A Heparin-Mimicking Block Copolymer Both Stabilizes and Increases the Activity of Fibroblast Growth Factor 2 (FGF2)
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ABSTRACT: Fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) is a protein involved in cellular functions in applications such as wound healing and tissue regeneration. Stabilization of this protein is important for its use as a therapeutic since the native protein is unstable during storage and delivery. Additionally, the ability to increase the activity of FGF2 is important for its application, particularly in chronic wound healing and the treatment of various ischemic conditions. Here we report a heparin mimicking block copolymer, poly(styrenesulfonate-co-poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate)-b-vinyl sulfate) (p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS), that contains a segment that enhances the stability of FGF2 and one that binds to the FGF2 receptor. The FGF2 conjugate retained activity after exposure to refrigeration (4 °C) and room temperature (23 °C) for 7 days, while unmodified FGF2 was inactive after these standard storage conditions. A cell study performed with a cell line lacking native heparan sulfate proteoglycans indicated that the conjugated block copolymer facilitated binding of FGF2 to its receptor similar to the addition of heparin to FGF2. A receptor-based enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay (ELISA) confirmed the results. The conjugate also increased the migration of endothelial cells by 80% compared to FGF2 alone. Additionally, the FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS stimulated endothelial cell sprouting 250% better than FGF2 at low concentration. These data verify that this rationally designed protein-block copolymer conjugate enhances receptor binding, cellular processes such as migration and tube-like formation, and stability, and suggest that it may be useful for applications in biomaterials, tissue regeneration, and wound healing.

INTRODUCTION

There is growing interest in biomaterials capable of treating ischemic conditions and promoting healing in burned and wounded tissues. Approximately 0.15% of Americans suffer from limb ischemia each year (2011),1 1–2% from chronic wounds (2004)2,3 and 450 000 from acute burn injuries (2014).4 Together, treatments for chronic wounds cost over 35 billion dollars annually as of 2007 in the United States alone.5,6 One important factor to consider in the successful development of biomaterials for treatment of ischemia and wound repair is the promotion of vascularization. Cellular proliferation, migration and angiogenesis are crucial for formation of new vasculature and are key processes in tissue repair. In normal healing processes, growth factors are typically produced in wounds and act as signaling molecules to stimulate growth and new tissue formation.6 In ischemic, burned and chronically wounded tissues, these processes are impaired. Indeed, many biomaterials have been developed to promote angiogenesis. These include hydrogels, topical creams, growth factors, small molecules and polymers.6,7 Yet, there is still interest in developing new options for successful treatment of ischemic and chronic wounds.

Fibroblast growth factor 2, or FGF2, is a 17 kDa heparin binding protein that promotes a variety of cellular processes including cell proliferation, migration, vasculogenesis, cell differentiation and stem cell self-renewal.6,11 Additionally, FGF2 has been shown to play a crucial role in tissue repair, angiogenesis, bone growth, and neuroregeneration.12 Decreased concentrations of growth factors including FGF2 in chronic wounds and ischemic conditions are known to inhibit these cellular processes, thereby preventing healing and angiogenesis.13,14 This decrease in FGF2 combined with the advantageous effects of FGF2 in tissue regeneration have led to new biomaterials and topical applications of FGF2 and other growth factors for treatment of chronic wounds.14,15 For example, it has been shown that delivery of various growth factors such as FGF and VEGF increases the amount of angiogenesis, and thus pro-angiogenic treatments involving these proteins have been widely studied.16 However, while these treatments have shown improved angiogenesis and tissue healing in vitro, their efficacy in clinical trials has been limited.17
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To overcome this obstacle, superagonists of FGF2 and other growth factors have been studied to increase the mitogenic response in chronic wounds. These agonists have shown improved cellular response when compared to FGF2 alone and therefore, can be used to make up for the lower receptor count and lower growth factor concentrations due to growth factor degradation in diabetic patients.18 There are several known superagonists of FGF2 including protein mutants,19–21 protein dimers,22,23 FGF2 oligomers,24 peptide sequences,25,26 and protein conjugates.27 Additionally, growth factor combination therapies have been employed to improve the activity of exogenously applied growth factors.28,29

Heparinoid complexes and heparin have also been employed to increase the activity of FGF2.30 Heparin, a highly sulfated glycosaminoglycan, stabilizes FGF231 and promotes protein dimerization resulting in receptor dimerization and triggering of phosphorylation and eventual cell growth, migration and angiogenesis.32 Heparinoids are derivatives of heparin and typically are sulfated oligoheparin fragments, often well-defined in length. Due to the important role of heparin in FGF2 activity, both heparin and heparinoids have been used to stabilize33 or alter the activity of FGF2.34 In addition, heparin has been employed in many other Federal Drug Administration (FDA) approved therapeutics including treatment of angina,35 thrombosis36 and myocardial infarction.37 Heparin has also been shown to be efficacious in the treatment of chronic wounds and burns,38,39 prevention of metastatic cancer,40 reduction of inflammation41 and is FDA approved as an antithrombotic and anticoagulant.42

Growth factor superagonists are helpful in increasing cellular response for new therapeutics; however, another obstacle in the successful use of FGF2 as a therapeutic protein drug is its instability.33,42 FGF2 is quickly degraded during storage and upon delivery in vivo. Covalent protein–polymer conjugates have been used as a means of protein stabilization in the past35–48 and many conjugation chemistries have been explored.49 The covalent conjugation of poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) to proteins (PEGylation) has become a popular means to stabilize proteins, with 10 FDA approved PEGylated proteins on the market.50 While PEGylation provides increased stability, decreased immunogenicity and increased blood half-life, moving toward biomimetic polymers for use in protein conjugates could improve biological function and provide better stability. Additional improvements to protein polymer conjugates include use of site specific conjugations51 and stimuli responsive polymer conjugates.52

In vivo, FGF2 is stabilized by heparin, allowing the protein to reach its target. While heparin and heparinoids provide many desirable therapeutic effects, they are susceptible to in vivo degradation and desulfation by heparinas.53 Additionally, heparin is isolated from animal tissues and is susceptible to high batch-to-batch variability.54 Fractionating the biomolecule has circumvented some negative effects of heparin, but this process is often costly.55 Because of the downsides of heparin, there have been many reports of heparin mimics designed to provide the desired effects of heparin while minimizing heterogeneity and desulfation in vivo. These include various polysaccharides,56 sulfonated dextrans,57,58 sulfonated and sulfated polymers,59,60 anionic polymers,61 peptides,62 sulfated glycopolymers63 and ionomers.64 These alternatives have been used for protein stabilization,65 anticoagulation66 and stimulating cellular processes.67 We previously reported that conjugating a heparin-mimicking polymer, poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate-co-poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate) p(SS-co-PEGMA) (molecular weight 23.0 kDa), stabilized FGF2 to various stressors including heat and long-term storage.56

Although stabilization of FGF2 improves the outlook for its therapeutic use, we found that unlike heparin, the conjugate was not able to facilitate the dimerization of FGF receptors (FGFRs) in cells lacking heparin sulfate proteoglycans.66 As a result, we subsequently screened various sulfonated polymers to identify other heparin-mimicking polymers that could facilitate receptor binding and dimerization.60 We identified that poly(vinylsulfonate) (pVS) exhibited heparin-like activity by enabling the binding of FGF2 to its high affinity receptors when added as an excipient.60 Herein, we describe the combination of these two polymer types into a block copolymer containing both stabilizing and FGF2 binding sequences, namely FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS (Figure 1). The conjugation of this new heparin mimicking block copolymer to FGF2 and evidence of the resulting increased stability and growth factor activity is discussed herein.

**Figure 1.** Polymer p(SS-co-PEGMA) stabilizes FGF2 as a conjugate and pVS facilitates FGF2-receptor binding when added as an excipient. When combined into a block copolymer, p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS, the new conjugate both stabilizes FGF2 and increases protein activity. Protein structure modified from PDB 1CVS using PyMOL software.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Materials.** Chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received unless otherwise indicated. Silica gel column chromatography was performed using Merck 60 (230–400 mesh) silica gel. Prior to polymerizations 4-styrene sulfonic acid and vinyl sulfonic acid monomers were pretreated with Na+ and dried to produce the sodium salt. Before polymerization azobis(isobutyronitrile) (AIBN) was recrystallized twice from ethanol and dried, and V501 initiator was dried prior to use. Protein was expressed and purified from the plasmid pET29c(+)-hFGF2, which was kindly provided by Professor Thomas Scheper from the Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research (Braunschweig, Germany) according to Chen et al.68 HiTrap Heparin HP columns were purchased from GE Healthcare. ELISA was performed using the ELISA Development DuoSet kit purchased from R&D Systems. Recombinant human...
FGFR1r(IIIc) Fc chimera, and ELISA Development DuoSet kits were purchased from R&D Systems. Blot antibodies were purchased from CALBIOCHEM (rabbit anti-ribostromin growth factor basic) and Bio-Rad (goat antirabbit IgG-HRP conjugate). Normal human dermal fibroblasts and human umbilical vein endothelial cells were purchased from ATCC. BA-3FR1C expressing FGFR1 were kindly provided by Professor David Ornitz (Washington University, Saint Louis).69 Cell medium was purchased from ATCC or Invitrogen unless otherwise indicated. CellTiter-Blue Cell Viability Assay was purchased from Promega. Polystyrene standards for gel permeation chromatography (GPC) calibration were purchased from Polymer Laboratories.

Methods. Analytical Techniques. 1H NMR and 13C NMR spectroscopy were performed on Avance DRX 400 or 500 MHz instruments. UV−vis spectrophotometry was performed on a BioMate 3 Thermo Spectronic spectrophotometer. Dimethylformamide (DMF) GPC was conducted in DMF containing 0.10 M LiBr (40 °C, 0.8 mL/min) on a Shimadzu HPLC system equipped with a refractive index detector RID-10A, one Polymer Laboratories PLgel guard column, and two Polymer Laboratories PLgel 5 μm mixed D columns. Calibration was performed using near-monodisperse polystyrene standards. Chromatograms were processed using the EZStaT 7.2 chromatography software. Fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) was performed on a Bio-Rad BioLogic DuoFlow chromatography system equipped with a GE Healthcare Life Sciences Superdex 75 10/300 column and was run in Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (D-PBS) + 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). Gel electrophoresis was performed using any KDM MiniPROTEAN TGXtert gels with Tris-glycine as running buffer (Biorad, Hercules). ELISA assays were read on an ELX800 Universal Microplate Reader (Bio-Tek Instrument Inc., Winooski) with λ = 450 nm for signal and 630 nm for background. Western blot was developed on a FluorChem FC2 System version 3.2 (Cell Biosciences, Santa Clara). CellTiter-Blue assays were read on a SpectraMax MS microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale). Cell images for cell viability/cytotoxicity, cell migration and angiogenesis were taken on an Axiovert 200 microscope equipped with an AxioCam MRm camera and FluorArc mercury lamp (Carl Zeiss, Thornwood). NIH ImageJ software was used to assist cell counting, to measure distances of cell-free paths and to measure degree of cord-like structure formation according to the literature.23

Synthesis of 2-(Ethoxyacrylonitrothio) (thio)-2-methylpropanoic Acid (1). A three-neck round-bottom was purged with argon followed by 40 mL of 1:1 water:acetone v:v. The solvents were degassed with argon for 1 h prior to use. The round-bottom was submerged in an ice bath to cool to 0 °C and then NaOH (1.26 g, 31.5 mmol) was added to the round-bottom and the mixture was stirred for 20 min. Degassed EOH (1.24 M) was added dropwise over 10 min followed by the dropwise addition of carbon disulfide (1.90 mL, 31.4 mmol). The reaction turned yellow and was stirred for 30 min at 0 °C. After 30 min, 2-bromo-2-methylpropanoic acid (1.50 g, 8.98 mmol) was added and the reaction was slowly warmed to 23 °C and stirred for 48 h, over which time the reaction turned orange. After 48 h the acetone was removed in vacuo, and the aqueous layer was checked for basicity, then extracted three times with dichloromethane (DCM). The aqueous layer was then acidified using 1 M HCl and extracted three times with DCM. The combined DCM layers were dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Next, 3 mL of water were added to the orange oil, and then the mixture was heated until all solid dissolved. The mixture was slowly cooled to room temperature 12 °C. Light yellow to white crystals with water were filtered and rinsed with cold water and were filtered and rinsed with cold water. The crystals were dried in vacuo (538 mg, 35% yield). δ 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): 9.21−10.64 (1H, br s, COOH), 6.43−6.82 (2H, q, J = 8.0 Hz), 1.63−1.66 (6H, s), 1.41−1.57 (3H, t, J = 7.12 Hz). δ 13C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): 210.32, 118.43, 70.01, 53.86, 25.51, 13.32. FT-IR (cm−1): 2960, 2870, 1736, 1572, 1447, 1416, 1366, 1274, 1224, 1154, 1106, 1056, 922, 850, 806, 691, 631, 610, 590, 561, 538, 519, 509, 485, 471. HRMS-ESI (expected, observed): [M+H] = (377.0248, 377.0301).

Preparation of FGZ2 Conjugates. FGZ2 (100 μg, 5.9 × 10−10 mol) was diluted in 500 μL of D-PBS + 1 mM EDTA and loaded onto a hand-packed 0.5 mL-heparin Sepharose column. Next, 100 equiv of PDS-p-(SS-co-PETMA), PDS-PS or PDS-p-(SS-co-PETMA)-b-VS were dissolved in 500 μL of D-PBS + 1 mM EDTA and loaded onto the column. The column was incubated at 4 °C for 16 h. After the incubation, the column was first washed with 5 column volumes of D-PBS + 1 mM EDTA to remove unreacted polymer. Next, the column was washed with 10 column volumes of D-PBS + 2 M NaCl to remove
conjugated protein. Conjugates were purified using a CentriPrep centrifugal membrane MWCO 3000 against D-PBS 10 times at 12.0 rcf for 10 min/cycle. When needed, fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) in D-PBS + 1 mM EDTA was performed to further purify conjugates. The collected conjugate was then characterized by gel electrophoresis, and the concentration was determined by ELISA prior to in vitro studies.

**Stability Study.** Samples of protein and conjugates were prepared at a concentration of 0.05 ng/μL in sterile D-PBS and then stored at either 4 or 23 °C for 7 days. After 7 days an aliquot was removed from each sample and diluted to 1 ng/mL in Ultraculture cell medium. Fresh FGF2 samples were prepared the day of each cell experiment at 1 ng/mL in Ultraculture medium. Each sample was used in the HDF proliferation assay described below. Plates were prepared with 5 repeats per sample.

**Baf3 Cell Proliferation.** BaF3-FR1C cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium containing 2 mM L-glutamine, 10% newborn bovine calf serum, 0.5 ng/mL IL-3, 50 nM 2-mercaptoethanol, 600 μg/mL G418, 100 μg/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin. Before seeding cells for experiments, the cells were collected and washed twice with culture medium without IL-3. Cells were plated at a concentration of 1000 cells/well/16-h incubation period, the medium was aspirated out of the wells and replaced with 10 μL of DPBS and then incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO2. Immediately after treatment (T = 0), two pictures of the scratch/well (one above and one below the marker) were obtained using a SX objective on the Axiosvert 200 microscope equipped with an AxioCam MRm camera, n = 4−6. At the end of the 18-hour incubation (T = 18), pictures of the scratches were taken again in the same manner. CellTiter-Blue assay was then used to quantify the extent of cell growth. The NIH ImageJ software was used to analyze the cell images: two parallel lines were drawn to outline each scratch, then the distance between them was measured using the “Measure Length” option. Percent migration was calculated using the formula: 100% − (distance T = 18/distance T = 0) × 100. The experiment was blinded and repeated three times.

**Coculture Angiogenesis Assay.** Experimental for coculture angio genesis assay and staining of cord-like structures were adapted from known literature procedures.70,71 HDFs were trypsinized and resuspended in endothelial growth medium then plated at a concentration of 12 500 cells/well/250 μL in the internal 48-well plate. The external wells were blocked and the cells were incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO2 for 72 h or until cells reached confluency. The fibroblasts were then starved for 18 h in EGM (−)BBE (−)rhEGF. After the starvation period, the starvation medium was replaced by HUVECs at a concentration of 10 000 cells/well/125 μL in EGM (−)BBE (−)EGF. Samples were prepared in EGM (−)BBE (−)rhEGF to contain double the concentration and then 125 μL of each sample was placed in the wells containing HUVECs. Sample solutions were refreshed after 72 and 144 h by aspirating out the medium and replacing with sample solutions prepared fresh. Ten days after the addition of HUVECs, the medium was removed from each well, and the cells were fixed with 70% EtOH (at −20 °C) for 30 min. The wells were then rinsed with 0.5 mL of 1% BSA in D-PBS three times. Endogenous alkaline phosphatase was removed by incubating the cells in 0.3% H2O2 in MeOH for 15 min at room temperature before washing the wells again with 1% BSA. The wells were incubated with mouse antihuman PECAM1/CD31 (R&D Systems) at a concentration of 1 mg/mL in 1% BSA for 1 h at 37 °C, 5% CO2. The wells were then rinsed three times with 1% BSA and then incubated with goat antimouse IgG alkaline phosphatase (Sigma-Aldrich) at 3 mg/mL in 1% BSA for 1 h at 37 °C, 5% CO2. The wells were then washed three times with Milli-Q water and then incubated with BCIP/NBT solution (1 tablet in 10 mL Milli-Q water, sterile filtered) for 15 min at room temperature. The cord-like structures were visually stained, and the wells were rinsed three times with Milli-Q water and allowed to dry. Images were taken using SX magnification (five images per well). Plates were stored for up to 2 months at −80 °C. Cord-like structures were analyzed using NIH ImageJ Software while blinded. The values for each of the five images per well were summed, and the sums from each well were averaged (a total of three wells per sample).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.**

In our recent report, pVS was added (not conjugated) to FGF2 and promoted proliferation in cells lacking native heparan
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sulfate proteoglycans; remarkably, the activity of FGF2 with pVS was the same as the positive control with added heparin.\(^{60}\) We then wanted to investigate whether conjugating pVS to FGF2 would additionally stabilize the protein to storage. To explore this, a protein-reactive pVS polymer was prepared with a pyridyl disulfide end group for protein conjugation (Scheme S1, see the Supporting Information (SI) for details). The FGF2-pVS conjugate was examined for its ability to stabilize FGF2 to storage. The conjugate or FGF2 was incubated at a concentration of 0.05 ng/μL at either 4 or 23 °C for 7 days. After 7 days, protein activity was evaluated through a cell proliferation assay in human dermal fibroblasts (HDFs). The results were compared to FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA) and pristine FGF2 as positive controls (Figure 2). Although FGF2-pVS showed stabilization effects, it was not to the same extent as our previously reported conjugate, FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA); the pVS conjugate exhibited statistically higher proliferation when compared to stressed FGF2; however, it did not maintain activity as high as pristine FGF2, especially at 23 °C.

To overcome this issue, we hypothesized that by combining the stabilizing polymer, p(SS-co-PEGMA) and the receptor binding polymer, pVS, into a single block copolymer conjugate, we could fabricate a new conjugate that would not only stabilize FGF2, but also facilitate protein-receptor binding, thus increasing protein activity. It should also be noted that the enhancement of activity, suggesting that the polymer may slightly, but significantly increase growth factor activity which indicated an enhancement of activity, suggesting that the polymer may increase growth factor activity. It should also be noted that the conjugate linkages during storage in buffer at 4 °C were observed by native PAGE to be intact out to at least 1 year and 9 months (data not shown). However, if in the future greater chemical stability is required for in vivo use, the polymer end group could be readily altered to contain an amide rather than an ester, and a thiol ether rather than a disulfide bond.

![Figure 2. Stability study of conjugates in human dermal fibroblasts at both 4 and 23 °C after 7 days. Protein and conjugates were stressed at 0.5 ng/μL and plated for proliferation study in HDFs at 1 ng/mL. Each sample was plated with six repeats. Error bars represent standard deviation. Statistical analysis was done using Student’s t test. * p < 0.01 compared to fresh FGF2. # p < 0.05 compared to fresh FGF2.](image-url)

After successfully making the FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-PEGMA conjugate, stability studies were performed to determine whether the new block copolymer conjugate could stabilize FGF2 at a concentration of 0.05 ng/μL at either 4 or 23 °C for 7 days. As shown in Figure 2, FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-PEGMA completely stabilized FGF2. In fact, there appeared to be a slight, but significant increase in cell growth which indicated an enhancement of activity, suggesting that the polymer may increase growth factor activity. It should also be noted that the conjugate linkages during storage in buffer at 4 °C were observed by native PAGE to be intact out to at least 1 year and 9 months (data not shown). However, if in the future greater chemical stability is required for in vivo use, the polymer end group could be readily altered to contain an amide rather than an ester, and a thiol ether rather than a disulfide bond.

To investigate whether FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-PEGMA receptor binding, we first tested the conjugate for its effects on cell proliferation in BaF3-FR1C cells. This cell line lacks native heparan sulfate proteoglycans on the cell surface and requires added heparin to observe significant FGF2-induced proliferation.\(^{73}\) Thus, this cell line allows for indirect determination of the effect of heparin mimicking polymers on FGF1/FGFR binding. The conjugate was compared to FGF2 alone, as well as FGF2 plus an excess of heparin. At a protein concentration of 0.5 ng/mL, the FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-PEGMA conjugate increased cell growth more than native FGF2, with percent proliferation values of 352 ± 45% for the block copolymer and 151 ± 9% for FGF2 (Figure 3). Furthermore, the block copolymer conjugate FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-PEGMA increased cell proliferation to the same extent as the positive control sample incubated with added 1 μg/mL heparin. The same trend was observed with protein concentrations of 1.5 ng/mL and 10 ng/mL. This data suggests that the FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-PEGMA conjugate successfully bound to, and activated...
the FGF receptors. This further suggested that the block copolymer could facilitate binding of FGF to its receptors.

To verify that the block copolymer in FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS was capable of facilitating binding of FGF2 to the receptor, we performed a receptor based enzyme linked immunosorbent assay. In this assay, FGF receptor 1a was plated in the wells of a 96 well plate and then either free protein (negative control) or conjugate were plated to assay degree of binding. Since heparin is known to facilitate receptor binding, 1 ng/mL FGF2 plus excess heparin (1 μg/mL) was used as a benchmark positive control to determine desired binding. As shown in Figure 4, p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS in the conjugate facilitated FGF2/FGFR binding similarly to heparin. The results for the block copolymer conjugate correlate to the cell-based results above and demonstrate that the polymer facilitates FGF2 binding to the receptor.

Since the conjugate was active in the BaF3-FR1C cell line, FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS was also tested in normal human cell lines for the effect on proliferation. In human dermal fibroblasts the conjugates were tested at increasing concentrations and were compared to FGF2 alone. FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS performed marginally better than FGF2 at concentrations of 1.5 ng/mL, 3 ng/mL, and 5 ng/mL (Figure S14a). At lower concentrations of 0.5 ng/mL and 1 ng/mL, the protein–polymer conjugate and FGF2 exhibited statistically similar proliferation. The experiment was repeated in human umbilical vein endothelial cells the and the results showed that FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS conjugate stimulated cell pro-

**Protein structure modified from PDB 1CVS using PyMOL software.**

Figure 3. Cell growth of heparin-mimicking polymer conjugates in BaF3-FR1C cells. Incubation of 20 000 cells/well in 96-well plate with FGF2 or the heparin-mimicking polymer conjugates in the absence or presence of 1 μg/mL of heparin was carried out for 48 h. CellTiter-Blue assay was performed to quantify the extent of cell growth. Data was normalized to the blank medium group, which was set at 100%. Each sample contained four replicates and the experiment was repeated three times. Error bars represent standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analysis was done using Student’s t test. * p < 0.01 compared to FGF2.
with FGF2, and sometimes even displays inhibitory effects. To ensure the observed effect of migration was not due to the ability of the conjugate to induce greater HUVEC proliferation, we quantified cell proliferation after the 18-h incubation period. The percent of cell growth induced by the conjugate was not statistically different than native protein (Figure S15) because of the shorter incubation period (18 h versus 72 h in Figure S14b) as has been previously reported.

FGF2 also induces angiogenesis. To begin to determine whether the diblock copolymer conjugate had similar effects on angiogenesis as FGF2, a simple in vitro coculture assay was performed. In this assay, fibroblasts are first grown to confluency. During this incubation period, the fibroblasts produce a layer of collagen, which provides a matrix for endothelial cells to burrow in. After the fibroblasts reach confluency, a layer of endothelial cells are plated along with sample solutions in starvation medium. This assay provides information on the two-dimensional aspects of angiogenesis, but does not provide any information about the three-dimensional aspects, such as tubular structure and perfusion of vessels.

The endothelial cells were allowed to grow over 14 days with medium/sample changes every 2–3 days. Cord-like structures could be observed after antibody staining for CD-31 (or PECAM-1), a glycoprotein present at cell–cell junctions of vascular endothelial cells. In wells incubated with starvation medium alone, no cord-like structures were formed; however, when FGF2 was added to the starvation medium, cord-like structures became apparent at concentrations as low as 0.5 ng/mL. Samples were measured for an increase in length of cord-like structures, total number of cord-like structures and the number of nodes compared to FGF2 alone. In wells containing the FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS conjugate, the number and length of cord-like structures, as well as the nodes were significantly increased compared to FGF2 alone (Figure 6a), suggesting that the protein–polymer conjugate induced cord-like structures better than the native protein. Control wells containing FGF2 plus 1 μg/mL heparin induced cord-like structure formation to a significantly lesser extent than FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS, and in some cases even showed a slight inhibitory effect. It has previously been reported that large concentrations of heparin have an inhibitory effect on angiogenesis; thus these data are not unexpected. In order to determine whether excess block copolymer as an excipient would act similarly to heparin, control wells containing FGF2 plus 1 μg/mL free polymer were tested, and indeed the results were similar to added heparin. These results highlight the benefits of conjugating the polymer to the protein, and thus using the polymer at a small concentration, rather than as an additive in excess. Representative images of cord like structure formation are shown in Figure 6b. These results also indicate that the block copolymer conjugate could potentially induce angiogenesis better than FGF2 alone. In the future, more in depth three-dimensional assays will be carried out to fully determine the success of FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS as an inducer of angiogenesis.

Taken together, these data suggest that FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS is a promising candidate for new wound healing and pro-angiogenic treatments. Besides an increase in cellular proliferation, migration, two-dimensional angiogenesis, and stability, the growth factor polymer conjugate presented here has potential advantages over heparin added to growth factors in treatments. Lower FGF2 polymer conjugate concentration is
We have developed a novel protein-polymer conjugate, FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS that is composed of a heparin-mimicking polymer that imparts superagonist activity and stability to FGF2. This new polymer, containing both sulfonated block copolymer with better control, which is important for biomedical applications. Additionally, in vitro and in vivo studies and further optimization of polymer design will be carried out to further determine the efficacy and potential of FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS as a therapeutic for healing chronic and ischemic wounds.

**CONCLUSION**

We have developed a novel protein-polymer conjugate, FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS that is composed of a heparin-mimicking polymer that imparts superagonist activity and stability to FGF2. This new polymer, containing both stabilization and receptor binding segments was conjugated to FGF2 through disulfide exchange with a pyridyl disulfide on the polymer and a surface exposed cysteine on the FGF2 alone and addition of heparin. FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS, facilitated receptor binding in both cellular and ELISA assays similar to addition of heparin. Additionally, FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS accelerated migration and endothelial cell cord-like structure formation when compared to native FGF2 and addition of heparin. It also completely stabilized the protein to standard storage conditions, both refrigeration and room temperature. Together, these data suggest that this conjugate is promising and should be studied further for applications in tissue regeneration and wound healing. In the future, a switchable RAFT agent similar to the one described by Rizzardo and co-workers may be utilized to prepare these types of sulfonated block copolymer with better control, which is important for biomedical applications. Additionally, in vitro and in vivo studies and further optimization of polymer design will be carried out to further determine the efficacy and potential of FGF2-p(SS-co-PEGMA)-b-VS as a therapeutic for healing chronic and ischemic wounds.
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