Notes on Neotropical Proconiini (Insecta: Hemiptera: Cicadellidae).
VIII: morphology of the male and female genitalia of *Paraulacizes munda*, revalidated from synonymy of *P. confusa*
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ABSTRACT. *Paraulacizes munda* (Fowler, 1899) has been considered a junior synonym of *P. confusa* (Signoret, 1855). These two species were described from Mexico. Here it is shown that *P. munda* can be distinguished from *P. confusa* by the color and size of the body. Hence, the former is revalidated from synonymy of the latter. Descriptions and illustrations of the male and female genitalia of *P. munda*, which are necessary for an accurate identification of leafhopper species in general, are provided for the first time. *Paraulacizes munda* can be distinguished from the other 11 species currently included in *Paraulacizes* by the following combination of features: ground color of anterior dorsum yellow or pale yellow; dorsal processes of male pygofer strongly inflated apically; style with apex transversely truncate, not projected inward; atrial processes of aedeagus, in lateral view, not distinctly curved apically; female sternite VII with small median lobe on posterior margin and pair of dark brown marks posteromedially. This is the first detailed description of the first and second ovipositor valvulae of a *Paraulacizes* species. The female genitalia of *Paraulacizes* are compared with those of the related genera *Aulacizes* Amyot & Serville, 1843 and *Proconosama* Young, 1968.
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The proconiine *Paraulacizes* Young, 1968 (type species: *Cicada irrorata* Fabricius, 1794) is recorded from the Nearctic and Neotropical regions (from southeastern and central United States to Panama) and is considered closely related to *Aulacizes* Amyot & Serville, 1843 (Young 1968). It can be distinguished from the latter, and from other genera of Proconiini, by the usually inflated shape of the male dorsal pygofer processes and by the elongate basiventral aedeagal processes (Young 1968: 94, fig. 82). Eleven species are currently recognized in *Paraulacizes* (Takya 2007, McKamey 2007, Wilson et al. 2009).

The sharpshooter *Tettigonia confusa* was described by Signoret (1855) based on material from Mexico. Walker (1858) transferred *T. confusa* to *Aulacizes*. Melichar (1926) redescribed the species (also in *Aulacizes*) and considered *Oncometopia munda* Fowler, 1899 (from Guerrero, Mexico) as its junior subjective synonym. Young (1968) transferred *T. confusa* to *Paraulacizes* and included it in his key to 10 of the 11 currently known species of the genus; he accepted the synonymy proposed by Melichar (1926) and provided illustrations of the anterior dorsum (crown, pronotum, and mesonotum) and sternite VII of the female lectotype of *O. munda*.

Here it is shown that *P. munda* can be distinguished from *P. confusa* by the color and size of the body. Hence, the former is revalidated from its synonymy with the latter. Descriptions and illustrations of the male and female genitalia of *P. munda*, which are necessary for an accurate identification of leafhopper species in general, are herein provided for the first time. Although Kramer (1950) gave a general description of the female genitalia of the type species, *P. irrorata* (treated as *Aulacizes irrorata*), as part of his study on the morphology and phylogeny of the Auchenorrhyncha, the present paper includes the first detailed description of the first and second ovipositor valvulae of a *Paraulacizes* species. The female genitalia of *Paraulacizes* are compared with those of the related genera *Aulacizes* and *Proconosama* Young, 1968. This is the eighth contribution of a series on the taxonomy of the Proconiini from the Neotropical region. Previous papers of the series (listed by Mejdalani & Silva 2010) included descriptions of four new species and notes on other species in the tribe.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Techniques for preparation of the male and female genitalia follow Oman (1949) and Mejdalani (1998), respectively. Dissected parts are stored in small vials with glycerin and attached below the specimens, as suggested by Young & Bern (1958).
Temporary slides of the ovipositor valvulae, with glycerin, were mounted. Photographs were taken with digital cameras attached to a stereomicroscope or to a light microscope. In most cases, CombineZP, free software developed by Alan Hadley, was employed to produce a single photograph from a stack of images. The descriptive terminology adopted herein follows mainly Young (1968), except for the facial areas of the head (Hamilton 1981, Mejdalani 1993, 1998) and the female genitalia (Snodgrass 1933, Nielsen 1965, Hill 1970). Use of the term gonoplac (= third ovipositor valvula) and the names of the sculptured areas of the first ovipositor valvulae follow Mejdalani (1998). The specimens studied (one male and one female) belong to the Museum für Tierkunde (MTD, Dresden). Other institutions cited in this paper are the Naturhistorisches Museum (NHMW, Vienna) and The Natural History Museum (BMNH, London). Label data are quoted exactly with a reversed virgule (\) separating lines on a label and a semicolon separating labels.

**TAXONOMY**

*Paraaulacizes munda* (Fowler, 1899), revalidated

Figs. 1-17

Oncometopia munda Fowler, 1899: 232, tab. 14, fig. 21 [new species, illustrated, comparative note], Young, 1965 [lectotype designated].

Aulacizes confusa (Signoret, 1855): Melichar, 1926 [senior synonym of *O. munda*], Metcalf, 1965 [catalogue].

Paraaulacizes confusa: Young, 1968 [new combination, synonym of *O. munda* confirmed], McKay, 2007 [catalogue].

Material examined. One male, one female: “Jalapa\Mexico”; “1918”; “coll. A. JACOBI” (MTD).

Length of male 10.6 mm, female 11.5 mm.

Head (Fig. 1). Median length of crown approximately 1/3 of interocular width and 1/5 of transocular width. Crown with anterior margin broadly rounded and slightly carinate medially, with slight median longitudinal fovea, without M-shaped elevation bordering posterior margin, disc pubescent; ocelli located slightly before imaginary line between anterior angles of eyes, each approximately equidistant between adjacent anterior eye angle and median line of crown; antennal ledges, in dorsal view, with longitudinal fovea and, in lateral view, slightly carinate dorsally. Face pubescent; frons swollen, with distinct muscle impressions, median portion slightly depressed; epistomal suture incomplete medially; clypeus not produced, its contour continuing profile of frons.

Thorax (Fig. 1). Pronotal width approximately equal to transocular width; lateral margins of pronotum slightly convergent anteriorly, posterior margin distinctly emarginate medially; pronotal disc coarsely punctate and pubescent; dorsopleural carinae complete, approximately rectilinear, declivous anteriorly. Mesonotum with scutellum transversely striated. Forewings coriaceous, not densely punctate; membrane well delimited, including apical cells and distal portion of antepical cells; veins elevated and distinct; with three closed antepical cells and four apical cells, base of fourth slightly more proximal than base of third; with few (about two or three) additional antepical crossveins to costal margin. Hind wings with vein R3+4 complete. Hind legs with femoral setal formula 2:0:0; length of first tarsomere smaller than combined length of second and third.

Color (Figs. 1, 17). Anterior dorsum yellow or pale yellow, except for yellowish-orange scutellum, with dark brown marks as follows: crown with basal small triangle, median longitudinal complete line, and pair of curved lines extending from near ocelli to apical portion, bordering upper limit of muscle impressions of frons and connected posteriorly to longitudinal line over each temporal suture; pronotum with pair of curved lines on anterior third behind eyes and pair of longer curved lines on posterior half, almost touching each other medially, smaller marks also present on pronotal disc; mesonotum with irregular maculae basally and pair of lines over transverse sulcus. These dark brown marks of anterior dorsum are variable and may not be present. Forewings yellowish-green or violaceous with numerous irregular dark brown vermiculations and small marks, with more conspicuous dark brown line at border between corium and membrane. Face yellow or pale yellow with dark brown marks as follows: median portion of frons with or without pair of lines converging toward median coronal line, lateral portions of frons with oblique row of spots below antenna; genae with vertical mark below compound eye. Lateral and ventral portions of thorax and legs pale yellow or yellow tinged with orange at various parts. Abdominal sternites and laterotergites pale yellow or yellow; sternites with dark brown marks basally and medially; tergites mostly dark brown; female sternite VII (Fig. 9) with pair of dark brown marks posteromedially.

Male genitalia. Pygofer (Figs. 2-3; PYL), in lateral view, small, not strongly produced posteriorly; posterior margin broadly rounded; dorsal margin with conspicuous process extending beyond ventral margin and strongly inflated apically (Figs. 2-3; PYP); pygofer surface with elongate microsetae distributed mostly on posterior and ventral portions. Valve (Fig. 4; VAL), in ventral view, short, subrectangular. Subgenital plates (Figs. 4-5; SGP), in ventral view, triangular, separated throughout their length, surface with numerous scattered microsetae; in lateral view, extending posteriorly slightly beyond pygofer apex, distal half strongly curved dorsally, basal half with sclerotized dentiform projection associated with style apex (Fig. 5; DPP). Style (Fig. 5), in dorsal view, with distinct preapical lobe, with few serially arranged microsetae located beyond preapical lobe; apex transversely truncate, not projected inward. Connective (Fig. 5), in dorsal view, elongate, narrowly Y-shaped, stem much longer than slightly divergent arms. Aedeagus (Figs. 6-7) symmetrical; preatrium distinct (Fig. 6, PAT); shaft, in lateral view, short, directed dorsally; atrium with pair of dorsally-directed processes which exceed shaft apex (Figs. 6-7, APR); in caudal
view, processes adjacent to each other except for divergent apical portion; in lateral view, apex of processes not distinctly curved. Female genitalia. Sternite VII (Figs. 8-9) not strongly produced posteriorly; posterior margin transverse with slight median lobe; lateroposterior portions rounded. “Internal” sternite VIII without distinct sclerites. Pygofer (Figs. 8-9, PYL), in lateral view, moderately produced posteriorly; posterior margin broadly rounded; setae distributed on most of surface, absent basidorsally. Gonoplaças (Figs. 8-9, GON) extending slightly beyond pygofer apex, also bearing setae. Valvifers I (Fig. 10, VLI), in lateral view, ellipsoid. Valvulae I (Fig. 10), in lateral view, with blade expanded, apex acute; dorsal sculptured area extending from basal portion to apex of blade, formed by horizontal lines basally (Fig. 11, DSA) and scale-like processes arranged in oblique lines apically (Fig. 12, DSA); ventral sculptured area restricted to apical portion of blade, formed by scale-like processes; ventral interlocking device elongate, located on basiventral half of blade; in ventral view, valvulae I without basal modifications. Valvulae II
(Fig. 13), in lateral view, distinctly expanded beyond basal curvature and then gradually narrowed toward apex, the latter obtuse; preapical prominence distinct (Fig. 13, PPR); dorsal margin with about 35 continuous teeth, teeth on ascending portion (Fig. 14, TOO) taller and shorter than those on descending portion (Figs. 15-16, TOO), the latter very elongate, low and flat; denticles (Figs. 14-16, DEN) distributed on teeth and on dorsal and ventral apical portions of blade, dorsal dentate apical portion much longer than ventral one; blade with ducts (Figs. 15-16, DUC) extending toward apex and toward teeth or terminating well below the latter.

Remarks. The lectotype of *Oncometopia munda* is a female (BMNH; Fig. 17 – body in dorsal view). The lectotype of *Tettigonia confusa*, a male (NHMW; Fig. 18 – body in dorsal view), was designated by Young & Beier (1963).

**DISCUSSION**

Our interpretation of *P. munda* is in accordance with the original description and illustration of Fowler (1899), as well as with the illustrations provided by Young (1968) of the anterior dorsum and sternite VII of the female lectotype of this species.
In *P. munda*, the ground color of the anterior dorsum is yellow or pale yellow (Figs. 1, 17, the latter reproduced from Fowler 1899: tab. 14, fig. 21), whereas the ground color of the whole dorsum is brown in *P. confusa* (Fig. 18). *Paraulacizes munda* has at most narrow dark brown lines on crown (Fig. 17), whereas most of the coronal disc of *P. confusa* is covered by a large dark brown area (Fig. 18). In addition, the male of *P. munda* is 10.6 mm long, whereas that of *P. confusa* is 14.0 mm long (SIGNORET 1855). Based on the color pattern, we conclude that the specimen portrayed by WILSON et al. (2009) as *P. confusa* is actually *P. munda*. The latter species can be distinguished from the other ones of the genus by the following combination of features: dorsal processes of male pygofer strongly inflated apically (Figs. 2-3); style with apex transversely truncate (Fig. 5), not projected inward (YOUNG 1968: 96 described this kind of apex as “not toed in”); atrial processes of aedeagus, in lateral view, not distinctly curved apically (Fig. 6); female sternite VII with small median lobe on posterior margin and pair of dark brown marks posteriorly (Fig. 9). The presence of the inflated apical portion of the pygofer process supports the assignment of *P. munda* to *Paraulacizes*, as this feature is observed in the type species, *P. irrorata* (YOUNG 1968). The presence of the dorsal pygofer process is probably a homologous feature shared by members of the closely related genera (YOUNG 1968) *Aulacizes*, *Paraulacizes*, *Pseudometopia* Schmidt, 1928, *Proconosama*, *Amblydisca* Stål, 1869, and *Proconopera* Young, 1968.

Among the genera considered related to *Paraulacizes*, detailed descriptions of the female genitalia are available for *Aulacizes* (MEJDALANI et al. 2006) based on *A. erythrocephala* (Germar, 1821) and *Proconosama* (MEJDALANI et al. 2012) based on *P. alalia* (Distant, 1908). Sclerites were not observed in the “internal” sternite VIII of *Paraulacizes munda*, whereas a pair of lobed sclerotized areas were found medially in *Aulacizes* and a pair of elongate sclerotized areas were found laterally in *Proconosama*. The valvulae II of *Paraulacizes* (Fig. 13) and *Aulacizes* are expanded at the basal portion, whereas those of *Proconosama* are expanded at the median portion. Denticles are present on the teeth located at the ascending and descending portions of the valvula II of *Paraulacizes* (Figs. 14-16) and *Aulacizes*, whereas those on the elongate ascending portion of *Proconosama* do not bear denticles. The teeth located at the descending portion of the blade of *Paraulacizes* are considered peculiar because they are elongate, low, and flat (Figs. 15-16). These teeth differ greatly from those of *Aulacizes* and *Proconosama* species, which are distinctly produced dorsally. In *Proconosama*, about 12 of the proximal ducts of valvula II are located very close to each other, forming a distinct group, a condition that has not been observed in *Paraulacizes* (Fig. 13) and *Aulacizes*. This preliminary comparison of the female genitalia suggests that features of potential taxonomic interest are present in the “internal” sternite VIII and valvula II of these three related genera.
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