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In this paper, we gave a form of rational solution and their interaction solution to a nonlinear evolution equation. The rational solution contained lump solution, general lump solution, high-order lump solution, lump-type solution, etc. Their interaction solution contained the classical interaction solution, such as the lump-kink solution and the lump-soliton solution. As the example, by using the generalized bilinear method and symbolic computation Maple, we obtained abundant high-order lump-type solutions and their interaction solutions between lumps and other function solutions under certain constraints of the $(3+1)$-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation. Via three-dimensional plots, contour plots and density plots with the help of Maple, the physical characteristics and structures of these waves are described very well. These solutions have greatly enriched the exact solutions of the $(3+1)$-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation on the existing literature.

1. Introduction

Nonlinear phenomena have a lot of significant applications in different sides of physics with natural and engineering fields. Basically, all the fundamental equations of physics are nonlinear and, generally, such types of nonlinear evolution equations (NLEEs) are often very tough to solve clearly. The exact solutions of NLEEs play a crucial role in the study of nonlinear physical or natural phenomena. In the recent decade, several direct methods for finding the exact solutions to NLEEs have been proposed [1–9]. Thousands of examples have shown that these methods are powerful for obtaining exact solutions of NLEEs, such as soliton [10–14], rogue wave [15, 16], breather solution [17], periodic wave solution [18–21], and optical solution [22, 23].

The lump solution has attracted a great deal of attention since lump solutions were firstly discovered [24]. The research to lump solution has not been well developed, because it is very complex to solve the lump solution of NLEEs. Recently, based on the Hirota bilinear method, Ma and Zhou introduced a new way to get the lump solution of NLEEs by using symbolic computation and gave a theoretical testimony [25, 26]. By using this method, researchers successfully obtained the lump solutions and interaction solutions of NLEEs [27–57]. In the present paper, we will propose the form of rational solution and their interaction solution to NLEE. The rational solution contains lump solution, general lump solution, high-order lump solutions, lump-type solution, etc. Their interaction solution contains the classical interaction solution, such as the lump-kink solution and the lump-soliton solution.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will give the form of rational solution and their interaction solution to NLEE. In Section 3, by using the generalized bilinear method and symbolic computation Maple, we will obtain the high-order lump-type solutions of the $(3+1)$-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation. In Section 4, by using the symbolic computation Maple, we will get abundant interaction solutions between the high-order lump-type solution and other function solutions. Via three-dimensional plots, contour plots, and density plots with the help of Maple, the physical characteristics and structures of these waves are described very well. In Section 5, a few of the conclusions and outlook will be given.
2. Rational Solution and Their Interaction Solution

Consider a Kth order NLEE (K ≥ 2)

\[ F(x, u, \partial u, \partial^2 u, \cdots, \partial^k u) = 0, \]  

(1)

where \( x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \) are \( n \) independent variables and \( x_i(i \neq 1) \) contain time variable \( t \). \( u \) is the dependent variable.

2.1. Rational Solution. In order to get the rational solution of NLEE (1), we take its main steps as follows.

Step 1. Under dependent variable transformation,

\[ u(x) = 2[\ln f(x)]_{x_i}, \]
\[ \text{or } u(x) = 2[\ln f(x)]_{x_i, x_i}. \]

Equation (1) is transformed into the following bilinear form:

\[ G(x, f, \partial f, \partial^2 f, \cdots, \partial^l f) = 0. \]

(3)

Step 2. We suppose that Equation (3) has the following general positive quadratic function solution:

\[ f = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{ij}^{2n_j}, \]

(4)

where

\[ \xi_i = a_{i0} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}x_j, \]

(5)

where \( a_0, a_{ij}(i = 1, \cdots, N; j = 0, 1, \cdots, n) \) are arbitrary real constants.

Step 3. By substituting (4) and (5) into Equation (3), collecting all terms with the same order of \( x_i \), together, the left-hand side of Equation (3) is converted into another polynomial in \( x_i \). Equating each coefficient of this different power terms to zero yields a set of nonlinear algebraic equations for \( a_0, a_{ij}, b_{jk}. \)

With the aid of Maple (or Mathematica), we solve the above nonlinear algebraic equations.

Step 4. By substituting \( a_0, a_{ij}, b_{jk} \) into (6) and using bilinear transformation (2), we can obtain rational solution (4) of Equation (1).

Remark 1. When choosing \( N = 2, n_1 = 1 \) in expression (4), the rational solution is reduced to the lump solution \([24–40, 45–57]\).

Remark 2. When choosing \( N = 3, n_1 = 1 \) in expression (4), we obtain the high-order lump-type of Ref. [50].

2.2. General Interaction Solution. In order to obtain the general interaction solution, we take its main steps as follows:

Step 1. By using transformation (2), Equation (1) is transformed into bilinear form (3).

Step 2. We suppose that Equation (3) has the following solution:

\[ f = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{ij}^{2n_j} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} g_j(\eta_j), \]

(6)

where \( \xi_i \) is given in (5), and

\[ \eta_j = b_{j0} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{jk}x_k, \]

(7)

where \( b_{jk}(j = 1, \cdots, M; k = 0, 1, \cdots, n) \) are arbitrary real constants.

Step 3. By substituting (6) and (7) into Equation (3), collecting all terms with the same order of \( x_i \), \( g_j(\eta_j), g'_j(\eta_j), \cdots \) together, the left-hand side of Equation (3) is converted into another polynomial in \( x_i, g_j(\eta_j), g'_j(\eta_j), g''_j(\eta_j), \cdots \).

Equating each coefficient of this different power terms to zero yields a set of nonlinear algebraic equations for \( a_0, a_{ij}, b_{jk}. \)

With the aid of Maple (or Mathematica), we solve the above nonlinear algebraic equations.

Step 4. By substituting \( a_0, a_{ij}, b_{jk} \) into (6) and using bilinear transformation (2), we can obtain the general interaction solution (6) of Equation (1).

Remark 3. When choosing \( N = 2, n_1 = 1 \) and \( M = 1, g_1(\eta_1) = e^{\eta_1} \text{ or } g_1(\eta_1) = \cosh(\eta_1) \), interaction solution (6) is reduced to the lump–kink solution and the lump-soliton solution \([37–40, 42, 47, 48, 52–56]\).

Remark 4. When choosing \( N = 2, n_1 = 1 \) and \( M = 1, g_1(\eta_1) = \cos(\eta_1) \text{ or } g_1(\eta_1) = e^{\eta_1} + e^{-\eta_1} \) or \( g_1(\eta_1) = \sinh(\eta_1) \text{ or } g_1(\eta_1) = \sin(\eta_1) \), we obtain the interaction solutions of Refs. [42, 43, 47, 57], respectively.

Remark 5. In Step 3, the connection between \( g_j(\eta_j) \) and \( g'_j(\eta_j), g''_j(\eta_j), \cdots \) must be considered when we take a coefficient of different power terms to \( g_j(\eta_j), g'_j(\eta_j), g''_j(\eta_j), \cdots \)
3. High-Order Lump-Type Solutions of the (3 + 1)-Dimensional Jimbo-Miwa Equation

We consider the (3 + 1)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation [58]:

\[ u_{xxxx} + 3u_x u_{xx} + 3u_x u_{xy} + 2u_{yy} - 3u_{zz} = 0. \]  

(8)

Equation (8) is the second equation in the well known KP-hierarchy of integrable systems [11, 12, 58], which are used to describe certain interesting (3 + 1)-dimensional waves in physics. Although Equation (8) is nonintegrable, the exact solutions of the Jimbo-Miwa equation have been investigated by using various methods [6, 7]. Recently, researchers studied the solitary wave solutions of Equation (8) in [13, 14]. Based on the bilinear method, we obtained several interaction patterns and the periodic lump wave solutions for Equation (8) [20, 21]. The classes of lump solutions, lump-type solutions, general lump-type solutions, and interaction solutions for Equation (8) were presented in [36–41].

3.1. Bilinear Form. Under the Cole-Hopf transformation,

\[ u(x, y, z, t) = 2 \ln f(x, y, z, t) \]  

where \( f \) being an arbitrarily real number, is often a prime number. \( D \) is a generalized bilinear differential operator as follows [3]:

\[ D_{x_{1}}^{m}D_{x_{2}}^{n}f \cdot f = \left( \partial_{x_{1}} + a_{x}^{(1)} \partial_{x_{1}^{'}} \right)^{m} \left( \partial_{x_{2}} + a_{x}^{(2)} \partial_{x_{2}^{'}} \right)^{n} f(x_{1}, x_{2}) f(x_{1}', x_{2}') \]  

(9)

\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{m}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} a_{x}^{i} a_{x}^{j} \frac{\partial^{m+i-n-j}f(x_{1}, x_{2})}{\partial x_{1}^{i} \partial x_{2}^{n-j}} \frac{\partial^{m+i-n-j}f(x_{1}', x_{2}')}{\partial x_{1}^{i} \partial x_{2}^{n-j}} \]  

(11)

where \( m, n \geq 0, a_{x}^{(i)} = (-1)^{r(i)} \), if \( s = r_{i}(s) \) mod \( p \).

When taking \( p = 2 \), we obtain the Hirota bilinear equation:

\[ \text{GB}_{\text{JM}}(f) := (D_{x}^{3}D_{x} + 2D_{x}D_{x} + 3D_{x}D_{x})f \cdot f \]  

\[ = 2 \left[ f f_{xxy} - f_{x} f_{xx} + 2 \left( f_{y} f - f f_{y} \right) + 3 \left( f_{x} f_{x} - f f_{x} - f_{x} f_{x} + f f_{x} \right) \right] = 0. \]  

(12)

Step 1. By using the Cole-Hopf transformation (9), Equation (8) is transformed into the generalized bilinear equation (13).

\[ \text{GB}_{\text{JM}}(u) := \left[ \frac{\text{GB}_{\text{JM}}(f)}{f^2} \right]_{x}. \]  

(13)

3.2. High-Order Lump-Type Solutions. In the section, we will study the high-order lump-type solutions of (3 + 1)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation (8) by constructing positive quadratic function solutions to the corresponding generalized bilinear equation (13).

Step 2. To get the positive quadratic function solution of generalized bilinear equation (13), we take \( N = 3, n_{1} = 2, n_{2} = 1, n_{3} = 1 \) in expression (4),

\[ f = a_{0} + \xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2}, \]  

(16)

where \( \xi_{i} = a_{0} + a_{1}x + a_{2}y + a_{3}z + a_{4}t, \ i = 1, 2, 3. \)  

(17)

where \( a_{ij}(i = 1, 2, 3; j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4) \) are arbitrary real constants, and

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{ij}^2 = 0, (i = 1, 2, 3), \]  

(18)

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{ij}^2 = 0, (j = 1, 2, 3, 4). \]

Step 3. By substituting (16) and (17) into Equation (13),
collecting all terms with the same order of \( x, y, z, t \) together, the left-hand side of Equation (13) is converted into another polynomial in \( x, y, z, t \). Equating each coefficient of this different power terms to zero yields a set of nonlinear algebraic equations for \( a_0, a_{ij} \).

Solving the algebraic equations by Maple yields the following sets of solutions,

**Case 1.**

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{11} &= 0, \\
a_{13} &= 0, \\
a_{14} &= 0, \\
a_{21} &= 0, \\
a_{22} &= 0, \\
a_{24} &= 0, \\
a_{32} &= 0, \\
a_{33} &= 0, \\
a_{31} a_{34} &\neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

**Case 2.**

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{11} &= 0, \\
a_{12} &= \frac{a_{22} a_{13}}{a_{33}}, \\
a_{14} &= 0, \\
a_{22} &= \frac{a_{21} a_{32}}{a_{21}}, \\
a_{23} &= \frac{a_{21} a_{33}}{a_{21}}, \\
a_{24} &= \frac{a_{21} a_{33}}{a_{21}}, \\
a_{30} &= \frac{a_{20} a_{31}}{a_{21}}, \\
a_{34} &= \frac{3 a_{21} a_{33}}{2 a_{32}}, \\
a_{31} a_{32} a_{33} &\neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

**Case 3.**

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{11} &= 0, \\
a_{14} &= 0, \\
a_{22} &= \frac{a_{21} a_{32}}{a_{21}}, \\
a_{23} &= \frac{a_{21} a_{33}}{a_{21}}, \\
a_{24} &= \frac{3 a_{33} a_{21}}{2 a_{12}},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{33} &= \frac{a_{32} a_{13}}{a_{21}}, \\
a_{34} &= \frac{3 a_{13} a_{31}}{2 a_{12}}, \\
a_{12} a_{21} &\neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

where other parameters in Cases 1–3 are arbitrary real constants.

If we consider the special solutions \( a_{11} = a_{12} = a_{13} = a_{14} = 0 \), we obtain the following solutions which are different from those solutions given in [36–41],

**Case 4.**

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{23} &= \frac{-2 a_{22} (2 a_{10} a_{24}^2 - 3 a_{31} a_{34} + 2 a_0 a_{24}^2)}{9 a_{31}^2}, \\
a_{32} &= \frac{-2 a_{22} a_{24} (a_{10} + a_0)}{3 a_{31}}, \\
a_{31} &= \frac{-2 a_{22} a_{24} (2 a_{10} a_{24} + 3 a_{31} + 2 a_0 a_{24})}{9 a_{31}^2}, \\
a_{31} &\neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

**Case 5.**

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{23} &= \frac{-2 a_{22} a_{24} (a_{10} + a_0)}{3 a_{21}}, \\
a_{32} &= \frac{-2 a_{22} a_{24} (2 a_{10} a_{24} + 3 a_{31} + 2 a_0 a_{24})}{9 a_{31}^2}, \\
a_{31} &= \frac{-2 a_{22} a_{24} (2 a_{10} a_{24} - 3 a_{21} a_{34} + 2 a_0 a_{34})}{9 a_{31}^2}, \\
a_{21} &\neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

**Case 6.**

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{23} &= \frac{a_{22} a_{33}}{a_{32}} + \frac{(a_{24} + a_{34}^2)(a_{21} a_{22} + a_{34} a_{32})(a_{21} + a_{34})}{a_{32} (a_{10} + a_0)(a_{21} a_{32} - a_{22} a_{31})}, \\
a_{24} &= \frac{3 a_{21} a_{33}}{2 a_{32}} + \frac{3 (a_{24} + a_{34}^2)(a_{21} a_{22} + a_{34} a_{32})^2}{2 a_{32} (a_{10} + a_0)(a_{21} a_{32} - a_{22} a_{31})}, \\
a_{30} &= \frac{a_{20} a_{31}}{a_{21}}, \\
a_{34} &= \frac{3 a_{31} a_{33}}{2 a_{32}} - \frac{3 (a_{24} + a_{34}^2)(a_{21} a_{22} + a_{34} a_{32})}{2 a_{32} (a_{10} + a_0)}, \\
a_{34} &= \frac{3 a_{31} a_{33}}{2 a_{32}} - \frac{3 (a_{24} + a_{34}^2)(a_{21} a_{22} + a_{34} a_{32})}{2 a_{32} (a_{10} + a_0)(a_{21} a_{32} - a_{22} a_{31})} 
eq 0
\end{align*}
\]
Case 7.

\[ a_0 = -a_0^4 + \frac{a_{21}a_{22}(a_{11}^2 + a_{12}^2)}{a_{31}a_{33}}, \]
\[ a_{23} = -\frac{3a_{21}a_{33} - 2a_{22}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \]
\[ a_{24} = -\frac{3a_{21}^2a_{33} - 2a_{21}a_{32}a_{34} + 3a_{31}a_{33}}{2a_{23}a_{31}}, \]
\[ a_{30} = \frac{a_{30}a_{31}}{a_{21}}, \]
\[ a_{32} = 0, \quad a_{21}a_{22}a_{31} \neq 0 \]

where other parameters in Cases 4–7 are arbitrary real constants.

Step 4. By substituting \( a_0, a_{ij} \) in Cases 1–3 into (16) and (17) and using transformation (9), we obtain the following high-order lump-type solutions for Jimbo-Miwa equation (8).

\[ u_i(x, y, z, t) = \frac{2f_i(x, y, z, t)}{f_j(x, y, z, t)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (26) \]

where \( f_i(x, y, z, t) \) are the positive quadratic function solutions to the generalized bilinear Jimbo-Miwa equation (13), and

\[ f_1(x, y, z, t) = \left( a_{12}y + \frac{2a_{12}a_{14}z + a_{10}}{a_{11}} \right)^4 + \left( \frac{3a_{21}a_{31}}{2a_{34}}y + a_{22}z + a_{20} \right) \]
\[ + (a_{31}x + a_{34}t + a_{30})^2 + a_0, \]
\[ f_2(x, y, z, t) = \left( \frac{a_{11a_{33}}}{a_{33}}y + a_{13}z + a_{10} \right)^4 + \left( \frac{a_{11}}{a_{12}}x - \frac{a_{11}a_{12}}{a_{13}}y \right) \]
\[ + \frac{3a_{13}}{2a_{31}} - \frac{a_{31}a_{32}z + a_{20}}{a_{31}} \]
\[ + (a_{31}x + a_{33}y + \frac{3a_{11}a_{33}}{2a_{32}}t + a_{32}z + a_{30})^2 + a_0, \]
\[ f_3(x, y, z, t) = (a_{12}y + a_{13}z + a_{10})^4 + \left( \frac{a_{11}x - a_{11}a_{32}y + \frac{3a_{13}a_{31}}{2a_{12}}t}{a_{12}} \right) \]
\[ - (a_{12}a_{31}a_{32} + a_{21}z + a_{20})^2 + \left( a_{13}x + a_{32}y + \frac{3a_{13}a_{31}}{2a_{12}}t \right) \]
\[ \quad + \frac{a_{22}a_{13}}{a_{12}}z + a_{30})^2 + a_0. \quad (27) \]

It is also readily observed that at any given time \( t \), the above high-order lump-type solutions \( u_i \to 0 \) if and only if the corresponding sum of squares \( \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2 \to \infty \), namely,

\[ \lim_{x^2+y^2+z^2+\cdots \to \infty} u_i(x, y, z, t) = 0. \quad (28) \]

In order to exhibit the dynamical characteristics of these waves, we plot various three-dimensional, contour, and density plots as follows. We choose the following parameters to illustrate the high-order lump-type solution \( u_2(x, y, z, t) \) for Jimbo-Miwa equation (8).

\[ a_0 = 2, \]
\[ a_{10} = 1, \]
\[ a_{13} = 2, \]
\[ a_{20} = -1, \]
\[ a_{21} = 3, \]
\[ a_{30} = 2, \]
\[ a_{31} = 4, \]
\[ a_{32} = -5, \]
\[ a_{33} = 3, \]
\[ z = y. \]

The physical properties and structures for the high-order lump-type solution \( u_2(x, y, z, t) \) are described in Figure 1. Figure 1 shows the three-dimensional dynamic graphs \( A_1, B_1, C_1 \), corresponding contour maps \( A_2, B_2, C_2 \), and density plots \( A_3, B_3, C_3 \) in the \( (x, y) \) plane when \( t = -6, 0, 6 \), respectively. The three-dimensional graphs reflect the localized structures, and the density plots show the energy distribution.

**Remark 6.** By substituting \( a_0, a_{ij} \) in Cases 4–7 to (16) and (17) and using bilinear transformation (9), we obtain the new lump solutions for Jimbo-Miwa equation (8). Due to the lack of space, we omit the expressions of lump solutions.

4. **Interaction Solutions between Lump and Soliton Solutions of the \((3 + 1)\)-Dimensional Jimbo-Miwa Equation**

In this section, we will study the general interaction solutions between the high-order lump-type solutions and other function solutions of \((3 + 1)\)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation (8).

**Step 1.** We use generalize bilinear Jimbo-Miwa equation (13).

**Step 2.** To get general interaction solutions, we take \( N = 3, M = 4, n_1 = 2, n_2 = 1, n_3 = 1 \) in (6), namely,

\[ f = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{4} m_j \eta_j, \quad (30) \]

where \( \xi_i(i = 1, 2, 3) \) are given in (17), and

\[ \eta_j = b_{j0} + b_{j1}x + b_{j2}y + b_{j3}z + b_{j4}t, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (31) \]
where \( m_j, b_{jk} (j = 1, 2, 3, 4; k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4) \) are arbitrary real constants. In order to obtain the interaction solution between the high-order lump-type solution and the double exponential function, the trigonometric function, and the hyperbolic function of \((3+1)\)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation (8), we suppose
\[
g_1(\eta_1) = e^{\eta_1}, \quad g_2(\eta_2) = e^{\eta_2}, \quad g_3(\eta_3) = \tan \eta_3, \quad g_4(\eta_4) = \tanh \eta_4. \tag{32}
\]

The interaction solution of generalized bilinear equation (13) is written the following form:
\[
f = a_0 + \xi_1^4 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2 + m_1 e^{\eta_1} + m_2 e^{-\eta_1} + m_3 \tan \eta_3 + m_4 \tanh \eta_4. \tag{33}
\]

**Step 3.** By substituting (33) into Equation (13), collecting all terms with the same order of \(x, y, z, t, e^{\eta_1}, e^{-\eta_1}, \tan \eta_3, \tanh \eta_4\) together, the left-hand side of Equation (13) is converted into another polynomial in \(x, y, z, t, e^{\eta_1}, e^{-\eta_1}, \tan \eta_3, \tanh \eta_4\). Equating each coefficient of this different power terms to zero yields a set of nonlinear algebraic equations for \(a_0, a_{ij}, b_{jk}, m_j\). Solving the algebraic equations by Maple, yields the following sets of solutions.

**Figure 1:** Three-dimensional plots, contour plots, and density plots of the wave with the parameters (29) at times \(t = -6 (A_1, A_3, A_5), t = 0 (B_1, B_2, B_3), \) and \(t = 6 (C_1, C_2, C_3)\).
4.1. Between Lump and a Pair of Line-Soliton Solutions. When \( m_2 = m_1 (m_1 \neq 0) \), \( m_3 = m_4 = 0 \) in (33), solution (33) represents the interaction solutions between the high-order lump-type solution and a pair of line-soliton solution \( f = a_0 + \xi_1^1 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^3 + m_1 e^{\eta_1} + m_1 e^{\eta_2} \),

**Case 1.**

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_{11} &= 0, \\
  a_{13} &= \frac{2a_{11}a_{24}}{3a_{21}}, \\
  a_{14} &= 0, \\
  a_{22} &= 0, \\
  a_{23} &= 0, \\
  a_{31} &= 0, \\
  a_{33} &= \frac{2a_{24}a_{32}}{3a_{21}}, \\
  a_{34} &= 0, \\
  b_{12} &= 0, \\
  b_{13} &= 0, \\
  b_{14} &= \frac{a_{24}b_{11}}{a_{21}}, \\
  b_{22} &= 0, \\
  b_{23} &= 0, \\
  b_{24} &= \frac{a_{24}b_{21}}{a_{21}}, \quad a_{21} \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

**Case 2.**

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_{11} &= 0, \\
  a_{14} &= 0, \\
  a_{21} &= \frac{2a_{11}a_{24}}{3a_{13}}, \\
  a_{22} &= 0, \\
  a_{23} &= 0, \\
  a_{31} &= \frac{2a_{13}a_{34}}{3a_{13}}, \\
  a_{32} &= 0, \\
  a_{33} &= 0, \\
  b_{12} &= 0, \\
  b_{13} &= 0, \\
  b_{14} &= \frac{3a_{11}b_{11}}{2a_{12}}, \\
  b_{22} &= 0, \\
  b_{23} &= 0, \\
  b_{24} &= \frac{3a_{11}b_{21}}{2a_{12}}, \quad a_{12}a_{13} \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

**Case 3.**

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_{10} &= 0, \\
  a_{11} &= 0, \\
  a_{12} &= \frac{3a_{11}a_{31}}{2a_{34}}, \\
  a_{14} &= 0, \\
  a_{21} &= 0, \\
  a_{22} &= 0, \\
  a_{23} &= 0, \\
  a_{24} &= 0, \\
  a_{32} &= 0, \\
  a_{33} &= 0, \\
  b_{12} &= 0, \\
  b_{13} &= 0, \\
  b_{14} &= \frac{a_{34}b_{11}}{a_{31}}, \\
  b_{22} &= 0, \\
  b_{23} &= 0, \\
  b_{24} &= \frac{a_{34}b_{21}}{a_{31}}, \quad a_{31}a_{34} \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

**Case 4.**

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_{11} &= 0, \\
  a_{14} &= 0, \\
  a_{21} &= 0, \\
  a_{22} &= 0, \\
  a_{23} &= 0, \\
  a_{24} &= 0, \\
  a_{31} &= 0, \\
  a_{33} &= \frac{a_{13}a_{32}}{a_{12}}, \\
  a_{34} &= 0, \\
  b_{12} &= 0, \\
  b_{13} &= 0, \\
  b_{14} &= \frac{3a_{13}b_{11}}{2a_{12}}, \\
  b_{22} &= 0, \\
  b_{23} &= 0, \\
  b_{24} &= \frac{3a_{13}b_{21}}{2a_{12}}, \quad a_{12} \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]
Case 5.

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{11} &= 0, \\
    a_{13} &= 2a_{13}a_{34}, \\
    a_{14} &= 0, \\
    a_{21} &= -\frac{a_{21}a_{32}}{a_{22}}, \\
    a_{23} &= \frac{2a_{23}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
    a_{24} &= -\frac{a_{24}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
    a_{33} &= \frac{2a_{33}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
    b_{12} &= 0, \\
    b_{13} &= 0, \\
    b_{14} &= b_{11}a_{34}, \\
    b_{20} &= b_{10}, \\
    b_{21} &= b_{11}, \\
    b_{22} &= 0, \\
    b_{23} &= 0, \\
    b_{24} &= b_{11}a_{34}, \quad a_{22}a_{31} \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

where other parameters in Cases 1–7 are arbitrary real constants.

Case 6.

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{11} &= 0, \\
    a_{14} &= 0, \\
    a_{21} &= 0, \\
    a_{23} &= \frac{a_{13}a_{22}}{a_{12}}, \\
    a_{24} &= 0, \\
    a_{31} &= 0, \\
    a_{32} &= \frac{a_{12}a_{33}}{a_{13}}, \\
    a_{34} &= 0, \\
    b_{12} &= 0, \\
    b_{13} &= 0, \\
    b_{14} &= b_{11}a_{34}, \\
    b_{20} &= b_{10}, \\
    b_{21} &= b_{11}, \\
    b_{22} &= 0, \\
    b_{23} &= 0, \\
    b_{24} &= b_{11}a_{34}, \quad a_{22}a_{31} \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

4.2 Between Lump and One Line-Soliton Solutions. When $m_1 = 0$ or $m_2 = 0$ and $m_3 = m_4 = 0$ in (33), solution (33) represents the interaction solutions between the high-order lump-type solution and one line-soliton solution $f = a_0 + \xi_1^4 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2 + m_1 e^{\eta}$.

Case 7.

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{11} &= 0, \\
    a_{13} &= \frac{2a_{13}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
    a_{14} &= 0, \\
    a_{21} &= 0, \\
    a_{24} &= \frac{a_{24}a_{34}}{2a_{31}}, \\
    a_{32} &= 0, \\
    a_{33} &= \frac{a_{33}a_{34}}{2a_{31}}, \\
    a_{34} &= 0, \\
    b_{11} &= 0, \\
    b_{13} &= \frac{a_{13}b_{12}}{a_{12}}, \\
    b_{14} &= 0, \quad a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]
Case 2.

\[ m_2 = 0, \]
\[ a_{11} = 0, \]
\[ a_{13} = \frac{2a_{13}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \]
\[ a_{14} = 0, \]
\[ a_{21} = 0, \]
\[ a_{22} = \frac{3a_{23}a_{31}}{2a_{34}}, \]
\[ a_{24} = 0, \]
\[ a_{32} = 0, \]
\[ a_{33} = 0, \]
\[ b_{11} = 0, \]
\[ b_{13} = \frac{2a_{34}b_{12}}{3a_{31}}, \]
\[ b_{14} = 0, \] \( a_{31}a_{34} \neq 0 \)

\[ a_{22} = 0, \]
\[ a_{23} = 0, \]
\[ a_{31} = \frac{2a_{13}a_{34}}{3a_{13}}, \]
\[ a_{32} = 0, \]
\[ a_{33} = 0, \]
\[ b_{12} = 0, \]
\[ b_{13} = 0, \]
\[ b_{14} = \frac{3a_{13}b_{11}}{2a_{12}}, \] \( a_{12}a_{13} \neq 0 \)

Case 3.

\[ m_2 = 0, \]
\[ a_{11} = 0, \]
\[ a_{13} = \frac{2a_{13}a_{24}}{3a_{21}}, \]
\[ a_{14} = 0, \]
\[ a_{22} = 0, \]
\[ a_{23} = 0, \]
\[ a_{31} = 0, \]
\[ a_{33} = \frac{2a_{34}a_{32}}{3a_{21}}, \]
\[ a_{34} = 0, \]
\[ b_{12} = 0, \]
\[ b_{13} = 0, \]
\[ b_{14} = \frac{a_{34}b_{11}}{a_{21}}, \] \( a_{21} \neq 0 \)

\[ m_2 = 0, \]
\[ a_{11} = 0, \]
\[ a_{12} = \frac{3a_{13}a_{31}}{2a_{34}}, \]
\[ a_{14} = 0, \]
\[ a_{21} = 0, \]
\[ a_{22} = 0, \]
\[ a_{23} = 0, \]
\[ a_{31} = \frac{a_{34}b_{11}}{a_{31}}, \]
\[ b_{12} = 0, \]
\[ b_{13} = 0, \]
\[ b_{14} = \frac{a_{34}b_{11}}{a_{31}}, \] \( a_{31}a_{34} \neq 0 \)

Case 4.

\[ m_2 = 0, \]
\[ a_{11} = 0, \]
\[ a_{13} = 2a_{12}a_{24} \]
\[ a_{14} = 0, \]
\[ a_{21} = 0, \]
\[ a_{22} = 0, \]
\[ a_{23} = 0, \]
\[ a_{24} = 0, \]
\[ a_{32} = 0, \]
\[ a_{33} = 0, \]
\[ b_{12} = 0, \]
\[ b_{13} = 0, \]
\[ b_{14} = \frac{a_{34}b_{11}}{a_{31}}, \] \( a_{21} \neq 0 \)

\[ m_2 = 0, \]
\[ a_{11} = 0, \]
\[ a_{14} = 0, \]
\[ a_{21} = 0, \]
\[ a_{22} = 0, \]
\[ a_{23} = 0, \]
\[ b_{12} = 0, \]
\[ b_{13} = 0, \]
\[ b_{14} = \frac{a_{34}b_{11}}{a_{31}}, \] \( a_{31}a_{34} \neq 0 \)
\[ a_{24} = 0, \]
\[ a_{31} = 0, \]
\[ a_{33} = \frac{a_{13}a_{32}}{a_{12}}, \]
\[ a_{34} = 0, \]
\[ b_{12} = 0, \]
\[ b_{13} = 0, \]
\[ b_{14} = \frac{3a_{11}b_{11}}{2a_{12}}, \quad a_{12} \neq 0 \]

(46)

where other parameters in Cases 1–6 are arbitrary real constants.

**Remark 7.** When \( m_1 = 0, \eta_2 = \eta_1 \), we can obtain the same solutions as Cases 1–6.

### 4.3. Between Lump and Periodic Solitary Wave Solutions

When \( \eta_3 = \eta_2 = \eta_1, m_3 = m_3 = m_1 \neq 0 \) in (33), the solution (33) represents the interaction solutions between the high-order lump-type solution and periodic solitary wave solutions

\[ f = a_0 + \xi_1^4 + \xi_2^3 + \xi_3^2 + m_1 e^{\eta_1} + m_1 e^{-\eta_1} + m_1 \tan \eta_1 + \tan \eta_4, \]

**Case 1.**

\[ a_{11} = 0, \]
\[ a_{13} = \frac{2a_{13}a_{34}}{3d_{31}}, \]
\[ a_{14} = 0, \]
\[ a_{21} = 0, \]
\[ a_{22} = \frac{3a_{13}a_{31}}{2a_{34}}, \]
\[ a_{24} = 0, \]
\[ a_{32} = 0, \]
\[ a_{33} = 0, \]
\[ b_{11} = 0, \]
\[ b_{13} = \frac{2a_{34}b_{12}}{3d_{31}}, \]
\[ b_{14} = 0, \]
\[ b_{41} = 0, \]
\[ b_{43} = \frac{2a_{34}b_{12}}{3d_{31}}, \]
\[ b_{44} = 0, \quad a_{31}a_{34} \neq 0 \]

(47)

**Case 2.**

\[ a_{11} = 0, \]
\[ a_{14} = 0, \]
\[ a_{22} = 0, \]
\[ a_{23} = 0, \]
\[ a_{24} = \frac{3a_{13}a_{21}}{2a_{12}}, \]
\[ a_{31} = \frac{2a_{12}a_{34}}{3a_{13}}, \]
\[ a_{32} = 0, \]
\[ a_{33} = 0, \]
\[ b_{12} = 0, \]
\[ b_{13} = 0, \]
\[ b_{14} = \frac{3a_{13}b_{11}}{2a_{12}}, \]
\[ b_{42} = 0, \]
\[ b_{43} = 0, \]
\[ b_{44} = \frac{3a_{13}b_{41}}{2a_{12}}, \quad a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0 \]

(48)

**Case 3.**

\[ a_{11} = 0, \]
\[ a_{14} = 0, \]
\[ a_{22} = \frac{a_{13}a_{32}}{a_{12}}, \]
\[ a_{23} = \frac{a_{13}a_{33}a_{32}}{a_{12}a_{21}}, \]
\[ a_{24} = \frac{3a_{13}a_{21}}{2a_{12}}, \]
\[ a_{33} = \frac{a_{13}a_{32}}{a_{12}}, \]
\[ a_{34} = \frac{3a_{13}a_{31}}{2a_{12}}, \]
\[ b_{12} = 0, \]
\[ b_{13} = 0, \]
\[ b_{14} = \frac{3a_{13}b_{11}}{2a_{12}}, \]
\[ b_{42} = 0, \]
\[ b_{43} = 0, \]
\[ b_{44} = \frac{3a_{13}b_{41}}{2a_{12}}, \quad a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0 \]

(49)
Case 4.

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{11} &= 0, \\
    a_{13} &= \frac{2a_{12}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
    a_{14} &= 0, \\
    a_{21} &= 0, \\
    a_{23} &= \frac{2a_{22}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
    a_{24} &= 0, \\
    a_{32} &= 0, \\
    a_{33} &= 0, \\
    b_{12} &= 0, \\
    b_{13} &= 0, \\
    b_{14} &= a_{34}b_{11}, \\
    b_{41} &= b_{11}, \\
    b_{42} &= 0, \\
    b_{43} &= 0, \\
    b_{44} &= \frac{a_{34}b_{41}}{a_{31}}, \quad a_{31} \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

(50)

Case 5.

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{11} &= 0, \\
    a_{13} &= \frac{a_{12}a_{33}}{a_{32}}, \\
    a_{14} &= 0, \\
    a_{21} &= 0, \\
    a_{23} &= \frac{a_{22}a_{33}}{a_{32}}, \\
    a_{24} &= 0, \\
    a_{31} &= 0, \\
    a_{34} &= 0, \\
    b_{12} &= 0, \\
    b_{13} &= 0, \\
    b_{14} &= \frac{3a_{33}b_{11}}{2a_{12}}, \\
    b_{41} &= b_{11}, \\
    b_{42} &= 0, \\
    b_{43} &= 0, \\
    b_{44} &= \frac{3a_{33}b_{41}}{2a_{12}}, \quad a_{12}a_{13} \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

(52)

where other parameters are arbitrary real constants.

4.4. Between Lump and Solitary Wave Solutions. When \( \eta_2 = \eta_1, m_2 = m_1 \neq 0, m_3 = 0 \) in (33), the solution (33) represents the interaction solutions between the high-order lump-type solution and solitary wave solutions:

\[
f = a_0 + \xi_1 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2 + m_1 e^{\eta_1} + m_1 e^{-\eta_1} + m_4 \tanh \eta_4
\]

(53)

Case 1.

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{11} &= 0, \\
    a_{13} &= \frac{2a_{12}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
    a_{14} &= 0, \\
    a_{23} &= \frac{3a_{23}a_{31}}{2a_{34}}, \\
    a_{24} &= 0, \\
    a_{32} &= 0, \\
    a_{33} &= 0, \\
    b_{11} &= 0, \\
    b_{13} &= \frac{2a_{34}b_{12}}{3a_{31}}, \\
    b_{14} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]
where other parameters are arbitrary real constants.

Remark 8. In addition to the above result Case 1, we can also get the same solutions as Cases 1–4 and 6 in Section 4.3 when \( m_4 = m_1 \) and the special result of Case 5 in Section 4.3 when \( m_4 = m_1, a_{22} = 0 \), respectively.

4.5. Between Lump and Tan Function Solutions. When \( \eta_2 = \eta_1, m_2 = m_1 \neq 0, m_4 = 0 \) in (33), the solution (33) represents the interaction solutions between the high-order lump-type solution and tan function solutions

\[
f = a_0 + \xi_1^4 + \xi_2^4 + \xi_3^4 + m_1 e^{\eta_1} + m_1 e^{-\eta_1} + m_3 \tan \eta_3 + m_3 \tanh \eta_4,
\]

Case 1.

\[
\begin{align*}
 a_{11} & = 0, \\
 a_{13} & = \frac{2a_{13}a_{34}}{3d_{31}}, \\
 a_{14} & = 0, \\
 a_{21} & = 0, \\
 a_{22} & = \frac{3a_{33}a_{31}}{2d_{34}}, \\
 a_{24} & = 0, \\
 a_{32} & = 0, \\
 a_{33} & = 0, \\
 b_{11} & = 0, \\
 b_{13} & = \frac{2a_{34}b_{12}}{3d_{31}}, \\
 b_{14} & = 0, \\
 b_{31} & = 0, \\
 b_{32} & = b_{12}, \\
 b_{33} & = \frac{2a_{34}b_{32}}{3d_{31}}, \\
 b_{34} & = 0, \quad a_{31}a_{34} \neq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(54)

where other parameters are arbitrary real constants.

Remark 9. In addition to the above result Case 1, we can also get the same solutions as Cases 1–6 in Section 4.3 when \( m_3 = m_1, \eta_3 = \eta_4 \), respectively.

4.6. Between Lump and Tan-Tanh Wave Solutions. When \( m_1 = m_2 = 0, m_4 = m_3 \neq 0 \) in (33), the solution (33) represents the interaction solutions between the high-order lump-type solution and tan-tanh wave solutions

\[
f = a_0 + \xi_1^4 + \xi_2^4 + \xi_3^4 + m_1 e^{\eta_1} + m_1 e^{-\eta_1} + m_3 \tan \eta_3 + m_3 \tanh \eta_4,
\]

Case 1.

\[
\begin{align*}
 a_{11} & = 0, \\
 a_{13} & = \frac{2a_{13}a_{34}}{3d_{31}}, \\
 a_{14} & = 0, \\
 a_{21} & = 0, \\
 a_{23} & = \frac{2a_{23}a_{34}}{3d_{31}}, \\
 a_{24} & = 0, \\
 a_{32} & = 0, \\
 a_{33} & = 0, \\
 a_{34} & = 0, \\
 b_{32} & = 0, \\
 b_{33} & = 0, \\
 b_{34} & = 0, \quad a_{31}a_{34} \neq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(56)

Case 2.

\[
\begin{align*}
 a_{11} & = 0, \\
 a_{14} & = 0, \\
 a_{21} & = 0, \\
 a_{23} & = \frac{a_{13}a_{32}}{a_{12}}, \\
 a_{24} & = 0, \\
 a_{31} & = 0, \\
 a_{33} & = \frac{a_{13}a_{32}}{a_{12}}, \\
 a_{34} & = 0, \\
 b_{32} & = 0, \\
 b_{33} & = 0, \\
 b_{34} & = \frac{3a_{13}b_{31}}{2a_{12}}, \\
 b_{42} & = 0, \\
 b_{43} & = 0, \\
 b_{44} & = \frac{3a_{13}b_{41}}{2a_{12}}, \quad a_{12} \neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

(57)
Case 3.

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{10} &= 0, \\
a_{11} &= 0, \\
a_{12} &= \frac{2a_{11}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
a_{13} &= 0, \\
a_{14} &= 0, \\
a_{21} &= \frac{-a_{12}a_{32}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{22} &= \frac{2a_{12}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
a_{23} &= \frac{-a_{23}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{24} &= \frac{-a_{24}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{31} &= \frac{2a_{12}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
a_{33} &= \frac{2a_{33}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
b_{32} &= 0, \\
b_{33} &= 0, \\
b_{34} &= \frac{a_{34}b_{31}}{a_{31}}, \\
b_{42} &= 0, \\
b_{43} &= 0, \\
b_{44} &= \frac{a_{34}b_{41}}{a_{31}}, \\
b_{44} &= \frac{a_{34}b_{41}}{a_{31}}, \\
a_{22}a_{31}a_{34} &\neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

Case 4.

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{10} &= 0, \\
& \\
a_{11} &= 0, \\
a_{12} &= \frac{3a_{13}a_{31}}{2a_{34}}, \\
a_{13} &= 0, \\
a_{14} &= 0, \\
a_{21} &= \frac{-a_{12}a_{32}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{22} &= \frac{2a_{12}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
a_{23} &= \frac{-a_{23}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{24} &= \frac{-a_{24}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{31} &= \frac{a_{20}a_{32}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{32} &= \frac{2a_{20}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
a_{33} &= \frac{a_{33}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{34} &= \frac{a_{20}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
b_{32} &= 0, \\
b_{33} &= 0, \\
b_{34} &= \frac{a_{34}b_{31}}{a_{31}}, \\
b_{42} &= 0, \\
b_{43} &= 0, \\
b_{44} &= \frac{a_{34}b_{41}}{a_{31}}, \\
b_{44} &= \frac{a_{34}b_{41}}{a_{31}}, \\
a_{12}a_{13} &\neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

Case 5.

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{10} &= 0, \\
& \\
a_{11} &= 0, \\
a_{12} &= \frac{2a_{12}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
a_{13} &= 0, \\
a_{14} &= 0, \\
a_{21} &= \frac{-a_{21}a_{31}}{2a_{32}}, \\
a_{22} &= \frac{a_{21}a_{31}}{3a_{32}}, \\
a_{23} &= \frac{-a_{23}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{24} &= \frac{-a_{24}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{31} &= \frac{2a_{12}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
b_{32} &= 0, \\
b_{33} &= 0, \\
b_{34} &= \frac{a_{34}b_{31}}{a_{31}}, \\
b_{42} &= 0, \\
b_{43} &= 0, \\
b_{44} &= \frac{a_{34}b_{41}}{a_{31}}, \\
b_{44} &= \frac{a_{34}b_{41}}{a_{31}}, \\
a_{22}a_{31}a_{34} &\neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

Case 6.

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{10} &= 0, \\
& \\
a_{11} &= 0, \\
a_{12} &= \frac{3a_{13}a_{31}}{2a_{34}}, \\
a_{13} &= 0, \\
a_{14} &= 0, \\
a_{21} &= \frac{-a_{12}a_{32}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{22} &= \frac{2a_{24}a_{32}}{3a_{31}}, \\
a_{23} &= \frac{-a_{23}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{24} &= \frac{-a_{24}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{31} &= \frac{a_{20}a_{32}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{32} &= \frac{2a_{20}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}, \\
a_{33} &= \frac{-a_{33}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
a_{34} &= \frac{-a_{33}a_{34}}{a_{22}}, \\
b_{32} &= 0, \\
b_{33} &= 0, \\
b_{34} &= \frac{3a_{33}b_{31}}{2a_{32}}, \\
b_{42} &= 0, \\
b_{43} &= 0, \\
b_{44} &= \frac{3a_{33}b_{41}}{2a_{32}}, \\
b_{44} &= \frac{3a_{33}b_{41}}{2a_{32}}, \\
a_{32}a_{33} &\neq 0
\end{align*}
\]

where other parameters are arbitrary real constants.
Remark 10. In addition to the above results Cases 1–6, we can also get the same solutions as Cases 1–3 in Section 4.3 when \( \eta_3 = \eta_1 \), respectively.

Step 4. By substituting the parameters \( a_0, a_i, b_i, m_j \) in the Sections 4.1–4.6 into the solution (33) and using transformation (9), we can obtain abundant interaction solutions of Jimbo-Miwa equation (1).

These sets of solutions for the parameters generate 42 classes of combination solutions \( f_i, 1 \leq i \leq 42 \) to the generalized bilinear Jimbo-Miwa equation (13), and then, the resulting combination solutions present 42 classes of interaction solutions \( u_i, 1 \leq i \leq 42 \) to Equation (8) under transformation (9). Therefore, various kinds of interaction solutions could be constructed explicitly this way.

As the example, substituting (38) into (33), we can get \( f \) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
f(x, y, z, t) & = a_0 + \left( a_{10} + a_{12}y + \frac{2a_{13}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}z \right)^4 \\
& \quad + \left( \frac{a_{30} + a_{31}x + a_{32}y + \frac{2a_{33}a_{34}}{3a_{31}}z + a_{34}t}{a_{22}} \right)^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{m_1 e^{\eta_1} + m_1 e^{-\eta_1}}{a_{11}},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\eta_1 = b_{10} + b_{11}x + \frac{b_{11}a_{34}}{a_{31}} t, \quad \eta_2 = b_{10} + b_{11}x + \frac{b_{11}a_{34}}{a_{31}} t.
\]

By using transformation (9), we get the interaction solution between the high-order lump-type solutions and a pair of line-soliton solution of \((3 + 1)\)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation (8)

\[
u(x, y, z, t) = \frac{2f(x, y, z, t)}{f(x, y, z, t)},
\]

where \( f(x, y, z, t) \) is given in (62).

In order to exhibit the dynamical characteristics of these waves, we plot various three-dimensional, contour, and density plots as follows. We choose the following parameters to illustrate interaction solution (64),

\[
a_0 = 0.5, \quad a_{10} = 1, \quad a_{12} = 1, \quad a_{20} = -1, \quad a_{22} = -1, \quad a_{30} = 1,
\]

\[
a_{31} = -2, \quad a_{32} = -2, \quad a_{34} = -10, \quad b_{10} = -1, \quad b_{11} = 1.3, \quad m_1 = 1, z = y.
\]

The physical properties and structures for interaction solution (64) are shown in Figure 2. Figure 2 shows the three-dimensional dynamic graphs \( A_1, B_1, C_1 \), corresponding contour maps \( A_2, B_2, C_2 \), and density plots \( A_3, B_3, C_3 \) in the \((x,y)\)-plane when \( t = -1, 0, 1 \), respectively. The three-dimensional graphs reflect the localized structures, and the density plots show the energy distribution. We can see that the high-order lump-type wave and the exponential function wave react with each other.

When we choose the following parameters and \( t = -2 \), we illustrate interaction solution (64) of \((3 + 1)\)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation (8),

\[
a_0 = 5, \quad a_{10} = 6, \quad a_{12} = 2, \quad a_{20} = 1, \quad a_{22} = -1, \quad a_{30} = 1, \quad a_{31} = 5, \quad a_{32} = 2, \quad a_{34} = -1, \quad b_{10} = -1, \quad b_{11} = 1.5, \quad m_1 = -1, z = y.
\]

The physical properties and structures for interaction solution (64) are shown in Figure 3. Figure 3 shows the three-dimensional dynamic graph \( D_1 \), corresponding contour map \( D_2 \), and density plot \( D_3 \) in the \((x,y)\)-plane, respectively.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we gave the form of rational solution and their interaction solution to NLEE. The rational solution contained lump solution, general lump solution, high-order lump solution, lump-type solution, etc. The general interaction solution contain the classical interaction solution, such as the lump-kink solution and the lump-soliton solution. As the example, by using the generalized bilinear method and symbolic computation Maple, we successfully constructed
Figure 2: Three-dimensional plots, contour plots, and density plots of the wave with parameters (65) at times $t = -1 (A_1, A_2, A_3)$, $t = 0 (B_1, B_2, B_3)$, and $t = 1 (C_1, C_2, C_3)$.

Figure 3: Three-dimensional plot, contour plot, and density plot of the wave with parameters (66) at time $t = -2$. 
the high-order lump-type solutions and their interaction solutions between lumps and other function solutions under certain constraints of (3 + 1)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation. Three-dimensional plots, contour plots, and density plots of these waves are observed in Figures 1–3, respectively. We can find the physical structure and characteristics of the interactions between the high-order lump-type solutions and the exponential function wave.

Many researchers have studied the exact solutions of (3 + 1)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation, such as the generalized solitary solutions [6]; the various travelling wave solutions [7]; and one-soliton, two-soliton and dromion solutions [11], and multiple-soliton solutions [12, 13]; periodic solitary wave solutions [14]; several interaction solutions (the interaction phenomenon between the exponential function, the cosine function, and the hyperbolic cosine function); the interaction phenomenon between the exponential function, the sine function, and the hyperbolic sine function) [20, 21]; lump-type solutions (N = 2, n1 = n2 = 1); lump-kink solution (N = 2, n1 = n2 = 1, M = 1, g1(η1) = eψ); and lump-soliton solution [36–41]

\[ N = 2, n_1 = n_2 = 1, M = 1, g_1(\eta_1) = \cosh \eta_1. \]  

(67)

The obtained new high-order lump-type solutions (16) and their interaction solutions (33) in this paper are different from the lump-type solutions and the interaction solutions in [36–41]. These solutions will greatly expand the exact solutions of (3 + 1)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation on the existing literature [6, 7, 11–14, 20, 21, 36–41, 58]. These results are significant to understand the propagation processes for nonlinear waves in fluid mechanics and the explanation of some special physical problems.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11661060, 11561051), the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region of China (2018LH01013), and the Program for Young Talents of Science and Technology in Universities of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (NYJYT-20-A06).

References

[1] E. G. Fan, “Extended tanh-function method and its applications to nonlinear equations,” Physics Letters A, vol. 277, no. 4–5, pp. 212–218, 2000.

[2] M. L. Wang and X. Z. Li, “Applications of F-expansion to periodic wave solutions for a new Hamiltonian amplitude equation,” Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 1257–1268, 2005.

[3] W. X. Ma, “Generalized bilinear differential equations,” Studies in Nonlinear Sciences, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 140–144, 2011.

[4] X. Lü, W. X. Ma, and C. M. Khalique, “A direct bilinear Backlund transformation of a (2+1)-dimensional Korteweg-de Vries-like model,” Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 50, pp. 37–42, 2015.

[5] L. Kaur and R. K. Gupta, “Kawahara equation and modified Kawahara equation with time dependent coefficients: symmetry analysis and generalized (G'/G) expansion method,” Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, vol. 36, pp. 584–600, 2013.

[6] T. Öziş and I. Aslan, “Exact and explicit solutions to the (3+1)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation via the Exp-function method,” Physics Letters A, vol. 372, no. 47, pp. 7011–7015, 2008.

[7] W. X. Ma and J. H. Lee, “A transformed rational function method and exact solutions to the 3+1 dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation,” Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 1356–1363, 2009.

[8] S. D. Bilige, T. M. Chaolu, and X. M. Wang, “Application of the extended simplest equation method to the coupled Schrödinger-Boussinesq equation,” Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 224, pp. 517–523, 2013.

[9] R. F. Zhang and S. D. Bilige, “Bilinear neural network method to obtain the exact analytical solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations and its application to p-gBKP equation,” Nonlinear Dynamics, vol. 95, no. 4, pp. 3041–3048, 2019.

[10] X. Lü, W. X. Ma, J. Yu, and C. M. Khalique, “Solitary waves with the Madelung fluid description: A generalized derivative nonlinear Schrodinger equation,” Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, vol. 31, no. 1-3, pp. 40–46, 2016.

[11] G. Q. Xu, “The soliton solutions, dromions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili and Jimbo-Miwa equations in (3 + 1)-dimensions,” Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 71–76, 2006.

[12] A. M. Wazwaz, “Multiple-soliton solutions for the Calogero-Bogoyavlenskii-Schiff, Jimbo-Miwa and YTSF equations,” Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 203, no. 2, pp. 592–597, 2008.

[13] A. M. Wazwaz, “Multiple-soliton solutions for extended (3+1)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equations,” Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 64, pp. 21–26, 2017.

[14] J. Manafian, “Novel solitary wave solutions for the (3+1)-dimensional extended Jimbo-Miwa equations,” Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 76, no. 5, pp. 1246–1260, 2018.

[15] X. E. Zhang and Y. Chen, “General high-order rogue waves to nonlinear Schrödinger-Boussinesq equation with the dynamical analysis,” Nonlinear Dynamics, vol. 93, no. 4, pp. 2169–2184, 2018.

[16] Z. S. Lü and Y. N. Chen, “Constructing rogue wave prototypes of nonlinear evolution equations via an extended tanh method,” Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, vol. 81, pp. 218–223, 2015.

[17] H. X. Xu, Z. Y. Yang, L. C. Zhao, L. Duan, and W. L. Yang, “Breathers and solitons on two different backgrounds in a generalized coupled Hirota system with four wave
mixing,” *Physics Letters A*, vol. 382, no. 26, pp. 1738–1744, 2018.

[18] H. H. Dong and Y. F. Zhang, “Exact periodic wave solution of extended (2+1)-dimensional shallow water wave equation with Generalized Dp-operators,” *Communications in Theoretical Physics*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 401–405, 2015.

[19] J. G. Liu and Y. He, “New periodic solitary wave solutions for the (3+1)-dimensional generalized shallow water equation,” *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 363–369, 2017.

[20] R. F. Zhang and S. D. Bilige, “New interaction phenomenon and the periodic lump wave for the Jimbo-Miwa equation,” *Modern Physics Letters B*, vol. 33, no. 6, article 1950067, 2019.

[21] R. F. Zhang, S. D. Bilige, T. Fang, and T. M. Chaolu, “New periodic wave, cross-kink wave and the interaction phenomenon for the Jimbo-Miwa-like equation,” *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 754–764, 2019.

[22] L. Kaur and A. M. Wazwaz, “Optical solitons for perturbed Gerdjikov-Ivanov equation,” *Optik*, vol. 174, pp. 447–451, 2018.

[23] L. Kaur and A. M. Wazwaz, “Bright–dark optical solitons for Schrödinger–Hirota equation with variable coefficients,” *Optik*, vol. 179, pp. 479–484, 2018.

[24] J. Satsuma and M. J. Ablowitz, “Two-dimensional lumps in nonlinear dispersive systems,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 1496–1503, 1979.

[25] W. X. Ma, “Lump solutions to the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation,” *Physics Letters A*, vol. 379, no. 36, pp. 1975–1978, 2015.

[26] W. X. Ma and Y. Zhou, “Lump solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations via Hirota bilinear forms,” *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 264, no. 4, pp. 2633–2659, 2018.

[27] W. X. Ma, Z. Y. Qin, and X. Lü, “Lump solutions to dimensionally reduced $p$-gKP and $p$-gBKP equations,” *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 923–931, 2016.

[28] J. Y. Yang, W. X. Ma, and Z. Y. Qin, “Lump and lump-soliton solutions to the (2+1)-dimensional Ito equation,” *Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 427–436, 2016.

[29] S. T. Chen and W. X. Ma, “Lump solutions to a generalized Bogoyavlensky-Konopelchenko equation,” *Frontiers of Mathematics in China*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 525–534, 2018.

[30] B. Ren, W. X. Ma, and J. Yu, “Rational solutions and their interaction solutions of the (2+1)-dimensional modified dispersive water wave equation,” *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 77, no. 8, pp. 2086–2095, 2019.

[31] R. Sadat, M. Kassem, and W. X. Ma, “Abundant lump-type solutions and interaction solutions for a nonlinear (3+1) dimensional model,” *Advances in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 2018, Article ID 9178480, 8 pages, 2018.

[32] Y. Zhou, S. Manukure, and W. X. Ma, “Lump and lump-soliton solutions to the Hirota-Satsuma-Ito equation,” *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 68, pp. 56–62, 2019.

[33] L. Kaur and A. M. Wazwaz, “Lump, breather and solitary wave solutions to new reduced form of the generalized BKP equation,” *International Journal of Numerical Methods for Heat Fluid Flow*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 569–579, 2019.

[34] L. Kaur and A. M. Wazwaz, “Dynamical analysis of lump solutions for (3 + 1) dimensional generalized KP–CBoussinesq equation and its dimensionally reduced equations,” *Physica Scripta*, vol. 93, no. 7, article 075203, 2018.

[35] L. Kaur and A. M. Wazwaz, “Bright-dark lump wave solutions for a new form of the (3+1)-dimensional BKP-Boussinesq equation,” *Romanian Reports in Physics*, vol. 71, no. 1, p. 102, 2019.

[36] W. X. Ma, “Lump-type solutions to the (3+1)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation,” *International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 17, no. 7–8, pp. 355–359, 2016.

[37] S. Batwa and W. X. Ma, “A study of lump-type and interaction solutions to a (3+1)-dimensional Jimbo–Miwa-like equation,” *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 76, no. 7, pp. 1576–1582, 2018.

[38] X. E. Zhang and Y. Chen, “Rogue wave and a pair of resonance stripe solitons to a reduced (3+1)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation,” *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 52, pp. 24–31, 2017.

[39] H. Q. Sun and A. H. Chen, “Lump and lump-kink solutions of the (3+1)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa and two extended Jimbo-Miwa equations,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 68, pp. 55–61, 2017.

[40] Y. H. Wang, H. Wang, H. H. Dong, H. S. Zhang, and T. M. Chaolu, “Interaction solutions for a reduced (3+1)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation,” *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 92, no. 2, pp. 487–497, 2018.

[41] X. L. Yong, X. J. Li, and Y. H. Huang, “General lump-type solutions of the (3+1)-dimensional Jimbo-Miwa equation,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 86, pp. 222–228, 2018.

[42] W. X. Ma, “Abundant lumps and their interaction solutions of (3+1)-dimensional linear PDEs,” *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, vol. 133, pp. 10–16, 2018.

[43] J. G. Liu, “Lump-type solutions and interaction solutions for the (2+1)-dimensional generalized fifth-order KdV equation,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 86, pp. 36–41, 2018.

[44] J. G. Liu, “Collisions between lump and soliton solutions,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 92, pp. 184–189, 2019.

[45] X. Lü, S. T. Chen, and W. X. Ma, “Constructing lump solutions to a generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-Boussinesq equation,” *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 86, no. 1, pp. 523–534, 2016.

[46] X. Lü, J. P. Wang, F. H. Lin, and X. W. Zhou, “Lump dynamics of a generalized two-dimensional Boussinesq equation in shallow water,” *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 1249–1259, 2018.

[47] M. Foroutan, J. Manafian, and A. Ranjbaran, “Lump solution and its interaction to (3+1)-D potential–YTSF equation,” *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 92, no. 4, pp. 2077–2092, 2018.

[48] T. Fang and Y. H. Wang, “Interaction solutions for a dimensionally reduced Hirota bilinear equation,” *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 76, no. 6, pp. 1476–1485, 2018.

[49] M. Zhang, H. H. Dong, X. E. Zhang, and H. W. Yang, “Rational solutions and lump solutions to the generalized (3+1)-dimensional shallow water-like equation,” *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 73, no. 2, pp. 246–252, 2017.

[50] T. Fang, H. Wang, Y. H. Wang, and W. X. Ma, “High-order lump-type solutions and their interaction solutions to a (3+1)-dimensional nonlinear evolution equation,” *Communications in Theoretical Physics*, vol. 71, no. 8, pp. 927–934, 2019.

[51] J. Q. Lu and S. D. Bilige, “Lump solutions of a (2+1)-dimensional bSK equation,” *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 2119–2124, 2017.
[52] J. Q. Lü, S. D. Bilige, and T. M. Chaolu, “The study of lump solution and interaction phenomenon to (2 + 1)-dimensional generalized fifth-order kdv equation,” Nonlinear Dynamics, vol. 91, no. 3, article 3972, pp. 1669–1676, 2018.

[53] R. F. Zhang, S. B. Bilige, Y. X. Bai, J. Q. Lü, and X. Q. Gao, “Interaction phenomenon to dimensionally reduced p-gBKP equation,” Modern Physics Letters B, vol. 32, no. 6, article 1850074, 2018.

[54] X. Q. Gao, S. D. Bilige, J. Q. Lü, Y. X. Bai, R. F. Zhang, and T. M. Chaolu, “Abundant lump solutions and interaction solutions of a (3+1)-D Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation,” Thermal Science, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 2437–2445, 2019.

[55] J. Q. Lü and S. D. Bilige, “The study of lump solution and interaction phenomenon to (2+1)-dimensional potential Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation,” Analysis and Mathematical Physics, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1497–1509, 2019.

[56] J. Q. Lü, S. D. Bilige, and X. Q. Gao, “Abundant lump solution and interaction phenomenon of (3+1)-dimensional generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation,” International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 33–40, 2019.

[57] J. Q. Lü and S. D. Bilige, “Diversity of interaction solutions to the (3+1)-dimensional Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-Boussinesq-like equation,” Modern Physics Letters B, vol. 32, no. 26, article 1850311, 2018.

[58] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, “Solitons and infinite dimensional Lie algebras,” Publications of Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences Kyoto University, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 943–1001, 1983.

[59] W. X. Ma, “Bilinear equations, Bell polynomials and linear superposition principle,” Journal of physics Conference series, vol. 411, article 012021, 2013.