Presentation of a Humeral Shaft Fracture Treated by Locked Intramedullary Nailing With Unlocked Technique
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Introduction: Although intramedullary nailing (IMN) is used in a reamed or unreamed fashion for treatment of long bone fractures, the locked nails may also be used in the unlocked form if so decided by the orthopedic surgeon.

Case Presentation: We describe a 50-year-old man who had a shaft fracture of his right humerus. The fracture was treated with a reamed, locked IMN using unlocked technique.

Conclusions: The functional outcome 22 months post injury showed that although primary treatment method uses locked IMN in humeral shaft fractures, unlocked IMN can be used in appropriate cases. Less injury risk to the axillary and radial nerve, short period of surgery, and less radiation can be considered as advantages of this technique.
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1. Introduction

Humeral shaft fractures are common in orthopedics and these fractures comprise 1.31% to 3% of all fractures (1). The goals of treatment are mechanical stabilization, biological stimulation, and early joint mobilization to optimize function (2, 3). Surgical treatment of humeral shaft fractures commonly includes intramedullary nailing (IMN), which offers good clinical outcomes. Intramedullary nails can be broadly categorized into two types: locked or unlocked nails. Kuntscher nail is an unlocked type, and is the only one of its kind now available (4, 5). The locked nails may be used in the unlocked form if so decided by the orthopedic surgeon. These techniques have certain mechanical and biological advantages and disadvantages (6). During the application of the locked technique, the radial and axillary nerves can be injured while there is no risk in this regard using the unlocked technique. On the other hand, the stability of the fracture is stronger in the locked IMN technique. We describe a 50-year-old man with a shaft fracture of his right humerus treated with a reamed, locked IMN but via an unlocked technique.

2. Case Presentation

A 50-year-old male patient referred one hour after falling on his right arm. The arm was deformed but there was no neurovascular deficit. Radiographs revealed a transvers-oblique humeral shaft fracture (Figure 1). After being anaesthetized, the patient was placed in beach-chair position on the table and the entire limb is prepared and draped. Closed reduction was then performed and checked by imaging. Surgical treatment was performed via anterolateral approach. An antegrade locked IMN was performed with a reamed, unlocked technique. The patient was discharged with a Velpeau bandage.

After 3 weeks, rehabilitation program with Want exercises was started. Isometric exercises were also used during this period. Strength training was started after the callus formed. The patient was followed for 22 months and the outcome was evaluated by the disability of the arm, shoulder, and hand turkey (DASH-T), upper extremity functional index (UEFI) and sort-form 36 questionnaire (SF-36) at the 2, 4, 6, 9, 16, and 22 month of the injury (Figure 2 and Table 1). Total score of DASH-T was determined as 5.83%, UEFI as 96%, and SF-36 as 87.7% at the end of 22 months (Figure 3). The patient performed his daily living activities. He sometimes complained of the mild pain at the entry point of the nail during the whole follow-up period. The patient expressed that the severity of the pain did not change during this period.
Figure 1. X-Ray Demonstrating the Transvers-Oblique Fracture Pattern of the Humeral Shaft

Figure 2. X-Ray Demonstrating the Union of Fracture at the 22 Month of the Injury

Table 1. The Table of Fracture Healing and Assessment Parameters During the Follow Up

| Month | Status of Fracture Healing on X-Ray                                                                 | DASH-T | UEFI | SF-36 |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------|-------|
| 2     | Beginning of callus at the medial cortex of the fracture line. No callus formation at the lateral cortex of the fracture line. | 85.3   | 17   | 24.7  |
| 4     | Increase of callus formation at the medial cortex of the fracture line. No callus formation at the lateral cortex of the fracture line. | 64     | 26   | 38.6  |
| 6     | Increase of callus formation at the medial cortex of the fracture line. No callus formation at the lateral cortex of the fracture line. | 55.6   | 43   | 46    |
| 9     | Increase of callus formation at the medial cortex of the fracture line. Beginning of callus at the lateral cortex of the fracture line. | 30.2   | 69   | 59.5  |
| 16    | Complete of callus bridging at the medial cortex of the fracture line. Increase of callus formation at the lateral cortex of the fracture line | 13     | 85   | 81    |
| 22    | Complete of callus bridging at the medial cortex of the fracture line. Complete of callus bridging at the lateral cortex of the fracture line. | 5.83   | 96   | 87.7  |
3. Discussion

In recent years, closed techniques are more widely used for the fixation of fractures. IMN is one of them and has traditionally been applied to the femur, but now it is also used in the tibia and humerus. The nails are widely used with reamed and locked technique in routine applications. There are many reports of significant morbidity after surgical treatment of fractures of the humeral shaft, and complication rates of as high as 67% in intramedullary fixation have been reported (7). The reported complications were related to nonunion, delayed union and axillary or radial nerve injury when used with the locked technique.

Historically, the first intramedullary unlocked rigid nails were Lottes and Kuntscher (4, 5, 8, 9). Later, the nails were improved and locked IMN technique became more applicable.

In our case, we used the locked intramedullary nail with unlocked technique. In the current literature, there is neither any report of humeral fracture treated with unlocked technique nor any. There are some studies about the application of nails in the lower extremity using the unlocked technique. Santos de la Fuente et al. (9), Gadegone and Salphale (10) reported that unlocked IMN technique is simple, easily applicable, and also shorten the operation time. On the other hand, Saruhan et al. (11) reported that there was no significant functional difference between the locked and unlocked IMN technique in tibial fractures.

With the locked technique fracture line stability increases by fixing screws within bone and intramedullary nailing. Furthermore, surgery and radiation exposure increases with the locked technique. During locking with screws at the distal and proximal part of the nail, axillary, and radial nerves can be injured. We believe the nails can also be used with unlocked technique if they fit and can be tightened in the medullary canal. In these cases, bone and intramedullary nail behave like a composite material. While intramedullary nail behaves as a fiber, the bone behaves as a matrix. Intramedullary nail counters the compressive forces. Torsional forces are countered by bone and intramedullary nail. For this reason, the locked nails are preferable. On the other hand, no risk of axillary or radial nerve injury as well as shorter operation time and less radiation can be advantages of the unlocked technique.

According to the text, intramedullary nails act as stress-shielding instruments when locked statically; and acts as a stress-sharing instrument when it is not locked. Unlocked nails also act as an internal splint while the fracture heals (12). When the nail is stress shielding, fracture healing is primary (with no callus), unless the nail is not well fixed, in which case fracture healing is secondary. When the nail is stress shearing, fracture healing is secondary (with callus) (12).

Although primarily treatment is locked IMN in humeral shaft fractures, unlocked IMN can be used in practice of orthopedic surgery in appropriate cases.
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