Lin-28 Regulates Oogenesis and Muscle Formation in Drosophila melanogaster
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Abstract

Understanding the control of stem cell (SC) differentiation is important to comprehend developmental processes as well as to develop clinical applications. Lin28 is a conserved molecule that is involved in SC maintenance and differentiation by regulating let-7 miRNA maturation. However, little is known about the in vivo function of Lin28. Here, we report critical roles for lin-28 during oogenesis. We found that let-7 maturation was increased in lin-28 null mutant fly ovaries. We showed that lin-28 null mutant female flies displayed reduced fecundity, due to defects in egg chamber formation. More specifically, we demonstrated that in mutant ovaries, the egg chambers fuse during early oogenesis resulting in abnormal late egg chambers. We also showed that this phenotype is the combined result of impaired germine SC differentiation and follicle SC differentiation. We suggest a model in which these multiple oogenesis defects result from a misregulation of the edcsyone signaling network, through the fine-tuning of Abrupt and Fasciclin2 expression. Our results give a better understanding of the evolutionarily conserved role of lin-28 on GSC maintenance and differentiation.

Introduction

The Cold-Shock Domain (CSD) protein Lin28 was initially identified in Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) as a component of the heterochronoic pathway that regulates the timing of cell fate specification [1]. Subsequent discovery of gene expression regulation through small non-coding RNAs clarified the role of Lin28 in this pathway [2]. The lin-28 mRNA is a conserved target of the let-7 micro-RNA (miRNA) family both in C. elegans and vertebrates [3,4]. On the other hand, Lin28 inhibits let-7 processing [5]. At the molecular level, Lin28 protein interacts with the let-7 precursor (pre-let-7), resulting in inhibition of let-7 maturation [6]. The let-7 inhibition occurs through the physical interaction of the pre-let-7 loop and Lin28 protein, preventing further processing of pre-let-7 towards the mature form of let-7 [7,8]. Together, these interactions create a feedback loop between Lin28 and let-7, leading to a strict regulation of let-7 maturation [9].

Lin28 raised further interest when it was used, along with Nanog, to replace the factors c-Myc and Klf4 in somatic cell reprogramming [10]. These experiments, together with data from human embryonic stem cells [11], underscored the important role of lin-28 in pluripotency regulation and maintenance. Besides acting as a negative regulator of let-7 maturation, Lin28 has also been shown to have a direct effect on translation through the recruitment of the RNA Helicase A [12]. This mode of function, independent of let-7 maturation, has been demonstrated in the case of Insulin-like Growth Factor 2 during mouse myogenesis. Lin28 binding on IGF-2 mRNA increases its translation efficiency and therefore facilitates skeletal myogenesis in mice [13].

The Lin28 protein is composed of four domains: a positively charged linker that binds two Cys-Cys-His-Cys (CCHC)-type zinc-binding motifs to the CSD. In mammalian genomes, two paralogs of lin-28 are found, Lin28A and Lin28B. While Lin28B represses let-7 processing in the nucleus to prevent the formation of the precursor form from the primary let-7, Lin28A also blocks cytoplasmic processing of let-7 [6]. It has recently been shown in mouse that deletion of the Lin28 linker domain alters the protein’s three-dimensional structure and is sufficient to disrupt sequestration of the precursor form of let-7 (pre-let-7) [14].

The miRNA let-7 family is conserved across diverse animals, functioning to control late temporal transitions during development [15]. During the last decade, the involvement of let-7 in regulating cell differentiation has been analyzed in various contexts, including neural cell specification, stem cell maintenance and hematopoietic progenitor differentiation [16–18]. While eight different let-7 miRNA genes are annotated in the human genome, only one is found in Drosophila melanogaster (for review, [19]). Like in C. elegans, in Drosophila the loss of let-7 expression leads to the modification of temporal regulation of the metamorphosis process [20]. During fly metamorphosis, the expression of let-7 complex (let-7C), a polycistronic locus encoding the let-7, miR-100 and miR-123 miRNAs, is under direct control by the steroid hormone ecdysone. Ecdysone is the central regulator of insect developmental transitions [21]. Therefore, let-7 has been proposed to be part of a conserved, ecdysone regulated pathway that controls the timing of the larva to adult transition [22].

In addition to affecting the metamorphosis clock, Sokol and colleagues have shown that the let-7 deletion also affects the neuromuscular remodeling that takes place during the larva to
Materials and Methods

Fly Stocks and Genetics

Flies were raised on standard food at 25°C on a 12 hour light 12 hour dark cycle. The following stocks were used: P[EP]lin28ΔP15 (Bloomington Drosophila Stock Centre (BDSC) #17298), P[A2-3] (BDSC #3629), Df(3L)Exel6106 (BDSC #7585), Df(3L)Z comprises N47 (BDSC #3096), da-Gal4 (27), UAS-nGFP (BDSC #4775), UAS-let-7 (BDSC #41171), Sb/TM3 ActGFP Ser (BDSC #4534). w1118 flies were regarded as wild type.

Generation of lin-28 Mutant Alleles

One hundred lin-28 alleles were generated by imprecise excision from P[EP]lin28ΔP15. Two lines, namely lin28ΔP15 and lin28P15, were selected and further characterized by PCR and sequence analysis.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Total RNAs were isolated from 20 ovaries of each genotype as previously described (28). RNAs were reverse-transcribed into cDNAs using the GenoExplorer miRNA First-Strand cDNA Core Kit (GenoSensor Corporation). The expression of pre-let-7 and let-7 were analyzed by using the GenoExplorer miRNA First-Strand cDNA Core Kit (GenoSensor Corporation). The pre-let-7 and mature let-7 specific primers were purchased from GenoSensor Corporation. U6 snRNA was used as reference gene in order to normalize microRNA expression. All qRT-PCR were carried out independently five times.

The ratio of gene or microRNA expression was compared to the internal control and was calculated based on the formula 2^(-B'C' and Figure 6C to 6D'' that are z-stacks.

Immunohistochemistry

Adult abdomens were prepared as previously described (23). Ovaries were dissected in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed while shaking on a nutator for 20 min in PBS containing 4% formaldehyde (Fluka #47630). Next, they were rinsed two times and subsequently washed three times for 20 min in PBT (PBS/0.1% Triton X-100). Tissues were blocked for 30 minutes in 5% Normal Goat Serum (NLS; Jackson ImmunoResearch) in PBT and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Ovaries were rinsed twice, washed three times for 20 min with PBT and incubated in secondary antibodies in 5% NGS in PBT overnight at 4°C. Next, tissues were rinsed three times in PBT, followed by two rinses for 20 min in PBS and finally were stored in PBS until microscopy. The following antibodies from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank were used: mouse anti-EcR (1:100, DDA2.7), mouse anti-Orb (1:20, 4H8) and mouse anti-spectrin (1:30). In addition, mouse anti-Fas2 (1:500, 1D4), mouse anti-his-RC (1:30), mouse anti-orb (1:20, 4H8) and mouse anti-spectrin (1:30). In addition, we used rabbit anti-phosphoprotein-D3 (1:100) (Upstate Cells Signaling Solutions #06-570). Secondary antibodies were used AlexaFlour 488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (1:1000, Molecular Probes), DyLight 549- and DyLight 649-conjugated F(ab')2 fragments goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) and DyLight 633-conjugated F(ab')2 fragments goat anti-rat IgG (1:200, Jackson Immunoresearch). Additional stains include rhodamine phalloidin (1:1000, Sigma). Samples were mounted in Vectashield with or without DAPI (Vector Laboratories). Images were obtained with a confocal laser-scanning microscope (Leica TCS SP5) and processed with Image J and Adobe Photoshop. All confocal images presented are sections, apart from Figure 3, Figure 5A to 5B’ and Figure 6C to 6D” that are z-stacks.

Spontaneous Locomotion Activity Assay

Single, 4-day-old male flies were anesthetized with CO2, transferred to 1 cm³ chambers and allowed to recover for 30 min. Locomotion was quantified as the number of times the fly walked across the midline of the chamber over a 2 minute period [23,29].

Induced Locomotion Activity Assay

The locomotor ability was determined with a negative geotaxis assay as described previously [30]. Ten 4-day-old male flies were anesthetized with CO2 and put in a volumetric glass cylinder (opening width = ~2.7 cm; height of cylinder shaft = 24 cm). The cylinder was graded with masking tape at 2 cm and 20 cm from the base of the cylinder, resulting in three areas (bottom, middle and top respectively). After transfer to the cylinder, flies were allowed 30 minutes to recover. The cylinder was tapped gently causing the flies to fall to the bottom and after 1 minute the number of flies within the three different areas was scored. Each experiment was repeated 3 times.

Oviposition Assay

Newly eclosed females (emerging from pupae) were collected and mated with wild type males in new vials containing laboratory standard food supplemented with dry yeast. At day seven after emerging from pupae, the flies were transferred to new food vials with supplemented dry yeast. At day 10 after emerging from pupae single females were put on apple juice plates with added dry yeast for 22 hours. Subsequently, the number of eggs on the apple juice plates was counted. For heterozygous flies, the number of GFP positive eggs was scored under a GFP microscope (Leica MZ-FLIII).
Results

Lin-28 Blocks let-7 Maturation in Drosophila Ovaries

Imprecise P-element excision was used to generate deletions of the lin-28 gene from the homozygous viable P-element line lin[EP915]. Two hundred mutant flies with potential excision were created, all of which were viable and fertile as homozygous. Homozygous mutant animals exhibited low fecundity and abnormal motility compared to heterozygous animals. We selected two independent excision lines, hereafter referred as lin-28dF30 and lin-28dF101, which we further characterized.

The P-element excision in the lin-28dF30 allele resulted in deletion of 1,007 bases, upstream of the P-element position (Figure 1A). The resulting lin-28dF30 mRNA lacked the second, the third and partially the fourth exon, as well as part of the fourth exon (Figure 1B). Therefore, the predicted Lin-28dF30 protein would lack the CSD, the linker and at least one of the CCHCs. (D) qRT-PCR analysis of Drosophila ovaries, demonstrated that the control of pre-let-7 processing is greatly affected by the deletion of lin-28 compared to controls, resulting in a dramatic increase in the levels of mature let-7 (from 0.17 in w1118, 0.18 in lin-28[EP915], 0.21 in lin-28dF30/ and 0.23 in lin-28dF101/ to 0.92 in lin-28dF30 and 0.95 in lin-28dF101 mutant flies). Student t-test: p<0.05. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101141.g001

Figure 1. Characterization of the lin-28dF30 Allele. (A) The lin-28dF30 allele was created by imprecise P-element excision that resulted in a deletion of 1007 bases, upstream of the P-element insertion. (B) The predicted lin-28dF30 mRNA lacked the second, the third and partially the fourth exon. (C) The predicted Lin-28dF30 protein lacked the CSD, the linker and at least one of the CCHCs. (D) qRT-PCR analysis of Drosophila ovaries, demonstrated that the control of pre-let-7 processing is greatly affected by the deletion of lin-28 compared to controls, resulting in a dramatic increase in the levels of mature let-7 (from 0.17 in w1118, 0.18 in lin-28[EP915], 0.21 in lin-28dF30/ and 0.23 in lin-28dF101/ to 0.92 in lin-28dF30 and 0.95 in lin-28dF101 mutant flies). Student t-test: p<0.05. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101141.g001

Lin-28 regulates GSC Differentiation

In Drosophila ovaries, let-7 expression has been reported before [21]. As Lin28 regulates let-7 maturation, we performed quantitative PCR to evaluate the pre-let-7 and the mature let-7 content in fly ovaries. We used two lin-28 generated alleles to rule out a secondary genomic effect due to the imprecise deletion, lin-28dF30 and lin-28dF101. We compared the data acquired from the homozygous mutant ovaries, with data from wild type (w1118), homozygous lin[EP915] (P element line used for original excisions)
and heterozygous (lin-28^dF30/+ and lin-28^dF101/+ ) ovaries and we found that in Drosophila ovaries, let-7 maturation is tightly regulated by Lin28 and that the loss of Lin28 activity disturbed this regulation. In more details, we found that in ovaries from wild type line (w1118), homozygous lin[EP915] and heterozygous (lin-28^dF30/+ and lin-28^dF101/+ ) animals, only a small part of pre-let-7 gave rise to mature let-7. In addition, these results demonstrated that in the lin[EP915] line (the P element line used for original excisions) the Lin28 gene function is not impaired and the let-7 processing occurs as in the other controls (Figure 1D). In contrast, deletion of lin-28 (lin-28^dF30/dF30 and lin-28^dF101/dF101) resulted in a dramatic increase of let-7 maturation. Notably, we detected a shift in the proportion of mature let-7 versus pre-let-7 in homozygous lin-28^dF30 ovaries, reflecting the importance of Lin28 inhibitory action on pre-let-7 maturation. Consistent with the let-7 quantification, we detected let-7 ectopic maturation in homozygous lin-28^dF30 ovaries by in situ hybridization (data not shown).

**Lin-28 Deletion Leads to Muscle Defects in Adult Flies**

Previous studies have demonstrated the involvement of let-7 in the formation of neuromuscular junctions and proper adult muscle remodeling [20,23]. Therefore, the published data constitute an interesting comparison to our newly created lin-28 mutant line.

We monitored the spontaneous (Figure 2A) and induced (Figure 2B) locomotion of 4 day-old male flies from five different genotypes: homozygous lin-28^dF30 and lin-28^dF101, heterozygous lin-28^dF30/+ and lin-28^dF101/+ , and w^1118 (n = 50 for each phenotype). Both tests displayed a drastic loss of locomotion when comparing heterozygous and homozygous flies. While the heterozygous flies behaved like the wild type control line (w^1118), the mutant lin-28^dF30 and lin-28^dF101 individuals were less prone to walk spontaneously (Figure 2A), or upon stimulation (Figure 2B). We noticed similar results with flight assays (data not shown).

Our results clearly pointed towards a muscle defect due to lin-28 deletion. However, these behavioral tests were biased. We noticed that up to 50% (n = 51) of homozygous lin-28^dF30 flies either failed to eclose or died shortly after their eclosion (Figure 2C and 2D, Movie S1). These failures represented only 7% (n = 44) of the
heterozygous lin-28dF30/+ fly progeny. Therefore, performing geotaxis experiments on 4 day-old males disregarded the most severe lin-28dF30 phenotype. Consequently, we decided to compare the abdominal muscle morphology of adult flies and late pupae (Figure 3). It has been previously shown that loss of let-7 results in a drastic DIOM phenotype. DIOMs are the muscles which are required to exit the pupal case and which are lost within 12 hours after eclosion [23]. In particular, let-7 mutants retained DIOMs at various frequencies, even two days after eclosion, while they also exhibit minor morphological defects in dorsal muscles. Consistently, 8 day-old male homozygous lin-28dF30 flies did not exhibit obvious muscle defects. However, we noticed slight changes in abdominal muscle morphology of lin-28dF30 flies (Figure 3A-C - arrowhead). These changes may explain the decrease of strength in the spontaneous and upon stimulation locomotion assays.

Because of the importance of DIOMs during eclosion, we monitored their structure upon lin-28 mutation. The newly eclosed heterozygous lin-28dF30/+ animals exhibited a pair of DIOMs on the abdominal segments a2, a3, a4 and a5 (Figure 3D and 3E - arrows; n = 5). Strikingly, the newly eclosed homozygous lin-28dF30 flies displayed a wide spectrum of DIOM defects. These mutants often missed DIOMs ranging from none to all of the DIOMs (Figure 3F; n = 5). Therefore, the lack of DIOMs may explain the difficulties of the homozygous lin-28dF30 flies in exiting the pupal case (Movie S1 and S2). A similar spectrum of DIOM defects was also observed in the lin-28dF101 mutants (data not shown).

Our data confirmed the involvement of let-7 during muscle remodeling, as previously published [23]. The involvement of let-7 on the muscle phenotype has been already largely described [20,23]. Therefore, we decided to focus on the fertility decrease exhibited by the homozygous lin-28dF30 flies.

lin-28dF30 Female Mutant Flies Exhibit Reduced Fertility

Deletion of the miRNA let-7 has been reported to decrease egg laying; however this phenotype has not been studied [23]. Interestingly, Lin28 has recently been suggested to be an important factor in the human ovary germine stem cell (GSC) maintenance [31]. Therefore, we tested if the lack of lin-28 function also leads to reduced fertility. Each ovary is composed of several strings of egg chambers, called ovarioles. Each ovariole represents the succession of the different stages of oogenesis (Figure 4A). There are 14 stages in oogenesis and the progression in development occurs from anterior to posterior along the ovariole [32]. Anteriorly the germarium houses the germline and follicular stem cells (GSCs and FSCs). The FSCs differentiate and form the egg follicle, while the GSCs undergo asymmetric cell divisions, where one of the daughter cells becomes the cystoblast and undergoes four mitotic divisions to produce a cyst of 16 cells. This 16-cell cyst is connected by ring canals. Of these cells, 15 will differentiate into nurse cells and one will become the oocyte, which will acquire the posterior-most position in the egg chamber. The oocyte will give rise to the future egg, while the 15 nurse cells will die through apoptosis during stages 12 and 13 [33]. The oocyte nucleus, known as karyosome, is highly compact and much smaller in size compared to the highly endopolyploid nurse cell nuclei.

Interestingly, lin-28dF30 and lin-28dF101 mutant females exhibited reduced egg laying, a phenotype similar to the one described for...
Figure 4. *lin-28* Mutants Exhibit Reduced Fertility. (A) Schematic drawing of the gerarium with its two stem cell clusters, i.e. germline stem cells (GSCs) and follicular stem cells (FSCs), and of an ovariole composed of a string of egg chambers of increasing maturation stages. The last egg chamber is composed of a large oocyte with its karyosome (kary.) at the posterior pole, and the 15 nurse cells at the anterior pole. (B) While *w* 1118 female flies laid 18.8 eggs per day, *lin-28* 30/+ 25.1 eggs per day and *lin-28* 101/+ 24.8 eggs per day, *lin-28* 30 and *lin-28* 101 mutant flies laid respectively 5.5 and 5.2 eggs per day (n = 10; p < 0.05). (C) Comparison of whole ovaries revealed increased auto-fluorescence in homozygous ovaries. The auto-fluorescence is localized at the oocyte portion of the eggs (asterisk), therefore indicating an increased number of eggs between stages 7 to 10, compared to controls. In addition, the visualization of nuclei in single ovarioles revealed an accumulation of supernumerary nurse cells in *lin-28* 30 and *lin-28* 101 stage 8 egg chambers. (D) 75.4% and 78.1% of homozygous *lin-28* 30 and *lin-28* 101 egg chambers displayed abnormal egg chambers, compared to 16.9% of the *w* 1118 and 13.8% and 12.7% of the heterozygous *lin-28* 30/+ and *lin-28* 101/+ ovarioles (n = 65). Scale bars represent 100 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101141.g004

the *let-7* mutants [23]. Analysis of the *lin-28* phenotype showed that 10 day-old heterozygous *lin-28* 30/+ and *lin-28* 101/+ females (n = 10) laid about 25 eggs per 22 hours, while homozygous mutant females laid (n = 10) only around 5 eggs during the same time period (Figure 4B).
To understand this drastic difference in egg laying rate, we analyzed the impact of *lin-28* deletion on ovarian morphology. Although the general morphology of the homozygous mutant fly ovaries did not seem affected (Figure 4C), we observed an increase in auto-fluorescence. We found that auto-fluorescence is localized at the oocyte portion of the ovaries. Therefore, we used it as an indirect method to quantify fully formed eggs (dim auto-fluorescence; Figure 4C - arrowhead) and vitellogenic oocytes (bright auto-fluorescence; Figure 4C - asterisk). The homozygous mutant fly ovaries seemed to have more developing oocytes than formed eggs, compared to heterozygous fly ovaries.

Next, we focused on later stages of oogenesis and analyzed the egg chambers from stage 7 to 10. Whereas ovarioles from wild type and heterozygous flies displayed a normal amount of nurse cells, the homozygous ovarioles had egg chambers with more than 15 nurse cells (and corresponding nuclei) at around stage 8 of oogenesis (Figure 4C). To evaluate the penetrance of this phenotype, we analyzed the ovaries by counting the ovarioles containing egg chambers with larger than normal number of nurse cells or degenerating egg chambers (characterized by the presence of pyknotic nuclei). While 16.9%, 13.8% and 12.7% of the ovarioles contained at least one impaired late egg chamber in the wild type (*w1118*) and heterozygous *lin-28ΔF30/+* and *lin-28ΔF101/+* ovaries respectively, 75.4% and 78.1% of homozygous *lin-28ΔF30* and *lin-28ΔF101* ovarioles displayed abnormal egg chambers (Figure 4D; n = 65 for each genotype). Interestingly, we did not
observe any abnormal egg chamber after stage 10. Altogether, our observations suggest that the presence of extra nurse cells and arrest of maturation prior to stage 10 in homozygous lin-28 mutant ovaries can explain, at least partially, the loss of fertility.

Loss of lin-28 Affects the Number of Nurse Cells per Egg Chamber

To comprehend the abnormal oogenesis in homozygous lin-28 df30 ovaries, we further analyzed the formation and the development of abnormal egg chambers. Because the late abnormal egg chambers exhibited a large amount of nurse cells, we analyzed the cell proliferation with phospho-Histone 3 (phospho-H3) staining (Figure 5 A–B'). While we did not find any noticeable change in the nurse cell proliferation, the phospho-H3 staining gave us new information about the homozygous lin-28 df30 late oogenesis stages. Due to the staining of condensed chromosomes, phospho-H3 can be used as a marker for karyosome identification (Figure 5A and 5A' - arrowheads) [34]. Interestingly, in all homozygous lin-28 df30 stage 8 or 9 egg chambers with more than 15 nurse cells we discovered an ectopic karyosome located in an abnormal position on the anterior of the egg chamber (Figure 5B and 5B' - arrows).

Because each nurse cell is associated with ring canals in the egg chamber, we confirmed the identity of the cells in the egg chamber by the presence of ring canals in the late stage homozygous lin-28 df30 egg chambers (Figure 5C–5D''; n = 21). As expected, we always observed as many ring canals as nuclei in the egg chamber. Moreover, the ectopic oocyte, containing the extra karyosome (Figure 5D - arrows) always had 4 ring canals, similarly to the normal oocyte (Figure 5C' and 5D' - circles; 5D'' - insert).

Our observations hinted at possible early defects during oogenesis, such as cell proliferation regulation and/or egg chamber fusion. To understand the impact of lin-28 mutation on the ovarian phenotype, we investigated further the oogenesis process.

lin-28 df30 Mutant Flies Display Abnormal GSC Differentiation in the Early Stages of Oogenesis

We hypothesized that the observed phenotype resulted from an early defect during oogenesis, such as GSC differentiation defects and/or egg chamber fusion. Therefore, we analyzed the early GSCs differentiation in the homozygous lin-28 df30 germaria. As it has already been reported, the Spectrin pattern in the germarium reflects the differentiation process of the GSCs [35]. In wild type germaria, the two GSC are characterized by round Spectrin accumulation, while as cells differentiate towards the posterior, Spectrin is involved in the formation of the fusome and it acquires a branched morphology. The fusome is a germline specific...
structure with branching arms that extend through each intercellular bridge in the cyst [36]. A normal GSC differentiation process was visible in the heterozygous lin-28<sup>dF30/+</sup> germline (Figure 6A and 6A'); the rounded fusome in the GSCs (Figure 6A', asterisks) changed to a branched structure (Figure 6A' - arrow). However, 32% (n = 23) of the homzygous lin-28<sup>dF30</sup> germline displayed an increase of undifferentiated GSCs visible through their rounded Spectrin pattern. Apart from the two GSCs next to the cap cells, extra cells contained a rounded fusome posteriorly to the GSCs (Figure 6B and 6B' - asterisks; Movie S3). This increase of undifferentiated cells delayed the appearance of a branched fusome (Figure 6B' - arrow) and delayed the first stage of oogenesis.

The rounded fusome confirmed early GCS differentiation defects. To further validate the possibility of an early egg chamber fusion, we analyzed early expression of Orb (Figure 6C-6D'). The expression pattern of Orb during oogenesis has been already extensively described, and its early expression in the cyst allows tracking how many cysts are engulled in the same egg chamber [37–39]. In heterozygous germlines only one or two cells expressed Orb in region 1 (R1) (Figure 6C - arrow) but in homozygous lin-28<sup>dF30</sup> germline, Orb was expressed by several cystoblasts in R1 (Figure 6D - arrow; 76.9%, n = 39). This could already reflect the phenotype seen more clearly at later stages (Figure 4C and Figure 5) i.e. packing of two cysts in the same egg chamber.

Altogether our results point out GSC differentiation defects and probable early egg chamber fusion that lead to late abnormal egg chambers and reduced fertility.

**Fused Egg Chamber Phenotype is let-7 Dependent**

To further characterize the dependency of the ovarian phenotype to the lin-28 mutation, we analyzed the penetrance of the phenotype upon various alterations of Lin-28 or let-7.

Heterozygous lin-28<sup>dF30/+</sup> late egg chambers exhibited one developing oocyte characterized by Orb expression (Figure 7A and 7A'); 100%, n = 186). In homozygous lin-28<sup>dF30</sup> abnormal late egg chambers, we observed two developing oocytes (Figure 7B and 7B'; 40%, n = 144). Few late egg chambers displayed a third or more Orb foci (data not shown). These abnormal egg chambers displayed from 22 to 47 nurse cells, each one having one ring canal. This observation hinted at a defect of mitosis. The same observation was made in the homozygous lin-28<sup>dF101</sup> abnormal late egg chambers (data not shown). In order to rule out a secondary mutation site during imprecise P-element excision, we analyzed the lin-28<sup>dF30/dF101</sup> trans-heterozygous abnormal late egg chambers, and observed the same phenotype (Figure 7C and 7C'; 12%, n = 128). Notably, we never observed more than one oocyte in any of the control tested, namely wild type (wl1118), homozygous lin<sup>EP1915</sup> (P element line used for original excision) and heterozygous (lin-28<sup>dF30/</sup>+ and lin-28<sup>dF101/+</sup>) ovaries (data not shown).

Lin-28 has been shown to exert its action through physical interaction and consequent inhibition of the let-7 miRNA. Therefore, we investigated if the supernumerary nurse cell nuclei phenotype that results after lin-28 deletion is related to let-7 upregulation, we expressed the UAS-let-7 construct under the ubiquitous da-GAL4 promoter. However, the UAS-GAL4 system has a limited action in the germline cells during oogenesis [40]. To confirm this, we used a nuclear GFP reporter and found that the da-GAL4>UAS-nGFP expression was below the detection limit in the germline (data not shown). Therefore, in da-GAL4>UAS-let-7 ovaries, let-7 is ectopically expressed in follicle cells. Interestingly, in these flies the egg chamber fusion phenotype was recapitulated (Figure 7D and 7D'; 9%, n = 623), while we could not recapitulate the mitosis defect (always 30 nurse cells). Based on these results, we propose that let-7 overexpression in follicle cells results in an early egg chamber fusion. On the other hand, the mitosis phenotype is either germ cell-related, or alternatively is follicle cell-related, but not let-7 related. We summarized our observations in a table (Figure 7E).

To insure the Lin-28-dependency of the phenotype we obtained, we complemented the lin-28<sup>dF30</sup> allele with two deficiency lines available, Df(3L)ZN47 and Df(3L)Exc6106. While we recapitulated the homozygous Lin-28<sup>dF30</sup> ovarian phenotype (Figure 8), the phenotype penetrance was drastically lower: 1% (n = 83) in Df(3L)Exc6106/Lin-28<sup>dF30</sup> ovaries and 5% (n = 44) in Df(3L)ZN47/Lin-28<sup>dF30</sup> ovaries. Interestingly the deficiency line alleles bear deletions of several genes, including lin-28 and Bimp-1. Bimp-1 is a highly conserved transcription factor involved in mammalian germline cell progeny segregation [41]. Moreover, Bimp-1 is a target of let-7 and it is involved in the Lin28/let-7 regulatory network [42]. We hypothesized that the discrepancies observed probably resulted from the interaction between Bimp-1 and the Lin28/let-7 network.

Our data suggested a compound phenotype with mitotic defect and egg chamber fusion, as reported earlier in the melanidrom mutant line [43]. Moreover, this compound phenotype was always recapitulated when decreasing Lin-28 regulatory activity or increasing let-7 expression. Therefore, this phenotype seems to be Lin-28-dependent.

**Abnormal Ecr Expression Pattern in Homozygous lin-28<sup>dF30</sup> Abnormal Late Egg Chambers**

In order to further characterize the follicle cells of fused late egg chambers, we used Ecdysone Receptor (EcR) staining (Figure 9; n = 42). EcR is expressed at stage 9 exclusively in the anterior follicle cells [24]. While this pattern was found in the heterozygous lin-28<sup>dF30/+</sup> stage 9 egg chambers (Figure 9A - arrow), we found a homogenous follicular EcR expression in all abnormal homzygous lin-28<sup>dF30</sup> mutant stage 9 egg chambers (Figure 9B). Therefore, we conclude that despite the fusion, the follicle cells of abnormal lin-28<sup>dF30</sup> egg chambers are homogenously expressing EcR. Consequently, the EcR ectopic expression in late egg chambers, may lead to abnormal egg maturation due to misregulated Ecdysone signalling.

**Lin28/let-7 Regulates Abrupt/Fas2 Network during Oogenesis**

Because of the increase of let-7 maturation in homozygous lin-28<sup>dF30</sup> ovaries (Figure 1D), we suspected a drastic misregulation of let-7 targets during oogenesis. Therefore, we searched for the predicted targets for dme-let-7 from the TargetScanFly (http://www.targetscan.org/fly_12/) and miRBase (http://www.mirbase.org) databases. Two genes among the predicted targets are involved in oogenesis: Stet and Abrupt. While Stet has not been studied in this context, Abrupt has been shown to be a let-7 target in vivo [20]. Moreover Abrupt is involved in border cell (BC) migration in the late stage of oogenesis [24] and it has been shown to repress expression of the cell adhesion molecule Fas2 in the Drosophila developing brain [26].

Therefore, we investigated Fas2 expression in abnormal egg chambers (Figure 10; n = 35). Fas2 is expressed in all follicle cells through stage 7. At stage 8 and specifically when BCs differentiate preceding cell cluster delamination, Fas2 expression is lost in all anterior follicle cells, including the BCs, and it is only expressed in the polar cells. This differential pattern of expression leads to a polarity switch in the polar cells, which triggers BC delamination.
from the follicle [44]. Strikingly, while heterozygous lin-28\textsuperscript{dF30/+} ovarioles displayed a Fas2 expression similar to wild type (Figure 10A), all the homozygous lin-28\textsuperscript{dF30} stage 8 and 9 egg chambers containing supernumerary nurse cells exhibited an ectopic Fas2 expression at the anterior part of the egg chambers (arrows), compromising the border cell migration. Indeed, we never observed the migration of border cells in abnormal late egg chambers (data not shown).

This result suggested the interaction of the Abrupt/Fas2 network with lin-28/let-7 during oogenesis (Figure 10B).

Discussion

Because of their role during stem cell differentiation, members of the let-7 miRNA family have been extensively studied. However, the role of lin-28 is still poorly documented.

Deletion of let-7 in Drosophila impairs the musculature remodeling during the larva to adult metamorphosis. For instance the DIOMs, muscles which are required for eclosion and which are lost within 12 hours after eclosion, they are maintained during adulthood upon let-7 deletion [23]. By generating the first lin-28 deletion in flies, we successfully confirmed the involvement of Lin-28/let-7 regulatory network in DIOM remodeling. In this study, we showed that deletion of lin-28, led to over maturation of let-7, which negatively affected, and sometimes prevented DIOM formation. This drastic phenotype led to a suboptimal muscular phenotype. However, due to a variable penetrance of the lin-28 deletion phenotype, a proportion of the flies could eclose and live as fertile animals.

In addition, we discovered a link between Lin-28 function and oogenesis. Our data indicates a role of let-7 during GSC differentiation and egg chamber formation. Because of the importance of these processes, let-7 maturation has to be strictly
regulated by Lin-28 activity. We suggest that a potential network involving Lin-28/let-7/Ecdysone signaling/Abrupt/Fas2 is needed during GSC differentiation and BC migration (Figure 10B). The role of Abrupt in downregulating the steroid hormone Ecdysone has previously been demonstrated [45]. Indeed, the loss of Taiman, a target of the transcription factor Abrupt and co-activator of Ecdysone receptor, leads to an increase of undifferentiated GSCs in the germarium due to disruption of Ecdysone signaling [45,46]. Therefore, by regulating the expression pattern of Abrupt, Lin-28/let-7 may adjust the domain of Ecdysone activity, providing a control over the GSCs differentiation and egg chamber maturation during the oogenesis. Indeed, it has been shown that the Ecdysone titre rises during oogenesis at stage 9 [47]. While the precise Ecdysone expression pattern is not known,
we suggest that the uniform EcR expression pattern in follicle cells in lin-28 mutants may break the Ecdysone signaling asymmetry needed during proper oogenesis.

Furthermore, a previous study demonstrated the activation of let-7 expression via Ecdysone activity [22]. In this study, we showed that lin-28 deletion, resulted in the alleviation of Lin28’s inhibitory role on let-7 maturation. This led to loss of Abrupt, which in turn inhibited Ecdysone activity and maintained Fas2 expression, resulting in BC migration impairment. To test whether the increase of Ecdysone signaling amplifies let-7 expression through a positive feedback loop [22], we generated a system in which there is no control of either let-7 expression nor of Ecdysone activity. This situation leads to an early cyst fusion, a loss of proper GSC differentiation and a mitotic defect, as we observed in the homozygous lin-28dF30 ovaries. The accumulation of these defects may be enough to trigger apoptosis at mid-oogenesis, a well-known checkpoint previously described [48].

Interestingly, the variable penetrance of the phenotype allows proper oogenesis and appearance of subfertile adult flies. This suggests a robust molecular network where feedback loops can rescue the system if one component disturbs the balance.

Conclusions

By combining our results with previously published studies, we suggest an conserved link between hormonal signaling and germline stem cell differentiation, involving the let-7 miRNA family. This suggestion is reinforced in the last couple of years by the discovery of dormant ovarian follicles and mitotically active germ cells in adult mammalian ovaries, which are responsive to...
gonadotropin hormone [49–51]. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that Lin-28 is involved in germinal stem cell regulation in human ovary [31] and in the ovarian surface epithelium of severe ovarian infertility patients [52].

Supporting Information

Movie S1 **lin-28** Pharate Adults Fail to Eclose from their Pupal Case. Although the animal is moving its legs, head and proboscis, it was unable to exit its pupal case (n = 10).

(MOV)

Movie S2 **lin-28** Pharate Adults Liberated from the Pupal Case Fail to Walk. **lin-28** homozygous mutants that had problems eclosing were removed from the pupal case with the help of forceps. **lin-28** homozygous mutants exhibited abnormal behaviors such as moving only 3 of its 6 legs, while the other 3 were dragged along. In addition, the movements of the fly were slow and impaired. Note, that in Movie S1 and Movie S2, the same animal has been filmed.

(MOV)
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