MicroRNA-34a-5p expression in the plasma and in its extracellular vesicle fractions in subjects with Parkinson's disease: An exploratory study
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Abstract. Parkinson's disease (PD) is an important disabling age-related disorder and is the second most common neurodegenerative disease. Currently, no established molecular biomarkers exist for the early diagnosis of PD. Circulating microRNAs (miRNAs), either vesicle-free or encapsulated in extracellular vesicles (EVs), have emerged as potential blood-based biomarkers also for neurodegenerative diseases. In this exploratory study, we focused on miR-34a-5p because of its well-documented involvement in neurobiology. To explore a differential profile of circulating miR-34a-5p in PD, PD patients and age-matched control subjects were enrolled. Serial ultracentrifugation steps and density gradient were used to separate EV subpopulations from plasma according to their different sedimentation properties (Large, Medium, Small EVs). Characterization of EV types was performed using western blotting and atomic force microscopy (AFM); purity from protein contaminants was checked with the colorimetric nanoplasmonic assay. Circulating miR-34a-5p levels were evaluated using qPCR in plasma and in each EV type. miR-34a-5p was significantly up-regulated in small EVs devoid of exogenous protein contaminants (pure SEVs) from PD patients and ROC analysis indicated a good diagnostic performance in discriminating patients from controls (AUc=0.74, P<0.05). Moreover, miR-34a-5p levels in pure SEVs were associated with disease duration, Hoehn and Yahr and Beck Depression Inventory scores. These results underline the necessity to examine the miRNA content of each EV subpopulation to identify miRNA candidates with potential diagnostic value and lay the basis for future studies to validate the overexpression of circulating miR-34a-5p in PD via the use of pure SEVs.

Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disease worldwide affecting 1% of the population that is over 60 years of age. The main pathological feature is the progressive loss of dopaminergic neurons of the substantia nigra in the mid-brain region. PD is characterized clinically by several motor signs, including bradykinesia, rigidity, tremor, and postural instability, but also by non-motor symptoms such as dementia and sleep and mood disorders. The intraneuronal accumulation and misfolding of α-synuclein is a well-characterized mechanism that leads to dopaminergic neurotoxicity (1-3). It is known that PD onset is strongly associated with age and its clinical diagnosis is difficult, in particular during the earlier stages of the disease, when the risk of misdiagnosis between PD and other neurodegenerative diseases is high. For this reason, the identification of relevant early molecular biomarkers is of paramount importance to allow an accurate diagnosis of the disease.
Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are nanoparticles that are released in systemic circulation by most cell types. Their role in cell-to-cell communication has been widely documented and they have been implicated in several pathological conditions, including neurodegenerative diseases (4,5). Three main EV types have been characterized according to their biogenesis: Apoptotic bodies, microvesicles and exosomes. However, the most recent guidelines highlight that these traditional definitions do not take into account the overlapping size range, similar morphology and variable composition of such EV subpopulations. Thus, a more appropriate nomenclature is emerging in literature, based on the classification of EVs by multiple parameters, such as size, density, protein composition, lipid content, RNA and DNA cargo, morphology, description of conditions and cell origin (6). EVs are stable and easily detectable in different body fluids and their content has become of interest for the development of EV-based molecular biomarkers for neurodegenerative diseases, including PD (7).

Indeed, EV cargo is characterized by a repertoire of molecules that can be precisely isolated and their alteration may be associated with disease development and progression.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are members of EV cargo molecules and are small RNA molecules (18-22 nt) that mainly act as negative regulators of gene expression. They generally target the 3'UTR of mRNA by inducing the block of translation or its degradation. miRNAs are present in high amounts in EVs and different miRNA profiles in exosomes have been associated with pathological conditions. Gui et al developed a miRNA profiling strategy for exosomal miRNAs isolated from cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of patients with PD (8). Exosomes were isolated from CSF using an ultracentrifugation method and, among the miRNA examined, miR-1 and miR-19b-3p were downregulated; while miR-153, miR-409-3p, miR-10a-5p and let-7g-3p were overexpressed in PD CSF exosomes. In recent studies, Cao et al and Yao et al reported a differential expression of given miRs in exosomes isolated by a commercial kit from the serum and plasma, respectively, of PD patients versus healthy individuals. Cao et al reported the downregulation of miR-19b and the overexpression of miR-195 and miR-24 (9), while Yao et al showed the differential expression of miR-331-5p and miR-505 (10).

Generally, many studies highlighted the different levels of selected miRNAs present in EVs indicating their role as possible molecular biomarkers. However, technical issues, including different protocols for EV isolation and optimization of methodologies to characterize profiles with low quantities of RNA, often make the characterization of the EV-RNA content inconsistent. According to the ISEV position article on the classification of EVs (3)(4), careful tube transportation was ensured to avoid unnecessary agitation. For this purpose, a box maintaining blood tubes in a steady vertical position was used. Plasma-EDETA (plasma) was centrifuged at 800 x g for 10 min (5804R Eppendorf Centrifuge, A-4-44 rotor, 15 ml tubes), 2,500 x g for 15 min and then centrifuged a second time at 2,500 x g for 15 min. All centrifugations steps were made at room temperature with low acceleration and avoiding application of the centrifuge brake. After each centrifugation plasma was collected in a fresh plastic tube, leaving 1 cm of plasma above the buffy layer so as not to disturb it (19). Plasma was finally transferred into cryo-vials in 1 ml aliquots and stored at -80°C.

**Materials and methods**

**Clinicopathological features of subjects enrolled in this study.** Peripheral blood was obtained from PD patients (n=15; Neurology Unit, Civil Hospitals of Brescia, Italy) and control subjects (n=14; Geriatrics Unit, Civil Hospitals of Brescia, Italy). The study was approved by the ethics committee of Civil Hospitals of Brescia on 8th June 2016. Informed consent was obtained from all the subjects enrolled in the study.

The PD patients were male, with an age range of 70-92 years. Control subjects were age- and sex-matched to PD patients and they had no evidence of PD, parkinsonism or dementia. Clinical characteristics are reported in Table 1 for controls and PD patients. Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) and modified Hoehn and Yahr scale were used to evaluate PD symptoms (14,15). Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) was used for the evaluation of depression of PD patients. Conventional cut-off values used were: 0-9 for normal range, 10-18 for mild to moderate depression, 19-29 for moderate to severe depression, and 30-63 for severe depression (16).

**Plasma pre-analytical processing.** Plasma is the most suitable medium to extract blood physiological EVs because their total amount is not influenced by platelet-derived EVs released upon clot formation. We used samples containing EDTA, avoiding heparin based anticoagulants that may interfere further analyses (17,18). Blood samples were processed within 2 h from the withdrawal and kept at room temperature. Careful tube transportation was ensured to avoid unnecessary agitation. For this purpose, a box maintaining blood tubes in a steady vertical position was used. Plasma-EDETA (plasma) was centrifuged at 800 x g for 10 min (5804R Eppendorf Centrifuge, A-4-44 rotor, 15 ml tubes), 2,500 x g for 15 min and then centrifuged a second time at 2,500 x g for 15 min. All centrifugation steps were made at room temperature with low acceleration and avoiding application of the centrifuge brake. After each centrifugation plasma was collected in a fresh plastic tube, leaving 1 cm of plasma above the buffy layer so as not to disturb it (19). Plasma was finally transferred into cryo-püler in 1 ml aliquots and stored at -80°C.

**EV preparations from plasma of controls and PD subjects.** All relevant data of the experiments have been submitted to the EV-TRACK knowledge base (EV-TRACK ID: EV2000311) (20). Serial ultracentrifugation steps were: For each subject 4 vials of 1 ml each of plasma were thawed and pooled. Pooled plasma (3 ml) was then aliquoted in 3 Eppendorf tubes, 1 ml each. One tube of 1 ml aliquot was left at 4°C until further processing.
Two tubes of 1 ml aliquot were processed, in parallel, with serial centrifugation steps as previously described (21). Briefly, 1 ml plasma was centrifuged at 800 x g for 30 min (5417 c Beckman). The 800 x g centrifugation step allows sedimentation of LEVs, the 16,000 x g step allows us to pellet MEVs, while the 100,000 x g ultracentrifugation enriches SEVs. Pellets were washed with 1 ml sterile H2O (Milli-Q; Merck Millipore) and diluted 1:10 in H2O. SEV pellets and gradient fractions were resuspended in 50 µl sterile H2O (Milli-Q; Merck Millipore) and ultracentrifuged (100,000 x g for 2 h at 4˚C). Twelve fractions with equal volumes (400 µl) were collected from the top of the gradient and ultracentrifugation (100,000 x g for 2 h at 4˚C).

Discontinuous sucrose gradient was carried out as follows: SEV pellet, obtained as described above, was further processed adapting the protocols developed in previous studies (22-23) to obtain pure SEVs. Briefly, SEVs were re-suspended in 1,000 µl buffer A (10 mM Tris-HCl 250 mM sucrose, pH 7.4), loaded on top of a discontinuous sucrose gradient 15% (600 µl), 20%, buffer A (10 mM Tris-Hcl 250 mM sucrose, pH 7.4), and centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 45 min (5417 c Beckman Centrifuge, 45-30-11 rotor, 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes, 1 ml each tube). Supernatant (1 ml) was transferred to a new tube and centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 45 min (5417 c Beckman Centrifuge, 45-30-11 rotor, 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes, 1 ml each tube). Finally, supernatant (1 ml) was transferred to an appropriate tube and centrifuged at 100,000 x g for 2 h (Optima MAX, TLA-55 rotor, 1.5 ml polypropylene microfuge tube, Beckman). The 800 x g centrifugation step sediments protein contaminants applying the cOlorimetric NANoplasmonic (cONAN) assay, which exploits the nanoplasmonic properties of colloidal gold nanoparticles (32). LEV, MEV and SEV pellets and gradient fractions were resuspended in 50 µl sterile H2O (Milli-Q, Merck Millipore). Ten µl of reducing sample buffer (480 mM Tris pH 6.8, 12% SDS, 45% glycerin, 0.06% bromophenol blue and 12% of β-mercaptoethanol) were added to samples and they were boiled for 5 min at 95˚C. Then, 20 µl of each sample were electrophoresed, transferred to membrane and developed with antibodies. The following antibodies (1:500 dilution) were used to characterize EVs (26,27): mouse anti-Flotillin 1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, clone C-2, sc-74566), mouse anti-Annexin V (Santa-Cruz Biotechnology, clone H-3, sc-74438), rabbit anti-ADAM10 (Origene, AP05830PU-N), mouse anti-CD81 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, clone B11, sc-166029), mouse anti-CD63 (Millipore, clone RFAC4, CBL553), mouse anti-Alix (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, clone 2H12, sc-53539), mouse anti-TSG101 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, clone C-2, sc-7964), rabbit anti-Actinin-4 (Genetex, clone N2C1, GTX113115). Mouse anti-GM130 (Cis-Golgi protein diluted 1:250 (BD Transduction, clone 35/130, 610822) and rabbit anti-APOA1 diluted at 1:700 (Thermo Scientific Fisher, 701239) were used as negative controls.

Table I. Clinical features of subjects enrolled in this study.

| Parameters                  | Controls | PD patients |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------------|
| No. of subjects enrolled    | 14       | 15          |
| Sex                         |          |             |
| Male                        | 14       | 15          |
| Female                      | 0        | 0           |
| Age, years                  | 78.5±7.3 | 75.7±3.0    |
| Age at onset, years         | -        | 69.5±4.4    |
| Duration of disease, years  | -        | 5.1±2.9     |
| H and Y stage               | -        | 2.2±0.6     |
| UPDRS I                     |          | 10.5±3.2    |
| UPDRS II                    |          | 7.3±6.3     |
| UPDRS III                   |          | 21.7±6.8    |
| BDI score                   |          | 10.4±7.6    |
| Levodopa dose, mg/day       |          | 312.5±236.6 |

Data are expressed as mean ± SD. H and Y, Hoehn and Yahr stage. UPDRS, unified Parkinson’s disease rating scale. BDI, Beck Depression Inventory.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) imaging and size distribution. AFM imaging and image analysis were performed adapting protocols developed in previous studies (28-30). Briefly, the LEV, MEV and SEV pellets and the gradient fractions positive to EV markers (from 5 to 9, collected together, nominated Pure SEV) were resuspended in 50 µl sterile H2O (Milli-Q; Merck Millipore) and diluted 1:10 in H2O. Five µl of each sample were then spotted onto freshly cleaved mica sheets (Grade V-1, thickness 0.15 mm, size 15x15 mm2) and the samples were allowed to dry at room temperature. They were imaged with a NaioAFM (Nanosurf AG) equipped with Multi75-AI-G tip (Budget Sensors). Images were acquired in tapping mode, with a scan size ranging from 1.5 µm to 25 µm and a scan speed of 1 sec per scanning line. EV size distributions were obtained by image analysis of at least five representative AFM images with a scan size of 8.7x8.7 µm. Image analysis was performed using WSxM version 5.0 and ImageJ (31).

EV subpopulations purity assessment. EV subpopulations were checked for purity from protein contaminants applying the COlorimetric NANoplasmonic (CONAN) assay, which exploits the nanoplasmonic properties of colloidal gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) and their peculiar interaction with proteins and lipid bilayers (32). LEV, MEV and SEV pellets and gradient fractions positive to EV markers (from 5 to 9, collected together; Pure SEV), were resuspended in 50 µl of sterile H2O (Milli-Q; Merck Millipore). Then, 2 µl of each sample were used for the assay. Three independent
measurements of at least two representative subjects both for controls and PD patients were performed. The assay consisted of an aqueous solution of bare gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) at 6 nM concentration. When mixed with pure EV formulations, the AuNPs clustered on the EV membrane, whereas in EV formulations which contained exogenous protein contaminants (EPCs) the AuNPs were preferentially cloaked by such EPCs (an AuNP-EPC corona forms), which prevents AuNPs from clustering to the EV membrane. When AuNPs cluster (are in tight proximity) of their localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) red shifts and broadens, resulting in a color change of the AuNP solution from red to blue, which can be accurately monitored through UV-Vis spectroscopy. The assay red shift is therefore directly related to the purity grade of the added EV formulation and can be conveniently quantified by describing the AuNP UV/Vis/NIR absorption spectra with the nanoparticle Aggregation Index (AI), defined as the ratio between the absorbance intensity at the LSPR peak and the intensity at 650 nm plus the intensity at 850 nm (Abs LSPR/(Abs 650+Abs 850) (33).

Total RNA isolation. Total RNA was isolated from 200 µl of plasma and each EV fraction using the miRNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). According to the manufacturers’ protocol, plasma and EV pellets were lysed in 1 ml of QIAzol. Then, 2.5 µl of 5 nM synthetic cel-miR-39 were added to each sample and 200 µl of chloroform were used to separate the aqueous phase (34) including intellectual disability, congenital heart disease, childhood leukemia and immune defects. Specific microRNAs (miRNAs/miR. After centrifugation 12,000 x g for 15 min at 4˚C, the upper aqueous phase was transferred into a new tube and the miRNeasy kit protocol was followed. RNA was eluted in 35 µl of RNase‑free H₂O. The total RNA concentration was measured using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and RNA quality was assessed using the 260/280 ratio. For RNA samples isolated from plasma and each EV type of a subgroup of random subjects (including controls and PD subjects), Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer using small RNA assay (Agilent) was used to analyze the concentration of small RNA and miRNA, miRNA percentage, and quality. This assay arbitrarily defined two distinct regions: Small RNA region from 0 to 150 nt and miRNA region from 10 to 40 nt.

Bioinformatics analysis. The miRNA target genes were downloaded from mirWALK 3.0 (35) and the targets reported in the miRDB platform (781 genes) were selected for subsequent analysis. For functional enrichment analysis of gene ontology terms, Database for Annotation Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID v6.8) was used (36,37). Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway and Gene Ontology categories (Biological Process, Molecular Function and Cellular Component) were considered.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR). Reverse transcription (RT) and qPCR were performed as previously described (38,39). cDNA was synthesized from 5 µl of RNA isolated from plasma or EV subpopulations using the TaqMan microRNA Reverse Transcription kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a 15-µl reaction containing 0.15 µl of dNTPs, 1.00 µl of 50 U/µl MultiScribe™ Reverse Transcriptase, 1.50 µl of 10X Reverse Transcription Buffer, 0.19 µl of RNase Inhibitor, 4.16 µl of nuclease-free water and 3 µl of RT-specific stem-loop primers. The RT reaction was performed at 16˚C for 30 min, followed by incubation at 42˚C for 30 min and 85˚C for 5 min. The qPCR reaction (20 µl/tube) contained 1.3 µl of reverse transcription product, 10 µl of Taq-Man 2X Universal PCR Master Mix and 1 µl of the appropriate TaqMan MicroRNA Assay (20X) for miR-34a-5p (Thermo Fisher Scientific, assay ID 000426), miR-21b-3p (Thermo Fisher Scientific, assay ID 000400) and the spike-in cel-miR-39 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, assay ID 00200). The PCR reactions were incubated at 95˚C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95˚C for 15 sec and 60˚C for 60 sec. PCRs were performed in triplicate using the 7500 real time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Due to the lack of a known miRNA reference that would allow the normalization of circulating miRNAs, qPCR data were reported as the average raw cycle thresholds (Ct) in both plasma and in the different EVs types.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 6.01. Data are presented as average ± SEM. The unpaired Student's t-test was used to determine whether the differences of miRNA expression between PD patients and controls were significant. Receiving operating characteristic (ROC) curve was conducted to assess the ability of pure SEVs miR-34a-5p to distinguish PD patients from controls. The differences in miR-34a-5p levels among PD patients groups with different disease severity were determined using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s test. The correlations between the miR-34a-5p levels in pure SEVs and clinical characteristics were assessed by Pearson’s correlation test. Differences between groups were considered significant with P-value <0.05.

Results

Different EV separation and characterization. We separated different subtypes of EVs from either control subjects or patients with Parkinson’s disease via the serial ultracentrifugation protocol (800 x g for 30 min at 4˚C, 16,000 x g for 45 min at 4˚C and 100,000 x g for 2 h at 4˚C) (Fig. 1A). This protocol allows the EV separation to be dependent on their different density and diameter. The 800 x g centrifugation step allows sedimentation of cell debris and Large EVs (labelled LEVs), while the final 100,000 x g ultracentrifugation (labelled SEVs) enriches Small EVs, exogenous protein contaminants (EPCs) and other nanoparticles that can co-precipitate after the last ultracentrifugation step. To further enrich small EVs, SEV pellet was loaded on top of a discontinuous sucrose gradient. Twelve fractions of equal volumes (400 µl) were collected from the top after 16 h of ultracentrifugation at 100,000 x g. For further analyses we selected fraction from 5 to 9, designated as pure SEVs, as described below.

Western blot (WB) analysis for different EV markers was performed on plasma and different preparations from either control subjects or PD patients in order to biochemically characterize them (Fig. 1B-D). Markers were chosen according to MISEV 2018 guidelines (40) and recent studies that compared the protein composition of different EV subtypes from...
different cell lines (26,27,40). In our experimental conditions and using plasma as biological fluid we found an enrichment of Alix (intracytoplasmatic protein, involved in the regulation of the endosomal trafficking), ADAM10 (membrane protein belonging to the family of matrix metalloproteinases involved in several biological events, from the release of specific proteins or their fragments to shedding through EVs) and Actinin-4 (major vault protein) only in SEV preparation. Flotillin-1 (protein involved in the vesicular trafficking), tumor susceptibility gene 101 protein (TSG101) (component of the ESCRT-I complex, a regulator of the vesicular trafficking process and exosome biogenesis), Annexin V (cytosolic protein with membrane binding ability) and the tetraspanins CD63, CD81, were detectable in all preparations, even if with different degrees of enrichment (Fig. 1B). The cis-Golgi marker GM130 was somewhat visible in total plasma only, indicating an undetectable presence of intracytoplasmic membranous components in all preparations (Fig. 1B). In addition, small EV markers (Alix, ADAM10 and CD63) were visualized by WB in gradient fractions from 5 to 9 (pure SEVs) (1.09-1.22 g/cm³) either in controls (Fig. 1C) or PD patients (Fig. 1D). The lipoprotein marker APOA1 was detectable in fraction 4 and 5 confirming that, using our separation protocol, most of the HDLs do not co-purify with pure SEVs.

EV preparations were analyzed by dry atomic force microscopy (AFM) to check the morphology and differences in size among LEVs, MEVs, SEVs and pure SEVs. All the preparations analyzed were composed of round-shaped objects and the size distribution for each preparation was estimated (Fig. 2A). AFM analysis confirmed that our separation protocol enriched EVs with different diameter distributions in both control and PD subjects. Enriched-LEVs sample exhibited the largest diameter distribution from 200 to 600 nm; MEVs from 50 to 400 nm; SEVs from 30 to 200 nm, pure SEVs from 50 to 100 nm (Fig. 2B).

Purity from protein contaminants was checked with the colorimetric nanoplasmonic (cONAN) assay (Fig. 3). For LEVs, MEVs and pure SEVs the mean AI values for both controls and PD patients, resulted in lower than
Figure 2. Imaging of different EV preparations. (A) Atomic force microscopy (AFM) topography image of the LEVs, MEVs, SEVs and gradient fractions positive to EV markers (from 5 to 9, pure SEVs), preparations from controls and PD patients. Samples were adsorbed onto mica sheets, as mentioned in Materials and methods section (scale bar is indicated in each image; colorimetric scale, on the right of AFM images, indicates the maximum height detected in each image). (B) Size distribution obtained from analysis of AFM images such as in (A) A total of >500 objects (both for controls and PD patients) were analyzed for LEVs (green), MEVs (blue), SEVs (brown) and pure SEVs (grey) fractions. Numbers on graphs indicate the diameter (in nm), of each preparation from controls and PD patients respectively. LEVs, large extracellular vesicles; MEVs, medium extracellular vesicles; SEVs, small extracellular vesicles.
SEVs, small extracellular vesicles. LEVs, large extracellular vesicles; MEVs, medium extracellular vesicles; to the purity of preparation. dotted line represents the 20% of AuNPs AI.

AI decreases along with the change of the solution color from red to blue (as indicated by the color scale) and is inversely proportional to the purity of preparation. Dotted line represents the 20% of AuNPs AI. LEVs, large extracellular vesicles; MEVs, medium extracellular vesicles; SEVs, small extracellular vesicles.

20% of the reference AI (i.e., the dispersed AuNPs solution, AI normalized at 100). This proves that the EV formulations contained negligible amounts of EPCs (33). However, SEV fraction returned a mean AI higher than 20%, with high standard deviation, indicating that most of the SEV samples contain EPCs, even if in different amounts.

Small RNA profiles in plasma and EV fraction. To explore the profile of small RNA content in plasma and in different EV subpopulations, total RNA was isolated from 200 µl of whole plasma and from the various EV fractions purified from 1 ml of plasma. Small RNA and miRNA yield and miRNA percentage were detected in plasma and each fraction using Agilent 2100 Bioanlyser with small RNA assay. As shown in Table II, a first different yield of small RNA and miRNA was obtained for the different samples indicating plasma as the sample with a minor content of small RNA, including miRNA, both in controls and in PD patients. In addition, 30% or more miRNA percentage was detected in plasma samples and all fractions from the two groups studied. Finally, the comparison among the different EV subpopulations showed that the miRNA yield (in ng) obtained from pure SEVs was greater than the one obtained from LEVs, MEVs or SEVs in the two groups. These data confirm that the small RNA cargo is different when different EV types are compared and highlight our protocol as a good standardized method to isolate miRNAs from the EV fractions.

Biological rationale for the selection of miR-34a-5p in the present study. In order to identify possible and relevant alterations of miRNA content in plasma and plasmatic EV subpopulations in PD, we selected miR-34a-5p due to its well-documented involvement in neurobiology. In Table III we summarized the biological processes modulated by miR-34a-5p in the nervous system. miR-34a-5p plays an important role in neurodevelopment by regulating different aspects of neurogenesis and synaptogenesis (41-44). In addition, miR-34a-5p is involved in neuronal differentiation and brain aging by targeting key genes, including cyclin D1, SIRT1, and Bcl-2 (45-50). Accordingly, the functional annotation analysis performed in the current study on the target genes of the miR-34a-5p using Database for Annotation Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID v6.8) strongly supported the relevant role of miR-34a-5p in the nervous system (Fig. 4). In the cellular component category, ‘Synapse’ was the first term with 22 targets (P<0.05) and ‘synaptic vesicle membrane’, ‘node of Ranvier’, ‘presynaptic membrane’ and ‘synaptobrevin 2-SN AP-25-synaptin-1a-complexin II complex’ were among the first 10 significant terms. For the biological process category, ‘regulation of ion transmembrane transport’ and ‘regulation of calcium ion transport’ were the third and eighth biological term, respectively. In the molecular function category, 414 predicted target genes were included in ‘protein binding’ term and the other enriched terms concerned to ion channels activity and transcription regulation. The KEGG pathway enrichment analysis outlined 51 biological terms (P<0.05). Among these, ‘Cholinergic synapse’, ‘Dopaminergic synapse’ and ‘Synaptic vesicle cycle’ represented the sixth, ninth and tenth biological term, respectively. The ‘Cholinergic synapse’ and ‘Dopaminergic synapse’ terms were both significantly enriched with 14 predicted genes and ‘Synaptic vesicle cycle’ with 9 predicted genes. Taken together, these data sustained the biological evidence to select this miRNA and to evaluate its levels in the whole plasma and its EV fractions in PD patients.

miR-34a-5p levels are higher in pure SEVs from PD patients compared to controls. As already mentioned, in this study we evaluated the level of miR-34a-5p in plasma and its EV fractions of PD patients. As shown in Fig. 5A, our findings revealed that miR-34a-5p was detectable in plasma, LEVs, MEVs, SEVs, but no differences in its levels were found between the two groups of subjects in these biological samples. Interestingly, the miR-34a-5p levels resulted in a significantly higher in the pure exosomes fraction from PD patients compared to controls (Fig. 5A, CtPD average=33.74 versus CtCONTROLS average=35.07; P<0.05). As mentioned in Materials and methods, the results of these experiments were reported as Ct average since no endogenous miRNAs were established as normalizers for plasma and the different EV fractions. Thus, in order to verify the good technical performance of RNA isolation, RT reaction and the specificity of observed changes in miR-34a-5p, we measured in the same samples the levels of the spike-in cel-miR-39 and has-miR-23b-3p, for which no roles in NCS have been reported yet. The Ct mean of each miRNA was nearly the same between PD patients and controls in plasma and in the EVs fractions (Fig. S1). The diagnostic significance of miR-34a-5p in pure SEVs was further tested by ROC curve analysis. The calculated Area under ROC curve (AUC) of 0.738
Table II. Yield of small RNA and miRNA extracted from plasma and the different EV subpopulations derived from plasma of either controls or PD patients.

|                      | Controls          | PD patients       |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                      | Plasma LEVs MEVs SEVs Pure SEVs | Plasma LEVs MEVs SEVs Pure SEVs |
| Small RNA (ng)       | 2.26±1.27         | 4.32±1.04         | 4.90±0.95         | 10.34±3.51         | 14.51±8.03         |
| miRNA (ng)           | 0.82±0.49         | 1.70±0.45         | 2.12±0.26         | 4.16±1.17          | 9.87±6.72          |
| miRNA/small RNA (%)  | 29.67±5.90        | 37.07±3.45        | 39.27±1.83        | 39.43±1.82         | 51.37±12.64        |

Values are mean ± SEM, n=3 for controls and n=2 for PD patients. Yield of small RNA and miRNA is calculated starting from the concentration (ng/µl) determined by the Small RNA Assay (Agilent). LEVs, large extracellular vesicles; MEVs, medium extracellular vesicles; SEVs, small extracellular vesicles.

Figure 4. Gene Ontology Top 10 significant terms belonging to the cellular component (red), biological process (green), molecular function (blue) categories and KEGG pathways (yellow). The GO terms reported in bold are related to nervous system. T.r.a., Transcription repressor activity.
Correlations between pure SEVs miR-34a-5p levels and clinical parameters of PD patients. We considered and explored whether miR-34a-5p levels detected in pure SEVs could be related to clinical parameters, including disease duration, the scores of clinical disease severity and depression, and levodopa dose. To carry out this analysis, the PD patients were divided into sub-groups according to disease duration and Hoehn&Yahr grade. The miR-34a-5p mean Ct value was significantly lower in PD patients with shorter disease duration (≤5 years; P<0.05), with respect to control subjects. By considering the group of PD patients with disease duration >5 years, a trend of decrease of miR-34a-5p ct values was found in respect to controls (not significant) (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, PD patients with higher Hoehn&Yahr score (H&Y score=3) showed a significant decrease of the miR-34a-5p mean Ct value compared to control subjects (P<0.05; Fig. 6B). Moreover, the miR-34a-5p mean Ct value in plasmatic pure SEVs from PD patients resulted correlated positively with Beck depression Inventory (BDI) score (P<0.05; Fig. 6C). However, no significant correlation was found with age at onset, levodopa dose, and unified Parkinson's disease rating scale (UPDRS) in PD patients (Fig. S2).

Discussion

Circulating Extracellular Vesicles (EVs) containing disease-specific molecular signatures (including miRNAs) have recently emerged as promising biomarkers in neurodegenerative diseases. Circulating microRNAs encapsulated in EVs remain stable in body fluids and are easily detected using standard molecular techniques, such as RT-qPCR, allowing the identification of specific circulating miRNAs that are differentially expressed between patients and control subjects (51).



Table III. Biological processes modulated by miR-34a-5p in the nervous system.

| Neurological process | Molecular mechanism mediated by miR-34a-5p | Experimental model | (Refs.) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|
| **Neurogenesis**     | Regulation of proliferation in neural progenitors. Modulation of morphology and electrophysiological properties in differentiating neurons. | Rat CN, rAAV-miR-34a-infused rat | (41)   |
| **Synaptogenesis**   | Negative regulation of the synaptic targets SYT-1 and STX1A. Modulation of the expression of the presynaptic and postsynaptic neuronal markers, including SYP and SYN1. Alteration of neurite outgrowth and spinal morphology. | Mouse CN Mouse NSC, Human iPSC-derived neurons | (42) (43) |
| **Neuronal differentiation** | Suppression of cell cycle entry by targeting cyclin D1 in mature neurons. Influence of neuronal survival by the direct regulation of the anti-apoptotic gene Bcl-2 and the protein deacetylase SIRT-1 which has a neuroprotective activity. | Rat CN SH-SY5Y cells, APPsw/PSE9 mouse Mouse NSC | (45) (46) (47) |
| **Cognitive functions** | Impairment of cued fear memory consolidation through the modulation of Notch pathway in the basolateral amygdala. Alteration of learning abilities and emotionality in rats. | miR-34a sponge-infused mouse, rAAV-miR-34a-infused rat | (48) (41) |
| **Brain aging**      | Modulation of neural deterioration with age and alteration of aging-associated transcriptional profile. Support of healthy brain aging through the inhibition of PRC2 and the decrease of H3K27me3 that determines the reduction of small heat shock proteins. | miR-34-/- Drosophila | (49) (50) |

CN, cortical neurons; rAAV, recombinant adenoassociated virus; SYT-1, Synaptotagmin-1; STX1A, Syntaxin-1A; SYP, synaptophysin; SYN1, Synapsin 1; NSC, neural stem cells; iPSC, induced pluripotent stem cells; PRC2, Polycomb Repressive Complex 2; H3K27me3, tri-methylation of lysine 27 of histone 3.

(95% CI=0.557-0.919; P<0.05) may indicate that the levels of this miRNA in pure SEVs may discriminate controls from PD patients with good accuracy in the examined cohort (Fig. 5B).
respect to apoptotic bodies and microvesicles. On the other hand, these fractions contain ribosomal RNA (displayed as two peaks corresponding to subunits 18S and 28S in RNA profiles) that is totally absent in exosomes. In agreement with this, the present study, the small RNA profiles obtained from plasmatic EVs of controls and PD subjects showed that the pure SEVs contained relatively more miRNAs than the other fractions tested in this study. In addition, the miRNAs yield in pure SEVs was similar between controls and patients groups and it could be considered a very appreciable quantity because it was higher than the range value of 1–10 ng/ml plasma of exosomal RNA yield obtained using spin column method (56), but also the mean yield reported by Lunavat et al. for miRNAs from plasmatic exosomes isolated using ultracentrifugation or commercial kits (55). The results shown in the present study proved that the experimental workflow used is a reliable method to isolate EV-associated miRNAs, i.e., the SEV miRNAs, from plasma also supporting its potential use for the preparation of the small non-coding RNA samples for the discovery of biomarkers of a given disease.

In the current study, the levels of circulating miR-34a-5p were evaluated to identify possible alterations between plasma and plasmatic EV subpopulations in PD patients and between patients and control subjects. In this context, various strategies have been used to select the miRNAs to be studied as circulating molecules in PD patients (57). In the current study, the selection of miR-34a-5p was based on its fundamental role in the regulation of neuronal gene expression and its important functions in the central nervous system, as summarized in Table III. In addition, our data derived from the functional annotation analysis of miR-34a-5p predicting target genes strongly supported the important functions of this miRNA in pathways and biological structures clearly associated to the nervous system. It is known that miR-34a-5p is abundantly expressed in the adult brain as indicated by miRNAs expression profiling studies in rodents (58,59), and its expression level in neurons needs to be finely regulated to allow proper neuronal development and aging.

Regarding the role of miR-34a-5p in neuropathology, several in vitro and in vivo studies have linked miR-34a-5p to neurodegenerative diseases. Expression of miR-34a-5p was elevated in the hippocampus and temporal cortex of 3xTg AD mice, a model of familial Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (60). Moreover in the human brain, miR-34a-5p was upregulated in the hippocampal region of AD patients compared to controls (61). Regarding PD, no evidence has revealed any
dysregulation of miR-34a-5p in the human brain thus far (62). However, some studies have reported the over-expression of miR-34a-5p both in *in vitro* and *in vivo* models of PD, such as differentiated PC12 cells treated with the dopaminergic neurotoxin MPP⁺ and rats chronically exposed to rotenone (63–65), respectively. In addition, the downregulation of miR-34a-5p induced by lithium or the use of anti-miR molecules improved cell survival and showed neuroprotective effects in neuronal cells treated with PD-associated neurotoxins (paraquat and rotenone) (66,67).

In the current study, we showed that miR-34a-5p can be easily detected both in plasma, such as miRNA vesicle-free primarily, and in plasmatic EVs from control subjects and PD patients suggesting that this miRNA is secreted by cells and remains stable in circulation. In light of this, Cosín-Tomás *et al* reported that miR-34a-5p was downregulated in plasma of AD patients, but not in that of PD patients (68). Furthermore, the miR-34a-5p ectopic overexpression in primary cortical neurons revealed the secretion of miR-34a-5p containing exosomes that were taken up by neighboring cells as demonstrated using co-culture experiments (60). Mao *et al* showed that EVs from astrocytes contain increased miR-34a-5p after the stimulation of the stress condition induced by Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (69). Of note, the secreted miR-34a-5p in astrocytic EVs enhanced the vulnerability of SH-SYSY cells to neurotoxins exposure by inhibiting Bcl-2 expression. Blocking the upregulation of miR-34a-5p in LPS-stimulated astrocyte EVs alleviated the loss of dopaminergic neurons *in vitro* and *in vivo* (69). All these data seem to suggest that cell stress conditions or neurotoxic exposures increase the intracellular miR-34a-5p in specific brain regions. Moreover, the altered miR-34a-5p level can be delivered in EVs and then modulate some significant biological functions in the receiving cell in disease.

In the present study, for the first time, we found that miR-34a-5p levels were significantly overexpressed in pure SEVs from plasma of PD patients compared to controls and that its expression in pure SEVs revealed a good ability of this miRNA to distinguish PD patients from control subjects suggesting its potential consideration as a marker of diagnosis at molecular level. Moreover, pure SEVs miR-34a-5p levels were higher in PD patients even at the beginning stage of PD when the disease duration is less than 5 years. Finally, high levels of pure SEV miR-34a-5p were detected in PD patients with mild/progressive symptoms of disease and were associated with minimal/absent depression. In our opinion, the dysregulation of circulating miR-34a-5p depending on neuropathological conditions, such as depression, is not unexpected. Indeed, elevated levels of miR-34a-5p were found in serum of patients with major depressive disorders and in post-mortem cerebellar tissues and iPSc-derived neurons of bipolar disorder patients (44,70). However, further studies are needed to clarify whether the analysis of circulating miR-34a-5p in the different biological samples may be useful for the identification of depressive states.

In conclusion, our data showed the differential expression between PD patients and control subjects of miR-34a-5p in plasmatic pure SEVs, but not in the other EV fractions, suggesting the necessity to consider not only the whole plasma, but each EV subpopulation in order to improve the possibility to identify relevant differences of specific miRNAs levels. For this purpose, the SEVs purification protocol is crucial since the presence of protein contaminants in the sample seems to conceal those differences (21). We have clearly defined this study as an exploratory one and further investigations are necessary to verify the potential diagnostic value of circulating miR-34a-5p in PD. Since our findings derive from an exploratory study, they trace the starting point for future research. Indeed, they address the direct use of pure SEVs: i) To detect the miR-34a-5p levels in larger cohorts that also include female PD patients allowing the reduction of the number of samples to be analysed; ii) To perform RNA-seq experiments in order to identify novel potential biomarkers among the entire repertoire of circulating miRNAs in PD patients. Finally, we think that the exploration on the origin of pure SEVs [i.e.,
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**Figure 6.** Correlation between the level of miR-34a-5p found in pure SEVs with clinical parameters of PD patients. (A) miR-34a-5p levels were significantly higher in pure SEVs of PD patients within five years from onset compared to controls. (B) miR-34a-5p was significantly upregulated in pure SEVs of PD patients with higher Hoehn&Yahr grade (H & Y grade) compared to controls. One-way ANOVA was used for multiple comparisons among groups followed by Bonferroni's test; *P*<0.05. (C) Positive correlation was found between Ct values for miR-34a-5p levels in plasmatic pure SEVs and Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) scoring of PD patients. Correlation was tested with Pearson's correlation analysis, *P*<0.05. Pure SEVs, pure small extracellular vesicles.
from brain: neuron-, astrocyte- or oligodendrocyte-derived exosomes (71), could be useful for the comprehension of the role of secreted miR-34a-5p in PD.
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