Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) pathway for primary hip and knee arthroplasty: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial
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Abstract

Background: With the substantially growing trend of the aging populations in China and the rest of the world, the number of total hip and total knee arthroplasty (THA and TKA) cases are increasing dramatically. It’s important to develop practical strategies to improve the quality of healthcare and better outcome for patients undergoing THA and TKA. Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) pathways have been reported to promote earlier recovery and be beneficial for patients. We propose the hypothesis that ERAS pathway could provide better recovery for patients undergoing primary THA or TKA.

Methods/Design: This trial is a prospective, open-labelled, randomized controlled trial that will evaluate the length of stay (LOS) in hospital, and other end points of interest for the patients undergoing ERAS pathway as compared to current non-ERAS clinical practice. A total of 640 patients undergoing primary THA or TKA will be randomly allocated to either ERAS pathway (ERAS group) or conventional care according to individual participating center (non-ERAS group). The primary outcome is the total LOS in hospital, the secondary outcomes include Postoperative LOS, all-cause mortality by 30 days after operation, in-hospital complications, early mobilization, postoperative pain control, total in-hospital cost, and readmission rate by 30 days after discharge from the hospital. Discussion: This trial is designed to evaluate the superiority of the ERAS pathway to conventional non-ERAS clinical practice in reducing the LOS without increasing the incidence of complications or medical cost. The results will provide new insight into the clinical applications of ERAS pathway for total hip and total knee arthroplasty. Trial registration: The National Institutes of Health Clinical Trials Registry, NCT03517098. Registered 04, May, 2018.

https://register.clinicaltrials.gov/prs/app/action/ SelectProtocol? sid=S0007YV7&selectaction=Edit&uid=U0001B4E&ts=2&cx=97eyz9
Background

Total joint arthroplasty is the definitive treatment for end-stage osteoarthritis of the hip and knee. The number of the cases increased significantly due to fast growing ageing populations around the world. It was reported that 0.33 million total hip arthroplasty (THA) and 0.7 million total knee arthroplasty (TKA) were performed in the United States annually, and the demand for the procedures were estimated to 0.57 million and 3.48 million per year in 2030, respectively [1]. It’s important to establish practical strategies to improve the quality of healthcare, achieve earlier recovery and better outcome for the patients undergoing THA and TKA, meanwhile, facilitate to reduce the heavy healthcare related economic burden associated with the increasing number of the procedures.

Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) is proposed as a series of evidence-based perioperative optimizations with multidisciplinary approach to reduce surgical stress and accelerate postoperative recovery [2]. Following the general guidelines, different ERAS pathways have been reported to reduce the morbidity, save cost, promote faster recovery, and achieve the clinical and economic gain in colorectal [3], thoracic [4], and orthopedic surgeries [5]. Regional anesthesia is recommended for ERAS because it provides reliable analgesia and little disturbance on hemodynamics in published literatures[2,3,4,6]. However, for patients undergoing THA and TKA, epidural or spinal anesthesia is frequently associated with prolonged indwelling urinary catheter even in cases of surgeries with short duration and low blood loss, and furthermore, the femoral or sciatic nerve block may weaken the lower limb muscle strength, leading to delayed mobilization.

With application of short-acting opioid analgesic remifentanil, and anesthetic agents including propofol, sevoflurane, or desflurane, rapid emergence from general anesthesia could be achieved without weakened muscle strength [7]. Based on the pharmacological
characteristics of these agents, we propose the hypothesis that general anesthesia based ERAS with the use of short-acting agents and without the nerve block or intrathecal analgesia could provide better outcomes than current clinical practice.

In this trial, we develop a ERAS pathway in compare to the conventional care group for patients undergoing primary THA and TKA. The outcomes of interest are the length of stay (LOS) in hospital, postoperative complications, as well as the hospitalization cost. The aim of this trial is to scrutinize our hypothesis that ERAS could provide reduced LOS without increasing complications and in-hospital cost when compare with the current clinical practice.

Methods/design

Trial Design

The ERAS trial (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov, registration number: NCT03517098) is a prospective, randomized controlled trial that test the superiority of ERAS pathway to current clinical practice in term of reduction of LOS. It will be conducted under the regulations of the Declaration of Helsinki. Following the CONSORT statement (http://www.consort-statement.org/), a brief flow diagram of the ERAS trial is summarized in Figure 1, and a checklist of Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) is provided in Figure 2.

This trial is supported by grant from Key research and development (R&D) Program of Science & Technology Department of Sichuan Province (2019YFS0224) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81502722). There is no conflict of interests in the whole process of study execution. A training manual will be produced to educate and train the study team, including orthopedic surgeons, anesthesiologists, nurses and clinical research coordinators before starting the trial.

Fig. 1 Flowchart for participant eligibility, interventions, assessments and follow-up
Fig. 2 Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) figure
(numbers beside t represent days)

Sample size calculation

Our primary hypothesis is that the application of the ERAS pathway would reduce the length of stay (LOS) in hospital when compared to the current non-ERAS practice in total joint arthroplasty (TJA). According to retrospective analysis of LOS of in hospital of TJA in 2014 (when ERAS pathway was just proposed) and 2016 (ERAS pathway was applied in about 50% of patients) in Department of Orthopedics, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, the mean LOS of THA were 10±1.5 days in 2014 and 8.6 ±1.1 days in 2016, and the mean LOS of TKA were 12.1±2.1 days in 2014 and 8.9 ±1.5 days in 2017, respectively. Assuming the difference between two groups at a 5% significance level and a power of 0.90, 17 patients in each group for THA, and 7 patients in each group for THA are required for a comparison within the group. Considering an estimated 20% dropout rate, there should be 42 patients in each group for THA and 20 patients in each group for TKA, and totally 62 patients are required in this study [8]. For a better application of the ERAS pathway in orthopedic surgeon and anesthesiologist, we increase the sample size to 160 subjects in each group for THA and TKA, and a total of 640 subjects will be included.

Recruitment

A total of 640 patients undergoing elective arthroplasty, 320 in THA and 320 in TKA, will be enrolled at West China Hospital of Sichuan University.

Randomization and blinding

We will use the Central Randomization System (CRS) to screen and randomize the subjects. According to the sequence of time that the subjects are enrolled, after entering the screen number and the subject’s information, the randomized number and allocated group could be retrieved on the website of the CRS. While the subjects, the staffs who are
responsible for follow-up, and the statisticians will be blinded to the treatment assignment, the investigators, research assistants, and the responsible physicians will not be blinded.

Study organization

The implementation of the study, the data completeness and accuracy will be supervised by Department of Anesthesiology, West China Hospital. Dr. Ren Liao will be the alert personnel of serious complications. The data safety and monitoring board will be involved for the entire duration of the trial and responsible for data monitoring. There are no stop rules in this study, and no preliminary analysis will be performed before the completion of the study.

Enrollment criteria

Inclusion criteria

Patients undergoing primary TKA and THA, with both genders.

Age above 18 years.

Able to communicate.

Exclusion criteria

Refuse to sign consent.

Pregnancy or lactating female patients

History or family history of malignant hyperthermia.

Known allergy to propofol, desflurane or any other anesthetic agent.

History of substance abuse.

History of postoperative delirium.

Impairment of cognitive function or communication.

Psychopathy.

Active participation in another trial where the primary endpoint follow-up is ongoing.
Unwillingness or inability to comply with protocol procedures.

Interventions

The ERAS group: Patients will be treated according to the ERAS pathway, which is elaborated below.

ERAS pathway for orthopedic surgeons:

(1) Preoperative fasting time: 8 hours for consumption of fats and protein food, 6 hours for starchy food or non-human milk, and 2 hours for clear liquids before operation.

(2) Intravenous 20mg/kg of tranexamic acid will be given 15 minutes prior to incision.

(3) No indwelling urine catheters.

(4) No tourniquet used for TKA.

(5) No drainage tube after surgery.

(6) Give low molecular heparin subcutaneously 6 hours after the operation.

ERAS pathway for anesthesiologists:

(1) Before anesthesia induction, ECG, noninvasive blood pressure, pulse oximetry, and capnogram will be continuously monitored for every patient.

(2) Intravenous 10 mg of dexamethasone immediately before anesthesia induction.

(3) Anesthesia will be induced with 0~0.2μg/kg of sufentanil or 0~2μg/kg of fentanyl, 0.3mg/kg (for THA) or 0.15mg/kg (for TKA) of cis-atracurium, and 1mg/kg of propofol.

Endotracheal intubation of insertion or laryngeal mask will be performed when the BIS value decreased to 50.

(4) Anesthesia will be maintained by titrating continuous remifentanil infusion at range of 0.15-0.3 μg/kg.min with continuous infusion of propofol of 2~4mg/kg/hr, or keeping end-tidal desflurane (Et-Des) level at 5 – 7% or sevoflurane (Et-Sev) level at 1.5 – 2.5%. The BIS value will be kept between 40 to 60 during the procedure.

(5) Incision site will be infiltrated with 40-50ml of 0.2% ropivacaine at the end of
operation for postoperative analgesia, and no patient controlled intravenous analgesia
devices will be applied post-operatively.

The non-ERAS (Control) group: Patients undergoing THA or TKA will receive conventional
care according to the individual participating center. There is no standard protocol for pre-
operative management, including the fasting guidelines, no restriction of choices of
anesthetic techniques and intra-operative medications, as well as postoperative analgesia,
and indwelling urinary catheter.

For all the subjects enrolled in the study, no matter which group they are allocated, the
decisions regarding whether or not to place the urinary catheter or drainage tube, or to
use tourniquet, or to perform any other medical treatment should be made by the
individual responsible physician, based on his clinical judgment. For example, if a patient
is allocated to ERAS group, but he develops urinary retention peri-operatively, as the
result, the urinary catheter could be retained. In such case, we will make a record, and
the patient will still be followed up and his data will be collected and analyzed in ERAS
group as per other subject in the same group.

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

The primary outcome is Length of stay (LOS) in hospital, which is defined as time frame
from the day of hospital admission to discharge from the hospital (unit: days).

Secondary outcomes

Postoperative LOS, which is defined as time frame from the day of operation to discharge
from the hospital (unit: days).

All-cause mortality by 30 days after operation.

In-hospital complications, which are divided into five grades:

Gradel ⅠRecovery after temporary treatment, e.g., postoperative nausea and vomiting
(PONV), postoperative anxiety, insomnia.

Grade II—Prolonged hospitalization, e.g., pulmonary infection requiring antibiotics or other treatment, surgical wound infection requiring wound debridement.

Grade III—Life threatening complications requiring intense treatment during hospitalization, and resulting in good functional recovery e.g., dialysis therapy for acute renal insufficiency, mechanical ventilatory support for respiratory failure, or postoperative bleeding requiring re-operation.

Grade IV—Life threatening complications resulting in significantly decreased quality of life, e.g., myocardial infarction, stroke that left with paralytic limbs.

Grade V—All-cause mortality by 30 days after operation, which is defined as a secondary outcome.

Mobilization time, which is defined as the time frame from the end of operation to able to walk without external assistance (unit: hours).

Numerical rating scales (NRS) scores at rest during 3 days after operation.

NRS scores during mobilization or physical therapy during 3 days after operation.

Postoperative sufentanil or other analgesics requirement during 3 days after operation.

Total in-hospital cost.

Readmission rate by 30 days after discharge from the hospital.

Statistical analysis

An intent-to-treat analysis will be performed to analyze all primary and secondary outcomes by using SPSS18.0 software (Statistic Package for Social Science, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The demographic data (e.g. the primary outcome of LOS, the secondary outcome of postoperative LOS, and total in-hospital cost) and other basic indicators (i.e. age, weight, body mass index, surgical time, ect.) will be analyzed to test the distributions of the data. Demographic data with normal distribution are presented as mean ± standard
deviation and compared by the Student-t test. Median (range) and Wilcoxon test will be used for skewed data. The incidences of in-hospital complications and readmission rate by 30 days after discharge from the hospital will be analyzed by Chi-square test. NRS scores will be analyzed by the Mann–Whitney test. Postoperative sufentanil or other analgesics requirement will be analyzed by Z test. P <0.05 is considered to be statistically significant.

For the primary outcome, we will perform subgroup analysis based on group differences. Subgroups are defined by age (<65, ≥65), gender (Male, Female), and ASA class (I–II, III or higher). Analyses was performed for each subgroup in a similar way to the primary analysis. Forest plots were draw based on the odds ratios and corresponding 95% confidence intervals.

Quality Control

The principal investigator, study coordinators, and the Office of Scientific Research at West China Hospital are jointly responsible for all aspects of the study protocol and relevant amendments. Dr. Ren Liao, associated professor of Department of Anesthesiology, West China Hospital, will be responsible for site monitoring. Data collection and follow-up will be performed by clinical research coordinators. The data safety and monitoring board, including an orthopedic surgeon, an anesthesiologist, a statistician, a physician, and a member from the Office of Scientific Research who are not unrelated to this trial, will review all investigational data for accuracy and completeness periodically to ensure protocol compliance.

Discussion

The concept of ERAS, or fast-track surgery, was introduced in 1997 by Dr. Henrik Kehlet [9], a gastrointestinal surgeon who won 2014 Excellence in Research Award by the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) for his outstanding contributions to
anesthesiology by the creation of ERAS. A number of literatures, including clinical studies [10-12], reviews [6,13,14], and meta-analysis [4,15,16] demonstrated that compared with conventional treatment, implementation of ERAS protocol could be associated with reduced length of stay in hospital, decreased morbidity, attenuated stress, less in-hospital cost, and accelerated recovery in various types of surgery during perioperative period. ERAS is a pathway involving multidisciplinary efforts including surgery, anesthesia, psychology, nutrition, and nursing support. The outcomes of ERAS pathway are affected by multiple aspects, and anesthesia is just one of them. However, anesthesia does play an important role as it impacts the short-term recovery, patient satisfaction, in-hospital complications such as the incidences of postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD) and PONV [17,18], in some cases, even long-term outcomes [19,20]. In this study, we develop an ERAS pathway with the emphasis of minimizing the residual effects of anesthetics by using short-acting agents such as remifentanil, propofol, or desflurane, so as to achieve rapid recovery. This combination regimen has been reported to facilitate early recovery without increasing PONV and pain [21]. To prevent the fast onset of postoperative pain because of the cessation of intra-operative remifentanil infusion, generous wound infiltration with 0.2% ropivacaine will be given, in order to avoid the use of long-acting opioids and facilitate early recovery.

By conducting this study, we hope we could introduce the concept of ERAS to surgeons, anesthesiologists, nurses, and other allied-health professionals. The ERAS pathway requires contributions from all of them. As the comparison group, there’s no specific protocol regarding the conventional standard of care in each center, because we don’t need to set up a standard “conventional” process to adjust their clinical practice only to make a comparison group with uniform standards. In contrast, for the ERAS group, the pathway is set up strictly with considerations of various aspects. Therefore, the
implementation of this study requires deep understanding of ERAS and good collaboration of among surgeons, anesthesiologists, ward nurses, and other allied-health professionals. With the hypothesis that ERAS could reduce the LOS while not increasing complications and in-hospital cost when compared with the current clinical practice, we also focus on whether the ERAS pathway could improve the patient’s quality of life, or save medical resources. The outcomes setting is to reflect these areas of interest. The primary outcome is LOS in hospital, which is affected by multiple factors such as preoperative preparation, optimization of patient’s pre-morbidities, peri-operative anesthetic management, and in-hospital postoperative complications etc., it is an important index relevant to both patient’s quality of life and medical cost. In addition, Hospital LOS is not only one of the most concerned outcomes by physicians, but also an index that governments of different countries are attempting to reduce in order to decrease health care cost [22]. Secondary outcomes including 30-day mortality, incidence of in-hospital complications, NRS for postoperative pain, total in-hospital cost, and 30-day readmission rate are all related to our hypothesis. Moreover, we divided the in-hospital complications into five grades to elaborate the severity of complications with clear statement.

In summary, this trial is designed to test the hypothesis that ERAS pathway could be superior to conventional clinical practice in reducing the LOS without increasing of incidence of complications or medical cost.

Trial status

The protocol version number is V2.0, and the time of this version is 26 January, 2019. The anticipated date of recruitment begins is 1 August, 2019, and recruitment is expected to be completed in December, 2021.
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Figures
Figure 1

Flowchart for participant eligibility, interventions, assessments and follow-up
|                      | Enrollment | Allocation | Post-allocation | Closeout |
|----------------------|------------|------------|-----------------|----------|
| **Time point***      | -\(t_1\)  | 0          | \(t_1\)         | \(t_{1+3}\) | \(t_{1+30}\) | \(t_x\)  |
| **ENROLLMENT:**      |            |            |                 |          |          |          |
| Eligibility screen   | ×          |            |                 |          |          |          |
| Informed consent     | ×          |            |                 |          |          |          |
| Allocation           |            |            | ×                |          |          |          |
| **INTERVENTIONS:**   |            |            |                 |          |          |          |
| Intervention         |            |            |                 |          |          |          |
| D-ERAS group         |            |            |                 |          |          |          |
| Control              |            |            |                 |          |          |          |
| Non-ERAS group       |            |            |                 |          |          |          |
| **ASSESSMENTS:**     |            |            |                 |          |          |          |
| Baseline variables   |            |            | ×                |          |          |          |
| Post-intervention    |            |            | ×                | ×        | ×        | ×        |
| and follow-up variables |        |            |                 |          |          |          |

Figure 2

Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT)

*figure (numbers beside \(t\) represent days)*
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