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Clinical Effects of Primary Nursing on Diabetic Nephropathy Patients Undergoing Hemodialysis and Its Impact on the Inflammatory Responses
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Objective. To assess the clinical effects of primary nursing on diabetic nephropathy patients undergoing hemodialysis and its impact on inflammatory responses. Methods. Between July 2019 and April 2021, 80 patients with diabetic nephropathy who underwent hemodialysis in our institution were recruited and assigned at a ratio of 1:1 to receive routine nursing (routine group) or primary nursing (primary group). The outcome measures included nursing outcomes, inflammatory factor levels, and psychological status. Results. Primary nursing resulted in lower levels of blood creatinine, fasting glucose, urea nitrogen, and proteinuria versus routine nursing (P < 0.05). Patients receiving primary nursing showed significantly lower levels of interleukin (IL)-6, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) versus those given routine nursing (P < 0.05). The patients in the primary group had significantly lower scores on the self-rating anxiety scale (SAS) and self-rating depression scale (SDS) versus those in the routine group (P < 0.05). Conclusion. Primary nursing improves the renal function of diabetic nephropathy patients undergoing hemodialysis, reduces the inflammatory response, and eliminates their negative emotions, which shows great potential for clinical application.

1. Introduction

In recent years, with improvements in people’s living standards, the incidence of diabetes mellitus has been on the rise [1]. Diabetic nephropathy is one of the common comorbidities of diabetes mellitus, and its incidence has also been increasing [2]. The main cause of diabetic nephropathy is the untimely and ineffective control of diabetes. Chronic hyperglycemia in patients leads to sustained damage to the renal vasculature due to blood pressure, which results in blood filtration overload in the kidneys and thus nephropathy [3]. The loss of metabolic function of the kidney over a certain level will progress to uremia, which requires dialysis treatment or kidney transplantation [4]. Diabetic nephropathy seriously compromises the life quality of patients. Hemodialysis can effectively improve the life quality of patients with diabetic nephropathy with a high safety profile; however, patients after hemodialysis treatment are predisposed to various complications, which seriously impair their physiological and psychological health and even threaten their life safety in serious cases [5]. A large body of clinical research has demonstrated the importance of active and effective nursing measures for diabetic nephropathy patients undergoing hemodialysis [6]. Although primary nursing care modality has been applied in numerous departments and diseases, the reports on diabetic nephropathy undergoing hemodialysis remain scant. In the present study, 80 patients with diabetic nephropathy undergoing...
hemodialysis in our institution were recruited to assess the clinical effects of primary nursing on these patients and its impact on inflammatory responses.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Baseline Data. Between July 2019 and April 2021, 80 patients with diabetic nephropathy who underwent hemodialysis in our institution were recruited and assigned at a ratio of 1:1 to either a routine group or a primary group. The baseline characteristics of the routine group (22 males, 18 females, mean age of 57.67 ± 3.48 years, mean disease duration of 14.21 ± 2.25 years, and mean dialysis time of 12.66 ± 3.59 months) were comparable with those of the primary group (23 males, 17 females, mean age of 57.28 ± 3.56 years, mean disease duration of 14.13 ± 2.31 years, and mean dialysis time of 12.87 ± 3.45 months) (P > 0.05) (Table 1). This study has been reviewed and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Qingdao Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, No. 928797.

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. Inclusion criteria: patients without other vital organ disorders and without a history of psychiatric disorders and language and cognitive impairment were included in this study.

Exclusion criteria: patients with a history of psychiatric disorders or recent use of antidepressants, with malignant tumors or hematological or immune system disorders, with congenital genetic disorders, who refused to participate in this study, with poor compliance, or who were unable to follow up were excluded from this study.

2.3. Methods

1. Patients in the routine group received routine care. The nursing staff recorded the patient’s nutrition, blood pressure, blood glucose, and relevant indicators, and informed the physicians promptly of the presence of adverse reactions in patients. The patients and their families were given health education about the disease and instructed to have a reasonable diet and appropriate exercise.

2. Patients in the primary group received primary nursing. (1) Nursing staff educated patients about the knowledge and information of hypoglycemic drugs and taught them the correct method of insulin injection and preservation to ensure the correct and reasonable use of drugs. The patients were provided with health education about diabetic nephropathy to help them fully understand their disease [7]. (2) Hemodialysis is prone to organ function damage and even blindness in severe cases, which may lead to negative emotions such as anxiety and depression, resulting in the loss of patients’ confidence in treatment. Therefore, strengthened communication and timely psychological guidance to patients contributed to improving their psychological status and eliminating negative emotions, thereby ensuring the treatment effect. The patients were informed of the approach, process, necessity, and importance of hemodialysis, which facilitates the active cooperation of patients in hemodialysis treatment. (3) The nursing staff formulated an individualized and reasonable diet plan according to each patient’s condition and assessed the patient’s nutritional status by calculating the patient’s daily intake according to the patient’s urine volume. Insulin is usually used to control blood glucose in patients with diabetic nephropathy, but the compromised insulin catabolism and clearance in these patients predisposes them to exogenous insulin accumulation in the body. Thus, blood glucose requires close monitoring for adjustment of the insulin dosage. In the case of the signs of hypoglycemia, the patient was given food as soon as possible to avoid hypoglycemia [8]. (4) Nursing staff provided patients with targeted guidance on complication prevention, and patients with complications were treated with drugs with protective functions on renal function. The patient was given antihypertensive drugs as prescribed by the doctor if intractable hypertension occurred during hemodialysis. In the event of nausea, pallor, and cold sweats during dialysis, oxygen therapy was performed, the patient’s blood flow was reduced, and the patient’s ultrafiltration was discontinued. Plasma and hypertonic saline infusions were administered if necessary. (6) The patient’s puncture site was given medical care to avoid infection. Patients with long-term indwelling catheters were treated according to the requirements of aseptic practice.

In addition, two groups were given traditional Chinese nursing. Patients with diabetic nephropathy suffer from dryness, heat, loss of energy, and deficiency of both Qi and Yin. The Guanyuan, Sanyinjiao, and Zusani acupoints were massaged according to the doctor’s instructions to replenish the Qi and Yin and alleviate the symptoms of palpitations and irritability. For those with spleen and kidney yang deficiency, the ward was ventilated regularly to ensure sufficient sunlight, and hot compression was applied to the waist, knees, and abdomen. For abdominal distension, moxibustion was performed at the Pishu and bilateral Shenshu acupoints.

2.4. Outcome Measures

1. Nursing outcomes: the nursing outcomes included blood creatinine, fasting glucose, urea nitrogen, and proteinuria. Blood creatinine, urea nitrogen, and proteinuria levels were determined before and after the nursing using an immunoenzymatic assay with a fully automated biochemical analyzer. The fasting blood glucose level of the patients was determined using the Myriad BS-350 automatic biochemical analyzer with matching reagents of the analyzer. The lower the level of the above indexes, the better the nursing outcome.

2. Inflammatory response indexes: the main indexes included interleukin (IL)-6, high-sensitivity
Diabetic nephropathy is one of the major complications of diabetic patients, and diabetic nephropathy complicated by end-stage renal disease is the main cause of death in diabetic patients. Hemodialysis is an effective means for diabetic nephropathy, but prolonged hemodialysis may lead to inflammation, hypoproteinemia, muscle protein depletion, and other nutritional deficiencies in the organism, which reduces the immunity of patients, aggravates the inflammatory response, and compromises the prognosis [9]. A related study by Lee et al. [10] revealed that effective nursing measures for patients with diabetic nephropathy are essential for reducing clinical mortality and improving the quality of survival of patients [11]. Patient-oriented primary nursing is appreciated in clinical practice. Patients are given systematic and targeted nursing measures to reduce the incidence of complications and are instructed in terms of psychological management, medication, diet, and health education [12]. Clinical studies have shown that primary nursing facilitates the recovery of patients and lays a good foundation for the rehabilitation of patients. Diabetic nephropathy usually develops in the terminal stage of the disease due to the insidiousness of its early-stage symptoms, which complicates the treatment [13]. Currently, proteinuria, blood creatinine, and urea nitrogen are mostly determined in clinical practice by means of serological tests of renal function. Proteinuria levels reflect the severity of kidney damage and early nephropathy in patients. Blood creatinine is a product of human muscle metabolism in clinical practice and can be used to inspect renal function. Urea nitrogen is a nitrogenous compound in the plasma of the patient’s organism that is filtered from the glomerulus and excreted out of the body, which is generally used to determine the severity of the disease. Fasting glucose can be used to assess the glycemic index of the patient [14].

The results of the present study showed that primary nursing resulted in lower levels of blood creatinine, fasting glucose, urea nitrogen, and proteinuria versus routine nursing \( (P < 0.05) \) (Table 2).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Nursing Outcomes

Primary nursing resulted in lower levels of blood creatinine, fasting glucose, urea nitrogen, and proteinuria versus routine nursing \( (P < 0.05) \) (Table 2).

#### 3.2. Inflammatory Responses Indices

Patients in the primary group had significantly lower levels of IL-6, hs-CRP, and TNF-\( \alpha \) than those in the routine group \( (P < 0.05) \) (Table 3).

#### 3.3. SAS and SDS Scores

The patients receiving primary nursing showed significantly lower scores of SAS and SDS than those given routine nursing \( (P < 0.05) \) (Table 4).

### 4. Discussion

Diabetic nephropathy is one of the major complications of diabetic patients, and diabetic nephropathy complicated by end-stage renal disease is the main cause of death in diabetic
patients with diabetic nephropathy treated with hemodialysis mitigated the inflammatory response and improved the patient’s prognosis. Moreover, primary nursing herein was associated with significantly lower levels of IL-6, hs-CRP, and TNF-α versus routine nursing, suggesting an effective alleviation of inflammatory response after the intervention of primary nursing. The reason may be that primary nursing closely monitors the patient’s conditions and the medication, which allows first response strategies in the case of any adverse conditions [19]. In addition, a sound physical and mental health status contributes to the enhancement of patients’ treatment outcomes [20]. Here, the patients receiving primary nursing showed significantly lower scores of SAS and SDS versus those given routine nursing, indicating a promising efficiency of primary nursing in eliminating the negative emotions of patients, which shows great potential for clinical application.

5. Conclusion

Primary nursing improves the renal function of diabetic nephropathy patients undergoing hemodialysis, reduces the inflammatory response, and eliminates the negative emotions of patients, which shows great potential for clinical application.
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