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Abstract

Genetic diversity among fifty rice germplasm was evaluated analysis of variance revealed the presence of considerable amount of variability among the germplasm. Based on cluster analysis, the germplasm were grouped into five clusters. For quantitative traits the cluster II comprised of 17 making the biggest bunch, subsequently, cluster IV constituted 13, cluster I constituted 9, cluster III constituted 6, and clustered V constituted 5 accessions. This type of pattern of cluster group confirms the continuation of significant number of variability. The maximum inter cluster distance among cluster III and IV and inter cluster distance minimum was recorded among cluster II and IV. Cluster I showed highest mean value for harvest index and grain yield per plant, cluster II for thousand grain weight, cluster III for days to 50% flowering and panicle numbers per plant. Cluster IV contained genotypes for plant height, panicle length of main axis and cluster V contained genotypes for effective tillers per plant, leaf length and width of blade. The germplasm falling in different clusters with high mean for grain yield and other component characters can be utilized for hybridization programme to obtain elite segregants. These traits, hence could be focused for selection while improving grain yield.
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Introduction

Rice is prime food crop of India, producing 43% of caloric requirement for more than 70% Indian population. Rice gives a great wealth of material for genetical studies because of its wide ecological distribution and enormous discrepancies encountered for multitudinous morphological and physiological characteristic. The Rice germplasm provide with ample of genetic diversity and a treasury of valuable genes. It is a rich pool of important genes that plant breeders can exploit for crop improvement (Yadav *et al.*, 2013).

Genetic diversity is the pre-requisite for any crop improvement programme because it helps in the development of superior recombinants (Manonmani and Fazullah Khan 2003), through selection of parents having wider variability for different characters (Nayak *et al.*, 2004). Genetic divergence analysis evaluates the genetical distance among the selected genotypes and shows the relative contribution of specific traits towards the total divergence. A higher heterosis could be achieved from crosses between genetically distant parents (Falconer 1960). Therefore, the present investigation aimed to assess the nature and magnitude of genetic divergence present in the fifty rice germplasm and to select suitable diverse genotypes as parents for further utilization in crop improvement program.

A major purpose of varietal characterization is to establish the distinctiveness between the germplasm and also to establish their unique detection profiles on the basis of grouping individuality prescribed by Distinctness Uniformity Stability (DUS) guidelines. Morphological description is the first step for classification and evaluation of the germplasm which is a necessary tool for selecting varieties or lines based on agronomical, morphological, genetic or physiological characters. Rice occupied average of 3.6 million hectare area having productivity range between 1.2 to 1.6 t/ha depending upon the rainfall in Chhattisgarh. The adjoining area of Orissa and Chhattisgarh are considered to be the store house of vast genetic variability. The efficiency of selection depends upon the magnitude of genetic variability present in the plant population, thus, the surfaces of genetic improvement in any characters depends upon the nature of variability present in the gene pool for that character, to start a judicious plant breeding programme.

Material and Method

Fifty indigenous rice germplasm were grown in randomized complete block design with two replications at the Research cum Instructional Farm, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.
Breeding, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh during Kharif 2018. Each entry was sown where row to row spacing was 20 cm and plant to plant spacing was 15 cm. Crop was raised following recommended package of practices.

**Observation recorded:**
Observations were recorded on five randomly tagged plants of each genotype per replication. Data were recorded on 11 quantitative characters which includes Days to 50% flowering, Plant height (cm), Effective number of tillers/plant, Leaf length of blade (cm), Leaf width of blade (cm), Panicle length of main axis (cm), Panicle number / plant, Thousand grain weight (g), Biological yield/ plant (g), Harvest index (%), and Grain yield/plant(g).

| S. No. | Sources of variation | Replication | Treatment | Error |
|--------|----------------------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| 1      | Days to 50% flowering | 2.56        | 139.12**  | 0.457 |
| 2      | Plant height (cm)    | 1.37        | 993.42**  | 35.76 |
| 3      | Effective tillers per plant | 0.22        | 11.48**   | 0.49  |
| 4      | Leaf length of blade (cm) | 0.25        | 63.03**   | 0.29  |
| 5      | Leaf width of blade (cm) | 0.019       | 0.079**   | 0.0074|
| 6      | Panicle length of main axis (cm) | 2.74       | 14.37**   | 3.17  |
| 7      | Panicle no. per plant | 2.78        | 2.51**    | 1.78  |
| 8      | Thousand grain weight (gm) | 0.15        | 57.51**   | 0.18  |
| 9      | Biological yield per plant (gm) | 3.83       | 140.97**  | 17.16 |
| 10     | Harvest index (%)    | 24.73       | 314.64**  | 38.02 |
| 11     | Grain yield per plant (gm) | 3.38       | 31.95**   | 5.87  |

(Note: ** Significant at 1% level of probability, * Significant at 5% level of probability)

The 50 germplasm accessions are distributed into 5 clusters. Between the clusters the accessions were not evenly distributed. The cluster II comprised of 17 accessions, followed by cluster IV constituted of 13 accessions, cluster I constituted by 9 accessions, cluster III cluster constituted of 6 and V cluster constituted 5 accessions. The pattern of collection proved the survival of remarkable amount of variability.

| Cluster No. | No. of genotypes in each cluster | Name of genotypes |
|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| I           | 9                                | Lal dhan, Nagkeshar, Paveetra, Krishnanjan, Maharaji, Swarna, MTU 1010, Zinc rice -2, Madhuraj dhan -55 |
| II          | 17                               | Karanga, Lal banko, Nagpuri gurmatiya, Ramshri, Parra, Barhasaal, R-RKM-1, Sendur singha, Danwar, Baisoor, Resari, Soth, Mehardhan, Chepti gurmatiya, IR-64, Zinc rice-1 |
| III         | 6                                | Sathi, Kalajeera, Jauphoil, Tilkasturi, Suldhana, Chaptimathayala |
| IV          | 13                               | Raj banko, Khutbuti, Kalimuthan, Sathaka, Aalch, Bora, hundar, Sathaka, Parwatkala, Karangi, Gathwan, Lachya, Saraiphol |
| V           | 5                                | Davar, Korma, Kujji, Bhejari, Karhani |

The inter and intra cluster distances between five clusters were calculated and have been listed in Table 3. The inter and intra cluster distances between five clusters were calculated and have been listed in Table 4.7. The intra cluster distance range from 2.048 (V) to 2.545 (IV). The inter cluster distance was maximum between cluster III and cluster V (4.49) and minimum inter cluster distance was recorded among cluster II and IV (2.866).

**Results and Discussion**
Analysis of variance was based on the mean values of quantitative traits observed for fifty rice germplasm. The analysis of variance indicated the existence of highly significant differences among genotypes for all the characters studied in Table 1. This indicated presence of high variability among the genotypes, which provides ample scope for selection for different quantitative characters.

In the current study, Euclidian distance between genotypes was estimated from the standardized data matrix by Unweighted Pair Group Method using Arithmetic Averages (UPGMA) method and clustering was done by Agglomerative Hierarchical method using XLSTAT 2014 software.

| Class | I | II | III | IV | V |
|-------|---|----|-----|----|---|
| I     | 2.161 | 2.972 | 3.197 | 3.6 | 4.087 |
| II    | 2.373 | 4.279 | 2.866 | 3.346 |
| III   | 2.544 | 3.91 | 4.49 |
| IV    | 2.545 | 4.082 |
| V     | 2.048 |

The cluster mean data exhibited a broader range of variations for all the traits undertaken in the studied Table 4. Cluster I showed highest mean value for harvest index (54.08), grain yield per plant (14.76), whereas cluster II comprised genotype with highest mean value for thousand grain weight (27.21). Cluster III for days to 50% flowering (100.33) and panicle length of main axis (2255).
number per plant (8.63). Cluster IV contained genotype with highest mean value for plant height (141.07), panicle length of main axis (25.73). While cluster V contained genotype with highest mean value for effective tiller per plant (11.8), leaf length of blade (42.93), leaf width of blade (0.99) and biological yield per plant (36.41). Similar findings were revealed by Medhabati et al. (2012). Kumari et al. (2018).

### Table 4: Cluster mean values of five clusters for different quantitative characters

| Class | DTF | PH (cm) | ET | LLB (cm) | LWB (cm) | PLMA (cm) | PNPP | 1000 gw (g) | BYPP (g) | HI (%) | GYPP (%) |
|-------|-----|---------|----|----------|----------|-----------|------|-------------|---------|--------|----------|
| I     | 92.83 | 101.2 | 6.98 | 30.85 | 0.64 | 22.46 | 7.49 | 22.62 | 28.36 | 54.08 | 14.76 |
| II    | 86.5 | 116.35 | 6.67 | 37.62 | 0.82 | 23.23 | 6.24 | 27.21 | 25.06 | 38.62 | 9.3 |
| III   | 100.33 | 125.47 | 10.22 | 35.85 | 0.67 | 25.62 | 8.63 | 16.02 | 34.93 | 41.45 | 14.12 |
| IV    | 97.65 | 141.07 | 6.58 | 41.76 | 0.94 | 25.73 | 6.03 | 25 | 28.82 | 52.41 | 14.62 |
| V     | 84.7 | 90.87 | 11.8 | 42.93 | 0.99 | 21.94 | 6.56 | 27.16 | 36.41 | 39.2 | 14.1 |

Note: DTF= Days to 50% flowering, PH= Plant height, ET= Effective tillers per plant, LLB= Leaf length of blade, LWB= Leaf width of blade, PLMA= Panicle length of main axis, PNPP= Panicle number per plant, 1000gw= Thousand grain weight, BYPP= Biological yield per plant, HI%= Harvest index Percentage

### Conclusion

A significant range of variation was evident among fifty rice germplasm; evaluated. In cluster analysis fifty germplasm accessions/variety were distributed into five clusters. The parents for hybridization program should be selected on the basis of magnitude of genetic distance, contribution of different characters towards the total divergence and magnitude of cluster means for different characters performance having maximum heterosis. The maximum inter cluster distance among cluster III and IV and inter cluster distance minimum was recorded among cluster II and IV. Crosses between the germplasm of clusters III and IV, are expected to manifest high heterosis along with, accumulation of favourable genes in subsequent segregating generations.

Cluster I showed highest mean value for harvest index and grain yield per plant, cluster II for thousand grain weight, cluster III for days to 50% flowering and panicle numbers per plant. Cluster IV contained genotypes for plant height, panicle length of main axis and cluster V contained genotypes for effective tillers per plant, leaf length and width of blade. These traits, hence could be focused for selection while improving grain yield.
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