contained in the mesocarp and palm kernel oil contained in the kernel. Palm oil and palm kernel oil have food and non-food uses. Increase in human population and diverse uses of the crop led to a high demand for palm oil that could not be satisfied by natural groves (Bakoumé et al. 2016).

Oil palm selection and breeding work commenced early in the last century in most major oil palm research centers of the world's humid tropics including OPRI in Ghana. The aims of oil palm breeding in Africa are to maximize palm oil (and kernel) yield, to reduce vertical growth to extend the plant's economic life, to select for tolerance to drought to enable expansion of the planting area, to explore new sources of tolerance to *Fusarium* wilt, and most recently to improve palm oil quality notably high iodine value and low free fatty acids content (Bakoumé et al. 2016). Unfortunately, breeding programs relied on restricted genetic base populations (Ataga et al. 2012) and resulted in slow genetic progress from one cycle of selection to the next, which was the case of Ghana. Varieties of commercial lines produced from such narrow genetic base will be highly similar genetically. In fact, planting materials are *tenera* (*D x P*) i.e., hybrids derived from a cross between *dura* (*D*) female parents and a *pisifera* (*P*) pollen donors. *Pisifera* is female sterile, the flowers abort after fertilization. *Dura* is generally Deli *dura* derived from only four palms introduced in 1848 in the Bongor Botanic Gardens in Java, Indonesia. *Dura* is known to transmit tolerance to diseases, vertical growth rate, bunch production, and all cytoplasmic-controlled genes to the *tenera* (*hybrid*) progenies (Bakoume and Louise 2007). The narrow genetic base of Ghanaian *dura* breeding stocks has resulted in difficulty of selection for desirable traits. Therefore, there was a need to re-vitalize OPRI's breeding programs by enlarging and enriching the genetic bases of its *dura* breeding stocks to guarantee substantial genetic advance through selection. Okyere Boateng et al (2008) indicated that oil palm landraces possessing adaptation to local environments are gradually being displaced through (i) felling of palm trees for traditional palm wine production, locally distilled into alcohol, (ii) introduction of improved types, (iii) settlement on new lands due to
population growth, and (iv) changes in cultivation methods thus accelerating the erosion of these genetic resources in Ghana.

Germplasm constitutes a source of genetic diversity on which further crop improvement programs depend. Adequate evaluation of genetic variability of agronomic and morphological traits within OPRI’s natural dura accessions is of paramount importance for the selection of elite accessions to be introduced in the advanced dura stocks. Pratap et al. (2012) and Bhandari et al. (2017) rightly indicated that genetic variability and genetic relationship among accessions are pivotal to any breeding program and may ameliorate the breeding efficiency with resultant improvement in crop varieties. Assessment of genetic variability for yield, agronomic, and oil quality traits in the natural oil palm accessions collected from Nigeria led to the selection of population 12 by the Malaysian Palm Oil Board (Li-Hammeda et al. 2016). A similar study allowed Okoye et al. (2016) to select natural oil palm germplasm accessions to introduce in the Nigeria Institute For Oil Palm Research’s (NIFOR) breeding program.

The objective of this study was to evaluate genetic diversity within 79 dura accessions collected from natural oil palm groves of seven regions of Ghana and to select candidate individuals based on their agronomic performances for introduction into OPRI breeding program.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Planting materials
An oil palm germplasm prospection mission was carried out by the OPRI in 2003 to collect natural dura oil palms in seven regions of Ghana for evaluation and utilization of the potential elite accessions for crop improvement and conservation for future use. Seventy-nine accessions were collected from 27 locations using the Descriptors of oil Palm (IPGRI,1989) from open-pollinated oil palms. Open-pollinated bunches were harvested from a standing oil palm reported by inhabitants of the locality. Details of the collected accessions can be found in the attached supplementary sheet. The 79 dura oil palm accessions were laid out in augmented design with two dura standard cross as checks on each block. The checks were Deli dura-derived genitors from OPRI seed production program including 621D descendants of 851:215D self and 48D of 851: 255D x 851:215D cross. Nine accessions each were planted in the first seven blocks and eight accessions in the last two blocks. Six palms were planted per accession. Data were collected per palm and variance estimated for each accession. The experiment was conducted at OPRI, Kusi (0.6.00 N, 001.45W). The soil was Kokofu series also known as Ferri-Plinthic Acrisol by (FAO/ UNESCO Soil Classification). The annual rainfall ranged from 1500 to 2200 mm. The average minimum temperature is 24 °C and a maximum 34°C.

Parameters measured
Vegetative parameters and yield components were recorded for five years (2013 to 2017) and bunch and fruit characters for two years (2016 to 2018). The vegetative parameters viz leaf area (LA), total leaf area (TLA), leaf area index (LAI), frond dry weight (FDW), total fronds (TF), rachis length (RL), plant height (PH), radius of average spread (Ras), and average spread (A/S) were computed using standard procedures described by Sapey et al. (2017). Yield parameters included bunch number (BN), total bunch weight (TBW), and average bunch weight (ABW). Fresh Fruit Bunch (FFB) was estimated according to the formula hereafter:

$$FFB = BN \times ABW \times SPH \times 0.001$$

Where: SPH is stands per hectare (or planting density) and 0.001 a conversion factor of kilogram into tonne.

Bunch and fruit characters viz single fruit weight (SFW), percentage fruit to bunch (F/B), percentage mesocarp to fruit (M/F), percentage shell to fruit (S/F), and percentage kernel to fruit (K/F) were computed following the procedure adopted from (Sapey et al. 2017).

Statistical analysis
An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for all the vegetative, bunch production, bunch, and fruit parameters measured using R statistical software (R Core Team 2018). Heritabilities ($h^2$), genetic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), and genetic advance (GA) were computed following the standard procedure described by Farshadfar and Estehghari (2014) considering 10 % selection intensity. Heritability was estimated as

$$h^2 = \frac{\sigma_g^2 \times 100}{\sigma_p^2}$$

$$GCV = (\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2_g}{x}}) \times 100$$

$$PCV = (\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2_p}{x}}) \times 100 \text{ and } GA = \frac{i \times \sqrt{\sigma_p^2 \times h^2} \times 100}{m}$$

Where: $\sigma_g^2$ = genotypic variance, $\sigma_p^2$ = phenotypic variance, $x$ = mean, $h^2$ = heritability and m is the mean of unselected population (population/ grand mean) and i = selection differential or intensity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The ANOVA revealed significant differences between the 79 dura oil palm accessions for 14 traits out of the 18 agronomic traits (Table 1). The variability for the 14 polymorphic traits was useful for the assessment of the genetic diversity that exists among the 79 natural dura accessions. The other four agronomic traits were considered monomorphic and did not contribute to distinguishing one accession from the other. They included ABW, F/B, K/F, and TF.

The evaluation and characterization of natural dura oil palm in our study aimed to provide knowledge about the extent of genetic variability among accessions (Table 2).
The study believed in Murugesan et al. (2015)’s work in the sense that the improvement of OPRI’s *dura* breeding stocks and high genetic gain in the *tenera* hybrids would result from the genetic diversity existing within 79 natural *dura* accessions tested.

Mean plant height values (25.58-115.03 cm) were close to those reported by Murugesan et al. (2015) while the range of rachis length (2.38-4.06 m) was narrow compared to the 1.39-6.92 m. Mean values of TBW (7.01 t/ha/yr) and BN (6.70) were lower than the 13.51 t/ha/yr and 13.5 recorded from 17-year-old oil palm germplasm collected in Cameroon and planted in Malaysia (Bakoume 2016). Nevertheless, mean values of ABW were comparable (7.01 kg vs 6.9 kg). The use of accessions from different regions and assessment of accessions in different environments as well as different ages of oil palm germplasm at the time of the measurements might have accounted for the differences observed.

Wonkyi-Appiah (1987) reported that the yield of mature (14 years old and above) oil palm (local/wild/unimproved) in Ghana was 5.5 t/ha/yr. In this study, the grand mean was 7.01 t/ha/yr, with 77% of the accessions yielding above the 5.5 t/ha/yr. Furthermore, FFB yields of 60% of the accessions were above the trial mean of 7.01 t/ha/yr. The highest yielder was the accession GHA 212/02 whose annual TBW (14.33 t/ha/yr) represented 104% of the trial mean indicated the possibility to select accessions for FFB improvement of current OPRI’s *dura* breeding stocks in Ghana.

Broad sense heritability estimates for the 14 polymorphic agro-morphological traits ranged from 1.73% for F/S to 85.27% for SFW (Table 2). The ABW, F/B, and TF exhibited zero heritability due to the fact that they did not reveal differences between accessions in the ANOVA. The result was a strong indication that accessions would have derived from the same genetic pool since these traits normally have high heritabilities. Okoye et al. (2009) noted that heritability estimates above 50% are considered to be high. Single fruit weight (h^2 = 0.85), leaf area (h^2 = 0.67), leaf area index (h^2 = 0.63), total leaf area (h^2 = 0.59), radius of average spread (h^2 = 0.76), total bunch weight (h^2 = 0.52) recorded high heritabilities signifying high possibility of getting them expressed in subsequent generations of OPRI’s *dura* materials. In fact, heritability estimates of 0.67 for leaf area, 0.16 for plant height, 0.46 for fresh fruit bunch, and 0.30 for bunch numbers obtained from this study were within the range reported by earlier workers (Corley and Tinker 2015). However, the heritability for bunch weight obtained in the current study (h^2 = 0.52) was above the range (0.12-0.37) reported by (Corley and Tinker 2015) while 0.26 and 0.02 obtained for percent mesocarp to fruit and kernel to fruit, respectively, were below those reported in previous studies (Corley and Tinker 2015). Differences in genotypes used, number of individuals per accession, environments, and conditions under which the different evaluations were carried may account for differences between heritability estimates reported.

There are no agronomic traits found not to be heritable at all like it was the case in this work for TF, ABW, and F/B. However, previous selection of candidate accessions for introduction in the base populations of OPRI’s breeding programs did not place much emphasis on heritability (Okoye Boateng et al. 2008).

Genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) ranged from 3.30% for frond dry weight to 68.74% for total bunch weight while the phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) ranged from 7.74% for leaf area index to 98.51% for plant height. Sayan et al. (2013) stated that GCV and PCV values below 10% were low, between 10% and 20% were moderate while those above 20% were considered high. Generally, moderate to high GCV and PCV values were found in this study. High GCV indicates sufficient variation is available for improvement through selection. In general, PCV was higher than GCV for all traits indicating the important role of the environment on the expression of these traits (Okoye 2008). Narrow differences were observed between PCV and GCV for leaf area, leaf area index, radius of average spread, single fruit weight, and percent mesocarp to fruit. Narrow difference implied higher genetic influence over environmental influence. Narrow difference between GCV and PCV suggests a comparable resistance to environmental influences (Okoye et al. 2009).

Genetic advance (GA) indicates the measure of gain attained in a trait considering a particular selection intensity. It also tells of the feasible advancement that will be made in a new population in comparison with the original population after selection. GA values were low to moderate (0.00-12.72 %) for all the 18 agro-morphological traits. According to Ajayi et al. (2014), GA values below 10% are low, moderate between 10% and 20%, and considered high above 20%. All the traits except leaf area index, radius of average spread, bunch weight, and fresh fruit bunch recorded low genetic advance while the aforementioned traits had moderate genetic advance. In comparison, Constantin et al. (2017) reported higher values for fresh fruit bunch and bunch numbers 24% and 163% over those found, respectively, in the present study. Similarly, Okoye et al. (2009) reported higher values, for fresh fruit bunch (45.90%) and bunch number (57.20%). Low genetic advance values found in this study might have been due to the relatively low genetic variation because of the related ancestries of the accessions and the small number of palms per accessions. In fact, 12 palms from every progeny are to be sampled for data collection from a selfed palm to be able to capture 80% of their mother's genotype. Okwuagwu et al. (2008) recommended that higher response to selection may not be obtained only from high heritability in the broad sense due to non-additive genetic variance. To obtain a higher response to selection, it is more efficient to use both heritability and genetic advance expressed as the percentage of the mean. Adhikari et al. (2018) proposed a high heritability accompanied with high genetic advance expressed as a percentage of the mean for better response to selection. High heritability accompanied by moderate genetic advance was recorded for bunch weight and fresh fruit bunch which most probably implied that they were controlled by additive genes and therefore would result in a better response to selection (Kumar et al. 2014; Sravanti et al. 2017).
Selection of potential oil palms for crop improvement

*Dura* oil palms produce a small number of large bunches as compared to *pisifera* oil palms that are characterized by a large number of small bunches. The hybrids i.e. *tenera* have intermediate performances for bunch number, which can even be higher due to the heterosis effects that prevail when the two parents are genetically distant. Fresh fruit bunch is a function of both the bunch number and the average bunch weight. Nowadays, breeding programs are more interested in *dura* parents of relatively high number of bunches for further improvement of this character in the *tenera*. The focus for oil palm breeding and selection is fresh fruit bunch (FFB) yield (Corley and Tinker 2015). Furthermore, the current breeding stocks had gone through two generations of selection. Therefore, the selection of candidate accessions was in reference to individuals possessing two or three characters desired by the industry with moderate to high heritability. These characters were the fresh fruit bunch (≥ 10.81 t/ha) representing 31-74% rise over the controls and 54-104% above the trial mean, bunch number, average bunch weight, percent mesecarp to fruit (palm oil yield-linked trait), and plant height equivalent to 8.9-19% rise above the controls and 8.7-16% over the trial mean. Furthermore, FFB and BN recorded moderate genetic advance values, the highest for this study. According to Bakoume and Louise (2007), good percent M/F and oil to mesocarp (O/M) of Deli *dura* x La Mé (Côte d’Ivoire) progenies were transmitted by the *dura* parent. In addition, determination of planting density and spacing in commercial oil palm plantations should take into account plant height among other vegetative parameters (Okyere Boateng et al 2008; Murugesan and Shareef 2014). Based on the above considerations, a total of five (5) accessions were selected and proposed for introduction in the OPRI's *dura* improvement program (Table 3).

Table 1. ANOVA for 18 vegetative, yield and yield components, and bunch and fruit traits

| Agronomic trait | Replication+ | Accessions++ | Residual+++ |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| LA | Mean square | 1.5331 | 0.4299*** | 0.0163 |
| TLA | Mean square | 1513.05 | 352.1* | 122.89 |
| LAI | Mean square | 0.103383 | 0.04601*** | 0.003617 |
| FDW | Mean square | 0.11712 | 0.04926* | 0.00897 |
| TF | Mean square | 2.58000 | 3.0862m | 3.35000 |
| RL | Mean square | 0.111590 | 0.16202** | 0.150330 |
| PH | Mean square | 290.301 | 241.346* | 49.019 |
| Ras | Mean square | 0.16111 | 0.83404+ | 10.02801 |
| AS | Mean square | 77.149 | 49.496*** | 6.940 |
| FFB | Mean square | 2.226 | 9.622*** | 0.554 |
| BN | Mean square | 1.17 | 5.644** | 0.993 |
| TBW | Mean square | 74.40 | 270.30 | 21.1 |
| ABW | Mean square | 5.272 | 2.062m | 3.0505 |
| SFW | Mean square | 0.661 | 1.752*** | 0.033 |
| F/B | Mean square | 9.61 | 10.68* | 21.19 |
| M/F | Mean square | 12.857 | 55.843* | 10.691 |
| S/F | Mean square | 6.997 | 53.207* | 21.511 |
| K/F | Mean square | 2.400 | 11.643m | 6.586 |

Note: ** degrees of freedom for all trait is equal to 8, *** degrees of freedom for all trait is equal to 80, +++ degrees of freedom for all trait is equal to 88

Table 2. Variability of the 18 agro-morphological traits among the 79 natural *dura* accessions

| Traits | Controls mean | Min | Max | Mean | h² | GCV (%) | PCV (%) | GA (%) |
|--------|---------------|-----|-----|------|----|---------|---------|--------|
| LA | 4.47 | 1.47 | 6.13 | 3.92 | 66.67 | 10.00 | 12.25 | 7.40 |
| TLA | 114.23 | 32.04 | 159.88 | 104.25 | 59.29 | 43.89 | 56.57 | 5.77 |
| LAI | 0.95 | 0.24 | 1.89 | 0.86 | 62.50 | 7.61 | 7.74 | 11.47 |
| FDW | 1.52 | 0.42 | 1.73 | 1.26 | 14.00 | 3.30 | 9.03 | 1.96 |
| TF | 25.87 | 23.36 | 29.96 | 26.56 | nc | nc | 35.51 | nc |
| RL | 3.29 | 2.38 | 4.06 | 3.35 | 34.78 | 4.90 | 8.24 | 2.75 |
| PH | 62.14 | 25.58 | 115.04 | 60.14 | 16.00 | 39.45 | 98.51 | 3.58 |
| Ras | 3.45 | 2.53 | 11.37 | 3.58 | 76.06 | 15.77 | 18.08 | 12.70 |
| AS | 37.21 | 19.36 | 60.26 | 39.80 | 39.70 | 33.88 | 53.77 | 5.97 |
| BN | 7.50 | 3.72 | 13.99 | 6.70 | 30.31 | 24.86 | 45.15 | 9.40 |
| TBW | 56.93 | 3.59 | 96.14 | 47.47 | 51.53 | 68.74 | 95.76 | 12.72 |
| ABW | 7.59 | 1.49 | 13.00 | 7.01 | nc | nc | 65.95 | nc |
| FFB | 8.23 | 0.41 | 14.33 | 7.01 | 46.42 | 26.08 | 38.34 | 11.78 |
| SFW | 3.64 | 3.01 | 10.21 | 5.48 | 85.27 | 18.65 | 20.25 | 2.87 |
| F/B | 48.52 | 45.78 | 67.24 | 56.18 | nc | nc | 61.42 | nc |
| M/F | 42.49 | 28.22 | 63.47 | 43.65 | 25.54 | 33.74 | 39.18 | 4.15 |
| S/F | 40.29 | 20.92 | 58.44 | 40.86 | 1.73 | 8.70 | 18.80 | 0.50 |
| K/F | 17.18 | 10.32 | 23.16 | 15.50 | 13.30 | 28.42 | 77.91 | 0.13 |

Note: nc: not computed
The five accessions selected represented 43% increment over the controls’ mean (8.23 t/ha) and 69% over the trial mean (7.01 t/ha) mostly due to high BN and high ABW. The BN recorded 23% increment over the controls’ mean and 38% over the trial mean. The 18% and 27% increment in ABW over the controls’ mean and trial mean, respectively, indicated the superiority, based on absolute values of the five accessions selected in this study. In the absence of data on oil production, emphasis was put on the M/F a major component of oil yield. The five accessions selected for future OPRl’s dura improvement program represented 13% over the controls’ mean and 11% over the trial mean for M/F. In fact, Okoye et al. (2009) remarked that in selecting for fruit and bunch characters in oil palm, preference should be given to mesocarp to fruit ratio when considering oil yield or palm oil production. In fact, it is not impossible for accession with high percent mesocarp to fruit to be a poor palm oil yielder but it is uncommon for an accession with low mesocarp to fruit to be best oil yielder. Low height increment of oil palms prolongs the economic life of the plantation. As palms grow higher, harvesting becomes increasingly difficult (Turner and Gillbanks 1974). In this study, though their vertical growth represented only 7% above the controls’ mean and 3% over the trial mean, short progenies, are expected from parental selves or crosses given the intrinsic heterozygosity of oil palm (Bakoume and Louise 2007).

In conclusion, substantial genetic variation was found among the 79 dura oil palm accessions evaluated with respect to the 18 agro-morphological traits. Similarity of accessions was established by plant height, bunch number, average bunch weight, and percent mesocarp to fruit using the ANOVA as well as the impossibility of estimating their heritability values. The fresh fruit bunch which was usually less heritable showed to be relatively highly heritable (\( h^2 = 0.46 \)) in the current study. The moderate to high genetic coefficient of variation (GCV) indicates that some variation exists in the germplasm on which selection could capitalize for oil palm improvement. Therefore, five accessions were selected. They had a potential for improving traits of interest for the oil palm industry notably TBW, BN, ABW, M/F and for reducing of plant height increment rate in the OPRl’s dura breeding stocks.
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